2022-09-29T16:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575516746437382144

@AliensAustr Ants.

2022-09-29T16:03:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575516714875305984

@Alien_Scientist @dickwhipper Seriously? Did that look serious to you?

2022-09-29T15:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575514673801097216

RT @ScienceUpFirst: Just because it's repeated does not make the information true.

Yet this is what misinformers are trying to have you bâ¦

2022-09-28T14:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575130939704819712

@uapcameraman https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1574975114373779456

2022-09-28T14:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575127704055140354

@dasINFOcypher @BlueshiftProjex If I have a hobby, what do I need a job for?

Ideally, someone would pay me for doing my hobby.

2022-09-28T14:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575123162458509313

@dsmethurst66 @BlueshiftProjex It's more about how EVERYONE can be fooled. I was there, and I was fooled when I saw it from across the yard. I could tell it was on the light but had no idea what caused it. I was delightfully surprised to discover the death-marching ants.

2022-09-28T09:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1575057172332888064

@AndrewC92035576 @BlueshiftProjex Unlikely. It's just an illustration of how sometimes mundane things can look really weird.

2022-09-28T04:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574984324339769347

@BlueshiftProjex Correct!

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1574975114373779456

2022-09-28T04:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574984015399907329

@TRandallizer @TheProjectUnity @528vibes 528 just posts an endless stream of unsourced recycling.

This video is typical. Low-res blob, that looks like a kite. A waste of time.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XbjumxjPsMM

2022-09-28T04:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574975114373779456
Those weird rotating lights in my backyard? I got over 100 suggestions, and only @BlueShiftProjex got close.

It's ants. Here's the full video with the reveal.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1574974073012047872/pu/img/LdrGRaothrb77m_9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1574974073012047872/pu/img/LdrGRaothrb77m_9.jpg
2022-09-28T03:27:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574963962998714373
@LaffTeeBaffTee Hmm, it would be interesting if some were to â□□enhanceâ□□ the footage.

2022-09-28T03:25:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574963436106063872
@NateNateg Close. â□¦. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2022-09-27T23:52:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574909924403838976
@the_cholla There are many sufficiently straight constant speed line, consistent with a plane making a slight turn.

I think a much bigger issue now is trying to get Grave's SA page mockups to make any kind of sense in the context of the video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdsz_6CUAAE826f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdsz_6CUAAE826f.jpg
2022-09-27T23:11:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574899568692510720
@the_cholla Any of a multitude. And it does not fit with that, it's not on that screen.

2022-09-27T23:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574898828330749952
@JeffFalzone Nope.

2022-09-27T23:06:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574898393972809728
Puzzle: saw this weird cycling line of lights in the garden last night. I went to investigate and

figured it out. But what do you think it is?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1574898234895441920/pu/img/Um86vUYcsuQJyEAc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1574898234895441920/pu/img/Um86vUYcsuQJyEAc.jpg
2022-09-27T22:25:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574888044099866624
The weird non-physical curve is just a consequence of shoehorning a straight line trajectory across the lines of sight that also match tracking a distant constant speed.
i.e. there's a physically sensible trajectory that fits, and it's not the close one.

2022-09-27T22:20:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574886778133041153
Part of the reason I blocked Marik was his constant declarations of things being solved or disproven, and then a nasty habit of inserting other people's names in there as if they agree with him.

I don't agree. I don't think he even really understands what the graph show.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdseuMNVUAAfKQf.jpg

MarikvR
@MvonRen

A key “Gimbal” video mystery is solved.

When accounting for all available data (distance, object heading and, now, wind speed/direction), @MickWest’s sim explains the object’s odd rotation.

The UAP appears to exhibit extraordinary technology.

Skeptics MUST grapple with this 👇



8:02 AM · Sep 27, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

18 Retweets **2** Quote Tweets **94** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdseuMNVUAAfKQf.jpg
2022-09-27T21:00:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574866644257497093
@MusicAndTruth @GaryUAPHuckerby @tinyklaus They also had two versions of the logo.

2022-09-27T20:14:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1574855083065384960
@MusicAndTruth @GaryUAPHuckerby @tinyklaus
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdsCWTvVIAEhx6r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdsCWTvVIAEhx6r.jpg

2022-09-27T07:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574666017699090432

@GaryUAPHuckerby @tinyklaus Not everyone likes cheeky symbols, especially when it invites a barrage of media attention.

It seemed pretty obvious this was not a finished website.

2022-09-26T16:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574434413793812492

@marcbel19406167 @GarryPNolan @chrisdale2012 @THP_22_22 @0mega_Point @BeverlyDHolmes @PrismaticWriter Why? Are doctors immune?

2022-09-26T05:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574267145776549888

@CanesReign It represents unidentified aerial objects.

2022-09-25T15:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574057706138107904

@NParke406 @SincerelyCosmic Isn't "representing the concept of unidentified aerial threats" an answer?

What was the question?

2022-09-25T15:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574057210191044610

@NParke406 The UFO symbol is there to symbolize unidentified things - which are a problem in airspace safety and national security.

2022-09-25T15:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574056615849795584

@KirbysCrackle Stratcom?

https://www.stratcom.mil/

2022-09-25T15:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574056433301143552

@NParke406 Because part of their task portfolio is defending against novel threats and looking into reports where the aircraft is unidentified.

A UFO symbolizes an unidentified flying thing.

2022-09-25T15:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574055726867132417

@byob253 https://www.stratcom.mil/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgrVtZUUAAyheq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgrVtZUUAAyheq.jpg

2022-09-25T15:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574055428706574341

@byob253 https://www.jtf-spacedefense.mil/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgrBc7VEAEhrIA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgrBc7VEAEhrIA.jpg

2022-09-25T15:15:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574055027068411904

RT @papercrane111: @MickWest Lol. Yeah. This debrief article does a great job explaining the inside jokes and fun they like to have with thâ¦

2022-09-25T15:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574052916763115520

This UFO illustration from @vexels now has official US Military endorsement as being an accurate representation of a UFO! :grinning_face:

https://www.vexels.com/png-svg/preview/205557/ufo-aliens-illustration

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgoSoTVIAAjmo-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgoSoTVIAAjmo-.jpg

2022-09-25T14:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574050958035730437

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1574050871612039170

2022-09-25T14:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574050871612039170

It's just some clip art. Not a very serious effort.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgmxDwUAAAdlQU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdgmxDwUAAAdlQU.jpg

2022-09-25T14:56:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574050224535785473

Two different logos on a .mil site. Two different clip art UFOs (the one on the right is from Vexels). This isn't the government trying to tell us something. It's a graphic designer having a little fun with representing the concept of unidentified aerial threats.

https://twitter.com/alexboge/status/1573966237570310144

2022-09-25T14:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574044874290012160

@KirbysCrackle A hoax on .mil? Maybe a little joke. Such military logos are often kind of outrageous. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdgha6rUoAENy0W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdgha6rUoAENy0W.jpg

2022-09-25T14:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574044020929888262

@ET39003871 Nothing is not nothing.

2022-09-25T14:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574043823960780800

@stunt_seal I think symbolism is common in such task-describing logos.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdgggu4VIAEYSXJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdgggu4VIAEYSXJ.jpg

2022-09-25T14:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574039290421469185

@One3Six2 A significant change in the evidence would generally prompt a significant change in position.

2022-09-25T14:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1574038686827655168

@BobbyGoya @marcbel19406167 It symbolizes things that are flying, and unidentified.

2022-09-25T06:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573915524186021888

@marcbel19406167 "UFO" is an acronym. It stands for Unidentified Flying Objects.

So ufos are symbolic of unidentified flying objects.

2022-09-25T05:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573914834642444288

@dickwhipper Oh, I think lots of people really think it's something like sneaky disclosure.

2022-09-25T05:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573914675447676928

@JQP1781 Because UFOs are a symbol of anything that's flying and unidentified. It's not a symbol being used to represent an alien spaceship. It's the same thing.

2022-09-25T05:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573913431853236224

@JQP1781 UFOs, obviously.

2022-09-25T05:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573912325844717570
Are the military secretly telling us they will be fighting with swords and shields in space?

Or are some symbols, like the UFO, just .... symbolic?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdeo2pJUUAIJ8Cp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdeontTVQAAycV7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdeoDA9UcAA1mR1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fdeo2pJUUAIJ8Cp.jpg
2022-09-25T05:44:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573911191339954178
@BiomechAnima It's unknown, so.....

Basically, it's about being ready for anything. Also it's fun. Kind of restrained if you look at other patches.

2022-09-25T05:36:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573909387306889216
I suspect this cheeky little UFO in the logo might simply refer to unknown threats. Not aliens, etc. https://twitter.com/nickpopemod/status/1573890776534114305

2022-09-25T05:34:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573908771117539328
@TheDiddyBop @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen Hah, I guess that's the downside to muting/blocking the people obsessed with Sitrec :) Nobody told me it was down.

2022-09-25T05:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573907577942876165

Sitrec is back up and running. I didn't actually realize it had crashed. Some files in the Gimbal sim were missing, that's all. No big conspiracy, LOL. I didn't notice because I was working on the video player and Aguadilla.
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=video

2022-09-25T03:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573878829927366656
@EddieLo82413247 Looks like a rocket launch.

2022-09-24T23:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573821351432855552
@ParanormalDoc https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=410TVJ0-heU

2022-09-24T21:05:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573780743032971264
@GarryPNolan @chrisdale2012 @THP_22_22 @0mega_Point @BeverlyDHolmes @PrismaticWriter I'm using "magic" to indicate technology or phenomena that transcends our current understanding of science. There's nothing "wrong" with that, and I would be very excited to see such things in actuality.

With RV, ESP, etc, I think it's unlikely, but I'm open to new evidence.

2022-09-24T17:09:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573721247002767361
@chrisdale2012 @GarryPNolan @THP_22_22 @0mega_Point @BeverlyDHolmes @PrismaticWriter Isn't RV magical? ESP? Psychokinesis? Communion?

They all seem like magic to me.

Obviously, it's just advanced science, but it's still magical.

2022-09-24T16:58:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573718589248413696
@GarryPNolan @THP_22_22 @0mega_Point @BeverlyDHolmes @PrismaticWriter You had me at "zero proof"

It's an interesting hypothesis, but I'd put money on it not going anywhere magical.

2022-09-24T16:57:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573718250428325888
@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MvonRen Yeah, you can shoehorn in the distance, but you have to be REALLY creative to interpret the resulting path as what was previously described.
It's also the natural result of a distant plane at constant speed, straight-ish level flight, combined with the curve of the Navy plane.

2022-09-24T16:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573716391911337984
@PredatoryPanic Uninteresting.

2022-09-24T06:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573565397625774080
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MvonRen @Spectre67904513 What do you mean by "far from obvious"?

It still leaps right out of the data.

The "aviators report" is rather vague, and highly varied. There's no "stern conversion" in the data, for example. Nor is there an actual SA reconstruction except in the vaguest of terms.

2022-09-23T16:18:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573345959517396996
@blackdad100 @GarryPNolan Hey, Garry tweeted at me. :)

2022-09-23T16:16:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573345649969332224
@TUPACABRA2 Unless you're investigating CE5, the best camera is the one you have with you all the time.

Take video, not photos.

2022-09-23T16:13:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573344741432827906
@GarryPNolan @PrismaticWriter So you think that the Zoo Hypothesis can't be a fun story? Or something that people (you?) hope is true (as they want non-human entities to be real)? Or that it can't have parallels with religion?

The categories don't align? Or am I just aligning them wrong?

2022-09-23T14:48:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573323473828487169
@CmikFa @GarryPNolan @PrismaticWriter Not really.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdWRVmEUYAAfPDl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdWRVmEUYAAfPDl.jpg

2022-09-23T14:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573319054525202433

@GarryPNolan @PrismaticWriter I know you weren't serious, because "category alignment errors" are not actually a real thing.

Or maybe you need to avail yourself of that tweet editor. :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdWNS-rUAAApNFs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdWNS-rUAAApNFs.jpg

2022-09-23T04:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573172935065378816

@UfoStudy Because I'm interested in UFOs.

2022-09-23T04:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573172191729836034

@SpinDubTracks @BanDeezNuts69 @GarryPNolan I gave it a go :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdUHVYWUYAU7jKD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdUHVYWUYAU7jKD.jpg
2022-09-23T04:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573170354230464513
@Simon17221495 I said, " It's not impossible"

2022-09-22T20:31:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573047454580105216
@cameron_sayle Exactly.

2022-09-22T20:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573045861558329344
@FIimdog I'm a big fan of scientific progress. Galileo had very solid evidence, and put in the legwork. Top bloke in that regard. Not sure about the "having a beer with" factor.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdST61EVIAEzN_6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdST61EVIAEzN_6.jpg
2022-09-22T19:34:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1573032904166285313
@mental_willness That could lead to problems.

https://youtu.be/dsYMa44m69g?t=67

2022-09-22T19:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573031895272595457

@GarryPNolan So you're saying my arguments are teeming with intelligence that's somehow inaccessible to you because it's on a different level?

:winking_face:

2022-09-22T18:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573012369135075328

@blackvaultcom Are you extrapolating existing technology and science for your treehouse? Or postulating some as-yet unknown technology?

2022-09-22T18:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573011835535917056

@RandianJustice @blackvaultcom Or anywhere.

Not that there isn't any. There probably is. Just no evidence yet.

2022-09-22T18:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573011688529731584

@GambleDale @blackvaultcom A rather anthropocentric philosophy.

2022-09-22T18:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573011577581998080

@Beckie_ness @blackvaultcom Sure they could. Or they could be viewing us through console.log() dump files in another dimension.

Or just as shadow beings, in the corner.

2022-09-22T18:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573010126075990016

@blackvaultcom You are not suggesting that aliens are watching us from a treehouse.

You are suggesting a vastly more advanced and unknowable technology-based treehouse, which is essentially as much a fantasy as the other options. Sufficiently advanced technology and all that.

2022-09-22T18:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573009507034476546

@thinkyparts They would, or they would not, or something in between. It's unknowable.

2022-09-22T17:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573008349146525696

@blackvaultcom There is no reason to think it is happening either. Of that we're in a simulation, or not. Or that heaven awaits, or does not. Or that other dimensional beings toy with us, or don't. Or that Thetans burden our souls, or not. It's all speculation about an invisible realm.

2022-09-22T17:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573007635791884289

@marcowenjones Gab is far-right Christian Nationalism. Of course they are partisan. They think getting Republicans elected is part of a holy war.

2022-09-22T17:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573006061946404869

@apicasefiles @Mr_BenPrime You wouldn't expect evidence, that's the point of the zoo hypothesis. It's speculation/desire for a magical invisible realm currently separate from observable reality, but hopefully accessible in the future. Somewhat analogous to heaven.

2022-09-22T17:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573004404659486722

@No3spera I get your point. It's like what Yoda said.

2022-09-22T17:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1573004051264196608

@SicCoP1 @edwardcurrent @mattlebofsky External life is not impossible. We've just not seen any evidence of it.

2022-09-22T17:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572998113035710465

@SicCoP1 @edwardcurrent @mattlebofsky But that drinking glass is still the nearby universe to which I referred, not just Earth. We can see other stars, we can look and listen for communications, we can examine stars and exoplanets for evidence of life.

2022-09-22T17:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572997458199977985

@giuseppe_aaron Ah yes, the MYOB story, also one I remember well. I think I read most of his stories from my Dad's collection, and the local library.

2022-09-22T16:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572992136861319168

I read a lot of science fiction when I was young. One short story that stuck with me for decades was "Hobbyist" by Eric Frank Russell. Published 1947 (2 months after Roswell), it's a kind of Zoo Hypothesis tale.

Anyone else remember it?

https://avalonlibrary.net/ebooks/Eric%20Frank%20Russell%20-%20Hobbyist.pdf

---

2022-09-22T16:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572989863506608128

@No3spera Does a lack of understanding of classical mechanics make one better in tune with the universe?

2022-09-22T16:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572989152974770177

@aka__V @ZimRavens @InterUranus I would very much like to see evidence of life outside of this planet. I would much rather the universe be teeming with life than sterile.

2022-09-22T15:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572978163021672450

RT @briantylercohen: Just trying to imagine what Sean Hannity would say if Barack Obama stole hundreds of classified documents and went onâ¦

2022-09-22T15:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572976470158626817

@InterUranus That's certainly the narrative given by the supporters of the hypothesis. Also, a quasi-religious interpretation of the universe. It's holding out hope for a preferred reality by saying such a reality would lack evidence.

"Blessed are those who have not seen, and yet believe."

2022-09-22T15:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572974972553007104

The Zoo Hypothesis (that aliens exist, but are watching us and avoiding being detected) is wishful thinking. It's not impossible, but it's lacking any evidence. It's a fun story and/or a type of religion.

Perhaps the nearby universe looks empty because it is empty.

2022-09-21T20:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572685829751050240

@GarryPNolan @SlabBulkhead3k @tinyklaus @DamianUFOfeed @dsmethurst66 @BrianJo78940026 @rosscoulthart Send it to these guys:
https://youtu.be/quL14Csmi_Y?t=129

2022-09-21T17:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572634024258174976

RT @stevanzetti: The consensus among legitimate historians is quite clear on this subject

https://fullfact.org/online/nazis-socialists/

2022-09-21T16:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572629876754219010

@TippingsTipples @verstick They are just things that occasionally the media does stories about, and so sometimes they create spikes in public interest. It's kind of random though.

2022-09-21T16:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572626271108214787

@RuuudTennis % of the maximum value. So essentially, Stephenville is 100%, and everything else is a percentage of that. The graph shows relative not absolute interest. To get that you'd have to compare it to something else, like "car".
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdMXPMpakAIiVuk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdMXPMpakAIiVuk.jpg

2022-09-21T13:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572571572174745604

@BMaxGoodman Thatâ□□s not the point.

2022-09-21T12:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572571250958151685

@tgflynn314 @RobertDobalina7 I used â□□footballâ□□ as a baseline. It was constant.

2022-09-20T22:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572353175910510593

@reasonempathy It was not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdIe4OGaEAEOZfR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdIe4OGaEAEOZfR.jpg

2022-09-20T21:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572337730469896196

@skytriangles Seems unlikely that there would be a mile-long craft flying overhead. That would be quite noticeable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdIQ1C8akAASHGC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdIQ1C8akAASHGC.jpg

2022-09-20T20:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572318479218520065

@daves1331 It's basically driven by the amount of media attention. Stephenville was a popular story in the media for a few days. On the Today show and suchlike.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oljautgIUC8

2022-09-20T20:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572315752593764352

A history of Google search trends correlated with UFO events.

The highest peak seems to be the Jan 2008 UFO sightings in Stephenville, Texas.

Rather surprisingly, it had twice as much interest as the NYT/TTSA media campaign around AATIP and Gimbal in Dec 2017. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdH8GwuagAApRRT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdH8GwuagAApRRT.jpg

2022-09-20T19:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572314593229414400

@FIimdog From their website

https://www.thehumanpotentialtrust.org/big-issues.html

2022-09-20T17:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572275229573124098

@TxUFOSightings @fin365 @MOCK_PUPPET @Omengod @528vibes And a fun little stabilized version

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1572275055916384256/pu/img/86mnrZGU30krYxBp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1572275055916384256/pu/img/86mnrZGU30krYxBp.jpg
2022-09-20T17:22:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1572274960974098438
@TxUFOSightings @fin365 @MOCK_PUPPET @Omengod @528vibes Original fake footage.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1572274903763787786/pu/img/ALqSBpdPZ1E46hQQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1572274903763787786/pu/img/ALqSBpdPZ1E46hQQ.jpg
2022-09-20T17:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1572274820112580614
@TxUFOSightings @fin365 @MOCK_PUPPET @Omengod @528vibes I think this sums up the main issue. The lights move in the frame. The real lights at the bottom leave trails. The fake lights do not. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FdHXZePacAE1wpV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FdHXZePacAE1wpV.jpg

2022-09-20T16:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572258382576484354

@BubbaSri There is nothing wrong with entertaining possibilities.

2022-09-20T15:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572249606439997440

@samphazm It's interesting.

2022-09-20T15:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572247136842162177

I'm getting an almost culty vibe from these folk. They think aliens are testing us, keeping Earth isolated until we do better. Which apparently starts with getting our plural forms right!

Also, transcendental oneoness experiences - not uncommon in UFOology. Interesting stuff.

https://twitter.com/NumbersLady4/status/1572239284081500161

2022-09-20T01:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1572027913180155906

RT @TxUFOSightings: . @MickWest is vindicated. Mass #UFO Sighting in Central TX was part of a massive, coordinated hoax https://t.co/uyjRG6â¦

2022-09-19T12:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1571841905465643011

AI upscaling strikes again! When someone shows you an "enhanced" image, the AI algorithm is showing you what it thinks you want to see (sharp edges, well-defined shapes), not what is actually there.

Always look at the original file. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1571841076557942788

2022-09-19T12:38:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1571841076557942788

@PThegermandude Thanks, looking at the original file, the object is about as in-focus as individual pine-needles. There is also significant AI-upscaling applied to the zoomed shot that make it look much more in-focus than it is, and kind of odd.

So, insect. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdBNICgaIAct8dp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdBNICgaIAct8dp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdBMZUdaUAEySnV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdBMnnvaIAYRmaM.jpg

2022-09-19T08:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1571782654353362947

@PThegermandude It's an odd one. At first it reminded me of this video of a hummingbird. But it's an odder shape.

Can you email me the actual file? mick@mickwest.com

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdAXaixaMAEEBP7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdAXHS_aIAARis5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdAXaixaMAEEBP7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FdAXCgSaAAARbHv.jpg
2022-09-19T00:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1571663206921416704
@ghost_of_kane @DanRather Weather.

2022-09-17T22:58:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1571272505247268864
@reasonempathy @lukehofmann They already figured it out
https://twitter.com/JimCastilloKSDK/status/1570997945172103169

2022-09-17T16:55:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1571181086000185344
@Dingus15700175 @TheUfoJoe @IBKing46 Thanks! But I'm not being paid, so I guess I must be either terribly unintelligent, lying, or doing this because I find it fun and interesting - like how some retirees play with model trains.

2022-09-16T21:43:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570891196947660800
@1DalM It's a bit more than that. A lot of real people thought their symptoms were caused by it.

2022-09-16T21:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570890143011311617
@GlennF And like "Morgellons disease". The fixation on a particular "cause" can obstruct actual helpful treatment.

2022-09-16T21:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570888055900471296

If people have symptoms then they deserve sympathy and treatment. If something tangible happened to them, it should be investigated.

But "Havana Syndrome" always looked like an illusory clustering of symptoms in search of a cause - a mistake aided by excessive politeness.
https://twitter.com/Isikoff/status/1570040924981399559

2022-09-16T21:18:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570884873283596289
@Get_Smarter @Isikoff @Conspiracyland And only five years behind me :)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/havana-syndrome-sonic-attacks-at-the-us-embassy-in-cuba-mass-hysteria.9102/

2022-09-16T21:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570884043734126593
RT @Isikoff: After intensive investigations, U.S. officials have found no evidence Havana Syndrome symptoms are the result of targeted attaâ¦

2022-09-16T11:05:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570730477782441984
@the_cholla Yeah, now there's a whole bunch at 20-30 (with much tighter tail angles), and an EVEN MORE ridiculous flight path closer.

2022-09-16T04:34:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570632198570770438
@j444123 @maetaphysika @AliensOnEarth_ But in this case....
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-DzzQpAyo_8

2022-09-16T04:16:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570627693175242753
@TxUFOSightings @963HzVibe I know I am. YMMV:grinning_face:

2022-09-16T04:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570626667248517120
@HeedTheWorld_RS It is a common condition in life. Like headaches.

2022-09-15T23:57:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570562527964299267
@963HzVibe What you describe is known as "Sudden Brief Unilateral Tapering Tinnitus"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcvCRMcaAAAe9sJ.jpg

"Sudden brief unilateral tapering tinnitus" (SBUTT) occurs in 75% of adults and is the **abrupt onset of a tone in one ear that remains at a constant loudness for a few seconds, before fading away, most commonly within about 10 seconds**; all the while its quality remains unchanged.

https://journals.lww.com › otology-neurotology › Fulltext

Sudden Brief Unilateral Tapering Tinnitus (SBUTT) Is Closely...

About featured snippets • Feedback

https://journals.lww.com › otology-neurotology › fulltext

Sudden Brief Unilateral Tapering Tinnitus: Prevalence and Pr...

by Y Oron · 2011 · Cited by 11 — These episodes are typically described as **a sudden perception of a tone in 1 ear that fades away within seconds** and seem to have no definite…

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov › ...

Sudden Brief Unilateral Tapering Tinnitus (SBUTT ... - PubMed

by RA Levine · 2021 — Conclusions: Some, if not all, **SBUTTs appear to be closely related to trigger points within the lateral pterygoid muscle**. SBUTTs may be ...

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcvCRMcaAAAe9sJ.jpg

2022-09-15T23:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570561494131277825

@Drunk_on_Books @anabatic http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcvBT6IaEAAPDFM.jpg



— Mi ci provo...
Altre telefonate ancora. Un cittadino ci ha raccontato una scena semiumoristica che si è lavori nei pressi di Monghidoro. Anche lì, dischi. Anzi un disco solo, ma in compenso grosso come una casa e via.
Finalmente è tornata la calma. E allora abbiamo cercato di raccogliere qualche testi-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcvBT6IaEAAPDFM.jpg

2022-09-15T23:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570560033884348416

@Drunk_on_Books @anabatic This photo? No. Can you find a better copy?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcu_-eeaEAAyUP-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcu_-eeaEAAyUP-.jpg

2022-09-15T23:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570555574009606145

@963HzVibe How are you eliminating Tinnitus? It sounds just like Sudden Brief Unilateral Tapering Tinnitus (SBUTT), most people get it sometimes.

2022-09-15T23:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570554473503924224

@Drunk_on_Books @anabatic

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-ufos-appeared-at-the-stadio-artemio-franchi-in-florence.11578/

2022-09-15T23:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570554188278689792

@Drunk_on_Books @anabatic The photo of the sample they had does look exactly like spider silk, so there is that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcu6sFxaAAEHTd4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcu6sFxaAAEHTd4.jpg

2022-09-15T19:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570491823533232128

@DisclosureWith @uncertainvector That seems unrelated to what I said. The point about being too polite is that people avoid discussing the possibility that observers might have made a mistake.

Even the UAP report mentioned "observer misperception"

2022-09-15T14:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570426871497760769

@DavidBWriter @gammaraybeam @GarryPNolan @ufocrispycake @uncertainvector I mean it in the scientific sense. Testing a hypothesis by attempting to find counterexamples or other contradictions. The aim is to get the best hypotheses.

2022-09-15T14:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570416158058360833

@dannyn424 Does having a paranormal experience discredit someone? I think it perhaps explains their passion.

2022-09-15T14:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570414851192950784

@stunt_seal @timbo_staley @uncertainvector Sadly due to the lack of hard data.

2022-09-15T14:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570414647911776256

@TheUfoJoe @MrMosis Have had an experience, Joe?

2022-09-15T12:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570386014480506881

@TheUfoJoe @MrMosis What would you call them in this context? How should I neutrally phrase the question?

2022-09-15T04:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570270877270577152
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy Okay, you want to change the topic now. So we are done.

I have never met Mark Sargent.

2022-09-15T04:35:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570269971560931328
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy He seems to have had a very brief career in games. He wrote something for "Alley 19 Bowling".

Nothing at all to do with me.

You are confused. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcq3_dQaMAItRzL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcq3_dQaMAItRzL.jpg
2022-09-15T04:32:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570269395536216064
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy Saying nothing about me, or Neversoft, or any other company.

Your standards of evidence are low. You might want to reconsider your belief system.

2022-09-15T04:29:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570268648568426497
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy You have not shown where they said anything like that. So right now, it's just you that is wrong.

2022-09-15T04:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570268115338137600
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy That would be Dave.

You're wrong here. I'm curious where this idea came from, but it seems kind of like nowhere.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcq2M6xaAAMzgXd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcq2M6xaAAMzgXd.jpg
2022-09-15T04:18:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570265837575241733
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy So where exactly are you getting this idea from then?

2022-09-15T04:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570262919090409472
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy You seem to be operating under a misconception here.

Other than me debunking Flat Earth, there's no connection between me, or Neversoft, and Mark Sargent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcqxYaZaUAs2VLl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcqxYaZaUAs2VLl.jpg
2022-09-15T03:36:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570255126883102722
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy Present your evidence.

2022-09-15T03:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570254793800814592
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy Yes.

2022-09-15T03:33:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570254406251347969
@chedca @mrjeffknox @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy Which credits?

2022-09-14T22:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570178808862625793
@stunt_seal @timbo_staley @uncertainvector I postulate that all the time. It's always a possibility.

2022-09-14T22:32:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570178668911276033
@MrMosis Or the autokinetic effect. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Autokinetic_effect

---

2022-09-14T22:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570170544108240897
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 Here's the one (partly) Sitrec video I've made. Objective and correct, AFAIK.
We cannot be as definitive as Gimbal et al.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-DzzQpAyo_8

2022-09-14T21:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570170114003304449
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 You mean what Marik does with them?:grinning_face:

I haven't really shared any Sitrec findings. I've barely made any videos about it. I've not tried to argue that Sitrec proves any particular USN scenario.

Partly because I feel it's still a work-in-progress. Like with the new wind stuff.

2022-09-14T21:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570168527470067712
@Bhima_Jenkins @GarryPNolan @chrisfrench83 @ufocrispycake @uncertainvector @uncertainvector actually said that in the Gimbal case, the object in the video was something they had not seen before. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcpb32oagAA1OSy.jpg

---



Ryan Graves 38:14

So I kind of skipped over part of the story. And that's when we start our workup. So we're on the Theodore Roosevelt. And that's actually where the video came from. What was very interesting about that situation, was that the object you see in the video, think they referred to it as the gimbal video, that was something we hadn't seen before. So we hadn't seen that before. But there's another page on our display called the SA page, which, situational awareness page, which fuses all our data from our sensor together and kind of gives us a God's-eye view of what's happening around us. And you can hear him in the video, as I look at the SA page, there's a whole fleet of them, that's what he's referring to. And on the radar tapes, and on SA page, you could see that there was about five to six, in my memory serves me now, of the smaller objects that we're used to seeing, and they're kind of flying in a web formation in front of that larger object, and then those turned around with some radius of turn started flying in the opposite direction, that large object just kind of stopped and waited for those to kind of come back around then you see kind of do that, that gimbal II shift, right. And then it started going back in the direction falling behind the wedge formation. So you know, that was strange for us, but we haven't seen that object before. Because you could actually make some structure on that right? I mean, it that looks like a top almost. Whereas other ones look like someone was shining a flashlight into our sensor.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcpb32oagAA1OSy.jpg
2022-09-14T21:48:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570167528059707398
@Bhima_Jenkins @GarryPNolan @chrisfrench83 @ufocrispycake @uncertainvector My analysis looks at what is shown in one video. I do not extrapolate that to other cases.

2022-09-14T21:45:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570166895701299200
RT @propornot: We see this pattern over & over: Vaccinated individuals supporting Ukraine & unvaccinated individuals supporting Russia.

Whâ□¦

2022-09-14T21:36:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570164493480787968
@TASInTheBay Someone who works to promote interest in the topic of UFOs in the public, government, media, or academia. Usually with a bias towards demonstrating they are non-human.

2022-09-14T21:33:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570163745942568961
@thewizardgibbon I think they happen, and that they vary, and that you can't always tell they were not real.

2022-09-14T21:30:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570163121519730688
@Bhima_Jenkins @GarryPNolan @chrisfrench83 @ufocrispycake @uncertainvector Nowhere in my Gimbal analysis do I say that because I can create glare, then it must be glare.
Instead, I (with the help of others) look at four specific observables in the video that you can verify yourself.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-09-14T21:23:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570161422952468483
@keithkloor I'm pointing out more reasons why it's not a normal study. Some people are going to ignore the red flags, so pointing out the gross technical errors in it is still useful.

2022-09-14T21:22:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570161042642305026
@meb_sala No, light travels 120km (the distance between the camera, and the maximum possible travel time difference) in 0.0004 seconds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpUq0CaIAAivHK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpUq0CaIAAivHK.jpg

2022-09-14T21:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570158744901910528

@tinyklaus @GarryPNolan It's a straw man. I do plenty of analysis that is both objective and verifiable.

The complaint that I get, and which is reflected here, is that I'm not "holistic" enough. It's a meaningless complaint made by people who don't actually want to discuss details.

2022-09-14T21:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570157573088874496

@GarryPNolan @chrisfrench83 @ufocrispycake @uncertainvector This is nonsense. Debunking, when done well and honestly (which I attempt to do,) IS the same thing as falsification.

The lack of examples here is telling.

2022-09-14T21:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570156014057717760

The Ukrainian UAP study shows two graphs of a flashing light's intensity. They claim they prove it is the same object, cameras synchronized to 0.001 seconds.

The problem is: the graphs don't match. No matter how you scale or adjust it, they are different in timing and intensity http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpPyV8agAAkROA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpPw27aMAEXFNX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpPyV8agAAkROA.jpg



Fig. 22: Two-point object light curves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpPtbRacAEw1zj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpPxg2aUAAxwlg.jpg

2022-09-14T20:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570148402956238849

Jim Semivan is one of the founders of the To The Stars Academy with DeLonge. He relates an interesting encounter with a hooded apparition in his bedroom

https://youtu.be/K4Bgrv6KEDA?t=3197

I wonder, are there any UFO promoters who have NOT had some kind of supernatural or ET-like experience? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpINBJaMAAnoVO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpINBJaMAAnoVO.jpg



Jim Semivan 53:17

So I'm sitting in the bed and moving the covers around, you know, and grumbling a little bit. Totally wide awake. And all of a sudden, through the bedroom wall, there was a window there but right sort of next to the window this black. I don't know, thing, came through. And now I'm one of the biggest scaredy cats don't man. I mean, I'm frightened of almost everything. He's scared me all the time. And this thing goes through and I'm not the least bit afraid. I just couldn't get over it. And it what it looked like was remember the Dementors from Harry Potter. Yeah. Okay. I cut them in half. Take the bottom part, throw them out. And as it turns out, it's a hooded figure, right? It's typical hooded, hooded figures, messengers, and essentially what they are, came through, and it was staring at the bathroom door, right? Just come out. And I'm sitting there and he's probably five feet from me, right? And it's just billowing there. You know, this black billowy thing with this hoodie. Very remarkable. And then it's staring that way toward the bathroom door and then all of a sudden must have realized: he's not in there anymore. turned around and faces me. No Face, it's just black right with the hood. And it's just looking at me and I'm looking at it just for maybe 10 or 15 seconds. And then it just backs up and fades right out.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpH8hBacAAXhLv.jpg

2022-09-14T19:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570139925898735616

@RamseyFaragher @TobyAM The evidence they give is not compelling. There's two different warped photos with no actual track, just circles, then two graphs at 50hz sample rate that only vaguely match a 25hz rate, and one graph sampled at 125hz showing a variable 20-25hz flash

rate

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ukrainian-uap-study-observation-of-events.12607/page-2#post-279637 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpB1KWaIAAIC7r.jpg



Fig. 23: The light curve at a sampling rate of 125 Hz.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpB0bUagAAHWry.jpg



Fig. 22: Two-point object light curves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpB1KWaIAAIC7r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcpBzmyaUAAVzPA.jpg

2022-09-14T19:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570125903006691330

@Drunk_on_Books @Apple I said the same things then that I say now. Nothing has changed. iPhones with zoom can resolve more details than the human eye. They do well in daylight. Not

so well at night.

2022-09-14T19:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570125536466436096

@GambleDale @kyle_ferriter @katewords I think it is wrong. The on-screen motion in FLIR1 seems to be entirely from camera motion (or lack of camera motion when it stops tracking.) The object itself moves at a pretty constant angular speed, and leaves the screen at the same speed.

2022-09-14T18:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570124807869702144

@AdmiralQuality @Apple You can, just not enough. Seems to stop at -4ev on my iPhone.

2022-09-14T18:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570116837752573952

@thhedk @Apple Yeah, Apple has some catching up to do. Even the new 14 Pro is still lagging behind Samsung in the zoom department.

2022-09-14T18:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570115252746059776

@NoahDV @jrynedanielson @Apple I just posted an iPhone photo showing surface detail. Not a good photo, but also not a white disk.

2022-09-14T18:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570114975854923776

@MusicAndTruth @mattjdavidson @DScott12321 @SicCoP1 I emailed them on Aug 27. Over two weeks ago.

They also did not respond to Vice.

A hoax seems unlikely, but not impossible. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcorHiZaUAA4vwj.jpg

---

Unidentified aerial phenomena I. Observations of events

Metabunk ×



Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Sat, Aug 27, 4:38 PM

to zhilyaev

I'm a UAP researcher, I'm interested in your paper "Unidentified aerial phenomena I. Observations of events."

Can you share the underlying data? Specifically the raw RBG images behind the figures. The paper only contains monochrome images and RGB graphs, and it is not clear what the RGB graphs come from.

I've written a tool that creates similar RBG plots, and would like to validate it against your results. The tool can be found here: https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=rgb



Also, when you say "Fig. 8 shows the color characteristics inherent in an object with zero albedo. This means that the object is a completely black body that does not emit and absorbs all the radiation falling on it." - can you give a reference for the color characteristics mentioned, and how you validate them against the graph.

Thanks,

Mick West

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcorHiZaUAA4vwj.jpg

2022-09-14T18:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570113516954349569

@timbo_staley @uncertainvector I'm not. I'm pointing out that people sometimes make mistakes. Even the best people.

2022-09-14T18:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570113257465352192

@jrynedanielson @Apple I've tried that, it does not allow you to bring it down enough to see surface details.

2022-09-14T18:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570113057493491712

I stand by this. Too often people avoid addressing the possibility that a witness might have been mistaken because they are afraid of seeming critical of them.

People sometimes make mistakes. We can't avoid that possibility â especially if considering other unusual possibilites https://twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1570026954081845249

2022-09-14T18:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1570111589394845702

I used an iPhone to take a photo of the Moon, this morning, with the standard camera app

Why can't we take photos of the Moon at night? There must have been millions of attempts. @Apple should program the iPhone to detect when someone is taking a photo of the Moon, and do this http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FconH8MaIAEZqc0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FconH8MaIAEZqc0.jpg
2022-09-14T14:11:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570052706680852480
@greenbudha @FournierAiraud @GalileoProject1 Those look like birds and bugs.

2022-09-14T14:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1570052278769582080
@MusicAndTruth @1911Moose @DScott12321 @SicCoP1 The supposed authors of the paper are silent. The contents are terrible. A hoax is possible.

2022-09-14T03:39:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569893494969479169
@ProtoValentine @528vibes
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cigar-shaped-ufo-over-malibu-woolsey-fire-ship.11277/

2022-09-14T03:34:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569892285772607489
@PhilJay1974
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-jet-chasing-ufo-x-45a-and-f-a-18b-formation-flight.10617/

2022-09-14T03:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569892146605608962

@AnonUAP @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-jet-chasing-ufo-x-45a-and-f-a-18b-formation-flight.10617/

2022-09-14T03:33:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569891993802899456
@AnonUAP This is not anomalous. Just a low resolution video.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-jet-chasing-ufo-x-45a-and-f-a-18b-formation-flight.10617/

2022-09-14T03:06:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569885233595711488
@TobyAM No data was shown to verify this.

2022-09-14T03:03:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569884519226028033
@DScott12321 @SicCoP1 Itâ□□s certainly deeply flawed. Maybe or maybe not a hoax.

2022-09-14T03:00:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569883866105774082
@AvirupMondal41 No.

2022-09-14T03:00:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569883657564987392
@ExploringLife42 Only if it contains bunk.

2022-09-14T02:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569883539029766144
@mhmanley I emailed them. No response.

2022-09-14T00:18:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569842909675126785
@mattgroesbeck Replicate what? They gave no actual data or analysis.

I emailed them to ask for their data, and a reference for the distance calculation. Nothing.

It's bogus.

2022-09-13T19:32:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569770983032262658
@mattgroesbeck I also emailed the address given. No response.

The paper is bogus.

2022-09-13T19:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569770315647164416
@mattgroesbeck I did. I wrote the software to reproduce their RGB change analysis. I took photos of bees. Similar curves, so bogus distance estimates (for which they give zero data, and

zero math regarding how they derive distance).

You can use the tool I wrote here:
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=rgb

2022-09-13T19:07:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569764818500071425
@Unexplained2020 @MalliardReport No, they did not. They pointed cameras at the sky and recorded things consistent with insects, and then handwaved a bunch of distance estimates.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ukrainian-uap-study-observation-of-events.12607/

2022-09-13T19:04:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569763952321794048
@ZainAly786 This isn't a case for tech at all.

2022-09-13T19:00:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569763045345460225
@mattgroesbeck Bogus study.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1569757179544244225

2022-09-13T19:00:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569762930664837121
@gaia_thesis There's nothing unusual at 1ms exposure and 50hz - that's basically what the iPhone takes video at in daylight.

They did not convincingly demonstrate evidence of triangulation.

2022-09-13T18:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569758968167415808
@gaia_thesis No, they didn't. Unless you mean you can point a camera at the sky, and, eventually, things will fly in from of it.

Their graphs look like what you'd get from an out-of-focus insect, and they do not demonstrate otherwise.

2022-09-13T18:41:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569758186919587843
@flarkey @ufo_worldwide @ZaineMichael1 @EireScientist @Metabunk I'm not sure what you mean by "G". The acceleration in addition to gravity? That's a function of the rate of turn and turn radius, not something that affects it unless you are talking about physiological limits - which we are nowhere near here.

2022-09-13T18:37:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569757289770528769
@gaia_thesis https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1569757179544244225

2022-09-13T18:37:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569757179544244225

This Ukrainian UFO study appears to be deeply flawed, if not an outright hoax. They present no verifiable data, give no details as to their derivation of distance, and present images that are consistent with insects close to the camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ukrainian-uap-study-observation-of-events.12607/

2022-09-13T02:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569508988370427904

@CausalityL @TheoNLYdore @CityofVaBeach @USNavy @VBPD Reflections of the light.

2022-09-12T23:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569465163530792960

@cjthegenx I'm only suggesting it as a factor in some cases, not all cases.

2022-09-12T23:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569464928255504386

@BostonsBuddha Perhaps the first one?

2022-09-12T22:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569459287197634560

@chedca @Oswald1160 @wikiphotografy @mrjeffknox It actually follows the RH very closely. Greener. = more clouds and contrails. The actual RH needed isn't as high as for regular clouds, as the contrail supplies the ice nuclei, in the form of ice from frozen water micro droplets.

http://www.twisterdata.com/index.php?prog=forecast&model=RAP&grid=255&parameter=RH&level=300&unit=MB&maximize=n&mode=singlemap&sounding=n&output=image&view=large&archive=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcfWkRgX0AAMtEH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcfWkRgX0AAMtEH.jpg

2022-09-12T15:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569345806901334019

@GregDavidxo @TChatary They address that in that FAQ answer, where they break down the stages of collapse.

2022-09-12T14:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569338173242896384

@GregDavidxo @TChatary Yes. In fact NIST explained it, itâ□□s in the WTC7 FAQ. #32

https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-7-investigation

---

2022-09-12T10:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569273813384445953

@marcbel19406167 One explanation for some lost time events does not explain all lost time events.

What's a famous group lost time event? What's the evidence that it happened?

2022-09-12T10:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569271693440602112

@TChatary It was not missing money though.

2022-08-14T21:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558924541555908608

@chrisotis78 That lighting works better if the image is not flipped - which would mean the fence and branches frame the reflection, rather than being part of it.

2022-08-14T16:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558845980035858435

@MHuntington7 @Mr_BenPrime @Tomfortas @lbf_tweet @PhillipJeffre16 @droneologists @BeakerOnDrugz Indeed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaIhyv8UUAExks6.jpg

---



3. The photograph is being brought to the United States in January by [redacted] so that both Intelligence communities can look at it together. The view from [redacted] s area in the Pentagon is that the photograph is probably a spoof, and is another chapter in the ongoing AURORA saga.

Extract from letter sent by RAF Air Attache in Washington, Simon Baldwin, to Sir Donald Spiers, MoD Controller of Aircraft, on 18 December 1992 (Crown Copyright applies)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaIhyv8UUAExks6.jpg

2022-08-14T15:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558832043395407874

@OddFlyingThing No. Consider that the entire image, other than the UFO/Rock could be a reflection. The clouds can be a reflection.

2022-08-14T14:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558824544751665152

@wood831 It's also possible that the eyewitness accounts are not accurate.

2022-08-14T14:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558824264052064256

@OddFlyingThing I don't think there's enough info in the picture to verify that location.

2022-08-14T14:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558821502392287233

RT @AussieOddball: The return episode from a big break, we are kicking off with a big one, an interview with @MickWest!

Mick investigates uâ□¦

2022-08-14T14:06:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558817364006776832

@MCG2023 If it was a hoax, they could have taken it anywhere.

2022-08-14T14:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558817174038319106

@Neil_Bower No, I suggested that as one possibility. Not high on the list though.

2022-08-14T14:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558816049293774850

@AlecStewart28 They did not. You have been misled. What lengths? They sent back the negatives. The paper lost them. Itâ□□s mythology.

2022-08-14T13:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558810264924876801

@Joeypilkington1 No, itâ□□s a small orgonite pyramid, in the pool.

2022-08-14T11:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558781663701741568

@BlueshiftProjex You guys need to figure out this line of objection :)

https://twitter.com/starapparatus/status/1558780613502517253

2022-08-14T11:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558781504204943361

@starapparatus You guys need to figure out this line of objection :)

https://twitter.com/BlueshiftProjex/status/1558763461559156741

2022-08-14T11:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558780355556978688

@Oswald1160 @Tomfortas @JasonColavito @skepmit I think the idea independently occurred to several people. Certainly not to me, though.

2022-08-14T11:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558778864934850566

@gummibear737 The experts are correct here. A megaflood is inevitable in California, at least every 100-200 years. This is just history. And the concern about planning for it is not new. Here's an article from 2013

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/atmospheric-rivers-california-megaflood-lessons-from-forgotten-catastrophe/

2022-08-14T10:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558766721288118273

@Beckie_ness Is there a wider shot? It's super zoomed in, so there are a lot of per-pixel artifacts.

2022-08-14T10:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558765976677601280

@Serg_is_Vargas @paulsanderson @MerlijnSPhoto @AdamGoldsack How are they gagged?

2022-08-14T10:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558765854434607104

@paulsanderson @MerlijnSPhoto @Serg_is_Vargas @AdamGoldsack In the reflection theory, the entire area of clouds is reflected, possibly also the fence and trees. There are a few variants. Worth considering.

2022-08-14T10:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558765226983493633

@paulsanderson @AdamGoldsack Clouds also get reflected. Hoaxers are generally not truthful about all aspects of their photoshoot.

I'm certainly not saying it *must* be an island - but I think it's worth keeping an open mind.

2022-08-14T10:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558763203621883904

@brad_stebbing What secrecy? They said they returned the negatives. Some guy kept a copy in a book for years and is releasing it without being prosecuted. The only thing redacted is the names of individuals, which is standard practice.

2022-08-14T03:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558664606519767040

@Jiminhno No, they have been too busy.

2022-08-14T03:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558664313522425857

@Murr_Wurr You donâ□□t need a large body of water, or to be where they said they were.

2022-08-13T23:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558593461120471041

@SarahHemming10 @McPherson1187 @imagerion What secrecy, exactly? They gave the negatives back to the newspaper.

2022-08-13T23:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558591442037092353

@matsalted It was not intended to be. It's just to illustrate the general principles behind the hypothesis - which is by no means on solid ground.

2022-08-13T23:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558589742798630913

Fun with pajamas. https://twitter.com/ufotruthdigger/status/1558588885558607872

2022-08-13T22:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558582456478879745

Source: https://alanmajchrowicz.com/central-wind-river-range/

2022-08-13T22:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558582365995208704

Chillax people! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaEyRIHVEAED3OO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaEyRIHVEAED3OO.jpg

2022-08-13T21:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558569173483003904

@EMK33Z I agree, it's not very plausible. But can you come up with a MORE plausible explanation? Let's make a list.

2022-08-13T21:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558567920145313792

@SarahHemming10 @McPherson1187 @imagerion Is that more or less plausible than the US testing anti-gravity technology by the side of a road?

2022-08-13T18:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558520941608517632

RT @zcichy: No, this is not evidence of anything unambiguously weird.

This photo is ambiguous, could be a lot of things, and is very unlikâ□¦

2022-08-13T18:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558520561973620736

@its_only_Gaz Then why would you want me to stop?

2022-08-13T18:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558520317894529024

@NaveenS45059931 @DOT50AE Is the whole point that there there's "official" verification of facts in this case. If you throw out everything the military said, then what is left? An anonymous hiker?

2022-08-13T18:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558519409366355968

A diamond shaped UFO in the sky above my inverted pool.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaD4yynVEAMGI0Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaD4yynVEAMGI0Z.jpg

2022-08-13T17:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558499248160722945

@r0lanro Hard to say.

2022-08-13T17:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558498842961596417

@SeriouslySiriu5 @plonkkaa @Tomfortas Why not?

2022-08-13T17:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558498706252410881

@EdwardKissinge1 There are older cases, like Calvine photo from 1990, which predate most digital editing, and would have required some physical technique, if fake.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaDmQnsVQAECzDy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaDmQnsVQAECzDy.jpg

2022-08-13T16:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558498040134086657

@CASCPAW This is about photos, not videos.

2022-08-13T16:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558496727652454400

@Deleo77a1 What would it look like if it were the same distance as the fence? Or closer?

2022-08-13T16:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558496094992052224

@plonkkaa @SeriouslySiriu5 @Tomfortas It does not really take a lake, a small pond or even a large puddle would work.

2022-08-13T16:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558492386698743809

@imagerion @markocheese @Dirtfarmr @orbservingeye @McPherson1187 Reflections maintain depth of field.

2022-08-13T15:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558480473893920768

@politicalcheeto Context:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-the-many-faces-of-patrick-crusius-the-el-paso-shooter.10860/

2022-08-13T15:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558471703256215552

@markocheese @Dirtfarmr @orbservingeye @McPherson1187 @imagerion Like this, I'm looking down into the reflection, but when cropped it looks like I'm looking up into the sky from a very low position (underwater, actually).
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1558471525895794688/pu/img/2ITo2X2UVpi-ix2q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1558471525895794688/pu/img/2ITo2X2UVpi-ix2q.jpg
2022-08-13T15:09:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558470916866068480
@DOT50AE Were they? The MoD returned them to the Newspaper, who lost them. This print was released without anyone being arrested. What exactly was classified?

2022-08-13T14:57:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558467874217283586
@DOT50AE If there was a plane flying by, then it would show up in the image.

2022-08-13T14:42:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558464049947426817
These photos are just to show what I used in the above example and how the view angle changes the water reflectivity. It's out of focus because I used a iPhone. Using an SLR at f/22 removes that issue. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaDGc7kVQAA9lTF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaDGWmFUYAAtkHe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaDGc7kVQAA9lTF.jpg

2022-08-13T14:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558463122762895365

Illustrating some aspects of a hypothetical way of faking diamond-shaped UFO photos* using a too-light pool and some orgonite.

[*Not intended to solve any particular case, just exploring the hypothesis space]
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1558462043073548288/pu/img/q1Bu-0J4iE9mrMi0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1558462043073548288/pu/img/q1Bu-0J4iE9mrMi0.jpg
2022-08-13T04:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558308285744160772
@dzeRnumbrd @benjimaan22 So why propose aliens? If unlikely proposals are always ignored then we will miss everything interesting.

2022-08-13T03:58:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558302039955759107
@Bigbobbyloco @imagerion There?

2022-08-13T00:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558247681721454594
@McPherson1187 @imagerion Like this http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaACAfpUsAA5WR2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaACAfpUsAA5WR2.jpg

2022-08-12T21:45:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558208002716340224
@MWallB @SKEPTICLBELIEVR Maybe the string broke.

I'm not a bit fan of the kite theory, but right now, there are no good contenders. Pond theory, towed banner theory, weird flying machine theory, etc.

2022-08-12T21:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558206189862653952
@benjimaan22 Metallic? It looks like a brown paper bag.

I don't think the kite theory is very likely.

2022-08-12T21:37:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558205970957799424
@imagerion Yeah, I would not rule it out. The symmetrical shape with upper and lower light difference does give that impression.

2022-08-12T21:16:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558200658301374464
@imagerion It's certainly a theory. I'd like to see someone duplicate it though, seems initially implausible.

2022-08-12T21:13:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558200138232782848
@OSIRIS_UAP Actually, it looks like it's a red to green color cast across the entire print, and the trees just happen to be in the green area. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ_WnWYVQAAec0j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ_WnWYVQAAec0j.jpg

2022-08-12T21:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558198659912675328

This looks like a color image to me, but the analysis by Andrew Robinson says it's B&W film on color paper. I've boosted the saturation here, but can you get a color range like this (green trees). Is it all from chromatic abberations while printing?

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1tWMZ232qgDE6Tru7jwgG-nsqoeQZpIm3/view

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ_UeNlUYAUM-98.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ_UeNlUYAUM-98.jpg

2022-08-12T20:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558192832271110144

@UAPMediaUK @joerogan Can you release the raw scan of the print? The version on your site had a lot of jpg compression artifacts that make it difficult to accurately gauge focus.

2022-08-12T20:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558191604799680512

@DaSlick23 It's a still picture.

I don't know what it is.

2022-08-12T20:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558191118197501952

@ufoofinterest It's on the list!

2022-08-12T20:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558185510585057280

@Teepeezy3 Hey, maybe it was a military kite, or some kind of tethered target.

2022-08-12T20:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558185205516550144

@AG_Florian @TheUfoJoe @disclosureteam_ @shuclarke @TheZignal @ufouapam No, I don't. I just suggested that as a possibility.

2022-08-12T20:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558185057336012801

@Gabewatch @UfoStudy @UAPmike @PostDisclosure @UAPMediaUK I don't know what it is. That does not mean we should ignore all mundane possibilities.

2022-08-12T18:41:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558161893130260480

@NaveenS45059931 That one is a recration, not the original. You can't get anything from it.

2022-08-12T18:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558161601089310720

@DaSlick23 It was one of the first kites I found. I was struck by the lack of a tail and the little nub.

2022-08-12T18:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558161202923966465

RT @FrankLuntz: The National Archives respond to Trumpâ□□s allegation that Obama took â□□33 million pages of documentsâ□□ upon leaving office.

Tâ□¦

2022-08-12T18:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558160939521699840

@sharpless89 People used to make kites out of brown paper.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-zHFvVsAArBRR.jpg





Australia Remember When

Remember when we used to make our own kites out of brown paper, wood and glue?
I was watching the local TV news last night and one story was about a local kite festival. The TV pictures showed these beautifully coloured kites in all shapes and sizes, from flying animals and birds to planes and rocket ships. And I got to thinking about when we were kids how we made our own kites with just some brown paper stretched over a very simple frame and attached to a ball of string.
It took 2 to launch the kite if I remember correctly, one holding it off the ground and the other running like crazy until you caught the wind.
Remember making your own kite?

Timeline photos · Mar 23, 2021 ·

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-zHFvVsAArBRR.jpg

2022-08-12T18:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558160140653645824

@jjrrffkk2009 Sorry yes, I forgot the link. Here:
https://www.uapmedia.uk/articles/calvinerevealed#:~:text=and%20Vinnie%20Adams.-,The%20image,-now%20resides%20in

---

2022-08-12T18:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558159227524243456

The original Calvine photograph, "showing the diamond-shaped craft and a Harrier aircraft in what appears to be close proximity."
"Appears" is key. There's always the SFA aspect. Perhaps it's a rather drab kite? The original description had it "hovering" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-xZejVsAAFt6c.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-xZejVsAAFt6c.jpg

2022-08-12T16:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558122587611246592

With Polio circulating in New York, with infections having a risk of paralysis, would you get a polio vaccine if your doctor recommended it? (Assuming for the sake of discussion you are currently unvaccinated) What about a covid vaccine?

2022-08-12T15:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558117956504039424

@quietwalk @WhyteAugust @McPherson1187 @UnpluggedUser @DavidJayB @ArielPhenomenon Why does he not release it?

2022-08-12T15:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558115114850471936

@jjmendozay @Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool There's a wide variety of marks here.

https://touraine-insolite.clicforum.fr/t987-tranges-traces-circulaires-cutan-es.htm

---

2022-08-12T15:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558111936478269440

@SicCoP1 @likeitmatters3 @UAPGodz @Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool I've emailed him.

2022-08-12T15:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558110135209250817

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool And this was her response. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-E30fUYAAbC1T.jpg

---

Avez vous consulté un médecin, un dermatologue ?	Non
Si oui, quel a été son avis ?	X
Avez vous un sèche-cheveux ?	Oui
Si oui, quel modèle ?	modèle SILVERCREST (Lidle)
Avez vous une photo de cet appareil ?	Non
Avez vous été en contact avec le paranormal, sous une forme quelconque ?	Non
Le paranormal vous intéresse-t-il ?	C'est un sujet « crédible » qui auquel je ne m'intéresse pas particulièrement
Avez vous fait des recherches ?	Non
Connaissez-vous d'autres personnes dans votre cas, hormis celles déjà connues des forums ?	Non
Si oui, ne vous ont-ils donné de conseils ou des infos sur la suite à donner à ce phénomène ?	X

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-E30fUYAAbC1T.jpg
2022-08-12T15:13:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558109415529594881
@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool
There's a questionnaire for people reporting to the "Touraine Insolite: MystÃ¨res & Etranges" forum. The forum is run by "Mikerynos"

https://touraine-insolite.clicforum.fr/t987-tranges-traces-circulaires-cutan-es.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-EOtEUcAEFd8e.jpg

- Une sensation de brûlure a-t-elle été remarquée ?

- Une autre sensation ? Démangeaison ? Autre ?

- Avez-vous remarqué une ou des traces d'aiguilles ?

3ème Partie (divers)

- Avez vous consulté un médecin, un dermatologue ?

- Si oui, quel a été son avis ?

- Avez vous un sèche-cheveux ? (**1**)

- Si oui, quel modèle ?

- Avez vous une photo de cet appareil ?

- Avez vous été en contact avec le paranormal, sous une forme quelconque ?

- Le paranormal vous intéresse-t-il ?

- Avez vous fait des recherches ?

- Connaissez-vous d'autres personnes dans votre cas, hormis celles déjà connu
forums ?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-EOtEUcAEFd8e.jpg
2022-08-12T15:06:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558107570325241857

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool I've emailed Vallee about this and pointed out the similarity with the brand of hairdryer she reported.

2022-08-12T14:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558105523123146752

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool No, it's different. The pink one is from Vallee's case, white is mine.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-AkZzUcAIsb-d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-ARx8UEAE5smh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ-AkZzUcAIsb-d.jpg
2022-08-12T14:38:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558100733131571200
@RyanSprague51 @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 I'd be happy to talk to Selma if she's interested in doing so. I can be reached via DM, or email mick@mickwest.com

---

2022-08-12T14:35:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558099811135418371
@Ahmed_H_Hassan @PredatoryPanic @rhmcintyre It might have been something as simple as a distant parked van with some people. Or some other kids playing a prank. Or maybe nothing at all. Or something complex yet Earthly. Or aliens. We shall probably never know.

2022-08-12T13:40:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558086042682396672
@BarlowTerry @EireScientist Ranking likelihood or plausibility is a useful research tool allowing you not to prematurely eliminate hypotheses. It's very function to make sure things are not eliminated simply because they initially seem implausible. e.g. a plane in the Chilean IB6830 case.

2022-08-12T13:35:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558084840221593601
@mebarek_salah Unfortunately, they don't list these "medical facts." But there are multiple cases where people have made these marks, not remembered, then later realized it was the hairdryer.

2022-08-12T12:21:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558066182753624065
@mebarek_salah Thanks!
Vallee's brief email excerpt there is quoted in English.
But his more recent presentation talks about a current case (related to a UFO sighting) as if a hairdryer was ruled out. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ9csbVUsAA3GtE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ9csbVUsAA3GtE.jpg



Dear Ms Lynn Taylor,

Following a recent e-mail correspondence with Mr. Jacques Vallee concerning the appearance of marks on the bodies of several people that you designated under the term "Cross -Hair marking", I would like to ask you some clarifications.

I investigate this phenomenon in order to identify different cases , particularly the French ones . Our list currently has 64 cases, including 26 that occurred in France . I wonder if you have done any research on this phenomenon, and, if so, how it's coming? Are you aware of some other cases ? Currently , a hypothesis emerges : that of the hair dryer, especially from the point of view of the skeptics .

Mr. Vallée tells me he has no time for this; he thought at first that these marks are the result of an allergic reaction caused by contact (even a brief one) with a hair dryer, then, in a second email he tells me "Some testimonies do seem to dismiss the idea of an injury or an allergy caused - even unconsciously - by the use of a hair dryer".

I put the beginning of a listing here:
(xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
Of course, the names of individuals are known, but do not appear on-line due to anonymity requests.

Regards,
Mikerynos

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ9cG7jUIAEATL7.jpg

2022-08-12T11:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558053215408533505

@MusicAndTruth @Cernunnos69 @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool As I said, I did a small burn test on my arm at the hairdryer temperature (75Â°C). Clean line, little pain, no scab. There's no need for more.

2022-08-12T11:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1558051632604008448

@mebarek_salah Do you have this report, or a link?

2022-08-12T07:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557988860549742592

@ZainAly786 So it's a good hypothesis, just I used it too soon after the puppet thing?

2022-08-12T07:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557986361205960705

@ZainAly786 I mean, would it change your assessment of the coffee hypothesis, not of me?

2022-08-12T06:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557977587292311552

@ZainAly786 A bad example? Okay, how about Travis Taylor?

Does it matter who it was? Does your perception of the coffee hypothesis change if it comes from someone with a PhD?

2022-08-12T06:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557977136723398656

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool No,

there's just this photo and the description of "elle mesure environ 2 cm de diamÃ¨tre (it measures about 2cm in diameter) - but unfortunately no way of verifying the accuracy of that.

2022-08-12T06:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557970486344028160

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ8F4hYUsAAdcnI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ8F4hTVQAEXus7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ8F4hYUsAAdcnI.jpg

2022-08-12T05:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557967672771416065

@ZainAly786 The hypothesis comes from a respected member of the UFO community. I'm relaying it without saying where it is from to gauge reaction.

Of course, the fact that *I* am relaying it taints things. But what is if was from (for example) Elizondo (it's not). Would that change anything?

2022-08-12T05:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557966254941487104

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool Of course, there's no scale - and even two photos of the same thing taken at different times are going to the same. Here one image is from an unboxing video. But her rough size description (2cm) matches, and the geometry is perfect.

2022-08-12T05:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557965589506691072

@SDrew54 @LivingBlueTX @FBI A few people prepping for a civil war does not mean that there will be one. But it does mean that a few people, like Ricky Shiffer will try to do stupid things, and people will get hurt or killed.

https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-62514752

2022-08-12T05:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557964507615358976

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557964468696403968/pu/img/b5vsiHGvbjBokpBa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557964468696403968/pu/img/b5vsiHGvbjBokpBa.jpg

2022-08-12T05:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557962935749619712

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool The grill is domed, so you only get partial contact, depending on the angle and impact pressure. Like with the marks on my leg. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7-63uVQAUCRMZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7-63uVQAUCRMZ.jpg

2022-08-12T05:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557961910712119296

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool This is the photo Vallee presented, overlaid on the actual model of hairdryer the person owned (a Lidl Silvercrest)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557961468124880896/pu/img/Lohi6pxzlHc604NV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557961468124880896/pu/img/Lohi6pxzlHc604NV.jpg
2022-08-12T05:23:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557961068382588928
@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool This is the front of the hairdryer. I used ink to simulate a burn pattern.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ79VOoUUAEmXet.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ79VOoUUAEmXet.jpg

2022-08-12T05:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557960474204852224

@55404elf @liztiques @likeitmatters3 @SicCoP1 @528vibes @InvNightSchool Less, I timed mine at 0.4 seconds, at under 75Â°C. Just bumping against it will do it.

2022-08-12T05:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557959828529487872

@Beckie_ness @MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool The front part of hair dryers are usually circle. They often have a snap-on nozzle, but that's optional.

2022-08-12T05:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557958657337241601

@55404elf @liztiques @SicCoP1 @likeitmatters3 @528vibes @InvNightSchool There's going to be a variety of ways they look based on the burn severity (1st degree, etc). Most of the marks are superficial, lower 1st degree burns.

2022-08-12T05:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557958063277060096

@liztiques @likeitmatters3 @55404elf @SicCoP1 @528vibes @InvNightSchool The marks come from the inflammation response. You need live tissue. There's no initial mark.

I did one tiny burn to demonstrate how burns initially look and age. It hardly hurt at all. No lasting damage.

Then inked impressions to demonstrate the patterns.

2022-08-12T05:09:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557957307094298624
@openupforfacts @rhmcintyre No.

2022-08-12T05:07:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557957022238142464
@MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool Play with fire, get burnt. It's the skeptic's lot.
But any kind of minor engineering involved heat at some point. I've had worse burns from a violently removed screw. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ75opJUUAAYwKj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ75WgUUIAAdjP4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ75opJUUAAYwKj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ75NkpUcAIAmJe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ75cxOVUAEJmGd.jpg

2022-08-12T04:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557952703904436226

@MiddleOfMayhem @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool I accidentally burn myself far worse all the time. May as well take a minor, nearly painless, one for science.

2021-09-04T15:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434178329377083394

@LawlessNik Let's resolve the Scotland issue before we move on.

2021-09-04T15:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434178019212476422

@LawlessNik No, that's 8,718 expected to die of normal causes, regardless of if they had the vaccine or not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-c5R1TVQAIrw33.jpg

---

number we would have expected, based on the average number of deaths that occurred per month (by age band and gender) for the same time-period between 2015 and 2019. This is called excess mortality.

We have calculated a ratio of the observed versus expected number of deaths and 95% confidence intervals. Calculating the observed versus expected ratio is a standard method for comparing cases or deaths occurring in different time periods. The confidence interval gives the range of values that we can be 95% certain contains the true ratio. For example, an observed versus expected ratio of 0.5 (95%CI: 0.25-0.75) means that the observed number of deaths was 50% below what was expected, but may have ranged from a 25% to a 75% reduction.

Between 8 December 2020 and 11 June 2021, a total of 5,522 people died within 28 days of receiving a COVID-19 vaccine in Scotland (number of days between vaccine and death is 0-27, where 0 is the day of vaccination, all age groups). A breakdown of these deaths by day and vaccine type is available in the spreadsheet provided along with this report. Using the 5-year average monthly death rate (by age band and gender) from 2015 to 2019 for comparison, 8,718 deaths would have been expected among the vaccinated population within 28 days of receiving their COVID-19 vaccination. This means the observed number of deaths is lower than expected compared with mortality rates for the same time period in previous years (dose 1 observed/expected ratio:0.66, 95%CI= 0.64 to 0.69; dose 2 observed/expected ratio: 0.59, 95%CI=0.57 to 0.62).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-c5R1TVQAIrw33.jpg
2021-09-04T15:24:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434175649246113792
@BarlowTerry @dave_beaty @e2_hawkeye @BrentlyLee @MysteryWire @devgru1980mi @grahammessick Nope. Dietrich's WSO was the first to spot it. Then Fravor engaged for five minutes. Then Dietrich herself spots it?

They were quite specific, time from merge plot to UFO exit was 5 minutes, and it was 10 seconds.

2021-09-04T15:21:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434174912797630467
@LawlessNik The AP agrees.

I'm interested in if this changes your perception of your source at all. Or your believe that the vaccine side effects are worse than COVID itself.

https://apnews.com/article/europe-middle-east-business-health-coronavirus-pandemic-159e27f31b4bae06755c578c4bdb1127

2021-09-04T15:17:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434173679684841477
@CarlosRangelz @InvisibleResid1 Yeah the whole UFO scene is running out of steam and schisming into supernatural.

2021-09-04T15:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434172830136954884

@LawlessNik Did you click on it, and read the rest of the paragraph?

What do you make of it?

https://beta.publichealthscotland.scot/media/8183/21-06-23-covid19-publication_report.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-c0ec6UUAEmyCK.jpg

Public Health Scotland

number we would have expected, based on the average number of deaths that occurred per month (by age band and gender) for the same time-period between 2015 and 2019. This is called excess mortality.

We have calculated a ratio of the observed versus expected number of deaths and 95% confidence intervals. Calculating the observed versus expected ratio is a standard method for comparing cases or deaths occurring in different time periods. The confidence interval gives the range of values that we can be 95% certain contains the true ratio. For example, an observed versus expected ratio of 0.5 (95%CI: 0.25-0.75) means that the observed number of deaths was 50% below what was expected, but may have ranged from a 25% to a 75% reduction.

Between 8 December 2020 and 11 June 2021, a total of 5,522 people died within 28 days of receiving a COVID-19 vaccine in Scotland (number of days between vaccine and death is 0-27, where 0 is the day of vaccination, all age groups). A breakdown of these deaths by day and vaccine type is available in the spreadsheet provided along with this report. Using the 5-year average monthly death rate (by age band and gender) from 2015 to 2019 for comparison, 8,718 deaths would have been expected among the vaccinated population within 28 days of receiving their COVID-19 vaccination. This means the observed number of deaths is lower than expected compared with mortality rates for the same time period in previous years (dose 1 observed/expected ratio:0.66, 95%CI= 0.64 to 0.69; dose 2 observed/expected ratio: 0.59, 95%CI=0.57 to 0.62).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-c0ec6UUAEmyCK.jpg

2021-09-04T15:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434171779526717446

@LawlessNik 20 cases per million. Nobody died.

2021-09-04T15:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434170896718069769

@PrecipiceOf Why wear a seat belt if you might die in a car crash?

Why wear a bullet-proof vest if you could get shot in the head?

Significant protection is not always 100% protection.

2021-09-04T14:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434168211742748676

@LawlessNik Figures for the deaths from vaccines.

2021-09-04T14:53:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434167712234704902
@LawlessNik Iâ□□d like a link to the official figures.

2021-09-04T14:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434167434135572482
@dave_beaty @e2_hawkeye @BrentlyLee @MysteryWire @devgru1980mi @grahammessick 5 minutes vs. 10 seconds is not a difference thatâ□□s easily explained.

2021-09-04T14:49:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434166745195900929
@LawlessNik Can you show these â□□official figures?â□□

2021-09-04T14:36:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434163385646518279
@Ibn_e_Channa Iâ□□m sure Tyler has it covered.

2021-09-04T14:35:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434163272773562378
@glennwcooper A) it doesnâ□□t always.
B) this treatment is not linked to the vaccine, you take it for COVID, vaccinated or unvaccinated.

2021-09-04T05:21:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434023709648375816
@binanceistrash1 But it does.

2021-09-03T22:56:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433926975937146880
@TCskywatch @joerogan The vaccine is not 100% effective. This is known.

2021-09-03T22:23:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433918477467717635
@binanceistrash1 Actual experience? Did they run a clinical trial?

Some vaccinated people will get COVID. The more people are vaccinated, the more that proportion will grow. But the important number is the effectiveness of the vaccine.

2021-09-03T22:21:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433918025112047617
@marcbel19406167 @BostonsBuddha Can you link to this leaked video?

2021-09-03T22:19:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433917588002672645
@binanceistrash1 Okay, so you seem to be noting the fact that Israel had a high spike in COVID cases, despite being highly vaccinated?

This was explained in the article I linked.

https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2021/08/20/1029628471/highly-vaccinated-israel-is-seeing-a-dramatic-surge-in-new-covid-cases-heres-why
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-ZL8OAVgAEhNXT.jpg

COVID-19 vaccine dose rate worldwide as of September 1, 2021, by country or territory

Published by John Elflein , Sep 3, 2021

As of September 1, 2021, around 158 doses of COVID-19 vaccines per 100 people in Israel had been administered, one of the highest COVID-19 vaccine dose rates of any country worldwide. This statistic shows the rate of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered worldwide as of September 1, 2021, by country or territory.

Rate of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered worldwide as of September 1, by country or territory

(per 100 people)



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-ZL8OAVgAEhNXT.jpg
2021-09-03T22:04:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433913786105167872
@binanceistrash1 Can you be more specific, with the actual numbers you are referring to?

I'd be happy to look.

Here's a broad overview that might be helpful.
https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2021/08/20/1029628471/highly-vaccinated-israel-is-seeing-a-dramatic-surge-in-new-covid-cases-heres-why

2021-09-03T22:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433913106099441664
@marcbel19406167 How would they be cashing in if they did not think it benefited the jihadism movement?

2021-09-03T21:37:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433907099700895745
@binanceistrash1 You keep talking about these numbers and facts regarding Israel. Have you actually checked them?

Can you link to the actual official numbers, so I can check them?

2021-09-03T21:35:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433906560132993025
@marcbel19406167 No? Why do you think jihadists bomb places? They must at least THINK they are getting something out of it. Why did Inspire magazine celebrate Boston and encourage more "lone wolf" attacks?

https://web.archive.org/web/20130904180656/http://blog.adl.org/extremism/latest-inspire-magazine-celebrates-boston-bombing

---

2021-09-03T21:28:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433904846294249474
@binanceistrash1 Where, exactly?

2021-09-03T21:16:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433901750218473472
@marcbel19406167 You should revisit that theory.

2021-09-03T21:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433900609183174660
@binanceistrash1 Can you give the official figures for Israel that you are referring to? Actual official source, and the actual numbers?

2021-09-03T21:10:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433900226943721473
@Lampy1947 Yeah, but on reflection I've got no idea where these people are now, and what they might think about this. So best to play it safe.
Of course it's a lot less likely they will be found without the full photo.

2021-09-03T21:01:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433897883992936448
@vitorfidm @Oswald1160 @jamesjack There's rather a lot of EMF in the world. What exactly will you be looking for?

Likewise with infrasound.

2021-09-03T20:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433895781979676673

@CalgaryPatty You can read the actual press release and the clinical studies.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04960202

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-Y4lmQVUAAmwmz.jpg

Study Design Go to

Study Type:	Interventional (Clinical Trial)
Estimated Enrollment:	3000 participants
Allocation:	Randomized
Intervention Model:	Parallel Assignment
Intervention Model Description:	Eligible participants with a confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection will be randomized (1:1) to receive PF-07321332/ritonavir or placebo orally every 12 hours for 5 days (10 doses total).
Masking:	Quadruple (Participant, Care Provider, Investigator, Outcomes Assessor)
Primary Purpose:	Treatment
Official Title:	AN INTERVENTIONAL EFFICACY AND SAFETY, PHASE 2/3, DOUBLE-BLIND, 2-ARM STUDY TO INVESTIGATE ORALLY ADMINISTERED PF-07321332/RITONAVIR COMPARED WITH PLACEBO IN NONHOSPITALIZED SYMPTOMATIC ADULT PARTICIPANTS WITH COVID-19 WHO ARE AT INCREASED RISK OF PROGRESSING TO SEVERE ILLNESS
Actual Study Start Date:	July 16, 2021
Estimated Primary Completion Date:	October 16, 2021
Estimated Study Completion Date:	February 26, 2022

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-Y4lmQVUAAmwmz.jpg

2021-09-03T20:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433895241619161093

@PThegermandude @claireqspencer Redacted.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1433895035779444737

2021-09-03T20:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433895035779444737

After a bunch of people told me my candid engagement photo was a bit creepy, I've deleted it, and here's a redacted version.

But if you know someone who got engaged at the Washington Monument, March 30 2002, I've got a photo of it! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-Y3psEVIAI2wuu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-Y3psEVIAI2wuu.jpg
2021-09-03T20:42:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433893276986208263
@BostonsBuddha Yeah, there's a distinct possibility they will no longer be together.

2021-09-03T20:41:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433893016662511617
No, Pfizer isn't going to make an anti-COVID pill you'll be forced to take twice a day forever, as well as the vaccine.

It (PF-07321332) an antiviral, a protease inhibitor. You take it for five days with Ritonavir (Norvir) , only AFTER you get COVID.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pfizer-developing-a-twice-per-day-covid-pill-taken-alongside-vaccines.12001/

2021-09-03T19:35:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433876352193601537
RT @gorskon: In case you ever doubted it, Lindsay is an all-around conspiracy theorist.

For those of you who still think he isn't just a jâ□¦

2021-09-03T17:06:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433838921704611845
@steven_dor @pjrbarnett Well people are currently skeptical about what I say, and some go out of their way to demonstrate that. So I'm not sure how this would be different. I'd ned specifics if you want my specific feelings.
But absent that, I'd go with "I'd feel interested in talking to them".

2021-09-03T16:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433832405580713989

@jamesjack Visible light?

2021-09-03T16:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433831303376293890

@pjrbarnett @steven_dor Depends.

2021-09-03T03:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433637913913298970

@DrBrianKeating She is correct, as far as I can tell. Things weigh more at lower altitudes, and scales vary with position.

2021-09-03T03:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433635422991650832

@books_rum @michaelshermer We did have a chat.

https://youtu.be/PvrHX0qRRMs

2021-09-02T15:27:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433451541868859395

@Lukewearechange @joerogan Kind of a low sample size. Just Joe Rogan.

2021-09-02T14:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433444368837316611

@reiceokeefe That's not the headline of the article I shared, it's the "Twitter Headline", which did not show up until I shared it. Presumably the editors agreed with you and changed the headline in the story, but forgot to update the Twitter metadata.

2021-09-02T13:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433429121980256262

@TCskywatch Tell Rogan that. One case does not make a study.

2021-09-02T05:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433295127426916354

@catfish_35 As more people are vaccinated, then more cases will be from the vaccinated. This is especially true when considering deaths, as the most vulnerable groups have the highest rates of vaccinations.

If 100% of people were vaccinated, then 100% of cases would be from the vaccinated

---

2021-09-02T04:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433281852324732944

@marcbel19406167 @stringythings They were not.

2021-09-02T04:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433281502591152134

@catfish_35 No.

2021-09-01T20:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433160485537402881
@marcbel19406167 The skeptics societies have tested ESP many times. It doesn't work.

We'd allow anything backed by testable evidence.

I'm pretty sure even Kaku isn't talking about developing ESP.

2021-09-01T20:08:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433159814318903300
@TCskywatch How is it cherry picking if they give the entire count?

2021-09-01T20:07:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433159555433730049
@TCskywatch Sure. Not everyone thinks it's a full-on hoax. But he was anti-mask and anti-vax.

2021-09-01T19:55:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433156595119099905
@quantum_prophet No I'm not saying that. I should have been clearer. I'm saying it's not inevitable that a million year of progress will bring things like FTL or anti-gravity that break our current understanding of physics

---

2021-09-01T19:39:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433152560987209728
@truthsearch72 @zcichy No. Why would you think that? If something is too far away, then how can you tell what it is?

You might have some plausible hypotheses, but it's still unidentified.

2021-09-01T18:01:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433127942863810562
Will Lindell's inevitable ruin be viewed as anything other than a deep state victory?

For the hardcore, probably not. But for millions of people this this might eventually be useful context. https://twitter.com/Salon/status/1433126375674847239

2021-09-01T17:42:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433123136648536067
@Cosmic_Mystery0 There's three main possibilities with a story like that:

1) They have been misled
2) They are deliberately misleading people
3) They are delusional

Also, but very much at the bottom,
4) Their story is true,
5) something else.

2021-09-01T17:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433121166550441986

@MagnetThatcher Why would not hearing about them reduce the effect?

2021-09-01T17:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433113624399077383

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR A million years to build a plane? Who thought that?

2021-09-01T17:03:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433113272320806912

@SincerelyCosmic What, you suspect Kaku knows about some breakthrough physics that's a million years in advance of what we have now?

2021-09-01T16:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433110114362290176

@SincerelyCosmic Who knows? Not Kaku.

2021-09-01T16:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433109846178484229

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR Physics will advance, obviously, but that does not mean that we need to invoke new laws of physics to explain UFO sightings. Not does it mean that there are no limits in physics.

2021-09-01T16:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433109345831583747

@SincerelyCosmic No, I'm saying it's not inevitable that a million years of physics will create FTL, or anti-gravity, or transmedium (phasing through matter) travel. There might actually be hard limits on those things.

2021-09-01T16:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433105080115286016

@TheAdlerian @edwardcurrent You don't care about sick people?

Don't you have any elderly relatives? Or friends with compromised immune systems? Or friends with asthma?

2021-09-01T16:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433104647330287622

@TheAdlerian @edwardcurrent Everyone will die eventually. These were not people who were set to die this year, or even in the next few years.

2021-09-01T16:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433104230009561093

@TheQuengineer @A__White__Raven Human civilization is more like 10,000 years old. As a nuclear weapons capable species more like 70.
Can civilization survived another million years, based on us surviving 70?

2021-09-01T16:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433103441287471106

@DigitalDino4 For some, yes. One of the common ways out of the rabbit hole is to start to realize that people you trusted for information were wrong. Then you start being more critical, give yourself permission to question.

It will happen for some with COVID.

2021-09-01T16:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433102963929714693

@TheAdlerian @edwardcurrent So these thousand of excess deaths that COVID caused, you're saying that they were all dying anyway? Or what? I don't follow your argument.

COVID killed thousands of people. Some of them had comorbidities. But they would not have died if they did not get infected.

2021-09-01T15:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433097134140649473

@GreyAlien14 @TrevyLimited @zcichy Maybe that will be us.

2021-09-01T15:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433096991681044485

@TheAdlerian @edwardcurrent Kind of tricky to do on a worldwide scale. How do you coordinate, say, Russian, Iran, and China all getting in on your money-making scheme? How do you organize millions of excess deaths worldwide?

2021-09-01T15:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433095859860697096

@bitcoinbender81 @ChrisWhy Not if you understand why, in some situations, that is inevitable. Did you read the explanation?

https://www.theguardian.com/theobserver/commentisfree/2021/jun/27/why-most-people-who-now-die-with-covid-have-been-vaccinated

---

2021-09-01T15:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433095352022814720

@TheAdlerian @edwardcurrent If all those people are not dying of coronavirus, then why are lots more people dying than normal? Why have overall deaths (ignoring the cause of death) gone up?

2021-09-01T15:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433094363391823877

@bitcoinbender81 @ChrisWhy If they were all vaccinated then most of them would have survived.

2021-09-01T15:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433092784374775823

@TrevyLimited @GreyAlien14 @zcichy It's on the list for all creatures that developed machines.

2021-09-01T15:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433092600223784961
@bitcoinbender81 @ChrisWhy The article says the opposite of that. The title is "Why most people who now die with Covid in England have had a vaccination"

"itâ□□s exactly whatâ□□s expected from an effective but imperfect jab"

2021-09-01T15:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433091965046824960
@TheAdlerian Over a thousand people a day are dying from COVID in the US. Every day. That's 640,000 so far over a year and half.

Some of them are inevitable going to be anti-vaxxers. They are not being targeted, it's just a statistical inevitability.

2021-09-01T15:29:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433089600705024005
@TheAdlerian So you think these people are actors? Not dying?

2021-09-01T15:26:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433088970519318531
@TCskywatch Which of the stories are fake?

And can you provide stats for your second claim?

2021-09-01T15:09:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433084704035524613
@jimmy2cans But does "time + physics" = new laws of physics that make it easier for aliens to fly here from distant stars, and then buzz around in the atmosphere, defying gravity and friction.

2021-09-01T15:06:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433083879313723406
Will the stream of deaths of anti-vax conspiracy theorists have any effect on people who as hesitant about getting the vaccine?

https://www.news.com.au/lifestyle/health/health-problems/countless-antivaxxers-and-covid19-hoax-believers-dying-from-the-virus/news-story/081c41083f36cf121a495bafa243e7b5

2021-09-01T14:54:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433080888263254017
@thieme What's stopping people from building it?

2021-09-01T14:52:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1433080447827791873
@ChrisSPITZER7 @TomFromVechain But that's now what Kaku is suggesting.

He's saying what has been observed needs new laws of physics if it's actual craft, but that's not

impossible if the aliens as millions of years ahead.

2021-09-01T14:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1433076477310898180

@thieme Of course it can't be ruled out. But the idea that is often promoted is that we should EXPECT stuff like FTL travel and anti-gravity or warp drives to be discovered.

We also can't rule out that those things are impossible.

2021-09-01T05:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432942682544902152

@Josef_baum @FatSheep45 I don't think that we know everything. I just don't think that it's inevitable that a million years will reveal antigravity or FTL travel.

2021-09-01T05:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432942378713632768

@MIKALg Every day?

2021-09-01T05:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432941340350771200

@ImCosmic_ @aka__V That what new physics is required?

2021-09-01T05:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432941190660247554

@TomFromVechain I'm saying that skepticism about the ability to travel many light years is still warranted.

2021-09-01T05:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432940810836713477

@lucas_biachi Of course it will progress. But does that mean it's likely to result in FTL travel?

2021-09-01T04:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432927889939255296

Why would new laws of physics arise with time? Most of the laws of physics were found in the last 100 years (or 400 if you include the simple stuff.)

Does that mean millions of years will bring 10,000x the advances? Do it even necessitate a single paradigm shifting discovery?

https://twitter.com/michiokaku/status/1432886548454903809

2021-09-01T04:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432923735028240387

@zcichy It might happen :)

2021-09-01T04:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432921371139473416

@MiddleOfMayhem @zcichy Watch Midnight In Paris. Hiraeth is a normal part of getting old.

2021-09-01T04:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432919728494764034

@zcichy There will always be things unexplainable, simply due to the Low Information Zone. It is inevitable.

2021-09-01T00:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432869517928005641

@SPJ38428173 Read the comments on the actual paper. The link you posted misrepresents what the paper says.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3897733

2021-08-31T22:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432840317485477891

@pgferrari @DTruvet I didn't say that. I'm never 100% on anything.

2021-08-31T20:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432802084563218435

@xSoupiex 30 miles. We just had bad smoke. Today it's clear, as the winds are blowing the fires east, into South Lake Tahoe.

2021-08-31T18:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432777467282948096

@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 So what? If we can compare unvaccinated vs. vaccinated (excluding partially vaccinated) then that tells you if the vaccine is working.

2021-08-31T17:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432759014538956800

@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 You your point was actually that PARTIALLY vaccinated is not unvaccinated?

Partially vaccinated people are hard to put in one slot, so they are often excluded from either. e.g.

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/wr/mm7034e1.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-IurGeVEAAoCuW.jpg

Breakthrough infections were defined as new cases among persons who were fully vaccinated on the day of specimen collection. Hospitalizations among persons with breakthrough infection were defined as new hospital admissions among persons fully vaccinated on the reporting day. The total adult state population that was fully vaccinated and unvaccinated¶ was assessed for each day and stratified by age group (18–49 years, 50–64 years, and ≥65 years). Persons who were partially vaccinated were excluded from analyses. For each week and age group, the rates of new cases and hospitalizations were calculated among fully vaccinated and unvaccinated persons, by respectively dividing the counts for each group by the fully vaccinated and unvaccinated person-days in that week. Age-adjusted VE each week was estimated as the population-weighted mean of the age-stratified VE.** The interval between completing vaccination and positive SARS-CoV-2 test result date was summarized using the median, interquartile range (IQR), and percentage tested ≥7 days from being fully vaccinated.†† The ratio of hospitalizations to cases was computed for each vaccination group to understand the relative severity of cases. Statistical testing was not performed because the study included the whole population of interest and was not a sample.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-IurGeVEAAoCuW.jpg

2021-08-31T17:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432757731253899274

Just to be clear, this is not my video, and I'm like 30 miles away from the fire and 60 miles from

this road.
I'll be driving down it this winter though, going skiing.

2021-08-31T17:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432755627709796352
@RonLawr41213238 @JasonBermas That's not true, that would be classed as partially vaccinated. Fully vaccinated is 2 weeks after the second shot. Being partially vaccinated is a more complex issue. But you can look at the larger numbers to see the trend. Vaccinated = much much safer.

2021-08-31T17:11:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432753035164274696
@RonLawr41213238 @JasonBermas Didn't Plasco (a steel supported building) collapse just like this?

The Wtc7 fires were pretty intense. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2021-08-31T17:08:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432752137428029441
@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 No I'm not. I really don't understand what point you are trying to make. When you say "Fully vaccinated is not unvaccinated" that seems like an obvious thing, so why are you saying it?

2021-08-31T16:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432744248168697859
@TheRustler83 @TurmoilRockBand This is the report. Can you say which page contains the 276 deaths, with 222 of them being fully vaccinated? I can't find it, and the number 222 and 276 don't show up.
https://publichealthscotland.scot/media/8824/21-08-25-covid19-publication_report.pdf

---

2021-08-31T15:35:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432728793320353798
@GrimyMexican @JasonBermas So you think if a building, like the Milan Skyscraper, or the Windsor Tower, is not constructed like WTC7, then you can't use it as a comparison?

2021-08-31T15:05:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432721292256550920
@dupes2k No, the part about it being an outbreak of 469 cases associated with specific events in one location, where five people went to hospital and nobody died.
The overall picture is reinforced by this story.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-IMKS-WQBAWRd2.jpg

While numerous studies have shown that the vaccines don't work as well against the delta variant as they did against other strains, health officials say they are still highly effective, especially in protecting against severe illness and death. Roughly 97% of new hospitalizations and 99.5% of deaths in the U.S. are among unvaccinated individuals, U.S. health officials repeated this week.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-IMKS-WQBAWRd2.jpg
2021-08-31T14:49:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432717118072627206
@dupes2k Read the entire article.

2021-08-31T09:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432631544401727489
@markypoos1 They are all pretty boring.

2021-08-31T07:07:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432600882403635200
@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 I know what it says. I don't understand your objection.

2021-08-31T06:42:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432594546060193792
@Karlmarxhd @JasonBermas The Windsor tower had a concrete core. Different type of building. As was this one.

2021-08-31T06:40:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432594198474018822
@GrimyMexican @JasonBermas So why did Plasco and Champlain fall straight down? Plasco was just a fire. Champlain was just bad construction and maintenance. Neither were intentional

---

2021-08-31T06:39:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432593762316812292
@bolli_ryan @GrimyMexican @JasonBermas If the interior was gone, then the exterior buckling would happen suddenly, with vertical resistance rapidly decreasing to just a few tons.

2021-08-31T06:37:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432593409139609601
@RonLawr41213238 @JasonBermas It was hit by WTC1. It burned for hours.

2021-08-31T06:36:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432593235621281796
@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 I'm not sure what your point is. I don't disagree with what fully vaccinated is. The point is how many of the people dying are not fully vaccinated.

2021-08-31T05:39:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432578822013009922
@JasonBermas @RonLawr41213238 The sources for that figure are here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/are-99-of-covid-19-deaths-among-the-unvaccinated.11989/

2021-08-31T05:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432578254603358208

RT @ProfWehrman: In April 1903, the secretary of the Minneapolis Anti-Vaccination League died of smallpox after claiming that the disease wâ¦

2021-08-31T05:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432577692583411716

@RonLawr41213238 @JasonBermas Looking at the way WTC7 collapsed (interior first, then buckling of the exterior), a portion of near free-fall speed is not surprising.

2021-08-31T05:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432577459162005506

@GrimyMexican @JasonBermas Why not? Straight down is the natural way for a tall building to collapse. Look at Plasco or Champlain.

2021-08-31T05:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432571268759375874

@JasonBermas This is another cladding fire, like Greenfell. But authorities here are actually concerned about collapse.

If it DID collapse, would that change your mind at all?

2021-08-31T04:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432564238069817344

@pktguy2011 @rjblaskiewicz They are not infectious.

2021-08-31T01:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432508976223059979

I've driven down this road many times. Normally there's a nice view of the Tahoe basin. Today it's like a descent into Hell. Tough couple of days ahead for South Lake Tahoe.
https://twitter.com/BronteWittpenn/status/1432502222449872896

2021-08-31T00:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432507622779883524

@TommyDante @James57136915 You can validate the covid death rates by looking at excess mortality.

2021-08-31T00:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432506797911261184

@TimOsman14 You reject it based on what data?

2021-08-31T00:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432498151194120201

@TimOsman14 You think doctors and military are clowns?

2021-08-30T23:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432490746653773828

@JSB6234 But we are not 100% vaccinated. It's like 40-60% depending on state.

2021-08-30T23:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432489950629429253

@TurmoilRockBand Jag? Perhaps you could show where it says that in the actual report?

2021-08-30T23:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432486038308483072

@coolnesscomplex Looks exactly like insects.

2021-08-30T23:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432485162743304192

@NuttleNews That's a turkey vulture.

2021-08-30T23:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432483002525700096

@thieme

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/are-99-of-covid-19-deaths-among-the-unvaccinated.11989/

2021-08-30T23:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432482937623113731

This meme about how most politicians, doctors, and military personnel were vaccinated, and how most COVID deaths were among the unvaccinated, got several requests for the sources of the figures. So here they are:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/are-99-of-covid-19-deaths-among-the-unvaccinated.11989/

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1432217031651180549

2021-08-30T22:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432467156176801794

@pgferrari An expert is not just some medical sounding guy on youtube, it is organizations like the CDC, WHO, AMA, etc.

2021-08-30T22:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432465422293475331

@Fiddaman It is not. It's a silly rhetorical device.

2021-08-30T22:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432464948127404035

@Ps5andxbox1 @JohnRob52817188 I think eventually AI will overtake humans. I don't think the simulation hypothesis is really interesting (unless someone find some good evidence). I think the singularity might be more the end of people and not an ascension.

2021-08-30T22:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432464419087257601

@Fiddaman I'm no fan of corporations, but the results of the various vaccines are no corporate secret.

2021-08-30T20:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432441277061091329

@JohnRob52817188 Because 100% of the people are NOT vaccinated. Nationwide it's still under 60%, and much lower in some states.

2021-08-30T19:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432428485453115396

@JohnRob52817188 Trump is vaccinated.

Which governor isn't vaccinated? Even DeSantis got it.

2021-08-30T19:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432427453490683910

RT @NYCSkeptics: Recently, we were lucky enough to host an AMAZING lecture by @MickWest on the govt. #UFO report, w/ detailed analysis ofâ¦

2021-08-30T19:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432427021116735488

@James57136915 What number would you put it at? Here's some more recent stats. "More that 9 in 10" work for you?

https://www.kff.org/policy-watch/covid-19-vaccine-breakthrough-cases-data-from-the-states/

2021-08-30T19:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432424991354601474

@SlawinskiJohn https://twitter.com/BillGates/status/1352662770416664577

2021-08-30T16:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432380930795008007

@JokeToSelf @docdiscern @UAPmike There's not a lot of work with. Did @jamescfox present some context? A HD scan of the negative? A location? Adjacent photos?

It looks like a dark blob. It's consistent with a bird, a bug, a rugby ball, or a spaceship.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-DWKAuVIAA34kl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-DWKAuVIAA34kl.jpg

2021-08-30T16:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432378584887296007

@TheAdlerian @MyNameIsJubb @edwardcurrent @DaveNaylor18 I thought you never get sick?

2021-08-30T16:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432377158089932802

@TheAdlerian @MyNameIsJubb @edwardcurrent @DaveNaylor18 It probably will if you get a bad case of covid. Ask an expert

"the risks associated with Covid-19 are orders of magnitude higher,"

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/08/27/health/blood-clots-coronavirus.html

---

2021-08-30T16:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432376314422386688

@pktguy2011 @rjblaskiewicz It IS a vaccine. It reduces infection, it reduces the severity of symptom, it reduces deaths, it reduces the lack of ER beds for other people. Its side effects are, on average, a lot less than the side effects of not having it.

2021-08-30T16:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432375648320823299

@pgferrari I'll defer to the experts there.

But if it's shown to be beneficial, then I think it's comparable to having compulsory child car seats - except focussed on the children of habitual drunk drivers.

2021-08-30T16:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432374699535785985

@TheAdlerian @MyNameIsJubb @edwardcurrent @DaveNaylor18 Ask your doctor.

2021-08-30T16:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432374543352434696

@MikeColangelo @RockmanDasher It LOOKS like it is, but if you do the math, then it isn't. I think @AThemely there was giving just a visual assessment, not a technical one.

2021-08-30T14:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432354979927367686

@docdiscern @UAPmike http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E-C_PYRVcAIbaL-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E-C_PYRVcAIbaL-.jpg
2021-08-30T10:40:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432292058404818947
@AdmiralQuality
https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2021/jul/08/fears-of-new-us-covid-surge-as-delta-spreads-and-many-remain-unvaccinated

---

2021-08-30T05:51:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432219448799207426
@TrevyLimited @Rebecca75663165 @KetterStone @Be_More_Weird @StarMan92062292 @AnjaliOnGaia Go on then. Your Nobel prize awaits.

2021-08-30T05:42:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432217031651180549

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-BBvEKVIAYH6CB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-BBvEKVIAYH6CB.jpg
2021-08-30T05:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432212680857710599
@TrevyLimited @Rebecca75663165 @KetterStone @Be_More_Weird @StarMan92062292 @AnjaliOnGaia So you can't actually measure it. It's just subjective.

2021-08-30T04:48:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432203640089939976
@messyjetski Who is a better source on this particular issue of observation across professions?

2021-08-30T04:37:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432200860222648324
@Rebecca75663165 @KetterStone @Be_More_Weird @StarMan92062292 @AnjaliOnGaia Iâ□□d bring a camera.

2021-08-30T04:37:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432200668832419840
@TrevyLimited @Rebecca75663165 @KetterStone @Be_More_Weird @StarMan92062292 @AnjaliOnGaia How can this be both subjective AND measurable?

2021-08-30T00:46:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1432142536198397952
@Mantishomie @cuvoc223 @AnjaliOnGaia I have not harassed her. I've made it clear I think it's either a hoax or a delusion. I think the best thing to do is ignore her.

2021-08-30T00:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432141563577073664

@4RILLERPROS @BalabushkaFury That's a "filter effect", which means it makes something LOOK LIKE a thermal camera. It's just applying a color gradient. That can help reveal detail, but it's not IR.

2021-08-30T00:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432141178648989699

@SpiritualArist1 @PostDisclosure If by "they" you mean Chris Mellon and Marco Rubio, then yes.

UFOs are obviously an important issue, but lots of things are important issues.

2021-08-29T23:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432126859731341318

@PostDisclosure They don't think it's important.

2021-08-29T23:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432124197686296581

@4RILLERPROS @BalabushkaFury You can't apply an IR filter to video after it has been recorded on a regular camera.

2021-08-29T22:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432105896239271944

@UAPslut @DTruvet @dr_los @zcichy @DietrichVFA41 @3AF_Association @aiaa @NARCAP1 @CNES @ODNIgov @ualbany @NASAGoddard @NASA @TSEinfo @GalileoProject1 It's not "jet exhaust." I think it's the glare from a distant hot object, likely a jet engine. Similar to the situation with the Chilean UFO, which experts did not recognize.

2021-08-29T22:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432101478752161792

@UAPslut @DTruvet @dr_los @zcichy @DietrichVFA41 @3AF_Association @aiaa @NARCAP1 @CNES @ODNIgov @ualbany @NASAGoddard @NASA @TSEinfo @GalileoProject1 You'd have to be more specific. Who exactly, and what did I say about them, or what did they say that I contradicted?

I'd be happy to explain anything I've said.

2021-08-29T21:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432094460565852160

@4RILLERPROS @BalabushkaFury There's not IR footage of this incident that I'm aware of. Just B&W is not IR.

2021-08-29T20:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432081662347673601

@malkie33 @jerichoisland El Dorado Hills currently is in no danger, and is very unlikely to be. The fire is 20 miles away, but the movement in that direction is minimal due to the wind

direction, and that side of the fire is where most of the containment is. It looks close, but it isn't.

2021-08-29T19:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432057304652779521

@Dusk_and_Dawn_V People change for a variety of reasons.

I'm quoting him from when he was a UFO believer.

2021-08-29T18:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432042918542397440

@badconsigliere I think this chart is a good description of the situation. Note the error bars, including one for internal variability and solar and volcanic drivers.

https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9-jGCbVIAEBkhL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9-jGCbVIAEBkhL.jpg

2021-08-29T18:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432041031629819908

@UAPslut @DTruvet @dr_los @zcichy @DietrichVFA41 @3AF_Association @aiaa @NARCAP1 @CNES @ODNIgov @ualbany @NASAGoddard @NASA @TSEinfo @GalileoProject1 I would never use sweeping generalities like that. Be more specific.

I've said some experts are wrong about some things.

Pilots can obviously identify birds unless they are too far away. Obviously.

2021-08-29T17:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432029920188715008

@badconsigliere I think climate change has a significant anthropogenic component.

2021-08-29T17:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432027603871752206

@darrenlach @ParanormalBlip @Be_More_Weird @AnjaliOnGaia @RichDolan @EngagingThe @ufouapam Oh, I'd be somewhat interested in observing. But I'm not sure I'd go to a seance in some random cave or abandoned mine chasing what's obviously a hoax or a delusion.

2021-08-29T15:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432007829821411330

@AeroDispatch @ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ Not really. A CGI fake is a significant probability here, and would require image tracking if the entire video was fake. Little or none if it was just the final motion.

2021-08-29T15:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432006822932598792

@AeroDispatch @ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ I means that the image tracking used, if any, was not as bad as my initial test suggested.

2021-08-29T15:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1432002226759733255

@AeroDispatch @ChrisSPITZER7 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1431386417788162048

2021-08-29T15:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431997531873955842

@AeroDispatch @ChrisSPITZER7 I replied.

2021-08-29T13:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431968142494879748

@area51org @RoundtownUFO But UFOs do exist, so I'm really not sure where you're getting this quote from. Certainly not me.

It's even POSSIBLE that some are aliens. While unlikely, and lacking in evidence, it can't be ruled out.

2021-08-29T13:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431967254053220353

@DenilsonSP3 The point being those observations were single sensor. Why didn't the radar see the circling rise?

2021-08-29T03:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431820986383429635

@JT23155780 Axis-Leeksprings.

It went IR for a while after dark, but now the fire is so close it went back to color.
http://www.alertwildfire.org/tahoe/?camera=Axis-Leek
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E97ZhNAVUAMF9Fz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E97ZhNAVUAMF9Fz.jpg
2021-08-29T03:08:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1431816054133583874
Sunset in El Dorado County a few minutes ago.
#caldorfire
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1431815940547637251/pu/img/CB7UNEnXJGcICuLC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1431815940547637251/pu/img/CB7UNEnXJGcICuLC.jpg
2021-08-29T03:01:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1431814281037631488
@InvNightSchool Not only, but specifically yes. Pilots have a very important job to do that involves the safety of many. Anything that interferes with that is an issue that should be addressed.

2021-08-29T00:08:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1431770715020095488
@DenilsonSP3 "absurd speed" is a bit general. Did they see the exact same maneuver? Did

Fravor see something move vertically 20,000 feet in 0.78 seconds. Did radar see the object rise and circle around Fravor?

Nope.

2021-08-28T23:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431762012934008832

@InvNightSchool Well, they do. If pilots are seeing things they can't identify on a regular basis, then it would be great to figure out how to identify those things.

2021-08-28T22:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431753186843316227

@steven_dor My stance is NOT that all unidentified object are mundane. Some might not be.

2021-08-28T22:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431752750371540995

@DenilsonSP3 Not really, there was no direct correlation. Radar did not show the maneuvers.

2021-08-28T22:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431752078418796547

@steven_dor I would tell people.

2021-08-28T21:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431728844889673728

@QuietlyThen This was written when Hynek was a UFO enthusiast.

2021-08-28T21:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431728578589200384

@MickSvest Falch debunked nothing. Feel free to demonstrate otherwise.

2021-08-28T20:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431709062517837825

"[with UFOs] the best witnesses are multiple engineers or scientists; only 50 percent of their sightings could be classified as misperceptions. Surprisingly, commercial and military pilots appear to make relatively poor witnesses"

Hynek, J. Allen. The Hynek UFO Report. 1977

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E95zS4gVQAMn07X.jpg

THE PEOPLE WHO SEE UFOS

While examining the cases in Project Blue Book, my colleagues and I kept careful records of the occupations of witnesses (with special attention paid to the military and trained technicians of all kinds). The correlation between occupation and what was perceived—or misperceived—is extremely interesting, and appears below:

TABLE 11.7—WITNESS RELIABILITY AS A FUNCTION OF OCCUPATION

OCCUPATION	% OF MISIDENTIFICATION
Military pilot	
(single witness)	88
(multiple witness)	76
Commercial pilot	
(single witness)	89
(multiple witness)	79
Radar technicians	
(multiple witness)	78
Technical person	
(single witness)	65
(multiple witness)	50
Other	
(multiple witness)	83

It would seem that, as a rule, the best witnesses are multiple engineers or scientists; only 50 percent of their sightings could be classified as misperceptions. Surprisingly, commercial and military pilots appear to make relatively poor witnesses (though they do slightly better in groups).

What we have here is a good example of a well-known psychological fact: "transference" of skill and experience does not usually take place. That is, an expert in one field does not necessarily "transfer" his competence to another one. Thus, it might surprise us that a pilot had trouble identifying other aircraft. But it should come as no surprise that a majority of pilot misidentifications were of *astronomical* objects.

As one might expect, the statistics do show that multiple witnesses are slightly better than single witnesses, since it is unlikely that a group of people would misperceive the same stimulus, group pressure notwithstanding.

THE HYNEK UFO REPORT

The Authoritative Account of

the Project Blue Book Cover-Up

J. ALLEN HYNEK

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E95zS4gVQAMn07X.jpg

2021-08-28T16:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431652426868609027

@4thAnon @joerogan @JamieVernon Do you actually not understand?

2021-08-28T11:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431581780683878406

@4thAnon @joerogan @JamieVernon This appears to be recycling bunk from a year ago. Having multiple causes of death does not mean you didn't die of COVID.

And you really need to address excess mortality if you want to try to make that argument.

https://www.webmd.com/lung/news/20200901/covid-19-primary-not-only-cause-94-percent-of-deaths

---

2021-08-28T07:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431518116056498182

@dr_los @zcichy @DietrichVFA41 I'm not ignoring them at all. I've talked to any who will talk to me, and pondered and discussed at length what might account for their recollections.

2021-08-28T07:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431516032208834570

@Undetermined_US It's logging, and they are replanted. Sustainable. Zoom in more, and you'll see the young trees. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E93ELD7UUAUdNU0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E93ELD7UUAUdNU0.jpg

2021-08-28T02:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431447046150508544

@TRUEIYS @AlllNeedis1Mic Mine too. A great teachable issue.

2021-08-28T00:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431419655277535234

@PhilipMarloweLA I'm an expert (relatively speaking) because I've done it so much. I'm not trained, I'm experience.

2021-08-27T23:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431404942607065088

@PhilipMarloweLA I didn't get any training. However people never say an argument is valid because it was made by "trained debunkers," yet "trained observers" seem to be some kind of gold standard in ufology.

So what is that training?

2021-08-27T23:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431404583650091008

@ViktoriaLukas1 WTC7 has not been a mystery for many years now. Somewhat like UFOs, the precise details are in the low information zone. But fire simulations showed there would be sufficient damage to cause a collapse. Dynamic non-linear analysis showed the building could collapse progressively

---

2021-08-27T23:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431397625878614016

@PhilipMarloweLA Are you then saying there are no trained observers?

2021-08-27T22:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431386417788162048

@ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ I was using After Effects. I think there was an issue with the stabilization as I was a bit lazy and did it based on the objects, not the background. Since they were so close together, minor tracking errors were magnified into large rotations.

2021-08-27T22:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431378170733727746

@CAFireScanner @GarrettCGleaton Where do you get these maps from?

2021-08-27T17:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431304661802180612

@BryceDodds1 I don't know.

2021-08-27T17:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431304507649003520

@Roro_McFarland Are you personally familiar with this training?

2021-08-27T17:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431304382453219329

Spike Lee had 30 minutes in his NYC docuseries discussing 9/11 controlled demolition conspiracy theories. After criticism, he removed it. This is a good thing.

https://slate.com/culture/2021/08/spike-lee-hbo-documentary-series-trutherism-edited.html

---

2021-08-27T16:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431298277870215174

@OntarioBritton What's the training? How are they trained?

2021-08-27T16:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431291844491575302

In the context of observing UFOs, what training do trained observers receive?

2021-08-27T14:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431267654870786056

@PhyreGoldMAJIC No. A microscopic amount of contamination isnâ□□t going to do anything. And this was only in some doses shipped to Japan.

2021-08-27T05:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431126415148138496

@rgh_ufos @ArranGray9 @ufobelievers @tedroephotograp @marcbel19406167 @BrandonFugal @JasonColavito @SicCoP1 You just mix isotopes. What's the big deal? Take some metal of one isotope, and some of another, and then melt them together (maybe in an inert gas if needed), and you've got the isotope ratio.

Why not?

2021-08-27T01:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431061220606443525

@tommyIzito @TxUFOSightings @papercrane111 @YouTube Yes.

2021-08-27T00:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431046017592422403

@TxUFOSightings @papercrane111 @YouTube It was just this. A nice rosÃ© that we had last night. Nothing alien happened, at least that we remember.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9wYb3WUUAE86yp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9wYb3WUUAE86yp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9wYZZ7UcAAAzgZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9wYascVkAEi1ZP.jpg

2021-08-27T00:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1431044844701376515

@JazzShaw @TxUFOSightings Where is it?

2021-08-26T20:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430992377091551234

@ArranGray9 @ufobelievers @tedroephotograp @marcbel19406167 @BrandonFugal @JasonColavito @rgh_ufos @SicCoP1 Where is this research?

2021-08-26T20:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430991462997532673

@maetaphysika "Close" is relative. I'm close to San Francisco, but still two hours away.

The Mojave desert is close to Las Vegas. Unless they walked from his house, then that's probably not much help.

2021-08-26T19:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430977802266832896

@ufobelievers @tedroephotograp @marcbel19406167 @BrandonFugal @JasonColavito @rgh_ufos @SicCoP1 A smartphone is very clearly an advanced technological artifact. You can tell that just from the glass and the screws, not to mention all the stuff inside it.

The "UFO debris" looks like a bit of industrial waste, like sputtering slag.

2021-08-26T19:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430976440233717761

@UAPslut @ChrisKMellon @NARCAP1 You can always find a small group of scientists who will get excited about something.

2021-08-26T19:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430968837495681026

@DavidSoulsby11 @JazzShaw Yeah, but that might just be video compression. There's no reason a fake would be jerky.

2021-08-26T18:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430968134568083456

@TheUndeadGaucho Lots of things. But are you referring to something specific?

2021-08-26T18:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430966510323855361

@mrjuap Yes, other than normal religious belief, it started when I was about 8 when I prayed I'd win a raffle and I did. Then I tried to develop my own psychokinetic powers. It never worked though, and by age 15 I'd lost all belief in religion/supernatural. That was about 40 years ago.

2021-08-26T17:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430946471864532995

@rachelpeters @JazzShaw I lean towards a Flogo type thing. But the video is a bit sus.

2021-08-26T17:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430944879853465603

@jplatte11 @ReverendWashy @JLuvin @ChrisKMellon @rosscoulthart @TheProjectUnity What data exactly are you referring to?

2021-08-26T17:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430941991886483458

@JazzShaw Either a Flogo or an edited video. Quality is too poor to tell for sure.

2021-08-26T17:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430941773480665088

@jplatte11 @ReverendWashy @JLuvin @ChrisKMellon @rosscoulthart @TheProjectUnity I was

told to "behold, sensor data". What are we beholding?

2021-08-26T17:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430938197547044867

@anders_gustavo Doesn't generally go much over 200 though. NorCal has the worst air quality in the world right now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9u2nzuVEAEA9AY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9u2nzuVEAEA9AY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9u12nFVgAE5ROP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9u2CK5VkAI9rWA.jpg

2021-08-26T16:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430936684661596160

@ReverendWashy @JLuvin @jplatte11 @ChrisKMellon @rosscoulthart @TheProjectUnity So by "Sensors", you just mean "video"?

2021-08-26T16:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430933833122082817

@ReverendWashy @JLuvin @jplatte11 @ChrisKMellon @rosscoulthart @TheProjectUnity When you say "Behold, sensor data", what are we supposed to be beholding? Where is this data?

2021-08-26T16:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430933621003591684

@johnbruso 1050 right on the edge of the fire.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9ux6qLVcAM_o9I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9ux6qLVcAM_o9I.jpg

2021-08-26T16:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430931910071517187

@johnbruso Could be worse http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uw9u4VoAob2ID.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uw9u4VoAob2ID.jpg

2021-08-26T16:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430930852926550018

@WebsterWebski That's the El Dorado Hills public library, supposedly indoor.

The smoke rolls down the hill at night, due to the mountain breeze effect. It was fine until 6AM.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uvq8iUUAA7zow.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uvq8iUUAA7zow.jpg

2021-08-26T16:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430929029666799618

Outdoor vs. indoor air quality 30 miles down the hill from the #caldorfire this morning.

Indoor sensors have a black border. Looks like mr 309 left his windows open.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uthOxUYAIzmwz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9uthOxUYAIzmwz.jpg

2021-08-26T15:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430916656319012870

@JLuvin @ReverendWashy @jplatte11 @ChrisKMellon @rosscoulthart @TheProjectUnity Hardly a conspiracy, or huge. Just a bunch of true believers advocating for their beliefs.

2021-08-26T15:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430916208933539843

@markamaskey Correct.

2021-08-26T14:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430898878253965313

@Girafin_ovni I thing he was just paraphrasing stuff that TTSA types told him.

2021-08-26T14:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430898600142196749

@zcichy Looks like foam, maybe helium.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1430705399083126784

2021-08-26T14:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430897595711250437

RT @UFOlogyUK: Today :backhand_index_pointing_down_light_skin_tone: with @TxUFOSightings & @MickWest

---

2021-08-26T01:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430705399083126784

@realufobros @peppermintfatty https://youtu.be/UxPSIrnJ7Ik

2021-08-25T22:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430661911981400451

@IamDrVenkman @LuAngeles @UAP36665648 @singularitymike @LueElizondo It's a cheap glitchy radio that starts giving bursts of static after it's been on for a while.

2021-08-25T21:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430644899368505348

@DaveVidalnyc @MickSvest @ericalukesuap @fringequest That would be true if we were talking about actual domain experts who have conducted extensive and replicated study.

But we are not.

2021-08-25T20:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430623486175682564

@MickSvest @ericalukesuap @DaveVidalnyc @fringequest Reply to what? You linked a channel with two videos showing data extractions from the videos, but with no interpretation.

2021-08-25T20:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430622802436972546

@zcichy I think it's more changed from:

"UFOs are not alien flying saucers, that's just silly"

to

"Pilots something see things that are unidentified for a variety of reasons. It would be good if we could identify more things. Let's look into it some more"

2021-08-25T19:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430620769403297793

@jerichoisland Sam column, I think, looking east from Outingdale. Wind is blowing to the NE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qVwKKVIAAdEnX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qVwKKVIAAdEnX.jpg

2021-08-25T19:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430620223913091072

@jerichoisland 12:54PM from Omo Ranch looking NNW

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qVWe2VkAEUJJw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qVWe2VkAEUJJw.jpg

2021-08-25T19:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430615457447301122

@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @ElectroDeeJ Ha no. If we play anything it's generally Mario Kart 8.

2021-08-25T19:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430612052184240129

@MickSvest @ericalukesuap @DaveVidalnyc @fringequest I'm suggesting you ignore credentials and try to figure it out yourself.

2021-08-25T19:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430610727090360322
@RunDMCActor @ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure Costco.

2021-08-25T19:18:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430610514523029505
@UAPmike @ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure Not really for Californian wines.
https://www.realsimple.com/holidays-entertaining/entertaining/food-drink/alcohol-content-wine
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qMk1RUUAAeF-n.jpg

California Chardonnay	High; 13.5 to 14.5 percent
California Pinot Gris	High; 13.5 to 14.5 percent
California Sauvignon Blanc	High; 13.5 to 14.5 percent
California Viognier	High; 13.5 to 14.5 percent
Chilean Chardonnay	High; 13.5 to 14.5 percent

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qMk1RUUAAeF-n.jpg
2021-08-25T19:09:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430608174847905794
@PostDisclosure @ElectroDeeJ They are out of this world.

2021-08-25T19:05:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430607175731212289
@ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure Just two. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qJnHjUUAAV_9Z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qJhj4VUAIerSO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9qJnHjUUAAV_9Z.jpg

2021-08-25T17:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430583099939717128

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9pzvVYVgAULyE2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9pzvVYVgAULyE2.jpg

2021-08-25T14:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430539196154871815

@MickSvest @ericalukesuap @DaveVidalnyc @fringequest Okay, so he didn't talk about this independent analysis, did he? Nor does he explain how he calculated, or eyeballed the speed.

2021-08-25T05:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430402490374098954

@JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem It was set to 30.

24 seems the same.

2021-08-25T05:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430398091186774024

@LuAngeles @UAP36665648 @singularitymike @LueElizondo This video: https://vimeo.com/511418639

2021-08-25T05:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430397766061092868

@LuAngeles @UAP36665648 @singularitymike @LueElizondo Watching the video. Not that complicated. They have 7 radios, they broadcast on one, and then they get intermittent static on that one. Sounds like the squelch is low. They claim this is contact with non-human intelligence.

2021-08-25T04:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430390262317023234

@LuAngeles @UAP36665648 @singularitymike @LueElizondo Sounds fun. I'm going to bet that these radios are not actually contacting NHI. But it's interesting why Sims claims that they are.

2021-08-25T03:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430366787820953602

@UAP36665648 @singularitymike @LuAngeles @LueElizondo Can you give a quick summary?

2021-08-24T23:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430310347433340933

@JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem Just bright LED lights would give a fairly static glare. The "ball lighting" one seems to flicker and change shape a lot - which looks like an effect.

Compare to this, LED on a stick.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1430310063378374656/pu/img/IsmptqttDCsmCksF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1430310063378374656/pu/img/IsmptqttDCsmCksF.jpg
2021-08-24T22:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430298780646055936
@MickSvest @ericalukesuap @DaveVidalnyc @fringequest Where did Steve Justice talk about this?

2021-08-24T22:39:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430298672915357699
@Girafin_ovni @zcichy @SicCoP1 @JeremyUnidenti1 Their job is to look at things that were unidentified.

2021-08-24T22:13:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430292274361954306
@TRUEIYS I people believe in something, then that's interesting.

2021-08-24T22:09:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430291226448732163
@JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, it's hard to tell due to the incredibly low resolution. Some kind of fake.

2021-08-24T20:28:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430265827673075712
@johnslaymaker @ExogenesisHH Unless the presence would create evidence, in which case it is.

2021-08-24T19:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430257851029594113
@SincerelyCosmic @ExogenesisHH How do you know I'm not? Maybe I went to Jupiter in astral form to ask the council if Bezos had ever visited, and they said no.

Prove I didn't.

2021-08-24T19:54:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430257162358464513
@JustinBrunkel It's my extension of a classic quote. I think the original was wrong, so I fixed it.

2021-08-24T19:53:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430256942308421633
@SincerelyCosmic @ExogenesisHH That's not how it works.

That which is asserted without evidence can be dismissed without evidence, especially if it's ridiculous.

2021-08-24T19:51:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1430256590922293249
@zcichy @SicCoP1 @JeremyUnidenti1 But it's a self-selecting set. What if the cases were just the 144 out of thousands where there wasn't sufficient data to figure it out?

2021-08-24T19:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430256204291346434

@JimmyAngeball @TrevyLimited Possible. Look at the really low resolution. You'd need some editing to remove the support, but it's not impossible.

2021-08-24T19:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430246156043395072

RT @KnoppRyan: Happy day for me. Several people I care a lot about got the COVID vaccine today, overcoming some hesitancy. What do I say tâ□¦

2021-08-24T17:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430214675589832710

No, they did not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9kkiA9VcAgPhTg.jpg



Did Bezos, Branson & Musk secretly travel to Jupiter for negotiations with the Galactic Federation?

WRITTEN BY DR MICHAEL SALLA ON AUGUST 17, 2021. POSTED IN FEATURED, GALACTIC DIPLOMACY

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9kkiA9VcAgPhTg.jpg

2021-08-24T16:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430203977413525520

@JimmyAngeball What's the most plausible explanation you can think of?

2021-08-24T16:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430203009401688067

@xKapwn https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RCZ2U39MlzM

2021-08-24T15:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430194564766130177

@mission_europa @zcichy I don't think they are all solvable.

2021-08-24T14:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430182215011479581

@UAPslut @ripinmypants @LuAngeles What is their leading hypothesis?

2021-08-24T14:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430181812513480715

@hiddenhandsz @realufobros @TxUFOSightings I ignore them by trying to interview everyone involved.

2021-08-24T14:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430181620586356741

@kekule6 @steviemac48 @NoWhere03025695 No, I did not. I said thatâ□□s one possibility for the Ariel school story.

2021-08-24T14:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430181305606619150

@JLuvin @ValaAfshar Itâ□□s not that useful, as thereâ□□s too many. Boil it down to five.

2021-08-24T14:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430180971140325377

@ChrisSPITZER7 @zcichy I literally just said we have no idea what they did.

2021-08-24T14:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430180476258508802

@SicCoP1 @zcichy Multiple sets of ambiguous data is not good data.

2021-08-24T06:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430060471583854594

@SicCoP1 @zcichy @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon No, I'm referring to who was chair when it passed. Mellon did the lobbying. Rubio took the action.

2021-08-24T06:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430060161322799107

@LeslieLinnie @jollymosh1 @ripinmypants @LuAngeles Did you take photos?

2021-08-24T06:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430059891968802818

@zcichy The 144 cases were ones that the people actually at the events could not solve. By their nature they were the 144 cases with the lowest useful information.

The UAPTF, by all accounts, had almost no resources. We have no idea what analysis they actually did, or on what cases.

2021-08-24T04:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1430026778270076928

@zcichy @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon So, Marco Rubio?

2021-08-24T00:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429960410568945665

@MisterQuadruple THANKS!

2021-08-24T00:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429957095672008704

@viaChrisLathrop @ripinmypants @LuAngeles Why do you think it's ET doing the mutilations?

2021-08-24T00:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429956843300810770

@TobyAM I think that's just a minor inferior mirage.

The BBC story was making like the entire thing was some amazing illusion. It's not. It's just there.

2021-08-23T23:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429949909139034112

@Benjamin_kappa I'd like to find which one, so I can get an undistorted image.

2021-08-23T23:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429949027743789073

Anyone recognize this structure? It was passed off as a "Fata Morgana" mirage, which is clearly is not. But what is it? Some kind of oil platform? It's off Barcelona.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9gyUjfVcAAfjaG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9gyUjfVcAAfjaG.jpg

2021-08-23T22:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429941053067431936

@ripinmypants @LuAngeles Of course. We all just draw our lines (usually somewhat hazily) in different places.

I think ETI exists in the universe. Possibly in lots of places. I don't think there's good evidence it has visited. I think that abductions haven't happened. I think CE5 is bullshit.

2021-08-23T22:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429940015140720644

@LuAngeles Ask them what they DON'T believe about UFOs and aliens.

Where do they draw the line? What's just too far out for them?

2021-08-23T17:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429860933526900738

RT @JohnELTenney: New Camera Settings: UFO, Crypto and Ghost

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK2JHlWXUAAOVFi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK2JHlWXUAAOVFi.jpg

2021-08-23T16:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429849663750873118

@NoWhere03025695 I didn't listen to any of it.

2021-08-23T14:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429815100794302468

@jollymosh1 Which credible people? And how do they know?

2021-08-23T13:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429801579117379592

@RadicalSalsa Yeah, you need multiple exposures, bracketing.

The point of adding a long exposure is to get the background context. I should not have listed it.

2021-08-23T12:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429781211946258444

@XRayGalaxySound Well, back then you could get away with tossing a hubcap in the air.

2021-08-23T04:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429659942521229315

@Bhima_Jenkins Most UFO photos at night are greatly overexposed. You need a quick extra 1/250 or faster to capture detail in the object.

And you can bracket a moving object if you don't want to merge the photos. Even then, software is getting better - the obj could be isolated from background

---

2021-08-23T03:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429650929985363973

@jollymosh1 I donâ□□t know. But I donâ□□t think aliens or MiB are visiting him.

2021-08-23T03:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429649570259034118

@AnomalousUFO Thatâ□□s hardware. All the other stuff is software. Cheap.

2021-08-23T03:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429649130956103687

@ImCosmic_ Iâ□□m not concerned, Iâ□□m confused.

2021-08-23T00:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429607966475309062

@NebTom Yeah, but try taking a photo of a planet with a Nikon P1000. It's essentially a software fail, as the hardware is perfectly capable.

2021-08-23T00:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429607278571786243

@justmyvideos So it does. Helicopter?

2021-08-23T00:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429606944948379649

This conference has an odd selection of people. Some (like Anjali, Bledsoe, et al)) think they have already been personally talking to aliens. Some SEEMED more nut-and-bolts, but WTH are they doing appearing at this quasi-religious event?

#ufotwitter

https://makingcontact.com/speakers/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9b6ljLUcAArGco.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9b6ljLUcAArGco.jpg

2021-08-23T00:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429604204394336262

@TuaUfo I faked it as a demonstration of how easy it is to fake UFO videos, and to learn more about the faking process, so I can help spot fakes in the future.

2021-08-23T00:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429603856225239042

@justmyvideos Did you check to see if it's Venus or Jupiter?

2021-08-23T00:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429600778256195585

@bigmaninbk I did a live-tweet viewing a few days ago. Short version: neither of them seem to understand what glare actually is.

2021-08-23T00:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429600446369341440

@H8ERDAVE @Asgeir16813191 When you've got a very high dynamic range in the scene there is no single "proper exposure". Some regions need a lot more light, some need a lot less.

(Assuming your goal is documenting UFOs in the most useful way possible)

2021-08-23T00:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429599970567495683

@williamkay62 Right, which is why you need automatic bracketing, or at least RAW HDR. Many UFO photos and videos suffer from lack of context (underexposed) or just a blob (overexposed)

2021-08-23T00:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429595516392804354

@BlueGlassTV Kind of like the Live Photos on iPhone, but with the emphasis on replicating what you see in the viewfinder/screen.

2021-08-23T00:04:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429595277434900490

@BlueGlassTV Recording whatever the viewfinder is displaying, constantly.

You know how something looks okay in the viewfinder, but then you take a photo and it looks like crap. It should record the viewfinder output on either side of the photo/video. for 5-10 seconds.

2021-08-22T23:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429589153864323072

@Asgeir16813191 Sure it is. We don't have a time machine, but we do have a billion 12+MP/4K cameras

---

2021-08-22T23:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429588829464236032

@williamkay62 I don't think that's true with nights at light if you want to capture detail of the light as well as the background. Can a single raw image capture details of the moon, as well as stars, AND moonlit hills?

2021-08-22T23:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429588151647932421

@thewoody You don't discount it. You note that the movement is consistent with a bird or a bat.

2021-08-22T23:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429587692501704707

@rskyoyomann There's the rub.

Triangulation would be a start. HD is a plus.

2021-08-22T23:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429582789947625472

@rskyoyomann If it's legit, I'll believe it.

2021-08-22T23:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429582567028584451

@SteUFOnotCGULLS Sounds like a plan!

2021-08-22T23:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429582323796701185

@williamkay62 Yeah I should have just gone with bracketing. You want a range of exposures to get both background and the bright object.

2021-08-22T22:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429574537826291713

@jason_quitt Yeah, long exposure wasn't really right there. I want long exposure so I can see the context, but you also want short exposure to get details of the bright object. Camera should adjust accordingly and take a range of different photos in burst.

2021-08-22T22:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429573753881563137

@AdmiralQuality Although phones could also to an auto-optical-zoom if the UFO is in the middle.

2021-08-22T22:36:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429573171393400834

@Catchme22322934 For fun and profit.

2021-08-22T22:35:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429572868489154563

@AdmiralQuality (digital zoom, which you wouldn't actually need if it was recording raw, but still might be handy)

2021-08-22T22:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429571724832501760

@AdmiralQuality Yeah, that's why I suggested bracketing. Long exposure to reveal the background, short to capture the true shape (and maybe details) of the light.

Also, auto zoom in/out on the light(s)

2021-08-22T22:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429571111079931909

@TobyAM But the idea is to have millions of cameras with this automatic setting.

We should start with automatic moon mode, and work from there.

2021-08-22T22:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429570785954258950

@Alien_Gray How much money do you have?

Sony A7S-III would be what I'd get, should I have to be in a dark cave looking for aliens or suchlike.

Sony A6400 is what I've got, but I generally end up using my phone.

2021-08-22T22:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429570043017269250

@AdmiralQuality Just right exposure.

2021-08-22T22:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429569860611174400

@TobyAM There's a bunch of camera apps, ideally it would an optional always-on thing that kicks in when the camera thinks you are trying to take a photo of a UFO.

2021-08-22T22:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429568870826708993

Forget lobbying the government for UFO disclosure. Let's lobby camera manufacturers to add an automatic UFO mode that kicks in when you point the camera at a light in the sky.

- far focus
- wide aperture
- long exp
- auto +/- 3 Ev bracketing -> RAW+HDR
- side-record viewfinder

2021-08-22T22:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429565995551629312

@edwardcurrent @RUMINT79 I have them in 4K, where the "crap" is even more evident. They pass better in 480p or 360p

---

2021-08-22T20:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429539240631672835

@MicahBBurke This one is plausibly innocuous. I was outside with my camera (phone), and a vulture flew over, so I started filming, which took maybe two seconds. That could easily happen if it were a UFO.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429539033860829186/pu/img/gZVM_cyGTesUU-Hc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429539033860829186/pu/img/gZVM_cyGTesUU-Hc.jpg

2021-08-22T19:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429531562245181440

@issaufobro Original video, with the IFO I used to source the motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429531483186679808/pu/img/E85nA5Myw9-yWWd

2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429531483186679808/pu/img/E85nA5Myw9-yWWd2.jpg
2021-08-22T19:49:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429531287610486785
@issaufobro Huh, this isn't moving erratically. It's gliding across the sky.

2021-08-22T19:48:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429530933284073472
@Flyingh43892139 Indeed, but it's highly likely that there will be far better apps in the future, if not already. Something to watch out for.

2021-08-22T19:42:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429529371723800583
You see a lot of fake UFO videos (like this one) that use a glowing white light as the UFO.
That's probably because it's the simplest thing to chuck into After Effects and not have to worry about lighting.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429528901378723840/pu/img/tFzUlAz9bMeK-ccG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429528901378723840/pu/img/tFzUlAz9bMeK-ccG.jpg
2021-08-22T19:00:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429518760088522756
@blackvaultcom When I make a fake I say it's a fake.

Knowing how to do the tricks is an important part of spotting the tricks. Like Houdini at a seance.

2021-08-22T18:58:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429518437311672320
@ufoofinterest Yeah, and just the free tic-tac ship

2021-08-22T18:43:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429514681643843587
@blackvaultcom Yeah, like I said, it's an obvious fake, partly because I didn't both to try to make it good. Tracking is a bit jittery - but I've seem people say "jittery" motion is a hallmark of UFOs.

2021-08-22T18:39:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429513482760720389
Here's a fake UFO video. Obviously done with an iPhone app that fakes UFO videos. But not far way from many "real" UFO videos.
What clues can you spot that indicate fakery?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429513055134646276/pu/img/665vXtZuCA0UiZ5g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429513055134646276/pu/img/665vXtZuCA0UiZ5g.jpg
2021-08-22T18:33:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429512176901890051
@markamaskey Yes, that one is in the sky.

2021-08-22T18:24:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429509843497340928
@catfish_35 @chrisotis78 Oh, I listen to Chris. He's just wrong.

2021-08-22T18:18:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429508338157375500
@DavidBWriter I think it happened a bit, but then there's the polarization, and not a lot of them willing to stand up to Trump while he's still a factor in their reelections.

2021-08-22T18:05:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429504923842998279
@JeremyUnidenti1 @Rahzsah Yeah, this isn't really an interesting video. But some people have interpreted it as a UFO following the aircraft, which would be interesting.

As a light in the distance it's really uninteresting, but a fun little geolocation and photo fitting exercise.

2021-08-22T18:03:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429504510334963713
@Obermeister5 @ThanosAlpha @MiddleOfMayhem @chad_vfa41 Or, more likely, that he made some mistakes.

2021-08-22T17:57:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429503066496069635
@ChrisSPITZER7 @flarkey @528vibes
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1429500301074067460

2021-08-22T17:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429502879237165056

@JeremyUnidenti1 Metadata is great, but you can often still do a quite reasonable analysis without it. This is from a plane, so the location and time should be determinable from the flight number.

I mean, you didn't ignore Gimbal, did you? :)

2021-08-22T17:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429501219811119105

@JeremyUnidenti1 Sure, but here we don't have a precise time. It's pretty busy airspace. May 4 2021, San Juan airport, Puerto Rico

Video source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V-QuQuiFtFI

2021-08-22T17:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429500301074067460

Objects that look like they are in the sky when viewed from a plane are often in the sea.

In this case it's fairly close to the horizon, so you can't entirely rule out a plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429499959519301636/pu/img/fvAzUNK2vX5l43zB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429499959519301636/pu/img/fvAzUNK2vX5l43zB.jpg

2021-08-22T14:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429456617423077376

@Dabest4eva @inspiredbychad @ItrollALLCAPSBR @TheLaurenChen All of the places mentioned HAVE banned smoking.

2021-08-22T14:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429450688623308802

@CDVilsack @UFOi1973 @AnjaliOnGaia @Deepfryguy76 @DrStevenGreer Without question?

2021-08-22T05:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429312826242256900
@JoeLeopold5 No, you don't NEED to spend much, and I'd go for quantity over quality. But if it's humanity's first recorded encounter with an alien race (or four) then I'd think you'd want the best camera's available, you know, for history.

2021-08-22T04:44:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429303324029841410
@BiomechAnima @UAPmike Low information.

2021-08-22T03:41:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429287686662025216
@JoeLeopold5 Works for me. I suggested body cameras because they are cheap, lightweight, and usually have IR. If money is no object then thereâ□□s a variety of $10K-$100K cameras Iâ□□d like to see there. Low light would be good.
A seance busting magician would be a good hedge.

2021-08-22T03:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429282936507965443
@JoeLeopold5 They are meeting aliens for a chat. What do you suggest? A Trifield meter? Cameras are the most important component of verifying what happens. Lots of cameras.

2021-08-22T03:18:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429281838678220808
@TomSuesss @_olligo_ @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @AnjaliOnGaia @Deepfryguy76 I can wait. What needs to be done before the cave?

2021-08-22T03:14:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429280854447058945
@ColombiaUap Ribbon.

2021-08-21T23:53:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429230310688845826
@realufobros There I have one lens clean and the other smudged. I start out with the clean one, then switch to the smudged on. I can't bring the smudged one in from a distance, as the camera won't focus right.

It's not really relevant. The previous glasses were equally clean.

2021-08-21T23:50:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429229482737504257
@realufobros That's no lamp, it's my handy wooden helper, holding a flashlight.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429229368316858371/pu/img/OMluT1bOakveR2gc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429229368316858371/pu/img/OMluT1bOakveR2gc.jpg
2021-08-21T23:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429228913645916162
@realufobros That's not smudgy. This is smudgy.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429228659945078787/pu/img/L_tgre3xARMMO1ir.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429228659945078787/pu/img/L_tgre3xARMMO1ir.jpg
2021-08-21T23:43:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429227739899305989
@JamisonnBishop They would certainly reduce glare reflect off a wet road - but what about the direct glare around a street light or headlight?

2021-08-21T23:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429226513115672578
@ClockworkOddest The Bledsoe footage seems invariably terrible. Low information, or just plain misinterpreted bugs, orbs, etc.

2021-08-21T23:35:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429225645247062020
@realufobros Yes, it's a lot worse with dirty glasses. Dirt and smudges scatter light, spreading glare out.

2021-08-21T23:31:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429224726447022084
@edwardcurrent @randomoneh The terminology usage here is unfortunately a little inconsistent. ISO use "veiling glare" to cover all types of stray light.

https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:9358:en
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WgPBlUUAAY7EB.jpg

Introduction

The image plane of an optical or electrooptical imaging system normally receives not only the image forming radiation, but also stray (unwanted) radiation which can reduce image contrast.

This unwanted radiation is referred to as "veiling glare". In lens systems it usually arises from one or more of the following causes:

a) internal multiple reflections between the lens surfaces;

b) scatter from the surfaces of the lens elements due to scratches and other imperfections in the polish, dirt and dust, fingerprints, grease, poor antireflection coatings and faulty reflective coatings on mirrors;

c) bulk scatter from the interior of the glass and from bubbles and striae;

d) scatter from optical cements;

e) scatter and reflections from ground edges of the lens elements, from internal lens mounts and from the internal surfaces of the lens barrel;

f) reflections from the surfaces of diaphragms and shutter blades;

g) fluorescence of the glass optical cements.

The veiling glare of lens on its own can be considerably different from the veiling glare of a lens system and camera body combination. In the latter case, reflection of part of the image-forming radiation from the photosensitive material in combination with further reflections and scatter from the lens system and camera body contribute significantly to the veiling glare.

In electrooptical devices, veiling glare arises from similar causes. For instance, in an image intensifier tube glare can arise from:

a) radiation transmitted through the photocathode being scattered and reflected by internal structures back onto the photocathode;

b) radiation emitted from the phosphor going back to the photocathode;

c) in tubes with microchannel plates some electrons incident on the input face can be back-scattered from this face before returning to it with the primary electrons.

For the purposes of this International Standard, it is important to differentiate between veiling glare which originates from radiation incident on the entrance pupil or input face of an optical or electrooptical system and other factors which may cause a reduction in contrast and which may therefore influence a measurement of veiling glare.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WgPBlUUAAY7EB.jpg
2021-08-21T23:20:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429221923758624768

@_olligo_ @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @AnjaliOnGaia @Deepfryguy76 No evidence = no point.

2021-08-21T23:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429221634213306370

@realufobros Same. The glasses seem to be coated on boat sides.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429219993657372677/pu/img/l7tRKJnnWnvT7t_w.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1429219993657372677/pu/img/I7tRKJnnWnvT7t_w.jpg
2021-08-21T23:08:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429218849484726275
@_olligo_ @AnjaliOnGaia @Deepfryguy76 That can wait until there's some actual evidence. Otherwise, what is there to discuss? A series of extraordinary claims with no evidence.

2021-08-21T23:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429218311296872448
@realufobros My question is if it can be demonstrate. I tried it with reading glasses and an iPhone. The size of the point spread glare around the light did not change one jot. And why would it? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WaXzDVUAI2bej.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WaXzDVUAI2bej.jpg
2021-08-21T23:03:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429217615382077441
I'm not going, but what qualifications are required to meet alien beings of light and love in a cave in Mojave?

Just take a few people with a bunch of body cameras.
https://twitter.com/AnjaliOnGaia/status/1429062543973265413

2021-08-21T22:51:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429214540604985350
@DanielG59979651 @jaredlholt Ask your doctor.

2021-08-21T22:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429214375399755779
The town of Grizzly Flats, about 40 miles from me, was largely destroyed in the #CaldorFire. Here's a CalFire map of damage inspections. Red = destroyed. Black = No Damage.
(some structures not inspected yet)

https://calfire-forestry.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=366d1a3e25ce4a11a4c0846c3a337ce9&extent=-13464888.411%2C4646560.0626%2C-13348704.128%2C4707786.1223%2C102100 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WWRpBVkAA3vtg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9WWRpBVkAA3vtg.jpg

2021-08-21T22:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429211597814173696

@randomoneh Yeah, but antireflective coatings slightly increase brightness. Yet they are still claimed to help with glare.

I think they help with reflections, but not with the actual point spread glare directly around a light.

2021-08-21T21:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429196596541984770

@OakKnollEyrie Does it reduce the size of the direct glare around a light?

2021-08-21T21:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429194194669559810

@snapperOU812 That's a fly. Very close to the camera.

2021-08-21T20:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429182467970195873

@OakKnollEyrie Okay, I found some reading glasses with and without AR coatings. In a quick test, the central glare around the light remains unchanged, but the AR glasses have fewer and dimmer additional reflections. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9V3v2jUUAEzeJU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9V3v2jUUAEzeJU.jpg

2021-08-21T20:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429177545954496513

@UFO_Technology I think it's a balloon.

2021-08-21T20:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429174533882204161

@ybombcom Yeah, it obviously cuts down on reflections, but does it cut down on headlight glare?

2021-08-21T20:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429174281510920194

@OakKnollEyrie I'm not arguing, I'm interested if it can be demonstrated. What type of glasses and coating do you have?

2021-08-21T20:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429173026860331008

@OakKnollEyrie Can you demonstrate it?

2021-08-21T20:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429172895993909249

And I'm talking here about antireflective coatings on clear glass. There are some "night driving" glasses that are really just yellow tinted glasses. They "reduce" glare by reducing the amount of blue light coming in http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9VxHwqVIAQvxo3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9VxHwqVIAQvxo3.jpg
2021-08-21T20:02:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1429172071481831425
Antireflective coatings on glasses are sometimes claimed to reduce headlight glare while driving, with comparisons like this one.

But do they? This is a fake image with added glare. Has anyone ever seen a genuine demonstration of an antireflective coating reducing glare?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9VvqA_UcAMi0-H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9VvqA_UcAMi0-H.jpg

2021-08-21T19:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1429162358857822208

@zcichy @montanacasey Hard to say, it's a very poor video.

2021-08-21T02:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428910947066728453

@WingzeroF1 @JeffCla81664839 Perfection is not the goal in photographing UFOs. Just something resolvable.

2021-08-20T23:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428861149718609923

@Flyingh43892139 I think just the amount of Galaxy S21 Ultras out there probably give you quite a bit more carry-around-telephoto power than the early 90s.

https://youtu.be/4n93x0gPhxQ?t=23

2021-08-20T23:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428858659145093129

Perceived depth cues and "common sense" are often wrong, but often people are very certain about what they think they saw (or what they think a photo shows)

Marc is wrong though, what he's talking about is a small bowl I threw in the air, not far from the camera. https://twitter.com/marcbel19406167/status/1428787375459557377

2021-08-20T23:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428857792299888646

@JeffCla81664839 Nobody is claiming to have seen a pentacene molecule fly past their house, or anywhere else.

2021-08-20T22:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428849515100983297

@rassx7 40 miles away, heading in the other direction. Not a problem, just smoke and ash.

2021-08-20T21:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428831236722630660

@JeffCla81664839 It's very unlikely a U2 would fly low over someone's house while they were holding a DSLR, set to RAW, Tv 1/1000, with a 500mm long lens. But it happens eventually.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Q6VYTVUAQaTGt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Q6VYTVUAQaTGt.jpg

2021-08-20T20:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428821045755084803

@JeffCla81664839 It would be a great start.

Heck, a HD version of the pie-dish style photos would be great. (If it wasn't just a pie-dish, which it probably was)

2021-08-20T20:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428820660260769796

@ashworth_mick @Atheist_Tomdog There are tools, but I generally use Photoshop and experience. The tools can be fooled, especially if it's not supposed to be the original image file.

https://29a.ch/photo-forensics/#error-level-analysis

2021-08-20T20:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428816086720413697

@FettuccineSplit @LasseLind3 They were all pretty similar.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428815995305566208/pu/img/ceg89GnIGwJtoh__.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428815995305566208/pu/img/ceg89GnIGwJtoh__.jpg
2021-08-20T20:23:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428814951402020872
@Atheist_Tomdog @ashworth_mick There's no one way of telling if an image is a digital fake, and it's quite possible (although not trivial) to make images where it's impossible to tell - especially if there's no access to the in-camera original, or no context.

2021-08-20T20:19:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428814080836464644
@jimbotowrag Of course you can compare them. I said compare them. They are different.

2021-08-20T19:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428808299772747777
@JeffCla81664839 You want me to fake a photo of some lights on a dark background with no context? What would that prove?

2021-08-20T19:53:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428807487927377924
@jimbotowrag Yes, but shutter speed and ISO are numbers that largely the same on all cameras. The important thing for a camerapower calculation would be the noise level at a given ISO. Like compare an ISO 6400 shot on an A7R-IV to the same ISO on iPhone.

2021-08-20T19:44:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428805077905547267
@jimbotowrag FPS is not really relevant. You are thinking of shutter speed.

2021-08-20T19:36:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428803208198062080
@BostonsBuddha @osbald No. Was it related to the 1950 IUD wave?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QgyIkUcAM8J5x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QgyIkUcAM8J5x.jpg

2021-08-20T19:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428801324498317315

@JeffCla81664839 Which photo?

2021-08-20T19:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428800415064154113

@jimbotowrag What was the request?

2021-08-20T19:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428800306620485633

@jimbotowrag Frames Per Minute is an important component of camerapower as high FPS (burst mode, or continuous shooting) maximized the photos you get of an event, and hence the probability of good captures.

ISO by itself is not a useful number, you'd need some kind of noise index.

2021-08-20T19:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428798866145480705

@LasseLind3 It's a 4" diameter bowl, about 15 feet from the camera, thrown straight up.

2021-08-20T19:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428797259731247108

@_my_alt @Generic_Error_ Many saucer-shaped objects are capable of human-assisted flight.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QbhYKVIAM5ZhI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QbhYKVIAM5ZhI.jpg

2021-08-20T18:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428791809518366721

@FringeCenter @alec_sears @fatdragonpro @singularitymike @LuAngeles @alexstein99 Well, if you put it like that, then no.

But it's not like that.

2021-08-20T18:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428788842354511872

What "camerapower" isn't a word?

Let's define it as the available imaging capability in a given situation or region. cf manpower.

Something like:

Camerpower = (megapixels x dynamic range x frames-per-second x number of cameras)

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1428766286033477633

2021-08-20T18:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428787078154780679

@SwinkInc Because you have a strange imagination?

2021-08-20T18:31:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428786911145971713

@FringeCenter @alec_sears @fatdragonpro @singularitymike @LuAngeles @alexstein99 I'm in favor of vaccines being required in some situations.

But public health aside, do you not think the free market should allow supermarkets to do this in the US? Surely the unvaccinated can boycott them, and go elsewhere?

2021-08-20T18:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428785490325499906

@Generic_Error_ Nope. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QQzDxVcAMGfGe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QQzDxVcAMGfGe.jpg

2021-08-20T18:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428781646979813382

@Deepfryguy76 It matters because large flying things are a lot harder to explain than small flying things.

2021-08-20T18:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428780023129853952

@osbald My urologist never pointed that out.

2021-08-20T18:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428779615024123908

@JacobKnitig @PThegermandude I'm saying it's suspicious that the old photos have not been replicated in HD.

Either the old photos were fake, or the flying saucers no longer get close to people.

2021-08-20T18:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428779251407351814

@C_O_N_T_A_C_T_ Only if you've got some reference. If you don't know what color it is, then how do you know what effect the scattering will have?

2021-08-20T18:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428778860506619906

@osbald The in-camera original is a little bit like a negative. While it's not impossible to fake, it's very difficult for the average person to do undetectably.

Throwing something in the air is not hard at all. But photos are so good now that it's not really a great option any more.

2021-08-20T17:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428777542719135749

@osbald I wonder when mylar helium balloons became popular.

2021-08-20T17:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428777325135491078

@de_varse It would have hurt more if I dropped it. It's a spendy bowl.

2021-08-20T17:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428776893159903237

@UFOrnot @mrjuap Kitchenaid http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QI_3mVEAQZbUg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QI_3mVEAQZbUg.jpg

2021-08-20T17:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428776253918646274

Zoomed in. Is it a giant saucer behind the tree, or a tiny saucer in front of it? Even in HD, you can't tell. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QINoKVUAYbRfi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QINoKVUAYbRfi.jpg
2021-08-20T17:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428775779429601280
Small, or far away? Here's an unedited photo of a flying saucer that illustrates a timeless problem in UFOlogy - if you don't know how big something is, you don't know how far away it is.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QHlT-VIAMHy21.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9QHlT-VIAMHy21.jpg
2021-08-20T17:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428769517732208645
(no filter in the video, it looks sepia because of the fires)

2021-08-20T17:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428769390158180354
@catfish_35 Demonstrating that the old "real stuff" is so easy to replicate that there's zero reason to assume it's "real".

I'm not claiming this is real. I'm seeking clarity not confusion. I posted the behind-the-scenes video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428769370541498369/pu/img/nyGih4fMnB5L_n2z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428769370541498369/pu/img/nyGih4fMnB5L_n2z.jpg
2021-08-20T17:18:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428768462491373575
It was never very hard to create these photos, just throw something in the air. Take a photo. Extracting a frame from a 4K video makes it even easier, but also now there's no excuse for such blurry low resolution shots.

Maybe that's why the "classic" UFO photos are so old?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428767776865284100/pu/img/JD8wHV9KpQ7eCLNj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428767776865284100/pu/img/JD8wHV9KpQ7eCLNj.jpg
2021-08-20T17:10:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428766286033477633
What happened to the pre-2000 hubcap and mixing bowl style UFOs? Did they stop showing up, or did people stop throwing them in the air?
If they return, we'll be ready with a million times more camerapower.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9P_UcpVEAAqFCe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9P_UcpVEAAqFCe.jpg
2021-08-20T15:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428739343615594500
@Flyingh43892139 I'm not as old as that camera, but I do think it's possible this is an emulsion/peeling effect.

But I also think that's just an object thrown up in the air.

That's a million times more cameras out there now, each one 100s of times higher quality, in video.

2021-08-20T14:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428730666603057163

@giddierone There would be some overlap, but it would be a bit more descriptive than prescriptive.

2021-08-20T06:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428598453869826056

@KevinCo44516732 @Accumulat0r https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

---

2021-08-20T05:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428592061456867330

@Oswald1160 @PotatoRamirez @PostDisclosure Here I just adjusted the levels and saturation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9NgVS9UcAIJzJ1.jpg

---

jamesfoxdirector



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9NgVS9UcAIJzJ1.jpg

2021-08-20T05:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428590404094492673

@issaufobro @UAPmike Get Corbell to endorse it, then I'll take a look.

2021-08-20T05:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428589683521429506

@ConceptualJames What's the analogous thing you think will happen to churches next? Will BoxCast ban miracle healing?

2021-08-20T04:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428573285445341189

@TimOsman14 Not sure about the war thing, but yes on the passport.

2021-08-20T03:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428557447988342788

@bottwater @UAPmike Isnâ□□t everyone?

2021-08-20T03:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428557292656467968

@LeilaHay1 Thatâ□□s great. Thanks for letting me know.

2021-08-20T00:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428509148459651081

@facemullet @bythedshore @JeBe08030203 How many is that then, about 500-1000 a year, in the US, that matter?

That's quite a lot.

2021-08-20T00:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428508117482938368

@PierreFDP1 @skibolz It's old, and other people have already looked at it.
Also, there were at least SOME flares that people saw.

2021-08-19T23:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428505322272948225

@PierreFDP1 @skibolz Flying around at night, dropping flares, practicing stuff.

2021-08-19T23:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428504719861829636

@kezzen70 @alexandereylar TGA = TheGreatAwakening. Web site with .WIN on the end, now just goes to a spyware install. There also used to be TheDonald.

2021-08-19T23:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428501764530065408

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SwinkInc Where should they have spotted it? In space? Vandenberg?

UFOs are supposed spotted everywhere, by lots of people.

2021-08-19T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428487575342223360

RT @alexandereylar: Last year I fell down the Qanon rabbit hole -- sorta.

The conspiracy Q stuff is meh, but the believers' personal storâ□¦

2021-08-19T21:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428470582681563138

@JayFig78 @UAPmike Where did you send it? All I see form you is a single video of what looks like mylar balloons

---

2021-08-19T21:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428469123424522244
@normal20211 I say that all the time, when I don't know what something is.

But that does not mean I can't put forward hypotheses for what it might be.

2021-08-19T20:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428457904525303808
@AeroDispatch @Josef_baum @ChrisSPITZER7 Promote it what way? It's on their TikTok page. It got lots of views.
https://www.tiktok.com/@heybryan._/video/6830522429794782470?is_copy_url=1&is_from_webapp=v1

2021-08-19T20:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428448833290182657
@AeroDispatch @Josef_baum @ChrisSPITZER7 Also in line with TikTok clickbait.

2021-08-19T19:55:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428445550366531585
@ufo_classes Cool, but I really mean birdwatchers with powerful cameras.

2021-08-19T19:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428444740391866374
@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 It's an illustration of a more general argument.
Consider the intersection of two groups.

Some people see UFOs
Some people sometimes have a good camera with them.

Is this intersection necessarily zero? Can we put bounds on it?

2021-08-19T19:49:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428444102014627841
@steve_crazed Which bit?

2021-08-19T19:45:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428442898865942531
@ElectroDeeJ @EngagingThe
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-birdwatchers-amazing-ufo-burwell-fen-uk-c-130-landing-lights.11959/

2021-08-19T19:41:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428442063528292353
@ElectroDeeJ @EngagingThe That's not a UFO, it's a plane.

2021-08-19T19:40:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428441784183447553
@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 Not a lot of plane crashes. Yet we have video of those.

2021-08-19T19:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428441465550630913

@skibolz Probably some military exercise.

2021-08-19T19:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428435399689478144

@skinnyb0b There is no public reaction.

2021-08-19T19:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428435211499438080

@skibolz Why can't these hotspots be measured?

2021-08-19T19:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428434958561923074

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 Sure, but those are not earth-shattering occurrences like seeing an alien craft. Car crashes last a second or so. UFO encounters are described as being a lot longer.

2021-08-19T18:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428430995607355395

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 There's quite a few videos of planes crashing. From a variety of sources.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WX2H3H8w--s

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=81Zzut8G9h0

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNgMLPpo07k

2021-08-19T18:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428416736844390403

@TheRealDealBitc @edwardcurrent Yeah, but someone is making a slick documentary about her. It will get eyeballs. She talks about the human body just being a vessel, which suggests you can leave it.

Heaven's Gate was a UFO cult. It's always something to keep in mind.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yp_5pDOa-o0

2021-08-19T17:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428415487751327744

@MJouffray -64Â°F is a rather low maximum for a sensor that only accurately goes down to -40Â°F

2021-08-19T17:55:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428415312433537025

@Aymondo @rgh_ufos @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo You could say that about anything. The bottom line is that the existing evidence does not suggest to me that lobbying the government will be in any way a useful use of my time.

2021-08-19T17:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428409470304980992

@davpea2 @UAPmike I've been triangulated with multiple independent photos. Not only do I exist, you can figure out how tall I am!

2021-08-19T17:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428409276200931332

@edwardcurrent It's going to be a bit of a cult. Probably not a very big one though.

2021-08-19T17:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428409044713185284

@Aymondo @rgh_ufos @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Does everyone need to talk to 1000+ people?

2021-08-19T17:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428405465835134977

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 So, do all UFOs only show themselves for less than a second?

2021-08-19T17:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428404050572181507

@rgh_ufos @Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Have you read Allan Hendry's UFO Handbook? He spoke to over 1000 witnesses. It didn't really help.

2021-08-19T17:04:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428402444174721029

@epicmonotype You can't read the number at the bottom of the scale, which presumably is even colder than -64Â°F.

I suspect the more accurate statement is "The Jet Airplane is clearly above -64Â°F"

And the camera range is only down to -40Â°F, so it's all a bit odd.

2021-08-19T17:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428401380595671041

@Thunder46216520 @EngagingThe It was a plane. An IFO, not a UFO.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-birdwatchers-amazing-ufo-burwell-fen-uk-c-130-landing-lights.11959/

2021-08-19T16:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428400736090550272

@UAPtechnician I love Rodney, he's my favorite skater. He's primarily a flatland skater, which might explain why his stats are different. I don't remember any bad blood from back then. Here's him and me in two photos 20 years apart

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9KyfkTVcAgwnUz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9KyfkTVcAgwnUz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Kx2rEVgAkJip-.jpg

2021-08-19T16:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428398097311232007

@yasmind Thanks Yasmin!

2021-08-19T16:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428392995183755273

@LasseLind3 He says it's "clearly hot". I'm just not sure how that's clear from this image.

2021-08-19T16:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428389012973051904

Here's the camera manual. https://support.flir.com/DocDownload/Assets/dl/1557454$b.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Kn3PMVEAA9awc.jpg

5.2.2.6 Temperature scale

The temperature scale is displayed on the right-hand side of the screen. The scale shows how the colors are distributed over the various temperatures in the image with high temperatures at the upper end and low temperatures at the lower end. The small boxes above and below the scale display what colors are used for temperatures outside the scale.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Kn3PMVEAA9awc.jpg

2021-08-19T16:09:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428388544049938433

Can someone explain this slide to me? It says "The Jet Airplane is Clearly Hot" and yet the scale seems to indicate it's about -64Â°F. What am I missing?

Image source: (22:00) https://youtu.be/3xNjclaxzes?t=1320

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Kmy8xVgAc8Mc7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Kmy8xVgAc8Mc7.jpg

2021-08-19T05:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428226618229542920

@EdmondHWollmann @PostDisclosure No good photos though.

2021-08-19T05:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428226295716925440

@PostDisclosure No, the argument is that since we have ZERO GOOD photos of UFOs being something interesting, it suggests that they are mostly, if not all, not something interesting.

2021-08-19T05:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428224883381571589

@jarrodf_ @nikkinoelle7 Kinda looks like a planet, like Venus. Binoculars would help.

2021-08-19T05:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428224258665172994

@not_belief Thank you for fulfilling my prediction.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-birdwatchers-amazing-ufo-burwell-fen-uk-c-130-landing-lights.11959/

2021-08-19T05:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428221152489459716

@zcichy Sounds interesting!

2021-08-19T04:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428218773673189381

@eva_rozier @wildfoodietours @PleiadianPath @ARK_crystals @HtoEHealing @HealingCorner1 @healinglightart @coasttocoastam @AbrahamHicks Rip a tissue up very near the camera. The old dust you wipe off a surface will clump together and not float around.

I don't think you are being dishonest. I think you as simply making a mistake.

2021-08-19T04:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428211638772011017

@eva_rozier @PleiadianPath @ARK_crystals @HtoEHealing @HealingCorner1 @healinglightart @coasttocoastam @AbrahamHicks It's dust. It's easy to make more by ripping up a tissue near the camera, like I do here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d5DjhbMZlOk

---

2021-08-19T04:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428206669280907271

@AmbroseAndreano @KikPlenty @AnjaliOnGaia No, it really does not.
Itâ□□s clearly nonsense, and the only reason to go is to study the people involved, or exploit it for views. I donâ□□t want to do either.

2021-08-19T04:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428205320359190529

@h_clagett @b_wiltfong @blackvaultcom But they are not to be avoided.

2021-08-19T03:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428203548538408964

@AmbroseAndreano @KikPlenty @AnjaliOnGaia How would it be different if I go or do not go. Wonâ□□t there still be videoed and witnessed disclosure? Isnâ□□t that game over either way?

2021-08-19T03:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428203075806760965

@h_clagett @b_wiltfong @blackvaultcom Cameras record what people see. So they provide verification and enhancement of eyewitness accounts.

2021-08-19T03:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428199937397846020

@h_clagett Lack of photos.

2021-08-19T03:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428199553036013582

@beta_sid @rgh_ufos @Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo I did talk to Lehto once. Happy to do that again. Or anyone.

2021-08-19T03:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428199139322458117

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Josef_baum Stabilizing it checks ALL the frames. You checked two.

2021-08-19T03:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428198786107461632

@b_wiltfong @blackvaultcom Itâ□□s about the cameras.

2021-08-19T03:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428198099189596167

@rgh_ufos @Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Iâ□□ve talked to several Nimitz witnesses.

2021-08-19T01:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428167346431004672

@rgh_ufos @Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Ask the military.

2021-08-19T01:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428167179329966082

@zukoota I think there's corporate corruption and illegal lobbying (bribes), and people in power often conspire and lie for personal gain.

2021-08-19T01:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428163478838153218

@DavidFo73204388 @HSVUAP Did it years ago.

2021-08-19T01:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428163338781949952

@Aymondo @rgh_ufos @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo It's certainly interesting. But how does that override the classified nature of the data?

2021-08-19T00:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428155972170653696

@stringythings @HSVUAP It's a clear distinction in theory, but in reality it's a gradient. Especially with aliens.

2021-08-19T00:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428155035997806592

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Josef_baum If the features don't move, then it's stabilized. So here the

ground jumping around reflects movements of the UFO. I might try stabilizing it for the ground, that's just a bit more tedious in AE.

2021-08-19T00:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428153049885773827

@stringythings @HSVUAP It's really a spectrum. Each individual has a different line they draw that divides the "plausible" from the "ridiculous".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9HRnmTVUAMHfv5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9HRnmTVUAMHfv5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9HRdbjVcAUCHV0.jpg

2021-08-19T00:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428152619999006723

@Aymondo @rgh_ufos @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo I think it's a waste of time. The information is being withheld because it was recorded with classified systems and methods. A bunch of people interested in UFOs is not going to get that declassified. Nor is there a great justification for doing so, as the existing data is weak.

2021-08-19T00:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428148257734070276

@rgh_ufos @Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Disappointing to me because it gave no details of the investigations, and disappointing to UFO enthusiasts because didn't confirm there was something amazing going on.

2021-08-18T23:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428144123060445189

@steve_crazed Can we see some of this "thousands of hours of radar"?

2021-08-18T23:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428140734335520771

@Josef_baum @ChrisSPITZER7 Stabilizing it on just the two "UFOs" kind of suggests poor motion tracking of the ground.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428140535923937280/pu/img/VswiR-ufCy40X1TA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1428140535923937280/pu/img/VswiR-ufCy40X1TA.jpg

2021-08-18T23:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428132237527031808

@Immortal_Pleb @anon420696969 I'm not studying it.

2021-08-18T22:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428121266267951105

@GambleDale @GavPat89 @blackvaultcom 20 years ago. Before everyone had 4K cameras in their pockets. There would be many HD shots if it happened today.

2021-08-18T22:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428115334909681665

@GavPat89 @GambleDale @blackvaultcom So? There were clear shots of 50% of the planes. You can't extrapolate from a single data point.

We're talking UFOs here, not 911Truth

2021-08-18T21:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428105014975733765

@darkagenda It's also verified. You can use the same math to create a radius calculator.

https://metabunk.org/radius/

2021-08-18T21:20:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428104577170116609
@HSVUAP The type of person varies.

There's some QAnon stuff on Metabunk, but not a lot. Very little in the book. No UFOs either.

2021-08-18T21:16:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428103508213911554
@always_usy Yeah, but like that infamous "pyramid" it's just Bokeh.

2021-08-18T21:15:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428103287899709441
I get messages like this every few days. Evidence that my approach works for some people - which is great. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9GkDAuUcAUtcuM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9GkDAuUcAUtcuM.jpg
2021-08-18T21:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428102533185114114
@UAPstudy @OwatcherUf @RiotSeeds Well, I anticipate some great triangulated 4K videos then, to make this phenomenon clear.
Or is there already a good case with unambiguous distance data matching a video?

2021-08-18T20:59:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428099236940316675
@GeorgeIoannide9 @RandySauder @uncertainvector I don't think Ryan has ever addressed that aspect.

2021-08-18T20:11:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428087101015265282
@UAPstudy @OwatcherUf It clear they are seeing something. It's not clear they are 20' balls of

plasma that do geometric stuff.

2021-08-18T19:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428080187833421827

@JeremyUnidenti1 @UFOmusings @jasonturner2 Sounds good. I look forward to it.

2021-08-18T19:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428076325097459713

@UFOmusings @jasonturner2 @JeremyUnidenti1 Sorry I wasn't clear. My fault.

2021-08-18T19:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428076162199158792

@JeremyUnidenti1 @UFOmusings @jasonturner2 Jeremy, if it's so incredibly hard to get a photo of a UFO, then how are you going to do it?

2021-08-18T18:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428068068526215172

@LAdlerhorst @blackvaultcom You're misquoting me.

"Want to prove UFOs move in unnatural ways? Photos won't do it. 2D Video won't do it."

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1420410386952556550

2021-08-18T18:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428067600680947713

@MachinesSekret @LadyVimana That's satire.

2021-08-18T18:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428067482846175234

@BostonsBuddha @IamDrVenkman @dellerm93 @blackvaultcom @OwatcherUf @TobyAM Same as Johns basically, although perhaps leaning a bit more towards a hoax - or at least an exaggeration.

2021-08-18T18:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428066548510457859

@_manwhere No, I'm not. I might even write an article about it.

2021-08-18T18:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428066323372777474

@IamDrVenkman @dellerm93 @blackvaultcom @BostonsBuddha @OwatcherUf @TobyAM I have nothing to add to @blackvaultcom's article.

2021-08-18T18:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428066164836503552

@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers Any three random points form a triangle.

You are not convincing me that there's good evidence. Maybe something simple, like a good video of the lights?

2021-08-18T18:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428065861919596544
@TomSuesss @blackvaultcom Sure, maybe they would teleport, of use invisible ships, or phase in from another dimension, or be really tiny.

But we don't have evidence of any of those.

2021-08-18T18:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428059158176321543
@EdmondHWollmann @blackvaultcom Nobody every does, that's the point.

People see UFOs.
People have good cameras.
People have the presence of mind to take photos.

But never at the same time.

This seems statistically significant.

2021-08-18T18:17:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428058586735808517
@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers What's "geometric" about that, other than there being three lights?

2021-08-18T18:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428057936543117315
@GeorgeIoannide9 @RandySauder Because it's obscure by the glare and the plane being tail-on.

2021-08-18T18:10:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428056653501321218
@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers So what the ACTUAL EVIDENCE behind "may be characterized by geometric structures"

Can you post a photo or two of this geometry?

2021-08-18T18:08:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428056255893966850
@MartianMushru Because the disconnect with photographic evidence suggests the experiences are possibly not all as remembered and described then related.

2021-08-18T18:03:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428054838592475136
@Josef_baum He had a pretty powerful zoom. It was miles away. It was super-duper enough to identify exactly what it was.

2021-08-18T18:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428054548623499267
@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers " intervening space viewed as a shape, often triangular, from which the reflection of light does not occur" does not sound at all like a light that "may be characterized by geometric structures" it sounds more like the Kanizsa illusion
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9F38VoVcAMKJS2.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9F38VoVcAMKJS2.png
2021-08-18T17:57:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428053352923820032
@BostonsBuddha @OwatcherUf @TobyAM @blackvaultcom What is the source?

2021-08-18T17:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428053228801847299
@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers Huh. where is the evidence of self-arranging geometric shapes of lights in the air? Can you just maybe post two photos of these shapes? Or video of them arranging?

2021-08-18T17:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428052522015485957
@GeorgeIoannide9 @MiddleOfMayhem That wasn't a UFO, it was an IFO.

2021-08-18T17:34:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1428047568697651203
@kundunnaochan @TxUFOSightings This is your birdwatcher's UFO?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Fxd03VIAUB4qu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Fxd03VIAUB4qu.jpg

2021-08-18T17:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428047183794692096

@UAPstudy @BobKurbel @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers Where is the evidence that led to:

"may be characterized by geometric structures"

2021-08-18T17:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428046321659772930

@TomSuesss @blackvaultcom It would look like ships arriving from off-planet.

2021-08-18T17:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428045868813357060

@MJouffray @JMartinM1_30 Are you also opposed to seatbelts? Child car seats?

2021-08-18T17:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428045065344016389

@igottweets Since it looks like a ballon (with string) I'm going with that.

2021-08-18T17:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428043245385183232

@Aymondo @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo Perhaps he's wondering if the media's apparent loss of interest in the UFO story (after the disappointing UAP report) is some kind of cover-up.

2021-08-18T17:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428042658857951236

@BobKurbel @UAPstudy @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers "The Society for Scientific Exploration provides a critical international forum for sharing original research on unconventional topics"

So it's really got quite a ways to go.

2021-08-18T17:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428042165100236802

@JeremyUnidenti1 Birdwatchers are not in twitcher mode 100% of the time. Sometimes they are just outside with a good camera.

2021-08-18T17:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428041884123865092

@Luke_AFC7 It was a military exercise. Explained in depth here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-pentyrch-ufo-encounter.11738/

2021-08-18T17:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428041663067287554

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SnakePo9 @Altouiz @suzihixon Okay, well for a start the UAPTF does not mention cameras, or photos, or video, or phones.

So what are you talking about?

2021-08-18T17:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428040840308424714

@JeremyUnidenti1 Have you ever taken a good photo?

It happens occasionally. The issue here is not a low rate of capture, it's the lack of a SINGLE image, after 70 years of eyewitnesses and an exponential growth in digital photography.

2021-08-18T17:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428040404620906499

@guilani_s @UAPstudy @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers Well you could replicate the analysis of the available data. Or you could go to Hessdalen where they apparently crop up all the time.

2021-08-18T17:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428039924545056789

@SnakePo9 @Altouiz @suzihixon Quote?

2021-08-18T17:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428039418435174404

@igottweets Share it again.

2021-08-18T17:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428039273379364871

@UAPstudy @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers And is this generally accepted as true by scientists worldwide, with replication?

2021-08-18T17:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428039050355609601

@JeffCla81664839 How to people EVER see UFOs?

2021-08-18T16:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428038952859017217

@SnakePo9 @Altouiz @suzihixon 1) There are eyewitness accounts when the UFO was significantly larger than the moon in angular size.

2) Not all camera are phone cameras.

3) Some (millions of) phone cameras are actually quite good.

2021-08-18T16:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428038276284248072

@JeremyUnidenti1 I think the disconnect between eyewitness account and photographs is a fundamental issue for UFOlogy. Highly inconvenient. Laugh it off at your peril, because it convinces people. You need a better response.

2021-08-18T16:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428037860670664711

@3__1__33__1__3 @EngagingThe https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1428031070067326977

2021-08-18T16:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428037271073161221

@JeremyUnidenti1 If a helicopter flew over, I'd take a photo of it. Without enough people with cameras you'd expect someone would.

The experiment is the world. The result, so far, is zero good photos of UFOs.

2021-08-18T16:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428036740112011268

@UAPstudy @guilani_s @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers What does the data prove, exactly?

2021-08-18T16:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428033593905868803

@SnakePo9 @suzihixon A U-2 flew over my house once.

If people are SEEING things clearly then eventually you'd expect a clear photo.

2021-08-18T16:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428033183979737091

@RuanLotter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Fkm9SVgA4lZD6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9Fkm9SVgA4lZD6.jpg

2021-08-18T16:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428033056892358658

@JeBe08030203 @blackvaultcom Of course it can.

If ride a trail every day for a year and you never see horseshit on the trail then that is very strong evidence that the trail is not used for horse riding.

2021-08-18T16:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428031609626783744

@UFOspaceman21 I see the lack of photos.

2021-08-18T16:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428031537207906305

@JeremyUnidenti1 1) Lack of good photos of UFOs.

2) Teslas are everywhere, so it's literally impossible to go through life without seeing one, unless your hypothetical trainspotter lives in a cave by the train tracks.

2021-08-18T16:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428031070067326977

A bunch of people send me the story of a diamond-shaped "UFO" as an example of how birdwatchers actually do see UFOs.

It's not a UFO though. It's an IFO. A C-130's landing lights. Bokeh at the start.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-birdwatchers-amazing-ufo-burwell-fen-uk-c-130-landing-lights.11959/

2021-08-18T16:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428030709789171712

@JeremyUnidenti1 A weird analogy, as I'm sure they see them all the time.

2021-08-18T16:26:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428030526703640576

@SnakePo9 @suzihixon I disagree. It's exactly the type of argument that LSAT is geared around. UFOlogists are arguing the specialness of UFOs based on a preponderance of eyewitness testimony. If there's an actual disconnect with expected photographic evidence, then that changes the argument.

2021-08-18T16:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428029747670315008

@guilani_s @UAPstudy @LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 @blackvaultcom @ufobelievers Maybe just get a photo first?

2021-08-18T16:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428029649502687245

@MadScientistPod Now THAT is a silly argument.

Muggings occasionally happen. Eventually someone is going to catch one on camera. It probably won't be you.

2021-08-18T16:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428029329854767104

@MadScientistPod @HSVUAP Nice bokeh.

2021-08-18T15:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428021751720546307

@SuperbatPrime http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9FaIjAVgAIBlJB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9FaIjAVgAIBlJB.jpg

2021-08-18T15:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428020988822712323

@apicasefiles Not enough to get a good photo.

2021-08-18T15:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428013161597210632

@fin365 @RodrigoLVieira1 With hindsight, do you now wish you had photographed them?

2021-08-18T15:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428012731945259010

@LadyVimana If they are birds then they are IFOs.

2021-08-18T15:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428012231388663824

@MadScientistPod No, it's actually a fundamental problem in UFOlogy.

2021-08-18T15:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428012102678028288

@HSVUAP @BSquidding This is about the fifth time someone has posted this photo of Bokeh as evidence that Birdwatchers see UFOs.

It's a plane.

2021-08-18T15:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428009802660122633

@montanacasey @BSquidding Itâ□□s bokeh.

2021-08-18T15:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428009682015186954

@BSquidding Keep up Rather. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-08-18T15:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428008981742510083

@osbald @blackvaultcom No, you just need something in focus, and more than a handful of pixels.

2021-08-18T14:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428008430837534728

@ToweringCrane UFOs are often reported to hover. Also planes fly at 400+ mph and you can photograph them just fine with a long lens.

2021-08-18T14:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428007876203716608

@Georgeloannide9 @RandySauder Not if itâ□□s just a rotating glare obscuring a jet powered craft thatâ□□s simply flying away.

2021-08-18T14:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428007616819564548

@Girafin_ovni @blackvaultcom How rare? Like 1 in a million claimed sightings? Or 5%?

2021-08-18T14:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428007064404594692

@ToweringCrane Good for birds, but useless for UFOs? Donâ□□t you WANT close-up images?

2021-08-18T14:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428006465294409732

@dubydoo3 Where is the photo?

2021-08-18T14:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428006305369714698

@RodrigoLVieira1 Where would a lack of photos be found?

2021-08-18T14:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428006125463478272

@UAPstudy Pick one unambiguous data set.

2021-08-18T14:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1428005651662266375

@GambleDale @blackvaultcom Four planes one day. Unambiguous evidence of all of them. Video of three. Good video of two. Multiple HD angles of one.

Hundreds of thousands of UFO sightings. Many actively seeking for them.

Zero good images. Zero unambiguous evidence.

2021-08-18T13:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427991320627335178

@Wadjela_1111 That is not always true.

2021-08-18T13:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427990812726415361

@volfan3178 Thatâ□□s a plane, not a UFO.

2021-08-18T13:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427990584090759176

@RodrigoLVieira1 It should if people are claiming they can see evidence. Visible evidence should have been adequately photographed by now, based on the descriptions.

2021-08-18T13:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427990182150631432

@kmalkows @blackvaultcom They seem to manage to take photos of birds though.

2021-08-18T13:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427989982669463563

@GeezerSqueezer A significant percentage of the photos UFO watchers post are of birds.

2021-08-18T13:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427989546264715264

@InvNightSchool @MiddleOfMayhem No. Having a fixed focal length is A) not an advantage. B) something many lenses have.
And tracking moving objects at a distance generally requires little in the way of focus changes.

2021-08-18T13:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427987287913074696

@blackvaultcom You times millions.

It just takes one good photo.

2021-08-18T06:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427874212161548294

@Josef_baum I used the fact that they generally have good cameras combined with the lack of a single good photo.

2021-08-18T05:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427871627149074432

@BarlowTerry That's not a UFO, it's a plane.

2021-08-18T05:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427871100000555010

@alpha_check @hoveringobject @UAPEngineering @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout Because it was that or listening to other people in the office chat while I was trying to focus on figuring out some complicated bug.

I just find it distracting now.

2021-08-18T05:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427865771422539778

@asiliksnamish Pretty much nobody is looking for UFOs when they see them.

2021-08-18T05:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427865602329169927

@issaufobro @Mick I don't know. But it looks like a water drop inside the Space Station.

2021-08-18T05:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427865169191792644

@JayFig78 @blackvaultcom Have they produced a good photo?

2021-08-18T05:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427864959069810689

@MiddleOfMayhem Most cameras will have much faster (electronic) shutter speed in daylight. Anything better than an iPhone, sometimes with a little magnification, has better effective resolution than the human eye over that field of view.

2021-08-18T04:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427855558137155584

@blackvaultcom The point isnâ□□t that they are looking. Itâ□□s that they often have great cameras with powerful magnification

---

2021-08-18T04:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427849473951035394

@Matttrusss No cameras?

2021-08-18T04:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427846466861555717

@MiddleOfMayhem Itâ□□s entirely unlike a shutter. It is rather like a digital camera recording video.

2021-08-18T00:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427792710748962817

@EngagingThe @SnakePo9 Actually, it IS bokeh, in that image. Then he focuses and it looks

like the landing lights of some plane.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciCzKYLnKUI

2021-08-18T00:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427791480949592067

@EngagingThe @SnakePo9 Could be anything. LIZ.

2021-08-18T00:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427790789220868104

@DLINDSAY2 No good photos though.

2021-08-18T00:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427790501604839429

@EngagingThe @SnakePo9 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CHyQFVgAAwWEd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CHyQFVgAAwWEd.jpg

2021-08-18T00:30:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427789880977891329

@conorowens94 That was Jim Slaight - and it was a rather odd observation as his pilot (Dietrich) did not see it. Not did Fravor, who was MUCH closer.

2021-08-18T00:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427789479750733830

@MikeCastroFilms Some guy in his backyard taking a photo of a bird with his phone is not a a birdwatcher.

THIS is a birdwatcher. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CG5SPUUAAGWwk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CG5SPUUAAGWwk.jpg
2021-08-18T00:25:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427788699064946694
@JeremyUnidenti1 It takes no time to pivot your camera.

There's a disconnect between the eyewitness accounts and the collection of photos. It's a problem.

2021-08-18T00:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427788257551470600
@LetsAbductAnEBE The point being that it's remarkable how there's no one clear photo with reasonable provenance. I think it's actually an indication that what people describe is often not what is there.

2021-08-18T00:21:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427787782798200835
@alpha_check @hoveringobject @UAPEngineering @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout I stream. I'm old, so i like stuff like Bowie and Pink Floyd. I got out of the habit of listening to music at work during the last five years at Neversoft as I rarely had uninterrupted time.

2021-08-18T00:10:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427784890154508291
@SpiritualArist1 It's pretty rare for someone to be LOOKING for a UFO when they see one. I don't remember a single MUFON report that was from a UFO hunt.

2021-08-18T00:08:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427784517419372549

Imagine if a UFO happened to be near a group of a LOT of birdwatchers. Game over!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CCT09VUAEELQq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CCT09VUAEELQq.jpg
2021-08-18T00:07:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427784059023855621
Why do birdwatchers never see UFOs?

Does the non-human-intelligence detect the birdwatcher had a powerful zoom lens and steer clear?

The absence of evidence is a clue here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CB1mlVUAQXPYE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9CB1mlVUAQXPYE.jpg

2021-08-18T00:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427783226571956230

@LetsAbductAnEBE It's not silly at all. Consider all the people who say they could clearly see something. Not all the objects were far away. Not all the cameras were iPhones.

2021-08-17T23:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427780215736913922

@jimmy2cans You don't consider VISIBLY BENDING LIGHT to be an enormous scale?

2021-08-17T23:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427778076054753281

If UFOs are always blurry in photos because of gravitational lensing, then why do eyewitnesses never describe UFOs as blurry?

(and why don't they trip LIGO?) https://twitter.com/4RILLERPROS/status/1427776848562380800

2021-08-17T23:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427777462012764172

@4RILLERPROS Why do people never say they are blurry?

2021-08-17T23:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427777180503711744

@alpha_check @UAPEngineering @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout Why don't you let the end user choose if they want music?

2021-08-17T23:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427776826772836353

The covid vaccines are not perfect, but they work. Compare the rate of severe cases among unvaccinated vs. vaccinated people in Israel. It's 8x as much in people over 60. https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/israel-covid-graphs-prove-vaccines-works-delta-pfizer-1.10

101640 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9B62J3VIAEvn-v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9B6tT8VUAE1ods.jpg



Israeli Healthy Ministry COVID numbers Credit: Israeli Health Ministry

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E9B62J3VIAEvn-v.jpg
2021-08-17T23:33:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427775564392267778
@galaxopithecus @realufobros @alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout It's the logical choice.

2021-08-17T23:30:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427774945103282183
@UAPEngineering @alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout I just don't like the music. It's distracting, and seems like it's trying to evoke a certain mood.

Did you have music in your University lectures? Did you have music in math class? Or any class?

2021-08-17T23:28:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427774322655981568
@realufobros @alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout No. Music is either a distraction, or a misleading emotional trigger. facts and logic don't need music.

2021-08-17T23:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427769771370582016
@alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout So why the music?

2021-08-17T22:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427761573779546115
@alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @AddysShout Who are your videos for?

2021-08-17T21:13:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427740453185593347
@Saw_a_UFO @Bavundra No. Other people addressed it before me.

I might need to though.

2021-08-17T21:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427738952232890373
@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check Was it though?

2021-08-17T15:51:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427659259911630857
@thaxter @zcichy @PostDisclosure If I was the head of NASA then I'd ask for a briefing on UFOs if there was one going around. It's interesting, but also relevant to NASA's mission - even if it just ends up being airborne clutter, etc.

2021-08-17T15:30:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427654090750783489
@DragonWagonZ @SuperVFunCat What's your interpretation?

2021-08-17T15:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427650499696750595

@PostDisclosure Intellectually justified belief is pretty much the classic epistemological definition of knowledge. But you wouldn't want "UFO Knowers"
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gettier_problem#Knowledge_as_justified_true_belief_(JTB)

2021-08-17T14:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427642948024422401
@AddysShout @alpha_check It really only takes one.

2021-08-17T13:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427630489037332486
@BambrickWayne @AnjaliOnGaia Only if you invariably show me such photos.

Absence of evidence is only evidence of absence if the presence would generate detectable evidence.

You claim a 1kg of C4 explodes in your house while you were watching TV. Nobody hears it. No damage. Did it?

2021-08-17T13:37:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427625587665870852
@MickSvest There's nothing to debunk. Brandon was unable to note any repeatable phenomena at the time, and admitted it was months before he himself noticed anything. What would I do? Go to a dark place and feel spooky?

2021-08-17T13:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427625132118265862
@ShepardAmbellas Sometimes people in government lie. You'd need a more specific question here.

2021-08-17T05:17:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427499792079351812
@zcichy @SicCoP1 Because he can.

2021-08-17T05:16:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427499623967461376
@PostDisclosure @zcichy I don't think he has a HUGE personal interest. Not Invisible College. I just think he's a bit interested in the topic, probably from talking to some people. That's the way it goes.

2021-08-17T05:01:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427495752524177423
@zcichy I suspect that Bill Nelson has a personal interest in the topic.

2021-08-17T04:58:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427495076230356993
@SuperVFunCat I'm rehabilitating a useful phrase. It IS sad that a scientist will lend his name to unscientific nonsense like this.

2021-08-17T04:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427494774462840834
@Bavundra A combination of the heat source being obscured and video compression.

Also the same thing happens earlier, when it only has land behind it.

Also even if it was fast (it was not) it just flew off a 200 foot cliff, and did not descend, so was nowhere near the water.

2021-08-17T04:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427493493312606210
@TuaUfo @realufobros Fake.

2021-08-17T04:49:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427492853698109444
Michio Kaku has been fooled by the Aguadilla footage. Sad.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E895CrcUUAELGPl.jpg

> After reviewing footage from a DHS patrol plane showing a five-foot-long object moving at more than 100 miles per hour off the coast of Puerto Rico, theoretical physicist Dr. Michio Kaku was left in shock.
>
> "Look at this thing! It's traveling much faster than it should," Kaku said. "How many aircraft do we know can dive into the ocean? But these craft [sic] effortlessly go back and forth between the water and the air. Our missiles cannot do that. The stresses would be enough to rip the metal apart."
>
> The material that Kaku and Tucker uncover is only a fraction of the files contained by the military.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E894-K5VgAU5yuY.jpg

By Kristen Altus | Fox News Get Fox Nation



Tucker Carlson investigates UFOs on Fox Nation

'Tucker Carlson Originals' explores the mysterious flying objects in the sky in 'UFO Files: Chasing the Truth'

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E895CrcUUAELGPl.jpg
2021-08-17T04:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427481231868850176
@Bhima_Jenkins @Deepfryguy76 @AnjaliOnGaia My channel isnâ□□t monetized.

But thereâ□□s no indication anything will happen.

2021-08-17T03:57:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427479774943875088
@dan_sm1th @edwardcurrent Mostly misidentification.

2021-08-17T03:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427479566717571074
@DelusionsMass Thatâ□□s Lehtoâ□□s graphic, not mine.

2021-08-17T00:23:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427425702006059044
@redacted699 To be fair, the glare is likely bigger than the object (in angular size), so it's a valid calculation.
Except he got the distance wrong, so GIGO.

2021-08-17T00:21:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427425356080828416
16:00. You want to go into more detail? Why not have a conversation. Iron things out quicker. Avoid missing things.
Okay, 52 minutes, done.

2021-08-17T00:19:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427424839162228765

15:44 Go look at the evidence. Great. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E887L5IVkAA-Whp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E887L5IVkAA-Whp.jpg
2021-08-17T00:18:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427424472076742664
15:25 No, we DON'T know it's going sideways. That's really only necessary if you have divergent lines of bearing, they could be pretty much parallel. It could be flying mostly away and a bit to the side.

2021-08-17T00:15:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427423912787275787
15:00 I've shown several examples of saucer shaped glares. Falch has shown them in MWIR. It's not that difficult.

2021-08-17T00:14:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427423599774756864
14:14 - Why does it change shape when it rotates? It looks like exactly what it is, because it looks like a glare.

2021-08-17T00:13:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427423241325342723
14:10 Rebuttals. YouTube isn't the only way analysis gets done. There's a LONG thread going over this, eventually concluding that it could be quite far away. Multiple people doing advanced analysis.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/

2021-08-17T00:10:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427422625651847176
13:50 Just rotate that plane so it's facing away, and shrink it to about half, it could fit behind the glare. And it might be even smaller on screen.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E885D71VcAorcT4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E885D71VcAorcT4.jpg

2021-08-17T00:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427422160398675970

13:10 The object is behind the glare. You know, like in the Chilean case, or even in some of Dave Falch's videos.

2021-08-17T00:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427421728574087169

13:00 A) it's probably further away. B) it's probably angled away a lot more, like tail-on.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E884WBJVoAMOnpa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E884WBJVoAMOnpa.jpg

2021-08-17T00:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427421313019179009

12:10 Milliradians are useful for rules of thumb, but you can just use degrees and math. And no, if the lines of bearing can be essentially parallel, it does not even have to be moving if it's very far away.

2021-08-17T00:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427420251717726210

9:10, looks like you're going with the small range. So if that's the basis of the argument, then..... no.

2021-08-17T00:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427419926587863071

Yeah, you should ignore these. Because they either wrong or irrelevant.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E882qNKVcBwttZR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E882qNKVcBwttZR.jpg

2021-08-16T23:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427419575201656850

7:45. Elizondo said the pilots saw it rotate? Where? Why don't you quote him?

2021-08-16T23:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427419267620761649

Earhart's statement you quoted is, again, something I agree with. But it's the wrong question. Nobody is saying the OBJECT is rotated independently of the background. It's the GLARE, and NOT by the derotation mechanism.

2021-08-16T23:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427418803776868353

6:26 Falch and Earhart we not actually answering the right questions. I've discussed this extensively. Here's Falch https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

---

2021-08-16T23:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427418242809688090

and even then, don't you think those graphs are suspiciously similar? Just coincidence that the

UFO rotates roughly by the needed amount at that point?

2021-08-16T23:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427417884603543556

5:50 "Rotating less than mechanically required" - I think this assumes only the outer gimbals are used. Roll rotation is minimized as it can make maintaining lock difficult. Lots of discussion of this on Metabunk.

2021-08-16T23:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427417190978908169

5:25 Yes, a glare (not a lens flare) can grow if the light source gets brighter, like by getting close to it, or the angle changing slightly if you're following a jet.

2021-08-16T23:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427416790963941381

5:00 It's a lot easier to see on a smaller screen. I'm assuming you're looking for the rotating regions in the sky. Most people can see them just fine.

2021-08-16T23:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427416458561089536

4:40 Hmm, should I just stop now? IT'S NOT LENS FLARE! The halos and ghosts you describe are internal reflections off the back of compound lenses which are not used in the ATFLIR IR pathway.

2021-08-16T23:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427415791738101762

3:55. Narrow field of view does not reduce glare. It has to do with the exposure. Your example is meaningless. Try Narrow FOV with the target at a distance so it's the same angular size.

2021-08-16T23:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427414737462063118

3:30 The turbine? Seriously? You think the spinning turbine makes engine glare flicker? No.

2021-08-16T23:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427414456355549186

3:15 It does not pulsate because it's far away, large relative to the exhaust, and above atmospheric turbulence. Unlike in the example you show.

2021-08-16T23:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427414087084896260

2:40 "lens flare is the shape of the aperture". No. That's bokeh.

2021-08-16T23:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427413829709819926

There are no examples of this in ATFLIR video because there are basically no ATFLIR videos. But I've demonstrated the effect several times with normal cameras, even IR.

2021-08-16T23:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427413518593126404

2:15 No, we are NOT talking about "lens flare", that's reflection. What we are talking about is

stray light around a bright light source. Glare, like around the sun. I explain this in depth here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2021-08-16T23:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427412896405811200

Well, first up Chris, you didn't prove it was within 10nm. More recent analysis using smoothed per-frame data as well as DCS shows the lines of bearing could be essentially parallel, meaning it could be VERY far away.

2021-08-16T23:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427411955938054144

Alrighty, coming off a busy few days, I'm going to watch this "Mick West is still wrong" video for the first time and live-tweet my notes in this thread. https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1426226387791269888

2021-08-16T23:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427410202089844738

Now I'll go watch Lehto's video.... BRB!

2021-08-16T23:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427410061249323008

I'd be happy to talk to @alpha_check though. Direct communication is generally the fastest way to make progress.

2021-08-16T23:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427409876930547719

I don't think I'll do a response video, the points are all pretty tedious, and hardly anyone is interested. I'm mostly interested in the video itself, I don't know what the object it. I would not rule out a jet based on this video, despite the many "case closed!!!" statement.

2021-08-16T23:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427408692803108865

Finally watched this. @alpha_check assumes nobody messed up, equipment is faultless, everyone has perfect recall. He makes some rather dubious connections (like the tracking number). He does admit Underwood might be wrong about the object zooming off, but says it's insignificant" https://twitter.com/alpha_check/status/1424919248992940036

2021-08-16T22:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427399183116341268

@UObuff @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 I'd be happy to see them.

2021-08-16T22:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427398958012239895

@Fineprint25 @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 I used software to specific what feature in the video was locked to the center to the screen.

Just like in GoFast.

2021-08-16T22:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427395710903717892

@AnjaliOnGaia Your absence of evidence is actually evidence of absence. Because what you describe could not exist without evidence.

2021-08-16T20:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427367435863150593

@Dingus15700175 @AnjaliOnGaia Most of #ufotwitter does not take @AnjaliOnGaia seriously. She represents the esoteric fringe. Mysticism, not science.

2021-08-16T20:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427367086465044492

@Jeff_Hayhs @viaChrisLathrop @EricRWeinstein @Earthfiles It's ignored because there's no evidence it's anything unusual.

2021-08-16T20:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427366048383193088

@Deepfryguy76 @AnjaliOnGaia Oh it would be interesting. Just not worth the drive (and realistically that's two days)

2021-08-16T20:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427365160188989446

@JeremyUnidenti1 @nyx_earth @MiddleOfMayhem THere's parallax. Parallax does not go away because you lock onto a different part of the scene. See here. One video, two lock situations. Same parallax in both.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427365116660502531/pu/img/pSLPElw9Roho38Ct.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427365116660502531/pu/img/pSLPElw9Roho38Ct.jpg

2021-08-16T20:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427364640896405517

@Deepfryguy76 @AnjaliOnGaia A day of my time, gas money.

Let see what message she relays tomorrow.

2021-08-16T20:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427364277833256971

@nyx_earth @JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem And, in addition to the ground track, the WSO is moving the camera around, trying to get a lock on the object.

2021-08-16T20:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427363988023627805

@nyx_earth @JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem Ground track does not passively track the background. It locks the camera on where the ground is, based on the altitude and the angles.

2021-08-16T20:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427363670942633987

@MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 Here a single shot with a change of tracking. Initially tracking the background (low white building) so the tower seems to move into shot. Then change to tracking the tower.

Parallax effect is the same in both. Just different lock.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427363216225554462/pu/img/gO7uhRDp1jE6i5Go.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427363216225554462/pu/img/gO7uhRDp1jE6i5Go.jpg

2021-08-16T19:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427353433149886476

@JeremyUnidenti1 @MiddleOfMayhem I know that's wrong.

The effect on the relative motion is exactly the same, regardless of what you lock on to. Lock onto the ocean and it looks like the object is moving fast. Lock onto the object, and it looks like the ocean is moving fast (relative to the camera).

2021-08-16T19:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427352617127071745

@BobJone95763863 Sure, the fact that it's a building kind of spoils the illusion. It works far better with hovering objects

---

2021-08-16T19:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427352284678148112

@DaveVidalnyc What's the problem? People have trouble understanding parallax, so I try to share examples.

2021-08-16T19:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427351786793291799

@MiddleOfMayhem It IS an example of parallax.

I'm genuinely surprised you don't know this, after several years of looking at the video.

2021-08-16T19:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427351464498778113

@nyx_earth @MiddleOfMayhem It's parallax. Think about it.

2021-08-16T19:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427349339563708423

@nyx_earth @MiddleOfMayhem I think it's more likely a balloon. However a bird that flies at flight altitude is going to be well insulated. The outer feathers are going to be a similar temperature to the surrounding air.

2021-08-16T19:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427348873974992974

@MiddleOfMayhem Gofast, Gimbal, Aquadilla, Mexican Oil Rigs, and many more.

2021-08-16T19:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427347442727473211

@MiddleOfMayhem Of course the background does not appear to be moving in that portion of the video. The camera is tracking the background.

The background seems to move when the camera locks onto the object.

2021-08-16T18:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427338132031098891

Here's the original. Targeting pods do the zoom and lock for you - vastly improving the parallax illusion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427337297075195923/pu/img/5IOFXGiwoLCSjqBH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427337297075195923/pu/img/5IOFXGiwoLCSjqBH.jpg
2021-08-16T18:30:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427336964865347607
@2020defense @SayeedAmoudi @BBCWorld Why? There's nothing to suggest it's fake. You saw the people clinging to the plane earlier. Reports say people died falling. It's very sad.

2021-08-16T18:27:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427336270112444435
Landing at LAX a few days ago. The old control tower demonstrates a nice parallax effect when you zoom in and lock on. It almost looks like it's moving, especially towards the end.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427335812169932800/pu/img/03vIf4KW9c4ZNLWG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1427335812169932800/pu/img/03vIf4KW9c4ZNLWG.jpg
2021-08-16T18:24:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427335445931720706

@gornalalbion @AnjaliOnGaia Disaster for who?

2021-08-16T17:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427316949663424526

@KikPlenty @AnjaliOnGaia If it's real then my presence will be irrelevant.

I'm not going to drive 350 miles to Mojave to see if something that looks like obvious nonsense is nonsense.

2021-08-16T16:25:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427305416858378246

@AnjaliOnGaia Your claims of meeting several races of aliens at a mountain base are obviously false.

Why you are making them is anyone's guess. There's only a few basic options though.

#ufotwitter is mostly uncomfortably ignoring you.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E87OgAKUYAYr8Wp.jpg

Diverse International Team to Join Expedition to Mojave Desert ET Base Before End of 2021

WASHINGTON, DC, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, UNITED STATES, August 16, 2021 /EINPresswire.com/ -- On Tuesday, August 17, 2021 at 10:00 am ET, Retired Defense Intelligence Officer known publicly only by the alias Añjali is hosting a press conference at the Lincoln Memorial in Washington, DC, to announce the formation of a renowned international team of scientists and experts who will join an expedition to an undisclosed subterranean mountain base in the Mojave Desert, to meet with extraterrestrials who desire soft disclosure. A U.S. veteran, her legal identity will be disclosed publicly for the first time.

The intel expert entered the base in Southern California on January 21, 2018, at approximately 3:00 pm PT with four peers; there, she met with several distinct races whom she refers to as “higher beings.” Since that date, she has been in contact with them during periodic visitations and during what she calls, “conscious communication.’

“These beings communicate differently than humans.They use conscious communication, an elevated form that surpasses spoken language barriers instantly,” Ms. Añjali stated.“The international team will be prepared to meet with them when we go, and we will bring back evidence that gently reveals the beings’ co-existence here.This is necessary to prepare us for contact.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E87OgAKUYAYr8Wp.jpg

2021-08-16T15:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427293072795181058

@gornalalbion @AnjaliOnGaia Not really. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E87DXtHUYAEL5l_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E87DXtHUYAEL5l_.jpg

2021-08-16T03:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427108367592419330

@EricRWeinstein @danielsheehan45 The question is if you have become convinced that extra-terrestrials are here and we are making contact.

2021-08-16T00:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427064772084727808

Hey @EricRWeinstein, do you approve this message? The other folk are believers, but I'd pegged you more in the hypotheticals. Have you become convinced, or just in it for the thought experiments? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83zaF1UYAIErwd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83zaF1UYAIErwd.jpg

2021-08-15T23:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427051379487428611

@IamDrVenkman It's obviously preposterous. The question is what's actually behind it. Someone just playing games, or someone with some serious delusions?

2021-08-15T22:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427038678082195456

@Lynda_Katerra Theoretically, yes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83cFYTVIAQPjhZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83cFYTVIAQPjhZ.jpg

2021-08-15T22:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427038131576922114

@ImCosmic_ I shall cross that bridge when I come to it, which I won't.

2021-08-15T22:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1427036107204567041
What's going on here? Alien disclosure LARP?
https://twitter.com/AnjaliOnGaia/status/1426971055634190336

2021-08-15T21:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1427019596146429953
@thaxter @rotatonda @ChrisKMellon The explain that in the report. It's because of limited data.

And it's a report about unidentified phenomena, not identified phenomena.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83KkeoVkAQpx6Y.jpg

UNCLASSIFIED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The limited amount of high-quality reporting on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP. The Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAPTF) considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E83KkeoVkAQpx6Y.jpg
2021-08-15T18:52:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426980035353714690
@chuckdavenav "deal with" it?

What does that mean?

2021-08-15T17:46:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426963458679926788
@PThegermandude @rotatonda @ChrisKMellon I did not say Mellon was convinced "because of purely anecdotal stories"

I said "mostly by witness testimony and other like-minded individuals"

2021-08-15T17:36:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426961097710473217
@UAP36665648 @chrisotis78 @DaveFalch @alpha_check Oh I don't know. I don't think anyone has previously speculated that Gimbal might be a mylar balloon reflecting the sun.:grinning_face:

2021-08-15T17:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426960351103385601
@PThegermandude @rotatonda @ChrisKMellon Chris Mellon's key role in all of this IS a fact. He got the videos out. He got the UAP report legislation written and passed. He was a founding member of TTSA.

He's a prime mover here.

2021-08-15T17:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426958133696471042

@bogsnorkeler Sounds like three questions for the FAQ!

But briefly: yes, like what?, no.

2021-08-15T17:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426954325331300352

@PThegermandude @rotatonda @ChrisKMellon No, it makes me someone who is speculating about the inner motives of people based on their actions.

It's really the LEAST conspiratorial explanation.

2021-08-15T17:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426952247569620995

@rotatonda I think largely it is being pushed by people, like @ChrisKMellon, who have been convinced, mostly by witness testimony and other like-minded individuals, that UFOs are of non-human intelligent origin.

2021-08-15T16:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426948757329874944

Some light (but largely correct) analysis of the Pyramid, GoFast, Gimbal, and FLIR1 videos. Too lightweight for #ufotwitter to care. But it's on a popular channel, so it will get views and people will agree with them.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jHDlfIaBEqw

2021-08-15T15:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426935045747482629

@TUSSCOMMANDER There's pretty much a whole chapter in my book about that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8192RWVIAAxyAy.jpg



raise issues that sound like claims I've made (like, "contrails have always persisted"), then they get accused of being Mick West in disguise, and their evidence is discarded.

I have tried to counter this by being open, honest, and respectful. I tell people who I am. I explain to them what I think. I explain that I think there are many real conspiracies, an abundance of government corruption, and that nobody should blindly trust people in power. I discuss why I debunk *false* conspiracy theories, and why I think that is important. I discuss my past, explaining how I got here, what my credentials are (more often how I don't have any), how I came to know so much about contrails, and how I can afford to run Metabunk by myself.

The short version I give is that I'm a retired video game programmer and nobody pays me. I debunk as a hobby and it's something I've always been interested in. Metabunk is just an off-the-shelf forum that costs very little to run except for time, of which I have plenty.

The longer version follows. I include my story here because the more someone knows about you and the more they can relate to you, the more

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8192RWVIAAxyAy.jpg

2021-08-15T15:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426934430107537410

@sims11tz which reminds me of this ad from 1987. I went to work at Ocean about 1990.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8187OsVkAgmsZM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8187OsVkAgmsZM.jpg

2021-08-15T15:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426933250568556545

@sims11tz I need my shill checks to start arriving first:grinning_face:

2021-08-15T15:45:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426932948662591491

@mesonparticle @spirit_of_venom I pronounce FAQ as fack, so I'm sticking with the (slightly more popular) "a" FAQ. Pronunciation varies, neither is incorrect, but both sound dead wrong to the opposite ear.

I still have to write one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E817XM_VkAoliS2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E817XM_VkAoliS2.jpg
2021-08-15T15:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426929920303788033
I need to write a FAQ

2021-08-15T15:32:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426929723876122624
@Komutan27916321 Where did it go?

2021-08-15T00:45:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426706518401974275
@OpenCuriosityz Here's the Prologue, introduction, and Overview. Overview in the images.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Intro%20to%20P1.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8yuA0wVoAE04WP.jpg

Contents

Acknowledgments v
Prologue: Willie – Rabbit Hole Escapee vii
Introduction xii
Overview xxii

PART ONE

Chapter 1: *The "Conspiracy Theory" Conspiracy Theory* 1
Chapter 2: *Conspiracy Spectrums* 11
Chapter 3: *The Shill Card* 26
Chapter 4: *The Rabbit Hole: How and Why* 37
Chapter 5: *Core Debunking Techniques* 56
Chapter 6: *Steve – A Journey through the Rabbit Hole* 75

PART TWO

Chapter 7: *Chemtrails* 85
Chapter 8: *Stephanie – A Former Chemtrailer* 129
Chapter 9: *9/11 Controlled Demolitions* 135
Chapter 10: *Karl – Temporary Truther* 160
Chapter 11: *False Flags* 164
Chapter 12: *Richard – Drawing the Line at Sandy Hook* 189
Chapter 13: *Flat Earth* 194
Chapter 14: *Bob – Escape from Flat Earth* 212

PART THREE

Chapter 15: *Complications in Debunking* 219
Chapter 16: *The Future of Bunk and Debunking* 233

Conclusion 249
Glossary 251
Endnotes 257
Index 274

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8yt-vEVkAI0O03.jpg

Chapter Five, "Core Debunking Techniques," lays out a set of tools and guidelines for practical debunking. The focus is on effective communication of missing information.

Chapter Six, "Steve – A Journey through the Rabbit Hole," tells the story of Steve, a conspiracy theorist since the 1970s whose story of escape exemplifies many of the concepts covered in the preceding chapters.

Part Two is the practical core of the book. Four different conspiracy theories are discussed in depth. The common false claims of evidence for those theories are described, and I explain how best to convey the explanations of those claims to your friend. Paired with each chapter is the account of someone who went down that particular rabbit hole and ultimately escaped.

Chapter Seven, "Chemtrails," covers the surprisingly popular idea that the white lines that planes leave in the sky are not just condensation, but are part of a secret plot to alter the climate. The science of contrails (what these white lines actually are) is covered, along with the most common claims like "contrails don't persist," "aluminum is in the rain," and "geoengineering patents." This is my personal favorite conspiracy theory, and the longest chapter in the book.

Chapter Eight, "Stephanie – A Former Chemtrailer," tells the story of Stephanie, a German Chemtrail believer who was helped out of the rabbit hole by her friend.

Chapter Nine, "9/11 Controlled Demolitions," looks at the most popular aspect of the wide range of 9/11 conspiracy theories—the idea that the plane impacts and raging fires were not enough to bring down the World Trade Center, and that pre-planted explosives must have been used. It's too large a subject to do justice in one chapter, so I focus on some key areas in which your friend might be missing information. These include: Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth, nanothermite, the plane that hit the Pentagon, and a (not) missing $2.3 trillion.

Chapter Ten, "Karl–Temporary Truther," tells the story of someone who nearly fell deep down the 9/11 rabbit hole, but was caught in time by his friend.

Chapter Eleven, "False Flags," covers the often emotive issue of claims that events like Sandy Hook were hoaxes. I take a detailed look at the often-cited historical evidence for False Flags, in particular Operation Northwoods and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8yuAKkVoAEquDz.jpg

the Gulf of Tonkin incident. I look at some ways you can bring perspective to people who have fallen down this particular rabbit hole.

Chapter Twelve, "Richard – Drawing the Line at Sandy Hook," tells the story of a young man for whom the Sandy Hook hoax theory was the thing that ultimately helped turn him away from his conspiratorial thinking.

Chapter Thirteen, "Flat Earth," covers what many consider to be the obviously ridiculous theory that the Earth is flat and the government is covering this up. Many people who claim to hold this view are just trolling, but what do you do if you meet people who actually believe it? I look at the history of the theory, the most common claims, and some very straightforward ways of showing people that the Earth is not flat.

Chapter Fourteen, "Bob – Escape from Flat Earth," tells the story of someone who not only believed the Earth was flat, but had family members who believed it too.

Part Three takes a look at some of the additional complications that you may encounter while helping your friend and finishes with a look at the future of debunking.

Chapter Fifteen, "Complications in Debunking," first examines the common problem of explaining a complex subject to someone who is simply (through no fault of their own) incapable of quickly understanding it. Further complications arise when your friend is a close family member, with a different dynamic from a friend and potentially more significant long-term ramifications. I look at the issues raised by the Morgellons theory and offer some brief advice on dealing with mental illness. I conclude with considerations and guidance on how to navigate political disagreements that cross over into the conspiracy realm.

Chapter Sixteen, "The Future of Bunk and Debunking," is partly speculative, but is based firmly against the backdrop of the influence of disinformation in the 2016 election, and the repercussions that continue to this day. I look at how trolls and bots help spread conspiracy theories, and how it is probably going to get worse before it gets better.

I conclude on a hopeful note with a look at the tools being developed to fight online misinformation, and how this might help turn back the tide of conspiracism.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8yuA0wVoAE04WP.jpg

Overview

Escaping the Rabbit Hole is arranged into three parts. In Part One, we take a detailed look at the conspiracy theory rabbit hole. Why do conspiracy theories exist? Why do people get sucked into them and how can you help them out?

Chapter One, "The 'Conspiracy Theory' Conspiracy Theory," addresses the contentious usage of the term "conspiracy theory" by looking at its history. The usage predates the assassination of JFK in 1963, and while it does have some negative connotations, I use it because it is a term that is (mostly) well understood.

Chapter Two, "Conspiracy Spectrums," looks at the range of conspiracy theories from the plausible to the ridiculous. I introduce the concept of a conspiracy "demarcation line" which is drawn on each individual's personal conspiracy spectrum. On one side of their line are the "reasonable" theories, and on the other are "silly" theories and "disinformation." I discuss how understanding and identifying this line is key to helping your friend.

Chapter Three, "The Shill Card," addresses the common accusation that some people who attempt to debunk false conspiracy theories are shills. The best way to combat this accusation is to be as honest and open as possible about what you are doing. To that end, this chapter contains a detailed explanation of how I ended up debunking conspiracy theories on the internet, why I do it, and how I can afford it.

Chapter Four, "The Rabbit Hole: How and Why," examines how people get sucked into the rabbit hole. What is the contribution of psychological factors? How do people typically end up in a conspiracy spiral? I look at current research on the matter and the significant role of online videos.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8yt_bWUcAUZhYh.jpg
2021-08-14T21:49:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426662270386327556
@BostonsBuddha
https://www.usgs.gov/center-news/a-personal-commentary-why-i-dislike-term-supervolcano-and-what-we-should-be-saying

2021-08-14T21:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426660858210394112

@glassbottommeg @spatns Yeah, NS running costs were about 1M a year back then. There was some overlap with other projects, but it was basically about that.

2021-08-14T16:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426581022666231812

The Yellowstone "Supervolcano" myth debunked. No, it's not going to explode and kill everyone. It's not even overdue for a regular lava flow. Worry about real things.

https://eos.org/features/dont-call-it-a-supervolcano#:~:text=The%20Supervolcano%20Myth

---

2021-08-14T16:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426576544055496706

@Ibn_e_Channa @pstanley123 I have an older phone, but I was still able to id the location.

You only need one unambiguous photo of a UFO. The problem is not a lack of a flood of good photos. It's a lack of ANY good photos/video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w3jQ0VkAAg_cC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w3jQ0VkAAg_cC.jpg

2021-08-14T16:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426575884840960001

@EasyMoney000001 It's already 5 years max. People have gone to prison for it.

https://www.laserpointersafety.com/rules-general/uslaws/uslaws.html#US_proposed_2005_2007_Securing_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w3IDAVkAQrphJ.jpg

---

US: Illegal to aim laser pointer beams at aircraft or their flight path; up to 5 years in prison and up to $250,000 fine

[The language below was signed into law by President Obama on February 14 2012. We are presenting it as it appears in the United States Code, which lists U.S. laws. The penalty for violating this law is up to 5 years in federal prison and/or up to a $250,000 fine.]

U.S.C. TITLE 18, CHAPTER 2

Sec. 39A. Aiming a laser pointer at an aircraft

(a) OFFENSE -- Whoever knowingly aims the beam of a laser pointer at an aircraft in the special aircraft jurisdiction of the United States, or at the flight path of such an aircraft, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both.

(b) LASER POINTER DEFINED -- As used in this section, the term `laser pointer' means any device designed or used to amplify electromagnetic radiation by stimulated emission that emits a beam designed to be used by the operator as a pointer or highlighter to indicate, mark, or identify a specific position, place, item, or object.

(c) EXCEPTIONS -- This section does not prohibit aiming a beam of a laser pointer at an aircraft, or the flight path of such an aircraft, by--

(1) an authorized individual in the conduct of research and development or flight test operations conducted by an aircraft manufacturer, the Federal Aviation Administration, or any other person authorized by the Federal Aviation Administration to conduct such research and development or flight test operations;

(2) members or elements of the Department of Defense or Department of Homeland Security acting in an official capacity for the purpose of research, development, operations, testing or training; or

(3) by an individual using a laser emergency signaling device to send an emergency distress signal.

(d) The Attorney General, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation, may provide by regulation, after public notice and comment, such additional exceptions to this section, as may be necessary and appropriate. The Attorney General shall provide written notification of any proposed regulations under this section to the Committees on the Judiciary of the House and Senate, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure in the House, and the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation in the Senate not less than 90 days before such regulations become final.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w3IDAVkAQrphJ.jpg

2021-08-14T16:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426574866862329870

@600AD He was waving it around, so we were just hit by an occasional flash. The beam diverges quite a bit, so was probably over 20 feet wide by the time it got to us

---

2021-08-14T15:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426574156674469891

@BrianDunning And one on top to record all the UFOs.

2021-08-14T15:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426573219796975622

Looks like it was South of Oakdale, in an industrial/ag area, with a sports field. So unlikely to point to anyone by the location. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w0ivSVIAgq-Pv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8w0ivSVIAgq-Pv.jpg

2021-08-14T14:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426553933242134535

@pstanley123 I saw several flashes from that location on the ground. I know what a green laser

looks like at a distance. I have video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1426553228368384010/pu/img/B3_vTlXN-HNesqoY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1426553228368384010/pu/img/B3_vTlXN-HNesqoY.jpg
2021-08-14T14:34:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426552767036809218
@JamesDustyKika 737, SWA790, about 9:50 PDT. I was in the four row, left side (looking back slightly) I saw several flashes as we approached the town, and I was already videoing flashing lights for reference.

2021-08-14T14:27:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1426550959140864000
Flying from LA to Sacramento last night, someone shot a laser at the plane. Shouldn't be too hard to track down where this is. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8wf9KrVUAI4k7y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8wfNDKVIAEewel.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8wf9KrVUAI4k7y.jpg

2021-08-14T03:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426378241959366656

@LuAngeles @BSquidding @chrisotis78 Maybe. Iâ□□ve got a busy next few days.

2021-08-14T02:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426375329044516864

@aka__V http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8uAzBQUYAEP5Rp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8uAzBQUYAEP5Rp.jpg

2021-08-14T02:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426375072198004739

@aka__V Airport

2021-08-14T02:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426374588108206081

@BiomechAnima They are something else.

2021-08-14T02:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426367715023810560

Not contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8t538NUYAQT1lu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8t538NUYAQT1lu.jpg

2021-08-13T15:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426210965205315591

@marcbel19406167 1897. During the big airship flap. Not THE Hamilton, just some farmer.

2021-08-13T15:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426210155796959234

@OpenCuriosityz @CDVilsack @KilliK69 @GeraldCorsiglia Nobody says fake.

2021-08-13T14:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426195023566045186

@CDVilsack @KilliK69 @GeraldCorsiglia @OpenCuriosityz Yes. A glitch that looks like groups of five objects slowly drifting south at 100 knots is inconvenient, but not dangerous.

They basically ignored it for a week.

2021-08-13T12:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426156842057572356

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @OpenCuriosityz @GeraldCorsiglia How, exactly? By opinion?

2021-08-13T12:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426156725015486464

@marcbel19406167 A) They are not.

B) People are "near" water.

2021-08-13T12:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1426156462112272389

@chrisotis78 @Oswald1160 @earthtour2 @RGibsonstud Link and timestamp?

2021-08-12T22:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425944753560899585

@KilliK69 @OpenCuriosityz @GeraldCorsiglia They seem quite clear that more analysis is needed to rule out the possibility of sensor error or operator misperception.

2021-08-12T21:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425925111270428680

@Lu666Cifer @jimmy2cans The page I linked to shows specifically how Urzi likely faked the 2008 video.

It's good to be aware of these techniques, so you can spot them if people re-use them.

2021-08-12T20:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425921140128587777

@OpenCuriosityz @KilliK69 @GeraldCorsiglia They say, the 18 anomalies "could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

Is that not a lie? Are they confused?

2021-08-12T18:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425893067588919296

@OpenCuriosityz @KilliK69 @GeraldCorsiglia So you think the report is both telling the truth and telling lies?

Which bits are which?

2021-08-12T18:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425892671558619142

@maetaphysika That's Okab, a star.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1425892630584389635/pu/img/CRpNgCF_bTA9lZOd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1425892630584389635/pu/img/CRpNgCF_bTA9lZOd.jpg
2021-08-12T17:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1425874786840846338
@Lu666Cifer @jimmy2cans
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/how-do-you-stage-ufo-photos-and-videos-let-us-count-the-ways.11674/page-2

2021-08-12T17:36:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1425873900794040322
@KilliK69 @GeraldCorsiglia @OpenCuriosityz Except, as they say, those could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8m4wH5VcAcmVV2.jpg

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8m4fUFVkAEMRpm.jpg

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The limited amount of high-quality reporting on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP. The Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAPTF) considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

- As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on reports that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of this new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.
- Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP were registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security. Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain. UAP would also represent a national security challenge if they are foreign adversary collection platforms or provide evidence a potential adversary has developed either a breakthrough or disruptive technology.

Consistent consolidation of reports from across the federal government, standardized reporting, increased collection and analysis, and a streamlined process for screening all such reports against a broad range of relevant USG data will allow for a more sophisticated analysis of UAP that is likely to deepen our understanding. Some of these steps are resource-intensive and would require additional investment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8m4wH5VcAcmVV2.jpg

2021-08-12T14:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425824004292497410

@Alien_Scientist Go for it!

2021-08-11T22:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425590093599756289

@theferaltweets I'd like to do a UFO book.

2021-08-11T22:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425589937219391488

@GrassrootsJedi @Showtime @jjabrams @bad_robot It seems like they went in a different direction. No UFO video debunking, but some interesting stuff in episode 3.

2021-08-11T22:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425589566166102018

@ston3slayer I'd like lots of people to read my book. That's why I wrote it.

2021-08-11T22:28:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425585013836304386

Correction, the $1.99 promotion for Escaping the Rabbit Hole is not just in the US, this time it's also in the UK, Australia, Canada - basically the English speaking bits of the world.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1425574968935403523

2021-08-11T22:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425583136822292480

@jimofthenorth That's great! Often the promotions are US only.

2021-08-11T22:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425582088187568128

@docdiscern @verified Been rejected twice since they opened up applications again. I could apply again in a couple of days, but nothing really has changed.

2021-08-11T22:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425579383922696192

#1 Best Seller! I know, it's only because of the BookBub promotion, and only in the "Popular Culture" category. But it's still fun to see that label there.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8isW6QVgAIMZgV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8isW6QVgAIMZgV.jpg

2021-08-11T21:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425576850923819008

@ArranGray9 Luckily my book barely mentions UFOs :)

2021-08-11T21:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425574968935403523

My book, Escaping The Rabbit Hole - How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect, is just $1.99 on Kindle (in the US) for a limited time. 90% off!

Want to understand how people fall down the rabbit hole, and how to help them out?

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/

2021-08-11T20:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425561436353298437

RT @spacestationguy: Here is my comparison of how an awesome huge professional telescope can deal with International Space Station photograâ¦

2021-08-11T19:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425542611381616641

@marcbel19406167 @fergieweather Pretty obviously a balloon. You can even see the string.

2021-08-11T18:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425528498714677249

@OpenCuriosityz They are cameras, not radar. People see UFOs all the time, so why wouldn't they be caught on camera?

2021-08-11T18:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425523599662673923

@theferaltweets @OwatcherUf @macsunmood @Josef_baum @DaveFalch @GM4AJK @JeremyCorbell @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp

Coincidence vs. Aliens

is not the same to Occam as

(Coincidence PLUS Aliens) vs. A Plane.

2021-08-11T16:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425493715317968900

Here's a global coalition of multiple networks of full-sky cameras that searches for visitors from space, tracks their trajectory, and goes to try to find them after they make landfall.

If there were ET-UFOs, would they have spotted them? Did they?

https://gfo.rocks/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8heKovVoAEeUjf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8heKovVoAEeUjf.jpg

2021-08-11T09:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425392482263597056

@captainkb24 Got rejected. It seems quite variable.

2021-08-11T03:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425297341003616261

@galaxopithecus @TheAdlerian It is a real thing.

2021-08-11T00:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425257581593862151

@skytriangles There are hundreds of other interviews with Avi about Oumuamua. I wanted to talk about the challenges of detecting and recording UFOs.

2021-08-10T23:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425240769535758336

@earthtour2 @RGibsonstud @chrisotis78 The one the right is the Tic-Tac UAP in IR.

The center one is not IR, it's TV mode.

2021-08-10T23:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425236799652196353

@BoyFrimSpace No. He was going to get back to me after reading the report, but I guess he forgot about it.

There seemed to be mixed opinions. I think I won, but I'm not too bothered about it.

2021-08-10T23:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425236250785554435

@ChrisSPITZER7 @BoyFrimSpace I don't think anyone thinks that.

It's a good first step though. Although I think there should be more focus on recording 3D tracks.

But why not both? Avi says they will do some triangulation with multiple telescopes. Although I'm not sure that will be very accurate.

2021-08-10T23:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425232706527399937

@BoyFrimSpace I assume nothing. I learned long ago that making assumptions is toxic to effective investigations.

2021-08-10T22:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425230432354799628

@BoyFrimSpace Well, people claim they can see them, so you should be able to photograph them in a way that's clearer than what the human eye can see.

2021-08-10T22:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425228150137495552

@BoyFrimSpace It would be a bit of a moot point then. The idea of getting a photo is to try to gather information about the intelligence and technology behind the phenomenon (after first demonstrating it's real)

2021-08-10T22:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425225670804709379

I talk to Avi Loeb about the hunt for a clear photo of a UFO.

We talk about demarcation between science and pseudoscience, the rationale for the Galileo Project, the challenges it will face, and how it all fits into Professor Loeb's personal philosophy.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VQqdgNG-rJg

2021-08-10T22:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425219519954313224

@Linkchadlad

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-08-10T17:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425141946385768453

@earthtour2 @RGibsonstud @chrisotis78 What do you mean by "IR excess?" Stray IR around a very hot heat source? Like this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8cfCCIUcAAGMOP.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8cfCCIUcAAGMOP.jpg

2021-08-10T16:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425135205518430213

@Guillermon98 @blackvaultcom It would be great if the government was fully transparent, but I understand there are sometimes needs for secrecy - both for national security reasons and for confidentiality.

2021-08-10T16:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1425132972047421443

@OwatcherUf @macsunmood @Josef_baum @DaveFalch @GM4AJK @JeremyCorbell @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp Yes, it's a good

illustration. You can see the bumps clearly in the original, but this calls them out individually, and separates them from other things.
The bump/rotate link is exact, and would be a VERY unlikely coincidence.

2021-08-10T14:56:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1425108868322013193
@daritoloco Why? What does it say thatâ□□s controversial?

2021-08-10T02:20:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424918566181031941
@OpenCuriosityz A plane. Nothing else. It means nothing.

2021-08-10T01:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424902045971013632
@_Kodos_ Yeah, it's just training.

2021-08-10T01:12:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424901552163024898
@OpenCuriosityz I don't think it was just a "lens glare". I think it was an object, maybe an aircraft. Probably not the same thing in all of the various Nimitz events. Some radar returns were probably glitches.

2021-08-09T23:08:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424870301494484996
@thieme Kind of the opposite. But still parallax. It's like how the moon seems to follow you.

2021-08-09T22:31:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424860852126052380
Same plane in infrared. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8YfRjsVcA4DBDp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8YfRjsVcA4DBDp.jpg
2021-08-09T22:21:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424858356695527427
@Rahzsah Well, I did have my big camera handy. But this was my first attempt.

Eventually you get good shots. You only need one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8Yc_6ZVcBwuU9y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8Yc_6ZVcBwuU9y.jpg
2021-08-09T22:19:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424857867249610796
@marcbel19406167 Well, part of it is an actual flying saucer.

2021-08-09T22:17:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424857417129488386
@BrandyZadrozny That's a LOT of vaccinations.

2021-08-09T22:16:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424857156482854941
@maetaphysika The one that briefly appears? That's Rasalhague.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8Yb8jxVcAMpDgZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8Yb8jxVcAMpDgZ.jpg

2021-08-09T22:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424855604854616087

AWACS practicing near my house. Low and slow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8YadoCVcAMbf7h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8YadoCVcAMbf7h.jpg

2021-08-09T19:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424818837497647119

@mvpispi @PeteDominick @Snowden Here's how I open my book.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8X5KnRVEBUflCv.jpg

Introduction

Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people make secret plans at the expense of the general public should come as no surprise to anyone. Nixon conspired to cover up Watergate. The CIA staged "false flag" operations in 1953 to bring down the Iranian government. Powerful men in the Reagan administration conspired to illegally trade arms with Iran to finance the Nicaraguan Contras. Enron conspired to shut down power stations to raise the price of electricity. Executives from Archer Daniels Midland conspired to fix the price of animal feed.[1] People within the second Bush administration conspired to present sketchy evidence as conclusive proof of WMDs to justify the invasion of Iraq. Politicians tacitly (and sometimes overtly) conspire with wealthy individuals and corporations, helping pass favorable legislation in ex-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8X5C3nVEAUapmX.jpg

change for campaign contributions, or sometimes just bribes.[2] The prison industry conspires to get those politicians to incarcerate more people simply to maximize their profits.[3]

Nobody sensible is denying that conspiracies happen. These are well documented and undisputed facts. Conspiracies very clearly have happened and will continue to happen. Nobody is asking you to trust that the people in power always have your best interests at heart, because they clearly do not. Nobody is asking you to blindly trust the government, or big pharma, or any large entity with a gross amount of power, wealth, and influence. A key aspect of a well-functioning democracy is that the government should be subject to scrutiny.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8X5KnRVEBUflCv.jpg

2021-08-09T19:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424808565252886539

@ufosnotreal @DevinTeichrow @rogeressig Kind of looks like the ship is hovering over the buildings.

2021-08-09T18:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424807211499327507

@Usingcommonsen1 @DavidFo73204388 Like this UFO, up in the clouds, which was actually just a ship. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8XuhxKVEAc5tUF.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8XuhxKVEAc5tUF.png

2021-08-09T18:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424806641753485312

@DianthusSweet Nothing a few tugs and a high tide won't fix.

2021-08-09T18:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424806402522902528

@mvpispi @PeteDominick What would you suggest?

I find it's best to start that discussion with things that everyone can agree were conspiracies. The Lysine one was pretty big. They made a movie about it starring matt Damon.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lysine_price-fixing_conspiracy

2021-08-09T18:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424804806305075212

@DevinTeichrow @rogeressig It's a big ship. https://youtu.be/bUW0nDujVIc?t=32

2021-08-09T18:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424799577413148676

Perspective! https://twitter.com/rogeressig/status/1424797659273973773

2021-08-09T18:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424797943610036260

@AMMASSURYA and that's it.

I share things I find interesting. I'm not finely crafting every post I make as part of some CIA psyop.

2021-08-09T17:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424789465084547074

Cool example of sea-as-sky illusion. A light appears to be flying above buildings, but it's actually physically below them, in the ocean behind them.
https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/p0u73u/reminder_how_easy_it_is_to_mistake_vessels oil/

2021-08-09T15:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424757182357143563
Mylar balloon kites from 2011. Quite large structures made from multiple mylar balloons in a geometric configuration. Probably caused a few UFO sightings back in the day.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B8p4Oe8fM_Q

2021-08-09T15:31:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424755116016496643
@T3FEmr6nr7OUIeM @picardofborg55 No, it was not. I don't do things like that, and I resent the implication. I might get things wrong, but everything I say is my honest belief or a genuine inquiry.

2021-08-09T14:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424740825171329027
@picardofborg55 Thatâ□□s a plane. The motion is an image stabilization thing. Easy to reproduce.

2021-08-09T09:01:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424657162064646148
@gaz_et @marcbel19406167 Which people?

2021-08-09T08:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424651892873777158
@gaz_et @marcbel19406167 It's not that complicated, we are just talking about what IR glare would look like at a different angle and distance. Radar has zero to do with it.

2021-08-09T01:44:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424546980630392836
@alpha_check Differently.
https://baos.pub/the-struggle-of-reading-with-aphantasia-63977b2cdfc5

2021-08-09T01:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424546511229095938
@dradammcleod Notice how close it is, and the angle it is to the camera.

2021-08-08T20:04:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424461545954709509
RT @PaulaChertok: :fire:@JohnAvlon: Tucker Carlson's Hungary infomercial was to open the Overton Window, to say #democracy is NOT essential forâ□¦

2021-08-08T18:27:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424437050875416579
@inf3rence @bradjohansson21 @soldisnew @AnnaWhitty2 @SaltburnChris @Alderley
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Form_constant
"It is believed that the reason why these form constants appear has to do with the way the

visual system is organized, and in particular in the mapping between patterns on the retina and the columnar organization of the primary visual cortex. "

2021-08-08T18:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424436790014799873

@BostonsBuddha @Guillermon98 Right, but it's going to be a different mental synthesis, possibly with different results.

2021-08-08T16:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424409308981129216

@soldisnew @AnnaWhitty2 @SaltburnChris @Alderley That looks like what is known as a "Form constant" which is "one of several geometric patterns which are recurringly observed during hypnagogia, hallucinations and altered states of consciousness." Thought to relate to the structure of the brain.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Form_constant

2021-08-08T16:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424408441057992707

@Guillermon98 Conclusion: people have very different levels of mental imigary. Some have none. It might be important to take this into account when talking to people when they try to describe things they saw.

2021-08-08T15:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424394975668699140

@sampler_of_joe Thatâ□□s not it. It more like if you 100% thought you gave all your apples to your friend, but never really checked, or you overlooked one.

2021-08-08T15:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424388593984827392

@farawaydeployed 1% of 20,000 is 200 people.

2021-08-07T23:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424147797079592965

@LetsAbductAnEBE @RaytheonTech Raytheon won't help. When asked they defer to the Navy - because it's a system that's essentially classified. How many ATFLR videos are there in the world? How many as good as GIMBAL and GOFAST? Zero. Because it's classified.

2021-08-07T23:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424147223974084611

@Lambo1966 @bradjohansson21 It's not profound. It's a simple observation. IR glare exists, it can be stable and it can be large.

Simple facts.

2021-08-07T22:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424142778800373760

@TUSSCOMMANDER It's just different, but there are creative successful people who have

aphantasia, like Ed Catmull, former head of Pixar - where some of the artists also have it.

https://www.bbc.com/news/health-47830256

2021-08-07T22:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424141629699416064

@TheAdlerian The line of reasoning is that people have different levels of ability to visualize things. Some people have none, some people have 3D full-motion technicolor, and there's a range in-between.

We assume other's mental pictures are just like our own. This leads to misunderstanding

---

2021-08-07T21:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424117302908260356

@paul_sailer They can see a ball, they just can't imagine a ball.

2021-08-07T21:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424117164643024896

@Fiddaman I should do a FAQ.

2021-08-07T20:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424112797563056130

@marcbel19406167 @LetsAbductAnEBE Which video is a "strong video"?

2021-08-07T20:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424110324303556610

@Fiddaman Examples http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8N0uXLVUAgLZF5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8N0uXLVUAgLZF5.jpg

2021-08-07T20:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424109761880989696

@Fiddaman I address it pretty much every week when someone new asks me about it.

I don't know what the "fleet" is, and I don't know if the object seen on the ATFLIR is part of it. ATFLIR is a very narrow side view. SA is a very wide overhead view.

2021-08-07T20:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424109066163421185

@marcbel19406167 Can't most people see in rotating 3D?

That's kind of interesting too. Can people visualize the same scene from an arbitrary moving viewpoint? Is this something people lack entirely?

I can fly around a 3D scene in my mind's eye. Maybe related to decades of 3D programming.

2021-08-07T20:27:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424104911290003462
About 1% of the population is thought to have aphantasia (the inability to form mental images).

Does anyone in #ufotwitter have aphantasia and has ALSO seen a UFO? How do you describe it? And where are you on this "mental image of an apple" scale?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NvBURVEAMIEgq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NvBURVEAMIEgq.jpg
2021-08-07T20:04:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424099101742813191
@160WoodsCoveLn @unused_metaphor @Deleo77a1 The Nimitz Tic-Tac video is generally referred to as FLIR1, which is sometimes just shorted to FLIR, including by Elizondo in his 1910 form requesting clearance for the three videos.

All the videos are shot with FLIR though. ATFLIR to be precise.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NqVyuUUAMkFM-.jpg



EARANCE REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFEN

(See Instructions on back.)

e used in requesting review and clearance of DoD information proposed for public release in accorda

) **Chief, Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Was**

mail address shown above. For drop-off/next day delivery, use:
A534, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155

DESCRIPTION	
pg File (x3)	b. TITLE "GoFast"; "Gimble"; "FLIR"
3	d. SUBJECT AREA UAV, Balloons, and other UAS

AKER

irst, Middle Initial)	b. RANK	c. TITLE
[redacted]	GS-15	[redacted]
		e. AGENCY OUSD(I), DoD

ON/PUBLICATION DATA *(Date, Place, Event)*

Not for publication. Research and analysis ONLY and info sharing with other USG and industry

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NqVyuUUAMkFM-.jpg

2021-08-07T19:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424094604891090946

@BiomechAnima @edwardcurrent The voices are clearly at the same time as the FLIR footage even if it was not recorded on the same device.

Not being recorded by FLIR does not mean they were not looking at it.

2021-08-07T19:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424093609142325251

@edwardcurrent @BiomechAnima Jet powered ones do. "Drone" to the military includes fighter-jet sized unmanned aircraft. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NlcNVVIAAHU-3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NlcNVVIAAHU-3.jpg
2021-08-07T19:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424092820231528450
@LetsAbductAnEBE @marcbel19406167 So you think all Dave Falch's videos are entirely irrelevant because they are not shot with an ATFLIR?

2021-08-07T19:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424092578907975680
@SwinkInc @Deleo77a1 There's a plume, there's engine glare, and there's "plume glare". The actual plume does not start out as wide as a wing and then narrow, it starts out narrow (engine width) and hot, then cools and widens. Without glare you only see the hot core of the plume.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NkfoIVUAAaG4I.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NkfoIVUAAaG4I.jpg
2021-08-07T19:23:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424088790038056964
@marcbel19406167 The top half here appears on video as uniformly hot and uniformly oval-shaped. Given a straighter angle and greater distance it would all look like that. Like here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1424088684761026560/pu/img/-99qyueK6WxP3eZZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1424088684761026560/pu/img/-99qyueK6WxP3eZZ.jpg
2021-08-07T19:20:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424088158791106560
@unused_metaphor @Deleo77a1 The Gimbal crew have never spoken up. (You might be thinking of the Nimitz Tic-Tac)

Nor would they have been able to see anything of the object other than the ATFLIR video.

2021-08-07T19:04:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424083959881760776
@Deleo77a1 And why would you if the plume was facing towards you and the glare was big enough to cover the plane?

2021-08-07T19:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424083591877718018
In visible light it looks like this. Notice you can see the red hot portion of the engine. That's what makes the IR glare. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NcWIXVcAEf30e.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NcWIXVcAEf30e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8NcNT4VUAUjpCK.jpg

2021-08-07T19:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424083249228247041

Close-up of thermal camera footage of Concord taking off. Notice the dark shape around the engine. That's infrared glare. The top half is stable as the temperature (blackness here) is pretty constant. The bottom half is roiled by the mixing of exhaust gasses with the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1424082225079885828/pu/img/OsFm1GCL5HDnf5CX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1424082225079885828/pu/img/OsFm1GCL5HDnf5CX.jpg
2021-08-07T17:09:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424055122594734083
@JokeToSelf And if you narrow that further, about 10% of the people who think that abductions are real, think that because it happened to them.
2.8/(2.8+23)% = 10.8%

2021-08-07T16:25:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1424044030527033345
@UAPmike @mspacein @Facelessbureau1 @TheZignal @DietrichVFA41 @Akam1129 @SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan @mikedamante @singularitymike @Eyes_OnTheSkies @SomewhereSkies @PostDisclosure @SteUFOnotCGULLS @junglist1973 Here you go. Both lights simultaneously get larger and move apart. Background is just noise.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E8M4SN_UUAE-46f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E8M4SN_UUAE-46f.jpg

2021-08-07T15:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424036431937163274

Alien abduction is a contentious and sometimes emotional topic. #ufotwitter, do you think it's real (meaning people are actually abducted by ETs or some kind of Non-Human Intelligence, NHI)?

[Note: "Not real" does not mean all abductees are lying]

Ref: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PqVdg2zESE4

2021-08-07T15:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424026606423642113

@UAPmike @mspacein @Facelessbureau1 @TheZignal @DietrichVFA41 @Akam1129 @SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan @mikedamante @singularitymike @Eyes_OnTheSkies @SomewhereSkies @PostDisclosure @SteUFOnotCGULLS @junglist1973 Not really, no. It seems straightforward.

2021-08-07T14:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1424019075345260547

@UAPmike @mspacein @Facelessbureau1 @TheZignal @DietrichVFA41 @Akam1129 @SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan @mikedamante @singularitymike @Eyes_OnTheSkies @SomewhereSkies @PostDisclosure @SteUFOnotCGULLS @junglist1973 Itâ□□s zooming in at that point.

2021-08-07T10:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423954018959724560

@phillip_wareham So where is that analysis?

2021-08-07T06:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423888009431158786

@MGjorwell 1. Can you see the plane?

2. The helicopter mount does not have the same gimbal lock problems.

3. huh?

4. The pulsating in the Chilean case is atmospherics. The clouds pulsate the same.

2021-08-07T04:50:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423869151429332992

@jaimeneufer Dave said that sapphire glass blocks IR. I'm simply pointing out that it does not. In fact, it's used in MWIR FLIR devices specifically because it transmits MWIR. It was used on the targeting pod that Cris used.

2021-08-07T00:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423805097822195715

@GreyLuke7 I don't mind.

2021-08-07T00:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423803795448885250

A fun UFO analysis from a couple of years ago. ICYMI

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lSJ81C0cULo

2021-08-07T00:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423800818403135491

@DyceGuthrie @chrisotis78 They are different systems, I'm not sure how different. But it's a narrow field of view thermal camera.

2021-08-07T00:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423798275820318722

@93ben418 @UAP36665648 @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp @chrisotis78 Could you be more specific? Like with an example?

2021-08-06T23:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423794134825914369

@BostonsBuddha @jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @UAP36665648 @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp @chrisotis78 I think it was probably a jet. I don't see strong evidence that what was on the ATFLIR video was jamming anything.

2021-08-06T23:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423793757279834115

@jimbotowrag You can safely assume I'm just some guy when assessing what I say, because it's fact-based, not opinion-based. So you can just check it.

Now, let's discuss the actual issues.

2021-08-06T23:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423785597768900611

@LetsAbductAnEBE @DaveFalch @UAP36665648 @JeremyCorbell @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp @chrisotis78 Just want to note again that Dave has me blocked. He's not interested in talking to me.

2021-08-06T23:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423785271078776833

@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @UAP36665648 @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp @chrisotis78 I'd be happy to defend (or retract, if wrong) anything particular you'd like to point out.

Pick one.

2021-08-06T23:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423783352390230017

@GreyLuke7 The balloon portion came from Tom Churchill of Churchill Navigation, so I can't really give permission for that. This is the original

https://public.earthscape.com/videos/16309?t=1544489704.2745829

2021-08-06T23:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423782958909952000

@Buzz_4242 Yeah, there are lots of examples of it being used for MWIR FLIR type applications.

https://www.photonics.com/Products/Sapphire_Windows_Infrared_Systems/pr61562

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8JLC8FUcAAJUjd.jpg

.: Sapphire Windows Infrared Systems

Knight Optical Request Info



Knight Optical offers a range of stock sapphire windows, for use within infrared systems. Knight Optical can offer sapphire (Al2O3) optics for infrared systems over the waveband of 0.2 to 5µm. We offer sapphire optics for UV, Visible, NIR (0.9 to 1.5µm) and mid-waveband infrared (MWIR, 3 to 5µm) imaging systems. Sapphire has hardness of about HK2000, which makes it a better choice for windows and outer elements of lens systems. Sapphire has good transmission in the MWIR band, and can be used with optical glass in the NIR, and with Silicon or Germanium in the MWIR, to form well corrected doublets and lens systems.

These systems include, for example, Forward Looking Infra-Red (FLIR) systems, and thermography. Al2 O3 has a refractive index of about 1.9288no 1.9174ne at 200nm through to 1.623no 1.615ne in the IR (5µm). This pairing will allow for the design and manufacture of a colour corrected lens that can be used in broad spectrum thermal imaging systems. We hold a large inventory of random cut and C-cut sapphire windows. Stock sizes from 5mm to 300mm diameter; between 0.5mm – 15mm thick. Custom shapes and sizes available to quotation. Edging down to smaller diameters, square and rectangular apertures. Custom antireflective coatings.

Typical specs: • Material: Sapphire (AL2O3) • Diameter Tolerance: +0.0/-0.20 mm • Length/Width Tolerance: +/- 0.10mm • Thickness Tolerance: +/-0.10mm Wavelength Range: 0.17 – 5.5µm • Transmission: Tavg=85% dependant on substrate thickness

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8JLC8FUcAAJUjd.jpg

2021-08-06T23:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423782280254791682

@beta_sid @OwatcherUf Not directly, although Dave seems to think it did. It's really just a correction - and maybe an illustration of how experts sometimes get things wrong.

2021-08-06T23:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423781790326525957

@JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @UAP36665648 @ExploreSCU @openmindstv @ufouapam @UfoSunday @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @joerogan @HISTORY @FloridaMUFON @g_knapp @chrisotis78 Whoa, Jeremy! Have you looked at the rebuttal? These are unfortunately somewhat complicated things, but not inaccessible to anyone willing to put in the effort. Sure, do your own work, or just check mine.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

2021-08-06T22:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423776431746605062

@TomasTrade @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @chrisotis78

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1423776279866736641

2021-08-06T22:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423776279866736641

Is sapphire glass transparent to IR? Science says yes for 0.2 to 4Âµm wavelengths.

This video claims no. So either A) it's not pure sapphire (maybe laminate), B) it's not a MWIR camera. or C) science is wrong?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xx7NktJsM-Y

2021-08-06T22:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423775350643773442

@UAP36665648 @SethShostak @GalileoProject1 For a podcast episode.

2021-08-06T22:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423772620000923649

Fascinating. Jeremy Corbell is being rather accusatory here. Clearly doesn't he realize I'd already responded to this video and pointed out where Dave and Chris were wrong.
Maybe with some focus on this, the issues can be resolved.
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1423755799512293381

2021-08-06T21:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423760621045047299

@UAP36665648 @SethShostak @GalileoProject1 I've not been asked, but I'll be having a chat with Avi next week.

2021-08-06T20:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423749444583845889

@BostonsBuddha @AardvarkZorse @chrisotis78 He used a different system, probably SNIPER
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8IshKwVgAAWA72.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8IshKwVgAAWA72.jpg

2021-08-06T16:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423688279375810561

@MejiYume @chrisotis78 The pilot was just looking at the same video we see. It LOOKS like it's rotating.

2021-08-06T16:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423687794388398085
@Ibn_e_Channa @EireScientist @chrisotis78 Because it's cropped so the edges are not visible. This varies by system and zoom level. Example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1423687480209940480/pu/img/LOBBlSqUEttHiAs0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1423687480209940480/pu/img/LOBBlSqUEttHiAs0.jpg
2021-08-06T16:28:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1423682325322240002
@Ibn_e_Channa @EireScientist @chrisotis78 It's reflective, #25 here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8HveKJVUAYoiSY.jpg



The IR/CCD optical bench 42 houses the IR sensor 20 which comprises an IR sensor receiver 22, the time multiplexed modulated infrared (IR) reference source 21 that is mechanically aligned to the center of the field of view of the IR sensor receiver 22, a multi-spectral beam combiner 28 that combines beams of the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and the IR reference source 21. In the IR optical path is an IR imager 27 (or IR imaging optics 27), a focus mechanism 24, a reflective derotation mechanism 25 that derotates the IR beam to keep the IR image erect, and an IR relay beam expander 26 that expands the beams associated with the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and IR reference alignment source 21.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E8HveKJVUAYoiSY.jpg

2021-08-06T14:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423651197051830272

@EireScientist @chrisotis78 Only that itâ□□s not rotating.

2021-08-06T05:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423521599236362247

@ChrisSPITZER7 @chrisotis78 Sorry, I don't remember it.

2021-08-06T05:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423509682275061764

@h_clagett @DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem No, I' was thinking dark welding goggles or safety sunglasses. Or even just sunglasses.

2021-08-06T04:59:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423508963551637504

@LetsAbductAnEBE https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

2021-08-06T04:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423507853126504449

@ColombiaUap They are all rather large though. I think it's more likely Fravor saw something much smaller.

2021-08-06T01:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423458849059524610

@chrisotis78 @YouTube https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

---

2021-08-06T01:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423458734290870274

My response to Dave Falch and @chrisotis78's discussion of the Gimbal "rotating glare" hypothesis.

I'd tag Dave, but he has me blocked.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los

2021-08-05T22:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423405136055918592

@Josef_baum @TruthBe05900844 @chrisotis78 How does that work exactly? Where is my agenda coming from?

I also get things wrong all the time. Is that part of the plan?

2021-08-05T22:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423404808707338240

@alpha_check @thieme @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 If you filter out millions of more mundane sightings, then the ones that are hard to explain will bubble to the top.

And what can we say about them? What did the UAPTF say?

"Not enough data"

2021-08-05T20:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423387549897170944

@UAP36665648 @chrisotis78 Dave refuses to talk to me. I'd be happy to chat with Chris again though.

2021-08-05T20:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423386955576791040

@CloserToTruth1 @chrisotis78 Maybe if @JeremyCorbell effusively retweets it?

2021-08-05T20:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423386396866224132

@CloserToTruth1 @chrisotis78 It's unfortunate that I have to, as it's really been covered in existing videos.

2021-08-05T20:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423373389444513793

A fascinating (if you'r familiar with the story) study in misunderstanding and the perils of an argument from false authority.

@chrisotis78 SAYS he understands, but that would be difficult, because Dave's objections are largely missing the point, wrong, or nonsensical.

https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1423308076535451655

2021-08-05T19:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423372117823823875

I had a great conversations yesterday with @MiaMBloom and @sophiamoskalen1 about their new book Pastels and Pedophiles - Inside the Mind of QAnon.

Especially interesting was how QAnon pivoted to attract women, and what actions we can take.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hUhKd_cdVGE

2021-08-05T15:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423305944432185344

@Ibn_e_Channa @OwatcherUf @BostonsBuddha @DustinOsakada @InvNightSchool @h_clagett @RaynerUap @MiddleOfMayhem Peer review is useful. But itâ□□s often just a few random scientists reading the paper. Sometimes friendly scientists. Thereâ□□s lots of retracted peer reviewed papers.

You need independent replication.

2021-08-04T22:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1423048169147957251

@DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem @BostonsBuddha @InvNightSchool @h_clagett @RaynerUap The image is the 2005 Google Earth image. Here's the wider view, and one with a 200m radius circle overlaid. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7-usEpVoAYJXp1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7-ucwNVgAA0fc2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7-usEpVoAYJXp1.jpg

2021-08-04T15:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422945005048455169

@fin365 @h_clagett @RaynerUap @DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem Not really. It's more like

the "it was a human" hypothesis.

2021-08-04T07:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422824236104650753

@InvNightSchool @h_clagett @RaynerUap @DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem A 200m one. That's quite a distance.

2021-08-04T07:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422824012984373257

@BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @h_clagett @RaynerUap @DustinOsakada It's just one hypothesis that explains the sighting of a person with weird eyes and some bright flashes of light seen 100 to 200m away. Maybe working on a vehicle.

2021-08-04T04:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422783909801054208

@h_clagett @RaynerUap @DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem Many of them indicated long hair. And big eyes are similar to goggles. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E76-aiqVgAM4Q51.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E76-S8TVEA0haCL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E76-WsNVoAMYAho.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E76-aiqVgAM4Q51.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E76-Sz4UYAI54BJ.jpg
2021-08-03T19:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422641611410903040
@twinnyter81 @media_skyhigh @MiddleOfMayhem @eloftus1 What gain? None. It was an accident.

2021-08-03T18:05:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422619764241752066
@RaynerUap @DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem The school might not look like it, but 99.6% of people in Zimbabwe are of native African ethnicity. I was just looking for someone with long hair and welding goggles.

2021-08-03T14:34:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422566582031568907
@TheMuratIzmirli @mattjnowak @AnjaliOnGaia @patrick_otoole @FettuccineSplit Video would be a start. Since the claims being made are extraordinary, I'd like more evidence before making such a trip.

And then I'd question why me? Why not the world's media? It seems nonsensical. Yet a few people take it seriously.

2021-08-03T04:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422421696804384768
@sLanteVSzombies It has been done.

2021-08-03T01:05:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422363003098304515
@ufo_classes @m3kromanc3r Are they all online?

2021-08-03T01:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422362584569696257

@DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem At least two of them had seen a UFO the day before that was probably just a plane glinting in the sun. A common UFO sighting even now. Here's the drawing of that (top left) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70_HM1VcAADIld.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70_HM1VcAADIld.jpg

2021-08-03T01:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422362102258274304

@AztecAmber @DustinOsakada @ThorntonVallery The headmaster was pretty skeptical at first. I think he might have been a little browbeaten by the "experts"

2021-08-03T01:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422361847575973899

@marcbel19406167 A story with five elements is hard to get wrong.
But that's an interesting point. Do we have records of all the interviews all the children gave?

2021-08-03T00:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422361267851780101

@ThorntonVallery @AztecAmber So there should be no issue with releasing all the tapes, so people can see this for themselves.

2021-08-03T00:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422360999915630592

@unused_metaphor Sure, and that's obviously best - but not for getting an accurate story.

Here the kids say something Friday. They went home early. On Monday they drew the pictures (and probably talked a lot about it), then on Tuesday they were interviewed in groups.

2021-08-03T00:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422360262347157506

@m3kromanc3r If the story is going to get publicity, then I think it's best that all the info is available.

2021-08-03T00:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422359951452770326

@DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem There's plenty of rather boring explanations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E708zmBVkAIHqNc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E708zmBVkAIHqNc.jpg
2021-08-03T00:51:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422359362954170369
@DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem Possibly wearing welding goggles.

2021-08-03T00:50:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422359221291524099
@ThorntonVallery @AztecAmber Weeks after they had been interviewed by multiple different people in groups and had their stories repeated on national and international TV. I think their false memories were nearly set by then.
Mack did get out the telepathy idea though.

2021-08-03T00:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422358649943445504
@unused_metaphor You can see some of the original interviews here:
https://youtu.be/7q6o9tWomPQ?t=212

2021-08-03T00:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422358157297213440
@unused_metaphor If it was children recalling a common experience (say, witnessing a crime at a school) I'd assume you would avoid interviewing the children in groups?

2021-08-03T00:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422357455749537793
@media_skyhigh @MiddleOfMayhem False memories are much better studied now than they were back in 1994. @eloftus1 found it's relatively easy to plant rich and compelling false memories in adults. It's WAY easier with kids.
They unintentionally created false memories together.

2021-08-03T00:39:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422356305608548389
@528vibes @_NTME9_ No, they did not. Some of them saw a person in the trees, over 100m away, then a story grew around it.

2021-08-03T00:36:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422355604580966426
@AztecAmber @ThorntonVallery Mack arrived about nine weeks later. The event was Friday Sept 16. Hind did most of her interviews Tuesday Sept 20. Mack came at the end of November. Weeks of storytelling had already occurred.

2021-08-03T00:31:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422354360160329740
@alpha_check @MiddleOfMayhem @steviemac48 @UObuff @MGjorwell I don't think they were coached. I think a common story emerged via the initial event (100-200 meters away), and then the group interviews.
The drawings were done the day before. Some are quite specific.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E703VbeVEAArw5S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E703VbeVEAArw5S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E703PhwVkAAHcdi.jpg

2021-08-03T00:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422353036454764566

@marcbel19406167 @Ibn_e_Channa @leSt0rm @thieme @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @chrisotis78 And I don't know what happened in the Nimitz case.

2021-08-03T00:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422352834494832650

@m3kromanc3r I don't think there's a solid way of knowing. However, I don't think people are able to look at all the evidence. In particular, there's lots of video of original interviews that have not been released. Maybe more drawings.

So people are maybe getting a distorted story.

2021-08-03T00:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422351234464288768

@saucerlit @TobyAM @ArranGray9 @MiddleOfMayhem The only witness to a serious crime for which there is no other evidence? It's like the kids as say they saw a murder. But no body was found. Sure, they would be interviewed, but then what?

2021-08-03T00:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422349515403665442

@marcbel19406167 @Ibn_e_Channa @leSt0rm @thieme @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @chrisotis78 Not in this case, if you consider all the evidence.

2021-08-03T00:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422349154244730896

@MiddleOfMayhem I'm sure Cynthia Hind meant well, but she did the initial interviews, three days after the event. I think it's inevitable that the way the kids were interviewed created at least some false memories.

2021-08-03T00:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422346812757745690

Does "extended, and repeated, interview where heretofore unretrieved and forgotten facts are often brought into the subject's conscious mind for the first time" seem like a good way of interviewing a group of children - or a recipe for unintentionally implanting false memories?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70wWUxUYAADdPh.jpg



In ***UFOs Over Africa***, Africa's foremost ufologist sums up in detail a lifetime of work spent in the careful investigation of a host of most unusual cases. Whether she is leading us on the spot through the strange appearance of silvery-suited aliens and unique light phenomena at the La Rochelle estate, uncovering the fraudulent crash-scenario of the James van Greunen case, or meticulously working her way through the abduction chronology of two wealthy Johannesburg women, Cynthia Hind always upholds the highest standards of the scientific researcher. She remains unflappable, virtually foolproof, but open-minded to the end. Her trademark is the extended, and repeated, interview where heretofore unretrieved and forgotten facts are often brought to the subject's conscious mind by gentle and persistent prodding. Here, for the first time, is the complete story of the Ariel school UFO landing. We are given first-hand accounts of the ships' descent and landing by over a dozen young students who witnessed, and later illustrated for their teachers and UFO investigators, what they saw that most memorable day.

About the author: A resident of Zimbabwe, Cynthia Hind is MUFON's coordinator for Africa. After a stint in the South African WAAF, she married a RAF pilot and lived for a time with her husband and two children in northern England. She now edits and publishes the biannual magazine *UFO Afrinews*. Her first book, *UFOs–African Encounters*, was considered a milestone in African ufology.

ISBN 1-881852-15-6
51595
9 781881 852155

Publisher
Horus House Press, Inc.
Madison, Wisconsin

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70wWUxUYAADdPh.jpg

2021-08-02T23:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422345080405336077

@ArranGray9 @MiddleOfMayhem @steviemac48 @UObuff @MGjorwell Because their memories

are now fixed, false or not.

2021-08-02T23:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422336749238329354

@MiddleOfMayhem @steviemac48 @UObuff @MGjorwell Fat, Thin, black wetsuit, polkadots, faceless, big eyes, long hair, no hair, short, tall, one, two, over there, no over there.

2021-08-02T23:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422334311588536325

@steviemac48 @UObuff @MGjorwell @MiddleOfMayhem The simples answer to Ariel is that the kids did not actually see the same thing. There's a huge variation in the drawing and accounts, even just four days after. It's not compelling evidence. They seem to be illustrating a story.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70lL1VVoAkbx4a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70kt9vVEAMbU5l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70lL1VVoAkbx4a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70krgJVUAQlHTT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70k10yVoAo3C7P.jpg

2021-08-02T22:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422326808276463646

@Ibn_e_Channa @leSt0rm @thieme @marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @chrisotis78 If questions can be answered (e.g. "does the video show sudden moves?") then why not answer them?

2021-08-02T20:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422300210764517392

@PMFight @ParabunkBlog @Spectre67904513 @asorto1 @alpha_check @DietrichVFA41 The manual isn't really clear what it means, but does say "9 for far targets"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70GX1UVEAkTfZ9.jpg

FLIR operating status	Indicates FLIR status is not ready (RDY with a line through it), standby (STBY), IBIT (TEST) or operate (OPR).
LG pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Causes FOCS increase/decrease pushbutton label to replace GN increase/decrease pushbutton label.
ZF pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Causes GN increase/decrease pushbutton label to replace FOCS increase/decrease pushbutton label.
FOCS increase/ decrease pushbutton switches	Pushbutton switches. Displayed by pressing FOCS pushbutton switch. Adjusts focusing of the infrared video on the FLIR control display. Pressing and releasing changes focus in small amounts. Pressing and holding changes focus very rapidly. Focus is adjusted from 0 (least sensitivity) to 8 (highest sensitivity) for close targets with an adjustment of 9 for far targets. Initially focus is set to 8.
ZOOM increase/ decrease pushbutton	Momentary pushbutton switches. Adjusts zoom values from 1.0 to 2.0.
LVL increase/ decrease pushbutton switches	Pushbutton switches. Adjusts level (brightness) of the infrared video on the FLIR control display. Pressing and releasing changes level in small amounts. Pressing and holding changes level very rapidly. Level is adjusted from 0 (least brightness) to 9 (highest brightness). Initial setting is 2.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E70GX1UVEAkTfZ9.jpg

2021-08-02T20:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422299541139689487

@ParabunkBlog @Spectre67904513 @PMFight @asorto1 @alpha_check @DietrichVFA41 A lot more. A simple proof of this is that Gimbal is slewing at 1.6Â°/second. However I suspect it's quite a bit more than that.

It's a bit of a moot point though, as lock is lost before it starts "moving" (i.e. lock is lost, camera stops).

2021-08-02T20:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422298514231463944

@PMFight @ParabunkBlog @Spectre67904513 @asorto1 @alpha_check @DietrichVFA41 Focus is a set to manual, 8. I suspect it should have been 9.

2021-08-02T20:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422295817075888151

@Ibn_e_Channa @leSt0rm @thieme @marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @chrisotis78 Why do you think that?

I just want to figure out what's going on.

2021-08-02T19:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422284562688659456

@frank_ammerlaan @DietrichVFA41 @chad_vfa41 It's a helicopter.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-homesteadhow-ufo-photos-helicopter.11854/

2021-08-02T19:47:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1422282834819960832

@Roverso_GAn Yes, that's the #1 ref link

2021-08-02T18:21:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422261260616228864
@mattjnowak @AnjaliOnGaia @patrick_otoole @FettuccineSplit Video of the aliens would be fine.

2021-08-02T18:05:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422257205139558404
@PKellyHardwa822 @5X5_NEWS Three years ago :)

2021-08-02T18:04:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422256971479076868
@PKellyHardwa822 @5X5_NEWS
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-unexplained-green-light-during-thunderstorm-water-on-lens-caustics.10242/

2021-08-02T17:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422254051790516227
@Daveftw88 Hey Dave, could you possibly email me the in-camera original of that cloud photo? I'd like to look into it. mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-08-02T03:58:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1422044219376496645
@Myst_Arch @MiddleOfMayhem @HighlyRetired The link goes to a search of my Twitter feed for examples of me saying "I don't know." It includes several significant topics where I don't know the answer.
https://twitter.com/search?q=%22I%20don%27t%20know%22%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2021-08-01T22:56:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421968041231982592
@MickSvest @alpha_check Nope, I'm talking about glare.

There's very little turbulent distortion here. It's a consistent shape.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1421967823694299140/pu/img/bfCVW1XEglmvLF6q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1421967823694299140/pu/img/bfCVW1XEglmvLF6q.jpg
2021-08-01T15:26:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421854924103553027
@onceagiant That would certainly be the leading hypothesis.

2021-08-01T14:50:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421845711973408772
@ChrisSPITZER7 @Oswald1160 @PMFight The diagram posted earlier demonstrates this. I'm suggesting an experiment that should demonstrate the minor variations.
The long flashes all seem to be at the same time interval though??

2021-08-01T05:08:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421699288803860481
@alpha_check Don't you? There's three basic factors: The angular size, the altitude (from 5-6Â° up), and the speed (from the heading change, and (somewhat) from the size change.

2021-08-01T04:55:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421696132678225926
@alpha_check The IR glare fits best with a smaller jet.

2021-08-01T04:52:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421695279288307714
@PMFight @ChrisSPITZER7 No, and the point was really that iPhone or similar cameras, videoing very short flashes, might miss some - hence making the sequence look random.

2021-08-01T04:43:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421693054549856262
@alpha_check Mostly, but I think it's more likely a smaller jet flying away, and then a bit to the left.

2021-08-01T04:41:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421692560825745413
Hah, that was supposed to be a response to @ChrisSPITZER7, but somehow became a

standalone tweet. Oops.

2021-08-01T01:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421645772328632322

Try filming some flashing nav light video with your iPhone.

2021-08-01T00:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421626383877349376

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check Do you think "The duration variations are probably to do with it being a video though a viewfinder." can't be refuted?

2021-08-01T00:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421625706212073472

@BenC04549226 @MiddleOfMayhem The video shows something moving across the sky at airplane speed. It does not hang around or stop in mid-air.

Maybe something else does. But that's not in this video.

2021-08-01T00:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421624913903775744

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check I don't consider this particular point worth spending hours on to try to convince just you.

The green-triangle's pattern of flashes seems fine to me. Minor variations in flash-length and some missing flashes don't seem like an issue really, given the video's nature.

2021-07-31T23:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421614712702210050

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check That's really the best fit for the data.

I'm fine with the flashes. I think it all fits.

2021-07-31T23:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421607837357068288

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check But is it wrong?

2021-07-31T22:46:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421603111194353667

@praxistor @Catchme22322934 Pinched optics can make stars into triangles.

https://www.google.com/search?q=pinched+optics+triangular+stars&tbm=isch

It could also be a triangular aperture. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qMflJVkAAfFaL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qMflJVkAAfFaL.jpg

2021-07-31T22:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421602303295909888

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check The pattern here is not random. The duration variation are probably to do with eh it being a video though a viewfinder. Long flashes exist in the wild and just indicate older lights.

2021-07-31T22:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421594780874600449

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check More examples.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qE5zQUcAAuBqV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qE5zQUcAAuBqV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qExa1VoAICu_2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qE0g1VEAESie0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qE3h8UUAID1Dd.jpg

2021-07-31T22:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421594545813262339

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check Looks like there's some flashes missing there, but it's really just two different duration sequences. You see this in timelapses (also with missing flashes sometimes due to obscuration)

Example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qEpTTVEAAWpTr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7qEpTTVEAAWpTr.jpg

2021-07-31T19:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421557034122547204

@ScottsdaleInk Distant objects can be lost in the haze, but if they have a source of heat, that shows up like a powerful light. IR can also just cut through haze better.

Show me the best example of what you are describing.

2021-07-31T19:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421547501350391808

@Catchme22322934 Possibly pinched optics. A common problem in astrophotography.

2021-07-31T15:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421493339497451520

@onceagiant It's not that low. Compare with this.

https://www.pond5.com/stock-footage/item/97967403-police-helicopter-flies-over-lake-night-spotlight-beam

---

2021-07-31T14:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421484826679418884

@onceagiant What about it is strange to you? I might be missing something.

2021-07-31T14:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421483194256302083

@onceagiant Looks like a helicopter. Probably a coastguard search, with searchlights. But rather hard to say at that resolution/exposure.

2021-07-31T07:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421375384285683715

@Nuno_spread Why?

2021-07-31T03:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421307239562838019

@Robin081564 @528vibes I agree with your assessment. A puppet on a string.

2021-07-31T03:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421306852600532994

@praxistor @Robin081564 @redacted699 @Josef_baum No, a plane. On screen it drifted. In reality it simply continued moving.

2021-07-31T03:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421306549964734465

@conterammundi @twinnyter81 Quote please.

2021-07-31T02:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421289513138098176

@Robin081564 @BostonsBuddha @redacted699 @Josef_baum I never thought the FLIR1 object was a balloon. Think it was a jet.

2021-07-31T01:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421282711423963138

@Robin081564 @redacted699 @Josef_baum Itâ□□s switching FOV and zoom levels, and IR/TV. Thatâ□□s all manual. All Chad. He verified he was doing that.

It lost lock, THEN drifted out of view.

2021-07-31T01:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421280531522605056

@marcbel19406167 @Ibn_e_Channa @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @gallihoot @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 Heat, like from the engine.

2021-07-31T00:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421267908068470787

@MoonshineParad4 @MickWes03969043 @dave_beaty That is a parody account. It's not me. It's someone making a joke.

2021-07-30T23:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421247109181755397

@marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @gallihoot @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 And in the context of the question asked, he was 100% correct, as I explained last year.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2021-07-30T21:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421214176605708288

@Ibn_e_Channa @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @gallihoot @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 The image isn't rotating. Otherwise, the TIc-Tac would have rotated. It's the light pattern (essentially veiling glare) in the camera that's rotating

---

2021-07-30T20:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421210789092855808
@Oswald1160 @Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @gallihoot @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 Actually two. 0:48 (the one you linked) and 0:56. With care you can even see the IR glare on the right rotate.

2021-07-30T20:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421202112784998400
@ParabunkBlog @alpha_check @dave_beaty @PMFight @Ibn_e_Channa @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ufo_worldwide @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici I suspect it was an accidental naming back then Knapp got his copy (probably from Reid). But that's what (mostly) stuck. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7kfaXfVoAUx_ks.jpg

---



8 NEWSNOW LAS VEGAS | NEWS | EN ESPAÑOL | WEATHER | SPORTS | TRAFFIC | LAS VEGAS NOW | COMMUNITY

Elizondo is not authorized to release such information, but the I-Team obtained some of it anyway. Earlier this year, we made a whirlwind trip to Washington for a debriefing arranged by former Senator Harry Reid. While in D.C., the I-Team obtained copies of unclassified documents related to the UFO encounters, including the Tic Tac. The analysis was compiled in 2009 with input from multiple agencies. It confirms the Nimitz group had several interactions with AAV's, Anomalous Aerial Vehicles.

READ: Tic Tac UFO Executive Report

The report lists the advanced sensors involved at the time, AN/SPY, capable of tracking a golf ball at 100 miles, the E-2C Hawkeye Airborne Early Warning aircraft, as well as shipboard radars and sensors on multiple F-18s which interacted with the Tic Tac, and with something else.

Pilots reported a large disturbance just under the surface of the ocean, round and 100 yards across. It appeared as if the Tic Tac was rendezvousing with the underwater object.

Among the key findings in the report — the AAV is not something that belongs to the U.S. or any other nation. It was so advanced, it rendered U.S. capabilities ineffective. It showed velocities far greater than anything known to exist, and it could turn itself invisible, both to radar and the human eye. Essentially, it was undetectable, and unchallenged.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7kfaXfVoAUx_ks.jpg
2021-07-30T19:44:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421194907805384705
@Ibn_e_Channa @alpha_check @ufo_worldwide @ParabunkBlog @dave_beaty @PMFight @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici @DietrichVFA41 So you think I completely distrust myself?

2021-07-30T19:42:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421194606868242433
@alpha_check @ParabunkBlog @dave_beaty @PMFight @Ibn_e_Channa @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ufo_worldwide @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici The first page of the ER has an Executive Summary.

The document is titled "TIC TAC UFO EXECUTIVE REPORT"

Although that may well be a title of convenience. Shame, as now there's constant confusion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7kYnuIVkAEYoqE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7kYnuIVkAEYoqE.jpg

2021-07-30T19:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421193127960858625

@Ibn_e_Channa @alpha_check @ufo_worldwide @ParabunkBlog @dave_beaty @PMFight @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici @DietrichVFA41 What are you talking about? That's utter nonsense. Nobody has said anything like "anyone who points to possible NHI cannot be trusted"

Heck, I raise the possibility of NHI [Non-Human Intelligence] myself all the time.

2021-07-30T19:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421192137262071809

@alpha_check @ParabunkBlog @dave_beaty @PMFight @Ibn_e_Channa @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ufo_worldwide @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici The ES? Event Summary or Executive Summary (Report).

ES:
http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

ER:
https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

---

2021-07-30T18:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421174494786252800

@twinnyter81 But the video is here and now. So we can figure out what the video itself is evidence of.

2021-07-30T18:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1421174351404011521
@s_pect_re That's, apparently, just how the initial direction was acquired. The actual ATFLIR lock and tracking show in the video is done using the video image.

2021-07-30T17:50:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421166365805744136
@ManningMarta Sure, pilots see objects all the time. Some cannot be identified.

2021-07-30T17:48:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421165919741497346
@s_pect_re It wasn't a radar track. It's a passive track, which depends on a consistent video feed.

2021-07-30T17:47:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421165497098260480
@twinnyter81 He says it accelerates rapidly to the left. I (and others) think he's wrong.

He saw essentially the same video as us. I just don't think he's really analyzed it since then.

2021-07-30T17:22:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421159249506226176
@issaufobro @mad_hatter_87 Temporarily heats up a bit of the ionosphere in Alaska.

2021-07-30T17:02:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1421154235241025536
@chrisfrench83 @chad_vfa41 @DietrichVFA41 No, I'm sure Chad was generally great at being a WSO. Highly trained, professional. . But I also think he's human.

The video evidence seems very clear to me. I don't think he's really done any analysis of the video, and hence is just going by his first impression.

2021-07-30T06:27:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420994372112244738
@CandiPeter01 @s_pect_re @Fellow001 I think, as I discussed with Lehto, that it turned a bit to the left. Hence the change in the heat signature and the angular speed.

2021-07-30T05:26:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420979076718743554
Are HAARP conspiracy theories still a thing?

Anyone heard it mentioned in the last year?

2021-07-30T05:18:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420976961573195776
@r618 @Spectre67904513 @leSt0rm He does not mention the word "zoom" in that context. What are you referring to?

"And so I immediately got on the radar [...] I immediately radio the Princeton [...]

immediately vectored my own aircraft to the left [...] immediately aggressively maneuver my fire to the left"

2021-07-30T05:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420975459005730823

@Ibn_e_Channa @dave_beaty @alpha_check @hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ufo_worldwide @paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici The report has major inaccuracies. Gross errors, like 5Â° down when it's 5Â° up. Statements that people claim they never said.

I really don't see how you can possibly pick and choose any part of it as being accurate. Probably there's many bits in it that are true. But which?

2021-07-30T05:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420974460266446851

@Avirup1981 @528vibes Sadly I think it looks rather like a balloon.

2021-07-30T03:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420949822564048901

@h_clagett If everyone knew everything then they would all agree.

2021-07-30T00:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420907312059871238

@CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 As I said, I'll go with "Fake quotes" if you like. But there's the implication in the report that they, or someone, interviewed him, complete with quotes. He says no.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1420536121201594377

2021-07-30T00:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420905616835371012

@alpha_check @abeliciousj @DietrichVFA41 Actually now I'm thinking back to it. I called, left a message about going over the event summary. Then she called me. Then the above.

I really don't get what this suspicion is about.

2021-07-30T00:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420904049394675716

@alpha_check @abeliciousj @DietrichVFA41 I called her on the phone. I had zero intention of recording the call, as I always treat phone calls as off-the-record. She suggested we switch to Facetime, and then later it occurred to me it would be great for everyone if it was recorded, hence Zoom. It was 100% unplanned.

2021-07-30T00:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420902593958612994

@Robin081564 The object was moving. You can tell that because the heading angle (mid top of the screen) changes, and the plane is in straight and level autopilot.

2021-07-30T00:04:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420898080145108992
#ufotwitter, at the end of the FLIR1 Tic-Tac video, does the object accelerate rapidly to the left, or is it just the camera stopping tracking it?
#1 ref: https://www.mysterywire.com/ufo/chad-underwood-tic-tac-pilot/
#2 ref: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-07-29T23:13:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420885247437131779
@ParabunkBlog @BobKurbel @alpha_check @Ibn_e_Channa @Spectre67904513 Fridman Podcast.

2021-07-29T22:42:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420877363789795329
@ParabunkBlog @BobKurbel @alpha_check @Ibn_e_Channa @Spectre67904513 Fravor said the author "was actually an original member of AATIP", a government employee in 2009, and in 2020: "he's still in the government. I'm friends with him."

2021-07-29T22:33:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420875159976636421
@marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 So Chad's pilot just pretends not the remember anything?

2021-07-29T22:30:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420874459615879174
@UAP36665648 @alpha_check @asorto1 @ParabunkBlog @Spectre67904513 After talking to @DietrichVFA41 for about five minutes on Facetime, I realized the information was of great interest to others. So I suggested we record the conversation. Alex agreed, and we re-started the call on Zoom a couple of minutes later. I then uploaded it unedited.

2021-07-29T22:24:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420872941667975170
@GeezerSqueezer @hoveringobject @theferrit32 @marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 You can also watch the end of the FLIR1 video frame by frame and see exactly what is going on.

Have you ever tried that? Start before the MFOV->NAR change.

Here's the file. https://www.metabunk.org/f/f4-2007.mpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7fztMfVEAA4yXK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7fztMfVEAA4yXK.jpg

2021-07-29T22:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420871402261946370

@marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aB8zcAttP1E&t=6402s

---

2021-07-29T21:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420861431193366530

@alpha_check @ParabunkBlog If it does not ONLY occur with jamming, then it seems a bit misleading to state

"when you see like 99.9 range to target. That means youâ□□re being jammed."

2021-07-29T21:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420859281482473473

@rollinwithbolin @niteranger0127 @TheUfoJoe @CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 It raises a lot of questions about the report.

2021-07-29T21:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420858660306112516

@alpha_check @ParabunkBlog Does 99.9 ONLY indicate jamming?

Or just that there isn't range data available (which might also happen during jamming)?

2021-07-29T21:21:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420856950439354372
@alpha_check @KilliK69 Hmm, I'm heard SOME WSO can control the plane. Maybe different planes.

Are you saying @chad_vfa41's only option was to tell his pilot to bank left?

2021-07-29T19:28:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420828660769062913
@conorowens94 @james4125 @GeezerSqueezer @theferrit32 @marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 No.

2021-07-29T19:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420822109069053957
@the_grabbing @MrMosis @TheUfoJoe Kind of hard to see how it could have been by accident. Those are direct quotes.

But it's also possible that Underwood's memory is not 100%

2021-07-29T19:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420821753593352192
@james4125 @GeezerSqueezer @theferrit32 @marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 The lock is lost in the change from MFOV and back to NAR, not the 1x to 2x (or the "shooting off"). Here's the last frame it has a solid lock about 1:14. Step forward from here. There's 12 frame of video interruption. Lock is not regained. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7fE58eVoAEH92J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7fE58eVoAEH92J.jpg
2021-07-29T18:00:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420806338586705920
@GeezerSqueezer @theferrit32 @marcbel19406167 @chad_vfa41 The object is constantly moving left with the camera following it.
Multiple times in the video the camera starts to lose lock (due to video interruptions), stops tracking, and the object just continues left a bit.
The last video interruption is when the camera does not recover.

2021-07-29T16:41:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420786451332034563
@hughespj1 @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @PacoChierici If it contains direct quotes that are false, so it's hard to use it to validate anything.

But where did it come from? I think I remember Fravor saying the guy who interviewed him for it was later at AATIP. Is this an AATIP product, or what?

2021-07-29T16:25:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420782536779653121
@issaufobro @realsiferd @AjayDaGreat @UAPmike I don't think they are lying. I think they are mistaken, to varying degrees.

2021-07-29T16:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420780526739234816
@KilliK69 @alpha_check F/A-18 WSO can maneuver the aircraft. I just said they don't normally do it, as I understand it (They have side controls). I wanted to know what the role of the pilot was.

Fravor says the pilot did not notice anything.

2021-07-29T16:09:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420778611766792192
@niteranger0127 @TheUfoJoe @CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 The two images I posted above are from the report and from Chad's recent interview. What is says in the interview contradicts what is attributed to him in the report.

So he's saying the report contains false statements attributed to him.

2021-07-29T16:07:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420777999452934145
@bobofango86 @conorowens94 @TheUfoJoe I've consistently pointed out where that report (The "Executive" report/summary) does not match eyewitness accounts, including Underwoods.

When I talked to @DietrichVFA41 we discussed a different report (the Event Summary), which is much shorter.

2021-07-29T15:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420775083363078145
@conorowens94 @TheUfoJoe So just accidentally false direct quotes.

Sure, let's go with that. The point is that we cannot rely on ANYTHING in that report if it has that level of wrongness. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7ebOf_VoAAsB1A.jpg

---



and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7ebOf_VoAAsB1A.jpg
2021-07-29T15:49:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420773601448398855

@itchy1776 @UAPmike @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-07-29T15:48:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420773291103383552
@TheUfoJoe This is a weird argument. The report quoted him. He denied being consulted for the report. He says that the opposite of the quote is true.

How is it not faked?

2021-07-29T15:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420772562871545859
@TheUfoJoe Where else would those fake quotes come from?

I'm genuinely confused as to what your argument is. Are you saying there are fake quotes that look like they were from an interview, but there was no interview so it could not have been fake?

2021-07-29T15:34:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420769605409148937
@TheUfoJoe @CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 Here you go. It's pretty clear. Chad says that statements attributed to him in the report are false, and he was not consulted for the report. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7eV7coVUAISVsW.jpg

---

CHAD UNDERWOOD
Yeah, of course. Once I got the target of interest on my radar, I took a lock and that's when all the kind of the funky things started happening. The erratic nature of the Tic Tac. The airspeed was very telling to me. And then we started seeing what we call jam strobe lines, strobe lines are vertical lines that show up on your radar that are indications that you're being jammed.

JEREMY CORBELL
We talked about that when we did the audio recording. And something you said to me, that I think is important kind of for people to hear. There was a 2009 investigation where they did a report, it's called the executive summary. I'm sure you've read it, right?

CHAD UNDERWOOD
Yes.

JEREMY CORBELL
It states in there that you did not receive jamming cues. And that's in contradiction to both your interviews and Commander Fravor interviews. Could you clarify about that? Were you consulted for that report? Is it just wrong?

CHAD UNDERWOOD
I was not consulted for that report. I have been interviewed 1/10 of 1% from the government, as I have from yourself. I did get jamming cues on my radar tape, and you can see cues of jamming on both your radar and your FLIR tape. You know when Commander Fravor described on your FLIR tape, when you see like 99.9 range to target. That means you're being jammed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7eV7coVUAISVsW.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7eV6QaVgAEzdZw.jpg

2021-07-29T05:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420612690922201089

@Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @Oswald1160 @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 Explain what "gimbal limits" are a factor in the first ten seconds of the Gimbal video.

2021-07-29T04:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420608921325109252

@Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @Oswald1160 @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 So what are they 90Â°? 120Â°?

2021-07-29T04:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420608581368356865

@PThegermandude Obamaâ□□s statement is true. And I canâ□□t identify everything. Thatâ□□s impossible.

2021-07-29T04:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420604940297273344

@Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @Oswald1160 @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 You think it canâ□□t go past 54Â°? Ridiculous.

2021-07-29T04:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420604296404475906

@Ibn_e_Channa @SAeleven12 @Oswald1160 @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 What limit?

2021-07-29T04:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420595262523777028

@dr_los @conterammundi @William95102320 @chad_vfa41 @chrisotis78 Thatâ□□s what he said.

2021-07-29T03:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420592278507114500

@dr_los @conterammundi @William95102320 @chad_vfa41 @chrisotis78 He had no visual

confirmation. He only saw the ATFLIR video.

2021-07-29T03:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420591564099751937

@Ibn_e_Channa @Jim87504388 @Josef_baum @rojo1599 @chad_vfa41 Youâ□□ve never had a conversation with me.

2021-07-29T03:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420591290534617094

@Ibn_e_Channa @thieme @Spectre67904513 @JeremyCorbell No.

2021-07-29T03:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420589805772017669

@dr_los @conterammundi @William95102320 @chad_vfa41 @chrisotis78 Who confirmed it? What did they say?

2021-07-29T02:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420565030068572165

@UAPmike @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 So, false quotes attributed to an interview with him that did not happen. But not a fake interview?

2021-07-29T00:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420536121201594377

@CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 Here. Page 9. He's the WSO

Like I said, feel free to call them just "fake quotes" if you think "fake interview" is technically wrong.

https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7az34zUcAUYZPc.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7az0anVkAgizHJ.jpg

LT [redacted] was clear in that he couldn't confirm that it was the same object as described by FASTEAGLE flight. He never had visual, only seeing the object via the FLIR. He reaffirmed that all systems were functional.

Following completion of the training portion, the section returned to the ship for a normal approach, landing and shutdown. The crew met in CVIC and debriefed. LT [redacted] said that the CIVC section attempted to collect his tapes but he refused. They proceeded to their ready room where they debriefed with CDR Fravor and his flight. Copies of the tapes were made with a set being turned into the intelligence section. LT [redacted] was not asked to sign any non-disclosure agreement and he is uncertain how far up the chain the reporting went past his commanding officer. When asked LT [redacted] couldn't confirm any physiological or psychological feelings that were out of the ordinary. He only expressed a feeling of confusion during the event.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7az34zUcAUYZPc.jpg

F/A-18F FLIR Tracking

Pilot: LT [redacted], USN/WSO: LT [redacted], USN

Lt [redacted] was a member of VFA-41 and was the Weapons and Sensors Officer (WSO) flying with LT [redacted]. They were a part of the second F/A-18F section airborne that day from VFA-41. Their flight launched following the FASTEAGLE flight. The crews spoke with each other in the paraloft, discussed the object and that the flights were scheduled for the same working area. FASTEAGLE flight told LT [redacted]'s flight what they saw and to try to see and record what they could.

The flight walked, started and launched. They completed their departure from the USS Nitmiz, climbed to altitude and separated to begin the training portion of the flight. According to LT [redacted] it was a clear day. There were no clouds and there was a discernable horizon. The time was approximately 1500L. The flight checked with the E-2C and separated. Their jet proceeded south to the southern cap. They flew at 20,000 feet and were flying a max endurance profile at approximately 300 knots ground speed.

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7azpyhVEAMpHdp.jpg

2021-07-29T00:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420535555259965445

@DemiPotvin These are volumetric edge shadows. You see them when the contrail is lined up with the sun.

2021-07-29T00:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420535082389934082

@William95102320 @chad_vfa41 @chrisotis78 What changed? Underwood said that before. But he's never actually addressed the video evidence in depth. Chris Lehto took the time to look into it.

2021-07-28T23:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420533671371497477

@spatoola @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 I'm not twisting anything. Underwood says the quotes attributed to him are false. So if that is correct, whoever wrote the report was lying.

Normally I'd say people might simply be mistaken. But it's hard to see any other interpretation here.

2021-07-28T22:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420518775770677250

@CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 The quotes are attributed to the WSO who took the video. That's Chad Underwood.

Call if fake quotes from a non-existent interview if you like. It's still someone lying.

2021-07-28T22:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420517953473179649

@EthanGoldstei16 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7axg_eVkAAbwaB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7axg_eVkAAbwaB.jpg

2021-07-28T22:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420516215005478918

@CanesReign @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 He says that statements in that report, attributed to him, are false, and that he was not consulted for the report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7av51MVkAgFErr.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7avhMzVUAQK4Yy.jpg

CHAD UNDERWOOD

Yeah, of course. Once I got the target of interest on my radar, I took a lock and that's when all the kind of the funky things started happening. The erratic nature of the Tic Tac. The airspeed was very telling to me. And then we started seeing what we call jam strobe lines, strobe lines are vertical lines that show up on your radar that are indications that you're being jammed.

JEREMY CORBELL

We talked about that when we did the audio recording. And something you said to me, that I think is important kind of for people to hear. There was a 2009 investigation where they did a report, it's called the executive summary. I'm sure you've read it, right?

CHAD UNDERWOOD

Yes.

JEREMY CORBELL

It states in there that you did not receive jamming cues. And that's in contradiction to both your interviews and Commander Fravor interviews. Could you clarify about that? Were you consulted for that report? Is it just wrong?

CHAD UNDERWOOD

I was not consulted for that report. I have been interviewed 1/10 of 1% from the government, as I have from yourself. I did get jamming cues on my radar tape, and you can see cues of jamming on both your radar and your FLIR tape. You know when Commander Fravor described on your FLIR tape, when you see like 99.9 range to target. That means you're being jammed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7av51MVkAgFErr.jpg

2021-07-28T22:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420513034640904193

@charliemansell @NewsForAllUK @PoliticsForAll
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/shape-changing-light-from-plane-with-nikon-p900-polarizing-filter-venus.11904/

2022-08-12T04:49:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557952399481851905
@SicCoP1 @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool No initial mark, and not a lot of pain. But a red -> brown mark in the shape of the contact that has lasted a week.

2022-08-12T04:48:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557952076042276866
@SicCoP1 @likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557952060682752000/pu/img/eIiRcoclU738kJ2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557952060682752000/pu/img/eIiRcoclU738kJ2d.jpg
2022-08-12T04:42:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557950671323746304
@liztiques @likeitmatters3 @528vibes @InvNightSchool Rhubarb Stalk is just the name of the color. It's not actually made from Rhubarb. Nor was it heated.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7z0xbVEAEcXGI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7z0xbVEAEcXGI.jpg

2022-08-12T04:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557950303558701057

@likeitmatters3 @liztiques @528vibes @InvNightSchool I also gave myself a very small, and nearly painless, hairdryer-temperature burn a week ago. It looks pretty much the same as the ink marks, a bit more brown now and fading, but if it were a circle pattern it would be very noticeable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7zJKTUIAAfCrO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ7zJKTUIAAfCrO.jpg

2022-08-12T03:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557931513466933248

@liztiques These are not burns.

2022-08-12T03:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557931242967863296

@mebarek_salah Most? Where did you get that from? Vallee claims one case had effects in the dermis. But thatâ□□s it. 200+ other cases.

2022-08-11T22:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557854648383389697

@huhbobin I'm relaying what the scientist at the UFO conference said as a part of his hypothesis. I think he was referring to a specific type of activity. The scans you show indicate neural connections.

2022-08-11T22:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557850452930076672

@mobileseaman @Mr_BenPrime @CDVilsack Yeah, it's not a burn, just a mark. I did use a (room temperature) hairdryer, though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ6YuQgUsAA_wuZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ6YuQgUsAA_wuZ.jpg

2022-08-11T21:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557847413238288384

@CDVilsack @Mr_BenPrime Nobody was harmed in the creation of these marks.

2022-08-11T21:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557845627995754497

@marcbel19406167 Vallee does not seem to think so.

2022-08-11T21:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557844586990485504

@ArielRuthanne Interesting....

2022-08-11T21:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557844352604332032

@Mr_BenPrime No.

2022-08-11T21:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557842819548557312

Experiment du jour.

Guess what for. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ6Rr18UYAEXYHI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ6Rr18UYAEXYHI.jpg

2022-08-11T21:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557841730329722881

How well are "existing rules" working out in UFO research? How well did it work in Chile?

New Rules:

1) Open source data
2) Crowdsource analysis
3) Avoid premature elimination
4) Rapidly test and iterate hypotheses
5) Address counterarguments.

6) Be polite, but firmly question.

https://twitter.com/alejandrotrojas/status/1557766941158150144

2022-08-11T21:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557840027563855873

@OddFlyingThing @AbductedTaco This one?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014-probably-a-ballon-model.11375/

2022-08-11T21:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557836757604782080

RT @BSquidding: @jjmendozay @MickWest @conterammundi @ufo_worldwide @giddierone It is interesting that the telepathic messages werenâ□□t repoâ□¦

2022-08-11T21:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557836294494904321

@TheChilterns That's the theory, that coffee inhibits the ability to see certain phenomena.

2022-08-11T20:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557828382561148928

@InvNightSchool Maybe a statistical correlation between caffeine usage and UFO experiences. Tricky though.

If you think CE5 is real, then you could give half a CE5 group caffeine pills, and half a placebo, and see if there are differences in accounts.

2022-08-11T20:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557820661753516033

@jjmendozay @BSquidding @conterammundi @ufo_worldwide @giddierone The puppet hypothesis is not my work. However, telepathy is discussed in the article.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ59ibdUsAEABIZ.jpg

Telepathic communication or mime?

The aim of political theatre like AREPP's work, is to provoke an audience reaction. If an audience reports being involved or 'caught up' in the production, if their experience of the performance is as it's being 'real' and 'true' then the production is a success.

Dr John E. Mack – Psychiatrist and Harvard Medical School professor – interviewed 12 of the children two months after the event and brought out new details about the children's experience. Of note are how their feelings and the imagined motives of the beings are brought to the foreground. Most notable is the idea that the children received a wordless message about the environment and technology from the beings they encountered.

These interviews place the Ariel School children within the targeted creation of a personal "epiphany" in the audience that companies like AREPP sought to achieve with their productions. If an audience is left evaluating their own beliefs then they have succeeded. This is what Gordon Bilborough, a director of AREPP refers to in his analysis of the pedagogy of AREPP's puppetry as a "Theatrical Peak Experience".

This effect, lasting long after the performance, is echoed in the views of other artists of the same era who also saw the magic in puppetry and it's power to deliver a lasting message. Writer Jane Taylor, on the audience relationship with the puppetry used in her work *Ubu and the Truth Commission* a puppet play performed by South Africa's Handsprung Puppet Company about the Apartheid Truth and Reconciliation Commission.

> **"The puppet draws attention to its own artifice, and we as audience willingly submit ourselves to the ambiguous processes that at once deny and assert the reality of what we watch. Puppets also declare that they are being "spoken through"".** [9]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ59ibdUsAEABIZ.jpg
2022-08-11T20:03:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557820042267414528
@Francis02454788 @noraaholt "low activity" as in relaxed, like when meditating, or perhaps on some hallucinogenic. Not like low IQ or anything of that nature.

2022-08-11T19:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557818902192611328
@AbductedTaco What do you feel is wrong with the Coffee Hypothesis?

Should it not be considered? Should it only be considered in secret?

2022-08-11T19:57:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557818493109563393
@jjmendozay @BSquidding @conterammundi @ufo_worldwide The puppet theory is not my analysis, it's Gideon Reid's (@giddierone). I'm not endorsing it, but it's interesting, well researched, and if we are going to include aliens as a hypothesis, then I think this is at the least worth consideration.
https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-11T19:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557816783322488833

@AbductedTaco Affirmation? No, I'm seeking opinions across a group because it's data. Not great data, sure. But it helps inform how to talk to people, and what they are interested in. It also sparks both thought and conversation.

2022-08-11T19:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557816177065283584

@Oswald1160 @BrianDunning @GarryPNolan I don't know what search Garry did, but it should have surfaced one paper. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ55hWHVEAAVxuW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ55hWHVEAAVxuW.jpg

2022-08-11T19:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557815271120744449

@huhbobin Part of the coffee hypothesis is that drugs like LSD reduce brain activity, and make the mind more open to perceiving the reality/illusion that has UFOs in it.

#notmyhypothesis

2022-08-11T19:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557813550143352832

@ZainAly786 I did not come up with the coffee/stimulants hypothesis. It was suggested at a UFO conference.

2022-08-11T19:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557811965317173249

@UnpluggedUser @blackvaultcom It's not my personal claim. The coffee thing was raised by a speaker at a UFO conference, citing work by Dean Radin.

2022-08-11T17:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557775468060807169

@SlapSomebodyOG Itâ□□s surprising hard to point at things in the sky and get people to look in the right direction.

2022-08-11T17:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557774130383949829

I drink coffee daily, Iâ□□m drinking this one right now. I very much doubt itâ□□s capable of affecting my perception of flying objects. But is it a hypothesis worthy of consideration?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ5TUHtVUAAoTmi.jpg


Caution
HOT
Beverage
Made in the USA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ5TUHtVUAAoTmi.jpg
2022-08-11T16:54:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557772415467286528
@Julesofthwood Itâ□□s not my hypothesis. Iâ□□m gauging interest and reaction.

2022-08-11T15:44:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557754830818381829
@wiking1 Maybe "reality modification"? Or "Reality perception modifiction?", or what would you suggest?

2022-08-11T15:40:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557753946717835265
@EireScientist Do you not think this hypothesis should be examined? What if someone like Kaku was suggesting it, would that make a difference?

It's kind of like the demarcation issue in science.

2022-08-11T15:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557753122298028032
@cjthegenx @SayantaniDas2 @DavidJayB @Earth_Mysteries I'm not advocating it. I'm saying it should at least be considered.

2022-08-11T15:25:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557750005737213953
@PThegermandude I don't want to say who it was, as that might prejudice people for or against it.
Is it a hypothesis worth studying?

2022-08-11T15:23:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557749661208784896
@hlywdufo Like low electrical activity, measured with superconducting quantum interference devices on your brain.
And the theory relates to UFO being (hypothetically) a kind of projection into the brain or into reality.

2022-08-11T15:07:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557745572525666304
I'd be interested if people who go on CE5 hunts (where they meditate outside at night to summon/manifest UFOs) are encouraged to not use certain drugs like caffeine.

2022-08-11T15:04:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557744873393963008
This is not my hypothesis at all. I just want to gauge reaction to it, as there seems to be an increased focus on investigating brain activity and reality distortion as a way of explaining UFO sightings.

2022-08-11T15:03:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557744510980878336

UFO Coffee Hypothesis: In some UFO events, accounts vary, and some people don't see it. Could this be because those people have more active brains due to using coffee or other drugs, and this blocks the UFO from their reality, but not from low-activity brains, who can see it?

2022-08-11T14:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557739143819509761

@TwoLeggedRick Indeed, and yet people still bring it up.

2022-08-11T09:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557656736219033600

@DavidJayB @AlfredDarakjian @giddierone Certainly, some kind of prank is plausible, and that possibility should not be ignored.

2022-08-11T09:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557656130083377153

@TheLaymens @giddierone Like most people, I think there is probably some, because the universe is very large.

2022-08-11T04:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557585086647635973

@PredatoryPanic @rhmcintyre A widely held opinion amongst the people responding to this poll. I wonder if there's any alternative theory that could swing the balance.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ2nQ2cUsAEB0sm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZ2nQ2cUsAEB0sm.jpg

2022-08-11T04:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557584420327940097

@cryptodonkey__ @DaSlick23 @giddierone Pretty much anything with well-sourced video.

2022-08-11T04:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557584284692557825

@fin365 @thaxter @giddierone The point is that there might actually be a non-NHI explanation. So we should explore that space.

2022-08-11T04:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557583047192522757

@BrianDunning I'm not "battling" Garry. I think it's an unfortunate misunderstanding.

I asked if we should consider mundane (but unlikely and maybe unpalatable) hypotheses. I said I think puppets seem more plausible than aliens.

But I got blocked.

Maybe it would be better to talk about it?

2022-08-11T03:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557574262784831488

@silumpke @giddierone Because itâ□□s something new, something we did not know existed before.

2022-08-11T01:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557533476475265024

@AtticusFinch_JD As I said, I've found that it's a good starting point. Especially if you actually want to have a conversation with them.

2022-08-11T01:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557532785081872384

@DaSlick23 @giddierone Briefly. Didn't seem very compelling.

2022-08-11T01:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557532669977669632

@devsdd @giddierone Did you read it?

2022-08-11T00:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557529466947088385

I'm not advocating this puppet theory. It's not my theory. It's @giddierone's, and I'm sure he'd be happy to answer questions about it.

I just think we should at least consider mundane explanations, even if they seem unlikely. Especially if we are also considering aliens.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1557127520129994752

2022-08-10T16:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557400969024966656

@ksorbsinnrvoice @thieme I don't like hoaxes, and it's not needed. The rigor already on display in many quarters is clearly lacking.

But, if someone were to do it, what group do you think has demonstrated sufficient rigor so far that they could be tested?

2022-08-10T16:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557400301157511168

RT @LivingBlueTX: The right is prepping for civil war. Iâ□□d report them all to the @FBI, but I

literally donâ□□t have time to sit report the hâ□¦

2022-08-10T16:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557398299526672386

@wookiepastimes @TheUAPYogi @leSt0rm @GarryPNolan If someone is "probably wrong," then why not point out the flaws in their analysis, and then the experiencer will be vindicated?

2022-08-10T16:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557397688835944450

@classybucket It's not my hypothesis, but if you read Reid's piece, he does actually discuss that.

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-10T16:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557397093295071234

This UFO can move faster than it's own shadow! Reality distortion field, or bad CGI?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557396930539335681/pu/img/TaErgMONtEJDT3DG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557396930539335681/pu/img/TaErgMONtEJDT3DG.jpg

2022-08-10T15:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557396071122288641

@devsdd Why not both? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZz7cK8UUAEeiqI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZz7cK8UUAEeiqI.jpg

2022-08-10T15:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557386767493316609

@XRPTakisian I always investigate. I've been investigating some videos, like Gimbal, for YEARS without a full resolution.

2022-08-10T15:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557386502560051203

@ram0l It is now :)

2022-08-10T15:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557386280912097280

An issue with UFOlogy being largely based on eyewitness accounts is that suggesting, or even just *mentioning* an alternative hypothesis can be treated as a direct attack on the witnesses. But they already know most people think aliens are not visiting. So how is this different?

https://twitter.com/TxUFOSightings/status/1557359995536252932

2022-08-10T15:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557383517394284544

A fun little UFO identification case from Korea. What initially seemed like a large flying cigar-shaped craft turn out to be something much more mundane. But only after considerable legwork.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-korean-linear-ufo-reflection.12567/
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557382956271824897/pu/img/mkXbdbnADfL4eBd3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557382956271824897/pu/img/mkXbdbnADfL4eBd3.jpg
2022-08-10T15:06:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557382876718514176
RT @mehdirhasan: Exactly! This!
https://twitter.com/robinsonmeyer/status/1556818832148365312

2022-08-10T10:23:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557311700130353152
@mindfulofufo So read it as "a lot of kids" or "many children". The question is the same.

2022-08-10T10:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557311309842067457
@brad_stebbing @ufo_worldwide Not at all. We should base beliefs on facts. If there are multiple hypotheses, then we can sometimes rank them based on a heuristic, like plausibility. That does not mean you have to believe them.

2022-08-10T10:18:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557310418258780160
@WolfgangAM3 @Beckie_ness @qedjoe @TheProjectUnity Ezekiel, it's describing a vision of God's chariot arriving. People interpret the chariot's wheels as flying saucers, but it's really just a really fancy chariot.

https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Ezekiel%201&version=NASB

2022-08-10T10:07:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557307666891829248
@SDrew54 @GarryPNolan That's unfortunate because, like most people, I just want to figure

out what is going on.

2022-08-10T10:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557307226863218688

@Jacobschaefer75 It's not my theory. Did you read it?

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-10T10:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557306812667289600

@SIJA1966514655 @GarryPNolan Garry put "more likely" in quotes, and said "Any scientist would not make a call on likelihood.".

I did not say "more likely" I said it "seems more plausible". Different thing.

2022-08-10T09:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557305219045736448

@qedjoe @WolfgangAM3 @TheProjectUnity and "heaven was opened" is represented here by the big round hole in the sky, from which the dow descended, like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZyoullUIAEOwvL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZyoullUIAEOwvL.jpg

2022-08-10T09:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557301175019454464

Garry has blocked me now.

2022-08-10T08:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557286209843367936

@AdamGoldsack So you think the ETH should be a forbidden topic?

2022-08-10T08:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557277005044801541

@rhmcintyre Again, silly story, more or less likely than aliens?

2022-08-10T05:25:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557236795913302016
@AdamGoldsack What term for NHI do you prefer? NHI?

"Aliens" makes the point more clearly.

2022-08-10T05:18:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557234871558320128
@AlgolAluvaia @NeighborsCosmic Sure, knowledge of the existence of some potential visiting entity would certainly help clarify the assessment of plausibility.

But we don't have that.

2022-08-10T05:16:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557234345093394432
@devsdd @GarryPNolan So you analyze the probabilities of the puppet hypothesis and deem it unlikely, but aliens gets a pass because it's undefined.

But you can't actually say if one is more likely that the other.

So you should keep both on the list.

2022-08-10T05:12:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557233506190626816
@ParanormalcyUSA I won't ridicule, mock, or humiliate them. That's never been something that I do.

But how can you discuss the case without discussing the children? It's not possible.

2022-08-10T05:08:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557232510139920387
@SCOTTCRAMP811 @GarryPNolan When did I ever say something was fake (that you don't think wasn't fake)?

Non-obvious fakes are actually pretty rare.

2022-08-10T05:07:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557232072128806912
@J57919600 What do you think my intentions are?

Do you think that multiple hypotheses should be considered? If so, then why not this one? Why NHI?

2022-08-10T05:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557231564253118465
@quietwalk @BlueshiftProjex @likeitmatters3 @Earth_Mysteries Maybe they already had false

memories at that point, and he just progressed them a bit by introducing the notion of telepathing messages of ecology?

Should we eliminate that as a possibility?

2022-08-10T04:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557229496146673664

@devsdd @GarryPNolan I'd be interested to see if you could do an honest 4-point breakdown of the alien hypothesis.

1. Aliens fly to earth for an unknown reason
2. Kid see them (in a variety of ways), but nobody else does
3. etc....

Again, which is more plausible? Can we eliminate either?

2022-08-10T04:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557227514514194432

@noraaholt Then you will be interested in and surprised by Gideon's research.

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-10T04:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557227002939133952

@ShiftingShane @GarryPNolan I did not say that was probably. I said it was possible.

It's the same point. Should we not consider a welder? Can we only consider quasi-supernatural explanations?

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1549775931316719616

2022-08-10T04:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557223062784786433

@AlgolAluvaia Did you read Gideon's theory? There's a surprising amount of evidence. Aguably, more actual evidence than for the alien's theory.

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-10T04:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557222228202176512

@ShiftingShane @GarryPNolan It is not my theory, it came from Gideon Reid. I'm just asking if people think it's more or less likely than telepathic aliens.

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2022-08-10T04:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557221725644853248

@BiomechAnima @GarryPNolan I was asking for the original pixels, and ideally the original file.

2022-08-10T04:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557221536129433600
I'm a bit bemused at this. I'd asked Nolan if this one UFO photo could be publically released so its analysis could be crowdsourced. He agreed, but since I tried to make a point that multiple hypotheses should be considered in an unrelated case, then he changed his mind.
https://twitter.com/GarryPNolan/status/1557216242477305856

2022-08-10T04:19:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557220065237291008
@GarryPNolan I was not asking you to get the photo for me.

I was asking that you get it for the public.

So why not? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZxbQBEVEAAuplP.jpg


Star-shaped UFO photo you mentioned

External Inbox ×

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Thu, Aug 4, 9:16 AM (5 days ago)
to Garry

Hi Garry,

I suggested this on Twitter, but thought I'd mention it directly. Could you release the original image(s) of the star-shaped UFO (the one you mentioned to Carlson), to aid with some crowdsourced analysis? Ideally the in-camera original, but as close as possible would be an improvement over working from a (possibly cropped) screenshot.

Regards,

Mick

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZxbQBEVEAAuplP.jpg
2022-08-10T04:16:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557219192113209344
@devsdd @GarryPNolan It's not my hypothesis.

I'm asking what people consider to be more likely. Aliens, or humans doing something like running a puppet show.

So which?

2022-08-10T04:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557218912437014528
@GarryPNolan I didn't actually say they are "more likely." I raised the question: "are traveling puppeteers actually more or less plausible than telepathic aliens."

If we are to consider aliens or tricksters, then how can we eliminate conventionally puppeteers

messing around?

2022-08-10T00:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557162702681698305

@AtticusFinch_JD I don't take anything off the table, but I tend to assume people are probably not lying as a good starting point.

2022-08-09T22:49:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557136967040585728

@kevinscottnow No, they did not see anything.

2022-08-09T22:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557136888808411136

@its_only_Gaz @ToddRaymondL The question is simply what people think is more plausible: traveling puppeteers or telepathic aliens?

2022-08-09T22:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557136275307499520

@ufo_worldwide So you think ET is MORE plausible than puppets?

2022-08-09T22:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557135847698231296

A simple question of plausibility. A bunch of kids at a school claim to have seen some weird creatures and a spaceship. What seems more plausible: that the creatures are aliens, or the kids saw some puppeteers loading a van with their puppets.

2022-08-09T22:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557134316974383104

@jimqk Do you think traveling puppeteers are more or less plausible than telepathic aliens?

2022-08-09T22:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557131512268541952

@BrianDunning That's not really the theory, though. It was that some kids spotted them from a distance, for some reason.

I'm not saying it's a wonderful theory, I'm saying it seems more plausible than what was described being as aliens.

2022-08-09T22:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557131034512072704

@Earth_Mysteries So are traveling puppeteers actually more or less plausible than telepathic aliens??

2022-08-09T22:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557129532783480832

@WJProvenzano @OSIRIS_UAP I'm not requiring it. I'm explaining the problems with their counterexample.

2022-08-09T22:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557129310296629248

@dannyn424 Gimbal showed up on FLIR.

2022-08-09T22:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557129186870906881

@l3athal101 @OSIRIS_UAP A ski glove. It illustrates the principle of a warm insulated object appearing cold.

2022-08-09T22:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557127520129994752

Did the children at the Ariel school see puppets instead of aliens?

It's a theory that sounds silly. But are travelling puppeteers actually more or less plausible than telepathic aliens? Give it a read, then consider where it ranks on the hypothesis list

https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

2021-07-28T22:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420512222942429185

@thieme @Spectre67904513 @JeremyCorbell No, he WAS the WSO.

So was he also flying the plane? What was his pilot doing?

2021-07-28T22:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420511368537534464

RT @Flyingh43892139: #UFOtwitter high tech hunt for UFOs! Completely novel and unheard of! Or is it? :grinning_face_with_sweat:

https://twitter.com/GalileoProject1/status/1419644868285059077 https://t.coâ¦

2021-07-28T22:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420504424951083012

@camhick420 @MysteryWire Not according to what we see on the video.

2021-07-28T21:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420503619019759618

Calculating UFO trajectory from lines of sight (i.e. triangulation).

https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/491/2/2688/5626361?login=true

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7akCNlVUAEmtjh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7akCNlVUAEmtjh.jpg

2021-07-28T21:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420502996564074496

@nelsonb26 @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 Whenever Jeremy wants to go live.

2021-07-28T21:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420502514923819011

@markamaskey @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 A hi-res image of a flying saucer is a VERY high bar. What I'm suggesting is simpler and cheaper.

2021-07-28T21:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420495813042573316

@BostonsBuddha @chad_vfa41 @DietrichVFA41 I would be happy for any help facilitating a discussion.

2021-07-28T21:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420493231737827330

@MickSvest @ParabunkBlog @PineyMcknuckle In the video, it was moving very slowly off the left. Going to WFOV is the obvious move at the point. Especially for a WSO.

2021-07-28T21:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420492549039423488

I invite Chad Underwood, @chad_vfa41, for a live, or otherwise unedited, discussion to resolve some of the questions raised in his recent Corbell interview.

DM me, or mick@mickwest.com

2021-07-28T21:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420489933391761412

@PineyMcknuckle @MickSvest I asked Chris Lehto about this, he agreed that it would have been best to switch to WFOV.

https://youtu.be/neTfX9Jx5AY?t=2648

2021-07-28T20:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420486904516993025

@PineyMcknuckle @MickSvest 4) All he had to do to regain ATFLIR visual was switch to 1x MFOV or WFOV. That's the obvious thing to do. But instead, he (the WSO) claims he aggressively maneuvered the plane. Yet the pilot apparently never noticed?

2021-07-28T20:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420486335295418369

@PineyMcknuckle @MickSvest 1) He's the WSO, not the pilot. The pilot (according to Fravor) does not remember anything happening. Was Char flying the plane AND operating the FLIR?
2) He ignores all the losses of lock/confidence earlier in the video
3) The object moves left VERY SLOWLY
>>>

2021-07-28T20:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420485303698038786

@BostonsBuddha @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 The report contains direct quotes from him that he says are false.

2021-07-28T20:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420484952261480449

@PineyMcknuckle @MickSvest He agreed with them. But his account does not make sense.

2021-07-28T20:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420484788146765829

@MickSvest @PineyMcknuckle Unless it was not moving, and was just the camera stopping tracking.

2021-07-28T20:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420482550653280257

@MickSvest @PineyMcknuckle SCU made the mistake of assuming the object accelerated rapidly to the left.

2021-07-28T20:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420482325540868096

@igottweets @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 The interview raises a lot of questions.

2021-07-28T20:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420479307445456898

David Fravor: "So the pilot of the airplane that took the video that Chad was in, if you talk to that individual, they really don't have the recollection, they were just out flying that day, and it

wasn't a big deal."

@chad_vfa41, is that correct?

2021-07-28T20:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420478396501434368

In his interview with @jeremycorbell, @chad_vfa41 said the "Executive Summary" contained a fake interview with him.

Fravor said this report came from (I think) AATIP.

2021-07-28T20:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420475010909577221

@PineyMcknuckle @BostonsBuddha He says he watched the tapes, including radar.

2021-07-28T20:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420474441184595970

@PineyMcknuckle @BostonsBuddha He was Chad's commander.

2021-07-28T19:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420473457528037376

@BostonsBuddha @PineyMcknuckle Yeah, but Fravor and others have said it's legit.

2021-07-28T19:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420471996970782720

@BrandonFugal Hmm, I'm skeptical. :)

2021-07-28T19:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420471804188000258

@PineyMcknuckle I just want to get the basic facts straight first.

Apparently we'll have to throw out the entire executive report from AATIP. As it says he was the WSO and didn't detect radar jamming. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7aHjwhVoAE2UMz.jpg

---

F/A-18F FLIR Tracking

Pilot: LT [redacted], USN/WSO: LT [redacted], USN

Lt [redacted] was a member of VFA-41 and was the Weapons and Sensors Officer (WSO) flying with LT [redacted]. They were a part of the second F/A-18F section airborne that day from VFA-41. Their flight launched following the FASTEAGLE flight. The crews spoke with each other in the paraloft, discussed the object and that the flights were scheduled for the same working area. FASTEAGLE flight told LT [redacted]'s flight what they saw and to try to see and record what they could.

The flight walked, started and launched. They completed their departure from the USS Nitmiz, climbed to altitude and separated to begin the training portion of the flight. According to LT [redacted] it was a clear day. There were no clouds and there was a discernable horizon. The time was approximately 1500L. The flight checked with the E-2C and separated. Their jet proceeded south to the southern cap. They flew at 20,000 feet and were flying a max endurance profile at approximately 300 knots ground speed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7aHjwhVoAE2UMz.jpg
2021-07-28T19:44:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420470132661395457
@DigitalDino4 That would make sense, but @JeremyCorbell described him as a WSO ("whizzo")
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7aF4XBVcAEIb3u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7aF4XBVcAEIb3u.jpg
2021-07-28T19:34:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420467642695966727
If Underwood was the WSO, then how was he flying the plane? It's on autopilot up to the end of the video. How does that work? WSO has limited controls, but they don't generally fly.
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1420458612820832259

2021-07-28T18:48:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420456171593355265
@officer_pinky @BrandonFugal Too hard to keep the camera calibrated.

2021-07-28T18:46:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420455739110297601
@sSamscwantch @UAPmike @MJouffray @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 @SkyHubUAP I tagged them.

2021-07-28T18:46:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420455597036642305
@UAPmike @MJouffray @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 None. But it's the type of 3D math, geospatial analysis, and detail-oriented project management that I'm familiar with.

Bottom line though, triangulated data is 100x more convincing than a video of a light bopping around in the sky. It should be a priority. Maybe THE priority.

2021-07-28T18:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1420454719642750976
@UFOExperiencer @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 Okay, explain how you'd debunk an object triangulated by three cameras, creating a 3D track that shows hovering and 50g acceleration.

2021-07-28T18:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420454302129168384

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 I respect your desire for academic rigor, but I don't think it's particularly pragmatic.

2021-07-28T18:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420453893683650561

@UAPmike @MJouffray @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 You don't need lots of them, you just need pairs.

2021-07-28T18:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420450606624370690

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 Why can't you answer the simple question? Have you triangulated anything?

2021-07-28T18:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420449027494088704

@BrandonFugal Brandon, you've got a hotspot for sightings, and room for a baseline between cameras. A triangulation setup might help answer some questions.

2021-07-28T18:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420448218639323140

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 When is it coming out?

2021-07-28T18:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420448109042176001

@LarryRosenthal @ParabunkBlog @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 SETI@Home was just crowdsourcing CPUs to analyze the signals SETI gathered. This would crowdsource data collection, and maximize the potential for triangulation. Very different.

2021-07-28T18:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420447456202919936

@TheRobbok @BrandonFugal The question here is more like one dinner at Masa vs three months of Taco Bell.

Of course, both would be ideal. But budgets are always limited.

2021-07-28T18:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420445719341662208

@a_turning_tide Yeah, but do they know what they are not doing?

2021-07-28T18:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420445570213183490

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 Have you triangulated something? I mean anything, not specifically a UAP?

2021-07-28T18:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420445270576226308

@ArranGray9 Yeah, but you can't just go buy a phased-array search-and-track radar on Amazon.

Radar data would be great. The FAA blankets the country in radar. Another avenue would be to get the raw (before decluttering) radar data from the FAA and work on filtering out something useful

---

2021-07-28T16:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420422513968832513

@ParabunkBlog @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 You crowdsource it.

2021-07-28T16:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420422395366572035

A pixel on station A corresponds to a path of pixels on station B. Like someone at A is shining a laser in the sky. B would see the laser. Any object on that path for B could be A's object. The intersection of B's pixel vector with A's gives you the location.

2021-07-28T16:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420419981263605763

@SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 A photo is a 2D array of pixels. But you can also think of it as a 2D array of 3D vectors emanating from the focal point. Determining all those 3D vectors is the calibration issue. You can do it with the LLA of the camera, rough direction, time and date, then use Moon and stars.

2021-07-28T16:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420415424227790849

@ParabunkBlog @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 And how many of these telescope+radar installations will they have? At what cost? How much sky will they cover? Do all UFOs show up on radar?

I'd love HD images+radar. But I'd prefer to catch something. Use a bigger net.

2021-07-28T16:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420414436452356102

@socalkoi1 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 If it's high in the sky, and visible to one camera, then why not? Another camera a couple of miles away will also be able to see it.
You only need two, although three would be ideal.

2021-07-28T15:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420410386952556550

How can you have a project that searches the skies for UFOs and not once mention triangulation? @SkyHub10? @GalileoProject1?

Want to prove UFOs move in unnatural ways? Photos won't do it. 2D Video won't do it.

#Triangulate them into ECEF or ENU tracks. Make UFOs 3D.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7ZMtF5UYAQ8xRp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7ZMtF5UYAQ8xRp.jpg

2021-07-28T15:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420404425588281345

@ParabunkBlog If you get the proof that there's something flying around up there, then it would make it a lot easier to raise money to try to take a good photo.

Triangulation is cheaper and covers a vastly larger area for your money.

2021-07-28T15:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420401365960990721

@thieme @Wardor82 @gentlemen_my They should triangulate.

2021-07-28T14:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420395852024090628

@gentlemenslwyr Their focus seems to be on HD images. I think that is not a productive approach.

2021-07-28T14:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420391927778709509

@ParabunkBlog If the motion can be proven to not fit anything known, then that would be a huge step forward. You canâ□□t do that with one camera.

2021-07-28T14:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420391407018074117

@Wardor82 @thieme @gentlemen_my Was Hessdalen triangulated?

2021-07-28T14:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420385600352358402

@ethan6180 Sure, Iâ□□ve already conceded that, because you canâ□□t eliminate the possibility.

2021-07-28T09:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420309578403614720

@loveallaniamls That's the camera changing FOV, not the object moving.

2021-07-28T09:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420309170851438592

@MushtakWasiti There's no actual data available.

2021-07-28T06:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420277642469666819

@moozoo_wizard Meteors don't change direction, or slow down and hover.

2021-07-28T06:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420277390895239168

@marcbel19406167 It's really all they care about. They want to be taken seriously.

So capture the data. Triangulate.

2021-07-28T06:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420277091031941120

@mattkenworthy So let's just do, say, San Diego County and Catalina. Space them out a bit more, use cheaper hardware.

2021-07-28T06:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420274991296237569

@Wardor82 @gentlemen_my We don't have that data.

2021-07-28T06:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420272260229734400

@marcbel19406167 Unfortunately, eyewitness accounts don't convince scientists.

So I'm suggesting an effective and relatively cheap way of obtaining measurable evidence that scientists could not argue with.

2021-07-28T06:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420270963262857217

@disclosure2021 They are not all easy to debunk. It's a fun and interesting set of puzzles, and there's interesting science and people involved.

2021-07-28T06:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420269875835015168

Blurry photos are not going to help. But solid proof of hyper speeds or large accelerations will provide incontrovertible evidence of a phenomenon that needs investigating.

Triangulation will give you that. Cheaper too.

2021-07-28T06:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420265494972563460

UFO hunting technology proposals might want to reconsider the focus on super-zoom cameras. Hard to use, expensive, won't help much at night.

Focus on triangulation. 2 or 3 cheap full-sky stations separated by around 2km would let you get a 3D motion track, with speed. UFO proof.

2021-07-27T21:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420141516907974656

@LIFE_MMA_andNBA @chrisotis78 That's not how it seemed to me. Chris seemed okay with the format.

2021-07-27T21:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420136244898500608

@Avirup1981 @wow36932525

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/shape-changing-light-from-plane-with-nikon-p900-venus.11904/

2021-07-27T20:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420126446765236228

@AliensExist15 Why would I?

It looks like a giant alien spaceship. I call things like I see them.

2021-07-27T20:56:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420126053041770496

@MiddleOfMayhem None of the interviews that I'm aware of were about "how UFOs are real". They were all just asked some questions about something in the news as a part of a more general interview.

And UFOs have always been "real".

2021-07-27T18:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420082103232856066

@BostonsBuddha @UAPmike That's not really the use case though is it.

Show me a photo of a drone 10 miles away, taken with a telescope.

2021-07-27T17:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420081009379270656

@Ibn_e_Channa @marcbel19406167 @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 Like who?

2021-07-27T17:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420080921718390786

@Ibn_e_Channa @Oswald1160 @leSt0rm @marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 This is news to me. Where did you hear that?

2021-07-27T17:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420080795046191108

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_barra_o @MiddleOfMayhem Erm, no. You're on the blue line here. You don't have many options though the year, and one one at any given point in time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E7UjuHYVkAEfxSR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E7UjuHYVkAEfxSR.jpg

2021-07-27T17:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420077070353276931

@MiddleOfMayhem Neither. It's interesting, but the non-TTSA validation isn't really anything new.

2021-07-27T17:38:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420076179713757190

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_barra_o @MiddleOfMayhem At any given instant in time you are only moving in one direction, about 200 km/sec in the direction of galactic rotation. This varies a little through the day (and the year, and over eons) but if you're going to "stop" you'd basically be going one direction.

2021-07-27T17:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420075088561405952

@RuanLotter @picardofborg55 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7UevJpVIAUi_jG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7UevJpVIAUi_jG.jpg

2021-07-27T16:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420059563466772482

@Ibn_e_Channa @marcbel19406167 @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 and who are these actual experts?

2021-07-27T16:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420058104205516805

@osbald @facemullet @MiddleOfMayhem Reminds me of the Bloater Drive, from "Bill, The Galactic Hero" by Harry Harrison. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7UPBi-VgAAipb2.jpg

---



Chingers. How are we going to do it?" "It's like this." Tembo looked around to make sure that First Class Spleen was out of sight, then put his cupped hands together to form a ball. "You make believe that my hands are the ship, just floating in space. Then the Bloater Drive is turned on-" "The what?" "The Bloater Drive. It's called that because it bloats things up. You know, everything is made up of little bitty things called electrons, protons, neutrons, trontrons, things like that, sort of held together by a kind of binding energy. Now, if you weaken the energy that holds things together- I forgot to tell you that also they are spinning around all the time like crazy, or maybe you already knew-you weaken the energy, and because they are going around so fast all the little pieces start to move away from each other, and the weaker the energy the farther apart they move. Are you with me so far?" "I think I am, but I'm not sure that I like it." "Keep cool. Now-see my hands? As the energy gets weaker the ship gets bigger," he moved his hands further apart. "It gets bigger and bigger until it is as big as a planet, then as big as a sun then a whole stellar system. The Bloater Drive can make us just as big as we want to be, then it's turned the other way and we shrink back to our regular size and there we are." "Where are we?" "Wherever we want to be," Tembo answered patiently.

Bill turned away and industriously rubbed shine-o onto a fuse as First Class Spleen sauntered by, a suspicious glint in his eye. As soon as he had turned the corner, Bill leaned over and hissed at Tembo.

"How can we be anywhere else than where we started? Getting bigger, getting smaller doesn't get us anyplace." "Well, they're pretty tricky with the old Bloater Drive. The way I heard it it's like you take a rubber band and hold one end in each hand. You don't move your left hand, but you stretch the band out as far as it will go with your right hand. When you let the band shrink back again you keep your right hand steady and let go with your left. See? You never moved the rubber band, just stretched it and let it snap-but it has moved over. Like our ship is doing now.

It's getting bigger, but in one direction. When the nose reaches wherever we are going the stern will be wherever we were. Then we shrink, and bangol there we are. And you can get into heaven just that easily, my son, if only..." "Preaching on government time, Tembo!" First Class Spleen howled from the other side of the fuse rack over which he was looking with a mirror tied to the end of a rod. "I'll have you polishing fuse clips for a year. You've been warned before." They tied and polished in silence after that, until the little planet about as big as a tennis ball swam in through the bulkhead. A perfect little planet with tiny icecaps, cold fronts, cloud cover, oceans, and the works.

"What's that?" Bill yiped.

"Bad navigation," Tembo scowled. "Backlash, the ship is slipping back a little on one end instead of going all the other way. No-no! Don't touch it, it can cause accidents sometimes. That's the planet we just left,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7UPBi-VgAAipb2.jpg

2021-07-27T16:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420055193903800323

@picardofborg55 @RuanLotter It's a child.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_CEzMp6uujw

2021-07-27T15:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420048917022973968

@_DblChz_ @MiddleOfMayhem Itâ□□s certainly ludicrous

:grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes::wine_glass::wine_glass::wine_glass:

2021-07-27T15:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420048457981517828

@exploreabitmore Sure, but it will give really good data showing whatâ□□s actually there, a greater distance into the LIZ

2021-07-27T15:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420047856107278339

@andrewjdk @MiddleOfMayhem Itâ□□s tilted. Itâ□□s not really tilting.

2021-07-27T15:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1420044963186761733

RT @adamspacemann: Just in case you thought you were done reading about UFOs or Harvard astrophysicists, here comes Avi Loeb's new @Galileoâ¦

2021-07-27T05:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419897634475724803

@MiddleOfMayhem Then they could only move in one direction.

2021-07-27T04:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419883560052224004

@ZaineMichael1 @Ibn_e_Channa @4Eridani You are confusing a lack of significant figures with weird behavior.

2021-07-27T04:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419879808251568129

@ZaineMichael1 @Ibn_e_Channa @4Eridani Plot a graph. Does it make sense even if itâ□□s an alien warp drive?

2021-07-27T02:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419844568065863680

@marcbel19406167 @leSt0rm @Ibn_e_Channa @chrisotis78 Conclusion?

2021-07-27T02:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419844149293895684

@ZaineMichael1 @Ibn_e_Channa @4Eridani To make it realistic.

2021-07-27T02:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419843492948283395

@SAeleven12 @4Eridani Thanks.

2021-07-27T02:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419843376434745347

@Disclosure89 Nope, he linked to the $500k one.

2021-07-27T00:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419818043035701252

@ForeySR @4Eridani Sounds good. I look forward to seeing the results in 2023.

2021-07-27T00:32:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419817892250480640

@ZaineMichael1 @4Eridani You need to smooth your data. It's not realistic.

2021-07-27T00:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419817621218684931

@OpticTracker was a company that implemented visual target tracking for telescopes on GOTO mounts. They folded 8 years ago. Is there anything out there like this now?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m69yKV0dM4U

2021-07-27T00:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419816063491973123

@starapparatus Why? He's never done anything like this before, has he?

2021-07-27T00:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419815374724374535
@4Eridani It is the one telescope he linked to in his article.

There's plenty of very viable things in between an iPhone and a meter-scale telescope.

https://lweb.cfa.harvard.edu/~loeb/hires.pdf

2021-07-26T23:19:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419799492149219336
@realufobros I'd start small and experiment with various systems, and see what works, what the limits are, etc. Just a moderate-sized telescope with a goto mount and a good mirrorless camera.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KS3wAx32gXg

2021-07-26T20:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419758139772047382
@FettuccineSplit I'll be happy to see the details of their first proposed install.

2021-07-26T20:33:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419757844639846412
@UAPmike Just from practical experience. Taking photos of planes, and other things at long distances away.

2021-07-26T20:26:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419756135532269569
@UAPmike Atmospheric distortion is something I'm very familiar with. It's not super complicated. It's very much the limiting factor in getting high fidelity images of distant objects - unless you're at 14,000 feet or so,

---

2021-07-26T20:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419751283334926336
@Mike___Kilo @chrisotis78 @YouTube 1) That what I was saying. (well, not very well, but I said it's just the heat signature when discussing the shape)

2) A star that morphs into a blob+start, then gradually into a wedge shape? Sure yeah, it could be a shape-shifting alien craft, but is that really indicated?

2021-07-26T20:03:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419750128554938379
Here's the telescope Avi Loeb suggests using. It's ridiculously over-spec. Only really useful on top of a very high mountain due to atmospheric distortion. Probably not nimble enough to spend all day tracking birds and planes. You don't need this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7P2cvGVEAchOSW.jpg

TelescopeS.NET

SEARCH ENTIRE STORE HERE

SALE TELESCOPES IMAGING MOUNTS & OBSERVATORIES SOLAR EYEPIECES ACCESSORIES SPORTS OPTICS & MICROSCC

ome / Planewave - PW1000 (1-Meter Observatory System)



Planewave - PW1000 (1-Meter Observatory System)

Product videos



BE THE FIRST TO REVIEW THIS PRODUCT

$500,000.00

The PW1000 is a complete 1-meter observatory-class telescope featuring a direct drive altitude-azimuth mounting system designed and engineered by PlaneWave. With a diffraction-limited 100mm image circle PW1000 is designed to excel at imaging on the largest format CCD cameras available today.

SKU : **PLA-1000101**
Availability : **More On The Way**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7P2cvGVEAchOSW.jpg
2021-07-26T19:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419749111134228493
More data, and higher resolution data, is what you want when investigating an unknown. So this proposal from The Galileo Project sounds wonderful.

I'll be surprised if it ever gets off the ground to the extent described. Especially the idea of a network of meter-scale cameras. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7PzCXBVEBkOJ0t.jpg

---

The *Galileo Project* follows three major avenues of research:

1. ***Obtain High-resolution, Multi-detector UAP Images, Discover their Nature***:

A picture is worth a thousand words. For example, a megapixel image of the surface of a human-scale UAP object at a distance of a mile will allow to distinguish the label: “Made in Country X” from the potential alternative “Made by ETC Y” on a nearby exoplanet in our galaxy. This goal will be accomplished by searching for UAP with a network of mid-sized, high-resolution telescopes and detector arrays with suitable cameras and computer systems, distributed in select locations. The data will be open to the public and the scientific analysis will be transparent.

We anticipate extensive Artificial Intelligence/Deep Learning (AI/DL) and algorithmic approaches to differentiate atmospheric phenomena from birds, balloons, commercial or consumer drones, and from potential technological objects of terrestrial or other origin surveying our planet, such as satellites. For the purpose of high contrast imaging, each telescope will be part of a detector array of orthogonal and complementary capabilities from radar, Doppler radar and high-resolution synthetic aperture radar to high-resolution, large camera visible range and infrared band telescopes. If an ETC is discovered to be surveying Earth using UAP, then we have to assume that the ETC has mastered passive radar, optical and infrared technologies. In such a case, our systematic study of such detected UAP will be enhanced by means of high-performance, integrated and multi-wavelength detector arrays.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7PzCXBVEBkOJ0t.jpg
2021-07-26T19:23:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419740079191322626
@OwatcherUf @SocialistFT The plane is flying in a circle around something that's slowly drifting West, just to the North of the runway.

2021-07-26T18:37:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419728572273418240

@DimzR21 @UAPHub @Saw_a_UFO @Twitter I've got an EMF. What doesn't?

But that's just an image with the levels tweaked into meaninglessness.

2021-07-26T18:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419725351949766661

@marcbel19406167 @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 You can't look into it for yourself, you don't need to trust either of us.

2021-07-26T18:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419724185488695296

@DimzR21 @UAPHub @Saw_a_UFO @Twitter What do people think looks non-balloon-like about it?

2021-07-26T15:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419683143322243073

RT @mariannaspring: Comments from a former Nurse at a rally likening NHS doctors to executed Nazis have been widely condemned.

I spoke toâ¦

2021-07-26T15:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419682706892365825

@marcbel19406167 @leSt0rm @chrisotis78 Fravor is highly skilled and experienced. He understands how the targeting pod works from his perspective in the cockpit. That does not mean he understands the inner workings, or why there are two gimbal rolls in the FLIR1 video.

2021-07-26T15:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419681175883292676

@SocialistFT

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

First post is a series of excerpts from a report prepared by RubÃ©n Lianza, Commodore (ret), Argentine Air Force, Head of the Argentine Air Force Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research, and badass UAP investigator.

2021-07-26T15:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419678845670551554

@OwatcherUf @TheOneKev @chrisotis78 Thereâ□□s plenty more points (and videos) besides the Tic-Tac acceleration.

2021-07-26T15:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419677489706663940

Coming up at 9AM PT, 12PM ET. In 45 minutes.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1419383635740819460

2021-07-26T08:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419570106208702468
@Accumulat0r It's a helicopter.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-homesteadhow-ufo-photos-helicopter.11854/

2021-07-26T05:34:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419531534877347843
@gummibear737 His defense does not need me. Multiple independent teams have replicated the basic shape of historical climate reconstructions. It's complicated. Don't go by one critical take.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hockey_stick_graph#Critique_and_independent_reconstructions
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7MwVg_VkAIE9q6.jpg

More than two dozen reconstructions, using various statistical methods and combinations of proxy records, support the broad consensus shown in the original 1998 hockey-stick graph, with variations in how flat the pre-20th century "shaft" appears.[12][13] The 2007 IPCC Fourth Assessment Report cited 14 reconstructions, 10 of which covered 1,000 years or longer, to support its strengthened conclusion that it was likely that Northern Hemisphere temperatures during the 20th century were the highest in at least the past 1,300 years.[14] Further reconstructions, including Mann et al. 2008 and PAGES 2k Consortium 2013, have supported these general conclusions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7MwVg_VkAIE9q6.jpg
2021-07-25T22:08:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419419298011910146
@urbanseer @chrisotis78 Unfortunately, Dave refuses to talk to me. He's even blocked me on Twitter. It's a shame, as he's got some very interesting video examples of IR glares.

2021-07-25T21:42:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419412768864624640
@ShriekinSamurai @Oswald1160 Lots of phones have a wide variety of modes, including things like "Moon" or "Fireworks". It would be very easy to add another.

Then you'd need to make some AI that detects when you are frantically taking a photo of a light in the sky, and acts appropriately.

2021-07-25T21:11:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419405039005700096
@ShriekinSamurai @Oswald1160 Sure, they all play roles. Things can always be better.

Software is actually a good area for improvement. Phones need to have a "UFO" mode - ideally an automatic one.

2021-07-25T21:04:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419403101694402564
@ShriekinSamurai @Oswald1160 Bokeh is only a problem if things (i.e the objects of interest)

are out of focus.

2021-07-25T19:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419384376400310276

@marcbel19406167 @preluder @chrisotis78 I'm always happy to have a video chat, and to try to figure stuff out. I'll be doing one tomorrow.

https://youtu.be/neTfX9Jx5AY

2021-07-25T19:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419383635740819460

Live chat on Monday with former F-16 pilot Chris Lehto (@chrisotis78). We'll be discussing our respective analyses of the various UFO videos - where we disagree, where we agree, and what's still unknown.

Monday July 26, at 9AM PT, 12PM ET.

https://youtu.be/neTfX9Jx5AY

2021-07-25T17:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419356078387597315

RT @chrisotis78: Sorry guys, I was wrong on my last video and took it down. I read all your comments first. I believe Mick West's argumentâ□¦

2021-07-25T17:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419344411935207428

@AgentBlackAcid @m3kromanc3r No worries. Thanks.

2021-07-25T17:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419344101783183369

@6r6w6 Nope!

You should look at the claims (more like observations really) on their own merits. They are not super complicated.

2021-07-25T15:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419315303192809472

@redacted699 @TrevyLimited Most land is but a short distance from water of some type (lake, river, ocean). People live near water. If UFOs needed water they would be near people, and cameras.

2021-07-25T14:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419311159803408385

@Saw_a_UFO Just exposure. Looks like 1/40 at 30fps. Maybe an ND filter. Bird moving around 30-40 mph

---

2021-07-25T11:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419256932771065859

@UFOthinker But what he shows in the video IS what I suggested.

2021-07-25T10:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419245056381255685

@UFOthinker I mean his video does not actually debunk anything.

Can you explain what his video shows?

2021-07-25T04:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419150984706551808

@ralphdamato @sims11tz @blakemecakes Or Rock.

2021-07-25T02:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419129683593994240

@Saw_a_UFO 25 yards is 75 feet.

2021-07-25T00:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419088609546686466

@Ibn_e_Channa @markocheese @jimbotowrag Do you think the UAPs have just been really lucky so far? In not being caught on camera?

2021-07-25T00:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419085256989155331

@sims11tz @blakemecakes Sometimes.

2021-07-24T23:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419081459206262787

@bravado8513 @chrisotis78 You don't have to "trust" anyone. You can watch the video yourself.

2021-07-24T23:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419081046100832257

@LaserStronghold @chrisotis78 Yes. He seems unclear. I'm really not sure what point he's making. That's why I've invited him to discuss it live.

2021-07-24T23:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419080559691649029

@ShriekinSamurai The question is: can they take photos where you can resolve more detail than the naked eye. The answer seems to be yes.

2021-07-24T22:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419065176511246337

@sim_zum @ark_610 @hoaxeye Can you provide an actual link to the data for the "confirmed cases" image? Or an explanation of how the data was extracted?

Of the two links in the image, one just goes to the front page, and the other is broken.

2021-07-24T22:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419059251356397569

@AgentBlackAcid @m3kromanc3r Lehto hasn't.

I listen to the eyewitness. I've interviewed several of them.

2021-07-24T22:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419057999327285248

@OwatcherUf @clgood I've invited Chris to discuss this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7GBpO7UcAA8m9o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7GBpO7UcAA8m9o.jpg

2021-07-24T22:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419056279117393921

@AgentBlackAcid @m3kromanc3r I'm not relying on my flight experience at all. I point out things you can verify for yourself. It's not super complicated.

2021-07-24T21:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419054671310643203

@jr_chillin550 @AgentBlackAcid @UFOthinker @chrisotis78 Piper. PA-28.

2021-07-24T21:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419054322504007682

@sdk4422 I AM trying to figure it out.

2021-07-24T21:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419053531928006659

@m3kromanc3r @AgentBlackAcid Oh, I didn't quit because it was stressfull, it just made the early lessons a bit more tedious, constrained airspace. I actually quit because my wife didn't like it, and it got boring (and expensive) just flying by myself.

And it was Pipers, not Cessnas. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7F9A_IUYAQdH5m.jpg



unusual. So I stopped posting and moved on to other topics.

After I left Neversoft one of the first things I did was take flying lessons at Santa Monica airport. It turned out flying was a bit more stressful than I'd imagined. Santa Monica airport is right next to the very busy Class-B airspace of Los Angeles International Airport, and to go anywhere requires careful planning and often complex navigation. I got my solo certification, I did a few long distance solo flights, then decided flying was really not for me.

But along the way I had to learn a lot about planes, air traffic, and the atmosphere. I also found a new topic that intersected with my new knowledge and my interest in debunking. The topic was Chemtrails—the unfounded belief that the long white trails left by high flying airplanes were not just condensation clouds, but were artificial trails deliberately sprayed for some illegal or nefarious purpose.

I came across the topic of Chemtrails somewhat randomly on Wikipedia, and I immediately found it fascinating—particularly the false idea people had that "normal" contrails could not persist. At that point (in 2007) I'd grown a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7F9A_IUYAQdH5m.jpg
2021-07-24T21:47:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419051738405892096
@Saw_a_UFO What do you think is missing?

2021-07-24T21:45:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419051118173179904
@Ibn_e_Channa @markocheese @jimbotowrag This U2 unexpectedly flew over my house.

Sometimes people are holding camera. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7F7agQUUAAxktW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7F7agQUUAAxktW.jpg
2021-07-24T21:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419050257963356165
@LaserStronghold What claims?

2021-07-24T20:31:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419032627974086656
@AgentBlackAcid @jr_chillin550 @UFOthinker @chrisotis78 Here's me flying solo from Santa Monica to Paso Robles.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1419032485342572545/pu/img/b4V_Pamjvv-bw34W.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1419032485342572545/pu/img/b4V_Pamjvv-bw34W.jpg
2021-07-24T19:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419018757792432129
@DTruvet @PhilipMarloweLA @EricRWeinstein @neiltyson What if @PhilipMarloweLA is also making a joke?

Wait, what if YOU are joking?

Is this a joke? http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E7Fd5jQVEAAw8qW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E7Fd5jQVEAAw8qW.jpg
2021-07-24T19:23:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419015331809435649
@jimbotowrag @markocheese @Ibn_e_Channa It's about phones vs. eyeballs. Glass (lenses) is one limiting factor, but that's not what it's ALL about. Sensor quality and automatic (AI) exposure/focus/tracking all come into the mix.

2021-07-24T19:02:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419010157112029184
@GeorgeIoannide9 @SkyHub10 @GalileoProject1 Mockery? I'm pointing out that two distinct projects seem to have identical goals and methodologies. So maybe they should be working together?

The video is from SkyHub, not me.

2021-07-24T18:48:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419006715920404482
@markocheese @Ibn_e_Channa @jimbotowrag Glue it to the side of a plane.

2021-07-24T18:48:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419006545849774081
@Ibn_e_Channa @markocheese @jimbotowrag Can you lay out the logic you are using step-by-step, because I'm not seeing how that follows.

1. Phones can take good photos of planes
2. UAPs are not planes
....
N. THEREFORE no good photos of UAPs will exist.

Fill in the missing steps.

2021-07-24T18:41:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1419004725945462785
@Ibn_e_Channa @markocheese @jimbotowrag A plane is. A good phone camera can resolve

detail on a plane that the eye cannot.

2021-07-24T18:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419004399066632196

@LeslieLinnie @matrix2004 @UAPtechnician @LueElizondo @JChurchRadio @g_knapp @DietrichVFA41 @LuAngeles @BSquidding @blackvaultcom @jamescfox @ChrisKMellon @TicTacUSA @JeremyCorbell Why am I Thaddus? I should be Thomas!

2021-07-24T18:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1419000410006691842

@markocheese @Ibn_e_Channa @jimbotowrag One good image is all we are asking for.

Billions of cameras in the world.

2021-07-24T18:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418999601969786880

Here's the problem. Chris's video is not a "detail analysis" of the Tic-Tac video, in fact he barely looks at it. Nor does he "debunk" anything - actually quite the opposite.

Yet because he was a fighter pilot, people think there's actually something to his claim.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7FMDM9VUAAwOus.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7FMDM9VUAAwOus.jpg
2021-07-24T18:17:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418998831195119617
@nibbleshift @Spacecowboy781 Only if the specific case under consideration is consistent with an internal reflection.

There are many different things, known and unknown, that can cause UFO reports. Internal reflections have historically been one of them. e.g.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x79SlmMNI5U

2021-07-24T18:10:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418997102353387520
@marcbel19406167 @chrisotis78 I'd be happy to. But Dave does not want to talk to me. He blocked me a year ago.

2021-07-24T18:10:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418996922652577792
@Spacecowboy781 "internal reflection" is hardly mental gymnastics.

2021-07-24T17:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418987963761975302
@sims11tz @blakemecakes That photo was taken in 2003. I think on the day I left.

2021-07-24T17:29:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418986745727799303
@chrisotis78 How about today?

2021-07-24T17:25:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418985825098997764
Watch @chrisotis78 de-debunking of my FLIR1 "No Sudden Moves" video.

He's missing something. His examples actually make my case. Yet he somehow thinks they show something else, and the comments are blindly excited about it.

Chris, we need to talk.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_3nr0Wk3q6A

2021-07-24T14:33:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418942304610574339
@Ibn_e_Channa @ufotruthdigger @s_pect_re https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hmkYJD3lpzQ

2021-07-24T14:15:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418937875073208320
@619Notabot Depends what the subject and the lighting conditions are. If it's a plane in the daytime, then it's more about sensor resolution and lens quality/limits.

2021-07-24T14:13:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418937361040347136
@jimbotowrag @Ibn_e_Channa But some phone cameras CAN capture images with more details than our eyes. Especially zoomed.

2021-07-24T13:59:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418933802206904328
@patrick_otoole @FettuccineSplit @AnjaliOnGaia Join what, exactly?

2021-07-24T13:31:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418926815607939078
@Ibn_e_Channa @jimbotowrag You seem to be confusing this discussion with a comparison between phones and DSLRs.

This is about when phone cameras can resolve more detail than the human eye - specifically in UFO photos.

2021-07-24T13:20:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418923929306116108
@PaulBelme The tweet is about this one guy.

2021-07-24T13:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418923503378702339
@jimbotowrag One camera being better than another does not mean it's impossible to ever resolve an object on the less good camera. It comes down to distance and lighting.

2021-07-24T08:56:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418857609155776513
@AdmiralQuality The tweet was about the guy who believed both.

2021-07-24T06:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418821820933881858
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 I mean a 3D visual model. Preferably interactive.

2021-07-24T06:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418821653417627667
@ark_610 @hoaxeye Please link to this data.

2021-07-24T06:32:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418821459833761793
@Ibn_e_Channa @s_pect_re @ufotruthdigger Yet there are situations where phone cameras take photos that contain more detail than can be seen.

2021-07-24T06:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418819081122287621
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 Who?

2021-07-24T06:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418817713619804165
@Ibn_e_Channa @markocheese @realityseaker @UAPmike @KikPlenty So, out of all the times people attempt to focus on a UFO, how many will succeed?

2021-07-24T06:12:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418816439771873312
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 It's been 30 years. Nobody seems to have verified his work.

2021-07-24T06:11:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418816093611769874
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 So no recreation then?

2021-07-24T06:03:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418814038461538305
Animation of Avi Loeb's Galileo Project's AI/DL differentiate UAP from birds, balloons, and drones.

... oh wait, it's actually SkyHub, last year, planning the same thing!

@skyhub10, @GalileoProject1, You guys going to work together?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tJMi7ZOKBpo

2021-07-24T05:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418808983528247296

Conspiracy theories are all fun and games until you die from Covid because you think the vaccine is a lie, just like the Moon landings.

https://twitter.com/vanbadham/status/1418795177217568769

2021-07-24T05:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418808268194029568

@UAP36665648 Want to bet? Solved in 3 years?

2021-07-24T05:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418807852307779595

@EricRWeinstein @neiltyson Yeah, it makes no sense. I don't have Neil's phone number.

2021-07-24T05:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418801827412279296

@strandventures @EricRWeinstein @neiltyson Those are squid boats. It's "debunked" (i.e. explained) here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-ufos-viewed-from-the-iss-squid-boats.11902/

2021-07-24T05:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418800883169734667

@gummibear737 So if you restrict your reading to the sources that disagree with Mann, then you arrive at the conclusion that he's not a credible source?

2021-07-23T23:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418711603243937794

@realufobros @UAPtechnician Why take a chance?

2021-07-23T23:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418711473317048320

My communication style was partly prompted by "The Cartoon Guide to (Non) Communication" by Larry Gonick, which I read back in 1990.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7BGLUmUcAEm9Qs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7BGLUmUcAEm9Qs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7BGKNbVkAM0wgy.jpg

2021-07-23T22:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418703206402564097

@gummibear737 When?

2021-07-23T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418703101586939904

@UAPtechnician @realufobros No, more like the regular old monsters under the bed.

2021-07-23T22:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418702170350706688

@gummibear737 Seems credible to me.

2021-07-23T22:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418702050704064517

@SIJA1966514655 I'm sure they will. Seems a bit vague at the moment.

2021-07-23T22:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418701628488634368

@MadScientistPod Skeptics are a varied bunch. I think the young folk generally respond more to straight sci-comm and makers than anti-woo. Derek Muller, Michael Stevens, Destin Sandlin, Adam Savage, Vi Hart, etc.

2021-07-23T22:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418698888085598208

@gummibear737 It's a bit more complicated. Also, not hidden.

https://www.aims.gov.au/reef-monitoring/gbr-condition-summary-2020-2021

2021-07-23T22:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418696209628241922

@imcomingdowntoo Then it might as well not exist.

2021-07-23T22:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418696075741863939

@MRJUAP First find a legitimate source.

2021-07-23T22:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418694951299289089

@imcomingdowntoo If they were, then this would be demonstrated.

It has not been demonstrated.

So they are not.

2021-07-23T22:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418694368454578184

@imcomingdowntoo They are not.

2021-07-23T21:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418692055505592323

@admpubmx @SenBillNelson @JeremyCorbell @Gurrlly007LIVE @ChrisKMellon @JazzShaw https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A3HJW-bKHEw

---

2021-07-23T21:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418691732384849921

@admpubmx @SenBillNelson @JeremyCorbell @Gurrlly007LIVE @ChrisKMellon @JazzShaw Different point of view. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7A0mWjUcAAWooZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E7A0mWjUcAAWooZ.jpg

2021-07-23T21:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418686741657972740

@s_pect_re @ufotruthdigger Minor correction, @ufotruthdigger has a S21 Ultra. Which is what I thought we were talking about. The 21+ doesn't have the 10x optical.

Probably still better than your eyes though.

2021-07-23T21:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418685638010761216

@s_pect_re @ufotruthdigger It has a 10x optical zoom. It uses a novel folded periscope approach.

https://www.mysmartprice.com/gear/samsung-galaxy-s21-ultra-optical-10x-zoom-folded-camera-mass-production/

2021-07-23T21:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418684928716283904

@admpubmx @SenBillNelson @JeremyCorbell @Gurrlly007LIVE @ChrisKMellon @JazzShaw Those are squid boats. The "stars" are hot pixels.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-ufos-viewed-from-the-iss-squid-boats.11902/

2021-07-23T21:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418680735213314049

@s_pect_re @ufotruthdigger No, you don't. The point is that phone cameras can be better than human vision because they have zoom.

You compare them by resolvable details in any given image.

2021-07-23T21:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418679990632153091

@s_pect_re @AliveAgain888 BTW, I'm very familiar with lag and framerate issues. Here's an article I wrote back in the day.
http://cowboyprogramming.com/2008/05/30/measuring-responsiveness-in-video-games/

2021-07-23T21:09:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418679644371374080
@s_pect_re @AliveAgain888 Right, but just looking a bit smoother and having less lag isn't what we are talking about here. We're talking about people seeing a UFO, and what info they can get from seeing it - compared to a camera.
60fps video is probably picking up a lot more detail than your eye does.

2021-07-23T21:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418677572129357824
@listene61181421 @AliveAgain888 Sure, but noticing the difference and it making a difference to what information you can get from a scene are not the same thing. High FPS makes things loo smooter (and degrease lag). Framerate really isn't the limiting factor here. More resolution and dynamic range.

2021-07-23T20:55:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418676098775146499
@Wardor82 @Oswald1160 @CryptoKolargol @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 Static ground-based silver iodide generators are different situation to ones mounted on a plane going 150mph.

2021-07-23T20:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418675352033849344
@us_serendipit Interesting, but ultimately too far away. Did it look any clearer to you by eye?

2021-07-23T20:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418673810589454337
@jimbotowrag @mission_europa But how much glass to you need to match the naked eye?

i.e. When will phone photos be better than what the person themselves saw?

2021-07-23T20:36:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418671371329015810
@us_serendipit Go for it. I'd be interested in how you think the footage compares to what you saw.

2021-07-23T19:49:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418659520910225411
@us_serendipit Much better zoom. You've got a camera that's already better than human vision.

Seen any UFOs with it?

2021-07-23T19:42:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418657865422630914

@us_serendipit What phone do you have?

Mine is an iPhoneX. Fully zoomed is still a bit worse than my natural vision.

2021-07-23T19:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418657288299040768

@UAPmike @KikPlenty Why?

2021-07-23T19:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418657171177312257

@SwinkInc @unused_metaphor They get a lot a great photos though. How many great photos of UFOs do we have? We missed them ALL?

2021-07-23T19:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418656731018588161

@us_serendipit Even wearing glasses?

2021-07-23T19:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418656629554249728

@UAP36665648 Not really the same thing. This is about getting corroboration for eyewitness accounts from regular people.

2021-07-23T19:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418656071082659842

@SwinkInc @unused_metaphor Yeah, so back then there was something better than human vision. At least it that one regard.

2021-07-23T19:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418652630419607555

@AliveAgain888 You canâ□□t make a direct comparison. 60 fps is about right. Resolution - compare what you see with photos. What zoom and resolution exceeds that?

2021-07-23T19:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418651597576437762

@MG66 Not average.

2021-07-23T19:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418651502785089538

@ufotruthdigger Yeah, and eventually that will be the average. A few years to go. But already millions of them out there.

2021-07-23T19:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418651176799670278

@TuaUfo Iâ□□m talking about the average phone camera. The highest end is already the. Galaxy S21 Ultra, for example.

2021-07-23T19:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418650762620522497

@MRJUAP Not that I remember.

2021-07-23T19:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418650348600758275
@Checkmite Yeah, but better than the human eye.

2021-07-23T19:12:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418650186708963329
@LAdlerhorst You can HDR with multiple exposures. But what cases would you really need that range for?

2021-07-23T18:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418635359307517961
At some point in the next few years, the AVERAGE phone camera will surpass human vision. You'll be able to whip out your camera and take a sequence of photos that shows you more than you can see with your eyes.

This will clarify the disparity between UFO eyewitnesses and photos

---

2021-07-23T18:00:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418632064153255937
@AlecStewart28 No, my point is that it could be rigorously tested. It would change the world. Yet they donâ□□t.

2021-07-23T15:43:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418597675289645058
@johnbruso @PhenixVegas Nah, that's just the first one I recognized.

There are Mexican oil platforms later.

It's true though that we don't know what the intent of showing these clips actually is.

2021-07-23T05:07:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418437707554951174
Good advice for anyone who writes about misinformation.

Overlays: How journalists can avoid amplifying misinformation in their stories via @firstdraftnews
https://firstdraftnews.org/articles/overlays-how-journalists-can-avoid-amplifying-misinformation-in-their-stories/

2021-07-23T04:59:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418435657463042049
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 Youâ□□d use a celestial sphere for the stars. You only need to model local stuff in real 3D when analyzing local events.

2021-07-23T04:58:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418435239982952449
@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 So itâ□□s settled? Aliens are real and near the ISS?

Why no hullabaloo?

2021-07-23T04:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418432538855428098

@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 Did they do a 3D recreation?

2021-07-23T04:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418431054218596356

@ThorntonVallery @CanadianUFOGuy @redacted699 Iâ□□d go with ice particles.

2021-07-23T00:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418374883109609473

@Jim87504388 @praxistor The list is of identified things, not hypothesized things. They mention advanced tech hypotheses elsewhere in the book.

2021-07-23T00:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418374379306586113

@DyceGuthrie @Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein They don't need to "demonstrate advanced technology" in order for such a thing to happen.

2021-07-23T00:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418373504672538624

@JeremyUnidenti1 @JazzShaw I look forward to reading about this next year.

Seriously, why not open-source the data, let people crowdsource the kinks, and THEN publish a vastly more solid paper.

Remember the Chilean UFO? They eliminated everything.

2021-07-22T23:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418353095692587011

@steven_dor It's a 1975 list of objects that have been interpreted as UFOs.

It's not a list that explains all UFO sightings.

So how does it insult you, or anyone?

2021-07-22T23:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418351973359702016

@realityseaker @JosephPishko1 As others have said. Squid boats and stuck pixels.

More details here (including tracking and matching the squid boats, as well as the exact same stuck pixels on other videos)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-viewed-from-the-iss-squid-boats.11902/

2021-07-22T22:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418342312816439299

@Vreenak1 That kind of depends on what his query was. We don't even know if he made one, let alone what he might have asked.

When I said "he would get told there was nothing there" that's assuming he misinterpreted the position of the jet. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E672SQDVgAAM83Q.jpg

---



Mick West

Administrator Staff member

Jan 29, 2020 #5

> Getoffthisplanet said:
>
> I'm completely ignorant about aviation, but, wouldn't the pilot photographer have been aware of, or able to inquire about, exactly what jet he was looking at?

ATC isn't really going to care about a plane that's 8 miles away, flying roughly parallel, and 15,000 feet lower. It's "no factor", as they say. He probably thought it was much closer, so if he asked, he would get told there was nothing there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E672SQDVgAAM83Q.jpg
2021-07-22T21:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418327497712701440
@hawkinscm12 "cigarette end" generally refers to cigarette butts, not a lit cigarette.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67pUnlUYAIF9Od.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67pUnlUYAIF9Od.jpg

2021-07-22T21:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418326196840931331

@BellvillePiet http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67nw_RVEAAEm-u.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67nw_RVEAAEm-u.png

2021-07-22T21:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418324561213280256

@ThorntonVallery Clouds, fog, and mist can all be ice crystals.

It's not like there's giant diamonds of ice in the sky. "Ice Crystals" in meteorological terms are microscopic. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67mlbHVcAcjKmV.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67mlbHVcAcjKmV.png

2021-07-22T21:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418323588025778176

@DyceGuthrie @Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein There's no evidence of an even distribution though. I think, taking the report as a whole, it's far more likely they all live in the single-sensor/eyewitness group.

Otherwise, you'd actually have some good evidence, and they don't indicate that.

2021-07-22T21:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418322679375949824

A 1975 list of objects that have been interpreted as UFOs.

About the only one I've not seen is "cigarette ends." I'm not entirely sure what that means, but I can imagine in the dark countryside a lit cigarette might be visible quite some distance off - and move in odd ways http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67kMGdVUAEheMJ.jpg

---



flares

The answer is about one inch (2.5 cm). When it is dark, small bright objects appear to be much larger than they really are. The list below shows the variety of objects and events which have frequently caused people to think they have seen flying saucers.

balloons and blimps
beacon lights
birds
bubbles
cigarette ends
clouds
comets
dust storms
feathers
fireworks
flares
fog and mist reflections
insect swarms
kites
leaves
lighthouses
lightning
meteors
military experiments
the moon
parachutes
planes, especially experimental aircraft or aircraft reflecting the light of the sun or the moon; their navigation or landing lights
planets
rockets
satellites passing overhead or burning up when re-entering the earth's atmosphere
searchlight reflections from low cloud
smoke
spider webs
stars
street lamps
the sun
tumbleweed
vapour trails
window reflections

This list is far from complete. All kinds of everyday objects and events can result in a UFO story. In France one autumn night a report spread through a village that the Martians had landed. Women and children were herded into the safety of the local church while the men armed themselves and set out to investigate. Advancing upon the 'landing site', they shone their torches at the 'intruders'. The short figures with large white heads stood silently before them. The men were about to charge when they realized their mistake. The 'Martians' were nothing more than large chrysanthemum plants covered with white cloths to protect them from the frost.

There are not enough scientists interested in flying saucers to ensure that every single UFO report is investigated, but every now and again events take place which are so mysterious and tantalizing that even the busiest of UFO experts will drop everything and take

6

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E67kMGdVUAEheMJ.jpg

2021-07-22T21:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418321118398541824

@ShepardAmbellas They only really work if you 1have clouds.

2021-07-22T21:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418321016548302848

@twilly18 @ShepardAmbellas Yeah, I wrote about them (well, the next-door Trans-Pecos Weather Modification Operation) in my book. Page 108-109

2021-07-22T19:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418286697956012035

@bythedshore I think he realized there wasn't as much to the story as he thought. Also, public interest has largely declined to background levels.

2021-07-22T19:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418284866970423296

@ShepardAmbellas It's just an experimental cloud seeding technique.

2021-07-22T14:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418224131347140616

@Vreenak1 I think you're missing the key point that UA 541 was in that exact spot, and would look just like what we see there.

The pilot did not mention TCAS or querying ATC. UA541 is at 90Â° left, so would not necessarily have appeared on TCAS. (and why would it anyway, 14,000' below?)

2021-07-22T14:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418220993466695689

@Jav3121 Well, as someone pointed out earlier, perhaps the context in the doc is showing debunked examples. I guess I'll have to watch it!

2021-07-22T14:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418220241134313480

@VincentMcCann4 Did anyone other than Secureteam10 post it? Any provenance?

Secureteam10 generally posts crap, fakes, and misinterpretations. This looks like a fake and I don't see anything that would make me spend any time on it.

2021-07-22T04:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418069690748792833

@bradjohansson21 @JasonColavito I think Chris explained it in his tweet.

2021-07-22T04:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418069512461570049

@MDPhitrader Jet trails? There were no jet trails.

2021-07-22T04:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418069140284219392

@MDPhitrader @TheExplorer22 @jjabrams Responds to what? We don't know what the context is in the documentary.

2021-07-22T04:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418068667376431105

@MvonRen "Cracking" that case is likely, as the book suggests, impossible. At the least, it would require a recreation of 64-year-old technology.

2021-07-22T04:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418064957229305857

@MvonRen Hey I was just reading about that case in an old UFO book I've not read since the 1970s (yes, the one that gave me nightmares about clawed hands in the dark). Coincidence?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E636TI4VIBEe6Vu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E636TI4VIBEe6Vu.jpg
2021-07-22T04:07:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418060074291896326
@toddheberlein Itâ□□s all in the link.

2021-07-22T04:06:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418059774759882753
@ESCAPINGTHEBOX The Fravor-Dietrich encounter.

2021-07-22T01:03:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418013869218230272
@JasonColavito I would say that's not a valid concern.

2021-07-22T01:02:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418013637835333633
@Firstname_Joel @ChrisKMellon @blackvaultcom He deleted it because of this:
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1415085928264081408

2021-07-22T01:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418013434273099778
@Neopork85 Which part exactly? The five seconds after this?

The bird is flying right, when the plane banks right its scree-right velocity is greatly reduced, so the bird "catches up"

2021-07-22T01:00:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1418012963865120771

@Vreenak1 The entire case report was

"Video recording is taken from cockpit at 40000 ft of an object flying at a lower altitude over the terrain. Not sure what it is."

541 was there.

2021-07-22T00:44:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1418008951652720645

@MDPhitrader @TheExplorer22 In this instance, the plane (N66897) was about 8 miles away, and 15000 feet below. From that angle and distance it's a white cigar.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E63He_zVIAEKm0b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E63He_zVIAEKm0b.jpg

2021-07-21T22:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417973622501613568

@praxistor @punkgritty @ehdyn If I can't solve something, then what exactly is it that I'd believe in?

At least give me an example.

2021-07-21T22:02:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417968290538815492

@PhoneUfo It resembles a flying-saucer-shaped balloon.

2021-07-21T21:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417965104050565120

@praxistor @punkgritty @ehdyn Yes, I'm sure it's all very exciting.

But what exactly is the question?

2021-07-21T21:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417963117913333763
@UFOGOD1 @oldseaminer @RealCSharp @JournoHenry @Akam1129 @UAPMediaUK No, there's a variety of different things going on.

2021-07-21T21:41:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417962879010045953
@kevinscottnow @TheZignal @IWANTTOKNOWUK I don't know about "a main sighting", it was just something they showed in the preview. Maybe it was something that THEY debunked?

2021-07-21T21:06:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417954186914791427
@punkgritty @praxistor @ehdyn I was asked "what will you do when you are confronted by classified UAP data you can't solve"

I think it's quite reasonable to ask for some clarification. A string of pseudo-random numbers is unsolvable.

2021-07-21T20:32:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417945594694311936
@MrHustleMCR This UFO? Yeah, it's from Jan 2020.

This type of TV show? I think this might be a bit different.

2021-07-21T20:30:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417945069412261892
@PhenixVegas We'll see :)

2021-07-21T20:18:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417942113111535616
J.J. Abrams' "UFO" on Showtime looks interesting, based on the trailer. But I'd just like to point out the UFO they show at 0:35 is just United flight 541 flying from Chicago to Las Vegas.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tU9NhwYKCLM
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-near-zion-nation-park-united-541.11097/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E62KxLcVEAAVjWH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E62KxLcVEAAVjWH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E62KPC3VIAE-kd0.jpg

2021-07-21T19:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417937206132416514

@TrevyLimited @MiddleOfMayhem @a01744 @htyson12 "The BBC" was Tim Leach, accompanied by his friend Cynthis Hind and @grhofer. It seems like the initial interviews we done on Monday by Cynthia, in groups.

Gunter, can you confirm what day this video is from?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eBqKJHSrYZg

2021-07-21T19:46:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417934145422983170
@praxistor @ehdyn @punkgritty "unsolvable" is a very vague descriptor.

2021-07-21T18:57:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417921632652324864
@Robin081564 @CryptoKolargol @DietrichVFA41 Depends which years you are talking about. But there's certainly been an increase in jet travel at contrail altitudes. Partly from more short-haul regional jets, partly more biz-jets, partly changes in routes, partly the RVSM changeover.
Weather changes a lot from year to year.

2021-07-21T18:54:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417920879418171394
@praxistor @ehdyn @punkgritty Well, that depends on what the data is.

2021-07-21T18:53:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417920703697874945
@htyson12 @MiddleOfMayhem I don't think they are lying. I think the most likely thing is false memories.

Should we accept extraordinary accounts simply because questioning them might be emotionally troubling?

I'll proceed with sensitivity, but I'm not going to blindly accept extraordinary claims.

2021-07-21T18:46:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417918850465554434
@BobKurbel @TrevyLimited @JoySOccasion @MiddleOfMayhem Why not simply release all the footage? @ArielPhenomenon?
Isn't that what the Mack foundation wanted? Just to get all the footage on a DVD so researchers could examine it?
And now you can just stick it all on YouTube.
Why not?

2021-07-21T18:23:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417913218647748608
@CryptoKolargol @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 There no evidence of experiements. I've also talked to leading geoengineering researchers, and they are quite adamant and open about the

state of the science.

2021-07-21T18:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417912765142818822

@praxistor @ehdyn @punkgritty No, most probably will not. That's why they are mentioned in the report. They are the ones in the LIZ.

2021-07-21T18:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417910624449822721

@ehdyn @punkgritty However, there are also hundreds in the Skydentify forum.
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

And a bunch in the UFO forum
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/ufos-aliens-monsters-and-the-paranormal.10/

2021-07-21T18:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417910246199087105

@ehdyn @punkgritty Chilean Navy UFO (with others) was probably the biggest.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-07-21T16:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417878074100576256

@CryptoKolargol @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 Nobody is spraying the skies to reduce global warming. That has been proposed, but there have not even been any real-world experiments yet.

What you see there are normal contrails from normal air traffic. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-07-21T15:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417870497514065931

@punkgritty No me. The BBC in 1966.

I've solved lots of others though.

2021-07-21T14:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417858811289767941

@itchy1776 @MiddleOfMayhem I first looked at this case many years ago, and several times since. Iâ□□ve watched many videos, read Blumenthalâ□□s book and lots of accounts. Thereâ□□s nothing â□□preâ□□ here.

2021-07-21T09:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417778812587167744

@SAlucats @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 UFO folk seem more willing to engage in spirited discussions.

2021-07-21T05:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417725918185857027

@ZieSwer Watch the video.

2021-07-21T05:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417725594410778627

@WillAmateurish @BrianDunning Thanks, I just found it on Twitter too.
https://twitter.com/bbcarchive/status/987669783209426945

2021-07-21T05:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417725411882979332

A classic UFO case, solved, and then forgotten.
https://twitter.com/BBCArchive/status/987669783209426945

2021-07-21T05:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417723937295790083

@WillAmateurish @BrianDunning Thanks!

2021-07-21T05:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417723150888890368

@h_clagett Me neither! Lots of things get identified.

2021-07-21T05:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417722661816311809

@LarryRosenthal "UFOs and Other Worlds". It's the book I read as a kid. Just got a copy to see if it's as I remember it. It's short, but interesting. Mostly straight science.

2021-07-21T05:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417716864113725453

@SAlucats @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 There's some overlap, but generally, the chemtrail folk are more eccentric.

2021-07-21T05:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417716608152182789

@h_clagett The one in the story linked in the tweet you replied to.

2021-07-21T05:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417716281193558017

@Alien_Scientist @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 Cloud seeding. Nothing super interesting.

2021-07-21T05:18:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417715459680444429

@h_clagett And yet this policewoman thought it was a drone following her along the motorway.

2021-07-21T05:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417715195376332808

@MJMYuk @Spacecowboy781 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1417709659582976001

2021-07-21T05:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417715037330763778

Interesting old UFO investigation. I read this book in the 1970s, but had forgotten all about it.

Anyone remember this case? The film is apparently by a Francis Greene?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6y7NwnVcAIZANm.jpg



up the challenge. This happened in England in 1966. On a flight from Manchester to Southampton one summer morning a woman passenger was looking out of the aeroplane window when she saw what looked like another plane following close behind. It was a curious cigar-shaped craft with fins at either end. Her husband who was sitting next to her had a cine camera on his lap. She picked up the camera and filmed the finned object through the aeroplane window. The film was developed and was later shown on television. A scientist who was interested in flying saucers saw the film. He was a little sceptical and decided to try an experiment. Taking a cine camera with him, he went for a ride on the same plane on the same flight from Manchester to Southampton. He sat in exactly the same seat as the woman and he too saw the UFO. Some frames from the film he made are shown on the right. Thanks to this scientist, the UFO became an *IFO*, or *identified flying object*. It was nothing stranger than the tip of the aeroplane in which he was flying seen through the curved edge of one of the plane's windows. Aircraft windows have curved edges to make them strong. You can see the same effects for yourself by looking at the world through an empty milk bottle.

Curved glass provides us with the occasional good flying saucer mystery. It also enables a lot of people with bad eyesight to see better, as anyone who has to wear spectacles knows. Such people can easily imagine they see odd things when they take their spectacles off.

The 1966 UFO film (right), and (left) the British Island Airways Dart Herald from which it was taken. Notice especially the tips of the tail fins.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6y7NwnVcAIZANm.jpg
2021-07-21T05:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417712169211240451
@MiddleOfMayhem @Spacecowboy781 The video seems to lack provenance. Anon 2015 video from Kyiv?

2021-07-21T04:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417710013670248453
@kundunnaochan https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1417709659582976001

2021-07-21T04:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417709659582976001
@MiddleOfMayhem @Spacecowboy781 Without the "shadow" (more like a reflection) it just looks like a bug zip-by. The shadow is kind of glitchy - which could be just compression.
The elongated shape looks like motion blur, but that would not show up in a dark reflection.

2021-07-21T03:48:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417692825370591232
@Jsmacc2 Iâ□□ve watched it.

2021-07-21T03:47:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417692697616289792
@Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 If you have chemtrail questions, then Iâ□□ve probably got the answers.

2021-07-21T03:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417692394569404420

@grhofer Thanks! Do you know if there were also drawings done on the 16th?

2021-07-21T03:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417691398959108099

@SAeleven12 @mohamedjooj I have a pretty low bar. Iâ□□ve given scrutiny to all kinds of UFOs.

2021-07-20T23:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417635340651687936

@mohamedjooj Kinda looks like Venus, with some odd distortion for the P900 mega-zoom.

2021-07-20T23:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417633220888186882

@BrianDunning I think it's resolved now. Nov 28 to Dec 6. About 10 weeks after the Sept 16 events.

https://web.archive.org/web/20110728124939/http://www.johnemackinstitute.org/center/center_news.asp?id=314

2021-07-20T23:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417632166456954882

@Saw_a_UFO About 25 yards when it goes behind the roof.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6xwoUMVgAEavP5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6xwoUMVgAEavP5.jpg

2021-07-20T22:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417616263484874754

@Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 I'm a big cloud aficionado. I've got a collection of old books on clouds with similar photos, some over 100 years old, like this one from "Cloud Studies" by Arthur W. Clayden, 1905. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6xh28XUUAQMXaL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6xh28XUUAQMXaL.jpg

2021-07-20T22:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417614128819015682

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ReedReed6 Quite the opposite. Science works by finding where a hypothesis does NOT fit.

2021-07-20T22:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417610842292453382

@MiddleOfMayhem @Dogbert34664346 @BrianDunning Salma Siddick mentioned SABC (South African Broadcasting Corporation) and ZBC (Zimbabwe Broadcasting Corporation) as well as BBC, in the context of initial interviews. BBC seems to have been first.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1rtJpw_WWDg&t=3140s

2021-07-20T21:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417591624067022850

@MiddleOfMayhem @Dogbert34664346 @BrianDunning Quite a few other media outlets also did interviews with the children.

2021-07-20T20:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417588899837214720

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ReedReed6 I want to figure out what happened.

"False memories" is the leading hypothesis here. So it deserves some attention. If that can be falsified, then other things seem more likely.

2021-07-20T20:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417588169248108545
@RobertG05517934 @MiddleOfMayhem Cherry-picking is exactly what I'm advocating against here.

We know there's a lot of data in this case, but it's not accessible.

2021-07-20T20:51:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417587901651513351
@SuperVFunCat Based on the photos, specifically the focus, it looks like something on the windscreen.

2021-07-20T20:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417587202385604608
@TobyAM @MiddleOfMayhem @ArielPhenomenon Yeah, I realized that connection might be made. But it was a coincidence.

2021-07-20T20:17:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417579539140579330
@Dogbert34664346 @MiddleOfMayhem I think the false memories had largely solidified by the type Mack got there. But @BrianDunning suggests that Mack added the telepathic environmentalist messages.
https://skeptoid.com/episodes/4760

2021-07-20T20:14:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417578742398914560
@MiddleOfMayhem There's a variety of it on YouTube. But it seems like the full body of evidence has been kept by @ArielPhenomenon (Randall Nickerson) while he makes a documentary.

2021-07-20T20:03:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417575840922013696
@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Which of my actions makes you think that?

2021-07-20T20:02:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417575606695317504
@MiddleOfMayhem I understand the witnesses give very compelling accounts. But that's largely to be expected in such a case. They genuinely think their memories are genuine.

What would be more valuable would be access to ALL the drawings and interviews from as early as possible.

But no.

2021-07-20T19:52:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417573073713201153
@MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, but what's the exact date? And can we verify it?

I ask because there seems to be an impression that Mack was in the area and went there "immediately". But the reality seems to be he was very late to the party. The narrative had already been largely established.

2021-07-20T19:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417571250612834305

@BrianDunning I can't find the specific date though. Blumenthal is very vague. Shaeffer says "two months"

2021-07-20T19:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417560212366524418

@BryceBarnes @DEADLINE Who isn't?

2021-07-20T19:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417560151586787329

Hey #ufotwitter, is there a good timeline of the Ariel school case somewhere?

I'm specifically looking for the dates of the various interviews - especially John Mack's.

2021-07-20T18:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417558052111216640

@TrevyLimited @BostonsBuddha @ThorntonVallery @valentin0rossi Oh wait, I forgot about October. Hmm, need to do more research. This should not be complicated.

2021-07-20T18:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417557600527294464

@TrevyLimited @BostonsBuddha @ThorntonVallery @valentin0rossi I've not found the exact date, but I saw "November" somewhere, and the event was on Sept 16. So that's a few weeks, if accurate.

2021-07-20T18:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417555659659223041

Occasionally a UFO is just a planet.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/scots-cop-chased-by-jupiter-thinking-its-a-drone.11903/

2021-07-20T18:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417548336643461120

@TommyDante @AnnaWhitty2 @JAMESLA93292576 Well, I didn't, did I? I just offered my initial assessment.

2021-07-20T18:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417547083926544386

@AnnaWhitty2 @JAMESLA93292576 There's a vast array of different insects, ways insects move, and circumstances for viewing and recording insects. So having only one "control" is not particularly helpful, and might even be misleading.

2021-07-20T16:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417525864363827201

@AnnaWhitty2 @JAMESLA93292576 Unfortunately, I'm going to have to go with a bird or a bug

for the "fast walker". Small, or far away?
Birds and bugs sometimes fly in roughly straight lines.
Not sure about the flasher, but I suspect pinched optics for the triangle shapes.

2021-07-20T16:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417520258659753992
@BostonsBuddha @ThorntonVallery @valentin0rossi They were first interviewed by the head teacher, then home for the weekend, then Tim Leach, Cynthia Hind, then by several journalists. Mack came later, 2-3 weeks later, I think.

2021-07-20T15:36:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417508846386376707
@MDPhitrader @DEADLINE The statement encompasses all documentaries. They are all affected to so degree by the documentarian's bias and intent.

2021-07-20T15:19:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417504384137658369
@Saw_a_UFO Itâ□□s about 30-40 mph. So, lots of different birds.

2021-07-20T10:36:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417433347584761856
@ThorntonVallery @valentin0rossi @BostonsBuddha "immediately?"

2021-07-20T03:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417327455514152961
@chillangelino @Jim87504388 @ThorntonVallery @theferrit32 @BostonsBuddha I donâ□□t think they are lying. I think they think they remember what they say they remember.

2021-07-20T00:28:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417280096264626187
Documentaries Are Not Real. Even the mundane boring ones are biased reflections of reality. The more unusual the topic, the greater the potential that the documentarianâ□□s take will be slanted in a particular direction.

https://deadline.com/2021/07/thanks-reminder-morgan-neville-documentaries-are-not-real-1234795409/ via @Deadline

---

2021-07-20T00:17:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417277491807363133
@Saw_a_UFO What do you think a fast flying bird should look like at 30 fps and about 1/40th second exposure,

---

2021-07-19T22:28:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417250136195952642
@AetherealGirl @UAPEngineering @cosmicdahlia @UAPmike They are generally Chinese, and often illegal. So no.

2021-07-19T21:51:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417240726220378127

RT @SciManDan: The OG debunker Mick West joined me on the SciManDan Podcast this week. Check out the full video right nowâ□¦

https://t.co/Xbâ□¦

2021-07-19T21:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417235666325278721

@AetherealGirl @UAPEngineering @cosmicdahlia @UAPmike I've suggested that they might be squid boats, on the basis of them resembling them, and similar observations of squid boats from the past.

2021-07-19T18:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417195660139384839

@NIH_LLAMAS Probably a plane.

2021-07-19T17:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417179388353736704

@tacticaluap I think they are generally being honest, but they are also human and can be subject to the range of illusions, misperceptions, and variable memories that everyone is.

2021-07-19T16:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417159402054819845

@unused_metaphor @cosmicdahlia

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1417136655446675463

2021-07-19T16:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417157158840410113

What Do the UFO Videos Really Show?

[Spoiler: a variety of things]

https://gizmodo.com/what-do-the-ufo-videos-really-show-1847282955?utm_medium=sharefromsite&utm_source=_twitter

---

2021-07-19T15:15:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417141079044882432

Some (but obviously not all) alien or UFO encounters are likely the result of a form of lucid dreaming. Recently published research shows how people can deliberately create such perceived (but illusory) encounters under controlled circumstances.

https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/IJoDR/libraryFiles/downloadPublic/265

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6qxslIVoAEfq51.jpg

Emulating alien and UFO encounters in REM sleep

Michael Raduga, Andrey Shashkov, & Zhanna Zhunusova

Phase Research Center, Moscow, Russian Federation

Summary. Alien and UFO encounters (AUEs) have been a well-known part of human culture for centuries, but we are still unsure of their nature. Some studies suggest that in some such encounters, these phenomena could be related to dissociative REM sleep states, like lucid dreams (LDs), sleep paralysis (SP), and out-of-body experiences (OBEs). The present research focuses on the hypothesis that if some of AUEs are indeed the products of REM sleep, then they could be deliberately emulated by LD practitioners. Therefore, this experiment could help to explain the mystery of AUEs. To check our hypothesis, we implemented an online resource through which we instructed a group of 152 volunteers to try to emulate AUEs via LDs. The volunteers made these attempts at home, and their reports were verified and analyzed by the researchers. Of the volunteers, 114 (75%) were able to experience AUEs after one or more attempts. The results indicate that 61% of participants encountered alien-like creatures, 28% encountered UFOs, and 24% experienced fear or SP. Regarding the successful cases, 20% were close to reality in terms of the absence of paradoxical dreamlike events. AUEs can be emulated willfully and can be perceived as being very close to reality. In theory, random people might spontaneously encounter AUEs during REM sleep and confuse the events with reality. This study helps to explain at least some AUEs that arise at bedtime. This study can be used to examine—and even emulate—other paranormal, religious, or mystical encounters, thus helping us to understand our culture and the human brain better.

Keywords: lucid dreams, out-of-body experiences, alien visitation, alien abductions, UFO, consciousness, REM sleep, phase state.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6qxslIVoAEfq51.jpg

2021-07-19T15:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417138356895371271

@mad_hatter_87 @zcichy No. They are not over the horizon, and Fata Morgana does not really exist when viewed from a high altitude. These look like boats in the water below.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6qvpC7VIAQUety.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6qvpC7VIAQUety.jpg

2021-07-19T14:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1417136655446675463

@zcichy Maybe squid boats? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6quRINVkAERw6t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6quRINVkAERw6t.jpg
2021-07-19T14:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417136191946649607
@Oswald1160 Yeah, I think "Fairfield, CT" is sufficient.

Gulls are also fairly ubiquitous in the US far from the ocean. Even Kansas has them.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1417135821790973957/pu/img/w3k1xr3iQzKeltr3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1417135821790973957/pu/img/w3k1xr3iQzKeltr3.jpg
2021-07-19T14:48:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1417134241771491334
@Oswald1160 I know the address is pretty much right there in the video, but it's still bad form to post it on Twitter.

2021-07-19T04:39:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416981093203386374
@_manwhere @themoodnetwork @kentbye @LuAngeles Itâ□□s not a flock, itâ□□s four.

Take four random dots on a page. Join them with lines. Youâ□□ll get a shape. But itâ□□s just four random dots.

2021-07-19T01:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416931214728912898

@TuaUfo @SuperVFunCat

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1416931158391070721/pu/img/JdisMJ-9CnO1ttbs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1416931158391070721/pu/img/JdisMJ-9CnO1ttbs.jpg

2021-07-18T23:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416901801333334016

@MarkRapacz Those look like birds. Seagulls specifically.

2021-07-18T23:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416901550211956738

@UAPEngineering @SuperVFunCat Any bird that can fly over 30 mph.

2021-07-18T20:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416853057137041408

@MiaMBloom @RefuseFascism @SamBGoldman Much appreciated. I'm about to start on yours!

2021-07-18T19:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416843407679393792

@themoodnetwork @kentbye @LuAngeles I think this case is pretty clear-cut. The birds are TFA, which means it's hard to rule out something impersonating a bird. But it's a pretty safe bet is just birds doing bird things.

2021-07-18T17:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416816321338044423

@UAPEngineering @marcbel19406167 I'm not using my age as an argument for correctness. I'm explaining how I recognized what I was looking at without having to look over similar footage.

2021-07-18T17:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416815005396213766
@SuperVFunCat Other than them looking exactly like birds in sunlight, of course they could be something else. But that's what they look like. Exactly.

2021-07-18T17:35:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416813891686858752
@UAPEngineering @marcbel19406167 Eventually, as you get older, the same things start coming around. You get to recognize them. And I'm moderately old.

2021-07-18T17:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416813694898499585
@528vibes Like rods, you mean?

2021-07-18T17:32:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416813097856110592
@528vibes Which bit? The soaring seagulls, or the fast bird?

2021-07-18T17:07:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416806952647073795
Sometimes a UFO is just a bird.

This video seems to be getting attention only because someone posted the raw footage. What is shows is mundane. A bird, motion blurred, flies behind a house. Some other birds are caught in distant sunlight. https://twitter.com/jamesjack/status/1416733733797892102

2021-07-18T00:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416549428857430022
@Flyingh43892139 @TomODon80437044 @dave_beaty @DaveFalch Not a lot. Look at the range of grey levels in the water.

2021-07-17T21:40:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416513208064430085
@SAlucats @fin365 @PostDisclosure Lots of people DID take photos, and 4K video.

2021-07-17T21:37:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416512483473256448
@DK71 Fluid mechanics.

2021-07-17T20:48:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416500008136765441
@EdmondHWollmann @Lynda_Katerra That are different things, but all things lâ□□m interested in.

2021-07-17T18:40:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416467924424871939
@DietrichVFA41 Enigmatic light
Quick, pull out my camera!
Oh, too far away

2021-07-17T18:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416465845337821184
@AeroDispatch @DietrichVFA41 I "won't permit" it because it's just one of a long list of random lights in the sky that Steven Barone has been filming for years.
The propane tank shape on this one is simply the motion blur for a slightly out-of-focus bright light.
But sure, go ahead and muddy the waters.

2021-07-17T18:28:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416464821248159744
@de_varse @Lynda_Katerra How to talk *with* people with unusual beliefs.

2021-07-17T17:49:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416455068191059970
@praxistor @PrinceKaguyo @SamHarrisOrg But would there be any USEFUL sightings of UAP's

UFOlogy's main issue is not the quantity of data, it's the quality.

2021-07-17T17:43:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416453619767939075
@SPATCHERS I asked him that, and he said in that case the issue becomes that he does not want to go to jail.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aAmFlLfsZKM

2021-07-17T17:40:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416452663433064448
@MiddleOfMayhem @DennisGarbonz Probably not it, but have you ever seen space junk re-entry, for comparison?

I saw some once, and I was similarly wonderstruck. I did manage to whip out my camera after ten seconds of gawking, but failed to press the record button. But it looked like:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6IiRkOc1g4I

2021-07-17T17:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416451460397559815
@praxistor @PrinceKaguyo @SamHarrisOrg One real way to mitigate the bias would be to have cameras set up on days with people practicing CE-5, and also on the days when nobody is doing it. Then see if there's any difference in the amounts of moving lights in the sky.

CE-5 could be studied.

2021-07-17T17:21:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416448033005264898
@BrotherOfBroth6 @SicCoP1 That's the point - not everyone is interested. Why are SOME people interested (in UFOs) specifically? Is it just random? What are the common factors? Or are there distinct groups with different factors (like spiritual vs. scientific)

2021-07-17T17:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416445502908899330
@TaterTo74406292 I am a big fan of science.

2021-07-17T17:05:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416443985032142849
@praxistor @PrinceKaguyo @SamHarrisOrg But where does one go to find a genuine CE-5 experience?

2021-07-17T16:33:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416435893322215424
@BSquidding @tomdelonge I thought it was a really interesting article. Finally putting a 150-year-old mathematical prediction to the test.

2021-07-17T16:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416432636789092353
@praxistor @PrinceKaguyo @SamHarrisOrg Iâ□□ve considered it. However apparently it only works if you believe in it.

2021-07-17T16:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416432246639104000
@benjimaan22 Why?

2021-07-17T16:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416431134343188488
@BSquidding Who is this â□□weâ□□ you speak of?

2021-07-17T16:11:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416430380463263744
Tom DeLonge does not seem to be a big fan of science.
https://twitter.com/tomdelonge/status/1416397423782420480

2021-07-17T15:54:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416425988930510850
@PrinceKaguyo Most people Iâ□□ve talked too consider a ufo observation to be an â□□experienceâ□□

2021-07-17T15:52:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416425525812240385
@noperst @vitorfidm @valentin0rossi @Lynda_Katerra An object in the LIZ is a UFO. Iâ□□ve no problem calling it as such.

2021-07-17T15:49:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416424794396921878
Kuhn is often invoked, re UFOs.
I donâ□□t think Kuhn applies here.
Kuhnâ□□s phase 3 is â□□the paradigm proves chronically unable to account for anomaliesâ□□ - however the data set of â□□anomaliesâ□□ around UFOs seems very weak.

Newton had the coordinates of planets. What does UFOlogy have?
https://twitter.com/santi_vallee/status/1416389702001770496

2021-07-17T15:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416419577651621893

@Flyingh43892139 @TomODon80437044 @dave_beaty @DaveFalch A weather balloon at 13,000 feet would look warmer than the sky, but colder than the ocean.

2021-07-17T15:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416415789049995266

@PrinceKaguyo Would you not consider yourself an â□□experiencerâ□□? Isnâ□□t seen a UFO CE1?

2021-07-17T07:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416297550127067140

@DavidGaryEsp What does "the two sunspot belts" have to do with UFOs?

2021-07-17T01:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416201493577936900

@unused_metaphor UAP is unusual in that it's been like this since the late 1940s, and it has massive popular-culture penetration. But there are things like Morgellons that have spikes (from media attention and official investigations), then fade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6dbmNcUcAAXEjH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6dbmNcUcAAXEjH.jpg

2021-07-17T00:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416200723528814600

@jeff5647a Essentially story-telling.

2021-07-17T00:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416196178593550336

@UFOmusings @SicCoP1 Yes, but I'm interested in WHY tastes differ. Is it just random chance that leads people to UFOs? Or are there common factors (like a love of science fiction)

2021-07-17T00:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416195873625841665

@jeff5647a I think it was essentially created false memories in children. They seem real as adults.

2021-07-17T00:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416191852122841089

@Lynda_Katerra I enjoy analyzing UFO videos. I'm also really interested in how to talk to people with unusual beliefs, like conspiracy theories (I wrote a book about it)

2021-07-17T00:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416191493488840711

@SocialistFT Interesting. I think having family members who were experiences is similar to being an experiencer yourself. Your trust in close relatives is often absolute, so it's almost like you were there yourself.

2021-07-17T00:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416190772081303552

@SicCoP1 Sure, but then why wouldn't EVERYONE be super interested in it? Why are you different?

2021-07-17T00:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416190201500770304

@marko1301 SFA

2021-07-17T00:10:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416188634433302528

@unused_metaphor https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1416085268558348292

2021-07-17T00:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416188031174774786

If you are an "experiencer" (someone who's seen a UFO - or more), then it's easy to understand why you'd be really interested in the topic.

But what about the non-experiencers out there? What drives your passion for UFOs?

2021-07-16T23:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416178473568280580

@unused_metaphor Of course, they are statistically significant. How could they not be?

The Y-axis is the percentage of the largest number of searches. (so the biggest peak is 100)

2021-07-16T23:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416177817096577025

@inf3rence @ThorntonVallery Yeah, it's just that Mellon was involved in ALL the spikes, one way or another.

2021-07-16T22:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416166764724035585

A single event creates a spike in a generally low level, then returns to that low level. This is different. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6c7_YLVcAEx-f0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6c7uESVEAAsAEW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6c7_YLVcAEx-f0.jpg

2021-07-16T21:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416144575782084611

@CJ_Yeremyhu @MiddleOfMayhem @ChrisKMellon I downloaded the 5-year CSVs for "UFO" and "UFOs" and normalized "UFOs" so the 100% peaks matched (x5), then plotted the graphs in Excel.

2021-07-16T20:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416135839654940672

@MiddleOfMayhem @ChrisKMellon It's essentially the same. Some differences in the heights of the spikes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6cgCLcVcAAHf5W.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6cgCLcVcAAHf5W.jpg
2021-07-16T19:47:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416122287196020737
@KilliK69 @inf3rence @InvNightSchool @ChrisKMellon Anyone can make these graphs. And a Snowden graph is not the same a tall. Snowden got a LOT more attention.

https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?date=2010-06-16%202021-07-16&geo=US&q=Edward%20Snowden,UFOs http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6cTVgCVUAAlgOC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6cTVgCVUAAlgOC.jpg
2021-07-16T19:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416121115936583680
@sSamscwantch Why don't you explain how that would work.
Who would be called to those public hearings? What would they be asked? What restrictions would there be on their answers?

2021-07-16T19:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416120746011594752

@TCskywatch Where's the new information?

2021-07-16T19:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416120180179050498

@DustinOsakada @ChrisKMellon There were Gimbal and GoFast too. Gimbal has always been the poster boy of UFO videos.

2021-07-16T17:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416090709518471170

@InvNightSchool @ChrisKMellon And how rapidly interest goes back to background levels (or really, the slow decline since 2010).

It remains to be seen if the current interest has any lingering effect.

2021-07-16T17:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416086803799441409

@smchatter1 @ChrisKMellon I think this was pretty impressive, given what he had to work with.

2021-07-16T17:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416085268558348292

How @ChrisKMellon's various lobbying efforts, media collaborations (History Channel + New York Times) and other partnerships correlated with spikes in public interest in UFOs.

(Graph: 5-year Google Trends for "UFOs" report with fixed date)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bx_vwVEAEgcjP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bx_vwVEAEgcjP.jpg

2021-07-16T17:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416085082289283072

@Usingcommonsen1 @ChrisKMellon Darn it. I'll have to delete and repost.

2021-07-16T17:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416083409210789890

@conorowens94 @ChrisKMellon Percentages of the maximum level of interest (which was the "release" of the video in April 2020)

2021-07-16T17:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416083122089697287

@ChrisKMellon The longer video. UFO interest has declined since 2010. The big recent spikes don't seem to be raising the baseline over pre-2010 levels. Time will tell.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bvxlaVUAMgEZB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bvxlaVUAMgEZB.jpg

2021-07-16T17:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416082463814651904

@ChrisKMellon Looking at it more granularly, over the last 90 day it's been a gradual decline since the 60 minute show. The UAP report itself resulted in pretty minor bump

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bvN1tUUAAy9M5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6bvN1tUUAAy9M5.jpg

2021-07-16T15:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416064044184702979

@sSamscwantch @VickiTomlinson8 @MiddleOfMayhem @stacula215 @UFOS8N @neiltyson We should get some public evidence first.

2021-07-16T14:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1416049127977152515

@VickiTomlinson8 @MiddleOfMayhem @sSamscwantch @stacula215 @UFOS8N @neiltyson
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1415725941196611584

2021-07-16T14:51:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1416047908848238593
@MackFisher10 What a strange question.

I'm very much evidence-based in my beliefs. If there was "sufficient evidence" of anything, then why would I continue to doubt it?

2021-07-16T05:50:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415911673802543104
@dave_beaty @DaveFalch Dave Falch has blocked me, but I guess he's targeting my analysis of the "Go Fast" video, which I think is probably a weather balloon.
Not a party balloon, or a mylar balloon.
And cold, and visually small, against a warmer ocean.

2021-07-16T05:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415910809184604161
@dave_beaty @DaveFalch The "batman balloon" only ever showed up in two regular iPhone photos. Not MWIR.

2021-07-16T04:44:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415895041814401024
@h_clagett @sakirashinken1 It is a flying saucer.

2021-07-15T19:28:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415755082927280128
@InvNightSchool Why? In what context?

2021-07-15T19:27:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415754930183233536
@noperst @sakirashinken1 They confirmed nothing.

2021-07-15T18:59:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415747947732422657
@isdn @CoryArmbrecht @sSamscwantch @stacula215 @UFOS8N @MiddleOfMayhem @neiltyson Low Information Zone

---

2021-07-15T18:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415739356564787200
@yraplliw Suggesting people define their terms is not "obfuscation".

2021-07-15T18:24:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415739008399798272
@jjgdesigns Brandon wants to fly me out there and cover my costs, & there's something repeatable that's happening, then I'd be happy to go.

He did give me a general invitation, but that was pre-pandemic, and nothing specific was

discussed. Also, he said it was months before he saw anything.

2021-07-15T17:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415729315170316290

@sakirashinken1 "X is real" can only be meaningful if you define what you mean by "X" and "real".

If you are asking "have saucer-shaped flying craft been proven to exist?" then the answer is obviously yes. We have video of such craft (albeit not driven by aliens) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jpu15K76i1s

---

2021-07-15T17:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415725941196611584

I said "UFOs are real", and explained what I meant, back in 2018. I got one like.

I said "UFOs are real" and didn't explain it, today, and bits of #ufotwitter got all excited.

Nothing has changed. UFOs qua Unidentified Flying Objects have always been real. Define your terms. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6WqShDVkAk9f3c.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6WqShDVkAk9f3c.jpg

2021-07-15T16:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415712848286674944

@CoryArmbrecht @sSamscwantch @stacula215 @UFOS8N @MiddleOfMayhem @neiltyson And even then. Itâ□□s hard to ID things in the LIZ

2021-07-15T16:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415711839858544640

@Oswald1160 @stacula215 @CryptOH10 @PKellyHardwa822 @sSamscwantch @UFOS8N @MiddleOfMayhem @neiltyson Obviously things in the sky can be unidentified. I can see one right now.

2021-07-15T16:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415711404443672578

@ShadyA81248435 @Go_Kick_Rocks88 @sSamscwantch @stacula215 @UFOS8N

@MiddleOfMayhem @neiltyson @ChrisCuomo I say it all the time. Itâ□□s a truism.

2021-07-15T15:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415694641890959360

@TaterTo74406292 Share the videos.

2021-07-15T15:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415694042118033408

@sSamscwantch @stacula215 @UFOS8N @MiddleOfMayhem @neiltyson UFOs are real.

2021-07-15T15:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415693868591316994

@Drewcifer32 @Just_Your_Fool @MiddleOfMayhem It was a report about unidentified things. Not about identified things.

2021-07-15T15:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415693594761916422

@P_Ivarsson Any triangulated videos?

2021-07-15T15:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415691674383097859

@dolorado7 I think they are essentially the same term, but with UAP covering things that are not objects.

2021-07-15T09:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415598648197480450

@Mikey_Hars I could help them test it. But the real question is why they donâ□□t attempt rigorous tests.

2021-07-15T09:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415598211327139840

@Mikey_Hars I canâ□□t test it. They can test it.

2021-07-15T00:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415463408468520962

@edwardcurrent @conterammundi I'd test it, but apparently for it to work you have to believe it will work. That's a bit of a challenge.

2021-07-15T00:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415463083305160707

@BostonsBuddha People were reporting UFOs everywhere for years before drones.

There's a reporting bias around high-security sites, so they get more reports.

2021-07-14T22:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415442905951469569

@vaultec503 @MiddleOfMayhem @SicCoP1 It's really hard (basically impossible) to make a very large lighter-than-air craft accelerate rapidly.

Small ones are somewhat better.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DTRJhuFSGb0&list=PLC1D075911A7183F7&index=1

2021-07-14T22:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415436346349416449

@kevinscottnow @MiddleOfMayhem @SicCoP1 I don't think anyone is suggesting a blimp is the cause of any significant UAP sightings.

2021-07-14T22:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415433912881270785

@MiddleOfMayhem @SicCoP1 Unfortunately this is how the HALE-D program finished.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6ShifmVgAMuFW2.jpg

> This test flight originally was targeted to reach an altitude of 60,000 feet, but was terminated after reaching 32,600 feet due to technical issues and subsequently crash landed at a heavily wooded site in Ohio, south of Pittsburgh.



HALE-D after crash landing, 27 July 2011.
Source: Channel 4 WTAE Pittsburgh

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6ShifmVgAMuFW2.jpg

2021-07-14T22:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415432674504691715

@JH_Atkinson @DietrichVFA41 This is an out-of-focus point light source. The "structure" you see comes entirely from the camera.

2021-07-14T22:04:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415432051642146817

@Manning123Sm @MiddleOfMayhem Another nice overview here:

https://lynceans.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Lockheed-Martin_HALE-D.pdf

---

2021-07-14T21:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415430821540814848

@SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SezqGUYAM6BNJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SezqGUYAM6BNJ.jpg

2021-07-14T21:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415430719728279557

@SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem ISIS

2021-07-14T21:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415429415979282432

@MiddleOfMayhem Let me see if I can enhance that image.....

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SdeIxUcAMxwU0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SdeIxUcAMxwU0.jpg

2021-07-14T21:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415424998831910912

@caiorearte2 @LawrenceMail Maybe a sky lantern dripping embers as it burned out. Hard to say.

2021-07-14T21:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415422420010225666

@caiorearte2 @LawrenceMail It's the usual type of thing. Blurry video. Lights in the sky. LIZ.

2021-07-14T21:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415416403197239298

@JH_Atkinson @DietrichVFA41 Can you see "structure" here?

It's the same thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SRf6XUcAAzmzD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SRf6XUcAAzmzD.jpg

2021-07-14T20:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415403580169670656

@JH_Atkinson @DietrichVFA41 The middle photo is iPhone bokeh. It's out of focus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SF4MwVoAIQGvm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SF4MwVoAIQGvm.jpg

2021-07-14T20:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415403120947961859

@t_shire He's taking it seriously. He's promoting it by doing a story about it.

2021-07-14T20:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415402658978930690

@TaterTo74406292 Write it down somewhere.

2021-07-14T20:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415401109561102337

@TaterTo74406292 If someone were charging money for such a thing, then wouldn't they want it tested? Then they could charge money with proof it works!

2021-07-14T20:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415400802554843136

@MrC1549 @LawrenceMail Give what a try? Stand downwind of Vegas and wait for a balloon to float by?

2021-07-14T19:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415400678927650822

@LawrenceMail Sure. It's just rather suspicious that someone claims to have replicable evidence of something that would revolutionize our understanding of science.

And yet they are uninterested in clearly demonstrating it.

2021-07-14T19:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415398021760622592

An indirect map of population density, arrived at by plotting FAA UAS reports (mostly drones) and active nuclear reactors (near energy-consuming populations)

https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/41526/the-faa-has-collected-thousands-of-drone-incident-reports-our-new-tool-lets-you-explore-them
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SAX37VUAEnbCO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6SAX37VUAEnbCO.jpg
2021-07-14T19:34:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415394182491885569
@LawrenceMail I understand. But people here are making testable claims of EXTRAORDINARY significance (if true.)

So why no actual rigorous tests to demonstrate it works? Don't they want to change the world forever?

2021-07-14T19:27:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415392455961108480
@mattjnowak I think it's most likely "flap-bounding" flight - more common on smaller birds. Keep in mind this path is backwards, as the bird is actually flight right
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6R7pL8VoAE67UN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6R7pL8VoAE67UN.jpg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6R7huzVEAIb2T8.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6R7fZfVgAIWq3E.jpg

2021-07-14T19:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415389393473339393

"Clearly something is going on that, that we canâ□□t handle. UFOs were in the Bible."

https://twitter.com/snopes/status/1415388397577854977

2021-07-14T19:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415388751128190979

George Knapp promoting the idea that some people have the power to summon UFO "orbs" (maybe etheric creatures!) at will.

Obvious testable nonsense. So what is this? Shark jumping? Careless clickbait? Pandering? Distraction? The true face of UFOlogy? Disappointing?

https://twitter.com/g_knapp/status/1415082376925876226

2021-07-14T06:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415189513417023491

@marcbel19406167 Looks like two planes.

2021-07-14T05:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415187982294781957

RT @PeteDominick: It's tomorrow and Im still awake and so are you so I thought I'd let you

know that I have just posted @standupwithpete 39â¦

2021-07-14T00:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415110127120621568

@TheExplorer22 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=73LMBMVLLHo

2021-07-14T00:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415106446002323457

@Lu666Cifer Those are flares on oil platforms. The GPS data proves it.

2021-07-14T00:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415106249809485827

@stacula215 @inf3rence @blackvaultcom It leaves the screen at 34s. Then you see other things.

2021-07-14T00:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415106054195605506

What's happening here:

Bird is flying right, much closer to the camera. A-10 "overtakes" it, then banks right, so its velocity perpendicular to the camera is reduced. Bird "overtakes" the A-10. The end, at 34s.

Rest of the video show the A-10, and then an uninteresting blob

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1415085928264081408

2021-07-14T00:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415105118584774656

@stacula215 @inf3rence @blackvaultcom You don't see the bird after 34 seconds.

2021-07-14T00:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415101695655223296

Here's a full resolution version for full-screen viewing.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Stab%20a10%20grey%20fixed%20glitch%20crop%20OVERLAY%20PATH.mp4

2021-07-13T23:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415098199409184770

@Manning123Sm Well, if it's about 1/10th the distance to the A-10, and it's about half the speed of the clouds, then it's about 1/20th the speed of the A-10, so VERY roughly 15-30 mph in this bit.

2021-07-13T23:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415093028566421506

@flydnb Possible. It's got a similar intermittent flap pattern.

2021-07-13T23:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415092730288500739

To get the path, I used Photoshop's "Import video frames layers," then converted the layers to a smart object, then set the stack mode to "minumum". That gave me this, I filtered out the

green, then manually cleaned up the rest to isolate the path.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6NqzoKVgAQ99f-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6NqzoKVgAQ99f-.jpg

2021-07-13T23:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415090980064169986

The bird is actually flying to the right, which you can see relative to the clouds.

2021-07-13T23:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415088875437903876

The sinusoidal motion suggests a relatively small bird to me. Probably under 6".

VERY roughly about 1/10th the distance to the A10.

2021-07-13T23:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415087722134335489

@Daumm8 @JetEnthusiast @TrevyLimited The first post isn't by me. It's by Ruben Lianza, the Head of the Argentine Air Force Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research. (i.e. their UAP task force)

2021-07-13T23:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1415085928264081408

Stabilizing for the A-10, we see the bird-like sinusoidal motion path of the UFO, coinciding with the wing-flapping-like motion of its heat signature.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1415085411542601734/pu/img/UZqby8LGhNIAehGQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1415085411542601734/pu/img/UZqby8LGhNIAehGQ.jpg
2021-07-13T22:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415070442939772928
@AndrewJ1821 The bird is not close to the plane.

2021-07-13T22:06:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415070165763452929
@Daumm8 @TrevyLimited I've watched the Aguadilla video, probably over 100 times. I think it's a slowing moving object, just north of the runway, moving with the wind towards the west. It goes nowhere near the water.

2021-07-13T22:00:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1415068674210234376
@Binarysuperset @dom_ma @ABKinSTL It's not at the same distance as the A-10.

It's small, not far away.

2021-07-13T16:51:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414990843019026434
@Disclosure89 After the initial segment with the possible bird, I think what is being viewed is actually the A-10. You see it in the close-up, then subsequent shots are just a distant blob.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1414990624919494659/pu/img/JSUgUFTttlRHtZh8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1414990624919494659/pu/img/JSUgUFTttlRHtZh8.jpg
2021-07-13T16:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414988340865114112
Stabilizing the "DHS" UFO video. Looks a bit like a bird flapping its wings.

This appears to be something small and close to the camera. It makes no sudden moves that I can detect. Roughly consistent with a bird.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1414987934680244232/pu/img/p-kSyiE1PLpfZs5u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1414987934680244232/pu/img/p-kSyiE1PLpfZs5u.jpg
2021-07-12T23:32:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414729434687369217
@ZaineMichael1 @DyceGuthrie @ParabunkBlog @JamesWe14200015 @chrisotis78 Nope, I think the available data encompasses a wide range of distances. You should follow the Metabunk thread to see the nuances (and limits) of the analysis.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/page-6

2021-07-12T23:25:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414727696186183695
@peepstonejoe @_NTME9_ Anyone who has seen a lot of claimed UFO videos has seen a lot of bug/ufo videos. It's not that difficult to be familiar with them.

The drone zip-by videos are a bit different though.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2021-07-12T23:18:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414725800213966856
@_NTME9_ Bug or windblown debris. Something small and slow.

2021-07-12T23:17:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414725626783690754
@_NTME9_ @peepstonejoe Yeah, it's the one that happened to pass the "most special" filter.

It's kind of like the most rat-like rock on Mars. There's going to be one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6Ida4lVoAcvi5t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6Ida4lVoAcvi5t.jpg
2021-07-12T22:55:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414720153065988097

@peepstonejoe @_NTME9_ Oh, I've seen FAR less interesting :)

2021-07-12T22:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414718748859142148

@FormallySomeone https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/in-defense-of-debunkers/

2021-07-12T22:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414718113359175681

@_NTME9_ This one?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-07-12T22:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414717643181891584

@FormallySomeone I was ASKED to "debunk."

It has now been debunked.

Sure, I'm aware of the problems with the word "debunk," and I often use different words where possible. But this was bunk, not simply something that needed investigating and explaining. There were false claims being made. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6IV64NUcAIxcJK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E6IV64NUcAIxcJK.jpg

2021-07-12T22:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414712748710109185

I was asked to debunk a "UFO" video which was just some guy moving the camera then thinking the object shot off the screen.

I thought it was pointless explaining this, but then changed my mind.

Even trivial UFO videos have useful lessons.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4QFvqA79trg

2021-07-12T18:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414651961710813188
@DavidBWriter @528vibes @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy Iâ□□m just some guy. I claim no special knowledge.

2021-07-12T18:16:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414649800151109632
@Lynda_Katerra @528vibes @DavidBWriter @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy No. However, I will be here all week.

2021-07-12T18:15:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414649656793964545
@DavidBWriter @528vibes @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy A hypothesis for something where everything has been ruled out?

Your question needs work. What has been ruled out? When you say "everything else" what is that relative to?

How can you have a hypothesis if all hypotheses have already been ruled out?

2021-07-12T18:12:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414648940838854677
@528vibes @DavidBWriter @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy No, I'm actually strongly opposed to ruling things out. I like to keep things ON the list.

2021-07-12T18:11:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414648617697091594
@TheMikeRoberts And they are probably thinking of the short version of the quote, not the one I fixed.

2021-07-12T18:04:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414646864712929280
@DavidBWriter @528vibes @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy It's a meaningless question. Check my pinned tweet.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1406646154339573775

2021-07-12T17:56:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414644949455302657
@DavidBWriter @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon @usairforce @USNavy So you are saying it's possible that some UAP might be Russian or Chinese, just not ALL UAP? Or what?

2021-07-12T17:52:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414643857522794496
@BlayneBergeron @UltimaTheKing @Ibn_e_Channa @_NTME9_ @JeremyCorbell How about these examples? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2021-07-12T17:51:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414643589561274369

@DavidBWriter @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon You said "UAPs aren't Russian or Chinese"

So what does that actually mean? Surely a Chinese drone could still appear as a UAP if it's too far away?

2021-07-12T17:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414638644690776064

@DavidBWriter @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon Are you saying that 0% of UFO/UAP sightings are not Russian or Chinese?

Or is this just a circular argument? You're defining UAPs as things that are not Russian or Chinese?

What's a "UAP" specifically in this argument?

2021-07-12T16:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414629138619518977

@DyceGuthrie @ParabunkBlog @JamesWe14200015 @chrisotis78 No, that's what happened with the Chilean Navy UFO. There were a range of angles, but in all of the them the plane was obscured by the engine glares.

The range of angles includes anything from a few miles away to essentially parallel lines (50+ miles away)

2021-07-12T16:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414627803849322499

@BlayneBergeron @UltimaTheKing @Ibn_e_Channa @_NTME9_ By the fact that multiple other videos show the exact same thing.

Even @JeremyCorbell admits it's just a camera artifact.

2021-07-12T04:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414448129017991169

@5X5_NEWS @MykullG @BrentlyLee @BostonsBuddha @DietrichVFA41 @PMFight @conterammundi @Ibn_e_Channa @flarkey @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @CDVilsack @RayMoor18837824 @ThanosAlpha @BobKurbel @GaryVoorhis @MiddleOfMayhem @60Minutes If the 14 videos are in the public domain, then can you list them?

TTSA + Corbell is just six. What else? Aguadilla? Chile? Mexican oil rigs?

2021-07-11T22:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414354625197535232

@DyceGuthrie @ParabunkBlog @JamesWe14200015 @chrisotis78 You get glare from the engines at quite a range of angles. It just needs to be flying generally away from the camera.

2021-07-11T16:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1414259526551830530
@UltimaTheKing @Ibn_e_Channa @_NTME9_ Letâ□□s start with a simple one. The â□□auraâ□□ around Gimbal. Thatâ□□s just an artifact of the video, but Lue continues to claim otherwise.

2021-07-11T16:12:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1414256207745851392
@Charlie47858127 Itâ□□s an interesting topic to analyze (and sometimes solve) individual cases.

2021-07-10T20:22:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413956805386899457
@Disclose_UFOUAP @edwardcurrent @_NTME9_ Are all eyewitness accounts of UFOs of things that are miles away?

2021-07-10T17:58:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413920487458017285
@LawrenceMail @bythedshore @JoyousMN Winds at altitude are commonly up to 100 mph.

Tracking is from the video. Parallax motion is visually the same as actual motion.

2021-07-10T17:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413920183077408768
@_NTME9_ He's been wrong.

2021-07-10T17:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413919698631086080
@EntoMike Gimbal could elicit that reaction if you've not seen it before.

2021-07-10T17:11:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413908822775664641
"the only videos show [in the classified UAP briefing] had already been made public"

That's from an AP story two weeks ago. Has anyone confirmed or contradicted this? Is "Gimbal" really the best video they have?

https://apnews.com/article/technology-government-and-politics-f5f24502d97072fd4bef34b6fe36c81d http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E581_ZrVoAA-fEv.jpg

---



AP

No ET, no answers: Intel report is inconclusive about UFOs

Click to copy

RELATED TOPICS

Trending News
Politics
AP Top News
Science
National security
Technology
Government and politics

U.S. officials who briefed reporters on condition of anonymity said there were "no clear indications" that the sightings could be linked to alien life. There is also no definitive linkage of sightings to potentially unknown technology of an adversary like Russia or China.

"It's clear that we need to improve our capacity to further analyze remaining UAP observations, even as we accept that there are some limits to our capacity to characterize and understand some of the observations that we have," one official said.

The report was published online and delivered to the House and Senate intelligence committees with a classified annex. Lawmakers were given a briefing last week on the investigation. One person who attended the classified briefing and spoke on condition of anonymity said that lawmakers were given little information beyond what's publicly available and that the only videos shown had already been made public.

The report lists five potential categories, including the possibility of foreign adversaries flying unknown technology to events occurring naturally in the atmosphere.

But only one instance was categorized as "airborne clutter" and believed to be a large, deflating balloon. The rest are uncategorized because of a lack of information. That includes three instances of potential sightings captured on videos that were declassified and released in recent years.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E581_ZrVoAA-fEv.jpg
2021-07-10T16:15:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413894561718444046
@Jon83428091 @JulianJulzah Well, tell @jeremycorbell that. He asked the question.

2021-07-10T16:13:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413894214723665921
@4Eridani @BiPiSci I make a list. "Something else" is always on the list by default. "Aliens" is also on there, but generally with a low score.

But for many people, e.g. @ChrisKMellon, "aliens" rises to the top of their personal list for certain events, like the Nimitz week.

2021-07-10T16:10:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413893467088904193
@chrisotis78 @YouTube How do I explain which bit? There's a bunch of different sets of data there.

2021-07-10T16:08:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413893019426725890
@JeremyCorbell @OakKnollEyrie @PMFight @greenpapagia @MiddleOfMayhem @g_knapp The ECM described (jamming, strobe lines, "act of war") was not passive.

2021-07-08T18:58:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413210842724638724
@TaterTo74406292 What's Jeremy asking?

2021-07-08T18:49:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1413208715084242944
@MisterX1853333 @mollycbentley @BiPiSci
http://bigpicturescience.org/episodes/skeptic-check-pentagon-ufo-report

---

2021-07-08T18:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413200068723691520

RT @mollycbentley: Enjoyed working on this @BiPiSci episode and talking to @MickWest and ex-Air Force pilot James McGaha who say there is aâ¦

2021-07-08T15:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413156294282735619

@ZaineMichael1 @greenpapagia @de_varse @singularitymike Four points spaced ten seconds apart is thirty seconds.

The smoothed graph is 34 seconds.

I appreciate your good-faith work, but perhaps you need to revisit it for a few days if you are making mistakes like this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5yJUfDVcAA1Fnx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5yJUfDVcAA1Fnx.jpg

2021-07-08T15:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413153574717034500

@PMFight @OakKnollEyrie @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp So Dayâ□□s perception of the event was wrong.

2021-07-08T15:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413153208315252739

If a UFO has the ability to climb vertically to 80,000 feet in a fraction of a second, then why would it be bothered by a F/A-18?

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1412931007574024192

2021-07-08T14:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413149575913766932

@mrzasa1 @OakKnollEyrie @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @DietrichVFA41 No, different document.

2021-07-08T14:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1413147805972004871

@ZaineMichael1 @greenpapagia @de_varse @singularitymike Still seems like you donâ□□t understand the smoothing.

2021-07-08T03:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412983187408494598

@OakKnollEyrie @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Day specifically says Fravor told him something that directly contradicts Fravorâ□□s actual account.

2021-07-08T03:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412982759501402112

@OakKnollEyrie @PMFight @asorto1 @Oswald1160 @BostonsBuddha @ChrisKMellon I think he only saw it on the video, and that showed nothing amazing. Certainly not the huge rapid vertical movements.

2021-07-08T03:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412977020842123265

@OakKnollEyrie @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp I think you might be referring to the â□□barrel rollâ□□ that Day says Fravor told him the Tic-Tac did.

2021-07-08T01:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412951726361956355

@Santtu234 But if it's that rare, then they will be far away.

2021-07-07T18:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412835233074843650

@OakKnollEyrie @Oswald1160 @BostonsBuddha @ChrisKMellon As opposed to what? He had no eyes-on, and his radar could not hack it.

2021-07-07T17:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412828037784158216

@OakKnollEyrie @Oswald1160 @BostonsBuddha @ChrisKMellon "Nothing worth talking about" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5tfgGzVUAQMm-9.jpg

---



🌴🐺🍹Matthew Phelan🏝️📡

@CBMDP

Replying to @CBMDP and @UfoJoe11

From talking with Chad earlier today, my sense is that the additional FLIR1 footage might not be especially revelatory, although perhaps in the hands of some forensic imaging folks the longer and high-res video might add something. Better to FOIA for the full video than bug Chad?



3:45 PM · Dec 19, 2019 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5tfgGzVUAQMm-9.jpg

2021-07-07T14:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412776483337035782

@OakKnollEyrie @Oswald1160 @BostonsBuddha @ChrisKMellon He saw the video we see, and more video that he described as less interesting. He saw no 50,000 foot drop.

2021-07-07T14:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412774881331081223

@ZaineMichael1 @greenpapagia @de_varse @singularitymike Read the thread.

2021-07-07T14:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412774495719346179

@mm27083 @conorowens94 @ChrisKMellon You canâ□□t explain multiple different events with

one thing.

2021-07-07T04:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412625276899512323

@ZaineMichael1 @greenpapagia @de_varse @singularitymike 4?

You need to read the list I linked.

2021-07-07T04:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412624944463183876

@Deleo77a1 @ChrisKMellon Mellon left him unnamed.

2021-07-07T04:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412624547606536201

@GamingHabit101 Not this footage, a photo, from the start.

2021-07-07T03:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412621248807374859

@OakKnollEyrie @ChrisKMellon Sometimes facts seem impolite.

Iâ□□m not being rude here. Iâ□□m pointing things out. Underwood did not see anything at all like what Fravor and Dietrich described.

2021-07-07T03:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412620412102483970

@SHWarfare @ChrisKMellon Hey, I said please. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-07-07T03:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412620129091784705

@ZombieGezus @ParabunkBlog @MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 What other perceptions are relative? Size? Speed? Color? Shape? Texture? Motion?
Or just time?

2021-07-07T00:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412574669794775040

@conorowens94 @ChrisKMellon Mellon says "All the testimony I received is clear and consistent." and "a perfect match"

This is false.

2021-07-07T00:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412574441373011969

@conorowens94 @ChrisKMellon Underwood DID NOT SEE the Tic-Tac do any of those things. The only thing he describes in detail is something from Day's radar recollection.

2021-07-07T00:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412562256139755523

@ChrisKMellon @ChrisKMellon, please release the transcripts of your interviews.

2021-07-07T00:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412562060207017985
@ChrisKMellon says Underwood's testimony is a "Perfect Match" for what Fravor and Dietrich saw. But:

A) It's nothing at all like it
B) Rapid descent is not something he (or they) saw, it's Kevin Day's radar recollection
C) He never saw it do anything

https://www.christophermellon.net/post/the-uap-report-and-the-uap-issue
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5ptmwCVIAMDtaL.jpg

I've interviewed Fravor and Dietrich and one of their WSO's regarding the Tic Tac and their description is identical in terms of size, color, erratic and unconventional movements, lack of visible control surfaces or means of propulsion and unprecedented speed and maneuverability. I've also interviewed in person and spoken with various crew members who served in the Princeton's CIC. All the testimony I received is clear and consistent. Testimony provided by Underwood is also a perfect match for what Fravor and Dietrich and the WSO had observed earlier that day:

"The thing that stood out to me the most was how erratic it was behaving," Underwood continued. "And what I mean by 'erratic' is that its changes in altitude, airspeed, and aspect were just unlike things that I've ever encountered before flying against other air targets. It was just behaving in ways that aren't physically normal...That's what caught my eye. Because aircraft, whether they're manned or unmanned, still have to obey the laws of physics," Underwood said. "They have to have some source of lift, some source of propulsion. The Tic Tac was not doing that. It was going from like 50,000 feet to, you know, a hundred feet in like seconds, which is not possible... the video shows a source of heat, but the normal signatures of an exhaust plume were not there. There was no sign of propulsion. You could not see the thing that the ATFLIR pod should pick up 100 percent of the time."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5ptmwCVIAMDtaL.jpg
2021-07-06T22:11:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412534634806530052
@thejacobsquires @MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 You think it makes it better if there are more differences?

2021-07-06T21:48:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412528842845999105
@Disclose_UFOUAP @j0hnny_x5 @TrevyLimited @NickMadrid16
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1412528751217283073/pu/img/XkGaR40sbajenHqi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1412528751217283073/pu/img/XkGaR40sbajenHqi.jpg
2021-07-06T19:39:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412496360675282947
@LionNaples @JeffCla81664839 @MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 "Strategy?" This isn't a war. I'm trying to figure out what happened. Differences in accounts are significant in how we judge the similarities. You can't just ignore half the account because it does not fit.

2021-07-06T19:32:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412494613504421889
A small fleet of UFOs becomes the moon.

Source:
https://www.devonlive.com/news/devon-news/supermoon-over-teignmouth-put-bed-5616532
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1412491381373771776/pu/img/KtxmpIUJeuGMWv7_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1412491381373771776/pu/img/KtxmpIUJeuGMWv7_.jpg
2021-07-06T19:11:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412489509539749891
@BrianDunning takes his deep dive into the Invisible College. VallÃ©e, Hynek, Puthoff, Mellon, Bigelow, Reid, Knapp, et al.

http://skep.us/4787

2021-07-06T18:31:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412479288570388482
@LarryRosenthal @MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 Itâ□□s been there for years.

2021-07-06T18:29:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412478919647789057
RT @BiPiSci: The #UFO community has been abuzz about for months about the new Pentagon report on #UAPs- Unidentified Arial Phenomena. The dâ□¦

2021-07-06T18:29:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412478756992667648
@DragonWagonZ @MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 Hard to say from some select snippets. Letâ□□s hear his full account.

2021-07-06T18:27:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412478287222173697
@ZaineMichael1 @de_varse @singularitymike You think a stair-stepped plot is somehow better?

2021-07-06T18:21:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412476843119185924
@MiddleOfMayhem @ExploreSCU @DietrichVFA41 Yeah, more differences.

SCU has the full interview, but canâ□□t/wonâ□□t release it. I discussed this with Powell in our Black Vault chat.

2021-07-06T18:17:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412475702192922624
@SwinkInc @brentgofftv @ViralZoonosis @dwnews They might just be boring aliens, thatâ□□s true. But not parsimonious.

2021-07-06T16:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412447435159269377
@ZaineMichael1 @de_varse @singularitymike Why wouldnâ□□t you smooth the angle? Limiting to integers gives an unrealistic stair-step plot.

2021-07-06T15:48:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1412438371868168194
@ZaineMichael1 @de_varse @singularitymike I used 26 as an illustration of how Lehto's assumed standard rate of turn (3Â°/second) was way off. You could use anything in the actual range, I just picked the average.

Proper modeling requires a frame-by-frame integration. i.e. ALL the data.

2021-07-06T15:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412436335877451779

@ZaineMichael1 @de_varse @singularitymike Cutting to the meat of the thread, a good point to start would be the post where Markus smoothed the gimbal azimuths (angle of the camera relative to the jet), and things started to make sense. See conclusions in that post.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/post-252018

2021-07-06T14:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412423666529361921

RT @MiaMBloom: Very excited to add â¦@MickWestâ© & â¦@annamerlan â©â s books to my conspiracy theory seminar reading list. Iâll also assign â¦@soâ¦

2021-07-06T04:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412269369497817096

@xKapwn I think that's a large part of it.

2021-07-05T22:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412178415726186497

@gamarran1 That does not "explain it away." There are unidentified things in the sky that need explaining.

The belief preference is why some people gravitate more towards aliens as a likely explanation.

2021-07-05T21:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412162034842554368

RT @brentgofftv: The US & UK & freedom from the #pandemic. The #DeltaVariant still in the way. @ViralZoonosis joins me. Plus, the need to bâ¦

2021-07-05T21:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412155009169461249

RT @ufoofinterest: On the left we see the 'UFO' over Devon taken with a potato camera. On the right it turns out that it was the moon by phâ¦

2021-07-05T21:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412154945734774784

RT @ufoofinterest: About the alleged UFO over Devon, here's the update. As I said, never trust photos taken through glass & never trust potâ¦

2021-07-05T19:46:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412135868081471491

@m3kromanc3r @InvNightSchool "Not unwarranted" is hardly an endorsement. The piece was pretty ridiculous but has some relationship with reality.

2021-07-05T19:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412129458220265473

RT @OhNoPodcast: In our latest episode, Ross talks with @MickWest about the UFOs/UAPs you've been seeing in the news, as well as how to maiâ¦

2021-07-05T18:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412122251298676737

@TruthBe05900844 @Disclose_UFOUAP What term should be used? UFO Research Advocates? UFOlogists?

2021-07-05T17:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412102523737939972

@ThorntonVallery @SwinkInc No, but it would be a great start!

2021-07-05T17:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412101753596645378

The fickle tabloids turn, not entirely unwarranted:

"Connected crackpots and cranks have gotten a few politicians to force the Pentagon to investigate the [UFO] issue several times and even to hire â□□believersâ□□ for some of the work."

https://nypost.com/2021/07/04/ufo-news-is-just-clickbait/

2021-07-05T17:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412094250708205573

@gadge1977 Maybe. More photos and video would be nice. Single blurry image from single source is hard to do much with. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5jDwfOVIAEBP5_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5jDwfOVIAEBP5_.jpg

2021-07-05T16:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412082451346722818

@TheAdlerian @DavidFieldSculp @MiddleOfMayhem How do you propose figuring that out? Time machine?

Also, Real mistakes happen. Real mistakes are not frauds, they are real.

2021-07-05T16:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412082016569282562

@SwinkInc If the pilot can SEE it, they can also video it.

2021-07-05T16:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412080514396147722

@MiguelA78606823 What point are you making?

2021-07-05T15:38:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1412073409408733189

@DustinOsakada @MiddleOfMayhem Thatâ□□s just displaying a heat signature, not a solid image.

2021-07-05T04:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411912433812217856

@SwinkInc Not really, if you just want to demonstrate amazing motion youâ□□d be fine with an iPhone.

2021-07-05T02:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411876012778868736

@OakKnollEyrie @edwardcurrent @carlanderson333 Cell phones are wherever people are.

2021-07-04T19:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411772555757711360

@leSt0rm The old Small or Far Away problem.

2021-07-04T19:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411762268740538370

@Fiddaman @carlanderson333 I generally bring it up in the longer form media interviews I do.

2021-07-04T18:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411761220181319681

@edwardcurrent @markocheese @Deleo77a1 @carlanderson333 This guy-or-possibly-alien, you mean.

2021-07-04T18:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411760234222084096

@Rahzsah Except for low observability.

2021-07-04T18:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411759473249554433

@admpubmx @CoryArmbrecht @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC Looks like some lights.

2021-07-04T18:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411754452394987530

The Crown Flash is a rare atmospheric phenomena that had no well-documented examples until millions of people started carrying around 4K video cameras with them everywhere. Nearly all examples are in the last 12 years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ja0cUyeN7hg

2021-07-04T18:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411753097236414468

@CoryArmbrecht @admpubmx @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC There are quite a few crown flash videos. It's bizarre. But it's a natural phenomenon - to do with the alignment of ice crystals.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ja0cUyeN7hg

2021-07-04T18:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411752287463100418

@JasonColavito @Deepfryguy76 @Tomfortas I think it's just a particular folder release rather than individual docs.

Good background info here:

http://ufohistorykeys.blogspot.com/2008/10/joint-intelligence-organisation-ji0-and.html

---

2021-07-04T18:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411751853126152194

Anatomy of an accidental fake UFO video. Here the VFX channel shows the TR-3B video with the car displayed as wireframe. They never intended it as a hoax, but people are enthusiastic sometimes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3QFlySuuX-8

2021-07-04T18:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411748477432778755

@CoryArmbrecht @admpubmx @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC 1. Mylar Ballon, probably alphanumeric, as they have two strings to keep them aligned.

2. Crown flash.

2021-07-04T17:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411743642071429121

@carlanderson333 Of course not.

It's literally impossible to rule out aliens as an explanation for an unexplained event, and hence meaningless.

2021-07-04T17:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411743076486303752

@CoryArmbrecht @admpubmx @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC You'll have to be a bit more specific. Post a screenshot.

2021-07-04T17:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411741891973070852

@dart_clay Harry (O.H.) Turner was a physicist who worked for Australian intelligence. Not a politician.

2021-07-04T15:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411714975702290432

@Tomfortas @Deepfryguy76 @JasonColavito No, it's just a hypothesis about the source of the lights.

2021-07-04T15:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411711694598852611

@admpubmx @CoryArmbrecht @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC SFA. Probably an insect. Common security camera things. It's very close to the camera, not actually behind the posts, that's just because they are saturated white.

2021-07-04T15:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411709833691111424

This "new" UFO document from Australia is largely not new. It's the work of Harry Turner, a UFO enthusiast and member of Vallee's "Invisible College." It was all discussed by Australian UFO history expert Bill Chalker, back in 2008.

http://ufohistorykeys.blogspot.com/2008/10/joint-intelligence-organisation-ji0-and.html

https://twitter.com/Spacecowboy781/status/1411534236402716683

2021-07-04T05:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411556966086823939

@GrantLavac @matrix2004 SFA

2021-07-04T05:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411556877792542724

@chuckdavenav @MiddleOfMayhem Chemtrails and 9-11 didn't go away either. It's the nature of things.

2021-07-04T05:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411556543338745856

@MiddleOfMayhem There's a vast difference between holograms projected onto something and holograms projected into clear air. They are not the same thing at all. 100 years of development of Peyghambarian's tech would not give you clear air holograms. it's unrelated.

2021-07-04T05:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411554246114250753

@EricZiethen @Munook @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo The UAP Report:

"In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-07-04T05:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411553990618189824

@KJ46838999 @MiddleOfMayhem No.

2021-07-04T05:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411553735214469122

@matrix2004 You analyze it.

Seriousy. It's not that difficult.

2021-07-04T05:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411553044530036747

@MiddleOfMayhem Physics.

The technology can make a limited number of super-bright points of light close to a machine

using focussed lasers.
You can't focus a laser in that way five miles away.
(And it's sure as heck not going to show up as black in in TV mode.)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNoOiXkXmYQ

2021-07-04T05:05:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411551839338721282
@_Desmoden @steven_dor @stringythings @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye Sure, buty where did "we" see "manoeuvres and delta V , that would liquify metal"

AFAIK we are just seeing that via 17-year-old memories.

2021-07-04T04:59:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411550315044687874
@MiddleOfMayhem I looked into this a while back when research the "Bleen" scam. The only way to make big holograms is by zapping the air to make plasma. Then you are just making lots of tiny bright light. Dangerous, noisy, and could not look like a tic-tac.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-bleen-3d-clear-air-hologram-indigogo-hoax.5196/

2021-07-04T04:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411549420731072512
My feeling on large clear-air holograms as a cause of UFOs is that we've not heard anything about the technology for 10 years because it's impractical and severely limited. Massive commercial applications - but nobody uses it because it doesn't work.
Sorry, @MiddleOfMayhem https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1411323848570458119

2021-07-04T04:35:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411544115762794496
@hardindr @JasonColavito @ShowtimeBoxing It was announced a few weeks ago.

2021-07-04T04:34:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411543910157938694
@Liberty4Life23 @PoliticalGeeker @CDVilsack @bythedshore @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo "the way I think they caught thing is: they see it visually"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5bPsRRUcAQaRz0.jpg

CL **Chris Lehto** 11:04

And now I want you to see what happens when the object comes into view. And the other thing is, I think he's in a ground mode. Okay, so the way I think they caught this thing is: they see it visually, they're directed there somehow. They see it visually, they can't pick it up on the radar. Why? Because there's no ranging, okay, this range that comes up that Mick West says like this, there, it's obviously at this range, it is not at that range, man. The jet doesn't know where this thing is. It is that is a guess. Okay, there's no radar tracking data on this thing. They don't find the laser right. We can get Laser Ranging, we should have laser at it. But they don't fire it. I bet they do. But they don't fire it. So the jet does not know how far away this thing is.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5bPsRRUcAQaRz0.jpg
2021-07-04T04:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411540157233201153

@steven_dor @stringythings @_Desmoden @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye Where did we â□□seeâ□□ that?

2021-07-04T04:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411539946716893185

@Liberty4Life23 @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo Quote them.

2021-07-04T02:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411516037560889345

@steven_nikolic @stringythings @_Desmoden @aka__V @InvNightSchool @kentbye So youâ□□re saying I need to rename my ad hoc hypothesis ranking methodology?

Suggestions?

2021-07-04T02:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411515412114591748

@Liberty4Life23 @MiddleOfMayhem @LuAngeles @matthewkbutler @kevinscottnow @singularitymike @kentbye @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings @blackvaultcom Whatâ□□s the basis for lobbying Congress to declassify the UAP report?

2021-07-04T02:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411513333589504000

@Liberty4Life23 @MiddleOfMayhem @LuAngeles @matthewkbutler @kevinscottnow @singularitymike @kentbye @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings Iâ□□m debunk false claims so @blackvaultcom can more accurately target his FOIA requests.

2021-07-04T02:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411506050675580929

@_Desmoden @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye This isnâ□□t supposed to be some objective truth diviner. Itâ□□s just my practice for keeping all possibilities in mind, while giving the more probable hypotheses more resources.

2021-07-04T02:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411505355968827398

@_Desmoden @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye The lists are not simply ranked. I also assign ad-hoc probabilities. Like (simply)

90% balloon

5% bird

5% other

2021-07-04T01:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411503873106186241

@_Desmoden @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye Falsification attempts are great, and can yield useful info, even eliminate items. Iâ□□d caution about premature elimination though.

2021-07-04T01:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411499781629698050

@CMC4REALS @likeitmatters3 What did the team say about this video?

2021-07-04T01:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411494744904003588

@CMC4REALS @likeitmatters3 VIPER is the PR (mass communication) team, not threat identification.

2021-07-04T00:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411485373683757063

@Liberty4Life23 @PoliticalGeeker @CDVilsack @bythedshore @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo They can. Ask Chris Lehto.

2021-07-04T00:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411481099713191940

@_Desmoden @aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @kentbye Like when you are sorting a list, there two next to each other, and it's not obvious otherwise what order they go in?

2021-07-03T22:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411447640286396416

@Liberty4Life23 @bythedshore @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo I don't think they are lying.

2021-07-03T21:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411444171496321024

@Liberty4Life23 @MrHustleMCR @conterammundi @LueElizondo Maybe he's just going by Kevin Day's recollection?

2021-07-03T21:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411443800434569216

@fringequest @MiddleOfMayhem @LuAngeles @matthewkbutler @kevinscottnow @singularitymike @kentbye @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings I want more data.

2021-07-03T19:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411404314380161025

@MiddleOfMayhem @LuAngeles @matthewkbutler @kevinscottnow @singularitymike @kentbye @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5ZQtVhUYAARtMC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5ZQtVhUYAARtMC.jpg
2021-07-03T18:57:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411398702183325697
RT @melvillehouse: Reviews are pouring in for @rothschildmd's The Storm is Upon Us, the definitive guide to all things QAnon. Order your coâ¦

2021-07-03T18:53:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411397594308173828
@LuAngeles @matthewkbutler @kevinscottnow @MiddleOfMayhem @singularitymike @kentbye @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings I like the "Show Me The Data!" scene.

2021-07-03T18:46:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411396045217570821
A fun "debunk" of a fake UFO video.
The original poster didn't claim it was real, but other people have re-uploaded to clickbait channels.

Repeating texture on the road proves it's fake.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfrjyklzF14

2021-07-03T17:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411382668244045829
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye You "assign" probabilities?

Sounds somewhat subjective. Seems like there'd be a serious GIGO issue there.

What the probability that aliens are visiting us right now?

2021-07-03T17:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411382271886565377
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye How do you personally compare competing hypotheses? Say "Pilot Mispeception" vs. "breakthrough technology" to explain the Tic-Tac encounter.

2021-07-03T17:50:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411381809506488320
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye Seems like a reasonable heuristic.

So if there are two hypotheses that you think you can't apply OR to, then I'd argue you can still compare them (for sorting the list) using the better metric of how well they fit the data.

Just use the best available comparison method.

2021-07-03T17:44:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411380337389625347
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye How do you determine if two claims are (or are not) "evidentially 50/50"?

Does that even ever happen?

2021-07-03T17:29:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411376666811650051
@stacula215 @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo So you are saying Lue's given reason was false?

2021-07-03T17:28:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411376421197336578
@thatdudej6 @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo Why did Lue give it to Chris Mellon so Chris could give it to Kean?

2021-07-03T17:27:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411376013116809218
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye I have "aliens" on the list. How else would I "accommodate" it.

2021-07-03T17:25:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411375635830755328
@a01744 @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo I think Lue feels that these are non-humans and that the public should know that. So he's pushing for disclosure.

2021-07-03T17:24:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411375258670551040
@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye Why don't you just tell me why it's important?

2021-07-03T17:23:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411375093469515776

@ChrisSPITZER7 @alpha_check @TheUfoJoe @uncertainvector @LueElizondo @DietrichVFA41
On your list of hypotheses, would you place it more or less likely than an extraterrestrial craft?

2021-07-03T17:20:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411374262770831369
@a01744 @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo I think Lue is generally careful not to lie. But he might be mischaracterizing things for what he sees as the greater good.

2021-07-03T17:18:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411373921941671941
@stacula215 @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo Okay, so why claim that it was because they couldn't figure them out?
How could the public figure anything out if it needs secret data?

2021-07-03T17:17:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411373585357164547
@SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo I have answers. But Lue says that's irrelevant, as there's secret data that is needed to understand the videos.

2021-07-03T17:16:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411373379299381249
@Zynixxx_ @SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo Of course, I'd like the videos released. I'm questioning Lue's reason for releasing them, and the nature of the secret data.

2021-07-03T17:15:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411372981004111875
@aka__V @steven_nikolic @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye I think I quoted the even more original "entities should not be multiplied unnecessarily" in the chat with Kent.
(Non sunt multiplicanda entia sine necessitate)
A race of aliens visiting covertly is a lot of unnecessary entities.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Yzy7BVUAI09vK.jpg

Occam's razor noun

Save Word

Oc·cam's razor | \ ˈä-kəmz- \
variants: *or less commonly* **Ockham's razor**

Definition of *Occam's razor*

: a scientific and philosophical rule that entities should not be multiplied unnecessarily which is interpreted as requiring that the simplest of competing theories be preferred to the more complex or that explanations of unknown phenomena be sought first in terms of known quantities

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Yzy7BVUAI09vK.jpg
2021-07-03T17:09:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1411371426779594752

@steven_nikolic @aka__V @InvNightSchool @_Desmoden @kentbye I use Occam's razor as the main heuristic when considering the relative rank of two hypotheses on the list.

2021-07-03T17:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411370803304615942

@SysFailure0x5a @LueElizondo So why did they need to be declassified?

Why give them to Leslie Kean?

Why publish them on the TTSA website?

Why build a TV show around them?

2021-07-03T17:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411369158453854209

@jeparsauxusa @sedicibob @LueElizondo I've "met" him. That's quote was from an interview he gave me.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4&t=1427s

2021-07-03T16:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411368360252743680

@BrotherOfBroth6 @LueElizondo That's not the reason he gave.

2021-07-03T16:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411365498650980353

@soldisnew @Lovepole4 @LueElizondo That's not the question though. If there is secret data that CANNOT be released, that is REQUIRED to understand the videos. Then how can releasing the videos help?

2021-07-03T16:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411365130193960961

@BrotherOfBroth6 @LueElizondo Declassifying broad GRU intentions and actions (ransomware, etc) helps people prepare, harden their systems, etc.

That's a different thing. Lue specifically said the videos were released because they needed help figuring them out.

2021-07-03T16:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411359401487732740

@BrotherOfBroth6 @LueElizondo Of course. That not the issue here.

If the secret data was required to understand the videos, then how would releasing ONLY the videos help?

2021-07-03T16:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411355129014489094

@LueElizondo Source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4&t=1427s

---

2021-07-03T16:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411354694975295490

Question for @LueElizondo:

If there's classified data that is required to interpret the videos, then why was there a concerted effort by your office to try to get just the videos alone out to a broader audience?

Wouldn't that only work if you also declassified the secret data?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Yi7tFUYAQuChK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Yi7tFUYAQuChK.jpg

2021-07-03T15:39:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411348921738170368

@GamingHabit101 @MisterX1853333 @kentbye @LuAngeles I somewhat agreed with one minor point that ultimately makes no difference to anything. A quibble over phrasing and lack of detailed qualifiers, sometimes excused by lack of time/space.

2021-07-03T15:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411343986938179588

@_Desmoden @kentbye You might want to think that through again.

2021-07-03T15:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411340907186556931

@AnnaWhitty2 @_NTME9_ @RyanDBledsoe Itâ□□s okay, it was like 45 years ago. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-07-03T15:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411340078392041476

@JesseHofseth Repeat it then.

2021-07-03T05:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411195783353798658

@Daversa2 @BSquidding @LuAngeles @singularitymike @kentbye @MiddleOfMayhem My level was a bit low. Most platforms like Zoom automatically adjust, but I guess here I need to set it manually.

2021-07-03T03:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411160029651116032

@Lu666Cifer @UAPmike Well, ultimately data will win. Right now weâ□□ve got public data and claims of secret data. Letâ□□s see what happens.

2021-07-03T02:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411156920182865927

@issaufobro @UAPmike Iâ□□d give my initial best guess. Why not?

2021-07-03T02:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411155114186854407

@issaufobro @UAPmike I check them later. Adjust as needed. Whatâ□□s the problem with an initial guess?

2021-07-03T02:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411152772573978626

@pjbrad9 Can you give an example?

2021-07-03T02:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411151009292775424

@UAPmike I also check them.

2021-07-03T02:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411150773619097600

@PlasticPaddy9 @MiddleOfMayhem Target flares.

2021-07-03T00:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411127083451912193

@kevinscottnow @UltimaTheKing @OmniTalkRadio @TheUfoJoe @LuAngeles I'm pretty sure it's all recorded, so feel free to link to what I said, and I can clarify.

2021-07-02T21:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411075214553796611

@mikeRanthony He didn't say what it was. So what he is saying could technically be true, but be little (if anything) more than what we know exists.

2021-07-02T20:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411059226915446786

@mikeRanthony @Linkchadlad Yes, but actual data, not recollections.

2021-07-02T18:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411026252132061189

@Linkchadlad Multi-source evidence, or high-quality evidence, or both, would be a great start!

2021-07-02T18:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411024775053418496

26 years ago, Carl Sagan wrote this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5T3XWbVUAI-kd3.jpg

---

From Carl Sagan's 1995 book:



THE DEMON-HAUNTED WORLD

immediately. *Not* explaining science seems to me perverse. When you're in love, you want to tell the world. This book is a personal statement, reflecting my lifelong love affair with science.

But there's another reason: science is more than a body of knowledge; it is a way of thinking. I have a foreboding of an America in my children's or grandchildren's time - when the United States is a service and information economy; when nearly all the key manufacturing industries have slipped away to other countries; when awesome technological powers are in the hands of a very few, and no one representing the public interest can even grasp the issues; when the people have lost the ability to set their own agendas or knowledgeably question those in authority; when, clutching our crystals and nervously consulting our horoscopes, our critical faculties in decline, unable to distinguish between what feels good and what's true, we slide, almost without noticing, back into superstition and darkness. The dumbing down of America is most evident in the slow decay of substantive content in the enormously influential media, the 30-second sound bites (now down to 10 seconds or less), lowest common denominator programming, credulous presentations on pseudoscience and superstition, but especially a kind of celebration of ignorance. As I write, the number one video cassette rental in America is the movie *Dumb and Dumber*. *Beavis and Butthead* remains popular

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5T3XWbVUAI-kd3.jpg

2021-07-02T17:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411019890622754818

@528vibes A #1 balloon.

2021-07-02T17:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1411019651451015171

@dixieliciousx That's a dial on the dashboard. Probably a clock. Reflected in the window.

2021-07-02T16:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410997067309146114

@528vibes Well, if someone springs for a #1 mylar balloon, with a string attached, and a camera with really bad autofocus, I might give it a go. I'm not sure there's much point though.

Deleting threads once solved is publication bias.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5TeOKkVIAIQyTg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5TeOKkVIAIQyTg.jpg

2021-07-02T15:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410976783940288512

RT @KenCaldeira: Scientists can disagree on the weight of the evidence on the extent to which anthropogenic emissions contribute to recentâ□¦

2021-07-02T14:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410974646342586376

@Ladidas7 ATC radar covers the entire country, removing collection bias.

2021-07-02T14:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410974113812815874

@hoveringobject A few white pixels. Bird, plane, drone, plastic bag? Itâ□□s in the LIZ, so hard to say.

2021-07-02T08:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410872723731931136

Jeremy might recognize this from his old UFO Debunking days.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TWToxNbYJNA

https://twitter.com/admpubmx/status/1410818458267406337

2021-07-02T05:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410832918209994753

@GlennF @TwitterSupport Yeah, it was probably nothing.

2021-07-02T05:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410830648445587461

@admpubmx @GadiNBC @Gurrlly007LIVE @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell This reminds me of @jeremycorbell's first UFO video, when he first got the bug.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410830502857035776/pu/img/czYXKqr_kgRFov4A.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410830502857035776/pu/img/czYXKqr_kgRFov4A.jpg
2021-07-02T05:14:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410829181986820100
@TwitterSupport Yay, I'm unshadowbanned now!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5RFpsSVoAI5oCE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5RFpsSVoAI5oCE.jpg
2021-07-02T05:14:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410829161669685254
@TwitterSupport Yay, I'm unshadowbanned now!

2021-07-02T04:42:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410821108488540161
@admpubmx @GadiNBC @Gurrlly007LIVE @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell Looks like

pyrotechnics on skydivers or planes.

2021-07-02T04:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410818623669690368

@onmydayout @ThorntonVallery @UsCars4 Aliens are on the list, just not very high.

2021-07-02T02:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410783321131388931

@5tacosplease Seems consistent with a guy in a suit.

2021-07-02T02:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410782978888765448

@caiorearte2 @DavegiudiceDave @Eyes_OnTheSkies @SWRDragon Is there a video clip I can look at?

2021-07-02T01:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410777026609356806

@fluffytail1 Look up the equation.

2021-07-02T01:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410776749361688578

@OKftl @TwitterSupport Two people contacted me.

2021-07-02T01:14:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410768865533984773

This probably represents a small but significant percentage of UFO sightings and videos.

https://twitter.com/stringythings/status/1410765497117777926

2021-07-02T00:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410757828411727874

@LuisCay99862776 @Flyingh43892139 The four fixed arrays of SPY-1 and similar radars are just at 90Â° to each other to give 360Â° coverage. It's essentially a single radar system.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5QEhvBUcAMG1cc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5QEhvBUcAMG1cc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5QEZ-HVkAAH3wi.jpg
2021-07-02T00:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410751863268335620
@kevinscottnow Hmm, thanks. A couple of people told me I was not showing up yesterday. It says "While an account may be suggested to users you are strongly tied to, it may not be shown to others." - so you probably are "strongly tied" to me, even when not following me.

2021-07-02T00:01:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410750443488120839
@kevinscottnow What does this search query return if you unfollow me?
https://twitter.com/search?q=(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2021-07-01T23:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410749483709734915
@InvNightSchool @rdisipio over a minute

2021-07-01T23:56:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410749234068942853
@kevinscottnow @TwitterSupport probably because you are following me.

2021-07-01T23:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410748056081813505
Why might Twitter have Search-Shadowbanned me?
Maybe I was tweeting too fast yesterday?
@TwitterSupport?

https://shadowban.eu/mickwest http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5P7cXWVEAAo2s6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5P7cXWVEAAo2s6.jpg
2021-07-01T23:42:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410745752037429256
@rdisipio @_barra_o A long video would be great, if you've got a good viewpoint. Ideally zoomed in on the more distant part of the approach.

2021-07-01T23:38:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410744593331593221
@rdisipio I've mistaken a plane for Venus before, and the other way around. A bright light in the distance that's not moving for a few seconds could be either.

2021-07-01T23:33:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410743339356356608
Planes flying towards you (or a camera) can seem to hover when they are far in the distance.

In this speeded up video we see this effect with multiple planes approaching LHR.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fgHjVvqLXV8

2021-07-01T18:34:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410668026811195392
@robwatsonauthor @BenLumen @Lynda_Katerra I can see it, at that link. However, maybe that's because I "saved" it. Sorry!
What if you search for "UFOs Declassified: LIVE"

2021-07-01T18:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410663090106998784

RT @likethecoins: New report from NSA, CISA, FBI, and NCSC on a GRU brute force campaign https://media.defense.gov/2021/Jul/01/2002753896/-1/-1/1/CSA_GRU_GLOBAL_BRUTE_FORCE_CAMPAIGN_UOO158036-21.PDF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5OD7dmVgAQKrTx.jpg



Russian GRU Conducting Global Brute Force Campaign to Compromise Enterprise and Cloud Environments

Executive summary

Since at least mid-2019 through early 2021, Russian General Staff Main Intelligence Directorate (GRU) 85th Main Special Service Center (GTsSS), military unit 26165, used a Kubernetes® cluster to conduct widespread, distributed, and anonymized brute force access attempts against hundreds of government and private sector targets worldwide. GTsSS malicious cyber activity has previously been attributed by the private sector using the names Fancy Bear, APT28, Strontium, and a variety of other identifiers. The 85th GTsSS directed a significant amount of this activity at organizations using Microsoft Office 365® cloud services; however, they also targeted other service providers and on-premises email servers using a variety of different protocols. These efforts are almost certainly still ongoing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5OD7dmVgAQKrTx.jpg

2021-07-01T18:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410662532931411972

@Lynda_Katerra @robwatsonauthor Sign up for a free trial of Philo, then

https://www.philo.com/player/show/U2hvdzo2MDg1NDg4OTk2NDg1MDcyNTI

2021-07-01T18:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410661706456395781

@HorusOwl I've been to a Chemtrail conference and a Flat Earth conference. It's fine.

2021-07-01T18:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410661386342993925

@BrentG6000 Nah, the whole point is some friendly engagement.

2021-07-01T18:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410659877773475841

@JLuvin I'd want to go to an in-person conference, so I can chat to people. Maybe next June.

2021-07-01T17:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410658925943222277

@SkeptInquirer I'll be there!

2021-07-01T17:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410651288325398528

Who's going to a UFO conference in the next 12 months? If I were to go to one, which would you recommend as being the most representative of the serious end of the UFOlogy community? (Considering both speakers and attendees). Like what conference would Harry Reid go to?

2021-07-01T17:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410649625850093570

@media_skyhigh That's was one of many moments I chuckled at.

2021-07-01T17:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410649279580934147

@LuAngeles @MiddleOfMayhem @media_skyhigh @TMZ @Discovery @LueElizondo @kentbye @singularitymike After the Discovery TV special, one might be forgiven for thinking that Jeremey Corbell was solely responsible for ALL the videos releases. Lue and Chris were wise to stay away from that nonsense.

2021-07-01T16:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410636957525319681

@mattsaavedra Even if they are wrong?

2021-07-01T16:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410634852047540236

@UAPmike @htyson12 @CuriousAnthrop1 Is "The media likes popular stories" something you disagree with?

2021-07-01T16:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410634662632771586

@billgockeler @mattjdavidson What exactly about the original looks "real"? The low resolution? The smeary colors?

And what looks fake about mine?

2021-07-01T16:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410634180082376709

@TrevyLimited @h_clagett I'd avoid eliminating anything. Just move it down the list.

2021-07-01T15:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410625150014214149

@Lennart_1 @suzihixon It does not identify the aircraft. So still unidentified.

2021-07-01T15:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410624994548224000

@htyson12 @CuriousAnthrop1 The media likes popular stories.

2021-07-01T15:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410624542549057536

@Bich187 Far away planes facing the camera. The paired lights are a big indicator.

2021-07-01T15:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410623501627650052

@Torus22840685 @asorto1 Itâ□□s a filter. Pilots see things all the time. Some are inevitably

harder to identify than others. Pilots donâ□□t report every white dot.

2021-07-01T05:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410466515728539655

@h_clagett Exactly

2021-07-01T04:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410448134132494337

@greenpapagia @OakKnollEyrie @HakeemOluseyi Much less money.

2021-07-01T03:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410434576846004225

@asorto1 A) we don't know what analysis the Navy did. and B) I didn't identify the exact objects in the videos.

2021-07-01T03:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410434298969092099

@devgru1980mi @Liberty4Life23 @zcichy Nope, the better test would be during similar level security alerts, how often were UFOs of any type reported.

2021-07-01T03:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410433581340446725

@CuriousAnthrop1 They are telling a story. Even the scientist guy didn't want to spoil the party.

2021-07-01T03:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410433280537550851

@asorto1 1/144 is bullshit.

It's more like 1,000,000/144

The vast majority of sightings are explained.

2021-07-01T02:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410432940828360704

Who said anything about aliens?

Pretty much everyone!

Pretty sure the jury is rigged though.

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LdHTXVcAQ1PeJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LdHTXVcAQ1PeJ.jpg

2021-07-01T02:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410432116987289607

@marcbel19406167 Which case is it about?

2021-07-01T02:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410432018739990533

@Liberty4Life23 @devgru1980mi @zcichy How did he correct for collection bias?

2021-07-01T02:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410431672718299143

@UAPslut They don't want my questions. Does not fit the show narrative.

2021-07-01T02:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410431453196816389

@marcbel19406167 Glare size does not follow the actual size, it depends on exposure.

2021-07-01T02:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410431073436147715

@chadackerman @MiddleOfMayhem No. This was a "pyramid" from Russia. Different case. Old.

2021-07-01T02:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410430895232745474

@zcichy @HSVUAP Which case is best?

2021-07-01T02:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410430545834639362

@mikeRanthony @aka__V Well, so is ball lightning.

And there's no "them." How many of the cases are in "other"? Only 18 even exhibit unusual movements, and those might be sensor error or observer misperception.

2021-07-01T02:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410429827769769994

@marcbel19406167 Which case is the greatest?

2021-07-01T02:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410429527826714627

@pjbrad9 Sure, spy drones would be more likely near high-security sights. But also false reports from collection bias. That's why the UAP Report suggested a solution.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LaI-NUUAAAsyY.jpg

Expand Collection

The UAPTF is looking for novel ways to increase collection of UAP cluster areas when U.S. forces are not present as a way to baseline "standard" UAP activity and mitigate the collection bias in the dataset. One proposal is to use advanced algorithms to search historical data captured and stored by radars. The UAPTF also plans to update its current interagency UAP collection strategy in order bring to bear relevant collection platforms and methods from the DoD and the IC.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LaI-NUUAAAsyY.jpg

2021-07-01T02:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410428606535270401

@zcichy Why is the government utterly unconcerned?

2021-07-01T02:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410428379573022724

@MiocSebastian If it was such a big deal, then why did the plane fly away?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LZIf-VoAUjp-g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LZIf-VoAUjp-g.jpg

2021-07-01T02:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410427899224551430

@mikeRanthony How do you know the report of a UFO is related to the malfunction?

2021-07-01T02:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410427265486229504

@zcichy Bunch of cherry picking.

2021-07-01T02:36:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410427135693447170
Worst UFO video ever. Looks like some planes. They do nothing. Jeremy does NOT have corroborating data. He's just guessing.

Nobody picked it as their favorite, because it's weak.

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LXrxxUUAA6Get.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LXrxxUUAA6Get.jpg
2021-07-01T02:33:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410426278805602305
@ThorntonVallery Yeah, you should check that story.

2021-07-01T02:32:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410426113856196612
@VeracityandR @samhull79 People make claims about the individual parts. I look at those claims.

But I do consider it all. I've interviewed several eye-witnesses.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwZU6RiTEAw

2021-07-01T02:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410425726147330053
@WAstounding @s_pect_re There's a difference between "plausibly explained" and "definitively identified".

We know the Navy has said they won't release the results of their investigations into those cases. So maybe they figured it out. We don't know what analysis they have done.

2021-07-01T02:29:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410425340342640641

The collection bias also explains the connection to nuclear weapons.

Nuclear weapon sites have the highest level of security possible, so every little thing gets reported. So... a few more UFOs get reported.

It means nothing. It's an old cool story, backed by nothing.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1410424105413746698

2021-07-01T02:27:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410424670906503170
@MiddleOfMayhem @Gurrlly007LIVE @ExploreSCU Probably even smaller, as they misjudge the distance.

2021-07-01T02:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410424458473398273
@RaTeD_M2A @ForeySR Physical craft with technology 100+ years ahead of where were are now, vs. radar glitches.

2021-07-01T02:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410424105413746698
The UAP Report specifically addresses the "clustering" of UAP/UFO sightings near military bases. It's an expected function of collection bias at those locations.

It's not that complicated. But the UFO promoters ignore this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LVFbNVcAAIqjv.jpg

UNCLASSIFIED

But Some Potential Patterns Do Emerge

Although there was wide variability in the reports and the dataset is currently too limited to allow for detailed trend or pattern analysis, there was some clustering of UAP observations regarding shape, size, and, particularly, propulsion. UAP sightings also tended to cluster around U.S. training and testing grounds, but we assess that this may result from a collection bias as a result of focused attention, greater numbers of latest-generation sensors operating in those areas, unit expectations, and guidance to report anomalies.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LVFbNVcAAIqjv.jpg
2021-07-01T02:22:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410423583126409222
@DigitalDino4 @suzihixon Well, there are oil rights right there, in that pattern, with the right spacing, with parallax motion that matches coastal clouds.

So.... yes, I'm 99.99999% in this case.

2021-07-01T02:21:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410423185611182081
@OKftl "it"?

2021-07-01T02:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410423050474975232

@moozoo_wizard Nobody will, it's a classified system.

2021-07-01T02:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410422703958335489

@RaTeD_M2A @ForeySR Lots of people are saying aliens.

Do you have a simpler explanation, that's also simpler than glitches.

You know they'd just had a system upgrade? Sometimes comes with bugs.

2021-07-01T02:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410422252978413570

@suzihixon Lots of material.

2021-07-01T02:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410421848198709249

@SwinkInc Different pyramid. This is @g_knapp's Russian pyramid.

2021-07-01T02:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410421426545254404

@pjbrad9 We can discuss this later.

2021-07-01T02:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410421227873730562

@mikeRanthony @EasySideMoneyy @HakeemOluseyi I don't know. But I do know the rotation and the shape have a non-exotic explanation.

2021-07-01T02:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410421064509784065

@SwinkInc Being odd isn't a disqualification if the alternative is "aliens"

2021-07-01T02:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410420816127291393

@metallicblimp_ You get a bunch of good people and give them unlimited resources, and strong goal-driven leadership.

2021-07-01T02:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410419724635181056

@pjbrad9 I disagree.

2021-07-01T02:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410419204402999297

@Robin081564 @metallicblimp_ They are not lies. And they are not the same.

2021-07-01T02:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410419130344251392

@ViktoriaLukas69 @chrisotis78 Not. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

---

2021-07-01T02:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410418936139550725
@pjbrad9 Glare orientation is relative to the camer orientation. The ATFLIR camera rotates in a complicated way (internal mirrors as well as external large gimabal), but it has to rotate to traverse 0Â°

It's complicated but matches reality.

2021-07-01T02:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410418440318431239
@suzihixon The military have said nothing about their analysis. Nor will they.

I've not identified it either, just shown what it looks like.

2021-07-01T02:01:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410418239696293892
@EasySideMoneyy @HakeemOluseyi Two pilots talk about seeing it. One saw it for five minutes. One for ten seconds. Their accounts don't match.

There's a huge amount of inconsistencies in the Nimitz story. Probably most due to memory changes.

2021-07-01T01:59:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410417796832399361
@likeitmatters3 @chippwins @David_Burt_Art They are not. And my book is not about UFOs.

I'm retired.

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-07-01T01:58:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410417456854691842
@EBE1_CMZ I'm not explaining your "this"

I'm explaining the pyramid video and some other videos.

2021-07-01T01:57:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410417221977870340
@mikeRanthony @aka__V How do you know that? It's a story told by 1 or two people from 17 years ago.

2021-07-01T01:56:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410417095834099713
@Alpha_Animosity @samhull79 What did you see?

2021-07-01T01:56:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410416993153404929

@OakKnollEyrie @HakeemOluseyi I'd ruin it. They don't actually want real explanations.

2021-07-01T01:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410416805605089287

@RaTeD_M2A @ForeySR How many things need to happen for Aliens to be here?

2021-07-01T01:55:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410416695823327232

@metallicblimp_ It would be revolutionary. A drop-everything moment as existing technology is shown to be obsolete, and we rush to figure out the next generation of propulsion. Manhatten Project level response required.

2021-07-01T01:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410416216024354819

@chippwins https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2021-07-01T01:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410415218430144518

Hey @HakeemOluseyi, let me help you out here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LMv5iUUAUPDlD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LMv5iUUAUPDlD.jpg

2021-07-01T01:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410414668871471106

GIMBAL. Looks like the glare from a distant plane's engine.

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E5LMor-UcAIFdcH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E5LMor-UcAIFdcH.jpg

2021-07-01T01:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410414252444192773

@ForeySR The operators initially thought that it wasn't. It's the simplest explanation BY FAR.

2021-07-01T01:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410414017697357824

@samhull79 I'm not. I give it appropirate consideration.

What fits the evidence? Radar glitches do.

So why give visiting aliens equal weight?

2021-07-01T01:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410413737664684032

@SocialistFT @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell Have they tried?

2021-07-01T01:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410413627346063368

@JackFrostvc The Russian one looks exactly like one. So that seems like a pretty obvious choice.

The green flashing one is bokeh.

2021-07-01T01:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410413277520162816

5000G. So, either the radar glitched, or the world has changed forever with hugely significant consequences. A new epoch in human history.

What seems more likely?

Why are people blindly accepting these wild leaps?

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LK7-ZVkAIniIV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LK7-ZVkAIniIV.jpg

2021-07-01T01:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410412677898268675

@mikeRanthony http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LK2OFVgAQxNW9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LK2OFVgAQxNW9.jpg

2021-07-01T01:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410412437010931713

@mikeRanthony No, if you recreate it with the numbers on the screen, it's looking down at the ocean.

2021-07-01T01:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410412157343125504

@HorusOwl The first one isn't even in focus.

2021-07-01T01:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410412046781345797
GoFast isn't going fast.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

It's 10th grade trig. A child could figure it out. Tracey Latourrette should have.

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE

2021-07-01T01:33:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410411245556011008
@Gurrlly007LIVE They can't. That's not what the video shows.

It's nowhere near the ocean.

2021-07-01T01:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410411010867879941
@s_pect_re
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410410893607723013/pu/img/jKL7b3PE6OMVlLun.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410410893607723013/pu/img/jKL7b3PE6OMVlLun.jpg
2021-07-01T01:31:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410410632852115457
@RaTeD_M2A Yeah, because they are like 40 miles away. Just blobs of light.

2021-07-01T01:30:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410410499775205376
@Gurrlly007LIVE It fits the evidence. There's even a hotel upwind of the airport that regularly used wedding lanterns.

2021-07-01T01:29:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410410222510776320

Glad #UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE brought up Chile, as they had one of the best examples of "experts" getting it wrong ever. IB 6830. A plane. That they though was a UFO, for TWO YEARS.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LInROVEAE1AZ4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LInROVEAE1AZ4.jpg
2021-07-01T01:27:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410409818850922497
@chillangelino Your loss.

2021-07-01T01:27:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410409749082828801
Ah yes, Aguadilla. It's real footage of something moving slow, probably a pair of wedding lanterns.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LILvgVcAkoP5K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LH7OyUcAEO_pC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LILvgVcAkoP5K.jpg

2021-07-01T01:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410409199134076928

These are flares on oil rigs. Explained many years ago.

https://www.skeptic.com/eskeptic/04-07-24/

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LHjXXVEAEipBN.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LHjXXVEAEipBN.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LHRy8UcAM2aFI.jpg

2021-07-01T01:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410408417735241730

@MRJUAP Quite the opposite. It's hilarious.

2021-07-01T01:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410408250890022919

@BigNativeMitch So Corbell said it? Excuse my skepticism.

2021-07-01T01:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410408074179866626

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE

This "Pyramid UFO" is a weather balloon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LGl29VcAQoMLd.jpg

---



Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LGl29VcAQoMLd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LGev4UUAQMAGn.jpg

2021-07-01T01:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410407754137669636

@BigNativeMitch Who said they did?

2021-07-01T01:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410406802491985920

@KJ46838999 It would be if the new video looked like drones.

I'm at #1 plane, #2 drone.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZSsDZ8vePDc

2021-07-01T01:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410406440997560325

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE The Omaha sphere does NOT appear to go in the water. It goes behind the horizon. You see the IR glare shrink. Doesn't make any sudden moves either.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-07-01T01:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410405934015270914

@s_pect_re Who knows? It's very obviously a glare. Or are you saying it's a star-shaped craft that morphs into a cigar?

2021-07-01T01:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410405743375765507

With some more technical analysis here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2021-07-01T01:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410405646629949440

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE "Pyramid shaped UFO" explained here:

It's an out-of-focus plane. Triangular bokeh. The other "pyramids" are stars.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-07-01T01:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410405175034998787

@OakKnollEyrie Not a visible plume. There's a glare though.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LEAxnVoAA8fct.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LEAxnVoAA8fct.jpg

2021-07-01T01:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410404848000933891

@s_pect_re And what effect does this specialness have on this video?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LDuhcUUAM40go.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LDuhcUUAM40go.jpg

2021-07-01T01:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410404639632105472

@Disclose_UFOUAP @_NTME9_ That's an argument. Just not an airtight one.

Why did he zoom in when he should have zoomed out?

Why didn't he slew the camera left?

2021-07-01T01:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410404326661517312

@gaz_et And so? That's got nothing to do with the motion.

And you can see the glare of the engine. Especially near the start

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LDOGMVkAQytCD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5LDOGMVkAQytCD.jpg

2021-07-01T01:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410403758018760707

@rjohnhacker @flir Hey, I like @FLIR, I have one of their cameras. And they snagged a cool generic name.

They don't make the ATFLIR system though.

2021-07-01T01:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410403331579596809

@_NTME9_ He didn't find it again because he waited too long. Underwood should have immediately zoomed out, but he zoomed in. Exactly the wrong thing.

2021-07-01T00:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410402756444131328

@_NTME9_ They lost track by cycling thorugh camera modes. The camera then stopped tracking left and the plane in the distance just kept moving at EXACTLY the same angular rate the camera was tracking it. So it moved off screen.

Then switch to 2x completes the illusion of motion.

2021-07-01T00:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410402012886306820

@OakKnollEyrie It doesn't accelerate. (and it's also out of focus)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-07-01T00:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410401765304848386

#UFOsDeclassifiedLIVE did a terrible "analysis" of FLIR.

It does NOT show sudden moves, as explained here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-07-01T00:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410401223677648901

@_olligo_ It's normal.

2021-07-01T00:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410399878967037953

@_olligo_ I am. It's ridiculous.

2021-07-01T00:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410399684284149763

@JeremyUnidenti1 Oh, it's not important. I just think it's funny every time Corbell says "IT'S FLIR!!!" as if that validates anything.

2021-07-01T00:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410398995784945665

The acronym "FLIR" is often repeated with the full name "Forward Looking InfraRed" as if it's some amazing technology. It's not.

It's just an IR camera that faces forward, instead of the side-scanning "push broom" IR cameras

It's not special, it's just an IR camera.

2021-06-30T17:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410291108819521540

RT @malachybrowne: New 40-minute short doc. The Visual Investigations team synchronized and mapped thousands of videos and police audio ofâ¦

2021-06-30T17:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410289788813398016

What "closed doors" did @g_knapp mean?

"Maybe they live in the ocean, maybe they live here, separated from us by some thin, psychic dimensional membrane that they move back and forth. There are a lot of exotic ideas that have been explored and discussed behind closed doors"

2021-06-30T17:21:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410287333388156933

@singularitymike @kentbye @mintyhyperspace @LuAngeles Okay, it's A primary concern, not THE primary concern.

2021-06-30T17:07:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410283780149956609

@kentbye @mintyhyperspace @LuAngeles @singularitymike On page 3.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5JVmreVUAIh8yP.jpg



electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security. Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain. UAP would also represent a national security challenge if they are foreign adversary collection platforms or provide evidence a potential adversary has developed either a breakthrough or disruptive technology.

Consistent consolidation of reports from across the federal government, standardized reporting, increased collection and analysis, and a streamlined process for screening all such reports against a broad range of relevant USG data will allow for a more sophisticated analysis of UAP that is likely to deepen our understanding. Some of these steps are resource-intensive and would require additional investment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5JVmreVUAIh8yP.jpg

2021-06-30T16:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410280118375747588

@ChrisSPITZER7 @SingletrackAdam @TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace The rotating glare part. Obviously other heat sources could cause the effect.

2021-06-30T16:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410279707791171586

@ChrisSPITZER7 @SingletrackAdam @TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace Iâ□□ll stand by that. I canâ□□t think of another reasonable explanation.

2021-06-30T16:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410278673446432768

@kentbye @mintyhyperspace @LuAngeles @singularitymike Clutter was listed as the primary concern.

2021-06-30T15:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410265412097372161

@MiddleOfMayhem @TRandallizer @mintyhyperspace I don't remember Sean describing a "Tic Tac". He describes seeing multiple objects that rotated and then vanished.

https://youtu.be/8A-YL6-LsJA?t=80

2021-06-30T15:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410264722910322695

@conorowens94 @TrevyLimited @howardmbarker @BartronPolygon @mintyhyperspace @GaryVoorhis "I had seen five to seven objects up in the sky at approximately 45 degrees off the port bow at about 2000 feet, all of them moved together towards the center of a circle in a counterclockwise fashion and they all disappeared or blinked out."

https://youtu.be/8A-YL6-LsJA?t=79

2021-06-30T15:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410263622429810688

@TaterTo74406292 @enmasse01 @mintyhyperspace It's science. Unfortunately.

Some people forget (over years) where they were when they first heard about 9/11. Even though that seems like a flashbulb memory.

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/911-memory-accuracy/

2021-06-30T15:42:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410262401518247944

@UAPEngineering @enmasse01 @mintyhyperspace No, we don't. For a start, what did Fravor's WSO say?

2021-06-30T15:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410262182554595337

@docdiscern But not really a Game Show :)

There's certainly some drama.

2021-06-30T15:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410262038400573441

@My111100496401 Fox seems to only put clips on YT now.

2021-06-30T15:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410261675165437955

@TrevyLimited @howardmbarker @BartronPolygon @mintyhyperspace Oh, I've got some hypotheses. There's really at least three parts to it - the radar, the intercept, and the video. (plus some other things like @mintyhyperspace's and @GaryVoorhis' observations)

At the simplest level, I think it's unlikely they are all describing the same thing.

2021-06-30T15:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410261150239838219

@buffcommacu @Bhima_Jenkins @mintyhyperspace Yes, I do. I think about them all the time. How could they have seen what they describe? What might it have been? What are the

possibilities? It's fascinating.

2021-06-30T15:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410260719040270337

You can watch last night's TMZ UFO special on the Fox web site for free.

Odd categorization.

https://www.fox.com/tmz-investigates-ufos-the-pentagon-proof/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5JAVGeVgAIQ-8J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5JAVGeVgAIQ-8J.jpg

2021-06-30T15:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410257038131662849

@ChrisSPITZER7 @TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace The scrutiny is regarding the claims others have made about the videos. For example, the claim that "Go Fast" goes fast, or that FLIR1 (TicTac) makes sudden moves.

Those claims don't hold up.

2021-06-30T15:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410256628150988802

@alf_fantasy @RayJack62496046 @mintyhyperspace The "pre-debunk" you refer to was my initial hypothesis (balloon) about the Omaha Sphere video based on the existing Omaha Sphere photos that were released weeks earlier.

Analysis of the video then caused me to revise my hypothesis. I'm open to changing ideas based on new data.

2021-06-30T15:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410256065178923011

@Bhima_Jenkins @mintyhyperspace I've interviewed many of the actual witnesses including Kevin Day and Alex Dietrich. I very much consider their accounts.

2021-06-30T15:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410255500348772353

@TheOutThere2016 The red lights appear to be just a result of focus. i.e. when it's in focus it's white, out of focus it's red.

2021-06-30T15:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410252138308005890

@StarMan92062292 @mintyhyperspace I think they are generally being entirely honest.

2021-06-30T15:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410251897471049728

@chrisfrench83 @mintyhyperspace I asked why Elizondo didn't break his NDA for the good of humanity. He explained why and gave a good answer (because he'd go to jail). That's fine, perfectly understandable.

2021-06-30T14:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410251415713239042

@SithVincentV @Jim87504388 @mintyhyperspace Nobody likes their memory being questioned.

But memories are not always accurate.

Research shows that false memories can seem exactly as rich and high-fidelity as true memories. Being convinced a memory is accurate does not make it accurate.

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00650/full

---

2021-06-30T14:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410249668437573633

@SwinkInc The Viper (Visual Information Personnel) team are Mass Communication Specialists who take video and photos for possible PR, etc. (Mass Communication)

VIPER team is a more badass name than SNOOPY team, but SNOOPY are the people who are actually tasked with identifying threats.

2021-06-30T14:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410247832234119168

@ForeySR @JeremyUnidenti1 @mintyhyperspace Something I never said. I'm sure all of them

are capable of replicating the trig I did, and quite possibly more.

2021-06-30T14:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410246822090604548

@Jim87504388 @mintyhyperspace To be fair to Sean, he might simply be unaware that the bird thing is just a silly meme popularized by some on #ufotwitter and others.

I have, as you know, detailed non-bird hypotheses (backed by analysis) for all the videos (at least for aspects of them, some are too LIZ)

2021-06-30T14:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410246221386502147

@Zynixxx_ @TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace And I don't think Rubio is really a "fan" (a UFO enthusiast). Harry Reid is (as he freely admits).
If you've been to a convention on a topic, then it's perfectly reasonable to say you are a fan or enthusiast of that topic.

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/21/special-series/harry-reid-ufo.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5IzYqEUcAAWvnR.jpg

> One day in 1996, I received a call from George Knapp, an investigative reporter at KLAS-TV, the CBS affiliate in Las Vegas, and a friend of mine. “Harry,” he said, “there’s something you have to attend.” He invited me to an upcoming conference that would focus on what the U.S. government generally refers to as “unidentified aerial phenomena,” but what most other people simply call U.F.O.s, a subject Mr. Knapp had, and still has, a particular interest in.
>
> A large conference room at the event was filled with academics, interested members of the public and, yes, a few oddballs. I was very impressed with the academics, who spoke of unidentified aerial phenomena in the language of science, discussing the issue in terms of technological advancement and national security. I was hooked.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5IzYqEUcAAWvnR.jpg

2021-06-30T14:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410245391690256388

@Zynixxx_ @TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace I take it seriously. YOu could call me a fan, or a UFO enthusiast. I spend a lot of time looking into it.

But as I explained, some people have been convinced of the ET (or Advanced Tech) hypothesis

many years ago, but the evidence does not seem to confirm their belief.

2021-06-30T14:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410244490992898053

@Ps5andxbox1 @mintyhyperspace @EricRWeinstein It's just a subset of the Zoo Hypothesis. Nothing new. Pure speculation.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zoo_hypothesis

2021-06-30T14:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410243095761850370

@mission_europa @mintyhyperspace I don't think using false sensationalism as a tactic to get more data released is a good thing.

I'd be more than happy to get more data. I broadly support the conclusions of the report (both public and classified).

2021-06-30T14:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410240860550094850

@TC14021773 @mintyhyperspace I am deeply curious as to what Elizondo has seen. Unfortunately, he's not telling us.

However, he has promoted the various videos that are now public (Go Fast, etc), and they really don't stand up to scrutiny.

I discuss the "UFO Fans" descriptor here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5stjbueE9Sg

---

2021-06-30T14:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410239541517066244

@enmasse01 @mintyhyperspace I'm sure people are honestly describing what they think they saw.

But history has taught us that "I know what I've seen" is a belief that can be independent of the accuracy of the recollection. The human mind is not a perfect recorder, but it can feel like one.

2021-06-30T14:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410237888193720322

@jaimeneufer @DaMotorMouth @itchy1776 @abojarski91 @JeremyCorbell The moving flashing object looks like a plane.

At the start of the video, we see Jupiter and some stars. At the end, there are two other stars. Explained here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2021-06-30T14:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410236833171005442
On Good Day NY this morning with @mintyhyperspace. I was disappointed that Sean used the "Mick West is just a debunker who thinks everything is birds" line.

I'm not the enemy. I'm all about the data, and using that data to try to figure out what is going on. Mostly not birds.

2021-06-30T13:51:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410234576522252288
@MickSvest She has 226K Subscribers, I only have 27K.

2021-06-30T04:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410091951525830658
@strategic_doubt @dave_beaty @MC05A @Aviation_Intel Why not both?

It seems possible that some drone sightings could lead to a heightened sense of anticipation, priming for seeing "drones" that then led to the misidentification of other things, like planes.

2021-06-30T00:34:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410033885220458496
@watersportsclub @JeremyCorbell I did, 30 minutes ago. I'm over it now.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZSsDZ8vePDc

2021-06-30T00:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410030449867456512
@MiddleOfMayhem *ot = not

2021-06-30T00:20:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410030358024785923
@MiddleOfMayhem That looks kind of extreme for anticollision lights, it's ot 360Â° and it's not flashing. So not FAA-compliant.
Here's an actually FAA-compliant anti-collision light.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wWB54vLb9_g

2021-06-30T00:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410029153823313922
@SensaiSeagal Prepend away.

2021-06-30T00:07:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410027293783695363
@SensaiSeagal You mean "not aliens"?

You can prepend "almost certainly" to any definitive statement, and the end result is the same.

2021-06-30T00:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410026408802275328
@tiggyjubb @MikeGannome @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @TheUfoJoe I think I missed it by a

minute.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZSsDZ8vePDc

2021-06-30T00:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410026266950963200

A quick analysis of the new Corbell UFO video.

Looks like planes, possibly drones. Man made. Nothing seems like amazing physics. Not aliens.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZSsDZ8vePDc

2021-06-29T23:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410024571541999616

@Gambit41 Kinda exactly.

2021-06-29T23:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410024307716091904

@Dan_McGiveron @KentCStraight @JeremyCorbell I agree. I'll make a video just in case, but it could take me almost ten minutes, so don't hold your breath.

2021-06-29T23:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410020503939473408

@Ben1jammin No, they look really far away, long zoom.

I'm leaning towards distant planes, approaching head-on, based on the lights.

2021-06-29T23:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1410019409549094913

Kinda look like planes or drones.

Need more context.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410019257048465408/pu/img/qmFE9P4yrfbnOjR3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1410019257048465408/pu/img/qmFE9P4yrfbnOjR3.jpg
2021-06-29T23:05:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410011586513625088
@BrentLandau How is that possible? Seems clear:

"In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-06-29T22:59:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410010029151834114
@moozoo_wizard That would change the conclusion of the UAP report, which the ODNI has said is the same without or without classified information.

2021-06-29T22:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410005383414915074
My goal in analyzing UAP/UFO videos is to understand them and figure out what they show.

I'm also in favor of gov transparency.

Solving things is great, but there's always going to be things in the LIZ (Low Information Zone). It recedes with better data, but is inevitable.
https://twitter.com/MartinHeinrich/status/1409998863725965316

2021-06-29T22:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1410003829567803394

@Disclose_UFOUAP @MersofLam @ChrisKMellon And yet they did not solve anything. More research (and data) needed.

2021-06-29T22:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409999732471042050

@Be_More_Weird I think people are generally being honest. I don't know what caused the various Nimitz incidents, although I suspect the video is of a distant jet.

2021-06-29T21:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409994975811571715

@Be_More_Weird Change in memory over time.

2021-06-29T21:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409993608225198080

@zcichy I didn't work much on Spidey, as THPS2 had priority. It was essentially a fork of the THPS1 engine, which in turn came from Apocalypse, which came from Big Guns.

2021-06-29T21:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409992518704369664

@g_knapp's idea that the balloon-like UFO "wanted to be seen by people who could document it" is hilariously nonsensical. The Navy pilot "documented" it with his iPhone.

Why not just hover near the beach?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vBKmhbFPMMs

2021-06-29T20:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409978712267792384

@123334AB @WAstounding How could I question claims people make in a more respectful way?

2021-06-29T20:53:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409978299355262976

Didn't the UAPTF and the UAP report use AATIP's analysis of the Nimitz case, and other cases?

If not, then what was AATIP doing?

https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1409967243887263747

2021-06-29T20:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409977766884175876

@SGuy8bit @Schoolcraft420 No, he's been ignoring me.

2021-06-29T20:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409977535325081608

@Lu666Cifer @UfoStudy Looks like a helicopter.

Helicopter was in the area.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/homesteadhow-ufo-photos-probably-a-helicopter.11854/

2021-06-29T20:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409977207619915776

@MajesticAgent @ChrisKMellon The classified annex is mostly for data that has some default classification, like ATFLIR videos or radar records. The ODNI said the key conclusions were the same.

2021-06-29T20:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409973536505036800

@BostonsBuddha @MickSvest @ChrisKMellon And yet the same conclusion was reached.

2021-06-29T20:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409967194130116608

@Alessan12352890 It originated with radar.

2021-06-29T19:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409963949269811203

@MickSvest @ChrisKMellon With the same conclusions.

2021-06-29T18:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409949376705818627

@MersofLam @ChrisKMellon Extra (classified) data.

Same conclusions.

2021-06-29T18:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409948697912233985

@WAstounding Do you think we should ignore differences in accounts because it's disrespectful?

2021-06-29T18:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409948455863078916

@conorowens94 Here: 26:02 https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Ekm6OVoAMopuL.jpg

KD **Kevin Day** 26:02

Okay, and as soon as Commander Fravor gets, he has his wing buddy a little bit higher, they kind offset vertically a little bit so they can watch each other. As soon as he got to that merge plot position over the radio, I had the communications dialed up into speaker up in combat Information Center, so everyone was hearing it. He's like, "Oh, my God, oh my God I'm engaged, I'm engaged!" And when I when we got that down, it sounded just like that too. When I got back to the Beach I said "What the hell happened sir". He said: "well, as soon as I intercepted it, it barrel rolled around me and went straight down to the water. I followed it down and that's what the other disturbances in the water you said this little like Tic Tac looking thing was darting eratically, just about about 30 feet above the water in the river, something in the water whirling the water."

KD **Kevin Day** 26:47

I think that was sealife, my personal

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Ekm6OVoAMopuL.jpg
2021-06-29T18:53:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409948222311731202
Another version.
https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EkU-ZUYAA-2Ue.jpg



KD **Kevin Day** 26:02

Okay, and as soon as Commander Fravor gets, he has his wing buddy a little bit higher, they kind offset vertically a little bit so they can watch each other. As soon as he got to that merge plot position over the radio, I had the communications dialed up into speaker up in combat Information Center, so everyone was hearing it. He's like, "Oh, my God, oh my God I'm engaged, I'm engaged!" And when I when we got that down, it sounded just like that too. When I got back to the Beach I said "What the hell happened sir". He said: "well, as soon as I intercepted it, it barrel rolled around me and went straight down to the water. I followed it down and that's what the other disturbances in the water you said this little like Tic Tac looking thing was darting eratically, just about about 30 feet above the water in the river, something in the water whirling the water."

KD **Kevin Day** 26:47

I think that was sealife, my personal

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EkU-ZUYAA-2Ue.jpg
2021-06-29T18:51:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409947580889403395
@Schoolcraft420 Fravor has given his account many times. He recalls first seeing the object near sea level.

2021-06-29T18:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409947147345141763
@conorowens94 Kevin Day said David Fravor told him that the object did a barrel roll and dive.

2021-06-29T18:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409946860253368324
@Nicklevene1 They can't both be correct.

2021-06-29T18:37:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409944059678887936
Perceptions vary and, over time, memories change. Here's Nimitz witness Kevin Day giving an account of a barrel roll and dive to the ocean - something that David Fravor does not remember.

https://youtu.be/85OhTbTtK_I?t=72

2021-06-29T17:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409931481951080448
@ChrisKMellon
https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/details-scant-about-classified-uap-report-here

s-what-odni-is-saying/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EVL2tUcAEmm5j.jpg

"The classified report includes some additional information that could not be declassified consistent with the protection of sources and methods," an ODNI spokesperson told The Black Vault in an e-mail.

When asked for additional details like length of the classified annex/report; asking if there were photos/visuals; asking if there were specific cases mentioned with dates/times/locales — ODNI would not comment beyond the above.

However, they did add, "The unclassified preliminary assessment and classified annex are substantively consistent and the key conclusions are the same in both."

In other words, according to ODNI, they did not release one conclusion to the public and another within a classified report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EVL2tUcAEmm5j.jpg
2021-06-29T17:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409931409104334852
Note the disconnect between @ChrisKMellon's 3rd hand interpretations and the ODNI's direct description of the classified report as "substantively consistent" with and "the key conclusions are the same" as the public report.

It's really the same report.

https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/details-scant-about-classified-uap-report-heres-what-odni-is-saying/ https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1409887009376776196

2021-06-29T17:43:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409930462261235717
@PKellyHardwa822 @RayMoor18837824 @BGray8ful @ChrisKMellon The ODNI has stated the classified version of the report reaches the same conclusions as the public version. Just with more data.

https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/details-scant-about-classified-uap-report-heres-what-odni-is-saying/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EUR54VkAQUXL0.jpg

“The classified report includes some additional information that could not be declassified consistent with the protection of sources and methods,” an ODNI spokesperson told The Black Vault in an e-mail.

When asked for additional details like length of the classified annex/report; asking if there were photos/visuals; asking if there were specific cases mentioned with dates/times/locales — ODNI would not comment beyond the above.

However, they did add, “The unclassified preliminary assessment and classified annex are substantively consistent and the key conclusions are the same in both.”

In other words, according to ODNI, they did not release one conclusion to the public and another within a classified report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5EUR54VkAQUXL0.jpg
2021-06-29T17:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409930037575315459
@pjbrad9 @OakKnollEyrie @OwatcherUf @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector @DaveFalch How does object rotation explain the simultaneous rotation of the wider light patterns in the video?

2021-06-29T16:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409919463181352966
@OwatcherUf @conorowens94 @pjbrad9 @OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector You can actually see the glare (on the right side of the distant plane) change orientation during the gimbal rolls. The issue is that it's small.

It's more noticeable on the smaller roll.

2021-06-29T16:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409918829078134785
@pjbrad9 @OwatcherUf @OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector You've also got to account for the interior gimbaled mirrors - which are a bit an unknown quantity.

You see the major axis gimbal rolls at 4224 (2L) and 4231 (3L)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409918570172018690/pu/img/0xl__9jtMaGbmXa_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409918570172018690/pu/img/0xl__9jtMaGbmXa_.jpg
2021-06-29T16:51:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409917546451464192
@barefootfetish Seems consistent with airborne clutter. As they say in the report:

"Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain."

2021-06-29T15:47:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409901384686702593
@pjbrad9 @OwatcherUf @OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector It does.

2021-06-29T15:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409896784214695937
@528vibes I will certainly watch it.

2021-06-29T15:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409896573232840705
@OwatcherUf @OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector Gimbal is consistent with a jet flying away from the camera at constant velocity. It's also very hot, as is FLIR1 (Tic-Tac)

2021-06-29T14:38:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409883949686534146
@_olligo_ @murcanpolitics Just one?

2021-06-29T14:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409881175024357380

@conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @Vaibhav832 @uncertainvector No, it's a jet flying away from the camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/post-252018 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Dna-WWYAQkrOc.jpg

---



The intersection point is some 35 miles away, which gives a _huge_ range of possible positions for the object. This helps explain why it was so easy to get a reasonable match in DCS.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Dna-WWYAQkrOc.jpg

2021-06-29T13:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409873776188219407

@OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @Vaibhav832 @uncertainvector True Air Speed, relative to 0 air speed for the jet, so essentially the wind speed differential over 11,000 feet for Go Fast.

2021-06-29T13:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409873061575368711

@LtTimMcMillan @Vaibhav832 @uncertainvector In this thread. A variety of different approaches, but ultimately extracting the bank angle and camera heading frame by frame and integrating over time with high precision.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/

2021-06-29T13:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409872667562364944

@LtTimMcMillan @Vaibhav832 @uncertainvector GoFast = balloon speed, like 40 knots

Gimbal = Jet speed, like 200+ knots

In both cases, there's a range of possible velocities.

Some much more sophisticated analysis of Gimbal was prompted by Lehto's ideas. In this thread.

2021-06-29T13:50:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409872023019569159
@oscaralexander @K1975Daniel @LtTimMcMillan @ufomeldpunt Thanks. You'd want to compare as similar a situation as possible. i.e. countries that have at least one UFO reporting organization.
NUFORC (The source of the map data) seems to be running low, around 250/mo, but 7,213 for 2020

http://www.nuforc.org/webreports/ndxevent.html

2021-06-29T13:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409870516140212226
@OakKnollEyrie @LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector It's not a new argument. This is what it looks like and what everyone has assumed for years.

Tim is confused.

2021-06-29T13:44:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409870286703382531
@LtTimMcMillan @Vaibhav832 @uncertainvector You are confusing cases. The "against the wind" quote comes from Gimbal, not Go Fast, and seems to refer to the "fleet" on the SA. "They are all going against the wind"

2021-06-29T12:49:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409856558754791431
@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector You want to roll back your claim?

2021-06-29T12:49:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409856479050403845
@onceagiant Correct.

2021-06-29T12:43:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409855039829471233
@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector You're making a very novel claim, that Gimbal and GoFast are the same object, and that you have evidence of this (besides them simply being filmed by the same plane, which is known)

I think the ball is in your court now.

2021-06-29T12:37:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409853547978493956
@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector GoFast and Gimbal are very obviously not the same object.

You have not spoken to the person who filmed them.

2021-06-29T11:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409829812881924096

@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector Anyone familiar with the videos knows they were taken from the same plane. That has been discussed for years. That does not make them the same object. For a start, GoFast is small and cold, Gimbal is hot, and much further away.

You're imagining novel things here.

2021-06-29T08:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409795448542355458

@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector I see him talking about GIMBAL, nothing specific about Go Fast.

2021-06-29T08:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409794013511577600

@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector I was referring to was the idea that the GoFast must have been on radar/datalink because finding something with ATFLIR is like looking through a straw - I think that's something Graves said? Lehto says it was probably picked up visually.

2021-06-29T08:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409791087305129988

@LtTimMcMillan @uncertainvector Aspects that were contradicted by Chris Lehto. So which "expert" are you going to pick?

2021-06-29T08:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409790428694552578

@LtTimMcMillan Okay, ignore the map. How do we show that UFOs reporting/interest is the same in the USA as in other countries.

OVNI is well established term, no?

What accounts for these differences? Spikes?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5CUzbgUYAQYOWe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5CUzbgUYAQYOWe.jpg
2021-06-29T08:23:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409789674650890241
@lingtax And how do we compare the worldwide frequency?

https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?q=UFO,OVNI,%E4%B8%8D%E6%98%8E%E9%A3%9E%E8%A1%8C%E7%89%A9 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5CUOeNUYAE-psM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5CUOeNUYAE-psM.jpg
2021-06-29T08:19:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409788572970881024
@Hank57142977 There are sightings everywhere. Obviously.

Worldwide UFO culture is largely US-based.

2021-06-29T08:17:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409788148964421633
@K1975Daniel @LtTimMcMillan Are there studies where we can compare countries?

Like what's the ACTUAL per-capita UFO sightings in US vs. UK vs. France vs. Germany vs. Russia vs. Japan?

2021-06-29T08:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409785855615520769
@LtTimMcMillan I know what it's showing. It STILL is showing something that's very much culture-bound. UFOs are seen worldwide, obviously. But it's still very US-centric (with the UK second).

Do you have evidence otherwise? Like stats for France, or Japan?

I've not muted you.

2021-06-29T05:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409747940520783873

@UFOSully I don't think they are liars. Just occasionally wrong.

2021-06-29T04:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409737473232576515

The classified UAP report is the same as the public UAP report, just with some classified data.

ODNI: "The unclassified preliminary assessment and classified annex are substantively consistent and the key conclusions are the same in both."

https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/details-scant-about-classified-uap-report-heres-what-odni-is-saying

---

2021-06-29T04:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409733529550737414

@ThorntonVallery Where do those international reports go?

2021-06-29T04:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409731487834902532

Kinda looks like aliens are Democrats!

2021-06-29T04:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409731233798443010

https://vizthis.wordpress.com/2017/02/21/i-want-to-believe-ufo-sightings-around-the-world/

2021-06-29T04:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409730499828875266

@MikeyLloyd4 "Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories:"

2021-06-29T04:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409730203996147713

What might explain this large variation in UFO sightings per capita across states?

Stats from NUFORC, Analysis by Sam Monfort.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Bdmw3VoA40aWE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E5Bdmw3VoA40aWE.jpg
2021-06-29T04:23:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409729275633147907
@benjimaan22 The data is from NUFORC.

2021-06-29T04:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409724263582507034
@apicasefiles @TheUFOTrail As the report noted, collection bias is an issue. If you want signal, you need to figure out what is noise.

2021-06-29T04:00:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409723384213118988
@Girafin_ovni Iâ□□m actually in favor of more reporting and effective data processing. Open data ideally.

2021-06-29T03:57:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409722664541777923
@apicasefiles @TheUFOTrail Go on then.

2021-06-29T03:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409722540956688397
@ThorntonVallery Okay, letâ□□s find a list of countries sorted by UFO sightings per capita. Whatâ□□s the real stats here?

2021-06-29T03:51:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409721068848259080
@asorto1 So, if all the 243 were resolved, based on the report, how many do you think would

be clutter, approximately?

2021-06-29T03:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409717891088359443

This is what is called a â□□culture-boundâ□□ phenomenon.

https://twitter.com/rpancost/status/1409256757424275458

2021-06-29T03:20:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409713429263179777

RT @Sci_Phile: ITâ□□S ALMOST LIKE THEREâ□□S AN ALTERNATIVE EXPLANATION THAT MAKES MORSE SENSE

2021-06-28T21:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409618496586018817

@alpha_check @IAmRobAherne @MrMosis I donâ□□t have a narrative. Thereâ□□s too many unknowns.

2021-06-28T20:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409612311237586947

@TrevyLimited @Fiddaman @bythedshore @Oswald1160 @GamingHabit101 @findjamski @media_skyhigh Why do their accounts vary so much (and we really only have like 2.1 accounts)

2021-06-28T20:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409611905807785985

@bythedshore @TrevyLimited @Oswald1160 @GamingHabit101 @Fiddaman @findjamski @media_skyhigh Slaight gave an interview to SCU, but itâ□□s secret.

Some small snippets out there, like he saw a heat haze around the object that nobody else noticed.

2021-06-28T20:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409611068435955715

@TrevyLimited @bythedshore @Oswald1160 @GamingHabit101 @Fiddaman @findjamski @media_skyhigh They had different perceptions.

2021-06-28T20:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409610878345908226

@Fiddaman @TrevyLimited @bythedshore @Oswald1160 @GamingHabit101 @findjamski @media_skyhigh Because maybe it just looked strange.

2021-06-28T20:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409610695524732930

@EireScientist @jettbriggs How did you get that from my last tweet?

2021-06-28T20:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409610482009346052

@EireScientist @GeorgeP25432685 Any unidentified object is worth investigating. Especially a range fouler.

2021-06-28T20:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409605878743846915

@TrevyLimited @bythedshore @Oswald1160 @GamingHabit101 @Fiddaman @findjamski @media_skyhigh Four people saw something they thought was strange.

2021-06-28T20:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409605484823330821

@MajesticAgent @bythedshore The report identified clutter as the primary concern for safety of flight.

2021-06-28T18:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409583945537101846

@528vibes Nope.

2021-06-28T18:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409583615164358692

Got up at 5AM for a brief discussion on the UAP report on WGN9 in Chicago.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PBRtoEKAsl0

2021-06-28T17:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409570791096655872

@thepostmaterial @TruthBe05900844 The report clearly states the primary safety concern is clutter.

"UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security. Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain."

2021-06-28T17:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409570513697918978

@molesharding I did not write the report.

"UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security. Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain."

2021-06-28T17:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409569879695368194

@lighthunter72 @aka__V @sethdavidtweets "In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-06-28T17:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409569537410797568

@IAmRobAherne @MrMosis @alpha_check That's not my narrative.

2021-06-28T16:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409553151141629953
@ShriekinSamurai @scotch2gmail I think â□□or something elseâ□□ is an obvious default category in a list. Goes without saying.

2021-06-28T16:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409552105803632640
@ShriekinSamurai @scotch2gmail Iâ□□m 99.99% confident that â□□manyâ□□ is an appropriate word here. Only 18/144 showed unusual flight characteristics. Very few showed acceleration. Clutter actually exists.

2021-06-28T16:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409543223429632018
@BostonsBuddha @bythedshore @jettbriggs So why no Manhatten project.

Why a zero budget report?

If real, the appropriate response would be in the hundreds of billions of dollars range, if not more.

2021-06-28T16:03:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409542942360936455
@lighthunter72 probably many are birds, balloons, drones, plastic bags, weather, clutter, spoofing, collection biases, observer misperception, and sensor anomalies.

2021-06-28T16:02:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409542722700996610
@thepostmaterial I'm not. That's the gist of the report.

2021-06-28T15:36:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409536189950922752
@bythedshore @BostonsBuddha @jettbriggs Airspace clutter and atmospheric phenomenon are also very obvious things that exist.

Clutter is also small objects, hard to identify. Pilot sees something, training aborted. Report. UAP++. Repeat.

2021-06-28T15:33:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409535521840242690
@RuanLotter @GeorgeP25432685 Sure, indistinguishable from magic. Anything goes!

I can't argue against "it's magic!"

2021-06-28T15:31:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409534961875492867
@BostonsBuddha @Captainswoop1 Reading between the lines allows the reader to read whatever they feel is right. You'll get a wide variety of different things.

I'm going with reading the words in the report for now.

2021-06-28T15:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409534173899984900

@Jay09784691 The report quite clearly indicates that "birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags" and weather are categories many cases will fall in, and there's no firm evidence for the last three categories.

2021-06-28T15:25:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409533506103889923

@stacula215 They don't describe any of the cases.

2021-06-28T15:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409533385509261314

@BostonsBuddha @jettbriggs A very reasonable and well-founded assumption. Especially if you read the list.

2021-06-28T15:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409532809367023616

@ShriekinSamurai One being a balloon does not mean that zero are "Airbourne clutter: ... birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags"

In fact, if you want to use that single case as a data point, it makes that category MORE likely.

2021-06-28T15:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409531996796194822

@GeorgeP25432685 How hard is it compared to flying here from Proxima Centauri?

2021-06-28T15:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409531647049928704

@UAPGRIGtwitt Birds was literally the first thing the report mentioned in the breakdown of the five categories.

It's impossible to rule out ET, and so pointing that out is redundant and misleading.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4-pZimUYAUqdJ4.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4-pZimUYAUqdJ4.jpg

2021-06-28T15:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409531206962532355

@jettbriggs Air clutter is the #1 of the five in "Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories"

It even has sub-categories.

2021-06-28T15:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409529774452609033

@UAPGRIGtwitt IR Glare comes from a very hot heat source, like a jet engine. Jet engines are attached to planes. Examples:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409529698976112650/pu/img/2OiinXKE2SUX5Cm_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409529698976112650/pu/img/2OiinXKE2SUX5Cm_.jpg
2021-06-28T14:59:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409526984846110728
UAP Report: No mention of aliens. Lacks data to indicate advanced technology. Many are birds, balloons, drones, plastic bags, weather, clutter, spoofing, collection biases, observer misperception, and sensor anomalies. More analysis needed.

Chris Mellon: Probably aliens. https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1408553070800392194

2021-06-28T08:51:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409434327083982854
@AnnaWhitty2 @LawrenceMail I write about this a couple of weeks ago. They used to send them to Bigelow, so AATIP should have had them. But it's all a bit odd. Starting with NIDS! https://www.metabunk.org/threads/faa-guidelines-for-reporting-ufos-from-nids-to-baass-bigelow-and-nuforc.11827/

2021-06-28T05:55:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409389880233238531
@IanHeller @gfodor @PostDisclosure "a statement on the unclassified report"

So you think that is ALSO a statement on some secret data?

2021-06-28T05:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409389544856637440
@jettbriggs It's a pointless exercise. One that's really complicated, well lit, 100MP, with hundreds of aliens in it. etc.

It's not really relevant. It's possible to fake photos.

2021-06-28T05:51:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409388867229077505

@gfodor @IanHeller @PostDisclosure Unless someone actually says they are basing their statements on hidden data, or a full report, then I'm going to default to the actual public report as the source of their comments.

2021-06-28T05:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409388528333574144

@jettbriggs Well, again, it depends on the photo. You could declare it "if a fake, then an amazing fake", but not "100% genuine".

2021-06-28T05:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409388153291476999

@themoodnetwork Scanned negatives on a flatbed scanner, sure. But even there, the noise is not the perfect pixel noise we see here. it just looked way too much like Photoshop noise.

2021-06-28T05:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409387357191639040

@IanHeller @gfodor @PostDisclosure I said: "There was plenty of cold water. Rubio for one."

Rubio is just missing the words "appeared t have" there. It's a super common issue.

2021-06-28T05:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409386836510662656

@jettbriggs What's the point of this line of questions? It seems rhetorical.

2021-06-28T05:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409386595082375170

@RayJack62496046 @jettbriggs Depends on the photo.

2021-06-28T05:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409386519727513609

@ThorntonVallery 3D rendered images are unnaturally smooth. Adding noise and blur makes them look more natural. It can also be an attempt to simulate film grain or high-ISO digital noise.

2021-06-28T05:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409384888969486339

@jettbriggs It's impossible to declare a single digital photo "authentic" - unless it's crytographically signed in-camera. But even then there are physical fakes and compromised keys.

Proving fake can be done. Proving genuine with zero context is hard.

2021-06-28T05:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409384083235368961

@IanHeller @gfodor @PostDisclosure It's cold water on the narrative that there's actual solid evidence of advanced technology.

Advanced technology would be a serious national security concern.

2021-06-28T05:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409382870376849412
@jettbriggs How would its genuineness manifest, in your hypothetical example?

2021-06-28T05:25:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409382542931726338
@IanHeller @gfodor @PostDisclosure In the quote you posted he's saying we don't have definitive evidence of a threat.

Lots of other people say we do.

2021-06-28T05:23:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409381994245464065
@MiddleOfMayhem @Spacecowboy781
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1409381162817003522

2021-06-28T05:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409381790901424128
Left = Photoshop noise, jpg 8.
Right = UFO Photo.

Pixel alignments of noise like that would not occur on scanned film (or on bright daylight digital photos) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E48g5bIVkAMeAp1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E48g5bIVkAMeAp1.jpg
2021-06-28T05:20:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409381162817003522
I think these are fake because they appear to be look like scans of film, but have pixel-aligned "Add Noise" fake grain.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/diving-triangle-ufo-photos-from-reddit-fake-noise.11861/
https://twitter.com/PredatoryPanic/status/1409359869921005570

2021-06-28T04:40:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409371127709794304
@IanHeller @PostDisclosure Yeah, that one.

He's basically saying there's no real evidence right now. Like the report says.

"We currently lack data to indicate any UAP are part of a foreign collection program or indicative of a major technological advancement by a potential adversary."

2021-06-28T04:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409368751439433731

@PostDisclosure There was plenty of cold water. Rubio for one.

2021-06-28T00:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409314863826935809

@ThorntonVallery @LuisCay99862776 @LtTimMcMillan I's more that they "could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis." and "We currently lack data to indicate any UAP are part of a foreign collection program or indicative of a major technological advancement"

2021-06-28T00:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409313875636342792

@Bhima_Jenkins @Liberty4Life23 @LtTimMcMillan Let's not forget that those 18 "could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-06-28T00:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409312922166124544

@moozoo_wizard Here's something similar, but with atmospheric distortion. Notice you can't see the jet at first.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409312802372677635/pu/img/8eUW21B9do0Xx3BF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1409312802372677635/pu/img/8eUW21B9do0Xx3BF.jpg

2021-06-28T00:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409306378431188993
@ticojon Simultaneous data.

2021-06-28T00:15:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409304456739491842
@_Desmoden @edwardcurrent @conorowens94 @BrentlyLee @thaxter @media_skyhigh @Ibn_e_Channa @PoliticalGeeker @alpha_check @ForeySR @GonnaHuman @TrevyLimited Read it again.

2021-06-28T00:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409301324609380353
@ticojon I await such corroboration.

2021-06-27T22:58:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409285039578238979
The common "spinning top" shape here is glare. The vertical and horizontal spikes (and other smaller spikes at other angles) are diffraction spikes.
https://twitter.com/MaxEMcCabe/status/1409284233005240320

2021-06-27T22:55:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409284246036901889
@JLuvin Anything "with these alleged capabilities" would be surprising. However "We currently lack data to indicate any UAP are part of a foreign collection program or indicative of a major technological advancement by a potential adversary."

2021-06-27T22:53:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409283756754493441
@PotatoRamirez That's what the report says.

"AVAILABLE REPORTING LARGELY INCONCLUSIVE
Limited Data Leaves Most UAP Unexplained
...
We currently lack data to indicate any UAP are part of a foreign collection program or indicative of a major technological advancement by a potential adversary."

2021-06-27T20:01:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409240602403692545
@SilvaRecord @Rahzsah @UObuff It's timeline clutter. It's just going to get you muted. So if you care about me seeing your tweets in the future, then stop. Entirely your call.

2021-06-27T19:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409239306170863616
@RGibsonstud @forabettertomm1 Why would "visiting aliens" ever be in equal consideration with "sensor error"?

2021-06-27T19:11:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409227837479915522
@MykullG @bradjohansson21 @LtTimMcMillan @Dogbert34664346 They are all unidentified.

The report says that IF RESOLVED they would go into one of five categories. The first two are airborne clutter and atmospheric phenomenon.

Only a small number of cases exhibit possible interesting behavior.

2021-06-27T19:07:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409226876929777672
"Ho-hum nothing to see here" and "This is this is an extraordinary story" are not the only options in interpreting the UAP report.

There are real, important issues, like airspace clutter, problems of identification, and foreign spy platforms. None of them are hugely surprising.
https://twitter.com/Vmotion14/status/1409057315735621633

2021-06-27T19:04:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409226100178231296
@matrix2004 @UAPmike
https://www.christophermellon.net/post/don-t-dismiss-the-alien-hypothesis

---

2021-06-27T18:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409211795282153474
@hiddenhandsz I think they will probably fall into one of five categories, mostly airspace clutter, atmospheric effects, maybe spy drones, plus some sensor errors. Like the report says.

2021-06-27T17:53:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409208361589960706
I investigate and debunk claims made about what the videos show. I.e. the data in the videos.

If someone says that the Gimbal video shows a mysterious glowing aura, and it's actually a common artifact of thermal cameras. Then that's debunkable.
https://twitter.com/LtTimMcMillan/status/1409203873290633228

2021-06-27T17:11:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409197638428225538
@Fiddaman The report gives zero detail of the nature of the analysis carried out on any case.

2021-06-27T17:10:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409197423306575875
@BoyFrimSpace @flydnb "some people"

2021-06-27T17:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1409197365194465285
UAPs existing the in the low information zone - too far away, too small, too dark, low resolution camera, blurred, etc.

143 unresolved UAP reports are just that.

143 cases with insufficient data says only that there's insufficient data in those cases.

2021-06-27T17:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409195295368376320

@flydnb If you're saying it's full of lies, then what's everyone getting excited about?

2021-06-27T17:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409194907193856002

@CuriousAnthrop1 @matrix2004 I've read it very closely, several times.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y6YE8E_9toU

2021-06-27T16:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409193835616378883

@Girafin_ovni And yet the report is quite clear:
"Additional rigorous analysis are necessary by multiple teams or groups of technical experts to determine the nature and validity of these data. We are conducting further analysis to determine if breakthrough technologies were demonstrated."

2021-06-27T16:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409191321848279045

People like Elizondo and Mellon say we KNOW that there's advanced technologyÂ craft, possibly aliens, flying around. The report says that is not true. We don't know.

2021-06-27T16:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409190638306820099

Gideon Lewis-Kraus misunderstands me. I'm not saying the possibilities of sensor or observer error in the handful of "unusual" reports invalidates the ET hypothesis. I'm saying it invalidates the claims of ET fans who say we have unambiguous data showing unusual flights.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E45y0ZUVkAE0v_-.jpg

The fact that there are eighteen cases in recent memory that apparently require unspecified “scientific advances” to comprehend seems to suggest that “Other” might not, after all, be a negligible bin. On the other hand, the report does note upfront that “these observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception.” The debunker Mick West, who has offered a range of mundane explanations for what pass as purported U.F.O.s, tweeted that this line was a “key part of the report,” and that it was intended to invalidate “the claims of the more enthusiastic promoters of the ETH,” or the extraterrestrial hypothesis. Believers reminded him, often politely, that “could be” did not mean “were,” and that one would be hard-pressed to read such boilerplate disclaimers as a dispositive statement about anything. The report, in its caginess, lent itself to the kind of motivated reasoning that propels both sides of this long-standing, occasionally rancorous, and invariably delightful debate. The mystery remained. Further study was needed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E45y0ZUVkAE0v_-.jpg

2021-06-27T16:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409179934820474886

@DaveNaylor18 Big enough to just about hold 144 Tic-Tacs. But only five fingers to count them on.

2021-06-27T15:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409178475550810126

How many UAP is "a handful of UAP?"

2021-06-27T15:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409172519114854408

@TrevyLimited @bythedshore @GamingHabit101 @Oswald1160 @Fiddaman @findjamski @media_skyhigh Unfortunately not all at the same time, or demonstrably the same thing.

2021-06-27T15:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409171292675198976

@FMSNW45 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @PostDisclosure That's really the bottom line, as the report says:

"AVAILABLE REPORTING LARGELY INCONCLUSIVE
Limited Data Leaves Most UAP Unexplained..."

2021-06-27T15:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409170472097304578

@issaufobro @YMaquetas @TruthofTerAvaya @Spacecowboy781 Did description of Aguadilla is wrong, even from the "it's a UFO" interpretation. 3x?

2021-06-27T15:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409170010119962630

@KevinJ4m35 @_NTME9_ @Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein I'm not sure what use of the word "debunked" you are referring to. Can you perhaps quote where I said it?

2021-06-27T15:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409169615284948992

@JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @PostDisclosure The report says pilot error is a factor in UAP cases. But neither I nor the report says that it accounts for a majority of cases.

UAPs simply lack data.

2021-06-27T15:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1409169165282267137

@DavidJayB @Chrisja04905928 The edits in my talk are just either removing pauses or me mispronouncing things. That edit was just me trying to remember the best term for RF sensing. Possible ES (Electronic warfare Support)

2021-06-26T20:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408882772769263618

@sethdavidtweets @bradjohansson21 Fravor is demonstrably wrong in some points. Like the gimbal rotation.

2021-06-26T19:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408876896696164352
@findjamski @bythedshore @media_skyhigh @GamingHabit101 I caught a tree falling on camera once. Itâ□□s not that hard.

2021-06-26T19:54:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408876421078913025
@DYLANmmc @rmf719630 @Duh_Natural @MugenItadori It not actually doing that seems WAY more logical.

Consider the evidence.

2021-06-26T19:50:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408875388781023237
@sethdavidtweets @bradjohansson21 Appears.

2021-06-26T19:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408862744946745348
@528vibes I have an explanation for aspects of them (rotation, speed, sudden moves, triangle shape). None were definitively identified.

2021-06-26T17:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408840929109102596
@lightsout0021 @Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein Thatâ□□s not how it works. Even boring data is classified.

2021-06-26T17:32:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408840684786774019
@_NTME9_ @Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein Well, the stars sure aligned in the â□□pyramidâ□□ case.

Seriously though, thereâ□□s a variety of different explanations suggested by the report.

Not by me. By the report.

2021-06-26T17:29:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408839844860612608
@asorto1 They DO appear to do that. This is not in dispute. But why do they APPEAR to do that? The report offers several possibilities

---

2022-08-09T21:41:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557119958621949952
@w96177388 A FLIR ONE, GEN 2

2022-08-09T21:13:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557112941945573376
@King_Milkfart @ondohmusic @mhmanley The actual question was your claim that:

"to phrase it as though what was said was "i am not disproving your hypothesis" is asoundingly

intellectually dishonest"

We covered that, and you started on other things, so I left after your last non-sequitur.

2022-08-09T21:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557111753032445952

@WJProvenzano @OSIRIS_UAP It would certainly help, however since nobody has that, we have to look at the basic physics and biology here. You can't use unrelated examples to invalidate it.

2022-08-09T18:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557071578394857472

RT @WillOremus: the sudden terror of realizing law enforcement can go after rich white people too https://twitter.com/jsrailton/status/1557034425061412865

2022-08-09T18:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557070414060273664

@w96177388 Maybe 20 hours or so. Does that change physics?

2022-08-09T18:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1557070091027591168

@ToweringCrane @AtticusFinch_JD When that glove illustrates the mistake Knuth made, then yes. It should be more believable.

Here's another one. Is the glove hot or cold?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557070062174871557/pu/img/7C7D3a5HU1OGV2Xy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1557070062174871557/pu/img/7C7D3a5HU1OGV2Xy.jpg
2022-08-09T17:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557063022945767427
@mvassdraget @OSIRIS_UAP Virginia beach, Jan. Sea temp 45Â°F, sea level air temp 50Â°F, lapse rather 3.5Â°/1000ft. Air temp at 13,000 feet = 5Â°, well-insulated bird, outer surface warmed above ambient by 20Â° gives surface temperature of 25Â°F
45Â°F > 20Â°F, so bird surface appears (and is) cold.

2022-08-09T17:51:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557062049506533378
@OSIRIS_UAP @CTFlyMonkey Yet Knuth eliminates balloons because there's a difference.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZvLrHFVsAA46yY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZvLrHFVsAA46yY.jpg
2022-08-09T17:45:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557060391942836224
@CTFlyMonkey @OSIRIS_UAP You don't need 100% insulation efficiency to have the outer surface at a relatively cold temperature.

2022-08-09T17:13:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557052415601307655
@OSIRIS_UAP I think he's wrong, how about that? At the very least he's objectively wrong about the balloon being the same color as it's surroundings.

You also want to use a bird that actually flies in cold weather. Osprey's are not representative of all birds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZvC2HqUsAEIjqw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZvC2HqUsAEIjqw.jpg
2022-08-09T17:00:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1557049271219720192
@OSIRIS_UAP This isn't a good counterexample. You need a gliding bird in cold air (it's at 13,000 feet) against the ocean.

And again, I think it's a balloon. I just think the "bird would show up hot" argument is wrong.

2022-08-09T05:45:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556879312233455616
@the_cholla Blender can import various formats. I'm sure I can get one, just thought you'd like

to suggest a shape.

2022-08-09T05:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556877979770183680

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist @Numenor33 Common sense tells me a teleporting craft is less likely than glitchy radar and inaccurate memories.

2022-08-09T04:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556865919053246465

@dannyn424 Maybe because it (Gimbal) has probably got a jet engine?

GoFast is the probable balloon (or maybe a bird).

2022-08-09T04:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556859958674673665

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist @Numenor33 No doubt??

2022-08-09T04:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556858279795429376

@WilliamWWarwick Iâ□□m not moving anything. Thatâ□□s where IB6839 was, matching the video perfectly.

2022-08-09T04:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556857986319953920

@WilliamWWarwick Why, when they thought it was 30 miles?

If they checked it further out, why did they not identify it as IB 6830, which was exactly there?

2022-08-09T04:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556857534534656001

@WilliamWWarwick Why? How, quantativly, does it differ from 30, or 15, or 120?

Can you not see the moon setting in MWIR?

2022-08-09T04:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556855476775571458

@dannyn424 You are mistaken.

2022-08-09T03:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556851758281793541

@WilliamWWarwick Yes, but they misjudged the distance.

2022-08-09T03:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556851494900473857

@WilliamWWarwick Check the coastline in the video.

2022-08-09T03:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556851284480626688

@WilliamWWarwick ADS-B uses GPS. Helicopter uses GPS.

ATC said there was nothing 30 miles away. IB6830 was 60 miles away, on ATC radar.

2022-08-09T03:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556847714469437441

@the_cholla Good idea! Which model? Blender compatible.

2022-08-09T03:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556844459341467649

@Bags_001 @its_only_Gaz @marcbel19406167 @caitgaist The jet in Gimbal could be flying away from the camera,basically the first bit of this example. Not the sideways bit.

2022-08-09T03:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556843831408017408

@thieme It depends on the ambient air temperature (70F), which is the temperature the exterior of the glove arrives at. Plus the temperature of the water (80F)

2022-08-09T03:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556842978663997442

@WilliamWWarwick Check the coastline.

2022-08-09T00:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556804416748957696

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist @Numenor33 All videos have been seen by naked eyes. That's not really the point.

The objects were not.

2022-08-09T00:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556799317750337537

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist @Numenor33 FLIR1 is the Tic Tac video.

2022-08-09T00:21:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556797850482225152

RT @marceelias: The media is missing the really, really big reason why the raid today is a potential blockbuster in American politics.:backhand_index_pointing_down: httâ¦

2022-08-09T00:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556797358318317569

@MizzMisanthrope @Palladiotypist @JKWi60572982 @GalileoProject1 I investigate UFOs. Obviously, they represent something real. Probably a bunch of things, some of them complicated.

2022-08-09T00:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556796082000039936

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist @Numenor33 FLIR1, GoFast, Gimbal.

2022-08-08T22:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556763198505623552

Parallax illusions can be pretty compelling.

https://twitter.com/DannyDeraney/status/1556669950550413312

2022-08-08T20:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556745894682173440

@its_only_Gaz @marcbel19406167 @caitgaist In the Gimbal video, if it's a distant plane, then it's flying away. So you'd see glare.

2022-08-08T20:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556735722723639296

@its_only_Gaz @marcbel19406167 @caitgaist More throttle would only make the glare bigger.

2022-08-08T19:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556729804833099777

@kimball_23 @TomBrady I'm simply suggesting that pilots sometimes make mistakes, or that they don't have sufficient information.

2022-08-08T19:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556729394147704832

@marcbel19406167 @caitgaist If a plane is facing away from you then you don't see an exhaust plume, just a glare. Example.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556729344327749632/pu/img/tQH1OOPn5ck3p6W5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556729344327749632/pu/img/tQH1OOPn5ck3p6W5.jpg

2022-08-08T18:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556713920164818944

@SingletrackAdam @issaufobro Any FLIR video where you are trying to judge if something is hot or cold. Prompted by GoFast, but informed by IB6830.

2022-08-08T16:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556678822887444480

@NaveenS45059931 That's camera movement.

2022-08-08T16:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556678065685557248

In FLIR video, the temperature shown is relative. So a gloved hand (or other insulated warm body, like a bird) will look cold again a warmer water surface, but , at the same temperature, hot against the sky.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556677400292773888/pu/img/C-LOWhkab65M9l4F.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556677400292773888/pu/img/C-LOWhkab65M9l4F.jpg

2022-08-08T16:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556677244616986624

@NaveenS45059931 It's probably a balloon. It's not moving, the plane is moving.

2022-08-08T16:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556677113209466880

@NaveenS45059931 Timestamp? What do you think it is doing?

2022-08-08T16:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556677006753873921

@AlecStewart28 @jacques_oneill @TheMadaLORIAN Of course, who doesn't?

There's just a disappointing lack of evidence for such a thing.

2022-08-08T16:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556672605557387271

@BrianSzy_PF @OMApproach That does not describe a conspiracy that I think is true.

2022-08-08T16:02:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556672249129619456

@vanessaawolf @dannyincolor @montanacasey Why don't you try an experiment in duplicating it? Take a long fine hair, and move it in front of the camera at night, moving it in and away from a few feet to touching the lens.

2022-08-08T15:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556671343013244929

@NaveenS45059931 It resembles something like a balloon.

2022-08-08T15:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556669206657060864

@Patrick40628202 @andyH_uap In this aspect of this case, I get the science right. You are free to check it. It's not complicated. Knuth would probably agree if he actually gave it some thought.

2022-08-08T15:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556668648797773824

@NaveenS45059931 They see the same thing we see.
The trainee saw it with IR (with which he was newly training, listen to the full video audio - so it may have been his first time seeing birds with it).
The instructor did not see anything.

2022-08-08T15:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556668122366504960

@fring2029 @ymhbcndwy2 @TheMadaLORIAN Well, good luck with that. Maybe if you can get some serious people interested in it then I'll revisit it. But I only have so many hours in a day.

2022-08-08T15:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556667702277578752

@NaveenS45059931 Not if they were close to the camera, about 200-300 feet gets you bird speed.

2022-08-08T15:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556666267792134144

@Patrick40628202 @andyH_uap How would that change the facts? Assume I have a

high-school education, then explain why I'm wrong, and Knuth is correct.

It's not complicated.

2022-08-08T15:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556665403320897537

@NaveenS45059931 If you think so, then do a side-by-side comparison to demonstrate this.

2022-08-08T15:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556665307753693187

@NaveenS45059931 I've seen it. In what way don't they look like birds? There's no additional info in that article about that video.

2022-08-08T15:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556664833017126912

@Patrick40628202 @andyH_uap Address the science. Is Knuth right or wrong?

Would a weather balloon at 13,000 feet, viewed from above, appear hotter than the ocean surface., or colder? Show your math.

2022-08-08T15:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556664362403651584

@ymhbcndwy2 @TheMadaLORIAN I think that the Turkey UFO videos are hoaxes. Also, rather boring UFOs that don't appear to do anything other than hang some unknown distance in front of the camera. I don't find it worth investigating.

2022-08-08T15:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556663821585895429

@andyH_uap The only point he's making in that clip is that HE THINKS that the GoFast object is "strangely cold" and that this proves that it is not a balloon or a bird.

You can't spin that into something else. He's a highly trained scientist who got the science wrong.

2022-08-08T15:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556662913267482625

@joe_forbrich @TheMadaLORIAN There are people who have positions that seem inherently ridiculous - like werewolf portals or insisting the green triangles are giant flying pyramids. It's hard to not come across as making fun of them when discussing those positions. It's also not the topic here.

2022-08-08T15:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556660027779190784

@jacques_oneill @TheMadaLORIAN Of course. Who doesn't?

2022-08-08T11:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556602925958324227

@PThegermandude @marcbel19406167 @TheMadaLORIAN If I saw something I could not

explain, I'd write about it.

2022-08-08T10:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556592758638276608

@CloudJimi @TheMadaLORIAN I was simply asking if you were referring to this:

https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1513586107249659905

2022-08-08T10:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556590934501888001

@marcbel19406167 @TheMadaLORIAN No, I wouldn't.

2022-08-08T10:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556590770907361280

@BfOlivier Depends on the type of balloon.

Moot point, though, as there was no sun at the time of GoFast, according to Graves.

2022-08-08T10:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556590180550594561

@kimball_23 @TomBrady Has Tom Brady never made a mistake?

2022-08-08T10:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556590055648399361

@TheMadaLORIAN What does "an object that may come from the unknown" mean?

I've no problem saying an object is unidentified. It happens all the time.

2022-08-08T09:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556575568098799617

@TheMadaLORIAN That's a common claim, but it's false.

2022-08-08T09:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556575237805785088

@NaveenS45059931 Hard to say without more data. Birds, lanterns, drones, balloons, aliens, other. There's a variety of options. They don't do anything interesting.

2022-08-08T09:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556572839821512705

@WilliamWWarwick @HattonDuane No, none of that. ATC were fully aware of IB6830, and obviously it had the required transponders because it was IDed on radar AND we have the ADS-B data â□□ which is how we know it's a perfect match for the object in the video. Nobody says it's shining through thick clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZoOtLPVQAAt2Jo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZoOtLPVQAAt2Jo.jpg

2022-08-08T09:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556570418181337088

@NaveenS45059931 No, it does not. It looks like objects moving in fairly straight lines, at a constant altitude, at an unknown distance, and not dropping down to sea level.

2022-08-08T09:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556569607648858112

@Patrick40628202 @wookiepastimes Why on earth would I want to travel to Europe for two weeks just to sit alone in a house?

Seriously, why do you think that would appeal to anyone?

2022-08-08T03:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556484688759255041

@Jiminhno @Lynda_Katerra @NASA Maybe a little sand slide, and a rolling rock.

2022-08-08T03:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556484354355785731

@HattonDuane @WilliamWWarwick It was exactly as IB6830 would look from that position.

2022-08-07T18:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556347660776325120

@MusicAndTruth @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ChrisSPITZER7 @528vibes The "artifact" appears on frame AFTER the black blob pokes its nose in (very slightly).

Watch the distortion of the clouds, there's a lot of motion compression going on.

2022-08-07T18:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556346717418639360

@AlxndrSmith http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZlBFJwUEAAgs88.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZlBFJwUEAAgs88.jpg

2022-08-07T18:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556344010049957889

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @MusicAndTruth @ChrisSPITZER7 @528vibes I think it's a fly plus motion compression

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556343959974096897/pu/img/UBU0ls0OMTq2KhwA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556343959974096897/pu/img/UBU0ls0OMTq2KhwA.jpg
2022-08-07T18:10:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556341924327346177
@ChrisSPITZER7 @Bringouttherum @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @528vibes @MusicAndTruth HD Clip: https://www.metabunk.org/f/tagesthemen%2022-15%20Uhr,%2004.08.2022%20clip.mp4

2022-08-07T18:08:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556341590318231553
@ChrisSPITZER7 @Bringouttherum @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @528vibes @MusicAndTruth Maybe falling ash and video compression? There's a similar on/off type thing at the start of this clip, on the left.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556341507015053312/pu/img/oppoyTKZuL3QMfte.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1556341507015053312/pu/img/oppoyTKZuL3QMfte.jpg
2022-08-07T17:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556337171040112641

@AlxndrSmith Yes. So they would appear colder than the ocean that is 13,000 feet below them.

2022-08-07T17:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556336913136553984

@RemoteViews @ShepardAmbellas It's this type of thing, prominence cavity. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-black-sphere-hovering-near-the-sun-coronal-prominence-cavity.469/

2022-08-07T17:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556336518259548162

@AlxndrSmith Even if it were 20Â° warmer it would still be colder than the ocean.

2022-08-07T17:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556335792888242177

@AlxndrSmith That's about measuring the removed skin of a bird in a rather artificial setting, squished against plexiglass, not super relevant.

2022-08-07T17:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556334948411273216

@AlxndrSmith @mrjeffknox I don't think they are all lying. I think most of them get the marks by accident.

I am studying it. I've read about 100 cases. I've done experiments, measured hairdryers, and even (slightly) burnt myself.

2022-08-07T17:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556334372281757697

@Linkchadlad @AlxndrSmith @markocheese At a distance, the dominant color/temperature is what you see. The gimbal object is only a few pixels. My dog looks like a blob at a distance, but up close you see hot details http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZk1wvDUcAUEHFR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZk1wvDUcAUEHFR.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZk1kY6VsAEcGgB.jpg
2022-08-07T17:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556330351475118080
@AlxndrSmith @Linkchadlad @markocheese 13,000 feet. I don't think it's a bird, I think it's a balloon. But a bird is not impossible.

2022-08-07T17:23:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556330168578297856
@AlxndrSmith The air at 13,000 feet is 70Â° cooler than the air at sea level. The outer feather of the bird would be similar in temperature to the air, which is how bird keep from dying.

Go out naked in the snow, you'll show up hot, but then die. Wear insulating clothes, show up cold.

2022-08-07T17:17:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556328756209324032
@Linkchadlad @AlxndrSmith @markocheese It's a P25 thermal camera. It is actually made by FLIR, but I suspect that's not what you are asking.

2022-08-07T17:14:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556328032620556288
@Linkchadlad @AlxndrSmith @markocheese Take penguins, for example. Evolved to exist in very cold conditions, their outer feathers are as cold as the air, sometimes colder. Yet their blood is warm, and they survive.
https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/epdf/10.1098/rsbl.2012.1192
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZkwFp_UUAAQR0P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZkv3wqVEAAmaap.jpg

Emperor penguin body surfaces cool below air temperature

D. J. McCafferty[1], C. Gilbert[2], A.-M. Thierry[3,4], J. Currie[5], Y. Le Maho[3,4] and A. Ancel[3,4]

[1]Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow, Graham Kerr Building, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
[2]Université Paris-Est, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire d'Alfort, UMR 7179, CNRS MNHN, 7 Avenue du Général de Gaulle, Maisons-Alfort 94704, France
[3]Université de Strasbourg, IPHC, 23 rue Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg, France
[4]CNRS, UMR 7178, F-67037 Strasbourg, France
[5]Scottish Energy Centre, Edinburgh Napier University, Merchiston Campus, Colinton Road, Edinburgh EH10 5DT, UK

Emperor penguins *Aptenodytes forsteri* are able to survive the harsh Antarctic climate because of specialized anatomical, physiological and behavioural adaptations for minimizing heat loss. Heat transfer theory predicts that metabolic heat loss in this species will mostly depend on radiative and convective cooling. To examine this, thermal imaging of emperor penguins was undertaken at the breeding colony of Pointe Géologie in Terre Adélie (66°40′ S 140° 01′ E), Antarctica in June 2008. During clear sky conditions, most outer surfaces of the body were colder than surrounding sub-zero air owing to radiative cooling. In these conditions, the feather surface will paradoxically gain heat by convection from surrounding air. However, owing to the low thermal conductivity of plumage any heat transfer to the skin surface will be negligible. Future thermal imaging studies are likely to yield further insights into the adaptations of this species to the Antarctic climate.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZkwFp_UUAAQR0P.jpg
2022-08-07T17:07:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556326198988288000
@WilliamWWarwick Could you perhaps single out one thing where you think I'm mistaken, quote what I said, and explain why it is wrong? I'd be happy to address it.

You should also read the FAQ at the bottom of the post. Also, note the exact match with ADS-B data.

2022-08-07T17:04:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556325455942144000
@BrianSzy_PF That's because everything (even ice) shows up as warm against the sky. You need to get footage looking down, with the water as a background, and the birds at a high altitude.

2022-08-07T15:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556308585314787331
@OMApproach Why not both?

2022-08-07T15:54:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556307913479581696
@OffworldUfo @DavidJayB https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1556307815450284032

2022-08-07T15:54:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556307815450284032
Knuth is 100% wrong here.

Balloons in 10,000 ft, cold air look cold against the water.

Birds are insulated, and a bird that flies in cold air is going to have good insulation, so while its blood is hot, the outer surface of its feathers will be cold, or it will die.
https://twitter.com/OffworldUfo/status/1556299758804901888

2022-08-07T14:37:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556288327107563520
@AlxndrSmith @mrjeffknox What places are tough to touch with a hairdryer?

A brief contact with an 80Â°C hairdryer can make a mark, with mundane initial pain, that can last for days.

2022-08-07T14:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556285666555613187
RT @JasonColavito: An excellent piece on the homepage about the likely fraud behind Lue Elizondo's and Tom DeLonge's "metamaterials" contraâ□¦

2022-08-07T14:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1556280272034770945

@kimball_23 Which article? Link?

2022-08-07T11:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556239800545382400

@Jiminhno @dembeck_chet There's a very plausible theory:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

Plus, major mistakes in the SCU report.

2022-08-07T07:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556174575808831488

@ZaineMichael1 @lbf_tweet I know. I'm just saying that fixing it won't change the first observable.

The ballon clip is different.

2022-08-07T06:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556171512511508480

@ZaineMichael1 @lbf_tweet The mismatch is due to looking left. It does not change the observable. Just an improvement.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-derotated-video-using-clouds-as-the-horizon.12552/post-275892
(and next page)

2022-08-07T04:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556142586280042496

RT @areidross: the most incredible thing is that, when you peel off the layers of pretentious bullshit, it's all just Infowars/GlobalResearâ□¦

2022-08-07T03:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556117388310953985

@OffworldUfo Why would a balloon, or even the exterior of a bird, at 11,000 feet, not be cold?

2022-08-06T20:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1556019953949585408

@williamkay62 @TheBadPsych The curve of the horizon? It's not very big, bit it's detectable. I've seen the curve OVER the horizon, of course. Catalina from different altitudes is a classic. I took these photos. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZgX3wNUEAA0kj7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZgX3wNUEAA0kj7.jpg

2022-08-06T18:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555982561746120705

@SkimmerSea @joerogan I think that was more him being annoyed that I was commenting. Then he read my tweet without mocking.

2021-06-26T17:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408832658457587713

@Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein And yet you DONâ□□T actually have those things. Youâ□□ve got limited and ambiguous data with multiple possible explanations, including sensor error and pilot misperception. As the report states quite clearly, more than once.

2021-06-26T16:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408832025327378432

@jettbriggs @Atheist_Tomdog Clear photos with provenance, preferably from multiple locations.

2021-06-26T16:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408831717595516931

@Duh_Natural @PoliticalGeeker @steven_nikolic @thepostmaterial @_Desmoden â□□Appearâ□□ with limited data that could be sensor error or misperceptions.

2021-06-26T16:54:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408831054970949641

@DK71 I have not â□□called seagull or balloon on most of thisâ□□

2021-06-26T16:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408830865484877829

@pbarracl Well it 1,000,000 to 0 right now.

2021-06-26T16:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408829323797139463

@BurnheadMax http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E40qygmVcAUPL-X.jpg



- As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on reports that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of this new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.
- Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP were registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E40qygmVcAUPL-X.jpg

2021-06-26T16:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408828956850016257

@barefootfetish No, it does not.

2021-06-26T16:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408817813280624641

@Thunder46216520 What would you compare them against?

2021-06-26T15:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408816632852480000

@DK71 They were writing a report, not investigating. UAPs generally stay unidentified. That's why they are UAPs.

2021-06-26T15:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408816138507616256

@EricRWeinstein What did you get right?

This is not the biggest story. It's still the exact same story as before. Unidentified things, mostly airborne clutter and atmospheric phenomena. Possible foreign spy platforms. Some hints of advanced tech, but limited data, maybe in error. No aliens.

2021-06-26T15:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408814808976084995

@Ibn_e_Channa @_Desmoden @thepostmaterial I think you must be thinking of the official UAP report. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E40dkCWUYAEQJED.jpg

---



UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E40dkCWUYAEQJED.jpg

2021-06-26T12:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408757171408408576

@JLuvin What do you think they are?

2021-06-26T07:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408684836261285889

@barefootfetish They explicitly include drones (UAVs) in one of the five categories they say all the UAP incidents resolve to. Airborne clutter.

2021-06-26T06:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408679160814313480

@barefootfetish I'm sure it's something they are working on. Small flying things are hard to identify, especially visually.

https://www.jbsa.mil/News/News/Article/1611559/proliferation-of-drones-posing-risk-for-us-military-expert-says/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4yiFf3VUAc5hBr.jpg

---



NEWS | Aug. 24, 2018

Proliferation of drones posing risk for U.S. military, expert says

By David Vergun Army News Service

WASHINGTON – As of January 2018, over 1 million micro drones were registered with the Federal Aviation Administration, with about 878,000 of those registered to hobbyists, said Dr. Juanita Christensen.

They are proliferating in other nations as well, including areas in every combatant command where U.S. forces are stationed or operating, she added.

Christensen, executive director of the Aviation and Missile, Army Research, Development and Engineering Command, spoke at the IDGA-sponsored Counter-UAS Summit in Washington, D.C., Aug. 23.

The growth of drone ownership poses challenges, she said, such as identifying the unmanned aerial system, determining whether or not the unmanned aerial system is friend or foe, and, if foe, employing the right countermeasures.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4yiFf3VUAc5hBr.jpg
2021-06-26T06:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408678196254367745
@IanHeller @EricRWeinstein Saying: "In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-06-26T06:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408678024447348739
@IanHeller @EricRWeinstein The public report contradicts the flat claim that "UAPs have superior capabilities"

2021-06-26T06:42:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408677147980427266
@findjamski Me too.

2021-06-26T06:37:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408675843589623812
@barefootfetish UAVs are generally under intelligent control.

2021-06-26T06:35:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408675355573964801
@IanHeller Well, Carson's obviously giving an inaccurate assessment. Read the report.

2021-06-26T06:29:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408673690875613185
@D_Powell77 @SPACEdotcom I'm pretty sure they can explain the one pictured. Seeing as it's Mexican Oil Platforms.

https://www.skeptic.com/eskeptic/04-07-24/

2021-06-26T06:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408673010341478402

@202rhrhrh No. I don't think the report suggest any great concern. There's no evidence any specific cases are from a foreign power.

They didn't explicitly say "or aliens" because that should be obvious.

Unfortunately, it's not obvious to everyone.

2021-06-26T06:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408669089850007553

My initial assessment of the UAP report.

It's actually a quite good assessment of the situation. But there are no "aliens of the gaps" here.

UAPs: Largely inconclusive. Lack single explanation. Unusual observations could be sensor or observer errors.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y6YE8E_9toU

2021-06-26T05:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408664083730108420

@jettbriggs @BradBurton @JeremyCorbell They are UAPs because they are hard to identify.

We also don't know just what analysis they actually did.

2021-06-26T05:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408659953418575877

@jettbriggs It's hardly unexpected that it's not just the Navy. How would it make sense otherwise?

2021-06-26T05:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408659707384930304

@BradBurton @JeremyCorbell If I had to bet, I'd say Go Fast was a balloon. I don't actually have balloon at #1 for Omaha sphere. Seems like a heat source.

2021-06-26T05:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408659308561068040

@SPuppy33 I didn't specifically identify any of the videos. I just showed they were not exhibiting unusual flight characteristics and suggested some things (planes, balloons) they probably were.

2021-06-26T03:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408633945327947782

@jshkrueger @PostDisclosure There can be only one.

2021-06-26T03:44:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408632332962058245
@Bonapat3 I sound very clear.

2021-06-26T03:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408632266280947719
@halfcab123 @VX_GAS_ATTACK No. That was like ten years earlier.

2021-06-26T03:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408632147674492934
@PostDisclosure @steven_nikolic @EthicalSkeptic @KikPlenty They don't say they don't think it's a glitch - which was the specific criteria.

Allowing that something MIGHT not be a glitch, sensor anomaly, spoofing, or observer misperception, is hardly a win.

2021-06-26T03:35:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408629857181855748
@PostDisclosure @steven_nikolic @EthicalSkeptic @KikPlenty Let's have a live chat tomorrow?

2021-06-26T03:34:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408629659902775296
@PostDisclosure @steven_nikolic @EthicalSkeptic @KikPlenty 15:27
Mick: "They would have to clarify that they think it's not a glitch"
PDW: Absolutely

Gentlemen's agreement followed. Do you think they made it clear that they think the unusual flight characteristic is not a glitch? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4x1J1GUUAYpviK.jpg

---

Various forms of sensors that register UAP generally operate correctly and capture enough real data to allow initial assessments, but some UAP may be attributable to sensor anomalies.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4x1J1GUUAYpviK.jpg

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4x1BMSVgAgPYZw.jpg
2021-06-26T03:23:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408626934104281092
Report cannot identify 143 out of 144 UFOs.

Because they are UFOs.

The report wasn't an attempt to identify UFOs, it was a report on what was being recorded and the associated procedures and recommendations.

They are UFOs because they are hard to identify.

It's a filter.

2021-06-26T03:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408621550631145481

@WorldProFunTeam @red_pill_junkie @hollywoodufos They literally included that as an option on the first page.

2021-06-26T03:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408621178487382021

@EthicalSkeptic @PostDisclosure Nonsensically cherry picking. Intellectually dishonest.

2021-06-26T02:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408620408954265602

@IanHeller @PostDisclosure @realufobros â□□Thisâ□□

2021-06-26T02:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408617742039601155

@Jskye2028 @AnomalousUFO @realufobros @PostDisclosure They said neither of those things, and also said clutter was an option.

2021-06-26T01:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408600496604999683

@thewoody It's a study of UAPs, not IAPs.

2021-06-26T01:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408600272474021889

@_Desmoden @thepostmaterial They are UAPs. That's the point.

2021-06-26T01:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408595169788645381

The spinning of this UAP report is quite amazing.

Read it all. Especially the executive summary. Before you pull out quotes.

Also do the math. How many cases does your fave quote actually apply to?

2021-06-26T01:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408594213759946754

@Bhima_Jenkins @badconsigliere Pick one.

2021-06-26T00:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408580683392438274

@VX_GAS_ATTACK Because it looks and moves like a balloon.

2021-06-26T00:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408577258520936448

@seagullfever Hmm, I'm getting rather more mixed messages from #ufotwitter.

2021-06-26T00:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408577110667513862
@FettuccineSplit @RogueUAPTF @mintyhyperspace I am! I was wrong.

2021-06-26T00:04:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408576908690788353
@zcichy As it has been since time immemorial.

2021-06-25T23:54:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408574391512829953
@RogueUAPTF @mintyhyperspace Not sure if you are joking? Hannity is obsessed with them. He talks about them more than anyone.

2021-06-25T22:54:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408559295566782464
A key part of the report. Invalidating the claims of the more enthusiastic promoters of the ETH.
https://twitter.com/PMFight/status/1408533456141828097

2021-06-25T22:51:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408558580236750849
@retrewerter They sure do!

2021-06-25T22:49:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408558078014812163
@hollywoodufos @red_pill_junkie Did you not read the executive summary? They had those as a very specific set of possibilities.

2021-06-25T22:18:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408550092924014592
@supercadulous Stealth tech.

2021-06-25T22:16:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408549795052933121
@BostonsBuddha @badconsigliere TFA.

2021-06-25T20:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408530088656662530
@badconsigliere I'm surprised at how good an assessment it is. A lot of it is things I've been saying.

2021-06-25T20:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408529409594720257
I pleasantly surprised with the report. It seems like a generally accurate assessment of the situation. Sad they did not say WHICH case was a balloon. (I'm guessing Go Fast, but who knows)

https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Prelimary-Assessment-UAP-20210625.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4wZuzqVUAAtgsb.jpg

---

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

Other: Although most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis, we may require additional scientific knowledge to successfully collect on, analyze and characterize some of them. We would group such objects in this category pending scientific advances that allowed us to better understand them. The UAPTF intends to focus additional analysis on the small number of cases where a UAP appeared to display unusual flight characteristics or signature management.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4wZuzqVUAAtgsb.jpg

2021-06-25T19:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408514727982997507

@Ibn_e_Channa @Galacton2 @MusicAndTruth @julianbarnes I think the government is made of people.

2021-06-25T19:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408510339981877249

@Bonapat3 I'm not the one setting people up for a fall.

2021-06-25T19:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408509935453818880

A lot of #ufotwitter still seems to be under the impression that the UAP report will something both groundbreaking and vindicating.

I fear they are heading for a huge disappointment.

2021-06-25T19:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408508819601199104

@UAPGRIGtwitt @TheAdlerian That article does not mention $60 million, nor any UAPTF budget.

2021-06-25T19:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408502873369899012

@UAPGRIGtwitt @TheAdlerian Where does that $60 million figure come from? Last I heard the UAPTF had no assigned budget and was just 1-2 people.

2021-06-25T19:05:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408501607453519875
@Galacton2 @MusicAndTruth @julianbarnes No, I do not.

I think a few people are mistaken, and a media feedback loop has made it seem like a much bigger deal than it actually is.

2021-06-25T19:02:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408500883940253699
@UAPGRIGtwitt @TheAdlerian That last bit is where you really need some evidence.

If the evidence was conclusive then the response would be massive. Billions of dollars. Manhatten project response would be required.

It's very clearly not a big deal, just some puzzles.

2021-06-25T18:22:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408490874300866560
@mission_europa @TrevyLimited @Adam_Tkaczuk Oh, then "Yes!"

In my defense, you did say "as anything"

2021-06-25T18:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408490542741086312
@InvNightSchool @ehdyn @inf3rence Here's the Metabunk analysis. The conclusion being it was something very small (insect-sized) close to the camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-06-25T18:17:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408489510623604737
@mission_europa @TrevyLimited @Adam_Tkaczuk No. Visiting alien probes buzzing the Navy would be vastly more consequential than anything.

2021-06-25T18:15:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408489118003187716
@BrentlyLee @JLuvin @ReverendWashy @ChrisKMellon We shouldn't throw out eyewitness accounts. But we also should not treat them the same as hard data like videos or radar recordings. There are possibilities (and really, probabilities) of significant errors in both perception and recollection. Divergent accounts demonstrate this

---

2021-06-25T18:11:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408488033607766023
@LIFE_MMA_andNBA Whenever I'm wrong I have no problem admitting it. My first guess is sometimes way off.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1358818252411994122

2021-06-25T15:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408452216730525698
@matrix2004 And none contain cameras.

2021-06-25T15:45:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408451357208571907
Worldwide flights are much closer to back to normal. 17,000 now, 19,600 two years ago.

(Note, that's planes IN THE AIR at any one time. There's quite an amazing amount of planes up there, even during the pandemic)
https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/1408449299176960004

2021-06-25T15:40:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408450152147214344
@AlecStewart28 Here I'm literally talking to one of the humans involved. So how exactly am I ignoring her testimony?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwZU6RiTEAw

2021-06-25T15:26:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408446590432382979
@Adam_Tkaczuk @mission_europa Of course, by "Aliens" I mean "Aliens visiting Earth".

Aliens in Andromeda are irrelevant.

I think there are probably aliens in Andromeda.

2021-06-25T15:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408444613493358596
@mission_europa Those are all things that we know to exist.

2021-06-25T15:12:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408442971532390400
@mission_europa Occam: "Entities should not be multiplied without necessity"

"Aliens did it" is perhaps the biggest unnecessary multiplication of entities short of "god did it"

2021-06-25T15:10:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408442460489928704
@JLuvin @ReverendWashy @ChrisKMellon "Exact"? Kevin Day's story has changed between 28,000 feet, and 80,000 feet. 0.78 seconds for different things. Fravor says above the disturbance, ER says 5NM away. Underwood said below, video says above. 5m vs 10s. Lots of differences.

2021-06-25T15:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408441321723895809
This is an important point. Some of the UFO videos are difficult to explain, and actually require

what Joe Rogan called "mental gymnastics" to truly understand.

But an explanation being complicated is not an argument for aliens.

"Aliens" SOUNDS simpler, but it's really not.
https://twitter.com/julianbarnes/status/1408414408016027649

2021-06-25T14:49:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408437271544537090
RT @julianbarnes: .@MickWest argues there are mundane explanations for the Navy UAP videos. â□□If you just say, â□□Oh, itâ□□s aliens,â□□ thatâ□□s actâ□¦

2021-06-25T06:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408305225463525379
@kikilovell A plane leaving a contrail, flying away from you. About 40-50 miles away. Probably a fairly large 4-engine plane.

2021-06-25T05:19:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408293841078165506
If @ChrisKMellon's first sentence here was factual then I'd agree with the rest of his post. It would a big deal, requiring a massive response, justifying billions of dollars.
But it's not. The response is not there because the evidence is not there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4tDMgSVoAMyD0s.jpg

We can no longer deny that someone has mastered technology beyond our understanding and is using it to monitor U.S. military forces and probably much else. In some cases, such as the famous encounters of the Nimitz Carrier Strike Group, non-human origin is presently the theory that best fits all the facts. Until a better explanation emerges it is time to squarely confront the data and accord this revolutionary discovery the attention it deserves. Regardless of the source, we need a serious national effort to understand and emulate the capabilities we are observing.

Regardless of the origin of these craft, the stakes could not be higher. Ignorance is not a national security strategy, and we have never before been a nation deterred by fear of the unknown. The benefits that might result could include massive technological breakthroughs and revolutionary advances and insights that might otherwise take eons to acquire. The only responsible course of action is to proceed expeditiously with open minds, perhaps jointly with other nations to make faster progress and mitigate growing international tensions and rivalries.

How can we turn aside knowing what we now know? There is really no choice other than to press forward in pursuit of the truth with the hope and expectation that new frontiers of knowledge will be discovered for our nation and hopefully for the benefit of all mankind.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4tDMgSVoAMyD0s.jpg
2021-06-25T05:16:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408293070894891016
@WilliambcIII I'm not suggesting this specific drone is behind a specific case.
I'm showing it's possible for drones to fly long distances and stay aloft for hours. Flying fast as

well does not seem to be a huge technological challenge on top of that.

2021-06-25T00:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408217979045638144

RT @SquaringStrange: New episode Thursday! For episode 151 we talk with diplomatic debunker Mick West, who brings his knowledge of analyzinâ¦

2021-06-25T00:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408216654513786886

"The governmentâ□□s latest report on U.F.O.s [...] failure to find affirmative evidence of alien spaceships will largely be ignored by those most passionate about theories of extraterrestrial visitation."

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/06/24/us/politics/ufo-report-us-pentagon.html

---

2021-06-25T00:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408215980694642691

@dart_clay @GambleDale @halfcab123 @TOEwithCurt @JeremyCorbell The phone video is the thing with the low resolution. Put Jupiter next to the "triangle" when you first see it.

2021-06-24T23:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408198321160654852

RT @deekatello: The most recent episode of the podcast discussing the Lab Leak Theory and UFO Disclosure with Mick West, Lalo Dagach and Drâ¦

2021-06-24T21:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408178625820598273

@dart_clay @GambleDale @halfcab123 @TOEwithCurt @JeremyCorbell Yeah, they would be, if they were more than 2.5 pixels across.

Notice the "pyramid" is not a triangle when it first appears.

2021-06-24T19:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408145556052926465

@J__O__E__L @LueElizondo I think your question contains the answer. If there really are such things, then the response is entirely disproportional.

2021-06-24T18:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1408124092713037824

@Chance_in_Hell Those rotating triangles remind me of this:
https://web.archive.org/web/20071006080634/http://www.nidsci.org/index2.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E4qpW-0VkAQ_d38.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E4qpW-0VkAQ_d38.jpg
2021-06-24T18:00:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408122809713250305
@OKftl I'm documented.

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-06-24T17:59:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408122612106989568
@Disclosure89 Who do you suggest would have the responsibility for preventing it?

2021-06-24T17:57:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408122137664098304
Quoting me: "evidence that UAPs represent something extraordinary - like anti-gravity, possibly aliens - has not been forthcoming and it is unlikely it will be."
Maybe we'll see tomorrow. Probably not.
https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-government-prepares-issue-landmark-report-ufos-2021-06-24/

2021-06-24T17:47:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408119639809294344
Another great question for my FAQ.
https://twitter.com/the_redditarian/status/1408107666585571339

2021-06-24T15:38:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1408087135316369411
Skyfront Perimeter. A drone that exploits the high energy density of gasoline, with relatively

conventional technology, to fly (modified) for 13 hours and 205 miles. Easily capable of approaching a location from many miles away, and loitering for hours.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXFoBUR_jqY

2021-06-24T04:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407923080219955201

@JeremyUnidenti1 Itâ□□s no whiter than nearby rocks, just surrounded by shadow. Looks fairly ordinary.

2021-06-24T04:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407922083607154690

@halfcab123 @GambleDale @TOEwithCurt @JeremyCorbell Yes.

2021-06-24T03:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407903080331444224

@Vreenak1 @JasonColavito UAPTF is essentially a descendent of AATIP. Still part of Bigelowâ□□s legacy.

2021-06-24T03:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407902292653711362

@halfcab123 @GambleDale @TOEwithCurt @JeremyCorbell No. The zoom is in the recording camera (maybe just a phone), not the NVD that creates the bokeh.

2021-06-24T03:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407900157803253765

@halfcab123 @GambleDale @TOEwithCurt @JeremyCorbell How is bokeh not persistent?

2021-06-24T02:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407895947183489028

@Vreenak1 @JasonColavito What activities at DoD, exactly?

2021-06-24T02:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407887114025398278

@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure @DragonWagonZ @zcichy @FringeCenter @LuAngeles @projecthumanfm Never took the test, just got to long distance solo stage, then lost interest.

2021-06-24T02:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407885291663204355

@Vreenak1 @JasonColavito Example?

2021-06-24T00:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407860497571991552

@chrisotis78 @YouTube Those are stars and Jupiter Chris. They fit perfectly, for hours.

I think you're missing the actual underlying resolution of the video (it's like 144P). Those are pixel-level movements from a camera not actually attached to the NVG.

2021-06-24T00:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407859113955004421

@sethdavidtweets @jdeneharris @JasonColavito @HISTORY @BrandonFugal @lesliekean The absence of evidence sometimes IS evidence of absence. If I look in my garage and there's an absence of evidence of an elephant in it, then that's evidence of the absence of an elephant. It all depends on how much evidence the presence of something necessitates. It varies.

2021-06-24T00:05:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407852226417086466
@sethdavidtweets @zcichy @FringeCenter @LuAngeles @projecthumanfm What's odd is that I never said otherwise.

2021-06-23T23:39:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407845776097562624
@_Desmoden @PMFight @PoliticalGeeker @BrentlyLee @Ibn_e_Channa @media_skyhigh @edwardcurrent @alpha_check @ForeySR @GonnaHuman @TrevyLimited What if it's an unidentified plane?

Also, you have no idea at all what analysis was done with this video, or how did it, or for how long.

2021-06-23T22:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407828114672021504
@zcichy @FringeCenter @LuAngeles @projecthumanfm Sure!

2021-06-23T22:27:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407827627700756481
@jdeneharris @JasonColavito Maybe, if someone pays me.

2021-06-23T22:26:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407827372120805376
@_Desmoden @BrentlyLee @Ibn_e_Channa @media_skyhigh @PoliticalGeeker @edwardcurrent @alpha_check @ForeySR @GonnaHuman @TrevyLimited By "it," do you mean "a variety of things"?

2021-06-23T22:25:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407827165626863616
@zcichy @FringeCenter @LuAngeles Let's wait until after the report drops on Friday. Then we'll have a better understanding of the scale of the problems.

2021-06-23T21:50:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407818386520698883
@Dannyma55083668 @JasonColavito The oil rig one?

2021-06-23T21:50:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407818271642963972
@marcbel19406167 @JasonColavito I'd be happy to hear corrections.

2021-06-23T21:44:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407816909161078789
@zcichy @stevebozz1 I think things should be done. The real issues of unidentified airspace

incursions are getting obscured with semi-mystical speculation. More clarity and scientific rigor would be great.

2021-06-23T21:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407815898048565249

@zcichy @stevebozz1 When you say "leadership", who are you talking about? Biden? Warner? Milley?

They are barely aware of this issue - and likely would not have been, had it not been for the media flap.

They have more important things to do.

2021-06-23T21:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407814680953778183

I talk with @JasonColavito about his article "How Washington Got Hooked on Flying Saucers" - which investigates the connections between a small group of people, going back to the 1960s, and the current UFO/UAP flap.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-FuVClipbh4

2021-06-23T20:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407803736177528834

@zcichy You said the option was: "Our officials are batshit crazy and/or incompetent."

That's a vast overgeneralization and a straw-man.

Yes, it's embarrassing that Vallee, Puthoff, and Bigelow are behind a lot of this, but that does not mean "our officials" are crazy.

2021-06-23T20:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407803047426756610

@zcichy There is no room. The Pentagon has got better things to do, but they answer to civilians, so are forced to entertain stuff. Civilians (politicians) follow what they think will get them re-elected.

2021-06-23T20:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407797398483587079

@zcichy Your #1 is a bullshit take. Weinsteinian.

You can't apply the mistakes, motives, and biases of a few people to "our officials"

2021-06-23T19:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407789528505479174

@zcichy It's not ALL Bigelow. He's just a major factor.

Strange things happen

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stargate_Project

2021-06-23T19:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407788609332121600

@Eric7227 @LuAngeles @singularitymike @JazzShaw @BSquidding Thanks!

2021-06-23T18:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407769868405936130

@BostonsBuddha @Fiddaman Of course not. His answer makes perfect sense. However he never mentioned that concern in his previous answer.

2021-06-23T16:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407737141807878145

@Fiddaman @BostonsBuddha There's a difference here. I just have analyses that I've already shared, and that other people have also done independently. Elizondo claims to have DATA. World-changing data. That he has not shared.

The question is really how important he feels that data to be.

2021-06-23T14:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407713252272861190

@fortyhu It's not new.

2021-06-23T14:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407712787426463746

@BostonsBuddha Sure, but there seemed a disconnect between the "promise to the American people" and ushering in a new and wonderous age in human history. The question being wouldn't the American people (and the World) WANT this info.

2021-06-23T14:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407712383045226499

@_Desmoden @BrentlyLee @Ibn_e_Channa @media_skyhigh @PoliticalGeeker @edwardcurrent @alpha_check @ForeySR @GonnaHuman @TrevyLimited By "we have", what do you mean? Where is this stuff you have?

The Chilean's knew their UFO wasn't another plane. But it was.

And we don't even know the USN's analysis.

2021-06-23T14:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407711651302764548

@k3Iston @onmydayout Well, then, surely "I don't have that data" would have been a better response.

2021-06-23T10:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407651461144530952

@DiscoVolante8 @MiddleOfMayhem The 8-10 minute video is supposedly a longer version of

this video. It would include the horizon display.

So later blurring of the horizon display and the object would be the same.

But the object is a lot more blurred.

So it's out of focus in the original 8-10 minute video

2021-06-23T10:44:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407650662045798410
@Atheist_Tomdog I don't know what he meant by that. He seemed a bit annoyed, so maybe nothing specific.

2021-06-23T06:10:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407581700163391493
@GiMxoA8ekSG0ReY @realufobros @JaxAlemany I'm pretty sure people would care about aliens and warp drives.

2021-06-23T06:09:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407581574078472196
@ThorntonVallery I focus mostly on the events for which there is actual evidence that can be analyzed.

2021-06-23T05:36:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407573169192402946
There's more to Lue's answer here, where he includes his original answer about trust, and says he's essentially working with the system to get the info out.
1:19:08
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0fwZY6aJIyM&t=2340s

2021-06-23T05:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407570316159688707
@PostDisclosure That is not what I believe. I don't think "the government" even has an opinion. There's a few people who are wrong, that's all.

2021-06-23T05:05:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407565433343856640
@PostDisclosure @JaxAlemany My query is about what Lue thinks and knows about the secret evidence.

2021-06-23T05:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407564977343406083
@NoahDV @BobJone95763863 @RayJack62496046 @UAP_CR That's not what I was asking about. You said I " do not go where new evidence leads."

However after the video came out, analysis happened in great depth, new evidence emerged, and I moved the balloon hypothesis way down the list. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-omaha-transmedium-sphere-descending-to-the-sea.11711/

2021-06-23T04:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407563885180116992

@JaxAlemany 4/4 What I'd be interested in here then is if he actually WAS free to release all the information, then what would be the effect? Just how significant is it? Does it NOT reveal the existence of technology that will usher in a new epoch of human history?

2021-06-23T04:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407562837937950722

@JaxAlemany 3/ So my suggestion was that the American people would probably very much want to know about the evidence of visiting aliens, ultraterrestrials, or extradimensional beings. So it would seem that the people would give him a pass there, if it meant a revolution in human existence.

2021-06-23T04:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407562017754341378

2/ when asked by @JaxAlemany, Lue only said the reason he would not break the NDA was because of his loyalty to the American people, and breaking the NDA would violate the trust the American people had in him.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GcH5nuqa-0w&t=1740s

2021-06-23T04:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407561062761713667

1/ Lue's answer here is quite reasonable. He won't break his NDA because he think that would end up with him in jail.

However the reason I suggested this question was based on a previous answer to a similar question. https://twitter.com/St60Brown/status/1407492710072832007

2021-06-23T04:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407551039436320772

@h_clagett @sethdavidtweets As far as I know, he only said he say them in the video. Can you link to where he said he saw them directly?

2021-06-23T02:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407532987923632132

@AstroAdrian @GamingHabit101 @TheUfoJoe I think they are standing in the building that is casting the shadow. Looking straight up.

2021-06-23T02:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407531430679171072

@AstroAdrian @GamingHabit101 @TheUfoJoe

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/triangle-ufo-over-shanghai-june-2021.11840/#post-252280

2021-06-23T02:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407530480572919808

@Avirup1981 Meaning pilots will interpret ambiguous things as things that are familiar to them,

like pitot tubes. I know I would. Itâ□□s what immediately leaps to mind.

2021-06-23T02:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407528594985807877

@vitorfidm @MiddleOfMayhem What is the problem with rigorous and detailed analysis?

If people make claims, is it not worth testing than?

2021-06-23T02:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407527013968056320

@AbstractMoney @ArmanNazerian I would be happy to. Iâ□□ve invited both. Talked to Elizondo once.

2021-06-23T01:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407505013564338180

@MiddleOfMayhem Crystal clear? Then why is the object so much blurrier than the horizon indicator line?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nimitz-atflir-focus-issues.11843/

2021-06-22T20:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407431075194757120

@ArmanNazerian I'd normally be happy to get into more technical details about why it was crap, but the format didn't really support that, and things moved swiftly on.

2021-06-22T20:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407429846058237953

@Avirup1981 Pilots gonna pilot.

2021-06-22T19:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407426536001601538

@RayJack62496046 @UAP_CR And where did that happen?

2021-06-22T19:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407417642994913284

What if those "L-Shaped" antennas that only showed up on video (Fravor did not see them) were .... compression artifacts?

Coincidence: they show up on the 8x8 compression block boundaries.

(Also, that's not Tic-Tac shaped, is it?)

https://twitter.com/Aviation_Intel/status/1407406653201211393

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4gms3zVcAU6JqE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4gms3zVcAU6JqE.jpg

2021-06-22T19:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407414920958726144

@BostonsBuddha Yes, that's what the article I linked also says.

2021-06-22T15:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407361548981391360

@DaSlick23 @PMFight @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @Ibn_e_Channa @ToweringCrane @CDVilsack @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @TrevyLimited @DietrichVFA41 Probably. It's hard to say. What if the disturbance in the water was a sub? Maybe the "Tic-Tac" came from there? Or maybe it had previously released a radar reflector. There's very little to link the elements together, other than rough proximity.

2021-06-22T15:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407360895995420677

@UAP_CR I get baffled a lot, but for the fairly simple reason that there's insufficient information. There are no cases where I think NHI is the most likely explanation.

2021-06-22T15:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407359562835918856

@PMFight @DaSlick23 @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @Ibn_e_Channa @ToweringCrane @CDVilsack @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @TrevyLimited @DietrichVFA41 Kevin Day says he thought it might possibly be a radar issue right up until he heard Fravor's intercept. Including after rebooting it.

2021-06-22T15:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407359201131696137

@Hastychckenball @MustelaVolantes @gentlemenslwyr @TheUfoJoe I've not done one. It's pointless. Arguing about the perceptions, memories, and stories of children. Only the fringe

takes it seriously, so it's not really worth addressing.

2021-06-22T15:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407357350621573122

@ActuallyImGood Which is the best one?

2021-06-22T15:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407357055011233793

@UAP_CR The article has a lot of paraphrasing and skewed interpretation. I never said I'm on a mission, nor did I say I'm determined to prove aliens are not flying around.

I'm trying to figure stuff out, and I point out bunk where I find it.

2021-06-22T15:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407356161637060608

@isotopicanomaly @PoliticalGeeker @BrentlyLee @Bringouttherum @howardmbarker @ThanosAlpha @DietrichVFA41 I responded GIGO. That's it. Pointlessly overcomplicated narrowing of vague and unverified data. It's like AI upscaling a 120p/5fps video of a blob to 4K/60.

2021-06-22T15:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407355269667901449

@Hastychckenball @MustelaVolantes @gentlemenslwyr @TheUfoJoe I also like the concept of black swans.

I've talked about Ariel before, looked into it. But there's not a lot there.

2021-06-22T14:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407349543637815297

An interesting deep dive into the Elizondo-centered Skyfort.
https://blog.adamkehoe.com/skyfort-speaks/

2021-06-22T14:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407342632163065859

@Usingcommonsen1 @thepostmaterial The Tic-Tac is currently unidentified. So remaining unidentified is no change.

It depends on what analysis they did.

2021-06-22T14:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407341021550321670

@PopnCaps551 @nastypnasty @zcichy @michaelshermer Well, it hasnâ□□t been shown to be aliens so far. So itâ□□s jus extrapolating the trend.

It can change.

2021-06-22T11:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407302217258835970

@Vreenak1 1986 does not sound like every day.

2021-06-22T06:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407218017268768795

Marco Rubio:

"The gist of the report is probably going to be something like: these are documented events. These are the ones we can explain, these are the ones that we can't"

Yay, explained events! Any day now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4dxKarVgAAa7LF.jpg

---

T **TMZ** 0:02

With, this is June now, we're waiting for the hearing. Well, not the hearing, the results from the report from UFOs.

MR **Marco Rubio** 0:10

They keep telling us it should be out any day now. So, you know, I think we'll have more information but I think at the end, I don't think people should be expecting, that's gonna be, that's gonna answer a lot, there's gonna be a lot of questions even after and I think the gist of the report is probably going to be something like: these are documented events. These are the ones we can explain, these are the ones that we can't, but for me, it's about treating it seriously. This could be a foreign adversary with an advanced capability and we can't get surprised by that.

T **TMZ** 0:36

And we're not gonna see a lot of retracting stuff are we?

MR **Marco Rubio** 0:39

Well, there is, it's classified, it's not because we're keeping it secret, well they are but it's not because they're hiding it from people it's because they don't want people to know how they collected on that information, like releasing it might give away some, some technological advantage we have or the way we collect intelligence, so they generally that's why the classified

T **TMZ** 0:56

that's the only thing that scares the public because we see that time and time again

MR **Marco Rubio** 1:00

Well, the way politcs are now I assure you if the classified has something spicy in, ain't no way that doesn't leak. You known what I mean?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4dxKarVgAAa7LF.jpg

2021-06-22T06:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407217678977167361

@marcbel19406167 Works for me!

2021-06-22T05:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407211623056510977

I had an interesting and varied discussion about UFOs with some UFO enthusiasts on The

Unidentified Celebrity Review. Great getting to know people in a MUCH longer and more expressive form than Twitter.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CqglLnqL62I

2021-06-22T04:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407194140308250640

@ToweringCrane â□□Not being allowedâ□□. - How did that work?

2021-06-22T04:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407191225971605504

@ThorntonVallery @zcichy @LuAngeles New info?

2021-06-22T04:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407191072468439041

@ToweringCrane The FAA has a UAS reporting program. They can use that.

2021-06-22T04:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407190560067100682

@Ibn_e_Channa @realufobros Sure, just see what speed it does, on the radar.

2021-06-22T04:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407190163105607682

@AnAchaArray Small. Not far away.

2021-06-22T04:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407190020016930821

@ryan_ha @ThorntonVallery @zcichy @LuAngeles Any evidence?

2021-06-22T04:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407189725002178574

@AnAchaArray Utah?

2021-06-22T04:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407189487369691143

@Ibn_e_Channa @realufobros My contention is that ATC is not reporting evidence of advanced technology.

2021-06-22T04:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407189115540365318

@seagullfever @realufobros Why wouldnâ□□t military have the same filters? What objects concern mil but not civ ATC?

2021-06-22T04:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407187808725340168

@LarryRosenthal @zcichy @LuAngeles RTS. You have to gradually build abduction supply chains, while deploying crop circles, suspicious asteroids and other distractions, as a Grey, in competition with the LGM, avoiding the MiB

2021-06-22T03:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407186554758471686

@ThorntonVallery @zcichy @LuAngeles Iâ□□ve looked at it, just donâ□□t think thereâ□□s much new to

discover there. Let others pick over those bones.

2021-06-21T22:46:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407107725188759555

@ShepardAmbellas @Twitter @Facebook He could just start a blog.

2021-06-21T22:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407107627801186312

@realufobros Says who what?

Are you suggesting that none of the tens of thousands of ATCs ever saw or heard evidence of a UFO? Or that they never recorded it? Or that they we just too embarrassed to tell anyone?

ATC are people too. Lots of different ones.

2021-06-21T22:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407105834924924929

@realufobros The world.

2021-06-21T22:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407105618071019523

@jamenta @michaelshermer Sometimes it is.

Supposed there was an absence of evidence of horses in my kitchen. That is actually evidence of an absence of horses in my kitchen.

2021-06-21T22:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407099032241987585

@realufobros ATC don't "see" things.

They look at screens and they listen to radios.

ALL of that is RECORDED. They can replay it at their leisure. They can re-record it. They can provide 100% proof of what happened from their perspective.

So how would the stigma work there?

2021-06-21T22:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407097469310144513

@_FrankyPi @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @_Kodos_ @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 I'm well aware there are lots of conflicts between the reports and the recollections. There are conflicts everywhere in the Nimitz case.

2021-06-21T22:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407097064379453452

@Flyingh43892139 @PostDisclosure I'm not sure "not contemporary humans" is really more helpful than "aliens".

2021-06-21T22:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407096577408208896
@alpha_check @_FrankyPi @Oswald1160 @_Kodos_ @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Whatâ□□s the hold up?

2021-06-21T21:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407095664673132546
@ShepardAmbellas @Twitter @Facebook You might want to look up their market caps, and then his net worth.

2021-06-21T20:17:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407070111706484736
@realufobros @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Okay, so pick one that somewhat resembles the Nimitz encounter.

2021-06-21T20:16:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407069921230540815
There are 14,000 air-traffic-controllers in the US alone, looking at radar screens (including military areas) for hours on end, talking directly to pilots.

Why don't we hear from them about UFOs every day?

Absence of evidence is sometimes evidence of absence.
https://twitter.com/davpea2/status/1407068304703885319

2021-06-21T20:11:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407068673093750789
@NoahDV @marcbel19406167 Close-up videos of amazing craft.
Two independent videos, triangulate-able, showing amazing motion.
Two or more sets of independent sensor data showing amazing motion.
Recovered tech with demonstrable amazing properties.
Orbiting or extraterrestrial trajectory spaceship.

2021-06-21T20:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407067761407266817
@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 I'm sure you can think of some.

2021-06-21T20:01:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407066069328535553
@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Even with your major assumptions there, I think that's a reasonable explanation.

There's a variety of possibilities here.

2021-06-21T19:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407065549356494848

@OptimalBayes @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 You might be misusing Bayes there. Bayes fans often do.

2021-06-21T19:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407064947050237959

@marcbel19406167 @NoahDV My stance is there's no good evidence, so it probably isn't.

2021-06-21T19:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407064815156154372

@realufobros @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 That's nonsensical. What connects this particular sighting to UFO history in a way that makes teleporting more likely? Exactly how many cases are there like this one?

2021-06-21T19:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407064134823256066

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 That has nothing to do with the eyes-on encounter.

2021-06-21T19:52:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407063837887537152

RT @JazzShaw: Set your reminders. The UCR gang will be talking with @MickWest at 4 pacific, 7 eastern today about UFO reality (or the lackâ□¦

2021-06-21T19:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407062978659115009

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Again, I prefer not to speak for her. I'd encourage you to avoid that too.

2021-06-21T19:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407062446246825984

@MustelaVolantes @gentlemenslwyr @TheUfoJoe I'm a big Iain M. Banks fan!

2021-06-21T19:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407061736746721280

@ToweringCrane @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @DietrichVFA41 I'm not dodging that. I don't think it's realistic in a physical sense, and so it indicates some failure or inadequacy of the radar. Classified, so we'll probably never know.

2021-06-21T19:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407061267693674498

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 I prefer quotes to guesses. She said:

"So either it was supersonic and able to get there super fast, or it dropped off and something

else popped up at the CAP point, that had the same radar signature. Again, I'm not qualified to make that analysis or to provide those details."

2021-06-21T19:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407060278601211906

@TrevyLimited @alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Accounts vary. A lot.

2021-06-21T19:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407059736168706055

@NoahDV A variety of different things, none of which are aliens or quantum leaps in technology.

2021-06-21T19:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407059476394516481

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @theferaltweets @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 So when choosing between the realism of:

1) Object warps or teleports 40 miles in seconds
2) One object is spotted 40 miles away, shortly after losing contact with the first.

You think #1 is the more realistic?

2021-06-21T19:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407058768697999361

@Ibn_e_Channa @BrentlyLee @alpha_check @media_skyhigh @ForeySR @GonnaHuman @_Desmoden @TrevyLimited It's the angular velocity that demonstrates the "continuing off the screen." Range is irrelevant to that (except in establishing limits based on possible velocities)

2021-06-21T19:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407058144363257856

I don't think there are aliens flying around, or even amazing new-physics craft. But I also don't think this is "the most significant national security story in at least a generation." It's just a bit embarrassing that Bigelow got this far.

We shall see. https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1407052708411056129

2021-06-21T17:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1407022391436316676

@TrevyLimited @alpha_check @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 An odd snippet from that. I don't remember Fravor or @DietrichVFA41 saying the object was on the datalink - they just have a verbal BRAA.
Alex? Did you ever see any indication of any of these objects on your displays?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4a-_juVoAETRp6.jpg



Kevin Day 20:29

again, we're preparing for the air defense exercise. Now we got all the squadrons launching off the carrier because they're all going to do their functional check flights make sure their air crews are ready and airframes are ready. And by this point, we've got all of these objects on the datalinks. And everyone's listened to the control comms on the radios. And so all these guys, you know, fighter pilots are pretty gung ho. They're pretty type A guys and gals. And they started making intercepts on their own without any controller, they just picked an object that was on the DataLink. And they drove towards it. And as soon as they did all the objects in the sky, they all dropped out of the sky from 28,000 feet down to 50 feet. And then when the interceptors left the scene of action, they all pop back up at 28,000 feet and kept going south at 100 knots. As if nothing at all interesting just happened. They wanted to be left alone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4a-_juVoAETRp6.jpg
2021-06-21T16:22:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407011158381371396
@alpha_check @TrevyLimited @JunoWalker @CDVilsack @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Unfortunately pretty much >90% of the "radar data" is Kevin Day's recollections. These have varied quite a bit since he first wrote them down as a science-fiction story in 2008. It would be great if the actual operators would come forward.

2021-06-21T15:53:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407003776632774658
@5X5_NEWS @LtTimMcMillan That depends on how you define a cult.

2021-06-21T15:52:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1407003459983855616
@JunoWalker @CDVilsack @alpha_check @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 He told me the same thing. But also that it stayed there for "several seconds" then "rejoined its original group [...] back to 28,000 feet, back to 100 knots"

This does not really make sense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4auDRIVoAMj4qZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4auDRIVoAMj4qZ.jpg
2021-06-21T15:34:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406998943821557762
@GlantonholdenJ @TomSuesss @Chrisja04905928 @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector I don't think it's clear if what we see in the video is related to the radar returns.

The SPY-1 radar is classified, and so they don't discuss its glitches. However the people on the ship, including the captain, suspected glitches, so clearly it has happened before.

2021-06-21T15:31:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406998291783421960
@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Has any of the witnesses ever described any element of the signature (other than the obvious not responding to IFF interrogation)? I've read or heard many accounts, and I

don't remember anything like that.

2021-06-21T14:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406988133065920512

@CDVilsack @alpha_check @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 Accounts vary. Kevin Day told me that Underwood was vectored to one of five slow-moving contacts in the same group that Fravor was vectored to earlier, not to a CAP point.

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4af5cjVgAMP_Pm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4af5cjVgAMP_Pm.jpg

2021-06-21T14:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406985744825024513

@alpha_check @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 But do you know what that means? Other than being unidentified, what did (or might) the "same radar signature" actually be?

And I did not intend to record it before I started.

2021-06-21T14:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406982480784097282

@UAPmike @enmasse01 I respect the people who are genuinely trying to figure things out.

2021-06-21T14:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406981873230696460

@TomSuesss @GlantonholdenJ @Chrisja04905928 @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector I think radar glitches are more likely. Maybe weather related. Maybe (second hand speculation) echos from more distant air traffic.

2021-06-21T14:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406980902790463488

@MustelaVolantes Not Sam Harrisâ□□ anonymous sourceâ□□s opinion.

2021-06-21T14:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406978850718818304

@alpha_check @CDVilsack @TrevyLimited @ToweringCrane @DietrichVFA41 How would the â□□signatureâ□□ show up? Can you show some examples?

2021-06-21T10:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406929211969835009

@BrianDunning @Berlinghoff_R @clgood

https://www.washingtonpost.com/washington-post-live/2021/06/08/transcript-ufos-national-security-with-luis-elizondo-former-director-advanced-aerospace-threat-identification-program/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4ZqjzBVIAkQrFe.jpg

MS. ALEMANY: But in common parlance, I guess, is that something that you would refer to as an alien or a time traveler. Is there any sort of way you could, you know, more specifically [audio distortion]?

MR. ELIZONDO: Sure, so, yeah, I've said before this is something--and I guess I may have just said it again--but that this could be something from outer space, inner space, or frankly the space in between. There's a lot of options out there. This could be something that is extra hyper dimensional. Now I don't mean extradimensional in a woo-woo sense. I mean, extradimensional in a quantum physics sense. We know that the universe is full of shortcuts and loopholes.

We know--so let me if I may backtrack for just a moment, it took the Renaissance to come to the point where we understand Newtonian physics. We understand what gravity looks like. We still don't quite understand what it is yet, but we understand what it looks like, and we understand force equal mass times acceleration, and whatnot. So, we had these really elegant solutions for our observations of the--of the natural world. And then it took a couple hundred years, but along comes some cat with crazy hair we call Einstein who now introduces the notion of relativity. It kind of upends really science and turns it 180 degrees and says, well, actually there's a thing called spacetime, and space and time are actually connected, and they're also stretchable and compressible. And as bizarre as that may be, that is precisely what we're seeing. And so, spacetime can be warped based upon mass or a lot of energy.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4ZqjzBVIAkQrFe.jpg

2021-06-21T01:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406779023208771589

@BobMcGwier_N4HY That's a bit opaque though.

2021-06-21T01:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406778902807146497

@CuriousAnthrop1 Just trying to communicate better.

2021-06-21T00:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406778823660621828

@matrix2004 I don't think that's necessarily extradimensional thought.

2021-06-21T00:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406778665879293955
@SocialistFT Yeah, I'm fine with that, but I'm trying to gauge how people feel about the term. To improve communication and all.

2021-06-21T00:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406778320159600641
I was reading the Durant report on the meeting of the CIA's scientific advisory panel when I found this gem. I chuckled.

Seriously though, the report is a fascinating bit of repeating UFO history. nearly 70 years old.

https://www.cia.gov/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP79B00752A000300100010-4.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Xe_qdUYAIxben.jpg

Declassified and Approved For Release 2013/06/26 : CIA-RDP79B00752A000300100010-4

SECRET
Security Information

THURSDAY MORNING

The third and fourth meetings of the Panel were held Thursday, 15 January, commencing at 0900 with a two-hour break for luncheon. Besides Panel members and CIA personnel, Mr. Ruppelt and Dr. Hynek were present for both sessions. In the morning, Mr. Ruppelt continued his briefing on ATIC collection and analysis procedures. The Project STORK support at Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, was described by Dr. Hynek. A number of case histories were discussed in detail and a motion picture film of seagulls was shown. A two hour break for lunch was taken at 1200.

THURSDAY AFTERNOON

At 1400 hours Lt. Col. Oder gave a 40-minute briefing of Project TWINKLE, the investigatory project conducted by the Air

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Xe_qdUYAIxben.jpg
2021-06-21T00:15:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406767748504375296
Terminology question for #ufotwitter.

Is it okay to refer to extradimensional beings as aliens? Or do you consider that misleading?

How about "non-human"?

2021-06-21T00:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406767341396918274

@LawrenceMail Lue has said there's basically zero chance it's human.

So he thinks it's probably aliens. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4XXUlkUUAI9Hdo.jpg

---

The most extraordinary UFOs being those that have been subjected to multiple intelligence collection systems. UFOs where the collected data has then been subjected to extensive analysis in an attempt to rule out aircraft, meteorological phenomena, or other otherwise conventional explanations. UFOs that then still defy conventional explanation. Luis Elizondo told the *Washington Examiner* that the U.S. government has intelligence-analysis predicated reason to investigate further whether these UFOs are indeed not of earthly origin. It matters because Elizondo says these UFOs (what the government refers to as "unidentified aerial phenomena"/UAPs) are not believed to be.

Instead, Elizondo says it is a credible line of government inquiry that these UFOs are "extraterrestrial, extradimensional," or the creation of an Earth-based intelligence entirely unknown to our human society. Elizondo says it is "nothing more than an infinitesimally small possibility" that these extraordinary UFOs are of either U.S., Chinese, or Russian origin (or Israel or Elon Musk, etc.). Sen. Martin Heinrich, a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, recently suggested a Chinese or Russian origin vector is unlikely. Others have told the *Washington Examiner* they share that understanding. These attitudes are based on the information thus far gathered on this most extraordinary category of UFOs, as contrasted with the latest intelligence assessments on Earth-nation and private corporation aerospace, undersea, and satellite capabilities.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4XXUlkUUAI9Hdo.jpg

2021-06-20T23:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406763493911523333

@PostDisclosure I keep hearing people say "nobody said it was aliens". Yet people are constantly saying it's aliens.

2021-06-20T23:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406759152400011267

Who is saying it's aliens? Jeremy Corbell for one - who here asserts we've been analyzing alien flying saucers for decades. https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1406748062274441220

2021-06-20T23:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406749462890831873

Here's the Metabunk analysis of the St. Ives, Hayle Beach drone. Note it's a popular spot for drone photos of sunsets.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-06-20T23:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406749102734331908

0:39. It looks and acts just like a drone, video is sped up. Trails are created in-camera. Drone is likely not far away, just over the beach, so moving slowly.

I'm surprised @LtTimMcMillan picked this footage, as it's old, discussed on Metabunk last year.

https://twitter.com/Debriefmedia/status/1406635503043158016

2021-06-20T22:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406742859345137666

RT @deekatello: Discussing the Wuhan 'Lab Leak Theory' and UFO / UAP 'Disclosure' from the Pentagon, in DEEKAST #94 with @MickWest @kevinfoâ□¦

2021-06-20T22:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406741900263006209

@radiognom3 @caiorearte2 @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia Camera artifact, like these seagulls in the upper right on the same camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406741865160839170/pu/img/hox4_efE67JnLjqn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406741865160839170/pu/img/hox4_efE67JnLjqn.jpg

2021-06-20T17:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406665865601241090

@SPuppy33 Correct!

2021-06-20T17:29:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406665471630266379

@BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @OptimalBayes @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 Like the ocean.

2021-06-20T17:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406665375467524101

@Roro_McFarland @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia Sure, but it's obviously not doing anything out of the range of even a regular DJI drone.

2021-06-20T17:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406664851091431425

@clemens_grem @media_skyhigh It's a daylight version of this video of what looks like a drone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406664773601685504/pu/img/7Om9ifVHtn6TuXwl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406664773601685504/pu/img/7Om9ifVHtn6TuXwl.jpg
2021-06-20T17:23:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406663980941074432
@UFOCurious @caiorearte2 @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia It's not exactly a hot-button topic of debate. But sure, go for it.

2021-06-20T17:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406663748761227265
@mad_hatter_87 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @BrentlyLee @Spectre67904513 @scotch2gmail Do you know how much effort the DoD has put into studying these accounts and videos?
Do you know what conclusions they have reached? What hypotheses they have considered?

What do they say?

2021-06-20T17:18:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406662813817327625
Full-size video. At night, drones flown in front of this webcam are mistaken for UFOs.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406662761753432065/pu/img/mjuiZoY8MXec0tnv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406662761753432065/pu/img/mjuiZoY8MXec0tnv.jpg
2021-06-20T17:08:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406660220550455298
@AstroKatie http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4V2AyTVUAASNBv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4V2AyTVUAASNBv.jpg
2021-06-20T17:07:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406660029843836930
@RayMoor18837824 @OwatcherUf @alpha_check @Atheist_Tomdog @BobKurbel @EssentialBusin7 @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @DietrichVFA41 I'm really not sure that "multiple human and equipment failures in the same week" is EQUALLY as absurd as "visitors from another dimension spoofing UFOs to cover up their presence"

2021-06-20T17:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406659372294361088
@alpha_check @OwatcherUf @Atheist_Tomdog @RayMoor18837824 @BobKurbel @EssentialBusin7 @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @DietrichVFA41 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1406646154339573775

2021-06-20T17:04:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406659168874893320
Some web cams have frame-to-frame persistence that makes ordinary object look like they are leaving weird exhaust trails.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406658704338952193/pu/img/s67qSvnYBuIPQICR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406658704338952193/pu/img/s67qSvnYBuIPQICR.jpg
2021-06-20T17:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406658202406559745
@TheZignal Let me save you some time: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-06-20T16:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406657986848722948
@alpha_check @OwatcherUf @Atheist_Tomdog @RayMoor18837824 @BobKurbel @EssentialBusin7 @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @DietrichVFA41 It's certainly true that time-traveling space ghosts are not necessarily aliens.

2021-06-20T16:58:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406657632555855876
@caiorearte2 @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia The hypothesis is that the moving light in the video video matches a drone above the beach. So you can get a ballpark from that.

Of course, it might be a hypersonic alien spaceship 100 miles away. But it looks like a drone

above the beach. So that's #1.

2021-06-20T16:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406656524450074624

@caiorearte2 @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia If by "into space", you mean "up about 200 feet", then sure.

2021-06-20T16:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406655030032179201

@SSGHambuns @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia No even that. That's just a popular spot for people to fly drones to get pics of the sunset.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-06-20T16:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406654788079538177

@LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia Drone-like behavior detected!

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-06-20T16:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406653950300889088

@theferaltweets @Max54239219 Looks and moves exactly as a drone would in those circumstances and with that camera (cross-frame persistence.) So I think drone has to be top of the list.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-06-20T16:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406646154339573775

"Once you eliminate the impossible, whatever remains, no matter how improbable, must be the truth, unless you missed something, or mistakenly eliminated the truth."

#fixedquotes

2021-06-20T15:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406642653337321477

@DietrichVFA41 @WilsonStraiph @MikeGri63394390 @machlooper @cosmonautica729 @FoodNetwork Who gets to be Takeshi? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Vl-AwVoAARSqq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Vl-AwVoAARSqq.jpg

2021-06-20T15:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406640318166884357

@DietrichVFA41 @LionAcute @MikeGri63394390 @machlooper @cosmonautica729 @FoodNetwork I was thinking maybe fresh mozzarella.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4VjuZzVUAQGW7j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4VjuZzVUAQGW7j.jpg

2021-06-20T15:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406635334301995008

@Chrisja04905928 He did not â□□chaseâ□□ anything. He flew around and then towards something. It seemed to mirror him. I suspect a relatively slow moving balloon or drone, size overestimated. Parallax.

2021-06-20T15:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406634734399090697

@OwatcherUf @Bags_001 @Oswald1160 @MagnetThatcher Yes, I make specific arguments based on what I think are facts. Perhaps my facts or logic can be shown to be incorrect.
Not just opinions, things that can be (eventually) verified - like gimbal rotation.

2021-06-20T15:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406634020725682187

Pilots are humans. https://twitter.com/BrentlyLee/status/1406630859541782533

2021-06-20T15:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406630843632607233

RT @AstroKatie: If you or anyone you know has been putting off vaccination, now is the time to get it done. The Delta variant is gearing upâ□¦

2021-06-20T15:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406630703433850884

RT @NGrossman81: Repeating the truth about January 6 is tedious, even exhausting. But the people lying about, downplaying, defending, and aâ□¦

2021-06-20T15:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406629796163985408

@NoahCalderon17 I donâ□□t think it was a hornet - except possibly Underwoodâ□□s video. There were multiple events that were all different.

2021-06-20T09:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406546242012807168

@Bags_001 @MagnetThatcher He could explain how my hypotheses are impossible. I could counter. We could discuss. He could demonstrate how silly I am. I could show where he got things wrong.

2021-06-20T09:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406545390288007171

@OptimalBayes @Oswald1160 @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 Is this Tic-Tac moving? How big is it? How far away? What if it were half or twice as big?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406545124838973441/pu/img/VpdfNzqFlvc8Dgmi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406545124838973441/pu/img/VpdfNzqFlvc8Dgmi.jpg

2021-06-20T05:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406483809642586113

@OptimalBayes @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 40 vs 20.

Of course it changes the perception. Thatâ□□s the point.

2021-06-20T04:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406469667128967168

@Spectre67904513 @mad_hatter_87 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail 2. How?

2021-06-20T04:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406469425654493188

@OptimalBayes @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 Draw a diagram.

2021-06-20T01:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406421415222341637

@OptimalBayes @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 No, but it might LOOK like it is. If you think it's twice as big as it actually is, then you will vastly misjudge the velocity and distance.

2021-06-20T01:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406420246328614916

@dcguanaco @DietrichVFA41 No. I don't think Fravor and Dietrich saw an F-18. Maybe Underwood did (on ATFLIR, many miles away). But the initial encounter was a UFO.

2021-06-20T01:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406419342019862528

@ElphntAddrssr @2020defense Yes, like I did with Alex Dietrich. I'd just record an interview on Zoom and release it on YouTube without any editing. No monetization.

2021-06-20T01:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406418918097309702

@PhenomenaArt @PostDisclosure Why are you basing your assessments on opinions when you could base them on verifiable facts?

2021-06-20T01:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406418163978235909

@OptimalBayes @SAeleven12 @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 You can only use parallax as a measure if you know how the object is moving.

Boats don't fly.

2021-06-20T01:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406417745260924930

@cwordpod Science (roughly) works by observing things, then formulating hypotheses to explain them, then testing the hypotheses.

Does a slow-moving balloon explain everything about Go-Fast? Let me check ..... yes it does!

2021-06-20T01:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406417228271022082

@Leo12822950 @ksorbsinnrvoice @chrisotis78 @YouTube Lehto seemed unaware of bokeh and astronomy.

2021-06-20T01:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406416932983631875

@OptimalBayes @getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 Fravor only played this game once, for five minutes, after priming his brain on thousands of hours of objects (with features) that he already knew were Hornet sized.

2021-06-19T23:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406393640440582144

@s_pect_re Assuming you know how far away it is. Which you don't.

2021-06-19T23:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406393444004622339

@ElphntAddrssr @2020defense Ad revenue? I don't have any ad revenue. And my book is not about UFOs.

I'm retired. I have savings.

2021-06-19T23:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406393174113669127

@Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail Yes it would. Consider this example. There's static reference points behind, but the object still looks like it is moving.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406392970639597569/pu/img/agAa9u6Jt7K46gtL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1406392970639597569/pu/img/agAa9u6Jt7K46gtL.jpg

2021-06-19T23:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406387603209809923

@getghost719 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 I disagree. I've explained in some depth my disagreements with Fravor, and I'd be happy to have some of them resolved.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-06-19T23:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406386732216438789

@2020defense It would give him the opportunity for him to directly address some of the things I've suggested in a final way.

2021-06-19T22:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406373153882861569

@fin365 @Dogbert34664346 @DietrichVFA41 I think it was mostly Fravor.

2021-06-19T22:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406372507066662915
@MustelaVolantes @Lynda_Katerra @ZRLancaster @blakemecakes One thing is not all things.

The clawed figure being an owl does not mean that "owls" have to explain everything else that was seen.

2021-06-19T22:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406372059551191041
@sloppysociety Which was that?

2021-06-19T22:02:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406371879556829186
@rgh_ufos @blakemecakes How about you compare it with this report:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RvxBbVEAArfSC.jpg

> Bacon moved toward the van while shooting and eventually shot at one officer from point blank range but missed, then took aim at the other. Both officers shot at her; at least one bullet struck Bacon in the abdomen and knocked her to the ground.
>
> In a subsequent interview with police Bacon said she had no recollection of the shootout. She said that earlier that night she drank multiple bottles of wine and used both cocaine and marijuana.
>
> Online court records indicate Bacon made her initial court appearance Friday. She was ordered held on a $200,000 cash bond. Her preliminary hearing is scheduled for April 7.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RvxBbVEAArfSC.jpg
2021-06-19T22:00:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406371370087292929
@blakemecakes A lot lower than the hardcore, I'm sure. It has really been too long for me to remember.

2021-06-19T21:57:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406370677003751424
@fin365 @Dogbert34664346 @DietrichVFA41 Parallax illusion. Looks like it's moving, but it isn't.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IRd1RY2PuvA

Dietrich only saw 10 seconds.

2021-06-19T21:56:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406370297272422403
@rgh_ufos @blakemecakes Point blank? Says who?

2021-06-19T21:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406369790822739968

@VeilroadDude Tom Baker of course. I'm a child of the '70s

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Rt3FmVEAEznp6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Rt3FmVEAEznp6.jpg

2021-06-19T21:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406368974833545216

@fin365 @Dogbert34664346 @DietrichVFA41

https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/nl1jol/i_made_a_scale_3d_animation_of_the_nimitz_account/

2021-06-19T21:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406368910933303296

@rgh_ufos @blakemecakes If you miss the owl (they are mostly feathers) and hit something behind them, like a tin roof, then you'd hear metal.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RtBMiVgAAcaV9.jpg




http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RtBMiVgAAcaV9.jpg
2021-06-19T21:49:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406368456606322689
@mikeRanthony @UF0UAP @JeremyCorbell Nobody suggested anything remotely like that. Of course there are lots of different explanations because there's obviously lots of different events.

2021-06-19T21:48:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406368225399476237
@Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail Because if something is 20 feet long and Fravor thinks it's 40 feet long, then most of his encounter can be explained in terms of it not moving at all. Like in this video
https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/nl1jol/i_made_a_scale_3d_animation_of_the_nimitz_account/

2021-06-19T21:30:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406363664223932416
@jerryri85129570 To clear up areas of confusion and disagreement.

2021-06-19T21:29:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406363408849461249

@Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail But the water looks the same at 20,000 feet as it does at 2,000 feet. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Rn9jDVgAIQdSj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Rn9jDVgAIQdSj.jpg
2021-06-19T21:24:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406362241868259331
@mad_hatter_87 @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 It was an unfamiliar object, with no references.

So how could he tell how big it was?

2021-06-19T21:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406358668656857088
I donâ□□t ignore the pilots. I try to engage with them to resolve issues like this. I respect their skills and experience but recognize (as they themselves have said) that they are human, not perfect.

I'd be happy to talk to Fravor, on or off the record.
https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1406351797049044997

2021-06-19T21:08:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406358151968923648
@TruthBe05900844 My childhood reading is a part of what got me interested in esoteric topics. My childhood nightmares are not.

2021-06-19T18:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406325529431580673
@sethdavidtweets @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 "big enough to be visible" is not a size estimate. It's a description of angular size.

2021-06-19T18:57:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406325213969584130
@sethdavidtweets @TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 That's not his point.

2021-06-19T18:56:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406325124916158465
@TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 I'm not going to answer rhetorical questions. If you can't explain why you are asking, then just make your case.

2021-06-19T18:55:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406324656836022272

@MustelaVolantes @ZRLancaster @Lynda_Katerra @blakemecakes I think so. I've not read that book for 40 years. It was the "talons reaching out" from the dark that I remember.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4REzlAVkAAwqXs.jpg

Explanations [edit]

Psychologists Rodney Schmaltz and Scott Lilienfeld cite the alleged incident as an example of pseudoscience and an "extraordinary claim" to help students develop critical thinking skills.[2]

> It is plausible, if not likely, that the 'aliens' were Great Horned Owls, and there is some evidence that the eyewitnesses may have been intoxicated during the 'alien attack' (Nickell, 2006).

Committee for Skeptical Inquiry member and skeptic Joe Nickell notes that the family could have misidentified "eagle owls" or great horned owls, which are nocturnal, fly silently, have yellow eyes, and aggressively defend their nests. According to Nickell, meteor sightings also occurred at the time that could explain Billy Ray Taylor's claim that he saw "a bright light streak across the sky and disappear beyond a tree line some distance from the house".[1]

According to author Brian Dunning, "there are simply too many similarities between the creatures reported by the families and an aggressive pair of the local Great Horned Owls, which do stand about two-thirds of a meter tall".[4]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4REanCVkAAZAE7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4REzlAVkAAwqXs.jpg

2021-06-19T18:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406323371604807680

@TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 Just make your case.

2021-06-19T18:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406322697185808384

@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @report_your No, it's all from the glass. The reflector had actually fallen down on that side.

Its curved in both directions, but the car is about 50 feet from the window, so the rays are still more-or-less parallel http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RCkhxVkAI3Phl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4RCkhxVkAI3Phl.jpg

2021-06-19T18:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406321063663861764

@zcichy @SicCoP1 I'd like to see it. I'm not sure I could justify spending much money on it.

Something like the Argentian's do would be great.

2021-06-19T18:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406320820629086214

@TrevyLimited @scotch2gmail @Spectre67904513 That's not how it works at a mile distance. Stereoscopic vision can give some clue to relative positions for closer objects, but for a single object with no visual distance clues, it's no better than one eye.

2021-06-19T17:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406306914942656515

RT @deekatello: Looking forward to hosting this panel on the DEEKAST with @MickWest @kevinfolta and @LaloDagach. We will explore the UFO /â□¦

2021-06-19T15:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406274489575378948

If a military pilot has "Hornet-sized aircraft ... burned into their brain" - then does that not make it the most likely default size estimate for a novel featureless object of unknown size and distance? https://twitter.com/alpha_check/status/1406025205982633985

2021-06-19T15:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406273767806955526

@uncertainvector, could we do a short interview/chat similar to the one I had with @DietrichVFA41? Focused on nailing down some details about the videos and your own experiences? Live or recorded.

2021-06-19T15:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406269422398939137

@Melmacaveli Technically you feel sympathy for me 45 years ago, but, cool.

2021-06-19T11:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406211120025640961

RT @NASAWebb: Instead of orbiting Earth, #NASAWebb will be orbiting the Sun in line with the Earth, around a position in space nearly one mâ¦

2021-06-19T11:17:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406209620855574531

@Oswald1160 @report_your Here's the deer's eye view

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PcJFtUYAkot4v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PcJFtUYAkot4v.jpg

2021-06-19T11:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406208582622728195

@DietrichVFA41 @cosmonautica729 This scenario reminds my of one of my favorite 2000AD strips: Skizz, written by Alan Moore in 1983. Pre cell phones, so you'd have to grab the poker.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PajZ1VgAIQHrz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PaXTcVkAIZox6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PaWYPVUAII0BE.jpg



Read more FREE comics on ReadComicOnline

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4PajZ1VgAIQHrz.jpg

2021-06-19T11:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406205584412532737

@ryan_ha @MusicAndTruth @criticalamitous Sure, but some are quite serious about it.

2021-06-19T01:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406059067995353089

@CommonS88694378 I'm pretty sure the book I read wasn't science.

2021-06-19T01:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406058905352896513

@MusicAndTruth @criticalamitous I do laugh. But it's also fascinating, as it seems to reflect a genuine belief in some people. I think it's important to understand each other in order to

communicate.

2021-06-19T00:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406053855410888704

@DigitalDino4 @criticalamitous It's always difficult to protest the right amount.

I'm not exactly protesting here, just sharing my amusement and bemusement.

2021-06-19T00:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406053577764704258

@peepstonejoe It varies. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NOQkHVgAExV-P.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NOQkHVgAExV-P.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NOIC1VcAUh0E0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NOLDtUcAc3rzj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NOJmJVgAMtfyX.jpg

2021-06-19T00:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406053191586697220

@fin365 @alpha_check An alien scare that had no effect on my life for 40+ years, while I happily read reams of science fiction and fantasized about visiting aliens and space travel? An avid fan of Dr Who and 2000AD, I somehow kept my fears at bay until Leslie Kean wrote about a Chilean UFO? That it?

2021-06-19T00:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406051579078189059

@peepstonejoe You should see the artwork they send me

2021-06-19T00:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406051414581809153

@blaq_ty My solution to UFO enthusiasts armchair psychoanalyzing me?

2021-06-19T00:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406050565495267336

@CanesReign Which one do you think is the craziest?

2021-06-19T00:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406050003072675840

@5tacosplease It is, especially if you don't have time to bleed.

2021-06-19T00:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406049751359918083

@criticalamitous Seriously though. I think it reflects a mild cognitive dissonance in UFO enthusiasts. They think there's so much evidence of UFOs out there, they can't understand how I can be enmired in UFOlogy for so long and yet still not be convinced. So there must be something wrong with me

---

2021-06-19T00:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406049171514171392

@saucerlit That's an interesting twist!

Not looking good so far though.

2021-06-19T00:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406048734044037121

@DietrichVFA41 @cosmonautica729 Noooo! Take a photo first! And video.

2021-06-19T00:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406048475922329601

@alpha_check I was briefly terrified of big dogs after I got bit as a kid. How do you think that factors into my psyche?

2021-06-19T00:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406047853135286273

@DLINDSAY2 @blakemecakes What? Where did shoes come into this?

2021-06-19T00:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406047340092219398

@5tacosplease That's a good one (assuming that's Predator, not PVA)! Tough. Probably Alien. Maybe The Thing.

2021-06-19T00:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406046557405777921

@ThorntonVallery The real story? I like the 3D puzzles. I got into it via chemtrails. It's also a fun detective task. And great to figure stuff out first.

2021-06-19T00:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406046130136223745

@blakemecakes Yeah, I DO take pleasure in the patient dismantling of unsound logic.

2021-06-19T00:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406045654313439232

@alpha_check So you subscribe to this theory?

Weird.

2021-06-19T00:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406042840103223298

@__dregs__ IKR

2021-06-19T00:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406042744154255362

@_NTME9_ I *think* it was this one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NEWunVgAMJ29u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NEWunVgAMJ29u.jpg

2021-06-19T00:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406042419620057090

I wonder how this tallies with my great love of Science Fiction?

2021-06-19T00:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406042100483837952

@macwithhisbooks Yeah, but it's not every day. Plus we have a pool, which I'm about to get into, and cold beer, which is about to get into me.

2021-06-19T00:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406041827006844928

@supercadulous Debunking is about identifying and removing false claims.

2021-06-19T00:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406041602179493889

@JurassicJP82 The sunny weather.

And they paid twice as much.

2021-06-19T00:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406041478577590272

Some UFO enthusiasts think I investigate UFOs because a childhood trauma caused by reading a scary book on aliens (which did give me a few nightmares in the 70s) has left me pathologically afraid of aliens, so I desperately try to prove they don't exist.
Seriously!

2021-06-19T00:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406040161763225601

It's so hot here (110Â°), that even though the sun is below the horizon, it's shining UPWARDS!

Or is it? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NCBp7UcAAA5Oz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NB3OQVUAQhLhl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4NCBp7UcAAA5Oz.jpg

2021-06-19T00:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406039759235940354

@supercadulous I'm sorry, but if people are claiming that Elizondo is giving weight to human explanations when he's actually not, then I think that should be pointed out.

2021-06-18T23:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406035753440735235

@Disclose_UFOUAP @sparksyboy69 So why doesn't he?

2021-06-18T23:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406035385189163012

@ForeySR @alpha_check @Ibn_e_Channa @GonnaHuman @_Desmoden @TrevyLimited I said the radar was kinda-jammed, meaning it was acting like it was jammed (or at least it is in later versions of the story). That does not mean they know what jammed it, if anything.

2021-06-18T23:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406030084247457792

@SysFailure0x5a @michaelshermer @LueElizondo Who mentioned "high resolution military satellites"

2021-06-18T23:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406029868219863045

@alpha_check @Ibn_e_Channa @GonnaHuman @_Desmoden @TrevyLimited Who said the (hypothetical) aircraft was jamming?

2021-06-18T23:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406029715920490497

@lazydriver I'd be happy to get any info from the DoD.

2021-06-18T23:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406029426454765570

@RuanLotter Or, just check it. It's these stars, and Jupiter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4M4P7dVgAERaW_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4M4P7dVgAERaW_.jpg

2021-06-18T23:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406029127962923008

@RuanLotter Yes. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2021-06-18T23:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406028940502732803

Saying that eyewitness accounts of radar screens means "we have radar data" is like saying eyewitness accounts of flying saucers mean "we've got flying saucers, in a hanger."

A story about radar is not the same as radar data.

2021-06-18T23:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1406028092947140608

@alpha_check @Ibn_e_Channa @GonnaHuman @_Desmoden @TrevyLimited Then you've got

to ask if it's possible he had something on his kinda-jammed radar that was a friendly or civilian jet.

One thing I'm really curious about is why he thought it was below him. Maybe that was what the radar showed.

2021-06-18T23:14:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406027618575478789
@debunker It was like a peek behind the curtain!

2021-06-18T23:05:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406025353399734274
RT @michaelshermer: On Megyn Kelly's show @LueElizondo said UAPs can travel tens of thousands of MPH, computed from Navy jet videos like FLâ¦

2021-06-18T23:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1406025257467596802
@Ibn_e_Channa @alpha_check @GonnaHuman @_Desmoden @TrevyLimited It's not that complicated: Datalink, IFF interrogations, SA, slaving. etc.

The question simply hinges on if what Underwood got on the ATFLIR was the same thing that was unidentified on his (kinda-jammed) radar.

Unlikely? Impossible?

2021-06-18T16:58:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405933058134462466
@scottydogsUAPs @MarkMunroe19 What exactly do you think I should defer to Chris about in the Green Triangle case?

2021-06-18T14:34:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405896649885425674
@alpha_check @_Desmoden One thing is not all things. The answer to one question is not the answer to a different question. There is no "it"

2021-06-18T14:30:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405895605658951681
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-06-18T14:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405895501300469768
@chrisotis78 @YouTube I think you might have missed this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-06-18T14:28:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405895205153243137
Chris Lehto's latest "analysis" of a UFO video seems to miss all the existing analysis.
So he independently recreates about 5% of what others pointed out months ago. But misses the

key facts that those lights are stars, the flashing is plane-like, and the shape is bokeh.
https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1405804617846362114

2021-06-18T06:26:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405773947921076227
@_Desmoden A Mexican drone.

2021-06-18T06:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405773689849729029
@MMaRsu @alejandrogoethe @Liberty4Life23 @zcichy @michaelshermer The inevitability of the advances in technology and the desire for militaries to probe each other's air spaces.

2021-06-18T01:12:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405694789773762560
@JLuvin @zcichy @michaelshermer Nope, there are a LOT of things going on. Remote viewing probably isn't one of them. Hopefully.

2021-06-18T01:07:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405693569063473152
@alejandrogoethe @Liberty4Life23 @zcichy @michaelshermer No, I don't think they have "THIS" magic technology. I think some UAP sightings are probably Chinese drones.

2021-06-18T00:44:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405687734333624323
@Liberty4Life23 @zcichy @michaelshermer Chinese drones have been improving for 20 years. It's a growing component in a complex issue. It's not "the" answer, because there is no one question.

2021-06-18T00:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405687321416982528
@PhilipMarloweLA The "Mick West claims he helps the military" story was shared several times on Facebook. I debunked it there. I'm pre-emptively debunking it here.

2021-06-18T00:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405677938305945608
@zcichy @michaelshermer I think there's no "it" to clear up. There are lots of things going on, but basically, Bigelow's legacy intersecting with the growing real-world issues of drones and the surprisingly powerful Chinese military.
Untangling them might be messy.

2021-06-17T23:59:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405676454323523584
@MikeColangelo @zcichy @michaelshermer Then let him present his evidence.

2021-06-17T23:56:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405675633531781124
@PopnCaps551 @zcichy @michaelshermer The Chilean experience taught me that, no matter the resumes of the group of experts, it's always best to see the evidence.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-06-17T23:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405675148405927943

@MikeColangelo @zcichy @michaelshermer Not really.

2021-06-17T23:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405671826995367937

@zcichy @michaelshermer What "expert access to data" do you feel is lacking? The Pentagon has experts, and they have access.

I think a simple version win which it makes sense is where they are waiting for the report to be released before addressing specific queries.

2021-06-17T23:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405670161873465345

@Santtu234 @the_grabbing By "it" - what do you mean?

Obviously, UFOs are real.

Amazing physics craft? No, the evidence is uncompelling.

What did the Pentagon say, exactly? Quote them.

2021-06-17T23:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405669779260645379

@steven_dor I think we first have to establish that there are craft doing amazing things, and not just radar glitches, eyewitnesses, etc.

2021-06-17T21:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405633015967993858

TIL there's a company that sells a
life force energy bubble that follows your cell-phone around, enhancing everything within nine feet of the phone, purely based on your phone number, for $35 a month!!
This seems .... wrong. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4HPlWAVoAIydhB.jpg

---

Your FLFE Mobile Phone Sanctuary

$35 USD/Monthly

Subscribe Now

$198 USD/6-Month *(Save $12)*

Subscribe Now

$378 USD/Annual *(Save $42)*

Subscribe Now

Features: Your FLFE Mobile Phone Sanctuary

- **To support the immune system:** In the case of the FLFE program **Enhanced Immune Support 2.0**, the FLFE System specifically adds life-force energy to the various parts of the Immune System of people in the FLFE field. In particular, areas of the immune system that are **low in functioning** are supported with additional life-force energy. The Innate Intelligence of the body can use the energy to increase functionality as needed. The Innate Intelligence does the work, once it has the available energy.
- **To mitigate consciousness-lowering EMFs:** The unique characteristics of the FLFE high consciousness field with the added energetic signature of shungite harmonizes consciousness-lowering EMFs. It may feel calmer, with less tension or anxiety in areas that have those devices.
- **Brain Optimization:** To support optimal brain function and optimal brain structure with increased life-force energy. During waking hours, the support is primarily for optimal functioning of the brain. The effect could be felt as increased alertness, increased cognitive abilities or sharpness, more stamina during taxing brain activities and increased recall or short-term memory.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4HPlWAVoAIydhB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4HOpW9VoAEsECY.jpg

A person praying for a place holds in mind some identifier for that place. An example of this is picturing the place in your mind. This works the same as the FLFE unique identifier (as we call it), an example of which is a legal address or a cellular phone device with a particular phone number. We believe that in both situations, a person praying and the FLFE service, a field is activated at the place. In the example of the person praying, the characteristics of the activated field are the thoughts and feelings of the person. If they feel love and compassion, the field activated has the level of consciousness of those feelings. The specific thoughts are also in the field and can have an effect on beings in that place where the field has been activated.



The FLFE System uses FLFE Programs to specify the characteristics of the activated field, such as the level of consciousness and the information in the field. The FLFE System sends energy that is similar to the energy of human thoughts. One of the differences is that it is *highly regulated* to ensure that the energy is consistently positive according to the chosen parameters (560 or higher LOC on the Hawkins Map) which is different from the human mind, which tends to wander.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4HPBDoUUAYX_Cz.jpg

2021-06-17T19:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405608029999886337

@the_grabbing @giddierone @SIJA1966514655 Can you DM me with evidence that this person is who they say they are? I'll keep it in total confidence.

2021-06-17T19:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405603031727579137

@the_grabbing @giddierone @SIJA1966514655 That is why I lean towards #1. It sounds like something concocted to support me. If that's the case, then I urge you to remove it, as such dishonest tactics (even if well-intentioned) are never a good idea.

2021-06-17T18:59:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405601094177226756

@giddierone @SIJA1966514655 @the_grabbing Trying to nip it in the bud. I think the most likely thing is it's bullshit and that he made it up, or someone is fooling him. So I wanted to make that clear. Of course, I considered if it's better to just leave it alone. But then it might fester and crop up later.

2021-06-17T18:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405600279345598464

@DaSlick23 @sazek @Life0nFire He's a bit vague. But I'd say the assessments of "overwhelming evidence", "direct correlation" and "displays beyond next-generation capabilities" do not seem to be backed by the evidence he's managed to get released so far. Nor does the coming report seem likely to back them.

2021-06-17T18:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405598552403763201

@sazek @DaSlick23 @Life0nFire There's his resignation letter:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GwbiUVcAENgj2.jpg

INTELLIGENCE

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
5000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-5000

MEMORANDUM FOR: RECORD

SUBJECT: Letter of Resignation & Differed Retirement

Mr. Secretary;

It has been my sincere honor and pleasure to have served with some of America's finest men and women in both peace time and in war. For over 22 years, I have been blessed to learn from, and work with world-class leadership, you certainly being among the very best.

With that in mind, bureaucratic challenges and inflexible mindsets continue to plague the Department at all levels. This is particularly true regarding the controversial topic of anomalous aerospace threats. Despite overwhelming evidence at both the unclassified and classified levels, certain individuals in the Department remain staunchly opposed to further research on what could be a tactical threat to our pilots, sailors, and soldiers, and perhaps even an existential threat to our national security. In many instances, there seems to be a direct correlation the phenomena exhibits with respect to our nuclear and military capabilities. The Department must take serious the many accounts by the Navy and other Services of unusual aerial systems interfering with military weapon platforms and displaying beyond next generation capabilities. Underestimating or ignoring these potential threats is not in the best interest of the Department no matter the level of political contention. There remains a vital need to ascertain capability and intent of these phenomena for the benefit of the armed forces and the nation.

For this reason, effective 4 October 2017, I humbly submit my resignation in hopes it will encourage you to ask the hard questions: "who else knows?", what are their capabilities?", and "why aren't we spending more time and effort on the issue?". As I transition to a new chapter of my life, please know it has been an honor and privilege of a lifetime to serve with you. Rest assure, no matter where the path of life may lead me, I will always have the best interest of the Department and the American people as my guiding principle.

Luis D. Elizondo
Director
National Programs Special Management Staff
OUSD(I)

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GwbiUVcAENgj2.jpg

2021-06-17T18:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405597612837801989

@JokeToSelf @JLuvin http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Gvk7lVkAUrqhs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4Gvk7lVkAUrqhs.jpg

2021-06-17T18:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405595942884057089

@JLuvin I'm wondering if it was just run through machine translators. Philip K. Dick predicted this kind of thing in Galactic Pot Healer (1969)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GtkgZVUAENIsx.jpg



Gauk then, feeling the warmth of knowledge that he had got a good one – this, from the larger language-translating computer in down-town Tokyo.

'A phononym,' Gauk said effortlessly. 'Son, sun. *The Sun Also Rises*. Ten points for me.' He made a note of that.

Angrily, Joe said, 'Those for Which the Male Homosexual Exacts Transit Tax.'

'Another by Serious Constricting-path,' Gauk said, with a wide smile. '*For Whom the Bell Tolls*.'

'"Serious Constricting-path"?' Joe echoed wonderingly.

'Ernest Hemingway.'

'I give up,' Joe said. He felt weary; Gauk, as usual, was far ahead of him in their mutual game of retranslating computer translations back into the original tongue.

'Want to try another?' Gauk asked silkily, his face bland.

'One more,' Joe decided.

'Quickly Shattered at the Quarrelling Posterior.'

'Jesus,' Joe said with deep and timid bewilderment. It rang no bell, no bell at all. '"Quickly shattered." Broken, maybe. Broke, break. *Quick* – that would be fast. Breakfast. But "Quarrelling Posterior"?' He cogitated quickly, in the Roman sense. 'Fighting. Arguing. Spat.' In his mind no solution appeared. '"Posterior." Rear end. Ass. Butt.' For a time he meditated in silence, in the Yoga fashion. 'No,' he said finally, 'I can't make it out. I give up.'

'So soon?' Gauk inquired, raising an eyebrow.

'Well, there's no use sitting here the rest of the day working that one over.'

'Fanny,' Gauk said.

Joe groaned.

'You groan?' Gauk said. 'At one you missed that you

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GtkgZVUAENIsx.jpg

2021-06-17T18:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405594507740024833

@ToweringCrane @Atheist_Tomdog @g_knapp Sorry, I thought you just mean the upcoming report. Are you just assuming that the entire leaked AATIP "Executive Report" will be included by reference? Or just some specific claims of evidence?

2021-06-17T18:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405593952674193408

@DaSlick23 @Life0nFire He says he was running AATIP - so how could his personal opinions not matter?

He quit because they were not listening to his personal opinions. Obviously there was a conflict there.

2021-06-17T18:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405593085459845122

@bohannon_bot @primer_ai This example, and I think the other ones I've seen, have been at floridanewstimes. It's pretty obvious no english-speaking human wrote their stories.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GrMg-VIAAqCFc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GrMg-VIAAqCFc.jpg

2021-06-17T18:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405591996467613702

@ToweringCrane @Atheist_Tomdog Who said that's in the report?

2021-06-17T18:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405591711003279361

@drumshticknow @ExploreSCU @Akam1129 GIGO

2021-06-17T17:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405577532842254338

@IAmRobAherne @PoliticsAddictG Triangulated videos showing super speed and acceleration

---

2021-06-17T16:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405556900742131717

I'm not sure what to make of this "official from the Pentagon" telling a random YouTuber that UAP stories are cover for another program (NAHA).

Possibilities, roughly ranked:
1) @the_grabbing made it up
2) Someone is joking with @the_grabbing
3) A disinfo effort
4) Legit https://t.co/7ssWMP53L9

2021-06-17T15:23:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405546713767505927
@LuAngeles @DietrichVFA41 I 100% agree that pilots should report significant UAP sightings. Whatever any individual sighting actually is (and there's a lot of different things each might be) an unidentified close encounter, especially military, is a real problem that needs examination.

2021-06-17T15:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405546053458219009
Some clickbait sites take AP stories and "rewrite" them with an algorithm that totally mangles their meaning (so they don't have to pay the AP). This one ended up with me saying I helped the military investigate invasions of US airspace!!!

Real story: https://abc7chicago.com/navy-ufo-report-hypersonic-nytimes-ny-times/10743855/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GAGFZVEA0zoxo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4GAGFZVEA0zoxo.jpg
2021-06-17T15:04:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405541879240826880
@DietrichVFA41 @mr__monday Yeah, it was a chat that that evolved into an interview after I realized that the information and perspective you were giving would be of great interest to other people. I didn't shave either!
Thanks again!

2021-06-17T14:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405535526824792069
@theferaltweets @watersportsclub @pratty_505 @EKAdams Exactly, thatâ□□s why some at the Pentagon were/are unhappy with Elizondo and others going so far down the non-human technology road. They miss more likely explanations.

2021-06-17T14:32:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405533810951790604
@BayesianLeif They will certainly show the videos.

2021-06-17T14:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405533548329652241

@watersportsclub @pratty_505 @EKAdams Everything is possible. But the evidence for aliens is weak.

2021-06-17T13:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405522247947743239

@FettuccineSplit @covertress He did not say it was "eye-opening". His comments are banal.

2021-06-17T13:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405521999493926918

@jvpercy @EKAdams I look forward to seeing the evidence for this.

2021-06-17T12:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405502831361028096

@covertress That is the internal reflection of the parking lot light.

2021-06-17T10:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405466303935045636

@pratty_505 @EKAdams He seems almost certain it's intelligent craft which not human.

2021-06-17T10:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405465952909631488

@discloseitnow @ufobelievers @sparksyboy69 He's not simply "not ruling out" E.T.

Elizondo says it is "nothing more than an infinitesimally small possibility" that it is humans.

https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/ufo-investigations-us-considering-extraterrestrial-hypothesis

---

2021-06-17T09:57:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405464552796409857

@XTREME_WIZARD "an earth-based intelligence" - some kind of entity or secret race of people with fantastic powers, living alongside humans in secret. Sounds Tolkienesque.

Which is perfectly fine if the evidence supports it.

2021-06-17T09:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405462767931326471

@Daumm8 Should I politely ignore all my past guests? Elizondo is in the news, he makes verifiable public statements. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4E03weVkAMWApd.jpg

---

intelligence collection systems. UFOs where the collected data has then been subjected to extensive analysis in an attempt to rule out aircraft, meteorological phenomena, or other otherwise conventional explanations. UFOs that then still defy conventional explanation. Luis Elizondo told the *Washington Examiner* that the U.S. government has intelligence-analysis predicated reason to investigate further whether these UFOs are indeed not of earthly origin. It matters because Elizondo says these UFOs (what the government refers to as "unidentified aerial phenomena"/UAPs) are not believed to be.

Instead, Elizondo says it is a credible line of government inquiry that these UFOs are "extraterrestrial, extradimensional," or the creation of an Earth-based intelligence entirely unknown to our human society. Elizondo says it is "nothing more than an infinitesimally small possibility" that these extraordinary UFOs are of either U.S., Chinese, or Russian origin (or Israel or Elon Musk, etc.). Sen. Martin Heinrich, a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, recently suggested a Chinese or Russian origin vector is unlikely. Others have told the *Washington Examiner* they share that understanding. These attitudes are based on the information thus far gathered on this most extraordinary

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4E03weVkAMWApd.jpg
2021-06-17T06:37:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405414347606659074
@UAPGRIGtwitt Like the Chilean Government UAP agency did with Iberia Flight 6830 departing Santiago?

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_b_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b?lciacn1ruwjkmx6r= http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EIt6oVEAIrurp.jpg

"This has been one of the most important cases in my career as director of CEFAA because our Committee was at its best, " General Bermúdez said in an email. "The CEFAA is well regarded partly because there is full participation from the scientists of the academic world, the armed forces through their representatives, and the aeronautic personnel from the DGAC, including its Director. I am extremely pleased as well with the conclusion reached which is logical and unpretentious." The official conclusion was that "the great majority of committee members agreed to call the subject in question a UAP (Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon) due to the number of highly researched reasons that it was unanimously agreed could not explain it."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EIt6oVEAIrurp.jpg
2021-06-17T06:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405413586495627266
@dirtylib666 @RayJack62496046 "Fair hearing?"

https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/ufo-investigations-us-considering-extraterrestrial-hypothesis http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EICDdVoAArOWA.jpg



The most extraordinary UFOs being those that have been subjected to multiple intelligence collection systems. UFOs where the collected data has then been subjected to extensive analysis in an attempt to rule out aircraft, meteorological phenomena, or other otherwise conventional explanations. UFOs that then still defy conventional explanation. Luis Elizondo told the *Washington Examiner* that the U.S. government has intelligence-analysis predicated reason to investigate further whether these UFOs are indeed not of earthly origin. It matters because Elizondo says these UFOs (what the government refers to as "unidentified aerial phenomena"/UAPs) are not believed to be.

Instead, Elizondo says it is a credible line of government inquiry that these UFOs are "extraterrestrial, extradimensional," or the creation of an Earth-based intelligence entirely unknown to our human society. Elizondo says it is "nothing more than an infinitesimally small possibility" that these extraordinary UFOs are of either U.S., Chinese, or Russian origin (or Israel or Elon Musk, etc.). Sen. Martin Heinrich, a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, recently suggested a Chinese or Russian origin vector is unlikely. Others have told the *Washington Examiner* they share that understanding. These attitudes are based on the information thus far gathered on this most extraordinary category of UFOs, as contrasted with the latest intelligence assessments on Earth-nation and private corporation aerospace, undersea, and satellite capabilities.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EICDdVoAArOWA.jpg

2021-06-17T06:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405412542579576833

@hwutt @MiltonTPike1 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @LueElizondo @EngagingThe @TheUfoJoe @TheProjectUnity I agree that satellites seem likely, based on the speed.

2021-06-17T06:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405410806662000643

@Jacks0006 Not being new does not make it more palatable at the Pentagon.

2021-06-17T06:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405410518014124036

@Chrisja04905928 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4

2021-06-17T06:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405409018789580805

These are hypotheses that Elizondo quite openly talks about now.

"an Earth-based intelligence entirely unknown to our human society" is essentially paranormal.

https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/ufo-investigations-us-considering-extraterrestrial-hypothesis http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EDbIlVoAMjljA.jpg

---

intelligence collection systems. UFOs where the collected data has then been subjected to extensive analysis in an attempt to rule out aircraft, meteorological phenomena, or other otherwise conventional explanations. UFOs that then still defy conventional explanation. Luis Elizondo told the *Washington Examiner* that the U.S. government has intelligence-analysis predicated reason to investigate further whether these UFOs are indeed not of earthly origin. It matters because Elizondo says these UFOs (what the government refers to as "unidentified aerial phenomena"/UAPs) are not believed to be.

Instead, Elizondo says it is a credible line of government inquiry that these UFOs are "extraterrestrial, extradimensional," or the creation of an Earth-based intelligence entirely unknown to our human society. Elizondo says it is "nothing more than an infinitesimally small possibility" that these extraordinary UFOs are of either U.S., Chinese, or Russian origin (or Israel or Elon Musk, etc.). Sen. Martin Heinrich, a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, recently suggested a Chinese or Russian origin vector is unlikely. Others have told the *Washington Examiner* they share that understanding. These attitudes are based on the information thus far gathered on this most extraordinary

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EDbIlVoAMjljA.jpg
2021-06-17T06:06:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405406565700489217
@Life0nFire
https://www.nytimes.com/2021/06/03/us/politics/ufos-sighting-alien-spacecraft-pentagon.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EB0ArVcAEEAL7.jpg




By Julian E. Barnes and Helene Cooper

June 3, 2021

WASHINGTON — American intelligence officials have found no evidence that aerial phenomena witnessed by Navy pilots in recent years are alien spacecraft, but they still cannot explain the unusual movements that have mystified scientists and the military, according to senior administration officials briefed on the findings of a highly anticipated government report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EB0ArVcAEEAL7.jpg
2021-06-17T06:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405406323399745537
@PoliticsAddictG Your are confusing absence of evidence with proof of absence.

"American intelligence officials have found no evidence that aerial phenomena witnessed by Navy pilots in recent years are alien spacecraft"

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/06/03/us/politics/ufos-sighting-alien-spacecraft-pentagon.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EBZBnUcAExzPj.jpg

 

By Julian E. Barnes and Helene Cooper

June 3, 2021

WASHINGTON — American intelligence officials have found no evidence that aerial phenomena witnessed by Navy pilots in recent years are alien spacecraft, but they still cannot explain the unusual movements that have mystified scientists and the military, according to senior administration officials briefed on the findings of a highly anticipated government report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4EBZBnUcAExzPj.jpg
2021-06-17T06:00:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405404918010179586
@sparksyboy69 It's factual. Elizondo thinks that it's not human technology, and could be from outer space, inner space, or the space in-between.
This focus might have been seen by some as interfering with his job if his bosses thought more prosaic explanations were more likely.

2021-06-17T05:51:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405402821877407748
Showtime's "UFO" show to look at â□□what clandestine influence the American government, lucrative private companies and the military may have in shielding the truth behind extraterrestrial phenomena to further their own agendas.â□□

Interesting.

https://variety.com/2021/tv/news/ufo-jj-abrams-showtime-bad-robot-1234998522/

2021-06-17T05:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405395288152412161
@electricgregtar Because the report will likely contain new cases (and hopefully data) that will interesting to investigate. It's also going prompt a lot of interesting discussions.
There's even the chance that SOME questions might be answered (Pyramid, or Bokeh?)

2021-06-17T05:18:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405394450180755456
@EKAdams
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1405394282874245120/pu/img/tyE9KHHa7IiZrkV3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1405394282874245120/pu/img/tyE9KHHa7IiZrkV3.jpg
2021-06-17T04:48:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405386733408059396
@JLuvin I'm pretty sure some of the 120 UAP incidents have significant national security implications and should be fully investigated.

2021-06-17T04:46:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405386468067921929
@electricgregtar I AM on the edge of my seat.

2021-06-17T04:46:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405386377575895041
It seems clear from Elizondo's actions and associations after he left the Pentagon that he and others not only embraced the E.T. hypothesis of UFOs, but also entertained what are essentially paranormal hypotheses. So it's easy to see why his bosses might have been unhappy.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4DuM3FVEAgqWak.jpg

An inspector general complaint

Restoring some credibility to discussions about UFOs will be a challenge even after the report is submitted to Congress. Elizondo believes his career was derailed because of his enthusiasm for the program focused on dealing with such encounters.

Others think it's because officials like Elizondo leaned too far into the tantalizing possibility that the objects were from another world, tainting the effort with sci-fi undertones.

"It does not surprise me that somebody could work on this program and come away with that as a possibility and then become frustrated that others aren't pursuing this with the same vigor as other programs that the defense department works on," Norquist said.

In the full version of his inspector general complaint, which was reviewed by CNN, Elizondo says that senior officials dismissed his work on UFOs and he accuses some of actively trying to discredit and undermine him inside the Pentagon and with members of the media.

In his complaint, Elizondo recounts a particularly heated exchange in October 2017, shortly after he submitted his resignation letter, during which a senior DoD official threatened to "tell people you are crazy," noting it could impact his security clearance.

The next month, Elizondo claims he was told that the senior-level official was "coming after (him)," at which time he said he decided to hire legal counsel.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4DuM3FVEAgqWak.jpg

2021-06-17T04:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1405382992248250368

Quotes from lawmakers after the House Intelligence Committee UAP briefing today.

I disagree with Welch, but somewhat agree with Quigley.

We already know there's no evidence of aliens in it. The nature and quality of the actual evidence is unclear. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4DrpdYUYAMbzhg.jpg

---

Rep. Sean Patrick Maloney (D-NY).
"We take the issue of unexplained aerial phenomena seriously to the extent that we're dealing with the safety and security of US military personnel or the national security interests of the United States, so we want to know what we're dealing with. I think it's important to understand that there are legitimate questions involving the safety and security of our personnel, and in our operations and in our sensitive activities, and we all know that there's [a] proliferation of technologies out there. We need to understand the space a little bit better."

Rep. Val Demings (D-Fla.)
"You know it's always about our safety and security — our national security is [priority] number one — and so that's really the area where we really focused on this morning."

Rep. Adam Schiff (D-Calif.) House Intelligence Committee chairman
"It was an interesting briefing. I did learn things that were certainly new to me. But I think I'm going to leave it at that."

Rep. Andre Carson (D-Ind.)
"We're looking forward to having a public hearing at some point. I mean, there's some national security concerns that we want to take into consideration."

Rep. Mike Quigley (D-Ill.)
"The stigma is gone. Now that's as big a change in policy as I've witnessed about this issue in my lifetime. So the fact that they are taking this sort of thing seriously for the first time, I think, is important."
"What do they say in 'Contact'? Occam's razor," he said. "I still think that's what's real, and there are things we can't explain."
"If I had to predict how the public will react to this, one word would be 'disappointing,'"

Rep. Peter Welch (D-Vt.)
"I'm not on the edge of my seat."

Source: https://nypost.com/2021/06/16/ufos-could-threaten-u-s-security-pols-say-after-briefing/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4DrpdYUYAMbzhg.jpg

2021-06-16T23:33:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405307651857162240
@_IWant2Know_ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-06-16T23:30:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405306773364305922
@Catchme22322934 @BrandonFugal @g_knapp @UfoStudy I already have a TriField meter, so if Brandon will fly me there and give me lodging, I'll check it out.

2021-06-16T23:07:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405301082079653889
@The_Mars_Rover @jarrodf_ @TrevyLimited @uncertainvector @tomdelonge @JeremyCorbell Why not both?

2021-06-16T23:05:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405300478510919681
Suppose that Bigelownian AATIP style woo HAD started to seriously overlap real issues like drone airspace incursions and equipment/human identification limitations. How then could the Pentagon shut this down BEFORE the UAP report is released?
What actions should they take today?
https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1403910072586043394

2021-06-16T22:50:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405296640097865738
@The_Mars_Rover @jarrodf_ @TrevyLimited @uncertainvector @tomdelonge @JeremyCorbell Not that I think a whale is a great candidate for anything other than water disturbance, but.....
https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/wayward-beluga-spotted-san-diego-180975322/

2021-06-16T21:16:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405273021808480256
Rough breakdown of the comments on my Poltergeist debunking video.

90% - Good job!
6% - That might still be a real ghost!
2% - Poor job!
2% - You have faked this debunk

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wBD6m3IwMwQ
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4CIIbxVcAItCRW.jpg



Victor Wagg • 1 hour ago

@qabandiman is quite right, not all is as it seems here. I thought I saw a tiny filament of magicians thread looped through the bag shoulder strap, Mick tugs it slightly @0:36 to cause the bag to topple in this bogus recreation.

REPLY



qabandiman • 27 minutes ago

@Victor Wagg exactly! its possible. All I am saying lets examine all possibilities before receding to our child self and pretend objects can refuse to follow the laws of gravity for long periods of time and then magically decide to obey it. Be safe my friends

REPLY

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E4CIIbxVcAItCRW.jpg
2021-06-16T21:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405271406259015681
@RichardAgaeus @LtTimMcMillan @BrandonFugal @dave_beaty @sonya_serna @528vibes @foiaboi Start a petition!

2021-06-16T17:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405221839899480066
@markocheese @DietrichVFA41
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1405221812078710788/pu/img/NXhyW5lkQ5wklgc0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1405221812078710788/pu/img/NXhyW5lkQ5wklgc0.jpg
2021-06-16T17:29:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405216058345025540
@DrJ_Clayton Wait, what? I have read War is a Racket (it's a quick read, and I have a lot of sympathy for the general message). but I don't think 9/11 was a false flag. Tonkin wasn't either

- that was McNamara exploiting the fog of war.
Exploiting != Causing.

2021-06-16T17:23:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405214583212544001
@My111100496401 @DietrichVFA41 I did. There were a variety of takes, including some people who thought it was an actual poltergeist.

Also, investigations are fun!

2021-06-16T17:20:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405213753616961545
@fin365 @DietrichVFA41 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1405213295611584512

2021-06-16T17:18:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405213295611584512
The supernatural interruption of my interview with @DietrichVFA41 promoted the world's shortest poltergeist investigation.
(Spoiler: bag unstably perched on the edge of the shelf)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wBD6m3IwMwQ

2021-06-16T05:50:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405039990984220673
@Robin081564 @alicia_carrie @singularitymike @RyanSprague51 @DietrichVFA41 I almost immediately realized what it was. An unbalanced camera bag, which I'd moved earlier in the day, perched a little precariously on the edge of the shelf had just shifted and fallen.
I replicated it later.

2021-06-16T05:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405039358592241664
@RayMoor18837824 @FlWatchers Who did? I never suggested such a thing. I'm just vaguely suggesting something like a balloon.

2021-06-16T05:38:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1405037135384563713
@springjack72 @foiaboi Yes, a camera bag fell off a shelf. Obviously this revived some ancient childhood trauma of mine regarding camera bags. Or possible shelves?

2021-06-16T02:17:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404986436982505475
@DrJ_Clayton @UF0UAP @JeremyCorbell I looked at Hulseyâ□□s data in considerable depth.

2021-06-16T01:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404978973654671364
@tommyIzito @foiaboi Itâ□□s my â□□do not disturbâ□□ sign.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3987Z6VEAQFVZf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3987Z6VEAQFVZf.jpg

2021-06-16T00:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404965264416858116

@BrettTingley Only my coding spirit remains in that game.

2021-06-15T23:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404951533293162497

@MalCole1947 @UF0UAP @JeremyCorbell Well then let me know when this becomes interesting.

2021-06-15T23:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404951382835163140

@Santtu234 @RoswellHawks @realufobros @DietrichVFA41 I'm retired. I don't have a job.

2021-06-15T23:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404951213104267266

@xKapwn Probably Venus.

The guy seems unaware that the "pulsating" is just the auto-focus seeking on his camera. So we are just looking at a small bright light, very far away, quite possibly a planet.

2021-06-15T22:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404926192621289473

@RoswellHawks @realufobros @DietrichVFA41 CNN didn't pay me anything.

2021-06-15T20:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404895162048815105

@MustelaVolantes @TheUfoJoe @DietrichVFA41 I don't think there's sufficient information to reach an overarching conclusion about the case.

2021-06-15T20:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404894828194762752
@UF0UAP @JeremyCorbell It appears uninteresting.

2021-06-15T20:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404892836038791169
@Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @_NTME9_ @aka__V @benjimaan22 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein She discusses that here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwZU6RiTEAw

2021-06-15T19:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404890126308634628
@TheUfoJoe We only discussed the Event Summary
http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

---

2021-06-15T19:53:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404889835484049408
@EireScientist @DietrichVFA41 I think there's a place for both. Twitter is good for making connections and sharing thoughts. Not good for long discussions. If it were not for Twitter though, then this interview would never have happened.

2021-06-15T19:18:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404881021586182146
A discussion with @DietrichVFA41
about the Nimitz Event Summary document, the differences in recollection, parallax, and UAP reporting in general.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwZU6RiTEAw

2021-06-15T17:08:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404848382842007552
@DreamsEnd3 @MykullG @BrentlyLee @aka__V @DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein @mintyhyperspace @nine @ChrisKMellon I talk about drones all the time. It's my go-to reason for why UAP study is necessary.

2021-06-15T17:01:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404846450123579392
@SBrowneITF It's just a radar tower for the Air Force base. That's all. Highly imaginative story.

2021-06-15T16:43:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404841898234155008
@enmasse01 @EricRWeinstein It's simply my assessment of the available evidence, based on looking into that evidence (videos in particular) for a few years.

2021-06-15T14:45:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404812428324507656

@specialized_tr @DietrichVFA41 Iâ□□m retired.

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-06-15T10:46:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404752115642224640
@dannynormanCOYS @Mark41782211 @BoyFrimSpace @DietrichVFA41 I don't think "naval radar tracked objects for weeks", nor do I think the videoed object was the same one as the pilots saw.

2021-06-15T10:04:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404741540568723456
@WILDBILLWILL86 @LuAngeles @singularitymike @BSquidding @JazzShaw @DietrichVFA41 @LueElizondo I'm not trying to discredit. I'm trying to figure it out.

If people invite me, I generally go.

2021-06-15T10:02:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404741178440835072
@dannynormanCOYS @Mark41782211 @BoyFrimSpace @DietrichVFA41 "Jammed the camera lock" - no, the camera mode changes caused loss of lock.

2021-06-15T10:01:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404740911964114948
@BoyFrimSpace @PoliticalGeeker @fluffytail1 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein That one report (The "Event Summary") says they found it five miles away from the disturbance in the water, not that it was mirroring them five miles away from them.

http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

---

2021-06-15T09:58:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404740046826049538
RT @mariannaspring: Protesters opposing restrictions - many inspired by online conspiracies about the pandemic and vaccines - harassing theâ□¦

2021-06-15T08:23:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404716175628455939
RT @spaghetti_ballz: De-de-de-... bunking the @chrisotis78 v @MickWest GIMBAL analysis. I plotted the path of the F/A 18 over the 34 sec viâ□¦

2021-06-15T05:43:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404675933013876736
A look at some recent claims about de-debunking GoFast.

Video by @edwardcurrent

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-3NYowlCoDc

2021-06-15T04:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404652892401803267

@AtWhatIsWrong @Spectre67904513 @DietrichVFA41 Not irrelevant. But not particularly useful when they diverge.

2021-06-15T01:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404608306589560835

@JLuvin @CDVilsack @BobMcGwier_N4HY @RGoddardishere I think the bigger issue here is more that both Fravor and Dietrich said the object was directly over the disturbance in the water. But in the Event Summary, it's 5 NM away.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34ryimUUAU_Qh2.jpg

FAST EAGLES (110/100) COULD NOT FIND UNID AIRBORNE CONTACT AT LOCATION GIVEN BY PRINCETON. WHILE SEARCHING FOR UNID AIR CONTACT, FAST EAGLES SPOTTED LARGE UNID OBJECT IN WATER AT 1430L. PILOTS SAW STEAM/ SMOKE/CHURNING AROUND OBJECT. PILOT DESCRIBES OBJECT INITIALLY AS RESEMBLING A DOWNED AIRLINER, ALSO STATED THAT IT WAS MUCH LARGER THAN A SUBMARINE.

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATER, FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34ryimUUAU_Qh2.jpg

2021-06-15T01:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404607513702506498

@AtWhatIsWrong @NightInvisible @theferaltweets @MGjorwell There were two things there. I was trying to get confirmation from Fravor that he had eyes-on for five minutes (which he later confirmed to Dietrich). Then I was making a point that eyewitness accounts are often unreliable, as evidenced here with the two different accounts.

2021-06-14T23:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404581884693139457

@AtWhatIsWrong @ParabunkBlog I'm sorry I ask multiple questions. I'm not changing the subject, there simply ARE multiple questions, and I want to find the answers to all of them.
I also want to know if eyewitnesses agree with the written accounts.

2021-06-14T23:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404581516349378560

@conorowens94 @fluffytail1 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein It's unclear if this CVW-11 event summary is legit. Perhaps Alex could comment on that?

Link to text:

http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

---

2021-06-14T23:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404580888550146048
@GeezerSqueezer @TomSuesss @EricRWeinstein I don't lie. I might make mistakes, but I don't lie.
Mellon said there was one (unreleased) video more EMOTIONALLY compelling, but Gimbal was the most compelling video and Nimitz is the most compelling case overall.

This is what you've got. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34S0bIVIAAYTvH.jpg

---



JR **Joe Rogan** 1:59:36

That's pretty crazy. I couldn't agree more. What video that you've seen is the most compelling that's been released. And have you seen anything that hasn't been released? That's more compelling.

CM **Chris Mellon** 1:59:49

One of the ones that hasn't been released is, has got more emotional impact. Certainly.

JR **Joe Rogan** 1:59:56

Can you give us a tease?

CM **Chris Mellon** 1:59:57

the UFO is going really close to this guy, And he's freaking out for good reason. But so that that doesn't mean that the object was necessarily super advanced craft, that he's going so fast and maybe it was actually moving slowly and hanging there and he went by it super fast. But coming real fast and hear me going rate between him and another guy. And

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34StIfVgAEQh4o.jpg



1 **Speaker 1** 2:05:27

So that image or that video alone is probably to you the most compelling I think the most,

CM **Chris Mellon** 2:05:34

I think actually the most compelling case is the Nimitz case. Because you have so many witnesses and so many sensor look at the whole case, you have to talk to all the pilots involved, which I've done. You have to talk to the radar operators on the different on the on the Princeton, there's also radar operators on the etc. Hawkeye, which was up there monitoring this, getting sporadic hits and then you have this third fit, and that goes up and takes that video. So there's a lot of different information from a lot of different sources. And the thing is, it's all perfectly congruent. It all hangs together. Nobody's contradicting anybody else's story. And what people are seeing is what the sensors are reporting. So that case overall is really the the most compelling to not to my mind.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34S0bIVIAAYTvH.jpg

Joe Rogan 2:02:33

So that one video that flies very close to the pilot, that's the one that you find to be the most compelling

Chris Mellon 2:02:39

that has the most I think emotional impact on people. I, I think the gimbal video, when you understand that there's a second fleet of of UFOs that are maneuvering near those guys, in addition to what you're seeing there and the pilots reaction, maneuvering, that's probably the most compelling. The FLIR's instantaneous acceleration, which is another thing that we don't have, we can't do, we don't have anything they can sit there, hovering, and then just suddenly, [swhoosh], you know,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34SHVyUUAUKynf.jpg

2021-06-14T23:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404575628012122112

The government's UAS report covers a variety of real-world issues associated with airspace incursions by UAS. Some of this should be reflected in the UAP report.

(Thanks to Roger Glassel)

https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-18-110.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34Nyv9UcAcjLOI.jpg

---

Figure 7: The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Air Traffic Organization's Criteria for Acceptability of Safety Risks Posed by Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)

Likelihood of risk	Severity of risk				
	Minimal[a]	Minor[b]	Major[c]	Hazardous[d]	Catastrophic[e]
Frequent At least once per week					
Probable Less than once per week and at least once per 3 months					
Remote Less than once per 3 months and at least once per 3 years					
Extremely remote Less than once per 3 years and at least once per 30 years					
Extremely improbable Less than once per 30 years					[f]

The risk level is "low," meaning the risk is acceptable without any mitigation

The risk level is "medium," meaning the risk is acceptable, although mitigation is recommended

The risk level is "high," meaning the risk is unacceptable unless it is mitigated to a level of "low" or "medium"

Source: GAO presentation of Federal Aviation Administration information. I GAO-18-110

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34Nyv9UcAcjLOI.jpg

Figure 2: The Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) Monthly Reports of Unmanned Aircraft Systems Sightings, February 2014 through April 2018



Source: GAO analysis of FAA data. I GAO-18-110

However, the extent that these reports represent actual incidents of unsafe use is unclear, for the following reasons:

- FAA told us that most of the reports cannot be verified because a small UAS typically is not detected by radar, the small UAS pilot is usually not identified, or the small UAS or other physical evidence is not recovered. FAA and some aviation industry stakeholders also told us that the reliability of many of the reports is questionable; FAA explained that this is because pilots can have difficulty positively identifying objects as small UAS, given their small size, their distance from the observed position, the speeds at which a manned aircraft

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E34NmuTVEAAMs7B.jpg

2021-06-14T22:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404561065380253696

@benjimaan22 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein "OBJECT ... 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER"

"25-30 FEET IN LENGTH"

"CAPSULE ACHIEVED 600-700 KTS ... LOST VISUAL ID OF CAPSULE IN HAZE"

2021-06-14T22:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404559538351607809

@fluffytail1 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein 5 miles away.

Kind of a big difference.

2021-06-14T21:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404558525393555459

@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Source: http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

---

2021-06-14T21:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404558419931983875

@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Yeah, another account that does not match

in major details.

2021-06-14T20:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404540374140424194

@Spectre67904513 @DietrichVFA41 We all want to try to figure out what this thing was, so an accurate description of how it moved is important. Knowing that there are memory issues allows us to adjust our confidence appropriately.

2021-06-14T19:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404528002570997760

@DietrichVFA41 Didn't you see it fly across the churning water? i.e. before Fravor's slow descent? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33iufPVIAI-7cQ.jpg

---



Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a “tic-tac” candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine. As such, they were concerned that the object could pose a threat, especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33iufPVIAI-7cQ.jpg

2021-06-14T19:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404526060851503104

@DietrichVFA41 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein What debrief? I don't think there's one publicly available. Do you have a copy?

2021-06-14T19:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404525725353353218

@mattsaavedra @JoyousMN @AShoulkin @JeremyCorbell I'm literally here, trying to get clarification from the witnesses. Talking to them, listening to what they say.

2021-06-14T19:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404514078693810178

@gammaraybeam @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @mission_europa or something. I'm not even sure what it might have been supposedly hiding. The first big didn't show sudden moves any more than the later bits did.

2021-06-14T18:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404513806152192000
@gammaraybeam @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @mission_europa The story there is that I stabilized the portion of the video that was locked on, because it was quick and easy to do in After Effects. I posted that portion.
Later I manually stabilized the entire video and posted that.
Someone thought the first video was "hiding" something.

2021-06-14T18:57:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404513304211464201
@Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman @BrentlyLee @DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Memories change over the years.

2021-06-14T18:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404513130634366976
@_NTME9_ @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @steven_nikolic @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @UFOmusings @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Se did not misspeak. She was quite clear. I asked her explicitly, just in case there was a misunderstanding. There was not.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33VMcbVkAISHI-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33VMcbVkAISHI-.jpg
2021-06-14T18:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404509235065475072
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @gammaraybeam @mission_europa Paradoxically, in my teens, I was a SciFi fan, and my favorite books were things like Rendevous With Rama and Dragon's Egg - about visiting aliens.

Kids have nightmares sometimes. They grow out of them. I'm 54.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33RsGuUcAAY73o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33RsGuUcAAY73o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E33RjrPVcAEmvJA.jpg

2021-06-14T18:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404504202886348803

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @theferaltweets @mission_europa @gammaraybeam He's consistently said the same thing for 5 years, right up to the 60 Minutes interview, with Dietrich sat next to him.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZBtMbBPzqHY&t=540s

2021-06-14T18:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404503067299512320
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @theferaltweets @mission_europa @gammaraybeam I know how memory works. That's my point.

Recollections from 17 years ago are not particularly useful data - especially when they diverge significantly.

2021-06-14T18:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404499556490829827
@AShoulkin @JoyousMN @JeremyCorbell I'm looking AT an inconsistency.

2021-06-14T18:02:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404499396083916801
@TippingsTipples @Thisappleisred @UAPEngineering @10000HorasDeMed @JeremyCorbell I don't know. I'd suspected something involving misjudging distance giving an illusion of speed. But Dietrich's account is confusing matters. Hard to recreate something that's either a leisurely 5-minute dance, or an intense 10-second dogfight.

2021-06-14T18:00:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404498893254004738
@benjimaan22 @SnakePo9 @HorusOwl @AShoulkin @JeremyCorbell No, That's not what Fravor says. Fravor says it was five minutes of eyes-on. Five minutes from when they showed up.

2021-06-14T17:59:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404498687695278092
@KeithThompson1 The Nimitz case is intriguing. I'm still looking into it.

2021-06-14T17:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404498113583144961
@4Eridani @JetEnthusiast @DietrichVFA41 @EricRWeinstein This thread is nothing to do with my discussion with Eric, which ended with the ball in his court.

2021-06-14T17:53:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404497226991210496
@SnakePo9 @HorusOwl @AShoulkin @JeremyCorbell It's not a complicated question.

How long between the first visual of the Tic Tac and the last? 10 seconds? 5 minutes? Something else?

It's not a trick question either. It's something that begs for clarification.

2021-06-14T17:43:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404494811051159555
@j0hnny_x5 @JeremyCorbell You seem to be suggesting I DM Jeremy?

2021-06-14T17:42:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404494510105657347
@SnakePo9 @HorusOwl @AShoulkin @JeremyCorbell I have been told both 5 minutes and 10

seconds for the same sequence of events (Merge plot to vanishing)

This raises questions.

2021-06-14T17:41:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404494153396879365
@Thisappleisred @UAPEngineering @10000HorasDeMed @JeremyCorbell It does not "discredit the entire event."

It makes it harder to argue that you have corroborating eyewitnesses. And very hard to extract any data.

They still presumably saw SOMETHING.

2021-06-14T17:39:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404493593612460036
@MGjorwell The reason for asking is to get his answer.

2021-06-14T17:32:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404491838430453768
@AShoulkin @JeremyCorbell So you prefer the question be unresolved? No more data in case it does not help?

I'm investigating here. Trying to figure out what happened. Are you not?

2021-06-14T17:24:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404490043201245184
Hey #UFOTwitter

Can someone ask Commander David Fravor how long they had a visual (eyeballs, not screens or HUD) on the Tic-Tac after merge plot?

I have no contact info for him.

@JeremyCorbell - you've got his number, maybe you can help clear this up?

2021-06-14T16:50:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404481385201504259
@TrevyLimited @DaSlick23 @theferaltweets @markocheese @AliensOnEarth_ I don't know what they. But I know that it's considerably harder to figure out if their accounts are so radically different.

2021-06-14T16:22:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404474371884732423
@DaSlick23 @theferaltweets @markocheese @AliensOnEarth_ You mean her word over Fravor?

Fravor has said several times that they all had eyes on for nearly five minutes AFTER they get there.

2021-06-14T16:17:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404473142085505027
@Spark71029439 @mad_hatter_87 @JordonFlato @DietrichVFA41 @adrian_probert @SnakePo9 @realufobros @TxUFOSightings @fluffytail1 I'm saying at least one of them is wrong. I think an honest mistake or bad memory is the most likely.

2021-06-14T16:16:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404472898023149572
@DaSlick23 @theferaltweets @markocheese @AliensOnEarth_ Fravor says five minutes from the time they show up.

Dietrich says 10 seconds from merge plot.

Merge plot = the time they show up.

2021-06-14T16:14:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404472254260416512
@mad_hatter_87 @JordonFlato @DietrichVFA41 @adrian_probert @SnakePo9 @realufobros @TxUFOSightings @fluffytail1 She said "Visual for 8-10sec after merge plot"

But you interpret that differently?

I'm not saying they are lying.

2021-06-14T16:13:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404471964400439303
@theferaltweets @DaSlick23 @markocheese @AliensOnEarth_ Also "rapidly accelerates to the south in about 2 seconds and disappears"

Which is quite a chunk of the 8-10 seconds.

2021-06-14T16:10:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404471243252781059
@JamesAlphonse9 @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein I think Fravor is very clear that he means direct visual observations after merge plot. There are multiple instances of him describing it. He also describes the descent as "slow"

2021-06-14T16:07:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404470683338346497
@PlasticPaddy9 @DietrichVFA41 No, she says she saw it cross over the white water

immediately after merge plot

"Source" here is Dietrich. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E32uadXVcAQjYmn.jpg

---



concerned because we were in a situation that we may have to use our aircraft itself as weapon. I was thinking to myself that this could be another September 11th–style attack that we were being asked to intercept.) As both F-18s approached the target location, the female controller began to count down the anticipated intercept time, “Two minutes to merge plot,” etc. Finally, the female controller indicated "merge plot" and announced, “You should have visual.”

As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, they noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be “roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined they thought they were witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as they made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E32uadXVcAQjYmn.jpg

2021-06-14T16:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404469198357557250

@IvanHeadless @BrentlyLee @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Listen to about 1 minute

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dvfRRgFHSRE&t=1750s

"Slow descent"

2021-06-14T16:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404468825882435588

@PlasticPaddy9 No. He meant they could visually see it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dvfRRgFHSRE&t=1750s

2021-06-14T15:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404468419299139588

@Lake5m1th @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein She says "Visual for 8-10sec after merge plot."

Fravor has said on multiple occasions for 4+ years that they had visual for five minutes, and that the encounter was "easy" and "slow".

2021-06-14T15:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404467070427820041

@markocheese @DaSlick23 @AliensOnEarth_ Feed? They did not have ATFLIR cameras. Fravor says they saw it with their eyes as soon as they saw the white water. Deitrich says "Visual for 8-10sec after merge plot."

2021-06-14T15:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404466376295649288

@OKftl @BobMcGwier_N4HY @RGoddardishere "Visual for 8-10sec after merge plot."

https://twitter.com/DietrichVFA41/status/1404286839238934530

2021-06-14T15:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404464408177176583

@GeorgeIoannide9 @DietrichVFA41 I am asking for more data, in order to better understand what happened.

2021-06-14T15:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404464096146120705

@JLuvin @BobMcGwier_N4HY @RGoddardishere Because they were not in the plane with her.

Kevin Day says the Tic-Tac did a barrel roll around Fravor's plane before it dove down to the ocean - so clearly that's not an accurate account.

2021-06-14T15:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404463631811551236

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @LivingExtraord1 Nope, Deitrich is talking about "after merge plot". Same as Fravor.

https://twitter.com/DietrichVFA41/status/1404286839238934530

2021-06-14T15:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404459547754852354

Question for @DietrichVFA41, when you got to "Merge Plot", was that:

A) Called out by the Princeton?
B) On your SA?
C) Both?

If B/C was the SA view from your plane's radar or just datalink from the Princeton?

2021-06-14T15:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404458527205126147

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @RGoddardishere She describes him engaging over less than 10 seconds. He says five minutes.

2021-06-14T15:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404458193091104770

@gfodor @TweetDavidBraun Also, his TAS calculation was wrong. A smaller error, but he's using his credentials as a pilot, and so you'd expect better.

2021-06-14T15:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404457876165316620

@bottwater @AFSUnidentified @DietrichVFA41 That is almost literally what she said.

https://twitter.com/DietrichVFA41/status/1404286839238934530

2021-06-14T15:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404457562402000896

@fluffytail1 @DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein What who saw? The 5-minute easy slow descent encounter of Fravor? Or the high-g dogfight of Deitrich?

2021-06-14T15:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404457120762716174

@RGoddardishere Her answer is that Fravor's account is wrong. You should ask him if he accepts it.

2021-06-14T15:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404456957138726921

@gfodor @TweetDavidBraun It was fixed, you see a constant (+/- 1) 241 knots CAS on screen.

2021-06-14T15:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404456737650872324

@Munook @TheUfoJoe And yet a key point that makes the Nimitz encounter credible is this "four set of eyeballs".

Who apparently saw different length events.

2021-06-14T15:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404456389464891401

@bottwater @AFSUnidentified @DietrichVFA41 She said from merge plot, same time start as Fravor. 10 seconds vs. 5 minutes

---

2021-06-14T15:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404455203194081284

@Born2Pleb http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E32giaaUcAAvf9u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E32giaaUcAAvf9u.jpg
2021-06-14T15:04:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404454791653306371
@gfodor @TweetDavidBraun A tweet
https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1403123732193947649

2021-06-14T15:01:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404453951425048584
@gfodor @TweetDavidBraun He admitted he was wrong there.

2021-06-14T14:53:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404451869380911105
@Munook @TheUfoJoe I have said all along that the accounts don't line up - often with significant differences.

While that does not mean that nothing happened, it makes it harder to trust individual recollections as accurate, even when they agree.

2021-06-14T14:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404447412215685125
@exec14 Most people live near bodies of water. 40% in coastal counties. Others near the great lakes, other lakes, and major rivers.

2021-06-14T14:31:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404446484263698435
@GambleDale @_NTME9_ @BrentlyLee @TrevyLimited @PoliticalGeeker @DietrichVFA41 @UFOmusings @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Also different from 10 seconds.
Plus you've got to add the time they watched it before the descent.

2021-06-14T14:28:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404445711186288649
@UFOmusings @GambleDale @_NTME9_ @BrentlyLee @TrevyLimited @PoliticalGeeker @DietrichVFA41 @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Deitrich says the entire visual encounter, from the time of the "merge plot", was ten seconds.

2021-06-14T14:27:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404445341978558469
@gfodor @TweetDavidBraun Chris gets the physics really wrong in this one. Weight does not affect the Rate of Turn, based on bank angle.

2021-06-14T14:23:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404444463297007624
@bottwater @AFSUnidentified In interviews. Example:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZBtMbBPzqHY#t=9m04s

2021-06-14T14:21:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404443871891791877
@expostUAP @chrisotis78 A bad simulation using the wrong FOV and a bunch of assumptions is not "evidence".

2021-06-14T14:20:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404443642991837190
@GambleDale @_NTME9_ @BrentlyLee @TrevyLimited @PoliticalGeeker @DietrichVFA41 @UFOmusings @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Fravor says he did it at 300 knots (345 mph)

He also says the entire visual encounter was about five minutes.

2021-06-14T14:18:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404443219522252808
@Acidquest @Ibn_e_Channa What am I supposedly misunderstanding here?

It still seems very clear to me that Fravor says they watched it for five minutes, with their eyes, directly, after the merge plot.

Deitrich says that was 10 seconds.

The account do not match.

2021-06-14T14:14:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404442176528912395
@bottwater @AFSUnidentified Sure, but that's not what I'm talking about. I'm talking about the huge difference in accounts - 5 minutes vs. 10 seconds - that cast doubt on the overall accuracy of those accounts.

You want to change the subject?

2021-06-14T14:05:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404439743052451846
@Fiddaman @Disclose_UFOUAP @BrentlyLee @DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I don't know, that depends on their personal circumstances and preferences, to which I am not privy.

All I know is they are both speaking freely now, and describing different things.

2021-06-14T14:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404439040208769029
@bottwater @AFSUnidentified I'm taking this very much in context.

Time of first sighting to time it vanished.

Fravor: 5 minutes
Deitrich: 10 seconds

2021-06-14T13:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404437953749819392
@SwavZielin Fravor says the visual, all four sets of eyeballs, was five minutes.

2021-06-14T13:55:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404437399204110337
@BostonsBuddha @MarkWil23470992 @DietrichVFA41 Fravor is not simply talking for five minutes. He explicitly says the encounter lasted five minutes from the time the first saw it.

2021-06-14T13:42:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404434166549139460
@PanSeikilos @AliensOnEarth_ Misspoke over a dozen times in many years?

Someone is, at the very least, misremembering.

2021-06-14T13:40:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404433656353943553

@MarkWil23470992 What about it can be reliably discerned to be the same, if their accounts diverge so radically?

2021-06-14T13:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404430653265899520

@Disclose_UFOUAP @BrentlyLee @DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I donâ□□t think they are lying.

2021-06-14T10:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404386101763010562

@AliensOnEarth_ Fravor said they had eyes on for five minutes.

2021-06-14T07:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404339569827278849

Two pilots see the same UFO.

One says the encounter lasted ten seconds, a high-g dogfight.

The other says it lasted nearly five minutes, with an easy descent.

#ufowitter, is this not an important difference to resolve?

2021-06-14T05:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404312578390560768

@DailyGrail @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Possibly, but I don't think so. He's talked about it several times and has been fairly consistent in how long people were looking at it.

Can someone contact him?

2021-06-14T05:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404312228606607360

@Wardor82 @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Because the argument is that multiple witnesses saw the same thing, which validates their accounts. If they have VERY different memories, then that renders all accounts unreliable.

2021-06-14T05:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404311236586598401

@TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein He literally says five minutes in that account on Fridman.

2021-06-14T05:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404310729981796356

@Wardor82 @DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein The issue here is how long the eyes-on portion of the encounter was. 10 seconds, or five minutes. Or something else.

2021-06-14T05:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404309795469893636

@DietrichVFA41 @UFOTORONTO @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein And yet Fravor seems very clear in multiple accounts that he (and, by his account, you and the others) were watching it with your own eyes for five minutes.

Fridman Podcast, 1:23:00 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30cE_6VoAAyFz8.jpg

---



that it pushes the air down and then has to come up the sides. So you see it and you go, Well, there's no, there's no rotor wash, what is that thing. So by this time, we're driving around. So as we're if we were at the six o'clock, we're driving around towards that nine o'clock position, and we're just watching this thing. And it's just, it's still pointed north, south, and it's going left, right, and it's kind of moving around the object. And if it had, if I had to say biased itself, it was biased towards the bottom half. So if you've got the east west, and then the north south kind of across, it's hanging out on the southern thing that's hanging out, it's just kind of moving up, down, left, and it's crossing over, and it's going up, just kind of. So now we're like, what the hell is that? So then I go, Hey, I'm gonna go check it out. And other pilot says, I'm gonna stay up here. And I said, Yeah, stay up high, because now we get, we get a different perspective. So she's up here, and I'm down here as I'm descending. She can watch because right now all I'm watching is the tic tac, she can watch me and the Tic Tac so she gets a God's eye view of everything that's going on, which is really important. You'll hear people say it's hi cover whatever, she's watching me, which is it's perfect as the story goes on, because it gives us a two perspectives, you know, of perspective, that's my 8000 feet above us, when that thing disappears, and they don't you know, because if it's just like, Oh, I lost it. And they go, No, it's over to the right, we can still see it. We all lost it at the same time. So as we come down, we get to about 12 o'clock, and I'm just sending it, it's an easy to send, I'm doing about 300 knots, which is a really good airspeed for the airplane for maneuvering because I have, I have everything available to me at that speed. So I'm coming down. And as I get to 12 o'clock is a tick Tech's doing this, it literally it's like a big, it's aware of us and it just goes and it kind of points out towards the west and starts coming up. So now it's obviously knows that we're there, whatever this thing is, and I was over there. So as we drive around, it's coming up and I'm just coming down and we're just I'm just watching it again. Remember, this whole thing is like this is like five minutes. This is not like we saw it it was gone. Or who I saw lights in the sky and they were gone. We watched the thing, kind of crystal clear day with four trained observers watch this thing fly around. So we're like, okay, so I get over to the eight o'clock position. And I'm a little I'm a couple 1000 feet above it, and it's about so I'm probably

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30cE_6VoAAyFz8.jpg

2021-06-14T05:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404308583844442112

@TrevyLimited @PoliticalGeeker @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @UFOmusings @_NTME9_

@DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Read this in ten seconds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30bLuOVIAIln1V.jpg

So we see that and so he's pointing the east. So it would be on the right-hand side of the airplane. Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, and it's moving around erratically. So it's doing this. It's not hovering, you know, it's not. It's moving just randomly around. Not fast just kind of left right forward and back. There's no rotor wash, which you see from a helicopter. It's just this odd object. **And so by this time we passed by it and now I'm starting a right hand turn. So we're going from a clock-code, from six o'clock towards the 9:00 o'clock position.** And I'm like, well that's interesting. I said, "well, I'm gonna go check it out".

So at about the nine o'clock, between probably nine and ten, I started an easy descent. And I'm watching this thing and it's just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it's basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it's kind of moving around like it was checking it out. That's kind of odd.

So as we passed through about the twelve o'clock position and we're descending. It kind of recognizes that we're there and it starts to mirror us. So now, think of it at the six o'clock position we're at the 12 o'clock position. We're coming down and it starts coming up. So it's going towards nine o'clock and we're going towards three o'clock. And we do this all the way around until I get all the way back towards about the nine o'clock position. So I'm still coming down nice and easy and I'm watching this thing. Because it's just kind of watching us and following. And I'm like that's kind of weird. So now there's probably about, let me think, 2500, it's probably about maybe 3,000 feet below us and about a mile across the circle. It's about the size of an F-18. So you know 47 feet long. But it has no wings. I don't see any exhaust plume, you know, like an older airplane would have smoke. There's none of that.

So as I come across, I'm a little above him. He's at the 3 o'clock position and I go, "well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver". So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o'clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o'clock. So he's over here and I go like this (motions cutting across the circle). So as I get down to about, I'm probably about 60 degrees nose low a little, pulling through the bottom. It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I've ever seen. It literally is one minute it's there and the next minute it's like, poof, and it's gone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30bLuOVIAIln1V.jpg

2021-06-14T05:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404306633140756486

@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Here is a description from Fravor, consistent with others. He describes an "easy descent" from 6 o'clock, through 9, then all the way around to 9. Total 450Â° before he cuts across a mile. So about 4 miles around. 10 seconds.

1250 knots.

Not an "easy descent," surely? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30X-P4UcAAb-e2.jpg

So we see that and so he's pointing the east. So it would be on the right-hand side of the airplane. Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, and it's moving around erratically. So it's doing this. It's not hovering, you know, it's not. It's moving just randomly around. Not fast just kind of left right forward and back. There's no rotor wash, which you see from a helicopter. It's just this odd object. **And so by this time we passed by it and now I'm starting a right hand turn. So we're going from a clock-code, from six o'clock towards the 9:00 o'clock position.** And I'm like, well that's interesting. I said, "well, I'm gonna go check it out".

So at about the nine o'clock, between probably nine and ten, I started an easy descent. And I'm watching this thing and it's just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it's basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it's kind of moving around like it was checking it out. That's kind of odd.

So as we passed through about the twelve o'clock position and we're descending. It kind of recognizes that we're there and it starts to mirror us. So now, think of it at the six o'clock position we're at the 12 o'clock position. We're coming down and it starts coming up. So it's going towards nine o'clock and we're going towards three o'clock. And we do this all the way around until I get all the way back towards about the nine o'clock position. So I'm still coming down nice and easy and I'm watching this thing. Because it's just kind of watching us and following. And I'm like that's kind of weird. So now there's probably about, let me think, 2500, it's probably about maybe 3,000 feet below us and about a mile across the circle. It's about the size of an F-18. So you know 47 feet long. But it has no wings. I don't see any exhaust plume, you know, like an older airplane would have smoke. There's none of that.

So as I come across, I'm a little above him. He's at the 3 o'clock position and I go, "well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver". So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o'clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o'clock. So he's over here and I go like this (motions cutting across the circle). So as I get down to about, I'm probably about 60 degrees nose low a little, pulling through the bottom. It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I've ever seen. It literally is one minute it's there and the next minute it's like, poof, and it's gone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30X-P4UcAAb-e2.jpg
2021-06-14T05:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404304381621587968
@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein @Debriefmedia Yes (circumstances permitting). Sounds fascinating.

2021-06-14T05:04:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404303800001724419
@UFOTORONTO @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein I'm not baiting anyone. However, for years people have understood the encounter as lasting several minutes based on Fravor's description. Now we are supposed to condense that all down to ten seconds.

2021-06-14T04:51:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404300514376916993
@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Also Fravor on Rogan: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30T2ucUcAQzRyL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E30T2ucUcAQzRyL.jpg
2021-06-14T04:47:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404299345030094851
@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein So when Fravor describes a more leisurely five-minute eye-on encounter, what is he talking about?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aB8zcAttP1E&t=5075s

2021-06-14T04:41:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404297818450259976
@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Okay, now just to be REALLY CLEAR. You say that you only had eyes on the object for a TOTAL of ten seconds, starting just after merge plot?

You first see the Tic Tac, Fravor descends, circles, cuts across, then it shoots off. All in ten seconds while you observe from above?

2021-06-14T04:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404294307700363274

@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PoliticalGeeker @UFOmusings @_NTME9_ @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @aka__V @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Dogfight? Run out of fuel in five minutes? Forgive my ignorance, but Fravor describes a descent, a circling, and then cutting across, over the course of five minutes.

Yet you say it all happened in 10 seconds.

2021-06-14T04:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404292672420941825

@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I have not. But I understand how long ten seconds is, and how long five minutes is. You say you had eyes-on for ten seconds. David Favor says five minutes. This is a huge difference. It does not seem unreasonable to question it.

I'm not trying to trick anyone.

2021-06-14T02:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404270342537846785

@MykullG @aka__V @DietrichVFA41 @DreamsEnd3 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein I'm not implying dishonesty. I think that accounts differ because A) they were perceived differently at the time and B) memories change.

2021-06-14T01:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404254257751027720

@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Alex, it seems impossible to fit your entire description into 8-10 seconds, let alone Fravorâ□□s descent and circling. Are you sure thatâ□□s the time from your first eyes-on?

2021-06-14T01:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404251040463998979

@JLuvin Great!

2021-06-14T01:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404250136427913219

@mad_hatter_87 @stringythings No. If thereâ□□s already two diametrically opposed accounts, then the difference needs resolving or we might as well throw it all out.

2021-06-14T01:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404248818418282499

@mad_hatter_87 If her account is radically different Fravorâ□□s then thatâ□□s significant. Do we have corroborating accounts, or not?

2021-06-14T01:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404247858971217925

@JenWestcott @SuperVFunCat Looks like veiling glare.

2021-06-14T01:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404247632545939458

@mad_hatter_87 The whole thing is odd. What is your point?

2021-06-14T01:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404245442699816965

This seems like a significant difference. Is there a misunderstanding? Or actual different memories.

5 minutes vs. 10 seconds. https://twitter.com/BrentlyLee/status/1404231255470874625

2021-06-14T01:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404244247511855105

RT @DietrichVFA41: @JeremyUnidenti1 And I have had many close calls with things (planes, drones, flocks of birds) that have made me go â□□WTâ□¦

2021-06-13T21:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404188005875666944

@DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Like it flew off horizontally? Speed estimate?

2021-06-13T21:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404183603286736896

@DietrichVFA41 @PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I was asking why the report does not discuss the object â□□vanishingâ□□, as that seems like a really significant thing. Whatâ□□s your recollection of losing sight of it?

2021-06-13T19:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404152619166142464

@InvisibleColege @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein "Thereâ□□s either some mental blockage that someone needs to study" - hey that's the topic of a column I'm writing!

What do you think might be behind my "mental blockage"?

2021-06-13T19:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404152199375032320

@ChristopherKMe4 I'd be really happy to hear the answers.

I'm not sure I'd lead with #1 though. It contains vast unfounded assumptions and accusations that might color their response. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3yMv44UYAIf1g0.jpg

---

1. Why did awareness of the UAP phenomenon have to come from private citizens outside the government devoting several years of their lives to bringing this issue to the attention of the American people and their government? What does this stunning intelligence failure tell us about the U.S. Intelligence Community? How is it possible that these intrusions could continue for years without notice by any senior government officials or even NORAD? What other strategic issues are the intelligence community failing to report due to potential controversy or stigma?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3yMv44UYAIf1g0.jpg
2021-06-13T18:59:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404151418580131842
@Jim87504388 @Jacks0006 Well, it sure isn't for the money!

It's fun, interesting puzzles, interesting people.

I'll probably write a book (quick, publishers contact me now!!!!:grinning_squinting_face:) but there's not a lot of money it that either.

2021-06-13T18:57:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404150861069119489
@PotatoRamirez @LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein If it's so extraordinary, why did Deitrich not mention it when she was interviewed in 2017?

2021-06-13T18:55:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404150391126646785
@marcbel19406167 Sadly no good photos.

2021-06-13T18:54:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404150081905827841
@gammaraybeam I meant that based on his full list. Question 5 (possible CIA cover-up) and 6 (not looking at the right data), for example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3yLDkxVEAMXJEJ.jpg

---

5. The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Committee (SSCI) asked for a comprehensive review of all U.S. intelligence by functional categories (SIGINT, HUMINT, MASINT, etc.) Which collection disciplines provided useful data and how will the committees determine whether organizations like the CIA were truly forthcoming if they reported no pertinent HUMINT?

6. Thus far, all the leaked data has involved tactical data from ships and planes. Why should we assume that should remain our focus when we have vastly more powerful radars such as the Ballistic Missile Early Warning System that cover vast regions? Is it really plausible that planes and ships are having recurring incidents but these large systems covering vast areas have not identified anything over long periods of time?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3yLDkxVEAMXJEJ.jpg
2021-06-13T18:48:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404148724620693508
@LtTimMcMillan @TomSuesss @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V

@EricRWeinstein A) Because that would provide a very simple explanation for what they thought they saw - especially Fravor.
B) Because it's impossible to judge the distance to an unknown object with no reference points if you don't know how big it is.

2021-06-13T17:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404130273663410181
@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein No. I want more data, so I can get a better understanding of what happened.

And I'm not upset. I don't do upset.

2021-06-13T17:32:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404129476762509312
Mellon takes things to the next level by asking congress about reports of "reverse-engineering recovered vehicles."
Basically, he's preemptively characterizing the UAP report as either incompetent or a whitewash because it lacks things like that.
Maybe there's a simpler reason. https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1404124496143994882

2021-06-13T17:24:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404127504760147968
@zcichy The weeds are all we've got. I'd be happy to get more data from the government. I'd even be happy if they set up a competent body to examine UFO reports, as I mention here: https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/truth-embargo-ufos-are-suddenly-all-talk-washington-n1270560

2021-06-13T17:22:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404127060327497730
'Truth embargo': UFOs are suddenly all the talk in Washington
https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/truth-embargo-ufos-are-suddenly-all-talk-washington-n1270560

2021-06-13T17:19:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404126267079749632
@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein I think it's a valid line of inquiry, because I think getting an accurate 3D reconstruction of what people thought happened will help understand what actually happened (and if the two things are different)

2021-06-13T17:17:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404125675137560580
@BrentlyLee @swimslider @DietrichVFA41 @LtTimMcMillan @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein That's a gimbal roll. Notice the veiling glare rotate.

Funnily, it's the type of move that Fravor said the pod never does.

2021-06-13T17:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404124997526851586

@ksorbsinnrvoice @BrentlyLee @HighlyRetired I've unblocked him now.

2021-06-13T17:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404124550229479427

Classic UFO picture. Classic optical effect.

https://twitter.com/James_A_Roots/status/1404122478683766790

2021-06-13T17:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404124219504435206

@alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Yet you still quote from it.

2021-06-13T17:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404124127753953282

@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein The report is both older and more detailed. Alex is standing right here, so I thought there's an opportunity to clear up the questions raised.

Alex has not given a detailed arrows version of the encounter that I've seen and has acknowledged that memory is imperfect.

2021-06-13T17:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404123232412737543

@CuriousAnthrop1 @LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I thought I was clear and direct. Sorry.

2021-06-13T17:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404123085914083331

@ufo_worldwide @monkeygunmusic @DietrichVFA41 @mintyhyperspace @chad_vfa41 @uncertainvector @UAPExpeditionGr @LueElizondo That is not what I think. I know pilots, and especially military pilots are highly trained, highly skilled, and generally highly experienced individuals who do things right and well the vast majority of the time.

I think they also sometimes make mistakes.

2021-06-13T17:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404122465790357505

@BrentlyLee @HighlyRetired https://twitter.com/HighlyRetired/status/1397642857280729088

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xxp4mVcAUZbri.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xxp4mVcAUZbri.jpg
2021-06-13T17:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404121673016643585
@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein If you can't answer, were not there, and can't speak for them, then how do you know it's ridiculous?

There are many questions about the description in that report. I don't know why you would not want those questions resolved. That's what you are avoiding by making jokes.

2021-06-13T16:52:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404119575717838859
@ufo_worldwide @monkeygunmusic @DietrichVFA41 @mintyhyperspace @chad_vfa41 @uncertainvector @UAPExpeditionGr @LueElizondo Pilots are not snowflakes. I'm sure they can take a little critical inquiry.

2021-06-13T16:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404119166257303554
@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein And hence avoiding the issue.

2021-06-13T16:50:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404119088469663745
@j0hnny_x5 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein You think I should have phoned her? Shown up at her house?

No, it seemed quite clear she did not want to talk, so after one email I dropped it.

There's no "right to know" for investigators, debunkers, or believers when it comes to private individuals

---

2021-06-13T16:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404118453301116928
@TomSuesss @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V

@EricRWeinstein I'm being 100% honest. I feel the accounts do not line up. I don't know why, but I strongly suspect it is because their perceptions were different because neither was judging the distance right.

e.g. What does this correlate with in Fravor's account?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xt2W7UcAAuYYv.jpg


Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a “tic-tac” candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xt2W7UcAAuYYv.jpg
2021-06-13T16:38:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404116098400735237
@j0hnny_x5 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein I figure if people don't respond then they don't want to be reached.
ESPECIALLY as Alex had not yet gone fully public (it was just after her anonymous appearance on "Unidentified") I'm not going to harass someone.

2021-06-13T16:32:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404114467412082689
@j0hnny_x5 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein I emailed Alex once a couple of years ago, no response. I don't have contact info for Dave but I think he knows I'd like to talk to him.

2021-06-13T16:31:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404114121331666944
@LtTimMcMillan @JokeToSelf @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V
@EricRWeinstein Now you are being frivolous, Tim.
There seem to be quite significant differences in the accounts (and curious ommissions).
It would seem like shooting off like a bullet from a gun would be a key point, but Alex did not mention it.
And what is the 8-10 seconds "after"?
etc.

2021-06-13T16:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404112553937014784
@j0hnny_x5 @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein Sure. The question here is if Alex's and Dave's accounts from the time actually line up in the details.

2021-06-13T16:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404111934413164547
@Ibn_e_Channa @DanteRo21681171 @Born2Pleb @Oswald1160 @aneilbaboo @ViktoriaLukas69 That's kind of funny. Did you know Chris thought that the Vc in GoFast was the plane's heading?

Individual skills aside, these are questions that should have a resolvable answer. One does not have to rely on pantser opinions when there's math to be done.

2021-06-13T16:19:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404111068096385026
@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I'm not so sure, but I'd very much like to resolve that.

2021-06-13T16:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404110812709462020
@Ibn_e_Channa @DietrichVFA41 @aka__V @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein I'm a big fan of evidence. If there's some evidence available I'd love to look at it.

2021-06-13T16:16:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404110348798480390
@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein It has quotes attributed to her - sometimes just single words, like "tumbled", but also full "Source Comments". Examples of both highlighted below But yes, it's a remarkable amount of paraphrasing, which always made it seem a little unreliable to me. Transcript would be better.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xmv9GVIAoaVGM.jpg

Source replied, “I have high cover”. (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to pursue it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped their aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction. (Source Comment – The UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - “tumbled” into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xmv9GVIAoaVGM.jpg

2021-06-13T16:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404107337091018752

@JokeToSelf There's no subtext. Someone posted the photo this morning. It's a classic, I posted the explanation, but also as a caveat that "from the CIA" could also mean "from some guy, but via the CIA".

2021-06-13T16:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404106509429080072

@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein A "response" is not the same as "mirroring." Nor does "tumbling into nonsensical angles" sound like mirroring.

I'm not arguing, I'm attempting to get clarification. Obviously, Alex would be the best person to ask here.

2021-06-13T15:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404103890723737602

@DietrichVFA41 @aka__V @Ibn_e_Channa @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein @dave_beaty Excellent. I look forward to it. Contemporary recollections are always the best.

I've so many questions about the "Source" report. If you every feel like clearing up stuff like what "after 8-10 seconds" refers to, or how you "lost visual contact", I'd love to talk. DMs open.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xgut5VgAUpswr.jpg

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xgut5VgAUpswr.jpg

2021-06-13T15:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404102156043513860

I think some people might be under the impression that "UFO Flap" refers simply to an increase in sighting of UFOs, like there's actually more UFOs.

It actually refers to the public's and media's reactions and feedback loops and the increase in "sightings" this prompts http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xfT51VcAQJyAe.jpg

---

c. *colloquial. figurative.* A state of worry, agitation, fuss, or excitement. Esp. in phrases, ***to be in, get in(to), a flap***. Also *spec.*, an alert (military sense). (Cf. FLAP *v.* 10b; UNFLAPPABLE *adj.*)

Thesaurus »
Categories »

1916 *In Northern Mists* xliv. 188 Sometimes our departures are more than usually sudden and unexpected. The whole proceeding then becomes what is known amongst us as 'a Flap'.

1925 E. FRASER & J. GIBBONS *Soldier & Sailor Words* 95 *A flap*: the familiar Navy term for the sudden 'liveliness' on board ship on the arrival of an emergency order involving general activity at extreme high pressure... Also, an airman's term for an air-raid.

1936 *Punch* 2 Sept. 265/1 At the moment there is a flap because the price of bread is going up.

1939 *Punch* 30 Aug. 231 Now don't go and get into a flap or anything, Mother, but Joan's broken her arm.

1940 'GUN BUSTER' *Return via Dunkirk* I. x. 78 Then happened one of our monthly 'flaps' (invasion scares).

1942 W. SIMPSON *One of our Pilots is Safe* ii. 39 From then on until the 10th May, when the blitz started in Holland and Belgium, we were subjected to a series of 'flaps'.

1942 W. SIMPSON *One of our Pilots is Safe* ii. 40 Get your flight up right away, there's a flap on.

1945 E. WAUGH *Brideshead Revisited* 14 'D'you think it's the real thing?' 'No.' 'Just a flap?' 'Yes.'

1949 H. PAKINGTON *Young W. Washbourne* xx. 186 There was a sense of something afoot. 'What's the flap?' asked William of the man whose watch he was relieving. 'Only our battle-cruisers engaging the enemy,' replied the other.

1956 W. SLIM *Defeat into Victory* xi. 236 Everything was working smoothly, there was no flap.

1960 *Cambr. Rev.* 7 May 510/2 It is quite untrue to say that emotionally vulnerable patients who 'get into a flap' over exams will subsequently panic when a real situation threatens them in later life.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xfT51VcAQJyAe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xe8aEVoAMmWc2.jpg
2021-06-13T15:37:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404100650309324800
@DietrichVFA41 @aka__V @Ibn_e_Channa @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Are you going to release them any time soon?

2021-06-13T15:35:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404100159668068357
@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein My (now deleted) comment was:

"And @DietrichVFA41 did not originally say it mirrored Fravor's movement, she said it "'tumbled' into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible.""

2021-06-13T15:34:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404099891773644802
@DietrichVFA41 @BrentlyLee @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I'm not going to post it, I understand that it contains personal information. But the excerpt is essentially the same as was on the TTSA site. I'll delete it just to be safe. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xdZmeVoAcoZrL.jpg

---

Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio, “I’m in!” in which Source replied, “I have high cover”. (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to pursue it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped their aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction. (Source Comment – The UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - “tumbled” into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xdZmeVoAcoZrL.jpg

2021-06-13T15:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404099140410167296

@DietrichVFA41 @ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein I will. It's a snippet from the unredacted version of the TTSA published doc. I just used it as the call signs make it clearer what is going on, and that thought the callsigns were public domain now.

If you think this clip is a problem I'll remove it.

TTSA version: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xcmjQVoAEk80L.jpg

Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio, “I’m in!” in which Source replied, “I have high cover”. (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to pursue it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped their aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction. (Source Comment – The UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - “tumbled” into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xcmjQVoAEk80L.jpg

2021-06-13T15:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404097980429336577

@DietrichVFA41 @aka__V @Ibn_e_Channa @ijalabko @EricRWeinstein Did you ever find the notes you mailed to your Aunt? Or dig up any other notes or diagrams from the time?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xbo_iUUAMrZlO.jpg

After not receiving an intelligence debriefing, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 entered the Ready Room, where OK-2 slammed closed and secured both hatchways and began making an electronic copy of the gun tape from his F-18. During this time, Source made detailed written notes of the incident on available printer paper and mailed them to their Aunt with the notice “keep this because this is important stuff about some real X-files shit.” (Field Comment – Source is unaware if copies of the gun tapes still exist but maintains an original copy of their notes and log book entry.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xbo_iUUAMrZlO.jpg

2021-06-13T15:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404097132244570112

More specifically, it's a puddle on a girder on a bridge, reflecting the Travelers Insurance Tower in Hartford, Connecticut, and the rivets in the girder.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cia-ufo-photo-multiple-round-objects-reflection-of-bridge-rivets.11813/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xaeEOVcAQb8kV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xacubVcAEP9B6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3xaeEOVcAQb8kV.jpg

2021-06-13T15:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1404093497255219204

This classic "UFO" photo keeps cropping up because it's "from the CIA".
The problem is that the CIA keeps things that random people send them. Decades later they get declassified and people think it's something from an official study.

This is a photo of a puddle. https://twitter.com/issaufobro/status/1403590810789199874

2021-06-13T15:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404092520867385350
@issaufobro @Kyle841618 @blackvaultcom It's a puddle.

2021-06-13T10:27:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1404022662976794624
@monkeygunmusic @DietrichVFA41 @mintyhyperspace @chad_vfa41 @uncertainvector @UAPExpeditionGr @LueElizondo https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4

2021-06-13T04:08:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403927274072678408
@danie_bad @JeffTheDork Sure, but not all UFO eyewitnesses say the UFO was Too Far Away.

All the photos are though.

2021-06-13T03:23:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403915961716015110
@EricRWeinstein Iâ□□m pretty sure Biden is not listening to Tom DeLong. Heâ□□s giving the matter appropriate attention. Same as Trump did. Same as Obama did.

2021-06-13T03:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403913204971888644
@EricRWeinstein Itâ□□s only a big deal if they actually take it seriously. This is minor humoring of the civvys, at most.
Again though, thereâ□□s real issues regarding UAPs, just not warp drive or aliens.

2021-06-13T01:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403894545083895809
@greenpapagia @MiddleOfMayhem Multiple sources is certainly ideal.

2021-06-13T01:45:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403891299275603974
@Mario_Stifano @Superpana3 @EricRWeinstein There is no â□□theyâ□□.

Itâ□□s should be obvious that UAPs represent a variety of different things. It is a big mistake to look for (or eliminate) any one explanation.

2021-06-13T01:31:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403887822407311367
@SicCoP1 @EricRWeinstein Because countermeasures to stealth drone eavesdropping is highly classified.

2021-06-13T01:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403883181799927809

@CrewcutYoutube @EricRWeinstein Is the pattern that every UFO encounter is randomly different?

2021-06-13T01:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403882307719614466

@s_pect_re @PostDisclosure @EricRWeinstein No, they get bullet point synthesized reports. Abstracted from the nuts and bolts by several layers.

2021-06-13T01:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403881843770806276

@Z00KY @EricRWeinstein And whose to say THOSE UAPs are not US spy drones? China has the same issues we do.

2021-06-13T01:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403881037638160385

@AndySchulzsNose @EricRWeinstein Sure, but the former is a deliberate and proportional response to Eric hinting there may be thermonuclear implications. I think that is excessive.

2021-06-13T00:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403873166687031296

@EricRWeinstein The Pentagon is begrudgingly going along with the report, in part because it touches on real issues, but mostly because they have to do what the government tells them, and Chris Mellon had Rubio get that language in a bill.

2021-06-13T00:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403872523066888192

@EricRWeinstein Well, that escalated quickly. No, I don't think they took over a sensitive geopolitical narrative. I think a bit of their woo has leaked into actual deliberations, but the significance has been vastly inflated by the media. The Pentagon has said almost zero on this topic

---

2021-06-12T23:51:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403862466933661698

@moozoo_wizard @EricRWeinstein He debunked himself. Got the wrong TAS calculation, and was wrong about mass affecting turn rate based on bank angle. He admitted this, but really HE should do a video.

2021-06-12T23:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403862022219964416

@EricRWeinstein *"invisible college"

2021-06-12T23:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403854455691309057

@ufo_classes @LtTimMcMillan Sacramento is the city of trees.

I was by the two bigger ones in the middle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3t-CbFVoAEnFoN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3t-CbFVoAEnFoN.jpg

2021-06-12T22:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403841016751292416

@stevebozz1 It was embarrassing enough, walking around the busy parking lot filming the clear blue sky. :grinning_face:

2021-06-12T22:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403840131740540930

@MiddleOfMayhem Funny how it being in the supermarket parking lot seems to prime people to interpret it as a plastic bag.

It's a mylar party balloon. Flashing and everything.

2021-06-12T22:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403836006684520450

@SPATCHERS @Ben1jammin The video actually is 4K. Unfortunately, it was TFA and a bit OOF.

2021-06-12T22:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403835658414612481

@EnnisBhoy It's a party balloon.

2021-06-12T22:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403835573857439744

@JeffTheDork Identified flying object. I could tell what it was. My eyes are still a bit better than my camera (iPhone X)

2021-06-12T22:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403835017223045126

A necessary perspective on UFOs. The intersection between two things.

The Invisible University: Reid, Bigelow, DeLonge, Mellon, Elizondo:
https://newrepublic.com/article/162457/government-embrace-ufos-bad-science

Real problems, like drones
https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/40054/adversary-drones-are-spying-on-the-u-s-and-the-pentagon-acts-like-theyre-ufos

The former has leaked into the latter.

@EricRWeinstein

2021-06-12T21:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403832327164227586
@michaelshermer Spolier!
I saw it a few seconds earlier, and needed some generic OOF/TFA footage. Then remembered the image stabilization trick people do (inadvertently) with trees.
Shapeshifting footage:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403831857410543616/pu/img/kP5xWjQ3_ANDPdve.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403831857410543616/pu/img/kP5xWjQ3_ANDPdve.jpg
2021-06-12T21:49:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403831800699318272
@Smart_Matheus @AdmiralQuality @EricRWeinstein Doubting any of them have great evidence is not the same as thinking they will all have simple explanations. Some will. Others, like Gimbal will have quite complicated explanations. Most will have insufficient information for a determination.

2021-06-12T21:40:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403829700753969155
@Jacks0006 @PostDisclosure @EricRWeinstein It's not obvious to them, nor is it really a deception. It's a slanted promotion of poor evidence presented as good evidence mixed in with

quality evidence representing real issues. So they are just going with the bullet points from somewhere.

2021-06-12T21:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403828657987022850

Popped out for a beer run and saw this IFO from the Raley's parking lot. Watch the rapid left/right movements at around 15 seconds.

Not a drone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403827846196305922/pu/img/Mb53P6cCfklBB9Fe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403827846196305922/pu/img/Mb53P6cCfklBB9Fe.jpg

2021-06-12T21:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403827417177677824

@EricRWeinstein Shut what down exactly? The problem here is that there's an intersection between the real issues of unidentified objects and the "Invisible University" folk. They have kind of been NOMA until 2017. We can't shut down real analysis (drones!). But we need to do a lot better.

2021-06-12T21:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403820060007874565

@officer_pinky @EricRWeinstein r/whoosh

2021-06-12T20:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403813684086931457

@EricRWeinstein I've *been told* that serious people in the military cringe with embarrassment at this nonsense.

Of course there are more than 120. There's a long tail of low-quality UFO reports. They are not saving the best for later. The stuff in the classified annex is their best shot..

2021-06-12T20:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403812472700628999
@EricRWeinstein That does not sound unlike the tale Elizondo has told for years. Mellon, Reid, DeLonge, Kean, et al magnify it. It's a collation of cherries that fits their idea of what's going on. It's leaked out of its AATIP bubble. A naked emperor.

Find one bit of this "high quality data"

2021-06-12T20:22:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403809988749840389
@EricRWeinstein The report lists 120 incidents. I expect them all to be very different, representing the range of things that can be unidentified. Some will be mistakes, some equipment issues, some simply low information, some possibly foreign incursions. I doubt any of them have great evidence.

2021-06-12T20:00:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403804434535043074
@socalkoi1 @PostDisclosure @EricRWeinstein I didn't. The radar returns varied quite a bit, and did not match the video, or the movements reported in eyewitness accounts (other than approximate proximity)

2021-06-12T19:59:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403804174593134592
@ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein @DietrichVFA41 Why didn't they see the same thing?

Maybe similar illusions, just viewed from a different angle? Both looking at something. Neither seeing what it actually is.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403804078950346754/pu/img/CpsHmfNzeCPIeL1B.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1403804078950346754/pu/img/CpsHmfNzeCPIeL1B.jpg
2021-06-12T19:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403797680912965633
@ijalabko @aka__V @EricRWeinstein A fairly consistent thing regarding the accounts is that targets were frequently seen on radar, but not another. Fravor's vector ONLY came from the Princeton.

2021-06-12T19:23:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403795099490807810
@PlasticPaddy9 @TomSuesss @EricRWeinstein @ChristopherKMe4 The most compelling he has seen. He has security clearance.

2021-06-12T19:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403794666240102402
@PostDisclosure @EricRWeinstein Obama and Ratcliffe are just repeating things that are likely true in essence. We get blips on radar that don't make sense. Hardware issue? Operator error? Rare atmospheric effect? Software bug? Secret quantum leap in technology? Aliens?

They don't know. It's an issue.

2021-06-12T19:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403793755476357124
@Oakely_Dokely @seagullfever @okarthikb @MatiasBlackburn @EricRWeinstein I'm much more a proponent of the Cock Up Theory of History than the Conspiracy Theory of History.

Especially here. Not that it's a binary choice.

2021-06-12T19:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403792353706450949
@EricRWeinstein Here you present hyperbolic takes. But nobody needs to be lying. Pilots need only rarely to make mistakes (which they do). Sensors need to only occasionally not be perfect. No leapfrogging needs to have occurred if these reports do not resolve to amazing physical objects.

2021-06-12T19:05:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403790585538826241
@EricRWeinstein 4/4 Ultimately it's a flap. People find what they want to find. There are also real issues: drones, equipment inadequacies, human factors. Likely being addressed well behind the wall, but, in public, the UAP = aliens/new physics trope is just noise, fun, hope, and material.

2021-06-12T19:00:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403789434609274881
@EricRWeinstein 3/ Media firestorm/feedback loop, everyone loves aliens (as a story) and it's "official". But the UAPTF is not the gov, not the Pentagon. It's a nanoscopic fraction of the Pentagon. No good evidence of amazing craft, but they are doing only superficial or gullible analysis
...

2021-06-12T18:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403788382333898752

@EricRWeinstein 2/ this was somewhat conflated with real issues. Drips from behind the ordinary NatSec wall of secrecy were leaked with no context and presented as amazing evidence by UFO fans.

...

2021-06-12T18:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403787883299753985

@EricRWeinstein 1/ The least interesting (but still interesting) version is that a small "UAP" related research program with a pro-alien bias was allowed to exist with little funding in the Pentagon and produced some poor analysis indicating advanced tech.

...

2021-06-12T18:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403783056507359232

@TomSuesss @EricRWeinstein @ChristopherKMe4 Let's ask him.

Chris, do you think there exists far better video evidence than Gimbal, etc, that you personally have not been allowed access to, or even knowledge of?

2021-06-12T18:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403782136230924289

@badconsigliere @EricRWeinstein Some of them might actually BE chaff :) Or cirrus clouds.

Probably not wheat though, unless we are talking crop circles.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3s8SAjVUAE-i02.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3s8SAjVUAE-i02.jpg
2021-06-12T18:29:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403781540115402753
@TomSuesss @EricRWeinstein Well, @ChristopherKMe4 said there are no more compelling videos than Gimbal, so we're looking at the cream of the crop there. I'm sure there's lots more blurry vids.

Radar? I have 0%

Eyewitnesses? I think it's unlikely there are more compelling accounts than Fravor/Deitrich's.

2021-06-12T17:43:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403769964327100418
@JoakimA61247320 @EricRWeinstein Eric is the one positing a conspiracy here.

2021-06-12T17:42:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403769620314542080
@vandelay2020 @EricRWeinstein This FLAP will go away. Like the 1954 Seattle Windshield Pitting Flap, or the 2019-2020 Utah Drone Flap. Or the 1952 Washington DC UFO flap.
It's mostly a Public<->Media feedback loop, very loosely coupled with gov and mil.

2021-06-12T17:34:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403767657728385027
@vandelay2020 @EricRWeinstein Nothing obtuse intended. I mean that nothing spectacular is going to come from it.

It's a flap. Give it time and it will recede into mythology and dustbins.

2021-06-12T17:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403767284733120514

@jettbriggs @Oswald1160 @Atheist_Tomdog @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam And what was that? Who did it?

2021-06-12T17:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403766461215043586

@jettbriggs @Oswald1160 @Atheist_Tomdog @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam One does not simply "debug" a system.

2021-06-12T17:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403766348006662152

@vandelay2020 @EricRWeinstein http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3st_ihUcAIKc9y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3st_ihUcAIKc9y.jpg

2021-06-12T17:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403766048382361600

@PredatoryPanic @EricRWeinstein Obviously, if you think UFOs are aliens or a quantum leap in technology, then his tweet is true.

But the available evidence is not pointing in that direction.

2021-06-12T17:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403765702197092357

@JokeToSelf @EricRWeinstein Is an unidentified white dot in the distance spectacular?

Are unreliable radar returns spectacular?

Are unverifiable eyewitness accounts spectacular?

2021-06-12T17:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403761640399544323

@JokeToSelf @EricRWeinstein Sure, they have even gone on record saying they personally have seen them.

UFOs are real, just not spectacular.

2021-06-12T17:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403761401420652544

@limowreck76 @EricRWeinstein Some things are unidentified. That's inevitable. It's an area where improvements can be made, usually incremental.

2021-06-12T17:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403761118216998912

@_olligo_ @hoaxeye @JeremyUnidenti1 @Disclosure89 @ufoofinterest @ufobelievers @UFODoctor @Akam1129 @DaveFalch @alpha_check @chrisotis78 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @ExogenesisHH I sometimes spend years looking at the data.

I'm STILL looking at Gimbal and Gofast, and they are from 4+ years ago.

How is that a pre-debunk?

2021-06-12T17:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403759207267012612

This is a nonsense take from @EricRWeinstein.

It's an interesting story, how the legacy of George Knapp taking Harry Reid to a UFO conference in 1996 cascading into a giant distracting UFO flap in 2021. But it's not the top story in the world.

This will become clear. https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1403756989486813186

2021-06-12T16:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403758404296863744

@EricRWeinstein I'm pretty sure most of those things have happened before.

2021-06-12T16:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403755198095380483

@MindfulOfLight @TrevyLimited No GOOD evidence.

2021-06-12T16:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403755008659648513

@_olligo_ @hoaxeye @JeremyUnidenti1 @Disclosure89 @ufoofinterest @ufobelievers

@UFODoctor @Akam1129 @DaveFalch @alpha_check @chrisotis78 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @ExogenesisHH I'm sorry, they are accusing me of fraud. I'm just pointing out their mistake.

2021-06-12T16:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403749923326246913

@_olligo_ @hoaxeye @JeremyUnidenti1 @Disclosure89 @ufoofinterest @ufobelievers @UFODoctor @Akam1129 @DaveFalch @alpha_check @chrisotis78 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @ExogenesisHH So what? People call me all kinds of things.

I stand by what I said. Critique that if you like.

2021-06-12T16:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403749342528163842

@nikkinoelle7 @TruthofTerAvaya @Avirup1981 Here I gave my option on some footage you posted (on Twitter, not YouTube). I think that set the stage. However, perhaps there's something I'm not remembering?

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1380197241558761473

2021-06-12T15:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403741363087110149

@jettbriggs @SadMonkey69 @BrentlyLee @Atheist_Tomdog @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam No, it's way too far away. That screen represents a 0.35Â° FOV, or about the same as 200x zoom on a typical camera.

2021-06-12T15:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403741040075370498

@_olligo_ @hoaxeye @JeremyUnidenti1 @Disclosure89 @ufoofinterest @ufobelievers @UFODoctor @Akam1129 @DaveFalch @alpha_check @chrisotis78 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @ExogenesisHH I stand by my assessment of their analysis. GIGO and "enhance" BS.

Them claiming I "faked" something is utterly ludricous. I very clearly explained what I was doing in duplicating something like their process.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bob-lazar-1989-video-analysis-method.9835/#post-223599

2021-06-12T15:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403739723546329089

@jettbriggs @SadMonkey69 @BrentlyLee @Atheist_Tomdog @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam The pilot saw the video, same as us, but just once and on a small screen. It obviously LOOKS like it's rotating.

2021-06-12T15:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403731113973993478

@nikkinoelle7 @TruthofTerAvaya @Avirup1981 That's not true, perhaps you misphrased. I was

not basing that on a pic of YOU.
I was basing it on the examples of the footage that you posted.

2021-06-12T04:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403577795104559104
@jettbriggs @OwatcherUf @Atheist_Tomdog @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam They fall. They really make very little sense.

2021-06-12T04:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403575587160002562
@Deleo77a1 @AlecStewart28 @Spectre67904513 @Atheist_Tomdog @GreavesAdam I donâ□□t think they are lying. The issues are really about how they perceived and interpreted things at the time, and then memory.

Iâ□□ve not interviewed any pilots yet.

2021-06-12T04:33:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403571176899702786
@Rocketnick10 â□□This is not to say thereâ□□s nothing for the military to be concerned about. There are real issues regarding unidentified sightings â□□ drones being a major one. ... There are other genuine issues, too â□□ like anomalous radar returns and inexplicable eyewitness accountsâ□□

2021-06-12T02:16:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403536540467302402
@SadMonkey69 @OKftl Lue is pushing aliens.

2021-06-12T02:06:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403534256693936132
@ToweringCrane â□□The Pentagonâ□□ is a lot of people. Who reviewed what, regarding, say, Gimbal?

2021-06-12T01:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403531363731480581
@steven_dor @clgood @pjbrad9 Correct.

2021-06-12T01:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403522950247043072
@ToweringCrane What evidence?

2021-06-12T00:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403512247003979780
@AuthenticToad My "career" RELIES on me being honest. If I were to lie once, then that would be it.

I don't lie, for moral reasons. But it would also make no practical sense.

2021-06-12T00:38:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403511886948179969
@Mario_Stifano Which sailors? Gary Voorhis saw some specks in the distance doing nothing.

Who else?

2021-06-12T00:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403511609847279616

@conorowens94 @alpha_check No. It was wrong in the report they referred to a figure that showed 5Â° up as being 5Â° down, and specifically quoted him on this regarding the intercept.

2021-06-12T00:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403504696136900613

@alpha_check Why did Underwood think the object was co-altitude or beneath him when the ATFLIR was at 5Â° up?

2021-06-11T23:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403500191102103556

@MiddleOfMayhem Pffft!

Maybe the balloon is blending in with the sky (i.e it's latex), but it's carrying something like a reflective card that's spinning in the wind underneath it?

You're going to need a bigger camera. Or binoculars.

2021-06-11T23:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403499231776624640

@RobHeatherly1 @LawrenceMail @NickMadrid16 @GeezaNews @dustyWayneJr @DietrichVFA41 @adrian_probert @MothmanAlien But hypersonic technology is either short-range powered anti-air missiles or longer-range gliding missiles. Both go in very fast smooth curves until they hit something. They don't stop. They don't hover. They don't turn on a dime. It does not seem like a good fit here.

2021-06-11T23:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403498869783072769

@Andy34671021 @Atheist_Tomdog @ToweringCrane How can they see the sun?

There's no limit on thermal camera distance. It depends on the amount of heat (as thermal radiation) something is putting out, and a bit on atmospheric extinction.

2021-06-11T23:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403498446233817089

@MiddleOfMayhem There's the SFA mothership!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3o6V9lVkAQ1oat.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3o6V9lVkAQ1oat.jpg

2021-06-11T23:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403495543792279555

@AlecStewart28 @Spectre67904513 @Atheist_Tomdog @GreavesAdam I've interviewed several of them, invited several more, and discussed their testimony for years.

2021-06-11T23:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403495083454832640

@RobHeatherly1 @NickMadrid16 @LawrenceMail @dustyWayneJr @DietrichVFA41 @GeezaNews @adrian_probert @MothmanAlien No nation has the suggested capabilities now. It's not just a minor increase - it's a quantum leap.

Needs some rather stronger evidence.

2021-06-11T21:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403467048664002563

@InvisibleColege @Go_Kick_Rocks88 I did get $150 to write an op-ed for The Guardian. However, they did not specify what I wrote (other than being about the current UFO flap.)

My opinions are my own, and they are honest.

2021-06-11T21:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403466504755052546

@KilliK69 And also that pilots make bad observers of the unknown.

One of those things we can check.

2021-06-11T21:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403465797352132610

@InvisibleColege @WitnessCitizen Everyone knows where I GOT my money. https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspira

cies

2021-06-11T21:34:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403465615365394432

@_Desmoden @WitnessCitizen No, I'm just me.

2021-06-11T20:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403453640275226624

@Atheist_Tomdog @jettbriggs @mattjnowak @GreavesAdam Not a response, but this is what he is missing.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-06-11T19:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403441770097119232

@redstatetake @allahpundit @HeimishCon Fravor didn't take the video. I don't know what he saw.

2021-06-11T17:38:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403406337355124738

@MiddleOfMayhem @SnakePo9 I think what we need here are cameras.

2021-06-11T15:53:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403379778384646147

@aneilbaboo There are answers. You have heard them and rejected them.

2021-06-11T15:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403379400242987010

@Fiddaman I never saw a UFO while flying. At least not an interesting one.

2021-06-11T15:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403367906193276929

@AlanMyron @canadian_deb The first necessary step in such a process is to demonstrate that the observations represent real flying objects.

2021-06-11T14:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403366034422198279

@enmasse01 No

2021-06-11T14:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403365790175272967

@UAPtechnician About 800 words was the guideline. I thing itâ□□s going to be in print, so has space limits. Also good to have limits to be concise.

2021-06-11T14:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403364829486743554

@chrisotis78 And your CAS -> TAS calculation was wrong. You used ground-level temperature at 25000 ft.

2021-06-11T14:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403363562920153099

@James57136915 Drones are part of it. Itâ□□s not one thing.

2021-06-11T14:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403363022345687044

@fluffytail1 That one took maybe two hours, with some later editing.

2021-06-11T14:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403359567518392330

@bonedaddy03 I agree.

2021-06-11T14:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403357820485963783

I study UFOs â□□ and I donâ□□t believe the alien hype. Hereâ□□s why.

https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2021/jun/11/i-study-ufos-and-i-dont-believe-the-alien-hype-heres-why

---

2021-06-11T14:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403357139616210947

@knudson_buzz @lebribri Itâ□□s regarding focus, thatâ□□s all.

2021-06-11T06:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403231860486852610

@nrunje It depends who is actually looking into these cases, their skill sets, and how much time they are putting into it.

So IF they are not, then I think that's likely a people problem.

2021-06-11T06:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403231033152086017

@InvisibleColege I called them "highly trained, skilled, and professional observers", who were simply not that good at identifying unknown objects.

2021-06-11T06:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403230788183728133

"In January 1980, the Soviet Ministry of Defense issued a directive to all military forces to report "any inexplicable, exotic, extraordinary phenomenon". "

https://web.archive.org/web/20090806023946/https://www.space.com/sciencefiction/phenomena/russian_ufo_report_000808.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3lG8qsUcAA748v.jpg

---

The biggest UFO network ever

In January 1980, the Soviet Ministry of Defense issued a directive to all military forces to report "any inexplicable, exotic, extraordinary phenomenon". Sokolov described how this essentially converted millions of military personnel across one sixth of the Earth's surface into a sensory network for UFOs. "It is not likely that anybody could organize such a large-scale research," he boasted, "and practically with no financing."

Over the course of more than a decade, Platov's and Sokolov's teams together collected and analyzed about 3,000 detailed messages, covering about 400 individual events.

A pattern soon emerged.

"Practically all the mass night observations of UFOs were unambiguously identified as the effects accompanying the launches of rockets or tests of aerospace equipment," the report concludes. These sightings were mainly associated with activity at the secret rocket base at Plesetsk, north of Moscow.

In about 10-12 percent of the reports, they also identified another category of "flying objects," or as they clarified it, "floating objects." These were meteorological and scientific balloons, which sometimes acted in unexpected ways and were easily misperceived by ground personnel and by pilots.

Specifically, Platov and Migulin describe events on June 3, 1982, near Chita in southern Siberia, and on September 13, 1982, on the far-eastern Chukhotskiy Penninsula. In both cases, balloon launches were recorded but the balloons reached a much greater altitude than usually before bursting. Air defense units reacted in both cases by scrambling interceptors to attack the UFOs.

"The described episodes show that even experienced pilots are not immune against errors in the evaluation of the size of observed objects, the distances to them, and their identification with particular phenomena," the report observes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3lG8qsUcAA748v.jpg

2021-06-11T05:57:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403229792007188480

Do pilots make good observers?

Of planes - yes, they are great, highly trained, skilled, and professional observers of other planes.

Of unknown objects - not so much.

https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna38852385

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3lF18QUUAQWq0G.jpg

Hynek found that the best class of witnesses had a 50 percent misperception rate, but that pilots had a much higher rate: 88 percent for military pilots, 89 percent for commercial pilots, the worst of all categories listed. Pilots could be counted on for an accurate identification of familiar objects – such as aircraft and ground structures – but Hynek said "it should come as no surprise that the majority of pilot misidentifications were of astronomical objects."

The authors of a Russian UFO study came to the same conclusion. Yuli Platov of the Soviet Academy of Science and Col. Boris Sokolov of the Ministry of Defense looked into a series of sightings in 1982 that caused air defense units to scramble jet fighters to intercept the UFOs. Platov and Sokolov said the sightings were sparked by military balloons that rose to higher-than-expected altitudes.

"The described episodes show that even experienced pilots are not immune against errors in the evaluation of the size of observed objects, the distances to them, and their identification with particular phenomena," Platov wrote.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3lF18QUUAQWq0G.jpg

2021-06-11T05:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403229095643664384

@CBostdorff @zcichy @SamHarrisOrg It's BS. It was posted (as an image) this morning, and the timestamp is from this evening.

Also, I didn't write it. I've never had any kind of sighting that's UFO related.

I do think UAPs merit investigation, obviously, as I investigate them.

2021-06-11T04:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403204730608787460

@zcichy @SamHarrisOrg Mike, Mich, Nick, or occasionally Hank. No worries!

2021-06-11T04:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403204191644905473

@ykssaspassky @davpea2 @DreamDetective1 Exactly.

2021-06-11T04:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403204010258046979

@Davidwhalseth What radar did you study?

2021-06-11T00:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403154504350978048

@1983Passerby Actual products don't come from exact patents, they are implementations of multiple patents. Like.
https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/79/ca/f3/6b98a52f203285/US6020955.pdf

---

2021-06-11T00:56:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403154047574581249
@1983Passerby My diagram was to illustrate the effects of his incorrect choice of rate of turn.

2021-06-11T00:55:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403153852438695940
@1983Passerby Angular velocity is independent of range.

You need range to get linear velocity.

2021-06-11T00:29:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403147403700555779
@1983Passerby I have no problem with anything I said. If you think I'm wrong, then quote what I said.

2021-06-11T00:28:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403146987487195138
@1983Passerby 14:45: "he's thinking of when the picture goes upside down"
https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4?t=885

2021-06-11T00:24:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403146129047363584
@Fiddaman No, because then it would be 3x the size of the star bokehs. It's the same size. It's a point of light.

2021-06-11T00:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403145539974090753
@1983Passerby He makes the same mistake others have made - assuming it has broke lock due to moving. In reality, it just keeps moving at the same speed it was being tracked at. There is no change in speed. He's incorrect.

2021-06-11T00:18:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403144610252083200
@Fiddaman Sort of - except that two of them were stars. So no.

2021-06-11T00:17:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403144378277765125
@1983Passerby That's not a gimbal problem, that's to stop the image from becoming inverted (upside down). I mentioned that.

The issue is tracking at a shallow angle, and traversing 0Â°

2021-06-11T00:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403143770493775875
@Fiddaman Here's a variety of shapes blurred with a triangular iris (aperture)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3j3szVVUAMAJa_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3j3szVVUAMAJa_.jpg
2021-06-11T00:09:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403142364223344642
@Fiddaman Blurry triangles, yes. The aperture defines the shape of the blur at a point. For a shape (made of many points) the blurs all add together.

2021-06-10T18:47:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403061228205854722
@viniciusjnn @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @LueElizondo Only if Corbell retweets it.

2021-06-10T18:34:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403058069941088260
@aka__V @DreamDetective1 Or, depending on your point of view, it causes the background to rotate relative to the glare.

The glare orientation is relative to the camera, so in a simple image with a rotated camera the glare does not move but the horizon does.

In the ATFLIR you then have derotation.

2021-06-10T18:20:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1403054565977575426

@_FrankyPi @DeaconDallacort @Jim87504388 @RayJack62496046 They all entertain the ET hypothesis to some degree though, no?

2021-06-10T16:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403031365319159809

@stonesurfing @gabrielpurziani @6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @adventuristo This might be why such an incident has not happened in recent years, and may never "happen" again.

2021-06-10T16:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403031002818093058

@MustelaVolantes Any poll audience has biases. But polling scientist is not without value.

2021-06-10T16:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403027179064102915

@MustelaVolantes Eric polled his audience to inform what scientists should be doing. I suggested polling the scientists.

2021-06-10T16:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403025578526052352

@MustelaVolantes You mean unlike polling Eric's audience?

2021-06-10T15:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1403005381454962690

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @lebribri You generally use a larger aperture (less depth of field, more light, allowing faster exposure) to avoid motion blur. And shutter speed has no effect on depth of field.

2021-06-10T13:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402981644974256135

@DreamDetective1 Of course it rotates with respect to the horizon. That's the ENTIRE POINT.

This video is two minutes long. It explains it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-06-10T13:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402980307112849409

There's a great series of photos by @lebribri of planes with the moon in the sky behind them. Both Moon and plane are in focus.

The Moon occupies just 0.5Â° of the sky. Similar to narrow field of view of a military ATFLIR system.

https://twitter.com/lebribri/media?lang=en http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3hjFfQUcAg2CrX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3hjFfQUcAg2CrX.jpg

2021-06-10T13:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402976887450738693

@DreamDetective1 Why would the horizon rotate? The horizon needs to stay in the right place, so the pilot can fly the plane while looking at the screen.

It's that keeping the horizon in the right place that gives us the glare rotation when the camera rotates.

2021-06-10T06:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402871964687953922

@1983Passerby @ChristopherKMe4 Lehto, as far as I know, has not used an ATFLIR. He was unfamiliar with numbers displayed on the screen. Thought Vc was a heading and "L" meant laser (it meant Left)

2021-06-10T06:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402870869697781765

@Liquidsaber Looks like someone with a very high power flashlight on strobe, with focus, pointing at the clouds.

2021-06-10T06:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402870286593105922

@fern_jungle @solid_cynic Invariably.

2021-06-10T06:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402870072826241027

@1983Passerby @ChristopherKMe4 What's her math?

Lehto seems to have made some mistakes.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

2021-06-10T04:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402844282155782145

@1983Passerby @NoisyNinja2 Itâ□□s the distant #2 explanation for GoFast. Not for anything else. So why pick it?

2021-06-09T23:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402771721636089858

@SwavZielin The worst example.

2021-06-09T23:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402769615462100995

Cubes in spheres seen on a regular basis by military pilots.

So presumably someone would have taken photos and videos by now?

https://twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1402741251406012427

2021-06-09T23:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402764676954349575

@rogeressig Who is picking Thunderfoot as the iconic opposing voice here? Why not pick Pewdiepie, he's got more view.

That's not how it works.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3C5XALaTanA

2021-06-09T23:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402764128955994114

@EricRWeinstein The US gov isn't a monolithic being. It's a highly emergent loosely-structured

gestalt with lots of moving parts, forces, and individuals, some of which are in conflict. Some of which are unaware of the other.

2021-06-09T23:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402763240241713153

@drumshticknow @realufobros Aliens out there is not the same thing as aliens here.

2021-06-09T22:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402762158639697920

@DrDefinitelyPhD @realufobros No, it's fine.

A white dot briefly filmed in the distant sky is a UFO.

How can you rule out aliens as a cause of that white dot?

What about the next white dot?

I don't THINK it's aliens. I'm pointing out a problem with interpreting the NYT headline.

2021-06-09T22:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402761421151031297

@realufobros 1) There's no evidence of aliens

2) It's impossible to rule out aliens as a cause of something of unknown cause.

That does not mean it's aliens any more than fairies, angels, or glitches in the matrix.

2021-06-09T22:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402758082954817537

@realufobros How would you rule out aliens as being behind something that's unidentified.

As long as there are UFOs it's impossible to rule out aliens as a cause.

And it's impossible for there not to be UFOs

2021-06-09T22:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402757517340413955

@lightsout0021 Pilots easily could. I'm not saying anyone is "delusional", just wrong in some aspects.

The problem here is that the videos are complicated, not what they look like, which is the very problem that got us here. They need more than a cursory analysis.

2021-06-09T22:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402756847627427842

UAP as "birds" is a straw man. Anyone using that as some generic descriptor of mundane hypotheses is either not paying attention to skeptical voices, or is deliberately trying to poison

the well. https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1401372265564889091

2021-06-09T22:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402755827249782786

Perhaps you should poll the scientists first?

If you give "scientists" the US UAP data, then who is going to look at it? The minority that is already convinced there's something trans-human in the sky.

If it's really this epochal, pay for some independent rigorous analysis.

https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1402638518149468166

2021-06-09T22:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402751331694039046

@realufobros They did say it's not aliens.

There are things that have not been explained.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3eS0aDVcAIDSu4.jpg

The New York Times

U.S. Finds No Evidence of Alien Technology in Flying Objects, but Can't Rule It Out, Either

A new report concedes that much about the observed phenomena remains difficult to explain, including their acceleration, as well as ability to change direction and submerge.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3eS0aDVcAIDSu4.jpg

2021-06-09T22:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402750799017496577

@Neil3stacks There are several other options.

2021-06-09T21:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402732520043544576

Unfortunately, Andrea Themely is largely repeating the exact same simplistic analysis that accompanied the videos when TTSA released them. (Or Jeremy Corbell with the "Pyramid").

Yes, she was an Air Force Colonel.

No, that does not make her automatically correct.

https://twitter.com/wow36932525/status/1402658252916207618

2021-06-09T20:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402731363317387267

@kevin_1454 Here's what it contained. A kind of ridiculous flat-earth related thing. I'm not sure

if I even posted it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402730872105697280/pu/img/xoWK_Wk-wmAo5ib6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402730872105697280/pu/img/xoWK_Wk-wmAo5ib6.jpg
2021-06-09T20:07:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402718974375194624
@solid_cynic Iâ□□m married!

2021-06-09T20:06:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402718769793748993
@UFOi1973 I did that already. Iâ□□m just having fun now.

Maybe another book though.

2021-06-09T18:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402697609442037761
I was just browsing the folder on my computer called "debunking" - it's got 482 different investigations. Here's a random sample from about 4 years ago. I should write a debunking encyclopedia! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3dhiSDVUAEKDms.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3dhiSDVUAEKDms.jpg
2021-06-09T00:21:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402420605304012800
@amitcohenraab @greenpapagia No it does not. A RoT of 1.6 increasing to 1.7 gives quite plausible results 30+ NM away. But my diagram only has one.

I might revisit this next week in more depth, but it's not clear how much can be got from the data.

Other people are doing sims.

2021-06-09T00:18:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402419727973052420
@edwardcurrent 1) The horizon
2) No, 1.0 is the zoom level (either 1.0x or 2.0x).

NAR is 0.7Â°, so 2x NAR is 0.35. (There's some dispute about this, but the few official sources say 0.7Â°

2021-06-09T00:10:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402417754657878018
@Jack87843607 @DavidDevillie19 @Lukewearechange Not in most states. The plain gold star seems to be used mostly in smaller southern states like SC.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3ZjG9RVgAQdiXF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3ZjG9RVgAQdiXF.jpg

2021-06-08T23:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402414767587807232

@retrewerter @Wilyminded @EricRWeinstein Except, they did not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

2021-06-08T23:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402413842584391680

@amitcohenraab @greenpapagia Yes, because the RoT changes over the first 20 seconds. The point of the diagram is to demonstrate the general effect of changes in RoT.

2021-06-08T19:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402354520206680065

@DreamDetective1 @devgru1980mi They seem just as in-focus (relative to each other) as the Gimbal and the ocean.

2021-06-08T18:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402325963233325059

@Nero_Notabot @Lukewearechange No, it's a great idea to try to get more vaccinated.

2021-06-08T18:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402325618948153346

@DreamDetective1 Seriously? What's the source of this photo?

2021-06-08T17:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402312970936348680

@Lukewearechange Or maybe it's because of the disinformation coming from people like yourself?

2021-06-08T17:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402312153177104387

@rothschildmd Congratulations!

2021-06-08T14:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402279243703279621

@Prometheus_2000 I prefer UFO video.

2021-06-08T14:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402279054091423757

@ijalabko Read it again.

2021-06-08T14:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402278349993566228

@amitcohenraab @greenpapagia Thatâ□□s not how it works.

2021-06-08T14:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402277906458480645

@ijalabko Make a longer list.

2021-06-08T14:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402275945642401800

@realityseaker @EricRWeinstein Itâ□□s my opinion, based on years of (occasional) research.

But itâ□□s also a testable hypothesis. Look into the videos.

2021-06-08T14:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402275338902724609

@onelastread @ReebX1 @RayJack62496046 Why not check what he is claiming, rather than blindly trusting him (or me)?

Itâ□□s not THAT complicated. Check it.

2021-06-08T14:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402274393439584256

@CrewcutYoutube @EricRWeinstein Which people?

2021-06-08T14:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402274228691509253

@Disclose_UFOUAP @EricRWeinstein Mellon says there are no better videos than Gimbal.

2021-06-08T14:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402273576850530309

Some more grounded perspectives on the current UFO situation, and predictions for the upcoming report.

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/experts-weigh-in-on-pentagon-ufo-report/?amp;text=Experts

---

2021-06-08T03:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402102576863010818

@moozoo_wizard Chris thinks they just visually acquired it. Lots of possibilities.

2021-06-08T00:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402058383247609869

A less colorful video from me rebutting the experienced USAF Pilot with math. Perhaps @ChristopherKMe4 could share this with his followers, for balance?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo
https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1401563413944442880

2021-06-08T00:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402057356419026944
@xnert 26Â°

2021-06-07T23:51:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402050606747947016
@UAPtechnician The results.

2021-06-07T23:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402049370405543937
@AliensOnEarth_ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

2021-06-07T23:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402048881039314945
@conorowens94 @scotch2gmail Mach is a function of TAS and (essentially) altitude. It's a useful number, but to get TAS (actual distances) you need to account for altitude.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3UTw4wVEAEF_Q9.jpg

Calculation [edit]

The Mach number at which an aircraft is flying can be calculated by

$$\mathrm{M} = \frac{u}{c}$$

where:

M is the Mach number
u is velocity of the moving aircraft and
c is the speed of sound at the given altitude (more properly temperature)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3UTw4wVEAEF_Q9.jpg
2021-06-07T23:40:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402047859717902346
@EdgarFouche @EricRWeinstein Go for it. I personally don't think the evidence is there to justify me lobbying congress.

2021-06-07T23:39:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402047556750741506
@MarkBarrington2 I prefer to avoid the astrophotography examples though, as they are difficult for people to wrap their heads around in terms of where things are in 3D.

2021-06-07T23:38:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402047345311682574

@MarkBarrington2 An extreme example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LpHsR9seTYM

2021-06-07T23:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402046785523093526

@EdgarFouche @EricRWeinstein If there's unidentified things flying in military airspace then I'm pretty sure the the military would be all over going and figuring out what they are. Maybe they could do a better job, but I don't think they just shrug when there's an airspace incursion.

2021-06-07T23:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402046159430897664

@thaxter @eternallogos Yeah because that was taken from a parking lot.

25,000 feet air-to-air is vastly different. Thin stable air.

2021-06-07T23:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402045870296555521

@EdgarFouche @EricRWeinstein What evidence is there that the US government has amazing evidence of aliens or suchlike?

2021-06-07T23:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402045584521850883

@philo_sofa What's the max they could do at a 26Â° bank angle?

2021-06-07T23:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402044579935784960

@spacedwalking @4_04_Not_Found Why practice anything? So when you need to do it, you know how.

2021-06-07T23:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402040501855916042

@nic_barnes4 @jettbriggs @EricRWeinstein So check if they are real then. The evidence so far has not been very compelling.

2021-06-07T23:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402040322926862342

@jettbriggs @EricRWeinstein Not really, no. They have barely said anything at all. I'm sure their cooperation with this report is the minimum possible.

2021-06-07T23:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402037933796823043

@Aceofspades256 @ZaineMichael1 @RedLohit Not really, it would just clusters the intersections closer together. Tighter turns mean closer objects.
Some more detailed analysis could be got if there's a solid way of getting an accurate RoT just from bank angle.

2021-06-07T22:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402037195607662594

@jettbriggs @EricRWeinstein They certainly could do a bit better. But it's hardly a Manhattan Project scale issue.

Also, the military does not advertise its failings.

2021-06-07T22:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402036627715739650

@conorowens94 He uses Mach, then uses a rule of thumb for standard rate turns to get his circle.

I use the actual velocity, and hence the exact distances.

The real issue though is the rate of turn, not the speed. (although it depends on speed). He assumed 3. I CALCULATED 1.5-1.6

2021-06-07T22:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402030061667033088

@thaxter @eternallogos It is though. When you removed the additional 2x zoom.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402030006650343426/pu/img/VoBXEpl83Y3B7xP5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402030006650343426/pu/img/VoBXEpl83Y3B7xP5.jpg

2021-06-07T22:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402029586552999937

@eternallogos I addressed the argument Chris spent 80% of his video on. He did not address the rotating glare except in a "looks wrong to me" kind of way.

2021-06-07T22:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402029231064842241

@UAPtechnician Is it wrong?

2021-06-07T22:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402026601261330434

@eternallogos That's somewhat backwards. IR glare gets bigger relative to the object when the object is small on screen. See the Chilean case.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3T_wDIUcAYjX9m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3T_wDIUcAYjX9m.jpg

2021-06-07T22:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1402023829950517320

@BryanKelly I appealed to other examples.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402023748467773464/pu/img/ZOpX-eVEONvns3Ae.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1402023748467773464/pu/img/ZOpX-eVEONvns3Ae.jpg
2021-06-07T22:04:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402023603177099264
@Berserk97981716 @528vibes @chrisotis78 Here you go.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

2021-06-07T22:02:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402023291104088066
@moozoo_wizard Yes, it tighten up later. More detailed analysis would correct the curve. That would probably just bring the intersections closer to each other. But this was mostly about replicating the setup that Chris had - then seeing the effect of a change in RoT

2021-06-07T22:00:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402022603217260556
@fluffytail1 It's in the video comments. But:
https://www.geogebra.org/classic/pxjp7p8u

2021-06-07T21:53:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402020819581079552
@EricRWeinstein It's a clue.

Meaning there really ISN'T good evidence that deserves investigation. Consider that you might have been bamboozled by a PR campaign driven by UFO fans.

2021-06-07T21:28:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1402014622794747904
My response to F-16 Pilot Chris Lehto's "de-debunking" of GoFast and Gimbal.
Focus is mostly on A) Focus for GoFast and B) The effect of rate-of-turn on Gimbal - which I think Chris has too high.
I'm open to corrections and discussion.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fBeqP4z3rXo

2021-06-07T20:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401992718360797186

@soonerpsycho Consider the source.

2021-06-07T19:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401992325245456385

@KennethDemers2 @ChristopherKMe4 I have tried to contact him on YouTube and Twitter, but have had no response.

I'm very easy to contact.

2021-06-07T19:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401991349096751104

RT @theProgSoapbox: Check out my latest video "UFO 'Debunker' & Author Mick West Debates "Alien Hypothesis" & Upcoming UFO Report w/ Jamarâ¦

2021-06-07T19:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401991187150434304

@ufo_worldwide @xnert @BobKurbel @alpha_check @ThanosAlpha When the angle of the camera is near 0Â°.

2021-06-07T19:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401991021211242496

@KennethDemers2 @ChristopherKMe4 Working on it....

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3TfYNDVoAAqTDh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3TfYNDVoAAqTDh.jpg

2021-06-07T19:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401990381621825543

@xnert @BobKurbel @ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @ThanosAlpha Why do the clouds also slow down?
All that's happened is the angle of the camera is near 0Â°, so there's little parallax.

2021-06-07T18:02:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401962763098857482
@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @BobKurbel @ThanosAlpha So what's the rate of turn in Gimbal?

2021-06-07T18:02:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401962673722433536
@alpha_check @BobKurbel @ThanosAlpha Minor correction here, not just rotating the lift vector, rotating and increasing it (as you still need an upwards force of g)

2021-06-07T17:59:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401962137048653851
@MultiBalbOX @Berserk97981716 @chrisotis78 Very much to get to the truth. I touch on this in my video later today, where I talk about how the math here should be neutral ground, and we should be able to figure out the overhead video of the Gimbal situation.

2021-06-07T17:49:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401959579513020417
@philo_sofa Not sure. Give me both.

2021-06-07T17:23:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401953004475981836
@philo_sofa Level flight,30Â° bank. What's the ballpark?
What effect does fuel load have, if any?

2021-06-07T16:44:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401943121005481984
@IanHeller @david02444422 @DreamDetective1 I do have math:grinning_face:

2021-06-07T16:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401942741454450702
@fluffytail1 @Bobbybigrig Isn't it like this, but more accurate?

And more easily adjusted for different values.

Which pilot do you suggest? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3SzTyaVgAEusey.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3SzTyaVgAEusey.jpg

2021-06-07T16:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401937129484165121

@TruthBe05900844 Depends. I think Chris is wrong about the distance here. And the focus.

2021-06-07T16:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401936748926554113

@ForeySR IAS is basically the same as CAS.

CAS is just IAS (indicated air speed) corrected for instrument errors/biases.

Mach is Mach at that altitude, kinda like CAS

2021-06-07T16:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401935886330195968

@alpha_check @BobKurbel @ThanosAlpha Like this? Do you think there would really be much variance with loading? I think that cancels out as it's a simple vector issue, rotating the lift vector. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Ss5U3VkAYBI2Z.jpg

---



Figure 10-1. Turn Capabilities

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Ss5U3VkAYBI2Z.jpg

2021-06-07T16:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401935216734732291

@ArmanNazerian @AntiMick Thanks! I didn't see the other comment. Must have muted them. My bad.

2021-06-07T16:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401934799254609920

@ArmanNazerian @AntiMick Hey, some grown men play with model trains. Some just watch TV. Hobbies are fun, whatever floats your boat.

2021-06-07T15:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401930636630183939

@Berserk97981716 @chrisotis78 I'm doing the math right now!

2021-06-07T15:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401930108194070529

@OttoBunge @uncertainvector @chrisotis78 Because what we are interested is the relative velocities of two objects in (approximately) the same airmass and altitude. Wind speed and direction is irrelevant.

2021-06-07T15:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401928995025735685

@Bobbybigrig A quick one. And I just wanted to get some basic facts right first.

Preview: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sm8qqUYAE67nA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sm8qqUYAE67nA.jpg

2021-06-07T15:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401926946427080717

@r_stanier In level flight. Maintaining altitude through the turn.

2021-06-07T15:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401926456049950721

@OttoBunge @uncertainvector And if you know CAS and barometric altitude, you know TAS. Both are air-mass relative. https://aerotoolbox.com/airspeed-conversions/

2021-06-07T15:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401925908718526464

@OttoBunge @uncertainvector CAS is not ground speed. It's calibrated airspeed, the equivalent aerodynamic speed at sea level for the current altitude.

2021-06-07T15:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401925528009920514

@ForeySR But 350 TAS and 241 CAS are the same speed at 25,000, no?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3SjzBIVoAEljcR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3SjzBIVoAEljcR.jpg
2021-06-07T15:25:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401923386477928449
@hoveringobject @alpha_check @BobKurbel @ThanosAlpha I've never really followed him.

2021-06-07T15:25:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401923259747094528
@My111100496401
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-06-07T15:23:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401922802114981892
@My111100496401 I'm retired.

2021-06-07T15:23:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401922714013626370
@5X5_NEWS You want to pop up to 25,000 feet for me in a DCS F18 and see how long it takes to do 360* at 30Â° bank, 241 Knot CAS, 350 TAS?

2021-06-07T15:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401922174068219906
@5X5_NEWS @r_stanier 30Â° bank.

2021-06-07T15:20:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401922020653223937
Fact check needed. (Updated!)

What's the rate of turn in deg/sec of an F/A-18 at 25,000 feet barometric altitude, doing 241

Knots CAS (350 Knots TAS)
BANK ANGLE = 30Â°

I get 1.8Â°/sec? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sgn5vVIAA18t1.jpg



Figure 10-1. Turn Capabilities

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sgn5vVIAA18t1.jpg
2021-06-07T15:18:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401921521065488388
@alpha_check @BobKurbel @ThanosAlpha The Gimbal Situation. First ten seconds specifically. Totally forgot to mention the bank angle, 30Â° will do.

2021-06-07T15:16:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401921010174107655

@5X5_NEWS Your link is booting up, but is it this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sfub4UYAAU-em.jpg



Figure 10-1. Turn Capabilities

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Sfub4UYAAU-em.jpg
2021-06-07T14:50:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401914463406592002
@r_stanier I gave the altitude.

2021-06-07T14:50:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401914364458725377
@RodgerGodberd Irrelevant.

2021-06-07T14:39:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401911677302435841

Fact check needed.

What's the rate of turn in deg/sec of an F/A-18 at 25,000 feet barometric altitude, doing 241 Knots CAS (350 Knots TAS)

I get 1.8Â°/sec?

2021-06-07T14:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401910534803361794

@_Desmoden @mcdonalds_tim This behavior? I'm calling it like I see it. I'm a bit busy this week, but will try to get out a response video. I've tried reaching out to Chris for a chat, but got not response.

2021-06-07T09:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401829710250713089

@1983Passerby @ChristopherKMe4 You can have a fast closing velocity on a balloon.

2021-06-07T01:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401716978587295746

@uncertainvector Quick question Ryan, the speed showing on screen is CAS, right? Should the gods-eye version not use TAS?

2021-06-06T22:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401673306034475009

@noah_briscoe @CzrRex @ijalabko @JimmyVolf @charliedeets It means he needs to redraw the diagram.

I don't have time today. Maybe in a week or so.

2021-06-06T22:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401667744706154501

@CzrRex @ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets I'm saying he seems to have used the wrong velocity.

2021-06-06T22:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401666706880143360

@CzrRex @ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets He seemed to be using the wrong airspeed. CAS instead of TAS

2021-06-06T22:22:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401665928488652800

@ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets Here's a close jet obscured by it's IR thermal glare, but becoming visible when it's not tail-on

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665714348466180/pu/img/SLyRZtI4tIIWnBN_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665714348466180/pu/img/SLyRZtI4tIlWnBN_.jpg

2021-06-06T22:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401665447892819972

@ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets And here's another, demonstrating the rotation+derotation effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665401948295173/pu/img/RZzQ79BH2UbXFgPJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665401948295173/pu/img/RZzQ79BH2UbXFgPJ.jpg

2021-06-06T22:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401665206481162244

@ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets Here's a THERMAL glare. When filmed though a slightly streaky window it can take on all kinds of shapes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665055637204996/pu/img/sMA9sdZEXZcJofPc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401665055637204996/pu/img/sMA9sdZEXZcJofPc.jpg
2021-06-06T22:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401664798601797634
@ijalabko @JimmyVolf @noah_briscoe @charliedeets Here's an IR glare.

What shape is it?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401664725570621443/pu/img/Vgt6qaM2_4R0wBjq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401664725570621443/pu/img/Vgt6qaM2_4R0wBjq.jpg
2021-06-06T22:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401664569978736645
@fluffytail1 @chrisotis78 He seems to think it's a saucer shaped object, and the spike on the bottom is a jet exhaust.

2021-06-06T22:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401663711085879300
@_FrankyPi @chrisotis78 @YouTube Chris Lehto, what did you mean by manual focus in GoFast? Do you think it was used at the instant they locked on?

Will you have a conversation with me?

2021-06-06T22:12:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401663222734737409
@roysterini Small world!

2021-06-06T21:55:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401658915771748353
@_FrankyPi @chrisotis78 @YouTube Manually focussed? They why don't the focus controls show on screen, like they do in FLIR1?

I don't think the focus changes at all in GoFast.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OxKUvVUAAvxD0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OxKUvVUAAvxD0.jpg
2021-06-06T21:51:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401657943452385280

@retrewerter I doing think you'd find anyone describing 200mm as "wide-angle"

It's not 2000mm though, but I've got one of those too (P900, 35mm equivalent)

2021-06-06T21:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401654388687458306

@swimslider @OwatcherUf @ZaineMichael1 @DaveFalch Probably not hovering. Flying away.

2021-06-06T21:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401654196382748672

@ResearchUap @zcichy @PostDisclosure @chrisotis78 @DaveFalch What did I change?

2021-06-06T21:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401647429502390274

@Zynixxx_ The apparent motion of the clouds is from the angle of the camera. When the camera is pointing straight ahead, there's no motion of the clouds.

2021-06-06T21:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401646957085347841

@ijalabko @charliedeets @JimmyVolf Here's a relevant comparison.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401646903897387009/pu/img/jDuwPfdmwSsJZS3I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401646903897387009/pu/img/jDuwPfdmwSsJZS3I.jpg

2021-06-06T21:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401646781205647360

@ijalabko @charliedeets @JimmyVolf They are pointing at the camera.

2021-06-06T21:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401645210963046407

@badconsigliere @theProgSoapbox I'm not convinced, but it seems like a plausible hypothesis worth consideration. I rank it higher than aliens.

But the time it would have got into wake turbulence they would have lost sight of it.

2021-06-06T20:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401642388020363264

@ijalabko @charliedeets @JimmyVolf No, the first stage is showing that the rotation is an optical artifact. Once that it established then it HAS to be a glare. What is behind the glare is unknown, but a jet seems reasonable.

Chris did not address the rotation.

2021-06-06T20:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401641367336480770

@JimmyVolf You mean like this type of thing?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OhXAwVUAE8E_6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OhXAwVUAE8E_6.jpg

2021-06-06T20:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401641134661668864

@media_skyhigh No, it's related to GoFast.

There's no detectable change in focus, just motion blur.

2021-06-06T20:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401635011971796997

@Richard18947991 @theProgSoapbox Like what, for example?

2021-06-06T20:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401634531166220289

@ijalabko @chrisotis78 @YouTube Why would the system be displaying a number that is WILDLY inaccurate? Seems like a fatal flaw.

2021-06-06T20:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401634263917756417

@chrisotis78 @YouTube Camera, El Capitan, and Half Dome. Equally spaced, about 5 miles between each. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Oa1ZuVoAMVvf-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3Oa1ZuVoAMVvf-.jpg

2021-06-06T20:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401633742326689796

A common area of confusion is thinking that two objects cannot both be in focus when they are five miles apart.

You don't really need to understand WHY they can - just observer that it's perfectly possible. Actually it's hard for them not to be. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OZ-qYUcAcXXI5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OZ-qYUcAcXXI5.jpg

2021-06-06T20:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401633017538355204

@chrisotis78 @YouTube Something to ponder - a photo I took when things at 10 miles are in the same focus as things at 5 miles

(and some trees at 0.5 miles)

This IS how physics works. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OZl9JUUAE1eV4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3OZl9JUUAE1eV4.jpg

2021-06-06T20:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401631621300645889

Now I'm going to have to go back and re-check the math. But this is stuff that was gone over in great depth on Metabunk YEARS ago.

2021-06-06T20:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401630621454069761

Here's Chris:

https://youtu.be/Tyw4JA00AMc

2021-06-06T20:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401630502570762241

Another "de-debunking" from Chris Lehto where he spends a lot of time doing a pencil-and paper calculation I did 3.5 years ago with a computer, and getting a different result. This should be resolvable.

Also didn't address the rotation.

Here's mine:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1sHmuP_LIxI

https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1401609400163487748

2021-06-06T19:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401629215351476225

A lively discussion on UAPs with Jamarl Thomas on @theProgSoapbox

https://twitter.com/theProgSoapbox/status/1401599448413728772

2021-06-06T15:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401562785478189063

@Antonio68689 I don't think any of them are Aliens, or a quantum leap in technology. I'm not sure what criteria you use for "prosaic", some might have very interesting explanations, like rare atmospheric phenomena.

2021-06-06T15:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401557014342373379

@ViktoriaLukas69 I'm pretty sure you can Google that the same as me.

2021-06-06T15:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401556728081121280

@officer_pinky I didn't personally delve much into it, as it was already so well studied by multiple people. There's a sub-forum on Metabunk.

I think I wrote about (or talked about) a lack of genetic evidence for manipulation of the virus, but I can't find where.

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/coronavirus-covid-19.58/

2021-06-06T14:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401553900256174083

Regarding the "Executive Report" on the Nimitz UAP incidents. Is there consensus (or ongoing dispute) as to its provenance? Was it AATIP? Have the interviewees all confirmed they gave the

quoted interviews? Do we know who wrote it?
https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

---

2021-06-06T11:08:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401496215955537926
@RedLohit @pjbrad9 @Oswald1160 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog No. I've deduced the motion on the object from the angles and distances shown on screen.
We know the speed of the jet, so there's a start and end position for the jet. Then we just find the corresponding positions where the object is.

2021-06-06T11:02:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401494580000825350
@pjbrad9 @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog He claimed "you can't focus at something 10 miles away, and then focus at something five miles away. It just is not how physics works, right? "

There's nothing in physics to stop both 5 miles and 10 mils both being in focus, even at extreme focal lengths.

2021-06-06T10:54:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401492664638312450
@pjbrad9 @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog He bases the "RNG is wrong" claim on focus. Which he very clearly does not understand.

I'd be happy to discuss this with him.

2021-06-06T10:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401491905607725056
@RedLohit @Oswald1160 @pjbrad9 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog Show the motion of both the jet and the object. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3MZbP5UcAIGzXm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3MZbP5UcAIGzXm.jpg

2021-06-06T10:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401491418745430020

@RedLohit @pjbrad9 @Oswald1160 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog Here's an interactive notepad. View from above. Adjust the "Turn Amount" to see the range of possible object airspeeds (constant)

https://www.geogebra.org/m/vsdfgxtx

2021-06-06T10:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401489027090444289

@RedLohit @Oswald1160 @pjbrad9 @Tomfortas @chrisotis78 @YouTube @ParabunkBlog Drawn an overhead diagram of what you think is happening.

2021-06-06T06:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401427895558438921

@MunchyManCba They do this maybe a couple of times a year, in the middle of the day. I saw a U2 once. Otherwise it's real quiet.

2021-06-06T06:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401427619250278402

@UFOIreland1 A stealth fighter doing occasional night flights in the desert is hardly the same as decades of worldwide alien visitors.

2021-06-06T06:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401426561308319746

@Antonio68689 @fluffytail1 @alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @chrisotis78 It's awaiting approval.

2021-06-06T06:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401426455070875651
@DragonWagonZ Who said "Professional eyewitnesses?"

Those powerful instruments have recording capabilities.

2021-06-06T06:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401421908227203078
Walter Cronkite's closing statement on UFOs in 1966 is pretty timeless.

https://youtu.be/-qJ7qjh-HRE?t=215

2021-06-06T04:09:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401390670342803456
@CuriousAnthrop1 @ChrisCuomo They just ran a bit short on time.

2021-06-06T00:36:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401337285388292098
@Prest0n1337 @chrisotis78 @YouTube There's lots of data in the various posts of the Metabunk thread. 3D recreations and suchlike.

Example:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/page-4#post-220299

2021-06-06T00:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401336466672807936
@ChadGayle @fluffytail1 @alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @chrisotis78 Want to bet?

2021-06-05T23:24:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401319078933848068
@RedLohit @edwardcurrent Or you go outside and takes some photos to see if his claim is correct.

2021-06-05T20:58:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401282387678351361
I'm discussing â□□No, itâ□□s not aliens :alien:. Itâ□□s never aliens. :flying_saucer: | UFOs/UAPsâ□□ with @alder_riley, @_areoform, @andrewkhartley, @cskogie22, @meviying, @lairdwh, Bruce RL, @RPimpsner, and others. Now. Today, Jun 5 at 2:00 PM PDT on @clubhouse. Join us! https://www.clubhouse.com/event/Mw21z7GW

2021-06-05T20:57:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401282055212654594
@fluffytail1 @alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @chrisotis78 So I posted a comment on the video five hours ago. Does not seem to be showing up though.

Chris, let's chat! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3JaZBoVgAEpTfD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3JaZBoVgAEpTfD.jpg
2021-06-05T20:53:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401281146600656897
@fluffytail1 @alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @chrisotis78 I've already suggested we chat. No reply yet.

2021-06-05T20:46:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401279354449973248
@alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @chrisotis78 You watched the video. Did you think he was correct?

2021-06-05T20:45:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401279033782923266
Earlier. Random encounter.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401278876844662788/pu/img/O7F8ndcqdhxDTe0B.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401278876844662788/pu/img/O7F8ndcqdhxDTe0B.jpg
2021-06-05T20:36:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401276792657248258
@lamia_baeshen Four, I think. Might have missed one.

2021-06-05T20:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401276501081825285
@hesgonetoplaid Low. Nikon P900 IR.

2021-06-05T20:19:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401272377548238849
Planes flying over my house
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401272224103886848/pu/img/daoefbR_9sUxVIVq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1401272224103886848/pu/img/daoefbR_9sUxVIVq.jpg
2021-06-05T16:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401221393610547200
@jdhorvat @BC_Bound @feelin_cute You don't have to "trust" anyone, just look at what is claimed, do the (simple) math, see the similar examples. Make up your own mind.

2021-06-05T16:35:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401216241256210435
@TrevyLimited @SusanGerbic @conterammundi @allinwithchris Steering a hypersonic glider isn't the same thing a stopping on a dime from 10,000 mph then shooting off again. Which is what is claimed in the Nimitz incidence.

2021-06-05T16:33:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401215564048048130
@media_skyhigh @TheUfoJoe @SusanGerbic @allinwithchris Well, as Chris himself notes. "since we don't know how far away it is, we don't know how big it is"

OR how fast it is moving.

2021-06-05T16:32:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401215378835984388
@__maxfield__ No, that's what he's saying.

And how is the RNG value inaccurate, it's perfectly consistent through the entire video.

2021-06-05T16:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401207716605947904
@TRandallizer @SusanGerbic @allinwithchris I have not identified them. Just pointed out some things about them, debunking some of the more extreme claims that were made, and explaining some optical effects.

2021-06-05T16:00:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401207430113939456
Chris Lehto claims to have debunked my GoFast hypothesis by saying you can't have one object at ~5 miles and the background at ~10 miles both in similar focus at the same time.

This is incorrect. Also a common misunderstanding that was raised years ago.
https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1400812443589386245

2021-06-05T15:39:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401202089758388224
@GamingHabit101 @SusanGerbic @allinwithchris You don't have to trust either of us. One is right, one is wrong. As Chris shows the concepts involved are not hugely technical - he's just got the focus thing wrong.

2021-06-05T15:37:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1401201534906421249
@TheUfoJoe @SusanGerbic @allinwithchris I'm sure multiple people are going to point out what Chris got wrong here. Relative focus distances don't scale.

It's an understandable mistake though. Hyperfocal distance isn't an intuitively obvious concept. Pretty easy to demonstrate though.

2021-06-05T15:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401199679656898560

@mcdonalds_tim Hyperfocal distance.

2021-06-05T15:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401197804186308609

@mcdonalds_tim No, he did not.

2021-06-05T08:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401096426956804096

@BenHansen00 They didn't say they didn't think it was from another country, did they?

2021-06-05T05:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401045492801228807

@TheoUfo Most of them.

2021-06-05T05:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1401041978771460096

@defundtheparty About -$1000

2021-06-04T22:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400937057745182720

@NASA investigating UFOs! That's great, the more eyes on it the better.

They should start with that Green Pyramid video they show half-way through the interview. It's a good warm-up. Then Go-Fast, Gimbal, and FLIR1.

https://www.cnn.com/videos/business/2021/06/04/ufo-nasa-navy-pilots-bill-nelson-chief-investigation-pentagon-orig.cnn-business/video/playlists/top-news-videos/

2021-06-04T21:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400935241385078785

@ExogenesisHH @mheisler_marcus @santaketo @TRandallizer .... of a craft in distress - which would generally be in the water

And it was used as a verb: "It splashed" - which indicates they THOUGHT it went into the water.

2021-06-04T19:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400904242110472195

@RichMartinESX What evidence do they have? Of what?

2021-06-04T19:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400903826165567489

@mattjnowak I'm not looking for one. But I do investigate UFOs for fun. So if someone wants to pay me to do what I do anyway, then that would be cool.

2021-06-04T19:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400903439823953920
@Indagogogo "Can't rule it out" is true, just stupid.

2021-06-04T19:51:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400903127021219845
@Liberty4Life23 That $35 trillion is not missing money. That's basically an urban legend.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.9718/

2021-06-04T19:49:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400902633448112128
@Indagogogo The headlines were both factual. There is no evidence of aliens.

2021-06-04T19:48:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400902274050789378
@alejandrogoethe Results vary.

2021-06-04T19:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400901810605285376
@Sueno_songs I'm a US citizen, and I've paid a lot in taxes. I'm not suggesting spending more. I'm say if the evidence was there, then HUGE spending would be easily justified.

2021-06-04T19:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400901449077334020
@buffcommacu @RaytheonIntel They haven't said I was wrong. They have seen me repeat it on major media outlets like CNN and USA Today.

Perhaps they are constrained from discussing system flaws, but they have not denied them.

2021-06-04T19:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400900559649972224
Argentina has an official "UAP Task Force" that actually investigates and solves UAP cases. Why can't we?

https://www.argentina.gob.ar/fuerzaaerea/centro-de-identificacion-aeroespacial

---

2021-06-04T19:38:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400899763734671360
@deere7270r That depends on what the current valid evidence is.

Based on the released videos, I think a small team of various domain experts with some curated crowdsourcing could cover it. But it's hard to justify even that.

Argentina is a good model here.

2021-06-04T19:35:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400899141312532484

@ForeySR @Avirup1981 How much is that?

2021-06-04T19:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400899028523515905

@bevilacq12 Heck take any of the fighter jets then. Or all of them, and the drones too.

2021-06-04T19:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400898358349230080

@adamhohenberg @PoliticalGeeker @karaswisher @ABKman Clarification, "Go Fast" exhibits no acceleration, real, apparent, or imagined (unless you get confused by the camera movements)

The claim there was simply that it was going FAST and that it was COLD (so no engine).

But it actually looks like a slow balloon.

2021-06-04T19:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400897632239714307

Life-of-program cost of the F-35 is $1.7 trillion.

If we actually have evidence of something that makes that obsolete, don't you think we'd be putting more effort into it than a report based on two guys in an office making lists of UFO encounters?

2021-06-04T19:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400895136029024256

@GadiNBC @dave_beaty @TheUFOTrail @JasonColavito @DietrichVFA41 The lack of disclosure of evidence of aliens because there's nothing to disclose seems to be what is happening with the UAP report.

("disclosure" in the UFO context specifically refers to non-human tech - aka aliens)

2021-06-04T19:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400894450239299586

@JohnV95116083 Maybe some this is like how ghosts have evaded identification and capture by not existing?

2021-06-04T19:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400894207359782914

RT @MickWest: @DKeithClimate Thanks David. It reminds me of my favorite South Park scientist quote

"There's certainly no evidence that theâ¦

2021-06-04T19:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400894144151576576

@Avirup1981 Who is saying that? I've yet to hear from one actually qualified official.

I don't really think this UAP report is looking like it will be very comprehensive. Very little analysis is suggested by the early leaks.

2021-06-04T19:03:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400891056024002560
@Josef_baum @badconsigliere They didn't even say Go Fast wasn't a balloon.
Zero mundane â explanations were ruled out, unless you think all 120 incidents had to have the exact same explanation - which nobody rational would do.

They didn't even fully rule out US tech

2021-06-04T18:59:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400890065044140037
@Avirup1981 Sure. People have been seeing UFOs for 70+ years. It's mostly not new tech, more like common misperceptions, natural phenomena, bad radar, and other things shared across nations.

2021-06-04T18:55:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400888946591031300
@DaveNaylor18 His friend George Knapp took him to some UFO conferences, he was beguiled by the speakers and fell down the rabbit hole.

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/21/special-series/harry-reid-ufo.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3D03WRVgAAjkMU.jpg

> One day in 1996, I received a call from George Knapp, an investigative reporter at KLAS-TV, the CBS affiliate in Las Vegas, and a friend of mine. “Harry,” he said, “there’s something you have to attend.” He invited me to an upcoming conference that would focus on what the U.S. government generally refers to as “unidentified aerial phenomena,” but what most other people simply call U.F.O.s, a subject Mr. Knapp had, and still has, a particular interest in.
>
> A large conference room at the event was filled with academics, interested members of the public and, yes, a few oddballs. I was very impressed with the academics, who spoke of unidentified aerial phenomena in the language of science, discussing the issue in terms of technological advancement and national security. I was hooked.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3D03WRVgAAjkMU.jpg
2021-06-04T17:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400869663630786561

Context? Well, back in 1996 Harry Reid got hooked on UFOs. Eventually his efforts resulted in the formation of small UFO investigations in the government, and one video they looked at had this descending IR shape, and it got leaked to Jeremy Corbell, a supernatural filmmaker. https://t.co/DuNMtzI5nl

---

2021-06-04T17:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400868110459047937

@DKeithClimate Thanks David. It reminds me of my favorite South Park scientist quote

"There's certainly no evidence that the first Thanksgiving was NOT haunted"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S7Rjuuk6NAM

2021-06-04T14:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400820985889054722

@bradjohansson21 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @skypedust Because he is free.

2021-06-04T14:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400820691398643713

@Altouiz How does that rule out GoFast being a balloon?

2021-06-04T14:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400820298514014216

@Just_Shannah @Mario_Stifano Did they find evidence of aliens?

2021-06-04T14:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400817923027963906

@Liberty4Life23 I donâ□□t know.

2021-06-04T14:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400816908220329987

@Altouiz No. Read it again.

2021-06-04T14:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400816746022379522

@bonedaddy03 Itâ□□s not one thing. So unless you specifically eliminate an explanation for all events, itâ□□s still in the table for some of them.

2021-06-04T07:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400717954791002112

@ijalabko @OwatcherUf @Deepneuron No. Why would you even think that?

2021-06-04T06:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400694041562345480

@JimmyVolf Read it carefully.

2021-06-04T05:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400693330837573636

@brianholtz1965 That's them conflating the Gimbal video with Grave's reports of seeing something frequently on radar. (Something that was never there when he went to take a look)

2021-06-04T05:55:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400692696163880963
@UltimaTheKing @PMFight @mattsaavedra @julianbarnes @helenecooper What distance?

Do UFOs never get closer to cell phones than than five miles?

What about the cameras with zoom lenses?

2021-06-04T05:54:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400692372975939584
@hollywoodufos @nytimes @CNN @POTUS @Debriefmedia Really your "step one" needs a lot of work before you can move on to the rest.

And there is no "they", there's a bunch of radically different reports.

2021-06-04T05:49:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400691272868720641
@DevonLamoreaux Aliens on earth? How do you figure that?

2021-06-04T05:49:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400691065095548930
@skypedust There's no real evidence that any of that actually happened.

2021-06-04T05:38:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400688496684064770
@GadiNBC @orkoliberal The ATFLIR isn't designed for identifying. It's a targeting pod. It's designed for tracking, designating, and aiming.

2021-06-04T05:16:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400682879722528770
This revision to the headline from the NYT is a simplistic truism. You can't rule out aliens. You can't rule out fairies either. The key finding here is that there's no evidence for either.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3A44AAVgAEeliF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3A44AAVgAEeliF.jpg
2021-06-04T05:11:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400681565386989568
@Mario_Stifano Barnes was quite clear that they found no evidence it was aliens.

2021-06-04T04:43:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400674569241825280
So that implies that they think it's possible some UFO incidents DID originate from American military or other advanced U.S. government technology.

But not aliens. https://twitter.com/julianbarnes/status/1400602836929593352

2021-06-04T04:41:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400674042785374208
What an odd thing to clarify. Obviously all UAP sightings are not going to be explained by balloons. Nor are they going all be explained by "visual artifacts" or even all explained by Russian drones.

Some might be thought.

There's a variety of things going on here.
https://twitter.com/julianbarnes/status/1400603095835496453

2021-06-04T02:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400638717245620224
@mattjnowak About 13,000 feet

2021-06-04T01:56:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400632474250608640
@ZaineMichael1 Itâ□□s a question. GoFast?

2021-06-04T01:55:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400632241726771202
@Liberty4Life23 To stop that country from finding out the extent of US knowledge of their tech.

2021-06-04T01:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400631886490210305
@James57136915 Not entirely.

2021-06-04T01:10:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400620884679815168
@CuriousAnthrop1 @UFOSully @PMFight @julianbarnes @helenecooper I rest my "people are saying it's aliens" case.

2021-06-04T01:07:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400620213310169089
@UFOSully @PMFight @julianbarnes @helenecooper Nobody except for Mellon, Elizondo, et al.

2021-06-04T01:06:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400620034259517440
@OwatcherUf @PoliticalGeeker How about them? They note some of the cases might be

weather balloons.

2021-06-04T01:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400619873751949312

The fact that the officials noted that not "all cases" were "weather or other research balloons" kinda implies that some of them might have been.

..... GoFast? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3AALzAVUAI3Yim.jpg



we don't know exactly what they are."

The report concedes that much about the observed phenomenon remains difficult to explain, including their acceleration, ability to change direction and submerge. One possible explanation — that the phenomena could be weather or other research balloons — does not hold up in all cases, the officials said, because of changes in wind speed at the times of some of the interactions.

The final report will also contain a classified annex, the officials said. While that annex will not contain any evidence concluding that the phenomenon are alien spacecraft, the officials

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E3AALzAVUAI3Yim.jpg

2021-06-04T01:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400618737342894082

@PostDisclosure @Jim87504388 @PoliticalGeeker @buffcommacu No it didn't. It said "the vast majority of more than 120 incidents over the past two decades did not originate from any American military or other advanced U.S. government technology"

What's a "vast majority?" 95%?

2021-06-04T01:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400618315152719880

@UFOmusings Inconclusive = insufficient information = could be any of a number of things. LIZ

2021-06-04T00:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400618087347458049

@VidyaVoid @TheUfoJoe What? The vast majority of UFO sighting are DEFINED by their ambiguity. They lack sufficient information to determine 100% what they are. They live in the LIZ, the Low Information Zone.

2021-06-04T00:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400617733545357315

@UFOmusings Yeah, and it's not very interesting.

2021-06-04T00:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400612246397472768

If the only conclusive finding in the CLASSIFIED version of the UAP report is "the vast majority of more than 120 incidents ... did not originate from any American military or other advanced

U.S. government technology" then the unclassified one is going to be rather unexciting.

2021-06-03T21:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400564072400121863

@Born2Pleb No. He emphatically endorsed a video, and the video said that.

2021-06-03T20:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400553873115271171

@TrevyLimited @ForeySR @Derrick92005625 No, but it's not clear what happened as we don't get to see that bit. Gimbal is just -2Â°, above the clouds, just above the horizon. so FAIRLY EASY to get a manual lock on. Just pan across the horizon.

2021-06-03T20:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400552655877918723

@ForeySR @Derrick92005625 Based on what?

2021-06-03T20:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400547124513677316

@ForeySR @Derrick92005625 Itâ□□s not in L+S in the video clip.

2021-06-03T19:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400528456073433088

@ForeySR @Derrick92005625 L+S is not boxed. It's just indicating the button function.

2021-06-03T19:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400528151344652288

@PotatoRamirez Those two things are mutually self-explanatory.

2021-06-03T18:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400522977263767553

@GuyThompson_Esq Yeah, it's not that complicated.

He also talks about the pyramid UFO video.

2021-06-03T18:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400520251045859332

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3C5XALaTanA

2021-06-03T18:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400519734748012545

PewDiePie gets it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2-lPKcVoAM-QyS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2-k5trVgAQTkU4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2-lPKcVoAM-QyS.jpg

2021-06-03T18:00:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400512709393743873

@Georgeloannide9 @YouTube @JasonColavito I'm familiar with Aguadilla. It's nowhere near the water.

It also vanishes when there's land behind it.

2021-06-03T17:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400511087955505155

@ForeySR @Derrick92005625 All three videos show passive tracks - the ATFLIR is tracking using the video feed. One video (GoFast) shows manual acquisition. The other two don't show acquisition.

2021-06-03T17:08:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400499610049646597
@ForeySR @Derrick92005625 What, you mean to the radar that was supposedly being jammed?

2021-06-03T17:07:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400499399298453504
@ijalabko @Deepneuron You seem to be mixing videos.

2021-06-03T17:01:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400497980520636423
@GeorgeIoannide9 @YouTube @JasonColavito It's nowhere near the water. It never descends. The ground behind it seconds earlier was at 200 feet.

2021-06-03T17:00:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400497672910368770
@Cosmic_Mystery0 I don't know what the fleet is, but it's probably miles away. Not on this video.

2021-06-03T17:00:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400497509907136514
@ijalabko @Deepneuron It has spikes. It's not part of the design, just an occasional consequence.

2021-06-03T16:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400497313039015941
RT @MiddleOfMayhem: #UFOTWITTER vs @MICKWEST (1993, colorized)

#XFilesMemes
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1400466730678329355/pu/img/orPbTDF9tTqnGaSE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1400466730678329355/pu/img/orPbTDF9tTqnGaSE.jpg

2021-06-03T16:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400497004048838656

@Derrick92005625 It's a simple point. He thinks it's impossible to lock onto a friendly aircraft with the ATFLIR without knowing what it is. I disagree because you can lock on manually after pointing in a rough direction.

2021-06-03T10:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400405027332657154

@ZaineMichael1 @MapleHarvester Did you maybe miss my earlier response?
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1400331493499576320

2021-06-03T07:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400346953762807810

@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson I think it's possible, yes.

2021-06-03T07:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400346530674995201

@ZaineMichael1 @swimslider @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @alpha_check But not entirely accurate?

2021-06-03T06:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400345806717161475

@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson Underwoods target was pretty much boresight at WFOV

2021-06-03T06:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400345336678211585

@ultra_strange He's suggesting that the Gimbal video must have been acquired on ATFLIR via radar or datalink, and since that would give an ID of a friendly plane, then it was not a friendly plane.

However ATFLIR targets can be acquired manually, although it is not common.

2021-06-03T06:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400344682316582915

@swimslider @ZaineMichael1 @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @alpha_check Perhaps Jeremy might clarify which bits of the video he agrees with?

2021-06-03T06:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400344190777663488

@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson I can manually find small objects, like Venus, with a 6Â°FOV, even 1Â° with a bit of luck. So why not with GO FAST?

2021-06-03T06:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400341771125592064

@ultra_strange What are those convincing reasons?

Lue thought the rotation was significant when I talked to him.

2021-06-03T06:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400341460466110466
@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson How big is "the right area", angularly? Like 10Â°?

2021-06-03T06:32:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400339622761168897
@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson Go Fast - Manually acquired. This way.
Gimbal - Acquisition not recorded. Unknown way.

2021-06-03T06:30:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400339109067956224
@UAPJosh @DrBrianKeating How am I making a living from them?

I'm a retired videogame programmer. I made Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. I investigate UFO videos because it's fun.

2021-06-03T06:26:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400337961179222017
@ZaineMichael1 @swimslider @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @alpha_check He says "So did the object in the video rotate or was it a lens flare? My answer is it's irrelevant. It's a curious oddity. "

He's standing right here. Ask him.

2021-06-03T06:24:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400337668391591938
@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson Boresight isn't a sensor.

What stops you from acquiring something that is not straight and level in front of you? If you can get a visual on it, you can probably see it even better in WFOV IR BLK.

2021-06-03T06:10:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400334131356045317
@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson There were two methods demonstrated.

The first one used no radar and no other sensors.

The implications are that you might lock onto a plane without it being identified.

2022-08-06T18:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555982198083186688
@quietwalk @SDrew54 @GarryPNolan In the case with the star-shaped UFO photo that Vallee and Nolan discussed, the mark on her skin is an exact match for the hairdryer she owned.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1555981852485136384/pu/img/OaXpskwHHPYHQWIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1555981852485136384/pu/img/OaXpskwHHPYHQWIu.jpg
2022-08-06T17:14:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555965611297030144
@SkimmerSea @joerogan He wasn't making fun of it. It just happened to have one, which made it momentarily confusing.

2022-08-06T17:13:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555965380278902784
@aka__V @dannyinblue @joerogan I don't think there's anything really new.

2022-08-06T16:18:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555951419764551680
@aka__V @dannyinblue @joerogan There's a chance. I think Joe has been having some concerns recently. Note he asked Corbell what the best evidence was that he had, and Corbell basically had nothing. Made a joke about his toe.
So Joe is questioning some things, but still loves Lazar.

2022-08-06T16:15:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555950701489991680
@kimball_23 What about my analysis of the Navy videos do you think was incorrect?

And what about this one? It's really not that complicated. Guy left out a bowl for weeks, got dirty water, reporter misread the results, later retracted.

2022-08-06T14:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555919906293497856

@SDrew54 @quietwalk @GarryPNolan The other cases were cited by Vallee.

2022-08-06T14:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555918166190014464

@dannyinblue @joerogan Iâ□□ve been on, but I need to go back and have a chat about UFOs :))

2022-08-06T14:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555917644833796096

@SDrew54 @quietwalk @GarryPNolan Are you positing that Vallee and Nolan were fooled by obvious hoaxes, or that they are spreading them?

2022-08-06T05:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555789318697824257

@SecretFirePod @ScottGearen @GarryPNolan @LueElizondo @joerogan @g_knapp It looks like a mylar kite.

2022-08-06T00:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555711064913825793

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @CrystalPriddy2 @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Neurotic excoriation. Basically, obsessive scratching due to itching (which then sets up a cycle of more itching) - and dermatillomania - compulsive skin picking.

Google image search for "neurotic excoriations" (somewhat gross). Compare to "Morgellons".

https://www.google.com/search?q=neurotic+excoriations&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2022-08-06T00:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555709466087026688

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Going through the Worldview day by day can give some perspective.

April 15 2022 was probably a traily day for you.

https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/?v=-90.47019993228048,32.33648426265589,-73.01191977774994,41.14065992797788&t=2022-04-15-T23%3A24%3A54Z

2022-08-06T00:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555708606200238081

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Roughly where are you?

2022-08-06T00:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555707014784774148

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 That's from 2015. The air traffic is pretty much the same (a bit more, in general, with the obvious 2020/2021 dip)

2022-08-05T23:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555705062847967233

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 That describes quite a few places. The worst are actually bottlenecks going in or out of mayor airports, like Yosemite (for SFO)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Je9bVV88x34

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/yosemite-contrail-grid-17-june-2015.6427/

2022-08-05T23:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555704538417340416

@BrianSzy_PF @CrystalPriddy2 @Robin081564 @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 I do think that what people were "pulling out of their skin" was either 1) hairs, 2) fibers ON their skin, 3) fibers in or near sticky excoriations, 4) fibers in pores, like blackheads, or hair root pockets. 5) fibers "healed" into the skin.

2022-08-05T23:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555703673044361216

@EKAdams @tomdelonge IKR?

2022-08-05T23:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555703540781264896

@ZaineMichael1 Sorry, you can't. I'd add a toggle, but the code is in the middle of a big refactoring right now, and I don't want to break it.

Blue line is parallel to the cloud horizon.

2022-08-05T22:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555682438726033408

@GregOtte2 @Qubits_Toy @Saw_a_UFO @MIKALg @Twitter Thanks!

2022-08-05T22:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555680213270335488

@BrianSzy_PF @CrystalPriddy2 @Robin081564 @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Fibers are everywhere. People were finding them on their skin using microscopes because we all have fibers on our skin - clothing, hair, paper, trichomes, all kinds of fibers. Plus, there were often cases with neurotic excoriations, and the fibers get stuck in the wounds.

2022-08-05T22:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555679437303164928

@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 You'll probably notice them again in the future at some point when there's a run of contrail-favorable weather. There were a few days here in Sacramento earlier this year March-April you can see on MODIS.

https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/?v=-123.69464327228029,36.996249491234046,-118.11791282699961,39.80858346936986&t=2022-04-10-T21%3A33%3A47Z

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbiKo3VUAArDgr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbiKo3VUAArDgr.jpg

2022-08-05T22:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555677978121490433

@BrianSzy_PF @CrystalPriddy2 @Robin081564 @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 It has not entirely gone away. The Morgellons community lobbied for a CDC study. The CDC studied it and said it wasn't actually anything to do with fibers. The 2012 paper from the CDC basically killed media interest. It still exists as a fringe belief.

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0029908

2022-08-05T22:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555677055710216192

@Qubits_Toy @Saw_a_UFO @MIKALg @Twitter Well, I guess there's quite a variety of types of accounts, and what they post. Interesting, thanks for sharing.

2022-08-05T21:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555670099360505856

@Saw_a_UFO @MIKALg I have 24K followers. A tweet from 2 hours ago has over 4,000 impressions. So I think 150 over 24 hours is just wrong. Maybe you mean engagements?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbZNfQUEAMkBIN.jpg

Tweet Analytics



Impressions	Engagements	Detail expands
4,748	469	186

New followers	Profile visits
0	31

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbZNfQUEAMkBIN.jpg
2022-08-05T21:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555669232519507968
@Robin081564 @BrianSzy_PF @FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Nothing really changed, maybe just warmer and drier. Contrails have always depended on the weather and so are highly variable in frequency. There might be a lot of them in some years and then fewer in others. Just like how some years it rains a lot, and some years it does not.

2022-08-05T21:32:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555668049969430528
@CloudJimi @tomdelonge The one based on cases from sources like the National Enquirer?

2022-08-05T21:29:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555667322655764481
@leSt0rm @joerogan Joe's got it into his head that I'm just a naysayer. He didn't like that I was providing explanations for aspects of the various UFO videos that were complicated - decrying them as "mental gymnastics."

He's got out of rabbit holes before (rods, werewolf portals, etc.). We'll see

---

2022-08-05T21:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555666331768274944

@Saw_a_UFO @MIKALg Where are you getting that number from?

2022-08-05T21:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555665410111979526

@CloudJimi @tomdelonge I've read "Skinwalkers at the Pentagon," if that's what you mean. I also know about Stargate.

A few quirky programs that produced nothing, basically.

2022-08-05T21:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555664694492311552

@headrushv4 @TNTJohn1717 Evergreen aviation firefighting plane. Water drop test.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=inNklNCQzfs

2022-08-05T20:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555647029824458753

Of course, when @joerogan happens to read out one of my Tweets, it's the one with a stupid typo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1555646681466564610/pu/img/PqHNkwCWhItBtFcR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1555646681466564610/pu/img/PqHNkwCWhItBtFcR.jpg

2022-08-05T20:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555644866767400965

@Stefferi @tomdelonge It's in the top 100.

https://parade.com/1020922/jessicasager/best-rock-bands-of-all-time/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbCpKJUYAACOGt.jpg

---

96. Blink-182

Blink-182 brought pop punk into the mainstream with *Dude Ranch* and *Enema of the State*, and their cheeky pop sensibilities ("Josie," "All the Small Things," "Aliens Exist," "First Date," "Feeling This," "What's My Age Again") are perfectly complemented by their more serious fare ("Adam's Song," nearly all of their self-titled album and *Neighborhoods*). Though many of their hits were penned by **Tom DeLonge**, who left the band and is now fronting **Angels and Airwaves**, their work with **Matt Skiba** is just as stellar—and earned them their first Grammy nomination for *California*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZbCpKJUYAACOGt.jpg
2022-08-05T18:55:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555628666012307456
The latest fundraising email from @tomdelonge is rather odd.

Has the US Government really been researching a mirage-like blackened shadow (aka Bigfoot??) that peers into your window at night?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZazi-0UcAAeGC7.jpg

Hello there-

I was up late last night researching a subject I have been obsessed with for about 12 months now. If I were to just tell you what it is you would laugh out loud.

You would never guess that there is potentially ***more evidence*** for this one phenomenon than there is for UFOs. At this point, hopefully, I have proved to many of you that I may sound crazy, but I do know what I am talking about when it comes to some of life's greatest oddities. I am passionate that they should be taken more seriously both academically and in the court of public opinion.

I am no stranger to being laughed at for my ideas and passions. Blink-182 wasn't taken seriously in the beginning, but is now considered one of the most influential rock bands of all time. The public also skewered me for wanting to research UFOs with serious rigor and respect. Now I am invited to speak in places like the ***FBI's Behavioral Analysis Unit*** about how I was able to conquer the stigma and bring prestigious people together to change the world's perception of what unidentified aerial phenomena are, or could be.

But my curiosity does not stop there. It is even more insatiable now that I have a greater belief that these things are real. I am ***electrified like a nuclear lighting bolt*** to bring them to the forefront of pop culture.

Back to my newest obsession. ***A mirage-like blackened shadow that peers into your window at night***. An apparition appearing in historical legends written over thousands of years on every continent. Native American records about people-snatching and cannibalism. It smells of rotting flesh, it can disappear at will, or become completely physical. Disturbing to say the least.

The US Government has researched this phenomenon and there is potential ***genetic evidence*** that scientists are finding difficult to decode. There are many firsthand reports of this paranormal mystery continually popping up all over the world. There are thermal, infrared, and radio frequency recordings with multiple ***PhD academics*** trying to understand its motivations, whereabouts, and genesis.

To The Stars has hired a great screenwriter who is developing a feature film script about this very topic called ***The Wildmen***. But you may know this mystery by another name, ***Bigfoot***. I am so excited about it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZazi-0UcAAeGC7.jpg

2022-08-05T17:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555609233558646784

@Bobbybigrig @Melissa41088067 @markocheese @Dingus15700175 Unlikely, that usually takes prolonged contact.

2022-08-05T17:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555608805211136000

@Melissa41088067 @markocheese @Dingus15700175 The one on the Vallee slide matches the hairdryer the person owns. Looks like an accidental minor burn.

2022-08-05T14:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555554473262518273

@Melissa41088067 @markocheese @Dingus15700175 Thereâ□□s over 200 cases. They mostly look like accidental or deliberate hairdryer burns. The one shown on the slide is far less than some of the accidental burns.

2022-08-05T07:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555458658037018624

@FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 Like that article states: "she concedes there is no direct proof"

ZCS was not harmful, the rest is just speculation.

2022-08-05T07:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555458256608186373

@CloudJimi Absurd how? Without the actual data, it's essentially an eyewitness account.

2022-08-05T00:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555350990487842816

@AtticusFinch_JD @Cpopp27_ A mirage includes an inverted image. FM includes several.

2022-08-05T00:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555350376945180672

@benjimaan22 @HEYDOCGAYE Heâ□□s talking about possible future geoengineering, as have many people

---

2022-08-04T21:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555310108548116481

@FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 So what are you actually claiming is happening now?

BTW those old experiments used what were thought to be harmless traceable versions of the agents - there's no real evidence they did any harm.

2022-08-04T21:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555308070875459584

@AtticusFinch_JD @Cpopp27_ No, that's not even a mirage. That's just where the water surface changed creating a false horizon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hovering-ship-fata-morgana-or-mirage-false-horizon.9112/

2022-08-04T21:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555300893582430208
@FullStackLogan @ExtranicelyC @CanesReign So which of those patents are A) in use and B) "chemtrails"

2022-08-04T21:10:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555300240298692608
@AtticusFinch_JD @Cpopp27_ The Wikipedia one is reasonable. "a complex form of superior mirage visible in a narrow band right above the horizon"
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fata_Morgana_(mirage)

Really it's just a type of mirage, and you can substitute "mirage" for it in most discussions.

2022-08-04T20:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555284126738964480
@EYeator Everything is possible, but I don't think it's likely, and I don't think there's good evidence.

2022-08-04T19:42:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555278037163188225
@Cpopp27_ It's not Fata Morgana, and it was not seen by thousands of people. That one is a hoax (or maybe a miracle, but I'd put hoax at the top of the list)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/floating-cities-are-generally-not-fata-morgana-mirages.6922/

2022-08-04T18:28:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555259414742896641
@Melissa41088067 @markocheese @Dingus15700175 That more likely indicates it was not in the dermis.

Avoiding making evidence public because it will be scrutinized really detracts from a case.

2022-08-04T15:44:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555218211510964224
@NJPW_Canada @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1555216941702209536

2022-08-04T15:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555218038781161472
@greenbudha Probably something natural, maybe exploiting a fissure, maybe not.
Seems less mysterious than the underwater crop circles made by pufferfish.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU-iPuXEAA5o4A.jpg

Role of Huge Geometric Circular Structures in the Reproduction of a Marine Pufferfish

Figure 3



Changes in the circular structure constructed by male pufferfish.
(a) Early stage; (b) middle stage; (c) final stage; and (d) after spawning of the same circular structure of K1 in Figure 2. Photograph by Y. Okata on 23, 27, 29 June and 6 July 2012, respectively.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU-iPuXEAA5o4A.jpg
2022-08-04T15:39:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555216941702209536
@AceMcQuaid @DocSchool I suspect an RC helicopter. They can be unexpectedly fast if you are just used to regular drones.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eSxN_XQzA-k

2022-08-04T15:35:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555215798267809792
@GarryPNolan, I think this case is one that would greatly benefit from some curated crowdsourcing. Can you release the original file that this image is clipped from, so interested parties can analyze it, and better hypothesize what and where the object(s) might be.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU8NtZUcAAiFGj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU8NtZUcAAiFGj.jpg

2022-08-04T15:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555211180201091072

@AtticusFinch_JD @jjmendozay @GarryPNolan Vallee eliminated drone with "it doesn't really look like a drone"

I think "something on the glass" is a clear #1 here. Especially with the other dark dot.

2022-08-04T14:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555206882133827584

@KennyBiddle42 Indeed, doing some tests suggest it would be very small, like 5mm. So not

that noticeable. This theory also explains the dark dot (dirt)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU0UM1VsAAo-sv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZU0UM1VsAAo-sv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZUz7iXVUAA9qAb.jpg

2022-08-04T14:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555205219390472193

@TSPriley http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZUy5vkUcAA98af.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZUy5vkUcAA98af.jpg
2022-08-04T14:40:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555202019312664577
@TSPriley Seems plausible, as it was a loaner car.

2022-08-04T14:39:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555201810146938880
@SDrew54 @GarryPNolan Nolan works with Vallee. I'd be interested to hear his take on the hairdryer hypothesis.

2022-08-04T14:27:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555198768794181632
@UFOBRO2 @eatinghooks I have no problem with tattoos of any kind.

2022-08-04T14:25:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555198347577028608
@ymhbcndwy2 @orbservingeye Which video had multiple witnesses.

2022-08-04T11:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555157229740756992
@ZaineMichael1 @lbf_tweet @the_cholla (towards that portion of the horizon, not the horizon in general, and obviously the horizon recedes as you approach it)

2022-08-04T11:40:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1555156779973021696
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 I don't think it changes that, as the clouds clearly DO change angle over the first 20 seconds, while the glare does not.

2022-08-04T11:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555148275480555520

@ZaineMichael1 @lbf_tweet @the_cholla The blue line is the "cloud horizon" line, where the lines of sight are above the nominal cloud horizon from the position of the camera.

It curves because of the increase in speed towards the horizon as the camera jet turns towards the LOS direction. See code. Not 100% accurate.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZT-vUwUsAA-faN.jpg

```
// given a position (A) and a vector direction (fwd), and a radius (might be tops of clouds), then find the position of the horizion
// in that direction
// this actually calculates the distance to the horizon, and then a point that distance along the fwd vector.
export function calcHorizonPoint(A, fwd, horizonAlt, earthRadius) {
    // altAboveClouds is the altitude of the A point

    var horizonRadius = earthRadius + horizonAlt

    // convert points to ECEF (i.e. origin at the center of the earth)
    var pos = A.clone()
    pos.y += earthRadius

    var altAboveSphere = pos.length() - horizonRadius
    var distToHorizon = Math.sqrt((horizonRadius + altAboveSphere) * (horizonRadius + altAboveSphere) - horizonRadius * horizonRadius);
    var fwdHorizontal = fwd.clone()
    fwdHorizontal.normalize()
    fwdHorizontal.multiplyScalar(distToHorizon)
    var horizonPoint = A.clone().add(fwdHorizontal)

    return horizonPoint;
}
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZT-vUwUsAA-faN.jpg

2022-08-04T10:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555141219126128640

@uapstuditaly @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly Yes, that's where I got the slide from, I should have mentioned.

2022-08-04T10:38:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555141035424067584

@ZaineMichael1 @lbf_tweet @the_cholla Which angles do you think are incorrect?

2022-08-04T09:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1555121529611988992

@EYeator If hundreds of thousands of people are saying they were abducted, then that's worth looking at to find out why.

I'd certainly consider the possibility that they were abducted. However, the evidence for that is weak.

2022-08-04T00:03:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554981278063083520

@Wayqui2 @Patrick40628202 @LueElizondo Do you have a side-by-side comparison?

2022-08-04T00:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554980482911047680

@EssentialBusin7 Has that happened?

2022-08-03T23:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554980113468469250

@Patrick40628202 @LueElizondo I get it, you think the eye has a pupil. It's still probably pareidolia, just with the hint of a pupil.

2022-08-03T23:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554979494846353410

@Patrick40628202 @LueElizondo I see the face, I just think it's random shapes that happen to look like a face.

2022-08-03T23:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554979316282191872

@EssentialBusin7 Burn mark formation, evolution, and healing duration vary with temperature, material (of the hot object), and contact duration.

2022-08-03T23:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554978655708672000

@EYeator Where did I say "always unreliable?"

I don't think that's true - you have to always be open to the possibility that they might be mistaken, but that does not mean they always are.

2022-08-03T23:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554978253256810496

@Patrick40628202 @LueElizondo I see it, but with smoke you eventually will get a face-like shape. Pareidolia. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRkem0UUAElymc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRkem0UUAElymc.jpg

2022-08-03T23:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554974334740865024

@marcbel19406167 2 dots? I don't even see an example of that in your search results.

2022-08-03T23:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554974009107722240

@EssentialBusin7 Sure, but does everyone make the connection when they notice the burn a week later?

2022-08-03T23:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554968103267643397

@marcbel19406167 How are they "common" when they are all different?

Which one is the most common?

2022-08-03T22:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554961873711206401

@hamstersandwic1 @andyH_uap Low Information Zone. Where UFOs are found.

2022-08-03T22:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554956637097431040

@ZaineMichael1 @King_Milkfart @mhmanley He's not one for "hi"s, and typically addressed email recipients with one initial, like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRQyH-UEAAdYGp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRQyH-UEAAdYGp.jpg

2022-08-03T22:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554955388306411523

@King_Milkfart @mhmanley It was discussed in depth, and I addressed it at the end of my video.

2022-08-03T22:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554955138078388224

@King_Milkfart @mhmanley I'm a little constrained here, as I can't publish the emails, but that's essentially what he said to Marik. DM me for more details.

2022-08-03T22:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554952905551073280

@orbservingeye @ymhbcndwy2 Why not fake?

Why didn't hundreds of other people see all the UFOs he saw?

2022-08-03T22:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554952729604173824

@Melissa41088067 @Dingus15700175 Sorry, I was thinking of the case shown in this slide.

The other case sounds like something that had at least partially healed - ie a scar. He does not show a photo of it, so we don't know if it looks like a hairdryer burn.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRMVoeUUAIb3W9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRMVoeUUAIb3W9.jpg

2022-08-03T21:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554947820343267328

@Melissa41088067 @Dingus15700175 That appears to be false. Looking at the burn it looks exactly like a superficial surface (epidermis) burn from a brief contact - you can see where it burned the raised parts of the skin, but not recessed parts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRIKeFUYAAWVhu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRIKeFUYAAWVhu.jpg

2022-08-03T21:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554944632089223168

@orbservingeye @andyH_uap Take that up with Nolan. He picked it.

2022-08-03T21:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554944441630085120

@King_Milkfart @mhmanley After I explained the hypothesis to the patent holder, he said he could not comment. He did not disagree with it. He asked Marik to remove Tweets mentioning him, and said he was not disproving any hypothesis.

2022-08-03T21:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554943932190011393

@PressingOn @histrangeness @christopherkme4 I'm skeptical, I'm not dismissive. I'd love to interview them in more detail to try to resolve various issues.

2022-08-03T21:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554943676719042560

@King_Milkfart @mhmanley Pick one.

2022-08-03T21:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554943546477535232

@andyH_uap It's the example that Garry Nolan chose to use on Tucker Carlson. Obviously, it's a LIZ photo, but I guess he was compelled by the witness testimony of not seeing this, but seeing something else.

2022-08-03T21:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554941918244532224
@FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 So, weather modification? Weather modification isn't a secret.

You might as well point at crop dusting, or mosquito abatement, or firefighting and call that chemtrails.

2022-08-03T21:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554941595471921152
@ymhbcndwy2 There's really nothing to demonstrate that it's not just a bunch of fakes by a bored night watchman.

2022-08-03T21:24:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554941392450752512
@King_Milkfart @mhmanley Copious amounts? Pick one.

2022-08-03T21:22:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554940853218447360
@PredatoryPanic Vallee know this, but still dismissed the hypothesis because "it's not going to look like that" with no evidence.

Also he seems to imply that all hairdryers have identical grills.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRCUHbUcAI8Q-r.jpg

Jaques Vallee 11:28

Of course, we know, we've tried to look at that and say, What kind of symbol is it? Well, the first thing we thought about is, if you look at our hairdryer, you know, you turn the hairdryer towards you. And you look at the end of the hairdryer, it looks like this. Okay, so we thought maybe, you know, this, this woman, I mean, women use hairdryer more than we guys do. So maybe she burned herself with their hairdryer. Well, if you try to do that, you're going to get burned, alright. But it's not going to look like this. Okay, you will have a burn. And so, so it's not that. Although in some cases, the dimensions are pretty much the same as the ones on the grid in the front of your hairdryer. But it's not always the same pattern. As you could see the pattern changes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZRCUHbUcAI8Q-r.jpg
2022-08-03T21:02:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554935731516108800
@Dingus15700175 Valle was talking about the French woman, the mark in the top left here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQ9yMHUYAAqR79.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQ9yMHUYAAqR79.jpg

2022-08-03T20:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554935133332852736

@FullStackLogan @Oswald1160 So what are you talking about? What do you claim to be true here?

2022-08-03T20:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554932050670395392

@mhmanley I keep all explanations on the list. Thinking something is impossible is a significant mistake in investigation.

2022-08-03T20:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554930376614612992

@cornweaver @GarryPNolan Actually, Vallee did mention the hairdryer hypothesis in the Q&A, but did not seem to have really looked it into much, saying "they would not look like this"

Frankly, it's a bit bizarre that he rejected it. Worse than simply not occurring to him.

2022-08-03T20:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554929737889243137

In the Q&A section of the video, Vallee dismissed the hairdryer hypothesis saying, "it's not going look like this."

Premature elimination, because it does look like this.

2022-08-03T20:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554927494683193345

@jjmendozay @GarryPNolan Yeah, I just notice that Garry said (on Tucker Carlson) that he has this photo (taken by kids with a camera phone, so digital original)

Garry? Can you upload the original file? email mick@mickwest.com if that's easier.

2022-08-03T20:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554923918028288001

@markocheese Two common mistakes.

1) premature elimination (of burns), and

2) Not using curated crowdsourcing.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

If he just stuck those slides on Reddit it would have been solved in hours. Some guy on YouTube spotted it two years ago, but that got overlooked.

2022-08-03T20:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554921507721076737

@eatinghooks Are you disagreeing that these marks look exactly like hairdryer burns?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQwxlpUsAA_DuW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQwxlpUsAA_DuW.jpg

2022-08-03T20:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554920270124617728

@FullStackLogan Your claim that "the government has already admitted it," is also bunk.

"Chemtrails" are not a secret program of weather modification that leaves trails in the sky.

And I think it would make a HUGE difference if all your videos were bunk.

2022-08-03T19:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554919445352501250

@cornweaver I've not seen it mentioned other than that one YouTube comment.

Maybe @GarryPNolan could bring it up.

2022-08-03T19:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554915749017165824

Does anyone have a better version of this dark star photo?

Or any idea what it might be? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQrQZYUsAAf1fn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQrQZYUsAAf1fn.jpg

2021-06-03T06:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400332000402149381

@alpha_check @brianholtz1965 @JeremyCorbell @robinhanson Here you go:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ChsMcv46Rj4

2021-06-03T06:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1400331493499576320

@ZaineMichael1 @swimslider @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell The video says the gimbal rotation or non-rotation is "irrelevant"

JC says the video "is the most important analysis I have seen regarding the GIMBAL UFO capture"

I am inferring from those two facts that JC also now thinks the gimbal rotation is irrelevant.

2021-06-03T05:49:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400328777628995584
@ZaineMichael1 @swimslider @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell So what, he just thinks parts of the video he so emphatically endorsed are correct, but that bit is wrong?

Clarification needed.

2021-06-03T05:41:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400326713314140166
@swimslider @ZaineMichael1 @DaveFalch A video which does not explain that at all, not even slightly.

2021-06-03T05:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400326604899852290
@swimslider @ZaineMichael1 @DaveFalch No, I'm referring to the first bit where he says:

"So did the object in the video rotate or was it a lens flare? My answer is it's irrelevant. It's a curious oddity. "

2021-06-03T05:11:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400319091471126528
@Mario_Stifano @NickMadrid16 @Avirup1981 Try taking some phone videos where the plane is the same size on screen. Reduce to the same size as this video. See what they look like.

2021-06-03T05:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400318373569843201
Wait - now Jeremy Corbell thinks that the rotation of the "Gimbal" object is irrelevant?

Seems like it was a rather big deal a few years ago.
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1400224826497781761

2021-06-03T04:53:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400314713343365124
@NickMadrid16 @Avirup1981 Because planes can fly.

2021-06-02T22:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400225292363333634
Going live in three minutes with @DrBrianKeating to discuss the physics & phenomena of UFO/UAPs.
We will be taking questions in the live chat: https://youtu.be/HJtTgIKDUZw

---

2021-06-02T22:44:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400221715402149889
RT @JasonColavito: Everybody sniped at me when I said Lue Elizondo seemed to be testing a run for Congress. Then this: "I will run for Congâ□¦

2021-06-02T22:12:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400213864306778115

And a different way of covering the UAP topic. A humorous but surprisingly accurate (except for my name) overview from CamperKillerCommentary.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JcEH55sptxs

2021-06-02T22:09:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400212913252560898
Discussing UFOs (and UFO experiences) with Matt Robison and former Congressman Paul Hodes.

Full podcast.
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/ufos-can-we-explain-the-navy-videos-seen-on-60-minutes/id1369437819?i=1000523662157

Condensed video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cmfk5usjjIM

2021-06-02T21:59:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400210405457227777
@theferaltweets @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @ChristopherKMe4 You mean you find the coincidences to be too unlikely?

Don't coincidences occasionally happen? Sometimes, maybe every 20 years or so, might there not be even two or three odd coincidences in the same day?

2021-06-02T21:09:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400197841977413634
@ExogenesisHH @Numenor33 @duke689 @JeremyCorbell @ChristopherKMe4 I've had a few hundred dollars for talking about UFOs on TV and some (small) writing fees.

I might write a UFO book. It's not going to change trigonometry.

2021-06-02T21:00:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400195520732139521
@ironhokieesq @BlueGlassTV @VidyaVoid @Jim87504388 @Berserk97981716 That is an airplane leaving a contrail, viewed at a shallow angle.

100%. No question.

2021-06-02T20:58:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400195035224678401
@Numenor33 @ExogenesisHH @duke689 @JeremyCorbell @ChristopherKMe4 That book is not about UFOs. They barely get a mention.

2021-06-02T20:57:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400194853862985730
@Julesofthwood @Avirup1981 @DietrichVFA41 Hardly solved, it's just a pointer towards a better

way of thinking about aerodynamic forces. A more comprehensive (and recent) description along the same lines can be found here:
https://arxiv.org/pdf/nlin/0507032.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E259sxSVUAUdR6C.jpg

---

Abstract

All aerodynamic forces on a surface are caused by collisions of fluid particles with the surface. Upwash, downwash, lift, drag, the starting vortex, the bow wave, and any other phenomena that would not occur without the surface are caused by its presence as it interacts with the air flow. While the standard approach to fluid dynamics, which is founded on the "fluid approximation," is effective in providing a means of calculating a wide range of fluid behavior, it falters in its ability to account for the effects of complex interactions of the fluid either with itself, other fluids, or with solid bodies. One of the conditions required to justify the fluid approximation is that the flow be *steady* [21], i.e. that the particles of the fluid not be interacting with each other or with any surface. It is these very interactions, however, that are the causes of aerodynamic effects on solid bodies in the flow. This is not to say, of course, that the fluid approximation is never useful, but that some well-known and important effects such as the Coandă effect are not explained by that model.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E259sxSVUAUdR6C.jpg
2021-06-02T20:50:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400193156386152448
@TrevyLimited @theferaltweets @Oswald1160 @ChristopherKMe4 They were given a bearing, range, and altitude. A "merge plot" was called. They found SOMETHING nearby, within a few miles, very different altitude. Maybe not what the radar was seeing (if that was even a physical object)

2021-06-02T20:47:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400192300655906817
@YMaquetas @Avirup1981 @PostDisclosure These are the stars you are looking for:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2021-06-02T20:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400190836466360322
@Avirup1981 @Julesofthwood @DietrichVFA41 More air molecules are impacting the bottom of the wings than the top. Hence a net upwards force.

Fluid equations are great mathematical models, but the physical reality is that air is molecules (mostly O2 and N2) bouncing around. Molecules colliding with the plane create lift

---

2021-06-02T19:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400169329262350336

@theferaltweets @Oswald1160 @TrevyLimited @ChristopherKMe4 The earlier report quotes him as saying he made no attempt to slew the camera, then just lost it.

https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E25miENVcAIhytT.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E25miENVcAIhytT.jpg
2021-06-02T19:13:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400168757268410369
@Avirup1981 @NickMadrid16 It looks like a plane. It is possible it's a Tac-Tac.

But since it looks like a plane, then why would you think it's a Tic-Tac?

2021-06-02T19:12:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400168462001991681
@Numenor33 @duke689 @JeremyCorbell @ChristopherKMe4 I'm retired. If I wanted a livelihood I'd be back making video games. I make almost nothing from UFOs.

2021-06-02T18:47:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400162286610763780
@uapstuditaly @mheisler_marcus @CuomoPrimeTime @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @TheUfoJoe @SteUFOnotCGULLS @AndreaSimondini @Akam1129 @tomdelonge @hollywoodufos @MiddleOfMayhem @Gurrlly007LIVE Infrared (thermal) radiation is subject to refraction and diffraction. It's just a different wavelength of electromagnetic radiation.

2021-06-02T18:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1400161506365374465
@fluffytail1 @Daumm8 @chad_vfa41 Switching to a wider field of view, and moving the camera left, seem like pretty obvious things to do in that situation.

2021-06-02T05:16:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399958135352094727
@TrevyLimited @ChristopherKMe4 Underwood did not. He lost it on the ATFLIR, and that was it.

2021-06-02T05:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399954021813653510
@BigNativeMitch @Pupi5910 @ChristopherKMe4 Obviously not. One thing is not all things, as I've repeatedly stated.

UFO folk seem obsessed with the idea that every debunk I do is supposedly a debunk of all UFOs simultaneously.

2021-06-01T23:40:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399873487951384579
Join me and @DrBrianKeating Wednesday 2 June at 4p Pacific Time to discuss the physics & phenomena of UFO/UAPs.
We will be taking questions in the live chat: https://youtu.be/HJtTgIKDUZw

---

2021-06-01T23:28:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399870536834904064
@ChristopherKMe4 I'd be happy to interview Underwood. I've reached out before, but got negative response. So I've only be able to address points of his via response videos, which are much less efficient than conversations.
Still, it's not like I'm ignoring him.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-06-01T17:35:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399781571540836358
@LtTimMcMillan @zcichy @ThanosAlpha Are those changes in direction not just camera motion?

2021-06-01T17:33:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399781261078515712
@zcichy Low Observability has been promoted! It used to be #4.

That "positive lift" is a pointless descriptor. They really mean "no visible means of propulsion, and hence lift"

2021-06-01T17:31:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399780636802437120
@zcichy I agree, looks just like an insect.

2021-06-01T15:49:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399754916004958210
@SpringJack72 @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, but he also said it might come late.

2021-06-01T15:46:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399754204848156678
@JokeToSelf @JLuvin @PRRIpoll What, you mean like RT suggesting there's a cover-up?

2021-06-01T15:45:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399753928468668417
@Palladiotypist @Catinthehatturd The Navy isn't saying anything, as is standard practice for

them. There's almost zero context around this video.

2021-06-01T15:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399745530536726531

@Daumm8 His story has changed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2zlHDVVcAAbX1R.jpg

---

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2zlHDVVcAAbX1R.jpg

2021-06-01T15:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399745175887351810

@Daumm8 Yes, but he didn't. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2zkv7eVgAIHmsp.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2zkv7eVgAIHmsp.jpg

2021-06-01T15:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399744704149745664

@Fiddaman Why would it be above?

2021-06-01T15:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399744465565196288

@Fiddaman BTW. VIPR and VIPER are different things.

VIPR is a TSA security team. VIPER (Visual Information Personnel) are essentially the designated guys who video stuff on board.

2021-06-01T15:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399743752369885187

@Fiddaman Sea level to a few hundred feet, I'd imagine.

2021-06-01T15:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399743249691021323

@Fiddaman No, they could be on or above it. Not a lot above though.

2021-06-01T14:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399742134698209281

@Fiddaman You can't tell from the radar.

2021-06-01T14:58:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399742033401503748

@Daumm8 He missed his opportunity, probably distracted.

2021-06-01T14:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399741249679028237

@JeremyUnidenti1 You might find the Standing Rules of Engagement/Standing Rules for the Use of Force for U.S. Forces a bit more to the point. These vary by situation, but generally require an imminent threat.

https://www.loc.gov/rr/frd/Military_Law/pdf/OLH_2015_Ch5.pdf

2021-06-01T14:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399738145785085954

@Daumm8 He's referring to digital zoom. I'm referring to optical zoom. Jeremy asked him the wrong questions.

My more in-depth response here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

---

2021-06-01T14:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399737418396311554

@Fiddaman Just to be clear, the radar here is a surface radar, the targets all show up as sea level. No overhead flights shown here. But they are in the area.

But to the broader point - the VIPER team isn't an analysis team, their job is to record stuff for PR purposes.

2021-06-01T14:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399736573671854080

@Daumm8 No he does not. He fails to address the obvious loss of lock due to camera movement.

2021-06-01T14:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399729306469756929

@JokeToSelf @PRRIpoll There are certainly some cult-like aspects to #ufotwitter

---

2021-06-01T13:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399726984742068226

@528vibes Hard to say, but Iâ□□d have a simple fake as a likely candidate.

2021-06-01T13:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399726484957253637

Fascinating, and rather sad. Understanding QAnonâ□□s Connection to American Politics, Religion, and Media Consumption via @PRRIPoll

https://www.prri.org/research/qanon-conspiracy-american-politics-report/

2021-06-01T04:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399588822845771777

Pilots. Trained observers of UFOs for over 70 years.

https://books.google.com/books?id=TEcfjDkt750C&lpg=PA60&dq=flying%20saucer%20%22cigar%20shaped%22&pg=PA59#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2xWM_qVcAcJDTn.jpg

July, 1950

Flying Saucers

(*Continued from page 17*)

of the accounts are more interesting and inexplicable than those described in this article, but we have confined this to only four reports.

All involve sightings by airliner crews —in each case by both pilots and co-pilots. We have confined the descriptions of saucer sightings to these four accounts because we know that airline pilots are trained observers. They are used to watching and interpreting sky phenomena and would be less likely to err in their reports than any other group we could think of.

No editor of FLYING has ever seen a flying saucer. But we adopt the position of Dr. Frank K. Edmondson, director of the Goethe Link Observatory of Indiana University at Bloomington.

"I have never seen a flying saucer," said Dr. Edmondson, "but after you discount all these explainable reports, there is a residue left that I cannot explain."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2xWM_qVcAcJDTn.jpg

2021-06-01T00:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399526248259280899

@NolanUpper @ChristopherKMe4 Well, they don't really show anything amazing. So there's that.

2021-06-01T00:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399524078923382793
Unrelated to the Nimitz incident except in adopting the Tic-Tac name.
Distant planes look like flying Tic-Tacs (or really, more like propane tanks) because the bottoms of the wings are in shade, and so are hard to see with a bit of haze.
https://twitter.com/Spacecowboy781/status/1399196640595132417

2021-06-01T00:25:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399522484924211201
@CuriousAnthrop1 @ChristopherKMe4 I think Chris believes in what he's saying. I just think he's wrong about a lot of it.

Specifically that there's some weight of evidence to support the ET/UT hypothesis.

2021-06-01T00:23:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399521862988701701
@alpha_check @DaveFalch You don't think physics-defying moves are relevant?

2021-06-01T00:20:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399521295931379713
@ChristopherKMe4 also says "However, the UAP case does not depend on this or any other video." - which speaks to the typical pattern of evidence in such claims. There's LOTS of weak evidence, but no one piece is ever good enough to hold up to scrutiny.

2021-06-01T00:16:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399520124667793408
Go Fast isn't moving fast, and looks and acts like a balloon. Chris Mellon says: "I think the speed is modest but also not the issue."

2021-06-01T00:12:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399519140029685762
And numerous people saying that the fact that the pyramid UFO was not a pyramid is missing some important point.

2021-06-01T00:10:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399518626990858243
And @alpha_check's comment on the rotating glare explanation of Gimbal:
"So did the object in the video rotate or was it a lens flare? My answer is: it's irrelevant. It's a curious oddity. But we need to consider the event as a whole occurring over multiple days."

2021-06-01T00:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399518098978316288
I'm reminded of Leslie Kean's comment after her "Groundbreaking" UFO video was explained in 2017
"Actually, I believe that the resolution of this video is of secondary importance. Whatâ□□s more significant is the fact that, in Chile, a government agency investigates reports of UAP"

2021-06-01T00:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399517006659915777

Funny pattern: First, a UFO video comes out showing, amongst other things, something inexplicable. Then someone explains that thing.
Invariably that thing is then declared to be irrelevant.
Amazing UFO just a plane? Not significant.
Object not defying physics? Irrelevant?

2021-05-31T23:52:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399514167212527619
@Disclose_UFOUAP @fluffytail1 @Fiddaman My goal here is to try get accurate measurements. If you think "tic-tac shaped" is all you need, then go for it. I prefer details.

2021-05-31T23:51:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399513942850883584
@kathyow6 And any time there's an unidentified fly object in controlled airspace then it's worth investigating.

2021-05-31T23:50:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399513736902184960
@kathyow6 I think the government moves slowly. But I also doubt there's any huge national security implications in what Corbell has released. Especially the the pyramid bokeh video is any indication.

2021-05-31T23:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399509452793913347
RT @RobBreakenridge: Our latest podcast, featuring conversations with @TristinHopper, @cvangeyn, @MickWest, @SportTourismCA: https://t.co/gâ□¦

2021-05-31T23:33:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399509411375161350
@Disclose_UFOUAP @fluffytail1 @Fiddaman Ideally, at the time they would all have drawn diagrams of what they saw.

If something is more than twice as long as Tic-Tac, then you'd have admit that's a rather misleading descriptor. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2wOOoiVkAA2T5V.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2wOOoiVkAA2T5V.jpg

2021-05-31T23:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399507233583505412

@JLuvin @the_grandad @andradare @gammaraybeam @AndrokLee @zcichy That's just what's at the top of my list.

And when you write it like that, doesn't it actually seem quite logical. Something super simple (with human error) vs. something really complicated

---

2021-05-31T23:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399506876954398727

@andradare @JLuvin @the_grandad @gammaraybeam @AndrokLee @zcichy Actually even most ufologists agree that 95% of cases have a straightforward explanation.

The remainder generally come down to insufficient information.

No cases have yet been demonstrated to have an identifiable extraordinary cause.

2021-05-31T23:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399502400491118593

@JLuvin @the_grandad @andradare @gammaraybeam @AndrokLee @zcichy I'm not assuming everything is prosaic. That's just the most common outcome of all UFO

2021-05-31T22:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399497672008945665

@thebigsnap2020 So what did I get wrong, specifically?

2021-05-31T22:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399497361013940228

@Disclose_UFOUAP @fluffytail1 @Fiddaman You could specify the various relative dimensions I

discussed, or you could just draw a diagram.

When figuring out what something is, it's worth trying to get more details that simply what type of candy it reminds you of. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2wC84UUYAEtqZ3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2wC84UUYAEtqZ3.jpg
2021-05-31T21:21:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399476197524865026
@DaveSFoley It appears to be an English -> English translation of this:
https://screenrant.com/kids-hall-revival-show-original-cast-photo/

2021-05-31T17:22:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399416000760614926
@fluffytail1 @Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman Yes.

2021-05-31T16:08:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399397318906974211
@benjimaan22 @ZainAly786 @BilboFragns @issaufobro @foiaboi @_Desmoden @cosmicdahlia @Wenger_Knows @junglist1973 @SpacedOutRadio @stinamariaxo @mesolithicguy @HunterRedX @Julesofthwood @TheProjectUnity @realufobros and it's not "black", it's grey

---

2021-05-31T16:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399397185519702026
@benjimaan22 @ZainAly786 @BilboFragns @issaufobro @foiaboi @_Desmoden @cosmicdahlia @Wenger_Knows @junglist1973 @SpacedOutRadio @stinamariaxo @mesolithicguy @HunterRedX @Julesofthwood @TheProjectUnity @realufobros Contrails are clouds. Clouds are sometimes dark.

It's the lighting

2021-05-31T16:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399396904899731463
@KevinMarcheschi Photos and videos generally record GPS now.

2021-05-31T16:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399395518665551874
@ZainAly786 @BilboFragns @issaufobro @foiaboi @benjimaan22 @_Desmoden @cosmicdahlia @Wenger_Knows @junglist1973 @SpacedOutRadio @stinamariaxo @mesolithicguy @HunterRedX @Julesofthwood @TheProjectUnity @realufobros It's a contrail.

2021-05-30T19:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399082571061817347
@Disclose_UFOUAP @Fiddaman Seriously?

You don't think a more detailed description than "a giant Tic-Tac" is possible?

Everyone tells me I need to ask for more data, yet when I ask the pilots for more data it's seems rough descriptions are just fine.

2021-05-30T18:09:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399065404476067840
@ByronDeLear @Flyingh43892139 @Julesofthwood @DaveFalch @alpha_check @LueElizondo @Rocky14535722 @ExploreSCU Probably just backlit. Underwood was probably pointing south.

2021-05-30T16:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399044704776245249
@TrevyLimited @SimCult @SpringJack72 I agree. It's hard to get a good explanation for what they describe.

2021-05-30T16:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399044467546488839
@Mich95445202 @LosBilbilocos @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut IIRC, Pias attempted to test his tech, nothing happened.

2021-05-30T16:44:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399044145902063617
@Fiddaman @Disclose_UFOUAP They could have accurately described it. Was it circular in cross-section? Were the ends hemispherical, flattened spheres, or pointed (and if so how much)? What was the width to length ratio? What size were the "legs".
Draw a diagram, add some numbers.
"Tic-Tac" forces a shape.

2021-05-30T15:22:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399023456646164484
@Katherinesaber @UFODoctor Many pounds of it.

2021-05-30T15:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1399023227205160967
@JonHammond1701 @qubitzki @JamesIsaak2 @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut Never

eyeballs at the same time as instruments though, unfortunately.

2021-05-30T15:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399020371001495553

@GlantonholdenJ How did you determine they are not?

2021-05-30T15:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399019768854712320

@SimCult @SpringJack72 @TrevyLimited I use Occamic ranking. Make a list of all hypotheses, rank them, donâ□□t remove anything, modify as new data and analysis arrive.

2021-05-30T15:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1399017866767130624

@UFODoctor @Katherinesaber Big Memorial Day weekend pool party is eating into my Twitter time. However thereâ□□s still quite a bit of discussion over on Metabunk.

2021-05-29T21:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398760198697721856

@BradSmall @JasonColavito I would not, he's just an example as he seems more enthusiastic about following it up than most (including Warner). Better than nothing thought.

2021-05-29T21:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398759633439715329

@Mich95445202 @LosBilbilocos @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut This one was granted:

https://patents.google.com/patent/US3216423

Spin a pregnant woman around until the baby pops out. Catch it in a net.

Being granted does not mean it is based on good science.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2lkZ2wVkAAwlPd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2lkZ2wVkAAwlPd.jpg

2021-05-29T21:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398758809594515456

@SimCult I don't know about "entirely" possible. All things are possible, but I don't think it's a hypothesis that's backed by good evidence.

2021-05-29T21:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398758595324350464

@ksorbsinnrvoice @PhilipMarloweLA @papsufo @tasmedes @JamesIsaak2 @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut It's possible they have radar recordings.

2021-05-29T20:51:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398743906339024898
@PhilipMarloweLA @LijevoSamo As I explained, it's about how people's descriptions of difficult-to-see objects tend to conform to shapes they are familiar with.

There's only so many shapes out there. But gravitating to one might make the description less accurate.

2021-05-29T19:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398724535751376900
@JimmyVolf @LueElizondo Why do you need five?

Anything that looks like an unidentified airspace incursion needs resolving.

2021-05-29T18:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398712530441150467
@JimmyVolf @LueElizondo Anything inexplicable by conventional means. Super speed and acceleration are fine.

To be clear, I think these Navy videos are worth investigating. They contain unknowns, and created initial misconceptions. That should be fixed.

2021-05-29T17:03:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398686387902185474
@badconsigliere @JasonColavito I was half joking. The point being the that details could be provided to people with clearance, who would then say things based on that.

2021-05-29T16:56:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398684653133860865
@sketch_god @TheChristianPil @LosBilbilocos @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut And just to be clear, I said "Cool supernatural stories and speculative patents are not evidence"

"Anecdotal evidence" is obviously evidence by definition. It's just crap evidence.

If anyone wants to argue that "cool supernatural stories" are "anecdotal evidence", then go ahead

---

2021-05-29T16:53:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398683979306344453
@Disclose_UFOUAP No more than anyone is implying it's a giant Tic-Tac.

I'm commenting on what the shape remind me of, and how people fit imperfect perceptions (far away object) into something their brain is familiar with. Tic-Tac, Propane Tank, Cigar, whatever.

2021-05-29T16:48:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398682664601858048

@JasonColavito Yeah, it's like: why take a chance? They currently have no compelling motivation to clear anything UAP-related for release, let alone declassify things.

2021-05-29T16:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398679481150148617

@JasonColavito Yeah, he's not helping. But there could be SOME clearing up - I mean we could learn simple things, like what was the aperture in that night vision monocular.

Probably a broader clarifications has to be initiated by lawmakers. The military has a lot of inertia.

2021-05-29T16:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398678770630889478

@LijevoSamo UFOs come in a variety of shapes, but not an infinite variety. The shape is a human interpretation of an often far-distant shape - and real-world pattern matching molds your brain's expectations. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kaqFAVEAI_vRi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kaqFAVEAI_vRi.jpg

2021-05-29T16:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398677460468699138

@TheChristianPil @LosBilbilocos @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut Its is generally agreed upon in science and the justice system that anecdotes are not evidence.

https://thelogicofscience.com/2016/02/10/5-reasons-why-anecdotes-are-totally-worthless/

2021-05-29T16:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398676141360119812

@JasonColavito They could at least clear it up to Marco Rubio, and then he could tell us.

I don't anticipate much clarity from the military though. They don't have any incentive. More data of ANY type will just lead to more attention.

2021-05-29T16:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398675527129387013

RT @zcichy: If UFOs are a foreign adversary as youâ□□re more or less implying here, this never should have made it to the point where the pubâ□¦

2021-05-29T16:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398675210153324544

There's only so many shapes in the universe.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kXiHnVgAM-NSe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kXhFWUcAEdoZi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kXiHnVgAM-NSe.jpg
2021-05-29T16:13:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1398673751810605057
@LosBilbilocos @michaelshermer @SDUTdyer @sdut One does not need to debunk claims made without evidence - other than to point point out there's no evidence. Cool supernatural stories and speculative patents are not evidence. There's patents for all kinds of strange things, like human teleportation.

https://patents.google.com/patent/US20060071122
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kWVTfVEAQWMLK.jpg

Figure 1



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2kWVTfVEAQWMLK.jpg

2021-05-29T16:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398671840399159298

@JetEnthusiast @BenHansen00 Better than peer review - it's independent replication. The real gold standard of science.

2021-05-29T16:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398670822055710720

@JimmyVolf @FeenstraDennis @geofflath I did not say that. But the more data the better.

Two cameras are different known times, locations and headings would be great. But also one camera correlated with radar data - of the SAME THING - would be great.

2021-05-29T15:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398669867457282048

RT @michaelshermer: Kudos to @SDUTdyer & The San Diego Union Tribune @sdut for doing what 60 Minutes, Fox News, et al. failed to do: consulâ¦

2021-05-29T04:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398496160235491331

@badconsigliere @GMcGlothlen @DietrichVFA41 I've email them, but got not response. Others have done similarly.

2021-05-28T23:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398425507377541125

@SocialistFT Probably not until next week or later, if that. It's party time here at Casa West.

2021-05-28T23:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398425108113358849

@JimmyVolf So, if their transponder stopped working then you'd be okay with them being shot? Same with airlines?

Of course they won't shoot identified objects. The question is when they should shoot the unidentified.

2021-05-28T23:43:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398424754365747202

@SilvaRecord When's the last time the US shot at something in anger or suspicion in that area?

2021-05-28T23:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398423431629004802

Why doesn't the Navy shoot at "swarms" of drones that show up on radar? Well right there on the radar is a commercial ship, the Swan Ace, a large cargo carrier. Overhead are commercial flights. You can't just shoot something that is miles away for being unidentified.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2gx4iMVEAERN3N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2gx4iMVEAERN3N.jpg

2021-05-28T21:00:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398383763986337793

Modified caps are the #2 hypothesis here, so why is Jeremy focussing on them?

The best recreations that have been done were with Night Vision with a triangular aperture. #1 And the pin-point hole is for daylight usage. You need a bigger hole for night use (focus enhancement) https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1398367369093738498

2021-05-28T15:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398308042307895297

@ArranGray9 Of course, but since we don't know what it is, we can hardly use as evidence for or against any hypothesis.

Maybe they have vast droves of data detailing all the way false tracks can appear on advanced radar?

Maybe they have photos of aliens?

Maybe nothing in particular?

2021-05-28T15:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398307124501893128

@Gurrlly007LIVE @Munook @JeremyCorbell The bokeh descriptor only applies to the video of something that looks exactly like bokeh.

There's no actual eyewitness reports of flying pyramids. Just this video that looks exactly like bokeh.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1VR9-TvZZSE

2021-05-28T15:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398306135375945735

@MaitreyaNight @JeremyCorbell The video does not show anything hovering.

"UAP" studies include things that were unidentified at the time.

2021-05-28T15:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398305935131508736

RT @WhatTheIfShow: Learn science by imagining the physics of #UFOs, with our special guest @MickWest! Listen now:
https://whattheif.com/episodes/tic-tac-ufos-bringing-fresh-science-with-mick-west hâ□¦

2021-05-28T15:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398305880316080129

@Munook @Gurrlly007LIVE @JeremyCorbell Well, clear video would be a great start.
Radar data that actually shows something amazing would be great.
Both together would be ideal.

2021-05-28T15:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398304332685053955

Image source: https://www.flickr.com/photos/ogslick/3696012490/sizes/l/

2021-05-28T15:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398304300476952578

The "secret data" hypothesis of UFOlogy. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2fF8Z9VgAAqiom.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2fF8Z9VgAAqiom.jpg

2021-05-28T15:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398302466941194242

@Gurrlly007LIVE @JeremyCorbell The video does not match the reports.

2021-05-28T15:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398295053987028994

@JimmyVolf @JeremyCorbell Comment? There's a whole technical discussion going on over at Metabunk.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-omaha-ufo-uap-radar-video.11762/

2021-05-28T14:17:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398282352615329795

Seem like recent UFO videos were leaked to @jeremycorbell by someone with access to the UAPTF data annex.

One was the green pyramid, shared unquestioningly as 'the best UFO military-filmed footage ... the world has ever seen.â□□' It was bokeh.

Does that reflect on the other two?

https://twitter.com/BenHansen00/status/1398202384724029441

2021-05-28T14:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398280309364301831

@drumshticknow @issaufobro I noted the radar targets were miles away, and miles apart. This did not seem to match descriptions of ships being "swarmed" or multiple log entries saying they

were "overhead"

2021-05-28T14:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398279399691079682

@JediGhostBear82 @JustinBezanson "Quantum dot" is just a fancy type of invisible ink that is visible to an IR camera under infrared light. There was a proposal to use it to to mark injection sites in 3rd world countries that had poor (or no) record keeping for vaccines.

https://www.natureworldnews.com/articles/43070/20191230/you-can-now-tattoo-a-childs-vaccination-history-in-invisible-ink.htm

---

2021-05-28T13:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398271207724576769

@paulgormleym The Navy have explicitly said they won't release the results of their investigations into such incidents.

2021-05-28T11:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398242055294390276

@ABirdman32 @BenHansen00

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-05-28T09:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398203377943605256

RT @BenHansen00: Using Gen 3 night vision, I ran a few tests to analyze the shape of the Navy "Pyramid" UFO video. As proposed by @MickWestâ¦

2021-05-28T05:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398144034825064452

@paulgormleym Because other people do them.

2021-05-28T05:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398143935210397697

@dave_beaty Are you asking for an official link? I posted one above. And here's a http://navy.mil mention

https://www.navy.mil/Resources/Photo-Gallery/igpage/21/isListView/True/?igtag=USNS%20Mercy

But why would a PR images team be videoing this? Corbell's explanation made no sense.

2021-05-28T04:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398134798044958722

Why would drones show up on radar as surface tracks?

https://twitter.com/amitcohenraab/status/1398128820398428162

2021-05-28T02:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398098533580886016

@smiths617 @JeremyCorbell This is the discovery phase.

2021-05-28T01:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398085051078766593

@UAPEngineering @JeremyCorbell Hmm, so maybe Visionmaster Seaguard? VMS?

2021-05-28T00:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398078614864728067

@samhell Overhead. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2b5EcFVcAQ9lRo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2b5EcFVcAQ9lRo.jpg

2021-05-28T00:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398078296991043584

In @JeremyCorbell's video there's seemingly no altitude data on the targets. And the Sperry Marine radar looks similar to the Visionmaster FT that is designed to track boats, not flying craft. Max tracking velocity 150 knots.

So what am I missing here? How is it tracking drones?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2b4oSAVkAIkJ6w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2b4L7tVoAE9rfx.jpg

TARGET FEATURES

Tracker Performance

Automatic or manual acquisition of up to 100 tracked targets

Meets full IMO standard for standard and high speed craft
Continuous tracking for targets detected for 5 out of 10 consecutive scans.

- Acquisition Range: 0.25nm to 40nm
- Tracking Range: 0.25nm to 40nm
- Maximum Tracking Velocity: 150 knots relative

Velocity trend within 1 minute, full accuracy to IMO standard within 3 minutes.

Auto Acquisition

2 annular and 2 polygonal zones available in all presentation modes and all motion modes.

Target Alarms

- Closest point of approach/bow crossing range (CPA/BCR): 0.1 nm to 20 nm
- Time to CPA/bow crossing time (TCPA/BCT): 1 min to 999 min
- Lost Target Alarms: Operator selectable option

Alarms are audible with identifying symbol on screen where appropriate.

Operator adjustable range limit for lost target alarms.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2b4oSAVkAIkJ6w.jpg

2021-05-28T00:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398077147093168129

@JimmyVolf @_Ryder7 Hey, I'm not the one leaking videos. If it's classified, then what's Jeremy doing?

2021-05-28T00:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398076295003598848

@ADarkObelisk Aha, thanks. Voyage Management System.

2021-05-28T00:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398074066880262147

@_Ryder7 Clearly I need to ask. So what's the answer. Can the radar seen in Jeremy's video detect the altitude of the target?

2021-05-28T00:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398073487705608193

@samhell

https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/39913/multiple-destroyers-were-swarmed-by-mysterious-drones-off-california-over-numerous-nights

---

2021-05-28T00:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398073209648402432

@Accumulat0r Not the Navy, just some person making a mistake.

2021-05-28T00:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398072186682806275

What type of radar is this? Can it track flying objects?

Looks like a Sperry Marine Visionmaster FT, but says "VHS".

The FT seems designed to track floating targets. No target altitude or elevation. Max track speed 150 knots.

So what's the VHS? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2by4LJVEAI6fXU.jpg

---

TARGET FEATURES

Tracker Performance

Automatic or manual acquisition of up to 100 tracked targets

Meets full IMO standard for standard and high speed craft
Continuous tracking for targets detected for 5 out of 10 consecutive scans.

- Acquisition Range: 0.25nm to 40nm
- Tracking Range: 0.25nm to 40nm
- Maximum Tracking Velocity: 150 knots relative

Velocity trend within 1 minute, full accuracy to IMO standard within 3 minutes.

Auto Acquisition

2 annular and 2 polygonal zones available in all presentation modes and all motion modes.

Target Alarms

- Closest point of approach/bow crossing range (CPA/BCR): 0.1 nm to 20 nm
- Time to CPA/bow crossing time (TCPA/BCT): 1 min to 999 min
- Lost Target Alarms: Operator selectable option

Alarms are audible with identifying symbol on screen where appropriate.

Operator adjustable range limit for lost target alarms.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2by4LJVEAI6fXU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2byHtDVkAEbQj-.jpg

2021-05-27T23:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398064441451704324

@_olligo_ It's not "five observables" fast.

2021-05-27T22:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398047572170711043

The video seems to show a variety of slow moving targets, several miles from the ship, and separated by miles. This does not really seem to match the description of a swarm of drones right above the ship. https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1398038072743317510

2021-05-27T22:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398042894896750595

@Sacfoodie916 @ChristopherKMe4 I think Chris wanted to be clear, as he said.

2021-05-27T20:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1398006264064450560

@ChrisprCasNine @onmydayout @pcolomes @JeremyCorbell This is the Omaha, not the Russell, or the Nimitz.

2021-05-27T17:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397968847919931395

@AlexBee61115849 @ChristopherKMe4 Yes. My hypothesis is that it's a balloon, and the apparent motion is from the jet and camera tracking. So a turn would probably invalidate that. I'd have to see the video though.

2021-05-27T17:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397968183005302789

@BrianDunning @erasmix @fcain @jmgeventhorizon @answerswithjoe @SethShostak @ProfAbelMendez Do they? I'd assumed that regular party balloons were too thin and curved to make good target. But maybe them being POOR targets could actually add to the confusion.

2021-05-27T17:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397967137851940866

@conorowens94 @DonMarcello16 @TheChilterns @ChristopherKMe4 That's would be astonishing (assuming the radar represented a real object). It would necessitate immediate and wide-scale inquiry at a global level.

2021-05-27T17:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397965332455706631

@TheChilterns @ChristopherKMe4 Because there's a difference in the consequence of something being human technology vs. alien technology. One is simply interesting, the other epochal.

2021-05-27T16:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397960634092851200

@anon420696969 @ChristopherKMe4 That's essentially just studying quantum physics.

2021-05-27T16:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397959896134422528

@buffcommacu @ChristopherKMe4 He's not really suggested he's seen much more than is out there. He said Gimbal was the most compelling video.

2021-05-27T16:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397959684267470852

@DonMarcello16 @TheChilterns @ChristopherKMe4 There's a range of opinions about the ET hypothesis. Some people are convinced 100% it's aliens. Some are not.

What do you think about the possibility that the Nimitz Tic-Tac was an alien craft?

2021-05-27T16:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397958989967630337

@AlexBee61115849 @ChristopherKMe4 Where are you getting this "bird and ballon" idea from?

On of the videos, Go-Fast, seems to show a balloon. How is that treating the entire subject with an air of derision.

2021-05-27T16:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397958409199054848

@BKolbey @ResearchUap @BigPickleUAP @JeremyCorbell @RealCoreyNelson @SAUCERCO @g_knapp No ignore at all. I talked with Dave over several Months, trying to iron out the disagreements. He eventually blocked me.

I don't feel like he really understood the issues.

2021-05-27T16:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397957959494213633

@BabyPandaDog I certainly don't mind being cited, if that's what you are asking.

2021-05-27T16:47:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397957758721290241

@TheChilterns @ChristopherKMe4 And yet people keep leaping in with "NOBODY SAID ALIENS!!!!" if I mention it.

2021-05-27T16:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397957449513009157

@SteatlhUfo @g_knapp Send them to the New York Times, or Fox News, or Wikileaks.

Why do they want Knapp and Corbell to release copies with their watermarks on them. How does that help anyone?

2021-05-27T16:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397956946695659520

With refreshing honesty @ChristopherKMe4 confirms that he thinks the 'Tic-Tac' Nimitz incident is likely (but not certainly) ET/UT (Extraterrestrial/Ultraterrestrial - essentially aliens.)

Ufology dances around the "alien" question because of stigma. But it's always there.
https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1397740860972978179

2021-05-27T16:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397954531757985794

@jennerklaas Why would I not? The information is all right there.

2021-05-27T16:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397950906235195396

@jongtmustang @JeremyCorbell I have not solved the mysteries. I've shown that the videos need not show anything incredible.

2021-05-27T16:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397950644569350145
@Bouldergreg @ChristopherKMe4 Also "free energy, and possible human-alien cultural exchanges."

These are quite reasonable things to desire. If there's advanced tech flying around out there, them obviously we would want to learn from it.

2021-05-27T15:05:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397931932822827013
@BuddhaUap Read the memo, it does not suggest that the term be used to ridicule. It simply uses the term, as it was currently used.

http://www.jfklancer.com/CIA.html

2021-05-27T14:59:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397930348676194316
@nelsonb26 I have not. I'd be happy to talk to any of the pilots.

2021-05-27T14:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397930171554881550
@RayJack62496046 @uncertainvector The analysis remains the same. It's a non-rotating object with an apparently rotating glare from something very hot, like a jet engine. Attached to a drone or jet is simplest. This anecdote is interesting, but not really precise. Was he talking about the "fleet" on the SA?

2021-05-27T10:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397860852670222336
@HaileyMills4 Being mistaken in some aspects.

2021-05-27T01:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397734061708177417
RT @dave_beaty: @UFOi1973 @UfoSunday @MickWest @g_knapp This video I made about a mis ID UAP shows you how a FLIR video can fool you into tâ□¦

2021-05-27T01:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397721088604266499
@GabeyBabyMD @always_usy He does not address the glare question. He's misunderstanding the entire issue.

2021-05-27T01:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397720763432456194
The "conspiracy theory" conspiracy theory.

I wrote a whole chapter about it. The term had been around before JFK, the CIA memo was a four years later and had no effect on usage (it went down)

It grew because all the conspiracy theories.

https://twitter.com/stevebozz1/status/1397718776720855041

2021-05-26T23:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397699658823069697

@GlantonholdenJ @OceanSwimm @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I give them due respect.

2021-05-26T23:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397699582046314496

@area51org @_Desmoden @g_knapp They are 2D <-> 3D transforms, pixel-level analysis, and root cause investigations though. Videogame engine stuff.

2021-05-26T23:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397698903403761669

@ReticSpline @EricZiethen @Mr_HappyfaceEsq @ChristopherKMe4 I think there's bigger potential life changes ahead for me than being more wrong about UFOs than some other people. Bigger ones in the past too.

Life goes on.

I don't really get the fascination with this point. It's like people are looking forward to being all Nelson. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2WfmWRUUAAUHbq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2WfmWRUUAAUHbq.jpg

2021-05-26T23:35:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397697837127798784

@always_usy It does not. A flashlight is a symmetric light source, so rotating it changes nothing.

2021-05-26T23:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397695325234556928

@IIFE2000 @criticalamitous @g_knapp Mellon, Corbell, Elizondo, Knapp, DeLonge, Podesta, etc.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397695163418304512/pu/img/CGa5Z0gcnfhQBXi1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397695163418304512/pu/img/CGa5Z0gcnfhQBXi1.jpg
2021-05-26T22:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397687338734526467
@Nobody69891226 At the start of the video the camera locks onto the ocean surface. The parallax effect still happens, but the object is what looks like it's moving.
Then it locks on the object, and the ocean surface is what moves in the frame.

Exact same thing, just different tracking modes.

2021-05-26T22:50:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397686599563972609
@_Desmoden @g_knapp I was there discussing the Gimbal, GoFast, and Pyramid videos. Do you think they are "National Defense Issues?"

2021-05-26T19:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397638990283501571
@FettuccineSplit @g_knapp It has not. I'm assuming that. But it could be anyone with access to their data.

Someone with clearance chose Knapp and Corbell.

2021-05-26T19:38:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397638225082998786
@BuddhaUap @g_knapp Well, clearly people are communicating and planning in secret to get these videos out. Exactly what is going on is rather unclear.

2021-05-26T19:31:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397636420404015109
@NickMadrid16 @RealCSharp @ChristopherKMe4 I've emailed them. They did not reply. Other

people have tried, similar response or "ask the military"

2021-05-26T19:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397635795658297344

@D__Chosen1 @elonmusk Sounds like a Coronal Prominence Cavity. They are pretty cool, but not alien spaceships.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-black-sphere-hovering-near-the-sun-coronal-prominence-cavity.469/

2021-05-26T19:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397635211622453249

Why is @g_knapp the person that the UAP Task Force has chosen to receive their leaks?

He gives them to Jeremy, they release them with fanfare but zero analysis, make wild claims, get lots of publicity.

But the track record for "actually amazing UFOs" is poor.

What's going on? https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1397621632072503297

2021-05-26T17:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397603380596412416

@AnomalousUFO @zcichy @ChristopherKMe4 Do "we"? Who? Where?

2021-05-26T16:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397591617565982722

@Tim_Le_Fig @uncertainvector How can you tell, exactly?

2021-05-26T16:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397589801709101058

@fluffytail1 @DreamsEnd3 @uncertainvector No. The hypothesis is that they did not identify the object in the video. It almost certainly was identified on radar. This issues is connecting the two things. Like in the Chilean case.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-05-26T16:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397589392194097154

@Liberty4Life23 @TwitForBen9 @PopnCaps551 I'd love to see any more info. There's plenty of people doing FOIA requests.

2021-05-26T16:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397589147712253955

@GBuckleOfficial The plane with the camera is flying after it. Essentially following it. So the distance does not change much. In fact it seems to get a little closer as the following plane catches up.

2021-05-26T16:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397584265374666757

@Liberty4Life23 @TwitForBen9 @PopnCaps551 How do you know there's something to disclose that vastly better than these videos?

Mellon said Gimbal is the best one he's seen.

2021-05-26T16:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397583510743904257

@maxharris9 It looks exactly like a space reentry and breakup. Probably space junk.

https://youtu.be/fFpL36n68eY?t=89

2021-05-26T15:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397582914825510912

@MusicAndTruth @ChristopherKMe4 That's a pointless truism. Obviously there's alway the possibility of evidence that I have not see, or indeed counter-evidence that Chris has not seen. But we go with what we have. Chris has said that GIMBAL is the "most compelling" video evidence.

2021-05-26T15:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397581791158931464

@WingzeroF1 @Jack93882578 Here's an older account

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2U1NKhVUAU9Pfd.jpg

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATER, FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

CAPSULE (ALT 4K FT AT COURSE 300) PASSED UNDER FAST EAGLE 110 (ALT 16KFT). FAST EAGLE 110 BEGAN TURN TO ACQUIRE CAPSULE. WHILE 110 WAS DESCENDING AND TURNING, CAPSULE BEGAN CLIMBING AND TURNED INSIDE OF FAST EAGLE'S TURN RADIUS. PILOT ESTIMATED THAT CAPSULE ACHIEVED 600-700 KTS. FAST EAGLE 110 COULD NOT KEEP UP WITH THE RATE OF TURN AND THE GAIN OF ALTITUDE BY THE CAPSULE. 110 LOST VISUAL ID OF CAPSULE IN HAZE. LAST VISUAL CONTACT HAD CAPSULE AT 14KFT HEADING DUE EAST.

NEITHER FAST EAGLES 110 OR 100 COULD ACHIEVE RADAR LOCK OR ANY OTHER MEANS OF POSITIVE ID. FAST EAGLE 100 WAS FLYING HIGH COVER AND SAW THE ENGAGEMENT BY FAST EAGLE 110. FAST EAGLE 100 CONFIRMS 110 VISUAL ID; 100 LOST CONTACT IN HAZE AS WELL.

CPA OF ACFT 110 FROM CONTACT 4000-5000 FT.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2U1NKhVUAU9Pfd.jpg

2021-05-26T15:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397580028515291137

@Jack93882578 Dietrich was miles further away, and her original accounts don't line up with Fravor's.

2021-05-26T15:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397579828539236354

Here's one description I gave of the misjudged size/distance hypothesis.

https://youtu.be/Le7Fqbsrrm8?t=1036

2021-05-26T15:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397578508772073474

I've been saying Fravor might have misjudge the size of the TIc-Tac for a couple of years now. This gives the "mirroring" effect from his description. And the hypersonic departure illusion.

u/RollerDerby88 made an animation. Synced with Fravor.

https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/nl1jol/i_made_a_scale_3d_animation_of_the_nimitz_account/

2021-05-26T15:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397576702209912841

@Avirup1981 @TheProjectUnity Two years late.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-05-26T15:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397575193925603328

@TheUFOTrail @markopilkington @fringequest It's variable. Here's an always-free version.

https://news.yahoo.com/aliens-havent-landed-why-skeptical-090251254.html

---

2021-05-26T15:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397574888332750849

@OwatcherUf @fmolinari2019 @uncertainvector What we are seeing, the apparent black object, appears to be infrared glare. So its shape is just a consequence of the optics, not the shape of the plane. Example here, toggles between TV and IR modes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397574709907128321/pu/img/EqWTtxEQzCGOg1ri.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397574709907128321/pu/img/EqWTtxEQzCGOg1ri.jpg
2021-05-26T15:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397573911542341632
My USA Today Opinion piece on UFO videos sometimes shows up behind a paywall. Fortunately they share it with 200 other news organizations. He's a free-to-read version:

https://news.yahoo.com/aliens-havent-landed-why-skeptical-090251254.html

---

2021-05-26T15:20:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397573334477410305
@fringequest @markopilkington
https://news.yahoo.com/aliens-havent-landed-why-skeptical-090251254.html

---

2021-05-26T15:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397573067405107206
@ReticSpline @Mr_HappyfaceEsq @ChristopherKMe4 The same, just knowing a little more.

2021-05-26T15:18:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397572822340300811
@anon_et @uncertainvector But this isn't the best evidence. It's poor quality evidence - a glare obscuring something too far away.

Get a really good camera. Document it.

2021-05-26T15:17:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397572609731006465
@Alec62135372 Thanks!

2021-05-26T14:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397565747077865477
@ufo_classes @Fiddaman I did not write the title. However I think it's probably correct.

My title was "UFOs: What is going on?" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2UmblCVkAAkOUt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2UmblCVkAAkOUt.jpg

2021-05-26T14:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397564530171863040

@DreamsEnd3 @uncertainvector I think mistakes were made. That neither implies lying nor incompetence. I'm sure Graves, Fravor, Dietrich, etc, were all very good at their jobs, and they all seem to be honest.

2021-05-26T14:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397564189720207369

@NERAZZURRI_BS @SingletrackAdam Never rule anything out. One can certainly put the mundane explanations solidly at the top of the list. Far, far above aliens, or secret warp drive.

If the mundane explanations fit all the data, then we should treat the extraordinary hypotheses as appropriately unlikely.

2021-05-26T14:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397563626924306446

@ToweringCrane There are many different incidents.

2021-05-26T14:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397563506208088066

@Mr_HappyfaceEsq @ChristopherKMe4 Of course there might be secret evidence.

Be the evidence of that evidence existing is largely anecdotal.

2021-05-26T14:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397562168325771268

@GamingHabit101 Have they explained it in a way you understand? Could you explain it to me?

Dave misunderstands the issue.

2021-05-26T14:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397561612387577858

@RealCSharp @ChristopherKMe4 Three technicians. None understood the issue. One didn't know thermal radiation was photons (like light). The third deferred to that one.

I'd be more than happy to discuss it with any of them.

2021-05-26T14:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397560978573697026

@ChristopherKMe4 I'm certainly in favor of identifying anything that is unidentified, especially if it's trespassing on restricted airspace. I don't feel like the military is just ignoring the issue. However I think there's no good evidence that there's something breaking physics, or aliens.

2021-05-26T14:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397560060801208336

@ChristopherKMe4

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397559914722054150/pu/img/eHBInwzjLTUR_oCH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397559914722054150/pu/img/eHBInwzjLTUR_oCH.jpg

2021-05-26T14:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397559081435422723

@BobKurbel @uncertainvector You can't see the compressor blades when tail-on.

2021-05-26T14:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397557093293453315

I'm not claiming that the jet-engine-hot object behind the IR glare in GIMBAL is identified. I'm claiming (and demonstrating) that the saucer shape, the white aura, and the apparent rotation are camera artifacts. I doubt @uncertainvector's extra data would invalidate that.

https://twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1397535319327744009

2021-05-26T13:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397550309585481736

RT @KeithBasterfie1: TTSA filed a new report with the Securities and Exchange Commission, 30 April 2021. One item of which I was unaware unâ¦

2021-05-26T13:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397548842560548865

@SpringJack72 @BuddhaUap Iâ□□m not saying anyone is lying about their UFO experiences. I always assume first that eyewitnesses are honest.

2021-05-26T13:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397545611927318528

@UFOmusings Like I said, there are still unknowns.

2021-05-26T11:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397522711535001605

@Fiddaman Where do you think I'm wrong?

2021-05-26T11:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397516740225994753

Close examination of the supposed UFO videos by myself and others has shown them to be far more mundane than first thought.

https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2021/05/26/ufo-sightings-why-federal-reports-probably-wont-point-aliens/7426795002/

2021-05-26T11:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397511785368850433

@StephenLugo4 @Yahoo "Mysteries remain. What the pilots who reported engaging a giant flying Tic-Tac saw is still unidentified, as are the objects in several other incidents."

That is not "case closed"

2021-05-26T11:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397511211315462145

@SIlentKnigh7 @dave_beaty How do you know what the radar data shows?

2021-05-26T05:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397430472708222976

@fluffytail1 @OwatcherUf @RealCoreyNelson @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO I would be more than happy to discuss it in depth. But @RealCoreyNelson seems content to defer to Dave Falch, who blocked me.

2021-05-26T05:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397418922224148487

@aneilbaboo @aka__V @fluffytail1 @DaveFalch @Bobbybigrig @ResearchUap @uncertainvector What is it you think I should do with these eyewitness accounts? I'm not ignoring them, there's just not a lot to examine there.

2021-05-26T04:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397413572859158532
@TomTruther @ufob0t Story is from April 13.

2021-05-26T03:47:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397399082927157248
@Disclosure89 Find some non-secret evidence. Maybe from the 99% of pilots and passengers who are not military.

2021-05-26T03:37:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397396379056500736
@TruthBe05900844 @DTruvet Well I havenâ□□t ruled the ET hypothesis out. Who has?

2021-05-25T23:33:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397334972017479686
@BuddhaUap No, I find it significant that she emphasized what it was about. Airspace incursions by unidentified aircraft.

2021-05-25T23:31:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397334567556550669
@TomSuesss @CDVilsack @ForeySR But what % that it isn't alien?

I'd go approximately 99.99999%, how about you?

2021-05-25T23:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397329041951129603
@TomSuesss @CDVilsack @ForeySR No. But that aside, if you were to wager on it being aliens vs. human technology, what percentage to you think it's human technology? 99.99%? 99.9%?

2021-05-25T22:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397326203552366593
@RealCoreyNelson @DavidFieldSculp @KetterStone @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO ATFLIR, or a different FLIR system?

2021-05-25T22:57:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397326015077048321
@RealCoreyNelson @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Falch blocked me because I tried to explain where he was misunderstanding.

2021-05-25T22:55:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397325458396516352
@My111100496401 As far as I know he's just referring to calculations that assume the recollections from the Nimitz incident accurately represent actual motions.

2021-05-25T22:30:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397319260121092100
@My111100496401 It doesn't.

2021-05-25T22:29:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397318996270018569
@reallyrofl From what? I've been saying this for years

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1284482989653495809

2021-05-25T18:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397258256586731520

@murnut Seriously?

2021-05-25T18:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397258013551972353

@DTruvet Elizondo seems to talk about something non-human all the time. Not aliens?

2021-05-25T18:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397256038651285504

@CuriousAnthrop1 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO If people make specific claims about what the videos alone show that are false, then it's worth pointing out that those claims are false.

Also it's fun to try to figure stuff out.

2021-05-25T18:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397255455563337728

Boilerplate but telling responses by Jen Psaki regarding the UAP/UFO report.

It's about unidentified airspace incursions, a real issue. It's not about disclosure of contact with aliens. https://twitter.com/JonMajerowski/status/1397254015923150848

2021-05-25T18:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397254205853765637

@ResearchUap @aka__V @DaveFalch @fluffytail1 @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector "Why would he do something himself that disprove himself?"

That is how science works. You attempt to disprove your hypothesis to test it.

2021-05-25T18:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397253439093047296

@aka__V @DaveFalch @fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector Note Dave Falch has me blocked, so it's difficult to participate in a thread with him. Could someone ask him to unblock me?

2021-05-25T18:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397252175701676033

@fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector @DaveFalch Or more generally.

A) The shape of the glare if determined by a component of the optical pathway (the camera+the enclosures)
B) If this rotates relative to the horizon, then the glare and the horizon can no longer be at the same angle.

?

2021-05-25T18:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397251667029073920

@fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector @DaveFalch Okay. Suppose the shape of the glare is caused by streaks on the glass of the front window of the ATFLIR.

If that rotates, the orientation of the glare will rotate relative to the horizon, like it does in this example. Can we agree on that?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397251616655347713/pu/img/sJubR6zZnWd786C5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397251616655347713/pu/img/sJubR6zZnWd786C5.jpg

2021-05-25T17:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397250922028306434

@aka__V @fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector @DaveFalch The frustrating thing is, he's got loads of wonderful examples of IR glare, including totally obscuring a plane, on his channel.

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCJglaziAO3f9sr4IGXFEWLQ/videos

When this was pointed out, he said something like "that's not glare, it's heat glare."

2021-05-25T17:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1397249889961132032

@fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector @DaveFalch Yeah, but he's

obviously wrong. Anyone who looks into it for more that 30 minutes can see that.

I'd be happy to explain in depth.

2021-05-25T17:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397243407462973440
@fluffytail1 @ResearchUap @aneilbaboo @uncertainvector I think I understand why they reject it - it's because they have never actually fully understood it. Mostly because they have been fed straw man false versions like "the derotation mechanism rotates the glare relative to the horizon", which it does not.

2021-05-25T17:27:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397242855475777537
@fluffytail1 @MrGlass61145253 @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector I can't see how you can get a featureless white tic-tac to make irregular shapes like that. Possible in a single frame, but when you see the full segment, with the apparent turning, it seems clear that it's irregular.

2021-05-25T17:24:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397242073682743304
@JimmyVolf Of course I condemn such a thing. But apparently most of her callers are "the fringe, stalkery UFO fanatics who just want to be near her"

There's assholes everywhere. They are not using anyone's "reason". They are just selfish, inconsiderate, assholes.

2021-05-25T17:15:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397240003554680839
@MrGlass61145253 @fluffytail1 @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector I picked the frame when it was closest to the camera. It's a consistent shape that it smoothly transitions into over the TV mode portions - watch the entire thing, 8x ping-pong
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397232060440334336/pu/img/24ii9koqXGCOpc08.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1397232060440334336/pu/img/24ii9koqXGCOpc08.jpg
2021-05-25T15:40:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397215935648309254
@TruthBe05900844 @dave_beaty Sure, if their older accounts all lined up. But they did not. Fravor described minor lateral motions in the cardinal directions as the initial motion, whereas Dietrich just describe it travelling in a straight line - like she had a different perspective (5 miles away)

2021-05-25T05:07:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397056721768517634
@Cosmic_Mystery0 I don't think it's super controversial to say that ufology has a preponderance of males?

Here's another data set. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2NXiSZVIAA24li.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2NXiSZVIAA24li.jpg

2021-05-25T03:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397039493127970816
@Cosmic_Mystery0 Do you have a better one?

2021-05-25T03:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1397035087732961283
@AandWtransport @dave_beaty What did they say?

2021-05-24T20:55:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396932917759074305
@aneilbaboo @uncertainvector It not JUST an optical artifact. It looks like the glare of a jet engine, so there's probably an aircraft behind that glare.

2021-05-24T20:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396932732056342528
@Chrisja04905928 Heat source is partially obscured, video compression.

Just like earlier, when it had land behind it.

2021-05-24T20:53:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396932441940533248
@MugenItadori @theferaltweets An out-of-focus night vision monocular with a triangular aperture in the middle of heightened concern about foreign drones is not "nothing."

2021-05-24T20:50:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396931693391405058
@Chrisja04905928 No. It goes nowhere near the water.

2021-05-24T20:48:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396931126740930561
@Chrisja04905928 It's a fine theory.

2021-05-24T20:41:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396929412931604481
@BryceBarnes @Bringouttherum @DietrichVFA41 @mombojom @Oswald1160 @MrLawBro @PanSeikilos @OmniTalkRadio @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I'm trying to figure out what's going on.

What did I get wrong?

2021-05-24T20:40:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396929177379479553
@Chrisja04905928
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-05-24T20:36:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396928090312364033
Demographics of the audience for my Triangle Bokeh UFO Video:

#ufotwitter is a bit of a sausage party. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2LhqndUUAAK05d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2LhqndUUAAK05d.jpg
2021-05-24T20:20:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396924069023346688
@blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel Totes.

2021-05-24T19:51:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396916667683250178
@CDVilsack Thanks!

2021-05-24T16:01:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396858820429639683
@HRFaulkner Good point. I'll let him know.

2021-05-24T15:57:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396857986455195650
@buffcommacu @dave_beaty If it was moving slowly and half the size he thought it was, then it would appear to mirror his movements.

Which is what he reported.

2021-05-24T15:55:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396857399667953667
@Ps5andxbox1 I think it's something, like Venus.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-seen-from-plane-over-mexico-march-19-2020-venus-narcap-tr-20.11699/

2021-05-24T15:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396856883806306305

This recreation by @dave_beaty neatly demonstrates the parallax illusion in a situation with very little visual context and an object of unknown size.

Is the Tic-Tac hovering, or moving at 300 mph?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396856076553789440/pu/img/iBwRBnBmKiQo9su2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396856076553789440/pu/img/iBwRBnBmKiQo9su2.jpg

2021-05-24T15:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396854869093322754

@MikeWOcchipinti @RobertDobalina7 @uncertainvector Ryan is just repeating what he said before. Do you have a specific question?

2021-05-24T15:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396854580260917249

@FettuccineSplit @uncertainvector I've watched it several times. There's one second-hand account of a cube-in-a-sphere.

2021-05-24T15:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396851905469452289

@MrLawBro I did not go silent. The person lying here is you. So I'm blocking you.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KdTmiVIAMblYn.jpg

Mick West @MickWest · May 16

Of course it does, it's moving relative to the ocean the entire time.

The point is that it's moving at a constant rate, as far as I can tell. Not moving left and right, or stopping.

1

MusicAndTruth @MusicAndTruth · May 16

Ok. Well that isnt what u've been implying or what was implied by ur first stabilized vid that cut the visible movement portion off making it appear as if it wasn't moving at all.

I never thought it moved back & forth. Only one direction. Glad u acknowledged that clearly.

1 4

Mick West
@MickWest

Replying to @MusicAndTruth and @naoehogui

Sorry for initial confusion. I'd not stabilized that first bit because it was fiddly to do in AE, with the change of zoom and no T ends on the crosshairs to track. I've since redone it to stabilize the entire thing, and framed it better



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KdTmiVIAMblYn.jpg
2021-05-24T15:32:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396851543454846980
@MrLawBro @PanSeikilos @BryceBarnes @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I was not admitting I was dishonest. I explicitly described what happened.

Sorry Steve, you do not seem to be arguing in good faith, so I'm going to block you now to avoid wasting more time.

I'm sure you will take that as evidence of something else. But it's not.

2021-05-24T15:25:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396849849115443205
@MrLawBro @PanSeikilos @BryceBarnes @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160

@TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 https://twitter.com/MusicAndTruth/status/1393862114343899136
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KbfyzVkAMZo9m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KbfyzVkAMZo9m.jpg
2021-05-24T15:20:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396848484813205504
@uncertainvector Seems kind of misleading to say you saw "cube-in-a-sphere" objects regularly. I thought there was just one verbal account, when it went between two planes.

2021-05-24T15:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396848062480273412
@MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom Why is this so big? We already know AATIP was looking at potential future aviation technology. So UAP reports would be a way of seeing if a foreign power had leapfrogged us technologically. Even at a very simple level, like high endurance drones.

2021-05-24T15:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396847272558616583

@mombojom @MrLawBro @BryceBarnes @PanSeikilos @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I don't think she said anything new. So the issues remain the same.

2021-05-24T15:10:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396846091719512064
@MrLawBro @PanSeikilos @BryceBarnes @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I did not dishonestly edit the Omaha video, and the guy who first thought I did understands that now.

I did not go silent. I explained exactly what I did. He acknowledged it.

I utterly deny your accusations.

2021-05-24T15:07:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396845422950313991
@JoakimA61247320 @MrLawBro @Jskye2028 @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 If there's a UFO-type puzzle, I like to try to solve it.

2021-05-24T15:06:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396844945491648513
@MrLawBro @Jskye2028 @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 Pick one.

2021-05-24T15:04:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396844675680509959
@aka__V Actually the "Nimitz Encounters" video has portions you could use.

The Tic Tac in these clips is not moving. (Or is it?)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396844514065616896/pu/img/re760YpB9njpU6FZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396844514065616896/pu/img/re760YpB9njpU6FZ.jpg
2021-05-24T14:52:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396841517898076161
@TomSuesss @jhejsk @glagoljica_ Corbell gets quoted because he released the video.

DoD has said nothing of note.

https://www.foxnews.com/us/ufos-spotted-navy-best-the-world-has-seen

---

2021-05-24T14:50:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396841021720301576
@MrLawBro @Jskye2028 @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 And how exactly did I "implicitly admit" that I was lying?

Truth is very important to me.

2021-05-24T14:47:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396840376682438661
@MrLawBro @PanSeikilos @BryceBarnes @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 That is false. I've explicitly said many times that I 100% believe what I am saying. The truth is very important to me, both in itself, and as an effective communication tool.

If you continue to repeat that, then you are lying.

2021-05-24T14:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396840020036624387
@BryceBarnes @PanSeikilos @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I described it as a slowly descending heat source, that I originally though was a balloon, then maybe a parachute flare, or maybe a powered craft. I made a video with this description. It is my honest assessment.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-05-24T14:44:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396839630142537729
@MrLawBro @BryceBarnes @PanSeikilos @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 That is false. I've explicitly said many times that I 100% believe what I am saying. The truth is very important to me, both in itself, and as an effective communication tool.

2021-05-24T14:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396839279154798595
@aka__V It's a bit fiddly, I did try a year ago to replicate the circling movement, but never bothered to continue with it.

2021-05-24T14:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396838488327086085

@TomSuesss @glagoljica_ Explaining Bokeh is hardly a straw man if people are presenting it as an example of the UAPTF's investigations. When people stop showing it as a UFO, I'll stop explaining it.

2021-05-24T14:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396837910360387590

@MBryant_1966 @MikeLeMug @fluffytail1 @michaelshermer The left side of what?

2021-05-24T14:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396837620894748676

@TruthBe05900844 @SadMonkey69 We if they understand it, then why don't they actually raise a valid objection? Why do they address wrong versions of the hypothesis?

2021-05-24T14:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396837211505455117

@SingletrackAdam @mombojom I agree. Drone at the beach.

2021-05-24T14:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396836503062339585

RT @DanRather: A grave and growing threat. This cannot be treated as politics as usual.
https://twitter.com/kenvogel/status/1396795363785420800

2021-05-24T14:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396836357146742797

@aka__V Forced perspective.

https://www.google.com/search?q=Forced+perspective&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KPHTUVIAEXhM_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2KPHTUVIAEXhM_.jpg

2021-05-24T14:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396835479161507844

@BryceBarnes @PanSeikilos @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 Nonsensical? Can you give an example?

2021-05-24T14:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396828493229350915

Needs more bokeh. https://twitter.com/Alien_Scientist/status/1396822787403120641

2021-05-24T13:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396828007461838852

UFOs Are Back â□□ And They Are Still Nothing - https://theness.com/neurologicablog/index.php/ufos-are-back-and-they-are-still-nothing/

2021-05-24T13:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396827159084285956

@DaSlick23 @LIFE_MMA_andNBA @TippingsTipples There is almost zero video from that system. As it is classified.

2021-05-24T10:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396777947252486145

@LIFE_MMA_andNBA @TippingsTipples Was there a specific Navy Video you think I got wrong?

2021-05-24T10:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396777670982000640

@ChilliFowler @TheChilterns That seems to be something different.

2021-05-24T10:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396777495546892289

@PanSeikilos @BryceBarnes @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 Heck, just data from TWO independent instruments, of the same exact object in space and time, would be a start.

2021-05-24T10:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396776530185838596

@ElphntAddrssr @RCBLTN "Pentagon reports"????

What Pentagon reports?

2021-05-24T10:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396776165050777601

@CrewcutYoutube @JudgeKowalski @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 How is Fravor putting his life on the line with testimony of his encounter with a UFO? Has it hurt his life? He's been telling the story for many years and seems entirely unconcerned.

2021-05-24T10:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396775399523196928

@TheChilterns @ChilliFowler https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-05-24T09:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396766047894523904

@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO It's not about expertise. You don't need to be an expert to understand it. You just need to put in the effort.

Can you explain WHY you think it's impossible?

2021-05-24T09:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396765286515105797

@billgockeler @MrLawBro @OceanSwimm @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 You don't need to be lying or incompetent to trust faulty equipment, or to make an honest mistake.

2021-05-24T03:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396672562956890113

@TruthBe05900844 What, all three of them? None of them actually addressed the issue.

2021-05-24T02:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396648904330907649

@KennethDemers2 @rgh_ufos @OceanSwimm @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I was paid $500 last year to cover my costs of participating in a UFO related show. Thatâ□□s it.

2021-05-24T01:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396645152165228544

@KennethDemers2 @rgh_ufos @OceanSwimm @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 Actually, I made my money from Tony Hawkâ□□s Pro Skater. UFOs have been of near zero financial impact, so far.

2021-05-23T22:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396596266864238596

@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO And I've not physically pulled on apart, no, but I have studied the patents in depth. See the discussion in this thread. (You don't need to watch the video at the start, but it might help)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/

2021-05-23T22:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396595857131147266

@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO It's not "some point or other," it's the fundamental core of the hypothesis that he has consistently failed to understand. The glare rotates independently of the horizon. He says it's impossible. But it's not that difficult to see that it is not.

2021-05-23T22:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396595691963633665

@AndrewJ1821 @BenC04549226 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Lens flare

and glare are different things. Lens flare is an internal reflection. Glare is scattered or diffracted light around a bright light source. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2021-05-23T22:29:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396594248972075011
@LIFE_MMA_andNBA What was the conclusion?

2021-05-23T22:29:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396594189257777158
@BryceBarnes @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I'm honestly trying to figure out what is going on. Of course sometimes reality will be at odds with beliefs. But that's just where the evidence takes us.

2021-05-23T22:27:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396593723534766084
@AndrewJ1821 @BenC04549226 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO I'm really curious why you still think that.

If it's a glare that obscures the object (say, a jet, just for example) then why can't the rotation be a camera artifact?

2021-05-23T22:25:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396593101955735558
@BenC04549226 @AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Well it stays in almost exactly the same spot, and it changes shape slightly as it rotates. So I'd say that covers your objection.

Flare componsating? You are probably thinking of anti-glare, which minimizes external reflections. Unrelated.

2021-05-23T22:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396592519291359235
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Sure, but you've not give any technical reason WHY you think it's not a FLIR issue.

2021-05-23T22:01:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396587130290278406
@MrLawBro @OwatcherUf @OceanSwimm @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I don't think @DietrichVFA41 got closer than a mile (vertically), if that.

2021-05-23T22:00:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396586775292702720
@MrLawBro @OwatcherUf @OceanSwimm @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 100 yards? That's nonsense. Go back and read the accounts.

2021-05-23T21:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396586511655587843
@BenC04549226 @AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO No, just just need a lightly smeared front glass. Like when someone wipes it with a sleeve.

I interviewed an avionics tech about this:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2021-05-23T21:56:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396585955096600578
@CDVilsack @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO The horizon will rotate with the object when the plane's bank angle changes - as that makes EVERYTHING rotate.

The interesting things is when the glare (the apparent object) rotates independently of the horizon.

That is the gimbal roll. That's what's hard to explain.

2021-05-23T21:51:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396584715289698305
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO "Gimbal" suggests more than one axis, mounted inside a suspension. This is an apparent single axis rotation with no suspension.

So maybe "gimbal" refers to the CAUSE of the apparent rotation. i.e. the Gimbal roll traversal.

Some systems tell you when they do a gimbal roll.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396584670830108674/pu/img/6NE0JRqWEIxLRF34.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396584670830108674/pu/img/6NE0JRqWEIxLRF34.jpg
2021-05-23T21:39:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396581687555854337
@Lennart_1 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Gimbal explains the rotation.

2021-05-23T21:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396576889406246914
@AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO It looks like all glare and no object to me. (The object is obscured by the glare) Compare.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396576771546370048/pu/img/4hXH4GoaRCVnbjaa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396576771546370048/pu/img/4hXH4GoaRCVnbjaa.jpg
2021-05-23T21:15:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396575576362602497
@AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO So you are just saying the object seems to rotate relative to the cloud horizon?

2021-05-23T21:13:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396575082764374018
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO If it WAS a hot object, like a jet engine on a drone or plane, then why could that not create a rotating glare? Knowing what we know about the gimbal lock correction that is needed near 0Â°, and other examples, it seems quite reasonable.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396574912337248256/pu/img/3xsBckoJI2lC2lSr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396574912337248256/pu/img/3xsBckoJI2lC2lSr.jpg
2021-05-23T21:09:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396574082351599616
@bobofango86 @ArranGray9 @LueElizondo The Pyramid event happened after he left the Pentagon.

2021-05-23T21:08:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396573835479060480
@AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO I think you need to draw a diagram. The object looks like it is rotating. What else are you saying?

2021-05-23T21:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396573525347999749

@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Why do you think the video had the filename "Gimbal" in the Pentagon before it was released?

Maybe because they figured out the rotation was an artifact of the gimbal systems?

2021-05-23T21:01:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396572109128671233
@A321200 @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 The release video show no sudden moves.

Underwood says the rest of the video shows nothing interesting.

2021-05-23T20:59:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396571518839099392
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO I've attempted to talk to Falch in depth about this. But he's stuck on not understanding why the glare can rotate when the horizon does not.

2021-05-23T20:56:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396570854729814019
@AndrewJ1821 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO It obviously LOOKS like it's rotating.

2021-05-23T20:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396566179699822594
@Liberty4Life23 @IamDrVenkman @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I'm responding to specific claims people made about the available video clips. Like saying it shows the Gimbal object is surrounded by cold air. If those claims are wrong then they can be addressed in isolation.
Still might a warp drive. But the aura isn't evidence of that.

2021-05-23T20:27:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396563433789607938
@MrLawBro @OceanSwimm @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 No, I find a plausible explanation for a short clip.

That's it.

2021-05-23T19:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396548840128352257
@OceanSwimm @MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 See that's the problem. When someone is an American hero they should not be 100% immune to skepticism, not should their account be treated as being 100% high fidelity. People make mistakes. Illusions happen. Memories change.

We need hard data.

2021-05-23T19:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396548396807180292
@spacedwalking @_VanDango @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO They are not faking it. It's just something that happened, and the pilots did not immediately recognize what it was.

2021-05-23T19:26:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396548071593414658
@JudgeKowalski @Oswald1160 @hoveringobject @hollywoodufos @BretWeinstein @EricRWeinstein @DrBrianKeating @DietrichVFA41 I largely agree. And that's what I said on CNN.

2021-05-23T19:25:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396547882992345088
@GiMxoA8ekSG0ReY I'm not promoting fear. I would promote uncertainty and doubt - meaning question what you are bing told. Don't blindly accept what anyone tells you. Trust or distrust, yet verify.

2021-05-23T19:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396547464681779200
@Lennart_1 @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO It's not travelling from right to left. It's being viewed at (initially 54Â°) to the left, so the forward motion of the jet creates a parallax effect with the clouds behind the object.
That's why it "stops" around 0Â°, now the jet is flying towards it.

Roughly like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E2GIbiiVkAAnVdG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E2GIbiiVkAAnVdG.jpg
2021-05-23T19:20:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396546559353909248
@DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @OmniTalkRadio @chad_vfa41 What else did the video show? Anything after the final loss of lock?

Why didn't Underwood switch to MFOV/WFOV and slew the pod to follow it? Instead he switched to 2x zoom and didn't move. The opposite of was was needed.

2021-05-23T19:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396545820841758720

@Slaneshza @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Add a little distance .....

Dave is so close with his videos. Pity he's so opposed to the idea.

2021-05-23T19:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396545545385111553

@fluffytail1 @OwatcherUf @strawbale23 @PanSeikilos @mrfarden @michaelshermer @CNN @ChrisCuomo Maybe a few days :grinning_face:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2GGkmyVIAQsgmo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2GGkmyVIAQsgmo.jpg

2021-05-23T19:14:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396545123916283905

@BellvillePiet @JeremyCorbell Who do you think he was referring to?

2021-05-23T19:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396543753775583234

@OwatcherUf @strawbale23 @PanSeikilos @mrfarden @michaelshermer @CNN @ChrisCuomo No, you just need to put in the work and produce results. I've been doing it for over 15 years. Here me on CNN back when my hair was less grey.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2GFA8iVEAET7Qw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2GFA8iVEAET7Qw.jpg
2021-05-23T19:05:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396542907234930693
@_VanDango @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO The horizon not rotating is explained in depth here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-05-23T19:05:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396542729396523009
@_VanDango @RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO Why would they want the horizon to rotate?

There's a derotation mechanism in the camera to correct the angle of the horizon - keeping it level with the actual horizon.

2021-05-23T19:02:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396542094278234114
@theufosecret @Garda10061219 @JeremyCorbell I don't do debates, as this isn't an advocacy issue, it's an investigation issue. I'd be happy to have a detailed talk with Fravor, but I don't think Jeremy would be able to add much, as (like most people, no offense) he's not very technical.

2021-05-23T19:00:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396541508799533057
@MrLawBro @DietrichVFA41 @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @TheUfoJoe @chad_vfa41 I certainly don't think Alex is lying. I'm not sure how she could tell it's the same thing, so I think we need to keep the possibility of a mistake on the list.

The object in the video moves in a very mundane manner. No sudden moves.

2021-05-23T18:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396541047505756163
@MrLawBro @safoajsf @PoliticalGeeker @Oswald1160 @H8ERDAVE @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo I believe what I say 100%. My interest here is in accurately figuring out what is going on. I'm sorry if that does not come across.

2021-05-23T18:54:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396539974506323970
@MrLawBro @safoajsf @PoliticalGeeker @Oswald1160 @H8ERDAVE @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Mostly mistaken. But I've yet to hear a SHRED of actual counter-evidence or analysis from anything approaching an official source. The "ocean of data" right now is just talk.

2021-05-23T18:52:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396539428181405696
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO And the patterns of light and dark in the sky rotate with the glare shape, strongly suggesting that the rotation is camera induced.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396539391661666307/pu/img/FrjTN3YRcNE8cGmz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396539391661666307/pu/img/FrjTN3YRcNE8cGmz.jpg
2021-05-23T18:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396539124945801220
@RealCoreyNelson @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO I'm interested in your take on the rotating glare hypothesis. Basically that the out housing has to do gimbal lock corrections around 0Â°, and this results in the shape of the glare rotating. What we are looking at is the glare of something hot, like a jet engine.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396539049607712772/pu/img/kg1BcL-EsE1sKFgv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396539049607712772/pu/img/kg1BcL-EsE1sKFgv.jpg
2021-05-23T18:46:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396537975903645701
@Katherinesaber @SeanBoy90029096 @JeremyCorbell @SAUCERCO No, I did not.

2021-05-23T18:45:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396537908723519488
@jeremycorbell continues to promote the falsehood that I think GoFast is a bird, when he knows I think it's a balloon.

I've said it for years, I said it live on CNN, GoFast is high, slow cold, looks like a balloon

Are you just not paying attention, or doing this deliberately?
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1396517069340827648

2021-05-23T18:04:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396527562537373696
Everything old is new again. If you forget history ....
https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1396521641061109762

2021-05-23T18:02:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396526877162938368
@CrackSGA @Oswald1160 @PoliticalGeeker @Tomfortas @OwatcherUf @foiaboi @CuomoPrimeTime @DietrichVFA41 The problem is that @LueElizondo still seems to be making the same mistake.

2021-05-23T18:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396526441580273665
@DeaconDallacort Supposedly it's coming next month.

2021-05-23T17:59:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396526105746546689

@fluffytail1 @ModeledBehavior @LueElizondo No, we've no idea what analysis has been done, if any. Nor do we know who originally classified them as "unidentified", when, and why.

2021-05-23T17:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396525791513518085

@ModeledBehavior @LueElizondo Depends on how much detail there is, and who actually wrote the report, and how technically accurate it is.

I'll go by whatever the evidence is. But we are unlikely to get the evidence.

2021-05-23T17:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396518748622819340

@MrLawBro @safoajsf @PoliticalGeeker @Oswald1160 @H8ERDAVE @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Well they are displaying the "hard to observer" observable. But that's just them being TFA or OOF.

2021-05-23T15:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396496014744326147

@LueElizondo here essentially admits the five Navy UFO videos are not very good evidence by themselves. Instead he invokes secret data (radar, other video) that may or may not exist. What secret data backs the Pyramid video Lue? How about Gimbal's "Aura"?
https://twitter.com/MusicAndTruth/status/1396465507944083463

2021-05-23T15:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396493348626599937

@Catinthehatturd @Jgerryi @ScottAdamsSays The Pentagon didn't "release" the videos. The first three were improperly winkled out by Chis Mellon and Lue Elizondo. The next two were leaked to George Knapp and Jeremy Corbell.
All the DoD said is that they are Navy videos, and were UAP related. Maybe once unidentified.

2021-05-23T15:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396488998671896579

@NathanVanHorn6 I've not ignored them, I've responded in great depth.

2021-05-23T13:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396452270741491714

@MBryant_1966 @MikeLeMug @michaelshermer You say that like it's a good thing.

2021-05-23T13:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396452081339301888

@ChilliFowler @ddeanjohnson @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen TFA.

2021-05-23T13:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396451857296281601

@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector You're missing the point. Why are your persuaded by some

of Day's info but not others?

Did the object, as Day claims, do a barrel-roll around Fravor before diving down to the ocean.

2021-05-23T13:02:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396451451488989185
@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Why don't you just tell me what you think I'm not seeing.

Notice the WHT in bottom left. It never changes to BLK. The IR does change to TV thought.

2021-05-23T13:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396450921974931460
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Why? He's said things that are wrong. So why do you HAVE to incorporate other things. Isn't that cherry picking.

2021-05-23T12:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396450612787630085
@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector The video is right there. It's not Tic-Tac shaped. It changes shape in TV mode. It shows a heat source in IR that's consistent with a jet engine, given the direction it is flying in.

Alex didn't seem to realize the black footage was TV.

2021-05-23T12:56:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396449995834806273
@ddeanjohnson @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen No, I mean your "Macco's Multiplier Manual" is an invented anti-heuristic.

2021-05-23T12:55:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396449769870938119
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector "chain of custody"? All we have are recollections, first written down in short story form in 2008.

2021-05-23T12:55:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396449599120760834
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector If FLIR1 was just going 100 knots, then Underwood would have caught up with it.

2021-05-23T12:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396449202004135939

@james4125 The "fleet" would have been visible on the wide area radar to-down view. The video is a very highly zoomed side view. It's hard to say how closely related this "fleet" of radar targets was to what is on the ATFLIR.

2021-05-23T12:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396448747119280136

@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Who says it was a "stray" plane? Maybe it was another plane in the exercise, on radar, but Underwood thought the ATFLIR was not pointed at that plane, so did not consider it.

2021-05-23T12:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396448363843706880

@MugenItadori @DingoRabid @FFartlick Salas doing a press release in 2010 is not the documentation I'm looking for.

2021-05-23T12:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396448110449086465

@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector No, I totally understand that. I'm asking why that does not apply to Alex. Why do you give her a pass for confidently seeing a smooth white oval in an irregular (and shape-shifting) black blob?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396448063032496128/pu/img/SoUhfgcCSRL5woR0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396448063032496128/pu/img/SoUhfgcCSRL5woR0.jpg

2021-05-23T12:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396446821895966726
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector You are conflating things Conor. Compare what Fravor saw to what's on the video. The radar is something else again.

2021-05-23T12:42:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396446498133397507
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector How are you getting 100 knots for the video?

And you are talking about the radar, not what Fravor described - hovering, "ping-pong" motion, and circling, then shooting off rapidly. The video object does not do that.

2021-05-23T12:39:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396445639441981441
@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector I assume you are not replying to Alex here, but ... why not? If it's "basically a blob", then might people seeking Tic-Tacs find Tic-Tacs, even in an irregular shape?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2Er0vvVUAIcBI9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2Er0vvVUAIcBI9.jpg
2021-05-23T12:36:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396444881753559040
@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector @LtTimMcMillan The whole area is covered by sensors. If there was a plane in the area, it would have shown up on some screen, and probably on Underwood's SA, if it were

close enough, and the SA was set wide enough.

But did he know where to look, based on the ATFLIR image?

2021-05-23T12:34:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396444399077257224
@james4125 It's all glare. I think you are thinking of the "aura", the white glow around the black glare. That's in IR sharpening artifact. Explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2021-05-23T12:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396443365395234826
@rgh_ufos @DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector I'm simply saying what it looks like.

Maybe it was picked up, but Underwood was looking at the wrong distance and altitude? Remember he initially reported "co-altitude or below" and "no movement" when it was actually 6Â° above him, and flying away and left.

2021-05-23T12:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396442544922251275
@ddeanjohnson @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen "What Alex and Dave saw" may or may not help explain what is in Underwood's video.

It makes zero different to verifiable observations that can be made about that video. Like the loss of lock due to camera motions.

You can't just wave that way with some invented anti-heuristic

---

2021-05-23T12:20:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396440851304177667
@DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector In IR (WHT) mode there's two segments, in the first there's a significant heat signature glare, like a jet, tail on. In the second there's a shape similar to the TV mode (EO, visible light) shape, the glare is just detectable on the right, like a jet flying left.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2EnRpGVkAAw8zt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2EnRpGVkAAw8zt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2EnHQzVoAIsHWp.jpg

2021-05-23T12:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396438772225437702

@DietrichVFA41 @Oswald1160 @MusicAndTruth @OwatcherUf @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen

@uncertainvector Underwoods IR footage is all in "white hot" mode. The portions where the object is black are TV footage, not IR.

In TV mode the shape is rather irregular. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2ElkcmUYBI45Uw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2ElbReVgAUcgYn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2ElkcmUYBI45Uw.jpg

2021-05-23T12:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396437860966756353
@BsaltBrian @ufobelievers @Akam1129 @LueElizondo @SteveGanyard @ThisWeekABC I'd say "tell me what you saw", and, "can you draw some diagrams?"

2021-05-23T06:35:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396354027504291840
@ABirdman32 The pilot is looking at the video.

No FLIR technician has demonstrated they understand the rotating glare hypothesis.

2021-05-23T06:23:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396350961099034631
@billgockeler I guess I'm a painfully lazy thinker then, as I'd LOVE to see evidence of aliens - even far away, but especially here.

2021-05-23T06:20:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396350253910036481
@strawbale23 @mrfarden @michaelshermer @CNN @ChrisCuomo I've spoken to Kevin Day, Patrick Hughes, and Gary Voorhis, who were all there. But I have been unable to talk to a pilot. I've listened to a lot of their interviews though.

2021-05-23T05:59:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396344994718359553
@strawbale23 @mrfarden @michaelshermer @CNN @ChrisCuomo I've tried to. No response.

2021-05-23T05:54:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396343807713501184
@Chrisja04905928 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen No. Two pilots is not the entire US Military, they are individuals.

Seeing SOME glares is irrelevant if this was the first one like this they saw. Clearly they did not immediately recognize it as a glare.

It sure looks and acts like one thought.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396343549730312193/pu/img/gJtY3EjQT45GM5iR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396343549730312193/pu/img/gJtY3EjQT45GM5iR.jpg
2021-05-23T05:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396342636458348545
@MrLawBro @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @H8ERDAVE @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Did you really want me to hazard a guess to explain a brief statement about unknown correlations between unknown sensors, with unknown failed replication, recorded and possibly analyzed by unknown personnel, and related by unknown channels to a temporary DNI?

2021-05-23T05:45:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396341496480075776
@Chrisja04905928 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Suggesting a pilot and a WSO might not immediately recognizing something they had not seen before is hardly "accusing the US military of incompetence."

2021-05-23T05:40:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396340214847590401
@MrLawBro @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @H8ERDAVE @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo I'd have to see an example.

2021-05-23T05:07:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396331811920175106
@ABirdman32 How did I prove that, exactly?

2021-05-23T04:29:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396322362711175171
@MrLawBro @Rebecca_Tsai_TW @H8ERDAVE @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Which objects?

2021-05-23T04:26:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396321702921994240
@mrfarden @michaelshermer @CNN @ChrisCuomo Did you watch my response to Fravor? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-05-23T04:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396321140063100935
@NSkeptik @uncertainvector It is nothing to do with the bank angle.

The outer gimbal corrections have to happen somewhere near 0Â°. Most of the tracking is done using interior gimbaled mirrors.

2021-05-23T04:22:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396320634926366720
@jettbriggs A single video by itself, with no context? Not easily. But if aliens are visiting, then there is not going to be just one video.

2021-05-23T04:18:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396319544990339074
@robwatsonauthor My response to the Underwood interview:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-05-23T04:17:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396319300923760641
@robwatsonauthor My response: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

---

2021-05-23T00:47:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396266406115627008
@JudgeKowalski @JimmyVolf @NIH_LLAMAS @EricRWeinstein No, he does not really seem interested in the evidence. He's more into the narrative and the implications.

2021-05-23T00:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396256378507784196
@JimmyVolf @NIH_LLAMAS Are you confusing the halo (white) with the glare? (black)?

The halo is just unsharp mask sharpening. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2B_mr7VgAEJhIj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2B_mr7VgAEJhIj.jpg

2021-05-23T00:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396254549975863296

@OceanSwimm @foiaboi I don't just say "no." I provide viable explanations for the videos backed with math, physics, and research. I find it all really interesting.

Had I discovered that advanced technology was the only possible explanation, that would have been EVEN MORE interesting

---

2021-05-22T22:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396232506169389056

@foiaboi I'm sorry you feel that way. I don't "resent" them. What does that even mean?

2021-05-22T22:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396231795318812672

@MusicAndTruth @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Well why did I spend hours interviewing them then?

2021-05-22T22:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396231159160311809

@foiaboi "Hung up"? Huh? Why would I be hung up? Because I read a scary UFO story 45 years ago?

I just like identifying things in the sky. It's been a hobby of mine for 15+ year. My first CNN appearance was identifying a contrail.

I like the puzzle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2Boyg-VgAMyQM9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2Boyg-VgAMyQM9.jpg
2021-05-22T22:07:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396226337996607488
@Dannyma55083668 The Navy have not offered any opinion on the topic of glare. In fact they specifically said they will not discuss the results of their investigations into these type of incident.

2021-05-22T22:02:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396224955889577984
@Chrisja04905928 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Obviously not.

2021-05-22T22:01:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396224800331206660
@ContrarianNoto1 I think "It never really does anything interesting" is conclusive if the claim being investigated is if the video shows sudden accelerations.

Doesn't identify it, but opens the door for prosaic possibilites, like a balloon.

2021-05-22T21:58:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396224086418759681
@OwatcherUf @PoliticalGeeker @CrackSGA @Tomfortas @foiaboi @CuomoPrimeTime

@DietrichVFA41 The reason Go Fast is claimed as something extraordinary is that Elizondo or his associates did not do the math. They assumed it showed what it looked like, and hence you end up with it being shown on "Unidentified" as a super-fast cold object. So he got kind of locked in.

2021-05-22T21:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396223539355688962

@Tim_Le_Fig @hollywoodufos @ChristopherKMe4 What's the extraordinary claim there?

2021-05-22T21:54:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396223062094204928

@ShepardAmbellas It was live.

2021-05-22T21:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396223000614105088

@ShepardAmbellas https://twitter.com/cuomoprimetime/status/1395924723721285638

2021-05-22T21:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396222928946044929

@paul59593748 @fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Was that a C?

2021-05-22T21:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396222323930263555

@l3athal101 @fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen One does not simply "contact" CNN.

They contacted me.

2021-05-22T21:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396221994350247938

@fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen More bluntly: do you think my points are unrebutted because A) they are good points that are difficult to rebut, B) they are stupid points that don't deserve attention, or C) they are good points, but complicated, and so people don't understand them.

2021-05-22T21:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396221254764359680

@MBryant_1966 @michaelshermer Hmm, I wasn't being sarcastic. I was genuinely interested in if you thought I was employing criminal-defense-like techniques by asking for an example.

This isn't science. It's investigation. How do investigators talk?

2021-05-22T21:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396220735496986625

@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41

@dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Although streaks on the window seem likely. Based on my talk with an avionics tech. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2BfT0vUcAEzo1b.jpg

M: What contributes to the glare and the shape of the glare
K: In my experience, it's mostly, and I'm no optical expert, I'm not even an engineer that's designing this stuff, I just worked on them, so I get experience with them. In my experience, I see this same thing, on the flight line... So, I know what the cause is, the cause is the pilots and the crew chiefs wiping off that front glass with their sleeve [laughs]

M: Hmm
K: Now, you made that video today, kind of clarifying the difference between a few things, like glare, and I'm not sure that I have the terminology completely correct. But when I've seen it before [the glass was wiped], I guess you'd still have glare, but much more uniform, like in your flashlight video today. Just a round shape. But then say like with the Gimbal video, when it's got those "wings", the thing that actually turns. "Wings" is tricky to use, because we are talking UFOs here, but you know what I mean.

M: Yeah, like the saucer shape. A long axis and a short axis.
K: Right, saucer shape. That, in my experience, is usually scratches in the, ironic, anti-glare coating that's on the glass of the forward section. Scratches from dust, from crew chiefs and pilots wiping off the dust. In my experience.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2BfT0vUcAEzo1b.jpg
2021-05-22T21:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396219295693086720
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Yeah, you just need something in the optical path that alters the shape of the glare. I've got one camera that naturally creates a fuzzy blob with no assistance. There's a variety of things that contribute.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396219203074416641/pu/img/FfmDsWbz0MDleJ5J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396219203074416641/pu/img/FfmDsWbz0MDleJ5J.jpg

2021-05-22T21:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396218233552662532

@OceanSwimm @DietrichVFA41 @fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Actually it's pretty cool. I get to investigate interesting cases, and try to figure out what's going on. Some of them are quite complicated, and often I learn a bunch of new stuff (like the interior workings of the ATFLIR system). It's fascinating and fun!

2021-05-22T21:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396217748716281858

@Chrisja04905928 @steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Because it looks like a high contrast object in the video. It's a passive track, meaning it uses the video image to decide where to point, and how to move, the camera.

2021-05-22T21:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396214089387438080

@MBryant_1966 @michaelshermer I do? Is there a good example where you think I do this?

Am I doing it now?

2021-05-22T21:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396213980071301123

@realufobros @DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Ah the possible jokes are endless. Must ... refrain ....

2021-05-22T21:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396212478724370434

@DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Hey I was just pointing out how LITTLE time I got. :)

2021-05-22T21:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396210873467691008

@alpha_check @Disclosure89 @DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @Oswald1160 @fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen It's on the list. You raise valid sounding points, but I don't see that eliminating it conclusively.

2021-05-22T21:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396210210239250433

@realufobros @Disclosure89 @DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @Oswald1160 @fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Pretty much.

2021-05-22T21:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396209788980064256

@fluffytail1 @Disclosure89 @uncertainvector @saucerlit @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Hah, I've got five minutes on

CNN. How much time has Elizondo got on the major media? How about Mellon and Fravor?

But yes on the "unrebutted" point. Why is that?

2021-05-22T21:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396209356979326981

@Disclosure89 @DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @Oswald1160 @fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Well, I haven't actually IDENTIFIED the objects in the videos - just shown that some of the more interesting claims made about the videos are not accurate.

2021-05-22T20:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396208947653005312

@Handsom44525098 @gammaraybeam @onelastread @DTruvet You could just ask me.

2021-05-22T20:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396208679658004480

@DietrichVFA41 @paul59593748 @Oswald1160 @fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Alex, do you remember if you personally saw indications to your plane's radar was jammed?

2021-05-22T20:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396208222776659970

@gornalalbion @hollywoodufos Just putting in the time, doing the work, performing the investigations.

2021-05-22T20:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396196194116796416

@fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @uncertainvector @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen The only testimony I contradicted on CNN was when pilot attempted to analyze the videos and got it wrong.

2021-05-22T20:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396194461923692545

@bobybert1 @WebsterWebski @JeremyUnidenti1 I discuss that at the end of the CNN interview. If there are things that don't have explanations, especially in a military context, they need investigating.

https://twitter.com/cuomoprimetime/status/1395924723721285638

2021-05-22T19:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396193853053362177

@TRandallizer I had 90 seconds, if that. The video is hard to explain without showing particular frames from it, and then explaining how the ATFLIR works. So I went with the more accessible video.

2021-05-22T19:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396192012961869824

@CrackSGA @hollywoodufos Which one?

2021-05-22T19:50:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396191707847225344
@bobybert1 @WebsterWebski @JeremyUnidenti1 No, I've TRIED TO GET opinions from actual experts. But they are unwilling to speak on the record because of NDAs/Clearances/Classification.

2021-05-22T19:48:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396191332952023041
@DamonALunn Maybe, but the video evidence isn't really showing that.

2021-05-22T19:47:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396191006635139072
@steven_nikolic @uncertainvector @fluffytail1 @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen No, I meant it literally. I'm going to leave this conversation now. Good luck.

2021-05-22T19:45:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396190614677450752
@fluffytail1 @uncertainvector @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Yes, pretty much. Roughly like this (not to scale, target and angles may vary)
Roughly tail-on is likely needed to maintain the glare intensity.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E2BDjl2VoAELbxs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E2BDjl2VoAELbxs.jpg
2021-05-22T19:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396189737942097920
@CredulousScout I (almost) never cross anything off the list, even aliens, until a case is decisively solved.

2021-05-22T19:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396187783815786497

@DamonALunn I'm interested in what's behind the eyewitness accounts. Difficult to figure out. You need to link eyewitness accounts to data they were unaware of at the time to see how accurate their perception was.

2021-05-22T19:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396187022776172546

@hollywoodufos "UFO Skeptics - Quisling Shills, Useful Idiots, or just Mentally Ill?"

2021-05-22T19:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396186531698659328

@steven_nikolic @uncertainvector @fluffytail1 @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen I'm sorry Steven, but you are not making any sense.

There's no evidence of the GIMBAL object (the thing seen on the ATFILR) rotating, other than the ATFLIR footage.

Some other radar returns flying around it miles away is not evidence that the object itself is rotating.

2021-05-22T19:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396185880046432257

@WebsterWebski @JeremyUnidenti1 That's what I've found. I've emailed @RaytheonTech, other people have contacted them asking for details. They either ignore requests, or reply with a generic "ask the Navy" type answer.

2021-05-22T19:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396185235167928320

@uncertainvector @fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Thanks, so that sounds like the wedge (the 4-5 more jittery returns in a V-shape) orbited what you took to be the GIMBAL object on the SA, but not at the same time the GIMBAL object was (apparently) rotating.

2021-05-22T19:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396184454524137472

@DamonALunn There's plenty where there's insufficient information to make a clear determination.

2021-05-22T19:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396183753387429888

@steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Conflating two unrelated things actually does matter.

2021-05-22T19:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396182872671744001

@JasonColavito My feeling there is that they are generally utterly unaware of the people

involved (Puthoff, Vallee, etc) and the connections to Stargate/AATIP/TTSA/UAPTF. No frame of reference, so your data dump is hard for them to follow, and they just dismiss you as a conspiracy crank.

2021-05-22T19:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396181701433327617

@CrackSGA @Tomfortas @foiaboi @CuomoPrimeTime @DietrichVFA41 Given that distance and triangulating the start and end of the locked portion, you can calculate the speed (wind speed, 40-80 knots). Also with the size (6-10 feet) and temperature (cold) the best fit is a ballon.

2021-05-22T19:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396181162058338309

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector SA provides a wide area overhead view of targets, synthesized from radar and other source, displayed as symbology.

AFLIR is a 1Â° FOV, super zoomed, tracked image in the horizontal direction, using a thermal camera.

You are conflating two unrelated things.

2021-05-22T19:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396179655032336386

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector That A) unrelated, and B) a rather vague description.

2021-05-22T18:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396178950863286274

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen He remember the "fleet" flying around what he took to be the main object, seen on radar, on the SA

2021-05-22T18:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396178530010943489

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector @CWLemoine Okay, I just did.

2021-05-22T18:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396177801179406336

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector And yet it is.

2021-05-22T18:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396177493359423488

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen That's an IR visualization. A non-IR system would be radar.

Vastly different.

2021-05-22T18:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396177119063908354

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Set it up. I've tried before.

2021-05-22T18:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396176389833859072

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen No rotation of the gimbal object was observed other than IR. Radar isn't going to show you that.

2021-05-22T18:47:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396175791033970688

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen @uncertainvector Sure, find me one.

I've tried. ATFLIR is classified, so nobody wants to discuss technical details.

2021-05-22T18:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396175354448936960

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen What you are saying is meaningless. How can a glare create a rotation?

2021-05-22T18:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396175127310594048

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Wait, stop.

2021-05-22T18:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396174577106001923

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen I would, but they don't want to. I've asked Fravor, Dietrich, and Underwood. They don't respond.

@uncertainvector - would you be willing to have a (recorded, video) chat with me regarding the Gimbal video, etc?

2021-05-22T18:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396171987798487043

@steven_nikolic @Dogbert34664346 @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Wait, what's the question?
Want to Zoom to sort this out?

2021-05-22T18:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396167961182973952

@waxxo @ironicoserrusky Yeah, I think it needed a better example.

2021-05-22T17:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396155289523527686

@82Noan @CuomoPrimeTime @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @TheUfoJoe @SteUFOnotCGULLS @AndreaSimondini @Akam1129 @tomdelonge @hollywoodufos @MiddleOfMayhem @Gurrlly007LIVE

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396155257978167296/pu/img/f5ruZcdWbGz5w_QB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396155257978167296/pu/img/f5ruZcdWbGz5w_QB.jpg

2021-05-22T17:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396154702446743554

@michaelshermer This segment was actually pretty good. Short intro and comment, then all my analysis. Cuomo was quite reasonable.

https://twitter.com/cuomoprimetime/status/1395924723721285638

2021-05-22T17:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396154098236280836

@uncertainvector @HappySoul54 Thanks for the clarification!

2021-05-22T16:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396147485127495682

@TheKFCManagerr I don't have a good explanation for what they saw. I do suspect that the apparent hypersonic velocity did not happen. I suspect the radar reports were largely glitches (as did the operators at the time, before Fravor made it "real")

2021-05-22T16:52:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396146900965879808

@RealCSharp Yeah, I was only asked to prepare for questions about the video, not the eyewitness recollections, or the recollections of the radar operators.

2021-05-22T16:51:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396146615266668544
@OwatcherUf @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyUnidenti1 @GrahamGauthier1 @TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo The hypothesis is not impossible to understand. So it suggest they have not tried.

2021-05-22T16:47:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396145776116465665
@MrLawBro @stevebozz1 @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Mostly just people making understandable mistakes.

2021-05-22T16:46:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396145549095497728
@WebsterWebski @JeremyUnidenti1 FLIR is the wrong company. Raytheon makes the ATFLIR system used for Gimbal.

FLIR makes the Star SAFIRE though, used in the Omaha Sphere video.

Raytheon is a defense contractor and won't give any technical details.

2021-05-22T16:39:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396143711742922757
@ringtrick @ToweringCrane @Ian_Fisch They probably had ADS-B too.

2021-05-22T16:37:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396143235202830339
@RobAgs0817 @DietrichVFA41 @Tomfortas @foiaboi @CuomoPrimeTime I'd more interesting in what Alex has to say about the FLIR1 "No Sudden Moves" than the GoFast trig.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-05-22T16:34:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396142396920852485
@ironicoserrusky Already done. And yes.

https://twitter.com/cuomoprimetime/status/1395924723721285638

2021-05-22T16:30:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396141519921287176
@DietrichVFA41 @Tomfortas @foiaboi @CuomoPrimeTime No rush :)

2021-05-22T16:29:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396141210222284808
@SublimePerfecte https://twitter.com/cuomoprimetime/status/1395924723721285638

2021-05-22T16:16:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396137893983182852
@TUSSCOMMANDER Thanks, these were just quick prep notes though, to jog my memory.

2021-05-22T16:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396137249004134400

@MrLawBro @stevebozz1 @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Not really. Obviously all the classified data would be useful in clearing things up, but it's a bit of a moot point, because it's classified, so "we" are not going to get it.

2021-05-22T16:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396136921546432515

@HappySoul54 I suspect the "fleet" was something else, seen only on radar. Ryan Graves, @uncertainvector, described a separate v-shaped formation of smaller objects. Although I'm not sure how he knew they were smaller from the radar.

2021-05-22T16:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396136405349269511

CNN asked me to prep to explain four videos. Lack of time meant I had to skip one. Here's the notes I had ready for a 90 second live exposition.

I picked "Go Fast" because it was (a bit) more accessible. FLIR1/Nimitz is very hard to explain without showing the relevant video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2ASKr7UYAEBnfO.jpg

---

TIC-TAC

- (Nimitz) I don't know what the eyewitnesses saw, but the video was taken an hour later, and might not even be the same thing.
- People say it shows a craft rapidly accelerating, but I've gone through it frame by frame, and all the times it moves it really the camera switching modes and losing lock for a fraction of a second.
- The camera is tracking the object moving away and to the left. The last time that camera loses lock it does not get it back, and the object simply continues. It never really does anything interesting, and it might simply be a distant plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2ASKr7UYAEBnfO.jpg

2021-05-22T16:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396135242822422531

@FFartlick How do you know that the supposed UFO was what shut down the nukes? What data actually backs this up?

Have you looked in depth into this case, read the official reports?

2021-05-22T15:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396133210728275968

@MrLawBro @stevebozz1 @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo People make specific claims about the

videos. I address those claims.

2021-05-22T15:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396132975981465602

@FFartlick I ask for documentation.

More generally I also ask for a statistical analysis. UFO sightings are everywhere. Are there any more around nuke sites, or do those (rightly so) just get more attention?

2021-05-22T15:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396132453438287872

@eyesiswatchin Oil Rigs + parallax. I'll discuss it on a TV show coming out later this year, but it's been soundly explained several times before, years ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2APABfVcAAQ9nU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E2APABfVcAAQ9nU.jpg

2021-05-22T15:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396131126247190533

@JimmyVolf No.

2021-05-22T15:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396126224414494723

@StealthBlue @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo What statement?

2021-05-22T15:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396124425502617600

Regarding the Gimbal UFO, people keep bringing up the idea that my "rotating glare" hypothesis has be proven wrong by FLIR techs. But it hasn't because they never actually addressed it. Here where I responded to one of them.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2021-05-22T15:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396122807872876547
@JeremyUnidenti1 @GrahamGauthier1 @TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo You'd think. But in the case of the SCU, AATIP, nope. The two "FLIR techs" never understood the hypothesis. We've no idea what the DoD actually thinks, or what analysis they actually did.

2021-05-22T15:05:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396120057944543232
@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen I know it sounds silly, but it's because they've not taken the time to understand the rotating glare hypothesis. It's not something that's intuitive. So when asked about it (by an interviewer who ALSO misunderstands) they answer the wrong question.

2021-05-22T15:03:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396119542875648001
@fluffytail1 @a_idealist @DaveFalch Because the window in front of the camera rotates in the ATFLIR system (which he's never touched). And as I demonstrated on CNN this can cause the shape of the glare to rotate.
Derotation just keeps the horizon at the correct angle.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396119378790207491/pu/img/fi_etgsi7hgzMNW1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1396119378790207491/pu/img/fi_etgsi7hgzMNW1.jpg
2021-05-22T14:25:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396109856436752386
@GrahamGauthier1 @TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Who, exactly?

2021-05-22T14:24:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396109729487745025
@tylerct99 @TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Cuomo picked the first two. GoFast fit best into the remaining time.

2021-05-22T14:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1396109472930488323
@Fiddaman When discussing claims made about what the video shows, the video IS the raw data.

Also I had about 90 seconds per video on CNN

I discuss in depth elsewhere.

2021-05-22T13:54:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396102210660929539
@GrahamGauthier1 @TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Iâ□□m sure they have several clues.

2021-05-22T13:53:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396101899573555207
@DarkstarIE @michaelshermer Only the bright flashing lights contribute. Is about the sequence, duration. And intensity.

2021-05-22T13:46:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1396100044164456448
@Fiddaman I saw all the video.

2021-05-22T06:36:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395991839845457924
@KenSobers @CuomoPrimeTime On analyzing UFO videos? Yes.

2021-05-22T05:58:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395982429895028739
@TheTimBurgess @sarahmchen Aliens probably exist, just not nearby.

2021-05-22T05:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395981997105762305
@sarahmchen Sorry!

2021-05-22T05:56:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395981829560172546
@steven_nikolic @fluffytail1 @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Sorry, been busy.
Have you seen this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-05-22T05:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395981001558347781
@MrLawBro @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo DM me. I'd love to talk to domain experts.

2021-05-22T05:40:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395977831369220098
@TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo No, I chose a topic I could cover in 90 seconds.

What would you do?

2021-05-22T05:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395975887347097600

@MrLawBro @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Would any of them talk to me?

2021-05-22T05:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395975750663118850

@TheUfoJoe @Rahzsah @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo They wanted to specifically discuss the videos. The entire Nimitz case is something Iâ□□d happily discuss, but even the video alone is hard to fit in 90 seconds.

2021-05-22T05:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395972745242894338

@DragonWagonZ @SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo A lie can travel halfway around the world before the truth can get its boots on, which does make debunking a challenge.

2021-05-22T05:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395970814965542912

@a_idealist He does not understand how a glare can rotate when the horizon does not.

2021-05-22T05:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395968825133178881

@a_idealist The demo I did on CNN, say the shelves are the horizon. Do they rotate?

2021-05-22T05:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395967808022798339

@SilvaRecord @ChrisCuomo Iâ□□d be happy to talk about it. I prepped for all four, but as I said, Go Fast is more accessible. For 90 seconds, which would you pick?

2021-05-22T04:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395965408360894469

@a_idealist Does it? Why?

2021-05-22T03:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395942128837009412

@AstroChimp_65 @CuomoPrimeTime Not at the same time.

2021-05-22T02:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395924064129019905

@AliaGvR All my UFO videos.
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2021-05-22T02:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395923917299077121

@AliaGvR https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-05-22T02:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395923017218228224

@NIH_LLAMAS That's it!

2021-05-22T01:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395909616475643904

@likeitmatters3 @ToweringCrane @OwatcherUf This video also may be on CNN tonight.

2021-05-22T01:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395909423659249664

@Bobbybigrig It's a filter. DMC-1A, nothing relevant. Except that's it's glass.

2021-05-22T01:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395908965796511754

@jshkrueger I suspect it's 9:35 in all the time zones.

2021-05-22T01:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395908879196717062

@jshkrueger 9:35 Eastern, on Cuomo.

2021-05-22T00:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395901048737341441

This might appear on CNN tonight, around 9:35PM.

Guess what it's for. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E188QIKUYAAY0ld.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E188QIKUYAAY0ld.jpg

2021-05-21T20:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395841201497014273

@Georgeloannide9 @PlasticPaddy9 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E18GK7oVgAQ1DZT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E18GK7oVgAQ1DZT.jpg

2021-05-21T18:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395807783929319425

@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Yes, I anticipate there will be much to discuss regarding what is and is not in the report.

2021-05-21T18:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395807603561668611

@OwatcherUf @soapynorris @hoaxeye It would have shown up on radar. But it was six miles up, and six miles away, so if they got convinced there was a drone 700 feet away, then they would not have realized the plane was a candidate.

2021-05-21T18:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395807305996800000

@steven_nikolic @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen The smaller objects were not in the IR video, they were just seen on the SA page (radar, essentially) according to Graves.

2021-05-21T18:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395806955407511553

@DaSlick23 @MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Looks like some kind of reflection, and then something dropped or launched from the ISS.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/speaking-of-science/wp/2017/03/30/nasa-astronauts-lose-key-piece-of-iss-shield-and-now-its-floating-free-in-space/

2021-05-21T18:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395806449033486346

@twmbcm @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Military people occasionally make mistakes. Former military even more so. Remember Gen McInerey, former fighter pilot, who misidentified a contrail as a missile.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UI_0hh26n6Q

2021-05-21T18:17:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395805939328962563
@Alamodefender1 @MiddleOfMayhem @PlasticPaddy9 Nobody pays me to do this.

This video, I just made it because I love solving this type of puzzle.

2021-05-21T18:12:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395804773371113472
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector And it does not address any of my analysis in the "No Sudden Moves" video, which is more of the issue here. This analysis is avoided.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-05-21T18:11:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395804416830173186
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector I saw that. It make the case that the object on the radar was the same as on the FLIR, so would have been identified by IFF.

However the radar was acting up, like it was jammed. Underwood thought it was below him when it was above him. So the accuracy is uncertain.

2021-05-21T18:09:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395803933361115137
@SimonSund Oh it does not bother me. I've been discussing contentious topics for 15 years now.
Weird how UFOs actually generate more hate than other topics. Higher emotional involvement.

2021-05-21T18:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395802581926965257
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Here's my response to Fravor:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-05-21T18:02:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395802156003962883
@DaSlick23 @MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Link?

2021-05-21T18:01:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395802039603503104
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector @USNavy I'm not saying it is 100%. Obviously range incursions are a real problem.

But I'm not trying to argue a case against UFOs. I'm looking at individual videos and seeing if the claims people make about them hold up.

What do you think of this analysis?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-05-21T17:58:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395801076717133824
@TeamYouTube @TeamYouTube - I've got hundreds of videos. How do I find what violates community guidelines. And if it's a deleted video that still has a warning (that never expired), then how can I fix that?

2021-05-21T17:54:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395800263311577090
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector And really my prime focus is on video analysis. Guessing what someone might have seen 17 years ago is always going to be tricky if all we have to go by is memories.
Is there something wrong in my video analysis?

2021-05-21T17:53:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395799959031586818
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector I would be happy to, and have asked, but got no response.

2021-05-21T17:53:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395799801468395526
@_TheDisclosure_ Looks like a bug to me. Beetle probably.

2021-05-21T17:48:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395798723062493184
@PlasticPaddy9 @MiddleOfMayhem It does not come up from behind the ridge. It actually comes from much higher, but drops out due to video compression near the ridge.

Here are the actual frames of it going "behind" the ridge.

It's not. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E17fa2aVgAEQ0ep.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E17fa2aVgAEQ0ep.jpg
2021-05-21T17:46:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395798252172181506
@MichaelDeMatteo @LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Wrong about what specifically? There's quite a few different independent claims.

2021-05-21T17:45:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395798027667976193
@paul59593748 @steven_nikolic @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen No. The ATFLIR system is classified. There's no incentive for anyone to discuss its operational flaws.

2021-05-21T17:44:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395797643008217089

@MiddleOfMayhem @PlasticPaddy9 And some fun experiments and discussion if video form: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2021-05-21T17:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395797533956448259

@MiddleOfMayhem @PlasticPaddy9 here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-05-21T17:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395797258021392392

@MiddleOfMayhem @PlasticPaddy9 Their entire thing relies on assuming it goes behind the hill. But it seems to just drop out in video compression. It's highly consistent with a small object close to the camera.

There's a discussion thread here.

2021-05-21T17:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395796820673040391

@A__White__Raven A night vision monocular is hardly "technical equipment." You look through it. You maybe adjust focus and aperture.

Again though, what do we see in the video. An image that is out of focus. Stars that appear as triangles. Flashing lights that move like a plane.

2021-05-21T17:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395796376445882368

@steven_nikolic @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Yes, the design of the camera forces a gimbal lock correction rotation when traversing 0Â°. Since the shape of the glare is determined by the orientation of the camera, this causes the glare to rotate after the horizon is derotated back to where it should be.

2021-05-21T17:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395795362879733761

@paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen The "distant plane" refers to the video. The video is not what they saw - it was taken an hour or so later, after they were back on the Nimitz.

2021-05-21T17:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395795088438030340

@PlasticPaddy9 "TIc-Tac" seems to encompass rather a lot of shapes.

This is what's called a "Drone Zip-by." Small objects close to the camera give the illusion of being larger and further away. The motion is largely from the drone itself (20mph) but that looks slow, because it's high.

2021-05-21T17:31:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395794439126220800

@A__White__Raven "The Navy" wasn't holding the night vision device. It was just some guy. Maybe it was new to him.

We can go by what we see. It's out of focus.

2021-05-21T17:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395794091036659713

@rgh_ufos @TeamYouTube I don't think that's it.

2021-05-21T17:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395793738715340807

@steven_nikolic @paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Graves said they started "seeing" them (on the radar) after they got new radar. They would sometimes see things in the distance on FLIR. Very rare (just one?) close up encounter.

2021-05-21T17:25:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395792966157934593

@A__White__Raven It's the aperture, not the shutter. Camera's have apertures, and they are designed not to block any of the image. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E17aI2tUYAAGjwl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E17aI2tUYAAGjwl.jpg

2021-05-21T17:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395786916193128448

@paul59593748 @DietrichVFA41 @dave_beaty @WitnessCitizen Ryan didn't really see anything. Distant speck, as I recall. Mostly his experience was getting radar hits, with the new radar. I don't know what they were.

I don't know what Alex and Dave saw.

2021-05-21T16:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395786281339211778

@UapBay I don't see any rolling shutter effect in any of the Navy videos.

2021-05-21T16:49:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395783929139924996
@Goodolemikelow1 @jimandsamshow @Troyquan I'd be happy to. I enjoy talking to people with very different ways of looking at the world. We can almost always find common ground, and learn something new.

2021-05-21T08:09:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395652966833549312
@LtTimMcMillan @DietrichVFA41 @uncertainvector Hey, they could point out bugs in my games. You don't need to be able to code to do that.

Their flying skills outshining mine does not make FLIR1 show sudden moves. Nor does it make GoFast go fast, or Gimbal anything other than a rotating glare.

2021-05-21T08:04:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395651755833102336
@TeamYouTube But how can I find what Community Guidelines I've broken?

I post videos about helping people get out of conspiracy theories, and about investigating and debunking those theories.

Is my channel flagged as SUPPORTING conspiracy theories?

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mick_West

2021-05-21T07:16:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395639671841988609
How can I find out what's stopping my channel from being monetized? I'm concerned that whatever it is might also be suppressing my visibility. Maybe this old warning that's stuck? I'd uploaded a clip from a jihadist video (for debunking) @TeamYouTube https://www.youtube.com/mickwest http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E15OZRuVoAEo19R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E15OUX-VoAIoOFw.jpg



You are not eligible for monetization

Your channel is not eligible for joining the YouTube Partner Program. For additional information, please review the Help Center

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E15OZRuVoAEo19R.jpg
2021-05-21T06:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395631600021368834
Does the angular speed of the Green Pyramid UFO match a plane at 33,000 feet, a typical altitude for planes heading to Los Angeles, over over where the video was taken?

Yes, it does. Math included.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2021-05-21T06:42:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395631052270444547
@hollywoodufos There's this topic that sounds amazing - evidence of advanced technology. People tell you there's all this evidence. You get excited. You start looking at the evidence they show you. It's crap. After a while you stop. You tell your friends not to bother.

Get better evidence.

2021-05-21T05:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395618146355089408
Why don't scientist study UFOs? Are they scared they will lose their funding, or that people will laugh at them?

No. It's because the proposed evidence is terrible.
https://twitter.com/hollywoodufos/status/1395617164762120195

2021-05-21T03:22:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395580665790308353
@dave_beaty Nope, thatâ□□s what they wrote. YouTube is wise to their game.

2021-05-20T23:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395519198604926977
@jaimeneufer @likeitmatters3 I take the videos and and I analyze the videos.

If people are making claims about the video, like saying GoFast shows something low and fast, when the numbers on screen show something different, then what's the problem with pointing that out?

2021-05-20T23:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395517824798314497
@DreamDetective1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E13gDt-VoAA4T9L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E13gDt-VoAA4T9L.jpg
2021-05-20T22:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395507808347430916
@Disclose_UFOUAP It does not bother me. It's a bit sad they do it.

I just remove anything with insults. Part of my old-time politeness policy going back to http://ContrailScience.com

---

2021-05-20T22:25:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395506013227208710
YouTube automatically filters out offensive comments into a neat little pile off in a dark corner. I occasionally have a look. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E13VHR1UYAE2PZW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E13VHR1UYAE2PZW.jpg
2021-05-20T22:17:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395503895632244739
RT @TheRewiredSoul: Why do people believe in conspiracy theories? How can we help people escape the rabbit hole?

Check out the new podcastâ¦

2021-05-20T22:11:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395502417341419521
@MusicAndTruth @zcichy I have it another slowdown to 25% with Final Cut Pro. Here's the original. https://youtu.be/oz6zOyZpYTY?t=50

2021-05-20T20:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395483764499775488
@alpha_check @mombojom @uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Some useful context here too.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ChsMcv46Rj4

2021-05-20T20:55:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1395483314153091074
@kentbye @AldisSipolins @blackvaultcom @MysteryWire @JeremyCorbell Unfortunately we have no way of know how good the secret evidence was, or how comprehensive the secret analysis was, or even who these secret people were.

We just have Elizondo, a largely non-technical guy, who wasn't even aware of the rotating glare hypothesis.

2021-05-20T20:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395481935812591619

@JunkScience Yeah, we need vastly more evidence than some kid flirting with communism.

What the heck does that have to do with the IPCC, etc?

2021-05-20T20:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395475801097658368

@canadian_deb @edwardcurrent I wouldn't be opposed to it.

2021-05-20T20:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395474912114987008

@jchess76 @gordonkeith Radar testimony is rather hard to analyze. But I'm not ignoring it.

2021-05-20T19:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395461514283261952

@diverchick71 I'm not trying to support a narrative. I'm trying to figure out what's going on.

2021-05-20T19:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395460923536465924

Biological transmedium entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1395460712772767744/pu/img/JcsQr4btXBNBRfDV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1395460712772767744/pu/img/JcsQr4btXBNBRfDV.jpg

2021-05-20T19:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395460526423961602

@Adamrea23499297 @uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @ufouapam @mintyhyperspace @JeremyCorbell I'm not nit-picking, I'm trying to figure stuff out.

2021-05-20T19:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395456215845543939

@IanHeller @leSt0rm @blackvaultcom @JeffSchogol @TaskandPurpose I don't really disagree with them. Obviously if there are unidentified airspace incursions, or pilots can't figure out what they are looking at, or sensors report strange readings, then that's something that needs looking in to.

2021-05-20T16:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395416339347509248

@Z00KY Brits (and I am on, but also Californian now) have to take advantage of sunny days, soaking up the rays. Here the sun is more something requires countermeasures.

2021-05-20T16:25:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395415329036148743

@Z00KY We don't sunbathe in California. Too much sunshine.

2021-05-20T16:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395414853985001472

@OVNI66 Yes, but it's generally pretty unambiguous in context.

2021-05-20T16:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395414692839845889

@JimmyVolf @ArranGray9 @uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I've taken piloting lessons. Not recently, but still, I know how to fly a (small) plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E12CK0eUYAMXFF8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E12CK0eUYAMXFF8.jpg

2021-05-20T15:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395405999968718852

What the UAP task force has always been about is unidentified incursions into military areas.

That includes unidentified aircraft, unidentified drone, unidentified balloons, and unidentified detected objects of unknown type.

https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1395405071261728773

2021-05-20T15:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395403042103586819

@uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 But GoFast seems like the exact opposite. Manual acquisition, L&S not boxed, then the RNG/Vc popping up after the passive track is acquired. Was that just a lucky catch?

2021-05-20T15:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395400987582472198

@uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Also, what does this sketch you drew represent? I've overlaid it on the ATFIR image, but you didn't see this on ATFLIR did you? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E111RUQVIAUxOcf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E111RUQVIAUxOcf.jpg

2021-05-20T15:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395399835281354754

@uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Thanks. If the

gimbal object was tracked by radar, then why was there no RNG and Vc display (unlike Go-Fast)? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E110rziVEAIbCfx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E110fhlVkAUiu3W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E110rziVEAIbCfx.jpg

2021-05-20T15:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395398700889894921

@watersportsclub @uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 All what? The media attention? More people coming forward? Obama? Green Pyramids

I'm not sure there is really anything new, just snowballing a viral story. No new data except for two low fidelity videos, one of which is probably an out-of-focus plane.

2021-05-20T15:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395398112768790528

@DaveFMatthews @uncertainvector No. My primary theory here is that what is seen in "Gimbal" is the infrared glare, from a very hot heat source (for example, a jet engine), and that it is not rotating.

What the heat source might be is less clear, but a friendly jet is certainly on the list.

2021-05-20T14:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395390849299542018

@uncertainvector @WriterSafe @UAP1949 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 How far apart were they (individually and relative to the Gimbal object)? What sensors did they show up on?

2021-05-19T23:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395158367560093696

@RGibsonstud @PoliticalGeeker @harbottle_liger @foiaboi I'm assuming you mean "I don't know", which is something I say quite a bit:

https://twitter.com/search?q=%22I%20don%27t%20know%22%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2021-05-19T23:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395157136091480064

@FatherTerra @_Desmoden @Bhima_Jenkins But he's not actually saying anything that's new or disputed. He's saying the same things that Rubio says.

2021-05-19T23:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395156638571458563

@AstroChimp_65 @harbottle_liger @foiaboi I described the entire Nimitz story as "imaginative."

I think there's a lot of gaps in the data and in memory, and people have used some imagination to fill those gaps.

2021-05-19T23:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395155827665739778

@_Desmoden @Bhima_Jenkins Rubio is the Vice Chairman (former Chairman) of the Select Committee on Intelligence. Obama isn't President.

2021-05-19T23:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395154966675738625

@tauroctonist1 @hamandcheese @karlbykarlsmith Knuth's papers just estimates how fast the object was moving based on A) assuming the verbal descriptions of it moving really fast were accurate, B) assuming the motion in the FLIR1 video is the object, not the camera.

So GIGO, basically.

2021-05-19T22:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395152057888174087

@Bhima_Jenkins @_Desmoden Obama probably knows as much as Marco Rubio knows, and so is saying basically the same thing. This is nothing new.

2021-05-19T22:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395149848781856768

@ExoExplorer3 @DietrichVFA41 Excellent!

Maybe a diagram?

2021-05-19T21:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395126741950107649

@BenC04549226 Agreeing to something I didn't say seems a little disingenuous.

2021-05-19T21:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395125567440113665

@ron9gray I'm not always right.

2021-05-19T21:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395122896092028929

@StuartDonnell20 There's nothing there. He said nothing we don't already know.

2021-05-19T17:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395073495969648641

"Beware the man who knows he is right" is a useful maxim.

I don't generally know EXACTLY what is in any given Navy UFO video. But I do know what they look like. I also know people often make claims about what's shown in these videos that turn out not to be true. https://twitter.com/GadiNBC/status/1395040970010505218

2021-05-19T16:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1395053166635323392

@Rotcivvx @revivingfisking @ScottMStedman @tomdelonge My response to that.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-05-19T05:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394881324368891910

@SocialistFT @DietrichVFA41 I'd be happy to have Alex on any time.

2021-05-19T04:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394880123246026753

@Michael44556387 I'm sure they could. The guy who took the video, not so much.

2021-05-18T14:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394662329439031298

@okarthik42 @solarrsystem My response to Fravor is here:

He never really addressed the issues.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-05-18T13:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394642342116691976

@okarthik42 @BadAstronomer No. The camera rotates because of gimbal lock, this causes the glare to rotate relative to the horizon. But now the horizon is in the wrong place, so there's a derotation mechanism in the camera to put the horizon where it is supposed to be.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-05-18T06:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394543538285600775
@BobGeor33274440 If a plane actually stopped in mid air, it would be a big deal, not just some odd anecdote.

2021-05-18T05:21:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394523629862342660
@PorscheJeff They sure should be.

Nobody is objective though.

2021-05-18T05:08:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394520365968203777
Former CIA Director R. James Woolsey believes in UFOs because a friend told him his plane stopped in mid-air at 40,000 feet.

How does one discuss such nonsense politely? He's simply fallen down a rabbit hole.

https://nypost.com/2021/04/06/former-cia-director-says-he-believes-ufos-could-exist-report/

2021-05-18T05:00:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394518237820325889
@greenbudha Cows die, nature takes bits of them.

2021-05-18T04:56:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394517231543873537
@HorusOwl No, I haven't flown for years.

2021-05-18T04:54:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394516714675523584
@eyesiswatchin Erm, no.

2021-05-18T04:53:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394516449117433862
@Liberty4Life23 Concede what exactly? It wasn't a single incidence. I don't have a comprehensive explanation for everything that happened.

2021-05-18T04:39:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1394513045058068481
Can't please everyone! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1pOHyxVcAAyIL8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1pN4-MVUAEVagS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1pOHyxVcAAyIL8.jpg

2021-05-17T23:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394435492020584450

@ianbillmorris @Cache_5_ @BenBrelje_says @Aviation_Intel High altitude balloons can't go against the wind - they just adjust their altitude to find wind going in the right direction.

2021-05-17T23:30:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394435098833870848

@MatrixTMN @LueElizondo Quote: â□□a second major (inspector general) investigation (is) going on initiated by Lue Elizondo to look into the people telling lies about him and the AATIP Program.â□□

"people telling lies about him" sounds unfriendly.

2021-05-17T23:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394434670075338756

RT @HelicopterLinks: @michaelshermer @MickWest These YouTube videos are nice to watch because they debunk these claims of alien UFOs visitiâ¦

2021-05-17T23:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394434594183663616

RT @michaelshermer: Because the 60 Minutes episode last night on UFOs/UAPs has generated a lot of heat (but not much light) we just releaseâ¦

2021-05-17T17:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394342535045083136

@steven_nikolic @Slaneshza @physicsJ @DrEricDing @60Minutes My standards are not super high. And who cares what I THINK? The relevant things here are the various things I point out. Come to your own conclusions.

2021-05-17T16:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394333867079700483

@Slaneshza @physicsJ @DrEricDing @60Minutes No, it's not my word at all. My "word" is pointless. It's the stuff I'm pointing out, that you can check for yourself.

2021-05-17T16:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394333637257035778

@UfoandCrypto1 @Slaneshza @physicsJ @DrEricDing @60Minutes @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell I don't know what he saw, but I'd be happy to discuss it with him in depth.

2021-05-17T16:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394330831276036096

@Shepseekstruth @STSMajesty @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Experts occasionally make mistakes. This is known.

2021-05-17T16:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394329118343270403

@Slaneshza @physicsJ @DrEricDing @60Minutes It was discussed in some depth. The key points being how the radar hands off to ATFLIR when it's being jammed, how accurate it is, the lack of a datalink track on the target, and why Underwood thought it was thousand of feet below him when the video shows thousands of feet above.

2021-05-17T16:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394325067278938116

@Shepseekstruth @STSMajesty @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Not liars. Just wrong about some things.

2021-05-17T16:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394324399780597761

@Slaneshza @physicsJ @DrEricDing @60Minutes Discussed here. And also irrelevant to the majority of the analysis.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-that-the-nimitz-flir1-object-could-not-be-a-plane-because-it-would-have-been-identified.11726/

2021-05-17T15:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394311547279732741

@adrian_probert Then yes, really. I think there's a lot of imaginative extrapolation and wishful thinking going on in the telling and re-telling of these stories.

2021-05-17T15:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394311147243794434

@alpha_check @EKAdams You figured out that -5Â° yet?

2021-05-17T15:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394310943736172546

@PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem What the heck is wrong with "ping pong ball?"

Read her original statement from 2017. Line it up with other descriptions.

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mWQiBVIAE0jDV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mWGG-VIAITc3W.jpg

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned successfully to the U.S.S. Nimitz without further incident. Upon recovery of both aircraft, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 arrived for their routine intelligence debriefing only to find that no debriefing official was available. Furthermore, closed circuit television on-board the ship had movies involving aliens and paranormal activities playing. (Field

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mWQiBVIAE0jDV.jpg

Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio, “I’m in!” in which Source replied, “I have high cover”. (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to pursue it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped their aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction. (Source Comment – The UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - “tumbled” into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mWKZtUYAYV6Fa.jpg

2021-05-17T15:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394308986455744513

@Disclose_UFOUAP @Heaney555 I really don't know. It's bizarre.

2021-05-17T15:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394308833770569730

@a_idealist @TomSuesss @PauleyYo It's unfortunate to nuanced and reliant on background history to fit in a few tweet. I'm bust now, but might revisit it with a video in a few days.

2021-05-17T15:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394308517977214977

@Pewpew841 @RyanSprague51 Well I never said what he said. Hence my response.

2021-05-17T15:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394308316495450120

@quantum_prophet He's a fan! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mT3JnVUAQr0Y8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1mT3JnVUAQr0Y8.jpg

2021-05-17T15:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394307844841762822

@EKAdams

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-that-the-nimitz-flir1-object-could-not-be-a-plane-because-it-would-have-been-identified.11726/

Also, was supposed to have a chat with him (@alpha_check), but he needed a few days to prepare.

2021-05-17T15:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394307267554541573

@Murr_Wurr @omwaafounder I'm hardly ridiculing them - I'm sure they are great at their jobs.

I'm also sure they are not emotional snowflakes.

I'm just pointing out occasional inconsistencies, and potential (and sometimes actual) mistakes.

2021-05-17T14:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394306045762150407

@adrian_probert No, imaginative.

2021-05-17T08:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394210064865452034

@RyanSprague51 Why do you trust them 100% without question?

It it not possible that every decade or so some people make mistakes?

2021-05-17T08:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394209640229994497

@omwaafounder No, my response is to ponder it for years, and study every aspect.

2021-05-17T07:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394193798616391685

@PostDisclosure Sorry, don't you WANT the DoD to clear this up?

2021-05-17T07:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394193083474997251

@PhilipMarloweLA No, I'm sayin they have been unable to reconcile their accounts because their perceptions of the event were different.

2021-05-17T06:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394183657066176512

Strange claims. How can Elizondo initiate an Inspector General investigation against his enemies in government? Is that something random civilians can do?

https://silvarecord.com/2021/05/16/danny-sheehan-2nd-major-ig-investigation-initiated-by-elizondo/

2021-05-17T06:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394181069943889922

@sciencefandom @PrismaticWriter @60Minutes No, I do not. I just think in some cases they have made understandable mistakes.

2021-05-17T06:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394180202838728706

@NathanVanHorn6 @BrianDunning @PotatoRamirez @EricRWeinstein @LueElizondo You mean this footage? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-05-17T04:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394148085564022792

@djtomhanks They saw the same thing, and both misjudge its size are they are used to seeing things F/A-18 sized. Then their different perspective gave them different illusion of motion from

parallax.

2021-05-17T04:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394143752562700289

@zcichy @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson I think people read a lot into the various accounts and drabs of physical evidence, boosting them up, via their imagination and aspirations, into vastly more than the sum of their parts.

2021-05-17T00:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394089717369511939

@Heaney555 You need to be familiar with all the other accounts, unfortunately.

Dropped cell phones tumble. Fravor's description did not tumble. It was up-down-left-right without turning.

2021-05-17T00:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394089304301793281

@TomSuesss @PauleyYo Not just different analogies. Incompatible descriptions.

2021-05-17T00:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394089070867800066

@Avenger16150546 Two pilots with only loosely agreeing accounts.

Shame there was never any attempt to get a REALLY detailed description from them both back in the day.

2021-05-17T00:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394088686984187916

@BrandonFugal @HairlessPrimate Yes, it was a nice chat. I've not seen the new season yet - I don't suppose repeatable phenomenon have been determined yet?

2021-05-17T00:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394088456221958145

@THughesPhoto They didn't line up years ago either.

But that's a nonsense argument, why are UFO folk always saying variations in eyewitness account are evidence they are genuine.

It's evidence that eyewitness accounts are unreliable.

2021-05-17T00:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394088140562857985

@TomSuttles12 @JimmyVolf Her written account does not match his. Try to line them up.

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/

2021-05-17T00:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394084847014924290

@JimmyVolf Fravor didn't describe it as tumbling at all. He said it was consistently oriented North/South until it "engaged" him.

2021-05-17T00:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394084571763802120

@PauleyYo They don't? Are they not highly trained observers? If they both have divergent accounts, then is either of them correct?

And it's not like that's the only discrepancy.

2021-05-17T00:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394084199364132868

@ViktoriaLukas69 You can watch it here
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZBtMbBPzqHY

2021-05-17T00:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394083594503548928

Even after 17 years, Fravor and Dietrich can't get the story straight. He describes the motion as just East-West/North-South translation with no rotation.

She describes it like a tumbling ("impossible angles") dropped cell phone.

https://youtu.be/ygB4EZ7ggig?t=143

2021-05-17T00:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394081608739610625

I hope that the mainstreaming of this imaginative story finally prompts the DoD to clear things up.

Sadly, I feel they probably have other priorities.
https://twitter.com/60Minutes/status/1394070902237769728

2021-05-16T23:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394079313507459073

@60Minutes Featured the "Green Pyramid" UFO footage, which is almost certainly just the navigation lights of a plane. Out of focus. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-05-16T23:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394072621713543170

@CBS @60Minutes presents FLIR1, Gimbal, and GoFast as the best videos of UFOs. But they all have quite plausible explanations. Even the "rotating" Gimbal, which so puzzled Graves.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2021-05-16T21:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394043740239499267

@theufosecret @Spacecowboy781 CGI seems by far the most likely. Lots of people look at the

Moon.

2021-05-16T21:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394042943401381892

@JudgeKowalski @dilettante00 She said she'd just refer podcaster to the "official report" and that there's nothing else to discuss.

2021-05-16T20:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1394033128763629568

@dilettante00 It's cool, I was just experimenting with excessive disclaimers. People were still like "yeah, but can it pull 1000g!!!!!:"

2021-05-16T18:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393995610831822850

@Iheartsuu @Curi0usByNature I don't know. Seems like a heat source.

2021-05-16T18:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393995253233852416

@PhilipMarloweLA @SBrowneITF Hasn't found what? Obviously it's shot off a screen with a phone camera. CICs have screens. Screens have a standard 16:9 aspect ratio.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1h3NVsVoAM1RqT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1h3NVsVoAM1RqT.jpg

2021-05-16T18:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393994458446716928

@ShepardAmbellas I've already had both shots. Moderna. No magnetism. Sticky skin. Can be faked.

Use baby powder to test.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393994291576410114/pu/img/4NdHwB0oEwJNfcFk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393994291576410114/pu/img/4NdHwB0oEwJNfcFk.jpg
2021-05-16T18:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393989896411381760
@JokeToSelf @discernment_dr I just though it was a cool pic, and of course it's a flying Tic-Tac - entirely unrelated to the 2004 incident obviously (an unironically, unsarcastically), but, you know, fun!

2021-05-16T17:59:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393989509365125121
@dave_beaty @Gurrlly007LIVE @TheUfoJoe @Aida_x @PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi Now that's a new one!

2021-05-16T17:58:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393989191772475395
@victoriousover4 That's why I prepended the tweet with "I'm not suggesting this is anything, or explain anything, or is related to anything, or invalidates or vaguely suggests anything." so people would not think I was suggesting anything.

Didn't help.

2021-05-16T17:56:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393988735218315266
@discernment_dr As I explained, I'm not suggesting this is anything, or explain anything, or is related to anything, or invalidates or vaguely suggests anything.

2021-05-16T17:56:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393988636987645976
@Disclose_UFOUAP Like I said, I'm not suggesting this is anything, or explain anything, or is related to anything, or invalidates or vaguely suggests anything.

2021-05-16T17:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393988557711187968

@Curi0usByNature I didn't edit it. I had just only stabilized a portion of it, so I was sharing that. Here's the full clip stabilized

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393988387820822534/pu/img/eUJ0hZ0aNllRvAM7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393988387820822534/pu/img/eUJ0hZ0aNllRvAM7.jpg

2021-05-16T17:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393987027893243904

@SocialistFT Dietrich does not seem to think it's aliens.

Lies and Truth do not encompass all things that are said. There's also mistakes and other false beliefs.

2021-05-16T17:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393983795305455616

I'm not suggesting this is anything, or explain anything, or is related to anything, or invalidates or vaguely suggests anything. But I like this image of a ballon carrying a giant Tic-Tac.

https://twitter.com/zephalto/status/1298502408738181120

2021-05-16T15:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393944708217380865

@TheDeathKwonDo @MusicAndTruth @bobofango86 In the second segment that Az value oscillates around 20Â°, but the ocean seems to move constantly in the same direction.

2021-05-16T14:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393944208440905742

@nelsonb26 Beats me, but the past record does not seem too encouraging.

2021-05-16T14:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393943989292703746

@Ray_vibezz It may well not be a balloon - I'm leaning towards something with a heat source.

But, 700ft? Where did they say that? Corbell's transcript does not mention it
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1hIqEJUUAUziZD.jpg



TRANSCRIPTION

:05 "Took off, bookin' it."

:21 “Break, OMAHA, PINCKNEY, KIDD, RAFAEL PERALTA possibility to launch helo ASAP”.

:28 "If it splashes you get a bearing and range.”

:30 “Yes sir."

:32 "... keep going bro [inaudible]”

:33 [inaudible]

:36 "... it's windy as fuck out there."

:42 "... got a lotta white water out there. Six foot swells."

:43 "Whoa, it's getting close."

:50 "We have, uh, 31 knots sustained wind topside, gust of 40 [knots]."

:56 “Whoa, it splashed!"

:57 “Splashed!"

:58 “Mark bearing and range.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1hIqEJUUAUziZD.jpg
2021-05-16T14:52:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393942527019868166
The second pilot from the USS Nimitz "Tic-Tac" UFO incident (Alex Anne Dietrich) throws cold water on the idea that we'll ever learn anything more about it.

https://www.facebook.com/david.haith.39/posts/10226156509198017?comment_id=10226156576799707&reply_comment_id=10226158315443172
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1hG2Q8VoAUIRut.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1hG2Q8VoAUIRut.jpg
2021-05-16T14:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393934169294602241
@TheDeathKwonDo @bobofango86 @MusicAndTruth The Azimuth? Kinda random. But the camera IS moving.

2021-05-16T14:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393933743023267843
@Ray_vibezz You want quarter speed audio?

2021-05-16T09:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393865659314966528
@ZaineMichael1 @MusicAndTruth @naoehogui No, I think it's also moving horizontally, at what seems like a constant speed.

2021-05-16T09:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393865411091857408
@MusicAndTruth @naoehogui Sorry for initial confusion. I'd not stabilized that first bit because it was fiddly to do in AE, with the change of zoom and no T ends on the crosshairs to track. I've since redone it to stabilize the entire thing, and framed it better
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393865233119072256/pu/img/i9Qzs1UNNXWfCbx6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393865233119072256/pu/img/i9Qzs1UNNXWfCbx6.jpg
2021-05-16T09:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393860531803459586
@MusicAndTruth @naoehogui Of course it does, it's moving relative to the ocean the entire time.

The point is that it's moving at a constant rate, as far as I can tell. Not moving left and right, or stopping.

2021-05-16T08:43:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393849661715189760
@naoehogui @MusicAndTruth Erm, I discuss that portion in my video.

2021-05-16T05:24:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393799480546258945
@DirtyGallow1 @FauxFighter @Fiddaman Thanks! What do you think of the idea that it could be an IR glare, much bigger than the heat source?

2021-05-16T04:54:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393791974289731585
@stmc89 Probably waves. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-05-16T04:29:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393785730791448579
@PredatoryPanic @MusicAndTruth https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-05-16T02:54:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393761848210591751
@Mike___Kilo Not from one photo.

2021-05-16T02:30:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393755648777547776
@dedicationPR @esaagar Why would they? Hundreds of square miles.

2021-05-16T02:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393755181490130945

@itsjoeybananas Of what?

2021-05-16T01:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393745924082393091

@yrraplliw I look at claims of evidence.

2021-05-16T01:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393745012379111425

@Mike___Kilo I see camping equipment, so some waterproof or bear proof container for foods or suchlike.

2021-05-16T01:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393744514985054209

@Mike___Kilo Thatâ□□s what comes to mind. The fins also remind me of that, sticky out bits you push on to turn the lid. Nothing special.

2021-05-16T01:17:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393737239474032640

@Mike___Kilo Some kind of bin lid?

2021-05-16T00:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393731711070998532

@BrianDunning Thereâ□□s certainly no evidence I wasnâ□□t abducted by aliens as a child.

2021-05-16T00:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393731307314696192

@emmathepony Iâ□□m presuming itâ□□s To Far Away for smoke to show

---

2021-05-16T00:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393731099088416768

@Pewpew841 @Fightoplancton @PostDisclosure Glares are caused by physical objects, like jet engines.

2021-05-16T00:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393720870628065280

@ArranGray9 @OwatcherUf If you can't see it, then it's not tracking it. The tracking here is done using the video image.

2021-05-15T23:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393717064255352834

Interesting theory. https://twitter.com/EugeniaLoli/status/1393716715205193736

2021-05-15T23:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393716728153075712

@deathpun @DailyMirror That's out of focus. Probably Venus or similar.

2021-05-15T23:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393716434379804672

@FauxFighter @Fiddaman It does not. Who said it did?

2021-05-15T23:52:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393716059639713792
@mombojom By being gradually obscured, as I demonstrate here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-05-15T23:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393707336787578882
@Fightoplancton @PostDisclosure No, because A) the FLIR seems to be at maximum magnification. and B) glares are often a lot larger than the heat source.

2021-05-15T23:16:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393706950735527938
@BigPickleUAP @PostDisclosure The video was created using the most powerful tool they had for the job.

2021-05-15T23:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393706629019803648
@JetEnthusiast @PostJawn It's a well established usage, harping on it just alienates people.

2021-05-15T22:49:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393700204130365441
@jshkrueger @PostDisclosure Too Far Away

2021-05-15T22:42:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393698349350473735
@TomSuesss So would anything else. So there's nothing to suggest it's transmedium. Nothing even to suggest it went underwater.

2021-05-15T22:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393697384496963584
@JetEnthusiast @PostJawn "Theory" means "hypothesis" in the common tongue. So "half assed balloon theory" is grammatically correct.

And my half assed balloon theory is still in there.

2021-05-15T22:35:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393696618566668288
@PostDisclosure Have you never heard of the concept of TFA?

Objects that are TFA (circumstances dependent) can't be identified.

Maybe it was something that was just TFA?

2021-05-15T22:20:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393692693960687616
Omaha Sphere UFO - My Initial Analysis - No sudden moves! Missing six minutes. Maybe an IR glare.
https://youtu.be/WAfiJqUHDg0

2021-05-15T21:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393680140048031744

@JazzShaw @adrian_probert @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure Do you know how high the camera was mounted? 100 feet?

2021-05-15T21:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393675824717434885

@JazzShaw @adrian_probert @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure It appears to become hidden near the horizon. How far away is the horizon from that camera?

2021-05-15T20:58:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393672097902120960

@SithVincentV The video is representative of their equipment.

And nothing stopped in the video.

2021-05-15T20:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393671073825116160

@adrian_probert @JazzShaw @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure What close range?

2021-05-15T19:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393657050052272128

@EdwardKissinge1 Not really. It looks like a real heat signature.

2021-05-15T19:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393656786536714240

@alo_pumpa Mick.

2021-05-15T19:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393654517200162816

@always_usy @Checkmite @Disclosure89 Even if it were 10 miles away, in the middle of the night, on open ocean, on a windy day?

2021-05-15T19:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393654261116928000

@EdwardKissinge1

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393654155252670465/pu/img/T9j9Hqj9edOqIHqf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393654155252670465/pu/img/T9j9Hqj9edOqIHqf.jpg
2021-05-15T19:38:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393652128992743424
@SilverstoneNeil @rogerglassel @GamingHabit101 @GeezaNews The object does not appear to change its horizontal velocity at all. It's all camera movements.

2021-05-15T19:32:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393650590236872706
@mattjnowak @nomdeguerre_ My tweet is not about relying on witnesses, it's about a conflict of opinions on how accurately you can judge size with no reference.

2021-05-15T18:51:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393640237159436291
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 So your alternative view is that it's a deflating and re-inflating sphere landing in the ocean a bit in front of the horizon?

Or what?

2021-05-15T18:50:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393639841041027076
@rgh_ufos @stevebozz1 @Facelessbureau1 Check it.

2021-05-15T18:46:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393638901256908804
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 shrinking/expanding because it's being obscured by the horizon, not actually shrinking.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393638801113640960/pu/img/wetBBOjBn6jG4Pi3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393638801113640960/pu/img/wetBBOjBn6jG4Pi3.jpg
2021-05-15T18:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393638169602453506
@MarchmontRareBk Suspicious in what sense?

There's an unidentified flying object - I guess that's always suspicious from a military perspective.

I suspect the missing footage is pretty boring.

2021-05-15T18:40:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393637405970763779
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 Well, it looks nothing like submersion for a start. It shrink. It does not appear half in half out.

2021-05-15T18:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393636679190155264
@Born2Pleb @SingletrackAdam @PoliticalGeeker @DTruvet Or maybe they just enjoy solving puzzles?

2021-05-15T18:37:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393636574634463233
@rds36042411 It's always on the list, although generally towards the bottom.

2021-05-15T18:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393636347731009538
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 If the glare is larger than the object (and they generally are), then parts of the glare would appear below the horizon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1cwzBFUUAEjZ68.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1cwzBFUUAEjZ68.jpg
2021-05-15T18:33:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393635755310735363
@sippey @NewYorker I did :) The vegetarian lion was actually one the "proofs" offered of scientific foreknowledge in the Bible. A bit of a fringe topic.

https://compassioncircle.com/little-tyke-the-true-story-of-a-vegetarian-lion/

2021-05-15T18:30:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393634804063641602
@ufo_worldwide @JasonColavito No, I'm saying there was no pause, but I'm wondering where you think there was one.

2021-05-15T18:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393634636765405184
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 That looks like a glare on the horizon.

2021-05-15T18:28:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393634470415069187
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 What do you mean by "dipping under the horizon"?

If it's BEHIND the horizon then the horizon obscure the object. It it's closer than the horizon, then it would A) obscure the horizon, and B) hit the water lower in the image than the horizon

---

2021-05-15T18:27:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393634036287823875
@ufo_worldwide @JasonColavito Timestamp?

2021-05-15T18:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393633959313952768
@ufo_worldwide @JasonColavito No, it's objectively so. Look at a stabilized version.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393633843593179138/pu/img/_xmXvVq4HJBqOMp6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393633843593179138/pu/img/_xmXvVq4HJBqOMp6.jpg
2021-05-15T18:25:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393633738756562945
@glagoljica_ Says Tom Rogan.

2021-05-15T18:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393633488662761475
@ufo_worldwide @JasonColavito The object does not maintain its height, it descends smoothly. This is simply made hard to see by the motion of the ship's camera and the phone camera.

2021-05-15T18:22:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393632948713254916
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 The horizon would be above it.

2021-05-15T18:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393632173173788675
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 It goes out of view at the horizon, meaning it's miles away.

2021-05-15T17:36:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393621317694332932
@CryptoGeek1177 @davpea2 @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell @MaxMoszkowicz @MysteryWire @g_knapp @andrewlang1985 @AndrewP71392714 Nobody is denying it looks like it's moving right. That's what my little clip above highlight. What's incorrect though is the claim that it stops that apparent motion. The water seems to be moving left, all the way up to the end of the video.

2021-05-15T17:15:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393615927875280899
@davpea2 I'd say disagreed with, rather than debunked.

2021-05-15T17:14:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393615863807299585
@DaSlick23 @ET__GOD @Oswald1160

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393615827610468362/pu/img/dHInpL-Sal0iXVnE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393615827610468362/pu/img/dHInpL-Sal0iXVnE.jpg
2021-05-15T17:13:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393615414677053443
@davpea2 @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell @MaxMoszkowicz @MysteryWire @g_knapp @andrewlang1985 @AndrewP71392714 What's going on here?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393615374516514817/pu/img/wvNB81NCvBiwsmQ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393615374516514817/pu/img/wvNB81NCvBiwsmQ1.jpg
2021-05-15T17:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393613559343370246
@davpea2 @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell @MaxMoszkowicz @MysteryWire @g_knapp @andrewlang1985 @AndrewP71392714 Well, there's not video of anything "flying in a perfectly

linear direction, hover then go under water" - so it's a bit of a moot point.

2021-05-15T16:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393609056351252485

@LazyTown31 What USO?

2021-05-15T16:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393608488752869378

@DaSlick23 @ET__GOD @Oswald1160 Nope, it's all camera movement.

2021-05-15T16:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393608145830760448

@soldisnew @stevebozz1 @Facelessbureau1 Check it.

2021-05-15T16:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393597451034972162

@zazzafrazz Indeed, but it fades out and then comes back - which suggests being obscured and then restored.

2021-05-15T16:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393597266187853827

@Liberty4Life23 @RichardHeaven5 @Aviation_Intel @JeremyCorbell No, it would be too small to see with the naked eye.

2021-05-15T15:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393597011413270530

@Hollywoodcq @19Nimbus @mesolithicguy @BobMcGwier_N4HY It doesn't even "get blown by the wind a few feet".

There's no change in the horizontal movement at all.

2021-05-15T15:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393596391130222594

@bobofango86 @MusicAndTruth Do you actually think that it's moving left and right at the start?

Watch the ocean.

2021-05-15T15:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393595167555284992

@MaitreyaNight The descent is very slow. It takes over eight minutes to get down to water level.

2021-05-15T15:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393594780014182400

@TomSuesss You can't see the surrounding air in IR.

2021-05-15T15:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393594570923905025

@HeyitsRobro There were none recorded on Planefinder. But most balloons are not.

2021-05-15T15:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393582167255519240

@stevebozz1 @Facelessbureau1 That's certainly what it looks like.

2021-05-15T14:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393580110633046018

@JasonColavito The best you can say is that it's something that's descending very slowly, make no sudden moves, and is warmer than the ocean.

2021-05-15T14:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393579223097352195

@Facelessbureau1 Lue, obviously you were familiar with the Gimbal video. I meant you were utterly unfamiliar with the rotating glare hypothesis.

2021-05-15T14:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393577353360547842

Is this "spherical shaped UFOs that are advanced transmedium vehicles"

or

"some spherical shaped UFOs, and in addition some different advanced transmedium vehicle"

? https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1393281339525255168

2021-05-15T14:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393576665637216257

@jshkrueger @60Minutes There's more in the playlist. Look for ones with "Gimbal" in the title. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2021-05-15T14:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393575393722994690

@odellshaun @issaufobro @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell Depends what you mean by "no idea" - I mean anyone can come up with an IDEA that fits, even if it's just alien spaceship.

I see cases I can definitively identify all the time. Simply because of the usual Low Information Zone factors.

2021-05-15T14:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393574672755675136

@jshkrueger @60Minutes https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-05-15T14:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393572914750836741

@82Noan @5X5_NEWS @TheUfoJoe @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @JeremyCorbell It is gradual

---

2021-05-15T14:21:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393572327988756483

@Tomfortas @THughesPhoto Itâ□□s is hh:mm:ss. There is six minutes missing.

2021-05-15T09:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393504337335242760

@Scott94110097 Not if it's too far away. This looks to be several miles away, at night, in the dark. This is the only picture they have.

2021-05-15T09:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393503847193092099

@paul59593748 @TheProjectUnity Maybe. It descends very slowly and evenly. It's not a slam-dunk case though.

2021-05-15T09:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393503645086339077

@HerrUrsa @60Minutes Perhaps they did not immediately realize it was a plane.

2021-05-15T06:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393454149098823683

@MusicAndTruth I cut the bit before lock, where the movement is the IR camera. What I stabilized was the phone camera. Watch it again.

2021-05-15T06:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393448060173230080

@Dylan_DTV It was on 7/15 Pactific time, 7/16 is fo Zulu time.

2021-05-15T01:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393384149256335361

@et_advocate Yes.

2021-05-15T01:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393374523353829376

@nicklynk @OwatcherUf I think it might be the horizon occluding. Resolution is terrible, so maybe be at max zoom, so many miles away?

2021-05-15T01:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393374184453984257

@eversley_john @ZaineMichael1 @ufouapam @JeremyCorbell @DarkstarIE @foiaboi It's not, it start at around 10:52:52, and ends around 11:00:14

2021-05-15T01:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393371502204968960

@ZaineMichael1 @eversley_john @ufouapam @JeremyCorbell @DarkstarIE @foiaboi The video timestamp is in "Zulu" time, so 06:00 is 23:00 Pacific Daylight Time (Local time) I converted them as descriptions refer to "11 PM"

2021-05-15T00:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393365983071399938

@RobPelot Because nobody else is waiting. "Another extraordinary U.S. Navy" says @ChristopherKMe4

What exactly is so extraordinary about it?

2021-05-15T00:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393365710957531139

@Oooh_MyHead @ChristopherKMe4 The Navy did not say anything about this video, other than it's a Navy video, and the UAPTF looked at it.

2021-05-15T00:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393365480535068677

@Liberty4Life23 @Disclosure89 "case against Mick":grinning_face: What's the charge?

2021-05-15T00:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393364590591766530

@PhilipMarloweLA @OwatcherUf @JudgeKowalski I don't think I've really got a great explanation for this one, the ten minute things was for the pyramid.

2021-05-15T00:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393360883317833735

@ExogenesisHH @JeffTheDork I don't think it bobs, the horizon moves while it's occluded, and then it comes back in about the same position. I think it went behind the top of a wave that then went down a bit.

2021-05-15T00:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393360218562596867

@DubdDubu @CNSA_en @EliaJ15 I don't know.

2021-05-15T00:18:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393359999015948289

@poejesci Quite plausible, but lacking in evidence. Certainly on the list.

2021-05-15T00:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393359586493558786

@flugempire @murnut It's an IR camera, and there seems to be quite a bit of glare, based on the fade-out at the end. What would a parachute flare look like?

2021-05-15T00:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393358190775992323

And I'm kind of leaning toward a powered craft, like a drone, as the wind SEEMS to be in the wrong direction.

2021-05-14T23:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393355440013168640

@DanteHouse22 Yes, it's really easy for metal objects (like coins) to stick to people.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xQT8vfwomvs

2021-05-14T23:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393355055525367811

@Scribe1969 It not official, it's Jeremy Corbell.

2021-05-14T23:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393354712276115459

Looking at the way the Omaha UFO object seems to "fade out" at the end, I think I'll change my #1 from balloon to something like a parachute flare (my previous #2)

i.e. a heat source, with glare, being obscured.

Could just be deflating though. LIZ

Quarter speed crop:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393354163380121601/pu/img/mXFKT5pErBmWII1j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393354163380121601/pu/img/mXFKT5pErBmWII1j.jpg
2021-05-14T23:27:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393347283928846337
Here's a version of the Omaha UFO video, stabilized to remove the phone-camera motion. Also removed some of the UI for clarity. Two things:

1) It's descending really slowly
2) 10:53:19 to 10:59:35 is missing
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393346308023283713/pu/img/AJ_V_AUGPaKW6YI4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393346308023283713/pu/img/AJ_V_AUGPaKW6YI4.jpg
2021-05-14T23:22:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393346028401676291
@GamingHabit101 @GeezaNews No it's in constant (very slow vertically) motion. The starts and stops are from camera movements, and a larger edit.

2021-05-14T23:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393345423260065792
Excellent! I can finally stop calling her "Source".
https://twitter.com/60Minutes/status/1393292876214329344

2021-05-14T22:19:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393330159327932419
@ufo_worldwide @60Minutes This is the Gimbal video, we have no context other than the video.

2021-05-14T22:18:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393329972182323202
@CorbeauSeattle It's not this though, I got the time wrong. That was there 11AM, the event was at 11PM

2021-05-14T22:11:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393328056924659713
@PoliticalGeeker @chris_muddiman Yes it does.

2021-05-14T21:55:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393324033404329986
@Bhima_Jenkins @CrackSGA They did not say it was going against the wind.

2021-05-14T21:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393323450706497536
RT @AOC: The fact that Kevin McCarthy, the House @GOPLeader, stripped Justin Amash of all committee seats for criticizing Trump, but has woâ¦

2021-05-14T21:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393322963613585410

@bobofango86 Yes you can.

2021-05-14T21:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393318828310859776

@chris_muddiman How is it controlled? Just just moving more-or-less at a constarnt speed as it slowly sinks.

2021-05-14T21:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393318672387633152

@_olligo_ @ExogenesisHH @HunterRedX @STSMajesty Go on then :)

2021-05-14T21:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393318531161202692

@s_pect_re @ufos_n_lukes @RealCSharp @LtTimMcMillan Not in this video no.

2021-05-14T21:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393317639758368772

@CrackSGA No, all I know it that it's round, and moves in the same way a ballon moves.

2021-05-14T21:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393315953048313857

@ultra_strange I think it's probably a balloon moving in the wind.

The camera movements are additional apparent motion.

2021-05-14T21:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393314417115238401

@adrian_probert @PoliticalGeeker @SuperVFunCat @_Desmoden 250 isotopes? Where's that number from?

2021-05-14T21:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393314244314103812

@Born2Pleb Rank on which list?

It think it sounds very plausible as a thing that might happen. I'd hesitate to assign that to any particular event, but it would be on the list, significantly above "new physics" and "aliens"

2021-05-14T21:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393313600215810049

@ShaolinvsWutang @esaagar Did they have a briefing that was just about this video? Or that included this video?

Was this balloon identified? What if it was a Chinese or Russian spy balloon?

2021-05-14T21:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393313216269193223

@PoliticalGeeker @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @EssentialBusin7 @LtTimMcMillan Wrote

about this round thing descending into the ocean. I thought it looked like a balloon three weeks ago when the still images were released.

2021-05-14T21:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393312510577561600

@CorbeauSeattle Drops balloons on them?

Just kidding! Sorry I should have Googled. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1YKNmWVEAA6s_U.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1YKNmWVEAA6s_U.jpg

2021-05-14T21:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393311979788406789

@PoliticalGeeker @bradjohansson21 @EssentialBusin7 @LtTimMcMillan And sorry of the confusion - obviously a balloon would move with the wind. I meant movements other than that - there are apparent sudden movements, but that's just the camera.

2021-05-14T21:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393311692163936257

@OwatcherUf @PoliticalGeeker @bradjohansson21 @EssentialBusin7 @LtTimMcMillan April 21, was when I first wrote about it:

"The definition of an Unmanned Aircraft sometimes includes balloons. That would be my first guess here."

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-omaha-transmedium-sphere-descending-to-the-sea.11711/

2021-05-14T21:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393310769790390272

@Ranz_Peter I suspect that's it flapping around rapidly as it rips and deflates. Hard to say though. Video compression complicates things a little.

2021-05-14T21:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393310314284818433

@PoliticalGeeker @RealArea503 I usually make a list of possibilities, and then rank them. That's my assessment.

What do you do? Go for one thing and stick with it?

2021-05-14T20:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393310078401343490

Just because a UFO looks like a balloon, it does not mean it's banal. It does it mean it's not a security threat.

Maybe it was launched from a foreign sub?

Balloon != nothing.

2021-05-14T20:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393309214911524864

@_olligo_ @ExogenesisHH Who said they did?

2021-05-14T20:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393308361895026689

@GeezaNews I don't follow your objection. It seems to be moving at wind speed.

2021-05-14T20:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393307755989983234

@SilverstoneNeil @steven_nikolic There's no info about radar regarding Gimbal. I'm fairly sure if it was a plane or a drone it would have shown up on radar.

2021-05-14T20:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393305952384733189

@_olligo_ How are you sure?

2021-05-14T20:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393305881186471938

@UFOTORONTO @BSquidding @thomaslfessler That's a bit odd, I suspect it's the ballon popping, flapping around for a frame, then falling in the ocean - all compounded by video compression.

2021-05-14T20:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393304521221103618

Just before the 2019 UFO sighting, A P8A Poseidon, callsign SWORD11 was in the area.
What with those bay doors? Does it drop stuff?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1YC-4-VcAEyinu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1YC-4-VcAEyinu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1YC-R9UUAEkoKp.jpg

2021-05-14T20:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393301837772558336

@SkyFalling20XX @esaagar HOW CAN YOU SEE ME!!!

(looks around for hidden camera)

2021-05-14T20:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393301702699151361

@Vaibhav832 What goes up....

Really just a funny coincidence.

2021-05-14T20:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393301393021177861

@RealArea503 Yeah, flare was my #2, assuming it's IR, which it looks like.

2021-05-14T20:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393301147197210624

@rgh_ufos Why, what did the US Military say about this video?

2021-05-14T20:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393300949217673220

@Jefftopia_ @60Minutes None of which happens in the Gimbal video.

2021-05-14T20:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393300836244103168

@mesolithicguy @MrHustleMCR @RealCSharp @LtTimMcMillan What exactly did the Navy say about this video?

2021-05-14T20:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393300678877925381

@steven_nikolic @SilverstoneNeil He thinks "glare" means relfected sunlight, like glare on water.

I address such confusion here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2021-05-14T20:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393300188064665601

@PoliticalGeeker I was basing my predebunk on what the photos looked like. Turns out the video confirmed that impression.

2021-05-14T20:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393299953502474241

Three balloons off the coast right now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1X-yuuUYAEZAG9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1X-yuuUYAEZAG9.jpg
2021-05-14T20:16:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393299263837245440
Regarding the Gimbal video to be shown on @60Minutes. Here's how the IR glare from a jet engine can look like a flying saucer. Video on right switches from IR to TV, showing the jet.

The rotation comes from the gimbal system (hence the filename).
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393298799305510912/pu/img/guw5XaC3EqA0OCM1.jpg

---


http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393298799305510912/pu/img/guw5XaC3EqA0OCM1.jpg
2021-05-14T20:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393298605138530307
@SilverstoneNeil @steven_nikolic The glare in the gimbal video is not "reflected sunlight". It's

the intense heat from the engine.

2021-05-14T20:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393298128250441729

Hey, I pre-debunked the Corbell video yesterday.

Except I thought he was going to release a photo. A video is much better, as you can see just how slowly it's sinking. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1392997311584768001

2021-05-14T20:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393295810062733313

@PoliticalGeeker @flugempire @Aviation_Intel @JazzShaw @LtTimMcMillan I'm analyzing what is shown in the video.

2021-05-14T20:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393295634732490753

@ufos_n_lukes @RealCSharp @LtTimMcMillan A balloon with a payload, drags in the ocean, bursts, and then sinks.

2021-05-14T20:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393295420772687874

@Down_Culture @LtTimMcMillan @RealCSharp Things not seen in the video.

2021-05-14T19:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393292223383445507

@u5n89 It's not hovering. Look at it relative to the water. See the dark patch of water that comes in from the right? The balloon seems to be moving relative to the water.

Ship is also moving, which complicates things.

2021-05-14T19:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393291957523279872

@flugempire @Aviation_Intel @JazzShaw @LtTimMcMillan Video is 60 seconds.

2021-05-14T19:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393291831610208258

@FettuccineSplit Not really, I'm pretty much done.

2021-05-14T19:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393291721060982784

@abeeraday_uk @bradjohansson21 Balloons leak and sink eventually. Sounding balloons are supposed to burst at high altitude, but not all scientific balloons (or target balloons, or high-school "into space" ballons) will do exactly that.

2021-05-14T19:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393290989809201152

@FlWatchers @JeremyCorbell I don't know, it kind of meets the "low observable" observable.

2021-05-14T19:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393290827133177856

@Mark41782211 It's moving at constant wind speed. Perhaps I should have said it's not maneuvering.

2021-05-14T19:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393290267684270084

@Disclosure89 It's not doing that in this video. It drift down at a constant speed.

2021-05-14T19:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393290157722275842

@LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel No, you can't see air temperature gas on a thermal camera. You can barely see hot gas.

2021-05-14T19:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393289723141976066

The new UFO video has an old trick in it (accidentally). Moving the camera makes it look like the object is moving. But if we stabilize it then it's clearly just the camera moving. Watch the object and the ocean together.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393289709313433601/pu/img/Yohg9fM0JaQLqPsm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393289709313433601/pu/img/Yohg9fM0JaQLqPsm.jpg

2021-05-14T19:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393288997447737345

@RealCSharp @LtTimMcMillan It sank.

2021-05-14T19:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393288828719304704

@BertoNavarro51 Like what, it's just drifting down into the water.

The camera is moving.

2021-05-14T19:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393285928156762113

@esaagar Well, it looks exactly like a weather balloon drifting down to the ocean. High winds would rip it open after the payload was submerged, then it would sink.

And it's not actually moving (other than with the wind). That's camera motion.

2021-05-14T19:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393285372407255040

@thaxter @TDBrigham @flarkey Hight winds would drag it after the payload hits the water, ripping the balloon.

2021-05-14T19:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393282914847051777

@TDBrigham @flarkey It does, which I'd attribute to the payload being in the water. Hight winds would then drag it, ripping the balloon. Maybe.

2021-05-14T19:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393282173034057729

@thomaslfessler https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1393281515937697792

2021-05-14T19:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393281865532903425

@issaufobro @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell Probably burst when the waves ripped on the payload.

2021-05-14T19:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393281716710608897

@themoodnetwork https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1393281515937697792

2021-05-14T19:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393281515937697792

Hmm, something making a very slow descent into the ocean. Balloon?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393281182314352643/pu/img/DL2jKWkBJsQLcyx-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393281182314352643/pu/img/DL2jKWkBJsQLcyx-.jpg
2021-05-14T19:01:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393280426261704705
@NIH_LLAMAS @nomdeguerre_ If two witnesses give conflicting accounts, then is not at least one of them wrong?

2021-05-14T18:55:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393278897924435974
@ufo_worldwide Yet Graves says they (a relatively small number of people) were seeing them EVERY DAY FOR YEARS.

2021-05-14T18:52:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393277965631250432
@saucerlit Yes, in two ways. Firstly, based on the resolution of the video, we would see a more clearly defined object, wihout diffraction spikes, that does not change shape.
Secondly, we would not see the light patterns in the rest of the image rotate in sync.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393277777516797952/pu/img/f76jKWUBW74SV0Xj.jpg
jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393277777516797952/pu/img/f76jKWUBW74SV0Xj.jpg
2021-05-14T18:48:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393277064141148162
@ArranGray9 I repeating what he said. Here's the best encounter he personally had.

He didn't see anything. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XqEFKVEAAAs3G.jpg

---

Ryan Graves 20:24

So as we're zooming up to these things, you know, we've got our radar on it, we have a FLIR on it, all those sensors are sending information to our helmet. And but in a little green circle, you know, as I look out into the world, that's overlaying information over my eyes. And I'm seeing exactly where I should look right, we're getting closer and closer. And we basically merge with it, which means that from a radar operators perspective, say, on the shore on the boat, we're so close, that they can no longer tell our radar signatures apart. So we merge with it. And, you know, we merge all the time, that's how we start our dogfights and things like that. So we do a lot of training, you know, about looking at an aircraft has emerged to even though it takes you know, a 10th of a second as we sit by at 800 miles relative velocity, but we'd almost never see them, you know, and typically we would result is, we think, you know, seeing in our helmet and then seeing what was after it was that it would change altitude, you know, as we get close, and it's very easy to avoid a jet, if you have the ability to just reposition vertically and things like that, right, because we're just zipping along so fast, we can't just stop and turn and look in the other direction,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XqEFKVEAAAs3G.jpg
2021-05-14T18:47:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393276856338579458
@steven_nikolic @NIH_LLAMAS @HRFaulkner Source was the other pilot. She saw a tic-tac shape, but her description of the movements was different to Fravor's.
https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/

2021-05-14T18:46:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393276455413452801
@PoliticalGeeker @CamCashmn He said he saw it on the radar. Here is his description of the closest encounter he personally had.

Basically: had it on the radar, flew up to it, but didn't see anything.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XpZTIUUAMtAgS.jpg

Ryan Graves 20:24

So as we're zooming up to these things, you know, we've got our radar on it, we have a FLIR on it, all those sensors are sending information to our helmet. And but in a little green circle, you know, as I look out into the world, that's overlaying information over my eyes. And I'm seeing exactly where I should look right, we're getting closer and closer. And we basically merge with it, which means that from a radar operators perspective, say, on the shore on the boat, we're so close, that they can no longer tell our radar signatures apart. So we merge with it. And, you know, we merge all the time, that's how we start our dogfights and things like that. So we do a lot of training, you know, about looking at an aircraft has emerged to even though it takes you know, a 10th of a second as we sit by at 800 miles relative velocity, but we'd almost never see them, you know, and typically we would result is, we think, you know, seeing in our helmet and then seeing what was after it was that it would change altitude, you know, as we get close, and it's very easy to avoid a jet, if you have the ability to just reposition vertically and things like that, right, because we're just zipping along so fast, we can't just stop and turn and look in the other direction,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XpZTIUUAMtAgS.jpg
2021-05-14T18:40:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393275082277933057
@WILDBILLWILL86 @Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire I'm guessing another slide of some object that's TFA.

2021-05-14T18:40:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393274921778696193
@NIH_LLAMAS @SicCoP1 Fravor had no radar contact. Previously the SPY1 radar on the Princeton told him there was something at 20,000 feet. But hen he got there he could not find anything. Then he spotted the water distrubance. Then the Tic-Tac caught his eye. He eyeballed the size and distance.

2021-05-14T18:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393274488570081282
@steven_nikolic @NIH_LLAMAS @HRFaulkner That's not what Source said though. She was viewing it from a different angle. Said it flew over the disturbance in a straight line.

2021-05-14T18:37:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393274242054037506
@WILDBILLWILL86 @Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire I LOLed.

2021-05-14T18:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393274118057779200
@ViktoriaLukas69 Just adding some useful context.

It's unfortunate they can't find any actual eyewitness, or the guy who filmed Gimbal and GoFast

---

2021-05-14T18:33:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393273157834854401

@PoliticalGeeker @CamCashmn Ah right, I thought you were referring to the Kevin Rose show.

He's talking about other pilots in his squadron. I don't think he's even mentioned seeing it on ATFLIR himself.

2021-05-14T18:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393272518803263489

@saucerlit My detailed response to that video is here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2021-05-14T18:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393271932330541057

@steven_nikolic @SilverstoneNeil Glare is attached to a plane. But in this case there's pretty much zero surrounding context.

2021-05-14T18:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393271740839567363

@PoliticalGeeker @CamCashmn Where's that quote from? You got a timestamp?

2021-05-14T18:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393270662102339585

@mrbigcog I though that was a video. Mellon says it has "emotional impact", but that the Gimbal Video is the most compelling. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XkDpzUYAEVc84.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XkDpzUYAEVc84.jpg

2021-05-14T18:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393269793977896962

@PoliticalGeeker Where did he say he personally saw one up close?

He talks about the radar. He talks about other people seeing them. He did not see one.

2021-05-14T18:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393269268913868800

@mrbigcog @ChristopherKMe4 say no. Mellon says the existing videos are the most compelling.

2021-05-14T18:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393269052475285507

@mrbigcog So yet again we have to rely on Elizondo's interpretation of secret testimony from unknown people?

Hardly convincing.

The video is solid evidence. It looks like a rotating glare.

2021-05-14T18:13:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393268247705755653
@naoehogui It seems pretty clear cut to me. Fravor had no problem determining the size of an object with no reference. Graves says it's nearly impossible.
If Fravor initially got it wrong then the Tic-Tac motion is explained by parallax.
Also explains "Source"'s different account.

2021-05-14T18:11:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393267802195120136
I'm glad there will be some focus on the Gimbal video in the upcoming 60-minutes show. It's almost certainly the rotating glare of a distant jet. Graves does not know about this, and Elizondo was entirely unfamiliar with it when I interviewed him.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XgzAOUUAY8Eo1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XgzAOUUAY8Eo1.jpg
2021-05-14T18:06:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393266461867593729
@CamCashmn In the the Kevin Rose interview, he never saw them himself. The "distant points of light" was somewhere else.

2021-05-14T17:58:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393264432331911170

Commander David Fravor said he was 99% sure about the size estimates of the Tic-Tac UFO because of his years of experience. But another Navy Pilot, Ryan Graves (on the Kevin Rose show), says it's really hard to estimate the size of UFOs without reference.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XeRyRUcAAIt16.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XeRyRUcAAIt16.jpg
2021-05-14T17:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393263092604170240
Ryan Graves, seen here, says these UFOs were seen every day for years.
But he himself only ever saw unusual radar returns and maybe distant points of light.
https://twitter.com/60Minutes/status/1393250354133970949

2021-05-14T17:18:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393254372486750210
@PostDisclosure @ExogenesisHH @Girafin_ovni So when you said "will the debunkers and skeptics be grateful for those that researched UFOs" what were you getting at? Do you think we might huffishly deny Mellon's valuable contribution?

I'm still not sure why it matters.

2021-05-14T17:15:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393253737800503300
@knightsabers01 @hollywoodufos @PostDisclosure Certainty isn't really a desirable trait in a topic with limited information. One needs to keep an open mind.

2021-05-14T17:03:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393250602021318656
@steven_nikolic @_Desmoden Well maybe @LueElizondo can clarify this with some examples?

2021-05-14T17:02:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393250414959595525
@PostDisclosure @Girafin_ovni Who gives a hoot if some people are grateful to some other people after the government admits alien visitors are real?

2021-05-14T17:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393249963493052417
@PoliticalGeeker @_Desmoden Well yeah, but it's also consistent with something that too far away and/or out of focus.

How can you tell if something is a novel stealth technology vs. just TFA/OOF?

2021-05-14T16:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393240658870108160

@DarkstarIE @LueElizondo I'd be happy to discuss it with him.

2021-05-14T16:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393239855719600129

@DarkstarIE " im not going to show you proof, as you can find it it you look hard enough."

Many years of experience has shown this is a way of saying "I don't really have the proof".

You are making a claim. You can't back it up.

2021-05-14T16:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393239503192477700

@Berserk97981716 @foiaboi I wasn't being scientific, I was having a late night conversation on Twitter. He asked if I agree, I said no.

2021-05-14T16:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393238529744859145

@SuperVFunCat @WitnessCitizen They were originally presented as possible future advanced aerospace capabilities, which morphed into "let's study UFOs, as they seem to have figured this stuff out", and then "this is what defines UAPs"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XG9YDVcAMh7BL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1XG9YDVcAMh7BL.jpg

2021-05-14T14:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393215780213321735

@foiaboi The question i replied "no" to was "can we agree that observation issues are scientific criteria."

I said no because the question lacked any form of definition. What an "observation issue", what's a "scientific criteria"

2021-05-14T14:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393214667179237380

@MiddleOfMayhem http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E1WxVuWVIAEhHBT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E1WxVuWVIAEhHBT.jpg

2021-05-14T14:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393213922266685445

@intellihubnews It's not true though. That's the problem.

Not only is it not true, it's literally physically impossible.

2021-05-14T14:17:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393208898014748673

@_Desmoden "opaque and kind of blurry, not really sure what I'm looking at".

i.e. TFA and/or OOF.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393208857632014338/pu/img/QEPYcC2B2St2L8Cm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393208857632014338/pu/img/QEPYcC2B2St2L8Cm.jpg
2021-05-14T14:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393207523646140416
@Gtoffo @leSt0rm @MusicAndTruth Distance is the major factor in the vast majority of UFO videos. (e.g. FLIR1, GoFast)

Focus (Pyramid), exposure (Gimbal), and motion blur are other factors. But something like 95% of all UFO cases are TFA.

2021-05-14T14:08:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393206484129894402
Yay! YouTube restored my video explaining why you can get a magnet to stick to your skin.

It was removed for "COVID-19 medical misinformation" when I was actually trying to do the opposite.

I'm 2/3 in appeals now.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xQT8vfwomvs
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1WpGzSVoAAh3Pc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1WpGzSVoAAh3Pc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1WoGoJVkAYkXAE.jpg
2021-05-14T13:57:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393203790451417089
@GrahamGauthier1 It's an excuse that allows you to use very low quality data as if the the low quality itself is somehow evidence.

2021-05-14T07:04:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393099762849619969
@MusicAndTruth @SicCoP1 And yet Fravor could clearly see it from five miles away.

2021-05-14T07:03:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393099618309722113
@UfoSunday Arguable, but, curiously, zero good video.

So we just make "zero good video" an observable, and bam, problem solved!

2021-05-14T07:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393099233209643009

@MusicAndTruth I totally understand that. All they need to do is "determine something is displaying one of those observables"

They can't, so it's all couched in "being hard to observe is evidence that it's real"

Low observability just means you're too far away.

2021-05-14T06:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393098592961785856

@paul59593748 I'm quite cool. See the subsequent discussion.

The five observables have serious issues as some kind of deterministic criteria. And "low observability" is obviously laughable.

Everyone's just too polite to say it.

2021-05-14T06:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393097585041100806

@Juangigante3 @zcichy Assuming they don't have any metal in their body, the simplest explanation is that they have sticky skin. Try it with talc, see what happens.

2021-05-14T06:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393097257763807240

@ElectroDeeJ I also heard people suggest UAPs have an effect on human perception and memory, which explains why eyewitness accounts vary, and hence makes unreliable testimony actually be evidence.

2021-05-14T06:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393095507405283330

@wallacewalden43 I never rule anything out. That's part of my investigation process. I maintain a ranked list of possibilities - based on available evidence. If the evidence changes, the ranking might change.

2021-05-14T06:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393094520087080962

@moozoo_wizard Funny you should say that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393094367309492227/pu/img/MJtWFhTIFn8qQQCe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393094367309492227/pu/img/MJtWFhTIFn8qQQCe.jpg
2021-05-14T06:39:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393093485968777219
@LilPufff Try the baby powder test to be sure.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393093321631752195/pu/img/M26NwAqJvbajJATh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393093321631752195/pu/img/M26NwAqJvbajJATh.jpg
2021-05-14T06:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393092480791310337
@UFOmusings @SicCoP1 Let's say we took out #4, low observability? What we have left are terrible quality observations of the remaining four observables.

But if we take that terrible quality and turn from a problem into a feature, then suddenly terrible quality is a good thing, it's more evidence!!

2021-05-14T06:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393091415425515520

@ZaineMichael1 Would you then argue that what Fravor saw does not meet the five criteria because it was highly observable?

2021-05-14T06:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393090841732808709

@Juangigante3 @zcichy Try the baby powder test. If they are magnetic, then it will still stick after you dust the area with baby powder.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393090675046899714/pu/img/CWnhrUWfcNFaeJ3-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1393090675046899714/pu/img/CWnhrUWfcNFaeJ3-.jpg

2021-05-14T06:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393084146667245570

@ZaineMichael1 You're not really making any sense. The quantum eraser being a thing does not mean "low observability" is a valid descriptor for something you can see from miles away.

What a good example of a
"low observability" UAP?

2021-05-14T05:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393081420579426306

@ZaineMichael1 No.

2021-05-14T05:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393080892113915907

@IanHeller @hydra_cramb I also like to stick to the data. However I feel people are starting to treat the "five observables" as actual data - like "look at all the observation that match this pattern"

Really we have neither the observations, nor a pattern, except for #4 - Low observability.

2021-05-14T05:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393080135264264193

@CJ_Yeremyhu @conterammundi I interviewed Lue, but we only got an hour. Lue initially expressed willingness to come back for hours more, but later had to decline a follow-up because he was too busy.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4

2021-05-14T05:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393079298269933569

@IanHeller @hydra_cramb Okay, I'll reword as "seemingly impossible to capture in any useful way on video"

2021-05-14T05:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393078499380928516

@hydra_cramb @IanHeller how about "actually captured on video"?

2021-05-14T05:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393078384104591361

@IanHeller @hydra_cramb I'm cutting to the chase. Obviously you can capture bouncy lights in the distance on video and call it a UAP.

Has sudden and instantaneous acceleration been captured on video? Not just apparent acceleration, but something we can tell actually IS acceleration?

2021-05-14T05:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393077704769957894

@ZaineMichael1 No, I'm saying that low observability of a large physical object the shape of which can easily be seen with the naked eye from five miles away is a contradiction.

2021-05-14T05:33:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393077066380156928

@IanHeller @hydra_cramb Has sudden and instantaneous acceleration been unequivocally captured on video?

I was paraphrasing to fit in a Tweet. I encourage people to study the originals.

https://www.history.com/news/ufo-sightings-speed-appearance-movement

---

2021-05-14T05:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393076216408596482

@jshkrueger I understand your point. What you consider to be crass (I'm presuming the use of the word "bullshit"), I considered to be speaking plainly. Would you agree that, while crass, it's also speaking plainly?

2021-05-14T05:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393075138992644103

@SicCoP1 I'm basing my assessment on the available evidence.

I'd be happy to change it with new evidence.

But "just wait" isn't an argument.

2021-05-14T05:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393074840777551872

@ZaineMichael1 UAP are, allegedly, large physical object the shape of which can easily be seen with the naked eye from five miles away (Fravor).

So why no photos?

2021-05-14T05:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393073306950008832

@ryan_ha @SilvaRecord Easy to see, but not easy to photo?

2021-05-14T05:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393072536091463685

@SicCoP1 And Mellon said that what we have already is the most compelling videos they have.

Leaders are getting briefed about unidentified airspace incursions and unresolved pilot sightings. I very much doubt that any serious military person is going to bring up the "five observables"

2021-05-14T05:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393071082484076545

@aka__V @conterammundi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4

https://www.history.com/news/ufo-sightings-speed-appearance-movement

---

2021-05-14T05:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393070998400880649

@SilvaRecord Nope, just using effective language.

2021-05-14T05:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393070780737474563

@jshkrueger Sorry, however sometimes you have to speak plainly to ensure people understand. "Bullshit" is very much to the point, and I can elaborate as needed.

Could you suggest another way of framing the point I am making?

2021-05-14T05:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393070358446559233

@aka__V @conterammundi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 It was "do you dispute that there are craft exhibiting the 5 observables?"

2021-05-14T05:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393069952484077575

@SicCoP1 I mean that low observability essentially IS the list. Partly because it's used to justify

the inconvenient fact that there's no strong evidence of any of the others even existing.
But also because they all contain it to some degree, of necessity.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1393068882781347842

2021-05-14T05:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393068882781347842
@hydra_cramb It's pervasive. #1 has "no visible" flight surfaces or means of propulsion
#2 has sudden acceleration that's impossible to capture on video.
#3 has undetectable hypersonic velocity (no boom)
#4 is the observability of lack of observability
#5 is seeming to vanish underwater.

2021-05-14T04:57:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393067882062385154
@blackieattackie I said nothing about threats, I don't think that's relevant here.

2021-05-14T04:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393067720187408389
@conterammundi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 That's nothing to do with it. I was responding to a question on Reddit about the "five observables", and thought I should make my response more public, to work out any kinks.

2021-05-14T04:55:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393067303453937667
@ZaineMichael1 No, not like that at all.

2021-05-14T04:54:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393067194561433608
@SicCoP1 How were they observed if one of the observables was low observability?

And "low observability" isn't just one of the observables, it permeates the list.

2021-05-14T04:49:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393065957086531588
@jshkrueger I am chill and calm, I just thought it was an appropriate descriptor for the list.

I've consistently used the term in similar contexts for many years.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1293627335984308229

2021-05-14T04:43:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393064511188340736
@Pewpew841 I use the word "bullshit" when I find it appropriate. I use it quite frequently on Twitter:

https://twitter.com/search?q=bullshit%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2021-05-14T04:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1393063820638121991
@ufos22336038 @LueElizondo I would be happy to discuss it with him.

2021-05-14T04:31:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393061503037698048
The UFO "five observable" are bullshit.
One of them is: "being hard to observe." They boil down to: "seems oddly fast and maneuverable, maybe hovers, maybe submersible, but too far away to really tell."

2021-05-14T01:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393014951229358083
@NickMadrid16 @BilboFragns @MindfulOfLight @RayJack62496046 @junglist1973 I call it like I see it.

2021-05-14T00:56:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393007213942165507
@Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire Which people?

2021-05-14T00:55:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1393007063307980802
@BilboFragns @NickMadrid16 @MindfulOfLight @RayJack62496046 @junglist1973 Mellon recognizes the need for skeptical people like me, otherwise he'd go around thinking things like this plane were actual UFOs!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J73iTlp3sQY

2021-05-14T00:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392997311584768001
@Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire If it's a new photo of a transmedium craft, then I'll pre-debunk that one with "looks like a large ballon descending to the water, maybe sinking later as the waves rip the payload around"

2021-05-14T00:15:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392996870918606851
@Disclose_UFOUAP Yes, lots of people seem to think it's true, and it contributes to irrational fear of vaccines.

2021-05-14T00:06:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392994577548673025
@TorisCleo I sure did. I'll be good to go in a few days.

2021-05-14T00:05:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392994325886226434
@StratAnalytica Yeah I realized after I did it that I should also have put talc on the pliers and shown that it still sticks.

2021-05-14T00:03:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392994014006153216
@Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire Unless it's Lazar, in which case I probably won't bother.

2021-05-13T23:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392992483768889346

@Unknown88842000 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog @MysteryWire If I remember to check, I'll try for ten minutes.

2021-05-13T23:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392988722442866688

How to test if you (or someone else) is magnetic, using baby powder (talc).

(Hint: probably not)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392988556520419332/pu/img/mb55Zcr5md4h8p5E.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392988556520419332/pu/img/mb55Zcr5md4h8p5E.jpg

2021-05-13T23:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392987212048863234

@carlitopacino11 Like I said, it's like the burning snow craze. Some people believe it. Some people like to try to make viral videos. Some people also like to promote conspiracy theories.

2021-05-13T23:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392984048650182656

@carlitopacino11

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392983840755372032/pu/img/oXN6Ir8pfNabFDX1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392983840755372032/pu/img/oXN6Ir8pfNabFDX1.jpg
2021-05-13T23:22:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392983745603391494
@carlitopacino11 I think there might be a few who have faked it because they want clicks. It happens.

It's very easy to fake a real magnetic attraction with a large magnet in your armpit.

2021-05-13T23:00:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392978180164644864
@carlitopacino11 lotion, sunscreen, natural sebum, sweat.

Find a video where someone dusts their skin and the magnet with baby power, and then THEN sees it stick.

2021-05-13T22:59:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392977809056755717
@carlitopacino11 It's more the physics of friction on an incline plane.

However, the physics of magnets is also useful, because if it's sticking to something that was injected, then it's not going to look like your arm is magnetic.

2021-05-13T21:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392962257680625667
@carlitopacino11 No, it mostly people misunderstanding physics. It's like the burning snow thing that crops up every year.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2021-05-13T20:28:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392939890690588672

@Disclosure89 @bradplumer It's quote easy to give less weight to things that only exist anecdotally.

If I could see the actual data, then I'd study it. But until then I'm not going to be able to conclude much on 2nd or 3rd hand descriptions.

2021-05-13T20:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392935202318524422

@IvanHeadless @SicCoP1 I was 20 years ago. At Neversoft we had free soda, and I was going through about six a day.

Just morning coffee now.

2021-05-13T19:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392931945114324993

@SicCoP1 @ezraklein I don't think it's a huge issue because A) most people don't trust the government anyway and B) it's probably not going to happen because this whole thing has been vastly overblown.

2021-05-13T19:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392930302016724994

@OrdersofM That's just oily skin, maybe with a bit of sunscreen or lotion. Give it a go. Use a dime or a penny, or a lightweight fridge magnet.

https://vimeo.com/548896961

2021-05-13T17:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392899084025962502

@BrianDunning Oh, I'm sure someone will be talking about it in the 22nd century too.

2021-05-13T17:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392898403592409090

In this fascinating breakdown of visual motion illusions, @jcbyts points out that the claim that some illusions are "not moving" is not really true. The EDGES are moving, which makes it seem like the whole thing is moving.

https://jake.vision/blog/motion-illusions

2021-05-13T17:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392896800453849088

@ladyofmontana @reallygraceful A bit of lotion or sunscreen works too - which lots of people put on their skin anyway

---

2021-05-13T17:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392896538339254273

@bradplumer Unfortunately Gideon's writing was a bit subtle, and I think a lot of people took it as rather simplistic validation.

For the military it's really more of a media/PR headache. Of course there's real TS issues of

airspace incursions and unresolved sightings, but probably not ET.

2021-05-13T17:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392895466715504644

@ericbeetner @Punk_Prof Nah, I'm not really punk at all, and while I was making THPS I didn't really recognize the music as punk.

2021-05-13T17:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392895038292586501

@MindfulOfLight @RayJack62496046 @junglist1973 People discuss the available evidence. Isn't that why Elizondo and Mellon got the evidence out there, so people could discuss it?

2021-05-13T17:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392892486675173384

@emmathepony Well, some people actually think stage magicians perform real magic using demonic arts for profit.

There's all kinds of people in the world. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1SMKFAUcAQgSp0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1SMKFAUcAQgSp0.jpg

2021-05-13T17:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392890787625533443

@MindfulOfLight @RayJack62496046 @junglist1973 I just go by the evidence. The goal of debunking isn't to disprove a particular hypothesis, it's to figure out what is actually happening.

2021-05-13T17:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392890296321515521

Most people who claim magnets are sticking to their skin are just confused. It's just oily skin. A

few might be faking it. Most fakes just use oiliness (a bit of sunscreen works fine) But here's how it's possible to do a convincing fake, using a magician's magnet.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392889662406942722/pu/img/c1RPDgtzH_9psyeA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1392889662406942722/pu/img/c1RPDgtzH_9psyeA.jpg
2021-05-13T16:54:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392885904188973057
A more detailed look at the (very simple) science behind "magnetic" people.

It's all about being slightly stickier, and getting the angle right. Zero to do with magnets.

https://sciencebasedlife.wordpress.com/2012/03/14/are-there-really-magnetic-people/

2021-05-13T16:45:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392883762103341056
@uaphere @TeamYouTube Exactly, but of course I was demonstrating the exact opposite.

2021-05-13T16:45:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392883614749106179
@Joe_Gonzilla Any smooth light object will stick to you arm if there's just a small amount of oil on the skin - like, if you put on a normal amount of sunscreen or lotion.

2021-05-13T16:16:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392876466975625216
@TCskywatch @fman123 @TeamYouTube They are not coming for me. They just have a crappy system.

2021-05-13T16:15:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392876148070051840
@GlasgowLench @zazzafrazz @TeamYouTube "COVID-19 medical misinformation"

2021-05-13T15:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392864475305320449
https://vimeo.com/548896961

2021-05-13T15:16:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392861324430888960
@TCskywatch @fman123 @TeamYouTube Well, since my video was 100% factually accurate, it feels like a robot made a mistake, which is annoying.

2021-05-13T15:14:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392860876768649218
@CaulfieldTim @crackedscience I made a video explaining why this was bunk, but YouTube removed it for "Medical Misinformation". It's still on Facebook.
https://www.facebook.com/Metabunk/posts/4283529161671551

2021-05-13T14:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392855646907748359
@fman123 @TeamYouTube Spreading anti-vaccine disinformation - which of course I wasn't.

2021-05-13T14:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392844993748869126
@Fiddaman @WitnessCitizen No, I don't remember reading about abductions. It was essentially like monster stories. Claws reaching out in the dark is the image I remember.

It's fascinating that some people think this is relevant to UFO analysis.

2021-05-13T14:07:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392843885114642435
While my magnet video is (hopefully) being reviewed by human censors, here's James Randi debunking the effect, many years ago.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OTVWMY8EZCA

2021-05-13T14:05:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392843390388035589
Another debunking video removed by @TeamYouTube's automated censors.

I was explaining (and demonstrating) the simple science of how slightly oily skin can create the "magnet" effect.

I've appealed, and hopefully it will be restored soon.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1392712419726688257

2021-05-13T06:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392733237596753920
@LazyTown31 Plasco?

2021-05-13T06:21:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392726649834151939
@DREESE37417987 @keithbaldrey It's not related to the vaccine, just how oily your skin is, and

the angle of your arm.
It's not magnetic either, non-magnetic coins will stick.
Explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xQT8vfwomvs

2021-05-13T06:00:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392721268768591872
@LazyTown31 Squibs actually show compressed air. You can do the math to demonstrate it's not explosives.

Hey, are you familiar with the "spire" - half the core remained standing for a few seconds after the exterior was stripped away. Why is that?

2021-05-13T05:26:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392712820718927875
@LazyTown31 I've done plenty of research. Here I built a model that demonstrates how the small top portion of a structure can destroy the lower part.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=flo62pdaIMI

2021-05-13T05:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392712419726688257
A magnet stuck to my arm after I got vaccinated! Am I now magnetic?

Hey, it also stuck to my nose!

Getting things to stick to you is a very simple magician's trick - repurposed to promote silly coronavirus vaccine microchip conspiracies.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xQT8vfwomvs

2021-05-13T04:04:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392692178355253251
@JeremyUnidenti1 @Phlark1 Itâ□□s a classic trick. People even fool themselves into thinking itâ□□s true. Just slightly sticky skin and the correct angle.

2021-05-13T03:58:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392690786785841153
@WitnessCitizen I waited until I was 10, then they went away.

2021-05-13T03:55:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392689996864266240
@ManningMarta No.

2021-05-13T03:53:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392689437503459332
@LazyTown31 Yes it can.

2021-05-12T21:18:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392589995030966273

@jshkrueger It's a prime example. i bring it up quite often as an example of failure by experts.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-highly-trained-expert-fallacy-counterexamples.11308/

2021-05-12T20:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392584810363715591

There's something endlessly fascinating about civil engineering failures. It's a good job this one was spotted in time. I wonder how long it's been like that.

https://twitter.com/AlexBurch_/status/1392540161058168837

2021-05-12T20:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392582051086290948

@rgh_ufos Not really, as I've not seen it really being raised as compelling evidence. Maybe Vallee's book with start something.

2021-05-12T20:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392577087655923712

@discernment_dr Probably. Eventually.

2021-05-12T19:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392563216249593858

@Disclosure89 @LuAngeles @JackFrostvc Not that I know of.

2021-05-12T18:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392546428044648450

@Tim_Le_Fig @LuAngeles @alpha_check @JackFrostvc I've never talked to Fravor. I would be happy to, but I don't think he wants to.

2021-05-12T18:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392546152763977731

@james4125 @lesliekean One guy gets footage of UFOs consistently on multiple nights, while tens of thousands of people fail to notice anything?

Yalcin is connected to button UFO hoaxer Antonio Urzi, and Jaime Maussan.

2021-05-12T18:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392544581170827268

@alpha_check @LuAngeles @JackFrostvc No worries. In fact the more experts you talk to, the better!

2021-05-12T18:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392543198929514497

@Ps5andxbox1 @esaagar I need to make a FAQ video :)

2021-05-12T17:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392539961581195265

@LuAngeles @JackFrostvc Hurry up, I'm going to forget what we were arguing about soon.

2021-05-12T17:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392539856425799682

@james4125 @lesliekean The Turkey footage is neither genuine nor clear.

2021-05-12T17:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392539605656752130

@Captain60850040 @blackvaultcom There's a few videos of it happening. Thought they might be staged.

There are all kinds of people out there who are allowed to drive cars.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XRjNdgAetQE

2021-05-12T17:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392538368718696451

@esaagar To clarify the "just UFO fans" comment: I'm referring to the "aliens" aspect, not the real UAP issue of unidentified airspace incursions and pilot sightings

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5stjbueE9Sg

2021-05-12T16:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392521430437306368

@aka__V @ddeanjohnson That's the Costa Rican Lake Cote UFO, regarded by some as the greatest UFO photo of all time.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/1971-lake-cote-lago-de-cote-ufo-aerial-photo.11729/

2021-05-12T16:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392520891678875648

@WxFrisk There is a shortage, but prices have risen enough to reduce demand to the level where we don't get constant empty shelves.

2021-05-12T16:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392512284056977411

UFO Phenomenon nostalgia. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1MxTm2VkAUPvAE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1MxTm2VkAUPvAE.jpg

2021-05-12T16:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392510779803389953

@Cosmic_Mystery0 Here's the view out of my kitchen window.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1MxDpNVcAgpz3t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1MxDpNVcAgpz3t.jpg

2021-05-12T15:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392509164606287876

@ddeanjohnson Since 1975 my reading choices have greatly improved.

2021-05-12T15:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392505942890012677

I think this might be the first book I read on UFOs, back in 1975. I love how the cover has a classic "reflection of recessed ceiling lights" image, probably unironically.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1Mr4svVkAAvck0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1Mr4svVkAAvck0.jpg
2021-05-12T15:29:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392502279203278850
@Fiddaman @Altouiz That didn't scare me, I was older when that came out. It was just super interesting. By then I was into computer programming.

What scared me was a book on Kellyâ□□Hopkinsville, and other events, which I read when I was maybe 7 or 8. I was more scared of spiders the next year.

2021-05-12T15:24:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392500934542979076
@ThorntonVallery @merooroger I didn't say it lacks evidence, I said: "there isn't really any very good evidence for it"

The simplest "good evidence" would be two reasonable quality videos from independent sources that could be triangulated to show "impossible" maneuvers.

2021-05-12T15:19:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392499597201735685
@Disclose_UFOUAP @SBrowneITF We all want to know the truth, but some people think it's probably aliens, and they like that idea, so that's the truth they prefer.

A better word would UFO "aficionado". I use "fan" as a more broadly understood term.

2021-05-12T15:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392498918156488708
@WILDBILLWILL86 @nomdeguerre_ @JeremyCorbell No real "beef" with Jeremy, but I find it a bit annoying he doesn't really ever address any of the points I raise. Like I have a reasonable hypothesis about GoFast being a balloon, and he just goes on (incorrectly) about it being too cold to be a bird!

2021-05-12T15:12:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392497904812576773
@WILDBILLWILL86 @LurkerClyde @nomdeguerre_ Why would I mention something that isn't in the video, and is not known to relate to the events in the video, when I'm just analyzing the video?
That's like confusing Fravor's account with Underwood's video. Two different events.

2021-05-12T07:57:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392388472774615040
@ResearchUap @II_Enix_II @ChristopherKMe4 It really wouldn't take that much. Just a couple of good videos of the same super-maneuvering thing from two angles and independent sources.

2021-05-12T07:49:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392386434565169154
@WILDBILLWILL86 @nomdeguerre_ @JeremyCorbell Did Corbell wait?

I address claims people make about the videos. If they wait, I'll wait.

2021-05-12T06:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392363053564399617
@CryptoGeek1177 @hersito @ExploringLife42 @lesliekean Seems unlikely, but seeing the original negative would certainly be helpful.

2021-05-12T06:13:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392362337399578625

@LazyTown31 There's definitely theories. The article describes a couple of them.

2021-05-12T05:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392347878492758018

Conspiracy theories about the rise in price of lumber.

Viewing well stocked shelves of a high turnover good in during a shortage as suspicious neglects the influence of price on demand.

https://www.thedailybeast.com/a-new-crazy-conspiracy-on-the-right-has-people-filming-wood

2021-05-12T04:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392341791106494467

@nomdeguerre_ I'm not afraid of that. It would be very interesting.

2021-05-12T04:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392330725425172480

@magnabosco @ABKinSTL How about "Belief in a God requires the belief that life is not an illusion"

2021-05-12T03:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392328414460141568

@SBrownelTF So it might be alien?

2021-05-12T03:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392326911645261825

@SBrownelTF You know itâ□□s not alien?

2021-05-12T03:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392325578997395456

@SBrownelTF No rules, it just helpful if you want to effectively communicate. Your call.

2022-08-03T19:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554913933424619520

https://twitter.com/Gerard_McCarthy/status/494207157018304513

2022-08-03T19:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554913162213744645

RT @NoLieWithBTC: My God. Arizona Republicans just nominated Mark Finchem, a QAnon supporter, election denier, Oath Keeper milita member, aâ□¦

2022-08-03T19:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554912313311825920

Jacques Vallee seems to have been studying hairdryer burns and theorizing that they are messages from another dimension.

(h/t The Paisley Wave, in the comments https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PeSe0BDtPMA)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQnuNDVsAAYGGX.jpg



Fig. 1 Burn with hair dryer on abdomen (case 12)

The back (n=7 injuries), upper extremities (n=9 inju- **Fig. 2**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQnuNDVsAAYGGX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQnp0MVsAA-3KN.jpg

2022-08-03T19:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554908307357253632

@ralphdamato I'm not laughing at them. Well, maybe a few of the Falt Earth charlatans. But most believers are quite serious.

2022-08-03T19:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554907990393622528

@FullStackLogan So pick one chemtrail video you think can't be debunked.

What if they have all been debunked? Would that change anything for you?

2022-08-03T18:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554903232417148928

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 Can you say how it does change, and why? Can you draw a graph?

You should be able to demonstrate what you mean by adjusting the elevation at points of the video.

Tip: click on the number next to Tweaks/el Start, and use up/down arrow keys for fine adjustment.

2022-08-03T18:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554901494440153088

@lbf_tweet @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 There the green line is the bank angle indicatoer, the red and blue lines are extracted bloud motion angles, with the red one (OpenCV) probably the more accurate.

Unfortunately, I lost interest in that aspect. MIght revisit later.

2022-08-03T18:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554901087743643648

@lbf_tweet @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 I did some analysis of the difference in bank angle display and cloud motion angle a while back. It was never really resolved. It's interesting that the cloud motion angle (which I extracted with two methods) is more continuous over the first half (ignoring noise) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQeSTDUEAAzLIt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQeSTDUEAAzLIt.jpg

2022-08-03T18:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554895141332144128

@Patrick40628202 @LueElizondo Perhaps you could document a good example of this effect. The one you posted looks like some smoke in a beam of light.

2022-08-03T18:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554892214513254400

Funny seeing a video I debunked in 2007 still making the rounds. It's like the next generation is discovering it once more.

Recurrence like this is inevitable in conspiracy theories, which is why debunking needs to be persistent.

https://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

https://twitter.com/FullStackLogan/status/1554701028666138624

2022-08-03T18:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554891618062200833

@FullStackLogan @CanesReign I think you need to read my website:

https://contrailscience.com/

Start with this one (from 2007), which addresses that video in depth. Let me know what you think.

https://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

2022-08-03T18:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554890472354508802

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 Because of the shallow angle. Altitude is proportional to the sin of the angle, distance to the cosine (plus a wee bit of Earth's curvature's involvement)

What you describe is just the inevitable result of something being far away

---

2022-08-03T16:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554873860675084288

@lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @the_cholla And "banking" referred to turning, not climbing. There are distant paths that are pretty much straight, constant speed (that's the constraint here) and level, only slight banking would be needed - irrelevant to the glare hypothesis.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQFhLLVQAA6p_Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FZQFhLLVQAA6p_Q.jpg
2022-08-03T16:40:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554869875780112385
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 Cloud layers are generally flat, the curve there looks like an artifact of the stitching process.

2022-08-03T16:36:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554868834028244992
@DavidJayB @EireScientist @pa23081335 @CuriousUap @GarryPNolan It's not a case of hitting the wrong key. I misspelled his name. It was an accident. I'm a bit vowel-blind. It was not intentional and would very much go against my own politeness rules. Kaku isn't crazy, just wrong.

2022-08-03T04:54:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554692268233150467
@CanesReign @FullStackLogan Weather modification, cloud seeding, is not geoengineering, and it does not leave trails.

2022-08-03T00:36:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554627284107005952
@CloudJimi That UFOs stay in the LIZ.

2022-08-02T21:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554583401289527296
@CharlesMasonic @GarryPNolan Nobody pays me, sadly (other than a small amount for a few minor TV appearances). If someone wants to pay me big bucks to investigate UFO videos, then I'll be all ears.

2022-08-02T17:41:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554522696687312896
@KlavsPeder @InducedPoetry @borisyeltzin @GarryPNolan I do sometimes make people feel bad. But that's not what I'm trying to do, and it's something I actively try to avoid.
My goal is to figure stuff out. But if people ask me my option on something, then I try to honestly say what that opinion is.

2022-08-02T15:50:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554494869170573313
@TheUfoJoe I'm always happy to have a polite chat to clear the air.

2022-08-02T15:48:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554494373621944320
What happened to Hypergiant's 2020 "CONTACT: Contextually Organized Non Terrestrial Active Capture Tool"? Did it just fizzle out? Canceled for lack of justification? Rebranded? Acquired? Went into stealth mode?
https://www.vice.com/en/article/z3bqkj/ufo-satellite-ai-hypergiant-industries

---

2022-08-02T14:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554471339406561282
@mspacein @UFOApostle @FullStackLogan Possible for that one. More photos would resolve it.

2022-08-02T14:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554470806235021316
@mspacein @UFOApostle @FullStackLogan Not floating in the air

---

2022-08-02T13:13:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554455360634638336
@OzarksUap If it's something that can be easily repeated, then you don't need me. Just video it.

2022-08-02T13:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554452918727630848
@UFOApostle @FullStackLogan But, obviously, I don't know.

2022-08-02T13:03:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554452718369918977
@UFOApostle @FullStackLogan I think it's something like a high-school project, a model made to look like Sputnik/Telstar. It does seem to drift in the wind consistent with being tethered.

2022-08-02T12:43:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1554447674509733889
@UFOApostle @FullStackLogan I agree. Not CGI, so a balloon seems plausible.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014-probably-a-ballon-model.11375/

2022-08-02T12:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554446813905620993

RT @Rainmaker1973: It looks like a very fast moonwalk, but it's just an optical illusion created by the peculiar place, Salar the Uyuni, Boâ¦

2022-08-02T00:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554270464515588097

@EireScientist @GarryPNolan That was a typo, Iâ□ve never noticed it before.

2022-08-01T23:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554245481257058304

@GeorgeT96559261 Actually, it's not SWIR, it's NIR, just a modified P900. I forgot there was a NIR/SWIR distinction.

2022-08-01T18:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554169047196921856

@aka__V @TChatary Frequency analyzer, TriField meter, FLIR camera, SWIR camera, GPS diagnostic app, various other cameras, binoculars, flashlights

---

2022-08-01T16:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554148062305538049

@TChatary That's the plan.

2022-08-01T16:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554145955695378432

About my trip to Skinwalker Ranch - I've accepted Brandon's invitation and I would like to visit, but the current workload at the ranch makes scheduling difficult. Hopefully something can be worked out in the future. https://twitter.com/BrandonFugal/status/1554141420965040128

2022-08-01T14:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554105791417790464

@BrandonFugal @TheUFOTrail Can I see that specific data?

2022-08-01T13:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1554103351494602753

@NicholasJTerry @SicCoP1 @GarryPNolan Such as?

2022-08-01T06:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553990295573782528

@RobHeatherly1 So point out a specific example.

2022-07-31T15:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553757810051076096

@UnpluggedUser @Munook @MusicAndTruth @conterammundi I'm not sure that's fair, as I actually do a lot of work in analyzing many cases, sometimes over several years.

I occasionally muse about things, but that's hardly passing judgment.

2022-07-31T13:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553737261132288000

@mrchrisgeorge Not me, but those are oil rig flares. Iâ□□ve discussed it on TV and will eventually Sitrec it.

2022-07-31T13:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553736244059787265

@Cyrus60974589 @chrisfrench83 @AdamGoldsack @gillibrandny Thatâ□□s not a theory that I have proposed. Who is supposed to be doing the â□□trickingâ□□ in this context?

2022-07-31T04:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553601605345234944

@orbservingeye @JoeJoe99323618 @mrjeffknox @WildSlunksauce @MGjorwell @skinwalker_luke

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/recreating-the-gimbals-fleet-on-the-sa-page-with-sitrec.12431/

2022-07-31T04:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553598412997607424

@orbservingeye @JoeJoe99323618 @mrjeffknox @WildSlunksauce @MGjorwell @skinwalker_luke And then syncing that with the video.

2022-07-31T04:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553597884699852801

@orbservingeye @JoeJoe99323618 @mrjeffknox @WildSlunksauce @MGjorwell @skinwalker_luke Interpreting his various descriptions.

2022-07-30T22:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553513439703678976

@JoeJoe99323618 @mrjeffknox @WildSlunksauce @MGjorwell @skinwalker_luke Well, now I'm trying to incorporate it, as we have a more specific (but still ambiguous) description from Graves. New data, new analysis.

2022-07-30T21:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553493546816049153

RT @SlickRockWeb: This was one thread at the time trying to document this and uncover what was going on with the #WaronFakes #disinfo httpsâ□¦

2022-07-30T20:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553478517471395840

@lbf_tweet @AtWhatIsWrong @Oswald1160 @pa23081335 Oops, yes

2022-07-30T20:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553477027407093760

@AtWhatIsWrong @Oswald1160 @pa23081335 RNG is line of sight distance. The numbers above are projected onto a horizontal plane.

This is all a bit out of date, as the better sim is now Sitrec. However the GoFast portion is still WIP

http://localhost/sitrec/dist/index.html?sitch=gofast

2022-07-30T19:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553468241694973952

@BoydMichaelE @markocheese @Eyes_OnTheSkies @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 Nothing is teleporting, there's no wormholes in space. It's where magnetic fields cross, so you can trace a field line through them. Portal just refers to it being kind of a hole in the magnetosphere. "Hole" is actually way more accurate than "portal" - at least in pop-sci usage

---

2022-07-30T17:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553433703497445376

@AtWhatIsWrong @pa23081335 @Oswald1160 The only numbers we have are the numbers on-screen on the ATFLIR, and those numbers show that GoFast is moving slowly.

2022-07-30T15:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553404538861760512

@Oswald1160 @AtWhatIsWrong It's not even that complicated. I showed the actual math on CNN a while back. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY7NBbqVsAIu6xy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY7NBbqVsAIu6xy.jpg

2022-07-30T14:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553394420698927104

@EithneAine Well I donâ□□t know what it is. So itâ□□s unidentified to me. Someone might know, and thatâ□□s hard to rule out. But as far as we know itâ□□s unidentified.

2022-07-30T14:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553393854027407361

@marcbel19406167 @tgflynn314 @UAP_Therapist But here thousands of people did not see something, which is good evidence it was not there.

2022-07-30T12:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553354494775263233

@Oswald1160 @AtWhatIsWrong Closing velocity is labeled Vc. She's not actually doing any real analysis there. Just fell for the parallax illusion.

2022-07-30T12:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553353916892401664

@paige_skiba @gelbach It's an interesting idea. But the actual number of UFO sightings seems far too small to have any real effect. I've not looked at the paper in depth, though, as it's a bit complicated for so early in the morning :)

2022-07-30T12:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553352965997481984

@marcbel19406167 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist It would be a start, but you can also take video.

2022-07-30T12:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553352793204748288

@marcbel19406167 @UAP_Therapist In the middle of New York city, outside their window, and nobody else saw it.

So it seems more likely it wasn't there.

2022-07-30T05:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553252138075049985

@ChaseHoward97 @DavidWh98671538 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist It is a bright dot. So you'd focus on recording its motion, preferably with some context and ideally with triangulation.

Most UAP are not glowing balls of plasma though.

2022-07-30T05:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553248372609277953

@ChaseHoward97 @DavidWh98671538 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-acronym-defnitions.11742/

2022-07-30T05:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553246981639680001

@ChaseHoward97 @DavidWh98671538 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist We can identify most objects. Some objects are just TFA, and in the LIZ.

2022-07-30T05:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553246632057004033

@centauri4 @IamDrVenkman @etroll451 @JasonColavito Why not? Everything matches.

2022-07-30T05:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553246020858826752

@centauri4 @IamDrVenkman @etroll451 @JasonColavito Clouds are also cold, and black.

The ADS-B track matches.

2022-07-30T04:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553237660952453121

@DavidWh98671538 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist UFOs are sometimes seen in daylight, and low.

2022-07-30T03:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553225466856624128

@3rdCarney @DreadPirateDavy @theGuffa @DoubleDumas @badibulgator @Jizzle9011 @thesejustwords @RealAceFox1 @GordonSBrooks @ThatGuyM88 @Biased_Lemmings @hombreingles @Mysterio19641 @JMichaelsonUT @keigh_see @P_L_A_Y_E_R_01 @RCgothic @MentalsFun @surfpurzel @umfpt @praezident @JoeEason1 @world_a_stage @sly_sparkane @Grizzified2 @SolarElectrics @PrismPrime @ken_caudle @Freddie123110 @wired_dave @GloberZune @Shared_facts @WarDogs44 @DougBannatyne @LogicalReterg @globeflattener @SpaceIsntFake @polarisiskey @jrcgarry @carlos_castillo @earthisaglobe @AustralisPiper @TheNorthern0ne @Faded_Cobra @Spencer99972444 @Alvaro_Marqu3s @cybernws @NtHenning @Brian314159265 @ScamNayshYall Also super hot. You see the glare at the start? See the star shape of glare?

2022-07-30T03:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553224454582329344

@DavidWh98671538 @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist I just have an iPhone and I get quite recognizable photos of planes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY4paL8VsAEVrQR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY4paL8VsAEVrQR.jpg

2022-07-29T23:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553160947979628545

@DreadPirateDavy @3rdCarney @theGuffa @DoubleDumas @badibulgator @Jizzle9011 @thesejustwords @RealAceFox1 @GordonSBrooks @ThatGuyM88 @Biased_Lemmings @hombreingles @Mysterio19641 @JMichaelsonUT @keigh_see @P_L_A_Y_E_R_01 @RCgothic @MentalsFun @surfpurzel @umfpt @praezident @JoeEason1 @world_a_stage @sly_sparkane

@Grizzified2 @SolarElectrics @PrismPrime @ken_caudle @Freddie123110 @wired_dave @GloberZune @Shared_facts @WarDogs44 @DougBannatyne @LogicalReterg @globeflattener @SpaceIsntFake @polarisiskey @jrcgarry @carlos_castillo @earthisaglobe @AustralisPiper @TheNorthern0ne @Faded_Cobra @Spencer99972444 @Alvaro_Marqu3s @cybernws @NtHenning @Brian314159265 @ScamNayshYall Jim are you saying the Gimbal video has no heat source? Why does it show up as hot then? So hot that it's creating glare.

2022-07-29T23:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553160495690969089

@JoeJoe99323618 @mrjeffknox @WildSlunksauce @MGjorwell @skinwalker_luke I'm not ignoring it at all. The rotating glare theory does not say WHAT causes the glare. The distant jet theory does (to a degree), and I've been trying to incorporate the description of the SA page into Sitrec, but it's proved a bit hard to nail down.

2022-07-29T23:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553159389338411008

@KlavsPeder @dividendless @RobHeatherly1 Neither of those is anything like things I have said or positions I hold. I'm quite explicit in saying what I think.

2022-07-29T22:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553148890781667328

@KlavsPeder @mrjeffknox @AndrewP71392714 I'm specifically saying that I do not think that Fravor saw a seagull when engaging with the Tic-Tac.

I do not think that was a seagull, or any other kind of bird.

2022-07-29T18:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553081494578466817

@vancecrofoot @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @BrentlyLee @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern Yeah, clusters of five that moved down the screen. Sounds glitch-like - which is what he thought at first. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2nYriVEAAg1k3.jpg

---



Kevin Day 23:31

If we would have just ignored it. And we would have launched all these groups of aircraft and one of our guys hit one of those objects. We could have gotten in a lot of trouble. That's what I told him right? Not safe, we need to figure out what this is. So we can either avoid it or cancel the exercise.

Mick West 23:51

Yeah. Because at that point, you are you are planning you know, you you are going to launch all of these planes. And we're going to be doing these simulated dogfights and things like that.

Kevin Day 24:02

Yeah, right. right about that altitude too.

Mick West 24:05

So before that point, so before that point, you You didn't consider it a danger to the to the fleet. It wasn't like a

Kevin Day 24:14

not at all, no, not even a little bit.

Mick West 24:17

And, and you still, I guess you're still thinking it might be radar glitches at that point.

Kevin Day 24:24

I totally thought that that is what it was. leading up to that whole, leading up to that day. I either thought it was something entirely civilian related. I didn't even know we were down there. None of our business type of thing. Or I thought it was some sort of system malfunction.

Mick West 24:42

Right.

Kevin Day 24:43

I definitely wasn't thinking UFOs, trust me on that. That was Yeah, wasn't really my thought process at the time who I would have laughed back, if someone would have said that. I would have laughed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2nYriVEAAg1k3.jpg
2022-07-29T18:11:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1553080707622248448
@BrentlyLee @vancecrofoot @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern The problem being is that he specifically said there was "no commercial airline out right there" and agreed when I said "there's not a lot of traffic"

NBD, except it being a fake quote, and inaccurate.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2mRg3UYAE69Gm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2mRg3UYAE69Gm.jpg

2022-07-29T17:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553077312878288896

@vancecrofoot @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @BrentlyLee @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern Why don't you just quote what he actually said? I can't tell what you are referring to. "There's all kind of aircraft off the coast" is not the same as "thereâ□□s heavy air traffic in that area all the time"

2022-07-29T17:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553076270371442688

@AtticusFinch_JD @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist No.

2022-07-29T17:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553075519498833920

@orbservingeye "an alien tea party in the style of M. C. Escher"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2h6d8UYAArUun.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2h6d8UYAArUun.jpg

2022-07-29T16:57:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553062072757264387

@orbservingeye About surreal art? I'm a Hieronymus Bosch, Dali, and Escher kinda guy.

2022-07-29T16:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553061138887090176

@BrianSzy_PF @vancecrofoot @EssentialBusin7 @BrentlyLee @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern I didn't release it as a podcast, due to the audio quality. But there that transcript, which I also added as subtitles (CC) to the video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv9iKw_Q9xQ

2022-07-29T16:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553060564783341569

@BrianSzy_PF @vancecrofoot @EssentialBusin7 @BrentlyLee @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern It's posted, just really needs subtitles, or the transcript (which you can play with the audio)

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjaulHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

2022-07-29T16:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553060326978904064
@vancecrofoot @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @BrentlyLee @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern Day never said that to me. He wasn't initially concerned, but he said "there is no commercial airline out right there"

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2T4TtVUAAC6Xl.jpg



Mick West 16:34

Okay. Did you? I mean, I guess you were trying to figure out what they were at the time and you thought balloons might have been a thing? I think you mentioned that at one point.

Kevin Day 16:47

Yeah, I was. I was. I either thought it was some sort of a system error or something civilian related, like balloons. And they didn't even know we were down there. I wasn't even remotely thinking aliens just so you know. And at the time, I wasn't even thinking UFOs, it just wasn't what I was thinking. I'm pretty skeptical myself. Yeah,

Mick West 17:19

Did it look like it was kind of artificial. I mean, you described it like, like snow, drifting down the screen, which almost makes it look like sounds like something that's overlaid on top of the screen rather than something that's really there.

Kevin Day 17:33

They behave like normal context, in terms of symbology and stuff. Just another contact I had. It wasn't commercial airliners. I know that. When you mentioned maps, I got on my display I can display all the commercial airline routes. There is no commercial airline out right there.

Mick West 17:51

Yeah. Yeah, there's not a lot of traffic. I mean, I've looked that up. And you just occasionally get like a business jet coming from from Mexico, or South America. And usually they're going overland rather than over the water in that area.

Kevin Day 18:09

Right. Unless they are going east-west

Mick West 18:11

Yeah, obviously, you do get some going TO to Asia and Hawaii from places. But yeah, so I guess like the, you would have had like, some kind of military operations area defined there for the fleet. And you have a NOTAM like a notice to airmen telling people to stay away. Do you remember like, when they started, I guess they would have been outside that area. Did they remain outside the area?

Kevin Day 18:43

No, they traveled right through the Southern California operating area. When I see them offset peddling, of course, it's right up that's outside of the military operating area. But as you get down the coast guard right about San Clemente. That's when it turns into a military operating.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2T161VsAACDQn.jpg



Mick West 7:53

No, no, I don't think so. I mean, I got from my, from everybody, I've talked to me, I haven't talked to that many people. But I've listened to a lot of the interviews, I talked to Gary Voorhis, and talked to a few people kind of online, just chatting. And I think everybody that I know of is giving their honest recollection and their honest interpretation of what they saw on those days.

Kevin Day 8:21

I know I can speak for myself, I know I am. And I want to, I still want to make this ID ???. That was my whole job was to identify everything that flew. And I have yet to make this ID and I still want to.

Mick West 8:36

So, so what you saw, like what was the very first time you saw something that was strange.

Kevin Day 8:43

It was on or about the fourth of November, I was up on watch, it was the evening watch, probably at 8pm at night 2000 in military time. And I notice, I believe there is five contacts the first time I saw it right off the coast of Catalina. They were at 28,000 feet. And in some way, they caught my attention, because they were going 100 knots, which is really slow for something that high in the sky. Wasn't, we didn't have the airwing onboard the carrier yet, on board the Nimitz. We were down off, getting ready for the air wing to to arrive in a couple days. And I wasn't really concerned from a defense perspective, because as far as I knew this was something completely civilian related. And they didn't even know we were down there. They had no idea we were even there. So it was something that I started to monitor at that point, just because the permissions were so stringent. And again at this time, at this point, I didn't I wasn't aware that the Ballistic Missile Defense guys had tracked these things from outer space. I had no idea about that. It was above my clearance, basically above my security clearance.

Mick West 9:51

So when you see these things, you know that 28,000 feet 100 knots off the coast of Catalina how does that actually show up on you? Are your radar screen or your display screen,

Kevin Day 10:04

we very seldom look at raw radar, everything is , if I get a contact or a symbol that comes up in our system's up automatically. For the most part, I'm ??? at things using all of our systems and sensors and it'll create a symbol on the scope. And it's based on the sine wave like, part of the sine wave, there's an air contact, the whole, the whole thing of

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY2T4TtVUAAC6Xl.jpg

2022-07-29T16:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553057636538429440

@AtWhatIsWrong What effect would the temperature have on anything? What about the temperature disqualifies a balloon - given that we know balloons can fly much higher?

2022-07-29T16:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553051616315510784

@PThegermandude @anon_et Jokes are fine. It's when people say in all seriousness that I dismissed Fravor's sighting as a seagull. That's a problem.

2022-07-29T16:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553051253155909632

@UAP_Therapist @WSN_WorldSpace People describe seeing things that they should also be able to photograph or video.

It's understandable that not every encounter is photographed well. But ZERO?

How lucky are these NHIs? How long can it last?

2022-07-29T15:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553045043472003072

@retromastertoys @JasonColavito @GarryPNolan Peer review is a red herring. Demanding

everything be peer-reviewed is both hypocritical and a distraction.

Facts, data, math, analysis. You can check these things for yourself.

2022-07-29T14:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553032335762739202

@AtWhatIsWrong What temperature? And why would it pop ANY balloon (let alone a weather balloon, which is specifically designed to fly in air that's <-40Â°)

2022-07-29T14:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553031194760994816

@AtticusFinch_JD @MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist I think it's unlikely to get a close-up of an NHI-powered craft, there's no good evidence of such a craft.

It may be a reinforcement of the absence of evidence, but that will take years. A decade maybe.

But if that's what Thiel, or whoever, wants to spend money on, go for it.

2022-07-29T14:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553029906505682945

@AtWhatIsWrong @anon_et Seagulls do sometimes fly over my house, both individually and in quite dramatic flocks. Sometimes they are far away. Sometimes they seem to flash as they wheel in the sun. Sometimes their wings are invisible. This is not a suggestion about any particular case. Just data.

2022-07-29T14:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553028363987562496

Dall-E, "gothic oil painting of an alien stuck in mud with another alien trying to help it out"

15 seconds drawing time for all four. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY12224UsAAXtVE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FY12224UsAAXtVE.jpg
2022-07-29T13:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1553016204322693120
@Daily_Sinner @UAPmike An increasingly irreligious county, which is a good thing.

https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2019/10/17/in-u-s-decline-of-christianity-continues-at-rapid-pace/

2022-07-29T13:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1553014049868132352
@MarvinHalT1000 @UAP_Therapist Obviously itâ□□s not THAT difficult as we have literally billions of clear pictures of things in the sky.

2022-07-29T13:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1553006503577075713
@UAPGodz @RyanDBledsoe @PostDisclosure Just a clickbait video. Drone seems possible as they removed the audio. Fake seems possible with the lack of any clear provenance.

2022-07-29T12:58:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1553002022827200512

@JKWi60572982 People make this joke all the time. It's wrong. I'd LOVE to get some good evidence of a genuine NHI. I'm not opposed to good evidence. I'm opposed to polishing and parading shitty evidence.

2022-07-29T12:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1553001378657685505

@UAP_Therapist The issue isn't the absence of photos of something of unknown frequency. It's the absence of photos of something that people frequently claim to see with their naked eyes when billions of people have cameras with them at all times.

Always in the LIZ. That's a clue.

2022-07-29T12:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552999752991576064

@NicholasJTerry Perhaps you could suggest how the point might be better made? What would you do?

2022-07-29T12:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552998726095949825

@markocheese @BoydMichaelE @Eyes_OnTheSkies @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 A portal in SWR speak is something that transports between different locations in spacetime or even different "dimensions" or planes of existence without regard for conventional physics.

NASA's portal is just where the magnetic fields cross. Silly name for a flux transfer event.

2022-07-29T12:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552990830331191297

@BlueshiftProjex @dannyn424 @DaveFalch I don't FOIA because John Greenewald already has that aspect covered.

2022-07-29T05:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552885570367410176

@orbservingeye @callmebrent93 It was this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYz09ZFUEAABi4M.jpg

One of these unexplained stories is the tale of the Kentucky Glowing Man. Late one evening in 1955 a farmer was standing outside his farmhouse in a remote part of Kentucky when he saw a flying saucer land behind some trees. He went inside and told his family. Nobody would believe his story. An hour later the family were alerted by one of their dogs which was barking angrily. Two men went outside to investigate and they found what they later described as 'a small glowing man with large red eyes' walking towards the house. This strange sight scared the farmers, but as they were carrying rifles they began to shoot at their luminous intruder. A metallic sound told them that they had hit their target and they stopped firing, but the glowing man seemed unharmed and simply 'floated away into some trees'. The two men went back into the house and bolted all the doors. A few moments later the glowing man peered in through one of the farmhouse windows. The two farmers rushed outside to chase him off, but their visitor had vanished. They searched all round the outbuildings, and suddenly one of the men felt a tap on his head. He looked up and saw a claw-like hand reaching down towards him from a low roof.

The men fled back to the house, packed the family into two cars, and drove off to the local police station to seek help. The police went up to the farm but, in spite of a very thorough search, they could find no trace of the unwelcome guest. The story remains a complete and baffling mystery. What do you think? Was it a hoax?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYz08ymVEAIOHNy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYz09ZFUEAABi4M.jpg

2022-07-29T05:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552884854940848129
@RobHeatherly1 @dividendless I'd be happy to address any errors. Can you point to something specific?

2022-07-29T01:20:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552826372715753473
@orbservingeye @Jiminhno @travisstaylor1 @BrandonFugal Not crazy, just wrong.

2022-07-29T00:07:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552807899910393858
@Jiminhno @travisstaylor1 @BrandonFugal Obviously not.

2022-07-28T23:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552805867552006144
@orbservingeye @callmebrent93 Not really, that's about it. Scared by a ghost story about 10 years old, got over it, love science fiction.

2022-07-28T23:05:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552792482282278913
@UAPmike That was like from 7 years ago.

2022-07-28T22:24:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552782006496272384
@BrentlyLee @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @aka__V @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern I think what might be helpful here is to draw a series of scale diagrams from the side view timed from both planes arriving at merge-plot, Slaight first spotting it, through Fravor's maneuver, to the object leaving.
It should not be impossible to resolve. I might even Sitrec it.

2021-05-12T03:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392324026396123138
@SBrowneITF Not human, but not aliens? Pretty semantic. Why not just say what you mean?

2021-05-12T01:24:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392289656964673536
@TomSuesss @SBrowneITF All essentially alien for general conversation.

2021-05-12T01:07:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392285363842916355
@SBrowneITF What do you mean by "an intelligence"? Humans? Why not say humans?

2021-05-12T00:34:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392276894268067840
@Diego_Muniizz Not today.

2021-05-12T00:33:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392276830577520640
@Miles90234527 That's literally what I said in the video.

2021-05-12T00:32:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1392276554508488706

@Miles90234527 I'd imagine it was a variety of reports of unidentified airspace incursions and unidentified pilot sightings. Serious issues that deserve attention.

2021-05-12T00:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392276134994186240

@Miles90234527 Like I said, the issue of UAP is problem. It can be a serious national security issue. And I think it's something that needs to be studied.

2021-05-12T00:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392275665064398853

@SBrowneITF You're a "phenomena out there with an intelligence behind it" fan. Now that sounds a bit like an aliens fan, but feel free to define what you actually are.

2021-05-12T00:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392275166005129216

@steven_nikolic Like I said: " If there is, in fact, some new technology out there by a foreign adversary, then that needs to be discovered."

2021-05-12T00:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392272899654840320

@Altouiz It's an interesting topic, and I eagerly await some good evidence. I'm a science fan, and if aliens were discovered that would be amazing for science.

2021-05-12T00:15:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392272134454484995

@AlecStewart28 @ChristopherKMe4 @joerogan I'm picking off this one because Chris Mellon (@ChristopherKMe4) has been promoting it as a great and timeless UFO mystery for several year now. So clearly it's not that easy for everyone, and hence deserves more attention.

2021-05-11T23:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392265496288845828

A clarification of my reference to Reid and Rubio as "just UFO fans."

UAPs as unidentified airspace incursions is a serious issue which deserves attention.

UAPs as possible aliens, no so much. It lacks evidence, and is partly driven by UFO fans.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5stjbueE9Sg

2021-05-11T21:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392235233630580741

@zcichy Yeah, I'm leaning that way now. I got one almost as good using Topaz Gigapixel.

2021-05-11T21:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392228576309628928

@discernment_dr @ChristopherKMe4 @joerogan Even Bruce Maccabee concluded it was entirely consistent with a plane, back in 1996!

Yet this is @ChristopherKMe4's go-to UFO story?!

Come on Chris.

http://www.brumac.mysite.com/NIGHTLINEUFO/NIGHTLINEUFO.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1IwV3ZVIAE8JKm.jpg

CONCLUSION:

A UFO is as a UFO does. If a UFO does nothing that an IFO (identified flying object) could not do, then there is no reason to call it a UFO. In this case it appears that an airplane would be consistent with the observational evidence. Although I can not prove there was an airplane flying over the telescope, there is no reason to believe that an airplane could not have done so. Since there is no evidence in the video which conclusively contradicts the airplane hypothesis there is no good reason to claim that the lights were something else. Weeks after the show I reported to LaMonica that the lights were probably on an airplane. So far as I know, this information was never conveyed to the audience of Nightline.

Bruce Maccabee 1996

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1IwV3ZVIAE8JKm.jpg

2021-05-11T20:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392222809066074113

@ChristopherKMe4 told @joerogan this 1996 UFO case was "was mystifying and difficult to explain."

But it looks like it was just a plane.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J73iTlp3sQY

2021-05-11T20:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392220847847337984

@4Eridani This is a plane.

2021-05-11T20:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392217512939450369

@magnabosco Pretty much 0% of humans deem things to be axiomatic.

2021-05-11T19:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392204376144453636

@DaSlick23 @Oswald1160 @DavidJayB @TruthBe05900844 @UsCars4 @lesliekean I think it's more like 30-50 mph for Go Fast, just being carried by the wind.

2021-05-11T19:43:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392203623900147714

@ButtramSean @A__White__Raven @lesliekean Then no, I don't believe in "The ability to remote view and Clairvoyance."

2021-05-11T18:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392185731942993923

@ButtramSean @A__White__Raven @lesliekean Can you define "the Phenomena"?

2021-05-11T18:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392182352277688323

@DaSlick23 @DavidJayB @TruthBe05900844 @UsCars4 @lesliekean Nothing is known about what happened after. But you'd have to follow it for hours then sent a boat out. Why bother?

2021-05-11T18:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392177950099873792

@DaSlick23 @DavidJayB @TruthBe05900844 @UsCars4 @lesliekean All weather balloons move at wind speed.

2021-05-11T17:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392170613125443588

@ButtramSean @A__White__Raven @lesliekean I think there are unidentified things, I doubt that they are alien or supernatural.

2021-05-11T17:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392166913950707720

@A__White__Raven @ButtramSean @lesliekean That's a rather general question.

2021-05-11T17:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392166729401262080

@DaSlick23 @DavidJayB @TruthBe05900844 @UsCars4 @lesliekean No, that's just some Corbell pseudo-straw-man nonsense.

The SURFACE of a bird at 13,000 feet would be colder than the ocean. Feathers insulate.

2021-05-11T16:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392157993534251014

@DavidJayB @TruthBe05900844 @UsCars4 @lesliekean Yeah, I've never thought there was "flapping" in GoFast.

2021-05-11T16:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392155169022824449

@TruthBe05900844 @DavidJayB @UsCars4 @lesliekean He does have a million subscribers though.

2021-05-11T14:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392118703278362631

@DavidGaryEsp Photo top is NW. sun is coming from the East.

2021-05-11T13:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392112692622553090

@82Noan Yes.

2021-05-11T07:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392018792625045507

@gornalalbion @lesliekean Well I'm obviously considering that it might an alien spaceship. I just don't think that can be validly concluded from the evidence.

2021-05-11T07:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1392018403171336193

@mattjdavidson @accuweather They are promoting a scientific falsehood.

2021-05-11T05:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391986193118547969

@MusicAndTruth @DisclosureTLiv @hollywoodufos That would be very annoying if true. I can't prove that it isn't upscaled. But what software might be responsible? I ran at 1K version (exactly 1/4 size) though http://imglarger.com, and the was a lot less textural detail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1FTo12UUAMnRCA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1FTo12UUAMnRCA.jpg

2021-05-11T00:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391915208512196608

@UAP_CR @MiddleOfMayhem @ArranGray9 @lesliekean I'd love to see any other HD images.

mick@mickwest.com

2021-05-11T00:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391914579744083974

@ItsKittyKosmos @MiddleOfMayhem @lesliekean

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1ES7hhUUAAoKRQ.jpg

Parameters of the Photograph

According to Mr. Vilchez the camera used was an R-M-K 15/23. The lens would have featured a fixed focus and a 6-inch focal length. The shutter speed was 1/500 second at *f*:5.6. The intervallometer was set at 20 seconds between successive exposures.

The film used was black-and-white emulsion with an ASA speed of 80. This fine grain film produces a high resolution negative given a stable film plane and camera and sufficiently fast shutter speed.

The negative was printed on Kodak Safety aerial film, type 3665. The image measured 23 cm × 23 cm (529 square centimeters) while the film base measured 25.3 cm × 23.8 cm. Comparing the image area with the

[redacted]

reduced scale topographical chart indicated that the negative included a region of the earth measuring approximately 11.5 km on a side.

The following information was recorded on one side of the film:

Frame counter: 909
Altimeter: 10,000 feet
Bubble level: Approx. level
C = 152.44
Nr 21186
Clock: 08:25 am local time
Notations: ARENAL
10,000 feet
4-9-71 (September 4, 1971)
R.L.B.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1ES7hhUUAAoKRQ.jpg

2021-05-11T00:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391913725146267649

@JonMajerowski @Stephen24563580 Not really. It's aspiring character animator's demo-reel quality. Just depends how much time you put into it and how talented you are.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GcdtCmNEjFA

2021-05-11T00:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391912424584802306

@MiddleOfMayhem @ItsKittyKosmos @lesliekean I looked at the shadows of the clouds and the trees. The sun seems slightly north of east.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1EQ4ByUUAMVQcS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1EQ4ByUUAMVQcS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1EQtcUVgAMmx_P.jpg

2021-05-11T00:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391910832955482117

@ItsKittyKosmos @MiddleOfMayhem @lesliekean Yeah, but it's an unusual camera. You do sometimes get vaguely saucer-shaped lens flare. But I think this would have to be more like a nearby reflection.

Film defect is also possible. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1EO_qxVcAAOAGI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1EO_qxVcAAOAGI.jpg

2021-05-11T00:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391908335742373890

@ItsKittyKosmos @lesliekean Well, it does not look like an object lit by the sun from the lower right (east)

2021-05-11T00:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391908050248691713

@ArranGray9 @MiddleOfMayhem @lesliekean Quite possibly. It depends on what it is. We've only got three images though.

2021-05-10T23:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391903815838826496

@MiddleOfMayhem @lesliekean I agree a lens crack seems unlikely, but the the theory there was that it only shows up when the light hit the camera at a precise angle.
Some kind of lens flare seems more plausible, but again would need specific light conditions.

2021-05-10T23:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391903388166623234

@MikeMDV2 @THughesPhoto Here's the best scan I've found of most of the full negative.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Lake%20Cote%20LARGE%20Tape%20Scan%20From%20Reddit.jpg

---

2021-05-10T23:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391903064743763971

@hollywoodufos @TheChilterns @SomewhereSkies @Slate @NewYorker It wasn't a rebuttal. Just a coincidence I posted at the same time.

The big image you use seems to be a third scan, and one that slipped while being scanned, as

theres a bit of a discontinuity. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E1ElYDvVoAENiI5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E1ElYDvVoAENiI5.jpg

2021-05-10T23:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391901367954903040

@MiddleOfMayhem @lesliekean I've not seen them. Do you know how to get them?

2021-05-10T23:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391901258261229568

@ButtramSean @lesliekean Examine it. Talk about it. Use it. Enjoy it.

2021-05-10T23:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391900968371900420

@JonMajerowski @Stephen24563580 I think it's a hoax. Probably CGI.

2021-05-10T23:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391897872203878403

@ButtramSean @lesliekean To figure out the truth.

2021-05-10T23:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391897794001006596

@TUSSCOMMANDER @hollywoodufos @SomewhereSkies @Slate @NewYorker How would the lighting work though?

2021-05-10T23:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391897057271586817

@Stephen24563580 @JonMajerowski It's an AI enhanced image. It's inventing new details, not revealing existing details.

2021-05-10T23:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391892587724754944

@PoliticalGeeker @NERAZZURRI_BS @DisclosureTLiv Do you think it's coming from a different direction? I'm not sure what your objection is.

2021-05-10T23:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391892236887986178

@futruaultra I think there is almost certainly life outside this planet.

I just don't see good evidence it's visiting us right now.

2021-05-10T23:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391891619448713216

@benjimaan22 @lesliekean It's from a survey plane, at 10,000 feet. In 1971.

2021-05-10T22:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391889614982434818

@charliedeets @lesliekean This image is positive. I'm presuming the negative was negative, the film was "Kodak air safety film, type 3665"

2021-05-10T22:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391888925753372672

@NERAZZURRI_BS @DisclosureTLiv

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/1971-lake-cote-lago-de-cote-ufo-aerial-photo.11729/

2021-05-10T22:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391888378467999744

@MikeMDV2 Look at the left side, see the animal trails in the hillside in the new one? It's a lot more detailed.

2021-05-10T22:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391888144337825796

@hollywoodufos @SomewhereSkies @Slate @NewYorker Regarding the size discrepancy, I overlaid the photo in Google Earth and measured it. It's 210 feet (assuming it's at the lake surface, which is a big assumption).

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/1971-lake-cote-lago-de-cote-ufo-aerial-photo.11729/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D6nEQUYAI9hD7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D6nEQUYAI9hD7.jpg

2021-05-10T22:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391887156264665090

@hollywoodufos @SomewhereSkies @Slate @NewYorker Funny, I was just writing about that as you posted it. Did you know about what looks like a newer scan - the one with tape on the edges? Shows a bit more detail.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/1971-lake-cote-lago-de-cote-ufo-aerial-photo.11729/

2021-05-10T22:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391884744183357442

@Stockwell360 If that's some chipped glass, then yes, that's one of the older suggestions. Apparently it does not show up on subsequent frames though, so requires some coincidences of light angles.

2021-05-10T22:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391884060151017472

@emmathepony Yes, the lighting seems to make no sense for a physical object. Sun is coming from the bottom right.

2021-05-10T22:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391883484122079235

@THughesPhoto That's what I'd like to know. It's an unusual camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D2iM9UcAIxnfl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D2b4hVoAEGnlL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D2iM9UcAIxnfl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1D2dKAUYAEHbGg.jpg

2021-05-10T22:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391881884674629635

@ExploringLife42 @lesliekean That is utterly unhelpful. Creating versions with imagined information just adds noise.

2021-05-10T22:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391881452153802752

@r7nn___ I said "this obviously not the Nimitz Tic-Tac", sorry I missed out the word "is" there, but I think the sense is still there.

This obviously IS NOT the Nimitz Tic-Tac

2021-05-10T22:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391880929388363776

@mattjnowak @lesliekean It's not. It's a new scan. There's some fresh dirt, and some added tape around the edges of the negative.

2021-05-10T22:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391877450292875267

Old and new scans. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1DxICQVgAQsRdF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E1DxICQVgAQsRdF.jpg

2021-05-10T22:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391877136768659456

People keep asking me about the Lake Cote UFO (that @lesliekean calls "the finest image of a U.F.O. ever made public") so I got up to speed on it. I think *probably* an image artifact.

But does anyone know what the story is with the new HD scan on reddit?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/1971-lake-cote-lago-de-cote-ufo-aerial-photo.11729/

2021-05-10T22:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391876246070382593

The Weather Channel posted this "hovering ship" a few days ago, but then deleted their post after realizing it was not fata morgana, not even a mirage

@accuweather, you should do likewise, but also post what it actually is. Differently rippled water diffusely reflecting the sky

https://twitter.com/accuweather/status/1391856827386716167

2021-05-10T21:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391874800004464641

@PaulFox13 @accuweather Perhaps the best example. Especially with the windmills which you can see if you zoom in a little, as I have done here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1391874565307998209/pu/img/aunE_G5l6JdLkMr-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1391874565307998209/pu/img/aunE_G5l6JdLkMr-.jpg
2021-05-10T18:21:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391820693369626624
@THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Right, but WHY would that require an NDA? Was the Tic-Tac some secret US craft that they don't mind Fravor having a dog fight with, but gets classified when it's a bit closer?
It's a featureless Tic-Tac. It does not make sense.

2021-05-10T16:07:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391786916828180482
@GaryVoorhis @THughesPhoto @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Why would the NDA cover what they had seen out the window? Could that have been better than Fravor's sighting?

2021-05-10T15:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391784292049510400
@Adam_Eater You doubt it? Google Loon balloons have been reported as UFOs several times.

https://www.kxan.com/news/texas/are-those-ufos-spotted-over-central-texas-heres-what-google s-project-loon-has-to-do-with-it/

https://futurism.com/ufos-kansas-city-strange

2021-05-10T15:49:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391782419292856322
@papercrane111 Can you re-read the tweet and tell me what you find so incredible about it?

2021-05-10T15:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391782166846066692
@BryanKelly @NERAZZURRI_BS @DisclosureTLiv "Any Shape"???? Sounds like you are invoking

some kind of magic there.

What is the shape? Can you draw a diagram?

2021-05-10T15:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391781820182585347

@mattjnowak @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 The Nimitz case is certainly intriguing. There are no real satisfactory answer for what Fravor and the other pilot and WSOs saw.

I don't think it was aliens, and probably not physics defying, but I don't know what it was.

2021-05-10T05:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391620827343253505

@ADarkObelisk @DisclosureTLiv @NERAZZURRI_BS What I'm alluding to is that it does not look like a physical object in that scene, as the lighting is inexplicable.

2021-05-10T04:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391612127115317249

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @dave_beaty @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Did Spy1 radar see them at any other altitude, or just 28k?

2021-05-10T00:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391555866579537923

@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @LtTimMcMillan That's not IR, that's TV mode.

2021-05-09T23:44:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391539480050298881

@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @LtTimMcMillan Very similar in what way? The videoed object was at high altitude, and flying at a constant speed. It was an irregular shape.

Sounds nothing like a ping-ponging hypersonic tic-tac at sea level.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0-9wLuUcAAJx1w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0-9wLuUcAAJx1w.jpg

2021-05-09T23:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391533217799303170

@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @LtTimMcMillan Kevin Day says that Fravor's radar contact "rejoined its group". Then Underwood was vectored to one of that group, possibly the same one, not something hovering at a CAP point.

But there's no real clear link between any of these.

2021-05-09T22:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391519683552051200

@NERAZZURRI_BS @DisclosureTLiv Sunlight is coming from the lower right.

So what shape produces that light pattern?

2021-05-09T22:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391519138938384389

@drumshticknow What do you think?

2021-05-09T22:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391513585591881735

@MiddleOfMayhem @LuAngeles Itâ□□s a shame thereâ□□s no graphical way of accurately describing shapes. Weâ□□re stuck with these candy comparisons.

2021-05-09T21:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391512461405081602

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @GaryVoorhis @dave_beaty @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @LtTimMcMillan Where did you get that Underwood was vectored to Fravorâ□□s

object?

2021-05-09T20:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391492331291906048

@LuAngeles @MiddleOfMayhem It is, but I don't think "Mentos" is an official MUFON shape designator yet.

2021-05-09T20:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391490422510284804

@mcbupkiss "While this obviously not the Nimitz Tic-Tac"

2021-05-09T19:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391481303845937153

@Disclose_UFOUAP It's not though. I specifically said it's not the Nimitz Tic-Tac, but it IS a somewhat Tic-Tac shaped thing that people might in the future (or already) has mistaken for a UFO.
Google Loon balloon has already had this happen several times.
It's something to be aware of.

2021-05-09T19:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391476786081394689

While this obviously not the Nimitz Tic-Tac, the NASA Super Pressure Balloon is remarkably Tic-Tac shaped (from some angles) when fully inflated. It might result in some UFO sightings at some point. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0-EMSyUUAEAEzR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0-EMSyUUAEAEzR.jpg

2021-05-09T01:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391208700069638144

@MagicKrakening @weatherbraine If the Weather Channel and the BBC are promoting them as real things, yes.

2021-05-08T21:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391137221684568068

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Essentially, although it really only took the one switch (NAR-MFOV). Lock was lost several tines, the last one was just when it was not regained.

2021-05-08T19:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391120235613196291

@CCROBERTS1807 @SilvaRecord Oh I am. Prepping the yard for a family gathering. Guests arriving soon. Wine in the fridge. Leaves removed from pool.

But I like to check in. You know how it is.

2021-05-08T19:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391119768325787650

@CrewcutYoutube @AFSUnidentified This is a common stage in many beliefs: "we are past the stage of arguing if this is real"

You might be past that stage, but most of the world is not. Scientists certainly not.

2021-05-08T19:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391110904796704771

@ExploringLife42 @SilvaRecord What more do you need?

2021-05-08T19:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391108949990989824

@lerealmush @SilvaRecord Because it's dark.

2021-05-08T19:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391108731564224513

@SilvaRecord I do know it's entirely consistent with a plane, and that the early objections to the plane hypothesis are wrong.

Of course it might be novel craft that simply looks and acts like a plane.

2021-05-08T19:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391108330085519362

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast That display lag (i.e "2x" not displaying for a few frames) is pretty much irrelevant, except where there's the potential to get confused. But it does not actually DO anything.

2021-05-08T19:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391107538431614978

@paul_sailer @michaelshermer https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1390730149591584770

2021-05-08T17:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391077042439942146

@SilvaRecord It's entirely consistent with a plane. So I think that's a pretty solid #1

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J73iTlp3sQY

2021-05-08T16:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391072615842807811

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Want to jump on a zoom, so we can discuss more easily?

2021-05-08T16:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391069374128164866

@ArturoC54346043 There's a more detailed explanation in the Bieber eyes thread.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/

2021-05-08T16:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391069079717310470

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Screen lag? I don't know what you mean by that.

The lock is lost during the change from MFOV to NAR at 1:13:23-27. I refer to this a a "lens change" for simplicity, but it's really a change in the optical pathway, using mirrors.

There's no steady image so lock is lost.

2021-05-08T16:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391067635610095622

@ArturoC54346043 Possibly due to video compression. Example:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E7LtFIVZ-T4

2021-05-08T16:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391062621705490437

@Disclosure89 @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast He let it drift off the side of the screen, he zoomed IN (the wrong way) while he was losing it, then made no attempt to slew over to follow it, or to zoom out to WFOV (or even MFOV) 1.0x, which would easily have made it visible. He just lost it.

2021-05-08T16:05:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391061663508361222

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast 1:14:13, or 1:13:14? You said both.

At 1:14:13, the lock is already lost, so the camera is not moving, but the object is. There's there's a 1x to 2x switch on the next frame. The 1.0 display lags a bit.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1391061436567199748/pu/img/upkhjqhr7Q0RfByu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1391061436567199748/pu/img/upkhjqhr7Q0RfByu.jpg
2021-05-08T15:43:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1391056131619835905
@xnert @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast What are you referring to? In all but one of the 1x to 2x transitions the object is centered so does not move. In the final one it moves the expected amount. If you scale down the first 2x frame to 1x, it's exactly where you'd expect it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E04GFwfVEAACIsU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E04GFwfVEAACIsU.jpg

2021-05-08T15:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391052359007051777

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast And those factors assume a static camera. Changing from NAR to MFOV is the optical equivalent of a radical maneuver of the target. Similar with the various other times lock was briefly lost and reacquired.

2021-05-08T15:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391051799310700552

@BobKurbel @Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Not in the video he didn't, and not according to the executive report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E04CESDUYAIoy8U.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E04CESDUYAIoy8U.jpg

2021-05-08T15:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391049655719391235

@OwatcherUf @GeorgeP25432685 @dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan @g_knapp 99.9 simply means that the ATFLIR does not have a range value, this could either be because it has not attempted to get one, or because it tried and failed. There's no indication laser ranging was used.

2021-05-08T15:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391047303931121667

@Disclosure89 @xnert @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Yes, I've listened to that several times, and I made a response video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-05-08T09:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390960893421232130

@iamluckydaily @GroundedGoddess @crbarnes001 @DannyM59418090 Quick context:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-05-08T06:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390923439456755714

@xnert @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast I did my timing with frame numbers at 30 fps (29.97, but no real difference at this resolution), if that's what you mean.

I used the original f4.mpg, as the "official" 1-FLIR.mp4 is lower quality.

2021-05-08T05:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390899899714072581

@alpha_check @5X5_NEWS @BobKurbel @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @DusterePodcast @xnert If seems if you have a correlated track then that would show in the ATFLIR screen as L+S being boxed in addition to the autotrack indicators. We don't have a boxed L+S in the Nimitz video.

2021-05-08T04:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390893556307402755

@alpha_check @DiscoVolante8 @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast I seems like a crucial part of the "impossible" argument is that the object in the ATFLIR is the same object as was on the radar, no?

2021-05-08T04:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390891699149303808

@alpha_check @DiscoVolante8 @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Seems a bit semantic. You're describing a scenario, based on the written and verbal accounts, that you claim makes it impossible that the object seen on the ATFLIR is a plane.

Does that scenario include or exclude the radar being jammed?

2021-05-08T04:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390888949992747010

@alpha_check @DiscoVolante8 @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Isnâ□□t that part of your hypothesis?

2021-05-08T04:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390886526456766466

@alpha_check @DiscoVolante8 @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Interesting you think Chad got a perfect handoff while being jammed. Fravorâ□□s radar couldnâ□□t even see anything.

2021-05-08T03:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390877772801658883

@omwaafounder @weatherbraine Itâ□□s proves itâ□□s not a Fata Morgana.

None of them are.

2021-05-08T03:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390866013915213829

@BobKurbel @dave_beaty @xnert @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Yeah but you can just pick the the focal length and sensor size to match the FOV if that is known.

2021-05-08T02:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390858916930613254

@xnert @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Exactly a second.

2021-05-08T01:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390846263264890881

@dave_beaty @xnert @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast How does that matter? Itâ□□s the actual FOV thatâ□□s the variable.

2021-05-08T01:23:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390839721178263553

@xnert @BobKurbel @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Make no sense to me. 1x seems the obvious context.

2021-05-08T01:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390839445021097986

@GeorgeP25432685 @dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan @g_knapp Laser does not seem to have been used, at least in the video.

2021-05-08T01:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390834184923406337

@dave_beaty @xnert @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast I describe my simple math in No Sudden Moves.

Camera goes 1L to 8L in 29:12, about 0.24Â°/dec. Thought, from 7L to 8L in 4:24. 0.21Â°/sec

Object leaves a 2x frame in 1.0 seconds. Suggest a 1Â° 1x FOV with avg spd, but 0.84Â° taking spd over last degree.

2021-05-08T00:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390828820224036865

@BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast No, itâ□□s like a Nikon P1000, which you can use by hand.

Quite usable with stabilization and tracking.

2021-05-08T00:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390828235697528834

@dave_beaty @xnert @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Hmm, I just did simple rate of change of the heading, and then the leftward motion after it stopped. Seemed to match at 1x 0.7, 2x 0.35.

2021-05-07T23:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390815118343118848

@xnert @BobKurbel @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Surely this would have settled it? It's from 2003. Nimitz was 2004.

0.7 seemed the best fit for recreating FLIR1 and Go Fast

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E00qNNrVEAUM01V.jpg

A1-F18AC-746-100 **005 00**

Page 4

6. **LEFT THROTTLE GRIP.** The left throttle grip has the HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/RAID switch. Refer to table 3.

7. **REAR LEFT THROTTLE GRIP - F/A-18D 163434 THRU 163778, ALSO 163986 AND UP TRAINER CONFIGURATION.** The rear left throttle grip is in the rear cockpit. Refer to LEFT THROTTLE GRIP, this WP.

Table 3. Left Throttle Grip

Control/Indicator	Function
HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/ RAID switch	Pushbutton switch used in air to ground or NAV master modes with the ATFLIR on to select the field of view (FOV) for ATFLIR operation. Alternate pressing selects either .7° by .7° narrow FOV, 3° by 3°medium FOV or 6° by 6° wide FOV.

8. **LEFT/RIGHT HAND CONTROLLER GRIP ASSEMBLY - F/A-18D 163986 AND UP NIGHT ATTACK CONFIGURATION.** The left/right hand controller grip assemblies have the sensor control switches, radar elevation controls, and target designator control switches. The right hand controller grip assembly has the trigger for the laser. Refer to table 4.

Table 4. Left/Right Hand Controller Grip Assembly - F/A-18D 163986 AND UP NIGHT ATTACK CONFIGURATION

Control/Indicator	Function
Sensor control switch	The forward position commands the track acquisition modes when the forward position is selected on the hand controller without priority to the display. The

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E00qNNrVEAUM01V.jpg

2021-05-07T21:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390785104176316416

@AstralWarrior3 @weatherchannel Iâ□□ve increased the contrast to make the water more visible.

2021-05-07T21:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390780134303559682

@foiaboi @ManningMarta No, I just Google stuff. Check it out.

2021-05-07T20:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390766662035546113

@Hugo_Newman @LandOLakesCalri @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 No, because thatâ□□s the trajectory of the plane, not the object that is hardly moving. Itâ□□s parallax.

2021-05-07T20:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390759704280768513

@Alias_Reign @MrSlither1 @lulabelldesigns After an hour they have generally spread out a lot, and are just considered clouds.

2021-05-07T19:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390755124004286464

Here's what actual fata morgana looks like.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cwl-VlXx1Fw

2021-05-07T19:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390753060327022592

@ManningMarta It's bad science. I'm opposed to bad science.

2021-05-07T19:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390749448779702275

@ajk1965 Twitter still shows the preview of the page, proving it was there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0zvKjOUYAEZtJG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0zvKjOUYAEZtJG.jpg

2021-05-07T19:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390749086723182592

@ajk1965 Yep, they have deleted the video. It was at:

https://weather.com/news/weather/video/ship-hovering-in-the-uk-sky-is-actually-a-fata-morgana

---

2021-05-07T18:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390738472953057287

@LandOLakesCalri @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Isn't "moving at wind speed" at least partial evidence of it being a balloon?

There's nothing that contradicts the balloon hypothesis, and plenty that's an exact fit.

Not 99% proven, but I think it's a pretty solid #1 on the list.

2021-05-07T18:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390730149591584770

@weatherbraine This video starts with the original "hovering ship" illusion, and then adjusts contrast and zooms in so you can see that's actually water, not the sky, and not a Fata Morgana, or any other type of mirage.

The windmills behind the horizon show exactly where the horizon is.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390729758573359106/pu/img/7BDVZrAYAF_m7zrV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390729758573359106/pu/img/7BDVZrAYAF_m7zrV.jpg

2021-05-07T17:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390725698453577729

@weatherbraine Better video of a similar example reveals what this actually is.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1390719417122578435

2021-05-07T17:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390724447179448322

Hey @weatherbraine, can we finally put this "fata morgana" nonsense to bed? See videos, above.

2021-05-07T17:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390723798375165952

@weatherchannel Here's a closeup on the wind turbines and the actual horizon. Debunking

both floating ships and flat Earth.

Again, there's no big mirage here (other than the tiny inferior mirage you can see as the blades dip below the horizon).
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390722055000059907/pu/img/iaqE8PYZYN03K1Sr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390722055000059907/pu/img/iaqE8PYZYN03K1Sr.jpg
2021-05-07T17:35:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390721853631590400
@DennisGarbonz No. We rescued the game from another developer after he was long gone.

2021-05-07T17:27:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390719909953376260
@MiddleOfMayhem What's the definition of "UAP (the phenomena)" that the government says is "real"?

Because unidentified airspace incursion, and unauthorized suspected drones are very much "real"

2021-05-07T17:25:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390719417122578435
The latest "ship floating in the air" nonsense explanation from @weatherchannel is actually based on a pretty awesome example of a false horizon. When the contrast is boosted, you see ripples in the "sky" under the ship, and rotating windmills just behind the actual horizon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390718588948938754/pu/img/tqUzi3mL9HlROOwr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1390718588948938754/pu/img/tqUzi3mL9HlROOwr.jpg
2021-05-07T17:02:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390713609131499527
@MiddleOfMayhem So is he saying there's something real that actually travels at 11,000 mph and is messing with our nuclear facilities?

Because that is absolutely NOT something we are "over the Rubicon" with.

2021-05-07T16:59:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390712915951554567
@Loki__1 I think people like UAP now.

2021-05-07T16:58:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390712659838787588
No, that's not the explanation. There is no miraging going on here, and certainly not Fata Morgana.

This is a normal photo of a ship beyond a false horizon caused by the different ripples further out reflecting the sky.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fata-morgana-or-mirage-hovering-boat-images-false-horizon.9112/ https://twitter.com/weatherchannel/status/1390676480049487884

2021-05-07T16:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390711639847018498
@weatherchannel's infographic to "explain" hovering ships is perhaps the most staggeringly wrong illustration of Fata Morgana in all of human history.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0zMkFvVEAYGgDa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0zMkFvVEAYGgDa.jpg
2021-05-07T16:50:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390710725459419139
@doctorambient @red_pill_junkie @anomalistnews
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-07T15:24:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390689054316892162
The word "real" is pressed into service to mean whatever you want it to mean. Elizondo here says "whatever it is, it is real."

Nobody says lights in the sky or blurry distant object are not real. UFOs are "real" as they are unidentified.

Perhaps better words are needed.
https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1390678620826259460

2021-05-07T06:24:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390553144229199872
@bartjpx @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 What does that have to do with the actual object speed?

2021-05-07T06:10:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390549548641120257
@bartjpx @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 What did they say that indicated it was low and fast, rather than just high and slow, but still moving fast on screen

due to parallax.

2021-05-07T05:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390545829950234624

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @DusterePodcast @xnert The report that says -5Â° cites a screenshot (Figure 2) that shows 6Â°.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0w2AbdUcAAZ_g7.jpg



Figure 2: FLIR in White Hot Mode

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0w2AbdUcAAZ_g7.jpg

2021-05-07T05:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390543982996836353

RT @ProfFeynman: We must be careful not to believe things simply because we want them to be true. No one can fool you as easily as you canâ¦

2021-05-07T05:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390534546945306627

@PacoChierici @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast Did you talk to Chad? Or is all his account via Dave?

2021-05-07T04:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390530732594917377

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure The raw numbers are all in the original videos.

2021-05-07T03:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390508344851320833

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Can you show this math, maybe with a diagram?

2021-05-07T02:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390488585376210945

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @DusterePodcast @xnert He didnâ□□t mention a 5-10,000ft climb. In fact he said it wasnâ□□t moving at all.

2021-05-07T02:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390488218282336258

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure I donâ□□t understand why you think that.

2021-05-07T01:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390482773786333187

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Parallel? Positions canâ□□t be parallel, it only applies to lines or vectors, not points.

2021-05-07T01:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390481548806287363

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @DusterePodcast @xnert So why did he think it was below him?

2021-05-07T00:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390462751693172737

@Disclose_UFOUAP @MC05A @alpha_check @dave_beaty I think this report was a few years later.

2021-05-07T00:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390462608818393090

@ufo_worldwide @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Clearly what you describe is not a conventional aircraft. However I donâ□□t think the object in ATFLIR is necessarily all that.

2021-05-07T00:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390461021635022851

@jaimeneufer @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Where is this radar data and testimony?

2021-05-07T00:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390460889103409153

@Disclose_UFOUAP @MC05A @alpha_check @dave_beaty Sure, but then which is correct. This seems awfully specific.

2021-05-07T00:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390460620911255554

@dave_beaty @PacoChierici @BobKurbel @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast 0.75 fits the videos better.

2021-05-07T00:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390460093754343425

@conterammundi @fman123 @onelastread @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure What exactly do you thing all those people are incorrectly concurring about in this hypothesis?

2021-05-06T23:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390456283296243714

@dave_beaty @PacoChierici @BobKurbel @MC05A @alpha_check @DusterePodcast You mean in 0.75 in NAR 1x. WFOV is 6.

2021-05-06T23:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390455947714203648

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure In context, it's in this rather long thread. Ian went through a variety of increasingly better versions. The two animations are on pages 8 and 9.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.9333/

2021-05-06T23:48:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390453531522392064

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure With parallax like:
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E0viFXxVIAASgVr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E0viFXxVIAASgVr.jpg

2021-05-06T23:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390452827231641605

@fluffytail1 @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Schematically, like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E0vhbyTVkAElAbP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E0vhbyTVkAElAbP.jpg
2021-05-06T21:17:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390415367701508099
@adjunctterror @DarkSKYFiles Where did I say it impacts me significantly Sounds a bit odd, as it doesn't.

I was scared of aliens briefly aged around 6-7. I was much more scared of ghosts and spiders. Then I became a science and science-fiction fan, and really wanted the aliens to arrive.

2021-05-06T20:55:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390409934211223552
@bradjohansson21 @adjunctterror @ChristopherKMe4 Parts of systems are classified, so it's hard to get genuine experts to talk about it.

2021-05-06T20:53:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390409299990433792
@bartjpx @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Because it's still moving on screen. It's effectively the exact same task as something low and fast.

But the numbers on screen show it is high and slow.

2021-05-06T20:28:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390403137920331778
@adjunctterror @bradjohansson21 @ChristopherKMe4 No, just the one.

2021-05-06T19:11:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390383629008736261
@Born2Pleb @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Exactly, no context, size or distance cues will lead to misjudging.

2021-05-06T19:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390383439275118595
@BobKurbel @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 A neutral buoyancy balloon would be about the same temperature as the air it is in contact with.

2021-05-06T19:09:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390383152045064194
@adjunctterror @bradjohansson21 @ChristopherKMe4
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2021-05-06T19:07:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390382832858501120
@onelastread @conterammundi @steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure That's a silly straw man argument.

Rare mistakes don't make pilots "dumb", it just makes them human.

Highly trained humans, but still humans.

2021-05-06T18:43:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390376787905896451
@Jack93882578 @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Well, it looking and moving like a balloon doesn't prove it's not a flying saucer. So you can always hold out hope.

2021-05-06T18:42:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390376496494039040
@Born2Pleb @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It's the angular speed that makes it difficult. The jet is flying past it. So it's visually equivalent to something flying equally fast in the opposite direction.

It's like shooting hoops from a passing train. The hoop isn't moving, but it's still difficult.

2021-05-06T18:40:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390375976912097285
@UfoSunday @Reddit_r_UFO @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 If it's parallax, it's not doing anything extraordinary, so the video should not be used as evidence of something doing extraordinary motions.

It might still be an anti-gravity warp drive just moving at wind speed, but this isn't evidence of that.

2021-05-06T18:38:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390375547335680002
@nomdeguerre_ @LuAngeles @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 That's a different incident.

And Fravor did not say that Gimbal "paralleled what he had seen"

2021-05-06T18:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390375311401910273

@murnut @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Sounded to me like he was just speculating. He says there is no more compelling video that he's aware of. For him Gimbal and FLIR1 are the best he's seen.

2021-05-06T18:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390374969960386560

@Lemurian1897 @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It's just part of an automatically created transcript. I used http://otter.ai

---

2021-05-06T18:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390374662551457792

@PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 No "wobbling". It's about 6 foot across. You see it as a dot. Even if there WAS wobbling, you would not see it.
And a large balloon floating along at 13,000 feet isn't going to be bouncing around. It's basically going to sit there, in the air.

2021-05-06T18:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390368662104600578

@PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It's the exact same thing.

The camera is tracking the ocean surface when it's not tracking the object (or being slewed).

It's not in snowplow mode.

2021-05-06T18:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390367284036739073

@nomdeguerre_ @LuAngeles @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Which pilot is that? I don't think the GoFast pilot even spoke publicly.

2021-05-06T18:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390367116377739264

@PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 They seem to agree that "it's flying" is evidence against it being a balloon. That seems to refer to the speed.

Regardless, their objections are all wrong. It's not too fast, the straight line motion is parallax, and the pilots were excited because it was a difficult capture.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uTNmnWYAYDmRW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uTNmnWYAYDmRW.jpg
2021-05-06T18:01:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390366124689149957
@LuAngeles @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It was a difficult target to box, because it had a high angular velocity due to parallax. They seemed not to realize this at first. But the capture was difficult either way. Hence "woo hoo!" when they got it.

2021-05-06T18:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390365765291831296
@mcbupkiss @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 The radar derived range is on the screen. That shows that it's high and slow.

2021-05-06T17:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390365632630169601
@LandOLakesCalri @PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It's moving at wind speed. It's about 6' wide. So ballon is a very good fit for what is observed - specifically a science/weather/target ballon with a radar reflector.

2021-05-06T17:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390365153456123906
@adjunctterror @bradjohansson21 @ChristopherKMe4 Underwood didn't even really disagree with me there, because Jeremy never really asked him about my "No Sudden Moves" observations.

2021-05-06T17:55:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390364728682160129
@ddeanjohnson @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 @g_knapp And he's learnt that keeping your evidence secret makes it hard to debunk.

2021-05-06T17:55:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390364492924481536
@naoehogui @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 "maneuverability"?

Go Fast does no maneuver AT ALL. It's barely moving.

2021-05-06T17:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390364126543708166

@TheOthe94154515 @HappyAtomsPress @AliensOnEarth_ @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Common ground!

:grinning_face:

2021-05-06T17:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390363486228602882

@PostDisclosure @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 about 1:54:55. Chris says 130mph, then they talk about how fast and straight it's going, then Joe says 150mph.

The math says more like 40-80mph, i.e. wind speed. But the important point is the APPARENT motion, which is nearly all from the jet, not the balloon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uPyIpUcAAc5XB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uPyIpUcAAc5XB.jpg
2021-05-06T17:46:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390362384942780416
@HappyAtomsPress @TheOthe94154515 @AliensOnEarth_ @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I actually really like them now. Roasted correctly, best veggie ever!

2021-05-06T17:46:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390362251480100865
@HappyAtomsPress @TheOthe94154515 @AliensOnEarth_ @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Well I stopped being scared of most things by the time I was a teenager and had other things to worry about.

I really was never much of a UFO guy, until I got into it indirectly via chemtrails.

And no, I wasn't scared of clouds as a child.

2021-05-06T17:43:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390361685806903296
@BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast You would think. But it did not have anything on its own radar picture (i.e. from its onboard radar). The Princeton's SPY-1 was the primary source, so they controlled Fravor.

2021-05-06T17:41:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390361147388268546
@expostUAP @JeremyCorbell I gave a fairly detailed response to Jeremy's talk with Underwood.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-05-06T17:40:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390360839157293057
@HappyAtomsPress @TheOthe94154515 @AliensOnEarth_ @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I was also afraid of heights, spiders, Brussel sprouts, ghosts, bees, rabid dogs, and my Math teacher.

But I was only six. So there's that.

2021-05-06T17:37:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390359980365160451
@alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Underwood said the rest of the video shows nothing special.

I think a vertical movement of several thousand feet would be rather special, exceptional even.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uM6x7UcAAJ9ie.jpg



🌴🐺🍹Matthew Phelan🏝️📡

@CBMDP

Replying to @CBMDP and @UfoJoe11

From talking with Chad earlier today, my sense is that the additional FLIR1 footage might not be especially revelatory, although perhaps in the hands of some forensic imaging folks the longer and high-res video might add something. Better to FOIA for the full video than bug Chad?



3:45 PM · Dec 19, 2019 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uM6x7UcAAJ9ie.jpg

2021-05-06T17:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390358703790911489

@alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast If the FLIR pointed in the initial direction of the track, then why didn't it point at -5Â°?

It starts at 6Â°.

11Â° difference, up instead of down. A pretty significant difference.

2021-05-06T17:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390356819432787972

@BobKurbel @PacoChierici @MC05A @alpha_check @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast Kevin says it was in the air, but nothing showed up on their radar. Picture clean.

2021-05-06T17:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390356683436613633

@PacoChierici @alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uKAQwVgAMdJnq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uKAQwVgAMdJnq.jpg

2021-05-06T17:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390355857196474374

@PacoChierici @alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast Paco, the executive report and your article both say it was BELOW Underwood, and in your article it's indicated that data came from the radar. Also it was stationary.

The ATFLIR video shows something thousands of feet ABOVE (+5Â°), and flying away and left.

So Radar != ATFLIR http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uI_PMWYAIsj9I.jpg

---

The WSO first picked up a contact on the radar around 30nm away while it was operating in the RWS scan mode. He checked the coordinates and it was indeed hovering at their precise CAP point. He attempted several STT locks, to no avail. Later, in the debrief, he explained that he had multiple telltale cues of EA.

The target aspect on the track file was turning through 360 degrees along with some other distinct jamming indications. In the less precise scan mode, the return indicated that the object was, in the WSO's words, "A few thousand feet below us. Around 15-20K– but hovering stationary." The only movement was generated by the closure of the fighter to the CAP location.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uI_PMWYAIsj9I.jpg

2021-05-06T17:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390354102689751040

@alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Why isn't L+S boxed?

2021-05-06T17:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390353941343268870

@PacoChierici @alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast Nope what? Why?

It was quite visible in NAR, so would still be visible in WFOV, which covers 6 degrees.

Why would in not have been visible, or at least visible with very minor slewing.

2021-05-06T17:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390353391260377090

@PacoChierici @alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast Underwood now claims he saw strobe lines, a clear indicator of jamming.

In the earlier report he said there were no strobe lines, no EA indicators

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uG5TAVUAIjtVs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uG5TAVUAIjtVs.jpg

2021-05-06T17:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390352477384450055

@steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Gimbal is also tail-on, so you just see glare, like at the start of FLIR1, or in the Chilean Navy case.

2021-05-06T17:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390352036433039361

@alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast Well it was basically straight ahead, 0Â°, the default direction. It might have been visible as a dot in WFOV if he's just switched it on.

2021-05-06T17:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390351120011120644

@PacoChierici @alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @DusterePodcast 100% incorrect, or just "that's very unlikely" incorrect?

Radar was not hacking it at the time. How do we know it gave a perfect vector to the ATFLIR?

Has anyone seen the video of the actual target acquisition. Or similar? Does it always transfer 100%, even in marginal cases?

2021-05-06T16:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390350214091862020

@alpha_check @MC05A @dave_beaty @PacoChierici @DusterePodcast I think it's possible that the dodgy radar return was not the object that ended up on the ATFLIR.

2021-05-06T16:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390349591044771845

@steven_nikolic @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure No, quite the opposite. The object seems to be tail on at the start, which is why you get this giant IR glare that initially obscures the plane.

Later the exhaust glare is still visible on the right (plane flying left and away), though hard to see at this resolution. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uDS-MVIAA0WkX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uDS-MVIAA0WkX.jpg

2021-05-06T16:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390348845997981696

@alpha_check @ufo_worldwide @Oswald1160 @ArranGray9 @dave_beaty @ashworth_mick @LtTimMcMillan Kevin Day's recollection is that the Hawkeye did not have a radar contact, so Fravor was vectored by the Princeton.

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uC1TLVoAcp527.jpg

KD **Kevin Day** 20:54

Well, we didn't have the airwing available to us, until the very last part of this encounter. And as soon as I, as soon as I, as soon as I had aircraft that I can use, I talked to commander Smith, I said, Hey, sir, you know, been tracking the things for several days, and no one in the strike group has any idea what these are. And I, we're getting ready to do a big air defense exercise, we're going to launch a bunch of aircraft off the beach, and we're going to launch our guys, a mock air battle in that same piece of sky. So now I'm concerned about safety of flight. Because I've got these unknown objects, right in our playground area. And I strongly recommend we go intercept one and see what they are. So if there's not some sort of an error, error mishap, because if there is, you can ask both you and me we were so damaged, curious. And he looks at me, he goes, you're right. So your chief intercept one. And that that's how commander, Fast Equal's up. Fast Eagle 01. He was the first one to launch. And we immediately took him under control because EW Hawkeye radar ??? did not have any radar on these things, only we did. And we drove them to the closest contact. And that's when it all happens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0uC1TLVoAcp527.jpg

2021-05-06T14:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390318969660211205

@OwatcherUf @benjimaan22 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Yes, in that clip. Strobe lines, jamming, an act of war.

2021-05-06T14:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390315006541983748

@JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Did Underwood ever explain why he originally said there were NO strobe lines, or other normal EA indicators? And now he does?

From the document George leaked:

https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0tjz48VUAE46gz.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0tjz48VUAE46gz.jpg

2021-05-06T14:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390313678919016453

@bartjpx @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 The RNG (Range) and Vc (Closing velocity) numbers on the screen ARE from the radar.

2021-05-06T11:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390262532091248643

@SithVincentV @BilboFragns @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Not exactly, and not all at the same time. But some of that, in rare circumstances, and certainly not as a regular occurrence.

2021-05-06T11:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390261279659466752

@BilboFragns @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I'm just talking about Go Fast, being a balloon.

2021-05-06T08:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390218667065962497

@fman123 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan I think he's just conflating Gimbal and FLIR1. Two entirely different events.

2021-05-06T08:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390218367718486017

@BilboFragns @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Balloons hover.

2021-05-06T03:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390148395596013573

@ufo_worldwide @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I disagree.

2021-05-06T03:34:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390147859824009216

@_TheDisclosure_ @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Of course.

2021-05-06T03:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390145129189560320

@RicardoBlack @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It fits the numbers on screen.

2021-05-06T01:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390111021914681345

@ufo_worldwide @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 And have you seen this:

"Go Fast" UFO Video Explained? https://youtu.be/PLyEO0jNt6M

2021-05-06T01:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390109989285490688

@ufo_worldwide @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Weâ□□ve done full 3D analysis here. It checks out.

But what supposed increase in speed are you talking about?

2021-05-06T00:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390102794699370500

@lerealmush @zcichy http://otter.ai, too messy to share. I just correct bits as needed,

---

2021-05-06T00:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390097798557700096

@Pewpew841 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan Just ballparking. It happened 17 years ago. Nothing like it (with witnesses, video, etc) has happened since. So maybe a once-in-20-years coincidence.

2021-05-06T00:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390097395636002818

@zcichy Yeah, sounds like there are really no more interesting videos. (No more interesting than Gimbal and FLIR1) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qeCzWVcAIS7nL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qeCzWVcAIS7nL.jpg

2021-05-06T00:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390094871109640194

@dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 A file name is not an analysis. Might be a clue though.

2021-05-05T23:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390091722290384896

@yrraplliw @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 He seems to think he understands it though. He describes it as being "on railroad tracks" as if that is evidence against the balloon theory.

He's misunderstanding.

I don't think he's choosing to misunderstand.

2021-05-05T23:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390090431929622532

@GavPat89 @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Nor do they show up on video.

2021-05-05T23:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390090328351199232

@jjrrffkk2009 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan No, I'm saying that claiming "aliens" is a simpler

explanation than "bad radar + loss of SA + memory + conflation + coincidences" is like saying "we can't easily explain single-photon interference patterns, so God must be real"

2021-05-05T23:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390089446565945344

@dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 The names Gimbal and Go Fast were internal to the Pentagon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qW9t3UYAAqz9q.jpg

---



CLEARANCE REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION

(See instructions on back.)

(This form is to be used in requesting review and clearance of DoD information proposed for public release in accordance with DoDD 5230.09.)

TO: *(See Note)* Chief, Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155

Note: Regular mail address shown above. For drop-off/next day delivery, use Room 2A534, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155

1. DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		
a. TYPE: .mpg File (x3)	b. TITLE: "GoFast"; "Gimble"; "FLIR"	
c. PAGE COUNT: 3	d. SUBJECT AREA: UAV, Balloons, and other UAS	
2. AUTHOR/SPEAKER		
a. NAME *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*: Elizondo, Luis D.	b. RANK: GS-15	c. TITLE: Director, National Programs Special Management Staff, OUSD(I)
d. OFFICE: NPSMS		e. AGENCY: OUSD(I), DoD

3. PRESENTATION/PUBLICATION DATA *(Date, Place, Event)*

Not Applicable. Not for publication. Research and analysis ONLY and info sharing with other USG and industry partners for the purposes of developing a database to help identify, analyze, and ultimately defeat UAS threats.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qW9t3UYAAqz9q.jpg

2021-05-05T23:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390088785589792768

@Pewpew841 @Disclose_UFOUAP @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan I admit my explanation are hard to understand. You said they were not. And now you won't engage with them, because they are too complicated.

2021-05-05T23:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390088471981617153

@GavPat89 @dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Lots of people think it's going fast. The pilots SEEM to have thought that at the time.

2021-05-05T23:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390082335677173761

@dansim8 @Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 Not at all. The Pentagon has said nothing about the speed, apparent or actual, of Go Fast.

2021-05-05T23:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390081634259521539

@ufo_worldwide Thanks!

2021-05-05T22:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390076673857445890

@Pewpew841 @Disclose_UFOUAP @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan Not hard to understand? Let me test you: why does the gimbal rotation proceed in sudden increments when traversing 0Â° (instead of smoothly rotating)

2021-05-05T22:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390076169727315972

@Pewpew841 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I'm not saying he is. I'm saying my explanation is actually simpler than "it's aliens".

2021-05-05T22:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390075940907012098

@Pewpew841 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan Not as many coincidences as you might think. Just the type of rare thing that might happen every 20 years or so.

2021-05-05T22:47:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390075719804342273

@twmbcm @KetterStone @LtTimMcMillan I have a lot of respect for our highly skilled men and women in the armed services. They do difficult and complex work in highly challenging and often dangerous circumstances.

I don't think they are 100% immune to error.

2021-05-05T22:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390075150247202819

@Disclose_UFOUAP @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan Regardless, it's an actual argument lots of people use. My explanation are hard to understand, so they must be wrong.

2021-05-05T22:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390074774647296001

@ufo_worldwide @dave_beaty @ArranGray9 @Oswald1160 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Fleets of targets moving at hypersonic speeds, and practically teleporting in from space. Strange craft with new-to-physics propulsion systems jamming radar and outmaneuvering top-gun pilots.

But hey, it's just a training exercise. Let's watch Men in Black and all go home.

2021-05-05T22:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390073802642522114

@Pewpew841 @CrewcutYoutube @joerogan Actually it was @ChristopherKMe4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qIuFdUcAA76yV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0qIuFdUcAA76yV.jpg

2021-05-05T22:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390073335745159168

@KetterStone @twmbcm @LtTimMcMillan Impossible in the context of known physics.

Of course it's *possible* there might be some new physics we're just not familiar with.

But it's also possible that people and machines sometimes mess up.

2021-05-05T22:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390069815931326465

@debunker Bah! Well he did a better job than me :)

2021-05-05T22:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390069668031832064

@CrewcutYoutube @joerogan That's a common fallacy, related to "God of the Gaps".

The simple SEEMING explanation (alien craft) requires a vastly complex unproven assumption - in this case representatives of an alien civilization visiting Earth.

That's not simpler than a few coincidences and mistakes

2021-05-05T22:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390068612187054087

@Dannyma55083668 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 The issue is what people claim about the video, not what might have happened before or after.

People (including Joe and Chris) claim the video shows something moving too fast to be a balloon. It does not.

2021-05-05T22:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390068184653471748

@knightsabers01 @ChristopherKMe4 @joerogan All I heard was "Nice guy though" :grinning_face:

2021-05-05T22:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390067300217212930

@ufo_worldwide @bradjohansson21 @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan There's only one known recording available. You previously mentioned having reviewed "the radar, HUD, FLIR recordings."

It's relevant because Fravor also referenced "all the tapes"

Perhaps just refer to it as the ATFLIR tape. Or FLIR1.

2021-05-05T22:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390066596241043458

@Fiddaman @UFOmusings Different video.

2021-05-05T21:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390062662948581377

@ufo_worldwide @bradjohansson21 @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan What HUD tapes?

2021-05-05T21:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390059243303161857

@ufo_worldwide @bradjohansson21 @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan How do you know they are less likely than aliens?

2021-05-05T21:32:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390056888683749376
@ashworth_mick @dave_beaty @ArranGray9 @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Fravor say immediately the radar could tell it was being jammed.

He says it went into "jam extrapolate".

Yet Underwood seemed, for many years, unaware of this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0p5KyjVgAEbYZO.jpg



DF **David Fravor** 14:13

So they launch off and we're telling him about this before and the back seater, Chad says he's really determined he's going to find this thing. So he tells the pilot here "we're gonna find this thing". So there's out driving around. And in the backseat of a Super Hornet. There's no stick, but they're sidestick controllers. And they're to control the sensors, because that's what the weapon systems guys do. And they can change displays really fast by just hitting a button and we'll flip from the radar to the targeting pod. And the way the system actually works is when you see something on the radar and you designate it as your primary target, all the other sensors will look at that point. So it's everything is kind of synced together. So he picks up a hit on his radar and he goes to lock it up, because I've watched all the tapes, he goes to lock it up and immediately the radar can tell, it gets signals back that it's being jammed. So, and technically jamming is an act of war. It starts jamming the radar, goes into a jam, extrapolate, a bunch of stuff happens on the scope. Well, he's smart enough to Castle to his targeting pod, and he takes a passive track. And that's the video that you see of the Tic Tac where it's just sitting in the middle of screen real quiet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0p5KyjVgAEbYZO.jpg
2021-05-05T21:28:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390055834051244033
@ufo_worldwide @ArranGray9 @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Fravor said he could tell that UNDERWOOD's plane was being jammed, by looking at the tapes.

Why couldn't Underwood tell this. He looked at the tapes too, plus he was there.

2021-05-05T21:26:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390055319951208449
@ArranGray9 @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Hard to say what happened. We've got conflicting verbal accounts.

And if there were all these "Acts of war" going on, is it really plausible that nothing at all would then happen? It would seem like a DEFCON 2 situation.

2021-05-05T21:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390053818960781314
@ArranGray9 @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @alpha_check

@LtTimMcMillan Oh, "Electronic Attack"

So, pretty specific.

2021-05-05T21:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390053587141627904

@ArranGray9 @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan I don't know. Fravor never explained HOW he could tell there was jamming, but Underwood was quite specific in saying why he thought there was NOT (No strobe, champagne bubbles, or "any normal EA indications")

(Not sure what EA indications are, maybe typo, EW?)

2021-05-05T21:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390051748555870208

@dave_beaty @ArranGray9 @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0p0saGUYAU3kyO.jpg

---



All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0p0saGUYAU3kyO.jpg

2021-05-05T21:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390049144849330181

@ArranGray9 @Oswald1160 @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan JRE 1361 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pyO5hVUAADAEf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pyO5hVUAADAEf.jpg
2021-05-05T20:50:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390046386100469760
@UFOmusings I could be wrong. But a plane is still at the top of my list of hypotheses.

I'm not really seeing good alternative hypotheses. "unknown, but 100% not a plane" really isn't a good hypothesis.

2021-05-05T20:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390045726957195270
@Dan_McGiveron @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 You'll have to ask Joe.

2021-05-05T20:36:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390042621360279554
@ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @ArranGray9 @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan
But unlike me, you said "reviewed the radar, HUD, FLIR recordings at length, I ...."

Just to be clear, you haven't actually seen any radar recordings, or HUD recordings? You are just going on Fravor's recollection?

2021-05-05T20:08:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390035631879852032
I was a bit sad that neither @joerogan nor @ChristopherKMe4 really seemed to understand GoFast, which is the simplest of the three videos. It's parallax. It's not really moving very fast at all. The CAMERA is moving fast.

Joe did call me a nice guy though, so there's that :)

2021-05-05T19:41:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1390028960633819136
@ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @ArranGray9 @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan

So, like me, you've not actually reviewed the radar and HUD recording.

2021-05-05T19:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390028492834709509

@ufo_worldwide @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan

Me neither, but I'd rank the possibilities as:

1) No jamming

2) Domestic jamming, some kind of test, or accident.

3) Adversarial human jamming

4) Alien jamming

2021-05-05T19:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390027427070447622

@dave_beaty @ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @ArranGray9 @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan

So is @ufo_worldwide Fravor? I don't think so, but they said "having reviewed the radar, HUD, FLIR recordings at length, I am of the firm (professional)opinion that these events were indeed UAPâ□□s."

2021-05-05T19:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390018502770126852

@ufo_worldwide @ashworth_mick @ArranGray9 @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan

How exactly have you reviewed the radar and HUD recordings?

2021-05-05T18:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390018197034725376

@ufo_worldwide @ArranGray9 @ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan

The original story was that there were no jamming cues, and the radar just couldn't hack it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pUmYvVIAAOYi8.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pUmYvVIAAOYi8.jpg

2021-05-05T17:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390002980468924419

@nathanjslattery @PostDisclosure @alpha_check Who is less lazy?

2021-05-05T17:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390001801416433664

@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan Right so you are giving me a technical explanation that also says there were no jamming cues, which was DIRECTLY contradicted by later testimony from Fravor (and then Underwood). So how accurate is it?

2021-05-05T17:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390001341246840834

@dave_beaty @alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @ftrpltpdcst Female pilot with Underwood? Are you thinking of "Source" with Fravor?

I don't remember hearing anything about Underwood's pilot, or his wingman.

2021-05-05T17:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389995131307102213

ATFLIR experts, what does FOCS 8 signify? Is that a good focus number for something 20+NM away? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pAMp7VIAAfKV6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0pAMp7VIAAfKV6.jpg

2021-05-05T16:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389978300299169798

@JeremyUnidenti1 @HappyAtomsPress No, http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0ox4GhVEAQ9Ct0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0ox4GhVEAQ9Ct0.jpg
2021-05-05T16:18:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389977714535268355
@HappyAtomsPress U

2021-05-05T16:17:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389977525246332929
@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan The radar was unable to supply a range to the ATFLIR though. 99.9 RNG 99 = no range available.

2021-05-05T16:05:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389974546170531840

@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan If you are unable to get an aspect vector, then how accurate would the azimuth and elevation be?

2021-05-05T15:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389965454836256770

@Spectre67904513 @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan The vast majority of the video is accurate - it's simply describing how things should work.

But how do we know that the there was an accurate handoff from the (non-working) radar to the ATFLIR.

Compare with start of GoFast. No radar lock, same symbology.

2021-05-05T14:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389954360969351174

@ashworth_mick @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan Yes, of course. The main system (Aegis, datalink) would know exactly where all the planes were.

The F-18 theory relies on Underwood having radar problems, and then his ATFLIR accidentally picking up an F-18. Probably because it was straight in front of him.

2021-05-05T14:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389950298135797761

@Spectre67904513 @PstarmanUa @conorowens94 @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan "Home-on-Jam" appears to be a system for allowing the missile itself to continue to approach a target while under attack by electronic counter-measures (in some cases by targeting the jamming radiation)

The issue here is accurate designating a target to hand-off to ATFLIR

2021-05-05T09:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389870617311121411

@PstarmanUa @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan What evidence is there that it was the same?

2021-05-05T07:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389838276865888256

@gornalalbion @PostDisclosure I watched. Brief response here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-that-the-nimitz-flir1-object-could-not-be-a-plane-because-it-would-have-been-identified.11726/

2021-05-05T06:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389832483961589761

@peaceloverearth @vimanaboy @GuardianAus They are not wearing masks because they are a small group of people who all know each other to be fully vaccinated.

2021-05-05T06:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389831996919091200

@PstarmanUa @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan Some initial thoughts:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-that-the-nimitz-flir1-object-could-not-be-a-plane-because-it-would-have-been-identified.11726/

2021-05-05T06:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389825798656303104

@RedLohit @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan I've written a short response here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-that-the-nimitz-flir1-object-could-not-be-a-plane-because-it-would-have-been-identified.11726/

2021-05-05T06:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389825591252189189

@tommyIzito @alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan No I watched the whole thing.

The issue is how do we know the ATFLIR object was what he saw on the radar.

2021-05-05T05:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389810593851269129

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan To get an ATFLIR target you would need at the minimum two angles relative to the plane, the heading, and the vertical angle.

How is that acquired accurately from a non-working radar?

2021-05-05T05:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389808275374284805

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan Just watched this. My key issue is that your argument hinges largely on A) The AFLIR object being transferred accurately from the radar, with secondary reliance on B) the radar being actively jammed
I don't doubt that any F-18 would show up on the SA

2021-05-05T04:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389806732235350017

@Harvestck2012 @RealMattCouch Because the only way to do that is to get further away. The photographer was limited by the size of the room. The Carters live in a small older house.

2021-05-05T04:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389796730695086083

@Disclosure89 I know, Iâ□□m just pointing out where the boilerplate is.

2021-05-05T03:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389791221061586947

@vimanaboy @GuardianAus I think itâ□□s just what they could get.

2021-05-05T03:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389789319238348805

@vimanaboy @GuardianAus Just geometry.

2021-05-05T03:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389785877358944259

@vimanaboy @GuardianAus Explained: Odd Looking Wide Angle Photo of Bidens and Carters https://youtu.be/WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-05T00:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389739001083531264

@II_Enix_II @dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan I think thatâ□□s generally the appropriate way to go. Make a list of hypotheses. Rank it. Donâ□□t remove anything

---

2021-05-05T00:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389736755427872772

@dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan @g_knapp Hmm, the same doc that said, no jamming cues, and that it was co-altitude or below (-5Â°) and he lost contact because he didn't bother to follow it? You want to take a bit of that as gospel?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0lV4d4UUAMRtcl.jpg



All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0lV4d4UUAMRtcl.jpg

2021-05-04T23:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389730510566350849

@dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan Dave how did you get the understanding that "Chad had entered Link-16?"

2021-05-04T23:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389729167118266369

@dave_beaty @alpha_check @LtTimMcMillan The big question is how that all ties in (and more

specifically tied in in this instance) to the blob seen on ATFLIR.

I don't think "Chad is lying" and "It was not a plane" are the only two possibilities here.

2021-05-04T23:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389717602449059840

@Disclose_UFOUAP I entirely agree.

2021-05-04T23:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389717457925931009

@GeorgeP25432685 You are assuming the "fleet" on the SA is the same thing that shows up on the Gimbal video.

2021-05-04T23:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389716730356801543

@Disclosure89 @BobMcGwier_N4HY Are there comparable examples that can be cited?

2021-05-04T22:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389714852227149824

@GeorgeP25432685 Glare has very little to do with the Tic-Tac video, and nothign to do with Fravor's encounter.

Glare is what the "Gimbal" video seems to be. Nobody (that we know of) saw that with their naked eyes.

2021-05-04T22:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389714505140158471

@Disclose_UFOUAP The significance it that people are reading specific meanings into the the boilerplate language because they don't realize it is just boilerplate.

2021-05-04T22:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389714128604852224

@naoehogui Hey, I've been on Rogan three times, other people should get a shot.

2021-05-04T22:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389710839381467147

@photog2020 @SeattleIndpdnt 10mm Canon EF-S, so 16mm equivalent for full frame.

2021-05-04T22:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389710544957935623

@GeorgeP25432685 And who said otherwise?

2021-05-04T22:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389710441820000256

@Disclose_UFOUAP Avoid the yellow words!

2021-05-04T22:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389710301558304769

@officer_pinky I do.

2021-05-04T22:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389706414415695872

What IS kind of interesting is the distribution list. It seems like a usually broad and deep list.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0k6HJKVcAEzTva.jpg

DISTRIBUTION:

SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
COMMANDER, U.S. CENTRAL COMMAND
COMMANDER, U.S. NORTHERN COMMAND
COMMANDER, U.S. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY
DIRECTOR, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE
DIRECTOR, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY/CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE
DIRECTOR, DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
AUDITOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
AUDITOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
AUDITOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0k6HJKVcAEzTva.jpg

2021-05-04T22:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389705214421176321

@conterammundi Yes, I'm not saying it's suspicious, quite the opposite really. I means the memo is a bit less interesting than it initially appears.

2021-05-04T22:12:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389704491616772097

@HRFaulkner I'm saying don't read anything UAP specific into the yellow words. (It was a mistake I made, and I see others still making).

2021-05-04T22:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389702874507079681

Comparing the DoD's UAP Evaluation memo to another memo from this year - it's almost entirely boilerplate (yellow). Only a couple of sentences have much meaning - the objective and the list of offices. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0k3Hc6UcAQOwud.jpg

---



INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
4800 MARK CENTER DRIVE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22350-1500

May 3, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Evaluation of the DoD's Actions Regarding the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (Project No. D2021-DEV0SN-0116.000)

We plan to begin the subject evaluation in May 2021. The objective of this evaluation is to determine the extent to which the DoD has taken actions regarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP). We may revise the objective as the evaluation proceeds, and we will consider suggestions from management for additional or revised objectives.

We will perform the evaluation at the Offices of the Secretary of Defense, Military Services, Combatant Commands, Combat Support Agencies, Defense Agencies, and the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations. We may identify additional locations during the evaluation.

Please provide us with a point of contact for the evaluation within **5 days** of the date of this memorandum. The point of contact should be a Government employee or Military Service Member—a GS-15, pay band equivalent, or the military equivalent. Send the contact's name, title, grade/pay band, phone number, and e-mail address to [redacted]

You can obtain information about the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General from DoD Directive 5106.01, "Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD)," April 20, 2012, as amended; and DoD Instruction 7050.03, "Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense Access to Records and Information," March 22, 2013. Our website is www.dodig.mil.

If you have any questions, please contact [redacted]

Randolph R. Stone
Assistant Inspector General for Evaluations
Space, Intelligence, Engineering, and Oversight

INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
4800 MARK CENTER DRIVE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22350-1500

March 29, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Evaluation of the Department of Defense's Reform of Privatized Military Housing Oversight Related to Health, Safety, and Environmental Hazards (Project No. D2021-DEV0SR-0093.000)

We plan to begin the subject evaluation in March 2021. This evaluation is the second in a series of three evaluations we will perform in response to the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2020 (Public Law 116-92), section 3044, "Inspector General Review of Department of Defense Oversight of Privatized Military Housing." The objective of this evaluation is to determine the extent of the Department of Defense's compliance with privatized military housing oversight requirements related to health, safety, and environmental hazards in the FY 2020 National Defense Authorization Act. We may revise the objective as the evaluation proceeds, and we will also consider suggestions from management for additional or revised objectives.

We will perform the evaluation at the Offices of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisitions and Sustainment, the Military Department office locations, and at multiple military installations. We anticipate holding our entrance conference on April 12, 2021. Additionally, we anticipate conducting site visits during June and July 2021.

Please provide us with points of contact for the evaluation within **5 days** of the date of this memorandum. The point of contact should be either a GS-15, pay band equivalent, or military equivalent. Send the contact's name, title, grade/pay band, phone number, and e-mail address to [redacted]

You can obtain information about the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General from DoD Directive 5106.01, "Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD)," April 20, 2012, as amended and DoD Instruction 7050.03, "Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense Access to Records and Information," March 22, 2013, as amended. Our website is www.dodig.mil.

If you have any questions, please contact [redacted]

Randolph R. Stone
Assistant Inspector General for Evaluations
Space, Intelligence, Engineering and Oversight

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0k3Hc6UcAQOwud.jpg

2021-05-04T20:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389683108551663617

@forabettertomm1 @SeattleIndpdnt Yes they do.

2021-05-04T20:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389683033163276291

@Sirwerd @RealMattCouch They are all vaccinated.

2021-05-04T20:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389677161448042496

@SeattleIndpdnt They have all been fully vaccinated.

Also, perspective.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T20:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389676772774465536

@pburbanov Es por la perspectiva

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T20:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389676363502604288

@nickbehnen19 Because of perspective. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T20:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389673446154506241

@BobKurbel @disclos14275769 @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell Would you say these two objects have long narrow beams?

Or do they have strong contours? Good Contrast?

These are IR glares. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0kcbfTVcAIQzGA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0kcbfTVcAIQzGA.jpg
2021-05-04T19:42:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389666777504780291
@fman123 Hence only 30 seconds needed to explain it. :)

2021-05-04T19:03:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389656845451612160
@SylentShadow Iâ□□m retired.

2021-05-04T18:54:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389654707975585795
@davexmit I think with the ubiquity of camera phones, people get lulled into thinking they DO understand cameras, because they use one all the time. But they are utterly unfamiliar with the perspective and distortion effects of wide angle and telephoto lenses.

2021-05-04T18:26:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389647676308738048
@mark58329831 K
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T18:26:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389647539050217476
A fun little explainer for the weird Bidens/Carters photo.

Wide angle lenses can make photos look odd. But if you're in a small room, and you want to get everyone in the shot, then that's what you do.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T18:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389646978779213829

@RealMattCouch https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhcdlnB55W0

2021-05-04T17:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389631815191695363

Small room + Two Presidents + Wide Angle = Conspiracy Theories.

https://twitter.com/RealMattCouch/status/1389467709394624512

2021-05-04T16:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389612740910600192

What's the significance of the involvement of the Military Criminal Investigation Organizations (NCIS? CID?) in an DoD IG evaluation of the DoD's actions regarding UFOs?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jkHo5UYAIHl8F.jpg

INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
4800 MARK CENTER DRIVE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22350-1500

May 3, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Evaluation of the DoD's Actions Regarding the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (Project No. D2021-DEV0SN-0116.000)

We plan to begin the subject evaluation in May 2021. The objective of this evaluation is to determine the extent to which the DoD has taken actions regarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP). We may revise the objective as the evaluation proceeds, and we will consider suggestions from management for additional or revised objectives.

We will perform the evaluation at the Offices of the Secretary of Defense, Military Services, Combatant Commands, Combat Support Agencies, Defense Agencies, and the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations. We may identify additional locations during the evaluation.

Please provide us with a point of contact for the evaluation within **5 days** of the date of this memorandum. The point of contact should be a Government employee or Military Service Member—a GS-15, pay band equivalent, or the military equivalent. Send the contact's name, title, grade/pay band, phone number, and e-mail address to [redacted]

You can obtain information about the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General from DoD Directive 5106.01, "Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD)," April 20, 2012, as amended; and DoD Instruction 7050.03, "Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense Access to Records and Information," March 22, 2013. Our website is www.dodig.mil.

If you have any questions, please contact [redacted]

Randolph R. Stone
Assistant Inspector General for Evaluations

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jkHo5UYAIHl8F.jpg

2021-05-04T16:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389610839703887874
@AdamKolkowski I've watched a lot, yes.

2021-05-04T15:47:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389607674543673354
@SlapSomebodyOG What triggers such oversight? Is there's a monetary level? Or would someone have to flag it?

2021-05-04T15:44:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389606770360799235
@ExoExplorer3 Yes, I see that now.

Still, the natural result of an evaluation of something is going to be deciding if it needs revising. More? Less? Different?

2021-05-04T15:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389605575051284481
On re-reading that, I think they are only looking at maybe revising the objectives of THIS evaluation. i.e. "The objective of this evaluation is to determine the extent to which the DoD has taken actions regarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP)."

2021-05-04T15:30:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389603366842499075
The DoD is looking at revising the objectives of their actions regarding UAPs.

What might that mean?

https://media.defense.gov/2021/May/04/2002633947/-1/-1/1/D2021-DEV0SN-0116.000_REDACTED.PDF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jcgN8VUAIcdeY.jpg

---

INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
4800 MARK CENTER DRIVE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22350-1500

May 3, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Evaluation of the DoD's Actions Regarding the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (Project No. D2021-DEV0SN-0116.000)

We plan to begin the subject evaluation in May 2021. The objective of this evaluation is to determine the extent to which the DoD has taken actions regarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP). We may revise the objective as the evaluation proceeds, and we will consider suggestions from management for additional or revised objectives.

We will perform the evaluation at the Offices of the Secretary of Defense, Military Services, Combatant Commands, Combat Support Agencies, Defense Agencies, and the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations. We may identify additional locations during the evaluation.

Please provide us with a point of contact for the evaluation within **5 days** of the date of this memorandum. The point of contact should be a Government employee or Military Service Member—a GS-15, pay band equivalent, or the military equivalent. Send the contact's name, title, grade/pay band, phone number, and e-mail address to [redacted]

You can obtain information about the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General from DoD Directive 5106.01, "Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD)," April 20, 2012, as amended; and DoD Instruction 7050.03, "Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense Access to Records and Information," March 22, 2013. Our website is www.dodig.mil.

If you have any questions, please contact [redacted]

Randolph R. Stone
Assistant Inspector General for Evaluations

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jcgN8VUAIcdeY.jpg

2021-05-04T15:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389601998190780416

@disario @Rahzsah @MiddleOfMayhem I can analyze the video that is available.

Whereof we cannot speak, thereof we must be silent.

2021-05-04T14:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389591424014852096

A claim and a correction, just 12 hours apart.

It's unfortunate that the first one will likely be the one some people remember. It's a complex issue, so many readers go with the conclusions they prefer.

I also expect a handful of people will claim this was a psyop.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jRE1-UcAE_jfg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0jRE1-UcAE_jfg.jpg

2021-05-04T14:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389589269925138438

@Tomfortas @foiaboi I think they mean independent of me :)

2021-05-04T14:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389587591146606597

@DiscoVolante8 @PostDisclosure See:

https://twitter.com/disclos14275769/status/1389559542535524355

2021-05-04T11:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389550055359664128

@disclos14275769 @BobKurbel @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell -54Â° (54 Left to 7 Right)

Tracking is mostly done with internal Gimbaled mirrors.

The apparent rotation of the light patterns and the glare is from the occasional coarse motion of the external gimbals.

Discussed with sources back in 2019-2020,

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/

2021-05-04T11:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389545622823481347

@flarkey @BobKurbel @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @disclos14275769 @JeremyCorbell The details, with sources, are discussed here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/

2021-05-04T03:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389429242824323078

@AdamStomp @Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical I think thatâ□□s not triangular bokeh, itâ□□s a triangular arrangement of lights.

2021-05-04T03:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389426221792980995

@AdamStomp @Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical Seems unrelated.

2021-05-04T03:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389425720078716933

@BobKurbel @dave_beaty @disclos14275769 @JeremyCorbell No, the use of the external gimbal is minimized to avoid vibration. The sudden rapid movement is expected.

2021-05-04T03:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389418502843355136

@BobKurbel @dave_beaty @disclos14275769 @JeremyCorbell The abrupt rotations are the gross motions of the large external housing. The smooth tracking is done with internal gimbaled mirrors.

2021-05-04T03:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389417912708984833

@TomSuesss @dave_beaty @disclos14275769 @JeremyCorbell And how was that determined?

2021-05-04T02:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389410747554222082

@dave_beaty @disclos14275769 @JeremyCorbell Why? What do you think Iâ□□ll miss by answering quickly?

The gimbal corrections are not expected to be smooth. They are expected to be abrupt.

Perhaps a chat might resolve this

2021-05-03T23:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389362598286479361

@hersito The only thing that's close is a star or starburst filter. But I think that has to have an even number (as the diffraction goes in both directions), and then always streaks/spikes rather than the edges of a shape.

2021-05-03T23:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389362037566758912

@hersito Yeah, I don't think it's possible. This is probably the aperture in the lens.

2021-05-03T20:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389314413363011584

@EssentialBusin7 @zcichy One thing is not all things.

2021-05-03T19:54:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389307379754278912
@horv_att It's a screenshot of an upcoming Flat Earth laser test.

2021-05-03T19:41:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389304091898114050
@markopilkington @murnut Thanks Mark. I think I'll start with the film due to limited time/attention span.

It's been 11+ years since you wrote it, how would you update it, given the current situation of an "official" UAP Task Force and things like TTSA and Elizondo.

2021-05-03T17:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389264450314661889
@murnut Sure, but does the documentary diverge significantly in any way? The doc seems pretty much Doty-focussed (based on Wikipedia)

Also, how seriously does the tech-oriented arm of #ufotwitter take it?

2021-05-03T16:57:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389262925693538304
@knightsabers01 @Eiskind1 @Spacecowboy781 I didn't do one. I never felt it was worth the attention.

2021-05-03T16:57:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389262780285423619
Who has both watched the documentary Mirage Men, and also read the book?

Is the documentary an adequate representation of the book? I know I should read it, but realistically I'm more likely to watch it.

2021-05-03T16:05:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389249748872298497
@Dannyma55083668 There's a description of the process and issues here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.7832/

2021-05-03T16:04:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389249577895694337
@arjitroshan We are supposed to believe based upon a story by anonymous sources citing other anonymous experts of uncertain skill sets, working with unknown data for an unknown duration.

If the UAPTF is just one guy working part time, then the quality of the "whole story" is indeterminate.

2021-05-03T16:00:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1389248590795579397

@Dannyma55083668 You can't see the horizon curve from the beach. However you can see it from about 500-1000 feet if you have a clear day, and a long straight edge to compare it against.

Of course, from the beach is the best place to see things like ships and islands being obscured by the horizon

---

2021-05-03T15:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389234067967602696

Shooting a laser over a body of water is one of the worst ways possible of demonstrating that it curves, as the inevitable varying temperature gradients in the air just above the water allow for any result you like, given time.

So it's really popular with Flat Earthers http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0eMUIGUUAAIwKn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0eMUIGUUAAIwKn.jpg

2021-05-03T14:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389230212689240068

@Fiddaman Advanced Aerospace Threats represent a real issue. It makes sense to study tech and science progress and usage. UAP study could reveal foreign progress and usage of aerospace tech.

2021-05-03T14:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389224945452937217

@papercrane111 Just trying to improve my research process.

2021-05-03T11:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389177633305292800

@stevebozz1 @strategic_doubt @zcichy Yes, and this time Iâ□□ll save it :)

2021-05-03T04:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389078528516186115

@Berlinghoff_R Did you publish that somewhere? It's great.

2021-05-03T04:42:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389077975128186883

@zcichy Someone else posted that a few weeks ago. I forgot to save the link. The tetroon pic is from: http://www.noufors.com/Documents/Platov.pdf

Another:

https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1029/1998JD100094

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0b_Cv_VEAgKdaT.jpg



Figure 1. (a) Schematic diagram of smart tetroon showing primary components. (b) Photograph of ballasted tetroon and transponder, with Randy Johnson (foreground right), the tetroon's primary developer, and students.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0b_Cv_VEAgKdaT.jpg

2021-05-03T04:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389076669466509313

@Berlinghoff_R Thats great!

Tree structure again though - I want more than a fancy outliner. I want red string everywhere!

2021-05-03T04:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389076079688642564

@a_idealist @howardmbarker @Oswald1160 Not personally, it comes up on Metabunk from time to time - but there's already very good researcher who have spent decades on the topic.

2021-05-03T04:22:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389072749952331776

@Spacecowboy781 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0b6TPYUcAM5BLw.jpg





250 PLATOV,

Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0b6TPYUcAM5BLw.jpg

2021-05-03T04:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389067345595826182

@realufobros Seems like itâ□□s limited to simple hierarchical relationships? Tree structure, not a free graph.

2021-05-03T03:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389061323502153732

@SparedNoExp Thanks!

2021-05-03T03:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389060505579397120

@a_idealist @howardmbarker @Oswald1160 Vast amounts of time. Thatâ□□s why my first book was about them, and barely mentioned UFOs

---

2021-05-03T00:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389018324848181248

@a_idealist @howardmbarker @Oswald1160 Actually my site Metabunk has far more on 9/11 Truthers, chemtrails, and other conspiracy theories than it does UFOs.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0bIpFGVcAA0xUO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0bIpFGVcAA0xUO.jpg

2021-05-02T20:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388955900396724227

If you don't have much wall space, what's a good digital version of the classic red string and push-pins "investigation wall"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0aPw2GVEAAfVBd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0aPw2GVEAAfVBd.jpg

2021-05-02T20:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388949831553470465

@SGuy8bit @onelastread @TruthBe05900844 I'm not that familiar with Rendlesham, but there seem to be a variety of issues with his testimony.

http://www.ianridpath.com/ufo/pennistonnotebook.html

2021-05-02T20:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388949022325370881

@TruthBe05900844 @Oswald1160 @foiaboi @CurtCollins579 @LueElizondo Elizondo basic position regarding my analysis on the videos is that there's secret data and expert opinions that

proves me wrong that he can't share. However he did not really seem familiar with my hypotheses. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0aJkB0VEAEl33-.jpg



Mick West 49:51

We've only got seven minutes left so I want to just real quickly get on to the go fast video.

LE Lue Elizondo 49:52

Okay.

Mick West 49:52

Now the Go Fast video. It was said originally that it was a low and fast objects but if you do the math on the range and the angles that are shown on screen you can see that is actually a high and slow object are you familiar with this discrepancy

LE Lue Elizondo 49:59

Well, I'm familiar with the alleged discrepancy but that is not the position of those that were inside the government. So you know, I'm always, I think an alternative hypothesis is always interesting and always worth having a discussion about

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0aJcKbUUAEKOuw.jpg



Mick West 50:04

It's pretty straightforward math though i mean would that imply that the the readings that are displayed on screen are actually wrong, the angle and the range

LE Lue Elizondo 50:31

Well that's a question we'd have to go back with isn't it that's a question that we'd have to go back and figure out why there's a discrepancy. I can tell you that that several individuals that were very qualified, in particularly in that particular collection platform were able to discern that this was an object that was indeed moving at a fairly fast speed. I can't tell you what that speed is but I but I know what that's what was indicated to me after quite a bit of analysis and by the way let me make this clear it wasn't world according to or it wasn't even world according to ancient we had truly some of the finest engineers and scientists in other organizations within the intelligence community providing that data to us because we're not engineers right so we really needed those experts to tell us what it is we were seeing and then the discussion with the pilots were also very interesting because according to them and according to again radar data, not just what you see in the camera, that the performance characteristics of this object in particular the way i presume you're talking about, again, Go Fast, is that correct?

Mick West 50:34

yeah

LE Lue Elizondo 50:34

yeah that that there was some very compelling signatures associated with that with that video

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0aJkB0VEAEl33-.jpg

2021-05-02T19:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388945602415763461
@SGuy8bit @onelastread @TruthBe05900844 I don't automatically think that all witnesses are mistaken about what they have seen. I do think that's likely in some specific cases.

2021-05-02T19:46:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388943052987719680
@TruthBe05900844 @Oswald1160 @foiaboi @CurtCollins579 @LueElizondo He made the same mistake, in rather dramatic fashion on "Unidentified"

2021-05-02T19:45:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388942733448933378
@steven_dor @JasonColavito @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 To be fair, I did refer to Reid and Rubio (and separately Mellon, Podesta, DeLong, Elizondo, and others_ as "UFO Fans". The point being that their assessment of the UFO situation is not far removed from an average ardent ufologist - they (mostly) don't even claim to know more.

2021-05-02T18:13:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388919650231013379
@ExogenesisHH @Ps5andxbox1 My initial impression: an unsolved problem in geology might simply have an explanation that has not yet been considered, possibly just a refinement of an existing, prematurely eliminated, hypothesis.
Having "exploding alien probe" as the most likely hypothesis seems odd.

2021-05-02T17:43:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388912010734100480
@weekly_ufo @YourKingMob
https://www.dailystar.co.uk/news/weird-news/cigar-shaped-ufo-cruises-above-21264827

2021-05-02T17:42:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388911908372185088
@weekly_ufo @YourKingMob In your badly degraded version of the video the dark line comes mostly from excessive sharpening. The video was taken in Orange, where S18 crosses 261 and 214. Looking north towards Edwards AFB, with Mojave Space Port about 88 miles away.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0ZnyqoUYAMC0KP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0ZnyqoUYAMC0KP.jpg

2021-05-02T17:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388908724861894658

@onelastread @TruthBe05900844 That is false.

2021-05-02T17:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388901421223800833

@MicahBBurke @weekly_ufo It does, and Space Port is just 88 miles in that direction, so a possible candidate.

2021-05-02T16:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388900728521957379

@a_idealist @weekly_ufo No, just TFA.

2021-05-02T16:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388889321822855168

@weekly_ufo Ah, I see it's from over a year ago. On a now-deleted YouTube channel, and not in Nevada County.

https://anomalien.com/watch-cigar-shaped-ufo-fly-above-los-angeles-mountains/

2021-05-02T16:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388888486967595013

@weekly_ufo Where did you get this footage from?

2021-05-02T15:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388884152888426498

@BuddhaUap I think that Elizondo THINKS theres loads of great evidence for alien craft. I just suspect he's probably wrong.

I don't think he's lying - just over-exaggerating.

2021-05-02T02:24:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388680780918845443
@zcichy @PostDisclosure @__dregs__ Sure, identifying things is a super important problem.

But thatâ□□s unrelated to covering up stuff, like antigravity evidence.

2021-05-02T01:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388670865429057537
@PostDisclosure @bradjohansson21 Why 100? Why only one trial?

2021-05-01T21:54:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388612815452196864
These three options for why there seems to be a UFO cover-up miss a very important one:

#0: There's really not that much to cover up. The absence of evidence is just an absence of evidence, not a cover-up. https://twitter.com/MindfulOfLight/status/1388609051060314116

2021-05-01T20:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388590866751647744
@twinnyter81 I'm not contradicting "The Navy". I'm suggesting one possibility for one sighting, about which the Navy has said nothing.

2021-05-01T17:04:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388539906893369345
@Laughing_Noam It's just three different standard textbook formulae. Top is the Gaussian integral, middle looks like a Fourier series thing. bottom is the quadratic formula.

No significance, just someone wanted to make some formula art and used what they remembered.

2021-05-01T16:55:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388537695002112003
@conzmoleman @John123Aj And with the Colombian encounter, maybe it was an expensive balloon.

Wind speed is irrelevant. It's all relative air speed at that alt.

-70Â°F seems a bit low for 30,000. Where did that number come from?

2021-05-01T16:52:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388536940639576064
@conzmoleman @John123Aj Fravor called it a beach ball.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0US45MVkAE_3pE.jpg



oe Rogan Experience #1361 - Cmdr. David Fravor & Jeremy Corbell

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0US45MVkAE_3pE.jpg

2021-05-01T16:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388536453878013962

@steven_nikolic @bonedaddy03 @zcichy There's quite a variety of people here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0USeSCVgAAOedB.jpg

I would begin interviews with sources who seemed lucid and prudent and who insisted, like Kean, that they were interested only in vetted data, and that they used the term "U.F.O." in the strictly literal sense—whether the objects were spaceships or drones or clouds, we just didn't know. An hour later, they would reveal to me that the aliens had been living in secret bases under the ocean for millions of years, had genetically altered primates to become our ancestors, and had taught accounting to the Sumerians.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0USeSCVgAAOedB.jpg

2021-05-01T16:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388535805585494018

@itsarmandoman @UfoStudy I follow the evidence and try to figure out what it shows.

2021-05-01T16:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388535448281047047

@conzmoleman @John123Aj Fravor did not specify an an altitude for the beach ball encounter, AFAIK.

2021-05-01T16:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388533609510805504

@conzmoleman @John123Aj Inflatable marine radar reflectors are not inflatable so they float. They are inflatable (or rather deflatable) for easy stowage. You hang them from the mast.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0UP3FNUcAEgBpb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0UP3FNUcAEgBpb.jpg

2021-05-01T16:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388532350363987972

@conzmoleman @John123Aj No, I'm thinking that the object in your video looks like a balloon.

One thing is not all things.

2021-05-01T16:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388531733776142337

@UAPTheory Erm, I was six.

2021-05-01T16:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388530931091140610

@conzmoleman @John123Aj Stable and controlled? The object in your video does not appear to be moving at all, it's just hanging in the air very much in the same way a balloon does.

2021-05-01T16:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388530409986674691

@JasonColavito @BrianDunning I think the article was more about the people than "are UFOs real." I agree the drift seems to favor the believers more than the evidence warrants. But you can't please everyone.

Bottom line: it's an interesting read.

2021-05-01T14:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388506510544625671

@bonedaddy03 @zcichy Also a soap opera.

2021-05-01T14:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388506336216838147

@SSGHambuns Nobody outside of ufology will realize that. But heâ□□s an important (if rather ridiculous now) punctuation point in the history of â□□disclosureâ□□

2021-05-01T14:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388505328283623428

@TomSuesss Yes, I linked that already. This is an example to that theory matching Fravorâ□□s description more precisely.

2021-05-01T14:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388503923191738374

@zcichy Little push, but a vast pull.

The public finds the topic of UFOs fascinating, but largely unimportant to their daily lives. More entertainment than research and policy imperatives

---

2021-05-01T14:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388502469601153024

@chileano99 A second is quite a long time, especially if you donâ□□t actually recognize exactly what something is.

2021-05-01T14:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388501036956983300

@UFOi1973 This is not an observation he personally made. Itâ□□s a story he heard.

2021-05-01T14:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388500734891630592

@chileano99 One thing is not all things.

2021-05-01T14:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388500619678281732

@chileano99 He didnâ□□t.

2021-05-01T14:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388500498097967115

@HeyitsRobro Only if a different thing was the same thing.

2021-05-01T14:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388499924166201346

@Jeff_McNaughton I donâ□□t think they have spoken publicly. Itâ□□s been 2nd or 3rd hand accounts.

2021-05-01T06:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388382361520201733

@BFraggles It's 200g, which seems too much to float. Not sure.

2021-05-01T06:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388378877408333824

@RedLohit Sure sounds simpler. I'm not sure if it is.

2021-05-01T06:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388378578060910595
@MiddleOfMayhem http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0SC9GIUcAQ6TMY.jpg

Its outer layer is made of high density polymer, built in metal mesh

Volume (inflated): 0.05m^3

Dimensions: 460mm

Weight: 200g

Radar echo: ≥ 7.5 m2 (X-band), ≥ 0.5 m2 (S-band)



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0SC9GIUcAQ6TMY.jpg
2021-05-01T06:22:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388378170999537669
@MiddleOfMayhem It's for use on boats, tied to the mast. Being up in the air would require appropriately windy and updrafting weather.

2021-05-01T06:19:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388377464892653570
@aleks801 Of course it would have to be lofted up by the wind, which would just take weather.

2021-05-01T06:18:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388377251889041409
@aleks801 When you are flying past it at 500 mph, yes.

2021-05-01T06:14:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388376381663236098
@5X5_NEWS Yes, Tyler found that a whiles back. But this version is just an actual cube in a beach ball. (Send to me via email)
https://twitter.com/Aviation_Intel/status/1142532802237194240

2021-05-01T06:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388373780603359237

https://www.alibaba.com/product-detail/Marine-Radar-Reflector-With-A-Cheap_60590384137.html

---

2021-05-01T06:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388373598142754817

David Fravor: "[an] airplane almost hit one of these things but it looks like a cube inside of a clear beach ball "

Possible candidate? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0R90vOUUAEj-k0.jpg

---



oe Rogan Experience #1361 - Cmdr. David Fravor & Jeremy Corbell

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0R90vOUUAEj-k0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0R802NVoAAEYww.jpg
2021-05-01T05:17:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388361830095998977
Â¡Mi primera entrevista OVNI en espaÃ±ol! (Con un traductor, ya que en realidad no puedo hablar espaÃ±ol)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2jIOm9lqLws

2021-05-01T05:11:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388360448957767683
@L7tothemax @Disclose_UFOUAP @lerealmush @JeremyCorbell @MysteryWire Argentina has a better one. Check out their case resolution reports here:

https://www.argentina.gob.ar/fuerzaaerea/centro-de-identificacion-aeroespacial

---

2021-05-01T05:08:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388359724861513728
@PostDisclosure @ModeledBehavior @revivingfisking @OurSharedSky I've no idea. There are significant differences between Source and Fravor.

2021-05-01T04:30:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388350145150869512
@ModeledBehavior @OurSharedSky What exactly donâ□□t the military know, and how do YOU know this?

I donâ□□t know *exactly* what the things in the videos are.

2021-05-01T03:38:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388337102971043843
@ModeledBehavior @revivingfisking @OurSharedSky Slaight has said almost nothing.

2021-05-01T01:19:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388301925720858628
@L7tothemax @Disclose_UFOUAP @lerealmush @JeremyCorbell @MysteryWire What about in terms of the CEFAA vs. the UAPTF? How do they stack up?

http://www.cefaa.gob.cl/home/historia

2021-05-01T01:16:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388301349725511681
@OurSharedSky @ModeledBehavior Obviously I don't have the whole story. Obviously there's more data that's classified.

But what does he say that I got wrong? What does this data show that contradicts what I said? That seems unclear.

2021-05-01T01:13:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388300627055316996
@eversley_john To avoid escalation.

2021-04-30T23:02:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388267479022010368
@conterammundi No, it's just a fascinating topic.

2021-04-30T22:54:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388265459829534720
@conterammundi Pretty much.

2021-04-30T22:41:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388262190453387265
Interesting overview of the current UFO situation, with character portraits (including me).

https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2021/05/10/how-the-pentagon-started-taking-ufos-seriously

---

2021-04-30T22:39:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388261663736926211
@lerealmush @murnut @JeremyCorbell @MysteryWire Filmed from a helicopter.

2021-04-30T22:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388257067341795329
@HRFaulkner Well, yes, they would produce reports and presentations, which would include images and video. Sorry I was a bit off with "write it up"

2021-04-30T22:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388256760809476097
RT @lerealmush: 1) @JeremyCorbell in his recent podcast/interview with @mysterywire says you can't identify the unidentified with less infoâ□¦

2021-04-30T22:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388256637249486852
@SimonSund Filter or lens cover (depending on the lens - works with a 50mm f/1.8, but maybe not with a longer lens). http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0QTr57VkAINjac.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0QTr57VkAINjac.jpg
2021-04-30T19:41:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388216915798102016
@JasonColavito Perhaps also related to "Jesus is Alive" and the earlier "Osiris is Alive".

i.e. the natural rejection of the idea of the death of a larger-than-life figure.

2021-04-30T19:25:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388212826045440002
"VIPER team" sounds a lot more bad-ass than "SNOOPIE team" but it sounds like the SNOOPIE team does the practical work, and the VIPER team (MCs, Mass communication specialists) just helps them, then writes it up for intel and PR purposes.

https://media.defense.gov/2021/Mar/10/2002597922/-1/-1/1/VI%20SHIPBOARD%20OPERATIONS%20GUIDANCE%20CHECKLIST.PDF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Pr3YCVEAU0z0F.jpg

Navy MC's support a variety of visual information (VI) responsibilities when they are assigned to a ship. OPTASK VI are **no-fail** missions to visually document key moments of unsafe, unprofessional or abnormal interactions by vessels and/or aircraft of other nations against U.S. assets. The imagery products provide higher headquarters with a full narrative to inform operational decision-making, intelligence analysis, and, if released, public consumption via media outlets. If released, these products provide external audiences with enough information to communicate an accurate and timely account of what transpired and win the battle of the narrative. It is critical that you do not miss capturing the imagery because you will not get another chance.

Visual information personnel (VIPER) teams provide documentation of these interactions, according to a prescribed set of timelines, to higher headquarters for potential public release.

You may also be assigned to a Ship's Nautical Or Otherwise Photographic Interpretation and Examination (SNOOPIE) event when an unknown contact comes within visual range. Still and motion imagery of these contacts helps identify the contact and inform the Tactical Action Officer, Intelligence Officer and Commanding Officer of a contact's weaponry and posture. Be prepared to respond quickly and know your role when these events happen.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Pr3YCVEAU0z0F.jpg

JC **Jeremy Corbell** 7:30

Oh, yeah, certainly, we all live through that. And you and I very closely, this is the greatest amount of attention that has come to the topic in a long time. And it's a cumulative thing that has occurred because of these unsolicited statements by people in positions to know it's a culmination effect of building up to and then all of a sudden, wow, we have new imagery, but I want to back up a second here. You don't hang your hat on a single video or piece of evidence for something that is historic and global, and has a temporal it's been with us since as far as recorded human history. So the idea that, you know, some backyard internet warrior can replicate kind of poorly, what you're seeing in like a video that was released, you know, by the way, confirmed Navy, and by the way, by a viper team, not even a Snoopy team. And let's talk about that difference. You have to really stop for a second and say, What is evidence to me? is evidence like one video, is it two videos, like, at what point does the evidence become heavy, so I never say one video is going to prove beyond a shadow of a doubt, everything we ever wanted to know about UFOs. But cumulatively, and what has happened with the amplification process of what we released, is so powerful for the UFO topic for the mystery. For the question, how do you identify and on identified with less information than the professionals who are tasked and came up with unidentified? The answer is, honestly, you can't do it honestly. So these ideas that debunkers, that you can tell it's personal, you can tell that it's all sorts of other things other than reality that is making people get so quickly to assumptions and quickly to their conclusions, and "I can make something kind of look like something else, so believe me". Because "don't trust authority". But you know, "I'm an authority. So trust me", that if everything is a contradiction down is up and up and down. This is Alice in Wonderland at this point. So

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0PpVu6UUAAd3qr.jpg

2021-04-30T18:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388202099431800834

@rogerglassel @red_pill_junkie @JeremyCorbell Aha! An "Informal Task Force", mostly Navy, made official and cross-department in August.

2021-04-30T17:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388178660901851137

@SicCoP1 @JeremyCorbell So I wonder how far back there was something called the "UAP Task Force"

2021-04-30T17:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388177609310179329

@KillTrevor13 One entirely devoid of context.

Looking at her channel I very much doubt it's anything. Probably something like a digitally zoomed lens flare of the sun behind some trees.

2021-04-30T16:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388173995896295424

@TRandallizer @_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @aka__V @DaveFalch @lerealmush @BryanKelly When the jet is small on screen, the glare is much bigger than the entire jet. The details of the exhaust are hidden by the glare. See this A340, for example. Glares (the black blobs) at roughly same scale as the plane image.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0PIlPcVcAM8gAH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0PIlPcVcAM8gAH.jpg

2021-04-30T16:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388171210781970433

@SnakePo9 @foiaboi @JeremyCorbell When was the first recorded mention of the the UAP task force?

2021-04-30T16:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388168025250033664
@TRandallizer @_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @aka__V @DaveFalch @lerealmush @BryanKelly The ATFLIR IR is in the longer wavelengths , which is thermal radiation. That's not the same as the IR from, say, a security camera. I'm pretty sure you'd need a heat source.

Of course, there's always the hypothesis that it's in disguise, one way or another.

2021-04-30T16:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388167245759619073
@foiaboi @SnakePo9 @JeremyCorbell Erm, that's why I'm asking for clarification.

2021-04-30T15:47:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388157949013467139
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @aka__V @DaveFalch @lerealmush @BryanKelly Over exposure isn't an "issue" in the sense of being a bug. It's a natural consequence of wanting to see things in a scene that has a bright light in it. If you expose so the light isn't over-exposed, then the rest of the scene will be dark. Example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0O6LRzUUAA7QQ0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0O6LRyUYAI2b2k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0O6LRzUUAA7QQ0.jpg

2021-04-30T15:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388153049844121603

@bradjohansson21 @amazonca @chaptersindigo @SimonSchusterCA @simonschuster I see a few copies available on http://Amazon.ca now. Maybe a temporary shortage.

Hardcovers are certainly getting harder to find.

2021-04-30T15:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388151457480798211

@SimonSund Yeah, it looks like the aperture to me, but someone suggested it might be related to the polarization.

2021-04-30T15:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388151172326854659

@LtTimMcMillan @BenGreenmaidan @UAPresearch Uh oh!

2021-04-30T15:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1388146425213587462

Question for @JeremyCorbell, if the UAP Task Force was established on August 4 2020, then what was the UAPTF report and presentation, with a narrator, that took place on May 1 2020?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0OvuSWVcAEG0Ld.jpg

Joe Murgia 42:08

I think people are gonna assume that multiple, you have multiple [angles]

Jeremy Corbell 42:11

Yes. Well, that Joe, I'm not saying that's not the case, either. Just to be clear with you, that may very well be the case. Inside of the May 1 2020 ONI UAPTF report that is on SIPR and intel link and other intel link systems to be specific, you will notice three images. And then there is also a video, this report is not just a piece of paper, it's a presentation. There's a narrator. So in this report, you'd get these three images. Now you tell me if those images match up to the video I gave you. When I said when I said there were multiple angles, what I mean is, and I don't know, George, if I should get too into this. So you guys have the ability to cut if you think I should be cut.

George Knapp 43:05

Yeah, you, I think you probably should tread lightly here. But I mean, I don't want to be mysterious or something. It's just you, you've made some promises to how it was going to come out. So

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0OvuSWVcAEG0Ld.jpg
2021-04-30T14:11:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388133998094782467
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @aka__V @DaveFalch @lerealmush @BryanKelly But all the people involved are just looking at the same video. Is there something in the video that proves it's rotating (coincidentally while the camera is rotating at exactly the same speed, but the horizon is being derotated)

2021-04-30T14:02:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388131677235421184
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @aka__V @DaveFalch @lerealmush @BryanKelly How do you know the object is rotating?

2021-04-30T08:48:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388052546866933760
@_Desmoden @aka__V @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly I used the sun because it was a handy hot object in the sky.

2021-04-30T06:22:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388015764632866819
@alpha_check But the radar never got a good lock on the object.

2021-04-30T05:50:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388007829760479232
@_Desmoden @aka__V @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly Glare can take on many shapes.
Why do you think this glare rotates when the buildings do not?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1388007760663437313/pu/img/n2n1YtqGqKEUnTef.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1388007760663437313/pu/img/n2n1YtqGqKEUnTef.jpg
2021-04-30T05:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388007168507478024
@logan_rutger No. I want to figure out what's real, what's not, how things work, what happened, and how to help other people do the same. I wrote a book about it.

2021-04-30T05:39:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388005072592793600
@aka__V @_Desmoden @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly The object is the sun (or rather, the thermal camera glare from the sun, shot through a streaky cover)

2021-04-30T05:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1388001851560185858
What causes the triangular Bokeh here? It's in footage with "polarized light" and a "special camera". (From Life In Color)

Is it just a lens with a triangular aperture, or is it related at all to the polarizing filter?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1388000788350341121/pu/img/bt0cKOb0H1dqbtbA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1388000788350341121/pu/img/bt0cKOb0H1dqbtbA.jpg
2021-04-30T04:46:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387991620247715840
@SparedNoExp @James_A_Roots No, these are the ones that are just theories.

2021-04-30T02:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387954689858179081
@_Desmoden @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly You say you don't understand my rotating glare hypothesis.
Would you be open to having a Zoom conversation about it, so I can figure out what's wrong with my explanation?

2021-04-30T02:14:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387953380690067457
@_Desmoden @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly It's an exercise to see if you understand what Dave is telling you.

I don't think it's possible as what Dave said made no sense, but maybe I'm wrong.

2021-04-30T02:13:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387953165996154883
@moserbr Yes, anything from Chinese cover-up at 1, to 5G Nanochip brain controls false flag around 8-9

2021-04-30T01:34:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387943385281953792
@_Desmoden @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly Falch has blocked me, so I can't really get into a conversation with him - but his tweet "The whole scene doesn't rotate, so it's not reflection." Just demonstrates a total lack of understanding of the rotating glare hypothesis.

Try explaining it Jon, in your own words. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0L2opxUUAM3izJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0L2opxUUAM3izJ.jpg
2021-04-30T01:28:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387941917195833348
@Edmonstar_7 I think it's wrong. Mistaking video artifacts and AI upscaling for space warps.

2021-04-30T00:28:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387926736864616451
@faktoids No. But there is a new pro-chemtrail film out, with a minor boost in interest. It all got mostly overlooked with the Pandemic, QAnon, and Election stuff.

2021-04-30T00:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387921691821494278
@GeorgeP25432685 I'm not sure what that has to do with contrails.

2021-04-29T22:45:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387900810638495744
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch All devices do to some degree. You can't have physically perfect lenses or mirrors Point any camera at the sun (with blue-sky exposure), and you will get glare.

2021-04-29T22:42:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387900144813703170
@ZombieSymmetry To complicate things a bit, the bypass air is slightly warmer than ambient air.

2021-04-29T22:40:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387899620664037379
@steven_nikolic @EricRWeinstein That's an old claim, I have a chapter in my book on "The 'Conspiracy Theory' Conspiracy Theory"

2021-04-29T22:37:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387898823981236224

@zombekiller1o1 A bit wider, as there's claims he faked his death and is working with Q to expose everyone.

It's a pretty ad-hoc scale.

2021-04-29T21:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387882735134404610

@NIH_LLAMAS Point B is the temperature and humidity of the exhaust gases.

2021-04-29T21:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387882445156937728

@ScottGearen I'm telling you what it LOOKS LIKE, not what it is.

2021-04-29T21:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387882241611558915

@Bhima_Jenkins @James_A_Roots a 7 is pretty extreme, above 7 is basically magic.

2021-04-29T21:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387881976233820161

@ZombieSymmetry You don't need a mechanism, you're shooting out the exhaust into the freezing cold ambient air around the plane. The exhaust mixes with that.

2021-04-29T21:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387881606963032064

Unfortunately, to really understand why a high bypass jet engine makes a persistent contrail in a wider range of atmospheric conditions than a low bypass jet engine, you have to understand this diagram.

Which is why some people just go with "chemtrails"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K-O6LVIAAZER1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K-O6LVIAAZER1.jpg

2021-04-29T21:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387880773336793094

@ScottGearen Looks like two lights out of focus. Probably landing lights.

2021-04-29T21:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387879929417584640

@Ps5andxbox1 @GlasgowLench That's pretty all-encompassing.

2021-04-29T21:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387879738367102977

@ZombieSymmetry Colder exhaust is correct! All things being equal it makes the mixing curve more likely to pass through a region of water supersaturation. Then if ambient conditions are ice-supersaturation, it persists.

Hotter = B moves right. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K9FW_VEAM56Lh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K9FW_VEAM56Lh.jpg

2021-04-29T21:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387878284189339650

@officer_pinky @Daniel_Loxton Pretty much in line with chemtrails.

2021-04-29T21:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387878157672271874

@flydnb Greer seem unconvincing to me.

2021-04-29T21:14:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387878034670190595

@pale__ale Yes, like I put "9/11" and "JFK" on. It's a topic about which there are conspiracy theories

---

2021-04-29T21:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387877755849633792

@GlasgowLench @Ps5andxbox1 Cryptozoology doesn't really get much into conspiracy theories.

2021-04-29T21:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387877437174804482

@Cuthellain @GordonSBrooks The thing is, there's not reasonable part-way-plausible version of the the Flat Earth theory. It's always going to be ridiculous. Hence only exists at the upper end of this spectrum.

2021-04-29T21:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387876979416858624
@knightsabers01 I was just readying my slides for a talk, and noticed the UFO thing. For a while I though I'd gone too far, but now not so much.

No reflection on any individual. UFOlogy is very diverse. One thing is not all things.

2021-04-29T21:07:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387876327508701191
@MysteryWire Cool, I'm giving a talk on Conspiracy Theories at 4PM PDT, so hold of until after then :)

2021-04-29T21:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387875907159793667
@James_A_Roots 1 = mundane and expected conspiracies, like Volkswagon faking test results, but not getting caught.
5 = More implausible, like chemtrails being a secret geoengineering program, or 9/11 nanothermite
10 = The Queen is an alien shape-shifting lizard.

You can interpolate the rest.

2021-04-29T21:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387875256572932100
@Daniel_Loxton Cover up of research into the climate change effects of contrails or the effects of different sulphur contents in jet fuel, by Big Airlines.

2021-04-29T21:01:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387874664832143360
@ZombieSymmetry Not really, contrails form by mixing, not radiative or convective cooling. The actual rate of the mixing does not have a huge effect. If anything, faster mixing will be slightly less likely to make a contrail - but really I don't think it's a significant factor.

2021-04-29T20:58:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387873848041689090
My conspiracy spectrum. The ranges are a bit ad-hoc. The one the spans the widest range is UFOs. When I wrote the book I wasn't that into UFOs, but now I am, and I still think it spans the entire conspiracy spectrum. From covering up drone incursions to dimensional aliens.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K3Pn7VIAAn3nZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0K3Pn7VIAAn3nZ.jpg

2021-04-29T20:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387873005754142722

@ZombieSymmetry Nah, there's no cocoon. The exhaust turbulently mixes with the ambient air. The mixing is what causes the condensation.

2021-04-29T20:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387871572220792832

@steven_dor @SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Where's his statement?

2021-04-29T20:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387871464112623619

@ZombieSymmetry Wouldn't the low-bypass exhaust be "cocooned" in the surrounding air?

2021-04-29T20:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387871072125558785

@steven_dor @SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Obviously the ten missiles going offline had the same cause.

Was that caused by a glowing saucer that some guy thought he saw?

2021-04-29T20:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387866579853975552

@NickySonlar It think it likely has a variety of non-alien and non-space-warping explanations.

I don't know what is behind Rubio's interest, but he does not seem to act like he knows any more than you or I do.

2021-04-29T20:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387865798618718210

@tommyIzito People certainly should believe me just because they think I'm an expert. Check.

2021-04-29T20:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387865634264866816

@steven_dor @SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Sure the missiles went offline, that's not disputed, and the cause is still publicly unknown.

2021-04-29T20:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387859337155006466

@TCskywatch I try, but, as you know, the science gets rather complicated. So it kind of devolves into an argument from authority. I made that image for my book to try to simplify it as much as possible.

2021-04-29T19:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387858918806679553

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @nomdeguerre_ No, who was the interview with? He kind of danced around with that answer on "Dossier X", but didn't directly "admit" anything.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KqQteUYAAtu3I.jpg

Sure. You're asking what I think. And so how about this? How about I just tell you what I know versus what I think, because, that will be probably a bit more informative. What we know is that these are official videos that were taken by the Navy, some sort of unknown objects at the time, keeping in mind that there were a lot of reported UAP and slash drone activity in the 2019 timeframe. So there was a heightened state of awareness and policy had been promulgated throughout the Navy to report strange things in the sky. So that's what we do know. And we do know that there was a lot of activity being reported that the United States Navy Snoopy team on site was able to gain some some video and photographic, what they what they consider, evidence, and then provided that to the Navy, which the Navy use in an official UAP Task Force briefing, what has not been provided yet was a context in which that information was being used, and what these objects are, and so because of that, there's there's a void, a gap of information, and people are now filling it with whatever they think, which is understandable, it's human nature to do so.

But I think we need to be very mindful of doing that. I'll also tell you to the more that people are, are sensitized to the fact of of UAP is now being real, the more people are going to look to the skies. And the more people look to the skies, and more people are gonna see things. And also in some cases, mis-identify things, things that are legitimate aircraft, drones, cruise missiles, meteorological type phenomenon, weather phenomenon, it, planets, and stars and shooting stars, these things are obviously going to be be wrapped up sometimes as as misidentified. UAP. And so I think we need to be prepared for that. I think the fact that this information is now reported, being reported, is proof positive that the system is now working. This is exactly what you want, you want people reporting things to you, whether it is a UFO or it's, it's an aircraft that looks like a UFO, it's very important that we allow our men and women in uniform to to report this information through the chain of command. That's the only way we're really going to know what's going on.

And so, I would, I would caution people not to be discouraged, because by necessity, I think we can expect more and more as we open the aperture to this effort, more things are going to start to come in. And we're going to be able to start recognizing signatures of conventional aircraft and technology, as opposed to those that are truly remain unidentified, and frankly, quite remarkable. And so it's okay, I think I think what's going to be important is building, as we move forward, the filters necessary filters to separateknown signatures of conventional technology, versus those that are not. Basically identify where that delta exists. No pun intended. And, and try to, to really that's where we should we should be focusing on So no, I don't, I don't look at the recent barrage of of UFO videos that have been released in information as being a bad thing. This is precisely what we want this is exactly what what we need so we can have the collective conversation.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KqQteUYAAtu3I.jpg

2021-04-29T19:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387858284317614086

@Corax_The_Raven Not expansion, no. Pressure is equalized pretty much instantly other than turbulence and the wake vortices - which you only see the effect of in non-persisting hybrid contrails - not relevant to frequency of persistence.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KpkmSVkAIiTsM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KpkmSVkAIiTsM.jpg

2021-04-29T19:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387857444496240642

@alumbreras More kerosene just makes fatter contrails, not more frequent persistent contrails.

2021-04-29T19:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387857123229327360

@TCskywatch You off the wagon Joe? :)

2021-04-29T19:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387856919499468802

@nomdeguerre_ @SKEPTICLBELIEVR They didn't confirm they were of flying saucers, pyramids and transmedium spheres.

They just confirmed they were videos of initially unidentified objects.

2021-04-29T19:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387856624820264966

One of the most common mistakes/tricks out there is to sample your audience and present the results as a sample of the general population.

85% of the CBS audience is not 85% of the US.

People fall for this, because they think everyone is like them.

https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/85-percent-americans-biden-speech/

2021-04-29T19:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387854323422425091

@Disclose_UFOUAP @LueElizondo There's an open invitation, and he previously expressed a willingness to return.

However he's now said he's too busy.

2021-04-29T19:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387853930185465857

@RadGfx And what effect do you think that will have on the frequency of persistent contrail formation?

2021-04-29T19:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387853464777084929

@Ps5andxbox1 The Schmidt-Appleman criteria is what you are looking for. You want to consider the effect of moving the temperature start point of the mixing curve

---

2021-04-29T19:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387852152756834304

@NarrativeResist Wrong. Condensing here is caused by mixing with ambient air, not heat loss (Contrails are a type of "mixing cloud"). But the amount of turbulence does not have a huge effect here, as it will inevitably be high regardless.

https://glossary.ametsoc.org/wiki/Mixing_cloud

2021-04-29T19:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387851403360563200

@SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Given the national security implications, is it even worthwhile for the public to ask questions about nuclear missiles being deactivated by aliens, or even by surprisingly advanced Russians in the 1960s?

Realistically what will those questions achieve?

2021-04-29T19:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387850231002238976

@SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Have they said as much? Or are you guessing about what they have seen?

2021-04-29T19:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387850115780472832

@SicCoP1 @TRandallizer Wouldn't the aliens already know this?

2021-04-29T19:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387849994883923969

@TRandallizer @SicCoP1 I don't think Reid and Rubio have seen such a report. They have just heard things - not much different to you or I.

2021-04-29T19:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387849647637426178

@realsiferd I don't know. There's insufficient information.

2021-04-29T19:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387849489336049664

@SwavZielin Not in the general sense. People are almost always misidentify normal contrails. Sometime fuel dumps. Occasionally maybe skywriting, fire-fighting, even crop dusting. There's weather modification (they do it near where I live, in the Sierras), but it does not leave trails.

2021-04-29T19:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387849029594222593

@TRandallizer @SicCoP1 He retired in 2017, you'll have to go to Marco Rubio now.

But they are both simply repeating stories. Where is the official investigation report that backs them up.

2021-04-29T19:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387848644661972993

@assange_s It will have a bigger gap (which I show in the image) but that's not really the significant factor.

2021-04-29T19:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387848340436512768

Little known fact. There are two types of persistent contrail. Persistent exhaust contrails are more common, but persistent aerodynamic contrails are more frequently misidentified as "chemtrails" because they act unexpectedly.

Most pop-sci chemtrail explainers miss this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KgKHwVcAEy2nF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KgKHwVcAEy2nF.jpg

2021-04-29T19:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387847366695215104

@nomdeguerre_ Well, where exactly do you think I'm being too sure of myself? What case? What camera effect?

2021-04-29T19:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387846749037883393

@Bobbybigrig No.

2021-04-29T19:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387846607891099650

@FettuccineSplit @BrandonFugal If he covered the cost.

2021-04-29T19:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387846499258691585

@Bobbybigrig Let the other kids have a go Bobby!

2021-04-29T19:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387845842002878468

Science quiz. Which one of these two engine types will make more persistent contrails? Why?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KeJ6lVcAQRDks.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0KeJ6lVcAQRDks.jpg

2021-04-29T19:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387844870140661761

@nomdeguerre_ What does "real" mean here, and how does it being "real" contradict what I said.

The cases I look at (Gimbal, GoFast, FLIR1, Triangle) often involve real unidentified objects in the LIZ.

2021-04-29T19:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387844335517929472

@quistalium I'm familiar with the basics. I'm sure they make a compelling case in their books, if that's what they set out to do. That's what happens.

2021-04-29T18:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387843829030477827

@ArranGray9 Just just repeating stuff that he's been told. Stuff that sounds exactly like the stories that have been circulating for years. So are maybe just those same stories.

2021-04-29T18:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387843024307191810

Correlation isn't causation. Sometimes it's not even correlation.

Confirmation bias using largely anecdotal evidence can be used to make a case for anything.

Maybe UFOs circle around McDonalds, interfering with their ice-cream machines. That's just not very interesting. https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1387838795173830660

2021-04-29T18:36:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387838318281297922

@Ps5andxbox1 I'm saying panspermia is more plausible than "aliens genetically altered humans about 780,000 years ago after their mothership was disintegrated while in orbit by a warring species?"

It's kind of like the Linda problem

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjunction_fallacy

2021-04-29T18:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387835906338353157

RT @rdfrs: Tonight at 7pm ET: How to Help Your Conspiracy Theorist Friend: Mick West on the next Skeptical Inquirer Presents live online evâ¦

2021-04-29T18:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387835789174730754

@Ps5andxbox1 More plausible than Adam and Eve. Less plausible than panspermia.

2021-04-29T17:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387816219915350017

@Oswald1160 @ron9gray Good example here.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/halifax-ns-regularly-spaced-gaps-in-contrails-ethiopian-flight-501.8953/

2021-04-29T17:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387815662429425665

@ron9gray The clouds are black. Itâ□□s an aerodynamic contrail, explained years ago. Ice looks hot on thermal against the sky.

2021-04-29T16:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387800271468695556

@SicCoP1 Sure, but only if that actually happened.

The point of the Chilean Case is that even a large group of experts working for years can miss some pretty obvious things.

Smaller groups could miss even more.

2021-04-29T15:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387796530984144896

The Chilean Navy UFO case of 2017 was investigated for two years by a military organization of experts and they confirmed it was not a plane.

But it was. An A340, obscured by the glare of its engines.

Consider that when "experts" speak on UFOs.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2021-04-29T15:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387790175795769344

@DarylBa13161874 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-04-29T15:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387788075187662851

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch It's sometime called "flare", the terminology is not well defined as there's a variety of "flares" and "glares". If you look up "glare spread function" or "point spread function" you'll see some relevant examples and explanations.

It's everywhere. The sun being #1 example.

2021-04-29T15:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387787037336502273

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch Optical systems are not mathematically perfect. Light spreads a little along the pathways. This is due to scattering in lenses, imperfection in mirrors, air, dust, dirt on covers, and diffraction at aperture edges. Normally it's invisible, becomes visible around bright light.

2021-04-29T14:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387779955447140356

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch You seem to be thinking of reflective glare, like off peopleâ□□s glasses or water. Entirely different thing.

This is glare around a bright (IR) light.

2021-04-29T14:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387779305208311811

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch Correct. Now back off about 40 feet. If possible record the candle against a blue sky.

2021-04-29T06:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387652413243359233
@John99601526 @dave_beaty @a51s1 @keithdsharman @grahammessick There are varying degrees of effectiveness of evidence, but a simple good quality video would be a wonderful start. Two videos of the same event, from independent sources, would be ten times as wonderful.

2021-04-29T06:00:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387647968929480704
@_Desmoden @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch It's also seen in the classic Chilean Navy UFO case. totally obscuring an A340

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2021-04-29T05:58:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387647390786613255
@_Desmoden @lerealmush @BryanKelly @DaveFalch Glare is just the scattering of electromagnetic radiation like visible light, and infrared radiation. Dave's own videos show glare from jet engines in IR in a variety of situations.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zFUzzzzJgvg

2021-04-29T05:54:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387646468870131712
@_Desmoden @BryanKelly @DaveFalch People are presenting this as if it debunks the rotating glare theory. It does not, because it's not actually addressing the rotating glare theory.

2021-04-29T05:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387642219612426243
@dave_beaty @a51s1 @keithdsharman @grahammessick Many UFOs I investigate remain unidentified. That's inevitable, with UFOs basically existing in the LIZ (Low Information Zone).

What you need is a case where you can actually definitively and inarguably rule out all possible prosaic explanations. I doubt such a thing exists.

2021-04-29T05:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387638360794009601
@lerealmush @BryanKelly @_Desmoden @DaveFalch The last misunderstanding he had was not realizing that the glare orientation is relative to the camera, so it changes relative to the horizon when the camera rotates relative to the horizon, regardless of derotation. I'm not sure if he's still not getting it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0HhV13VEAAaxGh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0HhV13VEAAaxGh.jpg

2021-04-29T05:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387636004253622272

@Josef_baum It's not performing any maneuvers, it's just flying away (so you see the heat from the engines, making the glare). The glare rotates because the camera rotates.

2021-04-29T02:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387590014406520833

@TruthBe05900844 @Deardenpad Do you understand how the glare can rotate independently of the horizon?

2021-04-29T01:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387581135912198148

@lnjr What witnesses are there to Gimbal and Gofast?

2021-04-28T23:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387550946884870149

@TruthBe05900844 @Deardenpad Do you understand it?

2021-04-28T22:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387534726219517953

@TruthBe05900844 @Deardenpad It's complicated, but not insanely so. Do you think you understand my argument, and why it is wrong?

2021-04-28T22:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387534218515730433

@_Desmoden @BryanKelly @DaveFalch Now you understand it, Jon, can you explain how it rebuts the Gimbal = Glare hypothesis?

2021-04-28T22:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387534029881184257
@BryanKelly @_Desmoden @DaveFalch Sure, it does not really address the hypotheses, and falls for the old "why doesn't the horizon rotate when the object rotates" misunderstanding.

2021-04-28T21:57:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387526379260899331
@Born2Pleb @Bobbybigrig @mesolithicguy @leSt0rm "compensating for lenses flare using software algorithms" doesn't really make any sense. You need to give a detailed description of what that means.

Glare isn't a software issue. It's partly to do with the quality of the optics, and partly unavoidable. We know it happens.

2021-04-28T19:47:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387493743658373124
@Jonatha12518843 @_olligo_ This is what I think. If you think that's crazy that's unfortunate, But I think shows only that I've not done a good enough job of explaining.

2021-04-28T19:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387493424509571073
@Flyingh43892139 @flarkey It's at least 200 ft when it flies off the cliff, and does not seem to descend.

2021-04-28T19:45:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387493078517178369
@of_skeptical I've seen it crop up on two NV cameras owned by people involved in the discussion. Which is a pretty small sample, so there's probably quite a lot more.

2021-04-28T19:43:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387492727395282946
@TruthBe05900844 I would be happy to explain in depth to him what his misunderstanding is. But it's basically this:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-04-28T19:41:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387492280118906882
@Josef_baum Go on then. Make a video demonstrating this.

Make sure you use the best quality version.
https://www.metabunk.org/f/2-GIMBAL-CROP-HQ.mp4

(.mil link to WMV if you prefer:
https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/sites/g/files/jejdrs566/files/2020-04/2%20-%20GIMBAL.wmv)

2021-04-28T19:39:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387491607419625472

@Jonatha12518843 @_olligo_ Not the actual camera. "FLIR" is not the same thing as "ATFLIR."

I stand by my assessment.

2021-04-28T17:40:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387461759515074564
@mesolithicguy @Born2Pleb @leSt0rm The "Flir technician" (Dave Falch) worked at the FLIR company. The military ATFLIR system is made by Raytheon.

Knowing how to service a related system does not mean you understand the video images produced my a different system.

Regardless, he literally did not understand.

2021-04-28T17:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387457606122229769
@BobKurbel @uaphere @AliensExist15 @Disclose_UFOUAP @LueElizondo Sometimes the correct explanation simply does not spring to mind, and you get stuck with your initial impression. No emotion needed. Just a simple mistake.

2021-04-28T17:21:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387457062951526403
@Oswald1160 @uaphere @SocialistFT @Disclose_UFOUAP Like the jets 7 seconds into this video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1387456996211773442/pu/img/A3W5GUM601GUaDOK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1387456996211773442/pu/img/A3W5GUM601GUaDOK.jpg
2021-04-28T17:20:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387456670364700672
@Ps5andxbox1 @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell The pilots (Underwood and Fravor, regarding the video) seem quite content to just go with their initial impression, and ignore anything that contradicts it. Neither has demonstrate they understand the rotating glare hypothesis.

2021-04-28T17:18:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387456240549199873
@mesolithicguy @_olligo_ That's unfortunate, because he does not understand the question, so his expertise goes off in the wrong direction.

2021-04-28T17:17:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387456015092699138
@blakevtruelove @LueElizondo Exotic craft? Skunkworks stuff? Sure. Anti-gravity or warp drives, probably not.

2021-04-28T17:16:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387455636858806273
@mesolithicguy @Born2Pleb @leSt0rm If you are not sure, then why not try to figure it out. Maybe one of you assumptions is wrong? Maybe the "FLIR technician" doesn't really understand the issue?

2021-04-28T15:56:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387435547396743174
@charliedeets I totally understand it. But not really in the case of people who have been looking at this type of things for years, like @JeremyCorbell. It's almost like they are avoiding understanding it.

Or maybe just simply not understanding. But did they make the effort?

2021-04-28T15:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387433314739388426
@Disclose_UFOUAP @SocialistFT It was miles away, too small to see. The FOV of that video is about 1Â°, about 100x zoom.

2021-04-28T15:45:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387432832457330692
@foiaboi @benjimaan22 Randall Nickerson has had them for about ten years.
https://www.indiegogo.com/projects/ariel-phenomenon-campaign#/

2021-04-28T15:44:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387432550256156677
@DionysusBaco @SocialistFT @Disclose_UFOUAP It's a very understandable mistake that millions of people have made looking at the footage.

2021-04-28T15:43:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387432379350863874

@foiaboi Would you be convinced if I wrote it in a PDF? Or what video presentation would you like? Any examples?

2021-04-28T15:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387432136169250819

@DarkstarIE @foiaboi @Julesofthwood To a degree, but also memories created during the story-telling.

Kids' memories are super plastic.

2021-04-28T15:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387431781708701696

@foiaboi @benjimaan22 Like the videos, there's a lot more images. The cherry-picked evidence is pretty tenuous, so the fact that there's lots more that has not been shown is a bit suspicious.

2021-04-28T15:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387431465781129216

@nomdeguerre_ @Alderley @4Eridani @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @TheUfoJoe @AFSUnidentified If you can't identify something, then how can you rule out a foreign or domestic origin?

2021-04-28T15:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387431187828805641

@nrh521 No, they (Chad Underwood, the WSO, not the pilot) lost it on the ATFLIR after a minute, and for some reason did not quickly try to regain lock. So with that limited video they became convinced they had captured a Tic-Tac UFO, like what Fravor reported.

2021-04-28T15:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387430685850308610

@_olligo_ Yes, but he stopped talking to me. He has persistently misunderstood the rotation, and got annoyed when I tried to explain it to him.

2021-04-28T15:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387429261116461059

@nrh521 I'm saying they did not immediately recognize what they saw.

2021-04-28T15:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387422891319250950

I find it quite bizarre that after several years people still don't understand why the Gimbal glare rotates independently of the horizon.

If you're new to it, sure. But if you've been deeply following it for three year, then what's the excuse? https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1387291384076406789

2021-04-28T14:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387421188331163648

@Sacfoodie916 @LueElizondo Wait, you just moved the goalposts from the "Pyramid" UFO all the way over the the Phoenix lights, and then you say the football has not moved?

2021-04-28T14:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387420268528693251

@buffcommacu @AliensExist15 @LueElizondo I'm filling the void with actual information. Demonstrating how a slightly out-of-focus monocular/phone combination can create identical footage, and tracking down actual planes that flew directly over the USS Russell. Those facts slot right into the bokeh-shaped void.

2021-04-28T14:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387415070070636548

@AliensExist15 @Disclose_UFOUAP @uaphere @LueElizondo Yes, of course. The plane would have been quite apparent if they had checked fro planes at 30,000 feet. The problem was the observer thought it was a small flying object at a much lower altitude. Nothing like that would have shown up if it was a 30,000 ft plane.

2021-04-28T14:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387414654964559872

@AliensExist15 @Disclose_UFOUAP @uaphere @LueElizondo Maybe it was a drone.

2021-04-28T14:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387413772260679680

@erika_hershey @conterammundi @OwatcherUf @fman123 @LueElizondo It wasn't a random OOF photo, it's an OOF photo of a UAP.

It's the object they saw, just not what it actually looked like.

2021-04-28T14:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387413517574176771

@Disclose_UFOUAP @uaphere @AliensExist15 @LueElizondo It was dark. The whole point of Night Vision is that it shows you things that you can't see with the naked eye. It was also magnified in NV, so theoretically a much better view.

Stopping to look runs a huge risk of not being able to find it again.

2021-04-28T14:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387411960375898112

@Fiddaman @naoehogui @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo The law you cited does not indicate that.

2021-04-28T14:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387411743366815746

@JokeToSelf @LueElizondo I do take Lue seriously in general. That does not mean I think he is correct in all his assessments, nor do I think his claims are entirely free of hyperbole. But he's generally not joking.

2021-04-28T14:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387410976425668621

@Dave8Lori @LueElizondo I like interesting puzzles, identifying things in the sky has always been a fun hobby.

2021-04-28T14:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387410586133172228

@Edmonstar_7 @flarkey @XIIIBMR @JA_Loans @NBCNews @NBCNightlyNews Are those the only options? Can't you think of any others?

2021-04-28T14:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387410504943951873

@Fiddaman @naoehogui @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo People make claims about prior government work all the time. I think that law only applies to people pretending they are CURRENTLY acting under the authority of the US.

Otherwise it's just a civil issue.

I don't know what the truth is here though. Needs resolving.

2021-04-28T14:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387409542216962054

@Disclose_UFOUAP @uaphere @AliensExist15 @LueElizondo What if it just looked like a flying pyramid because his monocular was slightly out of focus?

Why is that so much less likely than a fleet of flying pyramids?

2021-04-28T14:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387409034064515073

@FettuccineSplit @LueElizondo What do you mean by "confirmed?" Will every case be "confirmed?"

If some cases are confirmed to be some interesting technology or aliens, then A) That's going to be a major distraction, just looking at the details of those cases, and B) there's still lots of other cases

---

2021-04-28T08:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387320728442212358

@marko1301 It think it's literally a bunch of coincidences that's been swept up in a bunch of biases and fallacies.

2021-04-28T08:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387317874335784960

@PstarmanUa @AliensExist15 @LueElizondo Which bit was about the pyramid? Which bits were not?

2021-04-28T08:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387315895354028036

@conterammundi @OwatcherUf @fman123 @LueElizondo Thanks for checking!

2021-04-28T07:51:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387313454520799233

@AlFaruq1986 @LueElizondo That was his answer to a question about the pyramid UFO, so yes, that's the primary thing as that's the subject.

2021-04-28T07:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387310183957762048
@conterammundi @OwatcherUf @fman123 @LueElizondo Quote it.

2021-04-28T07:32:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387308820720865282
@naoehogui @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo The Pentagon seems to be questioning it. So why shouldn't other people?

2021-04-28T07:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387308580961878022
@conterammundi @fman123 @LueElizondo @g_knapp Nobody said there were not three objects (or at least three photos of objects) but one of those photos is out of focus.

2021-04-28T07:31:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387308366758776833
@conterammundi @OwatcherUf @fman123 @LueElizondo Yeah, and one of them is out of focus.

2021-04-28T07:30:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387308243890819080
@niteranger0127 @LueElizondo That was his answer when he was asked about the pyramid UFO.

Maybe @LueElizondo could clarify. Lue, do you think it's possible the pyramid UFO might just have been an out-of-focus plane?

2021-04-28T07:28:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387307601365377026
@conterammundi @fman123 @LueElizondo and where the bit where "the WSO said this is what they saw."

2021-04-28T07:25:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387306920923439106
@conterammundi @fman123 @LueElizondo I point things out, you can check them for yourself.

2021-04-28T07:24:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387306626244235265
@conterammundi @fman123 @LueElizondo No photographer has made any statement about these photos. Please quote and link if you think otherwise.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sphere-acorn-metallic-blimp-three-iphone-photos-from-an-f-18-via-mystery-wire.11692/

2021-04-28T07:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387304802116194306
@conterammundi @fman123 @LueElizondo A WSO with an iPhone is not a UFO photography expert. I actually kind of am.

2021-04-28T07:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387304355901042703

@fman123 @conterammundi @LueElizondo Do you think this particular "sphere" is in focus, given all the evidence that it is not?

2021-04-28T07:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387303691045130240

@AliensExist15 @LueElizondo He was asked specifically about the Pyramid, sorry I meant to include the question in the clip. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CxS-UVEAIA2YB.jpg

---

LE **Lue Elizondo** 36:32

But I think we need to be very mindful of doing that. I'll also tell you to the more that people are, are sensitized to the fact of of UAP is now being real, the more people are going to look to the skies. And the more people look to the skies, and more people are gonna see things. And also in some cases, mis-identify things, things that are legitimate aircraft, drones, cruise missiles, meteorological type phenomenon, weather phenomenon, it, planets, and stars and shooting stars, these things are obviously going to be be wrapped up sometimes as as misidentified. UAP. And so I think we need to be prepared for that. I think the fact that this information is now reported, being reported, is proof positive that the system is now working. This is exactly what you want, you want people reporting things to you, whether it is a UFO or it's, it's an aircraft that looks like a UFO, it's very important that we allow our men and women in uniform to to report this information through the chain of command. That's the only way we're really going to know what's going on.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CxSSPVgAI04GH.jpg

LE **Lue Elizondo** 37:42

And so, I would, I would caution people not to be discouraged, because by necessity, I think we can expect more and more as we open the aperture to this effort, more things are going to start to come in. And we're going to be able to start recognizing signatures of conventional aircraft and technology, as opposed to those that are truly remain unidentified, and frankly, quite remarkable. And so it's okay, I think I think what's going to be important is building, as we move forward, the filters necessary filters to separateknown signatures of conventional technology, versus those that are not. Basically identify where that delta exists. No pun intended. And, and try to, to really that's where we should we should be focusing on So no, I don't, I don't look at the recent barrage of of UFO videos that have been released in information as being a bad thing. This is precisely what we want this is exactly what what we need so we can have the collective conversation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CxS-UVEAIA2YB.jpg

NA **Nico Augusto** 35:00

A lot of videos and pictures of pyramids have been shown here and there. So to be honest, I didn't really have the time to get into it. But what do you think about it? Is that because he was like there's some misunderstanding about it? ...

LE **Lue Elizondo** 35:20

Sure. You're asking what I think. And so how about this? How about I just tell you what I know versus what I think, because, that will be probably a bit more informative. What we know is that these are official videos that were taken by the Navy, some sort of unknown objects at the time, keeping in mind that there were a lot of reported UAP and slash drone activity in the 2019 timeframe. So there was a heightened state of awareness and policy had been promulgated throughout the Navy to report strange things in the sky. So that's what we do know. And we do know that there was a lot of activity being reported that the United States Navy Snoopy team on site was able to gain some some video and photographic, what they what they consider, evidence, and then provided that to the Navy, which the Navy use in an official UAP Task Force briefing, what has not been provided yet was a context in which that information was being used, and what these objects are, and so because of that, there's there's a void, a gap of information, and people are now filling it with whatever they think, which is understandable, it's human nature to do so.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CxREHVgAE0YGE.jpg
2021-04-28T07:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387302344161849346
@fman123 @conterammundi @LueElizondo
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sphere-acorn-metallic-blimp-three-iphone-photos-from-an-f-18-via-mystery-wire.11692/

2021-04-28T07:06:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387302239362949124
@fman123 @conterammundi @LueElizondo Yup.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cv_W3VgAQrFaP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cv3-tVgAAy-Ww.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cv_BhVgAEdtNF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cv_W3VgAQrFaP.jpg

2021-04-28T07:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387301656925151236

@4Eridani @Dylan_DTV @BenHansen00 The link you posted earlier says 13Â°

https://tnvc.com/shop/usgi-3x-magnifier-for-pvs-14/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CvZ5WVcAEp9yH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CvZ5WVcAEp9yH.jpg

2021-04-28T06:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387298492691804160

@conterammundi @LueElizondo OOF, out of focus. It's basically iPhone bokeh.

2021-04-28T06:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387297357893562368

@conterammundi @LueElizondo Well that one is just OOF.

2021-04-28T06:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387296902572433408

@Dylan_DTV @4Eridani He got 14Â° by making an inexplicable mistake. Seemingly eyeballing the amount to measure and getting it entirely wrong.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cq6e1VoAUCx3l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0Cq6e1VoAUCx3l.jpg

2021-04-28T06:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387295459123752960

@Dylan_DTV @4Eridani I got 17.2 degrees, using Antares to Larawag's 7.86Â° separation. In this post, on the 17th of April.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pyramid-ufos-in-night-vision-footage-maybe-bokeh.11695/post-247620

2021-04-28T06:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387285835553284098

@EngagingThe @g_knapp @ChristopherKMe4 I was asking if the UAP Task Force was underfunded and understaffed.

I don't think I should need to gather a coalition of scientists to get an answer there.

2021-04-28T05:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387284379420946432
@EngagingThe @g_knapp @ChristopherKMe4 Don't you already have the SCU doing that? I'm not even really a scientist. Why would I be rallying the scientific community?

Why didn't TTSA rally the scientific community when Chris was there?

2021-04-28T05:53:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387283732478844931
Looks like @LueElizondo is, quite reasonably, entertaining the "maybe the pyramid is just a plane with bokeh" hypothesis.

https://youtu.be/IY9c31ltpVw?t=2100 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CeYHWVUAEQK1w.jpg

---

LE **Lue Elizondo** 36:32

But I think we need to be very mindful of doing that. I'll also tell you to the more that people are, are sensitized to the fact of of UAP is now being real, the more people are going to look to the skies. And the more people look to the skies, and more people are gonna see things. And also in some cases, mis-identify things, things that are legitimate aircraft, drones, cruise missiles, meteorological type phenomenon, weather phenomenon, it, planets, and stars and shooting stars, these things are obviously going to be be wrapped up sometimes as as misidentified. UAP. And so I think we need to be prepared for that. I think the fact that this information is now reported, being reported, is proof positive that the system is now working. This is exactly what you want, you want people reporting things to you, whether it is a UFO or it's, it's an aircraft that looks like a UFO, it's very important that we allow our men and women in uniform to to report this information through the chain of command. That's the only way we're really going to know what's going on.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CeTR1UYAA9Whm.jpg

LE **Lue Elizondo** 35:20

Sure. You're asking what I think. And so how about this? How about I just tell you what I know versus what I think, because, that will be probably a bit more informative. What we know is that these are official videos that were taken by the Navy, some sort of unknown objects at the time, keeping in mind that there were a lot of reported UAP and slash drone activity in the 2019 timeframe. So there was a heightened state of awareness and policy had been promulgated throughout the Navy to report strange things in the sky. So that's what we do know. And we do know that there was a lot of activity being reported that the United States Navy Snoopy team on site was able to gain some some video and photographic, what they what they consider, evidence, and then provided that to the Navy, which the Navy use in an official UAP Task Force briefing, what has not been provided yet was a context in which that information was being used, and what these objects are, and so because of that, there's there's a void, a gap of information, and people are now filling it with whatever they think, which is understandable, it's human nature to do so.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CeQlVVoAMv6pN.jpg



Lue Elizondo 37:42

And so, I would, I would caution people not to be discouraged, because by necessity, I think we can expect more and more as we open the aperture to this effort, more things are going to start to come in. And we're going to be able to start recognizing signatures of conventional aircraft and technology, as opposed to those that are truly remain unidentified, and frankly, quite remarkable. And so it's okay, I think I think what's going to be important is building, as we move forward, the filters necessary filters to separateknown signatures of conventional technology, versus those that are not. Basically identify where that delta exists. No pun intended. And, and try to, to really that's where we should we should be focusing on So no, I don't, I don't look at the recent barrage of of UFO videos that have been released in information as being a bad thing. This is precisely what we want this is exactly what what we need so we can have the collective conversation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0CeYHWVUAEQK1w.jpg

2021-04-28T05:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387280988468744199

@iUFODb That's not a useful video, as the bokeh wasn't create with the NV camera. Try https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4e4PdpsrRjQ

2021-04-28T05:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387280165659574272

@TruthBe05900844 It's really more like assuming one parameter was valid, and then trying to debug the logic a function, not understanding my initial assumption was wrong, and then doing this for several minutes, then that parameter never comes up again, so I never figure it out.

2021-04-28T05:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387279506373693441

@flarkey @XIIIBMR @Edmonstar_7 @JA_Loans @NBCNews @NBCNightlyNews Balloon is most likely, #1, bird is #2, and of the possible birds, a seagull is very unlikely, as it would have to be a fairly large bird, like a pelican or condor.

The "seagull" thing, of course, is a silly meme that gets in people's heads.

2021-04-28T04:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387257425674133507

@EngagingThe @g_knapp How do you know?

2021-04-28T01:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387219409266110469

@Jonatha12518843 @TruthBe05900844 Itâ□□s possible. Evidence just isnâ□□t great.

2021-04-28T01:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387219149630308353

@Jonatha12518843 @TruthBe05900844 We only have 2nd and 3rd hand accounts of that, over a decade after it happened.

2021-04-28T01:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387218271598829573

@EdgarFouche Iâ□□m not young :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-04-28T00:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387206426985070593

@murnut @g_knapp I'd be interested hear what anyone has to say, especially if they can back it up.

2021-04-28T00:45:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387206209241903110

@edwardo_mota @ExogenesisHH It's not being tracked. It's being followed manually. Watch the whole thing. It's like with an analog joystick.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Aquadilla%20High%20Quality%20Original.mp4

2021-04-28T00:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387205495438528512

@Jonatha12518843 @TruthBe05900844 I think Chad Underwood made a mistake in losing the object on his radar by cycling through the modes then not panning left and zooming out when lock was lost.

I think Fravor possibly mistook the size of the object, and hence its distance and motion.

2021-04-28T00:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387204892851200000

@steven_nikolic @Ps5andxbox1 @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Who said "lie"? They could be some confusion, or compartmentalization. But it seems like something the DoD should clarify.

2021-04-28T00:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387204568954535938

A couple on months ago @g_knapp (on C2C) was concerned the Pentagon was "kneecapping" the UAP Task Force by giving it no budget, no staff, with one guy working on it in his own time, as he has another job.

Anyone know if this was an accurate assessment, and if it still is?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0BWcpzVkAAGesz.jpg

George Knapp 46:04

Well, me too, same thing is that, you know, the Navy is telling the public, we don't know what they are. But they're also telling Congress behind closed doors. We don't know what they are. They are truly unidentified, and a couple of people, including the new chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, Senate, Senator Warner, as I said that, you know, they've basically the only statements they made is, you know, we believe this is worthy of investigation. So they authorizes UAP taskforce to put together a comprehensive report for Congress do somewhere in June. And you know, we were all kind of really anticipating something great. I broke a story a couple of weeks ago, information that I learned about some changes there. You know, the Pentagon has always done the same thing. They've kneecapped these kinds of efforts before. And it looks like they've done the same thing to the UAP Task Force, they took the main guy out, they transferred him into some other job. He has, you know, from what I know, a great deal of experience with the subject, a vast information base in his head, he has a high rank, they took him out, they put a really capable person from what I've heard people who know him, who is put in charge of putting together this comprehensive report, but they give them no budget, they have no staff. In fact, this guy I learned not long ago, is has a full time job. So he has to write this report for Congress on his own time. And it looks to me like they are purposely handcuffing the guy. So that, you know, putting together a constant comprehensive report when he doesn't really have the clearance to get some of the most sensitive data. I think we should lower our expectations and hope for the best. However, you know, the ongoing interest by Congress, you got to hope that they they would see what is produced and realize that maybe they've been learned in between now and when the report is due that that the Pentagon has put some handcuffs on this and would make some inquiries and say, Hey, we're serious about this. Put some resources into it, or, or, you know,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0BWcpzVkAAGesz.jpg

2021-04-28T00:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387201706685988865

@BenC04549226 @PstarmanUa The UAPTF also examines sightings that were INITIALLY reported as unidentified.

So maybe the "trained observer" needs a bit more training, and that's the issue?

And maybe the UAPTF is just one guy working part time?

2021-04-27T23:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387187876878635012

@Disclose_UFOUAP @calamitymike @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Quite possible.

2021-04-27T23:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387185792879972353

@HamidAbubakr2 @SocialistFT @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Ask @LtTimMcMillan

---

2021-04-27T23:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387184825505771521

@Disclose_UFOUAP @calamitymike @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Why does Reid trump the Pentagon?

2021-04-27T23:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387184429076926464

@BenC04549226 @PstarmanUa Confirmed what? They said a sailor took it and UAPTF looked at it. Thatâ□□s all.

2021-04-27T23:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387184190358122496

@itsarmandoman @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo *spare time

---

2021-04-27T23:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387184115661762560

@itsarmandoman @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo The Pentagon says he had no assigned responsibilities. What does that mean if he was the head of the program? Did he volunteer in his sore time?

2021-04-27T23:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387183409894551554

@AShoulkin @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Well this is clearly unresolved. If the Pentagon is lying about such a simple thing then thatâ□□s a big problem.

2021-04-27T23:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387183128268066817

@Ps5andxbox1 @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo There is doubt because the Pentagon seems to deny it.

2021-04-27T23:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387182896180457479

@TruthBe05900844 No, Iâ□□m saying they made a mistake.

2021-04-27T23:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387182304750039041

@of_skeptical The three blade design allows for a â□□Câ□□ setting of the aperture. C = Closed. Useful for NV to protect the tube.

2021-04-27T23:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387181870207492103

@SocialistFT @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Arenâ□□t the DoD bound by law here?

2021-04-27T23:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387181641542500355

@calamitymike @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo I donâ□□t know, but I know it is unresolved. Air NOT cleared.

2021-04-27T23:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387181321156390915

@BenC04549226 @PstarmanUa The Pentagon has not really commented. So how far did it get? To Corbell?

2021-04-27T22:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387178779571752960

@ddeanjohnson @PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo Oops, yes, unresolved. As in not yet resolved, as in still needs to be resolved, as in the air not yet cleared.

2021-04-27T22:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387170647554498561

RT @CaulfieldTim: Dear @joerogan,

No, no, no, no. You are SO wrong. "Healthy" people DO need to get vaccinated.
- Young people can get Câ¦

2021-04-27T22:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387168823749406720

@PostDisclosure @GadiNBC @LueElizondo How does that clear the air? You've still got a very direct and resolved conflict between Reid and the DoD.

2021-04-27T21:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1387159851940208640

@4Eridani And the derivation of Okab as the end point wasn't done with the video recreation, it was done by manually aligning frames of the video against the clouds. As I said, it's a rough fit, but entirely consistent with Okab http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0AuKm_UcAMYURi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E0AuKm_UcAMYURi.jpg
2021-04-27T21:36:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387158656853024771
@4Eridani I think the camera moves quite a bit relative to the pole, so you can't really use it as a reference point.

2021-04-27T21:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387157787277291521
@BenC04549226 @mesolithicguy No, just this time it was a bit out of focus and the aperture was stopped down a bit. He might not have used it much.

We also don't know who else reviewed the footage and what they concluded.

2021-04-27T18:54:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387117941787824130
Is "it does not hurt the feelings of a fighter pilot" a valid piece of evidence in judging a UFO hypothesis?

2021-04-27T18:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387116605654507520
@Disclose_UFOUAP @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @mesolithicguy Tracing the video across the sky from Jupiter ends up where two stars are.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-04-27T17:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387104026806800385
@TruthofTerAvaya @PredatoryPanic @Truth_SeekersTV I'm not.

My life is pretty much an open book. You can read all about it in several places. I'm not even really a UFO guy, I just like analyzing video puzzles.

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-04-27T17:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387102692472803330
@OwatcherUf @Disclose_UFOUAP @mesolithicguy Logs don't mention this video.

2021-04-27T17:53:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387102555570769920
@themoodnetwork @CamCashmn The antenna does not reflect any flashes. It does light up though. It would seem a local light would do this.

The stars don't flash.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1387101765858856963/pu/img/TVA7-JKsVDS1TlOr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1387101765858856963/pu/img/TVA7-JKsVDS1TlOr.jpg
2021-04-27T16:51:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387087014416191488
@Disclose_UFOUAP @mesolithicguy One guy made the initial mistaken identification.

The Navy has been almost entirely silent on the issue.

2021-04-27T16:49:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387086626568900613
@RealSassyKat @mesolithicguy You think "all the Navy" has tried to analyze that video?

The Navy has been almost entirely silent on this issue.

And MANY people arrived at the same conclusion as I did.

2021-04-27T16:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387086003437899781
@mesolithicguy Two guys, one monocular? How would that work?

One guy made the initial mistake. He reported it, and possibly it went up the line a bit.

It was initially unidentified. Is it now?

2021-04-27T16:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387085375911329792
@edwardo_mota @ExogenesisHH US Coast Guard plane. Thermal camera.

2021-04-27T16:43:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387085097816322048
@PredatoryPanic @Truth_SeekersTV Even if the "triangle aperture + FAA lights + triangular

stars theory" turns out to be wrong, it's pretty reasonably hypothesis that several people arrive at independently within hour of the video being released.

It if is wrong, then Jeremy needs to explain why.

2021-04-27T16:40:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387084365448974336
@CamCashmn Yeah, but you can't JUST note that it's blinking in accordance with FAA regulations. Because then they ask "why is it a pyramid then?"
So you need both points.

2021-04-27T16:37:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387083428110110722
@mesolithicguy Nobody is arguing "that the Navy can't observe a simple aeroplane despite all the equipment they process"

I'm arguing ONE GUY did not realize his night vision monocular was out of focus, and so thought he was looking at a triangular drone.

2021-04-27T16:35:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387082999158607879
@Jonatha12518843 @Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u How do we know some UFOs don't look like small insects close to the camera?

Sure there's always room for unlikely coincidences. But here we have triangle shaped stars the exact same shape as the triangular UFO.

Your question is philosophical, not practical.

2021-04-27T16:32:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387082208041525254
@Jonatha12518843 @Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u JC: "The :red_triangle_pointed_down: triangle aperture theory was a lame & feeble attempt to discredit the obvious. Anybody that considered this theory in the first place - should evaluate their ability to perceive. The false aperture theory demonstrates willful ignorance & was never worth your time."

2021-04-27T16:28:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387081170140102656
Who considered the triangle aperture theory?
Have you since evaluated your ability to perceive?
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1380794070092574721

2021-04-27T16:06:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387075660749828096
@Jonatha12518843 @Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u I have read that.

It's entirely possible that US ships have seen foreign drones.

However I thinking the one object in this one video is most likely a plane. Possibly the misidentification was prompted by heightened concern regarding drones.

2021-04-27T16:04:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387075190471856134
@Jonatha12518843 @Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u No, when was that?

Did he explain why it was not relevant to this video?

2021-04-27T15:59:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387073971556782081
@Jonatha12518843 @Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-27T14:55:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1387057834727071750
@Haze17Purple @ron9gray @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u No, he does not refute my explanation. He did not even seem to understand what it is.
https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-04-27T04:33:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386901250357088260
@issaufobro Just another drone zip-by.

2021-04-27T03:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386890970948464641
@zcichy @steven_nikolic @of_skeptical @buffcommacu Different external company. IIRC

2021-04-27T03:42:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386888528483606528
@Jaguar_phil How do you figure â□□the entire navyâ□□?

2021-04-27T03:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386888123318038539
@steven_nikolic @of_skeptical @zcichy @buffcommacu That was the version the didnâ□□t work out.

2021-04-26T20:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386777316664123394
@Fiddaman @bobybert1 @UAPorSAP @JeremyCorbell @north_weald @g_knapp Why wouldn't it have been the first time? Or at least one of the first few times?

2021-04-26T20:18:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386776826232467456
@zcichy @buffcommacu Activision just paid him like $1 million in stock for his likeness and a few days work, and that was that.

2021-04-26T20:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386776418432929792

@zcichy @buffcommacu http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez7RxR0UYAId8HD.jpg

327	lab0.wav	Computer bird	Access granted		
328	pri20.wav	Computer bird	Prisoner Trey Kincaid has left detention block 5		
329	pri21.wav	Computer bird	Prisoner Trey Kincaid is responsible for 36.4 casualties		
330					
331	swt01.wav	SWAT	Don't shoot, I've a wife and kids		
332	swt02.wav	SWAT	Subject is leaving sector 5		
333	swt03.wav	SWAT	All units proceed to intersection point Bravo		
334	swt04.wav	SWAT	Hold your position		
335	swt05.wav	SWAT	Lay down suppresion fire		
336	swt06.wav	SWAT	Move, move, move		
337	swt07.wav	SWAT	My arm...		
338	swt08.wav	SWAT	Just blow the whole building you fuuuu...		
339	swt09.wav	SWAT	I'm hit		
340	swt10.wav	SWAT	Medic		
341	swt11.wav	SWAT	Forget his rights, just shoot him		
342	swt12.wav	SWAT	Go for the head shot		
343	swt13_1.wav	SWAT	Aaarghhh		
344					

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez7RxR0UYAId8HD.jpg

2021-04-26T19:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386763879829499905

@bobybert1 @UAPorSAP @JeremyCorbell @north_weald @g_knapp I don't think anyone "forced" bokeh. They just had it focussed too close - maybe on something on deck - and then looked at the stars. It was most likely accidental.

2021-04-26T19:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386763505668218880

@zcichy @buffcommacu Yeah, Bruce's people might have something to say.

2021-04-26T19:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386763260716752898

@DragonShadow384 @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u What would it have taken to alter that score?

2021-04-26T19:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386762872709996545

@andradare @rpowell2u Of course!

2021-04-26T19:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386762778308874245

@zcichy @buffcommacu Excellent! I still quote from that game in daily life, as the audio clips were burnt into my brain. "My arm!" being the most common.

Of course I could be entirely misremembering, and just quoting random phrases :)

2021-04-26T18:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386748718565642241

@ron9gray @Haze17Purple @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u The locking technology here is optical. It's tracking the object using the image from the video feed. I think Fravor agrees on this point.

2021-04-26T18:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386746037314887681

@zcichy @andradare @rpowell2u Best I've got is an avionics systems technician, anonymous. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez62JuqVIAIiI33.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez62JuqVIAIiI33.jpg

2021-04-26T18:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386745213746520065

@zcichy @andradare @rpowell2u I've had it confirmed multiple times, but nobody wants to go on the record, as it is classified technology and/or covered by industry NDA. So the more of an expert someone is, the less likely they are to talk about it in public.

2021-04-26T18:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386744758500925445

@andradare What complex physics problems are you referring to?

2021-04-26T18:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386744494150672387

@andradare "Delusion" is NOT what I'm saying. It's basically stress - which might lead to people making mistakes.

2021-04-26T17:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386734709661569024

@TheChilterns @LuAngeles I wasn't being ironic, if that's what you were referring to.

2021-04-26T17:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386734512009191425

@onelastread @saucerlit @michaelshermer Not at all. This video shows only a few seconds. We have no idea from the video what was observed in the hours before or after it, or even what was just off-camera. This might have been one of many sightings of moving lights in the sky.

2021-04-26T17:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386734043023085568

@SithVincentV @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u That's a gross mischaracterization of my hypotheses. It's like you've created caricatures, a kind of straw man, that can easily be dismissed, rather than addressing the the actual points.

How would a one-time loss of lock render the equipment useless for future use?

2021-04-26T17:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386733442935627779

@andradare @rpowell2u Do you think he made a compelling case for why the camera did not lose lock except at the end?

2021-04-26T17:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386733095794057218

@andradare Despite my lack of qualification, there's still issues that a readily understandable and subject to analysis by people with basic science and math skills. We're not talking 12-dimensional sphere packing here.

2021-04-26T17:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386732451834122245

@andradare One of the pilots ("Source") described her mental and emotional state here: "nervous", "not a drill", "exciting", "frightened", "concerned", "another 9-11", "alarmed", "worried", time dilation due to a "heightened state of excitement", & later "furious".

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/

2021-04-26T05:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386554961945763844

@WileGenius @LuAngeles Now I'm slightly offended. :)

2021-04-26T05:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386554829695119362

@LuAngeles Looks like a nice spike. But to understand the significance you'd have to have something to compare it with.

2021-04-25T22:26:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386446535307632641

@CBMDP @TheUfoJoe @GrahamGauthier1 @chad_vfa41 So what exactly do you think he was looking at? He did not say there was any datalink contact on the SA. He had an intermittent local radar contact where he thought the radar "could not hack it" - but the primary thing seems to have been the ATFLIR video.

2021-04-25T21:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386434731177222148

@Sacfoodie916 @TheUfoJoe I have not called anyone a liar, nor do I think anyone involved in the Nimitz encounter is lying.

2021-04-25T21:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386434421276831744

@futruaultra @TheUfoJoe @GrahamGauthier1 @chad_vfa41 @CBMDP If I'm wrong, then I would be wrong. So I'd admit it, and study the new situation.

2021-04-25T19:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386401991631376385

@bobofango86 @blackvaultcom I'm not claiming that what Kevin Day told me is accurate. I'm

pointing out his story has changed significantly, to so it's hard to gauge how accurate any account might be.

2021-04-25T19:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386401719198773251

@TheUfoJoe @GrahamGauthier1 @chad_vfa41 @CBMDP I don't actually think Underwood is talking about what he saw, because that's not what we see on the video. I think he was just relating what people had described regarding the radar, and Fravor's account.
Underwood said there's more video, but less interesting than FLIR1

2021-04-25T17:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386370971330768899

@FactumInc @michaelshermer Where did they say it's "cell phone footage"?

2021-04-25T17:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386370768334852098

@Altouiz @blackvaultcom Underwood seemed not to. But a technician I talked to did.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez1gdmCVcAUuxKl.jpg

---

Tracking in the Nimitz FLIR1 Video

K: Right... what does he [Underwood] mean by "doesn't lose lock"?
M: Well, he says that it's solidly tracking the object the entire time, but then
K: And then he's referring to when it flies off screen?
M: Yeah, he says at the end that he can tell it has lost lock because the bars ...
K: ... right, **it obviously lost lock because the boundary bars back off.**
M: but the thing is, the boundary bars back off at other points in the video too.
K: right so that [the bars backing off] is a "signal of confidence" for those pods. So what it's tracking is not like tracking with radar, or infrared, it's not tracking from the pod, it's the pod talking to the CDU, talking to the actual display. **It's tracking the pixels on the screen,** if that makes sense.
M: Yeah, it's analyzing the video, essentially, and seeing where the bright spot is.
K: Right, **it's not like tracking a hot spot in the way a heat seeking missile does, or radar.** So those boundary bars are a confidence gauge - ah, I don't know the right word for that - so you can lose them, they can back off every once in a while, even though the computer knows to keep the same speed and heading, whichever way the camera was moving, then it's will gain it's confidence back.

M: Do you have the video there? The Nimitz video, I just wondered if you have a second we could look at it together. Like, you could tell me what is going on in it?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ez1gdmCVcAUuxKl.jpg

2021-04-25T16:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386360701476753409

@MakerMade100 @michaelshermer The evidence does seem to indicate that, what with the stars in the video also being triangular.

2021-04-25T16:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386360549009551363

@Haze17Purple @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u No according to Kevin Day.

2021-04-25T16:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386359697603629059

@BinnionKimberly @PodcastUap @LueElizondo No, It means that I cropped and adjusted the

contrast in the version of the video I included, so you could see the drone better.

2021-04-25T15:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386343140269838340

@ron9gray @Haze17Purple @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u Have you seen the "No Sudden Moves" video?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-04-25T15:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386342934253948937

@Haze17Purple @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u I mean the 80,000 feet. Where did that number actually come from?

2021-04-25T15:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386334876568195081

@Haze17Purple @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u What are those reports based on? Drill down

---

2021-04-25T14:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386331175510282241

@steven_dor @howardmbarker I didnâ□□t.

2021-04-25T13:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386308960697806852

@saucerlit @michaelshermer I suspect they initially did not realize Jupiter was Jupiter. I have mistaken planets for approaching planes several times.

They also did not realize the "pyramid" was a plane - because it did not look like one in the NVD

2021-04-25T13:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386308225834774531

@Disclose_UFOUAP @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer @JeremyCorbell The Navy have not said that.

One guy didn't identify it. We don't know what happened after that.

2021-04-25T13:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386307271198535682

@saucerlit @michaelshermer Obviously that did not happen.

2021-04-25T13:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386307128768405511

@onceagiant @itsdazzler @dave_beaty @4Eridani @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 @TheUfoJoe Me too. It's difficult to find a good explanation for it.

Which does not mean there isn't one.

2021-04-25T08:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386243401452900354
@saucerlit @michaelshermer It was dark.

2021-04-25T06:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386206057412784130
@mat_polaschek @Disclose_UFOUAP @michaelshermer I don't think the 4 accounts (really more like 1+0.2+0.01+0 accounts) really line up though.

2021-04-25T06:26:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386204861193080833
@mat_polaschek @Disclose_UFOUAP @michaelshermer I don't know. Maybe something like a target balloon and a parallax illusion. Maybe a fancy drone. Maybe anti-gravity. Maybe aliens.

(maybes ranked in order of likelihood, list not intended to be definitive)

2021-04-25T04:18:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386172799761276931
@Disclose_UFOUAP @michaelshermer It's still UAP if my theory is true. At least until we get the exact date, time, and location - and can find the plane. @JeremyCorbell might be able to help with that

---

2021-04-25T04:17:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386172536921104385
@Disclose_UFOUAP @michaelshermer The government did not leak this. They have said nothing beyond that a sailor took it, and it was looked at by the UAP Task Force.

2021-04-25T04:16:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386172138860675079
@BenjMight @michaelshermer Do you have Navy friends who used night vision monoculars on ship, to look at aircraft? Can you ask them what model they used?

2021-04-25T04:14:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386171714447429635
@saucerlit @michaelshermer No. They started out look at Jupiter, then panned over. So the "triangle" may only have been observed in-camera.

2021-04-25T04:07:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386169998981304320
@saucerlit @michaelshermer Night vision cameras reveal what things look like in the dark. It was dark.

2021-04-25T04:06:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386169841749401607
@Disclose_UFOUAP @michaelshermer How about both?

There's nothing in my explanation that needs the Navy to be lying.

2021-04-25T03:50:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386165802496237573

@saucerlit @michaelshermer Because he was not trying to focus, as he did not realize it was out of focus.

2021-04-25T03:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386165534371115008

@PostDisclosure @michaelshermer Sure, Iâ□□d put that at #3, after #2 - friendly drone, and #1 - plane.

2021-04-25T03:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386162205557628928

RT @michaelshermer: What's more likely? 1. ETIs arrived at Earth in triangle-shaped spaceships along the same flight path as jets approachiâ□¦

2021-04-25T03:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386162000573517825

@OfficialKy @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u Letâ□□s start a GoFundMe!

Or maybe VR might be the way to go?

2021-04-25T03:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386155652922306565

@ForeySR Can you quote what I said, because that does not sound like it.

2021-04-25T01:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386135757740380160

RT @SkepticZone: The Skeptic Zone #654 - UFO sighting with Mick West - Abhijit Chanda COVID news from India - News from Aust. Skeptics - TRâ□¦

2021-04-25T01:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386128792964386818

@howardmbarker It has batteries. Bits of it are designed to be inserted into body orifices. Here: http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386128342194163712/pu/img/nTNwesKZgZ-IDj7X.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386128342194163712/pu/img/nTNwesKZgZ-IDj7X.jpg
2021-04-25T01:11:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386125779310833669
@murnut @dave_beaty No, people sometimes modify their objective covers to improve focus in slightly lighter conditions. They also sometimes create a hole with tape.
Object cover is the #2 hypothesis though. #1 is a lens with a triangular aperture (iris)

2021-04-25T01:02:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386123332534226948
RT @blackvaultcom: In 5 minutes, Mick West @MickWest and Robert Powell @rpowell2u discuss for the first time face to face, the 2004 Nimitzâ¦

2021-04-25T00:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386122548828467204
@murnut @dave_beaty Not at all, no. I think it was accidental.

2021-04-25T00:54:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386121472930828289
RT @brianklaas: Can't believe this is real. "Eye-popping"

Biden's proposal for top marginal income rate is 39.6%. Here's what it was in thâ¦

2021-04-25T00:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386121266143195137
@murnut @dave_beaty To demonstrate that a PVS-14 with a triangular cover produces footage like that seen in the pyramid video (but with a wider field of view).

2021-04-25T00:52:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386120809429700610
@jolly_mosh @zcichy @JeremyCorbell With date and time, all the commercial air traffic in the area could be identified. With location, you could find which plane it was, or rule out all of the recorded planes. So it would be a significant piece of evidence.

2021-04-25T00:50:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386120291009449985
@dave_beaty I bought some on eBay, thinking I'd either mail them to someone, or rent a PVS-14. But I've really got sufficient footage now.

2021-04-25T00:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386112625142996994
@ADarkObelisk @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles I asked Jeremy for the metadata.

2021-04-24T21:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386063968217681922
@diverchick71 @markoconnell_1 I thought it was quite generous of them to take the time to talk about me and my (somewhat unpopular) hypotheses.

2021-04-24T21:04:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386063624708313088

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo I mean the best fit for the flashing object in the video. Not "these".

2021-04-24T20:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386060486995628034

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo That's the best fit to the available data.

2021-04-24T20:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386059758218596354

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo That's a slide. Not a briefing. You've got no idea of the context. You need to look at the big picture - which unfortunately here is largely obscured.

So I go with what is available.

Do YOU think it's a pyramid-shaped craft?

2021-04-24T20:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386058581561118720

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo How do you know that?

2021-04-24T20:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386058081918853120

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo Yes, I know. That was the initial estimated altitude. But since those are not actually triangles, then the altitude estimate means nothing.

2021-04-24T20:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386057398234664960

@ErichKellermeye Can you quote those words? As far as I know there have been no official words beyond Sue Gough's

---

2021-04-24T20:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386056901117448193

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo The video is very strong evidence of that. It shows Jupiter and some stars a bit blurry, and then a flashing light, triangle shaped after (digitally) zooming in, that moves like a plane past two triangle-shaped stars.

What else fits that video?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-04-24T20:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386056160969592833

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo Or they would have data that showed it was.

How do you know which it is?

2021-04-24T20:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386055174733516800

@knightsabers01 @pbarracl It does have batteries.

2021-04-24T20:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386054052992016386

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo I've ignored none of that. None of it applies to a misidentified plane at 30,000 feet. The plane would be on radar, and in radio contact with ATC. But some guy had his NVD out of focus and thought it was at 700 feet.

2021-04-24T20:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386053613580021767

@fractious_celt @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp The metadata would help settle that.

2021-04-24T20:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386052512092811264

@jason_quitt mick@mickwest.com if you want to email it.

2021-04-24T20:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386052325257613312

@conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo Or if your NVD is like this. Which many are.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386052216260239360/pu/img/PZD_C05wH6aqg3uR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386052216260239360/pu/img/PZD_C05wH6aqg3uR.jpg

2021-04-24T20:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386052100421914624

@therealpmoney I'd shared the image before and someone thought it was a sex toy, so I

thought I'd clarify.

2021-04-24T20:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386051870355980292

@conterammundi @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo He didn't really address it, just raised the usual "there's more data."

It's rather difficult to see how more data could explain the stars being triangular.

2021-04-24T20:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386051254921555970

@ErichKellermeye Sure. Sounds like a radically different hypothesis you are investigating, but you could get data from such an experiment.

2021-04-24T20:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386051033546166274

@jason_quitt Hard to say.

2021-04-24T20:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386050899366191108

@SteatlhUfo @jshkrueger @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles I'm telling you about the video, not the larger sighting events of possible drones.

I think actually a NVD with internal triangular aperture is more likely than a modified lens cap.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386050845985234946/pu/img/XjDIH6eoksVRc9Lt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386050845985234946/pu/img/XjDIH6eoksVRc9Lt.jpg

2021-04-24T20:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386048055644880898

@crumdumps @40eridani The underlying video used is about 160 pixels high. Those stars at the stars are probably 3-4 pixels, so not resolvable as triangles.

2021-04-24T19:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386045035838263296

Here's a replica of the Tic-Tac UFO I keep on my desk. Also something that you can insert into your ear.

Initial impressions sometimes mislead.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386044960739262464/pu/img/yIgILHYVqhKorwaV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386044960739262464/pu/img/yIgILHYVqhKorwaV.jpg

2021-04-24T19:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386044518416322566

@mwgfdn

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386044476456538113/pu/img/29DP-8yQ1Fefv4v9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1386044476456538113/pu/img/29DP-8yQ1Fefv4v9.jpg

2021-04-24T19:45:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386043574915981315

@AliensExist15 That's no donut.

2021-04-24T19:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386038142688321539

@ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles "The military?" No, it's assuming one guy had his monocular out of focus and it had a triangular aperture of some sort. That's all. It's REALLY REALLY SIMPLE.

2021-04-24T19:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386037560439238656

Three random items from my desk. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzwxkGFVUAESNxw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzwxkGFVUAESNxw.jpg

2021-04-24T19:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386034893038129156

@PostDisclosure @LuAngeles You don't need tape, of course. You can just cut a hole in a spare lens cap. Much more practical.

Still, it's not a PVS-14, as the FOV is too narrow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzwvI8kVkAA5eEv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzwvI8kVkAA5eEv.jpg

2021-04-24T19:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386034326408601602

@PodcastUap @BinnionKimberly @LueElizondo Or possibly a border patrol drone.
But drone.

2021-04-24T19:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386033837600174080

@PostDisclosure @LuAngeles Putting tape over lenses IS more data. It's an experiment that produces results that can be included in analysis.

2021-04-24T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386030793869316097

@UAPorSAP @MadScientistPod I think it was just a misunderstanding.

2021-04-24T18:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386025463529623552

My interview with Kevin Day (regarding the Nimitz incidents) was difficult to listen to as we had a bad connection, so I've updated it with fully edited subtitles which makes it easier to hear what's going on.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv9iKw_Q9xQ

Also in text form: https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

---

2021-04-24T18:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1386020293362151426
@MadScientistPod I think he's going for a "big tent" approach. He even had my name up there at one point. I asked him to remove it.

I watched a bit of it. They are really focussing on the ET aspect.

2021-04-24T17:59:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386016879282589697
@skytriangles @GUFONRadio Nice. Yes, looks like the original is a simple GCI job, and the newer one just edited it to look like night vision
.

2021-04-24T17:56:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386016305237553157
@itsdazzler @dave_beaty @onceagiant @4Eridani @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 @TheUfoJoe I think it's unlikely. I don't see compelling evidence of that. It's possible though.

2021-04-24T17:14:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386005587608047625
@GUFONRadio Where's the raw footage?

2021-04-24T17:13:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1386005473170653186
@sparksyboy69 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @UFOTORONTO It's Robert Powell.

2021-04-24T16:26:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385993585166749699
@EmperorBag @flarkey @abeliciousj @JeremyUnidenti1 @Akam1129 Yes, I was thinking that's possible. I could find a great example - but just discovered "sky lantern fail" in YouTube gives good results. Here's one that fails in pieces. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O28BkLYSdY0

2021-04-24T16:18:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385991548005806081
@Ps5andxbox1 I think the promoters of such things are sometimes true believers, sometimes hoaxers, sometimes a bit of both.

2021-04-24T16:17:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385991375309602818
@Ps5andxbox1 It might actually be a normal comet, I didn't examine it that closely due to the silly "larger than Jupiter" description. But here's some actual comets seen on Soho, with explanations. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2wT4ZQG19S0

2021-04-24T15:44:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385983012391690243
@Ps5andxbox1 The sun flares by itself.

It's a camera issue, probably an internal reflection. Where's the original SOHO footage?

2021-04-24T15:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385982479958380552
@BinnionKimberly @PodcastUap @LueElizondo Contrast boosted and cropped.

It's a drone.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385982396433014785/pu/img/f32PpssSK4rANFCW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385982396433014785/pu/img/f32PpssSK4rANFCW.jpg
2021-04-24T15:41:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385982269567893506
@Ps5andxbox1 A blob on screen does not translate to a a comet the size of Jupiter close to the sun.

At a very simple level, it would be visible to the naked eye when within Earth's orbit, about 100,000 times brighter than Jupiter.

It would also disrupt the orbits of the planets

2021-04-24T14:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385971381754482692
@BinnionKimberly @PodcastUap @LueElizondo It looks and moves like a drone. When it does the upwards motion you can see the rotor arms.

2021-04-24T14:55:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385970650590814211
@nicealias You made a claim.

2021-04-24T14:54:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385970513332170760

@Ps5andxbox1 A comet twice the size of Jupiter, near the sun, and yet massless and invisible?

I'm going to go with "didn't happen," because it's literally impossible.

2021-04-24T14:50:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385969368782102534
@nicealias Can you quote where she said "they didn't know what it was."

2021-04-24T14:48:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385968816979537923
I posted a response to the nine questions that @40eridani had about the "Pyramid UFO = Bokeh" hypothesis on Reddit, but forgot to post them here. Several other people have also posted responses/rebuttals. It's not Mick vs. #ufotwitter here.

https://www.reddit.com/r/ufo/comments/mw5wsh/debunking_of_mick_wests_debunking_of_the_triangle/gvi6wwr/?utm_source=reddit&utm_medium=web2x&context=3
https://twitter.com/4Eridani/status/1385143031637155841
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzvzGwoUcAAsdVG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzvzGwoUcAAsdVG.jpg
2021-04-24T14:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385968048100626433
@onceagiant @4Eridani @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 @dave_beaty @TheUfoJoe
https://www.reddit.com/r/ufo/comments/mw5wsh/debunking_of_mick_wests_debunking_of_the_triangle/gvi6wwr/?utm_source=reddit&utm_medium=web2x&context=3
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzvyfsZVkAUahyG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzvyfsZVkAUahyG.jpg

2021-04-24T14:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385963759747825668

@PharoK @SeaReever @foiaboi Check out my talk with Robert Powell of the SCU tonight. We discuss that, to a degree.

2021-04-24T14:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385963419119939587

@nicealias She did not say that.

2021-04-24T10:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385905316940816384

@GUFONRadio Where's the original?

2021-04-24T05:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385834904919760899

@foiaboi @SKEPTICLBELIEVR Can you read my mind?

2021-04-24T05:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385828345875632128

@GUFONRadio How about the giant diagonal pixels in the background.

2021-04-24T05:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385825229407092739

@issaufobro @foiaboi @SeaReever Looks suspiciously balloon-like, just drifting along https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Za7waL8BOZo

---

2021-04-24T05:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385824811616595968

@PharoK @foiaboi @SeaReever Passenger planes were flying directly overhead. They were not a threat.

2021-04-24T04:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385809974006222851

@PostJawn @foiaboi @SeaReever No itâ□□s not. Iâ□□ve always said I donâ□□t rule things out. I do this based on experience.

Occamic ranking: Keep a list of hypotheses. Rank it.

2021-04-24T04:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385808282510512130

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @foiaboi Exactly.

2021-04-24T04:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385808106202963972

@foiaboi @SeaReever How do you know they arenâ□□t visiting?

It canâ□□t be ruled out.

2021-04-24T04:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385806728285982728

@Joseph17841441 Planes vs. pyramids in the sky, whatâ□□s the relative frequency?

2021-04-23T22:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385728202698498049

@sardjatwopoinow @AliensOnEarth_ I'm always happy to look at new evidence.

2021-04-23T21:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385707743697281027

@hoveringobject We stayed mostly with the Nimitz.

2021-04-23T21:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385700403711410182

@Bobby95975933 @OwatcherUf I don't use fake accounts. I only tweet from here and (historically) @metabunk.

2021-04-23T20:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385692416825389058

@MagicKrakening @4Eridani @AFSUnidentified It was @Dylan_DTV, and I credited him in several places. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezr3vwXUYAA0yc0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezr3vwXUYAA0yc0.jpg
2021-04-23T19:24:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385675888830795779
@CMetaphor @Deepfryguy76 Recognition of the non-euclidian nature of space isn't going to change how much people read.

2021-04-23T19:02:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385670513217859586
@hoveringobject The most egregious error is assuming that the object becoming invisible over the water was because it was a space-warping transmedium craft that goes underwater without a splash, loss of speed, or loss of heat - instead of rather simpler explanations, like it NOT going underwater

---

2021-04-23T18:08:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385656718240075780
@Johny31814750 @Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It does not seem to go near the water. In fact it does not seem to ever change in altitude.

2021-04-23T18:05:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385656150138363905
@Fiddaman @missmeltmyheart No, it's a lack of data meaning clear conclusion cannot be drawn.

There is no fence, There's a bunch of possible explanations. I think a slow moving object like a pair of wedding lanterns is a better fit than the blunt "space warping craft".

2021-04-23T17:54:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385653229040463872

@Fiddaman @missmeltmyheart Maybe, it's not really clear what's happening.

2021-04-23T17:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385652366247043073

RT @blackvaultcom: Tomorrow.

Robert Powell @rpowell2u + Mick West @MickWest step into The Vault.

Subscribe (it's FREE!) to The Black Vaulâ¦

2021-04-23T14:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385604712574046214

@Owl489353 @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Do you think thereâ□□s not enough FOIA requests being filed?

2021-04-23T14:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385604425859825671

@Fiddaman @missmeltmyheart No, sky lanterns. Hence the occasionally occluded heat sources.

2021-04-23T14:39:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385604205268791297

@Bobby95975933 Really? So when people ONLY show the â□□transmediumâ□□ part, is that deceptive?

Doesnâ□□t the mis-air vanishing need explaining?

2021-04-23T14:36:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385603442194796544

@chasekuertz Yes, but not because of the object. Thatâ□□s the ground track. Itâ□□s telling you the altitude of the ground behind the reticle.

2021-04-23T14:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385602425176743937

@Bobby95975933 So you think how long it takes is an indicator of quality?

2021-04-23T14:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385602085291393024

@cc05270 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Would it?

2021-04-23T14:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385601984804253703

@Lennart_1 @4Eridani @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyUnidenti1 @dave_beaty @TheUfoJoe No.

2021-04-23T14:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385601902210019328

@Fiddaman @missmeltmyheart We do, although they require string.

2021-04-23T14:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385601750728527876
@davexmit Itâ□□s probably parallax.

2021-04-23T04:18:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385447990467334144
@ThorntonVallery @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 So why are most of the the videos of those kids being held in private? Why not release them?

2021-04-23T04:15:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385447132803461122
@futruaultra @TheUfoJoe The account you just replied to is not me.

I'm not 100% sure about anything.

2021-04-23T04:12:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385446428957282309
@ThorntonVallery @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 I wouldn't call that compelling. I don't know what it is, but it's a terrible quality video.

2021-04-23T03:37:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385437598772994050
@ThorntonVallery @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 Go on then.

2021-04-23T01:13:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385401471949164544
@ThorntonVallery @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 To me, none are very compelling. To the public, maybe Gimbal.

2021-04-23T01:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385401215199039491
@FettuccineSplit Because it resembles a balloon.

2021-04-23T01:12:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385401089487360001
@JeanNavet There are zero clouds in this clip

2021-04-23T00:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385384614982684675
@BenC04549226 @papercrane111 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Why don't you look at Kaku's analysis of the videos?

Because he hasn't done any.

2021-04-22T23:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385377260954214400
@SilvaRecord @MickWe5t @JeremyCorbell @LuAngeles @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure Note that's a fake account. Not me.

2021-04-22T23:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385376136998187012

@AtomSkeptic @GlobalCHEMTRAIL @aerowings73 @HipHopIntellect Or at least they were seven years ago.

2021-04-22T23:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385375913957748739

@DavidGaryEsp I think, in this case, that accident is more likely than hoax.

2021-04-22T23:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385375704926146563

@papercrane111 @BenC04549226 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Nonsense. I think it's very clear that Kaku does not know what he is talking about in this specific instance.

He's a public figure. So I get to call him out when he spreads nonsense.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2021-04-22T23:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385374870758850561

@Fiddaman I'm genuinely stumped all the time when there's insufficient information (TFA, OOF, SFA, JAL, etc)

2021-04-22T23:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385374371879940096

@Hugo_Newman @Fiddaman I understand. I wrote about that problem here. https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/in-defense-of-debunkers/

2021-04-22T21:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385351069937463297

@hoveringobject Yes. It's wrong.

2021-04-22T21:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385350991726342145

@BenC04549226 @papercrane111 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It's obvious that he's not familiar with them.

2021-04-22T21:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385350889146179589

@Aviation_Intel Cool. I've never seen the quadcopter icon before.

2021-04-22T21:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385346897473277953

@BenC04549226 @papercrane111 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Because I am familiar with them.

2021-04-22T21:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385346788282933248

@saucerlit @outsider_true Yes, a sky lantern + parallax. http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385346725448011779/pu/img/llssy8G_q_485Krd.jp

g



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385346725448011779/pu/img/llssy8G_q_485Krd.jpg
2021-04-22T21:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385346549874450432
@BenC04549226 @papercrane111 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp He can still be wrong. He's really not that familiar with these videos and their analysis.

2021-04-22T21:34:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385346337684692992
Interesting shapes on http://Planefinder.net right now. A quadcopter and a triangle!

This particular triangle, N3A, is the Goodyear Blimp.

But what's VANILLA1? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm8tpXVoAMX7eg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm8tpXVoAMX7eg.jpg

2021-04-22T21:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385345768051986432

@hoosierguy2822 @ufoofinterest One thing is not every thing.

2021-04-22T21:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385342715815022592

@MrDaveKeane @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe Here's a composite time lapse showing asynchronous short flashes, and synchronous long flashes. The "pyramid" is something of a combination of these. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm5qI2VcAMZhdi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm5qI2VcAMZhdi.jpg

2021-04-22T21:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385341305094512640

@ufoofinterest Yup. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm4kXRUUAMF2NC.jpg

---


250 PLATOV,

Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezm4kXRUUAMF2NC.jpg

2021-04-22T21:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385340997811404800

@papercrane111 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp He's not talking about the triangle craft.

He's also wrong.

2021-04-22T21:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385340669883871238

@MrDaveKeane @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe I've seen it on two c-mount TV-lenses used for NV.

2021-04-22T20:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385334184630054915

@MrDaveKeane @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe 737's have similar async patterns. Newer LED light have the longer pulse lengths.

2021-04-22T20:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385332774085664768

@outsider_true Yes, that's what I mean by "orientation"

2021-04-22T20:21:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385327993501851648

@MrDaveKeane @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe And then I followed that by discussing how they might want to disguise themselves as planes.

Point being - there no reason to think they are not planes.

2021-04-22T20:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385327711615287298

@Flyingh43892139 It's a combination of all of the above.

Point being - it vanishes over land, it vanishes over water. It's probably not going under water at high speed with no splast.

And triangulation puts it constantly over land.

2021-04-22T20:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385324727825166338

@stevebozz1 @flarkey That's it! Thanks! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzmpffjVoAE21bQ.jpg

---





250 PLATOV,

Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzmpffjVoAE21bQ.jpg

2021-04-22T20:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385323048769703936

@HumphreyLancas1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 Why is it vanishing here?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385323010991616002/pu/img/NyKbyNQ-vyzv91WR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385323010991616002/pu/img/NyKbyNQ-vyzv91WR.jpg

2021-04-22T20:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385322719688806408

@chasekuertz That's a highly problematic explanation because:

A) It does not deviate from its path to descend the 150+ feet that would be needed to go behind trees

B) It invisible in portions with no trees.

2021-04-22T19:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385317207794618368

@predicate111 Thanks, not that thought.

2021-04-22T19:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385316899827843072

Is this "transmedium" UFO flying under ground?

Or simply not showing up on the thermal camera because or orientation, video compression, and lack of resolution?

Note, at 00:03 it flies off a 150 ft high plateau. It's does not descend. It still vanishes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385315512507191298/pu/img/n184rmvUy7GogyUA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385315512507191298/pu/img/n184rmvUy7GogyUA.jpg

2021-04-22T19:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385315488390021120

@HumphreyLancas1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 The sea is BEHIND it. But it does not go near the sea. It also fades in and out of visibility when there's land behind it.

2021-04-22T19:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385314299690721280

@flarkey No it was black and white scan from an older book, a day or two ago. Something like a weather balloon in Finland.

2021-04-22T19:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385314004675940353

@predicate111 I don't mean the Knapp usage. There was a different image, claiming it was a balloon.

2021-04-22T19:19:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385312423276474369

@Flat__Lunacy Sure. The ball "fell" at a linear rate, meaning there was zero acceleration, meaning no gravity. The initial impulse came from being squeezed under his arm.

2021-04-22T19:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385311709397590016

Someone posted a scanned page from a book that showed a possible balloon that looked like this (and was identified at the time as a UFO). I can't find it, anyone know where it is?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezmdaq3UcAUqv3z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ezmdaq3UcAUqv3z.jpg

2021-04-22T19:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385309740637380609

@GeorgeTakei Here's the video in the context of the night sky. Kinda looks like a plane, out of focus, triangular iris.

Notice the stars are also triangles.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-04-22T19:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385308627284598787

@GeorgeTakei Or is it....
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-22T18:46:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385303905416880130
@4Eridani For the barjillionth time: OF COURSE THEY WOULD.

That not an argument.

2021-04-22T18:39:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385302379013152770
@Phenomenology19 @4Eridani @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp The pole lights up then dims, it's just a slower light change, totally unrelated to the flashing.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385302304337760256/pu/img/mjUmoCDuEU8gjxLH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1385302304337760256/pu/img/mjUmoCDuEU8gjxLH.jpg
2021-04-22T18:18:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385297085432360960
@Ps5andxbox1 @ExogenesisHH Video is better. Two genuinely independent videos of the same thing even better. GPS data ideal.

There's a VAST amount of possible and convincing options between the current "blurry blobs" and a daylight landing at the Washington Monument

---

2021-04-22T18:09:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385294708780331008
@zcichy @JeremyCorbell Jeremy claims to be releasing only unclassified material, AFAIK.

But even so, the date and time should be fine.

2021-04-22T17:50:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385290031045120002
@GeorgeT96559261 By hand. Started with Jupiter, then used the clouds for the large movement, up to Rasalhague, then down to Okab.
It's certainly not 100%, but pretty close.

2021-04-22T17:48:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385289333637206021
@MrHustleMCR @saucerlit @SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe Diopter adjustment would not remove the triangle shape, as that appears on the back screen.

Night vision shows you things that you can't see with the naked eye. That's their function. So the "shape of the object" being revealed in NV is not an unexpected thing.

2021-04-22T17:44:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385288521720635392
@Titanic1732 @SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe Nobody is saying it was a plane at 700 feet. If it was a plane, then it would have been much higher. Look at it in context.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-04-22T17:11:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385280042855403522
@saucerlit @SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUfoJoe Presumably they thought it WAS in focus, and so did not attempt to adjust it.

2021-04-22T17:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385278765614329866
@HumphreyLancas1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 It was never anywhere near the sea.

2021-04-22T16:20:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385267308717694978
@ufo_studyita @SocialistFT @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp How could they, if all they had was highly magnified noisy thermal camera footage, and then it sank?

2021-04-22T16:16:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385266178755424258
@JohnNGrunwell @Grambitious @MiddleOfMayhem Triangular apertures are actually good for NVD, because they can have a "closed" position where zero light gets thought.

2021-04-22T16:14:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385265799435227141
@JeremyUnidenti1 @foiaboi @CrewcutYoutube @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 It was a genuine question.

2021-04-22T16:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1385265447356932103
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-

lanterns.8952/

2021-04-22T16:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385263650538024962

@foiaboi @CrewcutYoutube @JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 Hmm, does that really need a disclaimer?

And regarding Mellon, are you suggesting there's still a possibility that he's doing it for money, so I should not have said that I thought he wasn't?

2021-04-22T16:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385262904790818819

@SocialistFT @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp He gave an approximate time for the Omaha's sighting of a ballon-like object falling into the ocean. Not the pyramid.
https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1384916396312862720

2021-04-22T15:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385261146710503424

@foiaboi @CrewcutYoutube @JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 Like what? Can you give an example?

2021-04-22T15:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385260824046931968

Can @JeremyCorbell and/or @g_knapp release the original "Pyramid" UFO video files they were sent, so the metadata can be examined?

If not, why not?

And at a minimum, why not the exact date/time?

2021-04-22T15:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385259607090622464

@MitRa72251920 I'd say you are talking irrelevances. So I'll mute you.

2021-04-22T15:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385258949394370562

@MitRa72251920 And what specifically from that pantheon of education would help with the Aquadilla case?

2021-04-22T15:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385258614244347909

@foiaboi @CrewcutYoutube @JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 I point things out. People can check them for themselves.

2021-04-22T15:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385258320991199234

@PostDisclosure @jshkrueger @flarkey @AFSUnidentified We don't know what analysis they have done.

2021-04-22T15:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385257893218320385

@foiaboi @CrewcutYoutube @JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 The rotation of the Gimbal object being a optical artifact is very strongly backed by evidence that you can verify yourself.

2021-04-22T15:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385257293269266434

@MitRa72251920 How much education would I need?

2021-04-22T15:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385255801682419714

@HumphreyLancas1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 No. It is parallax. Like here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

---

2021-04-22T15:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385250586505871360

@mesolithicguy @JeremyUnidenti1 @Akam1129 Is it? I think you're missing the point here.

2021-04-22T15:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385249902981124109

@JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 Oh, so I can just invalidate any report in the world by producing a report that says something different?

2021-04-22T15:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385249276872196098

@PostDisclosure @flarkey @jshkrueger @AFSUnidentified You don't actually HOPE that though, do you. You hope I'm wrong.

2021-04-22T15:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385249083183403010

@JeremyUnidenti1 @mesolithicguy @Akam1129 So you have two competing reports. What to do?

The SCI report really ignores the parallax issue, and places weight on radar data from a different time, and some anonymous eyewitnesses.

The video itself is consistent with lanterns.

2021-04-22T15:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385248565488873473

@GeorgeT96559261 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal Here's an audio-linked transcript you can search. https://otter.ai/u/BQcNmTmcpC3E7Nir7CRhMOiVFIA

2021-04-22T15:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385247896526745608

@mesolithicguy @Akam1129 (actually TWO Chinese lanterns, hence the split)

2021-04-22T15:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385247788007497731

@mesolithicguy @Akam1129 That's an old case. The Argentinean Air Force Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research looked into it and concluded it was probably a Chinese Lantern.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-04-22T14:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385240977116254209

@openidtry @4Eridani There isn't exactly a databases of all known flash patterns. It varies quite a bit. The longer flashes are from newer LED lights (e.g. on 787).

2021-04-22T14:16:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385236155021467651

RT @godless_mom: Need to thank @Notbuyingthisbs for sending me @MickWestâ□□s book Escaping the Rabbit Hole. Iâ□□m only five chapters in but alrâ□¦

2021-04-22T13:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385220375127019523

@bobybert1 @Patrick61857606 @zcichy Of course. I make mistakes all the time. Especially with initial hypotheses. The key is not prematurely eliminating anything, so you can remain flexible when new information or analysis arrives.

2021-04-22T12:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385216063315480576

@4Eridani Indeed. Maybe we should have a Zoom video chat to go over your points?

2021-04-22T12:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385215465639747592

@ExoExplorer3 @4Eridani Well it might indicate it's time for a FAQ, as I've answered all these before, some SEVERAL times. Other have too.

2021-04-22T12:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385213323499556871

@UFOCurious @AFSUnidentified @TheUfoJoe I have no "burner" accounts. I only post from this one (@MickWest). I don't coordinate posting with anyone.

2021-04-22T12:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385211462013001728

@Flat__Lunacy https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=osIs2J6iGaU

2021-04-22T03:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1385070571252187142

@steven_nikolic @Tomfortas @flarkey @PostDisclosure I took a rough average altitude of the air traffic (33,000), then the approximate elevation angle of the star Okab (45Â°) to get a LOS distance of 45,000 ft. Rough though.

2021-04-21T21:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384990290365190144

@Manning123Sm @Bobbybigrig @MiddleOfMayhem Of course their radars can detect commercial planes. But if you are looking for something at 700 feet, you are not going to see something at 30,000 feet.

2021-04-21T19:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384945455881142276

RT @MiddleOfMayhem: I'm open to any rational analysis or explanation for UAP. ANY. I'll listen, I'll read, I'll agree or disagree. I will nâ¦

2021-04-21T19:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384945035305754624

@Flat__Lunacy Why not, exactly?

2021-04-21T18:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384938684131196937

@AdobeCare @FettuccineSplit I downgraded to 22.2 (Mac), the Intel version, running under Rosetta, and it seems to work. I need video support before using an M1 version.

2021-04-21T14:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384880832092020737

@Owl489353 Lots of unlikely things are real.

2021-04-21T14:33:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384877834288631810

@_Desmoden @mesolithicguy A genuine unknown would be really interesting. So Iâ□□d look at it.

2021-04-21T03:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384704744648679424

@Seanie002 @Taco3421 @BryanKelly Itâ□□s is the range of actual air traffic over that area on that day, as recorded on ADS-B networks.

2021-04-21T00:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384666135002447875

@BryanKelly @Seanie002 There is no evidence of altitude in the video., other than it seeming to be above the clouds.

2021-04-20T22:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384630480075517955

@BenC04549226 @UsCars4 What training package?

2021-04-20T21:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384620229557309446

A fun chat with Fraser Cain on the challenges of investigating UFOs - both technical and personal.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lsMomLDKIz4

2021-04-20T21:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384619787066638342

@Seanie002 I picked a rough average of planes flying overhead. I think they ranged from

28,000 to 40,000.

2021-04-20T21:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384619050970402827

@YouEffOh_Rich no

2021-04-20T21:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384616766278553600

@BenC04549226 @UsCars4 How far? To Jeremy?

I think it was simply ORIGINALLY unidentified.

2021-04-20T21:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384616307992059906

@Seanie002 It depends on the altitude, but if it's around 33,000 feet, then it's about 400-450 knots.
Basically the angular speed is about 0.8Â°/sec, and the star Okab is around 45Â° elevation.

i.e. normal plane speed.

At 700 feet it would be about 10 knots, relative to the ship.

2021-04-20T19:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384589598697816066

Here's a full-sky stabilization version of the "Pyramid" UFO video, allowing you to see the relatively slow motion of what looks like a plane, past some stars. 4K, watch full screen.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-04-20T18:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384577806646996994

Some extended night vision footage of triangles (stars) in the sky near clouds. #ufotwitter
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fOy3bT7CTU4

2021-04-20T18:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384577424742965256

@Frequen15309040 @darkagenda @jaredvc @PearSpheroid @ezleija @watermole6 @theSGM4 @tennisstar91 I am standing on a ball.

2021-04-20T16:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384546178667147272

@Lemurian1897 @33k That's probably a drone, over the beach. Analysis here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-04-20T15:21:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1384527557756813314

@darkagenda @jaredvc @PearSpheroid @ezleija @Frequen15309040 @watermole6 @theSGM4 @tennisstar91 Did you know there's also a radius calculator?

You can use the hidden height from various distances and altitude to calculate (roughly) the radius of the earth. Best done with steep sided islands, like Catalina.

https://metabunk.org/radius/

2021-04-20T01:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384314004252676097
@benjimaan22 @jorgensenmc1 @YouTube Nav lights after the landing lights have been switched off.

2021-04-20T01:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384313741278269447
@AliensExist15 @TomSuesss @OwatcherUf @33k That's not a report. That's a misunderstood paraphrase that combines the deck logs and the video.

2021-04-20T01:04:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384312027611496451
@jorgensenmc1 @benjimaan22 @YouTube I'm saying it LOOKS like a plane. Probably is.

2021-04-19T23:02:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384281391811088398
@Bobbybigrig @33k You'll like this one then :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384280943133741058/pu/img/BCYr5Aam49qCFIAr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384280943133741058/pu/img/BCYr5Aam49qCFIAr.jpg
2021-04-19T22:54:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384279364410302466
@zcichy I replaced my iMac Pro with an M1 Mac Mini. It's faster and about 1/3 the price.

2021-04-19T20:31:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384243219257380868
@bpleasies @PostDisclosure @ufouapam I think some kind of satellite. Maybe the ISS.

2021-04-19T20:05:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384236849619554313
@Seanie002 @l97lllmg4434ik @AFSUnidentified @TheUfoJoe Pro Skater.

2021-04-19T20:05:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384236608824627201
@AliensExist15 @33k What "full report" are you referring to?

2021-04-19T18:57:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384219531313238019
@dsmethurst66 @TheUfoJoe That is something I take great pains not to do.

One thing is not every thing.

There's all kinds of rabbit holes. I went down one investigating this video. It's not, by itself, a derogatory term.

2021-04-19T18:27:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384212010838024199
@dsmethurst66 @TheUfoJoe Deliberate misdirection? Huh?

In that clip I'm talking about how even the most far gone people can sometimes get out of a rabbit hole. I'm NOT saying "all UFO people are crazy"

2021-04-19T18:20:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384210423008796674
@AliensOnEarth_ I'll take it!

2021-04-19T17:51:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384203042744983553
RT @MrHustleMCR: Flying Pyramids. Los Angeles 2021
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383999105567842307/pu/img/P4MZOA3CwjkGMtl0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383999105567842307/pu/img/P4MZOA3CwjkGMtl0.jpg
2021-04-19T17:09:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384192547338145804
Flashing Pyramids are the new Monoliths!
https://twitter.com/dave_beaty/status/1384186407002931204

2021-04-19T16:37:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384184298425622531
@fmolinari2019 We know that it's a visually small object, essentially just a dot of light.

There are points at which there seem to be two triangles overlaid, which MIGHT translate to the spacing between lights.

2021-04-19T15:37:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384169399599304720
ICYMI, here's the "Pyramid UFO" video, roughly stabilized so the two (pyramid shaped) stars don't move. This shows that the "UFO" moves and flashes in the way a plane does. (And at around 400-450 knots, if @33K ft)
And since the stars are triangles, that's bokeh.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384168444149452801/pu/img/_BOr_mSKPTZSx-q4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384168444149452801/pu/img/_BOr_mSKPTZSx-q4.jpg
2021-04-19T15:33:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384168353313349635
@ArranGray9 @dyngklumpen @flydnb @JeremyCorbell @TODAYshow @GadiNBC @nbc
Stabilized video shows it moved like a plane.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384168186623381506/pu/img/3oDwyDNFdmpNzOaw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1384168186623381506/pu/img/3oDwyDNFdmpNzOaw.jpg
2021-04-19T15:31:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1384167807831580674

@Peter50751945 @Manning123Sm @AFSUnidentified They didn't look at 33,000 feet. There was a constant stream of commercial planes flying over that evening, which they obviously would have been aware of. But the initial report was 700 feet, and nothing was there.

2021-04-19T01:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383957182354391042

@h_clagett Explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-19T00:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383945264549961730

@Dan_James_kek I'm a little skeptical about the scientific accuracy of this survey.

New Coke shouldn't really be a thing in 2021, unless I'm missing something.

2021-04-19T00:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383945053303836676

@Dan_McGiveron It's like a Men In Black / Gang Stalking type thing, government spying on you. I thought it would be really fringe as generally the people who are into it are veering towards clinical paranoia.

2021-04-19T00:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383944210345168905

Looks like "chemtrails" are still going strong.

But, "black helicopters," really? Is that a big thing in CA?

Clear East/West split here too.

https://wgno.com/news/viral/whats-the-most-searched-conspiracy-theory-in-your-state/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzTBaYpVUAIXt1f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzTBaYpVUAIXt1f.jpg

2021-04-18T23:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383919181146464267

@DanielO12203012 @AFSUnidentified @Endthesecrecy @CNN Regardless, THIS will still be bokeh.

One thing at a time.

2021-04-18T22:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383914126108360709

@BrianX1974 @MiddleOfMayhem People are taking here statement as if it indicates that it's really a flying pyramid. It's not.

2021-04-18T22:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383913909896192002

@PrismaticWriter Because it's too dim to see with the poor quality noisy video.

The little dot is the overlay from Stellarium. That star (11 Aql) has a magnitude 5.45, compared to the 4.0 of the barely visible Okab Borealis. There's lots of dimmer stars there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzSmMz-VEAIPGUp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzSmMz-VEAIPGUp.jpg

2021-04-18T22:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383907089936883715

@BrianX1974 @MiddleOfMayhem She doesn't say say it, that's the point.

2021-04-18T22:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383906757865394180

@Pewpew841 @CrypticInquiry @Endthesecrecy @CNN (2/2) and B) there's two other triangles which have been identified as being the stars Okab and Okab Borealis (Epsilon Aquilae). They take on the same triangle shape showing it's just bokeh, and not the facet of a pyramid.

2021-04-18T22:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383906084356689931

@Pewpew841 @CrypticInquiry @Endthesecrecy @CNN (1/2) I don't assume ANYONE is a "clueless moron", just that one guy made a mistake with the focus, and though for a while that there were actual triangles.

The "blinking = reflection" is no good because A) the antenna does not show this light sequence (it shows a different one)

2021-04-18T21:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383890843665256454

@MindfulOfLight @CNN @DeptofDefense @USNavy Sure, I've not identified this object, just demonstrated that it's entirely consistent with a plane.

2021-04-18T20:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383882104904175625

@CNN The "pyramid" is actually most likely a plane. I know, it looks like a triangle, but that's because it's out-of-focus. Notice the two stars near the end also look like triangles?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-18T20:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383878337437736965

@bobofango86 Which term do you think is better?

2021-04-18T19:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383864487686524928

@FeonaSwan @HorusOwl I don't think anyone is bullying me. Some people either disagree with me, or misunderstand my explanations, and get annoyed with me. But I've not seen anything I'd call "bullying". So no worries.

2021-04-18T19:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383861477044482058

@jorgensenmc1 @OwatcherUf Nothing. Earlier the camera is shaking.

Can you timestamp where it is, and explain why it's puzzling, as a plane.

2021-04-18T19:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383860538900320257

@jorgensenmc1 @OwatcherUf Looks like a plane.

2021-04-18T19:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383860052361052166

@Fiddaman For this video, yes. Unless there's some actual connection between the two events.

2021-04-18T17:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383841788398432256

@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @UsCars4 @conterammundi @dave_beaty @diarrhangus That's just the DoD standard language, it's not specific to any one case.

2021-04-18T17:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383840480106934274

@adamwl @OwatcherUf I don't think the object in this video was at 700 feet.

2021-04-18T17:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383840052199915520

@themoodnetwork You can't see the tape in this video using a taped up PVS-14

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383839938249068547/pu/img/b16GC57AIYtyQq32.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383839938249068547/pu/img/b16GC57AIYtyQq32.jpg
2021-04-18T16:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383824912633065482
"That's it, nothing more"

Taken by navy personnel, not faked or digitally altered.

But not literal flying triangles. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzRVFqdUcAMqvf8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzRVFqdUcAMqvf8.jpg
2021-04-18T16:26:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383819252847710216
@Seanie002 So you think the adrenochrome child-torture stuff is true?

2021-04-18T16:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383815660375920649
@Seanie002 Well I certainly think he is. What do you think?

2021-04-18T16:09:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383814893057437699
@adevkris @OwatcherUf Not ANY part of the sky. I started with Jupiter (on July 14/15) and Antares, etc., and then tracked the motion of the camera across the sky using clouds as reference. Where the two lights showed up is where Okab is. I also compared the expected brightness with the known stars. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzRL-QUUUAMBt1c.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzRL-QUUUAMBt1c.jpg

2021-04-18T15:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383810670269849603

Lin Wood appears to be spreading dangerous conspiracy theories and encouraging vigilantes to kill people. https://twitter.com/patriottakes/status/1383709884114341892

2021-04-18T15:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383798734442532870

@Oswald1160 @UsCars4 @conterammundi @dave_beaty @diarrhangus What would really be proressional would be footage from the EOSS.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzQ9m4LVEAkX5xm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzQ9m4LVEAkX5xm.jpg
2021-04-18T15:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383797536901586946
@The5DRaver @MiddleOfMayhem Perhaps you could illustrate the problem with something other than words?

2021-04-18T14:29:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383789710942687238
@Mike___Kilo @JeremyCorbell But it's also consistent with July 14

2021-04-18T14:28:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383789556256821248
@jbmundy @FlWatchers @TheUfoJoe @Altouiz @mesolithicguy @drumshticknow @BenHansen00 @JeremyCorbell So why don't you share these mythical "seagull tweets," if you think it's such valuable context?

They never existed.

2021-04-18T14:26:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383789086285045762
@The5DRaver @MiddleOfMayhem The plane is moving. I'm not sure what you are referring to. This is a rough fit to stabilize the position of the stars. Its rough because the camera is movine

and zooming, the resolution is terrible, and the stars are sometimes not visible.

2021-04-18T14:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383788668037464073

@ufotheologian @OwatcherUf The stars are not in focus, they have the same focus as the plane does. The video is super low resolution (like 155 pixels high) so you can't see the triangle shape until they zoom in with the recording camera)

2021-04-18T13:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383781397568000000

@gehlordius28 @AliensOnEarth_ Looks more like glare.

2021-04-18T13:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383778776744546310

@Mike___Kilo @JeremyCorbell It's pretty consistent with July 15, 2019 with the position of Jupiter. But the lack of a horizon and the low resolution prevents much more determination.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzQrSODVoAkmcBF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzQrSODVoAkmcBF.jpg

2021-04-18T13:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383769738082349058

@The5DRaver @MiddleOfMayhem The camera is moving.

2021-04-18T13:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383769142143053829

@OwatcherUf @gammaraybeam @Bobby95975933 You can see stars in the video. Seems like it was just partly cloudy.

2021-04-18T10:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383729399376224268

@jbn_norse @GlobeNinja Of course the radar detected the plane.

2021-04-18T10:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383729290672414729

@h_clagett The triangle is not the plane, it's bokeh.

2021-04-18T10:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383729029207916555

@DarkstarIE You miss the point. This one drone can LOOK like it's going supersonic if you think it's bigger.

2021-04-18T09:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383720935371407364

@FreqTech It's not perfect. but basically just keep adjusting until Okab does not move, extrapolating where it's invisible.

2021-04-18T06:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383667279427112965

@Berserk97981716 Jupiter moves relative to the stars, so specific angles match specific dates. This matches July 15, 2019. Location is known from log book.

But could be a little off.

2021-04-18T06:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383666287587385357

@NedAustin4 How so?

2021-04-18T06:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383666166887960577

@Mike___Kilo There are several, and the date is not known with certainly, nor is time or exact location.

Maybe @JeremyCorbell has EXIF data?

2021-04-18T05:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383654986047918080

@AliensExist15 @MiddleOfMayhem When it's out of focus, only the brightest stars make it through.

2021-04-18T05:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383651325926252551

@CyberPita No, nothing is known. But it's demonstrated it with two NV cameras here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-18T04:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383641748174376966

@doctorambient @PostDisclosure No, that's basically it. UFO stories get a lot of media attention, so even a tiny snippet gets people over excited and can lead to a media frenzy.

2021-04-18T04:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383638989308010502

@AliensExist15 @MiddleOfMayhem Two stars, one plane.

2021-04-18T04:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383638832243900422

@SylentShadow How so?

2021-04-18T04:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383638747313360897

@Bobby95975933 Bokeh in this sense is the shape taken on by an out-of-focus point light. We see three triangles (from the flying object and the two stars)

2021-04-18T03:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383625645909954564

@ufotheologian We donâ□□t know who took the video. We do know it looks like they had the NV in one hand and a phone in the other.

2021-04-18T03:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383622833377464326

@ufotheologian No, itâ□□s not.

2021-04-18T03:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383622086640357376

@NordicAwe Jeremy? He thought it was legit.

The government/military did not release anything here.

2021-04-18T03:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383620882669522945

@atncatnc Possible a bit as itâ□□s flying to Los Angeles. But more likely itâ□□s just flying away.

2021-04-18T02:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383601237870870533

@SekurityChief If that is known, along with exact location

2021-04-18T01:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383601115778879494

@MagicKrakening @RichardGriffs That is false.

2021-04-18T00:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383571079201914882

@Dylan_DTV Okab is a bit under 45Â° up, so a plane would be as far away as it is high (like 6-7 miles) - relatively close.

2021-04-17T23:51:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383568762582933505

@JudgeKowalski I've not asked recently, as I'd assume he'd say no. He doesn't even like saying my name.

2021-04-17T23:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383562305334497283

A ROUGH fit of the path of the "Pyramid UFO" to the stars Okab (brighter lower star) and Okab Borealis (dimmer, upper) - correcting for camera motion and zoom to keep Okab fixed.

Shows the "pyramid" (bokeh, a plane) move at plane-like speed in a straight line, passing under Okab

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383561126110064645/pu/img/DhCvpXTyCxu6WmsD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1383561126110064645/pu/img/DhCvpXTyCxu6WmsD.jpg

2021-04-17T23:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383556704487366656

@GlobeNinja The plane would have been on their radar. That only helps if you look in the right place though.

2021-04-17T23:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383556208993312769

@Altouiz @TomRtweets It's the camera moving and scaling. The plane moves in a straight line and passes just underneath Okab

---

2021-04-17T21:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383536575552753672

@MartinM16479716 The plane was at 30,000 feet.

The person taking the video thought it was at 700 feet.

So they looked at 700 feet, and did not find anything.

2021-04-17T21:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383534482066604036

@ThorntonVallery That channel appears to specialize in uploading fake videos. So this one is probably similar. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzNNOIWVEAUIvKA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzNNOIWVEAUIvKA.jpg

2021-04-17T21:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383532789530648579

@CovertGoat @PoorbandTony @jbmundy @TheUfoJoe @BenHansen00 Just Activision back then.

2021-04-17T21:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383531571920064519

@richard68666791 @DTruvet @UsCars4 @dave_beaty @diarrhangus Unlikely. My #1 explanation for Go Fast is a ballon. #2 is some kind of. But I'm 90% balloon.

2021-04-17T21:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383528651845636100

@jeffers24511815 No, that appears to be a hoax, as nobody saw it.

2021-04-17T20:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383522909340127233

@richard68666791 @DTruvet @UsCars4 @dave_beaty @diarrhangus I don't think the Tic Tac was a seagull.

2021-04-17T20:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383518058937872384

@Altouiz @Stefano29720232 No, more likely a misidentified plane.

2021-04-17T19:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383505514995216388

@Stefano29720232 @Altouiz I'm sure they detected it just fine. I mean you can probably see it

on the ADS-B (Flightradar24 or http://Planefinder.net)

The problem is, they guy who reported it thought it was at 700 feet.

2021-04-17T19:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383500717726453760

@IBKING46 They can look like something larger and further away breaking the sound barrier (without a sonic boom)

2021-04-17T19:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383500531209969670

@aka__V It's not exact, as the ship was moving, but by keeping relative small increments it minimizes that error.

2021-04-17T18:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383486464466374666

@Stefano29720232 @Altouiz Probably the person filming though it was much lower. So they did not consider planes at 30,000 feet.

2021-04-17T18:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383485424803278851

@saucerlit @WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Because night vision is supposed to show you things you can't see with the naked eye. So they see a flashing light, and point the monocular at it, and they think the NV is showing the actual shape of the thing.

It's a mistake. Maybe a novice kid.

2021-04-17T18:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383484888502837248

@m_guillaum The start of the video shows Jupiter and four stars. It's a unique configuration. From there I traced the movement of the camera using the clouds (there's some drift fromt he boat moving, so it's not perfect), then I overlaid the result on an image of the sky from Stellarium.

2021-04-17T18:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383484379398164481

@WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It's the nav lights, some are on all the time, some flash. They are at different intervals.

2021-04-17T18:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383483797019131907

@WitnessCitizen @saucerlit @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Because they had reports of drones buzzing the ship, so they were on the lookout.

The triangle shape was probably an accident.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMfCF9VEAASZiH.jpg

---

the context of the moment.

At approximately the same time, the USS *Russell* records a frenzy of activity:



2101 SET AND DRIFT IS 147°T AT 0.2KTS
2115 MULTIPLE DRONES SPOTTED W/O 32° 43.2N
119° 35.2' W
2126 DRONE SPOTTED 050°T PA3 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2128 DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA3 MOVING FWD TO AFT
2131 DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2138 DRONE SPOTTED 280°T 1000 FT ELEVATION
DRONE SPOTTED 329°T 900 FT ELEVATION
2140 DRONE SPOTTED 321°T 700 FT ELEVATION
2 NM OUT
2142 DRONE SPOTTED 262°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2162 DRONE SPOTTED 270°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT

NAVY VIA FOIA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMfCF9VEAASZiH.jpg
2021-04-17T18:11:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383483228518977543
@WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Which line?

That looks like two overlapping triangle, causes by the lights being not next to each other.

Hmm, if true, then that should give the rough angular size

2021-04-17T18:08:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383482605379547143
@saucerlit @WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Because they did not know it was out of focus, so they thought they were looking at triangles.

2021-04-17T18:08:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383482461535961091
@junglist1973 Friday.

2021-04-17T18:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383482352530120708
@theufoteen Now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMd1_nVUAAU6Bp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMd1_nVUAAU6Bp.jpg
2021-04-17T17:56:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383479379553001473
@saucerlit @WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Not triangular shaped ones.

2021-04-17T17:55:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383479227782111234
@Altouiz I think they are fake, base firstly on the lack of thousands of eyewitnesses, and independent footage.

Also, insanely low resolution video is always a clue. Hides sloppy editing.

2022-07-28T22:19:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552780870636802048
@orbservingeye @callmebrent93 Yes, I include that story here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/when-conspiracists-psychoanalyze.12536/

2022-07-28T21:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552771757513183232
@anon_et This is the counter-reminder that I did not, and constant attempts to paint it as such are either mistakes, jokes, lies, or well-poisoning.

I include seagull as one white thing you might momentarily see below you. I said it once, in 2019, partly as a joke. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYyNOudUsAAjqH6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYyNOudUsAAjqH6.jpg



Mick West 24:54

But like, my best guess as to what he [Fravor] saw, it's it's it's very hard to say. I think he might have seen multiple things like you might have seen, like, you know, he thinks he's seeing a white object. So you know, white object is pretty featureless. So like, you know, any, any white objects in the distance looking at the same as, as another white object is moving slowly, especially if it's like really far away and it's difficult to make out. So he might have been confusing multiple objects. Like he starts out seeing this disturbance in the ocean like this, like a like, you know, fish feeding or something like that, like a big kind of bubbling. So it could have been looking down and perhaps, I don't know, like, he just saw something that was floating in the water. Perhaps then later on he saw something like a reflection in the canopy, you see, actually, he's actually see tic-tac shaped reflections in the canopy and you know, the canopy that goes over the pilots head. Because there's this, there are some circular things within the cockpit. And when the sun hits them just right, you get these reflections, which are kind of kind of shapes, you know, like this [a Tic-Tac]. And, you know, and I hate to bring it up, but there would have been seagulls there. If you're looking down, and there's a very, very large bird or something circling around, you could actually see a seagull, and it's a possibility I know people mock, mock the possibility. But we're talking about what Fravor saw, we're not talking about things on the video. And I'm talking, I don't think he would have just seen one Seagull and then mistaking that for this whole thing. I think he has some point. You think pilots, they can't, they wouldn't have eyes on something for five minutes. Like, you know, pilots, pilots have to actually do, like, instrument scans all the time. So they can't actually keep looking at something for solidly for five minutes. So there'd be like some points where he's doing this thing where he's coming down when he first sees it like, and then when he thinks that it shoots away. And you know, I think it could have been a combination of things. Or it could be some kind of craft. Yeah,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYyNLokUsAAJOME.jpg

2022-07-28T21:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552770474903359488

@orbservingeye @callmebrent93 It's ordinary stuff that you'd gloss over if you were not looking for it.

2022-07-28T19:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552742901276258304

@BoydMichaelE @ChrisSPITZER7 It's "collection bias", for the reasons stated.

2022-07-28T19:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552742517908525057

@IDoubtIt @skeptoid Yeah, I'm not sure it's as significant as some make it seem. Most of it is short-term trends, and the longer-term stuff is very difficult to compare like with like.

2022-07-28T19:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552741789827620865

@BoydMichaelE @Eyes_OnTheSkies @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 Hypothesis #1: there is no portal, because 1) there's no evidence of a portal and 2) portals are not actually a thing that's known to exist.

2022-07-28T19:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552741267410661378

@ChrisSPITZER7 The UAP report described a similar thing:

"a collection bias as a result of focused attention, greater numbers of latest-generation sensors operating in those areas, unit expectations, and guidance to report anomalies."

2022-07-28T19:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552731649552486400

@BoydMichaelE @Eyes_OnTheSkies @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 That's a different type of

portal. Much like how a doorway allows access from one room to another and is associated with air movement, but is not a teleportation device.

2022-07-28T18:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552726675263463424

@UAPmike I don't want people trying to get legislation passed based on their religious beliefs either.

2022-07-28T18:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552726110177136640

@tgflynn314 @callmebrent93 I'd imagine good electronic test equipment would be some of the most reliable electronics out there.

2022-07-28T18:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552725765061390336

@devsdd @aka__V @BrianSzy_PF @EssentialBusin7 @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern At what point did it move "like a human craft?"

2022-07-28T18:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552715788921946112

@dannyn424 @DaveFalch Where are those tracks? We don't actually HAVE the tracks, just years-old memories of viewing the tracks.

You talk about "the available evidence." Radar tracks are not available evidence.

2022-07-28T17:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552715195830583298

@James_A_Roots Kind of like a Heisenbug.

2022-07-28T17:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552714776924393483

@tgflynn314 @callmebrent93 You never had to turn something off and on again?

I probably have a more complicated house than average. But SWR has a VERY complicated ad hoc electronics setup. Bound to have issues.

2022-07-28T17:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552714338024050688

@dannyn424 @DaveFalch Have you seen any of my videos? I don't think I mention seagulls in any of them except that one case in Milwaukee in 2018.

Example: Tic-Tac video, no seagulls, lots of information.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-07-28T17:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552711133059899393

@callmebrent93 Electronics and apps fail all the time. Hardly a day goes by without something not working quite right in my house. A goodly percentage of all my interviews have some

technical issue. GPS sometimes goes wrong. The TV signal goes in and out sometimes.
It's just modern life.

2022-07-28T17:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552710378605334528

@BeLikeWahter @C_O_N_T_A_C_T_ I'm not here to entertain, and I've interviewed many observers.

2022-07-28T17:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552706416586235907

If you want to understand things like Skinwalker Ranch and "tricksters", it might be useful to study the "Pauli Effect". Influenced by Jung, physicist Wolfgang Pauli came to believe equipment and experiments around him failed due to a supernatural force.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pauli_effect

2022-07-28T17:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552704487055171584

@hueyflueys @DaveFalch Isn't this tweet an example of you NOT keeping your views to yourself?

2022-07-28T15:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552684424247947264

@BrianSzy_PF @aka__V @EssentialBusin7 @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern Obviously, the object was out of place.

The question here is if they would be good at judging the size of something with no features that looked utterly unlike anything they had ever seen before

---

2022-07-28T15:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552683820926701569

@orbservingeye Well, people keep saying I have no peer-reviewed papers. They did not specify peer-reviewed UFO papers.

I think it is tangentially related, as the quantification of expert consensus on available UFO evidence might yield similar results.

2022-07-28T15:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552679976385753090

@mebarek_salah I have read it.

2022-07-28T15:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552679377212624896

@BrianSzy_PF @aka__V @EssentialBusin7 @issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern Pilots don't get Tic-Tac recognition training. They get aircraft recognition training.

So when they see something that's not an aircraft, what training springs into effect? Maybe they might mistake a smaller featureless object for an aircraft-sized object.

2022-07-28T15:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552678151230070784

@19k0011 There are literally zero theories about parallax. It's something hard-wired into the objective reality of the universe that is a simple and obvious feature of optics that a child can understand.

You might think you are making a point, but you are just muddying the waters.

2022-07-28T15:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552677487934443521

@BeLikeWahter @thedogsballs @tinyklaus I did not propose seagulls as an explanation of the Fravor/Dietrich encounter. This is what I said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3zz8UIAEgYN9.jpg



Mick West 24:54

But like, my best guess as to what he [Fravor] saw, it's it's it's very hard to say. I think he might have seen multiple things like you might have seen, like, you know, he thinks he's seeing a white object. So you know, white object is pretty featureless. So like, you know, any, any white objects in the distance looking at the same as, as another white object is moving slowly, especially if it's like really far away and it's difficult to make out. So he might have been confusing multiple objects. Like he starts out seeing this disturbance in the ocean like this, like a like, you know, fish feeding or something like that, like a big kind of bubbling. So it could have been looking down and perhaps, I don't know, like, he just saw something that was floating in the water. Perhaps then later on he saw something like a reflection in the canopy, you see, actually, he's actually see tic-tac shaped reflections in the canopy and you know, the canopy that goes over the pilots head. Because there's this, there are some circular things within the cockpit. And when the sun hits them just right, you get these reflections, which are kind of kind of shapes, you know, like this [a Tic-Tac]. And, you know, and I hate to bring it up, but there would have been seagulls there. If you're looking down, and there's a very, very large bird or something circling around, you could actually see a seagull, and it's a possibility I know people mock, mock the possibility. But we're talking about what Fravor saw, we're not talking about things on the video. And I'm talking, I don't think he would have just seen one Seagull and then mistaking that for this whole thing. I think he has some point. You think pilots, they can't, they wouldn't have eyes on something for five minutes. Like, you know, pilots, pilots have to actually do, like, instrument scans all the time. So they can't actually keep looking at something for solidly for five minutes. So there'd be like some points where he's doing this thing where he's coming down when he first sees it like, and then when he thinks that it shoots away. And you know, I think it could have been a combination of things. Or it could be some kind of craft. Yeah,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3xd3UUAA-psB.jpg



Mick West 36:17

So my hypothesis, obviously, would be that they did all kind of see different things because they were looking out and there's lots of white things in the air, like, obviously, like we laugh about seagulls, because like, there's these memes about seagulls being UFOs and weather balloons, obviously. But you know, there are white things. And so you're describing something as like a white tic tac shaped thing, kind of kind of encompasses a whole bunch of possibilities. So, you know, I really would like to actually talk to the various people I actually emailed the the female pilot asking her to talk to me, but she never responded. And I haven't email Fravor, but I don't know his email address. But it's an open invitation to anybody, any of the four people who actually saw this this encounter or close to like, when are we working to try to figure out if everybody saw the same thing? And what was the actual 3d path of this object based on your recollection? What was wrong with with Dave's Dave's reconstruction? So that would be a good thing to do.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3zz8UIAEgYN9.jpg

2022-07-28T15:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552676993040203778

@BeLikeWahter @thedogsballs @tinyklaus That's not the explanation I proposed. I was raising (in 2019) the possibility that there might have been multiple things that contributed to the sighting. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3faQUsAAqWQv.jpg

---



Mick West 24:54

But like, my best guess as to what he [Fravor] saw, it's it's it's very hard to say. I think he might have seen multiple things like you might have seen, like, you know, he thinks he's seeing a white object. So you know, white object is pretty featureless. So like, you know, any, any white objects in the distance looking at the same as, as another white object is moving slowly, especially if it's like really far away and it's difficult to make out. So he might have been confusing multiple objects. Like he starts out seeing this disturbance in the ocean like this, like a like, you know, fish feeding or something like that, like a big kind of bubbling. So it could have been looking down and perhaps, I don't know, like, he just saw something that was floating in the water. Perhaps then later on he saw something like a reflection in the canopy, you see, actually, he's actually see tic-tac shaped reflections in the canopy and you know, the canopy that goes over the pilots head. Because there's this, there are some circular things within the cockpit. And when the sun hits them just right, you get these reflections, which are kind of kind of shapes, you know, like this [a Tic-Tac]. And, you know, and I hate to bring it up, but there would have been seagulls there. If you're looking down, and there's a very, very large bird or something circling around, you could actually see a seagull, and it's a possibility I know people mock, mock the possibility. But we're talking about what Fravor saw, we're not talking about things on the video. And I'm talking, I don't think he would have just seen one Seagull and then mistaking that for this whole thing. I think he has some point. You think pilots, they can't, they wouldn't have eyes on something for five minutes. Like, you know, pilots, pilots have to actually do, like, instrument scans all the time. So they can't actually keep looking at something for solidly for five minutes. So there'd be like some points where he's doing this thing where he's coming down when he first sees it like, and then when he thinks that it shoots away. And you know, I think it could have been a combination of things. Or it could be some kind of craft. Yeah,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3efWVQAAyqM9.jpg



Mick West 36:17

So my hypothesis, obviously, would be that they did all kind of see different things because they were looking out and there's lots of white things in the air, like, obviously, like we laugh about seagulls, because like, there's these memes about seagulls being UFOs and weather balloons, obviously. But you know, there are white things. And so you're describing something as like a white tic tac shaped thing, kind of kind of encompasses a whole bunch of possibilities. So, you know, I really would like to actually talk to the various people I actually emailed the the female pilot asking her to talk to me, but she never responded. And I haven't email Fravor, but I don't know his email address. But it's an open invitation to anybody, any of the four people who actually saw this this encounter or close to like, when are we working to try to figure out if everybody saw the same thing? And what was the actual 3d path of this object based on your recollection? What was wrong with with Dave's Dave's reconstruction? So that would be a good thing to do.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYw3faQUsAAqWQv.jpg

2022-07-28T15:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552673715799789568

@BeLikeWahter @thedogsballs @tinyklaus What would be the "sensible" explanation that I'm ignoring here?

2022-07-28T15:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552673280892424194

@19k0011 In the meantime, parallax really isn't that complicated. You can figure a lot of stuff out for yourself. Give it a go!

2022-07-28T15:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552672629500231680

@19k0011 In the pipeline.

2022-07-28T15:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552672436088254469

Altmetric attention score of 1124, top 5% of all Altmetric papers, top 1% of similar, #19 of 5,194 from ERL.

Of course, that's because of the interesting topic (chemtrails) previously unaddressed by mainstream science.

Maybe a good UFO paper would do similar numbers?

2022-07-28T15:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552671704068984832
I do actually have my name on one peer-reviewed paper. One of the more popular ones in the world. I mostly helped with curating the questions and didn't do the heavy lifting of collating and analyzing. Interesting experience though.
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2022-07-28T15:00:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552670355340156929
@NicholasJTerry But no.
There are a lot of common misconceptions in interpreting UFO videos. You might think it silly, but this example illustrates one of the more common ones.
Even pilots get fooled, like the A10 bird example.

2022-07-28T14:57:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552669699866996739
@JasonColavito It's what kids grew up with in the UK, a tradition for over 100 years.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pantomime_dame

2022-07-28T14:45:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552666615430320128
@dddalach @GarryPNolan I think people care because I do some reasonable analysis on the videos that often challenges (and sometimes falsifies) accepted thinking about them.

2022-07-28T14:01:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552655503813009408
@dddalach @GarryPNolan I get WAY less coverage than Elizondo (like, about 1%)

Are they "using" him? Is he doing "their" bidding?

Your theory does not make sense.

2022-07-28T13:58:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552654799832698886
@BrianSzy_PF @Funkadelick2 @Oswald1160 @LeifNordh @mrjeffknox @JasonColavito @MiddleOfMayhem You'd have a point if those videos were not being paraded up and down as being compelling evidence of NHI. You get Elizondo, Kaku, Rogan, Carlson, etc to stop, then I'll consider it.

2022-07-28T13:50:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552652813343137793
@dddalach @GarryPNolan I appreciate your perspective. I'm trying to be on the side of truth and science here, but I understand that sometimes people feel attacked when they are questioned. Sometimes I do strongly critique public figures.

2022-07-28T13:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552647451487522816
@ToweringCrane @B3LAMAN @Chance_in_Hell @619Notabot If his brain activity was not

detectable there is no way of knowing if he was having an OBE at that time.
With detectors in place (shelves) nothing happened.

2022-07-28T13:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552646075743277056
@ToweringCrane @B3LAMAN @Chance_in_Hell @619Notabot Near death isnâ□□t after death.

2022-07-28T08:15:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552568320733302784
@NationMystery @IggyMo_Media @SIJA1966514655 @GarryPNolan @DineshDSouza So why no Nobel prizes for finding this evidence?

2022-07-28T04:04:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552505187331235841
@rcawood @GarryPNolan @BenLumen About 1% would be a great start.

2022-07-28T03:55:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552503049549033473
@its_only_Gaz @jplatte11 @McPherson1187 @C_O_N_T_A_C_T_ Only if his perception was accurate.

2022-07-28T03:47:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552500887406317569
@DabberPatriotic @its_only_Gaz @jplatte11 @C_O_N_T_A_C_T_ Nobody is suggesting a balloon did that.

2022-07-28T03:44:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552500152576856064
@BeLikeWahter @tinyklaus Itâ□□s not an explanation.

2022-07-28T00:20:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552448829181800448
@OwatcherUf @Dustinw84180140 @GarryPNolan @BenLumen Video analysis is usually best presented as a video.

2022-07-28T00:18:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552448295217537025
@J_J_Martin_ @Mr_BenPrime @TOEwithCurt People keep saying that without any actual evidence to back it up. Why? What led you to this conclusion?

2022-07-28T00:14:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552447488489328640
@TheZignal @Lovepole4 There was this, not on UFOs though
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2022-07-28T00:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552446931376685057
@Bags_001 @BSquidding A bird. Which is still the #2 hypothesis behind a balloon.

2022-07-28T00:08:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552445923288317952

@Asgeir16813191 Except I wasn't (in 2019) suggesting it was a seagull, as I noted. It's a jokey example of a white thing you might see momentarily when looking down from a plane. I knew Ryan would find it amusing.

2022-07-27T23:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552443352544489472

@DabberPatriotic "Mostly" might be overselling their contribution, but I think it's significant.

2022-07-27T23:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552443081433026560

@red7822 @GarryPNolan I meant the congressional staffers. Garry is not a congressional staffer.

2022-07-27T23:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552442664712187904

@Dustinw84180140 @GarryPNolan @BenLumen They said the motion of the object on screen was actual motion, when it seems very likely it was just the camera stopping tracking it. It left the screen at the same angular speed as the camera was previously tracking.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-07-27T23:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552442022640099328

@JasonColavito So, new types of potentially submersible drones and missiles that affect all of the military?

2022-07-27T23:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552432493394939904

@Rightfrontal @BSquidding All the time. Random example:
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1358818252411994122

2022-07-27T23:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552432134672961536

@GarryPNolan @BenLumen Garry, I doubt you want to defend the SCU reports. If they are wrong, then should that not be pointed out?

2022-07-27T23:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552431611949379584

@Don_Cabezon That's essentially a D.

2022-07-27T23:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552431465203265536

@jjrrffkk2009 You can just use the dictionary definition if you like.

Are you not familiar with the various things, like poltergeist activity, telepathy, wolf portals, etc?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtXWfwUIAAyyZF.jpg

---

su·per·nat·u·ral

/ˌso͞opərˈnaCH(ə)rəl/

adjective

(of a manifestation or event) attributed to some force beyond scientific understanding or the laws of nature.
"a supernatural being"

Similar: paranormal | psychic | magic | magical | occult | mystic | mystical

noun

manifestations or events considered to be of supernatural origin, such as ghosts.
"a frightening manifestation of the supernatural"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtXWfwUIAAyyZF.jpg

2022-07-27T23:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552429171967528960

@J_J_Martin_ @Mr_BenPrime @TOEwithCurt If I were doing that it would be very easy to give an example. Let's say with the Chilean Navy case. What did I miss during my investigation?

2022-07-27T23:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552428870208327681

@OccultVortex So that would be a D?

2022-07-27T23:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552428716563009536

What does this:

"At a time when cross-domain transmedium threats to United States national security are expanding exponentially"

actually refer to? What's it based on?

https://www.congress.gov/congressional-report/117th-congress/senate-report/132/1?s=1&r=12#:~:text=At%20a%20time%20when%20cross%2Ddomain%20transmedium%20threats%20to%20United%20%0AStates%20national%20security%20are%20expanding%20exponentially

---

2022-07-27T22:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552428153934872577

@The_Astral_ Yes.

2022-07-27T22:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552427872672890880

@ScottishDebunk1 @johnslayton1987 Why, are you going to remove bits if I say they are inaccurate?

mick@mickwest.com or just DM.

2022-07-27T22:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552427570947166208

@signalblur @GarryPNolan Another flawed paper. Mostly pointless math on highly dubious data for ballparking numbers you could do on the back of an envelope that we already know. Having a "probable" range of physically impossible numbers does not really help.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtT9xwVUAAIRRF.jpg


Figure 3

An analysis of Senior Chief Day's radar observations. (**A**). The estimate of the acceleration to be 5600^{+2270}_{-1190} g. (**B**). The acceler acceleration of 5370^{+1430}_{-820} g while the mean acceleration is 595 assumed to have a mass of 1000 kg, as a function of time indic

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtT9xwVUAAIRRF.jpg
2022-07-27T22:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552426109890809856
@johnslayton1987 Show me some good radar data.

2022-07-27T22:47:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552425427742134272
#ufotwitter, to further the goal of UAP disclosure, should you avoid mentioning or analyzing the supernatural components of past and present UFOlogy?

2022-07-27T22:42:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552424133362794497
@IggyMo_Media @SIJA1966514655 @GarryPNolan @DineshDSouza One can't dance around the very strong supernature component to all of this. We can't pretend the whole Skinwalker Ranch thing never happened - of that the informal "chief scientist" of the UAPTF believes in paranormal "hitchhikers." It's significant.

2022-07-27T22:32:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552421731918262277
@IggyMo_Media @SIJA1966514655 @GarryPNolan I do abstain from calling people crackpots. And the last person I called (possibly) stupid was @DineshDSouza in 2020.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtO9ADVQAAVw7Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYtO9ADVQAAVw7Y.jpg
2022-07-27T22:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552420515360346112
@MikeHeffNZ That appears to be a close-up side rendering from something that was viewed from four miles above.

So it's highly speculative.

2022-07-27T22:24:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552419798528561153
@its_only_Gaz It's funny because it's a joke.

2022-07-27T22:22:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552419198546034689
@CloudJimi https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2022-07-27T18:03:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552353951537778688
@GarryPNolan I'd like to talk to them.

2022-07-27T18:02:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552353649577193479
@C_O_N_T_A_C_T_ No guesses that are good. But something like a balloon released from a sub

is vaguely hovering near the top of the list.

2022-07-27T18:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552353229517639682

@SIJA1966514655 @GarryPNolan It is difficult to not seem derisive to some people when investigating a subject that is based on very limited and often unverifiable evidence and is widely considered to be pseudoscience.

See, I can't even objectively describe the issue without seeming derisive.

2022-07-27T17:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552352233836007424

@issaufobro @NicholasJTerry @aka__V @EssentialBusin7 @docdiscern Their ruling out, like in Appendix L, was based on a misunderstanding of parallax that a child can comprehend. They essentially assumed the plane was NOT MOVING and did calculations based on that. Hence the entire appendix was wrong.

2022-07-27T17:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552351697782988800

@AstraAnima1 @MrRPRB @james_mals @Robin081564 @GarryPNolan I don't think that there's good evidence that there is non-human intelligence on Earth.
I understand some people feel personally insulted by that, but it's my personal assessment of the evidence, just like your position is your personal assessment.

2022-07-27T17:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552351045543550977

The seagull jokes are really an example of strawmanning. Other than cases with actual seagulls, most of my seagull comments have been explaining how I don't think the Tic-Tac was a seagull.

People keep pushing it disingenuously. Disappointing.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsOUTAacAUvUNy.jpg

---

seagull (from:mickwest)

Top | Latest | People | Photos | Videos



Mick West @MickWest · Apr 17, 2021

Replying to @richard68666791 @DTruvet and 3 others

I don't think the Tic Tac was a **seagull**.

5 1 2



Mick West @MickWest · Feb 2, 2021

Replying to @Spootums and @BlueshiftRadio

It's not a **seagull**, it's a plane. and all that ping ponging around and weird radar stuff does not happen in this video.

2



Mick West @MickWest · May 22, 2020

Replying to @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani and 3 others

Your **seagull** altitude research actually came up on Metabunk back in 2018, for a fun little case that actually was **seagulls**.

metabunk.org/threads/explai...

4



Mick West @MickWest · Mar 23

Replying to @OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure and 3 others

As I remember it his "calling out" was mostly **seagull** jokes.

2 1



Mick West @MickWest · Dec 21, 2021

Replying to @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter and @gillibrandny

I 100% do not think that the tic tac video was a **seagull**, and I never have.

2 1



Mick West @MickWest · Dec 22, 2021

Replying to @Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya and 3 others

Exactly. A good lesson in not giving people material. Obviously Fravor was not dogfighting a **seagull**. I only mentioned it as an example of a white thing you might see momentarily glancing down towards the ocean.

3 1



Mick West @MickWest · Dec 21, 2021

Replying to @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter and @gillibrandny

I never even considered it for a second. It's obviously not a **seagull** in the video. Nor do I think Fravor got into a dogfight with a **seagull**.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsOUTAacAUvUNy.jpg

seagull (from:garrypnolan)

Top | Latest | People | Photos | Videos



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Dec 31, 2019

Replying to @UAPmike @SilvaRecord and @TerenceMcKenna_

I was nice all 2019, I swear! My main issue is people saying something is not explainable genetically when it assuredly is. The other extreme that bothers me is when peeps say something is explaiable when it is not (**seagulls** anyone?).

3 | 19



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Dec 2, 2019

Replying to @GarryPNolan @Deepneuron and 42 others

Not disagreeing with your general intents @mikeb8637 I would just hate to see this group downplaying their role. Yes, they need a rethink and reorg. But let's keep the circular firing squad aimed at those seagulls. 🤣😇

2 | 1 | 15



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Feb 20

Replying to @jjgdesigns and @528vibes

Seagulls.

1 | 6



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Nov 8, 2019

Replying to @Clammy721 @UAP1949 and @UFOnetwork_

You win the internet today. And, you have proved that Mickie @MickWest has achieved eternal meme perdition with his **Seagulls**. Better to be known for something than nothing at all I suppose.

5



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Dec 3, 2019

Replying to @Timspeer8 @SilvaRecord and 42 others

He's tarred and "feathered" himself for all eternity with that one. We need an artist who can draw a **seagull** driving a UAP.

5 | 1 | 26



Garry P. Nolan @GarryPNolan · Dec 2, 2019

Replying to @GarryPNolan @SilvaRecord and 42 others

Which gets you back to Chris Mellon's, Lue's, Steve's points. Then it's Russian or Chinese and we better catch up. Or Mick's #super_sonic_**seagulls** . 2/2

8 | 3 | 35

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsOQy4UEAAQrcy.jpg

seagull (from:mickwest)

Top | Latest | People | Photos | Videos



Mick West @MickWest · Oct 16, 2020

That's kind of funny because strawman memes like "cold **seagull**" are exactly the type of simplistic critic-discrediting tactic that cults use.

You're ignoring what I'm actually saying, and going with a Corbell caricature. Why?

1



Mick West @MickWest · Jun 26, 2020

Replying to @JeremyCorbell @Deepneuron and @g_knapp

Jeremy, do you know what the "Straw Man Fallacy" is?

Your continual focus on the "cold bird" idea, and the way you frame it as a hypothermic **seagull**, is really a classic example.

You're avoid addressing real issues here. What about the FLIR "aura"? What about the GOFAST speed?

1 3



Mick West @MickWest · Jul 20, 2020

Replying to @ActivistUAP

You know, I don't think I mention "**seagull**" or "bird" in any of the Navy UFO videos in my playlist. And yet that's all some of #UFOTwitter can talk about.

Where are the birds?

3



Mick West @MickWest · Sep 11, 2020

Replying to @ActivistUAP

Which Tic-Tac objects? There seem to be a bunch of different ones.

Obviously the thing in the video is not a **seagull**. Nor was what Fravor circled around.

1



Mick West @MickWest · Mar 4, 2021

I think if you look at the responses to my post you'll see that most people took it as the humorous comment that was intended.
People mock me for my imaginary **seagull** fixation. Lots of people imagined UFOs with the last SpaceX explosion. I just combined two tropes.

2

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsOTXEUUAAijG3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsOQBzVsAApWG9.jpg
2022-07-27T17:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552343187087249413
If the scientific study of poltergeists and transdimensional tricksters (Ã la Jacques VallÃ©e) is to be taken seriously, what terminology should those studying it adopt when interfacing with mainstream science and media?
https://twitter.com/elf_whatever/status/1552342107406344194

2022-07-27T17:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552342454451392512
@elf_whatever @528vibes @GarryPNolan Thus is the problem illustrated.

2022-07-27T17:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552342309336801285
@Melissa41088067 @GarryPNolan By "often", you mean approximately once, three years ago, as an early explanation for GoFast)

(Excepting, of course, a handful of unrelated cases that actually WERE seagulls)

2022-07-27T17:14:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552341754426167297
@SicCoP1 @GarryPNolan Why? Obviously, there are things that sensors can detect that will remain unresolved because of a lack of data. Some things are just too far away.

2022-07-27T17:13:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552341429438930945
@elf_whatever @528vibes @GarryPNolan It's a problem. When people are talking about poltergeists and transdimensional tricksters, it becomes tricky to discuss their beliefs and hypotheses without them seeming silly.

Should they avoid critique because their domains seem ridiculous when you spell them out?

2022-07-27T17:09:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552340523284774912
@GarryPNolan Mentioning one attribute of a person does not mean they have no other attributes. I'm sure Elizondo, et al, have deep and varied skill sets. However, the efficiency of the presentation of data to non-technical people can be greatly affected by their style and rhetoric.

2022-07-27T16:55:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1552337009942753280
@EssentialBusin7 @aka__V @NicholasJTerry @docdiscern What if you actually do need to know something, but since you don't, then you don't realize this?

Are you not just trusting others' interpretations?

2022-07-27T16:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552336588188766208

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @mikemoss2580 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsB5L_UEAAAlez.jpg

Jonathan Lace, spokesman for SCU, explained the organization believes "most compelling" footage of the anomalous activity was taken by a Homeland Security aircraft over Puerto Rico on April 25, 2013.

The eerie footage shows an object believed to be up to five feet in length moving at speeds of up to 120mph close the ground before seemingly plunging into the ocean and splitting into two.

"No bird, no balloon, no aircraft and no known drones have that capability," the SCU noted in a 50-page report the team compiled on the incident.

SCU investigators said the object appears to be of "unknown origin" after spending 1000 hours researching the UAP.

Mr Lace told The US Sun: "The SCU finds the 2013 Aguadilla UAP footage to offer the most compelling evidence of unusual UAP flight characteristics."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYsB5L_UEAAAlez.jpg

2022-07-27T16:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552335889316999168

@StandForBetter @GarryPNolan How many of them are there?

2022-07-27T16:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552331049715130368

@Rocketnick10 @rosscoulthart Unidentified things in our airspace is obviously a problem, no matter what they eventually resolve to be.

2022-07-27T16:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552330694034014209

@GarryPNolan Constantly couching insults as jokes is not a good look for you Garry. I'm bemused as to why you resort to it. Why not just speak plainly?

2022-07-27T15:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552313432048746497

@mikemoss2580 Very specific language that seems to promote the "transmedium" myth that has the Aguadilla report as a cornerstone.

2022-07-27T15:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552311486751485954

@EssentialBusin7 I'm talking specifically about the congressional staffers cited by Elizondo.

2022-07-27T15:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552310191202349056

@EssentialBusin7 @docdiscern Because multiple people have said the classified evidence did not really change anything. The classified UAP report reached the same conclusion as the

unclassified one.

2022-07-27T15:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552309899228418048

@SabersKnight @DeptofDefense They were likely given briefings on things like the deeply flawed SCU reports.

The problem with mainstream science not taking UFOlogy seriously is that you only get analysis from believers, which gives it a distinct bias in favor of ETH. It's a kind of Catch-22

2022-07-27T15:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552308771019313153

@ZimRavens Scott Bray isn't a charismatic leader. I'm talking about Vallee, Elizondo, Mellon, etc.

2022-07-27T15:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552308249541496832

@Uapenthisast191 Spending money based on deeply flawed analysis and lobbying by believers in the supernatural? That SOUNDS like a bad thing to me.

Sunlight would be great here. Is that what we will get? Maybe eventually. But that's not how it should work.

2022-07-27T14:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552307672887611395

@docdiscern To the end of promoting UFO disclosure, which is something they think is real and important, based on them being exposed to (and encouraged by) unverifiable personal stories, charismatic promoters, and flawed analyses like the SCU Nimitz and Aguadilla reports.

2022-07-27T14:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552301274401386496

Could it be that we are where we are with UFO legislation mostly because a few congressional staffers are UFO enthusiasts who were convinced by compelling but unverifiable personal stories, charismatic promoters, and flawed analyses like the SCU Nimitz and Aguadilla reports?
https://twitter.com/LueElizondo/status/1551954964406321154

2022-07-27T13:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552288574891909120

@Jiminhno @PodcastZed Insect crawling on the camera.

2022-07-27T03:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552137452860559360

@orbservingeye But it was a word before I used it.

2022-07-27T01:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552097816192790528

@blackvaultcom @THughesPhoto So you think, based on your evidence, that people sending videos of UFOs to NASA is a rare thing?

People send them to me literally every day, I'd be surprised if NASA gets them less frequently.

2022-07-27T00:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552096397804969984

This tweet marks about the sixth time the phrase "temporary nonattributed objects" has ever been used.

It basically means "something we couldn't figure out, then figured it was maybe an unidentified drone, like with those green triangles"

Who comes up with these things? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYonA-OUEAAYM19.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYonA-OUEAAYM19.jpg

2021-04-17T17:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383478280368508940

@WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp That is a star that the plane (the flashing one) is flying past.

2021-04-17T17:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383477915426250758

@ACult2 @DeBunKerEtoiles "des brutes de debunkers" MDR!

2021-04-17T17:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383477628707885056

@drumshticknow @TheUFOTrail I'm showing you the evidence. Decide for yourself.

I personally think it's a plane, and I think the evidence shows that's the most likely fit.

2021-04-17T17:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383477251535118339

@Altouiz Not that springs to mind.

2021-04-17T17:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383477133062787072

@Altouiz Not really. Some contact, but nobody is really talking to me about it.

2021-04-17T17:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383476761350971399

@WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp The triangles are oriented in the same direction. I think you are being fooled by the low resolution in individual frames. I

2021-04-17T17:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383467478257307652

@justanavywife @Owl489353 "Same objects"? Show me a daylight photo of an equilateral triangle.

Those are different objects.

2021-04-17T16:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383463457287065607

Those two "pyramids" that the "pyramid" UFO flies towards at the end of the video are Okab (the lower, brighter one) and Deneb al Okab Borealis (Epsilon Aquilae) above it.
It all matches, angles, intensity, positions.

It's a plane, flying past some stars. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMMiBXVgAAtjKN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMMiBXVgAAtjKN.jpg

2021-04-17T16:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383462422506213382

@Ps5andxbox1 @PostDisclosure I think when Joe Rogan used the term "mental gymnastics" he actually meant "mental contortionism"

Something being mentally difficult to wrap your head around does not mean it's wrong. Bokeh itself is a little hard to full understand, but it happens quite simply

---

2021-04-17T16:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383461559054200843

@dave_beaty @AlexSte49374492 @SimShady99 @ExogenesisHH @trinkle_mike @IWANTTOKNOWUK @CuthelIain @Manning123Sm @GaryVoorhis "Explain" is, though.

2021-04-17T16:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383461354388918274

@WitnessCitizen @saucerlit @rosscoulthart The camera has a narrower field of view than standard, suggesting it's using something like a C-mount lens with a built-in triangular aperture.

2021-04-17T16:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383457692031717383

@Owl489353 @justanavywife Within the realms of solipsism, yes.

It's what it looks like. It's BY FAR the simples explanation.

2021-04-17T16:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383454342666063876

@Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical I agree it's Rasaslhauge. The two stars at the end seem to be Okab (the lower, brighter one) and Deneb al Okab Borealis (Epsilon Aquilae) above it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMEGsTVgAAWxr6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzMEGsTVgAAWxr6.jpg

2021-04-17T14:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383431058419437574

@mesolithicguy @FlWatchers @TheUfoJoe @drumshticknow @BenHansen00 Government scientists? They have said nothing.

2021-04-17T14:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383430797844041729

@mesolithicguy @FlWatchers @TheUfoJoe @drumshticknow @BenHansen00 Some kid not realizing their video was out of focus is not a catastrophic systemic failure of Navy operations.

2021-04-17T14:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383429207728943111

@saucerlit @WitnessCitizen @rosscoulthart No. Itâ□□s night vision, which is supposed to reveal things that you canâ□□t see with the naked eye. So they would expect to see a very different view.

2021-04-17T14:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383428608643846152

@mesolithicguy @FlWatchers @TheUfoJoe @drumshticknow @BenHansen00 Thereâ□□s always the possibility it was three triangular craft that looked like bokeh, one of which was moving and flashing like a plane.

But such hypotheses are always implicitly there. Like a visible plane MIGHT be a flying saucer in disguise.

Probably not though.

2021-04-17T14:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383424784277463061

@saucerlit @WitnessCitizen @rosscoulthart Sure, if you realize itâ□□s out of focus.

2021-04-17T06:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383307268599345155

This is why the DoD has a "no comment" policy with UFOs.

https://twitter.com/UFOB_/status/1383017966514618368

2021-04-17T06:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383306635100114948

@MrDaveKeane @Manning123Sm Maybe just some of it.

2021-04-17T06:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383306508272734211

Based on the pixels visible on the lower left, the "Pyramid video" is scaled up about 7x to 1080p.

The video Corbell used was about 275x155

Meaning there's probably a much better copy somewhere.

Which might show the bokeh a bit better. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzJ9WdPUcAIx4vr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzJ9WdPUcAIx4vr.jpg

2021-04-17T05:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383285731230027783

It's rather curious to claim that the pyramid footage is better than Go-Fast, better than Gimbal, and better than Chad Underwood's FLIR1 footage, when it's actually not good footage at all. It's a low-red flashing triangle, which looks like bokeh, and is probably a plane.

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1383157263892848640

2021-04-17T04:59:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383283918644813826
@conterammundi @BenHansen00 @TheUfoJoe 1) You don't know this was filmed by the Snoopie team.
2) It needed a partially closed aperture
3) It needed to be out-of-focus

2021-04-17T04:57:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383283526104010763
@conterammundi @BenHansen00 @TheUfoJoe There's zero indication that anyone "said it was pyramid shaped based on their eyewitness accounts"

They probably filmed it because they were on the lookout for drones, and it was a flashing light in the sky.

2021-04-17T04:55:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383283018240978944
@CheddarGadgets The pyramid UAP appears to be just an out-of-focus light source, maybe even a plane! The triangle shape is called "bokeh"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-17T04:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383272828590444545
@Lemurian1897 One thing is not every thing.

2021-04-17T00:20:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383213826208768000
@wags1236 @michaelshermer @Aviation_Intel Such thing probably exist, but it's difficult to fit that to any one incident with certainty.

2021-04-16T23:49:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383205848730595328
@benjimaan22 There's a bunch more on that channel.
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC7c1iZQpR8_mct1tCKcjWcw

2021-04-16T23:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383204716742516736
@Girafin_ovni That article is 1 year old.

I'm not sure what you think I said, perhaps you could find it?

2021-04-16T23:41:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383203878464724992
@darrowbythirsk @DanTilkinKOIN6 @NWSPortland Military, moving planes.

2021-04-16T23:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383202403227340800
@HamidAbubakr2 One thing is not every thing.

2021-04-16T23:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383202229255999494

@TruthBe05900844 @the_grandad @GeezerSqueezer @Eyes_OnTheSkies @LueElizondo @Aviation_Intel Did you watch the new video? No tape needed. 8 seconds in.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T23:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383201437975343107

@AdmiralQuality I think they could get to at least ten times that speed.

2021-04-16T23:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383200991739146243

@AdmiralQuality Drone displays with super-fast drones is the next obvious step here.

2021-04-16T23:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383199859029647360

Some drones are incredibly fast and maneuverable now, Stick an LED sphere around this and you've got much of the "five observables" from UFO lore.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eLAYttPEjIE

2021-04-16T22:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383180275656531968

@Phenomenology19 @Dannyma55083668 Interesting, I don't recall seeing it before.

2021-04-16T21:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383176414799679490

@Dannyma55083668 Some kind of glitch, hard to say more. There are interesting diagonal patterns which suggest some kind of atypical compression algorithm. So I suspect a random byte transmit error + diagonal compression. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzIHT4sVgAECA0P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzIHT4sVgAECA0P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzIHDzoVoAUP1sH.jpg

2021-04-16T20:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383151885272686593

@Complex "Real" just means it was taken by Navy personnel. It's real footage of an

out-of-focus light, maybe even a plane. Not, as it seems, a flying pyramid.

Details in this 4-minute video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T20:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383151721464008710
@dcexaminer "Authentic" just means it was taken by Navy personnel. It's real footage of an out-of-focus light, maybe even a plane. Not, as it seems, a flying pyramid.

Details in this 4-minute video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T20:12:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383151394018971651
@22_Minutes "Real", but hardly spectacular. It's real footage of ab out-of-focus light, maybe even a plane. Not, as it seems, a flying pyramid. Details in this the 4-minute video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T20:12:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383151316852117504
@theblaze "Real", but hardly spectacular. It's real footage of ab out-of-focus light, maybe even a plane. Not, as it seems, a flying pyramid. Details in this the 4-minute video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T20:08:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383150212835729408
@wotzitt Triangular irises are common on c-mount lens intended for night vision use. The three-blade design allows them to be entirely closed. So any NVD using c-mount would likely have it. I'd think for ship use that would be more likely than a PVS-14, as it allows for zoom lenses.

2021-04-16T19:54:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383146770713104384
@Dannyma55083668 Maybe there are just way easier to spot in the sky. Can you link to a high res original file at NASA?

2021-04-16T19:53:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383146518010470401
@LuAngeles @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @of_skeptical @Taco3421 @foiaboi @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo It's a totally different situation, so I would hesitate to compare them except in the most general sense that sometimes there are conflicting narratives within the government.

2021-04-16T18:59:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1383133041833377794
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @of_skeptical @Taco3421 @foiaboi @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo @LuAngeles I'm not saying that's what happened here, but that reminds me of the USS Iowa

turret explosion investigation, which is kind of fascinating - from a gay lover's quarrel to operator error and cover-up. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/USS_Iowa_turret_explosion

---

2021-04-16T18:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383126289117106176

@aka__V @JeremyUnidenti1 SCU take months to do anything. I've talked to some of them about older cases occasionally. I'm doing a podcast with Robert Powell next week.

UAP Taskforce, sure, send me their email address.

2021-04-16T18:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383124580588343297

@forabettertomm1 @BGray8ful @Johny31814750 @MusicAndTruth S.O.B.

2021-04-16T18:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383121291859828738

@forabettertomm1 @BGray8ful @Johny31814750 @MusicAndTruth The government haven't done that. Corbell and Knapp released and promoted it. Navy spokeperson Susan Gough responded to a query and confirmed the video was taken by Navy personnel.

The media then ran with that, all by themselves.

2021-04-16T18:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383120830285049860

@caseforinfinity Depending how far away it is. A 40 ft object at 10,000 feet looks like a 4 ft object at 1,000 ft, or a 3" object at 100 feet.

2021-04-16T18:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383120467607707649

@DragonShadow384 No. You can't really do spectroscopy on video as it only captures the intensity of R,G an B components, and not the full resolution visible spectrum.

2021-04-16T17:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383116875152859136

@caseforinfinity Stereo depth perception does not work beyond a few hundred feet in the best of circumstances.

2021-04-16T17:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383116211286777857

@Ps5andxbox1 Yes, he's essentially saying the same thing as me, but perhaps more focussed on the other side of the secret wall

---

2021-04-16T17:49:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383115263952838658

@forabettertomm1 @BGray8ful @Johny31814750 @MusicAndTruth It's not a "False video", it's a video of what was, at the time, an unidentified light in the sky. Possible they thought it was a drone. Possibly someone though it was a pyramid.

WHY someone though that is a real issue that deserves investigation, hence the UAP Task

Force.

2021-04-16T17:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383112172281110531

@SteveHa79307635 @PostDisclosure No.

2021-04-16T17:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383112078483812354

@pale__ale @austinsilverfx @joerogan Maybe, but also politician like to be in the public eye and seem like they are on the ball.

2021-04-16T17:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383109290274160644

@JeremyUnidenti1 Oh no, they try - but they seem to have some blind spots, and something a tendency to look only for evidence of extreme maneuverability while ignoring simple things like parallax. I think they generally mean well though.

2021-04-16T17:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383108939345108992

@pale__ale @austinsilverfx @joerogan I suspect there are reports that collate other reports that contain things like apparent rapid motions on radar - those would be a small part of the general issue of unidentified airspace incursions, but being the most interesting bit, that's what people like to talk about.

2021-04-16T17:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383108023762051073

@JeremyUnidenti1 That's not an actual government funded (or even funded at all) organization, it's just a few guys who do a very small number of investigation. Some good, some bad.

I mean an actual organization whose job it is to try to resolve cases.

2021-04-16T17:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383107443173904387

@forabettertomm1 @BGray8ful @Johny31814750 @MusicAndTruth Sure, the footage was taken by Navy personnel, and was initially unidentified - if that's what you mean by "real"

2021-04-16T17:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383106606318063616

@Spacejockey3000 Check it. Stars line up.

2021-04-16T17:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383106540735844352

@SteveHa79307635 @PostDisclosure No, but I imagine Jeremy Corbell is.

2021-04-16T17:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383106377371983874

@bolayer @conradhere @GaryTomson5 Why? Are UFOs allergic to proper cameras?

2021-04-16T17:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383106207066443776

@bosma2002 @Fox35Amy @fox35orlando They are different comments, replying to different tweets on the same subject.

And I address your point in the video, at the end.

2021-04-16T17:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383105926081564672

Several countries have military/civilian organizations that publicly investigate (and often solve) supposed UFO sightings. CEFAE in Argentina, CEFAA in Chile, GEIPAN in France.

Why not in the US? https://twitter.com/BrianDunning/status/1383103621793976320

2021-04-16T17:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383104682860572673

@bolayer @conradhere @GaryTomson5 Lots of people report seeing UFOs with the naked eye. Most phones are capable of capturing what we see. Some phone in more detail, a percentage of phones in considerably more detail. And millions of people even have proper cameras, some of them with powerful zoom.

2021-04-16T17:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383103310064865280

@justanavywife There is good reason why it's not a big story. Because it's not actually a pyramid. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T17:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383103150706466820

@slashdot What does "real" even mean here? It's a real video, it's a real light in the sky, it's a real image that looks like a triangle. But it's not actually a pyramid. It's out of focus. It's bokeh. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T16:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383102780240400385

@austinsilverfx @joerogan Do you see the bokeh explanation? Lots of people recognized what this was fairly quickly.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T16:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383102507459637251

@Fox35Amy @fox35orlando Is it actually a pyramid? All the Navy said is that the video was taken by Navy personnel. The video itself matches an out-of-focus plane, with triangular bokeh.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T16:57:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383102163627380738

@fox5dc I really don't think so. It's essentially just a flashing light in the sky, out of focus, with just a more interesting shaped bokeh than the normal "orb" shape.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T16:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383101866133790725

@AmerMilNews "Real" video yes, not a real pyramid.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T16:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383101176757981190

@bolayer @conradhere @GaryTomson5 https://youtu.be/kKMBx6x-lOI?t=12

2021-04-16T16:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383095818274578432

@PostDisclosure I also think this argument is a bit of a red herring - one can analyze what people are claiming about publicly available evidence without joining an advocacy campaign for more evidence.

2021-04-16T16:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383093892854075397

@PostDisclosure I'm happy to see more data. I just don't see specific justifications for a general "give us more data about UFOs" request.

FOIAs are the way to go. I know there are others on the ball there (Black Vault, et al), so I let them do that.

2021-04-16T15:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383075111041855495

@Gagarinhos @GeezerSqueezer @UFOTORONTO @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel Afraid? Of what? I love the extraordinary.

2021-04-16T15:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383074666013548547

@GeezerSqueezer @Gagarinhos @UFOTORONTO @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel That theory would have to involve Corbell and Knapp as part of the plot, feeding me softballs

---

2021-04-16T15:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383074175959453696

@Erick8324 @TRandallizer Who would have to be lying here? I think it was initially unidentified, and there may well have been drone at some point. So what lie is required?

2021-04-16T14:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383072294382104578

@GeezerSqueezer @Gagarinhos @UFOTORONTO @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel Hah, I have like 12k followers. Thatâ□□s not a platform. Itâ□□s a niche.

2021-04-16T14:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383071805091422211

@officer_pinky @GeezerSqueezer @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel Well Iâ□□m not getting any of it.

2021-04-16T14:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383068076162248704

@GeezerSqueezer @Gagarinhos @UFOTORONTO @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel Iâ□□m trying to figure out the ACTUAL answer, is all.

2021-04-16T14:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383067914262089730

@Altouiz Itâ□□s Japanese word, Iâ□□m probably still getting it wrong.

2021-04-16T14:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383067644958449666

@UFOTORONTO @Gagarinhos @GeezerSqueezer @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel I know, my comment was directed at Epi. He does not seem to be joking. No biggie though.

2021-04-16T14:34:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383066197525073925

@Gagarinhos @UFOTORONTO @GeezerSqueezer @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel Why would ANYONE pay me to debunk UFOs?

Anyway, my goal here is figuring things out. Increasing truth and winnowing bunk.

2021-04-16T14:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383065541275897857

@GeezerSqueezer @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel So you think Iâ□□m a foreign agent? Funny.

2021-04-16T14:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1383062195026612238

@GeezerSqueezer @Peter50751945 @LtTimMcMillan @Aviation_Intel They are not, nobody is. But how would that even work? How would a Chinese agent living in Sacramento get paid? Wouldnâ□□t the CIA figure that out rather quickly?

2021-04-16T07:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382966972829233155

@AFSUnidentified I'll post wherever I feel it will help. If the media is getting the story wrong, then I will try to correct that. Nothing personal.

2021-04-16T07:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382960214417166337

@AFSUnidentified Oswald is not me. He's some guy who lives in Frankfurt.

It might be an interesting challenge to persuade you of this. What do you think it would take?

2021-04-16T07:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382955881965395972

@5alhi @physicsufo @Spacecowboy781 @ufoofinterest Looks pretty darn fake to me so far. With no more information I'll just go with that.

2021-04-16T07:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382952005405081606

@AFSUnidentified @UFOTORONTO @naoehogui It just felt a bit too cheeky.

2021-04-16T06:07:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382938593384042498

@deenie7940 Only if you ignore pretty much every other unknown light in the sky. This one is just out of focus, with triangular bokeh - which actually makes it worse.

Details: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T06:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382938248578617345

@AppSame It's really not the best UFO footage ever. It's just a light in the sky that got made into a triangle by the Night Vision monocular being out of focus. Much ado about something, but not about a pyramid.

Technical details here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T05:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382933734299557891

@OKINsenga @SocialistFT It's all very interesting. I would hesitate to speculate on some big-picture plot here though.

2021-04-16T05:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382927686910189568

@OKINsenga @SocialistFT It's actually the result of the camera operator NOT trying to focus. Most monoculars don't have auto-focus.

2021-04-16T05:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382927311477960705

@rosscoulthart Real, but not exactly spectacular. It's certainly interesting - but it's not a pyramid.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T05:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382926957311004672

@RinkHead30 @ILikeFilms @starwars It's the same footage.

2021-04-16T04:55:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382920535277850626

@ILikeFilms @starwars "Real" here just means it was taken by Navy personnel, and it was at least INITIALLY unidentified. Which isn't that surprising when you consider it's out of focus.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T04:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382920170289590281

@2EMaureen Real, but not spectacular.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-16T04:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382919510479360003

@DavegiudiceDave @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell Only in the sense that they both kinda look like balloons.

2021-04-16T04:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382919240617893889

@a_idealist @emh203 A blow? No, that sounds fascinating. I enjoy figuring things out.

2021-04-16T04:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382915705075503107

@fox5dc That "best UFO footage ever" is probably just a plane. It's out of focus for sure. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T04:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382915352120545284

@GaryTomson5 The best the world has seen? It's just out-of-focus night vision footage of what might very well just be a plane. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T04:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382915115700297729

@Christy56074523 That's quite a claim - especially is it's not really a pyramid. Might even just be a plane. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T04:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382914857272365059

@smonk318 And yet it's not really a pyramid. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T04:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382914710488588291

@GaianNavigator Possibly just planes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

---

2021-04-16T03:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382897449434374144

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Disclose_UFOUAP @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @PostDisclosure https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-fat-bin-laden-video-wrong-aspect-ratio.3375/

2021-04-16T03:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382892922442424320

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Disclose_UFOUAP @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @PostDisclosure Aspect ratio.

2021-04-16T03:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382892287114416130

@emh203 If I could work from home and the money was right, sure!

2021-04-16T02:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382891385188061185

@ufotruthdigger I show the evidence, so you can decide for yourself. No worries.

2021-04-16T01:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382861815890071557

New Night Vision video, including military grade, shows triangles. Indicating the "Pyramid" UFO is just some points of light, like a plane

Lights in the video are identified: Jupiter and some stars.

It was INITIALLY unidentified, UAP, maybe not now

https://youtu.be/-r2oaQWmqkk

2021-04-15T23:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382831293063307270

@drumshticknow @BenHansen00 @TheUfoJoe It does blink exactly like a plane blinks. So maybe it's a high plane?

2021-04-15T22:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382817630378029060

@of_skeptical @foiaboi @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo @LuAngeles I save my politeness for individual people operating in good faith, like yourself.

When a group or organization is knowingly promoting nonsense for "entertainment" then I shall not be so polite, because sometimes you've got to call BS.

2021-04-15T18:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382764569915891714

@Alicethegoon5 @JeremyCorbell @benjimaan22 @g_knapp Where did you hear that?

2021-04-15T18:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382764125512617987

@Fiddaman Or have a triangular aperture, which may well have been more common back then, as it's simpler to make.

2021-04-15T18:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382763700042358785

@Fiddaman I don't see why not.

2021-04-15T18:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382761457117405184

@Fiddaman Yes, there's no difference at all. It's just easier to compose in digital as you can see the results in real time.

2021-04-15T18:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382760316618952704

@Fiddaman Of course they did. But I don't think that's bokeh.

2021-04-15T18:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382758770426609664

@MrHustleMCR @Taco3421 @Born2Pleb What is "standard issue Navy NV?" Seems like a PVS-14 is more of close-quarters combat device (no magnification).

Someone could calculate the FOV from the frames with Jupiter in it.

2021-04-15T17:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382753630600654850

@Born2Pleb It has been recreated with a PVS-14, which is basically the military's standard NV monocular. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzCG7SYVgAA6G9Y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzCG7SYVgAA6G9Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzCG6LqVgAECvmY.jpg

2021-04-15T17:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382752602039799809

@JeremyCorbell @benjimaan22 @g_knapp What exactly were the "great lengths" that the briefings went into?

And how do you know this?

2021-04-15T17:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382751048088002566

@zcichy Sure, but again the "better things to do" comes into play. It's like the military has a creaky floorboard in a corner of the guest bedroom. It would be nice to fix it in case it is annoy

when guests arrive, but it can wait.

2021-04-15T17:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382744619591426049

@l3athal101 @zcichy Well not really, things that are too far away have always been very hard to identify. It's a constant problem, and one in which they are constantly making progress.

2021-04-15T17:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382743850418987008

@Johann_Kreisle You think UFOs have?

2021-04-15T17:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382741144816132098

@l3athal101 @zcichy Maybe they should just fix that inability.

2021-04-15T17:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382740743068938242

@Johann_Kreisle So if something is ridiculed and then opposed, you think it's probably true?

So, QAnon must be pretty legit then?

2021-04-15T16:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382740229249933315

@PineyMcknuckle @zcichy Not entirely, no. But I don't given them a lot of weight.

2021-04-15T16:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382735804133347332

@PineyMcknuckle @zcichy Both former, and non-technical people.

2021-04-15T16:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382733683933663241

@PineyMcknuckle @zcichy Like what?

2021-04-15T16:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382733433865084929

@Ps5andxbox1 @AFSUnidentified Sorry, mistyped. Deleted, edited:

Because NOBODY here actually has eyes on what is going on in those classified briefings.

Out of-context slides and unclassified vague descriptors don't really count.

2021-04-15T16:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382733177509191682

@RobAgs0817 @zcichy That would be great.

The problem is, they have better things to do (from their perspective.) Any statement AT ALL just creates a storm of media attention.

2021-04-15T16:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382731955746136066

@RobAgs0817 @zcichy How often do they need to state that? And what definition should they use? Do we need a once-a year assessment of lack of evidence of anti-gravity? Or just a one shot deal now?

2021-04-15T16:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382730871740932097

@IBKING46 @BGray8ful @Jefftopia_ @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp What witnesses?

2021-04-15T16:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382730783295623168

@HorusOwl Of course I want more data. I just don't PERSONALLY think there's any justification for this vague lobbying - so i'm not going to waste my time with it.

Y'all go for it though, seriously, props for trying.

2021-04-15T16:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382730110147596292

@trelayne @DragonWagonZ @zcichy Just one Sega Genesis game.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBxsCKVcAEGAHn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBxsCKVcAEGAHn.jpg

2021-04-15T16:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382729777866412034

@IBKING46 @BGray8ful @Jefftopia_ @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp If someone makes a claim about a video that is incorrect then you can still debunk that claim without having to address other claims.

For example, people claim that the footage CANNOT be bokeh, for a variety of separate

reasons, like circular glare. I address those reasons.

2021-04-15T16:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382728816431276032

@zcichy So what if there's no good evidence of a "truly anomalous phenomenon" - how should the government proceed?

2021-04-15T16:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382727532097593348

@DragonWagonZ @zcichy It's interesting, but not exactly insane :)

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-04-15T16:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382726705777762305

@AFSUnidentified I certainly did not EXPECT it to end the debate. Heck, people still argue that the Chilean case shows a real UFO.

However I think that the evidence is overwhelming now that the video shows bokeh from something flashing like a plane. Ignoring that evidence shows a lack of rigor.

2021-04-15T15:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382716957426806784

@BenHansen00 @TheUfoJoe Street lights on PVS-14 with taped cover.

Note circular glare around triangles - which was raised as an issue.

So both ways (DIY cover, and triangular iris) work.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBlLUaVcAAkD2j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBlLUaVcAAkD2j.jpg

2021-04-15T15:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382714438751461379

@GeezerSqueezer @paulsanderson It's from Corbell's Pyramid video, so, no.

2021-04-15T14:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382700136804012039

@jbmundy @TheUfoJoe @BenHansen00 Here you go. Have fun investigating.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBWZQaXAAU_xu9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EzBWZQaXAAU_xu9.jpg

2021-04-15T14:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382699712457891843

@IBKING46 @Jefftopia_ @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp No, it has been directly addressed numerous times. Including here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WPz5cVJMdPg

2021-04-15T14:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382699433276637190

The pyramid UFO is almost certainly bokeh, and very likely from a regular plane. But the people (Corbell and Knapp) framing it as a strange flying pyramid choose to ignore this inconvenient likelihood, and instead make jokes about it.

Don't they want to be taken seriously?

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1382564929534783488

2021-04-15T14:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382697781740118022

@pale__ale @dave_beaty @SilvaRecord @MC05A @LtTimMcMillan @blackvaultcom @TheUfoJoe Something missing here is how they moved. Did they

A) Hovering in one spot
B) Zip back and forth
C) Fly in a straight line.

All he says is that they were "fast and far away", which sounds like planes flying overhead to me.

2021-04-15T14:05:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382696622786760704
@DreamDetective1 @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Need more context.

2021-04-15T05:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382574306832441345
@RG_Was_Here Was it fairly low? When close of the horizon stars and planets can get some extreme atmospheric turbulence. Try it again with a known planet like Venus when it's close to the horizon.

2021-04-15T05:55:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382573295841595396
@Sacfoodie916 @ufobelievers @JeremyCorbell I have no idea.

2021-04-15T05:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382562405285171201
@Sacfoodie916 @ufobelievers @JeremyCorbell It is shame that such an event, which must have been visible to hundreds, if not thousands or people, did not generate any high quality images.

2021-04-14T21:45:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382449908699987969
@PotatoRamirez @benjimaan22 @evolvjj What if Tommy thought it was at 700 feet, but it was actually at 25,000 feet? He'd ask radar if there's anything nearby, under 2,000 feet, and he'd be told "nope". Even though there were three planes passing overhead.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9yxffVgAEl05B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9yxffVgAEl05B.jpg

2021-04-14T21:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382449332973019137

@HorusOwl @John31149815 @murnut @LueElizondo @g_knapp They will get thought it. Remember Leslie Keane and the unfortunate Chilean case? She just reframed the story as being mostly about access to information, left some doubt open, and then hurriedly moved on and never mentioned it ago.

2021-04-14T20:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382431409403355138

@Bhima_Jenkins @lucio_linae Nobody is corroborating flying pyramids.

2021-04-14T20:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382431257435332609

@Ps5andxbox1 @JoakimA61247320 @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy It's hard to win a contest when it's what you've got against something better.

Good job this isn't actually a contest :)

2021-04-14T20:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382430967713845252

@Flyingh43892139 @Seanie002 @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 3D Monster Maze. Chuckie Egg was on the ZX Spectrum. https://gfycat.com/kindincrediblekingfisher

---

2021-04-14T20:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382430247602114560

@physicsufo @ufoofinterest Metabunk is my site. I've been talking with Jesse, and will be showing some more of his footage soon. As well as some taped-triangle PVS-14 bokeh footage.

2021-04-14T20:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382429891115638786

@JoakimA61247320 @Ps5andxbox1 @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy The result of experiments IS evidence. Calling it "manufactured" is misleading. If I drop an apple I'm not "manufacturing" the evidence of gravity.

2021-04-14T20:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382429313727799301

@Flyingh43892139 @Seanie002 @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 I guess I could have specified: "Until recently, I'd not really been interested in UFO since one winter 40 years ago, just before I discovered the ZX81 and forgot all about them", but, you know, this is Twitter.

2021-04-14T20:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382428024071589888

@HorusOwl If they captured a flying pyramid during the say, then my counter would be "hey, a flying pyramid!"

2021-04-14T20:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382427324889452545

@HorusOwl Captured what? And how?

2021-04-14T20:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382427100062253057

@AlPeter05247060 I'm really not a Bigfoot guy.

2021-04-14T20:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382426642518220802

@JoakimA61247320 @Ps5andxbox1 @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy I don't think FOIA requests are a waste of time. I think lobbying for more openness on the topic of UAPs is a waste of time because the DoD interest is about the capabilities of foreign adversaries and so anything interesting would be classified

---

2021-04-14T20:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382425919701209089

@Prosey4 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It is bokeh, and I'be been googling bokeh for days now. :)

2021-04-14T19:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382423373410570241

@Seanie002 @ExogenesisHH @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 Looks kind of interesting. Just not $36.06 interesting.

The other courses are a bit sus though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9aQp_UYAEXbyl.jpg

---

Module 9: Challenges of Investigating UFOs

Part 1: Challenges
Part 2: Lack of Researchers and Commitment
Part 3: Studies in the Field of Ufology
Part 4: Associated UFO Claims
Part 5: Conclusion
Module 9 Assessment

Module 10: How to Become a UFO Investigator/Hunter

Part 1: Identification of UFOs
Part 2: Tips for Investigating a UFO
Part 3: How to Recognise a UFO Hoax
Part 4: Becoming a Ufologist
Part 5: Conclusion

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9aQp_UYAEXbyl.jpg
2021-04-14T19:56:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382422478362791937
@Seanie002 @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 Funny thing, I was never really a UFO guy. I got sucked into it because I like analyzing videos of planes - from back in the "chemtrail" heyday. The UFO stuff only took off with the Chilean case, which I was asked to look at because I'm a contrail lay expert.

2021-04-14T19:37:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382417785377095680
@JoakimA61247320 @Ps5andxbox1 @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy That is not what I said at all. Please quote me, don't create misleading paraphrases.

2021-04-14T19:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382415678762754049
@Seanie002 @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 Is there a UFOlogy certification course I should take first?

2021-04-14T19:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382413843394023427
@MrDaveKeane @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy Nope, it's actual evidence. NV can make images just like that. Planes fly just like that. Jupiter was there. Lights flash in that pattern. NV with triangular apertures exist. PVS-14 with tape makes triangles.
All this is evidence.

2021-04-14T19:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382413102356914177
@Flyingh43892139 @Photoshop Well, I just upgraded to the M1, so, no.

2021-04-14T19:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382410802812968960

@paulsanderson Looks rounded to me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9PSQOVkAErHZG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9PSQOVkAErHZG.jpg

2021-04-14T19:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382410518741217283

@JoakimA61247320 @Ps5andxbox1 @Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy I'm not discrediting Jeremy's hard work in getting the footage. Props to him for that.

I'm discrediting his analysis of that footage.

2021-04-14T19:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382410178008543232

@ArranGray9 @atncatnc @JoakimA61247320 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Where's the "vs" aspect here? All they said is "navy personnel took the video, the UAP task force has looked at it"

It was initially unidentified. The UAP task force says they also look at things that were INITIALLY

unidentified.

No vs.

2021-04-14T19:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382409670288019464

@Seanie002 @TruthofTerAvaya @Go_Kick_Rocks88 My book isn't in the UFO field. That's the next book.

2021-04-14T19:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382409485210112002

@Yinley81 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Oh, there's always the possibility of it being "something else". But when it really looks like one thing, and that thing is really mundane, then you need pretty good evidence to think it's something else.

Bokeh or UFO disguised as bokeh? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9N8iBUUAYDgw5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey9N8iBUUAYDgw5.jpg

2021-04-14T18:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382397974886580224

@Disclose_UFOUAP @zcichy I actually released a debunking in ten minutes (after Corbell's first tweet). But that's just because I recognized what it looked like. It took a while longer to gather evidence.

2021-04-14T18:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382397274362322944

@JoakimA61247320 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Nope, I'm all for people researching what was flying that night.

I don't mind people raising counterarguments to the bokeh hypothesis either - they are often

good points, and the resultant investigation makes the argument stronger.

2021-04-14T18:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382396782362071044

@Yinley81 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Well, the there's the two other triangles, for a start, and then the fact that Jupiter (brightest light at the start of the video) is the same shape (a low-resolution rounded triangle) as the flasher when it first appears.

2021-04-14T18:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382395553888169985

@_BREN74 @JeremyCorbell Sure, I was just curious, as the tweet said it was a portion of a classified briefing. Jeremy has since politely explained that it was unclassified content within a classified briefing.

2021-04-14T17:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382391216407334912

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It should end the debate on if it is a pyramid.

As I've said many times, it's still unidentified. It's a light in the sky that flashes like a plane, but that's as far as we can tell without an accurate date, time, and position.

2021-04-14T17:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382390715469074434

@themoodnetwork @Photoshop I use Final Cut Pro. I've not used Premiere for a decade, but I occasionally use AE, mostly for motion tracking.

2021-04-14T17:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382380404473143296

@mjbanias @jason_quitt @SBrownelTF Those are not the only facts. We have the video itself, which is full of facts. We also have facts about the real world - like how NV can make videos just like this with a 1/4" turn of a dial, facts like planes have flashing lights like this and planes flew over the Russell.

2021-04-14T17:04:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382379296757469189

@discernment_dr @zcichy Teething problems. I replaced my iMac Pro with a Mac Mini, and it's wonderfully faster and quieter - just some software houses are a bit slow updating stuff.

2021-04-14T15:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382359530743341056

@flugempire @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Nothing in the video indicates a particular altitude. I think it's probably much higher though, like 20-30K ft

---

2021-04-14T15:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382359316179480579

@AFSUnidentified @Disclose_UFOUAP @RayJack62496046 It is bokeh. There's zero reason to think otherwise. I'm not picking my favorite position to advocate here (actually flying pyramids would be really interesting). I'm telling you what I have determined via investigation.

I'm not going to play games here.

2021-04-14T15:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382358446075367424

@erika_hershey How is that obvious? Everything I do is aimed at net increases in truth. I remove false things so the true/false ratio of evidence is improved. Everyone gets closer to truth.

2021-04-14T15:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382358168605364224

@Disclose_UFOUAP @RayJack62496046 It just takes one guy, and even Einstein made mistakes.

2021-04-14T15:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382357855592861698

@foiaboi Saying "that should end the debate" is an observation, not a command. Do what thy wilt.

Are you still holding out that it might not be bokeh?

2021-04-14T15:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382357424917516289

@erika_hershey I did not. I've asked Luis to remove my name, which was added by mistake.

2021-04-14T15:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382357259401850882

@CuthelIain It's exactly what I'm looking for, which is why he made the video :)

2021-04-14T15:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382356927888252934

@PostDisclosure If it was a pyramid as @JeremyCorbell and @g_knapp said then that excludes a normal plane or drone. Now those options are not excluded.

It also is at least INTERESTING as to why some people pushed the "pyramid" agenda. were they simply mistaken?

2021-04-14T15:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382356314861412358

@erika_hershey Promoting this video as a "flying pyramid" is misleading people. Pick your battles. I'm great at analyzing videos. Someone else can lobby congress.

2021-04-14T15:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382356045448683520

@of_skeptical @Dylan_DTV The bright stars at beginning are not triangles - exactly like the flashing object at the same zoom level. There's no difference.

2021-04-14T15:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382355758528962563

@RobertDobalina7 The clouds are not "clearly in focus". There are no sharp edges here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey8dH4xVIAIrHCp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey8dH4xVIAIrHCp.jpg
2021-04-14T15:08:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382350001645383680
@CuthelIain It's from a Metabunk member:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pyramid-ufos-in-night-vision-footage-maybe-bokeh.11695/post-247520

2021-04-14T14:54:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382346447266324483
@erika_hershey Because thatâ□□s a waste of time. If thereâ□□s amazing data with National Security implications then it will be classified.

2021-04-14T14:52:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382346046957789187
@RayJack62496046 @Disclose_UFOUAP Of course debate will continue, as beliefs are strong. Thatâ□□s fine, and expected. But it seems very clear that it is Bokeh.

Of course it could be the bokeh of an anti-gravity drone.

2021-04-14T14:49:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382345370903072769
@dan_sm1th @SensaiSeagal Well it obviously is bokeh, so maybe your argument there has a different flaw. What are you assuming incorrectly?

2021-04-14T08:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382245579560931328
@ufocatalunya I think that's a small bird, like a sparrow.

2021-04-14T08:11:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382245094930092033

@bot101 @Photoshop It's probably time. Change is hard!

2021-04-14T07:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382240295673204741

@5X5_NEWS @Photoshop I use Final Cut Pro to edit video. Photoshop just worked very well for me in examining individual frames, and quickly applying filters.

I'll give AE a go though.

2021-04-14T07:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382239765911597057

@TheOutThere2016 @UapBay @StevenCambian That's just random atmospheric fluctuations.

2021-04-14T07:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382239320581410820

@bot101 @Photoshop and the lack of scrubby zoom drives me mad.

grumble grumble

2021-04-14T07:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382237996829736971

@bot101 @Photoshop AE is still Intel, but at least it loads.

I usually use Photoshop for video as I'm doing analysis and the filters and adjustment layers are less fiddly - and you can use the Camera Raw filter on a video.

2021-04-14T07:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382236145023524865

@TheOutThere2016 @StevenCambian @UapBay An unmodified NV monocular now seems more likely.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1382220791262027781

2021-04-14T07:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382233884167864321

I'm very annoyed with @Photoshop. Runs super fast on M1 Mac, but can't load videos, which is about 80% of what I use it for.

And the Intel version won't load at all.

Come on Adobe, all your power users want to switch to M1. Step it up!

2021-04-14T07:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382232204680433670

@e2_hawkeye @JeremyCorbell Probably Jupiter.

https://twitter.com/Dylan_DTV/status/1382067585059999744

2021-04-14T07:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382230925027676165

@AFSUnidentified @GeezaNews What about the information videos?

And what the heck are there "activism arenas" you want me to stay out of?

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1382220791262027781

2021-04-14T06:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382224346576343041

@BenHansen00 Here you go:
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1382220791262027781

2021-04-14T06:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382221387645943808

Video is from Jesse, using his own OWL 3rd gen NV monocular. Here it is, showing the three blade iris.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7UTOtGyE1bI

2021-04-14T06:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382220791262027781

Triangular bokeh from planets and stars using an UNMODIFIED Night Vision monocular. Showing effects of focus and aperture.

That should end the debate on the "pyramid" UFO. It's just a regular "light in the sky" UFO that flashes like a plane.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KNetDN-ytTg

2021-04-14T02:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382154860968046592

@of_skeptical @Dylan_DTV They are the same as the flasher, at the same zoom.

2021-04-14T02:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382152995723366405

@of_skeptical @Dylan_DTV No, itâ□□s the lack of digital zoom.

2021-04-14T01:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382147353314955272

@Jonatha12518843 @RodrigoLVieira1 Why aren't all the lights in the Pyramid UFO video triangular? https://youtu.be/WPz5cVJMdPg

---

2021-04-14T01:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382147269361733636

@PineyMcknuckle @of_skeptical Why aren't all the lights in the Pyramid UFO video triangular? https://youtu.be/WPz5cVJMdPg

---

2021-04-14T01:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382147031494389761

@of_skeptical @Dylan_DTV Why aren't all the lights in the Pyramid UFO video triangular? https://youtu.be/WPz5cVJMdPg

---

2021-04-14T01:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382145826521112578

@WitnessCitizen @pale__ale To see why it was unidentified.

2021-04-13T21:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382089982525906944

@RodrigoLVieira1 @ArranGray9 None of them are flashing in the video.

2021-04-13T21:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382082177219788804

A very strong contender for the lights seen at the start of the "pyramid" video is Jupiter, Anteres, and friends. https://twitter.com/Dylan_DTV/status/1382067585059999744

2021-04-13T21:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382079499806810114

@Lemurian1897 Who did? Specifically who said the flashing light in the video was at 700 feet?

And how do you know they were correct?

2021-04-13T21:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382079159296397314

@Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical Yeah, I was trying that. Notice there's another star/light that comes into view very briefly at the top, 3 seconds in.

2021-04-13T20:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382074799799771139

@Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical That is a VERY good fit. Seems quite plausible.

2021-04-13T20:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382071427533185025

@Dylan_DTV @of_skeptical Interesting - where did the star chart come from? What's the date/time on it?

2021-04-13T20:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382063682071367684

@lucyskye318 @SaraCarterDC No. I'd assumed it was taken by Navy personnel, and obviously was initially unidentified. So nothing changed.

2021-04-13T20:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382063088950595584

@the_grandad @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan That's autofocus. It also shimmers and distorts, especially at low altitudes, because of atmospheric distortion.

2021-04-13T20:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382062509939576834

@lucyskye318 @SaraCarterDC A large problem is comprised my many smaller problems, smaller equations. Like "why is there a pyramid in this video." Answering those individual smaller problems is valuable in moving towards an answer for the larger problem.

2021-04-13T20:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382062103469576196

@Altouiz @lucio_linae I'm sorry if I come across that way. It's something I try to avoid.

2021-04-13T20:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382061702733209601

@lucyskye318 @SaraCarterDC Sure, be we have nobody stepping forward saying they actually saw flying pyramids.

Just flying lights by themselves should be investigated, even if they are not pyramids.

2021-04-13T20:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382061413561032707

@pathalogikul Looks like some kind of metallic (i.e mylar) balloon or blimp.

2021-04-13T20:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382061119162880002

@of_skeptical Just the two on the left.

2021-04-13T19:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382061003047772160

@conorowens94 @boston_hoax @MrMartianMike No, I cashed out years ago. A bit too soon really, but oh well :)

2021-04-13T19:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382060786227449857

@pstanley123 @atncatnc Agreed, and this was always my preferred hypothesis. It got bumped by lens covers as I at least found square hole lens covers, but no triangular irises. But now I'm triangular in-lens aperture #1!

2021-04-13T19:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382060092380483584

@Krivillie_Alex That happens all the time.

2021-04-13T19:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382060008733507584

@Lemurian1897 I'm not suggesting a plane flew over at 700 feet. If it was a plane it would have been at high altitude.

2021-04-13T18:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382043712545464320

@boston_hoax @MrMartianMike

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-04-13T18:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382043556529934337

@boston_hoax @MrMartianMike No, I'm literally saying that's where I got my money. From Tony Hawk.

2021-04-13T18:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382043342226087936

@Disclose_UFOUAP @hoosierguy2822 @STSMajesty Because the UAP task force also investigates things that were INITIALLY unidentified. Because that is a problem.

https://www.navy.mil/Press-Office/Press-Releases/display-pressreleases/Article/2314280/establishment-of-unidentified-aerial-phenomena-task-force/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey4BDMnVoAEsVpK.jpg

> As DOD has stated previously, the safety of our personnel and the security of our operations are of paramount concern. The Department of Defense and the military departments take any incursions by unauthorized aircraft into our training ranges or designated airspace very seriously and examine each report. This includes examinations of incursions that are initially reported as UAP when the observer cannot immediately identify what he or she is observing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey4BDMnVoAEsVpK.jpg
2021-04-13T18:45:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382042377922043908
@hoosierguy2822 @Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty They confirmed "the referenced photos and videos were taken by Navy personnel" and "The UAPTF has included these incidents in their ongoing examinations" and "DOD does not discuss publicly the details"

2021-04-13T18:43:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382041803562438657
@Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty I'm not responsible for their policy. I think they could be a LOT more clear than they have been, it's just SOP that gets in the way.

2021-04-13T18:39:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382040692176785410
@Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty Because of their general no-comment policy.

2021-04-13T18:19:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382035716465590272
@Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty I think it was originally unidentified. Maybe even originally thought to be a "pyramid" by at least one person. I doubt that is still the case.

2021-04-13T18:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382033847030714374
@Disclose_UFOUAP @SaraCarterDC Of course they do. Where did they indicate otherwise?

Or course that depends on how many people have actually looked at the footage, and if they are familiar with bokeh. But assuming they had the right people look at it, then it's pretty

obvious it might be bokeh.

2021-04-13T18:10:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382033381500755969
@Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty I just did.

I don't think they faked, or lied, about this pyramid video.

I think it's bokeh, and was originally unidentified. The actual (non-pyramid-shaped) object may well STILL be unidentified.

2021-04-13T18:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382032626794385413
@Disclose_UFOUAP @STSMajesty I don't think the triangle images was faked. I just think it was out of focus.

2021-04-13T18:05:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382032154905899011
@Disclose_UFOUAP @SaraCarterDC No, I don't think it's faked at all. I think the footage is real.

2021-04-13T18:04:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382031977155489793
@flugempire @AFSUnidentified @SaraCarterDC If it's a plane, then it's high up.
If it's a 700 feet then it's not a plane, so maybe a drone.
We don't know how high the object in the video is, but it looks more like a plane to me.

2021-04-13T17:57:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382030209570902016
@4Eridani Like I said, I've seen (claimed) 737 pattern photos that more-or-less match the pyramid.

2021-04-13T17:52:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382028909848326144
@AFSUnidentified @SaraCarterDC So you don't like the highly plausible (but boring) hypothesis I ended up with, and you would prefer the media spread the hypothesis that it was a flying flashing pyramid?

Who is muddying the water? You think "flying flashing pyramid" is the clear version?

2021-04-13T17:49:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382028302332829699
@Brendancowles @SaraCarterDC I mean there was an object there, with a light or lights on it, that was not in focus, so the image of the light showed up as a triangle.

Basically: real flying object with a light, not triangular, just looks like it in video.

2021-04-13T17:48:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1382027839843667972

@BenHansen00, do you happen to have one of these? Night Owl Dark Invader with 50mm 1/1.3 C-mount video lens, with triangular aperture.

2021-04-13T17:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382027190015971331

@AFSUnidentified @SaraCarterDC I wasn't following you. But why not?

2021-04-13T17:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382026881386508292

@of_skeptical Yes, assuming they are bright enough to show up.

2021-04-13T17:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382025520720662531

@ArranGray9 @Electric_People I give them an unknown weight. It depends on the case, and there are generally too many unknowns.

2021-04-13T17:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382024975419252737

@TheWrongRoo It just needs point lights (like stars, or distant lights like a plane), to have a partially closed aperture (or an external aperture, maybe home-made), and the focus being too close. I discuss it here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g256IPFoqMg

---

2021-04-13T16:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382015278511349762

@pale__ale Perhaps one person originally though they were pyramids. Maybe even somewhere down the line, due to lack of context. But I doubt there was a whole investigation that failed raise the bokeh idea.

2021-04-13T16:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382014742290522115

@ArranGray9 @Electric_People I'd be happy to look at that evidence.

2021-04-13T16:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382014528469147648

@xy_typhoon @hiiro33 Those are just hybrid contrails - i.e. portions of exhaust contrails sustained by aerodynamic effects of the wake vortices. They often separate.

https://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3m1seU4AYO6Sk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3m1seU4AYO6Sk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3md_WVgAAdEnb.jpg

2021-04-13T16:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382012573382373380

@SaraCarterDC The triangle shape is probably a camera artifact, see:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g256IPFoqMg

2021-04-13T16:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382012074314764288

RT @RightWingWatch: Mike Lindell announces that his new "free speech" social media platform will ban swearing and taking the Lord's name inâ¦

2021-04-13T16:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382011035024957441

@ArranGray9 Who? Quote what they said.

2021-04-13T16:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382010452968833024

Pyramid UFO: Here's an example of a night vision monocular with a triangular aperture. This could potentially create the triangle shapes seen in the video if out of focus.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7UTOtGyE1bI

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3ikqkVIAQXlRo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3iePzU4AMnlCs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3ikqkVIAQXlRo.jpg

2021-04-13T16:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382004968475959302

@4Eridani All the async similar examples I've identified via timelapse images have been 737s.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3d_xSU4Ac6URe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3d8AQVIAAniDg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3d7R3UUAIJNLg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3d_xSU4Ac6URe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey3d59IU4AEd_s1.jpg

2021-04-13T16:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1382003662839410693

@TheOutThere2016 Everything moves because the camera moves.

There's no other stars. Just noise.

2021-04-13T15:02:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381986174126940165

@4Eridani Iâ□□ll look again, but I only got two sequences. Maybe another on synced with red, just

before?

2021-04-13T14:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381985435484712969

@JeremyUnidenti1 Not sure what your point is. I think the pyramid was simply inbound to LAX or Ling Beach, nothing to do with Russell.

2021-04-13T14:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381980619555540995

@4Eridani @JeremyUnidenti1 10 frame flashes?

2021-04-13T14:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381980410268184580

@TheOutThere2016 Why do you think that excludes a star?

2021-04-13T14:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381980161592094720

@DreamDetective1 @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Thatâ□□s above HORIZONTAL, so looking up at 16Â°. From ground level the horizon is horizontal (0Â°). From 30000 ft, itâ□□s about 3Â° down. Sot Venus is then 19Â° above the horizon (ignoring mountains)

2021-04-13T09:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381906553679179777

@James57136915 @Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell I think Chinese drones spying on the US military is a significant national security issue, for just one example of UAPs.

2021-04-13T09:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381906056335433731

@4Eridani @BenHansen00 Unfortunately very few clouds here now. But, clouds are, by their very nature, fuzzy, and calling that video "in-focus" is ridiculous. Are these clouds "in focus"?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey2D509VEAgx8W-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey2D509VEAgx8W-.jpg

2021-04-13T09:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381900807243587584
@SilvaRecord @JeremyCorbell And JC references

"the section detailing this event series within the classified May 1st 2020 ONI UAPTF briefing - it was highlighted & clarified extensively that the UAPs were â□□PYRAMIDâ□□ shaped,"

Which seems like rather more that one slide.

2021-04-13T09:21:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381900286264975362
@ashworth_mick @PurelyYonatan @TruthofTerAvaya The other lights that might be planes appear distant and head-on, so you would not see flashing, just the "headlights" (landing lights - on approach to LAX)

2021-04-13T04:35:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381828482443845634
@SilvaRecord @JeremyCorbell Thanks. So how does on get (U) portions of a classified briefing? Absent an official release is it fine to share the slides?

The comment is (U), but what about the images?

2021-04-13T03:15:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381808186554941440
@l3athal101 @dave_beaty @SnakePo9 The focus aids use a larger hole than the daylight filter.

2021-04-13T02:39:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381799246358224899
@NARCAP1 @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan (and +3* for the altitude)

2021-04-13T02:39:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381799154733654016
@NARCAP1 @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Venus was around 10Â° above the horizon at the end of the video. There's been some confusion between CDT and CST. Queretaro was on CST, Memphis on CDT. Video ends around 0330 GMT, 2230 CDT, 2120 CST. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/narcap-tr-20-ufo-seen-from-plane-over-mexico-march-19-2020.11699/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey0i_umVgAcY7vI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ey0i_umVgAcY7vI.jpg

2021-04-13T02:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381790059288915971

@dave_beaty Do we have a solid date and time for the pyramid video?

2021-04-13T01:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381785140012060672

@l3athal101 @dave_beaty @SnakePo9 Do you think it's more likely that triangle-shaped UFOs swarmed the ship than some guy had some tape over his NVG.

2021-04-13T01:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381784735110721536

RT @dave_beaty: I reached out to the brother-in-law of the USS Paul Hamilton navy witness who was allegedly on the ship 7-19. "Did he see pâ□¦

2021-04-13T01:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381774345492602883

https://youtu.be/apiD-YMJnoY?t=177

Nerdy video of landing lights of planes.

At 2:57 the B737 has a pattern of flashes that seems to match the pyramid UFO.

2021-04-13T00:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381762858984218624

@UsCars4 Venus is very far away, so it always maintains the same position relative to the aircraft. Same as with the moon. There's many videos explaining this.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=afdy_2NKQG8

2021-04-12T23:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381758315273719809

@UsCars4 @JeremyUnidenti1 All these questions have answers which you could actually figure out for yourself. Why not try?

2021-04-12T23:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381758038990721024

@Seanie002 @Taco3421 @UsCars4 @clgood Do you have some strange definition of "investigate" that excludes the hundreds of cases I've looked into?

2021-04-12T23:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381744894578860035

@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm What's the date and time of the video? You can look up what planes were there at that time. Russell was in the LA inbound path from the Pacific (Hawaii, Asia)

2021-04-12T22:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381739197166280706

@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm I only see what seems like one plane passing overhead in the video. There are other plights at the start that might be planes in the distance.

2021-04-12T22:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381736344750202880

@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm Because they thought the lights were low altitude drones.

2021-04-12T22:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381735963819270145

@Josef_baum @TomSuesss @JeremyCorbell I think a cover is more likely, but triangular apertures exist. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzpbapU4AccTHP.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzpbapU4AccTHP.png
2021-04-12T22:24:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381734883018506245
@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm What crew says that?

Where did I say "forget" - please quote the context.

2021-04-12T22:22:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381734596732014592
IBM had a patent on a similar method of contrail mitigation, but it's listed as "abandoned"

https://patents.google.com/patent/US20090319164
https://twitter.com/ThomasConnors/status/1381732477778165761

2021-04-12T22:19:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381733684772892672
@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm You're missing the point. If there's a light in the sky and they study it and say it's out of focus, then that does not identify what the light is.

Bokeh just means it's out of focus, not that it has been identifies.

2021-04-12T22:18:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381733366634999809
@Josef_baum @TomSuesss @JeremyCorbell You can literally do it with three bits of tape. How is that not mundane?

2021-04-12T22:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381733179837456386

@clgood Well, yeah, it's just a normal takeoff. What I'm looking for is what types of plane have that pattern and out-of-phase timing of lights

---

2021-04-12T22:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381732940397170688

@BfOlivier Yeah, but WHICH plane is always a fun puzzle.

2021-04-12T22:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381732736705044480

This composite shows lights in and out of sync. The pyramid was out of sync, which will narrow down the type of aricraft it as. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyzl_1SUcAM1Ypn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyzl_1SUcAM1Ypn.jpg

2021-04-12T22:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381731731477200898

@Josef_baum @TomSuesss @JeremyCorbell It's a pretty mundane method really.

2021-04-12T22:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381731001831809025

@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm They said they WERE unknown.

And figuring out that the triangle is bokeh does not explain exactly what is behind the bokeh.

2021-04-12T22:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381730726614159362

Long exposure of plane taking off. The out-of-phase pattern of the two strobes matches the recent "flashing pyramid" UFO.

What types of plane have a pattern like this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzkbD9UcAACmJG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzkbD9UcAACmJG.jpg
2021-04-12T21:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381724409455468548
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell So are you arguing for zero military secrets then? All planning done in open forums?

2021-04-12T21:41:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381724152164311040
@UsCars4 @flugempire @UFOi1973 @JeremyCorbell @Manning123Sm No, that's not what I'm saying at all. I just think it IS bokeh. That does not imply the US Military has studied it and not figured that out.

2021-04-12T21:19:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381718518664585217
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell If China developed a way of spoofing radar, and the USG knows, but China does not know the the USG knows, then why should the public know about it and reveal the secret to China?

2021-04-12T21:16:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381717920728850433
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell How so? If it's not aliens, then what is in the public interest?

2021-04-12T21:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381717630856261632
@UrsBasteck @conspiracism @MrMartianMike 1998, my wedding.

2021-04-12T21:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381717105779699714
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell What about UAPs requires publishing?

2021-04-12T21:13:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381717007540703233
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell I'm pretty sure that would not extend to classified briefings about the UAP threat - which is a significant national security issue.

2021-04-12T21:11:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381716544216997894
@Elizabe32413720 @crabapple66 I'm familiar with HAARP. It's an ionospheric heater. You can't alter the weather with that, as there's almost zero downwards effect.

Lots of speculation there though.

2021-04-12T21:03:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381714696277913600
@Elizabe32413720 @crabapple66 Maybe you are thinking of weather modification? That's a different thing - it's just regular local cloud seeding.

2021-04-12T21:01:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381714226247426051
@conspiracism I let Google do the heavy lifting there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzVshCVcAEQFiO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzVshCVcAEQFiO.jpg
2021-04-12T20:59:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381713660356096001
@conspiracism @MrMartianMike Me and my buddies.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzVPxOUYAEdcXx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzVPxOUYAEdcXx.jpg

2021-04-12T20:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381712758454947840

@_tonygaeta @LueElizondo Nah, that's just AI upscaling of unsharp mask contrast enhancement on a low resolution video.

2021-04-12T20:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381711986317172737

@UsCars4 Payloads are small, resolution is terrible.

Oceans are deep.

2021-04-12T20:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381711781786050563

@MrMartianMike Tony Hawk.

2021-04-12T20:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381711745102667778

@UsCars4 @DavidJayB @MrHustleMCR @Spectre67904513 One also has to account for simplicity.

If you posit a coffee maker, that requires you then explain how coffee makers can fly and why someone would do that.

If you posit a taped objective, you only need to explain why someone would tape the objective. Which we did.

2021-04-12T20:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381705706949074947

@atncatnc @ufo_classes @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell @TacRifleman Specifically the

objective, like a jerry-rigged Phokus Hoplite.

2021-04-12T20:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381704134450049024

@Fiddaman @JeremyCorbell Well, ultimately, yes that's what matters. But as a point of legality I think the contents of a classified briefing need to be approved for public release.

2021-04-12T20:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381703762981527553

@SpaceDadOrbis @Bobbybigrig @JeremyCorbell Possibly from two lightbulbs, or a reflection. Two lights will create overlapping bokeh that's slightly offset.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzLCtiVcAAu71R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyzLCtiVcAAu71R.jpg

2021-04-12T20:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381702092675444736

@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell I'm not entirely sure. I like Snowden more than Assange. Generally I'm very much in favor of open government. But then I'm also in favor of laws.

2021-04-12T20:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381701470509129728

@knightsabers01 I'm not 100% on anything. I'm about 90% on bokeh, but that's still the top of the list.

2021-04-12T19:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381697848282996738

@UsCars4 Burst, sank, both due to payload

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/transmedium-sphere-photos-jeremy-corbell.11702/post-247487

2021-04-12T19:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381693581782474753

@jinglepony @JeremyCorbell No, I don't think it was a joke. It think it was an accident.

2021-04-12T19:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381689971875082240

@atncatnc @ufo_classes @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell It's something that physically trivially easy to create in seconds with three bits of electrical tape.

The same cannot be said for anti-gravity flying pyramids. Even multiple pyramid-shaped conventional drones is vastly more complex.

So aperture goes to #1, for this video.

2021-04-12T19:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381689392364888065

@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Hmm, well, at 30,000 feet, the horizon is only 3Â° lower than at sea level, so basically add 3 to the tellurium numbers. Not a huge difference.

2021-04-12T19:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381687420182126592

@DreamDetective1 @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan At 2100 CST from Monterrey Venus was 16.5Â° above the horizon. 13Â° North of West (283Â°)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy9KfDVIAEZjR5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy9KfDVIAEZjR5.jpg

2021-04-12T19:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381686085592391680
@Flyingh43892139 @TictacUfo Okay, then yes, that's what the above images illustrate.

Differences in position within the NV frame can also do this.

2021-04-12T19:08:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381685782742622209
@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell The literal illegality of leaking classified information is not in question.

Arguing it's morally good and necessary is a different topic.

2021-04-12T18:52:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381681568297611265
@Flyingh43892139 @TictacUfo With more variation if the recording camera is not at right angles to the screen. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy4D22VIAEuuvj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy4D22VIAEuuvj.jpg
2021-04-12T18:48:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381680710503653379
@Flyingh43892139 @TictacUfo No. Phone zoom is digital, it distorts nothing.

Simply being at different position in the frame could alter the angles slightly. Here's a photo of identical triangles, the two at opposite corners are off a bit.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy3QJFU4AYvjr9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyy3QJFU4AYvjr9.jpg

2021-04-12T18:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381678944315797507

@MTinfoilhat @JeremyCorbell Yes, it helps with focus. There are adapters to do it, but they cost $100-$200, so people sometimes make their own.

https://www.thefirearmblog.com/blog/2019/12/27/friday-night-lights-focus-on-your-nvgs-refocus-accessories-for-night-vision/

2021-04-12T18:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381678551099863040

@PredatoryPanic @JeremyCorbell Yeah, but you don't then boast about running the stop sign later, nor do you post video of it on YouTube.

2021-04-12T18:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381678152942985217

@rogerglassel Hmm, a non-budget report produced in some guy's spare time? Does not bode well. But we'll see. I can wait.

2021-04-12T18:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381676655857430531

@Flyingh43892139 @TictacUfo Has to be the monocular, you see the NV pixels (like with the scintillation). If the recording cameras was creating the bokeh then you would not see that.

2021-04-12T18:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381674882845483008

@ztrussell Correct, because that zoom is done with the recording device (iPhone or similar) held

up to the eyepiece, and not with the NV monocular, which is what create the shape. So zooming does not change it.

2021-04-12T18:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381674638229471233

@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan I used Stellarium, which lets you enter an altitude. It does not show local terrain, but that's not a signficant factor from 30,000 feet - the horizon is essentially flat, even with mountains.

2021-04-12T18:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381674237962809344

@rogerglassel Where did Knapp say that?

2021-04-12T18:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381674040973160448

@ztrussell Zooming in/out of bokeh changes both size and shape. Bokeh depends on the internal lens configuration, and zooming changes that a lot.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381673856704765954/pu/img/CstsaVbbyBO0RE0I.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381673856704765954/pu/img/CstsaVbbyBO0RE0I.jpg

2021-04-12T18:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381673010478817280

@murnut @JeremyCorbell I'm no attacking him, I'm asking for clarification so I can understand the scope of what he knows about the classified meeting that he described.

Your rape analogy is irrelevant and offensive.

2021-04-12T18:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381672374387372035

@Fiddaman @JeremyCorbell Well, obviously there's nothing to stop you showing an image in a classified briefing that in itself is not classified.

But isn't the PRESENCE of the image in the briefing a classified fact?

2021-04-12T18:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381671279845990400

@WitnessCitizen @JeremyCorbell And what are you basing that on?

2021-04-12T18:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381669696013934593

@ufo_classes @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell A major indication that there was a triangular aperture involved is the actual data we have - which is objects that look exactly like bokeh, one of which moves and flashes like an aircraft.

2021-04-12T18:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381669327317856256

@JeremyCorbell Or are you claiming it was "highlighted & clarified extensively" based simply on the annotation of this slide?

And again, how are you releasing classified slides?

2021-04-12T18:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381668845866217481

@JeremyCorbell How are you able to release details of a classified ONI briefing?

Isn't that a federal offense?

2021-04-12T17:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381665130476232706

@UsCars4 @MrHustleMCR @Spectre67904513 I don't know more, I'm just saying more.

2021-04-12T17:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381664906374569984

@Manning123Sm Same angles?

Not a lot of pixels there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyyo0X7VIAM8Ree.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyyo0X7VIAM8Ree.jpg

2021-04-12T17:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381663741385707521

@The1Revolution @JeremyCorbell I don't think it was faked. I think in this case the orgasm was real, it just wasn't recognized as such, perhaps because of unfamiliarity with the subject.

2021-04-12T17:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381663143491903488

@DavidJayB @UsCars4 @MrHustleMCR @Spectre67904513 What I think happens in cases of planes misidentified as UFOs is that the observers misperceive the distance to the object. It shows up on radar, but it's many miles away from where they think it is, so they discard it as a possibility.

See the Chilean case, perfect example.

2021-04-12T16:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381650959084875780

@twmbcm @LtTimMcMillan @rpowell2u Galileo ignore the bible experts, and the highly trained sun watchers like ocean navigators. Do you think he should have dropped his pesky observations of the phases of Venus because of all the eyewitness testimony that the sun clearly moves around the Earth?

2021-04-12T16:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381649028266352641

@strategic_doubt @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @WitnessCitizen Someone implied that was the day, perhaps because of the "700 ft" entry.

Incoming pacific traffic for the LA area is pretty consistent, wind depending though.

I generally use http://Planefinder.net first now, as it seem to have overtaken Flightradar24 in terms of coverage.

2021-04-12T07:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381507449396465664

@YouEffOh_Rich @PostDisclosure Military guys sometimes jerry-rig a reduced aperture in the front of their night vision goggles to improve focus. These have been seen to be square, and triangles have been heard of.

2021-04-12T07:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381506608589508613

@Manning123Sm Partly that's where the pixels fall. But also the brighter one "bulges" a bit from glare. These triangles are all EXACTLY the same size. But one image of them is a low-res photo of the screen. Notice the bulge in the brighter ones.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EywYxqfVgAEt-Wb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EywYo_NVcAMgVwJ.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EywYxqfVgAEt-Wb.jpg
2021-04-12T06:34:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381495897570168835
@JackHelliquinn @protagonizer @phaxfeels @guilani_s The window is the hard part.

2021-04-12T06:31:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381495166628859907
@Josef_baum @Bobby95975933 @_NTME9_ @JeremyCorbell If you go looking for something (which I was) and you find someone who has that thing, even though only 20,000 people have seen even part of the video, then statistically a better than 1 in 20,000 frequency is likely, and 1 in 1,000,000,000 is not.

2021-04-12T06:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381494239993864196
@Oswald1160 @ufo_classes @JeremyCorbell No, there's far messier frames too.

HOWEVER, that frame MIGHT be an indication of two overlapping triangles. i.e. the bokeh from two lights on the plane.

2021-04-12T06:24:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381493403964203008
@protagonizer @JackHelliquinn @phaxfeels @guilani_s
https://www.metabunk.org/f/4k%20fake.mov

2021-04-12T06:23:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381493060681404422

@north_weald @MiddleOfMayhem That's one aspect of the situation. If you want to look at closing speed, I've got a simulation:
https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/

And check out the sped up autobahn videos here, matching cruise speed of a jet.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/post-243068

2021-04-12T06:18:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381492022012301318
Answer to the most frequently frequently asked question about the inconsistent shape of lights in a night vision "pyramid" UFO video that might be bokeh.

If you don't recognize what that's about, then this video is probably not for you.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WPz5cVJMdPg

2021-04-12T06:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381490999478784002
@issaufobro @cosmicdahlia https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WPz5cVJMdPg

---

2021-04-12T06:14:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381490927181570050
@north_weald @PostDisclosure That's the wrong question. You should be asking "could this photo, thought to be the moon, be accidentally a photo of an egg."

2021-04-12T06:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381490184391327747
@DarkstarIE @physicsufo https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WPz5cVJMdPg

---

2021-04-12T06:01:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381487718287372289
@DarkstarIE @physicsufo Well it's a very different method of making the bokeh - with the aperture on the recording camera rather than the NV camera. So you would not expect them to be the same.

2021-04-12T05:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381483074890821637
@DarkstarIE @physicsufo Yes it is. I'll make a video to explain.

2021-04-12T05:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381475643817222151
@issaufobro @cosmicdahlia Not true. That's a misunderstanding. I've been asked about 100 times though. So I'll try to make a video explaining.

2021-04-12T05:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381472216328507393
RT @Bobbybigrig: @MickWest @JeremyCorbell I just used Mickâ□□s method, but only have a regular monocular, taped the triangle, then adjusted tâ□¦

2021-04-12T04:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381464296056442881
@Bobby95975933 @_NTME9_ @JeremyCorbell Well already someone cropped up and said they know a guy who used triangle holes. So it's not like it's one in a billion.

https://twitter.com/Randall89606307/status/1381149485653442562

2021-04-12T04:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381463871982989312
@ztrussell That is zoomed. 2000mm

2021-04-12T04:25:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381463539664125955
@JLuvin @Flyingh43892139 @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Looks like you're an hour off. Daylight savings time. Use PDT not PST, or to be sure use Zulu time, seen in the video 0334.7z

Venus is still 6Â° above the horizon, and, like everything, sets in the west. Left side of the plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyvxMJ3VcAQkcXB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyvxMJ3VcAQkcXB.jpg
2021-04-12T04:18:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381461643574476800
@dsmethurst66 @JeremyCorbell I already do!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyvwB8wU4AYRnqE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyvwB8wU4AYRnqE.jpg

2021-04-12T01:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381412711754387459

@SK1LLLS @ricky_merchant @JeremyCorbell Ask Jeremy.

2021-04-12T00:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381411478268354564

@bobofango86 They are all consistent. Find two in the same shot that are not. And avoid scintillation.

2021-04-12T00:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381410954806579202

@SK1LLLS @ricky_merchant @JeremyCorbell Maybe it wasnâ□□t classified.

2021-04-12T00:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381404565371715588

@UFO_Technology @_NTME9_ @JeremyCorbell I demonstrate it in my video. Triangular taped objective, normal image, triangular bokeh.

https://youtu.be/g256IPFoqMg?t=114

2021-04-12T00:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381403327930408966

@_NTME9_ @Bobby95975933 @JeremyCorbell and

https://twitter.com/Randall89606307/status/1381149485653442562

2021-04-12T00:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381403106328506369

@_NTME9_ @Bobby95975933 @JeremyCorbell

https://www.ar15.com/forums/armory/DARC_DIY_NVG_focus_trick/18-399269/

2021-04-12T00:24:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381402838568296449
@aneilbaboo @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Something else, or a momentary illusion.

2021-04-12T00:23:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381402514432528386
@Flyingh43892139 It's 22:46 CDT, you've got CST. In CDT it's still over 6Â° above the horizon.

Details here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/narcap-tr-20-ufo-seen-from-plane-over-mexico-march-19-2020.11699/

2021-04-11T22:52:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381379560604803073
@NarrativeResist There's two main possibilities for Venus.

1) They are both looking at the phone screen, as it seems like the best way of observing it.
2) The second guy is just seeing normal atmospheric shimmering, which seems like pulsing, but is far less than what is on screen.

2021-04-11T22:49:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381378976661303297
@ArranGray9 @123334AB @flarkey Seems consistent with a drone + Lume Cube type light.

2021-04-11T22:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381378138828013569
Hey, @JeremyCorbell, you've got a Gen 3 NV monocular, right? Want to experiment?

Tape up a triangular aperture and then film some planes at night while pulling the focus in and out. See if you get triangular bokeh. See if it flashes. Then video with iPhone. Maybe I'm wrong!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyujrVWUUAI1d8E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyujrVWUUAI1d8E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyuiWafU8AA0qWe.jpg

2021-04-11T22:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381375302736113669

@123334AB @flarkey Although, I could rent one for the weekend for $200, if someone wants to

fund it.

2021-04-11T22:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381371048722001920

@NarrativeResist Autofocus seeking, mostly. Maybe a bit of clouds going in front.

2021-04-11T22:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381370495883354115

@123334AB @flarkey Well of course I won't. Why would I spend $3000 on something like this?

I'd really like to give it a go. Just not $3000 really like. Not even $300

2021-04-11T22:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381370040130301952

@Kuroodo_Ditory @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan It is obviously not possible to actually do that, so either that was something else, or some kind of mistaken perception.

2021-04-11T22:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381369849478193172

@Slim_Dizzle It's not meant to. It's to illustrate two main things:

1) you can get a shot with just a plane and two stars.
2) When it flashes the shape all flashes, plus there's a halo around it.

2021-04-11T22:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381366667108786180

@legend_harryy @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan What if they were mistaken? That's different to lying, right?

2021-04-11T22:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381366516591992833

@123334AB @flarkey I'm sorry, are you saying you want me to spend $3000 on a night vision monocular, or shut up?

2021-04-11T21:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381360887978631168

@JLuvin @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Because it looks like other video of incorrectly focussed Venus.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pHldcoWuYSA

2021-04-11T21:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381360217401663491

@conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 Last year. Although my fire experiments were from like 3 years ago.

2021-04-11T21:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381359171648450560

@legend_harryy @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan No, I do not think they were lying.

2021-04-11T21:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381357541188194304

@MatthewBVarney Unfortunately not captured on video, so it's hard to say.

2021-04-11T21:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381356800130252801

@ufotodaynetwork @blackvaultcom @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure I like how the bokeh woman actually has bokeh (earring), and the other one is glaring.

2021-04-11T21:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381356278316888067

@GeezerSqueezer @jshkrueger @PostDisclosure @joerogan Nobody is saying it is their responsibility. But they can do it if they want to - and many have expressed an interest in the topic.

2021-04-11T21:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381354521847234560

@jshkrueger @GeezerSqueezer @PostDisclosure This definition of a "debunker" is clearly something that needs more study.

2021-04-11T21:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381354147442647042

@GeezerSqueezer @jshkrueger @PostDisclosure What? I'd LOVE a real study. It's a pretty hard sell though for gov. Maybe some rich person can finance it? Are there no celebrities who want to solve the UFO mystery?

@joerogan?

2021-04-11T21:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381351779820920834

@Ps5andxbox1 There's certainly other data (at the very least a precise time and location the video was taken). Since we can't see it, and have zero description of it, then we don't know if it corroborates anything.

2021-04-11T20:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381351271488086016

@blackvaultcom @ufotodaynetwork @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure I'm free on Friday.

2021-04-11T20:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381350841261510659

@blackvaultcom @TDBrigham @zcichy @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure John, I'm sorry if I've misrepresented what happened. That might come from me basing things off private emails you were not part of. But I see no value in arguing about it.

I'd be happy to do a conversation. 1-2 hours, no restrictions, with opening statements if needed.

2021-04-11T20:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381347841155604482

More UFOs arising from Night Vision. Here's a plane and two stars. They have relatively small bokeh (blurring) as they are a bit out of focus, and with the plane's multiple lights this turns it into the shape of a flying saucer that flashes kinda like the "pyramid"

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381347130686627840/pu/img/4PQc_SG9Bas_JimV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381347130686627840/pu/img/4PQc_SG9Bas_JimV.jpg

2021-04-11T20:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381347067415592961

@blackvaultcom @TDBrigham @zcichy @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure I don't want to pick over the details of an old conversation. Let's move on. I'd be happy to have a conversation. No restrictions.

2021-04-11T20:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381346614959218688

@dave_beaty Actually, doh, no I'm not. The new video is ALREADY bokehed

---

2021-04-11T20:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381345806242832384

@dave_beaty I did, yes. I'm about to try it with another video....

2021-04-11T20:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381345607877468160

@TDBrigham @zcichy @blackvaultcom @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure I think the goal of such a conversation should be to figure out where we differ, and then try to figure out how that difference might be resolved. I'm always happy to have a chat like that.

2021-04-11T20:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381343985768169472
@AliensExist15 @b_o_j_u Because he things UFOs are probably aliens, and if not then they are something very important - like anti-gravity drives.

2021-04-11T20:29:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381343740854329348
@blackvaultcom @of_skeptical @rpowell2u @PostDisclosure I'd be happy to have a respectful conversation. I just don't want a high-school style "debate". It's a pointless format (15 minute speeches) when there's actually real points we can discuss.

2021-04-11T19:29:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381328664676028417
@of_skeptical @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u Some, why?

2021-04-11T19:26:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381327891690967041
@of_skeptical @blackvaultcom @rpowell2u Nothing happened. Powell kept insisting on some weird debate format. I wasn't really into it.

2021-04-11T19:23:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381327067355119616
@Flyingh43892139 @MindfulOfLight @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Possibly the autokinetic effect.
https://www.treehugger.com/its-not-ufo-its-autokinetic-effect-4867387

2021-04-11T19:21:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381326490436919296
@Seanie002 Not for the first two years. The Chilean military UAP task force was convinced it was a genuine UAP. Then I (and others) solved it in three days or so.

2021-04-11T19:19:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381326150308220930
@Flyingh43892139 @CapacitorAeon @MindfulOfLight @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan How do you know? Venus would look like a bright twinkling light, maybe pulsating a bit as it went behind clouds. But people often think their camera is giving them a better view, so they look at that.

In fact, he HAS to be looking at the camera to keep it in shot.

2021-04-11T19:17:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381325450065014784
@LtTimMcMillan @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 They had you saying that, and me saying live trees are hard to burn.

Debunked!
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381325244724441090/pu/img/qnydqlF4mDCQ3iKa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381325244724441090/pu/img/qnydqlF4mDCQ3iKa.jpg
2021-04-11T19:08:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381323286961790979
@Slaneshza Because it's high up and local noise drowns it out.

2021-04-11T19:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381323102932496386
@Pewpew841 @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan I didn't record it, it was a YouTube video I found. 10000+ feet. If it was at 700 feet then it would be a lot smaller.

2021-04-11T19:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381322523623575552
@carlstanland @foiaboi Real photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytxfliU4AEW_VK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytxfliU4AEW_VK.jpg

2021-04-11T19:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381322163706195969

@Flyingh43892139 @CapacitorAeon @MindfulOfLight @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Autofocus seeking.

2021-04-11T19:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381321616047534084

@MikeWOcchipinti @benjimaan22 @MindfulOfLight @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Nikon P900, for example. Lack of focus = no shape.

2021-04-11T18:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381320248826060801

@MindfulOfLight @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan Not if it's out of focus, you just need a bit of zoom.

2021-04-11T18:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381319591469621251

@LtTimMcMillan @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 It shows up briefly on ep9, but the focus on another case. We go back-to-back on the forest fire thing though.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eytub6jVIAE9uCp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eytub6jVIAE9uCp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytuIrpU8AEShOL.jpg

2021-04-11T18:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381315808651976705

@MindfulOfLight @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan It's out of focus. Venus appears as a bright point of light. This is a common problem.

2021-04-11T18:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381315487208853505

@Seanie002 Well, the Chilean one was a good one. But check out the 25 pages of threads on my Skydentify forum. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2021-04-11T18:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381315080961155072

@ashworth_mick Well, I just shot this. It's daytime.

2021-04-11T18:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381314921502150657

@Hunter80417482 @LtTimMcMillan @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 It happens.

2021-04-11T18:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381313456033329153

@IAmRobAherne It's kind of like "okay", with a B and short ay. I'm sure I messed it up.

https://youtu.be/Y0Brf2l8Ysc?t=95

2021-04-11T18:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381310319977394176

@b_o_j_u No. Probably not. I think he's just overly enthusiastic.

2021-04-11T18:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381308465717469187

@LtTimMcMillan @CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 Did it make the cut? Which episode?

2021-04-11T18:03:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381306995316121603

I'm going with Venus as #1 on this one.

https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1381288640500932610

2021-04-11T18:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381306185941360640

@CapacitorAeon @ChristopherKMe4 @LtTimMcMillan asked me about this last September. I suggested Venus, and I think he agreed. I never wrote it up because I thought he was going to.

Tim?

2021-04-11T17:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381302878850097153

@jason_quitt Those are not stars. Check the next frame in the video.

2021-04-11T17:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381302644275208193

@YouEffOh_Rich @HRFaulkner Seagulls. Here they are in slightly more focus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytfXq4VIAkzF8V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytfXq4VIAkzF8V.jpg

2021-04-11T17:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381300582674169857

@HRFaulkner @steven_nikolic Yeah it's really low quality and the shapes are not clear for the two dimmer lights. But watching them in the video with contrast boosted a bit, and they look close enough to me.

2021-04-11T17:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381297977436762113

More fun with bokeh. This time with a common source of "fleets" of UFOs - some white flying pyramids with dark wings. The clouds are "in focus"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytbL3UVcAEts8Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytbL3UVcAEts8Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyta1QTVcAIymws.jpg

2021-04-11T17:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381297107961712641

@a_idealist That will be #1 in in my FAQ :)

2021-04-11T17:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381291343549394946

@TRandallizer @Spectre67904513 Any point light out of focus gives a bokeh shape.

2021-04-11T16:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381290718694494211

@Spectre67904513 Tricky - however, I'd challenge people to really say the clouds are "in focus"

Here is a photo where the clouds are not in focus. Can you tell?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytUkWCUcAMR_w4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EytUkWCUcAMR_w4.jpg

2021-04-11T16:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381284573602979842

@supersmartrobot No, it's a 2mm wide LED.

2021-04-11T16:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381284197529051136

@BenHansen00 No, I think optically the line is always clear, it's just recorded less clearly when it's dim.

I think that's actually due to an unsharp mask filter. That amplifies changes in contrast, and with the brighter triangle there's much more change in contrast.

2021-04-11T16:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381283370039058432

@joily123 There's even an eye in it.

2021-04-11T16:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381282742021750784

Pushing the limits of bokeh. This is all in-camera (except for the color)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381282545707278338/pu/img/zq3j6hbmnmrOuu_-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381282545707278338/pu/img/zq3j6hbmnmrOuu_-.jpg
2021-04-11T16:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381281913290194948
@BenHansen00 I don't think it's a low jet. It's either a high jet, or something like a drone.

2021-04-11T16:20:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381281017323945986
@BenHansen00 I think it becoming more defined is simply down to noise and compression in the video. It's a digital zoom on the recording camera, and pretty low quality. Brighter object have more defintion.

2021-04-11T15:38:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381270401897033730
@Mike___Kilo I'd guess a large, lost balloon with some payload (radiosonde, etc) descending to the ocean. When the payload hits the water it sort of catches and the wind rips the balloon. It deflates, sinks.

2021-04-11T15:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381269633416581124
@DavegiudiceDave You say that like it's a bad thing :)

2021-04-11T15:26:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381267487535210496
@randy2255 If used properly it's fine. But there are known things that can happen, either deliberately or accidentally, that create this effect. And not very complicated either. A triangular aperture refocussing cover, and focus being a bit off.

2021-04-11T15:23:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381266785823957003
@JackHelliquinn @CuthelIain More like 50, and about 10,000 simultaneous eyewitnesses.

2021-04-11T15:19:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381265658466377729

@Handsom44525098 @Manning123Sm This thread is mostly about the log entries, however, it also fits with the green pyramid video, and others have said it was the same day.

2021-04-11T15:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381262357200957443

@DavegiudiceDave This was shot by some guy on a boat, so maybe not an infallible fighter jet pilot.

2021-04-11T15:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381261826663456773

@Ps5andxbox1 @BenHansen00 If there's bokeh in an image then that's showing the degree of lack of focus of other things in the image at the same distance, that's all.

Using the triangular cap restricts light, which can degrade the image, like shooting through some sunglasses. More scintillation.

2021-04-11T10:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381192072586088455

@SGuy8bit @jackc476 I don't think there's any good evidence of contact with aliens.

2021-04-11T08:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381155475845128197

@jackc476 A lot of that is just dreams, and hypnagogic hallucinations, and sleep paralysis.

2021-04-11T07:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381154970167255043

@flarkey @123334AB The size of the bokeh is the size of the blur. You only see the crisp shapes with individual points of light as larger objects are essentially many points of light (think pixels) of similar dimness, so all the bokehs together is just blur. Technically it's still bokeh.

2021-04-11T07:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381154345496932353

@samkimahri Why does it seem legitimate? What's the story behind it? Who, when, where, how, etc?

2021-04-11T07:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381150539304423425

@Prometheus_2000 Do you mean the lights at the start? That's before they zoomed in. At that point, they look the same shape as the flasher.

If you mean the smaller lights later, that's scintillation, not stars.

2021-04-11T07:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381150106875949057

@Randall89606307 @LtTimMcMillan Seriously? He taped trianglar holes? Send pics!

2021-04-11T07:39:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381149811487875072

@LtTimMcMillan Perhaps their media response playbook has been finalized, so they have less

faffing around to do before responding.

2021-04-11T07:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381148730636730369

@Manning123Sm @ufouapam All the lights in any given zoom level are the same shape. When he zooms in, then they are all triangles

---

2021-04-11T07:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381148431419240448

@AFSUnidentified @Deepneuron @HorusOwl @Hall97Michael Yes, I think the object in the navy video is a high plane, approaching LA.

2021-04-11T07:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381148027482558471

@LtTimMcMillan No. refocussing NVG covers are sometimes made in an ad-hoc manner, with drilled or punched holes, or taped square holes. So triangular holes might be possible. Then they just left the refocussing cover on (hence, perhaps, the excessive scintillation)

See: https://www.ar15.com/forums/armory/DARC_DIY_NVG_focus_trick/18-399269/

2021-04-11T07:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381146404412825603

Various planes videoed with PVS-14, with and without bokeh (simulated with second camera with triangular aperture). With sound (loud low plane at end)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381145238048104448/pu/img/4EcgKnecRBDwrIpH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381145238048104448/pu/img/4EcgKnecRBDwrIpH.jpg

2021-04-11T07:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381144859294068739

@123334AB The bokeh is small. On a large object, it just appears as a blurring around the edges. Clouds are naturally blurry, so hard to see. The "antenna" is probably also more in focus.

2021-04-11T07:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381143253773295619

@Lemurian1897 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1381142799949520901

2021-04-11T07:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381142994615558146

@123334AB I didn't modify it with computer software. I just re-filmed it with a different camera.

2021-04-11T07:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381142799949520901

@AFSUnidentified @Deepneuron @Hall97Michael Here it is with the original sound.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381142739123806210/pu/img/jpS3-sb1-gpSGsDr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381142739123806210/pu/img/jpS3-sb1-gpSGsDr.jpg

2021-04-11T07:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381142594206375939

@pathalogikul @ExploringLife42 The flasher is the same shape when it first appears. The triangles only resolve as they zoom in.

2021-04-11T07:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381141982211317768

@Hall97Michael @Oswald1160 @alsniewaddan It's an example of something that WAS unidentified. Hopefully, it no longer is.

2021-04-11T06:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381137663797854215

@alsniewaddan @Hall97Michael The two shapes on the right? Everything else is scintillation.

2021-04-11T06:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381136615121149953

@alsniewaddan @Hall97Michael Do you mean the lights at the start? That's before they zoomed in. At that point, they look the same shape as the flasher.

If you mean the smaller lights later, that's scintillation, not stars.

2021-04-11T06:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381134172790562818

@Dylan_DTV Yeah, there does seem to generally be more stars in NV footage. It may be that the focus and noise hides the fainter stars. My monitor lacks dynamic range, so that also changes things. Still, interesting similarities.

2021-04-11T06:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381133584036073474

@Hall97Michael As does the pyramid footage.

2021-04-11T06:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381133446966177793

@chrisfrench83 @BenHansen00 Can you be a bit more specific as to my failings?

2021-04-11T06:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381133242837831686

@WingzeroF1 @Manning123Sm I'm assuming San Diego local time.

2021-04-11T06:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381131366213906434

@Hall97Michael @AFSUnidentified I removed the sound because it was just environmental noise, like insects. The plane was high up.

2021-04-11T06:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381131115373633537

@PredatoryPanic Both. I filmed my screen with a triangle-shaped aperture.

2021-04-11T06:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381130894145036292

@WingzeroF1 @Manning123Sm It's http://planefinder.net, July 15, 2019. 21:40 PDT.

2021-04-11T06:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381130649705177091

@Anomalous001 There are no pinpoint stars in the navy version. That's scintillation - it changes from frame to frame.

2021-04-11T06:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381129243640221696

@AFSUnidentified What do you think the sound will tell you?

2021-04-11T06:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381127930718298112

Footage of airplane taken with PVS-14 Night Vision, with added bokeh.

Compare with recent "pyramid" UFO footage.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381127634516500485/pu/img/Y1auiM9jKNq1Yz5Q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1381127634516500485/pu/img/Y1auiM9jKNq1Yz5Q.jpg
2021-04-11T02:06:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381066098917867525
@Seanie002 @MTCntr @BenHansen00 In the video. Three shapes the same. Nav lights.

2021-04-11T02:05:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381065731672993804
@TokayArbon @BenHansen00 Yes, although Iâ□□ve not seen a triangular example yet.

2021-04-11T01:42:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381059958351880192
@MTCntr @BenHansen00 I think more likely the lens cap was a refocusing aid, and it was not deliberate.

2021-04-11T01:35:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381058299634708480
@howardmbarker @BenHansen00 Why, exactly?

2021-04-11T01:32:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381057653233737733
@TokayArbon @BenHansen00 Itâ□□s a focusing aid, increases depth of field, like I explained in the video.

2021-04-11T01:29:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381056877153320965
@MTCntr @BenHansen00 Not really. A lens cap with a hole in it is WAY simpler than triangular drones that maintain the same size, shape, and orientation.

2021-04-11T01:24:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1381055616613974017
@Flyingh43892139 @PredatoryPanic @SilvaRecord @BenHansen00 Yes, the might have left on a cap by accident. Although a triangular hole is not something Iâ□□ve seen, I have seen square ones.

2021-04-11T00:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381046060286545921

@effin620 @JQP1781 It's not my opinion that's useful, since I'm just an ex-game programmer who is good at Googling stuff. What's useful is the stuff I point out that you can check for yourself.

2021-04-10T22:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381015455616266241

@UfoTimeline @SilvaRecord @BenHansen00 The cap is not on the eyepiece, it's on the front lens. You can't see it in the viewfinder.

2021-04-10T21:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1381002377138872322

@JLuvin @zcichy @flugempire @AFSUnidentified Yeah, we do, there are clouds, the regularity of which suggests looking up at a reasonable angle, like >30Â°

2021-04-10T21:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380995243546341379

@gjkirkpatrick @BenHansen00 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EypHtNRVgAgDaVO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EypHtNRVgAgDaVO.jpg

2021-04-10T21:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380994895771365377

@PredatoryPanic @SilvaRecord @BenHansen00 I don't think it's a huge stretch, as it's the simples explanation for three lights in the scene having the exact same shape - even though one is moving and flashing and the other two are not. Bokeh is a REALLY SIMPLE explanation there.

2021-04-10T21:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380993817336147970

@HeyLukOverThere @BenHansen00 Yes, but small triangles. So you can only see them when zoomed in. You can verify this by looking at the flasher when it first appears.

2021-04-10T21:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380993575001808897

@carlstanland @BenHansen00 Yet the video shows triangles. Not pyramids.

2021-04-10T21:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380993448392544257

@SilvaRecord @BenHansen00 Covering all the bases. If we dropped potential explanations because they seem a bit silly, then we'd probably not be entertaining the ET hypothesis for UFOs.

2021-04-10T21:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380993164710793220

@HeadlessBassist @foiaboi @SilvaRecord If the light flashes then the bokeh flashes. It's just a change in intensity.

2021-04-10T21:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380993031352901632

@davpea2 @PostDisclosure @LueElizondo @AndrewP71392714 @andrewlang1985 Because I point out interesting and relevant facts that people can then go check for themselves. I also have a history of solving cases, like the Chilean case (with others)

2021-04-10T20:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380987387577176067

@conorowens94 @AFSUnidentified My theory is about the flashing object in this video. Not about eyewitness accounts of something else.

2021-04-10T20:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380987185516580864

@davpea2 Just videogames and Google.

2021-04-10T20:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380984375685181440

@BenHansen00 I've ordered a pack of them.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyo9ymFU8AEbTqy.jpg

Your order's in!

When your order is done processing, we'll email info to com@mickwest.com.

You should get it by Apr 19.

Order total: $26.93

Shipping to:

[redacted], United States

Order number: [redacted]



Night Vision Objective Lens Cap Daylight Cap Dust Cover ...
You should get it by Apr 19.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyo9ymFU8AEbTqy.jpg
2021-04-10T20:29:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380981362832723969
@BenHansen00 Lets! Do you have a PVS-14 or similar?

2021-04-10T20:01:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380974243463094272
@Dogbert34664346 @zcichy @flugempire @AFSUnidentified Because they are not zoomed in with the iPhone/recording device. The earlier lights (maybe stars, maybe planes) look very similar to the flasher at the same zoom level.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyo0lHxU8AAM3x6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyo0lHxU8AAM3x6.jpg

2021-04-10T19:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380971945789812737

@zcichy @flugempire @AFSUnidentified How would describe the visual cues that make you think that it is low?

Say if there was something at 33,000, but in the same place in the sky, how would it differ?

2021-04-10T19:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380969690688720901

@BenHansen00 If some were to take a PVS-14 daylight filter and alter the hole to be larger and triangular and then took video of some point lights, just out of focus, then would you not get triangular bokeh? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyowmJJUUAA0id_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyowmJJUUAA0id_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyouaALVEAEO2nr.jpg

2021-04-10T18:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380952181646356482

@Vaibhav832 @flugempire @AFSUnidentified @zcichy I'm sorry, how can you tell how far away it is? It seems to move across the sky in much the same way a plane does.

2021-04-10T18:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380948614264942595

@flugempire @AFSUnidentified @zcichy 700 feet was mentioned for one of the supposed drone sightings in the Russell's deck log.

I certainly don't think it was 700 feet, more like 33,000 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyodbZSVgAQgrDd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyodbZSVgAQgrDd.jpg

2021-04-10T17:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380939616132296707

@Spectre67904513 @Disclose_UFOUAP @SBrownelTF @dave_beaty I don't think the person who took the footage at the time realized they were planes. So they were initially UAPs, and hence subjects for the task force.

An important issue in the UAP area is why people make these mistakes, and how to minimize future mistakes.

2021-04-10T17:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380938647856238593

@AFSUnidentified This slide? How does it contradict what I said? The moving object wasn't hovering, it flew past, so that's not what they are referring to here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoUGA6VEAMDl6a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoUGA6VEAMDl6a.jpg

2021-04-10T17:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380933644072579072

@bobofango86 @ufos_n_lukes Is this not bokeh? At least in common parlance?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoPxGtU8AEtHPg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoPxGtU8AEtHPg.jpg

2021-04-10T17:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380933409606787073

@IvanHeadless @Manning123Sm I don't think they are any different, just not zoomed in, and more glare. Compare with the flasher when it first shows up. Very similar shape, and some hints of triangularity.

I also now think those might be oncoming planes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoPh4BUYAEU4eu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoPh4BUYAEU4eu.jpg

2021-04-10T17:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380932855480512517

@Bhima_Jenkins @pjonclayton @PostDisclosure Not the eyepiece (that's at the back), the change would be the front lense cover.

2021-04-10T17:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380932345864200192

@JQP1781 No. But the problem here is that this was an unusual event. If it was something that happens a lot then it would not be interesting.

Filming planes at high altitudes is quite difficult at night. Try to find some footage that's slightly out of focus. Uncommon.

2021-04-10T17:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380931528264282115

@AFSUnidentified THey verified nothing other than A) the footage being taken by navy personnel, which I'd always assumed to be true and B) the object being initially unidentified - which I also assumed.

2021-04-10T17:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380931175661805568

@MrC1549 @AFSUnidentified Unambiguous data, preferably from two independent source, that shows extreme acceleration of a physical object.

2021-04-10T17:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380930696013733892

@ashworth_mick @ufos_n_lukes Basically it's the shape and quality of the out-of-focus regions of an image. With a small light source the bokeh is a large light shape. The shape is affected by

the camera aperture and any partial lens covering.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoM_50VIAIp_VU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyoM_50VIAIp_VU.jpg

2021-04-10T15:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380911424986247170

@IBKING46 What about project Blue Book? I'm assuming you mean criticism of BB? Whose criticism?

Do you think the new UAP report will be different to BB? Seems like the objectives are the same

---

2021-04-10T15:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380906779014402049

@IvanHeadless @Manning123Sm Okay, but what if you then add in the bokeh theory? I made this five-minute video explaining it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g256IPFoqMg

2021-04-10T15:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380906345176555524

@ViktoriaLukas69 Sure, they were not identified at the time, if that's what you mean by "real"?

2021-04-10T15:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380900455396438017

@RichardGHopf Can you demonstrate this?

2021-04-10T15:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380899985089163266

@IvanHeadless @Manning123Sm If you ignore the shape, is the motion and flashing lights consistent with a plane?

2021-04-10T15:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380899314000523267

@m_guillaum @Manning123Sm Not 100% obvious with the naked eye, as it would be too far away. But it is unlikely that â□□triangleâ□□ would be the initial observation. I think thatâ□□s just the NVG

2021-04-10T15:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380898727741718530

@DubdDubu @Manning123Sm No, not if you are convinced they are within 1000 feet of the ship. The planes would have been many miles away.

2021-04-10T11:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380840474659856385

@GavPat89 @Manning123Sm Look at the altitudes in the log book. If they are convinced the objects are low down, then how are they going to determine they are not?

2021-04-10T07:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380779019344338947

@UfoTimeline @Manning123Sm I don't know if it was JUST planes. BUt when people look for drones, the can start to see them in other things.

2021-04-10T06:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380775701943492616

@Vaibhav832 @Manning123Sm See my theory on that here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g256IPFoqMg

2021-04-10T06:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380768815844184065

@Manning123Sm Link to colorado incident
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/drone-photo-over-colorado-actually-a-boeing-737.11055/

2021-04-10T06:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380767634908618754

@Deardenpad @Manning123Sm I'm totally serious.

2021-04-10T06:19:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380767481590022146

@Manning123Sm 5/5 And it's happened before, Jan 2, 2020 in colorado. Reported by local law enforcement, including the sherrif, who misidentified a 737 at 23,000 feet as a drone at 500 feet.

Maybe heightened alertness and weather conditions made people find drones when they looked for them.

2021-04-10T06:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380766166344343555

@Manning123Sm 4/ then the "pyramid" that flies past with its blinking navigation lights. That's also exactly what you'd expect from a plane flying past within a mile or so. nearly overhead. People mistake that type of thing for drones.

2021-04-10T06:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380765552579207171

@Manning123Sm 3/ And the initial shot from the pyramid video, it shows at least three lights, with the higher ones brighter. Exactly what you would expect looking towards the oncoming

traffic. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl2zmVUcAMOoAZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl2zmVUcAMOoAZ.jpg
2021-04-10T06:09:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380764966794403841
@Manning123Sm 2/ So I was surprised when entering the Russell's lat/lon of 32Â° 33.3'N, 119Â° 35.2' W, that it was EXACTLY in line with the incoming pacific traffic. Like about a mile off most of the planes. So they were going straight for it. So would look like they were hovering.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl2TqXUcAoWpmT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl2TqXUcAoWpmT.jpg

2021-04-10T06:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380764111714193411

@LaxSunnyG I got $500 last year for recording my opinions about some UFO cases for a TV show. That's it.

2021-04-10T06:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380763593273004032

1/ I'm starting to think the recent US Navy drone flap might actually have more misidentified aircraft than I suspected.

@Manning123Sm asked me to check the air traffic around the USS Russell sightings, Jul 15 2019, @21:40 local time PDT. I didn't expect much. Used this log page

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl1Dn-VgAE2z0M.jpg

---

the context of the moment.

At approximately the same time, the USS *Russell* records a frenzy of activity:



2101 SET AND DRIFT IS 147°T AT 0.2KTS
2115 MULTIPLE DRONES SPOTTED @ 32° 43.2N
119° 35.2' W
2126 DRONE SPOTTED 050°T PA3 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2128 DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA3 MOVING FWD TO AFT
2131 DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2138 DRONE SPOTTED 280°T 1000FT ELEVATION
DRONE SPOTTED 329°T 900 FT ELEVATION
2140 DRONE SPOTTED 321°T 700 FT ELEVATION
2 NM OUT
2142 DRONE SPOTTED 262°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT
2162 DRONE SPOTTED 270°T PA2 MOVING LEFT TO RIGHT

NAVY VIA FOIA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyl1Dn-VgAE2z0M.jpg
2021-04-10T05:46:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380758959057866754
@Manning123Sm Interesting. On July 15, 2019 there were at least three planes flying DIRECTLY over the Russel, based on that log entry.

This is a known cause of false drone sightings.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eylwh6rVgAALeOS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eylwh6rVgAALeOS.jpg

2021-04-10T05:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380750939976396800

@DoctorKarl http://100photos.time.com/photos/eadweard-muybridge-horse-in-motion

---

2021-04-10T04:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380743562631909379

@Manning123Sm What ties that to the pyramid video?

2021-04-10T04:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380740224288915459

@UsCars4 Itâ□□s just flying nearby, like normal.

2021-04-10T04:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380739970759974913

@2020defense It not being common might contribute to it seeming special.

Do you have some NVG now? Could try the tape thing.

2021-04-10T04:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380739642719227906

@Manning123Sm If I had a date and location. But it wonâ□□t have all military flights.

2021-04-10T01:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380691313247481856

My take on the "Pyramid" UFO. I don't know for sure, but my #1 hypothesis is that it's a plane, with bokeh. Details in the video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g256IPFoqMg

2021-04-09T23:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380670922160435200

The Navy confirming something was included in some examination does not mean it's a "real UAP". There were lots of things examined in Project Blue Book.
https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1380655974399111169

2021-04-09T23:54:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380670550054346753
RT @blackvaultcom: **FLASH**

The Pentagon has confirmed to The Black Vault that the photos and videos leaked this week, all WERE taken byâ¦

2021-04-09T23:31:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380664582289596420
@CuriousAnthrop1 @TroubledMindsR No, I meant this, specifically the green video. I was asked if they were incompetent or running a hoax. I said "I see no official Navy involvement in this case." - which maybe was a bit broad, as I was assuming it was on a Navy ship.

I haven't seen any Navy investigation.

2021-04-09T23:08:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380658790882680832
@murnut @zcichy @SBrownelTF And the statement from Gough barely shifts that as all she said was that it was taken by Navy personnel. She didn't say they investigated it.

2021-04-09T23:05:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380658160340398083
@CuriousAnthrop1 @TroubledMindsR I'd always assumed it was taken by Navy personnel. This does not change anything for me.

2021-04-09T21:25:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380632903575465985
@Spectre67904513 Yeah, that slight curve of the edges would suggest a three-blade aperture - maybe built in, or maybe add-on.

Or maybe it's some slight glare pushing out the middle of the edges.

2021-04-09T21:12:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380629682421309444
@Truth_SeekersTV @KennethDemers2 @physicsufo @DarkstarIE @JeremyCorbell It's not IR, it's an image intensifier. Visible light (or maybe full spectrum) at that distance.

2021-04-09T20:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380625967463337984
@KennethDemers2 @Truth_SeekersTV @physicsufo @DarkstarIE @JeremyCorbell I'm not trying to explain them. I'm trying to explain this one video.

2021-04-09T20:56:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380625616001638400

@SBrowneITF @RobAgs0817 @zcichy And it's not really like zooming in on a screen. It's zooming in on an electronic viewfinder, eye sized, like here. Notice at the start you can see the edges of the viewfinder, just like in the "pyramid" video. Zooming in gives similar poor quality. http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380625058293379074/pu/img/W4SrysXu_6S0qYjw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380625058293379074/pu/img/W4SrysXu_6S0qYjw.jpg
2021-04-09T20:43:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380622528419274752
@RobAgs0817 @SBrowneITF @zcichy That's the assumption, and it probably was. But what's the actual context? Does the Navy actually think these are "pyramid-shaped craft"? I'm pretty sure if they have actually looked into it in detail, then they do not.

2021-04-09T20:42:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380622108883963907
@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell Come back in a year and tell me that :)

2021-04-09T20:41:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380621888267853830
@RobAgs0817 @SBrowneITF @zcichy This isn't some official Navy thing. It's an anonymous video sent to Corbell that he claims to have somehow authenticated. Who knows its origin?

2021-04-09T20:40:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380621599452196864
@TictacUfo The triangle flashes, but there's also a somewhat circular glare only visible when the triangle is overexposed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyj0BopVcAARUf7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyjz0lmU8AIX4aB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjzrvyVcAAUerU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjzuqaVIAEGlLN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyj0BopVcAARUf7.jpg

2021-04-09T20:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380620543167111168

@SBrowneITF @RobAgs0817 @zcichy Essentially this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380620514331267074/pu/img/7erK8z8JGzkwLdMC.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380620514331267074/pu/img/7erK8z8JGzkwLdMC.jpg
2021-04-09T20:33:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380619898930401280
@SBrowneITF @RobAgs0817 @zcichy It's the recording device that's doing the zoom, not the camera. You see the ring at the start, right, then it zooms in? That's the recording device zooming, an iPhone or similar.

2021-04-09T20:29:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380618965332815872
@socalkoi1 @zcichy @SBrowneITF I know. If you want, then just get out your iPhone, point it at a frame of this video, and then hit record and zoom in and out.

2021-04-09T20:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380618145702969346
@CuriousUap Demonstrate what exactly? Show me a picture.

2021-04-09T20:18:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380616122790080512
@nathanatkinson Oh sorry, I mean to post a link there.

https://www.ar15.com/forums/armory/DARC_DIY_NVG_focus_trick/18-399269/

2021-04-09T20:11:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380614270086344704
@nathanatkinson No, that was just an example. It's relatively common. Here's a taped example that would produce square or diamond shaped UFOs.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjtFLUU4AAVLhr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjtFLUU4AAVLhr.jpg

2021-04-09T19:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380610977499021312

@nathanatkinson Here's a guy who drilled a hole in his NVG lens cap

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t7ptrH2rn7A

2021-04-09T19:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380610421225222144

@DarkstarIE @physicsufo @JeremyCorbell If by "people" you mean me, then I don't think I've been proven wrong on FLIR and GIMBAL by anyone.

2021-04-09T19:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380609417142444033

@physicsufo @DarkstarIE @JeremyCorbell No, I think it's in the night vision viewfinder, the recording device is simply recording off that.

2021-04-09T19:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380596387683835906

@TRandallizer @flugempire @JeremyCorbell I don't think it's known what the recording device is, but it's not the NV, it's something recording the viewfinder, and zooming in on the viewfinder.

2021-04-09T18:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380595791396413440

@the_grandad @Oswald1160 @Dogbert34664346 @fox5sandiego @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Why?

2021-04-09T18:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380593632114171905

@SecretAlien4 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Yes, I know. Because they are not adjusting the focus. I'm showing WHY you get triangle shapes.

You want a moving triangle?

2021-04-09T18:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380593299937918980

@CuriousUap That's probably more camera/NVG specific - but it's possible get both a glare and a bokeh. This is from the same video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjZ2oGVgAEW7Ve.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjZ2oGVgAEW7Ve.jpg

2021-04-09T18:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380592030322057217

@Dogbert34664346 @Oswald1160 @the_grandad @fox5sandiego @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380591968917413892/pu/img/-K_uJeejD0Q50kDH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380591968917413892/pu/img/-K_uJeejD0Q50kDH.jpg

2021-04-09T18:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380591914349551616

@TRandallizer @flugempire @JeremyCorbell It's an iPhone video of the electronic viewfinder of night vision goggles or monocular. All that would be needed is a triangle in front, or a three-blade aperture.

Can you say such a thing does not exist?

2021-04-09T18:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380591020392423424

@TRandallizer There are multiple lights. One bokeh per light.

Pinched optics are interesting, I'd keep them on the list.

2021-04-09T18:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380590748895117313

@SecretAlien4 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Why is that? Is there something triangular in the video that is impossible to be bokeh?

2021-04-09T18:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380587901025546242

@Dogbert34664346 @Oswald1160 @the_grandad @fox5sandiego @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It would stay triangular, yes

---

2021-04-09T18:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380587652177481729

@HRFaulkner What lights? Most of the point sources are just noise. At the start the actual lights are just too small to be resolved as triangles. Compare them with the "pyramid" when it first comes into view in the zoomed out portion.

2021-04-09T18:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380585947171942404

@RobAgs0817 @zcichy @SBrownelTF It's not night vision, but it's similar. F/1.4 matches the large fixed aperture of NV.

2021-04-09T18:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380585100358819841

@murnut @zcichy @SBrownelTF Probably just wishful thinking and some glossing over, all compounded by incomplete information from official sources.

2021-04-09T18:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380584552330973186

@murnut @zcichy @SBrownelTF I see no official Navy involvement in this case.

2021-04-09T18:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380584386991558658

This particular effect does require a camera modification, but people have been known to adjust Night Vision goggles in a similar way. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjR7WTVgAAddGb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyjR7WTVgAAddGb.jpg
2021-04-09T18:10:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380584017762811909
@Oswald1160 @Dogbert34664346 @the_grandad @fox5sandiego @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380583993968533505/pu/img/nWklGYCvMr2IbZUa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380583993968533505/pu/img/nWklGYCvMr2IbZUa.jpg
2021-04-09T18:10:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380583890843131909

A demonstration of the effect of focus on bokeh size and orientation.

Notice the holes in the blinds also. Secondary dimmer lights make bokeh of the same shape and size, just dimmer.
#ufotwitter
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380583430962831361/pu/img/B8ZTmbyK-jipUAWV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380583430962831361/pu/img/B8ZTmbyK-jipUAWV.jpg
2021-04-09T18:07:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380583265904381960
@HollyWest @nytimes It's a "flap"

2021-04-09T18:07:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380583100535611395
@Patrick61857606 @zcichy Not "morons" at all, they were simply wrong. Ordinary human failings that people of any intellect can be subject to.

2021-04-09T18:06:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380582815188709379
@zcichy @SBrownelTF - The zoom is done with the iPhone, not the Night Vision monocular.
- Well, I'd have to get one to be sure, but the lense is not dissimilar in size to an SLR 50mm, from which you can get this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380582796389851142/pu/img/_BDWALf0PsrDupRY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380582796389851142/pu/img/_BDWALf0PsrDupRY.jpg
2021-04-09T15:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380549279220080644
@Dogbert34664346 @the_grandad @fox5sandiego @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Bokeh effects are unchanging.

2021-04-09T15:47:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380547896836169732
@ufoholic1 @JeremyCorbell Well, they do exist and sometimes land in the sea, so there's that.

2021-04-09T15:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380547754435342336
@Indagogogo @JeremyCorbell Except the triangle shape does not change. There are also two intensities of flash (like with a plane) that are of different intervals.

2021-04-09T15:45:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380547406819815425
@the_grandad @Dogbert34664346 @fox5sandiego It is a pretty obvious observation, made by many people.

What's weird is @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp trying to explain away the flashing as some coincidental reflection of something.

2021-04-09T15:43:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380546851296796672
@Lonnn40113455 If it was a reflection it would move with the camera relative to the clouds. What you show seems to be the camera moving relative to the pipe.

2021-04-09T15:15:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380539949254987781
@the_grandad @pale__ale @JeremyCorbell Very interesting thanks. Seems like it's mostly an issue with reflectors?

2021-04-09T15:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380538355104944131

@TRandallizer I was messing around with this last night. F1.4, with the LED lights on my shelves.

Bigger than the "pyramid" though, so might need to experiment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyin_yrVgAQlQZK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyin_yrVgAQlQZK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyiniJNUUAApwRO.jpg

2021-04-09T14:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380527019948056577

@TRandallizer It needs to be slightly out of focus.

2021-04-09T14:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380526575855181826

@ashworth_mick @JeremyCorbell Probably a camera artifact, like bokeh. If the two on the left

are just stars, then for sure.

2021-04-09T14:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380526113840001025

@conorowens94 @TimmyE14 @JeremyCorbell Not zoomed in. Low resolution.

2021-04-09T14:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380525728643514371

@Ray_vibezz @JeremyCorbell The 1.5 seconds is a simple fact. Corbell tried to explain it as a reflection.

Now THAT is denial.

2021-04-09T14:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380525271481085962

@mr_metalman @pale__ale @JeremyCorbell No. The plane would have been high up, so not considered relevant.

2021-04-09T14:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380524474831925251

@TheOutThere2016 @JeremyCorbell Probably stars.

2021-04-09T07:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380421268046897152

@TRandallizer @Bhima_Jenkins @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell Here's a square one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyg9uEYUUAEul05.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyg9uEYUUAEul05.jpg

2021-04-09T07:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380419518871203840

@TRandallizer @Bhima_Jenkins @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell It wouldn't. More likely it's a depth of field adapter.

2021-04-09T07:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380417832094105604

@TRandallizer @JeremyCorbell Adjustable apertures on NVG are often rather primitive things, sometime hand-made flip-down covers.

I've not found a triangular one yet. But would not be surprised if the there was a three blade one.

Google: refocusing NVG http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyg6cHVVEAQofZ5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyg6cHVVEAQofZ5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyg5-kCVEAINULl.jpg

2021-04-09T06:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380404286241136641

@Berserk97981716 @JeremyCorbell 1) Those are not stars, that noise. It changes from frame to frame in the video.

2) I'm going with the simplest explanation here. Otherwise, you've got a flying moving pyramid that flashes and two other hovering pyramids, all curiously at the same visual size and orientation.

2021-04-09T05:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380392610787782656

@protagonizer @JackHelliquinn Given the lights on the side, I'd go with drone. But kite is possible.

2021-04-09T01:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380326751709011970

@LuAngeles Nope. Sounds nonsensical to me.

2021-04-09T01:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380325711227985923

@a51s1 @JeremyCorbell How many people saw it in SLT?

2021-04-09T00:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380321201034199040

@conorowens94 The pyramid UFO from Corbell.

2021-04-09T00:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380321130112684033

@a51s1 @JeremyCorbell Where did you see it? What happened?

2021-04-09T00:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380320505685667842

What is being said here? Bit of audio from a UFO video, maybe Navy related.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380320391458029568/pu/img/r-8pTPffIRv-C4az.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380320391458029568/pu/img/r-8pTPffIRv-C4az.jpg

2021-04-09T00:18:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380314162765520896

@Lynda_Katerra @PhantomKush @JeremyCorbell Do you know what type of camera that typically is? i.e. the model.

2021-04-09T00:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380313781285228547

@James57136915 @JeremyCorbell No, it keeps flashing the whole time, so would have to precisely change it's orientation. Also note the pipe near the start, illuminated by a light, different to the object. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfcBJmVIAMo0lB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyfb8qjVgAMbbfx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfcBJmVIAMo0lB.jpg

2021-04-09T00:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380311067096612865

@unklegravity So your answer to my analysis is that it's obvious but there's a secret answer to it somewhere?

What has these answers? Who are these investigators.

2021-04-09T00:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380310594469777408

@KeithGolembiew1 @SBrowneITF @JeremyCorbell No.

2021-04-09T00:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380309659005816835

@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 Show me a UFO doing that. Because this isn't a video of that.

2021-04-08T23:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380303507744378884

@TimmyE14 @JeremyCorbell Yes, because the triangle shape seems to be an optical effect around any light source. The two on the left are probably stars.

2021-04-08T23:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380302588462047233

@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 I don't know.

But again, how can you tell it's not a plane? Just because Corbell tells you someone told him that it's not?

2021-04-08T23:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380297354247479296

@pale__ale @JeremyCorbell Huh? The shape is a triangle. There's no real 3D information there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfNCU7U8AA5a4v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfNCU7U8AA5a4v.jpg

2021-04-08T23:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380295480047853571

@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 How can you tell?

2021-04-08T23:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380294776944128001

@aangggggggggg @Flyingh43892139 @MusicAndTruth @JeremyCorbell What time and coordinates?

2021-04-08T23:00:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380294485947518979

@k3Iston @JeremyCorbell It's silly because there were two other shapes in the scene, and they don't flash (probably because they are stars)

2021-04-08T22:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380292736444624897
@k3Iston @JeremyCorbell Yeah, that's just silly.

2021-04-08T22:52:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380292521566240768
@Altouiz @JeremyCorbell Night vision attempts to adjust for low light, so bright flashing lights can overwhelm the exposure, causing glare.

But reflections of a nearby helicopter? That's just silly.

2021-04-08T22:45:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380290784285499393
@Altouiz @JeremyCorbell Because nav lights won't light up something that's hundreds of feet away There's no helicopter visible anywhere.

2021-04-08T22:44:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380290464117518337
@Flyingh43892139 @MusicAndTruth @JeremyCorbell You have to single step or scrub through near the flashes, as you can't watch them both at once.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380290334496751617/pu/img/MKL8X04VRUaAJwRV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1380290334496751617/pu/img/MKL8X04VRUaAJwRV.jpg
2021-04-08T22:42:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380290098923630595
@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 Watch it. Someone is holding a camera up to the eyepiece of a night vision camera of some sort. It shakes all around, then they used the digital zoom on the iPhone (or whatever) to zoom in. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfGRWjVIAMe9nY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfGRWjVIAMe9nY.jpg
2021-04-08T22:40:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380289453307006979
@Altouiz @JeremyCorbell Hilarious.

2021-04-08T22:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380289360428367873
@PausedReset @JeremyCorbell There are several other things that "UFOs" resolve into when you get enough information.

2021-04-08T22:31:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380287296449044480
@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 No, I think Corbell is just over-hyping something that isn't anything significant. Some iPhone footage some guy took through a night vision camera.

2021-04-08T22:30:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380287001006465030
Timing the flashing nav lights on a UFO from @JeremyCorbell. I compared the UFO (bottom) to a plane (top). RED marks are the brightest flashes on both (anti-collision strobe)

It's a match. 1.5 seconds per flash. UFO has same lights as a plane.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfDDAhVEAAXZ7r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyfDDAhVEAAXZ7r.jpg

2021-04-08T22:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380285991294955521

@SBrowneITF @KeithGolembiew1 I sure did!

2021-04-08T22:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380285435457335297

@KeithGolembiew1 @SBrowneITF Well, there are three SHAPES. Maybe two of them are stars, and the one with the standard nav-lights is a plane?

2021-04-08T21:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380278409398349824

@MarkMunroe19 Lots of unidentifed footage, obviously.

Nothing that MUST be advanced technology.

2021-04-08T21:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380278231081709569

@BenC04549226 @JeremyCorbell If the sky can be registered, then we can see if the two triangles on the left (later) are stars.

2021-04-08T21:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380274053110358018

@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell Why are you following me then?

2021-04-08T21:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380269703252254722

@Ps5andxbox1 @dave_beaty @PostDisclosure Nothing at all, because a guy using his iPhone and some night-vision goggles is not the military's apex ability.

2021-04-08T21:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380269086408470530

@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell And I point things out that people can check for themselves.

Consider me a fool, I don't mind.

2021-04-08T21:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380268319563862017

@BfOlivier @blackvaultcom Very like a plane.

2021-04-08T21:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380266766555697154

@ultra_strange @JeremyCorbell Similar, it's not exactly bokeh, as it's seemingly in focus. It's (in this theory) glare - which reflects the shape of the aperture.

Unless it's not actually in focus.

2021-04-08T21:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380266325210095616

@murnut @zcichy They, who? Who exactly is speaking for CCSG-9 here?

2021-04-08T21:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380265927967567872

@Ps5andxbox1 @JeremyCorbell Maybe the UAP task force isn't all that.

2021-04-08T21:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380265652074647554

@disario @PostDisclosure @TheUfoJoe @GadiNBC Maybe a star, and the same artifact. Hard to say really.

2021-04-08T20:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380259928640655362

@JeremyCorbell Failed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyerC7jVcAEYRLt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyerC7jVcAEYRLt.jpg

2021-04-08T20:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380259754702868481

@Max42855895 @JeremyCorbell Who are they? Where are they?

2021-04-08T20:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380259659311800323

@Goodo45937274 @JeremyCorbell Oh right, in that image.

The thing in the video is not hovering. That's not it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyeq1-VVcAADfTq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyeq1-VVcAADfTq.jpg

2021-04-08T20:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380259219149004802

@Goodo45937274 @JeremyCorbell No mention of altitude.

2021-04-08T20:38:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380258812939038722

@LewisHall11 @JeremyCorbell An unknown phenomenon is always a possibility - but by its nature, it's hard to say that's what a particular case actually is. How do you 100% eliminate EVERY other possibility?

There are thousands of unidentified sightings.

2021-04-08T20:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380258387305267200

@murnut @zcichy This footage seems to be some guy filming through his night-vision scope with his iPhone. Harly the product of a mighty military machine.

2021-04-08T20:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380257757631184897

@LewisHall11 @JeremyCorbell Not at all, lots of people agree with me.

2021-04-08T20:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380257467943186432

@zcichy @murnut The navy hasn't put out any "accounts". How could I be calling them hoaxes?

My default position is that people are saying what they think is correct.

2021-04-08T20:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380256812142714882

@Goodo45937274 @JeremyCorbell Says who?

2021-04-08T20:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380255997202067457

@JeremyCorbell These lights earlier in the video are probably stars. Can they be identified?

Uploaded to http://Astrometry.net. Processing.....

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyenHmrUcAE88IV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyenCCaVEAAU3bq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyenHmrUcAE88IV.jpg

2021-04-08T20:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380255209557925888

@Goodo45937274 @JeremyCorbell How are you getting 400 ft from that?

2021-04-08T20:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380255130545610753

@Spectre67904513 @JeremyCorbell Like the two stars on the left?

2021-04-08T20:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380253136141438985

@murnut @zcichy I'm not calling everything a ballon.

Just the things that look like and behave like balloons.

This one looks like a plane or a helicopter. An ordinary pattern of flashing nav lights. The shape of glare matches the shape of glare around the stars.

2021-04-08T20:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380252751519567872

@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell We are discussing this one video here.

2021-04-08T20:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380252479103795202

@paulsanderson @JeremyCorbell I don't think so. I think they are stars. The aircraft seem to fly towards them.

The blinking nav lights are really the biggest clue here. It's a human craft.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyekEeeVEAAIRAq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyekEeeVEAAIRAq.jpg

2021-04-08T20:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380249900261105665

@Phenomenology19 @JeremyCorbell There are two other "triangles" on the left. It files towards them. They are probably stars.

2021-04-08T19:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380244387175669762

@buffcommacu @JeremyCorbell Yeah, unnamed sources and he was "able to obtain" some footage of something.

That's it.

2021-04-08T19:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380243355968270337

@buffcommacu @JeremyCorbell Who is involved? So far we've just got Jeremy.

2021-04-08T19:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380241731854082053

@JeremyCorbell Compare this frame with the image with planes. A point light source creates a green circle with a white center. Is the entire light source actually triangle shaped, or is that an

optical effect. This is light intensification, not IR.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyeaPsYVoAYUUyN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyeaPsYVoAYUUyN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyeaI2EU8AA_Abb.jpg
2021-04-08T19:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380239000368152578
My ten-minute take on @jeremyCorbell's new UFO footage is that it's a human aircraft. I think it's not triangle shaped, that's the shape of the glare from the aperture of the camera. See other triangles.

The "transmedium" craft is probably a ballon ending up in the ocean.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyeXqTzVgAI8wT6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyeXqTzVgAI8wT6.jpg
2021-04-08T19:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380236538018099200
@adjunctterror @5X5_NEWS @Numenor33 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp @robbiewilliams @Sethrogen @Chieftog @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @MysteryWire They were wrong.

I know how that sounds, but if you are talking about Dave Falch, John Ehrhart, Chad Underwood, and David Fravor's analysis, then they absolutely did not refute my FLIR1 "no sudden moves" or Gimbal analysis - in fact, they didn't even seem to be familiar with it

---

2021-04-08T19:02:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380234710987591681
@howardmbarker @blackvaultcom @ufouapam @swimslider I mentioned it tapping a button because that's how you take photos with an iPhone. Not a lot of aiming required.

This all was discussed and analyzed last year in this thread:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/

2021-04-08T19:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380234198338863105
@ufouapam @marcpalmerdev @swimslider @blackvaultcom Nothing has. It could be one guy in a basement office, or an entire section of the Pentagon with 500 multidisciplinary experts.

I suspect much closer to the former. It's all kind of sketch. What are they doing leaking stuff to @g_knapp via Bigelow?

2021-04-08T18:55:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1380232790604574724

@ufouapam @marcpalmerdev @swimslider @blackvaultcom Yes I do. Who is it?

2021-04-08T18:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380232339666522113

@marcpalmerdev @swimslider @ufouapam @blackvaultcom I would like to know who is on the task force, and how they determined whatever they determined.

What if it was just Bigelow and Puthoff?

2021-04-08T18:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380231512205844481

@howardmbarker @blackvaultcom @ufouapam @swimslider Not true. I did some intensive investigation into this issue. It's not hard to tap a button a few times in a few seconds when something is coming towards you at high speed - if it's shiny and sunlit then it will be visible for quite a distance.

2021-04-08T17:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380212158185623555

@swimslider @blackvaultcom @ufouapam Actually, they (pico radio people) use regular party balloons, they just fill them with hydrogen.

2021-04-08T17:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380211800281546754

@marcpalmerdev @swimslider @ufouapam @blackvaultcom Okay, so what mistake exactly do you think the Navy made in the case of the Acorn photo if it was actually a ballon?

And how do you know they made this mistake?

The military has said absolutely zero about this photo.

2021-04-08T17:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380209599484096513

@swimslider @marcpalmerdev @ufouapam @blackvaultcom That only works if the premise of the pilot making a mistake necessitates something so absurd as to be impossible.

It doesn't. Pilots make mistakes. They crash into hills. They bomb their own troops. There's several example.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_friendly_fire_incidents#War_in_Afghanistan_(2001%E2%80%932016)

2021-04-08T17:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380207983385137154

@marcpalmerdev @ufouapam @blackvaultcom @swimslider Has a highly trained military pilot ever made a serious mistake before?

2021-04-08T17:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380207516701782018

@AFSUnidentified @blackvaultcom @ufouapam @swimslider Do you have access to information that I don't?

2021-04-08T17:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380207319338733569

@steven_nikolic @PostDisclosure What if I told you the smaller propeller planes poop EVEN SMALLER propeller planes! :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyd7Kg-VIAUyPtv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eyd7Kg-VIAUyPtv.jpg

2021-04-08T17:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380206578133962753

@murnut Actually, quite a few people essentially debunked it by pointing out inconsistencies in the story (like snow on the ground when there was no snow last month)

It's just better this way.

2021-04-08T17:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380204840245075969

And it probably still will live on forever. Exposing a fake does not entirely stop its spread.

2021-04-08T17:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380203917292658691

A fascinating look at how a group of people can make a very believable fake UFO event. In this case it was students studying fake news, so they fessed up. But if they also resolve to keep it secret, then it would live on forever as a "genuine" UFO.

https://ici.radio-canada.ca/nouvelle/1781967/decrypteurs-ovni-ufo-montreal-aeroport-airport-pet-faux

---

2021-04-08T16:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380199004215025669

@AFSUnidentified I apologize for not using the word "probably" and "I think" in all my tweets. Perhaps they sometimes come across as a bit definitive when I try to always leave room for

alternative explanations.

But this IS just Twitter.

And I think that's still probably a balloon

2021-04-08T16:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380198180927664130

RT @Spectre67904513: Batman balloon to Bokeh. Our eyes can deceive us. #ufotwitter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydNLCPXIBABGl9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydNLCPXIBABGl9.jpg

2021-04-08T16:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380198068516085762

@thepplsllc @esaagar @SimonWhistler @JAMEL_AKA_JAMAL @krystalball @johnballen416 @elonmusk @JamieVernon @joerogan No, it's just OOF.

2021-04-08T16:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380197471909867527

@Bhima_Jenkins @PostDisclosure @BrandonFugal Or at least some binoculars.

Those are planes.

2021-04-08T16:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380197241558761473

@malibubarbs @ScienceChannel @HISTORY @AncientAliens @Tsoukalos That is the contrail of a plane. It's not falling down, it's flying horizontally. It's just flying directly away from you, so as it approaches the horizon it looks like it's going down. Imagine a grid at 36,000 feet, some lines look vertical in the distance http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydyD55VoAIQkmW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydyD55VoAIQkmW.jpg

2021-04-08T15:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380186084668186627

@alo_pumpa @michaelshermer This is a point light source many miles away, out of focus, and rippling with atmospheric distortion. Likely Venus.

2021-04-08T15:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380185341886226438

Small Drone Dropping Smaller Drones!

https://twitter.com/D_Thorne/status/1379939577184538624

2021-04-08T15:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380184849357541377

@jshkrueger And if you want venus specifically, here you go:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3SwojLpO5io&t=4s Focus would be the same as in my shots (shortest focus at full zoom gives maximum bokeh)

2021-04-08T15:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380184234820657154

@TheUfoJoe I included Bigelow in that group.

Where did this "green light" come from?

Who is the UAP task force?

Why do I sound like Q?

2021-04-08T15:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380181389673304069

@jshkrueger Flipped it around here, so "Venus" isn't vastly brighter than the "sky" (my hedge), and the "treetops" stay backlit and in-focus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydjkjIUYAE74Ky.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydjdwQU8Ac9Hme.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydjKlRVIAIwVNs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydjkjIUYAE74Ky.jpg

2021-04-08T15:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380177043598442501

@jshkrueger It's daytime here. I also don't have a good view of Venus now I've moved to the city. A small flashlight in daylight should work, relatively speaking. Here's a demonstration of giant bokeh and a in-focus plant. About 1750mm equiv.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydfZH6UcAQCuhW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EydfZH6UcAQCuhW.jpg

2021-04-08T14:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380168158338633729

@jshkrueger Lemme do an experiment.

2021-04-08T14:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380166230573649921

@Phenomenology19 @physicsufo @AFSUnidentified @SilvaRecord @JeremyCorbell @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan If the plane flies in a circuit it can approach the object(s) from the same angle.

2021-04-08T14:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380165549259255809

@flingleflump @g_knapp Well your lens has an adjustable aperture, so thereâ□□s that.

2021-04-08T14:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380165050296541201

@twinnyter81 @Altouiz @g_knapp Iâ□□m quoting the mystery wire article.

2021-04-08T14:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380164785619103745

@knightsabers01 Itâ□□s possible.

2021-04-08T14:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380164000059510787

@SpizeyMeatball @FrontAstronaut Yes, plus atmospheric distortion. Probably Venus.

2021-04-08T14:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380163646517473280

@AdamFendrich @g_knapp No.

2021-04-08T08:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380081244864532486

@AFSUnidentified @TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets I think I'm pretty clear as to the limits of what can

be determined with confidence from available data. Here for example I'd stop at one photo being OOF, and the others being TFA. All still unidentified.

2021-04-08T06:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380041064006832131

@Josef_baum @supersmartrobot Ask who? George Knapp? How would he know?

2021-04-08T06:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380040916992360450

@AFSUnidentified @TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets I analyze the available data.

Then people just complain that I'm not asking for more data.

Complain to Knapp and Bigelow. They sat on these photos for two years.

2021-04-08T06:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380039721229770758

@Josef_baum @supersmartrobot Who are "they?"

Who released these photos?

How do they know they are not balloons?

2021-04-08T05:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380037611792691201

@ExploringLife42 @Kyle841618 It would if either of these things were true:

A) It was partially inflated with hydrogen.

B) It wasn't "that high"

2021-04-08T05:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380037224293490690

@sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom That's Acorn-B

2021-04-08T05:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380032358485659648

@Kyle841618 We go on.

2021-04-08T05:38:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380032275165798400

@murnut This is about @FrontAstronaut's videos, not the MW stuff.

2021-04-08T05:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380031944801480704

@ExploringLife42 @Kyle841618 Hydrogen. Pico radio ballons. over 30,000 feet.

2021-04-08T05:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380025980782190592

@Kyle841618 There's no real limit to structure in balloons. Here's a life-sized Batman (just as an extreme example) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EybWLqeVgAI7cLg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EybWLqeVgAI7cLg.jpg

2021-04-08T05:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380025092952838144

@PostJawn Again, looks like something on the negative Maybe a deliberate hoax.

2021-04-08T05:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380024939034505216

@supersmartrobot They are not, as far as I can tell. Have you heard from them?

2021-04-08T04:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380022527154515968

@PostJawn You need to ask more specific questions about individual images.

2021-04-08T04:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380022108483297280

These UAP photos have limited EXIF data, but apparently were taken about 16 minutes between each one (so over 32 minutes). Acorn has sub-second time.

14:44:11 Sphere (Bokeh)

15:02:30.143 Acorn-A (Acorn)

15:02:30.517 Acorn-B (Batman)

15:16:28 Metallic Blimp https://twitter.com/MysteryWire/status/1379945161128480768

2021-04-08T04:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380014130820575233

@PostJawn @FrontAstronaut Just looks like some damage in developing. Like a drop of something on the negative.

2021-04-08T04:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380013852327178240

@richard68666791 @D_Hicks @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure @g_knapp It's a bit brighter because the sun goes through less atmosphere, not because the sun is closer. 10 miles out of 93,000,000 miles makes no difference.

2021-04-08T04:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380012964212699140

@PostJawn @FrontAstronaut The pipes? Reminds me of an orgonite cloudbuster.

2021-04-08T04:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380012579217580034

@FrontAstronaut @Gargarinho Notice how it changes shape when the twigs come into focus. That's because the shape is entirely from it being out of focus. You need to use manual focus, or focus lock on the moon (or something a few miles away)

2021-04-08T04:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380011667728125956

@FrontAstronaut You are filming things out of focus. I'm sure people have told you this before. Why don't you correct the focus?

2021-04-08T04:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380011515391082497

@benjimaan22 Yup, that type of thing. They all need to watch this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dICIKYn5w4w

---

2021-04-08T04:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380011256522809348

@etymeloD @FrontAstronaut @SilvaRecord @blackvaultcom @PostDisclosure Venus out of focus? Yes, it's actually a really common video for Flat Earther's to post to show the "true" shape of stars and planets. Here are a few: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D_om5xtAThU

2021-04-08T04:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380010549715423233

@Bobby95975933 @Disclosure89 @Chieftog @Numenor33 Not sure how you are discerning shape from that mess.

2021-04-08T04:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380010096088870913

That was supposed to be in reference to this: https://twitter.com/foiaboi/status/1380000769668218880

2021-04-08T04:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1380008882634813442

This is an out-of-focus distant light and atmospheric distortion. It's probably Venus. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N0GI20nAb40 https://t.co/dPp0HcbZ3x

---

2021-04-08T03:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379994266890428417

@Chieftog @Numenor33 Those are cropped, with Exif removed.

2021-04-08T03:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379993582237458433

@futruaultra @g_knapp The aperture is fixed. Try it.

2021-04-08T02:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379992385657077764

@richard68666791 @D_Hicks @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure @g_knapp No, itâ□□s not. And itâ□□s irrelevant as the aperture is fixed n the iPhone 8

2021-04-08T02:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379991980650889218

@starapparatus I agree. Or it could be the other object. Itâ□□s just something that us shiny.

2021-04-08T00:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379953508401565698

@Chieftog @Numenor33 So, different things then? Is there any sensor data associated with these three photos?

Are there photos of other events?

Can you release the original uncropped images?

2021-04-08T00:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379953194550222853

@murnut @MysteryWire It would be the same rationale as posting the photos in the first place.

Why were the photo posted? Surely not simply because it's a cool story - isn't it to get data out to the public?

Why NOT post them?

2021-04-08T00:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379952239138070530

@remixeverything @MysteryWire I don't think so.

2021-04-08T00:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379952024054165504

@Chieftog @Numenor33 How do you know the object(s) in these three photos loitered in the same spot for hours?

2021-04-08T00:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379951751843864577

@Manning123Sm Land-based. Also avoidable by having the drone be semi-autonomous.

2021-04-08T00:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379950495616303104

@MysteryWire Can you post the originals?

The Blimp and Sphere images are both cropped and are missing the original EXIF. Original files might be useful.

2021-04-07T23:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379942653010210818

@Quest_I_Am @michaelshermer In this case, it might be because the target is a relatively small mylar balloon.

2021-04-07T23:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379938082691055617

@jn201250 @michaelshermer I don't think, in this case, the pilot was fooled. All that's happening in this photo is that it's out of focus (common with autofocus). The pilot probably knew this, but (quite rightly) gave investigators all the photos.

Somehow the fact of the focus was lost on later people.

2021-04-07T22:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379931522371710977

@pauljimerson Contrails are a type of cloud. So they do what clouds do. This varies quite a bit depending on the upper-air weather. They are not really dissipating anything other than water.

2021-04-07T22:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379931166464045059

@realsiferd @PostDisclosure @g_knapp Different event.

2021-04-07T22:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379929479158460416

@JazzShaw Low-resolution images of planes often look like they don't have wings. Here's the same plane taken with two different cameras.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ-eUCU4AEfiaP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ-eUCU4AEfiaP.jpg

2021-04-07T22:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379928827153899523

@dsfii @g_knapp Yes, and that give an indication of its movement past the plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ95DUU8AEMCts.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ95DUU8AEMCts.jpg

2021-04-07T22:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379928094346076160

@JazzShaw More like planes.

2021-04-07T22:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379919443942203395

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut Maybe the boat in the river is a better analogy. The plane is like a power boat, the balloon is like a soccer ball floating in the river. "airspeed" is motion relative to the river surface. "Groundspeed" is relative to the bank.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ1AkzU8AAVP-y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ1AkzU8AAVP-y.jpg
2021-04-07T22:07:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379918833079623681
@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut Yeah, it's a little confusing if you are not familiar with the basic idea of vectors and relative motion.

2021-04-07T22:06:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379918532486434817
@Kyle841618 @Altouiz @zcichy @murnut A simple illustration of this. (view is from above)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ0bkLVoAEKETX.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZ0bkLVoAEKETX.png

2021-04-07T22:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379918169016430593

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut Kind of, you can see the difference between upwind and downwind sides. It's a bit confusing because the issue is RELATIVE velocity. (Velocity is speed and direction). Change one of those clouds to a balloon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EyZz_wfVcAcxsvJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EyZz_wfVcAcxsvJ.jpg

2021-04-07T22:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379917256147181568

@Kyle841618 @Altouiz @zcichy @murnut No, not at all. The air is moving (wind). The ballon has zero airspeed, so it just moves with the wind. The plane has 300mph airspeed, so it's moving at 300mph relative to the the balloon.

Then there's groundspeed. Which is the plane's airspeed, plus the windspeed (as a vector)

2021-04-07T21:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379916625932001282

@BrodiePhoto Sure, but I think my use of "can't" was correct here, no? The mirror is inches from the iPhone 8 camera, it's in focus, so something 100s of feet away cannot be.

2021-04-07T21:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379916151086477315

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut I think there were reports of static radar returns around the time of GOFAST and GIMBAL (although not for those videos, just around the time). But it's all blended together in George's article.

2021-04-07T21:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379915600965685248

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure @g_knapp Ask George.

If there was a public statement you would have heard about it. That's why they don't make public statements.

2021-04-07T21:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379914378196094978

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut In the sky, the zero airspeed objects (clouds, balloons) move with the wind. So for your example to be the same the road would also have to run across the road with the cat.

2021-04-07T21:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379913951031402499

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut I don't think that statement is about these three photos.

2021-04-07T21:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379913119833595905

@BrodiePhoto The depth of field issue is fairly simple here. If you are within a few inches of the object in focus then everything beyond that will be out of focus. The closer tot eh object, the worse it gets and the bigger the bokeh http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZvkc2UUAEqZao.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZvkc2VgAAD5de.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZvkc2UUAEqZao.jpg

2021-04-07T21:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379911549863698434

@MattKitoski @D_Hicks @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure @g_knapp I think it's unlikely to be a very large balloon, as that would be much easier to identify - also a major navigation hazard that would be reported to public ATC.

More likely a smaller balloon that just whizzed by.

2021-04-07T21:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379910861976870912

@LewisHall11 @g_knapp Planes sometimes crash due to pilot error.

Things happen.

2021-04-07T21:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379910399429074955

@Oswald1160 @discernment_dr @g_knapp I think there's also a bit of JPG compressing making it seem more irregular. Would need to see the original in-camera jpg to be sure though.

2021-04-07T21:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379909681758437376

@Owl489353 @SilvaRecord @g_knapp Probably, yes. You usually need an object for there to be a light source.

2021-04-07T21:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379908679634456581

@l3athal101 @g_knapp Bokeh is an optical effect that's on a physical object - either a small bright light, or a sunlight reflection on something shiny - plus the object being out of focus. It still needs an object. There's still something there.

2021-04-07T21:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379908187676024833

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut No, because THEY are moving, at 200-300 mph. And that motion outweighs everything else, making everything else look stationary.

2021-04-07T21:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379907905491664900

@Bobby95975933 @g_knapp Well, the "Acorn" one was discussed extensively here last year. Seems very balloon-like. As does the other, although its shape is unclear.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/

2021-04-07T21:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379907628994678784

@LynnMRosser @g_knapp Sure, but if it WAS a sphere, then the result of being out of focus would probably have been a larger softer circle. Consider the sphere here, you can't see it when it's out of focus because of the bokeh, but see how EVERYTHING is nearly gone.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379907471087558656/pu/img/ztA31qZIxEieioLI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379907471087558656/pu/img/ztA31qZIxEieioLI.jpg

2021-04-07T21:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379907061958406146

@_Kodos_ @Fiddaman @g_knapp Too many unknowns. But I wrote a zip-by simulator where you can play with various parameters to see the effects. https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/

2021-04-07T21:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379906773465751552

@mjoseph0077 @PredatoryPanic @g_knapp Correct, for this one photo.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZp3nGVEAENGWj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZp3nGVEAENGWj.jpg

2021-04-07T21:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379906362197504000

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut (a neutrally buoyant balloon is one that is not rising or falling - one that has, essentially, reached cruising altitude)

2021-04-07T21:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379906153665097729

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut No, clouds and neutrally bouyant balloons move almost exactly the same, if they are in the same bit of air. If they are not, then that just shows you the difference in wind speed and direction between the two locations.

2021-04-07T21:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379905713548390402

@TheUfoJoe @D_Hicks @PostDisclosure @g_knapp It's essentially the same as this. The sky is ignored, so you've got a point of light and then the 25% of the frame. It's focussing on the top edge of the mirror, via some algorithm.

I also suspect this might be cropped. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZofHDU4AAEYld.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZofHDU4AAEYld.jpg
2021-04-07T21:12:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379904958284197890
@jorgensenmc1 @SecretAlien4 @g_knapp It varies, but you can go 30,000+ feet for long durations using hydrogen. See the discussions regarding pico radio balloons here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZoJxtUcAMxLEZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZoJxtUcAMxLEZ.jpg
2021-04-07T21:09:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379904301452038144
@_Kodos_ @Fiddaman @g_knapp Balloons, and they would not be "hovering", they would be moving with the wind, and hence have zero airspeed.

I think some people have conflated "zero airspeed" with "not moving"

2021-04-07T21:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379903786177626113

@Altouiz @Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut Sure, if they got a good radar lock, but there's no hint of that.

I think it was moving with the wind, hence zero airspeed, and the people repeating the story are simply confused about what that means.

2021-04-07T21:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379903405875896320

@soldisnew @g_knapp No, I think they had eyes on it.

2021-04-07T21:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379903265781915650

@D_Hicks @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure @g_knapp That has limits. Here's a photo in bright sunlit conditions. The phone is in focus, but nothing else is.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZmX6hVIAEwi-p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZmX6hVIAEwi-p.jpg

2021-04-07T21:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379902733679882244

@Brendancowles @PostDisclosure @g_knapp Boken is an out-of-focus small light. IN daylight that's generally a reflection.

Something like a mylar balloon can create bokeh. So yes, an F18 could circle it.

2021-04-07T21:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379902421057462274

@junglist1973 @erika_hershey @g_knapp What is this? A suggestion for my new logo?

2021-04-07T21:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379901944081211392

@PostDisclosure @g_knapp It wasn't mentioned in the article. Surely that's very important context if you are presenting a photo of "an object." The perception of the photo is radically different if that's what it actually looks like.

So is @g_knapp omitting or repeating here?

2021-04-07T20:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379901275794382848

@Fiddaman @g_knapp I await this testimony. Pointless speculating on things that are entirely unknown.

2021-04-07T20:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379901040900730881

@Kyle841618 @Altouiz @zcichy @murnut It's ridiculous because if it was a balloon drifting in the wind then it would appear stationary. There's no available frame of reference above a few thousand to say otherwise when you are in an F/A-18 at speed.

So bringing it up seems like they misunderstand relative motion.

2021-04-07T20:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379900114563526658

@PredatoryPanic @g_knapp Here's an example to illustrate. The "mirror" (a phone here) is a few inches away from the camera when I focus on the distance the tools and the distant rooftops are in focus but the mirror is blurred. When the mirror is in focus, the distant things are blurred. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZjXvFVEAE4_uG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZjXvFVEAE4_uG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZjSAsU4AQV1jU.jpg

2021-04-07T20:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379898671827836928

@PredatoryPanic @g_knapp No, the circled part is the front of the front cockpit, it's several (about 5) feet away from the camera, so it's in focus. iPhones have good (but not infinite)

depths of field.

2021-04-07T20:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379897854303502338

@Fiddaman @g_knapp All one has to do is take a photo of a small light while the phone focuses on something very close to it. It's not hard, but not something people generally do deliberately unless they are being arty.

Bad autofocus is a common accident - often seen when shooting through windows.

2021-04-07T20:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379897302207275009

@EssentialBusin7 @TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets Oh, it matters. Why do you think it's made such a big deal of on Unidentified, or Joe Rogan? It's the only evidence you can actually see. If it matched Fravor's account that would be very important. So people (including Fravor) try to make out that it does. It's important to them

---

2021-04-07T20:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379896603356536834

@rpowell2u @realsiferd http://LOL.Now you are just being funny. A lack of FOIA requests does not mean I'm not in favor of the truth. Maybe I'm not fully utilizing all the tools available, but that's just inertia on my part, not a avoidance of truth. :grinning_face:

2021-04-07T20:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379889837684092928

@Kyle841618 Hard to say. Looks shiny. A little like a cartoonish airplane-shaped balloon. But the apparent shape might be misleading.

Maybe some military or research balloon.

Maybe the under-inflated batman ballon, from a different angle

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZZ3pCUYAQhoKW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZZ3pCUYAQhoKW.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZZ3R7VgAIoma3.jpg
2021-04-07T20:03:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379887557555544068
@Miles90234527 @g_knapp The simple issue here is if the "sphere" in the image is indicative of the actual shape of the object.

Since the analysis above shows it's not in focus, then the answer seems to be "no"

Then we must ask why doesn't the story explain this?

2021-04-07T20:01:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379887058349563905
@Fiddaman @g_knapp No, I think there was an object there, probably a shiny one. He took this photo of it, but the camera focussed on the mirror, and so the object was so out of focus that all you can see is the bokeh (out of focus highlight) from the sun reflecting off it.

2021-04-07T19:59:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379886685027135488
@TheUfoJoe @zcichy @SSE_Tweets It would be a lot more profitable for me if I didn't do it.

2021-04-07T19:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379886508920856578
@erika_hershey @g_knapp I didn't claim they were not competent. iPhones focus by themselves so it's not a reflection on the WSO that one photo is out of focus.

THe issue here is why it's being promoted without noting the focus issue.

2021-04-07T19:57:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379885949614612480
@TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets Innocent people are also convicted (and sometimes executed) based on eyewitness testimony.

While it's useful, especially with more than one witness, it's not infallible.

I'm certainly not ignoring them. I'd be happy to talk to them.

2021-04-07T19:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379885542993666049
@SecretAlien4 @g_knapp The UFO in the image resembles a balloon.

2021-04-07T19:54:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379885279452884994
@Kyle841618 One thing at a time. Let's toss out the broken bricks before building a house with them.

2021-04-07T19:53:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379885045876334593
@Kyle841618 @zcichy @murnut From here. Maybe let's focus on the ridiculous claim about the objects being stationary.

What does that tell us about those investigating these cases?

2021-04-07T19:52:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379884767894675456
@Kyle841618 @19Nimbus You can get bokeh orbs in videos. It depends on the lighting conditions.

2021-04-07T19:50:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379884346413215747
@TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets It's possible that some aspects of the Nimitz case were related to something secret. There I focus largely on the video, and, more specifically, claims that people make about the video. Like it showing sudden acceleration, which it does not. That's a data point, not case closed.

2021-04-07T19:48:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379883749282734080
@19Nimbus @Kyle841618 Or course, because the "orb" photos are bokeh.

2021-04-07T19:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379883530625245186
@AFSUnidentified @g_knapp "Tricks?" What's your problem here?

2021-04-07T19:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379883313607761924
@zcichy @murnut Other points: Something not being visible (except for bokeh) because it's out of focus does not mean it's not there. Something was there.

I would have submitted the photo because it's ideal to submit ALL your photos of an event.

I'm not accusing anyone of lying or hoaxing.

2021-04-07T19:44:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379882850913120259
@zcichy @murnut To the first point, they clearly DIDN'T take the photo properly. The mirror is in focus, so the object can't be. This is just standard iPhone photography, not some reflection on the value of their plane or training. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZUIWjUcAEw3j9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZUIWjUcAEw3j9.jpg
2021-04-07T19:42:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379882237076729864
@TheUfoJoe @SSE_Tweets *debunker.

I find bunk, and then I explain what the bunk is. What remains is better evidence.

Do you want researchers thinking the "Spere" was an actual image of a sphere? Is that

somehow better than understanding it's bokeh?

2021-04-07T19:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379880997139836928

@Asgeir16813191 @Berserk97981716 @JamesPr65703236 Yes, I have. I'm big on consideration and contemplation.

I'm also big on evidence.

2021-04-07T19:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379880401284464642

@Kyle841618 @FettuccineSplit @g_knapp You're welcome!

2021-04-07T19:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379880195415441410

@SilvaRecord @g_knapp Witness testimony that is (in this case) hidden to us, available only in third or fourth-hand snippets.

What the public sees is OMG ALIEN JELLYFISH!!!

2021-04-07T19:32:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379879837196640257

@zcichy Yeah, it's certainly not a feeling on my part.

Explaining something appears to be bokeh or a glare does not explain what is behind that bokeh or glare. But it does open up new possibilities, and sometimes removes the necessity of an extraordinary explanation.

2021-04-07T19:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379877225332281348

@SilvaRecord @g_knapp You don't they should have mentioned that? Or at least not labeled it a "sphere" (or, as in the filename, a "jellyfish")

And not, it's NOT AT ALL OBVIOUS to the majority of ordinary people looking at the images.

Did @g_knapp know it was a bokeh?

2021-04-07T19:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379876707935551491

@Altouiz @g_knapp Mystery Wire's report indirectly claimed expert analysis:

" two years later, after careful study by the UAP Task Force, the objects remain unidentified."

"The Task Force reports noted that the objects were able to remain stationary in high winds"

Rather weak "task force"

2021-04-07T19:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379874568123285505

The "Sphere" UFO from @g_knapp is almost certainly bokeh (with something behind it, out of focus). The F/A-18's side mirror here is very close to the camera and in focus. So the object CAN'T be in focus. Hence bokeh.

Did the UAP task force really not notice this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZMe_gU8AEABf2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZMe_gU8AEABf2.jpg

2021-04-07T19:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379871830144520192

@DreamsEnd3 @AFSUnidentified @zcichy There's at least two pics of the "acorn"

2021-04-07T19:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379871704420208640

@CamCashmn I kind of like that they used my initials though. :)

2021-04-07T18:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379871580239523841

@Kyle841618 Yes, that happens all the time with autofocus. It will tend to try to focus on something like the edges of the window. In this case look at the mirror. It's in focus, meaning the object CAN'T be in focus - hence bokeh.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZJvJWUUAM2VmM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZJvJWUUAM2VmM.jpg
2021-04-07T18:51:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379869550607364097
@AFSUnidentified @zcichy I didn't see anyone say that. I suspect that was one of the non-debunkers thinking that "reflected highlight" means "no object".

Similar to people thinking the "Gimble = Glare" means there's no real object

In both cases the hypothesis is a real object, obscured by glare/bokeh

---

2021-04-07T18:35:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379865554140372993
@AliensExist15 @zcichy No, to the naked eye it would appear as, at least, a point of light, and possibly the shape of the object might be visible. Like here (but without the contrail)
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EyZEWcPU4AIIK22.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EyZEWcPU4AIIK22.jpg
2021-04-07T18:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379864529954172930
@FettuccineSplit @zcichy The focus is on the cockpit close to the camera, so everything else is blurred. The bokeh is spread out, an can obscure any trace of the object it's attached to (which will be very blurry anyway)

2021-04-07T18:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379863801579761665
@Berserk97981716 @Asgeir16813191 @JamesPr65703236 Not if there's solid and conclusive

evidence that it's from a different puzzle. Sometimes it's obvious, and sometimes more examination is needed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZCusOVIAIEw96.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyZCusOVIAIEw96.jpg
2021-04-07T18:25:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379863008629825537
@HamidAbubakr2 @zcichy When investigating a case it's best to supply ALL the photos, regardless of how good they are.

The decision to publish this one as something significant was @g_knapp's

---

2021-04-07T18:23:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379862485373579270
RT @Yes_It_Is_Bland: This book by @MickWest showed me what was possible in the field of debunking. I hope one day my research on conspiracyâ□¦

2021-04-07T18:23:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379862465752621056
@Yes_It_Is_Bland Thank you Fran!

2021-04-07T18:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379862044191588354
@zcichy Bokeh isn't produced by digital zoom. It's produced by focus being short. Here's an example, the camera focuses on my hand.

Digital zoom just contributes the grain and blurry pixels.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379861778889285633/pu/img/S_zmMDN31q136W

Mh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379861778889285633/pu/img/S_zmMDN31q136WMh.jpg
2021-04-07T18:19:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379861529231728641
@SteUFOnotCGULLS @MindfulOfLight "Acorn" has been out for months. I didn't make a video, but I did make a simulator.
https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/

2021-04-07T18:16:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379860588394807297
@Asgeir16813191 @JamesPr65703236 @Berserk97981716 It's like you've got a puzzle where 90% of the pieces are from a different puzzle - so removing as many of them as possible is quite helpful.

2021-04-07T17:23:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379847311077961731
@David_Engelson @foiaboi Well, except I don't have a UFO book. Yet.....

2021-04-07T17:22:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379847161823686658
@JamesPr65703236 @Berserk97981716 Hey, I just like solving puzzles. Optics, geometry, and human perception stuff.

But if something is ridiculous then I'll point that out if nobody else is. Can't have a naked emperor!

2021-04-07T17:17:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379845756794761222
@Liberty4Life23 @j0hnny_x5 "They" put out four photos: two of the Acorn/Batman, the "blimp" and the "sphere" I can't really speculate as to the motives of the people involved.

2021-04-07T16:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379839402008928258

@Liberty4Life23 I'm saying the object probably was not a translucent sphere, it just looks like one because the focus was off - creating a "bokeh" in the image around the sun glinting off the actual object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379839317137219588/pu/img/vs7a8lwqX7KYHH0D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1379839317137219588/pu/img/vs7a8lwqX7KYHH0D.jpg

2021-04-07T16:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379834036344877056

@rpowell2u @realsiferd This isn't an FOIA contest. I've never filed one.

2021-04-07T15:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379825127219585024

@Liberty4Life23 Of course something was there. How else do you get an out-of-focus highlight?

2021-04-07T15:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379823233424187392

@Liberty4Life23 No, the pilot (actually the WSO, according to the story) had previously seen a UFO, so was on the lookout, with his phone. Possibly recording video.

2021-04-07T15:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379822867508985857

@MoremonJ @joerogan I'll give it a go!

2021-04-07T15:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379818311047782404

Or rather: mystery objects remain Too Far Away and Out Of Focus, so avoid identification.

Over-hyped photos look like balloons and focus issues. Maybe they are not, but UFOs remain in the Low Information Zone.

#TFA #OOF #LIZ #SFA https://twitter.com/joerogan/status/1379608204653572097

2021-04-07T13:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379785109209980931

@Josef_baum @g_knapp Mystery Wire. A coincidence.

2021-04-07T13:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379784678576508939

@Spectre67904513 @avsa You'd think it would be incredibly useful for post-flight analysis during training and operational incidence analysis. i.e. - what could the pilot see?

2021-04-07T08:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379717210168434701

@TruthofTerAvaya @MadScientistPod Your #3 photo is not the photo from the article.

2021-04-07T06:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379681869088784386

@murnut @g_knapp I think aliens are unlikely. In any situation, there's a list of possibilities. This one looks like it's out of focus, so any visually small light source would work.

2021-04-07T06:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379675801172336643

@ufouapam It's based on one thing looking like another.

Show me this pilot or study.

2021-04-07T06:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379675628631298052

@EricZiethen Yes, of course, it could still be a translucent jellyfish-like alien craft. I'm just pointing out that it looks like iPhone bokeh.

2021-04-07T05:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379674375960420352

@UFOTORONTO @g_knapp I was doing some gardening.

2021-04-07T05:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379674186151460865

My bokeh, I'll get a daylight sky one tomorrow.

Distinctive inner dark ring, as seen on the "jellyfish"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWWR-2VIAMQ5DF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWWR-2VIAMQ5DF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWWN8YVEAEPlL1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWWPEPU4AEr7_9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWWFJRVcAAKDQm.jpg

2021-04-07T05:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379673366680928258

@Dogbert34664346 Which spaceship does this look like?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWViBzU8Ag1IoH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWViBzU8Ag1IoH.jpg

2021-04-07T05:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379672939956609026

@murnut @g_knapp Because terrestrial jellyfish cannot fly.

2021-04-07T05:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379672794594603010

@Kyle841618 @g_knapp Lights are generally associated with an object. A reflection, in sunlight, or sometimes the landing lights of distant planes (maybe too light for that)

2021-04-07T05:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379672424594075651

@conterammundi @g_knapp Because those were in focus and had a closer object.

2021-04-07T05:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379670563480686593

Comparison with iPhone bokeh when focussing on nearby object

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWS-lLUUAE9xlx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWS-lLUUAE9xlx.jpg

2021-04-07T05:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379669448131416066

Alien Jellyfish, or iPhone Bokeh? @g_knapp

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWR1MPVEAUjVGT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWR0ekU8AEvIAZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWR1MPVEAUjVGT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWRqxaUcAEFGkD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWRwVEVgAA9kz2.jpg
2021-04-07T05:26:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379666865916575749
@PostDisclosure @sakirashinken1 @GlasgowLench @mantid_uap @l3athal101 @ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord There's not a lot to go by. This one looks a little like an out-of-focus highlight, like bokeh. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWPsdRUcAUm4HR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyWPsdRUcAUm4HR.jpg

2021-04-07T04:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379656671841017857

@sakirashinken1 @GlasgowLench @mantid_uap @PostDisclosure @l3athal101 @ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord On the video itâ□□s going slow.

2021-04-07T03:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379643180451172356

@Manning123Sm Does not track where they were from.

2021-04-07T01:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379604602950086656

New UFO Photos!

Unfortunately not any better than the old UFO Photos.

https://www.mysterywire.com/ufo/new-uap-photographs/

2021-04-07T01:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379603719856160776

@Taco3421 I think it was drones.

2021-04-07T01:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379603627828912129

@Manning123Sm What tech is that then?

2021-04-07T00:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379599705349398528

@Taco3421 @of_skeptical @ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord You think I should have answered that question?

2021-04-07T00:10:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379587328599945218

Chatting now about The USS Kidd incident on Clubhouse

https://twitter.com/BrianDunning/status/1379582938518536194

2021-04-06T23:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379581753698836483

@GlasgowLench @mantid_uap @PostDisclosure @l3athal101 @ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord I actually think GOFAST was a balloon, but a bird is not entirely impossible, seeing as it wasn't actually going fast.

2021-04-06T23:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379581098775080966

@MyCoalScott @g_knapp Looks like AI upscaling, which is really a computer squinting at the image and then drawing an HD version of what it looks like in its imagination.

2021-04-06T23:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379580514110074881

@SicCoP1 Well, so far that's all talk. The evidence available to the public is the same. The evidence that the public itself gathers (and there's a lot more public cameras than military cameras) is really about the same - blurry shapes in the LIZ.

2021-04-06T23:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379575002526220289

@dougwolfe Nominally June, but could be any time in the next 18 months.

2021-04-06T23:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379574863522766851

@Prosey4 @Josef_baum @SingletrackAdam Er, no. Flying near a ship is not an act of war.

2021-04-06T23:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379574674531610624

@of_skeptical @ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord Not exactly. I blocked you (for a while), for this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyU7nEqU4AQmRz1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyU7nEqU4AQmRz1.jpg
2021-04-06T23:10:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379572226492559361
@Taco3421 Not swamp gas, but maybe hydrogen?
https://insideunmannedsystems.com/hydrogen-powered-drone-completes-43-mile-open-ocean-flight/

And drone jamming is usually just jamming the link to base. If it's semi-autonomous there's nothing to jam.

They have equipment to shoot them too.

2021-04-06T22:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379562655157870593

@MyCoalScott @g_knapp "Editing"

2021-04-06T22:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379562508290117634

@ExoExplorer3 @g_knapp @SilvaRecord We'll see. Hopefully new photos!

2021-04-06T22:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379562149098364930

@mattjdavidson It's not that technology and science havn't progressed - it's that UFOlogy hasn't.

We are still at the exact same level of information we had back in the 1940s: lights in the sky, odd eyewitness accounts, blurry photos, and government secrecy as a garden for the imagination.

2021-04-06T22:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379559413300649986

RT @BrianDunning: I'm discussing â□□Mystery Drones: The 2019 USS Kidd Incidentâ□□ with @IDoubtIt, @RevMacLive, @MickWest, @fcain, @doctoratlantâ□¦

2021-04-06T21:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379541607112863744

@Josef_baum @SingletrackAdam No, from a nearby ship.

2021-04-06T21:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379541203352350720

@Disclose_UFOUAP The FBI is often sent information by cranks, and it ends up in their files.

https://www.fbi.gov/news/stories/ufos-and-the-guy-hottel-memo

---

2021-04-06T21:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379540311597215745

@Owl489353 @Spectre67904513 I think it's unlikely.

2021-04-06T20:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379531451276746752

@Josef_baum @SingletrackAdam The Kidd drone did nothing more amazing than stay in the air for a long time. Hydrogen-powered drones can do that.

2021-04-06T20:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379531083146911744

@Ps5andxbox1 It's the same in that it's basically describing the same kinds of sightings with no significant additional details. Have a read through Project Sign.
https://archive.org/details/ProjectSIGN/page/n7/mode/2up

2021-04-06T19:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379520112449921024

@Josef_baum @PostDisclosure You can still solve lots of individual smaller questions (what is that thing?) - of course, like they said in 1949, the big questions are unlikely to be answered.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyUKH2PVgAEVItW.jpg


UNCLASSIFIED

CONCLUSIONS

No definite and conclusive evidence is yet available that would prove or disprove the existence of these unidentified objects as real aircraft of unknown and unconventional configuration. It is unlikely that positive proof of their existence will be obtained without examination of the remains of crashed objects. Proof of non-existence is equally impossible to obtain unless a reasonable and convincing explanation is determined for each incident.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyUKH2PVgAEVItW.jpg
2021-04-06T19:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379519345882095617
@Ps5andxbox1 You can use history to measure progress.

If things seem pretty much the same, then that shows little progress has been made.

The old UFO stuff is astonishingly similar to the current stuff.

2021-04-06T19:37:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379518614827528192
@JeremyUnidenti1 It's odd. I don't really understand the rationale behind it. I've seen it a lot over the last year or so.

2021-04-06T19:35:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379518259829960704
@realsiferd @rpowell2u $20?

2021-04-06T19:34:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379517880140587012
@rpowell2u I'd put money on that being the Lear Jet that passed overhead at 45Â° a few minutes earlier.

2021-04-06T19:28:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379516281322229762
@Josef_baum @PostDisclosure I enjoy solving puzzles involving interpreting photo and video evidence. I like talking to people.

2021-04-06T19:17:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379513720561278976
@Josef_baum @PostDisclosure Obsessed? Maybe a little.

Nervous? LOL!

2021-04-06T19:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379513356260765703

@ActuallyImGood @SingletrackAdam Maybe liquid hydrogen fuel cell drones. https://www.c4isrnet.com/unmanned/2019/05/10/will-the-russian-army-buy-hydrogen-fuel-cell-drones/

It's speculative, but far less speculative than "aliens" or "anti-gravity"

2021-04-06T18:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379505103346880514

@ActuallyImGood @SingletrackAdam It seems by far the most likely explanation, and is backed up by anonymous accounts. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyT8krXUYAEghru.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyT8krXUYAEghru.jpg

2021-04-06T18:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379502651163877376

@Lynda_Katerra Which government/military reports do you think are a better representation of what the coming report might be like?

2021-04-06T16:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379474272800792580

@Spectre67904513 Do you have a reference regarding the change in UFO reports with AESA radar? Was it actually "better" data, or just more?

2021-04-06T16:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379473310681944064

@murnut Public technology is also vastly better, yet public reports are not.

2021-04-06T16:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379473118234693633

@SingletrackAdam I agree. I anticipate it will be even LESS satisfying than the old reports. What's changed is that we actually have China using drones to spy on the US military (like, very likely, the Kidd incident) - so there are more actual UFOs that need addressing.

2021-04-06T16:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379472093578813441

@murnut So why isn't it?

2021-04-06T16:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379471894642974724

@TDBrigham And probably will for the foreseeable future - based on the utter lack of any differential progress at all.

It's really staggering how similar 1950s UFOlogy is to the 2020s

---

2021-04-06T16:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379471367414771719

@foiaboi @SicCoP1 @2x109O @ufobelievers @ExploreSCU Yeah, see the first paragraph of the air force report I just posted.

It's the black swan problem. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyTdxV7U4AIMuZm.jpg

---



[redacted] UNCLASSIFIED

CONCLUSIONS

No definite and conclusive evidence is yet available that would prove or disprove the existence of these unidentified objects as real aircraft of unknown and unconventional configuration. It is unlikely that positive proof of their existence will be obtained without examination of the remains of crashed objects. Proof of non-existence is equally impossible to obtain unless a reasonable and convincing explanation is determined for each incident.

Many sightings by qualified and apparently reliable witnesses have been reported. However, each incident has unsatisfactory features, such as shortness of time under observation, distance from observer, vagueness of description or photographs, inconsistencies between individual observers, and lack of descriptive data, that prevents definite conclusions being drawn. Explanations, of some of the incidents revealed the existence of simple and easily understandable causes, so that there is the possibility that enough incidents can be solved to eliminate or greatly reduce the mystery associated with these occurrences.

Evaluation of reports of unidentified objects is a necessary activity of military intelligence agencies. Such sightings are inevitable, and under wartime conditions rapid and convincing solutions of such occurrences are necessary to maintain morale of military and civilian personnel. In this respect, it is considered that the establishment of procedures and training of personnel is in itself worth the effort expended on this project.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyTdxV7U4AIMuZm.jpg

2021-04-06T16:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1379471003462496256

There's a government report coming out on UFOs. There have been reports before. Here's the conclusion of one from over seventy years ago, saying: the evidence is poor but UFO sightings should be investigated.

What has changed over 70 years? Will the new report differ at all?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyTcq2cU8AA195c.jpg



[redacted] UNCLASSIFIED

CONCLUSIONS

No definite and conclusive evidence is yet available that would prove or disprove the existence of these unidentified objects as real aircraft of unknown and unconventional configuration. It is unlikely that positive proof of their existence will be obtained without examination of the remains of crashed objects. Proof of non-existence is equally impossible to obtain unless a reasonable and convincing explanation is determined for each incident.

Many sightings by qualified and apparently reliable witnesses have been reported. However, each incident has unsatisfactory features, such as shortness of time under observation, distance from observer, vagueness of description or photographs, inconsistencies between individual observers, and lack of descriptive data, that prevents definite conclusions being drawn. Explanations of some of the indidents revealed the existence of simple and easily understandable causes, so that there is the possibility that enough incidents can be solved to eliminate or greatly reduce the mystery associated with these occurrences.

Evaluation of reports of unidentified objects is a necessary activity of military intelligence agencies. Such sightings are inevitable, and under wartime conditions rapid and convincing solutions of such occurrences are necessary to maintain morale of military and civilian personnel. In this respect, it is considered that the establishment of procedures and training of personnel is in itself worth the effort expended on this project.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyTcq2cU8AA195c.jpg
2021-04-06T15:58:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379463412493602819
@foiaboi @2x109O @ufobelievers Some of who's legacy? Give an example.

2021-04-06T15:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1379459276347908100
@2x109O @ufobelievers @foiaboi I watched it. I chuckled when he said debunkers attack the person if they can't attack the evidence, and then he proceeded to raise a bunch of silly straw-man/ad-hominem arguments.

I am not the caricature "debunker" he described.

2021-04-05T06:53:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378963947135496192
@TheUfoJoe @murnut @GarryPNolan
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/post-220380

I think there was some more on FB, bit I can't find it. Maybe @GarryPNolan can comment?

2021-04-04T17:52:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378767471285465089

@TheUfoJoe @murnut @GarryPNolan Garry initially thought that the object had to be at sea level because of focus issues, but he admitted he was wrong there, and that the data showed the object could be mundane. On or before March 17, 2018
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyJdbxuU8AA2aZK.jpg

TOM MELLETT TO GARRY:
Garry, as it turns out, the issue of focal plane is better addressed by considering the Hyperfocal length of the lens, which tells the Depth of Field for the object along with its “Acceptable Circle of Confusion.”

Those considerations show that any object between the altitude of your value = 100 ft above sea level up to an altitude of half the jet’s altitude, i.e. 12,500 ft, will be in as good a focus as the focus of the ocean waves themselves. Therefore, your objection is moot, since the ocean waves themselves, an object at 100 ft, and an object at 12,500 ft will be in just about equal focus.

GARRY NOLAN TO TOM:
Ok-- I can accept that. I will run the numbers if I can get to someone at Raytheon who can confirm or not the depth of field issue-- I need to see the calculation. I want to know the capabilities of the Raytheon optics- though I expect push back for "operational capabilities" reasons. I understood all of Paracast's & Metabunk's math last week-- which is why I am trying to chase this information down. I was trying to get a sense of the focal depth (depth of field). So-- still waiting on the numbers. That said, given the discrepancy about what the video "numbers" provide-- "belief" in what is in the video now relies only on visual claims by the pilots and (unseen-- even by me) data from radar. The video information says the object "can" be mundane. The good thing about science is-- ego aside-- you can switch conclusions (or rule out things) as the data shows. I am convinced at this point (and have been for a week) that something in the video data is not comporting with other claims.

—— 3 Minutes later, he adds this comment —-

Ok, I don't need Raytheon's optics numbers. I see how the object can be in focus with the ocean. Will reserve a modicum of skepticism about it until I can get info back from a pilot I know. But thanks for the info!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyJdbxuU8AA2aZK.jpg
2021-04-04T17:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378765347214434306
@James_A_Roots Yes. Scaling very large things down requires some compromises.

2021-04-04T17:43:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378765123754463232
@TheUfoJoe @murnut When was this thread you quote? I'm pretty sure @GarryPNolan now acknowledged that GOFAST was high and slow back in 2018. Not sure about Bruce, but I'd anticipate the same.

2021-04-04T17:15:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378758025771511811
@TheUfoJoe They are calculating (approximately) the speed of the jet, not the object.

The motion of the object comes from parallax. Determined by looking at changes in the camera angle. Since the object is mid-way between the jet and the water, it visually has the same speed as the jet.

2021-04-04T16:41:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378749675759497218
@edwardcurrent @sethdhanson @MolotoVNKA *collapse videos

2021-04-04T14:43:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378719979508441092
@edwardcurrent @sethdhanson @MolotoVNKA Itâ□□s a creative interpretation of feature tracking the collage videos.

2021-04-04T01:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378523670457446401
@edwardcurrent I used spaghetti

2021-04-04T00:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378507516460462081
@MolotoVNKA @skot75 That's not been overlooked at all. Its been carefully studied and modeled by NIST.

This little video, although it's not intended for 7, does actually show one of the principles.

Rapid load transfer and failure.

2021-04-04T00:26:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378504207418855429
@MolotoVNKA @skot75 Buckling columns quickly lose 99% or more of their support strength. They can still support tons of weight, but they need to support hundreds of tons, so the collapse happens at 99% of freefall.

Which, at NTSC TV resolution, is indistinguishable from 100% freefall.

2021-04-03T23:57:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378496995208294406
@steven_nikolic The cotton is just to stop the tops of the columns moving around.

2021-04-03T23:56:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378496718644244480
@MolotoVNKA @skot75 No, this isn't Building 7. Although there are some similar principles involved.

2021-04-03T23:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378495320842137600
@ricky_merchant @Stanford Maybe not, but it did. They were spoofing random user agents, which is a technique to get around throttling.

But I suspect it was just some student who thought it would be fine.

2021-04-03T23:31:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378490250436124673
Puzzle: What am I trying to do with this experiment?

Hint: It mostly failed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378489942217695235/pu/img/HNk4LsD3nOVlogBv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378489942217695235/pu/img/HNk4LsD3nOVlogBv.jpg
2021-04-03T23:13:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378485777504477186
@ricky_merchant @Stanford Over 100,000 actual GETS. It was getting each individual post. Those are all dynamic resources.

2021-04-03T21:21:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378457680319148033
@Altouiz @itsredactedYT @AliensOnEarth_ @ufoofinterest Giant flying saucer coated in meta material new to science, or fake. Whatâ□□s the simplest explanation?

2021-04-03T21:19:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378457270795653121
@PostJawn @ExogenesisHH @AliensOnEarth_ @itsredactedYT @ufoofinterest I asked him, heâ□□s busy, so you and I shall have to give it a go.

2021-04-03T21:18:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378456843878461443
@AliensOnEarth_ @ExoExplorer3 @itsredactedYT @ufoofinterest I think Kaku is wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2021-04-03T20:06:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378438905016381441
@ExogenesisHH @AliensOnEarth_ @itsredactedYT @ufoofinterest There's "true UFO" in the sense of being unidentified and looking like it's flying, and then there's "true UFO" the sense of "evidence of amazing flying technology of unknown origin".

This photograph is the first one.

2021-04-03T20:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378438318568792069
@itsredactedYT @AliensOnEarth_ @ufoofinterest How's that lighting supposed to be working. Sun is coming from the lower right.

2021-04-03T20:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378438053836902402
@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien He appears to be posting videos of airplane contrails.

How can you tell they are not contrails?

2021-04-03T20:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378437825352278016
@Ufc3O @Stanford Effectively, but I'll assume good faith.

2021-04-03T19:17:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378426493890560000
@werdendi @BabbleAlien No those are contrails. Different thing.

Here's an example of the smoke trails at an air show.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MpyI6WpUdss

2021-04-03T19:04:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378423277127786498
@Altouiz @Stanford The simplest explanation is that someone wanted to archive my entire site, and they accidentally used a scraping tool that attempts to both go as fast as possible and avoid detection. Hence Metabunk gets overloaded.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEkfoFU8AAgDyx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEkfoFU8AAgDyx.jpg

2021-04-03T18:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378421839173574656

@prisoner646 @Stanford That's what it looked like. However, they were cycling fake user agents with every request - which seems like a grey-hat technique. And 100 uncached requests a second is not good robot etiquette. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEieYsU8AA6ehI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEieYsU8AA6ehI.jpg

2021-04-03T18:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378419827430150144

@nougatjesus @Stanford It looks like it's from the Empirical Security Research Group. Crashed my site for a few minutes. I don't think they intended that. It was actually about 100K requests before the IP got blocked.

2021-04-03T18:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378417213237985280

What going on @Stanford ? 18,000 requests from one IP bouncing off my firewall in 15 minutes? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEewKKVIAIQost.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEeTlfU4AIZ49V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyEewKKVIAIQost.jpg

2021-04-03T17:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378405553249034242

@JeremyUnidenti1 @2x109O @StrangeFarm Hence "relistically"

I'm more afraid of asteroids than UFOs.

2021-04-03T17:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378405218543562753

@werdendi @BabbleAlien No, those look like planes rigged for aerobatic display. Maybe promoting a local air show. Smoke generators inject fuel into the hot exhaust.

2021-04-03T17:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378404143522406403

@therealpmoney Good quality two source data of extreme speeds/maneuvers would be a great baseline.

Even then you've still got to eliminate new human tech. The craft could be remote or AI controlled.

2021-04-03T17:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378403621985869826

@2x109O @StrangeFarm @JeremyUnidenti1 I can't think of anything UFO-related that I'm realistically afraid of.

2021-04-03T17:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378401065230499845

@2x109O @StrangeFarm @JeremyUnidenti1 I don't get this "fear" thing. What exactly would I be afraid of?

2021-04-03T17:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378400826851348485

@JeremyUnidenti1 Thanks! I think most people would agree with you on that point (not blindly accepting EVERY case.) The criticism of me is more that I go too far in the opposite direction.

I try to treat each case on its objective merits - but there's always room for subjective differences.

2021-04-03T14:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378360649760169988

@peterxdunn @uapresearch Why have a hobby?

Seriously, consider that. Why do people spend time on activities they find interesting? Does the CIA fund people who do jigsaw puzzles?

This is a hobby. Fun and interesting, but not much of a money maker.

2021-04-03T14:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378358794078482432

@Josef_baum @tommaw3 @Pupi5910 @LueElizondo He didnâ□□t say that though. He said heâ□□d be happy to talk to me. Now he unfortunately does not have the time.

2021-04-03T05:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378217960477650947

@DionysusBaco @Altouiz @Big_phone_home Some guy sent in a bit of dirty old magnesium he'd hammered into a shape. That's it.

2021-04-03T05:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378216670351683587

@dave_beaty Eyewitness accounts of such things are notoriously hazy.

2021-04-03T05:21:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378216183489392642

@DionysusBaco @Altouiz @Big_phone_home Because it supposedly came from an exploding flying disk. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyBoJgvUUAQPtB1.jpg

---

Dear Mr. Ibrahim Sued. As a faithful reader of your column, and an admirer of yours, I wish to give you, as a newspaperman, a "scoop" concerning flying discs. If you believe that they are real, of course. I didn't believe anything said or published about them. But just a few days ago I was forced to change my mind. I was fishing together with various friends, at a place close to the town of Ubatuba, Sao Paulo, when I sighted a flying disc! It approached the beach at unbelievable speed and an accident, i.e. a crash into the sea, seemed imminent. At the last moment, however, when it seemed it was almost striking the waters, it made a sharp turn upward and climbed rapidly on a fantastic impulse. Astonished, we followed the spectacle with our eyes, when we saw the disc explode in flames. It disintegrated into thousands of fiery fragments, which fell sparkling with magnificent brightness. They looked like fireworks, despite the time of the accident, at noon, i.e. at midday. Most of the fragments, almost all, fell into the sea. But a number of small pieces fell close to the beach and we picked up a large amount of this material—which was light as paper. I am enclosing a sample of it. I don't know anyone that could be trusted to whom I could send it for analysis. I never read about a flying disk being found, or about fragments or parts of a disk that had been picked up. Unless the finding was made by military authorities and the whole thing kept as a top-secret subject. I am certain the matter will be of great interest to the brilliant columnist and I am sending two copies of this letter—to the newspaper and to your home address.

From the admirer (the signature was illegible), together with the above letter, I received fragments of a strange metal.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyBoJgvUUAQPtB1.jpg

2021-04-03T05:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378215021503684610

@DionysusBaco @Altouiz @Big_phone_home No, I think it's from the 50s. The same things were sold then. The report does not conclude it's particularly uncommon. They didn't test common well-used items.

2021-04-03T05:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378212379276713985

@DionysusBaco @Altouiz @Big_phone_home Cheap magnesium blocks and rods can be had for <$10 online. They are sold in camping stores as firestarters or in various places as anodes for water heaters and marine use. No doubt their composition varies based on their source material and manufacturing process.

2021-04-03T04:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378203494469935107

@DionysusBaco @Altouiz @Big_phone_home Not really, itâ□□s just a random bit of metal.

2021-04-03T01:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378158163279585280

@discernment_dr Isotopic ratios and metamaterial are very different topics. I'm interested in isotopic ratios.

2021-04-03T01:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378156766823772160

@Altouiz @Big_phone_home Although results are consistent with Earth metal, so not super interesting - or does it conflict with Vallee? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAx8cZU4AIZKV0.jpg

---

Two specimens of Brazil magnesium, together with four comparison specimens, have been subjected to internal analysis by a laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrograph (ICP-MS) instrument. This analysis shows that the Brazil specimens contain calcium at a few thousand ppm and (as found by the Colorado Project) both strontium and barium at a few hundred ppm. One specimen also contains titanium at a few hundred ppm. This analysis indicates that the existing Brazil samples are not as pure as magnesium specimens readily available in the 1950s.

Some of the specimens have been subjected to isotopic analysis. The only departures from normal isotopic ratios are small differences that may be attributed to fractionation as a result of heat treatment.

The origin of these fragments remains a mystery. There is no evidence that the specimens are of extraterrestrial origin.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAx8cZU4AIZKV0.jpg

2021-04-03T01:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378155798233186305

@Big_phone_home @GaryPNolan, is there anything published or otherwise released beyond the 2017 "What do weabout the material composition of UFOs" PPT?

2021-04-03T01:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378153916563517442

@Altouiz Isotopic ratios. Metals on Earth, like, say, magnesium, generally have a certain ratio of isotopes. There are claims that some ratios don't or even can't occur on Earth, and that finding those ratios indicates the metal (or any element, but it seems mostly metals) is ET.

2021-04-03T01:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378153038314053636

@Altouiz Does he discuss isotopic ratios? I'm not finding anything.

2021-04-03T01:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378152658628833280

@Altouiz I'm specifically looking for info on isotopic ratios as ET signatures.

2021-04-03T01:08:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378152470916997123

@Altouiz I was looking for some in-depth technical discussion. He barely mentions it.

2021-04-03T01:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378152064954425345

@discernment_dr I don't think that even mentions isotopic ratios.

2021-04-03T00:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378147849808961542

Do anyone know of any good papers or discussions about isotopic ratios as evidence of extraterrestrial materials. It seems like a topic that gets referred to and then glossed over, but shouldn't be that complicated.

2021-04-03T00:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378146282867318789

@murnut @LueElizondo This is true.

2021-04-03T00:38:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378144739845828610
@conterammundi @LueElizondo The Chilean pilots did not, and the exact same argument was made there.

And yet, they got it wrong. It was just a plane.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAnMa3VcAArT-o.jpg

The technician filmed the object for nine minutes and twelve seconds, mainly in IR. This sensor produces a black and white video in which the black, white and grey tones are directly related to temperature. IR detects heat, and the hotter the material being filmed, the darker it appears on the image. The officers stopped the camera when they had to return to the base and the object disappeared behind the clouds.

The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. "I was very impressed by these witnesses," Avila told me. "They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw." Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.

The Navy Captain stated that the object was a "flat, elongated structure" with "two thermal spotlights like discharges that did not coincide with the axel of motion." The technician described it as as "white with a semi-oval shape on the horizontal axis."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAnMa3VcAArT-o.jpg
2021-04-03T00:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378143927828508673
@conterammundi @LueElizondo No, I added the videos later to illustrate what was being discussed. There were no videos on screen during the discussion, so he was just speaking from his recollection.

2021-04-03T00:29:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378142613677645828
@murnut @LueElizondo The point is that we can't ELIMINATE the possibility of a jet. From behind, in some circumstances, jets get obscured by the IR glare they make, and all you see is the "heat signature" of the engine, and it's not a neat little cone. Perfect example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378142472665100288/pu/img/k4CGZaSIsMXUaOUq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378142472665100288/pu/img/k4CGZaSIsMXUaOUq.jpg

2021-04-03T00:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378141523049467904

@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo I know, contrails are kind of my jam :)

Clouds are also white (since contrails are a type of cloud). Notice the black clouds?

2021-04-03T00:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378141059981570052

@conterammundi @LueElizondo No, he didn't. I didn't show him any images before or during the interview. He already knew it had been identified as a plane.

2021-04-03T00:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378139865653465091

@murnut @LueElizondo There's always a "heat signature" of some sort.

2021-04-03T00:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378139632387248132

@murnut @LueElizondo Fravor said basically the same thing, that the lack of an exhaust plume indicated it was not a plane. I think Lue agrees with them.

I think they are wrong, and that this issue can be resolved.

2021-04-03T00:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378139236080046080

@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo That's not exhust. It's a contrail.

2021-04-03T00:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378139145768296449

@murnut @LueElizondo Fravor said something similar, about the lack of plumes, at 24:47

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eco2s3-0zsQ&t=1487s

2021-04-03T00:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378138597132410882
@murnut @LueElizondo Underwood seems to have neglected to consider the case when the plane is flying away from the camera.

https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2019/12/tic-tac-ufo-video-q-and-a-with-navy-pilot-chad-underwood.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAhjkKVgAcOca4.jpg

---

From looking at the video at the time and more recently, do you get a sense as to how much heat this thing was giving off?
Well, normally, you would see engines emitting a heat plume. This object was not doing that. The video shows a source of heat, but the normal signatures of an exhaust plume were not there. There was no sign of propulsion. You could not see the thing that the ATFLIR pod should pick up 100 percent of the time: the source of heat and exhaust that a normal object flying would give you[4]. Does that make sense?

Yeah, it does.
Like, no method of propulsion or exhaust — and the exhaust part of it was the thing that kind of made me raise my eyebrows and be like, "Okay, this is interesting."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAhjkKVgAcOca4.jpg
2021-04-03T00:08:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378137204627632132
@murnut @LueElizondo You can find a bunch just by googling:

https://www.google.com/search?q=%22no+heat+signature%22+nimitz

Underwood said something similar. What he's saying here seems demonstrably false if you look at the Chilean case. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAgGAjUUAIjgFC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAgGAjUUAIjgFC.jpg

2021-04-03T00:02:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378135825389477890

@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo Huh? You think those faint streaks are exhaust? What?

2021-04-03T00:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378135570493308931

@Big_phone_home @LueElizondo Okay, here's an F16 ATP system, very similar to ATFLIR, two fighter jet, just look like blobs.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378135501845057538/pu/img/1uT3myRDvmTI0HHv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378135501845057538/pu/img/1uT3myRDvmTI0HHv.jpg
2021-04-02T23:47:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378132033814192130
@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo
https://www.metabunk.org/f/Chile%20Helicopter%20UFO%20-%20FULL.mp4

2021-04-02T23:44:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378131185214255107
@LueElizondo Here's some IR video of an A340 (Iberian flight IB6830, departing Santiago, filmed by a Chilean Navy helicopter)

It has four engines. It has a heat signature, but you won't see any exhaust plumes.

This is important if you want to understand FLIR1/Nimitz.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378130528100962311/pu/img/UdBZIs_qEeD83lBH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378130528100962311/pu/img/UdBZIs_qEeD83lBH.jpg
2021-04-02T23:36:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378129174901129216
@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo Excellent question. And to answer it, let me show you this IR photo of an A340, which has four engines.

Where is the exhaust here? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAZEwzUcAI0nPO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAZEwzUcAI0nPO.jpg
2021-04-02T23:29:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378127538334040066

@AdvocatDei @LueElizondo Sure, but what does that look like when it's flying directly away from you, and about 20x as far?

What's with the star shape in FLIR1?

2021-04-02T23:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378126330106048513

@DanielFlego The name-calling just makes the second part better.

2021-04-02T23:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378126178951696385

Something that always puzzled me about the FLIR1 Nimitz video was people saying it had "no heat signature"

What's this then? #ufotwitter @LueElizondo

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAWWpUVgAAvhKn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAWWpUVgAAvhKn.jpg

2021-04-02T23:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378124696823099394

@Pupi5910 @joerogan Organize a lobbying campaign. :)

2021-04-02T23:07:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378121931551952902
@PostJawn No, but I know a man who can.

2021-04-02T22:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378119476244148225
A rave review! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAQUd8VgAASL-W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EyAQUd8VgAASL-W.jpg
2021-04-02T22:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378106009923686401
@Big_phone_home No. Iâ□□ll be talking to Luis in a week or so, and will discuss why then.

2021-04-02T22:02:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378105532637093889
@saucerlit @itsredactedYT Maybe a drone.

2021-04-02T20:35:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378083787112734721
@Erwal4 It's useful to understand all the ways something MIGHT be faked, so you don't get fooled into thinking it's impossible (or very difficult) to fake. Some things are easier than you'd think.

I'm collecting methods here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/how-do-you-stage-ufo-photos-and-videos-let-us-count-the-ways.11674/

2021-04-02T20:02:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378075429571944450
@MrHustleMCR @PostDisclosure He's far too busy doing podcasts to have time for my podcast!

2021-04-02T19:59:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378074704393605120
@skytriangles On a plane?

2021-04-02T19:46:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378071441774497793
@Osito_4 It's a cigar-shaped UFO. Maybe I should have made it a little smaller

---

2021-04-02T19:35:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378068690084581377
@pstanley123 Sound is the easy part. I just took it from a video. You could also play it in the background to get the sound in real-time, and have a genuine in-camera verifiable video.

Sound source:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zWrp3WE-fkA

2021-04-02T19:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378064855115067392
@Disclosure89 @DusterePodcast @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan @dave_beaty Interacts with the atmosphere? How do you figure? It seems entirely immune to the atmosphere. Maintaining constant speed regardless of huge changes in the wind cross-section and attack angle.

2021-04-02T19:13:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378063122561343490
@dave_beaty @Dogbert34664346 @jimmy2cans @DusterePodcast @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan Yeah, and of course you could also use 4K video, for more realism. This is a quick way of adding the window glare and realistic camera movements.

Here's a VERY quick example. (i.e. could be way better with a couple of hours work)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378062976763060224/pu/img/K5kK29DdOGXeGkDD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378062976763060224/pu/img/K5kK29DdOGXeGkDD.jpg
2021-04-02T19:05:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378061094850203648
@PredatoryPanic Here you go. It's not actually that bad, because focus is a bit off, the main extra clue being the lack of movement of the cloud.
https://www.metabunk.org/f/4k%20fake.mov

2021-04-02T19:01:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378059961373061120
By request. Cigar-shaped UFO out of the plane window!

Although I think I zoomed in a bit much here. Still, demonstrate the basic principle of a hybrid CGI+Physical fake video.

The sound is vintage. https://t.co/OBV4aEdzoS
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378059826140340225/pu/img/oyaXY6tGQ0-bue7k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378059826140340225/pu/img/oyaXY6tGQ0-bue7k.jpg
2021-04-02T18:43:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378055494045212676
@Dogbert34664346 @jimmy2cans @DusterePodcast @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan @dave_beaty I had a quick bash at the basic setup. Watch until the end.

Someone with a bit more spare time could easily get a UFO in there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378055321772380161/pu/img/utjj0pqMzHTeC8gI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378055321772380161/pu/img/utjj0pqMzHTeC8gI.jpg
2021-04-02T18:40:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378054836910891010
Fake videos, like this one, are often shared in very low resolution because it hides the flaws. I shot this in 4K, but this is the 360p version. I've seen FAR worse.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378054318767546372/pu/img/QlrJuLEmrwfJNsu3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1378054318767546372/pu/img/QlrJuLEmrwfJNsu3.jpg
2021-04-02T18:14:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1378048210082533378
@TruthBe05900844 @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner A thought experiment? I'm pretty sure Chis is being very very serious here when he talks about "preparing for the potentially far-reaching ramifications"

So what might those preparations be?

2021-04-02T17:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378041439574061058

@BenHolsinger1 @ufotruthdigger To make it seem more legitimate.

2021-04-02T17:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378036767022936067

@BenHolsinger1 @ufotruthdigger Initial impression: looks fake to me. The light direction seems wrong http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex_EllMVEAEn7UI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex_EQYyVoAAEBKt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex_EllMVEAEn7UI.jpg

2021-04-02T15:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378010741060509699

@Bozzwell @Bobbybigrig @canyonoutlook @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner That's not what I think at all. What are you even basing that on?

The footage is real, and it shows unidentified flying objects. Probably planes and a ballon, but still unidentified.

2021-04-02T15:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378008493962055681

@jimmy2cans @DusterePodcast @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan @dave_beaty Well, I don't think it's a flying saucer actually flying around a plane. It's obviously a hoax.

But I think it's always an interesting challenge to think about how it might be done with a model. Maybe a printed backdrop, a sheet of perspex, and a saucer on some fishing line.

2021-04-02T15:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1378007568275017733

@Bozzwell @Bobbybigrig @canyonoutlook @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner Let's look at an example, the "Aura" around GIMBAL. Did I not explain what that probably (almost certainly) is? Is this not useful to know? Would you prefer NOT to know this?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2021-04-02T14:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377997079746781184

@SimonSund Still working on it. New Conspiracy ep next week.

2021-04-02T14:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377995593495113728

@Bozzwell @canyonoutlook @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner Iâ□□m not stopping anyone, just doing a bit of fact checking and clarification.

2021-04-02T14:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377993475514191878

@DusterePodcast @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan @dave_beaty Out of context low quality clips wi generic audio are much easier to fake than HD long clips that pan around, zoom, have reaction audio.

Lighting seems off, but hard to tell.

2021-04-02T06:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377871704609890306

@MrC1549 @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner I don't know. But I also think it's unlikely to happen, so I've not given it much thought. If we are preparing for unlikely stuff, then I think preparing for an inbound asteroid would be a bigger priority. And ahead of that there's mega-tsunamis, pandemics, etc. Higher priority.

2021-04-02T06:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377864195392212994

@Bhima_Jenkins @OceanSwimm @BrianDunning @PostDisclosure @lesliekean Brian does lots of research for his podcast and videos. I'm just more nerdy in certain areas.

2021-04-02T06:00:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377863466107596800

@BabbleAlien @JohnJRamboEsq I agree it's a bad argument. I don't agree that we know all photos are "illegitimate" - however, they certainly could be, and if "legitimate" means "aliens", then they probably are all illegitimate.

2021-04-02T01:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377793473055629320

@MichaelEMann @GlobalEcoGuy I think most geoengineering researchers would prefer it not be used. But someone (say China) might still try to do it. So is it not sensible to study it so we can see exactly how bad an idea it actually is, then use the science to formulate international regulations and/or bans?

2021-04-02T01:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377789846647803909

@edwardcurrent You need to press the point. Ask them, if none of their evidence stands up to

scrutiny, then how can they be sure any of it does?
Maybe get them to narrow it down, but not to one. Ask them to pick three or five claims of evidence they think are true.

2021-04-02T01:04:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377789022215413761
@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 The hairs on my arms stand up whenever I'm startled by something in the dark. It's a natural human response to THINKING there's something there.

2021-04-02T01:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377788679637278722
@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 Some panoramic images covering the entire room would solve the puzzle.

2021-04-02T00:59:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377787729140883457
@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 This looks like one of two things:
A) The light in the room from a screen, like a TV or computer, changing as it shows different images.
B) The camera on your phone adjusting the white balance and/or exposure as you move it.
Possibly a combination.

2021-04-02T00:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377786108721819649
@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 Okay, post a video.

2021-04-02T00:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377785873761067014
@ThorntonVallery @BrianDunning @fcain @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @IDoubtIt @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse They "shared their memories" when they ran into the tuck shop and told the teacher there. They continued after that.

But there's no verifiable record, except for a few video clips and some of the drawings.

Why hide all the drawings? Why hide all the video? Doesn't it match?

2021-04-02T00:49:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377785223417458688
@ThorntonVallery @BrianDunning @fcain @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @IDoubtIt @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse And if this is such an amazing case, then where is all the data? Why have the interviewers and drawing been kept secret for so long (except for a few selected examples).

What's up with that @ArielPhenomenon?

2021-04-02T00:44:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377783964761346054

@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 We can Skype while in different countries.

Just show your best video, for a start.

2021-04-02T00:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377783646329835521

@ThorntonVallery @BrianDunning @fcain @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @IDoubtIt @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse If they had already shared their memories, then why did he need to interview them? They gave him more details to flush out the story. Kids like to give adults what they want, even though it's not always a conscious thing.

2021-04-02T00:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377783329513107458

@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 Show some videos then.

2021-04-02T00:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377778236357140480

RT @keithboykin: Lester Holt is exactly right. Journalists need not cover â□□both sidesâ□□ of issues when one side is demonstrably false.

If sâ□¦

2021-04-02T00:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377778160985468930

@Rosieroadrunner @ArizonaMirror @JerodMacEvoy Some days there are lots of contrails because of the weather.

You get them around regions of high upper-air humidity, like the green blob here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex7Z1RbVIAElq8_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex7Z1RbVIAElq8_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex7ZdqWU8AEoBuk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex7ZjF7VcAEje09.jpg

2021-04-02T00:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377777048274411520

@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 Now you can hardly accuse me of not going to the UK to stand on the moors hoping to see a UFO because I'm afraid.

There are far more practical reasons not to do it. Cost being one.

2021-04-02T00:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377776590407364611

@ThorntonVallery @BrianDunning @fcain @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @IDoubtIt @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse It absolutely has something to do with it.

Bad interviewing of children can unintentionally implant memories that seem real, and so later they have something of those same memories.

If nobody had interviewed them at all, what would they "remember" now?

2021-04-02T00:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377776153776123904

@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 I don't try CE5 because I think it's a waste of time. If people could reliably (or even occasionally) summon aliens, then we'd have actual evidence of it.

Suppose though, if I wanted to try CE5, how do I do it for free?

2021-04-01T22:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377753179035553792

@ThorntonVallery @edwardcurrent @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner I'm asking what actual action should be taken?

Should the President go on TV and say: "mentally prepare yourself for aliens, which might explain some of these weird sightings"?

2021-04-01T22:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377746312972541953

@canyonoutlook @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner Are you going to do this?

2021-04-01T21:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377734389010866176

@shurtado7 @discernment_dr @Altouiz @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner @UNOOSA Okay, how do we remove the stigma, as part of preparing for the UAP report.

We don't have a lot of time.

2021-04-01T21:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377732653646897152

@ThorntonVallery @edwardcurrent @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner Okay, those are all very concrete things.

What would we do to prepare for aliens?

2021-04-01T21:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377732180856557571

@ianfraserjacks1 @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner The government is not promoting it. They barely mention it.

2021-04-01T21:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377731638507958272

@Numenor33 @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner The problem is: you can't prepare people for a new reality on a contingent basis. You can't say "There MIGHT be aliens, so y'all get ready"

That's not going to work. Suddenly introducing vast uncertainty and fear. Why do it?

2021-04-01T21:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377730584605155333

@shurtado7 @discernment_dr @Altouiz @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner @UNOOSA What stigma in the mainstream media?

Seriously, the slightest HINT of "aliens are real" gets major attention. This report will get significant coverage even if it just says "suspicious drones and lights"

2021-04-01T21:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377729864233414658

@Altouiz @discernment_dr @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner You mean if solving pizzle was boring and annoying? Probably not. I don't understand what you are getting at.

2021-04-01T19:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377709447577968643

@Altouiz @discernment_dr @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner The visceral enjoyment of correctly figuring things out that were initially puzzling.

2021-04-01T19:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377704141238067202

@Altouiz @discernment_dr @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner How does one "prepare" genuine curiosity?

2021-04-01T19:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377697776021037057

RT @ThamKhaiMeng: @coreyspowell @EdwardTufte No this is neither a mirage nor a superior mirage that's been floated around by the media inclâ¦

2021-04-01T19:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377697607632318467

@ThorntonVallery @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner Right, like that's super important. Obviously now what he meant.

So what do you think needs to be done to prepare?

2021-04-01T18:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377697055859109892

@discernment_dr @Altouiz @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner No more than usual. I'm genuinely curious as to what Chris means by "we need to begin preparing."

Exopolitics? Bigger Telescopes? Mental health counseling? Military readiness? Investment diversity? Underground bunkers? Alien outreach? What?

2021-04-01T18:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377688334936338433

@Altouiz @ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner How is that preparing? What do you actually do? What should the governments around the world be doing?

2021-04-01T18:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377687899768905731

@mspaceinc No. As I've said before, if there was something repeatable there, then me going there isn't really going to change that truth. On TV we see an extreme distillation and semi-dramatization. Reality would be FAR less interesting.

2021-04-01T17:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377678893772013571

@ChristopherKMe4 @dandrezner So how are you proposing we prepare for possible evidence of visiting aliens?

What plan of action do you envisage here?

2021-04-01T15:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377651823176126464

@bobofango86 I think CGI is more likely. But I'd put puppets well above aliens on the list of possibilities.

2022-07-27T00:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552090915275018241

@blackvaultcom @THughesPhoto I'd think the head of NASA probably gets sent a bunch of UFO videos. I doubt it has any influence.

2022-07-26T21:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1552036205792858112

@FutureRider4 @OwatcherUf @PhillipJeffre16 @TxUFOSightings I think the one flashing object is probably a plane.

2022-07-26T13:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551928774786252800

@FutureRider4 @OwatcherUf @PhillipJeffre16 @TxUFOSightings What is debunked in the flying green pyramid case is the claim that the videos show several flying green pyramids. They show mostly stars, a light on the ship, and one aircraft with human-like lights.
There's a minor mystery as to what the aircraft is, but that's not bunk.

2022-07-26T03:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551775686880202753

@IUHoosierSteve This might be helpful
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40c_QMcH-nY

2022-07-26T03:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551771668300763136

@IUHoosierSteve Probably rendered. Youâ□□d have to give me a specific example though.

2022-07-26T03:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551766758729478144

@IUHoosierSteve Not at all.

2022-07-26T03:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551766600038039552

@BostonsBuddha @travisstaylor1 Iâ□□d be happy to. Travis?

2022-07-26T03:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551766276439101440

@kimball_23 Sure, DM me.

2022-07-26T00:39:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551728866330218496

@its_only_Gaz @GM4AJK Because some people think there is something to it.

2022-07-25T20:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551662511732101120

@apicasefiles @Oswald1160 @OMApproach @DavidJayB @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen @Sigmondo77 @Manning123Sm @Pewpew841 Yeah, the P900 is 2000mm equivalent and has a 1Â° FOV at full zoom, when focussed at infinity. For narrow FOV you can just use 2000/FOV (i.e. 0.35 would be 5700mm equivalent)

2022-07-25T19:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551656033809969152

@BrainwashSyka @schizlamic I think that was probably LHO alone, at least with the shooting.

2022-07-25T19:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551655579776532483

@ashworth_mick @thoreauawhelan Snide laughs? When was this?

2022-07-25T19:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551655270782119936

@BrainwashSyka @schizlamic The article lists several conspiracies. A conspiracy theory is a theory because it lacks good evidence.

2022-07-25T16:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551611673940611072

@its_only_Gaz It was a fun little case, that's all. Not everyone agrees that it is solved.

2022-07-25T16:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551611111958794240

@DeepSpace41 @PhillipJeffre16 Personally, I would not even say that, as it comes across as a personal attack and further derails the conversation. Hateful actions will incriminate themselves if you politely rise above the fray.

2022-07-25T16:45:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551609473474576389

Puzzling lights in the sky over Chicago, more specifically over Soldier Field, even more specifically over The Weeknd's Sunday light show with "flickering lasers [and] space-bound spotlights,"

https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/w7r56b/lights_over_chicago/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYhsAV0UYAAyqek.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYhsAV0UYAAyqek.jpg

2022-07-25T16:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551606723625959424

@Oswald1160 @OMApproach @DavidJayB @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen @Sigmondo77 @Manning123Sm @Pewpew841 Contrails help you spot where the plane is, then you can zoom in to take a photo.

The Navy ATFLIR vides are all zoomed in to <1Â° FOV.

2022-07-25T16:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551603593488113664

@schizlamic "Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people make secret plans at the expense of the general public should come as no surprise to anyone. Nixon conspired to cover up Watergate. The CIA staged â□□false flagâ□□ operations in 1953 ..."

https://www.salon.com/2018/09/16/how-to-pull-a-friend-out-of-the-conspiracy-theory-rabbit-hole/

2022-07-25T16:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551599791892680705

@PhillipJeffre16 I don't sweat it. But labeling is an obstacle to communication in a variety of contexts.

2022-07-25T16:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551599462287491073

@marcbel19406167 That's certainly another way of rationalizing my disagreements with you. But it's also wrong.

2022-07-25T15:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551597221883158528

Something I didn't expect in the ways people pathologize my disagreement with them was for one of them to be attributed to game developer crunch.
https://twitter.com/DisclosureWith/status/1551551894685876226

2022-07-25T15:51:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551595985607962624
@DavidJayB @OMApproach @lbf_tweet @Oswald1160 @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen @Sigmondo77 @Manning123Sm @Pewpew841 A while back Metabunk was looking into how far away you could see contrails (with the naked eye and then photographed). There were lots of examples in the 100-200 mile range - although good lighting was helpful.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/whats-the-farthest-distance-a-contrail-is-visible-at.5628/

2022-07-25T15:27:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551589864004497408
@DavidJayB @OMApproach @lbf_tweet @Oswald1160 @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen @Sigmondo77 @Manning123Sm @Pewpew841 That's one for Sitrec, eventually.

2022-07-25T15:15:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551586840322265090
About the desire to pathologize disagreements, the curious symmetry of this desire and how it manifests in the topics of 9/11, Chemtrails, and my childhood alien "trauma."

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/when-conspiracists-psychoanalyze.12536/

2022-07-25T03:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551407105252343809
@kimball_23 A crop circle?

2022-07-25T01:23:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551377635267121152
@Tsoukalos Sleep is for tortoises. Besides, Iâ□□m in California.

Look, I know itâ□□s entertainment, but some people might mistake it for good science. So it needs critique. Feel free to critique back.

2022-07-25T00:44:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551367771711107072
@Oswald1160 @DavidJayB @MvonRen @Sigmondo77 @Manning123Sm @ZaineMichael1 @Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach IIRC the video I showed was the same Raytheon pod, essentially. The AF was trialing it, but they went with Sniper.

2022-07-25T00:39:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551366411108306944
@CommonEnts No aura there. What are you referring to? Screenshot it.

2022-07-25T00:35:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551365485849034752
@Tsoukalos You might not expect it, but thatâ□□s what youâ□□d get.

2022-07-25T00:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551363035637301248

@CommonEnts Essentially the same thing

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2022-07-24T23:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551351487191584768

@kimball_23 Lot's of evidence can't be explained because it's insufficient. But there's nothing that's unambiguously beyond our conventional observations and understanding. No anti-gravity, no ultra-acceleration, no smooth transmedium.

2022-07-24T23:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551349163983376384

@marcbel19406167 @DavidJayB @leSt0rm The Nimitz discussion on Metabunk started on Oct 23, 2017. Nobody suggested it was fake. The analysis was all done assuming it was a real Navy video, as was later confirmed.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo-flir-footage-flir1.9190/

2022-07-24T19:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551284628681502720

@NPandrick @ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit Well, this case certainly succumbed to analysis. One thing at a time.

2022-07-24T18:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551268301254246400

IMAX isn't the best camera to capture a UFO. No one camera is the best. The best is actually MULTIPLE camera, filming from different vantage points. 8K HDR RAW would be nice, but what you really need is to demonstrate extraordinary motion. Triangulate.

https://youtu.be/Gf0l6UZxcnw?t=165

2022-07-24T17:54:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551264671524536321

@dannyn424 Link?

2022-07-24T17:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551264422923943936

@Omengod Hence I'm asking Dr. Taylor if he actually stands by it - especially given that he repeated similar things at the UFO disclosure symposium.

2022-07-24T17:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551254645745467393

@GM4AJK Acronyms have multiple usages. I don't think anyone is going to be confused.

2022-07-24T17:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551253809443196928

5/5 Then saying it looks like a "near perfect" match to some ancient rock carving that looks nothing at all like it... It's ancient aliens, but it's also real scientists.

@travisstaylor1 do you stand by ANY of the analyses in this clip? Or can we agree it's IB6830 and move on? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcomZjUcAAAuzJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcomZjUcAAAuzJ.jpg
2022-07-24T17:07:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551252860742283266

4/ and of course, like pretty much every plane filmed in IR, there's a "cold" region around it which isn't cold, isn't anything physically, just an artifact of the camera. Bizarrely, they include an example of this "aura", but fail to see it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcoFq4UIAA8XHN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcoFq4UIAA8XHN.jpg
2022-07-24T17:04:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551252030307454976

3/ More of a physics puzzle, why does the contrail seem "hot"?

Simple answer, the same reason the clouds seem "hot". They are not hot, they are cold, just not as cold as the <-80Â° apparent temperature of empty sky against which ice seems hot.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcnZK3VsAA_Dqp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcnZK3VsAA_Dqp.jpg
2022-07-24T17:01:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551251274242215938
2/ At the simplest level, this was solved because we have both the radar data and the ADS-B data that shows that flight IB6830 was exactly where that UFO was, same time, same size, same velocity, everything. Perspective makes it look like it's moving sideways, it's flying away

---

2022-07-24T16:59:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551250686163046400
1/ We don't expect good science from Ancient Aliens, but you'd think the future "chief scientist" for the UAPTF would be better at analyzing UFO videos than was shown in their treatment of the Chilean IB6830 case - solved back in 2017.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1kzLHb79cVk

2022-07-24T16:13:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551239189126991872
@istoelibertario Unfortunately poor quality, it's a small moving light.

2022-07-24T15:52:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551233835811028993
Aguadilla is currently a bit flakey while I figure out some asynchronous loading stuff.

2022-07-24T15:49:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1551232991455617024
#Sitrec update: added a drop down selector so you can quickly see (and change to) all available situations (sitches).

They are in varying levels of workingness. SWR and Ariel are just locations. Pt. Dume is a flat earth thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcVj6lVUAEI8kg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYcVj6lVUAEI8kg.jpg

2022-07-24T14:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551215253597216768

@Sigmondo77 @MvonRen @Manning123Sm @ZaineMichael1 @Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach Iâ□□d look for images that are in a similar situation - NAR, distance and background. Not cherry-pick a bunch of close-ups from cruise videos that donâ□□t really relate.

2022-07-24T14:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551212866346176512

@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @OMApproach @lbf_tweet @MvonRen No, it does not. Graves description is ambiguous, and does not match the simulator except in the very loosest of interpretations - and even then, not really. "Stopping and waiting" isn't something that happens in the sim.

2022-07-24T14:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551207537441026048

@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @OMApproach @lbf_tweet @MvonRen A big if.

2022-07-24T13:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551203291807109122

@CloudJimi @the_cholla @lbf_tweet @OMApproach Itâ□□s not about saying. Itâ□□s about showing.

2022-07-24T13:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551202842790072320

@AnalystLiii @JeremyCorbell None of it points at â□□transmediumâ□□ craft. That is an extreme reach by Corbell.

2022-07-24T13:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551202355667865601

@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @OMApproach @lbf_tweet @MvonRen Thereâ□□s nothing wrong with the glare hypothesis - you are raising issues with what is behind the glare. Itâ□□s still very much looking like glare.

2022-07-24T10:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551159333911552001

Newer cases in Sitrec require the use of Chrome. Still very much a work in progress (some bugs with camera control, for example), you can play with the Hayle Beach sitch here:
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=hayle

2022-07-24T10:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551158827348738049

Added the ability to import AE motion tracking data to the Sitrec tool, allowing recreation of static camera cases, like Hayle Beach - which looks like a drone, 300 feet away, doing just 10 mph.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1551158010659033088/pu/img/4va8kZNj-ubB0lrK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1551158010659033088/pu/img/4va8kZNj-ubB0lrK.jpg

2022-07-24T10:43:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551156002187145217

@gornalalbion I don't think either of them are lying.

2022-07-24T10:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551154672013942784

@billgockeler @LeslieLinnie No, the video is too poor in quality - just lights, low resolution, and a LOT of compression.

I suspect it's just two military aircraft. Light configurations can lead to people interpreting shapes. If night vision can't see the shape, maybe their eyes could not either.

2022-07-24T10:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551152336776531968

@AnalystLiii @JeremyCorbell I'm serious, but I've not done a recreation of this sighting, just demonstrates the issue of a light/heat source going behind the horizon.

Someone shouting "splash" does not mean that something hit the water, just that someone thought it did. The video indicates otherwise.

2022-07-24T09:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551142477414903810

@AnalystLiii @JeremyCorbell Yes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2022-07-24T07:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551111547862130688

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @OMApproach @MvonRen And while it's the only ATFLIR footage, it disproves the basic claim. It only takes one.

And there's plenty from other systems.

2022-07-24T07:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551110892351148032

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @OMApproach @MvonRen Marik's footage isn't really useful, as there's no distances, and it's largely taken from "cruise" videos which are not going to show what were looking for.
His descriptions are also very misleading, as you know. I blocked him a while back for that type of endless nonsense.

2022-07-24T04:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1551062946448429056

@AnalystLiii @JeremyCorbell How do you know it transitioned? How do you know it even hit the water?

2022-07-23T23:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550986803846402049

@marcbel19406167 I did say spider. A spider would have the same effect but with more freedom of motion.

The rain simply demonstrates that we get dark bokeh with things on the glass.

2022-07-23T23:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550986398894678016

@DK71 No, not at all. Only if it was so small, that it appeared as a point of light.

2022-07-23T23:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550986102818738176

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @OMApproach How many? What does that number matter? The point is that it does happen, like here:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550985978621284352/pu/img/Vjjcdco-1i1Mg-fP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550985978621284352/pu/img/Vjjcdco-1i1Mg-fP.jpg

2022-07-23T22:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550977339349012480

@Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach Confounding factor: there's very little ATLFIR footage outside of "cruise" videos, which are designed to be exciting. A distant small blob just isn't that interesting.

2022-07-23T22:51:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550976876268494848

@Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976820660486144/pu/img/4z2EwgZIPYT1OdJl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976820660486144/pu/img/4z2EwgZIPYT1OdJl.jpg
2022-07-23T22:50:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550976727014199296
@Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976693417832449/pu/img/37cFK-6aT1MW1iIb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976693417832449/pu/img/37cFK-6aT1MW1iIb.jpg
2022-07-23T22:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550976493882290176
@Pewpew841 @lbf_tweet @OMApproach Glare size isn't a simple linear function of distance. There's quite a few variables.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976200574521344/pu/img/bTh19ruSnYFxtgeU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550976200574521344/pu/img/bTh19ruSnYFxtgeU.jpg
2022-07-23T18:51:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550916545714331648
@Muscrat2 Lots of odd mistakes, but I guess the general sense is okay.

2022-07-23T17:12:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550891530679816197
@SnakePo9 @BrushWandering @WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2 Case closed on this one.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550891238475177984

2022-07-23T17:11:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550891238475177984
Raindrops on the glass cover of a weather camera appear at times like a fleet of flying saucers.

Source: https://www.mychamplainvalley.com/weather/sky-tracker-cam/ Video date 2022-7-18
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550891058241753088/pu/img/mShpAyHKA7w_DPUS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550891058241753088/pu/img/mShpAyHKA7w_DPUS.jpg
2022-07-23T17:02:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550889066962374656
There's a whole fleet of them!

h/t u/ImpossibleMindset
https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/w5dzlg/comment/ih8hsda/?utm_source=reddit&utm_medium=web2x&context=3 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYXdG3ZUsAAwn3g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYXdG3ZUsAAwn3g.jpg
2022-07-23T16:53:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550886749307449344
@Chris1331Ellis @harrison_fnord Since it's massively out of focus, all you see is the bokeh

shape.

2022-07-23T14:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550856579490009088

@PThegermandude @OwatcherUf Because aliens are not humans.

2022-07-23T14:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550855401347788801

RT @BillKristol: Orban is scheduled to be the opening speaker at the Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC) on August 4 in Dallas.â¦

2022-07-23T14:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550855196678311937

@OwatcherUf Different.

2022-07-23T14:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550855021289320449

@bhkufg VanHerck said. "What I would report to you is I've yet to find one that had aliens or was a spaceship that we've identified."

2022-07-23T14:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550852519084994560

NORAD has been tracking UFOs for decades, obviously, because that is their job. None of them seem to be spaceship-like.

Itâ□□s not like the military has been ignoring airspace incursions. They are aggressively investigated. https://twitter.com/Aviation_Intel/status/1550664395486679040

2022-07-23T14:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550850581450739712

@DarrylJonkemp @FullStackLogan â□□Lens flareâ□□ generally covers any type of internal reflection with the camera system.

2022-07-23T14:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550849832092192769

@lbf_tweet @OMApproach There is plenty of footage, including from ATFLIR, where the glare obscured the plane.

2022-07-23T14:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550847630325862407

@hr7x @NYRebelLV @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell Thanks!

2022-07-23T14:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550847320865902592

@tgflynn314 @WasilenkoAlex They are not, but the round ones donâ□□t make interesting images, so we donâ□□t pay them much attention.

2022-07-23T14:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550846905470427137

@hr7x @NYRebelLV @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell Iâ□□m sorry that you feel that way.

2022-07-23T13:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550842162622779392

@zcichy Kind of ambiguous tho.

2022-07-23T13:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550840206806241280

@AnalystLiii @JeremyCorbell Totally false. A heat source gradually vanishing behind the horizon is not â□□transmediumâ□□

2022-07-23T13:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550839660607287298

@thewoody We know why. Itâ□□s because of lobbying. Chris Mellon thinks the Aguadilla video shows a craft with transmedium abilities.

2022-07-23T13:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550837315487948801

@OMApproach There isn't a limit, things just get smaller, and glare usually gets bigger relative to those things.

And that case was proven 100% by the ADS-B data.

2022-07-23T13:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550836742302756864

@hr7x @NYRebelLV @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell It was obvious to many people when the videos were released. With the analysis, explanations, demonstrations, comparisons, new videos, and congressional testimony, it is now obvious to most people.

2022-07-23T08:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550765863556395010

@DK71 It will look like an out-of-focus triangle.

2022-07-23T00:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550632479744073728

@KalKorff @ArtL7 @JasonColavito @blackvaultcom No briefing here. But my view is essentially more of the same. I hope they are better at resolving cases than the previous team. I look forward to whatever they produce. I encourage as much openness and data sharing as possible - because that's how things get solved.

2022-07-23T00:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550631789307211777

@upzih @PredatoryPanic @Oswald1160 @rpowell2u Here's a gif from the original video.

You can actually see a slight rotation of the background, even at this distance.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FYTzYgTVEAEsTWg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FYTzYgTVEAEsTWg.jpg

2022-07-22T23:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550631036853551104

@93ben418 @1337j0ekerx @JeremyCorbell Sure, but it's obviously bokeh. So maybe it's not the NV thy think it is?

2022-07-22T23:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550630802219679744

@MilkmanSally this page:

https://bcweathercams.ca/

Some of the airpost cams

http://airports.bcweathercams.ca/

Quesnel Western View http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTyfpXUYAA6ihZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTyfpXUYAA6ihZ.jpg

2022-07-22T23:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550629678116790272

@TheGuitarPhilo1 It almost certainly is. But analysis points to the majority of the apparent movement being from parallax.

2022-07-22T23:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550629029870329856

@MilkmanSally No, it was just some random weather camera. I can't even find it now. Someone linked to a page of them earlier

---

2022-07-22T23:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550621865340571650

@SnakePo9 @BrushWandering @WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2 Because:

1) We know the camera has a diamond-shaped aperture

2) We know dark bokeh is similarly transparent.

3) We know spiders sometimes appear on webcams.

I don't think there's sufficient evidence to devote time to looking for massive camera-aligned transparent objects. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTp5j1VQAANbSQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTp5j1VQAANbSQ.jpg

2022-07-22T23:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550617736270585856

@SnakePo9 @BrushWandering @WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2 An object? A transparent camera-aligned diamond shape hundreds of feet across?

I mean, sure, you go check that possibility, but I don't think that's actually science.

2022-07-22T22:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550615163472007168

This is highly misleading. There are no specific mentions of "undersea" other than one brief sub-definition. The other 56 "usages" are just because "UAP" now stands for "unidentified aerospace-undersea phenomena," and they use that full term instead of "UAP."

https://twitter.com/rpowell2u/status/1550505318068768768

2022-07-22T22:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550612984518418432

@NYRebelLV @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell Why does it need a deposition? It's obvious.

2021-04-01T14:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377628422260846594

@ashworth_mick @UfoStudy @Akam1129 Nope, those are gas flares on oil platforms, it has been analyzed several times. Perfect match.

http://www.astronomyufo.com/UFO/Mexico04i.htm

2021-04-01T06:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377514482486808576

@conzmoleman @SicCoP1 @Disclosure89 @mspaceinc @Lockheed75 Well, there's the lack of reaction from the other passengers, for a start. Then the rather ridiculously smooth motion

relative to the plane even when it's at a significant angle to the wind. Then the reflection seems to be a camcorder, so I'd expect much better resolution

---

2021-04-01T06:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377513526307082244

@ztrussell @paulsanderson @SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-kidd-and-other-ships-drones-encounter-2019.11681/

With my ranked list of possibilites, assuming the deck log is accurate.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3pG-7UcAE8AOY.jpg

1. Conventional Drones, foreign or domestic.
    1. From a nearby ship
    2. From the Kidd or other Navy ship (maybe test of anti-drone tech)
    3. From a sub
    4. From a plane
2. Ordinary phenomena, misidentified
    1. Lights on distant planes
    2. Venus and other heavenly bodies
    3. Birds, possibly lit by searchlights
    4. St Elmo's fire
    5. On-board lights
3. Advanced Human Technology
    1. Super long-range conventional drones
    2. Unconventional lift/thrust technology (anti-gravity, massless reaction)
    3. Plasma "holograms" or other projected images
4. Non-Human Technology
    1. Aliens
5. Something else (no list of possibilities is complete)
6. A combination of some of the above

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3pG-7UcAE8AOY.jpg

2021-04-01T06:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377511170710536196

@ztrussell @paulsanderson @SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden I doubt that's what happened with the Kidd. But I would not rule out fancy drones.

2021-04-01T06:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377510485105340419

@paulsanderson @SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden Sorry, lack of characters. I mean far advanced beyond current technology and even physics. Need a better descriptor. Like "revolutionary technology" or more intense.

2021-04-01T06:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377506991405342721

@paulsanderson @SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden All of which could simply mean fancy quadcopters.

2021-04-01T06:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377506840896933888

@SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden I'm waiting for the report with great interest.

2021-04-01T06:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377503303660003331

@cameronrad The rings are just from variations in color of the marble reflecting lighter and darker. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3f0lPVEAA2RqK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3f0lPVEAA2RqK.jpg

2021-04-01T06:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377501883867090947

@Berserk97981716 Well, then, let's say we went from "really hard to fake, so I actually think aliens are more likely" to "meh, looks like an animator's demo reel, so probably not aliens"

2021-04-01T06:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377501357213503492

@SicCoP1 @BrianDunning @BabbleAlien @paulsanderson @fcain @IDoubtIt @AFSUnidentified @RevMacLive @joinClubhouse @JoeBiden They are not saying there are aliens or that there actually are advanced technology craft flying. Just that some data (and eyewitness reports) indicate unusual movements. So they are trying to figure out why that is the case.
There are several possible explanations by case

2021-04-01T05:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377499361853108227

@atotalshunt @SomewhereSkies It was 2011, not that long ago. Some guy working at a game studio could do it in their spare time. Some of the large studios have dedicated mocap stages that they could use after hours (or just use some generic NPC mocap.) Then the fake old-movie filter hides the rough edges.

2021-04-01T05:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377497957411430402

@NIH_LLAMAS Correct. It took me a surprisingly long time to figure out.

2021-04-01T05:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377494679399243776

Day and night versions of the portal in my bathroom.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3X0UZUUAYxTpz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3XyZ8UUAIwUAu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3X0UZUUAYxTpz.jpg

2021-04-01T05:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377493905428553728

@cameronrad And, bingo, it's the edge of the counter. Here's a different version.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3XYl6U8AILrG1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex3XYl6U8AILrG1.jpg

2021-04-01T05:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377493599185592322

@cameronrad That wedge is from multiple lights. Where it's brighter you can see all six, then one less for each gradation.

2021-04-01T05:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377492065282531336

@cameronrad Close.

2021-04-01T05:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377491937641394177

@Berserk97981716 But that's not what happened. We went from "impossible to fake, so it's an alien" to "possible to fake, so it's either fake or an alien".

And we can then use our knowledge on the relative frequency of real and fake aliens.

2021-04-01T05:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377491225335373826

@cameronrad None of the above, although I thought diffraction at first, but then, no. It's nothing to do with the window mesh. The cause is in the image.

2021-04-01T04:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377483534173016067

@mebsites Surface Analytical Chemistry, in 1990.

2021-04-01T04:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377482288951267330

@2x109O That's just the autofocus, probably on Venus. Mine was a real thing.

2021-04-01T04:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377476379583778824

@SGuy8bit Nope.

2021-04-01T01:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377431881277304832

@SicCoP1 @Disclosure89 @mspaceinc @Lockheed75 And 240p is pretty poor, even for 2008.

Fake, but nicely done with the window dirt.

2021-04-01T01:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377430302453166081

@SicCoP1 @Disclosure89 @mspaceinc @Lockheed75 It's not an F-18 window, for a start.

2021-04-01T01:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377430120042962945

Fun optics puzzle.

What is going on here? Sunlight through my bathroom window creating a circular pattern on the wall. When I put my hand in the way, there's a concentric ring of shadow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377428575037493250/pu/img/1QZUTQSNN5UupYjf

.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377428575037493250/pu/img/1QZUTQSNN5UupYjf.jpg
2021-04-01T00:13:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377413881597845505
The "World Renowned Physicist", Douglas G, Frank. Did have a moment in the 1990s, but then went on to design scientific instruments for the bowling industry for a while and is now a math teacher.

Ironically, that's probably the more qualifying job.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2OWK-VIAIBVq-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2OWK-VIAIBVq-.jpg
2021-03-31T23:56:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377409443529302016
@discernment_dr @Sacfoodie916 @carlanderson333 That would be when someone sends me a video and the answer is in the comments section :)

2021-03-31T23:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377404260841742336

The problem with Lindell's "irrefutable evidence" is that people know it's bullshit cherry-picking, and the election is over, so people are not going to bother debunking it in depth.

So it's probably going to get a little momentum.

Is it worth addressing? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2FJEyVEAQTMq7.jpg

---

Home » News Releases »
Mike Lindell TV Releases Irrefutable Election Theft Proof on New Television Special That Features World Renowned Physicist

Mike Lindell TV Releases Irrefutable Election Theft Proof on New Television Special That Features World Renowned Physicist

News Releases / By LindellTV



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2FJEyVEAQTMq7.jpg
2021-03-31T23:20:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377400536522059776
@Sacfoodie916 @carlanderson333 I'd have to go for fake, for reasons other people have raised - very few posts, unclear source, and snow on the ground "last night" when there wasn't any.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2Bs7qUYAEnM1l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ex2Bs7qUYAEnM1l.jpg

2021-03-31T21:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377371908002304000

@DennisS56518824 @jvpercy @Oswald1160 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp It varies. Pick one example and I'll explain.

2021-03-31T19:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377346383204651008

@saucerlit @Bhima_Jenkins @SomewhereSkies I read it. Does not really change anything - he agrees it's possibly faked, just think it would take a lot of effort. Heck, maybe it was a film student and some friends doing it for fun.

2021-03-31T19:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377346038579687426

@Ufc3O

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-03-31T18:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377332421360644106

@ActuallyImGood Very limited movements. If there was something like it moving around or picking something up, or the video quality was better, then I might lean towards CGI.

2021-03-31T18:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377331294799941641

@ActuallyImGood You can't Why does it matter? The point is it's not impossible to fake it.

2021-03-31T18:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377328091870072832

@Bhima_Jenkins @SomewhereSkies Well, yes, I'm showing you something way better than Skinny Bob from 25 years earlier. Animatoroncs only improved over time. So getting a low

quality fake like Skinny Bob isn't hard.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pkpWCu1k0ZI

2021-03-31T18:17:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377324177091268609
@SomewhereSkies Useful puppet reference:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377324134091288576/pu/img/8YvFJsyuZlzbhd5I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377324134091288576/pu/img/8YvFJsyuZlzbhd5I.jpg
2021-03-31T18:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377323929786744834
"Skinny Bob" is low resolution degraded video of an "Alien" uploaded in 2011. People think it's real because the "alien" blinks.

Here's Hoggle from the movie Labyrinth, 1986.
https://twitter.com/SomewhereSkies/status/1377314591642894344
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377323870470828038/pu/img/r2uNQz1cz2fs_jMb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1377323870470828038/pu/img/r2uNQz1cz2fs_jMb.jpg
2021-03-31T18:00:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377319952345034756
@mspaceinc @UfoStudy @SomewhereSkies I never felt it was worth any time. It's possible to fake things like this, and the video seems obviously degraded. Is it supposed to be from 1900?

2021-03-31T17:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377319553009512456
@WitnessCitizen @LuAngeles @AFSUnidentified @BrianDunning So I have to lobby congress for classified information before I can analyze claims people make about public videos?

If I sign a petition will my analysis suddenly become more credible to you? Or do I actually have to call up my congressman?

How does it work?

2021-03-31T17:05:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377306068619948033
@mspaceinc @Lockheed75 The little white dot is the internal reflection of the street light (jiggling a bit from image stabilization). There's no internal reflection for the three brighter UFO lights, so they are probably added later.

2021-03-31T15:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377279213393223681
@Fiddaman @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp More evidence is always helpful. But I work with what I have. I'm not going to put blind faith in a

small group of people who tease and hint at evidence.

Let's wait for the report.

2021-03-31T15:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377278301241176068

@micksabox @WilsonStraiph @PostDisclosure @Omen_eth @TheUfoJoe @ChristopherKMe4 A quadcopter drone with a 3 hour battery life would meet "physical craft of unknown origin maneuvering in ways that are not possible with current US or foreign national aerospace inventory?"

I'd suggest "... that defy our understanding of conventional physics" or similar

---

2021-03-31T15:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377275116694904840

@jvpercy @Oswald1160 @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp What more did the Chileans need? What resources were they lacking?

2021-03-31T14:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377273632372654081

@jvpercy @Oswald1160 @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Even highly talented experts can make mistakes, fall for illusions, or have gaps in their knowledge. Like in the Chilean case.

2021-03-31T14:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377272114844028932

@micksabox @WilsonStraiph @PostDisclosure @Omen_eth @TheUfoJoe A problem here is that it's a report, not an investigation. So it might contain language like "some consider this data to ... [be aliens]" and just be referring to Eric W. Davis. That would meet your question, but not be anything new or significant.

2021-03-31T14:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377269982254407684

@Fiddaman @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Well, that depends on what he said. Taken to an extreme - if he says he saw Santa, then no, if he says he saw a bright light, then probably yes. If he says it was a hovering 40-foot tic-tac, then I'd need some more data to back that up.

2021-03-31T04:45:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377119914528366596

@ryan_ha @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp @tomdelonge @Snowden @ChristopherKMe4 What kind of NDA says you can discuss the existence of an exotic craft flying 50 feet away, but can't say how big it is?

2021-03-31T04:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377117609578008579

@ryan_ha @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp @tomdelonge

And none of those are things we have photos of. Lue claims to have seen a video of something from 50 feet. What's that?

2021-03-31T04:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377114616900116483

@ryan_ha @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp BUt surely there's a huge amount of unclassified things he could tell us about this photo if its existence is not classified. Yet we get nothing at all.

He can tell us there's a photo of an alien craft at 50 feet, but not what color it was?

2021-03-31T03:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377102854440149001

@MiltonTPike1 @conorowens94 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp It varies by individual, and by event.

2021-03-31T02:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377087982788747266

@ryan_ha @SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Isnâ□□t the distance classified? Why not, if everything else is.

2021-03-31T02:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377087410597556226

@MiltonTPike1 @conorowens94 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I donâ□□t really understand the question. I doubt thereâ□□s an alien origin. I explain why I doubt it. Other people differ. YMMV.

2021-03-31T02:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377080486669938691

@MiltonTPike1 @conorowens94 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Should I then have zero doubt about everything Kevin Day says?

2021-03-30T23:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377045445403320320

@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I think he didn't want to comment because he didn't want to back down from the more interesting version of the story. "Glowing Auras" was the NYT headline, it would suck if the headline at the start of the whole thing was a misunderstanding.

But it was.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2021-03-30T23:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377044020178771970

@SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp If Lue can tell you that about the video, then why can't he tell you more? What color was it? How big was it? Did it have surface detail? How did it move?

There are zero reasons he can't tell you that if he's already given specific details. Unless he really doesn't know.

2021-03-30T23:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377043523980066819

@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp And yet when it got down to the details of the three videos, he said things that are almost certainly wrong (the aura), and seems unfamiliar with various explanations.

2021-03-30T23:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377039582252785666

@Numenor33 @MiltonTPike1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Because I don't address notions. I go for specific claims of evidence.

2021-03-30T23:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377039358608347136

@MiltonTPike1 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Who are we talking about here? Who are the multiple expert operators who disagree with something I said?

2021-03-30T22:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377032530793091072

@MiltonTPike1 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp It's possible like anything is, but I don't think it's very likely, and based on the analytical skill demonstrated by the TTSA group I don't have much confidence that their assessment is correct. Nor do I think politicians are especially skilled here.

2021-03-30T22:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377028811217268736

@MiltonTPike1 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Logic isn't something that has an inherent quality.

More right about what? You are going to have to be specific here. I focus mostly on analyzing the videos, and I readily admit that analyzing eyewitness accounts is difficult.

2021-03-30T22:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377028164522635265

@DennisS56518824 @jvpercy @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I'm not opposed to more evidence. Go for it. I just don't see the point when there's a report inbound.

2021-03-30T22:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377022701445947394

@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Why do you trust Lue's judgment, specifically?

2021-03-30T22:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377022480594870276
@SicCoP1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp And yet Lue still feels the need to make the case that the three videos show amazing things.

2021-03-30T22:16:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377021958462656514
@DennisS56518824 @jvpercy @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp People make claims about the videos. I focus on those claims. So I contribute to truth in areas in which I have some expertise. That is a good use of my time (and fun).

There's a report coming out soon, demands for more will just be met with "wait for the report"

2021-03-30T22:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377021155488358402
@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Mostly mistaken.

2021-03-30T22:12:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377021053298307072
@MiltonTPike1 @Numenor33 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Because I've seen the logic behind my theory (which I openly share), and it seems to work.

The "other side" seems to hide everything away, or, quite often, be wrong (like with the "Aura", or GoFast, or even Gimbal).

And again, what experts? Name one who disagrees with me.

2021-03-30T22:08:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377019814653267968
@DennisS56518824 @jvpercy @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Obviously, I might be wrong. Aliens might be visiting us. Warp drives might have been developed in secret. Etc.

The problem here is the lack of accessible evidence of that. Lue's hints are not good evidence.

2021-03-30T22:06:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377019513451933698
@scratchrobot Slagboom en Peeters Aerial Surveys

https://www.slagboomenpeeters.com/en

2021-03-30T22:03:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377018711748440064
@officer_pinky Not unless everyone agrees to be recorded. I'm assuming not, there are several hosts.

2021-03-30T22:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377018421297049600
@DennisS56518824 @jvpercy @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I haven't seen it. I don't think that what Lue says is 100% correct.

That's not the same thing as "If I haven't personally witnessed something, it never happened" - that's a silly straw-man argument.

2021-03-30T22:00:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377017882576527361
@Numenor33 @MiltonTPike1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp All which people?

I don't think anyone is lying, but I would not rule it out. I don't know about "confused" - because that implies some mental befuddlement. One can be clearheadedly wrong based on illusion.

Something looks like something, you report that, is that "confused"

2021-03-30T21:28:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377009843089764353
@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I think that's probably not true, based on all the available evidence, including eyewitnesses.

Elizondo and Mellon have not demonstrated any particular technical aptitude in interpreting evidence - so must be going on what others tell them.

Foaflorick science don't run.

2021-03-30T21:24:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377008841225695232
@DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp I'm fully aware that people claim they have evidence that they can't show me, or even loosely describe, or even say anything about at all!

What am I supposed to do with this knowledge? Trust Lue is correct in all matters?

2021-03-30T21:22:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377008286550007811
Pentagon UFO chat on Clubhouse at 5PM PT / 8PM ET.

DM me if you need a clubhouse invite.
https://twitter.com/BrianDunning/status/1377003843146428421

2021-03-30T21:19:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1377007574235504641
@jvpercy @DennisS56518824 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Why

is "If I haven't personally witnessed something, it never happened" in quotes?

I never said it. I think that's a silly position to take.

Lots of data exists that I don't have access to.

2021-03-30T21:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377003369588383745

@ThorntonVallery @YouTube To a degree.

2021-03-30T21:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1377003072740728832

@MiltonTPike1 @SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp Of course there's more data. That does not mean there's more useful data when it comes to developing warp drives.

If they think Gimbal showed a space warp, then who knows what else they might think about other data.

2021-03-30T19:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376983981791436800

@ThorntonVallery @YouTube Classic: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExwHmQnVEAEbWrS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExwHmQnVEAEbWrS.jpg

2021-03-30T19:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376983791307132928

@ThorntonVallery @YouTube But yes, I've got plenty of experience with trail cameras. They are interesting because they use a very bright IR light for the night shots. So you get lots of close-to-the camera artifacts, but also sometimes interesting reflections.

2021-03-30T19:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376982094937608192

@ThorntonVallery @YouTube That video seems mostly not about trail cam, except a bit at the start that looks like a bird or a bug close to the camera.

2021-03-30T19:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376981725989855232

@Fiddaman @PostDisclosure That's a truism.

2021-03-30T19:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376981576207147011

@exploreabitmore How many eye-witness accounts were there of the U2?

2021-03-30T19:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376981396179144706

@AliensExist15 @ajk1965 I'm sure you can find some doctors telling you that Covid isn't real, and that you should not take the vaccine.

Elizondo isn't very technical. I'd like to hear from a variety of actual experts.

2021-03-30T18:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376971256235393025

@SilvaRecord @MiddleOfMayhem @LueElizondo @g_knapp It's a pretty bold claim, especially if based on stuff like the Aguadilla footage video artifacts, or the "aura" around Gimbal (a video sharpening artifact). Kinda hard to revolutionize physics just using Fravor's account.

2021-03-30T15:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376914352150745090

@exploreabitmore You don't need to be a professional photographer. Modern cell-phone camera are very close to human vision resolution now, so you should be getting photos that match or exceed the eyewitness description.

There are lots of photos.

2021-03-30T15:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376912720692269060

@Fiddaman @PostDisclosure What are?

2021-03-30T15:00:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376912210643968005

@exploreabitmore Sure, but it happens. A U2 once flew over my house at low altitude, visible for thirty seconds. I was stunned but still took several high quality photos. Eventually, you'd get some photos from someone. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExvGSpTVEAEWHYT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExvGSpTVEAEWHYT.jpg
2021-03-30T14:54:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1376910649733734402
@exploreabitmore There are hundreds of millions of people open to the existence of UFOs who would want a photo.

But the real issue here is the discrepancy between the quality of sightings and the utter lack of corresponding quality photos.

2021-03-30T14:49:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1376909359356145669
@Fiddaman @PostDisclosure Even saying that there is "satellite imagery of UFOs" is rather speculative here. Satellites don't generally show things in motion. It would be interesting to see any examples of images of that type. Spy satellites are generally LEO polar orbit.

2021-03-30T14:42:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1376907718535962632
@Spectre67904513 @PostDisclosure Which data am I supposedly omitting here? I was wrong, in that Ratcliffe did say the word "imagery", but I explained what I thought he might be referring to (large IR source detection) instead of photos.
I'd be super curious if there was imagery of a resolvable moving craft

---

2021-03-30T14:35:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1376905850258825217
@PredatoryPanic @Oswald1160 Google image search gives you the YouTube channel.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExvAj0vUUAoQWv-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExvAj0vUUAoQWv-.jpg

2021-03-30T14:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376904853939650565

@ianfraserjacks1 Unidentified airspace incursions are a real problem. Classification prevents public analysis of the data behind the problem, leading to speculation.

2021-03-30T14:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376904339218829315

@Oswald1160 @PredatoryPanic Image search to find the YouTube channel, then a bit of poking around to find the original video, then a bit more to find a good frame.

2021-03-30T14:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376900325722890243

@kmbelliott No, they often classify any operational details if it's related to anything classified, like equipment, or procedures.

Find some declassified Snoopie reports.

2021-03-30T14:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376899948663349250

@ExoExplorer3 Unless all Snoopie output is classified by default. Then it's just SOB.

How many pages from any Snoopie team have you seen?

2021-03-30T03:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376742805351976972

@exploreabitmore There are many photos of those things.

2021-03-30T01:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376701645095059463

@PostDisclosure So he did. I was doubting if he was actually referring to photographs, or something like IR sources. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExsGneaVcAAKaZd.jpg

---



John Ratcliffe 0:45

Well, sure, we have lots of reports about what we call Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon. And this actually, is a program that's been in place for a few years in terms of a task force that that has been there under the National Defense Authorization Act. But as you correctly point out, Maria, there's now a report that will be issued by the by the Pentagon, by the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence, I actually wanted to get this information out and declassified before I left office. But we weren't able to get it down into an unclassified format that we could talk about quickly enough. But frankly, there are a lot more sightings then have been made public. Some of those have been declassified. When we talk about sightings, we're talking about objects that have been seen by Navy or Air Force pilots, or have been picked up by satellite imagery, that, frankly, engage in actions that are difficult to explain. Movements that are hard to replicate that we don't have the technology for, or traveling at speeds that you know, exceed the sound barrier without a sonic boom. So in short, things that we are observing that are difficult to explain. And so, you know, there's actually quite a few of those. And I think that that information is being gathered, and will be put out in a way that the American people can see. We always, when we see these things, Maria, we always look for a plausible explanation. You know, weather can cause disturbances, visual disturbances. Sometimes we wonder whether or not our adversaries have technologies that are a little bit further down the road than we thought or that we realized. But there are instances where we don't have good explanations for some of the things that we've seen. And, you know, when that information becomes declassified, I'll be able to talk a little bit more about that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExsGneaVcAAKaZd.jpg

2021-03-29T23:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376673993483153408

@protagonizer @murnut

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014.11375/

2021-03-29T23:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376673586639867906

@DragonWagonZ No, it's more the lack of good photos and videos.

2021-03-29T23:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376673384486957057

@protagonizer @murnut It's a balloon. Probably a class project "make a sputnik" There's video of it bouncing around.

2021-03-29T23:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376673083847675904

@PredatoryPanic I'll need to enhance that a bit .... nope, just a plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExrsyknUYAEuGQJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExrsyknUYAEuGQJ.jpg

2021-03-29T23:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376670593878138882

Maybe there's no real story there? Guy says he saw a drone, people start looking for drones, some other people think they see them. It's a drone flap! Send in the binoculars and long lenses and the "drones" evaporate.

Impossible, you say?

2021-03-29T22:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376669786319429632

These Navy UFO reports capture attention because of hidden information. What did the Snoopie team take photos of, if anything. Oops classified.

Million of photographers, many with better cameras, who are not in the Navy fail to get a good shot. Maybe this guy didn't either. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExrpAvbUcAAZD2b.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExrpAvbUcAAZD2b.jpg

2021-03-29T22:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376662870595751939

@Sharigirltn @WatcherCtp @cvvhrn @spottinbayarea @EightOneECHO @philmc100 @dave16hc @ratishna @mtjarrott Yeah, those don't look like contrails. Looks like a fuel dump.

2021-03-29T22:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376659847601561601

@realufobros @JLuvin @papercrane111 @MadScientistPod Nothing new.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exrg0l9VgAALfCo.jpg

Criticism [edit]

Criticism of the Drake equation follows mostly from the observation that several terms in the equation are largely or entirely based on conjecture. Star formation rates are well-known, and the incidence of planets has a sound theoretical and observational basis, but the other terms in the equation become very speculative. The uncertainties revolve around our understanding of the evolution of life, intelligence, and civilization, not physics. No statistical estimates are possible for some of the parameters, where only one example is known. The net result is that the equation cannot be used to draw firm conclusions of any kind, and the resulting margin of error is huge, far beyond what some consider acceptable or meaningful.[71][72]

One reply to such criticisms[73] is that even though the Drake equation currently involves speculation about unmeasured parameters, it was intended as a way to stimulate dialogue on these topics. Then the focus becomes how to proceed experimentally. Indeed, Drake originally formulated the equation merely as an agenda for discussion at the Green Bank conference.[74]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exrg0l9VgAALfCo.jpg

2021-03-29T18:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376603867668869125

@AFSUnidentified What side do you think Marco Rubio is on in this war? How does that work?

2021-03-29T18:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376603623023538177

@JLuvin @papercrane111 @realufobros @MadScientistPod The Drake equation (old or new) is only as good (at best) as the largely indeterminal numbers you put into it - and may well be missing some factors.

2021-03-29T16:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376570381188751363

@crowbern For this case:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/

2021-03-29T15:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376551690216742915

@papercrane111 @realufobros I think it's quite likely. Although not likely that they are close. Hard to say though.

2021-03-29T15:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376551275777552384

@crowbern Occasionally I program things related to my debunking efforts, usually in Javascript. Like I wrote a very simple simulator for what balloons would look like if you fly past them in an F/A-18 https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/

2021-03-29T06:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376427616387747842

A fun chat with the THPS podcast about the early days of Neversoft and Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PIZ2tu_C5zQ

2021-03-29T05:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376399191535022082

@of_skeptical Simplest - Set up a zoom meeting, and have them call into that, and hit record.

Ideally have them use Zoom.

2021-03-28T18:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376236514615599106

@SocialistFT @Miles90234527 @PostDisclosure @fin365 Digital zoom does not really "affect" glare.

The exposure setting is calculated for the full frame, then the digital zoom is just a cropped portion of that frame.
When it's an optical zoom, the exposure is calculated on just that portion of the frame, so glare is smaller.

2021-03-28T18:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376234810658590723

@PostDisclosure @SocialistFT @fin365 Here you go.

https://youtu.be/jWjpnCKcj8M?t=133

Clearest view is at 2:16, before switching the zoom (and hence changing the exposure, and the glare size)

2021-03-28T18:03:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376233589679919105

@skytriangles @steven_nikolic @Altouiz @theurigeller So what are they doing? One could certainly imagine they could still make big-bucks in corporate espionage, or just plain old spying.

Or does the operability of "Remote Viewing" somehow depend on it not being used for anything? Or even being observed?

2021-03-28T18:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376232921648963590

@realufobros I have been chyroned as many things, and UFOlogist may well eventually be one of them.

2021-03-28T18:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376232660721225728

@SocialistFT @Miles90234527 @PostDisclosure @fin365 Since cameras generally auto-expose, the smaller the light/heat source in the image, the larger the glare is (relative to the source). Here's an example. Top image is cropped, glare is small, but covers the entire jet. Bottom, 4x optical, glare similar size, plane 4x, not covered

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exlb_-VU8AI7EUN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exlb_-VU8AI7EUN.jpg

2021-03-28T17:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1376231753556221954

@SocialistFT @Miles90234527 @PostDisclosure @fin365 Glare is essentially scattered and unfocussed light around a light source. It's always there. You see it when the central light is very bright compared to the exposure setting. The more over exposed the central light is, and the more scattering & defocus, the larger the glare.

2021-03-27T18:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375881546880536577

@drumshticknow @SilvaRecord It's a core part of the book. Respect means taking the time to understand where a person is coming from.

2021-03-27T16:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375843320438554625

@HiFiWhiPhi @Oswald1160 @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA DM Me when you do. Twitter is shadowbanning your posts, so they don't show up unless I go looking for them.

2021-03-27T15:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375831854629064706

@HiFiWhiPhi @Oswald1160 @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Give some examples then.

2021-03-27T15:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375829489255477248

@UFOTORONTO False!

Oh, wait....

2021-03-27T15:01:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375825286441279491
@IvanHeadless @AFSUnidentified @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 Gaping black mouths didn't really make it into the popular archetype.

There's quite a lot of variants for stylized "others" (or even just stylized humans) in the history of art. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExfovaMVEAYBi5h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExfovaMVEAYBi5h.jpg
2021-03-27T14:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375818319136092162
@AFSUnidentified @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 Not really, it came from old science fiction. It's a really simple idea - extrapolating human evolution to get what a more "advanced" race would look like. So up get bigger brain, os big round heads. Dates back to H.G. Wells, and is common in S.F. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exfjc-_U4AgAkRT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Exfjc-_U4AgAkRT.jpg

2021-03-27T14:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375817062304509952

@dan_sm1th @Slaneshza @OBrienKevinS @GoldRai @AFSUnidentified @thehill I was simply entertaining Kevin's ideas. I don't think that's very likely, obviously.

2021-03-27T14:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375816794175201280

@SilvaRecord That's a used copy. Amazon seems to no longer stock new copies of the hardcover, so algorithmic pricing takes over for 3rd party sellers. It's probably just a temporary spike. B&N has it at a more reasonable price new.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExfiAyLVoAArlFM.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExfiAyLVoAArlFM.jpg

2021-03-27T08:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375719503867244545

@tommaw3 @UFOTORONTO Pretty drone-like.

The "orb" shape is just focus.

2021-03-27T04:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375660381616500736

@BrianSchmitt68 Thereâ□□s a paperback. But I think itâ□□s just Amazon is out of stock.

2021-03-27T04:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375660139521343488

@HowieSgt Just some odd Amazon algorithmic pricing.

2021-03-27T02:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375633294528704517

@SocialistFT I think it's algorithmic pricing.

2021-03-27T01:30:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375621294272602112

@steven_nikolic @Altouiz @theurigeller http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcwPAnU8AA7ilf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcwPAnU8AA7ilf.jpg

2021-03-27T01:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375620860283744262

Hardcover copies of my book are getting a bit hard to find.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcvtYVVoAYmNO_.jpg



[1510735801] [9781510735804] Escaping the Rabbit Hole...
Hardcover
4.5 out of 5 stars 133 ratings

2 other options
sorted by price + delivery: low to high

Filter (1)

New ×

Clear all

New
$768.57

$3.99 delivery: **April 5 - 9**
Fastest delivery: **April 1 - 6**

Add to Cart

Ships from vegasbooks
Sold by vegasbooks
4.5 out of 5 stars (8758 ratings)
97% positive over last 12 months

New
$954.83

$3.99 delivery: **April 5 - 9**
Fastest delivery: **April 1 - 6**

Add to Cart

Ships from turningnewleaf
Sold by turningnewleaf
4.5 out of 5 stars (18026 ratings)
97% positive over last 12 months

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcvtYVVoAYmNO_.jpg
2021-03-27T00:51:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375611365369384963
@mrzip1980 @PostJawn @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 I went to school in Cottingley. About 500 yards from their house.

2021-03-27T00:50:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375611094950023175
@Altouiz @steven_nikolic @theurigeller She failed. Although she FELT that she got a bit close. She came up with this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Excm8O8U8AAkugS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Excm8O8U8AAkugS.jpg

2021-03-27T00:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375610518912704515

@Altouiz @steven_nikolic @theurigeller Someone contacted me asking to test their RV ability. So I did. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcmcRFVIAAs0sJ.jpg

Could I set a target for you? How would that work?

Mar 10, 2021, 5:15 PM ✓

Well I don't do it for the money. Just for the enjoyment.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:18 PM

Donate the money.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:18 PM ✓

What you do is pick a place with a photo but don't tell me what it is. Then print it and keep it safe. I'll then see if I can percieve as much information as I can.

I then do a 1 hour meditation to prepare the mind, then I follow a set protocol and see what I get.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:21 PM

How do you make the connection to me and what I printed? Surely simply having exchanged a few Twitter messages would not do anything.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:22 PM ✓

The soul mind knows what the target is and how to connect to it. The regular mind must not know or it makes guesses which are always wrong.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:23 PM

Okay, I've picked a place and printed a photo of it.

Mar 10, 2021, 5:25 PM ✓

Ok I'll let you know when I have something.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExcmcRFVIAAs0sJ.jpg

2021-03-26T23:58:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375598054221389828
@Altouiz @steven_nikolic @theurigeller If they can't demonstrate it then they simply FEEL that it's real.

That's not real.

2021-03-26T23:57:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375597891134234627
@Altouiz @steven_nikolic @theurigeller I think it RV were real, then it would be capable of being demonstrated under strictly controlled circumstances (i.e. with the involvement of illusionists like Banacheck)

But it isn't. And things like Project Alpha show "experts" can be fooled.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Alpha

2021-03-26T23:54:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375597004915580928
@benjimaan22 @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 H.G. Wells seems to be the first writer to use a similar description. There's a discussion here:
http://test.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread954217/pg1

2021-03-26T23:42:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375594134837227520
@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I, and most climate scientists, think there has been significant non-cyclic and anthropogenic warming. You know this.

https://climate.nasa.gov/scientific-consensus/

2021-03-26T23:39:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375593335243436032
@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I think you must be confusing Stratospheric Aerosol Injection (no physical tests done yet), with something else.

Can you give an example?

2021-03-26T23:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375592948046258176
@PostJawn @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 Well not exactly. But it's related. Kids have much more plastic minds than adults.

I think a full review of all the footage and drawings would be telling. But it's been kept secret for over a decade.

2021-03-26T23:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375589471261880320

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA And yes, I think it has not been done - for the very simple reason that they are still trying to figure out how to do it, and if it will work, and what the side effects might be.

2021-03-26T23:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375589274989424643

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA The changes in the climate are significant, and if they continue it will be a serious situation. I think a far better solution (than geoengineering) is to vastly reduce carbon emissions. But an understanding of our options is a good thing - because someone (China?) might try it.

2021-03-26T23:21:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375588818137444353

@PostJawn @wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 I think something none-alien happened, then they were telling a story and it grew into memories.

Kids give detailed accounts of seeing fairies, reality and fantasy blur for a child.

2021-03-26T23:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375583345401196545

@wow36932525 @jamescfox @dansim8 Well, it looks like a typical Hollywood alien, so there's that.

2021-03-26T22:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375578394352480258

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA That's essentially covered in the interview. The climate is changing. Adaptation will be very difficult and likely highly disruptive: wars, famines, megadeath. Climate control is something states will want to try. So we need to understand how it works to regulate (or ban) it

---

2021-03-26T21:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375558518162419712

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Sure. They put ads in the classified section of the local papers to tell people when it's going to happen.

Weather is not climate. Making a cloud rain a bit more than normal isn't geoengineering.

2021-03-26T21:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375558238465261571

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA For the purposes of SAI geoengineering, no, except for one cloud brightening experiment, which isn't really the same thing (low altitude).

We also discussed that. You should watch the interview.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2021-03-26T21:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375557503920992257

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Yes, I have. It was on the Dick Van Dyke show. It's not secret, and it does not leave trails.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

2021-03-26T21:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375557081621700609

A connection with @theurigeller is a good litmus test for how much you should give credence to a scientist regarding a fringe topic.

Uri, what would you have said if he told you it was a piece of the Titanic?
https://twitter.com/theurigeller/status/1375479167538577410

2021-03-26T21:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375556426525933568

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA There have been no such tests. We discussed that too.

SCoPEx will be the first, and then only a few pounds of inert powder.

2021-03-26T21:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375556067887738882

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA And some minor exhaust products, like carbon, yes. But that's by definition. Like how clouds are just water (liquid or ice)

There are no contrails today because of the weather conditions today.

2021-03-26T21:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375553682146697218

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I interviewed one of the lead scientists. He explained that they have not even done any tests yet, so they don't really know how it will work.

2021-03-26T21:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375553398645293058

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I went for a bike ride.

No contrails today. I'm in Sacramento.

2021-03-26T21:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375553192058970115

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Most likely would be the altitude.

2021-03-26T18:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375511215162593280

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Well, unfortunately we can't really resolve anything.

You don't have to post your exact location, just somewhere within a few miles.

2021-03-26T17:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375495947950559234

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Of course, two identical events should lead to identical outcomes. So the question here is what is different.
You've not given me any actual data I can verify.
What were the date, time and the N-numbers of the planes?

2021-03-26T17:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375494661700128774

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I'd have to see the actual case, a photo of the planes, and the FR24 data.
You say "within 1000 ft". What were the altitudes?

2021-03-26T16:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375488807760396289

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Sorry, I'm not sure what you a referring to. Can you just post a link to it here?

2021-03-26T16:37:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375487068428664832

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA I'd be happy to. I love looking into contrails. Let's get into some specific cases you have.

2021-03-26T16:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375486805055664130

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA That's really interesting! Can you post a photo and the FR24 screenshot?

2021-03-26T16:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375486349881450498

@zcichy @LtTimMcMillan I think it's 100% certain that some UAP represent a POTENTIAL threat.

I mean, something unidentified flies over a restricted area = potential threat.

That does not mean it 100% IS a threat though, nor does it mean we won't eventually find out what it was.

2021-03-26T16:31:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375485452338163717

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA And, regarding the weather. The best way of checking conditions is to look at the RH at flight altitudes, like 300mb (about 30,000 feet). Green regions.

http://www.twisterdata.com/index.php?prog=forecast&model=RAP&grid=255&model_yyyy=2021&model_mm=03&model_dd=26&model_init_hh=15&fhour=00&parameter=RH&level=300&unit=MB&maximize=n&mode=singlemap&sounding=n&output=image&view=large&archive=false

---

2021-03-26T16:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375484384371863553

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Sorry, I'm not permanently on Twitter, I miss posts.

Contrail formation is very sensitive to humidity levels, so a difference of just 1,000 feet (indistinguishable from the ground) can make the difference between CT and no CT, or persistent CT.

Engine type can also affect it.

2021-03-26T16:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375479087372722178

@bata1907 @TschenPe @Senat_Hamburg @coe @CIJ_ICJ Ja, multiple planes fly in the same sky.

2021-03-26T16:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375478881193353218

@bata1907 @TschenPe @Senat_Hamburg @coe @CIJ_ICJ Yes, contrails sometimes persist and spread. This has been known for over 70 years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-03-26T16:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375478577303445512

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA Put more simply:

Some years it rains a lot, some years it does not rain much. This is normal.

Some years there are lots of persistent contrails, some years there are not many. Same thing.

It's the weather. (And, occasionally, air traffic variations)

2021-03-26T16:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375477712161177600

@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA They did not go anywhere. There are always a lot fewer in summer, and over the last year there's been

significantly less air traffic.
It also depends on the weather, which varies by location. Less here in NorCal, but also a lot less rain - just yearly variations.

2021-03-26T15:58:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375477255183290371
@bata1907 @TschenPe @Senat_Hamburg @coe @CIJ_ICJ That's a crow instability acting on hybrid contrails (a temporary combination of exhaust and aerodynamic effects)

https://epod.usra.edu/blog/2015/05/hybrid-contrails-with-crow-instability.html

---

2021-03-26T15:55:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375476458001293317
@HiFiWhiPhi @Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @NASAEarth @NASA The point I was responding to was "you're talking about contrails, usually about 2 or 3 plane lengths long and disappear before the plane is out of sight. Chemtrails stay and spread."

Which is wrong, and explained in the books.

2021-03-26T15:49:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375475057208688642
@Starboss56 @fucktard_5 @MomsYoy @HiFiWhiPhi @NASAEarth @NASA I highly recommend looking at books on clouds if you want to understand the "chemtrails vs. contrails" controversy. I gathered 70 years' worth and went through them to see what they say.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-03-26T15:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375472294613577728
@TheChilterns @THughesPhoto @zcichy The pictures indicate otherwise.

Pick one point in it you think is valid, and then go and make a post in the Metabunk thread.

2021-03-26T15:34:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375471102789517313
@TheChilterns @THughesPhoto @zcichy I looked at the pictures.

If you have a particular claim of evidence you think is valid, then please state it here.

I'd suggest reading this thread first.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2008-ufo-footage-from-turkey.9844/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExanfISU4AEfyb9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExanfISU4AEfyb9.jpg
2021-03-26T15:24:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375468639055269892
@Diego_Muniizz There's a good discussion here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-03-26T15:19:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375467549450661891
@discernment_dr Sure. Let's have a bit of sunlight.

2021-03-26T14:32:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375455570497429505
Lawsuits like this are generally good because they force people to put their money where their mouth is. Fox pushed lies, rolling them back only after the damage was done.

Will they now, like Powell, argue that nobody could be expected to believe them?

https://www.cnn.com/2021/03/26/media/dominion-voting-systems-fox-news-lawsuit/index.html

---

2021-03-26T14:16:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375451672734920708
@IWANTTOKNOWUK @teenypeenyshow @Stephen24563580 @hughespj1 I incorporated many of the questions I was given - but since I was going chronologically I didn't get to many of the questions. I'd hoped for a 2hr part 2, but Lue says no.

What Q did you most want asking (that he would actually answer)?

2021-03-26T13:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375444794965549057

@TheChilterns @THughesPhoto @zcichy It appears to be pareidolia layered upon staged photos.

2021-03-26T13:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375443992981037063

@teenypeenyshow @IWANTTOKNOWUK @Stephen24563580 @hughespj1 I had lots of questions and not a lot of time.

2021-03-26T04:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375302609473470469

@aceguccighost @NASA Looks like what space junk looks like.
https://youtu.be/OhBw5yaR_SU?t=46

2021-03-26T03:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375293152387424263

@theyre_heeeere @DelacourRaven @zcichy I donâ□□t think conscious observation has anything to do with it.

2021-03-26T02:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375275408732479489

@DelacourRaven @zcichy I donâ□□t understand Furries, but I donâ□□t think they are magic.

2021-03-26T01:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375251638072401920

@zcichy @STSMajesty @PostDisclosure Way too much time is spent arguing over the meaning and applicability of words.

2021-03-26T00:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375238902621958145

@zcichy @STSMajesty @PostDisclosure Maybe the mil also has a limited set of data. They canâ□□t tell what some accounts represent because of the LIZ.

Their problem might just be how to get more data.

2021-03-25T23:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375235325002272771

@STSMajesty @zcichy That FLIR1 makes no sudden moves.
That GOFAST is high and slow.
That GIMBAL shows a rotating glare that obscures a jet.

2021-03-25T23:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375234982063443971

@STSMajesty @zcichy @PostDisclosure Let me save you time. I did not (that I remember)

That said, I think itâ□□s very likely that, at the least, they have some solid hypotheses.

2021-03-25T23:53:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375234444655697924

@STSMajesty @zcichy â□□The objectsâ□□ is rather broad. Thereâ□□s obviously going to be lots of

unidentifed things.

2021-03-25T23:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375234028660355074

@zcichy @STSMajesty I donâ□□t know if they have identified the objects in the videos. Iâ□□m pretty sure they have determined what I determined about them.

2021-03-25T23:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375233632684498945

@XIIIBMR @FettuccineSplit Thatâ□□s the altitude of where the cursor is. The ground track of the camera.

Combination of the heat source being obscured, and video compression.

2021-03-25T23:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375227356197974021

@XIIIBMR @FettuccineSplit That is false. There was no radar track during the video.

2021-03-25T23:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375223635510579205

@XIIIBMR @FettuccineSplit I donâ□□t think itâ□□s anywhere near the water. Itâ□□s just north of the runway, drifting west.

2021-03-25T22:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375219879737913345

@XIIIBMR @FettuccineSplit I donâ□□t think it is.

2021-03-25T21:53:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375204163391352836

@discernment_dr @ufobelievers Velocity (from 0) = sqrt (2 * a * distance)

Veclocity = sqrt(2 * 184089 * 14000)

= 71795 f/s

= 48,951 mph.

KE for 32,000 lbs = 3,475,370,000,000 J

= 3.5 TJ, or 1/20th of Hiroshima Nuclear Bomb.

2021-03-25T21:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375202645753794564

@discernment_dr @ufobelievers Assuming constant acceleration from 0 to max speed (halfway) to 0.

Distance = 0.5 * acceleration * t^2

14000 = 0.5 * 0.39 * 0.39 *a

a = 184089 f/s/s

2021-03-25T21:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375200647482515457

@discernment_dr @ufobelievers I think you mean Kinetic Energy?

2021-03-25T21:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375199638936985600

@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien Sure, my DMs are open.

2021-03-25T19:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375174603509669889

@prisoner646 Not a lot of things use interlaced video now.

2021-03-25T19:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375162312563191809

@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien I have 12K followers, similar on YouTube, so obviously I'm not a "huge influencer". I have some niche interests that make me one of the go-to guys in some topics - conspiracy theories, chemtrails specifically, and, increasingly, UFOs. But it's not like I'm famous.

2021-03-25T18:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375147159092305926

@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien They don't exchange thoughts.

Major media organizations get thousands of emails a day, most of which are ignored. They don't care about the footage. They just typed "UFO" into their stock library and used whatever.

2021-03-25T17:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375144067185606658

@conorowens94 @e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom Desktop (Shift-Opt-8 on Mac).

But on an iDevice you can hold down 0 (Zero) to get a pop up that allows you to type Â°

2021-03-25T17:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375134079130083328

@THughesPhoto @zcichy Sure, but the first puzzle is to determine if ANY of them are from off-world.

2021-03-25T17:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375132463756812288

@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien But I'm in THIS thread because @BabbleAlien tagged me.

2021-03-25T17:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375132215592423427

@werdendi @Oswald1160 @BabbleAlien I used to, but then Lana del Rey messed it up.

But yes, I have a column in Tweetdeck. now it's "contrail OR contrails OR Geoengineering OR #chemtrails"

Because I'm interested in people talking about chemtrails.

2021-03-25T17:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1375131560232411139
@THughesPhoto @zcichy That's not the question though.

What are the odds that an occasional off-world problem would be responsible for a significant fraction of UAP sightings over the last 20 years?

2021-03-25T17:01:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375130831442780161
@pale__ale @PostDisclosure It is a friendly wager.

2021-03-25T17:00:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375130490773012482
@e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom There's some dispute as to ATFLIR the field of view (a more useful measurement than focal length), but it's generally agreed to be around 1Â° in NAR 1x (1.5Â° or 0.7Â°).

2021-03-25T15:41:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375110619670618114
RT @DKeithClimate: Er, no. Headline is the precise opposite of what the report says.

Report says: Don't try! Learn first, then, consider aâ¦

2021-03-25T15:09:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375102506942914563
@zcichy Below, and far below "AI Machines from far away". Time travel is basically magic. Traveling long distances is just physics. You don't need FTL.

All of them are pretty low on the list though.

2021-03-25T14:43:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1375096103142498307
@onelastread @jamescfox I included the full quote. You think they all agree with it?

2021-03-25T07:15:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374983272262864896
@Josef_baum @jamescfox That is the answer. There will always be doubt if you keep asking for absolute proof. People are not offended, they are annoyed and irritated that you are asking a pointless philosophical "gotcha" question.

2021-03-25T07:01:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374979769515712516
@Josef_baum @jamescfox Solipsism. Pointless discussion.

2021-03-25T02:44:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374915032698396672
@MovieSmackdown @PostDisclosure Beer.

2021-03-25T02:43:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374914935608635392

@BenediktOrn @PostDisclosure @marcorubio @MarkWarner @JohnRatcliffe @JohnBrennan Suggestive reports.

2021-03-25T01:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374898510647074823

@JazzShaw @PostDisclosure What do you mean by "the phenomenon" - do you expect them to use that language in the report?

2021-03-25T01:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374894670426238979

@a_idealist @discernment_dr @PostDisclosure This has been resolved.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NgRmS2g-XdM

2021-03-25T01:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374893756621549569

@JazzShaw @PostDisclosure What more specific bet would you make?

2021-03-25T01:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374893428861849603

@BabbleAlien @PostDisclosure Yeah, the bet is for when the report comes out, whatever date.

2021-03-25T00:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374874181368614915

@VX_GAS_ATTACK @SocialistFT @Slaneshza @LueElizondo One does not simply "go on Lex"

2021-03-24T23:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374868769806295041

@Josef_baum @jamescfox Are you going to lobby the government to release everything they know about history then?

These are not the same things. WWII is a massive historical event with an insane amount of corroborating evidence.

UAPs are a bunch of varied accounts and some blurry photos.

2021-03-24T23:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374868044984426498

@Pewpew841 @MiddleOfMayhem What incompetent? There are really no details to judge this by.

2021-03-24T23:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374867506284822530

@Sacfoodie916 @FettuccineSplit We've explored a heck of a lot more sea than the Universe.

2021-03-24T22:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374848903619567617

@steven_nikolic @jamescfox Because the answers are:

1) We are not.

2) File some FOIA requests then.

2021-03-24T22:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374846580126183426

@steven_nikolic @jamescfox I won't.

2021-03-24T22:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374844295874682881

@of_skeptical Not a lot of methane swamps at sea, or in Socal.

2021-03-24T21:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374843263643832322

@Slaneshza @VX_GAS_ATTACK @SocialistFT @LueElizondo He has declined my invitation.

2021-03-24T21:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374842874026586113

The wording on @jamescfox's http://uapactnow.com site seems to be misleading. Claiming all those folk have "formally acknowledged" exotic UAPs. That's really not what has happened.

More like they have heard/seen things that seem to maybe suggest exotic UAPs as one explanation http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExRrrN8UUAMLFNQ.jpg

---

> It has now been formally acknowledged by bipartisan government leaders from the Obama, Trump, and Biden administrations, including former Senate Majority Leader **Harry Reid**, former Director of National Intelligence **John Ratcliff**, former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence **Christopher Mellon**, Vice-Chair of the Senate Intelligence Committee **Marco Rubio**, former Director of the Central Intelligence Agency **John Brennan**, and former Deputy Secretary of Defense **David Norquist**, among others, that organizations within the government possess evidence confirming the existence of objects of unknown origin displaying advanced operational capabilities that defy physical laws as humans understand them. In 2017 **Luis Elizondo**, former Director of the Pentagon's UFO program AATIP, stated on CNN:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExRrrN8UUAMLFNQ.jpg

2021-03-24T21:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374840809858605057

@VX_GAS_ATTACK @Slaneshza @SocialistFT @LueElizondo And my response to Underwood.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-03-24T21:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374840134034579457

@VX_GAS_ATTACK @Slaneshza @SocialistFT @LueElizondo My response to Fravor:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-03-24T21:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374832140949495808
@werdendi @BabbleAlien I've been looking into black trails for 14 years.

https://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2021-03-24T21:11:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374831359336738816
@steven_nikolic They do move. It's the way of oncoming planes that they seem not to move for a while, and then they seem to start to accelerate. Watch it at 20x speed, zoomed in a bit. It will all become clear.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374831243905277952/pu/img/Bq0eRqbwNPrvl4be.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374831243905277952/pu/img/Bq0eRqbwNPrvl4be.jpg
2021-03-24T20:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374827511700287489
Will the upcoming UAP report have exciting revelations about the existence of exotic technology?

I don't think so, but @PostDisclosure does, so we hammer out the terms of a friendly bet.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NgRmS2g-XdM

2021-03-24T20:51:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374826135607906304
A mini UFO mystery solved. Six strange lights in the sky turn out to be just ordinary planes, viewed at an unexpected angle, with their landing light on.

A plane flying towards you looks like it is hovering.
https://twitter.com/flarkey/status/1374818109878628354

2021-03-24T20:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374824852637503498

@Pewpew841 @MiddleOfMayhem If Venus was involved, it would be #6 - a combination.

2021-03-24T20:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374821137524391942

@RavnRoar @twinnyter81 @PostDisclosure I find betting a useful way of focusing one's beliefs. Skin in the game makes you think.

Also fun.

2021-03-24T20:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374820839850393603

@RichMartinESX @hovebooks @FettuccineSplit More likely than an underwater civilization on Earth, yes.

2021-03-24T17:29:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374775370268905472

@FettuccineSplit Off-world seems more likely.

An advanced (and presumably fairly large) underwater civilization would be unlikely to have evaded detection. But an occasional visitor from another world has a smaller footprint.

2021-03-24T17:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374774786421706754

@TimyTraveller @AliensOnEarth_ @ufoofinterest Where is this video?

2021-03-24T17:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374774133255327744

@TimyTraveller @AliensOnEarth_ @ufoofinterest Check out some photos of planes when they are only a few pixels in-camera, and then blown up into larger images.

That's what some of them look like. Oval blobs. Example:

https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=18

2021-03-24T17:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374773390532210699

@ericwojo Well, there are still quite a few UFO sightings that are contrails. But yes.

2021-03-24T17:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374773164249473025

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @LtTimMcMillan Being aware of an illusion does not make you immune to it. In fact, there's lots of research discussing the problems of things just like this for military pilots. Spatial disorientation leads to crashes and deaths, not just failing to identify things.

https://safety.army.mil/Portals/0/Documents/ON-DUTY/AVIATION/FLIGHTFAX/Standard/2014/May

_2014_Flightfax.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQs4z9U8AIs0ro.jpg

Nature of spatial disorientation accidents

Almost all pilots will experience SD events at some time, but the events are usually recognized and do not result in adverse consequences. Nevertheless, SD has always been involved in a significant proportion of aviation accidents, particularly those with more serious consequences. Statistics from the United States show that SD was involved in:

- 11 percent of fatal general aviation accidents during 1976–1992 (Collins and Dollar 1996)
- 2 percent of general aviation accidents during 1983–1992, with 92 percent resulting in fatalities (Mortimer 1995)
- 1.2 percent of civil helicopter accidents during 1983–1996, with 61 percent resulting in fatalities (Mortimer 1997)
- 11 percent of United States Air Force accidents during 1990–2006, with 69 percent resulting in fatalities (Lyons and others 2006)
- 11 percent of helicopter accidents and 31 percent of fatal helicopter accidents in the United States Army during 2002–2011 (Gaydos and others 2012).

Many authors have indicated that accident statistics often underestimate the proportion of accidents that are associated with SD due to the difficulty in establishing the contributing factors in some accidents and differences in the use of definitions (Gibbs and others 2012, Mortimer 1997, Newman 2007).

When SD does result in an accident, it is usually in the form of a controlled flight into terrain or in-flight loss of control, resulting in a collision with terrain or in-flight break-up. With most SD accidents, the pilot does not recognize the problem, or at least does not recognize it in time to effectively recover the situation. This unrecognized SD, often known as Type I, can occur for an extended period of time lasting up to tens of seconds or even longer (Previc and Ecoline 2004).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQs4z9U8AIs0ro.jpg

2021-03-24T17:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374772210754150400

@ericwojo Yeah, I'm really just a contrail guy :)

2021-03-24T17:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374772054780571649

My thoughts on the USS Kidd "Drone" encounter.

It's a very low information story. Drones are not the only explanation, but anti-gravity craft are not #2.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-kidd-drones-encounter-2019.11681/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQr3raVoAINezM.jpg

I feel there's a variety of explanations we should consider, although some will no doubt be mocked as ridiculous. Broadly breaking it down:

1. Conventional Drones, foreign or domestic.
    1. From a nearby ship
    2. From the Kidd (maybe test of anti-drone tech)
    3. From a sub
    4. From a plane
2. Ordinary phenomena, misidentified
    1. Lights on distant planes
    2. Venus and other heavenly bodies
    3. Birds, possibly lit by searchlights
    4. St Elmo's fire
    5. On-board lights
3. Advanced Human Technology
    1. Super long-range conventional drones
    2. Unconventional lift/thrust technology (anti-gravity, massless reaction)
    3. Plasma "holograms" or other projected images
4. Non-Human Technology
    1. Aliens
5. Something else (no list of possibilities is complete)
6. A combination of some of the above

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQr3raVoAINezM.jpg

2021-03-24T16:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374756020900098051

@issaufobro @darrenwinters @benjimaan22 @flarkey Given sufficient information, yes. But UFOs live in the LIZ (Low Information Zone), so, by their very nature, some will be impossible to conclusively explain.

2021-03-24T16:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374755547287724033

@Disclos93884769 @PostDisclosure Depends on what they say.

Not being in the KNOWN inventory of another country does not exclude terrestrial origin.

2021-03-24T15:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374749333875134470

@PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @discernment_dr Let's chat. I'll DM you.

2021-03-24T15:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374749136201785348

@issaufobro @darrenwinters @benjimaan22 Not me. I just linked to Tweet by @flarkey who gave a nice infographic.

https://twitter.com/flarkey/status/1374662061154787330

But it's a common thing. Here's another example.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/flares-in-the-sky-landing-lights-of-planes-landing-at-phoenix-airport.9993/

2021-03-24T15:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374748542128979971

@PostJawn @issaufobro @foiaboi @TheProjectUnity @SpacedOutRadio @zcichy @cosmicdahlia @PariahSickKid @Wenger_Knows @junglist1973 @ufobelievers @ufouapam @g_knapp @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @UfoStudy Hey, I occasionally got fooled by distant landing lights. They LOOK like hovering UFOs.

They will see it every day now, if they look.

They can get an AR flight-tracking app, and it will show them the planes.

2021-03-24T15:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374747956918689794

@Brendancowles @discernment_dr @PostDisclosure That sounds about right, but you never know.

2021-03-24T15:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374747563174162434

@foiaboi @discernment_dr @PostDisclosure Will you put money on this?

2021-03-24T15:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374744870502363137

@JQP1781 @MarLindoLanes @PostDisclosure Pretty sure those are not scientists.

2021-03-24T15:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374743586269360130

@PostDisclosure Would you be up for a recorded video call to hammer this out? We could narrow something down quicker than Tweeting.

2021-03-24T15:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374743148744695810

@discernment_dr @PostDisclosure That the content of the UAP report will be "something that will change the world as we know it" (significantly)

2021-03-24T15:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374742639573049345

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus ... we don't need to dredge the reputations of Puthoff's fellow to look at that. We could just look at the simple science.

Gravitational lensing does not make things fuzzy. What we see are issues of resolution, focus, sharpening, compression artifacts, and motion blur.

2021-03-24T15:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374741788678746117

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus Nor does it demonstrate he's infallible. Nor Puthoff's involvement with Mitchell's pseudoscience institute improve Puthoff's likelihood of being right.

But step back here. Tom DeLong made a ridiculous statement about UFOs being hard to photograph because they warp space ...

2021-03-24T15:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374741088401977345

@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @disario @ExploreSCU I looked at it. Lots of people have.

They basically ignore the most obvious explanation, which pretty much everyone else who looked into it arrived at.

2021-03-24T15:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374740705885581323

@_Desmoden @JeremyUnidenti1 @hovebooks @diarrhangus Dr. Puthoffâ□□s involvement with Uri Geller DOES hurt Dr. Puthoff's credibility. There's no need to slyly imply it. It's obvious. He demonstrated poor judgment and poor investigatory skills.

Now that was some time ago, but did he ever recant?

2021-03-24T15:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374739945064034314

@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @disario @ExploreSCU The big mistake the Chileans made was to take options off the table. I try to never do that.

2021-03-24T15:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374739577949147137

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus That depends on what the facts are. But I'd think blind faith has a higher probability of being wrong than simply not having an opinion.

But I think by "no faith" you mean something else?

2021-03-24T15:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374739060535615489

@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @disario @ExploreSCU A much larger team spent two years trying to identify the Chilean Navy UFO. The internet figured out it was a plane (and found the exact plane) in three days.

Taking a long time to do something is not always a quality indicator.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-03-24T15:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374738589834039299

@JeremyUnidenti1 @_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus It's a huge red flag. It shows poor critical thinking that might extend into other areas. It does not prevent them from being right, but it's something to consider.

2021-03-24T15:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374738128880033794

@vandelay2020 @_Desmoden That reminds me of my favorite Southpark clip.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CPgHMo43G0E

2021-03-24T14:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374737002944368641
@JeremyUnidenti1 @_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus You'd think. But is that the case?

What about some guy who thinks a teenager cured his cancer over the internet? Is that also ideal?

https://abcnews.go.com/Primetime/story?id=2179784&page=1
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQL6l-XMAAC88N.jpg

Science or Scam?

Adam says what he does can be completely explained by science. Edgar Mitchell -- who walked on the moon during the Apollo 14 mission -- says he recognizes the science behind Adam's work. "It's about channeling energy and resonating with the person," says Mitchell.

Mitchell has spent the last 30 years exploring the science of the paranormal. "The principles of quantum physics explain many of these intuitive mystical aspects of attention and intention," says Mitchell.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQL6l-XMAAC88N.jpg
2021-03-24T14:52:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374735932776062980
@_Desmoden That's similar to the amount of peer-reviewed papers that J. Marvin Herndon, PhD., has.

Herndon believes in chemtrails, thinks the Earth is a giant nuclear reactor that used to be a gas giant, and believes I'm a CIA disinformation agent.

http://www.nuclearplanet.com/advances.html

2021-03-24T14:45:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374733997478047755
@PostDisclosure Want to bet?

2021-03-24T14:42:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374733252804550656
@_Desmoden @hovebooks @diarrhangus You think Edgar Mitchell is good company for a scientific analysis of UFOs?

This blind faith in heroes is one of the core problems in ufology that prevents any progress.

Newton was smart, great at math and physics, but alchemy isn't real.

2021-03-24T14:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374731941874868226
@BenC04549226 @twinnyter81 I didn't say his credential were not real. I just opined that his idea that UFOs were fuzzy (because some equations show that spacetime can be curved) is "pseudoscience".

If it were real science you could write a ray-tracer to illustrate.
Warp drives don't "fuzz" spacetime.

2021-03-24T14:33:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374731195494244356
@PostJawn @issaufobro @foiaboi @TheProjectUnity @SpacedOutRadio @zcichy @cosmicdahlia @PariahSickKid @Wenger_Knows @junglist1973 @ufobelievers @ufouapam @g_knapp @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @UfoStudy Landing lights.

https://twitter.com/flarkey/status/1374662061154787330

They can seem to "hover" in the distance for quite a while. It's a classic. Bring binoculars and/or wait as they get closer.

Here's what you see in better conditions, long lens (timelapse)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fgHjVvqLXV8

2021-03-24T14:28:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374729845033242627
@thewoody They look very like the "tossed hubcap" variety. You don't have to doctor those.

2021-03-24T14:25:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374729071477727237
@BabbleAlien @werdendi It's a normal contrail. The sun is below the horizon, and it's not illuminating the contrail.

It looks black because of the lighting and camera exposure. Clouds also look black if the lighting is similar. Even quite thin clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQEqJGWUAYU7rg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExQEqJGWUAYU7rg.jpg

2021-03-24T04:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374572593391357952

@_Desmoden @diarrhangus Why not? Has all his work for decades resulted in zero usable results?

2021-03-24T03:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374570092722188293

@_Desmoden What working technology has he made?

2021-03-24T03:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374569791835410432

@AliensOnEarth_ @oumoioumoi1 Thereâ□□s many videos of planes at high speed that are not blurry.

2021-03-24T01:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374530869516328963

@AliensOnEarth_ @oumoioumoi1 As a plane.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/possible-ufo-sighting-on-live-tv.11631/

2021-03-24T01:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374529646977445890

@ThorntonVallery What's to debunk? It is possible that people made them all, so that's the likely explanation.

2021-03-23T22:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374482290651189248

@_Kodos_ @shadowsmagazine That's a tricky one, because obviously everything can be faked. However not looking like it's swinging from a string would be a start.

Being partially behind something, like a tree, hill, or cloud, to show scale, would be ideal. Any pre-digital photos show that?

2021-03-23T21:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374477260758032389

@oumoioumoi1 People film "phenomena" all the time. Their videos are of poor quality and inconclusive. .

2021-03-23T21:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374475711440842760

@_Desmoden https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harold_E._Puthoff#Assessment_of_scholarship

---

2021-03-23T21:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374475647926464512

@BenC04549226 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harold_E._Puthoff#Assessment_of_scholarship

---

2021-03-23T21:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374475287979642888

@benjimaan22 @discernment_dr Some math regarding spacetime does not "prove" that all UFO photos will be blurry.

Why are they not blurry to eyewitnesses?

2021-03-23T21:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374474668673933323

@shadowsmagazine Billy Meier's photos look like ordinary fakes done by someone with sufficient time.

2021-03-23T21:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374473941171851271

@vandelay2020 @ExploringLife42 No, I'm saying that if you get a high-resolution, close up, image of the vast majority of "UFOs" they will be able to figure out what they actually are (plane, bird, balloon, jet, jetpack, drone, cloud, reflections, planet, moon, oil rig, etc)

2021-03-23T21:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374473456994066433

@knightsabers01 No, I'm good.

2021-03-23T21:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374473334625210368

@ThorntonVallery A UFO that looks like a teenager's model of Sputnik and moves exactly like a balloon on a string.

It's technically "unidentified" but hardly lacks plausible explanations.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014.11375/

2021-03-23T20:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374464320617082884
The problem with Tom's theory (besides the reliance on Puthoff's pseudoscience) is that there are no examples where the UFO is blurry, but other objects at a similar distance are not.
Instead, we get uniformly bad photos.
Because if the photo was good, it wouldn't be a UFO.
https://twitter.com/tomdelonge/status/1374442882740875270

2021-03-23T18:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374427230747553792
@ZombieSymmetry @ConceptualJames Millions are.
https://www.pbs.org/newshour/show/why-41-percent-of-republicans-dont-plan-to-get-the-covid-vaccine

---

2021-03-23T18:25:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374426980871901195
@schlabonski @hoaxeye close. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1374118015269101571

2021-03-23T18:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374426869290786822
@Asgeir16813191 Comms on the radio are not "classified" in the US. It's regularly recorded in its entirety and posted on the internet for anyone to listen to.

2021-03-23T18:20:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374425774917218305
@schlabonski @UfoTimeline Not just that - the camera's image stabilization has a big effect, as seen here:

https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=21

2021-03-23T18:06:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374422193044480016
@ChanceMcGee13 @Asgeir16813191 There are thousands of daytime sightings of UFOs - some really detailed in terms of shape, even windows, etc.
But no good photos.
That's the problem.

2021-03-23T17:50:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374418377440075779
@ChanceMcGee13 @Asgeir16813191 Daytime sightings?

2021-03-23T17:27:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374412593792622594
@DragonWagonZ @Owl489353 Perhaps you are reading the wrong tweet? Who said you should "not be intrigued?

I'm saying don't base your assessments of the evidence on what you wish to be true.

2021-03-23T17:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374411969634000902
@Asgeir16813191 That's not the issue.

The issue is the disconnect between SIGHTINGS and PHOTOS.

It's not like there were thousands of people reporting B2-shaped craft but not taking photos of it.

2021-03-23T17:21:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374410947947765766
@keinanrayat @hoaxeye The advantage of the mirror is that it allows the camera to be at a longer distance from the object. Plus my car won't fit in my backyard.

2021-03-23T15:32:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374383609746841603
@ConceptualJames It's like people don't understand what "not 100% effective" means, and then go around trying to scare people into not taking the vaccine.

Which would make more people die.

2021-03-23T15:30:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374383047714377733
@Owl489353 Sure, but one has to be careful not to let one's hopeful excitement pull one's judgment in particular directions.

2021-03-23T15:27:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374382415217500164
@808thdymension @W7VOA That's a photograph at a different angle. Have a look at part 2.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXCCFACnCrg

2021-03-23T08:59:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374284565498318853
@TreverBoyce705 @HeadRushV2 Summary?

2021-03-23T03:11:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374197123550384133
@JLuvin Earlier.

2021-03-23T02:06:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374180691479392261
@JLuvin No it wasnâ□□t.

2021-03-23T01:11:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374166895444697090
@AliensOnEarth_ @prentic4 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExIFfUrVgAUg_cz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExIFfUrVgAUg_cz.jpg

2021-03-23T00:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374161387581177861

@therealpmoney Sure, but Brouillard is talking about disclosure of the fact that aliens are currently visiting our planet in secret. That's a highly speculative notion. She's towards the fringe of ufology.

2021-03-23T00:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374159336033771521

It's a genuine puzzle to most true believers - why don't other people believe as they do, if the evidence is so overwhelming.

2021-03-23T00:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374159104546013190

In particular, it reminds me of the 9/11 theorists, who found some conspiracist psychologists and got them to talk about the pathology of people who did not believe them, and how they should talk to friends and family about 9/11 Truth.

https://www1.ae911truth.org/fr/faqs/645-psychology-experts-speak-out-why-is-the-911-evidence-difficult-for-some-to-accept-.html

---

2021-03-23T00:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374158424531902465

A guide to how to talk to your friends and family about the coming UFO disclosure.

This is ... odd. Reminds me how, within unusual beliefs, it's the non-believer that is regarded as the dysfunctional one, the one that needs helping. Believers are heroes.

https://medium.com/on-the-trail-of-the-saucers/lets-talk-about-disclosure-90018be2f023

2021-03-23T00:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374154642397949958

@prentic4 That's a funny take. The possibility that some UFO photos and video are faked does not mean they all are. Just that they might be. But we knew that already.

Of course, this says nothing at all about eyewitnesses where there are no photos/video.

2021-03-22T23:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374148517720072192

@ShepardAmbellas https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1374118015269101571

2021-03-22T23:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374148379605831680

@HRFaulkner @Lovepole4 @montanacasey It's just a 1.5" dowel (closet rod) cut to length, ends rounded with an angle grinder. Two bits of 14 AWG insulated wires, white spray paint.

2021-03-22T23:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374142807946919938

@ShepardAmbellas How do you think it was done?

2021-03-22T23:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374141566898475010

@ShepardAmbellas I made it :)

2021-03-22T22:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374133266840580100

@Disclos93884769 @Oswald1160 @junglist1973 @EricZiethen It was already released. Elizondo got it out, unauthorized, say the DoD. But it was out there.

They mostly avoid commenting.

2021-03-22T22:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374132974254391296

@fman123 @UfoTimeline The round thing is on the mirror. The Tic-Tac is suspended just out of shot.
The video is flipped vertically.
You see a bit of reflection under (above) it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExHmeFzVgAYefly.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExHmeFzVgAYefly.jpg

2021-03-22T22:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374127807882653699

@Slapper__Jack https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1374118015269101571

2021-03-22T22:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374127234143768579

@P_DallaVee http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExHhbYQVoAICMLW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExHhbYQVoAICMLW.jpg

2021-03-22T21:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374118015269101571

One way of faking a UFO video is to use a mirror, which avoids having to suspend things way up in the air. You can put things on the mirror itself, or hang them just out of shot. Just flip the video vertically. Bigger mirrors improve the effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374117507670306818/pu/img/oerqofXyp6mD_G40.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374117507670306818/pu/img/oerqofXyp6mD_G40.jpg
2021-03-22T21:55:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374117449226878979
@UfoTimeline Winner!
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374117402019917824/pu/img/plY936Gx3e5Ay1Xq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374117402019917824/pu/img/plY936Gx3e5Ay1Xq.jpg
2021-03-22T21:45:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374114923395964928
@Diego_Muniizz https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2008-ufo-footage-from-turkey.9844/

2021-03-22T21:43:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374114440514211840
@Slapper__Jack It's neither. That's a physical object in front of the camera.

2021-03-22T21:35:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374112465928167427
RT @ZoeTillman: Sidney Powell has moved to dismiss Dominion's defamation lawsuit. She argues that when she accused Dominion of being part oâ¦

2021-03-22T20:51:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374101334937333761
@crowbern I'm not moving anything (other than the camera)

2021-03-22T20:33:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374096910282223618
@0010101X No.

2021-03-22T20:31:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374096431879839745
@emmathepony It's not.

2021-03-22T20:31:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374096371305750535
@SingletrackAdam No app was used (well, unless you count the camera app).

2021-03-22T20:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374095165518794753
An obviously fake UFO video. But how is it done?

Difficulty level: No Strings. No CGI.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374094930281336833/pu/img/sc169PGMd3rCeoYi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1374094930281336833/pu/img/sc169PGMd3rCeoYi.jpg
2021-03-22T19:00:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374073623036583936
@JLuvin As a possiblity.

2021-03-22T19:00:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374073519051399168
@BrianDunning @fcain I'm afraid I've yet to solve any of history's biggest mysteries, so I won't be there.

2021-03-22T18:44:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374069573050269697
@JLuvin And then different individuals have different degrees of hope regarding the ET hypothesis, and some let that affect their interpretations. Retired people especially.

2021-03-22T18:42:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374069090428456963
@JLuvin They said pretty much the same things. I don't see what they said as "odd", just reflective of reality. There are sometimes things that go unidentified. Sometimes things SEEM to move too strangely to be real. These things are known, and obviously, things to look into.

2021-03-22T17:59:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374058235636764673
@ufobelievers @jvcqkxiutt @mandalorchick @16_johnny3 @Breaking911 Just to be clear, I did not "state they were seagulls". That's just a silly meme.

2021-03-22T16:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374031627639087106
@junglist1973 @Disclos93884769 You said "he reported technology, speeds/maneuvers have baffled the [Pentagon]"

But you have no evidence to support this.

2021-03-22T16:12:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374031288789692421
@discernment_dr That form was the subject of much debate and interest. But not everyone is interested in the same things.

2021-03-22T16:11:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374031008043917312
@discernment_dr That's not progress. That's been my position forever. You should never take the highly improbably things off the list, just in case.

2021-03-22T16:01:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374028510147190786
@junglist1973 @Disclos93884769 The Pentagon never issued any statement about the speed and maneuvers "baffling" them. They said the three videos represented something that was "unidentified", but that's it.

2021-03-22T15:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374027901406900229
Since people keep asking: Chris Mellon and and Lue Elizondo (for part 2) unfortunately both declined my podcast invitations, as they are too busy (at least in the near term).

2021-03-22T15:49:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374025565636718595
@Disclos93884769 Which experts did it baffle?

2021-03-22T15:40:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374023246924816389
@cheals1996 I think it's almost certain that extraterrestrials exist.

I don't think it's likely they are currently flying around our skies. There's a lack of evidence. The disconnect between witnesses and lack of images being the strongest argument against those witnesses seeing aliens.

2021-03-22T15:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1374022439445745669
A stealth drone infiltrating a military area is serious.

Unidentified airspace incursions are serious.

A highly trained military pilot losing situational awareness is serious

Radar giving false returns is serious.

A slight possibility of aliens is still a bit serious too.
https://twitter.com/Disclose_UFOUAP/status/1373978079585009664

2021-03-22T15:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1374021183226585094
@EireScientist @discernment_dr @rpowell2u Not if it's something the average highschooler can simply check.

2021-03-22T04:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373850591143682050
@PostDisclosure There's some interesting (but old) analysis if this photo here.
http://www.project1947.com/kbcat/kbpdbalwyn2.htm

2021-03-22T04:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373847410833690624
@DionysusBaco @stang289 Cessna Caravan.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/plane-shaped-drone-caught-on-camera-mead-ne-cessna-caravan.11060/

2021-03-22T00:54:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373800146358521856
@ParabunkBlog @Deardenpad @NordicAwe I do not.

2021-03-22T00:20:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373791604104855558
@steven_nikolic @_Desmoden Well, when I propose explanations for the video's content, I'm doing it for the people who thought that the content had value - i.e. that it demonstrated something.

I feel that debunking such misconceptions has value.

2021-03-22T00:01:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373786810870943745
@ParabunkBlog @LeifNordh @NordicAwe Kevin Day said there were several planes in the air and described it as "pretty chaotic" at that point.

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExCrwCvUUAIldtk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExCrwCvUUAIldtk.jpg

2021-03-21T22:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373764532485591042

@steven_nikolic @NordicAwe @rpowell2u You don't change from TV to IR because of an acceleration change.

2021-03-21T22:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373764205057175554

@GiMxoA8ekSG0ReY No.

2021-03-21T22:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373764069065322496

@Prosey4 @AFSUnidentified @discernment_dr @rpowell2u We have the video. We can do math with what is in the video.

So people claim the FLIR1 video is evidence of anomalous acceleration. But it's not.

2021-03-21T21:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373748168702136320

@Deardenpad @NordicAwe How exactly did he know it was jamming Underwood's radar based on the video?

2021-03-21T21:25:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373747590852866050
@AlexEndeavour Again, I don't really an "analysis". I recall some generalities, like him saying it was going "pretty fast". But not how he determined this. See him say this at 4:43 here: https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ?t=283

2021-03-21T21:21:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373746521275912193
@steven_nikolic @NordicAwe @rpowell2u So, every time the camera operator changes mode, or the camera does a correction, the object just COINCIDENTALLY does a shifty little move to the left.

And how is it breaking lock with movement, when the movement is about 1/100th the rate the camera is capable of tracking?

2021-03-21T20:58:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373740943191334915
@SteUFOnotCGULLS @ParabunkBlog @rpowell2u There are peer-reviewed papers on Chemtrails being real. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24905652?seq=1

Peer review isn't always a useful indicator of correctness. Just read it.

2021-03-21T20:54:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373739717729021954
@AFSUnidentified @discernment_dr @rpowell2u Peer review is not needed to DO simple mathematics.

2021-03-21T20:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373739313779732483
@steven_nikolic @NordicAwe @rpowell2u Your issue with the paper seems to be nothing to do with the paper.

The paper asks if the video itself is consistent with something flying at a constant speed. The answer is yes. Very clear and unambiguous.

2021-03-21T20:50:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373738752854487047
@NordicAwe I don't know, but ... why are we not at war?

Or at least, why was there not a significant response? Instead, it sounds more like people just made fun of him. It seems like a curious disconnect.

2021-03-21T20:07:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373728041298358272
@gornalalbion @aka__V http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExB2XisUYAAD-UG.jpg

---



🌴🐺🍹Matthew Phelan🏝️📡

@CBMDP

Replying to @CBMDP and @UfoJoe11

From talking with Chad earlier today, my sense is that the additional FLIR1 footage might not be especially revelatory, although perhaps in the hands of some forensic imaging folks the longer and high-res video might add something. Better to FOIA for the full video than bug Chad?



3:45 PM · Dec 19, 2019 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExB2XisUYAAD-UG.jpg

2021-03-21T20:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373727969064013831

@discernment_dr @rpowell2u Math isn't "opinion"

2021-03-21T20:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373726990423887877

@gornalalbion @aka__V Underwood could not see the object. He just saw the video, and he says the rest of the video is uninteresting.

2021-03-21T20:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373726401707134976

@MrKinderEgg Well, slightly adjust the positions then.

It's really not that complicated.

2021-03-21T19:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373724306371354628

@MiltonTPike1 But I do think that several of those events are things that should be taken seriously, as any explanation raises questions. Did Fravor see a hyper-accelerating craft, or was it a loss of spatial awareness? Did the radar glitch, or were craft moving at 1000g?

Either way, issues.

2021-03-21T19:40:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373721101503586304

@MiltonTPike1 Nor were the sighting via the Big Eye binoculars, or the guy who says something flew around the ship, or the Air Force taking the data bricks.

Lots of different events.

2021-03-21T19:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373720664994054148

@MiltonTPike1 Well, let's focus on something here. What do you mean by "the same event."

The slow-moving and arriving-from-space-then-teleporting radar tracks were not the same event as Fravor's encounter, nor was either the same event as the video taken 30+ minutes later, miles away...

2021-03-21T19:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373719444321538051

@MGoedblick Just that. I don't think the actual plane was unidentified to ATC and the ships.

The issue is getting the right plane - making the connection to what is shown in the ATFLIR - just like in the Chilean case.

2021-03-21T19:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373718780665237508

@JeremyUnidenti1 @zcichy My first hard drive was an Atari MegaFile 30 (30MB). The thing under the monitor here. It lasted quite a while.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExBtl6rUcAIW7wd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExBtl6rUcAIW7wd.jpg

2021-03-21T19:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373715575969476608

@pmbAustin @newscientist No, it turns out that was just the weather.

2021-03-21T19:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373715173609938945

@BenC04549226 Which actual experts?

2021-03-21T19:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373714121342943233

@PostDisclosure Discussion yes. Timed formal debate, no.

2021-03-21T19:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373713899841712132

@rpowell2u Hey, I publish on YouTube.

Peer review is nice, but it's not everything. Why don't you just read the paper?

2021-03-21T19:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373713392863637505

@AlexEndeavour His account is interesting. I don't remember him doing any analysis though.

2021-03-21T19:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373713074415308805

@saucerlit It's changing the optical pathway from NAR to MFOV. Kind of like rotating the lenses on a microscope. The movement is all from the camera.

2021-03-21T18:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373701552695771136

@SicCoP1 @ChristopherKMe4 How could sharing photos put their careers at risk? That makes no sense at all.

Sharing subjective accounts of weird things might them labeled as kooky. But a photo? A photo is not subjective. There's near-zero shame in just sharing a UAP photo.

Yet, 3000 accounts, 0 photos.

2021-03-21T18:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373700833695522818

@zcichy @SicCoP1 @ChristopherKMe4 Even with commercial pilots - they would have to ALL be issued guidance ahead of time not to share photos of UAPs.

All the pilots. In the world.

No such guidance exists, nor could it even work at the necessary universal level.

2021-03-21T18:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373700295490904069

A new analysis of the Nimitz FLIR1 video concludes it is consistent with something moving in a straight line with constant speed and heading, like a jet.

i.e. No Sudden Moves.

https://www.academia.edu/45588364/The_FLIR1_video

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExBdIXQVEAEkIdW.jpg

Julio Plaza del Olmo

March 21, 2021

Abstract

In 2020, the Pentagon released three videos taken from F-18 fighters[1]. These three videos have been named as *FLIR1*, *GIMBAL* and *Go Fast*.

FLIR1 video was taken in 2004 during training exercises near San Diego (CA). It was recorded after some pilots reported the sighting an UFO/UAP, which was later known as *tic tac* due to its shape, and that allegedly showed extraordinary movements, speeds and accelerations. However those movements cannot be appreciated in the video.

An analysis on this video was done by the Scientific Coalition for Ufology (SCU)[2], and served as the basis for a later publication in the journal *Entropy*, by Knuth et al.[3]. The analysis calculates a high acceleration of the UAP in the last frames of the video, assuming the UAP starts from rest respect to the fighter.

This work proposes a simple model based on the assumption that the UAP is moving in a straight line with constant speed and heading. The values obtained are within the normal operation of known aircrafts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExBdIXQVEAEkIdW.jpg

2021-03-21T18:10:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373698514367123457

@SicCoP1 @ChristopherKMe4 Mysterious hidden photos?

What are you suggesting, that the Men In Black swoop in after a report and confiscate all the photos?

There are thousands of General Aviation (private) flights every day. How would that work?

2021-03-21T16:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373669065198239747

@ET_Kowai @TheUfoJoe @ChristopherKMe4 That is not my position. I have not accused anyone of fabrication or lies.

Quote me, don't paraphrase me. Be honest.

2021-03-21T16:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373668505619329026

Looking back to the drone flap last year. Police officers and journalists confidently interpreted planes at 10,000 to 30,000 feet as drones at 500 feet. And they were utterly unconvinced by the "plane" explanations.

I wonder how they recall it now? More or less interesting?
https://twitter.com/lileana_pearson/status/1214779060989157376

2021-03-21T16:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373667613532848131

@Fiddaman @LouiseDArcy1 @JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 Witness testimony is always harder to analyze than video footage. It's very subjective and immediately starts to both degrade and be embellished. It's also self-selecting for mistakes, as mistakes that make something seem interesting lead to that mistake being reported.

2021-03-21T16:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373666644237578255

@JLuvin @ufobelievers @ChristopherKMe4 I mean there's obviously reasons why SOMETIMES you can't take a good photos, even OFTEN.

But ALWAYS? For, like, 100 years?

2021-03-21T16:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373666358714507278

@JLuvin @ufobelievers @ChristopherKMe4 What are these rational reasons?

2021-03-21T14:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373649430797164549

@mrzip1980 Just some guy with more money than sense who decided to put his wacky utopian dream into stone form.

2021-03-21T14:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373648825714274311

@Josef_baum You think "constantly searching for an explanation" is a bad thing?

2021-03-21T14:52:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373648637801103365
@Rainmaker1973 Your French is showing! :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExAuDo-VoAYNnHF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ExAuDo-VoAYNnHF.jpg
2021-03-21T14:50:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373648198737088514
@123334AB @disario @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure I don't think it's nonsense. I think what he's saying is essentially what the situation is. It's just not new, and not the compelling proof of advanced technology it's being interpreted as.

More details needed.

2021-03-21T14:47:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373647386350788618
@ufobelievers @JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 it could VERY EASILY be good enough.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bY15pilSx4I

2021-03-21T14:45:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373646858883457026
@WitnessCitizen He said nothing really new. Reports and sensors SEEM to show impossibly fast and maneuverable craft. This has been a fact for decades. It's still unresolved if they ACTUALLY show this.

That's the fundamental UAP problem. He just jazzed it up a little.

2021-03-21T14:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373646235530268674
@Fiddaman @LouiseDArcy1 @JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 All of my beliefs?

I'm an evidence-based guy. I try to look at individual claims and the evidence behind them, and see if those claims hold up.

I feel the evidence for advanced tech flying craft isn't compelling. Better evidence isn't complicated. Triangulation, etc.

2021-03-21T14:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373645704212619269

@chrisfrench83 @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo People have different backgrounds, perspectives, experiences, relationships, motivations, understandings, and plans. So talking to different people always brings up fascinating aspects, even if they were involved in the same project for a while.

2021-03-21T08:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373546323035648005

@a_idealist Probably. There's no indication otherwise. The sole source makes them very suspect.

2021-03-21T07:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373532505303785472

@TreverBoyce705 As I just said, not really.

2021-03-21T07:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373530744618815491

@TreverBoyce705 That kind of a broad topic. Is there something in particular about the Great Reset?

I mean, it is a thing - a bunch of vague ideas about improving the world (after Covid) that are unlikely to do much.

https://www.weforum.org/great-reset/

2021-03-21T06:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373527990986887170

The "reptile eyes" glitch makes the rounds once again. It's just something that happens to eyelids when video his highly compressed. Look at the original footage, and the eyes are the regular human kind. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E7LtFIVZ-T4

2021-03-21T05:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373508599410876419

@RayJack62496046 @UsCars4 Nor have I ever claimed to have any specific relevant qualifications that bolster the things I point out. I try to just say things people can check for themselves.

2021-03-21T05:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373508225274847238

@RayJack62496046 @UsCars4 I'm not making vague claims about weird objects being picked up by satellites.

2021-03-21T05:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373507864820469760

@UsCars4 That is not true.

2021-03-21T05:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373507761657409538

@P8riotparty Okay. The CSPAN version is of better quality. Download it here. Notice the version from The Hill is much more compressed, and so that accents the jaggedness around the edges of things. There's nothing going on.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/CSPAN%20-%20BUt%20Logo%20President%20Biden%20White%20House%20Departure.mp4 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew-t_hxVgAI2WI4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew-tf-_UYAgVlD3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew-t9QTVkAAV-Lf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew-t_hxVgAI2WI4.jpg
2021-03-21T05:21:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373505031421394949
@UsCars4 And what exactly did those bodies say? Can you link to their statements?

Not Radcliffe, statements from DOD, NRO, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, DARPA etc.

2021-03-21T05:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373504623017742336
@P8riotparty That's just the shape of the jacket.

Where is the actual original video? Link it.

2021-03-21T04:42:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373495271229812739
@P8riotparty Okay. Is this something widely shared, or just something you noticed?
Give me the original video, and Iâ□□ll explain it.

2021-03-21T04:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373491065144025090
@123334AB @disario @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure If someone claims a personâ□□s credentials have significance then they should expect those credentials to be examined.

2021-03-21T02:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373459475143651328
Not everyone understood the Biden-Green-Screen-Dead-Cat video I made. I should have just left it alone. But I can't help it. I made a sequel. I'll stop now.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXCCFACnCrg

2021-03-20T23:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373420568137981952
@EM32148100 @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure How about the ones with actual experience in the field. People who have worked as civil servants or in the military. Dennis Blair or James Clapper, for example.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dennis_C._Blair
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/James_Clapper

2021-03-20T22:46:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373405568728145920
@UsCars4 @ZaineMichael1 Do you understand the trig in the GoFast case?

It's not too complicated. Just three numbers from the screen.

So, factually, there has either been an ATFLIR malfunction, or the object is not low and fast.

2021-03-20T22:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373403670822285315
@drumshticknow @SilvaRecord Ratcliffe's statement adds very little to what we know - although the satellite claims are interesting.

The problem of UAPs is not nothing. It's a significant issue.

2021-03-20T22:36:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373403031715246081
@_Desmoden @KeithBasterfie1 That's not what it sounds like. If that was it, then why not say that?

It sounds like they ARRIVED, bam! Like:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1373403010798215168/pu/img/wZnzAI0QFOpPH04E.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1373403010798215168/pu/img/wZnzAI0QFOpPH04E.jpg
2021-03-20T22:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373397617015541762
@jzu40 @junglist1973 @ChristopherKMe4 Okay, how should I handle the issue of UFOs conveniently staying Too Far Away to be photographed?

Most of them not being exotic craft would be one explanation.

What am I not considering?

2021-03-20T22:11:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373396757665640450
@UsCars4 The Navy has not contradicted the trig.

2021-03-20T22:10:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373396536801984514

@_Desmoden @KeithBasterfie1 It's from a 1988 diary entry by Jaque Vallee.

How can something "arrive" 3,000 miles above the Earth?

2021-03-20T21:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373384311823462401

@LouiseDArcy1 @JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 Are there any "clear as day" photos that you think are not fake?

The point really is that I would expect SOME good photos if there really are thousands of sightings.

2021-03-20T21:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373384039093080067

@UsCars4 Because trigonometry does not lie.

2021-03-20T20:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373378530113818624

@UsCars4 That's not the same thing.

Say your friend's doctor diagnoses him with tachycardia. You look at your friend's heart rate monitor data from the last six months and it rarely goes over 80 bmp, indicating a bad diagnosis.

Should the doctor not at least explain his diagnosis?

2021-03-20T19:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373363252281761792

@UsCars4 Consider the GoFast video. I pointed out that if you use trig and the numbers on the screen then the object is not close to the water, and it's not fast.

That's not an opinion, it's facts. Like the doctors telling you your BMI.

2021-03-20T19:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373361140105764869

@19Nimbus @JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 It was just a UFO flap. The Military report concluded nothing interesting.

2021-03-20T19:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373360558695542784

@CDMBIV @disario @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure He briefly was. He's not now.

He's not really saying anything we didn't already know, except for that bit about satellites.

2021-03-20T19:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373360275856920576

@johnthecloudguy @SensaiSeagal @ChristopherKMe4 I don't believe that. Who does?

2021-03-20T19:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373360067332907010

@AbductedTaco Contrails are clouds. Clouds are sometimes black.

It's to do with the lighting. There's a discussion here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-dark-contrails-behind-bright-planes.5957/

2021-03-20T19:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373359647512432641

@19Nimbus @SensaiSeagal @ChristopherKMe4 Exactly. So why no photos?

2021-03-20T19:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373359509335207939

@richard68666791 @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure It's really about A) his lack of intelligence experience and B) his short tenure. Mostly A though - like the same reasons Mark Warner gave for not confirming him.

2021-03-20T19:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373359062503452672

@UsCars4 Often though the things I offer actually ARE facts. No qualifications needed. You can check for yourself.

2021-03-20T19:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373358422142324736

@ChristopherKMe4, I invite you on to my Podcast (where I just had @LueElizondo). We can discuss past, present, and future events in the UAP sphere, and the difficulty of engaging science.
I sent you an email, but not sure if I have the right address. DM me, or mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-03-20T18:18:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373338294277218304

@UsCars4 Because I often raise interesting and valid points.

2021-03-20T18:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373337878407774210

@Slaneshza How about those satellites?

2021-03-20T17:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373322748030685188

@saucerlit @SicCoP1 @KeithBasterfie1 I didn't say he was deliberately misleading. I said he was unconvincing.

I generally assume people are honest. If not, then I will explicitly say so. Puthoff seems to be a true believer.

2021-03-20T17:08:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373320530355314688

@vandelay2020 @junglist1973 @Oswald1160 @skytriangles @cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4

@LueElizondo You don't either. Why do you believe?

2021-03-20T17:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373320361970782209

@JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 I did not mention radar. I'm discussing why if you can SEE something (often for many minutes) you can't PHOTOGRAPH it.

2021-03-20T17:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373320019833069569

@UsCars4 Ratcliffe has no qualification in satellite imagery. He just listens to experts (perhaps second or third hand) and tries to understand their reports, then paraphrases them.

2021-03-20T17:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373319402829979652

@SicCoP1 @KeithBasterfie1 Hmm, forgive me if I don't find a second-hand Hal Puthoff leaking classified data story particularly convincing.

2021-03-20T17:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373318866848280576

@vandelay2020 @junglist1973 @Oswald1160 @skytriangles @cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4 Why is a non-scientist convinced, but scientists are not?

What's the hold-up?

2021-03-20T16:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373317814992269315

@vandelay2020 @junglist1973 @Oswald1160 @skytriangles @cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4 Now convince more than 5% of relevant scientists.

The standard of evidence required to do that isn't really that high. But it's still not met.

2021-03-20T16:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373317516164952064

@Slaneshza One tweet is not the entirety of what I've said on a subject.

2021-03-20T16:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373317095610445824

@MrChadMcMillan @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure How is it subjective? Was Ratcliffe appointed because he was the best man for the job? Because of his vast experience in Intelligence?
Why was his first nomination withdrawn?

2021-03-20T16:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373316334646296578

@vandelay2020 @junglist1973 @Oswald1160 @skytriangles @cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4 The idiots are not the people you need to convince.

2021-03-20T16:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373315520083099653

@JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 It's a valid question and a very straightforward question.

If there really is all this eyewitness evidence, then why are there no good photos and videos?

Lots of reported close-up encounters.

Lots of photos and videos of things that are Too Far Away.

Why?

2021-03-20T16:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373314097983279106
@vandelay2020 @junglist1973 @Oswald1160 @skytriangles @cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4 Can you point to an example that's "too real" that you think is NOT a hoax?

2021-03-20T15:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373288892716126210
@ExogenesisHH @ChristopherKMe4 Sure, radar data would be great.

But if there have been literally THOUSANDS of visual sightings, then I would expect some actual visual evidence of this. Photos and video.

Here's some HD footage of flying objects shot from the cockpit. It's not hard.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kLmLgHr3a-o

2021-03-20T14:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373287016884936707
@darrenwinters @WitnessCitizen @TheProjectUnity @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure I'm not personally offended. I was just introducing some missing facts into the discussion.

Ratcliffe was a DNI <1 year. He had very little intelligence or military experience, he's not technical. He probably just had a briefing or two that he's repeating the fun bits of.

2021-03-20T14:49:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373285695591096321
@NMak2110 Can you provide a screenshot of the frame where this happens, and indicate which mic (grey or black)

2021-03-20T14:30:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373280700544131074
@dan_sm1th @Altouiz @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure If they are unidentifiable, yes.

2021-03-20T14:29:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373280460365647886
@LijevoSamo @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure He was asked, so he basically repeated some

stuff from some briefings.

2021-03-20T07:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373176203851403264

@RayJack62496046 Who mentioned satellites?

2021-03-20T07:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373174760301993985

Ratcliffe says

"objects that have been [...] picked up by satellite imagery, that, frankly, engage in actions that are difficult to explain"

Anyone have an idea how that would work? Or what he's referring to? Any examples?

Transcript: https://otter.ai/u/VYXtfn7OyG_cUiyjO5o9s4lt3xo

https://twitter.com/danielchaitin7/status/1373054999954087936

2021-03-20T07:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373173675373907973

@RayJack62496046 @PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 "picked up by satellite imagery"

How would that work?

Transcript: https://otter.ai/u/VYXtfn7OyG_cUiyjO5o9s4lt3xo

2021-03-20T07:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373172715482345476

@PostJawn @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure Lots of people thought he was unqualified for the job. Sounds to me like he's just generalizing from some popular stories.

I'd like him to quantify "usually" in "usually we have multiple sensors that are picking up these things"

https://twitter.com/MarkWarner/status/1233533983419437058

2021-03-20T06:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373157504608178179

@Disclosure369 @_Desmoden Yes, I think it's just a long exposure. Someone was sat on the bed, and was just standing up.

2021-03-20T06:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373157111190843394

@cosmikonion @ChristopherKMe4 People had cameras.

2021-03-20T06:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373156709229678597

@SensaiSeagal @ChristopherKMe4 80 years. And ending 25 years ago. 1916 to 1996.

http://members.ozemail.com.au/~vufors/hainesReview.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew5ulLwVoAQUXnZ.jpg

During his 30 years of investigations Dr. Haines has established a percentage break down of what type of pilot has reported a UFO. From his 3000 odd reports from 1916 (WWI) to October 1996, military pilots have reported 52% of these sightings while commercial pilots represent 40%, private pilots 7% and less than 1% for test pilots. Of these sightings, most are seen in good weather and 30% are during the day while the remaining 70% account for the night. Approximately 3% of the total reports have experienced electromagnetic effects on instruments when a UFO is close to the aircraft; even dimming of the cabin lights has been reported. These effects have lasted for 5 seconds up to a staggering 180 minutes of flight time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew5ulLwVoAQUXnZ.jpg
2021-03-20T05:09:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373139519088697352
@SensaiSeagal @ChristopherKMe4 Out of 3,000? Zero photos?

Did all 3,000 encounters last five seconds?

2021-03-20T05:06:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373138932850823168
@Bobby95975933 @Flyingh43892139 @ufotruthdigger I donâ□□t think any of them are liars.

Why no photos then?

2021-03-20T04:59:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373137019329597443
@PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 Why not both?

2021-03-20T04:55:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373135996443713538
@ChristopherKMe4 3000 sightings and zero photos?

2021-03-20T04:52:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373135319113949185
@the_free_air This issue is that this particular video of a contrail is promoted as NOT a contrail, but something else, unidentified.

2021-03-20T04:07:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373124032174821379
@hoveringobject Not something obviously identifiable.

2021-03-20T02:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373093490200965124

@jeff5647a @SBrowneITF That pattern just means they are out of focus. The actual light is a lot smaller.

2021-03-20T02:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373092756227194880

Yet again, Fox News illustrates a "UFO" story with video of an airplane contrail.

https://twitter.com/danielchaitin7/status/1373054999954087936

2021-03-20T02:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373092166851915779

@PredatoryPanic @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure Right. Multiple unidentified sightings, some reportedly multi-sensor, some of which SEEM to move in ways we can't explain - so there's a concern as to why these sightings exist.

This is not new.

2021-03-20T01:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373090968925184000

@PredatoryPanic @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure Sure, but he's not saying anything that we've not heard before.

2021-03-20T01:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373090769599303680

@WitnessCitizen @Flyingh43892139 @ufotruthdigger I'd suspect some kind of aircraft.

2021-03-20T01:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373090336268951559

@Altouiz @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure What does it mean though? Chinese drones?

2021-03-20T01:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373083392271470593

@jeffers24511815 @SicCoP1 @RealCSharp Not really.

2021-03-20T01:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373083262839484417

@murnut @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure There you go.

2021-03-20T01:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373083090403291136

@jeffers24511815 @SicCoP1 @RealCSharp Yeah, he's just saying stuff he's heard. He's got no idea what it really means.

2021-03-20T01:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373082826950594565

@disario @JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure I don't know if he's wrong. But there's no reason to give his words any more significance than anyone else.

2021-03-20T01:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373080613528379400

@JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure Ratcliffe is a partisan hack, a lawyer and politician who was

only briefly DNI for political reasons. So I don't think he really knows what he's talking about.

2021-03-20T00:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373075453104168962

@WitnessCitizen @Flyingh43892139 @ufotruthdigger It's a small nut on a mirror

---

2021-03-19T23:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373051722784894978

@WitnessCitizen @Flyingh43892139 @ufotruthdigger The fun ones are where we can be fairly sure it's not CGI. Then you need a physical recreation. Are there any where nobody can figure out a plausible staging method?

What about this? Probably at least four totally different potential methods.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew4PB-_VkAEoS7o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew4PB-_VkAEoS7o.jpg

2021-03-19T21:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1373028918144299012

@CanjeevaramSiva It's a big furry blob in low-rsolution highly compressed video. So it's never going to be "sharp".

It IS sharp in photos though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew36cfyU8AA1uUP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew36cfyU8AA1uUP.jpg
2021-03-19T19:54:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1373000014310514690
@daffycrux Well, the lack of a giant hand helps :)

2021-03-19T19:53:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372999784743665664
@Flyingh43892139 @ufotruthdigger Do you feel these photos are impossible to stage? You can't think of a way of doing it?

2021-03-19T19:52:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372999346724110338
@cris00000001 Hardly important either way. There's been a few things like that where they may well be recreating the event for the press.

2021-03-19T19:50:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372998822138310656
@JunkScience Funny way to judge someone.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew3fEpWVEAIzhVk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew3fEpWVEAIzhVk.jpg

2021-03-19T19:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372995267171995648

@daffycrux It's a recreation of one that people DID fall for.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KUjq_U1df_o

2021-03-19T19:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372995053681922050

@cris00000001 Link?

2021-03-19T18:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372985561099640840

Small, or far away? https://twitter.com/UFOS_EXPOSED/status/1023128243610767360

2021-03-19T17:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372965824420487169

@Flat__Lunacy It's not an optical illusion. Just the way the video turned out. Combination of lighting and his actual teeth. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew3BFJdUcAA_tuk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ew3BFJdUcAA_tuk.jpg
2021-03-19T17:33:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372964431735398409
3D Recreation of the Biden Microphone Misunderstanding:

This shows where things are in 3d space, and why no green screen is required.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L4PXcTo-73A

2021-03-19T16:46:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372952721695764481
@TheUfoJoe @mspaceinc @_Desmoden @AnjaliOnGaia @issaufobro @19Nimbus @benjimaan22 @htyson12 I don't even know what you are talking about? Who is this woman with an interesting story?

2021-03-19T15:04:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372927082947211271
@Nekomesha @kiztiz1986 Itâ□□s not.

2021-03-19T06:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372793302429278208
@AdamDanielKing Yes - especially combined with the compression.

2021-03-19T00:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372709223457460224
@SequelAccount @W7VOA Maybe this helps?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372709176766435333/pu/img/ExBYYkhN-9nImo1s.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372709176766435333/pu/img/ExBYYkhN-9nImo1s.jpg
2021-03-19T00:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372708975305576448
@Jy_Taylor @hopeospical Hi @Jy_Taylor, I've got a research discussion on the science (good and bad) behind images like these, here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fata-morgana-or-mirage-hovering-boat-images-false-horizon.9112/

Could you possibly email me the original image files, to help figure out the exact mechanism of the change in reflectivity?

mick@mickwest.com

2021-03-19T00:33:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372707834253570052
@Jy_Taylor @hopeospical Great example! You can see very clearly that this is just a change in the surface of the water and not a mirage. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwzWXLlVoAAmerF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwzWXLlVoAAmerF.jpg

2021-03-19T00:29:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372706753637326848

An image (by @Jy_Taylor) to put to rest the "Superior Mirage Hovering Boat" anti-science that been floating around, even promoted by BBC meteorologists like @weatherbraine.

It's impossible for refraction to make a ship perfectly hover in the air. It's always a false horizon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwzVA6PVgAAUSZ3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwzTsi5UYAEtOS4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwzVA6PVgAAUSZ3.jpg

2021-03-18T22:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372679866693214210

@kiztiz1986 @Nekomesha This might help

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372679842689261568/pu/img/pUPQvIX_PVN3udai.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372679842689261568/pu/img/pUPQvIX_PVN3udai.jpg

2021-03-18T22:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372677926001373184

@BtterflyDragon It's not out of focus, it's just compressed video.

2021-03-18T22:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372672588992815107

@unknownscienc @marisbellamy1 Yup. http://www.rode.com/accessories/deadcat

---

2021-03-18T22:11:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372672099278495749

@unknownscienc @marisbellamy1 "Dead cat" is what furry microphones are called.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewy1-pnUUAEEhcP.jpg

Rode Dead Cat Wind Muff for VideoMic, NTG

BH #RODC • MFR #DEAD CAT | 116 reviews | 5 Questions, 11 Answers





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewy1-pnUUAEEhcP.jpg

2021-03-18T21:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372663800806010885

@twilly18 Okay. That's a close-up of his hand with the large dead-cat-covered blimp shotgun mic behind it.

2021-03-18T21:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372658636942569473

@kiztiz1986 @Nekomesha It's a couple of feet behind his hand, when viewed from the right.

2021-03-18T21:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372657116293427200

@Jackrflick @W7VOA It is a big one! Under the "dead cat" is what is called a "blimp", which itself is a container for a "shotgun" mic.

Fun names in the audio profession!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C98M36j2Azo

2021-03-18T21:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372655491298463744

@mvpispi Since when? The debates?

2021-03-18T20:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372650814183854082

@kiztiz1986 @jordanschumway @W7VOA No, but Steve Herman (@W7VOA) was. He was the

guy holding the dead cat.

https://twitter.com/W7VOA/status/1372256421304270849

2021-03-18T20:45:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372650490844958721
@MiltonsRevenge @jonah_thewhale @BidenChad @IamMEDIAbear @LeadStoriesCom My recreation was mostly to show the illusion of expecting the large mic to be closer to the camera (and hence in front of hands).

Which "glitch" are you referring to? The black mic?

2021-03-18T20:29:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372646373028429832
@GeoeWatchDebunk Reducing them at night, ideally.

It's one of the techniques I mention in this old article.

https://contrailscience.com/contrail-avoidance-and-mitigation-techniques/

2021-03-18T20:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372641499855216641
Trump being attacked by a small group of Tribbles.

(For people who still don't understand what those furry microphones were doing near Biden's hands)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372641228920004610/pu/img/UEX6GrAhmbc0va22.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372641228920004610/pu/img/UEX6GrAhmbc0va22.jpg
2021-03-18T20:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372640983007948801

@adamlytics No, see this example of microphones getting friendly with Trump.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372640906394832897/pu/img/Mcdm9ZHWdzcskeGc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372640906394832897/pu/img/Mcdm9ZHWdzcskeGc.jpg

2021-03-18T20:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372639675513327616

@TheHouse385 Here's a better version

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372639647210237952/pu/img/rfC473qcv2CVZyXy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372639647210237952/pu/img/rfC473qcv2CVZyXy.jpg

2021-03-18T19:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372638158148411392

@TheHouse385 The trees going into his head in your version is from video compression. The AP

video on YT is NOT the original, it's a highly compressed 360p "comp" version. The original is for sale on their site.
Watch the background guy at 0:40 , same artifacts.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KBPmaf7ffg8&t=31s
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyW4glVgAQCGIb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyW4glVgAQCGIb.jpg
2021-03-18T19:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372636427209510912
@PhinsUncensored @TheHouse385 The original was in a camera somewhere, probably at least 1080p, maybe 4K, low (if any) compression.

But for web versions, they get compressed. You get artifacts, especially around the edges of moving objects.

2021-03-18T19:13:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372627147613892610
@DevinRustle Dead cats? That's what they are called.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyNG3pUYAAOzlS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyNG3pUYAAOzlS.jpg

2021-03-18T19:12:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372626938053885952

@DevinRustle @fashiongranny1 @buddhasgift1 Not at all, I explain it in the video.

2021-03-18T19:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372625259359170561

@TheHouse385 Are you asking why there's some flickering on this tenth-generation copy of a screengrab? Or the slight compression artifacting in low-contrast regions in the earlier version?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372625155193643012/pu/img/ILnCDrQJ69JZBXBx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372625155193643012/pu/img/ILnCDrQJ69JZBXBx.jpg

2021-03-18T19:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372624143774928896

@sprstraightcrab Because it is big.

2021-03-18T18:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372620321669312516
@unknownscienc Some things are just fun.

I think every little helps though.

2021-03-18T18:28:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372615827862683649
@unifyforfreedom @Shayan86 Dead Cat refers to the microphone cover. It's hard to compress (i.e. to make the video file smaller) because the fuzzy edges have a lot of detail.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyCpZVVEAIzTc7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwyCpZVVEAIzTc7.jpg
2021-03-18T18:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372611660163084288
@theLouBecker I don't take orders from anyone. I actually like doing video and photo analysis like this, as it's a fun puzzle figuring out where things are in the scene, and how certain things end up looking as they do. Here's an example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

---

2021-03-18T18:06:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372610345823432705
@grasskutterr @CDL_21 Is my fact-check incorrect? Did you look at it? What did I get wrong?

2021-03-18T18:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372609897586511872
@theLouBecker Do you think the video of Biden was faked? (The one with the large microphones)

2021-03-18T18:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372608852722556928
@fashiongranny1 @buddhasgift1 It's even used a name in some well-known brands, like Rode.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx8clbUYAEpUmB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx8clbUYAEpUmB.jpg

2021-03-18T17:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372608379185557505

@theLouBecker So you just joined yesterday to post a bunch of very similar things to different threads? What's the motivation here? You don't seem to be having conversations.

Surely you can automatically believe the opposite of what a debunker says?

2021-03-18T17:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372607430203351041

@ShanePe93472217 Check out this video with Trump. The microphones look like ridiculous puppets. One even bumps into him https://youtu.be/f0oyt2uUjPQ?t=70

2021-03-18T17:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372606895119212545

@theLouBecker Lou Becker. Your account looks kind of like a bot.

Can you demonstrate you are a real live American person?

2021-03-18T17:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372604344294502400

@e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom Here's a photo I took with an 1800mm equivalent lens (very similar to ATFLIR, about 1Â° FOV)

THe crane is about 6 miles away. The surf is about 0.1 miles away.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx4RLyVIAYVUOw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx4RLyVIAYVUOw.jpg

2021-03-18T17:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372602663532032001

@e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom Okay, so you are making a specific testable claim here. You claim that at high focal length you can't get an object at 3 miles distance in the same focus as an object at 6 miles distance?

Do you think that is correct?

Let's test it.

2021-03-18T17:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372601637022306305

@OfficialAnitaSt http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx13DZVkAEc9uP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx13DZVkAEc9uP.jpg

2021-03-18T17:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372601366862958596

@OfficialAnitaSt What's this then? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx1owgVEAQqUWa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewx1owgVEAQqUWa.jpg

2021-03-18T17:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372597029734551554

@AmbroseVBruno I'm pretty sure that the original point was that it looked like evidence of fakery.

It's odd, but not inexplicable.

2021-03-18T16:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372580121748508672
@livetogro @Shayan86 Yes, because it is behind his hand.

2021-03-18T15:53:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372576901588426764
@unknownscienc @Josef_baum It could be suspended from a string. He seems like a handy chap.

2021-03-18T15:52:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372576660696920066
@livetogro @Shayan86 In the image you posted there's a black microphone behind his hand. The grey one is to the left of the shot.

What exactly do you think the blunder is?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372576552303480835/pu/img/Zv9PZo4cKC8QfoSG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1372576552303480835/pu/img/Zv9PZo4cKC8QfoSG.jpg
2021-03-18T15:44:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372574707715776514
@unknownscienc @Josef_baum It's clearly not clearly anything. It's blurry and shakey.

It could very easily be a hubcap though.

2021-03-18T15:21:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372568955743739920
@CDL_21 @DimmettMonnie It does. So you think that the fact that his hair in that shot is the same color as the sky is evidence of fakery?
It's just washed out. Typical video problem. Try adjusting the levels
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxYGbTUYAYa5g6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxYGbTUYAYa5g6.jpg

2021-03-18T15:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372567708894568449

Is the John Birch Society still active in the right-wing conspiracy sphere? I see they have a few videos, but I was wondering if they have any real impact in the 2020s.

2021-03-18T15:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372564998057160709

@DimmettMonnie @CDL_21 Where's that then?

Not where his white hair blends in with the white sky?

Give me a link and a timestamp and I'll have a look.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxUg8QVcAQuFZW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxUg8QVcAQuFZW.jpg

2021-03-18T15:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372564362662080516

@Some_BrokeDude @mrManno24 No, That's his shirt. Compare the "transparent" bits to the rest of his shirt, where it's in shadow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxT3rFU8AQUBnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxT3rFU8AQUBnA.jpg

2021-03-18T15:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372563752894091265

@TurnThePoorRich @ConcerndBassist @Shayan86 Here you go.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxTYA0UcAgFSPC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxS8ESVIAUWzOq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxS2cLUcAQmPiM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxTUSMVEAE6sXv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxTYA0UcAgFSPC.jpg

2021-03-18T14:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372560856161001473

@NicholasCJones1 @spicy_raviolee @W7VOA My videos recreation was mostly about the large grey mic looking fake and like it was behind BIden's hand (because it was so large).

The other stuff is just position and angles. I explain the black mic bumps Biden's hand.

2021-03-18T14:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372552817206910981

@2000_toria Those are two different photos of the same part of the building. Angle, zoom, and

lighting can make things look different. See various other examples of

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxIr4nVoAclCxq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxIr4oVoAAOLkR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxIr4oVkAIXG5D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxIr4sUUAQaoeX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwxIr4nVoAclCxq.jpg

2021-03-18T08:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372458992409055232

@jordanschumway @W7VOA Compression is very little to do with it. It's about where his hands are, and where the mics are, and how everything moves and collides. This shows nothing new. The grey mic is behind to the left. The back mic moves around, bumps his hand.

2021-03-18T05:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372414848336683013

@e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom Thatâ□□s not how focus works. You can very easily focus on a tree 100 feet away and a mountain 10 miles behind it.

2021-03-18T05:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372414462666362884
@ttimbrooks @MiLiTiKiLaLa @JasonBermas @felixdennis123 @UtopiaPipeDream Helicopter.

2021-03-18T04:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372405318248845314
@jordanschumway @W7VOA It touches his hand, as I showed.

2021-03-18T01:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372363335455318017
@CDL_21 Well sure, but what about the video shows his hand and a microphone in "the same space". The same part of the image, sure. But one can be behind the other.
The grey mic dead cat is unusually large. look at it here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OEiL6NMVZEU

2021-03-18T01:35:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372360956886482947
@CDL_21 Which microphone? The big grey one, or the black one.

What does "on the tv angle" mean?

2021-03-18T01:34:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372360656737894401
@MiLiTiKiLaLa @JasonBermas @felixdennis123 @UtopiaPipeDream See the same thing here:
https://youtu.be/f0oyt2uUjPQ?t=271

2021-03-18T01:11:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372354914995761155
@Canaan_Arthur93 That's great!

2021-03-18T01:10:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372354786117390336
@CDL_21 You are going to have to be a bit more specific than that. I looked extensively at both videos and the photos. The mics are not photoshopped. They look real to me.

So unless you can explain otherwise, then I'm going with my analysis.

2021-03-18T01:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372353845901225984
@CDL_21 Which microphone?

2021-03-17T21:54:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372305458912845826
@sickathehighhat @rothschildmd Anyone can.

2021-03-17T21:52:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372304849505685504
@rdmghost1 @Shayan86 It's a general "everything is fake" sentiment. Some of them think Biden isn't really president. Some of them think that Biden just fakes things like this because he's too ill (or dead) to do them for real.

They don't actually need motive, they just "know" that things are faked

---

2021-03-17T21:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372303884320829446

@TurnThePoorRich @ConcerndBassist @Shayan86 It's the White House press pool. So yes, that is what I'm saying. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwtnClJVgAEE8an.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewtm1vLUcAMoxag.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwtnClJVgAEE8an.jpg

2021-03-17T21:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372303302847655939

I can now add "how toddlers fall over in the dark, if not by ghosts" to the list of things I'm an expert in.

https://nypost.com/2021/03/17/video-of-baby-falling-as-if-pushed-by-a-ghost-fake-experts/

2021-03-17T21:42:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372302227805638656
@rothschildmd This might help a little.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T21:21:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372297042802839552
@astro_timpeake @ScienceWeekUK This is BAD science though. It's not a superior mirage. Nor is it looming. It's just a fash horizon caused by the surface of the water beyond a certain distance reflecting and merging with the sky.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-17T21:16:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372295896164040705
@yoalexrapz Here, set your mind at rest: Basically, it's a large "dead cat" microphone cover, and it is actually behind him. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T21:16:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372295784339697664
@Arightside Biden "Green Screen" explained here. Basically, it's a large "dead cat" microphone cover, and it is actually behind him. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T21:08:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372293784877211649
@discernment_dr @Scribe1969 It was actually just the detachable fur rim from a winter jacket's hood, wrapped around a pill bottle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewtdt87VIAEZCZO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewtdt87VIAEZCZO.jpg

2021-03-17T21:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372293225638072321

@AdmiralQuality @Mekanickal @skot75 It's a large hard microphone just under the fluff.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwtdW81UcAIdJwl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwtdW81UcAIdJwl.jpg
2021-03-17T20:48:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372288808188665856
@JasonBermas @felixdennis123 @UtopiaPipeDream Now watch mine.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T20:47:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372288455720411138
Explaining why a dead cat appears behind Joe Biden.

The video also explains why the other microphone appears both in from of and behind his hand. It's because it was. The boom operator bumped his hand, then moved back a bit.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T20:45:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372287940441776130
@AdmiralQuality @Mekanickal @skot75 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w_QQ2xj-nwQ

2021-03-17T18:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372257534875078661
@diarrhangus Video only, I'm afraid.

2021-03-17T18:44:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372257456043163648
@realufobros It's a dead cat.

2021-03-17T18:43:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372257311956246531
@Scribe1969 Fun with a dead cat*.

* A type of noise-blocking microphone cover.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews8kaZUUAE8Uol.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews8kaZUUAE8Uol.jpg
2021-03-17T18:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372256322410848257
@skot75 @AdmiralQuality It's kind of a feathery mic.

2021-03-17T18:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372256099794001921
I would.

In fact I was just in my backyard making an explainer.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews7m5gVIAAc33o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews7m5gVIAAc33o.jpg
2021-03-17T18:37:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1372255906889527296
"Working" in the backyard. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews7c8XVIAIqR_C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ews7c8XVIAIqR_C.jpg

2021-03-17T18:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372251953472761858

@AdmiralQuality @skot75 Have you considered the possibility that his hand IS in front of the mic?

2021-03-17T17:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372246172652335111

@AdmiralQuality Right, I'll just go recreate that in my backyard.

2021-03-17T17:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372245948777172997

@steven_nikolic @Aesthetic_Degen @thehill The fringes are largely compression artifacts. Fuzzy moving object are very hard to compress without losing detail.

2021-03-17T16:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372222402092965892

RT @Aesthetic_Degen: @thehill for all the people saying this is green screen or CGI, it's just an illusion because of the camera distortionâ¦

2021-03-17T16:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372219596548186114

A cautionary tale regarding jumping from a lack of conclusions to the most seductive one.

"the inability to immediately explain [Oumuamua] in detail led to speculation that it is a piece of alien technology."

https://news.agu.org/press-release/interstellar-object-oumuamua-is-likely-a-piece-of-a-pluto-like-planet/?fbclid=IwAR1tXURqTC8gBYVVrQgyu1INluSvf4U8iM2LSx_Sq0DFDFI1uzTAbbCjCo4

2021-03-17T04:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372045482806022145

@e2_hawkeye @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom The size of waves is independent of where the object is.

2021-03-17T01:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372003726685171714

@JayWalkr @TheProjectUnity @_olligo_ @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein A new data point is very significant when it invalidates a claim (fancy physics). So itâ□□s not JUST a new data point.

2021-03-17T01:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1372002900356911105

@Jamie_Aliens @FalunJimmy @joaktree33 He goes over the pros and cons of such an approach. Itâ□□s an interesting paper. At the time it was largely aimed at potential jihadists.
https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/law_and_economics/119/

2021-03-17T01:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371993153583030276

@JayWalkr @TheProjectUnity @_olligo_ @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein So the set of possible solutions goes from
[Something Amazing that Rotates]
to
[Something Amazing that Rotates <OR> A Jet (or other hot) engine propelled craft]

2021-03-17T01:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371992778712838147

@JayWalkr @TheProjectUnity @_olligo_ @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein It's not just that recreating a rotating glare with a flashlight provides a data point. It actually debunks the notion that the rotating shape on the screen MUST represent a rotating object (and hence be using some kind of advanced technology, even new physics).
(1/2)

2021-03-17T00:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371979509692461060

@BabbleAlien @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo Yes, but that's was for the TTSA declassification, not what the DoD said when they did their official release.

2021-03-17T00:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371976034375987200

@BabbleAlien @MrHustleMCR @LueElizondo That's not what the DoD said. It's the reason Lue gave for TTSA release. The DoD did it "in order to clear up any misconceptions by the public on whether or not the footage that has been circulating was real, or whether or not there is more to the videos"
https://www.defense.gov/Newsroom/Releases/Release/Article/2165713/statement-by-the-department-of-defense-on-the-release-of-historical-navy-videos/

2021-03-16T23:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371974075854118915
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein Fravor's sighting.

2021-03-16T23:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371972552382316547
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein I'm just not sure what you mean by "truly anomalous"

There are loads of cases that defy provable explanations. There's also plenty that have multiple unsatisfactory potential explanations.

But what is "truly anomalous?"

Show me one. I won't pick on it.

2021-03-16T23:47:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371971294850215936
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein Give me an example of what you are talking about. Which case do you think is the most truly anomalous?

2021-03-16T23:42:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371970262787313666
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein Anything in the LIZ.

2021-03-16T23:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371970139399221250
@murnut @TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein It was moving fast on the screen because of parallax.

The lock is based on what's on the screen. Essentially like feature tracking. Video based.

2021-03-16T23:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371969723911569409
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein Then it's obviously inevitable that there will be thousands of mistakes made, including failing to identify things, and you could just gather them all together into a group.

Then it's not "that group vs. Mick West". It's just an inevitable group.

It's possible. Even probably.

2021-03-16T23:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371969237678366723
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein I also know it's possible for pilots to make serious mistakes, even if it's very rare

Since that's possible, it's inevitable that given enough flying hours then hundreds of serious mistakes will be made over the years.

2021-03-16T23:36:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371968685896728579
@TheProjectUnity @RedLohit @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein I think you are mischaracterizing my position. I look at things on a case-by-case basis, and I look at individual claims of evidence within those cases. For example "Go Fast" goes fast - was a claim that was made that is false. So it's worth pointing out.

2021-03-16T23:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371962020157792257
@TheProjectUnity @Oswald1160 @UAPTheory @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein "Mass hallucinations" and everyone being 100% accurate in their observations are not the only possibilities here. Nor is "mass hallucination" even particularly high on the list for military testimonies.

There's a vast number of reasons why people make mistakes.

2021-03-16T20:04:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371915380755550213
@foiaboi What does "sincere footage" mean?

I think that most footage is sincere, in the sense that the person who took it did not fake it or try to misrepresent it.

2021-03-16T18:28:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371891164735971331
@TheProjectUnity @darrenwinters @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson What about the GoFast balloon theory do you consider to be "silly"?

2021-03-16T18:27:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371890906131881986
@TheProjectUnity @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson @EricRWeinstein No. it indicates that I think he is wrong on some points, largely because he's not looked deeply into those points.

2021-03-16T15:24:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371844749628477461
@exploreabitmore @LeifNordh @CKakadan @TheProjectUnity @NielDeGrase @neiltyson Gravity itself has only been proven by "observations"

Dark matter is a model that works pretty well. Another model might replace it, but it will continue to work.

2021-03-16T15:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371842051956953096

@TheProjectUnity @CKakadan @NielDeGrase @neiltyson Whoa! Who thinks that I represent the zenith of intellectual credibility?

I'm just one of the few skeptics who takes a serious interest in the UFO topic.

There are WAY smarter people, but they are not interested.

Got to start somewhere.

2021-03-16T15:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371841413890109446

@2020defense @YouTube I'm for it with reasonable limits. Like, no revenge porn.

When one fundamental right encroaches upon another fundamental then neither right is absolute.

2021-03-16T15:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371840886984867847

@LeifNordh @CKakadan @TheProjectUnity @NielDeGrase @neiltyson Except for the evidence in Galaxy rotation curves, Velocity dispersions, Galaxy clusters, Gravitational lensing, Cosmic microwave background, Structure formation, Bullet Cluster, Type Ia supernova distance measurements, Baryon acoustic oscillations, and Redshift-space distortions

---

2021-03-15T23:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371602860425637891

@QldUap @Oswald1160 @Dogbert34664346 @PostDisclosure 5 major mishaps in 100,000 hours is one every 20,000. A military pilot might amass 3,000 hours in a career, meaning roughly 1 major mishap per 7 pilots.

How many pilots have had a close encounter with a UFO, like Fravor did?

2021-03-15T21:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371576478836482048

@JapaMahatma @GeezerSqueezer @82Noan @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Bad analogy, because dogs can't talk, yet we can (and do) still communicate with them at their level.

We CAN talk, and far more advanced aliens should easily be able to talk to us on our level.

2021-03-15T21:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371575839192535042

@QldUap @Dogbert34664346 @PostDisclosure How are you quantifying this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwjQ9BvVoAAGh8T.jpg

All USAF F-16 fighter Class A (major) aircraft mishaps from 1975-93 were analyzed, using records from the U.S. Air Force Safety Agency (AFSA). There were 190 Class A mishaps involving 204 F-16's and 217 aircrew during this 19-yr period. The overall Class A rate was 5.09 per 100,000 flight hours, more than double the overall USAF rate. The mishaps are categorized by year, month, time of day and model of aircraft in relation to mishap causes as determined and reported by AFSA. Formation position, phase of flight and primary cause of the mishap indicate that maneuvering, cruise and low-level phases account for the majority of the mishaps (71%), with air-to-air engagements associated with a higher proportion of pilot error (71%) than was air-to-ground (49%). Engine failure was the number one cause of mishaps (35%), and collision with the ground the next most frequent (24%). Pilot error was determined as causative in 55% of all the mishaps. Pilot error was often associated with other non-pilot related causes. Channelized attention, loss of situational awareness, and spatial disorientation accounted for approximately 30% of the total pilot error causes found. Pilot demographics, flight hour/sortie profiles, and aircrew injuries are also listed. Fatalities occurred in 27% of the mishaps, with 97% of those involving pilot errors.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwjQ9BvVoAAGh8T.jpg
2021-03-15T16:05:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371492746628845574
@PostDisclosure Which circles do you refer to? Of course, pilots make better observers of things in the air. But they also occasionally fly into the ground or shoot the wrong people.

"Better" != "Infallible"

2021-03-15T16:01:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371491831897280512
@emmathepony Well, they did apologize. And I'd hope they are trying to improve. But people need to keep encouraging them to do so.

2021-03-15T14:50:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371473970428452873
@DLINDSAY2 My channel isn't monetized.

2021-03-15T05:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371334324159614983
Hey, my YouTube appeal actually worked! Episode 51 - a one-year post-election catchup with QAnon follower Michael is back up and running. It had been taken down for supposedly spreading election fraud disinformation.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GDj1NclSijI
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewf0fFjUYAACsvC.jpg

YouTube

Hello Mick West,

We have reviewed your appeal for the following content:

Video: TFTRH 51 - Michael: Post Inauguration QAnon Catchup

After taking another look, we can confirm that your content does not violate our Community Guidelines.

Thanks for your patience while we reviewed this appeal. Our goal is to make sure content doesn't violate our Community Guidelines so that YouTube can be a safe place for all - and sometimes we make mistakes trying to get it right. We're sorry for any frustration our mistake caused you, and we appreciate you letting us know.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewf0fFjUYAACsvC.jpg
2021-03-14T22:33:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371228066790342660
@mr_metalman Sure, it's something you should always consider.

2021-03-14T19:38:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371183902681919494
@SteveHa79307635 @twinnyter81 @PostDisclosure I didn't just give a flippant reply. I responded in depth.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-03-14T19:36:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371183434882637831
@mr_metalman Plenty of videos are of objects that are too Far Away, or Out Of Focus, etc, to tell what they are. Does that count as being "stumped"?

2021-03-14T19:35:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371183158889050114
@Josef_baum If it's not exotic, then it's very obvious NOT an "excellent capture."

Why would a highly-compressed 144p shakey video be described as an "excellent capture" if it's just a hubcap on a string?

2021-03-14T16:18:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371133610133049346
@bolayer @TomODon80437044 You can't see any line there. It would be hard even at 480p to see it, even 720p depending on lighting. This video is 192x144 (essentially 144p)

2021-03-14T16:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371132507853180930
@Flyingh43892139 @Oswald1160 Well, look at the zoomed portion. Notice how the 8x8 blocks are quote different to their neighbors.

I'm not sure if I have a camera bad enough to duplicate it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc8jwxUUAIlY9d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc8jwxUUAIlY9d.jpg
2021-03-14T16:00:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1371129180482457601
@Josef_baum Well, what do you think Jeremy was implying, that it's an "excellent capture" of a hubcap on a string?

Does it look like an excellent capture to you?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc6t5EU8AEcFbx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc6t5EU8AEcFbx.jpg

2021-03-14T15:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371126403047952384

@AdmiralQuality @YouTube You've got the logic backwards. I said "Conspiracy theorists will no-doubt say "haha, how do you feel about censorship now?""

I did not say "If you say I'm a deserving hypocrite then you are a "conspiracy theory"?"

But it's probably a clue.

2021-03-14T15:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371124732557357060

@Flyingh43892139 @AnnaWhitty2 @AliensOnEarth_ I said you were wrong, not that you are a fool.

Even a genius can be fooled. Even gods make mistakes.

2021-03-14T15:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371123634165575685

@RichardVonQuest He's not posting it now. He posted it last year. Someone else tweeted it at me as a good example

@JeremyCorbell may have changed his opinion. He's can do that, like he once championed the "cold aura" around Gimbal, but now says it's a camera artifact (disagreeing with Elizondo)

2021-03-14T15:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371121957748404224

@Oswald1160 @Flyingh43892139 Compression artifacts occur in 8x8 pixel blocks. If you look at the original PIXELS (w contrast enhance) you can see this. There's slightly more above it in that frame because of where the block boundary is (near the top).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc0GG2U8AEpLql.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwczwKAVkAgyIpP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ewc0GG2U8AEpLql.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwczcSjUYAIddEL.jpg

2021-03-14T11:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371065453360025603

@Flyingh43892139 No, they don't. You've just "enhanced" compression artifacts.

2021-03-14T11:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371063691655880705

@Flyingh43892139 Heat? How do you conclude heat? Is the vegetation also releasing heat?

2021-03-14T11:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1371062804145405959

@Haze17Purple @ahypothesizer @YouTube I replied with a link to a thread with lots of work from multiple people including me, over weeks, that concluded it was something small and close.

2021-03-14T02:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370920374796390407

@drumshticknow Hmm, that gives me another idea for intro music.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r0itlB23fFY

2021-03-14T02:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370919736565895173

@aham51712436 @YouTube But it got flagged for election fraud discussion, not QAnon.

2021-03-14T02:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370919594215415813

@LyndaRVA_UAP It's almost immune to analysis because it's such bad quality video.

2021-03-14T01:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370913605609156611

I'm constantly amazed that people consider videos like this to be evidence of exotic flying craft and not hubcaps dangling from strings.

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1273340985594884096

2021-03-14T00:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370889944768507908

@SteveHa79307635 @PostDisclosure I don't think he was being jammed. The 99.9 RNG is not a jamming indicator. Maybe a potential result of jamming, but a result of other things too. Underwood initially said he did not think he was being jammed.

2021-03-14T00:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370889502307192836

@ShaanJoshi @YouTube Did they actually quote something you said?

2021-03-14T00:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370889015696576512

@ahypothesizer @YouTube No. The drop-out is consistent with compression variation in the general area. Here are the frames in question, zoomed in, and contrast enhanced.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwZgFYdU8AAnnkk.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwZgFYdU8AAnnkk.jpg

2021-03-13T23:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370881929554272256

@ahypothesizer @YouTube Something small and close.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-03-13T22:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370866262222368768

@jz_Dragon @YouTube Right now they are removing videos that they say violate their terms of service when they actually do not. I think they should employ more people to accurately and fairly implement TOS policing.

2021-03-13T22:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370865737158463497

@red_pill_junkie @YouTube I think realistically it would be employed by the platform because the claim here is that I broke the platform's terms of service. They decide what those TOS are.

They might choose to farm it out to a "neutral" party, but ultimately it's up to them.

2021-03-13T22:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370864929121607680

@MGoedblick @YouTube I just mentioned election fraud. I just did it again. I've mentioned it in several videos.

I've had this one removed, that does not mean there's a blanket ban on mentioning it.

2021-03-13T22:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370858291912265729

@All4LightBearer @YouTube Not entirely, but it's a big factor.

They (the individuals involved) also see themselves as doing good, and fighting against disinformation.

2021-03-13T22:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370857899170275328

@red_pill_junkie @YouTube They need a way of identifying people who are actually debunking stuff, and then giving them an actual human review which includes a conversation, so the debunker can understand and respond to the concerns of the reviewer. Now I just know it's something to do with election fraud

---

2021-03-13T21:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370856109016109060

@Borders750 @zcichy A really mundane take:

AATIP was a real program studying UAPs in case they were fancy foreign drones, but it wasn't really taken seriously because of ET bent. It has classified info that's both tabloid fodder and a hassle to declassify, so they stonewall and hope people go away

---

2021-03-13T21:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370853027783999489

@SuperVFunCat @_Desmoden @zcichy At the bottom of this post, section starting "My Correspondance"

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-evolutions-of-official-statements-regarding-the-navy-ufo-videos-and-uap-investigations.11401/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwY_h9JU8AEKTep.jpg

1) What are each of the three videos (FLIR1, GOFAST, and GIMBAL) currently classified as?

A: The objects in the videos remain characterized as "unidentified." Unidentified means we cannot definitively determine what they are.

2) If, after examination, it was determined that a video had a plausible explanation as an aircraft or balloon, then would that classification publically change?

A: **The official designation would change if we definitively identify the objects.** However, any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

3) Would it change if the exact aircraft was identified?

A: The official designation would change if we definitively identify the objects. However, any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

4) Has the military determined that
4a) FLIR1 shows an object essentially in level flight, making no sudden maneuvers
4b) GIMBAL shows an IR glare that rotates due to the camera's gimbal rotation.
4c) GOFAST shows an illusion of speed, a high slow object appearing to be a low fast one.
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

5) Other than being designated UAP's What more can you tell me about what is thought to be show in each video?
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

6) Have any of the videos been used in pilot training?
A: No.

[Second email]
One follow up regarding question #1:
7) Would a distant plane of undetermined type and origin "remain characterized as 'unidentified'" even if could be determined that it was a plane.
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwY_h9JU8AEKTep.jpg
2021-03-13T21:41:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370852435757920259
@MGoedblick @YouTube I discussed election fraud in several of the previous episodes.

2021-03-13T21:37:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370851624642482176
@MGoedblick @YouTube Mention election fraud isn't censored.

2021-03-13T21:36:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370851396153614337
@_Desmoden @zcichy I'm not a huge part of the pilers-on, but I did once send Susan Gough a list of questions (which she answered).

I don't think anyone actually asks questions with the intent of getting LESS information. It's just an unfortunate consequence of the massive interest in UFOs.

2021-03-13T21:34:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370850677468004352

@H8ERDAVE @TheUfoJoe @OmniTalkRadio Interesting take. What did I say that made you think I lack basic intelligence?

2021-03-13T21:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370847900440260613

@All4LightBearer @YouTube Advertisers don't want their ads running on disinfo videos, and those videos only represent a tiny fraction of views on YouTube. They also open YouTube up to criticism, and possibly even lawsuits if the disinfo prompts criminal acts (even mass murder). So they supress them.

2021-03-13T20:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370835744521003010

@All4LightBearer @YouTube Effectively providing responses to misinformation is not a simple matter. You can't just rely on there being someone who will do a deep dive into every little bit of misinformation. It's cheaper for YouTube to just do these blanket suppressions.

2021-03-13T20:32:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370835135008968709

@carlanderson333 I could have asked more questions, but we ran out of time.

2021-03-13T20:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370834987633709056

@MGoedblick @YouTube And yet here you are discussing it.

2021-03-13T19:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370824026436685828

@zcichy It would seem their motivation to "make no comment" is to avoid a pile-on by the media and the various blogs, etc, (myself included) who obsessively parse every little change in language as they chip away at the wall of secrecy.

2021-03-13T19:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370822307355127808

@MGoedblick @YouTube We are forced to draw lines in grey areas. It will always be contested, compromised, and fluid.

2021-03-13T19:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370821666046021632

@assange_s @rothschildmd I'll put it on Vimeo, but I'm banned from uploading to YT for a week.

2021-03-13T19:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370821318317285378

@BrandonFugal Sure, but surely you've got a folder labeled "photos of anomalies" somewhere that you could just drop on Dropbox? I don't expect everything filtered and cross-referenced, just ANYTHING that's interesting.

2021-03-13T19:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370820554437971968

@highstakes57 @rothschildmd https://open.spotify.com/show/1epkvGqLWfGBBJ2wW1y0cC

2021-03-13T17:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370794130939416579
@PineForestCmpr @YouTube I'm an American. It think elections SHOULD be fortified.

Read what the article says this entails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwYJ5vAVkAEwDxJ.jpg

---

> The handshake between business and labor was just one component of a vast, cross-partisan campaign to protect the election—an extraordinary shadow effort dedicated not to winning the vote but to ensuring it would be free and fair, credible and uncorrupted. For more than a year, a loosely organized coalition of operatives scrambled to shore up America's institutions as they came under simultaneous attack from a remorseless pandemic and an autocratically inclined President. Though much of this activity took place on the left, it was separate from the Biden campaign and crossed ideological lines, with crucial contributions by nonpartisan and conservative actors. The scenario the shadow campaigners were desperate to stop was not a Trump victory. It was an election so calamitous that no result could be discerned at all, a failure of the central act of democratic self-governance that has been a hallmark of America since its founding.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwYJ5vAVkAEwDxJ.jpg
2021-03-13T17:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370793236881575941
@danthegreater @P_Dubbin @YouTube I think, again, it's about where you draw the line. In most cases, I don't think people should be banned for sharing opinions. Where it gets more justifiable is if they are repeatedly sharing things that are A) verifiably false and B) harmful.

B can be hard to quantify.

2021-03-13T17:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370792487954964483
@JoeMoonjoey Looks like it.

2021-03-13T17:40:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370791792870707200
@h43z @YouTube I don't think that's true, that's the IDEAL, but obviously unattainable with AI and cursory human review.

2021-03-13T17:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370791124017709056
@h43z @YouTube It's wrong because they said it promotes claims of election fraud when it's actually doing the opposite.

2021-03-13T17:35:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370790521724039169
@cryptokeeper198 @YouTube Alphabet is the parent company of YouTube and Google. Although technically YouTube is under Google.

Alphabet/Google has divisions like @Jigsaw that work on issues of countering disinformation.

2021-03-13T17:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370782859141599236

@cryptokeeper198 @YouTube I somewhat agree. But that's a much more expensive proposition for Alphabet.

2021-03-13T17:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370782027507671045

@P_Dubbin @YouTube Who isn't? Nobody sensible wants revenge-porn (or worse) or inciteful racist hate speech to be given a free and powerful platform without restrictions. But we differ on where and how to draw the line.

2021-03-13T16:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370781294347493379

@WWTLpodcast @rothschildmd Both can result from systemic failures.

2021-03-13T16:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370780377153900545

I'm appealing YouTube's deletion of my interview with a QAnon follower. But given they rejected my appeal on an interview with @rothschildmd, I'm unhopeful.

I'd like to do a podcast episode on this topic (friendly fire by YT)

Who has similar stories? willing to share? DM me.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1370777794049757186

2021-03-13T16:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370779407539859457

@zcichy Only one of those photos is from 2004.

2021-03-13T16:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370779049530851330

@MGoedblick People have differing foundational knowledge structures, so what you see as objective truth, they see as propaganda.

2021-03-13T16:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370778468594544640

@YouTube Conspiracy theorists will no-doubt say "haha, how do you feel about censorship now?"

That's not the point. I'm in favor of removing demonstrably false content. But I recognize it's hard to draw the line accurately - especially with AI.

Youtube is trying, but is wrong here.

2021-03-13T16:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370777794049757186

Friendly fire from @YouTube. I debunk false theories about election fraud. I had a QAnon follower on my podcast and I explain to him why his claims of election fraud are wrong.

YouTubes flags it as "advancing false claims" about the election, deletes it, blocks me for a week! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwX507kUYAIrI_R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwX507kUYAIrI_R.jpg
2021-03-13T16:37:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370775988007669762
@Lovepole4 @BrandonFugal Brandon's team has presumably amassed a significant amount of interesting data. That could be shared so people could investigate without being on-site.

2021-03-13T16:03:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370767572975579139
@MGoedblick I'm certainly not trying to change facts!

But we can also have a really interesting discussion about why something appears to be a fact to one person, but highly dubious (or even false) to another.

2021-03-13T16:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370766990827130883
@BrandonFugal How's the dropbox full of data coming along? :)

2021-03-13T16:00:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370766845586726916
Nice photo of lenticular clouds, sometimes called "UFO clouds" and probably responsible for a small fraction of all UFO sightings historically. More compelling as UFO when they appear alone, as they sometimes do. https://twitter.com/BrandonFugal/status/1340743971526479873

2021-03-13T15:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370758977810702346

@hovebooks Not really, unless you count the sniper mode in MDK

2021-03-13T15:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370757939699490817

@RichardVonQuest @LueElizondo Wonky in the sense of the noun â□□wonkâ□□ - someone interested in the fine technical details of a topic.

2021-03-13T02:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370563850714574849

@wigglin Well, they can always subscribe to both. But I'm not sure how big the overlap is.

I'm not sure if I'll do it yet.

2021-03-13T02:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370563333657485316

@ironicoserrusky I was thinking something like:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hHwJDYCW2Rw

2021-03-13T02:24:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370561281262977026

@_californio @SocialistFT @PostDisclosure @michaelshermer Robert Sheaffer has been doing it for a while.

2021-03-13T02:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370560423322292226

@GaryVoorhis What triggered this thought was the interview with PJ, which really wasn't of interest to anyone outside the UFO community. So I didn't post it on the podcast. Nobody complained. UFO stuff runs better on YouTube.

2021-03-13T02:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370555283567562755

@ironicoserrusky Once it's up and running it's just different places to drop different episodes. But with more focus. The UFO folk are not necessarily interested in "Accidentally Dating a Conspiracy Theorist." QAnon casualties are not interested in PJ's reminiscences of data bricks.

2021-03-13T01:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370553184456908801

@PostDisclosure Who's the competition?

2021-03-13T01:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370545590795333632

My podcast, Tales From the Rabbit Hole has quite a bit of UFO content, but the main focus is conspiracy theories. So I'm thinking of spinning off a UFO-only podcast to allow more UFO-wonky discussion not of interest to the CT folk.

Pros and cons?

2021-03-13T01:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370544566982516738

@AbductedTaco @drumshticknow @SuperVFunCat @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess Did they draw a picture?

2021-03-13T01:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370544317123624961

@saucerlit @Altouiz @prodbyguess The black region of Gimbal in the hottest thing in the scene. It's consistent with the glare of an engine. Compare with the glare around the A340 in the 2nd image. Notice how tiny the engines are relative to the glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwUmn3BUUAAnp1i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwUmRhcVkAM61St.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwUmn3BUUAAnp1i.jpg

2021-03-13T00:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370539991453954048

@saucerlit @Altouiz @prodbyguess Which object? And why would you think that?

Black is hot in IR (in BLK mode, opposite in WHT mode - indicated on screen), or at least hotter than the grey and white regions of the image. The air itself is basically transparent

---

2021-03-13T00:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370537437424881668

@WitnessCitizen @SuperVFunCat @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess In the Elizondo video I was describing the Raytheon ATFLIR system, used in all three videos.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwUgf4aVgAIFwMv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwUgf4aVgAIFwMv.jpg
2021-03-13T00:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370530650688606211
@zcichy @jasonturner2 says FLIR1 is a short clip at the start of the video. Underwood says he lost the object after that, and (IIRK) that the rest of the video was not interesting.

Discrepancy?

2021-03-12T23:55:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370523764899815426
@therealpmoney I feel like some substantial progress was made. Lue didn't understand the rotating glare hypothesis before, and now he kind of does. So that's both progress, and informative.

2021-03-12T23:52:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370523207388725248
@macsunmood @PostDisclosure @EthicalSkeptic @h43z I feel that many aspects have been solved though - like what is the aura around Gimbal.

2021-03-12T22:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370494929441419266
@drumshticknow @SuperVFunCat @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess And yet innocent people have gone to jail based on false eyewitness testimony.

This is just an extension of an analogy though.

People make mistakes. Even groups of people. Even pilots. Even Top Gun pilots.

2021-03-12T21:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370494414053867521

@zcichy Looks like a 15-year-old recollection of seeing a video from 5-10 feet away.

2021-03-12T19:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370450096337264651

@shadowsmagazine @blackvaultcom Here's an unedited bit, with errors highlighted. Acronyms, names, and crosstalk are the general fail points.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTQ8DHVgAAXjFz.jpg

I'd be happy for you to criticize me.

LE **Lue Elizondo** 38:41

No, it's not it's it's not a personal thing. I think everybody's entitled to

Mick West 38:47

criticize specific things that I've said it's fine. Like if I've said something that you think is wrong, they'll be happy to be sure.

LE **Lue Elizondo** 38:53

Well, there's a difference between being critical analysis and criticizing. And and I don't think I don't think we I think that's

Mick West 39:00

critical. Okay, the gimbal video has been more self contained, I think, because there isn't that much kind of other stuff associated with it, at least in public. The gimbal video shows what looks like a flying saucer type thing. And it's got this this aura around it. And that was kind of reflected in the title of The New York Times article, glowing auras and black money. But the aura was later discovered to be just kind of a standard artifacts of the infrared. The infrared camera system is this thing called an Unsharp Mask, which creates contrast in the boundaries between light and dark. And yet this was something that was it was promoted on the PTSA site that there was an order on the New York Times thing it was an identified why wasn't this discovered? It's just barely simple thing that it's it's just an artifact of the camera. Even Jeremy Cobell now admits that it's just an artifact of the camera. Why wasn't this discovered right from the start?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTQ8DHVgAAXjFz.jpg

2021-03-12T18:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370448977569607680

@disario @SicCoP1 @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess The earliest report said "lost contact in haze" for both pilots (amongst several other discrepancies, like being 5NM from the disturbance in the water)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTP13kVgAIjb04.jpg

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATER, FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

CAPSULE (ALT 4K FT AT COURSE 300) PASSED UNDER FAST EAGLE 110 (ALT 16KFT). FAST EAGLE 110 BEGAN TURN TO ACQUIRE CAPSULE. WHILE 110 WAS DESCENDING AND TURNING, CAPSULE BEGAN CLIMBING AND TURNED INSIDE OF FAST EAGLE'S TURN RADIUS. PILOT ESTIMATED THAT CAPSULE ACHIEVED 600-700 KTS. FAST EAGLE 110 COULD NOT KEEP UP WITH THE RATE OF TURN AND THE GAIN OF ALTITUDE BY THE CAPSULE. 110 LOST VISUAL ID OF CAPSULE IN HAZE. LAST VISUAL CONTACT HAD CAPSULE AT 14KFT HEADING DUE EAST.

NEITHER FAST EAGLES 110 OR 100 COULD ACHIEVE RADAR LOCK OR ANY OTHER MEANS OF POSITIVE ID. FAST EAGLE 100 WAS FLYING HIGH COVER AND SAW THE ENGAGEMENT BY FAST EAGLE 110. FAST EAGLE 100 CONFIRMS 110 VISUAL ID; 100 LOST CONTACT IN HAZE AS WELL.

CPA OF ACFT 110 FROM CONTACT 4000-5000 FT.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTP13kVgAIjb04.jpg

2021-03-12T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370448117150097408

@blackvaultcom Mostly yes, it attempts sentence structure, learns from mistakes, and identifies speakers (with some training) Here's the in-process version of Elizondo. I've edited the first 16 minutes or so. You can edit on the fly.

https://otter.ai/u/cZ7s73x4TKGrFafgZtCLgkZh7ys

2021-03-12T18:51:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370447284152930306

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @TDBrigham @foiaboi @LueElizondo Not substantially. I don't know what Fravor saw. I think my analysis of the videos is correct, and Lue simply saying "no it's not, because some other people told me, and I've seen something else" isn't really convincing. He wasn't at all familiar with my analysis.

2021-03-12T18:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370446319471325187

@SuperVFunCat @WitnessCitizen @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess I'm not calling anyone a liar.

It's not like truth and lies are the only two possible interpretations of a statement.

There are also mistakes and repeating falsehoods you think are true. Neither are lies.

2021-03-12T18:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370438783724744705

@foiaboi @LueElizondo Yeah, I'll try to avoid it in the future. We were slated to use http://Riverside.fm, but it did not work on his phone. So stuck with Zoom.

2021-03-12T18:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370438161780801540

@SuperVFunCat @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess And regarding what you thought Gough said, it was probably this DoD

statement, which is a bit different (and also contradicts Elizondo, with "unauthorized release")
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTGDSIVIAEPlE_.jpg

The Department of Defense has authorized the release of three unclassified Navy videos, one taken in November 2004 and the other two in January 2015, which have been circulating in the public domain after unauthorized releases in 2007 and 2017. The U.S. Navy previously acknowledged that these videos circulating in the public domain were indeed Navy videos. After a thorough review, the department has determined that the authorized release of these unclassified videos does not reveal any sensitive capabilities or systems, and does not impinge on any subsequent investigations of military air space incursions by unidentified aerial phenomena. DOD is releasing the videos in order to clear up any misconceptions by the public on whether or not the footage that has been circulating was real, or whether or not there is more to the videos. The aerial phenomena observed in the videos remain characterized as "unidentified." The released videos can be found at the Naval Air Systems Command FOIA Reading Room: https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/documents.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTGDSIVIAEPlE_.jpg
2021-03-12T18:09:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370436846346366976
@foiaboi @LueElizondo Lue was using his phone in portrait mode. The original looked like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTE69XVoAAmUhe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTE69XVoAAmUhe.jpg
2021-03-12T18:07:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370436218178072577
@SuperVFunCat @lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess I chose "black man murderer" as an example because of the significant number of black men who are convicted based on eyewitness testimony that later turns out to be 100% wrong and wildly divergent. Prosecutors gloss over discrepancies and put too much emphasis on eyewitnesses.

2021-03-12T18:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370435618971348995

@lucio_linae @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess We have 50 direct witnesses, HD photos, and close-up video! There's one here.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neversoft#/media/File:1998_Neversoft_960.jpg

---

2021-03-12T18:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370434724011778048

@foiaboi @issaufobro @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 It was a plane at Aguadilla. Or at the very least it flies like a plane. It's a fun case as there's GPS data (green, CCW), and a rough ground track (red) and you can construct the region of the likely path (blue, east to west). Downwind of a resort that uses Chinese Lanterns

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTC1H3UYAIxk-8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwTC1H3UYAIxk-8.jpg

2021-03-12T17:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370431346959548418

@issaufobro @foiaboi @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 No so fast!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2021-03-12T17:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370430716530421767

@blackvaultcom http://otter.ai - It creates a transcript using AI. Needs an editing pass, but it's 10x quicker than doing it yourself.

2021-03-12T17:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370430398518325251

@crindal00 @ShepardAmbellas Sure you can. I do it all the time.

2021-03-12T17:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370430327307468801

@issaufobro @foiaboi @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 Read the analysis. They did not go in

the water. They did not even go near the water. The same changes in visibility happen over treeless land.

2021-03-12T17:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370429957071990784

@Eye_Bee_Leaf @UFOTORONTO @SicCoP1 @zcichy @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess No. I'm pointing out that if you say You've got "4 pilots" as your witnesses, but only one had given an in-depth accounting in their own words, then it's really more like 1.5 witnesses.

It's not intended to be an accurate figure. Just less than two fully concurring witnesses.

2021-03-12T17:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370429423321681924

@issaufobro @foiaboi @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 It was explained quite well by the head of Argentina's UFO investigation Committee.

Multiple other people have come to similar conclusions.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-03-12T17:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370427250361835522

@ShepardAmbellas Aerodynamic contrails. Lots of much more high-definition examples here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2021-03-12T17:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370420863380594693

@SicCoP1 @TheUFOTrail @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess I'm not appealing to the DoD here. I'm pointing out stuff in the video and in the accounts of the pilots.

2021-03-12T17:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370420592998895618

@discernment_dr @AliensOnEarth_ Have you never been to the movies?

2021-03-12T17:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370419937332785157

@onelastread @ryan_ha @HISTORY @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @tomdelonge So you want to discount all these vas discrepancies as simply the failings of memory over time?

What if the discrepancies were a clue? What if the "erratic" movement was somewhat illusory (due to misjudging the size), and hence perceived differently depending on your viewpoint?

2021-03-12T17:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370419345768079364

@foiaboi @Disclos93884769 @makoteko1 Not straws at all. People CONSTANTLY say "I think

highly trained pilots would know a plane when they see it." It's a fundamental part of the "they can't be planes" argument.

But, as it turns out, that is not always true.

2021-03-12T16:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370418933900058624

@benjimaan22 @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess One of the most "five-observable" type things in Fravor's account is the instantaneous acceleration to the south. Source does not even mention this. It would be like one witness say the suspect left at 120mph with a jet-pack, and the other saying "after a while he was gone"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwS0v4BUUAE6u-U.jpg

Both F-18s assumed combat formation enroute to the new location. “Source” and OK-1’s aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3’s aircraft and **both F-18s proceeded East** [**Fravor: "we’re still heading West"**] at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island.

...

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, “Source” described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. **The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a ‘tic-tac candy mint. [Fravor:"Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, "]**The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows. doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. **The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. ... [Fravor:"and it’s moving around erratically."**

OK-2 [Fravor] **conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object** [Fravor: "**I started an easy descent"]**. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, “Source” noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction [Fravor: "**it’s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it’s basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it’s kind of moving around like it was checking it out.** "]. (“Source” Comment – the UFO turned on [Fravor] and _____ as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was. [Fravor] and _____ didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) “Source” indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. **[Fravor: " It kind of recognizes that we’re there and it starts to mirror us.**"] The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner ‘tumbled” into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible [**Fravor**: "**So I’m still coming down nice and easy and I’m watching this thing. Because it’s just kind of watching us and following.**"]. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them”. OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 **[Fravor: "He’s at the 3 o’clock position and I go, “well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver”. So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o’clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o’clock. "]** but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace **[Fravor: It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I’ve ever seen. It literally is one minute it’s there and the next minute it’s like, poof, and it’s gone."].**

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both “Source” and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When “Source” looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. **(Field Comment – “Source” used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. “Source” also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwS0v4BUUAE6u-U.jpg

2021-03-12T16:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370417663940337664

@foiaboi @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 You asked about compelling videos that I have access to.

Is there none?

2021-03-12T16:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370417393550323715

@SicCoP1 @zcichy @AFSUnidentified @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess Here I highlight the

differences.

And these are not even all her own words, they are mostly a paraphrasing by someone who knows Fravor's account. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSzKtPUcAoo-re.jpg

---

Both F-18s assumed combat formation enroute to the new location. “Source” and OK-1’s aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3’s aircraft and **both F-18s proceeded East** [**Fravor: "we’re still heading West"**] at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island.

...

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, “Source” described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. **The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a ‘tic-tac candy mint. [Fravor:"Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, "]**The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows. doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. **The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. ... [Fravor:"and it’s moving around erratically."**

OK-2 [Fravor] **conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object** [Fravor: "**I started an easy descent"]**. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, “Source” noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction [Fravor: "**it’s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it’s basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it’s kind of moving around like it was checking it out. "**]. (“Source” Comment – the UFO turned on [Fravor] and _____ as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was. [Fravor] and _____ didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) “Source” indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. **[Fravor: " It kind of recognizes that we’re there and it starts to mirror us."]** The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner ‘tumbled” into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible [**Fravor**: "**So I’m still coming down nice and easy and I’m watching this thing. Because it’s just kind of watching us and following.**"]. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them”. OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 **[Fravor: "He’s at the 3 o’clock position and I go, “well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver”. So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o’clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o’clock. "]** but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace **[Fravor: It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I’ve ever seen. It literally is one minute it’s there and the next minute it’s like, poof, and it’s gone."].**

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both “Source” and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When “Source” looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. **(Field Comment – “Source” used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. “Source” also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSzKtPUcAoo-re.jpg

2021-03-12T16:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370416885406167041

@Disclos93884769 @foiaboi @makoteko1 The Chilean Military's CEFFAA had a panel of experts and military resources and failed to identify a plane for two years. It's possible that Lue's group also failed.

Consider that Lue himself was unfamiliar with the alternate explanations - like they were not considered in depth

---

2021-03-12T16:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370416138107035649

@onelastread @ryan_ha @HISTORY @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @tomdelonge Then surely all that can be claimed is "we saw something that looked tic-tac shaped. We lost contact with after a while"

Instead, Fravor's account is taken as gospel in the smallest details with no verification, and some contradiction from Ms. Source - which isn't even her words

---

2021-03-12T16:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370415291910397956
@zcichy @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess But she "backs up" Fravor's account only in the loosest terms.

What we'd expect from trained observers would be two accounts that match in their details. Instead, we have the equivalent to two eyewitnesses to a murder who only agree with "it was a black man, he went that way"

2021-03-12T16:40:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370414470007189504
@onelastread @AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @zcichy @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess I'm not accusing any of the pilots or crew of lying. I would not eliminate it as a possibility, but it's not high on the list.

However, I think the producers of "Unidentified" were, at the very least, highly misleading.

2021-03-12T16:38:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370413986131320845
@TRandallizer @_Desmoden @lucio_linae @Julesofthwood @prodbyguess It didn't. In the Chilean case it was on radar, and being tracked by ATC, and it was on the radio with Santiago Departure. The pilots, and later the government investigators, just were looking in the wrong spot.

2021-03-12T16:37:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370413538993270784
@foiaboi @makoteko1 @Disclos93884769 I look at the evidence in great depth. I can't pick "the most convincing footage" I've seen, because I've not seen anything that rises to the level of "convincing"

If you have, then post your #1 here. I will change my mind if it is actually convincing.

2021-03-12T16:34:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370412920568389635
@onelastread @ryan_ha @HISTORY @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @tomdelonge I don't dispute that pilots probably saw something roughly tic-tac shaped. But her ("Source") account contradicts Fravor's and raises many questions.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSvMczVEAAJZfi.jpg

Both F-18s assumed combat formation enroute to the new location. “Source” and OK-1’s aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3’s aircraft and **both F-18s proceeded East** [**Fravor: "we’re still heading West"**] at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island.

...

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, “Source” described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. **The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a ‘tic-tac candy mint. [Fravor:"Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, "]**The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows. doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. **The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. ... [Fravor:"and it’s moving around erratically."**

OK-2 [Fravor] **conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object** [Fravor: "**I started an easy descent"]**. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, “Source” noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction [Fravor: "**it’s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it’s basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it’s kind of moving around like it was checking it out. **"]. (“Source” Comment – the UFO turned on [Fravor] and _____ as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was. [Fravor] and _____ didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) “Source” indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. **[Fravor: " It kind of recognizes that we’re there and it starts to mirror us.**"] The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner ‘tumbled” into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible [**Fravor**: "**So I’m still coming down nice and easy and I’m watching this thing. Because it’s just kind of watching us and following.**"]. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them”. OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 **[Fravor: "He’s at the 3 o’clock position and I go, “well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver”. So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o’clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o’clock. "]** but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace **[Fravor: It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I’ve ever seen. It literally is one minute it’s there and the next minute it’s like, poof, and it’s gone."].**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSvMczVEAAJZfi.jpg

2021-03-12T16:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370410695842435072

@MattBornong I was surprised at how bad it was. It reminded me of AE911Truth's "Seven" in that regard.

2021-03-12T16:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370409449697865731

@_Desmoden @lucio_linae @Julesofthwood @prodbyguess One piece of"logic" being repeated ad-infinitum here is "highly trained pilots would be able to identify a plane"

But the Chilean navy pilots were highly trained.

They failed to identify a plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSsITWUUAEPNBG.jpg

---

> The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.
>
> The Navy Captain stated that the object was a “flat, elongated structure” with “two thermal spotlights like discharges that did not coincide with the axel of motion.” The technician described it as as “white with a semi-oval shape on the horizontal axis.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwSsITWUUAEPNBG.jpg

2021-03-12T16:16:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370408288974643203

@gammaraybeam @benjimaan22 The Aguadilla case and the Chilean Navy case are different. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-03-12T14:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370378365320892418

@SimonSund Elizondo told me he had a hard 1-hour limit.

2021-03-12T13:13:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370362280022446087

@Fiddaman @prodbyguess They determined, like me, that they are unidentified. I don't know any specifics.

2021-03-12T13:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370361839675006977

@ryan_ha @HISTORY @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 @tomdelonge Have you ever read or heard more than 20 seconds straight from her? It's 90% paraphrased by the interviewer or the narrator.

2021-03-12T13:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370361048914558976

@AFSUnidentified @SicCoP1 @zcichy @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess Or at least the narrator does.

2021-03-12T06:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370264257254674434

@BabbleAlien @PostDisclosure @LueElizondo He'd probably answer that it's classified.

2021-03-12T06:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370264112563769346

@SicCoP1 @zcichy @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess No, there's Fravor (1) and the anonymous female pilot (0.5 as she's hardly said anything, and there are variances). The two WSOs have not given any details about "incredible things". I'd be a lot more convinced if we had four detailed matching accounts. But we don't.

2021-03-12T06:39:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370263078735015940

@merooroger And yet thousands of people will believe it.

2021-03-12T06:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370261865331257344

@zcichy @UAPNewsline @prodbyguess Too much? One and a half witnesses?

2021-03-12T06:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370261477727244288

@l3athal101 @AFSUnidentified @UAPNewsline @zcichy @prodbyguess Kevin Day's description.

2021-03-12T06:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370259206335434755

@AFSUnidentified @l3athal101 @UAPNewsline @zcichy @prodbyguess Even the verbal

descriptions of the radar data that we have to show nothing like what shows up on the video.

2021-03-12T06:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370258861488099329

@issaufobro @govols1181 I think it's a data glitch or maybe a cosmic ray hitting the sensor. It does not appear in the synchronous photo in the other camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mars-black-speck-ufo-sol-688-glitch.11424/

2021-03-12T06:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370258139975614469

@AFSUnidentified @UAPNewsline @zcichy @prodbyguess One can ask simple questions.

- Does the Gimbal video show rotation?
- What causes the Gimbal Aura?
- Does GOFAST go fast?
- Does FLIR1 make sudden moves?

Are these not valuable questions in themselves?

2021-03-12T05:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370249645184577539

There's a new "chemtrails" documentary out called "The Dimming". It fails in the first few seconds by claiming planes don't make contrails and that contrails don't persist. Both claims are false, explained by ALL the books on clouds for the last 75 years.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-03-12T05:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370246846199697409

@issaufobro He'd say "show me the evidence"

2021-03-12T05:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370246663713955840

@EthicalSkeptic @h43z Stil a stupid analogy. Why not speak directly?

2021-03-12T05:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370245680862666754

@Altouiz @prodbyguess 3. The glare would need a strong heat source. The motion of the object indicates it's probably flying directly away from the chasing plane. Jet just seems simplest.
Of course it could be a hot exotic craft.

2021-03-12T05:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370245170155847680

@Altouiz @prodbyguess 2. There are remarkably few ATFLIR videos out there. None of distant planes. This might be the clearest example. It's of a closer plane, with streaked glare, but it shows rotation. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwQWjslVcAAreWA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EwQWjslVcAAreWA.jpg
2021-03-12T05:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370244245320179712
@tommyIzito @LueElizondo Rapid response?

I think it was too short. I was a bit surprised at Lue's response to the "aura" issue, as that seemed fairly cut and dried to me.

(Note the images in the video were added later, so it's not that clear from my description alone)

2021-03-12T05:21:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370243646713339908
@Altouiz @prodbyguess 1. Basically an algorithm. The computer is trying to minimize those movements, but knows one will be forced around +/- 3Â°. There's a technical discussion here, including the patents. It is, unfortunately, rather complicated.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwQVPOpUcAMs4RK.jpg

For example, referring again to FIG. **3**, when an object being continuously tracked by moving the first coelostat minor **220** in various directions by rotating around the first rotation axis **244** and/or around roll axis **242** and/or optional fourth axis **246** using control system **390** is projected to go close to or through the gimbal singularity, and optional fourth gimbal axis **246** is provided with a range of angles (for example, ±3 degrees), the roll axis **242** is no longer used for tracking the object within the ±3 degree range that surrounds the gimbal singularity. Instead, the first rotation axis **244** and fourth gimbal axis **246** are used to continue to track the object within the ±3 degree angular range. When, on the other hand, the object location exceeds, for example, the ±3 degree singularity, the roll axis **242** is used by control system **390** in the tracking motion. In this case, the fourth axis **246** may be gradually returned to 0 degrees and no longer has involvement in the tracking motion. In other words, control system **390** controls the tracking by rotating the first coelostat mirror **220** around the fourth gimbal axis **246** when an object is located closely around the singularity (e.g., within the ±3 degree range). Otherwise, when the object is outside the ±3 degree range around the singularity, control system **390** controls the tracking by rotating the roll axis **242** and leaving the fourth axis **246** fixed or returning the third axis to 0 degrees.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwQVPOpUcAMs4RK.jpg



US009121758B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Cook

(10) **Patent No.: US 9,121,758 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: Sep. 1, 2015**

(54) **FOUR-AXIS GIMBALED AIRBORNE SENSOR HAVING A SECOND COELOSTAT MIRROR TO ROTATE ABOUT A THIRD AXIS SUBSTANTIALLY PERPENDICULAR TO BOTH FIRST AND SECOND AXES**

(71) Applicant: **Raytheon Company**, Waltham, MA (US)

(72) Inventor: **Lacy G. Cook**, El Segundo, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **RAYTHEON COMPANY**, Waltham, MA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 236 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/951,988**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 26, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0028194 A1 Jan. 29, 2015

(51) **Int. Cl.**

H01J 3/14	(2006.01)
G01J 1/04	(2006.01)
G01S 17/02	(2006.01)
G01S 7/481	(2006.01)
G02B 27/64	(2006.01)
G01S 17/42	(2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ***G01J 1/0407*** (2013.01); ***G01J 1/0403*** (2013.01); ***G01S 7/4817*** (2013.01); ***G01S 17/023*** (2013.01); ***G02B 27/644*** (2013.01); *G01S 17/42* (2013.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,404,592	A	9/1983	Pepin et al.	
7,230,724	B2 *	6/2007	Jokinen et al.	356/608
2007/0177288	A1	8/2007	Foote	
2009/0109561	A1	4/2009	Cook	
2012/0292482	A1	11/2012	Cook et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0050539	A1	4/1982
EP	2525235	A1	11/2012

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hilkert et al., A Unique Three-Axis Gimbal Mechanism, Proceeding of Spie, vol. 6971, Apr. 3, 2014, pp. 69710E-69710E8, 8 pages.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Que T Le
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Lando & Anastasi, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An optical sensor assembly in which a four axis gimbal and dual coelostat mirror configuration provide pointing of the sensor line of sight in azimuth and elevation, stabilized for platform pitch. One example of a sensor system includes a first optical sub-system including a first plurality of optical elements, and a second optical sub-system configured to rotate about a first axis relative to the first optical sub-system. The second optical sub-system includes afocal foreoptics configured to direct a collimated beam of electromagnetic radiation to the first optical sub-system, a first coelostat minor configured to rotate about a second axis substantially perpen-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwQU3NWVoAYx5BT.jpg

2021-03-12T05:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370242121169399812

@tommyIzito @LueElizondo Lue told me he had a hard break on the hour, so I ended it then.

2021-03-12T05:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370241870790492160

@socalkoi1 @prodbyguess I didn't have the time. Which bit, in particular, did you think needed illustrating?

2021-03-12T05:07:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370239963611078657

@EthicalSkeptic @h43z No, I donâ□□t. Thatâ□□s just the evidence have. Floating ice is always strong evidence of submerged ice. Videos are not evidence of eyewitnesses.

2021-03-12T03:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370221171271102466

@EthicalSkeptic @h43z Thatâ□□s a stupid analogy.

2021-03-12T02:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370196476194824193

@SithVincentV Someone other than the US Gov/Military?

2021-03-12T02:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370195256428224512

@ExogenesisHH @BlueprintUfo What's your ace? What's the one single best bit of evidence, that I can verify, that proves some kind of ET.

Just one.

2021-03-12T01:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370190389014007808

@UAPNewsline @zcichy @prodbyguess Nobody really directly corroborates the VIDEOS. There's nothing really that happens both on video and on the radar.

2021-03-12T01:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370187931709632516

@l3athal101 They had a team of people investigating. In what way do you think the US team of people was better?

2021-03-12T01:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370186138552393734

@l3athal101 Just the Chilean government?

2021-03-12T01:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370183259221454848

@BlueprintUfo That's another "hidden evidence" case.

2021-03-12T01:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370182896456101889

@h43z Does it though? I can't really know that unless I see the data. He could be wrong.

My analysis is out in the open and repeatable. His is second-hand, and hidden - apparently now even to him.

2021-03-12T01:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370180921559674882

@DuDe_MaN_DuDe No, I don't. I go by what the available evidence shows.

2021-03-12T00:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370175012628353029

I think 2:1 is about the right Guest:Host speaking ratio.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwPWvpMUYAMkJ86.jpg

E052 Luis Elizondo

Thu, 3/11 · 4:02 PM 1:01:29

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

people | camera | object | gimbal | data | understand | government | unclassified | reporting | glare | video | chilean | classified | aircraft | analysis | system | classified briefings | technology | uas | rotate

SPEAKERS

Lue (68%), Mick (32%)



Mick West 0:01

My guest today is Lou Elizondo who is a former senior intelligence official, a disclosure advocate, a national security expert. And perhaps most interestingly, the former director of the Pentagon's UFO / UAP program, known as AATIP. So, Louis, thank you very much for being here, it's been a bit of a challenge, getting some of the key figures in the UAP world to talk. So thank you very much for agreeing to be here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwPWvpMUYAMkJ86.jpg

2021-03-12T00:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370172271579459585

@SK1LLLS @35minutes @lesliekean I believe I did say "hmm" at that point, as I'd just read something about Keane and Elizondo meeting. But I did not remember the details so moved on.

2021-03-12T00:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370171594425860096

@SK1LLLS @35minutes @lesliekean I had to keep it moving, unfortunately, we did not have the time to really drill down on everything.

2021-03-12T00:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370166093524836353

@prodbyguess Elizondo wasn't particularly familiar with my analyses of the videos but still says I'm wrong because of classified data he's seen, but no longer has access to and can't share. I explained the rotating glare hypothesis to him and he seemed to understand, but same thing.

2021-03-11T23:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370158483505512451

Podcast page:

https://www.tftrh.com/2021/03/11/episode-52-luis-elizondo-ufos-uaps-aatip-and-ttsa/

Spotify: https://open.spotify.com/episode/4cksrEQmmKWiQgnCxARp7f

Apple Podcast:

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-52-luis-elizondo-ufos-uaps-aatip-and-ttsa/id1462120258?i=1000512604191

2021-03-11T23:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370158201631481859

In my chat with Luis Elizondo, we discuss his time at the AATIP UFO/UAP program. There's some discussion on TTSA and then we get into some depth on the Navy UFO videos (US and Chilean)

and then we run out of time and agree to continue at a later date!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4

2021-03-11T21:18:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370121981731893249
@dellerm93 Great. Unfortunately, we only had an hour, and a lot of questions were left unanswered. He's open to a follow-up though.

2021-03-11T21:15:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370121293173981190
@sams4516 Tales From The Rabbit Hole.

https://www.tftrh.com/
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole/id1462120258?mt=2&ls=1

2021-03-11T20:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370110943410020352
@1joe1clarke That was just a random grab. It was very friendly.

2021-03-11T20:08:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370104474761535490
Coming soon... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwOWv_IVkAAw9sO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwOWv_IVkAAw9sO.jpg
2021-03-11T17:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370071735916056576
@e2_hawkeye @blackvaultcom I'm always genuine. Maybe I have biases, but who doesn't.

What you see, is me.

2021-03-11T17:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1370069775062208513
@TrooperFozzy @PostDisclosure Gosh no. Ultimately we are all on team human.

2021-03-11T17:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370069353559814147

@papercrane111 @PostDisclosure I'll tweet links when it's ready.

2021-03-11T17:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370069162886721540

@e2_hawkeye @blackvaultcom Credibility would be nice, but the facts speak for themselves. I just point at them and attempt to explain.

2021-03-11T17:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370061684694388736

@Josephu38701463 @area51org If I shine a flashlight at a camera then you cannot see the flashlight, maybe not even the hand holding it. You only see the glare. But the glare is made by a solid object. I think that's what we SEE in Gimbal, the glare from a jet engine, hiding the plane behind it.

2021-03-11T17:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370059922705027072

@Josephu38701463 @area51org As much as my flashlight is a solid object, yes. The glare would come from something very hot, like a jet engine. Attached to a plane. Very solid.

2021-03-11T16:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370054281164845056

@BluebookFriends @benjimaan22 Both at the same time, and one hour later.

2021-03-11T15:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1370037729405280256

@ron9gray @DavidJayB @blackvaultcom The rotation that makes the glare rotate is hidden to the user because itâ□□s unwanted, itâ□□s a mechanical necessity that is optically derotated. But since part of the optical path rotates, the glare rotates.

2021-03-11T12:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369984798874202115

@area51org No, I didn't.

It's a demonstration of a part of a hypothesis that people were having trouble understanding.

2021-03-11T12:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369984002539487232

@Fiddaman The object in this video stayed at a constant altitude in the video.

2021-03-11T05:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369890257638813696

@DericKnight @BobMcGwier_N4HY @_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @Owl489353 @blackvaultcom Pic?

2021-03-11T04:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369868453985742850

@mspaceinc I don't know, hopefully sometime Thursday evening.

2021-03-11T03:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369856627965259780

@BenC04549226 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @PostDisclosure Edits will be minimal. No content removed.

2021-03-11T01:38:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369825062677749761

@UAPJosh @SteUFOnotCGULLS @PostDisclosure I'm often stumped by photos of things that are too far away to tell what they are. Or too blurry. Or too dark. Or just a light. Those things remain UFOs.

2021-03-11T00:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369804874762743808

@benjimaan22 Evening PST (I'm in California), so Friday morning GMT.

2021-03-10T23:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369789719173685248

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @PostDisclosure I will try to post it Thursday evening.

2021-03-10T22:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369776978518106115

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @PostDisclosure It's not a meme. It's Grave's sketch from "Unidentified" overlaid to scale with the Gimbal video.

2021-03-10T21:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369767055042355200

@conorowens94 @Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo So that implies I "publicly support" the "mundane", as if that's my personal preference.

2021-03-10T21:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369766355134676995

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @PostDisclosure I'm familiar with Graves. He drew a sketch.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwJi1-TVkAISpi9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwJi1-TVkAISpi9.jpg

2021-03-10T19:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369732677155188737

@SicCoP1 @saucerlit @SteUFOnotCGULLS @AFSUnidentified @LueElizondo Do you have his contact information?

2021-03-10T18:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369723716138008579

@SithVincentV You miss the point. If there's a location where you can see a UAP interaction then that's it.

Just film it, from two angles. Done.

But there isn't.

2021-03-10T18:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369723132886482947

@saucerlit @SteUFOnotCGULLS @SicCoP1 @AFSUnidentified @LueElizondo He seems to be talking about DURING the video, somehow synthesizing the ATFLIR and SA displays into one

narrative description. I'd like actual details.

2021-03-10T16:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369688419090063361

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @AFSUnidentified @LueElizondo Were the briefings specifically about these videos?

Were these videos even mentioned in the briefings?

2021-03-10T16:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369688051660718090

@ChilliFowler Do you happen to know where exactly he said that?

2021-03-10T11:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369613430387220484

@_Desmoden @BobMcGwier_N4HY @blackvaultcom I'm attempting to demonstrate a physical effect using as few special effects as possible, and in a way that other people can easily replicate.

My analysis technique is fine, based on the available evidence. New evidence often changes things.

2021-03-10T11:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369612310734467077

@Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo "Advocate" is a terrible word to use. It implies arguing in bad faith, like a lawyer, or in blind faith, like a priest.

I'm not advocating, I'm investigating.

2021-03-10T11:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369611006012387329

@LINDANOYES @ufouapam @LueElizondo Of course not, and yet I can point to specific things Fravor said about the ATFLIR system that are wrong, contradicted by the patents, simple physics, observation, and other people.

Pilots are not gods.

2021-03-10T11:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369610455816105984

@Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo What "other side?" Isn't everyone trying to figure out what is going on?

2021-03-10T11:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369610204183105538

@ALIEN_I_ADDICT @atotalshunt What if they were simply mistaken?

Fighter pilots make mistakes.

2021-03-10T11:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369609752875962369

@AFSUnidentified They never said, "very clearly not a plane." They implied they had not identified it as a plane, not that they had identified it as not a plane.

Those things sound similar but are radically different.

2021-03-10T11:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369609043103285250

@AFSUnidentified @Borders750 @ufouapam @LueElizondo I should pressure the government to reveal the inner workings and bugs of the classified ATFLIR, Aegis, and SPY-1 systems? There's a waste of time.

2021-03-10T11:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369608712692723712

@Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo Okay, I'm asking "could this be a rotating glare". I've asked Raytheon. No response. I've asked an avionics technician, he said yes. I'd be happy to ask more, but they either can't or won't talk to me.

2021-03-10T11:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369606417137606656

@FatherTerra @Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo I'll ask him about that.

2021-03-10T11:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369606145556422662

@AFSUnidentified @Borders750 @ufouapam @LueElizondo Children ask questions.

Y'all need to ask more questions.

2021-03-10T11:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369604434125230084

@Borders750 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @LueElizondo Consistently? Fravor, if he was fooled, was fooled in 2004. 17 years ago. Noting like that (a perceived complex engagement) has happened since.
It's not "easily and consistently" it's "occasionally"

2021-03-10T10:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369600299308965888

@BrianX0067 @blackvaultcom I'm pretty sure nobody is going to mistake a 52-second video for a "complete analysis" :)

2021-03-10T10:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369597772463177728

@UnidentifiedUAP Maybe, maybe not.

2021-03-10T10:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369590362826706945

@ufouapam Except when it's too far away.

2021-03-10T06:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369533607325278211

One of the more famous UFO videos, FLIR1, is incredibly low resolution and blurry. Here I overlay it with a video of a fighter jet at the same resolution and blur. It's a plausible match.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369533108643536896/pu/img/j0qCtBkg-ARvu8m8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369533108643536896/pu/img/j0qCtBkg-ARvu8m8.jpg

2021-03-10T02:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369470593733595136

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke I'm not arguing from authority. I'm arguing from pixels.

2021-03-10T02:09:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369470495419109377

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke I think he's wrong, and I have explained why.

The resolution and focus of the video, combined with glare, would not show neat little cones, because even by resolution alone they would be a single pixel. Glare and focus them spreads it out.

2021-03-10T02:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369469421027094530

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke I don't know more.

2021-03-10T02:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369469266055995393

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369469251065548800/pu/img/uwSV7d75FUPtfe6d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369469251065548800/pu/img/uwSV7d75FUPtfe6d.jpg
2021-03-10T02:03:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369469003077390343
@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke I see one consistent with the image quality and glare. Do you see one here? It a jet. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFUu91UYAIXLrI.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFUu91UYAIXLrI.jpg
2021-03-10T02:02:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369468626294636545
@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke The facts you present here seem to be just Underwoods opinion.

Look at the video, draw the pixels you would expect to see if there was the "heat signature" you think is missing. Use same size pixels. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFUbD0UUAIsp3K.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFUbD0UUAIsp3K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFUPD1UcAIe3KJ.jpg

2021-03-10T01:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369465703074467840

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke I don't, but I think he's wrong about this. I might make a video on the subject in a few days.

2021-03-10T01:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369465446831779842

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke There was no visual sighting by Underwood.

The plume is there.

2021-03-10T01:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369465164693528577

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke It's low resolution and out of focus. However, you can actually make out the engine glare at around 1:11. You can also see its orientation rotate around 0:55 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFRKmKVcAIiwzc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFRKmKVcAIiwzc.jpg

2021-03-10T01:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369464596898086912

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke He's wrong. There's really obviously a heat signature there. Unless you think it was a shape-shifting starfish.

2021-03-10T01:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369460966358884353

@UsCars4 @aka__V @1joe1clarke No heat signature? really?

What do you think this is? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFNeAWVEAMvMKf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwFNeAWVEAMvMKf.jpg

2021-03-10T01:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369460508290551810

@BilboFragns @blackvaultcom I spoke with an avionics technician.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2021-03-10T01:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369459992814710792

@SithVincentV Why not just bring some good cameras?

2021-03-10T01:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369458853935415296

@ThanosAlpha @PostDisclosure @LueElizondo We don't really have the time to do that.

2021-03-10T01:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369458421355847682

@UsCars4 @1joe1clarke @aka__V I don't. I agree with them that the objects are unidentified.

2021-03-10T00:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369452365087178763

@UsCars4 @1joe1clarke @aka__V What does Raytheon say?

2021-03-10T00:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369451641154404352

@UsCars4 @1joe1clarke @aka__V Lens flare does not tell you what it is (other than hot).

2021-03-10T00:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369448254576984072

@benjimaan22 I think that is true regarding the three Navy videos. I've explained in great depth why I think that.

2021-03-10T00:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369448078864945153

@benjimaan22 I think unidentifed airspace incursions are a legitimate concern, and not just drones. I think equipment sometimes fails and people sometimes make mistakes.

2021-03-10T00:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369447696017330176

@LouiseDArcy1 Which key people?

2021-03-10T00:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369446020397076481

@SnakePo9 @blackvaultcom What does "so many redundant sensors" have to do with the rotating glare hypothesis?

2021-03-10T00:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369445672550871047

@benjimaan22 I don't think "itâ□□s all just drone incursions & delusional gov/military"

2021-03-10T00:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369444443133255682

@emilio968 @blackvaultcom No, a derotation rotates the entire image. But since the glare has ALREADY rotated with the camera component relative to the scene, then derotating to make the scene not rotate makes it look like just the glare rotates.

Mull on it for a while.

2021-03-10T00:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369441498127859719

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @blackvaultcom The cellphone represents the part of the optical pathway that defines the shape of the glare.

2021-03-10T00:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369441269890564098

@SnakePo9 @blackvaultcom What is their relevant expertise?

2021-03-10T00:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369440618460614656

@SnakePo9 @blackvaultcom I'd be happy to hear why anyone thinks I'm wrong.

2021-03-10T00:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369438675013079054

@emilio968 @blackvaultcom Theny you would need a derotation mechanism, like in the ATFLIR system (where such a mechanism built-in), or in the other examples where I derotate the video

later in software. Like:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369438578829373444/pu/img/COhaYFrtoVgeQT93.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1369438578829373444/pu/img/COhaYFrtoVgeQT93.jpg
2021-03-10T00:01:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369438143963861003
@benjimaan22 @LisaRob23467689 @blackvaultcom What ballon theory? This rotating glare is related to Gimbal (and to a tiny degree, FLIR1). Not Go-fast (the ballon)

2021-03-09T23:59:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369437790144987141
@bosma2002 @ryan_ha @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @michiokaku @PostDisclosure @zcichy @LuAngeles They make the argument that an "extraordinary" claim is one contradicted by massive amounts of existing evidence. I gave a counterexample

---

2021-03-09T23:55:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369436630717763584
@emilio968 @blackvaultcom Only if you want to record it. You can just look at it, the effect is the same.

2021-03-09T23:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369436118580596737
@ObladiorOblada I've interviewed Kevin Day. I'd be happy to interview any of the pilots. I don't have their contact info.

2021-03-09T23:50:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369435549845569538
@steven_nikolic The patents discuss +/- 3Â° as an example range in which the singularity correction occurs. There's an extensive discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/

2021-03-09T23:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369434243911348232
@shadowsmagazine That's the plan. The interview is in the morning (Pacific time)

2021-03-09T23:44:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369433990244020226
@BobMcGwier_N4HY @blackvaultcom It does explain it. In my simple experiment, the horizon (my window) does not rotate, yet the glare does.

2021-03-09T23:43:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369433645182836741
@VirgeenaHurts I'll post it on Twitter.

2021-03-09T23:41:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369433275891126277
@LisaRob23467689 @benjimaan22 @blackvaultcom Disproving the flat earth does not require testimony. You can do it by experiment.

Amusingly one of those experiments is holding a ruler up to the horizon. You just need one that's long enough and a high place to stand (360 feet in this example)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwE0Ni_WEAEU38N.jpg



horizon 10 to 1 stretched.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwE0Ni_WEAEU38N.jpg
2021-03-09T23:37:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369432208793026563
I will be interviewing Lue Elizondo for my podcast on Thursday. What questions has he not been asked?

2021-03-09T23:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369428754192347136

@aka__V @UsCars4 @1joe1clarke They simply say they are unidentified. I agree.

2021-03-09T23:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369428462591762435

@emilio968 @blackvaultcom Really just one phone. The other one is just filing the experiment, it's not really related to the effect.

2021-03-09T23:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369427763367714817

@steven_nikolic Clearly not for them. Although the tech I talked to said he saw it a lot when testing the system.

2021-03-09T23:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369427407141298180

@emilio968 @blackvaultcom Tools? Nothing beyond the light source and a phone (and another phone to take the video, which you don't need if you do the experiment yourself)

Give it a go.

2021-03-09T23:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369427058640715788

@1joe1clarke @aka__V He's seeing something in the display that looks like it is rotating, and thinks that it is. I think his reaction is partially due to the initial peanut shape of the glare looking more like a physical object - or the underlying shape, so he was primed to think of it as such. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwEuVsnXcAQ7_dL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwEuVsnXcAQ7_dL.jpg

2021-03-09T22:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369421837604696079

@1joe1clarke @aka__V I have yet to heard the DoD say it's not the glare of a jet engine, I'd be happy to engage with any experts to discuss this.

2021-03-09T22:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369421465234403335

@UsCars4 I don't know what it is. The point of the glare explanation is that it opens the door to conventional explanations like an aircraft powered by jet engines. It removes the NECESSITY of advanced technology.

2021-03-09T22:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369421027818799107

@emilio968 @blackvaultcom This is a demonstration of a principle to help people understand how the rotation of one component in an optical pathway can make a glare rotate without the scene rotating.

2021-03-09T22:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369420569280708616

@steven_nikolic As the ATFLIR patents explain, near 0Â° there's a forced rotation of the main axis due to gimbal lock. The rotation is (by design) normally invisible to the pilot.

2021-03-09T22:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369420143512682502

@zcichy @AnnaWhitty2 @AFSUnidentified @blackvaultcom @uFo_dis_ci_ple @BluebookFriends The attitudes around a variety of theories, including UFOs, often strike me as a kind of "Belle IndiffÃ©rence"

2021-03-09T22:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369419431525421058

@ryan_ha @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @michiokaku @PostDisclosure @zcichy @LuAngeles I dunno, I mean there's no contradictory evidence to the claim that there's a 100 caret diamond buried under my house. There's a LACK of evidence, but the claim itself is still extraordinary.

2021-03-09T22:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369418932503842816

@RossFrenett Thanks Ross!

2021-03-09T20:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369388579085025289

@lucio_linae @BluebookFriends @blackvaultcom Videos are just my preference, as it's actual data (bits and bytes, angles, velocities, and whatnot).

I interview eyewitnesses to try to figure out what they might have seen. It's a challenge though.

2021-03-09T20:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369387863264071687

@ExogenesisHH @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @BluebookFriends I don't see it as bullying. It's just a waste of time, a distraction.

Sometimes though, it's an opportunity for communication.

2021-03-09T20:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369386022145040390

@AbductedTaco @gammaraybeam @blackvaultcom Well, they didn't see "Gimbal" with their own eyes, as far as we know. It was at maximum zoom, and the plane would have been even smaller than that glare.

2021-03-09T20:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369385593638125573

@BilboFragns @blackvaultcom Okay, find one who is willing to talk to me, and I will.

2021-03-09T18:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369359767525683203

@discernment_dr He explains nothing. He does not understand how the rotation of the glare can be decoupled from the rotation of the scene.

That's what I just demonstrated.

2021-03-09T18:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369359515917770752

@AFSUnidentified Quit ignoring boring explanations.

2021-03-09T18:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369359420975542272

@ComingS38845573 The jet engines of a fighter jet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDxHOgU8AAVKQX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDxHOgU8AAVKQX.jpg

2021-03-09T18:36:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369356538410844167

@HarryRaggett In my experiment, if you didn't have the surrounding context, would you not suspect the bright object in the middle was actually rotating?

2021-03-09T18:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369356279177764864

@ComingS38845573 It's only a theory about how a heat source can appear to be rotating.

It does not explain the "fleet" seen only on the SA page.

2021-03-09T18:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369355795612061696

@thedrunkinmonk More like an experiment. But really here it's a demonstration of a principle.
How can a glare rotate independently of the rest of the scene?
I'm demonstrating that if you rotate the part of the optical pathway that's responsible for the shape of the glare, then the glare rotates

---

2021-03-09T18:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369354657957515265

@thedrunkinmonk No, I'm demonstrating.

2021-03-09T18:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369354073384710145
RT @TheOFQE: We're hosting our final event this evening from 7-8pm on Conspiracy Theories, with @MickWest @aoifegall @QCassam and Dr. Aleksâ□¦

2021-03-09T18:02:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369347775008149504
@J52Samford @ToasterBorst @Debriefmedia @DefenseIntel A confusing image caption on a pop-sci site? This is your shibboleth?

It's probably just credit for the stock photo of the jet.

2021-03-09T17:33:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369340536293761024
@J52Samford I can wait.

2021-03-09T17:32:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369340400767410176
Still interested in the "Gimbal" UFO? The independently-rotating glare part of it can be difficult to explain, so I devised a 60-second experiment you can do to understand the effect.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VuSKFwhXhoY

2021-03-09T17:31:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369340015885524992
@Oswald1160 @UfoStudy @DaveFalch https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VuSKFwhXhoY

2021-03-09T17:31:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369339964568207360
@J52Samford That's not a photo.

2021-03-09T16:38:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369326860136423426
@UAPTheory @disario Too bad UFOs are always TFA, even with a telescope.

2021-03-09T16:37:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369326588253282304
This mysterious UFO that sparked fears on an alien invasion (!) appears to just be a Google Loon Ballon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-ufo-filmed-with-telescope-loon-balloon.11654/
https://twitter.com/disario/status/1369094829896916994

2021-03-09T16:15:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369320883471347715
@UfoStudy @DaveFalch Not a quibble, it's core to understanding what is going on. Unfortunately, it's not the most intuitive thing. I did a simple explanation here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-03-09T16:09:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369319389372194818

@UAPTheory @disario Here you go. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDMsAHVEAApkm6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDMsAHVEAApkm6.jpg

2021-03-09T15:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369316031823372289

@UAPTheory @disario Note images in telescopes are often upside down.

2021-03-09T15:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369315645683200001

@UAPTheory @disario The spectrum of colors is chromatic aberration.

If it's an actual object in the sky, then a Loon Balloon or similar is the most likely.

https://3ap.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Michael-Lincoln-01-thumb.png

---

2021-03-09T15:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369311515392700417

@UAPTheory @disario What it looks like is some kind of reflection. This isn't "clear footage" - it's handheld phone footage. What are these bright lights when he moves the phone away at around 8:11?

Maybe he even had his phone light on. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDFcJEUYAMRHY6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EwDFcJEUYAMRHY6.jpg

2021-03-09T15:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369310700049297410

@StockBondTrader Fravor did not debunk my analysis - he barely addressed any of it. I responded in-depth here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-03-09T15:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369310357261447171

@UfoStudy @DaveFalch Unfortunately they never really fully engaged with my hypotheses - particularly the rotating glare explanation. Both got stuck on the "why does the object rotate independently of the horizon" question.

2021-03-09T15:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369304640404160512

@disario @UAPTheory First look. Lens flare.

2021-03-09T05:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369161846188503040

@UfoStudy I don't know exactly what the Navy videos are and it's interesting that the witnesses said what they said, but who are these "experts" who think it's not conventional aircraft.

2021-03-09T05:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369153788565622786

@uFo_dis_ci_ple Probably the same way others do. But Iâ□□ve not spent much time on it. Flares?

2021-03-09T00:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369086912674234370

@nimitz2004 @AliensExist15 @zcichy @TheUfoJoe Of course. Who has suggested otherwise?

But is public disclosure of everything the military knows about that warranted?

2021-03-08T23:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369060567869050883

"Looming Superior Mirage" isn't actually a thing, @weatherbraine. Looming is not a mirage. Cold air low down will raise up a ship, but it also raises up the ocean. Mirages are very distorted. This "floating ship" is just due to variations in the apparent sea surface color. https://twitter.com/weatherbraine/status/1367518727042908162

2021-03-08T22:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369054205374197763

@AjayDaGreat @junglist1973 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @ChaseKloetzke You can see the net.

2021-03-08T22:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369054012637466627

@SicCoP1 @gornalalbion @zcichy @TheUfoJoe The bill says "incidents or patterns that indicate a potential adversary may have achieved breakthrough aerospace capabilities" - which is obviously something the military would look for anyway.

2021-03-08T22:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369050154825752581

@SicCoP1 @gornalalbion @zcichy @TheUfoJoe Potentially.

2021-03-08T21:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369035312014389250

@SicCoP1 @gornalalbion @zcichy @TheUfoJoe It's a bit vague. It might refer to something like a fully autonomous drone, or a long-duration solar-powered drone.

Also, it says "potentially" - what does that even mean in this context?

2021-03-08T20:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369027282468106244

@Flyingh43892139 @BobKurbel @RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine Boosting contrast reveals the ripples in the "sky" under the ship - showing it's the surface of the water, just lighter, so blending with the sky. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev_C2NcU8AA_r05.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev_C2NcU8AA_r05.jpg

2021-03-08T20:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369026110755803137

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine I disagree, for the reasons I explained in my video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

---

2021-03-08T20:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369022319134343170

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine I'm really not sure what point you are trying to make. You seem to be claiming it's possible to see an undistorted image of a ship floating above a layer of atmospheric distortion.

Is that what you are claiming?

2021-03-08T20:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369020605475942400

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine Perhaps you could draw a diagram?

2021-03-08T20:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369020319294386178

RT @PumaTPG: Mike Lindell's story of Absolute Proof of Voter Fraud has more holes than swiss cheese. We knew the info Mary Fanning providedâ¦

2021-03-08T20:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369020229704019968

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine Are you suggesting a ship could sometimes appear undistorted ABOVE a fata morgana?

How would that work then? The ship would need to be floating in the air.

2021-03-08T20:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369019705583833090

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine This is showing the refraction of the moon through layers of the atmosphere from a high altitude. Different thing.

2021-03-08T20:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369019073682579457

@BobKurbel @RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine It does not need to be much better - in most of the examples you can even see the true horizon with a little contrast adjustment. And there's probably more visible to the left and right.

2021-03-08T19:59:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1369014808746467329

@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine No such thing. The only time you get something relative undistorted is with looming. And that also raises up the horizon. Check out the oil tanker presets in the simulator.

https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev-3r9EVoAIivSM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev-3r9EVoAIivSM.jpg
2021-03-08T19:56:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1369014130057773056
@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine The floating ships are not refraction effects.

2021-03-08T18:16:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368989020672335875
@RagnarSpock @BobKurbel @nytimes @weatherbraine If it was a common effect then you wouldn't have so many people fooled by it.
The floating boat effect needs a particular combination of wind, lighting, haze, and a crappy camera.

2021-03-08T16:03:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368955648931627008
@gornalalbion @zcichy @TheUfoJoe For the US military, drones or other unidentified aircraft flying in military airspace is a significant national security concern.

I don't investigate significant national security concerns, nor do I expect full disclosure regarding them.

I do investigate UFO videos.

2021-03-08T16:00:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368954697881505792
@BobKurbel @RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine It's a shallow angle and cellphone photo.

Consider the contrast between light and dark here in this DSLR photo
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev-A1M_VgAMwoiR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev-A1M_VgAMwoiR.jpg

2021-03-08T13:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368922468920958981

@gornalalbion @zcichy @TheUfoJoe Because the evidence for things flying around with advanced technology is not compelling.

2021-03-08T06:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368813870916116481

In #TFTRH Episode 49 I talk to Georgina, who has a friend in the UK who has fallen down the rather American rabbit hole of QAnon.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a6QBvXb39PY

2021-03-08T06:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368807126928257026

@BradBurton What suggests itâ□□s not fake?

2021-03-08T06:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368806633585795072

RT @mattkenworthy: Well, I got that completely wrong! The floating boat is a false horizon, not a superior mirage. This video by @MickWestâ□¦

2021-03-08T06:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368804892907761667

@frushtrated Next week.

2021-03-07T23:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368700120120795138

@MarkMcElhaney6 @zcichy @TheUfoJoe I'm retired. I previously spent quite a bit of time on my career.

2021-03-07T23:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368699976906240001

@RayJack62496046 @zcichy @TheUfoJoe Bring it :grinning_face:

2021-03-07T21:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368670351538884608

@lucio_linae @zcichy @TheUfoJoe How would I know if the public needs to know something if I don't know what it is?

2021-03-07T20:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368659830869688322

@zcichy @BobMcGwier_N4HY Maybe.

2021-03-07T20:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368659578301321217

@RagnarSpock @Flyingh43892139 @uFo_dis_ci_ple @nytimes @weatherbraine And these floating boats are not mirages, or caused by atmospheric refraction.

2021-03-07T19:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368651586315055114

@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine "the appearance of floating" alone is not a mirage in the scientific sense it is being used here. It's just an optical illusion. Totally different.

2021-03-07T19:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368651301374943235

@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine Also not a mirage. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fata-morgana-or-mirage-hovering-boat-images-false-horizon.9112/

2021-03-07T19:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368651149172039681

@mattjdavidson @PostDisclosure I'll take it seriously when all the interviews are released. Otherwise, it's just cherry picking.

2021-03-07T19:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368648734117044226

@jeffers24511815 @zcichy @TheUfoJoe If I saw good evidence that the government was hiding that then I'd advocate for disclosure.

But I'm not going to go fishing for random magic with no evidence.

2021-03-07T19:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368640639290777607

@mattjdavidson @PostDisclosure I'm not worried. This is my honest opinion.

2021-03-07T19:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368639962933907457

@AndrokLee @zcichy @TheUfoJoe Facts don't need skin in the game.

Analyzing videos isn't a game in which our livelihoods and reputations are chips. You can check what I say for yourself.

2021-03-07T19:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368637822274445313

@AndrokLee @zcichy @TheUfoJoe "Intellectual Yet Idiot" is a stupid pseudo-satirical strawman that Talen invented to cover everyone he dislikes - which is a lot of people because he's an asshole.

2021-03-07T18:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368635059050221571

@Vuttu @AstroKatie I'm interested in cases like this. Which island, and where were you?

Did you happen to take a photo?

2021-03-07T18:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368634617683570688

@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine The perfect floating cities are also not mirages. If you can see details like windows, that's 100% not a mirage.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/floating-cities-are-generally-not-fata-morgana-mirages.6922/

2021-03-07T18:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368633993470513152

@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine No, it's not a mirage. It's just a region of calmer water further from the shore.

Mirages do not look like that. A superior mirage, like a Fata Morgana, looks like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5dRouVEAAPiOy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5dRouVEAAPiOy.jpg

2021-03-07T18:44:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368633588288122882
@ryan_ha @zcichy @TheUfoJoe I like to fix things and solve puzzles.

2021-03-07T17:59:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368622397012668417
@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine That's wrong. What they describe is looming, which also raises the horizon.

2021-03-07T17:56:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368621530788237313
@SicCoP1 @zcichy @TheUfoJoe It means something showed up on the radar. Maybe something else, maybe a glitch, maybe an anti-gravity Tic-Tac.

Coincidences have been known to happen.

2021-03-07T17:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368621216597110784
@zcichy @TheUfoJoe I'd be happy to get more data. It's always helpful.

I'm not going to join a movement advocating for more government transparency on UAPs though. While I'd love to have more data for my quirky hobby, I don't find it a compelling public need.

2021-03-07T17:03:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368608195548094465
@zcichy @TheUfoJoe I can demonstrate that GoFast video is entirely consistent with a balloon. I think I can demonstrate the other videos are consistent with jets.

Mostly what I debunk are the claims people make about the videos. It's very hard to debunk an unverifiable eyewitness account.

2021-03-07T16:45:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368603676458184705
@RagnarSpock @nytimes @weatherbraine No. I'm right. It's not a mirage. It's a change in the surface of the water.

Mirages invert and distort. This is not inverted, or distorted, it's just there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5BnWQVEAMpfPO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5BnWQVEAMpfPO.jpg

2021-03-07T16:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368602683423170562

Typical "floating ship" image. But in the wider shot you can see the ship is actually on the horizon, there's just a change in the surface of the water. No mirage!!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5AojdVIAEq1S0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5AVO6UcAIisuq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5AojdVIAEq1S0.jpg

2021-03-07T16:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368601848945385472

@SingletrackAdam @nytimes @weatherbraine The actual horizon is clearer there, as there's a color difference between sky and sea. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5AC8wU8AIfF1M.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev5AC8wU8AIfF1M.jpg

2021-03-07T16:36:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368601528513163266
@SocialistFT @hovebooks @PostDisclosure He's had the footage since 2007. He was supposed to release it back then but decided to make a documentary instead.

Release all the footage, or shut up.

2021-03-07T16:24:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368598330020745218
The @nytimes gets the science of the hovering ship entirely wrong. It's not a superior mirage, or any other kind of mirage. It's just the surface of the water blending with the sky beyond a certain distance.

They are repeating @weatherbraine's mistake.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k
https://twitter.com/nytimes/status/1368305414199197698

2021-03-07T16:18:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368596956633735169
@USRealityCheck This is not a Fata Morgana. I know lots of people are saying it's a mirage, but superior mirages like Fata Morgana invert and distort the image. This is just a change in the surface of the water, blending it in with the sky and making a false horizon.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-07T16:17:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368596570942283779
@CBSNews This is not a mirage. I know lots of people are saying it's a mirage, but superior mirages invert and distort the image. This is just a change in the surface of the water, blending it in with the sky and making a false horizon. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

---

2021-03-07T16:15:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368596223683297282
@DoctorYasmin It's an illusion, it's not a mirage though.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-07T16:03:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368593097731403778
@SocialistFT @hovebooks @PostDisclosure I'd need to see all the original interviews and pictures first, preferably with accurate times.

2021-03-07T16:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1368592560680136704
@DavidGaryEsp Good spotting, but it's just the pull for the gate latch. Unrelated.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev43hrUUUAEzN5-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev43hrUUUAEzN5-.jpg

2021-03-07T15:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368582555595776004

@ChilliFowler @SocialistFT @PostDisclosure Why canâ□□t I see them all now?

2021-03-07T15:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368582426734120960

@ChilliFowler @PostDisclosure False memories seem real.

2021-03-07T09:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368487541507170307

@GeezerSqueezer @82Noan @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein UFOs are real.

But that's a pretty meaningless statement, a truism.

What is the US Gov saying? Airspace incursions are real? Sometimes aircraft are not identified?

2021-03-07T08:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368486259471966208

@TalkinMangina29 Because SOME people are easily confused.

2021-03-07T06:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368445349489078273

@TalkinMangina29 It does not take much debunking, it's a clock, reflected in the car window. I was just thinking what might be a good general term for such a thing.

It made the local tabloid news.

https://www.derbyshiretimes.co.uk/news/people/what-on-earth-is-it-derbyshire-woman-left-mystified-after-taking-picture-which-appears-to-show-flying-saucer-3155507

2021-03-07T00:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368363886143963140

@JudgeKowalski @zcichy @EricRWeinstein A problem I've found is that people are unwilling to go on the record because work with ATFLIR systems is classified, and people err on the side of caution and just don't discuss it at all. At least on the record.

2021-03-07T00:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368363460858245124

@GeezerSqueezer @82Noan @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein No, you're gravely misstating the amount of failure need here, and what actually happened, and what occasionally happens.

Fighter jets crash because pilots make mistakes. Guided-missile cruisers run aground. Those are far more significant than Chad not knowing what's on ATFLIR

2021-03-07T00:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368362448328171521

@benjimaan22 Hard to say, as there's no real background context and lots of camera shake.

Do you think it would be difficult to fake?

2021-03-06T23:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368342373617475585

@benjimaan22 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sgB20Azs_S8

2021-03-06T23:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368340072035086338

@benjimaan22 @b5t1an @HarryRaggett @PostDisclosure That certainly sounds more plausible than alien visitors landing in a ship and telepathically giving them environmental messages.

2021-03-06T23:16:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368339764944900096

A common type UFO is a Reflection in a window, like this car dashboard clock.

It's LIZ, but not TFA. I think I'll just give them the TLA of RIW.

There's also a center reflected flare. CRF? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev1Qv-6UcAAkOEI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev1Qv-6UcAAkOEI.jpg

2021-03-06T22:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368331130382942209

@glauer42 @PostDisclosure @skeptoid Yes, and I agree with Brian's assessment.

2021-03-06T22:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368321850036559872

@benjimaan22 @HarryRaggett @PostDisclosure Hard to say. Memory is unreliable, and sometimes false.

2021-03-06T22:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368320592059887617

@benjimaan22 @HarryRaggett @PostDisclosure Maybe all of them.

2021-03-06T21:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368319488542658562

@SocialistFT @PostDisclosure The kid's accounts diverged from the start. This was explained away.

2021-03-06T21:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368307153077821440

@HarryRaggett @PostDisclosure Many of the children described and drew one the of visitors as having long black hair, like a hippy. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev00Fl9U8AQb6V4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev00Fl9U8AQb6V4.jpg

2021-03-06T20:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368304837591375872

@PostDisclosure They forgot the hair, and just drew a regular alien.

Necks seem to have gotten longer over the years.

2021-03-06T20:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368294492277665792

@JudgeKowalski No, why?

2021-03-06T19:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368288878373703681

@JudgeKowalski @dave_beaty @Flyingh43892139 The closer to the original you get, the more information is there. It's always helpful. You won't see the line, but you will see lighting and surface details a little better.

2021-03-06T19:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368286073919434753

@JudgeKowalski @dave_beaty @Flyingh43892139 I'd like to see the original, but my #1 explanation would be some kind of fake. I'd probably start with something dangling from fishing line on a long pole

---

2021-03-06T18:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368262629681098752

@threeguysyesh This game is not that.

2021-03-06T18:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368262216189767683

@morgothedrelb @Rainmaker1973 Nope. Not even refractive looming.

2021-03-06T17:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368253932963688450

@paullewismoney No, it's not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-06T17:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368253524543348737

@icantevenfilms @disluckyperson @ATabarrok It's not a Fata Morgana, nor a mirage, not even refractive looming. It's just a false horizon caused by the water in the distance reflecting and blending in with the sky.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-06T17:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368252487879200769

@Jim35561298 @Rainmaker1973 @iScotNews Same illusion, different ship. Here's the one at Banff. With a contrast and color boost you can see the water surface.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0COE9VIAIA8nk.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0COE9VIAIA8nk.jpg

2021-03-06T17:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368251781227016192

@rothschildmd http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0BuooVIAUwUs4.jpg



The American Dream (PSVR) (PS4)

Brand: perpetual

Platform : PlayStation 4 | Rated: Mature

12 ratings

Only 2 left in stock.

Ships from United Kingdom and sold by Gamezone-st.

- UK PSN account may be required for any online content The chance to see the world through eyes of a true American patriot Over twenty heartwarming, pulse-pounding, brain-tingling, action-packed stages, each representing a key moment in the average American life Immersive, interactive environments ready to meet the business end of your guns The opportunity to purchase and try out a variety of firearms from common pistols to tactical sporting rifles. For every task in life, there is a suitable gun

New & Used (2) from CDN$ 24.99 + CDN$ 4.99 shipping

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0BtAtVIAAojLu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0BuooVIAUwUs4.jpg

2021-03-06T17:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368251274789986305

I'm not sure if Gab realizes the image they posted is from a satirical virtual-reality videogame.

https://twitter.com/SmashIdols/status/1368185172110229506

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0BCHAVoAMVfg5.jpg



The American Dream (PSVR) (PS4)

Brand: perpetual

Platform : PlayStation 4 | Rated: Mature

12 ratings

Only 2 left in stock.

Ships from United Kingdom and sold by Gamezone-st.

- UK PSN account may be required for any online content The chance to see the world through eyes of a true American patriot Over twenty heartwarming, pulse-pounding, brain-tingling, action-packed stages, each representing a key moment in the average American life Immersive, interactive environments ready to meet the business end of your guns The opportunity to purchase and try out a variety of firearms from common pistols to tactical sporting rifles. For every task in life, there is a suitable gun

New & Used (2) from CDN$ 24.99 + CDN$ 4.99 shipping

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ev0BCHAVoAMVfg5.jpg

2021-03-06T17:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368249931086008320

RT @HC_Richardson: Thread. https://twitter.com/jaketapper/status/1368226095133261825

2021-03-06T17:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368248317419814916

@S_Ordibehesht @Rainmaker1973 Here's the simulator. In the bottom left there's a dropdown with presets, and you can also edit the temperature curve on the right, and Target Distance middle left.

https://metabunk.org/refraction/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz-lmVVcAUIVAC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz-lmVVcAUIVAC.jpg

2021-03-06T17:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368247512394428420

@S_Ordibehesht @Rainmaker1973 It's not distorted at all here. It's visually separated from the apparent horizon. You can't do that with a mirage or looming.

Have a look at the video I made about it. Maybe experiment with the temperature profile in the linked simulator.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-06T16:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368244768736567301

@gheathen @hexapodcaster @hoaxeye The main part of the illusion is the ocean diffusely reflecting more of the sky in the distance. The mist just blends things together more. You can see the difference in water surface in images like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz7Ux5VIAEE7Ry.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz7Ux5VIAEE7Ry.jpg

2021-03-06T16:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368239392725237761

@thenmbsshow Just a plastic spacer, about 1" across. I picked something lightweight to avoid breaking things when I yanked it away http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz2UzkVgAMwXrz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz2QPTVIAMisXB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evz2UzkVgAMwXrz.jpg

2021-03-06T15:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368227224973799424

@hexapodcaster @hoaxeye The water is just appearing a similar color to the sky. No mirage.

2021-03-06T15:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368221843413372928

@UAPGodz @Flyingh43892139 Again, looks like something dangling from fishing line

---

2021-03-06T15:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368221624105799682

@shyama13 @Rainmaker1973 It is neither. It's not looming any more than normal.

2021-03-06T12:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368171101361233925

@Dr_Jon @Oswald1160 Really needs the original image. This is about the best I could do.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evy4Q9LXMBAIn0E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evy4Q9LXMBAIn0E.jpg

2021-03-06T11:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368159848878141443

@thenmbsshow To fake that I'd simply use a metallic object.

2021-03-06T05:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368070442230059010

@jz_Dragon Yes.

2021-03-06T05:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368068338115481600

@GeezerSqueezer @82Noan @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Quote what he said.

2021-03-06T00:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1368000887562543111

@82Noan @GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein @AFSUnidentified @junglist1973 @lucio_linae @SilvaRecord @uapresearch @TheUfoJoe @Akam1129 Of course, it is. "Thermal Signature" is basically what a plane looks

like in IR. It varies immensely. For example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1368000827034456066/pu/img/eNhS5flOoVkTa02h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1368000827034456066/pu/img/eNhS5flOoVkTa02h.jpg
2021-03-05T22:52:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367971309762662400
@PostDisclosure @PredatoryPanic What tracks exactly?

2021-03-05T22:51:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367971159707283459
@82Noan @GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein @AFSUnidentified @junglist1973 @lucio_linae @SilvaRecord @uapresearch @TheUfoJoe @Akam1129 Why do you think it would not work in an IR camera?

2021-03-05T21:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367945275734564864
@PostDisclosure @PredatoryPanic You can't really characterize the SPY-1 as being multiple sensors. It's a single system. It can make one mistake.

2021-03-05T20:37:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367937261765988352
@82Noan @GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein @AFSUnidentified @junglist1973 @lucio_linae @SilvaRecord @uapresearch @TheUfoJoe @Akam1129 The thermal signature of propulsion engines is infrared radiation. Convection has nothing to do with it in this context. You are not making sense.

2021-03-05T20:09:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367930246020890628
@82Noan @GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Did you miss the video I posted?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-03-05T20:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367929916986036227

@GeezerSqueezer @TheProjectUnity @BubbaSri @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @EricRWeinstein I'm going with zero. Quite the opposite actually, as I encourage people to do science - practical experiments and math.

2021-03-05T20:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367929707740598273

@AdmiralQuality Yeah, that's a bit of a stretch. The sea is the same as the clouds, and not that bright. Lightning would not light them equally.

2021-03-05T19:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367924496431161344

@AdmiralQuality The ship itself isn't really being affected by anything. The illusion comes from the color of the surface of the water.

2021-03-05T19:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367924272866357248

@marko1301 Nice. That looks like an inferior mirage, reflecting the sky and the boat. Water warmer than the air after sunset.

2021-03-05T19:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367923718022828032

For more details on the refraction simualtor, see:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8zzEWy5SGKg

2021-03-05T19:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367923650440036354

@AdmiralQuality It's helped a bit by the low resolution of the image.

2021-03-05T19:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367919626214989825

What that hovering boat is not a mirage - in more detail, with examples of actual mirages (superior and inferior) and looming, and an interactive refraction simulator to help understand how they all work (and why they don't explain hovering boats)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2021-03-05T19:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367915676661211136

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 But what you describe is generally the normal state of things. The air near the water tends to have more dramatic gradients. The exception is when you get an inversion layer - which is what you need for a classic superior mirage.

2021-03-05T19:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367915028666454016

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 Somewhat, although it can get quite complicated with a variety of light paths. Have a look at the simulator - move the cursor over the side view and it shows the light path for each altitude in yellow.

https://metabunk.org/refraction/?~(p~%27Oil*20Tanker*202*20-*20Superior*20Mirage*20*28Inversion*29)_

2021-03-05T18:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367909550351814661

@GUFONRadio Yeah, mine actually moves too fast. I tried too hard :)

2021-03-05T18:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367901587402682371

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 (Correction: that's Looming, not lofting)

2021-03-05T18:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367900910609833986

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 Lofting looks like this:

All these links take you to an interactive ray-tracing refraction simulator. Move the temperature curve around to understand what's going on.

https://metabunk.org/refraction/?~(p~%27Oil*20Tanker*204*20-*20Lofting*20*28Raising*20up*20over*20Cold*29)_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCapiVgAA81dw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCapiVgAA81dw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCXdpUUAAliqb.jpg

2021-03-05T18:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367900437962051585

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 Inferior mirages look like this: https://metabunk.org/refraction/?~(p~%27Oil*20Tanker*203*20-*20Inferior*20Mirage*20*28Hot*20Road*20Effect*29)_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCKhxU8AINLaz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCHVLVEAALp6p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvCKhxU8AINLaz.jpg

2021-03-05T18:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367900155253460992

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 Superior mirages look like this.

https://metabunk.org/refraction/?~(p~%27Oil*20Tanker*202*20-*20Superior*20Mirage*20*28Inversion*29)_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvB6NWVgAALYEy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvB6NWVgAALYEy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvvBz9WUUAAvkXy.jpg

2021-03-05T18:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367899775010447368

@MBurnsKaurin @AstroKatie @Rainmaker1973 It's 100% not a mirage. Mirages are upside-down.

It's not lofting either. Lofting raises up the water too.

It's just a false horizon, water blending with the sky.

2021-03-05T16:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367881897406173186

@Rainmaker1973 @KyleTWN The thermal effect you show is an inferior mirage. It's not that either, as that would show a reflection of the ship.

There's no mirage going on here.

2021-03-05T07:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367743754296172546

@Oswald1160 @IDoubtIt @Metabunk That's exactly what it is. Shame the BBC got a meteorologist to say it was a superior mirage.

2021-03-05T07:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367742213707288584

This "hovering ship" in the UK is probably not a mirage - which would be far more distorted, it's more like a false horizon. The water near the boat is just misty and/or reflecting the sky. This has been observed before.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fata-morgana-hovering-boat-mirages.9112/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvsyJOvUcAIQ7jo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvsyIjYVEAIfCqM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evsx_WpUUAAT6Jq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvsyJOvUcAIQ7jo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvsxakBVkAgxeP9.jpg

2021-03-05T07:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367735479072813057

@82Noan @GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein That is explained here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-03-05T06:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367727363052642305

@Facelessbureau1 @PostDisclosure I agree. I don't think "a jet" explains those phenomena at all. I do think it (most likely) explains the video.

2021-03-05T06:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367719252057157638

@plain_fiction @RyanSprague51 @TheProjectUnity I don't say don't take it into account. Take it into account if it's the same incident. But with the Underwood video, Fravor's eyewitness

account was miles away and an hour earlier. There are no eyewitnesses to the object in Underwood's video.

2021-03-05T05:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367715252188577795

@TomSuesss The front camera is. The rear cameras handle a wide variety of situations.

2021-03-05T02:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367660372434382848

@SnakePo9 @hughespj1 @zcichy It is though. How could I convince you?

2021-03-05T02:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367659832044527620

@IDoubtIt @Metabunk

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fata-morgana-hovering-boat-mirages.9112/

2021-03-05T02:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367659563277709312

@HarryRaggett @LueElizondo We record on the 11th. Iâ□□ll shoot for same day.

2021-03-05T01:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367653287936233473

@IDoubtIt @Metabunk Not a mirage, as itâ□□s not distorted. Often these are false horizons causes by a low layer of fog.

2021-03-05T01:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367641531834757120

@alexxdesignn @MusicAndTruth @1joe1clarke

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-03-05T00:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367640641014964231

@Flyingh43892139 @Manning123Sm Which video is hardest to fake? Is there an original file for it somewhere?

2021-03-05T00:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367640336302972928

@assange_s You still need rapid acceleration, which gets harder with increased weight. A large stiff perfectly transparent sheet would be a challenge.

2021-03-05T00:51:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367638815444135938

These types of old videos are a classic illustration of the Low Information Zone that UFOs live in. Too far away for Betamax to show detail, but an iPhone would give you a stunning 4K image. Now modern UFOs don't get this close. Do they know camera are better and stay in the LIZ?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367638251457024003/pu/img/CjjcdkcFle6LXjGO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367638251457024003/pu/img/CjjcdkcFle6LXjGO.jpg
2021-03-05T00:48:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367638008841740291
@ZaineMichael1 @mattjdavidson I'm not sure how you can estimate the probability of something that is unknown.

2021-03-05T00:38:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367635481320509440
@assange_s Plausible, although cumbersome. but no.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-03-05T00:35:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367634877403656195
@Manning123Sm What are you trying to say?

2021-03-05T00:16:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367629948899323905
@HappyAtomsPress UFO videos are just fun little encapsulated challenges. That's why I got into them.

Like geometric Sudoku with a possibility of aliens.

2021-03-05T00:06:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367627536444850191
@Manning123Sm I think, most likely, Ed Walters faked the videos in a variety of clever ways. Bruce is overly biased towards the "advanced tech" explanation and tends to ignore the more mundane explanations.

2021-03-05T00:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367626837501779969

@LeeBook87353130 I don't make fakes to deceive. I make them to educate.

Educating is good, I want to do that.

2021-03-05T00:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367626298512662528

@HappyAtomsPress It was just an interesting challenge - duplicating the video. That's all.

2021-03-04T23:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367624796708638721

@LeeBook87353130 I'm not crying wolf here. I showed a fake video, said it was fake, asked people how they thought it was done, and then explained it.

What is wrong with that?

2021-03-04T23:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367622290230312963

@LeeBook87353130 It's fun and educational.

2021-03-04T23:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367621856413380609

@ZaineMichael1 @mattjdavidson Actually, people have said this could not be faked.

This is just about these old VCR videos. Not other videos. I don't think the Navy videos are fake.

2021-03-04T23:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367620861956558848

@LeeBook87353130 I made it. I wanted to see the various theories. It's obviously not a huge puzzle if you understand videos and common-sense physics.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-03-04T23:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367613789198118913

@Flyingh43892139 @CantelmiS Have you looked at the old video? It was filmed with a potato. What's "real world" about it?

2022-07-22T22:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550612658298036258

@nonduality Most cell phones give round bokeh, but I'm sure you can find some diamond-shaped videos too. Here's a C-130:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciCzKYLnKUI

2022-07-22T22:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550611985712091136

@marcbel19406167 @WasilenkoAlex Who has seen dark point bokeh before? Not me, and I'm familiar with a LOT of this type of thing. It is practically a new phenomenon.

I suspect it's moving because it's a bug, and possibly a spider building (or removing) a web.

2022-07-22T22:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550604804690362368

The WVNY Skytracker camera has a diamond shape aperture, which you can see in the shape of the pink lens flare around the sun. Same shape as the "UFO", which looks like dark bokeh.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/wvny-abc22-transparent-flying-saucer-on-weather-camera-%E2%80%94-dark-bokeh.12529/

@WasilenkoAlex http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTaYO2VsAEIP5Z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYTaYO2VsAEIP5Z.jpg

2022-07-22T22:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550604027276460032

@BrushWandering @SnakePo9 @WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2 What would radar tracks demonstrate? This is fully out of focus, anything flying anything on radar would be in focus.

2022-07-22T21:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550594738012557313

@PredatoryPanic @Oswald1160 @rpowell2u Your question though represents the fundamental misunderstanding that underlies Appendix L. It's a perfectly reasonable misunderstanding for when you first start to think about this issue.

The problem is, SCU has had seven years to figure it out, and failed.

2022-07-22T21:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550593607878356993

@codexatlanticuz @Eyes_OnTheSkies It's the opposite of light bokeh.

2022-07-22T21:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550593124312879105

@PredatoryPanic @Oswald1160 @rpowell2u If the plane is moving, then it contributes to the apparent motion of the object relative to the background.

Is the plane moving?

The background can be made not to move by the camera panning (i.e rotating) left/right. That has no effect on parallax.

2022-07-22T20:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550571766426132485
@and_sica @hamstersandwic1 @ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit I suspect a spider.
All you need to get that shape from bokeh is to have that shape of the aperture.
Were you thinking about a different shape?

2022-07-22T19:56:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550570610014560256
@codexatlanticuz I don't see that happening.

2022-07-22T19:56:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550570458122108929
@Flyingh43892139 That image does not look anything like bokeh.

I don't know of examples of old rectangular bokeh. A roughly rectangular shape does happen with periscope zoom phone cameras though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550570380187750401/pu/img/lhX7g_zoYQANUS6v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550570380187750401/pu/img/lhX7g_zoYQANUS6v.jpg
2022-07-22T19:28:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550563553341743104

@mission_europa @ExploreSCU The background immediately behind the sign, yes. Obviously this is an imperfect example as the background has depth, and so will always shown internal parallax. But here's four consecutive frames, at about 5 sec.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYS1MTHUYAAJOFi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYS1MTHUYAAJOFi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYS1LSxUsAAKAnT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYS1JQpUUAA6W-z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYS1KKPVUAAN-O4.jpg

2022-07-22T19:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550562275689242625

@BostonsBuddha Like a Chinese lantern, maybe.

2022-07-22T19:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550561910717698048

@bobofango86 I don't say it's "a bokeh" any more than I say "it's an out of focus". I always say "it's bokeh".

I think this is the clearest way of conveying what we are talking about, and effect that makes

points into shapes when they are out of focus.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSzkkMUYAAomeN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSzeGmVsAA7ofi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSzkkMUYAAomeN.jpg

2022-07-22T19:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550560648148357120

@and_sica @hamstersandwic1 @ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit Why would "Horizontally ellipse bokeh?" be hard to duplicate?

2022-07-22T19:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550560183180349440

@BostonsBuddha I suspect motion blur.

2022-07-22T19:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550559947875700736

@Murr_Wurr No. A small point takes on the shape of the aperture. Compare this actual shape (left) with the out-of-focus shape. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSx6u3UsAERxMD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSx6u3UsAERxMD.jpg

2022-07-22T19:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550559477115392001

@bobofango86 Words are defined by their common usage. "Bokeh" is commonly used to refer to the shape of an out-of-focus point. Usually light, but the principle is the same for dark bokeh.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSxIVjUsAEmCTh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSxIVjUsAEmCTh.jpg

2022-07-22T19:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550558281948491776

@markocheese You understanding something does not mean it does not need explaining for other people.

2022-07-22T19:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550557947255590913

@and_sica @hamstersandwic1 @ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit When I duplicated the effect, I used a bug-sized bit of tape. There's no need for it to be incredibly small.

2022-07-22T16:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550524361362198530

A triangular lens flare, while not actually bokeh, is still reflective (occludive?) of the shape of the aperture. Here we see tiny triangular bokeh around the sun (dust on the camera), and a large triangular lens flare. Note the curved edges. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSRlp5UEAE-DJd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYSRlp5UEAE-DJd.jpg

2022-07-22T16:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550523196083802113

@hamstersandwic1 @ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit No.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550504704085356545

2022-07-22T16:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550515502887215107

@SnakePo9 @WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2 There is plenty of info. We have a transparent camera-aligned diamond shape that slowly moves.

We have distant trees in focus, so a distant craft would also be in focus.

We have similar shaped light bokeh from other cameras.

2022-07-22T16:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550514825041612800

@AtticusFinch_JD I'm sorry, I thought we were just talking about this case. Are there other similar cases that you are aware of?

2022-07-22T15:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550510154138890240
@BostonsBuddha I recreated it with the static insect-sized speck, and a triangular aperture (see inset image above).

Wrangling an insect would be considerably more fiddly. But I think this shows it's a distinct possibility. Higher than a transparent flying craft.

2022-07-22T15:54:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550509520861859840
@jimqk Insects move.

2022-07-22T15:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550509421402304513
@aka__V That's is what I did, in that image.

2022-07-22T15:53:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550509239101054981
@sSamsquantch I welcome well-reasoned critiques of my current work. Don't hold back if you think I've got something wrong.

2022-07-22T15:51:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550508928366100482
@sgotthebends https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550504704085356545

2022-07-22T15:49:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550508388588462082
@AtticusFinch_JD What are these "many instances" of which you speak?

The UFO showed up on a weather cam. There's nobody behind it.

2022-07-22T15:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550508058593243136
@markocheese 2. isn't parallax though, that's just rotating the camera.

Rotating does not cause parallax.

2022-07-22T15:47:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550507839306616833
@sSamsquantch Yeah, it wasn't useful as it just showed the bug (I'm guessing a spider) in different positions. The real-time video was more useful.

2022-07-22T15:46:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550507584829829122
@PredatoryPanic @rpowell2u You have to distinguish between camera ROTATION, which moves the entire image but does not change the apparent positions of objects relative to each other, and camera TRANSLATION (a position change) which does change the apparent positions

---

2022-07-22T15:38:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550505601150566402

@PredatoryPanic @rpowell2u The camera is rotating because the camera operator is manually tracking the object. The rotation has no effect on parallax, but it can make the background move or not move on some frames.
Since the plane is in constant 200mph motion, the parallax effect is also constant.

2022-07-22T15:35:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550504846997917696
@WasilenkoAlex @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550504704085356545

2022-07-22T15:35:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550504704085356545
This seems like a new type of UFO to me, "dark bokeh." Less common that the usual out-of-focus distant light, an out-of-focus nearby dark speck or insect can also take on the shape of the aperture in the right conditions.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/wvny-abc22-transparent-flying-saucer-on-weather-camera-%E2%80%94-dark-bokeh.12529/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYR_yVgUcAAUmjR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYR_yVgUcAAUmjR.jpg
2022-07-22T15:21:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550501338336804864
@NightDocsYT Yes, because the apparent rapid motion of the plane relative to the tree is all parallax. You could take the video and stabilize it on the plane, and the RELATIVE motion would not change.

2022-07-22T14:51:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550493630690775040
@jason_jarnagin @kofflestop Except in the examples where you cannot.

2022-07-22T14:38:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550490354884849664

@docdiscern @WasilenkoAlex @UAP_CR @mike_bogaards @BoYeePoon2
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550487674070347776

2022-07-22T14:27:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550487674070347776
@ThomasKBullock2 @WasilenkoAlex @UFOBreddit I suspect itâ□□s insects, very close to the lens. Out of focus. Dark bokeh.

2022-07-22T13:51:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550478530768580608
@Harrywh25900799 @NightDocsYT I have been interested in doing that.

2022-07-22T13:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550473537571368965
@NightDocsYT Parallax from the camera moving + digital image stabilization virtually rotating the camera to track the tree.

2022-07-22T03:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550322041961586688
@SAeleven12 @Glawk_Fawty @ExploreSCU Itâ□□s on my ToDo list.

2022-07-22T01:16:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550288767184908288
@SAeleven12 @ExploreSCU Probably a better example would help. But the point is still valid.

2022-07-22T01:10:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550287137039298560
@rpowell2u Missing the point again. You said the lack of background motion proves the apparent motion is all â□□intrinsic motion.â□□ Then you did math based on that. So itâ□□s all wrong

---

2022-07-22T01:04:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550285666902110208
@Glawk_Fawty @ExploreSCU It's not meant to show distorted motion. It's meant to explain the error underlying Appendix L. Obviously I have more work to do.

2022-07-22T00:23:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550275424231378944
The @ExploreSCU appendix L issue is simple but hard to visualize - maybe because it is so simple. Basically, the logic in appendix L would mean this sign is ACTUALLY moving because, on some frames, it VISUALLY moves, but the background does not.
It's really that simple.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550274311985848320/pu/img/1y7qre-ScavXhxwk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550274311985848320/pu/img/1y7qre-ScavXhxwk.jpg
2022-07-22T00:16:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550273446537351170
@Arnfden0 @IWANTTOKNOWUK @ChrisKMellon @NASA @SenBillNelson Aguadilla is most definitely not "legit." The SCU report is riddled with errors that @ExploreSCU have resolved only by ignoring them.
For example, Appendix L is basically 100% wrong because of a basic misunderstanding of parallax. This was pointed out seven years ago.

2022-07-21T22:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550254162885378048
@davpea2 I'm sure I'm wrong, to some degree, about lots of things. This isn't some ego contest. I'm trying to figure out what's going on, and you can't assume that everyone is correct 100% of the time.

2022-07-21T22:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550239759703818240
@rpowell2u The plane is moving; therefore an aerial object will move against the background from the camera's perspective.

Do you actually think that the plane STOPPED MOVING for a frame? The lack of movement of the background is from camera rotation, not lateral movement (translation)

2022-07-21T18:46:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550190537835130880
@edwardcurrent My goal here is to get a universally accessible definition to use when explaining what the SCU got wrong in appendix L.

2022-07-21T18:33:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550187346225610752
RT @nypost: In 1996, an eccentric millionaire bought "Skinwalker Ranch" in Utah. His team of

scientists claim the ranch is home to flying sâ¦

2022-07-21T17:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550173030843682817

@largescience What about this example, where the more distant object is the one that seems to be moving?

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550160730317475840

2022-07-21T17:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550172813243195393

@Broekm @AstroKatie There's no real minimum distance. The effect just diminishes to zero the closer the two objects are together.

2022-07-21T17:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550170701545410561

I was briefly intrigued when Tom DeLonge emailed me, offering to share his story with me. But it was just another request that I "invest" in his entertainment company.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYNPqVXUUAAIv1V.jpg

We believe To The Stars is uniquely positioned to be successful with all of these capabilities under one house.

This is why we're excited about the opportunity to offer you shares in our company early on. Join us as we reinvent the entertainment industry.

Best,
Tom DeLonge
CEO of To The Stars

INVEST NOW

AN OFFERING STATEMENT REGARDING THIS OFFERING HAS BEEN FILED WITH THE SEC. THE SEC HAS QUALIFIED THAT OFFERING STATEMENT, WHICH ONLY MEANS THAT THE COMPANY MAY MAKE SALES OF THE SECURITIES DESCRIBED BY THE OFFERING STATEMENT. IT DOES NOT MEAN THAT THE SEC HAS APPROVED, PASSED UPON THE MERITS OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION IN THE OFFERING STATEMENT. THE OFFERING CIRCULAR THAT IS PART OF THAT OFFERING STATEMENT IS AT: https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1710274/000149315222012740/form253g2.htm. YOU SHOULD READ THE OFFERING CIRCULAR BEFORE MAKING ANY INVESTMENT.

No longer want to receive these emails? Unsubscribe.

To The Stars, Inc. 315 S. Coast Hwy 101 Suite U38 Encinitas, CA 92024

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYNPqVXUUAAIv1V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYNPpY6VQAAAZDA.jpg

2022-07-21T17:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550169143894106113

@edwardcurrent Hmm, I suppose it's all relative. You could consider on of the planes to be fixed, and then you've got camera motion in that frame of reference.

But I think it's simpler to just consider actually moving cameras in the terrestrial frame.

2022-07-21T17:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550166556281147392

@edwardcurrent Hmm, so that includes a non-moving observer. I'm not sure that case is really parallax.

2022-07-21T16:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550163299538374657

@NightDocsYT https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1550160730317475840

2022-07-21T16:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550162796767195136

@ZanzibarIand Like this mug of coffee I have here?

I don't think that's it.

2022-07-21T16:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550162652327919617

@TheGuitarPhilo1 A what now?

2022-07-21T16:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550162384815210496

@philo_sofa It's a plane, a Cessna 172, its actual motion is in a straight line to the right, but there's lots of other apparent motion from the parallax.

2022-07-21T16:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550162070741532672

@excaliporr What is the "something" you refer to?

2022-07-21T16:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550161896560504832

@Fiddaman That's an example, not a definition.

2022-07-21T16:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550161694826958848

@TemplarScribe That definition would also cover an object getting bigger as you get closer. I don't think that is parallax.

2022-07-21T16:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550161115635544064

@sharpless89 Like when you drive into a tree it gets bigger as you get closer?

2022-07-21T16:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550160730317475840

@AstroKatie That's good, but how about in this example, where the more distant object (a Cessna 172) appears to move faster than the closer object (the tree)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550160048050950145/pu/img/RBCozOvOnnkkAxq-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550160048050950145/pu/img/RBCozOvOnnkkAxq-.jpg

2022-07-21T16:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550159720022810624

@iamzeeeggman How about in the context that you use it?

2022-07-21T16:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550159315935191040

@philo_sofa What about when the objects further away seem to move quicker than the foreground objects?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550159261858013184/pu/img/AGanyPb1JrcjPOA6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1550159261858013184/pu/img/AGanyPb1JrcjPOA6.jpg
2022-07-21T16:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550158258991550465
@AtticusFinch_JD @edwardcurrent @EMP_Wrecker @ufosfootage I think the term "article" is perhaps overly generous. It's clickbait, possibly AI-generated.

2022-07-21T16:37:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550157954695004171
@MikeColangelo No, that's just panning and zooming. You can do it on a still frame.

2022-07-21T16:35:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550157507779121152
@AstroKatie Sure, but your definition seemed to hinge on apparent motion that "decreased for increasing distance between the observer and the object"
That's more a feature of parallax than parallax itself - which is just apparent relative motion of objects due to observer motion.

2022-07-21T16:29:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550155937821798400
@MarkGCoffey Hmm, good, but "subtended" is a rather technical term (and you'd really have to say "subtended at the eye"). Can you do it without that (and, bonus points, without "angle")

2022-07-21T16:25:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550155034582605824
@fizzix2020 If you rotate your head (or a camera), the Moon seems to move. Does that fit your definition? (if picky, assume you are rotating a camera about its focal point)

2022-07-21T16:21:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550154091703123969
@GambleDale Not bad! Can you rephrase that as one sentence starting with "Parallax is ..."

2022-07-21T16:20:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1550153807740293120

@doktorgraco Yeah, I think "parallax scrolling" would be where I first encountered the term, back the 1980s. It's kind of a simplified example.

2022-07-21T16:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550152977326821376

@AstroKatie But if the distance (perpendicular to the camera) does not change, there's still parallax, right? So that definition does not really work - although it perhaps defines a feature of parallax.

2022-07-21T16:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550151509001662464

@JoeKerGuy That's a bit vague. The Ames room is an "Optical illusion based on perspective", but it's not parallax.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e4qsX4XDtYY

2022-07-21T16:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550150740458409984

@mebarek_salah https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3iPZXrifso

2022-07-21T16:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550149478446796801

@sharpless89 Throwing a rock out of a moving car is relative motion, but not parallax.

2022-07-21T16:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550149296271413248

And please give a definition, not an example.

2022-07-21T16:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550148936643387392

@didjeet2 @patriottakes Maybe he's John Galt?

2022-07-21T16:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550148650956771328

Science Communication Challenge:

Without looking it up, define "parallax" in one tweet.

2022-07-21T15:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550147387426975745

@BrianSzy_PF Where did I say that?

2022-07-21T15:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550144269733601281

@Channa_Sr @edwardcurrent I'm not sure how intuitive it is to the average person.

But then there's also the secondary issue, why does gas exiting the nozzle make the rocket move forward? "Conservation of momentum" is an abstraction, what's actually happening?

2022-07-21T15:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550142178424266752

@Channa_Sr @edwardcurrent The point of the poll is to gauge how well people understand how

rockets work. Historically there was a common misconception that they push against the air. This is still found in flat earth mythology.

2022-07-21T15:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550141322673025026

@tower_buzzer How does he know it's near sea level? I mean the water at 20,000 feet looks the same as the water at 2,000 feet. It's hard to for pilots to judge the distance to things that are featureless.

2022-07-21T15:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550140359631548416

@edwardcurrent @EMP_Wrecker @AtticusFinch_JD @ufosfootage I was simply saying what it looks like, as in what it visually resembles. To me, it looked like Ivanpah. Video locations are not always accurate. In this case they describe them as "above the clouds", when they look like they are on the ground.

95% Ivanpah.

2022-07-21T14:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1550123806915563526

@hardie_joseph @GkmOfficial Have you checked?

2022-07-21T05:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549991399885393920

@orbservingeye @santarogan Not at all.

2022-07-21T05:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549991086386425858

@1337j0ekerx I'm thinking they are wrong, not conspiring.

2022-07-21T05:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549990875492519937

@TLDR_toYrLeader @DeepSpace41 @skinnywalker7 Not really, at the molecular level it's kinetic energy that gets a new space to enter.

2022-07-21T05:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549985688350732288

@1337j0ekerx Who, specifically, would be an expert in on this conspiracy you are suggesting?

2022-07-21T04:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549977397511856128

@santarogan I'd hardly say "frequent"

2022-07-21T04:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549972424770260993

@AnalystLiii @orbservingeye No, he's got several things wrong already, so leaving it to him is not an option.

2022-07-21T03:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549963966935273476

@OSIRIS_UAP @NASA @rpowell2u Thereâ□□s a section that is 100% wrong, so they should remove that section.

Having an alternative hypothesis for the broader picture is irrelevant.

2022-07-21T03:43:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549963306714034177
@AlxndrSmith @dividendless I did that already.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.9718/

2022-07-21T03:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549961072190205952
@AnalystLiii Which experts?

2022-07-21T00:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549917080194916353
Sometimes scientists with PhDs make mistakes.

Sometimes people without PhDs notice those mistakes.

These things are not impossible.
https://twitter.com/elf_whatever/status/1549903357648699395

2022-07-21T00:01:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549907446734282752
@BostonsBuddha @DeepSpace41 @skinnywalker7 What are "these craft" and what exactly did they admit?

Looking out for unusual flying craft in the air and in space is something the military ALREADY does.

2022-07-20T22:23:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549882648201351169
@DeepSpace41 @skinnywalker7 Vacuum does not have a force. It's nothing.

2022-07-20T22:03:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549877786218639360
@TerrenceJBrown1 sure, mick@mickwest.com

2021-03-04T23:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367612767415324673
@_olligo_ @CKakadan Y'all are getting close. Here's what I did.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-03-04T23:07:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367612599433498625

Duplicating VCR era UFO videos of objects hovering and then rapidly accelerating away.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2021-03-04T23:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367612182410584066

@Flyingh43892139 @CantelmiS Of course it's possible. I was just a bit vigorous and made it go too fast.

2021-03-04T22:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367610080527486978

@Flyingh43892139 @CantelmiS That's just down to the speed. If it moved slower then you'd see it in the intermediate frames, with motion blur (the "trail) if the light was too low.

2021-03-04T22:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367609447120478209

@Flyingh43892139 @TDBrigham @flarkey @Spacecowboy781 @ExploreSCU Mine moves MUCH faster, must be the newer model.

2021-03-04T22:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367609231189286912

@CantelmiS I mean with another camera, to demonstrate what was happening.

2021-03-04T22:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367609052956553217

@Flyingh43892139 @CantelmiS How far away is the object in the old video? How can you tell?

2021-03-04T22:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367608793987571712

@CKakadan Close!

2021-03-04T22:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367605121161469953

@mattjdavidson And the object in my video DID instantaneously accelerate, at least to max speed in under 1/30th of a second.

2021-03-04T22:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604935982948352

@STSMajesty That was fake.

2021-03-04T22:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604874980954113

@kenmoodie I don't see any reflections, there's no optical trickery involved, just straight video in an iPhone (converted to 360p to approximate VCR footage)

2021-03-04T22:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604611163455489

@CKakadan Good idea! But no, far less sophisticated.

2021-03-04T22:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604471073710082

@aka__V Not really, except in the sense that all materials are elastic. If it were more elastic then the acceleration would be slower.

2021-03-04T22:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604203959459841

@paul_sailer No, it's all one shot, 30fps, on an iPhone.

2021-03-04T22:33:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367604012367843330

@CantelmiS It's just so fast it LOOKs like it vanished. But it just moved very fast and suddenly.

Hmm, just realized I should have also filmed it in slow motion.

2021-03-04T22:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367603724776972289

@mattjdavidson It's to prove some old VCR UFO footage could be faked.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367603713477517312/pu/img/fPkVF1wzl4gRXfDB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367603713477517312/pu/img/fPkVF1wzl4gRXfDB.jpg

2021-03-04T22:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367603565007532034

@_olligo_ Not, although that would work

2021-03-04T22:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367603473701695488

@georgelennox83 No, it's all one shot, real time.

2021-03-04T22:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367600859337482240

@flarkey @TDBrigham @Flyingh43892139 @Spacecowboy781 @ExploreSCU I'd have to

decrease the video quality a bit more. :)

This is actually accelerating too fast (vanished in one frame). But it's trivially easy to replicate at slower speeds.

2021-03-04T22:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367600228723953666
@Tourneu35613408 @TDBrigham @Flyingh43892139 @flarkey @Spacecowboy781 On mine, the sound is from the UFO hitting something after it moves. The propulsion was actually silent.

2021-03-04T22:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367599836162183168
@barrysandwich Close!

2021-03-04T22:16:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367599774942199812
@1joe1clarke 30fps.

2021-03-04T22:02:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367596319313850369
@TDBrigham @Flyingh43892139 @flarkey @Spacecowboy781
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1367596179874144259

2021-03-04T22:01:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367596179874144259
Challenge: How is this fake UFO effect done?

Instantaneous acceleration of an aerial object that was hovering outside my garage.

No video editing involved in making it vanish. No mirrors.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367595975406096385/pu/img/P0gtU2zj5zb_LKaw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367595975406096385/pu/img/P0gtU2zj5zb_LKaw.jpg
2021-03-04T21:50:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367593286613921793
@Flyingh43892139 @flarkey @TDBrigham @Spacecowboy781 How else can it go?

I just went out and tried my idea. It worked. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqqvakVIAItDD5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqqvakVIAItDD5.jpg
2021-03-04T21:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367586732468695041
@flarkey @TDBrigham @Flyingh43892139 @Spacecowboy781 If I were to fake that, I'd use a small light object suspended from fishing line, then either shoot it with a BB gun, or yank it out of the way with a second line.

2021-03-04T20:31:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367573466438725632
8.1 @ 6 miles, that's a big one. Apocalypse fans are going to get excited.

https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us7000dflf/executive

---

2021-03-04T20:24:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367571729514602496
@Alan58585547 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity When? In the article I linked, a $77 million jet crashed and the pilot died. The investigation recommended more time be spent looking out the window.

Terrible mistakes happen. They can be minimized, but not eliminated.

2021-03-04T20:08:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367567558702899203
@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity Maybe, but I think that the reason the Nimitz

encounter rose to the fame it currently enjoys, is that it's the once-in-a-decade series of improbable events that invariably happens once every decade.

So improbability is not a disqualifying factor.

2021-03-04T20:01:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367565979794907137
@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity Wouldn't avoiding crashing into other planes also be elementary level tasking?

Things go wrong sometimes. People make uncharacteristic mistakes.

https://www.nytimes.com/2015/05/12/us/lt-nathan-poloski-navy-death.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqR7OPVcAIdd_B.jpg

> According to the accident report, Lieutenant Poloski accelerated to more than 350 miles per hour, climbing to an altitude of 7,000 feet. About 10 miles from the carrier, he turned west and slowed to about 300 miles per hour. He was apparently unaware that the commander, whose name was redacted from the final report for privacy reasons, had flown a similar route.
>
> Around 5:40 p.m., the two planes collided. The commander told investigators he was unaware of the collision, feeling only a "thump" on his left engine. Within seconds, his plane was aflame and spinning wildly out of control. He bailed out and was later plucked from the sea.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqR7OPVcAIdd_B.jpg
2021-03-04T19:59:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367565407498817536
@Alan58585547 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity But it's fine to crash into them? Nonsense.

2021-03-04T19:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367565232969674756
@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @hughespj1 What if only Underwood screwed up? All the planes were tracked just fine, but Underwood didn't link what was on the ATFLIR screen to what was on the SA.

2021-03-04T19:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367564749538435073
@Alan58585547 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity If it is possible for the system to

fail to the extent that two Navy jets collide in mid-air, killing one pilot, then why is it impossible that one Navy WSO might fail to ID what plane shows up on his ATFLIR?

2021-03-04T19:48:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367562722305437700
@Alan58585547 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity Pilots screw up in far more significant ways. They are not gods.

we generally only get to hear about it when they crash, but there must be many less-serious mistakes.

https://www.wtkr.com/2015/01/15/2014-super-hornet-crash-off-virginia-beach-was-preventable/

2021-03-04T19:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367560538478190596
@Alan58585547 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity I just did.

2021-03-04T19:36:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367559541504700418
@JunkScience You don't think they have a plan?

Germans are not stupid. They are not going to accidentally not have enough power, like Texas.

2021-03-04T18:59:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367550392507133952
@Alan58585547 @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity To see if he agreed.

And I'm pretty sure "ICBM" was not mentioned. But if you've got a timestamp, let me know.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqDyIMUUAIuoe9.jpg

Mick West 23:42

Alright. Alright, so let's get back to flavor, the flavor encounter. One of the things like Kevin de mentioned about these radar contacts, like before, just directly before for everything counting we'll get to that in a second was that he was told that there were the ballistic missile tracking system was detecting them coming down from space, through 60,000 feet or thropugh 80,000 feet. And then coming down to the surface of the water is something that you you have heard

PJ Hughes 24:16

is the group of us myself, Gary, Kevin, and Jason. We've all obviously talked at length and we, you know, talk via text message in Facebook Messenger, who knows, however else, whatever else Gary decided today we're going to talk about

Mick West 24:34

he's the instigator of these discussions.

PJ Hughes 24:37

He is he's, he's more of the I'm gonna stick to and get it done kind of guy than the rest of us. Right. But my last in-person Kevin, discussion with Kevin was actually in Brooklyn, probably seven months ago now. The "rain", I hate to I hate to even correct Kevin, because he's not wrong in what he says. He just I don't know, if he used the best choice of words, to say what he said, You know, there's no reason to doubt that they were way up high coming down. You know, that's the, that's, you know, the range of SPY-1. That's where it's supposed to look. That's where ballistic missiles travel is way up hot, you

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvqDyIMUUAIuoe9.jpg
2021-03-04T18:30:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367543023148572672
@hughespj1 @Alan58585547 @RobAgs0817 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity Kevin Day said someone on the ship told him the "Ballistic Missile Defense guys" tracked them from space

This seems implausible to me. Someone might well have told him, but did it happen?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp8_szUcAQbVK6.jpg

Kevin Day 0:38

Yeah, it was several days, we were operating off the coast of California in our in our military operating or doing, getting ready to go on deployment. And for several days, I've been watching these weird contexts off the off the coast of Catalina Island. And the reason why I say they were weird, is because our Ballistic Missile Defense guys was tracking these things coming down from outer space, I found out later, that wasn't the view that I had on my radar, I was I'm more concerned with 30,000 feet and below. And then we go from 80,000 feet then suddenly dropped to 28,000 feet. And they were going south at about 100 knots, which is really weird for something that high in the sky to go that slow. What the hell flies like that I'd been sitting behind that radar for 18 years on three different ships behind this SPY-1 radar. I had never seen anything fly like that. And I was convinced it was sort of a glitch in the system. I was like, Hey, we need to run diagnostics, reload the systems. And I wasn't really concerned from a defense point of view, because they, as far as I knew it was something entirely civilian, and they didn't know we were down there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp80e1VkAEq84h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp8d6DUUAMRIvl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp8_szUcAQbVK6.jpg

2021-03-04T18:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367538362899255298

@Sloppyjaloppy2 @TruthBomber_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp43t4U8AQZR2l.jpg

Nearly three in 10 Republicans believe the claim driving the QAnon conspiracy theory, according to a survey released last week by a conservative think tank.

According to the survey by the American Enterprise Institute, 29% of Republicans believe the baseless claim that former President “Donald Trump has been secretly fighting a group of child sex traffickers that include prominent Democrats and Hollywood elites.” That’s the idea at the center of the false QAnon conspiracy theory, which has gained millions of supporters across the United States and was praised repeatedly by Trump.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evp43t4U8AQZR2l.jpg
2021-03-04T17:04:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367521244971630593
@Alan58585547 @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity I think that probably did not happen. Maybe someone told Kevin it happened, but given the immense and earthshattering significance of such a thing, and the tenuous evidence supporting it (just Kevin's unspecific recollection from 2004), I really think it's unlikely.

2021-03-04T17:01:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367520543935631366
@TruthBomber_ What DOES Q predict with confidence?

Mass arrests? When's that going to happen?

2021-03-04T17:00:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367520286153740289
@ufotodaynetwork You mean no TRUE Q follower :)

2021-03-04T16:48:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367517191059337225
@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity And thank you for being reasonable.

2021-03-04T16:40:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367515391078010886
@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity I generally avoid jokes for that very reason (indeed, I've banned sarcasm on Metabunk), but with the SpaceX thing, I thought most people would get the references.

2021-03-04T16:39:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367515104590266368
@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity Thanks, and sure, I know people often see me as a hindrance. Hundreds of people, apparently. But I think that's an inevitable consequence of asking tough questions and pointing out mistakes.
The "community" spans a wide range, I cannot reasonably avoid upsetting everyone.

2021-03-04T16:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367514314072936448

Trump is not going to be inaugurated today. Some more people are going to wake up from their Q dream. Other people are going to kick the can down the road.

What's the next date?

2021-03-04T16:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367513627326959616

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity I call things like I see them. If someone is wrong or being overly hopeful or speculative, then I'm going to give my honest opinion.

Why would I want to put people down? I can't imagine a motivation. I consider many in the UFO community to be eFriends.

2021-03-04T16:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367513028057436162

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity That is not my intent. I have no axe to grind with any community, I'm just interested in figuring stuff out. Sometimes people disagree with my investigation and get angry with me. But Gary, PJ, and Kevin seem fine, even though we have quite different interpretations of things.

2021-03-04T16:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367511638279028737

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity Why is this issue so emotional to you? Why so angry?

2021-03-04T16:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367511523447336960

RT @PhillyVotes: On this date in 1869, Ulysses S. Grant was sworn in as President. Regardless of what online conspiracies say, legitimate Pâ¦

2021-03-04T16:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367511167518707719

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity In a one-hour interview, there's limited time to cover lots of topics. I could very easily use the whole hour just drilling down on one technical point. So things tend to be different compared with a Twitter discussion that's often held over several days.

2021-03-04T16:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367510304389623811

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity He blocked me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpfGNNVcAIzXIi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpfGNNVcAIzXIi.jpg

2021-03-04T16:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367509446214049799

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity I think if you look at the responses to my post you'll see that most people took it as the humorous comment that was intended. People mock me for my imaginary seagull fixation. Lots of people imagined UFOs with the last SpaceX explosion. I just combined two tropes.

2021-03-04T16:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367506088736686080

@JunkScience India's Dalmia Cement is committed to become â□□carbon-negative by 2040

https://www.cemnet.com/News/story/167378/dalmia-to-build-0-5mta-carbon-capture-facility.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpbUxoVEAEEJo_.jpg

Dalmia to build 0.5Mta carbon capture facility



By ICR Newsroom
20 September 2019

As part of its drive to become a carbon-negative by 2040, Dalmia Cement announced plans to build a 0.5Mta carbon capture facility at its cement plant in Tamil Nadu in 2-3 years.

"The company is committed to become 'carbon-negative by 2040', and capturing process emissions from cement manufacturing will be critical towards reaching the target of net zero by 2040," said Mahendra Singhi, MD and CEO, Dalmia Cement (Bharat) Ltd. The approach is to set up scalable large demonstration projects on carbon capture with multiple utilisation streams, he added.

"To achieve the target of being carbon negative by 2040, the company is planning to adopt 100 per cent renewable power under fossil-free electricity initiative by 2030, double energy productivity by 2030, and switch to renewable biomass bamboo and waste to replace fossil fuel by 2035," according to Mr Singhi.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpbUxoVEAEEJo_.jpg
2021-03-04T15:42:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367500602020929539
@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity And this is NOT a reflection on the broader UFO community, but rather of the Scott Waring types that hang around the edges, and the media who love UFO stories regardless of the facts.

2021-03-04T15:31:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367498033269780485
@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity ... I could go on....
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpUL5VWgAAV2Rz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpT_okXYAkm69J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpT4HnXAA05GzR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpUL5VWgAAV2Rz.jpg

2021-03-04T15:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367497014754344970

@vandelay2020 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @TheProjectUnity Lots of people actually.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpTR7nXAAQAc--.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvpTR7nXAAQAc--.jpg

2021-03-04T01:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367284395946647556

@AdobeCare It's not just this file though. Any .mpg file that's clipped in Quicktime Viewer and then saved will give the error. But the produced files play fine in non-Adobe software (Quicktime, VLC, Ecamm, Handbrake.)

I know it's a bit of an edge case, but it comes up.

2021-03-04T01:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367282230985326594

@boggled102 @Abducted_Cow It's not.

2021-03-03T23:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367258161376239616

One of these seagulls is going to be fingered as a UFO blowing thing up, because that's the way things go.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367257860460015616/pu/img/g-sd00-755zOW3am.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367257860460015616/pu/img/g-sd00-755zOW3am.jpg

2021-03-03T23:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367254390252343296

@asddataking How do you know?

2021-03-03T23:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367254228549312514

@boggled102 @Abducted_Cow By "uneven surface" I mean a slope. Most of the differences here are to do with perspective. Like here's three shadows on a flat surface. They are all parallel, but the wide angle of the camera makes it look like different directions. Non-flat ground adds a bit to this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evl2PzpUUAQuXGR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evl2PzpUUAQuXGR.jpg
2021-03-03T23:11:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367251411575087108
@asddataking The FAA says flares. Sure looks like them.

2021-03-03T22:41:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367243837777747968
@prentic4 @TheProjectUnity I do not profit from it, and the skeptic community really isn't that interested in UFOs.

2021-03-03T22:06:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367235071862743040
@PostDisclosure @strategic_doubt @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity You'd have to pose a more specific hypothesis if you want me to hang my hat on a number.

2021-03-03T22:03:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367234202635096064
@papercrane111 @TheProjectUnity And there's a more in-depth discussion here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Le7Fqbsrrm8

2021-03-03T22:00:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367233533324926977
@papercrane111 @TheProjectUnity I have indeed. A good place to start is:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2021-03-03T21:51:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367231260125360129
@strategic_doubt @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity And odds I give are hugely ballpark ad-hoc conversational numbers. It's a mistake to try to pin down exact numbers for unknown probabilities.

2021-03-03T21:50:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367230985717256192
@conorowens94 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity Just read my tweets in a British accent, they will seem much nicer :)

2021-03-03T21:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367230680522911746

@rjtklein @FHBBiermann I plead Twitter! :)

I'm not a member of the project team. I'm just a debunker of conspiracy theories, like chemtrails. I saw this as a potential source of misinformation.

2021-03-03T21:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367230003323105283

@AdobeCare The video was an old (2007) mpg file I had loaded into Quicktime Player 10.5, trimmed, and then saved, which created a .mov file. The original file can be loaded, the new clip cannot.

2021-03-03T21:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367228761398124546

@AdobeCare 22.2.0 on MacOS 11.2.2 Video is on the main internal boot drive of an iMac Pro. Here's a link to the actual file, it's small.

After Effects give two more useful errors.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/f4-2007%20Rolls.mov

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evle_4FUUAQhNn4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evle_4FUUAQhNn4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvlewqOUUAENhss.jpg

2021-03-03T21:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367218828657106946

@rjtklein @FHBBiermann Correct. My concern is that people would see tweets (or later media stories) about the experiment having "risks of catastrophic consequences", combined with a photo of a volcano, and assume the experiment entailed physical risk.

I was not saying the Saami letter was knee-jerk.

2021-03-03T20:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367217473548734466

@FHBBiermann @rjtklein I'm not. As I said, they raise some very valid concerns.

My issue here is framing the experiment itself as if it will have some actual immediate physical effect. The open letter did not do that. The press release photo (and your tweet) would likely lead to misunderstanding

---

2021-03-03T20:52:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367216398343106562
@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity The info I shared literally shows him screwing up - thinking it was below him when it was above him.

2021-03-03T20:51:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367216085666177024
@human_wavfunctn @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein The apparent sideways motion is parallax from the following jet, which is flying at an angle to the GImbal jet. It banks around to face it, which is why the motion stops.

2021-03-03T20:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367215138055483394
@Bhima_Jenkins @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Please watch this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2021-03-03T20:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367214676807884800
@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity What warning signs? The only mistake he'd have to make would be looking in the wrong place, and then not slewing and/or zooming out the FLIR

It seems like he initially thought it was below him, or coaltitude. It was actually above him. -5 degrees. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvlSdMTVoAAzh_t.jpg

---

cap. They flew at 20,000 feet and were flying a max endurance profile at approximately 300 knots ground speed.

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvlSdMTVoAAzh_t.jpg

2021-03-03T20:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367213095773335552

@Bhima_Jenkins @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Planes do though. And so you get parallax.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2021-03-03T20:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367212419961942016

@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity That other person is silent, AFAIK.

How would his plane have stopped him screwing up? It's not some AI doing everything for him.

2021-03-03T20:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367212134828892166

@cryptokeeper198 @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity I don't have a strong belief that some UFOs being aliens is impossible. In fact, quite the opposite. I think that while it's improbable, it's 100% possible.

2021-03-03T20:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367211284022796291

@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity My hypothesis here, with the video, is that it's a military plane, identified just fine, and the only person who screwed up was Underwood.

Like the Chilean case.

2021-03-03T20:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367210483661479936

@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity In the case of FLIR1, it's an optical lock, it does not return range.

We don't have a good account of Underwood's discussion with the ship, or how he tried to resolve things.

2021-03-03T20:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367208751195824130

@rjtklein Of course not, and I think that the SCoPEx team would be happy to both discuss them with concerned groups, and refer them to earlier work on those subjects. My main issue here is that "Biermann's tweet and link makes it sound like SCoPEx is a volcano in Sweden"

2021-03-03T20:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367207378588864512

@cryptokeeper198 @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity I don't see any good evidence it happened, nor any evidence of widespread space-travel in our galaxy.

2021-03-03T20:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367207079304335360

@hughespj1 @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity How many screwups? I'm assuming everything is working, except Underwood can't get a lock. Points his camera in a rough direction, and locks on to a F/A-18 20-odd miles away. Underwood would have to misjudge the distance and/or altitude and think there's nothing there. What else?

2021-03-03T20:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367205043724677122

@rjtklein The open letter correctly identifies issues of Moral Hazard, Slippery Slope, and Governance. These are valid concerns that have been discussed in the geoengineering community for well over a decade.

But Biermanns tweet and link makes it sound like SCoPEx is a volcano in Sweden
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvlJR2TVgAMPaCk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvlJR2TVgAMPaCk.jpg

2021-03-03T19:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367201658367709186

@steven_nikolic @MadScientistPod @PostDisclosure @TheProjectUnity You think I'm emotional?

2021-03-03T19:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367201337201418240

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity About 99%, but I'd hesitate to place a bet as it only takes one counterexample.

Still, the lack of counterexamples is pretty telling.

Is an ionic wind lifter conventional technology?

2021-03-03T19:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367198929897414656

@BluebookFriends @MadScientistPod Some people are passionate about their beliefs and feel like I'm acting in bad faith, or with irredeemable bias, and attacking their beliefs and hindering progress in investigating related topics. It's understandable, but I'm really just trying to figure stuff out.

2021-03-03T19:40:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367198245630267392

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity Oh, I don't know. I'd say there's a small possibility that some time in the last 100,000 years some light in the sky might have been an alien spaceship. I don't think I'd confidently bet against that.

2021-03-03T19:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367197696855998464

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity It's my CE0 powers. I should hold a class!

2021-03-03T19:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367197406631133186

@MadScientistPod @steven_nikolic @PostDisclosure @TheProjectUnity I don't agree. More data will probably clear up many cases, but the Low Information Zone remains, filled with TFAs, OOFs, SFAs, and EWAs.

2021-03-03T19:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367196723827761152

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity For those videos, those are my best guesses. Not for the Nimitz account, just Underwood's video.

2021-03-03T19:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367196364229156873

@ryan_ha @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity I don't acknowledge that, because it's an overly-broad generalization of poorly evidenced cases where things are generally just unidentified. Pick one the USG says is "truly strange"

2021-03-03T19:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367195649817473028

@andyburns1966 @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein I think the most likely thing is that he misjudged the size of something like a target balloon or a drone. But I'm not at all sure.

2021-03-03T19:29:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367195410368847873

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity Depends on what you mean by extreme. I don't think there's 1000g craft or anti-gravity.

The issue is rather vaguely defined. I prefer to ask if there's good evidence of more specific things.

2021-03-03T19:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367193714595045376

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @TheProjectUnity Huh? I'm 100% sure that some UFOs are something anomalous.

I'm 99.9999% sure that none of them are aliens. But they might be.

2021-03-03T19:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367192999407456256

@MadScientistPod Works for me.

2021-03-03T19:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367189531074588673

@MadScientistPod Pfft, I can't vote for myself!

What's the score? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evk7jHkVIAEZmOP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evk7jHkVIAEZmOP.jpg

2021-03-03T19:03:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367188870714990594

Circular error message from @Photoshop http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evk6yLJVcAItRPQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Evk6yLJVcAItRPQ.jpg

2021-03-03T18:47:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367184778928136193

@Abducted_Cow If you have synchronized photos, yes.

2021-03-03T18:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367183308027613184

@boggled102 @Abducted_Cow Shadows are parallel when the surface is flat.

Parallel lines converge.

Uneven surface makes the shadows not parallel.

Combination of the above.

Same on the Moon.

2021-03-03T18:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367182591187513344

@nic_barnes4 @darrenwinters @discernment_dr I've debunked some specific claims that people have made about the video - like GOFAST going fast, etc.

2021-03-03T18:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367176483224002561

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @hughespj1 It only requires a mistake on Underwood's part. Nobody else need be confused at that point. All planes would be accounted for.

2021-03-03T17:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367163013891940359

@tomdelonge A skateboarding game? Awesome!

2021-03-03T17:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367159893258477571

@WilsonStraiph @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein 1. What is an accurate description of the issue?

2. What is the best evidence supporting the existence of advanced technology, if any?

3. What is being done about it?

2021-03-03T17:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367158852752269312

@DavidSoulsby11 @cmnit This is why research is needed.

2021-03-03T17:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367158585474441216

@Abducted_Cow It's old. Probably a glitch as it does not appear in another photo taken at the same time. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mars-black-speck-ufo-sol-688-glitch.11424/

2021-03-03T16:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367157487728664577

@ryan_ha Is there one hi-fidelity civilian video you think is legit?

2021-03-03T16:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367156836424503298

@ryan_ha @discernment_dr It would certainly raise concerns. But it sure would be interesting.

Most likely we'll discover life that is far away (and long ago). But, theoretically, the motherships might arrive at any moment!

2021-03-03T16:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367156031256584192

@ryan_ha Everything is in the realm of possibility.

Maybe, someone, is concerned flights adjacent to MOAs might record something classified. But, heck, planes have windows. Passengers have GPS-enabled 4K cameras, so you'd think they would have that covered already.

2021-03-03T16:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367154328750813185

@ryan_ha @discernment_dr I would prefer that we discover evidence of alien life. That would be so much more interesting!

Who would prefer otherwise?

2021-03-03T16:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367153789543669760

@cmnit I understand. However, my concern here is the framing of the experiment itself as having possibly catastrophic consequences.

2021-03-03T16:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367152505319084032
@Oswald1160 @human_wavfunctn @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein It's also not the exhaust in the sense of looking at a cone of hot gasses behind the plane. It's looking UP the tailpipe into the very hottest part of the jet. Like in the first few seconds of this FLIR vide.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367152409919692806/pu/img/2xtKlzYySl2peoXL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1367152409919692806/pu/img/2xtKlzYySl2peoXL.jpg
2021-03-03T16:26:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367149296743718914
SCoPEx is much misunderstood, and people really should read the project description in full before having a knee-jerk reaction.

https://www.keutschgroup.com/scopex

2021-03-03T16:23:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367148752008478731
The first SCoPEx test flight will do nothing. Later tests may spray a few pounds of essentially harmless dust. It raises no local "risks of catastrophic consequences"

As the first physical atmospheric SRM test it does raise big global questions. But this test won't damage Sweden https://twitter.com/FHBBiermann/status/1367133877194665989

2021-03-03T16:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1367146732929183746
@discernment_dr It is unresolved. But GOFAST isn't going fast. GIMBAL is not rotating. FLIR1 makes no sudden moves.

Those are things that can be analyzed and resolved, and things that many UFO boosters have

consistently failed to engage on.

2021-03-03T16:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367146168577236993

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein That's what someone who thinks I am wrong would say.

It's not helpful.

What you need to do, if you are so concerned, is clearly demonstrates why I'm wrong.

2021-03-03T16:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367145842088448007

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @hughespj1 "couldnâ□□t be a jet because it would have been identified" is exactly the thing that CEFAA said, after 2 years of research by experts. They were wrong.

2021-03-03T16:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367145554220752899

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @hughespj1 PJ barely mentioned the Gimbal incident.

He said FLIR1 couldn't be a jet. I say radar only identifies something on video if radar and video are both looking at the same thing, in the same volume of air.

The Chilean Navy UFO was a plane that was on radar, just further away

---

2021-03-03T16:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367143187052044291

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Quite the contrary.

2021-03-03T16:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367143107221811203

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein I'm not changing the subject. I'm asking you what expert "tore apart" the Gimbal rotating glare hypothesis, and where is their explanation.

2021-03-03T16:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367142776647770119

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity What exactly do you think I have ignored?

I've responded IN GREAT DEPTH to all objections raised, from all quarters.

2021-03-03T15:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367142568085970946

@twinnyter81 @zcichy @PostDisclosure No, not at all. I can demonstrate what they are not (moving fast, rotating, saucer-shaped, rapidly accelerating) and give likely hypotheses

(balloon, jet glare, distant plane) - but that's not a 100% identification.

The Military might have a similar position.

2021-03-03T15:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367141835919945728

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Only if they actually explain what I got wrong.

Who explained it?

2021-03-03T15:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367141696417370114

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Really, so can you point to an explanation of what I got wrong in the Gimbal analysis?

2021-03-03T15:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367139167788929030

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein I understand optics and image processing.

What did I get wrong in the Gimbal analysis? Be specific. Not â□□an expert said soâ□□

2021-03-03T15:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367138712614703105

@benjimaan22 @FourPhilly @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein @hughespj1 He did not.

2021-03-03T15:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367138531429158915

@GeezerSqueezer @TheProjectUnity @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @EricRWeinstein Harm? I analyze videos. Nobody has demonstrated my analysis is wrong. Where is the harm?

People dislike the implications of prime evidence being shown to be worthless. But thatâ□□s not my problem.

2021-03-03T15:37:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367137075334578177

@PostJawn @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Iâ□□m not joking.

2021-03-03T15:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367136577130958849

@konumpozitif @ufoofinterest Nice example

2021-03-03T15:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367136289410064388

@GeezerSqueezer @laniakeaearth @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein He didnâ□□t talk to me, and did not answer my objections. He did not seem to understand the issue.

2021-03-03T15:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367136011856146437

@PostJawn @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Which bit? Pick a video.

2021-03-03T15:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367135621920088072

@KarlSaltzman @TheZignal @TheProjectUnity @ExploreSCU So he saw a black asymmetric object?

2021-03-03T15:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367135256474644483

@FourPhilly @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein No, the camera rotates (gimbal limits), causing the horizon to rotate relative to the glare. A derotation mechanism adjusts the horizon to be stable, which the causes the glare to appear to rotate.

2021-03-03T15:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367134365205979139

@laniakeaearth @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein The object (probably a balloon) in GoFast was tracked by radar. You can see an accurately changing RNG indicator.

2021-03-03T15:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367133568871268353

@AZColt @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Which video? GoFast isnâ□□t moving fast, thatâ□□s a parallax illusion. Itâ□□s probably a balloon.

2021-03-03T15:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1367132984386543620

@bobofango86 Not really. I think they are human.

2021-03-03T06:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366991941355806720

@zcichy @PostDisclosure I don't know. Possibly because they can't 100% identify what they are.

2021-03-03T05:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366991642759032834

@human_wavfunctn @GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Why do you think it "hovered"?

2021-03-03T04:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366972063831916545

@KarlSaltzman @TheZignal @TheProjectUnity @ExploreSCU How could he tell?

2021-03-03T01:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366925371677974529

@KarlSaltzman @TheZignal @TheProjectUnity @ExploreSCU Fravor did not take this video. Underwood did, and he did not see the object. I very much doubt it was the same object.

2021-03-02T19:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366829439686832129

@Josef_baum @LitFutureOne @PostDisclosure It's solved based on the data fitting the observations.

IB3860 was verifiable in all the positions in the video at the same time, moving at the right speed, and with the IR glare the right shape and size.

Is your sole objection to this a lack of "official" pronouncement?

2021-03-02T17:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366804700255776768

@Josef_baum @LitFutureOne @PostDisclosure Have you not looked into the Chilean case before? It was conclusively solved three years ago.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvfdlTfVcAAm9YN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvfdlTfVcAAm9YN.jpg

2021-03-02T16:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366793281896505344

@Josef_baum @LitFutureOne @PostDisclosure Flight IB6830 was found to be in the same place,

at the same time using ADS-B data.

I don't know if CEFAA admitted this, but they (via Leslie Kean) trumpeted the "genuine unknown" aspect just before it was solved.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-03-02T16:02:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366780983584780289

@Josef_baum @LitFutureOne @PostDisclosure We know that is possible, because it has happened before with the Chilean Navy video.

2021-03-02T12:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366733982734278656

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure My stance is to examine claims of evidence and see if they hold up.

2021-03-02T12:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366731662042697732

@Josef_baum @LitFutureOne @PostDisclosure Try me.

2021-03-02T12:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366731404197830661

@ZaineMichael1 @sciencefandom @PostDisclosure The main difference would be that the tailcam is wide-angle visible light footage. The Navy footage is super zoom, B+W, mostly IR.

More individual differences would depend on what is there.

2021-03-02T05:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366622915182006273

@JiMbO_sLiCe1432 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis So you're claiming that all members of the scientific community know that there's ET tech flying around up there.

What about the scientists who agree with the skeptics? Like, most of them.

2021-03-02T01:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366561904307490822

@JudgeKowalski @PostDisclosure Iâ□□ll ask interesting questions

---

2021-03-01T23:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366527626240663554

@ThorntonVallery @1loufo @cheatf82 @PostDisclosure What's the most inarguable example, in your opinion?

2021-03-01T23:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366527458132910081

@Parker_J_D Sorry, 5,000 feet. Same thing.

2021-03-01T23:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366527255011151873

@KarlSaltzman @TheProjectUnity @TheZignal @ExploreSCU Maybe radar glitches.

2021-03-01T23:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366526356834586625

@KarlSaltzman @TheZignal @TheProjectUnity @ExploreSCU No. He saw the same video I saw. He did not see the object with his own eyes.

2021-03-01T22:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366523281063337986

@KarlSaltzman @TheZignal @TheProjectUnity @ExploreSCU Sounds like he's referring to one of the apparent sudden movements I discuss in my video "No Sudden Moves"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-03-01T21:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366504980165791746

@Parker_J_D It was 6,000 feet above them. So no TCAS alert needed.

2021-03-01T21:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366500233996234752

@area51org @CKakadan @PostDisclosure Things that are unexplained have happened. But that's expected.

2021-03-01T21:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366500050512175105

@benjimaan22 @PostDisclosure This looks a bright light that is being distorted by something close to the camera. Her channel seems largely comprised of out-of-focus point lights. Need more info on the camera she uses to say more.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvbH1vMVoAQYQqd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvbH1vMVoAQYQqd.jpg
2021-03-01T20:18:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366482908534300676
@HeadRushV2 @evokb7 @disclosetv Public health is different from individual health.

They are not concerned about YOUR health. They are concerned about everyone's health because mass death is bad for the economy.

2021-03-01T20:16:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366482380517560322
@ufobelievers @donoteatbeans @PostDisclosure @DeptofDefense Nine seconds of terrible footage of three lights that resemble a plane's landing lights.

Now there are millions of 4K cameras, wouldn't you expect much more compelling footage than this?

2021-03-01T20:09:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366480753463234562
@HeadRushV2 @evokb7 @disclosetv No, I didn't.

If there are already travel restrictions, then immunity certifications represent a loosening of those restrictions.

It's not a new idea. I had to get a "yellow booklet" years ago when I went to Africa.

Smallpox used to be in it. Not needed any more.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eva25FlVcAAMlCJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eva25FlVcAAMlCJ.jpg
2021-03-01T18:45:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366459672937394177
@Alien_Scientist @PostDisclosure @AE911Truth I don't think that will happen. Let's regroup in 20 years.

2021-03-01T18:44:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366459286625218561
@JiMbO_sLiCe1432 @JackSarfatti @PostDisclosure Sure, but there are also 3,000 engineers and architects who thought the World Trade Center was demolished with pre-planted explosives.

It's the evidence that's important. Get good evidence of advanced technology UFOs and you don't need your clique.

2021-03-01T18:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366458592014913539
@LaserStronghold @gentlemen_my @RyanDBledsoe @tomdelonge It's just a low qaulity photo, taken while walking. Lots of motion blur. Very dark.

Probably he just looked at it later and misremembered who was standing where.

People avoid getting into such obvious nonsense too deeply, because it rapidly becomes inappropriate.

2021-03-01T18:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366457779796369408
@Alien_Scientist @PostDisclosure @AE911Truth Of course, AE911Truth has more followers than me. They represent a really popular conspiracy theory and spend about $500,000 a year essentially on PR.

Truth isn't based on how many followers you have.

2021-03-01T18:33:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366456520368562177
@Alien_Scientist @JackSarfatti @PostDisclosure I can wait.

2021-03-01T18:32:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366456406245662720
@b5t1an Exactly. That's her mother.

2021-03-01T18:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366455537425022977
@Alien_Scientist @PostDisclosure What do you mean by "official dogma" - I was questioning a lot of Trump's dogma, back when he was an official.

2021-03-01T18:28:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366455239843348480
@donoteatbeans @PostDisclosure @DeptofDefense Yes, I disagree that press release is remarkable.

2021-03-01T18:26:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366454723318931457
@b5t1an I don't really see the point there. Maybe if the actual original was available I could explain the jpeg compression blocks. But it really rests on his claim that nobody was there. A common false claim in ghost photos.

2021-03-01T18:22:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366453764505235458
@JackSarfatti @PostDisclosure Maybe you think you know, Jack. But the lack of acclaim from the scientific community regarding your pages of equations would perhaps indicate otherwise.

2021-03-01T18:17:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366452511075225600
@donoteatbeans @PostDisclosure @DeptofDefense How seriously is it being taken?

I don't see a response above what you would expect from understandable concerns about drone incursions, potential new technology, and occasional pilot failures to identify aircraft.

What is this "it" that you think is being taken seriously?

2021-03-01T18:14:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1366451891178143744
@SingletrackAdam @PostDisclosure He's enthusiastic. It's not a problem unless you are in a

hurry.

2021-03-01T18:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366449821729497089

Looks like we've reached the "we are past the stage of debating" stage of debate.

Essentially declaring victory, and asserting that the problem is just PR.

Sorry @PostDisclosure, you still need evidence that stands up to the tests of skeptical inquiry.
https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1366430953850236931

2021-03-01T17:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366439923847360519

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure Maybe he did.

2021-03-01T17:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366438630693081092

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure And that's why were are in this situation. A handy wall of secrecy allows boundless speculation.

But the actual available evidence is unconvincing.

2021-03-01T17:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366438351625023490

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure He thought he was tracking glitches, until Fravor convinced him otherwise.

2021-03-01T15:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366415849347715074

@prisoner646 Weird? It seems normal to me. Celebrity amplified nonsense. Happens all the time.
It's maybe weird in the sense that he should know better if he was genuinely interested in the science. But TTSA is an entertainment company now.
It's SOP with Tom.

2021-03-01T15:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366412229935947777

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure No, that was a "sounds highly implausible, because that's the type of thing that would trigger a nuclear war, why don't you see that?" look.

The implication here necessitated other things (like DEFCON-1). The absence of that evidence IS evidence of absence.

2021-03-01T14:55:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366401721405038593

@sakirashinken1 @Neil_Bower @awestruckt @LueElizondo @elonmusk You'd also have to prove that it was deliberately designed to do that and that it was beyond current human

technology to create.

2021-03-01T05:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366254257951805443

@GeezerSqueezer @Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein I think Gimbal and FLIR1 (Nimitz) are jets. I think GoFast is a balloon.

2021-03-01T01:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366193154127355904

@stuartdavis Sigh. Everyone misread the question.

2021-03-01T01:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366192946232520706

@Neil_Bower @awestruckt @LueElizondo @elonmusk Triangulated video from multiple sources. Or similar with other sensing technology. Or lab tests on exotic material.

2021-02-28T23:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366163529615597573

@awestruckt @LueElizondo @elonmusk If you have unambiguous confirmation of groundbreaking technology then you won't need me to investigate. There will be thousands of more qualified people and billions of dollars of military and industry interest. Not to mention the media.

2021-02-28T23:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366162867615981568

@uFo_dis_ci_ple @SethShostak @michaelshermer @theKarening @Alien_Scientist Seems a bit vague. Surely the only response you'll get is "hey, wait for the report come out in 180-X days"

2021-02-28T23:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366162583724560386

@gentlemen_my @RyanDBledsoe @tomdelonge Ah right. I've seen that before. Dark photo of what looks like a person, most likely a person.

2021-02-28T22:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366160953637695488

@RyanDBledsoe @tomdelonge What does the original photo look like?

2021-02-28T22:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366154126174740482

@prisoner646 More recent.
https://www.reddit.com/r/AbandonedPorn/comments/i5gv9o/hell_house_altar_a_ruin_of_the_abandoned/

2021-02-28T22:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366153657813663744

@prisoner646 https://www.atlasobscura.com/places/st-mary-s-college

---

2021-02-28T21:27:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1366137995078766592

@danieldennett So is deterministic chaos inaccessibly deterministic? i.e. so complex as to be

unmeasurable and/or incomputable.

2021-02-28T06:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365910687382310912

@Josephu38701463 Maybe since they are too far away to be recognized, they are not close enough to be a navigation hazard.

2021-02-28T04:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365886216554471428

@discernment_dr @TxUFOSightings A lot of stuff is simply secret by default.

2021-02-28T04:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365879817875283971

@steven_dor @benjimaan22 @projecthumanfm @LueElizondo @NathanathaN Yes, and podcast.

2021-02-28T01:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365837779138043905

@DericKnight What about the purely visual sightings? If the pilot can see it, then you can also video it.

2021-02-28T00:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365818006660517888

@PMFight @SicCoP1 Maybe @SkyHub10 can do an aviation version with automated AI UAP detection.

2021-02-28T00:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365817258958749698

@_Desmoden @benjimaan22 @projecthumanfm @LueElizondo @NathanathaN In 4K :)

2021-02-28T00:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365815774967853057

@benjimaan22 @projecthumanfm @LueElizondo @NathanathaN I will. I'm going to interview Luis in a couple of weeks.

2021-02-28T00:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365815491235745795

@prisoner646 @SicCoP1 I'm pretty sure I personally cannot do that. But I'd certainly support it - even though I predict the UFOs will remain Too Far Away.

2021-02-28T00:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365815043808395266

@AdmiralQuality @TUSSCOMMANDER And yet there are still reports from pilots with no video.

The question here is if the evidence of a need to study UAP sightings justifies such a thing. I don't think it does. Other people do.

2021-02-27T23:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365813756387749889

@IvanHeadless @SicCoP1 But to get there you need evidence that there's a need.

2021-02-27T23:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365813240500903937

@SicCoP1 I would like to see it happen, but I personally don't think it's justifiable.

However, I think that if people argue that UAPs are a significant problem that needs studying, then they should also argue for 4K plane dashcams.

2021-02-27T23:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365811981442113536

@alpha_check @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Did they say it was the ONLY reason why it would show 99.9?

2021-02-27T23:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365810249781846016

@AdmiralQuality @gummibear737 Yes they are - understandably so as it's difficult to do with the precision needed. So automated hard data collection would be great.

I don't understand why you are arguing against more data.

2021-02-27T23:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365809913356640256

@AdmiralQuality @PostDisclosure Yes, he's standing right there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvRU1KsVgAAYUus.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvRU1KsVgAAYUus.jpg

2021-02-27T23:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365809541196124160

@AdmiralQuality @PostDisclosure UFO Jesus, Chris Mellon, etc.

2021-02-27T23:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365809154573561856

@AdmiralQuality @gummibear737 It would get a significant percentage.

The key things it would give you would be a precise time, location, and heading of the observation. If a good camera is used then it should show what the pilot sees - like a "cylinder" or whatever.

2021-02-27T23:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365808322855641089

@boggled102 Reaction time. Not seeing it until it's too late. Missing several seconds of an encounter.

But why not both? Give the pilot an instant-on zoomable camera as well - or video recording binoculars or suchlike.

2021-02-27T23:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365807479301439489

@ExoExplorer3 Not that springs to mind. Are you suggesting it's probably not worth it, as UAPs are not really a problem?

The thing is, lots of people think they ARE a problem, and that we need to urgently study them.

So I wonder if they would support the idea.

2021-02-27T23:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365806941243514883

@AdmiralQuality @PostDisclosure I'm sure it's not a new idea. But it seems to be one that should be much more prominent if UAPs are actually the problem people suggest.

2021-02-27T23:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365806665900023811

@AdmiralQuality Sightings of UAPs are generally not recorded on radar.

Is there a reason for anyone interested in UAPs to be opposed to this idea?

2021-02-27T23:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365805971734372352

@PhyreGoldMAJIC Black boxes don't record video.

2021-02-27T23:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365805726187200513

@AdmiralQuality @gummibear737 The point here is that pilots report VISUAL observations that don't get recorded in other ways (like the AA2292 sighting).

So we need a visual recording. Video. Dash Cam.

If we have that, AA2292 would have either been solved, or vastly more interesting.

2021-02-27T23:01:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365799152274210816
Idea: If UAPs or other in-air near misses are a danger to air traffic, then why not require all planes be fitted with GPS enabled dash-cams so all encounters get recorded in HD video with time, heading, and location data?

2021-02-27T22:51:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365796662845657091
@alpha_check @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Jamming is not the only reason you'd get 99.9 on the RNG indicator. That just means it was unable to get the range. Unable to hack it, as Underwood said.

2021-02-27T22:49:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365796280635510787
@UFODoctor Shame it was too far away to tell.

2021-02-27T22:48:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365795885779619841
@philo_sofa @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Here's his quote in full.

So he's also saying mixed messages from the Pentagon open the door to stupid theories.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvRHzUAVoAEfAJ9.jpg

EW **Eric Weinstein** 13:16

I mean, the key question would be, we've had the Pentagon release multiple videos of strange UFOs. That undermined a lot of us, I just think it's also really fascinating to talk about the fact that those of us who were trained to call BS on all of this stuff, just had the rug pulled out from under us by the Pentagon choosing to do this. And you know what the effect of that is, you've opened the door for every stupid theory, known to man, my aunt saw a ghost. Okay, now we're gonna have to listen to what? "Hey, the Pentagon used to deny it, then it turned out there were UFOs dude!" Whoever is in charge of lying to the public. They need a cost function that incorporates the damage and trust because I held this line that this was all garbage and all BS. Now, I don't know what to think.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvRHzUAVoAEfAJ9.jpg
2021-02-27T21:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365783446233116673
@benjimaan22 @Deardenpad @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Yet he's unable to explain how he's figured this out. Hiding behind the secrecy wall.

2021-02-27T21:37:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365778016710758403
@alpha_check @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Underwood originally said it was not jamming.

And there's a bunch of stuff he says that does not add up - like how he "lost" the target, when he needed to just step back to WFOV. And how he's convinced it broke the lock, when it's

apparent it was his camera change.

2021-02-27T21:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365775292250251266

@alpha_check @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Here you go.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-02-27T21:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365775130194972672

@Deardenpad @benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein He says the physic and the facts lead to the craft in the video being off-world. This is demonstrably false.

2021-02-27T21:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365773834381520896

@alpha_check @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein But not inexplicable. There's are mundane plausible explanations that fit the data.

2021-02-27T21:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365773714244079619

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Davis is wrong.

2021-02-27T21:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365772008244473856

@flarkey @TheProjectUnity @vandelay2020 @ET_Kowai @EricRWeinstein Consider me a debullshitter

---

2021-02-27T21:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365771706548191233

@ET_Kowai @vandelay2020 @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Someone ask @TheProjectUnity what they cut out of the middle of that quote, and why. I would, but they blocked me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvQx6d0VkAApTjV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvQx6d0VkAApTjV.jpg
2021-02-27T20:50:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365766226807382016
@TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein The idea that he is communicating here is that that he thinks the videos are inexplicable UFOs, so he's confused about what the message is from the government.

He's just confused, basically, because he's not studied the videos much. He's fairly smart, just not done it.

2021-02-27T20:45:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365765052851130369
@vandelay2020 @ET_Kowai @TheProjectUnity @EricRWeinstein Truth is exactly what I am chasing. That's what skeptics do: ask for and examine evidence to move understanding closer to the truth.

2021-02-27T20:44:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365764718699319296
@Liamg80 Distant short sunlit contrails.

2021-02-27T20:42:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365764228762664960
@HarryRaggett "something" covers a vast range of possibilities.

2021-02-27T17:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365715695309254657

@hovebooks @SocialistFT Not all of them. Some of the drawings were done for Mack.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvP-_U-UYAEIeUo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvP-_U-UYAEIeUo.jpg

2021-02-27T17:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365714003729653760

@knightsabers01 @crackedscience It says "leam," which is the occasional unfortunate OCR version of "learn"

2021-02-27T17:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365713499310104577

@knightsabers01 @crackedscience Getting past value rigidity is something that really only comes with experience. You can talk about it, but you've got to actually do it.

Checklists are not a bad thing though. "Premature diagnosis" should be something that we constantly check for - keeping the door open.

2021-02-27T16:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365701940789735424

I read "Zen and Art of Motorcycle Maintenance" as a teen. Mentioning it to @crackedscience reminds me of the huge influence it had on how I debug & debunk. "Peace of mind", but also the notions of "gumption", "value rigidity", and "premature diagnosis"
https://www.mcgill.ca/oss/article/critical-thinking-pseudoscience/zen-and-art-talking-conspiracy-theorists http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvPygJGVEAA1gv0.jpg

---



Well, those were the commonest setbacks I can think of: out-of-sequence reassembly, intermittent failure and parts problems. But although setbacks are the commonest gumption traps they're only the external cause of gumption loss. Time now to consider some of the internal gumption traps that operate at the same time.

As the course description of gumptionology indicated, this internal part of the field can be broken down into three main types of internal gumption traps: those that block affective understanding, called "value traps"; those that block cognitive understanding, called "truth traps"; and those that block psychomotor behavior, called "muscle traps." The value traps are by far the largest and the most dangerous group.

Of the value traps, the most widespread and pernicious is value rigidity. This is an inability to revalue what one sees because of commitment to previous values. In motorcycle maintenance, you must rediscover what you do as you go. Rigid values make this impossible.

The typical situation is that the motorcycle doesn't work. The facts are there but you don't see them. You're looking right at them, but they don't yet have enough value. This is what Phaedrus was talking about. Quality, value, creates the subjects and objects of the world. The facts do not exist until value has created them. If your values are rigid you can't really leam new facts.

This often shows up in premature diagnosis, when you're sure you know what the trouble is, and then when it isn't, you're stuck. Then you've got to find some new clues, but before you can find them you've got to clear your head of old opinions. If you're plagued with value rigidity you can fail to see the real answer even when it's staring you right in the face because you can't see the new answer's importance.

The birth of a new fact is always a wonderful thing to experience. It's dualistically called a "discovery" because of the presumption that it has an existence independent of anyone's awareness of it. When it comes along, it always has, at first, a low value. Then, depending on the value-looseness of the observer and the potential quality of the fact, its value increases, either slowly or rapidly, or the value wanes and the fact disappears.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvPygJGVEAA1gv0.jpg

2021-02-27T15:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365690153168109569

RT @crackedscience: How to talk to the conspiracy believers in your life. My latest for the @McGillOSS.

Special thanks to @MickWest for spâ□¦

2021-02-27T01:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365471311749804032

@HarryRaggett I donâ□□t think it was aliens.

2021-02-26T23:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365443548783415300

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 You can still compare all the accounts.

2021-02-26T22:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365429754925518848

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 The Mack Institute, and Nickerson, have been working with the footage since at least 2007.

It seems like the science of the matter isn't really a priority.

https://web.archive.org/web/20070208121014/http://www.johnemackinstitute.org/center/center_news.asp?id=314 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvL7HI-UcAAP2Kb.jpg

---



The John E Mack Institute

Exploring the frontiers of human experience through innovative research, education and clinical initiatives

HOME INITIATIVES ARTICLES STORE FORUMS LINKS DONATE

Ariel School UFO Sighting Video: Production Underway in 2007

In 1994, Harvard Professor of Psychiatry John E. Mack, M.D. traveled to Zimbabwe with research associate Dominique Callimanopulos to study one of the most extraordinary sightings of a UFO in recent times. At the Ariel School in Ruwa, Zimbabwe, sixty-two children between the ages of eight and twelve reported seeing a UFO and “strange beings” during morning recess.

In 2007, on behalf of the John E. Mack Institute, Dominique Callimanopulos will undertake production of a non-commercial, edited video program presenting John Mack’s interviews with the schoolchildren and faculty.

These interviews constitute one of the most impressive UFO sighting and alien encounter cases in recent history, and serve as an exemplary demonstration of the interviewing skills and sensitivity John Mack exercised when working with people reporting extraordinary experiences.

The goal of producing this video program is to preserve the historical record of this compelling case in a format that can be accessible to students and educators.

The program is being made from original Betacam footage that was shot between November 28 and December 6, 1994.

Randy Nickerson, Editor
Randy Nickerson has worked on both sides of the camera, as an actor and a filmmaker. He has a keen interest in the human condition and trauma recovery. His most recent work includes a film, titled "A Silence in the Heard", about the significance of listening and silence on an interpersonal and global level. Randy is a graduate of the Institute of Core Energetics, an evolutionary body therapy training that integrates all aspects of humanity - the emotional, physical, intellectual, and spiritual. He is currently a faculty member at the institute and has a private practice in New York City. Randy is also a classical pianist and nature photographer.

ABOUT JEMI
- About JEMI
- JEMI Board
- JEMI Staff
- Contact
- Press Resources
- Academic Resources

JEMI INITIATIVES
- See All

REMEMBRANCE
- In Memory of John E. Mack, M.D. 1929-2004

NEWS & EVENTS
- Paul Bernstein Ph.D. to speak in NY Feb 28, 2007
- Ariel School UFO Sighting Video: Production Underway in 2007
- Glennys MacKay said to have afterlife contact with John Mack
- Dissertation on Dr. John Mack and "the role of the media in the social construction of scientific authority" published by Linda Billings, Ph.D.
- Susan Clancy's Word-List Experiment Replicated; Results Not Consistent with Clancy's Findings

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvL7HI-UcAAP2Kb.jpg

2021-02-26T20:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365393736545406977

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 He mentions the cases in "Passport to the Cosmos" but it's fragmentary.

Seems like the bulk of Mack's evidence has been weirdly tied up for over a decade with Randall Nickerson.

Why not just release all the evidence?

2021-02-26T18:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365362446899142661

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 It's not in Abduction.

2021-02-26T17:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365356955573829636

@ebrenner18 @TheKFCManagerr @SocialistFT Which side do you want to pick there?

2021-02-26T17:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365352785735151622

@SocialistFT @hovebooks I don't think he coached them exactly. But I think he certainly influenced their statements, their drawings, and hence their later recollections. Probably not intentionally.

2021-02-26T17:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365352393156616193

@hovebooks @SocialistFT It's relevant in two ways:

1) A good example of a fixed shared belief based on childhood illusions.

2) Nickerson is making a documentary and will present facts with a bias (probably unconscious), because of his imagined experience.

2021-02-26T17:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365351636651024387

@Politiolog @BradBurton http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EvK0EbSVoAEO9qs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EvK0EbSVoAEO9qs.jpg

2021-02-26T17:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365351517272739842

@KoryDub @Politiolog Same in thousands of photos. Dirt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EvKz5taVcAAdZBM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EvKz5taVcAAdZBM.jpg

2021-02-26T17:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365348541552496650

@SocialistFT @hovebooks Have a look at Nickerson's appearance on Oprah. Almost certainly hypnagogic hallucinations, but he convinced himself that they were real. His sister shares the conviction. He seeks out people to confirm it.

I think this is relevant.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E7tK65tccvI

2021-02-26T16:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365343077758500865

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 What is the title of his book on the case? I could not find one.

2021-02-26T16:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365335618193006596

@TheKFCManagerr @SocialistFT They can't even get the color straight.

2021-02-26T16:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365335453444939776

@DavidGaryEsp Differing accounts (and drawings) are evidence.

2021-02-26T16:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365335283021926407

@hovebooks @SocialistFT The variation in testimony is a significant burden. Why would two children say that it was striped with green, black, and silver. Why would some see a red man, but others see black and white?

2021-02-26T06:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365186110112980999

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 It could just have been a small thing, made big by all those adults asking them questions.

2021-02-26T05:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365173794017435651

@steven_nikolic @govols1181 Where are the original accounts?

2021-02-26T05:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365167714726125570

@govols1181 @steven_nikolic How many consistent accounts exist?
Where are those accounts?

2021-02-26T03:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365141705712824323

@steven_nikolic Because itâ□□s a memory of something they think happened.

2021-02-26T03:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365141472383619073

@conorowens94 Itâ□□s a false memory, varies by individual.

2021-02-26T03:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365139518488080385

@SocialistFT With lots of different descriptions and drawings from the kids?

2021-02-26T01:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365112930937675777

@BabbleAlien @anti_quark @Aviation_Intel It seems unlikely any of them would see anything, even if it was just the Lear Jet. It came in from the front, at a 35Â° angle, 6,000 feet overhead.

2021-02-26T01:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365112039023149060

Goalpost moving. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvHZ-32UYAYmWv9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvHZ-32UYAYmWv9.jpg

2021-02-26T01:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365111258848976897

@anti_quark @Aviation_Intel It was a UFO. "Cruise missile" just almost described the visual shape.

2021-02-26T01:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365108186319966208

@ET_Kowai That's not actually helping your case.

2021-02-25T23:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365087981623648259

@ET_Kowai They were not accurate. Some said black with long hair. Some said white, hairless. Some said a noise like a flute, some said no noise.

That's just what's on YouTube. Where are these 50 reports?

2021-02-25T22:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365064431437156354

@Prosey4 @foiaboi @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 It would show up if they looked in the right place.

2021-02-25T21:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365057736640262145

RT @ASlavitt: Nursing homes are the first to get vaccinated and if there is cause and effect here, this is very heartening.

From â¦@nytimeâ¦

2021-02-25T21:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365050118861320194

@ShepardAmbellas Old fake.

https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-biden-asleep-altered/fact-check-video-showing-joe-biden-falling-asleep-during-live-interview-is-manipulated-idUSKBN25S63S

2021-02-25T21:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365049582170693632

@Prosey4 @foiaboi @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 I know how radar and transponders work. ADS-B is how I found the Lear. Obviously, it would show up on screens. It was just not considered to be in the right place/time.

I think it's possible that the event happened earlier than the radio call to Center.

2021-02-25T20:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365041557749964804

@PlayaManhattan @Aviation_Intel Not true. The FBI investigates all kinds of in-air encounters and sighting. Examples: Drone activity near airports. Jet Pack Guy near LAX.

https://www.cbs17.com/news/north-carolina-news/fbi-investigating-illegal-drone-flying-at-4000-feet-near-charlottes-airport/

https://newsnowlosangeles.com/index.php/2020/09/02/jetpack-man-near-miss-over-los-angeles-airport-fbi-investigate/

2021-02-25T19:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365014572319469571

@DavegiudiceDave @STSMajesty @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure FAA said:
"A pilot reported seeing an object over New Mexico shortly after noon local time on Sunday, Feb. 21, 2021. FAA air traffic controllers did not see any object in the area on their radarscopes. "

2021-02-25T19:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1365013940929851394

@DavegiudiceDave @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure The FBI didn't really comment on it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvGA3LLVcAUpq8M.jpg

"The FBI is aware of the reported incident," spokesperson Frank Connor wrote in an email. "While our policy is to neither confirm nor deny investigations, the FBI works continuously with our federal, state, local, and tribal partners to share intelligence and protect the public. Anyone who is aware of suspicious or criminal activity should contact their local law enforcement agency or the FBI."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvGA3LLVcAUpq8M.jpg
2021-02-25T18:19:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365003481472401413
@Lucatosi88 @Aviation_Intel Sure, when it's up close. But sometimes they make mistakes.

While this was a close encounter, it did not get closer than five miles. And it was a small plane.

2021-02-25T18:18:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1365003166635286537
@eye_walking According to Tyler. But no, pilots do make mistakes like that.

The main issue here is at what time the actual sighting was.

2021-02-25T16:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364979684874756096
@gorskon https://lifehacker.com/stop-twitter-from-randomly-refreshing-your-feed-1839635753

2021-02-25T16:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364979266631344131
@SicCoP1 @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure I would agree that creating a false dichotomy is a problem.

But presenting one hypothesis does not mean that's the only non-extraordinary hypothesis. Ballon, exotic drone or experimental aircraft, and other things, are possible. The Lear jet is #1 on my list. Alien is not #2.

2021-02-25T16:38:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364978126422384641
@ET_Kowai Huh? People are correct in their reports all the time.

My worldview is the SOMETIMES people make mistakes.

I think everyone essentially shares this view.

2021-02-25T16:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364971488932077571
@discloseitnow I'm not an advocate for anything other than truth.

I'm not TRYING to push only mundane explanations, that's just what generally arises from the evidence.

So I'm not going to take some arbitrary position for fun. I'm going to call things as I see them based on the data.

2021-02-25T16:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364970871316754436

@SicCoP1 @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure How is "all possible terrestrial explanations" the same as "Nothing to see here folks?"

2021-02-25T16:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364970507507027968

@steven_dor @Borders750 @Flyingh43892139 By "not a mile" what are you referring to.

I've been quite clear about where the Lear jet was. It's pretty inarguable, as it's clearly defined by the ADS-B data from both planes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvFZQRcVIAAlKEc.jpg



The closest they get is about 33,000 feet horizontally, with a 5,000 feet vertical difference. Closer than the Zion misidentification (42,000 feet away, 15,000 feet vertical)

5,000 in 33,000 is looking about 9 degrees at the closest point, less before and after that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvFZQRcVIAAlKEc.jpg

2021-02-25T14:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364952298682777611

@LuAngeles @michaelshermer If nobody is interested in it being extraterrestrial, then why demand evidence that it is not?

It seems by your accusation of sides you are admitting an inherent interest in the extraterrestrial on "your" side.

Terrestrial is just the obvious default.

2021-02-25T14:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364951541430579213

@mark58329831 @MusicAndTruth Of course, you can also say something true that is backed by mistaken justification (an unjustified true belief). That's not important. The issue is why some people seem blind to the possibility that a false statement is not a lie if the person saying it believes it to be true.

2021-02-25T14:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364950958233559041

@mark58329831 @MusicAndTruth TBC, I wasn't looking for a word for the mistake. I was looking for a name for the fallacy of dividing statements into either true or lies.

This is not epistemologically complex (JTB quibbles not needed). We can quite practically divide statements into truth, lies, and mistakes.

2021-02-25T14:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364948950457876480

@mark58329831 @MusicAndTruth I use "mistake" instead of "lie" - but really this should be obvious.

The problem is I CONSTANTLY get responses to my "debunking" hypotheses along the lines of "so you think that X is lying."

Do some people actually consider mistaken beliefs to be lies?

2021-02-25T03:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364772786548269057

@bosma2002 Thatâ□□s the same think.

2021-02-25T02:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364765786909274115

One of the more common fallacies I see is the assumption that if someone is not saying the truth, they must be lying.

They can also be honest, but mistaken.

Is there a name for this?

2021-02-25T02:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364763038381867011

@WitnessCitizen @Wenger_Knows Yes, I know.

The question is what time was the sighting.

2021-02-25T01:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364753952764403716

@LewisHall11 @sjn0094 @JazzShaw @PostDisclosure It does show up, obviously. That's how I found it.

2021-02-25T01:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364741983273553925

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple no.

2021-02-25T00:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364741738225541123

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple I have none.

2021-02-25T00:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364741579164901376

@steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 Is there even a collection of transcripts?

2021-02-25T00:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364741373019054080

@steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 So basically nobody has all the accounts? Or even a good collection?

2021-02-25T00:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364741281147068420

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple I'm asking where they ALL are, not just a handful of kids being interviewed together.

One of the kids said the alien had long hair. Is that what they all say?

2021-02-25T00:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364740241051897857

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple Show me five interviews done with kids alone, before they have told their story in front of other kids.

2021-02-25T00:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364739870334152704

@steven_dor @uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 I've seen a few. Where's a collection that has all the interviews?

2021-02-25T00:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364739477000785921

@steven_dor @Sacfoodie916 Where is this testimony?
Where are the diagrams they drew?

2021-02-25T00:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364739235362738176

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor Where are these 60 accounts? Old and new please.

2021-02-25T00:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364739015673450496

@uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor Where are these interviews? Where are the drawings?

2021-02-25T00:47:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364738636445454338
@prentic4 They test them, didn't work.

2021-02-25T00:38:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364736486122295297
@uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor They interview the kids together. Investigation fail.

2021-02-25T00:36:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364735961532231680
@uFo_dis_ci_ple @Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor Believing in your memory does not mean it is true.

Where are all the original interviews?

2021-02-25T00:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364735537634938880
@steven_dor @Sacfoodie916 That's my #1 hypothesis.
#2 would be some kind of hoax, or combination or #1 and #2.

A distant #3 is aliens visited, the kids saw them in all kinds of different ways, and the aliens implanted thoughts in the kids heads that changed based on who they were talking to.

2021-02-25T00:29:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364734113601646592
@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor Some minor incident, kids making up stories that they half believe, then false memories being hammered home and refined by the investigators, ossified or dissipated over the years.

2021-02-25T00:15:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364730827007946755
@Dave8Lori I don't think it was a cruise missile. The pilot was simply using "like a cruise missile" to describe the shape. I'm showing that description could also fit a Lear Jet.

2021-02-24T23:58:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364726467570003969
@DerekWi72360779 Assuming it's close enough, yes.

2021-02-24T23:56:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364726034566799360
@DaveG78237831 That does not mean they are preordained to remain unexplained.

2021-02-24T23:55:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364725791087419396
@DaveG78237831 Often they are planes.

2021-02-24T23:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364725662829830144

@s_pect_re @hovebooks He said it looked like a cruise missile. The image I posted shows it has a similar-looking aspect ratio. Close enough.

2021-02-24T23:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364725420935979015

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor Yes, I also state that, regarding the claimed time of 12:19MT. My hypothesis is that the sighting was not at 12:19MT (because there was nothing in the sky that would work), but rather at 12:10MT.

2021-02-24T23:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364724886011138050

@uFo_dis_ci_ple @michaelshermer @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I'll be talking to Lue in a couple of weeks. Maybe Chris might also be interested in an interview?

2021-02-24T23:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364723907152941059

@of_skeptical @STSMajesty @zcichy @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure Because that has yet to be determined. A hypothesis is not a solution. It's a possible explanation. Needs more data (the sighting time)

2021-02-24T23:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364723310613782529

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor I've described the limits of my knowledge and acknowledged and discussed the issues with the Lear theory. If the sighting happened after 12:10 MT, then I don't have a plausible alternative hypothesis.

2021-02-24T23:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364722873709989888

@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor It creates discussion.

2021-02-24T23:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364722745091575810

@jeff5647a @Aviation_Intel About to when?

2021-02-24T23:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364722475230134275

@STSMajesty @zcichy @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure The FBI investigates things like the supposed Jet Pack guy in Los Angeles - things that might be dangers to planes, possibly terrorist things.

2021-02-24T23:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364720500283351051

@s_pect_re @hovebooks They are longer than a cruise missile, but the important thing would be the aspect ratio, not the literal length. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvB14GcVgAERFUe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvB14GcVgAERFUe.jpg
2021-02-24T23:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364720208003227652
@Sacfoodie916 @steven_dor I know the distance from A2292 to the Lear Jet, 33,000 horizontal, 5,000 vertical. Close than the Zion misidentification, but with a smaller plane, so it fits.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/post-246036 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvB1nKJVgAAMj5i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvB1nKJVgAAMj5i.jpg
2021-02-24T23:31:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364719649141624833
@zcichy @STSMajesty @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure Yes, I didn't see anything on my radarscopes (i.e http://planefinder.net and flightradar24) either, at 12:19 MT. The Lear hypothesis relies on the actual sighting being at 12:10 when it flew right in front of, and above, them at the right distance for visual confusion.

2021-02-24T23:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364719036051771392

RT @Heaney555: @MickWest I think your theory is correct after looking at the flight path in 3D mode.

(3D models not to scale!) https://t.câ¦

2021-02-24T23:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364717711855472640

@Aviation_Intel I think what's missing still here is the actual time of the incident. I've agreed for the start there was nothing notable (on ADS-B) there at 1:19 CST, (12:19 MST, local time). But what time was the actual sighting at?

2021-02-24T23:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364716773614841856

@STSMajesty @michaelshermer @PostDisclosure You are quoting Tyler there, not the FAA.

2021-02-24T22:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364710163391733760

@GUFONRadio @PostDisclosure How can you tell?

2021-02-24T22:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364709850349899779

@boucaneerpashio The time is UTC time, used by ATC, 1:19 CST is 13:19 CST +6 to get ATC gives 19:19. Lear crossover was 8-9 minutes earlier.

2021-02-24T22:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364708708849131521

@JeffYou69126219 @mbracemoore It's not the same. Zoom in.

2021-02-24T22:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364708439612481538

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi Or that they did not make the connection

---

2021-02-24T22:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364708283559251968

@GUFONRadio @PostDisclosure I said AA2292 made the report.

2021-02-24T22:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364704768841551872

@zcichy @HISTORY Make it so!

2021-02-24T22:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364702390960578560

@foiaboi @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 If I got to talk to them I would not be suggesting things, I'd be asking questions to get more data.

They are not here, so I'm working with the data I have.

2021-02-24T22:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364702038513254400

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi So the fact the nobody responded indicates ..... what?

2021-02-24T22:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364698845343440896

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi You're acting like there's been a comprehensive investigation. ATC did not even respond to him and he did not ask again. This all happened a few days ago.

2021-02-24T22:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364698586588454916

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi All we have: ""Do you have any targets up here? We just had something go right over the top of us - I hate to say this but it looked like a long cylindrical object that almost looked like a cruise missile type of thing - moving really fast right over the top of us." & no response

---

2021-02-24T21:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364695293917237252

@AdamFendrich @PostDisclosure There would, but ATC did not respond to him.

2021-02-24T21:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364694891951841282

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi I have not said otherwise.

2021-02-24T21:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364694781968871427

@Alan58585547 @NARCAP1 @hughespj1 If a plane is flying from London to San Francisco, and it gets diverted to, say, Dublin, then there will be vastly less flying time and it will have to get rid of the excess fuel before it can land. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBei3_VcAIwRpq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBei3_VcAIwRpq.jpg

2021-02-24T21:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364689349154009088

@PostDisclosure @conorowens94 @foiaboi Bad taste?

That ridiculous. I'm not mocking potential safety concerns. I'm proposing a likely explanation for a sighting, presenting evidence for it, and discussing the problems with the hypothesis.

2021-02-24T21:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364688086874001409

@conorowens94 @PostDisclosure @foiaboi Not the same thing at all. People throwing rocks are easily recognizable and known to happen.

I do not think proposing a potential explanation for a mysterious and unknown sighting will prevent people from reporting such sightings.

2021-02-24T21:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364681760341041156

@discernment_dr He hated to say it, but that's almost what it looked like.

2021-02-24T20:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364680969278529539

@conorowens94 @DavidPittelli1 He wasn't filing a report with ATC. He just asked them if there were targets. He got no response and did not seem to pursue it.

2021-02-24T20:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364679177551585282

@Borders750 @Flyingh43892139 @steven_dor You would think so. It was broadcasting ADSB and presumably squawking something.

In other cases, pilots misinterpret where the object is relative to them, so even though the plane is on the display, they eliminate it as being in the wrong place.

2021-02-24T20:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364678395775250433

@conorowens94 @DavidPittelli1 Why would that other pilot file a report with MUFON if he could not tell what it was?

2021-02-24T20:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364677626984501250

@ShepardAmbellas Grass spiders. There are a few kinds.

https://www.abchomeandcommercial.com/blog/grass-spiders-texas/

2021-02-24T20:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364676560108720128

@AFSUnidentified Sure, but sometimes they can't identify things that are actually just planes. This is something that is known to happen. Sometimes they report it to MUFON.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-near-zion-nation-park-another-plane.11097/

2021-02-24T20:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364676068158763010

@zcichy @PostDisclosure @michaelshermer Totes.

2021-02-24T20:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364675904006332417

@SicCoP1 Beats me.

What do you make of a cruise missile-shaped craft buzzing commercial jets?

Slight difficulty in accounting for something is not a good reason to throw it out in favor of something even more difficult to account for.

2021-02-24T20:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364675461867995140

@_Duforc_ Well, a Lear jet crossed over him a few minutes before he contacted ATC. Plus similar mistakes have been made before. So why not again?

2021-02-24T20:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364675104131620866

@GeezaNews How so? Has ATC weighed in, cross-correlated the account, and said it's a mystery?

No, all we have now is one pilot's brief query.

2021-02-24T20:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364674410469232641

@PostDisclosure @michaelshermer You just hope it is :)

2021-02-24T20:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364674138254704642

@AFSUnidentified Double spoiler. SOMETIMES they don't recognize them.

2021-02-24T20:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364673754865934342

@Heaney555 The closest it gets is about 33,000 feet horizontally, with a 5,000 feet vertical difference. So the steepest angle is 9Â° up. Not a lot.

But also not entirely consistent with "right over the top of us"

2021-02-24T20:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364668062109110273

@PostDisclosure It is unknown.

But one can also agree a Lear Jet is possible for a "cruise-missile-like" sighting if the distance is right.

2021-02-24T20:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364667681727672320

@KimJellen MUFON is just a big collection of random stuff, most of it useless crap. It's unfortunate there isn't a way of filtering cases for quality or updates to the cases when they are solved.

2021-02-24T20:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364666510824722436

@Flyingh43892139 @steven_dor That one was flying in the same direction and was further away. This one was coming it at 500 knots (fast for a commercial jet) and 37Â° off head-on.

2021-02-24T19:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364665540883537921

@PostJawn @steven_dor If it has been known to happen before, then how is it a reach?

2021-02-24T19:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364664143588904962

@steven_dor Like I said before. It's a known fact that sometimes pilots can't tell what something is at a distance.

Like this 737 reported to MUFON. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBCoekXUAABXBx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBCoekXUAABXBx.jpg
2021-02-24T19:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364663324940537856
@conorowens94 The entire account is just

"Do you have any targets up here? We just had something go right over the top of us - I hate to say this but it looked like a long cylindrical object that almost looked like a cruise missile type of thing - moving really fast right over the top of us."

2021-02-24T19:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364662671308591107
@JeremyUnidenti1 @TDBrigham It's the same photo. I just flipped it and brightened it to match the orientation and lighting of the Lear Jet.

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/d/d0/AGM-86_ALCM.JPEG

2021-02-24T19:43:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364662265614458883
@loveallaniamls At a certain distance, it's impossible to tell what it is, no matter how many times you have seen it before.

This TFA is a 737, a very common jet. The pilot did not even recognize it as a plane.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBA8MfVgAAodMm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBA8MfVgAAodMm.jpg

2021-02-24T19:40:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364661545293111296

@NarrativeResist The AGM-86 shown has a maximum speed of 478 knots. The Lear jet was travelling at 500 knots.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/AGM-86_ALCM http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBAXcdVEAEh_zC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvBAXcdVEAEh_zC.jpg

2021-02-24T19:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364660778742128642

@JeremyUnidenti1 @TDBrigham It's an information label.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvA_taAVoAEF4VL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvA_taAVoAEF4VL.jpg
2021-02-24T19:35:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364660359865401346
@steven_dor What was reported is " it looked like a long cylindrical object that almost looked like a cruise missile type of thing "

I demonstrated the at some distance a Lear Jet looks like a long cylindrical object that almost looks like a cruise missile type of thing

---

2021-02-24T19:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364657268097122305
@conorowens94 Maybe he didn't recognize it as a jet, because all he could tell was that it was "a long cylindrical object" - combine that with the unlikely (but actual) angled intercept and you've got something new.

Remember this was not identified as a jet either. But it was a common 737.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364657074160889860/pu/img/wilbJAzUX5zsARQd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364657074160889860/pu/img/wilbJAzUX5zsARQd.jpg
2021-02-24T18:55:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364650151239852032
@PoorbandTony @SicCoP1 I did forget the question mark, but I was presenting it as a possibility, not a solution.

2021-02-24T18:54:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364649976907767809
@Heaney555 What explanation do you think is more likely than a rare mistake.

2021-02-24T18:53:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364649745759621120
When does a Lear Jet look like a Cruise Missile?

When it's Too Far Away to tell the difference.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvA1stqUUAIdbkm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EvA1stqUUAIdbkm.jpg

2021-02-24T18:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364645027436138499

@UfoTimeline @MiddleOfMayhem Something that looks like a cruise missile flying above a commercial plane at 36,000 feet does not make sense either.

In fact, I'd say it makes LESS sense than a delay in contacting ATC.

2021-02-24T18:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364644191125467136

@benjimaan22 @buffcommacu @MiddleOfMayhem So you think people who actually think aliens are a possible explanation should not investigate that possibility? Or do it in secret?

2021-02-24T18:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364639448466485248

@dsfii @PostDisclosure I like N738RJ because it crosses at an angle. Head on intersections are far more common as there's vastly more times for them to happen, so intersections at an angle will be both less familiar, and have more of a "cylinder" profile.

2021-02-24T18:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364638598742503425

@PoorbandTony @SicCoP1 Stifles discussion? My tweets seem to have prompted quite a bit of discussion.

2021-02-24T18:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364638386930163712

@Aviation_Intel Point 2 counterexample is this professional CL35 pilot mistaking a 737 for an "object"

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-another-plane.11097/

2021-02-24T17:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364631918029901826

In UFO sightings by pilots, people often claim that a pilot "knows what a plane looks like" and would not mistake one for a UFO.

But it happens sometimes. Like MUFON case 105762, which was a plane, but was reported as a UFO. Even with video.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-another-plane.11097/

2021-02-24T17:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364627994346545156

@buffcommacu @MiddleOfMayhem Me, I just noted it was on the list of possible explanations.

Do you think it should not be on the list?

2021-02-24T17:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364625919822893056
@GaryMcLaughlin1 This is what was done. They were close 9 minutes before the report.

2021-02-24T17:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364625776528687106
@DavegiudiceDave @PostDisclosure But have you ever seen a plane that was at such an angle that you could not identify it as a plane.

It's a self-selecting thing. All the planes you saw were identified as planes because you could tell they were planes.

2021-02-24T17:17:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364625401289478144
@MiddleOfMayhem The REPORT happened nine minutes later. The location was inferred from the time of the report.

It seems unlikely that they would wait so long, but it also seems unlikely aliens would be buzzing aircraft.

So both make the list.

2021-02-24T17:08:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364623364770328578
@SicCoP1 It's not something that happens all the time. So perhaps this is a rare occurrence of things lining up a certain way, and a pilot making a mistake.

What explanation do you think seems more likely?

2021-02-24T16:52:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364619308073107457
@WingzeroF1 @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, that's why it's just a possibility.

2021-02-24T16:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364619099314163715
@conorowens94 @PostDisclosure @tommyIzito What location? How do you know?

2021-02-24T16:40:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364616171333447685
@JMorSUSD_Area3 Depends how far away it is, and the angle.

2021-02-24T16:40:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364616097786302467
@jeff5647a @physicsufo @CKakadan Agreed. It's a very low-information sighting.
He also does not seem to follow up on his query at all, which seems odd if he thought he'd just had a near-miss with a missile.

There's a few other possible planes nearer the time, none are perfect.

2021-02-24T16:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364615226050506753

@PostDisclosure @tommyIzito While it might not be compelling, I feel it would be foolish to rapidly dismiss it without more information.

2021-02-24T11:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364536747238035457

@ianbillmorris @Aviation_Intel I think it was more just the distance and the angle.

2021-02-24T10:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364530365017198602

@_Desmoden @Aviation_Intel It's a possibility that I think should be on the list. Especially if we are just going by such weak evidence as his tone of voice.

2021-02-24T10:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364529279204220930

Was the "Cruise Missile" UFO reported by AA2292 just N738RJ, a Lear Jet crossing overhead.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364529199021649922/pu/img/2yybeTew8MqAttqV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364529199021649922/pu/img/2yybeTew8MqAttqV.jpg

2021-02-24T10:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364528824965332995

@Aviation_Intel

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364528697961680900/pu/img/61cU1_2W7m4n698

x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1364528697961680900/pu/img/61cU1_2W7m4n698x.jpg

2021-02-24T10:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364527026409996295

@Aviation_Intel One possibility is the close encounter a few minutes earlier with N738RJ

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu_GCXVVEAAomc3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu_GA-WUUAEMMBk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu_GCXVVEAAomc3.jpg
2021-02-23T18:53:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364287376462209024
@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer You are not doing what debunkers do. You are taking a plausible and well-evidenced explanation (rotating glare) and ADDING UNEVIDENCED STUFF to it, so now it's rotating glare + rotating anti-gravity craft.

If you don't need to add stuff, then don't (as Occam once said)

2021-02-23T18:03:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364274601803476992
@mvpispi Some more thoughts and info here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/raymond-woods-deathbed-letter-regarding-malcolm-x-assasination.11625/

2021-02-23T18:02:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1364274476020506626
@mvpispi Five days before, and that was part of an operation that had been going on for three months.

His whole later is unfortunately rather vaguely worded. He says " At that time I was not aware that Malcolm X was the target" - but before that says it was about Malcolm X
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu7f4OcVoAE7tD3.jpg



The Desert Sun

THE DESERT EMPIRE'S LEADING DAILY NEWSPAPER

O. 167 16 PAGES 2 SECTIONS Palm Springs, California, Tuesday, February 16, 1965 PRI

NEW YORK (UPI) — Three members of a Negro terrorist group and an attractive Canadian girl were seized today and charged with plotting to dynamite three of the nation's most famous symbols of freedom — the Statue of Liberty, the Liberty Bell and the Washington Monument.

Authorities identified the three men as members of the black liberation front, described as a 10-member "terrorist organization" with ties to both black supremist groups and pro-Castro Cubans.

Police swooped down on the three within hours after the girl, a 22-year-old television announcer from Quebec, smuggled the dynamite across the border from Canada in an automobile.

Authorities said the plot was uncovered by Police Officer Ray Wood of New York City's special undercover police unit who infiltrated the organization three months ago.

Could Have Succeeded

"Their mission could have very easily been accomplished if Wood hadn't infiltrated the group," said New York Police Commissioner Michael J. Murphy.

Murphy quoted one of the men as saying he wanted to "Knock the head and arms off that damned old bitch." This was a reference to the Statue of Liberty which stands in New York Harbor.

"We know they made contacts in other cities," Murphy said, but he didn't elaborate. The other alleged targets were located in Washington and Philadelphia.

Murphy said the girl smuggled the dyanmite into the city by automobile and buried it in a vacant lot in the Bronx before going to a hotel.

There she contacted Wood and other members of the organization.

Explosives are Located

Police found the cache of 22 sticks of dynamite and blasting caps buried in the Bronx lot and arrested one of the suspects there. The others were rounded up at various spots in the city.

FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover said all four were charged with conspiring to dynamite the three structures — traditional

(See Bomb, Page 2)

symbols of liberty and freedom in the United States.

The arrests of the three Negro men and one white woman were made by FBI agents and New York City police.

There was no immediate word on whether others were implicated in the three-city plot.

The three men were identified as Robert Steele Collier, 28, a self-styled leader of the "Black Liberation Front"; Walter Augustus Bowe, 32, a Philadelphia native and pro-Castroite and Khaleel Sultarn Sayyed, 22, a Brooklyn native and graduate of Howard University School of Engineering in Washington.

The woman was identified as Michelle Duclos of Montreal, Canada, a member of a Quebec separatist party called Rassemblement Pour l'Independence.

Collier was said to have traveled to Cuba in 1964 with a student group in violation of a State Department travel ban.

blement Pour l'Independence.

Collier was said to have traveled to Cuba in 1964 with a student group in violation of a State Department travel ban.

Bowe was described as a judo instructor at a New York settlement house.

The arrests culminated an investigation which had been under way by city and federal authorities for some time.

An FBI spokesman said the four were arrested at different locations in New York City. A cache of dynamite and blasting caps was found buried in a lot at 609 West 239 St., in the Bronx.

This was only part of the explosives which the four had sought to acquire, authorities said.

Hoover announced the arrests in Washington.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu7f4OcVoAE7tD3.jpg

2021-02-23T15:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364238424224374786

@mvpispi His words are right there.

He's NOT saying "The FBI murdered Malcolm X". He's not even saying "The FBI encouraged the Nation of Islam to murder Malcolm X"

Or even "the FBI knew of an assassination plot"

Maybe they did, but if so, then why wouldn't he just say that?

2021-02-23T15:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364236895518040066

@SimShady99 Why?

2021-02-23T14:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364221889292328960

@mvpispi It has not been proven. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu6whviVcAQ7cOc.jpg

---



1

January 25, 2011 TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

I Raymond A. Wood being of sound mind and body wish to confess the following:

I was a black New York City undercover police officer from April 1964 through May of 1971. I participated in actions that in hindsight were deplorable and detrimental to the advancement of my own black people. My actions on behalf of the New York City Police Department (BOSSI) were done under duress and fear that if I did not follow the orders of my handlers I could face detrimental consequences. Presently, I am aging with failing health; recently I have learned of the death of Mr. Thomas Johnson and are deeply concerned that with my death his family will not be able exonerate him after being wrongly convicted in the killing of Malcolm X.

The Facts are as follows:

April 17, 1964. I was hired by the New York City police department. Without training I was immediately assigned to the BOSSI investigation unit.

My job was to infiltrate civil rights organizations throughout New York City, to find evidence of criminal activity, so the FBI could discredit and arrest its leaders.

After witnessing repeated brutality at the hands of my coworkers (Police), I tried to resign, Instead I was threatened with arrest by pinning marijuana and alcohol trafficking charges on me if I did not follow through with the assignments.

Under the direction of my handlers I was told to encourage leaders and members of civil rights groups to commit felonious acts.

The Statue of liberty bombing idea was created by my supervisor/handler. Using surveillance the agency learned that Bowe and Sayyed were key players in Malcom X's crowd control security detail. It was my assignment to draw the two men into a felonious federal crime, so that they could be arrested by the FBI and kept away from managing Malcolm X's Audubon Ballroom door security on February 21, 1965. On February 16, 1965 The Statue of Liberty plot was carried out and the men were arrested just days before the assassination of Malcolm. At that time I was not aware that Malcolm X was the target.

On February 21, 1965 I was ordered to be at the Audubon Ballroom, where I was identified by witnesses while leaving the scene. Thomas Johnson was later arrested and wrongfully convicted to protect my cover and the secrets of the FBI and NYPD.

I have placed my full confession into the care of my cousin Reginald Wood Jr. I have requested that this information be held until after I have passed away.

It is my hope, that this information is received with the understanding that I have carried these secrets with a heavy heart and remorsefully regret my participation in this matter.

Raymond A. Wood

Raymond A. Wood

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu6whviVcAQ7cOc.jpg

2021-02-23T03:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1364049468207681537

@GlitteryCynic @mbracemoore It didnâ□□t really add anything.

Do you think thatâ□□s two people? Or plastic surgery? Or just time and a different camera setup?

2021-02-22T20:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363945129837359104

The footage of Perseverance landing on Mars is amazing!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4czjS9h4Fpg

2021-02-22T19:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363933122425839616

@ochidesasi @mbracemoore Technically a hair transplant IS his real hair - just moved around a bit.

2021-02-22T16:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363891192652468226

@CarefulBookworm I thought it was the director first too. Should have checked.

2021-02-22T16:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363890440999608322

@ochidesasi @mbracemoore Then get a hair transplant.

2021-02-22T16:35:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363890267728666624

@David_JWest @russianbot6666 @mbracemoore Yes, he has the same ears. And everything else.

2021-02-22T16:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363890027520925704

@mbracemoore Why do you use such low-resolution images?

Are you claiming there was a temporary switch with a different Biden in 2013? Then back to 2009 Biden for 2021?

Or what?

I encourage you to look at a variety of high-resolution images from all three inaugurations.

2021-02-22T16:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363889165218111488

@JonWayneAmerica @mbracemoore That's what we in the trade call "camera angles"

2021-02-22T16:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363888788976459776

@Andybexiteer @mbracemoore The shirt, tie, and pin are all different.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu2Bio5U4AIQiOX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu2Bio5U4AIQiOX.jpg

2021-02-22T15:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363880640450502661

@CarefulBookworm Actually not the direction, just some other Michael Moore.

2021-02-22T15:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363880274128490498

@mbracemoore New head? Do an actual old/new comparison. You did 2009 vs. 2013 with different lighting and stupidly blurry photos.

2009 vs. 2021, with the same lighting, larger photos, shows he has very much the same head as 12 years ago. He's just older. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu15JHiVoAIMYMA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu15JHiVoAIMYMA.jpg

2021-02-22T15:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363875723249811465

Michael Moore tweeted out a low-res photo comparison of Biden from 2009 and 2013 with a dig about "Biden's New Head" - leading to the usual conspiracy claims of clones and body doubles. But compare Biden 2009 to Biden 2021 in high-res. He's just older.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bidens-new-head-old-photos-squinting-hair-transplant.11624/ https://twitter.com/mbracemoore/status/1363687855558709248

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu10sXcU4AINWqP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eu10sXcU4AINWqP.jpg
2021-02-22T13:49:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363848274579398659
@PredatoryPanic @TheUfoJoe @BrandonFugal https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5eaStZfEolE

https://www.tftrh.com/2020/05/29/episode-45-brandon-fugal-the-owner-of-skinwalker-ranch/

2021-02-22T00:27:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363646487205269504
@emh203 @hughespj1 @lexfridman PJ was saying that Kevin had an advantage because he (like Fravor) had been to Top Gun, so Kevin knew a lot about the pilot job. But Fravor had not been to the equivalent for Air Intercept Controllers, so Fravor did not know a lot about the Air Intercept Controller job. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuylOhcVEAAqnWU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuylOhcVEAAqnWU.jpg
2021-02-21T17:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363536403552894977
@0_35136 SVALNÃ□S, from Ikea

https://www.ikea.com/us/en/p/svalnaes-wall-mounted-storage-combination-bamboo-white-s99184439/

2021-02-20T23:31:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363270066758770694
Discussion with PJ Hughes regarding the 2004 Nimitz Tic-Tac UFO incidents.

PJ was an aviation technician responsible for the Hawkeye's data recorders, and witnessed them being removed from the ship after the incident.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s4-D_v6sWWo

2021-02-20T23:13:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363265437069320192
@lucas_biachi It strongly suggests he faked a W-2.

2021-02-20T21:51:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1363244840041947136
@MickJoseph3 @hughespj1 That's mostly covered here:
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-02-20T21:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363244607178371073

@getussy @tomdelonge He can come on my podcast any time he likes.

2021-02-20T20:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363228212352876544

@dsmethurst66 @realufobros What things are you seeing?

2021-02-20T20:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363227893346705411

@TUSSCOMMANDER @socalkoi1 Here's a good place to start.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Le7Fqbsrrm8

Discussion of the TicTac starts around 15:30, but the preceding discussion is good context.

2021-02-20T20:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363224699623235585

@NARCAP1 @Alan58585547 @hughespj1 Airlines dump fuel quite often - usually for emergency diversions. The CEFAA case though was aerodynamic contrails on a plane departing from Santiago.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-02-20T20:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363223554230128640

@foiaboi No. Their science efforts were largely blue sky anyway.

2021-02-20T20:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363217397960695809

Looks like Tom DeLonge's TTSA has abandoned any pretense of serious science and technology research and is reorganizing as an entertainment company.

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1710274/000149315221004131/form1-u.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuseoqCVcAY8NNq.jpg

1-U 1 form1-u.htm

To The Stars Academy of Arts and Science Inc.

TTSA now enters its natural evolution as a company as we adapt to a new global landscape with new opportunities and priorities. The COVID-19 global pandemic has had a significant and negative impact on the defense and entertainment industries, and on individual investors' willingness to invest in companies that are active in those industries, including TTSA. Taking into account the company's natural evolution and the changes in the business environment due to COVID-19, TTSA is looking to build on the momentum of business initiatives where we are seeing highest success and which are increasingly likely to yield shareholder value. To achieve its goals, TTSA has decided to restructure its operations to scale back its initiatives in science and tech commercialization and to place a greater emphasis on the operations of its entertainment business.

Further, as part of the restructuring, Stephen Justice, TTSA's Chief Operations Officer, Luis Elizondo, TTSA's Director of Government Programs & Services, and Christopher Mellon, a member of TTSA's advisory board, are no longer with the company. To keep in sync with TTSA's public benefit mission of informed entertainment media, the company plans to keep its science advisory board, whose connections will influence TTSA's stories for film, and to add a board member with expertise in the film industry.

/s/ Thomas M. DeLonge

By Thomas M. DeLonge, Chief Executive Officer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuseoqCVcAY8NNq.jpg

2021-02-20T10:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1363069615878799360

@Deardenpad @ufos22336038 @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman He doesn't. But on the Lex Fridman show, he seemed like he was trying to, and people thought he did. I'm explaining how he did not.

He made specific claims that were wrong (e.g. gimbal rotation), it would be great to resolve those.

2021-02-20T01:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362931043620114436

@JudgeKowalski @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @RealCSharp @conorowens94 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I don't think that the tic-tac object that Fravor saw was a bird. I never did. I once made a half-joking suggestion that at some point it was possible to briefly see a seagull as part of a sequence of events.

People who repeat that nonsense have just not been paying attention.

2021-02-19T23:23:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362905796443402240
@JudgeKowalski @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @RealCSharp @conorowens94 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I emailed her a year ago, suggesting an interview, and got no response. I've heard she has a serious job, and thinks UFO stuff will reflect badly - or maybe just her boss thinks that.

The offer still stands, to any of eyewitnesses.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuoDhU3VcAQPH38.jpg



Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Thu, Sep 19, 2019, 9:37 AM

to [redacted]

Dear [redacted]

I watched your interview on "Unidentified" with great interest. I'm a writer and podcaster, and I've been following the Nimitz incident for some time. I also have a YouTube channel where I do some analysis of the Nimitz "Flir1" video, as well as "Gimbal" and "Go Fast"

The reason I'm contacting you is that there's a lot of confusion about exactly what was reported that day, in terms of things like timing, angles, sizes, relative positions, instrumentation, continuity, altitudes, and speeds, as well as the way things played out after you returned to the Nimitz.

Could you possibly spare some time for an interview on these topics? I'd love to do a podcast on it, with a deeper dive into the details. I will respect your anonymity, and will not edit anything out (unless you request specific edits). We can talk for as long as you like.

Regards,

Mick West
mick@mickwest.com

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuoDhU3VcAQPH38.jpg
2021-02-19T21:47:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362881547007795201
@ufos22336038 @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And Fravor failed to actually address the points I raised in the video. I responded in full here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-02-19T19:43:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362850376307761152
@SicCoP1 @RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman It is my #1 hypothesis, the one that I think best fits the evidence.
The main evidence being that it looks and acts like a plane. Has a heat signature consistent

with a distant plane.

2021-02-19T19:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362849918688235522

@conorowens94 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman That's relative to his heading.

2021-02-19T17:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362822272143085572

@hughespj1 @RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I mean that the camera's bearing (heading) was unknown. And there was no range from the camera. So how do you eliminate those 14 planes?

2021-02-19T17:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362821964558049281

@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman That's not true.

2021-02-19T17:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362821825336467458

@conorowens94 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And he might SEEM definitive, but that really needs clarification. We know he did not have eyes on. We know that he said there was nothing interesting in the rest of the video. So where is this coming from?

2021-02-19T17:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362821446733492224

@conorowens94 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman No such maneuver was described as being seen on video, or by eyesight. Only radar.

2021-02-19T17:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362821170425253891

@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman How is it straightforward? Lots of military planes around. Unknown bearing and range.

2021-02-19T17:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362820700877152260

@hughespj1 @RealCSharp @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Thanks. It can be a difficult point to raise, as people often have quite high opinions of their own memories (and even the memories of others) and can get rather angry if I say they are not always perfect - almost like I'm accusing them of being feeble-minded.

It's normal.

2021-02-19T17:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362819435388497922
@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Yeah, but he did not have eyes-on. All he saw was the video. There was no rapid descent in the video.

2021-02-19T17:32:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362817412656099328
@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman He's just ACCIDENTALLY folding Kevin's story into his own.

You can say something that's incorrect without lying.

2021-02-19T17:31:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362817192039907328
@RealCSharp @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I assume underwood is not lying. That does not mean everything he says is correct.

"Truth" and "Lies" does not encompass the entire spectrum of things that are said.

2021-02-19T17:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362816776417906688
@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Underwood did not observe that descent. He's just accidentally folding Kevin's story into his own.

2021-02-19T17:29:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362816576878039045
@conorowens94 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Well, again, we have conflicting accounts and interpretations.

"Act of war?" There were also, apparently, ballistic targets descending rapidly from space on a daily basis - yet nobody seemed concerned about a nuclear attack.

That's probably a clue. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eumx9bjU4AEqIZr.jpg

---

checked with the E-2C and separated. Their jet proceeded south to the southern cap. They flew at 20,000 feet and were flying a max endurance profile at approximately 300 knots ground speed.

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eumx9bjU4AEqIZr.jpg

2021-02-19T17:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362814675876859907

@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman 1 - They didn't. Chad didn't originally think his radar was jammed, just that it couldn't hack it.

2 - Was it 20 miles? And in which direction? Given that there were several planes in the air, then that's a chunk of space.

2021-02-19T17:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362813309976600577

@RealCSharp @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman They didn't.

2021-02-19T17:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362813248404213765

@hughespj1 @RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman #1 on my list right now is another fighter jet, part of the exercise.

But for #2, Is there a good map that represents the limits on that day? Standard SoCal operating area?

2021-02-19T17:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362812024531480579

@RealCSharp @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman You seem to be confusing the Aguadilla case with the Chilean Navy case. Aguadilla was a US Coastguard plane filming lanterns.

Chilean Navy case was a Chilean Navy Helicopter filming a passenger plane.

Neither involved radar jamming. Both involved distance confusion.

2021-02-19T16:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362807766235025408

@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman What location? All they had was Underwood's location, a rough direction, and an unknown, but fairly large distance - all of which were continually varying.

What was the location of the object in the ATFLIR video?

Nobody knows.

2021-02-19T16:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362806504936509445

@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman You are missing the point. The object on the ATFLIR was unidentified.

That does not mean it (and other planes) did not show up on radar.

It just means that due to uncertainties of range and bearing, they don't know which plane it was.

2021-02-19T16:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362805461406216195

@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman They are allowed right next to them though. Just outside the MOA.

2021-02-19T16:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362805193516048387

@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman No. The theory here is that radar DID detect the plane (quite likely a military plane), just not where Underwood thought it was.

2021-02-19T16:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1362804332723204098

@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Lots of planes were on radar. They (according to Kevin) were in the middle of an exercise that was just being cancelled, it was a chaotic situation, some of the planes were not his.

It's not like it was just Underwood all by himself with no expected planes for a hundred miles.

2021-02-19T16:32:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362802200603287552
@RealCSharp @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman It involved the ATC system of Santiago airport.

The key point is that the UFO/plane showed up on the radar just fine, and was identified. But the camera operators did not know what they were pointing their camera at, even after asking ATC.

I suspect something similar here.

2021-02-19T04:35:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362621871636635654
@hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Sure they knew what that jet was, exactly the same as in the Chilean Navy case, where Santiago departure knew what IB6830 was. There was just a disconnect with the Navy chopper.

2021-02-19T02:21:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362588058642640898
@hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Assuming they knew how far away it was.

There were many jets in the air, according to Kevin.

2021-02-19T02:20:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362587812923498496
@conorowens94 @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman How does that square with Underwood losing what he had the camera pointed at?

2021-02-19T01:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362573281132351490
@steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I think it's actually more likely that he filmed a distant fighter jet - part of the exercise. Just not where he thought it was.

2021-02-18T18:12:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362464913449357316
@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Oh, I've got plenty of reasonable theories. I think the radar glitch (maybe weather-related) hypothesis is perfectly reasonable for explaining the slowly drifting groups. I think Fravor saw something else, and Underwood filmed a plane

---

2021-02-18T18:04:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362462963114725380

The "Burning Snow" thing started in 2014, and crops up whenever it snows somewhere unexpected. A butane lighter blackens snow because of soot. It's not burning it any more than it's burning this ice cube.

Lots more details here (from 2014):
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EuhwigjVoAU6yN5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EuhwigjVoAU6yN5.jpg
2021-02-18T18:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1362462077575553024
@HeadRushV2 @chosentae48
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2021-02-16T22:15:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361801299944042497
@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @PstarmanUa @RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Kevin said Fast Eagles were vectored north, but ES says south (160).

ER suggest south, but a target flying north! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYVhZrUUAQ7YB-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYVhZrUUAQ7YB-.jpg

ADEX
3A1,3C1,
3D2
110/100, 303/305, 401
FAST EAGLES 110/100 UPON TAKE OFF WERE VECTORED BY PRINCETON AND BANGER (1410L) TO INTERCEPT UNID CONTACT AT 160@40NM (N3050.8 W11746.9) (NIMITZ N3129.3 W11752.8). PRINCETON INFORMED FAST EAGLES THAT THE CONTACT WAS MOVING AT 100 KTS @ 25KFT ASL.

FAST EAGLES (110/100) COULD NOT FIND UNID AIRBORNE CONTACT AT LOCATION GIVEN BY PRINCETON. WHILE SEARCHING FOR UNID AIR CONTACT, FAST EAGLES SPOTTED LARGE UNID OBJECT IN WATER AT 1430L. PILOTS SAW STEAM/ SMOKE/CHURNING AROUND OBJECT. PILOT DESCRIBES OBJECT INITIALLY AS RESEMBLING A DOWNED AIRLINER, ALSO STATED THAT IT WAS MUCH LARGER THAN A SUBMARINE.

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATER FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYVOPYUUAAc8rq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYVJmRVoAEFADW.jpg

2021-02-16T20:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361782113297604609

@Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @conorowens94 @RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Parabunk has a neat list of the written accounts. First two are ES (Event Summary) and ER (Executive Report)

https://parabunk.blogspot.com/2018/07/the-2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo.html

PJ, is the ES (Even Summary) official? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYFgLQVcAE3AvJ.jpg

---

CVW-11 EVENT SUMMARY
14 NOVEMBER 04
EVENT SUMMARY

EVENT 3

Event
Side
Narrative
ADEX
3A1,3C1,
3D2
110/100, 303/305, 401
FAST EAGLES 110/100 UPON TAKE OFF WERE VECTORED BY PRINCETON AND BANGER (1410L) TO INTERCEPT UNID CONTACT AT 160@40NM (N3050.8 W11746.9) (NIMITZ N3129.3 W11752.8). PRINCETON INFORMED FAST EAGLES THAT THE CONTACT WAS MOVING AT 100 KTS @ 25KFT ASL.

FAST EAGLES (110/100) COULD NOT FIND UNID AIRBORNE CONTACT AT LOCATION GIVEN BY PRINCETON. WHILE SEARCHING FOR UNID AIR CONTACT, FAST EAGLES SPOTTED LARGE UNID OBJECT IN WATER AT 1430L. PILOTS SAW STEAM/ SMOKE/CHURNING AROUND OBJECT. PILOT DESCRIBES OBJECT INITIALLY AS RESEMBLING A DOWNED AIRLINER, ALSO STATED THAT IT WAS MUCH LARGER THAN A SUBMARINE.

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATER, FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

CAPSULE (ALT 4K FT AT COURSE 300) PASSED UNDER FAST EAGLE 110 (ALT 16KFT). FAST EAGLE 110 BEGAN TURN TO ACQUIRE CAPSULE. WHILE 110 WAS DESCENDING AND TURNING, CAPSULE BEGAN CLIMBING AND TURNED INSIDE OF FAST EAGLE'S TURN RADIUS. PILOT ESTIMATED THAT CAPSULE ACHIEVED 600-700 KTS. FAST EAGLE 110 COULD NOT KEEP UP WITH THE RATE OF TURN AND THE GAIN OF ALTITUDE BY THE CAPSULE. 110 LOST VISUAL ID OF CAPSULE IN HAZE. LAST VISUAL CONTACT HAD CAPSULE AT 14KFT HEADING DUE EAST.

NEITHER FAST EAGLES 110 OR 100 COULD ACHIEVE RADAR LOCK OR ANY OTHER MEANS OF POSITIVE ID. FAST EAGLE 100 WAS FLYING HIGH COVER AND SAW THE ENGAGEMENT BY FAST EAGLE 110. FAST EAGLE 100 CONFIRMS 110 VISUAL ID; 100 LOST CONTACT IN HAZE AS WELL.

CPA OF ACFT 110 FROM CONTACT 4000-5000 FT.

FAST EAGLES, DEVILS AND HOBOS PERFORMED ADEX IN MULLET AFTER VECTOR FROM PRINCETON TOWARD UNID CONTACT. EACH PERFORMED 1X RUN. FAST EAGLE VID 2X GROUPS:
1X SIM F8, WINGS CLEAN
1X SIM F8, WINGS CLEAN. RTB
BMB
3A2,3B1
105/106, 204/200

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuYFgLQVcAE3AvJ.jpg

2021-02-16T20:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361773575368105985

@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman This is not "the best debunking money can buy". I'd do much better job if someone wanted to pay me.

This just me getting sucked into the UFO world because I like analyzing videos of unidentified objects.

2021-02-16T19:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361757776528035842
@hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ExoExplorer3 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Sent.

2021-02-16T17:39:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361731956682100737
@hughespj1 @buffcommacu @ExoExplorer3 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman PJ, could I interview you?

2021-02-16T17:36:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361731103023751172
@hughespj1 So when you said "Fravor or other inaccurate information" you are just referring to his recollection that the tapes/bricks were simply erased or reused, not removed unexpectedly?

2021-02-16T17:33:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361730456438235139
@hughespj1 @ExoExplorer3 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman That seems VERY significant. If they were actively emitting signals that were detected by the ESM then that's a whole different ball-game compared with just radar returns. Is there anyone who could give more details?

2021-02-16T17:30:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361729600238227457
@hughespj1 @RealCSharp @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I think Kevin said Captain Smith had a similar amount of experience. I'm not sure where I heard that though. One to follow up on.

2021-02-16T17:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361727204611166212
@hughespj1 @ExoExplorer3 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Do you know if any of the unknown contracts emitted any kind of electronic signature of some sort?

2021-02-16T16:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361721811235299328
@hughespj1 @ExoExplorer3 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman How would you characterize the ESM signals? Was it transmitting or emitting anything?

2021-02-16T16:52:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361720111065784324
@buffcommacu @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Well of course I'm curious. But Patrick won't give any useful details because of what he describes as privacy and security issues.

2021-02-16T16:50:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361719716994113538
@ExoExplorer3 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating

@lexfridman That's talking about a visual sighting, although he mentions "the systems that tracked it" - it's not clear if that includes radar.

2021-02-16T16:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361714707598479360

@hughespj1 What about Fravor's account do you think is inaccurate?

2021-02-16T16:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361714367570399233

@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And in this situation, which expert do I "believe"? Captain Smith, who had more experience than Kevin Day, and thought the radar returns were meteorological? When you have competing experts, that indicates more investigation is warranted.

2021-02-16T16:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361713871468171266

@RealCSharp @hughespj1 @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Trust, yet verify.

Experts are great, and certainly, in the absence of anything else, I'd accept an expert opinion. However, I've seen many experts make mistakes, and even totally misunderstand things. So I think it's worth trying to understand and verify their explanations.

2021-02-16T16:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361713295850229762

@buffcommacu @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Of course I allow it to be "real". I think that because there were reports from two planes, then it's almost certain there was a physical object there.

The question is: did it move hypersonically and was it related to the slow-moving radar groups?

2021-02-16T16:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361712536064692228

@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman When was the Hawkeye tracking something? Kevin says Princeton took over controlling Fravor because the group of five ONLY showed up on Princeton's radar, not the Hawkeye.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuXGRxCUUAITwVS.jpg

Kevin Day 20:54

Well, we didn't have the airwing available to us, until the very last part of this encounter. And as soon as I, as soon as I, as soon as I had aircraft that I can use, I talked to commander Smith, I said, Hey, sir, you know, been tracking the things for several days, and no one in the strike group has any idea what these are. And I, we're getting ready to do a big air defense exercise, we're going to launch a bunch of aircraft off the beach, and we're going to launch our guys, a mock air battle in that same piece of sky. So now I'm concerned about safety of flight. Because I've got these unknown objects, right in our playground area. And I strongly recommend we go intercept one and see what they are. So if there's not some sort of an error, error mishap, because if there is, you can ask both you and me we were so damaged, curious. And he looks at me, he goes, you're right. So your chief intercept one. And that that's how commander, Fast Equal's up. Fast Eagle 01. He was the first one to launch. And we immediately took him under control because EW Hawkeye radar ??? did not have any radar on these things, only we did. And we drove them to the closest contact. And that's when it all happens.

Mick West 22:11

So say 10 days earlier, let's say, it happened on the 14th. And you saw on the first on the fourth. Like, why? I mean, wasn't it wasn't that serious, though?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuXGRxCUUAITwVS.jpg
2021-02-16T16:13:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361710254237515778
@hughespj1 @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Kevin is still seeing an interpretation of the raw return signals by the system. Until Fravor reported eyes-on, Kevin thought (for scores of observations over 8 days) that it might be a system malfunction.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuXEOFQVoAM6X57.jpg

Mick West 24:17

And, and you still, I guess you're still thinking it might be radar glitches at that point.

Kevin Day 24:24

I totally thought that that is what it was. leading up to that whole, leading up to that day. I either thought it was something entirely civilian related. I didn't even know we were down there. None of our business type of thing. Or I thought it was some sort of system malfunction.

Mick West 24:42

Right.

Kevin Day 24:43

I definitely wasn't thinking UFOs, trust me on that. That was Yeah, wasn't really my thought process at the time who I would have laughed back, if someone would have said that. I would have laughed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuXEOFQVoAM6X57.jpg
2021-02-16T09:52:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1361614429222133760

@hughespj1 @ThanosAlpha Do you have the data?

2021-02-15T20:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361414517494669314

@Oswald1160 @RealCSharp @hughespj1 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Kevin Day says there was "pretty chaotic" at that point in time.

2021-02-15T19:36:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361399112550162432

@Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Only two of any detail. A few seconds of a TV interview for one more.

2021-02-15T19:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361398860107509761

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I tried to resolve as much as possible in the time available. Like I said in the video, I anticipate people picking up on various points, and questions arising which could be followed-up on.

2021-02-15T19:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361396642117685251

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman 5 - no

6 - no

2021-02-15T19:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361396272486248450

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman 1-yes

2-no

3-yes

4-no

2021-02-15T19:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361395656905031680

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I am questioning it. It's not really clear if he saw it himself, as he says he was walking around the room. He might just be extrapolating what he thought happened - it's been a long time. Memories change.

2021-02-15T19:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361395244676210689

@officer_pinky @uFo_dis_ci_ple Memory changes over time, this is true. But the closer you are to the event the better the memories.

I wasn't dumbfounded though. I know this because I've re-watched the interview. Puzzled by some of his responses, sure.

2021-02-15T19:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361393199843926016

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman There was no observation of the actual maneuvering. In fact, Kevin's recollection seems to differ quite radically from Fravor's - in that he describes an encounter at co-altitude. The radar did not (as he recalls) pick up any of the rapid changes in direction.

2021-02-15T19:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361391159306645506

@Scoopsler @uFo_dis_ci_ple https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv9iKw_Q9xQ

2021-02-15T18:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361388889785606145

@uFo_dis_ci_ple Really? At what point do you think I was dumbfounded? Since it was just two days ago I should be able to recall my exact reaction.

2021-02-15T18:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361388222467612672

@RealCSharp @steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman You're assuming those are all the same thing.

2021-02-15T15:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361342806954897414

@alo_pumpa There's new information, but it seems conflicting with other accounts. So it raises a lot of questions.

My #1 theory about the entire event is pretty much the same. But there are lots of unknowns - and probably unknowables.

2021-02-15T15:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361341687629447168

@chrisscostello @SensaiSeagal @MikeFaganTaiwan Of those four, only Fravor has given even a. a halfway detailed account of the encounter. And even that differs from Kevin Day's current recollection of what Fravor first told him.

2021-02-15T15:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361339072887672839

@Melanie17102563 Usually, those things are very small bits of frozen water from the ISS itself.

2021-02-15T07:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361216988496863233

@JudgeKowalski Sure. Might be a bit laborious, but I'd be happy to give it a go.

And it's not like we have to solve the mystery in one shot. Multiple discussions could happen.

2021-02-15T07:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361216587596922881

@JudgeKowalski Fravor didn't take any of the FLIR videos. He was back on the ship when

Underwood videoed the FLIR1/Tic-Tac video.

2021-02-15T07:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361210403783471111

@JudgeKowalski I have invited him over Twitter, but I don't have a direct line of communication.

2021-02-15T02:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361141483366084609

@strategic_doubt Ideally, talk to other witnesses. Especially where there seems to be some differences.

2021-02-15T01:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361125994396938243

@_Desmoden @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman No, I have been unable to talk to him. I would be happy to do so.

2021-02-15T01:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361125100725043201

Fresh interview with Air Intercept Controller Kevin Day about the 2004 Nimitz UFO encounters. New (at least to me) details about what Day saw on the radar, including tracking Fravor's object to the CAP point and them back into a group of five. Lots more.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv9iKw_Q9xQ

2021-02-15T01:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361123430553190400

@_Desmoden @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Here's my new interview with Kevin Day, conducted yesterday.

We discuss the entire incident and bring up some details that I don't think have been discussed before.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tv9iKw_Q9xQ

2021-02-15T01:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361120503700721666

@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Question: Do unlikely things sometimes happen?

2021-02-15T00:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361117960782237698

@_Desmoden @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I talked to Voorhis and Day in an effort to understand the entire 10 days of events.

2021-02-15T00:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361115276318674944

@_Desmoden @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Nobody is saying that.

2021-02-14T23:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361101069321793537

@dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman @CBMDP I think you'll find the interview interesting. Up in a few hours - just trying to improve the (rather bad) audio.

2021-02-14T23:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361100656753340417

@_Desmoden @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman This is not true, I've analyzed the "actual event", discussed the radar, and interviewed Gary Voorhis and Kevin Day.

2021-02-14T23:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361100163188617216

@dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman @CBMDP Kevin Day says he tracked the object from Fravor's position to the track point over a few seconds, then it was only at the Cap point for "several seconds, and then it rejoined its original group ... back to 28,000 and 100 knots going South"

2021-02-14T23:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361097648594296832

@dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Kevin remembers it as his control sending Underwood - then it was a bit chaotic, with other planes not under his control possibly doing intercepts, and then they canceled the exercise and had everything return home. I think some from the beach.

2021-02-14T23:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361093625552101382

@dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Kevin Day said (to me, yesterday) that Fravor's object was part of a group of five, and that he tracked it in motion to the CAP point, and then back to the group, where it continued south. And then Underwood went to the same group for five for "almost a merge plot" on one of them

---

2021-02-14T18:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361026915469979649

@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And, Accounts very. Was the first sighting of the Tic-Tac:

1 - 5 NM from merge-plot

2 - Immediately after merge plot, at 28,000 (or 20,000) feet? Before it dove towards the disturbance?

3 - After merge plot, but at sea level?

2021-02-14T18:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361026212823371777

@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I'm not being snarky. I'm being clear. I think when something cannot be identified, then it's generally too far away.

There are limits to all technologies. If Underwood got closer to the object (and why did he not?) then the video blob mystery would likely be resolved.

2021-02-14T17:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1361000759999959041

@PlutoElect Think about what you are looking at. Do you see them making out? Is Trump making out with Ivanka? Tom Brady and his dad?

Kissing on the lips (a peck, not making out) within families is a culturally variable thing, more common in Europe, but also widespread in the US.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuM-z2MVcAQEdTK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuM-z2MVcAQEdTK.jpg

2021-02-14T17:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360998480689303552

@steven_nikolic @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman What more would be needed to identify unidentified targets that are Too Far Away to be identified?

They would need to be a bit closer.

2021-02-14T17:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360998244201865218

@PlutoElect For answers to questions, try Google first.

https://twitter.com/krtmd/status/1224354195161124866

2021-02-14T05:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360830891849777152

@benjimaan22 @CoremeDerwe @SicCoP1 They don't though. People THINK the videos show amazing things, but upon closer analysis, it seems they do not.

2021-02-14T05:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360825473148669953

@ActuallyImGood @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I recommend you try to take her actual words (not the voiceover, or paraphrasing) and then see how well it lines up with Fravor's account, and how much there is in common.

2021-02-14T04:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360802268426108928

@ActuallyImGood @DrBrianKeating The video.

2021-02-13T19:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360673509584687104

@Oswald1160 @steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman @hughespj1 Accounts vary. Kevin Day says that Fravor told him (and radar verified) that the first contact with the Tic-Tac was co-altitude, and that it was part of a group of five. It barrel-rolled around Fravor, then dove to ocean level, and only then did Fravor see the water disturbance.

2021-02-13T06:06:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360470457204412416

@CoremeDerwe @SicCoP1 Zero surprises.

2021-02-13T04:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360451937196404741

@MarceloPLima @steven_nikolic @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman No, we have a story.

2021-02-13T02:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360411237582970883

@ddeanjohnson @PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @LtTimMcMillan He's not really given credence to the ET hypothesis.

2021-02-13T02:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360410881746604034

@SicCoP1 @PostDisclosure @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan He's not saying that. He's open to possibilities, concerned even, but accepts it might be boring.

2021-02-13T02:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360409090405535744

@PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan I suggested no rules.

No, I think they are of like mind.

But their discussion probably helped reinforce that.

2021-02-13T01:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360408257789980677

@paulsanderson @zcichy @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan A Trump EO does not magically solve everything.

The UAP task force is probably NOT just focused on unidentified drones. They would cover anything that's reported as unidentified.

2021-02-13T01:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360407233905872900

@ActuallyImGood @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan It sure ain't 50:50, much as people want it to be.

2021-02-13T01:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360400200703963137

@buffcommacu @zcichy @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan You think the military is just utterly unconcerned about novel forms of espionage or attack by new types of Chinese or other adversarial drones?

2021-02-13T01:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360399235066195969

@zcichy @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan They will only make nonclassified information public.

Why the drive? It's TTSA + the media.

2021-02-13T01:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360398700174974976

@buffcommacu @FrancMilburn @benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 @LueElizondo Elizondo keeps hinting but never producing.

2021-02-13T01:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360398072069586948

@zcichy @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan Reports of UAPs over military areas, including possible foreign drones.

Obviously.

2021-02-13T01:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360396715300298760

@SpacemannDan @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan I meant this: https://twitter.com/TTSAcademy/status/1275459699341582337

2021-02-13T01:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360396526548254723

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan I was discussing how the sillier

aspects of UFOlogy seep into government.

Like TTSA writing legislation.

2021-02-13T01:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360396303033765891

@buffcommacu @FrancMilburn @benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 I don't think he's making things up. I think he's just extrapolating from what people told him.

I think he's got no way of verifying the truth.

2021-02-13T01:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360395995218026496

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan

https://twitter.com/TTSAcademy/status/1275459699341582337

2021-02-13T01:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360395881216761873

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan So you think TTSA were lying here?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuEYvb1VcAETgKf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuEYvb1VcAETgKf.jpg

2021-02-13T01:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360394367018487809

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan Nobody 100% rules out anything if they are smart. You just give it appropriate weight.

Chris Mellon gave Marco Rubio the language regarding the UAP report. They are both UFO fans.

2021-02-13T01:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360393733179465731

@SpacemannDan @SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan Who? What position?

You can't just lump everything together. The world is complicated.

2021-02-13T01:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360393443558653956
@FrancMilburn @benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 How does he know about WHAT HE SAID. Not about Aguadilla.

2021-02-13T00:59:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360393172912754691
@MarceloPLima @steven_nikolic @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman There's no good evidence they are ultrafast.

If there was, it would be a big fucking deal, requiring a Manhatten-project level emergency response, not this absurd belle indifference.

2021-02-13T00:55:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360392119727235077
@steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Drones that are too far away are not identifiable.

2021-02-13T00:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360391981197795341
@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan But as I said before, I think it can be tinged by the ridiculous. I think the "OMG ALIENS" media frenzy is a problem the military wishes would just go away, as it wastes their time. It is also, more soberly, seeping into government, like with the Mellon-Rubio connection.

2021-02-13T00:51:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360391121289895936
@MarceloPLima @steven_nikolic @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Because they are, literally, Too Far Away.

The vast majority of UFOs are TFAs. That would include any unmanned aerial platform that gets away with overlying a military range. That's not nothing.

And previous generations did not have this ubiquitous drone problem. This is new

---

2021-02-13T00:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360390014245302273
@steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Could you perhaps link to a good example of this "heavy hinting?"

Or some of these cases where they hint that's not what they are thinking?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuETa7kVoAI8GpI.jpg

> Rubio said some of the unidentified aerial vehicles over U.S. bases possibly exhibited technologies not in the U.S. arsenal. But he also noted: “Maybe there is a completely, sort of, boring explanation for it. But we need to find out.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuETa7kVoAI8GpI.jpg
2021-02-13T00:43:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360389055284826113
@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan But I think everyone agrees that, at the very least, unidentified airspace incursions are both real and deserving of concern.

Hence the fact that they are taken seriously is unsurprising and tells us nothing.

2021-02-13T00:41:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360388562659680259
Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth continue their slide into (even deeper) irrelevance - eschewing science and communication and instead tastelessly piggybacking on the meme of the day. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuESGMRUUAYSBwz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EuESGMRUUAYSBwz.jpg

2021-02-13T00:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360386739244728322

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan Who exactly said it is under serious consideration?

2021-02-13T00:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360386434494910466

@SicCoP1 @Aviation_Intel @ddeanjohnson That's just a default classification for some

operational details. It means there's something related to the observation (like the camera used, or the location) that was top secret.

2021-02-13T00:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360385901923168259

@benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 I suspect it's just extrapolation of stuff people told him.

2021-02-13T00:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360385023229140993

@SicCoP1 UAPs clearly are an issue worth investigating that's not the point.

The point is claiming that it has been proven that UAPs demonstrate super-advanced technology beyond our current understanding of physics, or that they have a non-human origin.

Novel Chinese drones are UAP.

2021-02-13T00:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360383396338229250

@SicCoP1 Clearly, the evidence isn't very good, if you can't even convince Trump.

2021-02-13T00:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360383086312132609

@benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 Who is that, and how does he know?

2021-02-13T00:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360381458041327617

@SicCoP1 Trump's briefing clearly wasn't very compelling, as he did not believe that people were "seeing UFOs".

2021-02-13T00:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360380523093270530

@JoKing61398477 I'm not accusing him of being a big attention seeker. I just think he's clearly lying. I'm not speculating what his motive is - other than not to get caught once he got started.

2021-02-13T00:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360379802948046848

@SicCoP1 My question was: "You can cite what was presented in any of those?"

2021-02-12T21:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360335028396322816

@benjimaan22 @SicCoP1 I certainly said what I said in that tweet.

I didn't say what you paraphrased it as.

2021-02-12T21:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360334670102077445

@Jmadala12 Hence the usage of "a bit like"

This video is of mylar balloons, the Aguadilla footage is probably Chinese lanterns.

2021-02-12T20:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360320934603681793

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Well nobody can definitively explain it using the information available to the public (which includes Kevin's recollections)

2021-02-12T20:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360320552808890372

@Jmadala12 There are two of them tied together. A bit like in this video of two balloons.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gl2EQhNB-So

2021-02-12T20:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360320311888027648

@Jmadala12 That is discussed in the thread. They simply mistake the distance to the object and posit an essentially impossible flight path.

2021-02-12T20:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360319264226349058

@Jmadala12 No. Ruben Lianza is the head of the Argentine Air Force Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research. He's a retired Commodore, fighter pilot. He's highly regarded, does very detailed work, and rarely jokes about such things.

2021-02-12T20:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360318652042473473

@ThanosAlpha @SicCoP1 @TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 I don't think the content of that briefing was nonsense. I think it was tinged with nonsense, but there are real issues of unidentified airspace incursions that are a serious national security concern.

2021-02-12T19:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360316003398262784

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Yeah, I've seen them all, and others.

2021-02-12T19:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360305412705112064

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman The unusual "radar evidence" is all anecdotal, conflicting (was it a descent from outer space, or 28,000 feet?), and mostly over a decade before being written down. It would be great to attempt to resolve it, but you'd need primary sources, like Kevin Day.

2021-02-12T18:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360302267258179594

@steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And "bad data/observation" is hardly a new or understudied phenomenon.

2021-02-12T18:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360301973250076672

@steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I think you are making some

significant assumptions about what "the issue" is there. The military simply describes it as "unidentified airspace incursions" - which includes (their example) quadcopter drones.

If "the issue" was "looks like new physics", then yes.

But no.

2021-02-12T18:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360297506379165703

RT @rothschildmd: QAnon believers are looking for a new prophecy, and it looks like this is the one - Biden will be removed from office byâ¦

2021-02-12T18:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360297093785505792

@steven_nikolic @MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman I personally would love more data. But upon what initial evidence would they start banging upon doors? How would they justify their requests?

The three videos are not inexplicable. They don't seem to demonstrate new physics. So who, with standing, would have sufficient interest?

2021-02-12T18:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360293697380782081

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman You said "Fravorâ□□s counter-arguments are very powerful" - and I'd be very interested to hear if you still think that after watching this.

2021-02-12T18:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360292800588255232

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Indeed. A problem here is that the devil is in the details, and it's difficult to get into.

Also, people get super defensive, so I have some trouble getting them to look at my videos, let alone talk to me.

I hope you watch my response to Fravor in full
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-02-12T18:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360290188065464320

@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Likely a combination of things, including system glitches.
There was a system upgrade just days before these radar sightings - so it was essentially field-testing new software. Sometimes even well-tested software updates to critical systems have novel bugs in real-world usage.

2021-02-12T18:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360288576550825984
@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman And in this context I found an amusingly relevant quote from Fravor (on Rogan):

"The water looks the same at 20,000 feet as it does at 2,000 feet"

He's a weird mix of faith in his vast experience and then noting all the ways your senses can be tricked, and mistakes pilots make.

2021-02-12T17:48:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360284560253014018
@MarceloPLima @DrBrianKeating @lexfridman Fravor's counter-arguments are mostly along the lines of "I'm really good at estimating the size of things" and then a variety of misunderstandings that he gets away with because of the deference his experience affords. I go in-depth here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-02-12T17:43:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360283473722806273
RT @TruthJunkiePod: The ANTIFA Conspiracy on January 6 with Mick West... How do we know it wasn't ANTIFA that stormed the capital? Check oâ¦

2021-02-12T17:43:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360283328776085507
@JustJakeSimpson @tarynoneill @Forbes Pais is real, but he's just a crank who managed to persuade his manager that he might have something. They tested it, got nothing, and don't seem to be pursuing it.

https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/39012/the-navy-finally-speaks-up-about-its-bizarre-ufo-pat
ent-experiments

2021-02-12T17:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360282425029349379
@JLuvin I think his point was more about his position in the world, rather than him being super smart.

2021-02-12T05:43:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360102107748073473
@DrBrianKeating @joinClubhouse @lexfridman Lex is familiar with my work, and he actually gave it one of the better presentations that I've seen (i.e. compared to Corbell and Rogan). But it's hard to cover in depth, and Fravor really didn't substantially address any of my points. I broke it down:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-02-12T05:38:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1360100916448251904

@DrBrianKeating @joinClubhouse @lexfridman Aha, I figured it was Clubhouse. I've only been on there for a couple of weeks, and have been consistently been unable to find things. Shame it's not recorded.

2021-02-12T05:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360093122940686338

@SicCoP1 @TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan UAP includes a bunch of boring things, like drone incursions and accidental misidentifications of Venus. What was the actual content of the briefing?

2021-02-12T04:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360091228881129477

@SicCoP1 @TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Cite one now.

2021-02-12T04:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360090875796180992

@SicCoP1 @TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 You can cite what was presented in any of those?

2021-02-12T04:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360088279194894337

@DrBrianKeating CH? What discussion was that then?

2021-02-12T04:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360087159206682626

@SicCoP1 @TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 Generally, no. Sometimes, maybe.

2021-02-12T04:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360086818029326339

@Jmadala12

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-02-12T04:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360078127959920644

@Jmadala12 Everyone did.

2021-02-12T02:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360046836707250177

@realrogerwilc0 Which chemicals? Barium and aluminum are naturally occurring in minerals.

2021-02-12T01:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360045902048624640

@adminnathan @SocialistFT I think he forged it. If he made up a bunch of amazing lies about aliens, then faking a W-2 is small potatoes.

2021-02-12T01:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360043461869637632

@TUSSCOMMANDER Agreed, but physics is complicated, and a neat little falsehood demonstration can be helpful.

2021-02-12T00:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360021734779363329

@ootshay I think they are contrails. I run a site called Contrail Science. I enjoy tracking down planes.

2021-02-12T00:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360021195899293698

@ootshay There's a lot less air traffic over rural Canada than over the places you see the dramatic photos.

But I'm pretty sure there are some good photos from Canada.

2021-02-12T00:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360020322133499905

@SocialistFT He made a fake W-2. He'd have to claim he was the sole employee of the "United States Department of Naval Intelligence" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et_C4ywVEAEC4fz.jpg

---



1 Control number — OMB No. 1545-0008 — E-6722MAJ

2 Employer's name, address, and ZIP code
United States Department
of Naval Intelligence
Washington, DC. 20038

3 Employer's identification number: 46-1007639

4 Employer's state I.D. number: N/A

5 Statutory employee ☐ Deceased ☐ Pension plan ☐ Legal rep. ☐ 942 emp. ☐ Subtotal ☐ Deferred compensation ☐ Void ☐

6 Allocated tips

7 Advance EIC payment

8 Employee's social security number: [redacted]

9 Federal income tax withheld: 168.24

10 Wages, tips, other compensation: 958.11

11 Social security tax withheld: 71.94

12 Employee's name, address, and ZIP code
Robert S. Lazar
1029 James Lovell
Las Vegas, NV. 89128

13 Social security wages

14 Social security tips

16

16a Fringe benefits incl. in Box 10

17 State income tax

18 State wages, tips, etc.

19 Name of state: Nevada

20 Local income tax

21 Local wages, tips, etc.

22 Name of locality

Form W-2 Wage and Tax Statement 1989

Employee's and employer's copy compared ☐

Copy 2 To be filed with employee's State, City, or Local income tax return.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et_C4ywVEAEC4fz.jpg

2021-02-12T00:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360018922699780096

@SocialistFT It is, however a seemingly verifiable forgery. His other lies, while ridiculous and often impossible, are just stories.

2021-02-12T00:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360018535246778370

@ootshay Depends on the flight paths near you. Needs to be at the intersection of routes between airports over >100 miles away.

Where in Canada?

2021-02-12T00:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360018137668751361

Key flaw in Bob Lazar's story. The department that supposedly paid him does not actually exist, and never did. https://twitter.com/Fryolator1/status/1359976285838147585

2021-02-12T00:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360017266377519104

@prentic4 @AFSUnidentified So then according to your theory, he knows there are aliens but is pretending he does not, so someone else can get their technology before he does?

Or HE PERSONALLY is behind the cover-up?

2021-02-12T00:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360016020627357702

@threeguysyesh @ScottAdamsSays I do not claim to have debunked this - I've simply noted that there's essentially zero evidence, and hence nothing to debunk.

2021-02-11T23:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360015665940156418

@croneberger @ScottAdamsSays And stood up to cross-examination in court?

2021-02-11T23:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360015477003546627

@prentic4 @AFSUnidentified Musk only cares about SpaceX as a means of getting into space. I'm sure he'd greatly prefer to have warp drive technology.

2021-02-11T23:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360014918104227843

@TheChilterns @RobAgs0817 And yet you also think there's a vast cover-up by the military.

My point here I don't think the MILITARY takes the ET hypothesis seriously either. So there's nothing for them to cover up.

2021-02-11T23:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1360014097425649666

@realrogerwilc0 SAI has never been used. SCOPEX is the FIRST experiment.

SAI is not cloud seeding. Cloud seeding is low altitude temporary cloud augmentation, done since the 1950s. Here it's discussed on TV in 1965.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

2021-02-11T21:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359972570099945474

@realrogerwilc0 Weather modification isn't geoengineering. Weather is not climate.

2021-02-11T21:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359972429418819585

@ActuallyImGood @DrewWilliamson4 So then what is this evidence you think he sould be looking at?

2021-02-11T21:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359972093383745536

@ScottyBRock2 No, I think he's got the math wrong there.

2021-02-11T20:59:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359970354945433602
@DrewWilliamson4 @ActuallyImGood We can, and with no new physics.

Another race could also colonize the galaxy, but that does not seem to have happened.

2021-02-11T20:22:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359961114201649154
@RobAgs0817 @steven_dor It indicates seemingly conflicting accounts. How do you determine which account is accurate?

Didn't Fravor side with the captain there?

2021-02-11T20:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359960831207768065
@mission_europa @ActuallyImGood By the complete and utter lack of people rushing into his office to tell him that evidence of aliens had been discovered.

2021-02-11T20:20:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359960461907660800
@steven_dor @RobAgs0817 Why? Why doesn't it point to the evidence not existing? Why is your #1 theory a government cover-up?

2021-02-11T20:19:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359960202083065858
@mission_europa @ActuallyImGood There's no contradiction. Fravor's story is not good evidence of Aliens.

2021-02-11T20:18:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359959898423889920
@threeguysyesh @ScottAdamsSays What data? Handwaving around a spreadsheet isn't data.

Yet they claim it's 100% proof.

Obviously not.

2021-02-11T19:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359947255181045760
@osxusr @zcichy If Bigelow knows it, why does he not share the irrefutable evidence that demonstrates their presence?

Maybe he just THINKS there are aliens here.

2021-02-11T19:24:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359946518375976962
@RobAgs0817 It sounded to me like he was simply unaware of it. He'd not heard of Fravor.

UFO fans greatly overestimate the amount of serious consideration that professionals give the topic.

2021-02-11T19:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359945933392211968

@ScottAdamsSays It's hard to debunk something for which no actual evidence is presented. There was a video of parts of a list of IP and MAC addresses, but zero context or explanations of where it came from, how they got it, or how to verify it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mike-lindells-2-hour-election-fraud-claim-video-absolute-proof-hacking-claims.11598/

2021-02-11T19:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359944980698001408

@ActuallyImGood He's the richest man in the world, with his own space program, and ambitions to colonize the galaxy.

I think he WOULD know if there was any evidence of aliens.

2021-02-11T19:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359943237377564672

Elon Musk to Joe Rogan: "I think I would know if there are aliens" "there's no evidence" "Maybe there are aliens, but they are being very subtle"

(about 28 minutes in)

https://open.spotify.com/episode/2aB2swgyXqbFA06AxPlFmr?si=6dR4w8fwQr6_2iwmr73OyQ

2021-02-11T18:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359934188795793408

@head27 It was more about debunking chemtrails.

People say "chemtrails" (which are just misidentified contrails) are a secret form of geoengineering that has been going on for decades.

And yet this five pounds of dust is the entire extent of all actual GE spraying up to 2021.

2021-02-11T18:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359933030530379777

@ralphdamato Thanks Ralph! I got the T-shirt, etc. too. In fact, I'm wearing it right now.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9z1hOVcAI9qke.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9z1hOVcAI9qke.jpg
2021-02-11T18:28:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359932295470145545
@bosma2002 @SGuy8bit @Alien_Scientist Passing a lie detector test does not prove you are not lying.

That's why they are not admissible as evidence (or only with various other requirements) in many jurisdictions.

http://defensewiki.ibj.org/index.php/Lie_Detectors

2021-02-11T18:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359931069072478209

Achievement unlocked: Personal Trading Card.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9yAktVIAEacF_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9yAktVIAEacF_.jpg

2021-02-11T18:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359930677957779456

@SGuy8bit @bosma2002 @Alien_Scientist He was locked into his first versions. He tried adding mind control later.

2021-02-11T18:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359930473607172103

@bosma2002 @SGuy8bit @Alien_Scientist He did it so he would not be exposed as a liar. Refusing to take a test carries a cost too.

2021-02-11T15:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359888853511774218

This first stratospheric geoengineering experiment is five pounds of dust.

It won't do anything except raise fears of a slippery slope.

But it shines a light on an awkward truth for "Chemtrail" folk - there's no science NOW that justifies spraying. And there wasn't 20 years ago

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9KpGmVkAIK5Jg.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et9KpGmVkAIK5Jg.png

2021-02-11T15:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359887041366953986

@SGuy8bit @bosma2002 @Alien_Scientist Polygraphs are both unreliable and have known methods of circumvention. A smart guy like Lazar could beat it.

2021-02-10T21:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359611190096195585

@conorowens94 @Graeme37371497 @LateStartRacing @QTRResearch You only have to fake it once, with conviction, then you're simply retelling a story.

2021-02-10T21:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359608204615798784

@bosma2002 @Alien_Scientist If someone was very good at lying then how would you be able to tell?

2021-02-10T20:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359602238595866624

@Graeme37371497 @LateStartRacing @QTRResearch He does a great job of making his story seem like an honest recollection. That's why it worked so well.

Other people (like Lear, or Cooper) do this, but go more extreme with their claims, so they have (or had) fewer followers.

2021-02-10T19:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359590698517889024

@Alien_Scientist My info came from a variety of sources. This was a pretty casual chat, so I didn't feel the need to provide citations for every bit of info. I did find the Scientific American article on your site though.

2021-02-10T19:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359586998407680000

@lucio_linae Do you have photos, or a description of what you saw (angle of view)

2021-02-10T19:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359584971145453568

@lucio_linae I generally use FlightRadar24 or PlaneFInder

2021-02-10T19:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359584211305332741

@gummibear737 @AdamCrigler They do now!

2021-02-10T16:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359542001947930631

@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch @Oswald1160 I DM'ed you an invite

---

2021-02-10T16:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359539779314962435

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch I understand your objections, which have been raised many times before. I would be happy to discuss this directly with you, recorded if you like.

2021-02-10T16:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359538391759507456

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch Twitter is a slow communication method. Perhaps we could jump on a quick zoom call, so we can iron things out?

2021-02-10T16:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359536300228022273

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch THere's nothing protruding from the bottom in the sketch.

What is seen in the Gimbal video is a glare. Earlier in the video it's a different shape.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et4LCcHVcAESnWS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Et4LCcHVcAESnWS.jpg

2021-02-10T16:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359535271646142465

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch What you see in the Gimble video IS the heat signature.

2021-02-10T15:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359532035237314560

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch Given the zoom level, the pilots could not have seen the object with their eyes. Like us, they were looking at the video image.

2021-02-10T15:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359528192143364098

@G_RationFlights @Oswald1160 @QTRResearch How did they confirm it? They ignored all the evidence, and misunderstood the glare hypothesis

---

2021-02-10T15:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359527587295338498
@Alexandernewry @gammaraybeam @QTRResearch I donâ□□t think itâ□□s absurd, just lacking in evidence. I still investigate new claims of evidence, in considerable depth.

2021-02-10T15:36:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359526764481900547
@gammaraybeam @Alexandernewry @QTRResearch Labels are inevitably oversimplifications - straw men, used as distractions from the evidence.

Look at the evidence I present. Some of it verifiably debunks specific claims.

Is this not a contribution to truth?

2021-02-10T15:32:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359525688575885318
@Alexandernewry @gammaraybeam @QTRResearch Debunkers remove bunk.

If a claim has been debunked, is that not a good thing?

2021-02-10T09:04:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359428057275146241
@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch It does not tilt. What you see "tilting" is a camera artifact, a rotating glare. This is a explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4X1PRDbtiF0

With more detail in the full playlist (look for the "Gimbal" videos)
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2021-02-10T01:55:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359320095403614210
@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch Yes, please go into the Gimbal video.

2021-02-10T01:54:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359319880109969409
@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch So every other eyewitness was wrong when they described it?

2021-02-10T00:45:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359302316017340417
@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch So where did Bob Lazar say the saucers were "moving like a ball in a glass from left to right"?

2021-02-10T00:43:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359302001784283138
@ScottyBRock2 @QTRResearch How is that a fact? How do you know it to be true?

2021-02-10T00:42:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1359301605141610498
@ScottyBRock2 @QTRResearch Eric Davis? The guy that got fooled by Uri Geller? More of a

crank than a charlatan.

2021-02-09T22:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359273696951607296

@ScottyBRock2 @QTRResearch What is your favorite affirmative fact about the saucers?

2021-02-09T22:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359273491053256705

@G_RationFlights @QTRResearch Fravor does not describe anything like Lazar's flying saucer. He describes a non-tipping tic-tac.

He did not describe the rapid movements as instability.

2021-02-09T22:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359263771995172866

RT @QTRResearch: NEW PODCAST: Quoth the Raven #240 - Mick West Debunks Bob Lazar cc: @MickWest https://www.podbean.com/eu/pb-fy853-fa3d26#.YCL-3aKpqgo.twitter

---

2021-02-09T15:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1359164857887686663

@RagnarSpock @g_knapp @flarkey You're thinking of the same video. You've just been fooled by parallax.

Hiring a plane with a thermal camera and messing around with lanterns for days trying to get the right image might be something a TV production company could do. But not me.

2021-02-08T20:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358873383950196737

Seattle's New Year Eve celebration was virtual. If you looked at the Space Needle it would just look lit with a purple light. Online it seemed surrounded by an amazing hologram. This led some to fear it was a test of technology to simulate a UFO invasion.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-sky-mapping-at-the-seattle-space-needle-virtual-not-blue-beam.11606/

2021-02-08T16:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358818782907559937

They were only UAPs while they stayed in the LIZ (the Low Information Zone).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett-eFMUYAATFz5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett-eFMUYAATFz5.jpg

2021-02-08T16:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358818252411994122

I was wrong about this case. My #1 explanation was that it was a hoax. Initial reporting was all poor-quality phone video, and photos that didn't show the cranes. It also seemed too high to be a projection. But the technology was sufficiently advance (and better photos arrived)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett9UwmU0AAB5ME.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett9UwmU0AAB5ME.jpg

2021-02-08T16:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358811671456743442

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett4AGoU0AAuFDX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett4AGoU0AAuFDX.jpg
2021-02-08T16:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1358811465503756289
@RagnarSpock @g_knapp @flarkey They are not floating above water, they are just north of the runway, above land.

2021-02-08T16:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1358809602809233413
This promotional event in Dubai sparked a lot apocalyptic predictions about "Two Moons!" "Planet X" and suchlike - but only when viewed via low quality cellphone images. A better camera reveals the reality.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/double-moon-dubai-uae-hoax.11603/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett1mxpUUAAd9Zl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ett1mxpUUAAd9Zl.jpg

2021-02-08T05:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358645300831784960

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer Iâ□□m afraid I donâ□□t really follow you explanation. Perhaps you could make a short video?

2021-02-08T05:03:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358642569471688704

@TDBrigham @g_knapp @flarkey Nothing personal. But I think (from observation and experience) that research that points to amazing things is often skewed by a desire for those things to exist.

A minor point though. The facts are the actual thing.

2021-02-08T00:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358576805125394432

@g_knapp @flarkey There's been quite a variety of research into this case, and other than the eternally-optimistic-SCU, it all shows a slow-moving object just north of the airport, and just downwind of a hotel where chinese lanterns are commonly used.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtqiJ7nUYAIKTKT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtqiJ7nUYAIKTKT.jpg

2021-02-07T17:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358474129582690304

@ufoofinterest @hoaxeye @kikiawch @Arab_Storms @Jowo_Jawi I suspect a hoax. It kind of looks like there's writing on it, which shows up in multiple images.

Started a thread here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/double-moon-dubai-uae-hoax.11603/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtpE1DfVEAADImk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtpE1DfVEAADImk.jpg

2021-02-07T17:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358467681733677057

@benjimaan22 @Oswald1160 What I say isn't just my opinion, it's things you can verify for yourself, like the altitude of the GOFAST object. Simple trig.

Do not trust me, or think I'm an expert - but you can at least look at what I said, and see if it's right or wrong.

2021-02-07T02:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358234413482401793

@zcichy @_Desmoden @UFO_Researcher @joerogan I posted this simply because YouTube recommended it for me and it struck me as an interesting contrast - his change over time. I think heâ□□s changing in the wrong direction, but change itself is often a positive.

2021-02-07T00:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358217345177505795

@_Desmoden @joerogan I think he's mistaken when he does things like think Lazer is telling the truth or thinking the three Navy videos demonstrate anything new to physics.

2021-02-06T23:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358191184250695681

@ThanosAlpha @LueElizondo @marcorubio @MarkWarner Evidence of what though? Could be evidence of Chinese drones.

2021-02-06T23:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358188865287200769

@ThanosAlpha @LueElizondo @marcorubio @MarkWarner Lue seems to have a strong moral code. But he seems curiously uninterested in the more prosaic explanations that have been proposed for the videos.

I have no reason to suspect that Rubio or Warner were misled. I'm not sure what representation you are referring to though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtlBHs_VEAAc9Qn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtlBHs_VEAAc9Qn.jpg

2021-02-06T20:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358149034242830336

@zcichy @PstarmanUa @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @joerogan @ArielPhenomenon Any numbers?

2021-02-06T20:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358148872267132929

@drumshticknow @joerogan If something has a effect within a domain, then it matters.

2021-02-06T20:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358148409979404290

@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @PstarmanUa @bobofango86 @joerogan Link to footage?

2021-02-06T20:18:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358148202587803648

@benjimaan22 So what is your question?

2021-02-06T20:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358147968935751681

@_Desmoden @joerogan Of course not. Changing your mind after getting good new information that conflicts with your old beliefs is highly admirably. Itâ□□s what Iâ□□m constantly trying to get people to do.

2021-02-06T19:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358138046667911169

@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @PstarmanUa @bobofango86 @joerogan He probably though he was not leading them. That does not mean he was correct.

2021-02-06T19:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358137670052900867

@PstarmanUa @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @joerogan Kids are highly suggestible. False memories can arise in a variety of ways, including as unintentional consequences.

What are the stats here? How many people tell the same story now?

2021-02-06T19:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358136868303421441

@_Desmoden @joerogan Thatâ□□s not the point at all. Itâ□□s the quality of those changes thatâ□□s th issue.

2021-02-06T17:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358106550934511616

@benjimaan22 I can't answer why "Elizondo has been taught so much about UAP capabilities" because I have zero evidence this has happened.

Who taught him? When? What did they teach?

2021-02-06T17:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358106190505459719

@benjimaan22 @Oswald1160 @DTruvet @Tourneu35613408 @joerogan @GarryPNolan @PhenomenonMovie What, in the video, indicates it's not a balloon?

2021-02-06T16:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1358085399516569600

@UFOSully @SteUFOnotCGULLS @PaulJamesDean @UFOCollage @carland27488094 @TheZignal It appears very reminiscent of a cluster of balloons drifting slowly.

2021-02-06T03:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357890692429742080

@drumshticknow @joerogan If someone has a huge audience, then their opinion has an impact.

2021-02-06T03:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357889769787650048

@sarahs_signal @joerogan I don't post on Twitter to support my position on UFOs.

2021-02-06T03:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357889521052864512

@benjimaan22 Taught? What do you mean he was taught? And why do you believe this?

2021-02-06T02:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357872566828814337

@benjimaan22 Concerns over drone and other airspace incursions by unidentified aerial platforms.

2021-02-06T01:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357870358045487106

@benjimaan22 No, we don't.

2021-02-06T00:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357856463608193026

@benjimaan22 @DTruvet @Tourneu35613408 @joerogan @GarryPNolan @PhenomenonMovie Congress would say "holy cow we need to look at this" if it were something as banal as unidentified drones flying over a military base.

"Holy cow" does not equate to evidence of advanced technology.

2021-02-06T00:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357855863151550464

@Taxi_sparky99 There it is. What are you waiting for?

2021-02-06T00:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357846984363712513

@Taxi_sparky99 I've said "I bet that I won't be reduced to zero relevance in the UFO field by events that occur in the next five years. "

If you want to take that bet, then let's do it.

If you want to clarify it, then please do so.

2021-02-06T00:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357845455313379328

@ActuallyImGood @ufouapam @joerogan Do you have a graph or other clear metric of these statistically significant patterns?

2021-02-06T00:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357845203738980352

@Taxi_sparky99 My relevance in the UFO sphere comes from my critical analysis of various claims of evidence.

What are you all in on?

2021-02-06T00:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357843350255140865

@mesolithicguy @Taxi_sparky99 Would I take what job?

"Access to the real classified stuff" isn't a job. But if someone offered that to me at zero cost, I'd take it.

2021-02-06T00:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357842950055550976

@Taxi_sparky99 I bet that I won't be reduced to zero relevance in the UFO field by events that occur in the next five years.

i.e. NOT "At some point, you are going to be exposed by events that occur. At that point, you will have zero relevance."

2021-02-06T00:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357842145068027904

@SicCoP1 @joerogan The point was that he said it four years ago. We know he says something different now. It's to highlight how much he has changed his position.

2021-02-05T23:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357840666714206208

@Taxi_sparky99 You said: "At some point, you are going to be exposed by events that occur."

I asked if you wanted to bet on that, but I also asked you to clarify what it means. Like, what type of event?

2021-02-05T23:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357832997903323136

@fulcrumSeeking @joerogan The point is that he's seemingly changed his opinion.

2021-02-05T22:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357823046598283264

@Taxi_sparky99 What type of things would demonstrate me being wrong?

2021-02-05T22:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357822643412426753

@uFo_dis_ci_ple @ufouapam @joerogan No, I've not talked to Joe for years - he's a busy guy. But I'd suspect it's a combination of the Navy UFOs and Bob Lazar.

2021-02-05T22:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357821790723084288

@Taxi_sparky99 @joerogan Care to place a bet on that happening in the next five years?

2021-02-05T22:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357821605854867457

@ufouapam @joerogan There lots of (air-quotes) evidence.

2021-02-05T22:32:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357819332504342528
@stopthestealll @Oswald1160 @jack One needs an ounce of actual evidence to move on to doubt.

Lindell claims that hacking spreadsheet is "100% proof" but it obviously isn't.

Why not explain where any one line in it actually comes from?

2021-02-05T22:24:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357817370182447104
@stopthestealll @Oswald1160 @jack What's one example number I could verify?

2021-02-05T22:22:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357816796770758656
Four years ago @joerogan on the evidence of aliens flying around the sky: "There's nothing"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=220&v=BiplmyKL_y8&feature=youtu.be

---

2021-02-05T22:10:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357813909135052800
@Oswald1160 @stopthestealll @jack He has a website where he's showing his video. He can just upload them there.

2021-02-05T21:27:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357803039948607489
@stopthestealll I mean where are the actual logs. Not video of them.

2021-02-05T21:14:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357799742323888129
Pointing at a list of numbers isn't evidence supporting your claim of fraud, it's the same claim broken down into lots of little claims.

Produce some evidence that ONE of those lines is actual voter fraud. That's all you need.

He won't. Because it's all bullshit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtffNvWU0AA8YGq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtffNvWU0AA8YGq.jpg

2021-02-05T21:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357798448292978688

@stopthestealll Where are these logs?

2021-02-05T19:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357768276223303685

@stopthestealll Is there's a quick summary somewhere? Best claim of evidence?

2021-02-05T04:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357544966734508034

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer Is complicated. Maybe we should voice chat tomorrow. Save time.

2021-02-05T02:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357511314118123521

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer Given the zoom level, it would be too small to see with the naked eye.

And the optical rotation is discernible by the light patterns over the entire image rotating.

2021-02-05T02:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357510878782918656

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer Itâ□□s the rotation of the heavy external main axis gimbal, described in patents as being prone to vibration, and something to be used as little as possible.

2021-02-05T00:02:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357479720024088576

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer Sure, ask away.

If one of them is "why does the horizon not rotate", then see here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2021-02-04T22:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357448907010179072

@Oswald1160 @of_skeptical @henri_mourant @ggreenwald @SethShostak @SkeptInquirer This is about as close as we get to GOFAST. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtagimiVEAAijS0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtagimiVEAAijS0.jpg

2021-02-04T21:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357447802209529857

@EireScientist I've not really been following the Brexit news - but I'd imagine there are plenty of UK journalists looking into it.

2021-02-04T16:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357366431503945730

RT @CodyBroadway: I hope this story gives people permission to think for themselves. Ask the question, why do I believe in what I do? I metâ¦

2021-02-04T16:30:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357366035314184192

@uelbus @MindfulOfLight @UAPTheory @ufoofinterest Seem most likely.

2021-02-04T16:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357365494148304898

@realFonaldPlump @te3ej Tell that to the judge.

2021-02-04T05:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1357198487448809476
@realFonaldPlump @te3ej Can you prove what you â□□knowâ□□?

2021-02-03T21:51:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357084338291003392
@hardie_joseph @CalgaryPatty I'd like to see detailed evidence to support any of them.

I don't debunk random number claims with no evidence.

I suspect you can google a lot of them. Give it a go.

2021-02-03T21:50:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357084075467542529
@flarkey @UAPTheory @ExploreSCU Indeed - I keep thinking of better ways to explain things. Unfortunately, they often involve work.

I'm trying to get up enough steam to demonstrate rotating engine glare in FLIR1. But am again distracted by election fraud.

Think I'll just go for a ride.

2021-02-03T21:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357083334380113921
@hardie_joseph @CalgaryPatty "Good?"

It looks like a whole bunch of wild and baseless claims.

2021-02-03T21:39:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357081252344393728
@hardie_joseph @CalgaryPatty That looks like a LOT of claims. Can you show the evidence behind any of the big numbers?

2021-02-03T21:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357080780585881601
@flarkey @UAPTheory @ExploreSCU I do get the "someone is wrong on the Internet" bug sometimes. Aguadilla being a case in point. It's fun, but it's also a bit tedious to put everything together in a presentable way. So I end up with random stuff like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1357080697102508035/pu/img/pEopXLivspZdOvaY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1357080697102508035/pu/img/pEopXLivspZdOvaY.jpg
2021-02-03T21:31:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357079383534493699
@HighbrowHaze I'm still interested in the election fraud claims, as a LOT of people are still down that rabbit hole, and that's not a good thing for democracy. I'll see what he comes up with, but either way I'm going to keep working on it.

2021-02-03T21:30:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357079030692859905
@hardie_joseph @CalgaryPatty Which claim is the most major?

2021-02-03T21:26:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357078065914863616
@mark58329831 I'll wait to see if Mike brings it up.

2021-02-03T19:14:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1357044734942871552
In #TFTRH Episode 48 my guest describes how she gradually realized she was dating a conspiracy theorist, and what it was that became the final straw.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NbExcSwsEoI

2021-02-03T15:44:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356991889577136129
Ashley Vanderbilt's story of her journey down the QAnon rabbit hole (and her escape) is a great example of the role of isolation and inactivity. Stuck at home during the pandemic, a lot of people got sucked in, in part, because they had no-one to talk to and nothing better to do
https://twitter.com/donie/status/1356955354471530497

2021-02-03T15:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356988689340002311

I hope Mike Lindell produces the best ever evidence of election fraud in one package, so we can concisely explain where he was fooled and then move on.

https://twitter.com/RightWingWatch/status/1356979666276474881

2021-02-03T14:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356966408953843712

RT @firstdraftnews: Struggling to track the vaccine roll out? Our new Vaccine Insights Hub offers live data and insights, exposing the keyâ□¦

2021-02-03T13:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356965421820248066

RT @scott_tobias: Dr. Oz cannot be allowed to host this show. Heâ□□s a fraud and a grifter and as far from the spirit of this knowledge-of-acâ□¦

2021-02-03T13:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356965142018158592

RT @ufoofinterest: I was asked by @Fish_og of @Daily_Express about this case, explaining what are those objects "descending" over Denver (câ□¦

2021-02-03T04:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356816916300529664

@alpha_check @dave_beaty @ActuallyImGood @BobKurbel @IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @xnert @BlueshiftRadio @alejandrotrojas It seems that way. However false memories seem just as real as true memories.

2021-02-03T02:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356789336109318144

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio Who?

2021-02-03T01:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356777972624097283

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio I am telling you that, yes. That's the path of the sight-line of the camera hitting the ground.

2021-02-03T01:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356777799651004418

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio They don't. They are drifting slowly with the wind.

2021-02-03T01:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356777680813744181

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio Hypothesis: It's drifting over the runway.

Prediction: videos simulating this will look like the actual video.

Result, with a static object. : https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=6&v=c4AJgB_QWZs

---

2021-02-03T01:28:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356776549563817984
@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio They don't do any of that. They are just drifting, just north of the airport.

2021-02-03T01:26:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356776034465587200
@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio Only because you've been primed into thinking the video shows one thing.

Once you start looking at it as something drifting over the airport, that makes a lot more sense.

Consider this video. It's focused on a point above the airport.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6MHl6g8pGBg

2021-02-03T01:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356770851807399941
@ActuallyImGood @BobKurbel @IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio It's problematic. Doesn't Fravor discount the testimony of some of the other witnesses?

2021-02-03T00:57:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356768813304352769
@BobKurbel @ActuallyImGood @IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio Your only other "data point" from the actual events videoed is the 16-year old recollections of Chad Underwood.

You have some different recollections about different events too, but no video or real data for those.

2021-02-03T00:48:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356766460673101824
@benjimaan22 @PredatoryPanic @ufoofinterest It appears to be a UFO balloon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQz5W1VoAAHlzx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQz5W1VoAAHlzx.jpg

2021-02-03T00:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356755920177430531

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio I think it's a lighter than air object, probably two Chinese lanterns tied together, drifting over the airport.

2021-02-02T23:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356753253493428229

@IamDrVenkman @UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio It's certainly more entertaining!

2021-02-02T23:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356744505689444353

@Scribe1969 @gummibear737 The US population is not rapidly increasing. So nobody cares about it.

Do you have an example where he makes some out-of-whack estimate of the population of some nation?

He puts up graphs like this, but what's exactly is the problem here?
https://www.gatesfoundation.org/goalkeepers/report/2018-report/case-studies/putting-her-in-charge/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQfoo1UUAI2qWV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQfoo1UUAI2qWV.jpg
2021-02-02T23:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356743572767133704
@alpha_check Hey, he's going to release all the evidence on Friday. Nobody is stopping him from doing that. Although his lawyers probably should.

2021-02-02T23:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356742926202675201
@UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio This one, maybe?
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EtQeascUcAA77DQ.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EtQeascUcAA77DQ.jpg
2021-02-02T23:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356742384294391808
@UAPTheory @flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio Dismiss? I've literally been analyzing and discussing them for YEARS!

You want to pick one second of the original Aquadilla footage you think best demonstrates warped spacetime?

2021-02-02T23:09:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356741647082549248
This is a funny interview with Mike Lindell.

Also kind of sad, as it seems like he actually believes what he's saying. Not sure if that will be a good defense when Dominion sue him.
https://twitter.com/JasonSCampbell/status/1356719881564086272

2021-02-02T23:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356740557964369925

@Based_Haydn @gummibear737 So are you deliberately not listening to him?

2021-02-02T22:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356736489556611072

@flarkey @BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio @UAPTheory He's massively misinterpreting the results of AI upscaling as gravitational lensing.

2021-02-02T22:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356735997367607300

@gummibear737 What's illogical about global health? "The area of study, research and practice that places a priority on improving health and achieving equity in health for all people worldwide".

2021-02-02T22:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356734957570334720

@BobKurbel @xnert @dave_beaty @flarkey @BlueshiftRadio I'll happily go on record here and say that http://uaptheory.com is bullshit. Nicely polished bullshit, but bullshit nonetheless.

2021-02-02T21:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356712686696861697

@xnert @dave_beaty @BobKurbel @flarkey @BlueshiftRadio How much brighter than this glare can it possibly be? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQC4kDUYAAxS0t.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtQC4kDUYAAxS0t.jpg

2021-02-02T18:03:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356664584728272898
@knightsabers01 @MindfulOfLight It's the same thing.

2021-02-02T17:25:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356654907374276608
@dave_beaty @xnert @BobKurbel @flarkey @BlueshiftRadio I feel another video coming.....

It's hard to see, but I think you can actually just make out a rotating glare (in FLIR1/Nimitz) on the right during the gimbal correction. Same mechanism as GIMBAL.

I've not really followed up on it as the video is too low a resolution.

2021-02-02T17:18:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356653118277079040
@Spootums @aquamanspenis @BlueshiftRadio @Th_Unidentified It's not a seagull, it's a plane. and all that ping ponging around and weird radar stuff does not happen in this video.

2021-02-02T17:14:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356652345824661505
@knightsabers01 @MindfulOfLight "UAPs create distortions in the spacetime surrounding them to produce geodesics along which they move acceleration-free."
=
Magic.

2021-02-02T17:13:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356652066253332484
@DavidMu86437761 @ufoofinterest I do! COHO71 and COHO73
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtPL22HU4Ak7mRA.jpg



AIRCRAFT INFO

AIRCRAFT MODEL
Boeing C-17A Globemaster III

REGISTRATION	MODE-S
08-8196	**AE2FA8**
SERIAL NUMBER	AIRCRAFT AGE
50219/F218/P-196	

LAST ACTIVITY
9 hours ago

LAST KNOWN LOCATION
Charleston, SC, United States

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtPL22HU4Ak7mRA.jpg

2021-02-02T17:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356649383589154820

@blackvaultcom @CIA Probably because they are investigating (or have investigated) the origins of the pandemic as possible bioterrorism or negligence - at least to some degree.

2021-02-02T15:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356626501521661956

@dave_beaty @xnert @BobKurbel @flarkey @BlueshiftRadio I think the IR shape is plane shape + engine glare.

Note, initially itâ□□s ALL engine glare. Think about why.

2021-02-02T15:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356625448092934145

@blackvaultcom @CIA Isnâ□□t that boilerplate language?

2021-02-02T00:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356394589070843905

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 I don't answer rhetorical questions. Ask a real one, or leave.

2021-02-02T00:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356392839639228416

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 What is this? Logic kindergarten?

Say what you mean to say.

2021-02-02T00:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356391908025634816

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald I have not said anyone is lying.

2021-02-01T23:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356391047559962625

@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald I don't ignore what "experts" say. I examine it in great depth.

2021-02-01T23:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356390821692547075

@DavidMu86437761 @ufoofinterest Two C170A Globemasters, and one other unidentified plane.

2021-02-01T23:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356387260527071232

@SocialistFT @LueElizondo No video for that one.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3iPZXrifso

2021-02-01T23:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356386581146333185

@zcichy @Bhima_Jenkins @Spootums @BlueshiftRadio @Th_Unidentified I try never to go 100% for anything. I keep things on the list of possibilities.
Is it possible that at the same time the camera moved the object ALSO zipped away at 100g, then teleported back into place? Sure.
Is it at all plausible? Not really.

2021-02-01T23:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356380508532269057

@skilldrick http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtLU4UAU4AEqGr1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtLU4UAU4AEqGr1.jpg

2021-02-01T23:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356380348213460992

RT @ufoofinterest: About the three "mysterious objects" seen descending over Denver and Aurora, Colorado on Jan. 31, 2021, those are clearlâ¦

2021-02-01T22:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356371614959427590

@skilldrick http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtLMycFU0AIas2t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtLMycFU0AIas2t.jpg

2021-02-01T22:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356363631856820224

@dave_beaty @BlueshiftRadio @xnert @BobKurbel I'm using the same as in the SCU report.

0.35 in 2X NAR.

2021-02-01T21:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356359925765529600

@skilldrick Where was the photo taken? I want to recreate it in Google Earth. Need exact location.

2021-02-01T21:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356348321862877184

@skilldrick COHO71 and COHO73 show up together. COHO71 was a C-17A flying from McChord Field in WA to Charlston international, SC. Might have been another plane with them. Is that the right direction? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtK3YHuU0AAMEOC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtK3YHuU0AAMEOC.jpg

2021-02-01T20:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356342608084168704

@skilldrick What time was it?

2021-02-01T20:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356341041629024258

@RayJack62496046 @LueElizondo @rpowell2u Robert is welcome to. But he keeps insisting on some pointless "debate" format when I just want to chat.

2021-02-01T20:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356340181649956864

@BlueshiftRadio @dave_beaty That is the only time in the video it switched from NAR to WFOV.

In my video, I go through every single apparent motion.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-02-01T18:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356304100967649281

@sukitakita @LueElizondo And I don't have Lue's email, so if anyone does, I'd appreciate it if you could forward this invitation to him, as it might not get there just via Twitter.

2021-02-01T17:56:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356300491592933376
@sukitakita @LueElizondo Tales From The Rabbit Hole.

This links to the UFO related episodes.
https://www.tftrh.com/category/ufos-aliens/

And this is all episodes:
https://www.tftrh.com/

On Apple Podcasts:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole/id1462120258

(and on all other platforms)

2021-02-01T17:53:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356299663867928576
@LueElizondo, I invite you on my podcast to discuss recent and future developments in the study of UAPs. I've had on: Nick Pope, Seth Shostak, Gary Voorhis, Tim McMillan, Brandon Fugal, and others. So I think we can have a very interesting conversation.
DM or mick@mickwest.com

2021-02-01T17:40:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356296390314377218
@JLuvin @AFSUnidentified @Paul_Budding_ @SteUFOnotCGULLS Erm, yes I did. It's just one of the camera movements identified in No Sudden Moves.

3:15 here
https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ?t=195

2021-02-01T17:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356288497544351744
@benjimaan22 @UFO_Researchers @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher Here it's light enough to see the hill and the clouds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1356288384449216512/pu/img/geot3tquBpqPrCdN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1356288384449216512/pu/img/geot3tquBpqPrCdN.jpg
2021-02-01T17:07:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356288101467910149
@benjimaan22 @UFO_Researchers @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher And is it not dark in the clip in question? Looks like maybe early morning.

2021-02-01T17:00:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356286408101543937
@benjimaan22 @UFO_Researchers @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher Here's an X shape made by drones. You can't see half of them.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ_S3uUUAEJdSg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ_S3uUUAEJdSg.jpg
2021-02-01T16:54:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356284782041124864
@benjimaan22 @UFO_Researchers @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher I don't think any of my cameras are this bad.

Also, unfortunately I don't have a drone. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ9uK4U0AApUcc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ9uK4U0AApUcc.jpg
2021-02-01T16:48:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356283222649290762
@Bhima_Jenkins @Spootums @BlueshiftRadio @Th_Unidentified There is no distinct cloud pattern in that video.

However, if you single-step through it, you will see a region of light coming in from the top right as the object moves off the bottom left.

This region of light moves at the same speed. So it's camera movement.

2021-02-01T16:42:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356281890559311872
@UFO_Researchers @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher Here's a demonstration of how this one-frame effect comes from camera stabilization:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8LLcg9W9loA

2021-02-01T16:33:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356279576335302660
@TheUfoJoe @tommyIzito @Oswald1160 @HRFaulkner @ScolesSarah @keithkloor @LueElizondo I'm not being deliberately disingenuous. Maybe my biases are showing, but I'm not sitting out to mislead.

I think people often take what I propose as one possibility, and think that's my entire position.

But which argument did you have in mind?

2021-02-01T16:31:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356278956513640454
@UFO_Researchers @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest @UFO_Researcher Smiley face? Something like this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ3QFHVQAk1Cs4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJ3QFHVQAk1Cs4.jpg
2021-02-01T15:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356264722287345667
@UFO_Researchers @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest You mean during the camera shake around 29 seconds?

That's camera shake, the very quick motions probably sensor shift image stabilizations.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJrMAbUwAE428w.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtJrMAbUwAE428w.jpg
2021-02-01T15:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356263340813651972
@TRandallizer @AFSUnidentified @ExogenesisHH @BlueshiftRadio I'm bemused as to why people think this was missed? It's been discussed extensively for years. 3:16 here.

https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ?t=196

2021-02-01T15:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356261108059783172

@Spootums @BlueshiftRadio @Th_Unidentified It's old. It's just camera motion due to changing from NAR to WFOV. The physical shift of the light path in the camera moves the image - like how the image moves when you rotate lenses on a microscope. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2021-02-01T06:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356132063468916742

@socalkoi1 @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest Yes. The cloud remains at the same position relative to the dot. Here's the frames before and after that glitch. Notice the clouds have moved left with the dot. It's camera motion there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtHyyYmUYAQp52I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtHyyYmUYAQp52I.jpg

2021-02-01T06:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1356129570248790017

@socalkoi1 @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest It's a camera movement. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtHwnq9U4AEYPjL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtHwnq9U4AEYPjL.jpg
2021-02-01T06:34:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356128693454655489
@socalkoi1 @benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest At what point in the video do you think it makes a movement that is impossible for a drone?

Please check that you're not misinterpreting camera motion.

2021-02-01T04:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356097102711902211
@benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest Not necessarily, no. Imagine a flashlight 500 feet away at that resolution. Often the light is visible when the object is not.

2021-02-01T04:07:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356091856954638336
@benjimaan22 @uapresearch @SpacedOutRadio @ufoofinterest Possibly a drone with a bright light.

2021-02-01T01:58:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1356059349798375429
@TheUfoJoe @HRFaulkner @ScolesSarah @keithkloor Iâ□□d be happy to have a chat. @LueElizondo, would you come on my podcast?

2021-01-31T21:24:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355990275017953281
@TheQuengineer

https://toofab.com/2021/01/29/jewish-space-laser-california-wildfires-marjorie-taylor-greene-solaren/

2021-01-31T21:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355989565199183873

@TheQuengineer She was actually talking about space-based solar power laser transmitting satellites having a stray beam. Also something that's not currently happening.

2021-01-31T18:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355950491293818881

The "space-lasers starting forest fires" conspiracy theory has cropped up again thanks to QAnon Congressperson Majorie Taylor Green. But it's been around since 2017, and there's a sub-forum on Metabunk dedicated to the topic, explaining things.

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Wildfires/

2021-01-31T18:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355941879561351170

RT @briansolis: :folded_hands_light_skin_tone: I'm discussing â□□The Science and Allure of Conspiraciesâ□□ with @MickWest. Thursday, Feb 4 at 4:30 PM PST on @joinclubhouseâ□¦

2021-01-31T18:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355941749269569537

@TrymianCassidy I think holocaust denial is more consequential than things like chemtrails, specifically because of its direct anti-semitic meaning - the othering of a people, rather than an elite.

My "extreme" label was more about the anti-science aspects of conspiracy theories.

2021-01-31T04:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355728180120719361

@head27 Figure it out. You are not stupid.

2021-01-31T00:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355668220594667520

The Dodger Stadium Covid-19 vaccination site was briefly shut down by anti-vax protestors. This was organized by "Stand for Freedom Now" - an eccentric group who think the vaccine is part of a "transhumanist agenda" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtBMcmIUYAENjcW.jpg

---

If you make your own sign consider using short simple messages relevant to the COVID testing and vax center with clear bold type for example:

"COVID Tests = Unproven Inaccurate Nonsense"

"COVID Vax = A Bizarre Experiment"

"The C.D.C. Admits the COVID Test Is Inaccurate"

"COVID Vaccine Makers Are Exempt From Liability When They Make You Sick"

"The Media Is Lying To You About COVID"

Flyers: We'll be providing flyers for all protesters to hand out.

After the protest event we'll be having an after hours flyering action for an hour where we go out into the general area around the protest location and pass flyers out on parked cars and to passers by.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtBMcmIUYAENjcW.jpg

This Saturday In Echo Park
At The Entrance Of The Dodger Stadium Complex

Paulette Is Offering To Arrange Carpools
To The Protest
For Those Interested in Carpooling



Image Above: Stand For Freedom Now & Freedom Angel groups protesting in front of the Los Angeles Department of Public Health in December 2020

Dodger Stadium in the Echo Park area of metro Los Angeles is being used to administer COVID-19 tests and vaccinations. As we all know, the COVID-19 so-called pandemic crisis is a massive deception. This is just one more manifestation of this deception and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtBMMHTUYAMA5Oh.jpg

Day: This Saturday the 30th of January 2021
Time: 12pm-3pm (Plus an after hours flyering action in the area for those that want to join)
Location: In the Echo Park area of metro Los Angeles **at the corner of Sunset Blvd & Vin Scully**. We'll be meeting and protesting at this corner from 12 noon to 3pm. If you need directions to the general area refer to this page on the Dodger's website.
Parking: There is plenty of free or very cheap coin metered street parking in the area.

CARPOOL INFO: SFFN Planning Committee leader Paulette is offering to connect people who're going to the protest who're interested in carpooling with each other. If you're interested in carpooling you can e-mail Paulette at: paulettenavarro@yahoo.com She'll get back to you asap with more info about others in your area who you can carpool with.

Signs: Bring your own protest sign if you have the time to make one, or use one of the dozens of pre-made protest signs that our group will bring.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EtBMVtuUcAEWeLU.jpg
2021-01-30T23:47:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355663982959321088
RT @fman123: @MickWest hijacked this off the step daughter as she was taking to long to read it! Only up to part 1 and already I can feel nâ¦

2021-01-30T23:47:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355663933177122819
@fman123 Thank you!

2021-01-30T23:36:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355661214878027777
@sciencefandom @LyndaRVA_UAP @ContactTour1 @CitizensDipCE5 I agree, they look just like pyrotechnic skydivers.

2021-01-30T23:35:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355660850137194498
@of_skeptical @Oswald1160 @henri_mourant @ggreenwald The object isn't rotating.

2021-01-30T03:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355362393522462720
@head27 Itâ□□s not that complicated

2021-01-29T21:55:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355273303485648897
@ThomasRotthier Since there are a few, the best way of finding what you are looking for is to use Google. Simply enter the subject matter, maybe add "explained" or "debunked" and see the results. That way you get a variety of (mostly) good sources.
Example: https://www.google.com/search?q=explained+empty+hospitals+coronavirus
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es7lyVoVoAA_JAt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es7lyVoVoAA_JAt.jpg
2021-01-29T18:23:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355220004300460036
UFO, or TFA?

I was recently reminded of this old "case" of a mysterious flashing light hovering in my backyard.

All Unidentified Flying Objects are Too Far Away.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vmI2gMw8j2c

2021-01-29T18:16:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1355218353233645568
@thatonetxginger It's not just a squeeze, a lot of people didn't really understand what was happening and essentially jumped in to speculate, catching a rising tide. The line will break. What's the end game?

The point is, little people are going to get hurt too.

2021-01-29T18:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355216688627609603

@SusanGerbic @Metabunk @GSoW_team I was just thinking I need to revisit that presentation and do a YouTube version.

2021-01-29T17:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355205292707909633

The Gamestop thing has an endpoint as a novel pyramid scheme. It will obviously collapse at some point. Probably soon. https://twitter.com/DJGrothe/status/1355204725008809985

2021-01-29T17:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355203227336101897

@RichardGriffs It's 85% effective in preventing severe disease after 28 days. 72% Moderate to severe in the US. 66% worldwide.

Actual J&J statement:

https://www.jnj.com/johnson-johnson-announces-single-shot-janssen-covid-19-vaccine-candidate-met-primary-endpoints-in-interim-analysis-of-its-phase-3-ensemble-trial

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es6mHSpUYAgPyOn.jpg

NEW BRUNSWICK, N.J., January 29, 2021 – Johnson & Johnson (NYSE: JNJ) (the Company) today announced topline efficacy and safety data from the Phase 3 ENSEMBLE clinical trial, demonstrating that the investigational single-dose COVID-19 vaccine in development at its Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies met all primary and key secondary endpoints. The topline safety and efficacy data are based on 43,783 participants accruing 468 symptomatic cases of COVID-19.

The Phase 3 ENSEMBLE study is designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the Janssen COVID-19 vaccine candidate in protecting moderate to severe COVID-19, with co-primary endpoints of 14 days and 28 days following vaccination. Among all participants from different geographies and including those infected with an emerging viral variant, Janssen's COVID-19 vaccine candidate was 66% effective overall in preventin moderate to severe COVID-19, 28 days after vaccination. The onset of protection was observed as early as day 14. The level of protection against moderate to severe COVID-19 infection was 72% in the United States, 66% in Latin America and 57% in South Africa, 28 days post-vaccination.

Prevention of severe disease; protection against COVID-related hospitalization and death

The vaccine candidate was 85 percent effective in preventing severe disease across all regions studied, [i] 28 days after vaccination in all adults 18 years and older. Efficacy against severe disease increased over time with no cases in vaccinated participants reported after day 49.

The Janssen COVID-19 vaccine candidate demonstrated complete protection against COVID-related hospitalization and death, 28 days post-vaccination. There was a clear effect of the vaccine on COVID-19 cases requiring medical intervention (hospitalization, ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), with no reported cases among participants who had received the Janssen COVID-19 vaccine, 28 days post-vaccination.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es6mHSpUYAgPyOn.jpg

2021-01-29T15:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355183325686599682

RT @fbnwcmn: Latest @RANEurope Paper about: The Impact of Conspiracy Narratives on Violent #RWE and #LWE Narratives. THX to @b_rottweilerUCâ¦

2021-01-29T15:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355181673193689090

@stang289 @OKftl @splcenter Do you support MTG?

2021-01-29T15:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355181327440510977

Mixed messages on the Johnson and Johnson vaccine results. NBC (and CNN) lead with the okay sounding but misleading 85%. BBC and Reuters go with the world average 66%. NYT notes it's 72% in the US, less in Africa. Fox News pushes the story down page. Stock market is disappointed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es6RCUzVkAEAIle.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Es6RCUzVkAEAIle.jpg

2021-01-29T15:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355171147994652674

@timshie89740794 I don't think there are any huge revelations here. The financial markets have never favored the little man. Even what the Redditors is doing is unattainable for many people.

And they have created a dangerous bubble, seemingly to make a point. Some poor people will also lose.

2021-01-29T05:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1355025221586046978

@timshie89740794 It is what it appears to be. Bunch of people forced a short squeeze. GME had excessive short interest, squeezing it went viral. Not sustainable. Minor disruption.

2021-01-29T03:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354994769399693315

Clearly, Marjorie Taylor Greene does her own research.

2021-01-29T01:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354963534745014275

RT @mehdirhasan: Frazzledrip

QAnon

Pizzagate

Executing Pelosi

'Islamic invasion'

Rothschild laser beam

And much, much more...

I summarizedâ¦

2021-01-29T01:23:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354963345170817040

@michaelshermer @Jeopardy @KenJennings I must admit I was pleasantly surprised by how well he did. I'd be quite happy with him being the host.

But I think they have several other hosts recorded already.

2021-01-29T01:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354961123993214979

@of_skeptical @henri_mourant @ggreenwald I think it's probably a plane. That's what I consider to be the most likely explanation. I don't eliminate other possibilities.

2021-01-29T00:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354949590441619457

@of_skeptical @henri_mourant @ggreenwald Chad seemed perfectly fine accepting it as an equipment malfunction at the time, and even years later.

I don't know exactly what happened. But I DO know the video does not show sudden movements.

2021-01-29T00:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354946586694979589

@of_skeptical @henri_mourant @ggreenwald My points are about sudden moves. Chad claims that the video shows them. It does not. He failed to address this.

2021-01-27T05:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354298690400178176

@_Desmoden @GrantCameron I think this is something Iâ□□d need to see.

It sounds simply like someone not understanding what they are looking at.

2021-01-27T05:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354295641866833921

@SafetySOC @Tourneu35613408 @HunterRedX Heck, a smart 13 something child could have read about 115 and then pretended it was spaceship fuel. Itâ□□s not that complicated.

2021-01-27T01:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354236584439160832

RT @YAppelbaum: The rise of video investigation teamsâ□□notably at WaPo, NYT, and WSJâ□□stitching together footage to reconstruct events, is anâ□¦

2021-01-26T22:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354195671331430400

@HunterRedX I'd bet against it. Lazar is lying or delusional.

2021-01-26T22:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354195247903850498

@GrantCameron How is it's brightness detected if it's not giving off (or reflecting) photons? Brightness detectors are photon detectors.

2021-01-26T18:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354135374738542593

@bjorngranat @hoaxeye I don't have a phone with a black coiled cable. Headphones had to do.

2021-01-26T17:58:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1354126459791499264

@frasermurray78 Yeah, Shepard's target audience seems to be the overly-credulous apocalyptic crowd. I think a majority of the calls were Planet-X, which is 99.99% nonsense and misunderstandings - much like chemtrails.

2021-01-26T06:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353945815996239872

@Berlinghoff_R Some survivorship bias there. Like with Heavenâ□□s Gate, people leave after failed prophecy. The hard core stay.

2021-01-26T01:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353877446056046593

@russianbot6666 Except with a lot more of God weighing in.

2021-01-26T01:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353871119338545152

@tombatron Seems like the word of God is somewhat fallible then.

2021-01-26T00:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353870068115861504

A lot of rather self-confident preachers and prophets predicted a Trump landslide. Some of them guaranteed it!

It will be interesting how they rationalize what actually happened.
https://vimeo.com/502344769

2021-01-26T00:49:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353867599369564160
@paul_sailer Sometimes, yes, depending on where the audience is.

2021-01-26T00:46:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353866944420564992
@Acelaotzen I agree.

2021-01-26T00:46:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353866827789606912
@paul_sailer Yes it does. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EsnmsaCU0AIZuuR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EsnmsaCU0AIZuuR.jpg
2021-01-26T00:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353861971838345217
@Acelaotzen Of course, it's just not common for people to get into a conspiracist tizzy about it. Overly suspicious people tend to take minor little misunderstandings like this, and, rather than figure them out, they assume it was edited.
Can be quite resistant to the explanation too.

2021-01-26T00:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353860448995528704
When thinking about what's going on in reflections, it's best to try to imagine it as a fully three

dimensional inverted world behind the glass - rather than an inverted image.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EsngdpIUwAE_8Ef.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EsngdpIUwAE_8Ef.jpg
2021-01-26T00:18:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353859893808123906
That Boris Johnson thing reminded me of this classic mirror illusion (and accusation of image editing.) Mike Pence's daughter is invisible in the reflection. But she's actually behind him. It seems impossible, but it's not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esnf8zZVkAAgPhw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esnf8zZVkAAgPhw.jpg

2021-01-25T23:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353854926259068929

@PatrickJM1985 People are really used to looking at themselves in a mirror where the view is perpendicular to the surface, so when it's a 45Â° view their mental model breaks. It's an understandable initial confusion - but then people stick with it.

2021-01-25T23:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353850783905640449

@Jim94334698 It's somewhat understandable, as reflections can be confusing.

And photos are sometimes edited.

2021-01-25T23:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353847818872451072

@BrodiePhoto Debunked! :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1353847720209911808/pu/img/pQ8QZsi9-6JoadAn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1353847720209911808/pu/img/pQ8QZsi9-6JoadAn.jpg
2021-01-25T23:06:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353841687559245825
@realFonaldPlump The third equation is Einstein's field equation. The three equations show the evolution of the understanding of gravity.

2021-01-25T22:18:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353829613114322944
Me in my bathroom pretending to be Boris Johnson.

I've not gone mad, just demonstrating how a phone cord viewed in a reflection is not always at the same angle as the actual phone cord. And not an indication of an edited image.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsnEKg_VoAE3N1l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsnEKg_VoAE3N1l.jpg
2021-01-25T20:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353807501070766080

@AdobeCare Unfortunately I won't be able to tell, as I somehow nudged my system into a state where it's currently saving correctly.

I'd deleted some files off the external drive, and emptied the trash. Bug vanished, for now. Adding back lots of files to use up the space does no reproduce

---

2021-01-25T20:12:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353798035117993987
@AdobeCare Seems related to saving to an external 2TB ExFat SSD , however, it's intermittent. Was consistently failing, then I deleted some files from the external drive, then added back more files, and now I can't get it immediately to happen.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esmk7I5U0AAq25q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esmk7I5U0AAq25q.jpg
2021-01-25T19:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353794058586492929
@AdobeCare Hi, I did try all those workarounds before, and none of them had any effect. It did SEEM briefly better after I rolled back to 22.0.1 (which did nothing) and then back to 21.1.1, now it's bad again.

2021-01-25T01:19:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353512702644113409
@socalkoi1 @BradBurton @0mega_Point @junglist1973 Here it is played backward (which is quite possible the original video.)

Now it looks like a loose clump of balloons drifting in the wind, then getting caught and settling down in some trees

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UX1V5qxB2u0

2021-01-24T23:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353478100521111553

@socalkoi1 @BradBurton @0mega_Point @junglist1973 Perhaps (as someone in the comment suggested) the video is run in reverse.

2021-01-24T22:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353470164994412544

@rinsana I'm pretty sure athletes don't generally worry about the overall NextGen stats on any given play. But isn't there still some inherent risk assessment you have to take, say, in going for the three-pointer or a walk or a field goal at various different points in a game?

2021-01-24T22:25:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353469116246462465

@Alien_Scientist Of course, facts can prove a conspiracy exists. Conspiracies are very real and happen all the time.

2021-01-24T21:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353459120901218305

@TyrannyCounter @ConspiracyBull1 Still, there's enough out there that just looking at the stuff that HAS been debunked should give one pause, if actually open-minded.

2021-01-24T21:35:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353456516796346369

@19Nimbus @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK Huh, a narrow field of view won't make a difference.

If the view axis remains parallel, then small movements of the camera will have a huge effect on things close to it (eg 1-10 cm), but very little on things far away (10-100m)

2021-01-24T21:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353454974504591361

@BradBurton @0mega_Point @junglist1973 What suggest this is not what it looks like, a bunch of balloons?

2021-01-24T19:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353424656364048385

@19Nimbus @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK That depends on how close they are to the camera. A tiny field shift (rather than a rotation) will only be noticeable for motes that are very close to the camera.

2021-01-24T17:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353396039529578496

Fact-based debunking works when people are ready for it.

Creating factual debunkings of claims might not seem like it has any immediate effect, but it's a resource that needs to be there when people reach the tipping point.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esg5tcBVEAI9_IF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Esg5tcBVEAI9_IF.jpg

2021-01-24T16:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353374365061005319

@19Nimbus @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK Itâ□□s like the ultimate â□□small, or far awayâ□□ puzzle.

2021-01-24T15:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353369097346576385

@RJMsmith @Peston @BorisJohnson Itâ□□s visible, as others have said. Not complicated.

2021-01-24T15:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353368293982146560

@19Nimbus @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK I suspect they are relatively close to the camera, and the change is not them, but the STS and/or the camera. The distances vary more than it seems.

2021-01-24T01:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353153401366867968

@zcichy Yeah, Skype crashed on me last time I used it. Zoom is pretty solid, but a bit basic for video.

2021-01-24T00:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353135651865018368

@Darkymax It's just the video quality and the bright lights. You can see the text when it's not lit directly.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-biden-signing-blank-executive-orders.11568/

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1353135498189971457/pu/img/HiOpHMU2jTsFCd4a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1353135498189971457/pu/img/HiOpHMU2jTsFCd4a.jpg
2021-01-23T21:53:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353098667037626368
@lucio_linae @LyndaRVA_UAP @Adobe @Photoshop I've got 64GB. The file is 188MB.

For me it seemed to be related to saving on a removable drive. I've reinstalled 22.1.1, rebooted, and it seems to be working for now.

2021-01-23T21:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353097682730930176
@realFonaldPlump @gummibear737 Or perhaps Trump just wanted his excuses at the ready.

How can we tell? Maybe look at the actual evidence?

2021-01-23T21:49:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353097431932522496
@THughesPhoto @Adobe @Photoshop It would be hard to switch to something else. I've been using Photoshop for 20 years. Really annoying.

I mean, how hard is it to save a file?

2021-01-23T21:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353096279404945410
@realFonaldPlump @gummibear737 It's well known, as has been for a long time, that mail-ins favor Democrats.

Trump told his followers to vote in person.

2021-01-23T21:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1353095959329161217
@skytriangles @Oswald1160 Claiming that the grieving parents are lying about the deaths of the children is the problem here.

2021-01-23T21:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353091326846275584
@Sacfoodie916 @DusterePodcast @MadScientistPod @BigelowSpace @rscottkemp @MIT I do think that.
Bigelow is either joking here, or overly credulous.

2021-01-23T21:22:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353090820736380929
@cameronrad @Adobe @Photoshop MacOS 11.1, Photoshop 22.0.1 to 22.1.1 (I rolled back, didn't help).

It's surprisingly bad. People have lost clients. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsckzClUcAA4wpP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsckzClUcAA4wpP.jpg
2021-01-23T21:19:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353089982022336516
@gummibear737 I specified "Massive Election Fraud" - of which there is no good evidence.

Election fraud of various stripes occurs in every election and is something to be concerned about, investigated, and prosecuted.

2021-01-23T21:02:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353085675579432961
What's going on at @adobe? @Photoshop is failing at the simplest of tasks - saving a file. And it's been going on for months, for hundreds of people!
(They marked the thread as solved, but it isn't).
I can't edit Bernie, but people are losing jobs!

https://community.adobe.com/t5/photoshop/m-program-error-while-opening-or-creating-new-document-after-photoshop-22-1-update/m-p/11674279?page=42
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EscftheVoAA6sVM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EscftheVoAA6sVM.jpg
2021-01-23T17:05:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353025980198096896
@UFO_Researchers @UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Bringing up the "we destroyed the technology" issue should be a litmus test for how serious a person is.

It's basically a Flat-Earth-level lack of bothering to try to understand anything, preferring a fantastical conspiracy theory version of history over reality.

Look it up.

2021-01-23T17:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353025055526014977
Alex Jones' chickens are coming home to roost after his appeal to the Texas Supreme Court fails, leaving the door open for Sandy Hook parents to sue him for millions.

https://lawandcrime.com/high-profile/texas-supreme-court-silently-denies-alex-jones-all-forms-of-relief-sandy-hook-families-and-others-can-now-sue-conspiracy-theorist-and-infowars-into-the-ground/

2021-01-23T16:14:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1353013199260405766

@of_skeptical @henri_mourant @ggreenwald My response to Chad.

https://youtu.be/Sqq4AsQch6g

2021-01-23T06:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352863979945684992
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK When did NASA say that?

2021-01-23T01:46:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352794876937605122
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Nope, you are just being overly suspicious.

2021-01-23T00:44:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352779160716943360
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Also: check before tweeting.

2021-01-23T00:43:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352778961512620032
@UFO_Researcher @UFOResearchers @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Sounds more like "weird-facts" is claiming it, because they think it's funny.

Nasa said they were "condensed ice crystals from the small hydrogen peroxide rockets used for altitude control."

https://history.nasa.gov/SP-404/ch7.htm

2021-01-23T00:36:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352777176660070402
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researchers @UFO_Researcher @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK What does that 1962 bag have to do with the 75th Space Shuttle flight in 1996?

Space shuttle wastewater is held in tanks, it is not recycled as they are only up there a few days (16 for this mission). When the tanks fill up, they empty them into space.

2021-01-23T00:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352775484820529152
@PostJawn Hmm, a kind of cognitive infiltration....

2021-01-23T00:28:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352775256016965633
@PlutoElect @SugarMAGA
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-biden-in-fake-oval-office.11567/

2021-01-23T00:28:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352775151746768897
@UFO_Researchers @UFO_Researcher @UFOResearchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Full video here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MgvA7ar0Dv8

2021-01-23T00:18:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352772681418952704
@UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @UFOResearchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK It's STS-75. Same mission.

2021-01-23T00:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352772293915676676
No, Biden was not signing blank EOs. It was just video, and bright lights.

You can see the text when the EOs are briefly shaded in the video. (And also in multiple photos)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352771945935196161/pu/img/Jh-wph8rfydWdKyJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352771945935196161/pu/img/Jh-wph8rfydWdKyJ.jpg
2021-01-22T23:01:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352753266560385024
@UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @UFOResearchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK Those are the crystals that stayed with the station. Obviously they did not go all the way around the earth.
It's like a a bit like a snow gun. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXxrTOU0AExNMe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXxrTOU0AExNMe.jpg
2021-01-22T22:57:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352752172023201792
@ShepardAmbellas
https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2021/01/15/biden-begins-presidency-with-positive-ratings-trump-departs-with-lowest-ever-job-mark/

2021-01-22T22:21:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352743116155969536
@QuakeChaser35 @ShepardAmbellas
https://mobile.twitter.com/BILD/status/1323678694766972928

2021-01-22T22:10:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352740327019270144
@QuakeChaser35 @ShepardAmbellas That appears to be a really small photo of a set.

What about it?

2021-01-22T22:08:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352740057443049473
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK From a pee dump.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352740035926216706/pu/img/IM9aszAb4Se4UCgK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352740035926216706/pu/img/IM9aszAb4Se4UCgK.jpg
2021-01-22T22:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352738192349294592
@UFOResearchers @UFO_Researcher @UFO_Researchers @HRFaulkner @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK I think they are tiny specks of ice. The ones that are huge disks are VERY close to the camera.

2021-01-22T21:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352730676831744000
@deekatello @ShepardAmbellas @JoeBiden No.

2021-01-22T21:12:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352725968092241922
@HRFaulkner @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK They change direction in different ways. But seem largely synchronized

---

2021-01-22T21:09:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352724980392316929
@UFO_Researcher @HRFaulkner @UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @IWANTTOKNOWUK How can you tell?

2021-01-22T20:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1352717269067948033
@ShepardAmbellas @JoeBiden And it's a very minor redecoration, mostly just changed statues and paintings. Wallpaper, flags, and curtains the same. (and cars outside)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXQ4JQU0AELAje.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXQ4JQU0AELAje.jpg

2021-01-22T20:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352716302809403392

@ShepardAmbellas @JoeBiden Didn't change the outside. Your photos are just at different angles and crops.

2021-01-22T20:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352711978427453442

@ShepardAmbellas @JoeBiden There are both trees and vehicles.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXMMysVcAAOkY4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsXMMysVcAAOkY4.jpg

2021-01-22T20:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352708970293600256

@UFO_Researchers @hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK A fun little puzzle! I'd note that they all seem to change direction at the same time but in different ways - suggesting it's camera motion.. Here, I just made this track-overlay version of some of it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352708727862779904/pu/img/B4pddOrfWwDC18xG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1352708727862779904/pu/img/B4pddOrfWwDC18xG.jpg

2021-01-22T16:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352653456054476804

@hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK I can wait.

2021-01-22T15:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352636259533877250

@hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK Yeah., but which event in that video is unexplained? Draw a circle around the UFO

2021-01-22T14:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352631538756673537

@hovebooks @IanC42188964 @UFO_Researcher @IWANTTOKNOWUK Pick an event.

2021-01-21T20:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352349189531820034

@rothschildmd What about the possibility that it's been somewhat weaponized (if not created) by people like Stone and Flynn?

2021-01-21T20:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352347650595536896

@rothschildmd I don't think you can label something a "psyop" unless you can at least identify who is doing it, and roughly why.

But I think there's a similar burden of proof in blanket rejections of the label. Is it impossible that the QAnon phenomena has been used for psyop purposes?

2021-01-21T16:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352292569540034562

@hardie_joseph @Oswald1160 Because of demographics, any change favors one party or the other. So, no matter how sensible the change, one party opposes it.

2021-01-21T16:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352288648767541248

@Oswald1160 @hardie_joseph Automatic ID would be great. Also make Election Day a national holiday.

Unfortunately voters have a liberal bias, so voter enfranchisement has been a hard sell.

2021-01-21T15:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352282926960918530

RT @SingletrackAdam: What's been spreading faster than Covid-19? -Conspiracy Theories!
I've just read a book I received for Xmas. 'Escapinâ¦

2021-01-21T15:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352282012984307715

@hadrianwill How did you measure that?

2021-01-21T05:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352129133220753408

@stang289 Evidence free?

2021-01-21T05:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352127964821946370

@getussy It is now.

2021-01-21T05:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352127795833446400

@RLendzinski Thanks! Glad you liked it.

2021-01-21T03:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352090150419877888

@Alien_Scientist So address it. Write a book. See how it flies.

2021-01-21T03:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352089690980007937

@stang289 I thin Pelosiâ□□s tweet was backed up by the full Senate investigation into Russian interference.

2021-01-21T02:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352088430432247808

@stang289 Because you value truth?

2021-01-21T02:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352087008684871680

@Alien_Scientist Hmm, so you think there was evidence of controlled demolition, but not of massive election fraud?

2021-01-21T02:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352086503002804226

@SocialistFT Iâ□□ve seen it, itâ□□s a great set of tools.

2021-01-21T02:51:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352086352112676866

@SometimesRatio1 @mustachemagesty It takes time.

2021-01-21T02:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352076422806818818

@SocialistFT Just keep on considering at least two sides. And try to keep the unlikely side in perspective.

2021-01-21T02:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352075972766388225

@ton_metric Well done.

2021-01-21T02:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352075253191643138

@stang289 Heck, you can debunk that Joe. Give it a go.

2021-01-21T01:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352060507633590277

@stang289 Why did Trump roll back his one anti-swamp EO?

2021-01-21T01:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352060342143119362

@SocialistFT Of course they think.

The problem isn't that they don't think, it's that their thoughts are based on a faulty knowledge base, a crippled epistemology.

2021-01-21T01:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352059881017073665

@stang289 @PlutoElect I care, that's really the point. Democratic socialism is about caring for all people. SWM included.

2021-01-21T01:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352059060460216320

A few weeks ago some people were super confident that Biden would not be President. I wonder how many of them realize the real reason why they were wrong (Trump was lying to them)? Or do they just think the Deep State won?

https://twitter.com/realFonaldPlump/status/1345760737260490752

2021-01-21T00:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352057055306149889

@joebob22102 It certainly was before the conclusion, but now the inauguration has put

something of a cap on it the task has shifted from Sisyphean to merely Herculean.

2021-01-21T00:38:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352052917029593091

@specrtre Interesting perspective. Also worth addressing, but not really the same thing.

2021-01-21T00:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352052417022464000

@mustachemagesty @SometimesRatio1 Simple tip: For any particular claim, Google is still the first place to look as it will often surface multiple debunks.

2021-01-21T00:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352051957238648833

@mustachemagesty @SometimesRatio1 I'm not sure there's one comprehensive one. I started a collection of them back in November, but it's somewhat out of date (updating it would a good place to start work!)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/election-fact-checking-resources-and-tips.11442/

2021-01-21T00:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352048081739530242

Massive Election Fraud takes its place in the pantheon of conspiracy theories, at the top.

It's even more boring that 9/11 arcana like WTC7 FEM and it's going to take substantial and sustained effort to make a dent. But I think it's important that it continue to be addressed.

2021-01-21T00:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352046662122815488

@PlutoElect @stang289 Pretty sure you'll still have bread!

When do you think you'll feel the first significant negative effects of "identity politics and communism"?

2021-01-21T00:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1352045501856681984

@stang289 Most voters are happy.

2021-01-20T15:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351916069552705538

RT @WalshFreedom: A final acknowledgment that â□□drain the swampâ□□ was just a grift.

2021-01-20T02:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351716817849356290

@OurChother Iâ□□ve not really edited WP for years now.

2021-01-20T01:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351705103477088258

@OurChother I do, I've met one or two of them at Skeptic conferences.

2021-01-19T23:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351671135276974080

@benjiunofficial I fix them all the time.

2021-01-19T23:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351670987763249152

@FettuccineSplit @realmikemaier @virginianpilot That wasn't me. Metabunk member Trailspotter did it.

2021-01-19T23:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351667558760345605

@FettuccineSplit @realmikemaier @virginianpilot No planes, but it seems increasingly certain to have been the SpaceX launch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/flying-object-timelapse-cape-hatteras-lighthouse.11559/post-244712 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsIWapfVcAEP7Ub.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsIWUzbUcAIQg8o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsIWapfVcAEP7Ub.jpg

2021-01-19T21:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351643340769353734

@AdventuresMixed I wrote a chapter on it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsIAcWRU0AEdfUM.jpg



CHAPTER ONE

The "Conspiracy Theory" Conspiracy Theory

"Conspiracy theory" is a term that I've used extensively for a long time, and yet I initially struggled with it, and constantly tried to find alternatives.

The problem is that "conspiracy theory" (and "conspiracy theorist") is considered by many to be deliberately derogatory. The fact that "conspiracy theory" is on the cover of this book might lead some people to dismiss the book as an attempt to mock or belittle the people who believe such things. But if you look at a typical dictionary definition it will be something like:

A theory that explains a situation or event as resulting from a secret plot by some powerful group.

"Conspiracy theorist" is being simply defined as a person who believes a conspiracy theory. This is a perfectly reasonable definition that fits what 9/11 Truthers believe, or what JFK conspiracists believe, and what Chemtrailers, Moon landing hoaxers, Sandy Hook False Flaggers, and alien base cover-uppers all believe. They think that there was a secret plot behind something, and/or that there's been a secret cover-up of something.

But being correct in the literal sense does not make a word immune to being offensive. It's the applicability to the more esoteric theories that is offensive to the more mainstream conspiracists. The average person who ly thinks that the CIA assassinated JFK sees himself as a reasonable per-d does not want to be associated with the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsIAcWRU0AEdfUM.jpg

2021-01-19T20:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351635262015606786

It's often falsely claimed the phrase "conspiracy theory" was invented after JFK's assassination in 1963 to discredit conspiracy theories.

Yet here's a 1962 columnist using the phrase quite naturally, in its modern context.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsH4xVyU0AYkvOC.jpg

The Daily PRINCETONIAN

Thoughts Between Seattle and Walter Schirra

By DENNIS LIVINGSTON

I sit listening to the broadcast of Walter Schirra's orbital flight around the earth, and I try to comprehend the scientific and organizational effort that went into placing one disembodied voice into space. But of course it's all too vast—the mind can barely take in the fact that between one commercial and the next, a man may travel across half a continent. And in mulling over such facts and their implications I think back over the few days I spent during the summer viewing one immense and costly effort to popularize science, the United States Science Exhibit at the World's Fair.

Well, it was a good try. The U. S. and such other "nations" as Bell Telephone, General Electric, and IBM built their places of science, ringed them with burbling fountains and clean rest rooms, and stuffed them with the latest wonders that are guaranteed to make the future American's life anything but nasty, brutish, and short. We were properly awed by the large model of a Saturn rocket emitting dinosaur-type noises every few minutes, silenced at the wonder of a computer adding up figures spoken into it by a technician, pleased at the sight of a pretty girl demonstrating the razzle-dazzle of a modern laboratory and its mysterious experiments, bewildered as a pleasant guide explained in some language that sounded very close to English how a concentrated light beam was going to revolutionize everything you could think of, and amused at the sight of baby monkeys making faces at the tourists. I recall how, after taking this all in, I finally came upon the last room in the U. S. exhibit, in which, to the accompaniment of stereophonic music and wide screen film, the visitor is informed that the way in which all these scientific marvels are to be used rests with *him*.

I almost broke into laughter at this. For me, the fair had emphasized two factors which I don't think were intended to be stressed. First was how really incomprehensible are the workings and social effects of the inventions and theories of science to the average citizen. One did not have to be a poll-taker to notice the blank looks on most people's faces as they wandered around the flashing lights and complicated diagrams that enhanced most of the presentations. It was clearer than ever that our society may not only face more technological unemployment as time goes on, but a certain "mental unemployment" which is the result of the incapacity of even the well educated man to understand exactly what affects his daily life.

Second, and a corollary to this, is the obvious fact that into the vacuum must step, whether they like it or not, those who are responsible for keeping the wheels (or microwaves) of technology going. I imply no ridiculous conspiracy theory, but state the simple opinion that our political executives must necessarily entrust ultimate decision-making power to those "advisers" whose technological knowledge enables the decisions to be carried out.

If the solution to this lies in more education for the people, whatever that means, then fine. But it is unfortunate that the fair concentrated almost exclusively on the marvels of science, paying scant attention to their social effects.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsH4xVyU0AYkvOC.jpg
2021-01-19T20:41:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1351630905467568129
@OurChother http://Newspaperarchive.com - I used the free version via my local library.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsH09vCVcAAizYA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EsH09vCVcAAizYA.jpg

2021-01-19T20:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351623954595606529

@GooderSarah @ConceptualJames Because all those Irish pubs are causing the collapse of civilization.

2021-01-19T20:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351622599541886976

@sky_vault @ShepardAmbellas And how do you know that, exactly?

2021-01-19T20:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351622523566268419

@Pupi5910 @neiltyson It's just another old story. I find it hard to get excited about them. @michaelshermer knows a lot more about it.

https://www.skeptic.com/eskeptic/12-08-15/

2021-01-19T19:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351613533255974914

I think it's important to engage a variety of perspectives. I'm going on Shepard Ambellas' show later today to discuss our wildly diverging interpretations of current events and our no-doubt equally diverging predictions for the near future.

https://twitter.com/ShepardAmbellas/status/1351611312678309890

2021-01-19T19:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351607668520046592

Attempting to understand someone should not be equated with excusing their behavior.

We SHOULD try to understand where people are coming from, and then we should try to fix that. https://twitter.com/CharlesPPierce/status/1351597880486858753

2021-01-19T18:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351594286551244806

@ShepardAmbellas Is this different to normal?

2021-01-19T17:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351589596593745920

@ConceptualJames How do you propose unringing that bell? Send the Irish back to Ireland?

2021-01-19T15:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351556642572177410

@James57136915 @neiltyson And demons. Lots of eyewitness accounts for those.

2021-01-19T05:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351398715907403776

RT @BarbGray: What Can You Do [as an individual] About QAnon? | NYT Opinion https://youtu.be/4lg6cZmfpeM by @remixeverything. Don't ridicule, doâ¦

2021-01-18T16:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1351201159298560000

@chrisfholm @sternbergh I think it's partly just evolution. What we see are the theories that worked. The internet and a sufficiently large meme pool gives a rapid feedback loop of random and deliberate mutation with natural selection,

---

2021-01-17T23:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350947976206835720

@All4LightBearer @neiltyson Exist? No.

Travel here from light years away and let themselves be observed for random reason while the universe seem devoid of life? Much more so.

2021-01-17T19:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350880596369633280

@etuchsart @neiltyson I'd prefer he'd say something like:

"While there's no real evidence indicating these things are of alien origin, much that indicates they are not, and it seems improbable that they are, we can't entirely rule it out"

2021-01-17T18:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350879805281951744

@cryptokeeper198 @neiltyson By that logic then, Tyson is deeming aliens a likely explanation. And that's how it comes across.

2021-01-17T18:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350879386510135297

@emmathepony @neiltyson Yes, but again that's presenting it as if it's 50-50. It's misleading.

2021-01-17T17:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350857203071156225

@neiltyson My favorite example of this is from Southpark, where a TV scientist states

"There is certainly no evidence that the first Thanksgiving dinner was not haunted"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=9&v=S7Rjuuk6NAM&feature=youtu.be

---

2021-01-17T17:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350854979163103233

@1joe1clarke I'm also American, having lived here for 27 years, and a citizen for 12. So I'm really more dialed into the US conspiracy scene. Still - many of the same arguments are used in the UK, and you have your own QAnon boosters - which is a bit odd, as Q is very US focussed.

2021-01-17T17:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350854275350491137

Stating (about UFOs) "only that being unexplained is not itself evidence of aliens" is a bit of a cop-out, creating an illusory, loaded, 50-50 false balance of possibilities.

Would @neiltyson also state that losing a sock is not itself evidence of sock-stealing elves?
https://twitter.com/neiltyson/status/1347936281704148997

2021-01-17T17:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350851764279410691

@Hyuga_Navy_jp Light pillars. https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap181024.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er8wgiBVQAExZFL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er8wgiBVQAExZFL.jpg

2021-01-17T15:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350834849116872706
@Junior63031591 @GabrielSterling @neontaster 100% of ballots went through the adjudication step in the process, but only the ballots that the machine flagged as unreadable (under 1%) were actually manually reviewed. The 94% was just where they were in the 100% process at that time on Nov 4.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-17T15:41:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350830733447753732
@stang289 @RationalMarius So these American oligarchs are socialists who rigged the election to get higher taxes and more government regulation of their businesses?

Who?

2021-01-17T01:54:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350622559411159041
@debunker Oh wait., just a variant spelling.....

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epimenides_paradox

:)

2021-01-17T01:53:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350622114387095553
@debunker New word coined! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er5fmxlVcAE5p1V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er5fmxlVcAE5p1V.jpg
2021-01-17T00:38:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350603397582315524
@JasonColavito @conspiracism https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=79GlUDVJXaA

2021-01-16T23:44:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350589662058868736

@stang289 So you think these oligarchs (who exactly?) rigged the election?

Did they also rig Trump's election in 2016?

2021-01-16T23:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350586902307508224

@stang289 So who are you talking about then? Bill Gates?

2021-01-16T22:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350576234204262400

@ShepardAmbellas @CIA The paper probably comes from Sidney Powell. Lindell describes it as a "list of options" that "an attorney" gave him.

The only attorney he mentions is Powell.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350575993946218496/pu/img/csKR_-04mLhkZjrw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350575993946218496/pu/img/csKR_-04mLhkZjrw.jpg

2021-01-16T22:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350573162543546371

@HGTomato @tadrow I can think of a few http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er4zExrVgAE6Dk9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Er4zExrVgAE6Dk9.jpg

2021-01-16T22:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350571477318291456

@ShepardAmbellas @CIA Is it the Pillow Guy's piece of paper?

2021-01-16T22:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350567037446221824

Mike Lindell claims that sheet of paper advocating martial law, that he showed to Trump at the White House, was not his, just something "an attorney" gave him.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350566842188775425/pu/img/BeP1w9AWIK48As2i.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350566842188775425/pu/img/BeP1w9AWIK48As2i.jpg
2021-01-16T22:04:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350564609644613632
@stang289 "the establishment wanted Harris" - who made the decision? Mitt Romney?

2021-01-16T21:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350558766400327680
@stang289 You know the explanations to pretty much everything there.

Why did he pick Harris, if that was such a bad idea?

Why did he "campaign from his basement" if that would make it impossible to win?

Even if the fix was in, why would he choose to do those things?

2021-01-16T21:39:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350558258864418816
@johnpeart1 If "everybody knows" about this incredibly serious and consequential crime that has been going on for years, then why no recent prosecutions?

2021-01-16T05:11:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350309599174221824
@johnpeart1 Several that share a counting center do.

Do you think such egregious acts as you suggest could possibly go undetected?

2021-01-16T04:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350302671375253505
@Duwg80 @mustachemagesty @johnpeart1 @MattBraynard What rule, exactly, was broken, and how.

2021-01-16T01:09:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350248886380580866
@Paranormal_PI @zcichy How do you know it's the same "thing"?

2021-01-16T01:09:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350248769640562688
@JBurt1992 I don't think they found aliens.

2021-01-16T00:46:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350243036261859332
@Paranormal_PI @zcichy He said:
"watching the radar display the same track and track number went from altitude to surface but, I do agree that what you suggest is also possible and, our system itself was 'confused'"

2021-01-16T00:45:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350242687375446019
@Paranormal_PI @zcichy I asked him. He did not rule it out.

2021-01-16T00:44:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350242599722840067
@stang289 Here. Basically he's a crazy dude, lefties kicked him out.

His brother is in the Proud boys.

https://twitter.com/RebellionBaby/status/1331902008765206528

2021-01-16T00:38:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350240995007365123
@stang289 Do you actually personally think he actually organized the storming of the capitol, or was somehow "in charge" of all the people that went ahead of him and behind him? Or even stood next to? What, is he telepathic?

2021-01-16T00:37:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350240606329606146
@stang289 Nah, you can use it.

2021-01-16T00:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350240235569856512
@stang289 I made it up :)

2021-01-16T00:35:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350240181551472640
@Paranormal_PI @zcichy Well, glitches are known to happen. The people on the boat initially though they were glitches. Some of them decided they were not, but the simplest thing is that they were.

Otherwise, the US Navy just ignored an invasion from space.

2021-01-16T00:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350239670852980736

@johnpeart1 And you need to look at the precinct level, not county.

2021-01-16T00:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350239453642571779

@johnpeart1 This was gone over weeks ago.

2021-01-16T00:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350239278228410371

@johnpeart1 You can't just say something does not make sense without explaining why.

There are some regions that at 95%+ democrat. The had counting centers, and reported in large batches.

That's really all there is to it.

2021-01-16T00:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350238548994129922

@stang289 You're just sucking at the firehose of bullshit here Joe. Do some fact checking.

2021-01-16T00:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350236959520616448

@johnpeart1 Comparing them to 2016 is always a good move.

This will all get sorted out.

2021-01-16T00:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350236621853999105

@FettuccineSplit @virginianpilot I had a look, there does not seem to be any planes showing up at that time out to sea.

2021-01-16T00:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350236213278437376

@stang289 Watch his full video, he was OBVIOUSLY not "in charge" in any sense.

2021-01-16T00:15:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350235120649703424

@johnpeart1 Can you link me to the actual evidence fo this? Those numbers.

2021-01-15T23:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350227235907661824

@johnpeart1 Yes, it's from a set of precincts that have historically voted 90% Democratic. Cities do that. Detroit in this case, I think.

2021-01-15T23:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350226985415438337

@Paranormal_PI @zcichy I think that individual observation is best explained by a radar glitch. i.e. a false return that first gave one altitude, and then another. I asked Kevin Day if there were intermediate readings, and did not get a clear answer, but he did not rule out the possibility of a glitch.

2021-01-15T22:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350206818778112000

@SafetySOC Sorry this was supposed to be part of a thread. It's not important. Minor mystery.

2021-01-15T22:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350206009613041666

@fmolinari2019 Sorry this was supposed to be part of a thread. It's not important. Minor mystery.

2021-01-15T22:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350204404725497856

This is concerning. Trump is impulsive and sometimes easily swayed. Now there's nutty Michael Lindell at the White House trying to tell him to use martial law and point the finger of war towards Iran. https://twitter.com/jabinbotsford/status/1350186100564905985

2021-01-15T22:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350203189895729153

@barrysandwich Not everyone.

2021-01-15T22:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350202457951932417

@GetAFreakinGrip Every little helps. Seeing something like this, in combination with things like the Dominion lawsuit, might help someone.

2021-01-15T21:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350198040276029441

@GetAFreakinGrip It may not get them off the hook. However it's still good that they did it.

2021-01-15T21:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350196561536446465

@Duwg80 @johnpeart1 @MattBraynard Did it hold up in court?

Do you think there's one piece that holds up, that is significant?

2021-01-15T21:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350191034572001281

@johnpeart1 Because there's no evidence it's needed.

2021-01-15T21:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350189667598012416

@KevinWa78437414 @jaketapper @RepBrianMast That 94% thing wasn't a thing. 100% of ballot batches go through that step, only flagged ballets get manual review. <1%

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-i

ts-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-15T21:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350188993904734208

Reaction to FEMA and the NG being used for covid vaccination seem divided between "great idea!" and "oh no, forced vaccines, microchips and FEMA camps"

https://twitter.com/CNBCnow/status/1350182678423863297

2021-01-15T21:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350187655397429249

@dannylukic @michaelshermer I'm hearing you. But have you actually ASKED anyone about Q?

Ideally you would have asked before it collapsed. It's on the downswing now that people are realizing it's all bullshit.

2021-01-15T21:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350187166987550720

Damage has been done, but some can be undone, and future damage can be prevented, by politely showing things like this to believers in election fraud.

There will be multiple statements like this in the future, because Dominion did not steal the election, and have been libeled. https://twitter.com/oliverdarcy/status/1350170423602896896

2021-01-15T21:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350186254416023554

@dannylukic @michaelshermer Go on then.

2021-01-15T20:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350185126202728448

@dannylukic @michaelshermer About 1/3.

Depends on the circles you move in.

https://civiqs.com/reports/2020/9/2/report-americans-pessimistic-on-time-frame-for-coronavirus-recovery http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErzSFayVkAEOt6k.jpg

---

Belief in QAnon

QAnon, a far-right conspiracy theory about “deep state elites” has extensive support among Republican voters. One in three Republicans (33%) believe that the QAnon theory is mostly true. Another 23% of Republicans say that some parts of the QAnon conspiracy are true. Only 13% of Republicans think that it is not true at all. In contrast, 72% of Democrats say the QAnon conspiracy theory is not true at all.

Awareness of QAnon has grown substantially since one year ago. In July 2019, 35% of Americans had never heard of QAnon -- that number has fallen to 14% now. For Republicans, greater awareness has led to greater support. The percentage of Republicans who believe that the QAnon theory is partially or mostly true has grown from 46% one year ago to 56% today.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErzSFayVkAEOt6k.jpg

2021-01-15T20:44:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350182133629739008
RT @michaelshermer: Here is our Skeptic cover story on QAnon, featured prominently in the storming of the U.S. Capitol on Jan. 6 and believâ□¦

2022-07-20T21:49:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549874247681552384
@DaveVidalnyc @ReverendWashy The question was how rockets work in a vacuum, not how you aim them.

I.e. how is thrust produced?

2022-07-20T21:25:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549868189495857152
@Axxman300 Well AATIP was pronounced AY-TIP, but I like "arrow"

2022-07-20T21:18:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549866239857635328
But is it "AAH-RO" or "AY-RO", hmm...

2022-07-20T21:16:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549865892741165056
Our long national acronym nightmare is over. AOIMSG is gone!
https://www.defense.gov/News/Releases/Release/Article/3100053/dod-announces-the-establishment-of-the-all-domain-anomaly-resolution-office/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYI6icjUsAA6WfR.jpg



On July 15, 2022, Deputy Secretary of Defense Kathleen Hicks, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence (DNI), amended her original direction to the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence & Security by renaming and expanding the scope of the Airborne Object Identification and Management Group (AOIMSG) to the All-domain Anomaly Resolution Office (AARO), due to the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal 2022, which included a provision to establish an office, in coordination with DNI, with responsibilities that were broader than those originally assigned to the AOIMSG.

Today, USD(I&S) Hon. Ronald S. Moultrie informed the department of the establishment of AARO within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, and named Dr. Sean M. Kirkpatrick, most recently the chief scientist at the Defense Intelligence Agency's Missile and Space Intelligence Center, as the director of AARO.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYI6icjUsAA6WfR.jpg
2022-07-20T21:13:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549865071991394304
@DaveVidalnyc @ReverendWashy There's gravity in space. Gravity at the ISS (400km up) is pretty much the same (about 90%) of Earth gravity.

2022-07-20T21:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549864023318900736

@pkheath Already done.

2022-07-20T20:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549858191550271488

@Axxman300 Nimitz? There's a whole story about the Radar data. We don't have it though.

2022-07-20T20:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549853900756226048

@dvergano They certainly should have - but it seems like unfortunately not.

2022-07-20T20:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549852862351519744

@tadaaa20 @HHershorn Good question.

I made a simulator to explain it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p62NS-q57vQ

2022-07-20T20:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549852183595667456

@tony_burnetti Bingo! But one is an abstraction, and the other is more of the actual physical process. I'd hope that people familiar with C would pick it.

2022-07-20T20:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549851637358874624

@dividendless If so, then even more reasons why the bad science needs calling out.

Let's shine some light on the situation.

2022-07-20T20:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549851221925584896

@ufosfootage Looks like Ivanpah Solar Power Facility

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ivanpah_Solar_Power_Facility

2022-07-20T20:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549850714414796800

I'm a taxpayer. I don't like money wasted on bad science. I'm also a UFO video investigator, and I don't like it when bad science is simply accepted without question because someone has a PhD, and their results are preferable to the correct results.

2022-07-20T20:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549850126499229697

It's a problem because it seems like NASA and the UAP task force / AOIMSG are being advised based on bad science. The SCU Aguadilla report shows an entirely erroneous understanding of parallax. Their Nimitz report claims extreme acceleration where there is none.

2022-07-20T19:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549844904204873728

The same person briefing @NASA on Aguadilla is the same person who has refused to delete an entirely wrong section of the SCU Aguadilla report (Appendix L) for seven years, @rpowell2u.

This is a problem. This is where UFOlogy is at.

https://www.vice.com/en/article/y3pvbg/read-nasas-internal-discussions-of-ufos-in-newly-released-documents http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYIm3cnVEAEc8Pl.jpg

---

The new FOIA drop also contains an invite to a virtual event entitled "Extreme Acceleration by UAPs," scheduled for October 6, 2020, that was forwarded between NASA employees. The invite said the presentation would be delivered by Robert Powell, Executive Board member of the Scientific Coalition for UAP Studies (SCU), with an introduction from Chris Mellon, former Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.

"During our session, Robert will be discussing 'Extreme Acceleration by UAPs and Sensors for Detection,'" according to the invite. "He will also be exploring a few relevant cases, including Stephenville and Puerto Rico. Robert has been an important leader, for some years now, among those seeking to bring serious scientific expertise and processes to bear on the UAP phenomenon."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYIm3cnVEAEc8Pl.jpg
2022-07-20T19:23:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549837446103240704
Without looking it up, how do rockets work in a vacuum when there's nothing to push against. This isn't something I'd expect most people to fully understand, but I'm curious what people's first thoughts are.

2022-07-20T19:08:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549833592255102976
This misconception of the NYT is one still commonly used by Flat Earthers today. And probably if you asked how rockets work in a vacuum with "nothing to push against" then you might still get some confusion. Physics is not always obvious.
https://twitter.com/PessimistsArc/status/1549826531261825026

2022-07-20T18:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549826152302202880
@JonesSmythe @de_varse @InvNightSchool @bradjohansson21 Where?

2022-07-20T18:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549822294444216321
@BostonsBuddha Every day they see planes, and probably boats.

They are just 20 miles from an international airport (Veer Savarkar), underneath international routes, and in a busy fishing region.

2022-07-20T17:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549814530959478784

@shawnlabrier Indeed, I was thinking maybe a meta chapter on the "infodemic" surrounding the more recent topics.

2022-07-20T17:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549812523364335616

@SgtSideBelly @OMApproach @EricRWeinstein No, they have repeatable observations available to all of them. Every day.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1549811711854538753

2022-07-20T17:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549812195604590592

@edwardcurrent @KingMilkfart @OMApproach

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1549811711854538753

2022-07-20T17:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549812113958260736

The island is cut off from civilization. They kill anyone who goes there. They have no outside contact, but they ALL see very strong evidence of a larger civilization every day.

Unlike with UFOs.

2022-07-20T17:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549811711854538753

Right now there's a Boeing 777 flying almost directly over North Sentinel Island, and they can all see it. It happens every day, several times a day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYIJVEBVQAAuvHZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYIJVEBVQAAuvHZ.jpg

2022-07-20T16:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549798299493810177

IF I were to do an update to my book Escaping The Rabbit Hole, what new topics do you think

are most important that I cover. QAnon? Anti-Vax? Election Fraud? Epstein? Covid? Other?

2022-07-20T16:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549791923447025664

@OMApproach @DavidFieldSculp If everyone in your civilization is seeing the same thing, that's pretty good evidence.

2022-07-20T16:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549789231593033729

@OMApproach If you want to defend a bad analogy that's really not something I'm super interested in. North Sentinal is what it is, and it's not like Earth in a bustling life-filled universe. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sentinelese

---

2022-07-20T16:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549787778048593922

@OMApproach I'm not saying they can explain it, they can see that it exists. They ALL see helicopter and ships. They ALL saw John Allen Chau, and then his dead body. They ALL see planes overhead. It's only 20 miles from an international airport. Not a good analogy.

2022-07-20T15:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549775931316719616

@Ketmend @No3spera @Bobby95975933 @AnalystLiii @chrisotis78 Out of a variety of possibilities I suggested, one of them was a local welder, maybe doing repairs to the power lines.

Other people have suggested telepathic aliens from a flying saucer.

I think both hypotheses are AT LEAST equally as worthy of consideration.

2022-07-20T14:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549768611287379969

@papercrane111 @BabbleAlien @Moonlicht_INV @kazzab1966 @DotOnTheSpot326 Maybe. I've not talked to any Flat Earthers for a while. But it is one of my areas of expertise. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYHiP9WUEAAXIgs.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYHiP9WUEAAXIgs.png

2022-07-20T14:42:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549766639633063936

@topsecrettexan @Funkadelick2 @MahoosiveSteve @UAPTORONTO What did I say that you thought was racist?

2022-07-19T23:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549529614816464896

Starting Now! https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1549507656502562817

2022-07-19T22:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549528015352111104

@19k0011 @GONZO_UAP @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas What data? What are you talking about specifically?

2022-07-19T22:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549527803271340033

@2021Gufon @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell Then it was not "that" camera. Since the videos show bokeh, then it must have been taken with a camera that makes bokeh.

2022-07-19T21:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549509113062641664

@19k0011 @GONZO_UAP @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas You are trolling (who doubts parallax exists? One might as well doubt "perspective" or "focus"), but unfortunately many "real" studies are behind paywalls.

2022-07-19T21:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549507656502562817

LIVE at 4PM PT (7PM ET), I'll be discussing UFOs, aliens, Tic-Tacs, seagulls (maybe), and other mysteries with UFO Jane. What's hot in UFOlogy? Skinwalker camping? Aguadilla redux? Peer review pressure? Bokeh denial? Immunity for all? Let's chat!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gn54ReFjSvI

2022-07-19T19:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549477308200652805

@scazebet @bee_diddly I'm a little bit of a pilot. Here's me doing my long-distance solo, about 8,000 feet up.

Not that it's super important.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549477139308630016/pu/img/6rYhmdOBDH5Xb3FD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549477139308630016/pu/img/6rYhmdOBDH5Xb3FD.jpg

2022-07-19T19:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549472887123243008

@ufoofinterest @Uaphunter2022 Hah, it's already been done on Metabunk, and I didn't notice!

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/maine-ufo-chased-by-jets-2022.12522/

2022-07-19T19:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549469913491746816

@Fizer_Rau @Uaphunter2022 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYDSrCXUEAAfUOy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYDSrCXUEAAfUOy.jpg
2022-07-19T18:54:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549467798287499264
@ufoofinterest @Uaphunter2022 Way ahead of you :)

Looks like a T-38A with ADS-B. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYDQiEiVQAELct7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYDQfxFUIAA86bO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FYDQiEiVQAELct7.jpg
2022-07-19T17:21:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549444376023117824
@Uaphunter2022 If real, possible two military jets escorting or intercepting a civilian plane, or some kind of test craft, hard to say

---

2022-07-19T16:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549436167103254530

@upzih @UAPTruth @Oswald1160 @BrianSzy_PF @RAM_man69 Heck, even Taylor is describing bokeh. He's just a bit hung up on the lack of a triangular aperture in a PVS-14. The obvious explanation is that it's not a PVS-14 - or it is one that has been modified.
The fact of bokeh is inarguable if you understand all the videos.

2022-07-19T15:14:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549412491288985600
@UAPTruth @BrianSzy_PF @RAM_man69 Find anyone in the universe who understand bokeh who says otherwise.

2022-07-19T05:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549257795937046528
@FutureRider4 @BubbaSri @TxUFOSightings I donâ□□t think they are lying, but they might have been mistaken

---

2022-07-19T04:45:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549254136478150656
@SabersKnight @JeremyCorbell He is mistaken.

2022-07-19T04:44:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549253754297335808
@FutureRider4 @BubbaSri @TxUFOSightings I donâ□□t think they are lying.

2022-07-19T01:52:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549210655781138432
@DrXavierDM @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Jets generally donâ□□t stop moving. This one did not

---

2022-07-19T01:51:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549210373479288832
@snappermorgan @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell How did they?

They didnâ□□t. He just said it was a focus issue. Which is bokeh.

2022-07-19T01:05:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549198818305945600
@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas At least the first one. I'm sure there are errors in the rest, but if they don't even realize appendix L is bogus, then what hope is there for the full report?

2022-07-18T23:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549181025548263424
@BubbaSri @mrjeffknox @TxUFOSightings And I explained to him why he was exaggerating.

2022-07-18T23:54:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549180869021036544
@Operator2022 @Broskislingdick @TxUFOSightings Several birds and balloons (Los Angeles area, densely populated, so you get balloons) I didn't do night flying and lanterns are illegal here. I don't remember any plastic bags.

I turned base around a hovering hawk once.

2022-07-18T23:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549180242329104384

@FutureRider4 @PhillipJeffre16 @TxUFOSightings Oh, they were debunked. 100%

2022-07-18T23:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549176148306735104

@FutureRider4 @PhillipJeffre16 @TxUFOSightings It was a random example. It counts to the people who thought it was a genuine UFO.

2022-07-18T23:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549175938205814785

@OccultVortex @OSIRIS_UAP Here's the other. Here you can see the curve of the triangular aperture.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549175878390755329/pu/img/_ixAT3eUYTsyafPs.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549175878390755329/pu/img/_ixAT3eUYTsyafPs.jpg

2022-07-18T23:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549175706474582016

@OccultVortex @OSIRIS_UAP Those are both original Navy Videos whot with the same camera. Here's one of them in full. Check the audio.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549175575750664192/pu/img/C39TIflly5Mesv6A.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549175575750664192/pu/img/C39TIflly5Mesv6A.jpg

2022-07-18T23:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549175215925514240

@Broskislingdick @TxUFOSightings I doubt that's going to come up. So: 71 hours, zero fighters, and I never actually got around to taking the test before I lost interest. I did fly a 400-mile solo though, back in 2004, so I know the basics. I landed exactly 200 times.

2022-07-18T23:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549173780391399424

@FutureRider4 @PhillipJeffre16 @TxUFOSightings 1) Chilean Navy

2) Green Pyramid

3) A large variety of non-famous cases, for example, this UFO flying into a cloud and exploding.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-large-cigar-shaped-object-caught-shooting-into-storm-cloud-in-spain-reflection.6912/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FX_FHJtUYAAg0ww.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FX_FHJtUYAAg0ww.jpg

2022-07-18T23:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549172495621640192

@moranlerner @OSIRIS_UAP Focus isn't going to change the flash rate though.

2022-07-18T22:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549165256533954560

@MizzMisanthrope @edwardcurrent @GeologicalSETI @Alien_Scientist @TOEwithCurt I'm not smug, I'm British.

2022-07-18T22:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549164985657413632

@OSIRIS_UAP Or perhaps Capella.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549164967470870529/pu/img/ZQA3xKEA-vA3Mpih.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549164967470870529/pu/img/ZQA3xKEA-vA3Mpih.jpg
2022-07-18T22:50:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549164833806856192
@OSIRIS_UAP Stars have no such restrictions though. So if someone sees something flickering 400 times a minute, maybe it's a star, like Altair, here, for example: http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549164696258850816/pu/img/ccdUk8GztT_hwaDY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1549164696258850816/pu/img/ccdUk8GztT_hwaDY.jpg
2022-07-18T22:44:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549163147902087169
@MizzMisanthrope @edwardcurrent @GeologicalSETI @Alien_Scientist @TOEwithCurt It's not interesting to ME. I'm not that interested in geology. It may well be fascinating and compelling to people interested in geology.

2022-07-18T22:22:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549157807089324034
@GeologicalSETI What does Avi Loeb think of your paper?

2022-07-18T22:19:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549157015343079424
@OffworldUfo @MvonRen @TxUFOSightings @MHuntington7 The answer is not what you think, but your conclusion isn't 100% wrong. :)

2022-07-18T22:12:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549155174819647488
@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas How do you know that is not wrong?

2022-07-18T21:13:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549140409066016768
@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas Patrick, do you agree that Appendix L should have been removed years ago?

2022-07-18T21:13:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1549140246582812672

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas Let's resolve this SCU issue first, as that's also the source of these witness reports.

2022-07-18T21:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549138534107209728

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas The issue here is the fact that Appendix L in the SCU Aguadilla report is 100% incorrect and should have been removed years ago. Its continued publication reflects badly on SCU.

You want to talk about something else, right?

2022-07-18T21:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549138021630369792

@Myst_Arch @GarryPNolan Can you give an example?

2022-07-18T20:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549134112882958336

@BernieW95758093 @mrjeffknox @mikemoniz1 All the balloons with transponders use transponders.

This is just a local fun hot-air balloon. Common in the region.

2022-07-18T20:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549132748194869248

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas That is a different appendix.

2022-07-18T20:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549122393448128512

@SeanJenkinson4 Report Powell, one of the report authors, recently denied it was incorrect. He's either misunderstanding it, or not even reading it.

https://twitter.com/rpowell2u/status/1547411985947660288

2022-07-18T19:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549118502711091200

I thought I was being generous giving SCU a year to fix their Appendix L issue (i.e it being entirely wrong). But it turns out the problem was explained to them SEVEN YEARS AGO, by Tim Printy of SUNLite magazine.

How can anyone consider this acceptable? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX-SuPYUUAA1ukm.jpg

---

The SCU report devoted a section, appendix L, to demonstrate that the object was not a balloon. The arguments presented in this section are essentially based on one measurement that appears to be flawed.

The SCU team picked frames 711 and 712 from the video to make their main argument.[13] According to them, the background is stationary, which means the object is the only thing moving. I thought this calculation made a bad assumption because it did not appear to account for the plane's motion. The plane was moving so the only way the background could be stationary is for the camera to compensate for this motion by rotating. Mr. Michaud pointed out to me that when one examines the relative bearing reading on the video clip during the time period, the relative bearing indicator and the azimuth on the display changed while the cross-hairs remain fixed on the same location. One can go all the way back to frame 667 to see this.[14]





If the camera is moving to compensate for the plane's movement, then any motion of the UAP in the video could be related to the motion of the camera/plane and not the UAP itself. If the UAP were closer to the plane than the background and stationary (or relatively stationary), then the UAP would appear to move. The closer the object is to the camera, the greater amount of motion. The concept is called parallax and one can see it illustrated in this image (I exaggerated the angles in order to demonstrate the effect):



7

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX-SuPYUUAA1ukm.jpg

2022-07-18T19:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549113178881028097

A year later I asked Powell about it again, and he denied it was wrong!

It's wrong. The entire appendix needs to be deleted. This does not reflect well on the rest of the report or the validity of their peer review process. Or SCU's general academic rigor

https://twitter.com/rpowell2u/status/1547297916758409216

2022-07-18T19:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549111604947537921

A bunch of 10th-grade level math, all essentially correct, but with one error in the inputs. This makes the ENTIRE appendix wrong, numbers off by an order of magnitude (8Â° vs. 68Â°)

Why has it not been removed? Was there no peer review? I pointed this out a year ago.

2022-07-18T19:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549110330172645377

However, SCU uses the incorrect claim that there's zero parallax across those frames to calculate a distance to the object of 1250 feet, based on a maximum wind speed of 18mph. They then show this is impossible based on azimuth changes.

2022-07-18T19:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549109739694395392

@ExploreSCU @rpowell2u @alejandrotrojas The mistake is the claim that since the background

does not move from frame 711 to 712, then all the motion of the object is its own motion, not parallax.

But the plane does not stop in mid-air, the parallax component is the same from frame to frame. It's not zero. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX-K3nVUcAAACK0.jpg

---

Introduction

Some arguments have been advanced that the object could be a balloon and that the motion of the balloon relative to the background is an illusion created by the motion of the plane circling the balloon. This is incorrect. There are some frames of video having no background motion at all and the UAP can be seen changing locations relative to the background. Consider Frames 711 and 712 of Figure 1:



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX-K3nVUcAAACK0.jpg

2022-07-18T19:08:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549108822228094976

Question for @exploreSCU, @rpowell2u, @alejandrotrojas,

Appendix L of your Aguadilla report is objectively wrong, built upon a mistake, and GIGOed from there.

So why has it not been removed? Why no corrections? And how did it pass peer review?

http://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/299316_9a12b53f67554a008c32d48eff9be5cd.pdf

---

2022-07-18T17:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549090259282960385

@jonny_jericho You can conclude it could be something unexplainable BEFORE you throw out any theories.

2022-07-18T17:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549086880968298497

@BrianDunning Nope, just a plane. 737 probably.

2022-07-18T17:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549083425000148992

@ChaseHoward97 @MrHustleMCR @RAM_man69 You'd think, but it requires someone with the right skills to actually be tasked with looking at it. The Navy is a large and complex organization, and things can get underexamined.

2022-07-18T14:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549046225076310016

@GeologicalSETI @Alien_Scientist @TOEwithCurt I have limited time. This simply does not seem interesting. Now if you get some other people to agree with you, then I might look at it. But I've got plenty to do with videos without having the learn geology.

2022-07-18T14:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549033711772962816

@RAM_man69 Hardly important here. Taylor is wrong about the Bokeh, he's also wrong about the flashing rate - I'm guessing he's looking at a star and counting the flickers if he's getting 400 flashes/minute

---

2022-07-18T13:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549029876916637696

@RAM_man69 Maybe, but the important point is that he's obviously wrong.

2022-07-18T12:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549003749305098240

@GeologicalSETI @Alien_Scientist @EricRWeinstein @TOEwithCurt @GarryPNolan Why on earth do you want ME to look at it?

2022-07-18T12:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549003358698033152

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 But stern converting isn't making an S turn.

2022-07-18T12:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1549003007391518721

@mikemoniz1 Its a large balloon.

2022-07-18T00:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548830629579567104

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 What are you basing this on? What work did you do to verify it?

2022-07-18T00:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548822789171228672

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 Yes.

2022-07-18T00:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548821234938368001

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 No, they match a star constellation. That's it. They don't match any others.

2022-07-18T00:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548821084048220160
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 It is not just those 8, it's the entire section of sky starting with Jupiter and Antares, going past Rasalhague and Okab and finally Altair. It all fits.

You think you can fit some random stars to it? Go ahead.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX6Ea6AVsAAjlvy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX6Ea6AVsAAjlvy.jpg
2022-07-17T23:57:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548819228735590400
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 The moving one is a plane (maybe a drone, but I think plane).
The non-moving ones that perfectly match the positions of stars are stars.
They are all bokeh.

2022-07-17T23:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548818032331354112
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 Just make sure you are using the brightest visible stars and the relative brightness match.

2022-07-17T23:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548817845437337600
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 Go on then.

2022-07-17T23:51:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548817755960274944
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 Again though, even if you discount the time and location (which do all match, corfimed by the position of Jupiter earlier in the video), the precise pattern of stars cannot be arrived at by chance.

2022-07-17T23:49:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548817319954001922
@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 The moving one? That's a plane or maybe a drone.

2022-07-17T23:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548814811554754560

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 But I would be interested to see how you break down the math. As it will help me understand your position.

2022-07-17T23:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548814626753613824

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 Stars don't float around the sky relative to each other. A pattern of stars remains the same, it just rotates.

And we DO have the location (near San Clemente) and time (9:30PM local, IIRK). It all matches.

2021-01-15T20:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350174675725291520

@Josef_baum It's a chartered plane, going to Travis then Ireland. Probably just mil stuff.

2021-01-15T19:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350169395956391936

@flarkey Some maybe just some ordinary mil personnel movement.

2021-01-15T19:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350169208932294656

@Paranormal_PI @zcichy And yet you see me here looking at evidence.

2021-01-15T19:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350169121183428612

@kyleorangio @flightradar24 Right, but it seems they honor it, whereas you can't do that with http://PlaneFinder.net

---

2021-01-15T19:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350168903377317889

It's parked next to the Passenger Terminal. at Travis.

It can hardly be anything super-secret, as they filed a flight plan. Just caught my interest due to the racetrack hold near me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErzDdTwUwAIEguy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErzDdTwUwAIEguy.jpg

2021-01-15T19:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350167394795208704

@kyleorangio Yeah I got it from Planefinder. I wonder why @flightradar24 seems worse in general at IDing planes. Are they more polite?

2021-01-15T19:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350165464786194432

@HighbrowHaze @stang289 @stopthestealll I'm not really familiar with that detail.

2021-01-15T19:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350164158486962176

Landed at Travis AFB, and then is going to Ireland.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery-sLCUcAAQl9b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery-sLCUcAAQl9b.jpg

2021-01-15T19:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350163301703925761

@Itsaufobro How can you tell?

How big a plane is it?

2021-01-15T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350162950095441920

@officer_pinky Actually a chartered flight going to Travis AFB, and then (later today) to Ireland.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery96O4VQAAhGx_.jpg

Activity Log

UPCOMING FLIGHTS

Date	Departure	Arrival	Aircraft	Duration
Friday 15-Jan-2021	*02:10PM PST* Travis Afb - SUU	*07:39AM GMT (+1)* Shannon - SNN	B772	*9h 29m*

EN ROUTE FLIGHT

Date	Departure	Arrival	Aircraft	Duration
Friday 15-Jan-2021	08:15AM EST Baltimore/Washington Intl - BWI	*11:30AM PST* Travis Afb - SUU	B772	*6h 15m*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery96O4VQAAhGx_.jpg

2021-01-15T19:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350161088717852672

@zcichy Ractrack patterns usually jsut indicate the plane needs to delay a while to fit into an arrival schedule. If it's cargo, then might have them hold longer.

2021-01-15T19:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350159686759182337

@officer_pinky I think it's just FedEx, stuck in a hold for scheduling. It started next to the FexEx facility in BWI http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery6-EDVoAAbIgL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery6-EDVoAAbIgL.jpg

2021-01-15T19:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350159062508322816

@Itsaufobro That's the one from my video.

2021-01-15T19:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350158948091871232

@officer_pinky No, it's just aviation interesting. They are heading out now, probably going to SFO. Descending rapidly. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery6PDuVEAEEtIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery6PDuVEAEEtIr.jpg

2021-01-15T19:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350156066890293248

@stang289 @stopthestealll I'll defer to the Muller report there.

2021-01-15T19:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350155877760659456

@Itsaufobro It's a shame nobody in LA has binoculars.

2021-01-15T18:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350155388935495681

Unidentified plane flew from Washington DC and is currently flying a really nice racetrack pattern just north of Sacramento.

https://www.flightradar24.com/B772/2693c10f

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery3KpNU0AAzCgg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery3KpNU0AAzCgg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ery2R9DUYAAOY6x.jpg

2021-01-15T18:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350151841544245248

@BETTY43439436 @stopthestealll @GaSecofState @MattBraynard We can revisit this on Jan 21.

2021-01-15T18:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350151251309203470

@FoxCrow5 Yes, that's what the video is about, the specific case I describe from Twitter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350151106668630017/pu/img/CSjASDkz9jFRKwpZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1350151106668630017/pu/img/CSjASDkz9jFRKwpZ.jpg
2021-01-15T18:34:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350149474878832641
@PstarmanUa @uelbus iPhone X

2021-01-15T18:25:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350146998427475968
@stopthestealll @GaSecofState @MattBraynard Trump has given up.

2021-01-15T18:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350146578795794433
@PstarmanUa @uelbus Use the digital zoom. It will work without it, but to get a really dramatic effect you need that stabilization.

2021-01-15T18:17:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350145147657306112
@uelbus It was actually somewhat new to me - the key thing seems to be digital image stabilization keeping the tree from moving. I might make a video about how that works later.

2021-01-15T17:50:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350138419540750337

@TxUFOSightings @socalkoi1 "I was trying to drive and take pictures and eventually I lost sight of it."

It was probably a plane.

2021-01-15T17:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350138155467358209

@stang289 @stopthestealll I've read debunks of it.

There's so many eyes on this stuff that it's rare to find something that multiple people have not already adequately addressed.

Can you find something in the Navarro report that still stands up to scrutiny?

2021-01-15T17:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350137835844603904

@stopthestealll Are those my only options?

2021-01-15T17:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350128406680125440

@JLuvin @therealpmoney If you "Save page as", "HTML Only" you'll get a local file, looking like the attached image. You can edit this, and load it locally into your browser (and set a bookmark). You will have to adjust the zoom level and have the Ignore X-Frame extension.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EryeJ0EVQAAvmjt.jpg

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html>
<head>
<title></title>
<style>
        iframe {
            height: 960px;
            width:620px;

        }
    </style>
</head>
<body>
<iframe src="http://www.nbcnews.com/"> </iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.cnn.com/"> </iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.bbc.com/news"> </iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.npr.org/sections/news/"> </iframe>
<iframe src="https://www.nytimes.com/"></iframe>
<iframe src="https://www.cnbc.com/"></iframe>
<iframe src="http://reuters.com/"></iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/world/index.htm"></iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.foxnews.com/"></iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.breitbart.com" /></iframe>
<iframe src="https://www.rt.com/"></iframe>
<iframe src="http://www.presstv.com/"></iframe>
</body>
</html>
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EryeJ0EVQAAvmjt.jpg

2021-01-15T17:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350127004360732673

@ufobelievers No I didn't.

Seagulls actually ARE a cause of several such civilian sighting.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErydL7CUwAEqsoD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErydL7CUwAEqsoD.jpg
2021-01-15T17:03:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1350126552734789632
@Itsaufobro If you see what looks like a featureless white blob moving in a plane-like manner, then don't you ASSUME it's a plane?

It's a perfectly good assumption of course, but a lot of people don't have your experience,

eyesight, and common sense.

2021-01-15T16:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350120870484664322

@Disclos93884769 Have you tried? What are your results so far?

2021-01-15T16:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350112703944089602

@BTRadford @JasonColavito

https://www.therichest.com/shocking/15-close-calls-that-almost-happened-on-fear-factor/

And there have been some very serious injuries and illnesses on "Survivor" over the years.

2021-01-15T15:53:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350108883604307969

@Itsaufobro This isn't about Fravor's sighting. I open with that to explain the prevalence of the term "Tic-Tac" for UFOs like this.

That was a mistake on my part, I forget most people don't watch the video. I should have opened with a plane-behind-tree video.

2021-01-15T15:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350106794274689027

@TxUFOSightings @socalkoi1 Okay, perhaps you could share a UFO/UAP video labeled "tic-tac" that is clearly NOT a TFA plane (or a bird, which actually accounts for a few more than I first thought)?

2021-01-15T10:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1350031195443118080

@TxUFOSightings Mostly, not always.

2021-01-15T01:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349889700530556929

@ET_Kowai Don't qualify as what?

They qualify to the people who continue to misidentify them. That's what I'm trying to help with. A bit less chaff.

2021-01-15T01:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349889260397031425

@timshie89740794 Seems like he's an "F' the police" anti-establishment type. Must have been conflicting for him to have fun, and yet be surrounded by Trump fans.

2021-01-15T01:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349888899980554240

@socalkoi1 I didn't. The original poster described it as a "legit Tic-Tac".

I mention Fravor to explain why there are so many reports of "Tic-Tac" ufos. It's just a newly

popular label. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErvEkIlVgAIlgi5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErvEkIlVgAIlgi5.jpg
2021-01-15T01:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349884359868772352
@timshie89740794 No, I indicated that they were not behind the storming of capitol. There were thousands of people there. Obviously going to be a variety of types. Probably some undercover FBI too.

2021-01-15T00:59:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349883831394816000
This is a just small plane just flying along in a straight line. But the digital zoom stabilization on my iPhone makes it look like a crazy thing bopping and weaving all over the place.
It's just me moving the camera.
This is unedited footage.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1349883272927408129/pu/img/EvFVKp-CulMtC-Uv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1349883272927408129/pu/img/EvFVKp-CulMtC-Uv.jpg
2021-01-15T00:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349883226072903680
@timshie89740794 So?

2021-01-15T00:52:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349882188326531073
@timshie89740794 So you think he riled up the mob all by himself?

2021-01-15T00:51:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349881914644078592
@Wadjela_1111 @FrontAstronaut No, it doesn't.

2021-01-15T00:43:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349879937210097666
@NasherXxvi @projecthumanfm How would you know, if you've never listened to me?

2021-01-15T00:43:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349879778422124544
@Wadjela_1111 @FrontAstronaut That's an out-of-focus light. Probably a star.

2021-01-15T00:39:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349878846179295234
@remixeverything @HeadRushV2 That's not what I'm saying here. But I do say that about FLIR1 and GIMBAL. Unrelated to this video. Sorry for confusion.

This is about things that people label Tic-Tacs, that go behind trees.

2021-01-14T23:13:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349857102332788736
@1crazy_toaster What is wrong in the video? Or in my description?

2021-01-14T23:09:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349856199718522880

@1crazy_toaster Did you watch the video?

2021-01-14T23:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349855404600164354

@HeadRushV2 Yeah, that's just why the term "tic-tac" is popular. Fravor's Tic-Tac was not behind a tree.

2021-01-14T23:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349855177017159680

@RagnarSpock @etufodisclosure Watch the video. It's really short.

2021-01-14T23:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349855051796238337

@themoodnetwork My first though is that the access to the dropbox likely came from the hacked Podesta emails.

2021-01-14T23:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349854500241108993

@HeadRushV2 Well none of the Navy videos were behind trees, or filmed from ten miles away with an iPhone. So that sounds like a different kettle of fish, no?

2021-01-14T22:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349853627389677568

@benjimaan22 @TheProjectUnity @_olligo_ @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 Update: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-01-14T22:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349853429548498947

What are those wingless Tic-Tac UFOs moving erratically behind trees? Alien spaceships? Advance Military Drones? Dive-bombing seagulls?

They are just planes, with the oddness coming from stabilized digital zoom, camera motion, and being Too Far Away.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-01-14T20:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349812783353798657

RT @projecthumanfm: This week I am joined by retired game programmer, and debunker of conspiracies @MickWest.

We touch on current events,â□¦

2021-01-14T17:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349770510561755140

@stang289 @stopthestealll @GaSecofState @BrianKempGA @GabrielSterling Did he take a photo of them? Did he report it?

2021-01-14T17:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349768159213961218

@stang289 @stopthestealll @GaSecofState @BrianKempGA @GabrielSterling Massive? What effect did it have?

2021-01-14T16:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349751098957996032

@stopthestealll @GabrielSterling Because there's no evidence on is needed. There have been multiple recounts and a signature audit - which was done at the place the Trump team told them one was needed.

2021-01-14T15:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349743340913266693

@stopthestealll That does not appear to say what you are claiming.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErtAadZUcAQHlHM.jpg

> County registrars and absentee ballot clerks are required, upon receipt of each mail-in absentee ballot, to compare the signature or mark of the elector on the mail-in absentee ballot envelope with the signatures or marks in eNet and on the application for the mail-in absentee ballot. If the signature does not appear to be valid, registrars and clerks are required to follow the procedure set forth in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-386(a)(1)(C). When reviewing an elector's signature on the mail-in absentee ballot envelope, the registrar or clerk must compare the signature on the mail-in absentee ballot envelope to each signature contained in such elector's voter registration record in eNet and the elector's signature on the application for the mail-in absentee ballot. If the registrar or absentee ballot clerk determines that the voter's signature on the mail-in absentee ballot envelope does not match any of the voter's signatures on file in eNet or on the absentee ballot application, the registrar or absentee ballot clerk must seek review from two other registrars, deputy registrars, or absentee ballot clerks. A mail-in absentee ballot shall not be rejected unless a majority of the registrars, deputy registrars, or absentee ballot clerks reviewing the signature agree that the signature does not match any of the voter's signatures on file in eNet or on the absentee ballot application. If a determination is made that the elector's signature on the mail-in absentee ballot envelope does not match any of the voter's signatures on file in eNet or on the absentee ballot application, the registrar or absentee ballot clerk shall write the names of the three elections officials who conducted the signature review across the face of the absentee ballot envelope, which shall be in addition to writing "Rejected" and the reason for the rejection as required under OCGA 21-2-386(a)(1)(C). Then, the registrar or absentee ballot clerk shall

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErtAadZUcAQHlHM.jpg

2021-01-14T15:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349742346171080704

@YouFoes Suspicious and proof are two very different things. His death is unexplained. The DNC hacking seems to have been done by Russians.

2021-01-14T14:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349732488998838272

@stopthestealll Can you link to a description of this actual procedure?

2021-01-14T14:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349732174094688262

@stopthestealll I've never said that refuting QAnon is related IN ANY WAY to there being no voter fraud. You made that connection, not me.

It the lack of good evidence that shows there's no significant voter fraud.

2021-01-13T23:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349498750020902914

@Scribe1969 No.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Murder_of_Seth_Rich

2021-01-13T23:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349492226473119744

The Seth Rich story has become a foundational part of the Alt-right Q-lite conspiracy scene. But it's always been based on lies. https://twitter.com/willsommer/status/1349452437304848384

2021-01-13T20:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349447308950179840

@IndependentAme9 @atlas_grip I don't see any evidence that he's skipped, or if he's involved in anything illegal. Just that his account was suspended.

2021-01-13T20:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349446816908013568

@LtTimMcMillan @UfoJoe11 (Correct link)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=2723&v=A7ZF2TEO61U

2021-01-13T19:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349435637770829825

@LtTimMcMillan @UfoJoe11 He's a 9/11 Truther, so seems open to a variety of conspiracy theories. He was on my podcast a year ago, a "connect ALL the dots" type of guy. I asked him about that. https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=2723

2021-01-13T19:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349432009454538752

@blackvaultcom @emmathepony Especially when things are too far away. :)

2021-01-13T19:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349431539331784704

@ChristAhlmighty @blackvaultcom @CIA Sounds kinda like space junk.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CSVAc5R55so

2021-01-13T18:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349427812235583489

@blackvaultcom @CIA Is there actual new evidence of specific UFO incidents? Or is this largely about establishing that there was some kind of UFO studying program? The next page on that doc: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErohZucVcAA68tD.jpg



INTEREST, AS WOULD SPECIFIC INDICATIONS OF FOREIGN DEVELOPMENTS OR APPLICATIONS OF UFO RELATED RESEARCH.

4. DR [redacted] HAS ADVISED US THAT HE WOULD EVALUATE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WE MIGHT RECEIVE AS WELL AS DISSEMINATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS THROUGH APPROPRIATE CHANNELS SHOULD IT BE WARRANTED.

5. WE WISH TO STRESS AGAIN, THAT THERE DOES NOT NOW APPEAR TO BE ANY SPECIAL PROGRAM ON UFOS WITHIN THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY AND THIS SHOULD BE RELAYED TO [redacted]

[redacted]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErohZucVcAA68tD.jpg

2021-01-13T18:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349425202321518594

@blackvaultcom @CIA Maybe you could somehow indicate which are the actual interesting documents in that CIA dump. It seems to be mostly recaps of Soviet/Russian media.

2021-01-13T18:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349424364676993024

@emmathepony @JamesOKeefeIII Some election fraud happens every election and should be investigated and prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

The question of the day is if it is significant enough to change the outcome of the election.

2021-01-13T18:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349422310734716929

@officer_pinky @PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies Sure, send it via paypal to mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-01-13T16:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349400523397480448

RT @jaysbookman: Parler has sued Amazon for refusing to provide it web services, and conservatives have rallied to Parler's defense, claimiâ¦

2021-01-13T06:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349243916894179331

RT @parlertakes: Credit given to @ConSelfOwns. :face_with_tears_of_joy: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erl6C71UUAgCJ4Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erl6C71UUAgCJ4Q.jpg
2021-01-13T05:40:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349229711411482624
@LadFromTheWoods @RayJack62496046 @PostDisclosure We are talking about UFOs here.

2021-01-13T05:35:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349228522078838784
@stang289 Don't you know? You listen to NPR, who was she talking about?

2021-01-13T05:32:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349227831436349440
@stang289 Your unconsidered reaction is, yes.

2021-01-13T05:30:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349227308813438976
@LadFromTheWoods @RayJack62496046 @PostDisclosure Like I said, a rough basket. Do you have a better idea?

2021-01-13T05:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349220424329035776
@EclecticMethod Not all of them. Letâ□□s help as many out as possible.

2021-01-13T05:01:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349220082413539329
@LadFromTheWoods @RayJack62496046 @PostDisclosure I just wanted a rough basket of non-crazy leaders.

2021-01-13T05:00:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349219747133497344
Some hard truths coming down the line for QAnon fans.

https://twitter.com/travis_view/status/1349140321234526214

2021-01-13T01:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349163675211370497

@debunker National Peach Melba Day

2021-01-13T01:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349161429002117122

@stang289 Your QAnon style rabbit hole. You think the election was stolen, socialists are under the beds, and that Joe Biden is a pedophile.

The things you JUST mention, I can certainly see some merit in. Those are reasonable things to debate.

2021-01-13T00:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349156476854538240

@stang289 Good luck. Hope you find your way out eventually.

2021-01-13T00:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349153712917213185

@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies What's "very well may" expressed as a likely percentage? 5%? 1% 1/1000000?

2021-01-13T00:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349151923476205568

@stang289 I think I've lost hope in you Joe. Sad.

2021-01-13T00:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349151571083333632

@mattjdavidson @PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies They might report that several members of the public had sent them their ideas about aliens. They might report that the pilot joked about it being an alien spaceship.

2021-01-13T00:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349151103389032448

@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies This is a different bet.

2021-01-13T00:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349150960929525762

Lana Del Rey album Chemtrails Over The Country Club got 3 million views in a day. Will this be a publicity boost to "chemtrails" as a conspiracy theory, or just make it seem sillier.

And will the theorists think Lana Del Rey is like, CIA, or something.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vBHild0PiTE

2021-01-13T00:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349147469167222788

@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies I'll bet you another $50 that this 180 day report will disappoint the UFO community by failing to provide any serious mention of aliens as a possible cause of the sightings.

2021-01-13T00:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349146995026391041
@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies Unidentified airspace incursions are an important national security issue, yes, obviously, and always have been.

2021-01-13T00:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349146404451614723
@somethingldsay @Nlrosenblum Do you have an example?

2021-01-13T00:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349146186762964993
@Bobbybigrig @atlas_grip That was @realFonaldPlump, he's still here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErkgvjxUYAAxZ96.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErkgvjxUYAAxZ96.jpg
2021-01-13T00:03:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349144970158960640
@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu @atlas_grip @LueElizondo @SomewhereSkies What DO you think he'll say?

I'm pretty sure he's got WAY more important things to do.

2021-01-12T23:58:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349143661741625348
@buffcommacu @atlas_grip @PostDisclosure I've got screenshots of that. Less than two years to go! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erke34aVQAAk3UZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erke34aVQAAk3UZ.jpg

2021-01-12T23:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349140830301274113

@AdmiralQuality @atlas_grip Says there he violated the Twitter rules. Based on my interactions, that would not be surprising.

2021-01-12T23:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349140500180201472

@GetAFreakinGrip Yeah, some of their election fraud stuff was just insane.

2021-01-12T23:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349139746145054721

Bah, I had a $20 bet with Q-person @atlas_grip that Kamala Harris would would be sworn is as vice-president on Jan 20th.

But he got himself suspended. I'd really like to hear what he's thinking now.

Note to self: screenshot Twitter wagers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErkbR3ZVQAI6L8q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErkbR3ZVQAI6L8q.jpg
2021-01-12T23:33:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349137433737138176
@mark58329831 I suspect something of a Q in the ranks issue, given that it's directed at the Joint Force.

Possibly also to quell any rumors that Trump has support in the military leadership, rumors which might have emboldened potential insurrectionists.

2021-01-12T23:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349136238620471296
@GetAFreakinGrip Gateway Pundit, although RT is pretty transparent sometimes.

2021-01-12T22:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349122697410740224
@CalgaryPatty @Oswald1160 @mustachemagesty This is my homework - getting people to question their assumptions, and prompting them to have a genuinely open mind.

Give it a go. Try to find a SOLID single bit of evidence.

Ask yourself why it's so hard.

2021-01-12T22:28:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349121142670323713
@CalgaryPatty @Oswald1160 @mustachemagesty You are the one making the claim that there is evidence. Yet you are unable to find a single piece of evidence you think is solid.

Reflect on that for a while. Why is that?

Give yourself permission to be open minded, see what happens.

2021-01-12T22:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349117922082398208

@CalgaryPatty @Oswald1160 @mustachemagesty But you have already DONE the research, and you seem to be unaware of any single piece of evidence.

So why should I repeat that?

2021-01-12T22:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349116904540045312

@CalgaryPatty @Oswald1160 @mustachemagesty I'm not afraid, I'm WAITING for one bit of evidence that stands up to scrutiny.

2021-01-12T22:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349115795930894338

@CalgaryPatty @Oswald1160 @mustachemagesty Pick one claim of evidence you think stands up to scrutiny.

Just one.

2021-01-12T22:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349115422579204096

@PJMasson1 Are there any videos?

2021-01-12T22:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349115057569890306

@KimDotcom @wikileaks Nah, after his speech today he's just trying to cement his "I built some of a wall" legacy.

2021-01-12T21:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349109932801417217

@therealpmoney That's what I do, on my server. However you need to set the magnification level, I have it on the http://news.metabunk.org domain so the zoom level is maintained. So it would depend on how you host it. Not sure how it works with local files.

2021-01-12T21:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349109113062494208

It will be interesting how the Q crowd respond to the military saying very clearly that Biden will be President on Jan 20th.

Is it still part of "the plan"? https://twitter.com/DanLamothe/status/1349102637778669569

2021-01-12T20:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349094374169210880

RT @rgoodlaw: Top Republican aide on House Armed Services Committee Jason Schmid resigns.

His blistering letter calls out congressional Reâ¦

2021-01-12T20:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349093729626251266

@thef14coomer The third image in my post. 12 different left-leaning media outlets on one page.

2021-01-12T19:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349082777644199938

@bosma2002 @gibarian_music @ggreenwald How do you know the ACLU is deeply troubled by the suspension of Parler by Amazon?

2021-01-12T19:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349082451998490625

@loveallaniamls I'd defer to a panel of universally recognized experts. I'd crack down on disinformation like saying it's "just the flu." I'd mandate masks in more settings. I'd get the vaccine distribution organized better. I'd give poor people continued money to stop the economy collapsing.

2021-01-12T19:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349070199681503232

@JLuvin https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRPh1CsvRI4

2021-01-12T19:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349069972354437120

@PlutoElect @NIrosenblum That was not the comparison being made. It's the the overall rhetoric of his rallies.

Why does he have so many rallies? It's a bit unusual, isn't it? What are they for?

2021-01-12T19:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349069496485494785

@careydod CNBC is often a semi-neutral take, as they they report on thing in terms of the effect on the stock market - which is a different kind of bias, but politically less polarized. AP and Reuters are pretty good. See:

https://www.adfontesmedia.com/static-mbc/

2021-01-12T18:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349068303335976960

@CalgaryPatty Narrow labels are rarely useful. Judge people by the broader context of their words and deeds.

2021-01-12T18:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349064889763983360

@Scribe1969 Count em.

2021-01-12T18:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349063486463041536

It's good to get a wide perspective of what the media is reporting. I look daily at about 30 outlets in three pages. One mostly centrist. One left. One right.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjVXcSU0AAin7_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjVVKbVoAABFMc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjVWWVU0AAqN2i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjVXcSU0AAin7_.jpg

2021-01-12T18:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349061669398302720

@PlutoElect @Nlrosenblum Have you read it? There's some quite striking parallels between Trump and Big Brother. The Two Minutes Hate, for example.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_INwSDY6jYI

2021-01-12T18:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349060626488823808

@CalgaryPatty Anti fascism.

2021-01-12T18:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349060378089558016

@stang289 Of course antisemitism bothers me. It's the centuries-old underpinning of near all conspiracy theories. Nearly everything I do (except UFOs) is, at base, related to fighting antisemitism

---

2021-01-12T18:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349058669019688962

@FormallySomeone @Nlrosenblum You're saying that Trump is just as bad as journalists? Or just as good?

2021-01-12T18:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349058156668743681

@Scott_Tolar @nate_vliets @BobMcGwier_N4HY Sure, but then there's the issue of when something is both hate speech and political speech. Or both incitements to violence and political speech. Or a bunch of proven lies and political speech.

Claiming to be "political" should not offer blanket immunity.

2021-01-12T18:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349057638177275906

Trump uses "what other people have said" as a plausible deniability method of saying what he thinks, or wants people to think he thinks. It's such a pervasive and effective disinformation tool that @Nlrosenblum and Russell Muirhead wrote a book about it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjQs3LVcAA7WeA.jpg

Answering questions from reporters for the first time since the violence at the Capitol on Wednesday, the president sidestepped questions about his culpability in the deadly riot that shook the nation's long tradition of peaceful transfers of power.

"People thought what I said was totally appropriate," Mr. Trump told reporters at Joint Base Andrews, en route to Alamo, Texas, where he was set to visit the border wall. Instead, Mr. Trump claimed that racial justice protests over the summer were "the real problem."

"If you look at what other people have said, politicians at a high level about the riots during the summer, the horrible riots in Portland and Seattle and various other places, that was a real problem," he said.

Mr. Trump's defiance came despite near universal condemnation of his role in stoking the assault on the Capitol, including from within his own administration and some of his closest allies on Capitol Hill.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjPt18VgAcbb3N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjQs3LVcAA7WeA.jpg

2021-01-12T18:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349055198644211715

@stang289 I'll condemn this specific instance of graffiti.

2021-01-12T18:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349054802374758400
@stopthestealll Sure, there were lots of real Trump supporters there who were horrified and tried to stop the violence.

But look at all the people arrested. Look at the people in the front lines. Like these three. Not antifa. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjOG40UUAAomN4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjOF9MU0AA8pqi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjN_2iUYAEu2dp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjNv80VkAAQDtX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjOG40UUAAomN4.jpg

2021-01-12T18:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349053619677618177

@Scribe1969 Promoting the false idea that the election was stolen, and the way to fix it would be armed protests at government buildings.

2021-01-12T17:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349053337765695489

@emmathepony @ufotodaynetwork @MarkDice @RekietaMedia His books are still for sale. Has he explained that he was wrong?

2021-01-12T17:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349052694854422529

@emmathepony @CassandraRules I mean I'd like to hear from a particular individual who was banned but did not violate the terms of service. I just wanted one, not a video discussing thousands.

2021-01-12T17:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349052295711846400

@Scott_Tolar @nate_vliets @BobMcGwier_N4HY Political affiliation is not a protected class at the Federal level, but it is (somewhat) in some states. e.g. with employment https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/can-employers-discriminate-based-on-political-beliefs-or-affiliation.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjL5c9VoAEoswr.jpg



Federal Laws Don't Prohibit Political Discrimination

Not all forms of discrimination are illegal, however. It is illegal under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for employers to make job decisions based on race, color, national origin, religion, and sex. Other federal laws prohibit discrimination based on age, disability, and genetic information. However, political views aren't covered by these laws and the laws of most states. This means employers are free to consider political views and affiliations in making job decisions.

Your Rights in the Workplace

What About the First Amendment?

Many people mistakenly believe that the First Amendment of the Constitution protects them from discrimination based on their politics. After all, the First Amendment guarantees our rights to free speech, freedom of the press, free exercise of our religious beliefs, free assembly, and to petition the government for redress of grievances. Shouldn't these rights protect us from discrimination based on our political beliefs and statements?

The First Amendment does protect our political views—but only from actions taken by the government. Those who work for public employers—the federal, state, or local government—are protected by the First Amendment and might have a valid legal claim if fired for their political beliefs, depending on the circumstances. However, those who work for private employers don't enjoy these types of job protections under the First Amendment.

State Laws on Political Discrimination

A handful of states protect private employees from workplace discrimination based on their

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjL5c9VoAEoswr.jpg

2021-01-12T17:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349050850216341504

@stopthestealll Slaves should be freed from their bonds, not taken down.

2021-01-12T17:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349050546280271874

@rizzuto_phil I just said that it was.

2021-01-12T17:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349049773580406784

@rizzuto_phil Feel free to focus on that. Let's get back to real work.

2021-01-12T17:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349049497200988169

@emmathepony @ufotodaynetwork @MarkDice @RekietaMedia Mark Dice? Seriously? He's a crazy illuminati-obsessed conspiracy theorist. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjI_-0VgAAgY67.jpg

---



You're blocked

You can't follow or see @MarkDice's Tweets. Learn more

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjI_-0VgAAgY67.jpg
2021-01-12T17:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349048900796125184
Twitter isn't deleting accounts because they are conservative. They are deleting accounts that are part of QAnon botnets and others engaged in coordinated spreading of harmful disinfo.

https://blog.twitter.com/en_us/topics/company/2021/protecting--the-conversation-following-the-riots-in-washington--.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjIyfJVgAAAbWK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjIyfJVgAAAbWK.jpg
2021-01-12T17:38:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349048106487222272
@Scott_Tolar @nate_vliets @BobMcGwier_N4HY They have community standards, of which they are the final arbiter.

Would you prefer some government body?

2021-01-12T17:37:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349047785333559296
@emmathepony @CassandraRules Can you point to specific examples of regular Trump supporters who were banned without TOS cause?

2021-01-12T17:33:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349046911509020677
@DummiesFreedom @stang289 Can I see the uncut video, and Beller's explanation of what went on?

Looks like largely a bunch of stupid jokes.

2021-01-12T17:25:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349044823865520128
@Scott_Tolar @nate_vliets @BobMcGwier_N4HY Who said he was? Facebook just said: "â□□repeatedly going against our community standards,â□□"

I think Facebook should be clearer in explaining why they placed the temporary restriction on

his page. It may well be more about his Covid-19 disinformation.

2021-01-12T17:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349043139923775489

@ufotodaynetwork @emmathepony You mean the Ron Paul who just tweeted about being temporarily restricted from page management on Facebook?

Not exactly a permaban from the internet, is it

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjDCBGUUAASlTf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErjDCBGUUAASlTf.jpg

2021-01-12T17:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349042120665911296

@MachinesSekret Unfortunately arguments like "If you don't like the restaurants rules, go elsewhere or open your own restaurant" are used to argue for the repeal of federal anti-discrimination laws - also arguing the problem no longer exists.

2021-01-12T17:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349040194775748611

@stang289 It's a graph of data from Johns Hopkins University. Quibble with them.

2021-01-12T17:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1349039994153824257
@Cosmic_Mystery0 @ggreenwald None of the alt-social sites (Parler, Gab, etc) wanted 230 removed. It would have destroyed them. Yet they supported Trump.

2021-01-12T17:04:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349039464576765958
@emmathepony Which conservatives have been permanently silenced who were not spouting dangerous conspiracy theory bullshit?

2021-01-12T17:01:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349038910198878210
While you were distracted....

Coronavirus is killing more than even before. 3,000+ EVERY DAY. Hospitals are breaking.

Trump should resign just so we can deal with this real issue without more stupid distractions.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri-41vUcAYzYmu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri-41vUcAYzYmu.jpg
2021-01-12T16:49:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349035725988130817
@Cosmic_Mystery0 @ggreenwald Agreed, and I also agree with ACLU lawyer Wizen when he said "â□□I think we should recognize the importance of neutrality when weâ□□re talking about the infrastructure of the internet"

I'm all for net neutrality. Trump is the one who wanted a kill switch.

2021-01-12T16:46:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349035118728368129

@MachinesSekret You mean like how in the 1960s black people could just choose to frequent a different bus-line or lunch bar?

History has a way or repeating, if ignored. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri8F4pVEAAiQkb.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri8F4pVEAAiQkb.png
2021-01-12T16:41:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349033662050758657
@bosma2002 @ggreenwald There's a world of difference between "troubling" and "deeply troubling"

He's putting his own interpretation of the severity on the ACLU, and then using that to justify his own position.

2021-01-12T16:39:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349033265659670529
@CZShort So lobby against that.

2021-01-12T16:38:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349033028782211073
@ZombieSymmetry No. Parler will be back in a bit (they probably could do it sooner, just holding out for effect.). Just like Gab did.

Amazon is big, but it's not the only cloud hosting company. I'd get alarmed if a major ISP or backbone started filtering them out.

Who revoked net neutrality?

2021-01-12T16:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349030510870216705
I spent some time trying to track down @ggreenwald's report that the ACLU was "deeply

troubled" by the removal of Parler. But all I could find was what looked like him adding the word "deeply" himself.

Kinda looks like spin.

https://twitter.com/ggreenwald/status/1349006767766835204
https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/10/technology/parler-app-trump-free-speech.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri3yPKVgAI8MNB.jpg



Glenn Greenwald
@ggreenwald

The ACLU said the unity of Silicon Valley monopoly power to destroy Parler was deeply troubling. Leaders from Germany, France and Mexico protested. Only US liberals support it, because the dominant strain of US liberalism is not economic socialism but political authoritarianism.

6:54am · 12 Jan 2021 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri16uuVQAIBl9P.jpg

Even the ACLU — which has rapidly transformed from a civil liberties organization into a liberal activist group since Trump's election — found the assertion of Silicon Valley's power to destroy Parler deeply alarming. One of that organization's most stalwart defenders of civil liberties, lawyer Ben Wizner, told *The New York Times* that the destruction of Parler was more "troubling" than the deletion of posts or whole accounts: "I think we should recognize the importance of neutrality when we're talking about the infrastructure of the internet."

Yet American liberals swoon for this authoritarianism. And they are now calling for the use of the most repressive War on Terror measures against their domestic opponents. On Tuesday, House Homeland Security Chair Bennie Thompson (D-MS)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri18lPVQAAS5ST.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eri3yPKVgAI8MNB.jpg
2021-01-12T16:04:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349024559677272064
@stang289 @ChinaInFocusNTD @news_ntd @ManInAmericaUS Twitter is slow. People have been reporting Trump's dangerous disinformations for many years.

So keep trying.

2021-01-12T16:03:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1349024105450844161
Nobody raises hell about "No Shoes, No Service"

There's a clear line between the things a private (but semi-public-space) restaurant can refuse service for, and the things that it cannot.

Twitter isn't banning white people. Or Conservatives. Just some conspiracy theorists.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErixxJoXcAAtJbT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErixxJoXcAAtJbT.jpg
2021-01-12T06:50:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348884998154199040
@DummiesFreedom Prove me wrong.

2021-01-12T06:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348884921520033795
@stopthestealll @GabrielSterling 10,000?

2021-01-12T06:44:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348883525164879875
@MrC1549 Take them to court.

2021-01-12T06:29:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348879769752883200
@AdmiralQuality @_AdamFitzgerald Do you think the Russians DIDN'T interfere in the 2016 election.

Not talking about campaign collusion here, just Russian active measures.

2021-01-12T06:27:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348879172660125699
@_AdamFitzgerald @AdmiralQuality Unfortunately action on America soil carries far more weight with Americans than action on foreign soil.

2021-01-12T06:25:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348878842744557569

@AdmiralQuality @_AdamFitzgerald She's talking about Russian interference in the 2016 election, which was proven to occur. It's a fact-based tweet, backed up by the entire US Intelligence service and a 1,000 page Senate Intelligence Committee report.

https://int.nyt.com/data/documenttools/senate-intelligence-committee-russian-interference/8cf58e574d235164/full.pdf

---

2021-01-12T06:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348877734253002753

@WConTheRoad It this an argument for Congress to just give into threats of violence?

2021-01-12T06:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348876800269627392

@_AdamFitzgerald @AdmiralQuality The tech companies have not changed their terms of service to make this happen. They gave Trump a pass on those terms for many years, arguing it was in the public interest to allow Trump to break them. Then he finally went too far by inciting a riot.

2021-01-12T06:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348874290561630208

@benjiunofficial I'm not. I spent all day not defending it.

2021-01-12T06:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348873988642988035

@AdmiralQuality @_AdamFitzgerald There's always a line, not matter what your position on censorship is. We can all conceive of something that should not be posted on the internet.

Like all contentious subject any legislation or action will essentially be a compromise, pleasing very few people.

2021-01-12T06:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348872913429045248

@RohanPaini Of course, but it's not just conspiracy theorists who are using this.

Politicians and pundits are trying to frame it as an assault of conservative values - when lying about election fraud is very much NOT a conservative value.

2021-01-12T05:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348869321649397760

Twitter, Facebook, Amazon, etc, are not censoring an ideology. Nobody is censoring talk about limited government, or abortion laws, or religion, or gun rights.

They are censoring dangerous bullshit - conspiracy theories and calls of violence.

Don't defend dangerous bullshit.

2021-01-12T05:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348861890328358917

@stang289 @ChinaInFocusNTD @news_ntd @ManInAmericaUS How could that be a lie? You know me.

2021-01-12T01:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348811884602949634

@stang289 @ChinaInFocusNTD @news_ntd @ManInAmericaUS I'm opposed to cults. I'm also opposed to authoritarianism.

2021-01-11T22:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348754559720517635

Very interesting thread. Frank Luntz asked Trump voters about the Storming of the Capitol and related topics. https://twitter.com/FrankLuntz/status/1348722720821809152

2021-01-11T21:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348748149611925509

Alamo vs. THE Alamo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ere3N39VcAErVi5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ere3N39VcAErVi5.jpg

2021-01-11T20:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348728933399752706

@CalgaryPatty @mustachemagesty So why would they do that?

Why not just edit it in the US?

All a moot point really, as the hand recounts and audits show they was no electronic manipulation.

2021-01-11T20:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348728626749919232

@cmnit @SecretzChannel @disinformatico Is there any discussion of this claim in the Italian media?

2021-01-11T20:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348727793987723265

@SecretzChannel Because they are not disinformation.

Actually they did delete one, automated probably, as I was just discussing QAnon with Mike Rothschild.

2021-01-11T20:21:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348726665937973248

@SecretzChannel @cmnit @disinformatico You think my opinion on WTC7 is some kind of test as to how gullible I am?

2021-01-11T20:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348722208953167873

@SecretzChannel YouTube deletes disinformation. It's part of their terms of service.

2021-01-11T20:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348721771634065408

@Beneloben @th3j35ter It does not seem to be mentioned in his profile or first few posts. Link?

2021-01-11T19:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348721331525746691

@CalgaryPatty I'm a former Technical Director, and my Computer Science degree practical project was in cryptography, I've coded for 40 years, and I run several web sites. So I'm at least as expert as, say, Ron Watkins.

2021-01-11T19:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348718908325339136

This is not a mystery because it's not true.

It doesn't even make any sense. How does sending a copy of the date to Germany, then Italy, and then back via Spy Satellite actually help? If you are going to manipulate date covertly, then sending a copy around the world is silly.

https://twitter.com/SecretzChannel/status/1348716171844083717

2021-01-11T19:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348718107309735941

So who pays to promote a fake meme about the Simpsons predicting the future?

Someone uninterested in Truth obviously. But is it just someone trying to build a clickbait brand? Or someone trying to promote bizarre conspiracy theories?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erebc9tVkAIVxcw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Erebc9tVkAIVxcw.jpg

2021-01-11T19:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348716002603749376

@SecretzChannel Can you perhaps find a source that's not covered by ads. It makes it looks like it's just a clickbait story, designed to get advertising revenue rather than relay facts.

2021-01-11T19:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348713624198541312

@Seeker11Truth @stopthestealll @GabrielSterling So Trumps lies are what? An excusable tactic?

You know the target of his lies is YOU, his supporters.

Why do you trust what he says when he's been shown to lie?

2021-01-11T19:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348710805408161792

@stopthestealll @GabrielSterling Why do you trust someone who lies so much?

2021-01-11T19:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348710665322590209

@GetAFreakinGrip Hack, you can watch the whole thing in 5 minutes at 20x, or just scrub through it to see where the table came from, and when the ballot boxes were filled, sealed and put under there, or the simple fact that they were not suitcases.

2021-01-11T19:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348710220562747392

RT @rothschildmd: A Q influencer on Gab (I'm not screencapping it) is telling people not to update their Android or iPhones because it willâ¦

2021-01-11T19:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348710064643739649

@stopthestealll @GabrielSterling It mean that Giuliani and Trump deliberately set to out to deceive people - and specifically to deceive their supporters, people like you.

Does this not raise any red flags for you personally?

2021-01-11T19:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348708251144130560

@SecretzChannel Do you personally think that affidavit is 100% genuine, and true?

2021-01-11T18:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348705141449318400

A detailed and inarguable debunk of Trump and Giuliani's "suitcases of fake ballots under the table" lies from 60 Minutes.

Remember, the Trump Team had this video, yet still repeated the lie.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pjKXvqSNIkk

2021-01-11T18:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348700025132519424

I follow Lin Wood to CloutHub, a platform "For Meaningful Civic, Social & Political Engagement"

However it seems to be all MAGA & QAnon.

Is there a market need for a new reality based discussion forum? Seems like new sites NEED to be biased to get an audience. Forming bubbles.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EreKiojUcAcEcru.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EreKiojUcAcEcru.jpg
2021-01-11T18:31:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348698954070855680
@stang289 @Bhima_Jenkins @kcox343 There was a lot of coverage of, and investigation into, Epstein's death.

Perhaps you did not get the answer that seem to fit for you personally, but what exactly more should have been done?

2021-01-11T18:12:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348694334045003777
@stang289 @Bhima_Jenkins @kcox343 So who do you think is reliable? Why do you trust one group rather than another?

2021-01-11T17:10:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348678678427799554
@carolyn_kinsel @stopthesteallll Feel free to investigate those affidavits to see if they hold any water.

2021-01-11T06:08:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348512171374694401
@Wadjela_1111 So they would moderate any post that someone contests?

2021-01-11T06:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348511904444993538
@kohljordan Law enforcement.

2021-01-11T05:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348509392883179520

@davidfarrier @nick_depalo That's the last THPS I worked on. Good times.

2021-01-11T05:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348509196107464704

@nexit9819 And you know this how? A dark webcam?

2021-01-11T05:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348508666731786241

@IndependentAme9 I feel sorry that he got tricked into do that, yes.

2021-01-11T05:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348508468152451072

There was no blackout in the Vatican. The Pope has not been arrested. Trump will shortly not be President.

#itsnothappening

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FFEThJF7HF0

2021-01-11T05:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348503919257784323

@Wadjela_1111 What would this body do?

2021-01-11T02:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348451144624873472

Conservatives - is government regulation the solution to the dropping of Parler by Apple, Google, and Amazon?

What type of regulations, or other Government interventions, do you feel are needed?

2021-01-11T00:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348430035082858496

@NasherXxvi Every little helps!

2021-01-10T23:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348410456956760064

Did NPR predict the storming of the Capitol at 9:33AM ET? No, it was a "Live Update" story, the original was just about the protestors gathering. A 3:08PM update to the same page described Storming the Capitol and Clashes With Police, after it happened.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-9-33-am-et-time-on-npr-story-about-storming-the-capitol.11551/

2021-01-10T22:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348389911104131072

RT @sngbritton: @KQEDForum @mkimreporter @travis_view @AdrienneLaF And for tips on how to help friends, colleagues and loved ones escape Qâ¦

2021-01-10T19:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348347112132538369

@tjradcliffe @jzamorabonilla @manuelfherrador A problem here though is that most people don't understand what "epistemology" means. I want to discuss the context more easily in non-academic settings.

2021-01-10T10:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348215293504487433

@LtTimMcMillan I'm at -5 for the month (last 10 days), but +178 for the last 28 days. I'm pretty sure it's mostly people unfollowing me because of my anti-Trump posts.

2021-01-10T10:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348211751137943557

Conspiracy theorists make rational decisions based on false information that they believe to be true. Their distorted set of beliefs about the world is sometimes referred to as a "crippled epistemology."

What would be a better term, for non-academic conversation?

2021-01-10T07:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348170753607311361

Look at the top former NXIVM people, for example. They were misled and wrong, but certainly not stupid.

2021-01-10T07:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348169486654869504

@stopthestealll Well, I have a lot more followers than you. So that matches :)

2021-01-10T07:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348168808238698497

Cults actively seek out intelligent successful people as their ideal recruits - and they get lots of them. Simply being smart and educated does not inoculate you against a well crafted disinformation campaign or a highly evolved conspiracy theory. Especially not both.

https://twitter.com/choo_ek/status/1348165312013684736

2021-01-10T07:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348166629830520835

@HeadRushV2 I don't think we want to just give up here. There's lots that can be done - starting with educating people ABOUT disinformation, how it works, examples, etc. Debunking (presenting correct facts to replace false beliefs) does actually work if done right.

2021-01-10T07:10:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348165283320459264

Have you personally seen examples of what might be "Information Warfare?"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErWlCGDVgAEkBsI.png

Future Of Information Warfare

Citizens will find themselves in the digital crossfire of international conflicts that exploit online platforms with convincing disinformation campaigns.

CBINSIGHTS



1 Reconnaissance

An adversary identifies target attributes and monitors online platforms.

2 Weaponization

Realistic false content is created with AI-enabled software.





3 Attack

False content is planted in promising online venues (e.g., groups, hashtags, messages).

4 Infection

Bots and algorithms share and drive engagement with false content.





5 Impact

False narratives overcome factual ones and spur beliefs and actions favorable to the adversary

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErWlCGDVgAEkBsI.png

2021-01-10T07:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348164950892498949

@Sloppyjaloppy2 @PostJawn @MamaTr1ed He wasn't egging people on to break down doors when she was shot, he was warning them that there was a gun.

2021-01-10T06:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348159048747634696

@Sloppyjaloppy2 @PostJawn @MamaTr1ed Did he instigate?

2021-01-10T06:43:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348158398366248961

@stopthestealll I've put at least TWENTY TIMES as much time into looking at the claimed evidence of election fraud.

2021-01-10T00:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348067966718406658

@bungalow5410 @MamaTr1ed Nobody forced him, but they did trick him.

2021-01-10T00:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348066008079101954

@WorldProFunTeam I don't think it's that simple.

2021-01-10T00:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348065671062507521

@rocks_lab That's kind of the point - like in a traditional cult, they have been brainwashed. Perhaps I'm just feeling sorry for who they once were.

2021-01-09T22:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348040671894990850

Don't pretend Trump's Twitter feed was simply a "conservative voice" that is being silenced. It was an unending stream of lies and disinformation unparalleled in recent American history. He's an outlier, a proven promoter of conspiracy theories. Conservative voices are fine.

2021-01-09T22:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348038699980791808

@DianeChristina_ Unlikely. But I would not entirely rule it out.

2021-01-09T22:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348037701149200384

@Duwg80 Not really. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUxIEnVgAEK23D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUxIEnVgAEK23D.jpg

2021-01-09T22:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348037109441908741

@MamaTr1ed I'm not excusing him. I'm feeling sorry for him. He's both a perpetrator and a victim.

2021-01-09T22:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348036233251811329

I know it's not a widely shared sentiment, but I can't help but feel sorry for people like Doug Jensen. They were fooled by an insidious conspiracy cult that turned them into mindless followers of nonsense. And now they are going to jail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUvGrHUcAEuI4M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUvGrHUcAEuI4M.jpg

2021-01-09T22:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348031477917704192

@naoehogui @knightsabers01 @jerj24 Which bit was the joke? Which bit do you think is true?

2021-01-09T22:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348029284703563776

@PostDisclosure Have you seen the Heaven's Gate documentary series?

2021-01-09T22:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348029143460450304

@dulhunty I guess that's one issue with the lack of moderation :)

2021-01-09T22:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348028797627494400

@PostDisclosure It will never vanish entirely. But it will be diminished.

Something different might grow out of it though.

2021-01-09T21:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348022467634188289

@naoehogui @mustachemagesty No, he's just not Trump.

The key vote here is people disappointed by Trump over the last four years who found Biden to be not particularly threatening.

2021-01-09T21:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348017798774018048

@JLuvin @jovianmoonio Lots of it will actually block EMF, but there's no testing of the health effects.

2021-01-09T20:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348011252627369984
@Sloppyjaloppy2 @HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 So should it be illegal to delete vague but ominous posts?

2021-01-09T20:57:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348010998666457088
@Sloppyjaloppy2 @HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 What is the solution to the big-tech monopoly?

I run a pico-sized social media site (Metabunk) should I be forced to not moderate content?

Trump wanted 230 repealed, which would do the opposite.

2021-01-09T20:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348010408473284609
@AdmiralQuality I'm more opposed to arson and murder than I am to trespass. But we don't have to make a choice here.

2021-01-09T20:51:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348009575635578880
@Sloppyjaloppy2 @HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 It's an interesting issue, because the solution would seem to be government enforced lack of content moderation. A curious mixture of authoritarianism and libertarianism.

Is organizing a lynching free speech up until the lynching occurs? Where are lines drawn?

2021-01-09T20:47:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348008557279498240
@AdmiralQuality It's what they did that is the issue here. Not what someone else did.

2021-01-09T20:46:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348008337200148480
@naoehogui @mustachemagesty Yet you are still in the minority.

2021-01-09T20:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348008176566718465
@Sloppyjaloppy2 @HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 Authoritarianism is never okay.

It's a free market. Go make your own phone and app store.

2021-01-09T20:43:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1348007598545518592
@jovianmoonio Oh, it's a HUGE market now. Pure placebo. But it makes people feel better.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUVmOmUUAAZM-5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUVmOmUUAAZM-5.jpg

2021-01-09T20:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348006937825222657

@AdmiralQuality Most of the people there were just trespassing on federal property. Although this guy went a bit beyond. And obviously many people went WAY beyond simple trespassing.

2021-01-09T20:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348006394495070208

@beilis_jay It's both ancient and ongoing.

What's the story with your Grandfather?

2021-01-09T20:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348006151602925570

@naoehogui I've seen giant crowds at a Guns and Roses concert. That does not mean the majority of Americans like Guns and Roses.

2021-01-09T20:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348005488982544384

The serious clean-shaven look is getting popular with the Capitol trespassers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUTLMXU0AAeREx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUTLMXU0AAeREx.jpg

2021-01-09T20:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348004004463198208

Trump has millions of supporters. But they are a shrinking minority.

https://twitter.com/Reuters/status/1347655042057216000

2021-01-09T20:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348003096308314112

Breitbart thinks I'm EMF-phobic http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErURk6dUUAA0QOG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErURk6dUUAA0QOG.jpg

2021-01-09T20:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1348002555452825600

@marko1301 @maureenelsberry Lazy? I did quite a bit of work.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/black-ufo-at-skinwalker-ranch-a-fly.11271/

2021-01-09T20:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347997011161747456

After spending some time looking into the things some people were carrying into the Capitol, I'm now getting personalized ads like this one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUL17xVcAE61bi.jpg



erupted at the US Capitol.

Source: CNN



Advertisement

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErUL17xVcAE61bi.jpg
2021-01-09T18:38:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347976056083369984
@uelbus @PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity This isn't clear.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErT5CyLVgAEsdks.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErT5CyLVgAEsdks.jpg
2021-01-09T18:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347970375716540421
@uelbus @PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity It's a fairly ordinary plane. Unless backlit, nearly all planes have darker wings in direct sunlight as, other than dusk/dawn the sun is ALWAYS above the wing.
Fuselage lit by sun. Underneath of wings in shadow.

2021-01-09T18:08:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347968518109581313
@BubbleInvestor Bias is an issue, although I think saying "almost every employee leans hard left" is an exaggeration. I know a few people at Google and they are far from socialists. Generally not Trump fans though.

2021-01-09T18:03:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347967195230347269
@Winston_f451 @kodyhavoc @HeadRushV2 You have no constitutional right to force a private company to share your writings for you.

2021-01-09T18:00:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347966500276117504

@BubbleInvestor The depends on how you define "election interference" Many media outlets have an overt pro or anti Trump bias, and this reflects in their reporting. Is this "election interference"? I'm not sure if "actively tried to win it for Biden by suppressing stories" needs parsing.

2021-01-09T17:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347965787357679616

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity It is by far the simples explanation and in keeping with other, more obvious movements of the camera.

The apparent motion is PERFECTLY explained by parallax, so you really need evidence that it's not parallax if you want to posit an advanced technology craft.

2021-01-09T17:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347965014104150018

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity How do you know the camera is stationary?

2021-01-09T17:51:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347964139348447233

@StillmeJj Correct, it's just the angle. Perspective compression.

2021-01-09T17:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347963923748700160

@BubbleInvestor And the issue of election fraud should not be driven by partisanship, but by facts. I've looked into the claims extensively, they do not hold up to scrutiny. At this point it's basically a false conspiracy theory. Unless new GOOD data arrives, it should be seen as such.

2021-01-09T17:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347963416397242369

@BubbleInvestor It's a problem. But I also like to be entirely honest.

2021-01-09T17:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347963109609115650

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity You see the cameraperson attempting to keep the plane in shot as it moves a constant speed to the right.
It goes behind the tree, so they move the camera to the left. This reaches its limit, so they take a step or two to the left. All while trying to keep the plane in shot.

2021-01-09T17:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347961564268122113

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity Take a step to the left. Does your camera change height?

2021-01-09T17:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347960131934330881

@CalgaryPatty @crowbern Watch his videos, he goes in WAY after everyone else, and does not incite anything. Quite the opposite. https://twitter.com/thejaydenxander

---

2021-01-09T17:11:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347954068946178050
@BubbleInvestor Who doesn't?

I'm a liberal.

2021-01-09T17:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347953817296326658
@CalgaryPatty Can you find one?

2021-01-09T17:01:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347951522202611713
The statue of Simon of Trent forms a Q, coincidentally foreshadowing QAnon and its "Save the Children" narrative - a direct descendent of the ancient "Blood Libel" conspiracy theory that is the reason this statue exists.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simon_of_Trent
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErThFVuU0AEYGOG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErThFVuU0AEYGOG.jpg

2021-01-09T16:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347949130383912960

@JayStringer It's literally thousands of years old.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blood_libel

2021-01-09T16:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347947698528210946

@CalgaryPatty Who? And what did they do?

2021-01-09T16:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347947620963033089

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo @samkimahri @TheProjectUnity The trees are near (30 feet), the plane is far away (30,000 feet). The effect of things apparently moving differently at different distances is called "parallax".

It's not always intuitively obvious, but you can demonstrate it yourself.

2021-01-09T16:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347946714666213377

@NasherXxvi @skytriangles @PlutoElect I don't lie. If you think otherwise, then please quote a lie I made.

2021-01-09T16:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347946124624105475

Not Antifa. https://twitter.com/AricToler/status/1347751908983894016

2021-01-09T05:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347777942206640128

@PlutoElect Why do you need to incite violence?

2021-01-09T05:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347772989643714560

@PlutoElect He can start a web site. Or write a book.

2021-01-09T05:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347772708390465538

@Pupi5910 @LueElizondo What admission? One guy who once did some work there. Whatâ□□s that?

2021-01-09T03:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347747879604023297

@_Kodos_ @HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 Poorly

2021-01-09T03:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347747758296371203

RT @Daniel_Loxton: Reading @MickWestâ□□s Escaping the Rabbit Hole. Chapter 5 offers this straightforward advice for getting through to a consâ□¦

2021-01-08T23:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347693266871848961

@stang289 @joebob22102 I'm sorry you feel that way. It's not how I feel.

We are very far from being like China. If anything Trump was taking us in that direction.

Compare:

https://www.amnestyusa.org/countries/china/
https://www.amnestyusa.org/countries/USA/

2021-01-08T23:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347690726918787072
@stang289 You're still here.

2021-01-08T23:43:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347690308507615242
@lbsusan It comes in different colors.

2021-01-08T23:40:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347689665516617728
@HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 well no, I was just asking what you think. You don't actually get to decide.

2021-01-08T23:37:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347689027210670080
@HeadRushV2 @knightsabers01 Do you think the government should mandate what private companies can and cannot do regarding enforcing terms of service?

2021-01-08T23:34:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347688069239955457
@knightsabers01 Nobody is stopping him from saying anything. He can go to Parler. His words still exist. All his tweets are available (just not on Twitter)

2021-01-08T23:27:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347686269619343361
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPxgq6UcAAqtA2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPxgq6UcAAqtA2.jpg

2021-01-08T23:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347686074760392705

@Trumpery45 @benjiunofficial She did not just stick her head through. She climbed up and through the window and was about to get though. She was way above everyone else, and there was no danger of anyone else being hit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPxP86UUAIlD_C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPxP86UUAIlD_C.jpg

2021-01-08T22:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347670735335034883

@joebob22102 It's an idea he does not have.

2021-01-08T22:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347667377165537281

RT @JChaseSanchez: My hometown paper, @Tylerpaper, published this little piece of disinformation this morning.

Whoever did it deserves toâ¦

2021-01-08T22:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347667203710087168

Not Antifa.

https://www.businessinsider.com/richard-barnett-self-proclaimed-white-nationalist-pictured-pelosi-desk-2021-1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPgC3RUUAEC1Qh.jpg

---

The rioter who took photos at Nancy Pelosi's desk and recently said he's a white nationalist prepared for a violent death has been arrested

Kelly McLaughlin
3 hours ago



A supporter of US President Donald Trump sits in House Speaker Nancy Pelosi's office during the riot at the US Capitol on Wednesday. SAUL LOEB/AFP via Getty Images

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErPgC3RUUAEC1Qh.jpg
2021-01-08T21:48:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347661540724363265
@MDeastern_shore "Authorities also recovered two pipe bombs .. A cooler containing a long gun and Molotov cocktails were also seized at the Capitol. And six firearms were recovered Wednesday, in addition to three the night before,"
https://ktla.com/news/nationworld/13-arrested-5-weapons-recovered-at-u-s-capitol-riot/

2021-01-08T21:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347660965467156480
@MDeastern_shore The Proud Boys leader had two high capacity magazine with him, but that was the day before.

2021-01-08T21:43:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347660254390046720
@DavidJayB @benjiunofficial And all the fighting, pepper spraying in both directions, etc.

2021-01-08T21:16:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347653310682001408
@lisa59794660 @skytriangles @AZGOP @kelliwardaz Can you describe the evidence?

2021-01-08T21:15:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347653203102322689

@Pupi5910 @LueElizondo they did not say that.

2021-01-08T21:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347653111154819073

@Deb41459760 No, it's a taser.

2021-01-08T20:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347640167343951874

@lisa59794660 @skytriangles @AZGOP @kelliwardaz What evidence is there that this affidavit is true?

2021-01-08T18:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347606480384524288

@jsrailton It's a taser. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErOo7DiVQAA7w6s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErOo7DiVQAA7w6s.jpg

2021-01-08T17:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347596822911438849

@Atomik_ID Taser. Legal on the street, not in government buildings.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErOgFnzVoAIeBTA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErOgFnzVoAIeBTA.jpg
2021-01-08T17:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347596501548032001
@509thConductor @TheProjectUnity It's normal. The cameraperson is just moving to the left.

2021-01-08T17:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347596231237779456
@russianbot6666 No, my comment is in reference to the situation Wednesday. A large crowd of people invaded the capitol. If they ALSO had guns, then it would have been FAR more dangerous for everyone.

2021-01-08T17:19:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347593804983898112
@kickrocks597 The same as what? The left side of the image is a zoomed in crop of the right side, with a cut-out image of a similar holster and taser for reference.

2021-01-08T17:18:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347593457917825032
@russianbot6666 I'm in favor of well regulated armed law enforcement where needed. Similarly, I'm also in favor of people having the freedom to protect their home with a gun. I'm also in favor of people being able to drive cars.

2021-01-08T17:15:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347592768055513096
@509thConductor @TheProjectUnity This is a spider web. It's not the same thing as the other video.

2021-01-08T17:03:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347589746319519747
Imagine of open carry (or even concealed carry) was legal in DC, how much more peaceful the invasion of the Capitol would have been!

2021-01-08T17:01:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347589331427315714
@samkimahri @TheProjectUnity Well, @TheProjectUnity has blocked me, so I can't see the video now.

But ... you can replicate it, give it a go.

2021-01-08T16:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347588686154657793
@509thConductor @TheProjectUnity Isn't THIS an experiment showing this?

2021-01-08T15:34:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347567329081651200
@benjimaan22 @Oswald1160 @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 @TheProjectUnity Check Mufon, there's quite a few. These sightings happen all the time, but you can generally see with the naked eye that it's a plane. Good eyesight is currently still better than most phone video.

2021-01-08T15:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347566126637608960

@SicCoP1 @TheProjectUnity @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1154599406961713153

2021-01-08T15:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347565697753174017

@Oswald1160 @benjimaan22 @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 @TheProjectUnity You need a cloudless sky for the illusion to work best. But here's a quick one a did back in 2019, last time this came up.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1154599406961713153

2021-01-08T15:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347564913581936640

@samkimahri @TheProjectUnity Camera movement.

2021-01-08T15:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347564820866818051

@benjimaan22 @Oswald1160 @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 @TheProjectUnity Closer and/or larger planes.

2021-01-08T15:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347560379086372864

@JLuvin Look at the image. It the same taser and taser holster as is shown.

2021-01-08T15:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347560087796150275

@ChiefBowser A taser is bit more legal than a gun. You can carry one in DC. Obviously you can't take one into the Capitol.

2021-01-08T14:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347547914273345537

@TheProjectUnity @_olligo_ @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 Yes. It APPEARS to stop. Plane going right, camera takes a step to the left, so branches "move" to the right, with the plane. Camera keeps plane centered. Looks like plane stops.

Try it.

2021-01-08T14:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347547082438033411

@ExoExplorer3 @NIH_LLAMAS @TheProjectUnity @ufoofinterest It's not minor motion. He takes a step. Feet of motion. Try it.

2021-01-08T14:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347545783998943237

@JLuvin @TheProjectUnity @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 It looks exactly like planes look like at that resolution/focus. Wings are dark, as the sun is above them, so you can't see them other

than what looks like a narrowing in the middle. Shape then looks like an eggtimer. But it's a plane http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErNxstaVkAII2qi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErNxstaVkAII2qi.jpg
2021-01-08T14:03:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347544566711271427
@_olligo_ @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 @TheProjectUnity No, it's a plane (oat 0:30). Moving fast, high up, low resolution. The cameraperson takes a step to one side, keeping the plane in shot, so it moves relative to the branches. I'll see if I can find my example.

2021-01-08T14:00:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347543717498945543
@JLuvin It's not a cop. There were a variety of types of people there. This is a milita type.

There was talk reported before all this of taking hostages, even executions.

I guess it's also possible he just picked those up off the floor.

2021-01-08T13:57:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347543020653068290
@TheProjectUnity @ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 I was asked. This is an effect I've seen many times before, and recreated myself. Also the object is clearly an airliner.

2021-01-08T13:56:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1347542696601128960
@stopthestealll ABC's on-screen display is hardly the official vote count.

Early media reports of vote totals often change, this is nothing new. Final certified count is what matters. Recount verified it for 2020 and would here too.

2021-01-08T13:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347541819001696261

@ufoofinterest @ExoExplorer3 @TheProjectUnity Yeah, it's not "difficult to fake", it's difficult NOT to get that motion if you are walking around with the camera while filming a distant plane with some trees in the foreground. Just another parallax effect

---

2021-01-08T05:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347408786680516609

@Pupi5910 Citation needed.

2021-01-08T01:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347362161320841216

@gnomestale Credit goes to @latetothisparty for first ID. Also @ne1up.

2021-01-08T01:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347361373202747392

This guy is carrying a taser, not a gun. A bit more legal. Not that it's going to help him. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErLJyB3U0AIS_ne.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErLJyB3U0AIS_ne.jpg

2021-01-08T01:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347359404522291200

@latetothisparty Yes, thanks!

2021-01-08T01:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347357561985462274

Is this a gun? What model? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErLGdcFVEAMVmrS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErLGdcFVEAMVmrS.jpg

2021-01-08T01:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347357394846711809

@SometimesRatio1 There's lots of eyes on this. I think there will be several such compendiums.

2021-01-08T01:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347350168123805696

RT @oneunderscore__: Extremist forums like TheDonald/4chan are turning on the president after that video. They're extremely upset at him, Lâ□¦

2021-01-08T01:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347347986087481346

@lisa59794660 @AZGOP @kelliwardaz So when is the lawsuit?

2021-01-08T01:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347347861617328129

@stang289 I read a bunch of it, and watched his videos. Which bit.

2021-01-08T00:40:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347342283545346048

@lisa59794660 @AZGOP @kelliwardaz I don't see Italy's signature.

2021-01-08T00:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347338798951063552

@stang289 It's not letting people into the Capitol, it's one guy waving his arms twice.

2021-01-08T00:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347337040715685891

@stang289 I don't see him agitating here. There's hundreds of people inside when he gets in.
https://twitter.com/thejaydenxander/status/1347066713788002305

2021-01-08T00:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347336714507862018

@stang289 They were all trespassing. Nobody was "let in".

Sullivan climbed through a window several minutes at least after hundreds were inside. Buy I agree, he's just as guilty as they are.

2021-01-07T23:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347325608494202880

@AZGOP @kelliwardaz http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErKpbYxVEAAMGhH.jpg

---



Kelli Ward, chair of the Arizona Republican Party, speaks to reporters and Trump supporters outside the Maricopa County Elections Department in Phoenix on Nov. 18, 2020.

Photo by Laura Gómez I Arizona Mirror

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErKpbYxVEAAMGhH.jpg

2021-01-07T23:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347322934390521856

@uFo_dis_ci_ple What about it?

2021-01-07T21:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347294572863311874

RT @DavidAGoodman: Kevin Sorboâ□□s one-man show about what yesterday was like for Trump supporters, in four tweets. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJggLiUcAA_oZe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJggLiVgAAw6Bw.jpg

Tweet



Kevin Sorbo
@ksorbs

ANTIFA led the charge into the capitol building dressed as Trump supporters.

1:00 PM · 1/6/21 · Twitter for iPhone

21.3K Retweets **3,373** Quote Tweets

63.9K Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJggLiUcAA_oZe.jpg



Kevin Sorbo ✓
@ksorbs

To those storming the capitol building:
Please be careful, do not act like ANTIFA. Respect the police and know they are mostly on our side, they are simply trying to do their job

12:16 PM · 1/6/21 · Twitter for iPhone

3,234 Retweets **229** Quote Tweets **19.5K** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJggLiUcAEoEFK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJggLiUcAIAA7w.jpg

2021-01-07T21:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347288524601479170

@stang289 @timshie89740794 Waco is what started the conflict-avoidance practice in similar situations. It was recognized that it was a mistake.

2021-01-07T21:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347288248473718784

@stang289 He wasn't pretending to be anything though.

2021-01-07T21:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347287129253056512

@stang289 Ah, I see here:

https://kutv.com/news/local/utahn-inside-us-capitol-describes-chaotic-scene

"John Sullivan is the founder of the civil rights group Insurgence USA and does not align politically with President Donald Trump, but has been in D.C. this week for several planned

protests including Wednesdayâ□□s rally at the Capitol."

2021-01-07T18:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347253624204783623

@timshie89740794 @stang289 In many instances police avoid confrontations with large angry groups. It's standard practice, dating back to Waco. The police don't "tolerate" burning buildings down, but they are not always immediate able to stop it in the middle of a riot without the risk of deaths

---

2021-01-07T18:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347252886367948802

@stopthestealll 67%? Where did you get that number from?

Original source please.

2021-01-07T18:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347251309603287045

The weak "but it was Antifa!!!" narrative is going to fall apart as more and more people are identified and prosecuted. https://twitter.com/daithaigilbert/status/1347246356713381891

2021-01-07T18:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347250393315594241

@ShepardAmbellas If a large mob storming the Capitol does not justify using the national guard, then what does?

2021-01-07T18:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347248379491872768

@FoxCrow5 A much smaller one.

It's a bit of a quandary - minimizing reach vs. focussing intensification.

2021-01-07T18:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347248082187034626

@stopthestealll No, we HAD an election.

Occam Razor means not making things more complicated than you need to. A massive conspiracy to rig an election, and then perfectly cover it up, is incredibly complicated.

2021-01-07T17:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347238084966981633

@ExoExplorer3 @ufoofinterest It's up there at the top of the list. Helicopter with searchlight is another. Drone with bright light (Lume Cube, etc) is another.

2021-01-07T17:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347236505639559168

@timshie89740794 @stang289 My point was that your perception of a summer of tolerated rioting and looting is affected by 21st century technology.

Media "outrage" is always selective.

2021-01-07T17:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347234105847476225

@ExoExplorer3 @ufoofinterest Being pointed at the your eyes makes a light look brighter (and hence the glare is larger)

2021-01-07T16:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347225446165827585

@timshie89740794 @stang289 It's 21st century America.

You've got the internet, so disinformation is very different to what it was in the 4th century.

2021-01-07T16:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347224954937303040

@stang289 He's wearing a Trump sticker and trying to break a window.

It's a circular argument to say he's Antifa because he's breaking a window, so therefor Antifa was breaking the window.

What evidence is there that he's Antifa? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJNmwZUcAI10ET.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErJNmwZUcAI10ET.jpg

2021-01-07T16:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347222850734747648

@stang289 I'm sure some people at the protest did not want to storm the capitol, and, like you,

would assume that the people around them, if "true patriots" would also not.

But there were a variety of people there. shouting that someone is Antifa does not make it so.

2021-01-07T16:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347221334657388547

@ExoExplorer3 @ufoofinterest Being pointed at the camera makes a light look brighter (and hence the glare is larger)

2021-01-07T11:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1347139460509495304

RT @mollyesque: The amazing thing about "it might have been antifa" is that Trump literally summoned these people to DC, spoke at their eveâ□¦

2021-01-07T00:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346981745774583810

@stang289 So, has Jake Angeli, the "QAnon Shaman" been pretending this entire time, going to all those Trump rallies, just for this one event?

2021-01-07T00:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346978298174210049

@stang289 You think there's evidence some of these guys were Antifa? false-flaggers?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErFthQgVEAEFLvu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErFthQgVEAEFLvu.jpg

2021-01-07T00:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346977947601620993

@stang289

https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-biden-condemn-violence/fact-check-joe-biden-has-condemned-violent-protests-in-the-last-three-months-idUSKBN25V2O1

2021-01-07T00:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346977428359442436

@emmathepony Like who?

2021-01-07T00:29:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346977326571999239
@hardie_joseph I deleted posts that violate my guidelines all the time. Isn't that my right?

Twitter provide a free service, with terms. Trump agreed the their terms of service when he signed up.

2021-01-07T00:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346975676662878209
@USConservCoalZ @JoeBiden
https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-factcheck-biden-condemn-violence/fact-check-joe-biden-has-condemned-violent-protests-in-the-last-three-months-idUSKBN25V2O1

2021-01-07T00:21:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346975100675846144
@DanielO12203012 I don't know.

2021-01-07T00:16:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346974018457374722
Trump is in jail!

It's just Twitter jail, but it's a start.
https://twitter.com/TwitterSafety/status/1346970431039934464

2021-01-06T23:53:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346968078504972291
RT @rob_sheridan: "Let's try having a 'businessman' for president who will run the country like his business. What's the worst that could hâ¦

2021-01-06T23:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346964364926930944
@GreenLi39722517 He's got bigger problems, like deciding if he should resign before the 25th is invoked.

2021-01-06T23:12:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346957845175046146
@hardie_joseph Well, their equipment is insured, they will be back, and the vandals will be in jail.

2021-01-06T23:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346957592241664000
@Rudolf_Clausius Yeah, that would be a good look.

Trump can only pardon federal offenses, not state or civil.

2021-01-06T22:49:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346952079424647169
@WillametteM How would you see that working, at this point? He seems to lack support from

his party and his VP.

2021-01-06T22:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346951503668383753

@getussy @FormallySomeone This context? What are they actually doing here?

What percentage of the people storming the Capitol do you think are anti-fascists? What difference does that make?

2021-01-06T22:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346951192966885377

At least the lack of masks will make it easy to identify and prosecute these individuals.

https://twitter.com/shomaristone/status/1346941715895250949

2021-01-06T22:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346949549890306048

@getussy @FormallySomeone There's no need for Antifa, the Qsters, Kekistanians, and Magaheads are doing plenty.

What exactly would Antifa be doing in this context?

2021-01-06T22:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346941969184903170

@craigtalbert @SgtKellogg It's just one path. Hard to say how significant it might be.

2021-01-06T21:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346939012125114369

RT @RumorsandRants: The White House is in touch with some of the armed insurrectionists at the Capitol. Totally normal. https://t.co/pLfoKlâ¦

2021-01-06T21:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346936728339193857

@FormallySomeone Antifa being there would just lead to violence between them and the Trump supporters. I've only seen Trump supporters vs. police.

2021-01-06T21:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346935728811384834

Kekistan flag at the Capitol.

Shitposting manifesting into reality.

https://knowyourmeme.com/memes/kekistan

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErFGsJHVoAMadck.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErFGsJHVoAMadck.jpg

2021-01-06T21:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346925452196147202

@AndRetort @Oswald1160 @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa A minor deal on Nov 6th.

2021-01-06T20:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346920230757363713

RT @Mike_Pence: Peaceful protest is the right of every American but this attack on our Capitol will not be tolerated and those involved wilâ¦

2021-01-06T20:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346920223455076357

RT @Mike_Pence: The violence and destruction taking place at the US Capitol Must Stop and it Must Stop Now. Anyone involved must respect Laâ¦

2021-01-06T20:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346913575529369601

@stopthestealll @realDonaldTrump I've read a representative sample. The claims of evidence inevitably collapse under scrutiny. History will reflect this.

2021-01-06T20:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346910218970517504

@ConceptualJames Trump laid the bait.

If you think this is a "false flag" you are not following the plot.

2021-01-06T20:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346909485701365762

@stopthestealll @realDonaldTrump I took a look at tons of the "evidence". Trump is lying (and/or believing the lies of people around him, because he like the, people blindly believed Trump, and here we are.

2021-01-06T19:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346895717764268032
@AndRetort @Oswald1160 @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa Sterling's press conference, I think it was actually more like 0.3%

But the point here is that any large amount of manual review would be EXTRAORDINARY, and would have been seen by the republican observers.

2021-01-06T19:03:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346895175663058945
@AndRetort @Oswald1160 @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa Don't you think that if more than, say 5% of the ballots needed manual review, then SOMEONE WOULD HAVE NOTICED?

94% manual review is just obvious nonsense, it would be HUGE FUCKING DEAL if it was an actual thing.

Flagged ballots were <1%, just like normal.

2021-01-06T18:53:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346892650582953984
RT @soledadobrien: I thought this was the Blue Lives Matter crowd?
https://twitter.com/Phil_Lewis_/status/1346883077524639748

2021-01-06T18:50:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346891815350276096
@AndRetort @Oswald1160 @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa All ballots are in batches
All batches go through the adjudication step.
Not all ballots in a batch need manual review.

That's all.

2021-01-06T18:28:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346886342702112768
RT @FrankLuntz: The LAW AND ORDER President has the power to stop this, but hasnâ□□t given any â□□stand backâ□□ orders. https://twitter.com/Phil_Lewis_/status/1346883077524639748

2021-01-06T18:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346882401394249729
@murnut @blackvaultcom @BluebookFriends @LtTimMcMillan I've looked at it. It looks like nothing. Vallee isn't talking about it because it's nothing, he's just being polite.

2021-01-06T17:59:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346879051936382977
@murnut @blackvaultcom @BluebookFriends @LtTimMcMillan Nobody has appointed me the arbiter of anything. I'm just some guy who is fairly detail-oriented, who likes to try to get to the bottom of things.

2021-01-06T17:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346865700627877889

@AndRetort @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa All ballots, in batches, go through an adjudication step in the process where only the flagged ballots are manually reviewed.

Metabunk is my site, but the quote is from the same election official who have the 94% (which was NOT ballots that were manually reviewed).

2021-01-06T15:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346843700127694851

@BluebookFriends @LtTimMcMillan Iâ□□m not going to bother. This is as waste of time.

2021-01-06T07:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346720215166373890

RT @DecisionDeskHQ: Decision Desk HQ projects Democrats to take control of the Senate (50D-50R) with VP Kamala Harris casting the tie breakâ□¦

2021-01-06T06:17:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346702311595208706

@AdmiralQuality Oh, they might have been referring to a shift in the mid-count margin, not the final result! Dotted line in top chart. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErByetHVQAETzSD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErByetHVQAETzSD.jpg

2021-01-06T06:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346701269772062722

@AdmiralQuality The New York Times, has a very detailed county-by-county analysis, and they

never expected a swing to Republicans. I don't know why anyone would think that, it really makes no sense.

2021-01-06T06:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346699781213626369

@AdmiralQuality About what time? It might be http://archive.org

https://web.archive.org/web/*/cnn.com

2021-01-06T05:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346694419466993665

@AdmiralQuality All mail-in votes swing democrats (compared to election-day votes in the same precinct). The late ones just swing much more because they are from the large counting centers.

2021-01-06T05:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346693978012274695

@AdmiralQuality Well unless you can quote what you think you saw, then all I'm seeing is what was expected, the only question was who would end up winning. The R lead would always be eroded either way.

2021-01-06T05:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346693709757259781

@AdmiralQuality It wasn't unexpected. Blue shift is a well known thing. It was ESPECIALLY expected in the Nov 3 election as Trump told people to vote in person.

2021-01-06T05:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346693104816910338

@AdmiralQuality I'd also question what you think you saw on TV today, but it's all rather a moot point, isn't it.

2021-01-06T05:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346692340472139776

@AdmiralQuality I know which election you were referring to. I'm asking if you saw something specific about a red mirage for senators in Georgia, because I sure didn't.

I'm questioning if you are comparing like with like.

2021-01-06T05:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346690087585599490

@DuhIdiot @AdmiralQuality Well, a large number of votes arrive at once, I guess calling it a "dump" is fine. It's also expected.

2021-01-06T05:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346689060547428354

@TravisTruth3 The Georgia run-off has to be the most closely scrutinized race in American history. If there is significant fraud, then it will be uncovered.

2021-01-06T05:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346688376724889600
@AdmiralQuality What did they say last time about the early main-in votes in the Georgia Senate race?

Nothing.

2021-01-06T05:19:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346687861932716032
@theyre_heeeere Yes. NYT says >95% Ossof win. Remaining votes are mostly Dem.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErBlXbEVEAEnxNh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErBlWPKU0AIOAo0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErBlXbEVEAEnxNh.jpg
2021-01-06T05:17:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346687407358238720
@TravisTruth3 We are in a pandemic. Absentee ballots have never accounted for such a large

proportion of the vote.

I'm pretty sure they have shifted some tight races before, but this is a unique situation.

2021-01-06T05:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346686780616019970

@cjlaurel New one to me! There's a lot of "history is fake", but this sounds more like some kids just thinking the story was too improbable to be true

---

2021-01-06T05:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346685421774749696

The idea of vote "dumps" will be recycled again. But the large batches of votes favor Dems because they are from cities. They were all largely as expected, and did not change the predicted winner. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErBi_roUwAYU6v8.jpg



2020 Full Results **Live Forecast** 2020 Senate Results State Results

How the needle estimates have changed so far:

The table below shows our expectations for results, based on where they came from; the type of vote; and other results already reported. How these expectations compare with reality shifts our estimate of how the state is leaning.

	NEW VOTES	VOTE TYPES	EXPECTED	ACTUAL	NEW ESTIMATE OF FINAL MARGIN
12m ago	26,749	Mostly election day	D+9.4	D+6.4	Ossoff +1.1
18m ago	54,775	Mostly election day	R+7.4	R+5.7	Ossoff +1.1
21m ago	425	Mostly mail-in	R+9.2	R+12	Ossoff +1.1
27m ago	21,046	Mail-in and early	D+15	D+15	Ossoff +1.1
33m ago	12,712	Mostly election day	D+40	D+43	Ossoff +1.1
36m ago	24,793	Mostly early	D+25	D+24	Ossoff +1.0
39m ago	14,615	Mostly election day	R+5.3	R+2.6	Ossoff +1.0
42m ago	136	Mostly mail-in	D+21	Even	Ossoff +1.1
45m ago	197,275	Mostly early	D+50	D+51	Ossoff +1.0
53m ago	13,838	Mostly mail-in	D+20	D+22	Ossoff +1.1
56m ago	2,403	Mostly election day	D+72	D+78	Ossoff +1.0
59m ago	472	Mostly election day	R+46	R+53	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	10,423	Mostly election day and early	R+18	R+14	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	36,507	Mostly early	R+31	R+31	Ossoff +1.1
1h ago	16,207	Mostly election day	R+51	R+52	Ossoff +1.1
1h ago	23,013	Mostly election day	R+3.6	R+1.1	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	107,588	Mostly early and election day	D+0.8	D+1.6	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	1,577	Mostly election day	R+20	R+19	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	1,028	Mostly mail-in	D+50	D+53	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	16,844	Mostly election day	R+5.1	R+6.4	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	21,997	Mostly election day	R+53	R+54	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	19,139	Mostly election day	D+17	D+20	Ossoff +1.0
1h ago	287	Mostly mail-in	D+56	D+60	Ossoff +0.9
1h ago	14,929	Election day and early	R+7.8	R+12	Ossoff +0.9

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErBi_roUwAYU6v8.jpg

2021-01-06T05:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346684355792437253

@rothschildmd But they have a spy satellite that they used to move the election data from the American embassy back to Fulton county!

2021-01-06T05:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346683270151299074

@rustysh80302204 @ZacBissonnette @RealSaavedra Not true, based on a misunderstanding. All ballot batches have to pass though the adjudication step, but only flagged ballots (less than 1%) get manually adjudicated.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-06T04:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346682087227625473

@TravisTruth3 You are familiar with the known historically establish fact of a "blue shift"? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blue_shift_(politics)

2021-01-06T04:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346675894228713473

@TravisTruth3 This has been explained literally about 1000 times now. Cities vote dem. Cities report votes in large batches.

2021-01-06T04:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346674756565667842

@TravisTruth3 I am attempting to help the truth. Trump's version is not it.

2021-01-06T00:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346611732202962944

@drhex2c How does that make you feel?

2021-01-06T00:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346611659159179264

@stang289 Both sides? How are you going to stop it then?

2021-01-06T00:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346608669090226177

@stang289 And why do you think that?

2021-01-06T00:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346607863565717505

@stang289 Right, I've read that before. But what do you think the world, let's say Florida, will look like, if their plan is put in place. How will it affect you personally?

2021-01-05T23:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346607248424882177

@stang289 Yeah, but what's that, exactly?

2021-01-05T23:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346606707187744768

@stang289 What do you think the end goal of the Great Reset is?

2021-01-05T23:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346604727115517953

@AliveAgain888 I've heard that, but I don't think the majority of the people have thought it through. They might SAY that would risk a horrible death, intubated and alone, for Trump. But

it's not really something they truly want.

2021-01-05T23:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346603347889950720

Do they think the virus is a hoax? Are they deliberately try to spread it? Or is this guy just crazy and everyone is going along with it, risking their health, because of peer pressure?

https://twitter.com/therecount/status/1346540350941310976

2021-01-05T23:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346597464862650368

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346597453496283139/pu/img/SWGQIgXpdku8G54q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346597453496283139/pu/img/SWGQIgXpdku8G54q.jpg

2021-01-05T23:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346595368314650624

Hawaii style UFO, and friends. (Kites)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346595092233121792/pu/img/Re4UXV8DYobsWCPZ.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346595092233121792/pu/img/Re4UXV8DYobsWCPZ.jpg

2021-01-05T22:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346583554981994497

@TyKwonDoughh @MiddleOfMayhem @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 If the UFO happens again, try binoculars.

2021-01-05T22:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346578802122493952

@TyKwonDoughh @MiddleOfMayhem @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 Ha, and I didn't even notice your profile background, presumably taken from the same apartment window. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErACPVCXMAA6ZtA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ErACPVCXMAA6ZtA.jpg

2021-01-05T22:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346577495324151808

@MiddleOfMayhem @TyKwonDoughh @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 The single bright light light looks like a far-off plane's landing lights, made a little larger looking by the haze.

I suspect repeat viewings will show the same thing.

No good idea about the dropping light. Maybe night skydivers practicing/setting up.

2021-01-05T21:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346576749635616770

@morrismore83 @MiddleOfMayhem @swimslider @DanielO12203012 @TyKwonDoughh Fata Morgana only happens very close to the horizon.

2021-01-05T21:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346576435897458690

@TyKwonDoughh @MiddleOfMayhem @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 Distinctive old Powerplant, Yonkers, railroad station, river, city view.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq__63EXEAAN7xu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq__63EXEAAN7xu.jpg

2021-01-05T21:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346575996338667521

@TyKwonDoughh @MiddleOfMayhem @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 You posted a video from your window. Nice view.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq__rh0W4AAkQTU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq__rh0W4AAkQTU.jpg
2021-01-05T21:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346572246379155456
@MiddleOfMayhem @morrismore83 @swimslider @DanielO12203012 @TyKwonDoughh Those Two Chimneys are The Powerplant, which is 1.7 miles South of him.

2021-01-05T21:08:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346564308818219008
@swimslider @DanielO12203012 @MiddleOfMayhem @TyKwonDoughh How far away would you say the light is?

2021-01-05T21:07:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346564017981014016
@FettuccineSplit @VictorVescovo I wouldn't say I "debunked" it, just a possible explanation.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/blue-ufo-over-hawaii.11526/

2021-01-05T21:07:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346563876901453824
@JohnStr32001015 @AmPatOrg @Courtneybeckwo5 @editedforbias @Meredith_1701 @glennbeck No you don't. You only manually adjudicate an individual ballot if the scanning machine flags it as needing it. My "article" is just the relevant quotes from Richard Barron, the Fulton County Election Director.

2021-01-05T21:02:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346562696536158208
@YeahYouRight51 @DrPaulGosar @KanekoaTheGreat No, ALL the ballots went though the adjudication step. That was simply the number at 7PM.

But only the FLAGGED ballots (that the machine could not read, <1%) get a manual review.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-05T21:01:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346562418709647362
@AndRetort @KiwordsKira @TheRightMelissa There's a 100% adjudication rate. It's no an error, it's a STEP IN THE PROCESS that all ballots go through, but only flagged ballots get manual review.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-05T21:00:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346562119441944576
@JohnStr32001015 @AmPatOrg @Courtneybeckwo5 @editedforbias @Meredith_1701 @glennbeck There was no 94% adjudication rate. That was just how many of the votes had gone through the batch adjudication step that ALL the ballots go through. Only flagged ones get manual review.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-05T19:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346539946245427200
@Go_Kick_Rocks88 Of course conspiracies occur. I specified FALSE conspiracy theories.

Real conspiracies are often mundane, yet consequential. Like the big-tobacco cover-up.

2021-01-05T19:29:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346539347441455104
@DanielO12203012 @MiddleOfMayhem @TyKwonDoughh Looks like it would have been highly visible to millions of people. So where are the other reports?

2021-01-05T17:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346508696805785600
The harm from false conspiracy theories is twofold.

Firstly it eats away at any justified trust of science and traditional media, allowing blatant disinformation in .

Secondly it can cause people to take unwarranted action, even costing lives.

https://apnews.com/article/wisconsin-pharmacist-steven-brandenburg-ac00faee3cf10a9558665d472123b96c

---

2021-01-05T17:20:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346506803140468736

@hardie_joseph @stopthestealll Maybe being a liberal does not mean what you think it does? I don't want to take away your guns, for example. But I would like you to have free high-quality healthcare. I like the competition of capitalism, but not unfettered.
Disagreements can be rational.

2021-01-05T17:16:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346505980322881536
@timshie89740794 No, as I recall they just need bipartisan supervisors.

If it was actually illegal, then you'd think a lawsuit would be trivial, no?

2021-01-05T17:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346505556794568704
@hardie_joseph @stopthestealll I'm a liberal, and proud of it. But I love conservatives too.

2021-01-05T17:11:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346504591127416834
@LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia Could you wait a few days. I'm busy!

:grinning_face:

2021-01-05T17:04:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346502877578100743
Apparently I won a Pulitzer!

Obviously I didn't. I think this might actually be code for something. Is getting a Pulitzer considered by the atl-Right to to be a sign of socialism? Or Deep-State-Useful-Idiotism?
https://twitter.com/stopthestealll/status/1346373749763833857

2021-01-05T04:01:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346305688167874560
@AndInTheRealWo1 @LeaheyEsther @FKMahoney @TeamTrump @RudyGiuliani You are misunderstanding.

2021-01-05T01:04:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346261216776179712
@DTruvet It would helpful, but not necessary.

2021-01-05T00:30:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346252673935314944
@DTruvet It would be useful to also examine the claims that the videos demonstrate doubling of votes by scanning twice.

2021-01-04T23:48:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346242155547750401
It anyone is having trouble download the Georgia videos mentioned in the press conference today, I've mirrored them all here:
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/iit0v8qtahmjmft/AAAOeyTkx2cpNsB-S2WCaEx8a?dl=0

2021-01-04T23:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346236389210292224

@DTruvet If lots of people think something is true, then it still needs debunking.

Debunking is a two part process: investigation to see if something is true, and effective communication of the results.

2021-01-04T23:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346232363785699328

Just so I'm on the same page - are all the Georgia election researchers agreed that "ballots run though the machine multiple times in Fulton" is now the gold standard of things-that-need-debunking?

Or is there a better claim of evidence? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq7HD3SU0AAZW9F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq7HD3SU0AAZW9F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq7G9zRUYAALSV3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq7HAo9UcAIZjmu.jpg
2021-01-04T23:04:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346230942914887681
@Goodo45937274 It changes shape in the video, but slower, as if the control strings had snapped.

A loose kite, it happens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346230876632305664/pu/img/XQDnQd9GsaEYd4wu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346230876632305664/pu/img/XQDnQd9GsaEYd4wu.jpg

2021-01-04T21:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346214018902417410

Debunking the "leak excuse" and "late night suitcase" election myths. I synced the videos found at http://securevotega.com to the explanation given today by Gabriel Sterling of the Georgia Secretary of State's Office.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iwOlzoBpdwQ

2021-01-04T20:37:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346194050987085830

The leak was discovered at 5:22AM, this video shows final cleanup at 7:11AM

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346193914550575104/pu/img/FZLNgxugET9A1nMf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346193914550575104/pu/img/FZLNgxugET9A1nMf.jpg
2021-01-04T20:34:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346193197156982786
Hey there WAS a water leak at State Farm Arena after all!!

https://securevotega.com/factcheck/
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346193109810438144/pu/img/jm5ftZtxvPpVfjn8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346193109810438144/pu/img/jm5ftZtxvPpVfjn8.jpg
2021-01-04T20:26:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346191414879686656
@stopthestealll Watch all the video.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IrUdSl4uvaM

2021-01-04T20:11:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346187642816237568
Official Georgia Secretary of State's rebuttal to Donald Trump's specious claims.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IrUdSl4uvaM
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq6eUVzUcAAOcZ-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq6eUVzUcAAOcZ-.jpg

2021-01-04T18:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346164246552317952

@mvpispi And what do you consider that independent media to be now?

2021-01-04T18:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346159860895735810

@mvpispi He made nearly the entire value of the 10-year CIA contract in just one hour this

year.
https://marketrealist.com/p/how-much-does-jeff-bezos-make-a-second/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq6FFJ4VEAAX5XF.jpg



What is Jeff Bezos's income per second?

There are several ways to calculate how much Bezos makes per second, per day, and for other timeframes. You could calculate what the billionaire earns per second using his annual income or change in net worth.

Starting with the annual income, Bezos took home $1,681,840 in total compensation from Amazon in 2019, which included $81,840 in base income and $1,600,000 in other compensation. He made $140,153 a month, $35,038 a week, $5,005 a day, $208.56 an hour, $3.48 a minute, and $0.058 a second last year.

Working with the increase in Bezos's net worth, you can calculate what he makes per second this year. Bezos has added $67.4 billion to his net worth so far in 2020 as of Aug. 12 or over a span of roughly seven and a half months. He is making about $8.99 billion a month or $2.25 billion per week this year. Breaking the amount down more, Bezos is making about $321 million a day, $13.4 million an hour, $222,884 a minute, and $3,715 a second this year.

The **largest single-day increase in Bezos's net worth is $13 billion**, which he achieved on July 20, 2020. He made $542 million per hour, $9.0 million per minute, and $150,463 per second that day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq6FFJ4VEAAX5XF.jpg
2021-01-04T18:18:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346159008344784896
@mvpispi And $600 million? He does not actually GET $600 million, that's revenue for Amazon. They make maybe $100 million profit on that over 10 years. $10 million a year. Of which Bezos might get $1 million a year tops. You can't buy him for that.

2021-01-04T18:09:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346156908810444802
@mvpispi Many people and media outlets shared that opinion.

2021-01-04T17:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346148309296058368
@emmanualluigi @BleachPut @Michael24569014 @PatrickByrne ALL batches of ballots got through the adjudication process, very few of them are manually reviewed.
At that time, 94% had been though the process, later it was 100%.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-04T17:34:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346147949596741634
@GTolbert9 @realDonaldTrump That 94% was a misunderstanding. 100% of the batches of ballots go through a process of adjudication, where machine-flagged ballots are examined. Very few of them are manually reviewed.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-04T17:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346147624341045250

@RedAcorn2 @ABC @GStephanopoulos @GaSecofState That's not a fact. All batches of ballots got through the adjudication process, very few of them are manually reviewed.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-04T17:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346142631873499139

@andywash @chris_original5 @ufoofinterest I suspect it was a kite where the control strings broke, so it was drifting more slowly than the ones doing acrobatics.

2021-01-04T17:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346141042400141314

@mvpispi No. I think they are fine, one of the better outlets out there. I think you have been misled. There's a tenuous connection via Amazon (WP is owned by Jeff Bezos) but the CIA has no editorial control that I'm aware of.

2021-01-04T16:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346138207251963906

@stevene31582968 @KRoach1035 @ExoExplorer3 @Debriefmedia Why don't you post the link?

2021-01-04T16:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346126772371296256

@criticalamitous UFO stands for Too Far Away

2021-01-04T15:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346121607446556672

@mvpispi I like WaPoâ□□s work. I donâ□□t think they are run by the CIA

2021-01-04T08:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346005082886684673

@cryptokeeper198 Others had the same hypothesis. It's pretty obvious if you've seen videos of these kites before.

I should have known @ufoofinterest
would be on the job.

https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1344978805081956353

2021-01-04T08:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1346004485462663173

@DCRFC3 @TravisTruth3 Yeah why? A radio signal is not a UFO. I think there's probably life elsewhere in the universe, although Alpha Proxima would be rather lucky.

It's probably not aliens though, according to the experts.

2021-01-04T07:51:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1346001367140208642
@James57136915
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346001345170403328/pu/img/z68_AXF8xMDU3axF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1346001345170403328/pu/img/z68_AXF8xMDU3axF.jpg
2021-01-04T07:43:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345999247427026944
@James57136915 That's about the best image. There's some very blurry video.

It's been out for a week, so I'd expected someone had looked at it by now. Lemme stabilize it....

2021-01-04T07:30:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345996101040496641
Is last week's Hawaii UFO just an LED kite?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/blue-ufo-over-hawaii.11526/

2021-01-04T06:32:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345981472042176512
@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense You can't make a tracking device out of ink.

2021-01-04T05:41:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345968615787384832
@mvpispi What difference does it make which media outlet released the tape? Is Trump saying it's forged?

2021-01-04T01:57:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345912308103405570

RT @ChrisMurphyCT: On the phone call, Trump says there were 5,000 dead people who voted in Georgia.

There were 2.

When Raffensperger presâ¦

2021-01-04T01:56:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345912046718578688
@Jim94334698
https://www.metabunk.org/data/audio/41/41912-781fc4d0d6a2dca4154b07f20d564f91.mp3

2021-01-04T01:55:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345911590311264257
@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense Quantum dots are not tracking devices. It's a type of ink you can use for an invisible tattoo - made visible with UV light.

That is all.
https://reprocad.co.uk/quantum-dot-high-security-ink/

2021-01-04T01:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345903375477395457
Full one hour and two minutes of the Trump Tape with Ratffensperger.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/video/politics/audio-trumps-full-jan-2-call-with-ga-secretary-of-state/2021/01/03/3f9426f4-7937-4718-8a8e-9d6052001991_video.html

Full Transcript:
https://www.cnn.com/2021/01/03/politics/trump-brad-raffensperger-phone-call-transcript/index.html

---

2021-01-04T01:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345901605254701058
@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense The Tile Sticker is about the smallest tracking device. Inside the case it's about 90% battery. It works via short-range bluetooth only if phones running the app are nearby. Battery lasts about 3 years.

And it's not sinister. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq2Zom-VkAEPMuL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq2Zom-VkAEPMuL.jpg
2021-01-04T01:01:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345898169578606592
@stang289 @GetAFreakinGrip Why? It matches the political demographics of the precincts. It matches historical voting records.

2021-01-04T00:58:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345897327853142016
@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense What is your point? That's a health tracking device for people with heart problem. It saves lives. My uncle has one.

2021-01-04T00:36:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345891858203906050
@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense What trackable device are you referring to?

Unpowered devices can't really track you. Biometrics would work a lot better than an implanted chip.

2021-01-04T00:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345891375821176832
@PhyreGoldMAJIC @Oswald1160 What both ways?

There's a step in the counting process for a batch where any flagged ballots in it are adjudicated. This is the adjudication step. All batches go through this step.

2021-01-04T00:33:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345891001731190786
@Victorbot3 @UfoJoe11 @KiviatRC @LtTimMcMillan I know nothing about Pandolfi. Kiviat

brought him up.

2021-01-04T00:10:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345885231010627584

@JBocquee @joaktree33 What about those things do you think is suspicious?

2021-01-04T00:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345884975795605504

@JBocquee @ianbillmorris @EcoWarrior1980 @BathroomExpense Quantum dots are just a proposed tattoo used to indicate if some had already got a vaccine in countries with poor health-care records. There's nothing especially "quantum" about them, it's just a type of invisible ink that glows under UV light.

2021-01-04T00:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345882960852000771

@JohnStr32001015 @xLGxKillSwitch @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump There are not two tiers. There's scanning a batch and then there's the adjudication process for that batch where they adjudicate the flagged ballots.

It's just two stages per batch. Scanning, then adjudicating. All batches go through both stages.

2021-01-03T22:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345861608031993856

@JohnStr32001015 @xLGxKillSwitch @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump Yes all batches have the flagged ballots manually adjudicated. Only the flagged ones.

For a batch, this is the adjudication step. Could be zero ballots.

2021-01-03T21:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345841313900466181

@xLGxKillSwitch @JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump No, all BATCHES go through the adjudication step, where any flagged ballots are reviewed.

2021-01-03T21:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345840992272830465

@bosma2002 The claim is based on something he said, but listening to then entire press conference he explains that adjudication only applies to the flagged ballots in a batch.

ALL the batches go through adjudication. Not 94%, 100%. It's a key step of the process.

2021-01-03T21:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345838043609976833

@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat I'll take that as a no.

2021-01-03T21:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345837959132532736

@JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump I subtracted the two numbers. 106,000 is divisible by 50. It's a total of the ballots in batches that have gone though the adjudication step.

It says nothing about how many ballots needed manual review.

2021-01-03T21:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345837517069697024

@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat That appears to be a different topic. Perhaps you'd like to present the evidence for it.

2021-01-03T20:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345834651303088128

@JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump No. 106,000 ballots were, at 7PM, in batches that had gone through adjudication process.

Only flagged ballots were manually reviewed.

2021-01-03T20:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345834353461399553

@JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump That's because 113,130-106,000 = 7130 ballots are in batches that have not yet gon though adjudication.

Adjudication is a process that ALL batches go through, to manually review flagged ballots.

2021-01-03T20:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345833821254553600

@JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump No, adjudication happens AFTER scanning. It's the scanner that marks then as needing adjudication.

The batch then goes to adjudication, when any flagged ballots are reviewed.

2021-01-03T20:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345832561147187201

@Teebaggin1 @dajulike @ScottAdamsSays Didn't they write it down somewhere?

2021-01-03T20:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345832354338652162

@xLGxKillSwitch All batches go through adjudication.

The 106,000 is the ballots in the batches that have gone through adjudication.

It's not the ballots that were flagged (typically <1% of each batch)

2021-01-03T20:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345831596146847745

@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat It's not a batch, it the total of votes in all the batches that, at that point, had gone through the adjudication process.

Which ALL the batches go though.

2021-01-03T20:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345831335697420288
@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat No, that's how many ballots are in the batches that have gone through the adjudication process at that point (7PM) on Nov 4. Later it would be 100%

Because all batches go through adjudication, so the flagged ballots (if any) in that batch can be reviewed. As he says.

2021-01-03T20:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345830954749755392
Adjudicating a batch does not mean manually reviewing every ballot.

Barron is quite clear about that. At 4:16 in the video where you've only seen the first 20 seconds.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZujdVEAEYISr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZujdVEAEYISr.jpg
2021-01-03T20:32:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345830455057080320
@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat But all batches go through the adjudication process, which consists of reviewing only the flagged ballots.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZqbRUUAAnNWK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZqbRUUAAnNWK.jpg
2021-01-03T20:31:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345830279584235520
@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat AND THEY DON'T.

They just adjudicate the flagged ballots in a batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1Zdk_UcAMBnKv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1Zdk_UcAMBnKv.jpg
2021-01-03T20:31:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345830044506103808
@_Firelight Let's say a batch goes through a process of removing blank ballots.

Does that mean all the ballots in that batch are blank?

Adjudicating a batch means, as Barron said, adjudicating the FLAGGED BALLOTS
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZSZ1VoAEavee.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1ZSZ1VoAEavee.jpg
2021-01-03T20:29:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345829588358729728
@_369311119 Not True. ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345829420272025600
@AndInTheRealWo1 @LeaheyEsther @FKMahoney @TeamTrump @RudyGiuliani It was explained on the day, same press conference. ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:27:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345829254609600512
@HowardB20 @realDonaldTrump @OANN @HawleyMO @SenTedCruz @Jim_Jordan False, and based on an old misunderstanding.

ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345829067170332672
@jfisherm @beckerdavidj @JedediahBila False, ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:26:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345828986757083136
@JohnStr32001015 @TalbertSwan @realDonaldTrump No, ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345828912085966848
@AmericanDad757 @KanekoaTheGreat Debunked: 94% of Fulton County Ballots Manually Adjudicated.

ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:26:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345828881870143488
@rustysh80302204 @AGHamilton29 @RonColeman Debunked: 94% of Fulton County Ballots Manually Adjudicated.

ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-i

ts-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345828229068648448

@PhyreGoldMAJIC You are not reading.

All the BATCHES go through the adjudication process.

Only machine-flagged BALLOTS get examined and adjusted.

2021-01-03T20:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345824981888876544

Debunked: 94% of Fulton County Ballots Manually Adjudicated.

ALL (100%) of THE BALLOTS go through the batch adjudication process. This process is the manual review of ONLY the scanner-flagged ballots (if any) in the batch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-94-of-fulton-county-ballots-manually-adjudicated-its-a-process-all-batches-go-through.11525/

2021-01-03T20:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345824438772633601

@Oswald1160 @_AdamFitzgerald It sounds like that, to some degree at least.

2021-01-03T19:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345816636008251392

@A_Jerphanion @hugodejonge There are many explanations.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2021-01-03T19:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345807902611709952

@FrankLuntz The full one hour? That links to the 4.5 minutes of excerpts from the call.

2021-01-03T18:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345805694922964993

@dajulike @Teebaggin1 @ScottAdamsSays very roughly, 5-10%

2021-01-03T18:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345805383747522561

@_AdamFitzgerald
https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/trump-raffensperger-call-georgia-vote/2021/01/03/d45acb92-4dc4-11eb-bda4-615aaefd0555_story.html

---

2021-01-03T18:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345804934550175744

300,000+ more deaths (or all causes) this year than expected. Maybe it's just a coincidence this happened the same year as Coronavirus?

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/COVID19/index.htm?fbclid=IwAR3hy7dlMLzeHe-gFWS8hzSzbyKJGDU8JYKX5DlStl0qTnnq-a75p1xKE-I

https://twitter.com/RBReich/status/1345803086795689984

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1CazvVoAA3OHA.jpg

Table 2. Deaths involving coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), pneumonia, and influenza reported to NCHS by jurisdiction of occurrence, United States. Week ending 2/1/2020 to 12/26/2020.*

Updated December 31, 2020

Jurisdiction of Occurrence	All Deaths involving COVID-19 (U07.1)[1]	Deaths from All Causes	Percent of Expected Deaths[2]	Deaths involving Pneumonia, with or without COVID-19, excluding Influenza deaths (J12.0–J18.9)[3]	Deaths involving COVID-19 and Pneumonia, excluding Influenza (J12.0–J18.9 and U07.1)[3]	All Deaths involving Influenza, with or without COVID-19 or Pneumonia (J09–J11)[4]	Deaths involving Pneumonia, Influenza, or COVID-19 (U07.1 or J09–J18.9)[5]
United States[6]	**303,823**	2,913,144	112	286,866	139,982	6,949	456,580
Alabama	**5,269**	54,382	113	4,346	1,707	104	8,005
Alaska	**143**	4,050	101	220	81	-	289
Arizona	**7,022**	66,599	122	7,246	4,028	116	10,356
Arkansas	**3,196**	33,249	114	3,218	1,407	84	5,086
California	**21,761**	272,294	111	27,708	12,207	586	37,845
Colorado	**3,907**	41,617	116	3,731	1,929	97	5,801
Connecticut	**5,111**	30,842	107	2,459	1,189	73	6,451
Delaware	**728**	9,043	107	630	292	16	1,082
District of Columbia	**878**	6,418	114	1,174	825	10	1,237
Florida	**19,536**	216,369	114	22,454	10,999	360	31,320

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq1CazvVoAA3OHA.jpg

2021-01-03T18:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345803310905790464

Trump appears to threaten Raffensperger and his lawyer in an attempt to change the election results.

He does not do it directly, of course, but that's how he works.

https://twitter.com/FrankLuntz/status/1345802051444297728

2021-01-03T18:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345801575143333888

@A_Jerphanion @hugodejonge But all that "evidence" has explanations.

Wingtip spraying = fuel dump.

Merkel + barrels = Airbus prototype with ballast barrels for testing

Man with badge = edit image of mosquito spraying plane.

2021-01-03T18:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345800655370211328

@ASpinOfTheWheel Sounds plausible.

2021-01-03T18:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345800289656295424

@Teebaggin1 @dajulike @ScottAdamsSays Tossing out a bunch of numbers without attempting to seek explanations for them is not "science".

2021-01-03T18:30:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345799837908799488
What is best: lots of crap vaporous evidence, or one or two pieces of good solid evidence?

Conspiracy theorists like Nashville RV bomber Anthony Warner are generally fans of quantity over quality. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq09dvNUwAMwzuN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq09dvNUwAMwzuN.jpg
2021-01-03T18:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345797680686014464
@ASpinOfTheWheel I think most of the Republicans soft-supporting overturning the election are lawyers. Cruz and Hawley for example.

Lawyers, by trade, do not seek objective truth, but rather to frame some facts in a way that best helps their client.

2021-01-03T17:36:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345786097989607424
The mercurial Lindsey Graham can't help but be partially right occasionally.
https://twitter.com/LindseyGrahamSC/status/1345771122470621186

2021-01-03T17:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345784548785704962
@theRealPhilG Yeah, but currently it IS tiny and unregulated. And there have been a variety of sucessful frauds and hacks.
https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/02/19/239592/once-hailed-as-unhackable-blockchains-are-now-getting-hacked/

The point is not that it's got zero long term upside, the point is NOW it's very risky.

2021-01-03T17:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345783686638145537

@yrraplliw @LadyVimana It's not. These are very specific allegations with very specific fact and data-based answers.

2021-01-03T17:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345783493461069824

@LadyVimana @yrraplliw Trump is obviously lying because Raffensperger has already explained all those things, and they have been repeatedly explained by other. Trump is ignoring the explanations then claiming they don't exist.

2021-01-03T17:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345782121860775941

@Alien_Scientist @RaynerUap I've interviewed several people regarding 9/11.

I don't do specious dot connecting. I look at concrete claims of evidence to see if they hold up. You don't have to follow me if that's not your thing.

2021-01-03T17:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345780015577722880

Trump lies about a phone call with the GA Secretary of State, and gets called out.
https://twitter.com/GaSecofState/status/1345753643593687040

2021-01-03T17:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345779580078968837

@theRealPhilG Caring about the upside should not blind you to the downside. Crypto is almost entirely driven by price speculation. It's not a useful replacement for fiat if it's unstable, subject to manipulation, and vulnerable to hacking.
Hodlers did great, but is it sustainable? Pyramid?

2021-01-03T16:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345772136464490497

Cryptocurrency is fascinating, it has a fan base that's irrationally exuberant, a mathematical complexity that most fans don't really understand but think they do as they made money so far, automated trading manipulating the price, and significant potential for massive fraud.
https://twitter.com/ddosguru/status/1345662098408263680

2021-01-03T16:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345770161538678785

@Sloppyjaloppy2 That story seems to show the ballots in question (PA) were solicited.

2021-01-03T16:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345767792344141825

@Sloppyjaloppy2 Can you be more specific about "absolutely 0 checks"? Are you saying someone could simply print a few thousand ballots at home and send them in and they would

be accepted with zero problems?

2021-01-03T16:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345766445364305920

@Sloppyjaloppy2 Was this a normal election year?

2021-01-03T16:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345766323922505728

@CalgaryPatty Better than 0% Pence. VP picks are frequently politicians that have little national standing. Harris has broad support from Democrats, and beat Pence in the debate.
https://www.usnews.com/news/elections/articles/2020-10-08/majority-of-voters-think-kamala-harris-won-debate-against-vice-president-mike-pence-poll-finds

---

2021-01-03T16:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345764825192808448

@Sloppyjaloppy2 Have you read any of the explanations for those things? There are lots out there. For example: https://www.bbc.com/news/election-us-2020-55062413

2021-01-03T15:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345760654477594624

@KernowMaiden
https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/dec/18/when-do-senators-resign-assume-presidency-or-vice-/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq0aLTJVQAABPfp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eq0aLTJVQAABPfp.jpg

2021-01-03T15:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345759819609739264

This election has raised many legitimate concerns about the nations laws and practices for electing the president. Biden won, but it was surprising easy to convince people otherwise. Let's look at how things can be improved for next time.
https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/the-us-needs-a-democracy-overhaul-heres-what-bidens-first-step-should-be/2021/01/01/48c92cba-498a-11eb-a9f4-0e668b9772ba_story.html

---

2021-01-03T15:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345754590520623105

@EttaRufus There's lots of evidence. It's just all shit evidence.

2021-01-03T15:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345754312031387649

@naoehogui How do you know they did?

2021-01-03T06:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345616385251004416

@Malisaries @michaelshermer @RealPNavarro His tweet is inaccurate as his claims have been investigated.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2020/12/18/this-might-be-most-embarrassing-document-created-by-white-house-staffer/

2021-01-03T05:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345608354979188736

@Malisaries @michaelshermer @RealPNavarro Would it make any difference to you at all if this one claim was debunked?

2021-01-03T05:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345604375197061129

@Malisaries @michaelshermer @RealPNavarro

https://www.forbes.com/sites/joewalsh/2020/12/04/no-atlanta-didnt-count-ballots-in-secret-or-in-suitcases/?sh=1a1cd6e3e5a4

2021-01-03T05:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345596727110193152

@RaynerUap @LoreandLegends3 @drkennyc @BrandonFugal @HISTORY And you enjoy my work, so I'm assuming you actually think it's a LITTLE more than simply "just an opinion aka just another dude on the internet."

2021-01-03T04:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345592301758812160

@Malisaries @michaelshermer @RealPNavarro The pipe leaking was in the morning.

2021-01-03T04:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345592085085188096

@RaynerUap @LoreandLegends3 @drkennyc @BrandonFugal @HISTORY Iâ□□ve talked to Gary Voorhis, Iâ□□d be happy talk to anyone. But inquiries have been declined or not returned. I demonstrate things and point things out.

2021-01-03T02:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345562219937845248

@RaynerUap Example?

2021-01-03T02:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345562088786182144

@Malisaries @michaelshermer @RealPNavarro Thereâ□□s loads of debunking. Iâ□□ve done some

myself.

2021-01-03T01:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345547981391425536

@DavidIreland101 @BrandonFugal @UFOTORONTO @memizon @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron @JeremyCorbell I'll wait.

2021-01-03T01:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345539638081908737

@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 The hand recount and the machine recounts were for the entire state.

2021-01-03T01:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345539327539994624

Perhaps overlooked: after two recounts Georgia also did a much requested signature audit using cops to check a sample of 10% of the ballots in Cobb County.

Bottom line "No fraudulent absentee ballots were identified during the audit."

https://sos.ga.gov/admin/uploads/Cobb%20County%20ABM%20Audit%20Report%2020201229.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqxQsqKU0AADZrI.jpg

---

Findings

- 15,118 ABM ballot oath envelopes were evaluated by the inspection teams. On six occasions, referees were called upon by the inspection teams as the third vote to decide to accept the signature/identifying information as valid or refer the envelope to the investigation teams.
- The inspection teams submitted 396 envelopes to the investigation teams for comparison with additional documents or follow-up with the elector.
- After evaluation of the inspection teams' envelopes, 386 were accepted as valid. The remaining ten envelopes were referred for contact with the elector for the following reasons:
    - 8 – Elector's signatures/identifying information did not appear to be consistent with documents on record.
    - 1 – Contained no signature or mark
    - 1 – Contained a signature, but was not the signature of the elector
- All ten electors were located, positively identified, and interviewed. Those interviews found the following:
    - All eight electors whose signatures were deemed valid by Cobb County Elections Department staff but not consistent by the LEOs conducting the audit, acknowledged completing and signing the ABM ballot oath envelope in question, verifying that the initial Cobb County Elections Department initial determination of validity was correct.
    - The elector whose envelope contained no signature or mark, acknowledged submitting the ABM ballot oath envelope in question, but reported signing the front of the envelope only. The final envelope in question was found to be mistakenly signed by the elector's spouse. The elector confirmed that he filled out the absentee ballot himself.
- **Of the 15,118 envelopes sampled, the following was found:**
    - **Two of the ten previously identified ABM ballot oath envelopes should have been identified by the Cobb County Elections Department as requiring an opportunity for the voter to cure the ballots prior to acceptance.**
    - **No fraudulent absentee ballots were identified during the audit.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqxQsqKU0AADZrI.jpg
2021-01-03T01:06:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345537102268616704
RT @maggieNYT: A real side note here but POTUS has been walking this particular beat since the very first votes were cast with his name invâ□¦

2021-01-03T01:06:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345536902821158912
@BrandonFugal @DavidIreland101 @UFOTORONTO @memizon @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron @JeremyCorbell While formally publishing is great, there is also much to be gained from open sourcing the data to allow independent investigation. Consider the cautionary tale of CEFAA's two years of failed attempts being solved in a week - once the data was released.
https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-01-03T01:02:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345536004732510209
@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 Georgia did three recounts, a full hand recount, a full machine recount, and a Cobb-County signature audit.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqxN0aFVoAAKQvs.jpg

A signature audit of the presidential election results in a key Georgia county confirmed there was no fraud, the third review to confirm that President-elect Joe Biden won the Peach State in November.

Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger (R) said in a press release that the review in Cobb County, which Biden won by more than 14 percentage points, found that the election board there achieved "a 99.99% accuracy rate in performing correct signature verification procedures."

The signature audit of ballots submitted followed a hand recount of statewide votes and a subsequent machine recount that also affirmed Biden's victory in the Peach State. President Trump has made Georgia a top target of his claims that widespread voter fraud and irregularities cost him a second term, though lawsuits over such claims have been tossed for lack of evidence and standing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqxN0aFVoAAKQvs.jpg
2021-01-03T00:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345533124420096001
@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 And you know Georgia also did a hand recount? Results unchanged.

2021-01-03T00:48:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345532531987214336
@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 And what are you basing this "fact" on?

2021-01-03T00:20:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345525452824199170
@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 No, that's not what happened.

At the point where he said that, 103,000 was the number of ballots in batches that no longer had any flagged ballots.

The remaining batches of 13,000 still had a few ballots needing review.

2021-01-03T00:18:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345524993883422721
@anelect114 Bit of an old case, I normally look at more recent things. However I did mention it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/what-the-bible-says-about-debunking-and-skepticism.1895/

2021-01-03T00:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345524442898698241

@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 Correct, a small percentage of all ballots require manual review. This is known.

2021-01-03T00:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345524303664566273

@xLGxKillSwitch @Oswald1160 It's not a batch, it was the total of all the ballots in the batches that have gone though adjudication.

Again, that's not all the ballots in a batch. Just the flagged ones.

2021-01-03T00:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345524031018057728

@Arletta0301 @gothroyalty Or just wait a few years, Texas is drifting blue.

2021-01-03T00:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345522643571654657

RT @stphnfwlr: BIG NEWS: You just discovered emergency backup paper ballots, which Georgia law requires counties to have in case the votingâ¦

2021-01-03T00:08:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345522370258239490

RT @stphnfwlr: So many falsehoods here!

They verified signatures before absentees counted. No â□□tens of thousandsâ□□ of dead, illegal or non-â¦

2021-01-02T23:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345517022331846656

@anelect114 Why?

2021-01-02T23:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345515592451649538

We've spent two months play whack-a-mole with an endless stream of tenuous claims of fraud "evidence" that evaporate under scrutiny. At some point it's not unreasonable to start assuming people like Giuliani or Cruz or Trump are acting in bad faith, and are in fact, lying.
https://twitter.com/ScottAdamsSays/status/1345495997099593728

2021-01-02T23:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345510761133535232

@Oswald1160 @xLGxKillSwitch The process for a batch seems to be:

1 - Scan the batch, flag ballots that need adjudicating.
2 - Adjudicate the batch (manually review the flagged ballots)
3 - Batch done.

The numbers he's giving are for the entire batch, not the ballots that needed manual review.

2021-01-02T23:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345509873979518976

@Oswald1160 @xLGxKillSwitch Yes, ALL the ballot batches need to be "adjudicated". The does not mean every (or even many, or even some) ballots needed adjudication.

2021-01-02T23:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345509149673545728

@TravisTruth3 @RGibson88161672

https://orionbearastronomy.com/2020/08/15/super-nanotechnology-zoom-telephoto-telescopes-dont-drink-their-kool-aid/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqw1YMiVgAAg9VZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqw1YMiVgAAg9VZ.jpg

2021-01-02T22:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345491426331615232

@AnOpiner That is a contrail, and perspective compression.

Contrails are a type of cloud.

2021-01-02T22:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345491109493886977
@stang289 @Oswald1160 I mean your claim that there was no leak.

2021-01-02T21:59:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345490021155889153
@stang289 @Oswald1160 Why don't you go back and try to find original sources for all those claims.

2021-01-02T21:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345489776850321408
@stang289 @Oswald1160 There was a leak. The repair, checking, and cleanup amounted to a 2 hour delay before the count was started.

2021-01-02T21:57:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345489495945216000
@stang289 @Oswald1160 They stopped because they were unable to finish overnight.

2021-01-02T21:51:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345487821646118912
@horv_att How does physical media work via secret Italian spy satellite?

2021-01-02T21:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345487476215967745
@stang289 @Oswald1160 I didn't say that.

People were saying the burst pipe was the reason they were sent home in the evening. That's what was incorrect. The bust pipe, which I remember seeing on TV that day, was in the early morning and delayed the start of counting.

2021-01-02T21:48:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345487055371915264
@hadrianwill I think that's a variant of A.

2021-01-02T20:30:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345467647274622983
@xLGxKillSwitch Thanks! So, the numbers he gives are for batches that have gone through adjudication, which is the process of adjudicating any ballots in that batch the need manual review. The number does not refer to the number of ballots that need adjudicating.
https://www.c-span.org/video/?c4932673/user-clip-explaining-adjudication-batches

---

2021-01-02T20:17:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345464168372076544
@UFOTORONTO @memizon @DavidIreland101 @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron @RyanDBledsoe Mellon clearly thinks there's something to it.

What am I forgetting?

2021-01-02T20:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345464011593117696

@xLGxKillSwitch Can you link to a source for that claim, with actual numbers?

2021-01-02T20:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345463849588195328

If you think the election was stolen, then what do you make of the ridiculous claims like #italygate (the state department and CIA using the embassy in Rome to change the election results with a secret Italian spy satellite)

Is it A) disinformation, B) crazy people, or C) True?

2021-01-02T20:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345461113341714433

@UFOTORONTO @memizon @DavidIreland101 @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron @RyanDBledsoe A) It's fun

B) They THINK there is something to it

2021-01-02T20:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345460407742259200

Election conspiracists don't seem to understand what "data" actually is. It's not a physical thing. You can't "send" it anywhere over the internet, you can only copy it.

Sending a copy to Germany then another to Italy for manipulation makes no sense as the original still exists. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqwIj3HVgAAMY3-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqwIj3HVgAAMY3-.jpg

2021-01-02T19:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345458639516041216

@DavidIreland101 @UFOTORONTO @memizon @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron @JeremyCorbell As far as I know, @BrandonFugal has not released any data.

2021-01-02T19:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345458376814120960

@Asgeir16813191 Oh there's plenty of potential explanations for "a light in the sky"

2021-01-02T19:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345458074635517952

@emmathepony Yes, they replace "mother, father" with "parent" - it's both much simpler, and more inclusive.

2021-01-02T19:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345453910819889152

There seems to be some confusion about the new House Rules forbidding the use of gendered

pronouns. They don't. All that happened was a simplification of the rules to remove those pronouns IN THE RULES

And it actually makes them a lot simpler. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqwCvFyU0AAdCoF.jpg

(3) In clause 8(c)(3) of rule XXIII, strike "father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, half sister, grandson, or granddaughter" and insert "parent, child, sibling, parent's sibling, first cousin, sibling's child, spouse, parent-in-law, child-in-law, sibling-in-law, stepparent, stepchild, stepsibling, half-sibling, or grandchild".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqwCq19VEAA9E8Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqwCvFyU0AAdCoF.jpg

2021-01-02T19:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345448678526316545

@UFOTORONTO @DavidIreland101 @memizon @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod

@TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron Pandemic aside, why would I spend $2,000 and several days of my time to visit SWR when there's nothing there that's repeatable?

2021-01-02T18:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345441821950787584

@offlineleft @awgaffney Who isn't saying that?

Declassifying more material would be nice, but assume that's not going to happen, then what can you do?

2021-01-02T18:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345441506161672193

@DavidIreland101 @memizon @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @UFOTORONTO @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron No, I mean what is it that you think people should study?

How can thousands of different reports all point to the same thing? Maybe there's several phenomena? Hundreds even?

2021-01-02T18:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345440128781570050

@mabehr @rothschildmd I think the "Just me, Mike" is Pompeo trying on a slogan for his 2024 presidential bid.

https://www.rawstory.com/just-me-mike-pompeo-unabashedly-kicks-off-new-year-with-religion-tinged-tribute-to-himself-and-his-swagger/

2021-01-02T18:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345439698072653824

RT @rothschildmd: Building on Lin Wood's madness, there's a serious groundswell in QAnon land that Donald Trump has removed or arrested Mikâ□¦

2021-01-02T18:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345437493953380352

@memizon @DavidIreland101 @ExogenesisHH @DarkstarIE @itsredactedYT @Jamie_Konitzer @MickJoseph3 @UFOS8N @UFOTORONTO @ufouapam @JuenoMT @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @IWANTTOKNOWUK @junglist1973 @carland27488094 @nickpopemod @TheProjectUnity @Deepneuron What is "the phenomena"?

2021-01-02T18:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345436913482702848

A fleet of intelligently controlled UFOs seemingly defying gravity with no apparent power source or jet exhausts !!!!11

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_prYcmTgX-Q

2021-01-02T18:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345432638824148992
@offlineleft @awgaffney But until that point we can still discuss the possible meaning (if any) of the presented evidence so far?

People are making statements about the videos for example. I don't think it's impossible to analyze those statements for accuracy.

2021-01-02T16:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345413658608717824
Gohment seem to say that the courts not doing what he personally wants is justification for insurrection.

That's how you get terrorists. https://twitter.com/atrupar/status/1345377936300453891

2021-01-02T15:43:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345395270427688960
@dagmarmeier72 It A) seems highly improbable and B) is totally lacking in evidence.

2021-01-02T15:41:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345394697108275200
@offlineleft @awgaffney https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4

2021-01-02T12:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345340290572328960
@offlineleft @awgaffney He wasnâ□□t on the plane that recorded any video.

2021-01-02T04:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345222385104871425
@AubreyJ47046403 You can replay the ADS-B, so if you told me the details of the photo I could see if I could find it.

However there ARE planes that leave contrails but don't show up, because they are military planes.

2021-01-01T23:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345143146376675328
@AubreyJ47046403 Military flights often don't show up.

2021-01-01T22:24:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345133711407267841
@AubreyJ47046403 What's the time, location, and direction of the photo?

2021-01-01T22:21:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345133139912318976
@AubreyJ47046403 It takes practice to match the planes with what you see in the sky.

2021-01-01T21:59:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345127425798115328
@AubreyJ47046403 It' because you are not looking far enough away. That plane looks at least

30 miles away - close to the horizon they can be 100 miles or more (most I've seen is 200 miles). Zoom out
You might want to consider something like Planefinder AR.

2021-01-01T21:56:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345126665366564865
@_californio I have not listened to the Diana Pasulka episode. Was there something in it in particular?

I'd be a guest just about anywhere.

2021-01-01T21:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345126326689099779
@ThomasRotthier Sure, but people will always find something they don't like about him. I think he's a great guy, doing great things. Some people disagree a bit on his priorities. He's one of the good guys relative to everyone else.

2021-01-01T21:47:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345124487839186944
@BrowneBJ If you think that's true you could make good money by buying no contracts on Buttigieg. It's 18c per share, you get $1 per share if he's not in the cabinet). Huge profit. Why not?

https://www.predictit.org/markets/detail/6986/Will-Pete-Buttigieg-be-confirmed-to-a-Cabinet-position-by-Mar-1

2021-01-01T21:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345121094202576896
I encourage people to at least think about making a bet on their beliefs, just to solidify the degree of confidence they have (vs. wishful thinking and suspicion).

PredictIt is a platform where Q folk go to lose money. Hopefully eye opening for them.

https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2021/01/betting-against-qanon-predictit/617396/?utm_source=feed

---

2021-01-01T21:30:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345120297578504193
@josephzdunegan @LoreandLegends3 Well, you can be pretty sure that that isn't.

2021-01-01T21:28:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1345119835873705985
@b5t1an It's hugely popular in mainstream culture. X-Files, Ancient Aliens, Science Fiction in general, Roswell, countless films like Close encounters and ET. People love the topic and want there to be something to it. The media also loves a good UFO story.

2021-01-01T18:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345077289453715457

@b5t1an It's a bunch of unidentifications. Objects and lights that are too far away to be identified.

2021-01-01T17:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345062974524805120

@Oswald1160 @JBocquee @wulfsethen In theory you could try to "increase deaths", but every action Gates is doing is having the opposite effect there. Access to health care, food, and economic stability, is all increasing lifespans.

Reducing birth rate is the only way. https://ourworldindata.org/fertility-rate

---

2021-01-01T17:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345053730064924673

@APendulumShifts A local contractor built them. One guy paid him and gave him the design. Itâ□□s a very small mystery.

2021-01-01T14:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345019600937783299

@JBocquee @Oswald1160 @wulfsethen If population is increasing at a slower rate than before, then under what principle is that depopulation?

Is

10,20,30,38,39,39

The same type of thing as

10,20,30,25,20,5

2021-01-01T14:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1345018435286175744

@One___T___ @ConceptualJames Where does it say that?

2021-01-01T06:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344888718390300674

@APendulumShifts Is his name on them?

2021-01-01T00:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344804422669324290

@One___T___ @ConceptualJames So what do you think people are actually denying? What's on that page that A) is so terrible, and B) people deny exists.

2021-01-01T00:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344801936243998720

@ConceptualJames What about the Great Reset are people denying? Obviously it exists, there's a web page on the WEF site. Is that it, or is there a specific additional set of claims somewhere that you think people are denying?

https://www.weforum.org/great-reset/

2021-01-01T00:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344797468320403456

Architects and engineers for 9/11 Truth (who think the World Trade Center was blown up with magical nanothermite) have moved on from childish memes claiming "it's obvious" to a bemusingly crass and tasteless cartoon of five dancing Building 7s.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqmtP6EUcAAhgn9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqmtP6EUcAAhgn9.jpg
2020-12-31T23:31:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344788227337506816
@JBocquee @wulfsethen Gates is not pushing for depopulation. He's calling for reducing the population growth rate.

Vaccines are part of a broader health initiative. Good health and good economy in a nation leads to a more sustainable birthrate (like in first world countries, 2.1 or less)

2020-12-31T23:10:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344782927419215872
@Taxi_sparky99 And I keep popping up because you follow me. :)

2020-12-31T23:08:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344782495955308544
@Taxi_sparky99 Well, I do occasionally help solve things. Give it a go, it's fun!

2020-12-31T23:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344782152051740672

@specrtre Yeah, in 180 days lots of people are going to be very disappointed. This is just a bit of Rubio favor pork.

Asymmetry of perception.

2020-12-31T22:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344780298492338177

I had a wide ranging chat with Bob Kiviat yesterday, covering the Nashville bombing (not a missile), UFOs (not seagulls), and if there's some kind of government UFO disinformation campaign going on (probably not)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OwsOqeowwvI

2020-12-31T21:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344759840183255040

@trentlapinski @zcichy I don't think that's impossible. But genetic analysis does not seem to indicate it.

2020-12-31T21:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344759483159826432

@trentlapinski @zcichy Yeah, because they make a standard OS.

Band-Aid (Johnson and Johnson) is a defense contractor. Tesla is a defense contractor. Nearly every large company in the US is a defense contractor.

2020-12-31T21:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344758600099622912

@trentlapinski @zcichy Why is gain-of-function research evil if it is done safely?

I get that there's some debate, and I'm not entirely comfortable withe the idea, but you seem convinced that is is literally evil.

https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00210-5

2020-12-31T21:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344756865545039872

@trentlapinski @zcichy And yet lots of scientists think it's safe (if done correctly) and necessary for effective virus research. Are they all evil? Or just wrong?

And if gates does not understand the science, then surely he's just wrong, not evil.

2020-12-31T21:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344755976679788544

@GarthTempleman There's quite a variety. I respect their opinions.

2020-12-31T21:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344755689973895168
@trentlapinski @zcichy "Tesla is teaming up with Germany's CureVac to create "RNA microfactories" for coronavirus vaccines, Tesla Chief Executive Elon Musk said late Wednesday."

https://www.investors.com/news/technology/coronavirus-vaccine-hunt-widens-tesla-joins-fray/

2020-12-31T21:21:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344755479872851968
@trentlapinski @zcichy Microsoft got a big cloud computing deal from the DoD this year. Do you think that's somehow related to vaccines? Or just generic evil by association?

2020-12-31T21:19:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344755039840047104
@trentlapinski @zcichy Do you think Gates created the Pandemic?

2020-12-31T21:17:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344754558577250304
@trentlapinski @zcichy Gain of function research is contentious and debated. But lots of very reputable scientist and scientific associations back it and the GoF moratorium only ran from 2014 to 2017. So why is it suspicious that the Gates foundation has given grants to research that includes it?

2020-12-31T21:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344753326584332288
@trentlapinski @zcichy You are conflating different issues. You noted Gates got richer during the pandemic as if you were trying to establish motive for him creating the pandemic. I noted ALL stockholders got rich. Did Elon Musk create the pandemic?

The morality of wealth inequality is unrelated.

2020-12-31T21:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344751080484134912
@trentlapinski @zcichy What research exactly are you referring to that Gates is investing in that was done in China, and is illegal in the US? Where's the link?

2020-12-31T20:59:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344749950400221185
@trentlapinski @zcichy And everyone with stocks in pretty much anything has increased their wealth during the pandemic. That does not make them guilty of anything other than starting off rich and getting richer by doing nothing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqmC6K-VQAAtl0t.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqmC6K-VQAAtl0t.jpg

2020-12-31T20:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344749154359070720

@trentlapinski @zcichy How highly invested in viral research is he?

And don't you WANT research into viruses? How do you think we got working vaccines so fast. Science needs research.

2020-12-31T20:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344748581673074688

@trentlapinski @zcichy Wait, what do we know to be true that indicated that?

2020-12-31T20:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344748350285877248

@trentlapinski @zcichy So you think ... guilty by association? His old company had an ad that used an artist that conspiracy theorists think is satanic, but who isn't.

Seems a bit tenuous. Performance art is not who people are.

https://www.artnews.com/art-news/news/marina-abramovic-satanism-controversy-explained-1202684150/

2020-12-31T20:45:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344746633133764609

@trentlapinski @zcichy How does the profit from the pandemic?

Why would he want to? He's got $129 billion. You think he rather get that up to $200 billon than help save millions of lives and make the world a better place?

Suspicion aside, what is he doing that's objectively bad?

2020-12-31T20:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344746081783955456

@trentlapinski @zcichy Some Microsoft business shenanigans 30 years ago is hardly the same as being an evil mastermind try to decimate the worlds population with vaccines and chemtrails.

2020-12-31T20:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344745165940899842

@gregorylent @zcichy Chemtrails are not a thing, SCoPEx has not even got off the ground. I've talked to geoengineering researchers. Nobody wants to "microchip" everyone (limited range, biometrics is better). Vaccines save lives, they don't depopulate, they stabilize population growth rates (science)

2020-12-31T20:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344742449273425921

@notincomunicado @IDoubtIt It varies by individual. Sure, some with just move on to a different rabbit hole, but for some it will actually be a wake-up event.

It's just unclear how many.

2020-12-31T20:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344740870948442112

@UFOi1973 It's largely because they think vaccines are poison.

But also because he was picked as a target by the promoters of disinformation simply because he's super rich and philanthropically active. Most of his haters have no idea what he actually does, or why.

2020-12-31T20:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344737975402332160

RT @rothschildmd: Seriously, unless you have to be there, don't be in DC on the 6th. It's going to be a COVID superspreader, and at best, nâ□¦

2020-12-31T19:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344729724988260353

@towner641 I don't get paid, other than a very small amount in book royalties, and occasional small per-deims for speaking.

Why would you think someone is paying me? TO do what exactly?

2020-12-31T18:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344712145544462336

@ET_Kowai Seems like you are creating a definition to fulfill your argument there. I don't generally describe things as "disagreeable" or "woowoo mental." I try to look at the facts.

2020-12-31T18:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344708664058290176
@ET_Kowai Do you think that most people don't want to know the truth? Do you think I'm biased against "truth"?

2020-12-31T18:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344708276663930880
@SmallFamilyGard There's a variety of different people out there.

2020-12-31T18:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344704991777284096
@HowtoCrypto_org @Lukewearechange "Printed" generally implies paper.

2020-12-31T17:59:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344704734700081152
@DosMoscas @getoffmylawn60 DIY anfo is pretty messy.
https://www.enidnews.com/oklahoma/fbi-detonated-large-ammonium-nitrate-explosive-as-part-of-case-against-man-accused-in-okc/article_88ad3c7c-1a8e-11e9-8783-1fa8752924a3.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqlZzTJUcAY5bQ4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqlZzTJUcAY5bQ4.jpg
2020-12-31T17:20:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344695023535706113
@Lukewearechange Wrong. M1 isn't just paper money. It's also demand deposits - i.e. checking and basic saving accounts.
M0 is paper money (and coins). There was less printed in 2020 than in any of the last 10 years.
https://www.federalreserve.gov/paymentsystems/final_2020_currency_print_orders.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqlQzKYU0AUxrzh.jpg

FY 2020 Federal Reserve Note Print Order

Denomination	Original Order Aug-19 (000s of pieces)	Revised Order Apr-20 (000s of pieces)	Final Revision Aug-20 (000s of pieces)	Change from Apr Revision (000s of pieces)
$1	1,574,400	1,811,200	1,574,400	-236,800
$2	0	0	0	0
$5	736,000	684,800	467,200	-217,600
$10	460,800	460,800	460,800	0
$20	1,241,600	1,689,600	1,721,600	32,000
$50	76,800	236,800	236,800	0
$100	1,078,400	1,302,400	1,334,400	32,000
Total	**5,168,000**	**6,185,600**	**5,795,200**	**-390,400**

Appendix

Chart 1
Historical FY Print Orders



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqlQzKYU0AUxrzh.jpg

2020-12-31T16:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344687921794699264

@DosMoscas @getoffmylawn60 It looks like just like a big Tannerite or Anfo explosion. DIY.

2020-12-31T16:47:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344686742033481732

@TravisTruth3 @crowbern So do you just think this because Alex Jones said so?

Have you checked for yourself what Gates is actually doing in Africa?

Vaccination are part of improved health care. Improved health care stabilizes the birthrate because people choose smaller families.

2020-12-31T16:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344684329230766081

@SkyDog_59 @fatguydan The first flash of the explosion is 3 seconds before the trails (there's two) first become visible.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-31T16:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344683945237991425

@getoffmylawn60 Turns out it was actually an RV full of explosives.

2020-12-31T16:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344682587764133889

@TravisTruth3 @crowbern Why exactly do you think that?

It sounds like you believe it, so surely you have some specific evidence?

Or are you just trusting what someone said? Who, and why?

2020-12-31T16:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344680952677634050

"Maybe things failed. Maybe QAnon operation wasnâ□□t real. Maybe some things were not really the truth, right?"

Reality starting to bite.

https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-qanon-conspiracy-theory-lorraine-b1780220.html

---

2020-12-31T16:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344680622652968962

RT @jonathanvswan: Sidney Powell's sidekick has some additional thoughts to close out the year. https://twitter.com/LLinWood/status/1344652363861258243

2020-12-31T16:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344680229873205249

@TravisTruth3 @crowbern Where are you getting this stuff?

2020-12-31T15:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344673688306610176

@TravisTruth3 @crowbern Removing Africans? You mean with the improved health care, food security, and less war? How would that work exactly?

Gates is in favor of reducing the population growth rate. That's not the same thing as reducing population. But it's often conflated.

2020-12-31T15:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344672781749764098

@JosephLovato13 Well, let's look at one of your more precise claims there. "400k Indian kids have paralytic symptoms after polio vaccines?"

What's that based on? Why do you believe it to be true? Would it change anything in your mind

if you found it was not based on good evidence?

2020-12-31T15:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344661726898262016

@rcpricey @bananakiev What exactly are you suggesting he should do? Something like this?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=69t9Uj_yg1c&feature=emb_logo

2020-12-31T03:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344493106540941312

@specrtre Evidence?

2020-12-31T02:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344468987967463424

@HeadRushV2 But some people think it's a good idea.

So if it's actually a bad idea, then surely doing research to figure this out would be sensible.

Otherwise some nation might go ahead and try it before the science is in.

2020-12-31T02:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344466509159043072

@murnut Well I got 23 likes :) So how does that work.

Every little helps.

2020-12-31T02:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344466308516118528

@BathroomExpense In what way, exactly?

Or this just a "rich and powerful people probably have some nefarious random stuff going on" type of thing?

What stuff are you talking about?

2020-12-31T02:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344465689919201280

@P_DallaVee Does he want to block out the sun?

Or does he want to do research to see if SRM is a good idea?

How much money has he given to this research?

What's that as a portion of his total giving?

2020-12-31T02:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344465314487042048

@HeadRushV2 I'm not promoting it. AE911 are promoting it, probably spending hundreds of thousands of dollars to do so (and a bunch of that from Ed Asner).

It's a propaganda effort that cannot entirely be countered by simply ignoring it.

2020-12-31T02:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344464461441114112

@Sloppyjaloppy2 Perhaps "boat" is a better word.

2020-12-31T02:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344464280528199680

@MDeastern_shore I'm pretty sure he's past that by now.

2020-12-31T02:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344464133568151554

@BathroomExpense Or maybe he's just a rich guy who founded Microsoft, and now spends his money on charity - particularly on global health initiatives.

Is that impossible?

2020-12-31T02:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344463756781207552

@TravisTruth3 I did. So why EXACTLY do you know that he is not? Give one solid example that you think is backed up with verifiable facts.

2020-12-31T02:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344463527713460231

@HeadRushV2 I'm familiar with this. He's not backing blocking out the sun, he's (indirectly, via one of his thousands of grants) backing research into if Geoengineering is a good idea.

Things like SCoPEx, the first SRM experiment, which has yet to get off the ground
https://www.keutschgroup.com/scopex

2020-12-31T01:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344462756842414080

@Sloppyjaloppy2 I think reasonable people can differ, and still remain civil.

The illusion of "sides" is part of the problem. Ultimately we are all on the same side - there's just a variety of ideas and individuals that complicate things.

2020-12-31T01:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344462273180442624

Bill Gates is one of the good guys, relatively speaking. If you think he's behind chemtrails or microchipping everyone or depopulation with vaccines, then you might want to hit the pause button and ask yourself WHY you believe those things.
Give yourself permission to question.

2020-12-31T01:52:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344461374664052736

@sannl04 @travis_view I briefly though he might actually be a plant, trying to gum up the

works. But it appears that he actually is crazy - or at least putting on a good show.

2020-12-31T01:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344461181998702592

@josephzdunegan I do not think anyone is beyond reproach. Nobody does. That's a silly question.

But you need some better evidence. Thousands of people have been to Epstein's island.

2020-12-31T01:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344459403685101569

@josephzdunegan That is my point.

2020-12-31T01:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344459178371256321

@gibarian_music Sure, but if people are bewitched by the idea of controlled demolition, then they are not going to ponder real questions.

2020-12-31T01:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344458823755415553

@irregularwar321 @travis_view @LLinWood Hey, he's "just asking questions." There's no law against asking crazy questions designed to paint someone in a particular light.

And if thinks it is true, then it's not defamation, just his opinion.

I think he's crazy.

2020-12-31T01:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344458046915792896

@irregularwar321 @travis_view I'd ask them to show it. Wood has not done so, he's just asked a bunch of ridiculous questions of the "when did you stop beating your wife?" variety.

2020-12-31T01:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344455543822327809

Lin Wood isn't just a pro-Trump conspiracy theorist. He's a dangerously unhinged Q-style conspiracy theorist who thinks that John Roberts is in child-sacrificing secret cabals and that he was involved in murdering Scalia. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqh2zdTVgAAOk0N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqh2zdTVgAAOk0N.jpg
2020-12-31T01:24:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344454284830674945
@dave_obremski Big time. His support probably a significant reason why it's still going, as he contributes to the running of AE911 Truth - which takes in about half a million in contributions every year.

2020-12-31T01:17:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344452536002961409
2020 was not a very good year to release a 9/11 conspiracy theorist film. Current conspiracy theories and major events have overshadowed the classics.
But it's not going away, the election madness got a lot of people overly suspicious, and some of them will eventually find 9/11 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhzWESUUAEzpmB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhzWESUUAEzpmB.jpg

2020-12-30T23:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344432736593793024

@DefeatObama No, those trails only sow up about 3 seconds AFTER the explosion. See:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-30T23:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344430201078308865

Peak 2020 headlines. Nashville bomber suspected Bob Hope was an alien lizard person.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqhf1h_UYAAZujK.jpg

NEWS

U.S. NEWS

Feds probing whether Nashville bomber believed in lizard people conspiracy

Investigators are exploring several conspiracy theories as potential motives behind the Christmas Day bombing outside an AT&T building in Nashville, Tennessee, including evidence that the bomber believed in lizard people and a so-called reptilian conspiracy, two senior law enforcement officials said Wednesday.

In addition, investigators are aware of statements the suspect made about an internet conspiracy that powerful politicians and Hollywood figures are actually lizards or other reptiles who have extraterrestrial origins and are taking over society, the officials said.

Adherents of the unfounded conspiracy theory believe that politicians and other prominent people, including the Clintons and the comedian Bob Hope, who died in 2003, are actually lizard-like creatures sent to Earth and are responsible for a number of historic tragedies. Justin Bieber and the Obamas have also been named in the conspiracy theory.

Federal investigators have also asked associates and acquaintances of Warner whether he believed in equally unfounded conspiracy theories about AT&T and 5G mobile service and whether that was a motive for choosing the AT&T building as the site of the bombing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eqhf1h_UYAAZujK.jpg
2020-12-30T22:47:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344414835367313410
Latest far-out Nashville theory is apparently that the bomb was much bigger than the explosion demonstrated, but was neutralized by "white hats" (good guys) using "directed energy weapons", also Taylor Swift tried to warn people!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhRgtyVoAAx-xm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhRgtyVoAAx-xm.jpg
2020-12-30T22:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344413562249252865
RT @donie: At this point isnâ□□t â□□disputedâ□□ a bit weak to label this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhMPTnXYAEeEci.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqhMPTnXYAEeEci.jpg
2020-12-30T19:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344363367486476288
@habemuspapadam Do we have hundreds of identical accounts?
Did anyone draw a diagram?

Verbal accounts of memories are notoriously unreliable.

2020-12-30T18:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344354492746092544
@habemuspapadam So you just want to rule out a balloon, not some other optical misinterpretation?

I'm unclear by what you mean by "true" here. If he's relating what he THOUGHT he saw, then is that not a true account even if he was not 100% objectively accurate?

2020-12-30T18:20:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344347713811353600
I had a very interesting discussion with John Kao and Brian Solis yesterday about how to help people out of the rabbit hole when conspiracy theories are evolving so rapidly and traditional information sources have fragmented into walled gardens.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=667&v=QNOjVRn8wXk

2020-12-30T17:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344331989709537280
@habemuspapadam How did you rule out optical misinterpretations?

2020-12-30T03:16:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344120086735425536
In the original video the flash is only fro one frame, the frame before the explosion is visible. The initial flash of the explosion is actually happening when you see the "beam" but the camera

only exposes one column at a time. Leading to this effect
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NN05jCosAe8

2020-12-30T03:13:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344119306980708354
This streak of light before an IED explodes is NOT a DEW (Directed Energy weapon). It's a rolling shutter artifact from the flash of the explosion.
These artifact are explained in depth here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqdE884UYAEf3vq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqdE884UYAEf3vq.jpg
2020-12-29T21:26:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344032130918096896
@gornalalbion @TheProjectUnity The water is behind it. That does not mean it's over the water.

2020-12-29T19:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344009992546910208
@nearbyplanet I think it's more to do with the decrease in illumination.

2020-12-29T19:36:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1344004365892239360
@SometimesRatio1 It's probably more that they got into Q-Anon, which just defaults to Trump.

2020-12-29T17:50:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343977772289429505
@rstricker14 @MNPDNashville I agree, and that's how I refer to it in more recent posts.

2020-12-29T17:49:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343977561995374594
@SometimesRatio1 Not literally. But there's some overlap in any group. NHL fans overlap Flat Earth a little bit. Correlation is complicated.

2020-12-29T17:46:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343976735335858180

RT @briansolis: Today at 10:30am pacific, @JohnKao and I are hosting a special live, year-end episode of Intersections with @MickWest, authâ¦

2020-12-29T16:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343961825264615425

The Bonneville Salt Flat are perfectly level, but they are not flat. They follow the slight curve of the earth. You can see this if you stand in the right place and zoom in - perfectly demonstrated in this video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-EiOrBd_rog

2020-12-29T06:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343809927169495040

@SavageRoadhouse There's a few threads on Metabunk. This is the only one that has a specific debunk - of the supposed lack of surveillance video.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/las-vegas-massacre-surveillance-footage.9133/

2020-12-29T06:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343808371292422144

@prisoner646 @johnkao @briansolis It's tomorrow morning :)

2020-12-29T06:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343803765162295296

Iâ□m going live on #Intersections hosted by @JohnKao and @BrianSolis Tuesday 12/29 10:30-11:30 a.m. pacific.

You can tune in here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QNOjVRn8wXk or via Brian and Johnâ□s LinkedIn, Facebook, or Twitter. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqYmO3-VEAA1p3A.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqYmO3-VEAA1p3A.jpg

2020-12-29T02:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343743627021832195
@DTruvet And what do they present as evidence for that?

2020-12-29T02:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343738608134488065
@DTruvet What evidence do they give of DEW?

2020-12-29T01:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343732849451495424
@Bryan700 No.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-29T01:37:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343732776340606976
@DanielleRemedi1 A bit more analysis shows those trails don't show up until three seconds after the blast.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-29T01:36:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343732542709538817
@DTruvet https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-29T01:33:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343731847751106560
The Nashville missile theory is pretty far-out. But some people think it's plausible, so it's worth debunking.
The trails show up 3 seconds after the blast, which is on the same side of the street as the RV.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-29T01:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343731408385101824
@xwilliamramseyx @Tomfortas @Robndamtns @realEdwardSzall A more detailed debunk of the missile theory
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tSCUfLAtjmA

2020-12-28T23:44:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343704314062094336
@MiddleOfMayhem I used the peak intensity of the glow on the L&C building.

2020-12-28T23:01:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343693499762319360
@prentic4 @Oswald1160 @KetterStone @Disclos93884769 "I don't know" is always the simplest explanation when there's a tad of uncertainty.

So why don't you just go with that for everything?

2020-12-28T22:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343691771306364928

Is that Nashville explosion trail from a missile? Given that it does not show up until THREE SECONDS after the explosion, then probably not.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-missile-strike-caused-nashville-explosion.11518/

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343691334045995008/pu/img/xfnm_iqGdHvIZK7w.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343691334045995008/pu/img/xfnm_iqGdHvIZK7w.jpg

2020-12-28T21:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343675811467993089

@KillAuDeepState No, it's just a short sunlit contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqWx_NqU8AAf0GJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqWx_NqU8AAf0GJ.jpg

2020-12-28T21:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343671715629473793

@TomSuesss @gornalalbion @TheProjectUnity That's the altitude above sea level of the point under the central cursor - same point as indicated under TGT LOS, bottom right . The camera here is not locked onto the object. It's in ground track mode, and is being slewed by the operator with the joystick. Red line here: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWuSJDU0AAeNxa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWuSJDU0AAeNxa.jpg

2020-12-28T21:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343664838346563584

@prisoner646 "The fact that the fasces remained in use in many societies after World War II may have been due to the fact that prior to Mussolini the fasces had already been adopted and incorporated within the governmental iconography of many governments outside Italy."

2020-12-28T20:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343662966311247873

@prisoner646 The use of fasces is not uncommon in the US, and not a direct indicator of fascism.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fasces#United_States

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWmLqQVQAAUien.jpg

Examples of US fasces iconography [edit]



Most visibly, fasces bestride the US flag in the House chamber of the US Capitol

Above the door leading out of the Oval Office

Mercury dime reverse

1989 US Congress Bicentennial commemorative coin reverse, depicting mace of the United States House of Representatives

The mace of the United States House of Representatives, designed to resemble a fasces

The seal of the Senate, note the crossed fasces at the bottom

The seal of the United States Tax Court

The Lincoln Memorial with the fronts of the chair arms shaped to resemble fasces

Flanking the image of Lincoln at the Gettysburg Address memorial

The seal of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts

Above the door to Chicago's City Hall

The flag of the New York City borough of Brooklyn

At the entrance to San Francisco's Coit Tower

Shoulder Sleeve Insignia of the 18th MP Brigade

Shoulder Sleeve Insignia of the 42nd MP Brigade

Statue of George Washington at the site of his inauguration as first president of the United States, now occupied by

Horatio Stone's 1848 statue of Alexander Hamilton displays a fasces below Hamilton's hand

Shoulder Sleeve Insignia of US Army Reserve Legal Command

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWmLqQVQAAUien.jpg

2020-12-28T20:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343662191069675521

@prentic4 @KetterStone @Disclos93884769 Accounts vary.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWlQVRUcAAttK8.jpg


FAST EAGLES 110/100 UPON TAKE OFF WERE VECTORED BY PRINCETON AND BANGER (1410L) TO INTERCEPT UNID CONTACT AT 160@40NM (N3050.8 W11746.9) (NIMITZ N3129.3 W11752.8). PRINCETON INFORMED FAST EAGLES THAT THE CONTACT WAS MOVING AT 100 KTS @ 25KFT ASL.

FAST EAGLES (110/100) COULD NOT FIND UNID AIRBORNE CONTACT AT LOCATION GIVEN BY PRINCETON. WHILE SEARCHING FOR UNID AIR CONTACT, FAST EAGLES SPOTTED LARGE UNID OBJECT IN WATER AT 1430L. PILOTS SAW STEAM/ SMOKE/CHURNING AROUND OBJECT. PILOT DESCRIBES OBJECT INITIALLY AS RESEMBLING A DOWNED AIRLINER, ALSO STATED THAT IT WAS MUCH LARGER THAN A SUBMARINE.

WHILE DESCENDING FROM 24K FT TO GAIN A BETTER VIEW OF THE UNID CONTACT IN THE WATEI FAST EAGLE 110 SIGHTED AN AIRBORNE CONTACT WHICH APPEARED TO BE CAPSULE SHAPED (WINGLESS, MOBILE, WHITE, OBLONG PILL SHAPED, 25-30 FEET IN LENGTH, NO VISIBLE MARKINGS AND NO GLASS) 5NM WEST FROM POSITION OF UNID OBJECT IN WATER.

CAPSULE (ALT 4K FT AT COURSE 300) PASSED UNDER FAST EAGLE 110 (ALT 16KFT). FAST EAGLE 110 BEGAN TURN TO ACQUIRE CAPSULE. WHILE 110 WAS DESCENDING AND TURNING, CAPSULE BEGAN CLIMBING AND TURNED INSIDE OF FAST EAGLE'S TURN RADIUS. PILOT ESTIMATED THAT CAPSULE ACHIEVED 600-700 KTS. FAST EAGLE 110 COULD NOT KEEP UP WITH THE RATE OF TUR AND THE GAIN OF ALTITUDE BY THE CAPSULE. 110 LOST VISUAL ID OF CAPSULE IN HAZE. LAST VISUAL CONTACT HAD CAPSULE AT 14KFT HEADING DUE EAST.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWlQVRUcAAttK8.jpg

2020-12-28T20:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343653067988692993

@murnut I call those "Drone Zip-By UFOs"

The motion is mostly from the motion of the drone itself. There's an illusion of slowness because it's high up. It's just zipping by something small.

2020-12-28T20:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343652413597609984

@UAPGodz @TheProjectUnity It no more displaces the water than it "displaces" the buildings near where it crosses the road. That's motion compression "droppings"

2020-12-28T20:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343650424910303234

@NARCAP1 @OVNI66 @LaboFoudre Since they are all difference, it's very unlikely that there's on explanation. Better to go on a case-by-case basis.

2020-12-28T20:09:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343650178813718528

@prentic4 @KetterStone @Disclos93884769 It was not precise at all. The water disturbance was several miles away, and (obviously) not at 20,000 feet.

He flew to where was indicated and saw nothing, and then flew around a bit more. Accounts vary as to how much.

2020-12-28T18:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343623659814961152

@in_ardua_tendit Well, he's not actually doing it, so there's that.

2020-12-28T18:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343623517820968960

I've been posting debunks of UFOs that are just short sunlit contrails for nearly ten years now. And people have been reporting them for 70+ years.

You'd think modern folk would be used to them. But vapor trails will get many excited for years to come

https://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWCS7jVQAEJUnJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWCS7jVQAEJUnJ.jpg

Vapor Trails Get Many Excited

Vapor trails formed by condensation of aircraft engines in cold or freezing temperatures put Peninsula residents in a tizzy late yesterday afternoon.

Ordinarily white, the vapor trails were scarlet and gold from the setting sun.

Police departments from San Jose to South San Francisco were flooded with calls between 5:15 and 5:25 with reports ranging from "a burning plane" and "meteors" to "flying saucers." However, it was only a B-50 bomber flying at 35,000.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqWCGAOVEAIxiFA.jpg

2020-12-28T18:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343622643631628289

@prentic4 @KetterStone @Disclos93884769 It's mostly mistakes, many of which are perfectly understandable and not a reflection on the character or skill of the individual. There's also equipment malfunction.

2020-12-28T18:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343620682228195328

With wider shot and a more persistent contrail, it's more apparent what is going on. But from a distance people often think these are meteors, or (if going the other way) missiles. In reality

they are in level flight, or very close to level. It's perspective.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqV_djGVQAUNA1c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqV_djGVQAUNA1c.jpg

2020-12-28T18:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343620022711635970

The shape depends on how long the contrails persist for, which depends on the atmospheric conditions. It can look like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqV_IrPVEAAhpL4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqV_IrPVEAAhpL4.jpg

2020-12-28T18:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343619665365385216

@SicCoP1 @PostDisclosure Lots of people have been sharing the latest example.

2020-12-28T18:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343619438839394304

@b5t1an @TheProjectUnity My hypothesis (arrived at by many over the years) is that it fades out of visibility due to a combination of the heat source being obscured and the highly compressed video.
Look at the numbers on screen when it's going "underwater". Bits of them nearly vanish too.

2020-12-28T18:04:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343618724285173760
A common "UFO" is a short sunlit contrail at dusk, flying away from the camera. They look odd because they are far away (often 50-100 miles) and perspective compression magnifies the sweep of the contrails, especially with 4-engine planes. Here's a "UFO" and an A340
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqV9fTUVQAI3lSF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqV9fTUVQAI3lSF.jpg
2020-12-28T17:39:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343612471550988288
@gornalalbion @TheProjectUnity I goes nowhere near the water. If you trace the lines of sight, the best fit is small object drifting in the wind just north of the airport.

2020-12-28T17:37:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343612146425348097
@prentic4 @Disclos93884769 I have not been paid anything by the US Government or any similar or related body. The only money I get is royalties for my book (which does not discuss UFOS) and a very occasional per-diem for speaking.
I'm basically retired and am living off my retirement savings.

2020-12-28T16:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343602310912516098
@GetAFreakinGrip Itâ□□s a plane with a contrail.

https://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/

2020-12-28T06:48:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343448650232471552
@Disclos93884769 HE wasn't at ocean level, so how did he know the object was?

2020-12-28T06:46:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343448095191752704
RT @gtconway3d: You would think that @stevenmnuchin1 would resign, out of principle or protest or just out of self-respect.

2020-12-28T06:38:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343446222984544256
@MNPDNashville Cropped and slowed. The fireball is obscured by smoke.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343446142554554374/pu/img/J55l1s42dDSgEQJz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343446142554554374/pu/img/J55l1s42dDSgEQJz.jpg
2020-12-28T06:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343442579157762048
@Disclos93884769 Check this out. Me on Fravor.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-12-28T06:21:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343441950318370817
Here's the Nashville explosion, corrected for camera motion, and in 1/4 time.

The explosion *looked* like it was across the road from the RV because A) it's blocked to the left by the building, and B) the epicenter is obscured by the cloud of smoke

The smoke ends up at the RV.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343440762168459265/pu/img/pBbQPeTqyM23_Q-j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1343440762168459265/pu/img/pBbQPeTqyM23_Q-j.jpg
2020-12-28T06:14:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343440067042320388
@Disclos93884769 I don't know what he saw, but I suspect he misjudged the distance, and hence the size and the velocity.

Most all the movement he described is consistent with him seeing something that was actually half the size he thought it was.

2020-12-28T06:08:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343438548377108481
@QuietlyRiot @QSimpleAnswers @OurAmericanLife @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard
@Michael27452 I have no trouble finding things. I have trouble finding what you claim is there.

Why do I have to find it? Can't you just link to this admission of current or past SRM?

2020-12-28T05:54:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343435096687140864
@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard
@Michael27452 That link shows a PROPOSED experiment.

They have not done yet. Maybe you think they have, but they certainly have not admitted it.

2020-12-28T05:53:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343434817677926400
@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard

@Michael27452 You said there were UN agendas about spraying skies, and had been for decades. Show me where it says that.

Saying you want sustainable development is not the same as saying you want to spray the sky. SRM is not sustainable.

2020-12-28T05:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343425564837773312

@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard @Michael27452 Can you find it? Because I canâ□□t.

Please share a link.

2020-12-28T05:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343423989876604928

@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard @Michael27452 Rosalind Peterson, who later admitted there was no evidence for chemtrails.

2020-12-28T05:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343423746242048000

@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard @Michael27452 Them? That was one woman giving one speech at one conference in one UN room.

2020-12-28T04:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343420886112595971

@QuietlyRiot @OurAmericanLife @QSimpleAnswers @Nwistner @LoneStrWizard @Michael27452 Approximately one in a million people are â□□coming forwardâ□□. Does that sound about right?

Maybe itâ□□s just a few people who got it wrong?

2020-12-28T02:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343390785669005317

@Disclos93884769 Funny, but nobody thinks or claims anything remotely like that.

2020-12-28T02:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343380865821208577

@mark58329831 @Kasparov63 Oh, it aged just fine.

2020-12-28T01:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343371184595824640

@StratAnalytica Obvious contrail. Short. Sunlit. Classic.

2020-12-28T01:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343370908765843456

RT @Kasparov63: Bingo. America doesn't have one problem, it has 74 million of them, and they aren't leaving on January 20. Deescalating aftâ□¦

2020-12-27T23:43:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343341729416540161
@TravisTruth3 And yet he says this is different. It is different.

2020-12-27T23:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343340956234366977
@TravisTruth3 And I'd be fine with that if it only affected you.

My cousin is a nurse in the ICU. It's very hard for him, dangerous too - there's been an outbreak among the staff at his hospital.

2020-12-27T23:32:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343339090842853377
@TravisTruth3 But you DO think it deserves avoiding gatherings like this?

2020-12-27T23:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343338853864652801
@QSimpleAnswers @LoneStrWizard @OurAmericanLife @Michael27452 You might find my chat with him interesting.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog
Follow up to that, they have STILL not done even the simplest geoengineering deployment experiment.

2020-12-27T23:27:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343337645397606400
@PredatoryPanic It's all on a slope.

2020-12-27T23:26:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343337479500308482
@TravisTruth3 Covid is more infectious than flu, has a higher death rate, and a very high risk of permanent health problems.
I'm fine with letting people risk death. But not with them risking the deaths and health of others, or with the horrific strain they are putting on doctors and nurse.

2020-12-27T23:22:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343336534091546625
@stang289 @PlutoElect In what way is it nonsense?

Following a 2.5-hour choir practice attended by 61 persons, including a symptomatic index patient [...] three patients were hospitalized, and two died

---

2020-12-27T23:16:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343334879014973441
@TravisTruth3 So you know ICU workers who are just fine with massive maskless indoor singing events?

2020-12-27T23:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343334345646305280

@PlutoElect "Transmission was likely facilitated by close proximity (within 6 feet) during practice and augmented by the act of singing."

https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6919e6.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqR7ajdUwAEEl8i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqR7ajdUwAEEl8i.jpg
2020-12-27T23:12:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343333875896832000
@QSimpleAnswers @LoneStrWizard @OurAmericanLife @Michael27452 Contrails are clouds.

Clouds form just in the plain old sky. There's plenty of cloud condensation nuclei in the air, and the aircraft exhaust contains more. The water in the exhaust raises the humidity enough so clouds form.

I've discussed SRM with David Keith.

2020-12-27T23:08:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343333019000602624
@TravisTruth3 I doubt even he would think that was a good idea.

Talk to some ICU workers. See what they think.

2020-12-27T23:00:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343331049523138560
@TravisTruth3 What you refer to as "the nonsense" is actually science. COVID-19 is spread via respiration, especially indoors. They were all "fine" that day, but are they all fine now they symptoms are starting to show? How about next week? How about their relatives?

2020-12-27T21:53:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343314004270182400

@19Nimbus I think it's just a higher resolution section of the seabed. It's scanned in strips by boats, so you get these straight lines. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRo8rvUwAI8w5u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRo8rvUwAI8w5u.jpg
2020-12-27T21:42:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343311333375107072
@QSimpleAnswers @LoneStrWizard @OurAmericanLife @Michael27452 Clouds are condensation. Cloud do not instantly vanish.

Why not? It's because they are in air that's supersaturated.

Contrails are a type of cloud. They persist when the air is ice-supersaturated.

Try breathing out at 30,000 feet.

2020-12-27T21:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343302683633930241
Legacy Church in Albuquerque, NM. Group singing indoors is one of the best ways of spreading the virus. There will be deaths. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqReOICVQAENSK8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqReOICVQAENSK8.jpg
2020-12-27T20:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343298837255843840
@QSimpleAnswers @LoneStrWizard @OurAmericanLife @Michael27452
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqRbKf8UYAA-4LW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EqRbKf8UYAA-4LW.jpg
2020-12-27T20:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343298613447860224
@QSimpleAnswers @LoneStrWizard @OurAmericanLife @Michael27452 But the contrails are formed by the exhaust gasses. The bypass air is just air, like air flowing past the engines. It makes not difference.
High bypass engines actually make slight MORE contrails, as the exhaust gas is cooler.

2020-12-27T19:58:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343285018945196034
@loveallaniamls Twitter.

2020-12-27T19:57:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343284968575799297
@ufoofinterest Yeah, you know how things get recycled.

2020-12-27T19:44:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1343281627321921536
@orbitalFortress snowboarding bigfoot! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRLe7UU8AAuzBX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRLe7UU8AAuzBX.jpg

2020-12-27T19:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343281137364267008

@rjblaskiewicz I'm not sure that's the most impossible thing that happened in the film. :)

2020-12-27T19:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343280078948470784

@DTruvet Like most words, they have multiple meanings that vary with context. "Conspiracy theory" can just mean "a theory involving a conspiracy", but as those generally don't pan out, it's generally used for baseless and highly speculative theorists that involve a conspiracy.

2020-12-27T19:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343277033640497153

Half mile long alien train track? Or a big chunk of ice that slid down the hill?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRHRNdUUAYH06x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRHDJ8VQAEycUU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqRHRNdUUAYH06x.jpg

2020-12-27T19:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343276138064302081

@DTruvet Now you're veering into arguing about the meaning of words :)

2020-12-27T18:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343267167144275969

@DTruvet There's some talk about him being an anti-5G conspiracy theorist. But other theorists are saying this is just a cover story.

2020-12-27T18:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343266774213566466

@Engr_Salwa @BanderAlraqqas Contrails can and do persist if the weather is right. This has been known and commonly observed for over 70 years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-12-27T02:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343013790548328449

@stang289 Everyone misspeaks from time to time. For example:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UE9BXkQ-SRc

2020-12-27T01:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343013166628794368

@atlas_grip @stang289 I'm not feigning anything. Liberal democracy is what I want.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqNXWYeVgAAXAyC.jpg

Liberal democracy, also referred to as **Western democracy**, is a political ideology and a form of government in which representative democracy operates under the principles of liberalism. It is characterised by elections between multiple distinct political parties, a separation of powers into different branches of government, the rule of law in everyday life as part of an open society, a market economy with private property, and the equal protection of human rights, civil rights, civil liberties and political freedoms for all people. To define the system in practice, liberal democracies often draw upon a constitution, either codified (such as in the United States)[1] or uncodified (such as in the United Kingdom), to delineate the powers of government and enshrine the social contract. After a period of sustained expansion throughout the 20th century, liberal democracy became the predominant political system in the world.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqNXWYeVgAAXAyC.jpg

2020-12-27T01:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343004430824742913

RT @FrankLuntz: This is such a pathetic distinction for us.

https://twitter.com/EricTopol/status/1342991509814083584

2020-12-27T01:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343004283092910080

@stang289 It's bizarre that you can claim this. Did you watch the portion of the video after this speech where he takes questions, and answers them.

2020-12-27T01:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1343000459825451013

@stang289 Barely speak? Come on Joe, that's nonsense and you know it. He's got a bit of stutter.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ppMpvL46bw

2020-12-27T01:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342999271713345537

@stang289 Not me.

2020-12-27T00:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342992673662091265

@stang289 Falun Gong's article on Wikipedia seems largely to be edited by practitioners or people friendly to the group. Wikipedia has a bit of a problem with cults editing their own pages.

2020-12-27T00:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342990348126048256

@stang289 I've looked into lots of these election conspiracy claims. They tend to be bullshit - often cloaked in mathematics that the average person can't follow.

Can you give a good example of NPR propaganda?

2020-12-27T00:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342989833640189955

@DTruvet It a rather tenuous connection. Have you seen anyone actually present any evidence?

2020-12-26T23:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342983370280562688

@atlas_grip @stang289 What does that even mean? I like liberal democracy. You want to call that communism to score a point?

2020-12-26T23:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342982966171947009

@DTruvet I don't think it will grow much after they figure out his motive . But we'll see.

Dramatic grudge suicides happen. Random crazy people exist. Other than happening during a time of national tension, there's nothing much more to see here.

2020-12-26T23:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342982092091625473

@stang289 The point here is that Falun Gong is spreading propaganda and election disinformation and pretending it's not them.

Pointing that out does not mean I like communism.

2020-12-26T23:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342981575995101184

@DTruvet I started a thread on it yesterday. Mentioned Lin Wood today.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2020-nashville-christmas-rv-bombing.11514/

2020-12-26T21:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342950022480949248

@a_idealist @Oswald1160 @stang289 and a cult.

2020-12-26T21:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342941613207646208

@a_idealist @Oswald1160 @stang289 Since they did not rule if it was or was not a cult, you are

just wasting time here.

2020-12-26T21:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342940417910734848

@a_idealist @DavidJayB @stang289 @Oswald1160 Do you personally think it is a cult? Based on your personal experience of Falun Gong.

2020-12-26T21:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342940054373613568

@a_idealist @Oswald1160 @stang289 You are failing to make an actual relevant point.

I think Falun Gong is a cult. Lots of other people do too. Some Canadian judge said it is a religion and social group, which I also agree with.

2020-12-26T21:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342938726415024128

@a_idealist @DavidJayB @stang289 @Oswald1160 Didn't say it wasn't a cult. Why would they? There's no legal definition of a cult. Nor are cults an excluding criteria for being a religion. See Scientology, 7th Day Adventism, Uification church, Jehova's Witnesses, etc - all considered both cults and religions.

2020-12-26T20:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342936318725169152

@a_idealist @Oswald1160 @stang289 That Canadian court case was not about determining if Falun Gong was a cult. It's irrelevant.

2020-12-26T20:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342926862473805825

@stang289 The enemy of your enemy is not necessarily your friend.

I'm opposed to authoritarian governments AND to cults.

2020-12-26T17:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342889603687018496

@habemuspapadam I did. See my response here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-12-26T17:35:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342886871441915906

@a_idealist @Oswald1160 @stang289 Calling Falun Gong a cult is not an endorsement of the Chinese Communist Party.

It is a cult, one centered around "Master Li". One with a very good PR campaign.

https://medium.com/@Ben_D_Hurley/-10677166298b
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqLjTMeVgAEUHC7.jpg

But perhaps I’m wearing rose-tinted glasses here. When I returned to Australia, my family and friends were struck by how different I had become. I was intense and evangelical, and devoting most of my spare time to Falun Gong activities. My former curious interest in the many experiences the world had to offer had vanished. My social beliefs had transformed from those typical of a left-leaning family to take on a very conservative hue. I had a lot of explaining to do before people reluctantly came to accept the new me. I later observed other new Falun Gong practitioners go through a similar process. They would begin by shocking their family at their sudden change, then gradually learn to live the kind of double life typical of most practitioners. Many would get normal jobs. Most would find they had little in common with non-practitioners (“ordinary people” in Falun Gong parlance) but would maintain cordial relations with friends and family nonetheless, and think of various ways to explain the edge off some of Falun Gong’s less palatable teachings about aliens, how heaven views gay people, the inferiority of other religions and Master Li’s role in saving the universe.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqLjTMeVgAEUHC7.jpg
2020-12-26T17:22:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1342883619879014400
I was interviewed for this "X-Files" Russian TV show regarding Navy UFO videos. My bit starts at 25.39. I THINK they give a good quick overview of my research, but I'm not sure what the overall drift of the show is.

https://tvzvezda.ru/schedule/programs/2019961015-Gj7DW.html/2020618851-ffbte.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqLgn7-UUAAddXd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqLgn7-UUAAddXd.jpg

2020-12-25T16:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342505582238990337

@stopthestealll Maybe the evidence isnâ□□t actually as good as it initially appeared to you? Lots debunked. Nothing standing up to scrutiny.

2020-12-25T16:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342503277137555457

@stang289 Thatâ□□s certainly what they want you to think.

2020-12-25T13:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342463846322061313

Is Falun Gong Behind Seth Holehouse's "The Plot to Steal America"? It looks like it, but they attempted the hide the connection by erasing it from the internet.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/is-falun-gong-behind-seth-holehouses-the-plot-to-steal-america.11513/

2020-12-24T21:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342226335549149185

Another suspicious recent removal of Seth Holehouse from a Falun Gong site?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqCLlwGUwAAi97Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqCLlwGUwAAi97Q.jpg

2020-12-24T21:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342225502170320896

@stang289 I don't think Trump is honest.

2020-12-24T21:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342224794452774912

Seth Holehouse was rather clumsily removed from the official Falun Gong site recently. Could it be they don't want people to associate them with "The Plot To Steal America" and "Man in America"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqCJ4IhU0AAL6DN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EqCJ4IhU0AAL6DN.jpg

2020-12-24T18:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1342173178953515010
@stang289 @Oswald1160 I watched it.

Seth Holehouse worked at Epoch Times as creative director for seven years. He's been a member of Falun Dafa (Falun Gong, the cult that is the owner of Epoch Time) since 2005.

2020-12-24T17:39:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1342163052125323264
@stang289 @Oswald1160 Says the Epoch Times's Creative Director.

You should read about the Epoch Times.

It's propaganda.

2020-12-24T11:34:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1342071255457886209
RT @JohnBrennan: Autocrats are most dangerous when they attempt to grab power &, even more so, when they are about to lose it.

At such timâ□¦

2020-12-23T20:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341849178389897218
@MDeastern_shore I don't know if it's out yet, they just sent me the edit. If I find out I'll tweet it.

2020-12-23T20:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341848632505430016
@Kolyin What does that mean?

2020-12-23T20:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341848319484592128
@hssdiv A Russian TV show, I'm not sure where it aired exactly. I did the interview about six months ago.

2020-12-23T19:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341828408934690817
@dexter30 It's just me, telling them about the UFO videos.

2020-12-23T19:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341828084564029440
@ValKotchetov Aha! Thanks. I'm Cyrillic-challenged.

2020-12-23T19:23:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341826721150951424
I think this says "Game Programmer (ret)" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep8gMF3U8AANJUS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep8gMF3U8AANJUS.jpg

2020-12-23T19:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1341826122053402627

Russian me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep8fnh_VEAANJDF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep8fnh_VEAANJDF.jpg

2020-12-23T19:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1341823917388795904

That amount of people who actually believe that Trump will continue to be President is somewhat alarming. https://twitter.com/realFonaldPlump/status/1341822097295769607

2020-12-23T19:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1341821787982589953

The Secret Service reveals what #BigRedDetail is about. But not all the wishful thinking conspiracy theorists are willing to give up hope just yet.
https://twitter.com/SecretService/status/1341814851610566656

2020-12-23T17:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1341802192882204672

RT @MarkHertling: Many will be wringing their hands about Papadopoulos, but in my view the pardon of the Blackwater employees is the most eâ□¦

2020-12-23T17:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1341795131876286464
Conspiracy theorists think the Secret Service's #BigRedDetail Santa tweet is a portent for something big. But it's just Santa.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/united-states-secret-service-bigreddetail-is-about-santa.11512/ https://twitter.com/SecretService/status/1341457389225164800

2020-12-23T16:01:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341775924123947008
@RealCSharp @DrStevenGreer I agree with others that water on the camera seems likely.

2020-12-23T01:06:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341550732315484160
Conspiracy theories literally decay over time. Images and memes are downloaded and re-uploaded over and over. Each time they upload it it degrades the image quality. Eventually it's a barely readable mess. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep4kz6dVQAAlWne.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ep4kz6dVQAAlWne.jpg
2020-12-22T17:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1341435362829164544
RT @DarthHoo744: @JaishriJuice They're all interesting. The most useful one is Mick West's "Escaping the Rabbit Hole," which teaches one hoâ¦

2020-12-21T07:36:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340924102735892481
@Josef_baum @Oswald1160 @1joe1clarke So how do you know it's right?

2020-12-21T05:33:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340893158306025472
@ExploringLife42 Indeed it does.

2020-12-21T05:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340892961077268481
@JLuvin @MiddleOfMayhem I'm using the full dataset of LLA coordinates from the video (extracted by others, years ago) to plot the paths of the plane and the camera ground track and hence find the likely position of the object.

Just all confirms Ruben's work. I'll make a video at some point.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpvOt-oVEAEc6tZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpvOt-oVEAEc6tZ.jpg
2020-12-21T04:27:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340876517711044609
@MiddleOfMayhem I used to intersection of the lines of sight, as itâ□□s the simplest fit.

2020-12-21T01:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340834244545847298
UFO flying around Aguadilla airport. Kinda.

It's a very rough GE recreation of a famous UFO case that I think is a classic example of not-so-fast parallax, but others disagree.

More to come.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c4AJgB_QWZs

2020-12-21T00:53:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340822643784577024
@Josef_baum @Oswald1160 @1joe1clarke Why not Twitter? Or YouTube

---

2020-12-21T00:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340818296736641029

@BraumLincoln @SicCoP1 @AFSUnidentified @IamDrVenkman GoFast and Gimbal (and FLIR1) don't show incredible maneuvers.

2020-12-20T23:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340799765940903936

@SicCoP1 @AFSUnidentified @BraumLincoln @IamDrVenkman Correlated video of incredible maneuvers from multiple sources, preferably with close-up.

2020-12-20T23:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340799340520984576

@DavegiudiceDave At what point in the video do you think it goes behind a tree?

2020-12-20T22:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340781208326705152

New York Times report on military pilots reporting mysterious semi-translucent spheres. Possibly some kind of secret weapon? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EptowaXUwAAyvlW.jpg

---

NEW YORK TIMES, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1944.

ARMY CUTS OUTPUT OF WARPLANE GUNS

Canceling Contracts in Detroit and Up-State New York Allows Shift of Workers

Special to THE NEW YORK TIMES.

DETROIT, Dec. 13—Cancellation of a contract for machine guns for warplanes at the Plymouth plant of the Kelsey Hayes Wheel Company was announced today by the Detroit ordnance district.

Edward L. Cushman, regional director of the War Manpower Commission, said that about 2,300 workers would be laid off by Feb. 28.

Army officials stated that the cancellation was due to the fact that production was running ahead of schedule. The plant will be kept in a stand-by condition.

A spokesman for the company said it hoped to be able to re-

Floating Mystery Ball Is New Nazi Air Weapon

SUPREME HEADQUARTERS, Allied Expeditionary Force, Dec. 13—A new German weapon has made its appearance on the western air front, it was disclosed today.

Airmen of the American Air Force report that they are encountering silver colored spheres in the air over German territory. The spheres are encountered either singly or in clusters. Sometimes they are semi-translucent.

SUPREME HEADQUARTERS, Dec. 13 (Reuter)—The Germans have produced a "secret" weapon in keeping with the Christmas season.

The new device, apparently an air defense weapon, resembles the huge glass balls that adorn Christmas trees.

There was no information available as to what holds them up like stars in the sky, what is in them, or what their purpose is supposed to be.

U. S.
TO
Confere
Hears
T

Benefi
projects
municipa
sion of F
ing righ
oughfare
by Thom
Public R
tenth an
on high
problems
York Sta
mittee o
and the
ments at
In ana
the bill,
Roosevelt
thorizes
000,000 o

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EptowaXUwAAyvlW.jpg

2020-12-20T20:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340762433187586048

@BraumLincoln @Oswald1160 @IamDrVenkman @AFSUnidentified It happened 70 years ago. Military Pilots. Articles in the NYT. Fears of advanced foreign weapons or aliens. Investigations.

Same thing.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Foo_fighter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EptYGdGUUAAdmtM.jpg



On 13 December 1944, the Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force in Paris issued a press release, which was featured in the *New York Times* the next day, officially describing the phenomenon as a "new German weapon". Follow-up stories, using the term "Foo Fighters", appeared in the *New York Herald Tribune* and the British *Daily Telegraph*.[10]

In its 15 January 1945 edition, *Time* magazine carried a story entitled "Foo-Fighter", in which it reported that the "balls of fire" had been following USAAF nightfighters for over a month, and that the pilots had named it the "foo-fighter". According to *Time*, descriptions of the phenomena varied, but the pilots agreed that the mysterious lights followed their aircraft closely at high speed.[11]

The "balls of fire" phenomenon reported from the Pacific Theater of Operations differed somewhat from the foo fighters reported from Europe; the "ball of fire" resembled a large burning sphere which "just hung in the sky", though it was reporte to sometimes follow aircraft. There was speculation that the phenomena could be related to the Japanese fire balloon campaign. As with the European foo fighters, no aircraft were reported as having been attacked by a "ball of fire".[12]

The postwar Robertson Panel cited foo fighter reports, noting that their behavior did not appear to be threatening, and mentioned possible explanations, for instance that they were electrostatic phenomena similar to St. Elmo's fire, electromagnetic phenomena, or simply reflections of light from ice crystals. The Panel's report suggested that "If the term 'flying saucers' had been popular in 1943–1945, these objects would have been so labeled."[2]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EptYGdGUUAAdmtM.jpg
2020-12-20T18:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340731701069193218
@LyndaRVA_UAP @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan What do you feel you're missing so far?

2020-12-20T17:54:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340717172511756288
@JunkScience What, you trust the IPCC now?

2020-12-20T17:26:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340710196557967363
@Josef_baum @Oswald1160 @1joe1clarke "the calculations that were made." Something that demonstrates that it's not just a slow moving object drifting West just to the North of the airport, probably from the Villa Montana Beach resort.

2020-12-20T17:24:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340709649188720641
@AFSUnidentified @BraumLincoln @IamDrVenkman It's just a blue book variation combined with more drones.

What's actually changed here? There's still no good evidence (videos, photos)

2020-12-20T12:50:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340640775101509634
RT @jonathanvswan: This is such an important story to understand where things are at right now in the West Wing, and where things are headeâ□¦

2020-12-20T12:40:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340638110774804482
@IamDrVenkman @AFSUnidentified @BraumLincoln Check back in ten years. Nothing will have

changed.

2020-12-20T12:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340637145128583170

@Josef_baum @Oswald1160 @1joe1clarke Perhaps you could give one example that you find particularly compelling?

2020-12-19T23:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340444922940276736

@Flyingh43892139 @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @DaveFalch I think we might be seeing is the heat source being obscured, and the resultant lower contrast image being occasionally hidden by compression.

2020-12-19T23:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340433444082348032

@stang289 @JBurt1992 Like not crying Russia now when it clearly is Russia. Like pretending Powell is competent.

2020-12-19T20:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340400661154979842

@FlatSlugbrains Either. Both.

2020-12-19T20:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340400459480092673

@JBurt1992 They weld incredible power with great potential to do damage. And they are acting like crazy people.

2020-12-19T20:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340394150055661568

@AdmiralQuality No, it just means acting like an adult.

Do you support Trump here?

2020-12-19T20:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340392581851594752

RT @dnvolz: Minutes before this tweet landed I heard Trump was telling aides he thinks the SolarWinds hack and Russia attribution is a hoaxâ□¦

2020-12-19T20:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340392222986891264

This is just messed up. Powell is spouting utterly insane conspiracy sources inspired by QAnon and Trump wants to make her special counsel for election fraud!

Some adulting is required in the White House.

https://twitter.com/maggieNYT/status/1340349856574038017

2020-12-19T18:46:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340367830269751298

@Flyingh43892139 @Oswald1160 @JLuvin Seems like there's rather a lot of assumptions right there.

2020-12-19T16:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340339165339140096

@jimmilegs33 You can see compression artifacts in the video. Compare when the background is clean vs. when it's noisy.

Hard to replicate such a poor quality video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpnXIFxVQAIUDLt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpnXIFxVQAIUDLt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpnWyngVgAA5_9y.jpg

2020-12-19T15:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340325865347899392

@1joe1clarke @Oswald1160 Yes, moving at wind speed. Like Chinese lanterns.

2020-12-19T15:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340323885460246529

@Oswald1160 @Josef_baum @1joe1clarke Several people have done this years ago.

2020-12-19T15:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340323396760956928

@of_skeptical @MiddleOfMayhem The video shows a small object flying very close to the airport.

2020-12-19T15:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340320113703092224

@Josef_baum @Oswald1160 @1joe1clarke If you know how far away it is.

The lines of sight converge on a small region, indicating it is slow.

2020-12-19T15:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340319567109738496

@JLuvin Only for identical parallax. They saw different motion.

2020-12-19T15:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340319366299041793

@1joe1clarke I think itâ□□s two object, probably Chinese lanterns, hence the swaying and intermittent heat source.

2020-12-19T15:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340318718509809664

@1joe1clarke @Oswald1160 Itâ□□s like GOFAST.

SCU is claiming itâ□□s low and fast, zipping behind trees an power poles.

But it isnâ□□t, it high and slow, and the apparent motion is mostly parallax.

2020-12-19T15:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340317901434208257

@h_clagett No, but occasionally people think they do.

Lack of good video leaves open the possibility of perception mistakes.

2020-12-19T06:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340182511326875650

RT @benjiunofficial: @MickWest I admire Trump but that doesn't mean I think he knows what he's doing.

2020-12-19T03:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340142170989203457

@alejandrotrojas @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @LtTimMcMillan So why no response to

my â□□no sudden movesâ□□ video?

https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-12-19T03:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340132930950029313

@prentic4 I actually suspect parallax has a huge part in that encounter.

Mirroring.

2020-12-19T02:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340127783784042496

@PopcornForUSA If you support him, don't you think his assessment of things is generally correct?

2020-12-19T02:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340122056831115266

@BubbleInvestor @whatbuckbuckup Will you ever get it?

2020-12-19T02:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340115835755450368

@PhyreGoldMAJIC Can't you explain?

2020-12-19T02:04:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340115675713478656

@HughA_Mungus Do you feel he's just wrong to declare it safe, or that he's been fooled, or that he's lying?

2020-12-19T02:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340114702911717379

@HughA_Mungus Someone who thinks he is good for the country. Usually someone who voted for him.

2020-12-19T01:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340112778380525568

If you are a Trump supporter, and Trump says the vaccine is great, and a good idea, then why wouldn't you take it?

2020-12-19T01:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340105757669277698

Parallax is an often overlooked factor in UFO (UAP) investigations. Especially with long focal length and auto-tracking cameras. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpkC2-kUwAE9M4X.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpkC2-kUwAE9M4X.jpg

2020-12-19T00:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340093733006499840

@ET_Kowai @Flyingh43892139 Hey maybe you should have a debate with the SCU?

2020-12-19T00:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340088624990195712

@manincontact @CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan SCU claimed the the object went behind a pole and a tree, and used that to calculate speed and engage in tech speculation.

I think they are incorrect. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjzTl7VoAAriXO.jpg

---

2013 Aguadila Puerto Rico UAP April 25 2013

Maneuverability The object's ability to maneuver at speeds of 80-100 miles per hour at low altitude is of interest. A notable characteristic of the object is its apparent tumbling as it moves through the air. This tumbling appearance ends prior to the object's entry into the water and as it moves through the water. At 01:23:37 hours in the video the object can be seen to disappear behind a tree momentarily, placing its altitude at below 40 feet. The ability to fly at that altitude at night and between trees requires precise control and a highly responsive propulsion system particularly given the apparent lack of control by aerodynamic devices (like wings). In terms of current technology, advanced GPS satellites and sophisticated vision pattern recognition in communications with an on board microprocessor might partially explain such maneuvers. More difficult to explain would be the willingness of any government or organization to expose this capability by traversing a residential area where malfunctions during flight could result in harm to the civilian population as well as compromise an advanced military technology.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjzTl7VoAAriXO.jpg

2020-12-19T00:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340086927656067074
@manincontact @CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan I don't think it's going behind a tree, as there's no tree there.

2020-12-18T23:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340073476053377024
@steven_nikolic @ExploringLife42 Based on the way that smoothly fades out, I'd suspect that's a light being obscured by a building.

2020-12-18T23:12:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340072452731244545
@KRoach1035 @CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Because, in that case, a balloon is the only thing that fits the picture and the description.

Other than something pretending to be a balloon.

2020-12-18T23:11:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340072112401252353
@manincontact @CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan There's this spot, claimed as it going behind a pole and behind a tree.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1340071984164601857/pu/img/w1jt5B2Hyl8ppQSp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1340071984164601857/pu/img/w1jt5B2Hyl8ppQSp.jpg
2020-12-18T23:08:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340071551899594752

@steven_nikolic @ExploringLife42 Because they are part of the background. The pixels around them don't move relative to them, so there's no change.

2020-12-18T21:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340046511539847168

@HappyAtomsPress @JakeDean45 Sorry, I just get a little frustrated that the same claims are made year after year when they seem obvious to me that they are specious.

I should do a little more work to demonstrate WHY they are specious.

2020-12-18T21:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340046065869881345

@futruaultra I'd think a tiny physics-defying transmedium craft would be perhaps the most amazing scientific breakthrough ever.

2020-12-18T21:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340043347721814016

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Flyingh43892139 Because the place when it vanishes is actually a gap in the trees, which are themselves about 200 feet behind the suggested path.

2020-12-18T20:38:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340033606555615232

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Flyingh43892139 Here's a bit more evidence. I don't actually think it goes behind a tree, and yet it vanishes. So maybe that would be a good data point to examine?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjBQh1UcAAvnHD.jpg

2013 Aguadila Puerto Rico UAP April 25 2013

Maneuverability The object's ability to maneuver at speeds of 80-100 miles per hour at low altitude is of interest. A notable characteristic of the object is its apparent tumbling as it moves through the air. This tumbling appearance ends prior to the object's entry into the water and as it moves through the water. At 01:23:37 hours in the video the object can be seen to disappear behind a tree momentarily, placing its altitude at below 40 feet. The ability to fly at that altitude at night and between trees requires precise control and a highly responsive propulsion system particularly given the apparent lack of control by aerodynamic devices (like wings). In terms of current technology, advanced GPS satellites and sophisticated vision pattern recognition in communications with an on board microprocessor might partially explain such maneuvers. More difficult to explain would be the willingness of any government or organization to expose this capability by traversing a residential area where malfunctions during flight could result in harm to the civilian population as well as compromise an advanced military technology.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjBQh1UcAAvnHD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjBPxyU8AAmQvG.jpg

2020-12-18T20:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340032539138781184

@rpowell2u @PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Perhaps we could narrow this down a little. Do you still think this is accurate?

"At 01:23:37 hours in the video the object can be
seen to disappear behind a tree momentarily, placing its altitude at below 40 feet."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjAd04VoAI_gPj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjAd04VoAI_gPj.jpg

Maneuverability The object's ability to maneuver at speeds of 80-100 miles per hour at low altitude is of interest. A notable characteristic of the object is its apparent tumbling as it moves through the air. This tumbling appearance ends prior to the object's entry into the water and as it moves through the water. At 01:23:37 hours in the video the object can be seen to disappear behind a tree momentarily, placing its altitude at below 40 feet. The ability to fly at that altitude at night and between trees requires precise control and a highly responsive propulsion system particularly given the apparent lack of control by aerodynamic devices (like wings). In terms of current technology, advanced GPS satellites and sophisticated vision pattern recognition in communications with an on board microprocessor might partially explain such maneuvers. More difficult to explain would be the willingness of any government or organization to expose this capability by traversing a residential area where malfunctions during flight could result in harm to the civilian population as well as compromise an advanced military technology.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpjARRaU0AACds1.jpg

2020-12-18T20:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340029244085157890

@swimslider Discussed here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014.11375/

2020-12-18T19:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340018205599797248

@mvpispi I don't think RT is trustworthy. But that's based on their content and obvious bias.

2020-12-18T19:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340016079532277760

@YepConsiderably @Dannyma55083668 Can you put that into words? What did they say?

2020-12-18T19:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340015583329280001

@TDBrigham @UFOS8N @PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan So here's the thing, regarding the FLIR1 video I've already put forth my case for no sudden movements. I did this back in May. People have had plenty of time to respond to it, but they don't. Why not respond before a superficial "debate"?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-12-18T19:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340014852643778560

@CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Unfortunately this type of footage isn't that common, so there's not a lot to compare it with. The best thing is to look at the different parts of this video. Eg with land/building/trees/water as background and see similarities and differences.

2020-12-18T19:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340014391622656000

@YepConsiderably @Dannyma55083668 "exactly the same way" - what way is that then?

2020-12-18T18:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340008627810099200
@UFOS8N @PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan If format does not matter, then why can't I do it on YouTube?

2020-12-18T18:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340007892385026048
@UFOS8N @PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Robert wants a silly high-school debate format.

I want to figure out what the object is.

Many people have pointed out the best fit is a slow moving object drifting in the wind. In depth. e.g.

https://www.dropbox.com/s/o1cfxw2ycn6xmdo/How%20the%20Simulation%20was%20Made.mp4?dl=0

2020-12-18T18:54:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340007424900485120
@MiddleOfMayhem Because it was over the airport.

2020-12-18T18:53:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340007314774900736
@UFOS8N @PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Of course I get accused of being scared.

I have repeatedly said I'm happy to discuss the details in depth. I'd be delighted to be proved wrong because the idea here is to actually prove things.

2020-12-18T18:46:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340005658687500291
@PostDisclosure @geezytron3000 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan I'd be happy to chat. But that's not what he wants. He just wants a pointless exchange of statements.

Why not actually discuss the details and FIGURE STUFF OUT?

Have you read all this thread?
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2020-12-18T18:43:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1340004750113193984
@CamColorado1 @rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan Sure, I say it's obvious because we see the same thing happen over land, when it's obviously not going

underground or behind anything. Specifically when it goes (visually, not actually) over the cliff, but also when there are building behind it. Increased scene noise -> glitch.

2020-12-18T18:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1340003834551095296

Why was Biden's win calculated to be ONE IN A QUADRILLION?

Stand-up Maths explains the utterly nonsensical and fallacious reasoning behind Trump's sadly actual legal arguments.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ua5aOFi-DKs

2020-12-18T17:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339987254052225024

Information Security is very much in the news now with the SolarWinds hack, and it's going to be an increasingly important topic as the implications sink in. More attacks at all levels are inevitable. Here's look at the best #infosec books of the year.

https://twitter.com/benrothke/status/1339685048153346048

2020-12-18T17:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339984650677063681

@TomVittel I'm not saying it "matters." This isn't a "Mick West has spoken!!!!" type thing.

I point things out. I demonstrate things. I don't claim any authority.

Like that image of compression glitches. You can see them, right there. 8x8 boxes with well defined edges. Clearer in montion

---

2020-12-18T17:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339983823174389761

@AdmiralQuality @Timcast Saying that someone "seems to be suggesting" something is not putting words into someone's mouth, especially when I quote his actual tweet.

2020-12-18T17:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339983279571660800

@mvpispi How does getting a small portion (<10%) of their funding from the NED translate to them being CIA? Surely being CIA would require some actual input from CIA officers?

They get more from the Dutch Lottery. Are they somehow beholden to the Netherlands?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Endowment_for_Democracy

---

2020-12-18T17:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339980010182955009

Tim seems to be suggesting some dystopian brainwashing plan here. But I've actually talked to MORE people than before the pandemic. Nobody is censoring ideas on my Zoom calls.

https://twitter.com/Timcast/status/1339964070221459456

2020-12-18T16:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339978182519189504

@rpowell2u @joerogan @blackvault @alejandrotrojas @LtTimMcMillan If you think I've made a false claim, then explain why, and we can sort it out. This isn't a contest.

2020-12-18T16:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339977750895980544

@TomVittel It's both compression glitches and a lighter-than-air object.

The fact that there are compression glitches is inarguable.

The montion perfectly matches that of a balloon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpiOmoIVQAAdm4Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpiOmoIVQAAdm4Y.jpg

2020-12-18T16:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339976273561493511

@mvpispi I'm sorry, what exactly was pointed out? And where? With what evidence?

Bellingcat receives grants from a variety of organizations and has a variety of partnerships and contributors.

Their journalism is open source. You can check it.

https://www.bellingcat.com/about/

2020-12-18T16:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339968178449862657

RT @daithaigilbert: The first thing to do when talking to a QAnon follower is to "do it with kid gloves and proceed carefullyâ□□ @MickWest saâ□¦

2020-12-18T05:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339805781093302272

@abbieasr @iamgarbagequeen @bradbatt Thanks Abbie! Love your conspiracy chart.

2020-12-18T05:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339805466784722946

RT @abbieasr: @iamgarbagequeen @bradbatt 4.) this incredible book by @MickWest should be mandatory reading for everyone in 2020 https://t.câ□¦

2020-12-18T00:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339735269096869891

@TomVittel This really isn't NatSec. It's a minor airspace incursion confusion by some lighter-than-air object, like a balloon or chinese lantern. Plus a whole load of wishful thinking.

2020-12-18T00:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339734917521915904

@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @Oswald1160 If by "all evidence" you mean "lazily assuming", then sure.

Different time, different location, different speed, different altitude.

2020-12-18T00:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339730521757085696

@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @Oswald1160 Those radar returns were at a different time and location.

2020-12-18T00:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339730297483534337

@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @Oswald1160 It's not moving fast. If you project all the lines of sight to the simplest line of travel it goes about 0.7 miles in 3.25 minutes, around 13 mph. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epetk8YXUAEWl0c.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epetk8YXUAEWl0c.jpg

2020-12-17T23:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339720292470456320

@Flyingh43892139 Could be a combination, if the heat source is obscured. I'm unsure.

2020-12-17T23:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339714861584900096

@Flyingh43892139 No other object moves against the background except the jet on the runway at the end, so there's not a lot to compare it with. It does seem to be undetectable on some frames though.

Here's an un-youtubed version.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Aquadilla%20High%20Quality%20Original.mp4

2020-12-17T23:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339712730001862657

@AdmiralQuality Well he did offer to take a psychological evaluation, so let's start there.

2020-12-17T23:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339711685402054659

North Carolina state Senator Bob Steinburg claims to be "not nuts" and "not a conspiracy type person" while spreading conspiracy theories and calling for martial law.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/12/16/north-carolina-republican-trump-insurrection/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpecVVdWMAAOIsF.jpg

---

Steinburg also suggested in the Facebook post that the president suspend habeas corpus, which allows people to challenge unlawful imprisonment, “as Lincoln and FDR have both done in times of war.” (President Abraham Lincoln did so during the Civil War; President Franklin D. Roosevelt did so during World War II so that officials could force Japanese Americans into camps.)

Steinburg stood by the post speaking to WRAL on Tuesday, while referencing a number of conspiracy theories about the election.

The state senator claimed that the Supreme Court justices had declined to hear the challenge last week because “somebody’s got something” on the highest judicial officers in the nation. He claimed that unnamed FBI and CIA agents who live in his district had told him so. He also alleged that federal law enforcement officials have refused to intervene in a “coup d’etat.”

He also offered, unbidden, to take a psychological evaluation to prove that he is sound of mind.

“I’m not nuts,” he told the outlet. “I’m not a conspiracy theory person.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpecVVdWMAAOIsF.jpg
2020-12-17T23:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339710581515796480
@drumshticknow @PostDisclosure I'm not pretending anything. This is who I am.

2020-12-17T23:13:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339710316469350400
@truthbearer20 @Flyingh43892139 @socalkoi1 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 I don't think that's entirely fair. There's some reasonable questions here.

2020-12-17T23:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339710013724508161
@Flyingh43892139 It does the same things when it's at least 200 feet above the trees at the bottom of the cliff (and in reality is nowhere near those trees).
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339709780286349313/pu/img/z2emU64LNbO6vRBo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339709780286349313/pu/img/z2emU64LNbO6vRBo.jpg
2020-12-17T23:07:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339708952280383488
@generaldamras @AdmiralQuality Yeah if he declares martial law, or invokes the insurrection act because he feels it is justified to do so, then it's "legal" for him.
The legality isn't the immediate issue - it's what he tries to do.

2020-12-17T22:55:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339705750147383297
Trump petulantly not leaving the White House on inauguration day is a silly non-issue. The real issue is if he'll try to pull some nonsense BEFORE then, while he still has actual power.

https://news.yahoo.com/trump-allegedly-threatened-not-vacate-175803977.html

---

2020-12-17T22:41:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339702236956409856
@ChipDouglas124 @TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified
There are none that say "some UFOs are intelligently operated machines capable of instantaneously reaching hypersonic speeds. [and] they're also anti-gravity and invisibility capable""

2020-12-17T22:40:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339701927852949505
@Flyingh43892139 @JLuvin @Oswald1160 There is no radar data that matches this object.

2020-12-17T22:35:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339700781046382592
@Flyingh43892139 @socalkoi1 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 No I'm not!

2020-12-17T22:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339700731960385536
@socalkoi1 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 Everyone accepts that. It's a truism.

Lack of information leads to a lack of explanation.

2020-12-17T22:34:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339700547746590722
@JLuvin @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure You asked "why would the former head of the CIA say something like this?" - for many people that would seem to imply his position granted him special relevant knowledge.

2020-12-17T22:33:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339700254845726720
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 It appears to have a slow constant speed in the direction of the wind.

2020-12-17T22:10:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339694536017080320
@Corey7999 @ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy How can I contradict when there were no eyewitnesses who saw the FLIR1 object?

2020-12-17T22:06:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339693591157829634
@AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 Accept what exactly? I'm trying to get clarification.

2020-12-17T22:06:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339693366024359937
@Corey7999 @JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure I'm unsure about that.

2020-12-17T21:27:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339683703241359360
@TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified And what does "an assessment point" mean? I can't find a relevant usage in .mil

---

2020-12-17T21:21:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339682178523779072
@KevinKausD Your've welcome. Sorry I can't remember much after 21 years.

2020-12-17T21:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339682026660614144
@TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified So, someone currently in the Intelligence Community actually told you that:

"some UFOs are intelligently operated machines capable of instantaneously reaching hypersonic speeds. [and] they're also anti-gravity and invisibility capable"

???

2020-12-17T21:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339680885931606016

@KevinKausD It's been a long time, but I suspect it was just a cool idea someone thought of.

2020-12-17T20:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339674638767407104

@PostDisclosure @JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets No you don't.

2020-12-17T20:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339674486770200577

@KevinKausD Looks kind of familiar, but I don't really remember who did. It would not have been very hard to do.

2020-12-17T20:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339670016891834368

@pablovolcano Not yet!

2020-12-17T20:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339668015575515136

@PostDisclosure @JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets It is a perspective. I certainly would prefer non-anonymous sources.

But it really wasn't far from the official statements about the program being closed down.

2020-12-17T20:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339667663434309632

@TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified I don't see any anonymous sources cited there, other than the "boring response".
It just looks like you speculating about stuff. Was there something specific you got directly from a source?

2020-12-17T20:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339665903693090816

@TomRtweets @JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified I'm not actually familiar with your work Tom, so I kind of included you in my overall assessment of the list of names given.
Where should I read about your IC UAP contacts?

2020-12-17T20:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339662933056372737

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @TomRtweets Multiple anonymous sources from dubious sources.

2020-12-17T19:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339655456604577792

@JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure I do. My point is there are no names. Unless I missed something?

2020-12-17T19:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339655292007563264

@maxhill007 @Sean_OD1 They work in terms of not filling up the ICUs. And slowing it down ahead of a vaccine is still going to be better than unrestricted infection.

2020-12-17T19:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339654537494241281

@JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure Like who?

2020-12-17T19:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339654396016095232

@CrewCutYoutube @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin He also says "weather phenomena" - so it's not like he gives that word any special meaning.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdoVFSUUAAw2_P.jpg

> Also, I believe, it's important to reach out into other environments and find out, were there any type of weather phenomena at that time that might have, in fact, created the appearance of the phenomenon that you're looking at? Were there some things that were happening on the ground, or other types of phenomena that could help explain what seems to be quite a mystery as far as what is there?
>
> I think an important thing for analysts to do is not to go into this type of challenge either discounting certain types of possibilities or believing in advance that it is likely X, Y, or Z. You really have to approach it with an open mind, but get as much data as possible and get as much expertise as possible brought to bear.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdoVFSUUAAw2_P.jpg

2020-12-17T19:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339653284714348545

@JLuvin @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure Some future phenomenon of unknown type.

2020-12-17T19:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339652840227160065

@CrewCutYoutube @JLuvin @AFSUnidentified I think the statement in this meme is 100% correct.

I totally agree with him that we might see something that we donâ□□t yet understand and that could involve some type of activity that some might say constitutes a different form of life

Doh.

2020-12-17T19:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339652451956244481
@AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin How is "some of the phenomena weâ□□re going to be seeing" a reference to UAP? It's something in the future.

2020-12-17T19:20:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339651770700599297
@PederNeogard Well yeah, and now your cases are rapidly rising.

2020-12-17T19:14:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339650232531910656
@conspiralatious @AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin Also, maybe not aliens. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdkusnUUAAOvzf.jpg

---

BRENNAN: I've seen some of those videos from Navy pilots, and I must tell you that they are quite eyebrow-raising when you look at them. You try to ensure that you have as much data as possible in terms of visuals and also different types of maybe technical collection of sensors that you have at the time.

Also, I believe, it's important to reach out into other environments and find out, were there any type of weather phenomena at that time that might have, in fact, created the appearance of the phenomenon that you're looking at? Were there some things that were happening on the ground, or other types of phenomena that could help explain what seems to be quite a mystery as far as what is there?

I think an important thing for analysts to do is not to go into this type of challenge either discounting certain types of possibilities or believing in advance that it is likely X, Y, or Z. You really have to approach it with an open mind, but get as much data as possible and get as much expertise as possible brought to bear.

COWEN: At the end of all that sifting and interpreting, what do you think *is* the most likely hypothesis?

BRENNAN: [laughs] I don't know. When people talk about it, is there other

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdkusnUUAAOvzf.jpg
2020-12-17T19:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339649635892121600
@wolf777dog @Rainmaker1973 Bigger than those blades of grass.

2020-12-17T19:11:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339649363253972994

@conspiralatious @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin He's simply speaking extemporaneously, speculating about possible future evidence of aliens.

This reminds me of that time he admitted to a secret chemtrail program. (not)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-director-admits-chemtrails-geoengineering-stratospheric-aerosol-injection.7721/

2020-12-17T19:09:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339648867600494593
@AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin Can you explain it for me?

2020-12-17T19:07:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339648509788622849
@PederNeogard Articles like this.
https://www.bbc.com/worklife/article/20200328-how-to-self-isolate-what-we-can-learn-from-sweden

---

2020-12-17T19:06:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339648139511230465
@AFranks68 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin Right, but then he segues into the "life somewhere in the universe" thing.

2020-12-17T18:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339646445394120705
@LoreandLegends3 Death rate shows the hotspots a bit better, as testing varies.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdhMcoU0AEOw4g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdhMcoU0AEOw4g.jpg

2020-12-17T18:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339646099632447488

@LoreandLegends3 That varies quite a bit by state. (This map excludes NYC, but not LA)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epdg4kqU0AEPrav.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epdg4kqU0AEPrav.jpg

2020-12-17T18:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339644046445187072

@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy He makes claims about more video he saw, not radar.

2020-12-17T18:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339643886554107904

@blastof_f Same thing with deaths. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epde5DfU8AA6slB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epde5DfU8AA6slB.jpg

2020-12-17T18:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339643300840493056

@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy Underwood was not at the Gimbal incident.

2020-12-17T18:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339636420747485184

@Sean_OD1 Their approach relies on a well-informed population willing to follow guidelines. The US had a piecemeal approach of regulations and lockdowns that, on average did about the same, but in areas with less stringent regs did FAR worse.

2020-12-17T18:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339634383909613569

@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy I wasn't saying that. However all we have is "There's a whole fleet of them, look at the SA". I certainly don't consider that proof of anything.

A more detailed verbal description of the radar data would be useful evidence.

2020-12-17T18:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339633445937401856

No, Sweden's Covid approach didn't work. They are basically the same as the US in the current surge, and the US is worse than anywhere except Eastern Europe.
The only reason they match the US is that most Swedes followed medical advice.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdU9-_U0AEjNPO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdU9-_U0AEjNPO.jpg

2020-12-17T18:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339632735095193600

RT @PaxBiggs: Weâ□□ve spent the better part of a year letting 300,000 people die, watching businesses struggle and collapse, misdirecting bilâ□¦

2020-12-17T18:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339632545575518211

@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy I discuss it. The SA displays tens of square miles. The video shows a tiny snapshot. There's no indication that this was one of the "fleet" of radar returns as we only see the one.

I don't know what the "fleet" was.

2020-12-17T18:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339632124605829120

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JLuvin He appears to be talking about it in the context of the entire universe. Basically, he's simply not dismissing the existence of aline life, just like most people.

There's nothing to indicate he has special knowledge of aliens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdUFL5UUAALx3u.jpg

COWEN: At the end of all that sifting and interpreting, what do you think *is* the most likely hypothesis?

BRENNAN: [laughs] I don't know. When people talk about it, is there other life besides what's in the States, in the world, the globe? Life is defined in many different ways. I think it's a bit presumptuous and arrogant for us to believe that there's no other form of life anywhere in the entire universe. What that might *be* is subject to a lot of different views.

But I think some of the phenomena we're going to be seeing continues to be unexplained and might, in fact, be some type of phenomenon that is the result of something that we don't yet understand and that could involve some type of activity that some might say constitutes a different form of life.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdUFL5UUAALx3u.jpg
2020-12-17T17:54:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339630132714033154
@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy It was many miles away. So no.

2020-12-17T17:38:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339626097512628224
@ScottyBRock2 You said "This isn't a relevant case in the knowledgable "UFO community.""

SCU have pretty much made this case the foundation of their organization. They seem to find it really relevant. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdOxWqVoAAQ4oJ.jpg

---

The Authors

The six authors of this report all have scientific backgrounds including degrees in chemistry, physics, mathematics, and environmental science. Their work backgrounds are also scientific with experience in the air defense industries, semiconductors–as well as various patents. Together they have 86 years combined experience studying the UFO phenomenon. A copy of their backgrounds is listed in Appendix A.

A minimum of 1000 man hours were spent in the analysis of this video over the course of one and a half years. Every effort was made to objectively evaluate the data obtained and ensure the protection of the source's identity, according to his wishes. Non-Disclosure Agreements were signed by all parties which stipulated details would remain secure.

Conclusion

The object witnessed by CBP and tower personnel and recorded on the CBP DHC-8 aircraft's thermal imaging system is of unknown origin. There is no explanation for an object capable of traveling under water at over 90 mph with minimal impact as it enters the water, through the air at 120 mph at low altitude through a residential area without navigational lights, and finally to be capable of splitting into two separate objects. No bird, no balloon, no aircraft, and no known drones have that capability.

The authors are open to any reasonable explanation that addresses the various characteristics displayed by this object. The full analysis and associated appendices can be read for the detailed analysis that contributed to the above summary conclusions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpdOxWqVoAAQ4oJ.jpg

2020-12-17T17:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339621675919282177
@willperring @KevinKausD You're welcome!

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2020-12-17T17:20:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339621500823904258
@ScottyBRock2 What about the SCU? You think they are mistaken then?

2020-12-17T17:13:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339619778239975425
@ModeledBehavior @JaiDeliete @stevenhcassidy The other evidence you mention did not happen at the same time as the video, so you can't say it's the same thing.

2020-12-17T17:09:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339618634998534144
@KevinKausD I was the lead programmer.

2020-12-17T16:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339604919246983170
@nick904nick @Michael62808180 The wishful thinking I've observed is a common pattern. That does not prove that UFOs are not advanced technology. It's just an observation, more about the people involved.

2020-12-17T14:55:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339585063860637699
@teri_nehrenz @Shayan86 A minor engineering challenge. Which was solved.

The plunger has a a hole, with a small plug in it, this is pushed thorough by the spring which pulls through the needle.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DwUGixF-FBM

2020-12-17T14:49:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339583387766464517
Despite all the claims of the "chemtrail" folk that "Harvard admits Geoengineering," the very first tentative experiments have yet to get off the ground. And those are not even experiments that spray anything, just lofting a balloon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epcn6lDUUAYroJr.jpg

---

Keutsch Group at Harvard Home Frank Keutsch Research SCoPEx More

December 15, 2020

Press Release

The SCoPEx research team has asked the independent SCoPEx Advisory Committee to review our plans for a proposed platform test in Sweden in June 2021. This test would **not** be the experiment itself, but rather a test of the SCoPEx platform without the release of any particles.

This balloon flight would be managed by Swedish Space Corporation (SSC), flying out of Esrange Space Center in Kiruna, Sweden. As mentioned, the goal of this flight is to test the SCoPEx platform. Specifically, we would like to test the gondola's horizontal and vertical control using the winch system and propellers as well as the power, data, navigation, and communication systems. We would not release any aerosols, nor fly an aerosol injection/release system. Still, we will **not** proceed with this flight without a formal recommendation authorizing the flight from the Advisory Committee to Harvard management. We have asked the Advisory Committee if they can complete their review and reach a decision—be it positive or negative—about this platform test by February 15, 2021, of course, contingent on the experiment team providing them with timely access to materials needed for the review. (As a reminder, the independent Advisory Committee was established to provide advice on the research and governance of SCoPEx. They have already begun to carry out important work. For more information about the Advisory Committee, please visit their website or contact Sally Klimp (sklimp@g.harvard.edu).)

A platform test is needed before the science experiment because SCoPEx will use a new flight platform that has not flown before. In other words, there are significant technical challenges in developing it as an operational vehicle

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Epcn6lDUUAYroJr.jpg

2020-12-17T14:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339576851363024911

@rpowell2u @habemuspapadam The LOS sweep out a surface that the object could theoretically traverse in a variety of ways. By far the physically simplest is something drifting in the wind, over land. Only the video artifacts give the illusion of if going underwater (and behind objects, when it does not).

2020-12-17T14:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339576144010481665

@rpowell2u @habemuspapadam There's plenty of details analysis of this already. This was simply the first time I'd really looked into it. What's going on is pretty obvious when you look at it in 3D, in Google Earth. Lance Moody and Ruben Lianza have given detailed explanations. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/post-229954 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpchWF6VQAE-bl-.jpg

---



Lance Moody
New Member

Mar 29, 2019 #25

Hi Riccardo,

So I presented this to the SCU's Rich Hoffmann's MUFON group and offered to give the simulation to anyone who wanted it (one of his members did ask for and was given a copy). The SCU's entire approach is to just pretend that no one has challenged them, which is simply not true. They have not asked me any questions about it because I submit that if it ain't flying saucers, they aren't interested.

Here's a link to how our simulation was made:

https://www.dropbox.com/s/o1cfxw2ycn6xmdo/How the Simulation was Made.mp4?dl=0

Note that I think you have to download it to see the whole thing--Dropbox has a preview time limit.

Their paper offers several points of data for several different potential tracks. but nowhere near enough to easily figure out the speeds and full path. At least one of their paths is flatly impossible. The rest certainly require speed ups and slow downs, while our little balloon-like object just floats along at wind speed. They don't present enough data to create a path (and with all the speeding up and slowing down, it's all sort of cheating anyway).

Best,

Lance

Last edited: Mar 29, 2019

Report Edit History Delete IP Warn Change owner or date Reply

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpchWF6VQAE-bl-.jpg

2020-12-17T07:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339475453103362050

@Josef_baum @rpowell2u @SSGHambuns Yes, it does not track the object.

2020-12-17T06:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339458960659599360

@WhistleblowerNY @Shayan86 So people don't accidentally get jabbed by it.

2020-12-17T05:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339439274307928064

@ChipDouglas124 A dragonfly.

2020-12-17T04:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434938572017670

@CaronMo84285347 @alexxdesignn Yes.

2020-12-17T04:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434828563849216

@PederNeogard @ExploringLife42 Except that it doesnâ□□t.

2020-12-17T04:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434734611431426

@habemuspapadam No, I think they are mistaken.

2020-12-17T04:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434551420960768

@ChipDouglas124 Because it could be anything.

2020-12-17T04:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434263377108992

@CaronMo84285347 @alexxdesignn Not independent.

2020-12-17T04:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339434104341684224

@rpowell2u @SSGHambuns Debate? Itâ□□s just triangulation. Itâ□□s not even that complicated. This is math, not philosophy.

2020-12-17T00:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339361568924942336

@Shayan86

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339361550516174849/pu/img/t4BnEsPLcmUOwdAU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339361550516174849/pu/img/t4BnEsPLcmUOwdAU.jpg
2020-12-17T00:05:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339360952832036865
@ScottyBRock2 And you don't think SCU is in the knowledgable UFO community?

2020-12-17T00:04:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339360718554951680
@ScottyBRock2 Really? I think you'll find a lot of people think it is. Newsweek gave it a credibility rating of 5.

Do you agree it's just a slow moving object over land?

https://www.newsweek.com/ufo-sightings-encounters-credibility-video-1371313

2020-12-16T23:59:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339359550135123969
@SSGHambuns Here. I geolocated the video at various points, and traced the lines of sight.

It goes nowhere near the water.

If SCU is still asserting this is a transmedium craft, then they deserve mockery.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpZcPWZVEAA74fw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpZcPWZVEAA74fw.jpg

2020-12-16T22:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339342616534532096

@THughesPhoto You can tell though that it's at at least 300 feet above sea level, then it does not appear to get any lower as it goes over the cliff, which would make it hard to go underwater or behind the trees at beach level.

2020-12-16T22:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339340005307662337

@THughesPhoto Not exactly, you don't get a range, so there's a bit more latitude in recreating it.

2020-12-16T22:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339339636066291712

Chris Krebs discusses the spinning of election disinformation, including the "68% error rate" nonsense. https://youtu.be/xHtobbugTJU?t=6674

2020-12-16T22:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339331611725234176

@ExploringLife42 Because it looks exactly like one. And the video is heavily compressed. And it happens when there is nothing obscuring the object.

2020-12-16T22:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339330990112530434

Vanishpoint needles retract after delivering the dose, for safety. Some conspiracy theorists think this is suspicious, like the needle is left in the arm as a microchip, or something.

Most conspiracy theories are have foundational misunderstandings.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339330506987487233/pu/img/cKSKoM3gd5VrSO3F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1339330506987487233/pu/img/cKSKoM3gd5VrSO3F.jpg
2020-12-16T21:56:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339328486616363008
@fmolinari2019 Zoom in on the object and watch it frame by frame the various times it vanishes.

2020-12-16T21:50:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339326950125391873
@TokayArbon There's an object. It vanishes over land in the same way it vanishes over water - when it hits regions with noisy contrails in the background. Example - the arrow indicates where the object is. It's invisible, but not behind anything.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpY-o5lVEAAW4q-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpY-o5lVEAAW4q-.jpg

2020-12-16T21:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339325062667628544

@Dannyma55083668 There's no indication it flew into the sea. The camera just lost it. They were tracking it at 2924mm, then flipped back to 675mm as it dropped below resolvable size. Then the flip around more trying to get it back and failed.

It was probably a pair of Chinese lanterns.

2020-12-16T21:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339320661727084544

@atlas_grip It's reality. Rapidly approaching.

What will you do when Biden is sworn in?

2020-12-16T21:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339320162596519936

@THughesPhoto Probably the same it's been drifting at the whole time, 100+ feet or so. Like the other times it turns "invisible".

2020-12-16T21:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339318662608474113

@P_DallaVee @joerogan When he asks me.

2020-12-16T21:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339318073707270145

This is a famous case in UFO circles. Lots of quite serious people think it shows a craft going underwater when it's OBVIOUSLY just compression glitches.

It's a perfect illustration of the wishful thinking in the UFO community blinding them to reality.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q6s5RwqnnLM

2022-07-17T23:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548812268518854656

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 How do I "lack any data"? I literally just showed you how the lights in the video are a perfect match for a set of stars. Something that's essentially impossible to happen by chance.

That's data.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1548808496086257664

2022-07-17T23:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548808496086257664

@kinger90210 @travisstaylor1 You don't have to trust anyone. You can see it for yourself. It's not that complicated.

Those are stars, known stars.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548808399277551616/pu/img/HEZlKD7GbevLMA2I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548808399277551616/pu/img/HEZlKD7GbevLMA2I.jpg

2022-07-17T23:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548805881801822208

@kinger90210 I think that was recorded before he realized it was stars.

It's obviously bokeh. If he's actually saying it's not bokeh NOW then he's just demonstrated he's not really getting it.

2022-07-17T23:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548804992550588416

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 And did you clarify what he meant by "stern converting"? That REALLY does not seem to fit. People interpret it as if the apparent direction in the video is correct, when we know from the LOS that it's backwards.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX51xrOUYAAtxWG.jpg

According to ex-fighter pilot Ryan Graves, the "Gimbal" aircrew conducted a "stern conversion" (a basic maneuver).

The stern convert diagrams below match the data from the video.

This would invalidate Mick's theory.

(i.e. the F/A-18 was NOT behind the UAP during the video) 1/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX51xrOUYAAtxWG.jpg
2022-07-17T22:49:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548802020961792000
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 I'll wait for the exact numbers, thanks.

2022-07-17T22:48:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548801740396380160
@andyH_uap @uncertainvector Sure, and I have had some limited conversations with him. The problem is it's very difficult to match his description of the Gimbal object stopping and waiting with the actual lines of sight in the video. I've been trying to resolve this

---

2022-07-17T22:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548799893770186753

@andyH_uap Something was, maybe not the same thing as in the video.

2022-07-17T22:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548799706452529154

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 But what numbers did you use?

2022-07-17T22:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548797420477198336

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 I can't find it! What thread is it in?

2022-07-17T22:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548792524558327809

@zeta_ridiculous I did not dismiss Erhart, I explained in detail what the issues were with his statements. Basically Corbell asked him incorrect questions.

2022-07-17T22:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548792315463970816

@JoeDogTrainer @zeta_ridiculous A glare is caused by a heat source, which is a real thing like a jet engine, attached to a jet. That can be tracked on radar.

2022-07-17T22:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548791955299004416

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 I mean for the SA page. Yes anyone can use it, but I coded it to try to replicate Grave's description - largely because of you. I made several changes that you requested.

What's your best fleet movement, and how does it match Grave's description?

2022-07-17T22:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548791213704110080

@Skarpskytten2 16 is a bit high. The problem is they just do one analysis with a few lines. I try to do it with all 7000. There's many reasonable solutions around 10mph, but will need minor tweaks to be 100%

2022-07-17T22:03:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548790643723366400

@Skarpskytten2 No error tolerance? Have you looked at it? (green line, set target smooth to 1) It's A) very low resolution, and B) frequently off by 100s of feet.

An accurate ground track would need visually geolocating from the video, not using the numbers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX5ogBfUEAA7cLT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX5ogBfUEAA7cLT.jpg

2022-07-17T21:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548789562427658240

@RoGin1230 @OSIRIS_UAP @GaryVoorhis @MiddleOfMayhem The only people I've called liars are the ones spreading fake quotes attributed to me. I do that because that's a lie.

Pilots, etc, I assume are not lying.

2022-07-17T21:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548788808791068672

@kofflestop @dsmethurst66 @TheZignal Who were on this team? What analysis did they do?

2022-07-17T21:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548780117966483456

@Oliver__Twisted So #1?

2022-07-17T21:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548778427620020224

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 I strongly disagree. This description has no plausible fit with the line of sight data. I gave you an editor. What was your best attempt? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX5dmIrUcAA_eWS.jpg

---

around us. And you can hear him in the video, as I look at the SA page, there's a whole fleet of them, that's what he's referring to. And on the radar tapes, and on SA page, you could see that there was about five to six, in my memory serves me now, of the smaller objects that we're used to seeing, and they're kind of flying in a web formation in front of that larger object, and then those turned around with some radius of turn started flying in the opposite direction, that large object just kind of stopped and waited for those to kind of come back around then you see kind of do that, that gimbal II shift, right. And then it started going back in the direction falling behind the wedge formation. So you know, that was strange for us, but we haven't seen that object before. Because you could actually make some structure on that right? I mean, it that looks like a top almost. Whereas other ones look like someone was shining a flashlight into our sensor.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX5dmIrUcAA_eWS.jpg

2022-07-17T21:09:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548776939036389378
@dsmethurst66 @TheZignal I identify inconsistencies that we can attempt to resolve. For example, Graves description of the Gimbal stopping while the fleet turned did not seem possible in the lines of sight analysis. So I coded an SA page/fleet simulator to try to resolve it https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-07-17T21:06:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548776193523994626
@dsmethurst66 @TheZignal I believe he mentioned the model at the UFO conference.

Travis has since admitted that the video shows stars, and hence bokeh.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548776083943657472/pu/img/1vDqLL4MqSe_7wBk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548776083943657472/pu/img/1vDqLL4MqSe_7wBk.jpg
2022-07-17T21:02:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548775277819412480
@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @Spectre67904513 @ADelarge60 Yet there's no plausible sequence of events that match Grave's fleet+stop narrative - especially the multiple earlier version.

2022-07-17T21:01:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548774955474489346
@the_cholla @SabersKnight @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 Yes, and I think that "Curving" is simply an artifact of the distant straight line path projected onto the path of the chasing plane. That seems to be by far the simplest explanation. Pretty bizarre coincidence otherwise.

2022-07-17T20:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548773699356016640
@dsmethurst66 @TheZignal Travis just checked one common NV, not the one actually used by

the Snoopie team leader. Besides it's OBVIOUSLY bokeh, denying it after seeing the new videos is not a sustainable position - so he didn't.

And my strategy is to figure things out with total clarity.

2022-07-17T20:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548772876639039488

@the_cholla @SabersKnight @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 The glare theory is still solid. Even if you shoehorn the location into within 10 NM, it still looks and acts like a glare that's rotating because of the gimbal system of the camera. And, as you know, there are significant problems in fitting the "fleet" and the "stop" in there.

2022-07-17T16:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548707267633037313

@UFOBRO2 Oh, I'm obsessing about other videos too :)

2022-07-17T16:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548706612725960704

@caitgaist @the_cholla @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @realityseaker @ChrisSPITZER7 @analyticpaul Even the widely spaced four engines of the A340 reduce down to two blobs at some distance.

2022-07-17T16:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548705790491381760

@Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @ADelarge60 Several people, including Elizondo and Marik, continue to insist it's rotating.

If it is rotating, then that is a visual demonstration of unusual aviation technology. So would be highly significant. Otherwise, it's some slow-moving radar returns, and a slow-moving hot thing.

2022-07-17T16:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548703741183811584

@UFOBRO2 I know that smudges on the glass contribute to glare shape. And now we have a video of someone handling an ATFLIR in a way that would contribute to smudges on the glass. It seems like a quite reasonable line of inquiry to me.

2022-07-17T16:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548703041846538241

@caitgaist @the_cholla @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @realityseaker @ChrisSPITZER7 @analyticpaul I did that three years ago :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX4ZHgqUUAAUGpC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FX4ZHgqUUAAUGpC.jpg

2022-07-17T16:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548702565134544896

@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @Spectre67904513 The glare gets slightly bigger relative to the plane. There's several factors that contribute to the visual size of the glare on-screen.

2022-07-17T16:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548700597322338304

@UFOBRO2 I also think it's an interesting challenge to figure out the precise details and to communicate them.

You do you. I'll do me.

2022-07-17T14:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548677778018430981

@Oswald1160 @Spectre67904513 @the_cholla Glare does not scale like that. You need to account for the GSF (Glare Spread Function) and level/gain. GSF means it canâ□□t shrink to a point. The visual size is the physical source size PLUS spread. And GSF usually increases with distance.

2022-07-17T14:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548675217479704576

@AtticusFinch_JD Look at his channel, heâ□□s on the USS Harry Truman

---

2022-07-17T14:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548673303870521344

@the_cholla Of course, thereâ□□s just insufficient information to answer it.

2022-07-17T13:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548659576437764097

@the_cholla What question?

2022-07-17T12:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548645779773935617

@gluonconleche Or both.

2022-07-17T11:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548633327027908608

@TheRogueLogue No, a wider smear across the glass, perhaps spread during flight.

2022-07-17T11:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548633001226932224

@ram0l A glare needs a bright/hot source. No glare is expected on ocean waves, or on GoFast (as it's cold)

2022-07-17T08:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548584925023985664

@ADelarge60 The Gimbal video is a one-off, as was GoFast. I'm not saying anything about other things seen that year. Just what this video shows.

2022-07-17T08:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548584356989452288

@ADelarge60 The pilots could not see it. It was dark, and far away.

2022-07-17T08:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548584059898458113

@the_cholla No, it's the best example of a shaped glare. What is behind it is irrelevant. Something hot.

We see that on the ATFLIR, the glare can take on that shape. Same shape on Gimbal and FLIR1, a product of the camera.

2022-07-17T08:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548580308735234049

@ADelarge60 I'm trying to figure out what is in the video.

2022-07-17T08:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548580153910972416

@the_cholla Why would the blob rotate?

It would not, because the shape is defined by the spacing of the engines, because we are close up.

And we know ATFLIR glare can look saucer-shaped because the glare at the start of FLIR1 looks saucer-shaped. That's the best example.

2022-07-17T07:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548577182968008704

@EYeator When?

2022-07-17T07:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548573374712270848

@the_cholla And do you recognize just how disingenuous this video is?

Because if not there's some serious misunderstanding going on.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548573126224580608/pu/img/8pmni5-qcSoK47yy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548573126224580608/pu/img/8pmni5-qcSoK47yy.jpg

2022-07-17T07:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548572502669987840

@the_cholla Where's the original source of this video?

2022-07-17T07:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548571484217413633

@the_cholla But he did not find any relevant examples, like actual ATFLIR footage of a plane at various distances flying more directly away, maybe with afterburners. Glare size isn't directly related to distance, it's a function of exposure and whatever is contributing to optical spread

---

2022-07-17T07:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548565155574796291

@dirtylib666 It does not "require" it, but people have talked about crew wiping the screen with a sleeve or similar, and that causing a similar glare pattern. I don't know if that happened, but I thought this video was interesting. It shows a rare close-up of the ATFLIR front window.

2022-07-17T07:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548563387814006784

One hypothesis regarding the Gimbal UFO video is that the front window of the AN/ASQ-228 ATFLIR might end up a bit streaked after being inappropriately handled. This could then

contribute to the shape of the glare. Maybe something like this?
https://youtube.com/shorts/f4qzPbxtnu8

2022-07-17T04:53:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548531378924371969
@BeLikeWahter My pay will remain the same, seeing as Iâ□□m not getting paid.

2022-07-17T01:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548482551559233540
@Skarpskytten2 And to your first question, yes.

2022-07-17T01:39:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548482397544407040
@Skarpskytten2 How much do they seem to differ to you? Mine are taken from the on-screen gps, extracted every frame (on ATS) then smoothed.

2022-07-17T00:23:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548463270809313282
@jamindaysky @anabatic @aliengabagool @MvonRen @tinyklaus Do you think there are no conspiracies in the world?

But in this case, I'm not suggesting anyone is lying. Just that people sometimes make mistakes, even the best people.

2022-07-16T22:53:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548440680321519616
@WJProvenzano @butternuts53 @PThegermandude If all ATC get one per year on average, then after 20 years the odds of NOT getting one would be worse than 1 in a million. Maybe he, and everyone he knew, just got unlucky?

2022-07-16T22:43:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548438309906526211
@WJProvenzano @butternuts53 @PThegermandude It gives a reasonable upper bound. Say if the average ATC saw one per year, then it would be highly unlikely that someone with a 20 year experience would see zero (1:1,000,000). We can reasonable infer that the average ATC hears about UFOs LESS than 1 every 2 years (1:1,000)

2022-07-16T22:32:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548435429614968832
@UAPll Depends on what they say and what they show.

2022-07-16T22:27:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548434145574920192
@ExtranicelyC That would be unexpected and very interesting. But not a probable outcome.

2022-07-16T22:26:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548433966780129280
@WJProvenzano @butternuts53 @PThegermandude I'm giving some useful data. A senior ATC told me that neither he, nor anyone he knew, had got a report of a significant UFO (displaying

some of the observables).

That's not just one guy, it's several guys, covering a big region of the county, for decades.

2022-07-16T22:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548432987896786945

@fin365 Were they lying? Or did the people saying that simply not know about the hidden purpose of AAWSAP?

I actually don't think they were NOT looking into UFOs, obviously if an unidentified thing flies into your airspace you are going to look into it.

2022-07-16T22:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548429823265017857

@CSH1276 Low observability!

(It's the white tic-tac shape above the tree)

2022-07-16T22:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548429544322830337

@TheEvolutinary @tinyklaus I think you're conflating Fravor/Dietrich, FLIR1 and Gimbal.

FLIR1 100% shows a glare obscuring the object at the start of the video. The physical object in the video was also not seen by anyone.

2022-07-16T19:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548396474861400067

@marcbel19406167 That is indeed what I just said.

One thing is not all things.

2022-07-16T19:00:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548382140995289090

@PhillipJeffre16 The small white object above the tree

2022-07-16T18:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548379014150074369

Some close-ups of the UFO, which unfortunately is just in the LIZ (of my camera, at least)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXzyfdRVQAE-XMV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXzyfdRVQAE-XMV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXzyexhUcAMtWKD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXzycM0UsAAr7h2.jpg
2022-07-16T18:45:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548378351210885120
This type of "UFO" sighting is relatively common. Who can recognize what this tic-tac* shaped craft (with no visible means of propulsion) actually is?

(*nothing to do with the Nimitz events)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548377445828464644/pu/img/8AQeIkN56_TVGXw7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1548377445828464644/pu/img/8AQeIkN56_TVGXw7.jpg
2022-07-16T17:58:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548366429153398787
@NigelBenton @ClerkinMark Well, I did talk to Dietrich. I didn't tell her she was "wrong", but we

both agreed there as issues with memory. I think the time difference/narrative sequence is more significant than she does. I'd be happy to talk publicly (or otherwise) to Fravor and Graves too.

2022-07-16T17:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548365682382802944

What do you think best explains the government response to UFOs? Nothing going on? A cover-up of some sort? Something going on, but they don't know? Military-Industrial Complex misdirection?

2022-07-16T17:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548357948778237952

@vanessaawolf @papercrane111 There are thousands of cases with insufficient information to make any kind of conclusion.

2022-07-16T17:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548356653698846721

@BrentlyLee @Oswald1160 @straub_tj @CDVilsack @619Notabot @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney @ParabunkBlog More or less likely than aliens?

Not mocking here, but if we are to consider one extraordinary explanation, should other explanations not also be on the table?

2022-07-16T16:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548348990608986112

@TheGuitarPhilo1 The line you indicate is the ground track of the camera. A projection from the plane, through the object (which is moving slowly at constant speed, along a straight line).

2022-07-16T15:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548330003321851916

@Humanbeingman69 @B3LAMAN @GarryPNolan There might be something. What I largely point at is the poor quality of the evidence for something.

I understand at the individual level this evidence quality level seems very different. But that's not helpful in making a broader case.

2022-07-16T15:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548323034674503681

@debperry1961 @sunnymatata Maybe, maybe not. People sometimes make mistakes, even the best people.

2022-07-16T09:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548231827332034560

@Alex_Simy_Mend @AdamGoldsack What specifically did I just do that makes you say that?

2022-07-16T05:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548183737396916228

@TheGuitarPhilo1 No, in my simulation (and as found by several other people). The object moves in a straight line.

2022-07-16T05:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548179047812714497

@RobHeatherly1 @ET__GOD @conterammundi The word "other" got in there via some editor. "Quackery" there is unproven alternative medicine. It's a separate item on the list, unrelated to UFOs or photo analysis. You'd see the correct usage elsewhere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXw8oKwVUAUNYkY.jpg



Science and Myth Explain UFO and Alien Abductions with Mick West and David Halperin

Summary

My guests this week are Mick West, author of Escaping the Rabbit Hole; and David Halperin, author of Intimate Alien. What is it that makes up our belief in the seemingly unexplainable, and how might we explain paranormal events and eyewitness accounts without an extraterrestrial or highly exotic explanation? DAVID HALPERIN was a professor of religious studies — his specialty, religious traditions of heavenly ascent. From 1976 through 2000, David taught Jewish history in the Religious Studies Department at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. https://www.davidhalperin.net/ MICK WEST is a writer and a debunker. He used to be a video game programmer. His focus is on investigating and explaining conspiracy theories such as Chemtrails, 9/11 controlled demolition, and False Flags. He also covers more esoteric topics such as UFO's, pseudoscience, Flat Earth, photo analysis, and quackery. http://mickwest.com/ Alien Coffee Bean Discount Code: MYSTERY20 https://aliencoffeebean.com/ SKYPE CALL-IN: https://join.skype.com/invite/tJ6frTP2jYBP https://linktr.ee/CoffeeandUFOs TWITTER: https://twitter.com/Paranormal_Now INSTAGRAM: https://www.instagram.com/paranormalnow FACEBOOK: http://www.facebook.com/paranormalnowradio https://www.paranormalnow.net PATREON https://www.patreon.com/paranormalpop #uap #ufo

Show less

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXw8oKwVUAUNYkY.jpg

2022-07-15T22:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548070830495543297

@GarryPNolan @ResonantPulse Isn't that science on a human? The paper does not mention extraterrestrials other than a brief mention of Greer's speculation.

2022-07-15T22:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548070196975325186

@topsecrettexan You're spamming me with a 4Chan post about Chris Lehto. Why?

2022-07-15T22:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548069790840864770

@aatikatikus @GarryPNolan Going both ways IS my point.

2022-07-15T22:18:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548069553757835267

@topsecrettexan That text isn't about me.

2022-07-15T22:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548068775672438784

@kimball_23 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion Where did I do that? I once had

them in a list (at the bottom) and once asked you what you thought was more likely.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXvYLMeUcAIHnAk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXvYLMeUcAIHnAk.jpg
2022-07-15T22:05:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548066222071115776
@kimball_23 @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion That is what I say.

2022-07-15T21:26:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548056494477754372
@GarryPNolan Sure, but the question I raised is if the overprotective and reactive aggressive responses from the UFO community might be a negative thing.

2022-07-15T20:44:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548045756736557056
@AdmiralQuality For you, and other UFO fans like myself.

2022-07-15T20:31:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548042569300393985
@ChaseHoward97 Why would you want to? If you have other interests, then follow them. There's no UFOology outside of the bubble.

2022-07-15T20:30:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548042247857287171
@AdmiralQuality This screenshot does not seem to illustrate anything different. The filters you

selected are for the search, not for the "What's happening" box.

2022-07-15T20:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548040654806167554

@BrianSzy_PF @GarryPNolan Quite the opposite. I'm simply pointing out that an obnoxiously aggressive attitude towards skeptics might dissuade other people from engaging in the topic.

I'll just keep on trying to solve puzzles.

2022-07-15T19:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548031944968978438

@GarryPNolan I don't indulge in snide derision. Even if I did, I'm pretty sure that the best response would not be more snide derision from you.

And if there are all these scientists coming on, then what's this "stigma" issue that everyone is talking about?

2022-07-15T19:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548031172675964928

@GarryPNolan Of course, I can, and even if it were a genuine attack, It's not going to bother me.

The point here is how such apparent attacks are perceived by other people.

2022-07-15T19:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548029753680019457

@BrentlyLee @straub_tj @CDVilsack @Oswald1160 @619Notabot @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Surely we'd have to get Fravor to sign off on that.

And I'm not sure how 10 secs make the balloon hypotheses (weakly near the top of the list) LESS likely - surely there's much more potential for error in a rapidly changing situation.

2022-07-15T19:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548029079093256192

@MeakinAndy @GarryPNolan I'm sure many people found it hilarious. It's really not helpful for your cause though.

You can't simultaneously be railing against mockery and then indulge in it yourself.

2022-07-15T19:28:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548026797408653312

@RayMoor18837824 @WSN_WorldSpace I think that this is not true, so saying it's by "my own admission" is lying.

2022-07-15T19:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548026330834354176

@GarryPNolan It's unfortunate that your response comes across as an illustration of the type of thing I'm talking about: intellectual bullying - which is wasted on me but might not be the best approach if you want more people to look at the topic.

2022-07-15T19:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548024477522358272

@BostonsBuddha Yes, assuming they showed some evidence along with the statement.

2022-07-15T18:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548018810845679618

What if the result of all this disclosure lobbying is that the government clearly states that there are no recovered UFOs.

Will the UFO community believe that?

https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1547677551472312320

2022-07-15T18:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548017965970296833

@vegan_og What truth do you think I've hidden? What exactly have my investigations obscured?

2022-07-15T18:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548017573010083842

@ashworth_mick

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/recreating-the-gimbals-fleet-on-the-sa-page-with-sitrec.12431/

2022-07-15T18:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548017114044239873

@AdamGoldsack This is an incorrect assessment of what I do, and why I do it.

Did you watch my TOE discussion with Eric? Maybe that would give a better idea of what I'm about.

2022-07-15T18:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548016649952931847

@dicky41385 I am not bullying anyone, so I'm sorry if anyone got that impression.

Can you point to a Tweet where you think I was bullying?

2022-07-15T18:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548015495441313792

@matt_deplorable Trending on Twitter is significant.

Trending on UFOTwitter is much less so.

People seem to think it was the former, as they were congratulating me.

2020-12-16T20:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339309347080269824

@GetAFreakinGrip The number was also a percentage of lines in the log file, not a percentage of ballots.

2020-12-16T20:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339305075819171841

@GetAFreakinGrip What would be helpful here would be a sample of the actual log. They omit that as it would demonstrate the bullshittery of their claim.

2020-12-16T19:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339296383954612224

@atlas_grip Huh? You think that one of those words is "Dominion"?

What are you going to do when none of this pans out? There's really not a lot of time left.

2020-12-16T19:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339293853849800705

The "68% error rate" is garbage. The question here is if Trump is lying, or he's just unwittingly repeating a lie.

Or maybe suspecting it's a lie, but deliberately not asking anyone to check it, because it's a good sound bite for more fundraising? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpYgSNbU0AAYarh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpYgSNbU0AAYarh.jpg

2020-12-16T19:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339289940799066112

@atlas_grip What does it say? I can't make out much beyond "water"

2020-12-16T19:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339288809452662784

@dappergander It's somewhat related to Equivoque magic - where the spectator feels like they are making decisions that magically match the outcome, but the trick works either way.

2020-12-16T19:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339286490002587648

@edgeoforever A good way to communicate is to ask them questions about why they believe what they do.

Very few people can disregard evidence forever.

2020-12-16T19:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339285288355446784

@frzngreymatter No, I stopped getting money from THPS many years ago.

2020-12-16T18:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339283538688897024

RT @kyledcheney: This is false. No one excoriated Krebs at todayâ□□s hearing. He actually got a ton of bipartisan praise. https://t.co/HhmVrhâ□¦

2020-12-16T18:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339276148082032640

How a storm came, then went.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-82nd-airborne-a-storm-is-coming-operation-devil-storm.11497/

2020-12-16T18:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339274794034819072

@atlas_grip It finished by Dec 11th.

https://www.facebook.com/1bct82/posts/10158850700033805

2020-12-16T17:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339259620297035776

@mvpispi I'm not fighting for either. I'm opposed to both.

2020-12-16T17:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339259346874580993

@atlas_grip And your photos show preparations for Operation Devil Storm, an annual training exercise that has now finished.

2020-12-16T17:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339255469915795456

@PhyreGoldMAJIC Every little helps. :)

2020-12-16T17:02:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339254706032365570

@atlas_grip You can convert the bitcoin yourself. It's not really cost effective, or accurate, to mail 0.3g of gold.

Bit of a moot point though.

2020-12-16T17:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339254056749912065
@atlas_grip Cool, I look forward to it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpX8cseUcAAc1Vd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpX8cseUcAAc1Vd.jpg
2020-12-16T16:55:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339252904159399938
Nobody is funding me.

Although if Bill Gates wants to fund me to fight disinformation, I can't say I'd turn him down. I'll need a new keyboard soon. https://twitter.com/PeachMint17/status/1339135236240781313

2020-12-16T07:39:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1339112885415366656
@cryptonomaly They explain how they do it. Lots of legwork, but no superpowers.

https://www.bellingcat.com/resources/2020/12/14/navalny-fsb-methodology
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpV7_Q_U8AEx4Xh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpV7_Q_U8AEx4Xh.jpg

2020-12-16T07:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339108600824745985

@atlas_grip What does that mean? You won't take it? You think you will lose?

What prediction WILL you make, that you will put money on? $20.

2020-12-16T07:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339107487660720128

@cryptonomaly What are the "obvious" signs of being CIA? Just not being big Putin fans? Or something else?

2020-12-16T06:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339100036911702016

The most interesting Russian language video I've ever seen. @navalny details the attempts to poison him, and the investigation by @bellingcat. Compelling and likely very significant.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=smhi6jts97I

2020-12-16T02:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339033065713102848

https://www.cnn.com/2020/12/15/us/qanon-trump-twitter-invs/index.html

---

2020-12-16T01:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339017920353980418

@GetAFreakinGrip @freep Yeah, it's not a percentage of ballot errors. It's the percentage of lines in a log file that were errors or warnings.

Have they never looked at a log file before?

2020-12-16T01:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1339012662017937408

Trump tweet wrongly suggests there were defects with Michigan voting machines (65.8% vs. 0.0008% BS)

https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/12/15/trump-fact-check-defect-voting-machines-michigan/3902951001/ via @freep

---

2020-12-15T21:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338965973198508032

@christianR08 @KetoPhilosophy @Lukewearechange I'm not sure how you think that could apply to the US.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Chinese_Famine

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpT2UBCU0AElkgj.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpT2UBCU0AElkgj.png

2020-12-15T21:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338962652601565185

@atlas_grip Okay. I bet you $20 that Harris will be VP on Jan 20th. Payable in bitcoin.

2020-12-15T21:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338962059162234885

@livetpaenkant F-HCOM is a survey plane. Spectrometry in the visible and infrared fields

http://www.actionair-environnement.com/html/fr/flotteFr.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTyXzGUUAAy0_7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTyXzGUUAAy0_7.jpg
2020-12-15T21:27:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338958855527493632
@atlas_grip What do you think will happen?

2020-12-15T21:23:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338957888933351425
@ddeanjohnson We should just get rid of the electoral college, problem solved.

Of course that's somewhat unlikely too.

2020-12-15T21:19:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338956749793972224
I'm seeing more "chemtrail" tweets about how they are back.

It's winter. There are more contrails in winter for some of the same reason there are more CLOUDS in winter.

Want to predict contrails? Just look at the 300mb RH. Green = clouds/contrails.
https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTuCLZVQAAMNiY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTtFgpUUAEGDEj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTuCLZVQAAMNiY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTt6hGVEAA0InB.jpg

2020-12-15T21:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338953978831200256

RT @travis_view: This French article includes an account of a young woman who was disillusioned with Q because she discovered the @QanonAnoâ□¦

2020-12-15T20:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338950671861575680

@ddeanjohnson I'm mostly interested in what happens on Jan 6. We can talk about the rule in the sense of how they do or do not relate to that.

2020-12-15T20:38:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338946679957987328

@ddeanjohnson Other than a tie, isn't the only other contingent election possibility a lack of majority due to a third party or faithless electors - neither of which apply here?

2020-12-15T20:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338944680348635137

@ddeanjohnson I mean thanks for the the clarifications and additional information.
I'm not sure how you think there might be a "contingent election" out of this though.

2020-12-15T20:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338943172580233216

@ddeanjohnson Thanks!

2020-12-15T19:56:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338936090187579392

@DSFP2010 @SophiaLogosPi @nickpopemod The stationary object looks like a tree. So the plane is behind it.

2020-12-15T19:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338934744780664832

@ItsYourQ1 @StefanT78 @kayleighmcenany How could chemtrails exist in space? Space is a vacuum, hence chemtrails cannot be a space weapon.

2020-12-15T19:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338934497832706048

@ddeanjohnson Make sure you read this first. Save time.

https://www.nytimes.com/2005/01/07/politics/congress-ratifies-bush-victory-after-challenge.html

---

2020-12-15T19:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338934387098832897

@ItsYourQ1 @StefanT78 @kayleighmcenany I have. I've been writing about such things for years. HAARP is not strong enough to affect the weather.

2020-12-15T19:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338933828279173120

@MadPegger204 The electors not certified by the states will be rejected. That's all there is to it.

Unless you somehow convince the Democrats in Congress to vote for Trump.

2020-12-15T19:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338933528466059265

@ItsYourQ1 @StefanT78 @kayleighmcenany But you say that contrails don't last long. The books all agree that they can, and often do.

2020-12-15T19:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338933398518132736

And if you don't like Snopes, you can read the Electoral college act, and the 12th amendment. And the 2005 Example

https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/3/15

https://www.law.cornell.edu/constitution/amendmentxii

https://www.nytimes.com/2005/01/07/politics/congress-ratifies-bush-victory-after-challenge.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTY0nVU8AAB0Pe.jpg

---

shall be their presiding officer. Two tellers shall be previously appointed on the part of the Senate and two on the part of the House of Representatives, to whom shall be handed, as they are opened by the President of the Senate, all the certificates and papers purporting to be certificates of the electoral votes, which certificates and papers shall be opened, presented, and acted upon in the alphabetical order of the States, beginning with the letter A; and said tellers, having then read the same in the presence and hearing of the two Houses, shall make a list of the votes as they shall appear from the said certificates; and the votes having been ascertained and counted according to the rules in this subchapter provided, the result of the same shall be delivered to the President of the Senate, who shall thereupon announce the state of the vote, which announcement shall be deemed a sufficient declaration of the persons, if any, elected President and Vice President of the United States, and, together with a list of the votes, be entered on the Journals of the two Houses. Upon such reading of any such certificate or paper, the President of the Senate shall call for objections, if any. Every objection shall be made in writing, and shall state clearly and concisely, and without argument, the ground thereof, and shall be signed by at least one Senator and one Member of the House of Representatives before the same shall be received. When all objections so made to any vote or paper from a State shall have been received and read, the Senate shall thereupon withdraw, and such objections shall be submitted to the Senate for its decision; and the Speaker of the House of Representatives shall, in like manner, submit such objections to the House of Representatives for its decision; and no electoral vote or votes from any State which shall have been regularly given by electors whose appointment has been lawfully certified to according to section 6 of this title from which but one return has been received shall be rejected, but the two Houses concurrently may reject the vote or votes when they agree that such vote or votes have not been so regularly given by electors whose appointment has been so certified. If more than one return or paper purporting to be a return from a State shall have been received by the President of the Senate, those votes, and those only, shall be counted which shall have been regularly given by the electors who are shown by the determination mentioned in section 5 of this title to have been appointed, if the determination in said section provided for shall have been made, or by such successors or substitutes, in case of a vacancy in the board of electors so ascertained, as have been appointed to fill such vacancy in the mode provided by the laws of the State; but in case there shall arise the question which of two or more of such State authorities determining what electors have been appointed, as mentioned in section 5 of this title, is the lawful tribunal of such State, the votes regularly given of those electors, and those only, of such State shall be counted whose title as electors the two Houses, acting separately, shall concurrently decide is supported by the decision of such State so authorized by its law; and in such case of more than one return or paper purporting to be a return from a State, if there shall have been no such determination of the question in the State aforesaid, then those votes, and those only, shall be counted which the two Houses shall concurrently decide were cast by lawful electors appointed in accordance with the laws of the State, unless the two Houses, acting separately, shall concurrently decide such votes not to be the lawful votes of the legally appointed electors of such State. But if the two Houses shall disagree in respect of the counting of such votes, then, and in that case, the votes of the electors whose appointment shall have been certified by the executive of the State, under the seal thereof, shall be counted. When the two Houses have voted, they shall immediately again meet, and the presiding officer shall then announce the decision of the questions submitted. No votes or papers from any other State shall be acted upon until the objections previously made to the votes or papers from any State shall have been finally disposed of.

(June 25, 1948, ch. 644, 62 Stat. 675.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTX3W2UYAAKqqR.jpg

The challenge cast a spotlight on divisions among Democrats, with party leaders and many in the rank and file distancing themselves from the effort, while black and liberal lawmakers embraced it. The Democratic presidential nominee, Senator John Kerry of Massachusetts, did not support the objection, nor was he on hand to witness it. He was in the Middle East, meeting with troops.

In the end, the House voted 267 to 31 against the challenge. In the Senate, where the vote was 74 to 1, Mrs. Boxer stood alone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTY0nVU8AAB0Pe.jpg


Legal Information Institute [LII]
OPEN ACCESS TO LAW SINCE 1992

ABOUT LII ▸ GET THE LAW ▸ LAWYER DIRECTORY LEGAL ENCYCLOPEDIA ▸ HELP OUT ▸

12th Amendment

Amendment XII

The electors shall meet in their respective states and vote by ballot for President and Vice-President, one of whom, at least, shall not be an inhabitant of the same state with themselves; they shall name in their ballots the person voted for as President, and in distinct ballots the person voted for as Vice-President, and they shall make distinct lists of all persons voted for as President, and of all persons voted for as Vice-President, and of the number of votes for each, which lists they shall sign and certify, and transmit sealed to the seat of the government of the United States, directed to the President of the Senate;--The President of the Senate shall, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, open all the certificates and the votes shall then be counted;--the person having the greatest number of votes for President, shall be the President, if such number be a majority of the whole number of electors appointed; and if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers not exceeding three on the list of those voted for as President, the House of Representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the President. But in choosing the President, the votes shall be taken by states, the representation from each state having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the states, and a majority of all the states shall be necessary to a choice. And if the House of Representatives shall not choose a President whenever the right of choice shall devolve upon them, before the fourth day of March next following, then the Vice-President shall act as President, as in the case of the death or other constitutional disability of the President. The person having the greatest number of votes as Vice-President, shall be the Vice-President, if such number be a majority of the whole number of electors appointed, and if no person have a majority, then from the two highest numbers on the list, the Senate shall choose the Vice-President; a quorum for the purpose shall consist of two-thirds of the whole number of Senators, and a majority of the whole number shall be necessary to a choice. But no person constitutionally ineligible to the office of President shall be eligible to that of Vice-President of the United States.

Wex Resources

Elections

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTYIL1UwAEZ3RM.jpg

2020-12-15T19:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338930758518329344

QAnon-lite are pinning their hopes on a false description of the Electoral College counting process. The House vote on objection is actually by House member (233 D vs. 196 R), and not by state (that's for breaking a tie)

https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/electoral-votes-rejected-congress/

2020-12-15T19:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338930151451578369

The final fund-raising push by Trump is to present alternate electors at the count on January 6th. This will force a procedural debate. But to make a difference the house will have to vote to accept Trumps electors. Since the Dems control the house, this will not happen.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTV0sRU0AAn82w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTV0sRU0AAn82w.jpg

2020-12-15T19:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338929362922442754

@ItsYourQ1 @StefanT78 @kayleighmcenany Contrails can last for hours, if conditions are right.

Have a look at any book on clouds in the last 70 years. Here's a short video of those books.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-12-15T19:07:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338923633444458496
@ItsYourQ1 @StefanT78 @kayleighmcenany Contrails have a net warming effect due to the heat they trap at night.

2020-12-15T19:06:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338923395539324928
@atlas_grip What's the issue there? Gov Newsom will appoint another Democrat. Then California will elect another Democrat. What do you think is suspicious about not yet vacating her seat?

Or are you saying Mitch is trying to TRICK her into doing it? That's silly.

2020-12-15T18:27:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338913675592122369
@GeertRoelandt When they have their landing lights on it's hard, if not impossible, to see the nav lights on an MD-80 (as they are colocated). The landing light are just too bright.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTG0sDVQAARyfr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTG0sDVQAARyfr.jpg
2020-12-15T18:21:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338912082696126464
@StrattonNC87 There's three in the air right now.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTFLG1VQAUxIfg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpTFLG1VQAUxIfg.jpg

2020-12-15T18:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338910943942684674

Reality creeps inexorably forward. Mitch McConnell's acknowledgment of the Biden-Harris victory opens the door for other Republicans to drop their tacit accommodation of Trump's baseless conspiracy theories.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZaBdSW4pCww

2020-12-15T18:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338909675857723393

@CharlieEmma85 @RichieAllenShow There are a lot more contrails once the weather turns cooler and wetter. In California for example, contrails (and rain showers) are quite rare in the summer. but more common Nov-Mar.

2020-12-15T17:47:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338903469655113728

@UFOi1973 Even highly trained pilots and teams of experts make mistakes sometimes. For example, these Navy pilots saw a plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpS9m0GVQAAucQ0.jpg

---

The pilot tried several times to communicate with the UAP, using the multi-national, civilian bandwidth designed for this purpose. He received no reply.

The technician filmed the object for nine minutes and five seconds, mainly in IR. This sensor produces a black and white video in which the black, white and grey tones are directly related to the temperature of objects being filmed, the darker it appears on the image. The officers stopped the camera when they had to return to the base and the object disappeared behind the clouds.

THIS WAS A PLANE (IB6830)

The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.

The Navy Captain stated that the object was a “flat, elongated structure” with “two thermal spotlights like discharges that did not coincide with the axel of motion.” The technician described it as as “white with a semi-oval shape on the horizontal axis.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpS9m0GVQAAucQ0.jpg
2020-12-15T17:15:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338895394315464704
@GeertRoelandt TFA.

2020-12-15T17:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338894640347398149
@PhantomKush Whereâ□□s the original video?

2020-12-15T17:06:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338893084625494016
@dansim8 No.

2020-12-15T07:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338746173641818112
@h_clagett And yet people mistake them for other things all the time.

2020-12-15T06:28:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338732557345587200
@AFSUnidentified I was just reading "Intimate Alien" by @JournalUFO, and a line in it reminded me of this video. Page 44. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpQiERAU8AI7xw2.jpg

---



were the months during which Belgium, whose capital, Brussels, houses NATO's headquarters, experienced its great UFO wave.

Can this be coincidence?

Of course it can. Wilder coincidences happen every day. But Ouellet has called attention to a symbolic link that brilliantly answers the question of the meaning of the Belgian UFOs and makes the idea of random coincidence entirely unappealing.

Think about what people were seeing in the skies: *three brilliant white lights, surrounding and enclosing a dimmer red light*. Aha, Jung would have said: that's the "quaternity," the archetype that manifests as a group of four, of which the fourth is in some way different from the other three. (We'll soon take a closer look at the quaternity and its role in Jungian thought.) But substitute *star* for *light*, and a more concrete historical symbolism begins to emerge. The red star, as Ouellet points out, was a symbol for Communism. The white star was and remains the symbol of NATO.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpQiERAU8AI7xw2.jpg
2020-12-15T04:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338699170895425536
@itspossible22 Why not both?

2020-12-15T02:17:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338669555254628353
@BenC04549226 Some is not all.

2020-12-15T00:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338649384037330953
@PalHuthoff Not if you are next to a busy road. Or inside. Or inside a car. Or talking loudly. Or several of the above.
Here's the original
https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/cgnclr/i_just_saw_a_fucking_ufo_what_am_i_supposed_to_do/

2020-12-15T00:54:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338648627950972931
@Manning123Sm This was identified as a UFO by the person taking the video. Are UFOs now limited by law to only three lights?

2020-12-15T00:44:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338645995802877952
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpPTbKDVoAEdku3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpPTbKDVoAEdku3.jpg

2020-12-15T00:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338645631200419841

UFO cunningly disguises itself by mimicking the landing lights of an MD-80, but humans still spot it as a UFO because they really aren't that good at identifying planes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1338645165066518528/pu/img/H-WWUNfwNDBgP80u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1338645165066518528/pu/img/H-WWUNfwNDBgP80u.jpg

2020-12-15T00:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338644238666407936

@lucio_linae @SeaSage I'm not offering an explanation for all UFOs, or even all triangles of lights.

I'm suggesting that some triangles of lights were MD-80s. Like this one, for example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1338644177865732097/pu/img/i9km8GiKQJ7N1G0f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1338644177865732097/pu/img/i9km8GiKQJ7N1G0f.jpg

2020-12-15T00:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338641490751356928

@lucio_linae @SeaSage And some variations on that theme, including triangles.
https://www.cnet.com/news/debunking-my-own-ufo-sighting-14-years-later/

2020-12-15T00:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338641029688303619

@lucio_linae @SeaSage Here's one.

https://www.fox23.com/news/trending/goodyear-blimp-mistaken-ufo-new-jersey-skies/AY6DQ7VR4BHF5CADJSEL2HQTDA/

2020-12-15T00:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338638779985235968

@lucio_linae @SeaSage There are many varieties of UFO, and they have many different causes.

Not being an MD-80 does not mean it's a spaceship.

2020-12-15T00:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338638073068851200

@ConceptualJames Your Twitter feed is your public face. So most people are going to see you as reflexively anti-woke, because that's pretty much all they see there. It's certainly the impression I got.

2020-12-14T23:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338632094449201152

@CalgaryPatty Wouldn't it be better if they did?

2020-12-14T23:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338620664249344000

@LoreandLegends3 @gopiisancoolakk It's going to be tough for the GOP.

https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/politics-news/protesters-chant-destroy-the-gop-at-pro-trump-rally-1102967/

2020-12-14T22:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338615772084486145

@_Kodos_ People fill in the blanks and image shapes where there are none. Show me the photos.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illusory_contours

2020-12-14T22:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338612584753188864

@weerasekera79 Either way.

Planes can *appear* stationary for a variety of reasons.

2020-12-14T22:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338608018154344448

@joebob22102 Four years, but who knows?

2020-12-14T22:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338607905298247680

@JBurt1992 It's BS.

2020-12-14T22:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338605125976276998

@p0undmet00 No. Biden won.

2020-12-14T22:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338604999845191681

@_Kodos_ Where we see pictures, it's usually an "artist's impression"

And, of course, there are many different planes, with different landing lights.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOt7VCUwAAMsUb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOt35CVoAE9Mis.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOt7VCUwAAMsUb.jpg

2020-12-14T21:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338604254609592320

@_Kodos_ We are largely dealing with eyewitness accounts here. Terms like "equilateral" and "center" are oddly subjective.

2020-12-14T21:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338603706325995520

@atlas_grip Congress will count the actual electors.

2020-12-14T21:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338603523882160131

@gopiisancoolakk I take it you're an Anon? Still thinking Trump is going to turn this around

somehow?

Or just trolling?

2020-12-14T21:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338602870594101248

(247, as Oregon just arrived)

2020-12-14T21:49:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338602066311168002

Biden has 240 electors. Needs 270. California has 55 which will arrive in the next 30 minutes. Game over again. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOrJnRVEAASllf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOrJnRVEAASllf.jpg

2020-12-14T21:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338601148605890560

@_Kodos_ The lights on An MD-80 are actually on an equilateral triangle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOqe-KU0AAPe3M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOqe-KU0AAPe3M.jpg
2020-12-14T21:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338600282272382976
@_Kodos_ I was prompted to do this while reading David J. Halperin's "Intimate Alien".

"three brilliant white lights, surrounding and enclosing a dimmer red light."
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOpn7ZUcAEuGMC.jpg



were the months during which Belgium, whose capital, Brussels, houses NATO's headquarters, experienced its great UFO wave.

Can this be coincidence?

Of course it can. Wilder coincidences happen every day. But Ouellet has called attention to a symbolic link that brilliantly answers the question of the meaning of the Belgian UFOs and makes the idea of random coincidence entirely unappealing.

Think about what people were seeing in the skies: *three brilliant white lights, surrounding and enclosing a dimmer red light*. Aha, Jung would have said: that's the "quaternity," the archetype that manifests as a group of four, of which the fourth is in some way different from the other three. (We'll soon take a closer look at the quaternity and its role in Jungian thought.) But substitute *star* for *light*, and a more concrete historical symbolism begins to emerge. The red star, as Ouellet points out, was a symbol for Communism. The white star was and remains the symbol of NATO.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpOpn7ZUcAEuGMC.jpg

2020-12-14T20:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338581020329746432

RT @jonathanellis: With Michigan's ballots cast, every state where Trump tried to overturn the result has now cast its electoral votes forâ¦

2020-12-14T20:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338574510555983872

The classic triangle-of-lights UFO somewhat resembles the landing lights of an MD-80.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EpOSBFNU0AAwz9V.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EpOSBFNU0AAwz9V.jpg

2020-12-14T19:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338563130952040448

RT @Jon_Christian: This is social media malpractice

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpODGGZW4AIA2fx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpODGGZW4AIA2fx.jpg
2020-12-14T18:10:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338546825112899586
@kmilmurphy @wikileaks My take is that he tried to help Trump get elected by coordinating with Rodger Stone.

However I don't think the espionage act charges are justifiable.

2020-12-14T18:08:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338546324946317312
@DougArn55586493 @IvanPentchoukov Insanely high numbers like that are usually the result of grossly misunderstanding what they are looking at. Perhaps deliberately, given that it's the Epoch Times.

2020-12-14T17:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338536618957758464
RT @tedlieu: Under the law, both the House and Senate would need to agree to object to the real electors and accept the fake electors. Therâ□¦

2020-12-14T17:26:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338535787013369856
Smartmatic's retraction demand against Fox News is a good development. These scattershot claims need to be put under the spotlight, exposed, and removed. Giuliani, Powell, et al, should be held accountable for their actions.

https://www.mediaite.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Legal-Notice-and-Retraction-Demand-from-Smartmatic-USA-Corp-to-Fox-News.pdf

---

2020-12-14T16:57:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338528570977632256

People are getting excited about rumors of Trump pardoning Assange of @wikileaks

But would it change things (other than for him personally)? Is he supposedly being prevented from revealing something by being in jail, why didn't he reveal it during his years in the embassy?

2020-12-14T16:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338526158829207562

@LoreandLegends3 @QWatch_org You see if any actual experts think it's valid.

2020-12-13T21:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338232481091227648

@Oswald1160 @Cosmic_Mystery0 @FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo There's a lot of these videos. Look for "VFA" "Tour" or "Cruise"

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=VFA+AND+(tour+OR+cruise)

2020-12-13T21:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338231849726791680

@HeadRushV2 It's not a cover story. Why would you think that? What evidence suggests that it's not mosquito spraying?

https://www.kplctv.com/2020/10/19/air-force-c-aircraft-help-spray-mosquitoes-aftermath-delta/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpJau8kUUAI9D_-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpJau8kUUAI9D_-.jpg

2020-12-13T21:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338228960090443778

@HeadRushV2 edited on this image of a mosquito spraying plane.

http://thepioneervalley.blogspot.com/2008/09/altitude-241.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpJX-rXU0AEZT24.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpJX-rXU0AEZT24.jpg
2020-12-13T20:58:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338226791027068928
@HeadRushV2 It's a joke patch.
https://web.archive.org/web/20141121190109/http://teamchemtrail.com/collections/frontpage/products/teamchemtrail-patch

---

2020-12-13T20:36:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338221228356616192
@keigh_see @chadper99248298 @AstroYogi101 @poeticbulldozer @TBRagsdale1 @maxsec @hugh_bothwell @wiguy45 @earthforce_1 @RunningHippo @dwolfman54 @FlatSlugbrains @ReadECAM @badibulgator @CobraElDiablo @KayeTatton @SpiritKing11 @oudeicrat @BigTheyInc @AustralisPiper @QUIZICALLY99 @LaikaAndYuri @Tinman_73 @dimamynedd @NumbersRMyLife @cbridger954 @Foulkesy1 @nicholaspitts @rmbctious @J5_Project @captscorch @USMCNoggin @MechaPanda9K @X_BikiniBear_X @BrianWaltherr @bikinatroll @iamginigician @Watsdecraicjmac @aaronsburrell @readyornotfory2 @Shadowzerg @Captainswoop1 @forthemasses @RedSoxMVP @Brian_Kitchener @Shad0w_Geek @Vortexpuppy1 @GodsPrivates @DeanKo @TheCarp86835734
https://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2020-12-13T18:15:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1338185854318100483
@Flyingh43892139 @stinamariaxo @FiresOfTruth I'm not sure that's editing, or just a property of the reflection - like dirty glass. Or something else.

I'm also unsure of WHY it would need editing. Surely if you wanted to fake something you would just slap in the UFO? The helmet is still easily identifiable.

2020-12-13T17:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338179669867130881

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo 05:02 phone in the cockpit.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIrOVhU8AE3qwX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIrOVhU8AE3qwX.jpg

2020-12-13T17:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338178977219764226

@stinamariaxo @FiresOfTruth I really don't see what you are pointing at there.

It's a reflection of a transparent surface in sun.

2020-12-13T17:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338178072567140352

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo Also, they do not always wear gloves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIp2_nUUAAqWeY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIp2_nUUAAqWeY.jpg

2020-12-13T17:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338177092626747392

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo Counter-example. iPhone in cockpit.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIo222U8AEnUHG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIo222U8AEnUHG.jpg

2020-12-13T17:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338173797950660610

@FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo @topazlabs Not a good idea for photo analysis though. It invents details.

2020-12-13T17:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338170771512782848

@stinamariaxo @FiresOfTruth Where did you download the original from? There are details in yours (right) that are not in the version I have (left). Are you using AI upscaling? Maybe it's

adding things. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIjLHHU0AEcuZf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpIjLHHU0AEcuZf.jpg

2020-12-13T16:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338163765515177987

@stinamariaxo @FiresOfTruth What source image file are you using there? That seems like it has more details that what I have. (photo-2-1.jpg, 1.2 MB)

2020-12-13T16:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338155302827425793

@FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo Who?

2020-12-13T16:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338154635148738561

@stinamariaxo *idea

2020-12-13T16:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338153133147451394

@FiresOfTruth @stinamariaxo Illegal?

2020-12-13T16:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338151970968723456

@stinamariaxo The sea here is that he already had the phone ready.

2020-12-13T00:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337922887634698241

@dave_beaty Or simply a lucky shot.

2020-12-13T00:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337918572643852290

@River_Advntures @rothschildmd Your article suggests a very high degree of high-level coordination with multiple people. I'm not saying there isn't some truth here, but it seems a bit speculative. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpE9lpUUcAAGxGU.jpg

---

So, to sum up, here is how likely to have happened. People like Roger Stone, Paul Manafort, Steve Bannon, Michael Flynn, William Binney, Steve Pieczenik, Robert David Steel, (and/or Jared Kushner, Dan Scavino, and Brad Parscale), drawing on strategies outlined by Jeff Giesea, Chuck Johnson, and Micheal Aquino, used companies like Psy-Group, WikiStrat, Black Cube, and Cambridge Analytica, to develop a strategy to drive support for Donald Trump. That involved a lot of things, including this project called "Q". They used people like Thomas Schoenberger and Lisa Clapier, who used used puzzle-like strategies and cult-like recruitment techniques, along with a small battalion of passionate trolls, to create an ARG style Psy-Op, based a military-thriller conspiracy-core wrapped in a New-Age outer facade. It played right into pre-existing sociological conditions and psychological frameworks. People like Tracy Beanz, Coleman Rogers, Paul Furber, Joe M, Jordan Slather, and Ron and Jim Watkins, were either knowingly or unknowingly used to take the Operation to a wider audience. From there, various influencers built significant and monetizable audiences by posting content from the Q Universe, and a self affirming community began to grow. Companies like Cambridge Analytica and Gloo then used big data to target the content exactly where it would make the most difference, which was further fuelled by algorithms designed to keep people on platforms for profit, by recommending compelling content. It's all supported and amplified by outlets like OANN and Breitbart, and whoever else has an interest in a Trump administration.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpE9lpUUcAAGxGU.jpg
2020-12-12T23:52:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337908302785572865
@LaGataN02698754 Hole punch clouds.
https://www.google.com/search?q=hole+punch+clouds&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpE0gZeVEAA_0Xa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpE0gZeVEAA_0Xa.jpg

2020-12-12T23:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337905658193039362

@HRFaulkner @paul_sailer Unless the phone was already out, in which case it's pretty trivial.

2020-12-12T23:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337905150975909889

@realufobros @steven_dor Just wasn't my cup of tea. Too much of a hassle.

2020-12-12T23:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337896445916016641

@steven_dor @realufobros I did some long-distance solos, then lost interest. Mrs. West wasn't really into it, and flying around LA was a pain.

2020-12-12T23:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337896095855210499

@rothschildmd Yeah, the "weaponized ARG" theory of Q is interesting, but perhaps a little, erm, self fulfilling? Jim Stewartson seems to believe it.

2020-12-12T21:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337877719044956160

RT @sarahcpr: Wow I actually support this rally

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1337810963035197441/pu/img/5KwXMlVPLueJcr9Y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1337810963035197441/pu/img/5KwXMlVPLueJcr9Y.jpg
2020-12-12T21:49:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337877266097827847
@rjblaskiewicz A LARP/Puzzle, possibly created by the NSA.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cicada_3301

2020-12-12T21:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337875784925495297
A visual QAnon explainer. https://twitter.com/jzikah/status/1337852145974951936

2020-12-12T20:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337858686060961794
@cryptokeeper198 Well if someone wants to model one, I'll stick in in. I was thinking of adding some user-selectable models.
I'm using three.js - not sure if there's a way of just importing something with modeled reflective materials. Would be nice to add glinting sunlight.

2020-12-12T20:26:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337856330548199425
@cryptokeeper198 I take the fourth-hand account of what they "ascertained" with a pinch of salt.

2020-12-12T20:25:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337856036045115392
@paul_sailer Are you suggesting that highly trained military pilots are poor observers!?

:grinning_face:

2020-12-12T20:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1337854901691404289
@cryptokeeper198 Yes.

2020-12-12T20:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337854681066864640

@realufobros I'm not quite rich enough.

2020-12-12T20:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337851156165394433

@realufobros No. I took flying lessons many years ago, but I've not flown a plane for a long time.

2020-12-12T19:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337841410473488385

Updated my "Zip-By" tool to add a cockpit, and to display distance in feet and speed in MPH.

https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpD3YUrVgAAlnDj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpD3YUrVgAAlnDj.jpg

2020-12-12T17:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337804994922221568

@murnut @pjrbarnett The jet was moving, so relatively speaking it's similar.

2020-12-12T16:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337793130708418563

@Josef_baum No. It's evidence that even a small object can be visible long enough, in a high-speed fly-by, to take a photo if you already had your camera out.

2020-12-12T16:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337792775262113792

@pjrbarnett @murnut I took a few, and even had the time to adjust exposure as it was visible around 30 seconds.

Point here is that sometimes people just happen to be in the right place at the right time.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpDK8DYVoAE46iK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpDK8DYVoAE46iK.jpg

2020-12-12T16:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337791410867617797

@pjrbarnett @murnut http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpDJ_pvVEAU2438.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EpDJ_pvVEAU2438.jpg

2020-12-12T03:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337606105157959680

@murnut I once took a photo of a U2, because I already had my camera out when it flew over my house.

Things happen.

2020-12-12T02:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337582589264052226

@murnut What if it was already out?

2020-12-12T01:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337577088493056000

@Josef_baum Itâ□□s a UFO thing, specifically to address questions of if you could take a photo of a small object from a fast jet.

2020-12-12T01:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337576620123512836

@Flyingh43892139 Yes

2020-12-12T00:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337556451779211265

@adminnathan And what exactly were they wrong about?

2020-12-12T00:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337556145192411137

A UFO visualization "zip-by" tool. Shows the camera view when flying past a dark cube at a certain speed.

Distances in meters. Speed in m/s, up and right offset from the line of travel. Camera facing forward.

https://www.metabunk.org/zipby/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo_zsccUYAAUqMd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo_zsccUYAAUqMd.jpg

2020-12-12T00:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337554402463256576

@adminnathan Do you perhaps have a list of their names, and what they said?

2020-12-11T17:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337445693443637248

@PMFight Turns out AI upscaling isn't really much of a bother. I wasn't aware of Remini before

today. It's quite amazing, and it's only going to get better. This is what you get with an adware app, imagine what the high end is.

2020-12-11T17:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337445174666907651

@FiresOfTruth

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/president-trumps-facial-changes-after-his-coronavirus-diagnosis-edited-image.11412/

2020-12-11T16:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337438806492909570

Remember that "unedited" photo of Trump from after his Covid diagnosis? Turns out it was an AI generated image, upscaled from a very low resolution video frame using http://Remini.today, or a similar app. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo-IwiwUYAA2Z9H.jpg

---


8:28
Enhance
Before
After

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo-IwiwUYAA2Z9H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo-IoorU0AIP2is.jpg

2020-12-11T06:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337285084139773954

@PharoK The arrows on the sign are about balloon size, and don't move (i.e. they hover)

2020-12-11T00:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337193911027027973

@knightsabers01 The point :)

Which is that even at the speeds jet fly past things, they are visible for a second or two, and are nor blurred.

2020-12-10T22:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337158568122859520

@PharoK 1. See video.

2. Radar, but probably not for a smallish balloon.

3. See the road signs in the video. Look at, say, one of the smaller arrows on a sign. View full screen.

2020-12-10T22:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337158126017998848

@THughesPhoto Sure, but most of the time we are talking about F/A-18s on #ufotwitter.

2020-12-10T22:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337157858010402818

@Flyingh43892139 Now show that facing forward, against a clear blue sky.

2020-12-10T22:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337157686001946625

@ThomasTobin95 I think occasionally people might be fooled by optical illusions.

2020-12-10T21:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337147886933483521

@BradBurton Because UFOs exist.

2020-12-10T21:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337147375777767425

To more accurately simulate it though, you need to view on a large screen. Real life is high resolution full screen.

2020-12-10T21:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337145915467952128

This video is at 2x speed, simulating a speed of about 300 mph. The cars on the other side are closing at over 500 mph.

This might be useful perspective on descriptions of flying past a UFO in a jet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1337144798629699585/pu/img/6TGkO6HlNWd64wi5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1337144798629699585/pu/img/6TGkO6HlNWd64wi5.jpg

2020-12-10T21:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337144695814647808

@Slaneshza @jairoavalenz @MiddleOfMayhem Mylar balloons can reach 30,000 feet is properly

under-inflated.

2020-12-10T20:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337139104136323168

@jonglau @TomSuesss @FettuccineSplit @MiddleOfMayhem Nothing suspicious here, with the "squares". It's how jpeg compression works. It splits an image into 8x8 blocks, and each block is encoded in a way that incorporates all the pixels. With higher compression settings, this means things leak into other pixels in the 8x8 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo54B26UcAIrI4U.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eo54B26UcAIrI4U.jpg

2020-12-10T20:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1337137122839416832

@vocalOrthodox @iuliaa2603

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00&feature=emb_logo

2020-12-10T01:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336838976716427264

@Drrramina @HollyWest Here's something I wrote about this type of thing ten years ago.

https://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-usually-horizontal/

2020-12-10T01:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336838657383100418

@Drrramina @HollyWest It LOOKS like a contrail that's very far away (80+ miles). High up, so illuminated by the sun, and just happened to be lined up with you, so looked vertical (it's horizontal). Do you have a bigger photo?

2020-12-09T22:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336802705507897344

@venusian_boy @Bhima_Jenkins @MiddleOfMayhem 28mm according the the EXIF. Similar to most iPhones.

2020-12-09T21:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336786051268939776

@Oswald1160 @MiddleOfMayhem Just eyeballing that, probably around 300-500 feet.

2020-12-09T21:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336785793491165184

@TomSuesss @Oswald1160 @DanielO12203012 @AFSUnidentified @MiddleOfMayhem Not unless it was REALLY close. The shutter speed was 1/1650 seconds, and it's moving towards the camera.

2020-12-09T20:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336765044537794561

@HamidAbubakr2 Yes, I think it was faked by the night watchman, as evidenced by the fact that he recorded scores of things, but nobody else saw them.

2020-12-09T20:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336764787636617217

@stevene31582968 @KRoach1035 @ExoExplorer3 @Debriefmedia Cube shaped balloons? That's interesting, do you know what the purpose of the balloons was? How high?

Unfortunately we only have third-hand account of what was behind this photo, so it's difficult to know how accurate that is.

2020-12-09T19:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336761446042988544

Sometimes a UFO actually IS just a seagull.
Selective cropping, or lucky shot, the result is the same.
https://twitter.com/robtibbles/status/1333481909175930881

2020-12-09T19:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336760462227042306

@stevene31582968 @KRoach1035 @ExoExplorer3 @Debriefmedia If every Navy pilot had seen one of these, then it would not be getting any attention. It's interesting because it's unusual.

So you not seeing one does not change anything. You are simply one of the >99% of navy

Pilots who have not seen one.

2020-12-09T19:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336756673587728386

RT @GlennKesslerWP: All in one place, the bogus claims debunked --> Election results under attack: Here are the facts https://t.co/3zM2ky7hâ¦

2020-12-09T18:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336741539511296000

@CrewCutYoutube @PostDisclosure Jenna Ellis is the blond lady not wearing a mask. Not the witness.

2020-12-09T16:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336717158441603075

@stang289 Consider what Trump is claiming, and then compare it to what Clinton said after the election.

Not the same thing at all.

2020-12-09T16:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336707302993195009

@LoreandLegends3 You might want to look into Ken Paxton's legal troubles.

He's basically doing this to try to get a pardon from Trump.

2020-12-09T15:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336698303577133061

@TeamYouTube I write about debunking conspiracy theories and helping friends and family who get sucked into them. I interviewed @rothschildmd on QAnon in this context, the video was struck for "harassment and cyberbullying" when it's the opposite. Help! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eQwgOKneLJQ

2020-12-09T14:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336684161621323778

@stang289 Itâ□□s a problem either way. Itâ□□s establishing a mythology.

2020-12-09T07:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336580918245425152

And people whose information changed.

2020-12-09T07:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336580759327424512

This zone flooding seems to be a combination of people with the same name, and the usual election noise which statistically evens out, so makes no difference to the result. https://twitter.com/jennybethm/status/1336531926484570112

2020-12-09T07:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336574618971213824

@b5t1an @blackvaultcom @LtTimMcMillan @Debriefmedia Try this one:

https://u4m6u3u8.stackpathcdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/photo-2-1.jpg

---

2020-12-09T07:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336573922620239873

@BubbleInvestor @BullyEsq Perhaps the least cube-like object out there. Which should tell you something.

Maybe people have noted the similarity to a balloon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eox22S8VoAEgQwv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eox22S8VoAEgQwv.jpg

2020-12-09T07:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336573398462341120

@MJMYuk People like neat labels, but in reality people are a lot more complicated.

It's just easier to dismiss people than to figure them out.

2020-12-08T23:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336454564833214465
@Dubloons I'll put it on YouTube when I get out of YouTube jail in five days. They flagged me for "Harassment and Cyberbullying"

There's a podcast version as well. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EowKMhiUwAAjBKJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EowKMhiUwAAjBKJ.jpg
2020-12-08T23:26:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336452000351850497
Also in podcast form:
https://www.tftrh.com/2020/12/08/episode-47-sarah-conspiracy-casualty/

Apple:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-47-sarah-conspiracy-casualty/id1462120258?i=1000501762626

2020-12-08T23:22:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336451049490530304
In #TFTRH Episode 47, Sarah shares how conspiracy theories turned her partner from a regular guy into someone who thought the world was about to end. From the moon landing hoax, to Flat Earth, extreme prepping, anger and abuse. https://vimeo.com/488723012

2020-12-08T22:53:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336443878212177921
@davpea2 @hssdiv Princeton and Gimbal are different incidents.

2020-12-08T21:23:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336421050179940352
@paul_sailer @jxs606 Only briefly, just behind the plane. In persistent contrail conditions the wake vortices turn a multi-engine contrail into a single trail

---

2020-12-08T21:02:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336415877823000576
@jxs606 They are pretty rare. I've only seen something like this 2 or 3 times in the last 20 year.

2020-12-08T20:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336413238037159937

@jxs606 Contrail groups like that are usually a group of fighter jets moving from one base to another. Sometimes for airshows.

2020-12-08T18:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336383717640523776

@SometimesRatio1 I suspect that they don't do that for cost reasons.

2020-12-08T17:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336359940307357696

@stang289 @ET__GOD https://www.cnn.com/2009/POLITICS/10/16/beck.dunn/index.html

---

2020-12-08T16:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336341240338554883

I glad @C_C_Krebs is taking action. There needs to be some accountability for the firehose of lies coming from the Trump campaign. Other people who have been personally defamed should do this too. https://twitter.com/hsu_spencer/status/1336335464085647364

2020-12-08T16:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336340515365634051

@DeadStateTweets @rothschildmd My videos have been demonetized for a long time, and gradually more and more suppressed in the search. I might as well go to DailyMotion, because bootleg version of my videos there show up higher in search results.

2020-12-08T16:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336340104999137285

@SometimesRatio1 Automated content policing is an unfortunate legal and economic necessity. Friendly fire is inevitable, but it seems like the process is broken.

2020-12-08T07:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336217649194274816

@p0undmet00 @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd You know there are limits.

2020-12-08T07:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336216820961792000

@skytriangles @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd I don't think so. I have MANY videos on controversial videos. They removed one because someone said it was "cyberbullying." It wasn't.

They just have a bad system.

2020-12-08T07:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336216393599963142

@p0undmet00 @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd I'm sure you could think of several reasons why I should be censored. Everyone has limits to free speech.

Let's say I was cyberbullying a child?

Should I be censored?

2020-12-08T07:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336215547357249537

Infighting at Fox News. https://twitter.com/MSN/status/1336165140161462277

2020-12-08T07:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336214719816843265

@AdmiralQuality @rothschildmd Well, if THIS is happening to others, then I'd always be against it.

2020-12-08T07:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336214475939041282

@p0undmet00 @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd Sure I can do that, and there are other platforms. But YouTube has reach, and they WANT to combat disinfo. But they are making a mistake here by saying this video is "harassment and cyberbullying"

https://www.dropbox.com/s/beksmh593m36gnl/TFTRH%20%232%20Rothschild%20Skype%20Precording%20.mp4?dl=0

2020-12-08T07:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336213812001685506

Here's the actual video. That was removed for "Harassment and Cyberbullying."

It contains neither

https://www.dropbox.com/s/beksmh593m36gnl/TFTRH%20%232%20Rothschild%20Skype%20Precording%20.mp4?dl=0

2020-12-08T07:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336213395494764544

@p0undmet00 @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd Do you think that YouTube should have zero content standards?

2020-12-08T07:32:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336212097198243843

RT @techreview: "If we were just fighting the virus, we could beat this. But weâ□□re not. Weâ□□re fighting the virus plus disinformation that iâ□¦

2020-12-08T07:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336211901806604288

@skytriangles @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd Private platforms have the right to remove things that think are harmful or wrong.

I'm not arguing against that right. I'm saying their process is broken.

2020-12-08T07:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336211532166746112

@p0undmet00 @ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd I think I disagree. But you've not really made a clear point. Why don't you SAY what is wrong?

2020-12-08T07:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336206304914722817

@ChooseOnceMore @rothschildmd I cheer the removal of undeniably proven disinformation.

This is not that.

2020-12-08T07:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336205111865982976

@det_crockett06 @rothschildmd This isn't censorship.

They are not suppressing my video because of what it says. They made a mistake, and their system provided no remedies to correct that mistake.

2020-12-08T06:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336198615644061696

@rothschildmd The video is an interview regarding QAnon. It was flagged for "Harassment and Cyberbullying." It's just me and Mike talking critically about QAnon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoshIKVU0AEvJ3T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EosguYXU8AAaKWr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoshIKVU0AEvJ3T.jpg

2020-12-08T06:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336195629798707201

Youtube are alienating a valuable resource in the fight against disinformation by striking videos based purely on a combination of keywords and unspecified subjective assessments.

I've been debunking for a decade. Yet they remove my @rothschildmd video with zero actual reasons http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EosdWLUUUAALRvj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EosdWLUUUAALRvj.jpg
2020-12-08T05:50:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336186454393856000
@ryan_ha @ChristopherKMe4 @JeremyCorbell Yes, I saw it, and responded to it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-12-07T23:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336095645707300864
@Pupi5910 Conspiracies happen all the time.

2020-12-07T23:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336087495235096576
RT @RBReich: We donâ□□t need to treat essential workers like heroes. We need to treat them like human beings with dignity. Raise their wages.â□¦

2020-12-07T23:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336086817678917632
@b5t1an @blackvaultcom @LtTimMcMillan Why don't you use the full resolution image?

2020-12-07T22:41:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1336078421005201408
@lucio_linae I'm happy to hear any feedback.

2020-12-07T22:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336078285562736642

@davpea2 You can see my video. It discusses the FLIR1 video, and just what is shown there.

2020-12-07T22:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336074786246574080

@davpea2 What do you think of my actual analysis though? Like:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-12-07T22:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336074573830189056

@ChristopherKMe4 You know that the majority of my analysis is of the videos. The response I get is "why don't you listen to the eyewitnesses." The video is either evidence or it is not. Who have you talked to who ACTUALLY has a rebuttal of this analysis? Fravor did not.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-12-07T21:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336064383219159041

@SmallFamilyGard Pretty much any conspiracy theory. Certainly with the election though.

2020-12-07T21:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336063745223618561

@Gahuti @ChristopherKMe4 That would be a compelling specific incident, not a pattern.

What we need is compelling specific incidents, although perhaps of a less intimate nature, if the aliens don't mind.

2020-12-07T20:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336038474663653376

@knightsabers01 I think he believes thereâ□□s something significant behind UAPs

---

2020-12-07T19:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336037474666119168

@knightsabers01 Thereâ□□s certainly pages and pages of stuff people said.

2020-12-07T19:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336034010510684160

Most topics I deal with have this problem. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoqL2cAVQAIF_6C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoqL2cAVQAIF_6C.jpg

2020-12-07T18:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336021951261732864

@ChristopherKMe4 Have you ever considered that there might be a little selection bias in the people you are talking to?

2020-12-07T18:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336016919745335296

@Peter50751945 @ChristopherKMe4 Those are not the only two options.

2020-12-07T18:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1336016796671852546

@I3E18 Well them taking down my videos for bullying isn't really a price that benefits anyone.

The price here is really YouTube's lack of spending on accurately figuring out if a complaint is justified.

2020-12-07T16:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335979875782410240

RT @laraseligman: Just in: Steve Blank, a non-fired member of the Defense Business Board, had resigned.

In his resignation letter, he sayâ¦

2020-12-07T04:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335807312003395585

@officer_pinky Yes I am.

2020-12-07T00:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335743798635241472

@carland27488094 I think it might simply be, as someone said, a combination of mentioning QAnon and their satanic pedophiles theories, and actual qanon fans reporting me.

Or maybe some AI reading the transcript and getting the idea that I'm promoting rather than debunking.

2020-12-07T00:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335739350907117569

@MolotoVNKA It makes far more sense the other way.

2020-12-07T00:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335739093641129985

@_Kodos_ I think they do for over 100K, when you get verified. I'm just at 14K

2020-12-07T00:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335738473588748288

@PredatoryPanic I do. Took about an hour to download it all.

2020-12-07T00:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335737449079705601

@bstragnell Interesting. Mostly the ability to rapidly switch between views, and having real-time (30+fps) responsive graph drawing as a slider changes a variable. Nothing that that JS can't handle. I just want a nice framework.

2020-12-06T23:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335733843328815105

@HamidAbubakr2 That's what I was leaning towards, thanks. I'm starting to like Python.

2020-12-06T23:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335732649361469441

@PhyreGoldMAJIC Election data. JSON & CSV. I want to quickly present various views, and have and easy way of adding more.

2020-12-06T23:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335729556997599233

Tech question. If I want to have some highly responsive interactive graphs on my site, is it worth learning and setting up a Dash/plotly/git/Heroku install, or is there a simpler, yet fast and flexible, Javascript approach? Or Jupyter? Something else?

Too many options!!!!

2020-12-06T23:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335727855406174209

@igoramadas I have most of them in various places with various filenames, but downloading them all at once gives the files linked to names and descriptions, which is a lot more convenient.

2020-12-06T22:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335717668947521537

@drumshticknow Are you here simply pleasuring yourself then?

I find it quite useful for both research and dissemination of that research. It complements other outlets like my website, podcast, YouTube, and book.

2020-12-06T22:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335717039751581697

@knightsabers01 @ChristopherKMe4 The commander of a Naval vessel cannot simply "come forward" to discuss operational details.

2020-12-06T22:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335713314215620608

Well after November 4th, Covid deaths continue to rise. Now Trump's own health advisor, Dr. Deborah Brix says the winter surge will be "the worst event that this country will face, not just from a public health side"

https://www.cnbc.com/2020/12/06/birx-winter-covid-surge-the-worst-event-that-this-country-will-face.html https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1321051933654863872

2020-12-06T22:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335712379376607234

@drumshticknow Twitter a way of reaching lots of people, and also having productive conversations.

YMMV.

2020-12-06T22:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335710973936615424

After YouTube did an unwarranted strike on my channel for "bullying", I'm downloading all 140GB of my videos (via http://takeout.google.com) in case they escalate. I've heard cases of people losing their entire channel with no recourse or backup.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EollQ_WU0AEKbMZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EollQ_WU0AEKbMZ.jpg
2020-12-06T22:17:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335710014980288514
@CrewCutYoutube It provides some, especially if we are talking about spittle

---

2020-12-06T21:35:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335699477265604610
@SmallFamilyGard I've already seen suggestions that Democrats tried to infect him to slow down the "hearings".

2020-12-06T21:33:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335698782965526528
Giuliani, unknowingly infected with COVID-19, tries to persuade a woman sat three feet away from him to take off her mask. Luckily she resists.
https://twitter.com/aliamjadrizvi/status/1335690638134824960

2020-12-06T20:24:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335681639930712064
RT @AbigailCensky: Rudy Giuliani sat unmasked in the #mileg House Oversight Committee for 4 hours & 40 minutes Wednesday. At one point he tâ□¦

2020-12-06T20:22:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335681006754414597
Plot twist, Giuliani has Coronavirus.

Somewhat inevitable, given the large anti-mask, anti-social distancing, and other anti-science sentiments that seem to correlate with belief that Trump won the election.
https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1335679426516881409

2020-12-06T20:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335680101422301185

@cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 It ran from 1978 to 1995. How long has has the current task force been in operation?

2020-12-06T20:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335677119620239361

@Dead_Red_Beard @LtTimMcMillan I still think that's the likely explanation. I wrote about this three years ago, and I've not seen good statistical analysis indicating anything other than random illness and priming. Limited cases and restricted info for this study. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sonic-attacks-at-the-us-embassy-in-cuba-mass-hysteria.9102/

2020-12-06T19:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335660353032634368

@cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 There was an army task force to study remote viewing. That doesn't exist.

Fun fact: Hal Puthoff was heavily involved with that. He's now on the TTSA board.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stargate_Project

2020-12-06T18:51:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335658213094481920

@cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Yeah, as seriously as a freeway offramp project, with a similar budget, but fewer personnel.

Don't you think good evidence of mysterious anti-gravity craft buzzing the military warrants billions of dollars and the mobilization of significant resources?

No smoke. No fire.

2020-12-06T18:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335657273104818178

@Irishscientist1 @ChristopherKMe4 There are lots of little mysteries. What was that bright light? What was that triangle? What was that Tic-Tac? What was that white dot? What's that IR blob? What were foo-fighters? What was that radar fast-mover?

Do lots of different little mysteries equal one great mystery?

2020-12-06T18:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335651243788681217

@drumshticknow I'm not doing this for looks.

2020-12-06T18:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335650590559326213

@Flyingh43892139 @cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Trump has lots of "evidence" of Election fraud. We need quality over quantity.

Is this Kaikoura case one you think warrants a massive government investigation? Why? (Or why not?)

2020-12-06T18:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335650051935223809

@cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 It's not being seriously looking into by world governments. At least not any more seriously than, say, car pools on I-395 in Alexandria.

If it the advanced technology were real it would have monumental significance, warranting billions in research.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/commuting/pentagon-to-contribute-20-million-to-alleviate-brac-traffic-problems-at-mark-center/2011/04/13/AFbn6VYD_story.html

---

2020-12-06T18:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335648127223648256

@GerbusJames @maxsec @def732dan @keigh_see @wiguy45 @hugh_bothwell @dwolfman54 @SkyDog_59 @Bradwags68 @poeticbulldozer @Harley_Dogg @badibulgator @rawlinson92 @DeanKo @BostonsBuddha @donniebets1 @USMCNoggin @SplinterSimba @Tinman_73 @rmbctious2 @doc_lamb @alastairjallen1 @EllenLN4 @FlatSlugbrains @Kompromat9 @Frequen15309040 @floyd_marston @GodKingNobody @try_thinking @citizenscienti3 @ColdDimSum @AaronBurrell23 @bigfootRULE @CollinOctantis @TheUpriser1 @LaikaAndYuri @AitorCM2018 @mikeonthebayou @Shaved_Beav @IFDrinkLib @cbridger954 @DenEvigeEtter @JBadass408 @Corax_The_Raven @bikinatroll @doctorbuttons @forthemasses @KayeTatton @nikocari @SciManDan That's a photo of ballast barrels used during initial FAA certification testing of a plane. They simulate the weight of the passengers with water, and are connected to move the weight around for different loading scenarios.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2020-12-06T18:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335645859057262593

@cryptokeeper198 @OceanUAP @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Indeed, and that's what Chris himself repeated with "it is the pattern and not specific incidents that are key"

Why is there no specific incident that is key? Because none of them hold up under analysis.

There's not even a good pattern.

2020-12-06T17:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335644673629569025

@SmallFamilyGard @ChristopherKMe4 Well, pick a compelling example.

VallÃ©e made the "it's not the incidents, it's the pattern" argument after @joerogan mentioned some of the skeptical responses to Roswell. But all the lauded examples seem to fail similarly when subject to neutral analysis.

2020-12-06T17:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335643136924651521

@Irishscientist1 @ChristopherKMe4 I don't dismiss them out of hand. I investigate the videos in great depth.

2020-12-06T16:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335625288139468800

@ChristopherKMe4 Similar? But your prime examples are all different. Tic-tac, cube, cube in a sphere, triangle, saucer, orb. Basically all the shapes.

2020-12-06T15:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335601264793513990

@NRider @rothschildmd It's on all the major platforms, as it's a podcast, not a YouTube channel. But the YouTube versions still get a thousand views or so, and I think it's valuable for people to have the option to watch.

Here's the episode in question.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/05/03/episode-2-mike-rothschild-discussing-qanon/

2020-12-06T14:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335595397591404544

@MadScientistPod @JLuvin @RichardGHopf @nibbleshift It's a fun, interesting, and well-intentioned project. Gathering lots of data means lots of noise to filter out. Lots of data also means LOTS of false positives - especially if your criteria is "unidentified". There will simply be lots of UFOs in the LIZ.

2020-12-06T14:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335594399774900225

Ep 2 of my podcast with @rothschildmd was removed from YouTube for "Harassment and cyberbullying."

It's just Mike and I discussing QAnon, and how to help people out of it.

I'm appealing. But how can you stop false strikes like this? Or are they just auto-flagging now?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eoj639yVQAATLJr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eoj639yVQAATLJr.jpg

2020-12-06T14:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335592601982959624

@JLuvin @RichardGHopf @nibbleshift You are assuming a so-far mythical set "these" things exists that needs observing and explaining.

UFOs exist in a low information zone. Better observations push that zone back. Historically the UFOs have not been uncovered with better observations, but have moved with the zone.

2020-12-06T13:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335584221176315904

@JLuvin @RichardGHopf @nibbleshift Itâ□□s not that I think itâ□□s a bad idea, itâ□□s that I think the results will have a lot of noise.

2020-12-06T05:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335452761308102657

President Donald Trump flooded his first postelection political rally with debunked conspiracy theories and audacious falsehoods Saturday as he claimed victory in an election he decisively lost.

https://apnews.com/article/ap-fact-check-joe-biden-donald-trump-elections-f472cd67a7e842ae3804dec3ee2fbe98

2020-12-06T02:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335417679331540992

@EttaRufus Unless itâ□□s not actually illogical. Maybe Trump IS a bad person.

2020-12-06T02:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335411658705915906

@EttaRufus TDS isn't a real thing.

2020-12-06T01:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335389305208856577

@JunkScience No Trump.

2020-12-06T00:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335379682385006595

@stang289 @SSense91 They cite sources.

2020-12-06T00:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335378263732936704

RT @mehdirhasan: Itâ□□s like having literal children run your legal strategy

https://twitter.com/JennaEllisEsq/status/1335370409592623107

2020-12-06T00:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335377694561763331

@stang289 @SSense91 You mean this?

https://www.factcheck.org/2020/11/danish-study-doesnt-prove-masks-dont-work-against-the-coronavirus/

2020-12-06T00:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335376933425565698

It's getting more and more 1984-like every day with Trump.

People dismiss it as just him trying to raise funds, but there's very real harm being done. Deep divisions that will take decades to heal, and significant potential for violence.

Stop ignoring him. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eog1lSZVgAABfLs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eog1lSZVgAABfLs.jpg

2020-12-05T23:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335371391630077952

Did anyone bother to debunk Dr. Shiva's 130% nonsense? It's still being passed around, and I've not looked into it yet. Hoping not to have to.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogxE8VVQAA7apC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogxE8VVQAA7apC.jpg

2020-12-05T23:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335368812804259840

@SSense91 Masks prevent huge numbers of people getting sick and save thousands of lives. The hospitals are already over capacity in many US states. It's going to get a lot worse over winter.

2020-12-05T23:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335367045647540227

Attendees of the Trump "victory rally" are not big fans of masks. Super-spreader event.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eogs6n2VgAA_Slu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eogs6n2VgAA_Slu.jpg

2020-12-05T23:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335364533360062467

@danieljmedeiros @ChristopherKMe4 I'm really not. And certainly not off UFOs.

2020-12-05T22:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335344879933648896

@ufotodaynetwork correct.

2020-12-05T21:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335342893041479680

@MJMYuk I have not listened to it. Was there something new?

2020-12-05T21:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335339915786084352

@DavidMu86437761 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogUkciVQAA6k0R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogUkciVQAA6k0R.jpg

2020-12-05T21:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335338520055955457

@swimslider @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia I don't think that's a hard limit. Just what that particular balloon happened to do.

2020-12-05T21:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335338300173795329

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogTC27U0AA_EOX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogTC27U0AA_EOX.jpg
2020-12-05T20:55:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335327021992308736
@swimslider @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia Here's a normal party balloon using helium that went to 30,000 feet.
http://www.aprs.org/balloons/Balloon2018/Pico-Anika-summer/Pico%20Balloon%20Report%20paper%20rev12a.docx http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogIyalU0AAetgP.jpg

---

GPS signal. Inside, it will only display the red LED which flashed "hi" in Morse code. The system current was verified with a bench supply set to 4.5 volts.

Filling the Balloon: To fill the 36" Mylar balloon with the correct amount of helium, we inserted a 12" white straw-like tube into the opening of the balloon. The 12" length is needed to get past the self-sealing neck of the balloon. Then we attached a weight onto the balloon that along with the white tube weighed 3 grams more than the Skytracker. We filled the balloon until it was neutrally buoyant with the test mass, then took out the tube and unhooked the test mass and taped the balloon filling hole shut. We attached the Skytracker to the balloon with a fishing swivel. To verify the 3g free lift, we taped a nickel (5g) and verified the balloon sank. Then tried a penny (2g) and the balloon rose, thus verifying we had about 3g of free lift.

Figure 3 shows the Skytracker and balloon prepared for flight.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogIszzUUAEdGQF.jpg

Tracking and Data: All of the data from APRS.FI was pasted into Notepad so we could remove all extraneous non-telemetry and duplicate packets. Then the telemetry was imported into Excel selecting delimited format to align all the columns. Then we converted the time stamps into seconds, and graphed it with altitude. The graph in Figure 5 shows that the early altitude increased and steadily approached a constant value of about 27,000 ft. .



Figure 5. Plot of altitude over time, stabilized at around 27,000 feet as expected

There were variations and the highest altitude reached during the flight was 30,506 ft, but the average was around 28,000ft, the lowest temperature was reported at 8°C, the highest voltage recorded was 6.34V, the average number of GPS satellites being tracked by the payload was about 10, and the transmitting frequency stayed the same at 144.390MHz and 144.340MHz since unfortunately, the balloon never left the United States as shown in Figure 6.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogIyalU0AAetgP.jpg

2020-12-05T20:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335324913800863744

@swimslider @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia Hmm, didn't notice the hydrogen. However the balloons were normal party balloons, just filled with hydrogen.

2020-12-05T20:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335322852065566720

@swimslider @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia That might be a local airspace restriction, because I've seen several normal party balloon flights reported at 30,000+ feet

e.g.

https://www.qrp-labs.com/lightaprs/n6cvo11.html

and

https://groups.io/g/QRPLabs/topic/pico_balloon_launch_lightaprs/32538024?p=,,,20,0,0,0::recentpostdate%2Fsticky,,,20,2,0,32538024

2020-12-05T20:18:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335317626508038144

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia Standard mylar balloons, high altitude flight.

https://amsat-uk.org/2012/10/21/434-301-mhz-pico-balloon-reaches-sweden/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogAMoIVoAE0-R2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EogAMoIVoAE0-R2.jpg

2020-12-05T20:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335316761000865792

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia But pico radio ballooning enthusiasts use standard mylar party balloons and get neutral buoyancy above 30,000 feet, sometimes for days.

So "standard Mylar balloons would burst at 30k ft" is false.

2020-12-05T17:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335274477026902017

@CalgaryPatty You don't see an issue? Even Giuliani was trying to shush her.

2020-12-05T17:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335274300606070784

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia We know that people sometimes make mistakes.

That's an inviolable incontrovertible true and undisputed fact.

2020-12-05T17:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335273995462012928

@_jraza @AFSUnidentified With the data we have, that's what it looks like.

This is how science works. We go with the best hypothesis that fits the data. If data comes along that does not fit, then we change the hypothesis to incorporate new data.

It might be a spaceship that acts like a balloon. Sure.

2020-12-05T16:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335260363613356032
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @Debriefmedia Neutral buoyancy balloons are not rocket science. Just under-inflate them a bit.

And there are zero witnesses here, just some story.

2020-12-05T16:28:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335259753941880835
@atlas_grip My Thesis was that her presentation was so messed up that it will invite claims of sabotage.

Not everything is about everything.

2020-12-05T16:27:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335259469626843136
@DillonToombs4 @sleepisocialist Thanks!

2020-12-05T16:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335259314383069185
@AFSUnidentified @_jraza I very quickly argued it was a BALLOON, based on the the picture and the third-hand pilot account of something not moving.
I'm not sold on the precise model or size of balloon.

2020-12-05T06:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335116421353807880
@ChristopherKMe4 But you can't have a pattern without specific incidents.

Saying that none of the specific incidents are key indicates none of them really hold up to scrutiny. There are zero compelling examples. Lots of uncompelling examples does not make a good pattern.

2020-12-05T06:43:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335112471468601345
Fun watch party with Tony Hawk and the gang.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h3upg-Nzyaw

2020-12-05T06:40:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335111897859850240
RT @AriBerman: 2 more losses:

-Wisconsin Supreme Court denies challenge to election certification

-11th Circuit denies challenge to GA câ¦

2020-12-05T06:40:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1335111742943232005
@atlas_grip I said nothing about any of that.

2020-12-05T06:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335108833358725121

I'm presuming that Trump's team will be claiming this lady is a Democrat plant?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G2f3aw9rk30

2020-12-04T23:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335000985576456195

@foiaboi @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 So given that's it's "an extremely unclear photo" you think a mysterious flying craft is more or less likely than a balloon?

2020-12-04T23:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335000644055265280

@foiaboi @pablovolcano @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 Don't get caught up on a balloon explanation somehow failing if it's not the same size as a Batman balloon.

2020-12-04T23:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1335000417814495234

@foiaboi @pablovolcano @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 Well, just look at the icon above the arrow. That's small. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobfymtU8AA983X.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobfymtU8AA983X.jpg
2020-12-04T23:10:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334998589337059329
@b329496424 Do you even geology bro?

2020-12-04T23:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334998475277103105
@pablovolcano @foiaboi @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 Here you go, 100mph. Sign looks fine. And the phot from the jet used a 20x faster shutter speed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobeCOOUcAAczIS.jpg



100 mph on a Romanian Highway (real time)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobeCOOUcAAczIS.jpg
2020-12-04T22:59:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334995760383840257
@PlutoElect You said "the left wing media never mention Covid transmission in relation to the widespread â□□protestsâ□□ of BLM and ANTIFA" but you were wrong. Would you like to accept this, and make a new claim?

2020-12-04T22:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334995491709276161
@pablovolcano @foiaboi @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 It could be, or maybe not. We have no real idea of the circumstances of the shot. Something coming towards you will be visible for a second or so, so if he had the camera out, he could take a pic. No motion blur expected.

2020-12-04T22:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334995092612902912
@MrHustleMCR @PotatoRamirez @ChristopherKMe4 Sure, but this is all the data we have. A vague story, and a photo of what looks rather like a balloon.

2020-12-04T22:49:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334993134774669315

@foiaboi @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 I'm not following your logic. The theory is that it's a balloon that was under-inflated. All of those go to 30,000 feet. So what if there's lot of other different irrelevant balloons that pop?

2020-12-04T22:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334992754791727104

@TheOuts32200170 What there indicates it's far away?

2020-12-04T22:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334992556019470336

@MrHustleMCR @PotatoRamirez @ChristopherKMe4 If you have your iPhone out in photo mode then it's about 20x as quick to snap a photo with the side button compared to switching to video mode then starting a video.

2020-12-04T22:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334991882481352704

@mattjdavidson @davexmit The article says that private/incognito should make Google only use location data.

2020-12-04T22:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334991595855294464

@mattjdavidson @davexmit Hmm, I tried it in Firefox and Safari, both in a private window, and got the same results.

2020-12-04T22:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334990762908372993

@MrHustleMCR @PotatoRamirez @ChristopherKMe4 Maybe they just flew past it and only had time for one photo.

2020-12-04T22:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334990636164894720

@TheOuts32200170 I don't really see any significant zooming that would indicate it's "far away". Zoom works on nearby objects too.

It's perhaps the world's lowest quality video, you can't get anything from it.

2020-12-04T22:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334989899720617984

@foiaboi @flarkey @ChristopherKMe4 Who said it was a full balloon? To get to that altitude it would have to be partially inflated, either deliberately, or from being old.

2020-12-04T22:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334989578424307712

@ChrisEQ192 @LevertonCameron @Kylz78 @THPSfilm @tonyhawk @stevecaballero @ludviggur @ralphdamato @EzSheekyz It's tight.

2020-12-04T22:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334983546763558912

Question for @ChristopherKMe4, have you seen the triangle UFO iPhone photo, and can you

confirm it does not look like this one? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobQWU2UUAATzku.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobQVQPUcAAJ-Mz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobQWU2UUAATzku.jpg

2020-12-04T22:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334982546203918337

@thedrunkinmonk @TheOuts32200170 Well that's just a flexible thermal cover that an astronaut dropped. So seems unlikely to be connected to the insect-like object.

2020-12-04T22:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334982303559270400

@davexmit What did you search for exactly? I was searching for: "70 years of books on clouds" - does the same in an incognito window.

2020-12-04T22:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334981814444720128

@TheOuts32200170 You mean its insect-like motions? You think it's an alien pretending to be a dragonfly?

2020-12-04T22:03:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334981659188371456

@ggguidedm @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ No, I'm saying "You can't edit a generated report and then put it back in the database."

2020-12-04T22:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334981502916911105

@atlas_grip I think we should abolish the electoral college so EVERYONE'S votes count.

2020-12-04T22:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334981254412816384

@officer_pinky The video I mentioned has been shared hundreds of times.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-12-04T21:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334979960289648641

@W3lIy No great mystery there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobNGSjUUAAx1lj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobNGSjUUAAx1lj.jpg

2020-12-04T21:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334979552724963329

@atlas_grip Trump was a big fan of the popular vote at one point. It's a common opinion. My vote for President does not count because I'm in California. It should count.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobM00HUwAAei7E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobM00HUwAAei7E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobMxm1U0AIUGsT.jpg
2020-12-04T21:52:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334978888502415360
@TheOuts32200170 Somewhat fly-like. Shit video.

2020-12-04T21:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334978805958541313
@ggguidedm @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Huh?

A report is a distillation of data. There's no such thing as reverse distillation.

2020-12-04T21:46:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334977399885234176
@ggguidedm @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ You can't edit a generated report and then put it back in the database. That's not how it works.

2020-12-04T21:43:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334976717211926530
I used to use Google all the time to find my YouTube videos, but increasingly they are beaten out by crap like pirate versions with hardly any views.
Seems like I'm caught up in disinfo suppression. Baby in the bathwater.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobKEMvUUAAdjr4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobKEMvUUAAdjr4.jpg

2020-12-04T21:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334972917398921224

@SpaceDadOrbis @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia Common denizens of the sky, and probably responsible for a few TFA sightings.

2020-12-04T21:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334972276635127808

@Duwg80 Take it to court.

2020-12-04T21:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334972103020318720

@SpaceDadOrbis @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia Indeed

Here is a photo of some seagulls, compressed and uncompressed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobF_yJVgAAz1a6.jpg



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobF_yJVgAAz1a6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobF46ZUYAEqK7s.jpg

2020-12-04T21:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334970121362673664

@SpaceDadOrbis @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia It's image compression artifacts.

2020-12-04T21:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334969401452285953

"The fact is weâ□□re in a crank pandemic and thereâ□□s no vaccine."

https://twitter.com/Slate/status/1334933717039665153

2020-12-04T21:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334967418674769920

@LarsTennyson Are burning crosses and white power not racist?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobBuXNVQAAv-98.jpg

> In a video posted to a DLive account run by someone with the username CultureWarCrimnal, the group of men claims to have driven for over 5 hours to get to the statue's site, streaming most of the time. The man who appears in the later video is wearing military fatigues and camo face paint. He's vaping while saying things like, "I will fuck you to death if you don't sing." The group goes on to sing "Battle Hymn of the Republic" and other military-centric songs, all while greeting his viewers with vulgar, racist commentary. Two other members in the back of the car have combat helmets on with night-vision goggles attached.
>
> Throughout the video they mentioning burning crosses and white power.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EobBuXNVQAAv-98.jpg

2020-12-04T20:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334961329556213760
That monolith story started as fun art-in-desert-mistaken-for-aliens, then spawned some copycats, and quickly evolved into white power racists calling them gay and satanic and replacing one with a cross on a livestream.
https://www.vice.com/en/article/qjpjk7/mysterious-monolith-update-racists-destroy-california-monolith-proclaim-christs-superiority-to-space-aliens

---

2020-12-04T20:32:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334958867394904064
@LarsTennyson @stopthesteallll Well, spewing lies about the election, for a start. Fomenting division and unrest has been a common theme, but he's really ramped it up now.

But then there's all the anti-science stuff. You can look it up.

2020-12-04T20:26:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334957335060434945
@u5n89 I'm assuming she is innocent until proven guilty. If she's innocent then she was just doing her job.

We should be working under that assumption. Gateway Pundit did not, and their accusations and doxxing are inexcusable.

2020-12-04T20:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334950682994954240
@Flyingh43892139 Is it possible that he might already have his camera out?

Sure it's possible it's aliens or human anti-gravity, but we know that sometimes people take photos.

2020-12-04T19:58:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334950203783217152
@b329496424 One final thing.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/burying-the-debunk-how-fake-news-about-pyramids-in-antarctica-creates-false-balance.8193/

2020-12-04T19:54:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334949112991518720
@tomohbedlam @ConceptualJames You quoted him using "game"

2020-12-04T19:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334948875308736513
@atlas_grip We should do, but that's a topic for another day.

Like Jan 21st.

2020-12-04T19:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334948676976824320

@atlas_grip And the challenges are all failing.

2020-12-04T19:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334948542180311041

@Flyingh43892139 Yes, see discussion that follows that tweet.

2020-12-04T19:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334948359941984256

With most of the votes being counted, it turns out the polls were not quite as bad as they seemed. Biden voters just gave more accurate answers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoawKhWUwAEps8E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoawKhWUwAEps8E.jpg

2020-12-04T19:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334944886865285125

@atlas_grip 6,980,490 is how many more people voted for Biden.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoatM25VEAAzi_S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoatM25VEAAzi_S.jpg
2020-12-04T19:36:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334944653326487552
@atlas_grip Do you think the doxxing is justified?

2020-12-04T19:35:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334944430915088385
@CharleneNMarie @Jim_Jordan Jet planes use kerosene, not gasoline. Both are hydrocarbons.

Contrails form when the hydrogen in the hydrocarbon reacts (burns) with the oxygen in the air, and makes hydrogen oxide, aka H2O, aka water, aka clouds.

2020-12-04T19:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334943501012717576
@atlas_grip Except those 6,980,490?

2020-12-04T19:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334943207294083072
@atlas_grip Misguided vigilante bullshit. You want to punish someone before they have been found guilty. That's unconstitutional.

2020-12-04T19:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334942758469914625

@BrowneBJ Reality has a liberal bias.

2020-12-04T19:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334942598046236673

@PlutoElect Literally 45 seconds of googling found three major examples.

2020-12-04T19:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334942495831035905

@PlutoElect

https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/jun/03/california-covid-19-cases-rise-reopen-protest

---

2020-12-04T19:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334942290616336384

@PlutoElect https://www.cbsnews.com/news/us-election-celebrations-protests-covid-19-cases/

2020-12-04T19:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334942224308584449

@PlutoElect

https://www.cnn.com/2020/06/01/health/protests-coronavirus-spread-concerns/index.html

---

2020-12-04T19:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334940314805551105

@atlas_grip What is this, trial by internet? You think that's a good idea? Would you submit yourself to such a trial.

We are a nation of laws, not a nation of anonymous keyboard warriors.

2020-12-04T19:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334939714181197824

@Duwg80 Eric doesn't understand.

Or he does, and he's just spreading muck.

2020-12-04T18:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334934233928716290

@uFo_dis_ci_ple They are reporting on something that happened today.

It's good, because many conservatives still get their news from Fox.

2020-12-04T18:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334933994585014272

@NerdGhey I didn't misrepresent you. I asked you a question.

The recertification means there's being another recount, on video, with multiple bipartisan and media observers, and the best they can come up with is this suitcase crap.

2020-12-04T18:52:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334933604149817351
Fox News continues to occasionally be the voice of reason, given hope for humanity.

https://www.foxnews.com/politics/georgia-recertifies-election-results-fulton-county-video
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eoai7tsVgAEmHeP.jpg

A senior source in Raffensperger's office told Fox News that the video has been investigated and claims that it showed ballot fraud deemed unfounded, adding that Fulton County election officials had a designated observer at the location the entire time -- a practice that has been in place since June.

Raffensperger's office also debunked allegations that the ballots were stuffed in a pair of "suitcases" that one election official pulled out from beneath a table in the video, saying footage shows the ballots in the cases that they were supposed to be kept in.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eoai7tsVgAEmHeP.jpg
2020-12-04T18:50:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334933192961245185
@NerdGhey No, y'all never do. because you don't have the evidence to back up such accusations.

It's all just muck spreading.

https://www.foxnews.com/politics/georgia-recertifies-election-results-fulton-county-video

---

2020-12-04T18:47:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334932237800722433
@NerdGhey So you are accusing Brad Raffensperger, the Republican Secretary of State for Georgia, of election fraud?

2020-12-04T18:44:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334931617651990528
@stopthestealll TDS is bullshit. I'm clear-eyed about how bad Trump is for the country.

2020-12-04T18:35:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334929407241199616
RT @GabrielSterling: The 90 second video of election workers at State Farm arena, purporting to show fraud was watched in its entirety (houâ¦

2020-12-04T18:32:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334928675913887749
@tomohbedlam @ConceptualJames Because you are increasingly just preaching to the choir. If you want to enact meaningful change rather than just snipe in code then stop playing games and start communicating in good faith.

Didn't you write a book about that?

2020-12-04T18:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334928002744864769

@atlas_grip @stang289 @hellscape_2020 I read them. Do you?

2020-12-04T18:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334926147058950145

@tomohbedlam @ConceptualJames Playing games isn't a good way of communicating.

2020-12-04T18:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334925715087638528

@atlas_grip @USMCNoggin @stang289 And who said they were not counting?

2020-12-04T18:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334925644249980929

@atlas_grip @USMCNoggin @stang289 That's not how it works.

2020-12-04T18:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334925527908421632

@b329496424 Seriously? You can't even tell what that is, let alone what happens with it.

2020-12-04T18:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334923835678683136

@atlas_grip @hellscape_2020 @stang289 The video is not of votes being reported to the NYT data feed.

2020-12-04T18:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334921730536566785

@SMcgrift Sure, everyone who does not agree with you is RINO.

Ever heard of the "no true Scotsman" fallacy?

2020-12-04T18:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334921439078563841

@tomohbedlam @ConceptualJames Not the point James is trying to make.

2020-12-04T18:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334920943592824832

Gateway Pundit is disgusting. They identify a poll worker just doing her job, and accuse her of being a "crooked operative" basically encouraging people to harass and attack her.

Hopefully she gets through this and sues them for millions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoaW7OxU0AAyeoa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoaW7OxU0AAyeoa.jpg

2020-12-04T17:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334915189217480705

@ConceptualJames Strawman.

What people (including the justice department, and many state Republicans) are ACTUALLY pointing out is that there is no good evidence of significant election fraud.

2020-12-04T17:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334914836019351552

"Suitcases" of ballots scanned in secret? Nope.

https://leadstories.com/hoax-alert/2020/12/fact-check-video-from-ga-does-not-show-suitcases-filled-with-ballots-pulled-from-under-a-table-after-poll-workers-dismissed.html

---

2020-12-04T16:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334902553268482052

@EclecticMethod @Neil_Bower @AFSUnidentified If you hum a few bars I can fake it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoaGhNpVgAAxwER.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoaGhNpVgAAxwER.jpg
2020-12-04T16:42:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334900801764958208
@stinamariaxo @awakeningMan @Debriefmedia I'm not paid.

People are passionate about their beliefs, and when they feel those beliefs are under attack then they push back.

Also sometimes memes take on a life of their own.

Both things are just human nature.

2020-12-04T16:39:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334900239736528896
@EclecticMethod @Neil_Bower @AFSUnidentified Close, I am going to livestream myself watching a documentary with Tony Hawk. 5PM PT today!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h3upg-Nzyaw

2020-12-04T16:37:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334899520451137537
@BobMcGwier_N4HY @jgabrielgraham @MiddleOfMayhem Well, he was apparently 1000 units away from it while flying toward to take a photo. What happened in the next ten seconds? Did he stop and turn around?

Obviously he got closer than is shown in this photo.

2020-12-04T16:34:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334898765677752322
@johnnydowner Clickbait.

2020-12-04T16:27:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334897044356386818
@sims11tz
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2020-12-04T07:19:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334759177684545538
@HopitalC Racetrack contrails. https://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2020-12-04T07:17:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334758740893921280
@PredatoryPanic I'd be like: "FASCINATING!"

2020-12-04T06:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334753464300126209
@nick904nick Correct!

2020-12-04T06:56:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334753373392814086
@nick904nick Multiple people with no cameras?

2020-12-04T06:55:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334753190550519808
Republican congressman standing up to Trump. We need more of this.
https://twitter.com/RepKinzinger/status/1334137974385160192

2020-12-04T06:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334752056343597062
Section 230 is what made social media, including Twitter, able to function. It's what lets me run a forum. Trump wants to end it because he does not like people saying bad things about him. Because of this he's going to veto the defense bill.

See:
https://internetassociation.org/publications/a-review-of-section-230s-meaning-application-based-on-more-than-500-cases/ https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1334718387583377408

2020-12-04T06:43:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334750198665375744
Most UFOs are also TFAs - Too Far Aways.

Here's one that mysteriously and slowly looms out of the trees, but when it gets close it flips from UFO to IFO.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334749759655927809/pu/img/s_KJrbzBSMcRx3sU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334749759655927809/pu/img/s_KJrbzBSMcRx3sU.jpg
2020-12-04T06:36:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334748462185902081
@MiddleOfMayhem Trig checks out, about 8 feet at 1,000 feet on an iphone, assuming 60Â° FOV.

So I think it's probably a closer balloon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX6j3UU0AE17J2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX6j3UU0AE17J2.jpg
2020-12-04T06:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334746607401648137
@MiddleOfMayhem Going the other way, looks like it's about 8' high at 1,000 feet. So 4' at 500, 2 at 250, etc. Really I should do some trig :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX4w0UU0AAntil.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX4w0UU0AAntil.jpg
2020-12-04T06:24:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334745468039938048
@MiddleOfMayhem Still, you can see that's still a huge overestimate for 1000ft.

Hmm, I just realized the 40m ball was off, as I was one intersection off, reducing the size by about 1/3. Still rough. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX34QAUUAMaqRp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoX34QAUUAMaqRp.jpg
2020-12-04T06:12:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334742272676487171

@ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem The 20m wide intersection is at 1000 feet, and the ball is just a circle drawn twice the size of the intersection. It's a 2D photo.

2020-12-04T06:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334741004918743042

@MiddleOfMayhem I used Google Earth to measure the distance to get 1000 ft. (and measure the width of the intersection). Checked my iPhone had the same 28mm equivalent focal length.

Light was crappy, I might try again tomorrow. Or I might get back to election stuff :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXzfP5UcAExUxc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXzfP5UcAExUxc.jpg

2020-12-04T05:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334737679070597122

@MiddleOfMayhem Thanks. Also consider if the object is a mylar ballon, it may have been visible from a longer distance as a bright light. Giving them more time to take a photo.

2020-12-04T05:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334737214748516353

@MiddleOfMayhem Here's a train moving at about half that speed. You can see things approaching from some way off, even in this cluttered low quality video.

https://youtu.be/2iPn36AWZgM?t=133

2020-12-04T05:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334735959204659203

@MiddleOfMayhem If it was the only thing in the sky, and glinting in the sunlight, and I was going more like 400mph, then yes.

2020-12-04T05:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334735598385471489

@MiddleOfMayhem @Chernoble69 It could quite easily have been doing half that speed.

2020-12-04T05:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334734861320376320

@Miles90234527 @WitnessCitizen Why don't you show me? I've not seen any good evidence that such a thing exists.

I've not even seen any numbers (unless you count 90Â°), just vague stories.

2020-12-04T05:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334734533774647296

@Chernoble69 @MiddleOfMayhem That is actually one of my favorite things to comprehend, and explain.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MMiKyfd6hA0

2020-12-04T05:40:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334734286461698050

@MiddleOfMayhem Nope. The size of the sphere is actually measured, not eyeballed. The intersection is exactly 1000 feet away, it's about 20m wide from the backs of the crosswalks. I made the circle twice the size. Same iPhone focal length.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXtwXVUUAEgbqJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXtpp0VgAIhJq0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXtsOyUcAAfvRC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXtvMFVEAEfJmh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXtwXVUUAEgbqJ.jpg

2020-12-04T05:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334732773710426115

@Chernoble69 @MiddleOfMayhem The dot is probably about 40"

All that means is the object is probably closer than 1000f, if it's a similar size

---

2020-12-04T05:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334732128429338624

@MiddleOfMayhem Here's what 40m looks like at 1000 feet on an iPhone.

You friend's estimate is ridiculously wrong. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXrmIJU8AMKQpl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXrmIJU8AMKQpl.jpg

2020-12-04T05:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334731786203451392

@MiddleOfMayhem @BobMcGwier_N4HY Where do you get 1000m from?

2020-12-04T05:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334731460301914112

@MiddleOfMayhem Regarding " A 40 meter ballon would be more like it." Here's approximately what 40 meters looks like at 1000 feet on an iphone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXrLatVoAAaFg6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXrLatVoAAaFg6.jpg

2020-12-04T05:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334729817023860736

@Chernoble69 @MiddleOfMayhem And yet that is what the pilot said they did.

2020-12-04T05:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334729192164847616

@MiddleOfMayhem Very rough estimates, but I'd say consistent with a balloon, maybe somewhat closer than 1,000 feet. Like 500 feet.

The batman ballon is just an example, not intended to be the exact size and model.

2020-12-04T05:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334728787959762949

@MiddleOfMayhem http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXov5SVgAINByY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXov5SVgAINByY.jpg

2020-12-04T05:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334728689372651523

@MiddleOfMayhem Overlaid at the same width as the UFO image.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXohlTU8AAV4k4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXohlTU8AAV4k4.jpg

2020-12-04T05:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334728284018335746

@MiddleOfMayhem The photos is a 28mm equivalent lens, same as my iPhone. I took a photo of a stop sign exactly 1,000 feet away. It's 30 inches wide. Highlighted it here, as the light was not very good. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXoOHmU0AAwYIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoXoOHmU0AAwYIu.jpg
2020-12-04T05:12:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334727313280253954
@foiaboi @AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom Why not?

I don't think it's necessarily a batman balloon, just similar shape.

2020-12-04T05:11:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334727059742986240
@UltimaTheKing "I wonder what that is"

2020-12-04T00:56:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334662940889292800
How to make a UFO. Any shape you like. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c8ofefkuNog

---

2020-12-04T00:30:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334656346239631360
@foiaboi @AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom They use regular party balloons

---

2020-12-04T00:29:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334656074272571393
@knightsabers01 @UFODemonking @mesolithicguy @Jay_waters1 @ufouapam Yup. 5 PT/8 ET
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h3upg-Nzyaw

2020-12-04T00:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334655090997641217
@Flyingh43892139 @SuperVFunCat1 @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia 48" Maybe more. But why not?

2020-12-04T00:17:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334653073088684032
@knightsabers01 @UFODemonking @mesolithicguy @Jay_waters1 @ufouapam The plane is moving forward. The object is off to the right a bit, but the plane is flying towards and past it.

2020-12-04T00:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334652411240042497
@FiresOfTruth @SuperVFunCat1 @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia And 1,000 mph is a nonsense figure. The closing speed is the airspeed of the jet, which is not given. Could be 200-300 mph.

2020-12-04T00:12:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334651859663908866
@SuperVFunCat1 @flarkey @19Nimbus @Debriefmedia That is not accurate. If you are flying more-or-less towards something that's 1000 feet away then you can take a photo of it. It's not right outside the window.

2020-12-03T21:14:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334607032163319808
@UFODemonking @Jay_waters1 @ufouapam It wasn't moving. Balloons can do that.

2020-12-03T21:14:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334606957492092928
@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Since that's not available, I don't see how I can incorporate it.

I'm going by they data we have, not imagined data.

2020-12-03T21:13:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334606780471525376
@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan If I repeat explanation it's because I think those are the best explanation for those cases. This isn't a variety show.

2020-12-03T21:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334606525394866176
@ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan They have onboard radar, and can hook in remote radar. I'm not sure it would pick up a mylar balloon though. It's designed for planes and missiles. Heavy meta objects.

2020-12-03T21:10:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334606029997240321
@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan And what would that entail?

2020-12-03T21:10:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334605964759027714

@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan It would appear that way from a fast moving plane.

2020-12-03T21:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334605654053416960

@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan well heck, I did just look up how high mylar balloons can go (at least 32,000 feet it correctly under-inflated). Isn't that research?

2020-12-03T21:08:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334605337391816704

@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan What line?

2020-12-03T21:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334605202570182656

@swimslider @edwardcurrent @Debriefmedia High up air masses are internally very stable, even when moving at high speed. Consider clouds, for example.

And they would not have had it in sight for long if they were just flying past it.

2020-12-03T21:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334603695913226240

@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan I think that overstates things. But what if I do? Don't you wan't bunk claims removed? Rigorous investigation will only make your remaining case stronger.

2020-12-03T20:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334602825431597056

A good overview of what has been going on with Sidney Powell and her krakens.
https://twitter.com/RightWingWatch/status/1334602054778687488

2020-12-03T20:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334602209967796224

@Corey7999 @ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan I enjoy analyzing photos to try to figure out what they show. I'm not really a UFO guy.

2020-12-03T20:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334602005268971522

@NordicAwe @Debriefmedia It usually is at 30,000 feet altitude. It's moving, but it's all moving, so stable.

2020-12-03T20:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334601782731796480

@ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Never assume. Radar was never mentioned. I think, like Fravor, they were just eyeballing it, but since they don't know how bit it is, they might greatly overestimate.

2020-12-03T20:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334600141626507269

@ztrussell @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan How do they know how far away it was if they

don't know how big it is?

2020-12-03T20:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334599643045347329

@Corey7999 @_olligo_ @Anon1776John @Debriefmedia Well, that batman balloon is a "super edged object", so maybe, yeah.

2020-12-03T20:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334598364659396614

@Corey7999 @_olligo_ @Anon1776John @Debriefmedia

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVyImpVQAACKFX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVyImpVQAACKFX.jpg

2020-12-03T20:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334598286561300483

@AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom @thezignal has blocked me. Perhaps you could quote what they said, if you want to discuss it.

2020-12-03T20:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334598084974678018

@AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom Pico balloon enthusiasts just use common store-bought party balloons. It's not rocket science. Just under-inflate it.

2020-12-03T20:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334597746846621696

@Corey7999 @_olligo_ @Anon1776John @Debriefmedia No, I'm saying it looks exactly like a balloon, and I was asking you if you think it does not, and if so, then how?

2020-12-03T20:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334597397796716545

@Gahuti @AstrobiologyDay @blackvaultcom

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVxQeLUwAABRrV.jpg

The West Georgia Amateur Radio Society is in the very beginning stages of planning to launch a pico balloon. These are Mylar balloons (just like the silver party balloons) with an incredibly light payload transmitting its location using APRS or WSPR. These balloons are inflated just enough to reach the jet stream and then stall out there, allowing them to travel thousands of miles. Some have even traveled around the world.

WX4BK gave a presentation at our last club meeting about the WSPR mode that our balloon will be using. You can view it as a PDF here, and as a PowerPoint here.

We will keep you updated on the progress of our project and the information to track it once it's in flight.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVxQeLUwAABRrV.jpg

2020-12-03T20:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334596944677593088

@Gahuti @AstrobiologyDay @blackvaultcom For pico radio balloons, mylar party balloons are deliberately under-inflated to get to higher altitudes. See some at launch:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVw1XZUwAI4-Iq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVw1XZUwAI4-Iq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVwnXpVEAEl62V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVwt6IUYAEHSwP.jpg

2020-12-03T20:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334596220182880256

@AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom It's a party balloon of some type, just like are used for pico balloons. Do some googling.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVwL65U8AACRgr.jpg



Naval Academy Amateur Radio Balloons
aprs.org



Naval Academy Amate...
aprs.org



WB8ELK Balloons
hiwaay.net



434.301 MHz PICO Balloon Reaches...
amsat-uk.org



Australian ham radio pico balloons ...
amsat-uk.org



B-11 and B-12 Pico Ball...
amsat-uk.org



Pico Space Balloon Circumnavigates The ...
hackaday.com



Around the World in 14 days
harc.net



Balloon2 – W5KUB.COM



US school ham radio balloon approaching ...



Naval Academy Amateur Radio Balloons



434.301 MHz PICO Balloon Reaches Sweden ...

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVwL65U8AACRgr.jpg

2020-12-03T20:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334595894323204096

@AFSUnidentified @PredatoryPanic @blackvaultcom See here. 32,000 feet for three days. Two 36" Mylar party balloons

https://www.qrp-labs.com/lightaprs/n6cvo11.html

2020-12-03T20:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334594626540371968

@PredatoryPanic @AFSUnidentified @blackvaultcom yeah, well in the link I posted a mylar balloon (two actually) went to 32,000 feet and stayed they. Neutral buoyancy, not rising or falling, so would seem motionless.

2020-12-03T20:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334594271496658944

@Deardenpad @blackvaultcom Pico radio balloons use common party balloons and under-inflate them to reach higher altitude. Here's one that reached 32,000 feet, for three days, and neutral buoyancy (not rising or falling) https://www.qrp-labs.com/lightaprs/n6cvo11.html

---

2020-12-03T20:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334593716464492544

@futruaultra @Debriefmedia Marco Rubio has not said anything about this photo.

We are discussing this photo, not ufology.

2020-12-03T20:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334593555885539328

@_olligo_ @Corey7999 @Anon1776John @Debriefmedia reflection.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVtw2EVgAATpgS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVtw2EVgAATpgS.jpg
2020-12-03T20:16:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334592485792702466
@futruaultra @Debriefmedia Why? What did I say that is incorrect?

2020-12-03T20:15:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334592010628395008
@PredatoryPanic @AFSUnidentified @blackvaultcom Pico radio balloons use common party ballons and under-inflate them to reach higher altitude. Here's one that reached 32,000 feet and neutral buoyancy (not rising or falling) https://www.qrp-labs.com/lightaprs/n6cvo11.html

---

2020-12-03T20:12:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334591377053687808
@PredatoryPanic @AFSUnidentified @blackvaultcom
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVrxA_UUAETR3g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVrxA_UUAETR3g.jpg
2020-12-03T20:10:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334590920356843520
@blackvaultcom @TheOuts32200170 I think the waved edge more closely resembles the party ballon though.

2020-12-03T20:08:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334590240124588033
@Disclosure89 @AFSUnidentified @IvanHeadless @blackvaultcom "Entire" - don't be ridiculous. You have zero idea how much time, if any, people spent on this.

2020-12-03T20:07:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334590048595922946
@NordicAwe @Debriefmedia Why would it tumble in a stable air mass? Especially if it had a small payload, which it might have. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVqeZSUUAEsjaP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVqeZSUUAEsjaP.jpg

2020-12-03T20:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334589661151252481

@JBurt1992 @TeamTrump @realDonaldTrump Nobody is sure, so if they have suspicions, then maybe they should find out, instead of throwing around wild speculation.

2020-12-03T20:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334589453810089984

@AFSUnidentified @IvanHeadless @blackvaultcom Huh? It's obviously not a cube. What are you looking at? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVqBKIUUAAY35J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVqBKIUUAAY35J.jpg

2020-12-03T20:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334589166961586176

@SMcgrift @CodeMonkeyZ @spudhorse @LLinWood Seems like the simplest thing to do would be to politely ask those workers, what was happening, before making wild assumptions and accusations which might lead to people getting attacked.

2020-12-03T19:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334588176812240896

@Corey7999 @Anon1776John @Debriefmedia Does it NOT look like a balloon, in that photo? How so?

2020-12-03T19:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334584093896392707

@blackvaultcom highlighting the contours. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVlHnCUcAAq0Be.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVlHnCUcAAq0Be.jpg
2020-12-03T19:43:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334583976388771840
@therealpmoney No.

2020-12-03T19:21:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334578538658189314
@c64f7e94 @conorowens94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia I'm not the one saying it's evidence. I'm saying it's NOT evidence. Tim's article claimed it was evidence.

I'm saying it's a die. Tim is saying because the die rolled a number it's blue. He's repeating a nonsense argument about air currents.

2020-12-03T19:12:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334576318101352448
@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia The article claims that being motionless is evidence it's not a balloon.

I'm saying it's entirely consistent with a balloon.

I've already said it might be high tech craft disguised as a balloon, but I don't think that's likely.

2020-12-03T19:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334574512193454080

@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia We know that there are millions of balloons, and sometimes they fly high in the sky every day.

We don't know of any exotic spacecraft in the entire history of the world.

So saying something that looks like a balloon could equally well be a spaceship is illogical.

2020-12-03T19:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334573747244699648

@gopiisancoolakk Yeah, but she actually said that.

2020-12-03T19:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334573611269541889

@GeertRoelandt @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia Because standard operational procedure is not to comment on anything or release anything, by default.

2020-12-03T19:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334573414057537536

@therealpmoney I'm not sure you can make out details - the surface "image" seems mostly to be compression artifacts. , but it's certainly not inconsistent with that.

2020-12-03T18:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334572812510511104

@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia All balloons are motionless relative to the air mass they are in.

So they are infinitely likely to appear motionless from a fast-moving plane in that same air mass.

2020-12-03T18:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334572466509762561

@GeertRoelandt @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia SOP

2020-12-03T18:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334572421488156672

@KRoach1035 @LtTimMcMillan What more do you need? It looks like a balloon to everyone.

2020-12-03T18:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334572223793750016

White House Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany equates the freedom to have maskless Christmas parties with the freedom to burn down buildings.
But not in a bad way. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVaBSAVEAAwasR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoVaBSAVEAAwasR.jpg

2020-12-03T18:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334571801809022977

@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia I get all that, and I don't see how it conflicts with the fact that it looks just like a balloon in every way.

2020-12-03T18:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334570440291876864

@KRoach1035 @ExoExplorer3 @Debriefmedia At what altitude. And what were you standing on?

2020-12-03T18:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334570372042088448

@danieljmedeiros @JLuvin @Debriefmedia I read all of the article.

2020-12-03T18:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334570248326942720

@lumendrift @Debriefmedia Sure, it quite possibly could be an advanced flying craft that just happens to look and move like a balloon.

But I'm with Occam here.

2020-12-03T18:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334568475633704965

@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia It's a simple argument.

2020-12-03T18:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334568369463255040

@c64f7e94 @blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia It was described as montionless. Like a balloon.

The "air currents" stuff is bullshit.

2020-12-03T18:40:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334568192090329089

@lumendrift @Debriefmedia Because it looks like one, and appears motionless, which is what they appear like, from a moving plane.

2020-12-03T18:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334568012892913664
@JLuvin @Debriefmedia Unless it currently has neutral buoyancy.

And that's assuming they did that.

2020-12-03T18:33:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334566349238984706
@JLuvin @Debriefmedia The pilots would see the same thing. They would not be able to determine the groundspeed of a drifting balloon at 30,000 feet.

What radar are you referring to?

2020-12-03T18:30:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334565627005026306
@Rahzsah @Debriefmedia I'm sorry, do we have their actual accounts somewhere? Are they willing to speak up? Do they have video of what a balloon normally looks like at that altitude?

2020-12-03T18:29:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334565390416891904
@danieljmedeiros @Debriefmedia Yes, but mostly PA-28s.

The thing is, there's no real need for mental gymnastics here (not that there's anything wrong with that). It's really really simple.

Looks and moves like a balloon.

2020-12-03T18:27:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334564984148303875
@Anon1776John @Debriefmedia Perhaps when you move sufficiently far away from reason, then reason starts to sound like nonsense.

Does it not look like a balloon?

What would it look like if "affected by ambient air currents" as opposed to "stationary"?

2020-12-03T18:26:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334564641565917185
@ExoExplorer3 @Debriefmedia I stand by my initial assessment. It's obviously a balloon. And describing it as not affected by the air currents is ridiculous.

2020-12-03T18:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334563981281820672
@bartjpx @ExploringLife42 You'd think they could be a bit more specific than "large". What's that? 1 foot? 10 feet? 1000 feet?

2020-12-03T18:22:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334563640016396288

@swimslider @Debriefmedia No, this is all stuff that was immediately apparent. I did not need to make anything up. Balloon relative motion is a commonly misunderstand thing I've looked at in-depth for years.

2020-12-03T18:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334563326634782720

@Rahzsah @Debriefmedia I don't think they do see them often at that altitude. And it's a cool looking shape. They didn't recognize it as a balloon.

2020-12-03T18:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334562929899753472

@ExploringLife42 @Debriefmedia They move with the air, 30-100 knots. But as there's no fixed frame of reference from a plane, you can't tell what their groundspeed is.

2020-12-03T18:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334562469604249601

@blackvaultcom @Debriefmedia Looks like a balloon.
Moves like a balloon.
Experts said it's probably a balloon.

Balloon.

2020-12-03T18:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334562285499502596

@Jay_waters1 @ufouapam Obviously a balloon. Hardly worth any time.

2020-12-03T18:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334562162895769602

@JLuvin @Debriefmedia Stationary relative to what? The rationale given attributed to "pilots" of "seemingly unaffected by ambient air currents" is ridiculous.

All balloons above a few hundred feet look "stationary" from a plane

---

2020-12-03T18:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334560371227516928

@Debriefmedia It's obviously a balloon. At that altitude, they look motionless because there's no frame of reference and the air flow is largely non-turbulent. How on earth would a pilot detect the slow drifting motion?

2020-12-03T18:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334559952149471233

@Debriefmedia "pilots who encountered the object described that, unlike a balloon under similar conditions, the object was completely motionless and seemingly unaffected by ambient air currents."

So they often see balloons in similar circumstances then?

Ridiculous.

2020-12-03T18:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334558930085662720

@Debriefmedia Suspiciously balloon-like

2020-12-03T17:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334554839804596225

@Itsaufobro Looks like the landing lights of a plane to me.

2020-12-03T17:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334553924368384000

@JohnWil61895452 @CodeMonkeyZ @spudhorse @LLinWood Why would they be? How would such a ploy work?

2020-12-03T17:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334546534688407552

@SGMTweetings @gopiisancoolakk Except that was a proper trial, with, discovery, cross-examination, a full prosecution, and a defense. Even had a judge.

If you JUST have a prosecution, it's easy to make a compelling case.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impeachment_trial_of_Donald_Trump

---

2020-12-03T17:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334545680073859072

@bartjpx @ExploringLife42 Nobody said how big the object was.

2020-12-03T17:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334545319275577344

@WitnessCitizen I know. I tell the chemtrail people this all the time. The point is that the technology required for operating in and out of the water isn't really that complicated. There are examples. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FC9EJhs0pc0

2020-12-03T17:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334544019867594754

Want to watch me, @tonyhawk, and other Neversoft devs, pro skaters, and the filmmakers watching the documentary about Tony Hawk's Pro Skater? Tune in Friday 5pm PST / 8 PM EST, watch your own stream, and ask us questions.

#FilmThreatWatchParty https://twitter.com/THPSfilm/status/1334540502746673152

2020-12-03T15:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334525973807554561

@dansim8 @AFSUnidentified Maybe he should have said that then.

2020-12-03T15:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334524110148313089

@nibbleshift @JLuvin Lots of videos of TFAs. (Too Far Aways)

2020-12-03T15:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334523601190457344

@shadowsmagazine @AFSUnidentified Not impossible qua â□□trans mediumâ□□. The issue is really speed. No evidence there though.

2020-12-03T15:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334521359590821889

@EttaRufus Next year.

2020-12-03T15:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334521157458878464

@JLuvin @AFSUnidentified Your missing the point.

2020-12-03T07:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334394687260487681

@SMcgrift You know some 9/11 Truthers suggest we should rebuild the twin towers and fly planes into them to put the baseless claims to rest.

2020-12-03T07:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334394343658987520

@EclecticMethod @AFSUnidentified It goes back to the surface, but will not fly again.

2020-12-03T07:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334394194794668033

@AFSUnidentified I'm just giving an example of a transmedium craft. Debunking this:

"Zaloga indicated he was unaware of any past or present technologies that are close to being capable of transitioning between air and submersible travel"

2020-12-03T07:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334393631596138497

@AFSUnidentified @ExploringLife42 Tim said "the triangular craft emerged from the ocean and began to ascend straight upwards at a 90-degree angle" - no turns. Have you read the actual report?

2020-12-03T06:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334390837040451584

@Censoredrepubl1 @CodeMonkeyZ @spudhorse @LLinWood That's not what Ron is talking about.

2020-12-03T06:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334389664317247488

@CodeMonkeyZ @spudhorse @LLinWood Why the heck would you need to print ballots in state to keep it secure? How exactly would it help?

2020-12-03T06:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334389294534778881

Breitbart is saying that QAnon lawyer Lin Wood is a secret Democrat. Bizarre!

Also, Ronald Reagan Email. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoSy6KJVQAA3Be7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoSy6KJVQAA3Be7.jpg
2020-12-03T06:42:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334387637788925953
@b5t1an Right.

2020-12-03T06:37:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334386345091850240
@EShelline See above.

2020-12-03T02:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334328035412074496
@dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan Photoshop :)

2020-12-03T01:32:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334309383430279169
@JLuvin Use some imagination. How might it look like a triangle with some modifications?

Is this the only possible craft with human tech?

2020-12-03T01:16:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334305458119614472
@PJMasson1 Yes. It's just insane.

2020-12-03T01:16:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334305366805336064
@JLuvin This one does.

https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/46/f2/92/2cb2e10b3fd1d3/WO2011131733A2.pdf

2020-12-03T01:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334305268134383617

There's a few tranmedium or multi-modal patents. Here's one with the trifecta!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRneLpVoAEhjTW.jpg

---

(54) Title : VERTICAL TAKE-OFF AND LANDING MULTIMODAL, MULTIENVIRONMENT, GYROPENDULAR CRAFT WITH COMPENSATORY PROPULSION AND FLUIDIC GRADIENT COLLIMATION

(54) Titre : ENGIN GYROPENDULAIRE À PROPULSION COMPENSATOIRE ET COLLIMATION DE GRADIENT FLUIDIQUE, MULTI-MILIEUX, MULTIMODAL, À DÉCOLLAGE ET ATTERRISSAGE VERTICAL



FIG. 18

(57) Abstract : The invention relates to a vertical take-off and landing gyropendular craft or drone device (FIG. 18) able to move around in the fbllowing différent physical environments: in the air, on land, at sea, underwater or in space, comprising upper and lower propulsion units, equipped with an annular fairing accommodating a certain number of electronically slaved wing or gas-powered drive or propulsion units situated in the continuation of the axis of this device, mounted on 3-D swivels at the ends of a certain number of telescopic rods, for example set at 120° apart at the periphery of the platform and orientable about the three axes according to the plane of flight of the multimodal multi-environment craft, a vertébral structure by way of a 3-D articulated central body of solid or hollow cylindrical shape for forming a stabilized function of stabilizing, maintaining the position and heading, and of an inertial rotary dise platform equipped underneath with a cabin of hemispherical shape extending from the vertébral structure, accommodating a payload or a useful application, designed for various fields of application e.g. the sector of defence or civil security, so as to perform fonctions of search and rescue, exploration, navigation, transport, surveillance and télécommunications infrastructure deployment in free space.

(57) Abrégé :

[Suite sur la page suivante]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRneLpVoAEhjTW.jpg

2020-12-03T01:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334304842165100545

@uFo_dis_ci_ple "This"????

2020-12-03T01:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334302943172968450

Patent for a transmedium craft that operates in air and then in water.

Not a UFO, this is a patent from Boeing.

https://patents.google.com/patent/US9010678B1/en

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRlMT-VgAEp528.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRlMT-VgAEp528.jpg

2020-12-03T01:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334302586493489152

@ExploringLife42 @LtTimMcMillan Heh. Does not turn back though, as the wings break off when it goes into the water. Still, a trans-medium craft.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRlAY4VgAAalb4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRlAY4VgAAalb4.jpg

2020-12-03T01:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334301776002994176

@velvet1241 @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes The "files"? No, a REPORT is transferred to the USB. A report is a filtered and processed product of the data. It's not the actual database.

You can't edit a report and copy it back. it's a one-way process that does not change data.

2020-12-03T00:58:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334300936882192384
@UltimaTheKing @Facelessbureau1 @LueElizondo I think you are overthinking things.

2020-12-03T00:56:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334300558102913031
@EttaRufus What do you think is missing? Is there some theory that he's been taken to Gitmo, or suchlike?

2020-12-03T00:55:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334300188488318982
Eccentric old general McInerney spins crazy conspiracy theories about the army raiding CIA servers in Germany.

So the army debunks him.

https://www.militarytimes.com/news/your-army/2020/12/01/this-retired-three-star-falsely-claims-us-soldiers-died-attacking-a-cia-facility-in-germany-tied-to-election-fraud/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRiy1JUUAcMTr-.jpg

U.S. military officials did not mince words about the veracity of McInerney's claims.

"The allegations are false," a USASOC spokesman told Military Times. The command has not had a fatal incident since two soldiers died in a helicopter crash in California this August, and the most recent Special Forces combat deaths resulted from an insider attack that killed two in Afghanistan on Feb. 8.

An Army spokesman also denied this theory when reached by Military Times, describing the allegations as "definitely false."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRiy1JUUAcMTr-.jpg
2020-12-03T00:52:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334299542502526978
@Facelessbureau1 @UltimaTheKing @LueElizondo Sure, I like UFOs. I've been interested in them since I was young. I do lots of analysis of UFOs. So I'm a kind of a fan. Being a fan isn't a problem.

2020-12-03T00:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334298993057091585
@velvet1241 @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes If you edit a generated report that just edits the report. It does not alter the data in the database used to generate that report.

2020-12-03T00:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334290750612201472
RT @JonLemire: WASHINGTON (AP) â□□ The last throes of Donald Trumpâ□□s presidency have turned ugly â□□ even dangerous.
Death threats are on the râ□¦

2020-12-02T23:54:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334284934932955136
@Jagauress
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-director-admits-chemtrails-geoengineering-stratospheric-aerosol-injection.7721/

2020-12-02T23:41:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334281628898586625
@stopthestealll Explained and rejected in court.

This is how they all go.

https://www.courthousenews.com/michigan-judge-rejects-challenge-to-detroit-election-results/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRR94qUwAAmgZv.jpg

“Ms. Jacob’s information is generalized. It asserts behavior with no date, location or frequency or names of employees,” he wrote. “In addition, [she] offers no indication of whether she took steps to address the alleged misconduct or to [alert] any supervisor about the alleged voter fraud. Ms. Jacob only came forward after the unofficial results of the voting indicated former Vice President Biden was the winner in the state of Michigan.”

Jacob is not a party to the lawsuit, which was filed by Wayne County voters Cheryl A. Costantino and Edward P. McCall Jr. The complaint, relying on affidavits from Jacob and others, alleges poll workers were instructed by election officials to not verify signatures on absentee ballots and process them regardless of their validity.

Kenny rejected Jacob’s assertion of a “sinister motive” on the part of election officials, pointing specifically to her claims that supervisors told her not to compare signatures to verify voter eligibility and directed her to pre-date absentee ballots received at Detroit’s TCF Center the day after Election Day.

“Evidence offered by long-time State Elections Director Christopher Thomas, however, reveals there was no need for comparison of signatures at the TCF Center because eligibility had been reviewed and determined at the Detroit election headquarters,” the ruling states. “As to the allegation of ‘pre-dating’ ballots, Mr. Thomas explains that this action completed a data field inadvertently left blank during the initial absentee ballot verification process.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRR94qUwAAmgZv.jpg
2020-12-02T23:39:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334281041582821377
The destroyed Arecibo radio telescope looks like a scene from a post-apocalyptic movie. But it's sadly real. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRRNbHVoAAKaSW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRRNbHVoAAKaSW.jpg
2020-12-02T23:29:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334278433384275969
@stopthestealll @Acosta @jaketapper Take them to court.

Heck, take them to the police.

Show "hearings" are not how justice works. It's simply propaganda.

2020-12-02T23:23:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334277161499254784
@OddHominid Extortion, basically. Republicans think it would be political suicide to cross him, because he can have someone run against them in the next primary. He's in a powerful position. The de facto leader of the remains of the GOP.

2020-12-02T23:10:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334273869184528385
@UltimaTheKing @LueElizondo I said "UFO fans" - Elizondo is an example.

2020-12-02T22:56:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334270284723933185
@JLuvin You claimed " institutional and intelligence agency concern"

2020-12-02T22:56:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334270126774910976
@russian71805090 There was a vast amount of evidence found by the US intelligence community and agreed upon by the bipartisan Senate report.

https://apnews.com/article/d094918c0421b872eac7dc4b16e613c7
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRHiUfVEAAIrHw.jpg



Senate panel backs assessment that Russia interfered in 2016

Senate Intelligence Committee Chairman Richard Burr, R-N.C., said in a statement that his panel "found no reason to dispute" the intelligence community's conclusions, saying they reflected strong tradecraft and analytical reasoning.

He said the agencies' conclusion that such election interference is "the new normal" has been borne out in the three years since it was published.

"With the 2020 presidential election approaching, it's more important than ever that we remain vigilant against the threat of interference from hostile foreign actors," Burr said.

The Senate report calls the agencies' assessment an "impressive accomplishment" and endorses its core conclusions that Russia had interfered on a grand scale in the election and that Putin directed the interference.

"The Committee found that specific intelligence as well as open source assessments support the assessment that President Putin approved and directed aspects of this influence campaign," the Senate report states.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoRHiUfVEAAIrHw.jpg
2020-12-02T22:52:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334269270264451073
@JLuvin I suspect Skyhub will just create a bunch more noise.

2020-12-02T22:51:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334269013031981056
@UltimaTheKing @LueElizondo I'm assuming the people interested in my Tweet will know who Elizondo is already.

2020-12-02T22:49:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334268498785132544
Had a great conversation with Kevin Bates of The Truth Junkie Podcast about chemtrails, the 2020 election, covid masks, the scientific method and ufoâ□□s

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/mick-west-on-debunking-conspiracy-theories/id1529968114?i=1000501012123
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334268226386055168/pu/img/Vd1Kj0BRj_AU3iFk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334268226386055168/pu/img/Vd1Kj0BRj_AU3iFk.jpg
2020-12-02T22:34:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334264728344875008
@JLuvin My, you really are an Epistemological Nihilist :)

2020-12-02T22:32:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334264111597641730
@russian71805090 RT is pro chaos in America. It's part of the Russian "active measures" program.

2020-12-02T22:31:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334263975102451713
@JLuvin They are concerned about airspace incursions. That is actually quite normal and expected.

Where did they say there were concerned about "identifiable objects which perform maneuvers like nothing in human inventory"

2020-12-02T22:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334262292628664321

@knightsabers01 His record so far is very poor. The biggest problem is that he's continually making claims that have actually been debunked weeks ago. Why would he do that if he's being honest?

2020-12-02T22:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334261218530377728

@UltimaTheKing I call it like I see it. @LueElizondo is a UFO fan. He goes to UFO conferences. He talks wistfully about alien life. He enjoys entertaining wild theories like "energy imprints." He's a fan. Nothing wrong with that.

2020-12-02T22:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334259049966129153

@stopthestealll Quite the opposite. On Metabunk we encourage a focus on individual claims of evidence, and the avoidance of Gish Gallops.

2020-12-02T22:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334258395440762880

@UltimaTheKing He's very clearly a UFO fan, regardless of what he's seen.

Billy Beane is still a baseball fan.

2020-12-02T21:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334254564103479296

@stopthestealll No, I wanted you to pick the one you think stands up to scrutiny.

You can't pick one?

2020-12-02T21:49:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334253331015847938

RT @McFaul: When I was the U.S. Ambassador to Russia, I constantly had to defend the integrity of our American democracy against the falseâ□¦

2020-12-02T21:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334253081551224834

@stopthestealll Pick one thing you think actually stands up to scrutiny.

Just one.

2020-12-02T21:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334252933077032960

Trump is essentially engaging in extortion. He's forcing Republican to support (or at least not oppose) him by threatening them with the loss of their seat in the next election.

2020-12-02T21:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334252335116165121

@buffcommacu @LtTimMcMillan Sure, if that actually happened.

The problem here is the evidence is super weak. Just stories. Or stories about photos. Or stories

about radar or sonar.

No testable evidence.

2020-12-02T21:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334252012897148929

Evidence that Trump is simply saying whatever he thinks will work to rile people up, and he does not care about the facts. So he can contradict himself and in his mind it's all good.

https://twitter.com/AmerIndependent/status/1334249031212601347

2020-12-02T21:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334251618406072321

Mainstream media, including Fox news is largely ignoring Trump, with only RT immediately amplifying his litany of falsehoods.

I'm not entirely sure this is a good thing. He's festering. Should he be exposed or ignored?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQ2ZqAUUAA9DmX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQ2ZqAUUAA9DmX.jpg

2020-12-02T21:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334250668685549568

@Disclos93884769 The point here is there is no evidence of a UAP doing such a thing.

2020-12-02T21:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334250471062556672

@buffcommacu @LtTimMcMillan Oh, it's good work. Interesting stuff. It's just not particularly compelling evidence of unusual technology.

2020-12-02T21:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334249250553692161

@BrowneBJ Sure, and when that story is explained, then you'll move on to something else, right?

2020-12-02T21:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334245441441972224
@Disclos93884769 There are zero examples of such craft, and no evidence that such a thing has ever happened.

2020-12-02T21:17:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334245268703731712
@uelbus @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @OceanUAP I'm sure there are many that are frustrated by having to waste time with it.

2020-12-02T21:15:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334244816104816640
@MadPegger204 Of course, but if you listen to what he's saying here, it's largely stuff that was explained weeks ago (like the red mirage, or the spikes).

He's flooding the zone with shit. It's a deliberate tactic.
https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/1/16/20991816/impeachment-trial-trump-bannon-misinformation

---

2020-12-02T21:13:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334244373597364225
@PostDisclosure @Mabzors @uelbus @OceanUAP Their first priority is operational security. They give few hoots what the UFO community thinks.

2020-12-02T21:12:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334244061729837057
Trump is just reading unsubstantiated conspiracy theories from a teleprompter.

He is unfit for office, a danger to the country.
https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1334240039639937026

2020-12-02T21:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334243203516485632
@PostDisclosure @uelbus @OceanUAP Has he ever said that he has no idea what might be in any of the three videos?

2020-12-02T21:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334242983873400833
@MarkMunroe19 @ExoAcademian @LtTimMcMillan They did not hit the water. That's video compression artifacts.

2020-12-02T21:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334242812502495232
@PostDisclosure @uelbus @OceanUAP No, I'm repeating the position of the Pentagon spokesperson.

"DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions ... including those incursions initially designated as UAP "

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQukpKVEAEOayw.jpg

1) What are each of the three videos (FLIR1, GOFAST, and GIMBAL) currently classified as?

A: The objects in the videos remain characterized as "unidentified." Unidentified means we cannot definitively determine what they are.

2) If, after examination, it was determined that a video had a plausible explanation as an aircraft or balloon, then would that classification publically change?

A: **The official designation would change if we definitively identify the objects.** However, any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

3) Would it change if the exact aircraft was identified?

A: The official designation would change if we definitively identify the objects. However, any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

4) Has the military determined that
4a) FLIR1 shows an object essentially in level flight, making no sudden maneuvers
4b) GIMBAL shows an IR glare that rotates due to the camera's gimbal rotation.
4c) GOFAST shows an illusion of speed, a high slow object appearing to be a low fast one.
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

5) Other than being designated UAP's What more can you tell me about what is thought to be show in each video?
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

6) Have any of the videos been used in pilot training?
A: No.

[Second email]
One follow up regarding question #1:
7) Would a distant plane of undetermined type and origin "remain characterized as 'unidentified'" even if could be determined that it was a plane.
A: To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQukpKVEAEOayw.jpg

2020-12-02T21:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334241316717256704

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @OceanUAP They have repeatedly said that if they figured it out they would not tell us.

2020-12-02T21:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334241179429310465

@MarkMunroe19 @ExoAcademian @LtTimMcMillan No, it just crappy video, so the objects (likely Chinese lanterns) drop out due to compression artifacts. The sea is very noisy, and hard to compress.

2020-12-02T20:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334237925811208192

@MarkMunroe19 @ExoAcademian @LtTimMcMillan Just avoid hitting the water at great speed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FC9EJhs0pc0

2020-12-02T20:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334235373719879681

@Corey7999 @AFSUnidentified @pablovolcano You get what you see.

2020-12-02T20:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334235016855863296

@JBurt1992 @BulwarkOnline Mostly people who don't understand what they are looking at, and then filter it through partisan eyes.

They don't hold up in court.

2020-12-02T20:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334234750970548225

@uFo_dis_ci_ple I do not think that is going underwater.

2020-12-02T20:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334234642124193793

@Deepneuron Something I literally never did.

2020-12-02T20:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334234522011926529

@EclecticMethod @AFSUnidentified Any plane or helicopter capable of water takeoff.

A big black balloon released at sea level.

A VTOL drone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334234505435996160/pu/img/ebvp_4azdSxx8l8f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1334234505435996160/pu/img/ebvp_4azdSxx8l8f.jpg

2020-12-02T20:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334231347359965188

@Corey7999 @AFSUnidentified @pablovolcano It's a photo.

2020-12-02T20:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334231193139601408

@uFo_dis_ci_ple Are you basing your opinion on something you know?

2020-12-02T20:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334230070995509248

@AFSUnidentified @pablovolcano Those two things are not exclusive.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQjLAXVEAEW1oc.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQjLAXVEAEW1oc.jpg

2020-12-02T20:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334229747325231105

@murnut @LtTimMcMillan Sure, you just stick them in fighter mode.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQi0o9VQAATpHF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoQi0o9VQAATpHF.jpg

2020-12-02T20:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334228282057744384

@uFo_dis_ci_ple How do you know it does not lose momentum?

2020-12-02T20:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334228017720086529

@mcdonalds_tim @LtTimMcMillan @theintercept It was a mistake. He's writing in The Debrief. I've corrected it.

2020-12-02T20:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334227538604748800

@pablovolcano Indeed, although it's quite difficult to "hold" a lighter than air ballon underwater. But a rapid surface inflation would probably look similar.

Submarines have been known to launch balloons.
https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/28640/could-some-of-the-ufos-navy-pilots-are-encountering-be-airborne-radar-reflectors

---

2020-12-02T20:01:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334226196062633985
@mcdonalds_tim Cool story! No photos :(

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fast-movers-and-transmedium-vehicles.11477/

2020-12-02T20:00:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334226054056009728
"Transmedium" just means the ability to go from one medium (water) to another (air). This is niche technology, but still fairly technologically trivial.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FC9EJhs0pc0
https://twitter.com/ExoAcademian/status/1334150118438707200

2020-12-02T19:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334224781931991040
"maybe something else at play may be preventing broad access" - Some UFO fans are convinced there's a vast bipartisan conspiracy to suppress the truth about alien visitors.

At least it's bipartisan! There's hope for humanity.
https://twitter.com/LueElizondo/status/1334224255286992898

2020-12-02T19:51:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334223692709040130
@ExoAcademian @LtTimMcMillan https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cZ4GHrG__MY

2020-12-02T19:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334222417238007808
@mint_rubber @BulwarkOnline Being primaried out happens mostly with a transfer of campaign money, and that money imbalance shifts the support.

2020-12-02T19:14:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334214413507731456
Most Republican politicians know that Biden won and that Trump is lying. But they won't come out and say it because they are scared they will be primaried out in their next election.
It will take a critical mass of the courageous.
https://thebulwark.com/my-call-with-ron-johnson-he-knows-biden-won-but-wont-admit-it/ via @BulwarkOnline

---

2020-12-02T19:09:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334213011024740352
@PederNeogard @PostDisclosure
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fast-movers-and-transmedium-vehicles.11477/

2020-12-02T19:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334212962580647938

@shangrila500 @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ You can't "upload back" a generated report. That's not how it works.

2020-12-02T19:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334210857295757312

Some brief thoughts on transmedium, non-cavitating fast mover unidentified underwater objects, and other interesting things in the article by @LtTimMcMillan

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fast-movers-and-transmedium-vehicles.11477/

2020-12-02T17:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334186646372114433

@lipmannj Avid has nothing to do with elections. It makes high-end video and audio editing software. It has ZERO relation to Dominion. Dominion is owned by its founder and State Street capital. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoP7o5uUUAUkXUM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoP7o5uUUAUkXUM.jpg

2020-12-02T17:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334185636215939072

@17anon_ @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ And this isn't about keeping data secret. The data is intended to be public. It's irrelevant if the whole world could see what was on that laptop. The point is the immutability of the data in the EMS database.

And you'll notice the recounts all tally with server data.

2020-12-02T17:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334184037703852032

@17anon_ @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ He was using it to look at a report. The data is still safe on the server. That's why he used a USB drive.

2020-12-02T16:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334180025390665728

@Ron07330116 @friendlypalguy Why wouldn't you want to debunk conspiracy theories? Surely

that's something everyone can agree is a good thing, even if we don't all do it.

2020-12-02T14:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334144348120780800

@RedestPill @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ No.

2020-12-02T08:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334048790727168002

@ElectaWing @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes That is what the statement says.

2020-12-02T08:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334048056736583680

People are claiming that China bought Dominion Voting, because UBS Securities is listed as Sales Compensation Recipient on a Form D notice of offering by Staple Street Capital. But does that mean USB invested? Or just that they are brokering the offering?

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1827586/000182758620000001/xslFormDX01/primary_doc.xml

---

2020-12-02T07:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334044245779390467

@LanceSKilgore @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ I'm not sure what that $400m figure relates to exactly.

2020-12-02T07:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334043792127639552

@LanceSKilgore @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Actually your date on that doc is correct, I was thinking of when Staple Street acquired an interest in Dominion.

Your doc looks like a Staple Street specific thing, with UBS as the broker?

2020-12-02T07:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334041186584039425

@Romangod7 @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes Where? Can you quote it?

2020-12-02T07:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334039357737451529

@Romangod7 @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes Where are these rules about USB drives written?

2020-12-02T07:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334039096662978561

@LanceSKilgore @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ No, that was in July 2018.

https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html

---

2020-12-02T07:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334037921494548480

@Romangod7 @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes Why not? How else are they going to look at the reports? The server does not have Excel on it.

2020-12-02T07:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334037400662593536

@Perma_Skeptic @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Hey, I'm the one reading the manual here.

2020-12-02T07:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334037292906737664

@LanceSKilgore @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ What is that supposed to show?

2020-12-02T07:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334036579434655744

@graphiccons @ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ Thanks. See also:

https://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/vendors/dominion/ds510a/ds510a-staff.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNzLYAVoAIwwGA.jpg

II. SUMMARY OF THE SYSTEM

The DS 5.10A computers represented in this system are all operating on Microsoft Windows 10 systems that were patched current at the time the trusted build was created by Pro V&V for the functional testing. Currently, Microsoft is scheduling Extended Support for Windows 10 until October 14, 2025. Microsoft is currently scheduling Extended Support for Server 2016 until January 1, 2027.

The network protocol used in the DS5.10A is Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) using Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) for addressing. The DHCP service runs on both Election Event Designer (EED) and Results Tally Reporting (RTR) servers. Domain Name Service (DNS) is used for address resolution and runs on both servers. Unused ports are closed on all machines, and services that are not needed are disabled. The system is setup in a client server configuration, with all election data stored on the servers. This facilitates backups, and the server drives are encrypted. The Election Management System (EMS) and RTR servers utilize Dell Self Encrypting Drive (SED) Technology. These drives are provisioned with a key at installation time, and the EMS database files are stored under the encrypted disk drives. The encryption platform utilizes a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) certified hardware-based cryptographic engine which provides real-time encryption and decryption of data using AES-256 algorithms. In addition, the encrypted storage platforms provide the access control through using iButton security keys.

The default storage configuration on the servers is two drives in a RAID 1 (Disk Mirroring) configuration for the operating system, and four drives in a RAID 10 (mirroring and striping) configuration for data storage.

The system does not include modems, or telecommunications devices, and there is no provision for accessing external networks. No failover/redundant configuration was tested, however, the servers each run both EED and RTR providing redundancy.

The Democracy Suite 5.10A voting system consists of eight major components.

1. Election Management System (EMS), v. 5.10.50.83

EMS is a set of the following applications that are responsible for pre-voting and post-voting activities, including ballot layout, generation of audio files, programming media for voting equipment, importing results data, and accumulating and reporting results.

a) EMS-Election Data Translator (EDT), v. 5.10.50.83

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNzLYAVoAIwwGA.jpg

2020-12-02T07:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334035354081366018

@graphiccons @ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ I know. The manual is more detailed.

What's your point? Do you think "network" means "internet?" Because it doesn't.

And what does that have to do with the thumb drive, which is clearly a local export?

2020-12-02T07:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334034925247361028

@graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ And here's the manual.

What the heck is wrong with exporting excel files? That's how you get the reports out. See page 90.

https://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/VotingSystems/DVS-DemocracySuite511/documentation/UG-RTR-UserGuide-5-11-CO.pdf

---

2020-12-02T07:17:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334033906601918465
@howevervaguely1 @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Who knows. Maybe he's tired, as they had been working long hours.

2020-12-02T07:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334033425502662656
@graphiccons @ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ I read the manual (2109 version). "Global" means the report is stored in the database, so can be accessed from other servers on the network. Page 90.

https://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/VotingSystems/DVS-DemocracySuite511/documentation/UG-RTR-UserGuide-5-11-CO.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNwQfFVcAI0WBu.jpg

---

13.3 Defining Transfer Points and Exporting Results

Transfer points represent locations accessible via the network. Accumulated election results can be transferred to these locations and used for presentations and reporting. This feature not only presents results, but it also provides detailed reporting and analysis of these results. Election results appear in XML or XSLT transformed data formats (HTML, TXT, XHTML, SVG, etc.) that are created by using Election Results Transformation functionality in EMS Results Tally & Reporting to transform the election results data.

1. Expand the Settings menu and click the **Transfer points** menu item.
2. The Transfer Points List screen appears.
3. To add a new transfer point, click **Add**.
4. The Transfer Point Editor screen appears allowing you to define the Local and Global locations where you wish to send the results.
5. The Local refers to the location on the local drive, whereas the Global transfer point is defined within the EMS Database and is applicable for all EMS Results Tally & Reporting installations for a given election project.
6. In the Browse for Folder screen, click **Browse** to select the location of the Local folder.
7. To set the Global folder location, type in the full path of the target folder on the network.

   NOTE: If some mandatory fields are empty, an error message will appear, reading, "Not all mandatory fields are set". Click **OK** and enter all necessary information.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNwQfFVcAI0WBu.jpg

2020-12-02T07:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334032728023388160

@USPatriot111 @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ It's not connecting to the sever.

That's why he had to use a USB drive.

2020-12-02T07:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334031434667888641

@graphiccons @ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ I've watched it. It says 2014. All the examples are from 2014.

And it only shows exporting reports to a local location (13:50). There's a "global" option, but it's not clear what that means. Possibly remote server if local regs allow it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNuSiBUwAAYYm8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNuSiBUwAAYYm8.jpg



Copyright ©2014 Dominion Voting Systems. All Rights reserved.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNt3EoUcAATA8I.jpg
2020-12-02T07:02:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334030277677522946
@chigirldiana @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes I'm attempting it with their audience.

2020-12-02T07:01:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334030012933029889
@graphiccons @ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ From 2014.

2020-12-02T06:50:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334027229932572674
@ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Wrong. The voting machines and servers are not. But people's laptops are.

He was using his laptop to look at a report generated on the isolated server.

2020-12-02T06:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334026947249127424

@GeeeMalky @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Nobody denies that. It's okay to have internet on a computer you are using to look at a report that was generated on the server (which does not).

2020-12-02T06:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334026634484011008

@eGomes2107 @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes read the AP story, which quotes Barr.

https://apnews.com/article/barr-no-widespread-election-fraud-b1f1488796c9a98c4b1a9061a6c7f49d http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNqEsxUcAIKpMa.jpg

---

But they've gone further. Attorney Sidney Powell has spun fictional tales of election systems flipping votes, German servers storing U.S. voting information and election software created in Venezuela "at the direction of Hugo Chavez," – the late Venezuelan president who died in 2013. Powell has since been removed from the legal team after an interview she gave where she threatened to "blow up" Georgia with a "biblical" court filing.

Barr didn't name Powell specifically but said: "There's been one assertion that would be systemic fraud and that would be the claim that machines were programmed essentially to skew the election results. And the DHS and DOJ have looked into that, and so far, we haven't seen anything to substantiate that."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoNqEsxUcAIKpMa.jpg

2020-12-02T06:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334024888617562114

@rhodes_1870 @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ The server is what he was plugging in the USB drive to. So yes.

2020-12-02T06:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334024476824985601

@eGomes2107 @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes They are not going to court with this, because it's bullshit. Even Barr has admitted that.

They are just trying to fool the state legislature and to rev up the base for more donations.

2020-12-02T06:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334023558083661825

@StickInMyMind @CodeMonkeyZ @NoWorriee It is. Unfortunately, people fall for it because it's easy to flimflam with stuff that's a bit technical.

2020-12-02T06:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334023122995929091

@graphiccons @GeeeMalky @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @CodeMonkeyZ They export

reports. So what?

2020-12-02T06:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334022661056331777

@GeeeMalky @garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Which bit don't you understand.

Do you know what a "report" is here?

2020-12-02T06:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334022465706549248

@garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ No, they don't You see people looking at their phones, right? That's internet.

There's no internet on the machines used to count the votes.

People can have internet on their laptops. Ron is just stirring here.

2020-12-02T06:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334022101208952832

@ZeeInTheMoment @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ The servers are not.

The laptop is connected to the internet, and there is zero reason why it should not be. It's not part of the system. It's being used to run excel, so they can look at reports.

2020-12-02T06:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334021689433157632

@ttskbmar @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes He's not producing the data, he was asked how it was measured and said "they just look at volume"

He barely seems to understand what he's talking about, and produced no evidence.

2020-12-02T06:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334021271265284096

@CodeMonkeyZ @NoWorriee â□□This would be a Dominion tech producing a data report on the server & saving the report to a Dominion USB thumbdrive & then using a laptop to filter requested info"

And why is this an issue if there's excel and internet on the laptop?

2020-12-02T06:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334020854028533761

@garbagegirlglam @impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ They have internet on the laptop.

They were using the laptop to read a report generated on the server.

The server does not have internet.

It's not complicated.

2020-12-02T06:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334019596827803648
@ttskbmar @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes Where is this "packet trace?"

2020-12-02T06:18:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334018998363537408
@impreselect @graphiccons @CodeMonkeyZ Y'all need to re-read:

"This would be a Dominion tech producing a data report on the server & saving the report to Dominion USB thumbdrive & then using a laptop to filter requested info"

This is clearly a perfectly normal process.

2020-12-02T06:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334017800491261953
@eGomes2107 @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes I watched it. He provides no evidence. He showed some images from a SpiderFoot scan, prompting the author of SpiderFoot to explain how it was being misused.
https://medium.com/@micallst/misusing-osint-to-claim-election-fraud-cfb89c858c3a

---

2020-12-02T06:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334015114312224774
@eGomes2107 @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes The "expert" has no idea what he's talking about. He admitted he was a "big picture" guy.

Is there one shred of evidence of any of that watching of traffic?

2020-12-02T05:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334011336683003906
@TheDamaniFelder @GhostWriterSel Where is it debunked?

2020-12-02T05:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1334009742440628226
@GabrielSterling is a lifelong conservative Republican. As is @GaSecofState.

It HAS all gone too far. Other republicans need to step up like this.
https://twitter.com/BrendanKeefe/status/1333884246277189633

2020-12-02T05:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334008925516009473

Watch @GabrielSterling's statement asking Trump to condemn the threats of violence. Then read Trump's pathetic response again.

https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1333975991518187521

2020-12-02T05:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334005901779296256

@WeAreTrump_ @gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes That's not how it works.

2020-12-02T05:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334005825757560834

@gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes Don't they have local servers?

2020-12-02T05:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334004527586963456

@gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes How do you know they were connected to the internet?

2020-12-02T05:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334004374591348737

@17_an0n @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes What do you believe about this situation that is not what someone told you?

2020-12-02T05:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334003055008727041

@gopiisancoolakk @CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes No.

The base data is still there. You make reports with it, just like showing a graph on TV. That's not illegal. It's normal and expected.

2020-12-02T05:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334002588740567041

@GuessingJester What do you think "record turnout" means?

2020-12-02T05:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1334002359823863815

@CodeMonkeyZ @SidneyPowell1 @LLinWood @MimiNguyenLy @EpochTimes To produce a report.

Not alter data.

2020-12-02T02:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333955277696077824

@EttaRufus @cathinott "Poor training, mistakes, bad code, laziness." actually do happen all the

time. Ad-hoc coders in local government especially.

2020-12-02T02:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333955013534597121

@Pupi5910 No, like Barr I simply see no evidence that there's large-scale fraud that would have any effect on the results.

2020-12-02T00:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333936572140453888

@GuessingJester What are you talking about? He had a massive lead in the polls. The record turnout was predicted. If anything he did worse than predictions.

2020-12-02T00:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333936273543766019

@DanielS50803192 Those are just regular lies.

2020-12-02T00:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333933102637334528

@DanielS50803192 Why are you even retweeting this crap? It was debunked weeks ago.

2020-12-02T00:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333932784763559937

Thomas McInerey has a history of seeing what he wants to see. Back in 2010 he was looking at an aircraft contrail and declaring it was a missile shot over Los Angeles.

He's not an expert, he's an oddball partisan pundit.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UI_0hh26n6Q

2020-12-02T00:29:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333931239946948609

RT @debunker: Former Gen. Mike Flynn tweets out press release urging Trump to declare martial law. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoMNy4vUcAAJFE2.jpg

---



General Flynn ✓
@GenFlynn

#WeThePeople

@SidneyPowell1
@LLinWood
@DanScavino
@LouDobbs
@MariaBartiromo
@marklevinshow
@lofly727

Freedom never kneels except for God 🙏🇺🇸



3:30 PM · Dec 1, 2020 · Twitter for iPhone

3.4K Retweets **536** Quote Tweets **8.5K** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoMNy4vUcAAJFE2.jpg
2020-12-02T00:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333926294774374400
@assange_s That's why I said "show hearing"

2020-12-01T23:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333917176340770817

@gopiisancoolakk The raid story is a fantasy.

2020-12-01T23:29:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333916105472020481

@GuessingJester Yes, record turnout was predicted. Biden's popular vote lead was predicted, if overestimated.

https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2020/10/2020-election-turnout/616640/

2020-12-01T23:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333915528205725698

@GordonShorten He actually admitted he's just a "big picture" guy.

2020-12-01T23:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333915243307646976

@SGMTweetings @gopiisancoolakk It's like a trial, where you only hear from the prosecution.

AKA a show trial.

2020-12-01T22:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333905864005017600

@GuessingJester It's based on history. And this year it was compounded by the pandemic, and Trump encouraging people to vote in person.

2020-12-01T22:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333904731505233920

@gopiisancoolakk "spiking" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoL7PH4UwAAk8U8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoL7PH4UwAAk8U8.jpg

2020-12-01T22:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333904491620364288

@GuessingJester That's a red mirage and a blue shift. It was anticipated by everyone. It's common in all recent Presidential elections.

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2653456

2020-12-01T22:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333899903991377920

The author of the SpiderFoot tool used to raise suspicion in Giuliani's show hearings explains what we all suspected.

Giuliani's team doesn't really understand the internet, and are just randomly connecting dots.
https://twitter.com/binarypool/status/1333896710783979526

2020-12-01T22:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333898743813263362

@CalgaryPatty What about some actual evidence?

2020-12-01T21:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333891219580088320

@hatboxghost2 Plenty of people were looking at that, so I didn't bother.

2020-12-01T21:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333891040114139137

@gopiisancoolakk I'm pretty sure he was serious.

2020-12-01T21:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333889964799496197

RT @bradheath: Gabriel Sterling, Georgia's voting systems manager, is just exasperated. "It has all gone too far." A technician in Gwinnettâ□¦

2020-12-01T21:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333889828987965440

These show hearings are farcical. Giuliani is submitting some document he found on the internet. He doesn't understand it, but he likes the conclusion.

Maybe have the experts look at it first? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLtaUNVQAAy9UD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLtaUNVQAAy9UD.jpg

2020-12-01T21:12:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333881633687048192

@GuessingJester A mixture of all three, varying by individual.

2020-12-01T21:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333880834709880832

@paulreilly @Oswald1160 McInerney is not a reliable source.

2020-12-01T21:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333879017993244672

@b329496424 You can get the same news from Breitbart.

https://www.breitbart.com/news/barr-no-evidence-of-fraud-thatd-change-election-outcome/

2020-12-01T21:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333878718545108992

@GuessingJester The allegations have been investigated and have not been found to amount to significant problems.

2020-12-01T20:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333878501766696960

@EttaRufus It means he found a spreadsheet and does not understand what's in it.

2020-12-01T20:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333878256278282240

@GuessingJester I don't know.

2020-12-01T20:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333876617182019584

@paulreilly @Oswald1160 Well, not getting reelected kind of makes it real.

2020-12-01T20:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333876317507371013

Trump fans complain the DOJ didn't investigate voter fraud.

They did. They just didn't FIND any significant fraud.

You can tag a "yet" onto that sentence, but it's not going to change.

https://twitter.com/yashar/status/1333870945329508353

2020-12-01T20:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333875525400416256

@gopiisancoolakk But all he did was put up a graph like this, and say there were some connections.

What compelling evidence do you think he offered? Anonymous white hats supposedly observing data packets?

Where is the evidence?

2020-12-01T20:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333875081227825152

@PlutoElect I'm all about evidence.

2020-12-01T20:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333872995362693120

@GuessingJester I want evidence. Not second-hand anonymous testimony from white hat hackers.

Show the traffic they observed, and how they observed it.

2020-12-01T20:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333872741804507136

@gopiisancoolakk @Oswald1160 Show it to Barr.

2020-12-01T20:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333870958776438784

It's easy to wave around some technical terms, connect some dots, and say a domain is suspicious. Here's http://trump.com, look at those connections to Darknets, Malicious IPs, Vulnerabilities, Hacked addresses, etc. etc.

Flimflam. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLcIPOWEAIT-3b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLcIPOWEAIT-3b.jpg

2020-12-01T20:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333870073287647233

@ztrussell He was pretty vague about it, said they rand a Spiderfoot scan on http://dominionvoting.com, and said the network was connected to the internet.

2020-12-01T20:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333868955916648449
@LSW12612672511 @ColbeyGross @QANON_XRP @Raffern @mr_squeege Yes, pretty much all of them. There is some spraying of chemicals, like crop dusting, mosquito abatement, or cloud seeding. But the long trails high in the sky are almost invariably just contrails, as described in those books.

2020-12-01T20:09:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333865861988315136
@LSW12612672511 @ColbeyGross @QANON_XRP @Raffern @mr_squeege It's a type of cloud made by planes. Sometimes they persist and spread out.

Explained here in this 3-minute video that uses books on clouds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-12-01T20:02:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333864185118158849
A big claim at Giuliani's Arizona hearings was that http://dominionvoting.com was connected to the internet.

Of course it was.

It's a web site.

2020-12-01T19:59:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333863419070480385
Attorney General William Barr said Tuesday the Justice Department has not uncovered evidence of widespread voter fraud that would change the outcome of the 2020 presidential election.

https://apnews.com/article/election-2020-joe-biden-donald-trump-elections-william-barr-b1f1488796c9a98c4b1a9061a6c7f49d

---

2020-12-01T19:38:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333857910275723264
@TonySta14835914 @JackPosobiec @SidneyPowell1 @CodeMonkeyZ @LLinWood @GenFlynn Where is it documented?

2020-12-01T19:02:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333848981575589888
Here's the 10 that he was referring to.

It's nothing. A conspiracy theory dot connection.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLIVWKVEAAn_wY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLIVWKVEAAn_wY.jpg

2020-12-01T18:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333847683576991744

The actual increase in Frankfurt's traffic was from 8.3 Tbps in Febuary, to 10 Tbps in November.

This is nothing at all to do with Dominion.

He's not an expert. He says he's not an expert. He has magical white hats telling him things he does not understand.

2020-12-01T18:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333846446819340290

He said there was a jump from 7 TB/s to 10 TB/s.

But the Frankfurt "spike" was just part of a continual increase in bandwidth usage, unrelated to Dominion, that had been happening for weeks.

https://www.de-cix.net/de/about-de-cix/news/de-cix-frankfurt-hits-10-tbps-peak-traffic

---

2020-12-01T18:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333845855195910144

An "expert" witness at the Arizona election hearings claims there was increased traffic at a Dominion server from, but fails to explain how this was measured, and seems to conflate it with ALL the internet traffic in Frankfurt (peak 10 Tbps.)

Rather weak "evidence"

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1333844691666628608/pu/img/k6K4qamNKwepec1J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1333844691666628608/pu/img/k6K4qamNKwepec1J.jpg
2020-12-01T18:32:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333841531417944066
@stopthestealll @PhyreGoldMAJIC You are not lying, just repeating nonsese. The number is a peak number for all of DE-CIX in Frankfurt, basically all the internet in Frankfurt. Nothing to do with Dominion, and the connection is ludicrous.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLBt0rUUAYpQTD.jpg

---

4 November 2020

DE-CIX Frankfurt hits 10 Tbps peak traffic

This page is also available in: German



Last night, for the first time, we reached 10 Terabits per second peak traffic at DE-CIX Frankfurt.

We cannot say for sure what caused the peak (might be a combination of the Champions League, the US election, software upgrades, lockdown in Germany), but the traffic developments this year have been extraordinary due to the unpreceded situation we are living in. When we hit 8.3 Tbps in February, we predicted that we would reach 9 Tbps towards the end of the year. Instead, we exceeded that mark a mere two weeks later. And now we are at 10 Tbps – and what is usually the peak season in winter when people spend more time indoors is just around the corner.

Steady growth

Just under a year ago we topped 8 Tbps for the first time at DE-CIX Frankfurt. This year's constant traffic peak records at Frankfurt, and also at other DE-CIX Internet Exchanges like New York and Madrid, have been accompanied by a steady growth of our interconnection ecosystem.

In August we connected the 1,000th network in Frankfurt and at the moment, there are 1,016 networks connected. Currently worldwide, there are over 2,100 networks connected to our Internet Exchanges.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLBt0rUUAYpQTD.jpg
2020-12-01T18:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333840847062777856
@gopiisancoolakk @hatboxghost2 The number he is referring is the entirety of all internet traffic going through Frankfurt. It has zero to do with Dominion.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLBIcPUcAEI_1Z.jpg

---

4 November 2020

DE-CIX Frankfurt hits 10 Tbps peak traffic

This page is also available in: German



Last night, for the first time, we reached 10 Terabits per second peak traffic at DE-CIX Frankfurt.

We cannot say for sure what caused the peak (might be a combination of the Champions League, the US election, software upgrades, lockdown in Germany), but the traffic developments this year have been extraordinary due to the unpreceded situation we are living in. When we hit 8.3 Tbps in February, we predicted that we would reach 9 Tbps towards the end of the year. Instead, we exceeded that mark a mere two weeks later. And now we are at 10 Tbps – and what is usually the peak season in winter when people spend more time indoors is just around the corner.

Steady growth

Just under a year ago we topped 8 Tbps for the first time at DE-CIX Frankfurt. This year's constant traffic peak records at Frankfurt, and also at other DE-CIX Internet Exchanges like New York and Madrid, have been accompanied by a steady growth of our interconnection ecosystem.

In August we connected the 1,000th network in Frankfurt and at the moment, there are 1,016 networks connected. Currently worldwide, there are over 2,100 networks connected to our Internet Exchanges.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoLBIcPUcAEI_1Z.jpg

2020-12-01T17:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338830333943349249

@PhyreGoldMAJIC I'm just going by the Tweet above

"Whitehat Hacker, Col., testifying that data traffic on Dominion Servers increased from 7 TB/s to 10 TB/s on election day!"

Which is either a misquote, or nonsense.

2020-12-01T17:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338329546387226624

@PhyreGoldMAJIC I understand how bandwidth and transfer rates work.

Maybe we should dig out the video, to see what he actually said?

2020-12-01T17:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338328986456002560

@DaveTiber More like 60. In 2019 it was 466 Tbps. Divide by 8 to get TB/s, so 58.25 TB/s

https://blog.telegeography.com/466-tbps-the-global-internet-continues-to-expand

---

2020-12-01T17:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338327101082828802

@CalgaryPatty What evidence do they cite that demonstrates it happened?

2020-12-01T17:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1338326485803655169

@gopiisancoolakk @hatboxghost2 I don't suppose you have a timestamp of where that is in the video?

2020-12-01T17:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333826081992757248
@PhyreGoldMAJIC Can you do it in one second?

2020-12-01T17:02:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333818811624034304
@DualitySupposed Except it wasn't captured on video.

2020-12-01T17:00:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333818382370574336
@hatboxghost2 @gopiisancoolakk Increase in what exactly?

Where's the data?

2020-12-01T16:38:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333812755657236480
@DualitySupposed I think it's some kind of reflection. The last move synced with the camera motion is just too obviously linked.

2020-12-01T16:34:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333811763721060354
@Cosmic_Mystery0 It sounds like he has not personally seen it, but some "white hat hacker" has told him something.

Why doesn't he just show the data?

Because it's bullshit.

2020-12-01T16:32:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333811257535647744
@PhyreGoldMAJIC I'm not sure what your point is?

10TB/s is obviously wrong, even as a peak.

2020-12-01T16:14:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333806773526949888
@gopiisancoolakk Either way, it's wrong.

2020-12-01T16:11:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333805984322441219
Apparently Dominion's servers were using 10 TB/s of bandwidth on election day.

That's, um, 20% of the entire World's internet traffic!!!
https://twitter.com/stopthestealll/status/1333737602898292742

2020-12-01T16:01:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333803477085011973
@ExoExplorer3 Thanks, very interesting!

2020-12-01T16:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333803105087995910
@gopiisancoolakk Doesn't sound like a big increase (7 to 10), but does sound like an insanely improbable traffic rate, seeing as the ENTIRE INTERNET is about 60 TB/s (500 Tbps). So likely bullshit or misunderstanding.

Source: https://blog.telegeography.com/466-tbps-the-global-internet-continues-to-expand
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoKeZcoUUAINNmQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoKeZcoUUAINNmQ.jpg
2020-12-01T15:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333798920581857283
The rebuttal of Trump's wild and baseless conspiracy theories is a bipartisan effort at the state level.

Notably absent are the majority of Federal Republican lawmakers, silently acquiescing, hedging their bets on Trump's possible "kingmaker" role in 2022 and 2024. Shameful.
https://twitter.com/JonLemire/status/1333133221769437184

2020-12-01T06:57:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333666436800122882
RT @jonathanoosting: One of the witnesses in Sidney Powellâ□□s new Michigan lawsuit says in a declaration he thinks thereâ□□s something fishy aâ□¦

2020-12-01T06:49:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333664609157353472
@TravisS42 @Terpsicore333 Oh sorry, I didn't notice the other tweet, as he's blocked me.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoIgxvTUYAEo_iW.jpg



Terpsicore ★★★
@Terpsicore333

You're blocked

You can't follow or see @Terpsicore333's Tweets. Learn more

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoIgxvTUYAEo_iW.jpg
2020-12-01T06:42:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333662858056384514
@TravisS42 @Terpsicore333 Where?

2020-11-30T22:10:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333533897376731137
@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 Do you have a source as to exactly what happened there?

I thought there were just a few people in the building after most people went home. Maybe because of complaints from the governor.

2020-11-30T21:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333526408967061504
@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 Let me know if you find it.

2020-11-30T20:05:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333502525392056320
@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 I wrote more on the leak issue here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/burst-pipe-conspiracy-theories-fulton-countys-state-fame-arena-georgia.11474/

2020-11-30T19:40:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333496054604468224
@juliagalef
https://cooking.stackexchange.com/questions/101085/when-making-yogurt-why-doesnt-bad-bacteria-grow-as-well

---

2020-11-30T16:54:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333454435259801600
@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 The leak was in the early morning of Oct 3rd.

It just delayed things because after it was fixed the carper was soaked. That statement from the arena was from during the day of Oct 3rd.

2020-11-30T16:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333448164334923786

@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 https://www.statefarmarena.com/news/detail/statement-regarding-absentee-ballot-tabulation-at-state-farm-arena http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoFcAYyVQAIHyle.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoFcAYyVQAIHyle.jpg

2020-11-30T16:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333444967365107713

@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 It was exaggerated. I saw reports of a "water main break", when it was just a leaking pipe in the ceiling. But that's irrelevant as ANY water dripping into a room is going to stop operations. That's what happened. Fixed leak, cleaned up, continued, about 4 hour delay.

2020-11-30T16:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333441506523246596

@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 The pipe burst happened before absentee counting started. It delayed the start of counting because water was spraying in the room where they were going to count votes.

2020-11-30T15:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333439823898501121

@GuessingJester @Oswald1160 @gummibear737 It was not out of nowhere. It was election day votes favoring trump and then mail-in votes favoring Biden.

No assumptions there. It's what was expected, and what the data shows.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoFUVFoVoAEFtSW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoFUVFoVoAEFtSW.jpg
2020-11-30T05:40:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333284770231382016
@tommyIzito I care very much about the truth.

2020-11-29T21:53:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333167266678992896
RT @DavidCornDC: Trump suggests that Chris Wrayâ□□s FBI and Bill Barrâ□□s DOJ helped rig the election to create â□□a total fraudâ□□ in order to eleâ□¦

2020-11-29T21:51:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333166804223422464
@JerseyanUSA It's the current system.

2020-11-29T21:38:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333163539264008192
@GetAFreakinGrip Yep, same thing.

It's a challenge to address in real-time. But will eventually settle down into a bunch of conspiracy theory mythology.

2020-11-29T21:36:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333162891151720448
How states verify voter signatures on mail-in ballots. NBC News
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1333162638511988736/pu/img/_S0E4YiugQya2Ozz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1333162638511988736/pu/img/_S0E4YiugQya2Ozz.jpg
2020-11-29T21:27:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333160766023745536
The "firehose of falsehood" is a deliberate tactic.
They don't really care about the truth value of what they are promoting, just the effect it has.
https://twitter.com/brianstelter/status/1333119768212201478

2020-11-29T21:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333159723810492417
@gummibear737 @GuessingJester I think that is what is happening.

2020-11-29T21:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333159291885293571
@gopiisancoolakk That varies by state. Wisconsin does it at the request stage. Arizona (and 30 other states) does it on the ballot envelopes.

There's no strong evidence that one system is better than another. Problems with both. There's a good video on it here:

https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2020-election/ballots-can-t-be-tossed-out-over-voter-signature-court-n1244585

2020-11-29T21:16:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333157945719156736
@GuessingJester @gummibear737 It's going to fester no matter what.

But yes, largely wishful thinking, combined with a massive propaganda campaign.

Spraying shit around, basically.

2020-11-29T21:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333157525181480961
@FLOrangeQueen I read it. It's a bunch of speculation, and him not understanding some graphs

Credentials are pretty meaningless when your evidence does not hold up to scrutiny.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-navid-keshavarz-nias-claims-of-a-sudden-rise-in-slope-as-election-fraud-evidence.11469/

2020-11-29T21:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333157262110597121
@don20208 You can't perjure yourself by giving crappy opinions. Even if they are false, it's just him being wrong, not him lying.

He was wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-navid-keshavarz-nias-claims-of-a-sudden-rise-in-slope-as-election-fraud-evidence.11469/

2020-11-29T21:12:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333156977959071744
@MaxManaged His opinion, no matter his credentials, depends only on the quality of his evidence. It seems like the usual misunderstanding of graphs.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-navid-keshavarz-nias-claims-of-a-sudden-rise-in-slope-as-election-fraud-evidence.11469/

2020-11-29T21:11:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333156638493032448
@RoscoeBDavis1 Credentials are pretty meaningless when your evidence does not hold up to scrutiny.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-navid-keshavarz-nias-claims-of-a-sudden-rise-in-slope-as-election-fraud-evidence.11469/

2020-11-29T21:06:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333155438288801793
@DanielS50803192 Explained literally weeks ago.

The large updates came from cites that were 90%+ dem voting historically.

2020-11-29T21:01:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333154075257442304
@gopiisancoolakk I think you'd need some evidence of fraud. Signatures are matched when the ballot is received.

2020-11-29T21:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1333153845644468225
@gopiisancoolakk Where is this "Dominion's direct feed to outlets?" Dominion don't feed the news agencies.

It looks like the NTY/Edgar data munged through a spreadsheet. NYT only gave those three-digit % and an integer total.

i.e. this is more bullshit.

2020-11-29T20:38:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333148283594698752
@gopiisancoolakk Don't you wonder WHY this isn't actually convincing anyone relevant?

It's because it's bullshit.

2020-11-29T20:37:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333148073686564865
@gopiisancoolakk Those are large counting centers reporting their results. It does not tell you how fast they counted.

2020-11-29T20:34:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333147249077960705
@gopiisancoolakk Does he give more details on exactly what he's claiming there? What numbers, where from?

2020-11-29T20:32:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333146778539945984
@DanielS50803192 Why wouldn't they investigate illegal ballots? There are some every election.

2020-11-29T20:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333145384936357888
Debunked: Navid Keshavarz-Nia's Claims of "A Sudden Rise in Slope" as Election Fraud Evidence

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-navid-keshavarz-nias-claims-of-a-sudden-rise-in-slope-as-election-fraud-evidence.11469/

2020-11-29T17:57:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333107901716545537
He's just repeating claims that were explained WEEKS ago, like the large jumps in votes for Biden, which were due to voting centers in dem-heavy cities reporting their results.
He seems confused, thinking it's something that's physically impossible.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoAmKm5VgAEvGd4.jpg

---

h. The data variance favoring Vice President continues to accelerate after 4:30 AM EST on November 4, 2020 and continues until it momentum through November 9, 2020. This abnormality in variance is evident by the unusually steep slope for Vice President Biden in all battleground states on November 4, 2020. A sudden rise in slope is not normal and demonstrates data manipulation by artificial means. For example in PA, President Trump's lead of more than 700,000 count advantage was reduced to less than 300,000 in a few short hours, which does not occur in the real world without an external influence. I conclude that manually feeding more than 400,000 mostly absentee ballots cannot be accomplished in a short time frame (i.e., 2-3 hours) without illegal vote count alteration. In another case for Edison County, MI, Vice President Biden received more than 100% of the votes at 5:59 PM EST on November 4, 2020 and again he received 99.61% of the votes at 2:23 PM EST on November 5, 2020. These distributions are cause for concern and indicate fraud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EoAmKm5VgAEvGd4.jpg
2020-11-29T17:49:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333105776273997824
Navid-Keshavarz-Nia's affidavit seems to boil down to:
1) Election fraud is possible, and has happened in the past.
2) Based on stuff he's read on the internet, he thinks it's happened here.

Am I missing something, where is his actual analysis?

2020-11-29T16:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333091145979596800
RT @FrankLuntz: #StopTheSteal need to take their own advice and stop stealing donation money from people who think Donald Trump will stillâ□¦

2020-11-29T15:17:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333067575354245121
@EttaRufus No

2020-11-29T15:16:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1333067396181946368
@InsingaMarc @gummibear737 Iâ□□m sure there are several factors.

2020-11-29T06:20:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332932483592056833
@InsingaMarc @gummibear737 This is only 2020 intent to vote absentee compared to actual 2016, but it gives a sense of the complex variations between states. AZ vs. PA, for example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En-GowqVoAQD8-S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En-GowqVoAQD8-S.jpg

2020-11-29T06:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332927358353825794

@InsingaMarc @gummibear737 AZ was 88% absentee votes (2,987,747/3,385,845)

PA was 38% ((1995691+595538+24783)/(3459923+3378263+79397))

The smaller the % of absentee ballots, the greater the effect of non-Trump Republicans.

+other factors

2020-11-29T01:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332863394035089413

@GuessingJester @yoshkapundrick @ClownBasket @gummibear737 @AGHamilton29

Delusional? No, just mistakes and wishful thinking.

Of course, there was SOME fraud. There were two people caught, I think in PA, trying to vote as someone else.

2020-11-29T01:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332862360629886978

@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump I'm unsure what your point is here. Can you give an example with numbers?

2020-11-29T01:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332862075278823424

@GuessingJester @yoshkapundrick @ClownBasket @gummibear737 @AGHamilton29 No. I've read a lot of them, and they just seem mostly like gripes about the observer process and then there's stuff like seeing working do things that they don't understand and find suspicious. A lot of wishful thinking, maybe some lies, and some actual problems.

2020-11-29T01:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332861468446924800

@InsingaMarc @gummibear737 I'm not sure these partial results are useful comparisons. Are there no similar states that report the total mail in ballots like PA does?

2020-11-29T01:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332860959476518915

@SubtleVery @gummibear737 Which deluge are you referring to? There were several that were city absentee votes, which came in at about the same ratios as in previous years.

2020-11-28T23:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332824488317128705

@InsingaMarc @gummibear737 Where did you look? Which states, and what were the numbers?

2020-11-28T23:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332822473381867521

@SubtleVery @gummibear737 One at a time.

2020-11-28T23:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332822185694531584

@gummibear737 @JohnHalgren1 I'm not sure how you would get that. How would you suggest your investigation would proceed?

2020-11-28T23:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332821916202135553

@Wak3yWak3y @gummibear737 Sure, but the question is if an investigation is justified based on these numbers. I don't think so.

2020-11-28T22:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332821476420980736

@JohnHalgren1 @gummibear737 But this is just in the mail-in ballots. Trump fans were instructed NOT to use them, so the Republicans that were not fans of Trump would be greatly overrepresented in the mail-in ballots.

2020-11-28T22:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332821171570503680

@PJMasson1 I think he followed me for the UFO stuff.

2020-11-28T22:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332821043296174080

@EttaRufus It's actually meaningless in the sense he's claiming it has meaning, as he thought it was the ballots returned in the general election, not the much smaller Primary.

He's positing a vast conspiracy on his use of the wrong number.

2020-11-28T22:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332819439289397248

@PJMasson1 No, but he follows me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8gL3PVEAIMGzf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8gL3PVEAIMGzf.jpg

2020-11-28T22:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332819067279818752

@PhyreGoldMAJIC @gummibear737 It's only the crossover in mail-in votes. His supporters were advised to no use mail-in, so republicans who did not support him would be overrepresented in the mail-in votes.

2020-11-28T22:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332818747761954819

@gummibear737 Not really, because the numbers don't seem implausible to me.

Republican non-Trump fans would be more likely to disregard his directive to not use mail-in

voting. So you get a larger defection rate.

2020-11-28T22:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332817812172070914

@emmathepony It was an observational study. They went out and looked at people driving past.

2020-11-28T22:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332817457875087361

@gummibear737 I think your argument hinges on demonstrating that the ratios are "exteme", when we know Trump was a highly polarizing figure.

There's several ways you can slice it, but no way of proving it. I'd suspect higher dem loyalty than you posit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8eOxKUUAAMio_.jpg

---

		% Biden	%Trump	Votes Biden	Votes Trump
Dem	1,702,484	98.7%	1.3%	1680352	22132
Repub	623,404	11.5%	88.5%	71691	551712.54
Minor	20,111	70.0%	30.0%	14078	6033.3
No Party	283,673	75.0%	25.0%	212755	70918.25
				1978876	650796

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8eOxKUUAAMio_.jpg

2020-11-28T22:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332814005585338368

Most people still do. So statistically it's not a big factor.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8a1H9UcAA3VtX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8a1H9UcAA3VtX.jpg

2020-11-28T22:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332812020207390722

@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump That is exactly as expected, as Trump told his supporters to avoid mail-in ballots. Biden told his supporters to use them (due to Covid-19). Same pattern everywhere.

2020-11-28T22:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332811384040538112
Trump appears to ACTUALLY BELIEVE that (as he said yesterday) "1,126,940 votes were created out of thin air."

His claim is entirely wrong, and relies on subtracting the primary mail-in ballots from the election mail-in ballots! Meaningless number results

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/.11468/
https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1332788716818010114

2020-11-28T21:26:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332798092383547392
@AlaskaJoe11 @BenTylerCA @Greywulff1958 @EmeraldRobinson @dougmastriano Avid has no connection to Dominion. Avid makes video and audio editing software.

2020-11-28T21:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332797251656171520
@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump Those are not "snopes numbers", they are PA Sec of State's office numbers.

Biden got 1,995,691 mail-in ballots, out of a total of 2,629,672 returned ballots. So Trump's claim is entirely wrong.

2020-11-28T21:14:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332795060178530304
What is the point of collating a list of "evidence" if you don't remove the entries that are not actually evidence? These two were explained WEEKS ago. The percentages match the dem-heavy cities the batches came from.

It's just a Gish Gallop. Prune it, or get ignored.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8JkSfUwAECHeb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8JkSfUwAECHeb.jpg
2020-11-28T21:05:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332792773318152192
Actual harm from conspiracy theories. The "save the children" fake panic is taking resources away from actual endangered children. https://twitter.com/donie/status/1332699570543992834

2020-11-28T21:01:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332791631448207360
@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump Source: https://electproject.github.io/Early-Vote-2020G/PA.html

---

2020-11-28T21:00:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332791401809989632
@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump Reading isn't the issue. Verifying and understanding is the issue.

Here are the actual numbers for requested and returned ballots.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8GmlxXEAYxLqK.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8GmlxXEAYxLqK.png
2020-11-28T20:55:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332790317389635588
Trump's claim that "1,126,940 votes were created out of thin air" shows he either does not understand what's going on, or he lying. Same with State Senator Mastriano

It's the wrong number from the wrong election.

https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/pa-mailin-votes-ballots/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8EbW-VgAAVoOP.jpg



Donald J. Trump @realDonaldTrump · 15h

The 1,126,940 votes were created out of thin air. I won Pennsylvania by a lot, perhaps more than anyone will ever know. The Pennsylvania votes were RIGGED. All other swing states also. The world is watching!

Multiple sources called this election differently

Senator Doug Mastriano @SenMastriano · Nov 27

This was posted on our Department of State dashboard but had since been deleted.



Pennsylvania official votes (@11/24 8 PM):

Candidate	Election	Mail	Provision
Donald J. Trump	2,821,610	594,645	49,323
Joseph R Biden	1,408,564	1,994,597	52,847
Grand Total	**4,230,174**	**2,589,242**	**102,170**

Pennsylvania reports having mailed out 1,823,148 ballots, of which 1,462,302 were returned. Yet total mail-in votes number 2,589,242? From where did the extra 2,589,242 – 1,462,302 = 1,126,940 votes

Multiple sources called this election differently

53.9K 76.6K 231.4K

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8EVChVkAAT-Th.jpg

The **deadline for requesting mail-in ballots** was several days later (Oct. 27), and by then over 3 million mail-in ballots were requested, of which over 2.6 million were ultimately **returned** by the specified deadline for ballot counting:

Mail Ballots Returned: **2,629,183**

Mail Ballots Returned by Party Registration

Party	Returned Ballots	Freq. Distribution	Requested Ballots	Return Rate
Democrats	1,702,623	64.8	1,941,436	87.7
Republicans	623,098	23.7	785,083	79.4
Minor	20,090	0.8	25,369	79.2
No Party Affiliation	283,372	10.8	336,235	84.3
TOTAL	2,629,183	100.0	3,088,123	85.1

The numbers reiterated by Trump and his supporters in an attempt to prove election fraud in Pennsylvania bore no relationship to the total of mail-in votes recorded in that state and were not proof of any type of malfeasance or major error in vote-counting.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En8EbW-VgAAVoOP.jpg
2020-11-28T20:44:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332787574666977280
@THEFXR1 @realDonaldTrump yes it is.
https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/pa-mailin-votes-ballots/

2020-11-28T19:41:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332771628619419648
@DanielS50803192 If she's got evidence of a crime being committed then she should give it to the police.

2020-11-28T19:40:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332771418459807744
@Sage92377624 My point is that the pictures look cool, and can be made by the process I described.

2020-11-28T17:01:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332731255310323712
@Sage92377624 It's not intended to look "realistic." It's a way of visualizing the flight paths by overlaying lots of frames of a video onto one image. This type of thing, but with birds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En7P_etVoAAbsML.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En7P_etVoAAbsML.jpg

2020-11-28T16:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332730684880814080

@Rey_Skywalker8 @SallyNo91222343 @BrandonFugal @fylth1 @ThomasWinterton @SWRDragon @KalebBench @NatGeoChannel @aaas @NSF @elonmusk @JeffBezos @yurimilner @realDonaldTrump @mufon @rpowell2u I already did. They assume the camera does not lose tracking, when it very clearly does.

2020-11-28T00:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332487841914339328

If it's daytime, blue sky, and white birds, like seagulls, use "maximum"

2020-11-28T00:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332487572929478656

Here's one from a random murmuration video I found.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3yTpiVkAEq4NJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3yTpiVkAEq4NJ.jpg

2020-11-28T00:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332487254913085442

These images are surprisingly easy to make with Photoshop, if you have a source video, preferably in 4K, on a tripod.

1. Import/video frames to layers/Range

2. select all layers, make smart object

3. Layers/Smart Object/Stack Mode -> Minimum

That's it. https://twitter.com/TimDee4/status/1332314773342593025

2020-11-28T00:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332478672121470977

@gr33nbot Dane has been saying that for a decade. Nothing has really changed.

What's actually going on?

2020-11-28T00:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332477625445486593

Historically, recounts don't really change the results unless the race is incredibly close.

The Milwaukee County presidential recount wrapped up with Biden adding 132 votes to his margin over Trump, at a cost of $3,000,000 to Trump's supporters.

https://www.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/27/milwaukee-county-recount-wraps-up-biden-adding-his-margin/6428186002/

2020-11-27T23:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332473599945392128

@stukhan @gobiernocontra If they persist and spread, then they are 99.9% atmospheric water that has been deposited on the original ice-crystals. So really only a tiny bit exhaust fumes. Essentially normal clouds.

http://cires1.colorado.edu/science/groups/pielke/classes/atoc7500/knollenberg72.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3lcDmVcAIM7UT.jpg



FIG. 6. Concentration and ice water content horizontal profiles in contrail 18 min after generation.

data are given in Figs. 8 and 9. The upper passes in these cross sections were within 100 m of the top of the contrail core and the estimated IWC isopleths should be reasonably good. The lower levels are less certain.

The size distributions in regions of high IWC are similar in appearance to those in low IWC, the change in IWC following the change in concentration very closely and not strongly influenced by crystal size (see Figs. 8 and 9). Many of the distributions in these

that there is a limited supply of ice crystals, and as growth proceeds the larger crystals fall out leaving smaller crystals to grow until the entire supply is exhausted. Both cross sections show the highest IWC in the region of the lowest passes which is probably the result of continued growth during fallout. The final pass in the same region as the upper pass in cross section A, but 12 min later (1247) revealed only a few crystals remaining at this level. The entire region rapidly decayed and completely disappeared by 1300.

Since the contrail core was a fairly continuous segment, computations of total ice per meter of flight path should be suitable for comparison with the exhausted water from the aircraft. Only the region between the passes was integrated. The values computed were 4.12×10^4 gm m^{-1} and 2.07×10^4 gm m^{-1} for cross sections A and B. There are at least four orders of magnitude more ice present in the contrail core than the Sabreliner originally exhausted! Furthermore, these values are underestimates for the following reasons:

1) The IWC above and below the pass levels wasn't added to the total ice m^{-1}.
2) The IWC in satellite patches such as that in Fig. 6 obviously arose from the contrail and once added would increase the ice m^{-1}.
3) During the course of the experiment (45 min) a continuous flux of crystals was falling out to lower levels and evaporating.
4) The spectrometer measured no IWC from crystals smaller than 75 μ.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3lcDmVcAIM7UT.jpg

2020-11-27T23:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332466475987214336

@DanielS50803192 Really? I discussed a few here:

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Election2020/

Which one do you think is most out of my league?

2020-11-27T21:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332444015594127360

@p0undmet00 Well, I think the 200 proofs that it is flat all being wrong is a clue.

2020-11-27T21:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332441940223168512

@Greg_Tolan @gummibear737 I can understand regular typos in the text but you'd think the fraction a second required to look at the cover page wouldn't make much of a difference.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3Ir3AVgAEgSSM.jpg

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICCT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRCOICT OF GEORGIA, ATLANTA DIVISION

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3Ir3AVgAEgSSM.jpg

2020-11-27T21:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332441174951432192

@gummibear737 Yes.

2020-11-27T21:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332440024177000449

@gummibear737 Well so far, the number of proven cases seems to be in the single digits. There are claims of statistical abnormalities, but nothing has really stood up to scrutiny yet.

2020-11-27T21:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332435479115960324

For people who think that the quantity of evidence outweighs the fact that no individual piece of evidence ever stands up to serious scrutiny, I refer you to the fact that there's 200 proofs that the Earth is flat. They clearly can't all be wrong???

https://www.google.com/books/edition/200_Proofs_Earth_Is_Not_a_Spinning_Ball/XZxdvQEACAAJ?hl=en http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3C2tuVkAE97Gk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En3C2tuVkAE97Gk.jpg

2020-11-27T21:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332434183906476033

@DrNealHouston @SidneyPowell1 @gatewaypundit So why not Georgia?

2020-11-27T21:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332433332810903558

@RedPillEm @factcheckyou1 @the_lao_shi @RedPilledVision @mike_wanaoni @realDonaldTrump The non-existant patent?

Avid makes video editing software, that's about it.

2020-11-27T21:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1332431630556753920

Presumably inexplicably ridiculous mega-typos like this will be interpreted as some kind of

secret message?

I thought DISTRCOICT might be an abbreviation of "district court", but no, it's just a finger fart.
https://twitter.com/marceelias/status/1332430043004407814

2020-11-27T21:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332429915283550208
@DanielS50803192 It was a statistically anomalous election because of the pandemic. So it's easy to cherry-pick "suspicious" data points. Take it to court.

Odd how they didn't have a problem certifying Livonia.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En293C6VQAANwoc.jpg

Detroit Can't Be Certified, but Livonia Can

One area that caused criticism for the Republican canvassers was the racial motivation seemingly behind the decision to discount Detroit's votes while not discounting those of Livonia, which had a similar rate of out-of-balance districts. The key difference between Detroit and Livionia, besides scale, is racial diversity.

"Republican Board of Canvassers' refusal to certify Wayne County election results is a racist attempt to disenfranchise Black voters in Detroit—Monica Palmer said it herself when she suggested they certify all results except for Detroit," said the group Progress Michigan in a statement to The 'Gander. "Livonia, which is primarily white, was mentioned by staff as having the second highest number of out of balance precincts, but Palmer said she would still certify their results. This is a racist, right-wing power grab fueled by partisan politics and Trump's last-ditch efforts to ignore the will of the people."

For Detroiters, that distinction between them and Livonia was disappointing, but not surprising. Detroit attorney Jamonte Cannon told The 'Gander that race was central to the desire to certify one city and not the other.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/En293C6VQAANwoc.jpg
2020-11-27T15:12:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1332341430140903424
@Rey_Skywalker8 @SallyNo91222343 @BrandonFugal @fylth1 @ThomasWinterton @SWRDragon @KalebBench @NatGeoChannel @aaas @NSF @elonmusk @JeffBezos @yurimilner @realDonaldTrump @mufon My response to Fravor.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-11-26T05:59:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331840059956314114
@DanielS50803192 Do you actually think this is real?

How would that even work?

2020-11-26T04:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331817240816013313

@JoeyGDNBoss @CakeAlchemist @jimcaraey @ParkerMolloy Not me.

2020-11-25T18:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331661391887888384

@BeNosey It's a lunar corona. Diffraction from evenly sized water microdroplets in the cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnsC5xwVEAEL52Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnsC5xwVEAEL52Z.jpg

2020-11-25T18:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331658930410332162

@JoeyGDNBoss Then it's still good advice. If you can get some good from Peterson, then that's great. Assuming you can separate the wheat from the chaff.

2020-11-25T17:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331647426453639168

@fylth1 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan I have an explanation.

UFOs in photos are probably just ordinary objects that are too far away to see clearly.

Close-up eyewitness accounts are not backed up with photos, so might not be as interesting as they seem.

2020-11-25T17:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331646076823105539

@Tomfortas @hoaxeye Well, that varies by the artist. The idea of a monolith being hidden away for eventual discovery years later is pretty interesting art.

2020-11-25T16:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331638190470438917

@jimcaraey @ParkerMolloy There's your problem!

2020-11-25T16:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331638092139139074

@fylth1 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan So why no photos like this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnrtvsJVkAEShxq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnrtvsJVkAEShxq.jpg

2020-11-25T16:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331637970437251077

@fylth1 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan People sometimes have a camera with them. I took these photos two days ago when a U2 entirely unexpectedly flew over my house for 20 seconds. The ratio of sightings to good photos is suspicious.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enrtj54UcAEkibs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enrtj54UcAEkibs.jpg
2020-11-25T16:32:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331636774985056257
@blackvaultcom @BGray8ful @LtTimMcMillan @GeezerSqueezer @AFSUnidentified @itsredactedYT @WarrenRPrice @GrantCameron People don't realize to what extent they are essentially larping. This is true in many contexts. We all kind of live in some sort of fantasy bubble - to varying degrees.

2020-11-25T16:28:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331635787134234630
@fylth1 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan So that kind of implies that all those cries of "Photoshopped" are legit.

And blurry photos are just blurry. That does not mean they are fake, just that you can't tell what they show.

Lights in the sky are lights. Ditto.

2020-11-25T16:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331635089256579077
@jimcaraey @ParkerMolloy Cleaning your room is good advice, both actually and metaphorically. But your mom could tell you that.

2020-11-25T16:16:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331632852971134977
@GeezerSqueezer @AFSUnidentified @itsredactedYT @WarrenRPrice @GrantCameron @LtTimMcMillan Are you sure I'm the right clown here? Feels like you are talking about someone else.

2020-11-25T16:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331628986540064769
@GeezerSqueezer @AFSUnidentified @itsredactedYT @WarrenRPrice @GrantCameron @LtTimMcMillan What back and forth? I've not idea what this kerfuffle is about. Someone said they saw a photo and Tim got really mad, and then decided it was no big deal - is about all I can see. I'm not involved.

2020-11-25T15:54:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331627385494544384
@fylth1 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @LtTimMcMillan Are there clear images that you think are not fake? What's the best one?

2020-11-25T15:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331626121931046915
@JunkScience Don't go full QAnon Steve. Sometimes things are behind other things, photographers like to line things up.

It's sometime used as deliberate commentary, of course. But's it' not mocking christianity, it a comment on (or attempt at) the deification or demonization of individuals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enri27hUwAETnFz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnrhpUzVgAAmjUP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enri27hUwAETnFz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnrizT6VgAIHwyu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enrh7hFUwAI3gz2.jpg
2020-11-25T15:17:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331617891288977409
@HFrancois2000 @Lukewearechange
https://support.google.com/youtubekids/?hl=en#topic=6130504

2020-11-25T06:02:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331478260115415041
@naoehogui "Meticulously planned" isn't really in my nature.

I was famous for my lack of planning, back in my gamedev days. I've not improved.

2020-11-25T05:50:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331475385742024706
@Brenna_H_C @samueljrob @FerrisState @Metabunk Yeah, the "nukes are fake" is an alternative history thing, kind of like the mud flood, or flat earth.

2020-11-25T05:49:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331475122503393280
@davetroy @kevinabosch RT is rather gleefully debunking it. And noting Kevin isn't claiming to

be NYT any more. https://www.rt.com/usa/507727-parler-hack-twitter-liberals/

2020-11-25T05:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331474050284023811

@GetAFreakinGrip @hoaxeye It's the monolith, from above. You can see the shadow.

2020-11-25T05:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331465122854838275

@DanielFlego Itâ□□s novel, but not worrisome.

2020-11-25T05:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331464867493019648

@realufobros @SomewhereSkies @jamescfox Thatâ□□s an interesting hypotenuse.

2020-11-25T00:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331396152554504192

@Ron07330116 Why can't you find one?

2020-11-24T23:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331376547530375168

@davetroy @kevinabosch That has to be unrelated unless someone snagged data back in July and sat on it until now.

It's not ever clear if that original Parler "hack" was anything. Looks like a set of Wordpress Engine credentials.

2020-11-24T23:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331375288307965952

@Ron07330116 So which one? Which is the most in need of investigating and debunking?

Pick one that you think has not already been investigated and debunked.

2020-11-24T22:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331371503653273600

@davetroy This is old. Was posted in July.
https://twitter.com/th3j35t3r/status/1284204963854516229

2020-11-24T22:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331370604759375872

I suspect this will be a bunch of ultimately unsubstantiated affidavits, and no actual evidence.

If it's anything at all, that is. https://twitter.com/justinbaragona/status/1331366627342946304

2020-11-24T22:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331369871498625024

@Lukewearechange Clearly, you are unfamiliar with Janet Jackson's career.

Also, the difference between primetime TV and Youtube.

2020-11-24T22:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331363956032634881
@BrowneBJ @hoaxeye Rephrase it then. How would a non-debunker break the news of the boring nature of this monolith.

2020-11-24T22:24:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331363138499842050
@Ron07330116 Can't you? I really want to know what you consider to be a prime example of something that NEEDS INVESTIGATING?

2020-11-24T21:44:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331353107532443649
@Rudolf_Clausius @hoaxeye Oh, I've heard the Georgia Guidestone come up.

2020-11-24T21:43:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331352792896737280
@Oswald1160 @JLuvin @LoreandLegends3 It did not show up on FR24.

2020-11-24T21:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331352689603530753
@nick904nick @neondaggergames @PostDisclosure No, a large vehicle with high-up lights, slowly moving behind some wet trees, in the night, is what is uncommon. Unless. perhaps, you live on a farm, or near a slow railway.

2020-11-24T21:40:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331351947153051651
Utah Monolith, 2016

It's just a bit of random anonymous land art.

(via @hoaxeye) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnnpYwFVkAAklTh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnnpYwFVkAAklTh.jpg

2020-11-24T21:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331350919842123776

@_HumbleBeast_ It has been there for a few years. It looks manmade. It's probably just a piece of land art. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnnojSPVoAAU4pT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnnojSPVoAAU4pT.jpg

2020-11-24T21:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331348526962360320

RT @hoaxeye: Note: the Utah â□□monolithâ□□ is visible on Google Earth. Based on satellite image history, it was installed sometime during 2015-â□¦

2020-11-24T19:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331316743302565888

@Ron07330116 Can you give a prime example of some left-leaning falsehood that you think I should debunk?

2020-11-24T19:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331316419632320513

@PotatoRamirez Yes.

2020-11-24T19:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331316105583890432

@neondaggergames @nick904nick @PostDisclosure They are wet. Here's a small scale recreation I did last year. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3I0hhW8Zd0M

2020-11-24T18:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331311225175638016

@Ron07330116 I'm unclear what you think I'm diverting from. You brought up "the left".

I don't investigate the MIC as lots of other people do. That does not mean I'm a fan. I think it's a terrible, corrupt, waste of resources that contributes to messing up large portions of the world.

2020-11-24T18:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331309619948318720

@DanielO12203012 Well, a period of chaos is generally a good time to attack. I think the military is reasonably constant though. The damage is likely more slow moving. Attacks are more insidious.

2020-11-24T18:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331308763626631168

@flarkey Looks like this one:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ih57FiOeZXU

2020-11-24T18:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331305931364126721

@Ron07330116 @mvpispi You think the MIC is "The Left"?

2020-11-24T18:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331305441666633729

@LoreandLegends3 It actually happened though. I also got this cool shot of intersecting contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enm_HE3UUAIzR1v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Enm_HE3UUAIzR1v.jpg

2020-11-24T17:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331282310835044352

@PotatoRamirez Looks more like a balloon.

2020-11-24T17:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331282037030862849

@nick904nick @neondaggergames @PostDisclosure Because it's an uncommon set of circumstances. You driving a lot has nothing to do with it.

2020-11-24T17:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331281454421004294

I was out taking photos of seagulls, when a Lockheed U2 spy plane suddenly and noisily flew overhead. Heading towards Mather. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnmoCzwUYAAdJFL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnmoCzwUYAAdJFL.jpg

2020-11-24T16:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331276961734217728

@JasonColavito @AncientAliens http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnmlVh_UcAAkLD5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnmlVh_UcAAkLD5.jpg

2020-11-24T16:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331266399927353344

@nick904nick @PostDisclosure The ones made by the public. Which is a lot.

2020-11-24T15:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331266102404366336

@DanielS50803192 What do you think these charts mean?

2020-11-24T06:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331122674278559746

@neondaggergames @PostDisclosure It looks like some kind of vehicle with lights, behind some wet trees.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bright-lights-behind-trees-with-roiling-glare-panama-city-florida.10978/

2020-11-24T03:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331083852895244289

@LunaIssy Not nearby. 100s of miles away.

2020-11-24T02:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331069907090296832

@PostDisclosure All videos are.

2020-11-24T01:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331045023056424964

@CodeMonkeyZ @drmtsu @12BravoGran But is there actionable evidence this happened?

Bring it to court.

2020-11-24T01:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331044823634124801

@CodeMonkeyZ You know this is going nowhere Ron. You're just stirring people up.

Why?

2020-11-24T01:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331044532817850369

@LunaIssy It's a high altitude hold, done at a considerable distance from the destination airport to sequence arrivals. Usually just one turn, but can be more with bad delays, like weather or a crash. Here are several examples. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8gAA3vcmR2U

2020-11-24T01:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331042975036493825

@_JamesBraine That's another good one. Coming towards you they look like they are hovering.

2020-11-24T01:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331042830333054978

@PJMasson1 Oh, it's not over. Just taking a brief break, with the GSA news.

Even if Trump concedes tomorrow, the conspiracy theories are settling in for the long haul.

2020-11-24T01:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331042386609205248

@mattjdavidson @Oswald1160 Well, when I talk to QAnon people (or hear them interviewed) they are generally very open to other conspiracy theories, if not already believing in them. So

they all think the CIA killed JFK, and most think the "deep state" did 9/11.

Hiding aliens is just one more thing.

2020-11-24T01:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331040575756197889

Video size and compression have a huge effect. Here's a shot from the original 4K video, and the same from a 720p upload.

You can JUST make out bird shapes in the 4K one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjOHugVgAIvHm8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjOHugVgAIvHm8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjN9llUcAALWvB.jpg

2020-11-24T00:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331039159595589633

When zooming in on these UFOs with my iPhone, they were revealed to be transparent orbs!!

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1331039026682347520/pu/img/HJVj6NIjF8IjdDZn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1331039026682347520/pu/img/HJVj6NIjF8IjdDZn.jpg

2020-11-24T00:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331038460182872065

@jaydiamond How could you tell?

2020-11-24T00:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331038353576185859

Luckily I have more than one camera. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjMSVGVcAEtnXZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjMSVGVcAEtnXZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjMGiPVkAEPxuW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjMDyGUwAM1Ij-.jpg

2020-11-24T00:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331037665664270336

@realufobros Well, I'm a skilled observer of flying objects, so surely my determination that it was seagulls is all you need?

2020-11-24T00:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1331037260301516800

Lack of pixels leads to a lack of wings. This also happens with planes, giving a "tic-tack" look

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjLIcwVcAAf_WL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnjLIcwVcAAf_WL.jpg
2020-11-24T00:46:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1331036553573920768
UFOs are objects that are too far away to be identified. The "white dot" is particularly common.

I saw a whole fleet of them earlier today. To my camera it looked like they were cloaking in and out of visiblity.
They were actually just seagulls.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1331036448913428481/pu/img/JOF3An3cg3-7-G4B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1331036448913428481/pu/img/JOF3An3cg3-7-G4B.jpg
2020-11-23T21:47:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330991386439532546
@Irishscientist1 @lexfridman Yeah, but if the only response to my arguments is to point out I'm not a fighter pilot, then that does not actually rebut the arguments. Not at all.

Because I'm not claiming any special knowledge. I'm pointing out things people can check for themselves.

2020-11-23T20:48:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330976472203288576
@mattjdavidson @Oswald1160 And I did NOT say "someone who is using UFO related search terms on Google is now somehow described by Mick's assertion - ''QAnon is rapidly becoming an encompassing framework''."

I just said the last bit.

So who said the first bit? (other than you)

2020-11-23T20:46:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330976081185103872
@mattjdavidson @Oswald1160 Wait, what extent am I claiming?

The percentage of UFO fans who are QAnon supporters is probably similar to the percentage of Football Fans who are QAnon supporters. That does not make them entwined.

2020-11-23T18:30:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330941750978830339
@mattjdavidson @Oswald1160 Who said that?

Obviously, lots of the searches for a term reflect public interest (driven by the media), not public belief.

Qanon encompasses a lot of the traditional conspiracies as a kind of generally agreed-upon substrate. Few hardcore anons would doubt UFOs are aliens.

2020-11-23T16:41:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330914391672246272
@adsum1022 Thoughts vary in the FE world. They donâ□□t generally feel the need to provide explanations. So the pilots being complicit seems like an irrelevant detail that they can have either way.

2020-11-23T16:37:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330913309617389569
@JLuvin I mean there is a supposed conspiracy to keep the knowledge of alien visitors or other

origins of UFOs secret. Thatâ□□s the UFO conspiracy.

Thereâ□□s also â□□itâ□□s just interestingâ□□ ufology, different thing.

2020-11-23T16:02:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330904610056282117

@JLuvin But donâ□□t you think the gov is covering something up, UFO related?

2020-11-23T16:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330904407194574852

@adsum1022 They would say that it was flying in a circle. Essentially the same route as due-east on the globe. The problems this presents are generally lost to them as FE requires a very fuzzy geometry understanding.

2020-11-23T15:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330903301437624320

@PotatoRamirez Yes, thatâ□□s the theory.

2020-11-23T15:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330903133371863043

@Oswald1160 @PotatoRamirez Yes, the â□□officialâ□□ stamp isnâ□□t really significant. But thatâ□□s what made the news and drove the trend. Nothing actually changed though.

2020-11-23T06:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330756024433688576

UFOs got a nice spike in 2020 with the "official" Navy videos, but that's rapidly fading away. JFK is a perennial, but not super exciting. The 2013 JFK spike was for the 50th anniversary.

They will all survive, of course. But QAnon is rapidly becoming an encompassing framework.

2020-11-23T06:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330754952637992962

9/11 Truth peaked in 2006, when the NIST report on World Trade Center Building 7 collapse was released.

Conspiracy theories need things to happen if they are to avoid stagnation. Nothing has been going on with chemtrails either. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnfKGk1VoAAlYWN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnfKGk1VoAAlYWN.jpg

2020-11-23T06:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330753638147342336

@ALnu108 That I should spend more time looking at QAnon.

2020-11-23T05:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330752604897300480

Some conspiracy theories compared in popularity over the last 5 years. They all had some small spikes, other than 9/11 Truth, which has been in steady decline since 2006

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnfIE_zVEAIXzTa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnfIE_zVEAIXzTa.jpg

2020-11-23T05:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330750918430584833

RT @ActMeasuresDoc: He knew he was lying. He knew his words would cost lives. He knew he would be proven wrong almost immediately. He thinkâ¦

2020-11-23T05:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330746054170402816

@TFan1776 @SK1LLLS @ItsLulu_7 I'm not a fan of drama, subterfuge, or even, in this context, humor.

2020-11-23T01:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330689169056677888

@Trumpery45 @PJMasson1 Election fraud is at least technically plausible, even something that's been done before.

But the standards of evidence here seem similar.

Powell's suggesting a conspiracy with thousands of people. Yet her evidence never arrives.

2020-11-23T00:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330676234913648642

"If something is true, it will stand up to scrutiny"

There's been a lot of claims put forward, and a lot of scrutiny. But so far nothing has held up. There's no reason to think the fraud claims are real, other than Trump saying so.

https://twitter.com/CultExpert/status/1330599412163358729

2020-11-23T00:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330669893948121088

@naoehogui @gloomytrader I said land art.

2020-11-23T00:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330669743116673026

@SK1LLLS @ItsLulu_7 @TFan1776 Can you prove that?

2020-11-23T00:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330669371157405696

@Jennife57773409 @o_rips @dwtruthwarrior Nobody is testing SAI. No tests have yet been done.

2020-11-23T00:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330668621257818112

@SK1LLLS @ItsLulu_7 why not?

2020-11-23T00:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330668463111643136

@mvpispi Different states have Covid tracking apps, but I don't know of anything like that. I suspect some paraphrasing.

2020-11-23T00:16:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330666528564363264

Sidney Powell's crazy QAnon-inspired conspiracy theories about election fraud are too crazy even for Trump! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/End5rXvUYAMgSKZ.jpg

---

BREITBART

TRENDING: 2020 RESULTS FIGHT CHINA VIRUS MASTERS OF THE UNIVERSE DEMOCRAT CITY UNR

TRUMP LEGAL TEAM DISTANCES FROM SIDNEY POWELL



President Donald Trump's legal team distanced themselves from attorney Sidney Powell on Sunday evening, noting that she did not represent the president, either as part of the team or in his personal capacity.

In a statement attributed to Trump attorney Rudy Giuliani, and Trump legal adviser Jenna Ellis, the team said: "Sidney Powell is practicing law on her own. She is not a member of the Trump Legal Team. She is also not a lawyer for the President in his personal capacity."

A source who spoke to Breitbart News on background suggested that the team had hoped to work with her, but that Powell's public claims had gone beyond the scope of the evidence they had seen and believed they could prove in court.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/End5rXvUYAMgSKZ.jpg
2020-11-22T19:16:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330591077942771715
@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr "then it seemed to vanish, until they spotted it thousands of feet above them"
1:38 here
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1330590934396932096/pu/img/UBzlBu4_EKmoIDHW.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1330590934396932096/pu/img/UBzlBu4_EKmoIDHW.jpg
2020-11-22T18:49:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330584313021140993
@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr No, the later sighting.

2020-11-22T18:22:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330577421943275520
@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr How big was the object? He could tell it had "a rounded edged about that

size [indicates 3 inches high]" - So what diameter was it?

How did he know the "bright yellow sun-ish looking object" was the same thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EncoUzuVgAAe2Mv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EncoMYdUcAY3m-o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EncoUzuVgAAe2Mv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EncnznPUUAApDRH.jpg
2020-11-22T17:57:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330571239996362755
@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr Accusations of making everyone out to be a liar are just silly. One can be incorrect without lying.

Here's the "unambiguous" description.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1330571166386253824/pu/img/VgEaGNLF46EJNMi8.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1330571166386253824/pu/img/VgEaGNLF46EJNMi8.jpg
2020-11-22T17:41:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330567058505961474
@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr If y'all have to rely on old, unverifiable, and vague stories like this as your best evidence and then resort to "military pilots are like gods, don't hurt their feelings" when critiqued, then you might as well give up now.

2020-11-22T17:38:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330566422364258308
@SuperVFunCat1 @LyndaRVA_UAP The majority do (if they even pay it attention) but it's slipped deeper into the mainstream now with the election fraud claims. 65-75% of republicans think the election was not conducted fairly. That number was 25% for the losing party in 2016.

https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/more-republicans-distrust-this-years-election-results-than-democrats-after-2016/

2020-11-22T17:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330564999111081987
@Oswald1160 @19Nimbus @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr He said "we were clipping right along at over 300 mph right along the treetops".

So why does the recreation not reflect this?

Maybe because it makes no sense? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnccreLUwAAmuQr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnccreLUwAAmuQr.jpg
2020-11-22T07:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330419883142569984
RT @travis_view: To advance his baseless election fraud claims, Trump is relying on

Ron Watkins: 8kun admin and possible author of some Qâ¦

2020-11-22T07:39:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330415512103292935
@mynameisjerm False. Nowhere in that document is the use of the term 'conspiracy theory' encouraged. It was simply used in context, as it had been used before.

2020-11-22T07:37:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330415030395924483
@19Nimbus @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr I was told there was a "Completely unambiguous description."

What is it? Is it written down anywhere? Timestamp in the doc? (I have a copy)

2020-11-22T07:36:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330414718134042624
Trump has gone full QAnon, promoting videos about Ron Watkins, former admin of 8kun, and

one of the people behind Q. Now Ron attempts to read the Dominion voting machine manuals to find stuff that looks suspicious to him, then connects some dots to get fraud.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnaUAwTXIAI0jLv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnaUAwTXIAI0jLv.jpg
2020-11-22T07:28:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330412753178173440
RT @rothschildmd: Ron Watkins does not do "technical analysis" of "large systems." Until recently, he ran a message board that was home toâ¦

2020-11-22T07:16:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330409812304171008
Trump lawyers, like Jenna Ellis, are not promoting his baseless claims because they believe them to be true.

They are doing it because he is paying them to be his lawyers, and lawyers argue their clients case, regardless.

Ellis knows it's bullshit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnaQNhDXIAA_DaT.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnaQNhDXIAA_DaT.jpg
2020-11-22T07:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330409243221094402
@19Nimbus @PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr So what exactly was this unambiguous description? Tree-top level? 300 feet?

2020-11-22T06:36:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330399654089994242
@PostDisclosure Yes, I think most scientists think there's no compelling evidence of UFOs being any form of highly advanced technology, let alone aliens.

2020-11-22T06:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330399380898197504
@EngagingThe @PostDisclosure @michiokaku https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2020-11-22T02:43:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330341204400205824
@PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr What time does that testimony occur?

2020-11-22T02:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330340826979905538

@PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr Was this large disk under a tree then?

2020-11-22T01:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330328751343763457

RT @kylegriffin1: Trump is tweeting lies. Again. The poll watcher lie has literally been debunked by his own lawyers in court. It is an absâ¦

2020-11-22T01:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330328633085284352

@PostDisclosure @ddeanjohnson @nibbleshift @flarkey @SkyHub10 @UAPExpeditionGr Nobody sensible would say that UFOs are "an elaborate psychological disposition of the human mind"

Most of them are planes.

2020-11-22T01:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330328253534334976

RT @ABC: BREAKING: Federal judge rejects Trump campaign's Pennsylvania suit with prejudice, saying it lacks factual proof. https://t.co/Uprâ¦

2020-11-22T01:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330328174412984320

@realDonaldTrump Quit stalling. Bring the evidence, get it over with.

2020-11-22T01:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330327915674935296

@DanielS50803192 No understanding something does not mean that thing is evidence of fraud.

Notice how many pieces of "evidence" have fallen away as people understand what is going on.

2020-11-22T01:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330327481300230145

@russian71805090 @19Nimbus Look into it. Report back.

2020-11-22T01:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330327301985345536

@vkcodez @AndrewAdamsKSL @UtahDPS @UtahDWR @KSL5TV @Photog_Steve5 It just looks like some "Land Art", common in Utah.

https://utah.com/land-art-in-utah

2020-11-21T17:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330195363005931528

@UFOFanBoom1 @PalHuthoff @HermanAllmaras @AFSUnidentified @chrisjcolby @physicsJ So why didn't they do anything? After Fravor came back, by all accounts, NOTHING HAPPENED.

Maybe he's overstanding the certainty of his assessment.

2020-11-21T16:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330192065339269128

@PalHuthoff @UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @AFSUnidentified @chrisjcolby @physicsJ Isnâ□□t that an act of war?

2020-11-21T16:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330189396948160515

@UFOFanBoom1 @AFSUnidentified @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ What does that question even mean? What are the odds that an OBJECT can be picked up by FLIR and radar? I'm guessing 100%.

But we don't even know if what he saw was picked up on radar. And what he saw was seen AN HOUR BEFORE the video was taken.

2020-11-21T16:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330187755024945155

@UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @AFSUnidentified @chrisjcolby @physicsJ Sure, he also said it mirrored his motions. Up when he went down, circling him when he flew in a circle, flying towards him when he flew towards it.
EXACTLY the same as it would seem if he'd misjudge the distance by 2x.

2020-11-21T16:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330187287515308033

Flat Earth claims actually do have something in common with Trump's claims. They are not based on reality, so generally dismissed by experts. But with so many of them, and a constant stream of new ones, hopeful people feel there must be something to them.

So far, nope. https://twitter.com/DevlinBarrett/status/1330184385761566722

2020-11-21T16:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330186162959491075

@HermanAllmaras @AFSUnidentified @UFOFanBoom1 @chrisjcolby @physicsJ What if it WAS something that looked like a Tic-Tac, and only the distance (and hence size and motion) was an illusion?

Parallax.

2020-11-21T16:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330185854258716674

@AFSUnidentified @UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ Your question was "How is it possible that all pilots seeing an optical illusion?"

You changed it to: "How can you assume anything about an illusion?"

Which isn't really a meaningful question. What am I assuming? I'm stating what is possible.

2020-11-21T16:06:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330180672758173697
@UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ Zero. That's not the argument at all.

2020-11-21T16:05:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330180566294081536
@AFSUnidentified @UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ How can four people see the SAME ILLUSION?

Let's say there was something there that when viewed from a distance created an illusion. Then four people looked at it from a distance.

For example, imagine four people in the same room as this and they look at it while moving.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EnW_26NXcAgpoTa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EnW_26NXcAgpoTa.jpg
2020-11-21T15:55:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330178108620726274
@UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ Well, given that it happened in 2004, the moment of urgency is long past. But they DO investigate such things. See, for example, the military research into the "giant hand" illusion.
https://www.google.com/search?q=giant+hand+illusion+site%3A.mil

---

2020-11-21T15:32:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1330172299094462468
@UFOFanBoom1 @HermanAllmaras @chrisjcolby @physicsJ All hallucinating? No.

All seeing a similar optical illusion? Possible.

Radar readings on multiple occasions? Not what the pilots saw.

2020-11-21T15:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330171899125575680

@19Nimbus The lines are the same shape because when votes are reported they are for both Biden and Trump. So the lines always move in the same steps, just different size steps. The size difference is only noticeable with large counting centers, like Detroit or Milwaukee.

2020-11-21T15:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330171278351806474

@daafridge @GordonShorten @physicsJ What's taking them so long?

2020-11-21T15:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330171028698443776

@tannawj Hand counts don't use machines.

2020-11-21T07:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1330043330739236864

@SGMTweetings Seems like he just does not know what he's talking about, at the state level, where the elections happen. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnVDPMOXUAQ_Axq.jpg

---

"States typically have primary responsibility for making decisions about the rules of elections (policymaking)," the report explains. "Localities typically have primary responsibility for conducting elections in accordance with those rules (implementation)."

In fact, in his appearance on Newsmax, Trainor acknowledged that he had not been briefed on the matter.

"We really haven't been briefed on any of it given that we don't — you know, the states control the elections and the FEC really doesn't have any jurisdiction over how these are being handled at the state level," he said. "For the federal level, they would communicate through the Election Assistance Commission."

(U.S. Election Assistance Commissioner Ben Hovland called false claims about the election "baffling," "laughable" and "insulting," per CNN.)

Trainor is a Republican lawyer who advised Trump in 2016.

He was nominated by Trump in 2017, though he was not confirmed by the Senate until May 2020, according to the Washington Post.

In September, Trainor called the upcoming contest between Trump and former Vice President Joe Biden a "spiritual war."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnVDPMOXUAQ_Axq.jpg

2020-11-21T02:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329976205773320192

@SGMTweetings Which dude?

2020-11-21T02:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329969198110392320

@SGMTweetings Where's the FEC statement on this?

2020-11-21T01:25:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329959008661168131

@SGMTweetings Perhaps there was no good evidence that such a type of audit was needed?

2020-11-21T01:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329957403505299456

@BubbleInvestor The Russian election interference of 2016 was not the type of thing that Trump is now claiming. It was real.

https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Report_Volume1.pdf

---

2020-11-20T23:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329937190424432642

A small graphical choice can have a significant effect if it acts to reinforce a conspiracy theory, even if that theory has been conclusively debunked.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crowders-fraud-week-title-graphic-and-why-it-matters.11462/

2020-11-20T21:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329906854575501312

@CheramieLowrey @JRubinBlogger Where?

2020-11-20T21:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329903965132099584

@michaelshermer @DineshDSouza Powell won't go on Tucker's show, partly because she doesn't really understand the "evidence". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnTEWAmUcAAkcXh.jpg

---



TRENDING: 2020 RESULTS FIGHT CHINA VIRUS MASTERS OF THE UNIVERSE DEMOCRAT CITY UNREST HOLLYWOOD

TRUMP LAWYER SIDNEY POWELL RESPONDS TO TUCKER CARLSON: 'HE WAS INSULTING, DEMANDING AND RUDE'

by JEFF POOR | 20 Nov 2020 | 3,993

Friday on Fox Business Network's "Morning with Maria," Trump lawyer Sidney Powell responded to Tucker Carlson, who a night earlier had said his show had asked for evidence from Powell to back up allegations electronic voting machines had changed millions of votes that went for President Donald Trump.

Powell called Carlson's requests "insulting, demanding and rude."

"I didn't get angry with the request to provide evidence," she said. "In fact, I sent an affidavit to Tucker that I had not even attached to a pleading yet to help him understand the situation, and I offered him another witness who could explain the math and the statistical evidence far better than I can. I'm not really a numbers person."

"He was insulting, demanding and rude," Powell added. "And I told him not to contact me again, in those terms."

-30%

-30%

for Free and D
Yours Now)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnTEWAmUcAAkcXh.jpg

2020-11-20T21:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329902233371111424

@TDBrigham I suspect here have been investigations, the results of which are classified (just by default, not necessarily because of anything amazing).

Their motivations are that they have more important issues to deal with.

2020-11-20T21:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329901698865721344

@SGMTweetings The hand count is a risk-limiting audit. That's its actual name. They did some partial (sampling) ones along the way to verify things were going well, and then this full one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnTCfQPVcAAZVK6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnTCfQPVcAAZVK6.jpg

2020-11-20T18:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329851743237066752

@MarkWal06578936 @Oswald1160 @SoluKat @oncetfil @ConceptualJames I've been looking for it. I've looked at many claims, and written numerous posts, done extensive analysis, built detailed models, dug deep.

The claims of evidence collapse under scrutiny.

So what do you think is the best evidence. Pick one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnSUvphVQAAuZti.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnSUvphVQAAuZti.jpg
2020-11-20T18:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329850677028294657
@politicsobserv5 @Oswald1160 And to the "so, not debunked" point. I was asking for old claims. You linked to a new one.

Regardless, it did not stand the test of time, it was debunked. Just like what seems like everything else.

Why does nothing stick?

2020-11-20T18:12:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329850238048247808
@politicsobserv5 @Oswald1160 No, it's more that cities have lots of voters in, and the cities

here are VERY Democrat leaning. The City of Detroit has historically been 96% (or more) D for decades.

More details here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-trumps-claim-of-suspicious-early-morning-michigan-bump-its-detroit.11460/

2020-11-20T17:53:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329845384420630528
@hatboxghost2 Exactly - why is nobody explaining these things to her?

2020-11-20T17:22:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329837537024561152
RT @ashishkjha: Today was a very, very odd day

I testified before @senatehomeland

They held a hearing on hydroxychloroquine.

Yup, HCQ

Iâ¦

2020-11-20T17:20:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329837026116440065
Trump lawyer Sidney Powell admits she's "not really a numbers person." So who's the witness who has explained the math to her? Is someone coming up with this (easily debunked) statistical stuff? Or are they just getting it off Twitter?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnSHI1HVcAAgtUp.jpg

BREITBART

TRENDING: 2020 RESULTS FIGHT CHINA VIRUS MASTERS OF THE UNIVERSE DEMOCRAT CITY UN

TRUMP LAWYER SIDNEY POWELL RESPONDS TO TUCKER CARLSON: 'HE WAS INSULTING, DEMANDING AND RUDE'

by JEFF POOR | 20 Nov 2020 | 3,993

Friday on Fox Business Network's "Morning with Maria," Trump lawyer Sidney Powell responded to Tucker Carlson, who a night earlier had said his show had asked for evidence from Powell to back up allegations electronic voting machines had changed millions of votes that went for President Donald Trump.

Powell called Carlson's requests "insulting, demanding and rude."

"I didn't get angry with the request to provide evidence," she said. "In fact, I sent an affidavit to Tucker that I had not even attached to a pleading yet to help him understand the situation, and I offered him another witness who could explain the math and the statistical evidence far better than I can. I'm not really a numbers person."

"He was insulting, demanding and rude," Powell added. "And I told him not to contact me again, in those terms."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnSHI1HVcAAgtUp.jpg

2020-11-20T13:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329782222145011712

@ChuckSmiththeo1 @realDonaldTrump Mail-in totals from large citys. This is known.

2020-11-20T08:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329703801675153410

@mesolithicguy @Herman45N And even so, a recount WOULD have caught it, because the recount was done by hand.

If the computer was flipping votes, then the human-powered recount will put them back.

2020-11-20T08:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329703242909982722

@ADarkObelisk @realDonaldTrump And in a bit more detail.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-trumps-claim-of-suspicious-early-morning-michigan-bump-its-detroit.11460/

2020-11-20T08:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329699798534955009

Although it looks like it's more City of Detroit than Wayne County.

2020-11-20T07:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329694996694351873

Trump though this drop of mail-in votes from Wayne County was suspicious because he only

got 4% of it.

Turns out that's more than the 2.5% he got there in 2016, like Romney (2012) and McCain (2008).

So 4% is actually high. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQGKNvVQAIEKFc.jpg

CITY OF DETROIT — WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN — GENERAL ELECTION — NOVEMBER 8, 2016 — OFFICIAL

RUN DATE:11/22/16 06:09 PM — REPORT-EL45A — PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	ELECTION DAY	AV
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 662)	662	100.00		
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	511,786			
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGES	497,045		382,908	114,137
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	248,780		191,534	57,246
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGE 2	248,265		191,374	56,891
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		48.61		
STRAIGHT PARTY				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Republican (REP)	4,344	2.18	3,343	1,001
Democratic (DEM)	191,984	96.38	149,206	42,778
Libertarian (LIB)	660	.33	566	94
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	431	.22	386	45
Green (GRN)	658	.33	577	81
Natural Law (NLP)	149	.07	142	7
Working Class (WCP)	968	.49	878	90
PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Trump / Pence (REP)	7,682	3.11	5,601	2,081
Clinton / Kaine (DEM)	234,871	94.95	180,986	53,885
Johnson / Weld (LIB)	1,533	.62	1,244	289
Castle / Bradley (UST)	342	.14	274	68
Stein / Baraka (GRN)	1,735	.70	1,495	240
Soltysik / Walker (NLP)	186	.08	159	27
WRITE-IN	1,020	.41	860	160

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQFyPxVkAMROC-.jpg

ELEC SUMM RPT-GROUP DETAIL

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 4, 2008

RUN DATE:11/20/08 02:10 PM
REPORT-EL45A PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	ELECTION DAY	PROVISION
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 633)	633	100.00		
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	633,192			
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	336,522		258,822	
BALLOTS CAST - PAGE 1	336,522		258,822	
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		53.15		
VOTER TURNOUT - PAGE 1		53.15		
STRAIGHT PARTY				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 633 OF 633 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Democratic (DEM)	249,755	97.53	195,172	
Republican (REP)	4,793	1.87	3,641	
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	618	.24	583	
Green (GRN)	369	.14	308	
Natural Law (NLP)	276	.11	238	
Libertarian (LIB)	261	.10	236	
Total	256,072		200,178	
PRESIDENT/VICE PRESIDENT				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 633 OF 633 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Obama/Biden (DEM)	324,895	96.93	250,843	
McCain/Palin (REP)	8,881	2.65	6,098	
Nader/Gonzalez (NLP)	392	.12	273	
McKinney/Clemente (GRN)	390	.12	306	
Barr/Root (LIB)	228	.07	173	
Baldwin/Castle (UST)	200	.06	162	
WRITE-IN	184	.05	147	
Total	335,170		258,002	

UNITED STATES SENATOR

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQGKNvVQAIEKFc.jpg

SUMMARY REPT-GROUP DETAIL

CITY OF DETROIT, MI
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2012

OFFICIAL - LOCAL REPORT

RUN DATE:11/20/12 12:17 PM

REPORT-EL45A PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	PRECINCTS	ABSENTEE	PROVISIONAL
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 614)	614	100.00			
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	568,854				
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGES	579,509		420,221	159,237	51
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	290,345		210,158	80,162	25
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PG 2	289,164		210,063	79,075	26
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL PAGES					
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		51.04			
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL PG 2		50.83			
STRAIGHT PARTY					
Vote for not more than 1					
Republican (REP)	3,339	1.51	2,358	981	0
Democratic (DEM)	215,747	97.87	154,979	60,747	21
Libertarian (LIB)	426	.19	366	60	0
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	467	.21	412	55	0
Green (GRN)	287	.13	253	34	0
Natural Law (NLP)	187	.08	159	28	0
PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT					
Vote for not more than 1					
Romney/Ryan (REP)	6,019	2.08	3,864	2,155	0
Obama/Biden (DEM)	281,743	97.51	204,518	77,200	25
Goode, Jr./Clymer (UST)	303	.10	234	69	0
Stein/Honkala (GRN)	379	.13	296	83	0
Anderson/Rodriguez (NLP)	139	.05	108	31	0
WRITE-IN	364	.13	298	66	0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQFtpFUUAA9NrV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQFsW3VQAAHHt6.jpg

2020-11-20T07:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329693411037704192

@ADarkObelisk @realDonaldTrump http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQFGRoVQAEJNDf.jpg

---

CITY OF DETROIT — WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN — OFFICIAL

GENERAL ELECTION

NOVEMBER 8, 2016

RUN DATE:11/22/16 06:09 PM — REPORT-EL45A — PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	ELECTION DAY	AV
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 662)	662	100.00		
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	511,786			
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGES	497,045		382,908	114,137
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	248,780		191,534	57,246
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGE 2	248,265		191,374	56,891
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		48.61		
STRAIGHT PARTY				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Republican (REP)	4,344	2.18	3,343	1,001
Democratic (DEM)	191,984	96.38	149,206	42,778
Libertarian (LIB)	660	.33	566	94
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	431	.22	386	45
Green (GRN)	658	.33	577	81
Natural Law (NLP)	149	.07	142	7
Working Class (WCP)	968	.49	878	90
PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Trump / Pence (REP)	7,682	3.11	5,601	2,081
Clinton / Kaine (DEM)	234,871	94.95	180,986	53,885
Johnson / Weld (LIB)	1,533	.62	1,244	289
Castle / Bradley (UST)	342	.14	274	68
Stein / Baraka (GRN)	1,735	.70	1,495	240
Soltysik / Walker (NLP)	186	.08	159	27
WRITE-IN	1,020	.41	860	160

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQFGRoVQAEJNDf.jpg

2020-11-20T07:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329693329567621121

@ADarkObelisk @realDonaldTrump http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQE7lGUwAE3JIq.jpg

CITY OF DETROIT | WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 8, 2016 | OFFICIAL

RUN DATE:11/22/16 06:09 PM | REPORT-EL45A PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	ELECTION DAY	AV
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 662)	662	100.00		
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	511,786			
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGES	497,045		382,908	114,137
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	248,780		191,534	57,246
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGE 2	248,265		191,374	56,891
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		48.61		
STRAIGHT PARTY				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Republican (REP)	4,344	2.18	3,343	1,001
Democratic (DEM)	191,984	96.38	149,206	42,778
Libertarian (LIB)	660	.33	566	94
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	431	.22	386	45
Green (GRN)	658	.33	577	81
Natural Law (NLP)	149	.07	142	7
Working Class (WCP)	968	.49	878	90
PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT				
Vote for not more than 1				
(WITH 662 OF 662 PRECINCTS COUNTED)				
Trump / Pence (REP)	7,682	3.11	5,601	2,081
Clinton / Kaine (DEM)	234,871	94.95	180,986	53,885
Johnson / Weld (LIB)	1,533	.62	1,244	289
Castle / Bradley (UST)	342	.14	274	68
Stein / Baraka (GRN)	1,735	.70	1,495	240
Soltysik / Walker (NLP)	186	.08	159	27
WRITE-IN	1,020	.41	860	160

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQE7lGUwAE3JIq.jpg

SUMMARY REPT-GROUP DETAIL | CITY OF DETROIT, MI
GENERAL ELECTION
NOVEMBER 6, 2012 | OFFICIAL - LOCAL REPORT

RUN DATE:11/20/12 12:17 PM | REPORT-EL45A PAGE 001

	TOTAL VOTES	%	PRECINCTS	ABSENTEE	PROVISIONAL
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 614)	614	100.00			
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	568,854				
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PAGES	579,509		420,221	159,237	51
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	290,345		210,158	80,162	25
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL PG 2	289,164		210,063	79,075	26
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL PAGES					
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		51.04			
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL PG 2		50.83			
STRAIGHT PARTY					
Vote for not more than 1					
Republican (REP)	3,339	1.51	2,358	981	0
Democratic (DEM)	215,747	97.87	154,979	60,747	21
Libertarian (LIB)	426	.19	366	60	0
U.S. Taxpayers (UST)	467	.21	412	55	0
Green (GRN)	287	.13	253	34	0
Natural Law (NLP)	187	.08	159	28	0
PRESIDENT AND VICE-PRESIDENT					
Vote for not more than 1					
Romney/Ryan (REP)	6,019	2.08	3,864	2,155	0
Obama/Biden (DEM)	281,743	97.51	204,518	77,200	25
Goode, Jr./Clymer (UST)	303	.10	234	69	0
Stein/Honkala (GRN)	379	.13	296	83	0
Anderson/Rodriguez (NLP)	139	.05	108	31	0
WRITE-IN	364	.13	298	66	0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnQE69yVEAAoqaL.jpg

2020-11-20T07:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329693142870736896

Trump's suspicious spike probably Detroit mail-in votes, same thing as in Wisconsin. Biden took the city of Detroit 425,060 to 19,739. (96% to 4%). That's just how it is.

See 2016. 2012, etc. https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1329602736053252107

2020-11-20T06:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329676303281262595

@ADarkObelisk @realDonaldTrump They are from city regions that strongly favor Biden. The Wisconsin one was for Milwakee City. Not sure about MIchigan.

2020-11-20T06:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329667711421411328

@realDonaldTrump They count the votes AFTER the election.

2020-11-20T06:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329667429820026881

@MarkWal06578936 @SoluKat @oncetfil @ConceptualJames If you want to discuss a piece of evidence, then pick one.

If you don't, then perhaps formulate some metric by which we can count all this undiscussable evidence. Number of lawsuits won maybe?

2020-11-20T05:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329665365018693632

RT @MittRomney: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnPLKmQXUAIkCpg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnPLKmQXUAIkCpg.jpg

2020-11-20T05:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329664583758331906

@MarkWal06578936 @SoluKat @oncetfil @ConceptualJames That which has not yet been presented does not need to be conjured away.

2020-11-20T05:39:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329660543037173762

@ZombieSymmetry @Smasher225 So why did they, apparently, choose all different methods of cheating in Georgia if they could just do this?

2020-11-20T05:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329660218960011264

@politicsobserv5 Heâ□□s just making noise at this point. Take that graph to court.

He wonâ□□t.

2020-11-20T05:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329659695783579648

@All_Day_SCIfi @LotharRegnum @AE911Truth @NIST @Sept11Memorial @ULdialogue @ASCETweets @mediamonarchy @JasonBermas @iamdylanavery @Michellpollino @JimmyDoreShow @Jimcorrsays @RT_America @FreedomofPress @joerogan @Consensus911 Yes, it was covered in dust, then it fell.
About half the height, from multiple photos and videos.

2020-11-20T05:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329659251904561153

@h43z They have hitched their horse to a particular wagon. Lawyers do ask their client asks.

2020-11-20T05:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329658586587295744

@Worry43 They had all the ballots arrived, opened, stacked and verified already. So, yes.

2020-11-20T01:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329598841344073728

Lack of actual evidence has been a recurring theme in these proceedings.
https://twitter.com/oliverdarcy/status/1329595108078063619

2020-11-20T01:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329597854784995329

What Giuliani is doing is a Bannon strategy called "Flooding the zone with shit." It's designed to force the media to keep changing topics so that people can't tell what's real and what's a lie.

https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/1/16/20991816/impeachment-trial-trump-bannon-misinformation

---

2020-11-20T01:26:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329597061071654912

@MarkAvo Which is why I think it's important to vigorously and clearly fact check them. So people eventually figure out that it's just, as Bannon puts it, "Flooding the zone with shit"
https://www.vox.com/policy-and-politics/2020/1/16/20991816/impeachment-trial-trump-bannon-misinformation

---

2020-11-20T01:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329596277059846144

@karma24is Can you link to this affidavit? Or just give his name?

2020-11-20T01:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329595994518867969

@Ron07330116 The word the intelligence services used was "interference" and it probably did not change the result then.

Collusion was a separate issue.

2020-11-20T01:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329595480653799425

@CalgaryPatty I disagree. Without people setting the record straight as quickly as possible, then these false claims will turn into conspiracy theories and be around for decades.

2020-11-20T01:19:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329595127229149184

@skytriangles Was this test examined? Or used in a lawsuit?

There were several instances like that were a candidate's numbers were misreported on the web. If you could find that at the actual state or county reporting level then you would have something.

2020-11-20T01:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329593672829026304

@CalgaryPatty @Trumpery45 Proper audit? How would that work without a hand recount?

2020-11-20T01:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329593084712140800

@CalgaryPatty The board voted to certify. Two people later said they "rescinded" their votes, but that isn't actually a thing. Trump is making much of it, but legally I don't think it's going anywhere. I think he can pay for a recount.

2020-11-20T01:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329592736270356480

@CalgaryPatty @Trumpery45 The good thing about the Dominion machines is that there's a paper paper trail.

So any electronic fraud would be found in a hand check, of which they have done many. Certainly found in a hand recount.

Georgia did nothing.

2020-11-20T01:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329592361970593794

@CalgaryPatty They can. Probably will.

2020-11-20T01:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329592049083957249

@krp234 @NWSBillings I deleted my reply, forgot we'd discussed this before :) Good luck @NWSBillings

---

2020-11-20T01:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329591204732801024

@CalgaryPatty So why was Guiliani in court two days ago?

https://apnews.com/article/trump-campaign-lawsuit-pennsylvania-57eefd35a6946eb977eb0c1d22b35d48

2020-11-20T01:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329590790511763457

@ValentimDerek Is that the name of a secret CIA vote switching program for which there is evidence, or just a comment on my Tweet?

2020-11-20T01:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329590377699897344

@EShelline Unfortunately, there isn't time for a gentle approach. This is time-critical fact-checking and needs to be clear. I understand that will lose a few people. But really it's a false balance to do otherwise.

That press conference should have shown that.

2020-11-20T00:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329589733022863361

@CalgaryPatty Why trust either of us?

What evidence has she got that has stood up in court?

2020-11-20T00:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329589393305128961

Can anyone point to a claim of evidence of election fraud from 7 days ago that is still undebunked?

What's the oldest claim of evidence? What has withstood the test of actually being examined?

They seem to all drop away, and are replaced by new ones.

2020-11-20T00:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329588693355483136

@shannahpace @riddlesworld @oncetfil it was a link to a Timcast video with no details. Did you watch it?

So what was in it?

2020-11-20T00:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329588425939324934

RT @Brenna_H_C: @diarrhangus @skytriangles @MickWest Trump created a â□□task forceâ□□ in 2017 to investigate the voter fraud he claimed after hâ□¦

2020-11-20T00:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329587460246884353

@CalgaryPatty Who?

2020-11-20T00:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329587397248516096
@RyanMar64487414 @JasonWr01758348 @hackerfantastic @RonColeman Who does? And how?

2020-11-20T00:48:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329587267627675649
@shannahpace @riddlesworld @oncetfil I've done plenty of research. I just don't have time to watch videos without a good reason.

2020-11-20T00:46:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329586940157444097
@CalgaryPatty They audited the vote. They recounted the vote. That's all they need to do.

The vote was done by people filling in paper ballots. The first count was by Dominion machines. The recount was done by hand, nothing to do with Dominion.

Same result.

2020-11-20T00:44:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329586345652547585
RT @ChrisLu44: Manual recount in Georgia confirms Biden lead: 12,284

Results to be certified tomorrow

Also today, federal judge in Georgâ□¦

2020-11-20T00:43:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329586098956189698
@JasonWr01758348 @hackerfantastic @RonColeman Yeah, now add a hand recount.

Like in Georgia.

2020-11-20T00:41:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329585746408218625
@EShelline It's fine to not trust. It's fine to demand verification.

It's a different thing to make these baseless claims of widespread voter fraud without evidence. Undermining the well-deserved trust that exists.

Just bring it to court. See how that goes. How HAS it been going?

2020-11-20T00:38:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329584905496391681
@PredatoryPanic Would you agree it proves that the hypothesized Dominion Voting vote-switching was not used in Georgia.

2020-11-20T00:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329584529191837696

@riddlesworld @shannahpace @oncetfil Why? What is in it?

2020-11-20T00:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329584419267518464

@riddlesworld @shannahpace @oncetfil @ConceptualJames So you've not read the affidavit?

I think that's from one of the cases that was dropped.

2020-11-20T00:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329581360910979073

@PredatoryPanic Georgia uses Dominion Voting.

Georgia did an audit.

Georgia did a hand recount.

Georgia found no evidence of fraud.

Georgia proves the Dominion vote change theory is false.

2020-11-20T00:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329581083466186758

@riddlesworld @shannahpace @oncetfil @ConceptualJames I can't find it. Since you have, can you post a link? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnOe4u6VcAA9WaD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnOe4u6VcAA9WaD.jpg

2020-11-20T00:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329580266055106561

@riddlesworld @shannahpace @oncetfil @ConceptualJames Which affidavit? Can you link to it?

2020-11-20T00:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329579889704333313

@PredatoryPanic So why didn't they do it in Georgia?

2020-11-20T00:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329579575504818183

@EShelline @DanielO12203012

https://www.wxyz.com/news/whitmer-kidnapping-plot/alleged-ringleader-in-whitmer-kidnapping-plot-planned-to-take-hostages-at-capitol-building-set-it-on-fire

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnOdiwUVkAAL3Ds.jpg

In a chat thread on an encypted platform following that day, Musico declared that he was attempting to get the State Troopers to touch him.[17]

There was a 2nd Amendment rally at the Lansing Capitol Building on June 18, 2020. Joe Morrison, Pete Musico, Ty Garbin, Paul Bellar, Daniel Harris, Adam Fox and Amanda Keller were all in attendance. When Adam Fox met the Wolverine Watchmen, he immediately put his hands on their chest and back checking for "wires".[18]

Adam Fox spoke to them regarding his current strategy, which consisted of a primary plan and a secondary plan.[19] Plan A consisted of recruiting 200 men and then storm the Capitol building in Lansing while Congress was in session. They were to take hostages, execute tyrants and have it televised. It would take about one week and that no one is coming out alive.

The secondary plan was to storm the Capitol building in Lansing when Congress was in session. They would then lock the entrances/exits to the structure. They would then set the building on fire.

Defendant Musico suggested that there were tactical difficulties with the main strategy, stating it was a "fishbowl" around the Capitol building. Musico, referring to the politicians, stated "everyone has addresses" and then suggested they look up the addresses of the politician's residences and execute the secondary plan at the politician's residences. Adam Fox also referenced a meeting/training event in Wisconsin on the second weekend of July and invited the Wolverine Watchmen to attend. Both Joe Morrison and Pete Musico indicated they were interested in attending.[20]

The above information is just a small portion of the statements and actions of this defendant. The Court should be aware that the people have hours of conversations of this defendant on tape expounding his terroristic views.

MCR 6.106(F) also allows the Court to consider a defendant's past record:

(F) Decision; Statement of Reasons

(1) In deciding which release to use and what terms and conditions to impose, the court is to consider relevant information, including
(a) defendant's prior criminal record, including juvenile offenses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnOdiwUVkAAL3Ds.jpg

2020-11-20T00:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329577566311641088

Georgia uses Dominion Voting.

Georgia did an audit.

Georgia did a hand recount.

Georgia found no evidence of fraud.

Georgia proves the Dominion vote change theory is false.

2020-11-20T00:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329575213369999361

@shannahpace @riddlesworld @oncetfil @ConceptualJames No. Can you say what was the most important affidavit I missed?

2020-11-19T23:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329573763680112643

@shannahpace @riddlesworld @oncetfil @ConceptualJames I'm referring to the affidavits in the

lawsuits that were thrown out.

This Venezuelan thing is just utter nonsense. There's no evidence and a bunch of claims that are just flat wrong.

2020-11-19T23:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329573041894875137

@SGMTweetings @ConceptualJames What numbers are we talking about here? Testimonies in ACTIVE lawsuits - not lawsuits that were dropped because the testimonies were irrelevant.

2020-11-19T23:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329572636603547648

@PredatoryPanic So which bits of that do you think are both relevant and verifiable? Seems like he's saying there was fraud in Venezuela in 2013, and he thinks there might be fraud here too. He gives no specific evidence in the excerpts you posted.

2020-11-19T23:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329567479232749568

@MarkWal06578936 @SoluKat @oncetfil @ConceptualJames Pick one.

2020-11-19T23:28:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329567314539204612

@riddlesworld @oncetfil @ConceptualJames So why are all these lawsuits being thrown out?

The affidavits are largely crap like "poll worker was wearing a BLM short", "they gave me a sharpie", or misunderstandings. They get winnowed away to a few unproven accusations that really make no sense.

2020-11-19T23:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329566832525643776

@All_Day_SCIfi @LotharRegnum @AE911Truth @NIST @Sept11Memorial @ULdialogue @ASCETweets @mediamonarchy @JasonBermas @iamdylanavery @Michellpollino @JimmyDoreShow @Jimcorrsays @RT_America @FreedomofPress @joerogan @Consensus911 The spire.

2020-11-19T23:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329566656842977280

@DanielO12203012 I expect Biden to be sworn in, but other than that, I'm not sure. But I suspect Trump will continue to undermine the Biden administration and the country in general. Increased polarization and conspiracy theories may result in right-wing terrorist attacks.

2020-11-19T23:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329565937779892224

@SGMTweetings @ConceptualJames By investigating it.

2020-11-19T22:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329551966582632448

@ConceptualJames Just something that does not collapse under scrutiny.

2020-11-19T22:23:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329550926927908864
@PredatoryPanic Which bit did you find most compelling?

2020-11-19T22:14:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329548642277937152
@All_Day_SCIfi @LotharRegnum @AE911Truth @NIST @Sept11Memorial @ULdialogue @ASCETweets @mediamonarchy @JasonBermas @iamdylanavery @Michellpollino @JimmyDoreShow @Jimcorrsays @RT_America @FreedomofPress @joerogan @Consensus911 Only the columns, which isn't what the collapse progressed through.

2020-11-19T22:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329546533608382464
RT @C_C_Krebs: That press conference was the most dangerous 1hr 45 minutes of television in American history. And possibly the craziest. Ifâ¦

2020-11-19T18:09:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329486928308105216
I don't think the Trump Team really expect to successfully litigate their ridiculous Hugo ChÃ¡ves/Dominion Voting conspiracy theory. Can they really be that far gone?

Charitably, it's just muck, part of the war for you mind.

2020-11-19T17:52:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329482606153322497
@ScottAdamsSays @The1stDeadman Indeed, but even so, the numbers are not at all inconsistent with previous years.

We DO know that mail-in voters were more likely to be Dems. Even Trump's campaign acknowledges this. Biden encouraged mail-in. Trump discouraged mail-in

And we know Milwaukee City votes 75-80% D
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnNEtYWVkAAuqEF.jpg

City of Milwaukee CALL for Action: 414-286-

WHERE DO I VOTE? ELECTION WORKERS VOTE

President / Vice President (VOTE FOR) 1		
Donald J. Trump / (REP)	45,411	18.43
Hillary Clinton / (DEM)	188,657	76.55
Darrell L. Castle / (CON)	619	.25
Gary Johnson / (LIB)	6,254	2.54
Jill Stein / (WIG)	2,689	1.09
Monica Moorehead / (WWP)	193	.08
Rocky Roque De La Fuente / (ADP)	120	.05
WRITE-IN	2,502	1.02
Over Votes	292	
Under Votes	1,099	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnNER6rVkAAqJe1.jpg

City of Milwaukee CALL for Action: 414-286-CITY

WHERE DO I VOTE? ELECTION WORKERS VOTER INFO

Vote For 1		
OBAMA / BIDEN (DEM)	213,436	77.82
McCAIN / PALIN (REP)	57,665	21.03
McKINNEY / CLEMENTE (WIG)	346	.13
BARR / ROOT (LIB)	553	.20
MOORE / ALEXANDER (SOC)	68	.02
LaRIVA / MOSES (SLI)	30	.01
NADER / GONZALEZ (IND)	1,230	.45
BALDWIN / CASTLE (CON)	266	.10
WAMBOLDT / KLIMISCH (WTP)	37	.01
WRITE-IN	622	.23

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnNEtYWVkAAuqEF.jpg

City of Milwaukee CALL for Action: 414

WHERE DO I VOTE? ELECTION WORKERS V

PRESIDENT & VICE PRESIDENT
Vote For 1

ROMNEY / RYAN	56,553	19.72
OBAMA / BIDEN	227,384	79.27
GOODE / CLYMER	320	.11
JOHNSON / GRAY	1,379	.48
RIVA / RAMIERZ	65	.02
WHITE / SCHERRER	44	.02
STEIN / MANSKI	625	.22
WRITE-IN	463	.16

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnNElP3VkAIipSc.jpg



President
Vice President
(VOTE FOR) 1
(WITH 327 OF 327 PRECINCTS COUNTED)

Joseph R. Biden / Kamala D. Harris (DEM)	194,661	78.83
Donald J. Trump / Michael R. Pence (REP)	48,414	19.60
Don Blankenship / William Mohr (CON)	369	.15
Jo Jorgensen / Jeremy Spike Cohen (LIB)	2,085	.84
Brian Carroll / Amar Patel (ASP)	459	.19
WRITE-IN	962	.39
Over Votes	97	
Under Votes	648	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnNEFp5UUAIj7RG.jpg
2022-09-12T09:19:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569254368926658561
@chrisotis78 A lot? Funny, the one you show is the one Marik says isn't allowed because it shows the glare obscuring the jet (2:17)

Can you find targeting pod footage that shows what happens when intercepting an unknown aircraft? Or what one looks like at 30NM in NAR?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcccd5IaAAA9HF0.jpg



Advanced Targeting Pod Forward Looking Infrared Pod Flight Test Demo on F-16 and F-15 Aircraft

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fcccd5IaAAA9HF0.jpg

2022-09-12T04:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569187056613728257

@marcbel19406167 One thing is not all things.

2022-09-12T02:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569148412603559937

@dave_rube Did you ever get your brain scanned? I mean, the possibility is that you are totally unaware of having some kind of brain attack.

2022-09-12T02:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569146591742930944

@MikeWel61647760 @ShariLCronin @FreshOutOfOz @KarenMa27368465 @iamian16 @garyrodd I wouldn't say those formations are unique to contrails. Virga like that is fairly common on various type of cirrus. And cirrus fibratus, vertebratus and others can occur without contrails. These are from 1905 (Cloud Studies, Clayden)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca6ShyagAQD85u.jpg



PLATE 10. THREAD CIRRUS. (*Cirro-filum.*) *Page 60.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca51LHacAEzO94.jpg



PLATE 11. TAILED CIRRUS. (*Cirrus Caudatus.*) *Page 61.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca5-OGacAIe17P.jpg



PLATE 8. WINDY CIRRUS. (*Cirrus Ventosus.*) *Page* 59.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca6ShyagAQD85u.jpg



PLATE 27. CIRRUS CAUDATUS AND CIRRO-MACULA. *Page* 83.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca6B0laIAAeOmd.jpg

2022-09-12T01:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569143744766836738

Missing time due to alien encounters? This comment on one of my videos today raises an interesting partial possibility. It's apparently possible to have an epileptic seizure and then regain consciousness much later, without knowing what happened.

Anyone have experience of this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca3NZfaMAE06ih.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fca3NZfaMAE06ih.jpg
2022-09-12T01:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569139770122706949
@kjbrackley I agree, I think they are doing it out of A) an abundance of caution, and B) not wanting to get another media firestorm.

But they totally could release SOME video.

2022-09-12T01:17:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569133086893559810
@StandForBetter @SomewhereSkies @GarryPNolan @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon Everyone wants to move the conversation forward.

2022-09-12T01:16:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569132919339499521
@kjbrackley They might harm national security because they will reveal things we don't want adversaries to know, like sensors, operations, vulnerabilities, knowledge of adversary tech, detection of adversary tech, etc.

2022-09-11T23:39:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569108276796850177
@911JusticeNow @SteveDeak3 @WeAreChangeLA @JasonBermas @Lukewearechange Can you summarize it?

2022-09-11T23:36:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569107590071857153
@kjbrackley If they told you exactly, then it would not be a secret.

Sensors, operations, vulnerabilities, knowledge of adversary tech, detection of adversary tech, etc.

2022-09-11T22:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1569097193143042048

@SteveDeak3 @WeAreChangeLA @911JusticeNow @JasonBermas @Lukewearechange Sorry Steve, at this point, I don't think there's much likelihood you will change your misconception. I hope you can still enjoy life, regardless. Best wishes.

2022-09-11T22:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569085165259100160

@SteveDeak3 Thousands of people, including people I talked to, saw the jets hit.

2022-09-11T17:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569022560771407873

@wikiphotografy @mrjeffknox @chedca Well, if there's no such disconnect, then it's not really relevant then.

2022-09-11T16:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1569002713622675462

@UFOForumUK How did the fighter jets keep up?

2022-09-11T16:28:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568999998574202882

@SteveDeak3 Your diagram does not reflect what is seen in the photo.

What are suggesting actually happened?

A plane flew into each tower. It's not that complicated.

2022-09-11T15:34:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568986353123336192

@marcbel19406167 @Matthew78244061 @PThegermandude Can you name a recent case where that happened?

2022-09-11T15:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568986203613179905

@markocheese @EireScientist "It was at 8NM and all-bets-are-off weird" isn't really a testable hypothesis.

"It was a jet, flying away about 35NM distant" is testable. So getting footage of a jet at that distance, to test the hypothesis, would be ideal.

2022-09-11T15:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568985488610164737

@SteveDeak3 Ah, I see that's your site.

Weill, it makes zero sense. The way you have leftover cladding seems perfectly consistent with the plane impact, which actually happened.

2022-09-11T15:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568985057251196929

@SteveDeak3 The columns are clad in aluminum. Explain in your own words what is impossible

with the image you see.

In your own words. Use diagrams.

2022-09-11T15:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568984368781365248

@bosma2002 Why exactly do you think trillions of dollars are missing?

2022-09-11T15:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568984121996898305

@timbo_staley @RaytheonTech One does not simply get a meeting with the ATFLIR dev team.

I've reached out. I've been ignored. Others have reached out and told to ask the Navy. People reach out to the Navy, Navy says no comment.

2022-09-11T15:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568983748892577793

@AtticusFinch_JD Because of AE911Truth. >95% Male. The leadership all old men. And they are essentially the carriers of the torch of "Trutherism"

https://www.ae911truth.org/signatures/#/AE/

2022-09-11T15:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568982340910850049

@bosma2002 Who am I listening to here?

Exactly who is saying that Trillions are missing? It's an old misunderstanding that just gets recycled every year.

It's tricky, I know, as accounting is more complicated than we think it is. But accounting also makes it VERY hard to lose trillions.

2022-09-11T15:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568980020919697409

@huhbobin https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1568975232735772676

2022-09-11T15:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568979950342111233

@PThegermandude @marcbel19406167 Seriously. If he's got the inside scoop, then what are the speculative books (the authors of which do NOT have the inside scoop) going to tell him?

2022-09-11T15:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568977976649457664

@bosma2002 It is the correct explanation. Did you read it?

At the very least, consider how it's possible for one department to lose more than the entire federal budget, and have nobody really notice.

It's a theory that gets traction because the truth is a bit complicated.

2022-09-11T14:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568977436175642629

@DeepImpcat @Matthew78244061 YMMV

2022-09-11T14:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568977223612510211

@marcbel19406167 Then why did he need those books?

2022-09-11T14:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568975232735772676

It's 9/11 conspiracy recycling time again. I see people posting about the "Missing $2.3 Trillion."

It wasn't missing. It was the sum of numbers that had poor accounting, like the value of an aircraft carrier, or a pension fund, in multiple ledgers.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.165/

2022-09-11T14:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568974534363217920

@wikiphotografy @mrjeffknox @chedca The issue with UFOs is the modern disconnect between eyewitness reports and the preponderance of modern, high-quality cell phones.

Is there a secret military aircraft for which there is a corresponding disconnect?

2022-09-11T14:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568974092497481728

@Matthew78244061 Those are essentially linguistic constructs. Not really of practical importance. You can live your life well without worrying about the definitions in the dictionary.

2022-09-11T14:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568970464764252163

@Pierceinthe209 Drat, well it kind of works if you imagine the mental grip those events had on us (thinking about them constantly) gradually loosening over time.
But yes, *lose.

2022-09-11T14:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568968702137335809

As an event like 9/11 recedes in the personal memories of the population, the conspiracy theories associated with it gradually loose their sense of immediate importance and become a mythology, a backdrop for other theories. Their investigations become the province of old men.
https://twitter.com/NGrossman81/status/1568965240590581761

2022-09-11T04:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568814269826998272

@DaveFalch So if this is a bunch of balloons, then which pixels would you expect to be reflecting the ground?

Feel free to find a better image, I tried. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcWMBTFaIAAFlhy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcWMBTFaIAAFlhy.jpg
2022-09-11T03:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568808028937547776
@DaveFalch Oh yeah, sorry.

So you get a giant cold blob, just like I did.

From a distance, low resolution, that's all you would see.

2022-09-11T03:43:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568807458931634177
@dave_beaty @TASInTheBay @USNavy It's still there. The description is: "found this in my phone while cleaning, no idea where it came from"

I downloaded it last year. It's all A2G, though, and poor quality with artifacts from the screen.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2_UxcSpAt1w

And

https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=729422060880086

2022-09-11T03:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568803215197765632

@DaveFalch But when viewed from above, and at a distance, what you see is the sky.

Try filming one from above (as I did in my video). At least 10 feet above.

2022-09-11T03:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568798405182525447

@DaveFalch Mylar balloons reflect the sky when viewed from above.

https://youtu.be/snwqUpQ6oSE?t=185

2022-09-11T02:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568793822142038016

@DaveFalch @jjmendozay You know full well that there's a wide range of cameras designated "FLIR", many of which are relatively cheap. And not just the iPhone FLIRs.

But the point here is not that at all. It's that the Ukraine videos don't show ATFLIR.

2022-09-11T02:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568793200554541059

@DaveFalch Well, A-10's a bird, for a start.
Rubber Duck is a drone or some balloons.

2022-09-11T01:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568766361429774336

@Richard41508076 @putinsbotox @BarlowTerry And yet still better than your eyes at resolving distant details.

2022-09-11T00:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568766125454032896

@jjmendozay I've seen lots of FLIR videos. I've not seen any ATFLIR videos.
FLIR is just any old IR camera, a $500 one on a drone maybe.
ATFLIR is a $20 Million targeting pod.

2022-09-10T23:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568738319215001602

@markocheese @EireScientist Indeed, one wants to change as few things as possible. It's not like you can just point a hot knife at a wall or something.

It does not need to be at a known distance. Just small on-screen would be a great start.

However, NAR would be ideal, as the optical path affects glare.

2022-09-10T22:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568732664148164608

How a camera phone's rolling shutter can create what looks like a mysterious column of light during a lightning storm. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FcVB2e0aIAEyMb8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FcVB2e0aIAEyMb8.jpg

2022-09-10T22:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568732025745715200

And bonus points for a distant jet (in at least NAR, and <5% of video width) flying away from the camera. No close-up of jets at odd angles, please. No videos without known FOV, thanks.

2022-09-10T22:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568730774668722176

Challenge: Given the supposed wealth of ATFLIR footage out there, can someone find one that's actually comparable to Gimbal? i.e. NAR 2x, tracking a distant small object, with on-screen AZ/EL, alt, speed, horizon. Ideally going past 0Â°, with clouds.

This would be very useful.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568730193220763649/pu/img/1hJ-aps_R0rd2RCG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568730193220763649/pu/img/1hJ-aps_R0rd2RCG.jpg

2022-09-10T18:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568672132170944512

@jimqk I'd love to have more official videos to analyze.

2022-09-10T17:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568658936060080135

@HothanMariah @OldVetSymposium I don't think that the evidence demonstrates we are being visited.

2022-09-10T17:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568656866158444544

@putinsbotox @BarlowTerry Unless the UFO fills your entire field of vision, then your phone is often better at resolving details than your eyes are. Especially if it has even a small optical zoom.

Try taking a photo of the moon in the daytime, and compare it to what you see. It's pretty good.

2022-09-10T17:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568652287777869829

@BarlowTerry @putinsbotox True, they are mostly poor at night photos. But UFOs sometimes show up in daytime - and you typically can only see a UFO light at night anyway.

2022-09-10T17:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568651744812613632

@putinsbotox @BarlowTerry Phone cameras are actually pretty good now. Many of them resolve details better than the person carrying them can with their naked eye.

2022-09-10T17:12:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568648563445624832
@AtticusFinch_JD @blackvaultcom I did say it.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1560647773812191238

2022-09-10T17:11:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568648409736945674
@Linkchadlad Which one do you think is as good, or better?

2022-09-10T17:05:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568646951536828420
@AtticusFinch_JD @blackvaultcom Nothing happened to it. It remains exactly the same. Understanding the reasoning someone gives for not doing something does not mean I don't think they should do something.
I WANT to see more videos. I understand (in part) why the Military does not want to release them.

2022-09-10T16:54:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568644091810054144
@spaceyanddazed @Josh_JandAshow And about what the pilots are trained to do when intercepting a novel unidentified object.

2022-09-10T16:53:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568643896384815105
@marcbel19406167 I don't think it's simply the numbers on the screen. It's probably also the procedure used to intercept a novel unidentified object.
Something you'd not want an adversary to have loads of examples of.

2022-09-10T16:52:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568643511330963457
And I personally don't think their excuse is solid. They could release some videos with a little effort. I signed a petition requesting this. I fully support @blackvaultcom in his efforts to FOIA the videos.
But right now, Gimbal is the best we have.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1560647773812191238

2022-09-10T16:44:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568641687567859715
There's no better public footage of an ATFLIR in operation than the Gimbal/Gofast videos. Presenting a bunch of duplicated crops from WORSE videos does not change that fact.

The military is saying releasing new footage damages national security. It's not complicated.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcTupN2aIAInTW8.jpg

Don’t tell us releasing ATFLIR footage damages national security.

Every single one of these images is ATFLIR.

@MickWest is full of it when he says there’s no good public ATFLIR footage.

(Also, per the AFOSI investigation, ATFLIR symbology is not inherently classified)



8:28 PM · Sep 9, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcTupN2aIAInTW8.jpg

2022-09-10T13:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568593458906152961

@flarkey @ZaineMichael1 @EireScientist @Metabunk Yes. Although the cloud speed could be refined a bit.

2022-09-10T04:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568453066625789952

@Condorman6 And yet Gimbal is the best we have.

2022-09-10T04:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568452543679983617

@DaveFalch @BlueshiftProjex @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell THAT IS THE POINT.

2022-09-10T03:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568442658905014273

@DaveFalch @BlueshiftProjex @dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell This is not true. John Ehrhart was asked terrible questions by Corbell, so of course, his answers did not apply to the actual issue.

It is not an attack on his credibility.

Here's my detailed response.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2022-09-10T00:33:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568397275751411713

@BrianJo78940026 @MiddleOfMayhem Listen to what he says next:

"For every one of those people there are also another probably four or five or 10 people, that are very, very, senior, who told me it's NOT true. Right. Former Secretaries of Defense, Air Force Generals, and Others"

2022-09-09T23:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568388020054917121

@ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen Find one that's better than Gimbal/GoFast

---

2022-09-09T21:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568346044261625856

Live Now! https://twitter.com/MikeEscape/status/1568345859271839745

2022-09-09T20:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568331244316008448

@blackdad100 @MiddleOfMayhem Pros and cons. They determined the benefit of relating that video outweighs the bother of redacting it properly.

There's no real benefit to them releasing UAP videos, so why do the work, why take the chance?

2022-09-09T16:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568281310380306432

@NIH_LLAMAS It would be 480i, for a start. It would also show all the on-screen info, and demonstrate many of the procedures used by the crew in intercepting an unidentified target.

Handy stuff if you want to BE an unidentified target, and evade interception.

2022-09-09T16:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568280557418856450

RT @OldVetSymposium: 1/3

:alien:Today at 4 CST (2PST)

:flying_saucer:@MikeEscape and @OldVetSymposium sit down with @MickWest

:saluting_face::folded_hands:

R/T @BeverlyDHolmes @Franâ¦

2022-09-09T16:48:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568280154249789440

@AtticusFinch_JD Sure, why even both spying on foreign militaries? Heck, let's just make some assumptions and wing it.

2022-09-09T16:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568277502778548224

@PhilJay1974 He is the same age as me.

2022-09-09T16:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568277196825042945

@DennisGarbonz Fires here are usually named after the road in the first report. Mosquito Ridge Road in this case.

2022-09-09T16:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568273243278311424

@NIH_LLAMAS If the operation of ATFLIR pods is "not exactly top secret", then why is there basically zero good footage of the operation of ATFLIR pods other than the three videos.

Videos that, the DoD implied, may have damaged national security.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOgGZEacAAoXbS.jpg

In the course of processing your FOIA request, our office contacted the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAP Task Force) to conduct a search for applicable records. Accordingly, that office searched their local files for records relating to "a copy of records, electronic or otherwise, of all videos with the designation of "unidentified aerial phenomena" or UAP, as archived by the U.S. Navy...". The UAP Task Force has responded back to DNS-36 and have stated that the requested videos contain sensitive information pertaining to Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) and are classified and are exempt from disclosure in their entirety under **exemption 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(1)** in accordance with Executive Order 13526 and the UAP Security Classification Guide. The release of this information will harm national security as it may provide adversaries valuable information regarding Department of Defense/Navy operations, vulnerabilities, and/or capabilities. No portions of the videos can be segregated for release. While three UAP videos were released in the past, the facts specific to those three videos are unique in that those videos were initially released via unofficial channels before official release. Those events were discussed extensively in the public domain; in fact, major news outlets conducted specials on these events. Given the amount of information in the public domain regarding these encounters, it was possible to release the files without further damage to national security.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOgGZEacAAoXbS.jpg

2022-09-09T16:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568272428316631042

@darthtrevino I'm looking. I look at UFO cases all the time to see if they might be aliens.

I think it's unlikely that they will be, and suspicion that has been confirmed over and over, but I still look.

2022-09-09T15:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568266470777581568

Sacramento this morning. Smoke from the Mosquito fire.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOZ7OwaQAA_mzy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOZcu0aIAEAIQv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOZxYtaUAAhmwV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOZ7OwaQAA_mzy.jpg
2022-09-09T15:38:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568262581923758080
@IamDrVenkman @benjimaan22 Those are the excuses that the DoD gave. I think they can probably release some videos with zero harm to national security, and I have petitioned the to do so.

But I understand their reasoning and their priorities. They have real threats to deal with.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOWZFhaUAALuhA.jpg



Mick West
@MickWest

I signed this petition because I want to figure out what the Gimbal video actually shows. There's probably more of it (and other videos) that can be released without jeopardizing national security. Crowdsourcing investigations might figure it out.



change.org
Sign the Petition
Release full UFO videos now!

8:19 AM · Aug 19, 2022 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOWXlVaMAAzV-I.jpg

In the course of processing your FOIA request, our office contacted the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAP Task Force) to conduct a search for applicable records. Accordingly, that office searched their local files for records relating to "a copy of records, electronic or otherwise, of all videos with the designation of "unidentified aerial phenomena" or UAP, as archived by the U.S. Navy...". The UAP Task Force has responded back to DNS-36 and have stated that the requested videos contain sensitive information pertaining to Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) and are classified and are exempt from disclosure in their entirety under **exemption 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(1)** in accordance with Executive Order 13526 and the UAP Security Classification Guide. The release of this information will harm national security as it may provide adversaries valuable information regarding Department of Defense/Navy operations, vulnerabilities, and/or capabilities. No portions of the videos can be segregated for release. While three UAP videos were released in the past, the facts specific to those three videos are unique in that those videos were initially released via unofficial channels before official release. Those events were discussed extensively in the public domain; in fact, major news outlets conducted specials on these events. Given the amount of information in the public domain regarding these encounters, it was possible to release the files without further damage to national security.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOWZFhaUAALuhA.jpg

2022-09-09T15:36:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568262014811918336
@benjimaan22 Find an ATFLIR video that's better than Gimbal/GoFast.

They don't exist, in public.

2022-09-09T15:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568261715376349186
@JJ46758764 Sure, but why take a chance? What does it benefit them to take even a slight risk so that UFO twitter can be unsatisfied and the media storm be renewed?

2022-09-09T15:33:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568261408403652608
@TheBigSur007 @aka__V @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @rosscoulthart @JeremyCorbell Research? It's unnecessarily extended back-of-an-envelope calculations based on dubious recollections of possibly glitchy radar.

2022-09-09T15:25:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568259206129790977
@benjimaan22 Why take a chance?

2022-09-09T15:24:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568258974939762688
@Revaiel_ @LeifNordh I'll take whatever. I WANT the videos released.

But I understand the decision that has been made.

2022-09-09T15:22:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568258626376314880
ADVERSARY #1: We wish to infiltrate US airspace.
ADV #2: How can we do that, when we know nothing of their interception operations, capabilities, or vulnerabilities?
ADV #1: Here, study these 20+ videos they just released of those very things!
ADV #2: How oddly silly of them! https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1568250519868821507

2022-09-09T15:16:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568257048894382080
@LeifNordh @Revaiel_ I think the option of the military is "why take a chance?"

And why indeed? To satisfy the curiosity of #UFOTwitter?

2022-09-09T14:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568250519868821507
MICK: I signed a petition asking the videos be released.
JOHN: Hey DoD, release the videos!
DoD: Nope, we don't want an adversary to see how we operate, or find our capabilities and vulnerabilities

MICK: understandable:

ROSS: If there's nothing to hide, why not show the video?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOLAyZaUAAJuHS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOLAyZaUAAJuHS.jpg



Mick West
@MickWest

I signed this petition because I want to figure out what the Gimbal video actually shows. There's probably more of it (and other videos) that can be released without jeopardizing national security. Crowdsourcing investigations might figure it out.



change.org
Sign the Petition
Release full UFO videos now!

8:19 AM · Aug 19, 2022 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOKxefaUAAX0TH.jpg

In the course of processing your FOIA request, our office contacted the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAP Task Force) to conduct a search for applicable records. Accordingly, that office searched their local files for records relating to "a copy of records, electronic or otherwise, of all videos with the designation of "unidentified aerial phenomena" or UAP, as archived by the U.S. Navy...". The UAP Task Force has responded back to DNS-36 and have stated that the requested videos contain sensitive information pertaining to Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) and are classified and are exempt from disclosure in their entirety under **exemption 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(1)** in accordance with Executive Order 13526 and the UAP Security Classification Guide. The release of this information will harm national security as it may provide adversaries valuable information regarding Department of Defense/Navy operations, vulnerabilities, and/or capabilities. No portions of the videos can be segregated for release. While three UAP videos were released in the past, the facts specific to those three videos are unique in that those videos were initially released via unofficial channels before official release. Those events were discussed extensively in the public domain; in fact, major news outlets conducted specials on these events. Given the amount of information in the public domain regarding these encounters, it was possible to release the files without further damage to national security.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOK1Y9aMAAChFo.jpg

This story begins on April 28, 2020, when The Black Vault filed FOIA case DON-NAVY-2020-007226 (later renumbered in November of 2020 after a bogus rejection and given new case number DON-NAVY-2021-001457) to the Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR), the same command that officially released the three UAP videos one day prior.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND
RADM WILLIAM A. MOFFETT BUILDING
47123 BUSE ROAD, BLDG 2272
PATUXENT RIVER, MARYLAND, 20670-1547

IN REPLY REFER TO

5720.9b
V900000/2021-001457
March 10, 2022

The Black Vault
John Greenwald
27305 W. Live Oak Road, Suite 1203
Castaic, CA 91384

Dear John Greenwald,

SUBJECT: FOIA CASE DON-NAVY-2021-001457

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 11/25/2020 in which you seek "a copy of records, electronic or otherwise, of all videos with the designation of "unidentified aerial phenomena" or UAP, as archived by NAVAIR. The responsive records, would also include those that were released officially on 4/28/20 via NAVAIR's FOIA reading room. I am seeking a copy of all other videos which would contain the same designation of UAP or "unidentified."

Our search for records included files maintained by our program office however, despite this diligent search, we were unable to locate responsive documents.

NAVAIR's official denial wherein they stated they had no additional UAP videos to release

The request was simple. It asked for all videos that were designated "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena", just like the FLIR1, Gimbal and GoFast videos that they had previously released and labeled as "UAP". The FOIA case filed sought ALL of them.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcOKygTaQAMIYe-.jpg
2022-09-09T14:36:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568246956023226370
@Revaiel_ Nope, it's all videos shot on ATFLIR (or similar) of a pilot attempting to intercept an unknown craft.

Wouldnt the Chinese want to know how, and how well, that works?

2022-09-09T10:37:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568186823473795074
@rosscoulthart @blackvaultcom @USNavy
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1568186755781918720

2022-09-09T10:37:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568186755781918720

Is Coulthart pretending not to understand this?

The Navy isn't saying they are holding back UAP videos because they show aliens.

The are saying the videos reveal sensor technology and Navy capabilities and vulnerabilities.

i.e. it's about the ATFLIR, not the Gimbal object.
https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1568122971428126722

2022-09-09T10:21:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568182694382829571
@ZimRavens @ufouapam There IS an optical illusion (better called a visual illusion). The Moon is perceived as being bigger by the brain, just like how square A and B SEEM like they are different colors, even though they are actually the same color.

The brain is not changing the image of the Moon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcNNjdHagAAQhiS.jpg



The checker shadow illusion. Although square A appears a darker shade of gray than square B, in the image the two have exactly the same luminance.



Drawing a connecting bar between the two squares breaks the illusion and shows that they are the same shade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcNNjdHagAAQhiS.jpg
2022-09-09T10:16:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568181500486766593
@mission_europa Do they? I've not heard Carlos Del Toro talk about it.

2022-09-09T07:03:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568132955473854465
@PrismaticWriter Probably just a rock

2022-09-09T06:48:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568129116045733889
@PrismaticWriter I don't know. But the boulder sim seems legit. It's a small moon, super low

gravity, so unexpected physics.

2022-09-09T05:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568115658533240832

@PrismaticWriter Yeah, it's not really soil in the Earth sense. But it's a term used. http://tomatosphere.letstalkscience.ca/Resources/library/ArticleId/5302/soil-on-mars.aspx#soil

---

2022-09-09T05:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568115138800291840

@FullStackLogan My case? I wouldn't really say I have a "case" for the entirety of UFOlogy. I've done a bunch of analyses on a bunch of cases (which I think objectively stand on their own merits), and I've got a variety of ideas and opinions (biased) about other aspects.

2022-09-09T05:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568110120630099968

@PrismaticWriter I'd imagine like sand draining into a fracture, would create a conical depression. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcML0_cagAA6g9N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcML0_cagAA6g9N.jpg

2022-09-09T05:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568108719917121537

@PrismaticWriter There are competing hypotheses tho. https://www.brown.edu/news/2018-11-20/phobos

---

2022-09-09T05:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568108141648420864

@PrismaticWriter They are not impact craters.

https://www.lpi.usra.edu/publications/slidesets/3dsolarsystem/slide_30.html

---

2022-09-09T05:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568104424450723841
@HSVUAP @SicCoP1 I don't know.

2022-09-09T04:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568101622915674113
@HSVUAP @PredatoryPanic Listening to the Disclosure Project witnesses, I think a lot of it could just be misinterpretation.

2022-09-09T04:54:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568100515271299072
@HSVUAP @SicCoP1 That was not my intent. My interest here is in the people who are going to testify.

2022-09-09T04:48:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568098939794243585
@PredatoryPanic @HSVUAP Aliens? Delusions? False Memories? Being psyopped?

2022-09-09T04:30:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568094587612467200
@Fizer_Rau The source is public, Garry Nolan. It's been widely discussed.
https://twitter.com/BlackTriangle16/status/1561584168114454528

2022-07-15T18:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548013910753624064
@TheGuitarPhilo1 Are there any videos of these genuine orbs?

2022-07-15T18:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548013627667406850
@ashworth_mick I address the fleet in my last video (basically I was only looking at rotation). I've discussed it extensively, and even tried to work with Graves to it incorporate into Sitrec.

2022-07-15T18:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548013386289401857
@ashworth_mick I don't think it's as simple as me being correct and other people being wrong. I disagree with Elizondo and Mellon on their conclusions. I try to figure out details with Dietrich. I don't know that there's a definitive answer to UFOs, just that the NHI hypothesis is weak.

2022-07-15T18:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548007826806620165
@alien_expanse That would be option #2, unless you think over 50% of orbs are legit extraordinary.

2022-07-15T18:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548007396252864512
People tell me "Mick West" is trending on Twitter. It's not.

Neither is "Bob Lazar"

Twitter defaults to the "For You" filter when displaying "What's happening." It's what's trending

in your personal corner of the Twitterverse. The rest of the world has other interests.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXuf6YaVUAIXt4z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXuf5mUUsAIafo4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXuf6YaVUAIXt4z.jpg

2022-07-15T18:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548005727637159936

@PThegermandude @Ryanmbride A win for politeness!

2022-07-15T17:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548004439004352512

The notion of "orbs" (mysterious glowing balls of light) has long existed in both UFOlogy and supernatural research. Largely dismissed as the photos show out-of-focus lights (like dust lit by the camera flash). Is there even an actual phenomenon there?

2022-07-15T17:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1548003242394603521

@TheGuitarPhilo1 What if I disagree that it has a "strange behavior"? Am I then forbidden from discussing that?

I suspect most of UFOTwitter would not want you to bring up orbs.

2022-07-15T17:53:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548002737001881606
@SusanFensten @CameronZonk But you can't use "Lue elizondo", because his name is Luis. Just "Elizondo" will give you both (but "West", obviously, will not)

"Luis Elizondo" ufo, in News, gives 3,160

2022-07-15T17:43:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1548000219974864897
@RitaBro56200530 I think it was probably a fake. A model dangling from fishing line.

2022-07-15T17:40:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547999609061969924
@CameronZonk @SusanFensten The first way is the correct way

"Mick West" UFO
vs.
"Elizondo" UFO

2022-07-15T17:39:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547999268069203968
@disclosure_lore @sSamsquantch @harrison_fnord @PostDisclosure No, I was just THPS1,2,3,4, and THUG. (And my spirit lives on in 1+2)

2022-07-15T17:01:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547989713071484931
2/2. I've got thick skin. It's not affecting me, and I know a lot of it comes from personal experiences.

But are you (#ufotwitter) possibly contributing to the very thing you bemoan? Will scientists come into a field where they get attacked if they give the "wrong" answer?

2022-07-15T16:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547988792291733512
1/2. The UFO Community complains of stigma, "alien" jokes and general incredulity preventing serious research.

There's some truth to that. But perhaps scientists also see the vitriol and mockery poured on more skeptical investigators like myself, and decide that's not for them.

2022-07-15T16:50:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547986938027683842
@Roro_McFarland I mostly made it. If you have Chrome you can use it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=agua

2022-07-15T16:16:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547978299669770242

(the above video is sped up to demonstrate the straight line and constant speed of the sphere)

2022-07-15T16:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547978107189022722

The parallax effect. An object filmed from a moving plane that is circling it will appear to move rapidly over the ground or ocean. Here a sphere moving along a perfectly straight line appears to move rapidly in a rough circle and slow down. But it's at a constant 10mph

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1547977506040320003/pu/img/EpKnuXgRFn1FInr0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1547977506040320003/pu/img/EpKnuXgRFn1FInr0.jpg

2022-07-15T15:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547962968574373890

@MusicAndTruth @upzih @PostDisclosure Which is exactly what I did at the start. It just started to get a bit complicated for people when they tried variants.

2022-07-15T15:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547959344783769600

@rjohnhacker Being more specific helps http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXt00HxVUAMzrIb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXt00HxVUAMzrIb.jpg

2022-07-15T14:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547959071549992961

@rjohnhacker Apparently not, it takes it in a very different direction

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXt0gkSVEAAFb_S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXt0gkSVEAAFb_S.jpg
2022-07-15T14:57:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547958569990967298
@MusicAndTruth @PostDisclosure I thought someone else had explained it sufficiently. It did not seem worth the risk of making things even more complicated.

2022-07-15T14:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547951608662614016
Drawings vs. photos of "two dark figures standing next to a UFO in the trees with children looking at them" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXttsQiVsAYm1BO.jpg

---

A photo of two dark figures standing next to a UFO in the trees with children looki Generate



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXttsQiVsAYm1BO.jpg

A child's drawing of two dark figures standing next to a UFO in the trees with chil Generate



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXttrdHUIAEMGlH.jpg

2022-07-15T14:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547950253353054213

AI trained on stock photos reveals word associations. "Debunking" is blue-grey people going "hmm" or guys arguing over a mysterious package.

"Investigating" is girl science, or using a magnifying glass to examine pink flowers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXtrZXmVUAMliq1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXtrPSvUcAEm1Cg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXtrZXmVUAMliq1.jpg
2022-07-15T13:39:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547938819856482306
@ARPEXC Not motivation - itâ□□s a measure of impact. This is in the context of recent discussions about stigma. Can my 1% really override the overwhelmingly uncritical and story-supportive media coverage?

2022-07-15T09:15:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547872348950278145
@KallyOmega @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I don't think the two narratives have been resolved, mostly because they start and end with the same events, so should be the same length. If they are different lengths, then what is the start point for Dietrich? What actually matches?

2022-07-15T08:27:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547860294663188481
@BabbleAlien @matrix2004 @Eyes_OnTheSkies It is a plane.

2022-07-15T04:01:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547793533330804737

@fin365 Heat sources look the same. Motion is a little wind and a lot of parallax, so a piece burning off would move very much the same.

2022-07-15T03:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547792762254213123

@rpowell2u Iâ□□m referring to the lantern hypothesis. You seemed to be asking how the water impact fits into the lantern hypothesis. So I was saying that obviously it would not. The lantern stays aloft, near the runway.

2022-07-15T03:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547791938773925891

@rpowell2u And you said â□□show me the lines of sight. That is the red line in the sim. All 7000 lines of sight.

2022-07-15T03:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547791592425017344

@rpowell2u Itâ□□s not complicated. I use the plane gps, then picked a 2d target line that roughly matches the video.

2022-07-15T03:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547788261048741890

@rpowell2u @uapstuditaly Perhaps you donâ□□t know. Perhaps you are mistaking heat source occultation and compression artifacts for â□□going behindâ□□ (or â□□underâ□□)?

2022-07-15T03:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547783588568895488

@fin365 I think something burning off is plausible.

2022-07-15T03:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547782792213237760

@rpowell2u And splitting - I suspect thatâ□□s a bit burning off.

2022-07-15T03:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547782366751428608

@rpowell2u But it works. Thatâ□□s what the simulation shows. 9 mph.

Obviously thereâ□□s no water impact.

Slowing down, I suspect thatâ□□s just angular, but Iâ□□ve not looked at it yet. No rush.

2022-07-15T03:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547779932058632196

@CommonEnts No, one that goes nowhere near the water. Or the trees.

2022-07-15T00:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547739912006279170

@KetterStone @stuck7961 @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney No. It's a ridiculous straw-man caricature with several invented elements that I never suggested and improbable extrapolations.

2022-07-15T00:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547737676442320903

@KetterStone @stuck7961 @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney That's a bunch of made-up "quotes", most of which I disagree with. Please delete it, because claiming it's "according to West" is lying.

2022-07-15T00:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547737218130685954

@OiOcha_Dark I'm asking because it's the type of thing a UAP task force or the AOIMSG should ask, because it gives an idea of possible object density in the airspace.

2022-07-15T00:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547734305710825474

@MadScientistPod Time for a screwdriver. Or maybe some math. Your call.

2022-07-14T23:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547731128370339843

Aguadilla UFO Sitrec interactive version: Mostly for flying around, but you can move the end points of the target object path.

REQUIRES CHROME (Video uses WebCodec)

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=agua

2022-07-14T23:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547730396841799680

Aguadilla UFO Sitrec update: Added video synced to the camera. A preliminary two-point straight path for the object is a surprisingly good fit. Object speed = 8 knots (9 mph), traveling west. Highly consistent with a wedding lantern.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U0ttFlxoc9s

2022-07-14T22:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547714429806002178

@DavidBWriter @moranlerner No. But I'm really not sure how you could be sure that something inexplicably weird happened without physical evidence. Do you mean assuming their perception and memory are accurate?

2022-07-14T22:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547711491222040576

@SusanFensten Try without the quotes, like you did with mine.

2022-07-14T22:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547711146638991360

@DavidBWriter @moranlerner I don't know what he means by "lost".

2022-07-14T22:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547710363776364544

@SusanFensten Now Google "elizondo UFO".

2022-07-14T22:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547706392387039233
@RobHeatherly1 I would, because it's demonstrably wrong. The Russel was directly under the Los Angles inbound route.

2022-07-14T20:49:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547684611123077125
@TheGuitarPhilo1 So why didn't Fravor?

2022-07-14T19:42:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547667948784955393
@conterammundi She seemingly grew annoyed with the direct interactions on #ufotwitter.

My work, and the work of #ufotwitter, did not prevent her from telling her story elsewhere.

2022-07-14T19:38:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547666757162135553
@vyv_basterd_MD @PThegermandude People warm to me eventually. I'd prefer to be straightforward as much as possible. I do take care to be respectful, and I think that comes across more in interviews. Twitter is not an ideal communication medium.

2022-07-14T19:21:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547662529878319111
@CattinDaniel The point is that it would give us a good idea of the density of UAPs in Navy operating areas.
If 50% of pilots saw one every week, then that's very different from 0.1% of pilots seeing one in their entire career.

2022-07-14T18:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547656465954979841
@OzarksUap You said you could put me in touch with someone who was consistent at RV.

So why do they not simply demonstrate that? Like, take the CFIIG challenge?

Or suggest an experiment.

https://cfiig.org/250k-challenge/

2022-07-14T18:51:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547655036125163522
@emh203 Sure it is, it's a statistically valid sample (if you can actually determine the percentage)

2022-07-14T18:50:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547654822672773120
@PThegermandude So how about anecdotally? Someone yesterday said they know lots of military aviators in San Diego, and none had seen a UAP. Flight controllers, I've talked to say it's incredibly rare.

Fravor saw one in his entire career.

2022-07-14T18:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547653743088283649

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @jplatte11 @Cernunnos69 An airplane is one possibility, that's all. Not the solution.

2022-07-14T18:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547650009323036679

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @jplatte11 @Cernunnos69 The camera motion is responsible for all the big moves. It's not moving fast in the sideways direction, and that might just be the ship.

You say "splash" if you think something has gone in the water.

2022-07-14T18:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547649613527584769

What percentage of Navy pilots have seen an unambiguous UFO? I.e. not just a speck in the distance, but something that moved (or stayed still) in a way that could not be explained?

2022-07-14T18:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547649202670317569

@marcbel19406167 @BrianDunning Does that mean a cover-up of alien contact? Or just a cover-up of advanced technology? Or just a cover-up of new (but not paradigm-shifting) aircraft.

2022-07-14T18:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547648557066244097

@OzarksUap Why don't you just post the evidence?

2022-07-14T18:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547648274290462720

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @jplatte11 @Cernunnos69 Like I said in the video, the slight sideway motion is likely parallax or just a small component of the velocity of something flying away. Like with FLIR1 moving slowly left.

2022-07-14T17:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547641463776849920

@TheGuitarPhilo1 @jplatte11 @Cernunnos69 That's what a heat source would do if it slowly sank below the horizon. I think a powered aircraft of some sort is more likely than a flare.

2022-07-14T17:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547629038084038656

@eaaro It means you can send me a direct message, you don't need to post things in the public feed.

https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/direct-messages

2022-07-14T17:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547628397597057026

@Spectre67904513 Since my tone has changed to include more nuance (i.e. adding phrases like "probably", or "I think" and emphasizing the limits of the analysis) over the last year, what effect do you think that has had on UFOlogy?

2022-07-14T17:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547627453463506945

@eaaro My DMs are open.

2022-07-14T17:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547627049811988481

@bolayer My count was of "News", but you did "All" so you have to compare my 17K there to 339K for Elizondo (i.e. 20x, not just 10x) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXpGh2ZUYAANupr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXpGh2ZUYAANupr.jpg

2022-07-14T16:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547624108266889216

@Bugimus Something repeatable would be great for the paranormal.

A couple of angles on a craft exhibiting "impossible" fight characteristics for UFOs. Clear photos and video would also be a great help.

Something not in the LIZ.

2022-07-14T16:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547620725141360640

@BrianDunning @marcbel19406167 Here you can read the full prologue and introduction.
https://www.metabunk.org/f/Escaping%20the%20Rabbit%20Hole.%20Intro%20to%20P1.pdf

---

2022-07-14T16:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547620436598407169

@BrianDunning @marcbel19406167 This is the introduction to my book.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXpAkvVUYAgybc3.jpg

Introduction

Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people make secret plans at the expense of the general public should come as no surprise to anyone. Nixon conspired to cover up Watergate. The CIA staged "false flag" operations in 1953 to bring down the Iranian government. Powerful men in the Reagan administration conspired to illegally trade arms with Iran to finance the Nicaraguan Contras. Enron conspired to shut down power stations to raise the price of electricity. Executives from Archer Daniels Midland conspired to fix the price of animal feed.[1] People within the second Bush administration conspired to present sketchy evidence as conclusive proof of WMDs to justify the invasion of Iraq. Politicians tacitly (and sometimes overtly) conspire with wealthy individuals and corporations, helping pass favorable legislation in exchange for campaign contributions, or sometimes just bribes.[2] The prison industry conspires to get those politicians to incarcerate more people simply to maximize their profits.[3]

Nobody sensible is denying that conspiracies happen. These are well documented and undisputed facts. Conspiracies very clearly have happened and will continue to happen. Nobody is asking you to trust that the people in power always have your best interests at heart, because they clearly do not. Nobody is asking you to blindly trust the government, or big pharma, or any large entity with a gross amount of power, wealth, and influence. A key aspect of a well-functioning democracy is that the government should be subject to scrutiny.

Conspiracies are real, but with every one of these very real conspiracies and plausible potential conspiracy there's a slew of *false conspiracy theories*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXpAkvVUYAgybc3.jpg
2022-07-14T16:28:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547618978549231617
@Operator2022 @Dalerob62 @skies_alive @MvonRen @PostDisclosure I would not.
I have muted quite a few people, generally, this is because they are simply being rude or because they interminably repeated the same arguments and ignore responses. Like the guy who's hung up on my "pre-debunking" hypothesis. Also there some clickbait posters.

2022-07-14T16:25:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547618375387340801
@PThegermandude @CDVilsack @TOEwithCurt I'm sorry that my facial expressions conveyed

the wrong impression. I have clarified the word usage.

2022-07-14T16:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547617225716015110

@docpoco Tell that to Mellon and Elizondo.

2022-07-14T16:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547617084703551488

@schizlamic I don't dismiss them. If possible, I'll interview them. I'm trying very hard to reconcile the accounts of Fravor and Dietrich so I can do a recreation. I'm also trying to get an accurate recreation of the SA page based on Graves descriptions of Gimbal.

2022-07-14T16:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547616557517328385

@RogerSmith2001 Generally not - unless there's some basic triangulation or situation information that might give us a clue.

2022-07-14T16:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547616137529085953

@SimonTemplar741 I think I help a little in accurately understanding what we are looking at in some videos.

Investigating and explaining the green pyramid video might have hurt the feelings of the person who filmed it, and Jeremy Corbell. But that does not mean I should have ignored it.

2022-07-14T16:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547612767204519936

@CDVilsack @PThegermandude And I use the word "champion" in a very positive sense. Everyone could do with someone championing their cause. I got my book deal, and it was on the shelves of B&N because I had a champion (my editor) who believed in me. Before that Joe Rogan championed my skepticism somewhat.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXo5cpuUcAEqZ4n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXo5cpuUcAEqZ4n.jpg
2022-07-14T15:57:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547611126237577216
@PThegermandude You have champions. Elizondo, Mellon, DeLonge, Vallee, Nolan, Fugal, Taylor, Corbell, Rogan, Burnett, Carlson, etc. You also have #UFOTwitter and r/UFOs.

Me doing some math, showing plausible hypotheses, and getting <0.1% of the media coverage should not be a threat.

2022-07-14T15:51:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547609706310512642
@Alterbeg How do you think that would change things?

2022-07-14T15:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547608461482987520
@droneologists I think the argument is that I have an indirect influence via things like a year-old opinion piece in USA-Today, or my minute share of the "expert" interviews that get shown on mainstream TV.

Also, I stand by what I say in those pieces, maybe with an occasional word change.

2022-07-14T15:41:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547607214394712064
@VXxXZODXxXV I don't know of any cases that I would describe as "the real deal." Like the UAP report said, there are some reported unusual flight characteristics, but that could be other things. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXo0hXVVUAM2YZm.jpg

---



- As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on reports that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of this new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.
- Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP were registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall “other” bin.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXo0hXVVUAM2YZm.jpg
2022-07-14T15:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547606101759168517
The idea that I'm significantly contributing to stigma again UFO witnesses, by considering explanations that involve the witnesses making mistakes, is unfounded.

Their champions, like Lue Elizondo, get 10x as many news mentions as me with WELL over 100x the actual impact. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXozhhtUUAMt9ea.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXozhhtUUAMt9ea.jpg
2022-07-14T15:26:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547603440339017729
@GeologicalSETI Thanks, but I'm not really that interested in older cases.

2022-07-14T15:15:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547600659918204930
@darrenhoppa @BrandonFugal @HISTORY @SWRDragon @ThomasWinterton @travisstaylor1 @KalebBench Well, it looks like a typical "small things close to the camera, caught in the IR lights" - what more analysis do you need?

2022-07-14T14:51:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547594551203749888
@TheScanson @dr_los @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney That's not the point here. The descriptions include the same start and end events (and intermediate events). It's like you arrived at the hide together, saw a deer gradually approach, one of you took a shot, and then it ran off. One thinks 10 sec total, and one thinks 5 minutes.

2022-07-14T14:46:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547593341600051205
@1_2_of_Rodney No date has been set.

2022-07-14T10:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547523833447399424
@UnpluggedUser @ZaineMichael1 Did you have a particular example of a claimed "debunk" in mind?

2022-07-14T09:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547512405436948480

@UnpluggedUser @ZaineMichael1 Most UFO images are not "debunkable" (i.e. explainable) because they lack sufficient information.

2022-07-14T08:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547502590883086336

RT @rogeressig: An impressive 'false horizon illusion' I recorded a couple of days ago in a foggy Port Phillip, Victoria Australia. @mickweâ□¦

2022-07-14T08:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547502493503877122

@rogeressig Thatâ□□s how I would describe it.

2022-07-14T04:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547441810628153344

@Robin081564 I'm sure there's more evidence. There are Dietrich's notes, and I suspect there's more video, maybe radar data, reports, etc.

2022-07-14T04:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547439600389328896

@dddalach No, I was gently ribbing him about his use of an actual allegory in a list of concrete examples as if it was a real thing. It's not a big deal.

2022-07-14T04:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547439031272611840

@Robin081564 Well, I can't CURRENTLY explain it. But one never gives up on such things because you new know what new evidence might come along.

2022-07-14T04:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547438186179178497

@Robin081564 You missed it already.

2022-07-14T00:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547375929827233795

@EMP_Wrecker Oh, I still have some, just not for frippery. I've been retired for some time.

2022-07-13T23:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547364275248717824

@trishankkarthik Neither has been an issue so far.

2022-07-13T23:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547363187422826496

I'm not ASKING for donations (although I might fundraise for an expedition at some later date). I simply enabled Bitcoin donations. Sometimes (very rarely) people ask if they can donate.

Nobody has ever donated any Bitcoin to me. You could be first! :)
https://twitter.com/Steve_uap2020/status/1547243832718270464

2022-07-13T23:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547362499254558720

@zeta_ridiculous I'm fully vaccinated, I think it's a net benefit. Ivermectin does not help.

2022-07-13T23:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547362270983979009

@SDiver500 @FullStackLogan @conterammundi @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I personally was referring to government disclosure (in the context of the UAP report) when I wrote that. I was not considering individual experiences to be part of that. I was not referring to some truth about the universe.

Just what the government has. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXlVqSiVQAEh_MY.jpg

---

Given the disappointing mundanity of the video evidence, what are we to expect from the upcoming UAP report, due in June? Well, UAPs represent a serious issue for the military. If aircraft incursions cannot rapidly be identified, or if a pilot cannot make sense of what they are seeing, or if radar returns show what looks like super-fast moving objects, then those are real problems. There's also the inevitability that foreign adversaries are developing novel forms of drones for spying and combat purposes, which we will need to detect and counter. These are all real issues, and that's what a comprehensive report should discuss, along with suggestions for better tracking and investigating such incidents.

Mysteries remain. What the pilots who reported engaging a giant flying Tic-Tac saw is still unidentified, as are the objects in several other incidents. The causes of ghostly or rapidly-moving radar returns are likewise, in many cases, unknown—although since the system is classified, if it's a system glitch or inadequacy, then the DoD isn't going to tell us. We'll also continue to have no good answer for many photos and videos, simply because the object shown is too far away for whatever camera is being used. But "unidentified" means just that. It does not mean advanced technology.

If the quality of the leaked evidence is any indicator (and it probably is) then there's not going to be any significant revelations about the existence of physics-defying craft, and certainly no strong evidence of visiting aliens. While the genuine issues that Obama raised deserve serious examination, there will be no disclosure because there is nothing to disclose.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXlVqSiVQAEh_MY.jpg

2022-07-13T23:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547361054069788672

@ZackCrainSinger Videos (like, say, Gimbal) are valid evidence (unless they are fake, which I don't think Gimbal is). Now if someone makes a claim that they say is supported by some evidence, but isn't, then it's their interpretation of the evidence that's not valid.

2022-07-13T23:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547360364563550208

@zeta_ridiculous I often challenge experts, especially when they operate somewhat outside their area of expertise. I do this with quite specific reasons that I explain. I don't say "I'm better than you", I simply describe something where they appear to be wrong.

2022-07-13T23:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547359760235696128

@SFsomaGirl @likeitmatters3 @nickpopemod Sorry I didn't reply. I've just looked at your video, and I'm afraid I can't make out the UFO you describe. We can follow up via Dm if you like.

2022-07-13T23:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547357927266103296

@SDiver500 @FullStackLogan @conterammundi @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Not at all. I think people often see things that are difficult to explain. When I say there's nothing to disclose I'm specifically referring to the government, not to your experience. I don't think there's a high-quality cache of significant data indicating NHI.

2020-11-19T17:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329480068830117888

If you want to know what @C_C_Krebs (Chris Krebs) was working on before Trump fired him, then I HIGHLY recommend watching the HBO documentary "The Perfect Weapon", in which he appears discussing the grave threat of cyber attacks on our infrastructure.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1329479797920055299/pu/img/Ewvjmf31kg8lU3xB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1329479797920055299/pu/img/Ewvjmf31kg8lU3xB.jpg

2020-11-19T17:18:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329474203871940608

@ScottAdamsSays @The1stDeadman And yet here both the quantity and the ratio of the Milwaukee City votes were not unexpected. I

2012: 227K Obama, 56K Romney.
2016: 188K Clinton, 45K Trump
2020: 194K Biden, 48K Trump

They all had similar reporting spike.

https://city.milwaukee.gov/election/ElectionInformation/ElectionResults/Archive

---

2020-11-19T17:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329470830099656705
RT @ChrisSGiles: I wouldn't be so sure about these lists of dead voters.

We investigated a list of 10,000 "dead voters" in Michigan and fâ¦

2020-11-19T15:14:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329442836081750016
@JackieK_ Thanks Jackie!

2020-11-19T15:13:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329442700790263813
RT @JackieK_: This is an excellent book. Empathetic, encouraging and insightful. Two great points: some #conspiracytheories are "gateways"â¦

2020-11-19T06:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329315915712720896
@Floyd3592 @The1stDeadman @ScottAdamsSays How so, given that it has a perfectly reasonable explanation, verified by numerous sources?

2020-11-18T20:55:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329166256709144576
@The1stDeadman @ScottAdamsSays It has been, and it has been explained.

2020-11-18T20:54:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329166135208542208
@londawson2 @ScottAdamsSays And everywhere else. That was just the first of a score of sources that explained it.

2020-11-18T20:53:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329165910951641089
@StudyCosmology @paulbryand @sierranolandely @tonylamorte @SidneyPowell1 @realDonaldTrump @LLinWood @Scavino45 @DanScavino @GenFlynn @BarbaraRedgate @Scotus Avid has zero relation to Dominion. It's a well-established video editing company.

2020-11-18T20:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329165328719376385
@TheLuke2020 @The1stDeadman @ScottAdamsSays Because that's when the count is done. And those are the demographics.

It's not super complicated.

2020-11-18T20:50:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329165091296604161
@johnpeart1 @Oswald1160 Right now only a handful of states matter. I saw zero presidential campaigning in California.

2020-11-18T20:48:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329164571987197953
@The1stDeadman @ScottAdamsSays It's not 100%, about 1/5th of the votes were for Trump.

Look at the bottom of the line.
City folk tend to be Democrats, and mail-in voters even more so.

2020-11-18T20:26:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329159031705911297
@ScottAdamsSays It has been debunked.
It was the City of Milwaukee absentee ballots being reported. An expected large spike for Biden.

https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/11/04/fact-check-no-fraud-wisconsin-overnight-vote-spike/6167188002/

2020-11-18T20:19:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329157357033517056
@johnpeart1 @Oswald1160 That's just because of the Coronavirus, and people wanting the easiest way to vote by mail and avoid having to go out.

https://www.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/2020/05/26/200-000-wisconsin-voters-did-not-have-show-id-april-election/5246892002/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnIdZaGUYAE0W0W.jpg

---

Dean Knudson, the chairman of the state Elections Commission, said the law's provision for confined voters was meant to ensure shut-ins could cast ballots. Now that the state is reopening, voters whose movement is no longer limited should change their status on the voting rolls, he said.

"I think they should follow the law and notify their clerk that they're no longer indefinitely confined," said Knudson, a Republican.

Ann Jacobs, a Democrat who sits on the bipartisan commission, said she was not surprised to see so many people had called themselves confined given the public health threat the coronavirus presents.

"An otherwise healthy person who is now basically housebound is indefinitely confined," she said.

Some clerks are now getting in touch with voters to determine if they should still be considered confined. The state Elections Commission told clerks they could do

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnIdZaGUYAE0W0W.jpg
2020-11-18T17:12:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1329110124963586048
Republican Senator Richard Burr (R-NC), member of the Senate Intelligence Committee, and no friend of Democrats, issues statement in support of Chris Krebs, who Trump fired for telling the truth.

https://www.burr.senate.gov/press/releases/senator-burr-statement-on-cisa-director-christopher-krebs- http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnHxZKMVcAI47Ly.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnHxZKMVcAI47Ly.jpg

2020-11-18T17:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1329108712833703936

@johnpeart1 @Oswald1160 There are many measures in place to minimize cheating. Experts agree the elections are secure.

You want strict Voter ID? Then push for universal Real-ID, and pay for its deployment, enforcement, and continuance in way that's demographically neutral.

2020-11-18T07:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328957617646014469

@kristin41271774 @Acosta Do you genuinely think this?

2020-11-18T01:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328873223379828736

@RobAgs0817 Nothing is 100% squeaky clean. But the elections have a huge amount of planning, oversight, and checks to prevent the vast majority of fraud. There's no evidence of large scale election fraud.

2020-11-18T01:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328870705321054210

An official website of the United States government. Get it now before it's censored.

https://www.cisa.gov/rumorcontrol http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEYlZTVQAEgT7M.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEYlZTVQAEgT7M.jpg

2020-11-18T01:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328870072971010049

@bigo93 @OKftl @UpTheCreek11 @realDonaldTrump Be specific. What was there that is equivalent to what Trump is doing now?

2020-11-18T01:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328869798264987648

@johnpeart1 No, there's always a very small amount of fraud. Handfuls of people are prosecuted every year.

2020-11-18T01:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328867113012924418

@bigo93 @OKftl @UpTheCreek11 @realDonaldTrump Yes, I'm mostly concerned, right now, with the damage Trump is doing by not conceding, spreading false conspiracy theories, and fostering a cult.

2020-11-18T01:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328866404192305153

It was not unexpected that Trump fired Krebs. Krebs was executing his job fairly, accurately, and truthfully. That meant he had to go because the truth conflicted with Trump's baseless conspiracy theories.

It's a travesty. A selfish, desperate, and petty act.

https://twitter.com/NPR/status/1328861972302077952

2020-11-18T00:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328862997297590273

@bigo93 @OKftl @UpTheCreek11 @realDonaldTrump I answered, "Clinton conceded on Nov 9."

Meaning it was not the exact same thing at all. It was a really different thing.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnER0CAUUAAB3pO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnER0CAUUAAB3pO.jpg
2020-11-18T00:48:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328862697438416897
@stang289 Deleting your account does not delete your posts.

I promote web sites if I think they are useful.

2020-11-18T00:46:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328862138434158592
@bigo93 @OKftl @UpTheCreek11 @realDonaldTrump I didn't see that you asked me one.
Looks like you were replying to someone else.

2020-11-18T00:45:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328861781926690816
The alt-right Twitter alternative, Gab, seems to be calling for some kind of masculine Christian Crusade against Silicon Valley, Critical Race Theory, and inadequately godly churches.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEQrv1VQAQhhWX.jpg

This means, therefore, that we are supposed to get involved in life. God wants us to apply Christian standards everywhere, in every area. Spirituality does not mean retreat and withdrawal from life; it means dominion. The basic Christian confession of faith is that Jesus is Lord (Rom. 10:9-10) – Lord of all things, in heaven and on earth. As Lord, He is to be glorified in every area (Rom. 11:36). In terms of Christian Spirituality, in terms of God's requirements for Christian action in every area of life, there is no reason for retreat." – David Chilton

The time for emasculated, retreatist, "tolerant" Christianity is over.
We will not tolerate our destruction and persecution in our own countries.

The time for being Christian in name only is over.
Practice what you preach and live it. Lead by example.

The time for attending a church that compromises on the Gospel and the work and life of Jesus Christ is over.
Get yourself in a church that glorifies God instead of glorifying the world.

The time for being a Christian wimp is over.
Now is the time for a masculine, patriarchic, crusader "Jesus is King" Christian revival.

All heathen cultures have been statist and tyrannical, for a people who reject God will surrender themselves and their property to a dictator. (1 Sam. 8:7-20) -David Chilton

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEQA4UUwAAgqR2.jpg

The time for emasculated, retreatist, "tolerant" Christianity is over.
We will not tolerate our destruction and persecution in our own countries.

The time for being Christian in name only is over.
Practice what you preach and live it. Lead by example.

The time for attending a church that compromises on the Gospel and the work and life of Jesus Christ is over.
Get yourself in a church that glorifies God instead of glorifying the world.

The time for being a Christian wimp is over.
Now is the time for a masculine, patriarchic, crusader "Jesus is King" Christian revival.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEQrv1VQAQhhWX.jpg



“Freedom cannot be exported to a nation that has no marketplace for the Gospel.“ -David Chilton

Freedom is rooted in the Christian worldview. There’s no denying this fact. As Christians our faith in Christ frees us from the chains of slavery to sin in a wicked, corrupt, and fallen world.

History shows us that Christians are the forefathers and defenders of all types of liberty including but not limited to freedom of speech, religious freedom, private property, and the creation of freest nation in the history of the world: the United States of America. Bible-believing Christians have always been defenders of the right to say “no.” We have a sacred duty as Christians to dissent against all forms of evil, wickedness, and terror be it from kings, demagogues, tyrants, or even from within the church itself.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EnEPoQ8UwAACRWX.jpg

2020-11-18T00:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328859903444750336

@OKftl @UpTheCreek11 @bigo93 @realDonaldTrump "Russian Collusion" gets conflated with lots of things.

2020-11-18T00:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328859526032887809

@yrraplliw @Wenger_Knows @Deepneuron That's not what I said.

I think the pilots just were subject to the same optical illusion, because they were looking at the same thing.

And obviously, I would never claim seagulls at 60,000 feet.

2020-11-17T21:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328812053054668802

@stang289 How do you know?

2020-11-17T21:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328811465097113600

And in depth look at Dr. Shiva's continued misinterpretation of his scatterplots

https://kabir-naim.medium.com/dr-shiva-ayyadurai-doubles-down-on-his-con-7ba25b09b05c

---

2020-11-17T21:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328810789503864834
@barry25247206 @CalgaryPatty I don't know. However, he now thinks he has Covid, so how can his thoughts be evidence that it does not exist?

2020-11-17T21:18:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328809876605132801
@DcGigantic @Lukewearechange I'm not defending anything. I'm saying that there are very significant differences between state public health directives and martial law.

2020-11-17T19:51:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328787801093984256
@nev_dawood Why do you think Avid owns Dominion?

2020-11-17T19:47:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328786847590322177
@CalgaryPatty That was a rapid-response antigen test. These are mostly PCR test.

2020-11-17T17:55:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328758601377222657
The share of daily COVID-19 tests that are positive is increasing in the US faster than it did at the same level in march.
Which is a problem as there are ten times as many tests now.

https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus-data-explorer?zoomToSelection=true&time=2020-03-01..latest&country=IND~USA~GBR~CAN~DEU~JPN&region=World&testsMetric=true&interval=smoothed&perCapita=true&smoothing=7&pickerMetric=new_cases_per_million&pickerSort=desc http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EnCyZ2nVkAAiOFC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EnCyZ2nVkAAiOFC.jpg
2020-11-17T17:46:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328756449544060928

@UFOFanBoom1 Wishful thinking.

2020-11-17T17:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328756334884311040

@thesuperfluous1 @Lukewearechange State public health laws, basically. As epidemics have happened before.

https://www.americanbar.org/news/abanews/publications/youraba/2020/youraba-april-2020/law-guides-legal-approach-to-pandemic/

2020-11-17T17:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328755719621865473

@realLordWorm @Lukewearechange AKA law enforcement.

You been trumpeting imminent martial law as actual martial law. Now you are backtracking and essentially saying police (and store managers) enforcing laws and regs you don't like is "martial law".

It's not. It's just the law. Society.

2020-11-17T17:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328750555104067589

@Lukewearechange Martial law is "MILITARY government, involving the suspension of ordinary law."

Government-mandated lockdowns have no military enforcement and use existing laws.

2020-11-17T17:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328746482250305537

@MJMYuk It's not like there's a magic number. The fact remains that they would have been better off on day #1 if they could immediately start the transition process. Probably better off on day #100 too.

2020-11-17T07:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328600817428029441

@Bean20252674 @zero132132 @lmfga Cited sources listed here.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/escaping-the-rabbit-hole-updated-endnotes-and-references.9934/

2020-11-17T05:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328566993927229440

@cjavelin @UFOChronicles The math there isn't an actual representation of what happened.

2020-11-17T01:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328513139718062081

@MJMYuk It contributed to their state of rediness.

2020-11-17T01:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328511228675719168

@Bean20252674 @zero132132 @lmfga Were you critiquing my book?

2020-11-17T01:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328511073599639556

@ET_mjttech Oh, you can have them, but without an audience, it's irrelevant.

2020-11-17T00:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328495985564160000

@ET_mjttech You can't have one without the other.

2020-11-16T22:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328461596222390272

@MJMYuk What if an attack took place in the first month?

2020-11-16T21:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328449790481350658

@Richard31518380 What do you read? I've got quite a range there.

2020-11-16T21:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328449367661953025

Want to read 36 news sites at the same time? Want a wider political spread? I've added http://news.metabunk.org/right/ and http://news.metabunk.org/left/ to the original.

You'll need a few Chrome tweaks to get it to work. Explained here: https://youtu.be/dRPh1CsvRI4?t=85https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRPh1CsvRI4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em-XmfMUcAI53lZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em-XmfMUcAI53lZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em-XaIpVgAA-v4T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em-XB6bUUAAlrLJ.jpg

2020-11-16T21:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328445789874556935

@ET_mjttech I'm basing it mostly on conversations with people who fell for things like Chemtrails, 9/11 controlled demolition theories, and Flat Earth.

While there's a certain intellectual benefit to solitude and time (I have plenty of both), there is also a risk of becoming ungrounded.

2020-11-16T19:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328421706797514752

@19Nimbus @ImChrisMinor I don't think that Trump is really anything like Hitler. Hitler was a highly politically active and philosophically motivated person who used populism to achieve his ideological goals.

Trump is just a populist. Similar trappings, but a fundamentally different man.

2020-11-16T19:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328420295531585536

@ImChrisMinor Can you give sources for those claims?

2020-11-16T19:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328415376636477440

I've found (by talking to them) that people seem more likely to fall down a rabbit hole when they have a lot of spare time and they lack normal social contact. The effect of the pandemic on the rise of QAnon, and other cults and conspiracy theories, was inevitable.

https://twitter.com/samstein/status/1328343669250936832

2020-11-16T19:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328414174200754176

Want to keep up with what's new in disinformation? I highly recommend signing up for the @firstdraftnews Daily Briefing newsletter. You don't have to agree with it, but there's always something interesting.

https://firstdraftnews.org/

2020-11-16T18:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328411322996772865

So I guess that "but what about Michael Flynn!!" is the agreed-upon talking point response to pointing out that 2016 was nothing at all like 2020.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em93B8KVEAAzeer.jpg

Mick West
@MickWest

2016, not the same thing.
Clinton officially conceded the morning after the election. Offered to work with Trump on behalf of the country. Obama did the same at 3:30AM, inviting him to the WH. Within days Trump was given intelligence briefings and the transition was underway



10:34 AM · Nov 16, 2020 · Twitter Web App

View Tweet activity

1 Retweet **10** Likes

Lore and Legends @LoreandLegends3 · 6m
Replying to @MickWest
Then they tapped Michael Flynn and here we are...

More replies

jamesmac @russian71805090 · 6m
Replying to @MickWest
They set up gen Michael Flynn and started russia gate

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em93B8KVEAAzeer.jpg
2020-11-16T18:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328408765633183744
The 9/11 Commission Report identified the delay in beginning the transfer of power process as a contributing factor in the nation's unpreparedness on September 11, 2001.

This is a national security issue. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em90TD-VgAETKTK.jpg

---

6.4 CHANGE AND CONTINUITY

On November 7, 2000, American voters went to the polls in what turned out to be one of the closest presidential contests in U.S. history—an election campaign during which there was a notable absence of serious discussion of the al Qaeda threat or terrorism. Election night became a 36-day legal fight. Until the Supreme Court's 5–4 ruling on December 12 and Vice President Al Gore's concession, no one knew whether Gore or his Republican opponent, Texas Governor George W. Bush, would become president in 2001.

The dispute over the election and the 36-day delay cut in half the normal transition period. Given that a presidential election in the United States brings wholesale change in personnel, this loss of time hampered the new administration in identifying, recruiting, clearing, and obtaining Senate confirmation of key appointees.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em90TD-VgAETKTK.jpg
2020-11-16T18:34:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1328406020134039552
2016, not the same thing.
Clinton officially conceded the morning after the election. Offered to work with Trump on behalf of the country. Obama did the same at 3:30AM, inviting him to the WH. Within days Trump was given intelligence briefings and the transition was underway
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em9yDxCUUAEm2J-.jpg

TIME SUBSCRIBE

President Obama Congratulates Donald Trump, Calls for Peaceful Transition of Power



BY MAYA RHODAN

NOVEMBER 9, 2016 12:57 PM EST

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em9yDxCUUAEm2J-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em9wZGqVgAEhaCa.jpg

2020-11-16T18:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328403023471681536

A reminder from 2016. People diving into the statistics of events they are unfamiliar with are going to find "suspicious" patterns.

It's a combination of apophenia, bad math, and motivated reasoning.

Election losers are particularly susceptible.

https://twitter.com/NateSilver538/status/801509701561225216

2020-11-16T16:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328368918348537857

RT @Oswald1160: @russian71805090 @MickWest Debunking is investigating. Why should it wait?

2020-11-16T16:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328368781454831619

@TannLehman Maybe not, but they will listen to their friends, and I can help their friends find useful information.

2020-11-16T13:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328324591970705408

@bigo93 @realDonaldTrump Clinton conceded on Nov 9.

2020-11-16T08:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328251708720332800

@zero132132 @lmfga I have a whole book on it :)

Keep talking to them, ask them to explain why they believe what they do. Find common ground, and then the closest thing to common ground you can discuss. Debunk their most ridiculous claims if there's an unambiguous debunk. Ply they with context.

2020-11-16T08:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328250354136358912

@zero132132 @lmfga Yes, and it's somewhat concerning that so many people are just blithely going forward as if nothing is happening.

2020-11-16T08:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328247425828458497

I'm deeply concerned by Trumps promotion of conspiracy theories about the election.

I'm going to take action.

Here's my manifesto - A Skeptical Call to Arms.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-skeptical-call-to-arms.11454/

2020-11-16T06:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328221807283818496

@DanielS50803192 Not understanding something isn't the same as something not adding up.

2020-11-16T06:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328221638924460032

@WellPlanet @annejowrites @bangcox1103 @kylenabecker That's the Daily Mail, just spin. Old spin at that.

2020-11-16T06:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328221429523828737

@WellPlanet @bangcox1103 @kylenabecker They were not a grant-giving organization. They organized conferences, lobbying, and advocacy.

2020-11-15T18:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328042174475223041

@wemophil @gatewaypundit That's not what I'm saying.

I'm saying that THIS claimed evidence is not evidence of fraud.

There might be fraud, but there's really not the flood of GOOD evidence you suggest. It fails under analysis.

2020-11-15T16:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328019406060802049

@wemophil @gatewaypundit Nothing was caught here. Dr. Shiva's analysis is wrong because
1) He hand-drew a trendline that does not match the data.
2) The downward slope is an expected consequence of that way of plotting real-world data.
More details:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T16:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328019244081061889

@MegUSAGr88GN @PrideNews1 Nothing was caught here. Dr. Shiva's analysis is wrong because
1) He hand-drew a trendline that does not match the data.
2) The downward slope is an expected consequence of that way of plotting real-world data.
More details:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T16:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328018946772013057

@asitava2k @LawrenceSellin This isn't evidence. Dr. Shiva's analysis is wrong because:
1) He hand-drew a trendline that does not match the data.
2) The downward slope is an expected consequence of that way of plotting real-world data.
More details here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T16:47:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1328016902564691968

@JLuvin @lesliekean I'm almost entirely certain that they are parlor tricks. Intelligent people being fooled in seances is an ancient and well-practiced art. What is well-published is how it is faked. Here's a 120 year old book on the topic.
https://www.google.com/books/edition/Mysteries_of_the_Seance_and_Tricks_and_T/1x0qAAAAYAAJ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em4QQEwVoAE6zqe.jpg

permit. The only sure way to detect them is to seize the form before it can disappear in the cabinet, and while it is clad in its disguise. The most satisfactory method of proving the genuineness of the medium's work is to have him or her come to your own house, or to one that you know is not "fixed." Have a wooden cabinet or strong wire cage made, under your own direction, that can be securely locked. Then have the medium thoroughly searched and locked in the cabinet, and prevent any person who can possibly act as

Digitized by

an assistant from going near the cabinet. Under these conditions if any forms come out into the room, outside the cabinet, you having locked and sealed it, and holding the keys yourself, you can be tolerably sure you have a genuine case of materialization.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em4QQEwVoAE6zqe.jpg
2020-11-15T15:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327997733563629571
@Livinlargeno1 @Lionel46294550 We read it, donâ□□t we? Always good to have perspective. Trust or distrust, yet verify.

2020-11-15T08:28:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327891246849818625
Chris Krebs needs our support. He's doing his job, he checks the security of elections, so he knows they are secure, and having said this it puts him in conflict with his boss, Donald Trump, who might now try to fire him
https://time.com/5912049/trumps-voter-fraud-claims-are-now-being-debunked-by-his-own-government/

https://time.com/5912049/trumps-voter-fraud-claims-are-now-being-debunked-by-his-own-government/

2020-11-15T08:24:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327890326640492544

RT @RichardDawkins:
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/12/us/politics/election-officials-contradict-trump.html

---

2020-11-15T08:18:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327888793064468480
CLAIM: The U.S. Army raided the Frankfurt office of the Spanish election software company Scytl to seize servers that had evidence of voting irregularities in the Nov. 3 U.S. election.

APâ□□S ASSESSMENT: False

https://apnews.com/article/fact-checking-afs:Content:9754011363

2020-11-15T08:13:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327887338416979969
@LtTimMcMillan @paulsanderson @TenoriThrowaway @plain_fiction Well, I won't lose any sleep waiting.

2020-11-15T08:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327886979954999297
@karma24is @lesliekean What am I moving on from? Leslie is a serious UFO journalist, published in the NYT, not a nut job.

2020-11-15T08:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327886310388822017
@LtTimMcMillan @paulsanderson @TenoriThrowaway @plain_fiction Describe it with one adjective.

2020-11-15T08:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327885835761455104
@larryelder @va_shiva But Dr. Shiva has been shown to be wrong by multiple people. Some here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/

Or in one picture, by noting that Biden has the same graph.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2ZGZRVoAAosTF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2ZGZRVoAAosTF.jpg

2020-11-15T07:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327881610931277824

How is it possible to think that ectoplasm, levitation, and voices out of nowhere have been "proven time and time again", and yet not be sure about life after death?

Maybe it's because NONE of those physics-defying things things have actually been proven.

@lesliekean http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2Uk01VcAAl_Bw.jpg

You reported observing some very mind-boggling phenomena in your investigation of Stewart Alexander, including ectoplasm materializing into a "living" hand, the levitation of objects, and independent voice. You also witnessed communications from loved ones and successful book tests. Is there any doubt in your mind today that these phenomena were genuine and not some kind of magic trick?

I have absolutely no doubt, not one iota. I did my due diligence. When I began sitting with Stewart's small circle in 2015, I thoroughly checked the seance room to make sure there was no way to sneak in or out, nothing hidden in the room, and nothing strange about Stewart's chair or seance room table. I brought my own cable ties which were pulled tightly around his bare wrists, the thinnest part of his arm, locking his wrists through a loop under his chair arms. The straps could only be removed with wire cutters.

Do you think 'absolute certainty' on this matter is possible or is even a good thing?

No, I don't think we can prove beyond a shadow of a doubt that we survive death. As a journalist (not a scientist), I'm looking rationally at the most compelling and reliable data, and presenting it in an accessible form so that readers can make up their own minds. For me, this is an ongoing journey, an exploration, a journalistic and personal foray into unchartered waters. Proof of any kind of afterlife is not the goal. However, the unusual phenomena described in my book actually do exist—this has been proven time and time again in published papers and through observation under controlled conditions. We cannot explain what the causative forces are or what they mean. I think the state of uncertainty - of never knowing and simply dwelling in the unknown - is a good thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2Uk01VcAAl_Bw.jpg

2020-11-15T07:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327875804659736577

@gummibear737 There are other variables. Specifically how much the switch percentages varies by voter party preference density, and how much

But even using a simple model, I got it at 13/5, far less than the 21/12.5 you found.

I'm using this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2P7ItVEAEM8dM.jpg

You can see why this is if you simply swap the Biden and Trump split ticket votes.

Here's Trump starting at 10%



And swapped, it raises up the line to 30%



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em2P7ItVEAEM8dM.jpg

2020-11-15T07:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327874599535611905

@Herbert_L_Reed @gatewaypundit There's a collection of refutations of Dr. Shiva's theory here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T07:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327873955017883648

@va_shiva When are you going to retract your video? Multiple people have explained why you are wrong about the downward slope.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T07:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327873690596302858

@MmoakM @YouTube There's a collection of refutations of Dr. Shiva's theory here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T07:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327873346634092551

@joh39954755 @sen22123246 There's a collection of refutations of Shiva's theory here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/

And see:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-15T07:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327869073741414400

@plain_fiction @LtTimMcMillan @TenoriThrowaway I'll wait.

2020-11-15T06:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327865369298538498

@LtTimMcMillan @TenoriThrowaway @plain_fiction Should I put it on one of the three above?

2020-11-15T05:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327849443543040001

@mk4ever88 @standupmaths It's pretty good. He explains how unless you've got a 1:1 correlation (slope with m=1), then you don't get a flat line when plotting the difference. Basically the math behind what my tool demonstrates visually.
+other good points
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aokNwKx7gM8
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em13uSoUYAEOUxV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em13uSoUYAEOUxV.jpg

2020-11-15T05:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327840417891504129

@_Kodos_ @GeezerSqueezer @TenoriThrowaway @LtTimMcMillan @plain_fiction Canâ□□t you just melt together two isotopic metals to get whatever ratio?

2020-11-15T00:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327775323136487424

@CuevaDeplorable @catturd2 @realDonaldTrump @FoxNews They make video editing software. You said there was a patent. Do you at least have a link to the story where you read it?

2020-11-15T00:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327773326647853057

@CuevaDeplorable @catturd2 @realDonaldTrump @FoxNews What patent is that? Does it have a number?

2020-11-15T00:15:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327767172047794177

@TenoriThrowaway @LtTimMcMillan @plain_fiction I'd put my money on something less tangible, like a new eyewitness, or a TV deal.

2020-11-15T00:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327766558496604160

@stang289 Misleading. It wasn't a grant awarding organization. They did conferences and industry lobbying.

2020-11-15T00:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327763332581924868

RT @jimsciutto: We need to stop calling Trumpâ□□s election lies delusional and call them what they are: a deliberate attack on the process toâ□¦

2020-11-14T23:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327760683505618944

@stang289 That was a 2005 CBC meeting. Perhaps not the best move, but not a dealbreaker.

2020-11-14T23:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327760282576318464

@johnpeart1 It's not the same because it't different. The trendline reflects a variety of factors.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/#post-242678 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em0mzXHUUAAwVkC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Em0mzXHUUAAwVkC.jpg

2020-11-14T23:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327759903943843840

@stang289 Trump hardly stopped when he became president. In fact, he went into overdrive.

2020-11-14T23:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327758885449461761

@stang289 I'm pretty sure Biden had never encouraged violence. Who did?

2020-11-14T23:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327758742578892801

@stang289 I'm sure the Bidens have capitalized on their family name. As have the Trumps.

2020-11-14T23:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327758293259829249

@stang289 And you only had to go back 26 years to find one!

2020-11-14T23:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327755419360456706

@stang289 That's not my party. That's some assholes.

2020-11-14T23:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327751208770027521

@stang289 I genuinely think you have been misled.

2020-11-14T23:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327749436915675136

@stang289 Sorry, but I think this is important. Trump's lies are dangerous incitements. They need to be addressed. UFOs are frivolous stuff that can wait.

2020-11-14T22:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327747600905224193

@motownmutt @hoaxeye Other people have.

2020-11-14T22:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327747411914027008

@stang289 What are you basing the expected number of votes on? He got quite a few less than all the polls.

2020-11-14T22:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327745729041887232

@KabirCreates @Guy_Remmie @WAAAmusic @va_shiva I made an interactive tool that illustrates some of this.

Tool:
https://www.geogebra.org/classic/peacpfm6

Explanatory video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-14T22:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327743500905975809

@stang289 Here's the tool I used to make the above video.
https://www.geogebra.org/classic/peacpfm6

2020-11-14T22:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327740682866360320

@johnpeart1 Example?

2020-11-14T22:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327739368887312384

@hadrianwill Known and expected factors, like the relationship between straight-ticket voting and precinct voter preference. Similar with split presidential tickets.

Unfortunately, it's a little complicated, which makes fooling people easy.

My video is an attempt to explain things simply.

2020-11-14T22:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327737947236429824

Debunking the claim by Dr Shiva that a downward slope in in the excess of individual votes for

Trump indicated voter fraud.
It's actually an expected and inevitable consequence of split ticket voting and other factors.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BUp4uJt9TJg

2020-11-14T22:08:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327735193235456001
@Thomas92895701 Her job is to repeat Trump's conspiracy theories and attempt to make them seem real. That's all she's doing here. When the "evidence" actually comes forward it's invariably weak or wrong.

2020-11-14T20:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327708669266460673
@manakowhai That bit was wrong. However, if you read the thread, then Ayyadurai's analysis was still debunked.

2020-11-14T19:08:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327689847654387712
@knightsabers01 @FatherTerra @PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk It's not a super relevant point. Of course, the DoD would continue to investigate unidentified airspace incursions.

I said AATIP ended. It did.

2020-11-14T19:06:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327689456732651520
@FatherTerra @PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk NYT/Blumenthal: "There had been a program to investigate U.F.O.s, but it ended in 2012 after five years, the Defense Department insisted.

Our reporting suggested it continues, largely unfunded, to the present. And thatâ□□s what we wrote."

DoD vs. Ralph Blumenthal

2020-11-14T19:00:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327687753950117888
@FatherTerra @PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk Why did you put "changed its name" in quotes when the article states: "ended in 2012"

A different program doing something similar isn't the same program.

2020-11-14T18:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327687224134049792
@motownmutt @hoaxeye That one graph was wrong. The description of the graph given by Shiva was a bit unclear. But I eventually duplicated his graph.

His root error was ignoring split-ticket voters for president. That makes the line always slope down.

2020-11-14T18:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327686471608725506

@PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk The AATIP program ended in 2012. Please update Wikipedia if you have evidence otherwise.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Advanced_Aerospace_Threat_Identification_Program

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmzjwPtVEAEcxX8.jpg

The **Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program** (**AATIP**)[1] was an unclassified but unpublicized investigatory effort funded by the United States Government to study unidentified flying objects (UFOs) or unexplained aerial phenomena (UAP).[2] The program was first made public on December 16, 2017. The program began in 2007, with funding of $22 million over the five years until the available appropriations were ended in 2012.[3][4][5] The program began in the U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency.[6]

According to the Department of Defense, the AATIP ended in 2012 after five years, however reporting suggested that U.S. government programs to investigate UFOs continued.[7] This was confirmed in June 2020 with the acknowledgement of a similar military program, the unclassified but previously-unreported Unidentified Aerial Phenomenon Task Force.[8]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmzjwPtVEAEcxX8.jpg

2020-11-14T01:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327425539343151104

I'll be live on Kenny Biddle's Skeptical Help Bar tonight for a relaxed conversation about debunking, rabbit holes, UFOs, skepticing, and suchlike. Pop in and ask a question. Starts in 20 min (6PM PST)

https://www.facebook.com/kenny.biddleawsm/posts/10158346944903300

2020-11-14T01:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327425054007693312

@UFOCollage @SwavZielin Dust particles. Close to the camera, out of focus. Drifting slowly in and out of the IR light.

2020-11-13T20:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327343543317262336

@nick904nick Oh, they can use UFOs too, and Flat Earth. Those are great for physics. The election thing is more about statistics.

2020-11-13T13:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327245371110617088

@SGMTweetings @LtTimMcMillan @realDonaldTrump @FoxNews We actually come up with the same graphs (the first one I did was wrong), but he doesn't understand why. He assumes that there are zero Republicans who did not vote for Trump. That's false.

2020-11-13T13:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327244496195649536

@LtTimMcMillan @EclecticMethod @realDonaldTrump @FoxNews @KamalaHarris People have been saying that from before the election.

2020-11-13T13:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327244206037876736

@foiaboi By using them as "what is wrong with the analysis" type projects. Like the Dr. Shiva thing.

2020-11-13T13:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327242660487131138

@SGMTweetings @LtTimMcMillan @realDonaldTrump @FoxNews There's an active thread on Metabunk. But essentially the downward slope is expected as the effect of increasing the straight-ticket votes magnifies the Presidential split-ticket vote.
The slope of the scatterplot trend indicates the amount of split-party presidential votes.

2020-11-13T13:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327239817415983104

@mark58329831 @va_shiva It was actually a human error, and it was fixed. Note your story is from a week ago.

2020-11-13T05:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327122910897704962

RT @JamesYoungKY: Hello, my name is James. I'm a fmr Elections Admin of a large urban county, am registered @GOP, and actively work with huâ□¦

2020-11-13T02:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327081231243087874

@ejhong Does it have precinct-level data?

2020-11-13T02:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327080969820463104

@BubbleInvestor @va_shiva I subtract Strainght R votes from Trump votes.

2020-11-13T01:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327066878867435520

Is he talking about the Dr. Shiva analysis? Because I'm pretty sure I debunked that here.

GIGO basically.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dr-shivas-scatterplot-analysis-of-michigan-precincts.11451/#post-242635 https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1326926226888544256

2020-11-13T01:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327060449800581121

@va_shiva My spreadsheet in the link, data from:
https://electionreporting.com/county/db3f9865-656b-4704-9429-bd38e726ab42/contest/Straight%20Party
https://electionreporting.com/county/db3f9865-656b-4704-9429-bd38e726ab42/contest/President%20%2F%20Vice%20Pres

---

2020-11-12T23:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327027858108104704

Anyone, where's the dataset for this image? I'd like to look into it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmqMhg-VgAAQAyG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmqMhg-VgAAQAyG.jpg

2020-11-12T23:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327023521856294912

Math teachers around the country should use election fraud theories as teaching opportunities.

2020-11-12T22:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327023164807778304

Does the non-Benfordian distribution of the first digit of Chicago precinct election returns (or the non-random distribution of the last two) indicate election fraud?

No.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=etx0k1nLn78

2020-11-12T22:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1327019125349052416
@HighHeeledSue @Oswald1160 @eveningperson @hoaxeye Hardly anyone crashes their car. But we still encourage people to wear seatbelts.

2020-11-12T22:40:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327018533977350145
@reeveerx Why? He's still pushing Sharpiegate. Dominion's press release all seems legit to me. https://archive.vn/dy5gm

---

2020-11-12T22:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1327017748086489090
@ReggieQuota @Darshan0096 @softcrush @ScottAdamsSays Where's the data for this?

2020-11-12T18:52:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1326961124676263938
@HighHeeledSue @hoaxeye It's only true in a weird abstract world where everyone knows exactly what the infection status of everyone else is at all times.

That world does not exist. In the real world, it's true but impossible. Hence "true".

We have to be pragmatic here, not argue over words.

2020-11-12T18:49:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1326960360784498689
@SeeingFive @hoaxeye That's an analogy. Meaning it's a different scenario that illustrates the issue.

Here, it illustrates that something being "your choice" does not make it acceptable if your choice puts others at risk.

Both going maskless and speeding are analogous in that context.

2020-11-12T18:46:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1326959503632343042
@iGNORANTCHiMP @hoaxeye Stop what?

I'm pointing out that the Flat Earth conspiracy theory is more harmful than you might think because it leads to other things.

Are you disagreeing, or do you think COVID-19 is a hoax?

2020-11-12T18:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1326959054267146240
@HighHeeledSue @hoaxeye You said "â□□Maskless huggingâ□□ remains perfectly fine for every human being that isnâ□□t infected with the virus, which just happens to be the majority of the planet."

Which is "true", two uninfected people hugging is fine. But bullshit, because they don't know who is infected.

2020-11-12T18:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326955261513265152

@hoaxeye @HighHeeledSue I think Sue is really only interested in a semantic victory here.

2020-11-12T18:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326954064005918720

@SeeingFive @hoaxeye How do you know? Seems like a rather circular argument.

2020-11-12T18:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326953911140319232

@SeeingFive @hoaxeye But it does not just affect them.

If you do something, like drive very fast down a street where children are playing, then that's your choice.

But it's frowned upon, discouraged, and illegal. Because it affects other people.

2020-11-12T17:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326945873830400000

Deboonker or debonker?

I kind of like the idea of "taking the bonkers out of a topic"

#deboonked

2020-11-12T17:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326944990493253632

@SeeingFive @hoaxeye You can Google, no? So what did you think of the Reuters fact check article about this claim?

2020-11-12T17:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326943108806766592

@HighHeeledSue @hoaxeye Driving without a seatbelt is perfectly fine for people who don't crash their cars.

You don't know if you have it. You don't know if the person you are hugging has it. If you roll the dice it's not just your life being put in danger. Or theirs. You have friends and relatives.

2020-11-12T17:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326942540751237121

@SimonRMerton Terrible attitude, are you in favor of Eugenics?

For a start, most of them have normal IQ, or even high IQ, they are just confused.

Secondly, any spread of the virus has effects far beyond the immediate people involved. These people have relatives and they go to the store.

2020-11-12T17:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326940839226929153

Flat Earth sounds like harmless fun. A LARP. But if you start to believe something as nonsensical as Flat Earth, then it's very easy to fall for something like COVID-19 being a hoax, and then organizing maskless hugging events in the park.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emo8v2UVMAA3whx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emo8v2UVMAA3whx.jpg

2020-11-12T07:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326785137862971393

@TomTrinkets @Oswald1160 @oldfartsarise @RealRLimbaugh @BoSnerdley @POTUS @realDonaldTrump Maybe you should ask why you are looking into it in the first place.

What makes you think that Avid, as opposed to any other entirely random company, like Adobe, or Blackmagic Design, or Ulead Systems, is in any way connected to Dominion?

2020-11-12T05:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326757763767099392

@PostDisclosure When?

2020-11-12T05:21:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326757054317408257

@Alderley @buffcommacu @PostDisclosure Not my theory.

There are different explanations for each video.

2020-11-12T05:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326756868543410176

@GUFONRadio @PostDisclosure I don't think it was a hypersonic drone.

2020-11-11T15:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326548326339149824

RT @Stapleton_MT: I have supported you, Mr. President, we (Montana) have supported youâ□□and @realDonaldTrump accomplished some incredible thâ□¦

2020-11-11T15:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326547818933231617

@stang289 Just to be clear, you'll admit there's no problem with WI's overall turnout, compared to previous years?

If so, what specifically about Milwaukee turnout?

2020-11-11T07:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326422602131369984

@CiryMarr @Meggaman2020 @CortesSteve 5,067,953 as of right now.

2020-11-11T07:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326422275596414977

The fact that Steve Cortes claimed today that WI turning out was suspiciously high and that it was 90% just shows that he's got no idea what he's talking about. WI Turnout was actually 72%, lower than in 2004.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wisconsin-turnout-89-impossible-high-actually-72.11444/ https://twitter.com/CortesSteve/status/1326298952774381575

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emhl8TBW4AAEom4.jpg

Year	Wisconsin Voting Age Population	General Elections Voters	General Election Turnout
2020	**4,536,417**	**3,289,475**	**72.51%**
2018	4,498,402	2,673,308	59.43%
2016	**4,461,068**	**3,004,051**	**67.34%**
2014	4,416,501	2,422,040	54.84%
2012	**4,378,741**	**3,071,434**	**70.14%**
2010	4,372,347	2,171,331	49.66%
2008	**4,330,695**	**2,996,869**	**69.20%**
2006	4,260,038	2,166,671	50.86%
2004	**4,118,621**	**3,016,288**	**73.24%**
2002	4,060,973	1,785,710	43.97%
2000	**3,908,533**	**2,619,184**	**67.01%**
1998	3,853,041	1,760,836	45.70%
1996	**3,786,560**	**2,196,169**	**58.00%**
1994	3,777,000	1,565,628	41.45%
1992	**3,669,000**	**2,531,114**	**68.99%**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emhl8TBW4AAEom4.jpg

2020-11-11T06:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326413359818928128

@DasGoGoFuxe @DreamlandA51 @mesolithicguy They were unreadable by the machine. This could be from bad marks, or from physical damage. It's explained here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rrZLC9_7gKk

2020-11-11T06:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326412266330333184

@CortesSteve Statistical nonsense from Steve Cortes. Trump was -46,702 in 2016, and Clinton was +278,237. So why does Trump at +818 and Biden at +95,801 seem suspicious? Trump did BETTER in that regard than last time. And look at 2012, different again.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-suspicious-biden-only-ballots-in-georgia.11449/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emhc0eFXcAE7zWb.jpg

Comparison of Votes for President with Votes for Same-Party US Senator in Georgia. 2012-2020

	2012		2016		2020	
	2012 Candidate		2016 Candidate		2020 Candidate	
R-Pres	Romney	2,078,688	Trump	2,089,104	**Trump**	**2,457,191**
D-Pres	Obama	1,773,827	Clinton	1,877,963	**Biden**	**2,469,842**
L-Pres	Johnson	45,324	Johnson	125,306	Jorgensen	62,013
Total President		3,897,839		4,092,373		4,989,046
R-Sen	Eaton(R)	1,858,663	Isakson(R)	2,135,806	**Perdue(R)**	**2,457,980**
D-Sen	Oppenheimer(D)	1,537,923	Barksdale(D)	1,599,726	**Ossoff(D)**	**2,369,974**
L-Senate	Ploeger(L)	171,138	Buckley(L)	162,260	Hazel(L)	114,807
Total Senate		3,567,724		3,897,792		4,942,761
R-President Extra	Romney-Eaton	220,025	Trump-Isakson	-46,702	**Trump-Perdue**	**-789**
D-President Extra	Obama-Oppenheimer	235,904	Clinton-Barksdale	278,237	**Biden-Ossof**	**99,868**
L-President Extra	Johnson-Ploeger	-125,814	Johnson-Buckley	-36,954	Jorgensen-Hazel	-52,794
Total Extra		330,115		194,581		46,285

2012: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/42277/113204/en/summary.html
2016: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/63991/184321/en/summary.html
2020: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/105369/web.264614/#/summary
Discussion: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-suspicious-biden-only-ballots-in-georgia.11449/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Emhc0eFXcAE7zWb.jpg

2020-11-11T06:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326411957432365058

Statistical nonsense from Steve Cortes. Trump was -46,702 in 2016, and Clinton was +278,237. So why does Trump at +818 and Biden at +95,801 seem suspicious? Trump did BETTER in that regard than last time. And look at 2012, different again.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-suspicious-biden-only-ballots-in-georgia.11449/

https://twitter.com/CortesSteve/status/1326300100742828033

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmhcLWGXMAEB4Yt.jpg

Comparison of Votes for President with Votes for Same-Party US Senator in Georgia. 2012-2020

	2012		2016		2020	
	2012 Candidate		2016 Candidate		2020 Candidate	
R-Pres	Romney	2,078,688	Trump	2,089,104	**Trump**	**2,457,191**
D-Pres	Obama	1,773,827	Clinton	1,877,963	**Biden**	**2,469,842**
L-Pres	Johnson	45,324	Johnson	125,306	Jorgensen	62,013
Total President		3,897,839		4,092,373		4,989,046
R-Sen	Eaton(R)	1,858,663	Isakson(R)	2,135,806	**Perdue(R)**	**2,457,980**
D-Sen	Oppenheimer(D)	1,537,923	Barksdale(D)	1,599,726	**Ossoff(D)**	**2,369,974**
L-Senate	Ploeger(L)	171,138	Buckley(L)	162,260	Hazel(L)	114,807
Total Senate		3,567,724		3,897,792		4,942,761
R-President Extra	Romney-Eaton	220,025	Trump-Isakson	-46,702	**Trump-Perdue**	**-789**
D-President Extra	Obama-Oppenheimer	235,904	Clinton-Barksdale	278,237	**Biden-Ossof**	**99,868**
L-President Extra	Johnson-Ploeger	-125,814	Johnson-Buckley	-36,954	Jorgensen-Hazel	-52,794
Total Extra		330,115		194,581		46,285

2012: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/42277/113204/en/summary.html
2016: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/63991/184321/en/summary.html
2020: https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/105369/web.264614/#/summary
Discussion: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-suspicious-biden-only-ballots-in-georgia.11449/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmhcLWGXMAEB4Yt.jpg

2020-11-11T00:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326327438272466944

@Heyzeus8x Maybe in-person spoiled ballots. They give you are new one and rip up the old one.

2020-11-10T22:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326293167814004736

@DasGoGoFuxe @DreamlandA51 @mesolithicguy How are you going to duplicate damaged ballots without humans?

2020-11-10T22:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326292958891532288

@DasGoGoFuxe @AjayDaGreat They don't actually count them personally there. They take them out of the envelopes, check they look good, then put them in stacks to be fed into the tabulator machine.

2020-11-10T22:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326291376099635200

RT @OversightDems: BREAKING NEWS: Erie, Pa. #USPS whistleblower completely RECANTED his allegations of a supervisor tampering with mail-inâ¦

2020-11-10T20:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326260838055858176

@prisoner646 Related law we need again: "In 1942 Edna and Donald Ballard were charged with mail fraud by the Los Angeles state attorney under the accusation that the teachings of the I AM Activity literally were unbelievable. "

2020-11-10T06:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1326048442423021568

@DirkJohnson15 @Louisemsugar @RBReich They have brought several lawsuits, most have been dropped, the rest are going nowhere.

I can wait, but I'm not expecting much more from them.

2020-11-10T01:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325974317092413441

@russian71805090 @stang289 They chose to work at a company that did.

2020-11-10T01:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325969502467629056

@russian71805090 @stang289 They are the lawyers who represented Big Tobacco. But they are close to drawing a line here.

2020-11-10T01:02:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325967074120790017

@WajahatAli I don't, because the stuff they are doing is causing damage.

2020-11-10T01:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325966689985458176

@stang289 You think they made up this story?

2020-11-10T01:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325966577997549568

@johnpeart1 No.

"Some senior lawyers at Jones Day, one of the countryâ□□s largest law firms, are worried that it is advancing arguments that lack evidence and may be helping Mr. Trump and his allies undermine the integrity of American elections, according to interviews with nine partners"

2020-11-10T00:49:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325963675677515777

RT @TheDailyShow: Meanwhile in 2018... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ema6Dy2UYAAuMTg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ema6Dy2UYAAuMTg.jpg

2020-11-10T00:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325962888922632192

Growing Discomfort at Law Firms Representing Trump in Election Lawsuits

https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/09/business/jones-day-trump-election-lawsuits.html?smid=tw-share http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmbELnyU0AE_Jex.jpg

---

Some senior lawyers at Jones Day, one of the country's largest law firms, are worried that it is advancing arguments that lack evidence and may be helping Mr. Trump and his allies undermine the integrity of American elections, according to interviews with nine partners and associates, who spoke on the condition of anonymity to protect their jobs.

At another large firm, Porter Wright Morris & Arthur, based in Columbus, Ohio, lawyers have held internal meetings to voice similar concerns about their firm's election-related work for Mr. Trump and the Republican Party, according to people at the firm. At least one lawyer quit in protest.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmbELnyU0AE_Jex.jpg

2020-11-10T00:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325962263602212864

@Louisemsugar @RBReich I don't think Real Clear Politics ever called PA. They are just an aggregator, not a media organization.

Repeated investigation (and litigation) has turned up nothing and probably will continue to do so.

If there WAS something, Trump's team would be all over it.

2020-11-10T00:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325959090867040256

@RBReich People are disputing it. So they need to hear it. They also need to hear WHY it's not in dispute. Over and over.

2020-11-10T00:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325958733222981632

@oldfartsarise @RealRLimbaugh @BoSnerdley @POTUS @realDonaldTrump What specifically do you think was in the Smith-Mundt Modernization Act of 2012 that's a problem?

I wrote about it at the time. The ACLU seemed happy with it. It banned state-funded propaganda in the US.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-2013-ndaa-thornberry-amendment-domestic-propaganda-disinformation.592/

2020-11-09T23:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325943229565464576

RT @vermontgmg: We are watching the Republican Party willingly burn the trust and legitimacy of our democratic system to the ground to avoiâ□¦

2020-11-09T23:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325942436904906752

@Heyzeus8x What's to debunk there? DJT clutching at straws on Nov 9 about Breitbard reporting on Nov 8 about something that happened on Nov 7th?

The rescanning has already been done. Biden is ahead more now (11,595) than he was then (7,200).

2020-11-09T21:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325918025896259584

@LtTimMcMillan I'd make a joke about his claim to only hire "The Best People" but it's just sad and ridiculous at this point.

He should fire Giuliani. Then himself.

2020-11-09T21:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325916932378251265

@stang289 I've got a fence to fix. But I'll see later.

2020-11-09T21:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325916762622193664

@stang289 @mirandadevine How about Playboy?
https://www.news.com.au/technology/playboy-goes-undercover-with-dennis-montgomery-the-man-who-fooled-the-cia-over-al-jazeera-codes/news-story/ba7423172e5d871cbd6d9482ed33da50

2020-11-09T21:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325916155396067328

@stang289 Do your own research Joe.

2020-11-09T21:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325915920326258689

@BiscAnenome @MJMYuk @DineshDSouza I don't think this is something they generally announce, as it's irrelevant to the result. The registered voter totals in WI are released on the 1st of every month. The number out there is for Nov 1.

2020-11-09T21:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325915244456157184

@ConceptualJames "arbitrary because it's symbolic" is an oxymoron. Symbolism is not arbitrary. Rituals, like shaking hands, anniversary parties, graduation ceremonies, etc., have meaning because they symbolize something tangible. The choice of ritual is not random either.

You need an example.

2020-11-09T21:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325912852670996480

@BiscAnenome @MJMYuk @DineshDSouza What point do you think this graph illustrates?

2020-11-09T21:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325912017681616898

@hadrianwill @bstragnell @DineshDSouza The issue is that there's no evidence that he lost. Trump can pay for lawsuits and recounts. Why would Biden? He's so far ahead that nothing will change.

2020-11-09T21:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325909522389495808

@MJMYuk @DineshDSouza How would that help? Previous years have not calculated voter turnout as a percentage of registered voters. So if you are comparing against previous years, you should use the same method to calculate the numbers.

2020-11-09T21:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325907947981926400

@hadrianwill @bstragnell @DineshDSouza Why do you think it's so incredibly hard to get any evidence to support your suspicions? Why are all the lawsuits being dropped? Why do all the

claims like DSouza's turn out to be bullshit?

Maybe Biden won.

2020-11-09T20:49:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325903433627365377
This is known disinformation being pushed by @DineshDSouza, verifiable debunked days ago. He has no excuse for not fact checking this, given his audience. He's either knowingly misleading or stupid. Either way he's not a reliable source.

Turnout was 73%
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wisconsin-turnout-89-impossible-high-actually-72.11444/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmaNqL7VMAAVSsH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmaNqL7VMAAVSsH.jpg
2020-11-09T20:46:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325902665277079653
@stang289 @mirandadevine Yeah, like if the police don't KNOW if your gun is loaded, then they shouldn't shoot you when you wave it at then.

But actually in this case the Hammer and Scorecard stuff IS disinformation. Years old proven disinformation by a known fraud.

2020-11-09T20:37:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325900292081119232
@DineshDSouza It didn't have 89% voter turnout. WI has same-day voter registration so you use the voting age population, not prior registrations.

It had 73%, the same as in 2004.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wisconsin-turnout-89-impossible-high-actually-72.11444/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmaLQTsUYAAtmqJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmaLQTsUYAAtmqJ.jpg

2020-11-09T19:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325887043092688897

@oldfartsarise @RealRLimbaugh @BoSnerdley Avid make video and audio editing software. They have nothing at all to do with voting machines.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-09T19:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325886188662579200

@stang289 @mirandadevine How can I debunk something that has been done? The real question with Hammer and Scorecard is if it's a hoax, not if Twitter is suppressing disinformation it because they think it's unverified and looks like a hoax.

Which it was.

https://www.thedailybeast.com/infamous-hoax-artist-behind-trumpworlds-new-voter-fraud-claim

---

2020-11-09T18:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325873002945290240

@EttaRufus @Oswald1160 @CRISISonline The article is just a list of things that verifiably happened. None of the facts in it are really disputed by anyone. Give it a read, it's interesting.

2020-11-09T18:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325870087585165313

@mirandadevine All of those things were, or are being investigated. Many have been explained, debunked, or put into context. None of them have stood up to scrutiny.

Why don't you pick the best one?

2020-11-09T18:34:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325869371391008769
@JBurt1992
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-poll-worker-filling-in-ballots.11443/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmZvJ0hVcAARSTB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmZvJ0hVcAARSTB.jpg
2020-11-09T18:00:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325860739945357312
@EttaRufus @CRISISonline Except when it goes beyond that.
https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/oct/15/qanon-violence-crimes-timeline

---

2020-11-09T17:43:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325856447104573442
@russp @CNNPolitics Tell that to the Judge.

2020-11-09T17:40:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325855878658973701
RT @CNNPolitics: Georgia's Republican lieutenant governor says his office has not seen any evidence of widespread voter fraud or irregulariâ¦

2020-11-09T17:39:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325855433559404546
@AbbyHartman @psychunseen @LymeScience @CultExpert @travis_view @_MAArgentino So maybe we do need to be a bit more specific here. QAnon (mostly) isn't like NXIVM.

Perhaps a useful new aspect descriptor would be a "distributed cult" - one with limited or no hierarchy and limited person-to-person interactions.

2020-11-09T17:34:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325854181953953792
@AbbyHartman @psychunseen @LymeScience @CultExpert @travis_view @_MAArgentino The definition of "cult" is somewhat up for debate. We probably all have our own personal

interpretations of the word. I think it encompasses lots of variations. Wikipedia has (overlapping) subcategories for destructive, doomsday, political, polygamist, racist, and terroist cults

---

2020-11-09T17:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325849199913496577

@GetAFreakinGrip @jennycohn1 A British electric motor company. Even less related.

2020-11-09T05:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325667916902117376

@jennycohn1 I think it's pretty clear that the link between Avid and Dominion Voting was created by QAnon types wildly connecting dots by googling the words "dominion" "voting" and "owner" - those words are all on the SEC page.

Avid just makes video editors.

2020-11-09T05:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325666201402441730

@illhonky @YCantWeBFrends @MajorPatriot @MariaBartiromo So now you've been digging, what did you find?

2020-11-09T05:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325666043298078721

@EttaRufus Who cares about QAnon? People who think it's great, people who think it's harmful, people who have lost loved ones to it, people who are trying to combat such things.

2020-11-09T05:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325665644092616704

@GordonShorten I will lose a lot of more general interest though.

2020-11-09T05:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325665498890006528

@poorkidjoe Hammer and Scorecard, a supposed CIA technology being used to rig the US election.

It's another straw being clutched at, like ballots having quantum financial watermarks.

2020-11-09T04:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325664404000575488

@psychunseen @CultExpert @travis_view @_MAArgentino The mysterious "Q" is more like the cult leader than Trump. Trump is part of Q's plan, but they could drop Trump is Q moves on.

But I think it's had its heyday. The loss of Trump will be a return to marginalization.

2020-11-09T04:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325663139493339137

@DJSCARPI @VeilroadDude @MrHustleMCR That's bullshit.

A debunker looks for things that are wrong and then explains why they are wrong.

Debunk = removing bunk.

Skeptics are the same thing, but it's more a state of mind (being skeptical, wanting evidence) than the activity (debunking, finding falsehoods)

2020-11-09T04:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325660668943503360
@Jim94334698 It is verifiable. It keeps the paper ballots, and scanned images, for recounts, hand count checks, and audits

---

2020-11-09T04:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325660180692955137
@GetAFreakinGrip What difference would it make? Lobbyists work for the company that hires them.

How would whatever you suspect actually work?

2020-11-09T04:35:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325658267150807041
@hatboxghost2 @JoeBiden @Heyzeus8x What is this supposed to be evidence of?

2020-11-08T20:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325538493934678016
RT @kurteichenwald: Wow. When even the Washington Times calls bullshit on @TimMurtaugh and @TeamTrump, you know their control over anti-reaâ□¦

2020-11-08T20:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325533986165317637
@CalgaryPatty Let me know.

2020-11-08T20:06:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325530060816699392
@CalgaryPatty You don't think that The Gateway Pundit's lies should be addressed at all?

Dominion was supplying machines that count the vote. These counts are verified by hand counts to ensure there's no problem.

Feel free to present evidence otherwise, and I shall look at it.

2020-11-08T19:57:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325527784702136320
@illhonky @YCantWeBFrends @MajorPatriot @MariaBartiromo Blum's company owns about 15% of Avid, meaning he personally owns about 2%

But Avid is NOTHING to do with voting software. They are an old company that makes

industry-standard video and music software. Here's a list of all their products.
https://www.avid.com/products

2020-11-08T19:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325526998240747520
@hatboxghost2 Click the link. I spent quite a bit of time tracking down who owns it.

It's the company founder John Poulos, and a small private equity firm, Staple Street Capital.

2020-11-08T19:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325525310129909760
Remarkably false even for The Gateway Pundit.

Reality: Pelosi's former COS works at a lobbying firm that was once hired by Dominion

Feinstein's Husband owns <3% of Avid, who make video editing software and are unrelated to Dominion, of which he owns 0%

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-richard-blum.11445/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmU1FPQUYAEW5-O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmU1FPQUYAEW5-O.jpg
2020-11-08T19:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325522134483963904
@illhonky @YCantWeBFrends @MajorPatriot @MariaBartiromo Why are you sure of this?

2020-11-08T19:33:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325521725115674624
@ogateam_geo What do you think is odd about it? Have to compare it to actual passenger flight tracks?

2020-11-08T19:32:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325521520425279488

@Phenomenology19 There has been a bunch of studies of doomsday cults that failed.
https://www.livescience.com/14262-doomsday-predictions-fail.html

---

2020-11-08T19:29:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325520726774800384
@heatyeetmiser It's on Gab. His Twitter account was suspended.

2020-11-08T18:32:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325506441826902016
Some concise legal analysis of the Trump campaign lawsuits.
https://twitter.com/thekoreanvegan/status/1325479249189482498

2020-11-08T18:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325505716119982082
There's a storm right around the corner for QAnon. Some are busy fooling themselves that this is all part of the plan. But when Biden is sworn in on Jan 20th, the mental contortions required to keep faith in the Q Plan will be too great for all but the most malleable minds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUjrQfU0AE7kmY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUjrQfU0AE7kmY.jpg
2020-11-08T18:15:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325502308512223232
A great discussion on PBS regarding the dangers of election disinformation.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sUNeTseo6QQ

2020-11-08T17:39:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325493043454070784
Very sad. I've been playing Jeopardy every day with my wife for years. Alex was the perfect host. Irreplaceable. https://twitter.com/Jeopardy/status/1325490106329751553

2020-11-08T17:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325491425551642624
@EttaRufus No technical problem at all. All the ballots, original and recast are kept, and the machine keeps digital copies of all the scans on two independent memory cards.

2020-11-08T17:15:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325487185722658816
This is the machine we are talking about here.

Read more:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/trump-campaign-lawsuit-regarding-maricopa-overvotes-and-sharpies.11447/
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1325487055900614656/pu/img/cywn_SxKxLTkdT9p.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1325487055900614656/pu/img/cywn_SxKxLTkdT9p.jpg
2020-11-08T17:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325486161276493826
Contrary to Trump's lawsuit regarding the "Green Button" overvote issue in Maricopa, the machines DO tell you the problems with the ballot.
Ballots with ambiguous marks are automatically rejected.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sfm8RgNIQj8&feature=emb_logo
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUSeigUYAAoHBS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUSXL8VMAAUjIm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUSafFVgAAzxb_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUSeigUYAAoHBS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmUSdddUYAAMXYU.jpg

2020-11-08T06:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325329092263112704

If he hadn't gone there with the Quantum blockchain nonsense, then the basic idea of secret security marked paper might be slightly plausible.

But no, he had to get fancy.

I'd debunk it, but do people believe this version?

https://twitter.com/PatriotPrayerUS/status/1324502107035037697

2020-11-08T06:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325326458785472512

I thought this watermark theory was just to detect fake ballots. But it's SO MUCH MORE!!! It's kind of like science fiction. Seems to come from this guy, Steve Pieczenik.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmSBS7ZVgAEwcQT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmSBS7ZVgAEwcQT.jpg

2020-11-08T06:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325324599718936582

Heard of the QFS before? Apparently It's a magical replacement for all regular money using an alien quantum computer in a satellite and was used to track all the votes in the election using watermarks which will prove Trump actually won in a landslide!

I'm way out of the Q loop. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmR_k_zUYAU-Dsy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmR_k_zUYAU-Dsy.jpg

2020-11-08T05:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325311456141742080

@paulreilly @CindeeBecker Go back to your post about Money Laundering. Read it again. Read the comments. Does it actually make sense to you? To you personally?

https://twitter.com/paulreilly/status/1325258936203816960

2020-11-08T02:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325261883348316168

@MikeFaganTaiwan Lack of evidence otherwise. Huge scrutiny of the election by both sides.

2020-11-08T02:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325260635374977024

@paulreilly Thatâ□□s just silly.

2020-11-08T02:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325259484378247168

@dulhunty Who will pay?

2020-11-08T01:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325256291896356864

@dulhunty So go to Gab.

2020-11-08T01:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325256146521792514

@stang289 100%

2020-11-08T01:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325255972076445696

@MitchelComstein Hopeful Trump fans. Conspiracy theorists.

2020-11-08T01:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325246902443495426

@stang289 How does that make it false? They are just fishing for plaintiffs. They probably wonâ□□t be able to prove anything happened. Itâ□□s better than past years, and ultimately it makes no difference.

2020-11-08T00:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325235984481415169

@nypost Overvotes were DOWN in 2020.

And fixing them would probably help Biden.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/rebuttal-of-trump-campaign-lawsuit-regarding-maricopa-overvotes-and-sharpies.11447/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQu72qVgAEuYSf.jpg

---

Maricopa County Undervotes and Overvotes as a percentage of votes cast.

Year	Undervotes	Overvotes	Votes cast	Under %	Over %
2020	**8209**	**4816**	**2052756**	**0.40%**	**0.23%**
2016	19256	21785	1608875	1.20%	1.35%
2012	6648	3229	1390836	0.48%	0.23%
2008	5541	3660	1380571	0.40%	0.27%
2004	12868	4134	1211963	1.06%	0.34%

2004-2016 Source: https://recorder.maricopa.gov/electionresults/archivedelectionresults.aspx

2020 Source: https://recorder.maricopa.gov/media/Summary_110320.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQu72qVgAEuYSf.jpg

2020-11-08T00:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325235337052893185

@ZoeTillman Here's the under and overvotes from previous years. 2020 is a low year. No big increase.

Source links and Excel file here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/rebuttal-of-trump-campaign-lawsuit-regarding-maricopa-overvotes-and-sharpies.11447/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQuJaMUYAAC5SH.jpg

Maricopa County Undervotes and Overvotes as a percentage of votes cast.

Year	Undervotes	Overvotes	Votes cast	Under %	Over %
2020	**8209**	**4816**	**2052756**	**0.40%**	**0.23%**
2016	19256	21785	1608875	1.20%	1.35%
2012	6648	3229	1390836	0.48%	0.23%
2008	5541	3660	1380571	0.40%	0.27%
2004	12868	4134	1211963	1.06%	0.34%

2004-2016 Source: https://recorder.maricopa.gov/electionresults/archivedelectionresults.aspx
2020 Source: https://recorder.maricopa.gov/media/Summary_110320.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQuJaMUYAAC5SH.jpg
2020-11-08T00:27:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325233545288151042
@ZoeTillman Overvotes in Maricopa County are actually DOWN over most previous years.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/rebuttal-of-trump-campaign-lawsuit-regarding-maricopa-overvotes-and-sharpies.11447/

2020-11-08T00:25:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325232907502276608
Trump is suing because poll workers were allegedly letting through overvotes (possibly Sharpie created).

HOWEVER, analysis of previous shows there were FEWER overvotes this year. And fixing them would probably help Biden.

Analysis:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/rebuttal-of-trump-campaign-lawsuit-regarding-maricopa-overvotes-and-sharpies.11447/ https://twitter.com/ZoeTillman/status/1325214923840917504

2020-11-07T23:14:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325215042212560901
So basically, Trump is either lying, or he's got no real idea what is going on and is just repeating things that sound good him.

What it really boils down to is stuff like 10' or 6'.

Arguments over details are the death rattle of conspiracy theories.

https://www.freep.com/story/news/local/michigan/detroit/2020/11/06/tcf-center-detroit-ballot-counting/6173577002/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQbNUsU0AMZg35.jpg

---

> Thomas also debunked rumors that every Republican challenger had been removed from the counting room, and, that ballots were sneaked into the room in coolers and a wagon.
>
> Neither were true, said Thomas, noting that had secret ballots arrived, Republican challengers inside the room would have raised a ruckus and he would have been notified.
>
> "I didn't hear that," Thomas said.
>
> And there was no favoritism shown to any of the challengers, said Thomas, who refuted claims that all Republican were removed. As he spoke, there were more than 100 Republican challengers still freely roaming the counting room, with only four tables left counting.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmQbNUsU0AMZg35.jpg
2020-11-07T23:05:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325212926173605889
Do you want a deeper understanding of the events behind Trump's false all-caps claim about observers not being allowed in the counting room?

Read this:
https://www.freep.com/story/news/local/michigan/detroit/2020/11/06/tcf-center-detroit-ballot-counting/6173577002/

2020-11-07T22:32:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325204394703380480
@reeveerx Why would I debate him when he can't do the most basic fact-checking. Dominion is not "owned by a Pelosi cohort." He obviously didn't even read the article he linked.

2020-11-07T21:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325186983958753281
"What about all the dead people who voted?" is a question I've been asked a few times.

The answer is, they didn't. At least no more than normal with minor clerical errors like this one - which, of course, even out.

https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2020/nov/05/facebook-posts/no-dead-voter-named-william-bradley-didnt-vote-det/

2020-11-07T21:20:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325186380599681025
The moment when Giuliani found out that the election was over, during a press conference he

accidentally held at a landscaping company.
https://twitter.com/QTRResearch/status/1325185472700817409

2020-11-07T20:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325180090955689984
@macilree @Rainmaker1973 Neither makes sense, as sea and lake levels are both slightly curved, and the bottom of the tower is basically slightly above lake level.

Really it's just that 184m of the tower is hidden behind the horizon. (refraction complicates things more, but hey)

2020-11-07T20:37:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325175517973155843
@Stockwavez @zarahsultana Avid doesn't own Dominion. Dominion is privately owned by its founder, John Poulos, and a small equity firm called Staple Street Capital.
https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325175399257567232
@MaxDevlin Avid doesn't own Dominion. Dominion is privately owned by its founder, John Poulos, and a small equity firm called Staple Street Capital.
https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:36:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325175328726163457
@jonb19592 Avid doesn't own Dominion. Dominion is privately owned by its founder, John Poulos, and a small equity firm called Staple Street Capital.
https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:36:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1325175225227436032
@Melody03699519 @GovSisolak @JoeBiden @KamalaHarris Avid doesn't own Dominion. Dominion is privately owned by its founder, John Poulos, and a small equity firm called Staple Street Capital.
https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325175126552244224

@NewThinq Avid doesn't own Dominion. Dominion is privately owned by its founder, John Poulos, and a small equity firm called Staple Street Capital.

https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/dominion-voting-systems-acquired-by-its-management-team-and-staple-street-capital-300681752.html

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325174132950982659

This Four Seasons Total Landscaping thing is perhaps the most bizarre and inexplicable thing I seen for months.

Can anyone explain it?

Is it possible it was a mistake, and everyone was too scared to speak up?

https://twitter.com/_RichardHall/status/1325088995773132803

2020-11-07T20:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325172497990311936

And that's all practically burying the lede: The 6,000 votes problem was caused by HUMAN ERROR. It has not been found to happen elsewhere. And they checked.

2020-11-07T20:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325171914856259586

Despite rumors, Diane Feinsteinâ□□s husband, Richard C. Blum, does NOT own Dominion Voting Systems. He owns maybe 2% of Avid Technology, who don't own Dominion either. They make video editing software.

The disinformation is reaching new lows.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/who-owns-dominion-voting-systems-corp-not-avid-technologies-not-richard-blum.11445/

2020-11-07T20:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325168628539424769

@MaxDevlin I Googled it. Avid make video editing software, and they don't own Dominion.

2020-11-07T19:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325159966345342976

@Testore_Gianni @tiller4riller Where is the footage from?

2020-11-07T19:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325156104276332547

@ShepardAmbellas @highvacuumman When is it coming?

2020-11-07T18:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325139004249960448

This election was unique in the stark choice it presented scientists. Regardless of their feelings on government size, law-and-order, fiscal policy, foreign policy, etc, the Trump assault on science forced them to take a stand in its defense.

Every little helps. This helped. https://twitter.com/MichaelEMann/status/1325136176693583873

2020-11-07T18:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325137260086353921

I'm seeing a lot of the "No True Scotsman" fallacy flying around. That's the idea that Republicans who don't support Trump are RINOs (Republicans in Name Only.)

Being a Republican is an ideology that encompasses a range of conservative positions, not cultish devotion. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPVOsyVkAExk0W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPVOsyVkAExk0W.jpg

2020-11-07T17:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325134970977878017

Some people think I'm discredited as a fact checker because I disagree with them about UFOs.

Who checks the facts is irrelevant. Good fact-checking should be verifiable, with multiple references. Even if I was a lizard-shill, the facts can still be checked, and checked again. https://t.co/zZN9tAH0sq

2020-11-07T17:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325128016981192704

@DavidMu86437761 I don't disagree with that.

I follow all the major media outlets' web pages. Fox News' page has flirted with disinformation as headlines before, and they are certainly skewed, not just in their pundit division.

But ultimately they report the big news.

2020-11-07T17:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325127152426078208

@naoehogui Fact-checking is not censorship. It's truth.

2020-11-07T16:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325120263046926350

Fox News proves that honest journalism is not entirely dead there.

Biden's victory is undeniable and inevitable. Fox News knows this. Now they can (hopefully) stop scraping the bottom of the barrel of conspiracy theories.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPFIYbU8AMEwFH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPFIYbU8AMEwFH.jpg

2020-11-07T16:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325117845156474880

@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 I'm claiming he needs fact-checking. Sunlight is the best disinfectant. Let his lies be scrutinized and effectively exposed.

2020-11-07T16:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325117106296221698

Biden wins!

But the disinformation war will continue from Trump and his surrogates. I'm going to keep

fighting it.

It's important that as many people as possible see this result, while they might not like it, is a legitimate mandate.

Biden REALLY won by 4.2 Million votes http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPCT1YU8AIExw0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmPCT1YU8AIExw0.jpg

2020-11-07T16:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325112985950461953

A real, if delusional, attempt at mass election fraud was carried out by QAnon followers. They also had guns. https://twitter.com/jjmacnab/status/1325093498987687936

2020-11-07T16:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325112536551755776

RT @willsommer: There's a wild new right-wing ballot fraud conspiracy theory brewing that's going to make the watermark saga and Sharpiegatâ¦

2020-11-07T16:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325111435978924032

The Philadelphia City Commissioners are a three-member BIPARTISAN board of elected officials in charge of elections.

City Commissioner Al Schmidt, a former Senior GAO Analyst who knows what he's doing, is a Republican. https://twitter.com/Commish_Schmidt/status/1323898927666659328

2020-11-07T16:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1325108461500133377

Trump's Tweets need more than just a warning label and a link to Twitter's Civic integrity policy. They need fact checks that directly address the evidence behind his claims. People need to see WHY his claims are dubious or lies. Because people believe him, not Twitter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmO6cgGVoAAt3S9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmO6cgGVoAAt3S9.jpg

2020-11-07T06:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324960202848825345

@ColoradoWinds Chase Center in Wilmington, Del.

2020-11-07T06:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324957889149067264

RT @LisPower1: Fox anchor: Can I asked you about the fact that the Trump campaign says their

poll watchers weren't allowed in?

Fox corresâ¦

2020-11-07T05:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324945051961602048

@mark58329831 Can you clarify the math there? Whatâ□□s the problem exactly?

2020-11-07T05:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324939937762828288

@mark58329831 12% in 2016, IIRC. What are using?

2020-11-07T03:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324921214083584001

@Thealienpickle @IamDrVenkman @LtTimMcMillan It was human error. Not a system-wide glitch. Wait.

2020-11-07T03:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324915427202355202

More info on the Antrim County 6000 vote glitch.

"It turns out the mistake was an isolated incident linked to human error."

https://www.woodtv.com/news/elections/antrim-co-vote-count-problem-was-ballot-change-not-software/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmMLjcVVgAATTTn.jpg

---

> *“The Clerk’s Office has traced the cause of the original errors on the posted unofficial election results. Late in the election preparation process, a minor correction was made to a ballot that caused additional compounding changes to how the software totals and presented the data. The skewed unofficial results were a result of procedural misunderstanding that the Clerk’s Office had never before experienced. At no point did these skewed, unofficial results have any bearing on the Official numbers currently being reviewed by the Board of Canvassers. Both the Antrim County Clerk and ElectionSource have taken steps to adjust policies and procedures to ensure that a similar situation does not happen in the future.*
>
> *“We continue to work hard to ensure the integrity of the election results, and we will learn and grow from this experience.”*
>
> - ANTRIM COUNTY CLERK SHERYL GUY VIA ELECTIONSOURCE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmMLjcVVgAATTTn.jpg

2020-11-07T01:56:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324893558579425280

RT @FrankLuntz: New Rule: You can scrutinize the electoral process, but you canâ□□t just make stuff up. https://twitter.com/BrendanKeefe/status/1324885446632083458

2020-11-07T01:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324882533742374913

Y'all can fact check too. Here's some tips and resources.

TL/DR? Google it. Read multiple takes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/election-fact-checking-resources-and-tips.11442/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLtfN5VgAEQ4Ed.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLtfN5VgAEQ4Ed.jpg
2020-11-07T01:11:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324882171769712640
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x Hey, that's the example I used in my article on how to fact check things. You might want to try it.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/election-fact-checking-resources-and-tips.11442/

2020-11-07T01:10:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324881826498846720
@BrowneBJ They figured it. If there are other issues I'm sure they are working on figuring those out too. The various election commissions take their job VERY seriously.

2020-11-07T01:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324880545562554369
@DanielS50803192 You should get some different takes.

https://www.10tv.com/article/news/verify/no-evidence-widespread-dead-voting/507-84e1561d-0d38-49eb-889f-3d3012a88a2c

---

2020-11-07T01:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324880165109854208
@Glo_Cowdery @RubinReport That's a video of normal ballot duplication - fixing ballots so the machine can scan them.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-poll-worker-filling-in-ballots.11443/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLrV47UYAAdEL5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLrV47UYAAdEL5.jpg
2020-11-07T00:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324878969137586176
@emmathepony That's why there are procedures to check things. That's why it was found.

It changed his lead by 0.1%, briefly, then was fixed.

2020-11-07T00:52:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324877469724282880
@emmathepony No. It's a minor glitch that confused things for a while but was inevitably caught.

It just should not have happened, and the public needs the assurance that it's not going to happen. It should be at least as error-free and reliable as the software in your car.

2020-11-07T00:45:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324875568219713541
Extra Biden votes in Antrim county caused by failure to update software (maybe data on the layout of the ballot.)

They fixed it, but it's still a systemic failure that needs to be addressed in the future. Let's spend money on making 2022 above suspicion.

https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/06/antrim-county-vote-glitch-software-update/6194745002/

2020-11-07T00:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324874709633134592
@KennyBiddle42 @LtTimMcMillan Thanks, fellow!

2020-11-07T00:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324874560898883584

@maxharris9 @SamHarrisOrg Go for it.

I really don't see the conflict you allude to. Why can't I agree with Chris Mellon regarding erroneous claims of election fraud, but disagree about UFOs?

2020-11-07T00:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324870581615931392

@det_crockett06 Which ones, specifically? How many? And how do you know they did?

2020-11-07T00:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324870405497057280

@Amy19631 @JBurt1992 @LouDobbs @jsolomonReports Evidence?

2020-11-07T00:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324870344545509379

Another example of ballot duplication being misinterpreted as fraud. This time with a deceptively edited video.

Again, they are just making machine-readable copies of damaged ballots. In R/D pairs, and with observers and camera right there.

https://factcheck.afp.com/video-shows-legitimate-ballot-processing-not-fraud-pennsylvania

---

2020-11-07T00:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324869760979406848

@stang289 No, I just watch clips now. He's gone downhill. Slipped back somewhat into his old credulous ways. Spouting nonsense about Biden being senile based largely on Biden's stutter. Also UFOs.

2020-11-07T00:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324869285856989184

@JBurt1992 @LouDobbs @jsolomonReports Bit busy right now, sorry.

2020-11-07T00:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324869177270652929

An updated lists of fact-checks regarding claims of illegal voting and election fraud.

You might not like the source, but they give references to everything they discuss, so you can check it for yourself. And then use Google to find other takes.

https://www.factcheck.org/2020/11/trumps-wild-baseless-claims-of-illegal-voting/

2020-11-07T00:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324868749342646272

@JBurt1992 @LouDobbs @jsolomonReports Because it seems like a small glitch caused by human error, now fixed. So it's not really relevant.

2020-11-07T00:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324867483719720960
@stang289 Anyone. The FBI. The Postal inspector. Local reporters. National reporters. Joe Rogan. You.

Anything would help.

2020-11-07T00:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324865968519049218
@IDGAFIDLYA @jonthebomb87 @AMPFEST_USA So show the actual evidence. Take it to court.

2020-11-07T00:06:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324865658572611584
@stang289 I'll wait for the verification of his current claims.

2020-11-07T00:04:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324865358960844800
@stang289 First thing I looked at. Acorn. Lost a lawsuit, and was proven wrong.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLdpcQVoAEnYd8.jpg

O'Keefe moved for summary judgment in his favor, arguing that the plaintiff had no reasonable expectation that the conversation would be private. In August 2012, the federal judge hearing the case denied O'Keefe's motion for summary judgment. The judge ruled that O'Keefe had "misled plaintiff to believe that the conversation would remain confidential by posing as a client seeking services from ACORN and asking whether their conversation was confidential."[62] On March 5, 2013, O'Keefe agreed to pay $100,000 to former California ACORN employee Juan Carlos Vera for breaking state law prohibiting surreptitious recording, and acknowledged in the settlement that at the time he published his video he was unaware that Vera had notified the police about the incident. The settlement contained the following apology: "O'Keefe regrets any pain suffered by Mr. Vera or his family."[63]

On June 14, 2010, the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) published its report finding no evidence that ACORN, or any of its related organizations, had mishandled any of the $40 million in federal money which they had received in recent years.[12][13]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLdpcQVoAEnYd8.jpg
2020-11-06T23:58:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324863682296213504
@JBurt1992 @LouDobbs @jsolomonReports We don't know the exact details, but it appears to be A) isolated, and B) fixed. Time will tell. Plenty of time to check and fix if it happened elsewhere.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1324824893490229248

2020-11-06T23:55:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324863066064916480
@stang289 Given O'Keefe's past record I'll wait for independent verification.

2020-11-06T23:52:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324862210875695104
Claims of impossibly high turnout in Wisconsin continue to circulate. It's just 72.5%, lower than

in 2004 (73.2%). But how can you verify this yourself?

If you can edit two boxes in a spreadsheet, then I show you how here.

Verify it for yourself.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ycMw6xhP8Cg

2020-11-06T22:33:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324842475010183169
@PNWJeff Could you be more specific?

2020-11-06T22:31:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324841874746535936
@MJMYuk I mean, surely the best thing to compare WI turnout to is previous years' WI turnout?

2020-11-06T22:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324841564162543616
@MJMYuk What are you going to compare it to?

2020-11-06T22:22:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324839483313774592
@MJMYuk The issue is that in other states turnout is sometimes calculated as a percentage of registered voters.

They don't do that in WI. But people calculated it anyway, and then compared it against the older percentage of eligible voters, and were amazed at the (false) difference.

2020-11-06T22:20:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324838998578098176
@Pluto31805301 Says Mark Dice, extrapolating from one county where the problem (partly human error) has already been fixed. Biden no longer has those votes. He's still far ahead.

2020-11-06T22:18:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324838705375240192
@PNWJeff 2AM IS an early call. In 2016 it was finalized earlier because there were not so many mail-in ballots to count, and states that are counting the dregs now were more clear cut.
AZ was 48.1 to 44.6, GA was 50.4 to 45.3, etc.

2020-11-06T22:14:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324837662868402177
@DanielS50803192 More details here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wisconsin-turnout-89-impossible-high-actually-72.11444/

2020-11-06T22:14:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324837505556869121
@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1324824893490229248

2020-11-06T22:13:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324837245623283712
@KimStrassel Here are the actual figures.

Turnout in WI is calculated as a % of voting age population, because of same-day registration. It's actually slightly lower than in 2004.

Sources and explanation here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wisconsin-turnout-89-impossible-high-actually-72.11444/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLEGeWUYAATcst.jpg

Year	Voting Age Population	General Elections Voters	General Election Turnout
2020	4,536,417	3,289,575	**72.51%**
2018	4,498,402	2,673,308	59.43%
2016	4,461,068	3,004,051	**67.34%**
2014	4,416,501	2,422,040	54.84%
2012	4,378,741	3,071,434	**70.14%**
2010	4,372,347	2,171,331	49.66%
2008	4,330,695	2,996,869	**69.20%**
2006	4,260,038	2,166,671	50.86%
2004	4,118,621	3,016,288	**73.24%**
2002	4,060,973	1,785,710	43.97%
2000	3,908,533	2,619,184	**67.01%**
1998	3,853,041	1,760,836	45.70%
1996	3,786,560	2,196,169	**58.00%**
1994	3,777,000	1,565,628	41.45%
1992	3,669,000	2,531,114	**68.99%**
1990	3,616,000	1,397,727	38.65%
1988	3,593,000	2,191,608	**61.00%**
1986	3,522,000	1,526,960	43.35%
1984	3,487,000	2,211,689	**63.43%**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLEGeWUYAATcst.jpg
2020-11-06T22:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324836599910207488
Impossibly high turnout in WI?

Nope, it was actually, at 72.5% lower than in 2004 (the post 9/11 election) which was 73.2%

Here are the OFFICIAL turnout figures going back decades

(3,289,575 is the 99% reporting figure, so it will rise max 1%)

https://elections.wi.gov/sites/elections.wi.gov/files/2020-09/Voter%20Turnout%20Partisan-NonPartisan%20Through%20August%202020.xlsx
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLDc-0U4AAREPn.jpg

Year	Voting Age Population	General Elections Voters	General Election Turnout
2020	4,536,417	3,289,575	**72.51%**
2018	4,498,402	2,673,308	59.43%
2016	4,461,068	3,004,051	**67.34%**
2014	4,416,501	2,422,040	54.84%
2012	4,378,741	3,071,434	**70.14%**
2010	4,372,347	2,171,331	49.66%
2008	4,330,695	2,996,869	**69.20%**
2006	4,260,038	2,166,671	50.86%
2004	4,118,621	3,016,288	**73.24%**
2002	4,060,973	1,785,710	43.97%
2000	3,908,533	2,619,184	**67.01%**
1998	3,853,041	1,760,836	45.70%
1996	3,786,560	2,196,169	**58.00%**
1994	3,777,000	1,565,628	41.45%
1992	3,669,000	2,531,114	**68.99%**
1990	3,616,000	1,397,727	38.65%
1988	3,593,000	2,191,608	**61.00%**
1986	3,522,000	1,526,960	43.35%
1984	3,487,000	2,211,689	**63.43%**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmLDc-0U4AAREPn.jpg
2020-11-06T22:06:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324835462557544448
@PNWJeff The votes counted earlier were more for Trump, and mail-in ballots are more for Biden. The Republicans admit this. Trump encouraged Republicans to vote in person.

2020-11-06T21:43:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324829833658990592
@DanielS50803192 No, it does not.
https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/11/05/fact-check-wisconsin-voter-turnout-line-past-elections/6176028002/

2020-11-06T21:37:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324828214368169984
James Hirsen does not do Math, or Fact Checking, and assumes his followers won't either.

Actual WI turnout is high, but not astonishingly so. The key thing is same day voter registration, so turnout is a % of eligible voters, not registered.

https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/11/05/fact-check-wisconsin-voter-turnout-line-past-e http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmK7SmkU0AALJnd.jpg

> Even more important, registered voters is the wrong figure entirely for calculating turnout. Voter turnout in a same-day registration state is based on the percentage of eligible voters who cast a ballot.
>
> So when you divide the number of votes cast in Wisconsin — 3,278,963 as of Nov. 5 — by the voting-age population in Wisconsin (4,536,293 as of 2019, according to the elections commission), you get a turnout rate of 72.3%.
>
> That's the highest rate ever behind the 2004 election, but solidly in the range of past presidential contests here.
>
> Recent presidential election turnouts in Wisconsin:
>
> - 2020 — 72.3%
> - 2016 — 67.3%
> - 2012 — 70.4%
> - 2008 — 69.2%
> - 2004 — 72.9%
> - 2000 — 67%

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmK7RGbU4AAyZuE.jpg

James Hirsen ✓
@thejimjams

WI turnout 89% for Biden?

5.5 standard deviations above the average.

The odds = 0.00000189% (1 in 52,910,052).

Like flipping a coin heads 25 times in a row.

#ElectionFixing

1:10 PM · Nov 6, 2020 · Twitter for iPad
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmK7SmkU0AALJnd.jpg
2020-11-06T21:24:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324824893490229248
The 6,000 vote discrepancy in Antrim County, Michigan, appears so far to be a one-off problem, a combination of human error and a software issue. It has been fixed, recounted, and the numbers in MI now reflect this. Biden still 146,123 votes ahead.

https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/06/antrim-county-results-election-2020/6185031002/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmK5Jn9VcAACKBR.jpg

---

Ian Miles Cheong ✓ @stillgray · 2h

This is big. The software used to tabulate the votes in one county sent at least 6,000 Trump votes to Biden. 47 counties used the software. Other states may have, too. Was it a glitch or a "feature"?



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmK5Jn9VcAACKBR.jpg

2020-11-06T21:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324822512492900352

@stang289 "[Antrim County Clerk] Sheryl Guy was unclear on whether the apparent errors resulted from a software glitch or human error, but appeared to attribute the problem to a combination of both."

https://www.freep.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/06/antrim-county-results-election-2020/6185031002/

2020-11-06T21:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324819370548236289

@star_bonez @david_m_miller I'm a liberal, I'd prefer Biden win. I think Trump is terrible.

But facts are facts. If I show you the debunk for something that because I genuinely think it's been debunked.

2020-11-06T20:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324807328760168448

@BlobUK_11 I meant Republican politicians. the Republican public might take a little longer.

2020-11-06T20:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324806988958584833

@stang289 He's No True Scotsman, that's for sure.

Would you say that any republican critical of Trump in any way is a RINO?

2020-11-06T20:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324806347636969472

@CalgaryPatty People sometimes vote differently in presidential and senate races. And more so this time.

2020-11-06T20:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324805917007728640

@mint_rubber Toomey: "Is there any evidence, that I'm aware of, of significant large-scale fraud or malfeasance anywhere in Pennsylvania that I'm aware of? Absolutely not"
https://youtu.be/fwyHPbrMUgs?t=210

2020-11-06T19:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324802932974313472

@mint_rubber https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fwyHPbrMUgs

2020-11-06T19:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324802271612342274

@mint_rubber Trump claims there is loads of evidence. There isn't.

Toomey rejects Trump's claims of evidence of fraud.

2020-11-06T19:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324798770375139328
The junior United States Senator from Pennsylvania rejects Trumps claims of large-scale election fraud in Pennsylvania.

As will, gradually, most Republicans. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKhSMrVkAIbjcI.jpg

---

Nebraska Sen. Ben Sasse, a conservative Republican who has distanced himself from Trump before, issued a blistering denunciation of the president's unfounded allegations.

"Voter fraud is poison to self-government, so these are major allegations. If the President's legal team has real evidence, they need to present it immediately to both the public and the courts," he said.

Sen. Pat Toomey, a Pennsylvania Republican, rejected Trump's aspersions on the integrity of ballot-counting in his state.

"The president's allegations of large-scale fraud and theft of the election are just not substantiated. I'm not aware of any significant wrongdoing here," Toomey said on NBC's "Today" show.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKhSMrVkAIbjcI.jpg
2020-11-06T19:38:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324798251040690176
@kurteichenwald @LindseyGrahamSC Will he ask for his $500K back?

2020-11-06T19:30:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324796211577016320
@Knightman_10 @jonthebomb87 @AMPFEST_USA The other person on the table is from the opposing party, precisely to ensure that there's no fraud. You can see the whole process here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rrZLC9_7gKk

---

2020-11-06T19:28:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324795698383147009
Why did so many people vote in the Presidential election when Biden is so boring? Partly dissatisfaction with Trump's shenanigans, but also because spending was DOUBLE what it was in 2016. Over FIVE BILLION dollars. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKeOLfVcAAahp1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKeOLfVcAAahp1.jpg
2020-11-06T19:20:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324793889325199360

@pobudkalemingi @oneAOC @jonthebomb87 @AMPFEST_USA Where's the evidence of that?

Dead people end up on voter rolls, inevitable. Like how people get mail after they die.

People have the same names.

2020-11-06T19:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324793261337141248

@Brenna_H_C Also, some people only bothered to vote for President.

2020-11-06T19:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324792719005372416

@Ronnie62597744 They are duplicating damaged ballots so the machine can read them. One of them is a Democrat, the other is a Republican - paired to prevent fraud. The old ballots are kept in case of a recount.

The process is explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rrZLC9_7gKk

2020-11-06T18:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324781896732041216

(Correction, that's a live-stream from yesterday, but still)

2020-11-06T18:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324781396464861184

It's useful perspective to see what's actually going on in these vote counting centers. There are several live cameras right now. Here's a key one, in Maricopa County, Arizona. Counting ballots. Some, presumably, filled with sharpies!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v2yd85O6G9c

2020-11-06T18:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324780639858552832

@AjayDaGreat Practices vary. Here's a live shot of Maricopa County's counting room.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v2yd85O6G9c

2020-11-06T18:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324780240380391424

@LtTimMcMillan Thanks, Tim!

2020-11-06T18:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324778917241106432

RT @kylegriffin1: Trump's tweet is wrong. Georgia's military ballots are not missing.

He should know: Military ballots that are postmarkedâ¦

2020-11-06T18:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324778121858445313

@PiersonKaleb No, it makes perfect sense. It's a secret ballot. Privacy of the voters is

important.

There are much bigger delays in other large states. California is only at 66% reporting.
Pennsylvania is at 98%, Florida is at 99% New Jersey is at 74%
Alaska is only at 50%. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKOKkJU4AEyQyH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKOKkJU4AEyQyH.jpg
2020-11-06T17:57:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324772809990496256
@PiersonKaleb It's not about stealing. It's about privacy. I wouldn't want people taking a photo of an envelope with my address on it, and then posting it God-knows-where. Especially if it became part of some viral post.

What delay specifically are you referring to?

2020-11-06T17:48:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324770755666616320
@PiersonKaleb You asked, "You really think people could see the info on ballots that far away?"

I said "Zoom lens," because people were taking photos through the window of ballot envelopes that have voter info on them.

2020-11-06T17:47:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324770456226811905
And just to put a lid on that "poll worker filling in ballots" video thing, here's the official Michigan BOE manual, explaining how it's done with two inspectors from different parties. Page 12.

https://www.michigan.gov/documents/sos/XII_Precinct_Canvass_-_Closing_the_Polls_266013_7.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKHrwoVkAAZyMO.jpg

---

DUPLICATING BALLOTS: Ballots *must not* be duplicated until *after* the close of the polls. ***Exception:*** ***If the duplication of absent voter ballots will be carried out at an absent voter counting board precinct, ballots may be duplicated and tabulated throughout Election Day; it is not necessary to wait until after the close of the polls to begin the duplication process.***

The procedures for duplicating ballots are detailed below:

- After identifying the ballots which must be duplicated, count out an equal number of unused ballots and remove the ballot stubs. At the top of the first "original" ballot to be duplicated write "1"; at the top of the blank ballot that will be used to create the "duplicate" write "Dup 1." Follow the same numbering system for the remaining ballots which must be duplicated, e.g.: "2" – "Dup 2"; "3" – "Dup 3"; etc.

- Duplicate the ballots using a reader-checker process with two election inspectors who have expressed different political party preferences. One election inspector calls the valid votes from the "original" ballot as the second election inspector records the votes to the "duplicate" ballot.

- After completing the duplication process, the election inspectors who handled the procedure must verify that:

  1) the "original" ballot is labeled at the top with a number "1" and the corresponding "duplicate" ballot is labeled at the top with "Dup 1" and

  2) that all valid marks have been transferred from the "original" ballot to the corresponding "duplicate" ballot.

As explained above, this same numbering scheme is continued for all ballots requiring duplication. The second "original" ballot to be duplicated is numbered "2" and the "duplicate" ballot is labeled "Dup 2," etc.

After the work is verified for accuracy, all "duplicate" ballots are tabulated. All "original" ballots are placed into a special envelope labeled "Original ballots for which duplicates were made and tabulated." The special envelope is sealed into a ballot container along with all other used and unused ballots following the close of the polls.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKHrwoVkAAZyMO.jpg
2020-11-06T17:45:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324769847167774721
@PiersonKaleb Zoom lens.

2020-11-06T17:44:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324769690753720322
@checkers80 It's on the envelopes.

2020-11-06T17:25:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324764944156434434
@mrzip1980 Of course. But every little helps.

2020-11-06T17:25:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324764822878130179
Why were those windows covered? What were they hiding?

They were hiding voter's private information from people taking photos though the window.

There were many observers inside the room.

Explained here:

https://www.cnn.com/2020/11/05/media/detroit-windows-covered-ballots-vote-center/index.html

---

2020-11-06T17:24:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324764527129370624
@bobofango86 @OKftl
https://www.cnn.com/2020/11/05/media/detroit-windows-covered-ballots-vote-center/index.html

---

2020-11-06T17:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324763776319594499
@AMPFEST_USA This is what is happening:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rrZLC9_7gKk

2020-11-06T17:20:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324763655473303554
Here's Michigan's process for duplicating ballots. This is what was happening in the video claiming fraud.

Note they use one R and one D inspector to transpose the votes.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rrZLC9_7gKk

2020-11-06T17:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324762690590371841

Here's a typical procedure for duplicating damaged ballots. Note the voided ballots are stored and can be checked if there's a recount.

https://www.marincounty.org/depts/rv/election-info/election-policies-and-procedures/post-election-canvass/duplicating-damaged-ballots http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKAQ5KUcAIrPRd.jpg

Procedures — Two People Work as a Team

1. Sort ballots to be duplicated by precinct number.
2. Pull blank ballots to match the number of ballots to be duplicated within each precinct. Tear off voter receipt at top of ballot as needed.
3. Assign a serial number to use on the damaged and blank ballots. The format of the number is a combination of type of ballot, precinct number and sequential number, VBM 1-X. Example: VBM-1001-1, VBM-1001-2, etc.
   FED — outside the United States indefinitely (federal offices only)
   OSM — outside the United States temporarily or in the military
   POL — polling place or provisional ballot
   VBM — vote-by-mail or "all mail" precinct
4. Write the serial number, in red ink, in the upper right corner of both the damaged and blank ballot.
5. Stamp VOID on the damaged ballot.
6. Stamp DUPLICATE on the blank ballot.
7. One team member reads from the damaged ballot while the other team member marks the blank ballot.
8. Fill out the duplication log sheet for each ballot being duplicated and check the reason for the duplication.
9. Both team members initial the voided and the duplicated ballot.
10. Store the VOIDED ballots boxes marked as follows: VOID DAMAGED BALLOTS, type of ballot (VBM, POLLS, etc.), and the name and date of the election. Number the boxes 1 of 2, 2 of 2, etc. as needed.
11. Store DUPLICATE ballots, rubber-banded together in precinct order, in boxes that correspond to the boxes of voided ballots and mark in center of box, no more than 4" wide, as follows: DUPLICATES TO BE COUNTED, type of ballot (VBM, POLLS, etc.),date of the election and precinct range. Number the boxes 1 of X, 2 of X, etc. as needed.

Count the duplicate ballots together by type of ballot (VBM, POLL, etc.). Mark box of counted duplicated ballots as follows: COUNTED DUPLICATE BALLOTS, type of ballot, batch number, and date of election.

Store voided ballots in ballot room in case they have to be matched up with the duplicated ballots during a recount after the election.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmKAQ5KUcAIrPRd.jpg

2020-11-06T17:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324760589458055170

@ConceptualJames You're beating a dead horse, James.

2020-11-06T17:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324760078969286656

@jonthebomb87 @AMPFEST_USA Are you familiar with the processes they use to ensure there's no fraud?

The point here is that someone shared this as evidence of fraud. It is NOT evidence of fraud.

Pointing out that it might be undetectable fraud is not evidence of fraud either.

Show some evidence.

2020-11-06T17:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324759580304240640

@CalgaryPatty Why does a video of normal and expected poll work raise an eyebrow?

Why?

2020-11-06T17:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324759392424656897

@Marklakin6 I was also agreeing with you :) just adding more details.

2020-11-06T17:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324759218600071175

@CalgaryPatty Yes, that a table where they do that. That's that a pile of unreadable ballots that were rejected by the machine. How else would they know the ballots were unreadable?
They cancel the unreadable ballots, replace them with readable ones. It's essentially just counting.

2020-11-06T17:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324758801459765249

@CalgaryPatty You don't. But that's not the claim being made. The claim is that she's just filling in ballots. ,

---

2020-11-06T16:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324757931896659970

A video of poll workers doing their job has been misinterpreted as evidence of election fraud. It's just the normal two-person practice of transposing (copying) a ballot that the machine can't read, onto one that it can. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ7FN4U8AEHSEs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ7FN4U8AEHSEs.jpg

2020-11-06T16:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324756508588961792

@AMPFEST_USA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ7AVnUYAAo912.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ7AVnUYAAo912.jpg

2020-11-06T16:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324752613141745671

@AMPFEST_USA What is the source of this video?

2020-11-06T16:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324750064170852352

@LadyVimana @DanielS50803192 In 2016.

2020-11-06T16:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324749909904429056

@Marklakin6 And not just three. It's 3 ballots out of 800 pieces of mail.

i.e. just a bag of ordinary mail.

2020-11-06T16:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324749619922825216

@DanielS50803192 @LadyVimana That's a Republican hashtag that hasn't been used since 2016, when again they tried to stop the election process when they were ahead.

Bring on a recount, let's get it settled. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ0Yw4VcAENbj4.jpg

---



Photos Videos

AC · Dec 2, 2016
count in Wisconsin. Join our
d!
itioners/
11

e winner is obvious (Dayton)
#Stoptherecount

Stephanie Boyle @snboyle · Mar 15, 2019
Almost 30 years ago when @algore wrote 'The Inconvenient Truth' & ran for president. Ppl like Roger Stone, Matt Schlapp, & Brett Kavanaugh (the Brooks Brothers protest) sought to **#StopTheRecount**. /1
1 1

Show this thread

Madison Gesiotto @madisongesiotto · Nov 27, 2016
There are many amazing causes that volunteers could be recruited for to help Americans actually in need. **#StopTheRecount** @DrJillStein
5 21

CommDigiNews @CommDigiNews · Nov 27, 2016
Jill Stein & Hillary Clinton's demagoguery: Fomenting divisiveness in America po.st/KkIt0X #45 **#StopTheRecount** #TrumpWon

CindyA @Harmony_Nation · Dec 2, 2016
Michigan AG Files in Supreme Court to Stop Jill Stein **#StopTheRecount** ppdnews.us/jxSvYTp
F'em! twitter.com/MarkDice/statu...

This Tweet is unavailable.
1

Barbara Peppin @bpeppin1108 · Dec 4, 2016
@Real_Hard_Facts **#stoptherecount** and lets get on with #maga #TrumpPence16 #americafirst

> **RealHardFacts** @Real_Hard_Facts · Dec 4, 2016
> URGENT: Democrats latest attempts to obstruct Trump agenda.Retweet if you support #Trump,want to #maga ow.ly/F1lB306NNpP #americafirst

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJ0Yw4VcAENbj4.jpg
2020-11-06T16:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324747595697152000
@AdmiralQuality Heck, just read the actual headline.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJy38BUcAIUQGU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJy38BUcAIUQGU.jpg
2020-11-06T16:16:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324747418697494528
@AdmiralQuality No, it's VERY OBVIOUSLY NOT.

Read it.

2020-11-06T16:15:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324747252091420672
@hadrianwill @DTruvet Bush was reelected in 2004 riding upon 9/11. The public (on balance) supported him and the wars because of 9/11.
Trump has blatantly exploited and corrupted the office of the Presidency for his own personal gain.
People see this. Enough people.

2020-11-06T16:09:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324745817547104257
@theladiescallme Sure, there's a lot we can do: address and explain. And if ten million people think a claim is true, then it IS worth addressing, even if it's ridiculous. The Arizona AG investigated Sharpiegate even though it was silly because his office got hundreds of complaints.

2020-11-06T15:49:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324740666837012485
@hadrianwill @DTruvet People think Trump is bad for the country. Way more than though Bush was.

2020-11-06T15:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324740262736785408

@theladiescallme Wrong. Millions of people believe them, and that's hurting both them individually and hurting the country. We should be doing all we can to dispel misinformation.

2020-11-06T15:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324739837635653635

@AdmiralQuality Read what you just linked. It's not what you think.

2020-11-06T15:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324739719268241410

It's extra misleading that the Twitter preview says "including absentee ballots" but the article itself is headlined "including 3 absentee ballots" - presumably they fixed it, but the misinformation lives on in the cached headline and URL.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJrwHiUYAEHn9m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJrhZsU8AMbQ21.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJrwHiUYAEHn9m.jpg
2020-11-06T15:42:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324738895632756740
An example of a misleading headline. A bad mail worker steals mail, because he can't be bothered to deliver it. He gets caught. The mail was normal, 800 pieces and had three absentee ballots in it, as you'd expect.

This is not evidence of voting fraud. It's a shitty mailman.
https://twitter.com/AdmiralQuality/status/1324734936595767296

2020-11-06T15:29:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324735736227131392
@Heyzeus8x Because context.

2020-11-06T15:27:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324735137540534272
RT @LadyVimana: @MickWest http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJlrU2U0AAK3ZR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmJlrU2U0AAK3ZR.jpg
2020-11-06T15:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324734121747247106
@DavidMu86437761 It was far better than expected. Could have been better, but they greatly

feared losing the Senate. I'd say the result is great for them, based on expectations.

2020-11-06T15:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324733783724027904

Georgia and Arizona are Republican states. Their state Senates and Houses are Republican led. Their Attorneys General are Republicans.

There's no Democrat voter fraud that's going undetected there.

Many people just don't think Trump is good for America, so they voted.

https://twitter.com/NBCNews/status/1324731006050598912

2020-11-06T15:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324732162080350211

If there was a vast conspiracy to rig the vote, then why didn't they get rid of Mitch McConnell and Lindsey Graham? Why did they have to spend all that money, and then leave them in place?

Republicans had a GREAT election. Trump was the only loser.

2020-11-06T15:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324730056854269952

@Steelma07962322 @diarrhangus I said "In this context it's hard not to see it as WP. White Power." Even if he's, as you suggest, just "trolling", he still knows what it means. He's flashing a sign (used by the Christchurch shooter) that he knows is interpreted as White Power.

Maybe as a joke, but still...

2020-11-06T12:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324690452382019584

@vssharma556 @michelle_berth @Hitchslap_101 @nicolaswhiteley @heysharpshooter @MaajidNawaz That just means you voted in person. That tracker is for mail-in ballots.

https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-06T07:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324617278025916416

@SGMTweetings I know, I linked the ADL article that explains that. It also explains how it was adopted as a trolling tactic, and then evolved into (sometimes) a genuine expression of white supremacy. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmH77bRVMAArShi.jpg

---

In the case of the “okay” gesture, the hoax was so successful the symbol became a popular trolling tactic on the part of right-leaning individuals, who would often post photos to social media of themselves posing while making the “okay” gesture.

Ironically, some white supremacists themselves soon also participated in such trolling tactics, lending an actual credence to those who labeled the trolling gesture as racist in nature. By 2019, at least some white supremacists seem to have abandoned the ironic or satiric intent behind the original trolling campaign and used the symbol as a sincere expression of white supremacy, such as when Australian white supremacist Brenton Tarrant flashed the symbol during a March 2019 courtroom appearance soon after his arrest for allegedly murdering 50 people in a shooting spree at mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmH77bRVMAArShi.jpg
2020-11-06T06:29:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324599894519132161
@Steelma07962322 Kind of like trolling for a reaction with a Nazi salute? Just kidding around, right?

2020-11-06T06:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324599338278940672
@samhell Quite possible they were "just joking", but just joking with White Power isn't really the same as just joking by flipping the bird.

2020-11-06T06:15:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324596221005066242
I'm not normally that political, tweeting about chemtrails, 9/11, Flat Earth, UFOs, and helping rabbit-holed friends. But the times required some more.

I only lost 0.2% of my followers, which I find encouraging.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmHpK1CVgAIRFI0.jpg

NOV 2020 SUMMARY

Tweets
132

Tweet impressions
161K

New followers
-17

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmHpK1CVgAIRFI0.jpg
2020-11-06T05:52:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324590471591591944
@hatboxghost2 @Lucy_Daisyk9 Hardly a conspiracy, it's just some guy, flashing the WP sign. Twice.

I'm not saying it's representative of all the protestors. But it's troubling. Not what we want in our country.

2020-11-06T05:37:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324586674257494017
ABC News tonight in Arizona. Trump supporters in the background give thumbs up and "okay" hand gesture. In this context it's hard not to see it as WP. White Power.
https://www.adl.org/education/references/hate-symbols/okay-hand-gesture
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324586054708391937/pu/img/z7o1izxDs-sG8V_O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324586054708391937/pu/img/z7o1izxDs-sG8V_O.jpg
2020-11-06T05:21:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324582585771552768
@DanielS50803192 @CNN I donâ□□t watch CNN.

2020-11-06T04:14:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324565735369109504
@MikeFaganTaiwan The question is simply what the count will be after the recount.

2020-11-06T02:38:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324541575695757312
@stang289 @MrAndyNgo Sure, itâ□□s his thing.

2020-11-06T02:36:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324541084777684992
@bstragnell Works for me!

2020-11-06T02:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324540930989305857
@Scribe1969 See how it works?

2020-11-06T02:04:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324533077603840000
Biden is just 2,497 votes behind Trump in Georgia. About 0.05%, and closing.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGvYHPU0AEvFxE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGvYHPU0AEvFxE.jpg

2020-11-06T01:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324531940347633664

@star_bonez @murnut Do you think Mark Brnovich is part of this conspiracy?

2020-11-06T01:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324531784080519169

@star_bonez @murnut So what, they just never bother to investigate?

Prosecutions for voter fraud are in the handfuls, in all states. Why is that?

2020-11-06T01:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324530606944870400

Attorney General of Arizona, Mark Brnovich, a Republican, officially debunks "Sharpiegate".

Sad that he had to waste time on it. But great that he swiftly made a significant step in quickly putting the harmful rumor to rest.

https://twitter.com/GeneralBrnovich/status/1324526305677750272

2020-11-06T01:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324529383357341696

People avoid mentioning Russian interference because the Russians did a good job of encouraging mockery of it after Mueller didn't find a strong link to Trump.

Don't be afraid of mockery. The Russians are taking active measures, influencing the election

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian_interference_in_the_2020_United_States_election

---

2020-11-06T01:40:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324527060002299904
@DanielS50803192 Oh, I've no doubt there's Russian interference in this election. They like to stir things up, make America look divided and weak. Active measures. They are canny.

People should not be afraid to point this out.

2020-11-06T01:38:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324526499932762113
@EttaRufus What difference did that make? It was called by Fox News after all the polls we closed. Now it's just a count.

2020-11-06T01:37:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324526218029363200
Since 2010 there have been 22 voting fraud cases prosecuted in Arizona. Mostly people voting in more than on state. There were ZERO prosecutions in Arizona in 2018.

There were 30+ in Texas in 2018, but that's a red state this time, so no problem.

https://apnews.com/article/fbb0dbc402fc40dfa56fdb7764028bbc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGpR-ZVcAEZme6.jpg

Arizona AG gets $530,000 to investigate election fraud

"They said that they want to show that the system is not plagued by fraud," Hobbs said. "So I'm taking that at face value."

Since 2010, the attorney general's office has successfully prosecuted 22 voting fraud cases, most of them people who voted in more than one state. The office received a handful of specific allegations of potential fraud from the 2018 election, but no charges have been filed, Anderson said.

Texas has an election fraud unit in the attorney general's office that prosecuted nearly three dozen people in 2018 over alleged election fraud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGpR-ZVcAEZme6.jpg
2020-11-06T01:30:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324524458221072384
In 2019 Republican Arizona spent $530,000 on investigating election fraud. Even the Republican AG admitted there was no evidence, they were just doing it to ease people's minds because of Trump's rhetoric.

https://apnews.com/article/fbb0dbc402fc40dfa56fdb7764028bbc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGnt1DVoAAdojO.jpg

Arizona AG gets $530,000 to investigate election fraud

PHOENIX (AP) — Arizona's attorney general is hiring four people dedicated to investigating alleged voter fraud amid accusations of widespread malfeasance in elections leveled without evidence by President Donald Trump and other Republicans.

Republican Attorney General Mark Brnovich isn't setting out to find support for those claims, his spokesman said, but rather to give people confidence in the outcome of elections — even if that means his new investigators find little or no wrongdoing. The budget signed this week by Republican Gov. Doug Ducey gives Brnovich $530,000 for the project.

"Consider us the 'MythBusters' of election fraud claims and rumors," said Ryan Anderson, a spokesman for Brnovich.

"If there is fraud, let's investigate it, let's prosecute it and work to eradicate it," Anderson said. "If there's not fraud, then let's give the public the confidence they deserve in their elections."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGnt1DVoAAdojO.jpg
2020-11-06T01:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324522130738827264
@star_bonez @murnut Sure, and that's why the election process is so rigorous, with so many checks. It's a lot of work, not without issues, but generally agreed to be safe and reliable. Several different agencies work on it. Election fraud is very serious. Law enforcement investigates.

2020-11-06T01:14:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324520493513256960
@stang289 @MrAndyNgo I think you are confusing me with someone else.

But also maybe conflating Black Bloc with Antifa?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VPpXhfBsx6s

2020-11-06T01:12:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324520041908375552
@Mattyboy_5280 Thanks! I plan on getting back to it when things settle down.

2020-11-06T00:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324514572456259586
@hatboxghost2 Where is this question? I think you are thinking of a different question.

2020-11-06T00:47:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324513761714085888
@murnut UFOs are a conspiracy theory.

Doesn't mean there are no alien visitors, but generally, believers in the theory think there's a

vast decades-long multi-government conspiracy to hide them.

Absent any evidence, this is a conspiracy theory.

2020-11-06T00:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324513138650148867

This seems to be a general point of confusion.

https://twitter.com/Olivianuzzi/status/1324505213349335044

2020-11-06T00:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324512190485856259

Conspiracy theorists confused about how someone could vote for Army Veteran John James (R) but not for Trump.

Which is part of the problem. Not understanding stuff is how people end up being conspiracy theorists. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGbxZFVkAALXyQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmGbxZFVkAALXyQ.jpg

2020-11-05T23:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324495690815348736

@BlobUK_11 @jonfavs You know we've been in power before. It was fine.

2020-11-05T23:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324489688229400578

@Heyzeus8x There is a very well referenced article here:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Veritas

You don't need to trust Wikipedia. But it's a useful way of organizing the references.

2020-11-05T23:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324487917390032896

RT @jonfavs: Iâ□□m not going to share the video, but Steve Bannon called for the beheading of Dr. Fauci and FBI Director Chris Wray.

Politiâ□¦

2020-11-05T23:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324487592000172032

@Heyzeus8x He was ALREADY cast as not being credible, based on examples from the past.

This video is about something. Why not release the full thing if they actually discuss ballots?

2020-11-05T22:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324484741702193152

@Heyzeus8x Sounds like someone asking a postal worker for something unspecified.

Postal workers generally don't give you handfuls of ballots, but they DO give you shipping supplies, priority mail envelopes etc. So why think it's the former, given Vertias' disinfo record?

2020-11-05T20:41:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324451751265591296

RT @BTRadford: Trump declared himself the winner while votes are being counted, and then tweeted "STOP THE COUNT!" How can anyone defend soâ□¦

2020-11-05T20:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324450464415375361

MSNBC reporter asks some quite reasonable questions of former acting DNI Richard Grenell about his claims of voter fraud.

Grenell just ignored him.

Does't he have the specific evidence he is claiming?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IfW8rGfmPLY

2020-11-05T20:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324449912633745429

@johnpeart1 You are going to have to be a bit more specific. Claims without evidence don't last long. Like Richard Grenell, asked here to present evidence.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IfW8rGfmPLY

2020-11-05T20:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324447583536783362

@pantheonastro @PostDisclosure I'll wait for that then.

2020-11-05T20:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324447298521161729

@johnpeart1 Surely reasons were given when these things were done? What were those reasons?

I can imagine, but why are you asking me?

2020-11-05T20:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324443773992984583

@AnonHeel Yes, based on the same misundersanding. Check out the books on clouds.

2020-11-05T20:08:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324443376112971776

@johnpeart1 Local politicians on both sides know that the Wisconsin voting process is very robust. It has to be, as the races are so tight. The Wisconsin result is real.

Fact-check the fraud claims around Wisconsin. They don't hold up.

https://thefulcrum.us/wisconsin-voter-fraud http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFeNnRUYAAUy7l.jpg

---

Tossup Wisconsin won't be tipped by cheaters: Just 19 suspects in two years

By David Hawkings Sept. 17

Fresh numbers about voting fraud in Wisconsin, one of the essential tossup states in the presidential race, offer the latest evidence underscoring how wrong President Trump is about widespread cheating delegitimizing the election.

Nineteen. That's the grand total of instances of suspected fraud statewide during the past three elections: the 2018 midterm, the presidential primary in April and the regular primary last month. That's out of more than 5.2 million ballots cast in those elections.

And just three of the cases involved misuse of mailed ballots, the report Wednesday from the state Elections Commission said, even though voters have smashed previous records for Wisconsin's no-excuse absentee voting system amid the coronavirus pandemic.

Trump has asserted dozens of times that such a rapid expansion of mail voting will assure "massive" fraud this fall, and recently he's been arguing that's the only reason he could lose. Polling suggests otherwise — including a series of recent surveys showing former Vice President Joe Biden narrowly but consistently ahead in the race for Wisconsin's 10 electoral votes. Trump carried them by a sliver of 1 percentage point last time, breaking a seven-election winning streak by Democratic nominees.

The Elections Commission report detailed these cases of fraud, irregularities or violations (in Milwaukee and just eight of the state's other 71 counties) that municipal clerks in charge of election administration have sent to district attorneys in the past two years:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFeNnRUYAAUy7l.jpg

2020-11-05T20:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324442363708665856

@AnonHeel It actually started around 1997. It's all just misidentification of contrails, which have been not dissipating (or dissipating, it varies with weather) since the 1920s.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFdSqNVkAA20vA.png

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFdCdlU8AEnVg-.jpg

Cloud Formation by Supercharged Plane

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field, recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a LePere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26–27,000 feet at 11.50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently ten to fifteen times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps two miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after two or three miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been three miles long. After twenty minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

The observer reported as having observed nothing unusual; occasionally the motor would give a burst of black exhaust gas. The pilot thought he remembered having passed through a stratum that felt damp. Clouds at this altitude are necessarily ice crystals, or needles, and it is difficult to determine the cause of the cloud formation under consideration. Possible contributing causes are: Water in exhaust; dust and carbon particles in exhaust serving as cloud nuclei; convective currents due to disturbance caused by passage of plane. Conditions of humidity were undoubtedly ideal for the formation of cloud at that altitude.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFdSqNVkAA20vA.png

32 Spokane Daily Chronicle, Thursday, July 7, 1955.

Vapor Trails, Scenic From Ground, Pos



Vapor trails often take picturesque forms.

Condensation trails made by high-flying planes over the Inland Empire have professional significance to pilots who fly jet fighters and bombers.

Bomber pilots consider the "con trail" a disadvantage in that it would help people on the ground detect their presence overhead.

B-47 all-jet bombers can make practice runs over the area at extreme high altitude without detection by sound or sight when vapor trails are not forming, the air force pointed out.

The engines of the heavy B-36s can be heard more readily. The B-36s leave a number of con trails that merge into one long string-like cloud. Sometimes they make eight or so trails, one for each of six propeller-driven engines and one for each jet pod, Maj. Lawrence W. Ertner, Fairchild weather detachment commander, pointed out.

To a fighter pilot, the trails provide a means of locating "hostile" fighters or bombers.

In either case, it's a sure bet that the plane making the "con trails" or ice clouds is too high to hit with a rock. Air force weathermen say the trails seldom occur below 20,000 feet and normally are much higher than that.

In a 20-hour flight at high altitude Fairchild B-36 crews normally see trails some time during the flight.

Con trails seen lately over the Inland Empire have been classed as "moderately persistent." Persistent con trails are those that stay a while. An extremely persistent con trail might stay in the sky all day.

Weather briefings for fliers usually include the altitudes at which the weatherman thinks con trails will occur.

Air force weathermen at Geiger and Fairchild said there are two general theories as to why they form. One is that any moving object at a high altitude creates trails when weather conditions are right.

The second theory is that trails are caused by temperature changes of exhaust gas. Indications are that both are true under varying circumstances.

A cirrus cloud layer or overcast that marked Inland Empire

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFdGGXVkAE-hft.jpg

2020-11-05T20:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324441483726872576

@RayJack62496046 Opinions on that matter vary based on the pet beliefs of the individual.

2020-11-05T19:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324441293263499264

@johnpeart1 and what are you basing this on?

2020-11-05T19:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324439972628213763

@johnpeart1 I care to look. I've been looking. I see a load of misinformation.

Voter fraud happens, but it's small. There are lots of measures in place to prevent it, as well as various agencies with eyes on.

2020-11-05T19:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324439029543137280

@johnpeart1 The video clip. He's talking about a voter fraud PREVENTION program, for like half an hour, and they take that one clip.

2020-11-05T19:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324438744498282496

@AnonHeel https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-11-05T19:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324438545658908672

@johnpeart1 You understand that the words that come out of your mouth are not always literally what you mean, and sometimes even the opposite.

It's like saying Neil DeGrasse Tyson has admitted the world is flat, because he once pointed at a globe and said "That stuff is flat!".

2020-11-05T19:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324437399112306688

Trump is attempting to stop the count where he is ahead, and continue the count where he is behind.

Count every vote. That's how democracy works.

2020-11-05T19:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324436849633292289

@pantheonastro @PostDisclosure That's what people say when they don't want to debate.

You claim enough vote fraud has been FILMED to swing the election? Seems like they won't have a problem in court then?

2020-11-05T19:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324435768723431424

@pantheonastro @PostDisclosure 4 million voter frauds?

2020-11-05T19:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324435554264518656
@DanielS50803192 Sure it does. Why don't you try threatening someone with violence, and see what happens?

2020-11-05T19:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324435292175040513
@BrowneBJ Go stopping poll-workers getting shot.

Let's count every vote.

2020-11-05T19:34:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324434975194689536
@cabumblebee @GarysheffieldJr Did that ever show a "Ballot Returned Date?" Looks like they did not get it.

2020-11-05T19:33:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324434634080284672
@DanielS50803192 Things that are verifiably false. Like "Sharpiegate"

I notice you don't ask me to define "threats of violence" - which is a more significant issue than disinfo, but also driven by it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFWJcJUYAA2Qi1.jpg

---

> "Grab your guns, the second amendment is not about hunting, and how many bullets you need it about taking down a tyrannical government," one user wrote, adding, "I will fight to the death for every American."
>
> Another said: "My guns are ready, all 15 assault rifles I own that I won't be giving up."
>
> In response to a post about the debunked SharpieGate conspiracy, one user said: "Guns out."
>
> Another member said: "Believe it or not I know many who stocked up on guns ammo myself included I don't know what the future holds we can just pray to God that Trump will prevail in the end."
>
> Dozens of members advocated for "civil war" to resolve the situation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFWJcJUYAA2Qi1.jpg
2020-11-05T19:31:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324434206789758976
Facebook closes down the "Stop the Steal" group for rampant disinformation and threats of violence.

https://www.vice.com/en/article/xgzx8q/a-gop-linked-stop-the-count-facebook-group-is-gaining-thousands-of-members-a-minute http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFVyEuU8AALx1D.jpg

---

UPDATE 5/11 2 p.m. ET: *Facebook finally took action against the "Stop the Steal" Facebook group on Thursday afternoon, a day after it was launched, and only after it had amassed over 360,000 followers.*

"In line with the exceptional measures that we are taking during this period of heightened tension, we have removed the Group 'Stop the Steal,' which was creating real-world events," Facebook told VICE News. "The group was organized around the delegitimization of the election process, and we saw worrying calls for violence from some members of the group."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFVyEuU8AALx1D.jpg
2020-11-05T19:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324433579607166976
@DanielS50803192 It was spreading disinformation and inciting violence.
https://www.vice.com/en/article/xgzx8q/a-gop-linked-stop-the-count-facebook-group-is-gaining-thousands-of-members-a-minute

---

2020-11-05T19:26:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324432854567145473
@paulreilly 68K heh, this is my bookshelf, right next to me.

My DM's are open. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFUcn3U4AAoEMs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFUcn3U4AAoEMs.jpg

2020-11-05T19:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324431599518187520

Some people were so flim-flammed by all the talk of a "red wave" that they think there should be a recount in California. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFTgcuVcAEGcRF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFTVS-U4AAr_gx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFTgcuVcAEGcRF.jpg

2020-11-05T19:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324430486874193920

@CalgaryPatty Watch his entire video, he explains the process.

2020-11-05T19:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324430325049487360

@CalgaryPatty I'm fact-checking. You too can check the facts. Don't trust me. Verify.

2020-11-05T19:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324429699125112832

@DanielS50803192 Of what? There's a video in my Tweet of the guy saying they offered him money. 35 seconds in. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFRsJgVcAA6YHl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFRsJgVcAA6YHl.jpg
2020-11-05T19:08:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324428479903887360
@JasonBermas By "true ritual," you mean actual magic? What's the difference between a "pseudo-pagan spooky ritual" and true one, and how could you tell?

2020-11-05T19:06:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324427784274391040
Project Veritas is a disinformation organization that uses selective editing and has allegedly paid people to pretend to do the things they are "uncovering."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Veritas

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WzFGVEEB0Yw

2020-11-05T18:59:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324426220017049600
@paulreilly Have you listened to Biden? That's exactly the type of verbal hiccup he makes all the time. He literally has a stutter, so he often rewords sentences mid-flow to get them out.
https://www.stutteringhelp.org/content/joe-biden
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFOn6HU8AAqkSe.jpg



During a CNN town hall, former Vice President Joe Biden discussed stuttering. Here are some of his remarks from the event:



"It has nothing to do with your intelligence quotient. It has nothing to do with your intellectual makeup," Biden said. "You know, stuttering, when you think about it, is the only handicap that people still laugh about. That still humiliate people about. And they don't even mean to."

The former vice president credited his mother when it came to not letting his stutter define him. He said his mother would tell him, "Joey, don't let this define you. Joey, remember who you are. Joey, you can do it. So every time I would walk out, she would reinforce me. I know that sounds silly, but it really matters."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFOn6HU8AAqkSe.jpg

2020-11-05T18:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324425412122824705

@JasonBermas What the heck did you think the Cremation of Care was, if not a ritual?

2020-11-05T18:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324425229821599744

@SciMom6 @hoaxeye Oh, please do listen to it. It's important to know what disinformation is out there, so you can effectively counter it and help people who have been suckered in by it.

2020-11-05T18:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324424914779004928

@mikehenry Some don't. Some do. Some take a really long time.

2020-11-05T18:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324422756880932864

@mikehenry Still bizarre. I think it's worth pointing out how ridiculously transparently false some claims are, as it might give someone a flash of realization. Every little helps.

2020-11-05T18:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324422428794089473

@JamieKorsch Then every single vote in Maricopa would have been rejected. They were not.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFLJXWVcAAoP_l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmFLJXWVcAAoP_l.jpg

2020-11-05T18:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324420692553560064

@JamieKorsch Everyone in Maricopa was given Sharpies. They picked them as the best pens because they dry quick. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S46GOkCbx_0

2020-11-05T18:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324420072899661827

@CalgaryPatty Can you be more specific?

Have you fact-checked the videos you have been shown?

2020-11-05T18:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324419307187527680

Biden's slip of the tongue when discussing his voter fraud prevention program has bizarrely been taken out of context as him "admitting" voter fraud.

Even more bizarre, some people believe it.

https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-fact-check-biden-voter-protection-not/fact-check-clip-of-biden-taken-out-of-context-to-portray-him-as-plotting-a-voter-fraud-scheme-idUSKBN27E2VH

2020-11-05T17:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324409968045379586

@reeveerx I think you've been misled.

2020-11-05T17:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324405800832430081

Resources and Tips for Fact-Checking election disinformation.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/election-fact-checking-resources-and-tips.11442/

2020-11-05T17:25:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324402394864607236

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x See, wider spread, so you can call one race earlier than the other, even with the same number (88%) of ballots cast.

Minor difference in % reporting probably due to AP focussing on update President race.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmE4i6_VcAYLb9Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmE4i6_VcAYLb9Q.jpg

2020-11-05T17:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324400575702732801

RT @LtTimMcMillan: Fascinating thread!

2020-11-05T17:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324399573054365696

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x Kelly got more votes than Trump, so they can call it. Same number of ballots cast.

2020-11-05T17:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324399120493154307

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x It depends on how many votes they get.

2020-11-05T17:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324398917098729472

@KatBP5 @FlyTrappin @conservatyler Because it varies by county.

2020-11-05T17:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324396953979953152

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x I'm still not sure what the problem is here. The AP has not called the NC senate race. Also, some people vote different for Senate and President.

2020-11-05T16:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324389100489592832

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x What exactly? Be specific.

2020-11-05T16:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324388141982052352
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x Link?

2020-11-05T14:29:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324358246459600899
RT @yasmind: Conspiracy theorists are the last true believers in an orderly universe â but what can we do to stop some from becoming dangerâ¦

2020-11-05T14:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324350953105944577
@JB_USA2 @GarysheffieldJr The "canceled" status very specifically indicates that there was NO double voting in that case. It means the ballot that was sent to you previously won't be counted.

2020-11-05T13:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324349995705749506
RT @JoeUscinski: Voter fraud is exceedingly rare, but beliefs in it are not.
https://www.newsweek.com/whether-biden-trump-wins-many-americans-will-call-election-rigged-opinion-1543631

2020-11-05T13:56:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324349907755413504
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x As someone else noted "[O'Keefe is] a Republican operative that selectively edits videos of things that will âhelpâ Republicans. Heâs constantly proven to be a fraud. He doesnât seek the truth, he tries to wallpaper over the truth."

2020-11-05T06:15:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324233797726998528
@russian71805090 Looks like some slowly updated web page of results that's lagging behind the actual results for some reason.

2020-11-05T05:53:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324228413020794881
@usernameguy96 @linshartsell @GarysheffieldJr Ask them.

2020-11-05T01:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324167247573647360
@stang289 Well, I wouldn't say that. But he's certainly not any more impaired than Trump.

2020-11-05T01:48:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324166652745805825
How could Trump only get 18% of the vote in the City of Milwaukee? Is that evidence of fraud?

No. It's the same percentage as he got in 2016. City folk in Wisconsin tend to not like Republicans. That's all.

https://city.milwaukee.gov/ElectionResults1717/2016/November-8.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBiJGBVkAEOYHA.jpg

SUMMARY REPORT City of Milwaukee Official Results
Run Date:11/17/16 General Election
RUN TIME:03:14 PM November 8, 2016

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 327)	327	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	328,294	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	247,836	
BALLOTS CAST - BLANK	38	.02
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		75.49
VOTER TURNOUT - BLANK		.01
President / Vice President		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
Donald J. Trump / (REP)	45,411	18.43
Hillary Clinton / (DEM)	188,657	76.55
Darrell L. Castle / (CON)	619	.25
Gary Johnson / (LIB)	6,254	2.54
Jill Stein / (WIG)	2,689	1.09
Monica Moorehead / (WWP)	193	.08
Rocky Roque De La Fuente / (ADP)	120	.05
WRITE-IN	2,502	1.02
Over Votes	292	
Under Votes	1,099	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBiJGBVkAEOYHA.jpg
2020-11-05T01:44:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324165743005462528
@stang289 Well, if you just watch his blooper reel, then yeah, I could see why you'd get that idea.

2020-11-05T01:43:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324165493314347009
@CalgaryPatty @hatboxghost2 It's the city mail-in votes. Essentially the same split (77-18) as with Clinton in 2016. Just less 3rd party.
https://city.milwaukee.gov/ElectionResults1717/2016/November-8.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBhdhDUYAAzzMF.jpg



SUMMARY REPORT City of Milwaukee Official Results
Run Date:11/17/16 General Election
RUN TIME:03:14 PM November 8, 2016

	VOTES	PERCENT
PRECINCTS COUNTED (OF 327)	327	100.00
REGISTERED VOTERS - TOTAL	328,294	
BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL	247,836	
BALLOTS CAST - BLANK	38	.02
VOTER TURNOUT - TOTAL		75.49
VOTER TURNOUT - BLANK		.01
President / Vice President		
(VOTE FOR) 1		
Donald J. Trump / (REP)	45,411	18.43
Hillary Clinton / (DEM)	188,657	76.55
Darrell L. Castle / (CON)	619	.25
Gary Johnson / (LIB)	6,254	2.54
Jill Stein / (WIG)	2,689	1.09
Monica Moorehead / (WWP)	193	.08
Rocky Roque De La Fuente / (ADP)	120	.05
WRITE-IN	2,502	1.02
Over Votes	292	
Under Votes	1,099	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBhdhDUYAAzzMF.jpg
2020-11-05T01:36:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324163693475627008
@stang289 Chuckle chuckle.

Yes, Biden misspeaks sometimes. More than other do really, as he's got a stammer and often has to reword things mid-sentence.

I'm sure you looked up the context to see what he meant. What was it?

2020-11-05T01:33:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324162878102937602
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX Trump is President, and his tax returns are a matter of great public interest. Something all other modern presidents, and Biden, had released with no problem. They were also verified as genuine.

Hunter Biden was not even running for president. His sex tape, if real, is private.

2020-11-05T01:27:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324161400294371328
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX You mean this?
https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-54568785

2020-11-05T01:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324161031891951617

@CalgaryPatty @hatboxghost2 Trump went up too.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBdaLKVoAA51kW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBdaLKVoAA51kW.jpg

2020-11-05T01:25:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324160803604365313

@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX Yes, they have been doing it for 10 years.

https://www.wired.com/2010/11/facebook-link-blocking/

2020-11-05T01:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324160099737243650

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX The hard drive does, the contents do not.

2020-11-05T01:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324157432965181440

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX Well, why didn't you just start with that information?

They blocked a private video obtained by illicit means. Seems within their terms of service.

2020-11-05T01:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324156582515466241
@stang289 Impossible? Why is that impossible?

What page? URL?

2020-11-05T01:02:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324155003435511808
@stang289 Probably a bit less, as there's a chunk of election-day voter registrations. But yes, historic turnout. Great, eh?

2020-11-05T00:59:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324154397933199360
@LivNow @TheNatPulse @DineshDSouza Buring of fake ballots someone printed on regular printer paper.

2020-11-05T00:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324154080432775169
@madismommy06 @Cyberpunk_Zelda @cel1999 @TeamTrump I don't think it's an actual lawsuit, just the AG asking some questions. The answers to some of which are given by Phil the Ballot.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aNhCcimt6No

2020-11-05T00:54:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324153113637351424
@stang289 Did they get something wrong? Did you look at it?

2020-11-05T00:54:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324152979121795077
@gibarian_music @stang289 That wasn't the "ALL" I was referring to. I mean it literally, but ironically, as they obviously would prefer to just count votes for their guy.

Perhaps I should have said "EVERY vote".

2020-11-05T00:45:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324150742727340034
@CalgaryPatty @hatboxghost2 That's not what happened. Biden just got more than Trump, so they ended up at about the same level.

2020-11-05T00:40:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324149586617749505
RT @Green_Footballs: If you had told me 20 years ago that one day Iâ□□d see a US president suing states to stop them from counting legitimateâ□¦

2020-11-05T00:38:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324149120802586624
@stang289 Good to know. No blocking streets, I hope!

2020-11-05T00:31:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324147232124203008

@stang289 So violence will be acceptable again? Assuming it's "patriots" being violent?

2020-11-05T00:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324146808176615424

@_californio Unlikely. Most people don't want one.

2020-11-05T00:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324146632271695872

@SSense91 Pretty likely, I think. Here's an overview of the state-by-state regulations and laws.
https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/elections/2020/11/04/how-recount-wisconsin-arizona-michigan-could-help-trump-win/6163544002/

2020-11-05T00:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324145615924723716

@SchuylerMcHenry It's not an argument. You'd be laughed out of court.

2020-11-05T00:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324145339792719872

Conservative activists plot a "Protect the vote" rally for the day after all the votes that matter will be counted.

Hopefully they are just there to ensure that ALL votes get counted!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBO7P8U4AE1mWl.jpg



Chris Buskirk
@thechrisbuskirk

Protect the Vote Rally happening in Phoenix tomorrow.

RT this



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBO7P8U4AE1mWl.jpg
2020-11-05T00:21:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324144807904645122
@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX Can you just video your screen while you send it to someone that you know?

2020-11-05T00:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324142766008410113
@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX Because you made a claim. I'm a big fan of evidence.

2020-11-05T00:12:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324142631807377408
@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX With that error? Can you demonstrate it? Sent it to me @mickwest on Facebook.

2020-11-05T00:11:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324142327246458880
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX So demonstrate and document it.

2020-11-05T00:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324142149634465792
Here's how absentee ballots are being counted in Detroit, Michigan.

There are observers. They don't need people harassing them from the window.

https://www.bridgedetroit.com/heres-how-your-detroit-absentee-voter-ballot-will-be-counted-today/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBMIEJVkAEqlFV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBMIEJVkAEqlFV.jpg
2020-11-05T00:05:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324140639592669184
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x @YourTruthRX That's an error message on Facebook that happens with really popular posts, and (I think, based on a brief google) bad Facebook API usage. i.e. the fault of Fox's programmers.

https://help.smarterqueue.com/article/219-facebook-error-please-reduce-the-amount-of-data-you-re-asking-for-then-retry-your-request

---

2020-11-04T23:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324139235423604736
People trying to make a big deal over some windows being covered at a Detroit absentee ballot counting center neglect to mention it's a tiny corner of a huge hall half-filled with press and observers. https://twitter.com/MattFinnFNC/status/1324084637010976769
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBJlXiU0AI1_Ak.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBJlXiU0AI1_Ak.jpg

2020-11-04T23:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324133412173574145

@SchuylerMcHenry Are you really going to argue that a sharpie is not a pen and hence there's something suspicious? Maricopa has been VERY CLEAR about the fact you can use sharpies. It's new though - so maybe older ballots had old instructions.

2020-11-04T23:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324131463885811713

@SchuylerMcHenry As I explained earlier, it varies by county in AZ. Pima and Maricopa are next to each other, but with different systems. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBCiw5U8AAOhrZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmBCiw5U8AAOhrZ.jpg

2020-11-04T23:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324128073067896832

@SYSTEMNINESIX @DavidMu86437761 Six million absentee ballots requested in Florida. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA_ZYzVgAEjlnC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA_ZYzVgAEjlnC.jpg
2020-11-04T23:14:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324127921254981632
@SchuylerMcHenry Can you show me these ballots, the instructions, and evidence as to which county they are for?

"Blue or Black Pen" does not mean "not a sharpie". It's just about the ink. Otherwise it would be "Blue or black ballpoint pen".

2020-11-04T23:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324126208989163522
@SYSTEMNINESIX @DavidMu86437761 As of October 22, over three million Florida voters had cast mail-in ballots.

I've not looked into the claims of lost/found ballots.

https://theconversation.com/in-two-political-battlegrounds-thousands-of-mail-in-ballots-are-on-the-verge-of-being-rejected-148616

2020-11-04T23:03:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324125144428670979
@CalgaryPatty @hatboxghost2 Why not? Don't they have cities in Michigan?

2020-11-04T23:02:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324124898516635648
@YourTruthRX @hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x We shall. Although maybe not until tomorrow.

2020-11-04T23:02:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324124767847288836
@SchuylerMcHenry They were given fine point Sharpies. Like it says in the FAQ. Felt tip pens would be fine too. Just blue or black, not red.

I think you are getting lost in semantics here. Look at reality.

https://ktar.com/story/3677599/arizona-elections-officials-explain-why-sharpie-complaints-are-baseless/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA8XGGU0AIz8wQ.jpg

---

PHOENIX – Arizona elections officials say there was nothing nefarious about providing Sharpie markers at polling stations, but the state's attorney general is asking questions about their use.

Maricopa County Recorder Adrian Fontes chuckled at the idea of a "Sharpie-gate" conspiracy to impact the election, because the permanent markers are ideal for the job.

"Sharpies are by design part of the system that we brought into being" because of how well tabulation machines read their fast-drying ink, he told *KTAR News 92.3 FM's The Mike Broomhead Show* on Wednesday.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA8XGGU0AIz8wQ.jpg
2020-11-04T22:58:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324123898451951616
@YourTruthRX @hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x He's obviously not going to make up 100k votes from the 527K remaining, as mail-in ballots skew towards Biden.

2020-11-04T22:54:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324122936714784769
@hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX @Heyzeus8x Would that have changed the result?

I think here there was no point because they were unable to process all the mail-in ballots and declare a quick result. So they let people sleep. Partly due to shenanigans.
https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/pennsylvania-finish-counting-absentee-ballots-friday-earliest/story?id=73993649

2020-11-04T22:49:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324121725399805952
@SchuylerMcHenry @cmm14 @JamesOKeefeIII @Im_ur_hklbry @TomiLahren @seanhannity @realDonaldTrump Sharpies are pens. Generally black.

2020-11-04T22:48:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324121456482025474
@hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX @Heyzeus8x What happened in 2016 in PA? Did they count through the night?

2020-11-04T22:47:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324121145839251457
@imdanielaryeh @R3al_Finn @angieksharma9 @thechrisbuskirk @mcm_ct @Sharpie Sorry, I

thought it was clear that "voters at home" could only refer to a mail-in ballot.

That's the FAQ on their web site. Question 12.

https://recorder.maricopa.gov/site/faq.aspx

2020-11-04T22:46:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324120798991323136
@hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX @Heyzeus8x Does that differ from previous elections?

2020-11-04T22:45:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324120572490514434
@imdanielaryeh @R3al_Finn @angieksharma9 @thechrisbuskirk @mcm_ct @Sharpie Either. "Voters at home" and "Vote centers are both mentioned.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324120473215533057/pu/img/Nr3TJREX87mrLCkm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324120473215533057/pu/img/Nr3TJREX87mrLCkm.jpg
2020-11-04T22:44:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324120299961372672
@hatboxghost2 @YourTruthRX @Heyzeus8x Only Fox News called it. Most media outlets waited much longer.

It's solid Biden now thought. 51% to 47.6%

2020-11-04T22:42:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324119881432788992
@JaneLytv It varies by county. Fine to use in Maricopa - which is where people were using them, and getting confused. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA325pU0AEybVJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA325pU0AEybVJ.jpg

2020-11-04T22:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324119374618193921

@imdanielaryeh @R3al_Finn @angieksharma9 @thechrisbuskirk @mcm_ct @Sharpie

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA3jBkVMAAdMGG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA3jBkVMAAdMGG.jpg

2020-11-04T22:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324119286890156032

@SchuylerMcHenry @cmm14 @JamesOKeefeIII @Im_ur_hklbry @TomiLahren @seanhannity @realDonaldTrump If the machine does not take it in person, you can vote again. Just like if you make a mistake filling in.

2020-11-04T22:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324118745724325889

@Anyone_J19 There is. It's behind the blue line. They both tick up at the same time, as all the

votes from the city were reported then. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA2-IUVgAAy8Ly.jpg

> The increase in the chart simply shows when the City of Milwaukee reported its absentee ballot results. We knew well before the election that Democrats were much more likely than Republicans to vote absentee, that it takes longer to count such ballots, and that Milwaukee is a Democratic stronghold.
>
> So, predictably, the mail-in results from that area led to a spike in the number of Democratic votes when the Associated Press added that count -- reported all at once -- to its vote tally about 3:30 a.m.
>
> From 3:26 to 3:44 a.m. in the Associated Press election reporting stream, the vote for former Vice President Joe Biden jumped by 149,520 (9.2% of Biden's total votes) and Trump's vote jumped by 31,803 votes (2% of his total votes). Milwaukee County accounted for most but not all of that jump.
>
> These votes were all reported together because Milwaukee and 38 other communities used a central count location. Other communities counted absentee ballots at the polling places, and reported them along with their in-person vote totals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA2-IUVgAAy8Ly.jpg
2020-11-04T22:36:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324118463363735552
Confusion over differences in voter instructions and pen usage led to the nonsensical "Sharpiegate" theory.

Maricopa has new machines and ballots that actually work BETTER with Sharpies. It's not a conspiracy.

https://www.recorder.pima.gov/VoterFAQ
https://recorder.maricopa.gov/site/faq.aspx
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA2W39VcAAJu6w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA2W39VcAAJu6w.jpg
2020-11-04T22:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324116787651211265
@SchuylerMcHenry @cmm14 @JamesOKeefeIII @Im_ur_hklbry @TomiLahren @seanhannity @realDonaldTrump In Maricopa county they use Sharpies.

https://recorder.maricopa.gov/site/faq.aspx
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA1Mr1U4AAnaXh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA1Mr1U4AAnaXh.jpg
2020-11-04T22:26:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324115731315789824
@EaterCooz @realDonaldTrump So why didn't they revote?

Hundreds of thousands (maybe millions) of people were voting using Sharpies. The ballots are specifically designed so it's not an issue. You can see this. The ovals do not overlap.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA0JL5UYAEz1jq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmA0JL5UYAEz1jq.jpg

2020-11-04T22:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324114233936605185

@imdanielaryeh @R3al_Finn @angieksharma9 @thechrisbuskirk @mcm_ct @Sharpie In Maricopa? The instructions I saw were for Pima county.

2020-11-04T22:18:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324113942763851776

@EaterCooz @realDonaldTrump No. Sharpies are fine. That's an urban legend.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aNhCcimt6No

2020-11-04T22:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324113839525277696

@SchuylerMcHenry @cmm14 @JamesOKeefeIII @Im_ur_hklbry @TomiLahren @seanhannity @realDonaldTrump A sharpie is a black pen.

2020-11-04T22:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324113528576380929

@JohnSmi46515829 Here's the official video on the topic.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aNhCcimt6No

2020-11-04T22:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324113225902825472

@hatboxghost2 @poorkidjoe No, just more to Biden, as it was city votes.

2020-11-04T22:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324113087125811204

@YourTruthRX @hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x He's finding out if the complaints are baseless. If you get hundreds of complaints, then you look into it.

He said "let's get some answers", and I'm sure he will get them. These are simple questions, although the numbers might take a while. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAxt8EVMAEIneM.jpg

---

MARK BRNOVICH
ATTORNEY GENERAL

OFFICE OF THE ARIZONA ATTORNEY GENERAL
APPEALS & CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION DIVISION

MICHAEL S. CATLETT
DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL
DIRECT PHONE NO.: (602) 542-[redacted]
MICHAEL.CATLETT@AZAG.GOV

November 4, 2020

Via Email and Certified Mail

Mr. Scott Jarrett
Director of Elections Day and Emergency Voting
Maricopa County Elections Department
510 S. 3rd Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85003
SJarrett@risc.maricopa.gov

Re: Use of Sharpie Brand Markers at Maricopa County Voting Centers

Dear Mr. Jarrett:

The Attorney General's Office ("the Office") is responsible for enforcing provisions of Title 16 of the Arizona Revised Statutes and the 2019 Elections Procedures Manual ("Manual"). *See* A.R.S. §§ 16-452(C); 16-1021. In connection with that statutory authority, the Office accepts electronic election-related complaints from voters, as well as complaints mailed to the Office. We have received hundreds of voter complaints regarding the use of Sharpie brand markers ("Sharpies") to fill out ballots on Election Day at voting centers in Maricopa County. Voters are concerned that the use of Sharpies may have caused ballots to be rejected, spoiled, or cancelled. To investigate these complaints, the Office requests the following information **in writing** by **noon** on **November 5, 2020. If you are unable to provide specific answers to the below questions by that deadline, we request a narrative explanation of what steps you are taking to obtain answers**:

- In which voting centers were Sharpies provided or made available to voters and where were those voting centers located?
- In each voting center where Sharpies were provided or made available to voters, to what extent were Sharpies used? Were other types of markers or pens provided or used and to what extent?
- How many ballots cast at each voting center were rejected? Of those ballots, how many were rejected because of over-votes? Of those ballots rejected because of over-votes, how many were rejected because ink from a marker bled through to the reverse side of the ballot?
- Were the ballot tabulation machines utilized at voting centers on Election Day programmed to reject over-voted ballots?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAxslJUYAAPysj.jpg

- Of the ballots rejected at voting centers, how many of those ballots were spoiled on site such that the voter could choose to vote a new ballot? In the instances where ballots were spoiled on site, how many voters chose not to cast a ballot rather than voting a new ballot?

- What is the process for cancelling a voter's ballot? If a ballot is reflected as "cancelled" on the Secretary of State's website, what does that mean for the voter?

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Catlett
Deputy Solicitor General

cc: Hon. Clint Hickman, Chairman, Maricopa County Board of Supervisors
Bo Dul, Elections Services Director, Arizona Secretary of State

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAxt8EVMAEIneM.jpg
2020-11-04T22:10:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324111872925204481
@tetrahelixx @scrowder Funny that they were trying to help people confused by the sharpie non-issue?

Sharpies are fine.

2020-11-04T22:06:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324110721592950785
@wickedrissa By design!
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324110680744554496/pu/img/EYq8vCTHgZ-XBu0K.

jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324110680744554496/pu/img/EYq8vCTHgZ-XBu0K.jpg
2020-11-04T22:05:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324110601770033152
@GBousis Some places prefer sharpies because the ink dries quicker. They offset columns to make sure bleed-through is not an issue.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324110553984327681/pu/img/LUxb7h4HYSnoF8XN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1324110553984327681/pu/img/LUxb7h4HYSnoF8XN.jpg
2020-11-04T21:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324107647390380033
@DokturDoc @POLLSRFRAUD @nickmartin Did they show this to you? Did they say where and when they voted?

2020-11-04T21:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324107382801137664
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x That's not Maricopa county's instructions, it's Pima county's.

Maricopa clearly says they are okay. But that's a new thing, new ballot design.

2020-11-04T21:33:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324102529177714688
@KatBP5 @FlyTrappin @conservatyler They seem mostly to be people who misunderstood the "canceled" online status message.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T21:32:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324102186532397057
@DanielS50803192 This year was unusual. Nationwide turnout in 2016 was just 59%. This year over 66%. More in swing states where the Presidential vote actually matters.
Also, the election day registration is an unknown here, not in your table.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAn3W1VkAMqeOm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAn3W1VkAMqeOm.jpg
2020-11-04T21:23:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324099961206706176
@KatBP5 @FlyTrappin @conservatyler Like who? All the vote centers in AZ use fine-tip sharpies. Millions used them just fine.
https://twitter.com/MaricopaVote/status/1323728961231233025

2020-11-04T21:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324099014317404166
@DanielS50803192 Why is it unbelievable? Historic turnout nationwide, and election-day voter registration. (that 3,684,726 number is from Nov 1)

2020-11-04T21:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324098211779284992
@NDice41 @scrowder Because sharpies are fine, encouraged even.
https://twitter.com/MaricopaVote/status/1323728961231233025

2020-11-04T21:12:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324097314848337921
Arizona ballot status of "Cancelled" refers to what happens to your mail-in ballot when you vote in-person instead. Unfortunately a lot of people, including influencers, are confused by this.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html https://twitter.com/GarysheffieldJr/status/1324057356448067585

2020-11-04T21:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324096378578984960
@GarysheffieldJr It means you voted in person, so your mail-in ballot was canceled, to prevent double voting.

https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T21:04:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324095262218833921
@KatBP5 @FlyTrappin @conservatyler Not true. The columns are offset so bleed-through is not a problem. This is by design. These votes still count.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAhhDOVkAAgzFq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAhhDOVkAAgzFq.jpg

2020-11-04T21:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324094690115768321

@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x Neither. It's a crime (if it happened, I'm not familiar with it). How could it be election meddling if all the votes were cast?

2020-11-04T21:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324094291115872256

@POLLSRFRAUD @DokturDoc @nickmartin He didn't vote twice. That's what "canceled" means. He just voted once, in person. The other ballot was canceled, so it could not be used.

It doe not matter if the Sharpie bled though, they offset the columns, FOR THAT REASON.

2020-11-04T20:59:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324093852559405056
@Bwalkercox @Victor_O87 @jim_desmond Clearly, you are not familiar with the typical quality of local government web sites.

2020-11-04T20:58:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324093583507427328
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x Really? So everything any AG has ever investigated, even slightly, has turning out to be worth investigating?

2020-11-04T20:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324092925026856960
Even if you use a sharpie forcefully enough to bleed though, the ovals are deliberately offset, not lined up, specifically to make this not a problem.
https://twitter.com/echo9november/status/1324091399214637057

2020-11-04T20:51:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324091887297327105
@prisencolinenz @scrowder That's why the ovals don't overlap. They know SOME people will use sharpies if told not to, so they fixed the problem so it doesn't matter.

Sharpie-gate isn't real.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:49:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324091362124312576
@_CallMeLazy_ @JxcobCreech There's no problem with Sharpies. Sharpie-gate isn't real. Mostly based on misunderstanding the "canceled" status of mail-in ballots when voting in person instead.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:48:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324091255685459968
@rhixenomorph @MayorMark It's not an issue in AZ. Sharpie-gate isn't real. Mostly based on misunderstanding the "canceled" status of mail-in ballots when voting in person instead.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:48:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324091171509993473
@CaptainMutiny_x @scrowder It will count. Sharpie-gate isn't real. Mostly based on

misunderstanding the "canceled" status of mail-in ballots when voting in person instead.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324090936268214272
@TheKenDude @megynkelly Sharpie-gate isn't real. Mostly based on misunderstanding the "canceled" status of mail-in ballots when voting in person instead.
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:46:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324090634831978497
@ChipParker7 @realDonaldTrump "Sharpiegate" isn't a thing.

https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:45:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324090354421825536
@DokturDoc @nickmartin "Canceled" refers to the mail-in ballot being canceled because you voted in person.

See:
https://www.azfamily.com/news/politics/election_headquarters/voter_resources/what-it-means-when-your-arizona-ballot-status-says-canceled/article_037811aa-1ed6-11eb-b039-b37a1017a425.html

---

2020-11-04T20:43:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324089950980116481
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x You can't check your in-person vote with the Ballot-by-Mail/Early Ballot Status update page on the web. Katie Hobbs is quite clear about this.

https://twitter.com/SecretaryHobbs/status/1324074446412419072

2020-11-04T20:38:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324088545099706368
@Victor_O87 @jim_desmond https://twitter.com/SecretaryHobbs/status/1324074446412419072

2020-11-04T20:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324088386185957377
@hatboxghost2 @Heyzeus8x
https://twitter.com/SecretaryHobbs/status/1324074443740647425

2020-11-04T20:36:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324088251481690112

@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 "canceled" in your online ballot tracker in AZ means that you voted in person.

https://twitter.com/SecretaryHobbs/status/1324074443740647425

2020-11-04T20:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324086211951042560

@Heyzeus8x @hatboxghost2 Did it not accept it? They give you an indication if they don't.

2020-11-04T20:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324084927457947648

@TDBrigham @lexfridman https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-11-04T20:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324084737556639744

@hatboxghost2 They encourage use of sharpies in-person because the ink dries fast and won't smudge when fed directly into the machine. Ovals are offset so bleed-through not a problem. Many sources.

https://www.fox10phoenix.com/news/sharpies-can-be-used-on-voting-ballots-in-arizona-officials-say http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAXmOHU8AAeJhD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAXmOHU8AAeJhD.jpg

2020-11-04T20:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324082889638637568

@poorkidjoe Every little helps.

2020-11-04T20:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324082824215891968

@hatboxghost2 Multiple sources have explained this sharpie story. It's baseless. Look it up.

2020-11-04T20:12:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324082014765551621
@hatboxghost2 @CalgaryPatty As expected. It was the city of Milwaukee reporting its absentee ballot returns. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAVX5DU0AA3L-k.jpg

---

> The increase in the chart simply shows when the City of Milwaukee reported its absentee ballot results. We knew well before the election that Democrats were much more likely than Republicans to vote absentee, that it takes longer to count such ballots, and that Milwaukee is a Democratic stronghold.
>
> So, predictably, the mail-in results from that area led to a spike in the number of Democratic votes when the Associated Press added that count -- reported all at once -- to its vote tally about 3:30 a.m.
>
> From 3:26 to 3:44 a.m. in the Associated Press election reporting stream, the vote for former Vice President Joe Biden jumped by 149,520 (9.2% of Biden's total votes) and Trump's vote jumped by 31,803 votes (2% of his total votes). Milwaukee County accounted for most but not all of that jump.
>
> These votes were all reported together because Milwaukee and 38 other communities used a central count location. Other communities counted absentee ballots at the polling places, and reported them along with their in-person vote totals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAVX5DU0AA3L-k.jpg
2020-11-04T20:10:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324081692231954433
@hatboxghost2 I can sure deny that you are presenting good evidence of such.

"Agent Randy" knows someone who said their vote was canceled because of a sharpie? This is not evidence. It's a story.

2020-11-04T20:08:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324081058078355456
Election Fact Check Tip: There are so many eyes on this situation there's usually MULTIPLE explanations for any question, and they usually pop up with a simple google search. Do your own research. Multiple sources = more reliable. e.g. "sharpie election"
https://www.google.com/search?q=sharpie+election
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAUh7EUcAAE5JX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAUh7EUcAAE5JX.jpg

2020-11-04T20:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324080390626160640

@YugeBalls It's just glare. The object is hidden behind it. Like when you point a flashlight at a camera, you can only see the glare, not the flashlight.

2020-11-04T19:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324076683113058304

@paulreilly @Thomas24795144 I know. But I checked their fact check, and it's legit.

2020-11-04T19:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324076581854146560
@Thomas24795144 https://twitter.com/MaricopaVote/status/1323728961231233025

2020-11-04T19:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324075944068304896
@ET__GOD You have to ask first if that's true, before you can ask why.

https://twitter.com/MaricopaVote/status/1323728961231233025

2020-11-04T19:46:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324075473706471425
@CalgaryPatty http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAPnz8VoAE7x5u.jpg

> The increase in the chart simply shows when the City of Milwaukee reported its absentee ballot results. We knew well before the election that Democrats were much more likely than Republicans to vote absentee, that it takes longer to count such ballots, and that Milwaukee is a Democratic stronghold.
>
> So, predictably, the mail-in results from that area led to a spike in the number of Democratic votes when the Associated Press added that count -- reported all at once -- to its vote tally about 3:30 a.m.
>
> From 3:26 to 3:44 a.m. in the Associated Press election reporting stream, the vote for former Vice President Joe Biden jumped by 149,520 (9.2% of Biden's total votes) and Trump's vote jumped by 31,803 votes (2% of his total votes). Milwaukee County accounted for most but not all of that jump.
>
> These votes were all reported together because Milwaukee and 38 other communities used a central count location. Other communities counted absentee ballots at the polling places, and reported them along with their in-person vote totals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAPjtcVgAA3YdR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAPnz8VoAE7x5u.jpg

2020-11-04T19:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324075153232310272

@hatboxghost2 "Calling" isn't some official thing. It varies by news outlet, and they have different criteria.

It's also largely irrelevant once all the polls are closed. "Calling" is still a projection. The final vote count tells the story.

2020-11-04T19:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324074753632526336

@paulreilly @Thomas24795144 Hey, Soros doesn't fund me.

You can personally fact check all the things in that article yourself. It's not too hard.

2020-11-04T19:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324074515324792832

@CalgaryPatty Again, I checked their sources. It's not hard to look things up, like how many registered voters there are in Wisconsin, then compare it against the number of votes cast.

This is purely factual and verifiable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAOlK0U4AAr7L3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAOlK0U4AAr7L3.jpg

2020-11-04T19:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324073649683329025

RT @Patricia_Ann_E: @anniegirl1138 This was polls not results, but I love the quote â□□land doesnâ□□t vote, people doâ□□

https://www.core77.com/posts/90771/A-Great-Example-of-Better-Data-Visualization-This-Voting-Map-GIFâ□¦

2020-11-04T19:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324073022173577216

@hatboxghost2 Fact checked those numbers:

Wisconsin had 3.3 Million votes from 3.7+ Million voters. The state population is 5.8 Million people, 4.5 million of them are 18+ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAM1V1VcAInM_p.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAM1V1VcAInM_p.jpg

2020-11-04T19:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324071935718772736

@Thomas24795144 It provides sources and references for the fact checks. These are not opinions.

2020-11-04T19:31:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324071681246195712

@Thomas24795144 @paulreilly Are they wrong in the linked article? Their fact-checking here seems to be entirely correct.

2020-11-04T19:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324071497548197888

@CalgaryPatty You can.

They give their sources. I checked them, they were right.

2020-11-04T19:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324071367268999170

@DanielS50803192 Nobody is saying it's impossible. It's obviously possible. That's not the argument.

They are saying that the claims of evidence of fraud presented so far are false.

2020-11-04T19:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324071045003845632

@russian71805090 You can even drill down to the individual ward.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmALku_U8AAjLvJ.jpg



	Ward	Joseph R. Biden / Kamala D. Harris	Donald J. Trump / Michael R. Pence	Don Blankenship / William Mohr	Jo Jorgensen / Jeremy Spike Cohen	Brian Carroll / Amar Patel	WRITE-IN
1	City of Milwaukee Ward 1	974	190	5	5	2	6
2	City of Milwaukee Ward 2	323	28	0	3	1	1
3	City of Milwaukee Ward 3	718	146	1	3	1	4
4	City of Milwaukee Ward 4	1257	188	4	8	4	7
5	City of Milwaukee Ward 5	660	102	1	5	2	3
6	City of Milwaukee Ward 6	1408	350	0	9	3	1
7	City of Milwaukee Ward 7	398	158	1	3	1	1
8	City of Milwaukee Ward 8	1150	306	4	16	3	2
9	City of Milwaukee Ward 9	633	115	0	2	1	0
10	City of Milwaukee Ward 10	880	284	2	4	0	4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmALku_U8AAjLvJ.jpg

2020-11-04T19:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324070731290873857

@russian71805090 Where did you see that? That's not the official numbers. You'd have to get

those from the various county clerks, which is what the reporters do, updating manually.
But the final numbers are verifiable. Example:
https://county.milwaukee.gov/EN/County-Clerk/Off-Nav/Election-Results/Election-Results-Fall-2020 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmALSAhUYAEMutD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmALSAhUYAEMutD.jpg
2020-11-04T19:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324068805304201216
If you are hearing claims of voter fraud in Wisconsin, then fact-check them with this article (with references) over at Politifact.

Share it as much as possible. Every little helps.

https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/nov/04/fact-checking-avalanche-wisconsin-election-misinfo/

2020-11-04T19:09:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324066163081449472
@thedrunkinmonk @star_bonez It's 31,803, and that increase is reflected in the graph.

2020-11-04T18:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324063594233786368
@gothroyalty Every little helps.

2020-11-04T18:54:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324062488934064128
@mutianba Read the article. Check your figures.

It's not "From 94% to 95%" it's from 3:26AM to 3:44AM.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAD0D_U0AE3htE.jpg

> From 3:26 to 3:44 a.m. in the Associated Press election reporting stream, the vote for former Vice President Joe Biden jumped by 149,520 (9.2% of Biden's total votes) and Trump's vote jumped by 31,803 votes (2% of his total votes). Milwaukee County accounted for most but not all of that jump.
>
> These votes were all reported together because Milwaukee and 38 other communities used a central count location. Other communities counted absentee ballots at the polling places, and reported them along with their in-person vote totals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAD0D_U0AE3htE.jpg
2020-11-04T18:52:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324062027858411520
@star_bonez Obviously the Trump line rose too, at the same time, otherwise it would have been on the other side. It's just underneath the blue line. Here it is with the red line on top.
https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/nov/04/fact-checking-avalanche-wisconsin-election-misinfo/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmADRZmVkAEqqVn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmADRZmVkAEqqVn.jpg

2020-11-04T18:47:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324060832876040192

@gothroyalty I'm sure the majority of people in the US are unfamiliar with the way votes are counted in Wisconsin. That's not really the problem. The problem is them not realizing it and thinking they understand evidence of fraud.

It can be explained to them though.

2020-11-04T18:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324060233455398912

@russian71805090

https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/nov/04/fact-checking-avalanche-wisconsin-election-misinfo/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmABulsVMAA8k64.jpg

---

POLITIFACT
The Poynter Institute

From 3:26 to 3:44 a.m. in the Associated Press election reporting stream, the vote for former Vice President Joe Biden jumped by 149,520 (9.2% of Biden's total votes) and Trump's vote jumped by 31,803 votes (2% of his total votes). Milwaukee County accounted for most but not all of that jump.

These votes were all reported together because Milwaukee and 38 other communities used a central count location. Other communities counted absentee ballots at the polling places, and reported them along with their in-person vote totals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmABulsVMAA8k64.jpg
2020-11-04T18:44:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324059967150723072
@Mikes12472471 @RBReich
https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/nov/04/fact-checking-avalanche-wisconsin-election-misinfo/

2020-11-04T18:44:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324059916802252800
The jump in counted votes in Wisconsin was due to the city of Milwaukee reporting its absentee ballots. It was expected.

https://www.politifact.com/article/2020/nov/04/fact-checking-avalanche-wisconsin-election-misinfo/ https://twitter.com/Mikes12472471/status/1324030763277275136

2020-11-04T18:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1324058742309072896
No, there are not more votes cast in Wisconsin than voters. It's 89% of them, and that's not even counting day-of-election registration.

Check it:

https://elections.wi.gov/node/7220 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAADcBVkAIM-Li.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EmAADcBVkAIM-Li.jpg

2020-11-04T16:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1324024869789392897

RT @RBReich: Counting all ballots is not "stealing" an election. It's having an election.

2020-11-04T10:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323939153860386817

@YugeBalls https://youtu.be/ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-11-03T21:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323744090446393345

@ET__GOD @SingletrackAdam What do you mean by "soon"?

2020-11-03T21:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323736376521629697

@ET__GOD @SingletrackAdam I don't think that at all. There are many things that cannot be explained because there's not enough information.

You can't say what an eyewitness account represents if ALL you have is the eyewitness account.

2020-11-03T18:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323697313320062976

A photo of "bricks being left in Chicago on Election day" appears to be from a patio renovation next door two weeks ago, in a mini-mall 20 miles outside downtown Chicago.

https://www.reddit.com/r/conspiracy/comments/jn146t/supposedly_piles_of_bricks_mysteriously_showing/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El615pYU0AE9jT_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El615pYU0AE9jT_.jpg

2020-11-03T17:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323672841062019072

@Oswald1160 @ExConspiracyGuy Yeah, I used to use Google to quickly find my own videos. Now a search shows the playlist, a tweet, and a copy someone uploaded to to Bitchute, but not the actual video itself! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El6hQh3U8AA2iD2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El6hQh3U8AA2iD2.jpg

2020-11-03T01:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323434558184828928

@BTRadford I'm interested in the predictions that are obviously not going to happen, like a "Red Wave" resulting in Trump winning California. Some local people actually think this will happen.

2020-11-03T01:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323430953759383552

@Ron07330116 @ConceptualJames My comment about "Diversity Training" was a reference to what was happening at Sandia National Labs. Clearly, Critical Race Theory encompasses far more than simple workplace diversity training.

I do think James is somewhat obsessed though.

2020-11-02T23:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323410979833589760

@ExConspiracyGuy There's probably some arbitrary red-line criteria where they decide an

account if beyond redemption. My YouTube account seems to be permanently demonized (and deranked) because I posted a jihadist clip for reference two years ago.

2020-11-02T21:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323384130759880704

@ExConspiracyGuy Friendly fire, I'm guessing. Facebook is trying to suppress disinfo, but has not put in the resources to be smart about it. So it might be a purely automated thing based on your content.

2020-11-02T20:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323360180319387649

My first plaque! I'm delighted to be in some impressive company as a newly elected Fellow of the Committee for Skeptical Inquiry. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El2FCJSUcAEV8yw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El2FCJSUcAEV8yw.jpg

2020-11-02T19:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323353090653736961

@Ron07330116 So you think the HR department at Sandi is trying to bring about some kind of societal revolution by getting people to examine their cultural biases?

2020-11-02T19:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323352112864976896

@Ron07330116 @ConceptualJames I'm not saying CRT itself is a distraction. I mean the demonization of CRT as the end of Western civilization is a distraction.

2020-11-02T19:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323351791614914560

@Ron07330116 Diversity training? I think that's a good thing in principle. Of course, it can end

up being onerous, heavy-handed, and even a bit silly sometimes. But generally, it's better than nothing.

2020-11-02T19:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323350471940087808

@Ron07330116 Yeah, I follow @ConceptualJames. He's obsessed with it. It's a popular topic with the intellectual dark web, Peterson, etc.

It's a distraction.

2020-11-02T19:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323349668252692485

@Ron07330116 No. That's a red herring used to rile up the base. Like gun control.

2020-11-02T19:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323349367160385536

@DanielS50803192 No I'm not. And for future queries I recommend the "Advanced Search" function on Twitter. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El165_AU8AAMAru.jpg

---

Tweet

Mick West @MickWest · Oct 21

Election dirty tricks. Threatening emails are being sent to registered Democrats telling them to change their party affiliation or someone will "come after" them.

This is highly illegal, and I hope that @FBI is giving it considerable attention.



11 10 32

Dane Oddy
@daneoddy

Replying to @MickWest and @FBI

Are you not skeptical of this email?

9:22 AM · Oct 21, 2020 · Twitter for iPhone

4 Likes

Mick West @MickWest · Oct 21
Replying to @daneoddy and @FBI

Of course. Obviously, it's not coming from the Proud Boys head office. It might even be a misguided attempt at reverse psychology or anti-PB propaganda.

But either way, it's functionally voter intimidation. People I know are getting these emails.

2 6

Paul Barnett @pjrbarnett · Oct 24

I'm hoping the threats are empty threats? Just intimidation tactics?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/El165_AU8AAMAru.jpg
2020-11-02T19:21:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323344435564797952
Conservative pollster Frank Luntz is now debunking obvious disinformation from Conservative pundit Mark Levin and former acting DNI Richard Grenell.
Levin's followers believe him anyway, as they prefer that version, regardless of facts.
https://twitter.com/FrankLuntz/status/1323278734875488257

2020-11-02T16:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323304903524020224
@mikemauriello63 @JimNorton @lexfridman And really you should listen to my response to that too, as they didn't really ADDRESS the videos.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-11-02T01:58:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323082008206082048
Communicating an explanation to a true believer is often far more difficult than figuring it out. Example. 3 years ago I explained how a prominent chemtrail believer thought "frequency" was harming water because of the Wagon Wheel Effect. He still does.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SD1FJUJdi6M

2020-11-02T00:49:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323064740839452672
@stang289 Sound like this case. She'd run off with her 19-year-old boyfriend. They were planning to go to California.
While this is a case of an adult exploiting a minor, I don't think it's quite the pedophile cult you were inferring.

https://www.wfxrtv.com/news/regional-news/virginia-news/virginia-police-missing-14-year-old-girl-found-19-year-old-boy-taken-into-custody/

2020-11-01T23:51:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323050123408994304
@stang289 @POLITICOMag Here's another one.

https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/frank-biden-leveraged-famous-business-gain/story?id=68202529

2020-11-01T23:50:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323049701281681408
@stang289 @POLITICOMag Hey, it would be great if there was legislation and effective enforcement to prevent that type of thing, don't you think?

2020-11-01T23:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1323048033072771073
@stang289 No, I mean read about the back story to that number. Does it actually match up

with what you think the story is about?

2020-11-01T20:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1323000400555831297

@bobofango86 @ufouapam

https://twitter.com/search?q=%22I%20don%27t%20know%22%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2020-11-01T20:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322998358210875395

@TheAntiDisciple Who is "we" here? Most people find journal articles inaccessible and irrelevant.

2020-11-01T20:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322998040362274817

@stang289 Do some more research. What's the actual deal with those 27 kids?

2020-11-01T20:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322997754012946433

@tommyIzito @foiaboi It's not that complicated. But the bits that ARE hard to understand almost invariably need a visual explanation.

2020-11-01T19:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322977117152239616

@russian71805090 I wasn't planning on writing one. But I guess I could do one called "Small or Far Away: Parameterizing estimation errors in empty field distance estimates of unidentified objects"

2020-11-01T18:57:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322976015480549377

@russian71805090 Send me $200 and I'll do it.

2020-11-01T18:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322975802422484992

@SicCoP1 Sure you can. Of course, having institutional affiliations helps. But it's not 100% necessary. Example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwnZ_CU0AEqi79.jpg

---

IOP Publishing Environ. Res. Lett. 11 (2016) 084011 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

Environmental Research Letters

LETTER

Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program

Christine Shearer[1,2], Mick West[3], Ken Caldeira[4] and Steven J Davis[1,2]

[1] Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, Croul Hall, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
[2] Near Zero, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA 94305-3053, USA
[3] Metabunk.org, Shingle Springs, CA 95682, USA
[4] Department of Global Ecology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

E-mail: sjdavis@uci.edu

Keywords: contrails, chemtrails, atmospheric deposition, secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program, geoengineering

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
19 April 2016

REVISED
21 June 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
18 July 2016

PUBLISHED
10 August 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Abstract

Nearly 17% of people in an international survey said they believed the existence of a secret large-scale atmospheric program (SLAP) to be true or partly true. SLAP is commonly referred to as 'chemtrails' or 'covert geoengineering', and has led to a number of websites purported to show evidence of widespread chemical spraying linked to negative impacts on human health and the environment. To address these claims, we surveyed two groups of experts—atmospheric chemists with expertize in condensation trails and geochemists working on atmospheric deposition of dust and pollution—to scientifically evaluate for the first time the claims of SLAP theorists. Results show that 76 of the 77 scientists (98.7%) that took part in this study said they had not encountered evidence of a SLAP, and that the data cited as evidence could be explained through other factors, including well-understood physics and chemistry associated with aircraft contrails and atmospheric aerosols. Our goal is not to sway those already convinced that there is a secret, large-scale spraying program—who often reject counter-evidence as further proof of their theories—but rather to establish a source of objective science that can inform public discourse.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwnZ_CU0AEqi79.jpg
2020-11-01T18:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322974767964594176
@foiaboi Because the explanation is made only harder to understand in written form. Why waste time making my work LESS accessible.

2020-11-01T18:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322974479849410560
@russian71805090 Why would I waste $200 of my own money?

2020-11-01T17:54:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322960293966745600
@TaterTo74406292 Gosh no, I'm a huge fan of the scientific process.

I'm not a fan of ignoring things that are demonstrably true just because they are not in PDF format. Especially when video is actually a far better explicatory medium.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwZEHbVMAAbAo_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwZEHbVMAAbAo_.jpg

2020-11-01T17:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322959487674667009

@tiller4riller I've worked on one journal published paper.

https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2020-11-01T17:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322958357880209408

@foiaboi That's not what I said.

The whole scientific/academic process is wonderful, but it has known flaws.

The specific problem here is when people use a false facade of being a serious part of that process when they are simply adopting the trappings and exploiting the flaws.

2020-11-01T17:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322957606021812224

@TheAntiDisciple The point is that for some people the choice of what to believe does not come from any understanding of the subject material, but rather a misguided belief that if it's properly formatted into a PDF then it's more likely to be correct. It's the laziest argument from authority.

2020-11-01T17:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322956803366285312

@TheAntiDisciple And even top tier journals have a good percentage of bad papers.

https://retractionwatch.com/category/by-journal/nature-retractions/

Journal peer-review is very useful. The problem is the public does not understand the failings of the system. You can argue retractions mean the system works. But that's not the point here.

2020-11-01T17:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322954719124357126

@TheAntiDisciple No, I'm pointing out the danger of blindly accepting ANY journal publication and peer review as a gold standard for truth. The constant refrain of "submit your work to a journal" backfires when the pseudoscientists actually do, and you're left with a weak "wait, not THAT journal"

2020-11-01T17:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322949966680125440

@CrewCutYoutube But total crap also proceeds through what looks like scientific papers and peer review.

And statistically dubious interpretations, like that "life on Venus" thing, get published in Nature.

Good quality replication is what's important.

2020-11-01T16:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322943248202039296

People say they don't take me seriously because I don't format my work into PDFs and submit it to scientific journals for peer review.

I don't do this, in part, because ANYONE can pay to get their work published. Like 9/11 folk, or this chemtrail guy.

https://journals.scholarpublishing.org/index.php/ASSRJ/article/view/8940

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwJlNpVcAMBD3G.jpg

---

state and weather systems of Earth would benefit globalist elites and their United Nations cohorts who want a one world government. And what better way to accomplish that than by having devised a scheme, ENMOD [9], wherein militaries of sovereign states would be co-opted to inflict harm on their own citizens and their means to produce food.

UNITED STATES DEEP STATE COMPLICITY

Poisoning the air we breathe, the water we drink, perverting the natural processes that make life on Earth possible, and deceiving the public on matters of health, we submit, is diabolically contrary to American principles expressed in the Declaration of Independence as "Safety and Happiness" and in the U. S. Constitution as "general Welfare" and should be prohibited [93]. But it takes place systematically, at great public expense, and is orchestrated by Deep State operatives, as we disclose in the following non-exhaustive evidentiary examples. Of these, the first is clearly the most disturbing. No one should deceive the public of health risks including, and especially, the U.S. National Institutes of Health.

- Commentaries warning of the global health risks of the tropospheric particulate aerial spraying were rejected without review by *Environmental Health Perspectives*, a publication of the U.S. National Institutes of Health [94], but eventually it was published elsewhere [41].
- Disinformation websites, metabunk.org and contrailscience.com, operated by Mick West, allegedly an intelligence-agency operative, deceive the public and are used to smear the reputation of one of us (JMH).
- Misleading claims were made in the scientific literature that the aerial particulate-trails are just harmless ice-crystal contrails by non-scientist Mick West and three scientists [95]. When a rebuttal of this claim was submitted, the journal refused to publish it, in defiance of scientific norms [96].
- One of us (JMH) submitted a manuscript to the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* [PNAS] entitled "*New Evidence of Aerosolized Toxins in the Lower Atmosphere.*" The PNAS Editorial Board rejected the paper without review because it "*lacked the broad appeal necessary for further consideration by the journal*" [97].
- Intelligence-agency operatives were responsible for coercing two faculty members of U. S. universities, serving as editors of public health journals, to retract two peer-reviewed, published public health articles without ever allowing the author (JMH) to see and respond to their allegations in flagrant disregard of scientific publishing norms [98, 99] and with wanton lack of concern for public health.
- One of us (JMH) wrote lyrics to music in order to educate the public about the dangers of the aerial spraying. These were beautifully performed, and posted on YouTube [100]. But then Google added below each the definition of contrail, the same lie promulgated by the U.S. Air Force, Mick West, and others [101].
- The Wikipedia page of one of us (JMH) has been minimized and corrupted by Deep State operatives, and bears no resemblance to his scientific and humanitarian accomplishments [102].

The Deep State and the globalist cabal benefit from the so-called "*peaceful*" "*environmental modification*" by being able to play God with the Earth's natural weather systems, causing chaos and devastation that can be used to foment misery and economic devastation, a sure way to keep the United States in a state of constant conflict. Figure 3, for example, shows evidence of covert

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElwJlNpVcAMBD3G.jpg

2020-10-31T19:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322615936193458177

@GetAFreakinGrip @Cernovich @prayingmedic Perhaps he's just careful about what he posts? Twinner suspends accounts for specific offenses, like inciting violence, doxxing, or racial attacks. Not for dancing around a topic.

2020-10-31T18:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322613794665721856

@GetAFreakinGrip @Cernovich I can't find any of those three. Can you link one?

2020-10-31T18:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322613293224062977
@SimonSund I'm hoping to get back up to steam after the election.

2020-10-31T18:55:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322613078362525696
66,075 Covid Coffins is what it takes to build a full-size replica of the Washington Monument. That's less than 1/3 of the number of people who have died of Covid-19 so far this year. A sobering perspective. Each coffin is three lives ended prematurely.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4DFanN6k9PI

2020-10-31T17:18:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322588724845178880
@GetAFreakinGrip @Cernovich Like who?

2020-10-31T16:16:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322573044418703360
@TheoryQed Why would you use it as a jumping-off point to start a totally different conversation?

I'm glad you agree though. AE911Truth is the primary driver of 9/11 conspiracy theories, so what they say is significant, and pointing out their many mistakes is important.

2020-10-31T16:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322572384545644544
Twitter stock dropped because they only added 1 million users in the last quarter when the market expected 10 million. Not because of "huge legal and regulatory issues"

Maybe @Cernovich is the one being a tad misleading here.

https://www.foxbusiness.com/markets/twitter-shares-plunge-as-user-growth-slows
https://twitter.com/Cernovich/status/1322313402383921153

2020-10-31T15:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322568603502219264
@TheoryQed I'm curious who you would draw the line between a "mere office fire" (which sounds like a wastepaper basket catching fire) and something more significant, like the Windsor tower fire. If there some criteria you'd use?
If a girder is dislodged, is it still a mere office fire?

2020-10-31T15:54:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322567717363179521
@TheoryQed More just avoiding the issue being raised by raising a different issue. That's more the original sense of the word.
Wikipedia is overrun by fallacy minutia fans who like to overcomplicate things.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElqzoRIVoAI-qm5.jpg



OED Oxford English Dictionary
The definitive record of the English language

Quick search:

Lost for Words?

View full results for 'whataboutism'

Help on Dictionary Entry | Print | Save | Email | Cite

whataboutism, *n.*

Text size: A A

View as: Outline | Full entry

Quotations: Show all | Hide all Keywords: On | Off

Pronunciation: Brit. /ˌwɒtəˈbaʊtɪz(ə)m/, U.S. /ˌ(h)wədəˈbaʊdɪzəm/

Frequency (in current use):

Origin: Formed within English, by derivation. **Etymons:** *what about ——?* at WHAT *pron., adj.*[1]*, adv., int., conj.*, and *n.* Additions, *-ISM suffix.*

Etymology: < *what about ——?* at WHAT *pron., adj.*[1]*, adv., int., conj.*, and *n.* Additions + ... (Show More)

depreciative.

The practice of responding to an accusation or difficult question by making a counter-accusation or raising a different issue. Also in later use: the practice of raising a supposedly analogous issue in response to a perceived hypocrisy or inconsistency. Cf. WHATABOUTERY *n.*

Categories »

Frequently with reference to the rhetoric of the Soviet Union.

1978 L. BLOCH in *Guardian* 23 May 10/2 Perhaps I ought to explain that 'whataboutism' is a condition of the progressive mind which does not tolerate any criticism of Communist autocracy unless those who criticise wear sackcloth and put ashes on their heads for the sins of all non-Communist dictatorships.

1993 in T. Parker *May Lord in His Mercy be Kind to Belfast* ix. 136 And I'd no time at all for 'What aboutism'—you know, people who said 'Yes, but what about what's been done to us? What about Bloody Sunday in Derry, what about the shooting of three defenceless people in Gibraltar by the SAS?'

1996 *Spectator* 20 Jan. 21/1 'Whataboutism' used to be frequently a communist technique whereby any criticism of the Soviet Union was countered by reference to some Western imperfections.

2017 *www.teenvogue.com* 28 Apr. (Internet Archive Wayback Machine 28 Apr. 2017) Through a brutal combination of gaslighting, whataboutism, and blatant lies, this administration has exacerbated the national divide.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElqzoRIVoAI-qm5.jpg

2020-10-31T15:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322559694410457090

@TheFoll89486553 @PostDisclosure But "bird or balloon" IS unidentified.

Showing something is consistent with one or two mundane things is not the same as identifying it.

2020-10-31T15:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322559340033708039

@TheoryQed Looks like you are engaging in "whataboutism"

Do you think rapidly expanding clouds of dust are evidence of controlled demolition like AE911Truth says?

2020-10-31T05:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322403456267661312

@HarveyGMcDonald You are being ridiculous. You're creating a huge issue over some old comments of now unresolvable motivation.

Was Randi an active promoter of eugenics? Was he constantly going around raising money to sterilize people with bad genes? was he ever advocating such a thing?

2020-10-31T02:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322368293466877952

@HarveyGMcDonald And what do you want to happen here? What's the actual goal of your tweets?

2020-10-31T02:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322366806988746752

@HarveyGMcDonald He was not a god. But he did lots of good work.

2020-10-31T00:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322341979586396161

@HarveyGMcDonald He wasn't promoting eugenics. He gave his opinion once on forcefully educating people about their hereditary conditions, probably didn't give it much thought. He wasn't perfect.

2020-10-30T23:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322313204198858753

@HarveyGMcDonald You impute far too much to a few out of context comments. If you want to assign a stance to someone you need more than that.

But why is this a big issue for you? What's the root issue here?

2020-10-30T22:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322302990078783489

@HarveyGMcDonald And I'm pretty much in agreement with David Gorski here.

https://respectfulinsolence.com/2020/10/28/did-james-randi-destroy-skepticism/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElnDhh7VoAA19HO.jpg

> Was Randi a saint? Obviously not. He was flawed, possibly more so than the average person. He definitely had a few major blind spots. It is also true that JREF had problems, examples of which Horowitz cites in his article. Randi's legacy will no doubt be complicated for many, particularly women in the skeptical movement. However, for better or for worse, The Amazing Randi was one of the primary creators of the modern skeptics movement, and I also would argue that in creating the modern skeptics' movement he did far more good than harm, particularly through his showman's talent for popularizing skeptical takes on psychic and faith healing grifters, as well as flim-flam artists like Uri Geller. More importantly, he did not "destroy" skepticism because he contributed to making pseudoscientific "disciplines" disreputable. Quite the contrary.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElnDhh7VoAA19HO.jpg

2020-10-30T22:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322299752738742272

Fixed by uninstalling @Logitech Capture 2.02.253

2020-10-30T22:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322299289712799744

@HarveyGMcDonald He's dead. He does not have a stance, nor can he explain his past words.

I condemn eugenics.

2020-10-30T21:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322287800226521088

@Aleut1985 @PostDisclosure @jackc476 Again, who isn't?

2020-10-30T21:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322287713584775169

I seem to have triggered an assertion in the Quicktime Player that nobody has ever written about before. "IOSurface rowBytes" is new to Google!

I can't even report it to Apple, as it logs me out instead of actually crashing. Programmers!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elm07yAVkAAWmCl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elm07yAVkAAWmCl.jpg

```
Exception Type:        EXC_CRASH (SIGABRT)
Exception Codes:       0x0000000000000000, 0x0000000000000000
Exception Note:        EXC_CORPSE_NOTIFY

Application Specific Information:
StartTime:2020-10-30 14:03:52
GPU:AMD
MetalDevice for accelerator(0x4e43): 0x7fd732d80f38 (MTLDevice: 0x7fd768018000)
IOService:/AppleACPIPlatformExpert/PC03@0/AppleACPIPCI/BR3A@0/IOPP/EGP0@0/IOPP/EGP1@0/IOPP/
GFX0@0/ATY,Japura@0/AMDFramebufferVega10
IOService:/AppleACPIPlatformExpert/PC03@0/AppleACPIPCI/BR3A@0/IOPP/EGP0@0/IOPP/EGP1@0/IOPP/
GFX0@0/ATY,Japura@5/AMDFramebufferVega10
IOService:/AppleACPIPlatformExpert/PC03@0/AppleACPIPCI/BR3A@0/IOPP/EGP0@0/IOPP/EGP1@0/IOPP/
GFX0@0/ATY,Japura@4/AMDFramebufferVega10
-[MTLIOAccelTexture initWithDevice:descriptor:iosurface:plane:field:args:argsSize:]:659: failed
assertion `Descriptor rowBytes (7680) > IOSurface rowBytes (1920)'


Thread 0 Crashed:: Dispatch queue: com.apple.main-thread
0   libsystem_kernel.dylib           0x00007fff686c733a __pthread_kill + 10
1   libsystem_pthread.dylib          0x00007fff68783e60 pthread_kill + 430
2   libsystem_c.dylib                0x00007fff6864e808 abort + 120
3   libsystem_c.dylib                0x00007fff6864dac6 __assert_rtn + 314
4   com.apple.Metal                  0x00007fff33a65729 MTLReportFailure.cold.2 + 43
5   com.apple.Metal                  0x00007fff33a58e88 MTLReportFailure + 523
6   com.apple.Metal                  0x00007fff339e54ad -[MTLIOAccelTexture
initWithDevice:descriptor:iosurface:plane:field:args:argsSize:] + 1485
7   com.apple.AMDRadeonX5000MTLDriver0x00007fff21994e94 -[AMD_MtlTexture
initIOSurfaceWithDevice:descriptor:iosurface:plane:field:] + 316
8   com.apple.AMDRadeonX5000MTLDriver0x00007fff219516b0 -[AMD_MtlDevice
newTextureWithDescriptor:iosurface:plane:] + 79
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elm02JPU4AAC0Jb.jpg

2020-10-30T20:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322281856197292033
@Aleut1985 @PostDisclosure @jackc476 Who wouldn't support the disclosure of more data about UFO?

Who's the guy saying "no, no, no, I don't want to hear about mysterious flying craft that might be made with alien technology"?

2020-10-30T20:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322280972889419776
@HarveyGMcDonald Lake of empathy is endemic everywhere.

I admire the late Randi's good works and his gracious personality in person. This does not mean I endorse everything he's ever said in the course of his life.

2020-10-30T20:42:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322277684303462400
@MolotoVNKA Can you draw a diagram?

2020-10-30T20:40:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322277324071428096
@PostDisclosure @jackc476 What does "[it's logically] something thatâ□□s easily explainable, but they donâ□□t know that they canâ□□t say that to us right now" mean?

It really does not sound like he knows much about it.

2020-10-30T17:41:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322232178239926272
@MrLawBro @AFSUnidentified You'd prefer nobody skeptical investigates the ET hypothesis?

2020-10-30T16:05:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322207981807693825
Truthers are already making excuses, and trotting out the old arguments, in the comments section of the RT story.
https://www.rt.com/news/505033-earthquake-turkey-building-collapse-greece/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElltCE7UcAAUhal.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElltCE7UcAAUhaI.jpg
2020-10-30T16:03:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322207484908462080
This building collapse in today's earthquake in Turkey created a rapidly expanding cloud of dust.
9/11 "Truth" conspiracy theorists claim such clouds are evidence of pre-planted explosives.
Will they become Izmir Truthers now?
https://twitter.com/TansuYegen/status/1322162788664594433

2020-10-30T15:21:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322196825781137408
RT @nytimes: Breaking News: More people have already voted in Texas than the total number who cast ballots there in the 2016 election, withâ¦

2020-10-30T15:19:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1322196509392207872
@AFSUnidentified @MrLawBro Oh, I entertain it. That's the whole point. I'm checking that particular hypothesis.

Most qualified people don't even bother with that, as they think the whole topic is silly. So you're lucky to even have me.

2020-10-30T03:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322020699737743360

@PostDisclosure Please do.

2020-10-30T03:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322018005052608512

@PostDisclosure That article was retracted. Do you have a current one?

2020-10-30T03:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322015296870211584

The tale of Tucker Carlson raging about UPS losing his thumb drive full of dirt on Hunter Biden, and then getting it back from them, and then deciding Hunter was a nice guy who does not deserve this harassment any more, is fascinating and bemusing.

https://www.thedailybeast.com/tucker-carlson-suddenly-says-its-time-to-leave-hunter-biden-alone?via=twitter_page

---

2020-10-30T02:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1322009737353863169

@PostDisclosure Do you have a specific example?

2020-10-29T23:36:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321959074418679809

@stang289 Let me know when there's something more substantive than a Sinclair/Breitbart anonymous-source report.

2020-10-29T19:08:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321891625207918593

@CuriousUap But clearly the stimulus spending had SOME effect on GDP - in a variety of ways.

We'll see, there are still various opinions on the actual effects of the 2009 stimulus package.
https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/ezra-klein/post/did-the-stimulus-work-a-review-of-the-nine-best-studies-on-the-subject/2011/08/16/gIQAThbibJ_blog.html

---

2020-10-29T18:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321875819380109313

@CuriousUap The WSJ article you link says: "pent-up consumer demand and government support helped power spending"

Government support = government spending.

That's what a stimulus does, give people money so they spend it.

2020-10-29T17:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321865120817979393

@naoehogui One should not do science based on buzz.

2020-10-29T17:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321864228093915136
@stang289 Trump is now spending essentially what the Dems asked for - he's even boasted about spending more. He's a populist, thinking short term. It's the congressional Republican who balk at huge spending because they don't have the tax revenue to pay for it.

https://www.businessinsider.com/trump-bigger-stimulus-package-republicans-democrats-congress-amount-more-checks-2020-10

2020-10-29T17:07:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1321861149852229632
@stang289 Sure it's good, but all is not well in the economy. Massive excess spending can only help for a while. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgxoGAVcAAvUUp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgxoGAVcAAvUUp.jpg
2020-10-29T17:06:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1321860854732582912
Government receipts vs. expenditures.

Perhaps this is somewhat responsible for the partial recovery in GDP?

What happens when expenditures return to normal?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgxDceU0AAT0-O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgxDceU0AAT0-O.jpg

2020-10-29T16:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321858381435478016

@stang289 Yes, we all know the primary reason for the economic downturn. The question is what the latest numbers actually mean.

2020-10-29T16:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321848208201449473

@stang289 It's more real than Breitbart, yes.

2020-10-29T16:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321847928755953665

@stang289 Slightly beating expectations, and still down significantly over the last two years.

2020-10-29T15:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321843232720875520

@stang289 I copied that graph from the Breitbart article. Luckily they like to crib AP articles for the complicated stuff, so a bit of reality slipped through.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElghI0JU4AA0f3g.jpg

BREITBART

The standard formula for calculating GDP in the U.S. annualizes the quarterly changes, which can exaggerate the changes. Absent annualization, the economy grew at 7.4 percent in the third quarter, by far the largest quarterly gain in records that began just after World War II. The previous record was 1950's 16.7 percent rate of growth, a 3.9 percent quarterly gain.

The third-quarter growth follows a 31.4 percent decline in the second quarter, a 9 percent contraction on a quarterly basis, and a five percent annualized contraction in the first quarter.



The economy is still expanding, albeit at a slower pace. Economists expect the economy will grow in the fourth quarter but still register a contraction for the full-year.

–The Associated Press contributed to this report.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElghI0JU4AA0f3g.jpg

2020-10-29T15:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321841511764385792

@Lopati Percentages in different quarters are percentages of different things. If you lose 50% of $100 you'll have $50. If you then gain 90% of that remaining $50, you end up with $95.

-50% * +90% = +95%, not the +40% people might intuit.

But that's not even what's going on here.

2020-10-29T15:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321840457949667329

@Lopati Ha, I edited my example to make it clearer, but messed it up. Deleted, and will repost..

2020-10-29T15:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321837909608071168

@naoehogui I love positivity backed by data.

I dislike misleading spin.

2020-10-29T15:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321837051449282560

You can explore the numbers here. Of course, almost nobody will, so instead they get whatever spin their chosen media sources choose to put on it.

https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=19&step=2#reqid=19&step=2&isuri=1&1921=survey http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgbwJYUcAE186b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgbwJYUcAE186b.jpg

2020-10-29T15:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321834322320486401

It's high school math that most people have forgotten. Percentages are not a unit. When someone tells you a percentage, always ask "a percentage of what?"

It swiftly becomes too complicated, so most people don't bother and just go with the headlines.

2020-10-29T15:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321832771963117568

+33.1% growth in GDP sounds amazing. Even sounds like we recovered everything, as the last quarter was -31.4%. But it's misleading to use annualized rates here. What we actually got was +7.4% following -9.0%. See the graph. We are still down significantly.

https://twitter.com/BEA_News/status/1321791572174921728

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgWrSdVcAAfM_a.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElgWrSdVcAAfM_a.png

2020-10-28T05:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1321317244672987136

@GoblinQChesh @GEIST_VIEW @astroferg @RedsRhetoric "Tim Osman" is a fake name used by a few people. It's from an old urban legend that Osama Bin Laden was actually a CIA agent named "Tim Osman"

2020-10-27T06:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320973201287962625

@TheRightFighte1 @samanthamarika1

https://www.hawaii.edu/news/2020/10/26/ufo-spotted-over-hawaii/

2020-10-26T22:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320861924171546624

RT @nytopinion: There's no doubt that QAnon conspiracy theories are outlandish. But laughing at Q's devotees is the wrong approach, says @râ¦

2020-10-25T17:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320415876047204352

@buffcommacu @OmniTalkRadio That's always a possibility. But we don't have any specific statement from Reid. It sounds like he's simply repeating the stories he's read.

2020-10-25T15:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320390619475292160

@reeveerx Pics, or it didn't happen!

2020-10-25T15:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320387392436514816

@khinnenkamp Yeah, who needs doctors!

2020-10-24T20:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320100550994636800

@OmniTalkRadio @buffcommacu Sure Reid stands by what he said, but does he specifically agree with the interpretation of "UFOs were interfering with our nukes" - or just a more general "Someone saw a UFO around the time Nukes went offline"

2020-10-24T16:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320032906350186496

@All_Day_SCIfi Ah yes, but the claim I was responding to was that the towers got stronger lower down. The columns certainly did, but the floors (of either type) did not change significantly as they got lower.

2020-10-24T15:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320030179591376903

@pjrbarnett It's not clear. The Wikipedia article is a good overview (with references) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/QAnon

---

2020-10-24T15:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1320021265910935552

@Flyingh43892139 @PredatoryPanic @PostDisclosure What lie? Itâ□□s my considered opinion.

2020-10-22T22:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319411140934316032

@All_Day_SCIfi The floors themselves were all the same, each floor (ignoring the columns) need only support its own weight, and the usual live loads. The collapse proceeded through the floors, not through the columns. That's why half the core was still standing when the floors were all gone.

2020-10-22T16:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319320760628826113

@crowbern @mvpispi I'm not accepting it uncritically. But I think it's likely they have evidence it was Iran.

2020-10-22T16:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319312441252081664

@crowbern @mvpispi And yet people behind botnets do get caught. There's a variety of digital and physical avenues of investigation.

2020-10-22T16:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319308507892514816

@mvpispi Russia probably wants Trump to win. Iran probably does not. But it's not really clear that these emails are to "hurt Trump" because they are ostensibly written to HELP Trump.

It's complicated.

https://www.bbc.com/news/election-us-2020-54293489

2020-10-22T15:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319306476175802368

@crowbern @mvpispi So, in the past, you think that a 4chan kid has organized a similar scale email campaign, been investigated by the FBI, and left no tracks?

FBI has the resources of the NSA. It's not impossible that a foreign actor could fool them, but it's also not a sure thing.

2020-10-22T15:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319298679216300032

@mvpispi I think the forensic examination of the emails will point to Iran, as they said. It's possible it's more of a Russian thing. Maybe someone else.

I trust the FBI more than I trust Trump. I don't trust the DNI, but I think that's more spin than outright fabrication.

2020-10-22T01:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319095554580725760

@stang289 He still is.

2020-10-22T00:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319071318076059648

Well that was quick. FBI identifies the source of the emails just hours after I told them to! :)

https://www.cnbc.com/2020/10/21/fbi-to-make-an-announcement-on-a-major-election-security-issue.html https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1318948419474354181

2020-10-22T00:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319069705609433089

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-eYQwNcnYFY

2020-10-22T00:12:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319069176699342849

FBI says Iran is behind fake Proud Boys threatening emails. Russia might be planning similar actions to interfere with the election.

https://www.nbcnews.com/tech/tech-news/fbi-says-iran-behind-threatening-emails-sent-florida-democrats-n1244228

2020-10-21T22:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319040852304359425

@stang289 @Oswald1160 What do you think of his appearance in Borat 2?

And it seems like Fox News is moving on from Hunter. It's also vanished from the Breitbart front page. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4sNCqUcAA8Nlu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4sNCqUcAA8Nlu.jpg

2020-10-21T21:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1319026239928107009

RIP James "Amazing" Randi. I had a nice chat with him in 2015 at the last Amazing Meeting where he politely explained why he didn't like to be called a "debunker." He was a legendary performer and accomplished skeptical investigator. He will continue to be an inspiration to many. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4eENBUYAAwrHC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4eENBUYAAwrHC.jpg
2020-10-21T19:44:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1319001730810474496
@karlmac1 @physicsufo @FBI I doubt it's anyone related to the actual Trump campaign.

But they are not in a strong position. They are in a terribly weak position. Republican politicians and financial backers are retreating from Trump as Biden leads by 10 points and is an 87% favorite to win. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4JAPWU8AEtQsT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek4JAPWU8AEtQsT.jpg
2020-10-21T17:52:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318973383070031874
@Jim94334698 @FBI Sounds plausible. But they DO have people's home addresses as well as

their emails. Probably using some hacked database, but not necessarily a voter registration one.

Although there's some information out there.
https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/american-voter-information-on-criminal-darknet-forums-was-not-hacked-federal-and-state-officials-said/2020/09/01/13415abc-ec8e-11ea-ab4e-581edb849379_story.html

---

2020-10-21T17:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318965791778246658
@joebob22102 @Chunkoftomato @FBI I can wait for the results of an investigation.

I'm voting for Joe, not Hunter.

2020-10-21T16:53:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318958643719540736
@stang289 I don't trust anyone absolutely, which is why I'd like them to present evidence. But I trust them a lot more than career politicians - especially this new highly transactional kleptocratic breed.

2020-10-21T16:48:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318957317354708992
@stang289 I'll believe it if the FBI investigate and present evidence.

2020-10-21T16:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318957085640466434
@stang289 Ad Fontes. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ad_Fontes_Media

---

2020-10-21T16:45:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318956581581582337
@Chunkoftomato @FBI I think it's a deliberate attempt to influence the election, engineered by Guliani, with help. Similar to the Mueller hoax, but more sophisticated. Truth sprinkled with lies.
https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2019/02/26/robert-mueller-hoax-how-jacob-wohl-created-sexual-harassment-plot/2993799002/

2020-10-21T16:39:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318955083355152384
@stang289 The Daily Mail might lean left from your perspective all the way over there. But they are very much a conservative paper. Low quality tabloid journalism.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3ejQAU8AE7BOy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3ejQAU8AE7BOy.jpg

2020-10-21T16:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318953750074064896

@stang289 The DNI? John Ratcliffe is an inexperienced political appointee that even the Republicans balked at appointing before Trump bulldozed him into position.

He's also released disinfo before, as DNI, for political purposes.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Ratcliffe_(American_politician)#Director_of_National_Intelligence

---

2020-10-21T16:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318952017151160321

@daneoddy @FBI Of course. Obviously, it's not coming from the Proud Boys head office. It might even be a misguided attempt at reverse psychology or anti-PB propaganda.

But either way, it's functionally voter intimidation. People I know are getting these emails.

2020-10-21T16:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318951274029608962

@stang289 Daily Mail is generally worse than Fox News in terms of its bias. But here they were repeating a story from Fox News, not doing original reporting.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3aqQHU0AQ4LNE.jpg

Fox News

Has this Media Source failed a fact check? **LET US KNOW HERE.**

SKIP THE ADS
Web Ad Blocker

Share:



Factual Reporting
Very High
High
Mostly Factual
MIXED
Low
Very Low

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3aqQHU0AQ4LNE.jpg

Daily Mail

Has this Media Source failed a fact check? **LET US KNOW HERE.**

Special offer!
Ask how to get 1 year of Amazon Business Prime Essentials on us.
Terms apply.
COMCAST BUSINESS
Get It Now
(855) 335-7919

Share:



Factual Reporting
Very High
High
Mostly Factual
Mixed
LOW
Very Low

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3andMVMAAJzIC.jpg
2020-10-21T16:13:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318948419474354181
Election dirty tricks. Threatening emails are being sent to registered Democrats telling them to change their party affiliation or someone will "come after" them.

This is highly illegal, and I hope that @FBI is giving it considerable attention.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3XcWAVgAULKgr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3XcWAVgAULKgr.jpg
2020-10-21T16:07:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318947121081380864
@stang289 You don't actually KNOW that. You've just been told that there's no firm evidence by anonymous sources related by Fox News.

2020-10-21T15:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318943536536977409
@stang289 That's an old conspiracy theory. I've got a chapter on it in my book. Chapter One, actually. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3UHj0U0AA6NyV.jpg

---

CHAPTER ONE

The “Conspiracy Theory” Conspiracy Theory

“Conspiracy theory” is a term that I’ve used extensively for a long time, and yet I initially struggled with it, and constantly tried to find alternatives.

The problem is that “conspiracy theory” (and “conspiracy theorist”) is considered by many to be deliberately derogatory. The fact that “conspiracy theory” is on the cover of this book might lead some people to dismiss the book as an attempt to mock or belittle the people who believe such things. But if you look at a typical dictionary definition it will be something like:

> *A theory that explains a situation or event as resulting from a secret plot by some powerful group.*

“Conspiracy theorist” is being simply defined as a person who believes a conspiracy theory. This is a perfectly reasonable definition that fits what 9/11 Truthers believe, or what JFK conspiracists believe, and what Chem-trailers, Moon landing hoaxers, Sandy Hook False Flaggers, and alien base

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ek3UHj0U0AA6NyV.jpg
2020-10-21T15:50:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318942820460195841
@LtTimMcMillan @5X5_NEWS @Husking24627272 @KRoach1035 @SingletrackAdam @nsaillant @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo The basic math on GoFast was done by multiple people back in March 2018.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/
Heck, even Corbell's "cold bird" nonsense was debunked back then.

2020-10-20T21:07:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318660211821793280
@ConceptualJames
https://knowyourmeme.com/memes/gonna-cry-gonna-piss-your-pants-maybe

---

2020-10-20T21:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318658821288468480

@Falkenn2 Because "official" to some people means that it's a confirmed advanced technology craft. The official word is simply that they are unidentified, not that they are interesting.

2020-10-20T16:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318596243778465793

@JamesGDAngelo You don't have to convince me of that - I'm a big fan of campaign finance reform. The point of my original tweet (which referenced Trump-related graft) was that real conspiracies exist. You've shown me a side of the campaign/money issue I'd not paid much attention to.

2020-10-20T16:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318595338479955972

@russian71805090 They didn't release it because they thought that the Franklin case was a hoax.

2020-10-20T16:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318594147394088960

@JamesGDAngelo This has all been very interesting, thanks for bringing it up. Money in politics is a complex, evolving, and highly-important issue. A REAL issue of, essentially, conspiracy.
It would be great if the theorists would redirect their efforts here.

2020-10-20T16:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318584482459365378

@Aleut1985 Real anons? Like in Anonymous, or just people on 8Kun?

What are they going to do? I've seen a lot of saber rattling in the past from Anonymous fans, but significant action seems rare.

2020-10-20T16:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318583922209353728

@Aleut1985 What's supposed to happen to him? Is he getting extradited?

2020-10-20T16:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318583654784724992

@BrowneBJ So how many kids in the US are abducted each year by strangers?

2020-10-20T16:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318583410818830337

@Aleut1985 "About to come out?" How do you know this?

2020-10-20T16:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318583009193283585

@BrowneBJ What data are you looking at?

2020-10-20T16:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318582884207190021

@JamesGDAngelo Those are not politicians.

2020-10-20T15:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318582549178843137
@russian71805090 The media has historically NOT ignored child abductions. Some of the largest stories in media history are about kidnapped or murdered children. From Lindburn to McCann - it's a popular story because people care.

A kid goes missing in my town and they send up a helicopter.

2020-10-20T15:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318581227964657664
@BrowneBJ Being real isn't the same thing as being ubiquitous. How many kids in the US are abducted each year by strangers?

2020-10-20T15:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318580953871077377
@JamesGDAngelo We're on the same team here. Monied interests have a negative influence on politics.

But why would a politician be, since birth, a fan of big oil if they were not contributing to (or supporting in some other way) his (or historically his father's) campaign?

2020-10-20T15:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318579468252844033
"Save the Children" is QAnon in disguise. It's also mostly baseless, playing off old misunderstandings like the false idea that 800,000 children are kidnapped every year.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Emo_pQgwfkk

2020-10-20T15:43:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318578498508705797
@JamesGDAngelo But the incumbents hold positions friendly to the lobbyists. The effect is the same.

2020-10-20T15:40:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318577828934242304
@JamesGDAngelo So why do lobbyists donate to campaigns? It's not "full-blown bribery", but it still an indirect quid-pro-quo.

Your quote from Edgar Lane combines two paragraphs as if they were contiguous. The original talks first about campaign contributions as part of the problem.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkyGnG_VcAEM5MO.jpg

But these activities were trivial, except for the moral turpitude involved;[16] the NAM placed far heavier reliance on more subtle and tangential avenues of approach. The committee found that the Association contributed through its agents to the election campaigns of properly-disposed Congressional candidates; that it carried on a "disguised propaganda campaign" through newspapers, publicists, speakers, and literature in schools, colleges, and civic organizations throughout the country; and that it had promoted employee alliances for use in opposing pro-labor Congressional candidates.[17]

These activities may have been less than forthright; they were certainly concealed as carefully as possible. But, withal, there was a notable absence of the widespread and systematic bribery which had disgraced Congresses in the past. If the report proved only that outright corruption was no longer a principal instrument of the lobby, it had served an important purpose.[18]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkyGnG_VcAEM5MO.jpg

2020-10-20T15:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318569902085607424

@JamesGDAngelo Why not both? Politicians require money to run their own campaigns as much as they desire that money not be spent against them. Your own page includes examples of the former. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkyAJQeVcAAtlGL.jpg

---

> The thing to do is to give the money to the candidate's "super PAC," where no limits apply, to pay for attack ads against the candidate's opponent.
>
> Editorial New York Times 2014
>
> **The Line at the 'Super PAC' Trough**

> The ethics report noted "strong-arm tactics" in which the lobbying firm threatened to withdraw financial support or encourage businesses to relocate out of a member's district.
>
> Jeremy Pelofsky 2010
>
> **Ex-lobbyist indicted for illegal campaign donations**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkyAJQeVcAAtlGL.jpg

2020-10-20T14:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318566348058812416

@benjimaan22 @UFOFanBoom1 No, it's some kind of sensor glitch. There's another image taken at the exact same time with the other camera, and there's nothing there.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mars-black-speck-ufo-sol-688-glitch.11424/

2020-10-19T23:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318335606422827008

@Ben42157816 Yes, that's the Wikipedia article I included in the first tweet.

2020-10-19T23:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318335412146900992

@AdmiralQuality @CultExpert It's really not that complicated. Accusing the press of lying is very simple and old tactic of deflecting criticism.

2020-10-19T22:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318320543007821824

@CaulfieldTim @bubela_tania @RetractionWatch Wouldn't most people writing articles (that formally cite something) be people who have institutional or work access to traditional journals?

2020-10-19T21:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318307796606054401

@AdmiralQuality Oh, there's plenty of other indications. You should check out The Cult of Trump by @CultExpert Steven Hassan.

Trump has been employing the "LÃ¼genpresse" tactic for a while. The "suppressive" term is just a more cultish variant.

2020-10-19T21:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318306583298723840

@Yugo__Boss It was a virtual talk!

2020-10-19T21:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318305690331406336

A UFO Videos talk I gave at UBC Vancouver a couple of weeks ago. I look at the videos in the context of the older solved cases of the LA Mystery Missile and the Chilean Navy UFO.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nwa-yYCEGEc

2020-10-19T21:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318302717794676736

@skytriangles Scientific American has endorsed Joe Biden, that does not suddenly mean Trump supporters should ignore science.
https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/scientific-american-endorses-joe-biden1/

2020-10-19T21:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318301164853555201

@leach_ross @pronickk @disneydave74 Why are you asking me? Haven't you searched for answers to these things yourself?

2020-10-19T21:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318300139681771520

@skytriangles Trump isn't saying "ignore the ads" he's saying "don't read the papers."

2020-10-19T20:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1318295765425344514
@AdmiralQuality The use of "suppressive person" by cults is not in dispute. It's in the Scientology and NXIVM manuals.

2020-10-19T20:58:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318295403695992832
This technique was also used in Keith Raniere's NXIVM cult, as seen on HBO's "The Vow" and in Sarah Ferguson's book "Scarred" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkuGOLOU0AEVRty.jpg

---

want online—it doesn't make it true. Stick it out until day three," he said. "Then decide for yourself. Be critical thinkers."

Until day three, I kept letting my white sash slip off during class. Incidentally, we received a lesson that third day about "suppressive" people, basically anyone who questioned any of the principles of the organization or wouldn't pay "tribute" to the founder Keith Raniere—Vanguard. Anytime you refused to acknowledge someone in a positive way or even go so far to take pleasure in their suffering, that was what ESP considered suppressive. In the real world, another word for suppressive would be "mean," like making jokes at another's expense or taking someone down
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkuGOLOU0AEVRty.jpg
2020-10-19T20:53:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318294313328017411
Two big signs you are in a cult are being overworked so you don't have time to think, and being told not to read criticism from "suppressives". Trump just did both on his call to campaign workers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkuFKLMUUAABdPI.jpg

---

Suppressive Person

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Suppressive Person, often abbreviated **SP**, is a term used in Scientology to describe the "antisocial personalities" who, according to Scientology's founder L. Ron Hubbard, make up about 2.5% of the population. A statement on a Church of Scientology website describes this group as including notorious historic figures such as Adolf Hitler.[1]

The term is often applied to those whom the Church perceives as its enemies, such as those whose "disastrous" and "suppressive" acts are said to impede the progress of individual Scientologists or the Scientology movement.[2]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkuFKLMUUAABdPI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkuEzOuUcAELCGX.jpg
2020-10-19T18:58:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318265259119976448
@micksabox If it's dirt on the sensor then it persists from image to image.
The transitory ones are either transmission glitches or things like cosmic rays (although those are generally white).

2020-10-19T14:46:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318201952610574336
@micksabox There's a variety of similar artifacts, which vary by camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.3103/

2020-10-19T14:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1318200772807438336
@of_skeptical The camera drifts slightly relative to the ocean at the start because it's stabilized pointing but there's no designated target, it's not in snowplow mode. If it WAS in snowplow mode it would be moving a lot faster.

Once there's a lock, the math shows slow object speed.

2020-10-18T22:11:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317951479756464130
@JanetJhs I think I'd rather have him out helping people though. If people are being honest about their awakening from a cult then I don't see much good coming out of prosecuting them for their past selves. But I'm a sucker for redemption.

2020-10-18T21:39:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317943453871792128
@JanetJhs What would you suggest they should be doing instead?
It seems like they all did quite a bit of work in helping people individual out of the cult - in part because they felt guilting about recruiting.

2020-10-18T20:35:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317927228022218752
@of_skeptical Again, think about where the camera is pointing.

It's on a jet at 24,000 feet, going 300+mph, TRACKING a patch of water at 0 feet, flying past a balloon that's at 13,000 feet.

Before the lock, what would that look like?

2020-10-18T00:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317623594063523840

@JLuvin A lot of the time people exit quietly. They just leave without conflict and say they have other priorities.

2020-10-18T00:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317623230304112640

@Fibonacci222 What harm am I causing? You think people are missing out on some important truth about the "god gene" story because I debunked a video about it but didn't cover all the other aspects?

How different would it be if I did nothing at all?

2020-10-18T00:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317622396740726784

@JLuvin You save face by not admitting you were entirely wrong - you just frame it as a minor calculation error or a last-minute change that you helped bring about. Like Apocalypse happens next year, or you saved the world with your faith!

2020-10-17T22:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317589956324257792

@Fibonacci222 You think my personal opinions are skewed because I focus on removing things that are wrong from a topic. So I get the (possibly false) impression that the topic is all or mostly based on falsehoods?

Why is this a problem for anyone but me?

2020-10-17T21:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317585611469979648

@Fibonacci222 What's wrong with a true debunk though? Why do I have to address the entirety of a topic when pointing out one bit of evidence is true?

2020-10-17T21:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317579446296088576

@ufotodaynetwork Where do the cool Q people hang out now? If I wanted to mainline Q, where would I go?

2020-10-17T21:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317579201021497344

@Fibonacci222 I address the claims of evidence, not the broader topics.

I don't say "ghosts are not real because this photo is just a reflection." I say "this photo is just a

reflection, and here's why."

I don't say "Skinwalker isn't haunted", I say "that's a fly, here are some other flies"

2020-10-17T21:22:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317576655573905408
@VirgeenaHurts @MolotoVNKA @disneydave74 Adults typically don't believe in some new Santa after realizing (as kids) old Santa was a lie.

Check the facts though, don't put any weight in what I say.

2020-10-17T20:42:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317566710010978304
@VirgeenaHurts @MolotoVNKA @disneydave74 I'm not afraid that the things that fooled me at 13 will fool me again at 53.

2020-10-17T20:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317565994504605696
@ufotodaynetwork So what's with these people?

2020-10-17T20:29:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317563435513573376
What's going to happen to the QAnon believers who think JFK Jr is going to return from the dead, when he doesn't?

Some will admit they're wrong, maybe start to get out. But many will just carry on regardless, like cult members when the prophesied apocalypse fails to arrive.
https://twitter.com/travis_view/status/1317474081034301443

2020-10-17T20:15:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317559931034238976
@MolotoVNKA @disneydave74 What did I say that was false?

2020-10-17T20:10:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317558668385136640
@mission_europa It came out in 2008, before major social media and was just one track on Modern Guilt, so it didn't really have much of an effect.
Beck only has 390K Insta followers even now, LDR has 17.4 Million
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjoFbBU8AUAQY7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjoFbBU8AUAQY7.jpg
2020-10-17T20:02:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317556632369336320
@VirgeenaHurts @MolotoVNKA @disneydave74 There are more details here:
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2020-10-17T18:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317527587053162496
@VirgeenaHurts @disneydave74 J. Marvin Herndon, PhD, has published many papers arguing there's evidence of chemtrails.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J._Marvin_Herndon

It all kind of depends on who you consider a "serious academic". Was Eric Davis a serious academic when he said Uri Geller was legit?

I stick with the evidence.

2020-10-17T18:02:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317526291222990848
@pronickk @disneydave74 Just to reinforce the point - even quite large objects from the planes made it out largely in one piece. There's some photos and eyewitness accounts here:
https://sites.google.com/site/wtc7lies/aircraftpartsnyc911
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjKlclVgAEyFe-.jpg



Figure 6d. Debris at the roof level of 130 Liberty Plaza—seat from American Airlines plane.



Figure 6c. Debris at the roof level of 130 Liberty Plaza—American Airlines life vest.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjKlclVgAEyFe-.jpg

2020-10-17T17:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317524342515789825

@VirgeenaHurts @disneydave74 No, and no.

I can't really understand why you would think either of those things might be true.

Why would I only try to debunk things that have merit? I debunk things that contain bunk.

2020-10-17T17:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317523931306225664

@pronickk @disneydave74 If I ever get a Conspiracy Mythbusters show, then blowing up passports is going to be one of the first things I try :)

2020-10-17T17:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317523628246773761

Lana Del Rey's upcoming album "Chemtrails over the Country Club" is going have a significant impact on the search results for "chemtrail" when it finally gets released.

Will it breath new life into the aged conspiracy, or swamp it?

2020-10-17T17:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317521916878483457

@pronickk @disneydave74 See that's just another misunderstanding of physics.

Many items from the plane were found. The plane crashed, the fuel exploded. Light objects at the extremities (like the cockpit) get pushed outward.

2020-10-17T17:42:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317521382532476929

@disneydave74 I don't think it really JUST comes down to money. Most of the members of AE911T are true believers who mean well, but just have trouble understanding why the towers collapsed as they did.

Even Gage was likely a true believer, but he now has no incentive to reconsider.

2020-10-17T17:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317516841233784834

@disneydave74 AE911T has over $0.5 million dollars yearly budget. It's a full-time job to promote the conspiracy theory, for at least Richard Gage and Kelly David (in 2018.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjCE5fUUAAqRBL.jpg

Form **990**

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)

► Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public.

► Go to *www.irs.gov/Form990* for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2018

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

A For the 2018 calendar year, or tax year beginning , 2018, and ending , 20

B Check if applicable:
- ☐ Address change
- ☐ Name change
- ☐ Initial return
- ☐ Final return/terminated
- ☐ Amended return
- ☐ Application pending

C Name of organization ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS FOR 9/11 TRUTH

Doing business as

Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address): 2342 SHATTUCK AVE PMB 189

Room/suite

City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code: BERKELEY, CA 94704-1517

D Employer identification no.: 26-1532493

E Telephone number: (510)292-4710

G Gross receipts: $ 559,252

F Name and address of principal officer:

H(a) Is this a group return for subordinates? ☐ Yes ☒ No

H(b) Are all subordinates included? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If "No," attach a list. (see instructions)

I Tax-exempt status: ☒ 501(c)(3) ☐ 501(c) ( ) ◄ (insert no.) ☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐ 527

J Website: ► WWW.AE911TRUTH.ORG

H(c) Group exemption number ►

K Form of organization: ☒ Corporation ☐ Trust ☐ Association ☐ Other ►

L Year of formation: 2007

M State of legal domicile: CA

Part I Summary

1 Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: OUR MISSION IS TO RESEARCH, COMPILE AND DISSEMINATE EVIDENCE RELATIVE TO THE DESTRUCTION OF THE THREE WORLD TRADE CENTER SKYSCRAPERS, CALLING FOR A TRULY OPEN AND INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORTING OTHERS IN THE PURSUIT OF JUSTICE.

2 Check this box ► ☐ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets.

Activities & Governance	3 Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)	3	6
	4 Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b)	4	3
	5 Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2018 (Part V, line 2a)	5	6
	6 Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)	6	
	7a Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12	7a	0
	b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 38	7b	0

		Prior Year	Current Year
Revenue	8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)	523,087	537,042
	9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)	1,310	3,187
	10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	1,188	6
	11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)	(19,303)	(45)
	12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	506,282	540,190
Expenses	13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)	733	903
	14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)		0
	15 Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)	261,991	278,274
	16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)	125	0
	b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ► 42,565		
	17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)	237,604	272,933
	18 Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	500,453	552,110
	19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12	5,829	(11,920)

		Beginning of Current Year	End of Year
Net Assets or Fund Balances	20 Total assets (Part X, line 16)	85,357	63,945
	21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)	18,075	8,583
	22 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20	67,282	55,362

Part II Signature Block

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.

Sign Here

RICHARD GAGE
Signature of officer — Date

RICHARD GAGE, PRESIDENT
Type or print name and title

Paid Preparer Use Only

Print/Type preparer's name	Preparer's signature	Date	Check ☒ if self-employed	PTIN
Patrick A. McDermott	Patrick A. McDermott	11-14-2019		XXXXXXXX

Firm's name ► Patrick A. McDermott CPA — Firm's EIN ►

Firm's address ► 1442A Walnut St PMB 78, Berkeley CA 94709-1405 — Phone no. 510-684-2033

May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions) ☐ Yes ☒ No

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the separate instructions. Form 990 (2018)

EEA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkjCE5fUUAAqRBL.jpg

2020-10-17T16:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317509323988242433

The conspiracy promoters "Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth" seem to negate the reason for their own existence. Why do we need these "experts" if deducing the use of explosive on 9/11 only needs "eyes and a brain."

It's just a sad story of guys who misunderstand physics.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eki65aXVoAAcsCB.jpg

Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth
6m ·

It's plain to see. If you're not in denial. https://AE911Truth.org



69 2 Comments 10 Shares

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eki65aXVoAAcsCB.jpg
2020-10-17T15:44:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317491751280537608
@of_skeptical I think perhaps you've got a rather limited personal understanding of parallax.

Do you think that the object is moving really fast, or are you just making a semantic point?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkirryZVgAIV3lM.jpg

1.

a. Difference or change in the apparent position or direction of an object as seen from two different points; (*Astronomy*) such a difference or change in the position of a celestial object as seen from different points on the earth's surface or from opposite points in the earth's orbit around the sun. Also: (half of) the angular amount

Thesaurus »
Categories »

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkirryZVgAIV3lM.jpg

2020-10-17T15:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317490042474881025

@Wenger_Knows @Alien_Scientist @ET__GOD You said it's an unidentified flying object. If those are not clouds (which they are not), then it's not flying.

2020-10-17T15:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317489502147891200

@stang289 Do you think this particular story is fake news?

2020-10-17T04:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317323352122388485

@Fibonacci222 So show one.

2020-10-17T04:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317323099281313792

@ET__GOD @Wenger_Knows

https://www.google.com/search?q=Rime+ice+on+mt+washington&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkgSX3cVcAIv3C-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkgSX3cVcAIv3C-.jpg

2020-10-17T04:31:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317322356126183425
@ET__GOD @Wenger_Knows It's a clump of rime ice on Mt Washington.

Those are not clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkgRnbpVgAEUO_5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkgRnbpVgAEUO_5.jpg
2020-10-16T23:44:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317249987575599105
@Fibonacci222 I don't claim to debunk the parent topic. I often make a point in my videos saying that debunking one thing does not debunk another. Example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ&feature=youtu.be&t=305

2020-10-16T22:29:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317231213459877889
@Fibonacci222 I pick things that are wrong. Then I explain why they are wrong.

This can only help any holistic approach.

2020-10-16T21:36:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317217921433628672
@of_skeptical @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo Remember the camera is looking to the left of the jet, so it's kind of flying past the object.

2020-10-16T21:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317217439550111744
@of_skeptical @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo Hmm. You might need to take a bit of

time and think about that.

If the camera was locked on the ocean, and the object was not moving, and it was half way between the ocean and the plane. Then what would that look like?

2020-10-16T21:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317216899961294848

@Fibonacci222 Who hasn't? I make mistakes all the time. It's human. I quite often initially misidentify a plane, for example. Like with the Chilean UFO case.

2020-10-16T21:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317216226867736577

@GetAFreakinGrip I'd keep asking him for his new single best evidence, but do it slowly. It's hard to know what will take, and you often have let things sink in.

2020-10-16T21:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317214504308994048

@GetAFreakinGrip It's a good start.

2020-10-16T21:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317214320372011008

@Fibonacci222 The point is that it initially SEEMS like a thankless task. But when I hear someone got out of the rabbit hole starting with a debunk like this, then it reminds me that I am actually helping people - even when it does not seem like it.

2020-10-16T21:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317213701955407872

@Flyingh43892139 @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo I don't bother because I think Ruben (Commodore (ret), Argentine Air Force, Head of the Argentine Air Force Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research.) handled it quite well. Take it up with him. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2020-10-16T20:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317207943666827265

Debunking false claims might seem like a thankless task. But it can work. You just don't know which debunk is going to be to one that will help someone start questioning, and eventually escape the rabbit hole.

Maybe this one helped. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ekeo9QEVkAEC4m9.jpg

---



Related Article: How QAnon uses religion to lure unsuspecting Christians

But there was one particular piece of "proof" he was still holding on to.

It went like this: A QAnon follower had supposedly asked Q to tell President Trump to use the phrase "tip top" in a speech. Then Trump did.

To Jadeja, that had been proof that Q existed and had the ear of the president.

But then, as his doubts mounted, he decided to research it further and came across a YouTube video that showed other times Trump had previously said the phrase or something similar. Suddenly "tip top" was no longer irrefutable proof, it was probably just coincidence.

For others, that might have easily been glossed over, a blip easily dismissed in their belief. But for Jadeja, who was nearing a break with QAnon, it was a turning point.

"It was the worst feeling I had in my life," Jadeja said.

That's when he went outside for a smoke.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkeopGMVgAAHUXZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ekeo9QEVkAEC4m9.jpg

2020-10-16T20:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317198660355067904

@of_skeptical @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo 2/2 Then the camera locks onto the balloon, it's now tracking that. The plane keeps going, so it looks like the ocean is moving. Parallax, just with tracking a different thing.

2020-10-16T20:18:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317198149845352448

@of_skeptical @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo 1/2 At the beginning of the video the jet is still moving at the same speed. Yet the ocean is not moving. The reason being is that the camera is in ground-track mode, it's essentially tracking a region of the ocean. The parallax effect is there, but it's backwards.

2020-10-16T19:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317187717633961985

@russian71805090 How about the GRU's IRA?

https://www.lawfareblog.com/what-mueller-report-tells-us-about-russian-influence-operations

---

2020-10-16T19:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317187463056535552

@JunkScience @HarvardHBS @RebeccaReCap Being opposed to unregulated capitalism is not the same thing as communism.

2020-10-16T19:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317187010121981952

@Thucydides___ For some, sure. But not for everyone. The stories of former believers are powerful tools that can be used to reach people who are stuck down the rabbit hole.

2020-10-16T19:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317186782392406023

@russian71805090 Proof that they might like it? Isn't it OBVIOUS they like it? You see them using it all the time on RT to dismiss the slightest hint of suspicion of Russian meddling.

2020-10-16T19:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317185102946861056

@russian71805090 Okay, so you were just changing the topic.

Have you ever considered the idea that ALL Russian meddling efforts have been "debunked" might be a narrative that the Russians like?

2020-10-16T19:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317183444762292224

@cbdwizard @Tsoukalos But do you realizing why @JeremyCorbell was wrong about that? And that Fravor repeated Corbell's mistake?

2020-10-16T19:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317183069686648832

@russian71805090 This appears to be an attempt to change the topic? Or it there some connection to the video I just linked?

2020-10-16T18:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317174794199076865
@cbdwizard However, these videos are not inexplicable. GOFAST, for example, fits perfectly with being a balloon. It's not entirely incompatible with a bird.

The fact that there's different shit we can't explain has no bearing on the plausibility of hypotheses of unrelated videos.

2020-10-16T18:34:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317172002344480768
A deep and illuminating dive into the precursors to QAnon. Some fascinating and troubling perspectives from various experts on the topics.

https://www.ft.com/video/372cac40-0f6f-498b-8c19-7b635142296e

---

2020-10-16T18:30:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317170987360645120
RT @DKeithClimate: Terrific new documentary just released on geoengineering from Germany's Deutsche Welle (DW). Features Ulrike Lohmann (ETâ¦

2020-10-16T17:48:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317160626456723457
@BitcoinDynamics @hoaxeye Unfortunately, any conspiracy theory is able to cherry-pick something that seems to validate it slightly.

2020-10-16T17:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317160227368701952
@PeasantbyN Can you DM me? I'd like to talk more about this.

2020-10-16T17:43:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317159362381508608
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @5X5_NEWS @shadowsmagazine @robwatsonauthor @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo Who does?

2020-10-16T17:43:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317159182181699584
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @5X5_NEWS @shadowsmagazine @robwatsonauthor @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo Who thinks that? I don't think the slow-moving radar blips were jets, nor do I think the thing that did a 1000g apparent descent was a jet, I don't think Fravor circled a jet. I don't' think GOFAST was a jet.
I think FLIR1 was a jet. I think GIMBAL was a jet. That's all.

2020-10-16T17:40:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317158594647789568
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @5X5_NEWS @shadowsmagazine @robwatsonauthor @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo I don't think Kevin Day was entirely wrong (although some details are no-doubt a bit fuzzy now). Obviously, the radar was showing something odd during those days. The question is what it was, if it was real objects, and if there's a connection to Fravor's and

Underwood's things.

2020-10-16T17:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317157462286299136

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @5X5_NEWS @shadowsmagazine @robwatsonauthor @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo What's "there", exactly? A few people telling conflicting stories?

2020-10-16T17:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317157255909842951

@PeasantbyN Interesting, was this all pre-qanon? What got you started?

2020-10-16T17:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317156730975916037

@GJosbi @XianMatar @SenatorReid The documentary said Harry Reid confirmed that UFOs were interfering with our nukes.

This seems like a misrepresentation as they were unable to quote him actually saying anything along those lines. All he did was repeat some stories he'd read.

2020-10-16T17:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317151903197790208

@SocialistFT Why now? Their memories, true or false, are fixed echos of the past now. That ship has sailed.

2020-10-16T17:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317150979523899392

@cbdwizard That's kind of funny because strawman memes like "cold seagull" are exactly the type of simplistic critic-discrediting tactic that cults use.

You're ignoring what I'm actually saying, and going with a Corbell caricature. Why?

2020-10-16T17:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317150260142075905

@GJosbi @XianMatar @SenatorReid Debunking means removing bunk. So what I do is identify something that is false, and then explain that to people, so they stop thinking and/or claiming that one thing is true.

I don't choose what is false. I investigate.

Any field should be improved if false claims are removed

2020-10-16T16:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317137907736485888

Former QAnon follower describes how the conspiracy theory sucked him in almost without noticing - "it was insidious, how it slowly disconnected me from reality"
https://twitter.com/donie/status/1317131411288170496

2020-10-16T15:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317121787235217421
@TenoriThrowaway @rpowell2u @LueElizondo My work does not contradict the official determination (it is unidentified). My work does not contradict the information in the video.

The only person making contradictory claims here is Lue. And he's doing it based on vague secret knowledge that he won't even describe.

2020-10-16T15:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317121040120598531
@buffcommacu I stand by what I said 100%. Fox does not provide actual quotes of Reid CONFIRMING that "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]"

It would be earth-shattering news if this was actually confirmed. But Fox somehow forgot to get it on tape? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkdZeD6VMAAPEgh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkdZeD6VMAAPEgh.jpg
2020-10-16T14:50:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1317115675211485185
@GJosbi @XianMatar @SenatorReid Former officials and servicemen are not current officials.

They are retired.

2020-10-16T14:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317114371059773441

@TenoriThrowaway @rpowell2u @LueElizondo Under your scenario, it's a video of an object that, based on the data on the screen, appears to be high and slow, yet there exists data that has not been shown, or even described, that indicates it is low and fast and that the ATFLIR instruments are giving erroneous readings?

2020-10-16T14:41:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317113399843594244

@CandiPeter01 @SpaceDadOrbis @LueElizondo @JeremyCorbell The angular measurements are not consistent around the 0Â° gimbal transit. You'll note it flips from 1Â°R to 1Â°L and back again, also 4/3/4 near the start. So I use the angles to the left of 1Â°L to determine the angular velocity before it "leaves".

2020-10-16T14:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317111656992841728

@DavidMu86437761 @edwardcurrent @cryptokeeper198 @LueElizondo It appears to get a solid radar return with range, consistent with a balloon with a radar reflector.

Size and shape, while hard to determine due to low resolution, look more like a 3' to 6' sphere than a large bird.

However, this is not 100%.

2020-10-16T14:24:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1317109069086289920

@rpowell2u @LueElizondo Why are you addressing the tone of my tweet instead of the content?

Lue's a tough guy, a trained special agent. I'm sure he can take, even welcome, direct and honest criticism. The real issue here is why he's ignoring these problems with TTSA's video analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkdPhUqUYAAtrED.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkdPhUqUYAAtrED.jpg

2020-10-16T00:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316902007249227777
@edwardcurrent @cryptokeeper198 @LueElizondo A balloon seems more likely, and I hesitate even to correct Corbell's "analysis" because I don't want it to seem like I'm promoting the "bird" hypothesis. But he was wrong, backward even. Outer feathers at 13,000 feet will be colder than the ocean. Otherwise, the bird would die.

2020-10-15T20:52:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316844535054434304
@shadowsmagazine @Oswald1160 @5X5_NEWS @robwatsonauthor @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo With GOFAST, all we have is the video.

2020-10-15T20:32:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316839303088009216
@keithkloor @b5t1an @AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo Well, there are things like this, at a UFO conference. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uAoAoFGQ9eI

2020-10-15T20:29:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316838725653991424
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I don't think the DoD and intel told him differently. I think he's just handwavingly extrapolating the DoD saying they are UAP and other pilots telling stories about other events, into some kind of validation of GOFAST.
But it's not low, and not fast.

2020-10-15T20:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316837638947565569
@b5t1an @AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo I think Lue clearly believes. I don't know about Mellon exactly. Delonge and Justice seem to believe in aliens.

2020-10-15T20:24:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316837316464263168
@_jraza @AFSUnidentified @LueElizondo But demonstrating what IS wrong, the entire subject is moved closer to what is right.

Wouldn't you want the bunk removed?

2020-10-15T20:21:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316836578212290560
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Here's another. No buffeting. Apparent straight motion. Not complicated.
https://youtu.be/QwzvNAAqH3g?t=7560

2020-10-15T20:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316835812160356353
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Nobody has disputed the data being plugged in.

2020-10-15T20:16:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316835477895344129

@b5t1an @AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo They believe in aliens. They think they are pushing disclosure forwards. But they are messing up by allowing crap "evidence", and then ignoring corrections.

Their lack of rigor means science won't take them seriously. But it helps with TV shows.

2020-10-15T20:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316834967402414080

@SpaceDadOrbis @LueElizondo Math is not conjecture.

2020-10-15T20:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316834851924791298

@Corey7999 @conorowens94 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Because they don't disagree with me.

And any who supposedly disagree are entirely silent about it.

2020-10-15T20:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316834417654915073

@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo And Mellon misses the point that the majority of the motion on screen comes from the motion of the jet, which IS flying very fast along a smooth line.

2020-10-15T20:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316834029883121664

@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Observation. Here are some large balloons at 8,000 feet. Not being buffeted. You even get a bit of parallax.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=neCEqjdo6f8&feature=youtu.be&t=113

2020-10-15T20:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316833053419823104

@Corey7999 @conorowens94 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Sure, but I'm not hearing any experts (who have actually done the math) disagree with me. Nobody has suggested HOW the numbers on screen might be wrong. Certainly not TTSA. They just ignore the problem.

2020-10-15T20:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316832553513312256

@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Yes, I do. It's generally not. Any large balloon is going to sail along quite smoothly.

2020-10-15T20:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316831777462218753

@RollingRock @5X5_NEWS @TTSAcademy @LueElizondo They are genuine videos of UAPs. But they also probably show jets and a ballon. They don't demonstrate any unusual physics, or novel propulsion mechanisms.

I don't think the DoD disagrees with me there.

2020-10-15T20:00:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316831368790241281
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo So you think that the wind at 13,000 feet is turbulent?

2020-10-15T19:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316830180011171840
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Do you think that Mellon's reasons why he thinks it's not a balloon are valid? There's no hidden information being used here.

He's just wrong. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZR4wcU8AAAJv2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZR4wcU8AAAJv2.jpg
2020-10-15T19:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316829402211127296
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo There's your problem.

2020-10-15T19:48:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316828371116941313
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo The speed, which means he also agrees about the altitude.
The other stuff he was just wrong about. It's all consistent with a balloon.

2020-10-15T19:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316828124886122496
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Although he failed to follow up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZQL6HVkAEs376.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZQL6HVkAEs376.jpg
2020-10-15T19:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316827644147556352
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo Mellon agreed.

2020-10-15T19:45:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316827458323189760
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 It doesn't change the issue. It just means if TTSA continues to use the video as evidence of a low fast object that is not a balloon, then they are being either incompetent or dishonest.

2020-10-15T19:41:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316826459575447552
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo But does it make it wrong?

Since you don't have this data, then you're just speculating.

That fact remains that given the data we have, then GOFAST is not low and not going fast.

2020-10-15T19:39:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316825946821783552
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I'm not trying to address the nebulous. I'm addressing the very specific claims made by TTSA about these videos. They are demonstrably wrong. They probably know this and are just stalling.

But maybe they don't know. Maybe they are really that clueless.

Prove me wrong Lue.

2020-10-15T19:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316825271157153792
@b5t1an @AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo Elizondo pushes it because the videos are the most compelling thing that he's got.

So he's studiously ignoring any real analysis that might diminish their supposed significance.

2020-10-15T19:35:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316824932148420608
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo So basically it's right based on the data that's available.

But you postulate that some other data might possibly exist in the universe that would make it wrong?

2020-10-15T19:25:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316822432326377472
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo So if it's wrong, then why is it wrong?

2020-10-15T19:24:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316822173420408832
@Corey7999 @PredatoryPanic @LueElizondo We have the altitude of the plane, the distance to the object, and the angle the camera is pointing downwards.

That's sufficient to calculate the altitude, no?

2020-10-15T19:19:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316821101712875521
@Corey7999 @thef14coomer @LueElizondo You obviously know math, Corey. So what's wrong with the GOFAST analysis?

2020-10-15T19:18:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316820759851851776
@PredatoryPanic @Corey7999 @LueElizondo Not about this. He's ignoring the glaring issue of the figures on the screen saying the opposite of what he is saying.

He's dismissing math as "opinion".

2020-10-15T19:17:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316820466867163136
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I haven't figured out if it's a balloon or a bird. I think it's PROBABLY a ballon. But it's still unidentified, just like the Navy says.

That does not mean it's high and fast. It's not. You can do the math. Not difficult. Lue could do it.

2020-10-15T19:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316819632842448896
@AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo How do you know that the government thinks that GOFAST is "low and fast"?

And if it's true, then why can't Elizondo explain why the numbers on-screen show that it's high and slow?

2020-10-15T19:11:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316818972113661952
@AFSUnidentified @SocialistFT @LueElizondo There's is no official government assessment that GOFAST is low and fast.

2020-10-15T19:10:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316818781876830208
@SicCoP1 @Oswald1160 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Elizondo does not know what conclusion the DoD has come to. I'm pretty sure they can do simple trig.

2020-10-15T19:09:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316818460303785984
@Corey7999 @thef14coomer @LueElizondo Have you actually checked it? It's not that complicated. Just very very basic trig.

2020-10-15T19:07:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316818078181720064
@thef14coomer @Corey7999 @LueElizondo Quite obviously Lue is unfamiliar with the actual analysis of GOFAST and is simply handwaving generalities about things he might or might not have seen.

If he has more data, then he can explain WHY the RNG and slant angle trig analysis is wrong.

He won't, because he can't.

2020-10-15T19:03:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316817043748864000
@SicCoP1 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 No, they are not. The DoD did not report that GOFAST was high speed and close to the water. They said NOTHING about the GOFAST video, other than it was a real video.

2020-10-15T19:02:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316816757160505345
@Corey7999 @LueElizondo He's got no idea what he's talking about.

2020-10-15T18:59:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316816043097038848
@SicCoP1 @LueElizondo No, I don't. I mean Lue Elizondo, @ChristopherKMe4, et al.

2020-10-15T18:57:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316815562341842945

If @LueElizondo has actually had the "the best at DoD and the Intelligence Community" look at the "GO FAST" video, then why does his own web site STILL say it's "high speed at low altitude" and "very low across the water"?
All demonstrably false. Lue has been wrong FOR YEARS!
https://twitter.com/LueElizondo/status/1316802890711719936
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZErbGU8AAcu9x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkZErbGU8AAcu9x.jpg
2020-10-15T17:33:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316794240211460096
@ufoofinterest @flightradar24 And it was going to San Bernadino, so would be continuing in that direction.

2020-10-15T17:25:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1316792436128182273
@ufoofinterest @flightradar24 I think you nailed it. That blimp is flying along the exact same path the "ufo" seems to be taking http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkYvoVYU4AAb1YP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkYvoVYU4AAb1YP.jpg

2020-10-14T23:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316520045250404352

@mrzip1980 Yeah, the seminar is at the local 7th-day church in Granite Bay.

2020-10-14T21:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316497305629990913

@ztrussell It's not really clear. The seminar is only three days. Maybe Nov 14 is the day the world ends?

2020-10-14T21:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316489270450774016

Amongst all the political flyers that pack my mailbox, this one caught my eye.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkUbwTrVgAANllS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkUbwTrVgAANllS.jpg

2020-10-14T20:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316474295686627329

@UFOFanBoom1 @Manning123Sm @GarryPNolan Nobody said anything at all about ruling anything out. Quit making up strawmen.

2020-10-14T20:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316472899922878464

@UFOFanBoom1 @Manning123Sm @GarryPNolan How would that even be possible? If

something is of unknown origin, then aliens might have made it.

2020-10-14T20:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316469588251475968

@UFOFanBoom1 He likes the topic. He has friends who like the topic. He's (somewhat) down the UFO rabbit hole.

2020-10-14T20:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316469305563705345

@Manning123Sm @UFOFanBoom1 @GarryPNolan He already said it does not mean ET.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkUJz-3U4AAik_2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkUJz-3U4AAik_2.jpg

2020-10-14T19:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316454128785088512

@UFOFanBoom1 Yeah, he's a bit vague about what evidence he's referring to.

2020-10-14T19:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316453889432985600

@Manning123Sm @UFOFanBoom1 Why? Is it impossible to make that on Earth?

Obviously, it's not found in nature. But can't you just blend it? (i.e. melt together whatever the ratio of different isotopes in a vacuum oven)

2020-10-14T18:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316453317958987776

@UFOFanBoom1 It's really not that high a bar.

2020-10-14T18:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316452804228153356

@UFOFanBoom1 Overwhelming evidence that disproves something or establishes a significant and unexpected fact is always a game-changer. Obviously.

Assuming by "ET in origin", you mean "manufactured by aliens" and not just "meteorites"

2020-10-14T18:51:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316451510578941952

@UFOFanBoom1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkT5qv_UYAAhxE0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkT5qv_UYAAhxE0.jpg

2020-10-14T18:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316450715112357888

@UFOFanBoom1 Vallee and Nolan didn't say it was ET, just that it was artificial.

Humans also make artificial things.

2020-10-14T17:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316438135081971712

@UFOFanBoom1 I think it's a combination of things, but mostly it's driven by TTSA and the successful media campaign of their entertainment division. Their agenda is to try to figure out UFOs and to make money.

2020-10-14T17:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316435739392053248

@murnut Sure, because the media likes to bring it up because it's a fascinating topic to a lot of people, and a welcome distraction.

But you hear them laugh as they ask, and you hear his bemusement when he answers.

2020-10-14T17:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316433354221252608

@SicCoP1 Sadly it's Popular Mechanics. Kyle Mizokami.

https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/weapons/a34361453/trump-acknowledges-ufos-and-threatens-aliens/

2020-10-14T16:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316409564581953536

Trump has neither "acknowledge UFOs" nor has he threatened aliens with military action.

He was asked about the task force on unidentified airspace incursions. He knew nothing about it so he he pivoted into giving a short stump speech on how great the military is. That's all.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkTSVs7VoAUcjwR.jpg

Trump Acknowledges UFOs, Threatens Aliens With Military Action

There's so much we need to unpack here.

// BY KYLE MIZOKAMI OCT 14, 2020



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/CHIP SOMODEVILLA/GETTY

- Earlier this week, Fox News anchor Maria Bartiromo asked President Donald Trump about the Pentagon's new UFO task force.
- Trump promised to look into the government's UFO program.
- The president also answered with a vague, somewhat threatening speech about the power of the U.S. military.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkTSVs7VoAUcjwR.jpg

2020-10-14T00:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316180825759608832

@UfoWatchdog I don't disagree with what he SAID. I just don't think he explicitly confirmed that "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]"

2020-10-13T21:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316130268843929600

@adrian_probert @JLuvin We don't know what was said in them. So what's to remember?

2020-10-13T21:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316130084726599680

@JLuvin @adrian_probert Someone should collect this small amount of truth somewhere.

2020-10-13T21:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316129957152579584

@JLuvin @adrian_probert So then I WON'T have to admit anything?

2020-10-13T21:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316122095382794240

@JLuvin @adrian_probert Surely if there was such an obvious non-prosaic reality then that would be established by now.

2020-10-13T20:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316121246828912640

@DrewWilliamson4 @conorowens94 Nuclear weapon related information has a special classification all its own: "restricted data" and requires more hoops to be jumped through for declassification.

https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML1327/ML13274A489.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkPNJYIUcAAfQtl.jpg

Sec. 142. Classification and Declassification of Restricted Data

a. The Commission shall from time to time determine the data, within the definition of Restricted Data, which can be published without undue risk to the common defense and security and shall thereupon cause such data to be declassified and removed from the category of Restricted Data.

b. The Commission shall maintain a continuous review of Restricted Data and of any Classification guides issued for the guidance of those in the atomic energy program with respect to the areas of Restricted Data which have been declassified in order to determine which information may be declassified and removed from the category of Restricted Data without undue risk to the common defense and security.

c. In the case of Restricted Data which the Commission and the Department of Defense jointly determine to relate primarily to the military utilization of atomic weapons, the determination that such data may be published without constituting an unreasonable risk to the

84 Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (P.L. 83–703)

---

common defense and security shall be made by the Commission and the Department of Defense jointly, and if the Commission and the Department of Defense do not agree, the determination shall be made by the President.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkPNJYIUcAAfQtl.jpg

2020-10-13T20:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316109151022379008

@JLuvin @adrian_probert A "rash of sightings" doesn't necessarily mean anything. There was a rash of sightings of tiny pits in windshields in 1954. Wasn't anything more than people looking for tiny pits. Often happens with UFOs.

https://www.npr.org/sections/npr-history-dept/2015/05/28/410085713/the-windshield-pitting-mystery-of-1954 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkPCESMUYAAdQyx.jpg

---



A man shows his pitted windshield to a police officer in Seattle in 1954

Museum of History & Industry, Seattle Post- Intelligencer Collection, 1986.5.571.1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkPCESMUYAAdQyx.jpg

2020-10-13T18:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316079060900962304

@JLuvin @adrian_probert I thought there was coverage of the supposed connection with nuke system shutdowns. Or at least something that supports such a connection.

It's kinda like the UAP thing, only getting attention because some are near military bases.

2020-10-13T17:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316072666437099520

@conorowens94 @DrewWilliamson4 It's a secret report, apparently still unreleased. Understandable, given it's about technical details of nuclear launch systems.

2020-10-13T17:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316072170624229376

@JLuvin @adrian_probert UFO sightings are a constant in the media. Near a military base just makes them juicier.

2020-10-13T17:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316071214171840512

@DrewWilliamson4 @conorowens94 Why? What do you think that means?

2020-10-13T17:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316068274535231488

@physicsufo It seems pretty certain that there was a common cause for all ten. Power

fluctuations seem to be indicated, but likely in combination with other factors.

2020-10-13T17:27:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316067932162514946

@PstarmanUa @physicsufo You can read it easier here. Page 26.

http://documents.theblackvault.com/documents/ufos/malmstromufo.pdf

---

2020-10-13T17:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316065965977731072

@DrewWilliamson4 @conorowens94 You meant the report that disproved accounts of UFOs?

http://documents.theblackvault.com/documents/ufos/malmstromufo.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkOa5CrUYAAAHpu.jpg



In the researching of other possibilities, weather was ruled out as a contributing factor in the incident.[81] (U)

A check with Communication maintenance verified that there was no unusual activity with EWO-1 or EWO-2 at the time of the incident.[82] (U)

Rumors of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO) around the area of Echo Flight during the time of fault were disproven. A Mobile Strike Team, which had checked all November Flight's LFs on the morning of 16 March 67, were questioned and stated that no unusual activity or sightings were observed.[83] (U)

The 801st Radar Squadron, Malmstrom AFB, gave a negative report on any radar or atmospheric interference problems related to Echo Flight.[84] (U)

---

80. Rpt, (S) "Report of Engineering Investigation of Echo Flight Incident, Malmstrom AFB, Mont - 16 Mar 67," by Engineering Investigation Team, 23 Mar 67, p 30.

81. Ibid., p 28.

82. Ibid., p 25.

83. Ibid., p 27.

84. Ibid., p 26.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkOa5CrUYAAAHpu.jpg

2020-10-13T17:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316064971885740035

@JLuvin @adrian_probert Where did it make national news?

2020-10-13T17:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316064206504910848

@JLuvin @adrian_probert @ufobelievers False memories are just one possible component in these types of cases. Of course there were actual events that happened that people remember some details of.

2020-10-13T14:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316028329707728897

@JLuvin @SenatorReid Nobody has denied that there were UFO reports.

2020-10-13T14:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1316027801607180291

@adrian_probert @ufobelievers @JLuvin Flashbulb memories are no more reliable than regular memories. They just feel like they are.

2020-10-13T01:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315829238675595264

@Liberty4Life23 @SenatorReid Obviously it was not a coincidence. There was a common cause behind the failures. UFOs? Maybe, but seems unlikely, and not backed by any evidence.

2020-10-13T01:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315821809191645184

@Liberty4Life23 @SenatorReid Sure, that's the story. System went down, some people saw UFOs.

Is there a connection? Probably not.

2020-10-13T00:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315813360655425536

@Liberty4Life23 @SenatorReid When talking about nuclear weapons the word "report" is not used. 24:42

2020-10-13T00:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315810497510350848

@Liberty4Life23 @SenatorReid And all he says is that the system went down, and a "whole crew" saw something.

He's just telling the story in the books. He's not confirming anything with privileged knowledge.

Knapp just skips over it, what would be one of the more significant events in human history.

2020-10-13T00:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315808235736100864

@DukeBasino @RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid Ideally, I'd like to see the result of an investigation conducted by the military that concluded that UFOs were interfering with nukes.

But what do you have? Quote the best.

2020-10-12T23:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315804052324716544
@Liberty4Life23 @SenatorReid It's things that he read. 24:42 "You've mentioned to me ... things that you've read".

2020-10-12T20:19:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315748831582068736
@PotatoRamirez Experts who made mistakes? Trained observers fooled by optical illusions? Geniuses who miscalculated?

These things happen.

2020-10-12T19:04:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315730146087763968
@Aleut1985 @RogueUAPTF @JeremyCorbell Of course. I'm always in favor of more data.

2020-10-12T19:03:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315729914016878592
@ufobelievers @adrian_probert Why not? People make the same mistakes over and over again.

2020-10-12T19:02:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315729485396758528
@PotatoRamirez "liars or delusional" are not the only or absolute choices.

2020-10-12T19:00:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315729124338462721
@thestarboretum http://cowboyprogramming.com/2008/04/01/practical-fluid-mechanics/

Download code: http://cowboyprogramming.com/wp-content/uploads/2008/04/fluid2.zip

---

2020-10-12T18:59:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315728711832887296
@nick904nick @conorowens94 Because they (at least Mellon and Elizondo) think these UFOs are not from Earth.

2020-10-12T18:58:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315728514683822080
@MadCorso @RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid He's talking about the nuke control system being shut down for some reason. He does not say "UFOs have interfered with our nukes" Correlation is not causation.

2020-10-12T17:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315699302224654338
@Aleut1985 @RogueUAPTF @JeremyCorbell Well, I'd like to think he finally watched one of my videos and realized the error of his ways, but I suspect it some more mundane real-life thing. Hope he's well.

2020-10-12T16:59:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315698503352414208
@RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid Thanks. But I think my point stands. There's no "official" account

that says anything like: UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]"

There are malfunctions. There are reports of lights in the sky. That's it.

2020-10-12T16:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315691707598471173

@RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid So that's a no then.

2020-10-12T16:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315689751136010246

@RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid And again, the specific claim is that Reid CONFIRMED that "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]"

And you say that there's are official accounts of this specific thing?

2020-10-12T16:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315689260108840960

@RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid So you don't personally know of one? But you suspect there's one in that book somewhere?

2020-10-12T16:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315686266332037120

@RogueUAPTF @SenatorReid Okay, show one official (gov or mil) account that explicitly says a UAP deactivated a nuclear weapon.

2020-10-12T16:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315685059328835584

@ufobelievers @adrian_probert "lies" and "truth" are never the only options. There's also "mistakes" and "false memories"

2020-10-12T15:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315681393452568576

@tommyIzito @SicCoP1 @conorowens94 He's never really intimated he has any kind of special knowledge. He just thinks there should be more investigation.

2020-10-12T15:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315679909579116546

@SicCoP1 @tommyIzito @conorowens94 His statement "most of it hasn't seen the light of day" is a bit light on specifics. What type of evidence? Evidence of what?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315679890734149634/pu/img/_xhD5pjFUrlOH87A.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315679890734149634/pu/img/_xhD5pjFUrIOH87A.jpg
2020-10-12T15:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315679494603063296
@UFOFanBoom1 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d5DjhbMZlOk

2020-10-12T15:19:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315673571276980224
@UFOFanBoom1 Yes, it's very common. They are just drifting slowly in the air, but look fast as they are close to the camera.

2020-10-12T15:18:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315673314950438914
@tommyIzito @SicCoP1 @conorowens94 It common (frequent in occurrence) in that other people make the same observations because they have seen the same documentaries and read the same books.

2020-10-12T15:17:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315672885764083713
@ufobelievers @adrian_probert It's a story told in 2002 about something that happened in 1964. No way of checking any of the details, or what was seen on the film (from 160 miles away). So I'm skeptical that it was what he said it was.

2020-10-12T15:05:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315669918625329152
@UFOFanBoom1 Just dust. Very close to the camera, illuminated by the IR light, and out of focus.

2020-10-12T15:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315668658782265346
@TenoriThrowaway @JLuvin @SenatorReid The quote is "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]" - Reid did not say that. It was paraphrased and said by the narrator.

2020-10-12T14:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315657955883151366
@TenoriThrowaway @JLuvin @SenatorReid Except I'm not predicating ANYTHING on Harry Reid being misleadingly paraphrased. Just that it happened.
If you want more critiques, The Phenomenon also puts a lot of stock in the videos, which are really not extraordinary.

2020-10-12T14:14:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315657029701099521
@JLuvin @SenatorReid Multiple independent sources of evidence are certainly helpful. Video would be ideal.

2020-10-12T13:54:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315652027603582976
@adrian_probert "radar confirmed"? What was confirmed, exactly? And how did you confirm it?

2020-10-12T13:53:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315651826792816641
@JLuvin @SenatorReid Salas didn't see anything though, did he?

2020-10-12T13:52:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315651611495071744
@XianMatar @SenatorReid No military base, or any official, ever said "UFO's interfered with our nuclear weapons"

2020-10-12T13:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315651169436360705
@ExposureUap @KayBurley @BBCJonSopel Summary: Scientists agree there's no good evidence to support the ET hypothesis.

2020-10-12T13:48:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315650557344837632
@adrian_probert No, that's not what I'm saying. But if you spend 40+ years looking for people who think they saw a UFO, of that something weirds happened at work, or they heard an amazing story - then you'll probably be able to find them.

2020-10-12T13:42:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315648990017286146
@adrian_probert UFO stories get eyeballs.

2020-10-12T13:40:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315648593873653760
@Hall97Michael @CrewCutYoutube @SPACEdotcom Well, my books are not about UFOs, so there's that.

2020-10-12T13:36:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315647587869814784
@adrian_probert And you know the National Press Club is just an event venue in this case, right? Hastings rented the space. It's nothing to do with any journalists.
https://www.press.org/host-your-event-national-press-club

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkIdOHqVgAMW0Cn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkIdOHqVgAMW0Cn.jpg
2020-10-12T13:23:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315644349678407681
@adrian_probert I've watched it.

2020-10-12T13:22:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315644043552944128
@adrian_probert They are people curated by Robert Hastings at an event put on by Robert Hastings.

It's pretty much all him.

2020-10-12T13:16:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315642592927731712
@adrian_probert People reviewing Hasting's book is not the attention I'm talking about.

2020-10-12T13:15:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315642373246910464
@adrian_probert Yes, that's Hastings.

2020-10-12T13:12:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315641452609720324
@_Desmoden So I should not question this hugely significant claim because feelings might get hurt?

2020-10-12T13:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315641241086775301

@adrian_probert It appears to be a collection of interesting stories almost entirely curated by one man, Robert Hastings.

I'd think the most significant event in human history would get a LITTLE more attention.

2020-10-12T13:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315640201914380288

@IvanHeadless http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkIXxbBVoAEL7SO.jpg



A missile combat crew member inserting a floppy disk into a communication module at a missile alert facility in 2014. Robert Gauthier/Los Angeles Times, via Getty Images

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkIXxbBVoAEL7SO.jpg

2020-10-12T13:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315639547741437958

@ufouapam What was said? If Reid actually said that, then why didn't they actually show him saying it?

2020-10-12T13:02:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315639014884425729

@_Desmoden Why?

2020-10-12T05:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315525427918565376

@trelayne @g_knapp And what did he say, exactly?

If he said something that significance, then why is it not in the documentary?

2020-10-12T05:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315523836884873216

@JLuvin @SenatorReid And how do you know what happened? Have you read Tim Hebert's blog? http://timhebert3.blogspot.com/

2020-10-12T04:55:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315516344498970624
@DDKaras @keptycho His shift in 1967? Any memory will have ossified by now.

Besides the obvious problem with the story, there are sufficient conflicting voices to throw doubt on the whole thing.

http://timhebert2.blogspot.com/

2020-10-12T00:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315455360858161152
@gerrycan1 Well, it's initially tipping away (to the South). That complicates what goes on on the North side.

You think you'll have a slam-dunk case soon?

2020-10-12T00:49:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315454516364369920
@IamDrVenkman @yrraplliw @SenatorReid No. I don't see that.

2020-10-12T00:13:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315445472702603265
@gerrycan1 The columns to the right seem to be being moved out by the bottom of the 224 columns.

2020-10-12T00:09:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315444480833511424
@ufo_seekers People see flying lights in the sky all the time, for a variety of reasons.

Around nuclear missile sites, there's a culture of hyper-vigilance and caution. So the same events that happen everywhere else seem to hold special significance.

Especially during malfunctions.

IMHO

2020-10-11T23:57:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315441466265673730
@gerrycan1 Here's a higher resolution look at it. The columns you indicate are under the "224." It's a section that seems to be pivoting in at the top and out at the bottom. I suspect it is just being pushed down from above and that's the way it folds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315441431234842624/pu/img/fgYpEvXCLJxWMjOt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315441431234842624/pu/img/fgYpEvXCLJxWMjOt.jpg
2020-10-11T23:51:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315439808680849409
@UfoWatchdog @TenoriThrowaway None, how about you?

2020-10-11T23:40:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315437147814424576
@TenoriThrowaway What about the literally thousands of ghost stories?
What about the literally thousands of angel stories?

2020-10-11T23:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315436926858526720
@yrraplliw @SenatorReid It does not contradict the correction. It denounces the paraphrasing.

The correction just says "unknown origin" and "materials".

2020-10-11T23:35:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315435784971796481
@SicCoP1 @conorowens94 No, it's a commonplace observation. Obviously, there's tons of classified info that MIGHT be interesting evidence of advanced tech.
It also might not.

2020-10-11T23:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315434353397125120
@yrraplliw @SenatorReid Yeah, they brush it off as not liking a paraphrasing. But it was a bit more significant than that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkFcd1MVcAE1K6Z.jpg

---

The first version of the article that appeared in print was quite dramatic:

> *Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier U.F.O. program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of vehicles from other worlds had occurred and that retrieved materials had been studied secretly for decades, often by aerospace companies under government contracts.*

Incredible news! The leader of the US Senate confirms the US Government is having bits of alien spaceships analyzed! Unfortunately, less than 24 hours later, that paragraph was changed to:

> *Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier U.F.O. program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of objects of unknown origin may have occurred and that retrieved materials should be studied.*

And, even *after* this change was made, Senator Harry Reid forcefully issued a denial of any such thing on Twitter. [https://twitter.com/SenatorReid/status/1286770010086494208]

> *I have no knowledge—and I have never suggested—the federal government or any entity has unidentified flying objects or debris from other worlds. I have consistently said we must stick to science, not fairy tales about little green men.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkFcd1MVcAE1K6Z.jpg

2020-10-11T23:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315431203332210688

@TenoriThrowaway Hastings has been researching this topic for 47 years.

It reminds me of the Nimitz case. A bunch of cool stories, some interesting reports, but the secrecy wall means that's all you'll ever get. It also allows for endless speculation.

2020-10-11T23:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315430520302436352

@gerrycan1 I'll wait then.

2020-10-11T23:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315430360289669120

@TenoriThrowaway @buffcommacu @SenatorReid @jamescfox I don't think Reid realized how it would make him sound, and how it would be picked up by the press.

2020-10-11T23:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315429510452453376

@TenoriThrowaway Is it possible that's he's devoted his life to try to prove something that didn't actually happen?

2020-10-11T22:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315423855234543616

@conorowens94 And how reliable are those correlations?

The point I'm making here though is that Reid did not confirm anything at all that was not public knowledge.

2020-10-11T22:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315422022218870784

@Chunkoftomato What if it was 40-50 miles away, but you thought it was just 20 miles away? Can you mis-focus the range?

2020-10-11T22:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315421592055234560

I think that claim (in the documentary "Phenomenon") misrepresents what @SenatorReid is saying, similarly to how he was misrepresented in the NYT regarding recovering crashed flying saucers. He angrily denounced that misrepresentation.

2020-10-11T22:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315420837793591297

Did Harry Reid ACTUALLY confirm that "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]?"
I don't think he has. It is public record that sometimes nukes went offline due to equipment failure. There are also dubious stories of flying lights around that time. Reid shares no additional info.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315420253002715136/pu/img/-FAGMMk24j1PV4m1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1315420253002715136/pu/img/-FAGMMk24j1PV4m1.jpg

2020-10-11T22:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315418224268201984

@Chunkoftomato How about 40 miles?

2020-10-11T22:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315418107536572416

@Z00KY I could be a variety and combination of different things. The choices are very rarely "lies" vs. "magic craft"

2020-10-11T22:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315417782486364160

@gerrycan1 So are you coming out with a new video soon?

2020-10-11T21:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315407779356528641

@gerrycan1 You're dancing around Gerry. Please just make an actual argument. This isn't about me.

2020-10-11T21:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315407570824097792

@Z00KY Which one did you think was best? Timestamp please.

2020-10-11T21:29:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315404054265122816

@Z00KY Reid just tells the same stories everyone else tells.

2020-10-11T21:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315402607578415105

@Z00KY Most of it. I see they think the videos are a big deal. Oops.

2020-10-11T21:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315399664456200192

Isaac Arthur seems to agree with my analyses of the Navy UFO videos.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TXYYSWG04gw

2020-10-11T19:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315373430850506753

@gerrycan1 You keep saying I agree to things, which I don't. I explain what I understand to have happened.

Now, when you say "destroyed" what event exactly are you referring to?

And, politely, you need to work on elucidation.

2020-10-11T19:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315366879544844288

@gerrycan1 I'm really not understanding what you are getting at.

The initial exterior column failures happen on different floors, but at approximately the same time.

2020-10-11T18:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315361304681443328

@gerrycan1 But you are just looking at the outside of the building. The core failures are not synchronous with the exterior failures.

2020-10-11T18:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315359749810999296

@gerrycan1 Thanks, but what is the significance?

2020-10-11T18:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315358867946004480

@0ctoberReignz @ShepardAmbellas Miss.
https://theskylive.com/will-asteroid-2020ts1-impact-earth

2020-10-11T18:27:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315358390583926784
@gerrycan1 That's just an irregular 3D failure zone. i.e. not all on the same floor.

Have you seen the Getty Images 994471225 video? Download the comp here. Good footage of the NW corner, as well as the hole.
https://www.gettyimages.com/detail/video/the-north-tower-of-the-world-trade-center-burning-and-news-footage/994471224

2020-10-11T18:22:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315357218393321472
@gerrycan1 The exterior columns had certainly failed at some point, seeing as they were no longer supporting the columns above them.

But the real interesting thing is what is going on inside the building.

2020-10-11T17:55:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315350323712585728
@gerrycan1 Failed? Well, it's not there anymore. I'm not sure if the section above that point was directly involved in the initiation of global collapse, or if the failure happened shortly after.

2020-10-11T17:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315347707020546048
@SocialistFT @nick904nick These people do behave how I would expect if they believed they had seen an alien.

2020-10-11T17:44:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315347577202589696
@gerrycan1 The circled panel is just below the collapse initiation level. I've got a better pic of it somewhere.....

2020-10-11T17:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315338815528005632
People often ask me if there are any conspiracies I think are real. Of course corruption and secret plots are an inescapable part of life. One of the more significant examples is where lobbying and bribery intersect. "The Swamp," as Trump once called it.

https://www.nytimes.com/2020/10/10/us/trump-hotels-resorts-takeaways.html

---

2020-10-11T16:56:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1315335472663552001
@gerrycan1 The same as any of the "upper part moves but the lower part does not" - i.e. the leading edge of the collapse is falling inside the walls. I think this is shown by the large chunk of wall on the right (NW corner) that remains long after the (two way) floors behind it are gone.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkECosnVkAErxY9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkECosnVkAErxY9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkECS9KU8AI7DxW.jpg

2020-10-11T16:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315333403303047170

@nick904nick @SocialistFT They were kids. They had highly plastic minds that are prone to fantasy.

Kids being questioned often want to satisfy the questioner.

There were also many differences in the accounts.

2022-07-13T23:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547357308354646018

@BrainwashSyka @skinwalker_luke @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Quite the opposite. The waters ARE muddy with this uncertainly over the timeline and the actual maneuvers Fravor performed. So I'd like to try to resolve that. Ideally making a 3D recreation of what happened that all four witnesses can agree on.

2022-07-13T23:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547356920306012165

@conterammundi @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Not if they both started to see it at merge plot, then stopped seeing it when it flew away, which is what they both say.

2022-07-13T23:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547356620560076800

@sentientsixp I'm not offended, I'm just slightly sad. But it's a touchy subject and impossibly to both be rigorous in investigation and also not annoy anyone.

2022-07-13T23:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547356096452448256

@NIH_LLAMAS @JonasRobison1 Anything that's not conventional humans. and also thinks. Aliens or time travelers, for example.

2022-07-13T22:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547355024518328320

@TCskywatch One man cannot investigate everything. I do what I personally find interesting. I like UFOs at the moment as it gives me a chance to work my programming and math mental muscles, which I find fun.

2022-07-13T22:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547353895449440256

@FullStackLogan @conterammundi @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Where have I ever ridiculed anyone? I'm even generally polite with Corbell. Have I ridiculed Kevin Day? Gary Voorhis? PJ Hughes? Alex Dietrich? I've interviewed all of them with respect. I point out issues as they arise.
Not ridiculing people is part of my style. It's who I am.

2022-07-13T22:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547353118962118656

@conterammundi @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Petty nonsense? These are matters of factual accuracy. If it's so petty, then it should be easily resolvable. If my line of inquiry is so weak, then it will have no effect.
The different timelines are an issue. So why sweep it under the rug?

2022-07-13T22:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547352250401431552

It's sad that this is the reaction I get from some people. I enjoy solving 3D puzzles. I'm trying to figure out what's going on with a variety of UFO cases. I suspect it's not NHI, but I'd be open to evidence indicating it is. I'm happy to talk to just about anyone.

https://twitter.com/skies_alive/status/1547350041748176898

2022-07-13T22:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547351235908362240

@GavPat89 @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney No according to either of their accounts.

2022-07-13T22:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547341412605145088

@NicholasJTerry It's the most ordinary thing that fits the data.

2022-07-13T20:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547318884742545409

@LarryRosenthal Yeah, I suspect that normally these would be deleted during manual clean-up. But as it's Skinwalker Ranch they become an item of interest.

2022-07-13T20:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547318046116569088

@kimball_23 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion I don't act like people are nuts, and I strongly discourage other people from doing so. In fact, I wrote a book where not calling people nuts is a central theme, a fundamental piece of advice. It's in the context of talking to conspiracy theorists, but the same thing applies. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXktZyTVEAAu0Kq.jpg

---



Be Polite and Respectful

If your goals are to effectively communicate, then you need to be polite and you need to respect your friend.

People will push back when they feel they are being attacked. *Regardless of the intentions* of the person they are talking to, or the article they are reading, or the video they are watching, if they feel it denigrates them in any way then they are far less likely to actually consider the validity what is being said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXktZyTVEAAu0Kq.jpg



ESCAPING THE RABBIT HOLE

Floodlight debunking also means bringing perspective. Conspiracy th
rists often have a warped view of the way the world works and how power ac
government actually work. So try to examine the everyday forms of politic
corruption that actually go on, such as campaign finance and lobbying. Wh
9/11, look at actual conspiracies, actual real-world "false flag" events, and wh
gested events like Operation Northwoods. Compare those to what your frien
suggests happened to the Twin Towers. With Chemtrails look at the actu
state of climate engineering research and ask them to view their theory in the
context. Would we be geoengineering if we don't even know what it does?
With Flat Earth you can get some actual perspective by going to the beach and
looking at distant islands obscured by the curve of the Earth.

The most valuable perspective is simply to understand how society func
tions. How is wealth created? How are laws created? How do elections work?
Who's actually on the *Forbes* list of billionaires? How is science funded? How
many scientists and science students are there? Why are there wars? How did
the Russian oligarchy arise? What do other countries think about the US?
What do people talk about at Davos?

You may well be hampered here because your friend will initially feel they
understand the true workings of the world far better than you do. This might
actually be true in some areas, but less so in others. Try to approach it as a
mutual journey of discovery. You will probably learn something from them.
Embrace this, and they will be more open to learning from you. Both of your
perspectives will be expanded, and the exit from the rabbit hole will get a
little closer.

Be Polite and Respectful

If your goals are to effectively communicate, then you need to be polite and
you need to respect your friend.

People will push back when they feel they are being attacked. *Regardless of
the intentions* of the person they are talking to, or the article they are reading,
or the video they are watching, if they feel it denigrates them in any way then
they are far less likely to actually consider the validity what is being said.

Phil was a visitor to my Chemtrail debunking website ContrailScience
.com who did not agree with this approach. Phil was very intelligent, he knew

68

Core Debunking Techniques

a lot about the science behind contrails, and he was aware of most of the
problems with the Chemtrail theory. He was able to provide concise explana-
tions that refuted the bunk posted by others and gave helpful overviews of
the actual science.

Yet Phil would almost invariably conclude his posts with something along
the lines of "get an education," "take some classes," or "that's just ignorant."
He would sometimes use more direct insults like "uneducated chemtards,"
"uneducated simpleton," and even "mentally ill," and urged people to "get
professional help" for their "paranoia."

This had two effects. Firstly, it meant either that any Chemtrail believer
who engaged Phil in conversation would leave the forum or that the con-
versation would almost immediately degenerate into a flaming insult ses-
sion where the original topic was essentially forgotten. It was highly
counterproductive.

Secondly, it tainted the site, and it tainted the other debunkers by associa-
tion. Since Phil was quite an active poster, it was very easy for a visitor to get
the impression that his opinion was the opinion of the site. The opinion came
across as Phil being an intellectual snob, arrogant and disdainful of contrary
opinions. It also came across as Phil being totally unwilling to listen to the
opinions of the believer. Since the believer was strongly emotionally and
intellectually invested in their beliefs, this dismissal came across as a slap in
the face. A direct insult. When more polite debunkers tried to explain things,
the damage had already been done. The shields were up, and the mind was
closed.

I tried to explain this to Phil several times, but he in turn took my criti-
cism as a direct insult. So I banned him. This was not an action I took lightly,
as he was generally a good contributor. But the damage he was doing by his
insulting manner was outweighing the useful factual contributions that were
great alone, but unfortunately seemed only to be a preamble to his insults.

Don't be like Phil. Even if you feel your friend is being stupid, uneducated,
or even crazy, it's still best to just focus on the facts. *Show* them where they
were wrong, show them what they missed, show them where their sources
are wrong. Don't tell them they are stupid. Be polite, please!

69

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXktUa7UcAI_geF.jpg

2022-07-13T20:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547315704860315648

@rpowell2u Can you address the page 154 error I pointed out? Will you be issuing a correction?

2022-07-13T20:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547314863742918656

@zeta_ridiculous There's certainly no evidence that it is not aliens.

Unless it's an explainable glitch, of course.

2022-07-13T20:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547314504106536962

@MickSvest Yeah, pretty terrible analysis.

2022-07-13T20:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547314121560862720

@kimball_23 @jplatte11 @jamescarrion Did you document the experiment? What was the video?

2022-07-13T20:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547313925598826497

In landscape #photogrammetry, what would cause a vertical glitch like this?

The point colors come from the ground, but are clustered in a column above it. Using PIX4D

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1547312552975089664/pu/img/0cyYYjDkdlCn-cE3.jp

g



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1547312552975089664/pu/img/0cyYYjDkdlCn-cE3.jpg
2022-07-13T20:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547311437936152577
@kimball_23 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion I have a great deal of respect for them. They are not children who need protection from discomforting questions.

2022-07-13T20:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547309962908512256
@kimball_23 @jplatte11 @jamescarrion And yet the carrier groups blithely let groups of 5-10 objects fly over them for several days.

It's not a no-fly zone, it's warning area 291, you can fly through it VFR if you want, as it's in international waters.

2022-07-13T19:48:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547307031983378432
@OddFlyingThing @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @borisyeltzin @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Graves said he viewed the video extensively at the time.

2022-07-13T19:47:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547306839661981696
@kimball_23 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion I don't think a 747 is very likely. But given that all that was visible was this blurry video, then how are they supposed to figure it out?

2022-07-13T19:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547306267084894208
@kimball_23 @Jim87504388 @jamescarrion Terrance, do you think UAP are more likely something created by conventional humans or by some other form of intelligence (like time-travelers, ultraterrestrials, trans-dimensional beings, AI, or aliens?)

2022-07-13T18:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547291178579546112
@Robin081564 @BrentlyLee @beamo31 @dr_los @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney He seems to be trying to give a detailed account on Rogan, angles and suchlike. Not really enough for a reconstruction, but not just telling an exciting tale.

2022-07-13T18:42:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547290409944383488
@Neil__Goodman @SocialistFT Prove my opinion of a likelihood?

2022-07-13T17:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547272417436786688
@straub_tj @619Notabot @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I don't know. That's what I'm trying to figure out - as much as possible.

2022-07-13T17:11:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547267427670507520
@Robin081564 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @borisyeltzin @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Or I can continue trying to make small progress now and then.

The video itself has still not been 100% drained of information.

2022-07-13T17:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547267169225912323
@ClerkinMark Do you have the original image and location?

2022-07-13T17:09:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547266961838551040
@its_only_Gaz @EireScientist @AtticusFinch_JD @DietrichVFA41 I don't think they are lying. But if we are to include trans-dimensional aliens on the list of possibilities, then we need to include other outlandish and unlikely possibilities too - even if we think they are very unlikely.
I think they are not lying.

2022-07-13T17:04:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547265652460625921
@619Notabot @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I don't think Fravor and Dietrich saw glare. You seem to be conflating this with the Gimbal video - and even that was glare from a real object.

2022-07-13T16:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547264270043271169
@skinwalker_luke @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney It changes geometry and physics.

Regardless of what it was, why not try to get an accurate a picture as possible of what seemed to happen?

2022-07-13T16:56:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547263792525938688

@its_only_Gaz @EireScientist @AtticusFinch_JD @DietrichVFA41 This has nothing to do with integrity. I'm assuming both Fravor and Dietrich are well-meaning, honest, highly skilled individuals.

It's about differences in perception and memory.

2022-07-13T16:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547261924156461056

@skinwalker_luke @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I've no idea what they saw. I'm trying to resolve differences in the timeline to get a better understanding of it.

2022-07-13T16:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547261333636149248

@UAPll @fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Wrong about what? I don't think I'm wrong to think that there's a possibility that Fin is mistaken in some way.

2022-07-13T16:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547255315330084866

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @borisyeltzin @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I should let go the most important case?

That makes zero sense. It's the one that deserves the most investigation.

2022-07-13T16:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547254872692559874

@beamo31 @dr_los @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney No, he's been very clear multiple times that there were four sets of eyeballs on it for five minutes.

2022-07-13T16:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547254317991612418

@Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney "Source" is Dietrich. She says here that the first visual was just (2 seconds) after the merge plot. That's also when Fravor's timeline starts. So she's not seeing a portion of his timeline, she's seeing all of it.

2022-07-13T16:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547253404090519552

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @borisyeltzin @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney No, it simply introduces difficulties in figuring out what happened. In the Dietrich timeframe things are much fast and more violent - almost impossibly so (10,000 foot descent and then circling a mile, all in 10 seconds?)
Fravor's is much more leisurely

2022-07-13T16:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547252645416484865

@RodrigoLVieira1 And by "2x zoom", I mean when the video itself goes to 2x at 15 seconds.

2022-07-13T16:06:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547251223320879105
@RodrigoLVieira1 It's at the start, best seen when at 2x zoom
https://www.metabunk.org/f/f4-2007.mpg

2022-07-13T16:04:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547250684990369792
@dr_los @Robin081564 @DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I'm very clearly addressing the point here. The visuals described seem to be the same sequence of events, and start and end at the same events

Merge Plot
See water disturbance
See the Tic-Tac
Fravor flies down and around
Tic-Tac shoots off

Fravor: 5 min, Dietrich 8-10 seconds.

2022-07-13T16:00:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547249560673693696
@bingbangwham @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney It does not, because the "time of visual engagement" includes everything that Fravor said took 5 minutes. Including what he took time to describe (and justify) as "nice easy descent"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjvQWKUYAA4jgf.jpg

David Fravor 10:44

I'm at 20,000 feet, and it's right down on the surface, and right off our right side. So I'm probably maybe a couple miles lateral 20,000 feet, and we're just watching it move around. And

Joe Rogan 10:54

so it's very small in your eyes,

David Fravor 10:55

Um, not overly small, an airplane down that low it's 40 feet you can see it pretty well with it was pretty clear. So I'm like, okay, so I said, I'm gonna go check it out. That's what we're trained to do. The other pilot says, Hey, I'm gonna stay up here. And I'm like, that's perfect. So now what we'll get some separation, we'll get it from different views. And the other airplane will have kind of a God's eye view of everything that's going on as I go down and check this thing out. So I start driving around, and it's still doing its forward,back,left, right, it's still pointing north south.

David Fravor 11:24

We get to about the 12 o'clock position, I'm just in a nice easy descent, a reason, you know, because I've been asked that: can you go more aggressive? You can, but when you're out over water, the water looks the same at 20,000 feet, as it does it 2000 feet, you don't you know, so you can easily put yourself in a non recoverable position, if you're not paying attention, and you go into the water.

David Fravor 11:42

So I got this nice easy descent, I get to about 12 o'clock. And as I'm coming down, you know, I could guess probably about you know, 18,000 feet now a couple 1000 feet below the other airplane, the Tic Tac just kind of rapidly goes and turns. So now it's kind of point east west and out mirrors us. So it's above the surface, we're up high, we're coming down, it starts coming up. Well, this is getting interesting. So we kind of drive all the way around the circle, I'm descending, it's coming up, and I get over to about the eight o'clock position at nine o'clock. And it's over at about the two o'clock position. Well, the quickest way as we know, as kids to get someone you know, you can keep going around the circle, nothing's gonna happen. And you cut across the circle. Some about I don't know, probably two to 3000 feet above it. And I just kind of dropped my nose aggressively and I cut across the circle. And it's coming this way. Because I'm trying to fly to where it's going to be because I want to join on and I want to see how close I can get to. And as I'm pulling up, it's kind of starting to cross my nose and it starts to accelerate and within about less than a second is I start to pull nose on to it and it crosses right in front of me. It just goes poof, and it's gone. So I call the other airplane I said, Hey, you guys, you guys see that thing? And they're like, sir, it's gone. We don't see it at all. So I'm like, okay, that's kind of weird.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjvOc9UsAAXz8L.jpg

unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine. As such, she was concerned that the object could pose a threat, especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.

Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio "I'm in!" in which Source replied "I have high cover". (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered as situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to peruse it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2's change of direction. (Source Comment – the UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn't stand a chance against it! There is now way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2's aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner "tumbled" into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and "pointed at them". OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjvPfGUcAUpFph.jpg

As both F-18s approached the new operating area, the female controller announced "approaching merge plot". At this time, the female controller asked "what is your load-out?" (Field Comment – the request for load-out refers to the quantity and type of ordinance the aircraft is armed with.) OK-2 responded to the female controller "none, practice rounds only". (Source Comment – At this point I was frightened due to the fact that we were being asked if we had any weapons available. I became concerned because we were in a situation that we may have to use our aircraft itself as weapon. I was thinking to myself that this could be another September 11th – style attack that were being asked to intercept.) As both F-18s approached the target location, the female controller began to count down the anticipated intercept time, "two minutes to merge plot", etc. Finally, the female controller indicated "merge plot" and announced "you should have visual."

As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, she noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be "roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined she thought she was be witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as she made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified areal system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a "tic-tac" candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjvQWKUYAA4jgf.jpg

2022-07-13T15:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547248869498490883

@DietrichVFA41 @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney What's hard is that both you and Fravor describe a full sequence of events that start at "Merge Plot," including seeing the object (in detail) down near the water disturbance, the Fravor's descent and attempt at intercept.

This is an account you gave (as "Source") in 2017.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjuXDfUcAEtt5g.jpg

unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine. As such, she was concerned that the object could pose a threat, especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.

Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio "I'm in!" in which Source replied "I have high cover". (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered as situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to peruse it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2's change of direction. (Source Comment – the UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn't stand a chance against it! There is now way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2's aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner "tumbled" into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and "pointed at them". OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjuXDfUcAEtt5g.jpg

As both F-18s approached the new operating area, the female controller announced "approaching merge plot". At this time, the female controller asked "what is your load-out?" (Field Comment – the request for load-out refers to the quantity and type of ordinance the aircraft is armed with.) OK-2 responded to the female controller "none, practice rounds only". (Source Comment – At this point I was frightened due to the fact that we were being asked if we had any weapons available. I became concerned because we were in a situation that we may have to use our aircraft itself as weapon. I was thinking to myself that this could be another September 11th – style attack that were being asked to intercept.) As both F-18s approached the target location, the female controller began to count down the anticipated intercept time, "two minutes to merge plot", etc. Finally, the female controller indicated "merge plot" and announced "you should have visual."

As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, she noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be "roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined she thought she was be witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as she made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified areal system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a "tic-tac" candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjuV8CUYAAjrxk.jpg

2022-07-13T15:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547245483378110465

@steve_crazed I'm saying the apparent rotation in Gimbal is the glare rotating in-camera and not the object itself rotating.

2022-07-13T15:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547245311118061574

@bingbangwham @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Memories fade, notes do not. So any older accounts and diagrams would be useful.

Dietrich's account is very important as corroboration of Favor's and as a kind of triangulation to work out geometry and physics. But as the times vary so much it makes it difficult.

2022-07-13T15:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547243995452956672

@CTFlyMonkey @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I use the information to try to figure out what happened. Alex is very obviously not an idiot; she's a highly skilled and intelligent pilot for whom I have a great deal of respect.

There are conflicts in detail here that seem like they could be objectively resolved.

2022-07-13T15:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547241874447953920

@DavidJayB @uapreddit @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @1_2_of_Rodney Yeah, sorry, NOT as simple. 10 seconds seems way too short, 5 minutes actually seems somewhat too long.

2022-07-13T15:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547240265626161152

@the_cholla 1/ I accept that that's part of Grave's recollection

2/ No, and I disagree that there's "overwhelming evidence from the lines of sight"

3/ I don't, but I really don't think Grave's recollection fits the LOS data.

2022-07-13T15:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547239445199351815

@uapreddit @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Both timelines start at the merge plot. Both include spotting the Tic-Tac over the water disturbance, and then Fravor descending from 20,000 to 10,000 feet, circling, and flying over.

How long that took makes a HUGE difference in the physics involved, and hence figuring it out.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjl0YcVUAADPde.jpg

David Fravor 10:44

I'm at 20,000 feet, and it's right down on the surface, and right off our right side. So I'm probably maybe a couple miles lateral 20,000 feet, and we're just watching it move around. And

Joe Rogan 10:54

so it's very small in your eyes,

David Fravor 10:55

Um, not overly small, an airplane down that low it's 40 feet you can see it pretty well with it was pretty clear. So I'm like, okay, so I said, I'm gonna go check it out. That's what we're trained to do. The other pilot says, Hey, I'm gonna stay up here. And I'm like, that's perfect. So now what we'll get some separation, we'll get it from different views. And the other airplane will have kind of a God's eye view of everything that's going on as I go down and check this thing out. So I start driving around, and it's still doing its forward,back,left, right, it's still pointing north south.

David Fravor 11:24

We get to about the 12 o'clock position, I'm just in a nice easy descent, a reason, you know, because I've been asked that: can you go more aggressive? You can, but when you're out over water, the water looks the same at 20,000 feet, as it does it 2000 feet, you don't you know, so you can easily put yourself in a non recoverable position, if you're not paying attention, and you go into the water.

David Fravor 11:42

So I got this nice easy descent, I get to about 12 o'clock. And as I'm coming down, you know, I could guess probably about you know, 18,000 feet now a couple 1000 feet below the other airplane, the Tic Tac just kind of rapidly goes and turns. So now it's kind of point east west and out mirrors us. So it's above the surface, we're up high, we're coming down, it starts coming up. Well, this is getting interesting. So we kind of drive all the way around the circle, I'm descending, it's coming up, and I get over to about the eight o'clock position at nine o'clock. And it's over at about the two o'clock position. Well, the quickest way as we know, as kids to get someone you know, you can keep going around the circle, nothing's gonna happen. And you cut across the circle. Some about I don't know, probably two to 3000 feet above it. And I just kind of dropped my nose aggressively and I cut across the circle. And it's coming this way. Because I'm trying to fly to where it's going to be because I want to join on and I want to see how close I can get to. And as I'm pulling up, it's kind of starting to cross my nose and it starts to accelerate and within about less than a second is I start to pull nose on to it and it crosses right in front of me. It just goes poof, and it's gone. So I call the other airplane I said, Hey, you guys, you guys see that thing? And they're like, sir, it's gone. We don't see it at all. So I'm like, okay, that's kind of weird.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjl0YcVUAADPde.jpg

2022-07-13T15:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547234721070927878

@BfOlivier Sure, like all UFOs it's in the LIZ.

2022-07-13T15:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547234518683099138

@uapreddit @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney That their recollections of the events are vastly different.

2022-07-13T14:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547234212914245632

@PharoK @UAPmike @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Yet people CONSTANTLY tell me I should listen to the pilots. What am I supposed to do after listening to them?

If we are to treat testimony as data, then it can be analyzed. Hypotheses can be tested against testimonies. Testimonies can be tested against data and other testimonies

---

2022-07-13T14:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547233113993973762

@uapreddit @ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney It's as simple as changing a number. You have to fit a detailed sequence of movements and events into a period of time. Fravor describes relatively slow descent and circling. Dietrich's 10 sec does not seem to be.
So what actually happened?

2022-07-13T14:44:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547230491551576064
@ThanosAlpha @Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney But would you have such a difference in memory of the total time between arrival and departure? "We were there for ten seconds" vs. "We were there for five minutes".
I have great respect for the pilots, and I know memories have faded, but it does not add up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjdwUXUIAIh7cd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXjdwUXUIAIh7cd.jpg
2022-07-13T14:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547227274180583424
@UAPmike @DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I wish to figure out what happened. Part of doing that is attempting to resolve conflicts in accounts.

An accurate timeline is very important to understanding what happened.

2022-07-13T14:29:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547226753952653312

@DietrichVFA41 @Falkyrs @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney It would be great if you and Fravor could get together and construct a timeline of when the various events described happened. Like how long between merge plot, spotting the water disturbance, spotting Tic-Tac, the descent and circling, maneuvers, final approach, and flying off?

2022-07-13T14:25:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547225721507962880
@TheGuitarPhilo1 I say this:
https://youtu.be/WAfiJqUHDg0?t=104

2022-07-13T12:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547202677108404225
@Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Yes, those are events on a timeline.

Event #1 - Merge Plot
Event #2 - The Tic-Tac is spotted
Event #3 - Tic Tac flies away very fast.

Time from Event #1 (or maybe event #2) to Event #3 is described as either five minutes or ten seconds.

2022-07-13T12:50:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547201777329549313
@nyx_earth They make me feel happy.

2022-07-13T12:47:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547201120950308864
@Falkyrs @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney The timeline defines the duration of events.

2022-07-13T12:46:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547200856096772096
@MickSvest A camera artifact, yes. You see it frequently around hot things in thermal footage. Essentially it's an unsharp mask filter that enhances contrast.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FXjCwEpUsAE_pRo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FXjCwEpUsAE_pRo.jpg
2022-07-13T12:43:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547199959564316675
@timbo_staley @DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney I'd be happy to. But who? And who will actually talk about it? There were only Fravor, Dietrich, and their WSOs during this incident. Douglas Kurth was apparently there just before, but saw only the water disturbance. He went to work for Bigelow.

https://sofrep.com/fightersweep/x-files-edition/

2022-07-13T12:34:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547197700013060097
@MickSvest It's not. It's hot. Black is hot.

2022-07-13T12:33:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547197530055659520
@Fiddaman @tgflynn314 @mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig That's GMT. Local time was 9:24PM

2022-07-13T12:31:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547197020254789632
If I were to write this opinion piece again, I'd change the words "only possible explanation" to "most plausible explanation"

A plane seems most plausible, but it's never good to entirely eliminate other possibilities without definitive evidence.

It doesn't rotate though https://twitter.com/ChrisSPITZER7/status/1547179023679295488

2022-07-13T12:19:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547194041028079616

@kimball_23 @CryptoDinoBeave @jamescarrion I say "I don't know" (regarding UFO cases) all the time.

I don't know exactly what Gimbal is.

I don't know what Fravor and Dietrich Saw

I don't know what @fin365 saw.

"U" means unidentified. Unknown.

Sometimes I shift it towards an "I", and often I cannot.

2022-07-13T12:11:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547191927899975680
@Fiddaman @tgflynn314 @mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig Weather balloons? No.

Wedding/Sky Lanterns, there's description of them being released in pairs at weddings, some tied together with banners. I don't remember a video example.

I think a single lantern is most likely. But it's unclear.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXi6fBSVsAAD821.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXi6fBSVsAAD821.jpg
2022-07-13T11:59:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547188849624825857
@DietrichVFA41 @DavidJayB @1_2_of_Rodney Fravor says (several times, over years) that the first time he saw it was after seeing the whitewater and it coincided with your WSO seeing it

and announcing it over the radio.

Both of you start the time period at "Merge plot"

I understand memories vary, but this is a big one.

2022-07-13T04:25:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547074601548599297
@rwl0323 @fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Whose experience have I ever "debunked"? I mostly look at videos and photos.

2022-07-13T03:47:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547065152280748033
@SiliconSustena1 @mombojom @chrisotis78
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-acronym-defnitions.11742/

2022-07-13T00:49:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547020297059962880
@ContactTour1 @UFOSecrecy Here's one I took.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXgewmnVsAAGunc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXgewmnVsAAGunc.jpg

2022-07-13T00:47:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547019863167623168

@ContactTour1 @UFOSecrecy That's a police helicopter with a searchlight, long exposure

---

2022-07-13T00:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547019713779154944

@mombojom @chrisotis78 They don't have to be very big, just hot. Like a dripping candle.

Of course, the video is TFA, LIZ, OOF, etc. If there was a better video, you would be able to see what it was.

2022-07-13T00:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1547014442646310913
@mombojom @chrisotis78 Hard to say, but I'd guess a chinese lantern dripping embers and the parallax effect with the clouds.

2022-07-13T00:14:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547011479819300865
@fin365 @UAPll @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein I don't know, but giant hovering black aircraft seems very unlikely. I think it is more likely you were mistaken in some way. I understand that you believe that you were not. There's not a lot more that can be done if you are unwilling to entertain other possibilities.

2022-07-12T23:48:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547005036378480641
@fin365 @UAPll @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Saying that it MIGHT NOT have been an illusion, etc does not preclude the possibility that they could be wrong. That's only true if it actually was not an illusion, etc.
"Maybe it was a cloud" also means "maybe it was not a cloud".

2022-07-12T23:45:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547004268195897346
@fin365 @UAPll @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Okay, I think this has been whittled down to the bare epistemology. There's no need for any more discussion. I think you should be open to the possibility that you were mistaken, you don't think you were mistaken and so see no need to consider it. That's all there is to it.

2022-07-12T23:37:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1547002269475803136
@fin365 @UAPll @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Fin, do you unconditionally believe any account of anything that anyone tells you if they assure you that they absolutely could not have been mistaken?

2022-07-12T23:00:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546992814499631105
@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein It's rather a moot point now though. You are convinced; other people are understandably skeptical. Nothing will change unless either some giant hovering black triangular aircraft are discovered or an illusion of such things is found.

2022-07-12T22:51:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546990659478831104
@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein I don't know what you saw. You don't know what you saw. You can DESCRIBE what you saw, but you don't know what it was.

2022-07-12T22:49:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546990187468574720
@jason_jarnagin @RobertESheridan @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem I can certainly point them out. I have eyes and fingers.
Why, do you think I got something wrong? I'd be happy to correct it?

2022-07-12T22:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546989709326397441

@Melissa41088067 @aka__V @ZimRavens @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein He was using metaphor (Plato's cave) in a list of concert examples.

1) Crawling out of the cave to look at the sky,

2) Discovering how to make fire,

3) Navigating over the horizon.

The (very minor) issue is: what's the paradigm shift that the first thing is referring to?

2022-07-12T22:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546981903273668608

@its_only_Gaz @Jim87504388 @EireScientist Has someone told you what you must have seen?

2022-07-12T22:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546980994120462336

@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein I have to stand by what I said. There are many examples of people who have what seem to them to be unambiguous memories or perceptions that actually did not happen the way they remember it. This is no reflection on you. Optical illusions and false memories affect us all.

2022-07-12T20:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546960479691542528

@RobertESheridan @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem Where are you getting "Mick being so adamant in having proof." I've never claimed to have proof that Fravor was wrong about his encounter (other than on some technical details regarding the videos - which are not of his encounter)

2022-07-12T20:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546956936494518277

@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein What's the actual context? Where did I say it?

2022-07-12T20:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546950697890918400

@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Other than "UFO stands for too far away" (A humorous version of the LIZ hypothesis) those are not mantras. Those are things (some paraphrased) I said a limited number of times about specific events or situations. They are context-sensitive, and you omit the context.

2022-07-12T19:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546945315667714049

@UAPll @shado_uk @fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Hopefully.

2022-07-12T19:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546945186613276672

@fin365 @xExist @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Which mantras? "One thing is not all things"? "Small or far away"? "Why no good vids"? "Let's chat"? "Can you email me the original?" "I'm

going to code a simulation!"? "Bokeh"? "I didn't say FLIR1 was a bird"? "I respect pilots, but people make mistakes"? "Need more pixels"?

2022-07-12T19:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546943601854201856

@saigon_ken @moranlerner That sounds like a Kuhnian cycle :)

(and, thanks!)

2022-07-12T19:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546940850738933761

@UAPll @shado_uk @fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Respecting pilots does not mean we should assume they are 100% accurate in all things.

People make mistakes. Pilots are people.

2022-07-12T19:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546940131197665280

@UAP_Therapist @zukoota @neiltyson Not beyond imagination though. Science fiction is pretty wide in scope nowadays, even if you were just to consider the works of Iain Banks.
And 100 years ago we had the concepts of time-travelers, video conferencing, space travel, and aliens in popular fiction. (Wells, Forster)

2022-07-12T18:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546924108364988417

@its_only_Gaz @EireScientist Of course it matters. That's why I try to interview them to try to understand it from their perspective. So far only @DietrichVFA41 has agreed to a public discussion, but I've got an open invitation to anyone else who wants to chat.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uwZU6RiTEAw

2022-07-12T18:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546921407635865601

@CryptoDinoBeave @kimball_23 @jamescarrion And it's the same with Corbell.

2022-07-12T18:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546918328953737216

RT @MickWest: Fun UFO fact. The start of the Tic-Tac video shows IR glare, but it's in white-hot mode.
If you invert it to black-hot modeâ□¦

2022-07-12T17:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546910635010641920

@mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig You can see all kinds of things in that image. A splash is not one of them. You are not even using the original video. Watch this. A splash would move with the water surface. There is no splash. Object is still visible (just heat source occluded)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1546910330369871872/pu/img/LNV0nSEWZvPe-RiN.

jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1546910330369871872/pu/img/LNV0nSEWZvPe-RiN.jpg

2022-07-12T16:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546898807333347328

@aka__V @ZimRavens @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein The solving of geometric and physical puzzles is fun. Talking to people is interesting.

2022-07-12T16:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546898114287529984

@UAPll @shado_uk @fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Without the radar data there's not a lot to go on. 35 years ago.

2022-07-12T16:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546897539529486336

@tgflynn314 @mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig Probably real. Either it's two things close together or one thing where part of it burns off.

2022-07-12T16:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546897152936357888

@mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig Not that can enter the water without a splash and without slowing down.

2020-10-11T15:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315312198290300933

@gerrycan1 I'm sorry, what do you mean "begun to descend?" It seems clearly that the entire top is descending. Everything above this line for the first 2 seconds is clearly dropping down.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkDtXNuUwAEz6dY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkDtXNuUwAEz6dY.jpg

2020-10-11T15:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315310246089256961

@gerrycan1 The first noticeable motion in the Sauret video does appear to be the antenna. Can you explain why you think this relates to the "Where's the top" meme?

2020-10-11T06:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315173834526396416

@gerrycan1 You seem to want to talk about something other than the meme. "Where's the top?" is the question. Where should it be? Where do you think it is?

2020-10-11T05:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1315169411490168834

@gerrycan1 The meme does not seem to be referring to lateral motion of the top. It seems to be referring to the top not being visible.

Can you indicate in the meme image what you are referring to?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkBrT3XVcAUIIKn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EkBrT3XVcAUIIKn.jpg
2020-10-10T18:04:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1314990203849728000
@gerrycan1 Jeepers Gerry, I just asked " a handy plan image with the columns, zones, and North indicated?"

Can we just take your opinion on my profound ignorance on the topic as read? It would save you a lot of characters in your tweets.

No, where's the top?

2020-10-10T16:29:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1314966359592198144
@SocialistFT Hundreds of calls? How do you know this?

2020-10-10T15:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1314945195432009728
@SocialistFT I think it's a false memory, created by them all hearing and re-telling the same story in a group back when it "happened." After a while, it seems like a real memory.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej-ff6uU4AEi2y4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej-fRD1VoAIKTFZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej-etJAVkAAPdpD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej-ff6uU4AEi2y4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej-e4ALVoAAbrg4.jpg

2020-10-10T01:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314734367223160832

@DavidPittelli1 But the official video does not have this, and the tie in the photo is LOWER res than the face.

2020-10-09T22:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314689311606886401

@Jim94334698 The one of the left is from the best video I could find (1080p.) The one of the right SEEMS like it has been upscaled with fake details. But then the tie is lower resolution.

2020-10-09T19:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314649205697245185

@Captain60850040 Why not both?

2020-10-09T19:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314647150945464320

@charliedeets Any idea what tool generates skin like that?

2020-10-09T19:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314644735844253697

@det_crockett06 I tried upscaling the video with a few free on-line tools, but the results were nothing like this.

2020-10-09T19:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314643298741444608

Image floating around on social media of Trump's face seems weirdly higher resolution than the official video - but only in the face area. The skin does not look like his skin.

What's going on? AI upscaling? Manual compositing of high and low res video frames? Strange.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej6MRZbUYAAHmhR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej6MRZbUYAAHmhR.jpg

2020-10-09T19:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314642248550678528

@rwcogito @_iKonoclast Where did you get the image? It's significantly edited from the video. Upscaled face blended with a defocused body. Where was it posted?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej6MBdpU8AA0qZE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ej6MBdpU8AA0qZE.jpg

2020-10-09T03:40:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314410265899794432

An interesting and perhaps unexpected perspective on conspiracy theories from Sacha Baron Cohen. https://time.com/5897501/conspiracy-theory-misinformation/

2020-10-08T18:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314269511315451904

@gerrycan1 Do you have a handy plan image with the columns, zones, and North indicated?

2020-10-08T17:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314263588412878851

@gerrycan1 No, we were discussing the "Where's the Top" meme. You said "Let's you and me discuss that meme "

So discuss the meme.

2020-10-08T16:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314245839540248576

@gerrycan1 Okay, so you want to chat on the record on Skype about the "Where's the Top" meme?

2020-10-08T14:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314217775674486789

@gerrycan1 Maybe you could find an engineer who will discuss it on video?

2020-10-08T05:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314079790450630656

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 I mean linear static analysis used for a dynamic non-linear situation, which results in a rather unrealistic "rubber-band" look.

https://youtu.be/Gb5jBtde2Fk?t=62

2020-10-08T05:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314079205408215040

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 But don't you want to explain this to the world?

2020-10-08T05:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314075800111513600

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 So what do you think of Hulsey's rubber-band model?

2020-10-08T05:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314075257498603520

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 You should write all this down somewhere.

2020-10-08T05:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314069683767709696

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 I not claiming I was "fully aware," no. I'm not sure it was relevant though, as it does not change the point at all. The building collapsed from the top. The planes hit at the top.

I know you mean well.

2020-10-08T05:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314068400302297090

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 Oh, I see what you mean.

When I said "1&2 collapse from the top, from where the planes hit and where the fire was."

I mean the top, vs. the bottom. I was not implying a side of the building.

Sorry for the confusion!

2020-10-08T04:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314067138290696192

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 So you saying there's irrefutable proof of controlled demolition in this claim of yours?

Did it get written down somewhere? Why is this proof never used? Is it new? Irrelevant? What's going on?

2020-10-08T04:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314065757416771584

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 So where were the fires?

2020-10-08T04:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314058062806708225

@gerrycan1 @TheFoll89486553 1&2 collapse from the top, from where the planes hit and where the fire was.

7 collapses from the bottom, at least the exterior does. VERY different.

2020-10-08T03:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314051574616911872

@All4LightBearer They still have that platform, just not the groups.

2020-10-08T03:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314051406085537792

@TheFoll89486553 You think that the collapse of WTC1 was in exactly the same manner as the collapse of WTC7?

You should check that.

2020-10-08T03:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314051142767190016

@stang289 Erm, no. Why would I be?

2020-10-08T01:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1314018743945580544

@weaver_loom http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjxVFAUVoAEUW5p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjxVFAUVoAEUW5p.jpg
2020-10-08T01:22:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1314013182629879808
@stang289 It's not an either-or proposition. We can take the best of both worlds if that's what works. There's a LOT of different issues. It's not commies vs. freedom.

2020-10-07T23:24:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313983603307499520
@stang289 I'm a social democrat. Sweden/Denmark type of thing.

https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2016/03/bernie-sanders-democratic-socialism/471630/

2020-10-07T22:54:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313975939412357121
@stang289 I don't think so.

2020-10-07T22:53:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313975754850402304
@stang289 Did you pick Pence? That's how the VP process works. You pick a candidate, they pick a VP.

And I know you've been told to think it's a joke, but most of the protests were peaceful. Violence just gets more news coverage. https://time.com/5886348/report-peaceful-protests/

2020-10-07T22:50:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313975116426952704
@stang289 You want to push her out of a helicopter?

2020-10-07T22:32:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313970454713888768
@stang289 She's not celebrating the riots. She is saying the protests are not going to stop. Why are you watching edited, out-of-context clips? Look at the actual source.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NTg1ynIPGls

2020-10-07T17:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313899031672700928

@russian71805090 @TenoriThrowaway What would be a good non-bs theory then? Where do you personally draw the line?

2020-10-07T17:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313898820925747200

@TenoriThrowaway Am I not already being exposed to the best evidence? I mean it's been 19 years, surely they could have figured it out by now?

2020-10-07T17:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313888719900872706

@stang289 @GetAFreakinGrip Harris was my state's junior senator. I've read her Wikipedia entry. Seems good enough to me. The main criticism seems to be that she was too tough on crime as AG. That's a valid issue, but overall I'm good. Better than Trump/Pence

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kamala_Harris

2020-10-07T17:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313887081022717952

Are "Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth" still relevant to anyone? It kind of feels like they are moving towards the type of simplistic fringe position typified by http://Geoengineeringwatch.org. Blind advocacy with a few devoted followers.

Or am I missing something? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjvdCryU8AAkHEM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjvdCryU8AAkHEM.jpg

2020-10-07T16:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313885352998830081

@stang289 @GetAFreakinGrip I'm not voting for 1990s Joe, I'm voting for 2020 Joe.

2020-10-07T16:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313883179992805376

@stang289 @GetAFreakinGrip You didn't see all those policy proposals I linked to? There are substantive differences between the parties that are nothing to do with the easy targets of social justice and critical race theory.

Example: reforming the criminal justice system. https://joebiden.com/justice/

2020-10-07T14:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313848552594968576

@stang289 @GetAFreakinGrip We also have a comprehensive and constructive set of plans to make things better. Calling people Hitler isn't part of that plan, it's just something that occasionally arises naturally in response to certain parallels.

https://democrats.org/where-we-stand/party-platform/

2020-10-07T14:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313847476663709696

@All4LightBearer I doubt there were many people who only went to Facebook for their QAnon news.

2020-10-07T14:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313844607789092864

@skytriangles Not as a rule, no, I'd set a fairly high bar in censorship. It's a dangerous tool used by authoritarian governments to suppress dissent.

2020-10-07T00:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313634451910397952

@AdmiralQuality I did not cite "fringe right-wing sites," I cited the Daily Stormer because the Atlantic article discussed the Daily Stormer, quite a lot.

Also mentioned: Boogaloo, Gamergate, 4chan/pol/, and QAnon.

2020-10-07T00:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313633014975401984

@stang289 Do you think BLM is equivalent to QAnon?

2020-10-06T23:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313617360641683456

The first ever televised Presidential debate. Quite a contrast!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AYP8-oxq8ig

2020-10-06T23:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313616010709065729

@stang289

https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2020/aug/24/greg-gutfeld/qanon-hoax-has-been-linked-violence-fox-news-greg-/

2020-10-06T22:35:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313608761727086592

@stang289 I'm concerned about white supremacy too, that does not mean I think there's some validity to their claims.

Facebook is removing Militarized Social Movements that repeatedly call for violence.

2020-10-06T22:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313607258480181254

@AdmiralQuality Obviously, memes can be hate speech.
Obviously, not all memes are hate speech.

The problem isn't memes and more than the problem in the 1930s was cartoons. The problem is hate speech pretending to be humor.

2020-10-06T21:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313599704140181504

@AdmiralQuality It's advocating for not brushing off hate speech as humor - based on things like the Daily Stormer style guide. You think that's "advocating against freedom"?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjrX7mfVcAAH0RL.jpg

The irony, shocking humor, and plausible deniability that Auernheimer learned to cultivate in troll circles is now being put to use in white-supremacist recruitment. In 2017, *HuffPost* obtained the style guide for The Daily Stormer. "Generally, when using racial slurs," the guide advises, "it should come across as half-joking—like a racist joke everyone laughs at because it's true. This follows the generally light tone of the site. It should not come across as genuinely raging vitriol. That is a turnoff to the overwhelming majority of people." The site should draw people in through "naughty humor" before they are "slowly awakened to reality," the guide adds, before recommending that would-be contributors read chapter six of *Mein Kampf*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjrX7mfVcAAH0RL.jpg

2020-10-06T21:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313598118148009984

Facebook's full ban of all QAnon groups is, on balance, a good thing.
There will be some intensification of individual beliefs as the censorship validates their world view, but that's dwarfed by the vast platform FB gave to them, which is now gone.
https://www.nbcnews.com/tech/tech-news/facebook-bans-qanon-across-its-platforms-n1242339

2020-10-06T20:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313574747947425793

@AdmiralQuality If you are criticizing people for doing something wrong, surely you'd have some idea as to how they could do it right?

Or is there no place at all in your world for social democratic ideals?

2020-10-06T18:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313547447172440065

@AdmiralQuality I mean, what should they do, concretely.

2020-10-06T18:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313542245887344640

@AdmiralQuality What would that look like?

2020-10-06T16:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313517365229883392

@stang289 Ignore? It was on CNN.

I'm not a fan of any ad-hoc advocacy militia. But literally burning down a city is not the NFAC's position statement.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tUAA8KPGi7I

2020-10-06T16:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313512739906613248

@stang289 I don't think that humorously promoting White Power and a race war is an appropriate response to whatever you think the MSM is doing.

2020-10-06T16:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313511749417541632

@AdmiralQuality You keep bringing up this "superior/smug" thing. I don't feel like I'm being smug. I feel like there's a problem of disinformation driving division and creating or amplifying social issues.

What do you think, if anything, should be done?

2020-10-06T16:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313509901788872705

@AdmiralQuality That's interesting, because traditional mainstream comedy (SNL, Daily Show, Tonight Show, etc) has skewed left forever, and yet is still quite amusing.

I don't really see the Moral Majority comparison. MM was religious, they did lack a sense of humor though, I'll give you that.

2020-10-06T15:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313503151991840768

@AdmiralQuality Yeah, I don't think that's particularly helpful. But it's also not the same thing.

2020-10-06T15:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313502446635806725

@AdmiralQuality @MrAndyNgo Again, the article did not say what you claimed it said. So your "10,000 refutations" are a bit pointless.

That "opinion piece" makes me feel worried.

2020-10-06T15:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313501686963433472

@AdmiralQuality The average citizen could share pamphlets, post them in busy streets, and paint messages on walls.

But yes, it's easier now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejp-xl2UYAEaucl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejp-xl2UYAEaucl.jpg

2020-10-06T15:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313500880835018752

@AdmiralQuality @MrAndyNgo It does not say that violent riots in Portland were far-right. It says that Robert Evans saw some far-right militia members in Portland.

This is true. Specific example: the "Back the Blue" rally, Aug 22.
https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/08/22/portland-police-far-right-protest/

2020-10-06T15:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313499418629300225

@AdmiralQuality Isn't it obvious? The meme-equivalent was cartoons.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejp8izDU4AEy8U2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejp8izDU4AEy8U2.jpg

2020-10-06T15:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313496881788379137

@AdmiralQuality Did you read the section about Hans Litten?

2020-10-06T15:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313496122875232256

Proven disinformation should be removed, regardless of the source.

https://twitter.com/Walldo/status/1313492430356918274

2020-10-06T15:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313495761817133063

@AdmiralQuality When was the last time you read an article in the Atlantic? They are pretty good.

This one provides some great historical context. Give it a go. You will learn something regardless. Much more productive use of your time.

2020-10-06T15:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313495397885714434

@TheFoll89486553 I'm not trolling. I'm figuring stuff out about some videos.

That's not what this article is about.

2020-10-06T15:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1313494615769653256
@AdmiralQuality Why are you opposed to reading long articles? You're just going to be browsing Twitter for the next 20 minutes. Why not put that on hold and read something substantial, even if you think you will disagree with it?

2020-10-06T14:55:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313493185826566144
When does toxic trolling start to undermine the fabric of society?
Pretty much straight away, you just don't realize it until ten years later.

https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2020/09/how-memes-lulz-and-ironic-bigotry-won-internet/616427/

2020-10-05T19:17:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1313196743945674752
@thejtlewis That's not actually how journalism works. You don't have to go back and report everything else before reporting something new.

2020-10-04T19:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1312838196359696384
@JLuvin @123334AB @bdsXXII @rpowell2u I'm not 100% in the "totally prosaic" camp (if there even is such a thing). I'm quite open to the possibility of odd-looking secret drones and secret electronic countermeasure tests and suchlike.

I just don't think it's hypersonic new technology - certainly not in the videos.

2020-10-04T17:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1312806367908909056
@PostDisclosure @Grendel38389266 @blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @rpowell2u Well yeah, it's easier to not enforce the law than it is to enforce it. It's easier to surrender than to fight. I'm pretty sure the military does not generally consider "easier" to be the most significant criteria in their decision-making process.

2020-10-04T15:50:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1312782078606372869
@IDoubtIt And here's another angle. Vest dude is giving a thumbs-up. And the actual wide context shot.

I'll stop now :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfwQMSVcAAh11S.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfwQMSVcAAh11S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejfv6ykUwAAbdxe.jpg

2020-10-04T15:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312776272389369856

@IDoubtIt Actually I take it back, that's Cecil airport. This is Bemidji, slightly smaller crowd

But here's another shot a few seconds laters, proving that the first it not fakes.

https://www.gettyimages.com/photos/brendan-smialowski-bemidji?family=editorial&phrase=brendan%20smialowski%20Bemidji&sort=newest

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejfq3wFVcAE6VEw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejfq3wFVcAE6VEw.jpg

2020-10-04T15:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312773054032834560

@IDoubtIt Wider shot http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfmeYNVoAc-OIS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfmeYNVoAc-OIS.jpg

2020-10-04T15:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312770559998980096

@IDoubtIt Finger though the loop?

I'd think that holding up a vest for a long period of time would lead you to adjust your finger positions a few times. I would not read much into a random position.

2020-10-04T15:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312769477730213895
@ExoExplorer3 I did not presume "Fravor's stories are true and Kevin Day and others have remembered the radar data correctly."

I think his stories are misinterpretations, I don't think anyone's memory is particularly reliable

I default to assuming people are not lying, but don't rule it out

---

2020-10-04T14:57:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1312768699405746177
@IDoubtIt Not shopped. Here I've brighten the shadows a bit so you can see the jacket. Backlighting is from the floodlights. Off shapes are telepromters. MAGA hats are monochrome red so show up in error-level analysis. Perspective foreshortening leads to odd juxtapositions.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfkGLKVoAAusCZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejfi5biU0AAXD4W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjfkGLKVoAAusCZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ejfiu3IVcAEqR6U.jpg

2020-10-04T08:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312673332353855491

RT @jonostrower: The photos released by the WH tonight of the president working at Walter Reed were taken 10 minutes apart at 5:25:59 pm anâ¦

2020-10-04T08:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312671733518749697

@ExoExplorer3 What did he invalidate?

2020-10-03T23:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312535058146680832

Dan Rather keeping me from the #1 Writing Journalism Reference spot again!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjcPOJHVcAAhGkY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjcPOJHVcAAhGkY.jpg

2020-10-03T23:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312533860551282688

@Richcurtis86 "Best"? You can get it via any online bookstore like Amazon or B&N. Physical stores will probably have to order it. The discounted hardcover price has unfortunately gone up recently (limited first printing), and paperback is not much cheaper.

2020-10-03T23:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312532761488449537

@Nick8977 Why do you think they are? I don't feel rattled.

2020-10-03T22:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312514290671181824

@Scribe1969 https://www.amazon.com/Peoples-History-United-States/dp/0062397346/

2020-10-03T22:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312513309699002368

Do you want to understand and help your conspiracy minded friend? For a limited time my book "Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect" is just $2 on Kindle.

https://www.amazon.com/dp/B077YS5G2N/

2020-10-03T19:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312470353738756096

RT @LAFwriter: Many of us need this book to help us deal with friends & loved ones who have bought into conspiracy theories &/or become radâ□¦

2020-10-03T18:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312455525984997377

@pjrbarnett https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yaydhlOVogk

2020-10-03T17:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312438212552400897

@pjrbarnett I did. Canâ□□t find it on my phone, later.

2020-10-03T13:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312389256149495812

@pjrbarnett Watch this. See how A) the core columns remain standing after the wave has passed, and B) the outer walls peel away, often in very large chunks.

2020-10-03T13:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312388971251396608

@pjrbarnett The gathering wave of steel and concrete that destroyed the building was largely funneled inside, stripping the floors away and down, violently compressing air. Both things led to ejections of air and material fractions of a second before the outer walls peeled away.

2020-10-02T19:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312108905405673473

@jackseraphim1 @PattyArquette @JohnJawW @RealAceFox1 figured it out. A Canadair Cl-415 waterbomber.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZVraTrdHkls&feature=youtu.be&t=63

2020-10-02T16:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312062160499957760

RT @rothschildmd: This is fake.
https://twitter.com/ReportsDaNews/status/1312057375743004672

2020-10-02T14:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312037415926726657

RT @AricToler: Meanwhile, in QAnonland, Trump and Melania got corona on purpose so that they'd be cured by hydroxychloroquine and then showâ□¦

2020-10-02T14:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1312036261687750656

@pjrbarnett Thanks Paul, I appreciate your openness.

2020-10-02T04:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311891119085551616

RT @travis_view: Please God don't kill Trump with the coronavirus a month before the election. It would be the the most significant generatâ□¦

2020-10-01T16:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311700260448661504

@sj3wufofish @PostDisclosure @JLuvin You can never kick out the disclosure soapbox because you can never prove that alien visitors are not going to be revealed in the future.
So if you are only worried about being wrong then it's a safe place to stand.

2020-10-01T15:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311688505391640577

@SimpleComplex8 It's easy for a skilled documentarian to present existing information in a way that's both compelling and entertaining. I will be interested to see where the balance is. Is it investigation, or advocacy of the "aliens are visiting" hypothesis.

2020-10-01T14:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311681937728131074

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure How can I "discount classified radar?" That's like saying I'm discounting top secret information of an unknown nature.

One can certainly point out that it's both inaccessible, and we don't know what it shows. So it's not currently useful.

2020-10-01T14:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311681419165360128

@pjrbarnett @ET__GOD @PostDisclosure @JLuvin How could they tell it's a plane, in the cockpit, from that video alone? No visual, no radar, just that blob on the screen.

2020-10-01T04:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311516863281602561

@PostDisclosure @JLuvin Do you think the object in the FLIR1 makes sudden moves, and physically shoots off at the end?

2020-10-01T01:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311479456502935552

@PostDisclosure @JLuvin I encourage people to check the facts for themselves.

I don't make facts, I'm not the source of facts. I just point at them, explain stuff, and suggest stuff. Check it.

2020-09-30T22:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311426997373997058

@ufologyresearch @CurtCollins579 Well in this case they are 99.9999% demonstrably wrong. It's a plane. IB6830 took that exact path at the time and matches everything that was observed. The trained observers were wrong. The experts were wrong. It happens.

2020-09-30T19:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311387105101148162

@JLuvin Yeah, but Metabunk got that one right :)

2020-09-30T18:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311374975949922305

@JLuvin And have you read the article? They spent TWO YEARS consulting experts to eliminate all the possibilities. They still failed. The correct plane was identified on Metabunk by an aviation hobbyist TWO DAYS after the story broke.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/#post-198285

2020-09-30T18:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311374032781012992

@JLuvin They vary a lot. Argentina has a much better one (the Aerospace Identification Center,

headed by Ruben Lianza) Any US program is behind the secrecy wall.

But Keane said the Chileans were "world leaders."

They were still wrong. Blinded by their assumed expertise.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLvf6TVkAETnfo.jpg



OPENMINDS.TV/CEFAA

General Ricardo Bermúdez has directed the CEFAA since its inception in 1997. He retired on Jan. 1, 2017, but will remain an advisor to the agency.

The CEFAA has been a world leader in official and open investigations of UFOs. I have been priviledged to work closely with the staff there for about five years, learning a great deal. At the end of December, General Bermúdez retired, and although he remains an external advisor to the agency, Lay has assumed the duty of interim director until another General is appointed by the DGAC. I am grateful to General Bermúdez for giving me access to the outstanding CEFAA case files, inviting me to attend meetings there, and for his time in answering my questions. He has left a tremendous legacy with regards to the serious study of UAP and the official recognition of a real unexplained phenomenon in our skies.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLvf6TVkAETnfo.jpg
2020-09-30T18:16:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1311369272862539776
@SimpleComplex8 It's old.

2020-09-30T18:03:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1311365965125312512
I was reminded of the Chilean UFO case recently. It was proven to be a plane. So reading the original "groundbreaking" article again is quite amusing. It's a valuable lesson in the dangers of "expert" analysis that any UFO researcher should study.

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_b_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLoVyQUMAEruZs.jpg

---

But there is one additional component that makes this footage particularly unique: “In two instances it discharged some type of gas or liquid with a high thermal track or signal,” the technician stated. After filming for about eight minutes, the stunning ejection of a massive plume of a very hot material is captured on the video, trailing behind the object. (The plume blended into the clouds when seen in HD.) Another ejection occurred moments later. It is indeed bizarre to watch this on the video.



COURTESY CEFAA

The object moved away from the massize plume it ejected just moments earlier.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLn5MVVgAAsF8w.jpg

The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.

The Navy Captain stated that the object was a “flat, elongated structure” with “two thermal spotlights like discharges that did not coincide with the axel of motion.” The technician described it as as “white with a semi-oval shape on the horizontal axis.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLnqcQUMAAiAff.jpg



COURTESY CEFAA

A rather somber meeting of the CEFAA scientific and military committee to discuss the Navy video. The DGAC Director presided (with his back to the camera).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLoVyQUMAEruZs.jpg

The French analysts proposed that the object was a “medium-haul aircraft” coming in for a landing into the Santiago airport, and “the effluent trail observed on two occasions probably results from dumping some cabin waste water, forming a plume oriented along the local wind blowing from the west.” They based this on their calculation that the distance between the two hot spots was “consistent with the standard distance between the two jet engines of a medium-haul aircraft.”

Chilean experts knew that this would have been impossible, for a number of reasons: This plane would have been seen on primary radar; it would have had to be cleared for landing in Santiago or at another airport; it would likely have responded to radio communications. Airplanes do not throw out water when landing. In fact, in Chile a plane wishing to eject any material must request permission from the DGAC before doing so; that regulation is widely known and respected. And, it seems unlikely that this experienced pilot would not have recognized this as an airplane, or at least kept that option open afterwards if it were a possibility.

In fact, if - hypothetically - water was expelled, it would have immediately plummeted to the ground given the warm air temperature. According to NASA, “Contrails are human-induced clouds that usually form at very high altitudes (usually above 8 km - about 26,000 ft) where the air is extremely cold (less than -40°C). Because of this, contrails form not when an airplane is taking off or landing, but while it is at cruise altitude.” The plume ejected from the object must have been some kind of gas or energy, and was not something tangible like water.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjLoLo_UcAUegoK.jpg

2020-09-30T15:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311321357360066560

@pjrbarnett I was just seeing what a typical Nov 14 traffic pattern looked like. Not a lot of planes in that area with ADS-B.

2020-09-29T18:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311008376164249600

@ChrisRu34214495 @beckyfh Hmm. does not show up on FR24, so probably military jets.

2020-09-29T18:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1311005438859599873

@0010101X I think it's military, as it came from the Coronado, North Island Naval Air Station. And wend back there after the low-altitude fun. Probably some test or training.

2020-09-29T17:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310998538285842433

@beckyfh @ChrisRu34214495 What time did you see them?

2020-09-29T17:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310997796380577792

What might this Learjet (probably military, out of Coronado) have been doing last November, flying under 200 feet over the ocean south of San Clemente?

https://www.flightradar24.com/2019-11-14/23:41/12x/LJ35/22d850af

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjGZKbxVkAAP3xk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjGZKbxVkAAP3xk.jpg

2020-09-29T16:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310976468533485568

RT @katestarbird: Our team at the @2020Partnership & @uwcip analyzed how incidents of improperly disposed mail are mobilized to spread disiâ¦

2020-09-29T13:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310940441265795074

@sj3wufofish @DoctorKarl Probably better to just say "via refraction". Somewhat minor points, but I did a talk on the topic of SciComm last year, and I use the complexity of rainbows as an example. So a pet subject for me :). (also Flat Earthers have a curious relationship with refraction) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjFlEq6XgAA5DpH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EjFlEq6XgAA5DpH.jpg

2020-09-29T13:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310938841881210880

@tiller4riller If you send me the video I'll take a look. mick@mickwest.com

---

2020-09-29T13:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310933458928058373

@tiller4riller The more tic-tac shaped objects are often planes, with the details hidden by focus, contrast, and resolution factors.

2020-09-28T17:17:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310629761006280706

@SimpleComplex8 Not today.

2020-09-28T16:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310610370482987008

@SimpleComplex8 I'm not really interested in old cases. Especially when it's just stories.

2020-09-28T15:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310597183566012417

@Timmo05166076 @ufoofinterest This type of thing. Common UFO cause. Up there with skydivers and kites.

https://youtu.be/410TVJ0-heU?t=110

2020-09-28T15:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310595265942810626

@SimpleComplex8 No.

2020-09-28T15:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310595201874890754
@sj3wufofish @DoctorKarl It "may be useful". It's not really useful here (compressed air), as the gradient is not well described by any equation, and it it fails when the direction of the light is perpendicular to the gradient.

2020-09-28T04:17:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310433544187990016
@DoctorKarl It's not really bending "via Snell's law" as that only applies to a clean interface between two different refractive indices. With air of varying density you get gradients of refractive index, and then it's all Fermat's principle.

2020-09-28T03:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310428550806212608
@JLuvin @UFO_Researchers @pjrbarnett @_Kodos_ Raw footage contrast enhanced and pixels enlarged. The vanishing seems consistent with noise and compression.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ei-TqHuU0AAsBRb.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ei-TqHuU0AAsBRb.jpg
2020-09-28T03:54:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310427750075777029
@michal_nemcok I think the videos show three different things. I don't think there's much, if any, lying involved.

2020-09-28T03:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310427500367896576
@JLuvin @UFO_Researchers @pjrbarnett @_Kodos_ It not a frame drop. I mean it's invisible for one frame, where it's claimed it goes "behind the ridge". Drops from visibility. But that's just consistent with compression. I have the raw footage. This is all from last year. January 2019.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2020-09-28T00:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310383447467196421
@JLuvin @UFO_Researchers @_Kodos_ @pjrbarnett It drops out for one frame, consistent with with noise level there. Here's the three frames.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ei9qxPPUwAAeB3g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ei9qxPOU0AEaPlI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ei9qxPPU4AEWq1K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ei9qxPPUwAAeB3g.jpg

2020-09-27T22:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310341622014439424

@UFO_Researchers @JLuvin @_Kodos_ @pjrbarnett Yeah, it's just a regular drone zip-by UFO. Something very small, not moving much at all, and the illusion of speed coming from the drone itself, which is moving at around 20 mph, but as it's high up, it looks like it isn't moving much. Fun though. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2020-09-27T22:09:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310340696042135552

@knightsabers01 @coasttocoastam @PostDisclosure Probably.

2020-09-27T18:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310282006995955712

@limitedview I'm not promoting. I'm summarizing a paper. I disagree with it.

2020-09-27T17:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310272733427630080

@limitedview @ProjectBluebeam Keep an open mind.

2020-09-27T17:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310271380819030018

@DanTharle About half the height of core remained standing.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6FInO7LNgRs

2020-09-27T17:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1310270591484657665

@limitedview @ProjectBluebeam Yeah, you HAVE to think that, because if it wasn't then the WTC wasn't either.

2020-09-27T15:41:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310243110954233856
@try_thinking @limitedview You see the words "perspective compression magnifies a slight curve"

What do you think that means?

Have you ever looked along a pool cue?

2020-09-27T15:39:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1310242678383104000
@Alien_Scientist @katfisch21 So if it's really that obvious, then what's actually happening here? Millions of engineers all fooled? Or brainwashed?

2020-09-26T19:32:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309938863096213510
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @alexxdesignn @pjrbarnett @bobofango86 @paulsanderson @hughespj1 @aka__V Seems a bit ridiculous. All this reliance on ill-defined secrets that you don't know the content of, and that might not even exist.

2020-09-26T16:53:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309899013500448769
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @alexxdesignn @pjrbarnett @bobofango86 @paulsanderson @hughespj1 @aka__V You don't think that fixing false statements on their web site might be at least a LITTLE bit of a priority?

I mean, don't they (TTSA) want to be perceived as have an emphasis on accuracy and honesty? Or at least some very basic openness to fact-checking.

2020-09-26T02:17:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309678506284965888
@krissy_moo @ConceptualJames So where is the line? Someone steals your burger, can you kill them? Is there a line? Phones, cars, houses? When does the summary killing of people start to become a good option?

2020-09-26T02:13:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309677512943755264
@krissy_moo @ConceptualJames That's not the choice.

2020-09-26T02:11:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309676846158544897
@ConceptualJames You don't have to choose. You have to prioritize. We can have property without killing people.

2020-09-26T02:08:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309676300550905857
@ConceptualJames They are not saying don't value property. They are saying don't value it

more than human life.

You can do both, just have priorities.

2020-09-26T01:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309672587220324352

@benjimaan1994 It's more that they are not giving any details.

2020-09-26T00:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309647133247639552

@ufo_seekers "They" obviously means "the objects in the videos"

2020-09-25T22:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309620705101840384

@jgajek They were already out.

2020-09-25T22:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309620583362039810

@buffcommacu 99.9. Might just be a weird coincidence.

2020-09-25T22:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309620393129336832

@SgtKellogg I donâ□□t think itâ□□s likely that what Fravor saw was a plane.

2020-09-25T21:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309603541321789440

7/7 So overall, a minor bit of new information, but, as usual, the real meat remains hidden behind the wall of secrecy.

Key point: "Unidentified means we cannot DEFINITIVELY determine what they are.

So maybe they have a pretty good idea.

2020-09-25T21:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309602857251819520

6/? I also asked them if they had figured out any of the stuff that I and others figured out (FLIR1: no sudden moves, GIMBAL: glare, GO FAST: parallax effect)

They gave the boilerplate "security... no public details" answer.

2020-09-25T21:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309602073965506560

5/? I also asked "Have any of the videos been used in pilot training?"

Navy: "No."

Which seems to scuttle that theory!

2020-09-25T21:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309601857795272704

4/? "... DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination

of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP."
[Which is a stock answer]

2020-09-25T21:12:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309601638076698624
3/? I then asked "Would a distant plane of undetermined type and origin "remain characterized as 'unidentified'" even if could be determined that it was a plane."
Navy: "To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries ..."

2020-09-25T21:10:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309601231367671808
2/? I asked if that would change if it was determined there was a plausible explanation or an identified aircraft.
Navy: " The official designation would change if we definitively identify the objects. [but] no release of information to the general public is expected."

2020-09-25T21:08:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309600575223263233
1/? Regarding the UFO videos officially released this year, I ask the Navy Spokesperson about their current status.

Navy: "The objects in the videos remain characterized as 'unidentified.' Unidentified means we cannot definitively determine what they are."

2020-09-25T21:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309599606838161408
@JLuvin @aka__V @Oswald1160 @pjrbarnett @hughespj1 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 Except you don't really known any details about what they can or cannot identify, or what they failed to identify in 2004.

2020-09-25T20:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309597658047111170
@JLuvin @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @pjrbarnett @paulsanderson @aka__V Of course there might be radar data of ANYTHING. That possibility is not actual evidence.

Consider though if there was. Then the gov has had 100% proof of super-advanced tech since 2004.

So, if that's true, what's happening?

2020-09-25T19:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309581201070387200
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @pjrbarnett @paulsanderson @aka__V @hughespj1 Doing that? We only have vague accounts of these other radar readings.

If three radar systems saw exactly 28,000 ft in 0.78 sec, then that almost certainly means something was doing it.
But they didn't.

2020-09-25T17:08:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309540303112531969
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @pjrbarnett @paulsanderson @aka__V @hughespj1
Generally they would not. The glitches "jumped" from 28k to sea level when the planes approached. This suggest they were marginal detections from atmospheric and ocean conditions that showed up when there was nothing else nearby to provide contrast.

2020-09-24T23:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309278306663972864
@JLuvin @aka__V @Oswald1160 @pjrbarnett @hughespj1 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 Why lying? They have repeatedly said they are not going to publicly discuss it. We just don't know what they have figured out.

https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/research/a33413777/pentagon-ufo-program-materials-vehicles/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eit9pE5VgAArNZl.jpg

---

Gough continues:

> *The safety of our personnel and the security of our operations is of paramount concern. To protect our people and maintain operations security, which includes not providing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eit9pE5VgAArNZl.jpg
2020-09-24T20:30:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1309228844109369344
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @aka__V @pjrbarnett @hughespj1 @paulsanderson @bobofango86
Could be more like 40 miles. The problem was he had no radar lock, and so only had the ATFLIR camera, which was really zoomed in. Hard to find anything unless he flipped back to WFOV within a new seconds. Old report says he made no attempt to do this. Also confused on altitude.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EitQksfVkAEWRa9.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EitQksfVkAEWRa9.jpg

2020-09-24T20:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309222879741923328

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @aka__V @pjrbarnett @hughespj1 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 With GOFAST, the focal length is irrelevant except for size calculation. The headings and distances are what is used to calculate altitude and speed.

2020-09-24T20:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309222287711768576

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @aka__V @pjrbarnett @hughespj1 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 Why not reacquired? -> Operator error, didn't pop out to WFOV and slew the camera over quickly enough.

Most [glare] doesn't have definitive shape? -> So what? Some do. This one did.

Neither of those things are 50/50 indicators.

2020-09-24T17:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309182809467006976

@JLuvin @cwordpod I didn't say that. I said, in my summary of the Proceedings paper (not the SCU report)

"Hence consistent with interstellar spaceship." They actually didn't say it quite as explicitly in Proceedings, but did in the fuller version of the paper in Entropy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EismyGIUMAgNWWb.jpg

---

acceleration, the speed is given by

$$v = c \tanh\left(\frac{a\tau}{c}\right) = \frac{at}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{at}{c}\right)^2 + 1}}, \tag{26}$$

which for the accelerations we are considering, very rapidly approaches the speed of light to within a small fraction of a percent.

The main point is that not only are the observed accelerations of these UAVs consistent with those required for interstellar travel, but that some of these UAVs exhibit capabilities suggesting that they could be spacecraft with impressive interstellar capabilities.

Table 3. Distances and Travel Times to Various Star Systems. (For each system, the left column lists the travel time τ (24) experienced by the travelers in units of days (d) and the right column lists the travel time t (25) experienced by those in the galactic (rest) frame in units of years (y).)

Acceleration	Proxima Centauri 4.37 LY		Tau Ceti 11.9 LY		Gliese 667C 25.05 LY		TRAPPIST-1 39.17 LY	
	τ	t	τ	t	τ	t	τ	t

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EismyGIUMAgNWWb.jpg

anomalous craft are taking advantage of technology, engineering, or physics that we are unfamiliar with. For example, the Tic-Tac UAV dropping from 28,000 ft to sea level in 0.78 s involved at least 4.3×10^{11} J of energy (assuming a mass of 1000 kg), which is equivalent to about 100 tons of TNT, or the yield of 200 Tomahawk cruise missiles, released in $\frac{3}{4}$ of a second. One would have expected a catastrophic effect on the surrounding environment. This does not rule out the possibility that these UAVs have been developed by governments, organizations, or individuals on Earth, but it suggests that these UAVs and the technologies they employ may be of extraterrestrial origin. That being said, it should be strongly emphasized that proving that something is extraterrestrial would be extremely difficult, even if one had a craft in hand.

The purpose of this paper is to focus on the flight kinematics of these UAVs with the aim of building up a body of scientific evidence that will allow for a more precise understanding of their nature and origin.

As such, it is difficult to draw any useful conclusions at this point. We have characterized the accelerations of a number of UAVs and have demonstrated that if they are craft then they are indeed anomalous, displaying technical capabilities far exceeding those of our fastest aircraft and spacecraft. It is not clear that these objects are extraterrestrial in origin, but it is extremely difficult to imagine that anyone on Earth with such technology would not put it to use. Moreover, observations of similar UAPs go back to well before the era of flight [1]. Collectively, these observations strongly suggest that these UAVs should be carefully studied by scientists [9–13].

Unfortunately, the attitude that the study of UAVs (UFOs) is "unscientific" pervades the scientific

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EismQadU0AApUqT.jpg

2020-09-24T17:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309180013455896576

@cwordpod Again, you just don't get it. I am 100% trying to find the truth.

Perhaps if you look at some specifics, that might help.

2020-09-24T16:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309174676690698241

@cwordpod Please don't make up quotes and attribute them to me. I would never use false generalizations like that.

If you think I would then you SEVERELY misunderstand me, and should take a step back.

2020-09-24T16:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309171338364563458

@tommyIzito @gammaraybeam @blackvaultcom Nothing repeatable.

2020-09-24T14:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309144400619077641

@gammaraybeam @tommyIzito @blackvaultcom Mostly a waste of time. The owner of the ranch did not see anything there for months. And there's nothing repeatable to test.

2020-09-24T14:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1309143967364153351

@jarboe_larry The simplest way is by looking at the core that remains after the collapse wave has reached the ground. This is half the height of the tower. How doe it jibe with the meme? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7W0-W582fNQ

2020-09-24T03:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308974074882818051

@blackvaultcom Okay, letâ□□s do it.

2020-09-24T03:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308973394004774914

@sj3wufofish Screenflow on a Mac

2020-09-24T00:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308928664772333568

@blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u A strong hint. But obviously they could be entirely clueless, or hiding secret tech, or secret foreign or alien tech.

But the reason I think they agree with my analysis of the videos is that I think I'm right, and they have a lot of resources to figure it out.

2020-09-24T00:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308928011421528065

@Grendel38389266 @blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u They have more important things to worry about.

2020-09-24T00:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308927893792157696

@tlathtoani @blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u I don't think the DoD holds those assessments.

2020-09-23T23:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308918570370379781

@K9_Dirk @kfbk It looks like contrails.

2020-09-23T23:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308917016007446528

@blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u ... or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP."

So they seem to be strongly hinting they won't tell you if they figured it out.
(2/2)

2020-09-23T23:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308916756740820992

@blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u Yet they said
"To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges ..."
(1/2)

2020-09-23T22:39:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308898772148256771

@blackvaultcom @RobAgs0817 @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u Perhaps they simply feel that telling the world how fighter pilots are trained is a bad idea, and indeed that such training would be top secret?

2020-09-23T22:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308898172329177089

@RobAgs0817 @blackvaultcom @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u I'm not particularly interested in parsing words. The Navy have been clear mostly in that they are not going to just tell people what the result of their investigation into these things are. It would likely require a declassification process that they don't care to do.

2020-09-23T22:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308894904656027649

@RobAgs0817 @blackvaultcom @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u Once a UAP, always a UAP.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eiog-cOU0AAu56E.jpg

On Aug. 4, 2020, Deputy Secretary of Defense David L. Norquist approved the establishment of an Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP) Task Force (UAPTF). The Department of the Navy, under the cognizance of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, will lead the UAPTF.

The Department of Defense established the UAPTF to improve its understanding of, and gain insight into, the nature and origins of UAPs. The mission of the task force is to detect, analyze and catalog UAPs that could potentially pose a threat to U.S. national security.

As DOD has stated previously, the safety of our personnel and the security of our operations are of paramount concern. The Department of Defense and the military departments take any incursions by unauthorized aircraft into our training ranges or designated airspace very seriously and examine each report. This includes examinations of incursions that are initially reported as UAP when the observer cannot immediately identify what he or she is observing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eiog-cOU0AAu56E.jpg
2020-09-23T22:17:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308893214678626306
@Asgeir16813191 @b5t1an Stuff like "it moved 28,000 feet to a hover in 0.78 seconds ", "it was out of sight within a second" and "it moved off screen"

2020-09-23T22:14:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308892568957194241
@blackvaultcom @Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u Yes. I think they HAVE determined the same. I think that GIMBAL and GOFAST videos are probably now used as training videos. I think they can tell that FLIR1 made no sudden moves. I think they have determined at least as much as I have.

2020-09-23T22:02:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308889384108929024
@Asgeir16813191 @b5t1an Except encryption is actually exact and useful.

2020-09-23T22:00:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308889068680417280
@Asgeir16813191 @b5t1an The calculation of the accelerations was essentially accurate, if enveloped in unnecessary math. This is a waste of space.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiobqNsU0AAmvRo.jpg

$$P(y \mid a, I) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\ P(y, t \mid a, \sigma_y, t', \sigma_t, I) \tag{2}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\ P(y \mid a, t, \sigma_y, I) P(t \mid t', \sigma_t, I), \tag{3}$$

where the symbol I represents the fact that these probabilities are conditional on all prior information. Assigning Gaussian likelihoods we have that

$$P(y \mid a, I) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_y^2}\left(y + \frac{1}{4}at^2\right)^2\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_t} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_t^2}\left(t - t'\right)^2\right] \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_y\sigma_t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_y^2}\left(y + \frac{1}{4}at^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_t^2}\left(t - t'\right)^2\right]. \tag{5}$$

The integrand is the exponential of a quartic polynomial in t, which was solved numerically. Assigning a uniform prior probability for the acceleration over a wide range of possible accelerations results in a posterior that is proportional to the likelihood (5) above resulting in a maximum likelihood analysis, which gave an estimate of $a = 5600\,^{+2270}_{-1190}$ g, as illustrated in Figure 1A.

As a second analysis, we employed sampling for which the change in altitude and the elapsed time were described by Gaussian distributions with $y \pm \sigma_y = 8530 \pm 90$ m and $t' \pm \sigma_t = 0.78 \pm 0.08$ s, respectively. The most probable acceleration was $5370\,^{+1430}_{-820}$ g while the mean acceleration was 5950 g (Figure 1B).



Figure 1. An analysis of Senior Chief Day's radar observations. (**A**) The posterior probability indicates the maximum likelihood estimate of the acceleration to be $5600\,^{+2270}_{-1190}$ g. (**B**) The accelerations obtained by sampling resulted in the most probable acceleration of $5370\,^{+1430}_{-820}$ g (red lines) while the mean acceleration is 5950 g (black dotted line).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiobqNsU0AAmvRo.jpg

2020-09-23T21:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308887345215819776

@Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u It's a combination of things. The glare comes from an actual jet engine (very hot = bright light, essentially). The streaked glass creates the shape of the glare. The gimbal lock traversal creates the rotation.

2020-09-23T21:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308886639012442122

@RaistlinNolan Nope.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1308886619559063555/pu/img/e36ozNq0UJU3sAmU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1308886619559063555/pu/img/e36ozNq0UJU3sAmU.jpg
2020-09-23T21:05:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308875205067051011
@SimpleComplex8 @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @LindseyMorlan @rpowell2u No, I focus on things that should be resolvable. Is GOFAST going fast. Is GIMBAL rotating? Does FLIR1 make sudden moves? These should have objective answers.

2020-09-23T21:04:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308874878473449473
@_Desmoden High constant acceleration would get you up to near light speed allowing you to travel to and from distant stars in a reasonable timeframe (for you, not the rest of the universe).
Also it would squish you. 1g would work for humans, but would take you years for each trip.

2020-09-23T20:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308872518233620480
@Jay_Busacca @LindseyMorlan @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u No. See:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

and my discussion with an avionics system technician who agrees with me.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2020-09-23T20:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308871966116413440
@SimpleComplex8 @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @LindseyMorlan @rpowell2u No, I'll directly address any reported errors. I've done this repeatedly

---

2020-09-23T19:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308857673845223425

@LindseyMorlan @blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u Why does this need a debate? It's just wrong. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ein_BAMVkAAfzEE.jpg

---

2.3. ATFLIR Video

Upon returning to the *Nimitz*, CDR Fravor requested that a crew equipped with the ATFLIR pod obtain videos of the Tic-Tac UAV. Two F/A-18Fs were launched under the guidance of an E-2 Hawkeye airborne radar plane. The two planes separated in search of the UAV, with one plane heading south toward the CAP point where the UAV was last seen on radar. That plane picked up a contact 33 miles to the south on the Range While Search (RWS) scan. This Tic-Tac UAV was filmed using the ATFLIR system, and the video was released to the public as the "*Nimitz* video" (Figure 3A).

We examined the last 32 frames of the *Nimitz* video in which the Tic-Tac UAV accelerated to the left and the targeting system lost lock. The video frame rate was 29.97 frames/s. As the UAV accelerates the image of the UAV becomes elongated and blurred. If the shutter speed was known, then this information could be used to better estimate the speed of the craft. This could be accomplished by treating the shutter speed as a model parameter, but such analysis is beyond the scope of this project. Instead, we concentrated on tracking the position of the right edge of the UAV and using those

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ein_BAMVkAAfzEE.jpg

2020-09-23T19:54:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308857301307195394

@blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @LindseyMorlan @rpowell2u Robert just wants to advocated for the "so many people can't all be wrong in so many ways, hence the events are likely due to advanced technology, maybe ET" position

So he'll gloss over any errors as there's so much "evidence".

Why not FIX the errors?

2020-09-23T19:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308856508642406400

@blackvaultcom @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @LindseyMorlan @rpowell2u Except Robert really just want to discuss the number, variety, and quality of the witnesses and how great their equipment was.

I mostly want to discuss the inaccurate claims made about the video. Because that's something tangible and objective that should be resolvable.

2020-09-23T19:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308851202659151872

@foiaboi @steven_dor @hssdiv Except that in that report she says no such thing, not even close. She simply lost visual contact.

So why is her account so very different to Fravor's?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ein42qHUwAAW_up.jpg

---

conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2's aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - "tumbled" into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and "pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned successfully to the U.S.S. Nimitz without further incident. Upon recovery of both aircraft, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 arrived for their routine intelligence debriefing only to find that no debriefing official was available. Furthermore, closed circuit television on-board the ship had movies involving aliens and paranormal activities playing. (Field

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ein42qHUwAAW_up.jpg

2020-09-23T17:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308826861552558081

@DanTharle They were, for a bit.

2020-09-23T17:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308826467371941888

@bdsXXII The two large buildings hit the others as they collapsed.

2020-09-23T17:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308813643161640960

@ProjectBluebeam Plasco.

2020-09-23T16:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308799468532187137

Architect and Engineers for 9/11 "Truth" continue their new "it's obvious!" strategy. For years they failed to understand the simple fact that the building collapsed thought the floor panels, not through the 100x stronger columns.

They still don't get it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EinJZblUYAUZQeN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EinJZblUYAUZQeN.jpg

2020-09-23T13:51:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308765886623301632

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron Almost nothing of value. There is no good data.

2020-09-23T13:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308765155837095937

@blackvaultcom I'm going to make a video later today about this paper. It should clarify the objections people have, and why the SCU is not taken seriously by mainstream science.

https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3900/33/1/26

2020-09-23T13:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308764474849910784

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron What do you mean? Footing? Jump to?

2020-09-23T13:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308763930156646401

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron This is the argument being used in the paper. Of course it's obvious. That's what I said.

2020-09-23T13:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308763116512055296

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron A craft flying silently at 5000g implies advance technology. What else could it be? Magic?

2020-09-23T13:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308762743453802500

@blackvaultcom The reason I've declined a "debate" is that Robert has indicated he wants a religious style call and response advocacy centered around an eventual vote on who convinces a lay audience most effectively

I'd rather focus on figuring things out. Address actual science.

2020-09-23T13:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308761810250510337

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron acceleration in gs = 2 * distance / (time squared) / g.

A very large value means advanced technology.

2020-09-23T13:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308757863460868097

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron Philosophical?

2020-09-23T13:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308757708330287104

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron Itâ□□s fine, back of an envelope stuff. Obvious. Done many times by others.

2020-09-23T13:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308757218787958784

@SimpleComplex8 @Deepneuron Iâ□□ll make a video explaining.

2020-09-23T05:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308643661136064512

@steven_dor @hssdiv So flawless then?

2020-09-23T05:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308643543326449665

@steven_dor @hssdiv Have you not read her ("source") report? She was freaking out, imagining they were on some 9/11 suicide save-the world mission.

2020-09-23T05:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308639201718497281

@steven_dor @hssdiv You think all radar systems deployed after 2003 were 100% flawless?

2020-09-23T05:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308638299230056453

@steven_dor @hssdiv Fravor is not immune to stress, but let's leave that aside. The top cover female pilot was obviously under a lot of stress. So does that make you doubt her memory?

2020-09-23T05:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308634698940203010

@steven_dor @hssdiv Stress is not the only contributing factor in false memories.

2020-09-23T04:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308629498535927808

@rpowell2u Robert, do you stand by everything in this paper? Or is there an update or erratum you'd like to share? I want to make sure I'm working with the latest version of your analysis.

https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3900/33/1/26

2020-09-23T04:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308628163660898305

@rpowell2u Why debate when we can figure stuff out?

2020-09-22T22:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308530365850550273

@conorowens94 @b5t1an It's not just the fluff. There's some significant errors in there. They falsely say the FLIR1 UAV image is motion blurred at the end, and they say the camera is "fixed" at 8Â°L - which actually supports my hypothesis, not theirs.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EijVa8XU0AEkYH9.jpg

2.4.3. ATFLIR Video

Upon returning to the *Nimitz*, CDR Fravor requested that a crew equipped with the ATFLIR pod obtain videos of the Tic-Tac UAV. Two F/A-18Fs were launched under the guidance of an E-2 Hawkeye airborne radar plane. The two planes separated in search of the UAV, with one plane heading south toward the CAP point where the UAV was last seen on radar. That plane picked up a contact 33 miles to the south on the Range-While-Search (RWS) scan. This Tic-Tac UAV was filmed using the ATFLIR system, and the video was released to the public as the "*Nimitz* video" (Figure 5A) [25].

We examined the last 32 frames of the *Nimitz* video in which the Tic-Tac UAV accelerated to the left and the targeting system lost lock. The video frame rate was 29.97 frames/s. From 0.267 s (8 frames) before the analyzed segment of video through the end of the analyzed segment of video, the aircraft orientation was fixed and the ATFLIR orientation was fixed at a zenith angle of 5° above the aircraft axis and at an azimuthal angle of 8° left of the aircraft axis, so that the apparent motion of the UAV in the video frames is attributable only to the physical motion of the UAV. This means that, for the sake of this analysis, the UAV can be treated as if starting from rest with respect to the aircraft.

As the UAV accelerates, the image of the UAV becomes elongated and blurred. If the shutter speed was known, then this information could be used to better estimate the speed of the craft. This could be accomplished by treating the shutter speed as a model parameter, but such analysis is beyond the scope of this project. Instead, we concentrated on tracking the position of the right edge of the UAV and using those positions to estimate the kinematics. The left edge of the UAV was also estimated in the first frame to provide some information about the range, z_o, to the UAV given that the UAV was estimated to be at least 40 feet in length. However, since the orientation was not known, this is modeled as a uniformly distributed unknown parameter ϕ, which allowed one to at least put an upper bound on the range z_o. For clarity, it should be noted that the Tic-Tac UAVs were described as being about 40 to 50 ft in length or the size of the F-18, which is 56 ft. Here we assume that the UAV is 40 ft in length, which is probably an underestimate. As such, the estimated accelerations are expected to be underestimated, and thus more conservative.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EijVa8XU0AEkYH9.jpg

2020-09-22T21:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308524468701073408

@b5t1an It only has weight if the observations are of a real object and are accurate. They assume that they are.

It's all stunningly obvious stuff cloaked in pointless probability calculations.

2020-09-22T21:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308523954114498563

@conorowens94 @DavidMu86437761 Any number they use as input. Like they use 0.78s with an arbitrary standard deviation of 0.8 (10%). They seem to really like calculating a probability density solution for acceleration. But it's pointlessly over-complex and ends up with basically the same results.

2020-09-22T19:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308493006886977536

@b5t1an Oh I went though all the math too. There're really not a lot, it's mostly decoration.

2020-09-22T18:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308467150567272448

@JLuvin @ar_aliens That's not really radar data though, is it? Maybe writing that Novella was what fixed the numbers in his mind. And they seem inconsistent in his book. Mach 15 is a LOT less than 28,000 feet in 0.78 seconds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eiib5rOUcAAt0rc.jpg

---

radar recordings of the objects traveling Mach 15 and going from 28,000 feet to the ocean's surface in less than a second, point 78 seconds to be exact. When the interceptors left the area, the objects shot straight back out of the water and resumed their prior course, speed, and altitude. We know their capabilities, believe me. I said they weren't

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eiib5rOUcAAt0rc.jpg

2020-09-22T18:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308466230789988352

@JLuvin @ar_aliens http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiibFZeVoAA4WmD.jpg

"But how … why?" asked Andy quietly, greatly disturbed by what he had just heard.

"Because Andy, you're apparently an Ideal Observer. A See'r," replied Doctor Campbell. He had that same look of awe again.

"I … I don't understand how this is possible," Andy stuttered.

"Look, I know this sounds crazy but you were apparently able to see the twin set of quantum particles. It's a principle Albert Einstein once described as spooky behavior at a distance. Scientists have theorized that, at the quantum level, two paired particles, twins as they're sometimes called, maintain their relationship with each other even though they can be separated by light years in distance. We can only guess as to why, but a second set of quantum particles, the twins to our research objects, existed in unreal space above the real strike group even as their real twin particles existed over our mockup in the Nevada desert. Of course, the second set of unreal objects above your strike group were not actually there in the version of reality that was invoked at the moment," the scientist paused again. He knew the explanation was confusing and he didn't want to lose Andy.

"When you communicated an awareness of the alternate reality," the scientist continued as he searched for the right words, "at that precise moment, the tied realities changed places with each other! And, our objects in the desert instantly reappeared over your ships."

"So what does this mean, how am I involved with this now?" asked Andy after barely following what the doctor had described.

"Don't you understand? You apparently have a very powerful gift," the doctor replied.

"Andy, imagine the interest of the government in a person who is able to make quantum particle war machines suddenly appear in the enemy's backyard."

Andy sat on the log, completely speechless for several moments. He was thinking about everything he had just heard, and reviewing in his mind again the events from three years ago. The red sky in the west was barely visible now through the tall trees, the forest almost entirely engulfed in darkness. The heat of the warm June day was beginning to lose the fight to the coolness of the coming night. The scent of pine forest baked by the fullness of the day's sun was diminishing too. Or, perhaps he was just getting used to the once familiar pine smell again. The two

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eiia4DrVoAA3mHX.jpg

32

hand, he had come this far hadn't he? This would probably be his only chance to get answers to questions that haunted him for years. He turned to face the scientists.

"Go ahead Doctor. I'm ready to hear what you have to say" replied Andy.

"Okay", the scientist paused, nodding his head slightly in agreement, "the objects you stumbled on were part of a research experiment. Out in the Nevada desert we have a complete mockup of the Nimitz Strike Group, or more precisely, all of the sensors and computer systems. We can track everything that the actual strike group can with our multiple radars, electronic support equipment, and identification gear. Even our Combat Information Center is similar," the scientist paused, shifting his seat on the log clearly uncomfortable speaking about this subject.

"The objects themselves were … are, highly advanced technology based on experimental quantum mechanics. We got the materials, a sort of metal, and other technology from … well, let me just say it's not from around here. Anyway, on December 17 and 18, 2005, we were using our mockup to continue with a series of experiments trying to determine how effectively a U.S. Navy armada would be able to detect and track the objects. Andy, somehow you alone were able to see the objects exactly as if they were

33

flying over the real ships, your ships in the Pacific Ocean. I assure you Andy, no body else saw them because they really weren't there at the time" Doctor Campbell paused again searching Andy's face. He decided to just continue after seeing his blank stare.

"At exactly 0651 on December 18, 2005, our objects in the desert suddenly vanished," the scientist swallowed hard, "and reappeared over your ships in the Pacific, as we only later discovered. 0651 was the exact moment of your conversation with the Princeton TAO. We don't pretend to fully understand the quantum physics involved but somehow, the memories of your crewmates instantaneously adopted the memory of the objects as well. So did all of the ship's systems in the entire the strike group. Although we can't confirm it, the CEC War Diary likely did not record a thing until you verbalized your awareness of the objects. At that instant in time, a previously unproven theory in quantum physics invoked itself and an alternate reality simply copied itself over the past several hours."

"Andy, you were the only one to notice. Even though you could not have understood what happened at the time." The scientist then visibly relaxed as if a great burden had just been lifted from his shoulders.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiiawniU4AAYRyA.jpg

42

to be asleep. He was fully dressed back in the clothing and personal possessions that he now knew to be bugged. The four members of the security force didn't say a word as they set up a defense perimeter at the door and in front of the couch where he lay.

"Are you Senior Chief Andy Cline, social security number 345-78-9911?" asked LT Colonel Ben Kennedy as he strode confidently into the room.

"Yes sir. Just who in the hell are you guys?" responded Andy.

"Don't play coy with me Senior Chief. We found the doctors in the forest. The cowards didn't even try to run," said the Air Force Officer dryly. "Get up, you're coming with us."

"Um, Colonel. My friend over there might have something to say about that," Andy replied with a broad smile looking in the direction of the water cooler.

"What fucking friend, get …" the officer started but stopped suddenly.

Preceded by the smell of ozone, a tall Gray suddenly materialized out of thin air in the corner of the room. The Air Force Officer immediately recognized the Gray, he was one of several aliens that worked alongside his government researchers.

43

"What are you doing here Onat?" the now nervous officer asked the alien.

"To make you a deal Ben," replied the Gray in perfect English. "Leave the See'r alone and we will remain hidden from the American public. We will continue to honor our contracts."

"But … but, we have a trade agreement! You can't do this!" yelled the furious officer.

"We can and we will. You have no idea what you're playing with Ben. Even Grays are afraid of *God* you fool!" Onat shot back in an unmistakable challenge.

The officer just glared, fully aware they were powerless to harm or interfere with the alien.

"Touch the See'r and the next place I materialize will be the floor of the United States Senate. I'm sure C-SPAN viewers will be very interested to hear what's been going on in their government."

Andy would later swear the alien's smooth featureless face actually formed a smile.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiibFZeVoAA4WmD.jpg

2020-09-22T17:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308464689085464581

@JLuvin @ar_aliens The Novella where he himself materialized the Nimitz objects via his unique talent as a Quantum See'r chosen by God and protected by Grey Aliens.

That's the origin of the data?

2020-09-22T17:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308461224699469824

@naoehogui If I find something wrong in it then I'm going to explain that.

But then what remains?

2020-09-22T17:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308460975540977664

@JLuvin @ar_aliens Where are Day's notes?

Official reports?

2020-09-22T17:18:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308455680509014017

@naoehogui I'm checking it. I'm finding flaws in it.

What exactly do you mean by "taking it seriously"?

2020-09-22T17:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308454055300141057

@naoehogui Sure, you can take it just as seriously as I do.

2020-09-22T17:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308453431435091968

@DavidMu86437761 I think you can show people that calculating acceleration is pretty easy, and that the majority of their math is both pointless and uses arbitrary uncertainly brackets. Not for EVERYONE, but if you can grok that acceleration = change in velocity / time, then that's most of it.

2020-09-22T17:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308452425322229760

@cryptokeeper198 It think it's uses some flawed and false premises, and wastes space on pointless multivariate probability density analysis that has pretty looking equations, but adds nothing. Also they ignore the likely hypothesis with FLIR1 that the camera just stopped tracking.

2020-09-22T16:40:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308446110176870402

@ar_aliens All we have regarding the radar is some really old memories, and sometimes second hand. Difficult to analyze old memories.

The video, on the other hand, is right here, we can analyze the video, and figure stuff out about the video.

2020-09-22T16:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308445610991693825

@ar_aliens I'm not really coming to a conclusion, except on specific points, like "does the GIMBAL video show a rotating craft?" (no), and "is GOFAST moving fast" (no)

I'm improving the quality of the evidence base with which people are forming conclusions.

2020-09-22T16:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308445055095513088

@Oswald1160 @ar_aliens Ruben still is head of the Argentinian Air Force's Aerospace

Identification center, it was reorganized from the old "Committee for Aerospace Phenomena Research"

2020-09-22T16:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308443302618779648

@DavidMu86437761 Yes, I've been thinking about how to make it accessible. This is my current notebook page. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiiGE84UYAEgE7O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiiGE84UYAEgE7O.jpg

2020-09-22T16:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308442258270613505

@hssdiv What he says is true, however the example he gives is wrong. He misremembered it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ted-talk-when-a-memory-expert-get-it-wrong.780/

2020-09-22T15:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308435330643628035

@DavidMu86437761 Agreed. It seems like they don't really understand that they pod was tracking the target. It probably WAS not rotating at that point, but not for the reason they think, and not with the results they infer.

2020-09-22T15:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308431666973519872

@DavidMu86437761 It's more that their conclusions depend upon very shaky assumptions. Most specifically "it is assumed that the relevant details of the events were not fabricated or embellished [in at least one case]" (intro to section 2. Case Studies)

Also, their video analysis is nonsense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eih7jzaVoAAO-gj.jpg

---

2. Case Studies

We consider a handful of case studies of encounters with UAVs. These encounters were selected from a subset of cases for which there were multiple professional witnesses observing the UAV in multiple modalities (including sight, radar, infrared imaging, etc.). This subset was selected based on the fact that there was sufficient information to estimate kinematic quantities such as speeds and accelerations. Due to the professional standing and expertise of the witnesses, and the fact of both qualitative and quantitative agreement among a significant number of witnesses employing different imaging modalities, it is assumed that the relevant details of the events were not fabricated or embellished. Of course, in most situations, one cannot rule out such possibilities. However, it is unlikely that this would occur with multiple independent witnesses. Assuming that any one of the cases we examine is based on accurate reports, we show that the UAVs exhibit unreasonably high accelerations ranging from 100 g to well over 5000 g.

To properly estimate lower bounds on the observed accelerations of the UAVs, we assign uncertainties to the observations. Unfortunately, such uncertainties are difficult to assign. We assign rather liberal uncertainties modeled by a Gaussian distribution. In some cases, to provide an even more conservative estimate, we integrate (marginalize) over all possible values of σ.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eih7jzaVoAAO-gj.jpg

2020-09-22T15:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308427861758414848

@DavidMu86437761 The paper is mostly fluff. There's also a extract of it with more commentary in this conference proceeding. https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3900/33/1/26

2020-09-22T15:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308427598175719424

Peer reviewed paper on the Nimitz Encounter.

Summary:

- Several people can't ALL be wrong.
- Hence some craft exhibits >70g, maybe 5000g.
- Hence consistent with interstellar spaceship.
- Please take this seriously.

https://www.mdpi.com/2504-3900/33/1/26

2020-09-22T14:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308406434514731009

@DavidMu86437761 I'm referring to section 2.4.3 "ATFLIR Video" in "Estimating Flight Characteristics of Anomalous Unidentified Aerial Vehicles"

http://www.nicap.org/papers/powell/Estimating.Flight.Characteristics.of.UAP-entropy-21-00939-v2.pdf

---

2020-09-22T12:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308389808545230848

@DavidMu86437761 They did an analysis of FLIR1 without reference to supporting data.

2020-09-22T04:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308259861856006144

@ar_aliens That is what is say. I donâ□□t debunk an â□□eventâ□□. I debunk individual claims of evidence.

2020-09-22T01:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308217798632460290

@tommyIzito So why analyze the FLIR1 video just based on the data in the video? Why did they do that?

2020-09-22T01:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308211462691643393

@JLuvin I've done plenty of analysis, I usually put it in video form.

They had no problem with attempting to analyze the "movement" in the FLIR1 video with zero additional data backing up that movement. So why not these two?

http://www.nicap.org/papers/powell/Estimating.Flight.Characteristics.of.UAP-entropy-21-00939-v2.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiezOQ2UYAAjVDo.jpg

---

so that there are four model parameters: the UAV's acceleration a_x, its initial position x_o, its range z_o, and its orientation ϕ in the first frame, which helps to set the scale.

The second kinematic model considers constant acceleration in both the x and z directions:

$$\text{Model 2} \quad \begin{cases} x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_x {t_i}^2 + x_o \\ z(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_z {t_i}^2 + z_o \end{cases} \qquad \text{constant acceleration in } a_x \text{ and } a_z. \tag{21}$$

The last two models describe the kinematics as acceleration followed by motion at constant velocity:

$$\text{Model 3} \quad \begin{cases} x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_x {t_i}^2 + x_o & \text{for } t_i < t_{16} \\ x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_x {t_{15}}^2 + a_x t_{15}(t_i - t_{15}) + x_o & \text{for } t_i \geq t_{16} \\ z(t_i) &= z_o \end{cases} \qquad \text{limited accel. in } a_x \tag{22}$$

and

$$\text{Model 4} \quad \begin{cases} x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_x {t_i}^2 + x_o & \text{for } t_i < t_{16} \\ x(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_x {t_{15}}^2 + a_x t_{15}(t_i - t_{15}) + x_o & \text{for } t_i \geq t_{16} \\ z(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_z {t_i}^2 + z_o & \text{for } t_i < t_{16} \\ z(t_i) &= \frac{1}{2}a_z {t_{15}}^2 + a_z t_{15}(t_i - t_{15}) + z_o & \text{for } t_i \geq t_{16} \end{cases} \qquad \text{lim. accel. in } a_x \text{ and } a_z, \tag{23}$$

in which we consider acceleration in both the x and z directions until Frame 16, at which time the UAV continues with constant velocity.

The models were analyzed using a nested sampling algorithm [17,26,27], which allowed for the estimation of the logarithm of the Bayesian evidence, logZ, as well as the logarithm of the likelihood, logL, and mean estimates of the model parameters. The analysis was performed for $N = 500$ samples and was run until the change in logZ from successive iterations was less than 10^{-5}, ensuring a reliable estimate of the log evidence. Tests were performed to ensure that the trial-to-trial variations in parameter estimates were within the estimated uncertainties.

The results of the nested sampling analysis are listed in Table 1. The uncertainties in the logZ estimates (not listed) were on the order of one or less. We see that Model 4, which describes the motion of the UAV as a constant acceleration to the left and away from the observer for the first

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiezOQ2UYAAjVDo.jpg

2020-09-22T00:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308205078361194497

The "Scientific Coalition for UAP Studies" put out a press release saying they have insufficient data to analyze some of the only real data out there - the Navy UAP videos GIMBAL and GOFAST.

But why? Lots of people have analyzed those videos. It not hard.

https://twitter.com/ExploreSCU/status/1308109915077177353

2020-09-21T22:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308169191866863621

@ar_aliens People make claims ABOUT THE VIDEO. So I'm explaining what's wrong with those claims.

You can't just go "but something else!!!" because that's not what they are talking about. They say the videos show something specific (rotating/speed/acceleration/aura). It does not.

2020-09-21T22:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1308164221188800512

One of the more unusual investor risk factor disclosures is found in the To The Stars Academy's SEC Edgar filing where they admit that they might not actually be able to go to the stars. Buyer beware!

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1710274/000114420419034515/tv525071_253g2.htm#a_002 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EieH0LdU4AAHuu7.jpg

---

site or electrical power.

Engineering the space-time metric. This technology is in the very early stages, and success depends on a yet to be defined breakthrough in propulsion to enable traveling to the stars at near light speed.

Quantum communications. This technology is in the laboratory demonstration phase, with the basic physics principles being proven. Possible risk

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EieH0LdU4AAHuu7.jpg
2020-09-21T18:36:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308112960607277057
@AFSUnidentified @junglist1973 Your argument seems to hinge upon the parsing of words, by one person, and assuming that parsing works in both directions, and for the entire Navy.

I'm just looking at the measurable data, the videos.

2020-09-21T18:34:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308112258942214144
@ar_aliens So basically you are ignoring all the actual evidence, and just picking someone to interpret it all for you?

It's not super complicated. You should check it out.

2020-09-21T17:15:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308092424900599808
@hoaxeye @Metabunk I think actually that HDR compositing is the likely culprit.

2020-09-21T17:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308090482719772672
@ar_aliens https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-09-21T13:48:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1308040514910920706
@JeanNavet Bird poop.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gettysburg-ghosts%E2%80%99-run-across-road-windscreen-smudge.11385/post-241168

2020-09-21T00:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1307837191670738946
@jclmavg @AFSUnidentified @TenoriThrowaway That classification was not exclusive.

Unidentified drones and aircraft are also classed as unidentified.

But this parsing of words is silly. Ask Gough if it has been determined if the Gimbal video shows a rotating craft, or a rotating glare, or something else.

2020-09-20T17:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307729265165623296

@katfisch21 @benjimaan1994 @DaveFalch The pilot just saw what was on the screen. It looks on the screen like it is rotating.

The technicians have never actually shown they understand the actual hypothesis regarding the rotating glare. They just address a red herring about the derotation rotating the entire image.

2020-09-20T15:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307696953967276034

@PstarmanUa I normally use FlightRadar24.

Here's an example. The first 6 minutes are spent on gelocation, so you can skio that, but you might find it all interesting anyway.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f9lkspThboM

2020-09-20T15:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307696123755163648

@katfisch21 @benjimaan1994 @DaveFalch Well yes, that's what it looks like in the video. You'd have to be blind not to see that.

Making that observation simply indicates they are not yet familiar with the argument.

2020-09-20T14:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307695394147631105

@IvanHeadless @foiaboi It's a section of 1+5/16" wooden closet pole, rounded at the ends with an angle grinder. Two bits of wire and then painted white. Hole drilled axially for fishing line.

2020-09-20T14:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307689389200293888

@Chunkoftomato Events take on a different focus and significance when you feel you are in the middle of something significant. Underwood had essentially been told to watch out for something bizarre.

2020-09-20T14:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307685882539909121

@foiaboi @IvanHeadless You thought that was a ping-pong ball floating in a pool?

Then it worked.

2020-09-20T04:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307538772343488512

@katfisch21 @benjimaan1994 @DaveFalch Why donâ□□t you review the evidence?

2020-09-20T04:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307536122185015298

@katfisch21 @benjimaan1994 @DaveFalch Do you understand what Falch said?

He debunked nothing. He just misunderstood the rotating glare hypothesis.

2020-09-20T04:08:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307532106415763459

@katfisch21 @benjimaan1994 The pilot was looking at the ATFLIR display, where it looked like it was rotating.

2020-09-20T01:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307498919136382976

@KetterStone @Oswald1160 @aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Thatâ□□s why I said â□□knownâ□□.

2020-09-20T00:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307474489014280193

@PederNeogard @Cresta2323 Cool story.

2020-09-19T23:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307459930660585472

@bobofango86 @JLuvin @Cresta2323 No true, they could just show that itâ□□s not. How hard could that be?

And if it IS hard to show it is not a plane, then maybe it is a plane, in the video.

2020-09-19T22:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307445521917775872

@KetterStone @Oswald1160 @aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Physically impossible. As in not possibly within the known and understood laws of physics. Like flying safely through a brick wall.

Do you really need a qualifier every time? This is Twitter.

But there's nothing physically impossible shown in the videos. Quite contrary.

2020-09-19T19:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307394545403850752

@jaxpublick They seem to suggest in later responses from the spokesperson that "UAP" applies to "incursions initially designated as UAP" and that they won't tell you if that changes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiTMZxNUcAABoSg.jpg

---

6) Will the public be informed about any findings from the UAPTF of the nature and/or origins of Unidentified Aerial Phenomena?

Thorough examinations of any incursion into our training ranges or designated airspace often involves assessments from across the department, and, as appropriate, consultation with other U.S. government departments and agencies. To maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to our adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examination of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP.

7) If an observer initially characterize an observation as unidentified aerial phenomena, that he or she cannot immediately identify, and the observation cannot later be explained after an analysis by the UAPTF, or any other component, what will such observation be categorized as?

Unidentified

Regards,

Sue Gough

Department of Defense Spokesperson

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiTMZxNUcAABoSg.jpg

2020-09-19T17:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307377733333180417

@benjimaan1994 I'm sure they can. But seeing as it is military they are not supposed to share information about the systems.

2020-09-19T00:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307114245209890817

@conorowens94 @TenoriThrowaway Perhaps they think it's possible it was a drone. Or perhaps their determination was the result or a classified investigation. Perhaps the relevant people have more important things to do, and the spokespersons do the best with what they have.

2020-09-18T23:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307094562796695552

@geezytron3000 @adultspacecadet @dave_beaty I don't hear the Navy or the DoD contradicting me.

2020-09-18T21:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307074827174531072

@EmanueleCeci Yeah, that's wrong. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

---

2020-09-18T21:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307063016974946305

@aka__V @TenoriThrowaway Maybe the sharpening thing was something that Fravor just didn't have much experience with. K wasn't a pilot, so his ops-check might include features that are used infrequently, or are a personal preference.

It's possible there's some lying, but it's not my first guess.

2020-09-18T20:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307050630436065281

@dave_beaty @BobKurbel @physicsufo @Oswald1160 She said she saw it cross over.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiOTUcrX0AIVIoN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiOTUcrX0AIVIoN.jpg

2020-09-18T19:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307037531222020099

@BobKurbel @dave_beaty @physicsufo @Oswald1160 The top pilot said it flew over the disturbance in a straight line at 1000-3000 feet and 500 knots.

2020-09-18T18:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307029265892798464

@TenoriThrowaway An unidentified plane is unidentified.

2020-09-18T18:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307029137345769472

@Cresta2323 No, they won't. At least based on what people have told me who asked them - and the lack of response from the ones that I contacted directly.

2020-09-18T18:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307028816548605952

@TenoriThrowaway I actually don't think they are lying. I think they are just wrong.

2020-09-18T18:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307022083596017665

Here's the transcript of my discussion with an avionics system technician regarding the various ATFLIR UFO videos.

Briefly: He's seen the glare and rotation before. FLIR1 loses lock before the end. The aura is an unsharp mask. 99.9 means no radar range

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2020-09-18T18:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307021263236337664

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty You made a quite specific claim "the sharpness of the object in your vision" - it gets questioned, and you leave.

Answer it when you come back.

2020-09-18T18:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307020919978639361

@steven_dor @dave_beaty Estimate? Based on what? What if your estimate is approximately 2x the actual size?

2020-09-18T18:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1307020482802143232

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty Relative to what? And, sharpness? Not really because you have nothing to compare to it.

2020-09-18T16:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306989243240050689

@Wolfhou81747949 I asked David Keith about that quote. Here's his response.

https://youtu.be/LVAxh39hlog?t=412

2020-09-18T16:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306987657063403523

@hovebooks @cryptokeeper198 @katfisch21 @dave_beaty If you want to demonstrate everyone still has the exact same story, then you need a lot of current stories.

Where are these stories in written form? I'm not going to watch 3 hours of video. Just show me 40+ current short written descriptions of what happened. 40+ drawings also.

2020-09-18T15:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306984122426908674

@thef14coomer @dave_beaty You could possibly get some data. http://FlightAware.com, for example says they have 22 years of data. It will cost money though. Maybe TTSA might like to do it?

2020-09-18T13:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306947537237020672

@hovebooks @cryptokeeper198 @katfisch21 @dave_beaty Where are the 60 current accounts? The actual full interviews?

2020-09-18T13:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306946231046828035

@BobKurbel @Oswald1160 @physicsufo @dave_beaty Encountering something heâ□□d never

seen before, this brain maybe tries to fit it into an existing framework.

2020-09-18T13:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306944735945220096

@steven_dor @dave_beaty Walk me through that, how you use two sets of eyes to determine distance without getting close, in the case where itâ□□s 1/2 the size you think it is.

2020-09-18T05:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306824612706099203

@steven_dor @dave_beaty But you knew how big the balloon was.

2020-09-17T22:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306725395820023808

@physicsufo @dave_beaty So how do you use "shifting parallax" if you do not know how big the object is or how far away it is?

2020-09-17T21:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306703730293665793

@physicsufo @dave_beaty Stereo eyes do nothing at that distance.

2020-09-17T21:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306700176837341184

@IAmRobAherne @ConceptualJames This tweet.

2020-09-17T18:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306659093457694721

@OVNI66 How would you translate "Escaping the Rabbit Hole" (the title of my book about helping people out of conspiracy thinking)?

2020-09-17T18:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306657687329894401

@ConceptualJames You should also read the "About the cover" section, page vii.

Yeah, you think menstruation is funny. But there are actually some real issues here. the table of contents should give you some clues.

2020-09-17T18:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306655889626030080

@buffcommacu @dave_beaty I wasn't quoting anything. I was asking what this video looks like.

2020-09-17T18:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306655591645888513

@katfisch21 @beamo31 @dave_beaty I guess the only reason is that I'm a sad lonely nut, desperate for attention, but doing a terrible job at it? No other possibility?

So why are you following me?

2020-09-17T18:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306654632177881088

@OVNI66 What if someone had started to watch conspiracy theory videos, and was becoming

fascinated and obsessed with them. We'd say "he fell down a conspiracy theory rabbit hole" would you say "il est entrÃ© dans une twilight zone de thÃ©ories du complot"?

2020-09-17T17:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306652299691921408

@katfisch21 @beamo31 @dave_beaty Why would I do such a thing? That makes no sense. What's in it for me to confuse people?

From my perspective, I'm trying to figure stuff out and then explain what I've figured out.

2020-09-17T17:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306650469616332801

@MatusKC Some lights in the sky. I don't see them moving much. First guesses would be LED balloons, or a drone.

2020-09-17T17:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306650202288214016

@uelbus "choose the red pill, you stay in wonderland and we descend with the white rabbit to the bottom of the abyss."

But "the abyss" is not like a rabbit hole.

2020-09-17T17:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306650021853499392

@uelbus Yeah it seem like "white rabbit" is the more common AIW reference. French Morpheus says "choisi la pilule rouge, tu restes au pays des merveilles et on descend avec le lapin blanc au fond du gouffre."

2020-09-17T17:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306649023873335297

@conorowens94 @katfisch21 @edwardcurrent @beamo31 @dave_beaty I tracked it down in Google Earth. It's this old fake. But someone had uploaded another version with obvious fake stuff removed and degraded video quality.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=suBp3ai-xG8&feature=youtu.be

2020-09-17T17:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306644506490494977

@katfisch21 @edwardcurrent @beamo31 @dave_beaty What if I just like analyzing stuff, because I enjoy solving problems?

Like, this mornings, I tracked down the exact location of this UFO video. Because it was fun. Does that make me "sick", "a fool", or "working to cause confusion?"

Or just some guy? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiliCOnUcAEuhN1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiliBOJVkAIoZoo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiliCOnUcAEuhN1.jpg

2020-09-17T17:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306643401815633921

Does "I went down a rabbit hole" (in the sense of getting drawn into a fascinating new world) translate into any other languages without loss of meaning?

Non-English people, how would you say "I went down a rabbit hole" in your native language, and keep the referential meaning?

2020-09-17T16:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306627539276763137
Does this video clip by @dave_beaty show the jet circling a slow-moving target balloon? Or engaging with a rapidly moving tic-tac shaped craft.
How can you tell?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1306626406655246337/pu/img/8OcckdNXnzdlX5dm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1306626406655246337/pu/img/8OcckdNXnzdlX5dm.jpg
2020-09-17T05:14:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306461403826458625
@KateNowak0791 @joeqpublic3608 @Trumps_island @WarTimeGirl Look at the wider view again, it's simply on the edge of the fire. That's where they stopped it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiF7fReU8AEZtV7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiF7fReU8AEZtV7.jpg
2020-09-17T05:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306460907845775361
@KateNowak0791 @joeqpublic3608 @Trumps_island @WarTimeGirl A live tree is FAR less flammable than the dead dry wood inside a house. especially tree that's well watered, like this one, next to an irrigated lawn.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-unburned-trees-next-to-burned-down-structures-as-evidence-of-secret-energy-weapons.9168/

2020-09-17T04:20:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306447850973192194
@joeqpublic3608 @Trumps_island @KateNowak0791 @WarTimeGirl
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-house-cut-in-half-tubbs-fire-santa-rosa-coffey-park.10143/

2020-09-16T23:03:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306368202293690368
@JLuvin @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I disagree. I think I'm being logical here in exploring possible alternative explanations

What ISN'T logical is to throw out a hypothesis because of some subjective assessment of how competent someone is

Even less logical to throw it out because it might hurt their feelings

---

2020-09-16T20:49:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306334502818471938
@JLuvin @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A No we don't but it DOES indicate that the two witnesses saw or remember the event differently, so that both calls into question their overall accuracy and raises the issue of WHY they had different perceptions.

2020-09-16T20:36:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306331043532664832
@tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I'm going with this one for starters. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CwJrb3vjAaA

2020-09-16T20:35:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306330816843112448
@mattjdavidson @AFSUnidentified @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty

@JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Perception illusions, not hallucinations. And they were not shared, they differed.

How am I legitimizing false claims by pointing out inconsistencies?

2020-09-16T18:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306299598277767168

@AFSUnidentified @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A It's entirely plausible. Pilots have made mistakes before.

2020-09-16T18:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306299127156760576

@tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A It's not complicated. If it's half the size at distance D and not moving then it can look like it's twice the size at distance 2D, and moving at the same speed as the observer.

And there WERE discrepancies in the size estimates.

2020-09-16T18:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306296288451809281

@OmniTalkRadio @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Where's the audio file?

2020-09-16T17:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306289081500889088

@tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Nobody (except me) said 10 feet. Something to consider is if all four of them misinterpreted the size. Thinking it was ~40 feet long when it was actually much smaller. So how would it work if it were 20 feet or even 10 feet long?

2020-09-16T17:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306287767781924865

@unspinthespin I aslo interviewed Lim Lee,
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RPgDVhKVuRs

2020-09-16T17:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306287347290443776

@tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin

@CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Er, so?

2020-09-16T17:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306286315860713474

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A So you would think. A guy ran though my yard once, I chased after him. He turned and shouted at me. 20 minutes later I was unable to describe him to the police, except that he was average height and wearing normal clothes.

Memory is not what you think.

2020-09-16T17:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306285488790073350

@unspinthespin Thanks. And you might find the interview I did interesting. It's with a geoengineering researcher.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2020-09-16T17:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306285050476912640

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A F-18 Hornet is 56 feet long. Other estimates go as low as 25 feet.

2020-09-16T17:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306284815923118081

@uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Estimates of sizes vary from 25 feet to "about the size of a hornet" (56 feet long)

https://parabunk.blogspot.com/2018/07/the-2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo.html

---

2020-09-16T17:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306283350127120384

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A You are very confident about your memory. However if it was an inaccurate memory you would be EQUALLY as confident. That's just how memory works, according to memory researchers.

2020-09-16T17:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306282909091782656

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin

@CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A No, 40 feet, 20 feet, 10 feet. All give very different results.

2020-09-16T17:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306282473060331520

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Why should I just accept it if it might be wrong?

2020-09-16T17:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306282315429998603

@TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Isn't this eyewitness testimony the exact evidence that everyone accuses me of not holistically incorporating into my analysis?

I look at individual bits of evidence and point out things about them. I'm not trying to win a debate here, just improve the quality of the evidence.

2020-09-16T17:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306281852987080704

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A You can have a very vidid memory of an event. That does not mean that event happened the way you remember it. Confidence, not consistency, characterizes those "flashbulb" memories. It SEEMS more vivid, but it's no more accurate.

2020-09-16T17:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306280882362134529

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Research into memory suggests otherwise. You formulate in the first year a "canonical story" which can vary in details from the original.
https://www.livescience.com/15914-flashbulb-memory-september-11.html

---

2020-09-16T17:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306279495851147266

@AFSUnidentified @tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I'm pointing out differences in the accounts that point to the possibility that they all might have misinterpreted things.

2020-09-16T17:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306279324090212353
@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A The rest of the world? No, I just think the pilots and WSOs could not tell exactly what they were looking at because it was a featureless capsule shaped object of unknown size.

2020-09-16T17:08:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306278682609106944
@tlathtoani @uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A That is AFTER Fravor descends. Fravor says it was "still doing it's little thing over the disturbance" right up when he starts his decent.

And the report of his WSO agrees with the female wingman pilot, that it was going in a. straight line.

2020-09-16T17:00:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306276701656162304
@uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Something she said on Unidentified kind of gets to the heart of the discussion:

"I'm trying to see what was out there, which is difficult when you know what you are looking for, it's even harder when you don't know what you are looking for. "

2020-09-16T16:58:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1306276350068547584
@uelbus @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I suspect everyone though it was moving because they all thought it was bigger than it was.
Fravors "ping-pong" motion description is actually in the minority, if we take the written reports as accurate. One is his WSO, the other the the female pilot (the wingman pilot)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiDSjnYUwAA4dWD.jpg

---

windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine. As such, they were concerned that the object could pose a threat, especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiDSjnYUwAA4dWD.jpg

(V/STOL) via thrust vectoring.) According to CDR Fravor, the object's shape was like an elongated egg or a 'Tic Tac' and had a discernable midline horizontal axis. However, the object was uniformly white across the entire body. It was approximately 46 feet in length. LT [redacted] described it as "solid white, smooth, with no edges. It was uniformly colored with no nacelles, pylons, or wings." When asked to describe the appearance, if it glowed or reflected sunlight he said, "neither, it looked like it had a white candy-coated shell, almost like a white board." His report differs from CDR Fravor in that he reported the object traveling level at approximately 500-1000 feet at approximately 500 knots.

The object was pointed in a north/south orientation and was moving both north & south and east & west, while maintaining a consistent altitude. These displacements, according to CDR Fravor, were minor. CDR Fravor stated he then began a descent with the intention to take a close aboard pass with the object in an attempt to visually identify it. They began the decent as they rolled in from about 10,000ft and approximately 350 knots to take the object close aboard. CDR Fravor pulled nose on and then pulled trail (aft) of the object. As they were maneuvering, the object appeared, according to CDR Fravor: "to recognize us." He assessed this from the fact the object "pointed" (realigned it's axis) in the direction of their aircraft. At this time, according to CDR Fravor, the disturbance on the water ceased.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EiDSVBFU0AEYwTn.jpg

2020-09-16T16:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306274166081908736

@W3lly @unspinthespin Give it a go :)

2020-09-16T16:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306274102076829701

@unspinthespin Geoengineering is something that's only in very early research stages with no practical experiments yet performed. This is something all the actual geoengineering researchers agree upon.

2020-09-16T16:47:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306273624693776385

@steven_dor @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I think they probably saw the same object. I don't think they saw the same thing (perceived size and motion), because their

accounts differ - which suggests the perceived motion looked different from different angles. Also, memory changes without trace.

2020-09-16T16:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306272390628868096

@NickSmith897 @Mabzors No.

2020-09-16T16:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306272220403044353

@unspinthespin Maybe it's just global warming? Or maybe just the fact that "recorded history" of observations of hurricanes in the Atlantic, is not very long (<100 years?), so setting new records is not hard.

Maybe both.

2020-09-16T16:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306271309337296896

@NickSmith897 @Mabzors At least 50% For one things there's so many conflicts between the various different accounts.

2020-09-16T16:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306268042205167616

@YugeBalls Of course it rotates independent of the background. That's the point. Camera rotates, so background rotates relative to glare (try it with your phone camera). Image is then derotated (as per the ATFLIR patents), so it looks like the glare is what is rotating.

2020-09-16T16:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1306267460698427392

@NickSmith897 @Mabzors No, it means the might have been.

2020-09-15T20:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305966180310507520

RT @MarshallCohen: AG Barr continues spreading election misinformation. In a new @chicagotribune interview, he repeated the lie that mail-iâ□¦

2020-09-15T20:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305960233940979713

@MolotoVNKA @russian71805090 @edwardcurrent @DavidMu86437761 You are just repeating AE911 stuff.

2020-09-15T19:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305959290423767041

@russian71805090 So what's the problem? Why don't all the structural engineers and demolition experts in the world also see this?

What do you know that they do not?

2020-09-15T19:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305954805462884352

@Flyingh43892139 Yes.

2020-09-15T18:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305931733032865792

@russian71805090 @SecretzChannel It came down due to a plane flying into it, and the massive intense fires.

It's not like gravity suddenly grabbed the building and pulled it down.

2020-09-15T18:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305930355187183616

@JoshuaMPatton @travis_view Sure, but there's a strong correlation between media coverage and subsequent sightings. Like that drone thing a few months ago. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mysterious-drone-swarms-over-colorado-and-nebraska-chasing-venus.11048/

2020-09-15T18:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305929861387579394

@SecretzChannel If they are accurate, sure! But not everything can be reduced to "it's obvious".

And the point here is that AE911 have being saying for years, "trust us, we are experts" and then trying to do complicated analysis.

Why, if it's obvious?

2020-09-15T17:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305922552305340416

Today the entire US is either smoky or hurricany. https://zoom.earth/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh-ReTQVgAMrcE5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh-ReTQVgAMrcE5.jpg

2020-09-15T17:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305915615475982337
Why did hundreds of people think the Goodyear Blimp was an Alien Spaceship?

Clickbaity media coverage of Alien and UFO stories creates a feedback loop. More people look up. More people misidentify things as UFOs. More clickbaity media coverage.
https://twitter.com/realmegslay/status/1305818763699187714

2020-09-15T16:40:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305909422032527360
@_AdamFitzgerald Their supporters should know this.

Seems like they have really jumped the shark. Or maybe it's schism? Where does Gage stand on this new dumbed-down populist approach.

2020-09-15T16:38:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305908949854507009
@uelbus @pjrbarnett @MolotoVNKA @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Of course they saw something. The question is how well their accounts describe the reality of what was actually there.

We know parallax illusions exists. We know pilots sometimes get spatially disoriented.

So what can we reliably determine from these accounts?

2020-09-15T16:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305907930999398400
@pjrbarnett @uelbus @MolotoVNKA @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Prone to error?

2020-09-15T16:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305907804209725440
"Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth" have abandoned any pretense of being experts and instead revealed they just think it's OBVIOUS the twin towers were blown up and ANYONE can see it.

Thus showing they don't actually understand the physics here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh-D0f2VoAEiF7k.jpg

Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth
59m ·

The top has disintegrated. Explosions are running down each face. Massive steel beams are being hurled outward. Thousands of tons of concrete are being pulverized... And there's actually a "debate" about how these buildings came down? https://AE911Truth.org



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh-D0f2VoAEiF7k.jpg
2020-09-15T16:07:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305900980911763456
@uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A It means people can't keep repeating stuff like "four pilots saw the same thing". Because they did not.

2020-09-15T16:05:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305900558406959106
@uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Sure, some of the vague subjective descriptors are similar. But the actual details don't match.

Source: "approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line."

vs.

Fravor: "itâ□□s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle."

2020-09-15T16:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305899495687729153

@pjrbarnett @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A And she is "Source"

2020-09-15T16:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305899401567559691

@pjrbarnett @uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A OK-2 is Fravor.

2020-09-15T15:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305898580658368514

@hatboxghost2 @Cresta2323 @SingletrackAdam I think answering minor questions can be useful.

The advantage I have over the Pentagon is my research is not classified. Sure, it's crappy low budget research, but it's better than nothing.

2020-09-15T15:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305898068487725057

@uelbus @Oswald1160 @tlathtoani @yrraplliw @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Except she did not describe the same thing. Here's her account, with Fravor's in red.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh97UXQVkAEllJ5.jpg

Both F-18s assumed combat formation enroute to the new location. “Source” and OK-1’s aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3’s aircraft and **both F-18s proceeded East** [**Fravor: "we’re still heading West"**] at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island.

...

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, “Source” described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. **The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a ‘tic-tac candy mint. [Fravor:"Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, "]**The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows. doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. **The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. ... [Fravor:"and it’s moving around erratically."**

OK-2 [Fravor] **conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object** [Fravor: **"I started an easy descent"]**. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, “Source” noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction [Fravor: **"it’s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it’s basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it’s kind of moving around like it was checking it out. "**]. (“Source” Comment – the UFO turned on [Fravor] and _____ as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was. [Fravor] and _____ didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) “Source” indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. **[Fravor: " It kind of recognizes that we’re there and it starts to mirror us."]** The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner ‘tumbled” into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible [**Fravor: "So I’m still coming down nice and easy and I’m watching this thing. Because it’s just kind of watching us and following."**]. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them”. OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 **[Fravor: "He’s at the 3 o’clock position and I go, “well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver”. So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o’clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o’clock. "]** but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace **[Fravor: It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I’ve ever seen. It literally is one minute it’s there and the next minute it’s like, poof, and it’s gone."].**

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both “Source” and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When “Source” looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. **(Field Comment – “Source” used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. “Source” also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh97UXQVkAEllJ5.jpg

2020-09-15T15:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305895926318338048

@screwball809 It's very different. Here's here initial interview, as "Source". I've added Fravor's account in red. Try to correlate them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh95a6hVkAAZL2N.jpg

---

Both F-18s assumed combat formation enroute to the new location. “Source” and OK-1’s aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3’s aircraft and **both F-18s proceeded East** [**Fravor: "we’re still heading West"**] at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island.

...

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, “Source” described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. **The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a ‘tic-tac candy mint. [Fravor:"Just forward of the wing line is this little white thing, which looks like a tic-tac, which is why we call it the tic-tac, "]**The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows. doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. **The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. ... [Fravor:"and it’s moving around erratically."**

OK-2 [Fravor] **conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped his aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object** [Fravor: **"I started an easy descent"]**. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, “Source” noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction [Fravor: **"it’s just kind of randomly moving around this vehicle. And it’s basically forward of the right wing by the cockpit and then it goes back and it’s kind of moving around like it was checking it out. "**]. (“Source” Comment – the UFO turned on [Fravor] and _____ as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was. [Fravor] and _____ didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) “Source” indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. **[Fravor: " It kind of recognizes that we’re there and it starts to mirror us."]** The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner ‘tumbled” into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible [**Fravor: "So I’m still coming down nice and easy and I’m watching this thing. Because it’s just kind of watching us and following."**]. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them”. OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 **[Fravor: "He’s at the 3 o’clock position and I go, “well the only way I might get this is to do an aggressive out-of-play maneuver”. So I dump the nose and I go from the 9 o’clock through the vertical down, to go across to the 3 o’clock. "]** but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace **[Fravor: It starts to accelerate. It has an incredible rate of acceleration. And it takes off and it goes south. And it takes off like nothing I’ve ever seen. It literally is one minute it’s there and the next minute it’s like, poof, and it’s gone."].**

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both “Source” and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When “Source” looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. **(Field Comment – “Source” used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. “Source” also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh95a6hVkAAZL2N.jpg

2020-09-15T15:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305893826406395904

@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @yrraplliw @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A I'm fine just doing fun experiments, math and physics, video analysis, and pointing out things. I think it provides useful information.

2020-09-15T15:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305885011279667200

What Makes People Fall for QAnon? How can you help someone after they end up down one of the most insidious rabbit holes in recent times? First, try to understand them.

https://thedispatch.com/p/what-makes-people-fall-for-qanon

2020-09-15T14:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305883399647682562

@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @yrraplliw @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Go for it.

My laziness is not a factor here.

2020-09-15T14:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305879741929455616

@buffcommacu She had a different visual. Described what Fravor saw as "ping-pong" motion, as "400-500 knots, in a straight line"

2020-09-15T14:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305879481060450304

@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @yrraplliw @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A What is inconsistent with a bug?

FOIA does not work with classified information.

2020-09-15T14:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305875260206542849

@Cresta2323 @SingletrackAdam Two altitudes, Two accounts that differ in details.

2020-09-15T14:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305874960498348032

@buffcommacu If you think it is twice as far away as it is, then when you move towards it it can seem to fly very rapidly towards you and away.

2020-09-15T14:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305872346587312128

@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @yrraplliw @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A That would classified.

2020-09-15T14:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305872043188117505

@buffcommacu Actually it DOES explain it. Thatâ□□s the point.

2020-09-15T05:26:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305739738482053120

@Mabzors Why? It's an experiment to judge how people can be confused about distance, and how that affects perception of motion.

2020-09-15T05:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305735284135403521

@ExploringLife42 No. How did you get that conclusion? This looks nothing like any of the videos.

It's about how you perceive motions if the distance is misinterpreted.

2020-09-15T04:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305731938196402176

Perception experiment #5.

An object is suspend from a ladder. The camera moves towards it, but suddenly the object zooms towards the camera, then up and over.

What's actually happening here?

What do you initially perceive?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305731331767169024/pu/img/7chR8qHT2yDwmdXT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305731331767169024/pu/img/7chR8qHT2yDwmdXT.jpg
2020-09-15T01:06:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305674367221735425
@JudgeKowalski @foiaboi @DarkSideSince77 @PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio I'm always happy to talk with anyone.

2020-09-15T01:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305674235809902592
@PaulBurley1977 @foiaboi @JudgeKowalski @DarkSideSince77 @OmniTalkRadio I'm not accusing ANYONE of lying. Saying something that incorrect does not mean you are lying, it just means you are wrong.

I'm looking for things that are factually and objectively correct.

2020-09-14T23:37:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305651864680067073
@JudgeKowalski @foiaboi @DarkSideSince77 @PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio I'm sorry, I thought Gary and I were having quite a nice chat. I don't think he felt I was condescending, he just thought I was wrong.

2020-09-14T23:26:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305649082375913472
RT @grantstern: Roger Stone belongs in prison after a jury of his peers convicted him of seven felonies.

Instead, he's on Infowars encouraâ¦

2020-09-14T22:48:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305639479173029889
@foiaboi @JudgeKowalski @DarkSideSince77 @PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio I don't "desire" a particular result. I desire the truth.

2020-09-14T22:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305633633110089732
@tlathtoani @yrraplliw @Oswald1160 @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A That image says "WHT" (the entire TV segment is WHT). Underwood never "reverses polarity" in TV mode. It's only ever in one mode.

WHT hot would seem to refer to IR though. It's not clear if there is an invert mode for TV, or if it's indicated on the display.

2020-09-14T19:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305584327602757632
@PJMasson1 The correct answer is here. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

---

2020-09-14T19:05:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305583384094150656
Youtube's AI offers you default responses to some comments. I'm not sure which one to pick here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh5czo5VkAEcX5V.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh5czo5VkAEcX5V.jpg
2020-09-14T18:00:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305567098748370944
@WileGenius Itâ□□s not.

2020-09-14T17:59:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305566875900813319
@yrraplliw @steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @Slaneshza @NoisyNinja2 @OmniTalkRadio @TenoriThrowaway @tlathtoani @dave_beaty @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A People also later get exonerated. Some time eyewitnesses, even multiple eyewitnesses, get things wrong.

2020-09-14T17:56:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305566146368659459

@GeezerSqueezer So which do you think is moving?

2020-09-14T06:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305386530261745664

@R3DAC73D @AstrobiologyDay @pekweet @conorowens94 It would help to see images of them, or their craft.

2020-09-14T06:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305386185959698432

@R3DAC73D @AstrobiologyDay @pekweet @conorowens94 Aliens existing and aliens visiting.

2020-09-14T05:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305384437538906113

@R3DAC73D @AstrobiologyDay @pekweet @conorowens94 To video.

2020-09-14T05:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305384365770174465

@Jay_waters1 No. It just illustrates one aspect of what might have happened. A misperception of motion, due to misestimating distance.

2020-09-14T05:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305382503406952448

@R3DAC73D @AstrobiologyDay @pekweet @conorowens94 Two different things.

2020-09-14T05:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305380852273041408

@emmathepony Nope. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

---

2020-09-14T05:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305380667396554755

@AstrobiologyDay @R3DAC73D @pekweet @conorowens94 Obviously you are being ridiculous. There's trivial bits of evidence, like aliens showing themselves.

2020-09-14T05:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305380036959068161

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A No. Try it.

2020-09-14T05:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305379808256208901

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Check out Hyperfocal Distance. It's a common misunderstanding.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperfocal_distance

2020-09-14T05:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305379309326983168

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio

@Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Yeah, that's just how focus works. 1 mile away, 10 miles away, 10,000 miles away. It's all in focus.

2020-09-14T05:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305378753359482880

@shanemccoll It's to illustrate how easy it is to misperceive the motion of an object from a moving vantage point if you don't know how big the object is and how far away it is.

Relates to GOFAST, GIMBAL, and maybe Fravor's encounter.

2020-09-14T05:29:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305378097106018307

@R3DAC73D @AstrobiologyDay @pekweet @conorowens94 I think it probably exists. I just don't think there is evidence that it's visiting.

2020-09-14T05:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305374868196605953

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A "matched speed with the 747" is not "accelerating away at near impossible speeds. "

2020-09-14T05:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305374432571998209

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Why not? The camera was at 24000 feet, with an about 1Â° field of view.

2020-09-14T04:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305362861795934213

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Accelerating vertically at 1000g? What other cases are there?

2020-09-14T04:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305362622208905217

@shanemccoll This.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T04:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305358997860487169

@pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @steven_dor @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A The altitude is a synthesis of multiple inputs. Itâ□□s a phased array radar.

2020-09-14T04:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305358471433351168

@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A So, what if the azimuth is wrong?

2020-09-14T04:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305358028477050882

@tommyIzito And itâ□□s not mean to replicate anything. Just illustrate something that happens.

2020-09-14T04:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305357496173785094

@MagicKrakening In both?

2020-09-14T04:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305357316959551491

@tommyIzito 3D printed? Itâ□□s a dowel I rounded with an angel grinder. And a couple of bits of wire. Plus spay paint.

2020-09-14T04:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305356326743150592

@pjrbarnett @tlathtoani @TenoriThrowaway @steven_dor @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Iâ□□m also a computer programmer for 30+ years. So, what if the bug was simply altitude reporting? Thatâ□□s just a number.

2020-09-14T03:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305341069890994176

@KimJellen No, and no.

2020-09-14T01:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305313077684891650

@_jraza Yes, I think this applies to visual sightings too.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T00:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305305574725136384

@conorowens94 Yes, but then the top cover pilot described the motion at that time as being in a straight line.

The "Ping-Pong" behavior is certainly the most difficult to explain. I'm not really trying to explain that here, more the linear motion.

2020-09-14T00:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305305117051113472

@mcb1879 It's not. The speed is real time.

2020-09-14T00:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305304653748293632

@LijevoSamo @TenoriThrowaway Check out the full experiment.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T00:36:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305304427050409985
@CuriousUap @mesolithicguy Well, I certainly don't WANT to act like a dumbass.

Maybe there's some other options?

2020-09-14T00:34:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305303959154745344
@the_free_air Then you will get a big laugh out of this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T00:34:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305303874073288705
@PredatoryPanic Yes. I'm essentially trying to simulate (in a much smaller scale, with very limited range or motion) a target tracking camera on a plane, which keeps the target dead center, rock solid (mostly). See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T00:32:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305303340499103744
@bobofango86 No. I'm saying a possible explanation is that there was a real object out there, but not where he thought it was.

2020-09-14T00:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305302898637635586
A motion detection experiment. Can you tell if the UFO model is moving?

I show two videos, and then show the actual setup, demonstrating what is moving.

If you don't know where something is, can you really judge its motion?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k5-J2iP_zWk

2020-09-14T00:27:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305302123744129025
@adrian_probert @Julesofthwood @ChristopherKMe4 No. Why, did he have a question? Did I miss a statement somewhere?

2020-09-13T22:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305270643743625217
@specrtre It's not about strength. Unfortunately it's about understanding how accounting works at the Pentagon. Nobody there EVER said that money was missing.

2020-09-13T22:20:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305270181938126848

@fergsup Well obvious SOME people had prior knowledge. Bin Laden, for example. And anyone he told, or anyone any of the plotters or hijackers told.

2020-09-13T22:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305269001237405697

With another 9/11 anniversary, the old conspiracy theories are resurrected. One that I saw a lot this time was the idea that $2.3 Trillion went missing just before 9/11.

This is false, but unfortunately reality is complicated, difficult to explain.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.165/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0_O4dVkAEtva2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0_O4dVkAEtva2.jpg

2020-09-13T22:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305267339621855237

@Berlinghoff_R Tracking only needs a rotating camera. Do you think the camera is also moving in the sense of changing position (not just changing angle)

2020-09-13T21:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305263805291618304

@TenoriThrowaway Well I have limited space to move things around at this scale, so it's not really recreating an aircraft encounter, just some principles of parallax. There's the circling and climbing perceptions with Fravor, but also related to GOFAST and GIMBAL.

2020-09-13T21:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305254299446902784

@novak_meri How can you tell?

2020-09-13T21:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305254202982109184

@kmorgan26 Moving things can rotate though?

2020-09-13T21:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305253996202831872

@conorowens94 @Stephen24563580 It was, I moved, now I have a pool.

(Tic-Tac not to scale) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0xhLMUwAIxQ_e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0xhLMUwAIxQ_e.jpg

2020-09-13T21:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305253164560478208

@kmorgan26 Are there clues in the video that show this?

2020-09-13T21:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305252035462221825

@ThomasPedersen_ Are there specific clues in the scene that let you deduce this?

2020-09-13T21:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305251801323642880

@conorowens94 I not sure what you mean with the walls of the pool. How would it be different if the camera was moving?

2020-09-13T21:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305251271092305920

@manuelfherrador Can you explain why? Or is that just the way it seems?

2020-09-13T21:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305250299821473794

Perception test #3.

A model of the Nimitz UFO is suspended over the water. The camera tracks the model. But is the model moving? Is the camera moving? Both? Can you tell?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305249709951393792/pu/img/2agpv9GelWekDvOU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305249709951393792/pu/img/2agpv9GelWekDvOU.jpg
2020-09-13T20:58:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305249458402205696
@Flyingh43892139 @rjblaskiewicz Yes, it's intended to be compared against other motion stabilized footage.

2020-09-13T20:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305247454871257088
@Stephen24563580 I recreated it with an actual tic-tac, scale correct for a 50mm lens (similar to human vision) http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Eh0rfu0VgAEvcx5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Eh0rfu0VgAEvcx5.jpg

2020-09-13T20:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305241679713062912

@SuperVFunCat1 Wait about three days, until the UK tabloids get a hold of it.

2020-09-13T20:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305241401047674881

@VahanBznuni Well, remember that's really just two sets of two. Front and back seat will have essentially the same perspective on something that's over half a mile away (and initially 4 miles away)

And the top cover account is somewhat different to Fravor's.

2020-09-13T20:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305239567272833024

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 Actually they said " while Venus was at a suitable angle to Earth ... faint absorption at the right wavelength to be phosphine gas, where the molecules are backlit by the warmer clouds below." So maybe not direct sun.

2020-09-13T20:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305236371603369984

@rjblaskiewicz I considered adding that as an option, but even I'm not going rig my fence on a crane. The neighbors probably already think I'm a bit odd.

2020-09-13T19:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305233122838028289

Perception test #2. What's moving left/right here? The Tic-Tac? The camera? Both? Or can't tell?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305232444312809473/pu/img/pRE8kt0iVkL8YOTw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1305232444312809473/pu/img/pRE8kt0iVkL8YOTw.jpg
2020-09-13T19:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305232145141489666
@MolotoVNKA @Oswald1160 @edwardcurrent @lexfridman It loses tracking because of camera changes, not because the object is moving. It does it several times. In-depth explanation here. 6 minutes of your time.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-09-13T19:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305231683206045696
@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 I'm not 100% sure, but I think the technique needs the sun behind clouds (on the target planet), so would only work for Venus.

2020-09-13T19:45:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305231182414528512
@_Kodos_ @conorowens94 Labs require intelligent life, the article raises it as a joke, and immediate dismisses it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0cztuUYAA9kcx.jpg

---



What did the researchers find?

Simply put, a gas that shouldn't be there, and on Earth is considered a conclusive biosignature: phosphine, a very stinky gas. As far as scientists know, there are only two ways to produce it, either artificially in a lab, or by certain kinds of microbes that live in oxygen-free environments. Since it is rather unlikely there any alien labs on Venus, that leaves microbes.

The researchers made the detection using the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) in Hawaii, and the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) observatory in Chile.

Researchers from MIT had previously published studies showing that if phosphine was to ever be found on another rocky planet, it would be a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eh0cztuUYAA9kcx.jpg
2020-09-13T19:38:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305229386241597440

@MrC1549 Heck, most people (including me, and, I assume, you) extrapolate the existence of life on Earth to the possibility of alien life. This does not move that needle much.

2020-09-13T19:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305229004127969282

@HxHasNoMemory Or so he thought.

2020-09-13T19:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305225088229240832

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @SicCoP1 People are ALREADY super receptive to UFOs.

2020-09-13T19:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305224624074977280

@HxHasNoMemory Consider - Fravor thought the GOFAST object was close to the water and going really fast.

Might he have made a similar mistake here?

2020-09-13T19:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305224219756699648

@_Kodos_ @conorowens94 No, they said in the video that the other explanations was that they don't fully understand the physics and chemistry of rocky planets - and so were unable to figure out why this line on the spectrum was slightly dimmed.

2020-09-13T18:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305219402409811968

@HxHasNoMemory You've got a fence here. Hang on, I'll do another test with a moving object and a "point of reference"

2020-09-13T18:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305219120955183104

@SimpleComplex8 I'm not really familiar with him.

2020-09-13T18:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305218895221944320

@GalacticWorld Unless the life within the solar system has a common origin (i.e interplanetary panspermia)

2020-09-13T18:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305209424672292864

@conorowens94 @RodrigoLVieira1 Here's tomorrow's news. https://archive.is/TnY9T

2020-09-13T17:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305194579260354561

@MolotoVNKA @edwardcurrent @lexfridman The video is not of Fravor's sighting. So how can it confirm anything?

And how does it resemble it? Did Fravor see a black fuzzy dumbbell?

2020-09-13T17:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305194267875270657
@SimpleComplex8 I don't think most people give the possibility of microbial life on other planets much thought either way.

2020-09-13T17:17:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305193891344257025
@steven_dor @tlathtoani @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @pjrbarnett @JLuvin @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A So, a 16 year old memory. Sees something on the radar just drifting along all night, he later goes out for a smoke, and sees a light roughly in the same direction whipping all around the ship?

This is "visual/radar continuity?" Seems like, again, different things.

2020-09-13T17:10:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305192190205861888
@SimpleComplex8 I really don't think there's a huge powerful base assumption that the universe is sterile except for Earth. So I don't think there's going to be much upset if that proves not to be the case.

Microbes on Venus? Excellent!

2020-09-13T17:02:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305190246246617089
@MolotoVNKA @edwardcurrent @lexfridman No, it does not show all that. It shows this.

This is the closest visible light shot they got.

The video doesn't show any extreme velocities.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ehz3Xp1VgAAuLCd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ehz3Xp1VgAAuLCd.jpg
2020-09-13T16:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305178419081048064
@conorowens94 Some kind of simple life form on Venus seems entire possible. That's not what I'm talking about. It's more about extrapolating this to some kind of evidence that UFO are aliens.

Do they put a number on "far less probable?"

2020-09-13T16:13:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305177776895320065
@tlathtoani @AFSUnidentified @OmniTalkRadio @Oswald1160 @dave_beaty @pjrbarnett @JLuvin @steven_dor @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @MC05A Wait, where's this "visual/radar continuity?" What does that mean exactly. Simultaneous matching observations of demonstrably the same object and motion?

2020-09-13T16:10:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305177122546171907
A signature of phosphine has been detected on Venus, maybe biologically produced, uncertain.

This seems to be fueling a wave of ALIENS EXIT! UFOS ARE REAL!

Get ready for a tsunami of nonsense reporting about this simple molecule.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhzrWqlVoAAC_vG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhzrWqlVoAAC_vG.jpg

2020-09-13T16:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305176010090897409

@DigitalHuman92 @SpacemannDan @lexfridman They are not "pushing the narrative". They probably don't GAF and think the alien talk is silly. They have much more important things to do. But people keep asking, so they gave a few very brief and ambiguous statements - necessarily ambiguous because ops and tech are classified.

2020-09-13T15:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305174361851088899

@AFSUnidentified Why?

2020-09-13T15:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1305165340968542209

@nat_brownism @redelmonte @trueaspenblue Wait where was I debunked? And when is my check arriving? Nobody is paying me.

And that list of words? You can read it for yourself. Don't trust me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhzgwEvU4AADX_A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhzgwEvU4AADX_A.jpg
2020-09-13T13:51:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305142081388568577
@shannonhall_art @GeoffWacker @FredMrozek @PJMoore1958 @ofiqeto2 @earthwatcher58 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 Where did that 20% number come from? Is that supposedly 20% of the days?

2020-09-13T13:45:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305140512936980481
@pjrbarnett @dave_beaty @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @tlathtoani @steven_dor @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Eyes on?

2020-09-13T04:34:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1305001881148649472
@FredMrozek @shannonhall_art @ofiqeto2 @GeoffWacker @earthwatcher58 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 Ice super-saturation is required for contrail persistence. But thatâ□□s only around 60% of water super-saturation.

And thatâ□□s the key to understanding contrails.

2020-09-13T01:18:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304952452576288768
@GavinSchelske Yes, there's absolutely zero reason to rotate the sensor based on the supposed orientation of one target. It's a nonsensical idea.

2020-09-13T01:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304951955152728065

@shannonhall_art @ofiqeto2 @FredMrozek @GeoffWacker @earthwatcher58 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 Yeah Gates backs (a little) research. They are still in the research stage. I've talked to the geoengineering researches about this. Geoengineering is super risky.

2020-09-13T01:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304951559806005249

@ofiqeto2 @shannonhall_art @FredMrozek @GeoffWacker @earthwatcher58 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 No. Cloud seeding does not leave trails. It's done from small planes over existing clouds, and it's not a secret. Here it is mentioned on the Dick Van Dyke show in 1965. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2020-09-13T01:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304951207874551808

@aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Yeah, he was definitely echoing Corbell there, with the "cold bird" nonsense.

2020-09-13T01:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304951035216052224

@aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell I'm not even sure if it was two objects, it could have been two false radar returns just on the edge of being filtered out, or one complex (refracted/reflected) return of indeterminate altitude. Plus, new software!

2020-09-13T01:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304950224809422850

@GavinSchelske I'm not sure what you mean about the rotation. Why would the camera rotate if the target rotated? It's tracking angular position, not orientation.

And this is the GIMBAL object. We've no idea if any other plane saw this.

2020-09-13T01:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304949575631826944

@shannonhall_art @FredMrozek @GeoffWacker @earthwatcher58 @ofiqeto2 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 Clouds seeding is obviously a very real thing. But your photos show a contrail (with perspective foreshortening) and a firefighting plane dropping water.

2020-09-13T01:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304949261998542848

@aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell You'd sure be able to see the line of plasma it

created ripping though the air. (Assuming it was't teleporting, or slipping between quanta or somesuch).

But no, the fastest bullets go at 2,000 mph. So 54,000 is not going to be visible as an object.

2020-09-12T19:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304857492040474624

@holdank1 @LoserKing1986 @PostDisclosure You might find this video useful (regarding why the clouds don't rotate)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-09-12T18:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304856703733649408

@Asgeir16813191 Here's a higher quality version with no compression artifacts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhvIL4YUcAEO5a8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhvIL4YUcAEO5a8.jpg

2020-09-12T18:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304846409217110017

@Asgeir16813191 Not really, they both have the same reflection and light.

2020-09-12T17:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304836460814585856

@pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell I think he just posts endless pages of equations and claims he's solved something. There's plenty of people who understand theoretical physics, so he should convince them and then collect his Nobel Prize.

Until then, he's a crank.

2020-09-12T17:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304834682173812741

@RagnarSpock Correct. But how could you tell?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304834604893745152/pu/img/CZG6a3lr_y2s5CR5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304834604893745152/pu/img/CZG6a3lr_y2s5CR5.jpg

2020-09-12T17:28:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304834342498086913

@pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell How do you know he has solved it?

2020-09-12T17:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304834203591172098

@Asgeir16813191 I want to explore if there are depth cues that exist besides parallax if the scene lacks positional context. Someone was arguing there are multiple things you can look for - like the complexity of reflections. I don't think there really are.

2020-09-12T17:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304833678682456064

@FredMrozek @GeoffWacker @shannonhall_art @earthwatcher58 @ofiqeto2 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1 It's not that relevant if the ambient air goes through the fan or around the outside. It's still just air mixing with exhaust. It's the mixing that created clouds due to a temporary rise in relative humidity (with respect to water) above 100%.

See: http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhuzONIUMAAU3fD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhuzONIUMAAU3fD.jpg

2020-09-12T15:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304797164585938945

@Oswald1160 @leeonerdo Yeah, I was actually just thinking about my next trip to Lowes. I'm planning a camera rig to better demonstrate parallax illusions.

Although I'll probably start that with scrap.

2020-09-12T14:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304786012317470721

@diarrhangus @Meestaa_Bond @randygdub I don't really have a good video explainer on that. But I think Edward Current did a good job. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4LUDXpMhkNk

---

2020-09-12T14:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304785504135557120

@Asgeir16813191 Yes, I understand this. But there's no evidence ANYONE in the 12 correct responses used any valid and repeatable technique to determine size/distance.
I'm not aware of any such valid technique. I don't think there is one for this photo. Do you?

2020-09-12T14:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304784395883044864

@GeoffWacker @FredMrozek @shannonhall_art @earthwatcher58 @ofiqeto2 @Airrace @WHeeLS13183 @PJMoore1958 @swhotmess @LiberumEremitae @Xalio2012 @Whitecivilizat1

Contrails are formed by jet exhaust. High bypass engines burn the same fuel as low-bypass. So they create the same exhaust (CO2 and H2O). That exhaust is actually slightly cooler in high bypass engines, so they make MORE contrails.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EhuGevPU8AAn32r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EhuGevPU8AAn32r.jpg
2020-09-12T14:06:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304783505495269376
@Jim94334698 @Oswald1160 @leeonerdo 20 minutes. The problem is there are lots of visual cues when something is on a table. If you can see the table top then it's obvious. So the camera is below, meaning I had to raise up the back wheel.

If they are in mid air, all these problems vanish. But that's more work.

2020-09-12T14:03:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304782648397590529
@Asgeir16813191 Here are all the correct answers. Nobody gave a valid reason.

I don't think there are any valid ways of determining the distance from this particular photo
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhuEix5VgAM8K-7.jpg

LEFT is far away and bigger (so right is closest and smallest)

1. Left one is farther... more shadow on it says it's closer to fence.
2. Right is closest. They appear to be attached to the underside of an upturned trolley. The shadow on the lefthand wheel shows that the inner part is hollowed out, in the opposite orientation to the righthand wheel on which you can see a bulge outwards.
3. The one on the right is closer, as I thing I can see a bigger image of the photo taker
4. The one on the right is closer. You can tell by comparing the two reflections
5. Left bigger. Not at all confident because I'm seeing this on Twitter. Irl I'd be surprised if it wasn't
6. Right one is closer
7. One on the left is further away, and therefore bigger.
8. Left is bigger, right is closer. Confident? Not really but I have poor depth perception so maybe I have a leg up
9. Left
10. I say left bigger... 80.4% sure!
11. Right?
12. The one on the right looks closer...cause it seems closer to the spoke relative to the one on the left...but I'm stupid.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhuEix5VgAM8K-7.jpg
2020-09-12T13:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304768253466566657

@Chunkoftomato I asked how confident they are.

2020-09-12T13:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304767977300963328

@Asgeir16813191 The result being correct does not mean the reasoning was correct. Several people who got it right gave incorrect reasons.

2020-09-12T13:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304766736944693249

@Oswald1160 @leeonerdo These were just laying around n my garage.

2020-09-12T05:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304659540986662912

@PalHuthoff @tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell It would work, but would release too much energy as to be impractical. Like surfing an atomic explosion.

2020-09-12T03:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304623202971262976

@SicCoP1 @tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Thatâ□□s not â□□the Navyâ□□. Thatâ□□ just his manager.

2020-09-12T02:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304600885423566849

@tlathtoani @aka__V @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Have you squared it yet?

2020-09-12T00:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304578144163082240

@steven_dor @tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Oh, I plan to! Starting about now. (It's 5:30 here)

2020-09-12T00:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304577709717164032

@JLuvin @tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Why not a bit of both?

2020-09-12T00:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304577522521182208

@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell "You contend the data is so weird as to practically be impossible." is a generalization that I do not agree with. SOME date is. Some data (like objects drifting south, or video of distant object) is fine.

Others being not so sure is an inevitability in nearly any field.

2020-09-12T00:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304576773359726592
@JLuvin @tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell The implication of the 2000g move on radar is so unlikely that it means that radar data was likely incorrect. i.e a glitch or error seem far more likely than a 2000g craft.

Please don't assign some generalization of that to me.

2020-09-12T00:22:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304576169275080704
@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell I'm open to being wrong about things, hence if pushed for a 100% I'll always admit at least a very small chance of unlikely things (even like magic) being real. So when I say something is "possible" it's not the same as saying it's probable or realistic.

This is Twitter.

2020-09-11T23:38:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304565018772549635
@leeonerdo
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304564925428310016/pu/img/aeVnTIcKV1hDcQyu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304564925428310016/pu/img/aeVnTIcKV1hDcQyu.jpg
2020-09-11T23:25:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304561616952852480
@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell A) No I meant high temperatures, like above 100K. Relatively novel, but an incremental advance.

B) No, everything is "possible".
C) Yes, it's bullshit. He's been flimflammed by Pias.

2020-09-11T22:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304553563184672775
@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell And I'm pretty sure Pias' patents are largely bullshit.

2020-09-11T22:39:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304550198924906496
@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell It's not just novel physics. This isn't something like high temperature superconductors. It's a fundamental reworking of how we understand the universe. It doesn't even work with theoretical physics. Alcubierre drive, even if possible (a big if) would not work in an atmosphere.

2020-09-11T22:31:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304548121402159104
The answer to the "which is bigger" puzzle is LEFT.

Results:

Right: 17/36. (47%)
Left: 12/36 (33%)
Can't tell 7/36 (19%)

I think the correct answer is that you can't tell.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304547853553917952/pu/img/WK-fVzK3gv6gF9M6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304547853553917952/pu/img/WK-fVzK3gv6gF9M6.jpg
2020-09-11T22:01:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304540634141749248
RT @rothschildmd: The newest QAnon drop sees Q directly encourage his followers to make bogus phone calls to the Forest Service accusing leâ¦

2020-09-11T21:33:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304533541674516486
@_Desmoden Closer AND bigger? If it was closer and bigger then would it not look bigger? Do you mean closer and smaller?

2020-09-11T20:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304510986485788672
@MagicKrakening @SpacemannDan @lexfridman The gimbal roll correction? I showed video later on of a gimbal correction happening in Underwood's video. Direct evidence.
At 15:45
https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4?t=945

2020-09-11T19:46:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304506662129078272
@Warriormonk88 @_jraza @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell No, it would not. It just takes a few mistakes a few coincidences, and human nature.

2020-09-11T19:45:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304506250869108736
@_jraza @Warriormonk88 @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Which are not actually observed here.

2020-09-11T19:44:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304506060896497665
@AFSUnidentified Which Tic-Tac objects? There seem to be a bunch of different ones.

Obviously the thing in the video is not a seagull. Nor was what Fravor circled around.

2020-09-11T19:42:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304505616442863616
@CovertGoat @Oswald1160 @TenoriThrowaway @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Nobody is claiming that. I'm not claiming that. I'm explaining various things about the videos.

If people ALSO want my guesses on other things, then I'll give my guesses. But I'm mostly focussed on examining claims regarding the videos.

2020-09-11T19:40:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304505165827907584
@Warriormonk88 @_jraza @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell And it's physically impossible in that if physically MOVED that distance, the air displacement and internal friction would release

vast amounts of heat. So if it travelled that distance, it didn't do it by physical moving through that space.

2020-09-11T19:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304504616722329602

@Warriormonk88 @_jraza @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell The point here is that a radar glitch for this specific observation is BY FAR the simplest and unsurprising conclusion.

Teleportation, or some kind of quantum phasing out of mass, would be extraordinary.

2020-09-11T19:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304499476858507265

@_jraza @AFSUnidentified @Warriormonk88 @JeremyCorbell Geez people. OBVIOUSLY you can add "unless we have physics wrong, or something incredible new set of principles are discovered"

But think about what 54,000 mph, 2,461g would actual entail IN AN ATMOSPHERE.

I need a "no absolutes" disclaimer or something.

2020-09-11T16:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304460050979643392

@RogueUAPTF It's just my iPhone, from 7 feet away. There is digital zoom.

I'll do another with a better camera.

2020-09-11T16:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304458440530563073

@tlathtoani @pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell I think it's pretty clear that when I say "physically impossible" then "unless we've got physics very wrong, or we are living in the Matrix" is an obvious indisputable edge-case qualifier, a truism that does not need reiterating.

2020-09-11T16:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304457280587313152

@CrumbMontgomery Hmm, so two left and two right so far....

2020-09-11T16:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304454429916057602

An experiment in monocular depth-perception. One of these wheels is significantly bigger than the other, but positioned behind it so they seem a similar size.

Which one is big and far away, left or right?

How can you tell? How confident are you about your answer?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhpZzZEUYAAAHOo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhpZzZEUYAAAHOo.jpg
2020-09-11T15:08:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304436669362262019
@steven_dor @uelbus @pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell You can simple check these things for yourself.

It does require a little effort. But it's worth it, if this subject genuinely interests you.

2020-09-11T15:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304436298598330368
@pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell 80,000 feet is 15 miles.
15 miles in 2 seconds is avg, 27000 mph, but mid peak 54,000 mph in 1 second if from hover to hover.
That's 2,461g
Physically impossible.

2020-09-11T14:55:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304433344898240518
@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Dropping from the sky at 1000g? Can you confirm there were two independent simultaneous records of this?

Because AFAIK it was just Kevin Day, and just on one occasion.

2020-09-11T14:53:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304432978844557313
@ChucalescuJR @JeremyCorbell No, he did not.

See my full response here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-09-11T14:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304432577487319044
@pjrbarnett @steven_dor @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell What I'm addressing are claims that people making about the videos. Obviously if people make false claims (usually just honest mistakes), then there's nothing wrong with explaining that, right?

2020-09-11T14:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304432006927798272
@steven_dor @pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @CrewCutYoutube @gammaraybeam @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Rebooting the system does not magically erase all the bugs.

2020-09-11T14:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304431427618914309
@JeremyCorbell I'm not arriving at a conclusion. I've no idea what Fravor saw.

What I'm doing is pointing out where people incorrectly analysed the videos, and I'm explaining what they did wrong.

Sometimes this requires complexity. Sometimes it's relatively simple trig.

Sure, ignore that.

2020-09-11T04:44:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304279681617678336
@MagicKrakening @usmcbrowns @lexfridman Iâ□□m not dismissing â□□the idea of UFOsâ□□. Iâ□□m critiquing othersâ□□ analyses of the three videos.

2020-09-11T04:24:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304274648335491072
@TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified @pjrbarnett @uelbus @JeremyCorbell Who did you have in mind?

2020-09-11T04:20:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304273608202694656
@rpowell2u @lexfridman Or more specifically, which of the Nimitz events? The video? Fravor? PJ? Kevin? Gary? Chad?

The video is the only data we have. Maybe start there?

2020-09-11T04:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304272105425559552
@rpowell2u @lexfridman Which event?

2020-09-11T00:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304212890824527873

Inevitably the historic fires are going to lead to historic spreading of outlandish conspiracy theories, largely based on misunderstandings about fire.

Here I explain how houses can burn when adjacent trees do not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mkH5I0lXiFs

2020-09-11T00:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304210405380640768

@pstanley123 @edwardcurrent @ToeBiden "the weakest to start process" = the lower columns bucking because of the impact damage and lack of lateral support.

But really you have to understand what went on in the inside before the outside. The NIST simulations cover the broad strokes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304210217014571010/pu/img/LevZec8YHfQPTzjx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1304210217014571010/pu/img/LevZec8YHfQPTzjx.jpg

2020-09-10T21:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304168517671895040

@pstanley123 @edwardcurrent @ToeBiden Actually yes. If you exclude the corner damage, then the building will crumple from the top corners first due to stronger lower column structure. With the lower damage the building first buckled near the bottom after interior collapse, the top came down more-or-less in one piece.

2020-09-10T20:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304157790743584769
@gammaraybeam @Oswald1160 @pjrbarnett @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Sure. But if we put aside the videos, then all we've got a bunch of (compelling/well credentialed/somewhat consistent) 15-year-old stories.
Videos are hard data that can be examined - something that most UFO events really lack.

They are also being used as evidence.

2020-09-10T20:36:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304156932735811584
@te3ej Yeah, I think the one I posted is essentially all the contrail footage from that.

2020-09-10T19:40:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304142614539583489
@edwardcurrent @ToeBiden Here's some vide (at 6:57) of the damage to the West side, and SW corner. The south face (around that corner) got most of the impact, but there's still a lot of broken windows here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OQk5Qw8hHGA&feature=youtu.be&t=416

2020-09-10T17:24:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304108467645378560
Just stumbled across this again. Some of the most amazing contrail footage of all time. Les Chevaliers du Ciel. Some unique perspectives.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ChO9xoHbJGQ

2020-09-10T17:16:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1304106484473552902
@ToeBiden Indeed, there was a similar "rocket stove" effect there. Very intense localized fires.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkdVCyUMAEEBk4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkdVCyUMAEEBk4.jpg

2020-09-10T17:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304105165570453504

A common bit of fire conspiracy theory "evidence" is trees burning from the inside.

I looks weird, but it's just a hollow tree. Heart rot will make a tree almost into a rocket stove.

Dead dry wood in the middle, and a path for air to rise through.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z9CKmegMIC0

2020-09-10T15:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304086232402505728

@RGAZ4LIFE Yes, I have family there. It's going to be really hard for people. It's not a rich area.

2020-09-10T15:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304085373451030528

The problem is that this is already getting repeated as a headline by clickbait channels. There's 82,000 people in Medford, it's the biggest city for 200 miles, lots of people will freak out, thinking their friends and relatives might be dead.

Talent and Phoenix are the towns. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkKuwkWAAAq9Co.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkKuwkWAAAq9Co.jpg

2020-09-10T15:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1304084022084005889

MSNBC is incorrectly reporting that Medford, OR, has been "nearly wiped out". It has not. The reporter on the ground mentioned it along with Phoenix (which is nearby, and has been nearly wiped out). But Medford is a MUCH bigger town, and not wiped out.

https://www.facebook.com/msnbc/posts/4140790859350468

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkJLMiWAAY7miz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhkJLMiWAAY7miz.jpg

2020-09-10T09:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303995324537552897

RT @WIRED: â□□If you are the person who says the sky is falling and it falls, you definitely feel like saying â□□Why didn't people listen to meâ□¦

2020-09-10T09:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303994765399080960

@pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell They donâ□□t KNOW exactly what they are. But they donâ□□t claim anything amazing about them either.

They are unidentified. Just not physics defying, super fast, or rotating.

2020-09-10T09:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303993790949072898

It really all dates back to the Big Burn of 1910, and the subsequent overzealous fire suppression strategy that grew from there. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Fire_of_1910

2020-09-10T09:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303992426411954176

The massive California fires were inevitable, for reasons that are both simple and complicated.

https://www.propublica.org/article/they-know-how-to-prevent-megafires-why-wont-anybody-listen

---

2020-09-10T09:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303987684877058048

@LeifNordh @fmolinari2019 @lexfridman Explaining the VIDEOS does not invalidate Fravorâ□□s eyewitness account.

Just his interpretation of the videos.

2020-09-10T04:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303918093190668289

@Pupi5910 @lexfridman Nothing is stopping that from my end.

2020-09-10T00:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303855441978425344

@pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell I don't think that GOFAST is a plane. I think it's a balloon. Possibly even a bird.

2020-09-10T00:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303853021273579521

@pjrbarnett @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1303852970379898880/pu/img/AzuzY7G-9Df4m1DW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1303852970379898880/pu/img/AzuzY7G-9Df4m1DW.jpg

2020-09-09T21:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303800747444690944

@john_flanigan @lexfridman Ask Lex.

2020-09-09T21:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303800650887643137

@usmcbrowns @lexfridman If you are going to dismiss an idea, then you should understand it.

The problem is that people are going to say "Mick, Fravor disagrees with you, and he's an expert".

He can't really disagree with me when his main argument is "I wouldn't tell an F1 guy how to drive a car"

2020-09-09T20:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303795387807850496

My response to @lexfridman's interview with David Fravor.

When asked about my ideas, Fravor provided several non-responses - and often showed he did not really know the details of what he was responding to. Very disappointing.

https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-09-09T20:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303794126723280897

@SpacemannDan @lexfridman https://youtu.be/fT1uRf5_dF4

2020-09-09T17:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303748244590063616

@AFSUnidentified @pjrbarnett @uelbus @JeremyCorbell How do you know this? Or are you simply inferring it?

2020-09-09T17:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303747894210510848

@pjrbarnett @uelbus @AFSUnidentified @JeremyCorbell Enough with the videos? If people continue to make false claims about those videos, then I'm going to continue to explain why those false claims are false.

The other stuff is something else.

2020-09-09T03:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303535518446055424

@RodrigoLVieira1 @PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio No, he did not.

2020-09-09T03:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303535316419014657

@DarkSideSince77 @PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio If you donâ□□t know what model the plane is then how do you know how big it is?

2020-09-09T00:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303493165773586432

@Tristan77457 @lexfridman I'm not. I don't know what he saw. I'm puzzled by it.

I focus mostly on the videos. He made a bunch of misleading statements when asked about my hypotheses.

2020-09-09T00:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303491545677537280

@5X5_NEWS @fragile_kyle @leith_kongo @weg257 @tomdelonge I've got private pilot training up to long distance solo. Here's the longest flight I did by myself. In a PA-28.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhbugEsUMAASn7P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhbugEsUMAASn7P.jpg

2020-09-09T00:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303490846440865798

@Tristan77457 @lexfridman I watched it. I don't have a strong theory for what he saw. But his rebuttal of my theories about the videos was rather weak.

2020-09-09T00:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303490534925717504

@PaulBurley1977 @OmniTalkRadio Yeah, that's what he said. He didn't actually address ANY of my theories. It's almost like he's not actually familiar with them.

2020-09-08T23:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303475470420205569

@OmniTalkRadio No, he doesn't.

2020-09-08T04:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303196041047494656

@KevinMarcheschi Those look like balloon (or maybe parachute) carried flares.

2020-09-08T04:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1303195558866087937

@OVoluntarist @joerogan I'm quite specifically NOT saying lens flare. I'm saying Gimbal is IR

glare from a jet engine.

2020-09-07T06:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302862769142730753

@SethLindsay3 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan No. He would not.

2020-09-06T23:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302754869867155456

@SethLindsay3 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I'd be happy to talk to John Ehrhart, or anyone else with relevant experience. It does not have to be on the JRE though.

2020-09-06T20:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302711362905190401

@truthbearer20 @OculusQ @BrianRoemmele @IWANTTOKNOW2020 @Z00KY @PredatoryPanic Well, if it IS a balloon it's that simple. If it's NOT a ballon, then that's far more interesting.

You can certainly speculate about what it might be, if not a balloon - but really that should be founded upon a base of evidence showing that it's not a balloon.

2020-09-06T20:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302698520881758208

@SethLindsay3 @joerogan See my response here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-09-06T19:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302688939522682880

@OculusQ @BrianRoemmele @IWANTTOKNOW2020 @Z00KY @truthbearer20 @PredatoryPanic Well, it's probably just a helium ballon with some lightweight stuff stuck to it to resemble Sputnik-1. I don't think aerodynamics were part of the design.

2020-09-06T18:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302672744610320384

@BrianRoemmele @Z00KY @Flyingh43892139 @IWANTTOKNOW2020 @truthbearer20 @PredatoryPanic Where are these images collected?

2020-09-06T17:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302656719701438469

@Z00KY @BrianRoemmele @Flyingh43892139 @IWANTTOKNOW2020 @truthbearer20 @PredatoryPanic Hmm, so a giant silver sphere "the size of two city busses" was flying around San Diego for several days, hovering for many minutes in single locations over the city, diving in the water, and shooting in the sky.

And we just have this one video and photo?

2020-09-06T16:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302651026638401536

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @Z00KY @BrianRoemmele @truthbearer20 @PredatoryPanic I was actually directing that more at Brian, as he made the comment about it being explained by

"debunkers". It seems like a balloon is the obvious explanation, and I was curious if he felt there was evidence otherwise. I should have replied to his first tweet.

2020-09-06T16:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302644059186458625

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @BrianRoemmele @truthbearer20 @PredatoryPanic I'm curious as to why you think this is NOT a balloon.

2020-09-06T16:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302643343155843072

@katfisch21 @JeremyRys2 @Alien_Scientist The key point that most people miss is that it did not require much energy to separate a floor panel from the columns. So most of the mass ends up falling through the floors, increasing in mass as it goes.
It's not super complicated, but also not obvious.

2020-09-06T15:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302628799666741248

@JeremyRys2 @katfisch21 @Alien_Scientist Yeah, there could have been some explosives which were not needed, worked perfectly in the middle of plane crash, explosion and fireball, and left no evidence.

But why would you suspect such a thing?

2020-09-06T15:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302627740781178880

@pyrescene 2/2 It was known at the time that there were extensive investigations into leaks. There were many stories about this in the press back then. Gellman's initial assessment was more or less correct, and Corbett just focuses on a supposed "scoop" that changes very little.

2020-09-06T15:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302626519433400320

@pyrescene 1/2 The "useful idiot" theory. I don't think anyone can be without bias. And I think Corbett's is showing here. He really mischaracterizes the situation, framing it in his own belief that 9/11 was gov organized, to the extent of including controlled demolition.

2020-09-06T14:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302620564868136967

@JeremyRys2 @katfisch21 @Alien_Scientist The buildings mostly destroyed themselves - using the stored potential energy (i.e. gravity and height), the fire and impact damage is what started it.

2020-09-05T21:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302352565665894400

@bonedaddy03 That's a gross misrepresentation of my analyses. Only the GOFAST video is likely a balloon. FLIR1 is probably a distant jet, and GIMBAL is probably the glare of a distant jet, rotating because the camera is rotating.

Very little of this contradicts the eyewitness accounts.

2020-09-05T14:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302257628563664896

Here's two (virtual) shots eight hours apart.

https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/4768

@JakeDean45 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhKMOsZUYAA9teU.jpg



Time Saturday, September 05, 2020, 14:00 UT

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhKMOsZUYAA9teU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhKMK7YVgAA_Lq8.jpg

2020-09-05T14:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302257044041297920

When the moon is just the right angle to the sun, it can look like it has a chunk missing because of the shadows of the edges of the craters.

The illusion only lasts a few hours.

https://twitter.com/JakeDean45/status/1302244689131614208

2020-09-05T14:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302255943351066624

@katfisch21 @Alien_Scientist Which "molten steel beams" are you referring to?

And the multispectral images just showed fires. Fires are hot. There were building vents elsewhere in the city that were equally hot in that image.

2020-09-05T14:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302245634594856964

@katfisch21 @Alien_Scientist Shermer was correct.

2020-09-05T02:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302069633504038912

@Pupi5910 @JeremyCorbell He cares. He's still a fan of debunking of chemtrails and rods. He just has a preference here which is is maybe steering him away from the more prosaic explanations.

2020-09-05T01:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302060989018378240

@Pupi5910 @JeremyCorbell I'd be happy to chat with anyone about this.

2020-09-04T22:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1302016623411306497

@VirgeenaHurts @joerogan I don't think they are lying.

2020-09-04T17:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301942959454081026

@JLuvin @tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan He looked in a direction, and saw something.

I wonder if he experimented with looking in other directions, all things being equal?

Or in the same direction (maybe towards traffic) at a different time?

2020-09-04T17:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301942432003579907

@DudeDressed @joerogan Do you understand WHY you think I'm wrong? Or are you just saying that because someone else said I'm wrong?

What bit in any of my videos was wrong?

2020-09-04T17:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301941641498865665

@Santtu234 @joerogan What exactly is "some kind of phenomenon?" Thousands of different reports of different things -mostly lights in the sky?

2020-09-04T17:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301941329010647042

The term "fake news" can be imprecise and misleading, even when it's not being weaponized. Use more accurate context-specific descriptors instead. Like: "misleading", "lies", "hoax", "propaganda," "nonsense", "clickbait" or "junk news"
https://twitter.com/debunker/status/1301939713247379456

2020-09-04T17:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301939831040167937

@tlathtoani @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan (and I should have clipped that starting earlier - he talks about a man-overboard drill, so everyone is on deck at their muster stations.)

2020-09-04T17:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301939449752727553

@Santtu234 @joerogan So you're saying if it WAS aliens, that might also require "mental gymnastics?" :)

2020-09-04T17:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301937400315215872

@tlathtoani @JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan Seems like a lot of people would have seen it, if his account is correct.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1301937128943755265/pu/img/KsWct4Eovv5qHKpe.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1301937128943755265/pu/img/KsWct4Eovv5qHKpe.jpg

2020-09-04T16:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301914198729875457

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Also consistent with glitchy radar. Which is what most people assumed it was at the time.

2020-09-04T16:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301913623732736000

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Correct. Those radar returns were not jets. And they did not move like what Fravor saw, OR what is seen in Underwood's video.

So why link them?

2020-09-04T15:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301912610757996546

@6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @Apnoespock @lexfridman I don't know, but what specifically is this "pseudoscience" you say I used?

2020-09-04T15:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301912340573515777

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Similar? Was what Fravor observed slowly drifting south in a group at 20,000 feet?

2020-09-04T15:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301912089057923072

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Citation needed.

2020-09-04T15:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301911416346038277

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani So they found something in a hundred square miles area.

2020-09-04T15:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301908330214957059

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani How do you know it

was "the object you were tracking for weeks"
For a start it was days, not weeks. Also the objects being tracked were drifting south at wind speed, or teleporting from space to the water surface. Yet this was doing neither.

2020-09-04T05:26:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301753357460094976
@WilsonSeagull @Apnoespock @lexfridman @chad_vfa41 He waited too long to slew the camera

---

2020-09-03T23:31:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301664197584056320
@JLuvin @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Maybe start with the videos. Why canâ□□t they have prosaic explanations?

2020-09-03T23:29:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301663717453475840
@Flyingh43892139 @NickMadrid16 @Slaneshza @Apnoespock @lexfridman Thatâ□□s a contrail. Iâ□□ve seen pretty much the same thing. Iâ□□m a contrail expert.

2020-09-03T22:36:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301650366807732225
@tlathtoani @Disclosure89 @ztrussell @RayJack62496046 @physicsufo @LtTimMcMillan My comments about strawman arguments were related to the videos having prosaic explanations (and why that does mean there's systemic incompetence, or at least any more than normal). I was not talking about this patent issue.

2020-09-03T21:49:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301638552724201472
@RayJack62496046 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani And I'm not dissembling. This is what I actually think.

2020-09-03T21:48:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301638333878075392
@RayJack62496046 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani Fravor's encounter, the video, the "off in the distance" sightings, and the various radar stories are all different things. Likely with different explanations.

2020-09-03T21:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301637948929007616
@ztrussell @tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @physicsufo @LtTimMcMillan Perhaps James Sheehy is not not the best person to be advocating for the Pais's theory. I've seen before where crank scientists utterly convince semi-technical management types.
Sheehy is an expert on physiological optics, not physics.

2020-09-03T21:40:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301636121114210305
@JLuvin @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani You are conflating several different things.

2020-09-03T21:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301634884566310912

@ztrussell @tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @physicsufo @LtTimMcMillan "Fake" and "viable" are not the only options. It's just crank science. Impractical wishful thinking.

2020-09-03T21:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301634008242036736

@JLuvin @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani No, it implies that the jet in the videos were not immediately identified by the pilots. UAPs investigations encompass "incursions that are initially reported as UAP when the observer cannot immediately identify what he or she is observing."

2020-09-03T21:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301630466563346432

@Slaneshza @Apnoespock @lexfridman I've replied to their objections in considerable depth. Underwood never really addressed the issue, because Corbell didn't really ask the right questions.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-09-03T21:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301629692974256128

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @physicsufo @LtTimMcMillan "Some kind of folly" gets my vote.

2020-09-03T20:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301618593994424320

@RayJack62496046 @physicsufo @tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan Possible, but I see no evidence of it. Not that I would, as it would probably be far away.

2020-09-03T20:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301618103831293953

@physicsufo @tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan Do you think it's impossible that someone in the universe will discover anti-gravity technology in the next few billion years?

2020-09-03T20:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301617830270349312

@Apnoespock @SimpleComplex8 @DarkSideSince77 @lexfridman "Flares" was a reply to the Phoenix lights incident.

2020-09-03T20:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301617569313386496

@PostDisclosure @OmniTalkRadio @TheZignal @lexfridman Feel free to upvote: :)

https://www.reddit.com/r/ufo/comments/ilzcp1/questions_for_commander_david_fravor_lex_fridman/g3wce6q/?utm_source=reddit&utm_medium=web2x&context=3

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhBGKWsUwAAVn6p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EhBGKWsUwAAVn6p.jpg

2020-09-03T20:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301614609573359616

@SimpleComplex8 @DarkSideSince77 @Apnoespock @lexfridman Flares.

2020-09-03T20:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301613502637899776

@physicsufo @tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan It's possible using some as-yet undiscovered or misunderstood principle of physics.

I didn't say I knew how to do it.

2020-09-03T20:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301613156679073792

@DarkSideSince77 @Apnoespock @lexfridman See my video, above . Fravor says there's a sudden move IN THE FLIR1 VIDEO. I think he's incorrect, and I explain in detail why he is incorrect.

2020-09-03T20:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301611599988285440

@MadCorso @Apnoespock @lexfridman People (including Fravor) say that the video proves there were sudden moves. It does not. That's all.

2020-09-03T19:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301601001758056448

@RayJack62496046 @tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan I said "sometimes silly". I'm sure he's been very competent through his career. But now he works for Tom DeLonge - who is also sometimes silly, and has some influence here.

2020-09-03T19:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301599409075970048

@Apnoespock @lexfridman The "no sudden moves" one would be a good start.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-09-03T19:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301598921488097282

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan Investigating and pushing the limits of

technology also sounds like a perfect reasonably thing to do.

Could some UAPs be experimental craft? Sure - lots of examples. Anti gravity? Unlikely, but possible.

Cool stuff, but what does it have to do with these 3 video?

2020-09-03T17:58:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301580281552363520

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 7/7 So I'd say resources are well spent in studying such things. Of course there's some odd pro-alien lobbying going on. TTSA's Mellon wrote the language for Rubio. But the military was doing this anyway, and they have to work with the sometimes silly civilians, so here we are.

2020-09-03T17:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301578862178914304

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 6/? FLIR1 (Nimitz) shows something the pilot did not identify. It's also part of a larger set of events involving incursions that were initially reported (by some observers) as UAP. So these events are worth studying, regardless of what they actually were.

2020-09-03T17:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301578207276146688

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 5/? GOFAST, likewise, seems to show that the pilots misinterpreted what they were seeing in the case of slow moving cool object being thought of as a fast moving object. Again, a great example video for training. A great "initial report" example for the UAP task force to study.

2020-09-03T17:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301577579338412032

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 4/? GIMBAL, for example, shows the pilots, at that time, could not identify what looks like a camera artifact. The video would be a great thing to train pilots with now, so if it happens again they will recognize what they are seeing. However this all is classified.

2020-09-03T17:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301576912246980608

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 3/? The videos certainly represent good examples of problem A. The pilot observers were unable to immediately identify what they were observing. This might be because they were amazing objects, or it might be a simple failure of training. They can study them and learn from them

---

2020-09-03T17:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301576284699467776

@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 2/? Both of these issues are serious. We don't want unauthorized incursions, and we want pilots (and the system in general) to identify things

as quickly as possible.

Neither of these issues is 100% solvable.

2020-09-03T17:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301575697094209536
@tlathtoani @RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan 1/? There being two areas of concern for any UAP task force:

A) incursions that are initially reported as UAP when the observer cannot immediately identify what he or she is observing.

B) incursions by unauthorized aircraft into designated ranges or restricted airspace

---

2020-09-03T15:49:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301547893069721600
@AdamKlaasmeyer That's a plane dumping fuel.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0ZoDTPjAmjY

2020-09-03T15:42:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301546036280717313
@RayJack62496046 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani The videos having prosaic explanations does not mean "the United States military is [...] incompetent." That's just a straw man concoction used to dismiss the possibility. It's on a par with the "seagulls vs. warp drives" false dichotomy.

2020-09-03T05:50:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301397197490069504
@tommyIzito @SingletrackAdam @tommaw3 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo @DaveFalch @marcorubio I'm being entirely serious.

2020-09-03T05:00:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301384610576654339
@tommyIzito @SingletrackAdam @tommaw3 @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo @DaveFalch @marcorubio *Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence from November 1999 until Jan 2002

What is he an expert in, exactly? International relations? Finance? Staff?

I'm sure he's a smart person, expert in some fields, but when it comes to assessing videos, he's just some guy.

2020-09-03T00:13:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301312272258666497
@Pupi5910 I don't think the FLIR1 video shows a glare. I think it shows a plane.

I don't think Fravor is lying. I think he saw something and misjudged the size and distance. I

don't have any great ideas. But maybe a drone, target, or balloon.

2020-09-02T23:03:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301294683641921536

@Timspeer8 @K1975Daniel @joerogan That's closing velocity. You can close on a brick wall at 500 mph. It does not mean the brick wall is moving.

2020-09-02T14:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301169979719430144

@uelbus @PstarmanUa @JLuvin @nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire The claim I was questioning was "the pilots who took them testified to those Senate Intel committee" - I'm talking about the videos, because Nick Pope said they were a big deal.

2020-09-02T14:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301169174987694080

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @joerogan No, it's essentially a point source. Probably one or two closely spaces jet engines.

In this rough example the object is a perfect sphere (the sun)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1301169014689816576/pu/img/MNB27dXPoNRNV_1q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1301169014689816576/pu/img/MNB27dXPoNRNV_1q.jpg

2020-09-02T14:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301164976833396737

@ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @Pleyar1 @joerogan Navy Spokesman:

https://www.facebook.com/groups/ufoupdates/permalink/10156254091656790/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eg6qZg7UcAM_Z60.jpg

---

8)Has the Navy, or any other component, come to the conclusion that some of the unidentified sightings reported is due to an aerial phenomena with technological features not currently known to mankind?

A8) The Navy has not publicly released characterizations or descriptions, nor released any hypothesis or conclusions in reference to any reported sightings/observations. As the investigation of UAP sightings is ongoing, we will not discuss individual sighting reports/observations. However, all possible sources of information pertaining to any individual sighting reports/observations are considered in the respective investigations. The information obtained from each individual report of any suspected training range incursion will continue to be investigated in its own right. The information obtained in these reports will be catalogued and analyzed for the purpose ofidentifying any hazard to our aviators. This process could involve multiple Department of Defense and Intelligence Community organizations. Any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eg6qZg7UcAM_Z60.jpg
2020-09-02T14:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301164058440212480
@PstarmanUa @JLuvin @nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire Well, she hardly said anything at all in Unidentified. Much like in the "Source" report, it was largely paraphrased. I'd love to hear her ACTUAL second-by-second account.

I've asked her, but she did not respond.

But the video clearly does not show what she described.

2020-09-02T14:18:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301162618938257410
@ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @Pleyar1 @joerogan "That is their way of saying we've given up on this one." - what are you basing this on? Seems a bit of a broad interpretation.

2020-09-02T14:16:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301162128083054592
@tommyIzito @JLuvin @nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire I mean Fravor was not there when the FLIR1 video was taken. He was back on the Nimitz.

2020-09-02T14:15:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1301161907424915457
@TenoriThrowaway @truthbearer20 @tommyIzito @ExogenesisHH @joerogan I think it's entirely possible that there is a yet unidentified phenomenon that is responsible for some sightings. I very much doubt that phenomenon is a solid object.

2020-09-02T13:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301152742010884099

@PederNeogard @AFSUnidentified @JLuvin @nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire Well, Iâ□□m not on Twitter 24 hours a day.

2020-09-02T04:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1301010542425849856

@tommaw3 @joerogan No.

https://youtu.be/5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-09-02T00:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300955512138153984

@K1975Daniel @joerogan Well, there's no indication that the Gimbal object was seen by the naked eye. It's similar in size to the FLIR1 object at similar magnification, and Underwood said he could not see that.

2020-09-01T23:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300945342918082560

@PaulBurley1977 @JLuvin @nickpopemod @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire What are you referring to? What have I presented as fact that is not fact?

2020-09-01T23:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300943288015286273

@K1975Daniel @joerogan Sufficiently. Several miles at least.

2020-09-01T23:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300942561880674304

@JLuvin @nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire Which pilots? Underwood? Two unknown other pilots?

Fravor wasn't there.

Why would that change things? You don't know what they said.

2020-09-01T23:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300941591352229888

@nickpopemod @PaulBurley1977 @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire How exactly do you know that POTUS, SSCI & SASC have specifically been briefed that the three videos are of any concern?

2020-09-01T23:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300941200774447106

@PaulBurley1977 @nickpopemod @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire Regardless, the study isn't about the three videos specifically.

2020-09-01T23:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300940994444058624

@PaulBurley1977 @nickpopemod @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire No. What made you think that? How could the video of GIMBAL or FLIR1 possibly be a balloon or a seagull?

Now GOFAST is probably a balloon, possible a large bird. But that's not an identification.

It's not even clear if they were in restricted airspace.

2020-09-01T23:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300939375027470337

RT @psychunseen: Here it is, my much anticipated (?) article on:

Blogpost #102: 4 Keys to Help Someone Climb Out of the QAnon Rabbit Holeâ¦

2020-09-01T23:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300938931676930048

@PaulBurley1977 @nickpopemod @marcorubio @KellieMeyerNews @MysteryWire I don't think that studying unidentified airspace incursions is a waste of time.

2020-09-01T23:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300938680572342272

@K1975Daniel @joerogan Sure, it's a UFO. But anything in the sky that's sufficiently far away is a UFO.

2020-09-01T17:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300844032092897282

@Pleyar1 @joerogan Oh, he's smarter than the average Joe. But understanding Gimbal has been a challenge even for pilots and technicians. And it's especially hard if you've already bought into stuff like Lazar, thus making the ET hypothesis seem more attractive.

2020-09-01T17:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300841883250245632

If something needs "mental gymnastics" to understand, then maybe that just means that it's complicated.

Yes, "alien spaceship" SOUNDS simpler than "IR glare with camera relative shape rotating due to gimbal transition roll and derotation mechanism". But is it really, @joerogan?
https://twitter.com/area51org/status/1300832196274593798

2020-09-01T17:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300840867591155713

@UfoFiles2 @ScottCWaring If it was one, yes. But if it was a giant flying craft, I'd describe it as such.

Videoing a genuine UFO would be pretty awesome!

2020-09-01T16:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300828235601567744

@UfoFiles2 @ScottCWaring Are you kidding me? I'd be recording that thing like a mofo!

2020-09-01T16:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300828123823403008

@malasideasjeans Gringo is a word. Latinx is also a word. The issue is not if people LIKE the word, or even who exactly uses it.

2020-09-01T01:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300602507081596928

@ArthurKuntler James said it "isn't a word." It seems more that he simply does not like that it is a word.

2020-09-01T00:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300597161575374849

@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral And this? What does this debunking prove? That anti-antifa memes are sometimes misleading?

2020-09-01T00:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300596704928870400

@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral What is this Rose City link supposed to show me?

2020-08-31T23:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300582537702436864

@Scribe1969 I was explaining what words are to @ConceptualJames (who, I think, knows, he's just being a dick).

2020-08-31T23:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300581809822920704

@ArthurKuntler And yet it's in the Oxford English Dictionary.

2020-08-31T23:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300581554834399232

@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral "Antifa" is not the name of an organization.

People in the US are opposed to the growth of fascism.

2020-08-31T23:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300572609222832129

"Latinx" is a word, I've even used it myself. The usage of a word defines it as a word. New words arise all the time. If they are used, they get into the dictionary. Language curmudgeons don't like progress. https://twitter.com/ConceptualJames/status/1300570434950356993 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgyPcPFVgAANAKG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgyPcPFVgAANAKG.jpg
2020-08-31T17:08:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300480567239008256
@stang289 There are assholes of all ideology, that's not the point.

2020-08-31T16:50:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300476046974701568
@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral One side believes in a bunch of weird conspiracy theories, and the other is opposed to fascism (and are probably generally upset that Biden is the nominee).

2020-08-31T13:42:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300428660923490305
@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral You know the difference Joe.

2020-08-31T13:40:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300428219166801921
@hovebooks Not to me. Historic events with only eyewitness accounts are murky and pointless.

2020-08-31T13:38:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300427678990753792
@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral Because someone bought the domain and linked it to him. Twitter automatically hyperlinks domain names, like http://g-20.in It does not mean anything.

2020-08-31T04:47:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1300294006245437440

@ChiefBigNutsXX Look it up where? Do you happen to remember where you saw that refutation?

2020-08-31T04:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300283715059249152

@stang289 @psychunseen @travis_view @CultExpert @AdrienneLaF @YourAnonCentral Afraid? Q is fake, and it's a problem because lots of people think it's real, and they are taking action based on that belief.

2020-08-31T03:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300278519511969792

@ChiefBigNutsXX That's not really how jet fuel works. It needs a lot of air mixed in to "explode". Estimates are that only half was consumed in the initial fireball.

2020-08-31T00:34:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300230428037533696

@Personanother1 @NIH_LLAMAS They say there is no more footage. So why do you think there is?

2020-08-31T00:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300225944855085056

@Personanother1 @NIH_LLAMAS It was no larp, but certainly did not get past the idea stage.

2020-08-31T00:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300223937838608384

@Personanother1 @NIH_LLAMAS I have a whole chapter on Northwoods.

2020-08-31T00:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300223111099379712

@Personanother1 @NIH_LLAMAS You were specifically asking about the laws of physics, because you thought that "ground effect" would suck the plane out of the sky.
So is your questions answered? You now know that the laws of physics DO allow that, correct?

2020-08-30T23:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300207357088030720

@Personanother1 @NIH_LLAMAS Ground effect does the opposite to what you describe. It's a plane, not a racecar. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgtDeenUYAA77RQ.jpg

---



From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. For fixed-wing aircraft, **ground effect** is the increased lift and decreased aerodynamic drag that an aircraft's wings generate when they are close to a fixed surface. When landing, **ground effect** can give the pilot the feeling that the aircraft is "floating".

en.wikipedia.org › wiki › Ground_effect_(aerodynamics)

Ground effect (aerodynamics) - Wikipedia

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgtDeenUYAA77RQ.jpg

2020-08-30T22:25:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300197915374227456

@Personanother1 No, often people point things out, like with the Skylab thing.

2020-08-30T22:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300196157277184000

@Personanother1 Like once I claimed there was no plane big enough to contain the main body of Skylab, and so no way of faking the zero-g footage. Turns out that was wrong!

2020-08-30T22:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300195734147399680

@Personanother1 Sure! I make mistakes all the time, often I catch them myself.

2020-08-30T22:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300193729836249088

@AdmiralQuality It's mostly mirrored here:

https://www.metabunk.org/mirror/www.debunking911.com/

2020-08-30T21:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300191009653927937

Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth claim the Twin Towers were destroyed by explosives on 9/11. They have recently dumbed down their approach from attempts at science to memes that just say it's "obvious".

So what was the point of AE911Truth?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ri_vlLaCkNM

2020-08-30T15:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300091752506773504

@hovebooks https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3588562/

2020-08-30T14:17:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1300075188088782851
@ChiefBigNutsXX Jet fuel fell down the elevator shaft.

2020-08-30T05:02:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299935603081969664
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Could you show a similar example?

2020-08-30T00:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299866501256589314
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo You ever try rapidly scrubbing the video, the TV portion? It looks like it's a complex shape that is turning.

2020-08-29T23:40:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299854366627700736
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo "Featureless white Tic-Tac"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgoCjKfUYAEOIHG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgoCjKfUYAEOIHG.jpg
2020-08-29T23:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299853942654787584
@Personanother1 @SJR78354712 So you think his opinion became more accurate the less context he has? If he knew the building had been badly damaged by the collapse of WTC1, and had burned unchecked for hours, then he might have made the wrong determination?

Instead, he was shown an excerpt from Loose Change.

2020-08-29T23:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299853166100455425

@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo How could it be, if it does not actually look like it in the video?

2020-08-29T22:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299840115829698560

@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo No, there seems nothing to connect them. Why would it "most likely" be what is one the video. It does not even move (beyond level flight). Nor does it look Tic-Tac shaped.

2020-08-29T21:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299814975582552064

@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo If it is a second hand report (given years later) then that is VERY different to a first-hand report .

2020-08-29T21:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299814752596631552

@Personanother1 @SJR78354712 But he was also convinced that the collapses of the twin towers were NOT controlled demolition.

2020-08-29T21:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299814499784876032

@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Not at all. I've analyzed the video, and I've listened to and discussed everything that Underwood said, and even what Fravor said about the video.

2020-08-29T20:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299805508610613248

@Personanother1 Well no, he was 15 at the start and 20 at the end, so he grew up regardless of anything else.

Lots of people get into conspiracies later in life.

2020-08-29T20:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299805252716130304

@SicCoP1 The NYT one? Yeah, it looks good, but I've not listened to much of it.

2020-08-29T19:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299797410261000192

@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I don't dismiss it.

2020-08-29T19:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299797258414637057
@GrahamWhatley @aka__V @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo It directly address the videos and what we see in them. You can do what you like with it. That's not my call.

2020-08-29T19:49:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299796220303478785
RT @travis_view: The Florida-based nonprofit KidSafe Foundation, which works to fight child sexual abuse and exploitation through preventatâ□¦

2020-08-29T19:47:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299795844003033088
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Who said that?

What was your point?

2020-08-29T19:33:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299792363104079877
@aka__V @GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I'm just typing up the transcript. He didn't want audio released.

2020-08-29T19:30:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299791671584931840
In #TFTRH Episode 46 I talk to Ryan, who became a 9/11 conspiracy theorist aged 15, and spent five years down the rabbit hole before eventually escaping. We chat about how he got in, what happened down there, and the factors leading to his escape.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d9myjAPfB7A

2020-08-29T18:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299772147728920576
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo The same with Accoin. He never saw anything himself. Just recounting what other people told him.

2020-08-29T18:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299770737117421569
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @conorowens94 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Where are you quoting 'steel cubes in beachballs' from? As I remember it it was described as a "cube in a sphere", nothing specific about steel, or size.

Also that was a second hand report. Graves has never seen anything other than distant specks.

2020-08-29T15:00:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299723577973903361
@RT3960 Does he build tall buildings?

2020-08-29T14:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299723370376777731
@SJR78354712 It depends on how nonsensical it is, how widespread, and/or how potentially harmful it is. I make that call all the time.
You should see the stuff that does not make the cut.

2020-08-29T14:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299722723967418369
@therealpmoney Look up pictures of the â□□spireâ□□. The core was last to fall.

2020-08-29T14:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299722327714795521
@drewmacca The same? Have you tried to compare them?

2020-08-29T10:45:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299659320590655489
@drewmacca Which bit?

2020-08-29T10:44:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299659188839223296
@SecretzChannel @kcenicola There is the velocity of the expulsion, and then the subsequent building collapse.

2020-08-29T10:41:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299658328176357377
RT @d_logan33: Like it or not @MickWest was on the Special Access Podcast! This is a great chat about all things #ufos.

We recorded a dayâ□¦

2020-08-28T23:37:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299491341609517056
@kcenicola @SecretzChannel Sure, but partial floors were collapsing inside the building before the global collapse ensued.

2020-08-28T23:04:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299483063785668609
@kcenicola @SecretzChannel Collapsing floors, compressed air is rapidly and violently ejected.

2020-08-28T22:14:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299470536599248897
@CliveH700 Incredulity is not a good argument. The vast majority of the worlds structural engineers, and an even more vast majority of demolition experts understand what is going on in this photo.

AE911Truth are trying very hard here. But not to understand. To advocate.

2020-08-28T21:48:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299463874433835008
@GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11

@RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Supposedly.

I'm just looking at what the video demonstrates, and what people say it demonstrates.

If they are wrong, then I'll explain why they are wrong.

Steel cubes in beachballs are something else.

2020-08-28T21:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299463318462054402

I get that you could think this photo LOOKS like a building exploding. But if you look at the video, nothing goes up. So it's not. It's collapsing, the outer walls fall away from the building. There's lots of dust from crushed concrete and drywall.

It's really not rocket science http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgieSyWVkAA4Ggi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgieSyWVkAA4Ggi.jpg

2020-08-28T21:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299462506990108672

@GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I don't think it's just my opinion. It's the inevitable conclusion that people reach once they understand the evidence for a rotating glare.

2020-08-28T20:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299450155985285121

@GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo But I did not. Unfortunately he preferred not to be identified.

2020-08-28T20:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299449606078566401
@GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo True, but itâ□□s consistent with a Jet doing nothing special.

2020-08-28T20:12:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299439788064301056
@conorowens94 @GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Yeah, Iâ□□m still working on the transcript.

2020-08-28T19:22:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299427256410935296
@paulreilly @andersoncooper @JackTapper @NBCNews @BBCNews @maddow What's to fact check? The USMS has been doing this since 2005, and has helped recover over 1,800 children.
https://www.usmarshals.gov/news/chron/2020/082720.htm

2020-08-28T19:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299425820147097600
@GrahamWhatley @conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I've only talked directly with one technician, he agrees with me because he's seen the effect. I've heard indirectly that other people, including people at Raytheon, also agree, but are unwilling to go on the record because of classification, NDAs, etc.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Egh85CbUMAE-EIJ.jpg

M: What contributes to the glare and the shape of the glare
K: In my experience, it's mostly, and I'm no optical expert, I'm not even an engineer that's designing this stuff, I just worked on them, so I get experience with them. In my experience, I see this same thing, on the flight line... So, I know what the cause is, the cause is the pilots and the crew chiefs wiping off that front glass with their sleeve [laughs]

M: Hmm
K: Now, you made that video today, kind of clarifying the difference between a few things, like glare, and I'm not sure that I have the terminology completely correct. But when I've seen it before [the glass was wiped], I guess you'd still have glare, but much more uniform, like in your flashlight video today. Just a round shape. But then say like with the Gimbal video, when it's got those "wings", the thing that actually turns. "Wings" is tricky to use, because we are talking UFOs here, but you know what I mean.

[06:00]

M: Yeah, like the saucer shape. A long axis and a short axis.
K: Right, saucer shape. That, in my experience, is usually scratches in the, ironic, anti-glare coating that's on the glass of the forward section. Scratches from dust, from crew chiefs and pilots wiping off the dust. In my experience.

M: I was just thinking, I did that video on the different types of glare. Would that anti-glare coating on the front of the pod, that's really to prevent reflection showing up outside the pod? So, like, glinting and things like that [K: Yeah] it would really affect anything inside of the pod - it's not to stop glare getting through, it's to stop reflections on the outside.
K: So "glinting" is a good word. And again I'm no optical expert. All I know is when I clean the forward section glass properly, those "wings", whatever you want to call them, go away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Egh85CbUMAE-EIJ.jpg
2020-08-28T18:36:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299415665389064196

@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I suspect that a longer (180Â°) gimbal roll is more likely to cause loss of tracking than multiple smaller rolls with fine adjustment in between.

The bottom line for the algorithm is to keep the target centered. The 180Â° in FLIR1 did a worse job than the multiple rolls in GIMBAL

2020-08-28T18:16:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299410510190915584
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Sure it could. But again, that's not ideal. It's not like it's a little PTZ webcam. It has those internal gimbaled mirrors for that reason.

Especially when it's doing autotrack in NAR. Jitter is an issue.

2020-08-28T18:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299410030719045635
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Rolling the pod is not desirable, because it's a coarse, heavy, mechanical movement, which will interfere with fine tracking. It's rotating a 20lb pod, vs some 0.1oz mirrors. So they use the mirrors as much as possible, then azimuth (ball) rotation. Roll is last resort.

2020-08-28T18:01:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299406648176513025
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Two Gimbal rolls seen in the much older FLIR1 footage. Nearly does not regain tracking.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299406484065759233/pu/img/LlGH7aCrvzfm8D3n.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299406484065759233/pu/img/LlGH7aCrvzfm8D3n.jpg
2020-08-28T18:00:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299406403203784704
@conorowens94 @Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Check out this post: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/post-240744 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EghrLabUYAAzxlM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EghrLabUYAAzxlM.jpg
2020-08-28T15:45:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299372552679092224
@Oswald1160 @GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Here's the FLIR1 rotations.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299372484186140674/pu/img/W98T4E0Z8N04vtm3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299372484186140674/pu/img/W98T4E0Z8N04vtm3.jpg
2020-08-28T15:25:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299367557481209856
@dfsfishsticks I don't see any great arguments that it's not Starlink.

2020-08-28T06:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299229452333756416
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo In congress? Who knows what they were asked, or what they said.

2020-08-28T06:12:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299228298283642881
@GrahamWhatley @Oswald1160 @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Who testified to congress? About what exactly? What were they asked? What did they say.

You can't jump from not knowing anything about what happened at Congress to " It's obvious that they saw this tic tac."

2020-08-28T06:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299227437067239424
@Berserk97981716 @knightsabers01 It's a great first step!

2020-08-28T06:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299226162653085696

@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Have you not seen any of my Gimbal videos?

2020-08-28T06:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299225813020102658

@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo But people are claiming things ABOUT THE VIDEO. i.e., people are claiming that the video shows a craft that rotates.

I'm demonstrating it's a glare, and the rotation is from the camera.

That's just regarding claims ABOUT THE VIDEO. Nothing else.

2020-08-28T05:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299224388177330176

@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo What does that have to do with Gimbal?

2020-08-28T05:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299223815986139136

@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo I'm saying you don't need their expertise. It's not that complicated.

2020-08-28T05:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299223611731972097

Anti-Illuminati conspiracist Mark Dice (who blocked me) says Lin Wood will defend Kyle Rittenhouse.

Lin Wood's profile has a QAnon hashtag.

What's going on? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgfD4XjUYAA6-aP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgfD4XjUYAA6-aP.jpg



Mark Dice ✓
@MarkDice

Lin Wood is going to be defending Kyle Rittenhouse. This is HUGE. He's Nick Sandman's lawyer.

> **Lin Wood** @LLinWood · 11h
> (1) Thanks to ALL Freedom Loving Americans who responded to requests for contact information on Kyle Rittenhouse. We have connected with Kyle's family & help is on the way. Kyle will have excellent legal representation. We owe him a legal defense.
> Show this thread

1:10 PM · Aug 27, 2020 · Twitter for iPhone

1K Retweets and comments **3.5K** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgfDrVxVkAIj7Ga.jpg
2020-08-28T05:46:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299221868264660993
@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo You seem to have not read my tweet.

Why do you feel you have to "trust" someone? It's not that complicated, you can figure it out yourself with a bit of work.

Cut through this argument-from-authority BS, and see things directly.

2020-08-28T05:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299218891185377281

@GrahamWhatley @Personanother1 @UfoJoe11 @RodrigoLVieira1 @LueElizondo Why do you feel you have to "trust" someone? It's not that complicated, you can figure it out yourself with a bit of work.

Cut through this argument-from-authority BS, and see things directly.

2020-08-28T04:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299202968890138624

RT @psychunseen: #QAnon is a hoax intended to make $$$ & promote Trump.

All too often there's a real conspiracy theory behind the outlandâ¦

2020-08-28T00:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299137544026648576

@GUFONRadio So what about my point regarding the rotation of the "Gimbal" object, that it seems to be a rotating glare on a jet engine. Is that not a valid point?

2020-08-27T21:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299093550508826624

@aka__V @IAmRobAherne I've not really looked into it. I'm not too into historical stuff, except for 9/11.

2020-08-27T21:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299093317855055872

@SimpleComplex8 Oh I don't know, the four color map theorem was quite relevant before it was proven true in 1976. Models and theorems can be useful even if we don't know the absolute truth about them.

2020-08-27T20:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299087662058319872

@SciencePundit @edwardcurrent Not entirely true. You can still learn many things, even if your original point was correct.

2020-08-27T20:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299087193831403521

@SimpleComplex8 Itâ□□s just a hypothetical deep layer of physics. Letâ□□s just keep digging, maybe we shall see. Fun to think about, but currently irrelevant.

2020-08-27T19:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299060721829859330

@knightsabers01 Mostly misidentifications, has been my experience.

However, like any good skeptic/debunker/scientist, I would be easily swayed by some good quality evidence.

2020-08-27T19:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299060017849495552

@SJR78354712 Not really. Skeptics basic thing is they (we) ask for good evidence before accepting claims.

There's plenty of self-described skeptics who do what I would call "debunking." They prefer terms like "investigating".

I expound upon this here:
https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/in-defense-of-debunkers/

2020-08-27T18:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299050806600114177

@jorgecandeias It's a description of a conspiracy theory.

I'm basically just referring to topics about which there are conspiracies in the diagram, using short labels in many cases because people are familiar what the supposed conspiracies are.

2020-08-27T18:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299049959027662849

I'm a skeptic. But I use "debunker" because you can use "debunk" as a verb. I do stuff, I investigate, I explain, I experiment I demonstrate.

You can debunk stuff, you can't skeptic stuff.
https://twitter.com/knightsabers01/status/1299017633497522176

2020-08-27T18:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299048988251795456

@jorgecandeias I think maybe "Global Warming Hoax" would be better, as it speaks to the need for a conspiracy. Denialism might just be "all those scientists made a mistake"

2020-08-27T18:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299048704981098498

@IAmRobAherne Well, I put them all starting between 0 and 1. Pretty low. Because there are some quite plausible versions of all of them.

Big pharma pretty much starts at 0.

2020-08-27T18:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299048322137649153

@Personanother1 @Aquila41631314 Look at the diagram. There's very little overlap.

The world is not binary.

2020-08-27T18:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299048109251551232

@Personanother1 @Aquila41631314 The judgement of how "extreme" something is will also vary by individuals. But there's a general understanding of how deviant from mainstream science and history a theory is.

Flat Earthers might think their beliefs are reasonable, but recognize they are perceived as extreme.

2020-08-27T18:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299047467200077825

@jorgecandeias The idea that it is a hoax is a conspiracy theory. Sorry that's a bit misleading in the diagram. It's really "conspiracy theories about global warming/9-11/JFK, etc."

I guess I should have field tested that a bit more.

2020-08-27T18:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299046850327060482

@Personanother1 They are not equal at all, there's just some overlap - like the people who think the planes were holograms are pretty much as extreme as Flat Earthers.

But there's also a huge range where the two spectrums do NOT overlap.

2020-08-27T18:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299046324810047488

@IAmRobAherne Lower than 1.3? That's pretty low.

2020-08-27T18:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299046100909711361

@Personanother1 @Aquila41631314 There are many subjective scales that are in common use, and people find useful. Like the pain index scale.

But the subjectivity here is part of the point. Different people have different perspectives on what is "extreme" or just "bullshit". Where do you draw the line?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgcjSZMUwAExWjb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgcjSZMUwAExWjb.jpg

2020-08-27T18:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299045324841840640

@IAmRobAherne Extremeness, as in deviation from normality. It's a subjective scale, like the pain index scale.

2020-08-27T17:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299029347756462081

@Aquila41631314 "Extreme" as in diverging from history, science, and plausibility. Not "extremists" in the political activist sense.

It's a subjective scale from least to most, like the 1-10 pain index your doctor asks you about.

2020-08-27T16:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299028799984504834

@SocialistFT @BlaireJulia Sure, that's why it starts at zero. But there are more extreme versions, like covering up cancer cures.

2020-08-27T16:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299028493968105472

@SarahOttati It's pretty much full-spectrum.

2020-08-27T16:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299016959279783936

@realufobros Sure!
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Mick-West-audiobook/dp/B07H3DC517/

2020-08-27T16:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299016802815467521

It's also been modified to reflect the more locally popular theories, like MH370, which messes it up a little, as they mostly overlap to some degree. Here's the original.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgcIy26UcAAck3k.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgcIy26UcAAck3k.png
2020-08-27T16:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1299014488457240576
My conspiracy theory spectrum, with animated line of demarcation. Translated into Malay to help Flat Earthers in Indonesia!

https://bumidatar.id/spektrum-konspirasi
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299013896355721216/pu/img/MHr8MYLdnPURk5t0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1299013896355721216/pu/img/MHr8MYLdnPURk5t0.jpg

2020-08-27T15:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1299012885121622018

FlightRadar24 debunks a rumor that the wealthy fled to Sweden to avoid lockdowns in their own countries. https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/1299012101231517696

2020-08-27T14:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298998296065662989

When people are suspicious of you as a source (and somebody inevitably will be), then the best way to present analysis is to show all the data and data sources so that people can verify or even recreate that analysis. A great example here from Christiaan Triebert and team.

https://twitter.com/trbrtc/status/1298844152549998592

2020-08-27T14:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298990350929817607

@alo_pumpa In general, I think Bob Lazar is a fabulist who half believes himself.

2020-08-27T05:06:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298849420516057089

@jongtmustang So if I'd done the same thing with some linearly mircroscribed germanium

windows you'd be fine with it?

2020-08-27T02:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298805436997484551

@jongtmustang I'm explaining one aspect of one video. That's all. Testimony and radar data has NOTHING to do with this apparent rotation.

2020-08-26T22:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298751189027233793

@Joeker87 The Pentagon said no such thing.

2020-08-26T22:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298751061985914882

@James57136915 "Mass hysteria" is a bit much, but a general heightened awareness that makes you interpret certain events differently.

Underwood said if it was not for the other stuff, then he would have interpreted his radar issue to weather.

But he was primed for UFOs.

2020-08-26T22:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298748534364110853

@Joeker87 I don't think they are fake. Just misinterpreted by TTSA, the media, and most of the general public.

2020-08-26T22:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298747347569016833

@James57136915 Maybe it's not that common? Maybe they only had a few tens of hours experience with ATFLIR tracking planes in NAR mode. Maybe it needs the front window to be not entirely clean?

2020-08-26T22:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298743455271641089

@BeachBumBob1 @Bhima_Jenkins Does my video look like it's showing a bird to you?
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgYQQkzU4AAofiy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgYQQkzU4AAofiy.jpg
2020-08-26T21:49:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298739389430763524
@Bhima_Jenkins @PstarmanUa @uelbus The actual shape of the radiation source object is a small perfect circle. It's the sun.

2020-08-26T20:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298717773485367298
@JChurchRadio @TuckerCarlson Check out this one I made this morning.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298717704811995138/pu/img/YLZ8MggeAwmtdSTN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298717704811995138/pu/img/YLZ8MggeAwmtdSTN.jpg
2020-08-26T20:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298717417498058753
@Oswald1160 @SuperVFunCat1 And it likely has a descriptive name because they use it to show to pilots in training what such a thing looks like. i.e it's an educational video.

2020-08-26T20:20:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298716968388718597
@Deardenpad @ufouapam @Audioboom @JeremyCorbell I dipped into it, but it seemed like they were covering old ground. Was there new information?

2020-08-26T19:32:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298704797231505408
@IBKING46 Of course. As soon as any amazing UFO video is explained it immediately becomes irrelevant.

Never mind that these videos have been the primary reason anyone has been interested for the last two years. Now they are "just noise"

2020-08-26T19:30:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298704426958372864
@tommyIzito @aka__V @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX There is no pilot testimony with the Gimbal video. There's just the video. I'm just addressing the claims that the video is evidence of a rotating object.

2020-08-26T19:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298704079930060800
@SuperVFunCat1 None of the testimony pertains to them seeing the object in this video actually rotate.

So demonstrating that it does not rotate does not invalidate ANY of their testimony.

2020-08-26T18:35:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298690469807808512
@ufouapam @alexxdesignn The ATFLIR rotates some stuff.

See that window in front, if it's rotated relative to the horizon, and streaks on the window shape the glare, then the glare will rotate relative to the horizon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgXfz-mUMAEla1R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgXfz-mUMAEla1R.jpg

2020-08-26T18:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298689383768289282

@SuperVFunCat1 And this video is nothing at all to do with Fravor's encounter.

2020-08-26T18:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298689222405001217

@SuperVFunCat1 I think there are similarities.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgXe9TMUMAAHjtM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgXe9TMUMAAHjtM.jpg
2020-08-26T18:26:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298688247283904512
@ufouapam @alexxdesignn What are they showing?

2020-08-26T17:02:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298667227407724544
@JLuvin @TenoriThrowaway @PstarmanUa It's just WHT IR, white is hot. It's the same image with a different curve.

2020-08-26T17:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298667016480362496
@a51s1 @UFOApollo The hypothesis is that the camera was facing another plane with jet engines. Fairly normal, and only bright in infrared, so no blinding needed.

Also I don't think the pilots were "spoofing" anyone, they just did not immediately recognize what they were seeing.

2020-08-26T16:53:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298664858196434946
@JLuvin @TenoriThrowaway @PstarmanUa What is this "an accurate outline of the vehicle before which does approximate the glare" to which you refer?

2020-08-26T16:42:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298662126362357761
@TenoriThrowaway @JLuvin @PstarmanUa Yeah, because it's handheld.

2020-08-26T16:36:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298660552936271876
@aka__V @PstarmanUa Here's the setting I use. But will vary with resolution.
Other than derotation and inverting, no other processes were applied to the raw FLIR footage.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgXEtw_U8AAYAHU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgXEtw_U8AAYAHU.jpg

2020-08-26T16:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298659780093833222

@aka__V @PstarmanUa

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298659741669838849/pu/img/mZzBY9jmpwhVrVXe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298659741669838849/pu/img/mZzBY9jmpwhVrVXe.jpg

2020-08-26T16:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298657205105782784

@aka__V @PstarmanUa Sure, but first I'm showing people something that looks like the Gimbal

video. So they see the similarities, then you can show both in white hot to explain how it got that way.

2020-08-26T16:21:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298656904231571456

@JLuvin @PstarmanUa And if the cover rotates relative to the camera, you get the same effect, but derotation not needed.

Also..... a rotating pattern of light and dark in the sky that rotates with the glare.

By George, I think we've got it!

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298656115614343168/pu/img/jotW2J_KFDrgp7OG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298656115614343168/pu/img/jotW2J_KFDrgp7OG.jpg

2020-08-26T16:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298655172428615680

@JLuvin @PstarmanUa And of course you can also make the glare rotate just by rotating the cover, with no derotation. It's all relative.

2020-08-26T16:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298654499515404289

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX It was literally the first time I tried it with a cover.

Also, this tallies with the tech I talked to who said the glare shape was from streaks on the front window.

2020-08-26T16:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298654144060731392

@PstarmanUa I'll probably do a video, but I'm still experimenting.

Camera, as noted is a FLIR ONE, Gen2.
The "Streaky Cover" is a bit of plastic from a Ziploc bag.
Post processing was just inverting the image to Black Hot (BLK), and derotating. Here is the original raw footage.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298654040180453376/pu/img/0sil-En8s0FzsuBB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298654040180453376/pu/img/0sil-En8s0FzsuBB.jpg
2020-08-26T15:51:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298649209290203136
@PstarmanUa @uelbus Here's the video version, which is a bit cleaner. I posted the GIF first to get people's attention.

Frames don't line up exactly because of variable frame rate in the FLIR.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298648904112586754/pu/img/hfFaXNQEws9b2DOK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298648904112586754/pu/img/hfFaXNQEws9b2DOK.jpg
2020-08-26T15:49:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298648723145150464
@DV_Gordon @MotherJones How many kids are abducted by strangers and stay missing, every day?

2020-08-26T15:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298647551017590784
@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX How's this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298647502980186112/pu/img/W0mQbmTSaeze9KSo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298647502980186112/pu/img/W0mQbmTSaeze9KSo.jpg
2020-08-26T15:43:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298647383824191488
Recreating the GIMBAL UFO video with a thermal camera. The glare is around a hot object (the

sun). The shape comes from a streaky cover in front. Rotating the camera rotates the scene, but NOT the glare.
Derotation to correct orientation makes the glare look like it rotates.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgW4dfyUcAAM8Gy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgW4dfyUcAAM8Gy.jpg
2020-08-26T04:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298482072701427712
@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Deal! And likewise if Iâ□□m wrong. Although my kickflip instruction will be virtual.

2020-08-26T04:21:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298475573304414208
@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX I donâ□□t think so.

2020-08-26T02:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298448025363165184
@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX I maintain that Dave simply does not understand. That continues after heâ□□s declared game over. Sorry Dave. I donâ□□t agree to disagree on science

---

2020-08-26T01:51:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298437878687571968
@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX What if something else rotates the glare shape first?

2020-08-26T01:39:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298434823057367040
@edwardcurrent @conorowens94 @Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 It does. A full finger swipe usually creates a longer streak. But just touching the cover (of an iPhone) will change the shape of the glare.

2020-08-26T00:18:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298414480959799297

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX No, the fine tracking is done with the internal heliostat mirrors. It does not need to do the major (coarse) roll constantly, just when a major correction is needed.

2020-08-26T00:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298413316553863169

@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX When you rotate a camera, does not the orientation of the glare(s) rotate relative to the horizon?

2020-08-26T00:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298411558880477184

@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Yeah, I usually disable the "EO" (actually edge detection from a visible light image)

2020-08-26T00:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298411289073442816

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX The camera traverses 0Â°, so around there it needs to do gimbal rolls to continue tracking. This rotates the horizon, but not the glare.

The derotation corrects this, leading to the apparent motion of the glare.

2020-08-25T23:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298408565510533120

@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298408547240120320/pu/img/CEBgQw3Y45fZEuHZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298408547240120320/pu/img/CEBgQw3Y45fZEuHZ.jpg
2020-08-25T23:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298408259687071745
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX I've only got a FLIR1 gen2. I used it to demonstrate glare back with the Chilean Navy UFO. Note the glare is larger than the flame, and gets relatively larger at longer distances (due to exposure)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTfOreVAAANNwZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTfOreVAAANNwZ.jpg
2020-08-25T23:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298400130266144768
@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX What about the actual glares in question, around jet engines? You have multiple videos of these glares. I mean, you see them, don't you?

2020-08-25T23:20:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298399785938849792
@emmathepony Either:

A) It would take a long time (but with time dilation, no too long for the travellers)
B) They figured out a way.

2020-08-25T23:18:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298399410502512648
@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Why would the operator want wild random rotations of the horizon?

2020-08-25T23:17:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298399235029602304
@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX I did not say you could. How is that even relevant? I'm saying that those optical effects apply to both IR radiation and visible radiation, just with different values.

2020-08-25T23:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298398889687388161

@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX But you are conflating veiling glare with the local glare around the object, as seen at the start of your last video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTW5_0U0AEJBSY.jpg



Is the Gimbal UFO just jet glare?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTW5_0U0AEJBSY.jpg

2020-08-25T23:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298398133760614401

@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Derotation is an automatic function in ATFLIR to remove unwanted rotations from the roll gimbal. Turning it off would be a malfunction. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTV609VAAIe4WI.jpg



As discussed above, the roll gimbal rotates around the roll axis and therefore, the on-gimbal components of the sensor system **100** rotate around the roll axis relative to the body-mounted components. The roll axis is parallel to the beam of electromagnetic radiation **104** output by the afocal foreoptics **200** or parallel to the beam of electromagnetic radiation reflected by the WFOV insert mirror **125** (in the WFOV configuration). Due to this rotation around the roll axis, the image from the far field object or scene is also rotated. In order to correct for the rotation of the image, the derotation device **130** is configured to counter-rotate so that the image output by the derotation device is in the same direction independent of the rotation of roll gimbal or the coelostat mirror **110**. In one embodiment, the derotation device **130** is an optical prism. In other embodiments, the derotation device **130** may include reflective optical elements (e.g., mirrors) and can be, for example, an all-reflective derotation device. However, as it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of derotation devices can also be used. Furthermore, the derotation device **130** can be omitted, and the derotation function may be accomplished electronically or through image data processing. In other embodi-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgTV609VAAIe4WI.jpg

2020-08-25T23:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298397244593336321

@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Infrared radiation is electromagnetic radiation just like visible light is.

It has some different properties, but it still follows the laws of optics: it can be: reflected, refracted, diffracted, transmitted, absorbed, scattered, and emitted. Just with different numbers.

2020-08-25T23:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298396152799191040

@JLuvin Not really. Drone fits, no really compelling need to prove it's not a drone. There is nothing unusual that needs explaining.

2020-08-25T23:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298395848959619072

@DaveFalch @tommyIzito @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX Dero is not there to "level the horizon", Does the horizon look level to you? It's to keep the horizon in the display parallel to

the actual horizon

2020-08-25T23:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298395321370726402

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX No, because the horizon display conforms to the actual horizon. The derotation is to remove the unwanted rotation caused by the gimbal system.

2020-08-25T23:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298394908785389568

@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX It does resemble them.

And are you saying the mirror optics are somehow immune to glare? That they are optically perfect and don't spread light at all? And, further, that ATFLIR NFOV has zero lenses before the derotator?

2020-08-25T22:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298391472455077888

@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX EXACTLY. THAT'S THE POINT!!!

The derotation mechanism rotates the entire image. Correct. That is what it is designed to do.

It rotates an image in which the horizon has ALREADY rotated, but the glare has not.

End result, horizon does not seem to rotate, but the glare does.

2020-08-25T22:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298390570730057728

@HarveyGMcDonald @All4LightBearer @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord Just SAYING an argument is irrelevant does not make it so.

Explain to me, in your own words, why my arguments are irrelevant, as you currently understand it.

2020-08-25T22:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298384518051586049

@HarveyGMcDonald @All4LightBearer @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord Somewhat, but he was answering the wrong questions. Do you not understand that?

2020-08-25T22:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298384094233911298

@JLuvin Lit.

2020-08-25T22:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298384047488475137

@JLuvin Compare with the people below. It's consistent with a light on a small drone at that distance. Mavic Mini or suchlike. Could be bigger.

2020-08-25T22:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298383538736136192

@HarveyGMcDonald @All4LightBearer @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord I'm using "appeal to verifiable facts"

2020-08-25T21:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298375618812207106

@edwardcurrent @conorowens94 @Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 It's not in the sky. It rotates independently of the sky. It's in the camera.

2020-08-25T21:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298375539913134082

@conorowens94 @Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 I suspect it's, essentially, veiling glare.

2020-08-25T21:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298374782765826048

@DaveFalch @JChurchRadio @ChiefBigNutsXX How is "reflection opposed to refraction" relevant here?

2020-08-25T21:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298368151982206977

@aka__V @Bhima_Jenkins @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 This night vision just looks like standard image intensification. Essentially a really sensitive exposure. Like as sensitive as a 10 second exposure, but crammed into 1/30th of a second. So it greatly magnifies the local glares.

2020-08-25T21:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298366851810959360

@AFSUnidentified @JChurchRadio I'm a broad spectrum communicator. :) I'm given a university talk in a month.

2020-08-25T20:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298351834508099585

@erinbiba But "eat" by accident.

2020-08-25T19:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298347726409629696

@Bhima_Jenkins @edwardcurrent @aka__V @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 You didn't explain it. Your explanation did not seem to be something that would work in reality.

Maybe you should experiment with a functionally similar setup using visible light.

2020-08-25T19:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298347387308478466

@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 "rotate the aberrations?" Sounds like you are talking about rotating the lens / camera.

2020-08-25T19:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298347169942913024
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 But rotating a flashlight does not rotate a pattern of light in an image.

2020-08-25T19:26:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298341034083024897
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 Huh? I think you might want to draw a diagram for that one.

2020-08-25T18:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298333590363635712
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 By glare here, what is being described is the area of light (or IR radiation) around a very bright light source. Here's an explainer:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2020-08-25T18:43:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298330196529954816
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 No. It's not consistent at all. It changes shape, and it has a variety of glare spikes at different times. It's consistent with a variable intensity glare.

2020-08-25T18:42:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298329802881941505
@buffcommacu @foiaboi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 Science and research is not "a video game idea."

But are you saying they are just making entertainment because they need the money?

2020-08-25T18:39:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298329278384189440
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 Falch's videos warp because he's playing with the focus, or the jets are close.

2020-08-25T18:38:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298328963517882368
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 The glare is black. The white glow is a sharpening artifact.

2020-08-25T18:30:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298326986146721793
@SicCoP1 @foiaboi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 So what is this AI supposed to look at?

2020-08-25T18:30:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298326827035844608
@foiaboi @SicCoP1 @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I'm pretty sure that CRADA is just about using TruClear global's tech for active camouflage, and the material stuff is just pork.
But regardless, nothing is happening.

2020-08-25T18:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298326151891283968

@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgSUt9dUMAItHTQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EgSUt9dUMAItHTQ.jpg

2020-08-25T18:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298325995355701248

@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 The slight light and dark bands that streak across the entire video image, and rotate with the black shape in the middle, but not the horizon.

Play it a few times looking in the upper left corner.

2020-08-25T18:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298325120616808449

@SicCoP1 @foiaboi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 It does not take long at all to share the videos they have gathered. Why don't they publish all the videos of UAP they have?

2020-08-25T18:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298324724238278656
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 And why does the pattern of light and dark across the view field rotate with the glare, but not with the horizon?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298324686493773824/pu/img/gf2kswQUWhMXRwoL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298324686493773824/pu/img/gf2kswQUWhMXRwoL.jpg
2020-08-25T18:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298324306007531520
@jonnyindy67 @JChurchRadio It Illustrates the principle, not the circumstances. Shows that:
A) a glare can be shaped like a classic "flying saucer"
B) it can obscure what is making it
C) (not shown, but Jimmy did it) it can rotate relative to the scene when the camera rotates.

2020-08-25T18:17:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298323642342727680
@foiaboi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 The Pentagon has a long history of interacting with the entertainment industry.
http://america.aljazeera.com/articles/2014/7/29/hollywood-and-thepentagonarelationshipofmutualexploitation.html

---

2020-08-25T18:15:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298323203685625856
@TheKecksburgKid @JChurchRadio No hate here!!

2020-08-25T18:15:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298323016766521344
@Bhima_Jenkins @aka__V @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 What's the difference between "black glare" and "heat sig"

Both are simply what a hot object looks like in IR.

And the plane is not banking. The glare is rotating because of the gimbal roll of the camera.

2020-08-25T18:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298321955695730689

@foiaboi @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 What happened to the research, science, and technology divisions? Seem like they leapt straight to #3 - communication via storytelling.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgSQrFAU0AAgFhG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgSQrFAU0AAgFhG.jpg

2020-08-25T17:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298307035386089473

@RodrigoLVieira1 It was filmed last friday. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jHZn29dqy-I

2020-08-25T16:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298297657861914625

@ChiefBigNutsXX @JChurchRadio Straw man? I'm just explaining what the video seem to show. I'm not debunking the eyewitness accounts of different things - those might be advanced technology or aliens. Just the videos themselves don't show this.

People claim they DO show that, so that's what I'm addressing.

2020-08-25T16:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298296993597407232

@ToNeuvoser @skullanbone It's more a hobby. I'm retired, and nobody pays me to do this.

2020-08-25T16:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298291500451655680

@JChurchRadio I had a great time, thanks!

2020-08-25T16:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298289867198091264

@emmathepony @WilsonSeagull Yeah, they do that to get the "space elevator" effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298289821320806400/pu/img/3zGlHrjq5XJV2GZ4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298289821320806400/pu/img/3zGlHrjq5XJV2GZ4.jpg
2020-08-25T15:51:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298286836721180674
@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer Of course not. However the video shows something black, so you can't say the video SHOWS a white object. You don't actually know what color it is. Or what shape it is (although tic-tac seems impossible, based on the video) It could be grey. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRw5ANVAAAazHA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRw5ANVAAAazHA.jpg
2020-08-25T15:48:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298286166303637504
@Dannyma55083668 http://www.camsecure.co.uk/hayle_webcam.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRwYhnU8AEWGx-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRwYhnU8AEWGx-.jpg

2020-08-25T15:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298282499374604290

@Oswald1160 @SteveCLitch @michaelshermer This plane is white, but for some reason it looks black in this image! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRs9VMVAAAKVx7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRs9VMVAAAKVx7.jpg

2020-08-25T15:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298279767360147458

@uelbus A light should not be considered to be "in the distance", because it might not be.

When some starts moving with impossible moves then "small" suddenly makes a lot more sense than "far away".

2020-08-25T15:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298279279357128704

@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer And here's the US Navy link to the same video, slightly worse quality.

https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/sites/g/files/jejdrs566/files/2020-04/1%20-%20FLIR.mp4

It looks black.

2020-08-25T15:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298278971117838337

@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer Yeah, IR video. It says IR at the top. Here's the actual file. Watch it full screen. Look a the TV/IR indicator.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/f4-2007.mpg

2020-08-25T15:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298278226469429252

That St. Ives video looks like a drone to me, just flying over those people on the beach, taking video of the sunset.

Of course it COULD be a giant hypersonic craft performing physics-defying maneuvers 20 miles away. That's certainly more fun.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298277559860203522/pu/img/frY_popQoQqwS7fI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1298277559860203522/pu/img/frY_popQoQqwS7fI.jpg

2020-08-25T14:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298273799230562304

@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer The pilots who say they saw it did not take video.

The pilot how took video says he did not see it (except on screen)

2020-08-25T14:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298272467903889416

@JChurchRadio Kind of looks like a flying saucer in BLK hot mode....

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRj6PKUwAUjpyB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRj6PKUwAUjpyB.jpg

2020-08-25T14:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298271609992560644

@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer Look at the top of the screen. The "TV" indicates it's in visible light, ordinary video. "IR" indicates IR.

It shows up black in visible light. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRi64iUEAANcih.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRiyg7UcBEcVH7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRi64iUEAANcih.jpg

2020-08-25T14:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298270148130779136

I had a great time on @JChurchRadio last night, discussing the Navy UFO videos. We even performed some experiments to illustrate the principles behind the Gimbal glare and rotation. Luckily Jimmy is a flashlight fan and always has one handy.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mWEBSrSbYbI&feature=youtu.be&t=5885

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRgnUDU8AMXLJ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRgnUDU8AMXLJ1.jpg

2020-08-25T13:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298256828082475016

@ReverendRude @Oswald1160 @aka__V @6ebquj5 @michaelshermer It's looked impressive in the video, which is what they were looking, on a small screen, for 90 seconds.

2020-08-25T13:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298256259989164035

@TheOutThere2016 Well it looks exactly like a drone, directly above those people.

2020-08-25T13:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298256061388873729

@SteveCLitch @Oswald1160 @michaelshermer He's talking about the differences between the video, the eyewitness accounts, and the radar accounts.

This does not look at all like a white tic-tic http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRU5m8VoAI8WWN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgRU5m8VoAI8WWN.jpg

2020-08-25T13:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298250817774837760

@Grendel38389266 @LtTimMcMillan But some of the UFO folk I talk to ARE 9/11 Truther. Only a few, but I find it interesting.

2020-08-25T13:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298250155880136705

@ChiefBigNutsXX @All4LightBearer @HarveyGMcDonald @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord @DaveFalch Iâ□□m pointing things out you can check for yourself.

2020-08-25T13:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298249863637819394

@ekulrelis @uelbus @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 The sky is colder.

2020-08-25T06:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298141702855651328

@LtTimMcMillan No.

2020-08-25T06:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298141308746264578

@LtTimMcMillan I defined in them tweet your (1) was a reply to. People who think the gov is covering up something about UFOs.

2020-08-25T06:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298140988276277249

@LtTimMcMillan It's not about the limits of membership. It's about the overlap.

There are some people who think that the government is covering up knowledge of UFOs, and who are also 9/11 Truthers.

2020-08-25T06:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298139789313470464

@LtTimMcMillan You're just talking semantics now. I've spoken at a Flat Earth conference. I'm not part of the community.

2020-08-25T06:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298139512090968064

@LtTimMcMillan Various kinds of people who believe in various kinds of UFO cover-ups

Maybe "disclosure community" would be better?

2020-08-25T06:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298138169150304257

@Watcher67820714 @LtTimMcMillan It was to start a conversation about how much overlap is there between the UFO community and the 9/11 "Truth" community, because it's something I'm interested in.

2020-08-25T05:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298134350832078848

@Watcher67820714 @LtTimMcMillan It has nothing at all to do with debunking UFOs.

2020-08-25T05:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298126679169044482

@Fractal_Stuff @AE911Truth Which one do you think is the best example?

2020-08-25T05:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298126384762519552

@Timspeer8 @Kain63851033 THPS.

2020-08-25T04:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298122680151887873

@Timspeer8 @Kain63851033 Rich enough to retire.

2020-08-25T04:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298120694325104640

@Timspeer8 @Kain63851033 I'm retired.

2020-08-25T04:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298116397294264325

@MarkTorana @JChurchRadio Probably a balloon. But it is actually unidentified, and flying.

2020-08-25T04:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298116164405477377

@TheTicTockMan @MarkTorana @JChurchRadio Check out my explanation of that.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-25T04:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298115945211166720

@jto101680 I've mostly been talking about UFOs for the last couple of weeks, and 9/11 seemed like the closest overlap.

2020-08-25T04:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298115523490717696

@9Huskers I did, and made a response video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-25T01:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298067978957684737

@Fractal_Stuff @AE911Truth There's a spectrum of the "fake planes" theories, and some people at AE911 are certainly on it. At the bottom end it's simply that the hijackers could not have flown the planes. I talked to Tony Szamboti, and he seemed convinced the planes were flown by radio beacons.

2020-08-24T23:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298038764141334528

@Bhima_Jenkins @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 Except that there ARE things people claim about the videos that are incorrect. Demonstrably so. I demonstrate it.

2020-08-24T23:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298038568707751938

@ReverendRude @Oswald1160 @aka__V @6ebquj5 @michaelshermer By simply being something odd looking they had not seen before.

2020-08-24T23:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298038065630334977

@HarveyGMcDonald @Boner69XX @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord Thanks!

2020-08-24T23:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298037834289278976

@Bhima_Jenkins @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 It really boils down to: there are things people claim about the videos that are incorrect.

2020-08-24T23:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298037501769035777

@HarveyGMcDonald I'd imagine it's similar to any demographically similar cross-section of the general population. Varies by platform though.

2020-08-24T23:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298034201011208193

@Bhima_Jenkins @edwardcurrent @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 That sounds useful. Do you have a couple of examples of a public DoD report of an object "not totally identified" seen by military pilots?

2020-08-24T23:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1298033695094276096

@SimpleComplex8 @LtTimMcMillan @HarveyGMcDonald I have "debunked" neither. You can't really debunk a broad topic. I've debunked a variety of claims of evidence in each topic. But you can't prove 9/11 wasn't an inside job, or that UFOs are all ordinary. 9/11 would be easier. Proving global negatives is impossible.

2020-08-24T23:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298032839858909185
@Alien_Scientist I see zero evidence that they are deliberate disinfo. They just seem confused.

2020-08-24T22:59:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298032196771438592
@LtTimMcMillan Comparing two things is not equivalent to saying they are the same. What I was asking was about the overlap in THE COMMUNITY - i.e. people who believe both in some kind of UFO cover-up, and some kind of 9/11 cover-up. Obviously those people exist. Some spoke up.

2020-08-24T22:55:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1298031222929539072
@Fractal_Stuff @AE911Truth AE911 is not the entire 9/11 Movement. I showed how AE911 think of the plane impacts as a cover, a "casual ruse".

Different people in the 9/11 community think that no plane hit the Pentagon.

A small number of people think that fake planes hit the WTC.

2020-08-24T20:06:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297988643986919425
@IvanHeadless I retired.

2020-08-24T18:27:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297963640025313280
The same misconception about long lasting contrails being "chemtrails" has been repeated over OVER 20 YEARS now. Some young chemtrailers may have heard it as kids.
Luckily we have 70 years of books on clouds to explain it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00
https://twitter.com/Gumby75250024/status/1297962785264095232

2020-08-24T18:11:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297959848152199168
@Outlander_Black I think Trump heard "monkey" and was reacting to that.

I think people will say this is "debunked" because it was actually "Spygate". But the relevant thing here is what Trump thought he heard.

2020-08-24T18:10:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297959467875577856
@WilsonSeagull @ChristopherKMe4 @LueElizondo That would be great, but perhaps they could also get someone to address the losses of tracking that are visible in the video due to camera movement. And the fact that the last lock loss, LIKE THE OTHERS correlates with camera movement.

i.e., actually analyze the video.

2020-08-24T18:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297958791887990784

@Backarray And I think Trump is reacting to "Monkey"

2020-08-24T18:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297958039597641729

@Backarray Thatâ□□s what I heard first. Then I tried it with headphones on. I think itâ□□s â□□spygateâ

2020-08-24T18:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297957122353688576

Is Trump reacting to hearing "monkey" here (about Obama), or hearing "spygate?"

What was actually said (probably "spygate") is irrelevant. What is important is what Trump THOUGHT he heard, and how he reacted to that.

https://twitter.com/ProjectLincoln/status/1297951639853244417

2020-08-24T17:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297953682764382208

@Boner69XX @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord Watch this, and then reconsider.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-24T17:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297953456540401666

Former government official says "nuts" to UFO debunkers.

My response is in this video, but basically Corbell is asking the wrong questions.

I hope that Chris Mellon, @ChristopherKMe4, takes the time to understand the analysis.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1297891280090025984

2020-08-24T17:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297952035615121408

@Alien_Scientist The demolition hypothesis REQUIRES the plane impacts and the fires to be a cover. That's the whole point.

2020-08-24T15:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297925017259319296

@Alien_Scientist I said "just a cover, even fake". You know that AE911 think it was just a cover. You know SOME people think it was "fake" to varying degrees, from "the planes were swapped" through "no plane hit the Pentagon"

You know I am right. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMnk9EUEAEov9y.jpg

---





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMnk9EUEAEov9y.jpg
2020-08-24T15:46:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297923300224507904
@ReverendRude @6ebquj5 @michaelshermer Because it's a camera artifact, so if the camera is rotated relative to the horizon, then the glare rotates relative to the horizon. The system then derotates EVERYTHING to keep the horizon level. See a short but detailed explainer here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-24T15:08:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297913761731239936
@GeertRoelandt @TheChilterns https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2020-08-24T15:07:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297913419182440448
@tommyIzito @SkyHub10 @PaulBurley1977 @pjrbarnett @PostDisclosure Let's see how your first deployments go.

2020-08-24T15:06:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297913193696604160
@Alien_Scientist @naoehogui But Danny Jowenko also said the Twin Towers were NOT demolished with explosives. He saw no need for explosives after the plane impact and fires, especially once it got started. Direct opposite to AE911T.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMc9kJU0AE8U3f.jpg


Jowenko sees another sign
that no explosives were used.


The building collapses
from the top down.

...


Blueprint for Lies by Richard Gage
If it had been done with explosives,
it would have collapsed from below.

...


It collapsed at the exact location
where the plane hit and heated it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMc9kGU0AAnIrl.jpg









http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMc9kJUMAAzHAE.jpg


FRAGMENT "LOOSE CHANGE"
It can't have been explosives,
as there was a huge fire.


If there had been explosives,
they would already have been burned.


What's more, before being burned,
their igniters would have gone off.


at 320 degrees Celsius,
so they'd have detonated sooner.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMc9kJU0AE8U3f.jpg
2020-08-24T14:44:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297907751461384193
@Fractal_Stuff @AE911Truth I said "a cover, even fake". AE911 say "casual ruses"

("Ruse" = "an action intended to deceive someone; a trick.")

Others in the movement DO think the planes were entirely fake, especially the one that hit the Pentagon. AE911 is receptive to the Pentagon no-planers.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMXfZlUEAAcD_O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgMXfZlUEAAcD_O.jpg
2020-08-24T14:39:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297906290283261952
@Fractal_Stuff @DavidPittelli1 @AE911Truth @Consensus911 You asked for one. I asked for one. Which ONE do you think is the best? I mean you don't want me to pick the easy one, do you.

I'd encourage you to check if there's an explanation first, so you don't waste your one shot.

2020-08-24T10:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297845949117501442

@Fractal_Stuff @DavidPittelli1 @AE911Truth @Consensus911 Sure, pick one.

2020-08-24T10:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297845813301743616

@Fractal_Stuff @AE911Truth The image in the first post is from their web site. What disinformation?

2020-08-24T07:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297802445611864065

@TheOutThere2016 Mostly stuff I knew already.

2020-08-24T05:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297760701277118464

@PaulBurley1977 @pjrbarnett @PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 A variety of things. Mostly misidentified lights and fatigue.

2020-08-24T04:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297760440299053057

@steven_dor Iâ□□m not, but some people invariably react as if I am.

2020-08-24T04:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297760138535636992

@tommyIzito Iâ□□ve no idea.

2020-08-24T04:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297760018347917313

@HarveyGMcDonald @edwardcurrent @Corey7999 The point is: Iâ□□m interested in these topics, and Iâ□□m seeking more information about this aspect.

2020-08-24T00:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297688987910303745

@HarveyGMcDonald About 200. I meet skeptics every so often at conferences and local meet-ups. They generally seem like normal people

---

2020-08-24T00:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297688628563345408

@SicCoP1 @PostDisclosure @ztrussell I understand, but of course I get people in the 9/11 community telling me the UFO's are nonsense, disinformation designed to distract from the 9/11 conspiracy.

2020-08-24T00:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297688053104795648

@HarveyGMcDonald None that I know of!

2020-08-24T00:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297687938138963968

@alpha_check I really don't think the overlap is large. It certainly exists though. Fravor seems like he's not really much of a conspiracy theorist.

2020-08-24T00:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297687650636357632

@alpha_check I've been unable to get in contact with any of the pilots. I've heard it said some "dislike your approach".

2020-08-24T00:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297687397098876928

@Timmo05166076 @Scribe1969 You say it like it's choosing whether to grow your hair long or short. That's not how it works. Is alcoholism a lifestyle choice? Are 10-year-old "chubsters" fully in control of their food intake?

You should watch this. Might be useful perspective.

https://www.cbsnews.com/video/cbsn-originals-presents-speaking-frankly-fat-shaming/

2020-08-24T00:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297685984553742336

@cbdwizard A linear scale of 1 to 10 from least to most. Kind of like the subjective pain scale your doctor asks you. No units, just a rough position between two extremes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgJOhbAVAAE5oNY.jpg

Both conspiracy theories and conspiracy theorists exist on a spectrum. If we are to communicate effectively with a conspiracy-minded friend we need to get some perspective on the full range of that spectrum, and where our friend's personal blend of theories fit into it.

There are several ways we can classify a conspiracy theory: how scientific is it? How many people believe in it? How plausible is? But one I'm going use is a somewhat subjective measure of how *extreme* the theory is. I'm going to rank them from 1 to 10, with 1 being entirely mainstream to 10 being the most obscure extreme fringe theory you can fathom.

This extremeness spectrum is not simply a spectrum of reasonableness or scientific plausibility. Being extreme is being on the fringe, and fringe simply denotes the fact that it's an unusual interpretation and is restricted to a small number of people. A belief in religious supernatural occurrences (like miracles) is a scientifically implausible belief, and yet it is not considered particularly fringe.

Let's start with a simple list of actual conspiracy theories. These are ranked by extremeness in their most typical manifestation, but in reality, the following represent topics that can span several points on the scale, or even the entire scale.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgJOO0UUYAAnQca.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgJOhbAVAAE5oNY.jpg

2020-08-24T00:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297685139745411073

@borjafat First make a video game, then see how that went.

2020-08-23T23:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297684116117155840

@borjafat I just got a bit tired of doing games, and I made lots of money, so I retired. Debunking was just a fun thing to do. I enjoy analyzing things to try to figure out what is actually going on.

2020-08-23T23:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297683859140521984

@PostDisclosure @ztrussell @LtTimMcMillan Well there are obviously MANY differences. I'm interested in where they intersect. What do you think about 9/11, specifically the controlled demolition theory?

2020-08-23T23:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297683599815106560

@Bhima_Jenkins @IBKING46 @MikeColangelo But still... you can't really say WTC7 is odd without also saying Plasco is odd.

It kind of forces AE911 Truth to be Plasco Truthers. Odd combination. Funny almost.

2020-08-23T23:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297683121848987649

RT @randyzwitch: If we're being honest, 2020 is the perfect year to get rid of Daylight Saving Time. Just rip the band-aid off while we'reâ□¦

2020-08-23T23:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297682977795608576

@PostDisclosure That appears to be an entirely different question.

2020-08-23T23:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297682669711458304

@PostDisclosure @ztrussell Well you had Blue Book, is that the equivalent of the 9/11 NIST report? Like a presumed cover-up, or at least incompetence?

2020-08-23T23:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297682434897489920

@cbdwizard Of course not, that's why the intersection is so interesting. I have a chart illustrating the variosu spectrums. Both UFOs and 9/11 exist on a huge range of extremeness.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgJLGXsVAAAjqRX.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgJLGXsVAAAjqRX.png
2020-08-23T23:46:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297681707882094592
@Nolieswoodwear Don't you consider what is misinformation? Who are you?

2020-08-23T23:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297681305396637697
@Bhima_Jenkins @IBKING46 @MikeColangelo What about the Plasco building. That collapsed. Kinda similar stuff going on. But just a fire.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=50eOzUHZkP8

2020-08-23T23:23:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297675849278267394
@randallme @hughespj1 How do you know you even just typed that tweet?

2020-08-23T23:22:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297675514585337856
@DjDonnellon See that's a very strange perspective on the world. That view is a minority one which usually vanishes when presented with historical facts.
Unless you are only talking about Q folk. They probably all think it was an inside job.

2020-08-23T23:18:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297674536221958144
@randallme @hughespj1 Yeah, but you are not debunking, you're just stirring.

2020-08-23T23:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297674031370342401

@PharoK I haven't internalized them. I described them in the second paragraph of the first tweet. It's a problem I run across often.

2020-08-23T23:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297673764474249218

@tommyIzito We sent a level designer and an artist to each location - although I think that only started with THPS2 or 3. I took some reference shots in London (South Bank), but only because I was there on vacation.

It was weird going (later) to Alcatraz IRL. Felt like I'd been there.

2020-08-23T23:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297673006446043137

@randallme @hughespj1 What evidence would it take? It was 22 years ago. I have a few iterations of the design in my notebook.

We didn't hire any contractors at Neversoft. Everything was in-house.

2020-08-23T23:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297672046256648193

@PharoK They are just two groups I've had personal experience with. There's also chemtrailers and flat earthers. Then other less well defined groups like the false flaggers.

2020-08-23T23:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297671694895599616

@ColtonObrian I'm not familiar with them.But the exotic weapon theory is utter nonsense. Literally as bad as Flat Earth. Based on nonsense like the debris pile being supposedly too small.

2020-08-23T23:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297670230223642625

@PharoK Well, both camps exist on a spectrum, but I'm not sure there's any actual correlation. Maybe at the far end.

2020-08-23T22:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297663648110845952

@JakeDean45 There's a great explanation here. Only six minutes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4LUDXpMhkNk

2020-08-23T22:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297663273190322176

@randallme @hughespj1 Don't make me debunk you!

2020-08-23T22:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297663098594066432

@yannisaccount THPS 1+2 looks great! Everyone so far seem to think the controls are tight and authentic to the originals. A remake done right.

2020-08-23T22:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297662675099369472

Before I was a debunker I was a video game programmer/designer/director. I helped make Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. I highly recommend the documentary "Pretending I'm a Superman - The Tony Hawk Video Game Story" by Luvig Gur and Ralph D'Amato.

https://thpsfilm.com/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI5MzKUMAEzcc3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI5MzKUMAEzcc3.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI46qBUMAAVD3o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI4jW6U4AArq61.jpg

2020-08-23T22:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297660701649956864

@b5t1an http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI3hpKVoAEp7NA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI3hpKVoAEp7NA.jpg

2020-08-23T22:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297659712079450112

@b5t1an I sure did. Check out the documentary "Pretending I'm a Superman". I'm in it.

2020-08-23T22:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297659455434178561

@randallme And here's what it ended up like.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI2UXqU4AEbJTR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI2UXqU4AEbJTR.jpg

2020-08-23T22:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297658741211320321

@randallme I cofounded Neversoft. Here's me and Tony.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI1qaqVoAAZkU4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgI1qaqVoAAZkU4.jpg

2020-08-23T20:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297636754220969984

Cleaning bookcase. Found my old designs for the Tony Hawk level select UI. Simpler times.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgIhv9EU4AAbB0L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgIhv9EU4AAbB0L.jpg

2020-08-23T20:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297635862172205057

@SicCoP1 Crap, that was supposed to be a response. Stupid Tweetdeck!

2020-08-23T20:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297635385875431424

@TheChilterns Sure but some would feel the opposite.

2020-08-23T19:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297609770937929728

@MajorlyMost I don't. If anything the UFO topic has more genuine evidence than the 9/11 controlled demolition theory. At least there actually ARE unexplained lights in the sky sometimes.

But then the 9/11 folk would feel they have super strong evidence.

2020-08-23T18:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297608419809976320

@TheChilterns Well, clearly there are people who believe one, but not the other. There are also some people who believe both. Pointing this out is not conflating them.

What's interesting is that the monobelievers have similar ("those nuts!") perspective of the people on the other side.

2020-08-23T18:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297607345778782209

@TaterTo74406292 Good example.

2020-08-23T18:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297607159312609280

@AFSUnidentified Well, there's some parallels at the fringes. There's also some loose parallels in spatial reasonings fails - like how far away and high things are. But that's just human stuff.

2020-08-23T18:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297606536680730624

@TheoryQed @UFOS8N @itsredactedYT @PostDisclosure I love a good orb!

I didn't have anything specific in mind. But I think like with the Nimitz the larger "events" are often a combination of disparate events that would have largely (and rightly) gone unnoticed had nobody drawn a ring around them.

2020-08-23T18:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297605826580893698

@daniel1989moore I read somewhere accusing someone of lacking a sense of humor was the worst possible insult you could make. Everyone wants to be the funny guy, or at least relatable.

But I'm not really sure how that pertains to debunking conspiracy theories.

2020-08-23T18:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297605124970356738

@TheChilterns Why, would you reject a UFO researcher because he believed 9/11 was an inside job?

I have seen similar things as a start of a questioning process. Like some 9/11 group leaders went into chemtrails, and their group broke up because of it. This happened organically.

2020-08-23T18:37:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297603954423996417

@TheoryQed @UFOS8N @itsredactedYT @PostDisclosure You know you, @TheroyQED, could come up with a scenario of a conjunction various events that explains the accounts of the Washington flap.

2020-08-23T18:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297603576915562496

@bobofango86 Which side are you being angry from?

2020-08-23T18:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297603449324859393

There were lots of conspiracy theories around the last big wildfires and there will be more this time around. Something that will be regurgitated is how you see unburnt trees next to burnt-down houses. But there's an explanation for that, and the others

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mkH5I0lXiFs

2020-08-23T17:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297591146571472896

@mvpispi Sure, but can you have something that's better than nothing. I think so. Snopes is better than nothing.

2020-08-23T17:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297590373829623808

@IBKING46 @MikeColangelo I think there's probably more to know about the financing of the hijackers and involvement of high-up Saudis.

Also intelligence failures were perhaps not fully aired in public.

2020-08-23T17:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297589966596276224

Near white in the wide shot, yellow in the close-up.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgH3FIXUEAANefE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgH3FIXUEAANefE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgH2uSxUEAAnJl5.jpg

2020-08-23T17:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297588954523250688

@edwardcurrent @cryptokeeper198 Religion is not evidence based. That's often by design: "blessed are they who has not seen, and yet believes" said Jesus, seeking to nullify the "where's the evidence?" objections of future resurrection debunkers.

9/11 and UFO folk like to amass and promote evidence.

2020-08-23T17:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297585983504801792

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 I'm not sure what the means, and how that is relevant.

2020-08-23T17:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297585762544705538

@stang289 With good reason. RT has done a good job in continually mocking it by cherry picking "exonerations" but there's still plenty of smoke.

2020-08-23T17:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297585180043927552

@TenoriThrowaway But both groups seem to largely view the other group as downhill from where they stand.

2020-08-23T17:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297584806457270273

@mvpispi Do you think Trump's tweet is accurate?

A variety of mutually independent fact checkers would be good. Tricky to achieve.

2020-08-23T17:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297584243665559558

@cryptokeeper198 @edwardcurrent Huh? Where dod you get that from? From the fact that there's some overlap between the 9/11 Truth community, and the UFO community? How does this argument work exactly?

2020-08-23T17:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297583532101914625

Twitter is at least making something of an effort to fight disinformation. But it's a rocky road when it comes to disinformation from the President.

I support their efforts. https://twitter.com/debunker/status/1297577609039982592

2020-08-23T17:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297582685414494208

@ne1up So I guess part of what I'd like to see is for people to step outside their bubble, and try to see their "obvious" beliefs from an outside perspective. Non-UFO Truthers might be wrong about 9/11, but they view ufology much the same as average person.

2020-08-23T17:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297582286569746432

@ne1up Truthers get mad being lumped with UFO folk. Yet both sides feel they are being rational and scientific, and that their case is strongly backed by evidence that they have researched - to the point that they think it's obvious and don't understand why people think differently.

2020-08-23T17:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297581488200810496

@cryptokeeper198 @edwardcurrent Why would pointing out the similarities, or asking about people who are both camps, increase the division?

I think it's healthy perspective.

2020-08-23T17:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297581032644808705

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Only in the close up video with -2EV exposure correction. In the normally exposed wide scene it quickly looks white hot even when it's just orange hot. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHvC8lU8AYlwWy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHvC8lU8AYlwWy.jpg

2020-08-23T16:48:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297576538229989376

@edwardcurrent @Corey7999 There's the rub.

2020-08-23T16:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297576123346239488

@rudyl26 @paulmuaddib61 I think it's more like the conditions for both phenomena are similar. The halo is made from light refracting through ice crystals, essentially in high altitude ice fog or clouds. Contrails are high-altitude ice clouds.

2020-08-23T16:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297575572810248194

@MikeColangelo That's your ONLY question?

Have you looked for answers?

2020-08-23T16:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297575297613590528

@WilsonSeagull We are all human, with human failings and biases. Nobody is a perfect observer and analyst, except fighter pilots. :)

2020-08-23T16:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297574917097926657

@cryptokeeper198 "Poisoning the well" is basically what I addressed in the second paragraph

there. Are you in either camp? Do you resent any comparison with the other camp?

I'm interested in the overlap. I'm acknowledging the PTW problem to minimize that as a distraction from the question.

2020-08-23T16:39:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297574232306495488

@RobAgs0817 I'd say (in this context) it means people who think that there is compelling evidence that UFO have an extraordinary explanation, like secret anti-gravity drives, undiscovered natural phenomenon, or alien visitors.

2020-08-23T16:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297573449821351937

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Not from a photo it isn't.

2020-08-23T16:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297573322360668161

@ufotodaynetwork And Q?

2020-08-23T16:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297573138251657216

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 It's three shots of the exact same scene. The camera adjusts the exposed based on the entire image. So close up the bulb is correctly orange. For further away it looks yellow then white.

Here's the exposure adjustment (and color change) in real time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1297573114142814208/pu/img/0yUESLP36wqy6JMM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1297573114142814208/pu/img/0yUESLP36wqy6JMM.jpg

2020-08-23T16:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297572233783603200

How much overlap is there between the UFO community and the 9/11 "Truth" community?

People on BOTH sides get angry with me when I make a comparison. "Don't equate ME with those nuts" they say.

But then there are some who are in both camps. How many?

2020-08-23T16:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297570713709113345

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 It is what I just said it was. "three photos that include a warm-colored dim bulb. Only the close-up shows the correct color. The other two are over exposed (for the light, not the scene)"

2020-08-23T16:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297570003626074112

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 I did this experiment. Orange hot metal showed up as white hot in a wider shot. Orange in close-up -2EV

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzcodRCLOE8

2022-07-12T15:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546886837678903296

@Broskislingdick @WJProvenzano @Miles90234527 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Nah, at my reunions itâ□□s more about Tony Hawk.

2022-07-12T15:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546886404822552577

@mebarek_salah @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig It also assumes that actually happened. Maybe itâ□□s just an apparent velocity change from the reduction in relative angular velocity from when the plane stops circling and starts flying away?

2022-07-12T15:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546885475440308224

@kimball_23 @CryptoDinoBeave @jamescarrion So, on TV, you have seen rigorous cross-examinations of Elizondo? Seems to me he generally gets a very unchallenging interview.

2022-07-12T15:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546883857449775104

@kimball_23 @CryptoDinoBeave @jamescarrion Remind me, when did I say 747?

2022-07-12T14:03:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546857767800950784

@Oswald1160 @the_cholla @ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @caitgaist @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @realityseaker @analyticpaul Yes, or something along the light path.

2022-07-12T12:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546830455105761280

@the_cholla @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @caitgaist @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @M0rbidM0nsters @lbf_tweet @realityseaker

@analyticpaul You don't seem to be taking this seriously.

I'm actually leaning towards some internal component being responsible for the shape, as the same shape shows up at the start of FLIR1.

But streaked glass can very much be responsible for the shape.

2022-07-12T11:53:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546825008185368576
@the_cholla @ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @caitgaist @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @M0rbidM0nsters @lbf_tweet @realityseaker @analyticpaul The width between engines is irrelevant. Consider just ONE engine on the A340.

F/A-18 pair of engines acts like one.

2022-07-12T11:34:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546820254273138688
@ChrisSPITZER7 @the_cholla @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @caitgaist @Neil__Goodman @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @M0rbidM0nsters @lbf_tweet @realityseaker @analyticpaul "No FLIR example shows such a huge glare from jet exhausts. None." - but you just showed one with the A340. Stick an F/A-18 to scale in that image.

2022-07-12T10:49:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546808841236492288
@ZimRavens @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein What did I do that you consider "trolling witnesses for fun"? Which witnesses? What do you think I did?

2022-07-12T10:31:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546804494888890368
@fin365 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein I have great respect for pilots. They are highly skilled and doa. difficult job. But you can't simply eliminate those POSSIBILITIES because you don't want to hurt their feelings.

2022-07-12T10:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546803630887473152
@Dingus15700175 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein And when does that ever happen?

2022-07-11T23:43:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546641351483088896
@mebarek_salah @Bobbybigrig Or perhaps it does not actually move through the water.

I don't think it's fake.

2022-07-11T23:34:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546638997811695616
@arslongavita @LueElizondo Twitter isn't a room, it's lots of rooms. You can't please all of them.

Lue made a confusing statement. I pointed it out. Howls of denial and anger followed.

2022-07-11T23:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546631057130237952

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Fizer_Rau @Mike___Kilo @Manning123Sm You are just playing games at this point. If the videos are interesting then I'll look at them. If they are not, then I won't. I'm not making any special effort because of some silly challenge. If you've got something then the world would be interested.

2022-07-11T21:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546600710262861824

@SeiphCartoon @LueElizondo So what are some examples of "fundamental paradigm changes for our species."

2022-07-11T20:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546597787109773312

@UAPJames @edwardcurrent @dividendless @bradjohansson21 @LueElizondo @ufouapam Ha, okay.

2022-07-11T20:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546591565547651073

@edwardcurrent @dividendless @bradjohansson21 @LueElizondo And if it was all good, then why was it half edited out of the "full" version? Go to 4:15 here, edit at 4:21

https://twitter.com/UAPJames/status/1546271218931752964

2022-07-11T20:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546590943402348545

@jake_landstrom @LueElizondo No, I don't understand what he's saying. What is the allegory referring to in this usage?

He's addressing a government. Are his words not important?

2022-07-11T20:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546587792880177152

@RobHeatherly1 @LueElizondo An analogy for what? This was given as the FIRST paradigm shift in human history. What was it?

2022-07-11T20:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546587263072489472

@TheScanson @Bobbybigrig @natecooley @leewoodgate420 @LueElizondo I understand allegories. The problem is using a highly abstract allegory in a list with two concrete examples. What does "crawling out of the cave for the first time and witnessing the heavens" mean, and how is it a paradigm shift predating making fire and navigating the oceans?

2022-07-11T19:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546584256117215233

@henri_mourant @LueElizondo By trans-ocean I just meant across the ocean, not around the world.

2022-07-11T19:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546583027291017216

@BfOlivier @LueElizondo http://otter.ai

2022-07-11T19:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546582354008817664

@markocheese @Thrafter1 @LueElizondo

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1546582205593313280

2022-07-11T19:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546582262405206018

@BarlowTerry @SilvaRecord @conterammundi @LueElizondo

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1546582205593313280

2022-07-11T19:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546582205593313280

Not a big deal, but I thought I should clarify my earlier tweet. @LueElizondo gives a numbered list of three paradigm shifts in human history. #2 and #3 are making fire and trans-ocean exploration.

What is #1? It's the allegory of Plato's cave. But what is it referring to?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXaPnvWUEAECJHc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXaPnvWUEAECJHc.jpg

2022-07-11T19:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546579415257137153

@KlavsPeder @Uaphunter2022 @EricRWeinstein @TOEwithCurt I have not called Vallee a jerk, nor (to my recollection) have I suggested any particular witness was on drugs.

2022-07-11T16:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546539391677448192

@KikPlenty @LueElizondo My tweet gave him credit. The (minor, calm down people!) issue here is that it sounded like it was a literal paradigm shift, and if not, then what is it referring to?

2022-07-11T16:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546537790409584640

@leewoodgate420 @LueElizondo It reminds you of that because that is an illustration of the allegory. Plato's Cave.

2022-07-11T16:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546532542521565184

@HappyGuitar1 @LueElizondo A metaphor for what? What exactly was this first paradigm shift? Why not just describe that?

2022-07-11T16:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546532224593305600

@tgflynn314 It's too much in flux right now, maybe when it settles down a bit. It's also quite a complex project.

What exceptions? What browser?

2022-07-11T16:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546531646836314114

@LueElizondo Of course, you don't. It simply sounded like you did, and so people unfamiliar with human evolution might think you mean it literally.

What WAS that paradigm shift then? What shadows were no longer real, and at what point in human history?

2022-07-11T16:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546530950527324160

@james4125 There are two main hypotheses there.

1) It's two objects (maybe wedding lanterns, released in a pair, because of love, etc), that remain very close most of the video

2)A single lantern catches fire, and a bit of it burns off and away.

2022-07-11T16:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546529237229715457

@Falkyrs Yes, it could be any super-hot object, including flying saucers, or even a hot djinn. But I tend to put the more common objects at the top of the list.

2022-07-11T16:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546528746592645123

@MathewS65234556 @LueElizondo "maybe that was the first fundamental paradigm change for our species"

What was? Escaping Plato's cave?

2022-07-11T16:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546528254315466754

@LueElizondo http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZfPmdUsAA3PAt.jpg

Among the best-known examples of allegory, Plato's Allegory of the Cave, forms a part of his larger work *The Republic.* In this allegory, Plato describes a group of people who have lived chained in a cave all of their lives, facing a blank wall (514a–b). The people watch shadows projected on the wall by things passing in front of a fire behind them and begin to ascribe forms to these shadows, using language to identify their world (514c–515a). According to the allegory, the shadows are as close as the prisoners get to viewing reality, until one of them finds his way into the outside world where he sees the actual objects that produced the shadows. He tries to tell the people in the cave of his discovery, but they do not believe him and vehemently resist his efforts to free them so they can see for themselves (516e–518a). This allegory is, on a basic level, about a philosopher who upon finding greater knowledge outside the cave of human understanding, seeks to share it as is his duty, and the foolishness of those who would ignore him because they think themselves educated enough.[12]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZfPmdUsAA3PAt.jpg

2022-07-11T16:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546527383875776512

I hope @LueElizondo realizes the Allegory of the Cave is just an allegory, and we didn't actually evolve in caves, so crawling out of them to see the sky for the first time was not something that happened, and was not a fundamental paradigm change for our species.

https://twitter.com/ufouapam/status/1546250782890229761

2022-07-11T16:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546525263873183746

@tgflynn314 @rpowell2u By "controller", I mean a joystick. Like a videogame controller. On the right here (just an example of the type of thing)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZcVL0UsAADizS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZcVL0UsAADizS.jpg

2022-07-11T15:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546518827906715649

@tgflynn314 @rpowell2u It's not "tracking" the background (like in GoFast). The camera is being rotated manually with a controller and is just sometimes rotated at the same angular speed as the background for a few frames.

2022-07-11T15:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546518316717510663

@MusicAndTruth @Drunk_on_Books @ChrisSPITZER7 @EricRWeinstein Not true, I engage with very minor random stuff all the time, often at an individual level where it never gets seen. Often it's things like this in DMs or emails.

I don't have time to go in depth for everything though. Often there is very little to by.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZWDUvUYAYQcQo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZU65FVEAAuRoa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXZWDUvUYAYQcQo.jpg

2022-07-11T14:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546506133786963968

@andyH_uap What about "transmedium" travel? Low observability?

It gets brought up quite a lot. It's part of the UFOlogy video pantheon.

2022-07-11T14:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546505538652909569

@etdotcom_ @MiddleOfMayhem We had guests over, it was being explained to someone who was considering publishing their first book. Unrelated to UFOs, etc.

2022-07-11T13:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546493157512073217

@OiOcha_Dark Itâ□□s a combination of what I find interesting and popular interest. Aguadilla has been a while coming.

2022-07-11T06:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546376281259814912

@PThegermandude You can just choose "no" if it's not. There are a variety of reasons other people find it significant.

2022-07-11T05:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546372511205494784

@Serg_is_Vargas Anyone can review it. I'm not wasting time putting a video into pdf format.

2022-07-11T05:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546371768142548992

@borisyeltzin Yes, and I agree with @flarkey, and many others who have reached the same conclusion. It's a science communication issue at this point.

2022-07-11T05:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546371133196288000

@Serg_is_Vargas Peer review didn't help the SCU report.

I think open public scrutiny is the better route to accuracy in this topic. It's not actually that complicated.

2022-07-11T05:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546365353424347136

I asked @rpowell2u about this a year ago. No response.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1434956054945624067

2022-07-11T05:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546364799335796736

@sharpless89 @rpowell2u No. The camera operator is moving the camera so sometimes it moves with the ground - in a similar way to how the camera in GoFast is ground tracking at the start (except more random)

SCU is misunderstanding something. Parallax, rotation vs. translation? The report is a mess.

2022-07-11T05:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546362692163604481

@Falkyrs Not one iota. A jet engine causes a glare, obscuring the plane. That glare rotates due

to the camera.

2022-07-11T05:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546361478659223554

This isn't a new observation. I've been pointing it out for a year or so now.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1436416812086808576

2022-07-11T05:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546361026941034497

This is the same error people made with the start of "GoFast." The GoFast object seems to skim over the ocean, even when the ocean is not moving. "How can this be parallax", people ask (understandably, it's not intuitive)

If a camera is tracking one thing, then the other moves.

2022-07-11T05:06:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546360308716802050

Since the plane is in constant motion, even if the background is not moving (in the frame of the video), there's still parallax. A slow-moving or stationary object will still move across the background - entirely from the parallax effect.

2022-07-11T05:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546359885423525889

Here's the problem, @rpowell2u.

Quote: "There are some frames of video having no background motion at all and the UAP can be seen changing locations relative to the background"

But, the camera is in a plane. It does not stop moving.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXXFlzhVEAAe3Ia.jpg

Introduction

Some arguments have been advanced that the object could be a balloon and that the motion of the balloon relative to the background is an illusion created by the motion of the plane circling the balloon. This is incorrect. There are some frames of video having no background motion at all and the UAP can be seen changing locations relative to the background. Consider Frames 711 and 712 of Figure 1:



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXXFlzhVEAAe3Ia.jpg

2022-07-11T05:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546358988496809984

The SCU report on the Aguadilla UFO video demonstrates a fundamental misunderstanding of parallax. Yet it's held up as a "detailed analysis".

Lots of details does not mean good. Page 154 is the most egregious. Boldly stating "This is incorrect", then going on royally mess it up

https://twitter.com/rpowell2u/status/1546344461013319684

2022-07-11T02:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546326488365993984

@Falkyrs Something hot, like a jet engine.

2022-07-11T01:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546308141972738048

@ModeledBehavior @BwatsTO Should I make something up? I don't know what the "fleet" was.

2022-07-11T01:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546300169553031168

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Fizer_Rau @Mike___Kilo What video? Why is it outstanding? Crowdsource it.

2022-07-11T00:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546288989518213120

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Mike___Kilo @EricRWeinstein No, just post the videos on the Skydentify forum on Metabunk, with appropriate descriptions, info, sources, location, etc, and they will get

looked at.

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2022-07-11T00:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546285697270554624

@PhillipJeffre16 This is what I'm working on:

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1546283911772192770

2022-07-11T00:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546284911245398017

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Mike___Kilo @EricRWeinstein Your challenge is very poorly defined. Why not just show the video, and I'll say what my thoughts are.

2022-07-11T00:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546283911772192770

Aguadilla UFO analysis, work in progress. Don't hold your breath, lots to do. #ufotwitter

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1546283568690712577/pu/img/EJT_-h9CO_qyc8Nk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1546283568690712577/pu/img/EJT_-h9CO_qyc8Nk.jpg

2022-07-10T23:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546274302328074240

@PhillipJeffre16 Not a full one (although I will), I've looked at it a few times though. Mostly in this thread:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2022-07-10T22:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546264237466537984

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Mike___Kilo @EricRWeinstein If someone had some unambiguous UFO videos they would show them to the world, not play games with them.

2022-07-10T17:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1546181669329088514
@BidenButtPlug That's a good reason to vote no. But with polls its always a bit hard to get clear options for something like "important"

2022-07-10T17:14:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546181010315890688
The 2013 Aguadilla airport UFO case is often cited as a clear example of an anomalous craft exhibiting "transmedium" behavior and maybe spacetime warping.
If it were shown to be something else, something mundane, would that be significant to you?
Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q6s5RwqnnLM

2022-07-10T15:25:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1546153612149080064
@JayFig78 @ToddRaymondL @vanessaawolf @OMApproach Which presidents (or any official) said all of "that there is radar and satellite data that confirms their speeds and altitude changes which correspond with witness accounts"

2022-07-10T04:40:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545991418715418624
@Operator2022 @OMApproach @vanessaawolf @EricRWeinstein I'm sure a UFO conference would be fun too, I've just not got around to going to one yet.

2022-07-10T04:34:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545989718420045824
@Operator2022 @OMApproach @vanessaawolf @EricRWeinstein No, everyone was very nice.

2022-07-10T04:11:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545983949532307457
@Operator2022 @KJ46838999 @LOW843 Averaged over a year, everywhere.

2022-07-10T01:10:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545938550054522880
RT @MickWest: (2/2) So odd, I'm wondering what's going on. Is it just super motivated reasoning? Or is there some optical illusion I'm missâ□¦

2022-07-09T22:00:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545890634480857088
@KJ46838999 @LOW843 It was dark.

50% of the time it is not dark.

2022-07-09T21:51:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545888519213551617
@OMApproach @vanessaawolf @EricRWeinstein I'm not mocking him. I'm listing the possible explanations for his stories.

2022-07-09T21:45:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545886833237581824
@vanessaawolf @OMApproach It's not polite to point out mental illness in people, so it's

generally something I avoid. But Lazar is a public figure, and the options regarding his stories are pretty self-evident. Some people think he's accurate, but I don't. So there are limited other options.

2022-07-09T21:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545885839908958208

@etdotcom_ @UAPTruth @OMApproach If I had any kind of fiduciary responsibility I'd go back to making games.

2022-07-09T21:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545883738793332736

The aircraft in this video was a DC-10 (as spotted by @LOW843). It's a firefighting tanker plane, on an unusual approach to McClelllan, about 2500 feet above me.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1545634804703498240

2022-07-09T21:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545877945964630016

@mspacein It's an iPhone 13

2022-07-09T21:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545877883620470785

@UAPTruth @OMApproach By doing it. What is so hard about it? If you are deluded then you are recounting a false memory. If not then they are retelling the same story.

2022-07-09T21:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545877517512237056

@UAPmike @OMApproach That's another option, I just don't think it's very likely, hence two main options. It's kind of a subset of "delusional" (i.e. he's been deluded by someone).

2022-07-09T20:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545867838581133312

@OMApproach I said he's lying or delusional. I say that because I don't think his claims regarding experimenting with flying saucers are true. That really only leaves the two main options.

2022-07-09T20:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545865167874863104

@Spectre67904513 @Maz_Jamm He does not seem to be operating in good faith. I blocked him after he started make a series of wild accusations about me faking stuff.

This new thing is nonsense. He's cherry-picking features from different modes. The object is not rotating in first 22 sec when horizon rotates

---

2022-07-09T20:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545860541553381376

@GavanThomson I enjoy solving puzzles and talking to people.

2022-07-09T14:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545782303221116928

@Robin081564 @BlackLionMemnon @conterammundi Whatâ□□s wrong with it? I was anticipating a possible hypothesis for a video based on stills from that video. Cornellâ□□s releases had been overhyped, so I was indicating I didnâ□□t expect much (which panned out).

2022-07-09T14:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545779530907865093

@skepmit @zcichy Iâ□□d go for max RAM. 32-64. It depends what you find is a limit for you now. 16GB M1-Mini is not enough for me. YMMV.

2022-07-09T14:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545778676553240578

RT @CultExpert: I am hearing from trustworthy sources in Japan that theman alleged to have assassinated former Japanese Prime Minister Abeâ□¦

2022-07-09T14:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545776309711736832

@KlavsPeder @ExaminerLII @conterammundi @DaveFalch Maybe you should quote what I said in context?

2022-07-09T11:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545733362484015104

@KristaJanae33 That is a plane.

2022-07-09T11:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545731396999585792

@KristaJanae33 Where's the original video of these lights?

2022-07-09T11:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545729550016921605

@RogerStankovic @EMP_Wrecker You can set a position anywhere by using latitude and longitude

---

2022-07-09T10:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545716936675192833

@UAPGRIGtwitt Well yes, the question was if you could identify it. I mean the TYPE of aircraft, like 737? A320? DC-10? Learjet? Military? etc.

2022-07-09T10:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545716287636008960

@KristaJanae33 I'm always happy to look at examples that defy explanation. Which one do you think is best?

2022-07-09T05:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545635202008961024

@BClarkified71 We'll see.

2022-07-09T05:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545634804703498240

Can you identify this aircraft from the triangular light configuration?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1545634674218676229/pu/img/-tG-vuxdIR0rpuPz.jp

g



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1545634674218676229/pu/img/-tG-vuxdIR0rpuPz.jpg
2022-07-08T18:43:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545478724945997826
@cryptuna @RobertESheridan @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein Mostly transformer explosions and electrical arcing.

2022-07-08T17:09:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545455049962246144
@UAPmike Context: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXKPJzdUsAApghr.jpg



Mick West 32:07 Realigning text with audio

And I'm curious as to why people, individual, that's part of my interest actually is is trying to figure out why people believe what they want, they believe why do people believe weird things? Why do people think that time-traveling humans from the future is actually a reasonable explanation? Why do they think that trickster spirits is a reasonable explanation? I know there's there's some fairly serious people who use the term trickster as kind of an explanation for UFOs. There's some kind of trickster from another dimension, who has come over, you know, Jaque Valee, I think, is a big proponent of this and his, his colleague, I forget his name now, that they talk about tricksters. What is it that actually makes people who are, at one point, serious scientists go over to thinking that, essentially, poltergeists from outer space is a reasonable explanation for things. Now I don't say that to — that sounds like I'm mocking them. But that's actually the type of things they did ...

EW Eric Weinstein 33:16

It sounds like you're mocking them

Mick West 33:17

It does sound like I'm mocking them. Yes, I know. But that's an unfortunate,

EW Eric Weinstein 33:20

Look, I don't want to trap you in, Mick, I don't trap you in language. If it sounds that way to your ear

Mick West 33:24 Realigning text with audio

well, it's just real quick, real quick, I want to I want to address that point. Like, I'm not mocking them. I'm essentially trying to accurately describe their positions. And it's unfortunate that with a lot of these things, if he's talking about say, flat Earth, if you tried to describe the position, it comes across as ridiculous. When someone is is using "tricksters from another dimension" as an explanation for UFOs, it comes across as ridiculous, but it's actually what they say.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXKPJzdUsAApghr.jpg
2022-07-08T15:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545428140628910080
@Jim87504388 @JonesSmythe @EireScientist @flarkey @TheRogueLogue @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein Well, they are, at the very least, probably wrong.

Ridicule has its place (Uri Geller, for example, is ridiculous.) But generally, it's not a good conversation starter.

2022-07-08T15:19:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545427422480191488
@benjamuniverse1 Like I said, I'm not going to compromise accuracy. If something is lacking I'll point it out, and explore a variety of hypotheses. But, hopefully, without being an asshole. I wrote a book about it.

2022-07-08T15:16:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545426701739380737
RT @RobertESheridan: I would highly recommend everyone who is interested in the #uap topic to watch https://youtu.be/dwcjpmVOmqc @TOEwithCurt wâ¦

2022-07-08T14:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545421622470840320
An accurate assessment of a fringe topic encompassing a range of beliefs is inevitably going to hurt some feelings.

This does not mean we need to compromise accuracy. Feelings will be hurt, but effective communication should minimize that, both for kindness and effectiveness.

2022-07-08T14:36:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545416527481933824
@Oswald1160 @thieme @DynomiteBizQuit If the on-screen distance is correct thereâ□□s an upper limit on how â□□fastâ□□ it can go of around 100 knots. In most parallax cases the distance is unknown.

2022-07-08T04:52:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545269583744942082
@TheRogueLogue @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein Here I think Iâ□□m being quite appropriate in my criticism. He gets some things wrong, heâ□□s clearly not familiar with the material. Not mocking people does not mean ignoring their mistakes out of politeness.

2020-08-23T16:21:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297569579795767297
@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Here's three photos that include a warm-colored dim bulb. Only the close-up shows the correct color. The other two are over exposed (for the light, not the scene) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHkeNHU8AEfOBG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHkeNGU4AIO_Y5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHkeNHU8AEfOBG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHkeNGUcAAByq5.jpg
2020-08-23T16:18:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297568931180208128
@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 The radiation IS consistent with temperature, but in a photo where it's over exposed, the color will change. Small lights in a dark scene.

2020-08-23T16:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297567171820662786
@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 That is entirely irrelevant. There's light coming from them. Overexpose that, and it changes the color.

Also flames.

2020-08-23T16:10:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297566959282696193
@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 My point is that overexposing glowing things makes them look a lot hotter than they are, so you can't judge temperature from photos, especially if it's a small part of a dark scene. Your post of a color temperature scale implied you could. So it was wrong.

2020-08-23T16:06:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297565974929932289
@naoehogui How many of them are high-rise structural engineers or demolition experts?

You know they include "food engineer" in that list, and "software engineer", and people who

design parking lots.

Science isn't a democracy, but AE911 is tiny tiny fraction of relevant professionals.

2020-08-23T15:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297560655344484353

@SGMTweetings @Scribe1969 It's actually more about RT.

2020-08-23T15:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297560304646156288

@naoehogui I've interviewed a senior structural engineer who actually worked at the WTC site starting on 9/12/2001. He agrees it was not controlled demolition. All the engineers he knows (in NYC) agree with him.

Are they more likely right than you?

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/09/episode-21-donald-friedman-engineer-at-the-world-trade-center-after-9-11/

2020-08-23T15:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297559557472837635

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Correctly exposed.
Even if the color has an rgb like 255, 100, 5, if you have a 100x overexposure it's all pegged at 255,255,255, i.e. white.
And smaller overexposure goes yellow http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHbMxgU8AAlIQ3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHap6kU8AERQZB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHbMxgU8AAlIQ3.jpg

2020-08-23T15:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297558185641783297

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Those are two different pictures. One shows burning material falling from the (on fire) WTC, possibly carried in a stream of molten aluminum (which you would not see, but would contribute to keeping the burning going).
The second shows an excavator digging into burning debris.

2020-08-23T15:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297556889169850368

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 When you greatly overexpose any color it tends towards white. This stud finder is actually orange.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHZGjqVAAESHjU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHZGjqVAAESHjU.jpg

2020-08-23T15:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297554200356777984

@Scribe1969 Here's a recent short documentary on the topic that explores various perspectives.

https://www.cbsnews.com/video/cbsn-originals-presents-speaking-frankly-fat-shaming/

2020-08-23T15:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297553703377879040

@Scribe1969 It's making people feel ashamed of their body because they are overweight. It's a kind of bullying and can be very psychologically damaging, especially for young people.

2020-08-23T15:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297553111733526528

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 It's not really color balance though, it's exposure, plus it's fire. Flames are hot. Things were on fire. What exactly are you looking at? How do you know?

2020-08-23T15:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297552510194835461

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Do you ever look at the explanations? Are you open minded enough to genuinely read and consider them?

https://www.911facts.dk/?p=7635&lang=en

2020-08-23T15:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297552090122731521

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 "documented"

If FEMA is part of the cover-up then why are they documenting evidence of demolition.

They didn't. One guy thought he saw something that looked like melted steel WEEKS later. Quite possibly slag from cutting, or even melted lead or aluminum.

2020-08-23T15:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297550977407397889

@russian71805090 @2gether_WeRise Or you could just watch this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bZCO6eUslY4&feature=youtu.be

2020-08-23T15:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297550636343373830

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 Color temperature in photos and videos is not a good indicator of temperature because it varies with exposure. Especially if the hot object is a small part of the auto-exposed scene. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzcodRCLOE8

2020-08-23T14:47:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297546016736989186

@russian71805090 @Thucydides___ @DavidPittelli1 That's because there is no evidence of pre-collapse molten steel.

But we go round and round.

2020-08-23T14:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297545568609132545

@2gether_WeRise Have you looked into it? There are answers available for all your questions.

https://youtu.be/bZCO6eUslY4

2020-08-23T14:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297544147977396224

This fat-shaming review of DC's new Wonder Woman reads almost like a satire of anti-woke tropes. I'm sure there are people who actually think like this, but I wonder if the writer actually does, or is he just writing from a playbook to get a reaction.

https://www.rt.com/op-ed/498638-wonder-woman-dc-comics/

2020-08-23T14:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297536777226342400

So the people asking for "justice" or "disclosure" are constantly frustrated and angry, not comprehending why their ardent requests are met with indifference or mockery from people who have barely heard of them.
Perspective is lacking, on both sides.

2020-08-23T14:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297536067717873664

The illusory Truther goal of "justice" reminds me somewhat of the UFO community's goal of

"disclosure."
In both cases the rationale for the goal lacks good evidence, but the promoters feel they are really in a post-evidence stage, so don't worry about that.

Asymmetry results.

2020-08-23T14:02:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297534569416024064
The 9/11 "Truth" movement thinks the Twin Towers were secretly demolished with explosives, and the planes flying into them were just a cover, even fake. It sounds ridiculous, and it is. Sadly they have roped in victims' family members to try to sue to government to "reveal" this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHEBlmU0AAQEBQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EgHEBlmU0AAQEBQ.jpg
2020-08-23T13:43:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297529965215272960
@TheoryQed @UFOS8N @itsredactedYT @PostDisclosure What's UT? Ultra Terrestrials? Underground Terrestrials? Unknown Terrestrials.

I don't have a hard criteria on specific evidence. The thing is, if I were convinced (by good video, radar, physical artifacts, tech demos, whatever) then most everyone would be. So kinda irrelevant.

2020-08-23T01:40:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297347969579020289
@PostDisclosure @itsredactedYT @UFOS8N I'm open to new evidence.

2020-08-23T00:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1297333910125215745
@UFOS8N @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch That's a good video, but Dave unfortunately keeps looking at situations that are not like the Gimbal video and then saying "that's not like the Gimbal video".

There ARE a few good glares though, so it is actually illuminating if you understand it in context.

2020-08-22T23:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297312696694534144

@UFOS8N @_Kodos_ @itsredactedYT @PostDisclosure I would not debate hard evidence that isnâ□□t debatable.

2020-08-22T21:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297278204340629504

@bobofango86 @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @JLuvin @aka__V Are they all talking about the same thing?

Do they all describe it in the same way?

Do they give any technical details?

Is this all summarized somewhere?

2020-08-22T20:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297275381263659009

@JLuvin @TheOutThere2016 @ChristopherKMe4

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

For more detail, see the links in video description.

2020-08-22T19:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297256234165260288

@DDyckphillip I focus on stuff that makes the news. Stuff that apparently affects politicians.

If there were other valid material in ufology it would make the news.

2020-08-22T19:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297255551051603968

@pjrbarnett @PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 I'm rooting for you, but I think you are wrong. I don't think there's good evidence of aliens visiting or craft that defy physics.

2020-08-22T13:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297169065429983239

@TheOutThere2016 @ChristopherKMe4 It's an old tweet, for some reason it popped up again.

However I don't see any indication anything has changed. TTSA still has the same incorrect analysis of GO FAST on their site. https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2015-go-fast-footage

---

2020-08-22T13:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297164631383965697

@Bobby95975933 Being incorrect or misinterpreting something is not the same as lying.

2020-08-22T13:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297164007653044224

@pjrbarnett @ObiWade People are making incorrect claims about the videos. I point this out, showing why those claims are incorrect. Whatâ□□s wrong with that?

2020-08-22T05:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297040486276849666

@Berserk97981716 @PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 So what if disclosure never happens?

2020-08-22T05:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297040256252829697

@RobAgs0817 A combination. Wishful thinking. Profiteering. Misunderstanding. More wishful thinking. Fun.

2020-08-22T04:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297026569295151104

@PostDisclosure @ExploringLife42 @SkyHub10 After discloser a â□□pushing for disclosureâ□□ skill set isnâ□□t really going to be useful.

2020-08-22T04:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297024232291840002

@zaytsev_evgeny An unidentified plane.

2020-08-22T04:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297023719194468352

@bobofango86 @paulsanderson @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @aka__V How big is merge plot?

2020-08-22T03:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297007679202717697

@PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 I'm just interested in why you think it matters.

2020-08-22T02:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1297000106550468608

@PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 Why Knuth over Shostak, exactly? What has one actually done that the other has not?

2020-08-22T02:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296998676246585347

@PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 But who cares? Why is it important at all. Let's just figure stuff out. What's with the focus on finger pointing? That's not the issue.

2020-08-22T02:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296996022699192320

@PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 Why will it be relevant after "disclosure"?

2020-08-22T02:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296992902157983745

@PostDisclosure @SkyHub10 You know, I think "who gets to be interviewed" is going to be pretty much an irrelevant detail at that point.

I mean, motherfucking alien! Who gives a hoot about interviews. The world will have changed forever.

2020-08-22T02:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296991871822991360

@specrtre @MotherJones People like me?

2020-08-22T02:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296991437943222272

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @DaveSFoley @LtTimMcMillan @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge @robbiewilliams @OmniTalkRadio @nytimes @FoxNews @TuckerCarlson @mjbanias @nickpopemod What do very slightly out-of-focus clouds look like?

But no, it's just another factor to consider.

2020-08-22T02:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296991041791209473

@Pewpew841 True, and I did not notice. But has anything changed?

2020-08-22T00:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296971610776203266

@Manning123Sm @ufo19791 @ufouapam @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 At 13,000 feet the wind blows pretty much in a straight line.

2020-08-22T00:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296971449635241985

@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @dave_beaty @DaveSFoley @LtTimMcMillan @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge @robbiewilliams @OmniTalkRadio @nytimes @FoxNews @TuckerCarlson @mjbanias @nickpopemod Interesting how sometimes the glare is pretty much all you can see. Also interesting the difference focus makes. The all seem to be the same objet at the same zoom. One visible, two IR at different focus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef_EZFmUMAAqao-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef_EZFmUMAAqao-.jpg

2020-08-22T00:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296960313896480768
@DaveFalch @dave_beaty @DaveSFoley @LtTimMcMillan @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge @robbiewilliams @OmniTalkRadio @nytimes @FoxNews @TuckerCarlson @mjbanias @nickpopemod Nice compilation. The analog camera at 1:08. Is that a BST array with spinning chopper disk? It looks like the halos are rotating, and I was wondering if that's an artifact of the chopper.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296960277611651072/pu/img/0RbQAb7jxLcphN44.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296960277611651072/pu/img/0RbQAb7jxLcphN44.jpg
2020-08-21T23:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296958750545154048
@FringeCenter @NIH_LLAMAS What? A bird? No. The Gimbal video almost certainly shows a jet, flying away from the camera. Same with FLIR1/Nimitz

Go Fast might be a bird, but a balloon is far more likely.

2020-08-21T23:48:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296957301220237312
Great compilation of thermal glares by Dave Falch. The commentary somewhat misses the point as he focuses on cases where the glare does NOT obscure the plane (unlike with the Gimbal video). But there's still some examples of that. Different camera though

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=trJnjCxClcM

2020-08-21T23:44:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296956386249605120

@FringeCenter @NIH_LLAMAS Of course it explain it. They simply did not immediately realize what they were looking at in the 90 seconds of the video. That's all.

2020-08-21T23:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296954700579840000

@zadok72872677 @systemisrigged1 @5X5_NEWS @JeremyCorbell Exhibit 1.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-07zdUEAAJ4cE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-07zdUEAAJ4cE.jpg

2020-08-21T23:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296953351502827521

@FringeCenter @NIH_LLAMAS It was an out-of-the-ordinary set of circumstances to them. Gimbal LOOKED like a rotating flying saucer. And it's fun trying to designate what appears to be a super fast target, even if it is just parallax.

2020-08-21T23:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296952916633255936

@Orange86Illini @WFPUSA @RudyGiuliani @AE911Truth That's a good question, to which physics and engineering would supply the answer.

2020-08-21T23:28:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296952363530379264

@edwardcurrent It's interesting, the different with 9/11. UFOlogy has more people whose beliefs are forged by personal experience, and are looking for something to validate those beliefs. Gives a different overall tone.

2020-08-21T23:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296948893322625024

@SicCoP1 @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 I just said I agree with them.

They have hardly said anything about the videos. They have no motive to get their analysis declassified just to tamp down a UFO flap.

Keep pressing them though. I hope they will.

2020-08-21T23:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296948470784253952

@ajwink Hmm, those are contrails from jets descending through a humid layer.

Not much else I can do without a photo though. However the world does not seem to be ending, so I guess it wasn't a missile :)

2020-08-21T23:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296948112506855426

@MadScientistPod @SkyHub10 Any time.

2020-08-21T23:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296948001877852160

@b4277799542 @JLuvin I point out things that people can check for themselves. Like the simple trig that shows GO FAST is not going fast (and IS acting like a balloon)

2020-08-21T23:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296947779881689088

@ajwink Bah. I love "weird streaks" in the sky. Was it anything like these?

https://contrailscience.com/very-unusual-short-tapered-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-vCu_VAAAmpdO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-vCu_VAAAmpdO.jpg

2020-08-21T23:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296947171913162753

@SicCoP1 @PostDisclosure I think the videos show things that are unidentified. I agree with the military there.

I don't think they have no idea what they are. They absolutely would not say the things @ChristopherKMe4 is ascribing to them.

2020-08-21T23:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296946651643273216

@ajwink Contrails often have a start and a finish from when they fly in and out of different areas of humidity, or sometimes different altitudes.

Post a pic. I'm interested.

2020-08-21T23:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296945804750417920

@RayJack62496046 It's not going to change the pixels on the Gimbal video, is it?

2020-08-21T23:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296945506782867456

@SJR78354712 At the very least actually try to address valid questions that get raised.

2020-08-21T22:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296945044029493249

@paulsanderson @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @aka__V @bobofango86 Fravor didn't see high altitude drifting with instantaneous drops.

The radar did not see "ping-pong" like behavior. Nor did it see the "mirroring" Fravor described.

2020-08-21T22:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296944567409770496

@JLuvin @PostDisclosure What about Mellon's analysis. Want to take a swing at that?

Which video are you even talking about? in all three cases the object is in front of the jet and ends up closer by the end of the video.

2020-08-21T22:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296943924892667905

Facebook recommended I join this group today. It has one rule, which seems to be designed to keep me (specifically) out, so people can discuss aliens without fear of being debunked.

A walled garden. Makes me a little sad, but I get it. Maybe I should tone it down sometimes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-rD3BUYAAujLJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef-rD3BUYAAujLJ.jpg
2020-08-21T22:40:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296940323906572288
@ObiWade They are legitimate videos. They don't show what Chris says they show.

2020-08-21T22:39:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296940108961071110
When you cite a document as evidence of you assertion, it's generally a good idea to make sure it's not one about something that happened 11 years earlier.

@ChristopherKMe4 - the GO FAST video has nothing to do with the Nimitz incident.

It acts like a ballon. https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1260628474039017473

2020-08-21T22:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296939055683313666
@ufo19791 @ufouapam @LueElizondo @ChristopherKMe4 I'm sure you are thinking of "GO FAST", which is a different case to "GIMBAL"

GO FAST is probably a balloon. GIMBAL is probably a jet or a drone.

2020-08-21T22:33:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296938628203962368
@PostDisclosure He is 100% not citing the DoD's conclusions. The DoD would reject a jet moving in that manner, but that's not the actual hypothesis. They absolutely would not reject the idea that a glare could cover a jet.

You know that to be true. Because you've seen glares cover jets.

2020-08-21T22:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296937737577062402

@b4277799542 Lots of people understand it. It's not that complicated. Heck, what part of "glare sometimes is larger than the object making the glare) is difficult?

You just need to put in a little effort.

2020-08-21T22:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296936844639182848

@Dannyma55083668 Probably a variety of things, but mostly loss of situational awareness by pilots on no sleep misjudging distances.

2020-08-21T22:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296936371429314560

@ufouapam @LueElizondo You could start by explaining why @ChristopherKMe4's statement that "the aircraft would be visible, not just the heat of the exhaust" comports with the numerous examples of jets (like these F18s) being obscure by the glare of their engines? http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296935716526546944/pu/img/LmiaB90NQAEg6EOM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296935716526546944/pu/img/LmiaB90NQAEg6EOM.jpg

2020-08-21T22:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296935436309258240

@TenoriThrowaway Well, he is wrong. A high-schooler could see it if they just took the time to actually look at the science. Mellon is not stupid, he's just not bothering to do any work.

2020-08-21T22:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296934518826262528

Christopher Mellon demonstrating yet again that TTSA simply do not understand the explanations that have been put forward for the FLIR1, GIMBAL, and GOFAST videos.

Anyone actually following this discussion will realize this.
https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1260628417910845442

2020-08-21T22:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296932218749648897

@Oswald1160 @buffcommacu @hughespj1 @aka__V @JLuvin @paulsanderson @bobofango86 He blocked me too.

2020-08-21T20:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296909448254910464

@ZombieSymmetry @MotherJones I think back in the 80s it was more driven by religion. However, also a conservative fear of societal change.

2020-08-21T19:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296886277950681089

@paulreilly @MotherJones QAnon isn't Q. It's the entire Q-derived culture. Q's posts are hardly good examples of clarity.

2020-08-21T18:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296884448328179712

@paulreilly @MotherJones Pizzagate was a QAnon precursor.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pizzagate_conspiracy_theory#Global_spread,_merger_with_QAnon

---

2020-08-21T18:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296883705315639297

@JLuvin @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @paulsanderson @bobofango86 That report only describes the sudden drops as being seen on the SPY1 radar. 60,000 feet to a stop at 50 feet is obviously a glitch or a bug.

2020-08-21T18:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296881149269032960

@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure Sorry, I mean LARGER angle i(of the object from your forward direction) = lower closing velocity.
Head towards someting (angle = 0Â°) -> maximum closing velocity.

2020-08-21T18:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296880226870296576

@LaserStronghold Propellor planes also make contrails. Contrails are made by exhaust gasses. Check out this old film. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wfOrez6q7WM

2020-08-21T18:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296879875299536897

Why are right-wing conspiracies so obsessed with pedophilia? The answer might got back

hundreds of years to "blood libel."
https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2019/07/why-are-right-wing-conspiracies-so-obsessed-with-pedophilia/ via @MotherJones

---

2020-08-21T18:35:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296878718816665600
@CuriousUap @conorowens94 @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure VC is decreasing in GoFast because the plane is essentially flying past the object. Angle goes from 35Â°L to 58Â°L. Smaller angle = lower closing velocity.

2020-08-21T18:28:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296876914812973056
@HarveyGMcDonald @Thucydides___ @uelbus @alexxdesignn @JeremyCorbell @CBMDP So you're saying I have credibility?

2020-08-21T17:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296865803396997120
Classic WWII contrail photo turns out to be fake!
Although the original WWII contrail photo (without Big Ben) is real, and it's a magnificent example of an early (1940) contrail photo.
https://twitter.com/ThisDayInWWI/status/1296504289834172422

2020-08-21T17:41:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296865069699981313
@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @JLuvin @paulsanderson @bobofango86 I hope you are not using TV shows as some gold standard for research. A lot of the stuff on the Unidentified show was verifiably wrong - and wrong in a way that proper research should have found.

2020-08-21T17:38:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296864318248513536
@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure My understanding from the Corbell interview was that the Mach numbers came from the partial ("could not hack it) ID/ping/return that Underwood's plane's radar got.

2020-08-21T16:08:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296841612731887617
@JLuvin @aka__V @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 Odd argument. An assumed statistically unlikely but really unknown probability one-off conjunction of relatively boring events vs. an unknown probability unknown event of possible epochal significance.

What are the odds of someone winning the lottery? It never happens?

2020-08-21T16:04:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296840723711442944
@hoaxeye @fakehistoryhunt @ThisDayInWWI Fascinating. I think it's exact same background, just distorted a bit, like a photo of a photo.

2020-08-21T15:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296827293931773953
RT @psychunseen: Blogpost #101: How Far Down the QAnon Rabbit Hole Did Your Loved One Fall?

What to do when someone you love becomes obsesâ¦

2020-08-21T14:15:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296813271563943937
@JLuvin @aka__V @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 Or the Seattle windshield pitting epidemic.

2020-08-21T14:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296812371147513857
@JLuvin @aka__V @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @bobofango86 I donâ□□t think experts are idiots. They are human.

2020-08-21T14:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296810401024237569
@conorowens94 @HarveyGMcDonald @uelbus @alexxdesignn @JeremyCorbell @CBMDP Anti-glare is to stop reflection glare, not local glare. Two different things.

2020-08-21T13:34:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296802859745341440
@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure Thatâ□□s not the speed, itâ□□s glitching. He thought that was jamming.

2020-08-21T05:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296681884798205955
The problem with finding natural explanations of unique events in space is it's practically impossible to run any tests or experiments. It's amazing we even have reasonable hypotheses about things in the sky.

A few questions about physics does not mean the next step is aliens!
https://twitter.com/g_knapp/status/1296282262313422848

2020-08-21T01:53:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296626351449890816
@HarveyGMcDonald @uelbus @alexxdesignn @JeremyCorbell @CBMDP Swell, I already talked to one. I just need to finish writing up the transcript.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef6KyNRVAAAcmJ7.jpg

---

flashlight video today. Just a round shape. But then say like with the Gimbal video, when it's got those "wings", the thing that actually turns. "Wings" is tricky to use, because we are talking UFOs here, but you know what I mean.

M: Yeah, like the saucer shape. A long axis and a short axis.
K: Right, saucer shape. That, in my experience, is usually scratches in the, ironic, anti-glare coating that's on the glass of the forward section. Scratches from dust, from crew chiefs and pilots wiping off the dust. In my experience.

M: I was just thinking, I did that video on the different types of glare. Would that anti-glare coating on the front of the pod, that's really to prevent reflection showing up outside the pod? So, like, glinting and things like that [K: Yeah] it would really affect anything inside of the pod - it's not to stop glare getting through, it's to stop reflections on the outside.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef6KyNRVAAAcmJ7.jpg

2020-08-21T00:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296611832640057344

@HarveyGMcDonald @uelbus @alexxdesignn @JeremyCorbell @CBMDP Not vigorously, I must admit. A lot on my plate right now.

2020-08-21T00:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296611628947869696

@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure Yeah, and as Underwood recalls, the radar was unable to "hack it", and could not get the speed or heading of the target, so not being able to get range seems correct.

2020-08-21T00:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296603204646404096

@uelbus @HarveyGMcDonald @alexxdesignn @JeremyCorbell I'd love it!

2020-08-21T00:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296603058357510144

@ReverendRude @6ebquj5 @michaelshermer Yes, it does. That's the whole point. The question is WHY it appears to be rotating.

Maybe start here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2020-08-21T00:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296602478541074433

@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure It's not "wrong" as far as I know. It's an indicator that there's no range data. If there's no range data, then that's the correct indicator.
Like 12:00 is the wrong time, but flashing 12:00 has a specific meaning.
Common coding thing, not great UI.

2020-08-20T21:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296566267533377536

@nathanatkinson @PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell I'm discussing what information is in the video. Surely that's useful if you want to include the video in a broader discussion?

2020-08-20T21:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296565914993692672

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell Right, I disagree with him. I think he's wrong. I explain why he's wrong, and I demonstrate it. He does not address the other losses of lock.

2020-08-20T20:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296549096077922310

@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure I think it's below the horizontal plane, or the plane defined by the forward axis, and the wing axis projected onto the horizontal plane that passes through the center of rotation. i.e. corrected for roll.

We can tell this as this does not change as the bank angles changes.

2020-08-20T20:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296547552351752193

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell No, it implies he thought the final loss of lock was due to object movement, and not 2x zoom change (which actually occurs AFTER the loss). He does not address at all the other times lock is visibly lost (and re-acquired) when changing modes, or during the two gimbal rolls.

2020-08-20T20:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296546513741463552

@conorowens94 @CuriousUap @uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure I'm told 99.9 just means no range value is available. It's an indicator, like flashing 12:00 on a clock. It does not mean anything has been scrambled, just that no range was acquired when he toggled the range display.

2020-08-20T20:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296545866774323201

@conorowens94 @PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell It was a change from NAR to WFOV, which is a physical lens change.

He seems to be only discussing the "1 to 2x zoom" at that point.

2020-08-20T19:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296536889646657536

@DericKnight @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 It is on the computer. The human just designates targets. Once lock is fully lost they would have to move the camera and re-designate it. Computer algorithm tries to keep lock and tries to regain after initial loss

---

2020-08-20T19:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296523921273942017

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell So you think he said "the FLIR doesnâ□□t lose lock just by changing modes"? That is not a direct quote, and he only addresses changing zoom. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef4tfpvU0AAdLap.jpg

---

```
00:11:28:04,00:11:32:02,basically it's gone they also say that
00:11:30:22,00:11:34:18,there was a two time
00:11:32:02,00:11:35:19,zoom right at that moment when the tic
00:11:34:18,00:11:38:06,tac ufo
00:11:35:19,00:11:40:04,appears to shoot off to the left so it's
00:11:38:06,00:11:42:10,an exaggerated speed
00:11:40:04,00:11:43:05,did you lose track on it what what makes
00:11:42:10,00:11:45:05,it go left
00:11:43:05,00:11:46:16,when you go from one to two x or
00:11:45:05,00:11:47:13,something like that one to two times
00:11:46:16,00:11:49:23,zoom
00:11:47:13,00:11:52:02,you're not dropping the track and trying
00:11:49:23,00:11:54:10,to reacquire it in two times zoom
00:11:52:02,00:11:55:19,at no point will it drop track think
00:11:54:10,00:11:56:20,about it like you're looking at your
00:11:55:19,00:11:58:14,iphone from
00:11:56:20,00:12:00:20,12 inches away and all of a sudden you
00:11:58:14,00:12:01:13,just put it in six inches away from your
00:12:00:20,00:12:02:22,face
00:12:01:13,00:12:04:10,that's the only difference you're not
00:12:02:22,00:12:05:23,dropping that lock all you're doing is
00:12:04:10,00:12:07:05,basically just trying to get a closer
00:12:05:23,00:12:09:03,look at it
00:12:07:05,00:12:11:01,i go through all the zoom features and
00:12:09:03,00:12:13:05,i'm not dropping its track
00:12:11:01,00:12:16:04,the track is there the entire time it
00:12:13:05,00:12:18:20,broke my lock by zooming off to the left
00:12:16:04,00:12:19:07,so you're telling me the tic tac ufo
00:12:18:20,00:12:21:05,shot
00:12:19:07,00:12:24:14,off to the left the targeting pod lost
00:12:21:05,00:12:26:18,track because it moved yeah the flare
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef4tfpvU0AAdLap.jpg

2020-08-20T18:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296516823534321664

@uelbus @PostDisclosure @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell Yeah, citation needed.

He says changing digital zoom will not make it lose lock. That the right answer to the wrong question.

2020-08-20T18:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296516392498290688

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell And digital zoom changes (1x to 2x and back) should not make it lose lock at all. This is something Corbell totally misunderstood. He's obviously conflating the explanation of the sudden 2x visual jump with the explanation of the earlier track loss. Totally different things.

2020-08-20T18:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296515740325965824

@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell Changing modes here means changing camera or changing lenses. The system will be designed to keep the target centered during these changes. But it's impossible to be mechanically perfect. Most of the time it gets the lock back. One time it does not.

2020-08-20T18:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296514376740900865
@FightertownUSA @YvanDutil I would be happy to discuss things with him. Technology is not really a "debate" though. You just examine the facts and figure stuff out.

2020-08-20T18:26:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296514011668701184
@alo_pumpa That's not the issue. You can actually tell that he's wrong if you follow my argument. And his rebuttal didn't really address my argument.

Other engineers and pilots agree with me. But science and engineering is not a democracy.

2020-08-20T17:56:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296506464589291521
@alo_pumpa I'm not. I'm explaining why he's wrong.

2020-08-20T17:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296506250281152513
@FightertownUSA @YvanDutil That would be a rotating glare.

Terminology is a bit loose here. Here is what I mean by "glare" (stray light around a very bright light (or IR) source)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2020-08-20T17:53:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296505670934466565
@FightertownUSA It's "Jeremy did not actually understand the argument, so presented a strawman, which was rightly shot down"

Longer response:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-08-20T17:51:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296505195828932608
@SteveCLitch @michaelshermer This is the closest visible light shot from the "Tic-Tac" video.

A) Tic-Tac Shaped?
B) In Focus?
C) White? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef4caEBVAAIC403.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef4caEBVAAIC403.jpg
2020-08-20T17:48:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296504517156941824
@PostDisclosure @uelbus @CuriousAnthrop1 @JeremyCorbell @chad_vfa41 @corbell No. He did not, as I explained in my response video.

The way it works is in conflict with Corbell's (and apparently your) misunderstanding of my assessment.

2020-08-20T17:00:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296492276370345985
@hughespj1 @aka__V @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @paulsanderson @JLuvin How many sources saw the drop from 50,000 ft to 500 ft?

What impossible seeming movements were corroborated by multiple sources. Same exact event?

2020-08-20T15:27:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296468862347685890
@uelbus @PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure The FLIR1 TV footage is at a longer distance, and appears to be very blurry. It seems to show an irregular shaped thing turning left, like maybe a plane of some sort, out of focus.

2020-08-20T14:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296459769532866560
@RollingRock no

2020-08-20T14:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296457467833004032

@RollingRock I'm quite sure some of the sightings are "man made secret aircraft." I think it unlikely any of them are ETs.

2020-08-20T14:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296456899064410113

It's suggested that the Navy UFO videos are not planes because you can't see the wings. But in this promotional video by Lockheed Martin the Air-To-Air example they give is tracking a plane that appears as a blob, with no visible wings.

https://youtu.be/q7_wU4rxuFg?t=75

2020-08-20T14:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296455309028925444

@RollingRock What do you mean by "real"? Just unidentified? Of course there are some unidentified flying things.

2020-08-20T14:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296455146961018885

@JamesWe14200015 It's irrelevant. I point out things that people can check for themselves.

2020-08-20T14:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296454872708112385

@PstarmanUa @uelbus @PostDisclosure https://youtu.be/jWjpnCKcj8M?t=127

When they zoom in after that clip, the situation is actually similar to the FLIR1 video. glare on the right, plane flying left.

2020-08-20T14:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296452365990662146

@uelbus @PostDisclosure And there's this classic example. Four FA-18s, looking like blobs with a glowing aura.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296452109903118336/pu/img/n8MA-Jsnu9N9n29Q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1296452109903118336/pu/img/n8MA-Jsnu9N9n29Q.jpg
2020-08-20T14:19:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296451693941579776
@JLuvin @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @paulsanderson Appealing to statistics based on pure assumptions is a fallacy.

2020-08-20T14:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296451524412026882
@JLuvin @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @paulsanderson That is not what I'm saying. I think there were several different things happening. Only the radar glitchs (like the 500g drop) are likely malfunctions.

The drifting objects might not be glitches.

What Fravor saw was not a glitch.

Underwood did not film a glitch.

2020-08-20T14:01:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296447287716122630
@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bobofango86 @paulsanderson @JLuvin Bugs often arise post deployment in any situation. Even on super high value things, like missions to Mars.

You can't simulate, or even physically test, all combinations of weather, coastal topology, fleet configuration, speed, etc.

Captain said he thought it was ice clouds.

2020-08-20T13:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296446092486356999

@JamesWe14200015 They did not see it directly, they just saw the IR video - same as you see (except on a small screen while doing other things, briefly). It was too far away to see visually (that's like a 100x zoom)

2020-08-20T13:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296435331043078145

@conorowens94 @Manning123Sm And so?

2020-08-20T13:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296435046027534336

@JackFrostvc George Knapp got it. The language isnâ□□t really official sounding.

2020-08-20T12:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296430656671698947

@Dannyma55083668 @PostDisclosure Th Chilean UFO was a plane. Iberian flight 6830

2020-08-20T12:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296429825947729920

RT @RepKinzinger: Here is a reminder of QAnonâ□□s track record, this is the first â□□dropâ□□ that shows all the â□□insider knowledge.â□□ Letâ□□s be clâ□¦

2020-08-20T04:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296305482525630464

RT @AlexBNewhouse: folks:

Trump did not just give credibility to a "conspiracy theory". he gave credibility to a fascistic, pseudo-religiouâ□¦

2020-08-20T04:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296299215446880260

@conorowens94 @Manning123Sm Ever seen it?

2020-08-20T04:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296298939394609152

@uelbus @conorowens94 @PostDisclosure And itâ□□s not actusllyblimo shaped, too irregular.

2020-08-20T04:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296298635685056512

@uelbus @conorowens94 @PostDisclosure It seems to be moving too fast to be anything other than a plane.

2020-08-20T04:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296298036360970240

@tommyIzito @Manning123Sm No. The 0 degrees relates to the rotation. Glare depends on optics and exposure.

2020-08-20T01:23:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296256539083157505

@uelbus @PostDisclosure That's visible light, so it's representative of the shape of the plane. I don't know what type of plane it is.

2020-08-20T00:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296249806902370306

@uelbus @PostDisclosure That's not the glare. The image of the plane rotates, but remains horizontal. The angle of the glare changes. Video maybe tomorrow to explain this.

2020-08-20T00:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296249516002164736

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Parsing words now. I mean they did not travel to the position indicated by the vector. They were given a vector, then pointed the plane in that direction. If that is called vectoring, then I take it back. I thought vectoring was control giving you directions until you arrive.

2020-08-20T00:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296248916564819968

@uelbus @PostDisclosure Because the glare is just the bright spot on the right end. Which rotates when the camera rotates.

2020-08-20T00:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296248761140748289

@uelbus @PostDisclosure Yeah, try that like 10 times further away

---

2020-08-20T00:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296240283034648578

@uelbus @PostDisclosure I simply mean so he can keep it in view for longer. Watch it from when you can REALLY see the wings. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-OErbCKfZ2A&t=52s

---

2020-08-20T00:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296238087962468352

@uelbus @PostDisclosure It's IR, and any vestige of wings would be gone in a few seconds if Dave were higher up.

2020-08-19T23:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296233797051023361

@WilsonSeagull How about out at sea, international waters, etc?

2020-08-19T23:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296233541726920706

@PostDisclosure There are examples. You should know about them by now. The end of some of Dave's videos, for example. Obviously the glare is getting larger and larger relative to the plane. Eventually it covers it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef0lbxQU8AIBSg3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ef0IbxQU8AIBSg3.jpg

2020-08-19T23:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296232922773512193

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson How big was this range? How close was Chad to the edge?

2020-08-19T23:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296232731123179520

@buffcommacu Glare is cause by a jet engine, generally attached to a plane. Planes show up on radar, if they are close enough.

2020-08-19T23:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296232500113502208

@JackFrostvc Just watch it, it's pretty obvious.

2020-08-19T23:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296232417078865920

@Manning123Sm It's only going to happen when there's:

A) Glare around a small bright (hot) source
B) A shape to the glare, maybe due to wiped glass, or something internal.
C) That all being big enough to cover the source plane
D) Tracking the source of the glare past 0Â°

2020-08-19T22:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296209752045654016

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson He got a bearing and a range and lo and behold he got a blip and then a FLIR lock.

2020-08-19T22:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296207675466739713

@buffcommacu Yes, these are the anomalies that float to the top because they seem interesting.

2020-08-19T22:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296207239821238272

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson It's got IR glare. Just not a super big one. It rotates.

2020-08-19T22:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296207038406471681

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson They were not vectored there, he pointed the plane in a direction, and the FLIR picked something up visually in that direction. He did not fly to a point and there it was.

It might be 20 miles PAST where the ping was.

2020-08-19T22:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296206691327860736

@buffcommacu Seriously. It's not something you can just magically solve. You can expose for the small bright source and everything goes black, or you can expose for the scene, and you get glare. To do both requires either multiple exposures (like HDR on a phone) or astonishing HDR sensors.

2020-08-19T22:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296206014526525440

@WilsonSeagull It's investigating airspace incursions that are initially unidentified. Sound good. Lots of problems with drones, and maybe foreign powers with novel aerial platforms.

2020-08-19T21:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296204286729179137

@buffcommacu How do you "solve" glare?

2020-08-19T21:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296203582627184640

@AstrobiologyDay @OmniTalkRadio I have neither edited that Wiki (as I'm not French), nor have I said the videos are a hoax.

I have always assumed they are genuine Navy videos. Maybe used for training - hence the descriptive file names.

2020-08-19T21:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296201798374440966

The Gimbal UFO video famously shows a "rotating" object when the camera rotates. An optical artifact.

We can see the camera rotate in the Nimitz FLIR1 video, so why does that not also show this rotation?

Actually, it does.

And this rotation might hold a clue.

2020-08-19T21:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296200754877698048

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Also - there's almost nothing to link "tracking by multiple assets" to this video. You are just making an assumption.

2020-08-19T21:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296199816679055360

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Everything in ufology instantly become irrelevant as soon as it seems like there's an explanation for it.

But instantly before that point, it's a big fucking deal.

2020-08-19T19:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296170496887726080

@2gether_WeRise Keep making loose predictions based on history and eventually you'll get something that fits.

2020-08-19T19:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296163181631479808

@2gether_WeRise Dutchsinse has no idea what he's talking about. He's just Texas sharpshooting. Connecting unrelated events.

2020-08-19T19:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296162817079296000

@bobofango86 Depends.

2020-08-19T17:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296141935225081856

@2gether_WeRise *lightning

2020-08-19T17:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296137694351777793

@2gether_WeRise These were mostly from lighting.

2020-08-19T17:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296137527326212096

@alo_pumpa @MarcDefant Who?

2020-08-19T17:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296132803256389632

Fire temperature overlay. That's a lot of fire area.

https://col.st/a38RA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfzJxhDUcAAUHQM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfzJxhDUcAAUHQM.jpg

2020-08-19T17:09:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296132266024787968

@alo_pumpa Not that I see evidence of. It's possible though.

2020-08-19T17:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296132121061253120

Current wildfires over California. GOES-17 Satellite loop.

https://col.st/vo3Lu http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EfzI8VJVAAA4aYV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EfzI8VJVAAA4aYV.jpg

2020-08-19T17:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296130683937513474
@alo_pumpa I said nothing like that. Please check your sources.

I think aliens exist.

2020-08-19T17:01:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296130132097171456
@alo_pumpa High enough for what? Are all people with high IQ automatically correct about everything?

Do we judge arguments simply by saying the person with the highest IQ is the winner?

2020-08-19T16:56:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296128890209890305
@HarveyGMcDonald @edwardcurrent @emilyma36336071 @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @JeremyCorbell @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

---

2020-08-19T15:10:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296102269465718785
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Yes, he should. But he did not.

So what exactly DID he do, directly after what we see on the video?

2020-08-19T15:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296101561781772288
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Multiple other ships and Hawkeye? Where do you get that from? All he said (00:05:24) was "we got a vector from Princeton saying 'hey, investigate target on this bearing and range'"

2020-08-19T15:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296100721314562048
@uelbus @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @paulsanderson I was looking at this earlier. At the start it's mostly glare, but at the end I think you are also seeing the body of the jet, with engines on the right, still giving a slight glare.

2020-08-19T15:02:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296100342656987136
@Oswald1160 @uelbus @JLuvin @paulsanderson In TV mode it seems to be backlit. There's no glare, or any noticeable light. It's just a black shape agains the sky. That too changes shape.

2020-08-19T14:59:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296099572435963904
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson More UFO-curious.

2020-08-19T14:59:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296099499115331585
@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Source:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-08-19T14:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296099388524093445

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson 4.2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfyrdmRU8AAABFF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfyrdmRU8AAABFF.jpg

2020-08-19T14:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296098782765969408

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson I'm ufo community adjacent. I suspect he does not like being questioned.

2020-08-19T14:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296098621780189190

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson How can you lose Venus in your binoculars? It's not even moving.

And it's not slow moving. It's moving a degree every few seconds. The FOV is like 0.35Â°

2020-08-19T14:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296098331219726337

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson Chad does not wish to engage with the UFO community.

2020-08-19T14:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296098186499481600

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson If all you have is video, then the F18 isn't magically going to find things for you.

He needed to zoom out, recenter the object, and then toggle autotrack again to reacquire it.

And it was probably more like 40 miles.

2020-08-19T14:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296097666305126403

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson The "report" says he lost it, then continued with training mission.

I'd like a second by second breakdown of event in the 60 seconds after it drifted out of frame. What he did with the FLIR camera is important thing. Did he zoom out? Did he pan left?

2020-08-19T14:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296096803012538368

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson It's closer at the end of the video than at the start.

What LOOKS like what happened it that it was no-longer on screen, and he just didn't get it back. Kind of like looking at Venus through high magnification camera. Hard to find if it goes out of frame.

2020-08-19T14:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296095876775993346

@uelbus @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @paulsanderson Well, it's not a featureless tic-tac, that's for sure.

2020-08-19T14:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296095351774928896

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson And I'm not "throwing it out", I'm asking why it's relevant. The object was very likely within 10Â° of where he lost it for the next minute. But that's a huge area to search once you've lost SA (mental SA, not the display)

2020-08-19T14:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296094949008580608

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @20 How does he know how far away the object in the video is? He does not even know if it's the same "blip" he got earlier.

2020-08-19T14:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296094755810504705

@JLuvin @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson So you are suggesting it went 20 miles in seconds? How many? Ten? So 7,200 mph?

It basically just drifted off the left side, at the exact same speed the camera was tracking it before it lost lock. Chad made no attempt to follow it with the camera. Then he lost it.

2020-08-19T14:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296092652270579712

@JackFrostvc Which report was that?

2020-08-19T14:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296091378246496258

@DusterePodcast So you are saying just ignore all the actual contradictions?

Report: No Jamming Cues
Chad: Jamming Cues.

Report: -5Â°
Video: +5Â°

Report: No discernable movement (before end)
Video: camera movement, so how can you tell?

2020-08-19T14:17:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296089002106187777
@JackFrostvc
https://media.lasvegasnow.com/nxsglobal/lasvegasnow/document_dev/2018/05/18/TIC%20TAC%20UFO%20EXECUTIVE%20REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

---

2020-08-19T14:16:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296088759612522496
@Oswald1160 @JLuvin @paulsanderson It sounds very plausible that the radar object and the video object were different. He says he was not getting a good reading from the radar. He has also said it was consistent with a weather event, just everything else made him think not.

But what if it was unrelated? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Efyhy_nU4AAVxvJ.jpg

---

Have you ever seen a weather event on an ATFLIR?
I would say if I captured this object on my sensors independently, like I was the only one that saw it or tracked it, I might have blown it off as something like a weather event. But the amount of people and sensors from other independent sources who found it — given the time period Dave Fravor saw it, and an hour and a half later I went out and saw it, and we captured basically an object with the same description — leads me to believe that a weather event would be unlikely.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Efyhy_nU4AAVxvJ.jpg
2020-08-19T14:13:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296087840204271622
@DusterePodcast The bits I underlined are the contradictions.

2020-08-19T14:12:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1296087638135382017
@Oswald1160 @JLuvin @paulsanderson And this whole 70Â° thing is a bit bemusing. He's saying that camera can go (roughly) 70Â° left or right. More than that and he has to turn the plane. But +/-70Â° is basically everything that's not behind the plane. It seems irrelevant.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfygwxPUcAATEhT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfygwxPUcAATEhT.jpg

2020-08-19T13:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1296081630000377856

Corbell interviews pilot about a UFO incident. Says an older report backs him up. The report directly contradicts the pilot. It says the plane was below him with no radar jamming indicators, not moving. It was actually above him and now he says he was jammed, and it "shot off"

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1295967903624212480

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfybNqHUMAAxs7P.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfybNqHUMAAxs7P.jpg

2020-08-19T05:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295953869298470912

@theyre_heeeere What do you think is questionable?

2020-08-19T05:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295952226246987777

@_Desmoden @JunoWalker @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Strobe lines are a thing in radar jamming. Strobe lights are not.

2020-08-19T04:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295941362638938112

@_Desmoden @JunoWalker @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Strobe light?

2020-08-19T00:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295880603502428160

@JLuvin @conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell Each of those "fast movements" actually being, verifiably, the camera.

2020-08-19T00:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295878358442471425

@JLuvin @conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell He just could't find it. It was, in all likelihood, near where he lost it.

2020-08-19T00:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295877897865932801

@realufobros multiple independent viewpoints and good quality video, preferably daytime.

2020-08-19T00:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295877714411311104

@Jim94334698 https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2020-08-19T00:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295877453487812613

@h43z First tool of problem solving: Ask yourself if you have seen the problem5 before.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X5FetmT32L4

2020-08-19T00:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295875513957806080

@JLuvin @paulsanderson How would he know if it was in a 70 degree field of view. It's too small to see.

2020-08-19T00:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295875266032459778

@_Desmoden @JunoWalker @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell I'm curious as to why he (apparently) previously said there were no strobe lines.

What's up with that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfvfpfCUcAA2HRz.jpg

---



All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfvfpfCUcAA2HRz.jpg

2020-08-18T23:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295871844130549760

@JLuvin @paulsanderson Well, the original report says that he simply did not slew the camera to follow it, and then he lost it.

2020-08-18T23:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295871456119676928

@CharlesActs412 @David_Burt_Art @fungus_among_us @CountryBoy3090 @conspiracyb0t Clouds are made of water. They are the same as contrails. Contrails are just another type of cloud. Sometimes it is too dry for them to last, sometimes it is not.

2020-08-18T23:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295863939339755526

@CharlesActs412 @SwingTheHammer2 @fungus_among_us @CountryBoy3090 @conspiracyb0t No books on clouds mention "chemtrails". None. In all recorded history, including the last 20 years. The chemtrail theory started in the 1990s.

2020-08-18T23:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295863600209211392

@SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Why would they refute it? It would almost certainly be classified.

2020-08-18T23:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295862104491044865

@JLuvin @paulsanderson Did you watch the "No Sudden Moves" video? It's all there.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-08-18T23:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295861796549533696

@CharlesActs412 @David_Burt_Art @fungus_among_us @CountryBoy3090 @conspiracyb0t

Why do all the books on clouds EVER say that contrails sometimes fade away, and sometimes persist and spread?

2020-08-18T22:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295844294603845632

14 years investigating chemtrails. Countless posts, videos, a peer reviewed paper, TV appearances, and a book.

Kids today, ain't got no respect. :) https://t.co/FXvloFGz0k

2020-08-18T22:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295843616896630784

@HarveyGMcDonald @CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified I did, he has.

2020-08-18T21:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295839845168766976

@HarveyGMcDonald @CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified Yeah, I've asked like that before, not got any bites.

2020-08-18T21:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295839142304079873

@fungus_among_us @CountryBoy3090 @CharlesActs412 @conspiracyb0t That's not what all the books on clouds for the last 70 year say. Did you look at them. The video shows them. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-08-18T21:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295838666439327744

@HarveyGMcDonald @CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified Who? How?

2020-08-18T21:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295838591361245184

@fungus_among_us @CountryBoy3090 @CharlesActs412 @conspiracyb0t Did you watch the video, it's only three minutes, and looks at books on clouds going back nearly 70 years. They all seem to agree.

2020-08-18T21:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295837589836988416

@CountryBoy3090 @CharlesActs412 @fungus_among_us @conspiracyb0t All the books on clouds seem to disagree, and say that BOTH those images show contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-08-18T21:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295836982015188992

@tiller4riller I did, and here is my response. I would appreciate it if you watch it, and give feedback.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-18T21:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295836729190912000
@HarveyGMcDonald @CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified I would be happy to. Who will do it?

2020-08-18T21:34:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295836390463123456
@of_skeptical I demonstrate where I think he is wrong. Did you watch the demonstration?

Do you have a response other than declaring it impossible that Underwood could be wrong?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-18T20:42:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295823422837030912
@CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified I'm not struggling. I mean "pixels" is pretty straightforward. But I'll DM you, happy for more input.

2020-08-18T20:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295813770283855873
@CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified Autotrack is using the video feed to direct the camera. That's all.

2020-08-18T19:34:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295806245144649728
@CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified Did you talk to Fravor? He said it was a passive track. You can tell by the bars.

2020-08-18T19:05:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295798871977680897
@CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified Fravor said (on Rogan) it was a passive track, tracking pixels. i.e. autotrack.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfuaKRIUwAIEOrM.jpg

732
00:25:11,279 --> 00:25:15,889
altitude hold it's just flying for that

733
00:25:13,980 --> 00:25:20,100
so you can go ahead and play the video

734
00:25:15,890 --> 00:25:21,870
and so those two bars next to the white

735
00:25:20,099 --> 00:25:23,998
object that's a that's a that's a

736
00:25:21,869 --> 00:25:26,218
passive track so what he's done is he's

737
00:25:24,000 --> 00:25:28,290
commanded the FLIR to track that so what

738
00:25:26,220 --> 00:25:30,298
the system does is it uses its actually

739
00:25:28,289 --> 00:25:32,159
tracking it can track pixels and it's

740
00:25:30,298 --> 00:25:33,689
just basically blocked those hot pixels

741
00:25:32,160 --> 00:25:36,209
those white pixels from the black ones

742
00:25:33,690 --> 00:25:38,369
and you're gonna see now pause it real

743
00:25:36,210 --> 00:25:39,629
quick so it over the top see it went to

744
00:25:38,369 --> 00:25:41,219
a white screen with the black object

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfuaKRIUwAIEOrM.jpg
2020-08-18T18:59:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295797454902722561
@CBMDP @edwardcurrent @JeremyCorbell @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @emilyma36336071 @PostDisclosure @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @AFSUnidentified You can see it's using autotrack, and what Chad describes (bars widening to reacquire lock) is autotrack. I'm pretty sure Fravor also said it was autotrack.

2020-08-18T18:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295791447271026688
@Acecentric @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell His feelings seem to have developed into beliefs now.

2020-08-18T18:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295790758604070912
@of_skeptical I'm showing you things you can look at yourself. I'm not asserting anything, just pointing out stuff that you can verify is there.

2020-08-18T17:00:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295767646047150080
@conorowens94 @ChucalescuJR I've not done any game programming for years now. I play the occasional FPS or Mario Kart.

2020-08-18T16:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295766703423414273
@stang289 Nearly. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FFHKEATnmB4

2020-08-18T16:49:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295764667080425474
@ChucalescuJR No, I was mostly helping designing gameplay mechanics, the controls, and broad game structure. The individual level designers came up with a huge array of interesting stuff. https://tonyhawkgames.fandom.com/wiki/Ollie_the_Magic_Bum

---

2020-08-18T16:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295763549139034113
@MotiioTom @uelbus I'm suggesting he is wrong.

2020-08-18T16:06:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295753980618801152
@ChucalescuJR Nah, just programming, game design, technical directing and suchlike.

2020-08-18T15:58:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295751955394912257
@GoateeLue @chad_vfa41 He said the 99.9 was "null", meaning no range had yet been acquired.

2020-08-18T15:54:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295750951081349120
"Pretending I'm a Superman: The Tony Hawk Video Game Story" Is now available for streaming

on multiple platforms.
It's a fascinating look at the history of THPS in the context of the development in skate culture. Also features me.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DyHQDZ_rGrk
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eftul_jUEAEu4Sw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eftul_jUEAEu4Sw.jpg
2020-08-18T15:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295746695267880964
@Imtbmusic @uelbus You too can examine video.

2020-08-18T15:37:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295746589797920769
@Bhima_Jenkins @uelbus The FLIR did lock on. But the FLIR isn't going to figure out what type of aircraft it is, it just sees a blob of pixels and then tries to keep it in the reticle. Expanding search when it loses it.

2020-08-18T15:32:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295745507621400577
@Jim94334698 @zervin_za_nero No, they were asking about the "chemtrail thing"

2020-08-18T14:49:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295734601554358272
@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell Unfortunately not. I'll do a transcript of our phone conversation. But he wants to stay anonymous. However, he says things that could perhaps be verified.

2020-08-18T14:47:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295734153044873219
@LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell @dave_beaty It's a shame Jeremy never asked any useful questions to clear this stuff up. Wasted opportunity.

2020-08-18T14:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295732847081185280
@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell Patents say no. The tech I talked to yesterday says no.

2020-08-18T14:41:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295732530243485702
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell I am constantly amazed how people describe the FLIR1 video as "clear" or having a "distinct outline" or "no visible flight control surfaces".

It's blurry bunch of pixels. And not very many pixels.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftdpURUYAEIQzC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftdpURUYAEIQzC.jpg
2020-08-18T14:34:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295730816950001664
@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell Well maybe try going through both instances frame by frame, side by side.
One thing to note that when going from WFOV to NAR, the reticle is initially tiny. Also there's a full-screen loss of video. It's just a different set of data, different outcome.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Eftb46rUYAAp1rC.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Eftb46rUYAAp1rC.jpg
2020-08-18T14:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295728049439174656

@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell Yes, but the object is now moving relative to the camera - likely because there was a camera change (WFOV to NAR).

It's not super complicated. In one case it just managed to regain lock. In the other case it just did not. Circumstances are different in each case.

2020-08-18T14:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295727481165553664

@eye_walking @LtTimMcMillan I know, but humor is lost on many people via Twitter. So I default to assuming people will take things seriously.

2020-08-18T14:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295726989676953601

@JunoWalker @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Pretty much.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftY02QU8AAPrZ0.jpg

```
00:08:10:08,00:08:14:18,what was different about
00:08:11:15,00:08:16:12,this was as soon as i took that lock the
00:08:14:18,00:08:17:23,track started doing all sorts of just
00:08:16:12,00:08:20:20,little things that are not
00:08:17:23,00:08:22:22,normal the heading was erratic it should
00:08:20:20,00:08:25:07,be able to tell me your air speed
00:08:22:22,00:08:26:00,and also your mach number so it should
00:08:25:07,00:08:28:10,say like
00:08:26:00,00:08:29:21,point eight mach for example so you know
00:08:28:10,00:08:31:21,eighty percent the speed of sound
00:08:29:21,00:08:33:07,it should say that it was jumping all
00:08:31:21,00:08:34:12,over the place it was like point eight
00:08:33:07,00:08:36:18,point four point two
00:08:34:12,00:08:39:01,point one point nine and it's just it's
00:08:36:18,00:08:41:13,just like my radar can't hack it
00:08:39:01,00:08:42:22,and in all instances it should be able
00:08:41:13,00:08:44:20,to hack that
00:08:42:22,00:08:46:08,and then i was also receiving what
00:08:44:20,00:08:47:09,they're called strobe lines and they
00:08:46:08,00:08:50:16,just basically look like
00:08:47:09,00:08:52:24,lines on your radar that are
00:08:50:16,00:08:54:14,indications that you're being jammed so
00:08:52:24,00:08:56:22,that tic tac jamming us
00:08:54:14,00:08:58:10,would be considered an act of war it's
00:08:56:22,00:08:59:23,not that i'm going to go shoot down an
00:08:58:10,00:09:01:13,aircraft because of jamming me but i'm
00:08:59:23,00:09:03:09,going to report back to my boss that is
00:09:01:13,00:09:05:03,jamming me and i've got proof of it
00:09:03:09,00:09:07:05,and that'll make its way to the pentagon
00:09:05:03,00:09:07:25,it has consequences he was offensively
00:09:07:05,00:09:09:19,jamming us
00:09:08:00,00:09:11:21,just outside international waters and
00:09:09:19,00:09:13:01,peacetime operations it's an act of war
00:09:11:21,00:09:15:01,and we're going to go out there and make
00:09:13:01,00:09:16:04,you pay for that it does have
00:09:15:01,00:09:17:25,consequences
00:09:16:04,00:09:19:23,however that plays itself out and that's
00:09:18:00,00:09:22:20,where i was like okay this is
00:09:19:23,00:09:24:14,this is something different i was like
00:09:22:20,00:09:26:06,okay i've got a flare lock
00:09:24:14,00:09:27:21,flare is just giving me optical and
00:09:26:06,00:09:29:03,infrared information they can't
00:09:27:21,00:09:30:20,optically jam me
00:09:29:03,00:09:32:08,so i went to the flear pod and that's
00:09:30:20,00:09:34:16,where i started focusing
00:09:32:08,00:09:36:10,90 of my effort mainly i'm just trying
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftY02QU8AAPrZ0.jpg

2020-08-18T14:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295725334751412224

@LtTimMcMillan You should check. That's the point.

2020-08-18T14:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295724785062666241

@Watcher67820714 @LtTimMcMillan I'm quite happy saying where I do not know the answer.

I'm also happy giving a possible hypothesis, if asked.

2020-08-18T14:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295723882423267329

@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell "why there is zero signature rotation 50L-15L in gimbal" - maybe because the ATFLIR is not rotating the head assembly (along the horizontal long axis) at that time. It's using the heliostat mirrors, and possibly the tilt, to track.

2020-08-18T14:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295722724602478592

@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell At 0:51-0:52 the loss was due to a camera rotation, not camera change. Bar expansion was larger. At 1:15 the software did not expand the search area. If it did then it would have easily got it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftUhZkU0AAFmPA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftUhZkU0AAFmPA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftUXagXgAEJEc3.jpg
2020-08-18T13:55:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295720902118776832
@conorowens94 @Thucydides___ @LtTimMcMillan @JeremyCorbell You ever tried to do feature tracking using any current software? Sometimes it loses track. Sometimes it does not. Depends on a set of circumstances.

2020-08-18T13:53:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295720512728039430
@DavidLe75031608 @tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell I'm only discussing Chad's video here. He did not visually see something. He said there was a blip on the radar. He said the radar could not hack it.

2020-08-18T13:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295720224126361600
@LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Has Fravor not questioned some of the accounts?

Has Chad not changed his story on Radar Jamming?

2020-08-18T13:51:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295719951840481283
@LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell What has the DoD said about rapid acceleration or radar jamming or acts of war?

The DoD just says it's classified as unidentified. I agree with them there.

2020-08-18T13:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295718727317516288

@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell 1. How do you "easily identify" a bizjet 40+ miles away? Maybe outside op area.

2. It's a blurry blob. Could be anything.

3. If true, by what? There was a thousand square miles surrounding him.

4. Not a black budget program, so no debrief.

2020-08-18T13:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295717369172590593

@Phenomenology19 Aurora? You mean the dark region around the white-hot image (which shows as a light region in black-hot).

That's an image sharpening artifact. Unsharp mask.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftPwqoUMAArxlY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftPsisXsAA4CcF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EftPwqoUMAArxlY.jpg

2020-08-18T13:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295716263033884672

@Phenomenology19 It's sometimes called "streak flare" - terminology here is not well defined. But it's really a type of glare if you say that glare is direct (unreflected) scattered light, and flare is internal reflections.

If you don't like using "glare" then use the longer descriptions.

2020-08-18T13:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295715615429165056

@murnut @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell I was wrong several times. I could be wrong in the future. People should not accept my ideas unquestioningly just because I'm right a lot and good with 3D math and video analysis. Check to see if my reasoning is sound.

2020-08-18T13:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295715117280067585

@Phenomenology19 It's irrelevant exactly what it is exactly. It rotates with the camera, so it's a camera artifact. Could be the dirt/rain/dust pattern on the front window. Could be something internal.

2020-08-18T13:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295714298937741312

@Phenomenology19 A clear outline? So you think the object changes shape?

2020-08-18T13:28:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295714178557071361
@Phenomenology19 Lens flare rotates in an entirely different manner.

2020-08-18T13:28:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295714085179289601
@Phenomenology19 You are are mixing two different things, and unfortunately there is not a standard terminology here. By "glare" I'm referring to unreflected scattered light that spreads from a light source. So "streak flare" is really a type of glare.

Lens flare is from reflections. Different.

2020-08-18T13:20:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295712087520378882
@foiaboi @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell It raises genuine question. Depends on how serious the mistake was and if they continue to make it. Chad has made the same mistake for 16 years, apparently.
Although his story seems to have evolved.

2020-08-18T13:15:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295711008984412160
@buffcommacu @LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell No. He only discussed the 2x change - the one change that did NOT result in lock loss - it was ALREADY lost at that point. Watch the No Sudden Moves video.

2020-08-18T13:12:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295710044185452551
@GoateeLue @chad_vfa41 In the initial report, it seems like Chad did NOT think his radar was jammed. Also he thought the object was below him.

Someone should ask him about that report.

2020-08-18T13:00:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295707226741432322
@therealpmoney @JLuvin @chad_vfa41 I assume Fravor is not lying.

I donâ□□t assume he is correct.

2020-08-18T12:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295706821877854208
@LtTimMcMillan @Thucydides___ @JeremyCorbell Iâ□□m questioning the argument from authority. People argue that since Chad was there, and is a Navy pilot, then his assessment is correct. But the fact that heâ□□s wrong about the lock shows this is not always the case.

2020-08-18T05:24:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295592475747205120
@HarveyGMcDonald @crowbern @MusicAndTruth No, I'm asking if you understand what I'm

trying to communicate.

I want to communicate effectively.

2020-08-18T05:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295590552990228483

@All4LightBearer @uapufo It does sometimes. It' called autotrack.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Efrcus7U8AECCMW.jpg



5-110 JANE'S F/A-18 FLIGHT MANUAL

AIR-TO-AIR TARGETING FLIR

In A/A Master Mode, the Targeting FLIR (TFLIR) is integrated with the A/A sensor suite. The A/A ATFLIR consists of three ATFLIR pointing modes and an ATFLIR Autotrack mode. When the FLIR is set to a pointing mode, it is slewed to the aircraft boresight angle, towards the L&S target, or to a fixed Inertial Line of Sight (LOS). In Autotrack, the ATFLIR attempts to keep the tracked target centered within the FLIR MDI page.

- Boresight (BST) Slave Pointing Mode: When the ATFLIR is in the BST Slave Pointing mode, the ATFLIR LOS is slaved to aircraft boresight. With TDC control assigned to the ATFLIR format, slewing the TDC causes the ATFLIR to revert to Inertial LOS pointing mode.
- L&S Slave Pointing Mode: When the ATFLIR is in L&S slave pointing mode, the FLIR LOS is slaved to the L&S target LOS. If there is no L&S target, the ATFLIR is slaved to aircraft boresight. If the L&S designation is changed to another target, the FLIR is slaved to the new L&S target LOS. If the L&S target is lost, the ATFLIR reverts to Inertial LOS pointing mode.
- Inertial LOS Pointing Mode: When the ATFLIR is in the Inertial LOS pointing mode, the ATFLIR maintains LOS on a fixed position in 3D space, regardless of aircraft maneuvers, within the limits of the ATFLIR gimbals. With TDC control assigned to the ATFLIR format (while the FLIR is in the Inertial LOS pointing mode), slewing the TDC causes the reference point to move (i.e., a new point in 3D space is selected).
- TFLIR Autotrack Mode: The ATFLIR is commanded to autotrack from any pointing mode using the **TARGET_DESIGNATE** [ENTER] keyfunction. When autotrack is commanded, the ATFLIR attempts to track the object centered in the ATFLIR reticule.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Efrcus7U8AECCMW.jpg

2020-08-18T05:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295586983729696768

@HarveyGMcDonald @crowbern @MusicAndTruth Do you understand his explanation? Or mine?

2020-08-18T05:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295586674701815810

@JLuvin @uelbus Not focus lock, tracking lock.

2020-08-18T04:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295582153036533760

@HarveyGMcDonald @crowbern @MusicAndTruth And here's my response to that:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-08-18T04:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295581840477048837

@zervin_za_nero They are contrails, a type of cloud formed by jet engine exhaust. So mostly water. See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chemtrail_conspiracy_theory

---

2020-08-18T04:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295572605966012421

@Miles90234527 @BenC04549226 @uelbus It seemed a fair question. If peopl CANâ□□T see what Iâ□□m trying to show, then Iâ□□m doing it wrong.

Can you see it?

2020-08-18T03:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295570529777139714

@BenC04549226 @uelbus Iâ□□m pointing things out that exist in the video, that you yourself can see.

Can you not see them?

2020-08-18T03:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295570291712696321

@JLuvin @chad_vfa41 When? Itâ□□s not on the video. Seems like heâ□□s referring to other accounts.

2020-08-18T03:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295567446749802496

@JLuvin @chad_vfa41 Underwood said he did not have visual sightings.

2020-08-18T00:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295525757251272704

@m_guillaum @chad_vfa41 He did not take the action that was needed soon enough.

2020-08-18T00:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295521495251181568

@TRandallizer @uelbus @MolotoVNKA @ExploreSCU What did he say?

2020-08-18T00:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295521406306775040

@JLuvin @uelbus @TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA Yes. And I'd be happy to have on any expert in the subject.

2020-08-18T00:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295521246113718272

@daffycrux @1ohho1 @JunoWalker Nah, it's just SOP. No more misleading than normal, they just have no justification to break classification to clear up some UFO stories.

Hopefully they will. Go Rubio!

Although I have mixed feelings about the waste of funds.

2020-08-18T00:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295520530095693824

@uelbus @TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA @ExploreSCU I asked an Avionics System Technician about that. He said 99.9 RNG it meant null data, i.e. no radar lock. It popped up because Underwood toggled it on, but there was no lock.

Maybe that would happen when jamming, but it does not MEAN jamming occurred.

2020-08-18T00:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295519862295416833

@TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA @uelbus It's a way of selecting which target you want. Look at GO FAST. He had to get it inside the reticle. It took several goes. Then he was golden, as nothing changed.

2020-08-18T00:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295519514453368832

@uelbus @TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA No, he wanted to "debate" the entire topic of UFOs. I just wanted to discuss some details.

2020-08-18T00:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295519366998446080

@uelbus @TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA @ExploreSCU I think they may have mistakenly asked someone about how hard it is to break a radar lock. This was no radar lock. (The 99.9 indicates no range data is available) The tacking is just based on the image you see there. So easy to lose when switching lenses or cameras.

2020-08-18T00:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295518621985198080

@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @RoninSamTripoli @TheProjectUnity @michaelshermer @joerogan @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @NoRemorseAFVET4 These? I think there are a variety of explanations for a variety of different events. Adversarial craft at some point is an obvious possibility.

2020-08-18T00:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295518198830227456

@TRandallizer @MolotoVNKA @uelbus It's looking for things inside the reticle. If it does not find them, then it expands it. You see it expand right at the very end of the video. AFTER it has left the screen.

It just missed it, that's all. So the object proceeded because the camera was no longer tracking it.

2020-08-18T00:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295517160228261888

@uelbus @chad_vfa41 I would be happy to do it, providing we could do screen sharing, and Chad watches the "No Sudden Moves" video first.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-08-18T00:24:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295516833387167745

@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @RoninSamTripoli @TheProjectUnity @michaelshermer @joerogan @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @NoRemorseAFVET4 I don't. I'm saying the lock losses are due to camera movement, not movement of the object. I'm not jumping from that to anything. Although I would certainly take it into consideration when hypothesising what the object might be.

2020-08-18T00:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295516306125418496
@MolotoVNKA @TRandallizer @uelbus Or, for example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqZNUSVoAElvtG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqZNUSVoAElvtG.jpg
2020-08-18T00:20:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295515825554653184
@MolotoVNKA @TRandallizer @uelbus Except when it isn't, for example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqYxWwUYAA1aTK.jpg



#ABCNews #Navy #Pentagon
Pentagon declassifies Navy 'UFO' videos (VIDEO 1/3)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqYxWwUYAA1aTK.jpg
2020-08-18T00:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295515495718768640
@paulsanderson And now he's here. Looking at the same video as everyone else and saying things about that video that appear to be wrong.

2020-08-18T00:17:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295515201727430656
@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @RoninSamTripoli @TheProjectUnity @michaelshermer @joerogan @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @NoRemorseAFVET4 The lock issue is not complex. I'm actually basing it on what he says - that the bars expand when it loses lock.

It loses lock several times. He says it does not. He's wrong.

2020-08-18T00:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295514976212279297
@conorowens94 @MolotoVNKA @1ohho1 "Clearly"?????
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqX_cpUwAAeMg8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqX_cpUwAAeMg8.jpg

2020-08-18T00:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295513455332491264

@TRandallizer @uelbus I think that's pretty clear what is happening. When it loses lock (several times) it coincides with a camera change, large camera movement, or other interruption in the image.

2020-08-18T00:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295513077333409792

@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @RoninSamTripoli @TheProjectUnity @michaelshermer @joerogan @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @NoRemorseAFVET4 I said Underwood gave the RIGHT questions to those wrong questions.

But then he was wrong about losing lock.

I also said I knew very little about the equipment.

2020-08-17T23:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295507854346993665

@FringeCenter @PostDisclosure @RoninSamTripoli @TheProjectUnity @michaelshermer @joerogan @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @NoRemorseAFVET4 That is not a quote, nor is it something I would say. And is largely the opposite of my video.

2020-08-17T23:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295507616035028993
@papercrane111 That is not the issue here. I'm simply addressing Underwood's claim that the video shows sudden motion that causes loss of lock.

2020-08-17T23:46:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295507354239160321
@Manning123Sm @uelbus That's what I'm responding to here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Sqq4AsQch6g

2020-08-17T23:45:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295507154665762817
@conorowens94 @1ohho1 He has also said elsewhere the rest of the video shows nothing more interesting than this bit. If I remember correctly.

2020-08-17T23:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295506970342907904
@TRandallizer @uelbus Not in use here.

2020-08-17T23:38:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295505431624732672
@_californio @PostDisclosure They want to figure out what the UAPs are. Isn't that obvious?

2020-08-17T23:38:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295505295309905922
@Nolieswoodwear It would reduce you EMF exposure. But there's no evidence that's any healthier than not reducing it.

2020-08-17T23:37:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295504981055778816
@conorowens94 @1ohho1 He did not see this. Yet it caught his eye.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqOyeUVoAAxyRk.jpg

> The thing that stood out to me the most was how erratic it was behaving. And what I mean by "erratic" is that its changes in altitude, air speed, and aspect were just unlike things that I've ever encountered before flying against other air targets. It was just behaving in ways that aren't physically normal. That's what caught my eye. Because, aircraft, whether they're manned or unmanned, still have to obey the laws of physics. They have to have some source of lift, some source of propulsion. The Tic Tac was not doing that. It was going from like 50,000 feet to, you know, a hundred feet in like seconds, which is not possible.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfqOyeUVoAAxyRk.jpg
2020-08-17T23:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295504383501717504
@conorowens94 @1ohho1 That not spin. That's what I actually think about what he said.

Why did he say he never had eyes on?

Why does the video show no sudden moves?

2020-08-17T23:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295503517554110467

@conorowens94 @1ohho1 I think he was basing that one what he had heard from Fravor, or perhaps later. He says he never had eyes on, and the video shows no such thing. So where is he getting it?

2020-08-17T23:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295501415830261760

@conorowens94 @1ohho1 No. Underwood did not see any erratic behavior.

2020-08-17T23:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295500770977017857

@Corey7999 Go get to the one that is correct.

2020-08-17T23:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295500688739323904

@Corey7999 I also think they are unidentified. I've certainly not identified them, have I?

2020-08-17T23:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295498154469543936

@Corey7999 Not at all. The more interest, the more likely these three videos will be, at least partially, solved.

2020-08-17T23:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295495756665651200

@conorowens94 @1ohho1 He said it looked like it was over 40 miles away.

It's not what Fravor saw.

2020-08-17T22:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295495571222888448

One does not simple assert that someone else is wrong. Especially not an expert. One does careful analysis first, and then explains WHY they are wrong.

Also I checked this with an Avionics System Technician, who agrees.
https://youtu.be/Sqq4AsQch6g
https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1295479516115107842

2020-08-17T22:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295494951690616832

@1ohho1 @conorowens94 I spoke to an expert today, he said I was correct. Shall we continue to accumulate experts, or start actually discussing the videos?

2020-08-17T22:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295494666129874944

@1ohho1 @conorowens94 More detailed response here: https://youtu.be/Sqq4AsQch6g

---

2020-08-17T22:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295494512114929666

Does a recent interview with Char Underwood, @chad_vfa41, who filmed the FLIR1 video prove that it makes sudden movements?

No, he was fed the wrong question, but also fails to address the multiple times when he clearly loses lock due to camera movements. https://youtu.be/Sqq4AsQch6g

---

2020-08-17T22:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295482432536211457

@Tristan77457 I'd be happy to, if someone gives me Underwood's contact information.

2020-08-17T22:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295480892622684160

@ChucalescuJR @crowbern You can look at the same video he was looking at. Don't you see it lose lock in the middle of the video when the camera moves?

2020-08-17T21:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295478106031943680

@ChucalescuJR No, I think he's just misinterpreting what he's seeing in the video.

2020-08-17T21:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295477976914567169

@uelbus No, it exits the frame BECAUSE it has lost lock.

Lock here is just tracking based on the video. Switching cameras makes it briefly lose lock. Most of the time it reacquires it. The last time, it does not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-08-17T21:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295475329134256128

Corbell asks the wrong questions here, but Underwood is also wrong. It's almost like he's not watched his own video recently. The camera very clearly loses lock from camera movements. Does he not see the bars widen at multiple points?

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1295443061854486528

2020-08-17T18:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295430117347348481

@AFSUnidentified @emilyma36336071 @BenediktOrn @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @edwardcurrent @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @JeremyCorbell That's why I asked. So who were you referring to?

2020-08-17T18:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295428068786413568

@AFSUnidentified @emilyma36336071 @BenediktOrn @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @edwardcurrent @Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @JeremyCorbell Do you mean me?

I don't discuss UFOs in my book.

2020-08-17T16:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295403506304561153
What is a glare? How does it differ from a lens flare? What types of glare are there? What type do I think the "Gimbal" UFO video shows?
Answers here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3Oeaot9eX0

2020-08-17T14:46:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295371349972541440
@Phenomenology19 @JackFrostvc @TheProjectUnity @JeremyCorbell @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure In IR, the sky is generally a LOT "darker" (i.e. colder) than the ground.

2020-08-17T13:13:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295348149280600066
@Phenomenology19 @TheProjectUnity @JeremyCorbell @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure Iâ□□m not talking about lens flare. Iâ□□m not talking about wide veiling glare. Iâ□□m talking about local glare. Like the glare around a bright light. Terminology varies though.

2020-08-16T23:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295137379339010048
@DiscoVolante8 The source of the glare is almost certainly one or two jet engines on a plane or drone flying away from the camera. It's like a flashlight pointing at the camera.

2020-08-16T22:23:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295124048553287680
@Audioaero1 @GWalk84 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Here's a video explaining what I actually think. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-08-16T22:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295123690170925056
@DiscoVolante8 Yes, because it's all glare.

2020-08-16T19:37:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295082236174151680
@Testore_Gianni @thescottbarber I talk about it here: Start around 16 minutes in.
https://youtu.be/Le7Fqbsrrm8?t=965

2020-08-16T18:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295072567120674816
RT @EliotHiggins: Part two of this investigation should be out early next week, looking at Yevgeny Prigozhinâ□□s history of illegally surveilâ□¦

2020-08-16T18:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1295072076609380352
@Testore_Gianni @thescottbarber (*oats = past, stupid iPhone!)

I make one argument about the video, and have a few different ideas about what Fravor saw, and yet more about the radar anomalies. They don't have to fit with each other if they were different things.

2020-08-16T17:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295051228133486592

@acientalien Do you see a glare - like a long streak going through the light? Does it move WITH YOUR HEAD?

2020-08-16T17:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295050804571721728

@RodrigoLVieira1 No. Family heirloom.

2020-08-16T17:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295046120326848517

Interesting perspective on "cancel-culture." When does accountability go too far? And can it actually backfire by drawing attention and support to those it seeks to hold accountable?
https://www.cbsnews.com/news/cancel-culture-changed-lives-forever-cbsn-originals/

2020-08-16T15:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295013991576506368

@DiscoVolante8 Squinting is a handy way of shaping glare, for this demonstration.

What contributes to the shape of an IR glare?

2020-08-16T15:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295013089054560257

@thescottbarber @Testore_Gianni Youâ□□d think. But Fravor can make the same mistakes as other people. Have you seen him actually address any of my analyses?

2020-08-16T15:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1295012473775374337

@Testore_Gianni @thescottbarber No, I think what Fravor flew around and oats was likely a physical object.

2020-08-16T02:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294822949296336897

@2020defense You worked with ATFLIR? Anything with horizontal mounting, heliostat mirrors and derotation? Letâ□□s talk.

2020-08-15T23:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294781508079587329

@thescottbarber I think there have been relatively little misinterpreting of the videos. Could you perhaps be specific in identifying someone, and exactly what they said about the video that you think I think is misinterpretation?

2020-08-15T23:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294780091981893633

@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell I think what we need is something like a jet at 20,000 feet following another small jet, ten miles or so. What does that look like?

2020-08-15T23:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294779771742597120

@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell But those look like high resolution close-ups. Not a far distant jet.

2020-08-15T23:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294779449666281472

A constant problem with debunking is that people who disagree with your explanation think you are either stupid or lying.

Since those are the only options they have, I only get to disabuse one of them.

Generally I don't bother with either, as it's the third option that counts.

https://twitter.com/Fibonacci222/status/1294776861466165254

2020-08-15T23:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294773097812258816

@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell So why dod you even mention "the irregular plume of a banking jet" - as that's not a serious hypothesis that anyone I know has presented (except Corbell, as another strawman)

2020-08-15T23:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294772051782246400

@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @davigray I think here we wade into speculation. What did he say?

He went to great pains to say the dero would rotate the glare and the scene together, because it's just rotating an image. He did not discuss if the earlier rotation might rotate the glare and scene separately.

2020-08-15T22:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294770772615938048

@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @davigray Because the rotation is not an illusion caused by the derotation mechanism. It's quite clear for the subsequent discussion that they both thought the theory was that the derotation rotated the glare independently of the scene.

They did not realize there was ALREADY a rotation.

2020-08-15T22:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294768850110570496

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @charliedeets @conorowens94 @JeremyCorbell @davigray Yet, as I explained, the "ATFLIR expert" only debunked Corbell's version of the theory.

2020-08-15T22:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294768591296847872

@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure You keep saying "heat", when I think you should be referring it infrared radiation.

Visible light cameras can "see heat" if you get things hot enough.

FLIR videos show nothing but infrared light in the camera.

https://youtu.be/VzcodRCLOE8?t=121

2020-08-15T22:47:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294767710497193984
@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell It's not "the irregular plume of a banking jet". It's more likely in level flight the entire time. It's far away, so small, but very hot, so the glare is large.

2020-08-15T22:41:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294766280499634176
@Nolieswoodwear You can see a blur that look like wings. Maybe a bug like a dragonfly that hovers and then shoots off. Or perhaps a hummingbird. Or a similar insect.

2020-08-15T22:38:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294765475042189313
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Okay, so you didn't notice in my garage demonstation that the the glare was rotated relative to the garage door BEFORE derotation? It's at one minute in.
https://youtu.be/ka_bX9Hx1H0?t=57

2020-08-15T22:35:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294764649972342785
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Didn't you see this one?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-15T22:33:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294764248048914434
@DaveFalch @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Yes, derotation makes the whole scene rotate. Obviously.

2020-08-15T22:32:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294763986345328642
Close one eye, squint the other to a slit, and then look at a small flashlight. Tip your head left then right.

The glare patterns rotate, but the background doesn't, because your brain DEROTATES the image.

Do it with a camera, and the background moves while the glare doesn't
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1294763895718989824/pu/img/2AQeiFO7ZYf8Z9Dm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1294763895718989824/pu/img/2AQeiFO7ZYf8Z9Dm.jpg
2020-08-15T22:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294759589217370113
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure See above.

2020-08-15T22:15:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294759521772949505
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure I mean it's wrong. How could the derotator possibly act on the scene, but not the glare?

You're missing the whole point.

The derotator rotates an image in which the glare and the horizon have ALREADY moved relative to each other.

2020-08-15T22:06:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294757371948830720
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Well

originally you said "Then the derotator acts on the scene but not the glare" - which is just wrong, impossible, and is the strawman version of the argument that Corbell was presenting, and John was (quite rightly) debunking.

2020-08-15T21:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294755677286445056

@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell Does this look like jet exhaust?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfflYKsUcAA2VEt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfflYKsUcAA2VEt.jpg

2020-08-15T21:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294755364622106624

@Fibonacci222 @macsunmood @CuriousUap I'm not pretending to be dumb.

So either I'm actually dumb, or you are missing something.

2020-08-15T21:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294747159376457728

@m_guillaum It does rotate especially there. I'm not sure if the derotation would be most significant there, but that's were it would do the biggest rotations.

I wish there was more video of it in operation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EffdpntUYAAV2S2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EffdpntUYAAV2S2.jpg
2020-08-15T21:23:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294746459003207680
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Part of camera rotates.
This makes the world rotate relative to the glare.
World is now at wrong angle. Glare angle irrelevant to the operator, but world angle is important.
Derotator rotates the entire image back.
Result: world does not move, glare does.

2020-08-15T20:37:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294734941742182400
@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell What about those spikes?

Look at the best quality version (linked). Zoom in. Scrub the section where it's rotating

https://www.metabunk.org/f/2-GIMBAL-CROP-HQ.mp4

2020-08-15T18:54:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294709042514821120

@steven_nikolic @JeremyCorbell My base hypothesis is that we are seeing the glare of a jet engine and we are JUST seeing that glare rotate. This is backed up by A) the rotation of the light and dark regions with the glare and independent of the horizon. B) plausible physical mechanisms for this rotation.

2020-08-15T18:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294708145084706816

@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure That's not really technically speaking. I think that's confusing the issue.

I think you are asking if it's A) stray radiation spreading from the bright radiation source. B) sensor bloom, or C) a bit of both?

I'd be happy to get super-technical. But we should go for clarity.

2020-08-15T16:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294671373843881984

@charliedeets @conorowens94 @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Think about what the glare around a bright light actually is. What's the actual underlying physical cause of a glare? What affects the shape of a glare? Why is this IR glare from a jet engine (left) shaped like this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1294671317728235521/pu/img/j7TqgHnPZupRiirV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1294671317728235521/pu/img/j7TqgHnPZupRiirV.jpg

2020-08-15T16:19:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294669994505068552

@charliedeets @conorowens94 @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Why do you accept that?

2020-08-15T16:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294669826774798338
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Yes, and you are not understanding either. The apparent rotation is obviously NOT just due to the derotation acting on the glare (how could anyone possibly thing that was the case????).

That's what I'm saying in my video. I agree with him.

2020-08-15T16:16:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294669205141299201
@russian71805090 That it doesn't correlate in photographs and video - as it depends on the overall exposure and the film/sensor's response.

2020-08-15T16:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294666676252753926
@conorowens94 @charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Yes, OBVIOUSLY the derotation rotates the entire image. I think I said that about ten times in my rebuttal video.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-08-15T16:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294665436349440001
@russian71805090 What heat? Color temperature in photography is not an exact science. Also, maybe the color is from flames, not glowing metal.

See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzcodRCLOE8

2020-08-15T15:55:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294664046424158208
@russian71805090 Well, you don't know anything about what that excavator is pulling out. You've just got fixated on one thing. Open your mind. Consider other options.

2020-08-15T15:54:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294663739757613056
@charliedeets @conorowens94 @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure The rotation of SHAPE of the glare relative to the horizon would happen before derotation. So when the derotation puts the horizon where it is supposed to be, the glare looks like it's rotating. After derotation, there can still be things that contribute to glare.

2020-08-15T15:50:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294662689931722758
@Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Top tip - to get a good glare-enhancing smudge on a lens, rub your finger over your nose, then touch the lens with the finger, maybe with a slight lateral motion.

2020-08-15T15:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294661767042174992

@russian71805090 Overexposure, lifting some burning material. Here's a similar thing with some burning paper.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-molten-metal-9-11-photo-is-just-burning-paper.9982/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfeP6GcU0AU3zpS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfeP6GcU0AU3zpS.jpg
2020-08-15T14:25:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294641279062511616
@Oswald1160 @charliedeets @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @davigray @PostDisclosure And also demonstrated with a static camera and a rotating window. Also done it, as I mentioned, with a static camera body and rotating lense

---

2020-08-15T05:22:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294504778693636101
@JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @g_knapp @Chieftog This tweet will not age well.

2020-08-15T03:33:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294477402429505537
@JackFrostvc I'd be happy to. Where can I reach him?

2020-08-15T02:21:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294459076403851264
@charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Essentially, but at the point of derotation, the glare has ALREADY moved (or rather the horizon has moved). All derotation does is make the horizon level.

2020-08-15T02:12:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294456797130919936
@CuriousAnthrop1 I'm fine. Did you watch my video?

2020-08-15T02:10:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294456485020184576
@buffcommacu I'm not attempting to. Here I'm discussing why the gimbal glare appears to rotate. Specifically the supposed "debunk" of my hypothesis.

2020-08-15T02:09:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294456059294134273
@charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure No. It's glare, not flare.

It's a really hot object (looking down the exhaust of a jet engine) that shows up, essentially, as a VERY bight light, so there's glare directly around it.

The SHAPE of the glare is what is rotating, because something along the optical path rotates.

2020-08-15T02:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294455554060898304
@uelbus @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @g_knapp @Chieftog
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-08-15T02:06:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294455459227688965
Did an ATFLIR engineer just debunk the "rotating glare" hypothesis for the Gimbal video?

No.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2020-08-15T02:01:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294454168778731521
@charliedeets @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @davigray @PostDisclosure Glare is different to lens flare - although terminology usage varies a little.
Lens Flare is internal reflections in the lens.
Lens GLARE is what you see directly around a bright light. Shine a flashlight in your camera, and you will often see both.

2020-08-15T00:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294438547013816320
@going_sdrawkcab @truthbearer20 Probably something with a jet engine. It has not been identified, but the glare rotation is an optical effect, from the gimbal system.

Which is probably why the Navy called it "Gimbal"

2020-08-15T00:52:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294436740640342016
@m_guillaum I'll post a video response in a bit.

2020-08-15T00:48:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294435716223492096
@KetterStone @UfoJoe11 Nothing at all has changed. No goalposts have been moved.

2020-08-15T00:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294426665758597120
@JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch @davigray @PostDisclosure I don't think he said my argument was wrong. He said the argument that the "derotation would make a target rotate independently of background" theory was wrong.

But. THAT'S NOT THE THEORY, it's an actual strawman, easy to debunk theory.

2020-08-14T23:40:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294418574379896832
@DaveFalch @davigray @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Like I said, I'd be happy to talk to him about it.

2020-08-14T23:20:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294413531438149633
@KetterStone @UfoJoe11 Not really. He bring no new rebuttals, and no new evidence. He just asks why the "target" (the glare) rotates independently from the background. This is explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-14T23:17:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294412776413093888
@davigray @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Unfortunately John Ehrhart seems to have misunderstood the "rotating glare" hypothesis - because his rebuttal is essentially just the old question "why does the object rotate when the horizon does not." Maybe Jeremy did not explain it very well.

I'd be happy to talk to him.

2020-08-14T16:24:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294308915069325312
@BryanKelly @6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @uelbus @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Why not both?

2020-08-14T16:23:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294308563074945024
@UfoJoe11 That "evidence is overwhelming" thing is indicative a problem of perspective in the UFO community.

If the evidence IS overwhelming for ETs, then it would be the biggest thing ever, perhaps the most important development in human.

But it's largely ignored. So maybe it's not.

2020-08-14T16:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294307259049078784
@ianbillmorris @SK1ILL_ @JazzShaw @LtTimMcMillan I think they are referring to the Gimbal audio. As transcribed by TTSA.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tf1uLwUTDA0
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfZNiSJUwAIN_jL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfZNiSJUwAIN_jL.jpg
2020-08-14T16:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294305692233240576
@UfoJoe11 I don't think that's why he did it. I think he's a true believer. I just think it's ludicrous to suggest he's MISSING OUT on fame and money by doing it.

2020-08-14T04:24:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294127700689317888
@MotiioTom Iâ□□m really not sure how you got that idea.

Who do you think Iâ□□m suggesting is conspiring - beyond ordinary classfication restrictions?

2020-08-14T04:21:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294126855025377280
@spiralgold1 Perhaps you could demonstrate this?

2020-08-13T21:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294027427698466816
@conorowens94 @SicCoP1 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai How do you know this? What "airspace conduct" did FLIR1 violate? And what "lack of IFF"? ADS-B wasn't widespread back then.

2020-08-13T21:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1294026457480478720
@conorowens94 @OKftl @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Someone said they did a long-form podcast with him. Hopefully that will come out eventually.

2020-08-13T21:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294025618615447552
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 The CEC can't pull the distance from a FLIR video. Where does it get it from?

How do you know they even tried?

2020-08-13T21:27:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294022753608060928
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 I know how they work. I'm asking how they would know what distance away from the pilots positions to look at

---

2020-08-13T21:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294017353198403584
@AstrobiologyDay @PostDisclosure @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai It's been great so far.

2020-08-13T20:54:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294014491357614080
@6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @uelbus @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Heck, most people don't know who Tom Delong is. That's not the point.

2020-08-13T20:34:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294009336000208896
@PostDisclosure @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Or it means they didn't consider it a threat, so they didn't investigate it enough to identify the exact plane.

2020-08-13T20:32:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294008990846787584
@buffcommacu @tommyIzito @LtTimMcMillan One asks questions to get data that can be used to figure things out. Asking questions is a common part of any investigations.

It's when you are JUST asking questions that there's a problem.

2020-08-13T20:31:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1294008601627901952
@6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @uelbus @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 No money or fame?

Seriously? He's vastly more famous than he was before.

2020-08-13T19:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293988907588055040

@buffcommacu @LtTimMcMillan Well, so long as we all move closer to the truth, then it's win-win.

I'm not playing games here. I'm figuring stuff out.

2020-08-13T19:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293988192949956608

@kyleardd @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai You can figure it out Kyle.

2020-08-13T19:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293987923658854400

@OKftl @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai I've investigated UFOs.

2020-08-13T19:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293987802909007872

@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Or that they took it seriously, and decided there was nothing worth looking into because the info was consistent with local weather, traffics, and events. If it falls within certain criteria it's assumed friendly. So no big deal.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfUq5pDU4AA2cA3.jpg

---

(3) A radar contact correlated with an authenticated/secure voice (position) report from an air or ground control agency.

(4) External/onboard CID systems (e.g., blue force tracker or non-cooperative target recognition).

(5) Visual ID.

c. **Procedural ID.** Procedural ID is based on adherence to airspace control measures and rules. ID is assumed to be friendly as long as rules are followed, but ID may be assumed hostile if rules are not followed and the suspect aircraft is not otherwise positively identified. Procedural ID separates airspace users by geography, altitude, heading, time, and/or maneuver (e.g., MRRs).

d. **Auto-ID Systems.** Some weapon systems have the capability to execute an auto-ID function (e.g., aircraft carrier auto-ID, Aegis-equipped ships, and Patriot missile systems). Use of auto-ID can reduce the workload (no man in the loop) and improve the timeliness of the ID process in an extremely dynamic/saturated AD environment, but it also can result in mistaken ID and friendly fire, engagement of noncombatants or civilians, or inadvertent protection for the enemy.

e. **Formation Assessment.** Applicable to both friendly and hostile formations

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfUq5pDU4AA2cA3.jpg

2020-08-13T19:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293987044180414469

RT @conspirator0: BREAKING: Gateway Pundit is lying. Wikileaks didn't post any documents on Kamala Harris after she was named Biden's runniâ¦

2020-08-13T19:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293986836642017280
@OKftl @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Right, so they were somehow urgently investigating it without using the radar? Without ANYONE who has come forward being aware of any investigation?

2020-08-13T19:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293985999454760960
@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Airspace breaches are a very serious thing. Check out section D here:
https://www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/pubs/jp3_01_pa.pdf

---

2020-08-13T18:58:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293985361840885760
@OKftl @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Kevin Day describes the ship commander as taking no action for days. Then Fravor gets vectored to a ping where he encounters a hostile craft, jamming his radar. Underwood is simply told to "keep a look out," sees something, half-assed attempt to follow it.

Seems no urgency.

2020-08-13T18:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293983303742021632
@SicCoP1 @JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Maybe someone should ask the Navy what that actually means.

They DID say the results of any investigation would be classified. So maybe that's "we are not going to identify them to you"

Or maybe it's "we never really looked into them, as there was no need".

2020-08-13T18:43:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293981456729964544
@LtTimMcMillan And why are there only two? Did they just throughly identify two of the dozens of incidents?

How do you know the FLIR1 object was in Nimitz marshaling airspace?

2020-08-13T18:38:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293980332014751744
@LtTimMcMillan What do those incursion refer to, exactly? The Underwood video?

2020-08-13T18:36:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293979699736006657
@spiralgold1 Huh? You might want to think that through a bit more.

The 2x zoom is a crop. Why would that change the relative size of the glare.

2x digital zoom (crop) on an image simply makes the chosen 1/4 of the image twice as big (in both directions).

Hence at 1x, it's half the size.

2020-08-13T18:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293978619123888128

@spiralgold1 Glare is exposure specific. Take a photo of a flashlight at 1/40, 1/400, and 1/4000. Is the glare the same size in each image?

2020-08-13T16:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293954490056372224

@JLuvin @LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai No, my assumption is that they WOULD "thoroughly investigate immediate threats to their airspace"

They didn't. Why not?

2020-08-13T16:54:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293954186858524672

@JLuvin How would that even work?

I'm genuinely asking here. The Chilean military took two years of careful study to declare Iberian flight 6830 a UFO.

What do you think this protocol would look like?

2020-08-13T16:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293953583650443266

@LtTimMcMillan @JoeBiden @KamalaHarris No. You are just going to get another dose of reality. The UAP issue in the military seems to be just about domestic and foreign airspace incursions of a relatively mundane nature. It's not the epoch-making topic people think it is. It's barely on her radar, if at all.

2020-08-13T16:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293952084123897856

@R3DAC73D It's not new. Helium foam "printers" have been around for at least 10 years, and have resulted in a few UFO (or "chemtrail cloud") sightings over the years.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rN-mfQsno2g

2020-08-13T16:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293950740575080448

@borjafat @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak "Their acts and rational could be as alien as their origin or appearance. " = anything could be alien, because we don't know what aliens are like, or what they do, or why.

2020-08-13T16:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293950235929018368
@LtTimMcMillan @KeenwaAcai Well Underwood did not describe much of an attempt to identify it. He got a ping, watched an unidentifiable blob on FLIR for a bit, then lost track because he was flipping through cameras too fast.

It's not so much they couldn't, more like they didn't. AFAIK. More info needed.

2020-08-13T16:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293949416487833601
@PotatoRamirez @borjafat @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak My original point was "Well if they can fly from distant stars and penetrate solid walls to manipulate machinery, then you'd think they would have a pretty easy time defending themselves."

I think that's quite reasonable. And "maybe not, because they are ALIENS" is specious.

2020-08-13T15:26:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293931926630236160
@borjafat @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak So essentially ANYTHING could be the acts of aliens?

Handy.

2020-08-13T15:24:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293931445669380097
@JLuvin These things?

The balloons have ADS-B, which is a standard protocol.

2020-08-13T15:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293931152676237312
@KeenwaAcai @LtTimMcMillan There is no "Tic Tac = Distant Plane" hypothesis.

Obviously Fravor was not tangling with a distant plane. Nor were distant planes drifting at wind speed before dropping.

There's a "FLIR1 Video = Distant Plane" hypothesis.

2020-08-13T15:12:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293928524819107840
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 That's a different thing. When a dot shows on radar, that shows where it it.

But when someone sees something at an unknown distance, where does the radar then look? All you have is a direction.

2020-08-13T05:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293777223804100609
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 Sure, if you know where to look, you can find something that is there.

But how did they know where to look? What data was THAT based on?

Plenty of air traffic in that region.

2020-08-13T05:03:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293775045068599296
@LipWrecked1982 Those seem like rather extreme options. Maybe there's a more mundane set of circumstances?

2020-08-13T04:45:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293770673039405056
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 And how many people were trying to figure it out?

2020-08-13T04:45:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293770559046578177
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 How near?

2020-08-13T04:43:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293770224353779713
@LipWrecked1982 "Lying" and "experienced exactly what they described" are not the only options.

2020-08-13T04:32:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293767453114109953
@LipWrecked1982 A different one. Open your mind.

2020-08-13T04:24:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293765308537114629
@_Desmoden @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak See what? You seem convinced of something. What do you see that I do not?

2020-08-13T04:22:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293764852775706624
@bobofango86 @AlejandroGN82 You have no idea whatâ□□s the actual circumstances are here. Neither do I. We just have this video.

2020-08-13T04:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293763979114737664
@LipWrecked1982 Yes, a glare is quite trackable in autotrack. And itâ□□s not JUST A glare, there is a very solid jet behind it.

2020-08-13T03:46:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293755780991049728
@SimonSund Editing one now

2020-08-13T01:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293721701017165825

Google Loon Balloons Strike Again! https://twitter.com/ycam100/status/1293717352413003786

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQ4_c_UMAEHcYT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQ4_c_UMAEHcYT.jpg

2020-08-13T01:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293721467713024000

@ycam107 @FAANews @NORADCommand Balloons.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQ4zEUU0AADTP5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQ4zEUU0AADTP5.jpg

2020-08-13T00:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293708330603446272

@jclmavg @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak What am I missing? I've read the declassified document which said said more research would be done. Did they find out the reason for the glitches? Is there new information?

They also said there were no UFOs. So should we trust that report or no?

https://www.cufon.org/cufon/malmstrom/page38.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQs065U4AAONM_.jpg

Further studies of this problem will be accomplished at the contractors facility since a full engineering investigation is not feasible at this level. (U)

In the researching of other possibilities, weather was ruled out as a contributing factor in the incident.[81] (U)

A check with Communication maintenance verified that there was no unusual activity with EWO-1 or EWO-2 at the time of the incident.[82] (U)

Rumors of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO) around the area of Echo Flight during the time of fault were disproven. A Mobile Strike Team, which had checked all November Flight's LFs on the morning of 16 March 67, were questioned and stated that no unusual activity or sightings were observed.[83] (U)

The 801st Radar Squadron, Malmstrom AFB, gave a negative report on any radar or atmospheric interference problems related to Echo Flight.[84] (U)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfQs065U4AAONM_.jpg

2020-08-13T00:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293698830534246402

@ZenModeTrading @_Desmoden @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Yeah, he didn't say that. Seth did.

Nobody has "set off and then deactivated" our nukes.

2020-08-12T23:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293698241205157888

@ZenModeTrading @_Desmoden @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I read it. That's not a Rubio quote. It's Seth caricaturing a Sci-Fi trope.

2020-08-12T22:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293682692723060738

@_Desmoden @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Sure, if it happened.

2020-08-12T22:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293672847357796354

@daffycrux They are not alluding to other-worldly-vehicles. They have said nothing at all about the apparent rotation. They just are hobbled in communication by classification requirements, and there's no compelling need to clear things up.

2020-08-12T21:45:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293664947981832192
@MoremonJ @tomdelonge It's an insect close to the camera, and a flashing light that's probably a boat.

Low light cameras often have a lot of image persistence that is used to build up a clear static image. So things in motion have trails.

Not complicated.

2020-08-12T21:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293664432589959168
@AlejandroGN82 How can they identify it from this video? It's covered by a glare. I certainly can't identify it.

2020-08-12T21:12:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293656733911375872
@WilsonSeagull @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Where is this declassified document?

2020-08-12T20:22:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293644020426522626
@rjblaskiewicz This is how Twitter chose to juxtapose your tweet :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPyTPRUwAEtIJD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPyTPRUwAEtlJD.jpg
2020-08-12T20:06:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293640137021169666
@TenoriThrowaway @thehirenshah @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Doesn't Vallee think that UFOs are faked by transdimensional visitors?

I feel I need a broader base here looking for book recommendations.

Although Blumenthal likes Vallee....

2020-08-12T20:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293639185551638530
@thehirenshah @TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Not starting out well..... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPt4GBVAAAKBvR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPt4GBVAAAKBvR.jpg

2020-08-12T19:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293638325291507712

@thehirenshah @TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Is this the same book? "Unconventional Flying Objects: A Former NASA Scientist Explains How UFOs Really Work"

2020-08-12T19:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293638210082414593

@thehirenshah @TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Also kinda old, based on many decades-old eyewitness accounts.

I find it hard to get interested in such a book. Lemme read the Kindles sample ...

2020-08-12T19:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293637443430699008

@thehirenshah @TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak That's like 50 years old.

2020-08-12T19:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293637246663286786

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak If what Fravor described was

accurate, I'd say it was human. PJ and Gary didn't really describe anything interesting I remember. Kevin Day's observation, if a genuine object, could quite possibly be aliens. But it was just radar.

2020-08-12T19:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293636591584337920

@Corey7999 @RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Try harder.

2020-08-12T19:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293635944772378624

@TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Which one is best respected?

2020-08-12T19:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293633324817162240

@TenoriThrowaway @thehirenshah @Tristan77457 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Oh, I've given it a lot of thought.

2020-08-12T19:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293633110806994944

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak UFO aficionados often take pains to point out they are not 100% for the ET hypothesis of UFOs, they just think it's possible. But generally they SEEM to be giving it at least 50%

It's an unfortunate dance they do, because of the "little green men" stigma.

2020-08-12T19:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293630550280777728

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak In how long? How massive?

2020-08-12T19:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293629555316420610

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak And which way of maneuvering? There were several.

2020-08-12T19:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293629429952831488

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Which "it" are you referring to here?

2020-08-12T19:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293629264437211136

@RobAgs0817 @Corey7999 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I am perhaps slightly curt. But I'm attempting to communicate clearly.

2020-08-12T19:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293628899532795904

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak So in the 1950s there were "rational beings" that had the power to fly over US nuclear facilities unstopped, and turn then off and on again to investigate the technology.

But not aliens. Who then? Subterranean beings? Chinese beings? Mad scientist beings? Celestial beings?

2020-08-12T19:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293628143996026880

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Why would you start out with baseless assumptions?

2020-08-12T19:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293627754873647104

@ufouapam @RobAgs0817 @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak This distinction is meaningless - especially in the context of the 1950s.

2020-08-12T19:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293627335984308229

@tommyIzito @ChristopherKMe4 Excessive deference allows bullshit to flourish.

Blind acceptance of opinions because A) they agree with yours, and B) they come from someone "who should know" is intellectually dishonest.

2020-08-12T19:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293626604917108736

@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I'm talking about his tweet, the one that started this thread. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPibuAUwAAGGXt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPibuAUwAAGGXt.jpg

2020-08-12T19:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293626215215980545

@ufouapam @RobAgs0817 @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak The usual array of things. Lights in the sky, people, equipment failures - all heightened in significance by a hyper-vigilance, bordering on paranoia, about the Soviets attacking or spying.

2020-08-12T19:09:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293625694275006464
@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Well it's a bit late now. The supposed nuke buzzing happened decades ago.

2020-08-12T19:08:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293625484366897152
@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Mellon was saying aliens. That's what we were talking about. Aliens turning off nukes.

Mellon seems to think that probably happened.

2020-08-12T19:03:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293624175752409088
@AFSUnidentified @thehirenshah @Tristan77457 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak But it does address Hiren's point.

2020-08-12T19:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293623964556615680
@Tristan77457 @thehirenshah @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I watched it. It's not entirely crap.

2020-08-12T19:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293623798386704384
@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I mean nobody of significance took it seriously enough to initiate a proportionate response.

You act as if it's an agreed-upon fact that alien visitors shut down nuclear weapons.

It's not even faintly close.

2020-08-12T18:59:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293623260739825664
@PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Well if they can fly from distant stars and penetrate solid walls to manipulate machinery, then you'd think they would have a pretty easy time defending themselves.

Also, why did they let themselves be seen? Why do they EVER let themselves be seen? Is it like crop circles?

2020-08-12T18:57:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293622762880118785
@RobAgs0817 @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak "Mellon and Elizondo" is hardly a representative sample of the world's governments and militaries.

2020-08-12T18:56:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293622416262828032

@CrewCutYoutube @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Mellon knows nothing about a cover-up of alien spaceship. He has no secret knowledge of alien encounters. He's just a UFO fan, like you. He does has better connections.

2020-08-12T18:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293621918793269248

@ufouapam @thehirenshah @Tristan77457 @AFSUnidentified @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak So are you agreeing with me here?

2020-08-12T18:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293621718083280896

@Tristan77457 @thehirenshah @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak You think the History channel show "Unidentified" has some credibility?

It's just entertainment.

2020-08-12T18:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293621394207465472

@KetterStone @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak I'd certainly pay attention. But if I wanted other people to pay attention to me the next day, I'd need a bit more evidence.

2020-08-12T18:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293620717603262464

@PredatoryPanic @MadCorso @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Drone incursions are a very real problem. Much less so in the 1950s.

2020-08-12T18:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293620421065969664

@thehirenshah @Tristan77457 @AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak People have written all kinds of books about all kinds of things - miracles, alien abductions, time travel, shape shifting, ghosts, etc.. I suspect many of those things did not happen quite as portrayed.

The existence of a book advocating a narrative is not wonderful evidence.

2020-08-12T18:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293616386506285056

@AFSUnidentified @ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Sure it WOULD be a big deal, if it were actually happening.

2020-08-12T17:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293607027252502528

@ufouapam @ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak Funny nobody seemed particularly bothered about it.

So maybe "THEY" didn't.

2020-08-12T17:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293606275318571008
@CuriousUap @that_0ther_one Sure radars have a long range. They can see past the edges of a restricted area.

2020-08-12T17:51:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293606035379253248
@ChristopherKMe4 @SethShostak So what did they actually do? Just makes some lights appear in the sky? Spook some night watchmen? Trip a circuit breaker?

This isn't Superman IV. They didn't actually remove any threat.

Seem a bit Texas Sharpshooter fallacy here.

2020-08-12T17:48:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293605245130428416
@CuriousUap @that_0ther_one Which basic knowledge is that? I'm aways happy to learn something new.

2020-08-12T17:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293605071968641026
@CuriousUap @that_0ther_one How can a jet remain unidentified in a restricted area?

1 - By being outside the restricted area. Gimbal and FLIR1 are miles away.
2 - By being a military aircraft, part of a larger group, or different group. Just not sure which exactly.
3 - By actually being identified later.

2020-08-12T17:40:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293603201128652801
@that_0ther_one @CuriousUap In this case, it's mostly how a plane with two engines can appear as a single glare, which covers both the engines and the entire plane.

2020-08-12T17:30:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293600773310263299
@DuncanMcKay1888 Link?

2020-08-12T17:14:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293596740780613638
@ToeBiden http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPHXnwVAAAl6vZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPHXnwVAAAl6vZ.jpg

2020-08-12T17:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293596030567452672

I converted my Cherokee from prop power to dual photon emitters, to help explain glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPGaSzVoAAz6HV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfPGaSzVoAAz6HV.jpg

2020-08-12T16:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293586209168429056

@spiralgold1 The 2x zoom is a crop. The ATFLIR system does not seem to adjust exposure for a digital zoom. So both objects and glares just double in size.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfO9vgDUEAA6lJ-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfO9vgDUEAA6lJ-.jpg

2020-08-12T04:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293411072725065729

@JLuvin I think it would look like this. The exposure does not seems to change with digital zoom.

2020-08-12T03:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293391435102740480

@therealpmoney Heâ□□s wrong. Heâ□□s assuming no glare.

2020-08-12T00:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293338362816806912

Does the Gimbal UFO video become more understandable as a glare if you view it actual size? The video was recorded with 2x digital zoom. Here's what it might have looked like without the digital cropping.

The glare is tiny, the super hot jet engine behind it smaller still.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1293337757255757824/pu/img/P4L1edRm9qsuaIGW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1293337757255757824/pu/img/P4L1edRm9qsuaIGW.jpg

2020-08-11T23:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293333721148399616

@therealpmoney Plumes are tiny, and pointing towards the camera. The glare covers the entire plane, and then some.

2020-08-11T15:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293203873562157056

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell Did Fravor say "Fact that FLIR isn't showing ranging data indicates radar return was interfered with."?

2020-08-11T15:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293203542933569536

@StephenLugo4 @DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Upscaling nonsense. You'd get similar results with any pixels.

2020-08-11T04:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1293039945158815744
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Thereâ□□s multiple variables that contribute to flare shape. A different camera differs in several of these.

2020-08-11T02:38:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1293013997453631490
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Itâ□□s a different camera.

2020-08-11T01:32:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292997241594974210
@sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Sure, it narrows the possible way of rotating (there's three) if you assume certain restrictions.

RL calls.

2020-08-11T01:31:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292996925906513920
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Yes, so it's not oblong because of twin engines. That's the shape of the glare around an (essentially) solitary radiation source. One engine, or two close-together. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGl2ETUYAEuSle.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGl2ETUYAEuSle.jpg
2020-08-11T01:09:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292991390868058114
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 My point is that you said "it's not light, it's heat". How would light behave differently in this situation. A really bright pair of lights, miles away, filmed from the ground.

2020-08-11T01:02:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292989724798328833
@_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It's difficult to contest because it's not actually an explanation of anything.

2020-08-11T01:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292989494698811392
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Why don't you explain what you think IR glare actually is? Why does it shrink and vanish when the jet banks away here.

If it's a big blob of "heat" why don't we see it from the side?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292989246471512064/pu/img/5IbAV-dGHEigL7Y9.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292989246471512064/pu/img/5IbAV-dGHEigL7Y9.jpg
2020-08-11T00:58:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292988838822871041
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 "Heat will show a change in rotation and direction." - Why? it's glare. It obscure the exhaust plume, the engine, the entire plane. What would change?

It's not doing this. It's not even doing the first two seconds. And it's a bigger glare.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292988527282552837/pu/img/0MSz1vPmLuKHFnBF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292988527282552837/pu/img/0MSz1vPmLuKHFnBF.jpg
2020-08-11T00:56:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292988315814125569
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Not a lot. It's a long way away, flying away. The camera just keeps pointing more-or-less in the same direction as the plane banks around.

Also, I think it's glare, not the profile of the object we are seeing.

2020-08-11T00:53:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292987495773122560
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I mean it's not NECESSARY for glare. Narrow FOV does not make or prevent glare around bright radiation sources. It's the size and brightness of the radiations source, and the exposure.
Switching to NFOV here makes the heat source larger, so the exposure adjusts, glare shrinks.

2020-08-11T00:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292986885829058560
@Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I seem to remember 512x512. It was obviously square, so maybe 640x640 or 480x480. But yeah, thereabouts.

2020-08-11T00:47:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292985981570703360
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Which? The one with the two jets? It isn't in NFOV. Narrow FOV does not make glare. It's the relative size and brightness of the object and the exposure used (which is normally determined by the scene). Switching to NFOV in the two plane makes the glare go away.

2020-08-11T00:44:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292985157486436352
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel

@openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Unless it was a glare. Bright lights look very similar, regardless of the angle they are viewed at. Assume it's flying roughly away from the jet at the start, and at then end they are more-or-less lined up. Still looking up the tailpipe most the way.

2020-08-11T00:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292984203315830785

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 480p is just a resolution (640x480). It the resolution of the "2 - GIMBAL.wmv" file released by the Navy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGaRYWU4AAEOkd.jpg

Downloaded From	https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/sites/g/files/jejdrs566/files/2020-04/2%20-%20GIMBAL.wmv, https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/documents
Container	
Format	Windows Media
Duration	34 s 467 ms
Overall bit rate mode	Constant
Overall bit rate	3 138 kb/s
Maximum Overall bit rate	3 123 kb/s
Encoded date	UTC 2015-01-25 02:29:16.197
Video	
ID	2
Codec ID	WMV1
Codec ID/Info	Windows Media Video 7
Description of the codec	Windows Media Video V7
Duration	34 s 468 ms
Bit rate mode	Constant
Bit rate	3 000 kb/s
Width	640 pixels
Height	480 pixels
Pixel aspect ratio	1.000
Display aspect ratio	4:3
Frame rate	29.970 (29970/1000) FPS
Nominal frame rate	30.000 FPS
Frame count	1033

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGaRYWU4AAEOkd.jpg

2020-08-11T00:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292983579337617408

@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It does change, it seems like it's getting more end-on (bigger glare). Which fits the theorized flight path if the gimbal object has constant velocity.

It's like the opposite of FLIR1.

2020-08-11T00:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292982750979321856

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 ATFLIR NAR 2x Zoom videos in 480P taken in the thin stable air at 25,000 feet, behind a jet?

2020-08-11T00:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292982178549084161

@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Well, yeah, you can see the shape of my flashlight if I don't point it in the camera.

You can see the shape of a jet if you are not looking down it's tailpipe. Like in Dave's video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292982119229083649/pu/img/Sy6Z7fZruSvjcoT6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292982119229083649/pu/img/Sy6Z7fZruSvjcoT6.jpg

2020-08-11T00:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292980385538686976

@Aviation_Intel @conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It's a real shame nobody will go on the record - or at least write an anonymous analysis.

2020-08-11T00:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292980080725995520

@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus

@ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 What do you mean it was not "saturated glare"? What do you think that means, and how can you tell it was not that?

2020-08-11T00:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292979522107617280

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Well, it's not an ATFLIR glare, is it. Unfortunate they are hard to find. Here's two jet planes, obscured by glare, not really spiking.

The diffraction spikes you talk about A) very by camera, and B) are reduced at high altitudes - less turbulence.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292979007386841088/pu/img/Ef-z8e7JgHPoEIWV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292979007386841088/pu/img/Ef-z8e7JgHPoEIWV.jpg

2020-08-11T00:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292977976972197888

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I can agree with that, if it's clean.

2020-08-11T00:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292977565888475136
@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Any sufficiently overexposed region is going to have glare around it. Of course it's minimized, but not eliminated.

But I think Dave isn't really following here. Glare is just just what we see in his videos. He can't deny it exists. He's filmed it.

2020-08-11T00:09:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292976393886683136
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 With a camera.

Again, infrared cameras have glare. We've just been looking at lots of videos of it.

How do YOU think glare works, in thermal cameras? What, optically is causing the big black blob here? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGTGPhUcAID5VI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGTGPhUcAID5VI.jpg
2020-08-11T00:07:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292975871637168128
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus

@ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Wrong type of glare, again. You're talking about general veiling glare. That's not what we are seeing here.

2020-08-11T00:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292975646499467265

@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 The black bits.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGSe8YVAAAh4cw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGSe8YVAAAh4cw.jpg

2020-08-11T00:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292975330601263104

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It's actually kind of fascinating (YMMV). I filmed a glare on a simulated sensor from the side, at an angle, to prove it was an optical artifact and not a sensor artifact. This is a projected image of the sun, with glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292975192835158023/pu/img/XldN0ul-S5M7wIiE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292975192835158023/pu/img/XldN0ul-S5M7wIiE.jpg
2020-08-11T00:01:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292974453324804096
@DaveFalch @conorowens94 @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Nearby, as in on the image plane sensor. This is assuming the optics are focussed normally, although being out of focus a bit will make glare a lot worse.

Glare is the stray light around a bright light or IR radiation source. The sun is irrelevant here.

2020-08-10T23:58:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292973698828271617
@sj3wufofish @conorowens94 @DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 There's another thread just on glares. I did some experiments to actually see them forming on the sensor.

People often mistakenly think glare is from sensor overflow. It's generally not.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-shape-and-size-of-glare-around-bright-lights.10596/

2020-08-10T23:56:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292973032353304576
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel

@openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Any optical system will have a "glare spread function" that shows how light spreads from point or region. Like this one.
Notice the scale is logarithmic, so the glare is invisible unless the light region is really overexposed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGP4_zU0AE9siz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGP4_zU0AE9siz.jpg
2020-08-10T23:52:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292972241643216897
@conorowens94 @DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Basically just a very bright light source. The optics attempts to focus a perfect image, but there's some light (or IR radation) scattered nearby. Normally this is too low intensity to see. But with a super bright source, it gets visible.

2020-08-10T23:48:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292971141703770113
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 A shape shifting object?

2020-08-10T23:45:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292970276943097856
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 If it's rather well defined, then why the radical change in shape?

What does NFOV have to do with anything here?

And OF COURSE it's rotating independently of the horizon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGNFjlVoAERTwt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGNFjlVoAERTwt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGM81QUEAY5-O9.jpg
2020-08-10T23:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292967884168105984
@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I see flickering spikes in the gimbal video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGLaBVVoAAZogg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGLaBVVoAAZogg.jpg
2020-08-10T23:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292965156213800960
@DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 The Chilean plane was miles away.

About 50 miles at the start and about 140 towards the end of the video.

Note size of glare relative to plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGI0QZU0AAEVc_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfGI0QZU0AAEVc_.jpg

2020-08-10T23:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292961909478629376

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 You've taken similar videos yourself.

2020-08-10T23:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292961727617744897

@DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Again, I think you are talking about the wrong type of glare. You seem to be referring to veiling glare caused by radiation bouncing around inside the optics

Why was the Chilean A340 entirely covered by the IR glare on a step-zoom IR camera?

2020-08-10T23:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292960921162137600

@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Huh, you seem to be talking about lens flare, or full-field veiling glare. I'm talking about the glare around a high intensity radiation source. Like the glare around these engines (especially at the end)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292960893932720129/pu/img/-i3FQhl6AIkIiXPM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1292960893932720129/pu/img/-i3FQhl6AIkIiXPM.jpg
2020-08-10T23:03:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292959780605059072
@DaveFalch @_paint_nerd88 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 What the heck does continuous zoom have to do with it? Neither the camera I used or the ATFLIR have a continuous zoom.

And you really don't say WHY you can't compare them. There are many examples of huge IR glares, and some that obscure the entire plane. Including yours.

2020-08-10T22:52:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292957003271176192
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Did your image rotate when you rotated the roll gimbal portion of your simulated optics? Did it need a derotation to correct this?

2020-08-10T22:45:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292955188601344000
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure

@CHANTHANNAH1 The glare isn't part of the scene.

The glare is not in the foreground or in the background. It's "in" the camera.

2020-08-10T22:42:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292954605437902849
@sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 But I think a key point for a deep understanding (which I don't really claim to have) would be to understand the role of the heliostat mirrors in moving the camera LOS (Line Of Sight). You can work through the patent to get the basic idea.
https://patents.google.com/patent/US9121758

2020-08-10T22:38:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292953516986609666
@sj3wufofish @_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I think the actual light path, tracking mechanism derotation, and gimbal correction, is somewhat more complex than anyone has really attempted to addresses. It's also not clear what in the various patents applies to Gimbal, as tech changed.

2020-08-10T22:27:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292950648544092160
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 https://patents.google.com/patent/US9121758
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfF7wjNUcAAhL3V.jpg

As discussed above, the roll gimbal rotates around the roll axis **242** generally perpendicular to the first rotation axis **244**. Therefore, the on-gimbal components **310***a* of the sensor system **300** are configured to rotate around the roll axis **242** relative to the body-mounted components **310***b*. The roll axis **242** is parallel to the beam of radiation output by the afocal fore-optics **110** or parallel to the beam of radiation reflected by the WFOV insert mirror **325** (in the WFOV configuration). As discussed above, rotation around the roll axis **242** enables pointing the first coelostat mirror **220** in the azimuth direction or horizontal direction to enable the first coelostat mirror to receive radiation from a desired object or scene. However, due to this rotation around the roll axis **242**, the image from the far field object or scene is also rotated. In order to correct for the rotation of the image, the derotation device **330** is configured to counter-rotate so that the image output by the derotation device is in the same direction independent of the rotation of roll gimbal around the roll axis **242** or the rotation of the first coelostat minor **220** around axis **244** or the rotation of the second coelostat minor **230** around axis **248**. In one embodiment, the derotation device **330** is an optical prism. In other embodiments, the derotation device **330** may include reflective optical elements (e.g., minors) and can be for

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfF7wjNUcAAhL3V.jpg



FIG. 3

U.S. Patent Sep. 1, 2015 Sheet 3 of 7 US 9,121,758 B2

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfF7a1SUMAA-3CS.jpg

2020-08-10T22:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292948160013152256

@_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Here's the experiment with not rotating the camera, just the glass in front of it. 41 seconds in, but it's only a minute.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2020-08-10T22:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292947712673894400

@blackvaultcom @MalwareUFO @sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Not really. I think that Elizondo thought he'd got them released. But the DoD disagrees. It's not really a point I've given much additional (if any) thought as I'm more a tech guy than a machinations guy.

2020-08-10T20:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292923062094979074

@_paint_nerd88 @DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Yes.

2020-08-10T20:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292922913725669376

@alpha_check @PotatoRamirez @JunoWalker @tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell I didnâ□□t say it was classified.

2020-08-10T19:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292903626730434560

@PotatoRamirez @JunoWalker @alpha_check @tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell How about a drone? Or an experimental military aircraft? Why not?

2020-08-10T18:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292886603950485504

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JunoWalker @JeremyCorbell Where does Fravor reference the 99 as a jamming indicator?

2020-08-10T18:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292886100965392385

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JunoWalker @JeremyCorbell But he did not see this as an indication of jamming. He saw it as a limitation of the radar.

2020-08-10T18:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292885111944306688

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JunoWalker @JeremyCorbell This isn't something the Underwood would have know about then?

2020-08-10T18:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292884472837238785

@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell The blank indicator AND the "99.9 RNG 99"?

Citation needed.

2020-08-10T18:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292883517630640128

@tommyIzito @JunoWalker @alpha_check @JeremyCorbell So you think Fravor is keeping quiet about classified stuff, while constantly telling people he's alway felt free to discuss the incident, and goes into some detail about radar and other ops? Where's the line here?

2020-08-10T17:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292882974317273088

@alpha_check @tommyIzito @JunoWalker @JeremyCorbell Fravor's, New Girl's, and Underwoods A/A?

Nothing on the broader Aegis system?

2020-08-10T17:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292881926433288192

@tommyIzito @JunoWalker @alpha_check @JeremyCorbell When you start throwing in assumptions about hidden knowledge, then really all bets are off.

Does Tom DeLonge have TS clearance? If not then either his conviction is based on unclassified info, or someone is breaking the law.

2020-08-10T17:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292881454116954112

@tommyIzito @JunoWalker @alpha_check @JeremyCorbell Wouldn't an active jamming situation be classified by default? Wouldn't discussion of how the radar operated, and its limits also be classified?

What do you speculate is on the other side of the classification line?

Maybe the Friend or Foe system IDed it, but that classified?

2020-08-10T17:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292875549149294592

@JunoWalker @alpha_check @tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell Seems like there would be a lot more detail if Fravor had seen the radar tapes. Were these Aegis synthesis tapes of multiple sources including the SPY1? Or just Underwood's onboard Air-to-air?
Was it jamming multiple systems? If not, then what did the other systems show?

2020-08-10T17:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292871734861824000

@ShepardAmbellas @NASA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfEz-uGUYAAZLWD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfEz-uGUYAAZLWD.jpg

2020-08-10T16:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292867503509483520

@ShepardAmbellas @NASA That red arrow is pointing at Venus

2020-08-10T16:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292862450715389952

@MalwareUFO @sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 The Pentagon did not publicly release it after two years. They say the 2017 release was "unauthorized" - they did an "official" release after it was already out there. The length of time is irrelevant, as it could just have been on a (virtual) shelf.

https://www.defense.gov/Newsroom/Releases/Release/Article/2165713/statement-by-the-department-of-defense-on-the-release-of-historical-navy-videos/

2020-08-10T16:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292857586492948488

@tommyIzito @alpha_check @JeremyCorbell I don''t think the Navy just ignores an aircraft flying into their airspace and actively jamming radar - an "act of war" as someone described it. So what happened?

2020-08-10T16:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292857084275499012

@alpha_check @JeremyCorbell And Underwood says the aspect vector (the indicator of the target's travel direction) "never stabilized" - so how could it "start spinning around"?

2020-08-10T16:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292856438688079872

@alpha_check @JeremyCorbell But then we have Underwood quoted in this report:

https://thenimitzencounters.com/wp/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/TIC-TAC-UFO-EXECUTIVE-REPORT_1526682843046_42960218_ver1.0.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfEmDNVU0AEkQ25.jpg



All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EfEmDNVU0AEkQ25.jpg

2020-08-10T16:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292855736704176128

@alpha_check @JeremyCorbell He actually said "as we hit it with the radar it started to jam, went to the jam extrapolate, the aspect vector started spinning around because it didn't want to be locked up"

2020-08-10T13:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292822874437255170

@5X5_NEWS 2020?

2020-08-10T13:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292813524754771968

@Clammy721 @AstrobiologyDay @DaveFalch @UAP1949 @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I'm always happy to admit when I'm wrong. Perhaps you could point out the best example where I failed to do this?

2020-08-10T13:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292810077875789826
@DaveFalch @sj3wufofish @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 A considerable portion of the light path rotates. If something in that light path contributes to the shape of the glare then the shape of the glare will rotate.
Iâ□□ve demonstrated this years ago.

2020-08-09T17:15:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292509712517181440
@DetailMarketing @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays He wasn't at the Gimbal incident, is the point.

2020-08-09T14:05:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292462025012998144
@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @JeremyCorbell So you think Underwood made a mistake at the time, and for years later, saying he was NOT jammed?

He was there.

2020-08-09T04:45:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292321071484477440
@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Falch didn't really explain anything in his video. He just showed a way of rotating an image. The rotating glare argument includes that (the derotation) but also needs a rotating camera and a glare - where the shape of the glare is defined by the rotating part of the camera.

2020-08-09T04:11:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292312434363908098
@StoneflyPress Those two points are facts.

2020-08-08T22:14:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292222561124618240
@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Yes. You might find this video helpful.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-08T22:12:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292222206378782720
@JeremyCorbell @alpha_check Underwood didn't think his radar was being jammed.

The "look at the SA" line was from GIMBAL, not the FLIR1 video Underwood took. Did Underwood mention looking at the SA?

2020-08-08T21:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292212184424955905

@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Essentially yes, the camera has to do rotations along its major axis at some points, and these make the glare rotate. But not the horizon, as that's derotated.

2020-08-08T21:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292209012436373506

@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 I'm not sure what your clarifier mean. Can you explain?

2020-08-08T21:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292206284222078976

@tommyIzito @Oswald1160 @PotatoRamirez @JeremyCorbell I'm not using this to pass judgement on anything. Just trying to figure out if there is any evidence it's rotating.

Maybe it's a non-rotating unconventional aircraft. But what is the evidence of that, exactly? It's not doing anything unconventional.

2020-08-08T21:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292205704296583168

@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Hmm, I suppose that's possible. But does not really seem consistent with what appears in the video. If it were a conventional plane doing a knife edge, then what is it actually doing through the entire video? What orientation is it?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4CT-pIUjGZE

2020-08-08T20:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292199743569801216

@tommyIzito @Oswald1160 @PotatoRamirez @JeremyCorbell Sure, but the issue I'm discussing is if the video shows a rotating object or not. Isn't it important to build a solid case on verifiable facts?

If it's actually rotating, then that's HUGELY significant. So it's worth addressing. No?

2020-08-08T20:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292197988190679040

@drumshticknow @rethgifoof That tweet is 100% factual.

2020-08-08T20:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292197693205278720

@Oswald1160 @_paint_nerd88 @ReverendRude @SirSkaven @ScottAdamsSays It's 12,000 feet below the plane. The pressure altitude of the plane is 25,000 feet, so simply put it's at 13,000 feet - slight variation from the air pressure.

I misremembered when saying 11,000. But it makes no real difference.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee7Ose3VAAA8DNC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee7Ose3VAAA8DNC.jpg

2020-08-08T20:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292196344170287104

@georgiaduck The one attached to the jet engine(s) that are making that glare.

2020-08-08T20:29:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292196205158526977

@tommyIzito @Oswald1160 @PotatoRamirez @JeremyCorbell I don't know that the "fleet" or "formation" on the SA is. What I'm addressing here is the apparent rotation of the object in the video.

2020-08-08T17:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292155856159612928

@6b35ePNtdcEgsKq @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays He does not explain it.

2020-08-08T16:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1292138146071580673

@All4LightBearer @CntryClbRpblcn @ScottAdamsSays Flashlight in daylight is a better example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6YywQVAAA7pB4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6YywPU8AA3lFC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6YywQUEAAo9Jk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6YywQVAAA7pB4.jpg
2020-08-08T16:34:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292137114100838401
@georgiaduck No, the jet moved behind it, changing the relative motion.

Glare is just the stray light (IR) around a strong light or heat source. The system can track a moving light.

2020-08-08T15:48:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292125469005905920
@CntryClbRpblcn @All4LightBearer @ScottAdamsSays Glare in this context is not a reflection. Itâ□□s a reall bright â□□lightâ□□ (IR here). Like oncoming headlights or the sun.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6NQ3dUwAAWGWT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee6NQ3dUwAAWGWT.jpg
2020-08-08T14:58:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292112849251123201
@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez Graves did not see the Gimbal object, or film it. All he has seen himself are lights in the distance.

2020-08-08T14:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292100067348774912
@ale_pronto That is a firefighting plane. The tanks contain water and fire retardant.

2020-08-08T14:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292099766264819713
@Rey_Skywalker8 @Prajwel_Joseph @fermatslibrary @ScolesSarah @SethShostak @michaelshermer You are conflating two different things. The object in the video seems unrelated to what Fravor saw. It makes no sudden moves. It IS a UFO, just not a very interesting one. A distant blurry blob. Possibly a plane.

2020-08-08T14:03:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292099118936895489
@sj3wufofish @DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 The apparent rotation is special because it rotates 90Â° and does not fall. If a jet rotates 90* then there's zero lift. So if the rotation was real, it would be a highly unconventional aircraft. Like anti-gravity unconventional. Or unheard-of centered lateral vectoring jets.

2020-08-08T13:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1292097947933409280
@conorowens94 @minizug @hssdiv @rethgifoof That's a great point. The ATFLIR system is a combination of fine (internal)(and coarse (large, external) gimbals. The glare rotations we see seem to be from the external axial rotation - which is minimized, as it's a big noisy move.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ee5z2YFVoAIl9nO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ee5z2YFVoAIl9nO.jpg

2020-08-08T05:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291963238624555009

@GeezerSqueezer @ufobelievers Specifically? Let's get technical here. What are you referring to?

2020-08-08T04:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291956769237790720

@_paint_nerd88 @Oswald1160 @SaiMedi3 @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays Who?

2020-08-08T04:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291956616502239232

@mindbodyball This a different case, years later.

2020-08-08T04:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291947857264570369

@DaveFalch @BryanKelly @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Well, you can see it, canâ□□t you?

2020-08-08T04:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291947645473185792

@cabe7777 Do you think Iâ□□ve â□□debunkedâ□□ Gimbal?

2020-08-08T03:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291947165896437760

@Newser_SW @DavidPittelli1 @ChucalescuJR Which pilot are you talking about? Fravor wasnt at Gimbal.

2020-08-07T23:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291878528737112064

@DetailMarketing @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays Nobody saw the Gimbal object, just video.

You are thinking of the Nimitz incident, a decade earlier, and thousands of miles away.

2020-08-07T23:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291878105758330881

@MainelyReason No, I'm not saying that at all. I'm saying that this videos does not appear to show a rotating object. That contradicts no pilot accounts.

2020-08-07T22:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291861687897751552

@biglilthought @ScottAdamsSays "Glare" has been the explanation since 2017. This is just a better infographic that happened to get retweeted by Scott Adams for some reason, and so got lots of attention.

2020-08-07T22:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291861405369397248

@timcva No, it's something like a a jet engine being tracked by a camera on another jet that's banking left to come up behinds the thing it's tracking, and a camera glitch.

2020-08-07T22:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291860469058162688

@MyLantaBraves How do you figure stuff out then?

Theorizing, and then checking to see if the theory works, is science.

2020-08-07T22:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291860075376545792

@tinypolitics @Oswald1160 @ReverendRude @ScottAdamsSays The rotating artifacts occur with the other rotation (5313) , they are just harder to see than 5314-5317

2020-08-07T22:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291858012773027840

@maxhill007 @sasmith___ @rethgifoof It means the object's apparent rotation is a camera artifact.

If it was rotating it would mean it was NOT a conventional jet, and was something extraordinary.

But it's not rotating (that we can tell), so while it might be a spaceship, a jet engine is the simplest explanation.

2020-08-07T21:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291856493269024768

@Holavista @rgage33 @AE911Truth Physics.

2020-08-07T21:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291856213399883777

@VahanBznuni @rethgifoof "you'd think" isn't incredibly helpful when the Navy has said they won't tell you any details because of classification. Maybe what you think is true in this case. Maybe it's not true in other cases. But it's all secret.

2020-08-07T21:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291855814039199744

@MyLantaBraves How do you figure? What do you think is causing the rotation of the light and dark regions in the video, the ones that rotate in sync with the "object"?

2020-08-07T21:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291855370642579456

@josesanchez3498 @tommyIzito @nkt27555 I don't know what that was. But it was years before this video.

2020-08-07T21:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291855245522268160

@maxhill007 @sasmith___ @rethgifoof There's no rotating shadow. The black region is the glare (black=hot). The white region around it is an unsharp mask artifact.

2020-08-07T21:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291854977862787072

@sallgeud @ScottAdamsSays Because its translational motion relative to the clouds is inconsistent with the insect hypothesis. See this post from 2017 discussing that: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.9333/post-216259

2020-08-07T21:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291854159264661504

@AspiringGreatn2 @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays No they didn't. Some guy who worked for a contractor that did some stuff for the Pentagon said he thought there were off-world craft somewhere.

2020-08-07T21:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291853880368623618

@Phoenix22653200 @ChucalescuJR He didn't take the video. He wasn't there when the video was taken. I don't know what he saw.

2020-08-07T21:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291853724663443456

@PredatoryPanic Depends how far away they are. Most FLIR footage is close enough so the

engine glare does not obscure the entire plane.

This isn't the same either, but watch the last 30 seconds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291853296609574912/pu/img/6SAmFjNKR9hpR5Zj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291853296609574912/pu/img/6SAmFjNKR9hpR5Zj.jpg

2020-08-07T21:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291853169408892928

@Oswald1160 @TheCyberML @tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays Yeah the original version of the infographic just said "probably a plane leaving aerodynamic contrails". It was updated with plane type once it was figured out which of the two candidate planes it was. Here's the old one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee2VV20U4AAAxQw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee2VV20U4AAAxQw.jpg
2020-08-07T21:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291852329616986113
@scotburen @jjmdirector @ScottAdamsSays Which case specifically are you referring to?

2020-08-07T21:41:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291852059596058624
@VahanBznuni @rethgifoof Even if they figure it's a jet engine, it's still an unidentified aerial platform.

2020-08-07T21:41:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291851882579673089
@scotburen @zaytsev_evgeny Not this object. However, the glare is caused by a very hot object, likely a jet engine, attached to a solid object, like a jet.

2020-08-07T21:40:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291851663284645888
@scotburen @jjmdirector @ScottAdamsSays It's not meant to be the same. It's meant to illustrate the principle that a glare can be A) oval shaped, and B) Rotate independently from the horizon with a combination of camera rotation + post-glare derotation.

2020-08-07T17:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291795509401673728
@Shane1V @ChucalescuJR @DavidPittelli1 They have not spoken about it.

2020-08-07T16:34:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291774694891249665
@JTornbury Not to the GIMBAL video, no.

2020-08-07T16:33:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291774524808036352
@EddieKaran @ChucalescuJR Because A) it's unidentified, and B) they have overarching classification restrictions regarding details of operations and incident investigations.

2020-08-07T16:25:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291772453023174656
@Elljaysa1 @DavidPittelli1 @ChucalescuJR I'm not JUST opining.

Why do you think the patterns of light and dark rotate with the apparent object?

What evidence opposes this theory, other than "pilots don't make mistakes"?

2020-08-07T16:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291771931377586176
@VisitorPaul @ScottAdamsSays The video was sped up so you can more clearly see the light patterns rotate with the glare. They still rotate with the glare at normal speed. They still rotate with the glare in the full video. They still rotate with the glare with audio playing

---

2020-08-07T16:21:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291771362365726720
@tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays Ever driven at night, on an unlit road, and had a car come at you with brights on?

Imagine if those full-beam headlights were in the back of a car your were following, some distance ahead.

2020-08-07T16:19:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291770915907223555
@tinypolitics @ScottAdamsSays It's probably a plane. The glare comes from the jet engine(s)

Remember the Chilean Navy UFO case? That had pilots mistake a glare for something else. That was a plane too. Glare can be a LOT bigger than the engines.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee1Ky3QUwAEUT8t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee1KriYUwAAAL1O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee1KtHvVoAE4QUP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ee1Ky3QUwAEUT8t.jpg

2020-08-07T16:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291767892468408320

@blackrifleunion The glare comes from a jet engine, attached to a plane.

2020-08-07T16:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291767638381621248

@sandboxpro @ScottAdamsSays It's flying away. The apparent leftward motion is mostly from the following plane (with the camera) flying at (initially) 54Â° right of it (see the 54Â°L indicator). As it get more straight on, the apparent motion vanishes.

"Rotation" coincided with the ~0Â° gimbal lock traversal.

2020-08-07T16:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291766565797470208

@AgitatedMan Clearly they did not realize it was a plane. Quite understandable, especially if the ATFLIR system was relatively new to them. It''s hardly a common thing.

2020-08-07T16:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291766151513440258

@SirSkaven @ScottAdamsSays The GOFAST video? Because it's at 13,000 feet, and is probably a balloon.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-08-07T15:57:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291765358731202560

@tommyIzito @nkt27555 So what happened after the video ended?

2020-08-07T15:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291764884313477120

@10dollarbeer @ScottAdamsSays Trail? You only see fairly short cones of heat in IR. When the glare is big enough it covers all the details. Especially when (as seems to be the case here) the jet is facing away from the camera. Apparent motion (and slow down) is mostly parallax.

2020-08-07T15:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291764256728211456

@nova3930 Who is to say there isn't? The Navy said any results of investigations into these videos would be classified.

2020-08-07T15:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291763901722329089

@tommyIzito @nkt27555 I give them all kinds of credit, they are awesome! That does not mean they can't be fooled for a few seconds by something that looks like a rotating object, but actually isn't.

They are not gods.

2020-08-07T15:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291763634712936448

@Niklas_Paull @ScottAdamsSays How do you know they tried? The video ends.

2020-08-07T15:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291763328721682433

@AgitatedMan @ScottAdamsSays He's looking at the ATFLIR display.

2020-08-07T15:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291763207745310720

@AgitatedMan It's a visual lock (no RNG indicator). But it's not JUST an artifact, behind that glare there's something very hot, probably a jet engine or two attached to plane.

2020-08-07T15:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291762591312695296

@brew_tim @ScottAdamsSays Yes it can. Although I don't have an ATFLIR handy :)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-07T15:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291762400819986433

@tommyIzito @KenParrott @rethgifoof "Pilots can't be fooled" isn't even really an appeal to authority. We don't have these pilots saying it's not a glare. You just assume they would say that.

2020-08-07T15:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291761990113718281

@conorowens94 @rethgifoof The camera is only rotating one way when contributing the the glare rotation. It's the gimbal lock traversal. You can't see this rotation because of the derotation that keeps the horizon where it should be.

Try this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-08-07T15:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291761370384306176
@alonso_Isidoro @ScottAdamsSays @hsocasnavarro Sure, it's not a new realization. Been around since 2017. I just made this clearer illustration with the better quality video the Navy released recently.

Here's the old discussion:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.9333/

2020-08-07T15:39:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291760998517362689
@AIR_CAV @ScottAdamsSays Infrared radiation is pretty similar to light (visible radiation). You get glare with it. Watch this to the end:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291760938299715584/pu/img/df8VOhWV0G8O4Y9k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291760938299715584/pu/img/df8VOhWV0G8O4Y9k.jpg
2020-08-07T15:37:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291760435356606465
@jjmdirector @ScottAdamsSays Here's an example of a rotating glare with rotating light patterns (a bit harder to see, but they are there).
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291760156607315971/pu/img/6rIkVsYx3eyIpaEX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291760156607315971/pu/img/6rIkVsYx3eyIpaEX.jpg
2020-08-07T15:36:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291760011207532544
@tommyIzito @nkt27555 No that I know of. I think they looked at the SA and saw what they though was a lot of some kind of flying thing.

Who knows what they thought 30 seconds later.

2020-08-07T15:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291758925583269888
@tommyIzito @nkt27555 There's no fleet in the video. Maybe the pilot was referring to a fleet of something else.

2020-08-07T15:30:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291758696926568448
@rethgifoof There was not a fleet in this video. There's just one heat source. Looks like a jet engine.

Maybe the fleet was something else.

2020-08-07T15:28:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291758147896410114
@JLuvin Here's a glare with an oval shape. It rotates as the camera rotates.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291757880991858689/pu/img/ULJUMtBp15HG80xb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291757880991858689/pu/img/ULJUMtBp15HG80xb.jpg
2020-08-07T15:26:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291757541903314945
@alpha_check I'm simply pointing out the rotating light pattern rotates with the glare. Jet engine seems to explain what we see perfectly, and we have no indication they didn't later identify it.
Maybe it was a classified drone? How would YOU know. It's classified.

2020-08-07T15:23:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291756779303403521
@Julesofthwood @ufouapam @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai Spider silk clumps, on the other hand, generally a few and far between. Which is why I suggested that first.

2020-08-07T14:48:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291747985353609222
@Julesofthwood @ufouapam @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai It does not glow. It gets lit by the sun. When photographed or videoed against a dark background it will seem to glow in the video. But it's not actually glowing.
Here's an example I made yesterday. The bit of paper "glows"
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291747866591948800/pu/img/09uVePdyk4eqC90K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291747866591948800/pu/img/09uVePdyk4eqC90K.jpg
2020-08-07T14:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291747496528506880
@conorowens94 @minizug @rethgifoof It's because the shape the of IR image in FLIR1 is representative of the aircraft and engine configuration. It's not glaring enough to obscure the actual shape.

There's a huge variation in IR glares, just like with visible light glare. Depends on brightness, camera, contrast.

2020-08-07T14:43:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291746771710775297
@touruds Why? It LOOKS like it's rotating. Perfectly understandable for the pilots to think it was rotating. They just saw it apparently rotate.

2020-08-07T14:41:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291746414632906752
@ChucalescuJR @DavidPittelli1 I'm not dismissing the entire story. This video isn't even from the Nimitz encounter.

All I'm doing here is pointing out strong evidence of a reasonable (albeit unintuitive) explanation for the apparent rotation in the "Gimbal" video. Just the apparent rotation. That's it.

2020-08-07T14:39:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291745729145262080
@ChilliChris1 It's unfortunate that understanding the Gimbal artifact rotation requires a bit of mental exercise.

But it's something of a fallacy to describe this mental exercise as "gymnastics" and use that to

suggest it's wrong.

It's complicated. It's understandable people don't get it.

2020-08-07T14:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291744555490897922
@DavidPittelli1 @ChucalescuJR And they just saw it once, on a tiny screen, while flying a plane.

I've seen it hundreds of times, on my giant monitor, frame by frame, sped up, slowed down, contrast enhanced, and with lots of time to think about it.

And I'm not just opining, I'm showing evidence.

2020-08-07T14:31:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291743824021078018
@ChucalescuJR Possibly a fleet of alien spaceships, or Chinese anti-gravity drones. Or something more or less interesting.

Here I'm pointing out that the "Gimbal" object is a glare, and the apparent rotation is a camera artifact.

Nothing more.

2020-08-07T14:29:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291743280850325505
@murnut People care about it rotating, because that would mean it's beyond known human technology.

Not being identified just means it's too far away.

2020-08-07T14:28:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291742940398678019
@minizug @rethgifoof Stare at the upper left of the video. Notice it seems like there's light and dark regions rotating?

Notice they rotate as the "gimbal" rotates?

Notice the horizon hardly moves?

2020-08-07T14:26:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291742616531296256
@ChucalescuJR Sure, if they could see it.

Here they are just looking at the glare on the screen, like everyone else. In those 40 seconds or so (at least) they did not identify it from the video.

2020-08-07T14:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291742274653544448

@travis_view They watch the internet. Cultural phenomena are less locally bound than in the past.

Can't judge by one case though. There are also British fans of Nascar and "American" football.

2020-08-07T14:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291740873865064450

@zaytsev_evgeny Sure, but that seems BY FAR the most likely explanation for a distant heat source that looks like the heat from a jet engine.

Of course it could be a really hot alien spaceship or advanced human flying craft. But if it's not rotating, then why would you think that?

2020-08-07T14:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291740283755880449

@ufouapam @Oswald1160 @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai Is there any evidence that suggests it's NOT fluff?

2020-08-07T14:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291740083213619203

@rethgifoof Notice he does not actually address the details of the glare hypothesis. Instead he mocks it and then makes some vague appeal to authority - an authority that has ALSO not addressed the glare hypothesis (in public).

Look at the video. Explain that rotation.

2020-08-07T14:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291736666277781506

The Gimbal UFO video is not a rotating flying saucer or a rotating aircraft of any type. It's a rotating glare from the jet engine of the non-rotating aircraft. You see this as other, larger image patterns also rotate in sync with the glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291735968345595906/pu/img/7_rBzQvWfwXKE74z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291735968345595906/pu/img/7_rBzQvWfwXKE74z.jpg

2020-08-07T04:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291596428247089152

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @sj3wufofish @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 @Chieftog Dave, could you perhaps rope in a few more experts to give their opinions?

2020-08-07T04:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291595153052852225

@BryanKelly @DaveFalch @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It's neither, it's an in-camera artifact. You can only really see it against the sky.

2020-08-07T00:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291533568905117696

I'll be on KQED at 10AM tomorrow, talking about how to help loved ones who've fallen into a QAnon rabbit hole.

(*My book is "Escaping the Rabbit Hole" - but close enough :)

https://twitter.com/KQEDForum/status/1291517967037997057

2020-08-07T00:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291533026057322499

@DaveFalch @uelbus @ProfX96172616 @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Yet the aircraft is not rotating much at that point. Not that the horizon hardly moves.

The glare rotates. The light patterns in the background rotate with the glare. The horizon does not rotate.

This fits the rotation+derotation theory. Does it fit any other theory?

2020-08-06T16:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291411702597054464

@TheProjectUnity @DusterePodcast @Ricky_Gr8Ness Oh it's something. It explains the lighting effect.

Then you've just got something drifting in the breeze, and there's plenty of examples of things drifting in breezes changing direction.

2020-08-06T16:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291411420303613954

@fullbredmartian That in not what we are seeing here. It goes in and out of sunlight, and at the end of the video it's actually dark against the sky.

2020-08-06T16:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291407676870516736

@ufouapam @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai Why not? Things drift win the breeze, and the wind changes direction over very short distances. Here's a lot of examples.

https://youtu.be/15OFx8iXg9o?t=34

2020-08-06T16:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291406519305555968

@Tristan77457 @ufouapam @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai It's very light, so it's able to just drift with very light air movements. Here's a bunch of seeds doing similar things. The ones in the distance will be more similar.

https://youtu.be/sOx_m-DQDag?t=247

2020-08-06T15:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291403196514971649

@ufouapam @TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai It's just normal air currents. It's a VERY light object near buildings and trees, so you get a variety of circulating air.

2020-08-06T15:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291402986174869504

@DusterePodcast @TheProjectUnity @Ricky_Gr8Ness A quick replication of some aspects of the "orb" video. Bit of tissue paper, in sun. Background not in sun. Digital zoom shows orange glare. Will vary with camera and lighting.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291402697136979968/pu/img/YLVZEAQ_R-YkJZtv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1291402697136979968/pu/img/YLVZEAQ_R-YkJZtv.jpg
2020-08-06T15:55:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291402432384122880
@DusterePodcast @TheProjectUnity @Ricky_Gr8Ness I popped outside to video something bright white in the sun against a dark background. Sun is higher here, so not as orange. But you get the idea. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev7oPGUwAEJOge.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev7XctUwAIGVry.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev7oPGUwAEJOge.jpg

2020-08-06T15:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291401038717575169

@DusterePodcast @TheProjectUnity @Ricky_Gr8Ness It is the exact same color as this twig that's lit by the sun http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev6A2YVoAEGrbd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev6A2YVoAEGrbd.jpg

2020-08-06T15:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291399890958815232

@DusterePodcast @TheProjectUnity @Ricky_Gr8Ness Likely because it's not fully in the sun at that point. It's not "glowing", it's just overexposed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev5S_MUYAAp_Al.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev5F46U0AYEa_Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eev5S_MUYAAp_Al.jpg

2020-08-06T15:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291394680400175112

@TheProjectUnity @KeenwaAcai It's not dust. Looks more like spider silk, or something like cottonwood seeds.

The low sun and poor camera exposure create the "glowing blob" illusion.

2020-08-06T15:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291394414812598273

@Bronx22 The media has been reporting on cloud seeding since the 1950s.

2020-08-06T15:16:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291392798915092481

@Scribe1969 It's a combination of it floating into the sun, and the digital zoom not correcting for the exposure.

2020-08-06T15:08:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291390747476811777

@ProfX96172616 @DaveFalch @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 "Bits" = "Regions of the image"

Look at the top left here. See how it seems to rotate with the "object" (the glare) in the middle?

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EevxBrZUwAAaPwe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EevxBrZUwAAaPwe.jpg

2020-08-06T15:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291390173821845504

@Scribe1969 You jest, but actually, it's conceivable that a bit of dried up shed lizard skin could do something like this. Would probably need a bit more wind, but they are quite light.

This looks like spider silk or seeds to me though.

2020-08-06T14:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291388479889272833

@benjymous Ballooning spiders are fairly common in Texas. Here's a video of some clumped-together strands floating through the Texas sky. They eventually form into tighter clusters. https://www.nbcdfw.com/news/local/ballooning-spiders-fly-north-texas-skies/42035/

2020-08-06T14:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291386992035389442

@DusterePodcast @Ricky_Gr8Ness @TheProjectUnity https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1291385334320644096

2020-08-06T14:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291386786254450689

@benjymous Video of the spider silk. I've seen numerous videos of "UFOs" that might possible be something like this. The unusual combination of whiteness plus lightness make the clumps move easily in air currents, changing directions unexpectedly https://youtu.be/Ipx1ZHQt-rs

---

2020-08-06T14:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291386217368416256

@benjymous Here's a close-up of a clump of spider silk that drifted over my house and landed on the lawn. They are VERY light, and bright white, so in the distance, lit by sun, can easily show up as an "orb" due to camera glare. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EevsiYyU0AA9BO0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EevsiYyU0AA9BO0.jpg

2020-08-06T14:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1291385334320644096

Paranormal "orbs" are usually night-time optical phenomena caused by out-of-focus dust or water droplets lit by a flash.

Here's a daylight "orb" - a bit of fluff (maybe spider silk) drifting in the air currents, going in and out of low sun, so seeming to glow bright orange.
https://twitter.com/TheProjectUnity/status/1291320335552446464

2020-08-06T04:03:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291223262475759618
@DaveFalch @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Isnâ□□t it complicated?

2020-08-06T04:01:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291222925274578946
@DaveFalch @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It rotates because the camera rotates. The horizon does not rotate because itâ□□s derotated. But you canâ□□t derotate the glare because itâ□□s relative to the camera, not the horizon.

2020-08-06T03:59:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291222301489405952
@DaveFalch @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Itâ□□s the other bits that also rotate. With the glare.

2020-08-05T13:48:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1291008199059886080
@Watcher67820714 @UfoFiles2 Falch did no such thing. He showed an image rotating, he didn't show rotation+derotation. Unfortunately he seems to continue to miss the reason for the glare rotating.

2020-08-05T02:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290835426136137729
@UfoFiles2 I read it. It was wrong.

2020-08-04T20:29:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290746750567735296
@UfoFiles2 It was 100% explained. All of it.

2020-08-04T05:20:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290517830484422656
RT @axios: .@jonathanvswan: â□□Oh, youâ□□re doing death as a proportion of cases. Iâ□□m talking about death as a proportion of population. Thatâ□□sâ□¦

2020-08-04T05:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290513191206715392

@Matthew71690273 Yes, the ones that are too far away to identify.

2020-08-04T00:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290441441232158722

@Flyingh43892139 @charliedeets Fascinating stuff. The onion rings come from grinding and polishing the surface of the lens.

I'd suspect the few blurry rings might be from a lower quality, maybe small lens?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeiRfyXU4AA3J-5.jpg

H. Joo & S. Kwon & S. Lee & E. Eisemann & S. Lee / Efficient Ray Tr



Figure 3: *Simple mechanics of lens fabrication via a grinder.*



Figure 4: *Example of a grinding pattern generation; parameters are 5000× exaggerated for illustration purposes.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeiRbgxUYAAfCxM.jpg



Figure 8: *Examples of lens systems (yellow, red, and green elements for the entrance pupil, aspheric elements, and sensor planes, respectively), normal maps (exaggerated for legibility) and their corresponding bokeh textures.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeiRfyXU4AA3J-5.jpg
2020-08-04T00:12:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290440517747179520
@Go_Kick_Rocks88 Why would you think it's something interesting? There's lots of possible normal sources of lights. We don't WHICH it is, but that does not mean it's something else.

2020-08-04T00:00:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290437389761077249
@Flyingh43892139 @charliedeets I'm not entirely sure. The classic onion-ring pattern comes from the way the lens is ground. Patterns with fewer rings seem to come from aspherical lens elements. Interesting thread on it here:
https://www.dpreview.com/forums/thread/4415177#forum-post-62997643

2020-08-03T23:33:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290430624080134144
I think I was mistaken earlier referring to this orb UFO as an "Airy Disk". Airy disks are patterns around dot light sources (like stars) that are in focus. This seems more like an out-of-focus light.: i.e "bokeh", and the concentric circles are referred to as "Onion ring bokeh"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeiHHHQVAAEM0Vf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeiHHHQVAAEM0Vf.jpg

2020-08-03T23:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290429253905813504

@weerasekera79 @ChristopherKMe4 That's another out-of-focus light. It's called "onion-ring bokeh" the pattern of rings depends on the lens.

2020-08-03T21:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290405108161769474

@carlstanland I think you are confusing cases. Iâ□□ve always said FLIR1 and GIMBAL look like aircraft. GOFAST is probably a balloon or possibly a bird.

Fravor probably saw something else. Maybe more than one thing.

2020-08-03T21:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290397539938656256

@Oswald1160 @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 There needs to be a camera rotation and the a derotation.

The derotation needs to happen after the cause of the shape of the glare.

2020-08-03T21:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290396329714151424

@ufouapam @PostDisclosure @BubbleInvestor 3D analysys.

2020-08-03T20:59:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290391746770157568

@ztrussell Very much so. Itâ□□s great.

2020-08-03T20:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290391516817416192

@carlstanland Those are things I said, yes. What is your question?

2020-08-03T17:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290342020213481472

@AEcho13 @Michael85915733 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi Seems more like a poor ironic observation (Arabic numerals), which distracted from actual criticism.

2020-08-03T17:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290341483740987392

@RAELAmenRa Stuff?

2020-08-03T17:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290340473651859456

@RAELAmenRa Zero. Although I've made a little from the book royalties. Nobody pays me though.

I'm a retired videogame programmer.

2020-08-03T17:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290340076199673857

@RAELAmenRa Although at the time of that photo, not very big, that was pre-THPS. Just finished Apocalypse I think.

2020-08-03T17:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290339838328111104

@RAELAmenRa At Neversoft? Pretty big. Why?

2020-08-03T17:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290337079587557376

@Michael85915733 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi Sure, but Lindsey is straw-manning the 2+2 nonsense. Rochelle Gutierrez isn't saying it's 5, she's saying there's racism and inequity in the history and teaching of mathematics.

2020-08-03T17:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290333032088367104

@Watcher67820714 (* except maybe that one guy)

2020-08-03T17:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290332732074033154

@Watcher67820714 No, I love you all. I just don't like false claims, misinterpreted evidence, and dodgy logic.

2020-08-03T17:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290332517971587074

@Michael85915733 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi They don't literally think that. They were just (poorly) making a rather obtuse point about the interpretation of symbols and overlaying the philosophical question of if mathematics is invented or discovered.
This might have worked as a better example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w-I6XTVZXww

---

2020-08-03T16:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290331017060814854
@jon_an_on @mesolithicguy Don't go by "opinions" when you can check for yourself. Just download the radar track of IB6830. It's reasonably straightforward. I go over the details here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2020-08-03T16:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290329902877560832
@RAELAmenRa Looks more like flares. Obviously there some source of light there.

2020-08-03T15:35:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290310208778940416
@sth9669 @Conotocarius1 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi He's supposed an expert at being patient and understanding. But more than that, about being constructive.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EegaQcaU4AMt1o9.jpg

The Seven Fundamentals of Good Conversations

How to Converse with Anyone, from Strangers to Prison Inmates

#1—Goals
Why are you engaged in this conversation?

#2—Partnerships
Be partners, not adversaries

#3—Rapport
Develop and maintain a good connection

#4—Listen
Listen more, talk less

#5—Shoot the Messenger
Don’t deliver your truth

#6—Intentions
People have better intentions than you think

#7—Walk Away
Don’t push your conversation partner beyond their comfort zone

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EegaQcaU4AMt1o9.jpg
2020-08-03T15:27:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290308344406618114
@carlstanland @SpikeKavalench @ElectroDeeJ What are you referring to?

2020-08-03T15:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290308186734333954
@sth9669 @Conotocarius1 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi On Twitter he just comes across as constant mocking and belittling - casting anyone interested in social justice into a single category that he mocks. So it's unsurprising they don't want to talk to him.

Maybe he's better elsewhere, but this isn't helping.

2020-08-03T15:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290307628317331457
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure They didn't address the Chilean case. In a segment titled "Planetary Threat?" they say the Chilean case has a "Tic-Tac-like shape".

Yet we know it was a plane. The producers probably knew it too.

2020-08-03T15:18:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290306102844768258
@sth9669 @Conotocarius1 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi Is James interested in having a conversation?

2020-08-03T14:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290298279352135682
@Conotocarius1 @ConceptualJames @mtaibbi I think the problem here is that James isn't actually trying to have a conversation. He's just fallen into the habit of pigeonholing and mocking.

2020-08-03T14:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290297943732285442
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure It's a show made in partnership with TTSA.
Surely they would be interested in not presenting old solved cases as UFOs?

Don't you think they would want to distance themselves from laughably poor analysis of "orbs"?

I really can't tell if they understand this stuff or not

2020-08-03T14:38:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290296030064386048
@Matthew71690273 Any object that's sufficiently far away can't be identified.

That's how it works.

2020-08-03T14:35:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290295201303416834
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EegMpO1UYAAMkhj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EegMpO1UYAAMkhj.jpg
2020-08-03T14:32:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290294541707177984
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure Yes

2020-08-03T14:22:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290292059958439950
@ConceptualJames @mtaibbi I thought you were an expert in having impossible conversations James. Why have you degenerated into calling people idiots? Mocking large groups of people with simplistic cherry-picking does not seem very productive.

2020-08-03T14:11:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290289267755737089
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure Elizondo and Mellon are Technical Producers.

So they would be responsible for technical stuff, no? What could that possibly be?

2020-08-03T14:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290288362146787329
@mesolithicguy Well, there are plenty of planes, and many cameras have a hard time focussing on a point of light. So it's entirely expected that there would be many videos like this.

There also quite a few Google balloons, so they will come up too. And they do.

2020-08-03T14:04:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290287319488978945
@ztrussell Lianza is great. He's incredibly detail oriented. He got a short piece there, explaining one case. Then at the end of it Elizondo basically said he didn't think Lianza had solved all his cases.

But I'm 100% sure Lianza would have explained the Orbs and IB6830, if asked.

2020-08-03T13:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290284309752901632

@SocialistFT I agree a balloon seems more likely. But I would not eliminate a well insulated bird. The air at 13,000 feet is 45 Â°F colder than the air at sea level. Birds do fly that high, so they must be able to survive - and they don't do it by rapidly radiating heat.

2020-08-03T06:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290165970586099712

@PostDisclosure @BubbleInvestor Well, he was certainly present when he said all the thing that he said on the show. He was present when he interviewed people claiming out-of-focus lights were orbs.

What skills does he have that make him suited for his job?

2020-08-03T05:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290164977773326337

@SocialistFT A surface temperature, yes. But it's at 13,000 above the water, so that's what you'd expect from a balloon, maybe even a well-insulated bird.

2020-08-03T05:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290164654522560515

@BubbleInvestor To this: https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1290156711945187331

2020-08-03T05:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290164482014994432

@BubbleInvestor Yeah, it was supposed to be a reply to something :)

2020-08-03T05:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290161979085058051

@AFSUnidentified What factors?

IB6830 matched the "UFO" EXACTLY. It flew along the same path at the same time. The position, size, speed, and bank angle matched exactly.

What other factors could there be?

2020-08-03T05:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290161498606596097

@AFSUnidentified Why do you have no idea?

It's been proven without a doubt to be IB6830, a four engine A340 departing from Santiago.

Why would you not know this?

Why did Elizondo and Mellon not know this?

2020-08-03T05:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290160766738300928
@BubbleInvestor It means literally what I said.

2020-08-03T05:32:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290158584618049536
DeLonge was still listed as EP in season 1.

Of course that does not actual imply any hands-on creative control
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeQJapUMAAJnZz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeQJapUMAAJnZz.jpg
2020-08-03T05:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290156049467453440
@AFSUnidentified Do you think the Chilean Navy case is still actually unidentified?

2020-08-03T05:19:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290155321676054533
@PostDisclosure And yet he effectively IS TTSA. He's the driving force behind it, and the financial lynchpin of the whole enterprise.

2020-08-03T05:18:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290155060119257091
@PostDisclosure What do you think would be an appropriate reaction from TTSA, after their name was attached to such significant errors?

2020-08-03T05:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290154421796577284
@AFSUnidentified Not really. IB6830 was IB6830. There's nothing left to investigate there since 2017. Elizondo should have known this.

The Airy disk "orb" is a light. Elizondo seemed to think it was a sphere.

Those are the points.

2020-08-03T05:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290153291779698688

@PostDisclosure And also: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeLf-_UwAAKlac.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeLf-_UwAAKlac.jpg

2020-08-03T04:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290148150238261248

@PostDisclosure Huh? That does not seem to follow the discussion we were just having? Take WHAT as gospel?

Do you think the Airy disc orb was NOT an out-of-focus light?

Do you think IB6830 was a UFO?

2020-08-03T04:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290147347805032448

@ianbillmorris @TenoriThrowaway (To the UFO investigating show, not the board) :)

2020-08-03T04:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290147164446797824

@ianbillmorris @TenoriThrowaway Sign me up!

2020-08-03T04:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290147122176651265

@PostDisclosure When will he be able to do so?

2020-08-03T04:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290146483459694597

@PostDisclosure Yeah, he though it might be a balloon.

But he missed the fact that it's OBVIOUSLY an out-of-focus point of light.

It's like UFO video analysis 101.

2020-08-03T04:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290146100536414213

@PostDisclosure Do you think that both Elizondo and Mellon are aware that they were on a

show that was presenting known things as UFOs?

If so, then why?

In not, then why not? How did they get to season 2?

Give me a good reason.

2020-08-03T04:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290145323021549569

@MrLawBro Well yeah, anything light sufficiently far away is a point of light. Stars are points of light, but are real objects. A helicopter with a white light, or a plane with a landing light is a point of light at a certain distance.

2020-08-03T04:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290144931235786753

@PostDisclosure They are literally the show's technical producers.

2020-08-03T04:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290144613823475712

@PostDisclosure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeDpXfUwAAKyAV.jpg



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeDknPVAAICiIc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeeDpXfUwAAKyAV.jpg

2020-08-03T04:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290143851726872576

@drumshticknow @IamDrVenkman If I'm so afraid of being wrong, then why do I talk so much?

2020-08-03T00:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290089976705593345

@TenoriThrowaway That would significant cut back on their entertainment division.

Which is really most of TTSA, so far.

2020-08-03T00:58:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290089503768510464

RT @GenMhayden: Itâ□□s despicable

https://twitter.com/JBWolfsthal/status/1290057682695536642

2020-08-03T00:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290088381293068289

@Go_Kick_Rocks88 @Flyingh43892139 Try the Skydentify forum.

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2020-08-03T00:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290087653157740544

@Flyingh43892139 @Go_Kick_Rocks88 It looks like a bright light, possible on the ground.

My first hypothesis would be a solar concentrator like Ivanpah or Cresent Dunes.

2020-08-02T23:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290066632518152192

@Go_Kick_Rocks88 The usual things. Planets, Planes, Helicopters, Buildings. That's not

important. The important thing is that they didn't realize it was out of focus.

2020-08-02T23:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290063267012857857

@JLuvin @ChristopherKMe4 If I were spending hundreds of thousands of dollars on my debunks, I'd at least do a little fact checking. I do that anyway!

Look at what they did. A known solved case presented as a UFO, and a common image artifact presented as a UFO.

It's deception or incompetence. Maybe both

2020-08-02T23:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290062070763761665

@IamDrVenkman So you think they have data about real extraordinary craft, but they can't release it, so they use things that they KNOW are not extraordinary craft?

I think it's more likely they just don't know how to investigate UFOs. They were actually fooled by bokeh.

2020-08-02T23:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290060830231257088

@venegas @ChristopherKMe4 It's part of the TTSA media machine, linking the NYT reporters, Tucker Carlson types, Harry Reid, and Macro Rubio. It's not JUST edutainment.

2020-08-02T22:59:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290059755759624193

TV Shows about UFOs are expected to gloss over huge inaccuracies, rehash solved cases, and even have fabrications.

But when one star of the show, @ChristopherKMe4, is literally writing military-related legislation for Marco Rubio, I would have expected something more measured.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1290052905660084224

2020-08-02T22:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290058723017101312

@SpaceDadOrbis Oh, and that Chilean videoed object wasn't even "off the Pacific coast", it was over land, near Santiago.

2020-08-02T22:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290056925107781632

@SpaceDadOrbis It got a LOT of airtime.

It's almost as if TTSA lack any experience in analyzing UFO videos.

2020-08-02T22:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1290056325666234374

And @ChristopherKMe4 is part of this show.

Chris, how on earth do you expect to be taken seriously? Even #UFOTwitter is calling out the "orbs" nonsense.

And IB6830? Really?
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2020-08-02T22:40:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290054994142482433
@murnut What? He'd just making up stuff that's entertaining to get lots of eyeballs so he can reveal that alien are visiting us?

Surely he could just, you know, PRESENT ACTUAL EVIDENCE!

2020-08-02T22:39:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290054623516979202
@CurtCollins579 Yeah, I noted that back in 2017. When the FLIR1 video was first released it reminded me of the solved Chilean case.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo-flir-footage-flir1.9190/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eecxsd-UEAAzUJ8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eecxsd-UEAAzUJ8.jpg
2020-08-02T22:36:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290053923554746368
@AFSUnidentified @LindseyMorlan @Th_Unidentified
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2020-08-02T22:32:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290052905660084224
Can anyone take Elizondo and the "Unidentified" show seriously after Season 2 Episode 4?

1 - They present the IB6830 Chilean video as it was a UFO. It was identified in 2017.
2 - They show out-of-focus points of light as if they are "orbs"

He's either clueless, or faking it http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EecwNwuUcAMCxYW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eecv_HQVoAAFslt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EecwNwuUcAMCxYW.jpg
2020-08-02T20:36:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1290023763224875008
RT @endaburke81: Absolutely stoked that I finally got a contrail shot of the beautiful @AntonovCompany AN225. Captured today over @manairpoâ¦

2020-08-02T15:49:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289951576228900865
@TheOutThere2016 Vapor is always there in the air (in varying amounts). It's just invisible, because it's a colorless gas. Saying there's "some vapor" in that cloud is like saying there's "some nitrogen" there.

2020-08-02T05:11:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289790966128205825
@conorowens94 @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It means they both vary in shape, so any single comparison will be misleading, maybe even cherry picked.

2020-08-02T05:09:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289790425650233346
@GaryVoorhis Good example.

2020-08-02T04:33:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289781297989074945
@conorowens94 @uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Put them side by side, in all their variants.

2020-08-02T04:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289780780286111744
@Kain63851033 Profanity usage varies.

2020-08-02T04:18:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289777458472878080
@uelbus @conorowens94 @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Different glare. It varies.

2020-08-01T18:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289622248840499200
@Douglas41004693 @QTRResearch It's a five hour documentary that is carefully designed to convince you of something. Unfortunately, as I noted, people don't take the topic seriously enough to spend time on a five hour counter documentary.

Are you sure you are not just listening to one representation?

2020-08-01T17:32:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289614917713059840
@mission_europa @UFOApollo Gary Voorhis says he saw some dot way off in the distance. No real evidence it was the thing seen on radar. Maybe just commercial air traffic outside the area of operation.

2020-08-01T17:30:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289614449448378369
@Douglas41004693 @QTRResearch Why does it need 5 hours?

Every single bit of evidence there does not stand up to scrutiny. The weight of evidence is an illusion. It's a house of mud.

2020-08-01T16:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289601379435081729
@QTRResearch @Douglas41004693 Here's the compilation of Hulsey's (well, Hulsey's graduate student's) simulations. There's some funny stuff in there. But the important thing is that it's all static linear analysis - i.e. a bunch of springs. Not what is needed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gb5jBtde2Fk

2020-08-01T16:28:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289599008684388352
@QTRResearch @Douglas41004693 But actually I was wrong, it wasn't 3 week for a full run, it was 8 weeks.

3 weeks was just to get the simulation starting going.

[NIST NCSTAR 1-9A, section 3.6, page 66, pdf page 117]
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeWTATmUEAYdcXK.jpg



Chapter 3

3.6 COMPUTING CONSIDERATIONS

3.6.1 System Specifications

All simulations were run on ARA's high speed Linux Beowulf computer cluster. The system consisted of a head node and eight compute nodes. The head node housed two 64 bit AMD Opteron 250 2.4 GHz processors. The head node had 4 GB of RAM and housed 1.5 terabytes of RAID 5 disk storage. Each compute node housed two 64 bit AMD Opteron dual-core 285 2.6 GHz processors. Six of the compute nodes had 8 GB of RAM, and the remaining two nodes had 16 GB RAM. The compute nodes communicated using Silverstorm Infiniband Interconnects.

3.6.2 Model Size and Typical Run Time

The global model was constructed with 3,593,049 nodes and 3,045,925 total elements. The type and number of the elements were: 3,006,910 shells, 3,190 beams, 2,461 solids, 33,364 discrete elements, and 3,050 rigid elements.

The global simulation was first initialized under gravity loading over 4.5 s of simulation time. Then damage and temperature initialization states were applied over 4 additional seconds. Collapse propagation in the global model required approximately 16 additional seconds after initialization, for a total of close to 25 seconds of simulated time, which generally took up to 8 weeks using 12 CPUs across 3 nodes (4 CPUs per node).

3.6.3 LS-DYNA Version

The analyses were performed with the LS-DYNA finite element code (Version mpp971dR4 beta, revision 41161). Use of this double precision code results in longer run times than a single precision version would require. However, a double precision version was necessary. In structural models where there are physically large dimensions (spatial extents of the building) compared to the characteristic element length, and long time scales compared to the explicit time step size, rounding errors can occur and accumulate when using a single-precision analysis. To address this issue, the double precision version of LS-DYNA was used for the global analyses

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeWTATmUEAYdcXK.jpg
2020-08-01T16:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289598180938588161
@Douglas41004693 @QTRResearch The complexity of a simulation increases proportional to the square of the number of objects in it, as each object can affect each other object. So with 100 objects, that 10,000 interactions. With the 3.5 million in the model, that's 12 trillion complex interactions.

2020-08-01T16:19:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289596544648245250
@Oswald1160 @bobofango86 There's a sudden (one frame) apparent movement with the switch from 1x to 2x (digital zoom). It also instantly doubles in size, so it's obvious what is going on. Still fooled (and fools) some people.

But, relevant apparent motion come from lock loss from physical camera changes

---

2020-08-01T16:13:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289595195244212224

@Prest0n1337 @JeremyCorbell @adrian_probert @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife Sounds interesting! You might want to check out this thread, as there's variety of different approaches, including 3D recreations that resemble yours.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EeWP-cyUMAAuo0q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EeWP-cyUMAAuo0q.jpg
2020-08-01T13:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289559713776955393
@JLuvin @JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch Thereâ□□s evidence. The simplest is that other regions of the image rotate in sync with the object, but not with the horizon.

2020-08-01T04:33:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289419077870448640
@JeremyCorbell @DaveFalch Daveâ□□s video covers some good points, but ultimately misunderstands the cause of the glare rotation.

2020-08-01T04:31:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289418327937359872
@JLuvin @dave_beaty Tracks? What tracks? Where are these tracks?

2020-08-01T04:02:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289411224904404992
@JLuvin @dave_beaty What are you referring to?

2020-08-01T03:56:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289409596050640896
@dave_beaty Facebook AATIP group

2020-08-01T00:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289360859014156289
@conorowens94 @All4LightBearer @PeePeeXIX @CuriousUap 'intimated"?

What did he say?

2020-08-01T00:41:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289360659206021126
@knowroadsneeded Is the ISS extraterrestrial then?

2020-07-31T23:54:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289348775610220544
@uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Yeah, it's not super-relevant where the derotation is. You can see it happening.

It would be interesting to know the exact mechanism, and would also help convince people.

It's complex though so I understand people not getting it.

2020-07-31T23:28:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289342198052339713
@uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 And the "What" and "Where" here are referring to the optical path to the sensor, with the addition of possible subsequent electronic or digital derotation.

I'd think by now everyone would use digital derotation, less moving parts, and less components in the optical path.

2020-07-31T23:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1289340228633673728
@uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 Nobody that I remember said a lens was used for derotation. The patent says "a reflective derotation mechanism". As Dave notes, it's more efficient to use mirrors.

The key things to think about are:

1) What contributes to the shape of the glare

2) Where is the derotation?

2020-07-31T21:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289308023861571584

@tommyIzito It's somewhere in the AATIP facebook group. I don't have a link to it.

2020-07-31T21:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289307684739543041

@of_skeptical @joerogan Not that I'm aware of. I'm not super interested in historical UFO cases. Lots of other people are though.

2020-07-31T19:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289288418057318401

@tommyIzito He agreed it was possible.

2020-07-31T19:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289283089215049728

@uelbus @CrewCutYoutube @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @ExploreSCU @alejandrotrojas @UfoSunday @tiller4riller @blackvaultcom @Aviation_Intel @openmindstv @PostDisclosure @CHANTHANNAH1 It's a complicated thing.

The orientation of the glare is dependent on the orientation of the camera. So as the camera rotates relative to the horizon the glare HAS to rotate relative to the horizon.

Then the image is derotated. Horizon fixed. Glare rotates.

2020-07-31T18:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289269531555196928

@wyolum How is that a straw man, exactly?

2020-07-31T17:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289257347550810112

Here's some more from Kevin Day, the radar operator.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeRcn3KUcAAUG27.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeRcn3KUcAAUG27.jpg

2020-07-31T17:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289256285863440384

@PeePeeXIX @CuriousUap I did not say "the tic tac was a plane" - I said the object seen in the FLIR1 video (not what Fravor saw) was probably a plane. At the very least it makes no sudden movements that suggest unusual propulsion systems. Full explanation here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-31T17:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289255497636306944

@PeePeeXIX I was just asking what "off-world" typically means.

Puthoff probably meant aliens.

2020-07-31T04:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289054323805020160

@marcelmmaxwell Nothing by itself. Grey maybe.

2020-07-31T04:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1289054102631006209

@bobofango86 I do that all the time. There are many situations where thereâ□□s insufficient information to support an explanation.

2020-07-30T18:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288908246242045952

@HarveyGMcDonald @SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies It's possible. I'd really like to see some close-ups though.

2020-07-30T18:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288907788974870528

@jonnyindy67 Because they are trying to make it look like the US government specifically mentioned extraterrestrials. They know the tabloids will pick up on that.

Really it was just Puthoff.

2020-07-30T18:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288907373382258688

@LtTimMcMillan Don't you think if they had "inaccurately rendered" his remarks, then he would have told them, and they would have corrected it by now?

The fact that his comments still stand means he's not saying he didn't say them. Tacit confirmation.

2020-07-30T18:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288900145719853058

@Ufonut4 The full quote I'm referring to is "AAV phenomena of foreign derivation (including off-world)" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeMXv9vUwAAkZB3.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeMXv9vUwAAkZB3.png

2020-07-30T18:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288899589802614784

@krissydxi23 Election turnout is expected to be record breaking. Far fewer people than normal are shopping and eating out - and even there there are problems. It's not realistic to expect all polling places to both maintain physical distance, masks, AND have time for voting.

2020-07-30T17:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288889386050887687

@ElectroDeeJ The same as what? People think Puthoff wrote those slides, but how does anyone know?

I know Davis is a believer, that's not the point. If it was a Davis slide they should have said so.

2020-07-30T17:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288888973251637248

@SGothh Can you give an example where it is used that is NOT mundane?

2020-07-30T17:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288887710510247937

@ElectroDeeJ He does not actually say "off-world" in that clip.

2020-07-30T15:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288866636607283201

Don't get distracted people. A fair election is the most important thing that needs to happen this year. Trump has no power to delay it, and his reasons for attempting to do so are bogus and self-serving. https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1288818160389558273

2020-07-30T15:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288865638132244482

@CuriousUap What do you believe they mean?

2020-07-30T15:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288865509203562497
@adrian_probert @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife It's not my opinion that it's going slow. It's the result of the high-school-level math that anyone can verify (just find an high-schooler if you've forgotten trig)

2020-07-30T15:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288865150510829568
@vkcodez People have been saying that about my stuff since back in the Chemtrail days. It's aging okay so far.

2020-07-30T15:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288854115120906241
@adrian_probert @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife Well my work on go-fast just shows that that's not going fast, and it's not close to the water. Surely that's something that the media should mention (or at least not repeat the misconception that it's going fast).

Why not clear up that aspect at least?

2020-07-30T14:59:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288851644189257728
Does "off-world" mean "extra-terrestrial" when used by the government (or anyone)?

I don't believe so.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/what-does-off-world-mean-to-the-us-military.11343/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeLrereUMAQuavy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeLrereUMAQuavy.jpg
2020-07-30T12:36:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288815688874778624

@gammaraybeam @Joshhuffington @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife @joerogan @SethShostak @JeremyCorbell Well I invited Corbell on my Podcast, he did not reply.

We could do any kind of live discussion

2020-07-30T11:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288804913477107714

@gammaraybeam @Joshhuffington @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife @joerogan Each time he brought a different guest that Joe wanted.

2020-07-30T11:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288804613127208960

@Matthew71690273 @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife How can it be dipping in and out of the water when it's at 13,000 feet?

You seem to be confusing GOFAST with something entirely different.

2020-07-30T11:51:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288804318095654912

@gammaraybeam @Joshhuffington @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife One does not simply ASK to be on @joerogan

---

2020-07-30T05:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288703450243424262

@emilyma36336071 @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife You can't really judge accuracy by page count.

2020-07-30T05:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288703267069784066

@HarveyGMcDonald @SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies I did, yes. Not that that means they saw something extraordinary - but I'm certainly not assuming they were lying. Most people don't.

And the analysis of the videos one way or the other has no bearing on other events that were not videoed.

2020-07-30T04:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288687031325831168

@Joshhuffington @DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife I would be happy to.

2020-07-30T03:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288682998590631937

@JLuvin @TenoriThrowaway Perhaps you could rephrase that with a diagram?

2020-07-29T13:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288470600957140993

@leam182 What did I make up? Quote me.

2020-07-29T04:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288330500067663872

@Jim94334698 26 years in the US, sorry.

2020-07-29T04:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288330174749057026

@HarveyGMcDonald @SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies Hundreds? How many people saw the Tic-tac, and have given unedited interviews about it?

One.

2020-07-29T01:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288282743399309312

@FredMrozek Yeah it's a minor point. Water vapor is invisible though, so I can confuse people if you they cloud are water vapor. They are made FROM water vapor. No biggie though.

2020-07-29T01:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288282425945022464

@jaydiamond @joerogan Yeah, I sent him a copy, and added one for Eddie Bravo. They were on the table for a few episodes.

2020-07-29T00:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288271047339331585

Science proves contrails are made of ice. It's not the simplest of science though. Lots of people think colors in a trail indicate oily chemicals. They actually indicate pure water. Not water vapor, but hexagonal ice crystals. https://t.co/gmUVdeGabX

2020-07-29T00:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288269973618438144

My book, Escaping the Rabbit Hole, has been viewed 36 million times!

Sure, it was only briefly, in the background of @joerogan's chat with Elon Musk. But I'll take it! :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeDaU50UYAAz_gr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeDaU50UYAAz_gr.jpg
2020-07-29T00:21:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288268387894693889
@HarveyGMcDonald @SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies I believe they probably thought they saw that. It seems unlikely that they actually did. No video of anything like that exists. I think it was more likely an optical illusion caused by misjudging distance. Or perhaps (but less likely) an alien craft.

2020-07-29T00:15:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288266843409354752
@DanielToomes @businessinsider Oops!

Here's a similar aerobatic display with smoke.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n-CMIzZkNYI

2020-07-28T23:57:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1288262261786603520
@DavidPittelli1 @JSDevlife @knightsabers01 "Gimbal" is also from the 2015 incident, so not Fravor. The "Flir1" video was taken a few hours after Fravor's encounter, so there no good evidence it was of the same thing. I cover that video in depth here, including Fravor's mistaken assessment.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-28T23:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288254482539659264

@JSDevlife @DavidPittelli1 @knightsabers01 Fravor was not present at the Go-Fast incident, which happened in 2015 on the East Coast. Fravor saw something that he did not video off the West Coast in 2004.

2020-07-28T18:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288184281445969920

RT @DavidPittelli1: @JSDevlife This is a very convincing debunking of the "Go Fast" video. It's shocking that the media pushes this crap wâ¦

2020-07-28T18:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1288178732176297985

@businessinsider The first part of the video shows smoke trails for an aerobatic display, not contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeCHt4kU0AAqAOL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EeCHt4kU0AAqAOL.jpg

2020-07-28T04:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287962913970335744

@dulhunty @SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies Something other than a narrative. Like video of a crash site material recovery, and subsequent analysis. And failure of good-faith attempts to replicate the material.

2020-07-28T01:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287921033626947588

@MegawattTrader @QTRResearch I don't think any of the videos were of objects that were

ALSO see with the naked eye.

You probably are thinking of Fravor on Joe Rogan. Fravor saw something with his eyes, but the video he was talking about was shot hours later by someone else (Underwood)

2020-07-28T01:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287917109930938370

@Lemurian1897 @xilede @heiseonline I'm only attempting to explain some aspects of the videos. You should know this by now.

2020-07-28T01:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287916838202957825

@SuperVFunCat1 @apocryphal_x @SomewhereSkies I don't think *everything* is a lie. I think Lazar tells some lies. But most people are honest about describing what they think they saw.

2020-07-27T19:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287825694358003713

Real Conspiracies. Only right wing media like Fox News and Breitbart seem to find the "violent protests" worthy of front page coverage.

So are they conspiring to misrepresent them? Is everyone else conspiring to downplay them? Or is it all an honest difference of opinion? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed9GOejUEAAD5h2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed9GOejUEAAD5h2.jpg

2020-07-27T15:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287772274209116161

@defimyfrend @PaulFox13 @DanPeacock12 @PetiteLorelei1 @idig_q4truth @lbox327 @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx Those screenshots show the same flight. CA558.

@Flightradar24 sometimes shows the same plane in two slightly different locations as it gets its information from different sources which differ in format.

2020-07-27T15:21:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287769981157912576
@SEVENxEYES Seems like it's there for me.

2020-07-27T15:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287769622645678086
@Flyingh43892139 @DarkstarIE @carland27488094 I'm not flat-out denying there are alien visitors.

I'm just pointing out that the claimed video evidence does not show what people says it shows. GOFAST isn't fast. GIMBAL isn't rotating. FLIR1 makes no sudden moves.

So you can't really use them as evidence of anything.

2020-07-27T13:25:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287740910856413185
@idig_q4truth @lbox327 @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx Planes have the exact same exhaust gasses every day.

Sometimes those exhaust gasses trigger cloud formation.

What changed?

The weather changed. Contrails are clouds.

2020-07-27T13:16:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287738560087433216
@junglist1973 What would you suggest I ask? Why would the answers not be in the article?

2020-07-27T13:11:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287737367378325506
@HEDJ_Weddings @lbox327 @DanPeacock12 @PetiteLorelei1 @CatherineJayn11 @Dark2LightNet @OBFSU @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx Sheâ□□s just repeating stuff she read online. Itâ□□s wrong.

2020-07-27T02:20:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287573623767629825
@agon_izz @carland27488094 Iâ□□m looking at what the video seems to show. Iâ□□m not saying the videos having a boring seeming explanation means anything other than that.

2020-07-27T00:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287551463019839496
@agon_izz @carland27488094 It hasnâ□□t. I just point out things in the videos that people can

check for themselves. The videos are the testable evidence.

2020-07-26T20:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287478208367976448

@UfoFiles2 Here's the KML.

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/ibe6830-flightaware-plus-reconstructed-kml.24047/

2020-07-26T19:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287477736873721856

@UfoFiles2 You don't need to trust anyone. You can just download the radar track for flight IB6830 and see if it matches the observed flight exactly. Nothing to do with me. I just made some infographics to illustrate it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed4KG9pUMAAaxbW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed4KG9pUMAAaxbW.jpg

2020-07-26T19:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287476188554772481

@UfoFiles2 Is it a plane? Yes it is.

2020-07-26T19:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287466610521866241

@UfoFiles2 Yes, that's the article where they agree with me. you can tell when they get to " I believe that the resolution of this video is of secondary importance."

2020-07-26T19:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287466336285650944

@_HumbleBeast_ I try to stay out of drama. But if personalities are the main topic, then I must say that kind of indicates a lack of actual evidence to talk about. Why is Deep so interesting?

2020-07-26T18:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287461304576294912

@UfoFiles2

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed37OemUMAABP76.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed37OemUMAABP76.jpg

2020-07-26T18:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287461239371608064

@nimitarora1991

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed37JHcVAAAx6yP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ed37JHcVAAAx6yP.jpg

2020-07-26T18:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287460947540373504

@UfoFiles2 Because it's a plane.

2020-07-26T18:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287460523861106688

@arc1342 @wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Sometimes clouds are dark, sometimes they are light. It depends on how the sun hits them (or not) and

how thick they are.

2020-07-26T16:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287431610447486977

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Kind of. Water vapor (a gas) condenses on condensation nuclei, or on water. If itâ□□s cold it can be deposited on ice nuclei, or ice. The different temperatures and RH levels are interesting.

2020-07-26T16:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287430509669134336

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Its invisible because water vapor is a gas.

Clouds are liquid water, or ice.

2020-07-26T13:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287379616814923776

@Matthew71690273 @te3ej Iâ□□ve seen this exact thing in person. Itâ□□s a contrail.

2020-07-26T07:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287289839247691777

@texdem1 @drawmorefire @michaelshermer Sure, but it's backed up by analysis. For example:

https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-26T07:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287288951317753856

@Matthew71690273 @te3ej It's like when you drive past an intersection, a crossroads. The contrail is part of the road that intersects the road you are on. You are going 500 mph.

2020-07-26T07:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287288372185030656

@TenoriThrowaway So repeat it.

2020-07-25T22:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287159250439921664

@Matthew71690273 It's not rotating. The plane is flying past it at right angles.

2020-07-25T17:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287077546924769280

@russian71805090 @Oswald1160 @gamertaboo @gornalalbion Maybe just a stream of falling embers. Like in the Windsor Tower fire: https://youtu.be/eKvgD9NyIi4

2020-07-25T17:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287075399088840706

@russian71805090 @Oswald1160 @gamertaboo @gornalalbion It's not yellow hot. Burning material is. As it falls though the air it's like blowing on a fire.

The aluminum is just (maybe) carrying the burning material. But you don't even need aluminum.

2020-07-25T17:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287073708251611136

@Paranovation The Geller reference is in the study.

2020-07-25T17:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287072158733045763

@russian71805090 @Oswald1160 @gamertaboo @gornalalbion I do a have a one published academic paper (I'm just a contributing author), but it was on chemtrails.
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

Still, the stuff I say about the videos is verifiable.

2020-07-25T17:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287071630053629952

@DCRFC3 I'd think something capable of interstellar travel would probably not be well suited to flight in the atmosphere. Warp drives, theoretically, have a big problem with mass.

A moot point though, one can always theorize a particular random technology+motive that fits observables.

2020-07-25T14:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1287038977690578944

Permanently puzzled Tucker Carlson's crew illustrate the current UFO story with video of an aircraft contrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edx6pSmU4AAdBHZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edx6pSmU4AAdBHZ.jpg

2020-07-25T13:45:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287021137549881345
@PetiteLorelei1 @CatherineJayn11 @Dark2LightNet @OBFSU @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 How long? Dane says 70 years, because he's seen the evidence that contrails have been doing this for 70 years.

People tend to notice persistent contrails when they start looking for them. Often this is when they hear about the "chemtrail theory".

Contrails are clouds.

2020-07-25T13:43:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287020683059277825
@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @nytimes So people keep saying....

2020-07-25T13:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1287019968828076032
@emilyma36336071 @wigglin @19Nimbus @edwardcurrent Official Navy photos, good video, actual radar data, physical samples with videoed acquisition from a crashed craft in the presence of an international panel.

It's not hard to imagine good evidence.

2020-07-25T04:51:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286886725764050944
@emilyma36336071 @wigglin @19Nimbus @edwardcurrent Really? I find it astonishingly easy to imagine a scenario with vastly more data. Like photographs. Or good video. Or actual radar data. Or physical samples. Or aliens.

2020-07-25T04:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286885661010612226
@drawmorefire @michaelshermer The Navy labels them as â□□unidentifedâ□□, as do I. But they are also not as intesting as they initially appear. GIMBAL is not rotating. GOFAST isnâ□□t fast. FLIR1 makes no sudden moves. Check it:
https://youtu.be/Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-07-25T04:31:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286881737063018496
@wanderinganimal @SapientHetero @OBFSU @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Why donâ□□t clouds instantly dissipate then?

2020-07-25T04:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286881487959109632
@wanderinganimal @SapientHetero @OBFSU @PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 To persist simply requires high humidity.

2020-07-25T00:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286814097237929984
@NeasdenParade @PetiteLorelei1 @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @defimyfrend @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 They always have. Just look in any old book on clouds. Like the 1905 book "Cloud Studies". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EduuII_VAAIOycH.jpg

---


PLATE 23. HAZY CIRRO-CUMULUS.
(*Cirro-cumulus Nebulosus.*) *Page* 80.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EduuhB9UcAAN3Cl.jpg



PLATE 58. CRESTED ALTO WAVES.
(*Alto-cumulus Undatus.*) *Page* 148.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eduucc_UYAEXGCq.jpg



PLATE 10. THREAD CIRRUS.
(*Cirro-filum.*) *Page* 60.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EduuII_VAAIOycH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EduubDVUwAEutJL.jpg
2020-07-24T23:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286812556913283077
@Dark2LightNet @defimyfrend @PetiteLorelei1 @OBFSU @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Dane thinks that a high bypass jet engine can't make contrails. He's wrong. Exhaust from a high bypass engine has the same composition as exhaust from a low bypass engine. Hydrocarbon fuel plus oxygen makes water (hence contrails) and carbon dioxide.

Dane is 100% wrong there.

2020-07-24T23:54:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286812029399965696
@Dark2LightNet @PetiteLorelei1 @OBFSU @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 I don't think you are a nutjob, but it is actually condensation. Those images are cherry-picked example of specific situations.

2020-07-24T23:38:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286808066088816640
@carlstanland That's not what I thought the videos showed. Two show some kind of aircraft, one probably shows a balloon.

2020-07-24T23:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286807564018053120
Well, that pours some cold water on the @nytimes UFO story!
https://twitter.com/SenatorReid/status/1286770010086494208

2020-07-24T20:37:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286762341418196993
@webidextrous You can ask, but generally if a subject is Top Secret, then you are not going to answers, even about exactly WHY it is top secret.

Top Secret information is exempt from FOIA, and the "exceptionally grave damage" is the boilerplate response you'll get to why, if you get anything.

2020-07-24T20:31:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286760941137170432
@webidextrous That's simply the default description of the "top secret" classification that some items that were included in a powerpoint had.
Of course they can release "more information", they simply can't release top secret information.

It's just boilerplate language for not releasing TS

2020-07-24T20:27:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286759955068936192
@emilyma36336071 @wigglin @edwardcurrent Where did he say that? I don't remember him saying that about the object in the video. Also I've not talked to him since the more detailed "no sudden moves" video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-24T20:26:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286759564684140544
@PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 By "ball-tassel fringe", do you mean something like this, seen in a 1972 book on clouds?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edt8_NaU0AIz0hV.jpg

11.2.4 The contrail is formed about one wingspan behind the aircraft when the exhaust has mixed with sufficient air of the environment to produce saturation. The edges of the trails are ragged to begin with but further mixing evaporates these and the trail becomes smooth: this indicates that the condensation persists only in the low pressure region of the vortex cores.

Close to the aircraft it is seen that the two trails from the inner engines descend further than the outer ones, those on the right being separately visible but the outer left one being behind the inner left one. Often one complete rotation of the inner round the outer one can be seen.

In the second picture the trails appear hollow. This is because the exhaust did not enter the middle of the vortex core. The particles are too small for centrifuging to be significant.

The irregularities are intensified, and at the right end the mixing penetrates in a few places into the vortex cores and dissipates the cloud. The spacing of the loops must be determined by the distance apart of the vortices: irregularities of all wavelengths are unstable, but two loops very close together merge into one, while new loops can appear between those that are rather far apart. The interval between the first and last pictures is 90 sec.

Motion identical with that shown here has been observed in smoke trails emitted at the wing tips of a Comet aircraft at 3,000 metres which is far below the normal altitude for contrails.



11.2.4

11.2.5 The condensation outside the vortex cores persisted in the trail of the first aircraft to pass, but not in the trail of the second. It seems probable, therefore, that the first trail was frozen as a result of being at an environmental temperature near to or below −40°C. (See 11.4.)

These pictures were taken looking vertically upwards over a period of about three minutes, and because the aircraft was on a turn the downwash was inclined outwards. The effect is to give a view rather like the side view of a straight trail (see 11.2.3, 11.2.8). Where the vortices are closest together they have penetrated farthest, and the blobs correspond to the places where the mixing has penetrated into the vortex cores. In the lowest picture the linear clouds are composed of the detrained accompanying fluid.



11.2.5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edt8_NaU0AIz0hV.jpg
2020-07-24T20:23:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286758930366906368
@PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 And yet the things you describe have been photographed for decades. Even the "chemtrail" theory started over TWO

decades ago.

Check that instant weather map when you see persistent contrails, and when you don't. It's the weather that determines it.

2020-07-24T20:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286757768347623424

@emilyma36336071 @wigglin @edwardcurrent I'm looking at the FLIR camera footage. I'm not claiming that it's the same thing as the other things you mention, so why can't we attempt to accurately describe it?

2020-07-24T20:17:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286757462071054336

@Watcher67820714 They practically quote Harry Reid, former Senate Majority Leader, saying he believes there are crashed alien spaceships that the government is studying.

Any they didn't think to check this with him?

2020-07-24T20:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286754861241917441

@emilyma36336071 @wigglin @edwardcurrent What if the apparent motion in the video, the FLIR1 video, actually IS just camera shaking (more accurately, losing and regaining lock). Does that actually change the bigger picture?

If not, then why not accurately describe it?

If so, then why not accurately describe it?

2020-07-24T20:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286753341641355264

@Watcher67820714 @PostDisclosure Everyone? No, just the ones that say things that seem incorrect.

2020-07-24T19:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286752995766493184

@DericKnight @junglist1973 Yes, flimflammed. Does it automatically stop when they are not doing History Channel shows? Do they have some flimflam demarcation line that the NYT is on the honest side of?

2020-07-24T19:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286742808313892867

@PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU @realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Do you know how to check the relative humidity at 30,000 feet? You will find a strong correlation with persistent contrails.

Green areas here:
https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdttuFMVoAE4pq9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdttuFMVoAE4pq9.jpg
2020-07-24T19:17:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286742328242126848
@CuriousUap @Berlinghoff_R @PostDisclosure I, for one, would welcome evidence of alien visitors or human anti-gravity technology. It would be awesome.

These videos are not it.

2020-07-24T19:07:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286739842953486337
@emilyma36336071 I'm not creating a narrative about UFOlogy. I'm trying to explain what the videos seem to show. That's it.

2020-07-24T19:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286739494842949632
@Berlinghoff_R @PostDisclosure I'm basing it on him being an 80 year old retiree with pancreatic cancer. Yet he has nothing interesting to reveal about what is potentially the most important event in human history.

I actually think it's, sadly, more likely he knows nothing of interest.

2020-07-24T19:02:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286738509726793728
@PetiteLorelei1 @defimyfrend @idig_q4truth @NeasdenParade @wanderinganimal @OBFSU

@realDonaldTrump @SecPompeo @PressSec @ChanelRion @OANN @OpChemtrails @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @AzSkyWatcher @TriotTx @lbox327 Same reason you have some days with many clouds and some days with few or no clouds. It's the weather.

Contrails are a type of cloud. Check out some books on clouds, like these:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-07-24T19:00:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286738104909361153
@PostDisclosure He's retired. He does not seem to think this UFO thing is very important.

Or maybe he doesn't really know anything verifiable, and does not want to repeat the tall tales he "knows".

2020-07-24T18:57:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286737410538131456
@russian71805090 @workingXclass @ufo_seekers The rational answer that has been given for many years is that it is glowing embers of material that was on fire, being carried out of the building. Possible on a stream of non-glowing molten aluminum.

Kind of like this (skip to around 6:00)
https://vimeo.com/49686357

2020-07-24T18:48:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286734909260759040
A rather dramatic reduction in revelations in the NYT UFO story, as corrected the next day. From Harry Reid believing in "vehicles from other world" to him believing in "objects of unknown origin"

Why the change? Is Reid concerned about image or accuracy?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edtl9ogUEAAwQLn.jpg

For more than a decade, the Pentagon program has been conducting classified briefings for congressional committees, aerospace company executives and other government officials, according to interviews with program participants and unclassified briefing documents.

In some cases, earthly explanations have been found for previously unexplained incidents. Even lacking a plausible terrestrial explanation does not make an extraterrestrial one the most likely, astrophysicists say.

Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier U.F.O. program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of vehicles from other worlds had occurred and that retrieved materials had been studied secretly for decades, often by aerospace companies under government contracts. JULY 23,2020, 2:58 p.m ET

"After looking into this, I came to the conclusion that there were reports — some were substantive, some not so substantive — that there were actual materials that the government and the private sector had in their possession," Mr. Reid said in an interview.

No crash artifacts have been publicly produced for independent verification. Some retrieved objects, such as unusual metallic fragments, were later identified from laboratory studies as man-made.

For more than a decade, the Pentagon program has been conducting classified briefings for congressional committees, aerospace company executives and other government officials, according to interviews with program participants and unclassified briefing documents.

In some cases, earthly explanations have been found for previously unexplained incidents. Even lacking a plausible terrestrial explanation does not make an extraterrestrial one the most likely, astrophysicists say.

Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier U.F.O. program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of objects of unknown origin may have occurred and that retrieved materials should be studied. July 24, 2020, 11:20 a.m. ET

"After looking into this, I came to the conclusion that there were reports — some were substantive, some not so substantive — that there were actual materials that the government and the private sector had in their possession," Mr. Reid said in an interview.

No crash artifacts have been publicly produced for independent verification. Some retrieved objects, such as unusual metallic fragments, were later identified from laboratory studies as man-made.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Edtl9ogUEAAwQLn.jpg

2020-07-24T18:28:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286730097974636546
@ThePerpUnknown @RustamK67890840 Drake equation is about life out there, not down here.

2020-07-24T18:21:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286728108901507072
@RustamK67890840 No, I think it's just UFO fans.

There IS a real issue with unidentified airspace incursions by UAPs. But that's really stuff like drones (big and small), and possibly novel aircraft from China, Russia, or other bad actors.

But the UFO fans are conflating that issue with aliens

2020-07-24T18:16:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286727058278592513
@WTFarkus @DaneCook The explanations of those videos (but not the eyewitnesses) have also been public for years.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-07-24T18:12:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286725900097077248
@RustamK67890840 I'm pretty sure the NYT piece was not intended as a joke, nor were all of the paraphrasing descendent articles.

2020-07-24T18:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286725276219486208
@assange_s @nkt27555 It was basically a recap.

2020-07-24T18:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286724383000506368
@BallsHurt18 You think the New York Times is "the trash heap?" I mean it's pretty bad (with UFO topics), but The Express is a lot worse.

2020-07-24T18:02:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286723531129069568
@nkt27555 My opinion? Well is your opinion that Eric W. Davis = "The Pentagon", and that everything he says is an official Pentagon statement?

Because my opinion is that he is not.

2020-07-24T18:01:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286723086918676480
@Watcher67820714 Sure, with some theoretical super advanced (and probably very large and hot) machine, but Davis thinks that Uri Geller and Chinese school kids can do it.
https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a425545.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdtbflbVoAASm2x.jpg

One of the more interesting examples of controlled experiments with Uri Geller was one in which he was able to cause a part of a vanadium carbide crystal to vanish (Hasted et al., 1975). The crystal was encapsulated so it could not be touched, and it was placed in such a way that it could not be switched with another crystal by sleight of hand. A more spectacular series of rigorously controlled (and repeatable!) laboratory experiments occurred in the Peoples Republic of China (PRC). In September 1981, an extraordinary paper was published in the PRC in the journal Ziran Zazhi (transl.: Nature Journal), and this paper was entitled, "Some Experiments on the Transfer of Objects Performed by Unusual Abilities of the Human Body" (Shuhuang et al., 1981). The paper reported that gifted children were able to cause the apparent teleportation of small objects (radio micro-transmitters, photosensitive paper, mechanical watches, horseflies, other insects, etc.) from one location to another (that was meters away) without them ever touching the objects beforehand. The experiments were operated under exceptionally well-controlled conditions (both blind and double-blind). The researchers involved included not only observers from various PRC colleges and medical research institutes, but also representatives from the PRC National Defense Science Commission. Because of the involvement of the latter, it was deemed necessary that an unclassified Intelligence Information Report be prepared by the DIA (see Shuhuang et al., 1981), which included a detailed English translation of the article.

Additional research carried out by the Aerospace Medicine Engineering Institute in Beijing was reported in the July 1990 issue of the Chinese Journal of Somatic Science (Kongzhi et al., 1990; Jinggen et al., 1990; Banghui, 1990), which was also translated into English by the DIA. Reported in several articles are experiments involving the videotaping and high-speed photography of the transfer of test specimens (nuts, bundles of matches, pills, nails, thread, photosensitive paper, chemically treated paper, sponges dipped in $FeCl_3$, etc.) through the walls of sealed paper envelopes, double layered KCNS type paper bags, sealed glass bottles and tubes with sealed caps, and sealed plastic film canisters without the walls of any of these containers being breached. All of the Chinese experiments reported using gifted children and young adults, who possessed well-known extraordinary PK ability, to cause the teleportation of the various test specimens. In all the experimental cases that were reported, the test specimens that were teleported were completely unaltered or unchanged from their initial state, even the insects were

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdtbflbVoAASm2x.jpg

2020-07-24T17:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286719944281821184

@HarveyGMcDonald @micsolana @Deepneuron And we shall quite possible see it again. It does not happen very often, and it requires repeatable testable evidence.

2020-07-24T17:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286719664496623616

No, the Pentagon did not say they had bits of â□□off-world vehicles not made on this earth.â□□ Eric Davis said it. He also said teleportation is real and Uri Geller types might bring down fighter jets.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pentagon-has-evidence-of-off-world-vehicles-not-made-on-this-earth.11334/

2020-07-24T16:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286699144266973189

@KikPlenty It's not a contest. I'm looking for truth and fixing errors.

2020-07-24T16:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286698962368344064

@TenoriThrowaway I know Reid is a long-time UFO fan. I know what is being hinted at. I'm commenting on what is written in the article.

"Objects of unknown origin" is a long way from "flying craft utilizing new principles of physics, maybe from another world"

Why does Reid not say the latter?

2020-07-24T15:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286691228826787845

@emilyma36336071 What the pilots saw is not the same as what was videoed.

Did you not notice at the end that I said that the video being boring does not mean that the pilots saw something boring.

2020-07-24T14:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286676323289591808

@IAmRobAherne It might also simply be that Ralph Blumenthal is a UFO Disclosure fan who has been somewhat flimflammed by Eric Davis and Luis Elizondo.

2020-07-24T14:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286675106186452993

@emilyma36336071 I see your previous tweet. So what is there in my video that you personally think is wrong?

If you are relying in that report, then what in that report, solely about the Underwood video (FLIR1) demonstrates I was incorrect in the "no sudden moves" video?

2020-07-24T14:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286673073211506691

@emilyma36336071 Did you watch my video?

2020-07-24T14:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286672562458517506

@emilyma36336071 Did you watch my video? It's just five minutes.

It's not about a video of Fravor encounter. It's about the video that Underwood took later, possibly of something else.

2020-07-24T14:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286671353462964224

@emilyma36336071 Can you explain how this analysis of the 2004 video is "mudding the waters?"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-24T14:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1286670544834670592

Yesterday's NTY UFO article is a masterpiece of equivocation packaged as revelation.

Even *I* believe that "crashes of objects of unknown origin may have occurred and that retrieved materials should be studied." - at the very least meteorites, drones, and foreign space junk http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdsrXKnUYAAS7u8.jpg

---

Mr. Reid, the former Democratic senator from Nevada who pushed for funding the earlier U.F.O. program when he was the majority leader, said he believed that crashes of objects of unknown origin may have occurred and that retrieved materials should be studied.

"After looking into this, I came to the conclusion that there were reports — some were substantive, some not so substantive — that there were actual materials that the government and the private sector had in their possession," Mr. Reid said in an interview.

No crash artifacts have been publicly produced for independent verification. Some retrieved objects, such as unusual metallic fragments, were later identified from laboratory studies as man-made.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdsrXKnUYAAS7u8.jpg
2020-07-24T14:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286665788854636545
@Jonathantalmad2 @Lemurian1897 @tommyIzito @UfoJoe11 @chad_vfa41 @RaytheonTech I donâ□□t thing the videos are frauds. I think they are real videos of unknown things.

2020-07-24T14:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286665571304529921
@KetterStone @UfoJoe11 @chad_vfa41 @RaytheonTech He only saw it on video. No reference background.

2020-07-24T13:48:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286659506466742274
@tommyIzito @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @elonmusk The same as the Space Shuttle over the same number of flights.

2020-07-24T04:52:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286524674860445698
@UfoJoe11 @chad_vfa41 @RaytheonTech So what am I waiting for?

2020-07-24T04:33:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286519969996353536
@UfoJoe11 @chad_vfa41 @RaytheonTech Do you actually know how he knows?

2020-07-24T04:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286513384251252736
@UfoJoe11 @chad_vfa41 @RaytheonTech How could he tell?

2020-07-23T01:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1286112714608148482
@DualitySupposed I'm pretty sure aliens exist.

2020-07-22T14:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285946908666552320
@Alien_Scientist That appears to be a humorous eugenics diagram.

My goal is increasing the amount of accuracy and correctness. So if I see something wrong in someone's interpretation of a video, I'm going to point it out, even if he's a fighter pilot.

2020-07-22T14:33:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285945955523551232
@gornalalbion Because the videos can be analyzed, and other people have analyzed (or just interpreted) them incorrectly.

A video having a prosaic explanation does not mean an eyewitness account has a prosaic explanation.

But we can test things about the videos.

2020-07-22T05:14:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285805473019490309
Newton spend a serious amount of his life investigating alchemy.

It didn't pan out.

And he was hecka smart. https://twitter.com/joerogan/status/1285792227055341573

2020-07-21T19:28:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285658037580177413
@Oswald1160 @19Nimbus @DanielToomes There is a very strong sense of camaraderie and loyalty between military personnel, especially those who served together. So I think there would be at least some unwillingness to talk on a topic if it meant publicly contradicting a ship-mate.

2020-07-21T19:25:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285657220110340097
@morrin81 @PostDisclosure There is nothing in my book about UFOs.

2020-07-21T13:26:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285566944133779456
@19Nimbus @DanielToomes I'm not attacking his version of events. I'm trying out various hypotheses that might explain it. It would not change what he visually saw - just explain why he saw it.
I don't have any great candidates, but the parallax thing might be part of it.

2020-07-21T13:24:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285566198491406337
@askanixetc @PostDisclosure How much do you think I'm being paid?

2020-07-21T13:23:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285566109438013446

@PostDisclosure I think it we had a flying saucer from another world, then there would be plenty of more things to be fascinated about.
I'd be fascinated. There's no indication we have a flying saucer, so my belief that we probably don't is quite reasonable. If we do, that's fine.

2020-07-21T01:15:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285382946598215680
@TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified I don't think the FLIR1 video shows a bird. I never did.

2020-07-21T00:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285369747094171648
@TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified That is not the logic that is being applied here.

2020-07-20T21:44:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285329751876354048
@PotatoRamirez Fravor is really the only one who has said much, And he never even bothered to draw a diagram. That's a bit odd.

2020-07-20T20:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285316194891730944
@PotatoRamirez No more than usual.

Unidentified airspace incursions are a serious issue. Some people conflate this issue with their personal fascination with possible alien visitor. Media loves a good UFO story.

That's all.

2020-07-20T20:48:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285315544179081216
@TKhler1 You mean Vince Foster, who shot himself once though the mouth?

2020-07-20T20:42:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285314270347976704
@PotatoRamirez @UAP1949 has blocked me, so I can't see that.

2020-07-20T20:34:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285312116879024129
@DanielToomes I think it's more likely an object in the center of a circle that he perceived as being in the far side of the circle. That would remain constantly "mirroring" him, regardless of head motion.

2020-07-20T20:31:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285311405898391552
@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure And yet she give no independent matching account of it shooting off into the distance. Nor is there any unedited transcript of her testimony. Undoubtably she said much more, but all we get are some snippets, and someone's (Elizondo's?) paraphrasing.
And where are the diagrams?

2020-07-20T20:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285310485047291905

@TenoriThrowaway @AFSUnidentified Everyone who has done the math agrees that GOFAST was slow and at 13,000. It's REALLY SIMPLE math.

So where's the bad faith there?

2020-07-20T18:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285283460811120640

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure What exactly did she say about it disappearing?

2020-07-20T18:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285278767695327232

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure The other pilot initially just reported that she lost visual contact. She gave no details about amazing accelerations away - which you'd think she would. Then they had low fuel, so didn't search for it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdY6NYVVcAA8wss.jpg



instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner "tumbled" into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and "pointed at them". OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned successfully to the U.S.S. Nimitz without further incident. Upon recovery of both aircraft, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 arrived for their routine intelligence debriefing only to find that no debriefing official was available. Furthermore, closed circuit television onboard the ship had movies involving aliens and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdY6NYVVcAA8wss.jpg

2020-07-20T18:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285276021252485121

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure Say something was 0.25 miles away, but you thought it was 0.5 miles away. You fly towards it at 250mph. It will look like it's accelerating towards you. Then it zips past you.

Fravor said the object mirrored his motions. Maybe it wasn't moving.

2020-07-20T18:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285275499871100928

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure Maybe not. So maybe it wasn't where he though it was. Maybe it was hardly moving at all and he just flew by it?

2020-07-20T18:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285275036509548544

The judge did not get shot. It was her son and her husband, who was a defense attorney.

I'd suspect a Cape Fear revenge scenario before a deeper conspiracy. Especially as the gunman killed himself.

https://www.cnn.com/2020/07/19/us/federal-judge-esther-salas-shooting-investigation/index.html https://twitter.com/PSRealTalk/status/1285270204201807873

2020-07-20T18:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285273651697217539

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure I'm not saying it was simply glare. I'm pretty sure at some point it was a real object.

But there's no video.

2020-07-20T18:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285273392489181184

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure Fravor says "it rapidly accelerates to the South in about two seconds and disappears" That's not an instant.
https://youtu.be/EDj9ZZQY2kA?t=103

2020-07-20T17:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285270189693493248

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure The same speed? I don think "very fast" is "the same speed". Also one is horizontal, one is vertical. Very different, with gravity and all.
One encounter was two radar positions, not motion. The other was apparent visual motion.
So yes, separate events.

2020-07-20T17:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285269722867490822

@bobofango86 @Oswald1160 @SequoyahKTUL8 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp The objects that drifted slowly southwards? The videoed object seems to be moving quite a bit faster.

2020-07-20T17:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285269171345829888

@Heracli19402827 @PostDisclosure Nope, just the radar operator. Kevin Day. And he's not sure it wasn't a glitch. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYxeIVU4AASvP2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYxeIVU4AASvP2.jpg

2020-07-20T17:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285268614883377152

@gornalalbion Counter arguments tend to be better than invented ones. That's why they invented the straw man.

Nobody thinks pilots can't recognize seagulls - except, of course, if they are too far away to be recognizable.

What seemed to fly past Fravor was not a seagull.

2020-07-20T17:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285266344930205696

@russian71805090 You think experts are wrong 50% of the time? Well, all bets are off then. That's like science being a coin toss.

2020-07-20T17:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285266124318183425

@cal53848673 @PostDisclosure Go what wrong? I think most people were simple relating what they saw, or what happened.

Lots of different things in the Nimitz case. They don't correlate.

2020-07-20T17:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285265542345977856

@mrvegas95214342 @EricRWeinstein Where are the non-fake polls?

2020-07-20T17:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285264882112184321

@PostDisclosure And what is that number? How many people saw the Tic-Tac drop from 80,000 to the sea level in a second?

One? Two?

2020-07-20T17:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285264624930000896

@russian71805090 Yeah, but that only applies on average. They get 99.99% of things right. But it does not mean that every statement they make is 99.99% correct. It means they are usually 100% correct, but 0.01% of the time they are 100% wrong.

2020-07-20T17:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285263862372040704

@cal53848673 Because his TV show made a big deal about them. Now he hand-waves them away? Only the impressive sounding eyewitness testimony is important.

2020-07-20T17:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285263600559337472

@PostDisclosure Of course not. Experts are generally correct, which is why we get situations like this. When an expert makes a mistake it has a much bigger effect than when some random person make a mistake.

2020-07-20T17:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285263263165321217

@CuriousUap @SpaceDadOrbis @buffcommacu Do you have the transcript of that?

If they discussed that particular video, I would suspect they said that particular video was a video of what appeared to be an unknown aircraft of some type. A UAP. In the video.

2020-07-20T17:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285261890482913280

Back in 2010, the media relied on former General and experienced fighter pilot Thomas McInerney to tell them that a missile had been launched off the coast of Los Angeles. Turns out it was just a jet contrail.
"Reliable sources" are not immune to error
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UI_0hh26n6Q
https://twitter.com/loveallaniamls/status/1285260945506340865

2020-07-20T17:10:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285260906780168192
@cal53848673 Why don't you simply check the analysis and believe in yourself?

Chris Mellon does not actually seem to have done any analysis. He does not even seem to think the videos are important.

2020-07-20T17:06:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285259916593074178
@mrvegas95214342 @EricRWeinstein Yeah, that's probably an outlier. But the big picture does not seem to be one in which Eric's anecdote is anything other than noise. Trump might be gaining random people, but he's losing the suburbs.

https://www.realclearpolitics.com/epolls/2020/president/us/general_election_trump_vs_biden-6247.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYovt3UMAEAZKH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYovt3UMAEAZKH.jpg
2020-07-20T17:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1285258818612375554
@OKftl You generally don't see debunking work while you are doing it. It takes time.

But it is especially difficult when there's a "large, complex misinfo system" - they have so much

to fall back on to reinforce their beliefs.

There are still people who think smoking is harmless.

2020-07-20T16:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285257622912819202

@mrvegas95214342 @EricRWeinstein I've no doubt there are thousands of such people across the electorate. People have different perspectives.

But Biden is 15 points ahead, so it seems like there are VASTLY more people going left.

2020-07-20T16:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285255817906679809

@LincolnMics @ddm31111 @EricRWeinstein Maybe. Do you think Biden is mentally below Trump? Do you think the country will be better off with Trump? Do you actually WANT all those conservative judges or is it just a high price you are willing to pay?

2020-07-20T16:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285254783230570496

@mrvegas95214342 @EricRWeinstein Eric is relating a personal anecdote, and seeking other personal anecdotes from his dark-webby audience that confirm it.

I don't think you are going to get a very accurate picture that way. Maybe he should fund a YouGov study.

2020-07-20T16:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285254039874101248

@ddm31111 @LincolnMics @EricRWeinstein Are you left leaning? You seem right leaning.

2020-07-20T16:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285253144314695683

@CuriousUap @SpaceDadOrbis @buffcommacu Can you recognize a distant plane when it's just a dot?

I think at the time the person taking the video could not tell what it was exactly. But I suspect later analysis would have "distant plane" as a #1 suspect.

2020-07-20T16:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285252718945120265

@AFSUnidentified Of course unidentified flying things in controlled airspace are a concern.

2020-07-20T16:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285252470503911431

@EricRWeinstein When you say "a surprising number", what is that number?

2020-07-20T16:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285251346917015553

@AFSUnidentified The balloon in GOFAST was not moving very fast. Probably about 40 knots, if that. Nothing to worry about.

2020-07-20T16:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285250826730999808

@JPMajor @edwardcurrent Nobody likes to feel stupid. It's kind of a natural reaction, and triggering it can partly be my fault. Especially in early days, I was a bit more scathing than I am now. Many skeptics remain scathing and dismissive, and once people get burnt by that they become skeptic-averse.

2020-07-20T16:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285250197648359427

@AFSUnidentified Yeah, it's a shame people ignore the actual analysis and focus instead on jokes and secondary hypotheses, because they are easier targets.

I end up debunking the debunks of cold birds, even though I think GOFAST was probably a ballon.

2020-07-20T16:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285249342186504200

In 2001, the misidentification of contrails as "chemtrails" led to draft legislation in Congress to ban chemtrails.

The presence of something in a draft bill does not mean that thing is real. Just that someone thinks it is.

https://www.congress.gov/bill/107th-congress/house-bill/2977/text/ih
https://twitter.com/PotatoRamirez/status/1285247305692717056

2020-07-20T16:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285248136055668742

@JPMajor @edwardcurrent They think I'm either a "useful idiot" who has not done his homework, or I'm some kind of government agent, spreading evil propaganda, or that I'm an arrogant know-it-all who thinks they are stupid.

2020-07-20T16:18:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285247616012283904

@AFSUnidentified You know, I don't think I mention "seagull" or "bird" in any of the Navy UFO videos in my playlist. And yet that's all some of #UFOTwitter can talk about.

Where are the birds?

2020-07-20T16:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285246846718246912

@SpaceDadOrbis @buffcommacu And I've not solved UAPs. I've not solved these videos. I've just discovered that, in all likelihood, the FLIR1 video (not eyewitness accounts) was a distant plane, the GIMBAL video is a jet, not rotating, and the GOFAST object is not going fast, and might be a ballon.

That's it

2020-07-20T16:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285246346786553866

@SpaceDadOrbis @buffcommacu Yeah, that's a common attack. A specious one, because I don't claim to have discovered anything that the US military has not. I think they have probably done similar analysis, but the difference is that I'm not bound by their default classification. So I can talk about mine.

2020-07-20T16:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285245794287665152

@edwardcurrent @JPMajor I have. I've actually had many people later apologize for their initial reaction. Sometimes people make assumptions about what I'm doing, or who I am, and they respond with anger. I try to explain myself, and, although it can take time, I have got through to some angry folk.

2020-07-20T15:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285242933298384896

Debunking works, if done right.

People do actually respond well to facts. You just have to be careful not to trigger a reaction (usually anger) that makes them put up mental barriers. Be respectful.

https://twitter.com/CaulfieldTim/status/1277629434229452803

2020-07-20T15:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285241276443459586

@GeezerSqueezer @JeremyCorbell @NyceBilly @alo_pumpa @joerogan @g_knapp It was at 13,000 feet. So of course it was colder than the surface of the water.

2022-07-08T04:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545261714995683330

@BrentlyLee @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Yeah, that was a weird moment, as Eric's sense was so different from what I meant, I forgot I'd actually just used the word. I think the "showing off" sense is more US usage, and I'm still somewhat rooted in British English - but I still recognize what he was saying. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXHfDbiUEAAobJx.jpg

---



flex[1]

/fleks/

verb

1. (with reference to a limb or joint) bend or become bent.
   "she saw him flex his ankle and wince"

   Similar: bend | curve | crook | hook | cock | angle | kink | buckle

2. put a (skill, talent, or ability) to use.
   "the talks were seen as a way for Merkel to flex her well-known diplomatic prowess"

noun

1. the action or state of flexing.
   "add rigidity and eliminate brake flex"
2. INFORMAL · US
   a boastful statement or display.
   "ridiculous flexes of exorbitant wealth"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXHfDbiUEAAobJx.jpg
2022-07-07T23:38:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545190654141431809
@noraaholt @TUPACABRA2 @BrandonFugal @EricRWeinstein @TOEwithCurt I'll go.

2022-07-07T23:07:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545182872952135685
@AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets @fireengineering @NRLBdoc @FDNY @FDNYFoundation @mediamonarchy @JasonBermas @Timcast @IanCrossland @mattcampbell911 @SkyMuseum @Sept11Memorial @Sept11VCF "Molten metal" does not mean molten steel. Lots of metals (most significantly lead and aluminum) would melt at lower temperatures)

2022-07-07T19:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545120977293438976
@SaltyDawg49 @KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @marcbel19406167 @BrentlyLee He was asked about sensors. He can't talk about sensors in the open hearing, as they are classified.
https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IG/IG05/20220517/114761/HHRG-117-IG05-Transcript-20220517.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXFfSbuUEAAdE_q.jpg

---

Mr. Krishnamoorthi. Okay. So -- and I assume we have never discharged any armaments against a UAP, correct?

Mr. Bray. That is correct.

Mr. Krishnamoorthi. How about wreckage? Have we come across any wreckage of any kind of object that has now been examined by you?

Mr. Bray. The UAP Task Force doesn't have any wreckage that isn't explainable -- that isn't consistent with being of terrestrial origin.

Mr. Krishnamoorthi. Do we have any sensors underwater to detect on submerged UAPs? Anything that is in the ocean or in the seas?

Mr. Moultrie. So I think that would be more appropriately addressed in closed session, sir.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXFfSbuUEAAdE_q.jpg
2022-07-07T18:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545118529510182912
RT @TOEwithCurt: Premiering shortly. Thank you both @EricRWeinstein and @MickWest for the behemoth 2h43m conversation. I appreciate the resâ¦

2022-07-07T18:25:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1545111780493385732
@OwatcherUf @jplatte11 @MiddleOfMayhem @chrisotis78 Do you know what else "flashes red, green, and white"?

Capella

What star is he looking at?

Capella

https://www.metabunk.org/data/video/51/51377-65d7922d35a2e73432f64bd01477b299.mp4
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXFW1czVQAAvmC6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXFWbF2UEAAE2n6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXFW1czVQAAvmC6.jpg

2022-07-07T17:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545099015007612928

@DynomiteBizQuit They certainly edited it that way (he says "goes underwater" synced with the switch from BLK to WHT hot mode). But I'd give him the benefit of the doubt there, as it might have been the producer confusing the two clips (both being IR tracking parallax illusions)

2022-07-07T17:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545097871145414657

RT @TOEwithCurt: Working feverishly to have the Eric and Mick theolocution out by today at 7pm ET.

2022-07-07T16:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545083496636461056

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @marcbel19406167 @BrentlyLee "Splash" does not indicate a visible splash - just that they think it went into the water.

You can tell by frame-advancing the video that it's an IR source that is obscured by the horizon (it fades out, comes back, then fades out again.) Nothing in the video indicates a splash.

2022-07-07T16:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545082827682631680

@BrentlyLee @marcbel19406167 That might have been editing. He did talk about Aguadilla

later.

Of course Aguadilla does not go underwater either, but at least it LOOKS like it does (well, kinda)

2022-07-07T16:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545082406796795904

@justagalreading $233 million! I had no idea. :grinning_face_with_big_eyes: I think they added a few zeros. I wonder what the algorithm is though.

My YouTube earnings are actually $0, as my channel is not monetized.

2022-07-07T12:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1545019827734974464

@SOURCESIGNALINT I assume nothing. I'm asking.

2022-07-07T00:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544836740233302016

@Melissa41088067 @diarrhangus @clgood @CNET It kind of is now.

2022-07-07T00:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544836576974237696

@OwatcherUf @marcbel19406167 I listened to it, in that video.

2022-07-06T21:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544797146825666560

@DjDonnellon @BubbaSri @Ketmend It's entirely consistent with it, and there's no other good (or even vaguely sensible) hypothesis. That's generally enough.

2022-07-06T21:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544796694985879552

@OwatcherUf People often repeat what they have heard without stopping to really think about it.

2022-07-06T21:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544796067744493574

@BubbaSri @Ketmend Example?

2022-07-06T21:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544794740037627904

@BubbaSri @Ketmend I'm not saying I'm smarter, or better informed. I'm not particularly smart, just persistent.

It's about results that can be verified.

2022-07-06T21:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544794208929648640

@TheDeathKwonDo Does what invalidate what claims?

2022-07-06T21:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544793772013154306

Unfortunately when you show the Navy UFO videos (and the Chilean Navy one too) to someone who is unfamiliar with them, then they tend to get a lot of it wrong. I'm sure Thangavelu is an expert any many things, but analyzing UFO videos is not one of them.

https://twitter.com/CNET/status/1544789282061135872

2022-07-06T21:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544789811487051776

@THP_22_22 What did he do?

2022-07-06T21:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544789641152253952

@Acecentric Does anything ever come of their quest?

2022-07-06T20:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544783493749547008

@e_rafter Plasco

2022-07-06T20:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544773947073343489

@DabberPatriotic Yeah, but why? Do they know something we don't, or are they just superfans?

2022-07-06T19:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544769957224804352

@Paul_451 It's both. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXAgEuaUsAA4Atb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXAf7DwUsAAY2jX.jpg



centi- combining form

Save Word

Definition of *centi-*

1 : hundred
*// centi*pede

2 : one hundredth part of
*// centi*meter

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FXAgEuaUsAA4Atb.jpg
2022-07-06T19:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544769363982528512
@jjmendozay @aka__V @EnigmaLabs2 Is Thiel directly connected to Enigma Labs?

2022-07-06T19:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544768016683937792
@UFO_curious Supposedly he funded some of Nolan's research. I suspect he might also have contributed to Avi Loeb's project.

2022-07-06T19:20:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544763162364284929
@spookysouthcoll Yes, people give different accounts of the timeline. But no, there's zero evidence that the explosions was before impact - it came from fuel and debris falling down the elevator shaft.

2022-07-06T19:17:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544762532690214912
@IUHoosierSteve Unlikely, as dust is covering the interior where most flashes happen. I think they are all just reflections from falling glass.

2022-07-06T19:16:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544762271255080960
@SIJA1966514655 I'm trying to figure out something specific. Are they simply convinced by compelling testimony? Do they know something we don't? What's the goal here?
I don't know. I'm literally just asking.

2022-07-06T19:14:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544761720161202176
@spookysouthcoll They were not before the planes hit. They were after.

2022-07-06T19:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544761265834250240

@IWANTTOKNOWUK If one seeks to understand a phenomenon, then it would be good to understand what has compelled rich people to invest millions of dollars in it.

2022-07-06T19:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544759316275613697

@SIJA1966514655 I'm interested in people's motivations. I'm not suggesting a particular one.

2022-07-06T19:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544759125610885120

@Icetrey14 After burning for five hours.

After the firemen pulled out, as they could tell collapse was likely.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2022-07-06T19:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544758737864310784

@mannlock2 Gravity works downwards. The floor panels could not support the upper mass, so when it was not directly on the columns it just fell through the floor panels, and the outer walls fell away, the inner core, unsupported fell a few seconds later.

2022-07-06T19:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544758298611617792

@Ketmend Wood's theories are bullshit.

"Dustification" of steel would result in a massive explosion - AND all the steel was still there after the collapse.

2022-07-06T18:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544757967815204864

I did this debunking video four years ago. It demonstrates how flashes during the collapse of the World Trade Center are consistent with falling glass.

And yet @AE911Truth are STILL promoting the flashes as some of their best evidence of "explosives"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-dzNW7Hcmhw

2022-07-06T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544756682311417856

@AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets @Sept11VCF @Sept11Memorial @NRLBdoc @FDNY

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-dzNW7Hcmhw

2022-07-06T18:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544756303100121088

The engineers at AE911Truth says these flashes are evidence of explosives being used.

Which is silly because they occur after global collapse started, and many are in mid-air.

They are most likely sunlight reflections from falling sheets of glass.

AE911Truth can't science. https://twitter.com/AE911Truth/status/1544511598097600517

2022-07-06T18:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544754296968728576

@MindfulOfLight @MiddleOfMayhem @RealArea503 @chrisotis78 Most of the objects seen in the video are identified stars. There's one light on the boat, and then there's ONE moving object that flashes, which is consistent with a plane, or maybe a drone.

2022-07-06T18:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544745986915831808

@AdmiralQuality @Ryanmbride Huh? I'm disagreeing with him on the effect of blowing them up.

Most people are opposed to blowing up private property.

2022-07-06T18:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544745003158605824

@LarryRosenthal Looks interesting. There are so many characters in the scene.
http://www.cnn.com/TECH/computing/9903/17/ufo.idg/index.html

2022-07-06T18:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544743843429421058

@pe7490 I kind of think he's joking.

2022-07-06T18:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544743718443307009

@Ryanmbride @AdmiralQuality Who said I'm human? :grinning_face:

I guess after interacting with people for a long time you either get used to it, or you stop interacting with people.

Calmness is a conscious choice I make, as it aids communication in both directions.

2022-07-06T17:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544742699307175936

@akareyon It's not a piece of history, it's a quirky roadside attraction that one eccentric guy had built.

2022-07-06T17:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544740080002031616

@aka__V He's expressed interest in funding Nolan's work, and Jesse Michels works for him.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iQOibpIDx-4

2022-07-06T17:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544736916045590530

@TChatary @elonmusk Is he though? He didn't seem interested when he was on Rogan. He's tweeted a few jokes, but that seems about it.

2022-07-06T17:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544736611715272704

@MathewS65234556 Yeah, but it's not going to go down to 500,000,000 though. That's just wishful thinking.

2022-07-06T17:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544736329094705153

@AdmiralQuality The Guidestones were just one guy's folly. They were not helpful, in that people thought they were evidence of something. But blowing them up is only going to cement their position in conspiracy mythology, not remove it.

2022-07-06T17:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544734207724859392

Alex Jones is opposed to destroying the Georgia Guidestones because he needs it as evidence of a secret plot to reduce the World's population.

https://twitter.com/skifflegirl/status/1544716401151094789

2022-07-06T17:18:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544732430006816768

RT @BrianDunning: Local evangelicals have long been vandalizing the #Guidestones, believing them to be occult or Jewish. Theyâ□□ve finally suâ□¦

2022-07-06T17:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544730685478301696

@MiddleOfMayhem @chrisotis78 Yeah, that's Jesse from Metabunk. I use his footage in my videos.

2022-07-06T17:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544729571659898880

@TChatary @joerogan I don't think he's actually spending money on it, he's just interested.

Although I suppose giving it publicity via his platform amounts to a kind of spending. But not really what I was looking for.

2022-07-06T16:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544722271960346624

Who are the UFO Billionaires (or at least centimillionaires)?

There's lots of really rich people. Some of them must be interested in funding UFO research. Other than Bigelow, Fugal, and Thiel, who else is active in the UFO field? How much are they spending? Why?

2022-07-06T16:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544718253326356480

@MiddleOfMayhem @OwatcherUf @chrisotis78 People sometimes use "SLR" to mean any kind of none-cellphone camera, as if those are the only two type of camera. But there's also mirrorless, bridge, compact, and camcorders.

https://furiouscamera.com/mobile-phone-or-dslr-which-should-you-choose

---

2022-07-06T16:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544715397697441792

@EMP_Wrecker Just a load of clues. A lack of original, and the clickbait faker site origin being the big ones.

2022-07-06T16:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544715090011688961

@OwatcherUf @MiddleOfMayhem @chrisotis78 It matters a little in that it indicates that Bray still wasn't giving an accurate account. The "SLR" camera had nothing to do with it, other than zooming in (which seems motorized - and most SLR lense are manual zoom)

2022-07-06T16:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544713133758902272

@MiddleOfMayhem @chrisotis78 The noise in the NVG display is visible and in focus, so the bokeh shape, 100%, comes from the NVG.

Green triangle is a star, the dots are noise. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_sWz8UYAAVLkN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_sWz8UYAAVLkN.jpg

2022-07-06T15:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544707770607230976

@chrisotis78 Different NVG. You can see the very clear symmetry and curved edges of the iris in one Navy video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_ndgNVsAAiW_X.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_ndgNVsAAiW_X.jpg
2022-07-06T15:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544705156251389953
@imcomingdowntoo That quote seems to refer to a different video. (Although I think "reflection" is a plausible hypothesis for the new one, if it's real).

https://www.azcentral.com/story/tech/nation-now/2016/07/14/nasa-space-international-space-station-ufo-live-feed-cut-internet-theories/87070452/

2022-07-06T14:52:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544695711333158918
UFOlogy is a field that suffers from clickbait recycling of fake videos. Consider the source.

Example, this 2016 video, similar to another one that resurfaced (with similar provenance) fooled people, but was fake. Maybe the similar video is also fake?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MqJS6ztEMzI
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_cJw5VUAUrcgj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW_cJw5VUAUrcgj.jpg

2022-07-06T14:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544693550498992128

@bradcrispin @DenilsonSP3 @rosscoulthart The ones that make it clear there's currently no good evidence of extraterrestrial visitors.

2022-07-06T14:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544686794058317827

@issaufobro It's an old video, from 2016. Probably some kind of reflection.

2022-07-06T14:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544686541070405632

@DenilsonSP3 @rosscoulthart @bradcrispin I don't endorse it. A bunch of cherry-picked quotes lacking real context.

2022-07-06T10:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544624621349007362

@mbowdle1 So who is Mellon referring to?

2022-07-06T04:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544542047792599040

@EireScientist @MvonRen @uncertainvector How can you "mistake" something for an "unknown object."

If they can't identify it, then it IS an unknown object.

2022-07-06T03:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544527790258565120

@Lembot74 @tinyklaus @BrandonFugal Yes

2022-07-06T00:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544474456327798784

@brad_stebbing @uapcameraman I've been saying it for decades. Unidentified = unknown. It's

a trusim. It's nothing special.

2022-07-05T22:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544453318046404609

@DigitalDino4 (Unless by "gimbal", you mean the actual gimbal in the camera)

2022-07-05T22:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544453133094400000

@WTCphysics @uafairbanks @NIST @smithsonian @HISTORY @mtaibbi @sefindia @StructuralEngi @AE911Truth Possibly. I've not looked at it for over a year (not that anything has changed, I'm just a bit rusty.)

2022-07-05T22:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544452416375910400

@DigitalDino4 Quite the opposite. The rotation very much appears to be an artifact of the camera.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-07-05T22:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544446395775520769

@uapcameraman So why can't @jaimemaussan1 figure it out?

2022-07-05T22:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544446207790985216

@fin365 Obviously, it's not black and white - that's something I say all the time. There are MANY factors in play. I have no desire for false simplicity.

2022-07-05T22:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544445004503851008

"Taken with a telescope" - this rapidly flicking shape appears to be a distant bright point source of light, like a flare. The shape change looks like atmospheric distortion, the dark dot is probably from the secondary mirror in the telescopy.

https://twitter.com/jaimemaussan1/status/1542687580331835392

2022-07-05T22:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544443393345929216

As a "ground-based UAP skeptic" I would fully support an investigation into what is described. If pilots think they are being buzzed by fast moving objects every day then obviously it needs investigating.

The disconnect is in the interpretation of videos. Is Gimbal rotating?

https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1544441436434726912

2022-07-05T21:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544438767699668994

@Deepfryguy76 @Oswald1160 @AliensOnEarth_ @FullStackLogan I think the camera is compensating for the tilt of the recording plane, so you don't see anything other than the moving lens flare.

2022-07-05T19:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544397747981234176

@1337j0ekerx Did you take video?

2022-07-05T19:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544396673685655553

@thewoody I don't have a conduit to send things to the task force.

2022-07-05T19:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544396286870245377

@SaltyDawg49 @thewoody Not really.

2022-07-05T18:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544391127549112321

@thewoody Yes, there's a direct line between that and the Aguadilla "transmedium" nonsense from SCU.

2022-07-05T18:39:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544390566498017280

@1337j0ekerx I look at the sky all the time. I've not seen anything inexplicable.

2022-07-05T18:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544386875237879809

@WTCphysics @uafairbanks @NIST @smithsonian @HISTORY @mtaibbi @sefindia @StructuralEngi And the study was done by two grad students who clearly had done nothing like this before, you can tell by looking at the variety of things they tried until they gave up and manually adjusted it to get the final "result." This video shows their experiments

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gb5jBtde2Fk

2022-07-05T18:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544386221459193857

@WTCphysics @uafairbanks @NIST @smithsonian @HISTORY @mtaibbi @sefindia @StructuralEngi In their actual simulation, they did not include connection failure, non-linear distortion, or dynamic collisions. Just a rubber-band model, So it just sagged, then they manually made an animation of what they wanted to happen.

https://twitter.com/KaiKostack/status/1226490981471653888

2022-07-05T18:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544385394694754304

@WTCphysics @uafairbanks @NIST @smithsonian @HISTORY @mtaibbi @sefindia @StructuralEngi I think it suffers from a number of significant problems. But briefly, it's a static model simulating a dynamic event. The animations of the building falling are actually animation, not the result of simulations.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-DadyW-LR4

2022-07-05T18:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544384259657412609

@thewoody I suspect the government's more esoteric actions are just the result of lobbying by ETH believers.

2022-07-05T18:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544383873135431681

@thewoody What alleged phenomena are they not dismissing? I mean, they have said several times that there's no evidence of extraterrestrials. But obviously, sometimes people see unidentified things in the air.

2022-07-05T17:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544377399592120320

@ahypothesizer I wrote a bit about that, and Jeopardy!

https://skepticalinquirer.org/2021/12/jeopardy-and-ideas-of-reference/

2022-07-05T14:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544331913359265793

@1337j0ekerx Can you recommend one near Sacramento?

2022-07-05T12:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544291000838864896

@AnonymouShadoww @AliensOnEarth_ If I did go, then how would that change your thoughts?

2022-07-05T06:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544200792168574977

@uapdog RNG of 99.9 means itâ□□s an invalid value. There is no range data.

2022-07-05T06:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544200073688408064

@fin365 @RodrigoLVieira1 @PostDisclosure It is behind it, so the scale is the same or smaller.

2022-07-04T23:57:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544108111530668032

@fin365 @PostDisclosure Helicopter too big?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW3GF_jUYAAUWKM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW3GF_jUYAAUWKM.jpg

2022-07-04T23:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544103637005111296

@fin365 @PostDisclosure I must admit, I don't find this particularly interesting. It's just a stage illusion. Nobody is claiming it's real. There's a plausible explanation in the rotating stage. The video isn't very good.

2022-07-04T23:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544101093033984000

@Deepfryguy76 @AliensOnEarth_ @FullStackLogan It was explained in the 1970s.

https://youtu.be/qgxHICb7ceg?t=44

2022-07-04T23:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544097662944784385

@uapdog Where are you getting those numbers from?

2022-07-04T23:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544097441418395649

@JohanneSimard9 @radiognom3 Len flare, according to the cameraman.

2022-07-04T23:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544094789179625472

@fin365 @PostDisclosure A projection onto a screen maybe, but unlikely as it would not look real. Giant mirror would probably be impractical.

2022-07-04T22:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544085520308768768

@2021Gufon Can you prove it to scientists?

2022-07-04T22:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544085401882701824

@fin365 @PostDisclosure Well, he either just turned the lights out or rotated the stage, maybe a bit of both. The precise details are difficult to discern, but not really important. It's stage magic. Probably very compelling in person. People get fooled by it. What you are trying to illustrate.

2022-07-04T20:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544062330098528256

@fin365 @PostDisclosure Sure!

2020-07-20T14:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285224798935638016

@buffcommacu Where did he say this?

2020-07-20T14:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285224694166118402

I like this new word "redeductive". While Jeremy meant "reductive" (i.e. overly simplistic), the word "redeductive" kind of conjures up images of reapplying the deductive processes of science over and over to constantly improve the quality of the results.

Let's rededuce things! https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1284625343119126528

2020-07-20T14:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285223221663371265

@buffcommacu I'm an American citizen.

2020-07-20T14:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285223052549124098

@JeremyCorbell @NyceBilly @GeezerSqueezer @alo_pumpa @joerogan @g_knapp Warmed up enough for some actual science? You know my #1 hypothesis for GOFAST is a balloon, but you

focus on "bird".

But even there, your objections are wrong. Bird's feather surface temperatures on thermal images approach ambient air temperature.

https://www.jstor.org/stable/30152838 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYGmVTU0AAMFy6.jpg



insulation. A general relationship between the size of the bird and the average surface temperature of the feather is apparent (Fig. 5). The feather-surface temperature of the larger birds, in particular, approached ambient temperatures (*see* Table 1) during the extreme cold exposures which would result in less convective heat loss because of better or more insulation in these birds. The ptar-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdYGmVTU0AAMFy6.jpg

2020-07-20T12:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285193557456764931

@alo_pumpa @JeremyCorbell @GeezerSqueezer @joerogan @g_knapp Which video? I think FLIR1 and GIMBAL do show aircraft.

2020-07-20T06:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285093093683818496

@JeremyCorbell @alo_pumpa @GeezerSqueezer @joerogan @g_knapp So address it. Address the ACTUAL hypotheses for the VIDEOS: Gofast -> Ballon. Gimbal -> jet glare, NOT banking. Flir1 -> distant jet, no sudden moves.

Stop if with these ridiculous straw-man distractions. Get real.

2020-07-20T04:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285071539805622272

@JeremyCorbell @NyceBilly @GeezerSqueezer @alo_pumpa @joerogan @g_knapp Cool, letâ□□s talk about the videos then.

2020-07-20T04:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285071355017216001

@CarlosRangelz @Oswald1160 @joerogan Thatâ□□s not math.

Firstly itâ□□s 13.000 feet, and, although rare, bird do fly that high and higher. But, I DONT THINK GOFAST WAS A BIRD.

Second, how do they know what the wind was if they donâ□□t know where the object was?

2020-07-20T02:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285033087441625094

@B1GC335 @duncantrussell Looks like a bug to me.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-ufo-performs-sharp-maneuver-after-laser-pointer-directly-hits-craft.11326/#post-240133

2020-07-20T02:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1285032459898257408

@DoinStuffW_Dino @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp Most of the apparent motion is from the jet, which IS traveling in a fairly straight line.

Still, at 13,000 feet the air moves in roughly straight lines. Add two straight lines together and you get a straight line.

2020-07-19T04:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284709522313867266

@Erwal4 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp Iâ□□d be happy to do it. Jeremy?

2020-07-19T03:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284698919989989376

@bobofango86 @SequoyahKTUL8 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp The FLIR1 video shows nothing like that.

2020-07-19T03:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284698721297371136

@SequoyahKTUL8 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp Fravor thinks GOFAST is actually going fast. Heâ□□s wrong. Math.

2020-07-19T03:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284698452606083073

@bottwater @deekatello @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp Everyone says they are real UFOs. Because they have not been identified.

2020-07-19T03:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284697857971286017

@HermesUmbra @ufobelievers @PostDisclosure No. But Iâ□□m not bound by the classification restrictions the military is. So you get to hear my analysis, but not theirs.

2020-07-19T03:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284697348447145984

@CarlosRangelz @joerogan Show me this math you think is against me.

2020-07-19T01:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284665341524639744

@XRPMultiverse @JeremyCorbell @Rollie3333 @joerogan @g_knapp No, because the plane itself (with the camera) is moving very fast. The object is half way between the plane and the

sea, so the sea's apparent speed is similar to the plane's actual speed.

2020-07-19T01:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284655655425413120

@PostDisclosure @tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell Not until it has an established (and good) safety record.

2020-07-19T01:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284655279192203265

@drumshticknow @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Can you be a bit more specific?

2020-07-19T01:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284655007111905281

@GeezerSqueezer @JeremyCorbell No, that discussion was about the GOFAST video. Not what Fravor saw.

2020-07-19T00:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284653665798643712

@tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I understand. I appreciate the effort, but I still don't think there is a good reason for me to go - unless there's something specific that someone there (SWR) could show me.

2020-07-19T00:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284652895640514560

@JeremyCorbell @ElectroDeeJ @joerogan @g_knapp I admit some of the explanations are difficult to follow. Clearly the derotation glare explanation for GIMBAL is non-intuitive. Also not everyone understands the simple trig in GOFAST.

But something requiring thought does not mean it is wrong.

2020-07-19T00:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284652364054450177

@tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure How much did it raise?

I'd go to the ranch if there was a good reason. Simply having enough money to go there is not a good reason.

2020-07-19T00:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284651734996938752

@JeremyCorbell @ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure Ask him if he never once looked at his instruments for five minutes. Ask him if his gaze was permanently fixed on one white object and nothing else for five minutes.

2020-07-19T00:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284651199434600448

@KimJellen @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Joe is not blameless here. He's a busy guy, but he's still accepting Jeremy's statement rather too unquestioningly. That gives them weight to some people. No big deal though. The debunks still stand.

2020-07-19T00:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284650258371248128

@skullanbone @JeremyCorbell Well, Jeremy is discussing my analysis of these three videos. Will this "lots of stuff" help with that? What? How?

2020-07-19T00:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284649949305561089

@XRPMultiverse @JeremyCorbell @Rollie3333 @joerogan @g_knapp Kinda vague. I made a calculation (including an interactive model based on the on-screen data) and got 1/10th that speed. (i.e. around 20-40mph)

The speed calculation starts at 4:33, but uses figures discussed earlier.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M&feature=youtu.be&t=273

2020-07-19T00:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284649206762754050

@JeremyCorbell @skullanbone That's great. But you don't seem to want to actually discuss the science. Like the calculation of the speed of GOFAST (you said 200 mph?)

2020-07-19T00:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284648243750551552

@IvanHeadless @PostDisclosure "Who cares" is a common response to lots of things I debunk.

But Jeremy seemed to care last year. The "experts" on "Unidentified" cared. And the NYT had "Glowing Auras" in the headline of the breaking story.

It's not a "victory", just something that was figured out.

2020-07-19T00:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284647532291690496

@JeremyCorbell @Rollie3333 @joerogan @g_knapp It's kind of bizarre that you said that GOFAST "gives us very little information." It actually gives us the MOST information of the three videos - because it's the only one with an accurate RNG (range) reading.

We can use that to find the object's altitude and approximate speed

---

2020-07-19T00:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284646466032525317

@tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure How is the GFM doing?

2020-07-19T00:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284646145549950976

@ufobelievers @PostDisclosure So an accurate understanding on any video evidence should by pretty much essential, right?

2020-07-19T00:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284645973075955712

@JeremyCorbell @Rollie3333 @joerogan @g_knapp So "everyone you talked to" said they were

"coming down from outer space" in less than a second?

How many people is that?

2020-07-19T00:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284645008474116097

@JeremyCorbell @JurriaanMaessen So why not address my actual video analyses? Why raise straw men of birds and banking jets?

GIMBAL = rotating glare, not a banking jet.
GOFAST = slow (~40mph) balloon (maybe bird, unlikely) at 13,000 feet
FLIR1 = distant plane, no sudden moves.

2020-07-19T00:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284642952279502848

@ufobelievers @PostDisclosure But I'm trying to understand these videos.

Don't you want to understand the videos?

2020-07-19T00:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284640761179369473

@alpha_check @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp GOFAST didn't jam. They got a good range reading - that's how we know it wasn't going fast.

So let's say it was a weather balloon with a radar reflector. How exactly would the F18's IFF ability work there?

2020-07-19T00:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284640199725572096

@ufobelievers @PostDisclosure Why? I enjoy figuring out what individual videos might show. I'm not a historian.

2020-07-19T00:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284639938374365185

@CuriousUap @drumshticknow @JeremyCorbell @joerogan And the reason I don't think the tic-tac shaped thing was a bird is because birds are not tic-tac shaped.

However it we are talking about white dots in the distance, then that (obviously) might be a bird.

2020-07-19T00:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284639595586453504

@CuriousUap @drumshticknow @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Only to people who don't actually watch my videos.

The bird thing is practically a smear campaign. I DON'T think that a bird is the most likely

explanation of GOFAST (and obviously it not the explanation for GIMBAL or FLIR1). I don't think the tic-tac shaped thing was a bird.

2020-07-18T23:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284637828865875968

@ufobelievers @PostDisclosure Gosh look, something totally different.

I get it. You think there are several significant UFO incidents through history.

But I'm not a UFO history guy. I'm someone who analyzes videos. I can give you facts about videos. On historical anecdotes I can only give my opinion.

2020-07-18T23:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284637000100802560

@SuperVFunCat1 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp I'm not pushing the bird thing. It became a bit of a meme on UFO Twitter. It's a distraction and made an easy straw man for JC to attack. Unfortunate he messed up even that, so now I've got to debunk his debunk of something I don't think is very likely.

Still, science fun.

2020-07-18T23:49:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284636360804929536

@drumshticknow @JeremyCorbell @joerogan It's great that he figured out he was wrong, but I'm interested in how he figured it out and why he was so incredibly wrong in the first place.

2020-07-18T23:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284635938845372416

@drumshticknow @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I initially thought a bird was the likely explanation, but it quickly became apparent that a balloon was a better explanation. Bird is still possible though.

Jeremy though was utterly incorrect about the "pocket of cold air", an entirely baseless and nonsensical claim.

2020-07-18T23:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284634905998049280

@ufobelievers @PostDisclosure Birds at 13,000 feet are cold. Not that I think it's a bird - but that "debunking" is wrong.

See: https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1284631468732342272

2020-07-18T23:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284634413532233728

@RobAgs0817 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp And with Nimitz, I don't think the radar was tracking birds. But I'm not convinced that all the

radar anecdotes were real objects, especially the >100g vertical movements.

2020-07-18T23:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284633924598001667

@RobAgs0817 @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp I'm not discussing the Nimitz incident here. I'm talking about GOFAST video, which is where Corbell is attempting to debunk the bird hypothesis by saying it was too cold. I don't think it was a bird, but I think his debunking was wrong.

2020-07-18T23:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284632976781762560

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp Don't get me wrong though I don't think it was a bird. But more because of the strong radar return.

But the "hypothermic bird" counterargument that Jeremy makes does not seem to hold up to analysis.

2020-07-18T23:32:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284632121525415936

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp And the air at 13,000 feet is 45Â°F colder than the air at sea level. So probably colder than the sea surface. So if the hypothetical well-insulated bird's outer feathers were a similar temperature to the air, then they would appear to be colder than the sea surface.

2020-07-18T23:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284631468732342272

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp I mean, Jeremy claims to have debunked the "cold bird" theory by saying the bird would be hypothermic, and dead. But it seems even his analysis of a straw man (straw bird?) is faulty.

An extreme example, some penguins actually appear colder (in IR) than the air they are in.

2020-07-18T23:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284630776844148736

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp Yet Jeremy seems to be attacking me on specific points, and I'd like to respond.

Maybe we could EVEN talk about the "cold bird" theory. I don't think a bird is likely, but why wouldn't the surface feathers of a well insulated bird at 13,000 feet appear colder than the ocean?

2020-07-18T23:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284629423820427264

@JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp Or maybe you could explain why you think that the "debunker explanation" of GIMBAL is a jet banking? The actual explanation I've been discussing for 2.5 years is that it's NOT banking. It's a camera artifact from the

derotation mechanism. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdPrjSzUMAEOQ9A.png



The IR/CCD optical bench 42 houses the IR sensor 20 which comprises an IR sensor receiver 22, the time multiplexed modulated infrared (IR) reference source 21 that is mechanically aligned to the center of the field of view of the IR sensor receiver 22, a multi-spectral beam combiner 28 that combines beams of the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and the IR reference source 21. In the IR optical path is an IR imager 27 (or IR imaging optics 27), a focus mechanism 24, a reflective derotation mechanism 25 that derotates the IR beam to keep the IR image erect, and an IR relay beam expander 26 that expands the beams associated with the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and IR reference alignment source 21.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdPrjSzUMAEOQ9A.png

2020-07-18T23:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284628691511730176

@JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp Or maybe you could explain why you were so convinced that the GIMBAL was surrounded by a pocket of cold air, but you now think it's a FLIR artifact? Was I helpful there?

2020-07-18T23:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284627921110368256

@JeremyCorbell @BoardGameBuddha @joerogan @g_knapp Gosh Jeremy. Perhaps we could actually address the points of analysis that you disagree with.

Maybe start with the speed of GOFAST - you said something like 200+ MPH on JRE. That seems wrong. Can you show your math?

2020-07-18T23:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284627217553608705

@nathanielswmor1 @tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan I don't know what the GOFAST object is. I do know it's not going fast. And a balloon fits what the videos shows. I also know that balloons, if unidentified, would be considered UAPs.

But I doubt that THIS video (GOFAST) has anything to do with the UAP study.

2020-07-18T21:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284603140541562881

@JeremyCorbell Wrong. I present Fravor's encounter exactly as he describes it. I hypothesize about some possible things that could explain some portions of the encounter (most importantly the apparent motion being caused by a parallax illusion from misjudged distance)

I don't know what he saw.

2020-07-18T21:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284601796053184512

@JeremyCorbell @joerogan @g_knapp Why do you ignore the actual #1 hypothesis for GOFAST - that it's a balloon?

Instead you seem intent on arguing against the bird hypothesis because it seems easier to debunk.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2020-07-18T21:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284600172442316800

@JeremyCorbell An "act of war", right - so they handled it by casually telling another pilot to keep his eyes open, then he (Chard Underwood) just videos something for a bit and does not chase it?

This is not really handling an act of war. You are being hyperbolic.

2020-07-18T21:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284599745348898816

@JeremyCorbell I stand by everything I've said. I'd be happy to explain anything you feel is lacking.

2020-07-18T20:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284581146731278336

@rethgifoof That's not out of context. I DON'T rule it out. I just don't think it's very likely.

Ruling things out is one of the great failings of any investigation. The Chilean Navy case being a perfect example:

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2020-07-18T20:07:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284580617695330304

@MajorlyMost @mesolithicguy @joerogan The "just math" is things like the calculation on the speed of the "GO FAST" object.

You can do that math, and see that it's actually high and slow, not low and fast.

That doesn't tell you what it is. But unless the ATFLIR display is incorrect, then it's inarguably slow.

2020-07-18T19:09:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284566039536128000

@tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Oh, there's a LOT more. :)

2020-07-18T15:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284516436740730881

@chasekuertz @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I won't rule out anything, as that ruling out could be based on incomplete or erroneous information. I just rank things.

GOFAST and GIMBAL are different objects. (one hot, one cold).

Maybe they were training on ATFLIR, trying things out.

2020-07-18T15:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284512941883056128

@chasekuertz @JeremyCorbell @joerogan You are mistaken. A bird was raised as a possibility about 2.5 years ago for GOFAST. However it swiftly became apparent that a balloon was the more likely explanation.

I'd not rule out a bird (or a drone, or an alien spaceship), but it's certainly not #1.

2020-07-18T15:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284512272354770946

@tommyIzito @MorePftUnion @joerogan @marcorubio @ChristopherKMe4 Like aliens? Or something greater than aliens? Gods? Matrix glitches?

2020-07-18T15:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284511866245373952

@chasekuertz @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Corbell is flimflamming you about birds. Nobody is picking "birds" as the #1 hypothesis for ANY of the videos. Corbell brings is up because it's easy to poke holes in (which is why it's not #1).

Or maybe he's just not really following the actual analyses?

Either way - weak!

2020-07-18T15:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284510141178458120

@PotatoRamirez @JeremyCorbell @joerogan The big picture is made up of lots of little details. It's important to get as many right as possible.

I'm glad Jeremy recognized this error. I'd hope it would cause him to check other assumptions in more detail. But apparently not - still going on about birds and banking.

2020-07-18T15:22:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284508913845407745
@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I'm an image and video analyst. I'll give my options on other topics, but I don't claim to be some kind of expert.

But that's what I think. People in the UFO community think something hugely important is happening. But most people have other real world issues that occupy them.

2020-07-18T15:18:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284507926418219009
@tommyIzito @MorePftUnion @joerogan @marcorubio @ChristopherKMe4 So.... nobody has said "aliens", but Rubio said "aliens"?

And you're saying probably not Russia? So, what then? Aliens?

2020-07-18T15:05:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284504563618156544
@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I think you overestimate the amount of real-world thought that people put into this topic.

2020-07-18T15:04:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284504326069600256
@PostDisclosure What? You think I'm being mean to Corbell?

2020-07-18T15:03:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284504087015260166
@tommyIzito @MorePftUnion @joerogan @marcorubio @ChristopherKMe4 I don't really disagree with it, as written.

I disagree with the spin that it's somehow evidence of something extraordinary, maybe even aliens. I disagree with some of @ChristopherKMe4's interpretation of the evidence as indicating something extraordinary.

2020-07-18T14:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284502954012110849
@tommyIzito @MorePftUnion @joerogan @marcorubio Didn't @ChristopherKMe4 write the UAP language for Rubio?

2020-07-18T14:57:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284502459709190144
It took a year, but @JeremyCorbell finally admits the "aura" around the Gimbal video is not "a pocket of cold air", but is "just an artifact of the FLIR thermal" camera.

Progress! Shame @joerogan didn't notice the flip-flop.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdN3fsoVcAE9lYB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EdN3fsoVcAE9lYB.jpg

2020-07-18T14:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284497838131433473

@GeezerSqueezer @tommyIzito @UFOResearchers @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan Gosh, "a cold object, with no flight surfaces or evidence of propulsion" sounds exactly like a balloon!

2020-07-18T14:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284497652596408320

@tommyIzito @GeezerSqueezer @UFOResearchers @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan What pilot's interpretation are you referring to? The pilots initially probably though it was going fast too. I know I would.

Would they think that later after doing the math? No. I'm sure even Fravor would accept the trig, if he took the time to do it.

2020-07-18T14:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284496659255181313

@GeezerSqueezer @tommyIzito @UFOResearchers @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan You think nobody said that "GOFAST" was going fast?

2020-07-18T14:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284496440434163720

@tommyIzito @UFOResearchers @GeezerSqueezer @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan A hypothesis requiring some thought does not mean it is wrong.

GIMBAL is a glare. The glare obscure the plane. You are just seeing one (very hot) temperature - the jet engine(s)

2020-07-18T14:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284495828069912577

@tommyIzito @GeezerSqueezer @UFOResearchers @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan The FLIR is in ground track mode. It's not expecting something at 13,000 feet. It's a passive (optical) acquisition with manual input. So to the software (and the pilot) it initially LOOKS like something moving very fast.

But the radar range tells a different story.

2020-07-18T14:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284495164010983435

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 I'm not saying "they are balloons". I try to avoid blanket statements.

I think GOFAST is probably a balloon. I think GIMBAL is a jet engine glare. I think FLIR1 (the video, not Fravor's visual or radar) is a distant plane.

None of that is inconsistent with pilot testimony.

2020-07-18T14:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284494110221426691

@tommyIzito @UFOResearchers @GeezerSqueezer @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan A bird at 13,000 feet?

While I don't think a bird is likely, I would not entirely rule it out - especially based on fallacious reasoning.

Corbell talks about a bird's core temperature. But we are not seeing that on FLIR, we are seeing the temperature of the outer feathers.

2020-07-18T14:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284493576227831809

@GeezerSqueezer @UFOResearchers @tommyIzito @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan The GOFAST object is not travelling at extreme speeds. It's got a relative velocity of around 40 mph, maybe less.

It's probably a balloon.

2020-07-18T14:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284491609279619078

@UFOResearchers @tommyIzito @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan @BrandonFugal It they have a supernatural event that is repeatable, then why don't they repeat it? New science! Claim a Nobel prize!

Because they don't, so they can't.

2020-07-18T14:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284491180252606464

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 It's not on a mountain in Colorado. It's over open ocean.

Standard lapse rate is 3.5Â°F per 1000 feet. So at 13,000 feet over the ocean the air is roughly 45Â°F cooler than at sea level.

So even if the air was 80Â°F at sea level, it would be 35Â°F at 13,000 feet.

2020-07-18T13:59:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284488068741730305
@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan @ChristopherKMe4 What data is lacking from my GOFAST analysis?

We have the distance to the object from the plane, the altitude of the plane, and the angle the camera is below horizontal.

From that we can calculate the altitude of the object. No data is missing from that calculation.

2020-07-18T13:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284486514806644736
@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan Some of it is.

2020-07-18T13:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284486364956778496
@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan *adversaries.

Sure, and I think the military is probably both vigilant and forward thinking regarding novel threats.

Are they SUFFICIENTLY vigilant and forward thinking? Well, Rubio should look into that.

2020-07-18T13:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284485634657116160
@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan Can you find one who specifically disagree with my analysis of the GOFAST video, and supplies their own analysis.

To me, the video LOOKS like something low and fast.

But it's not. Even @ChristopherKMe4 agrees with that.

Trigonometry insults nobody.

2020-07-18T13:47:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1284485005767348224
@tommyIzito @ufotodaynetwork @joerogan Investigate random non-repeating supernatural sightings at a ranch in Utah.

I'd consider visiting if there was something that actually repeated there. But even

@BrandonFugal didn't see anything odd there for months.

2020-07-18T13:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284484456246468608

@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan Where did I say that?

Airspace incursions are a very real issue, especially if they are unidentified airspace incursions. Obviously multiple reports of anything like that are things that should be investigated.

That does not change my analysis OF THE VIDEOS.

2020-07-18T13:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284483640479453184

@PotatoRamirez @tommyIzito @joerogan In this video, less than a minute long, they don't immediately identify an object because there's not enough information in what they are seeing. It LOOKS like something low and fast. It's not.

Pilots are not gods.

2020-07-18T13:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284482989653495809

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan It's not JUST balloons. It is drones (both winged and hovering types), conventional aircraft, and potentially novel aerial platforms from other nations.

It's about airspace incursions.

2020-07-18T13:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284482447296495619

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan The radar in this video (GOFAST) was not jammed.

2020-07-18T13:36:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284482288038764545

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan How about a balloon at 13,000 feet?

Watch the video. Don't get sucked in by Corbell's flimflam

2020-07-18T13:30:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284480705884372993

@tommyIzito @PotatoRamirez @joerogan Have you actually seen my GOFAST analysis? "Bird" is not high on the list. My #1 hypothesis is that it's a balloon, with a radar reflector. Corbell disingenuously pushes the "bird" hypothesis because he can't debunk the balloon hypothesis.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2020-07-18T13:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284477051571855360

@PstarmanUa That was pretty funny.

2020-07-18T12:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284472440949583874

Seems like @joerogan is falling back down the rabbit hole. He's even starting conspiracy theories about ME!

For the record, nobody is paying me to debunk anything. Nobody (other than my tiny audience) "wants" me debunk UFOs.

It's just math. https://twitter.com/PotatoRamirez/status/1284242086473105415

2020-07-18T12:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1284470476056563712

@AFSUnidentified @ddeanjohnson The topic here is the "drone" UFO flap. What national security flaw exactly do you think that represented?

If it's that people get can get overly influence by media, and that media often gets things wrong, then you might actually have a point.

2020-07-16T14:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1283767839254392833

@ddeanjohnson The FAA donâ□□t have a time machine. They canâ□□t go back in time and identify every random light in the sky.

Media made people obsess, thatâ□□s all.

2020-07-16T04:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1283621463342280705

@EmilyMaddick My DMs are open.

2020-07-10T17:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281648864018104320

@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth So why did nobody ever make a list of these demolition experts?

If you can find half a dozen, then list them.

2020-07-10T17:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281641363805622272

@tommyIzito @Oswald1160 @ElectroDeeJ @Akam1129 @carland27488094 That's not my thesis. My thesis regarding FLIR1 is that the video shows a distant plane, and that it's demonstrable that the apparent motion is due to loss of lock from camera changes. (see video)

I don't know what Fravor saw.

https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-07-10T17:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281640080877121536
@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth I went to that site, they feature some architects and engineers on the front page, but no demolition experts.

Why not? Surely demolition experts would be the most compelling experts?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EclMu1XUEAAB9Et.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EclMu1XUEAAB9Et.jpg
2020-07-10T14:11:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281591935912706048
@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth So you canâ□□t find three demolition experts who think 9/11 was controlled demolition?

2020-07-10T14:09:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281591284575006720
@mvpispi Sorry, what was the absolute claim I made that you think is wrong?

2020-07-10T04:48:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281450291649380352
@Erwal4 @Akam1129 @AstrobiologyDay @AxelGauthier3 @FemmeBiologique @carland27488094 @OmniTalkRadio @LuisAnnino @MC05A @AndreaSimondini I'm an American citizen, although I was born in the UK.

2020-07-10T04:47:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281449872294440963
@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth Name three demolition experts.

2020-07-09T16:40:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281266978317987841
@mvpispi And you think this all ties into a global satanic pedophile ring? They have a band now?

2020-07-09T14:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1281239480934907905
@mvpispi Can you link to the band logo?

2020-07-09T14:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281238863868878849

@mvpispi The Besta pizza logo represents slices of pizza with some toppings.

2020-07-09T04:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281077759167483905

@mvpispi Why?

2020-07-09T03:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281072436432171009

@mvpispi So, where exactly is the coincidence? There are several spiral triangle logos.

2020-07-09T01:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1281031302347538432

@ElectroDeeJ @Akam1129 @carland27488094 Not enough information.

2020-07-08T22:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280997132472684544

@Akam1129 @carland27488094 I don't hear the Pentagon disagreeing with me about Gimbal. So there's no need to compare credentials.

It's still an unidentified aircraft. But the apparent rotation seems to only be apparent.

2020-07-08T22:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280996302931677184

@FelisUncia @VICE It's one of the more impactful conspiracy theories out there. Causing people worry, wasting their money, and having them get sucked into more extreme "alternative" health perspectives that can be very bad for them.

2020-07-08T22:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280995862831747072

@mvpispi Can you explain what that is, as you understand it?

2020-07-08T19:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280946979715964928

@mvpispi Thatâ□□s it.

2020-07-08T18:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280933451005218818

Vice: My Dad Got Hoaxed By the Anti-5G Conspiracy Movement

https://www.vice.com/en_au/article/wxeb45/my-dad-got-hoaxed-by-the-anti-5g-conspiracy-movement via @vice

---

2020-07-08T18:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280932292861390848

@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth Many? Name three.

Columns buckle when they lose lateral support. This is known.

2020-07-08T17:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280909641363288064

@AlexSte49374492 Not that I really recall. Why do you ask, exactly?

2020-07-08T16:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280909443358547968

@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth The WTC North Tower basically fell against the south side. Then it was on fire for five hours. Some floors collapsed, a column failed, progressive internal collapse (note the penthouse falling early) then buckling of the exterior on the lower floors led to near-freefall collapse.

2020-07-08T14:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280876686297296898

@kNoYorEnemy @NOIResearch @victorykn @AE911Truth It's a fake video. Notice it's flipped frame what we normally see. That's because it's the same video, just flipped, with some effects added.

Explained here, by the guy who made it:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k8VAsoVuShM

2020-07-07T04:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280355845566377984

@cornweaver @MiddleOfMayhem @brianjhanley Itâ□□s assuming it goes behind the hill. It does not.

2020-07-07T04:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280355595183247360

@shmellbee @MiddleOfMayhem @LeifNordh You canâ□□t?

2020-07-07T04:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280355387804270592

@rothschildmd When you closely follow a subject, you gradually feel like itâ□□s common knowledge. Most news topics are not. Conspiracy theories especially not.

2020-07-07T04:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280352028141608963

Right-Wing Media Outlets Duped by a Middle East Propaganda Campaign
https://www.thedailybeast.com/right-wing-media-outlets-duped-by-a-middle-east-propaganda-campaign

---

2020-07-07T01:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280310777992278016

@shmellbee @MiddleOfMayhem @LeifNordh Could you draw a diagram of how you think an ideal experiment would work?

2020-07-07T01:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280310608584298496

@Bhima_Jenkins @LeifNordh @MiddleOfMayhem How can you tell?

2020-07-06T21:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1280246681779331072

@LeifNordh @Santtu234 @MiddleOfMayhem Possible? Well it would have to be a large hypersonic craft with some kind of advance propulsion system, that nobody noticed.

Or, much simpler, a bug.

Both explanations fit the video. One seems vastly more likely to me.

2020-07-06T20:46:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1280241820560027649
@Santtu234 @LeifNordh @MiddleOfMayhem "clearly"??? It's not showing up on camera in some sections because of low relative contrast and high noise.

So it kinda LOOKS like it's going behind the hill. But that does not mean that it is, does it?

2020-07-06T19:33:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1280223262295748608
@MiddleOfMayhem @LeifNordh Yes, it's just showing the last bit of motion - the dramatic zip-by that that's common with these type of drone videos.

I did a bunch of physical experiments.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2020-07-06T19:07:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1280216770071027713
@LeifNordh @MiddleOfMayhem Here's a newer similar video. Static camera, but it's showing how a small object can go "behind" something when it's a similar brightness. People also thought this was a supersonic craft.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fast-moving-object-intersecting-clouds-in-hungarian-youtubers-video-insect.11313/

2020-07-06T19:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1280215948729827328
@LeifNordh @MiddleOfMayhem Math fits a bug, or something of similar size. The apparent motion is mostly from the drone itself.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EcQ9iiJU8AATrBF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EcQ9iiJU8AATrBF.jpg

2020-07-04T13:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1279405636296470528

@drumshticknow Itâ□□s very easy to demonstrate that stars are not Christmas lights.

Itâ□□s impossible to demonstrate the video does not show a distant plane.

2020-07-04T13:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1279404997717798917

@mvpispi Which piece of evidence do you think is strongest? Pick one.

2020-07-04T13:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1279402071976865800

@JeremyCorbell @MissVOCAB @ChrisRiddle121 @AltPopRepeat @karmacakedotca @DaveSFoley @g_knapp @Chieftog @robbiewilliams You have an ACTUAL explanation?

2020-07-03T07:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1278948305611788288

@karmacakedotca @MissVOCAB @JeremyCorbell @ChrisRiddle121 @AltPopRepeat @DaveSFoley @g_knapp @Chieftog @robbiewilliams You think I made stuff up? Like what?

2020-07-01T15:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1278353370256773125

@Kristen13799010 Cloud seeding is real. Small planes, no trails. Done openly since 1950s.

â□□Chemtrailsâ□□ are generally just misunderstood contrails.

Geoengineering (as in SRM) isnâ□□t event at the experimental stage yet.

2020-06-29T12:58:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1277587184791347206

@JLuvin @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell This isnâ□□t an experiment. Itâ□□s a demonstration of a repeatable fact.

2020-06-28T22:58:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1277375789797793792
@HarveyGMcDonald @smiley22432 @itsredactedYT What are you comparing them with? What conclusions are better? Why?

2020-06-27T14:13:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276881273886105600
@e2_hawkeye @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell If you are thinking about replicating GOFAST, then you'd need to account from the object being at 13,000 feet, and being seen with the sea as the backdrop, not the sky.

2020-06-26T17:09:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276563159642304512
@tommyIzito @JeremyCorbell @HarveyGMcDonald @Deepneuron @g_knapp Facts matter.

I'm interesting in is figuring out what the facts are. My mind is not made up, but I've certainly made rough assessments of a variety of hypotheses.

There are some things that are factually inarguable though. Like the fact that the "aura" is a common artifact.

2020-06-26T15:27:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276537599243386880
@HarveyGMcDonald @coenvermeeren @JeremyCorbell No they haven't.

2020-06-26T15:26:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276537254018572289
@JeremyCorbell @HarveyGMcDonald @Deepneuron @g_knapp Jeremy, do you know what the "Straw Man Fallacy" is?

Your continual focus on the "cold bird" idea, and the way you frame it as a hypothermic seagull, is really a classic example.

You're avoid addressing real issues here. What about the FLIR "aura"? What about the GOFAST speed?

2020-06-25T21:18:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276263455893254147
@smiley22432 @itsredactedYT So if someone shares some that's verifiably and demonstrably wrong, then you think I should "just let people believe what they want"?

If some truth is accessible, then do you not want that truth? Do you PREFER to believe things that are false yet fun?

2020-06-25T13:00:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1276138217759051777

@coenvermeeren @HarveyGMcDonald Thatâ□□s why I asked. Do you know who did?

2020-06-25T08:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1276065909342236672

@coenvermeeren @HarveyGMcDonald Hey, didn't you write "Case Orange"?

2020-06-25T06:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1276047081266802692

@HarveyGMcDonald @coenvermeeren Did you find a mistake in my analyses?

My analyses are right there, on my web site and in my videos. You can check them. You can look at ALL the data that I used.

"Reported observations" are a different kettle of fish. They are just people saying what they thought they saw.

2020-06-25T06:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1276045958724243457

@All4LightBearer I'm just saying what I actually think. It's a comment on the hyperbole in Mellon's statement.

I don't want "rain on the parade," but I'm not going to hold back because some people find reality to be less exciting than fantasy.

2020-06-24T21:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275897891433771009

@SimonGr41594862 @DailyMirror Oh, I linked the wrong post before.
http://lochnessmystery.blogspot.com/2020/06/nailing-latest-nessie-photograph.html

Image was found by Jeriah Houghton, the original is at:
https://www.gtgoodtimes.com/2018/04/07/angler-breaks-record-landing-129-kg-giant-catfish-large-shark/

2020-06-24T19:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275876554199797760

@DailyMirror
http://lochnessmystery.blogspot.com/2020/06/is-this-new-photo-of-loch-ness-monster.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EbTS38fUcAAgG7b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EbTS38fUcAAgG7b.jpg
2020-06-24T14:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1275803881671290881
@coenvermeeren That is not what Iâ□□m saying at all.

Even highly trained experts make significant mistakes sometimes.

2020-06-24T04:16:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1275643909692108801
@e2_hawkeye @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @DaveSFoley On the table for what?

Itâ□□s on the table for GOFAST, above aliens.

2020-06-23T23:14:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1275567955300900864
@HarveyGMcDonald Someone who is interested in and enthusiastic about the topic of UFOs.

2020-06-23T21:39:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1275544079187632128
@Berserk97981716 I'm afraid* you are barking up the wrong tree.

*not actually fearfully afraid, just a figure of speech.

2020-06-23T21:00:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1275534198493700097
@AFSUnidentified @BobWhit35617061 @PostDisclosure @vkcodez @Akam1129 There's nothing in my book that's about UFOs.

I'm not really a UFO guy. I just like analyzing videos. People like that, and they also ask my opinion on other things. I enjoy figuring things out.

Aliens, or some advanced technology, would be really cool.

2020-06-23T20:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275533443791613952

@wouilisam @Taxi_sparky99 I'm not negative, I'm realistic. I'm rooting for antigravity.

2020-06-23T20:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275533255895207936

@Berserk97981716 It's a figure of speech. English.

2020-06-23T20:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275533040739995648

@PostDisclosure 5 years, this specific Mellon/Rubio language in the SIC report?
I hesitate because things take time, and it's not clear when the directed report will come out, or if it ever will, or how much of it will be classified.
Ten years, to be safe.

2020-06-23T17:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275488060516397058

UFO Fans excited regarding a UFO reporting directive in Senate Intelligence Committee report.

Sadly I fear this will go the way of other "transformational" moments in UFO history. Years will pass. It will recede in memory. Little will come of it. Almost certainly not aliens.
https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1275469155341414401

2020-06-23T17:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275484446049792000

@tlathtoani @BobWhit35617061 @JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Was PJ talking about the videoed encounter?

2020-06-23T17:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275484115525963777

@AFSUnidentified @BobWhit35617061 @PostDisclosure @vkcodez @Akam1129 I'm really curious about what you think you are referring to. What would be "psychologically devastating" to me?

I'd be super excited if aliens landed. I'd be super interested if someone had invented anti-gravity.

So where's the downside?

2020-06-23T16:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275464809496981504

@tlathtoani @BobWhit35617061 @JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Only one of the videos was from the Nimitz.
And there I don't recall even any anecdotes about any kind of specific electronic support

measure signature from the object in the video (other than the video itself, and the 99.9 RNG)

2020-06-23T16:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275464002814898181

@PostDisclosure @vkcodez @Akam1129 Which argument?

2020-06-23T16:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275459012071309312

@PostDisclosure @vkcodez @Akam1129 What's a fluke? What's a misunderstanding?

You seem to be reading something that isn't there.

2020-06-23T16:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275458743937855489

@tlathtoani @BobWhit35617061 @JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 So this is just something you vaguely remember reading? You don't have any evidence that "all three of those vehicles in those videos have ESM captures"?

2020-06-23T15:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275457743608885249

@BobWhit35617061 @JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure What exactly is going to happen in July?

2020-06-23T15:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275446767639605249

@vkcodez @Akam1129 Wrong about what, exactly?

If you think I'm wrong about something, then quote what I'm wrong about.

OF COURSE the military investigates unidentified flying objects in their airspace.

2020-06-23T15:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275446145435578370

@BobWhit35617061 @JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure Where did you hear about these ESM signatures?

2020-06-23T07:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275330532830638080

@JeremyCorbell @rogerglassel @joerogan @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure Wrong, and wrong.

Why don't you quote me?

2020-06-23T07:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1275330238734462976

@e2_hawkeye @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @DaveSFoley What are you referring to? On the list for what, exactly?

2020-06-21T15:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274721944064016384

@keigh_see @flattenedOA @TheWrongQuest @ninjashoes Two things. Objects (or light) being "visible" vs. details being "resolvable". Details can be too small to see individually (be resolved) at some distance (like leaves on a tree). But you can still see the tree.

A laser pointer is smaller than a leaf, but visible at 10 miles.

2020-06-21T14:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274713442427957250

@PhantomKush @HeyLukOverThere @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch @TxUFOSightings @richarddolan @Aviation_Intel @g_knapp @DaveSFoley @robbiewilliams That ever was not on video. So you canâ□□t add them together to make them more.

2020-06-21T05:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274574910950498307

@keigh_see @TheWrongQuest @flattenedOA @ninjashoes Likely in the context of distant mountains mostly behind the curve.

If the Earth were flat, then you could draw a line to the top of the mountain and the bottom of the mountain. Actually all points on the side facing you.

So why can you see the top but not the bottom?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EbAzCD6VAAAeWS1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EbAy37PUcAAQOi4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EbAzCD6VAAAeWS1.jpg

2020-06-21T05:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274572966307627011

@PhantomKush @HeyLukOverThere @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch @TxUFOSightings @richarddolan @Aviation_Intel @g_knapp @DaveSFoley @robbiewilliams I have never made such a video. I've made lots of videos about the Navy UFO videos though. Try the first one on this playlist.

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2020-06-20T14:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274350504529637378

Is Ancient Aliens racist? https://twitter.com/indyfromspace/status/1274137247521083392

2020-06-19T23:28:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274121968980131841

@LaserStronghold yeah, I was referring to @ShepardAmbellas, who could have just looked it up.

2020-06-19T23:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274121741074182144

@bighorns @TeamTexas8 @DMatwichuk @Captain08173340 Not according to these books.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00&feature=emb_logo

2020-06-19T22:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1274110454797758464

@thieme @Oswald1160 @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @emilio968 @DaveSFoley @PostDisclosure @robbiewilliams There isn't really a way of doing it. There's really only one set of solutions.

Very simple reality check: 25,000 feet is 4.1 NM. The object is 4.3 NM away (RNG). So the only way it works is for the camera to look straight down. But the camera angle is only 27 degrees down.

2020-06-19T14:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273992758324166657
Sunset rainbow confuses conspiracist.
https://twitter.com/ShepardAmbellas/status/1273572577206829057

2020-06-19T14:49:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273991281170018304
@Greensheet6 I'm not rich. I'm retired.

2020-06-19T14:48:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273991075485503489
@tommyIzito So, bait?

:)

2020-06-19T14:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273990620751659008
@TxUFOSightings I would report what I see, and what I measure, and what people tell me, as accurately as possible.

2020-06-19T14:45:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273990248762978315
@Jay09784691 @StevenM10657487 @BrandonFugal Has anyone every camped there before? What happened?

We're going for repeatable things here. And not repeatable as in "attacked by a pack of feral dogs" repeatable (dramatic, but not scientifically unusual)

2020-06-19T14:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273988448924270592
Someone set up a GoFundMe to pay for me to visit Skinwalker Ranch, a site of claimed supernatural, UFO, and other odd occurrences.

True believers often try to convert skeptical investigators to their beliefs, thinking they will be valuable allies. Possible, but unlikely.
https://twitter.com/physicsufo/status/1273917796515225602

2020-06-19T14:29:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273986224546435072
@ElectroDeeJ @tommyIzito Programmers generally get paid to debug other people's code.

2020-06-19T14:28:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273985924179750912
@BobWhit35617061 @Grendel38389266 @StevenM10657487 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal I leave my garage all the time. I've been to a chemtrail conference, I've spoken at a Flat Earth conference, and I'm sure you've seen some of my outdoor videos.
I just don't like wasting time and money.

2020-06-19T05:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273845363661664257
@StevenM10657487 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal Unfortunately, based on what I know so far, and what Brandon said, the mostly likely outcome from a day or two at the ranch is that nothing happens.

Still the overall experience would be interesting.

2020-06-19T03:42:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273823418324938753
@BrandonFugal @JLuvin @UfoWatchdog Repeatable? Testable?

2020-06-19T03:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273822973665751042
@UfoWatchdog @taynia @BrandonFugal When I have the time. And assuming thereâ□□s an agreed-upon purpose for the trip.

2020-06-18T19:58:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273706620971704320
@StevenM10657487 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal Science like what, specifically?

2020-06-18T19:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273695284774572032
@StevenM10657487 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal That funding page is wishful thinking. Iâ□□d be surprised if it gets any contributions.

2020-06-18T16:23:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273652665138864133
@emilio968 @thieme @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I use a process I call "Occamic Ranking", where I keep a list of hypotheses, ranked by what I think is the most plausible. I adjust this list based on new information and analysis. I don't claim that the top hypothesis is the correct one, just that it seems most plausible.

2020-06-18T15:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273646525487304706
@JLuvin @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal They why didn't the show attempt to repeat it?

I mean, if you can actually repeat a paranormal (or new-to-science) phenomena, then, @BrandonFugal, WHY DON'T YOU DO IT?

I think the reality here is that there's nothing repeatable.

2020-06-18T15:57:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273645964885028865
@mesolithicguy @ElectroDeeJ @Fiezl289 I'm not sold on any one hypothesis. I don't think there's even really any good ones. It's a puzzle.

But I wouldn't blithely throw things off the table just because they seem a bit improbable.

2020-06-18T15:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273645464278036480

@StevenM10657487 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal What do I have to lose? At least 3 days of my time and around $2,000 for travel and lodging.

2020-06-18T15:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273645094256558081

Not sure about "world's #1 expert", but I do spend a lot of time with conspiracy theories. I also have a book "Escaping the Rabbit Hole", just released in Paperback!

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510755772/

https://t.co/NxY8qeKHcA

2020-06-18T15:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273644610766557189

@BobWhit35617061 @UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal Fear is not a motivator here. It's the time, expense, and the likelihood of nothing happening.

Try memeing "busy, thrifty, pragmatic Mick"

2020-06-18T15:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273643672681111552

@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell Ah, see above link.

I thought it was some obscure wavelength terminology :) like y mm

---

2020-06-18T15:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273642918910128128

@thieme @emilio968 @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I do, but don't really have the time right now.

Have you read through this thread? It from two years ago, but still valid.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/

2020-06-18T06:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273504033920020480

@UfoWatchdog @BrandonFugal Sure, but investigate WHAT?

There's nothing repeatable. Should I go there and hope something random happens?

Nothing happened to Brandon for weeks.

2020-06-18T06:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273498887282515974

@tommyIzito Yeah, nobody is going to donate to that.

2020-06-18T06:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273498749591973890
@Berserk97981716 @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell Isn't it interesting?

It was in response to something I was discussing with Dave Falch. Old research I did for the Chilean case, somewhat related to the GOFAST case.

2020-06-18T06:08:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273497816720011264
@Berserk97981716 @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell I'm not trying to debunk anything with this example.

2020-06-18T06:07:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273497553531617280
@tommyIzito @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell What's ymm?

Its a FLIR ONE Gen 2.
https://www.tequipment.net/FLIR/One-i6-ios/Building-and-Industrial-Thermal-Imagers/#description

---

2020-06-18T03:36:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273459499534135297
@Fiezl289 More like an optical illusion. Youâ□□ve seen those, right?

2020-06-17T21:24:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273365958938079238
@thustweetedmike So how do you count the size of the serious UFO community? The 81K people who follow @mufon? The 188K who follow Greer? The 11K who follow @PostDisclosure? The 22K who follow @JeremyCorbell?

Or maybe the 206K who follow Ancient Aliens?

2020-06-17T21:16:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273363968212340736
@Fiezl289 Just your regular loss of situational awareness combined with a near featureless object of unknown size, and hence distance and motion.

2020-06-17T21:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273361591879135232
@waitbutwhy Nah, Resistance (Ohms) is a simple force. it limits all electrons equally. Skepticism is a refining force which tries to let the good electrons (evidence-based facts) through, while holding up or rejecting the bad electrons (faulty claims).

Conviction look right though :)

2020-06-17T21:01:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1273360112275451904

@Fiezl289 No

2020-06-17T18:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273321927013089280

@thustweetedmike But we were both using the #ufotwitter hashtag.

Maybe you have a disproportionate sense of how big the serious UFO community is.

2020-06-17T17:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273313128671936512

@zcichy So what accounts do they follow?

2020-06-17T17:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273312978088062977

@Fiezl289 Analyzing UFO videos is fun. And itâ□□s interesting figuring out WHY people believe what they do.

2020-06-17T17:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273312548348063744

@thustweetedmike @ufouapam @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer @ContactTour1 Good question.

2020-06-17T17:27:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273306302807044096

How big is #UFOTwitter? How does one measure such a thing?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EauxS1JUwAAa-oV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EauxS1JUwAAa-oV.jpg

2020-06-17T17:19:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273304379592867840

@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal It would cost at least $1,000. I'm not going to drive there.

Why would I spend that?

2020-06-17T17:18:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273304083848294400

@JLuvin @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell It's auto-calibrating. It's as accurate as a standard laser pointer thermometer.

The sky just reads really cold. So even ice (and ice clouds) can seem "hot" against it. Ice here is 8Â°F , sky (slightly hazy) is -4Â°F, so the ice is warmer than the apparent temp of the sky.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eauu9iYUEAAiGpU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eauu9iYUEAAiGpU.jpg

2020-06-17T17:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273302831357820928

@thustweetedmike @ufouapam @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer @ContactTour1 Shouldn't someone?

2020-06-17T16:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273294656592199680

@charliedeets @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell The camera adjust the image for the overall scene contrast, just like a regular camera. The temperatures measured should not really change though.

2020-06-17T16:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273294403797266432

@charliedeets @DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell It's measuring incoming thermal radiation (Long Wave Infrared with this camera, I think). The "sky" isn't a a solid object, it's not really radiating heat. The "temperature" of the sky is only an apparent temperature. The air along the line of sight is lots of different temps.

2020-06-17T16:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273293378071826432

@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal Well if he's offering to pay my expenses I might consider it. But it seems like a bit of a crapshoot regarding when "phenomena" show up.

2020-06-17T16:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273284537317879808

@ContactTour1 @ufouapam @thustweetedmike @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer It seems quite reasonable to be skeptical that one can summon aliens by meditating around a camp fire.

Such an extraordinary claim requires strong evidence. Lacking that, it also casts suspicion on related claims by the same people.

This is not an immutable position though.

2020-06-17T15:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273283663329849346

What "temperature" is the "sky"? Depends where you point your thermal camera, and how hazy it is. Near a slight hazy horizon it's 30Â°F, in deeper blue sky it's -60Â°F (so even ice looks "hot" agains it). Ambient air temperature is 70Â°F.

@DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1273282613734588417/pu/img/fgq70PyWCZqcsz65.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1273282613734588417/pu/img/fgq70PyWCZqcsz65.jpg

2020-06-17T15:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273282466430586885

@ContactTour1 @ufouapam @thustweetedmike @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer Those platforms tend to list everything, regardless of popularity. They are mostly SEO honeytraps. You need comparative figures with, say, Tiger King, or suchlike.

2020-06-17T15:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273273979071901697

@DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure What does your FLIR1 read when you point it up into a blue sky at a steep angle, like over 45 degrees (angle)

2020-06-17T15:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273273384625831936

@ufouapam @thustweetedmike @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer @ContactTour1 Heâ□□s claim hundreds of millions of views from piracy. Nobody else uses piracy figures so prominently.

Could be wishful thinking, or a mistake, or a misrepresentation, or maybe true. But how would we know?

He appeals to #ufotwitter, which is a few hundred people. Odd.

2020-06-17T15:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273271453899567104

@skyumpix @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Thatâ□□s an impressive straw man, seeing as the actual theory is just a stray weather balloon drifting in the wind at 13,000 feet.

2020-06-17T15:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273270955544948737

@emilio968 @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Creating and testing hypotheses is a foundational activity in science.

With low information and non-repeating phenomena itâ□□s harder to test, but we can still see what fits best. And what fits next best.

2020-06-17T15:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273269515703353344

@thustweetedmike @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer @ContactTour1 Iâ□□m highly skeptical of your figures. Please show some evidence.

2020-06-17T05:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273120653714096128

@thustweetedmike @PostDisclosure @DrStevenGreer @ContactTour1 How did you figure "hundreds of millions"?

2020-06-17T05:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273119962945720320

@DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Again though, it's not that relevant

what they look like against the sky, it's what they look like against water, when they are at 13,000 feet.

2020-06-17T05:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1273119381745291264

@DaveFalch @DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Interesting afterimage it leaves there. What type of camera is that exactly? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EasHRGVXgAE_uXP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EasHRGVXgAE_uXP.jpg

2020-06-16T14:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272899803144388610

@19Nimbus @Oswald1160 @mesolithicguy Highly trained people make mistakes too.

2020-06-15T21:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272643015874539520

@HarveyGMcDonald So how would you accurately rephrase the quote?

2020-06-15T14:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272536966911766528

@bigpitty76 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I could very easily be wrong. I often make mistakes. But I keep refining.

2020-06-15T14:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272536555425390594

@19Nimbus @mesolithicguy Well, the video and photos donâ□□t show anything interesting. Just a bright light at an indeterminate distance. So my first thought is a distant white light, with misjudged distance.

2020-06-15T02:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272353849043349504

@HarveyGMcDonald I'm not quoting him as an expert, or some kind of authority on reality. I'm quoting him because what he said is true and well-put.

2020-06-14T14:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1272181724730941441
@DaveSFoley @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Jeremy refuted nothing. GOFAST is still not going fast. Itâ□□s still possibly a bird, although a balloon seem much more likely. Why doesnâ□□t Jeremy refute the stronger hypothesis? Why focus on birds?

And what 10 elements of GOFAST are you referring to? The video is all there is.

2020-06-13T16:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1271838406134206465
@tabbysstar @ufobelievers @TheUndeadGaucho @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Meh. You should see what I take over "those are just contrails", or "the towers collapsed because they were hit by planes", of "Sandy Hook wasn't a plot to take your guns", or "the Earth is a globe" etc.

UFOlogy is mostly harmless. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ6EdzUMAINMKZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ6EdzUMAINMKZ.jpg
2020-06-13T16:09:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1271837052900786176
I really wish that Thomas Paine had added "or make a mistake" to "a man should tell a lie" in his analysis of miracles - because then it would be the perfect quote to apply when considering supernatural experiences, UFOS, and other extraordinary claims.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ4UrUVAAAxaeo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ4UrUVAAAxaeo.jpg
2020-06-13T16:05:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1271836147858063360
@TenoriThrowaway @monsieur_legris @ExoAcademian @JeremyCorbell No. But if you have a really good example, then I'd be happy to take a look.

There's plenty that are hard to explain because they lack information. But that does not mean a paranormal explanation is then the most likely. People get things wrong, this is known.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ4N6vUYAAZ0Y3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZ4N6vUYAAZ0Y3.jpg
2020-06-13T15:39:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1271829671890444289
@monsieur_legris @ExoAcademian @JeremyCorbell Maybe Jeremy was fooled by all the "seagull" jokes into thinking I was just dismissing everything as seagulls.
"Bird" was my first thought for GOFAST in 2018, but issues like temperature were immediate raised, making "balloon" rise to the top of the list.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/post-220034 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZxopWU4AAGTg2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaZxopWU4AAGTg2.jpg

2020-06-13T04:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271655238517153794

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Yes please.

2020-06-13T04:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271654912489697280

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I agree. Itâ□□s colder (on average) than the ocean. Itâ□□s at 13,000 feet. Its surface is cold.

2020-06-13T03:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271644017885712384

RT @steven_dor: @MickWest No offence meant, hope you are well Mick!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaXGR7UU8AEta91.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaXGR7UU8AEta91.jpg

2020-06-13T02:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271627931945558017

@zcichy @JeremyCorbell @joerogan @DaveSFoley @LtTimMcMillan @BrandonFugal Works for me.

2020-06-13T01:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271616811230851072

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaWwtizUYAE7xG1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EaWwtizUYAE7xG1.jpg

2020-06-13T01:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271615097769615360

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Against a clear blue sky. Not clouds.

2020-06-12T23:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271588506679209986

@DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Everything appears hot against the sky, even ice.

Question is, how would a bird at 13,000 feet appear against the ocean?

I don't think a bird is very likely, but I don't takes things off the list either.

2020-06-12T23:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271587883913117701

@JeremyCorbell @joerogan @DaveSFoley What a bizarre collection of non-sequiturs.

"Bird" is my #2 theory for GOFAST, with "Balloon" being #1. You should know this.

You should also know that Fravor has zero to do with GOFAST, and that the temperature of interest is the feathers at 13K feet, not body temperature.

2020-06-12T01:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271255975442264065

@Fibonacci222 @JordanHarbinger Late 1950s to early 1960s. Gained some popularity in 1963. None from that FBI memo you might have heard about. More after RFK.

2020-06-12T00:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271233054544064512

@UfoJoe11 @Gibson34312773 @HermanAllmaras Very low on the list. But, sure.

2020-06-12T00:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271232888113999872

@HermanAllmaras @UfoJoe11 Looks like the same kind of parallax.

2020-06-12T00:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271232611327676416

@Fibonacci222 @JordanHarbinger Sure, thereâ□□s a whole chapter in the book on that topic.

2020-06-12T00:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271232330250641409

@Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11 @HermanAllmaras Bird, drone, plane, exotic craft.

2020-06-11T21:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271199352589922305

@UfoJoe11 @HermanAllmaras Iâ□□m more like 90% balloon though

2020-06-11T21:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271198890839007232

@UfoJoe11 @HermanAllmaras More likely a balloon. But bird is possible.

2020-06-11T18:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1271140437214900224

I had a great discussion with @JordanHarbinger, on his show, about conspiracy theories, why people believe them, and what we can do to help people who have fallen (or might fall) down the rabbit hole. https://twitter.com/JordanHarbinger/status/1271091331377618950

2020-06-09T17:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1270412386357239808

@GEIST_VIEW @try_thinking @sly_sparkane @HuffordShawn @SurveyorMaine @rawlinson92 @poeticbulldozer @RunningHippo @badibulgator @ColdDimSum @DickheadTucker @Harley_Dogg @sfinn80 @FlatSlugbrains @keigh_see @doc_lamb @Tinman_73 @maxsec @CollinOctantis @UnCastellsMes @AaronBurrell23 @DestroyFE @GerbusJames @lookscurvedtome @wiguy45 @whoopsitsflat @Mcscorpio81 @hugh_bothwell @ResistanceBlue1 @tbragsdale @GrumFromNorwich @FE_FORTS @PeterLinnMusic @stopAnnoyinDave @DonnyWarner2 @jesse_kozlowski @bevcrates @dwolfman54 @Mctoon27 @DeanKo @Shaved_Beav @Kompromat9 @mikeonthebayou @GodKingNobody @MrReali05459432 @USMCNoggin @JBadass408 @BostonsBuddha @floyd_marston @citizenscienti3 And if you are just confused about the definition of "perpendicular" you can certainly have a line that's perpendicular to a curve. It just applies to individual point. Limited to straight lines, it's perpendicular to the tangent at that point.

https://www.google.com/search?q=perpendicular+to+a+curve

2020-06-09T17:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1270411664148422656

@GEIST_VIEW @try_thinking @sly_sparkane @HuffordShawn @SurveyorMaine @rawlinson92

@poeticbulldozer @RunningHippo @badibulgator @ColdDimSum @DickheadTucker @Harley_Dogg @sfinn80 @FlatSlugbrains @keigh_see @doc_lamb @Tinman_73 @maxsec @CollinOctantis @UnCastellsMes @AaronBurrell23 @DestroyFE @GerbusJames @lookscurvedtome @wiguy45 @whoopsitsflat @Mcscorpio81 @hugh_bothwell @ResistanceBlue1 @tbragsdale @GrumFromNorwich @FE_FORTS @PeterLinnMusic @stopAnnoyinDave @DonnyWarner2 @jesse_kozlowski @bevcrates @dwolfman54 @Mctoon27 @DeanKo @Shaved_Beav @Kompromat9 @mikeonthebayou @GodKingNobody @MrReali05459432 @USMCNoggin @JBadass408 @BostonsBuddha @floyd_marston @citizenscienti3 I'm a big fan of Euclid's Elements. Geometry is one of the foundations of both video games (3D graphics) and photo/video analysis.
If you are going to quote Euclid as some source regarding Flat Earth, then surely the better work would be Phaenomena.
https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Extras/Euclid_on_astronomy/

2020-06-07T19:32:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1269713900049625088
@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal Travis is perfectly free to explain how his doctor determined it was radiation burns.

Sunburn is a radiation burn. Maybe he just missed. spot when applying sunscreen.

2020-06-07T17:24:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1269681725195476992
@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal I don't hear this doctor talking. I see what looks like abrasions. Is it too much to ask HOW it was determined they were radiation burns? What tests were performed? What exactly did the doctor say?

2020-06-07T16:57:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1269674960294825984
@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal And who is paying for this trip? Why would anyone pay for this trip?

2020-06-07T16:56:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1269674696531832832
@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal Data? I can get data in my field here. Since there's nothing repeatable at the ranch, then what data would I get?

If they have data, then they should show that data.

2020-06-07T16:54:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1269674083148414976
@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal What's to debunk? Tom has something wrong with his scalp. Travis had a red mark on his hand that look exactly like a small friction burn (scrape), like you might get when hefting around a large piece of concrete.
So HOW do you confirm that's a radiation burn?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZ7JpuLU8AEVNCe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZ7JpuLU8AEVNCe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZ7IBTMUYAEUUH1.jpg

2020-06-07T16:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1269668420217266176

@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal Sure. But it's not like one can just pop round have have a supernatural experience. I'm busy. Travel there is tedious and expensive. As Brandon agrees, there's nothing there that can be repeatable demonstrated or tested. What would I do there? What exactly are you envisaging?

2020-06-05T18:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268974217149550592

No, it does not appear that pallets of bricks were strategically placed at US protest sites? https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/pallets-of-bricks-protest-sites/ via @snopes

---

2020-06-05T05:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268772257137979392

@ry74816189 I don't think so.

2020-06-04T04:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268398184939704320

Good times. Back when I nearly landed a kickflip!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZpBTk_UMAYYjIC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZpBTk_UMAYYjIC.jpg

2020-06-03T21:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268295135537672192

@ufo_classes @BrandonFugal No, there's a difference between shutter speed and frame rate. Here it was 1/15th of a second between frames, but as it was bright sunlight, the exposure was a fraction of a second, maybe 1/1000th or so. So flies look like a series of snapshots. Here's an actual example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZnjq3VUwAAbd2S.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZnjq3VUwAAbd2S.jpg

2020-06-03T21:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268294575182897153

@Oswald1160 @ScottBi44157050 @Berserk97981716 @BrandonFugal It's not in focus. It looks like other flies in comparable footage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r8ROxXGXKw

2020-06-03T19:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268261950951002112

@uelbus @OculusQ @BrandonFugal If it's the only blob you've got, then you have to make the best of it.

I'd put $20 on there being quite a few more flies on the full surveillance camera footage. They are quite common everywhere. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZnFciqU8AAu0i-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZnFciqU8AAu0i-.jpg

2020-06-03T18:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268247868499881985

@ScottBi44157050 @Berserk97981716 @BrandonFugal It's a fun diversion.

2020-06-03T17:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268237969757859841

@Berserk97981716 @ScottBi44157050 @BrandonFugal It's gone. IN their image there's brownish-grey aura that looks like the Gimbal aura.
In the other image they show, there's basically nothing.

So where did their aura come from? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmvqvsU8AAcfM5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmvqvsU8AAcfM5.jpg

2020-06-03T17:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268235950649303041

@Berserk97981716 @ScottBi44157050 @BrandonFugal You think there's more than around these leaves? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmt1KKUEAACPvb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmt1KKUEAACPvb.jpg

2020-06-03T17:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268235482577514496

@ScottBi44157050 @Berserk97981716 @BrandonFugal Yeah, well I'm talking about the fly.

2020-06-03T17:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268235321835020289

"These things come together at a point" says Travis, inaccurately, and while pointing to exactly what you'd expect anywhere in the world from mapping the surface normals of a cross-section across a valley.

@BrandonFugal, it feels like someone's being flimflammed here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmsRUPU0AAThiw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmsRUPU0AAThiw.jpg

2020-06-03T17:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268234131860672514

@ScottBi44157050 @Berserk97981716 @BrandonFugal There is no IR. They just invert the crop of a still from color video.

2020-06-03T17:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268233708017836033

@Berserk97981716 @ScottBi44157050 @BrandonFugal Didn't you see the second tweet where I talk about the sharpening artifact?

But my initial claim is that the aura they show looks fake. Like it's edited in. Would need to see the raw video footage to be sure.

2020-06-03T17:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268232189147140097

@Berserk97981716 @ScottBi44157050 @BrandonFugal There's an aura around this fence.

And everything. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmqU8FUMAIWoro.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmqU8FUMAIWoro.jpg

2020-06-03T15:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268205214714048513

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @BrandonFugal I'd be happy to be proven wrong. Perhaps we could all see the original raw surveillance video. Wha we see is cropped from this wider angle video. As much of this original video as possible would resolve matters.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmRjqdVAAMVPHM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmRjqdVAAMVPHM.jpg

2020-06-03T15:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268203840433647616

@BrandonFugal And no, it does not come back if you boost the contrast. The only "halo" there is a small amount of edge sharpening, exactly like in in the trees below.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmQTRZUcAAIwJR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmQTRZUcAAIwJR.jpg

2020-06-03T15:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268203024930844672

In a weak finale to The Secret Of Skinwalker Ranch (History Channel), they attempt to link what looks like a fly to the Navy UFO videos by claiming there's a "halo" around it. And it kind of looks like they faked that because when they zoom in later, it's gone.

@BrandonFugal http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmPG6tUYAEIaWa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZmPG6tUYAEIaWa.jpg

2020-06-03T05:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1268052019173965829

@wanderinganimal @UlfMartinsson1 @Loggerhead_ @DanPeacock12 @lbox327 @uppvaknandet @Anon__Truther @Surfhempster @allycl17 @LuvsShinyObjs @bonlives68

@EdwardGheer @iamlisakirk @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @gcb910 @MyFellowAmeric1 @paniela100 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @five4life @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @MichaelBringsli @CharismaAlasta2 @BFrownfelterND @MollyGalt @AshleyMcBride3 @kirkwoodjones @Louie16472283 @Wallace_Noll @Jomc20 @KathyNi93017255 @Rapunzel_39 @LauraWanek @OurSpraying @GPanderino @graydaygamer @Jo_Ozymandias @luvjbm @aurora_c__ @bendercock @bbusa617 @DanScavino What date would you say this didn't happen before?

2020-06-03T00:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1267978864300396546

@Hanrealistic No, just need to conform to the posting guidelines.

2020-06-01T05:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1267329934940270593

The (now suspended) â-ANTIFA Americaâ- @ANTIFA_US account appears to be a throwaway account created days ago just for these inflammatory posts. Who is behind it?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZZ1ApJVAAYc6Sn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZZ1ApJVAAYc6Sn.jpg

2020-06-01T04:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1267318420212596736

RT @gintarazimu: Seeing people reacting to Twitter suspending @Antifa_US. Don't fall for the bait, it's a fake account. The wayback machineâ¦

2020-05-31T16:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1267136179113062401

@BrandonFugal @webidextrous @UfoJoe11 @sonya_serna @AetherealGirl @PostDisclosure @ThomasWinterton https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5eaStZfEolE

2020-05-30T23:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266867568729485312

@UfoAntifa @YoungGun8140 @PostDisclosure @BrandonFugal There are plenty of people doing

that.

2020-05-30T23:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266867446457098240

@IBKING46 @BrandonFugal I do. But something can be unsolved AND have a list of possible explanations.

2020-05-30T17:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266787075115806720

@TRandallizer @JoeMoonjoey @BrandonFugal The hardest bug I ever fixed happened about once a week. Impossible to repeat initially, I eventually made it happen more often and weeks later discovered a bizarre DMA+Instruction Pipeline+Interrupt+Cache coincidence as the cause. Victory!

But it would not have made good TV :)

2020-05-30T16:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266775314375471104

And by ten, I mean twelve. :)

2020-05-30T16:55:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266775206892154880

Lots of news. Lots of news sites. Tired of cycling through them to see what's new and how the sites differ? Read 12 at once with this trick:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRPh1CsvRI4

2020-05-30T16:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266774205648887808

I follow ten news sites simultaneously. It's a rare day when they all headline the same story. It's an indicator of the significance of the George Floyd situation that they did so today. Almost entirely displacing coronavirus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZR7srXUEAAe6DO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZR7srXUEAAe6DO.jpg

2020-05-30T16:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266771633148051456

@SkinwalkerRyan They say that about everything.
https://twitter.com/CIA/status/474971393852182528

2020-05-30T15:56:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266760470771335168
@TRandallizer @JoeMoonjoey @BrandonFugal The problem there is a straight doc, even with good science, needs an interesting subject of investigation. The lack of repeatable phenomena is a real problem there. How do you investigate random events?

2020-05-30T03:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266565349685649410
@ufotodaynetwork "Black Tech" seems the most plausible (out of three implausible options)

They don't really need black tech though.

2020-05-30T02:57:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266564242653671425
@starapparatus @BrandonFugal Well, that is partly based on how we were just talking about it. So it was a continuation of a prior discussion. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5eaStZfEolE

2020-05-30T02:48:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266562070197055488
@BrandonFugal Podcast Web Page:
https://www.tftrh.com/2020/05/29/episode-45-brandon-fugal-the-owner-of-skinwalker-ranch/

Apple Podcast:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-45-brandon-fugal-the-owner-of-skinwalker-ranch/id1462120258?i=1000476228836

2020-05-30T02:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266561897282732032
In #TFTRH Episode 45, I interview @BrandonFugal about how he came to own Skinwalker Ranch, his unusual experiences there, the issues around the History Channel show, and his plans for the future.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5eaStZfEolE

2020-05-30T02:43:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266560922987855872
@PostDisclosure @YoungGun8140 @BrandonFugal And done:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5eaStZfEolE

2020-05-30T02:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266560362691751936
@PostDisclosure @YoungGun8140 @BrandonFugal It uploaded. Just processing on YouTube

Available as podcast though:

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-45-brandon-fugal-the-owner-of-skinwalker-ranch/id1462120258?i=1000476228836

https://www.tftrh.com/2020/05/29/episode-45-brandon-fugal-the-owner-of-skinwalker-ranch/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZO5d6wUEAAHq-3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZO5d6wUEAAHq-3.jpg
2020-05-30T01:26:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266541571257692160
@BrandonFugal I hear you. But in this one photo it really looks like a plane. Sometimes photos and eyewitness accounts diverge over time.
Also It does not really look illuminated.
Could you send me the original file?

2020-05-29T18:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266431056384679937
@putinsbotox Aha, so likely Cobham Aviation's fleet of Dassault Falcons.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZNETQnUEAAf2Lq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZNETQnUEAAf2Lq.jpg

2020-05-29T17:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266424374665465856

An odd sighting off the coast near Middlesborough, UK. Did these four planes leave these curved contrails? What were they doing?

https://twitter.com/ArronKendall2/status/1265963823523078144

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZM-NxAU8AA7rWd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZM-NxAU8AA7rWd.jpg

2020-05-29T17:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266420690749501445

@YoungGun8140 @BrandonFugal Sometime this evening.

2020-05-29T16:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266400116606263297

@BrandonFugal Also a plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMnuW3UEAAcFII.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMnuW3UEAAcFII.jpg

2020-05-29T16:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266399436252438533

@BrandonFugal And this one looks rather like a plane. People often underestimate just how far away planes are when looking at ADS-B data.

It would be interesting to see the original images.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMnAzbU4AE5IZj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMnAzbU4AE5IZj.jpg

2020-05-29T15:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266398759157547009

I was just watching "Hunt for the Skinwalker" in preparation for my chat with @BrandonFugal later today when I saw this photo being shown.

I've got to say it kind of looks like another fly. Or maybe a bird.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMmP9fUMAAe8M0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMmP9fUMAAe8M0.jpg
2020-05-29T14:36:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266377921519742977
Gab labels me "Fake News" for sharing their blog post.

I actually support Gab in their criticism of Trump's attacks on social media platforms.

I said "alt-right haven" because that's how they are labeled elsewhere. But, as they note, they are a haven for other people too. https://twitter.com/SmashIdols/status/1266372279463604224

2020-05-29T14:32:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266376864194424839
@klimaaterkenner My point is that Trump has gone too far when Gab criticizes him.
Gab views Twitter, Facebook, and Reddit as a "Enemy Platforms", so you'd think they would be happy when Trump attacks them.
But what Trump is threatening doing affects Gab just as much, if not more.

2020-05-29T14:26:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266375434372964354
@getongab I put "alt-right haven" in quotes. I think you are essentially libertarians, and that the you draw the censorship line at a different place to other people. You don't allow pornography, but you do allow racism.
https://gab.com/about/tos http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMRCUcVAAI8gFg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMRCUcVAAI8gFg.jpg

2020-05-29T14:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1266371244523282440

Even the "alt-right haven" Twitter alternative Gab is pushing back at Trump's threats against social media. And with good reason, the weakening of CDA 230 would threaten their existence, probably even more than Twitter's.

https://news.gab.com/2019/05/28/dont-get-excited-about-the-white-houses-social-media-censorship-executive-order/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMNVbTUEA0yYCE.jpg

GAB NEWS

Tech, Privacy, and Free Speech News

≡ Menu



MAY 28, 2019 BY ANDREW TORBA

Don’t Get Excited About The White House’s Social Media Censorship Executive Order

Share This Post On Enemy Platforms

Share Tweet Reddit

According to reports the White House has a drafted an executive order to address social media censorship by targeting Section 230 of the CDA. If reporting on the current draft is correct then this is bad, very bad. It’s easy to get excited that action is finally being taken against the Silicon Valley oligarchs, but this isn’t the right solution. At all.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EZMNVbTUEA0yYCE.jpg
2020-05-29T13:42:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1266364248789094403
@GaryVoorhis @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @tlathtoani Which ones were "just wrong?"

2020-05-28T02:40:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265835393443721216
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @tlathtoani "Extremely unlikely" is a very subjective assessment. I don't think it's as unlikely as people suggest. Equipment has glitches, people get excited, people make mistakes, people take videos of things like Venus or distant planes. These things happen.

2020-05-28T01:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1265820603493179394
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @alejandrotrojas Works for me!

2020-05-28T01:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265816879798513664
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @alejandrotrojas I didn't really argue Nimitz "was likely not a drone" - it's possible there was a conventional drone being filmed in the video. Maybe even some other sightings. I more think it's unlikely to have been some kind of physics-defying craft.

2020-05-27T15:15:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265662796332544001
@tlathtoani DM me.

2020-05-27T15:03:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265659865256484865
@tlathtoani If you want a quick chat/video now, I can mainline my current thinking directly to you.

2020-05-27T15:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265659100647448576
@tlathtoani In short form, this video is most up to date.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-05-27T14:58:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265658697696440321
@UFOA3 Debunking is identifying and removing false claims. "Something you witness with your own eyes" does not fit UNLESS you made a mistake in interpreting what you saw.

There's often a describable difference between objective reality and subjective experiences of that reality.

2020-05-26T21:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265393463928881152
@paulsanderson @JunoWalker @dave_beaty Or of it were a single engine jet. Or two engines close together.

2020-05-26T18:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265354282196365312
@TOWIWoolwich @flightradar24 That's only dark because it's the SHADOW of a contrail. It's lined up with the sun, so you are seeing a slab of shadow edge on.
Contrails are mostly water from the atmosphere, the same as other clouds.

2020-05-26T15:24:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265302798222143488
Does debunking work? It can take a while, and sometimes it can see like you are going backwards. But yes, it does work. Ultimately people DO value facts, even if they are initially resistant.

And the people you reach might not be ones you are arguing with.
https://twitter.com/CaulfieldTim/status/1265283443396501504

2020-05-26T15:18:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265301239274762242
After I interviewed @CultExpert Steven Hassan last week, he interviewed me about the Escaping the Rabbit Hole approach.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B41kXig0jHc

2020-05-26T15:01:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265296893908668417
@PotatoRamirez Not really, but there's many articles elsewhere.

I did chat to one guest about them as part of a larger discussion.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J9KQUQxyxv0&feature=emb_logo

2020-05-26T05:11:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265148493577850882
@DaMcLuv @elmanuboy Merci!

2020-05-26T05:09:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265147931809611777
@TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom Well, you said "Normally" - but based on what? And what's the cut-of point of kerfuffleness where it needs a hearing?

What are you basing your statements on?

2020-05-26T05:05:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1265147088959975426
@Oswald1160 @ColonelQuestion @BadAstronomer Sure, but there some (fringe) work on gravity as a fluid.
https://arxiv.org/pdf/1402.4859.pdf

It observations don't match the theory ....

2020-05-25T18:02:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264980131753291778
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Heck no. Iâ□□d totally reform the entire military, and government, and society. Things can always be better. I just donâ□□t think this UFO thing is as pivotal as you suggest.

2020-05-25T17:33:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264972970126045184
@TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom Could you perhaps give an

example of a kerfuffle which was handled by a staffer and a military liaison?

2020-05-25T17:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264972454847377408

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron You think the military knows about advances foreign capabilities, but are not bothering to keep up?

You think they don't report illegal activity to the police?

2020-05-25T17:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264969989066387457

@TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron And how do you know this?

2020-05-25T17:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264969659511521280

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I really don''t think that the Navy not sharing their classified analysis of three UFO videos based on media attention somehow translates to a broad failure of oversight and accountability for them, or to eventually losing a war.

You're hitching a wagon to the wrong horse here.

2020-05-25T17:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264967443543232513

@TenoriThrowaway @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Because mundane unidentified objects can kill.

https://youtu.be/isVtXH7n9ll?t=28

2020-05-25T17:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264966842373689344

@PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Because I THINK those are the most probable explanations.

You are splitting hairs. It's a waste of time.

2020-05-25T17:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264965760796905473

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I can agree with that to a degree. But I don't think this is anything new.

The defense industrial complex is a giant money-making machine (or money-losing machine for taxpayers.) I'm very much in favor of reform, transparency, and oversight.

I just don't think UFOs pertain.

2020-05-25T17:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264964801656000519
@PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Parse away. I don't need to continually have arguments with people telling me what I really mean. If it's not clear what I was thinking last year, or what I think now, then just ask me.

2020-05-25T16:58:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264964080218935298
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Skeptics don't run the military. Maybe it's in their interest to deflect attention? Or maybe they just don't see media hyped stories as significant in the long term. They've got important stuff to deal with.

2020-05-25T16:45:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264960800080277504
@PostDisclosure @tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Which they have said they will not, because secrecy.

2020-05-25T16:44:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264960647755730944
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Sure, but secrecy.

2020-05-25T16:44:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264960552729669632
@PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Yes. Exactly.

You think that's different to what I just said?

I think they have a good idea as to the type of things the videos could be.

2020-05-25T16:42:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264960052235866114
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Does it say anything about UFOs?

2020-05-25T16:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264959638908223489
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I'm not arguing for a reliance on crowdsourcing. I'm suggesting the hive mind of the public is occasionally a useful tool that can be put to good use - particularly in identifying things that rely on narrow knowledge domains that "experts" might not have.

2020-05-25T16:38:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264958977898496002

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Well #UFOTwitter does not represent the general population. There are occasional spikes in interest, but they die down.

Here I compare to a neutral common interest.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY4IzgNUYAATHK_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY4IzgNUYAATHK_.jpg
2020-05-25T16:32:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264957407337181185
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron And why no crowdsourcing? I discuss how that can work here: https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2020-05-25T16:31:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264957156643627009
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron How do you know that "appropriate oversight and responsible transparency" does not exist here?

2020-05-25T16:29:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264956663036956672
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron "Public trust" is important. But I'm not really seeing a lack of public trust arising from the Navy saying that they can't always identify airspace incursions, but they are working on it.
99% of public don't care. So it comes across as a UFOlogist's invented rationalization.

2020-05-25T16:25:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264955692194000901
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I'm a big fan of "civilian input". But the DoD is faced with a trade-off between secrecy and crowd-sourcing their UFO investigations (with a middle option of

onerously selectively declassifying things one bit at a time)

I'm not saying it's good thing. Just being realistic.

2020-05-25T16:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264955026620813314

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Sure they work with outside scientists. And those scientists often have clearances. Millions of people have TS clearance. Plenty of scientists.

2020-05-25T16:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264954552718995457

@ColonelQuestion @Oswald1160 @BadAstronomer I was semi-serious. If a gravitational field can have for kind of flow and turbulence, one might image a sort of eddy (a swirling or vortex) that concentrates material and creates a premature galaxy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY4FQMOUYAE3NGM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY4FQMOUYAE3NGM.jpg

2020-05-25T16:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264952979964039168

@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron "Important scientific phenomena that the DOD may not be well positioned to investigate on its own." Seems implausible. DoD is the ideal body to investigate airspace incursions by UAP. Massive resources, scientists, experts, and top secret clearances.

Who did you think is better?

2020-05-25T16:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264951426448363520

@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I don't think they have identified them. I think they are unidentified.

What I've said (and still say) is that they will have analyzed the videos and have a reasonable idea of what they might be. But they analysis and conclusion is covered by secrecy classifications.

2020-05-25T15:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264947345688424448
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron Sure, but putting UFO rumors to rest isn't really a priority for the Military.

And now you seem to be saying that secrecy doesn't undermine effective response to drone threats? Just stigma?

Seems like secret reports would have LESS stigma, as they don't go public.

2020-05-25T15:48:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264946551626928128
@BillHighland57 @realDonaldTrump @AE911Truth That's just Croydon Kemp spamming Stratfor with one of Wayne Madsen's articles via their web contact form.

2020-05-25T15:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264945031422476288
@tlathtoani @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @nickpopemod @james_greywood @Deepneuron I'd love to see the DoD best assessment, your arguments for that are unconvincing. In particular, how does "secrecy undermine effective response to drone threats?"
How does revealing operational secrets to adversaries help "the whole body of the American People" trust the gov?

2020-05-25T15:13:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264937633181954048
This description of "The Secret of Skinwalker Ranch," Episode 7, seems to suggest that there are several worldly explanations!

#punctuation #accidentaltruth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY31a7YU0AIHzzV.jpg

---



The Secret of Skinwalker Ranch

S 1 E 7

Surveillance

May 19, 2020 | 43m 11s | TV-PG V,L

When a cow suddenly and mysteriously dies on the ranch, surveillance footage poses another worldly explanation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY31a7YU0AIHzzV.jpg
2020-05-25T15:08:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264936266090418178
@BadAstronomer Eddies in the space-time continuum?

2020-05-25T15:01:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264934675870433282
@tommyIzito @PalHuthoff @JeremyCorbell @vanlogh @nytimes @DaveFalch Well I don't think it's likely a missile. But that's a misleading comparison they show - close up IR images are VERY different to distant IR images. See start and end of this clip
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1264934493770547200/pu/img/gSWkoMhD-ALR7Cdm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1264934493770547200/pu/img/gSWkoMhD-ALR7Cdm.jpg
2020-05-25T14:36:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264928315162243073
@PalHuthoff @JeremyCorbell @vanlogh @nytimes @DaveFalch Saying that "Those have heat signatures on FLIR" implies that there are things that don't have heat signatures.

"Heat signature" isn't really a thing. Perhaps "distinct heat signature"? But mostly that just refers to "hot".

2020-05-25T14:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264919799139758081
@FiresOfTruth @DizzelW Heck, I don't want people paying attention to me "personally!" Sounds kinda creepy.

2020-05-25T14:00:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264919390035652613
@JeremyCorbell @vanlogh @nytimes @DaveFalch What's a "heat signature" in this context? People toss that term around.

You know EVERYTHING has a "heat signature" right? Especially against the cold sky. It's just what a target looks like in IR.

This is a heat signature. Probably not a missile, but still a heat signature.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY3krVPU0AEFStX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EY3krVPU0AEFStX.jpg

2020-05-24T20:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264659177395286021

@LtTimMcMillan @DavidSm87467782 @surfingpainter @buffcommacu @UfoJoe11 @mjbanias

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYz4xLGU4AANvdC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYz4xLGU4AANvdC.jpg

2020-05-24T16:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264596735298375680

@JLuvin @swansandsable @Oswald1160 @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan I like the rapid back-and-forth on Twitter, it helps identify problems - both factual (I might get something wrong, and people will tell me) and with clarify of communication (if someone isn't getting it, I can improve the way I say it)

2020-05-24T16:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264595612747444224

@JLuvin @swansandsable @Oswald1160 @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan I enjoy solving puzzles. Lots of people do. Some do jigsaws, some do sudoku, some calculate turnip profits in Animal Crossing, and some track down unidentified flying things.

2020-05-24T06:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264438313705857024

@sonya_serna @BrandonFugal @zcichy @ColonelQuestion @LtTimMcMillan It will be on YouTube and on all podcast sites.

2020-05-24T05:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264431051608293383

@BrandonFugal @zcichy @ColonelQuestion @sonya_serna @LtTimMcMillan Sounds perfect.

That's 1:30PM for me in California.
Skype video call? Email me for contact details.
(I record and then upload after minor audio quality editing, will be up Friday evening)

2020-05-24T05:25:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264427393608896517
@BrandonFugal @zcichy @ColonelQuestion @sonya_serna @LtTimMcMillan Friday May 29th works for me. Pick a time. I usually record in the AM (PT) but it's up to you.

2020-05-24T05:13:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264424359772348416
@BrandonFugal @zcichy @ColonelQuestion @sonya_serna @LtTimMcMillan Excellent. How about some day next week? Any day except Tuesday.
DM me, or email (mick@mickwest.com) to confirm details.

Should be very interesting!

2020-05-24T05:07:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264422758072463361
@BrandonFugal @AustinBaar @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan If Caleb's phone was "not faulty, and worked just fine before & after the incident" in Episode 7, then why was it doing the same thing in Episode 5?

This kind of looks like phone was ALREADY broken, and the producers just had him whip it out for the shot with the dead cow.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1264422378680905728/pu/img/NVJ9b0dPBfonltK1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1264422378680905728/pu/img/NVJ9b0dPBfonltK1.jpg
2020-05-24T04:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264415245755244544

@zcichy @ColonelQuestion @sonya_serna @BrandonFugal @LtTimMcMillan I'd be very happy to have Brandon on my podcast. Brandon? We could talk of many things.

mick@mickwest.com

2020-05-24T03:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264392042089594881

@BrandonFugal @Ceteris57845600 Hardly a dead horse if it's going to feature in the next episode.

Of course it doesn't mean the Ranch ISN'T supernatural. But it does kind of mean other things.

2020-05-24T01:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264363432356139008

@Ceteris57845600 @BrandonFugal I don't. That would be ridiculous.

2020-05-24T01:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264360708659011584

@DavidSm87467782 @uelbus @PostDisclosure That's about GOFAST, not FLIR. I stand by that statement. It's not dismissive - just explaining what I think are the likely explanation of GOFAST.

2020-05-24T00:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264360358958886913

@DavidSm87467782 @uelbus @PostDisclosure That's what I just said I said.

2020-05-24T00:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264359907681161218

@Ceteris57845600 @BrandonFugal It could be something that looks (on video) like a blurry fly but is not a fly.

It fits a fly perfectly, but we could imagine various amazing things at various distances and increasingly implausible speed that fit.

But sometimes (actually most of the time) a fly is just a fly.

2020-05-24T00:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264359157194354688

@uelbus @PostDisclosure Yeah, you remember us joking about the seagull meme.

I said a seagull (over the churning water) was one possible thing that might have been briefly glimpsed and not identified. It can't really explain more than that.

2020-05-24T00:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264358597074382848

@ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure @tiller4riller I think pretty much everyone is at 4. Who goes for 5 or higher?

2020-05-24T00:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264357831911723009
@OculusQ @Ceteris57845600 @BrandonFugal Yes. Although I used the whole scene to determine angular size.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/black-ufo-at-skinwalker-ranch-a-fly.11271/post-239293
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYvmnQ3VcAMtHDD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYvmnQ3VcAMtHDD.jpg
2020-05-24T00:22:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264351061843734533
@Ceteris57845600 @BrandonFugal No, I've just shown that the video (almost certainly) shows a fly.

Maybe a 6000 mph flying saucer, or a 600 mph drone, or a tiny robot , all looking exactly like a fly.

But "fly" seems to be the most BLINDINGLY OBVIOUS explanation. So why did the scientists think otherwise?

2020-05-24T00:19:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264350250661064706
@ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure @tiller4riller Between 3 and 4. I suspect there are civilizations trying to contact other civilizations, but not us specifically.

2020-05-23T23:12:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264333347238510592
@uelbus @PostDisclosure You don't actually remember that. That was just a meme.

2020-05-23T23:08:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264332396683358209

@PostDisclosure But ultimately I DON'T KNOW what happened with Fravor. Or the radar. Or the video. I'm pointing out various things (like the object in the video making no sudden moves), and I'm speculating.

2020-05-23T23:06:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264331988703428608

@PostDisclosure I don't think they JUST lost situation awareness. Nor do I think it's really coupled with the radar glitches that preceded the incident.

2020-05-23T23:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264331267610312704

@davidma13793113 @ShaunAlenBryant @NULOOKREFINISH Yes, I've interviewed David Keith. https://www.tftrh.com/2019/07/13/episode-13-professor-david-keith-geoengineering-research-and-the-chemtrails-conspiracy-theory/

2020-05-23T19:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264282386843398144

@c64f7e94 @BrandonFugal No, you just need to look at the above image. It's a regular wide-angle security camera. A nearby fly a few feet away would be in focus. That's my assumption.

You want to refute it with math, then go right ahead.

2020-05-23T18:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264260600407851009

@davidma13793113 @ShaunAlenBryant @NULOOKREFINISH I know that's what's some people say. But did you check it? Have a look at all these books on clouds talking about contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2020-05-23T18:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264259906074370049

@Bhima_Jenkins @BrandonFugal It is a wide angle lens. It's cropped from here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYuNj9iVcAAjoXj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYuNj9iVcAAjoXj.jpg
2020-05-23T17:56:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264253767291043840
@c64f7e94 @BrandonFugal I think the other fly video comparison kind of removes the need for that type of thing.

The lower bound would be at least as close as this piece of grass. Maybe six feet away.

But I really don't think there's an issue here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYuH5u8VcAAnCTB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYuH5u8VcAAnCTB.jpg
2020-05-23T17:41:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264250023748841477
@uelbus @ColonelQuestion @QanonAnonymous With promoters of disinformation, like QAnon,

Plandemic, 9/11 controlled demolition, Chemtrails, shooting hoaxes, or Flat Earth promoters.

2020-05-23T17:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264249061256728578

@drumshticknow @BrandonFugal From this? I can make money? Cool! How can I make money from this? Let me know!

I guess I could promote my book:
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510735801/

But it does not actually cover UFOs.

2020-05-23T17:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264248657198542853

@c64f7e94 @BrandonFugal Depth of field? The object appears to be something far enough away to be not blurred much more than the trees.
Wide-angle security cameras are designed with large DOF, with everything from about 1 foot away more-or-less in focus. Example:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1g-4FQRr6wc

2020-05-23T15:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264216265104617473

@LyndaRVA_UAP @BrandonFugal It's not humungous, it's about 1/4" long. Fly sized.

The key thing to realize is that it's close to the camera. It's small, not far away.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vh5kZ4uIUC0

2020-05-23T15:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264215792939225089

@cosmicdahlia A fly is obviously the simples explanation there. But I also compared it directly to video of a known fly (presumably, as it was in a field full of flies). It's a perfect match, both visually, and in the distance travelled per frame.

So it's a fly.

2020-05-23T15:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264215309591826435

@cosmicdahlia You can't. That's the point.

Different sizes will give different distances. So you try the math with different sizes.

It could be a fly moving a 14 mph. Or something the size of the cow's head moving at 680 mph. Or something the size of a plane moving at 10,000 mph

---

2020-05-23T15:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264209627530399746
@cosmicdahlia I cropped an image of the object and duplicated it across the screen until it reached the second position. Then I counted how many duplicates were needed.

That's a visual method. You can also measure the object in pixels, measure the distance in pixels, and divide. Same result.

2020-05-23T14:51:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264207236630364161
@mesolithicguy @Tomfortas @john_flanigan I spoke to the owner of the helicopter. The transponder was not switched off. There's just no aggregated received around there, and the ranch receiver did not pick it up for some reason.

Kind of irrelevant, as there's the giant N-number on the side of the helicopter.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYtdn6DU8AAPfJn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYtdn6DU8AAPfJn.jpg
2020-05-23T14:40:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264204682987139072
@cosmicdahlia It's simple

Distance Moved = (Length of Object) x (Number of Lengths Moved)

Speed = (Distance Moved) / Time

We know everything except (Length of Object) so can plug in various values for that to find what the speed would be.

1/4" ends up being a good fit. Also fits a fly.

2020-05-23T14:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264200878027763713

A big problem now for investigators and debunkers of conspiracy theories is being tarred with the same brush that social media companies are using to fight disinformation.

More effort in discernment is needed! Otherwise valuable resources, like @QanonAnonymous, go under-used. https://twitter.com/QanonAnonymous/status/1263903973641080832

2020-05-23T07:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264098979546927106

@RedReaper013 @TRandallizer @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @SFsomaGirl @CMetaphor @adminnathan That's not the moment of death for the cow. It's just a random 1/30th of a second during a longer period when it happened to raise its head.

2020-05-23T07:17:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264093093722124288

@uelbus @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @BrandonFugal @mostlyO2 @sonya_serna @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan The actual frame is quite large. I'm sure they have hours of this, and that it shows other flies. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYr1to_VAAAlqin.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYr1to_VAAAlqin.jpg

2020-05-23T07:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264091933204307969

@sonya_serna @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @BrandonFugal @mostlyO2 @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan The first few minutes here have good examples.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r8ROxXGXKw

2020-05-23T07:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264091663086960640

@TRandallizer @RedReaper013 @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @SFsomaGirl @CMetaphor @adminnathan Did you read this?
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/black-ufo-at-skinwalker-ranch-a-fly.11271/

2020-05-23T06:36:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264082881229422592
@BrandonFugal @PostDisclosure @mostlyO2 @sonya_serna @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Should I have introduced a note of caution, like: "It looks exactly like a fly close to the camera moving at 14mph, but it's possible it's a 1 foot diameter object that's briefly above the cow and moving near the speed of sound"

What exactly did your scientists determine?

2020-05-23T04:21:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264048791293816832
@BrandonFugal @RedReaper013 @UfoJoe11 @SFsomaGirl @CMetaphor @adminnathan Yes, that gives one pause.

Why didnâ□□t they figure it out?

2020-05-23T02:07:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1264015000110153730
@BrandonFugal @sonya_serna @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I would be happy to resolve the disagreement either way.

But what would more frames show? At constant speed it would be in the trees for one frame, and that's it.

It these extra frames are interesting, why not show them?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqu1jiUMAAcqnD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqu1jiUMAAcqnD.jpg

2020-05-23T01:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264007619066204160

@BrandonFugal @sonya_serna @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan You've got two frames.

It's a fly.

2020-05-23T01:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264004237249228801

@SFsomaGirl @BrandonFugal @swansandsable @adminnathan I called the company. They confirmed it.

2020-05-23T01:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1264003917957828609

@BrandonFugal @LyndaRVA_UAP @Oswald1160 @MarianRudnyk @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan Ultimately Berkshire Hathaway Energy, who owns Pacificorp, which includes Rocky Mountain Power - which seems to be "The Power Company" around there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqkwVXUMAEECzq.jpg

PacifiCorp is an electric power company in the western United States.

PacifiCorp has two business units:

1. **Pacific Power**, a regulated electric utility with service territory throughout Oregon, northern California, and southeastern Washington.
2. **Rocky Mountain Power**, a regulated electric utility with service territory throughout Utah, Wyoming, and southeastern Idaho.

PacifiCorp operates one of the largest privately held transmission systems in the U.S. within the western Energy Imbalance Market.

In 2001, PacifiCorp was purchased by Scottish Power. Since 2006, PacifiCorp has been a wholly owned subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway Energy (formerly MidAmerican), itself an affiliate of Berkshire Hathaway.

PacifiCorp is currently headquartered in the Lloyd Center Tower[4] at 825 N.E. Multnomah Street, Portland, Oregon, in the Lloyd District. Pacific Power is also headquartered in the same building. Rocky Mountain Power is headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah.

Pacific Power and Rocky Mountain Power combined serve over 1.6 million residential customers, 202,000 commercial customers, and 37,000 industrial and irrigation customers - for a total of approximately 1,813,000 customers. The service area is 143,000 square miles (370,000 km^2). The company owns and maintain 16,500 miles (26,600 km) of long distance transmission lines, 64,000 miles (103,000 km) of distribution lines, and 900 substations.

In 1977, PacifiCorp spun off its coal mining interests into a mining company known as NERCO, which was eventually listed on the New York Stock Exchange and ranked as high as 353 on the Fortune 500 list of the largest American companies. Through its majority interest in NERCO, PacifiCorp was involved in the mining of coal, oil, natural gas, gold, silver, and uranium. PacifiCorp still owned 82% of NERCO in 1993, when it was acquired by the mining giant Rio Tinto Group.[5]

Contents [hide]

1 Organization
2 Pacific Power
3 Rocky Mountain Power
  3.1 Idaho
  3.2 Utah
  3.3 Wyoming
  3.4 Customers
  3.5 Net metering

PacifiCorp

PACIFICORP

Type	Subsidiary
Industry	Electricity
Founded	1910[1]
Headquarters	Lloyd Center Tower 825 N.E. Multnomah Street, Portland, Oregon
Area served	143,000 square miles Utah 47% California 3% Idaho 4% Oregon 31% Washington 7% Wyoming 8%
Key people	William J. Fehrman, Chair and CEO, PacifiCorp Stefan Bird, President and CEO, Pacific Power Gary Hoogeveen, President and CEO, Rocky Mountain Power
Owner	Berkshire Hathaway
Number of employees	5,700[2]
Parent	Berkshire Hathaway Energy
Subsidiaries	Pacific Power Rocky Mountain Power
Website	www.pacificorp.com

Footnotes / references
Area Served[3]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqkwVXUMAEECzq.jpg

2020-05-23T01:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263998707550191616

@BrandonFugal @LyndaRVA_UAP @Oswald1160 @MarianRudnyk @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan I think he said "the power company". We talked at length. You should give him a call.

2020-05-23T00:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263997318505705472

@BrandonFugal @LyndaRVA_UAP @Oswald1160 @MarianRudnyk @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan The owners of the power line. I didn't get the name of the company. But I imagine you could easily look that up. Maybe Rocky Mountain Power?

2020-05-23T00:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263995507434610688
@webidextrous @sonya_serna @BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I'm not saying it would. I'm saying it could cause the "high" RF readings.

2020-05-23T00:47:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263994946047971328
@BrandonFugal @sonya_serna @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I would note that after re-watching that segment that the faults were not actually the same. One was the "ghost touch", the other was a stuck mute button.
I would not hazard a guess there without details of the subsequent investigation.

But what about that fly?

2020-05-23T00:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263989315480109056
Black UFO buzzing a dead cow on the History channel show "The Secret of Skinwalker Ranch" is just a fly.

Sorry @BrandonFugal!

Detailed (but not difficult) analysis in the link:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/black-ufo-at-skinwalker-ranch-a-fly.11271/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqXelUU4AAq60w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqXZQpUYAEhwlc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqXelUU4AAq60w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqXcq_VcAIxgGz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYqXazcUMAESKGC.jpg

2020-05-22T21:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263946621760372737

@UfoJoe11 @Russell92FS @BrandonFugal @TRandallizer @IvanHeadless @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan Hey, if they just put a disclaimer saying that it's being dramatized for entertainment purposes, then I'd probably not bother so much. But they claim it's real.

And I do enjoy tracking down mystery aircraft, even if the mystery is fake.

2020-05-22T21:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263944021887442944

@Russell92FS @BrandonFugal @TRandallizer @IvanHeadless @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan When I identified the mystery helicopter. I used Google and FlightRadar24. I DID have extra information in that I have a free business account at FR24.

Surely it's good to pay attention to people who figure stuff out? Even if they are just using the same information you have?

2020-05-22T21:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263938655241945088

@Russell92FS @mikeb8637 It's because I keep doing good work.

2020-05-22T20:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263936133873889280

@sonya_serna @BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan It wasn't. You get the same variations near a phone. There were several phones there, AND radio microphone transmitters.

2020-05-22T19:45:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263919031477661697

@SFsomaGirl Sounds like a helicopter, but there does not appear to be enough information in the video to determine anything.

It was probably nothing interesting, because otherwise tens of thousands of people would have seen it.

2020-05-22T19:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263917732711391237

@JazzShaw @tlathtoani @RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron Sure, but NOT being forthright is generally a bit of a red flag. So if someone says I'm being dishonest, then, for many people, that diminishes my arguments. It even diminishes my facts.

2020-05-22T18:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263905183894888448

@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw The lists are not exclusive. There's always an implied "something else" there. But not actually on the list as you can't use it for ranking, as you don't know what it is.
Add to the list. Never remove things.

2020-05-22T18:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263903573835841536

@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Sorry "Richard", now you're coming across as just trolling, and I don't have time for that. So I'll block you if you don't explain yourself.

2020-05-22T18:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263902994816352256

@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw I'm not afraid of examining possibilities just because feelings might get hurt. People sometimes make mistakes. People sometimes lie. These are not things we can remove from consideration because someone is highly trained or a nice guy.

So what are you trying to do here?

2020-05-22T18:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263898830631653376

@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw I don't lie AND I'm not dishonest. So don't start a conversation with that accusation.

What are you trying to do? Discredit me? Well then why don't you actually point out actual mistakes I made. I'd be super happy to fix them.

2020-05-22T18:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263898047282507776

@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw You made an anonymous account just to post this type of thing?
What's your interest here?

2020-05-22T18:12:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263895598928805889
@Sub756Wifey The 5th trail is from the APU, which is a small jet engine in the tail that is used for power generation. It is usually off in flight, but sometimes it's on and you see this extra skinny trail. Google for APU+Contrail
https://www.google.com/search?q=APU+contrail&oq=APU+contrail

2020-05-22T17:56:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263891398958317568
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw What strong assumptions?

2020-05-22T17:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263890716637319168
@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw "Inherently dishonest?" Let me stop reading right here. I'm not dishonest, inherently or otherwise. I'm doing what I can to figure out what is going on. I look at videos, I analyze them, I publish the results.

I don't lie. So don't start a conversation with that accusation.

2020-05-22T17:50:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263889980499189761
RT @CultExpert: @MickWest draws upon his #debunking experience & research to provide useful tools & suggestions on how to help friends & loâ¦

2020-05-22T17:16:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263881470495453184
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw But it requires one very odd and unknown thing, vs three more ordinary things.

One does not simply replace all of chemistry with "god cooks with atoms in mysterious ways that he decides" simply because it gives you one easy explanation for everything.

2020-05-22T16:40:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263872347804585984
@LyndaRVA_UAP @Oswald1160 @MarianRudnyk @BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan A rental? It was under contract that day to do power-line surveys. That's why it was flying over the power lines to the north of the ranch - according to the director of operations at Corporate Helicopters.

2020-05-22T16:34:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263870816917139458
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Erm, YOU just chained them together there. I'm keeping them separate unless there some evidence linking them.

2020-05-22T16:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263867442721222657

@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw I don't think a passing plane was in the general area, I think it was 50 miles away.

Fravor misunderstanding what he was seeing is entirely possible. There is no depth perception with featureless objects that more than a few feet away.

2020-05-22T16:18:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263866817702850560

@Oswald1160 @LyndaRVA_UAP @MarianRudnyk @BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan Sorry to hear that. But sounds off-topic to Skinwalker stuff. No worries.

2020-05-22T16:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263863548448436229

@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Something roughly in the same direction, or within a few miles, at a different altitude was subsequently observed. Dots were connected, but no connection was actually demonstrated.

2020-05-22T16:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263862903402229760

@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Well, maybe not easily. But I think the radar was probably glitches, maybe with some things like weather balloons for the slow drifting targets. Video is just a plane. Fravor I don't know, but loss of situational awareness likely.

All related? I see no evidence of that.

2020-05-22T16:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263862322834989056

@LyndaRVA_UAP @MarianRudnyk @BrandonFugal @swansandsable @Oswald1160 @midd2009 @adminnathan Sorry I'm missing out on this interesting conversation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYokDfgUcAEe9G3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYokDfgUcAEe9G3.jpg
2020-05-22T15:58:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263861829903593473
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw As a group? Why lump disparate events together? Surely each thing deserves its own explanation.

2020-05-22T15:49:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263859599989342211
@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw "Aliens" is on the list only for completeness. Generally there is absolutely nothing in the evidence that suggests aliens. Historically aliens have never been shown to exist in the universe, let alone visited here.
You can't rule it out, but it's automatically low.

2020-05-22T15:46:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263858846491004933
@tlathtoani @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Which Nimitz incident, exactly? The radar? The big-eye sightings? Fravor? The video?

2020-05-22T15:38:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263856752178548736
@tlathtoani @Oswald1160 @LtTimMcMillan @Deepneuron @JazzShaw So personally assess them, relative to each other.

All you need is the ability to answer "does option A seem more or less likely than option B), and arrange those two relative to each other based on the answer. Sort the entire list.

2020-05-22T14:50:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263844799817248768
@swansandsable @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan Your move then.

2020-05-22T14:49:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263844540974116866
@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw You can always make a list. Break down your "unknown origin" to something like

1) Civilian drone
2) Stray conventional aircraft
3) US military conventional drone
4) US military unconventional drone
5) Foreign spy drone
6) Alien drone
7) Seagull

Then roughly sort the list.

2020-05-22T14:45:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263843388052234244
@mjbanias @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw It appears the lock onto the object in GOFAST was a passive IR track (essentially with the video - that big box expanding and contracting). Radar range was acquired after he locked on.
Essentially to the IR camera it looked like a fast moving aircraft, because of parallax
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYoSpq-UMAIF4uI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYoSpq-UMAIF4uI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYoSjGGVAAAc5Np.jpg

2020-05-22T14:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263842122714673152

@swansandsable @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan Sorry Tina. You are just quibbling here. You don't like it? Then go fix it.

I don't want everyone to call. But I'd encourage you to encourage Brandon to call, then you can just take his word.

2020-05-22T14:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263841403236311040

@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Well, what discrimination is actually being displayed in GOFAST?

The radar ranging is yet another reason why "bird" is not #1 on the list. Ballon with a radar reflector is my current #1.

What's your list look like?

2020-05-22T14:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263840339015548928

@mjbanias @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw I can agree that you and I don't know what it is. I'm not sure that nobody knows, but that's also quite possible.

But there are viable hypotheses for GOFAST, and "balloon" is one of them as it matches the size and speed.

There are lots of clues.

2020-05-22T14:30:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263839697551282181

@swansandsable @Oswald1160 @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan I talked to Ivor because he's the guy who returned my email inquiry. He's the director of operations at Corporate Helicopters - also a company founder and a pilot.

He's in SAG because some movies have helicopter pilots in them.

https://www.imdb.com/name/nm0793279/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYoPUFaVAAIIHws.jpg

---



Filmography

Show all | Show by... | Edit

Jump to: Miscellaneous Crew | Stunts | Actor | Transportation department | Thanks

Miscellaneous Crew (29 credits) Hide

Maze Runner: The Scorch Trials (helicopter pilot)	2015
You Don't Mess with the Zohan (helicopter pilot coordinator - uncredited)	2008
The Heartbreak Kid (helicopter pilot)	2007
Live Free or Die Hard (helicopter pilot)	2007
Bobby Z (helicopter pilot)	2007
P.O.V. (TV Series documentary) (helicopter pilot - 1 episode) - Maquilapolis: City of Factories (2006) ... (helicopter pilot)	2006
Babel (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	2006/I
The Da Vinci Code (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	2006
Surrender, Dorothy (TV Movie) (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	2006
Elektra (helicopter pilot)	2005
Fighter Pilot: Operation Red Flag (Documentary) (helicopter pilot)	2004
Collateral (helicopter pilot)	2004
The Perfect Husband: The Laci Peterson Story (TV Movie) (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	2004
Master and Commander: The Far Side of the World (helicopter pilot)	2003
Volcanoes of the Deep Sea (Short documentary) (helicopter pilot)	2003
2 Fast 2 Furious (helicopter pilot)	2003
Spymate (helicopter pilot: desert unit)	2003
xXx (pilot)	2002
Pearl Harbor (helicopter pilot)	2001
The Invisible Man (TV Series) (helicopter pilot - 1 episode) - Pilot (2000) ... (helicopter pilot)	2000
Wildfire: Feel the Heat (Short documentary) (helicopter pilot)	1999
In Dreams (helicopter pilot)	1999
Armageddon (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	1998
Titanic (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	1997
Dance with the Devil (helicopter pilot)	1997
Pensacola: Wings of Gold (TV Series) (helicopter pilot)	1997
Black Dawn (helicopter pilot)	1997
Flirting with Disaster (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	1996
The Abyss (helicopter pilot - uncredited)	1989

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYoPUFaVAAIIHws.jpg

2020-05-22T14:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263838513688010756

@RichBarnes1970 @LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw I don't make blanket equivalences like that.

What are you referring to, exactly?

2020-05-22T14:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263838035667333121

@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw @PostDisclosure Your seagull altitude research actually came up on Metabunk back in 2018, for a fun little case that actually was seagulls.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-mysterious-lights-over-milwaukee-seagulls-night-exposure-trails.9548/#post-219803

2020-05-22T14:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263835906261184514

@swansandsable @Oswald1160 @JLuvin @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan Tina, what EXACTLY would it take for you to believe 100% that it was just a powerline surveying helicopter passing by, and not someone spying on the ranch.

Because if you will never be satisfied, then why should people jump through hoops for you?

2020-05-22T14:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263834586649223168

@LtTimMcMillan @tlathtoani @Deepneuron @JazzShaw Hypotheses for what? Birds are a poor #2 on the list for GOFAST (#1=balloon), close to "aliens" for GIMBAL, and a possible minor early contributing factor to FLIR1.

The problems of birds at altitude was discussed on Metabunk starting back in 2018.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/#post-220024

2020-05-22T00:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263624036568846337

@swansandsable @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan I spoke to him on the phone. Then the sent me the photos http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYlLTAQUYAIOXO-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYlLTAQUYAIOXO-.jpg
2020-05-21T20:29:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263567696253206528
@swansandsable @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan Yeah, I'm not going to ask them to do a bunch of work for such a silly thing. Maybe Brandon can call them, as he's the property owner, and then that will settle it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkYFQXU4AArm4V.jpg

---

Ivor Shier

Director of Operations, SAG Pilot

Ivor learned to fly airplanes in Ireland in 1972. Always having a love for helicopters, he finally took the opportunity to get his helicopter rating in California in 1986. This started his career in aviation in which he has accumulated over 8,000 flight hours.

With an FAA approved Motion Picture Manual and as a member of the Screen Actors Guild (SAG), he has developed an excellent reputation with directors and camera operators as a pilot they can depend on to get the best shots while keeping them safe. Ivor has been credited in many television shows, major motion pictures and Imax movies. See Ivor Shier's IMDB profile.

Ivor also possesses a talent for matching helicopter buyers with aircraft. Ivor is one of the founders and shareholders of Corporate Helicopters.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkYFQXU4AArm4V.jpg
2020-05-21T20:18:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263564800690319360
@swansandsable @Oswald1160 @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan Ivor is a company founder and director operations. He said it was just a powerline survey. Now you want a press release! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkVdj9VAAAaMtI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkVdj9VAAAaMtI.jpg

2020-05-21T20:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263562023943561216

@BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan And here's our helicopter N545SA, on the right. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkS2IRVcAAmWw6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkS2IRVcAAmWw6.jpg

2020-05-21T20:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263561669910790144

@BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan This one is a camera. Actual payloads can vary. He was telling me about all kinds of fascinating things they do. Spying wasn't one of them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkSUnlUYAAuUOM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkSUnlUYAAuUOM.jpg

2020-05-21T20:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263561254649491456

@BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan He sent me some photos of the "camera" (not actually a camera) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkSLsZUwAEQ6a_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYkSLsZUwAEQ6a_.jpg

2020-05-21T20:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263560963954896897

@BrandonFugal @swansandsable @midd2009 @adminnathan They were. They are contracted by the power company that owns the power lines (Rocky Mountain Power, presumably)

2020-05-21T19:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263557852666331141

@swansandsable @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan I just got off the phone with Ivor at Corporate Helicopters. He confirmed it was their helicopter and they were only doing a LIDAR powerline survey.

2020-05-21T19:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263557102536032257

@swansandsable @BrandonFugal @midd2009 @adminnathan on the phone now

---

2020-05-21T18:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263537627233349632

@JeremyCorbell @ColonelQuestion I'd be super happy to correct anything I got wrong.

Will you be addressing the "aura" issue?

2020-05-21T18:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263537353794060288

@midd2009 @BrandonFugal @swansandsable @adminnathan We agree on pretty much all of reality. It's the small bits of reality that are of questionably interpretation based on incomplete or uncertain data that are really interesting.

So that's what we talk about.

2020-05-21T18:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263535139855843328

@BrandonFugal @swansandsable @adminnathan You want to bet $100 on it?

The evidence is overwhelming that it's a powerline surveying helicopter doing its thing (as it had done for days). The video shows it flying slowly east, pretty much over the power line. I'm confident that's what it was. How strong is your suspicion?

2020-05-21T18:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263530409502994432

@swansandsable @BrandonFugal @adminnathan Yeah, but suspecting that a power-line surveying helicopter, that flew past the ranch as part of on a 100 mile trip along power-lines, of spying on you isn't really skepticism. It's either paranoia or sensationalism. Maybe both?

2020-05-21T17:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263529062384844800

@Hats4Batz It's really about the relative humidity at 30,000 feet and up. Some days it's high, some days it's low. Varies a lot over the country. In this forecast, green areas mean contrails, brown means not.

http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYj0rSDU0AAUwBY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYj0rSDU0AAUwBY.jpg

2020-05-21T17:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263526801474609152

@Hats4Batz It's the weather.

2020-05-21T17:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263526619425042432

@JeremyCorbell @ColonelQuestion I have no personal animosity towards you (or pretty much anyone). So when I say that some of the things you say are bullshit, I mean that only in the factual sense that they are falsehoods being promulgated by someone who should know better, and not as a form of attack.

2020-05-21T17:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263522682571616257

@ColonelQuestion @JeremyCorbell I look forward to Jeremy's critique.

2020-05-21T17:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263522434726027264

@IvanHeadless @BrandonFugal @adminnathan Sure looks like the same aircraft.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYju7LUUwAAfcvp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYju7LUUwAAfcvp.jpg
2020-05-21T17:19:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263519899235696642
@IvanHeadless @BrandonFugal @adminnathan I emailed them.

2020-05-21T15:07:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263486545673064450
@IvanHeadless @BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan It's obviously highly imporbably that two perfectly good phones would suddenly start showing the same malfunction at the same time. So something was going on there.

But the other stuff? Cow was sick. RF was normal. Object was a fly.

2020-05-21T07:52:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263377114234937345
@BrandonFugal @AustinBaar @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Weird. Well I should go to bed. Interesting stuff.

That's still a fly though. :)

Goodnight.

2020-05-21T07:49:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263376307070529536
@StevenM10657487 @BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Maybe the dodgy phones caused the EMR.

The EMR was normal when the ghost touches were happened. So it would not be that. Plus,

high EMR is not known as a cause. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhptnSU8AER3Ie.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhptnSU8AER3Ie.jpg
2020-05-21T07:46:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263375645519704064
@AustinBaar @BrandonFugal @adminnathan Hence "close one eye"

2020-05-21T07:44:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263375072208621569
@BrandonFugal @AustinBaar @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Well, if thats true then that was a very interesting event. Did you try, at the very least, walking towards the cow and then far away, with both phones and the meter?

How long did it last? Did it suddenly stop?

2020-05-21T07:41:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263374454953934848
@AustinBaar @trelayne @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Well I was a full stack programmer for 25 years. 10 of them as technical director at Neversoft. But go on.

2020-05-21T07:40:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263373987897196544
@AustinBaar @BrandonFugal @adminnathan Hold one finger up. Close one eye. Focus on a distant thing like the wall behind your finger. Your finger is blurry.

Just watch this video of flies and cows. You'll see.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r8ROxXGXKw

2020-05-21T07:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263373342834167808
@TRandallizer @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @Corey_0709 @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan There are lots of sites that are EMF paranoid.

But that's another topic.

Bottom line is the levels he was measuring were just what you get from holding a phone, or being close to a WiFi router. Ordinary. Normal. Expected when in a wired crowd.

2020-05-21T07:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263372788212961281
@AustinBaar @trelayne @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Impossible to have two broken phones in the same location?

Did they show the phones working fine before and after this incident?

Simplest explanation is they were already faulty. Then add some dramatization.

2020-05-21T07:32:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263372120202964995
@BrandonFugal @AustinBaar @adminnathan No, it was a regular sized fly just like the one over the cows in my gif.

Flies are unsurprisingly common around cows and cow pats. Here's a bunch more examples.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r8ROxXGXKw

2020-05-21T07:30:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263371645483315200
@AustinBaar @BrandonFugal @adminnathan Because the fly is a lot closer to the camera and moving very fast.

2020-05-21T07:30:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263371556824027137
@StevenM10657487 @BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Yeah, seems unlikely. So why only those two phones? Must have been 20 phones there that day.

2020-05-21T07:27:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263370795432112129
@AustinBaar @trelayne @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan No, I don't agree.

It's clearly something that happens. Both phones had dirty screen protectors - which is a known factor in ghost touches. You don't need to find WHY it happens yet. Just start with WHEN it

happens.

Limited data there.

2020-05-21T07:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263369859296948230

@BrandonFugal @AustinBaar @adminnathan You mean operating a ... fly?

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EYhj-ODUYAEn5ds.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EYhj-ODUYAEn5ds.jpg

2020-05-21T07:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263368942665400320

@TRandallizer @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @Corey_0709 @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan Note the text in the red box in your link.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhjQrQU4AAV-Qg.jpg

EMF Safety Standards

Jump to: **Microwaves** | **Magnetic Field Body Voltage**

See also: **Distance Matters** | **Effects By Power** | **SAR**

Precautionary Guidelines for Microwaves

The following precautionary guidelines are based on scientific research about biological and health effects at extremely low power densities. These are approximately 9000 times lower than **international safety standards**, which are based on an outdated dogma maintaining that the only harm of microwaves lies in its thermal effects. Check the **Table of Effects** to see the levels at which various effects have been reported.

Year	Power Density Limit	Name	Description
2001	**1,000μW/m²**	Salzburg Resolution	Equivalent of 1 mW/m² = 0.1 μW/cm² = 0.6 V/m ; The recommendation of Salzburg, Austria and now also organizations like BioInitiative and Next-Up. In 2008, Liechtenstein adopts the goal of 0.6 V/m, approximately 1000 μW/m² by 2013. **Proposed Limit for Public Exposure to Mobile Phone Base Stations**
2011	**170 μW/m²**	**Seletun Scientific Statement 2011**	Equivalent of 0.17 mW/m²
2001	**100 μW/m²**	EU Parliament **STOA 2001**	Equivalent of 100 nW/cm²

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhjQrQU4AAV-Qg.jpg

2020-05-21T07:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263368459066331139
@trelayne @AustinBaar @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Me neither. And yet there are hundreds of thousands of results on Google for "ghost touch"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhi436UYAErkZf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhi436UYAErkZf.jpg
2020-05-21T07:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263367791949647873
@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Travis has consistent acted as if the readings were concerning. 20mw/m2 is not high (and you hardly ever saw that).

Conventional pocket wireless mic transmitters broadcast at 100 to 250 mW. That's 10-25 mW/m2 three feet away.

2020-05-21T07:06:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263365522881540096
@TRandallizer @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @Corey_0709 @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan No, he actually said 20 mW/m2 and that it was like "inside the microwave oven"

2020-05-21T07:03:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263364786797985793
@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I take it these experiments didn't find anything interesting.

2020-05-21T06:59:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263363825585119235
@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Did the crew ever try doing

the same things 50 miles away, to eliminate the possibility that it was just them and their equipment?

2020-05-21T06:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263363306737131520

@TRandallizer @steven_dor Well, it's an SDR (software defined radio) of unknown quality. Could just be some noise function. Where's the control reading? What's was it like later that day? What was it like 2 miles away, 10 miles, 50 miles?

So much science not done here.

2020-05-21T06:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263362468136411138

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan But you never set up any experiments. You had a bone-fide unexplained phenomena that you could test, but you didn't set anything up to test it?

2020-05-21T06:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263360466253836288

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I don't know. Did you investigate that?

Seems like it would be VERY amenable to scientific investigation.

Was there any?

2020-05-21T06:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263360037528801280

@trelayne @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan They were not seeing sustained levels over 10. They were seeing momentary peaks. When he said it was "going nuts, jumping high then back to zero" (with a phone nearby) it never went over 1.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYha-MNU0AArOi2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYha-MNU0AArOi2.jpg

2020-05-21T06:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263358670710075392

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @Corey_0709 @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan Why did Travis keep playing up these very low readings as if they were dangerous?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhZ41qU0AAV8Ft.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhZ41qU0AAV8Ft.jpg

2020-05-21T06:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263358338764439554

@UfoJoe11 @BrandonFugal @trelayne @CMetaphor @adminnathan I'm not suggesting the RF did that to the phones. I'm suggesting the wireless mics contributed to the readings on the TriField meter. 20 mw/m2 is not large when near transmitting things.

2020-05-21T06:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263357862014676992

@trelayne @BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I've got a TriField meter. It goes over 20 mw/m2 with my iPhone just when it connects to the internet over cellular (shown here as 1----)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1263357646280613888/pu/img/dkq5sf-EzB7QLY9E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1263357646280613888/pu/img/dkq5sf-EzB7QLY9E.jpg

2020-05-21T06:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263354759039180805

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Not to mention their radio mics http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhWbDCUYAEjbAE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhWbDCUYAEjbAE.jpg

2020-05-21T06:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263354391664312321

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan That depends on how much they malfunction normally. Did they check after?

And those RF levels were NOT high. Trifield meter is for EMF hypochondriacs, and only goes up to 20 mW/m2. It maxes out near a phone.

2020-05-21T06:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263351950063501312

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Possibly related to the poor state of the screen protectors. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhT4wQU8AE92Cb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhTkDPU4AIvAv1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhT4wQU8AE92Cb.jpg

2020-05-21T06:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263350611866611712

@BrandonFugal @trelayne @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Those are called "ghost touches", a very common type of fault in phones, both iPhone and Android.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhSZZvUEAEIQ9-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhSZZvUEAEIQ9-.jpg

2020-05-21T05:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263337950890213376

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Yeah, there's no reason why your crew would not be able to get the number. This is the largest shot shown. Would be readable at proper resolution. Just over 6 minutes in http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhG2QsVAAAj_Ua.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhG2QsVAAAj_Ua.jpg

2020-05-21T05:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263337110766972929

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Actually scratch that. There's better shots a few seconds later.

Sure looks like the number is there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhGUdXU4AAhTJ7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhGUdXU4AAhTJ7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhGNWeUwAUeXTk.jpg

2020-05-21T05:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263336437748908035

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan You probably have an HD, maybe 4K master, can you post the best image of the helicopter from there?

It's just before this shot, about 5-6 minutes in
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhFwVbU0AEL_mx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhFwVbU0AEL_mx.jpg
2020-05-21T05:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263335939796918272
@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan You said it was "right there"

My recording isn't very good, but it still looks like the number is there.

Easily visible at a proper resolution. Or with binoculars.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhE-_ZVAAI5xcX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYhE-_ZVAAI5xcX.jpg
2020-05-21T05:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263333781026467841
@UfoJoe11 @steven_dor Sure, I've no idea if anomalous phenomena happened.

But I've also not seen any evidence of that. You think they would put that in the show rather than over-inflating things that have quite reasonable explanations.

2020-05-21T04:55:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263332513390288898
@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Well, given that they were perfectly visible, I think that the simples explanation is that your ADS-B receiver wasn't handling that particular situation.
There's no stealth if you can see it and read the number.
Surely someone took a photo with a zoom lens?

2020-05-21T04:42:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263329363526729728
@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan Where is your antenna? What type is it?

I also my own "avionics receiver" (ADS-B). FR24 gets far more planes than I see, because of

topology.

2020-05-21T04:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263328868464652290

@BrandonFugal @adminnathan Why would you suspect otherwise? What were they doing the previous day? Just flying over power lines south of Provo to establish a cover story?

2020-05-21T04:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263328303307358208

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan *free business account

---

2020-05-21T04:37:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263328101640990721

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan I only checked FlightRadar24, as I have a free business about there, as I run an ADS-B station for them.
It's possible other aggregators have more data on the flight path.

2020-05-21T04:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263327814943555584

@BrandonFugal @UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @adminnathan No. It was not RECEIVED over large portions of their flight because A) low altitude, and B) very few ADS-B receivers in sparsely populated areas.

More details here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mysterious-helicopter-at-skinwalker-ranch-power-line-survey.11268/#post-239241

2020-05-21T04:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263327233327853568

@BrandonFugal @adminnathan It's a helicopter used for surveying power lines.
It flew along power lines for two days.
It was flying along power lines by the ranch.
It was flow by Corporate Helicopters, for a power company. I guess you could ask them if you feel it's really needed.
https://www.corporatehelicopters.com/helicopter-services/helicopter-utility/aerial-mapping/

2020-05-21T04:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263325963426750464

@UfoJoe11 @CMetaphor @BrandonFugal @adminnathan They were not hiding at all. You could see them. I'm sure the guys with binoculars would have been able to read the number on the side. N545SA.
You can legally have ADS-B without that info if you want. But you can't fly without it painted on the side. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYg79w7U8AENq2V.jpg

---



Trusted by professionals.

Corporate Helicopters has provided LiDAR and utility helicopter services to the electrical, utility, pipeline, telecommunication, highway, railway and other industries across the United States and many foreign countries.

Contact us for a free quote.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYg79w7U8AENq2V.jpg
2020-05-21T04:26:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263325205813792769
@BrandonFugal @adminnathan The transponder almost certainly was not off - it was not being received by the ADS-B network because it was low and away from ADS-B stations. It did pass near the ranch, as it was on one side, and then later the other - tracking the powerlines that are 1 mile north of you.

2020-05-21T04:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263322355557822464
@detpopcorn Numbers don't lie.

2020-05-21T04:14:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263322169330634752
@e2_hawkeye @Phenomenology19 The point still stands. They don't appear to understand units and danger levels.

And if there WAS dangerous ionizing radiation, then there would be a hazmat team in there to clean it up. Yet nobody seems at all concerned.

2020-05-21T00:52:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263271490713051136
I've voted for mail for many years. I have to as I live in a rural county. It works great. It's worked great for the entire state of Washington for a decade. It will work just fine for the entire US and will get more people to vote. https://twitter.com/knkxfm/status/1263233042807525376

2020-05-21T00:24:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263264305807384578
@EverywhereUfo How is solving a mystery "shilling"?

Don't you want mysteries solved?

2020-05-21T00:23:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263264145022922752
@steven_dor Sure, but there's a reason why the producers are embellishing the show.

Nothing really inexplicable happened during the production. But they still had to make a show. So they made one with what they had.

2020-05-20T23:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263248326331260928

@Phenomenology19 I'm not sure, but in this episode Travis described 20 mW/m2 as "like inside the microwave oven", when it's actually about 1/100000th of what is inside a microwave oven. So perhaps they don't have a strong grasp on what real dangerous levels of things actually are.

2020-05-20T22:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263231095731937280

Mysterious helicopter at Skinwalker Ranch Identified as power-line survey.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mysterious-helicopter-at-skinwalker-ranch-likely-power-line-survey.11268/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYfl-eyUMAAlx49.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYfl-eyUMAAlx49.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYfl2BiU0AAXiKd.jpg

2020-05-20T19:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263185480025141248

@Oswald1160 @uelbus @Codie_West @ka1_e1_ @ScolesSarah @TTSAcademy No, that was just to show the exist. It's not clear if there's something like that in the ATFLIR camera.

2020-05-20T18:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263181489501437954

@Oswald1160 @uelbus @Codie_West @ka1_e1_ @ScolesSarah @TTSAcademy I don't know. It was an old Contour HD camera.

2020-05-20T18:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263168932602507265

@Oswald1160 @uelbus @Codie_West @ka1_e1_ @ScolesSarah @TTSAcademy The "spikes" around a glare are diffraction effects caused by the edges of the light path - which is the mostly the region around the front of the lens, and the aperture.

Wide open aperture will remove most of this. But not always possible.

2020-05-20T18:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263167938162384897

UFO on Skinwalker Ranch show looks suspiciously like a fly.

@BrandonFugal your producers are reaching a bit there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EYesXKfUYAE2Glr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EYesXKfUYAE2Glr.jpg
2020-05-20T17:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263167257200361472
@uelbus @Oswald1160 @Codie_West @ka1_e1_ @ScolesSarah @TTSAcademy The exact shape depends on the exact camera, lens, settings, condition, lighting conditions, background, and heat source size and intensity.

2020-05-20T17:12:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263155572750180352
@ColonelQuestion @webidextrous @firefight1253cj @HISTORY @BrandonFugal And here's some comparable footage.

Sorry Brandon, it's a fly.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r8ROxXGXKw

2020-05-20T16:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1263138644958691329
@webidextrous @firefight1253cj @HISTORY @BrandonFugal Rough math assuming 1/4" bug, 30 fps, gives a speed of around 20 mph. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYeRtZfU8AE8GMx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYeRtZfU8AE8GMx.jpg

2020-05-20T15:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263136878351409153

@webidextrous @firefight1253cj @HISTORY @BrandonFugal This is what we in the UFO investigating trade call a "fly"

It's the age-old problem in UFOlogy. Small or far away?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYeOygaVcAAVASm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYeOygaVcAAVASm.jpg

2020-05-20T14:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1263116482973597697

@ShepardAmbellas How are you measuring it?

2020-05-20T06:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262992113941147648

@firefight1253cj @webidextrous @HISTORY I've not seen it yet, but fast moving black dots in the sky are generally flies. Was it a bit fuzzy looking?

2020-05-20T06:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262989724039311360

@PJMasson1 @Obiben55 @joerogan I think it's a combination of factors. He's tired of dealing with YouTube, he's tired of recording commercials, but mostly he liked the sound of maybe $20 million up front and over $100 million on the back end.

There's very little downside.

2020-05-20T05:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262974760532631552

@Lukewearechange Perhaps the correlation is because the low Vitamin D people were old and ill (and hence largely housebound) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYb8qKpUEAAY-9l.jpg

Table 2. Dynamics of Vitamin D status

	Vitamin D < 20 ng/ml (18.2 ± 0.6)	Vitamin D 20-30 ng/ml (26.7 ± 1.3)	Vitamin D > 30 ng/ml (32.2 ± 1.2)
Overall, N	179	213	388
Mean age	66.9 ± 13.8	62.9 ± 14.7	46.6 ± 12.6
Comorbidity, %	80.0	73.8	18.8
Death, %	98.9	87.8	4.1
Active, %	1.1	12.2	95.9

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EYb8qKpUEAAY-9l.jpg

2020-05-20T04:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262970794222645248

RT @thefilmgoer: Something of a bombshell: Norma McCorvey, aka Jane Roe, switched sides on abortion in the '90s. In a new FX documentary fiâ¦

2020-05-20T01:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262920823628857349

This kind of sums up the YouTube commenters reactions to @joerogan's announcement of going exclusive to Spotify. https://t.co/IlKJvQLqro

2020-05-19T16:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262775945464696832

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @UFO_Researcher The "spray pattern" is an aerodynamic contrail. They are more sensitive to atmospheric conditions than regular (exhaust) contrails, so tend to start and stop - especially (as here) when climbing through different layers of air.

2020-05-18T22:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262512151345786880

@EthanTski @ColonelQuestion It's not a job, more like a hobby. I'm retired. You don't have to listen to me, but I think you might find this talk quite interesting if you are interested in those topics.

2020-05-18T22:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262505534348902400

IF. Goshdarnit.

2020-05-18T22:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262505299539161088

@TbD92549563 No, it was just on the radio, and now at the linked archive.

2020-05-18T19:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262469817879035904

I anyone wants an interesting two-hour discussion on my thoughts about the Navy UFO videos and Skinwalker Ranch, then here's the link.

https://twitter.com/TheUFOTrail/status/1262432572421869568

2020-05-17T18:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1262083441354436610

RT @CultExpert: I was interviewed by @MickWest for his podcast, Tales from the Rabbit Hole. His book and for 15 years he has been debunkinâ□¦

2020-05-16T20:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261760824198103041

RT @Karen_Douglas: The Original Plandemic: Unmasking The Eerily Familiar Conspiracy Theories Behind The Russian Flu Of 1889 via @forbes httâ□¦

2020-05-16T15:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261674532131094529

@Jimdugalhomes It looks like dust.

2020-05-15T22:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261422504758800384

I had a great chat with @MelRivers and friends on the Group Text podcast about how to talk to people regarding conspiracies, coronavirus, chemtrails, and more.

https://omny.fm/shows/melissa-rivers-group-text-podcast/conspiracy-theories

---

2020-05-15T19:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261377782547800064

@DiscoVolante8 @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Itâ□□s probably at ambient temperature.

2020-05-15T13:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261281956735508480

@DiscoVolante8 @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Helium.

2020-05-15T05:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1261170479131275265

In TFTRH #44, I talk with @CultExpert Steven Hassan about his work helping people escape from cults, his books on cults, and the intersection of cults and conspiracy theories.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gXlhIhDGlWg

2020-05-14T14:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260946100468322304

@BreadandtheMan @AE911Truth @NIST @uafairbanks @JasonBermas @iamdylanavery

@samtripoli @billburr @FKTVis @ULdialogue @mediamonarchy @KevinRyan911 @Consensus911 @_whitneywebb @RT_America @Daily_Express @QTRResearch @Jimcorrsays @joerogan @CovertActionMag Chris appears to badly misunderstand most of the things in my video. But it's great he's giving my video more attention. I'm a bit busy right now, but I'll return to this at a later date.

2020-05-14T05:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260806536961523713

I'd like to thank Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth for promoting the excellent novel "Blade of Dishonor" by my good friend @thomaspluck.

I'm not entirely sure what it had to do with my iron microspheres debunking, but I appreciate the support for the writers' community.

https://twitter.com/AE911Truth/status/1260748850546929664

2020-05-14T00:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260723925308207104

@RobertS02856374 @JeremyCorbell @CalvinHiltunen @jTooShygrimsley @PostDisclosure @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch They came to the same conclusion I did. That these were unidentified. They probably have some reasonable hypotheses, but that's not the same as identifying them. Same here.

2020-05-13T23:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260707637827522560

@NbutNet You mean in whether or not a person will fall for a cult or conspiracy theory?

2020-05-13T23:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260706657870336000

@bobofango86 @ChristopherKMe4 The TTSA report.

2020-05-13T22:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260701193283571712

@bobofango86 @Kaiwin19900960 @ChristopherKMe4 No. There' no reason not to point out things are wrong if they are being used as evidence. Less falsehoods in an evidence base should be a desirable goal. No?

2020-05-13T22:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260700816026955776

@ChristopherKMe4 @bobofango86 Can you release the FULL transcript of this interview? And any other interviews. Like the one with "Source" (the female pilot who flew the other plane above the TicTac incident.)

2020-05-13T22:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260699324494671873

Tomorrow I will interview Steven Hassan, cult expert and author of several books including "Freedom of Mind: Helping Loved Ones Leave Controlling People, Cults, and Beliefs". We'll discuss the intersections and parallels of cults & conspiracy theories.

What should I ask him?

2020-05-13T19:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260657711789731841

@hughespj1 @ChristopherKMe4 Mellon said: "The E2 Hawkeye crew was interviewed and there were no NDAs that were an issue for any of the Navy personnel involved in the Nimitz incidents"

So it sounds like he's talking about the Nimitz Hawkeye.

Chris, can you clarify?

2020-05-13T19:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260657059231436800

@PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=--qcps-9gOQ

2020-05-13T19:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260656723502587904

@PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lKai-HM5JRo

---

2020-05-13T19:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260656171125313536

@PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 Have you never seen a balloon high in the sky?
Even low altitude they move pretty straight. 0:47 here: https://youtu.be/YYqVa59VRRc?t=47

2020-05-13T19:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260655019193319426

@JeremyCorbell @CalvinHiltunen @jTooShygrimsley @PostDisclosure @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch So, only jokes?
Jeremy, you know Falch is wrong here. Obviously there is glare in IR videos, we see it all the time.
So why are you promoting his ridiculous video?
Even Mellon sees the writing on the wall for the videos, and has moved on to "they were never important".

2020-05-13T19:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260654005933334533

@KuhnianA I'm not familiar with it. Why don''t you give it a go?

2020-05-13T19:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260653403513880578

@ChristopherKMe4 @hughespj1 has consistently said the Hawkeye crew were asked to sign NDAs and that they are prevented from talking about what they saw because of this.

So somebody is very wrong here.

2020-05-13T19:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260646274950328321

@ChristopherKMe4 Balloons at 11,000 feet are generally not â□□buffeted by the airâ□□. They fly in

essentially straight lines with the wind.

2020-05-13T17:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260618288385585152

@PstarmanUa @PostDisclosure @LadFromTheBush The glare is not part of the scene. It's INTERNAL to the camera. So its rotation is independent of the horizon, or anything else in the scene. It's down to the orientation of things along the optical path.

2020-05-13T17:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260616799516647429

@ChristopherKMe4 Why won't TTSA fix the mistakes in their analysis of GOFAST on your web site? #TTSATalks

---

2020-05-13T14:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260582475111292933

@JunoWalker @JeremyCorbell @jTooShygrimsley @PostDisclosure @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Here's a great example of infrared glare from a jet engine against the sky.
Nothing to do with visible light.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-OErbCKfZ2A

2020-05-13T13:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260562494562557954

@mvtatertot @DaveFalch @ExploreSCU @JeremyCorbell @openmindstv @mufon @PostDisclosure @dave_beaty @Tsoukalos @AncientAliens @UfoJoe11 @UfoSunday No, gimbal is an infrared glare. So visible light has nothing to do with it.

Dave is confused. Heâ□□s demonstrating something that is not in dispute, and is irrelevant.

2020-05-13T06:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260455178567921664

@JeremyCorbell @jTooShygrimsley @PostDisclosure @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Can you rephrase Dave's point in your own words Jeremy?

Because if you can, then you'll see he doesn't actually have one.

Gimbal is an IR glare. So what is there in his demonstration that relates in any way? Seriously. Try it.

2020-05-13T06:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260453984835440646

@PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch What kind of result do you think is being demonstrated here? That IR cameras filter out visible light? Of course they do. How does that alter anything?

Gimbal is an IR glare. It's not a visible light glare.

2020-05-13T06:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260451964183330816

@JeremyCorbell @AstrobiologyDay @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch And yet that sadly does not make anything of what he demonstrated in any way be relevant to the GIMBAL Video.

Jeremy. You know that IR videos can have glare, right? You've seen in in numerous videos surely?

So what do you think Falch is actually demonstrating here? Think.

2020-05-13T06:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260450282904915969

@jTooShygrimsley @uelbus @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch It's really not that complicated.
IR cameras have glare.
Horizontally mounted IR cameras like ATFLIR need derotation.
Derotation would make the glare rotate.

That's really all there is to it.

Falch's response of "IR lenses block light" it utterly irrelevant.

2020-05-13T05:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260449414667284480

@EverywhereUfo @Mabzors @AlienToYou @HunterRedX @naoehogui I'm saying the videos have quite boring possible explanations. This does not rule out advanced technology craft or aliens, but the videos are not evidence of that.

2020-05-13T05:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260436125832949762

@JeremyCorbell @509thConductor @Oswald1160 @uelbus @AstrobiologyDay @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Great. IR uses different material for its lenses. This is known.

You still get glare. Falch has made videos himself that show IR glare. There's lots of other videos that show IF glare.

Being a different wavelength of electromagnetic radiation does not stop glare.

2020-05-13T00:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260372673160347649

@uelbus @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 There isnâ□□t.

2020-05-13T00:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260372533586481152
@DualitySupposed @DaveFalch No. The camera is in ground track mode.
If it were simply not track (â□□snowplowâ□□ mode, I think) the sea would move as fast as the plane.

2020-05-12T23:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260355931197431808
@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Something along the optical path is rotating. That look like a streak glare, so could just be the camera relative to the housing. Hard to say without know what type of camera it was.

2020-05-12T23:43:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260354995288858624
@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 So how do these "major things" make the glare rotate?

2020-05-12T23:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260354131576807424
@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 A jet engine is not on fire?
The sky is a lot colder than the ground. More contrast = more glare.

2020-05-12T23:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260353112843317248
@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I'd posted an inverted version earlier to make it clearer.

I don't think this the same mechanism, but it's the same concept of a glare rotating because something in the optical path is rotating. The horizon does not rotate.
Glare starts at 3:40
https://www.military.com/video/operations-and-strategy/air-strikes/f-18-takes-out-insurgents/6588386321001

2020-05-12T23:24:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260350217016053765
@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 This is bizarre. You've seen multiple videos with IR glare, you've even taken some great videos of this yourself. Yet you keep saying there's no glare.

IR radiation glares in a camera in a very similar way to visible light glaring in a camera.

2020-05-12T22:51:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260341884267819008
@UFO_Researcher @PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 (The gun glare above isn't a point though, and you can see the shape fairly clearly - so maybe not the best example).

2020-05-12T22:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260341210956234752
@UFO_Researcher @PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Surface glare is unrelated. Glare (usually sunlight) from many surfaces (like water) can by cut by polarizing filters (reflected light is often polarized).
But here we are talking about glare from a direct (IR) light, like a flashlight, or a hot gun.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qj67RaLepsM

2020-05-12T22:42:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260339637928640512
@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Yes. Because the shape of a glare that large is not affected by the target, only the orientation of the optics (windows, lenses, apertures, mirrors, and sensors.) Rotating a flashlight (or a car on fire) does not change the shape the the glare.

2020-05-12T22:38:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260338512596840448
@PstarmanUa @UFO_Researcher @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-05-12T22:37:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260338289757679616
@PstarmanUa @UFO_Researcher @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 In Raytheon's patents. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX2ezupVAAAwNGw.jpg



The IR/CCD optical bench 42 houses the IR sensor 20 which comprises an IR sensor receiver 22, the time multiplexed modulated infrared (IR) reference source 21 that is mechanically aligned to the center of the field of view of the IR sensor receiver 22, a multi-spectral beam combiner 28 that combines beams of the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and the IR reference source 21. In the IR optical path is an IR imager 27 (or IR imaging optics 27), a focus mechanism 24, a reflective derotation mechanism 25 that derotates the IR beam to keep the IR image erect, and an IR relay beam expander 26 that expands the beams associated with the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and IR reference alignment source 21.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX2ezupVAAAwNGw.jpg

2020-05-12T22:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260337008896954368

@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Dave, in this video, the plane rotates about 20Â°, but the glare from the engines rotates about 90Â°, and part of that while the plane is NOT rotating.

If this is not a camera artifact (especially that last 45Â°), then what is it?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1260336309597401088/pu/img/n7-l8JYmr6wL5Jz3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1260336309597401088/pu/img/n7-l8JYmr6wL5Jz3.jpg
2020-05-12T22:27:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260335804842303488
@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Well, we've clearly establishes that infrared glare can rotate separately from the horizon. For example in this video.

So all you have is your assertion that it's not a glare.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1260335681613643781/pu/img/wnFXKKBYDzHqwKZc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1260335681613643781/pu/img/wnFXKKBYDzHqwKZc.jpg
2020-05-12T20:31:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260306549529956353
@hollywoodufos @PostDisclosure @alejandrotrojas @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU Iâ□□m not really looking for credibility. Iâ□□m figuring stuff out and then showing it to people.

2020-05-12T20:14:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260302352512192512
@PostDisclosure @alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU Why would I?

2020-05-12T19:27:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260290513426542592
@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU Right, I was told that I'd have to "write a paper, have it peer reviewed, and publish it" - which is just utter nonsense.

The camera loses lock. You can see it losing lock after a camera change. The target reticle expands.

So you demand a peer reviewed paper? For what?

2020-05-12T19:23:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260289572312805376
@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU

Unfortunately though, I don't think you understand the issue with the tracking loss due to camera changes.
Who are your experts? What did they say about this aspect?

2020-05-12T19:21:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260289014671659009
@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU An expert's answer is only as good as the layman's question. You didn't ask a relevant expert about ATFLIR passive-tracking lock-loss due to camera and lens changes.

2020-05-12T19:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260288001747218432
@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU Yeah, but they were obviously not consulted correctly. The camera loses lock due to to lens changes. This is blatant and inarguable. You see the reticle expand because it's lost the lock. This isn't something you need to debate, or rely on bad questions you asked experts. Fix it

---

2020-05-12T19:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1260284412580605952
@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU You may feel free to post our email exchange of May 4th on this topic. Here's the closing points I made.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX1t5kBUMAAI_5k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX1t5kBUMAAI_5k.jpg

2020-05-12T18:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260283144713854976

@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak @ExploreSCU I don't want a high-school style "debate" at all. I want to actually figure something out.

You claim that the video shows large accelerations. I claim it does not. Is this a "debate" topic? No, it's something that can be mutually investigated and resolved.

2020-05-12T18:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260280433993904128

@Oswald1160 @PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I'm adding some more. Interestingly adding "Raytheon" to searches makes a bunch more crop up. Here's a great one of a very strong halo forming around a gun barrel as it heats up. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qj67RaLepsM

2020-05-12T18:30:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260276257230487552

@PstarmanUa @Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Done, I've put them in the description of the video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2020-05-12T18:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260271802304983040

@Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 yeah, I should try to find the links to all the source videos.

2020-05-12T18:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260270692802543616

@Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 That footage, and various other examples are in my video on the topic. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2020-05-12T18:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260270114118623232

@W3lly I wish :) I took my money and ran years ago.

2020-05-12T18:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260269730499158016

@zcichy No. Except in the indirect sense that it's based partly on my original code and design contributions. Looks good, and from what I can see is relatively faithful to the physics. Hopefully controls are tight.

2020-05-12T18:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260268841105424385

@alejandrotrojas @hollywoodufos @neiltyson @BillNye @SethShostak If it claims that the FLIR1 videos does not lose lock, then that's a rather fatal flaw that should be fixed first.

2020-05-12T15:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260229839254024192

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Here's a brief description of how the BST cameras ended up with a physical unsharp mask. https://www.falstad.com/thermal/page01.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX08BL3UcAAPQbd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX08BL3UcAAPQbd.jpg

2020-05-12T15:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260224106508644352

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 You get a really dramatic physical unsharp mask effect on the older BST cameras. Search for "thermal camera BST"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CrVkdTXhc44

2020-05-12T14:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260222980078006272

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Yes, it's all from YouTube. As I remember I just looked for "Thermal Camera footage" or similar. I just did that again. Here's another video showing the halo effect for the first page of results.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XjIx597gDqU

2020-05-12T14:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260222249837064194

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I can track down the originals, but I'm not sure what you need them for? The original videos are as I show them in my video, I just used short clips.

2020-05-12T14:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260221866729279489

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 The halo is not the glare. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX01FrnU0AEyazH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX01FrnU0AEyazH.jpg

2020-05-12T14:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260221438541197312

@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 But to the question. Glare and halo are different things. The black blob is the glare. The white "glow" or "halo" around it is not glare, that's actually a darker region caused by the unsharp mask effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX00tFcU8AMkx26.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX00tFcU8AMkx26.jpg

2020-05-12T14:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260219378529783809

@DaveFalch @PstarmanUa @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 "Halo" is a good way to describe it. In fact that's what FLIR call it.

The terminology usage is not consistent though. Some people refer to a local veiling glare as a

halo. And "halo" is most commonly the ring around the sun through ice clouds - totally different thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX0xcyRU0AAC8GI.jpg



A ferroelectric detector has a continuous rotating chopper which is susceptible to breakdowns.

Ferroelectric versus microbolometer focal plane arrays

As described in the beginning of this article there is a difference between ferroelectric detector technology, which uses BST, and microbolometer detector technology using VOx or α-Si.

Because ferroelectric sensors are AC coupled, there is an internal mechanical chopper that recalibrates the image. This so-called Auto Image Calibration (AIC), is actually a continuously rotating "chopper". This is needed because the only way that a ferroelectric BST detector can create an image based on temperature differences is by continuously switching the signal that is falling on the detector on and off and then sampling the difference between the successive frames.

The continuously rotating chopper blocks the detector 50% of the time. This means that half the time the detector is not receiving any infrared radiation from the scene. This does not benefit the sensitivity of the camera.

A continuously rotating mechanical device is also very susceptible to breakdown, and is very sensitive to shock and vibration. The Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) for a ferroelectric BST camera is therefore a lot shorter than for a thermal imaging camera with a microbolometer detector, especially in harsh environments.

Microbolometers also have an internal mechanical calibration device. This NUC "paddle" will periodically flag in front of the detector. It freezes the image for a fraction of a second and recalibrates it. However, it is only blocking the detector from the scene very briefly and is less susceptible to breakdown compared to a chopper.

Thermoelectric Cooler

In ferroelectric BST detectors, thermoelectric coolers (TECs) are utilized to temperature stabilize the IR detector insuring that what the camera measures is resulting only from external photonic sources. VOx detectors (and some α-Si detectors) do not require this Thermoelectric Cooler.

The advantages of not having to use a TEC are many. Apart from enabling the camera to operate over a wide temperature range while maintaining excellent dynamic range and image quality, two other significant benefits are realized from TEC-less operation: reduced power consumption which is important in battery operated applications, and "instant-on" capability. The time to image is less than 2 seconds – ideal for on-demand applications like firefighting.



Not having a Thermoelectric Cooler is a big advantage in firefighting applications, since cameras are turned on and off to conserve battery power and any delays in producing an image can be potentially dangerous.



BST detectors are susceptible to the halo affect. A dark ring surrounds bright hotter objects.

Image retention and Halo effect

HALO EFFECT

Image retention is a phenomenon where there is a temporary "burn in" when looking at a certain object. An example we all know is when you look directly at the sun. When you look away an image of the sun will persist in your eyesight for a couple of seconds. α-Si detectors are more prone to this phenomenon than VOx detectors.

A halo is a ring of light that surrounds an object. The same effect can appear on a thermal image, and BST detectors are very susceptible to this. Most of the time this happens with hotter objects. They are surrounded with a dark ring. Needless to say, this is not benefiting the image quality.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EX0xcyRU0AAC8GI.jpg

2020-05-12T03:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1260052255740268544

@DaveFalch @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 You can see the glare in IR footage. Whatâ□□s to decide?

2020-05-11T22:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259975457895661569

@uelbus @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Why not? Radar tracks proves there was a plane at that exact spot. Profile and scale of the glare matches the engine configuration and distance. Contrail looks like a contrail.

2020-05-11T20:51:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259949164923772928

@PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Well Dave Falch is just wrong there. You see glare on thermal cameras all the time. Chilean Navy UFO, for an obvious example. It's not visible light making the glare, it's infrared radiation.

You can verify this.

2020-05-11T20:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259947808230010881

@PostDisclosure Well, I'm really trying to establish what is NOT going on - which then clears the way for either:

A) - a rather boring possible explanation
B) - an exciting explanation, that looks (in the limited information from the video) like A.

2020-05-11T20:39:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259946206374981632
@MickJoseph3 @STSMajesty It's old and not very practical technology. It wouldn't look (to the naked eye) like an actual object.

2020-05-11T20:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259945951881342981
@JunoWalker @dsfii @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I suspect it just does adjustments for accumulated needed corrections based on some heuristic algorithm.

2020-05-11T19:26:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259927920815902721
@MarcoFrasca5 @LtTimMcMillan Thermal decoy is about all that would be good for. It's relatively old technology that you dontt hear about because of the limited usefulness. Noisy, expensive, bright dots of light.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KfVS-npfVuY

2020-05-11T18:14:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259909843055345664
@dsfii @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 There's only two mayor axes, so yes the horizon has to rotate. There's some kind of derotation mechanism, but there also seem to be internal gimbals, meaning the need for major axes changes and derotation is not smooth.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXwZDi7UMAAoS8U.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXwZDi7UMAAoS8U.jpg
2020-05-11T04:16:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259698828816482310

@dave_beaty @paulsanderson @Oswald1160 @misterprem1 @ddeanjohnson @webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Yes, and these are all the losses of video that cause the momentary losses of lock - culminating with lock not being re-acquired at the end.

I think by "cut" here, he meant something like "(briefly) cut the feed", rather than "edited"

2020-05-11T03:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259693981589331968

@GaryVoorhis @UfoJoe11 @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @misterprem1 @ddeanjohnson @webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal Draw a diagram.

How did it reaquire lock? Were the movements very small?

2020-05-11T03:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259691442408062977

@bigcityrich @webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Not during the course of the videos.

2020-05-11T02:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259678944531345408

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan No, Iâ□□m asking when. Itâ□□s relevant.

2020-05-11T00:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259648429384298497

@UfoJoe11 @FatherTerra @PostDisclosure Huh? I never said that.

2020-05-10T21:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259596119346081792

@UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan When did they try them?

2020-05-10T21:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259595516066684930

@CovertGoat @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @webidextrous @THughesPhoto @BryanKelly @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal Underwood wasn't dispatched to the location of an object (at least according to his account)

2020-05-10T21:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259594693186252800

@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 Glare shape rotation can happen either way.

2020-05-10T21:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259593778270109696

@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 We don't really need to speculate, as we see unambiguous large lens flare rotation elsewhere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1259593602197409792/pu/img/6z81GS9vS2vH-PBa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1259593602197409792/pu/img/6z81GS9vS2vH-PBa.jpg

2020-05-10T21:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259592430510174208

@CovertGoat @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @webidextrous @THughesPhoto @BryanKelly @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal And yet they did nothing about it for several days, assuming them to be glitches.

So maybe they were.

2020-05-10T21:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259592243913973760

@CovertGoat @THughesPhoto @BryanKelly @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan

@dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal It's not a cold halo. It's just something you see often on IR footage. The unsharp mask effect can be digital, or (in older cameras) a physical artifact (BST sensor with chopper disk, in particular)

2020-05-10T21:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259591238170247168

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @paulsanderson @misterprem1 @ddeanjohnson @webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal Can you annotate an image with arrows showing where the object moved in its most dramatic movement and how quick they were?
Representative moves are good if you can't remember exactly.

Template and Example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXr3S91U0AE0FPj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXr25fVUYAIcDLH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXr3S91U0AE0FPj.jpg
2020-05-10T21:03:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259589917358739457
@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 It doesn't really matter if the derotation is optical or digital, the result is the same if it's derotating an image with a glare in it.

2020-05-10T19:53:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259572368197840896
@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 Why would it? It's programmed to display a useful non-rotating image. "Lots of image rotation" is exactly what they want to avoid.
But even then, why would losing lock create rotation? At that point the camera is not moving, or back into ground track.

2020-05-10T19:13:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259562183848914945
@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 Banking has nothing to do with it.

2020-05-10T19:12:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259562005251276800
@spiralgold1 @ChristopherKMe4 Check out how the ATFLIR camera module is mounted. It's essentially a two-axis gimbal for the external housing. You can't track left-right without the image rotating, so it needs some form of derotation. Also corrections for lock, especially around 0Â°.

2020-05-09T23:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259262661985886208
@aligoldsworthy Thanks Ali. I was looking at your Depolarization Project. It seems like there's some crossover there, especially regarding talking to people constructively and respectfully rather than critically and judgmentally.

2020-05-09T17:11:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259169040934686721
@webidextrous @THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal Yes, why not? If there's a problem with the radar, why would it only happen once?

And since radars vulnerabilities and operational details are classified secret, we'd never hear about it.

2020-05-09T17:09:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259168545398652928
@PostDisclosure @THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @webidextrous @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal Well, an encounter like Fravor's does not happen very often.
And "all of them" does not change the odds, since they were all there.

(Not that I like use odds here, too easy to let personal incredulity rule your thoughts)

2020-05-09T17:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259166795723751425
@PostDisclosure @THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @webidextrous @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal "at" being defined as "within several miles" here - also not at the altitude radar said it was at.

Two things being within a few miles of each other does not mean they are the same thing. Even when there are not many things around.

2020-05-09T17:00:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1259166367434985472
@webidextrous @THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas

@james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal I think there were false returns on the radar at some points during the Nimitz encounters.

2020-05-09T16:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259162398289620993

@THughesPhoto @GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @webidextrous @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal How that correlates with an eyewitness account. Nobody saw things drop out of the sky.

2020-05-09T15:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259145516425678850

@markbruback @LtTimMcMillan Did you listen to my chat with Tim?

2020-05-09T15:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259137730962841600

@GaryVoorhis @BryanKelly @webidextrous @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @BrandonFugal I'm not sure if seeing something indistinct way off in the distance roughly in the same direction is "concurring." Nobody observed the large rapid movements, AFAIK, except Fravor's group, and that was not on radar.

2020-05-09T14:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259130012227170304

@DaveFalch @LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified Although, to confuse things more, a larger "veiling glare" can be from both direct and/or indirect light.

Here though we are just talking about the glare that directly surrounds a very bright light (visible or IR) in a camera. Like the sun, for example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXlUADZUYAk5kpc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXlUADZUYAk5kpc.jpg

2020-05-09T14:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259128498439901184

@DaveFalch @LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified Maybe confusing glare and flare here - understandable as the the terms are often used interchangeable. But the shielding from outside light, and avoiding internal reflections, is done for prevent "lens flare". Glare essentially comes along the same light path as the object image.

2020-05-09T14:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259127408227086336

@509thConductor @HermanAllmaras You've been done with me end of conversation no more attention for days now!

2020-05-09T14:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259127111320629248

@DaveFalch @LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified GIMBAL doesn't change Field of View at all - the entire thing is in NAR.

You get glare in infrared videos. The Chilean UFO is a prime example of a distant plane with an IR glare larger than the plane. Here it is to scale. Note the size of the engines vs. glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXlRCrWUcAEL2SS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXlRCrWUcAEL2SS.jpg

2020-05-09T14:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259125696560951297

@HermanAllmaras @509thConductor I think Gimbal is likely a military plane or drone, due to the close configuration of the engine(s). Could be a bizjet.

In FLIR, I'd suspect it's just not where they were looking for it - actually a bit further away.

2020-05-09T14:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259124849424756741

@therealpmoney With more background here:

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/16pg-responsive-doc-pdf.38091/

2020-05-09T14:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259124469940908032

@therealpmoney

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ttsas-form-dd-1910-for-flir-go-fast-and-gimbal-videos.10702/

2020-05-09T14:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259124356556308481

@BryanKelly @webidextrous @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal You have to consider the field of view. NAR (narrow) designates 0.7Â°, which is like a REALLY powerful zoom lens. Like 2500mm equivalent. Like, literally, a 100x zoom. These objects were very small visually.

2020-05-09T14:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1259123505007693825

@markbruback @LtTimMcMillan They don't. They care about the things that I point out that they (or other people they trust) can check for themselves.

2020-05-09T04:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258971598234640386

@steven_dor @dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis 255 over San Diego (NKX) could easily be 330 at 120 miles and several hours away.

2020-05-09T04:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258970838390304768

@BradWoodward13 @te3ej @webidextrous @BrandonFugal @murnut @tommyIzito Not really, it's like the sun glancing off a window. You see the really bright reflection, then hardly anything.

2020-05-09T03:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258968605816848384

@curryji1 @LtTimMcMillan Long exposures of helicopters with searchlights.

2020-05-09T03:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258967435379523584

@dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis Just UWYO really. Everyone uses that.

2020-05-09T03:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258966977344704518

@dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis I was just using it as a way of illustrating the variations you can expect. Individual soundings are often way off just a short distance away.

2020-05-09T03:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258966054845313025

@steven_dor @dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis Reverse? We donâ□□t really have an exact heading for either wind or craft.

2020-05-09T01:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258937547939438592

@dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis Do you happen to have a link to the sounding you are using?

2020-05-09T01:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258936409257201670

@dave_beaty @UfoJoe11 @GaryVoorhis But they were not over San Diego. There's can be a huge differential in direction at that altitude. Check https://earth.nullschool.net/#current/wind/isobaric/250hPa/orthographic=-122.01,34.12,3000

2020-05-09T00:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258910963207507969

@peterxdunn @AstrobiologyDay @509thConductor @uelbus @UfoJoe11 I was answering your objection. Was your objection really so trivial that you instantly drop it like that?

The glare is nothing at all to do with the radar jumps. Explaining one does not explain the other. So why bring it up?

2020-05-09T00:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258910387132497920

@_jraza @KikPlenty @LtTimMcMillan Maybe so. Or perhaps faulty radar or something else? Maybe make a list of what it might be, ranked in order of ordinariness. Occamic Ranking.

1) Faulty Radar
2) User Error
3) Incorrect memories
4) Hoax
5) Flying craft that requires a quantum leap in our understanding of physics.

2020-05-08T23:54:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258908165812269056
@mikeb8637 Huh? I never asked anyone to trust me. I don't think you should trust random people on the internet.
However they might point out interesting things that you can check for yourself.

2020-05-08T23:47:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258906285837217795
@peterxdunn @AstrobiologyDay @509thConductor @uelbus @UfoJoe11 Not at 0:30 they don't

The glare from an engine can be large enough to obscure the entire plane, wings and all. Look at the glare around this A340 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXiIjTaUMAA8wWm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXiIjTaUMAA8wWm.jpg
2020-05-08T23:09:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258896771805089792
@509thConductor @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @Spark71029439 You and me both!

It's not a lens flare. It's glare. Like glare around a flashlight, or (in the thermal spectrum) a fire on the ground, or around a jet engine.

Being in the sky actually makes it hotter, relative to the background, as sky is like -80Â°F (radiant-heat-wise)

2020-05-08T23:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258896013768548352

@Wood_chuck88 @LtTimMcMillan Yeah I put it in quotes because of the contention of what "UFO" means in an official context. They are official videos. They show unidentified aerial phenomena. But people take that as different things - like "gov admits aliens are real" or "gov can't identify distant object"

2020-05-08T23:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258895312917114880

@LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified Context at 1:38:38

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ncppqq41XRI&feature=youtu.be&t=5918

2020-05-08T22:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258893275072618496

In #TFTRH Episode 43, I chat with @LtTimMcMillan about the challenges of investigating UFOs, in particular the "official" UFO videos from the US Navy.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ncppqq41XRI

2020-05-08T22:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258892605472960513

@LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified Here you go, I managed to edit it down to 32 seconds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258892457971863552/pu/img/hwj4M5hq4KM-A7sC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258892457971863552/pu/img/hwj4M5hq4KM-A7sC.jpg

2020-05-08T21:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258877594654531585

@PostDisclosure @Spark71029439 @509thConductor Here's a rotating glare, with large

rotations independent of the rotation of the scene.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258877393411821568/pu/img/LoMQ2JclNIx3fRKg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258877393411821568/pu/img/LoMQ2JclNIx3fRKg.jpg
2020-05-08T21:41:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258874612567257089
@TheoryQed @EthicalSkeptic @YouVacuum @DericKnight @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan My YouTube channel is not monetized. My book has nothing to do with UFOs. The only ads on Metabunk are for my book.
Put that all into the equation, sure, but I also try to present things people can check for themselves.

2020-05-08T19:24:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258840187225817088
@PostDisclosure @Spark71029439 @509thConductor There are.

2020-05-08T19:20:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258839155590623232
@PostDisclosure @Spark71029439 @509thConductor If there were good examples it would never had become such a mystery. Itâ□□s not convenient at all.

2020-05-08T19:17:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258838549748572161
@webidextrous @ddeanjohnson @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11

@JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal They didnâ□□t take the video. Underwood did, at a different event.

2020-05-08T19:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258837961702912000

@steven_dor @LtTimMcMillan Itâ□□s for my podcast.

2020-05-08T18:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258824730330558464

@webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal I'm familiar with nearly everything Fravor said.

He wasn't present when any of these video was made. He had his own single encounter. No video.

2020-05-08T18:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258822130361200640

@webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Why? How? I'm not getting that at all.

And only two videos have audio.

2020-05-08T18:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258821891181039617

@509thConductor @PostDisclosure Why would it happen in every video? It needs a AFLIR style mount (2 major axes, with the first one being horizontal). Then you need a shaped glare, and then you need the camera rotation from a gimbal correction.

There are videos, But I'm offline for a few hours as I edit.

2020-05-08T18:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258819601569177600

@webidextrous @BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal I think think that during the course of the videos, the pilots only saw the target on the FLIR. It was just too far away to be easily visible. Not impossible, but very difficult, and there's not indication of it. Certainly too small to see rotation.

2020-05-08T17:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258818936453255168

@509thConductor @PostDisclosure The glare in a thermal camera actually becomes more significant (relative to the size of the source) the further away things are. You see this in videos of planes. It's also fairly easy to verify at home.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXg45RfU8AAyEKc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXg45RfU8AAyEKc.jpg
2020-05-08T17:54:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258817575099658240
A fascinating chat just now with Tim McMillan on the topic of investigating the Navy UFOs. I'm busy editing this episode right now, and should have it out later today.
https://twitter.com/LtTimMcMillan/status/1258815847956611078

2020-05-08T14:29:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258766073525985281
@BryanKelly @THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Digital or analog. Unsharp mask was originally an analog process in photography. Older thermal cameras using BST sensors had a strong unsharp mask due to the mechanical "chopper" wheel. So you see that stronger in footage from older camera.

See: http://www.flirmedia.com/MMC/CVS/Appl_Stories/AS_0015_EN.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXgIq1dU8AA_5J3.jpg



A ferroelectric detector has a continuous rotating chopper which is susceptible to breakdowns.

Ferroelectric versus microbolometer focal plane arrays

As described in the beginning of this article there is a difference between ferroelectric detector technology, which uses BST, and microbolometer detector technology using VOx or α-Si.

Because ferroelectric sensors are AC coupled, there is an internal mechanical chopper that recalibrates the image. This so-called Auto Image Calibration (AIC), is actually a continuously rotating "chopper". This is needed because the only way that a ferroelectric BST detector can create an image based on temperature differences is by continuously switching the signal that is falling on the detector on and off and then sampling the difference between the successive frames.

The continuously rotating chopper blocks the detector 50% of the time. This means that half the time the detector is not receiving any infrared radiation from the scene. This does not benefit the sensitivity of the camera.

A continuously rotating mechanical device is also very susceptible to breakdown, and is very sensitive to shock and vibration. The Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) for a ferroelectric BST camera is therefore a lot shorter than for a thermal imaging camera with a microbolometer detector, especially in harsh environments.

Microbolometers also have an internal mechanical calibration device. This NUC "paddle" will periodically flag in front of the detector. It freezes the image for a fraction of a second and recalibrates it. However, it is only blocking the detector from the scene very briefly and is less susceptible to breakdown compared to a chopper.

Thermoelectric Cooler

In ferroelectric BST detectors, thermoelectric coolers (TECs) are utilized to temperature stabilize the IR detector insuring that what the camera measures is resulting only from external photonic sources. VOx detectors (and some α-Si detectors) do not require this Thermoelectric Cooler.

The advantages of not having to use a TEC are many. Apart from enabling the camera to operate over a wide temperature range while maintaining excellent dynamic range and image quality, two other significant benefits are realized from TEC-less operation: reduced power consumption which is important in battery operated applications, and "instant-on" capability. The time to image is less than 2 seconds – ideal for on-demand applications like firefighting.



Not having a Thermoelectric Cooler is a big advantage in firefighting applications, since cameras are turned on and off to conserve battery power and any delays in producing an image can be potentially dangerous.



BST detectors are susceptible to the halo affect. A dark ring surrounds bright hotter objects.

Image retention and Halo effect

Image retention is a phenomenon where there is a temporary "burn in" when looking at a certain object. An example we all know is when you look directly at the sun. When you look away an image of the sun will persist in your eyesight for a couple of seconds. α-Si detectors are more prone to this phenomenon than VOx detectors.

A halo is a ring of light that surrounds an object. The same effect can appear on a thermal image, and BST detectors are very susceptible to this. Most of the time this happens with hotter objects. They are surrounded with a dark ring. Needless to say, this is not benefiting the image quality.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXgIq1dU8AA_5J3.jpg
2020-05-08T14:22:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258764186470903808
@AstrobiologyDay @509thConductor @uelbus @UfoJoe11 How much AFLIR footage of distant planes have you seen? It's not like there's hours of it. Even on different systems, and then the planes are usually much closer, so it's not exactly the same effect.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258764058305519617/pu/img/Mlu_P5TUpN0u3Guf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258764058305519617/pu/img/Mlu_P5TUpN0u3Guf.jpg
2020-05-08T14:09:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258761014729830400
@509thConductor @uelbus @UfoJoe11 Gimbal is ALL in IR mode. It starts out in WHT (White = hot) then changes to BLK (Black = hot).
In IR BLK, you see the object changes shape quite significantly between 0:13 and 30 seconds later. Another indication it's a glare.

2020-05-08T06:41:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258648254348025856
@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 Yes, the light in the background rotating in lock with the "gimbal" indicated that it's an optical thing.
I think it's the glare from a jet engine. There's a real object behind it, but what we see is like the glare that covers my flashlight.

2020-05-08T06:23:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258643660024864768
@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 I show the original clip and a derotated clip. It's just a video of a flashlight. Nothing is done other than the derotation step.

2020-05-08T06:22:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258643325055197184
@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 I rotated the camera with my hand. Then, in software, I rotated the entire image so that the garage door did not rotate. This causes the glare to rotate.

It's not easy to understand, so take your time.

2020-05-08T06:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258642673038028800

@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 Did you not see the video you just referenced?

2020-05-08T06:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258642408771706881

@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan It didn't. Two different hits are mistaken as one object.

2020-05-08T06:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258641160123191296

@ztrussell @ChristopherKMe4 It has no relation at all, as far as I know. Fravor was vectored out by SPY-1, but he did not take the video. Underwood just went to his designated zone, he got a ping on his plane's radar (not lock though), but did not mention SPY-1.

2020-05-08T06:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258640678403227648

@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 What do you mean by "the background" here?

A) The clouds and the horizon

B) The faint pattern of bands of light that overlays the image, and is most visible in the clear sky

---

2020-05-08T05:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258635607695257601

@paulsanderson @509thConductor @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Twitter kind of messes with that. Here's a direct link to that GIF.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Gimbal-Ping-Pong-4.gif

2020-05-08T05:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258635012137607169

@paulsanderson @509thConductor @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Look at the light patterns in the sky, not the horizon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXeRyqUUEAAdk7Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXeRyqUUEAAdk7Y.jpg

2020-05-08T05:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258634369687683072

@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 509, try taking the best version of the video, and scrub back and forth, and look at the sky (not the horizon - which is fixed to the actual horizon)

https://www.metabunk.org/f/2-GIMBAL-CROP-HQ.mp4

2020-05-08T05:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258633317278035969

@paulsanderson @509thConductor @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @DaveFalch Glare vs. Horizon rotation: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-05-08T05:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258632439036932096

@ztrussell @ChristopherKMe4 1) Without a radar lock the camera is passive tracking, meaning it relies on the videos data to track the target. When it changes lens/camera there's an interruption in the video, so it HAS to lose lock.

2) Underwood was watching the video.

2020-05-08T05:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258623871105642497
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @steven_dor @UfoJoe11 You are really missing the boat here Dave.
I know you don't like me. But I've both explained and demonstrated why the horizon and glare rotate independently. You stubbornly ignore this, and I doubt you'll stop ignoring it.

But really, did you ever really consider it?

2020-05-08T05:00:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258622887423995907
@ztrussell @ChristopherKMe4 Sure, maybe the object moved towards the left every time the camera changed - like it knew from miles away when the camera changes were, and did a little feint to fool people.

2020-05-08T00:24:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258553438465486851
@DericKnight @TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan I appreciate that - but I'm getting mixed messages here. On the one hand it's highly trained operators making accurate assessments, and on the other it's the whole thing being "half assed as hell".

2020-05-08T00:18:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258551875353235457
@DericKnight @TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan So weird things just get ignored?

Why? Because they are assumed to be glitches?

2020-05-08T00:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258551685686849537
@DericKnight @TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan Erm, so something is just hanging out near the group for days, bizarrely drifting in the wind, and nobody cares?
The captain knew about it. He said it was maybe ice clouds. So he was paying attention.

2020-05-08T00:09:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258549517986574336
@DericKnight @TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan Why do you think they ignored them for days, if they thought they were real things?

2020-05-07T23:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258541809602457600
The Skepticâ□□s Guide to the Pentagonâ□□s UFO Videos
https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/n7wjzg/the-skeptics-guide-to-the-pentagons-ufo-videos?utm_campaign=sharebutton via @vice

---

2020-05-07T23:37:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258541485852524545
@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan 100 knots is fast, but not incredibly unusual at

high altitudes.
https://earth.nullschool.net/#2020/04/18/1800Z/wind/isobaric/250hPa/orthographic=-112.01,30.56,2151/loc=-117.868,32.284 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXc8lR8UcAAaCU8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXc8lR8UcAAaCU8.jpg
2020-05-07T23:33:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258540487985655808
@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan The false tracks they saw were things moving at wind speed (100 knots) towards the south.
Nobody ever physically saw anything like that.
I suspect a weather based radar anomaly.

2020-05-07T23:21:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258537554799804416
@JunoWalker It would have to be automatic. You want the horizon at the correct angle all the time, so the system is constantly adjusting it.

2020-05-07T20:04:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258487989140783104
@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan How can you recognize a blob in the distance? It could be anything. Likewise with false returns on radar.

2020-05-07T19:30:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258479334534180864
@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan No, I've never said or implied any of those broad staw man statements.

They ARE still UAPs. Who said they were not?

2020-05-07T19:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258477852678209536

@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan Because the military users did not recognize what they were looking at. The IR camera does not identify things for you, it tries to show you a zoomed in IR image of them.

2020-05-07T19:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258477520103436288

@AstrobiologyDay @IvanHeadless @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal This "thermal imaging sensor" is still a camera. There's still a lens, and there's still a focal plane array sensor. It has similar artifacts to visible light cameras (plus some extra ones).

2020-05-07T19:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258477073217159169

@THughesPhoto @AstrobiologyDay @LtTimMcMillan @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal No, because it pertains to classified technology. The military does not like to talk about such things. Raytheon defers to the military.

2020-05-07T18:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258470218323484672

@LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal No, I'd say that if it IS rotating in the way it APPEARS to rotate then it's not a conventional craft. Which is a large part of the argument for it being something unusual.

Of course it might be an alien spaceship that is not rotating, but that's kind of a pointless observation

---

2020-05-07T18:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258468554216595458

@neondaggergames I'm not.

2020-05-07T18:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258464196087300096

@PostDisclosure @mikeb8637 @LtTimMcMillan @adminnathan @AstrobiologyDay @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220

@THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Some time Friday. Iâ□□ll do very minimal editing.

2020-05-07T18:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258463840318009344

@LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal If itâ□□s rotating, then itâ□□s not a conventional aircraft.

2020-05-07T18:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258462882024452096

@LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Yeah, I think you are missing something there.

2020-05-07T18:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258462661211115520

@LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal The statement is from 2017. The only updates they did up to 2019 was to add the text "possible UFO" three time - which sounds like a minor bit of base-covering to me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXb040rUYAAINax.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXb040rUYAAINax.jpg

2020-05-07T18:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258461392748687361

@LtTimMcMillan @AstrobiologyDay @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Yeah, and it's a statement that unfortunately totally ignores the issue of rotating glare.

2020-05-07T18:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258460897544040448

@UFO_Researcher @TheoryQed @LtTimMcMillan It's nothing to do with the curve of the Earth. If you are at 50,000 feet you can see hundreds of miles. Even at 20,000 feet, the horizon is about 170 miles away, and planes would be way above the horizon.

2020-05-07T18:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258460357800022016

@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan I'd defer to the operator on that one :)

Underwood, I think, said that the radar "just couldn't cut it", or words to that effect.
I think get 99.9 means getting a return, but too noisy (?) to get a lock.

2020-05-07T18:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258459243465764864

@AstrobiologyDay @LtTimMcMillan @adminnathan @dave_beaty @ddeanjohnson @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal "Raytheon" is a lot of people.

You'd need an engineer familiar with the gimbal and derotation aspects of the ATFLIR optical pipeline, preferably with relevant operational testing experience (following a distant jet), and who is unencumbered by confidentiality and secrecy.

2020-05-07T18:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258458304520400896

@TheoryQed @UFO_Researcher @LtTimMcMillan You don't magically stop seeing all objects at a certain distance. It depends on how big they are.

I think the range limit is for laser targeting.

2020-05-07T18:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258456598671880197

@neondaggergames It's kind of zoomed in, just covering 2Mhz. (about 27.5 to 29.5).

2020-05-07T05:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258271214931308544

@YepConsiderably @mission_europa @LtTimMcMillan How on earth would I know if there had been classified Senator briefings?

I mean, they would be classified.

2020-05-07T05:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258270979270180864

@IBKING46 @Berserk97981716 @ChristopherKMe4 I don't think all witness are incorrect.

2020-05-07T05:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258269944547966976

@YepConsiderably @mission_europa @LtTimMcMillan I actually don't recall saying "there was no classified Senator briefings regarding the footage."

Can you point to where I said it?

2020-05-07T03:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258240151907491840

@paulsanderson @DaveFalch @ztrussell @CryptGold @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel https://youtu.be/r119JWI04Ls

---

2020-05-07T03:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258237552093323265

@paulsanderson @DaveFalch @ztrussell @CryptGold @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel Yes.

2020-05-07T02:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258215171572416513

@DaveFalch @CryptGold @paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel Which are really the same thing. Just different wavelengths.

2020-05-07T01:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258214260280184834

@CryptGold @paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch No, but the

usages, unfortunately, overlap.

2020-05-07T01:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258214043405430784

@TruckChudmore @UFOvet @InquiringJosh @UfoJoe11 Itâ□□s not, itâ□□a a target direction indicator.

2020-05-07T01:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258206932839481345

@murnut A hoax? No. Just an unfortunate experiment. Bottom line though - they were putting out animals as bait.

2020-05-07T01:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258206394349613057

@CryptGold @paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch No, it's not a lens flare. It's a glare. A glare is the apparent light that surrounds a really bright light like the sun or a flashlight pointed at the camera. Lens flares are internal reflections. This is not an internal reflection.

2020-05-07T00:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258196041720975360

One would hope otherwise, but it seems like on the next episode of the History Channel's Skinwalker show, some cute alpacas are being set out as bait for a supernatural creature and then getting mauled by a mountain lion. A bit less fun than before.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXYCJFMUEAAH1X2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXYCJFMUEAAH1X2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXYBiaGUMAANVYG.jpg

2020-05-07T00:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258193919692599296

@CryptGold @paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch And then this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0&feature=emb_logo

---

2020-05-07T00:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258193836339163141

@CryptGold @paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch No, the camera is rotating because it's a two-mayor-axis gimbal system. It has to rotate to traverse 0Â°. It's somewhat complicated an unintuitive. See this: https://youtu.be/4X1PRDbtiF0

2020-05-07T00:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258191631028613125

This is an SDR frequency analysis shown on the Skinwalker Ranch show as something significant. Anyone know what these regular patterns mean? Fluorescent lights? Bad ground?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXX-TZXVAAAJ8NI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXX-TZXVAAAJ8NI.jpg

2020-05-07T00:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258190889748324352

@IvanHeadless @UfoJoe11 And so?

2020-05-07T00:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258190507450105856

@Anglonaut @InquiringJosh @UfoJoe11 Where is this data?

2020-05-07T00:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258190355230408704

@paulsanderson @CryptGold @UfoJoe11 @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch The ATFLIR cares absolutely zero about the rotation of the target. It cares about:

1) Keeping the target in the middle of the screen
2) Keeping the horizon in the image parallel to the actual horizon seen from the plane.

2020-05-06T23:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258172024385503232

@JackHelliquinn @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @tomdelonge @RichDolan @nickpopemod I don't think the government is lying about the three videos that the Navy released. The videos show UFOs. The Navy says they have not identified them. I've not identified them. We are in agreement.

2020-05-06T22:59:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258169632550055937

@InquiringJosh @UfoJoe11 The apparent object does not really rotate in sync with the clouds (except when the horizon is rotating) it rotates in sync with a light pattern that's visible mostly in the sky. Indicating it's an optical effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXXqZksUwAEAHqU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXXqZksUwAEAHqU.jpg

2020-05-06T22:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258168440843464704

@rjblaskiewicz So it begins! Well done.

2020-05-06T22:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258167513268944896

@flarkey @JunoWalker @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan Using a variable ND filter shows the glare seeming grow and shrink. Really it's always there, you just can't see the dimmer parts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258167283488133121/pu/img/aicKiFOYaFw9dFC3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1258167283488133121/pu/img/aicKiFOYaFw9dFC3.jpg
2020-05-06T22:48:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258166890574180356
@flarkey @JunoWalker @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan I verified this by photographing an actual glare on a paper "sensor." The glare is still there. No sensor overflow needed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXXoEF2UEAAhzNb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXXoEF1UwAEm-rJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXXoEF2UEAAhzNb.jpg

2020-05-06T22:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258166200325959680

@flarkey @JunoWalker @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan The "spill" around the actual image is light that would reach those sensor pixels anyway, but it's usually orders of magnitude too dim to register. But pump up the middle, and the surrounds become visible. It's not overflow, just brighter.

2020-05-06T22:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258165627153334272
@flarkey @JunoWalker @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan I wish.
I use the term "glare" (unwanted light in the camera, like "veiling glare") to distinguish it from a lens flare, which is a reflection off a lens.
Glare in general isn't really a sensor overload "spill"thing. It's just a really bright light.

2020-05-06T22:31:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258162562010509312
@flarkey @JunoWalker @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @flarkey, regarding GIMBAL, do you have any suggestion on how we might demonstrate the glare and the apparent rotation.
I'd really like someone with experience to go on the record. Ideally I'd love a demonstration, or similar footage.
Classification regs make this very hard.

2020-05-06T21:47:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258151399952547840
@CryptGold @Oswald1160 @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal It's not a plane, it the glare from jet engine.
Unfortunately there's only a very small amount of ATFLIR video out there.

2020-05-06T19:26:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258115908335136768
@LtTimMcMillan @alejandrotrojas @james_greywood @conorowens94 @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal What did you ask them?

2020-05-06T19:20:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258114479990075392
@paulsanderson @ddeanjohnson @Berserk97981716 @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 You remember the scoffing?

2020-05-06T19:19:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258114272946556928
@JunoWalker @paulsanderson @509thConductor It means that on the video, the Gimbal object appeared top-shaped. Which it does.
"Shining a flashlight in our sensor" could main points of light.
He'd mention see lights in a different context.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXW33UvUYAA6v_M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXW33UvUYAA6v_M.jpg

2020-05-06T18:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258098532394741760

@paulsanderson @509thConductor But that does not appear to be the case. The rotation in sync with the rotations of other optical effects indicates that it's an optical effect.

2020-05-06T18:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258098263011418112

@rationalstoic @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal And how does that invalidate the "No Sudden Moves" analysis?

2020-05-06T16:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258064072265744384

@ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Yeah. Because shit is classified by default. And there are unidentified airspace incursions.

Something having an inadequate explanation does not mean it has an extraordinary explanation.

2020-05-06T15:49:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258061243652268034
@ddeanjohnson @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Unidentified airspace incursions are very serious.

2020-05-06T15:48:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258060987623567363
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal And why don't you ask Gardisher specifically about this?

2020-05-06T15:46:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258060663575810049
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal The Navy would naturally use all that is at their disposal. How could they possibly NOT include classified information in reaching their conclusion? They would have to pretend it did not exist and use analysts with no knowledge of anything classified.
Why would they?

2020-05-06T15:41:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258059396568895489
@Phenomenology19 @PostDisclosure @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Why does that bother you? Isn't being more efficient a good thing?
If there are errors they can get pointed out straight away.
If I try to publish something it could be a year before it comes out, and then only 2 or three "peers" with limited info will offer input, or maybe not.

2020-05-06T15:39:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258058906045038592
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Here's a more relevant part of that regulation showing how a conclusion inherits the classification of the source material.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWF3QIUMAAqKKR.jpg

R 380-14

contemplated future plans or guidance 4.c.3. Vulnerabilities of the U.S. or foreign countries, their military forces, military systems, domestic infrastructure, political system, or other vital components				the U.S. or foreign countries, their military forces, military systems, domestic infrastructure, political system, or other vital components
4.d. Analytic judgments or products that provide an authoritative USCENTCOM position that draws on an understanding of classified information.	CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET or TOP SECRET. See Note 1.	25 years from the date of origination	1.4 (a) 1.4 (c) 1.4 (d) 1.4 (g)	Judgments that can be closely linked to specific classified sources should reflect the classification of those sources and should carry appropriate releaseability markings in accordance with ICD 710. Citing the authorities contained in this guide is not required as a basis for the classification of these judgments.
4.e. Compilations	SECRET	25 years from the date	1.4 (e)	Should carry appropriate

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWF3QIUMAAqKKR.jpg

2020-05-06T15:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258057745883738118

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Well I'd put money on it being pretty much the same across the US military.

2020-05-06T15:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258056819454308360

@JunoWalker @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal I don't see why not. Ideally they would then say (in public) it's an "unidentified plane." But it might be a "unidentified aircraft", or an "unidentified aerial platform." We don't know any more details as to what they concluded.

2020-05-06T15:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258055684295323648

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWC-KGUMAAxz9S.jpg

---

R 380-14

depend on analytic methodologies not available to the public.			
4.g. Analytic judgments that depend on analytic methodologies not available to the public.	SECRET	25 years from the date of origination	1.4
4.h. Analytic writings or	SECRET	25 years from the date of origination	1.4 1.4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWC-KGUMAAxz9S.jpg

2020-05-06T15:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258055140017180673

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Surely the conclusion of an investigation that incorporated classified information would itself have the same classification by default? You then have to go through a review to declassify it.

2020-05-06T15:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258054616362541057

@JamesEGrayMusic @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Yes, I mean with a question mark, to clarify it's what I consider a probable explanation, which some people question, and I leave open to simple falsification, and it's not a universally accepted one.

I don't really consider it a big issue though. The content is the thing.

2020-05-06T15:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258053700293017600

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis

@BrandonFugal Or course, it's perfectly legal and possible for the navy to approve some or all of that information. Maybe they will. But do they have a reason to do so?

I kind of feel like it's not a priority for them. Why would they expend the effort?

2020-05-06T15:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258053241880764417

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Sure, but I'm just going by Gardisher: "Any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected."

2020-05-06T15:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258052800556064776

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal In his responses to Roger Glassel and John Greenwald

https://www.facebook.com/groups/ufoupdates/permalink/10156254091656790/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWAT0UU0AAPOLI.jpg

---

8)Has the Navy, or any other component, come to the conclusion that some of the unidentified sightings reported is due to an aerial phenomena with technological features not currently known to mankind?

A8) The Navy has not publicly released characterizations or descriptions, nor released any hypothesis or conclusions in reference to any reported sightings/observations. As the investigation of UAP sightings is ongoing, we will not discuss individual sighting reports/observations. However, all possible sources of information pertaining to any individual sighting reports/observations are considered in the respective investigations. The information obtained from each individual report of any suspected training range incursion will continue to be investigated in its own right. The information obtained in these reports will be catalogued and analyzed for the purpose ofidentifying any hazard to our aviators. This process could involve multiple Department of Defense and Intelligence Community organizations. Any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXWAT0UU0AAPOLI.jpg

2020-05-06T15:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258051511591907328

@GaryVoorhis @LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @BrandonFugal R 380-14 is a fairly clear set of regulations. Even unclassified material (U) cannot be publicly disclosed until it's approved for public release. And there's a long list of things (in the appendices) that are Secret (S) or Confidential (C) by default.
https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/dod/r380-14.pdf

2020-05-06T15:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258049529170911233
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis
@BrandonFugal Sure. If you press PJ for details he'll go so far and then tell you he can't say more because of classification.
Navy spokesman Gardisher said very clearly that the results of the investigations into the videos would be classified and not released.

2020-05-06T14:57:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258048218262167553
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis
@BrandonFugal How would you feel if it were titled "Explained?"

2020-05-06T14:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258047893547544578
@Rico71091546 @Betofrancafisio http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXV74K-U0AsRy7E.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXV74K-U0AsRy7E.jpg
2020-05-06T14:55:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258047640807149568

@Rico71091546 @Betofrancafisio Well, you can see the glass. So if there was a light some distance behind her, then it would create a reflection. Hard to say for sure without more context.
It's very common source of "UFO" pics and videos.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXV7pTsUcAAbTuO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXV7pTsUcAAbTuO.jpg
2020-05-06T14:48:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258046059898499072
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal And you say the videos are "simply good pragmatic theories" - which I don't see as a big problem. The reason people leap to the ET hypothesis (or even advanced tech hypothesis) is the illusion that pragmatic theories have been eliminated.

I show they have not.

2020-05-06T14:45:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1258045157389156352
@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal Well, consider GIMBAL - the initial hypothesis, and still a fairly mainstream one, is that it's an actual rotating object.

Am I being intellectually regressive, with no critical thinking, in suggesting that it might not be, and that the actual solution is a bit more complicated?

2020-05-06T14:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258041745947820032

@Betofrancafisio Hmm, does not look like it's on a structure. But it's through glass. I'd put "reflection" at the top of the list.

2020-05-06T14:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258039838470623233

@Betofrancafisio Really not a lot to go by there. First step would be to see what that view looks like in daylight. Maybe a fixed structure light. But very easily could be a drone

---

2020-05-06T12:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258013907160989697

@BradWoodward13 @BrandonFugal @murnut @tommyIzito @webidextrous Itâ□□s a bug. Close to the camera. Illuminated by the IR light.

2020-05-06T12:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1258013453303705600

@paulsanderson @509thConductor If it were close enough. Which this was not. Like any telephoto system.

2020-05-06T06:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257915650896310279

@paulsanderson @509thConductor Well wasn't Grave describing what he saw on the video? Which he claims was a longer version of GIMBAL. So of course it's going to look the same.

2020-05-06T06:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257914183728820225

@BradWoodward13 @BrandonFugal @murnut @tommyIzito @webidextrous It's just an insect caught in the IR light of the camera.

2020-05-06T00:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257830057088708610

@BrandonFugal @murnut @tommyIzito @webidextrous Well the problem here is that his credibility, knowledge, and experience isn't really coming though in the show. You see hints of it, like when he express skepticism when that giant magnet was rolled out. But it's mostly hidden by the show's production.

2020-05-06T00:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257825266308534272

@novak_meri @JLuvin @tommyIzito @ColonelQuestion @BrandonFugal Charitably, this could just be a recreation because they needed to get some footage in the can. But why show something that's so obviously not what it claims to be. This meter is not directional at this point, or at any point in this segment, other than at the table.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSw1z1UEAEmRqX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSw1z1UEAEmRqX.jpg
2020-05-05T23:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257818265381822465
@novak_meri @JLuvin @tommyIzito @ColonelQuestion @BrandonFugal They are trying to make the TriField meter directional. Which is a bit odd, because in episode 1, they thought it WAS directional.
But the meter actually needs to be entirely inside the can. I'm sure he knows this and maybe did it earlier. The scene was a dramatization

---

2020-05-05T23:37:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257816595868479489
@webidextrous @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito Doing it in spite of your credentials is actually better. The Mythbusters did great science all the time. Adam Savage was a model maker.

2020-05-05T23:34:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257815852532903936
@murnut @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @webidextrous I'm being realistic. Brandon knows there's trade-offs for doing a show like this. The producers need to give an entertaining narrative, with appropriate reactions, etc. Taylor, while no doubt being an actual scientist/engineer, is also great at working with producers.

2020-05-05T23:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257812222593478657
@BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @webidextrous Yes, he's got impressive credentials and experience. But a non-trivial chunk of his career now is doing these TV shows. He's certainly not JUST a TV Scientist, but it's on his resume.

You could just hire an RF measuring expert, but that would be really boring.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSkWN-UwAAV-w7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSkWN-UwAAV-w7.jpg
2020-05-05T22:30:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257799863019778048
@JLuvin @tommyIzito @ColonelQuestion @BrandonFugal Protocol for what? So far I've head that if I go the ranch then strange things will happen. I've yet to see any evidence of that. But regarding protocols, it's not hard to do better than this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSZjwrVcAAxDf2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXSZjwrVcAAxDf2.jpg

2020-05-05T22:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257795537010438144

@tommyIzito @webidextrous @BrandonFugal What are the typical types of experiences that people have at SWR?

I've watched the show but nothing seems to be happening. One guy had a lump on his head flare up, nobody else did. Travis got a bit dizzy, nobody else did. Seems those are more person based than location based.

2020-05-05T22:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257794119323152384

@JasonBermas @Testore_Gianni @AE911Truth @iamdylanavery You know that very misleading building comparisons have been used before, and explained. Now you're sharing a cladding fire on a concrete building as if it's significant. You are just pumping up the choir.

2020-05-05T22:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257792647223099392

@Testore_Gianni @JasonBermas @AE911Truth @iamdylanavery And the Abbco tower's structural material?

Concrete.

Come on Jason.

2020-05-05T21:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257791730889326592

@Testore_Gianni @JasonBermas @AE911Truth @iamdylanavery Actually, sorry I mistook that for Windsor tower. This one appears to be a cladding fire. Even LESS like the WTC.

2020-05-05T21:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257791086602252288

@Testore_Gianni @JasonBermas @AE911Truth @iamdylanavery Well the lack of the huge plane flying into it and exploding probably had something to do with that.

2020-05-05T21:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257790801213456384

@webidextrous @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito When he rotates the meter 90Â° the signal just changes from 18 to 11, consistent with it being moved a bit away from his body (and the mic transmitter on his belt.) http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXSRpI-UEAEi1pZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXSRpI-UEAEi1pZ.jpg

2020-05-05T21:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257786480761507840

@webidextrous @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @BillNye @neiltyson The beauty of the internet is that if they were presented with new information then they would be able to share that information. So we would not have to trust their authority.

If it was a personal, unrecorded, experience then I admit I MIGHT give them more credence than Travis.

2020-05-05T21:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257784465411043329

@webidextrous @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @BillNye @neiltyson They have a certain bias toward mainstream science - but then mainstream science is generally the most accurate science. It works really well, and has been extensively tested.

That very different to a bias towards the supernatural, speculation, and sensationalism.

2020-05-05T21:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257782824137981952
@BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @webidextrous I'm sure his credentials are legit, and that he's both intelligent and experienced. But career TV scientists unfortunately have a strong bias towards what makes good TV. You need to convince someone whose paycheck does not depend upon them being convinced (or at least credulous)

2020-05-05T21:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257782098925989888
@BrandonFugal @tommyIzito @webidextrous Forgive me if I'm a little skeptical about Dr. Taylor. He was one of the "experts" on the Unidentified show. Didn't really do a good job with the videos.
Also, when he was reading the RF meter there was audio, meaning that wireless mic on his belt was broadcasting RF.

2020-05-05T21:10:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257779631568162816
@tommyIzito @webidextrous @BrandonFugal I'm still a little confused as to what you would expect a skeptic to do there. What exactly would I be testing? Or do I just go there, dig a hole, and have an experience, like CE5, and I'd be converted.
Who is the the last scientist who was converted?

2020-05-05T21:06:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257778741532454912
@JuenoMT @JamesEGrayMusic @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito I do understand that. But then you also understand that most people are skeptical about your encounter. So why get so upset? Christians don't get angry at atheists when atheists say they don't believe in God. They just shake their heads and pray for them.

2020-05-05T21:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257777935919939584
@webidextrous @JuenoMT @JamesEGrayMusic @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito Well with all this paranormal stuff going on all the time over many years, it's quite amazing it's never actually been recorded.

What people KNOW is not always what actually IS. Not dismissive, just a human reality which applies to everyone.

2020-05-05T21:01:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257777365372989441
@JasonBermas @AE911Truth @iamdylanavery Not odd at all. Concrete core. The steel sections with less fire insulation DID collapse.

2020-05-05T20:22:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257767748190396416
@JuenoMT @JamesEGrayMusic @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito I wasn't responding to anyone's personal experience. I was saying that if I were to go to some random ranch, where there's

dangerous wild animals, and go out in the dark, then it would be scary. Any little noise would make you nervous.

https://www.deseret.com/2012/9/14/20506712/roosevelt-man-finds-cougar-hiding-in-backyard-shed

---

2020-05-05T17:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257731730665959425

@itspossible22 Hysteria? Well in a Bader-Meinhof way, perhaps. But your question seems kind of vague.

2020-05-05T17:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257730925162463232

@JamesEGrayMusic @BrandonFugal @tommyIzito At night, in the dark, by yourself, in a field, the skinwalkers are everywhere.

2020-05-05T17:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257730716760080385

@therealpmoney @GaryVoorhis I don't think there's any account of multiple different radars giving the exact same return of an astonishing event like the 80,000 feet to 50 feet one. Even there, Kevin Day can't say if it actually tracked it down, or it lost it at top and picked up something else at the bottom

---

2020-05-05T17:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257726931165868032

@BrandonFugal @tommyIzito I also live in the country, on property that was once a cattle ranch. It's dark AF at night, there's coyotes, mountain lions, rattlesnakes, and vultures. I've found dead animals and lots of bones. There's a stone shaft, odd trees and mysterious pipes. So how would SWR differ?

2020-05-05T17:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257725046660816899

@therealpmoney @GaryVoorhis @ChristopherKMe4 Vertically. Consider how they would to fly so the top plane could keep eyes on the lower plane. Both planes are moving very fast. Where would they be? Where would the Tic-Tac be?

At least 2 miles away, methinks.

And the altitude estimates are just memories, ranges which vary

---

2020-05-05T17:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257723984440778753

@THughesPhoto @tommyIzito @BrandonFugal I got it more for investigating EMF/5G danger claims.

2020-05-05T17:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257723707184672768

@itspossible22 I think it's probably a plane of some sort.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s1oTg0kxzDs

2020-05-05T17:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257723358881345537

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis Demonstrate your argument is true first before jumping to conclusions.

2020-05-05T17:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257722988130037760

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis I disagree. But feel free to do a more detailed analysis of the entire video. I'd suggest motion tracking the ends of the horizon indicator to extract the angle, then plot it against the heading changes, like I did here for GOFAST. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/post-220299 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXRUKd_UwAEfMYM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXRUKd_UwAEfMYM.jpg

2020-05-05T17:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257720161739476992

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis It's silly, that's why. Obvious it can't account for the motion if the motion happens when the wobble does not.

2020-05-05T17:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257719873888645120

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis Er, no. Angles are angles. They are the same angles no matter how far away you go. Draw a top-down diagram.

2020-05-05T17:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257719509776916480

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis Of course, but I'm pretty sure that the plane is just flying in a straight line. Especially as the angle changes with no wobble.

(And of course, since it's relative motion, it does not change anything about the losing lock argument, so still no sudden moves).

2020-05-05T17:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257718477034418176

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis DId you check that?

2020-05-05T17:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257717971243290624

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis I don't think so. A wobble of the horizon indicator is does not indicate the plane is turning right 12 degrees. It wobbles equally in both directions, and none of that actually indicates a turn. And there's significant portions, like 4L through 8L, with no real wobble either way

---

2020-05-05T16:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257714798076153856

@conorowens94 @uelbus @GaryVoorhis The object in FLIR is moving towards the left of the forward axis of the camera (plane is not turning, camera angle moves left). It's also moving closer to the camera (it gets bigger)

2020-05-05T15:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257696732411949056

@therealpmoney @GaryVoorhis Was it really verified? Her description of the movements seems different to me. Maybe she was looking at something else? She was a couple of miles away, at least.

2020-05-05T15:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257696235705602049

@bigcityrich Not during Underwood's videoing.

2020-05-05T15:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257696034370682881

@JunoWalker @conorowens94 @uelbus @DaveFalch We did already. Now he refuses to talk to me.

2020-05-05T15:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257695351135326214

@tommyIzito @BrandonFugal Well, I do need to get out of the house. And I did just buy a TriField TF2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQ7LTaU8AEosXH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQ7LTaU8AEosXH.jpg

2020-05-05T14:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257675608328298497

@uelbus @GaryVoorhis The numbers at the top of the screen show the camera is panning left, keeping the object in the middle of the screen. Hence the object is moving in that direction.

Then the camera stops panning. The object simply does not stop. No acceleration needed.

2020-05-05T14:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257675060413788160

@conorowens94 @uelbus @JunoWalker https://youtu.be/7JuyQWiD5HU?t=22

2020-05-05T14:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257674921095782402

@conorowens94 @uelbus @JunoWalker Here's a good example. IR glare, and then the plane in visible light. Same zoom. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQogwiU4AEIzdd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQogwiU4AEIzdd.jpg

2020-05-05T14:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257674170134982656

@GaryVoorhis @uelbus And with that focus on finding out what they are, would you not like to have solid science? Solid math? Solid physics? Solid analysis of the videos?

These things seem highly relevant to your goals.

2020-05-05T14:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257673741032517632

@uelbus @GaryVoorhis Why speculate forever when you can fix actually errors in your analysis, leading to a much more solid foundation of verified evidence, and then your resultant speculations will naturally be closer to the truth.

Why does TTSA ignore errors?

2020-05-05T14:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257672675893235712

@Thealienpickle @STSMajesty @Disclosure2020 It's not JUST different polarity, theres different contrast as well, at a different stage in the image processing pipeline, resulting in quite different information . You can't just invert one image and get the other.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQmkAlUwAEbXle.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXQmkAlUwAEbXle.jpg

2020-05-05T13:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257670510835462147

@GaryVoorhis @uelbus Gary, if I think there's an error in that report, and in TTSA's analysis, and in what Fravor says, and in what other "experts" say, should I simply drop the matter because you have enough credible witnesses of SOMETHING ELSE?

If there's a falsehood, why use it in your case?

2020-05-05T13:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257669788106493952

@uelbus @GaryVoorhis Yes I have. That's why I call out that report as inaccurate (on that one matter only) in my "No Sudden Moves" video, which is only five minutes long. Have you watched it?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-05-05T13:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257667621249400833

@LtTimMcMillan @Rett94291220 @THughesPhoto @james_greywood @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @dave_beaty @GaryVoorhis @BrandonFugal From my limited interaction with him on Twitter I tend to agree.
It's a shame then that he went down the same road of dramatized TV science, like TTSA. Especially as there does not seem to be happening at SWR right now, forcing the producers to

rely on head turns.

2020-05-05T13:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257666316095258624

@GaryVoorhis And I don't want "debate." I want to figure stuff out.

Did the FLIR video object accelerate off at the end of the video? This is something that can be figured out. If there's a falsehood floating around, is it not best removed when shown to be false?

2020-05-05T13:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257665808789991424

@GaryVoorhis @conorowens94 @AstrobiologyDay It think it's a mistake to throw an explanation out the window. Just rearrange the list of possible explanations, and move the impossible SEEMING ones down a bit.

I always keep "advanced human technology," and "alien spacecraft" on my list, generally low down.,

---

2020-05-04T20:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257401004284403722

@uelbus @JunoWalker This is about the best look at it you get in FLIR1. In this case I actually thing wing-mounted engines make more sense. Hard to tell though. I'm really not sure.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMvGqeUMAAOxRK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMvGqeUMAAOxRK.jpg

2020-05-04T19:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257397444201123842

@ChucalescuJR @zcichy @YepConsiderably Knuth's fundamental error is easily verifiable.

He claims the camera loses lock because the object is moving off to the left.
But at frame 1:13:29, it has ALREADY lost lock. Yet visually the object moves right for two frames. Re-lock is attempted and fails. Object drifts off.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMsKD8UcAArlWi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMsKD8UcAArlWi.jpg
2020-05-04T19:43:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257395452426715145
@JunoWalker @paulsanderson @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 Graves said they were visible in the video.

2020-05-04T19:40:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257394716909473792
@ChucalescuJR @zcichy @YepConsiderably Knuth is wrong. That's just the SCU paper, essentially. They assume it does not lose lock.

2020-05-04T19:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257394104582967297
@simulatedcosmos Maybe to you. However it's still a false claim. As are the claims that you should see an "exhaust plume" or "control surfaced"

2020-05-04T19:36:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257393740395798530
@uelbus @JunoWalker I think FLIR is likely a smaller single or twin engine jet or drone. So essentially a point source at this distance/resolution.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMo1dTUMAAjnXP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMo1dTUMAAjnXP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMn3VDUMAM830A.jpg

2020-05-04T19:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257391668497612802

@paulsanderson @JunoWalker @ufouapam @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 Graves said this was before the segment of video we see. But I really don't think that this tallies with the audio. They only notice a "fleet" on the SA. Did they miss it on the ATFLIR? Or was there more?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMmAKTUcAAtxRK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXMmAKTUcAAtxRK.jpg

2020-05-04T16:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257344780343918610

@adampfinch @ChrisRiddle121 Credible? Sure. He's an experienced former Navy pilot. He seems like he's being honest in relating what he saw.

That does not mean what he saw accurately reflects what was there.

2020-05-04T16:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257343739632267265

@LtTimMcMillan @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Well, consider the FLIR video incident. The object seen in the video, what do we actually know about what was or was not detected by the group's systems? Was any effort made? If it was of real interest, why did Chad do nothing after it drifted off screen?

2020-05-04T16:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257339236098899968

@LtTimMcMillan @JamesEGrayMusic @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11

@chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure A number which was zero in this case.

I get you are saying there's a potential for disaster, but it did not seem to come at all close to that here.

2020-05-04T15:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257338662439788545

@uelbus @JunoWalker If something is leaving a visible contrail then it's usually not going to be misidentified as a closer object. None of the Navy videos had contrails. That does not mean they had no exhaust.

2020-05-04T15:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257338192044470279

@chasekuertz @JamesEGrayMusic @LtTimMcMillan @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11 @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Flying a plane? Sure that's something all pilots do at some point. Less and less with experience, and usually with no consequences.

2020-05-04T15:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257324665086685184

@uelbus @JunoWalker There are large portions of the Chilean video where the plane is not leaving a contrail. So compare that segment.
The exhaust is invisible in IR at that distance, with the glare.

2020-05-04T15:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257324167399006215

@JamesEGrayMusic @LtTimMcMillan @ddeanjohnson @Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure That is not true at all. I think what we are seeing are rare but quite understandable mistakes that 99.9% of the time have no real impact. The military is well away that sometimes people make mistakes, and sometimes lose situational awareness. They still get stuff done.

2020-05-04T14:40:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257319222289424385

@JunoWalker @ufouapam @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 I don't know. But Fravor is reporting a story he heard elsewhere here.
Yet again, my advice to UFOology is to GET WITNESSES TO DRAW DIAGRAMS.
Communicating visual encounters in just words is inevitably going to introduce errors.

2020-05-04T06:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257201799661936643

@ufouapam @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 It looks like it's rotating.
If it didn't look like it was rotating, we would not be having this conversation.

2020-05-04T06:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257200980476653573

@ufouapam @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 Is the sky also rotating?
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJ5jFZUEAAAB-u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJ5jFZUEAAAB-u.jpg

2020-05-04T06:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257194340679442432

@conorowens94 This is a source that's miles away and being tracked. Can you show me a video where it does NOT have this rotating glare?

2020-05-04T06:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257193646408921092

@conorowens94 And my camera can produce similar shaped glares around lights.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXJy4YHU0AA-Ys6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXJy4YHU0AA-Ys6.jpg

2020-05-04T06:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257192773989457922

@conorowens94 Yet a rotating glare is what this looks like.

It's not going to be a common occurrence. It would need to be tracking a distant object, and probably for the focus to be slightly off.

2020-05-04T06:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257189802643881986

@conorowens94 "what use is the camera"? What use is your camera, or ANY camera, if it has glare from bright lights? It's obviously not a super common situation.

2020-05-04T06:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257189214858313728

@paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 Well it sure looks like a glare.

Also, the light pattern in the sky rotates with the "object", not the horizon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJutEfUYAEHrgQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJutEfUYAEHrgQ.jpg

2020-05-04T06:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257188473615736832

@conorowens94 So then why does the light pattern overlaying the sky rotate with the glare, not the horizon. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJuLReVAAYhqIZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJuLReVAAYhqIZ.jpg

2020-05-04T05:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257186641933828097

@conorowens94 How would it "incorporate" it?

2020-05-04T05:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257184098105257984

@conorowens94 Sure, but I don't think this was a 4-engine jet. Far enough away two closely spaced engines are essentially a point source, compared to the glare they create.

2020-05-04T05:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257184000721879042

@conorowens94 And derotated result from rotating the camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJppz0UYAExVDg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXJppz0UYAExVDg.jpg

2020-05-04T05:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257183342346133504

@conorowens94 Example setup: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXJpgseUYAE9li9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXJpgseUYAE9li9.jpg

2020-05-04T05:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257183073197645824

@conorowens94 The shape of the black blob is the shape of the glare. It's not the shape of the aircraft, or the engines.

Take a flashlight. Shine it at your cellphone camera. You see that glare around the light, bigger

than the light.

2020-05-04T05:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257182137393967104

@paulsanderson @UfoJoe11 The black blob is the glare.

The "halo" is an image sharpening artifact.

The "light field" is the pattern of light over the whole image. You can see it best in the sky.

2020-05-04T05:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257176196313313286

@conorowens94 I think you're misunderstanding something here.

What's apparently rotating isn't the target. It's a glare - something internal to the camera.

Did you watch this? Especially the last minute.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2020-05-04T04:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257163692820463617

@UfoJoe11 Well it LOOKS like it's rotating. If I were there and just looking at the screen, I'd think it's rotating too.

But it's not. It's the IR glare. You can tell this because the light field rotates.

Get the video as a file. Scrub back and forth over the rotations. You'll see.

2020-05-04T04:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257161731366776832

@paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @UfoJoe11 You may be thinking of Underwood's account. Both GIMBAL and GOFAST have much more significant changes in camera angle relative to the front of the plane.

GIMBAL, as far as I can tell, is not rotating.

2020-05-04T04:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257160937837957120

@conorowens94 The intent of derotation is to keep the horizon on the screen parallel to the actual horizon.

2020-05-04T02:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257138237442674690

@conorowens94 The glare rotating is because of the derotation of the horizon.

2020-05-04T01:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257114201757118471

@Gibson34312773 @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Well, one thing we know is that people DO make mistakes. At least

occasionally. We have a lot of evidence of that.

2020-05-04T00:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257107410331656192

@conorowens94 @DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz Yeah I'm not sure about that. That whole paragraph is a bit confusing as he also talks about it dropping from 50,000 feet. Something he didn't see. And then he says he can't even confirm that it did that leftward movement.

Some clarification from Chad would be great there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXIkel3VAAEuMIS.jpg

Ergo, when the object kind of darts away to the left—

I was not aggressively maneuvering the aircraft in the manner that would make the FLIR pod would do that[7]. But look: At that point, I did not actually see the object aggressively accelerate to the left, as the video shows, to actually prove that.

Because you were at a distance where you couldn't make visual contact with your own eyes—

Right.

And so what's happening in the video is a little ambiguous as a result.

Right. Yeah. And that part kind of sucks, because I can't confirm that the object aggressively accelerated that way. But I have my feelings, based off of my experience with my equipment — and also just logic, when it comes to, you know, physics.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXIkel3VAAEuMIS.jpg

The thing that stood out to me the most was how erratic it was behaving. And what I mean by "erratic" is that its changes in altitude, air speed, and aspect were just unlike things that I've ever encountered before flying against other air targets. It was just behaving in ways that aren't physically normal. That's what caught my eye. Because, aircraft, whether they're manned or unmanned, still have to obey the laws of physics. They have to have some source of lift, some source of propulsion. The Tic Tac was not doing that. It was going from like 50,000 feet to, you know, a hundred feet in like seconds, which is not possible.

And it was doing that during your engagement too?

Yes. That was the thing that was the most interesting to me: how erratic this thing was[3]. If it was obeying physics like a normal object that you would encounter in the sky — an aircraft, or a cruise missile, or some sort of special project that the government didn't tell you about — that would have made more sense to me. The part that drew our attention was how it wasn't behaving within the normal laws of physics. You're up there flying, like, "Okay. It's not behaving in a manner that's predictable or is normal by how flying objects physically move."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXIkX8bVcAAFtUv.jpg

2020-05-04T00:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257099838040059904

@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz Fravor appeared to confirm it on Rogan based on his misunderstanding of the apparent acceleration seen in the video. He also repeated the misconception about a lack of exhaust plume.

2020-05-04T00:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257098996033568768

@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz How then should I go about exposing the errors in the faulty analyses of the videos?

Shall I ignore blatant falsehoods just because someone might get the idea that everything is false?

No. If I see things that are demonstrable wrong then I'm going to demonstrate they are wrong

---

2020-05-03T23:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257095372368867328

@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz ... I do take a holistic view. I think about the big picture of what is going on all the time. I think it's more complicated than it seems. I don't think the evidence for advanced technology craft is really very strong. But I'm certainly will to hear arguments and evidence.

2020-05-03T23:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257094905580498944
@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz Here's the problem. These three videos had be presented as astonishing and near-conclusive evidence of "the craft are real" argument. I don't think this is so.
Strip that away, what do you have? Interesting and difficult-to-explain testimony like Fravors. Less compelling
...

2020-05-03T23:44:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257093594717933569
@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz Why not both?

Is there really an issue with me pointing out problems in TTSA's analysis of the videos, if they make claims that are literally false? I even add a disclaimer in the video that this does not mean that other things are wrong.

Why is that a problem?

2020-05-03T23:37:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257091864366247936
@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz I'm not contradicting ANYTHING the military says. They said they investigated it, but the results of the investigation are classified because of the operational nature of the events (i.e. advanced radar, AEGIS, etc)

2020-05-03T23:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257091425134469121
@Kal_ki_Corp @hoaxeye @ta_rocks @Metabunk The chilean Navy UFO footage is almost all black hot.

2020-05-03T23:28:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257089629179621377
@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz I'm 100% sure that the military came to the same conclusion as I did about the altitude, speed, and parallax illusion in the GOFAST video. The only mystery about that now is why TTSA continues to describe it as "low and fast"

2020-05-03T23:25:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257089002479300608
@SkyHub10 @ChristopherKMe4 I always assumed they were genuine videos. So the "provenance" changed nothing.

2020-05-03T23:24:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257088647809007616
@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz Yes, it's hard to explain eyewitness accounts, like Fravor's.

But pilots did not have eyes-on for the FLIR video.

https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2019/12/tic-tac-ufo-video-q-and-a-with-navy-pilot-chad-underwood.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXITHb9UMAA8olP.jpg

---

Was that named based on what you saw with your own eyes, or from looking at the screen on the camera?

No. I was more concentrated on looking at the FLIR[2]. It was inside of 20 miles. You're not going to see it with your own eyes until probably 10 miles, and then you're not going to be able to visually track it until you're probably inside of five miles, which is where Dave Fravor said that he saw it. So, at that point I didn't see anything with my eyeballs. I was more concerned with tracking it, making sure that the videotape was on so that I could bring something back to the ship, so that the intel folks could dissect whatever it is that I captured.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXITHb9UMAA8olP.jpg

2020-05-03T23:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257087874488430592

@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz It's losing lock because there's no radar lock, so it's using passive tracking. You can't passive track something when changing cameras because there's blanking between shots. So the system HAS to lose lock, then reacquire it. You see it happen over and over in the video.

2020-05-03T23:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257086902387138560

@DaveSFoley @mgruberb @rjblaskiewicz The Navy, Pentagon, and DOD never said that the FLIR video showed accelerations. They just said it was unidentified. It's still unidentified. I have not identified it. I just showed it's not accelerating rapidly.

The Unidentified experts were wrong.

2020-05-03T23:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257086455056220160

@LeifNordh @THughesPhoto @PostDisclosure @Th_Unidentified @BrianLuso @JunoWalker @ChristopherKMe4 That is the WMV videos that were released. They are twice the bitrate of the versions that TTSA used - probably only because TTSA converted them to mp4s without realizing they were degrading them.

2020-05-03T23:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257086035210588162

@GaryVoorhis @RobAgs0817 @zcichy @ChristopherKMe4 Nobody has explained why this specific analysis is wrong. It has nothing to do with radar or eyewitnesses. It's just about one video and claims that TTSA, et al, make ABOUT THAT VIDEO.

2020-05-03T23:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257085577964302336

@Nonamebureaucr1 @ChristopherKMe4 No, I'm addressing one specific claim that TTSA and

various other people are currently making.
I'm asking Chris if he will correct this TTSA claim. He seems unwilling to address this point.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXIQY0gUcAAOZG8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXIQY0gUcAAOZG8.jpg
2020-05-03T23:09:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257084830270894080
@intellihubnews It's unidentified, as far as I know.
But the point is the videos doing show anything that's incompatible with current technology.

2020-05-03T21:33:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257060849178472448
@RobAgs0817 @zcichy @GaryVoorhis @ChristopherKMe4 It's not that complicated, just angles
How much does the heading (4Â°R, etc)change per second?
What's the angle between the left and right of the image at the camera (FOV)?
How long would it take to pan across one screen, or a half?
Not for everyone, but really high school stuff.

2020-05-03T21:27:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257059195033055233
@zcichy @GaryVoorhis @RobAgs0817 @ChristopherKMe4 Zac, you seem to be talking about GOFAST here. I was talking about FLIR, which is what Rob and Gary were talking about.
I don't calculate altitude and speed for FLIR, it's all angular velocity (unless you assume an object size)

2020-05-03T21:20:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257057555550887938
@JohnAWBaker @ChristopherKMe4 I do not say that.

2020-05-03T21:14:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1257056052228403200
@zcichy @GaryVoorhis @RobAgs0817 @ChristopherKMe4 The video is almost entirely in NAR,

and the only place I needed the FOV was in the NAR IR 1x and 2x, which I took from the SCU report, as they seem quite diligent in looking things up. The MFOV (or possible WFOV, I'm not 100% sure) FOV is irrelevant.

2020-05-03T21:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257054984123805696

@mgruberb @PostDisclosure @DaveSFoley @rjblaskiewicz Just a clarification. Chris did not send me his contacts. He says he forwarded my email address to some of this contacts.

2020-05-03T20:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257050473657335808

@conorowens94 @Gibson34312773 @ChristopherKMe4 Possible? It would be a remarkable coincidence if the object decided to make a hyperspeed jump away just as the lens was being rotated away - and then what, did it teleport back into the center of the screen? Nope, the new lens path just clicked into place, it was where it was.

2020-05-03T20:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257048504452571136

@SkyHub10 @ChristopherKMe4 The videos haven't changed since 2017.

2020-05-03T20:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257048134871445504

@RichNilsenPhd @ColonelQuestion @ChristopherKMe4 That is real life, from an actual UFO case investigated for two years by the Chilean Navy. That's a real image of the "heat signature" of an A340, as is this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHugqOUcAEemyr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHugqOUcAEemyr.jpg

2020-05-03T20:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257047371411632128

@RichNilsenPhd @ColonelQuestion @ChristopherKMe4 It's an image from a video that you say you can't see certain things in. That the video is very poor quality does not help your case.

2020-05-03T20:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257047091123073024

@RichNilsenPhd @ColonelQuestion @ChristopherKMe4 At a long distance all you see of an engine in IR is a glare, not a plume. Consider these A340 engines. There's no "plume." That's also a mistake made by TTSA, et al. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHthQqUYAA2-Uc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHthQqUYAA2-Uc.jpg

2020-05-03T20:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257046274756317185

@RichNilsenPhd @ColonelQuestion @ChristopherKMe4 Zero IR signature? What the heck do you think this is? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHs6cyUcAArfX8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHs6cyUcAArfX8.jpg

2020-05-03T20:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257044940225273858

@DaveSFoley @rjblaskiewicz Dave, what about my new video. Consider it IN ITSELF. Not as proof or disproof of any broader topic.

People made claims about FLIR (just the video) that are demonstrably wrong. Should they retract those claims? If not, then why not?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-05-03T20:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257042794842959873

@ChristopherKMe4 It's less than six minutes long.

But the key few seconds show that if the camera stopped tracking the object, it would leave the the screen at EXACTLY the same speed and direction as it appears to.

And it moves (slightly) like this with every camera change and loss of lock.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXHpcwfU4AAMmBs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EXHpcwfU4AAMmBs.jpg

2020-05-03T20:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257040855807913984

@ColtonObrian The question is simply if the object in the FLIR video makes any sudden moves.

2020-05-03T20:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257040401766072321

@conorowens94 @Gibson34312773 @ChristopherKMe4 The widening of the reticle is simply due to the change from 1x to 2x. It happens cleanly (in both directions) at all digital zoom changes like at 0:14:18-19

The shooting off the bottom left is from the physical camera change from NAR to MFOV

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHm7gBU8AAVwWu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHm7gBU8AAVwWu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHm4gyUMAAPWY5.jpg

2020-05-03T19:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257023691235323904

@kahpentah @hoaxeye Several times, but only briefly in social situations. They are above average people with a specific skill set. Yet still human.

2020-05-03T19:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257023297947983872

@ColtonObrian Except that Mellon is the one evading the point here. I point out errors that his organization made, and instead of addressing that he says "look over there!"

2020-05-03T19:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257022870477082624

@2yang2die @ChristopherKMe4 Perhaps you missed this part of the video? It is near the end.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHXjq-U0AAOql8.jpg

So all the apparent movements we see are consistent with the object simply moving in a straight line to the left. So this perfectly consistent with something like a distant aircraft just flying along quite normally making no sudden movements.

So, if this interpretation is correct, what does it mean? It means To The Stars Academy is wrong, it means the experts on the History Channel were wrong. It means the SCU report is wrong. It means Jeremy Corbell was wrong, and it even means that about this one thing, Commander David Fravor was wrong.

Of course that does not mean he was wrong about anything else, nor does this video showing something moving in a boring way mean that it's not a UFO, nor does it mean that Fravor and other people didn't observe different things doing more exciting things at different times.

It just means that, despite what everyone was saying, the Nimitz FLIR object is not an extraordinary craft. It makes no sudden moves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHXjq-U0AAOql8.jpg

2020-05-03T18:32:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1257015279537680386

@Gibson34312773 @ChristopherKMe4 I don't see the DoD coming to a different conclusions. I did not say they were wrong. I called out, (REGARDING THIS VIDEO ONLY), that TTSA, SCU, History Channel, Corbell, and Fravor were wrong about the supposed rapid acceleration IN THE FLIR VIDEO.

Nothing else. As I said: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHQuYUVcAAPicA.jpg

---

So all the apparent movements we see are consistent with the object simply moving in a straight line to the left. So this perfectly consistent with something like a distant aircraft just flying along quite normally making no sudden movements.

So, if this interpretation is correct, what does it mean? It means To The Stars Academy is wrong, it means the experts on the History Channel were wrong. It means the SCU report is wrong. It means Jeremy Corbell was wrong, and it even means that about this one thing, Commander David Fravor was wrong.

Of course that does not mean he was wrong about anything else, nor does this video showing something moving in a boring way mean that it's not a UFO, nor does it mean that Fravor and other people didn't observe different things doing more exciting things at different times.

It just means that, despite what everyone was saying, the Nimitz FLIR object is not an extraordinary craft. It makes no sudden moves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXHQuYUVcAAPicA.jpg

2020-05-03T17:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256999919979687937

@AFSUnidentified @ChristopherKMe4 No, I think the DoD is correct in what they have said about the videos when they released them.

2020-05-03T17:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256999738840215552

@ChristopherKMe4 Chris, you (via TTSA) claim the VIDEO shows an object with "flight performance [that] cannot be achieved by any known object."

I've just demonstrated this, and your "observed acceleration on Flir," is false. Will you fix the false claim on the TTSA site?

2020-05-03T17:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256998063719084032

@ChristopherKMe4 So maybe those things were not manmade vehicles.

Given that, do you REALLY feel fine with all the faulty analysis that's on the TTSA site and on the TV show?

If errors are pointed out, why don't you fix them?

2020-05-03T17:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256997353736699904

@BrianLuso @ChristopherKMe4 I also say that the objects in the videos are unknown unidentified aerial vehicles. So I'm not sure what you are referring to.

2020-05-03T17:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256997058457681921

@JunoWalker @ChristopherKMe4 That's true, and there are numerous other examples of distant planes that just look like blobs when sufficiently far away. But Chris seems to be avoiding the point of the video here.

2020-05-03T17:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256996499843497985

@ChristopherKMe4 Well the point of this video is simply to show that, contrary to what TTSA claims on their web site, that the FLIR video object does NOT make sudden moves.
Other issues do not change this. That one TTSA claim is still wrong.

You can't keep posting false evidence.

2020-05-03T17:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256992440612818944

@ChristopherKMe4 Still waiting to heard from the pilots. But in the meantime here's a more detailed look at the "movements" of the FLIR object. I think I've identified a significant mistake on the TTSA page, and wonder it you will address it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-05-03T17:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256991945785630721

@ElyatKram https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-05-03T16:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256990987747901441

The Navy's FLIR video from 2004 is the least interesting looking of the three, but people claim it shows a craft performing impossible maneuvers.
In this video I take a deep dive into what we see on screen, and show that it's NOT making any

sudden moves.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2020-05-03T16:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256989883215667200

@ElyatKram The bits of it pertaining to the videos, yes.

The problem is they think the camera does not lose lock. Check out my next video, in a few minutes.

2020-05-03T01:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256751619892326400

@bigcityrich @ChristopherKMe4 What about while the video was being recorded?

2020-05-03T01:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256751407505346560

@Gibson34312773 @zcichy @darylbaxter A little.

2020-05-03T00:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256748166721884160

There's nothing I could find on Fravor's Rogan episode about the Princeton's radar coverage of Chad Underwood's videoed encounter.

2020-05-02T19:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256672516501671936

@pjrbarnett @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I think the video shows a distant aircraft of some kind, making no sudden movement.

My motives are irrelevant, Iâ□□m not arguing from authority.

2020-05-02T18:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256650367447621632

@conorowens94 @Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 They base their analysis on the incorrect data. They think the camera does not lost lock.

The camera loses lock. There's zero indication of sudden changes in velocity of the object.

It's tracked left, tracking stops, object continues left. This happens several times.

2020-05-02T18:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256648988121415680

@conorowens94 @The_Real_Klaatu @ChristopherKMe4 They claim that the camera does not lose lock, when it very obviously does.

2020-05-02T18:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256648417553465344

@conorowens94 @IBKING46 @Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 Yes it does. If you walk in a circle around something that's 3 feet off the ground, with your eyes/camera at 6 feet, then if you can't judge distance, it will appear to go around the circle on the other side, but at 0 feet.

2020-05-02T18:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256645988036431874

@DavidKn07175559 @ChristopherKMe4 Maybe, it's somewhat driven by what guests I get on. I've been letting it slip a bit since Coronavirus.

2020-05-02T17:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256644059268919296

@zcichy @darylbaxter I still have my original game design.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXB_GQNUMAAxwo9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXB-yrHUEAAbevI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXB_GQNUMAAxwo9.jpg

2020-05-02T17:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256642698888409088

@zcichy @darylbaxter We took over apocalypse after another developer failed to make a "second person" game (you and Bruce). They had all the (expensive) videos already, so I designed the levels to fit a story that would fit the videos.

2020-05-02T16:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256628446773473280

@Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 @hughespj1 Using ill-equipped experts with a strong pro-ufo confirmation bias.

Also, ignoring the right answer, because A) they don't like it, and B) it's from someone with nominally fewer credentials than the "expert" who got it wrong.

2020-05-02T16:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256623471452291074

@Xhili5 @petersongeorged The point is that people who promote the GOFAST video claim that it's going fast, and that's why they think it's a powered craft with no visible engines.

But if it has the characteristics of a balloon (which it does) then suddenly their story collapses.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2020-05-02T16:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256622519836016640

@Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 @hughespj1 Possibly he has more information, and yet Chis is part of an organization that has demonstrably false analysis on its site, and promotes that false analysis on a TV show.

If he knows more, then why is he allowing nonsense to be propagated?

Example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2020-05-02T16:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256620656436736001

@IBKING46 @Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 Yes. Which is all explained by the center-of-the-circle hypothesis.

2020-05-02T16:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256620475867754496

@The_Real_Klaatu @ChristopherKMe4 Which experts are you referring to? I was talking about the guys on the "Unidentified" show and the SCU report writers. There's no US air defense problem there.

I can't comment on analysis I have not see.

2020-05-02T16:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256618229746655232

@Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 Distant planes against the sky in IR appear like uniformly hot blobs of "light", as their engines are very hot, and the sky is (radiation-wise) very cold. See this IR image of an A340, for example. (what the camera saw is on the left, overlay on the right) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBnXYcUMAEmtwf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBnXYcUMAEmtwf.jpg

2020-05-02T16:10:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256617175911022593

@IBKING46 @Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 Possibly. I put forward a possible explanation for that based on him thinking the object was across the circle from him, when it was actually in the center. Visually it would look just like he described, even if it was not moving at all.

2020-05-02T16:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256616665912995840

@The_Real_Klaatu @ChristopherKMe4 Yes, I think that the EXPERTS who reviewed the footage are mistaken.

I wasn't at the top secret briefings, nor do I know their content, and if they are top secret then Chris cannot tell me them.

2020-05-02T16:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256616332327448576

@ChristopherKMe4 TTSA Page with the years old mistakes:

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2015-go-fast-footage

2020-05-02T16:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256616229009162240

TTSA has faulty analysis on their web site. Demonstrated literally years ago. Prime example is claiming "GOFAST" is "high speed" and "very low over the water", when trig proves it is not. @ChristopherKMe4, you are on the TTSA Advisory Board. Will you advise them to fix this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBlpGVVcAAeY38.jpg



HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE

GO FAST is an authentic DoD video that captures the high-speed flight of an unidentified aircraft at low altitude by a F/A-18 Super Hornet ATFLIR forward-looking infrared system. While TTSA was the first to obtain a copy, it should be available to any member of the press or public via the Freedom of Information Act.

BACKGROUND

This video, GO FAST, was captured by a U.S. Navy F/A-18 Super Hornet using the Raytheon AN/ASQ- 228 Advanced Targeting Forward-Looking Infrared (ATFLIR)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBlpGVVcAAeY38.jpg



characteristics of the imagery

The unidentified vehicle appears as a white oval shape moving at high speed from top right to lower left of the screen flying very low over the water. Initially, the sensor is unable to capture the object. The Weapon Systems Operator (WSO) steers the sensor ahead of the object to attempt another capture. On the third attempt, the sensor tracking capture is successful. The sensor is now in "autotrack" mode, where the sensor uses contrast and other parameters to lock-on to a target, automatically keeping it centered in the sensors viewing frame. This mode can track objects that possess speeds and accelerations within defined limits, which are set by expected target maneuver limits. Autotrack was developed because manual target track with an optical sensor is very difficult. The automatic modes in the ATFLIR system, specifically "autotrack", reduces operator workload to facilitate target assessment and engagement. The operator expresses excitement at having successfully captured the object and the sensor is tracking it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBleHSU4AABfPZ.jpg
2020-05-02T15:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256613027006177281
@zeta_reticulian @The_Real_Klaatu @ChristopherKMe4 What did you have in mind?

2020-05-02T15:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256612639234375680

@uelbus @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord I've never accused anyone of lying. I think it's far more likely that people make mistakes than they are lying.

When evaluating a statement, having "lie" and "truth" as the only possibilities is faulty reasoning.

2020-05-02T15:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256611988643299328

@Phenomenology19 @ChristopherKMe4 The biggest problem is that people interpret the losses of camera lock (and the subsequent cessation of tracking, and hence leftward drift of the target) as being sudden movements of a craft defying conventional aerodynamics.
Note the number at the top of the screen. 3 Â° R, etc.

2020-05-02T15:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256611173044088832

@The_Real_Klaatu @ChristopherKMe4 I think he is mistaken. I would be happy to see evidence otherwise.

2020-05-02T15:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256610970174013441

@uelbus @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord How high resolution is this mythical "high resolution footage"? Going from 240 to 480 pixels isn't really going to resolve a black blob into anything more than a slightly sharper black blob. You can see the grain (noise) in f4.mpg. Bump this to "GIMBAL" quality. It won't help. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBg1v9UEAIOHrj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXBg1v9UEAIOHrj.jpg
2020-05-02T15:34:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256607900983635969
@ChristopherKMe4 Are you specifically claiming that the Princeton was tracking the actual object seen in the FLIR video while that video was being recorded?

Can you back that claim up with evidence?

2020-05-02T15:31:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256607340570140673
@uelbus @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord How do you know it's the same object?

2020-05-02T15:28:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256606395308240896
@uelbus @IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord The Nimitz FLIR video showing noting interesting has no effect on the other accounts from those days, since they were accounts of a different thing. Fravor was not there when the video was taken.

2020-05-02T15:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256605560155172865
@Berserk97981716 @ChristopherKMe4 But if the extant possibilities are:

1) Something ordinary, made of understood object that are known to exist and things that have

happened before
OR
2) Something extraordinary that we have no experience of, and no idea how it works, or if it exists.

Then we lean towards #1

2020-05-02T15:21:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256604741250895874
@SFKteuton @SocialistFT @BadAstronomer Graves himself, IIRC, said the "UFOs" he personally saw were just distant specks of light. That's the type of UFO that's entirely expected when flying, because some things are inevitable too far away to resolve details.
More detailed UFO stories exist, but also ghost stories.

2020-05-02T15:17:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256603830784897025
@ChristopherKMe4 Thank you.
However all the information I seek is NOT in those interviews. As you know, they are heavily edited, partly for dramatic reasons, with a lot elided, and much paraphrased. So I'd really like to get a more rigorous end-to-end description with some numbers (and diagrams)

2020-05-02T15:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256602420676718593
@ChristopherKMe4 That's misleading. You can take the FLIR video alone, because A) it's temporally and spatially distinct within the overall "Nimitz case" and B) people make claims ABOUT THE VIDEO ALONE that are demonstrably false.
You don't have to solve a murder case to prove a gun was not used

---

2020-05-02T15:08:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256601585934712834
@IBKING46 @ChristopherKMe4 @SilvaRecord Which bit? Their incorrect analyses of the videos, like the false "no exhaust" (is significant) claim? There's a lot of claims in there.

Lots of "experts" give opinions too quickly. Then they get stuck.

2020-05-02T11:14:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256542531166167041
@Kal_ki_Corp @hoaxeye @ta_rocks @Metabunk Thermal cameras can be black-hot or white-hot. Essentially just inverting the image (although usually with some contrast adjustments). Blue sky shows up as very cold. Clouds are warmer than blue sky (on IR).

2020-05-02T11:05:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256540402619838464
@JakeeRoy I haven't figured it out. The objects are still unidentified. But I've shown FLIR isn't rapidly accelerating, GIMBAL isn't a rotating or glowing object, and GOFAST isn't going fast, or close to the water.

The videos do NOT demonstrate advanced technology. Still could be aliens.

2020-05-02T11:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256539649570299904

@Shtangist8 @nickpopemod I'm not contradicting the (very limited information) official stance of the Navy on these videos. I have not conflict with their latest statement. I suggest you re-read it.

https://www.defense.gov/Newsroom/Releases/Release/Article/2165713/statement-by-the-department-of-defense-on-the-release-of-historical-navy-videos/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXAgAKnU0AAVd3H.jpg

Statement by the Department of Defense on the Release of Historical Navy Videos

APRIL 27, 2020

The Department of Defense has authorized the release of three unclassified Navy videos, one taken in November 2004 and the other two in January 2015, which have been circulating in the public domain after unauthorized releases in 2007 and 2017. The U.S. Navy previously acknowledged that these videos circulating in the public domain were indeed Navy videos. After a thorough review, the department has determined that the authorized release of these unclassified videos does not reveal any sensitive capabilities or systems, and does not impinge on any subsequent investigations of military air space incursions by unidentified aerial phenomena. DOD is releasing the videos in order to clear up any misconceptions by the public on whether or not the footage that has been circulating was real, or whether or not there is more to the videos. The aerial phenomena observed in the videos remain characterized as "unidentified." The released videos can be found at the Naval Air Systems Command FOIA Reading Room: https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/documents.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EXAgAKnU0AAVd3H.jpg

2020-05-02T10:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256538658674372608

@RollingRock @nickpopemod Fravor did not take the video, and this the video does not show a rapidly accelerating craft.

Maybe Fravor saw something amazing, but the video has not indication of anything amazing, just a distant object.

2020-05-02T10:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256538000256667648

@DaveSFoley @MichaelBoatman_ What's the the FLIR1 video evidence of? It shows a distant craft that does not accelerate. That does not match Fravor's account of rapid horizontal

movement, or the radar tales of rapid vertical movement.
Fravor himself misinterprets the videos on @joerogan. He's not god-like..

2020-05-02T05:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256455309104525312
@ChristopherKMe4 What I'd really think would be useful is a long-form discussion with a pilot (Fravor or Graves would be great) who has experience of these encounters to try to get a more detailed and unfiltered account of A) what they remember and B) what their interpretation of the video is.

2020-05-02T05:21:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256453835242913792
@DaveSFoley @rjblaskiewicz Nobody is claiming that advanced technology flying craft don't exist just because a video does not show one. Obviously not.
The claim is ONLY that the videos don't seem to show advanced technology flying craft.
Do you think they do? Why?

2020-05-02T05:18:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256453061096009728
@DaveSFoley @MichaelBoatman_ I don't know what Fravor saw. It's hard to analyze eyewitness accounts. I'm really focussing on the videos. But I think Fravor's assessment of the FLIR video is wrong. The object does NOT shoot off at the end. He's misinterpreting that. What he personally saw is something else.

2020-05-02T01:40:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256398231241502722
@JeremyCorbell What term would you prefer?

2020-05-02T01:24:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256394217909178371
@LtTimMcMillan @zcichy @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron Should be next Friday.

2020-05-02T00:45:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256384319729201152
@histrangeness @ChristopherKMe4 Set it up! I hearby invite David Fravor on my podcast. I just don't have his contact info

---

2020-05-02T00:02:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256373372255997952
@LtTimMcMillan @zcichy @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron Jolly good, let us retire to the back room to discuss details.

2020-05-02T00:00:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256373034341945346
@LtTimMcMillan @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Tim, will you come on my show to discuss the Navy UFO videos? DM me to set up a time.

2020-05-01T23:57:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256372288619855873
@zcichy @LtTimMcMillan @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron I didn't realize Tim was offering himself? Tim?

2020-05-01T23:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256371793100562432
@gamersgengg @ChristopherKMe4 Me too!

2020-05-01T23:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256371678554148864
@PostDisclosure @InquiringJosh @ufoartwork @ChristopherKMe4 Does he do podcasts?

2020-05-01T23:54:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256371401335820288
@LtTimMcMillan @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Sure I will, who did you have in mind?

2020-05-01T23:27:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256364544735080448
@Mabzors @LtTimMcMillan @InquiringJosh @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron Fravor needs to work with someone on a 3D recreation of what he saw.

Of course he does not NEED to, but it would make his story 100x more solid.

2020-05-01T23:24:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256363787235426304
@LtTimMcMillan @InquiringJosh @Mabzors @UFO_Researcher @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron What evidence regarding the video have I dismissed in my analysis of the video?

How would Fravor's account of his encounter with a Tic-Tac change the fact that the object in the video of a different encounter does not change velocity?

2020-05-01T23:21:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256363266755842048
@THughesPhoto @LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 Erm I never said otherwise. I'm not trying to draw a conclusion about the entire case, I'm trying to draw a conclusion ABOUT THE VIDEO.

Further, I'm not trying to persuade people with rhetoric, I'm trying to show people things they can verify themselves, about the video.

2020-05-01T23:18:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256362263851626497
@Idontchargefor1 @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Yeah, it's an ad for my book. Okay, I make possibly $10 a year indirectly from UFOs, not not exactly zero.

2020-05-01T22:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256353762232266754
@DarkstarIE @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure You demean yourself with a ridiculous straw man. Don't you take the topic seriously? Don't you want to be taken seriously?

2020-05-01T22:42:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256353357179973633
@usmc_saluki I also have no idea what they saw. But I know the video does not show anything with unusual flight characteristics. I also know that nobody saw that object in the video while it was being videoed, so maybe a different object.

2020-05-01T22:41:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256352959987716097
@DarkstarIE @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure No, I'm explaining what the FLIR1 videos does and does not show. Nothing to do with the radar or the eyewitnesses - because they were not there, and the object in the video was not on the radar.

2020-05-01T22:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256352607880179712
@buffcommacu @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis Well, I interviewed @GaryVoorhis, @ufotodaynetwork, @TheoryQED, and @ PostDisclosure (UFO Jesus). Who else did you have in mind?
https://www.tftrh.com/category/ufos-aliens/

2020-05-01T22:33:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256350973884235781
@DarkstarIE @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure Yeah, I discredit ideas with facts and math.

2020-05-01T22:32:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256350702684606464
@usmc_saluki Yes, one female new pilot, couple of miles away, and two WSOs, who do not speak on the matter.

2020-05-01T22:31:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256350479233085440
@buffcommacu @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis I'm not. I'm explaining what the videos show - or at least what they do not show.

2020-05-01T22:30:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256350227058929665
@OculusQ Key point re your analysis, you need to include the turn rate of the aircraft.
Also convert CAS to TAS http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EW9zuteVcAAOk9t.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EW9zuteVcAAOk9t.jpg

2020-05-01T22:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256349693753221120

@OculusQ There's a set of quite detailed analyses in the Metabunk thread. I made a litttle GeoGebra vector tool to investigate the possible range, and others did 3D plots.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.9569/

And overview video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc&feature=emb_logo

2020-05-01T22:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256348806825734144

@TheChilterns @mintyhyperspace @PostDisclosure That's simultaneously hilarious and slightly disturbing.

Where do you get this psychoanalysis from?

2020-05-01T22:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256348302464872448

@TheChilterns @PostDisclosure Why do you think that? You don't know me. You've never talked to me.

2020-05-01T22:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256348037816791040

@buffcommacu @ChristopherKMe4 @GaryVoorhis What errors exactly are you talking about?

2020-05-01T22:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256347875321077761

@LipWrecked1982 @ChristopherKMe4 I would be very happy to do it. I'd love to talk to any relevant domain experts.

2020-05-01T21:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256334779395399680

@Mabzors @agon_izz @PostDisclosure Sure, I always like a nice chat. When and where?

2020-05-01T21:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256334525929361408

@Idontchargefor1 @UfoJoe11 @chasekuertz @ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure I've made exactly zero money from UFOs.

My book is about conspiracy theories. No UFO stuff in there. I analyze UFO videos because it's a fun challenge. Interacting with people online helps refine the analyses

---

2020-05-01T21:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256333900604825600

@TomODon80437044 @ChristopherKMe4 Yes, but Chris seems to be just talking about FLIR1 here. If you want to hear about the others then this is a good place to start: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-new-navy-ufo-videos.11234/

2020-05-01T21:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256333497989390336

@BooBoo45327400 I've never sold a book about UFOs. I've sold one book. There's nothing in there discussing UFOs except for a brief mention (as part of a list of topics) on page 14.

2020-05-01T21:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256332904038232064

@conorowens94 @OKftl @Mabzors @Richard73086615 @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 Sure, which is very helpful in figuring out the evidence that I then show to people.

2020-05-01T21:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256332770227286017

@Mabzors @agon_izz @PostDisclosure Well you could always talk to me and chat, try to discern the direction of my soul by socratic dialog, or suchlike. Give me a call. Number is on my web site.

2020-05-01T21:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256331533381586945

@OKftl @Mabzors @Richard73086615 @AFSUnidentified @UfoJoe11 It because it's not just my opinion. I'm pointing out stuff that everyone can check for themselves.

The beauty of being just a video game programmer is that I can't rely on my credentials, I have to show evidence.

2020-05-01T21:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256329896881577985
@DericKnight @JunoWalker And it's from an F/A-18F. Lots of reflections.

https://youtu.be/JMI3kf4fPk8?t=331

2020-05-01T21:07:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256329482861817856
@DericKnight @JunoWalker The photo in my post shows a canopy reflection on the left. The Tic-Tacs are added for relative size comparison.

2020-05-01T20:39:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256322427748970496
@murnut @LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 It doesn't matter that the entire UFO community and the media, was convinced a video showed a hovering tilting flying saucer, when it didn't? That's not minor - it transforms the video from astonishing to banal.

2020-05-01T20:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256321319412494336
@UFO_Researcher @alejandrotrojas @red_pill_junkie @ChristopherKMe4 @Deepneuron @coasttocoastam @joerogan I would be happy to have Chris Mellon on my podcast. Chris, drop me a line mick@mickwest.com

---

2020-05-01T20:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256319646254301185
@LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 Tim, have any of the pilots you spoke to said that the Gimbal video does not show a rotating glare? Will they go on record?

2020-05-01T20:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256319224420560896
@LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 It's more like you worked homicide, someone said they heard strange noises, then someone said they saw a shooting, then later someone took a blurry video of someone walking away in the distance and people said that video looked like a shooting.
And you never did find a body.

2020-05-01T20:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256315636122218498
@Phenomenology19 @OKftl @UfoJoe11 They probably knew that the Navy would be unable to track it down to them, so there was no real risk. They were right.

2022-07-04T18:49:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544030585634430976
@uapcameraman That's not a UAV.

UFO sightings have lots of different causes, and some are unknown. It's a mistake to try to fit one explanation where many are needed.

2022-07-04T18:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544027448899096577
@ashworth_mick @afkc0071 @BrandonFugal @TrevorEvans77 It's explained in this thread. But basically:

1) different camera setups in the two videos.
2) VHS recording does not show the original dynamic range.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/reverse-engineering-the-atflir-to-find-range-and-temp-to-of-the-gimbal-uap.12486/

2022-07-04T18:33:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544026689432236032
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW18FiTUUAIW5T7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FW18FiTUUAIW5T7.jpg
2022-07-04T18:13:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544021613850464256
@jake01019632 If you know the distance you can calculate the size. So no, not really.

2022-07-04T18:12:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544021375400062976
@Kjetil_Maland @EMP_Wrecker Then they would know how big it was.

2022-07-04T17:39:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1544013126781116417
@Mario_Stifano @aka__V It's unknown size, so the distance is unknown, as is the speed.

We have zero information about the observation and reporting skills of whoever saw this.

2022-07-04T17:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544012384590696448

@PostDisclosure @fin365 That times zero.

Such a conclusion might be correct, it's just very hard to verify.

2022-07-04T17:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1544004075703848961

@AlecStewart28 @PThegermandude @BrandonFugal About 2, I mean there are probably critters out there.

2022-07-04T16:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543993006394486784

@Mario_Stifano Any distant white or shiny thing gets interpreted as an "orb". Birds are a frequent culprit there.

2022-07-04T16:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543992630748389376

@EMP_Wrecker And how did they "see the speed" if they did not know how far away it is?

2022-07-04T16:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543989091938603008

@lbf_tweet @OwatcherUf @ashworth_mick @BrandonFugal @afkc0071 @TrevorEvans77 Yeah, Taylor is just trying to put a limit on the distance, but the way he does it is not valid (for various reasons explained in the tread, but basically there's insufficient info in the video)

He does not really dispute (and certainly not disprove) the glare theory.

2022-07-04T15:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543979200893239297

@euler_aaron @prestonsSister @ThisMurray Sorry Jean, I'm already spoken for. Perhaps in an alternate universe...

2022-07-04T15:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543976187067650048

Old UFO report says "unknown size", but gives a fairly specific speed of "greater than 400 knots"

A fundamental issue with UFOs is that if you don't know how big something is, then you don't know how far away it is, and so you don't know how fast it is going.
https://twitter.com/WitnessCitizen/status/1543723743771561987

2022-07-04T14:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543971162836742144

@MrHustleMCR @KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @FrankRyanArt The higher quality version of FLIR1 is still limited to Hi8 NTSC video tape recording. What is recorded is essentially the in-cockpit

video feed (with the CVRS, the cockpit video recording system)

2022-07-04T14:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543968354548953088

@DeepSpace41 @KilliK69 @FrankRyanArt Anyway, I discuss the focus issue here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nimitz-atflir-focus-issues.11843/

2022-07-04T14:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543968153062948864

@DeepSpace41 @KilliK69 @FrankRyanArt Head-Up Display - of numbers and symbols. Technically it's that just when it's on a transparent screen you can look through to see the outside world, but it's often used for the on-screen info. Personally, I'd call it the UI (user interface), but I think HUD is more understood.

2022-07-04T14:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543966822369619973

@Lembot74 @tinyklaus @BrandonFugal Oh, this is more like prep. One can approach a puzzle in a number of different ways.

2022-07-04T14:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543960365116968960

@afkc0071 @ashworth_mick @BrandonFugal @TrevorEvans77 Taylor is wrong, his temperature calculations donâ□□t apply to Gimbal.

2022-07-04T13:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543957124807503872

@mattmonroe1980 So a good follow-up question might be â□□why has nothing changed or been discovered in 50+ years?â□□

2022-07-04T13:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543956246687977472

@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @Jim87504388 @FrankRyanArt â□□It happenedâ□□ is not an explanation.

2022-07-04T13:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543954582883016704

@FrobertKinsey Thatâ□□s the power of positive thinking, different thing. Iâ□□m asking specifically about the quantum/mystical effect. Like microtubules, observer collapsing wave functions, quiffs, or magic.

2022-07-04T13:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543953009993846784

@ashworth_mick @BrandonFugal @afkc0071 @TrevorEvans77 The Gimbal rotating glare is solid - even if we donâ□□t know what is behind the glare.

2022-07-04T13:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543952750798520320

@ashworth_mick Any individual case should be replicable at the original site, as hills and roads rarely move.

2022-07-04T05:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543823237141843968

@stevanzetti See also: https://skepticalinquirer.org/2022/05/questioning-joe-rogan/

2022-07-04T01:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543771887020388352

@stevanzetti Unfortunately thereâ□□s quite a few rabbit holes. Joe got out of a lot of quasi-supernatural belief, but heâ□□s gone back to full UFO and a lot of IDW JAQing. Iâ□□d revise my description in a 2nd edition.

2022-07-04T00:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543753536596414464

Brit @antonybutcher has been cycling across America from New York to San Francisco to raise money for Multiple Sclerosis research. He's just about at the Sierras, and just short of Â£20K. Let help push him over the mountains and over his fundraising goal!

https://www.justgiving.com/fundraising/antonyjcbutcher

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWyDm8EUEAABur3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWyDcQmUcAAHtxD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWyDm8EUEAABur3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWyDh8oUEAAGGew.jpg
2022-07-04T00:18:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543750986576973825
@thieme Oh, over 15 years ago.

2022-07-04T00:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543747960487305219
@TimSpursFan Yet lots of people find the illusion compelling. The fact that you were not fooled does not mean other people will also not be fooled. Illusions don't need to affect 100% of the population to be significant. In the case of UFO sightings, it often only needs to affect one person.

2022-07-04T00:02:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543747075338145792
@TimSpursFan I led with the word "seems" because it's an illusion.

2022-07-03T23:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543742596714864640
@AliensOnEarth_ @jaimemaussan1 It appears to be an orange light out of focus. Not a lot more you can say about it.

2022-07-03T23:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543742243017596928
@BrandonFugal @afkc0071 @TrevorEvans77 Me too!

Seriously, what I'm driven by is trying to figure things out. I enjoy the process of investigating and solving puzzles (and, perhaps slightly less, the challenge of communicating the results)

2022-07-03T23:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543738636037812226
@quantastrings So you think there's a big conspiracy to hide it, even though there are countless books and videos about it that explain how to do it?

How would that work? Are all the books bogus disinfo to cover the true method known only to the military?

2022-07-03T23:27:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543738151767748608
@fizBuzz01 @BrandonFugal I'm using a mesh generated from a heightmap. Fairly unsophisticated at the moment, as it's just some repurposed sample code. But I could certainly extend it to arbitrary data sources/formats. Munging is always possible

---

2022-07-03T23:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543737577294811136
@JBirdSTL8 How so? This seemed like a great experiment in replicating a phenomenon that was successful. I think it might be responsible for other phenomena elsewhere, maybe even SWR. Maybe not.

So what's the problem?

2022-07-03T23:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543734405557932032
@quantastrings You'd think if it worked then it would be vastly useful, a HUGE advantage, to both business and the military.

Yet there's zero investment in researching it.

2022-07-03T23:05:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543732667497058305
@quantastrings Are you saying that because a lot of intelligent people believe in something (like Jesus rising up from the dead) then there must be something to it? Even if there's no actual evidence?

2022-07-03T22:57:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543730727170162688
@LawrenceMail @thepolicylass @AdamGoldsack @RexChapman Figuring stuff out, solving interesting puzzles.

2022-07-03T22:57:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543730585884995586

@will65457311 No, I added it because proponents of the Law of Attraction (like Tom DeLonge and Travis Taylor) use Quantum physics to explain why it works (in their model of the universe).

2022-07-03T22:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543718813052481536

What do you think of the "Law of Attraction" (aka "The Secret"), in the sense of the universe giving you things that you ask for because of quantum or mystical factors?

2022-07-03T21:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543715301061115904

@BrandonFugal @coin_waffle If it's repeatable then it will eventually be solved.

It might even be quickly solvable if you release the relevant original videos with camera geolocations.

2022-07-03T20:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543700023015223296

@CalebLe74625772 @jaimemaussan1 It's an out-of-focus light in the sky. The dots are diffraction, probably from dust. The shapes are astigmatism. Here's a related case.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/shape-changing-light-from-plane-with-nikon-p900-polarizing-filter-venus.11904/

2022-07-03T20:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543698049930694657

@FullStackLogan Looks like an insect.

2022-07-03T20:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543687295693234177

@Hase852 Well unless he's got some ACTUAL evidence for that, it sounds like a weird religion, not science.

2022-07-03T20:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543686966826319872

@TheUfoJoe It's mostly accurate, but they conflate measurement/detection with a conscious observer.
https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/what-does-quantum-theory-actually-tell-us-about-reality/

2022-07-03T19:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543685691174895616

@uapdog @the_cholla
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sitrec-metabunks-situation-recreation-tool-development-discussion.12354/

2022-07-03T19:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543685592382181376
@schizlamic Stabilized and sped-up it looks kind of odd

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Lights%20in%20the%20sky3%20STAB%20first%20bit%20scrolling%205x.mp4

2022-07-03T19:47:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543682754914570244
@AdamGoldsack @LawrenceMail @thepolicylass @RexChapman Example. Chris Lehto had never heard of either bokeh or hyperfocal distance. Nothing wrong with that, but fighter pilots are not experts at everything.

2022-07-03T19:45:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543682330253934593
@hlywdufo Yeah, kinda like Aguadilla - been shown many times to be something slow over the airport, like a wedding lantern or two, but hopeful people toss that explanation away in favor of bizarre physics-defying trans-medium invisible craft from another dimension.
Sci-comm vs. Sci-Fi.

2022-07-03T19:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543679848899432449
@TimSpursFan It's a fun video. What's the issue? I'm not claiming this solves anything, it's just a nice illustration of an illusion...

2022-07-03T19:28:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543678025702653953
@iamzeeeggman In pre-car days, distant campfires were likely responsible for some "mystery light" sightings. Now there's just so many possible light sources.

2022-07-03T19:26:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543677647888166912
My UFO was probably here. At night oncoming traffic looks like it's above the ground. The slope is about 12 miles away, and there are some undulations that hide cars What I saw were two cars, probably 1000 feet apart. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWw948iVsAAbcWF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWw948iVsAAbcWF.jpg
2022-07-03T19:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543675932765872129

I once saw a UFO when driving I-15 to LA at night. I thought it was a car, but then the 2 lights split and one vanished. Later I saw it was TWO cars, but 10+ miles away. Human brains are not wired for large distances. Here's another car UFO/light example

https://www.mtu.edu/news/2010/10/just-time-for-halloween-michigan-tech-students-solve-mystery-paulding-light.html

---

2022-07-03T19:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543674937172316160

@CherylCarter417 @BrandonFugal Well, you just said there are no roads nearby. I'm pointing out that there are. Maybe you saw something else, but some sightings there have been replicated as car headlights.

https://www.mtu.edu/news/2010/10/just-time-for-halloween-michigan-tech-students-solve-mystery-paulding-light.html

---

2022-07-03T19:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543673113614790656

@AdamGoldsack @thepolicylass @RexChapman I try to explain things so you don't need a degree to understand them, which means that you don't need that rely on the credentials of anyone in particular - you can simply look at it, and consider it for yourself.

2022-07-03T19:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543672531848007680

@JJ46758764 Many people in the military are very familiar with motion parallax and related illusions.

https://www.cnatra.navy.mil/local/docs/pat-pubs/P-478.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWw56YWUIAEOxXN.jpg

---

402. RELATIVE MOTION ILLUSION/MOTION PARALLAX

Motion parallax refers to the apparent motion of stationary objects as viewed by an observer moving across the landscape. When the pilot or crewmember looks outside the aircraft perpendicular to the direction of travel, near objects appear to move backward, past, or opposite the path of motion; far objects seem to move in the direction of motion or remain fixed. The rate of apparent movement depends on the distance the observer is from the object. You may mistake the motion of another aircraft for that of your own (Figure 4-1).

The only way to correct this illusion is to have sufficient experience to understand that such illusions do occur and to not react to them on the controls. The use of proper scanning can help prevent this illusion.

VISUAL ILLUSIONS IN THE NIGHT ENVIRONMENT 4-1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWw56YWUIAEOxXN.jpg

2022-07-03T18:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543667605591842816

@ArmedForcesVET @Jeff27232500 So is the US Navy. It's the most commonly cited cause of UAP sightings.

2022-07-03T18:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543657666945466368

@GuidoHoncho Unfortunately, that does not seem to be the most replicable phenomenon.

2022-07-03T18:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543656071071350785

@GuidoHoncho What fruit would you prefer? Dead batteries? GPS not working?

2022-07-03T17:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543655793244794885

@CherylCarter417 @BrandonFugal The road was 2.6 miles away. There seem to be several roads within 5 miles of the Paulding Lights area.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWwqt4qVEAAyjsA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWwqt4qVEAAyjsA.jpg

2022-07-03T17:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543642996939190272

@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @FrankRyanArt It's quite possible to say things that are inaccurate or false without lying.

The focus issue will not improve much with better video. See how the HUD is only slightly blurred, but the object is much more blurred. You can only unblur it as much as you can display the HUD.

2022-07-03T17:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543640775086313473

@Chance_in_Hell @BrandonFugal Brandon's team have already done the Lidar scanning. There are also some USGS Lidar datasets that might be useful.

2022-07-03T16:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543631397021106176

@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @FrankRyanArt Sure I have (given plausible hypotheses, not proven):

- It's an irregular-shaped object
- There's video compression, 8x8 blocks where you see "spikes" sometimes
- There are also "spikes" on the top and the sides
- It's too out of focus to see the spikes drawn by Fravor.

2022-07-03T10:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543546053219061760

@Kollytweeps @Aerik @hoaxeye A guy standing in a shallow river

---

2022-07-03T10:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543543923401838598

Walking in the air.

Uyuni salt flat. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8ldPxs3Ceqw
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1543543755617083393/pu/img/nT1Gl3gFsvh03I94.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1543543755617083393/pu/img/nT1Gl3gFsvh03I94.jpg
2022-07-03T10:12:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543538069369802753
@AlecStewart28 The camera is moving around in a way that gives the illusion that it's tracking him. It's more an illusion of parallax than a parallax illusion.

2022-07-03T10:08:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543537084085899264
@MusicAndTruth @CuriousCitzen Different people have different reactions to illusions. It's interesting.

2022-07-03T10:06:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543536689552887808
@Checkmite @BrandonFugal It could be useful for identifying the potential positions of cars - which could then be tested real-world. Reliable replication is key.

2022-07-03T09:40:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543530141191512064
@DK71 @BrandonFugal Of course. SWR is a significant nexus of modern UFOlogy in a variety of ways. Even though I doubt there's anything supernatural going on, it would still be fascinating.

2022-07-03T09:30:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543527510540816384
@LynnMRosser No, but I did originally mistakenly think this was near to SWR, it's just a similar topology.

2022-07-03T09:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543523469647704064

@HarelDan @BrandonFugal Yes. I added terrain for the Aguadilla analysis, also very much a WIP, but with some data in there. Just working on a path editor.

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=agua

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWuySzUUYAA8Gm6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWuySzUUYAA8Gm6.jpg

2022-07-03T09:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543522241396109314

@LynnMRosser SWR is not solved, this "Magic Mesa" hillside illumination case is.

2022-07-03T09:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543522028145127425

A fisherman seems magically skims over the surface of a lake. The parallax illusion, in an unusual situation. He's not moving at first, but both the camera AND the water are, giving a double illusion. https://twitter.com/Aerik/status/1543413606544560129

2022-07-03T09:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543521041712001024

@PThegermandude @BrandonFugal Why not both?

2022-07-03T08:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543519222986264578

@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1543519097542979584

2022-07-03T08:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543519097542979584

A 3D model of the Skinwalker Ranch in the Sitrec framework, ready for some simple illumination tests to see if car headlights might be responsible for *some* odd looking lit-up-hillsides.

Low res topology. Maybe I could get a HD model from @BrandonFugal?

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=swr

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1543518298637160450/pu/img/o6zXbJn6oH-4DXIU.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1543518298637160450/pu/img/o6zXbJn6oH-4DXIU.jpg
2022-07-03T08:47:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543516665471651840
@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem This is also something that could be explored virtually. With my "Sitrec" I've got a bare-bones SWR model in, which could be used with a little ray tracing and occlusion to figure out locations for light sources. Brandon's LIDAR data would be useful.

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=swr

2022-07-03T08:37:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543514218862784512
@WesAuker You don't need one. You just need a practical replication.

2022-07-03T08:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543513858706288640
@1_2_of_Rodney @BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Okay.

2022-07-03T08:16:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543509026545094656
@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Really the first thing to do would be a similar series of replication experiments at SWR, with cars, high-intensity LED headlights, around 1-5 miles away. There does. not seem to be anything particularly unique at the other mesa - it's just a bit fiddly to get the right position.

2022-07-03T01:04:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543400140345552896
@HaliskiJason @thepolicylass @RexChapman Where is the analysis from NASA?

2022-07-03T00:54:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1543397841002909696
@kimball_23 All of them? All former military people with UFO experiences are are afraid of me?

Seems implausible.

2022-07-02T17:42:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543289110910996480

@media_skyhigh @LtTimMcMillan It really didn't change the sense of anything. John is nitpicking at irrelevant points.

2022-07-02T17:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543287640438411265

@SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan Informal chief scientist. Sounds like he led the science for a while, which is really the main thing. He wasn't management.

So no, I think it conveys the crux of the matter. Also, article writers don't always chose their headlines.

2022-07-02T17:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543285218068484096

@LtTimMcMillan John is making much out of semantics and you are just echoing a smear campaign by USRTK - an Organic and anti-GMO lobbying group. Kloor is not a disgraced journalist. His Science piece on Taylor is an accurate overview of the situation.

2022-07-02T16:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543277492135309312

@Carl_Vibe @a01744 @WilburEsq It's not like it's a secret location though. It's a public land (BLM) petrogylph site with trails, and viewing platforms.

2022-07-02T16:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543275560020742144

@fin365 @ThanosAlpha He thanked Mufon too.

Of course, UFOs need more research. But Corbell does basically zero technical research, he's just been promoting Lazar and been given some leaked videos and spun a narrative around them. The fact that he was cited in a hearing is indicative of something.

2022-07-02T15:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543260588884668416

@ThanosAlpha @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein I think Carson thanking Corbell is possibly indicative of what is going on.

People have been convinced by talk and creative interpretations, not real data.

2022-07-02T15:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543260104987774977

@GeorgeT96559261 @anabatic @UFO_Rabbit_Hole Taylor thought that? Rods are UFOlogy 101. Maybe it was exaggerated for TV?

2022-07-02T15:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543259611498627072

@Carl_Vibe @a01744 @WilburEsq What was the rough distance from the car to the mesa?

I wonder if at SWR something (like a truck) over in the oil field might be responsible.

2022-07-02T15:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543255320192962560

@a01744 @WilburEsq @Carl_Vibe I think it's also significant how fiddly it was to replicate. Long sight lines and shallow angles are not always intuitively apparent.

Carl, can you show a map of the car and lit mesa positions?

2022-07-02T15:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543254939048103936

@a01744 @WilburEsq @Carl_Vibe Carl was able to replicate the effect (in his location) in a repeatable manner. Now he's a guy who seems to think there's something supernature going on - so if he's convinced by the replication, then that's significant.

2022-07-02T14:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543247382724222976

@maou42 @UnpluggedUser @ThanosAlpha @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein NASA has been looking outwards though, for quite some time (i.e. with SETI) - what's new is the study on UAPs. I think that's really just Bill Nelson's doing. During the press conference, they talked more in the intro about the radio work and exoplanets.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Search_for_extraterrestrial_intelligence#History

---

2022-07-02T14:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543240088905125889

@maou42 @UnpluggedUser @ThanosAlpha @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein Voyager had a variety of science goals, looking for alien life was a minor component, an intriguing notion, but not what the probe was for.

2022-07-02T01:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543043038296940544

@Carl_Vibe Seems eminently plausible.

2022-07-02T01:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543042386414055424

@fin365 @GeorgeT96559261 My mistake, he mentioned SWR in his site, so I assumed it was nearby.

Likely the same phenomenon though.

2022-07-01T23:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1543009333494947840

The mystery of illuminating hillsides in the Skinwalker Ranch area seems to have been solved as an occasional lucky alignment of car headlights and some reflective volcanic rock. Nice practical work by Carl Crusher and team.

https://www.patreon.com/posts/68529155

2022-07-01T19:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542960641580756993

@dave_beaty @MAD__B But do you still think it shows strange movements?

2022-07-01T15:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542899144318013441

Some of the interpretations of the video of Trump's car seem a bit imaginative. It's hard to tell what is going on. And is he even in the first car that people are focussing on? If so, then who is waving from the (identical) second car?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1542898633791586304/pu/img/rUDjx7BTzH3sbcYF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1542898633791586304/pu/img/rUDjx7BTzH3sbcYF.jpg

2022-07-01T15:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542894528822030336

@kimball_23 Aha, so I need to do my own research to view this stunning proof of advanced technology craft over Jerusalem.

Sorry, but that's generally a sign that the evidence is very poor.

2022-07-01T15:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542894127729152008

@aka__V @BrianDunning @Mike_Gullion I think Puthoff is the biggest common thread there, employed by both Stargate and AAWSAP

2020-05-01T20:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256315400611958784
@ddeanjohnson @Berserk97981716 @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 Five days of events is not one event.

If two things happen in different times and places, it's fine to consider that they MIGHT be unrelated.

2020-05-01T20:10:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256315111825788928
@UfoJoe11 @DaveSFoley Ok, what prevents the GoFast object from being a bird?

I think ballon is more likely, but I'm wondering why you out a large bird for this one particular video. It's not going very fast.

2020-05-01T20:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256313673607294978
@ztrussell @TheZignal @LtTimMcMillan @PostDisclosure @ChristopherKMe4 @BadAstronomer It makes sense of the videos. That's all I was going for.

2020-05-01T20:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256313492123992064
@Phenomenology19 @OKftl @UfoJoe11 Not recently. Is there something in particular you think I need to be aware of?

2020-05-01T19:55:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256311266991239169
@OKftl @UfoJoe11 Pity we can't get detailed accounts from all four, with diagrams, done independently, and ideally taken back in 2004. I actually don't think they would have matched up.

We'll never know, as so much time has passed. But we should as least be able to see if they match now.

2020-05-01T19:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256310527409614848
@SGothh @UfoJoe11 No, I don't have a basement.

2020-05-01T19:44:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256308489917362179
@IBKING46 Transparent? I'm entirely transparent. Just watch all my videos. What am I hiding?

2020-05-01T19:42:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256308089000583169
@TomODon80437044 @ChristopherKMe4 Of the pilots related to FLIR-1 we've got Fravor and the trainee female pilot who were eyewitnesses to a different incident, and then Underwood who did the filming, but never had eyes on. One WSO spoke about it for about 20 seconds, then never again.

2020-05-01T19:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256307339981811713

@paulsanderson @OKftl Only what you see here. The point though is you need actual accounts, not second party retellings.

2020-05-01T19:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256303297679556608

@paulsanderson @OKftl I'm talking about the people on the Hawkeye. But also the two WSOs.

2020-05-01T19:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256301012056330240

@MichaelBoatman_ @DaveSFoley Maybe it was a whale, or a pod of whales feeding. Like this:

https://vimeo.com/112547406?fbclid=IwAR09_P_j4SOVS7J371OBA3JjAq5IRGBQFAiKYul4Z4wF01NnR4pE6biCO88

2020-05-01T19:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256300293781745664

@MichaelBoatman_ @DaveSFoley Being absolutely sure about something does not mean you are right about that thing.

2020-05-01T19:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256300053150367744

@FermiReport @InquiringJosh @LtTimMcMillan @ChristopherKMe4 @joerogan @michaelshermer Oh, I don't know. It would be fun to do some hard fact-checking with Jeremy and Tom :grinning_face:

2020-05-01T19:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256299666720751616

@ElectroDeeJ @JunoWalker It's also, very obviously, not something I'm offering as an explanation of Fravor's incident. Just a bunch of things to put on a list of possible elements, along with holograms, anti-gravity drones, and alien technology.

2020-05-01T18:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256297312801808384

@ElectroDeeJ @JunoWalker I can't. However I suspect it was a combination of factors. The circling-mirroring illusion I talked about for example. And then maybe whale feeding for the initial ocean disturbance. But no really strong single theory emerges.

2020-05-01T18:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256296197750284295

@CovertGoat @SocialistFT @BadAstronomer Planes do.

2020-05-01T18:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256296084462120960

@Catsmeat703 @bigcityrich @hughespj1 I'm not contradicting their accounts. I'm trying to see if there's an explanation for what they saw.

2020-05-01T18:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256295841569968128
@ElectroDeeJ @JunoWalker No, a momentary canopy reflection of a second or two. Obviously that could not have been responsible for the entire incident.

2020-05-01T18:52:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256295361510952961
@ddeanjohnson @ChristopherKMe4 @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 I'm sure Fravor was a great, even exceptional pilot. But even the greatest of pilots sometimes have a loss of situational awareness.

I don't know what he saw. But here I'm focusing on the FLIR video of an event he did NOT observe and which shows something in level flight.

2020-05-01T18:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256294270962561025
@ChristopherKMe4 But to your first point. Underwood was not vectored to the place where he took his video. The object in the video did not show up on any ship radar that he mentioned.

I'm discussing FLIR, i.e. Underwood's video. Fravor's event was a different event.

2020-05-01T18:43:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256293299427540994
@ChristopherKMe4 Cool, send me their contact info. mick@mickwest.com

I'd be happy to correct any errors I made.

2020-05-01T18:40:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256292547212656640
@CovertGoat @SocialistFT @BadAstronomer By "these things" you mean "white specks in the distance?"

2020-05-01T18:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256288201007751168
@MikeBar56999077 @hughespj1 @bigcityrich @FBI @NewYorkFBI @FBIWFO So go call the FBI. You're talking nonsense.

2020-05-01T18:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256287488458362880
I got up early to go on CBC morning radio with @nickpopemod to discuss the hubbub around the "release" of Navy UFO videos.

https://www.cbc.ca/radio/thecurrent/pentagon-s-release-of-ufo-videos-a-big-deal-for-believers-in-extraterrestrial-life-former-u-k-investigator-1.5552229

2020-05-01T18:19:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1256287090909667330
@Kal_ki_Corp @hoaxeye @ta_rocks @Metabunk It's an A340 flying almost directly away from

the chopper. The black blobs are the IR glare around the engines.

2020-05-01T18:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256282408975908896

@ham_boy_noon GOFAST? Yes it does. There's a rapid relative motion due to parallax. The "object moving rapidly into and out of frame" is partly this, and partly the camera moving as he tries to get a lock.

Think about the difference between fixed angle camera, and one tracking an object.

2020-05-01T17:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256281967353450496

@MikeBar56999077 @hughespj1 @ObiWade @bigcityrich @FBI I'm trying to get an eyewitness account of a UFO. I trust PJ will shield himself and hence me from any illegal acts.

2020-05-01T17:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256278661507854336

@hughespj1 @MikeBar56999077 @bigcityrich @FBI Why didn't it break the NDA talking to you? Or did it?

2020-05-01T17:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256278389213720576

@SocialistFT @BadAstronomer Not lying. They could be A) mistaken and/or B) talking about a different event.

2020-05-01T17:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256278139614846977

@BadAstronomer Thanks Phil! I really appreciate the piece you wrote on SyFy. Most of the videos I made nearly a year ago - but it's hard to get people to take a look at them.
My oldest video on the rotating glare is actually from Dec 2017, 2.5 years ago!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2020-05-01T17:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256277188837433344

@ObiWade @hughespj1 @MikeBar56999077 @bigcityrich @FBI Fascinating, but unfortunately these second hand unverifiable accounts are not really something that can be used as good evidence.

2020-05-01T17:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256276206653042688

A great overview of the UFO videos, and my analysis of them, by Bad Astronomer Phil Plait, whose advice to skeptics of "don't be a dick" has underpinned my investigating, debunking, and communicating philosophy for many years.
https://twitter.com/BadAstronomer/status/1256242278663847938

2020-05-01T17:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256271943759589377

@hughespj1 @MikeBar56999077 @bigcityrich @FBI DId they break their NDA by talking to you

and/or Dave, or anyone?

2020-05-01T17:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256271466644951040

@hughespj1 @MikeBar56999077 @bigcityrich @FBI But you've already said they broke their NDA in talking to you and Dave. Can't you just give an edited description from one of them?

2020-05-01T17:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256269425843400706

@OKftl @BadAstronomer Where is this testimony? These "dozen men" have not spoken publicly, or given any statements, or even raised their hands.

2020-05-01T17:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256268848430448640

@Jay51688892 @0acce0 @michaelshermer @tomdelonge In 1988 the US misidentified an airliner as an F-14, and shot it down. Killing 290 people.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iran_Air_Flight_655

2020-05-01T17:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256267937813417984

@MikeBar56999077 @hughespj1 @bigcityrich @FBI I'm sure PJ could remove anything like that.

I just want the visual description of what the object was and how it moved. Since Fravor was allowed to give his description of (presumably) the same type of thing, then it's obviously not classified.

2020-05-01T16:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256267076156583943

@Kal_ki_Corp @hoaxeye @ta_rocks @Metabunk They were unable to explain it, but I, and others, explained it.

Here's a 1 minute video on it. https://youtu.be/2bFfuIidCK0

2020-05-01T12:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256201279904157698

@DrSunsh37538493 Check back in a year.

2020-05-01T04:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256081055221608448

RT @michaelshermer: Dear @tomdelonge

If, as you claim, you have access to billions of dollars, scientists, technologists & Hollywood camerâ¦

2020-05-01T02:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256048187975938048

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 So if a planes is 60 miles away but they think itâ□□s 20, how does that work?

Nobody was tracking Underwoods object. So maybe it was not where he thought it was?

2020-04-30T23:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256007618847358976

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 By not being where they think it is.

2020-04-30T23:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256006239634382849

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 I'm suggesting the FLIR object was an aircraft, yes. I'm also showing that it is not moving in any particularly interesting way, and does not change velocity. It's not DOING anything unconventional.

2020-04-30T23:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256004982882488321

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 IR black hot.

2020-04-30T23:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256004896752496640

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Here's the full footage. It's an A340. 4 engines. When a plane is sufficiently far away the IR engine glare obscures any plume - especially when the plane is flying away, as it is here, and in the FLIR case.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NkUTGpegZN0

2020-04-30T23:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256004094809878528

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 No. Here's an A340. Do you see 4 plumes?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW45EEgUMAEawoB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW45EEgUMAEawoB.jpg

2020-04-30T23:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256001633466478594

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 And it does matter, because he says it changes speed.

2020-04-30T23:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256000342065442816

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 He's also wrong about "it's just sitting in the wind and all of a sudden it accelerates".

2020-04-30T23:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256000086884052992

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 He doesn't give one.

He's also wrong about "you would see a plume"

2020-04-30T23:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255998947451011072

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 He's wrong. It's not "pretty fast" it's the same angular speed as the camera is panning. The camera has stopped panning, that's all.
Meaning the object DOES NOT CHANGE SPEED.

2020-04-30T23:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255997523233128449

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 And why would that matter? He didn't see the video being taken. His event was a different event.

2020-04-30T23:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255997250704048128

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 When?

2020-04-30T22:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255994981203861504

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 It's a little unclear when to mark the start of motion, but It moved half a NAR 2X FOV in 1 to 1.5 seconds. So that's 4 to 6 seconds per NAR FOV.
Camera was panning left at about 4.2 seconds per degree.

2020-04-30T21:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255978900095528960

@hughespj1 @novak_meri @bigcityrich Who interviewed them?

2020-04-30T21:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255965723584655360

@hughespj1 @novak_meri @bigcityrich Do you know where it came from?

2020-04-30T20:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255964799403356160

@Peter_Levy_18 @MoremonJ @The_Sossage @nickpopemod @joerogan Nobody is never serious. The point is that sometimes pilots mess around.

2020-04-30T20:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255964622869258240

@Peter_Levy_18 @MoremonJ @The_Sossage @nickpopemod @joerogan Which suggests there

was nothing up with the object. But something up with the radar.

@hughespj1 says there were other eyewitnesses who got a close up look with their eyes. Why could they not talk about that, but Fravor could?

2020-04-30T20:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255964077710442496

@mongustabeach @TheoryQed I'd appreciate any feedback. Especially from people with relevant knowledge.

2020-04-30T20:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255955485729058816

@Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod "Against the wind" was GIMBAL, not GOFAST. GIMBAL was likely a distant jet engine.

2020-04-30T20:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255955132425990144

@MannyMcClane @Deepneuron Is there a clear one, that's demonstrably not fake?

Why not?

2020-04-30T20:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255954903878348800

@MoremonJ @Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @nickpopemod @joerogan Did you listen to the bit where he was messing around, pretending to be a UFO to fuck with campers? Pilots do fun stuff sometimes.

And nobody asked Fravor to sign an NDA. Why would that be, if he got the best look?

2020-04-30T20:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255954483202285568

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 While the numbers are changing, the camera is moving left, but the object stays in the middle of the screen.

What happens if you follow something moving left with the camera, then stop the camera? Which way does the object move?

2020-04-30T20:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255950298771681280

@MannyMcClane @Deepneuron Yeah, but apparently no camera exists in the entire planet that can take a photo of these things that people claim they can see.

Odd that.

2020-04-30T19:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255945531181813760

@Deepneuron @ExploreSCU Does the camera lose lock whenever the lens changes, in your opinion. Watch FLIR, see where the lens changes. Note the target move to the left.

Which way is the camera moving when the target is (visually)not?

2020-04-30T19:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255945135688310784

@Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod What fast? Balloons can fly as fast as the wind.

2020-04-30T19:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255944597559107584

@Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod Yeah, but what about if they were just familiarizing themselves with the ATFLIR system on a training exercise? What if they were just messing around locking on to random stuff?

2020-04-30T19:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255943296720924673

@Deepneuron @ExploreSCU Yeah, that's nonsense. The lock was broken SEVERAL times in the video during lens changes. It's using visual tracking, so needs to re-acquire it every time.

2020-04-30T19:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255942542849261569

@Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod Yes and in human history we have witnesses of all kinds of things that turned out to be mistakes. What more exactly was in the longer versions that made it unequivocally not a plane? THESE videos don't "look" like planes.

2020-04-30T19:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255937954901487616

@Peter_Levy_18 @The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod No, I'm saying in the 35 seconds in each video (GIMBAL and GOFAST) they were subject to same visual illusions that you and I are. Go-Fast LOOKS like a it's going fast. Gimbal LOOKS like a rotating flying saucer.
35 seconds is not enough time for ANYONE to figure it out.

2020-04-30T18:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255924883474665472

@joeisincognito So is that the exact color, width to length ratio, end curvature, lack of protrusions, etc?

And what about the motion. That's what I'm referring to here. Draw a picture of what happened.

2020-04-30T17:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255909381201264640

@mission_europa @Oswald1160 @murnut @alejandrotrojas The military does not care what the UFO community thinks about them.

2020-04-30T17:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255909121418620928

I was happy to be involved in this piece in Broadview Magazine on the challenges of communicating with people enmeshed in conspiracy culture.
https://twitter.com/BroadviewMag/status/1254898776277483522

2020-04-30T17:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255907116503535616

@joeisincognito I've watched many interviews. I don't remember him saying anything about pilots syncing up with radar data after landing. If you happen to see it again, I'd appreciate a link.

2020-04-30T17:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255906597030645760

@joeisincognito I'm disputing his account of its motion. I'm not sure about the shape.

I'l like him to draw some diagrams. Verbal descriptions are a bit silly.

2020-04-30T17:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255906254540562432

@mission_europa @Oswald1160 @murnut @alejandrotrojas No you don't. The witnesses are not witnesses to the filming of the videos.

2020-04-30T17:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255906001795997696

@JunoWalker No, I don't have a high confidence idea there. But he was looking down from high altitude. The only non-technology think I can think of would be a canopy reflection. But there's nothing really high on the list of possibilities.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-role-of-canopy-reflections-in-pilot-accounts-of-ufos.9805/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW3fuQUVcAAuCU4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW3fuQUVcAAuCU4.jpg

2020-04-30T16:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255901993563873280

@mark58329831 @Deepneuron @DeptofDefense No the New Navy version of GIMBAL and GOFAST are now the best, but the f4-2007 version of FLIR is better than the new Navy version.

You can find them all here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/original-flir1-gimbal-and-go-fast-ufo-raw-video-files.10802/

2020-04-30T16:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255900067413684224
@joeisincognito Can you link to where he said this?

2020-04-30T16:34:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255898430779486208
@novak_meri @joeisincognito Yes, I'm sure. She was on the "Unidentified" TV show partially repeating her account.

2020-04-30T16:31:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255897557974179840
@joeisincognito What do you mean "the GIMBAL pilots?" What observations were confirmed by what?

Of course I've not seem any radar data. There's nothing in the public domain.

2020-04-30T16:29:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255897182432968705
@zcichy This short summary is the best place for people to start at:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-30T16:28:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255896841696075777
@joeisincognito What "claims of his fellow officers" did it support? Surely the radar data WAS "claims of his fellow officers" - as they had just claimed to have seem something on the radar. Nobody doubts there was something shown on the radar.

2020-04-30T16:26:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255896390070267905
@ryan_cem @alejandrotrojas Start here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-new-navy-ufo-videos.11234/

2020-04-30T16:26:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255896196771545089
@murnut @alejandrotrojas No, they explained why not.

"Any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected." - Gardisher, Navy Spokesman.

2020-04-30T16:22:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255895338193309697
@JLuvin @alejandrotrojas And how do you know: A) that he said this, and B) what he was referring to.

Do you have a transcript of a classified briefing? Please show it.

2020-04-30T16:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255894664625786880

@novak_meri @joeisincognito That's not Fravor. "Source" is the other, female, pilot. She's semi-anonymous and wishes to remain so, hence people politely refer to her as "the female pilot."

2020-04-30T16:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255894220360957952

@joeisincognito There is no data available to review. Fravor had no radar data that matched his observations.

2020-04-30T16:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255893821390385153

@hughespj1 @bigcityrich You mean " If and when their NDAâ□□s are rescinded, youâ□□ll be the first to see them?"

How does that affect anything. They have already broken the NDA. So why not post some more details without identifying them. It's the same legally.

2020-04-30T16:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255893445425553408

@alejandrotrojas Except they probably have come to some conclusions (and I'd put money on them being very similar to mine). They are just not telling anyone the details, because so much is secret by default.
"unidentified" does not mean "without any plausible mundane explanation".

2020-04-30T16:06:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255891307957899270

@hughespj1 @bigcityrich Kinda vague on details of what they saw.

Post the statement you have, with personal identifying information retracted.

2020-04-30T15:58:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255889148952539137

@DaveSFoley It's not about qualifications. I'm JUST a retired video game programmer, you are JUST a comedian. Yet we can both do simple math and fact-check.
Of course Fravor would be the better choice, but if someone makes a mistake are they the best person to judge if they made a mistake?

2020-04-30T14:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255861820977369094

@hughespj1 @bigcityrich Since you've already given the general content of those statements, can you just post them with all personal identifying content removed?

2020-04-30T14:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255861199989698560

@hughespj1 @bigcityrich Well until then it's pretty meaningless. But I certainly look forward to it!

I assume they drew a diagram?

2020-04-30T14:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255860426476142592

@bigcityrich @hughespj1 Well, he didn't witness it, I very much doubt it was 100 feet, and even then it's not a sighting that was taken during this video event.

2020-04-30T06:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255745279225065472

@TheoryQed @hughespj1 Sounds about right. I'm not entirely sold on the "large plane" idea though. I'll try to revisit this tomorrow.

2020-04-30T06:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255744700243259393

@BarChefs Nothing compelling, but there are always going to be videos (like these) where you can't identify the object because it's simply too far away from the camera (accounting for zoom settings and image quality)

2020-04-30T05:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255733240221986818

@TheoryQed @hughespj1 Have you done this?

2020-04-30T05:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255731723536461825

@TheoryQed Planes doing just go to a point and stay there. They hold about a point (navaids in normal aviation), usually with a standard racetrack turn that covers several miles.

It's not like a spot. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW1BHntU0AEHYug.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW1BHntU0AEHYug.jpg

2020-04-30T05:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255730487672201216

@TheoryQed @hughespj1 What speed did it seem to be moving away at?

2020-04-30T05:25:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255729905246986241
@TheoryQed That seems accurate within a few tens of miles. So not really any meaningful colocation.

2020-04-30T05:20:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255728788396113920
@TheoryQed Fravor said that Underwood got it on video at the same cap point? Really?

2020-04-30T05:19:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255728573974867969
@TheoryQed @hughespj1 Well, we don't know how big it was, but I'd suspect based on various actors that it was in the range of 50 to 100 miles.

2020-04-30T05:18:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255728213612851200
@TheoryQed And where did Underwood say it was at that CAP point?

2020-04-30T05:17:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255728069454643200
@TheoryQed Really? What did female pilot say exactly?

2020-04-30T05:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255727192874467328
@TheoryQed Scrambled jets are generally vectored to the target by ATC - they don't just give them a 100 mile radius and say "use your radar"

2020-04-30T05:13:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255726833187749888
@TheoryQed "zoomed?" Fravor lost it, and then the ship saw something at the cap point. Where's the connection? They were not even tracking it after Fravor got close to where the return was.

2020-04-30T05:11:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255726520775004160
@_paint_nerd88 @AgentJ61780559 @Disclosure2020 @zcichy Fravor was FLIR, not GIMBAL.

Where exactly is the evidence of these 5 objects in formation?

2020-04-30T04:15:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255712450919325696
@TheoryQed
https://nymag.com/intelligencer/2019/12/tic-tac-ufo-video-q-and-a-with-navy-pilot-chad-underwood.html

I suppose "we go out to where our designated training area is" is a bit vague. Was it designated earlier, or was the designation changed because of Fravor or radar.

But it's just training. Not search out and video.

2020-04-30T04:13:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255711843026264064
@Bhima_Jenkins The camera changes lenses several times, which means it needs to re-acquire lock.

Neither planes seem to change course at any point.

2020-04-30T04:10:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255711169920200705
@TheoryQed Really? I know nothing at all about the role of passenger jets in air-to-air combat.

2020-04-30T04:09:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255710920547815425
@TheoryQed Well, Underwood is the actual person, so I think it's more probable his account is accurate.
I'm not really sure what the issue is here though. Underwood knew there were reports of a UFO, so he was more primed to take video of random pings.

2020-04-30T03:59:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255708283089743872
@agon_izz @crowbern I have zero problems with Gardisher's statements.
Why wouldn't reports UAP's be investigated?

2020-04-30T03:57:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255707806222520320
@TheoryQed Very few real details from that plane, and only from many years alter.

And no, that's not what Underwood said. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW0rhCqVcAEZZCC.jpg

---

So, usually, we fly for about an hour, hour and a half, and then land. Then there's the next wave of folks that take off and do their mission, blah, blah, blah. That day, Dave Fravor was landing at the same time I was getting my gear on, and we crossed paths just after he'd seen it. I really don't want to get into what Dave saw, specifically[1], because I didn't see it with my own eyeballs. But I told him, "The *Princeton*" — again, which has got a really good sophisticated radar — "is reporting that there's an object out there that they wanted us to see if we could find and, if we're able, track."

So, we go out to where our designated training area is. We're not necessarily looking for something, but the *Princeton* had a specific object that they wanted us to hunt, for lack of a better word. And all of a sudden, I got this blip on my radar.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EW0rhCqVcAEZZCC.jpg
2020-04-30T03:52:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255706553195229184

@_paint_nerd88 @AgentJ61780559 @Disclosure2020 @zcichy Explain.

2020-04-30T01:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255663333899788288

@agon_izz I'm not larping. This is real life.

2020-04-30T00:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255659086965731328

In an in-depth chat with the Cool Worlds channel, I explain my thoughts regarding the three Navy UFO videos.

I also give a description of how David Fravor might have mistaken the motion of the "Tic-Tac", and why I think the Navy released the videos now.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Le7Fqbsrrm8

2020-04-30T00:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255653698383998978

@bigcityrich @tveastman @DaveSFoley @TheRickWilson That executive (?) report does not conflict with my analysis of the video. I'm just analyzing the video here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWz6WNFU0AAj0t9.jpg

---

altitude or a few thousand feet below," estimating the object to be between 15-20 thousand feet. The object, according to the FLIR, appeared stationary (Figure 3). There was no discernable movement from the object with the only closure being a result of the aircraft's movement. As LT [redacted] watched the object it began to move out of FLIR field of view to the left. LT [redacted] made no attempt to slew the FLIR and subsequently lost situational awareness to the object. The Flight continued with training mission with no further contact with object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWz6PlVUcAAbWa-.jpg

All on board systems were functioning normally. The radar was in a standard search mode (RWS/ 80NM/ 4bar/ intr) and the FLIR was in L+S slave (the FLIR would point in direction of an L+S track). There was no radio or communication interference and they had entry into the Link-16 network. Initial awareness of an object came via the radar. According to the radar display, the initial tracks were at approximately 30-40 nm to the south of the aircraft. LT [redacted] was controlling the radar and FLIR and attempted multiple times to transition the radar to Single Target Track (STT) mode on the object. The radar could not take a lock, the b-sweep would raster around the hit, build an initial aspect vector (which never stabilized) and then would drop and continue normal RWS b-sweep. When asked, LT [redacted] stated that there were no jamming cues (strobe, champagne bubbles, "any normal EA indications"). It "just appeared as if the radar couldn't hack it." The radar couldn't receive enough information to create a single target track file. The FLIR, in L+S slave, pointed in direction of the initial track flies as the radar attempted lock. The FLIR showed an object at 0 ATA and approximately -5deg elevation (Figure 2). According to LT [redacted], "the target was best guess co-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWz6MeSU4AAPnY_.jpg

LT [redacted] was clear in that he couldn't confirm that it was the same object as described by FASTEAGLE flight. He never had visual, only seeing the object via the FLIR. He reaffirmed that all systems were functional.

Following completion of the training portion, the section returned to the ship for a normal approach, landing and shutdown. The crew met in CVIC and debriefed. LT [redacted] said that the CIVC section attempted to collect his tapes but he refused. They proceeded to their ready room where they debriefed with CDR Fravor and his flight. Copies of the tapes were made with a set being turned into the intelligence section. LT [redacted] was not asked to sign any non-disclosure agreement and he is uncertain how far up the chain the reporting went past his commanding officer. When asked LT [redacted] couldn't confirm any physiological or psychological feelings that were out of the ordinary. He only expressed a feeling of confusion during the event.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWz6WNFU0AAj0t9.jpg

2020-04-30T00:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255651439902220288

@_paint_nerd88 @AgentJ61780559 @Disclosure2020 @zcichy No, if there was something happening AT THE SAME TIME as the video being made, then I would include that.

Fravor's account? Different time.

Radar accounts? Different time.

2020-04-29T23:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255635212987326464

@Erwal4 @MickJoseph3 @knightsabers01 I'm sure there's many people in the military who have some very reasonable ideas about what these videos show.

But everything operational in the military is classified by default, so they won't talk about it - at least not on the record.

2020-04-29T23:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255634489004326912

@SpizeyMeatball The second video is out of focus, so it's just showing the diamond shape of the aperture in the camera. i.e. bokeh.

I'd suspect it's one of:

1) A parachute flare firework

2) A Chinese lantern burning up

3) Something else.

2020-04-29T23:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255633053382148096

@AgentJ61780559 @Disclosure2020 @zcichy The article where they released the same videos as last year?

What has changed?

2020-04-29T22:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255632615085764608

@TripHopGIS I was in the Daily Star once. They as somewhat prone to hyperbole.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWznK8NU8AAsMxG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWznK8NU8AAsMxG.jpg

2020-04-29T22:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255628104942276609

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Look at the number in the center top of the screen.

That's the heading of the camera.

It's going from 4R to 8L. So the camera is rotating smoothly left, following the object's relative motion.

What happens when the camera stops? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWzjGuSU0AAy_aH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWzjGuSU0AAy_aH.jpg
2020-04-29T22:19:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255622850867720192
@The_Sossage @MoremonJ @nickpopemod Start here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-new-navy-ufo-videos.11234/

2020-04-29T22:18:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255622578141454336
@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Do you retract "The background in the video doesnt move"?

2020-04-29T21:40:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255613072602828800
@_paint_nerd88 @FalstaffGreat @JackPosobiec Radar footage? Where's that then?

2020-04-29T21:39:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255612592887742464
@Kenneth84199045 Huh? Of course they are real videos of unidentified objects.

I just explain some misconceptions about them, and point at some likely explanations.

2020-04-29T18:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255570615156609024
@RikWorth I think those "you are spoiling the fun" comments are mostly jokes.

2020-04-29T18:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255570119775797248
@OscarHansen96 @michaelshermer The camera is tracking left, following the relative motions of the object. Camera change just before the "movement" places the object just outside the

lock area. Then the camera fails to re-acquire lock, so the camera stops tracking left, and the object continues left.

2020-04-29T18:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255569563229380608

@JunoWalker Yes, there's a thread on it here.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bright-lights-behind-trees-with-roiling-glare-panama-city-florida.10978/

2020-04-29T18:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255569089910595585

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 There is no background in the video. That's just noise.

2020-04-29T02:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255323889699377153

@TheChilterns @LtTimMcMillan @DeptofDefense Same video with a higher bitrates, is all. So closer to the originals.

2020-04-28T20:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255235327477919746

@CrewCutYoutube Hey, I said "Possibly aliens, possibly secret anti-gravity, but probably not. " - is that not doubt?

2020-04-28T20:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255235002285068293

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 And I'm thinking of the FLIR video. What other video has been attributed to the Nimitz case? I know GIMBAL and GOFAST are sometimes mistakenly so misattributed, but is there another?

2020-04-28T20:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255234488449355785

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 It's not the aircraft movement. It's the camera movements (usually lens changes) that break the lock. Usually it's reacquired, but at the end it is not.

2020-04-28T20:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255234113570779137

@UAPGodz @TheChilterns @Mabzors @elonmusk @BigelowSpace @BrandonFugal I wrote about something like that a few years ago:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/burying-the-debunk-how-fake-news-about-pyramids-in-antarctica-creates-false-balance.8193/

2020-04-28T20:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255232527436054528

@UAPGodz @TheChilterns @Mabzors @elonmusk @BigelowSpace @BrandonFugal I'm pretty sure Antarctica is a real thing.

2020-04-28T20:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255232394870882314
@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @SwavZielin @tomdelonge Just google it.
https://www.livescience.com/12826-jerusalem-ufo-hoax.html

2020-04-28T20:27:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255232127966347266
@VahanBznuni An unidentified jet plane or an unidentified balloon or an unidentified drone is still unidentified, despite you having an idea as to what type of thing it is.

2020-04-28T19:19:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255215144411463681
@Adam_Tkaczuk Accounts vary. But it seems to be after Fravor had returned to the ship.
The relative location is unclear, but the objecte being videoed was very far from the planed doing the videoing. Underwood was not going to where Fravor was.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWtrhC0XQAA__vK.jpg

So, usually, we fly for about an hour, hour and a half, and then land. Then there's the next wave of folks that take off and do their mission, blah, blah, blah. That day, Dave Fravor was landing at the same time I was getting my gear on, and we crossed paths just after he'd seen it. I really don't want to get into what Dave saw, specifically[1], because I didn't see it with my own eyeballs. But I told him, "The *Princeton*" — again, which has got a really good sophisticated radar — "is reporting that there's an object out there that they wanted us to see if we could find and, if we're able, track."

So, we go out to where our designated training area is. We're not necessarily looking for something, but the *Princeton* had a specific object that they wanted us to hunt, for lack of a better word. And all of a sudden, I got this blip on my radar.

The "Tic Tac."

The term "Tic Tac," I actually coined that. So, any time you heard the term, "It looked like a 'Tic Tac' out there in the sky," I was the one that kind of coined that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWtrhC0XQAA__vK.jpg
2020-04-28T19:15:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255213988989882368
@jleighton22 @nickpopemod In fact he AGREES with me.
I said: " I don't know what the pilots saw"
He said: "I have no idea what I saw"

2020-04-28T19:13:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255213559979470850
@jleighton22 @nickpopemod But he's not talking about the video. He's talking about something he saw. I'm not talking about what he saw. I'm talking about the video.
So how does he disagree with me?

2020-04-28T18:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255208389283508224

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 It the motion of the plane with the camera that causes the drift, not the motion of the target.

1) Camera is tracking object, needs to keep turning to stay in shot.

2) Camera stops tracking, so apparent motion at same angular rate.

2020-04-28T18:50:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255207797689511936

@mandalorchick @nickpopemod When the video was taken, there was no simultaneous naked eye sighting. It was a totally different event.

Fravor did not take video. He didn't even draw a diagram.

2020-04-28T18:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255207127620055040

@Kim_Sirius But they did not take video. I'm trying to investigate what's in the video. The video does not show rapid movements, so maybe it's of a different thing to what they saw?

2020-04-28T18:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255206801311559680

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 It doesn't take off at speed. It just drift out of frame after the lock is lost.

2020-04-28T17:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255184332374695938

@Kim_Sirius Such a movement is not seen in the video, nor was it seem by the naked eye. It was something that only happened on radar, and so may well have been a problem with the radar system.

2020-04-28T17:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255184058742538241

@LeafErikson @ChillBones15 @nickpopemod Not the pilots that took the video, at a different time and location. I'm discussing the videoed event, not different events that may or may not be linked.

2020-04-28T16:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255171626993192961

@mandalorchick @nickpopemod Not flir and eyesight at the same time. Different things.

2020-04-28T16:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255166152436617218

@mandalorchick @nickpopemod I left that out because the only link is that they went into a region of roughly a hundred square miles, and saw something in the vicinity, maybe scores of miles away. No way of knowing if was linked to the radar returns - which showed very different motion patterns.

2020-04-28T16:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255165615637061632

@jleighton22 @nickpopemod Can you name one of these experts? What did they say?

2020-04-28T15:08:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255151898425868311

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Two different radars. The radar on the ship was the one with the 80,000ft glitches. The radar on the plane was the one trying to lock onto a distant target.

2020-04-28T15:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255151558741782539

@Bhima_Jenkins No, he mentions them, but he also claims it moves suddenly.

2020-04-28T15:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255150129801097217

@Disclosure2020 @zcichy Watch it, then you can dismiss it with authority.

2020-04-28T15:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255149983197573125

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 No, the video is not a radar glitch. Nobody suggested that.

But there's also no good evidence it's the same thing that was detected by the radar.

2020-04-28T14:59:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255149726254501895

@SilvaRecord @JunoWalker Why not? It's not moving fast. Balloons are roughly tic-tac shaped.

2020-04-28T14:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255149440056258561

@Disclosure2020 @zcichy It's only three minutes long.
How can you dismiss it without watching it. Seems rather hypocritical given I've spent many hours watching alternative videos.

2020-04-28T14:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255149169401946112

@Disclosure2020 @zcichy I've watched all the videos, frame by frame, multiple times.
There's very little eyewitness testimony regarding the actual videoed events. Underwood only saw it through the camera.

2020-04-28T14:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255148740446318598

@Disclosure2020 @zcichy I mean did you watch MY video?
What is untrue in it? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255148401227788289

@scarEcheverria1 @hoaxeye @DragonLadyU2 @Metabunk When a plane is far away, beyond the optical limits of the camera, the engine flare can obscure the plane. So how can you "recognize" a blob?

It becomes a UFO.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1255148166283849736/pu/img/mALHP1hsNni5cA_V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1255148166283849736/pu/img/mALHP1hsNni5cA_V.jpg

2020-04-28T14:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255146942213967872

@BulletpBullet @jagocide @hoaxeye @Metabunk Life is absurd. Reality is what happens, not that which avoids absurdity.

2020-04-28T14:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255146694640988163

@Gibson34312773 @ufotodaynetwork @DailyCaller Yes, but OpSec prevents the boring truth from being revealed.

2020-04-28T14:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255146498326622210

@Disclosure2020 @zcichy Did you watch the video? What's untrue in it?

2020-04-28T14:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255145763870347269

@scarEcheverria1 @hoaxeye @DragonLadyU2 @Metabunk Which one?

2020-04-28T14:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255145498391928832

@ufotodaynetwork @DailyCaller They got FOIA requests for the original videos. So they released them, hoping it would clear up questions about what they had.

How little they understand the media's appetite for such things....

2020-04-28T14:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255145243650912256

@KevinGe50474418 @nickpopemod It is a UFO, but ballon is the most likely explanation for

something moving at wind speed.

2020-04-28T14:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255144973910999042

@geekwire The Navy acknowledged they were real last year. These are just slightly higher quality versions. They yield to the same analysis as before. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T14:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255144740980285443

@RT_com Well, it barely made headlines because A) they were released two year ago, B) There's more important stuff going on, and C) Analysis shows nothing really interesting in the videos. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255144470821040129

@andysignore But if you look into these UFO videos in more depth, they are really not the evidence you seek.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T14:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255144239945506819

@drgonzo123 @DeltaGreenRPG How are they making money from it?
Here's what I think about the "UFO" videos. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255143991688851461

@anadoluagency Did it clear it up? Not really. Here's a bit more clarity.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T14:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255143850076549120

@YamsTV @KellyKOMO4 I'm going with "probably not". See this quick explanation of the videos. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255143692274241536

@boredpanda "History" is a bit hyperbolic. The Navy described them as "Historical", which means "pertaining to the past", or just "old".
These vides came out two years ago. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255143397943242758

@thebonnierotten They DO show UFOs. Just not very interesting ones. Media is overreacting. See explanations here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T14:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255143166820225025

@Local4News Want to know more? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255142960032669701

@DailyCaller "Real" here does not mean "aliens are real." It just mean there's some far away blurry objects on video that they can't tell what they are, because they are too low resolution and out of focus. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255142695988629505

@ProgressPolls Everyone believes in UFOs. The question is if they are aliens. Here's some explanation. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255142507232387076

@srijanpalsingh You should probably watch this first. It's just three minutes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255142243993743362

@Ph03n1x24 I think it's more like the media is desperate for something new to write about. These videos are two years old. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255141102320934916

@8NewsNow And they have the same explanations as they did two years ago. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255140893863981056

@tksenne "UFO" does not mean "Alien visitors". Just unidentified. They just don't know WHICH boring explanation is correct. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255140646429421569

@StuartLWallace I've been look at those UFO videos for years. They are not quite as unidentifiable as they seem. Here's a summary. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T14:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255138292921597953

@KevinGe50474418 @nickpopemod Actually, the numbers on screen DO matter. Check this out: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

---

2020-04-28T07:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255039279366955018

@Finn_Forestall Radar failure seems by far the most likely explanation. Do the math.

2020-04-28T07:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255039133673635841

@IBKING46 @DarkstarIE I'm submitting my videos. I'm not going for a Nobel prize here. Just figuring stuff out.

2020-04-28T07:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255038911400652801

@IBKING46 @DarkstarIE Pretty amazing coincidence, eh.

There's more. You can replicate the effect easily. The 2-axis gimbal is essentially set up to do it. And it happens exactly when expected, due to gimbal lock.

2020-04-28T07:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255038486362484736

@IBKING46 @DarkstarIE I'm not addressing eyewitness accounts because there were no eyewitness to the events show in the video (except indirectly, thought video)

2020-04-28T07:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255038338269974529

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Back WHERE? The target they saw was several tens of miles away from Fravor's encounter.

2020-04-28T07:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255037925961564164

@naoehogui @SternShark @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy That's great. I'm not addressing eyewitness accounts because there were no eyewitness to the events show in the video (except indirectly, thought video)

2020-04-28T07:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255037560771866626

@IBKING46 @DarkstarIE You can see the entire light field rotate at the same time as the glare. So that means the glare is a camera artifact. See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Btns91W5J8

2020-04-28T07:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255037051952459776

@IBKING46 @DarkstarIE I say "All I'm explaining here are these three videos, not other videos. And I'm not explaining any eyewitness accounts." and "Visiting aliens are always a possible explanation for any UFO video"

2020-04-28T07:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255036707688206337

@IBKING46 @paulsanderson Yeah, but what was it? I've no idea.

2020-04-28T07:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255036473134288896

@ThatBarton @SocialistFT @ChillBones15 @nickpopemod I was contrasting his reaction to that of the other pilot, described above as "anonymous and terrified."

Who I am does not change my analysis of the videos. But if you are interested there's an article

about me here:
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2020-04-28T07:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255035650559995905
@Supriya23bh @ABC Hello explanation of UFO videos!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T07:24:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255035255037153280
@TimesNow The UFO videos have some potentially much more straightforward explanations than alien technology. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T07:22:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255034632472428546
@naoehogui @SternShark @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy I look forward to the latter!

2020-04-28T06:57:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255028353930719232
@naoehogui @SternShark @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy So why are you following me :)

2020-04-28T06:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255027721870036992
@SalAntithesis @ChrisRiddle121 You too!

2020-04-28T06:32:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255022058745950209
@SocialistFT What if it was a 747 flaying away from them at 450 knots, and they thought it was much smaller and closer, then they lost sight of it.

Nobody went full throttle, they just nosed around, then lost something.

2020-04-28T06:30:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255021665555120128
@SocialistFT @ThatBarton @ChillBones15 @nickpopemod Maybe she just doesn't want attention.

By why isn't Fravor afraid?

Why did nobody get him to sign an NDA?

Maybe because he didn't see anything worth hiding?

2020-04-28T06:29:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255021304383598592
@Ray19061974 @nickpopemod The pilot reports are not the reports of people who saw what was being videoed. Different events.

2020-04-28T06:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255021156152664064

@SalAntithesis @ChrisRiddle121 Are you seeing emotion here? I think this is interesting and fun.

I'm not trying to "win," I'm trying to figure out what the videos show.

The more eyes on my hypotheses, the more refined (or perhaps falsified) they will become. The closer to the truth we all get.

2020-04-28T06:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255020480429322245

@BenTyHepler I spent about 3 hours making the video. Then on and off Twitter. But no, it's not all. I also did some yard work, videoed some contrails, experiment with my new EMF meter, and watched quite a bit of TV. I'm retired.

2020-04-28T06:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255018770340311040

@ChinaDaily Also released - a short video explaining what the UFOs probably are.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255018543109689347

@BloombergQuint They DO show UFOs. But anything sufficiently far away is going to be unidentified. That does not mean it's not a plane, or a drone, or a ballon - which all is what they likely are. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T06:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255018242562654208

@globaltimesnews Well "real" is a rather broad term. Those are real Navy videos. They really show unidentified objects. But they also have some quite plausible explanations. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T06:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255018003034341376

@girlsreallyrule The footage is real. But the "UFOs" might not be as unidentifiable as people think. See some plausible explanations here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T06:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255017796754214912

@STcom See also these quite reasonable explanations for those "UFO" videos:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255017527115042817

@SCMPNews Explanations for all three UFO videos exist, and have done for years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255017387797045248

@anonopshispano The UFO's are not quite as unidentified as people suggest. There's actually some quite reasonable explanations for all three of them.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255016949152538625
@BenTyHepler They were indirectly released two years ago. These are just slightly better quality versions. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T06:11:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255016764355645441
@pauleriksen Also check out this explanation of those "UFOs"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:10:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255016589319004160
@Reuters They are UFOs, just not as interesting as they initially see. Explanation here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:09:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255016382120353792
@johnwlaw It simply released better versions of videos of unidentified aircraft or balloons that were out two years ago. Explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

---

2020-04-28T06:08:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255016046798311426
@MiltonTPike1 No. They are just unidentified because they are too far away - like most UFOs.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:07:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255015804925509633
@ZeeNewsEnglish They are not quite as "unexplained" as people seem to think.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:06:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255015615074463744
@WilliamAder Those are old videos. They simply releaved "official" versions which were exactly the same, but with a slightly higher bitrate. Here's last year's analysis:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255015404189020161
@guardiannews Here's the videos explained, last year.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:05:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255015195342069762
@GuardianAus Those are actually quite old, and explained.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T06:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255014876071653376

@DarkstarIE That's utterly unrelated to the videos. It's a tale one guy related.

2020-04-28T06:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255014744664076290

@DarkstarIE What's the point of this "thing?" The videos are not arguing they exist.

2020-04-28T06:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255014388324417536

@SalAntithesis No.

I don't know what the objects are exactly. They are still unknown from my perspective, and from the perspective of the Pentagon.

I've just debunked some mistakes, like the idea that GIMBAL is rotating, or that GO-FAST is going fast.

I'm sure the Pentagon would agree there.

2020-04-28T06:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255013924304384001

@SocialistFT No. People make mistakes, even military pilots. See the Chilean Navy UFO for example.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2020-04-28T05:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255013653247451136

@SalAntithesis I'm not looking to disprove them.

I'm looking to figure out what they are.

Advanced technology would be great, I'd love it.

But that's not what the facts in the videos indicate.

2020-04-28T05:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255013435407953921

@DarkstarIE The pilots who took the videos never saw the objects by eye. So they were essentially just looking at the same video we see today.

2020-04-28T05:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255013207497793537

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Radar vectored him to a position expecting object at 20,000 feet. Fravor arrives and can't find it. Keeps looking. Later sees tic-tac at 500 feet.

Radar never saw it drop.

Radar never saw it MOVE to CAP point.

Maybe just radar glitches, unrelated to what he saw, or the video.

2020-04-28T05:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1255012447745785856

@DarkstarIE It looks like it's rotating.
Haven't you seen any of the videos I did explaining this?
See the playlist, but if you've just got time from one, here's 4 minutes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Btns91W5J8

2020-04-28T05:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255011655856951298
@SocialistFT @ThatBarton @ChillBones15 @nickpopemod Yeah, why do you think her reaction is so vastly different to Fravor's?

2020-04-28T05:47:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255010730593538048
@DarkstarIE The Gimbal is not rotating - that's a camera artifact. The "going against the wind" fleet was something else they saw on the SA.

2020-04-28T05:46:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255010432038756353
@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Fravor never saw the object drop.
The radar never saw the object make rapid horizontal movements.
The video shows neither vertical nor horizontal sudden movements.
So why do you think they are all the same thing.

2020-04-28T05:43:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255009806928056321
@ThatBarton @ChillBones15 @nickpopemod That's separate from the videos. They did not see the objects as the videos were being recorded. The radar accounts for FLIR are nothing at all like what what recorded on video. Fravor's account of motion is nothing like the video OR the radar.

2020-04-28T05:26:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255005492033712128
@DarkstarIE Not in the videos. All three videos show little more than level flight. So maybe the radar and the eyewitnesses saw something else - after all the videos happened at different times to the radar etc.

2020-04-28T05:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255005209937326081
@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Why not both being vectored by tactical radar officers AND a lucky FLIR catch.

2020-04-28T05:11:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255001639976460288
RT @aSkepticalHuman: Why 9/11 Truthers Are Wrong About The Facts | (Part 1: Mick West)

WATCH HERE: https://youtu.be/uO4W7IbdwsI | #911Truth #Coâ¦

2020-04-28T05:09:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1255001098797998081

@Bhima_Jenkins Yes. Section 2.4.3. It's wrong because he's not accounting for the camera, 1 - changing lenses, and 2 - losing lock. The object simply drifts out of view after that because the plane it turning. There's no detectable non-camera motion.

2020-04-28T05:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254999771049148417

@DericKnight @nickpopemod No, we're talking about an IR camera that can optically lock onto a moving target. That's about it. Go-Fast got a range, seeming from radar, but really it's just video. There's nothing linking these videos to shipboard systems other than loose proximity.

2020-04-28T04:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254988930459426816

@ChillBones15 @nickpopemod There is no radar jamming in the videos. This is about the videos.

2020-04-28T04:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254988118320492544

@paulsanderson And Underwood didnâ□□t see it, he saw what the camera saw. He left the math to the nerds.

2020-04-28T04:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254987500373725184

@paulsanderson Two planes? No, I think itâ□□s probably just one plane in the video. Iâ□□ve no idea what Fravor saw.

2020-04-28T04:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254987162480607234

@Bhima_Jenkins I did analyze it. Camera movement, zoom changes, and loss of lock.

2020-04-28T04:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254984462879739905

@Bhima_Jenkins Not in the videos. They were not pulling ANY g-forces. They all appeared to be in level flight with constant airspeed.

2020-04-28T04:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254983974637547520

@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 Why not both?

2020-04-28T04:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254983730055139330

@Scribe1969 They are just annoyed people keep bothering them.

2020-04-28T02:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254953536615378944

@THughesPhoto @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 All of the above :)

2020-04-28T01:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254942335634247680

@rationalstoic Yeah, the SA is a different display, and showed different things.

2020-04-28T01:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254942073943252993
@rationalstoic @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 The radar has nothing to do with the videos - except for seeming to show something that moved utterly unlike what is seen in the FLIR video.

2020-04-28T01:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254941713426046976
@THughesPhoto @PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 I'm making a judgement about the videos, not the entire universe.

2020-04-28T00:43:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254934152027099136
@paulsanderson Math is not opinions.

2020-04-28T00:42:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254933879053381633
@PostDisclosure @Greensheet6 I'm examining the three videos in their entirety.

I'm not examining other things.

I talk about the videos.

I don't talk about other things.

2020-04-28T00:41:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254933604443885568
@rationalstoic Which bit of my video do they disagree with?

I'd be happy to explain my thoughts to them, and issue a correction if they point out mistakes.

2020-04-28T00:21:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254928756298285056
@ABC Some think they just show planes, drones, and balloons. Probably one of each.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T00:20:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254928527394136064
@nickpopemod I'm going with a) Misidentifications/FLIR camera anomalies.

Final Answer.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T00:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254928223319674880
@KENS5 Yeah, it does not really change the prior assessments of the videos, that they don't show any kind of advanced technology, and are instead a variety of glitches, illusions, and

things that are far away.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T00:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254927693612634112

@QuickTake And some believe they don't.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T00:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254927323393998848

@funnybrad Old UFO video, which has been quite comprehensively explained over a year ago.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-28T00:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254926318648487936

@ESWHYDEENEY Not true. The things they find in the ground are just the things you would expect to be there. See, for example:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-strontium-as-footprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWpkz-uVAAEGnh_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWpkz-uVAAEGnh_.jpg

2020-04-27T23:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254921749327577088

@VICE No, they are not.

At least, you can't conclude that from these videos.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-27T23:40:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254918422413996033

@DrillZim @DukakisDude And now here's a better place to start:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-27T23:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254918010092961793

@Greensheet6 Investigating things is fun. It would be nice if a genuinely interesting mystery was revealed, but you've got to roll with the facts, and the math.

2020-04-27T23:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254914887148433408

Explained: The New Navy UFO Videos.

Not new, and they were officially acknowledged last year. They are not as interesting as they first seem, and all have fairly plausible explanations.

Possibly aliens, possibly secret anti-gravity, but probably not.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7jcBGLIpus

2020-04-27T21:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254887076375351296

@ESWHYDEENEY So they admit to thinking about doing it in 15 year.

Look up. See contrails.

2020-04-27T21:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254884757525037056

@ESWHYDEENEY Ryan Harper is the guy to ask.

2020-04-27T21:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254884418268745728

@AlessOnFire @SSense91 @CBSNews Yes, it's difficult to explain what he says he SAW. But none of these videos are what he saw. The "FLIR" video was taken later, shows an object that does no sudden movements, and is probably just a distant plane. The other videos are from years later.

2020-04-27T21:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254883891430604800

@SpizeyMeatball It was official before. Now it's just slightly different type of official with official version of the videos, which are at a better bitrate, but the same videos, so my analyses are just the same.

2020-04-27T21:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254883599523786752

@JunkScience What are you comparing it against. Nuclear power? Cats?

2020-04-27T21:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254882901918978048

@ESWHYDEENEY Please read this:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-funvax-pentagon-briefing-on-removing-the-god-gene-hoax.317/

2020-04-27T21:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254882777649909760

@vkcodez @MiddleOfMayhem I have a dozen videos that explain what's going on in the Navy videos. Seagulls are not mentioned in any of them except as a possible secondary explanation for Go-Fast, which is probably a balloon.

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2020-04-27T21:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254882305497157632

@AlessOnFire @SSense91 @CBSNews I explain the videos. I don't explain Commander Fravor's eyewitness account of something else.

They do mention the "aura" around the object, which is a know image sharpening artifact, as explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2020-04-27T21:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254881864730337280

@DanielC23380649 @SSense91 @CBSNews They are not fake. Flir1 is likely a distant plane. GoFast is likely a balloon (it's not fast, just parallax.) Gimbal is likely the IR flare of a distant plane with the rotation coming from an artifact of the gimbal mounted camera.

2020-04-27T21:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254878362171879424

@ESWHYDEENEY Yeah, it's an actual video of people acting.

2020-04-27T21:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254878176871735296

And for details and what they do and do not show, and what they might be, see the various video explainers I did on them over the last two years:

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2020-04-27T20:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254877745848315904

Those "new" UFO videos? They are the same videos that were out and acknowledged by the Navy last year.

They ARE better quality. Same resolution, but better bitrate. Ultimately though, same videos as last year. Here's a comparison:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fIDfmt2DRsI

2020-04-27T20:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254870819190091780

@SSense91 @CBSNews Yes, the videos are not new, these are just slightly better quality of what was released over a year ago.

My video explainers are here, in order of relevance:

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2020-04-27T16:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254804767911469057

@isaackoi @CurtCollins579 "Supersonic Sea-Skimming Target" SOUNDS like the original interpretation of "Go Fast", which was wrong.

I think it's just random recently released documents. Boring stuff.

2020-04-27T16:03:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254803343962075136

@ufotodaynetwork Screen.

2020-04-27T15:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254802060354048001

@ufotodaynetwork It's the same audio as before.

The video is a bit clearer, and I think with Gimbal it makes it even more obvious that it's just an optical artifact.

2020-04-27T15:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254801047802920961

@CurtCollins579 Some are for a "Supersonic Sea-Skimming Target", which sounds like a potential UFO. I've not read them yet.

2020-04-27T15:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254795943196585985

@Berserk97981716 It's right there. Aliens are possible. Everything is "possible". You're obsessing over semantics.

2020-04-27T15:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254795633686265863

They are the same resolution, just not compressed as much. So you can see more clearly. WMV format.

There's a bunch of documents as well.

2020-04-27T15:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254794691578441730

Official, and higher quality, versions of the three Navy "UFO" videos.

https://twitter.com/VICE/status/1254778833552601089

2020-04-27T15:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254791161706803206

@Berserk97981716 What is 100%? Nobody is going 100% on anything with limited information.

2020-04-27T15:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254791007364714501

@Berserk97981716 You are missing the point. You can't give a probability of a probability being correct - and I don't give probability, just information, math, and ranked lists.

2020-04-27T13:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254760995521617920
@Berserk97981716 @CovertGoat @Mabzors @InquiringJosh @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Well, if nobody has been able to point out errors in it, then does that mean 100%?
No. Itâ□□s a meaningless question.

2020-04-27T13:12:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254760391860645889
@JLuvin @BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Some people enjoy Mythbusters. Some enjoy Ancient Aliens. I wonder to what degree those audiences overlap.

2020-04-27T13:10:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254759710290370561
@apocryphal_x @Berserk97981716 @CovertGoat @Mabzors @InquiringJosh @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure How much?

2020-04-27T13:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254759162254225411
@Berserk97981716 @CovertGoat @Mabzors @InquiringJosh @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Quote what I said that is wrong.

2020-04-27T01:21:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254581288188567554
@DwirexJeff @MrTopStep This particular photo looks just like photos of contrails from 20+ years ago.

So why would you think it's not a contrail?

2020-04-26T22:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254535483868114944
@CovertGoat @Mabzors @InquiringJosh @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure I stand by my analyses of the videos, and if there's a specific point in them that you think is wrong, then I would be happy to hear why you think it is wrong, and then I will fix it.
Pick one point that you think is most significant. Screenshot if possible. Thanks.

2020-04-26T20:26:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254507163113762816
@IngridKellaghan Here's some context on that:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.7598/

2020-04-26T20:24:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254506631817129984
@Stephen24563580 @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron Fravor says nobody ever told him to not talk about it.

Demonstrating a "risk to humanity" would be rather a high legal hurdle. But if someone seriously though that, someone would come forward regardless.

2020-04-26T18:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254479765886496768

@PostDisclosure @Deepneuron Well, one of the stories not actually existing is a great reason to not up-play it. As is one story just being one guy saying like three sentences then refusing to say anything ever again.

Fravor's is good. Female seem to corroborate, but details are sketchy, inconsistent.

2020-04-26T18:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254474511996469252

@UFOA3 They can now in some areas of California - it all depends on local availability of resource. It's more important to first ensure symptomatic people are tested, simply because they are more likely to have it.

2020-04-26T16:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254454186336370688

@PostDisclosure @Deepneuron So where are the detailed accounts from the WSOs? Where are the diagrams? Where are the accounts taken at the time? You've got maybe 1.6 accounts that roughly match after years of telling the same story.

The female pilot drew a diagram for Elizondo. Where is it?

2020-04-26T15:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254432409451294721

@Gibson34312773 @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan The Skinwalker bullet-proof werewolf story always sticks in my memory because @joerogan told me it was one of the thing that prompted his more skeptical attitude after he heard people relate it uncritically when he went to SWR in 2013 for Joe Rogan Questions Everything.

2020-04-26T15:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254430917277265920

@steven_dor @BrandonFugal @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Light pillars don't need an inversion. They just need some ice fog or ice clouds, which can be quite high in the sky. The sun can make light pillars after it has set.

It's possible some pillars seen at the ranch were solar light pillars (sun pillars).

2020-04-26T15:12:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254428261926359041

@Deepneuron @PostDisclosure You're strawmanning again nobody has said, or even suggested that someone "misclassifying a seagull as a hypersonic anti gravity drone"

And you are also repeating the misconception that we have four aviators with a common story.

And one in a million events happen every day.

2020-04-25T18:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1254119924240248832

@StevenM10657487 @paulsanderson @BrandonFugal @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz

@UfoIssue @dave_beaty Some things are clearer in IR. It cuts through atmospheric haze very well. So if it's a far-away light pillar, it could be more visible in IR.
Might even be sunset. But need 100% accurate date/time/location to tell.

2020-04-25T15:54:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254076433502093314
@steven_dor @BrandonFugal @AFSUnidentified @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty @PostDisclosure It would be another data point, of a sort.

2020-04-25T15:32:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254070692594642945
@JLuvin @BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty They were probably thinking about gamma radiation from the ground - natural sources, and (theoretically) nuclear test fallout. Incoming radiation does not really pick up significantly until over 20,000 feet. Flat up to 15,000.
See:
https://spaceweatherarchive.com/2015/10/27/flying-at-night-doesnt-protect-you-from-cosmic-rays/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWdaTNPUMAAG6Rr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWdaTNPUMAAG6Rr.jpg
2020-04-25T14:41:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1254057998252601346
@Gibson34312773 @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan I mean which skeptic. Quote something they said.

2020-04-25T07:05:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253943320838991873
@BrandonFugal @paulsanderson @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty That could be from the image intensity being boosted to make things visible (which were not visible to the naked eye.)

A great help would have been to have two cameras, so you could triangulate the source.

2020-04-25T07:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253941939239452672

@BrandonFugal @AFSUnidentified @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty @PostDisclosure Yeah, and that's where I'm coming from - explain the explainable, debunk the debunkable, and whatever remains should be golden.

We might have different expectations regarding how much will remain here once the work is done, but the process should still be the same.

2020-04-25T06:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253941038646874112

@paulsanderson @BrandonFugal @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty The photo of the pillar is from the first episode, and seems to be from the year before production. I think it only showing up in IR photos might simply be a function of no simultaneous visible-light photos being taken at night. Night surveillance photos are generally only IR.

2020-04-25T06:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253939801083924480

@BrandonFugal @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Cool. I'm also interested in the "infrared" aspect. I have some several infrared cameras. A super bright near-IR-only light source would be unusual. But bright regular lights also put out IR. We see regular lights here too. Where's the visible light photo taken at the same time?

2020-04-25T06:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253936457137270784

@BrandonFugal @steven_dor @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty It really looks like it's behind the mesa. Do you happen to know *exactly* where the camera was here?
I can do a line of sight check, but the camera position is not clear.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbgC3vUMAADGOV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbgC3vUMAADGOV.jpg

2020-04-25T05:56:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253925920710078464

@steven_dor @IBKING46 @BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Yeah, well you don't live in rural Utah :) You've got a bunch of nearby lights of similar sizes and distances. The UTAH case looks like just one really bright light. I'd suspect a farmer or something at an oil well.

Single bright light. Single pillar.

2020-04-25T05:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253922554542092294

And here's another example where not all lights make pillars.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light_pillar http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbTwRzUEAUXVbA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbTwRzUEAUXVbA.jpg
2020-04-25T05:40:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253921851618672640
@steven_dor @IBKING46 @BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Those seem to be much dimmer lights, and not in the same general location. Here's an example of a single bright light showing up as a pillar, but nearby lights not at all.
https://edmonton.ctvnews.ca/social-media-lighting-up-over-mysterious-pillar-of-light-in-edmonton-1.4704052 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbTElqUwAEfFfK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWbTElqUwAEfFfK.jpg
2020-04-25T04:22:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253902290550845441
@Gibson34312773 @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Who are you talking about?

2020-04-25T00:13:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253839558036213760
@Gibson34312773 @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Can you give an example? I don't like to come across in a way that would hinder communication.

2020-04-24T21:33:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253799224539373568
@Gibson34312773 @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan I really try to avoid insulting people. Sometimes though when a factual investigation unveils rather basic errors (like the reflection) I can see how that might seem to be insulting. Unavoidable though. Can't ignore errors just to be polite.

2020-04-24T21:31:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253798634275041280
@BrandonFugal @IBKING46 @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Thanks Brandon. Given that this particular pillar is in winter, it seems very likely to be just a regular ice-crystal light pillar from the same light behind the mesa that's illuminating the clouds. I'd suspect other vertical

pillars (but not diagonal beams) would be the same

2020-04-24T20:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253784790337155072

@IBKING46 @BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty But there ARE prosaic answers for the things presented on the show.

Brandon, you said you were going to look up some non-winter examples of the light-pillar type event. Did you find any? Otherwise "light-pillar" IS the prosaic answer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWZWe9nVAAELHpH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWZWe9nVAAELHpH.jpg

2020-04-24T16:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253725981312823296

@ufotodaynetwork @Gibson34312773 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth Well, if everything is an illusion, then everything is possible.

But what if it's an illusion with strict rules that closely model our idea of objective reality? How could you tell?

2020-04-24T16:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253721592250503168

@ufotodaynetwork @Gibson34312773 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth Not if you are talking about aliens on Earth. Because the local world is not infinite.

But if you are referring to the very large size of the universe, then yes, I think there is probably alien life somewhere.

2020-04-24T16:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253721197423951872
@Gibson34312773 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth It allows for aliens.

2020-04-24T16:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253717281743355905
@ChiefofTruth @Gibson34312773 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 I'm sure the Navy's internal opinion is vastly better than mine. They just don't tell it to public, because of operations security concerns.

I have no quarrel with anything the Navy has said about the videos.

2020-04-24T16:05:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253716798316228608
The "FunVax" video is cropping up again - with the recent fear-mongering around vaccines. So it's worth remembering that it's a fake video, using images that did not exist until five years AFTER the supposed date on the video.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-funvax-pentagon-briefing-on-removing-the-god-gene-hoax.317/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWYYttPVAAIiTmE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWYYttPVAAIiTmE.jpg
2020-04-24T15:58:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1253714970866401280
@Gibson34312773 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth The government takes unidentified airspace incursions seriously. As they should. As do I.

That does not mean they (or I) think there's anything more than a tiny possibility that aliens are involved.

2020-04-24T15:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253714202021134336

@Kathari52397697 Check FlightRadar24 over your area. There are far fewer planes, but they are still there. The contrails show up based on the weather aloft.

https://www.flightradar24.com/45.67,-37.96/4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWYWaVjU8AA-Rzc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWYWaVjU8AA-Rzc.jpg

2020-04-24T15:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253711386699378688

@BlueBookBelieve @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth The targets were drifting south at a speed consistent with the air mass above 20,000 feet. They were otherwise invisible. So if they were a glitch, then an atmospheric one seems plausible.

But this is hidden behind the veil of secrecy, as radar limits are important to foes.

2020-04-24T15:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253702584126173190

@hughespj1 @BlueBookBelieve @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth So why did the captain suggest ice clouds?

2020-04-24T15:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253702330576297984

@HermanAllmaras @hughespj1 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @ChiefofTruth They saw dots or lights through the large binoculars. There are multiple possible explanations.

2020-04-24T15:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253702006734065665

@BlueBookBelieve @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth How about weather related radar glitches that have national security implications, so the take the data (without explaining why, to the technician) to try to figure out how to fix them?

Is that really so implausible?

2020-04-24T05:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253555347706372096

@BrandonFugal @UAPGodz @UfoIssue @dave_beaty Those mundane, natural, and boring explanations seem to be missing from the show, so far.

2020-04-24T01:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253495068721156096

@Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth Not just trivial errors. Also significant errors, and equipment failure.

2020-04-24T00:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253480556844576768

@Deepneuron @SteveRight3 @IamDrVenkman @JazzShaw @UAP1949 @ChiefofTruth Thatâ□□s not what I imply. Stop acting stupid.

2020-04-22T20:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1253062885384675328

@Hiroshi32294715 @AFPFactCheck @TommyG It's true that it's a system they are testing. It remains to be seen how well it works.

2020-04-21T04:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252450291602997249

@Fibonacci222 @danielc81 Yes. The shadow is below. Since the sun is very far away, thatâ□□s really the only thing that makes sense.

2020-04-21T03:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252444872264450049

@Fibonacci222 @danielc81 See explanation here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.4334/

2020-04-21T03:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252444520995672065

@Fibonacci222 @danielc81 Itâ□□s not. Itâ□□s below it.

2020-04-21T01:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252410643388358656

@danielc81 @Fibonacci222 And a halo.

2020-04-21T01:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252410449972178947

@danielc81 @Fibonacci222 It is a contrail shadow cast on a thin layer of cloud below the

contrail.

2020-04-20T15:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252261169705873408

@Gibson34312773 @johncarneyau @hoaxeye Conspiracies are very real, of course.

I try to debunk the false ones so people can see the real ones - often banal yet important things, like finance corruption.

2020-04-20T15:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252258739429666816

@Fibonacci222 What does it resemble? What is the resemblance?

2020-04-20T15:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252258152390647810

RT @aSkepticalHuman: Debunking 9/11 Truthers: "Thermite Was Found In The Dust!"

FULL VIDEO: https://youtu.be/arHTeDGyhp8 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWDi9iNXQAE1EFN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EWDi9iNXQAE1EFN.jpg

2020-04-20T14:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252245510615822337

@MadScientistPod This is the second reference to squid I've seen today. Coincidence!??
https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/features/Malvinas

2020-04-20T13:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252223952715300866

The specter of Coronavirus killing their loved-ones is a genuine red-pill moment for anti-vaxxers.

It's a luxury to have theoretical fears driven by disinformation, but then death arrives, bringing slow but brutal realizations.

https://www.cnn.com/2020/04/20/health/anti-vaxxers-coronavirus-intl/index.html

---

2020-04-20T13:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252222125185753089

@SaintJohnCode It's a temporary invisible ink mark on the skin that kids in developing countries with poor health care systems get when vaccinated, so the doctors know who has been vaccinated.

And you think that's a bad thing why?

2020-04-20T05:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252112575254261760

@SallyannMcclel1 Looks like a regular circumhorizontal arc.

https://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/cha2.htm

2020-04-20T04:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252087813551484930

@SpiritualAce Adrenochrome? What makes you so sure?

2020-04-20T01:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252052736616484865

@musicanonymaus Here they are being used, essentially, as pigment in ink.

But yes, Iâ□□d genuinely like to see your thesis.

2020-04-20T00:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252034106856071168

@Hiroshi32294715 @hoaxeye @newhumanitarian That does not actually change any of the facts in the article.

2020-04-19T23:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1252009326794821633

@kiwinerd They are. But you can use that to make invisible IR ink, and hence invisible IR tattoos.

It's not really practical yet. But it's a real thing.

https://stm.sciencemag.org/content/11/523/eaay7162

2020-04-19T21:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251984345163677696

@kiwinerd "Quantum dot tattoo" is a real thing, but far less interesting than it sounds. A quantum dot is just a fluorescent pigment. It's essentially, in this context, a type of ink - one you can use to make an invisible tattoo that shows up under IR light (with an IR camera)

2020-04-19T15:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251901806717722624

Source: https://youtu.be/KyOxKyupxhM?t=73

2020-04-19T15:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251891630451220481

Confused by the new "Quantum dot tattoo", "microchip mark of the beast", "ID2020" conspiracy theories?

Here's a great explainer from @newhumanitarian:

https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/news/2020/04/15/id2020-coronavirus-vaccine-misinformation

---

2020-04-19T14:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251885944665341954

Anti-lockdown protest in Texas includes an anti-Mark-of-the-Beast protest.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV-XO7bVcAAxTB9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV-XO7bVcAAxTB9.jpg

2020-04-19T04:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251729659458236416

@KerwoodDarkWeb @EthanCArtz @naoehogui Investigating, figuring things out, and explaining things, is fun.

2020-04-19T01:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251686811790741504

@ThomasHughson This is different though. Flat Earth is a mostly harmless topic, a thought experiment. Child sexual abuse is not.

2020-04-19T01:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251686225351565315

@KerwoodDarkWeb @EthanCArtz @naoehogui Just cherry picking missing people. No statistical significance. Like Bermuda Triangle, or Clinton death list.

2020-04-19T01:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251678008701669376

@EthanCArtz @KerwoodDarkWeb @naoehogui Sure, everyone has biases. But facts are facts, so I try to hone things down to that.

2020-04-18T23:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251655087899856897

@KerwoodDarkWeb @EthanCArtz @naoehogui I wasn't wrong about the Tic-Tac. But that's irrelevant here.

2020-04-18T00:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251304744997404672

@tool_pen But maybe you can reach people before they get to that stage? Let's say you have a relative, maybe in their 20s. They get sucked in by a slick Q video or ten. Can't they be helped?

2020-04-18T00:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251304126924730368

@BrowneBJ Have you tried?

2020-04-17T23:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251289249673965568

Suppose someone seriously told you they thought the Elites were torturing children and then harvesting their adrenochrome as a drug to keep them young.

How would you go about debunking it? Nobody bothers, because it's ridiculous. Should people bother?

2020-04-17T19:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251224827127422977

@Fibonacci222 @generaldamras And in other news - Infowars has branded masks (shipping in two weeks) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV09_jzUwAAFzqz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV09_jzUwAAFzqz.jpg

2020-04-17T19:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1251224180537737216
@Fibonacci222 @generaldamras GEG describes having a conversation with them, and describes them as a long-time supporter. It's not a random ad. It's one of his biggest sponsors. He's basically shilling for them.

2020-04-17T15:56:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1251177808706990083
@Fibonacci222 He's not actually selling them personally, he's making money from advertising them.

2020-04-17T15:38:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1251173302208364545
OG conspiracy theorist G. Edward Griffin suggests his followers won't wear face masks because of "the contrarian information we publish."
Then he tries to make money by selling them anyway.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV0O-QWU4AEnGSH.jpg

---

from G. Edward Griffin 2020 April 16



Do you really need to wear a face mask?

If you have been reading my reports during the past two months, you know we have a mountain of evidence that the coronavirus pandemic is more of a political crisis than a health crisis. If that sounds unreasonable, I urge you to check the evidence for yourself here.

The purpose of this message is not to question the coronavirus narrative but to deal with whether we should wear face masks in public places. I am writing about this because, a few days ago, a long-time supporter placed a banner ad at *Need to Know* News that features colorful face masks. They are manufactured by his wife, daughter, and a group of local ladies who need work because of the current strangulation of the economy.

I told him I didn't think our readers would be a hot market for masks because of the contrarian information we publish, but then it dawned on me that, contrarian or not, the time is upon us when everyone needs one or two of these just to get into a grocery store, or travel on a plane, or go to work – if they still have a job.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV0O20pVcAAXYL-.jpg

Even if COVID-19 is not as deadly as the media portrays it, you still want to avoid it if you can. Furthermore, this still is the flu season, and you don't want that, either – especially if you are over 60 or have health problems that make you more susceptible.

It's with that in mind that I am glad to recommend UniikPillows as a source for well-made and colorful face masks if you are in the market. I note that, on its web site, it has earned a solid, five-star rating.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EV0O-QWU4AEnGSH.jpg
2020-04-17T02:20:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1250972368723300353
RT @jjmacnab: I've been reading 1918 US newspapers to see how gov/people/business owners reacted to being shut down during the big influenzâ□¦

2020-04-16T22:03:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1250907675895844865
@o_carlovan Check the green areas. High humidity = more contrails.
https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVwd5aJVAAAp36b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVwd5aJVAAAp36b.jpg

2020-04-16T21:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250905505599377409

@BadAstronomer Don't be a dick!

2020-04-16T17:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250845417924784129

@bobbycolada73 Yeah, Miami's temperature was "only" 95Â°F in early April. Lots of heat records were broken this month.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/weather/2020/04/15/record-miami-florida-heat/

2020-04-15T23:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250571615353495554

We live in interesting times. https://twitter.com/JohnMoralesTV/status/1250513598788575234

2020-04-15T17:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250483851807219712

RT @HeshmatAlavi: No joke.

#Iranâ□□Senior IRGC officials say their "scientists" have invented a new remote device that uses a "magnetic fielâ□¦

2020-04-15T14:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250427796079296515

@Gibson34312773 @hollywoodufos I'm a skeptic and I won't make fun of you. Win win!

2020-04-15T12:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250402076225495044

@Gibson34312773 @GradatimPlena No, I try to treat people as individuals.

2020-04-15T02:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250242917723025408

@NateLuklanchuk @PostDisclosure @thustweetedmike Are you just into ufology for the buzz?

2020-04-14T23:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250212172874969089

@PostDisclosure @thustweetedmike Itâ□□s also sadly possible they are not here.

2020-04-14T23:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250211183195385856

@ndromedan Iâ□□ve read about the church committee. I donâ□□t remember the others. You need a more specific question.

2020-04-14T17:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250106570832109568

@ndromedan Can you ask a more specific question?

2020-04-14T14:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250072123697217536

@briansolis I did briefly think about colored lights in the background. But it kind of seems like a cliche now - everyone has the red and/or blue accent lights and some bokehed Christmas lights. So I'm just going for nice illumination.

2020-04-14T14:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1250071175193137152

@briansolis Here's the cheap light stands. I had them just poking over my side monitors. But it was bit harsh, so I've gone back to one tall stand reflecting off the wall and a frankensteined old light box with the other light jerry-rigged inside. Camera is A6400
https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B00LGGVOM0/

2020-04-14T06:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249942186705080320

@briansolis @LumeCube I've gone between desktop stands and floor stands. Added a set of two Neewer 32" stands for $24, so now I have both!

2020-04-14T05:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249932444104458240

@briansolis Lumecube is great, but Viltrox is better value. This is what I use - you get two bicolor lights for less than one Lumecube - plus a remote, which is surprisingly essential.
https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07C7WYZQX/

2020-04-14T04:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249912777184915456

@ndromedan I think itâ□□s an entirely meaningless coincidence. And no itâ□□s not hypnotizing. Itâ□□s a cartoon caricature of hypnotism. Itâ□□s a joke.

2020-04-14T00:56:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249864080594092032

@GradatimPlena It depends on who you are talking to. You can always keep in mind that you

have a wider audience, and structure the talk around that.

I don't think just letting 30% of everyone wallow in their walled garden is going to help them.

2020-04-13T15:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249718175710601217

@W3lIy @gammaraybeam The rich and powerful live in the places with most 5G

2020-04-13T04:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249550757637918720

@W3lIy There is no correlation between 5G deployment and coronavirus outbreaks.

2020-04-13T03:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249541008032796672

@mike53656612 The impact damage is consistent with a plane.

2020-04-12T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249419123773210624

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Some obstacles to that: 1) The default secrecy classification of many military things hinders or stops open discussion. 2) strong camaraderie means they don't want to risk contradicting each other. 3) they work in positions now where their employers might not like "silly" stuff.

2020-04-12T19:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249418033325420544

@mvpispi How can you debunk something if it's true? Debunking is about identifying inaccuracies and falsehoods in a topic.

2020-04-12T18:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249411298980331521

@NateLuklanchuk @ThomasWinterton Lying is not the only mundane explanation.

2020-04-12T17:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249384344684118016

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Fravor didn't stop. There's plenty of people who would give him a much better interview.

2020-04-12T17:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249384130434846721

@mike53656612 What do you think?

2020-04-12T14:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249343967209992192

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan As far as I know, this is everything he ever said: https://youtu.be/AuBIBCW5P98?t=99 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVaPiUSUUAEqnXd.jpg

---

"Out flying off of the Nimitiz, doing a standard training op, took a vector, headed east towards, sort of heading towards Mexico or the San Diego area. As we approached and we were looking around we noticed down below, just above the surface of the water, was a, really what is best described as a tic-tac.

...

My initial though was that maybe it was a submarine and it had launched a missile. "

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVaPiUSUUAEqnXd.jpg

2020-04-12T14:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249341721630011394

@4thAnon Ð□Ð¾Ð²ÐµÑ□Ì□Ð¹, Ð½Ð¾ Ð¿Ñ□Ð¾Ð²ÐµÑ□Ì□Ð¹, obviously.

2020-04-12T13:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249334337083822081

@Oswald1160 @Void20764688 @weallisaliens It was only shown on the video monitor, which is what they were looking at. The single green spot of the laser would have been visible to the naked eye.

Given the mirroring of the motion, it's impossible to anything else.

2020-04-12T13:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249333784828207104

@4thAnon I say "probably" because I don't like to blindly acquiesce to anything without knowing the details. But it seems very likely to me that I would accept a vaccine backed by studies, and by people I trust.

2020-04-12T08:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249258091402506240

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Slaight said basically nothing. He gave no account. Now he refuses to talk about it.

2020-04-12T04:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249186495598137350

@Void20764688 @weallisaliens So, you think that second light was NOT an internal reflection?

Why?

2020-04-12T02:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249168774810554371

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Where is the statement of the third?

2020-04-12T02:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249157971663355908

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan No it wasnâ□□t. We only have about 1.5 pilot reports and they both say they saw something AFTER the

merge plot, and not at the reported altitude.
Then thereâ□□s no reports of radar seeing what they saw.

2020-04-12T00:04:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249126178767724544
@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan It wasn't in the same spot. It didn't do the same things. It don't think it was "probably birds or a sun reflection" - but I'd put those things slightly higher than "alien craft breaking the laws of physics as we know them without the Navy getting concerned"

2020-04-11T23:52:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249123065184870407
@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Nobody said that.

2020-04-11T22:06:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249096518973325312
@PostDisclosure @Mabzors @naoehogui @LimitsOfControl @awakeningMan Who started with seagulls? I mentioned it to you, half joking, as one possible source of one small part of a complex sighting involving multiple things and loss of situational awareness. You found it hilarious. But perhaps you didn't really understand what I was saying.

2020-04-11T20:22:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249070405291110400
@NateLuklanchuk That laser uses a single 18650 battery, or three AA batteries. They don't say how long they lasted, but presumably it took quite a while for them to climb up. So the simplest explanation is that the batteries ran out normally, and/or they were not as fresh as they said

---

2020-04-11T19:29:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249057073368686592
@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @Aviation_Intel Yeah, ten minutes of going to stand on a hill, then the battery runs out on the laser, so they come back down. Riveting.

2020-04-11T19:04:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249050784496410625
The new Skinwalker Ranch show on the History Channel falls for one of the classic UFO hunter's mistakes: not recognizing an internal reflection.
Why didn't their team of crack scientists notice this?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x79SlmMNI5U

2020-04-11T17:32:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249027616067031040
@SwavZielin It's "simple" in the sense that it's something that happens all the time, and is easily replicated. Understanding WHY it happens isn't necessarily simple, but a child can see that it DOES happen.

2020-04-11T17:10:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249022073042636800

@Void20764688 @weallisaliens They were looking at video.
They showed the same video.
So yes, I saw exactly what they saw.

2020-04-11T17:08:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249021605759475715
@Void20764688 I say "supposedly" because that's what they claim. But they don't seem to be very good scientists, based on how the show has been edited together.

2020-04-11T16:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1249017103098601473
@StevenM10657487 That's just the expected beam divergence at that distance. I have the same laser. I did a bunch of experiments when figuring out how to simulate the divergence.
It's fairly safe at that distance because it's spread out so much.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/improving-the-laser-dot-rendering-in-the-refraction-simulator.10504/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVmPjJUwAARKOa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVmPjJUwAARKOa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVmIaYUYAUO5ru.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVl-v6U4AcOzdb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVmKrVU4AANIb7.jpg

2020-04-11T16:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249015894593818624

@bronze_bomber Skinwalker on History channel. High-tech laser on a can of paint. Batteries ran out, producers try to suggest supernatural forces are at play!

Also, that's just your standard $10 eBay laser. The equipment budget for this show seems ridiculously low. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVlBKKU0AA0N_S.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVlBKKU0AA0N_S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EVVkk6kU0AA0EFl.jpg

2020-04-11T16:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249014762945429506

@KuriousSphere @TungstenClan @HISTORY @travisstaylor1 He says "the actual laser spot that's coming back and hitting the camera is the little one" then talks about something in the dirt splitting the laser beam. Which all suggest an odd level of confusion for a PhD astrophysicist.

2020-04-11T15:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1249000585539411968

@TungstenClan @HISTORY The show producers are 100% not being serious. Unfortunately they can't admit that right now.

A producer once described the overly-dramatic fake reactions to me as "playing the game".

It's just entertainment, but only because people mistake it for reality.

2020-04-11T15:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1248999668941385730

@weallisaliens The science of optics does not change after it gets dark.

It's a lens reflection. A bright light reflecting off a flat surface inside the camera's optical path.

2020-04-11T15:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1248998000472371200

@TungstenClan @HISTORY This is far worse than the TTSA show they did, and that was terrible. Not just this scene, it's ALL drawn-out melodramatic nonsense.

Anyone in the #ufotwitter community who still thinks there's something strange at Skinwalker after this is not really being serious.

2020-04-11T15:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1248993781602340864

The "Skinwalker" show on the History Channel supposedly has a team of scientists investigating strange anomalies. But they can't even recognize a simple lens reflection.
At least that's how the producers edited it, desperately trying to justify their budget. Sad.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1248992934222954496/pu/img/OML0jNjQV-QYyX2W.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1248992934222954496/pu/img/OML0jNjQV-QYyX2W.jpg
2020-04-11T04:57:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248837462517923841
@craz_tom @ShepardAmbellas @realDonaldTrump @WhiteHouse No. Predictions predict something happening. Everything is a possibility. Predict a possbility of, say, Biden winning the election is not a prediction.

2020-04-11T03:34:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248816774872576002
@ShepardAmbellas @realDonaldTrump @WhiteHouse You canâ□□t predict possibilities. Thatâ□□s not how it works.

2020-04-11T03:00:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248808069246504961
@4thAnon My grandmother disagrees.

2020-04-09T22:55:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248384072058978305
@Deepneuron @Void20764688 @Berserk97981716 @novak_meri @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified @ExpeditionUap @uaptn Can you give an example of such a "kit" in operation? It looks a bit @uaptn looks like it's in early stages, and perhaps a little wishful thinking regarding uptake.

This type of thing? (but with zoom)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pMOdT3L7kwc

2020-04-09T17:08:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248296847698845697
@steveshannahan @AuditDir_Jay @GeoengWatch @realDonaldTrump Poe no!

2020-04-09T15:36:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248273475178868740
@AuditDir_Jay @GeoengWatch @realDonaldTrump There are clouds. The snow comes from one of them and is carried sideways some distance by the normal air movement.

2020-04-09T15:30:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248272171119415296
@JunoWalker Not that I know of.

In general it's hard to get ex-military folk to talk about technology as they are all worried it might be covered by the blanket NDAs or secrecy agreements. Which is why you get myths like the Gimbal video persisting.

2020-04-09T14:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248250319663820800
@Berserk97981716 @novak_meri @Void20764688 @Deepneuron @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Go on then. Sounds like fun.

2020-04-09T13:43:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248245062753894400
@Berserk97981716 @Void20764688 @novak_meri @Deepneuron @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified CE-5 looks like well-meaning but cultish bullshit with really nice people. Iâ□□m not wasting money on that.
It might be fun to investigate. But I doubt they want investigating.

2020-04-09T13:37:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248243671717138435
@Void20764688 @Berserk97981716 @novak_meri @Deepneuron @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Where would I go? Thereâ□□s a field behind my house, I

look at the sky every day. I take photos. Track planes do experiments.
Give an example of what you suggest I do.

2020-04-08T23:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248028640375259137
@FormallySomeone Not TFTRH, but here's a video I made on the false correlation between 5G and Coronavirus. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9e0SP53tCqw

---

2020-04-08T23:21:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248028315618660352
@W3lly Oh, there's quite a variety of theories. But the most popular seem to be ascribing ongoing blame to 5G - like it's currently causing or boosting coronavirus wherever there are towers (hence the fires). I think this shows it isn't.

2020-04-08T23:08:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1248024946585948161
There are some wild theories out there about 5G being linked to COVID-19. But is there any correlation between 5G deployment density and Coronavirus outbreak severity?
I looked into it.
No there isn't.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9e0SP53tCqw

2020-04-08T05:29:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247758430292475906
@4thAnon Also, thereâ□□s no evidence at all. Or logic. Or reason.

2020-04-08T00:02:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247676095614185472
@BullshitUfo Not mine, it was MendezMendez
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UChRi3jxewdkXXOgC4S61j4Q

2020-04-07T21:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247633376539856897
@Void20764688 @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified I built a career on programming video games. Now I' m retired, and I spend time figuring out the root causes of interesting looking events, and then explaining that to people.

2020-04-06T22:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247283496332062721
@Void20764688 @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified No at all. It's an interesting challenge tracking down the real explanations (where possible), and

then communicating them. I learn all kinds of interesting things and get to talk to really fascinating people.

2020-04-06T21:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247282528798441473

@Void20764688 @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Like most people, I have a bullshit filter. So when I watch the History channel it goes off, and I'm suspicious of claims made based on tall tales and eBay-grade ghost meters backed by dramatic music.

However my bullshit filter is easily circumvented with actual evidence.

2020-04-06T21:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247280969305882624

@Deepneuron @buffcommacu @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified What explanations were considered for the bullet proof wolf? How were those explanations rejected?

2020-04-06T21:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247280347630325761

@Void20764688 @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified I think it's most likely nonsense. But it might be there's a portal into another world which occasionally manifests bullet-proof wolves.

2020-04-06T21:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247279940665348096

@Deepneuron @buffcommacu @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Aha, so it seems if I wanted to have the History channel come and pay me to film on my property, it would be better to pretend that I can't figure these things out? Seems like you have hit upon a profit motive for befuddlement.

2020-04-06T21:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247278862985093120

@Void20764688 @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Whenever I Investigate anything my goal is to find the actual explanation.

Experience has show that it's best to start with the most obvious, and don't hastily drop it off the list.

Example: Mysterious EMF? I'd check how far away the 5,000 Watt KNEU AM 1250 tower is.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU85SmmUMAAbm84.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU85SmmUMAAbm84.jpg
2020-04-06T21:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247277445222285312
@buffcommacu @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Things happen at Casa West too. I found a dead baby deer by the well. Three coyotes were staring at my dog. Distant booms shake the house. Trees fall over. Gunshots are heard. Lights move across the valley. Verizon reception is patchy.

Send me the History channel, I got things.

2020-04-06T21:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247273990822887424

@Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Normal would be seeing light pillars in winter (average low of 8Â°F in Roosevelt) when looking west over the Mesa towards numerous places, like oil site, farms, houses and roads, where people might sometimes have a solitary bright light. Which is all you need for a light pillar

---

2020-04-06T21:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1247271761910976512

@Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified Normal would be getting okay phone reception, being just 3 miles from US Route 40, but having it improve when you climb us a hill. So I'd expect things like that to happen.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU8y5mEUwAAJRRr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU8xX7uU4AAF0b4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU8y5mEUwAAJRRr.jpg
2020-04-06T20:56:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247266910099496960
@Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified "Normal" would be anything that does not seem to be inexplicable by things that are known.
For example. A dead cow is normal. A levitating cow is not normal.

2020-04-06T18:50:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1247235238314401797
@Berserk97981716 @BLeeD1GNAN @BrandonFugal @Greychapel2 @SteUFOnotCGULLS @JTParker09 @TheZignal @Deepneuron @JanneTikkanen_ @Akam1129 @DaveScottSOR @OmniTalkRadio @EngagingThe @carland27488094 @GarryPNolan @naoehogui @UFOCollage @Julesofthwood @MattMelv @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified I don't think my experience at SWR would differ from visiting any other random lot of land surrounded by farms, oil wells, radio stations, and small towns. Except, of course, for the people.

2020-04-05T20:33:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246898846229073921
RT @gorskon: Dr. Drew did way worse than just â□□make mistakes.â□□ He spent nearly two months spreading dangerous misinformation about #COVID19â□¦

2020-04-05T19:51:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246888297374175232
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f The highest resolution available there is 1080p, the "optimal format" just depends on your connection (and maybe local COVID-related restrictions). Mine says 1080p. This would be what it was uploaded at.

2020-04-05T19:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246877801669509120
@DunkyJim @Debbie_F1 It happens more than you think. It just needs the contrail to be lines up with the sun. It usually only lasts a few minutes though, as the contrail drifts across the sky.
https://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2020-04-05T18:57:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246874744562966528
@UFO_Researcher @AliensExist15 @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f I use Photoshop for the above clip. Just removed the linear motion across the screen, then cropped a section, rotated, and black-pointed levels for contrast.

But the error is visible in the original video viewed full-screen.

2020-04-05T18:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246874207771693056
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f I don't think that's a mistake, the object are (virtually) above the surface, so still in sunlight. I think that made a good effort in getting the light direction right.

2020-04-05T18:45:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246871694305718273
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f Here's a closer look at the fake UFO video's mistake. Atmospheric distortion suddenly stops entirely.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1246871575380422657/pu/img/4r70rOL6n8H-z0jl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1246871575380422657/pu/img/4r70rOL6n8H-z0jl.jpg
2020-04-05T18:34:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246868875175587840
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f Here's a close-up of the atmospheric distortion effect abruptly stopping in the moon UFO video (0:34 in

the original). This proves that it's fake.
Nice try though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1246868458052005890/pu/img/jwK8a7_Z5Rtb4UR-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1246868458052005890/pu/img/jwK8a7_Z5Rtb4UR-.jpg
2020-04-05T18:21:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246865666600124417
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uJOIIEQF_xs&lc=UgwD5-v7odH7BtPEBaI4AaABAg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU3BtnKUwAAkNJd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU3BtnKUwAAkNJd.jpg
2020-04-05T18:19:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246865168136409089
@UFO_Researcher @Oswald1160 @Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f I think the comment there about atmospheric distortion being missing at 0:34 pretty much closes the case. Proves the distortion was programmatically applied, hence it's fake.

2020-04-05T05:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246677806022406146
@ValDobson @DusterePodcast No, it seems correct for that date.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU0WsOyU4AIyR7F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EU0WsOyU4AIyR7F.jpg
2020-04-05T05:31:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246671805500157959
@Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f 640x362 is generally an indicator it's not real footage. Come on people, post some good 4K video!

2020-04-05T05:29:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1246671349809999872
@DusterePodcast Good CGI in low resolution videos is often indistinguishable from reality in low

resolution videos. I don't know if this it that good, but you don't need evidence it's CGI, you just need a lack of evidence that it isn't.

Who took it? Why are they not sharing raw footage?

2020-04-05T05:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246663990689681409

@TheoryQed @PostDisclosure But those are all things that we know exist on Earth, some of them in abundance.

Aliens on Earth? Not so much.

2020-04-05T04:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246658016239185920

@PostDisclosure Well, itâ□□s certainly almost entirely lacking in physical evidence.
And yes, itâ□□s far fetched.

2020-04-05T04:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246656491504820224

@paul_sailer @PostDisclosure I can see why you would think that.

2020-04-05T04:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246656383690260480

@Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f Well, if itâ□□s not CGI then itâ□□s alien spaceships. You want to throw your hands up? Fine. Iâ□□m putting my money on CGI.

2020-04-05T04:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246653618205253633

@Scribe1969 @nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f Yeah, but we are not looking for takes.

2020-04-05T01:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246604900026339329

@nathanjslattery Itâ□□s easy to fake vidoes. It happens all the time. Giant alien ships viewable to the entire hemisphere - not so much.

2020-04-05T01:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246604490708377601

@nonsxd @MichaelGalanin @424f424f Itâ□□s by far the simplest explanation.

2020-04-05T01:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246604168170635264

@PostDisclosure I think itâ□□s both far-fetched and entirely lacking in evidence.

2020-04-04T22:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246567763394453505

@nathanjslattery I think it's fake.

2020-04-04T04:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1246294914456907776

@Berserk97981716 Aliens are always a possibility.

2020-04-02T21:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245825348429701120

@M3Fine_Art @Deepneuron and explain.... ?

2020-04-02T20:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245806480231550977

@M3Fine_Art @Deepneuron So, Peanut vs. Tic-Tac. What's the problem exactly? They are both common snacks. Assume I'm stupid and explain the nuance here. Why is Tic-Tac ggod, but Peanut is silly. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUn-Y8CUUAEnpeW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUn-Y8CUUAEnpeW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUn-KjZU8AIJ8Ye.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUn-StdUcB4FPs5.jpg
2020-04-02T19:36:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245797208802254849
@M3Fine_Art @Deepneuron So are you saying that "peanut" is somehow more frivolous than "Tic-Tac"?
Perhaps you could suggest at word to describe the initial Gimbal shape that has suitable gravitas?

2020-04-01T20:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245445228896501760
@PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 What do you mean "greater openness"? How would this openness manifest itself? What practical difference would it make?

2020-04-01T17:25:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245401854252478464
@Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 Semantic nonsense. We've no "defined value" for the likelihood of housekeeping elves either, but that does not mean we think they might be stealing our socks.
We've got prosaic causes that are observed to operate billions of times a year, vs. things that are never observed.

2020-04-01T17:19:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245400334324781057
@Deepneuron @Berserk97981716 That's an utterly meaningless statement. I'm not basing anything on some omniscient determination of probability. I'm looking at the quality of the evidence.

2020-04-01T17:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245399551088812035
@Berserk97981716 @Deepneuron Then what's your problem? You think you have a problem with my position, but I very much doubt you can find anything I've ever said that will illustrate this problem.

So what led you to think there was a problem?

2020-04-01T17:13:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245398883699544065
@Berserk97981716 @Deepneuron Until now? I say it all the time. Why on Earth (or elsewhere) wouldn't they be possible?
I just generally don't have them high on the list of possible explanations for any one event. Why should they rank high, when there's far simpler explanations?
Example from 8 years ago: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUiLlD_U0AA2vA_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUiLlD_U0AA2vA_.jpg
2020-04-01T16:25:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245386888594087936
@Berserk97981716 @Deepneuron Debunking is about exposing falsehoods - i.e. finding things that are wrong and explaining why they are wrong.

Pointing out viable alternative explanations only debunks the claim that there are no viable alternative explanations. Aliens are still possible.

2020-04-01T16:20:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245385669020532738
RT @travis_view: Lots of QAnon people believed that today is supposed to be the start of the prophesied "10 days of darkness," which is tenâ¦

2020-04-01T16:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1245385070241648640
@Berserk97981716 @Deepneuron Message? What scientists get things wrong so presenting yourself as an ultimate arbiter of truth is taking things too far? Doh.
Or Message as in "Hey, it's April 1, lighten up dude!"?

2020-04-01T06:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245243249616457728

@Deepneuron And yes, I know it's "just" an April Fools Joke, but those Billy Meier films ARE just a model on a string. If you don't realize that then the joke's on you.

2020-04-01T06:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245242348516196352

@Deepneuron Why do people thing that describing something as appearing peanut-shaped is deserving of mockery, but describing it as appearing Tic-Tac-shaped is just fine?

Both are just shape descriptors, and in one case we have a video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUf9HvNUMAATDbJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUf9HvNUMAATDbJ.jpg

2020-03-31T21:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245104958048882689

I'll be going live in 15 minutes with Angelo John Gage, a bit of a conspiracist, and a bit of a debunker. Let see if we can move some lines. Chat open for questions.

https://twitter.com/AngeloJohnGage/status/1245099593844625409

2020-03-31T17:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1245047972787662849

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage By the "other side", I mean people who disagree with you.

2020-03-31T14:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244999107359342592

@mesolithicguy @BrandonFugal So the most astonishing paradigm shift in human history is going to be a shitty sensationalistic History Channel show?

That should tell you something.

2020-03-31T06:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244876064536444928

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Thanks for sharing your perspective. I hope you do look into "the other side" a bit more. I think you ultimately mean well, but you've perhaps been skewed a little by too much by the rhetoric of one side into something that's functionally a bit racist seeming. Goodnight.

2020-03-31T06:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244873808697155585

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage My brother is very different to me. But we have the same parents.

2020-03-31T06:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244873634025336833

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I'm asking, beyond simply having different colored skin and a few genetic differences, what prevents him from living the same life you live? What would be the actual differences in what actually happens?

2020-03-31T06:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244873260384129024

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Even if your parents raise him? How would that work? He grew up with you. You ate together, Went to school together. Played CoD together. But he has some genetic urge to do something else?

2020-03-31T06:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244872604264980481

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage But HOW WOULD THAT CHANGE THINGS?

2020-03-31T06:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244872236802035714

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Perceptions? Can you be more specific?

2020-03-31T06:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244871929141452806

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage So how might it affect your hypothetical black brother?

2020-03-31T06:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244871777492160515

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I'm asking you what actual practical difference it makes, being a black person raised as your brother, same age. just different skin color. You say race is a factor - just symbolic? Or is there are practical differences that affects things?

2020-03-31T06:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244870729725644801

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What factors? What factors prevent your hypothetical adopted black brother from functioning in Italy, or the US, the same as you? Why would he be different?

2020-03-31T06:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244870410815934464

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Yes he could not? Why not? Just different color skin? What would be the practical difference?

2020-03-31T06:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244870142808297472

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage In what practical sense? What actual real difference would it make if he was raised as your brother?

2020-03-31T06:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244869911823835142

@mesolithicguy @BrandonFugal Why do you need me? Just set up a bunch of cameras.

2020-03-31T06:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244869493609746433

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What component is race? Could a black child not be as equally Italian as you, even if he was adopted at birth by your parents, and raised alongside you?

2020-03-31T06:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244869026892746753

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage So why can't a black man have the culture of an Italian? Even if he lacks the genes? What actual difference does his race make? How about after three generations?

2020-03-31T06:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244868568010772485

@ruskinstrees Maybe they are just partly in shadow? One set of contrails can shade the other. How high is the sun?

Or perhaps the relative angles of the contrails, which swoop up on each side.

Similar discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/asymmetric-contrail.6899/

2020-03-31T05:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244866594724958208

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Based on race? You think genetics changes the way people value things?

2020-03-31T05:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244865218431209472

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Well, if they are as good as you, then what's the problem with them not being ethnicity or culturally Italian?

2020-03-31T05:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244864145578528768

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage So if you ruled the world, then nobody could ever go live in another country? You think that's the best system?

What about mixed race people, can they move to the country that they have partial DNA from?

2020-03-31T05:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244863464213905408

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage But can they be as good a functional and cultural Italian, like in life and stuff, as a genetically pure Italian?

If not, then why not?

2020-03-31T05:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244862744546824192

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What about relatives? If you have relative in Italy, can they come over if you will provide fro them?

2020-03-31T05:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244862183986491394

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What about someone who was 1/2 black, or 1/4? Can they be Italians?

2020-03-31T05:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244861855081758728

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Based on country? Race?

2020-03-31T05:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244861559085469697

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Are black people who are, say, third generation Italians, not European?

I.e. - is this about race, not culture?

2020-03-31T05:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244860404091609088

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage That sounds pretty much like what was said about early Irish or Italian immigrants to the US. But that turned out fine.

2020-03-31T05:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244859629743386624

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage How would "native" be determined, legally?

2020-03-31T05:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244859413929676801

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage You must be pretty familiar with the history of Anti-Italianism? How would you say that the anti-Itallianism of the early 20th century differs from your anti-immigration beliefs? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Italianism

---

2020-03-31T05:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244858217055653888

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage So do you think restricting immigration will fix things. Or do we need to do something more drastic, like sending all the recently immigrated Italians back to Italy?

2020-03-31T05:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244857248628617216

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Why can't you tell me what the proposed solutions are? Or is all

just complaining, with no suggestions?

Surely not. So what do you want done? If you were President, what changes would you make?

2020-03-31T05:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244856786672214017

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage "Little Italy is a general name for an ethnic enclave populated primarily by Italians or people of Italian ancestry, usually in an urban neighborhood." - aren't such "ethnic enclaves" a problem in your world view? Like ethnic enclaves of blacks?

2020-03-31T05:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244856247586676736

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage You listen to many other such people, who feel as you do. But do you also listen to people who feel differently to you? Do you really have a broad understanding of the issues if you only listen to one side?

2020-03-31T05:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244855754848256000

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Yes, if it's a significant problem, then what's the solution? What are the actual proposals to address the "integration is death" issue.

2020-03-31T05:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244855003564494848

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What's with the Italian flag? Are you Italian or American? Is "Little Italy" a problem for Americans?

2020-03-31T05:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244854481818226688

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage But not about people of color?

2020-03-31T05:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244854017936637953

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage All of them?

2020-03-31T05:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244853887355326464

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What about the Latino's in California who are descended from the original Mexican inhabitants. Are they unable to integrate? What are you actually suggesting we do with the people who are already here?

2020-03-31T05:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244853485876592642

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage A fun age.

2020-03-31T05:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244853337695981568

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What about integration of African Americans? Is that a myth? What do you think should be done to fix that?

2020-03-31T05:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244852950792417285
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I think it's not objective truth that "integration is death" and that we need "secession" to prevent this death.

2020-03-31T05:03:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244852732885794817
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage How old are you? I'm 52.
I think knowing the age of the person you are talking to is useful in understanding their position.

2020-03-31T05:01:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244852294547427329
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage No, I was asking why you think so many good people disagree, if it's, as you say, "objective truth".

2020-03-31T05:00:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244852008353325057
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage That it's not objective truth. So you might perhaps have been swayed by your subjective experiences.

2020-03-31T04:59:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244851827947925511
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage The themes of the the video "The End of America" that suggests that "Integration is death" and that something significant should be done about it, like "secession" (which I didn't see exactly what that meant, but looks liked reservations, or a white state, or something)

2020-03-31T04:57:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244851387608924161
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I'm talking about the ones that don't see assimilation of other races as a defining problem for Western civilization.

2020-03-31T04:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244850779464163331
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I'm pretty sure just about everyone I know in real life disagrees with it. Are they all bad people?

2020-03-31T04:54:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244850640641093632
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Who? Everyone? I mean I disagree with it.

2020-03-31T04:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244850240873627653
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage So, would forced resettlement based on race be racist?

2020-03-31T04:51:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244849903378948102
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage If it's "objective truth" then why do so many good people disagree with it?

2020-03-31T04:50:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244849458375847937
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage And if it's all about "culture" and "identity", then why is the graph one of skin color? Is skin color important?

2020-03-31T04:48:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244849009262350336
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage What would be racist then? What's the most innocuous thing that's actually racist?

2020-03-31T04:41:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244847220551081984
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage It's racist though. Do you think it's NOT racist? How so?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUaV4EIU4AAqmfP.jpg



The End of America

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUaV4EIU4AAqmfP.jpg
2020-03-31T04:34:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244845568469291010
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I watched a few minutes of that. Seemed to be some guy going on about gentrification and immigration.

I meant can you give me an example of what you MEAN by "tribalism". A single specific example of tribalism in action. So I know what you are talking about.

2020-03-31T03:58:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244836502141456384
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Can you give an example of tribalism?

2020-03-31T03:55:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244835785389404166
@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Tribalism?

2020-03-31T01:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244800229733306369

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage No, I was trying to explain the problems with your timeline. Don't you see it?

2020-03-31T00:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244785774383394819

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Well, there's a variety. These four, for example, would give most people the impression that you are, the least, a crypto-fascist.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUZdwmLUEAIDMZ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUZdfo-UwAAYQM6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUZdPsoUwAYaRJ-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUZdFO7UcAEGMck.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUZdwmLUEAIDMZ1.jpg

2020-03-31T00:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244781163836628993

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage Well, you do have things on your timeline that look rather disturbing to most people. It seems fascist, nationalist to to point of racism. If you are confused as to why people attack you, then you might want to spend some time understanding why they find that problematic.

2020-03-30T23:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244772738645905409

@Berserk97981716 Show me.

2020-03-30T23:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244771548147286017

@azzain96 @AngeloJohnGage I try not to be. The first line of the introduction to my book is

"Conspiracies are very real, of course". I try to debunk the false conspiracy theories, so people can focus on real conspiracies, real problems. You probably do to - we just draw the line at different points.

2020-03-30T23:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244770603673899008

@ShepardAmbellas @realDonaldTrump @WhiteHouse @DRUDGE @intellihubnews I'm in El Dorado County, California. Semi-rural. Only 12 cases here. CA cases probably won't peak until the end of April, so we are looking at a long lockdown - but should keep the death rate down. Other areas have it far worse.

No martial law anywhere.

2020-03-30T15:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244646782224592896

@JunoWalker No. They default to secrecy even with the mundane.

2020-03-30T15:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244646320909897728

@ShepardAmbellas @realDonaldTrump @WhiteHouse @DRUDGE @intellihubnews No, obviously not.

2020-03-30T04:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1244482590003716096

@iridium_tea Long before.... https://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUVKI38UEAIA_Gc.jpg



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937-942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUVJ80dU0AEGZNG.jpg

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUVKI38UEAIA_Gc.jpg
2020-03-30T04:20:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244479474109779968
The problems of science communication - specifically when talking with conspiracy theories.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AwgWByjSMcU

2020-03-29T23:42:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244409676327841793
@Zabbac @FrankLuntz I think you're missing the point.

2020-03-29T22:53:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244397396865343489
RT @FrankLuntz: Gee, itâ□□s almost like #coronavirus is worse than the flu.
https://twitter.com/esaagar/status/1244390188526325762

2020-03-29T22:36:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1244392924084711424
RT @Yamiche: President Trump to Sean Hannity: â□□A lot of equipment is being asked for that I donâ□□t think they will need.â□□

My Q: How will thâ□¦

2020-03-28T15:42:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243926432016818176
@VanZetta7 @Capulcu_Orcun @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets From what? Did you even look at the video of the fires? Do you understand how big they were?

2020-03-28T15:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243923604477071365
@VanZetta7 @Capulcu_Orcun @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets There were fires, were they not? Quite substantial ones, as seen in this video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2020-03-28T14:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243915652735193088
@h43z @Oswald1160 @Erwal4 It was an unusual event.

2020-03-27T20:50:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243641579463520259
@jackc476 @paulsanderson @SilvaRecord In this case that's a plane in that position at that time, so maybe the plane was invisible, and there was a UFO flying next to it?

Of maybe this was just the plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUJNZ3aX0AIYNdU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUJNZ3aX0AIYNdU.jpg

2020-03-27T14:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243540906864754695

@h43z @Erwal4 Pick a word.

2020-03-27T03:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243380111157522434

@h43z @Erwal4 Not really, no. People make mistakes. People change their memories. People confabulate.

2020-03-27T03:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243379480799744002

@ZombieSymmetry Itâ□□s better than worst case with PPE, as price gougers are being prosecuted or forced to donate their stock to hospitals.

2020-03-27T00:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243336888074690561

@h43z @Erwal4 He seems like he believes what he says. Can't really go much beyond that. Lots of possibilities.

Why did he never draw a diagram?

2020-03-27T00:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243336270882865157

@h43z @Erwal4 I don't know.

2020-03-27T00:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243336179648323584

@ZombieSymmetry No, they would buy it all when it's cheap, wait for the price to skyrocket, and then sell it on Ebay. Meanwhile people won't be able to get it.

That's why there are laws against price gouging. The market can be brutal in "doing its thing"

https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/14/technology/coronavirus-purell-wipes-amazon-sellers.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUE3V8hUYAITSd0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUE3V8hUYAITSd0.jpg

2020-03-27T00:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243334149567463424

@ShepardAmbellas Obviously it's not what he is suggesting. It's disingenuous to suggest otherwise. You demean yourself.

His entire talk is in the context of accepting a GROWING world population. We get to carbon zero by eliminating fossil fuels and replacing them with renewables.

2020-03-27T00:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243332974310871041

@Erwal4 Probably a bit. But then maybe more people will be standing around at home, aimlessly looking at the sky.

2020-03-26T18:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243239131351625729

@ImJimR87 @flightradar24 Filter for one callsign (SWA, UAL, etc). Map style: roadmap, Aircraft labels off. Replay from 1 month ago, screenshot, replay from today, screenshot. Animate in Photoshop, etc. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDfAGCUMAAEXap.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDe1-JU8AA0DIC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDen8rUUAAclUA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDfAGCUMAAEXap.jpg

2020-03-26T18:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243236478202728449

@kmorgan26 Indeed. But nowadays there's a lot of more mainstream interest in debunking conspiracy theories - major media outlets are one the job. There will still be a bunch of things around the fringe for me though.

2020-03-26T17:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243235985594277888

Both images are at about 10AM Pacific, 17:00 UTC. March 16 (today) is a Thursday, Feb 16

was a Sunday. So Thursday Feb 13 might have been a better comparison date - that had 10% more traffic than Feb 16.

2020-03-26T17:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243232549729533952

@bronze_bomber @ColonelTweeto I think there's going to be a price crash in the toilet-paper reseller market pretty soon as the supply chain catches up.

2020-03-26T17:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243231172278104064

The one month decline in United Airlines traffic over the US is quite dramatic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDX7TsUUAUfG8D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDX7TsUUAUfG8D.jpg

2020-03-26T17:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243228599403999232

@ImJimR87 @flightradar24 Limited to just Southwest airlines.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDVtsiU4AA9SS_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDVtsiU4AA9SS_.jpg

2020-03-26T17:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243228385343496192

Southwest Airlines shows declines across the country. But most noticeable in the Southwest corner. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDVWteU4AAI0js.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDVWteU4AAI0js.jpg

2020-03-26T17:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243226376628367360

@ColonelTweeto Yeah. People ARE spending around $200 though.

2020-03-26T17:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243224974229270528

All the air traffic over much of California, Nevada, Arizona on @flightradar24. Now, vs. one month ago. Nearly halved. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDR_adUEAIbIlH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EUDR_adUEAIbIlH.jpg

2020-03-26T16:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243218258892738561

This is mostly short-hop budget passenger traffic, so not representative of all air traffic. But still very dramatic. https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/1243217469394878469

2020-03-26T16:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243217727189176321

(That's a Costco pack, which goes for $22.99)

2020-03-26T16:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243217482912956416

Is it price gouging if they price a 30-pack of toilet paper for $0.99, and then let the free market drive it up to $5,100.99?

(And are these even real bids? Crazy rich folk, or people trying to spoil the sale?)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDLJmQU0AAe9oo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUDLJmQU0AAe9oo.jpg

2020-03-26T15:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1243202040320286727

@ConceptualJames UK version: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUC9j-6VAAI2tAV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUC9j-6VAAI2tAV.jpg
2020-03-26T15:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243201333886201857
@ShepardAmbellas Good grief Shepard. He's talking about bringing down world net carbon emissions to zero. In the talk he discusses, best case, reducing population GROWTH by 15%, but still increasing 1.3 billion. Do you really not understand this?

https://www.ted.com/talks/bill_gates_innovating_to_zero/transcript?language=en
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUC8qypU0AADD-J.jpg



Bill Gates | TED2010

Innovating to zero!

5,740,402 views • **27:49** • Subtitles in 38 languages

04:24 (Laughter)

04:26 That's back from high school algebra. But let's take a look.

04:29 First, we've got population. The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's headed up to about nine billion. Now, if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by, perhaps, 10 or 15 percent. But there, we see an increase of about 1.3.

04:51 The second factor is the services we use. This encompasses everything: the food we eat, clothing, TV, heating. These are very good things. Getting rid of poverty

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EUC8qypU0AADD-J.jpg
2020-03-26T04:53:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1243038268934873088
5G confirmed safe by radiation watchdog
https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2020/mar/12/5g-safe-radiation-watchdog-health?CMP=share_btn_tw

---

2020-03-25T18:27:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242880685716541440
@skjerme I think it's CGI

2020-03-25T00:20:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242607304517644288
RT @DanRather: In science I trust.

2020-03-24T21:49:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242569362441043968
How to geolocate and identify a typical "Tic-Tac" UFO video.

(It's a plane. You find where and when, figure out the camera direction with Google Earth, then look it up in @FlightRadar24) #ufotwitter

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f9lkspThboM

2020-03-24T21:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242567979033743360
@Mlambm Yeah, several of the makers have being going through various iterations as they learn more about what is needed.

2020-03-24T21:18:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242561401094172672

All the makers stuck at home are inventing DIY ventilators out of junk they have laying around.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET522b-UcAEuvSm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET522b-UcAEuvSm.jpg
2020-03-24T17:38:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242506091323244544
@try_thinking @Oswald1160 No, to get that curve optically (starting flat) you'd need rapidly increasing density with altitude, meaning an incredibly steep negative temperature gradient. A gradient that was not there - as the air was stable.

2020-03-24T15:58:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242480914535346177
@try_thinking My pool cue is bent thousands of times more than the curve of the water surface. But obviously the water surface line of sight is much longer.

2020-03-24T15:56:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242480364095848453
@Oswald1160 @try_thinking Atmospheric refraction (usually) bends light downwards, which moves the image upwards, flattening the curve slightly.

2020-03-24T15:08:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242468386468024320
@try_thinking Perspective compression can't bend a straight line.

Add that fact to your logic, and see what happens.

2020-03-23T20:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1242183482735910912
@Zabbac ... assuming effective stay-at-home, and social distancing?

2020-03-23T19:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242170078549782528

@stang289 Generational housing and older demographics relates to the death rate. Not so much to the number of cases. Average household size is about 2.8 in most of NYC except 2.0 in Manhattan. It's 2.2 in Lombardy.

https://www.baruch.cuny.edu/nycdata/population-geography/avg_size_hhold.htm

https://www.statista.com/statistics/569360/average-size-of-households-in-italy-by-region/

2020-03-23T19:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242168296532635648

@Zabbac So, what are you saying? You think it won't be bad in New York? That people shouldn't worry?

Clearly NY is going to be hit bad. There's multiple factors, but population density is a huge one if no preventative measures are taken. Everyone will get it VERY rapidly.

2020-03-23T18:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242163840202067968

@mark12011950 Can you link to an example of this theory?

2020-03-23T18:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242163287606710286

@JasonColavito @IDoubtIt Most things coming back into stock here. The local Costco even had loads of TP. Not crowded. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET0M3JkUEAAhfKk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET0MrhnUUAAnhMj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET0MZmkUYAAaDNg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET0Mj92UUAAZ1OH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ET0M3JkUEAAhfKk.jpg

2020-03-23T17:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242139966471274498

@Zabbac The densest borough of New York City, Manhattan, skews to younger adults, but there's still 12% (192,000) people over 65 (based on 2010 census data). And the huge population density makes it very hard to avoid infection.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETz2N1MU8A0a8El.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETz2N1MU8A0a8El.jpg

2020-03-23T17:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242135113384652803

Population density is a significant factor in the impact of Coronavirus on a region. Milan in Lombardy is densely populated.

Manhattan in New York is far more densely populated.

30% of all US cases are in NYC, and it's rapidly rising. Strict measures are entirely justified.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETzyBzNUUAAtGlQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETzyBzNUUAAtGlQ.jpg

2020-03-23T15:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242108938553675776

@ShepardAmbellas Even if 10% of Congress died, the military does not take over running the country.

2020-03-23T15:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242107002492313606

Is Russia behind the curve or hiding the curve? Either way, Coronavirus is going to hit them hard. https://twitter.com/Kasparov63/status/1241519644965568512

2020-03-23T15:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1242104687156854784

RT @travis_view: CDC on February 25: "It's likely this virus will cause a pandemic."

QAnon promoter Joe M on February 26: "Coronavirus wilâ¦

2020-03-23T05:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241962920298201089

@ShepardAmbellas So how would the government be crippled?

2020-03-22T15:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241747850981081089

@mclark1951 Welcome to British tabloid journalism.

2020-03-22T15:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241744877697634304
RT @AnanyaS1190: This. GIF. Is. Everything.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ETp0nXYUwAEJScc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ETp0nXYUwAEJScc.jpg
2020-03-22T14:51:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241739235373109248
@ShepardAmbellas How exactly do you think coronavirus would "cripple the government?"

2020-03-22T00:34:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241523519701127168
@4thAnon I trust the science that shows that vaccines are safe and effective.

2020-03-21T20:38:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241464213475753984
@Curious_Normie @4thAnon Can you actually quote a lie I've told? Feel free to pick on from that article, but you might want to fact-check it first.

2020-03-21T20:12:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241457639407542272
@4thAnon Probably. I mean what does â□□tellingâ□□ accomplish anyway. I trust the system when it comes to vaccines. Thereâ□□s lots of eyes on it.

2020-03-21T20:07:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241456325017526272
@4thAnon I am slightly annoyed.

2020-03-21T15:36:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1241388134874546176
@4thAnon I don't get how it's a question. You were talking about quarantine not being effective. I said what quarantine was for (time). You gave a list. I reiterated my answer. Stop playing games. Just ask a straightforward question in a full sentence.

2020-03-21T15:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241379563877175296

@4thAnon Those are not questions. Thatâ□□s a list. I replied. What donâ□□t you understand?

2020-03-21T15:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241379037450137604

@4thAnon Doily thing are generally wildly ridiculed too. And thereâ□□s a lot more of them.

2020-03-21T14:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241376572344426499

@4thAnon What questions? Whatâ□□s is not addressed by my response? I though you simply wanted a clarification, so I clarified.

2020-03-21T14:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241374043686633473

@4thAnon Thatâ□□s my answer.

2020-03-21T10:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241317227644645383

@delboybvi I know :)

2020-03-21T10:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241314779962064896

Has the coronavirus cleared the skies of contrails?

No. This isn't 9/11. There are still lots of planes in the air overhead, but there's always somewhere with low humidity any given day, and people THERE are posting pictures of the sky.

It's just the weather. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EToImR9UwAIk-nt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EToImR9UwAIk-nt.jpg

2020-03-20T19:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241078127167549441

@4thAnon We need movement restriction to gain time to

- treat the sick
- protect the weak
- build herd immunity
- work towards a vaccine.

2020-03-20T15:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1241019729982050305

@OceanJenJen @brotherchumpy Photoshop

2020-03-20T06:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240884946362744832

@4thAnon But time is what we need. Time to treat the sick, protect the weak, build herd immunity, and work towards a vaccine.

2020-03-19T04:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240496557171982337

RT @charley_ck14: I talked to my parents (divorced) in the last 24 hours. Both think the virus is overhyped. Both were coughing while tellâ¦

2020-03-19T04:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240496461856399360

@RockNRollCabbie @ShepardAmbellas @intellihubnews Do the math. Thereâ□□s not many cases yet, not many homeless.

Decimate means kill 10%.

2020-03-19T03:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240473941841305600

@MarkRot46090702 No.

2020-03-19T03:02:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240473754808897539

@Zabbac Iâ□□m in California. Net exporter of food.

2020-03-19T02:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240459727500386304

RT @GeoffRBennett: THREAD -- A timeline of Trump's coronavirus statements:

Jan. 22: "It's going to be just fine. We have it totally underâ¦

2020-03-19T02:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240459211353407489

Or maybe not... https://twitter.com/ShepardAmbellas/status/1240297287743451142

2020-03-18T15:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240296258700374019

In a welcome change to the normal media focus on long lines and empty shelves. KCAL9 goes

behind the scenes at a supermarket distribution center.

The shortages are causes by people buying too much because they are afraid of shortages. Plenty of supply!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6TBoaLw_3Sk

2020-03-18T13:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1240268796134219776
RT @keithcboyes: @BBCNews @DailyMailUK @thetimes @guardian change the narrative - reporting of empty shelves only further fuels unnecessaryâ¦

2020-03-18T01:10:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1240083219313078272
Amazon is not shutting down, or stopping shipping things. They are just deprioritizing restocking non-essential items, so they can meet the demand for essential items.
You can still buy things on Amazon, if it's not in the warehouse, it's often available shipped from elsewhere. https://twitter.com/snopes/status/1240080992423084033

2020-03-17T23:32:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1240058336302661633
@macleods11 This is the number of infections, not dead. But they don't have sick overflowing the hospitals in Japan. They don't have sick overflowing the hospitals in most countries yet.

2020-03-17T22:37:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1240044685093031937
@JasonBermas Amazon are not stopping shipping things OUT to customers. They are stopping certain third-party shipments INTO their warehouses. They are trying to meet panic-buying demand.
https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-amazon-com-exclusi/exclusive-amazon-stops-receiving-non-essential-products-from-sellers-amid-coronavirus-outbreak-idUSKBN2142IM
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETWGCpVUcAAq7W1.jpg

The change does not mean Amazon will stop selling non-essential items like phone cases and toys for now, only that products may be more likely to run out of stock in the next few weeks or that sellers have to ship directly to consumers.

The move reflects how the global spread of the virus has created challenges for retailers, from supply chain to delivery.

In a note sent to sellers on Tuesday, Amazon said it is seeing increasing online shopping demand. As its household staples and medical supplies are running out of stock, it will prioritize certain categories in order to “quickly receive, restock, and ship these products to customers.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETWGCpVUcAAq7W1.jpg
2020-03-17T22:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1240042871933128705

@macleods11 Japan's low Coronavisus infection rate seems to be a combination of everyone wearing masks at the slightest sniffle, and a lack of testing hiding the true numbers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETWEQAxU0AA8pd3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETWEQAxU0AA8pd3.jpg

2020-03-17T19:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239998363019276289

It's the story of my life! https://twitter.com/USgamernet/status/1239990667566133249

2020-03-17T12:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239896203220750337

RT @flightradar24: We get many questions regarding why flights keep flying although flights have been banned or borders have been closed.â¦

2020-03-17T05:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239779603234689024

@WearKilluminati Do the beachgoers know? Do you know? We don't know a lot about this virus, other than that it spreads, and kills.

2020-03-17T05:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239778608387731458

@Void63327440 @zcichy It varies. There's a good overview here: https://www.nbcnews.com/business/business-news/why-have-more-1-000-ceos-left-their-post-past-n1076201

2020-03-16T21:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239671677144813569

Floridians hit the beach to get in some last minute virus spreading before shutdown.

https://twitter.com/WFLA/status/1239618604255059975

2020-03-16T17:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239602742529671168

A great, six minute explanation on why social distancing is needed, even if you are not sick. #flatteningthecurve

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dSQztKXR6k0

2020-03-16T13:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239542548382679045

RT @Josiensor: This church in the Gyeonggi province of South Korea sprayed salt water into the mouths of followers out of a belief it wouldâ¦

2020-03-16T13:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239540500673081345

@2bitpunk The lack of contrails over London today is due to low humidity at cruising altitudes. See the brown area? That's low humidity at 30,000 feet. Contrail form in the green regions. https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/euroupper.php?run=2020031606&var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO7Ko_VAAA99Wm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO7Ko_VAAA99Wm.jpg

2020-03-16T13:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239539655759937537

@2bitpunk The occurrence of contrails depends on the weather aloft. There's still quite a lot of planes up there. https://www.flightradar24.com/51.32,3.56/6

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO6aPTUMAA6DVZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO6aPTUMAA6DVZ.jpg

2020-03-16T13:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239537714522812417

@MattHennessey You've never heard of a local health department? Weird.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO483LU8AE8oWW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETO483LU8AE8oWW.jpg

2020-03-16T02:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239370923146280960

RT @rafiazakaria: I have chronic lung disease as a complication of rhematoid arthritis My doctor says I have a 70 percent chance of dyingâ¦

2020-03-15T22:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239317416590462976

@Not_Your_Name1 Here's what it actually is:

https://blog.verily.com/2020/03/verily-in-collaboration-with-california.html

---

2020-03-15T20:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239290554447302656

@Not_Your_Name1 Both the things in your image are true. Read more.

2020-03-15T20:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1239290006633500672

@HJB96145556 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @Chieftog Well, my initial impression is that it's bullshit. But I'm always happy to be proven wrong.

What's "the poltergeist experience"? Some kind of ghost-hunting guided tour?

2020-03-14T16:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238858222179512321

Trump said Google had 1400 people working on a Coronavirus triage web site for everyone to check if their symptoms warranted testing, and direct them to a drive-through test center. Google said they didn't know what he was talking about.

https://www.wired.com/story/coronavirus-donald-trump-google-website/

2020-03-14T00:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238618163043950592

@murnut @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns No, it's just a small object close to the camera, with the apparent motion coming from the motion of the drone. It's a mistake.

2020-03-13T19:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238552990249668608

@ChiefofTruth @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns I'm happy to look at any new evidence.

"UAP" includes unidentified aircraft, drones, and balloons. Those are "genuinely unidentified"

2020-03-13T19:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238548420815515648

@ChiefofTruth @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns Nobody has disagreed. Multiple people have independently reached the same conclusion. TTSA ignore all this.

2020-03-13T19:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238546191148711936

@ChiefofTruth @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns I'm going by what he personally says. He says things that are demonstrably wrong. Watch this, then tell me why I should trust him.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2020-03-13T19:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238545120489664513

@ChiefofTruth @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns I'm supposed to just trust Elizondo? Why doesn't he start by fixing the problems with the Go Fast analysis on his web site?

2020-03-13T19:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238540457618976768
@UFO_Researcher @ufo_seekers @UfoIssue @brianjhanley
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2020-03-13T18:58:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238540016831172608
@lonelybluerider @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns It's entirely consistent with this:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/post-227899 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETAtfG5VAAAB0YN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETAtfG5VAAAB0YN.jpg
2020-03-13T18:57:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238539604233338880
@SuperVFunCat1 @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns That's utterly untrue. Those events would be amazing testable evidence. Right now we don't have any good evidence.

2020-03-13T18:55:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238539227056336896
@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @TheChilterns @BobMcGwier_N4HY I've never claimed anything remotely like that.

2020-03-13T18:55:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238539113336201217
@brianjhanley @UfoIssue A windshield? Where's that shown in your analysis?

I suspect you're looking at motion blur.

2020-03-13T17:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238511683737251840
@BobMcGwier_N4HY @TheChilterns Surely explaining *some* of the evidence is still useful? If I

explain some, but not all, then what remains is stronger evidence, right?

Isn't that a good thing?

2020-03-13T17:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238510816455880705

@murnut @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns That would be rather significant then. Not me being wrong, but this being a silent hypersonic craft that nobody noticed at the time. Perhaps you should alert the authorities?

2020-03-13T17:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238510359553511424

@UfoIssue @brianjhanley A bug, or some other small object. Yes.

2020-03-13T16:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238509240777461760

@murnut @UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns It's not a drone. It an object zipping by the drone. The velocity comes from the drone - the actual object (insect or bit of windblown material) is not moving very fast. It's close and slow.

2020-03-13T16:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238508938322042882

@TheChilterns @FermiReport @gammaraybeam In the absence of actual evidence, and in light of their ridiculous looking "orb" images, who vouches for the Blesdoes?

2020-03-13T16:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238507545720840192

@UfoIssue @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns That's just a drone zip-by object. Like a bug or something small.

2020-03-13T16:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238506623703769088

@PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem It's a joke. An edited video about "a green budget"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETAPM8vU8AAsAGC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ETAPM8vU8AAsAGC.jpg
2020-03-13T16:37:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238504464140529664
@TheChilterns @FermiReport @gammaraybeam So if it's unpredictable, then how is me going somewhere going to help anything?

2020-03-13T16:36:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238504105141624833
@TheChilterns @FermiReport @gammaraybeam @BobMcGwier_N4HY I've considered it (shy or prankster aliens with camera quality detectors). But it makes no sense at all. Whereas the much simpler explanation (these are unidentified things because of poor observations, excessive imagination, and other human factors) makes perfect sense.

2020-03-13T16:05:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238496345326936064
@TheChilterns @FermiReport @gammaraybeam Because I have no evidence at all that I would not be wasting my time.

I think you are lacking perspective here. An alien encounter would be the most significant event in human history. Why are believers so half-assed in their recording effects?

2020-03-13T15:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238483001882726401
@FermiReport @gammaraybeam @TheChilterns Sure, but if you shoot in-focus 4K high ISO HDR then you can sort the artifacts from the aliens a lot easier, even with poor technique.

2020-03-13T15:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238482391057891329

@IWANTTOKNOW2020 @TheChilterns Wrong.

2020-03-13T13:52:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238462924315717632

@TheChilterns You want to turn me? Then take better photos.

Do a fundraiser to get the Blesdoe's a good low-light image-stabilized camera, like the Nikon Z6. You can actually shoot video at night.

https://vimeo.com/290295765

2020-03-13T13:00:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238449845582458880

@TheChilterns And I'm really not seeing any good evidence to justify such a trip. Blurry photos and videos of lights?

2020-03-13T12:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238442014653333505

@TheChilterns What exactly do you propose?

I suspect you overestimate the potential interest in such a thing.

2020-03-12T17:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238151215671676929

@GalaxyReport Here's a set of predictions I made in 1988.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES7L9K8UYAA_CJA.jpg



EDITORIAL

It has been said the the eightys saw the exciting birth of the concept of information technology, the ninetys were a confused mixup when too much was tried, too often and in too many different ways, the ohs were when I.T. got it together and the dream came true. So what do we have to look back on over the last ten years?

In '00 there was the revolutionary launch of the International Computer Standards Centre (ICSC) by a host of companies including IBM, ICL, Sony, Philips, EDS, CDC, DEC, Apple and Atari to name but a few. Masterminded by David Jones, the ICSC was to change the face of computing as we knew it. The international standards meant that information could be exchanged with a new found freedom. Programs would now work on any ICSC machine of the same or higher level.

The decade has seen the rapid growth of the Data Stream. From it's humble beginnings as an on line database and email service started by EDS in the early ninetys, the Stream has grown to the extent that ninety percent of households in the UK have a link into it. It now offers an amazingly large range of services including email, ebooks, tele-shopping, hundreds of worldwide databases and connection to just about any thing you can think of.

Advances in SLCD technology have bought us the Smarrt range of displays and the first full size hang-on-the-wall colour televisions only 1mm thick and as wide as you like. A whole range of technological advancements have improved the quality of our lives.

Computer power has grown so that the supercomputers of the early ninetys are the home computers of today. The entertainment industry has produced games of realism and quality undreamt of ten years ago. Expert systems are freeing humans from the more mundane mental tasks and are beginning to challenge us in higher realms of thought.

It has been a decade of consistent growth in the field of I.T. the ICSC has ensured that today's technology will integrate smoothly with tomorrow's. The future of I.T. is a secure and bright one.

Michael West

CONTENTS

News Desk 1-4

Carry on Docbot 5-6

A House Like Alice 7

Online Bonanza 8-9

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY WEEKLY

Executive Editor
Michael West

Deputy Editor
Mick West

Features Editor
Mike West

Production Editor
Micky West

Chief Sub-Editor
Mr M. West

Staff Writers
Mick West, Mike West

Art Assistant
Michael West Bsc

Display Executive
Mick West Bsc MBE

Managing Editor
Michael West

Publisher
Westy

Group Publisher
Micky West Bsc

Financial Director
Mike West

Published By
Bogus Magazines,
Needham Hall,
205 Palatine Road,
Manchester
M20 8TU
Tel 061-445-9260
DS *323-444-18*

Printed By
Westys Printing,
27, Lynton Drive,
Shipley,
BD18 3DJ

Information technology weekly is an independant publication.

Subscriptions are £95 per year to the address above. Payable via the Stream only. Account 526334-33.

Unsolicited articals are always welcome and are paid for at the standard

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES7L9K8UYAA_CJA.jpg

2020-03-12T17:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1238150153501937664

US cases are low compared to other countries. But we're looking more like the start of Iran and Italy than we are Singapore and Japan.

https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2020/03/12/814522489/singapore-wins-praise-for-its-covid-19-strategy-the-u-s-does-not?fbclid=IwAR1RonPxmrCAASPD6RExeqvex8ij6_iP6uVugpwXmfpmoOLMopi7zGiV9_M http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES7KnNAU0AAkm83.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES7KnNAU0AAkm83.jpg
2020-03-12T15:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238126369046851585
@AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets @samtripoli @SAGE_News @mediamonarchy @_whitneywebb @Tim_Hayward_ @KevinRyan911 @RT_America @JasonBermas @TimJDillon @JimmyDoreShow @Jimcorrsays @uafairbanks What about if you limit the set to long span asymmetric construction buildings that had the World Trade Center North Tower collapse next to it, badly damaging the south side?
Then it's ALL of them!!

2020-03-12T15:17:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238122006257659906
The entirety of human future is contained in a random selection of the last 100 years of science fiction. We just don't know WHICH science fiction until it comes to pass.

2020-03-12T09:32:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1238035054913187841
@Oswald1160 Not simultaneous. They are not springy.

2020-03-12T05:19:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1237971385332289537
RT @CoreyCiorciari: :police_car_light:The White House is currently walking back *three* false policy announcements Trump made during his nationally televiseâ¦

2020-03-12T01:37:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1237915667581374464
@Oswald1160 That's exactly what I did.Although I did have to compress both rings.

2020-03-11T15:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237763805729284096

When you discover the trick of forming a loop in a choke chain, can that knowledge actually hinder you in more complex cases. The (equal sized) rings and the fence are welded shut. So how did I attach it like this?

It's not hard, but do you try to use the trick first?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES1qxRcU0AEf7Kc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ES1qxRcU0AEf7Kc.jpg

2020-03-11T15:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237762415107788800

@FormallySomeone Seems unlikely to be a dramatic factor . That would only effect things that were a long way away - like miles away. So there would still be a significant period of time where the iceberg would be visible, but they missed it because it was night.

2020-03-11T04:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237594060065398785

@Fibonacci222 People who donâ□□t understand how a choke chain works are not stupid. They just havenâ□□t got it yet.

2020-03-11T01:07:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237545605427167233

How does a choke chain work? It's a chain with two equal sized rings at the ends. It seems impossible to make a loop.

This simplest of puzzles prompted some 1-star reviews.

It reminds me of some conspiracy beliefs. If things seem obviously wrong, maybe you're missing something. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESyjz_BUwAAGGyA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESyjz_BUwAAGGyA.jpg

2020-03-10T05:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237246693751402496

F.D.A. Warns 7 Companies to Stop Claiming Silver and Other Products Treat Coronavirus https://nyti.ms/3cIwOIv

---

2020-03-09T23:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237153420680638464

@_olligo_ @PostDisclosure I think itâ□□s actually about the right time for some travel and gathering restrictions - if we were talking purely about slowing the spread.
Slower is a LOT better when thereâ□□s limited resources.

2020-03-09T22:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237143132329766912

@PostDisclosure You can just go to the supermarket now. They have food.

2020-03-09T19:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237098863745331200

RT @MadScientistJo: #conspiracytheory #debunking #skeptic #evidence

Do you know the ins and outs of debunking conspiracy theories? Mick Wâ□¦

2020-03-09T19:02:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237091524875173888

Always read the fine print. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESsHiHOUwAAKqni.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESsHiHOUwAAKqni.jpg

2020-03-09T16:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237046966963425280

Alex Jones says the Coronavirus is a "Chi-com plot to take down President Trump." But he seems to be largely using it as an opportunity to sell more Infowars brand prepper supplies and snake oil. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESrfmWfU8AA5ojA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESrfmWfU8AA5ojA.jpg

2020-03-09T15:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1237035799687778304

@TruthRtc Flights are not at contrail altitude when they are within 50km (or more) of the airport

they are going to (or from).
Think about when you fly - you spend several minutes getting to 30,000 feet.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESrVAIrU0AEkzj7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESrVAIrU0AEkzj7.jpg
2020-03-09T15:16:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1237034646572957698
@apolloandartemi I can't help it! You should hear me when I actually visit Yorkshire.

2020-03-09T06:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236905832085737472
@TruthRtc Yes, but all the traffic is TO and FROM Perth, it's not OVER Perth. So you hardly ever see contrails there.
Check out this local site's page on the topic.
http://www.lifeonperth.com/contrailsoverperth.htm

2020-03-09T06:26:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236901048423297025
@WitchesCat333 @TutorCambodia @ShepardAmbellas It's in Great Falls, Montana. Hwy 89 (10th Ave S) by Hobby Lobby. Probably just traffic between Malmstrom AFB and the ANG and army reserve center on the other side of town. That's the only big road through town.

2020-03-09T05:59:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236894324521984000
The "chemtrail" conspiracy theory isn't very popular in Perth, Australia. This is because Perth is so geographically isolated that planes don't fly over it (just to and from). So no contrails form, so nothing to mistake for a "chemtrail".
http://www.lifeonperth.com/contrailsoverperth.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESpUvG6UMAA3Dny.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESpUvG6UMAA3Dny.jpg

2020-03-09T05:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236893361560158211

@TruthRtc Well, except for Perth, as they are so isolated they don't have much high altitude flyover traffic, and hence very few (if any) contrails. In fact, in Perth, when they see a contrail, they get all excited!

https://www.communitynews.com.au/joondalup-times/news/plane-contrail-creates-perth-wide-discussion/

2020-03-08T23:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236795471357603841

@Oswald1160 @ShepardAmbellas @rjblaskiewicz Yeah, you'd need to actually have the age distribution curve, and other demographic factors. There might also be far LESS 80+ already-sick people. A cruise ship takes retirees, but it's not a nursing home.
But it's a better analog of the general population.

2020-03-08T21:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236760036916867072

@intellihubnews @cg_sands4 The media is reporting the Life Care numbers.
https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/13-deaths-seattle-area-care-facility-connected-coronavirus-n1152306 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESnarPiUEAAa_bR.jpg

---

March 7, 2020, 3:25 PM PST

By Dennis Romero

A total of 13 deaths at a Seattle-area long-term care facility have been connected to coronavirus, and more could be confirmed in the coming days, a spokesman said Saturday.

Life Care Center of Kirkland, ground zero for the West Coast's coronavirus outbreak, has reported 26 deaths since Feb. 19, when a resident first tested positive for the virus that causes COVID-19, said spokesman Tim Killian.

So far, 13 of Life Care's deceased have tested positive, and tests for two other deceased residents have been inconclusive, he said. All had been hospitalized.

Related



HEALTH

Coronavirus updates live: U.S. deaths up to 19

Test results for the other 11 who died were pending, Killian said. Because the center cares for the elderly and infirm, it usually experiences three to seven deaths a month, he said, so the virus may not be to blame for all 26 deaths.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESnarPiUEAAa_bR.jpg
2020-03-08T19:59:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236743487334150149
@cg_sands4 @intellihubnews 14 of the 16 King County deaths were from the Nursing Home.
https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/you-just-dont-know-whos-going-die-next-patient-inside-kirkland-care-facility-speaks-out/BOJVOQ35XNAIXBHGDXCW2GEDTM/

2020-03-08T19:29:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236735809883009024
@Oswald1160 @ShepardAmbellas @rjblaskiewicz Although that's also balanced, somewhat, by the crew, which tend to be younger (average age of crew is about 33 vs. 50 for pax). (1000*33+2700*50)/3700 gives rough ship average of 45. Average US citizen is 38.

2020-03-08T18:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236727194509922304

@ShepardAmbellas @rjblaskiewicz That's the Grand Princess - heading for Oakland, which is much earlier in the infection process (21 cases, no deaths). I was talking about the death rate in the Diamond Princess (700 cases, 7 deaths.)

2020-03-08T17:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236705314801455105

@rjblaskiewicz @ShepardAmbellas Yeah, I think the cruise ships provide a better sample. Around 1% there.

2020-03-08T15:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236673151981031424

@nathanjslattery @ShepardAmbellas Oh right, so the entire world's health authorities are freaking out over nothing.

If you go on a cruise, and someone gets the common flu, you don't expect seven people on board to die, do you?

https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coroanvirus-japan/diamond-princess-passenger-dies-bringing-ships-death-toll-to-seven-nhk-idUSKBN20U0KQ

2020-03-08T15:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236670488518078464

@nathanjslattery @ShepardAmbellas I'm talking about the case fatality rate. The flu has a CFR of 0.1%. Covid-19's CFR is unclear, but 1% (10x flu) is in the low end of the numbers. https://www.vox.com/2020/3/5/21165973/coronavirus-death-rate-explained

---

2020-03-08T15:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236669462989787138

The human eye has gradient index optics. So light bends INSIDE the lens, and not just as it enters and exits. Snell's law is insufficient to describe the light paths here.

This actually relates to the Flat Earth folk, who get confused with Snell's and atmospheric refraction. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESmHegnVAAEroni.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESmHegnVAAEroni.jpg

2020-03-08T15:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236668453143990272

@ShepardAmbellas This is the best article I've found on the Italy situation. It seem rather confusing - no real enforcement yet.

https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-08/italy-s-drastic-containment-rules-spark-doubts-over-enforcement

---

2020-03-08T14:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236663380980584448

@ShepardAmbellas Oh, I think it will get worse. I'm not one of those "the flu is far worse" people. COVID is at least 10x as deadly as flu, and possibly more infectious, and there's no vaccine.

But I don't think it's going to lead to *actual* martial law and/or societal collapse.

2020-03-08T14:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236661483150311424

@intellihubnews @Lakedaemon___ You can't get hand sanitizer for a few days because people have been panic buying. That's a demand problem, not a supply problem.

And people are panic buying mostly because other people are panic buying. Especially with TP.

Although people will use more HS, not more TP.

2020-03-08T01:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236469051011944448

In which I reproduce the effects of atmospheric refraction on the observation of aligned oil rigs used as proof of both flat and round Earths - depending on level of scientific understanding and personal preference. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XdNhf-Ye1gQ

2020-03-08T00:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236454482721947649

@Lakedaemon___ @intellihubnews Still, could be worse.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESjEyq9UUAE3C_L.jpg

Natural News
Jan 26 at 1:59 PM •

BREAKING: they're seeding low level Cirrus clouds with betaCoronavirus muCov strain, in a bid for population control and economic sanctions. #**donttrustTHEM**



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESjEyq9UUAE3C_L.jpg
2020-03-08T00:50:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1236454191029092352
@Lakedaemon___ @intellihubnews The boy who cried wolf. It's a fable.

If you keep shouting "It's starting!" then if it actually does start, nobody is going to listen to you. I think @intellihubnews is crying wolf, distracting both from the real issues, and any potential

real STHT news.

2020-03-08T00:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236451448595988480

@Lakedaemon___ @intellihubnews "Wolf!" The boy is a good example.

2020-03-08T00:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236446582595129344

@intellihubnews And this headline is a bit much. It was part of one street, for like an hour or so, and now everyone is free to move around exactly as before. I've seen bigger shutdowns over spilt paint. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESi8195UMAAlKQ5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESi8195UMAAlKQ5.jpg

2020-03-08T00:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236445505707626496

@intellihubnews Nah, that's too vague - it's almost certain that there will be some form of heavier quarantine - I mean all we have now is this one street.

If you say "Martial Law" but you just mean "some kind of quarantine", that seems a bit misleading.

2020-03-08T00:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236444220400881665

@intellihubnews But are we talking about actual declared martial law here? Or just some form of isolation and quarantine?

https://www.cdc.gov/quarantine/aboutlawsregulationsquarantineisolation.html

---

2020-03-08T00:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236443368038600704

@LynnChastain1 @intellihubnews It's a Sacramento suburb. I have friends who live there (it's just 40 miles from my house in El Dorado County).

The block was only briefly locked down, and the residents are not under a gag order.

2020-03-07T23:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236434769660891136

@intellihubnews Want to bet? Pick a date in the the near future that you think has a better than 80% chance of martial law being declared, and we can bet $20 on it.

Although you might want to check the history first.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martial_law_in_the_United_States

---

2020-03-07T03:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236130279527342080

@efstop1 The cold stopped.

2020-03-07T03:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236124764818698242

@intellihubnews Kind of. Itâ□□s a scam.

2020-03-07T00:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236083399300763648

@mikesara444 I don't. I was checking if they had any, to gauge the panic-buying for Coronavirus.

2020-03-06T23:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236073659111886849

I asked Amazon if they could get me some hand sanitizer by tomorrow. They couldn't, but recommended Oscillococcinum - a magic placebo literally made from the pure water used to wash containers that once (many washes ago) had some duck heart and liver flavored water in them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESdpevCUwAMgfVQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESdpevCUwAMgfVQ.jpg

2020-03-06T21:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236042994932805632

@bigcityrich Who is denying the possibility? I'm saying that there isn't any evidence - and the trails look and act exactly like contrails.

2020-03-06T21:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236042246698332160

@bigcityrich Seems to be largely speculative.

And the bottom line is really just something was thought to be safe, and turned out to be slightly less safe. It pales to nothingness when you compare it to actual pollution.

2020-03-06T20:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1236021682407522304

@bigcityrich "chemical warfare attack" is what you said. Does spraying inert tracers qualify as "chemical warfare attack" You can't put ACTUAL chemical warfare attacks (where people die horribly) in the same boat as dispersement test, where nothing happens.

2020-03-06T05:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235804772638195712

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @Akam1129 Why? What changed?

2020-03-06T05:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235800237630869504

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @Akam1129 So..... is the talk now outdated?

2020-03-06T04:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235784219051294720

@bigcityrich How is that an â□□attackâ□□?

2020-03-06T01:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235743603692941312

@gothroyalty Actually they look like aerodynamic contrails - which you often get when descending through humid air. That's why the inboard one seem so narrow.

2020-03-05T23:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235709610540974080

@gothroyalty Hard to tell on my phone, maybe. Contrail, or fuel dump.

2020-03-05T23:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235703062007705601

@priestwillis Yeah itâ□□s pretty old. I remember running it on my PS2. They never found anything.

2020-03-05T17:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235615571330215936

@lemonodor @SkyCirclesLA That's interesting. I've often though of doing something similar in FR24, but never got around to it.

2020-03-05T16:59:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235610781732843521

@joe_billheimer @darksecretplace No, just contrails, from planes delayed from landing in San Diego.

https://metabunk.org/threads/curved-and-looped-contrails-in-socal-san-diego-delays.11136/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESXFQUcVAAAUuaI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESXFQUcVAAAUuaI.jpg

2020-03-05T16:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235610344560529409

Inland K9 SAR beat me to it :) https://twitter.com/InlandK9SAR/status/1235585867470630912

2020-03-05T16:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235610174607331328

@InlandK9SAR I made a little animation showing the loops forming.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235610071070998528/pu/img/hHMoxnLGsBJmpoPK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235610071070998528/pu/img/hHMoxnLGsBJmpoPK.jpg

2020-03-05T16:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235609666685505536

Here's some more info on Metabunk, regarding todays odd contrails over Los Angles and Orange Counties.

https://metabunk.org/threads/curved-and-looped-contrails-in-socal-san-diego-delays.11136/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESXEbQYUEAEKW8x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESXEbQYUEAEKW8x.jpg

2020-03-05T16:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235608352907919360

Flight delays into San Diego this morning caused high altitude holds at around 30,000 over LA and Orange Counties. This made some racetrack contrails, which some people though were "chemtrails"

#flightradar24

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235607892041990144/pu/img/sO4UUN92qoJ6gUS5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235607892041990144/pu/img/sO4UUN92qoJ6gUS5.jpg

2020-03-05T16:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235607802057330688

@JustDude_3 Southwest 717 over Anaheim at 34,000 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235607631575658497/pu/img/vV_jQVeJLc4suJ9S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1235607631575658497/pu/img/vV_jQVeJLc4suJ9S.jpg

2020-03-05T16:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235606396768411648

@JustDude_3 Southwest. Delayed going into San DIego

2020-03-04T19:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235282678728945664

@UFOApollo @RVA_UFO_UAP @_AliensOnEarth_ @jasonturner2 Is there a higher resolution version of this? You can't get much from 640x360 94kb/s

Seems fairly obviously CGI though.

2020-03-04T06:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235092624718966789

@Capulcu_Orcun @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets Odd? It was certainly unusual, but not inexplicable.

Did you see the WTC7 fires? There are embers.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2020-03-04T06:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235092018981818368

I'm glad that @tomscott had the foresight to include a straight edge when he send garlic bread to the edge of space, thus proving that horizon looks curved from an altitude, consistent with a round Earth.

Problem solved!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YKAblynZYhI

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESPtf67UMAAW58b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESPtf67UMAAW58b.jpg

2020-03-04T03:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1235042972560850945

@UFO_Researcher Itâ□□s just rock. Aluminum oxide type stuff.

2020-03-03T21:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234952569346121732

@Capulcu_Orcun @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets I have. It does not appear to discuss the falling embers.

2020-03-03T21:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234952197026140161
Also, he failed to understand the relationship between upper air humidity and contrail formation, stating " I can find no other explanations for these inconsistencies based on weather conditions or humidities."
Thus began over a decade of ardent misunderstanding.

2020-03-03T21:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1234950980732477440
How do people fall down the "Chemtrails" rabbit hole? For Dane it was failing to understand why his solar panels were not doing as good as they used to, and then not understanding that dirt is about 8% aluminum. He went on to form http://geoengineeringwatch.org
https://web.archive.org/web/20110416150427/http://www.enouranois.gr/english/political/wigington2.htm

---

2020-03-03T19:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1234931169289854976
@AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets Maybe a building fire, like the Windsor tower - which had loads of similar showers of embers. https://youtu.be/eKvgD9NyIi4

2020-03-03T15:40:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1234866264868188160
@lbox327 @DanPeacock12 So you automatically assume something is true because I've explained why it's false?

You then must also believe the Earth is flat?

If not, then how do you decide when I'm shilling, and when I'm accurately explaining?

Maybe read the article? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMgNLLU4AA1Nyj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMgNLLU4AA1Nyj.jpg
2020-03-03T15:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234865512204472321
@FormallySomeone @ludviggur @SciManDan does a lot of commentary on Flat Earth videos. Check it out.

2020-03-03T15:15:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1234860012385816576
@MrReali05459432 @maxsec @Crazzy882 @try_thinking @keigh_see @NoErrorOccurred @mikeonthebayou @Thegoldenrule17 @Mcscorpio81 @bigfootRULE @SplinterSimba @GeistAstro @Beeeelzebub888 @doctorbuttons @J5_Project @jlinnation @Kompromat9 @UnCastellsMes @stopAnnoyinDave @badibulgator @whoopsbuni @DJignyte @imkimaaron @DanielB59756947 @SwingTheHammer2 @DestroyFE @KanChristo @SkyDog_59 @KeithAd92926261 @CallMeEOnly @NelsonMKerr @HappyBigGrin @twatterfull @z3r0n0ns3ns3 @FlatSlugbrains @FLATHORIZON @Maxim_Thrax @Decatur941 @QUIZICALLY99 @DenzilDamon @phy_add @udenfass @kmtildsley @tuxlinuxien @Shaved_Beav @doc_lamb @mozzthomas1 @AstroYogi101 @citizenscienti3 @jameswzegan85 Done.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMamx1XYAMbKBJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMamx1XYAMbKBJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMadPbWoAAZwCQ.jpg

2020-03-03T15:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234859707728351232

@FormallySomeone @ludviggur Which one did you have in mind?

2020-03-03T15:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234858367342071808

@FormallySomeone @ludviggur They might argue that, yes. But then I'd ask them to calculate how much it would be angled.

It's a simple calculation. Just the 360Â° multiplied by the distance to the mountain, divided by the circumference of the Earth.

So typically less than 1Â°, and always less than 4Â°.

2020-03-03T14:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234854306077138945

@FormallySomeone @ludviggur And using this Tony Hawk inspired (yet really just regular science) knowledge of ray-casting and perspective, the simplest way of conclusively debunking flat earth is to observe distant mountains, like Catalina Island, being obscured by the horizon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMVPZAU0AAkutT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMUr1VXYAUfj5S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMUxUuUwAAeDdR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMVPZAU0AAkutT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMUY1oWoAE3pJu.jpg

2020-03-03T14:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234851461043040257

@try_thinking @mikeonthebayou @keigh_see @Thegoldenrule17 @Mcscorpio81 @bigfootRULE @SplinterSimba @GeistAstro @Beeeelzebub888 @MrReali05459432 @maxsec @doctorbuttons @J5_Project @jlinnation @Kompromat9 @UnCastellsMes @stopAnnoyinDave @badibulgator @whoopsbuni @DJignyte @imkimaaron @DanielB59756947 @SwingTheHammer2 @DestroyFE @KanChristo @SkyDog_59 @KeithAd92926261 @CallMeEOnly @NelsonMKerr @HappyBigGrin @NoErrorOccurred @twatterfull @z3r0n0ns3ns3 @FlatSlugbrains @FLATHORIZON @Maxim_Thrax @Decatur941 @QUIZICALLY99 @DenzilDamon @phy_add @udenfass @kmtildsley @tuxlinuxien @Shaved_Beav @doc_lamb @mozzthomas1 @AstroYogi101 @citizenscienti3 @jameswzegan85 I did not say "itâ□□s not curvature of the bridge or #WaterLevel that makes the bridge look curved at a distance"

I've explained (and demonstrated) in depth how perspective compression cannot make a flat object look curved. It can only magnify an existing curve.

Check it: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMSyMdUYAAmSVu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMSyMVUYAAykyI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMSyMUUwAANQWL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMSyMdUYAAmSVu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESMSyMVUcAAsf6z.jpg

2020-03-03T14:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234850228265553920

@FormallySomeone @ludviggur And more specifically - the ray-casting component. The physics of THPS extensively used ray-casting to determine collisions and visibility. Combine that with with an understanding of perspective from the 3D projection, and the rotundity of the earth is blindingly obvious.

2020-03-03T14:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234849336757907456

@FormallySomeone @ludviggur Well, understanding ray tracing is very useful :)

2020-03-03T01:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1234647767273762816

Chatting about THPS with director Lugvig GÃ¼r, Pro Skater Tony Hawk, Producer Ralph D'Amato and Pro Skater Rodney Mullen.

@ludviggur @tonyhawk @rodneymullen @THPSFilm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESJYIaiUcAEK6RT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ESJYIaiUcAEK6RT.jpg
2020-02-28T16:46:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1233433272413147137
Hey media, Masoumeh Ebtekar is not "Iran's Vice President." While still an important government official, she's not second in command - that's the "First VP", Eshaq Jahangiri. Ebtekar is one of 12 VPs. VP in Iran is somewhat similar to the US role of department secretary.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ER4ILekUEAUgX3G.jpg

Current cabinet members [edit]

Office	Incumbent	Party (Affiliation)	Since
Presidency			
President	Hassan Rouhani	Moderation and Development Party	3 August 2013
First Vice President	Eshaq Jahangiri	Executives of Construction Party (R)	4 August 2013
Chief of Staff	Mahmoud Vaezi	Moderation and Development Party	20 August 2017
Ministers			
Agricultural	Mahmoud Hojjati	Islamic Iran Participation Front (R)	15 August 2013
Communication	Mohammad-Javad Azari Jahromi	Independent	20 August 2017
Labour	Mohammad Shariatmadari	Independent	*28 October 2018*
Culture	Abbas Salehi	Independent	20 August 2017
Defense	Amir Hatami	Independent	20 August 2017
Finance	Farhad Dejpasand	Independent	*28 October 2018*
Education	Javad Hosseini (acting)	Independent	7 June 2019
Energy	Reza Ardakanian	Independent Reformist	29 October 2017
Foreign Affairs	Mohammad Javad Zarif	Independent	15 August 2013
Health	Saeed Namaki	Independent	4 January 2019
Business	Reza Rahmani	Independent	*28 October 2018*
Intelligence	Mahmoud Alavi	Resistance Front of Islamic Iran (P)	15 August 2013
Interior	Abdolreza Rahmani Fazli	Independent Principlist	15 August 2013
Justice	Alireza Avayi	Independent Principlist	20 August 2017
Petroleum	Bijan Namdar Zanganeh	Executives of Construction Party (R)	15 August 2013
Science	Mansour Gholami	Independent	29 October 2017
Transportation	Mohammad Eslami	Independent	28 October 2018
Sports	Masoud Soltanifar	Moderation and Development Party	1 November 2016
Cultural Heritage and Tourism	Ali Asghar Monesan (acting)	Moderation and Development Party	21 August 2019
Vice Presidents			
Atomic Energy	Ali Akbar Salehi	Independent	16 August 2013
Environmental Protection	Isa Kalantari	Independent Reformist	13 August 2017
Legal	Laya Joneydi	Independent	9 August 2017
Martyrs and Veterans	Mohammad-Ali Shahidi	Independent	5 September 2013
Parliamentary	Hossein Ali Amiri	Independent	12 July 2016
National Elites	Sorena Sattari	Independent	5 October 2013
Supervision and Strategic	Mohammad Bagher Nobakht	Moderation and Development Party	1 September 2013
Women and Family Affairs	Masoumeh Ebtekar	Islamic Iran Participation Front (R)	9 August 2017
Administrative and Employment Affairs	Jamshid Ansari	Independent Reformist	2 August 2016
Economic Affairs	Mohammad Nahavandian	Islamic Coalition Party (P)	20 August 2017

See also [edit]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ER4ILekUEAUgX3G.jpg

2020-02-28T16:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1233429246896295941

Some of the most fun investigations, and the most challenging to effectively communicate, are those where the answer is both novel and unintuitive.

Human brains have a hard time with rolling shutters making beams of light.

https://metabunk.org/threads/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.3244/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ER4EwclUEAEtRYS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ER4EwclUEAEtRYS.jpg
2020-02-27T19:00:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1233104555920879618
@try_thinking @MrReali05459432 @maxsec @Beeeelzebub888 @Thegoldenrule17 @DestroyFE @KanChristo @Mcscorpio81 @SkyDog_59 @keigh_see @J5_Project @DJignyte @imkimaaron @KeithAd92926261 @DanielB59756947 @CallMeEOnly @jlinnation @NelsonMKerr @HappyBigGrin @NoErrorOccurred @twatterfull @doctorbuttons @SplinterSimba @z3r0n0ns3ns3 @FlatSlugbrains @FLATHORIZON @Maxim_Thrax @SwingTheHammer2 @Decatur941 @QUIZICALLY99 @Kompromat9 @DenzilDamon @phy_add @badibulgator @udenfass @dtjaayne @kmtildsley @GeistAstro @tuxlinuxien @Shaved_Beav @doc_lamb @mozzthomas1 @AstroYogi101 @citizenscienti3 @jameswzegan85 @bigfootRULE @stopAnnoyinDave @itsallflat @CollinOctantis @ReadECAM Do you not know what the curvature calculator is for?

It's to check if the standard model is correct.

Now, if you want to CALCULATE r, then you can use the radius calculator.
https://www.metabunk.org/radius/

2020-02-27T17:52:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1233087472759754753
@try_thinking @MrReali05459432 @maxsec @Beeeelzebub888 @Thegoldenrule17 @DestroyFE @KanChristo @Mcscorpio81 @SkyDog_59 @keigh_see @J5_Project @DJignyte @imkimaaron @KeithAd92926261 @DanielB59756947 @CallMeEOnly @jlinnation @NelsonMKerr @HappyBigGrin @NoErrorOccurred @twatterfull @doctorbuttons @SplinterSimba @z3r0n0ns3ns3 @FlatSlugbrains @FLATHORIZON @Maxim_Thrax @SwingTheHammer2

@Decatur941 @QUIZICALLY99 @Kompromat9 @DenzilDamon @phy_add @badibulgator @udenfass @dtjaayne @kmtildsley @GeistAstro @tuxlinuxien @Shaved_Beav @doc_lamb @mozzthomas1 @AstroYogi101 @citizenscienti3 @jameswzegan85 @bigfootRULE @stopAnnoyinDave @itsallflat @CollinOctantis @ReadECAM The values and equations are all there in the calculator.

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?a=a http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERzOdjOUEAAFPl2.jpg

Distance d = 4 miles (21120 feet)
Radius of Earth r = 3959 miles (20903520 feet)
Distance to horizon, a = sqrt((r+h)*(r+h) - r*r), a = **3 miles (15838 feet)**
Note: sqrt((r+h)*(r+h) - r*r) simplifies to sqrt(h*(2*r+h))

Hidden is the amount of the distant object hidden by the curve of the Earth
The calculation of hidden depends on what 'Distance' actually measures. There's three possibilities:
Distance1 = Line of sight, from the eye/camera, grazing the horizon, to the target (default)
Distance2 = Arc distance or surface distance. From beneath the eye beneath the target along water level
Distance3 = straight line between the Distance2 endpoints. (unlikely to be used)
Hidden1 = sqrt(a*a - 2*a*d + d*d + r*r)-r = 0.67 feet (8.01 inches) 0.6673400662839413 Feet (8.008080795407295 Inches)

Distance2 is more often used for large distances
Hidden2 = r/cos(d/r - acos(r / (r+h))) - r = 0.67 feet (8.01 inches) 0.6673408597707748 Feet (8.008090317249298 Inches)
Previously expressed as r/cos(d/r - asin(sqrt(h*(2*r+h)) / (r+h))) - r, using the distance to horizon Distance3 is unlikely to be used, but is included for comparision
Hidden3 = r/cos(2*asin(d/(2*r)) - acos(r / (r+h))) - r = 0.67 feet (8.01 inches) 0.667341087013483 Feet (8.008093044161797 Inches)
All three are essentially the same for distances under 100 miles

Sagitta (or 'Bulge') is the amount of rise of the earth's curve from a straight line connecting two points on the surface
'Sagitta' r-sqrt(4*r*r - d*d)/2, = **2.67 feet (32.01 inches)**

Drop is the amount the curve of the earth drops away from level, it can be calculated in various ways which are all *about the same* for under 100 miles distance

- Drop as r-sqrt(r^2-d^2) = **10.67 feet (128.03 inches)** 10.669363673776388 Feet (128.03236408531666 Inches) (perpendicular to level line)
- Drop as sqrt(r^2+d^2)-r = **10.67 feet (128.03 inches)** 10.669358227401972 Feet (128.03229872882366 Inches) (perpendicular to surface tangent)
- Drop calculated with trig = r/cos(d/r) - r = **10.67 feet (128.03 inches)** 10.669365487992764 Feet (128.03238585591316 Inches) (perpendicular to target surface)
- Drop calculated as 8 inches per mile squared = 8 * d*d / 12 = **10.67 feet (128 inches)** 10.666666666666666 Feet (128 Inches)

Tilt Angle is angle between 'up' at the camera and 'up' at the distant object
It is the amount a distant object is leaning away from you
It's the surface distance divided by the radius (in radians)
d/r = 21120.00/20903520 = 0.0010103562 radians, *180/PI = **0.0578891432** degrees
Or if the distance d is purely horizontal, it's asin(d/r)
asin(d/r) = asin(21120.00/20903520) = 0.0010103563+ radians, *180/PI = **0.0578891531** degrees

Horizon Dip is the angle that the horizon is below level as seen from the viewer height
It's arcsin(a/(r+h)), or arcsin(15838.00/(20903520.00+6.00)) = 0.00075767 radians, *180/PI = 0.04341137 degrees

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERzOdjOUEAAFPl2.jpg

2020-02-27T10:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232975477662371840

@PostDisclosure @_olligo_ Not from any disaster plan, but we could probably manage a month.

2020-02-27T01:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232841597764505606

@_olligo_ Yes, there's going to be local outbreaks in the US. It's quite possibly going to be quite

bad for a few months. But it's not going to lead to us all being ushered into FEMA camps.

2020-02-27T01:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232838907974148096

I wonder how many times the phrase "It's starting!" has been tweeted by apocalypse enthusiasts.

When will they notice that "it" never actually starts.

https://twitter.com/ShepardAmbellas/status/1232835377909526528

2020-02-26T21:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232782698881568768

@JWB16609547 Look towards the middle. There's a range of people out there. Some are very difficult to reach, but many are more open minded.

Plus, this was a fun little investigation. Even it is of little import, I think that every little helps.

2020-02-26T21:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232773943561318400

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuxXYrU0AAineg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuxXYrU0AAineg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuxOmwVAAAXKkX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuw3n6VAAAprrA.jpg

2020-02-26T21:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232772913457070080

Mad Mike wanted to be Evel Knievel, and met his end in almost the exact same way that nearly

finished off Knievel - premature chute deployment in a steam powered rocket.
See here the pilot chute ejected early, on the ramp, in 1972.
Evel's chute held.
https://youtu.be/wfJlybxSAOI?t=371

2020-02-26T19:39:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232752188281110528
I get things wrong all the time. Here I thought I'd figured out this ghostly reflection of a girl. But I was wrong. The real explanation was even simpler, but I'd jumped on the first thing that came to mind and got stuck on that.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=msxp77Plp20

2020-02-26T18:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232729485335597057
@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure It's made of people as in there's lots of different people who are involved in what you might call "science." Dogmas vary.

2020-02-26T17:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232725092389416960
@WinduChi It wasn't actually a satellite, it was a ICS-EF being discarded (into a decaying orbit, so it burns up). However satellites ARE deployed from the ISS.

ICS-EF's are the rectangular modules here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuEqQHVUAUrznP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERuEqQHVUAUrznP.jpg
2020-02-26T17:48:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232724149493104640
@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure Three reasons.
1) To demonstrate unequivocally that it does not work, because public spending is being wasted, and people's health put at risk.
2) Because they think it might work
3) Because they are paid by Boiron, or similar.

Science isn't a monolith. It's made of people.

2020-02-26T17:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232717718219964416

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure Why don't you say the point you are making? Save us all time.

2020-02-26T15:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232689153931792384

@WinduChi Explain the problem please.

2020-02-26T06:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232549395398197249

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure "They?"

Science isn't a homogenous bunch of people who decide to do studies or not.

2020-02-26T02:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232500314235752448

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure Check it.

2020-02-26T01:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232473321318404096

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure No. Holistic medicine is a combined approach to health, addressing general mental and physical health as well as individual symptoms.

Homeopathy involves an 18th century pseudoscientific practice of diluting things until all you have is water, and then claiming that's medicine.

2020-02-26T00:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232467973123665921

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure I think you might be confusing homeopathic and holistic.

Homeopathic medicine is essentially magic. There's nothing in it. Not figuratively, literally nothing in the pills besides the fillers (sugar, lactose). It's not herbal medicine at all.

It's ritualized dilution to zero.

2020-02-25T22:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232431322565529600

@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure Do you know how Oscillococcinum is made?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oscillococcinum

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERp5vJFUcAAQLyk.jpg

Preparation [edit]

The ingredients of a one-gram tube of Oscillococcinum are listed as follows:

- Active ingredient: *Anas Barbariae Hepatis et Cordis Extractum* (extract of Muscovy duck liver and heart) 200CK HPUS 1×10^{-400} g[11] which is much less than the mass of a proton (1.67×10^{-24} g[11]).
- Inactive ingredient: 0.85 g sucrose, 0.15 g lactose (100% sugar.[12])

The 200CK indicates that the preparation entails a series of 200 dilutions of the starting ingredient, an extract from the heart and liver of a Muscovy duck.[11] Each step entails a 1:100 dilution, where the first mixture contains 1% of the extract, the second contains 1% of the first mixture, etc.[11] The K indicates that it is prepared by the Korsakovian method, in which rather than 1% of the preparation being measured out at each stage and then diluted, a single vessel is repeatedly emptied, refilled, and vigorously shaken (in homeopathic terminology "succussed"), and it is assumed that 1% remains in the vessel each time.[13] The 200C dilution is so extreme that the final pill contains none of the original material.[11][14]

Oscillococcinum is generally considered harmless. When Boiron (the company that makes oscillococcinum) spokeswoman Gina Casey was asked if a product made from the heart and liver of a duck was safe, she replied: "Of course it is safe. There's nothing in it."[12]

Efficacy [edit]

There is no compelling scientific evidence that Oscillococcinum has any effect beyond placebo.[15] None of its active ingredient is present in a dose of the final product, nor is there any credible evidence that duck liver is effective in relieving (or causing) flu symptoms in the first place. Homeopaths claim the diluted molecules leave an "imprint" in the remedy, but there is no known mechanism for how this could occur.[11][16] Homeopathy as a whole is widely considered to be pseudoscience.[17]

As Robert L. Park, a critic of alternative medicine, explains, some of the characteristics of flu may suggest that Oscillococcinum works. Since the flu normally goes away on its own in a variable number of days, the natural course of the disease is a potential source of error in assessing the efficacy of any intervention: if one takes any medication, and then one's flu goes away, there is a tendency to attribute this to the medication even though the infection would have resolved anyway,[11] which is an example of the informal fallacy *post hoc ergo propter hoc*. Someone who gets over a mild strain of flu may attribute the mildness to the efficacy of the homeopathic preparation and not to the fact that it was a mild strain, and might recommend it to other people, spreading its popularity.[11] Also, the most likely explanation for its effectiveness with flu symptoms is that patients are misdiagnosing the symptoms of several rhinovirus diseases or of allergies to several hundred substances, and attributing them to a flu infection that they do not have.[11]

A 2005 review of flu treatments (vaccine, medicine, homeopathy) has concluded that the popularity of Oscillococcinum in France was unsupported by any evidence of efficacy.[18]

In a 2007 review, the effectiveness of non-mainstream remedies against seasonal flu could not be established beyond reasonable doubt, and the evidence is found to be sparse and limited by "small sample sizes, low methodological quality, or clinically irrelevant effect sizes", and that the results strengthen using conventional approaches for flu.[6]

A Cochrane review published in December 2015 found that there was insufficient evidence to make a conclusion about whether Oscillococcinum is useful for influenza.[15]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERp5vJFUcAAQLyk.jpg

2020-02-25T21:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232423056317939712

@PostDisclosure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERpyPCQVUAAo6G8.jpg

OCTOBER 30, 2015

18% of Americans say they've seen a ghost

BY MICHAEL LIPKA

On Saturday evening, many American children will encounter costumed ghosts as they roam the streets in search of candy and other treats on Halloween. Before bedtime, to avoid nightmares, some parents may try to reassure their kids that ghosts are not real.

But not all of those parents may buy their own reassurances: Nearly one-in-five U.S. adults (18%) say they've seen or been in the presence of a ghost, according to a 2009 Pew Research Center survey. An even greater share – 29% – say they have felt in touch with someone who has already died.

Claude Fischer, a professor of sociology at the University of California, Berkeley, explored Americans' persisting beliefs in some supernatural phenomena in a 2013 blog post.

"As we approach Halloween, note that *most* American adults in the 21st Century say that they believe in life after death and in the devil," Fischer wrote, citing data from Gallup and other sources. "Over one-third say that they believe in the spirits of the dead coming back; about that many also say they believe in haunted houses."



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERpyPCQVUAAo6G8.jpg
2020-02-25T21:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232422592952143873
@Fibonacci222 @PostDisclosure Homeopathic remedies don't work.

2020-02-25T21:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232410500597604353
@PostDisclosure So you think that ghosts, angels, and magic are also manifestations of something that is real? Do you think homeopathy works simply because lots of people think it worked for them?
Mass belief in something isn't always a good indicator it's correct.

2020-02-25T20:59:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1232409778921435136
@TripHopGIS @DotyWaller I had Doty muted (now blocked) as he is a troll.

2020-02-24T22:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232075617220186112

@EverywhereUfo @BeliefUniversal Other have already done so.

2020-02-24T18:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232016314534957058

@robcounts You can figure it out Rob. It's not incredibly complicated.

2020-02-24T18:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1232015663322951680

@BeliefUniversal Here is a photo of satellite deployment from the ISS.

https://www.nasa.gov/content/deploying-a-set-of-cubesats-from-the-international-space-station

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERj_mP0UYAUZG_q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERj_mP0UYAUZG_q.jpg

2020-02-23T21:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231699032415670272

Recent "UFO" seen from the ISS was just a satellite deployment.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0s4WfTwNVqI

2020-02-23T21:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231693633440497664

@ajreid Which would make it this hotel. Name obscured for those who enjoy geolocating :)

Sorry I'm not in NY right now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERfa0-QUcAAokna.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERfa0-QUcAAokna.jpg

2020-02-23T21:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231692419940278273

@ajreid I reckon somewhere around here :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERfZuI5UcAATjGJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERfZuI5UcAATjGJ.jpg

2020-02-23T20:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231681333153284096

@TripHopGIS @DotyWaller I don't think "blame" is the right word. He took risks, other people helped him take those risks. Ultimately his death was an accident. But what he was doing was not at all safe.

2020-02-23T19:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231668644028923904

@SwavZielin What angle?

2020-02-23T19:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231655372428992512

I met Mad Mike Hughes at his Flat Earth conference, but I don't think he was really a Flat Earther. He was the World's Most Famous Limo Driver, and he wanted to be Evel Knievel. He died trying, and everyone saw it coming.
https://metabunk.org/threads/michael-mad-mike-hughes-dies-in-rocket-malfunction.11125/

2020-02-22T20:17:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231311953785581569
@Oswald1160 @BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx Unfortunately I can't follow this conversation.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZ_ob9UYAIKNky.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZ_ob9UYAIKNky.jpg
2020-02-22T17:01:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231262845410480128
@ChilliFowler @tomdelonge Who are you not naming? Why not?

2020-02-22T17:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231262571526680576
@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx Why do you think that when 77 years of books on clouds say the opposite?

Even if you don't trust books, then WHERE do you get the belief that "normal contrails do not persist?"

Did someone tell you? Did you read it somewhere? YouTube?

What is your belief based on?

2020-02-22T16:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231262004158943232

Old books on clouds are some of the most accessible evidence to help people who think that persistent contrails are "chemtrails"

The challenge is to get the believers to look at the books.

But if they do, the spell is often broken.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1231260649239404546

2020-02-22T16:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231261304125456385

@ChilliFowler @tomdelonge So then there's no evidence it does anything. A book by a religious studies professor hardly seems like compelling evidence.

2020-02-22T16:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231260649239404546

@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx The oldest book I have in my collection that describes (and has photos of) persistent contrails is from 1943. That's 77 years ago

Clouds and Weather Phenomenon, C. J. P. Cave, 1943.

Do you think this book is fake? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZQ2NlUUAA5mb4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZQ2NgUcAACfKJ.jpg



10 CLOUDS AND WEATHER PHENOMENA

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed.

THE COLOUR OF THE SKY

Light consists of waves of the same nature as those used in

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZQ2NeUcAASwG2.jpg



Fig. 41. A very intense thunder-cloud about 70 miles distant; dark stratus in front

Fig. 42. Condensation trails

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERZQ2NlUUAA5mb4.jpg

2020-02-22T16:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231259259658067968

@ChilliFowler @tomdelonge Probably not the real deal. Where is it? Does it exist outside of stories?

2020-02-22T15:20:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231237222818410498
@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERY7t-nUwAE9EXH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERY7t-nUwAE9EXH.jpg
2020-02-22T03:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231052060864339969
@Fibonacci222 One cannot be constructively interested in everything.

It's interesting, but not something I feel the need to read about right now.

2020-02-22T02:35:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231044931122122752
#TFTRH Episode 40 has @Alien_Scientist on to talk about research into anti-gravity and warp drives, possibly alien related. We also dive deep into his 9/11 work - both theories and debunking.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A7ZF2TEO61U
Apple Podcast:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-40-jeremy-rys-alien-science-9-11-conspiracy/id1462120258?i=1000466331659

2020-02-22T02:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231043913328123904
@PostDisclosure @tomdelonge Elizondo isn't waiting. So why should we?

2020-02-22T02:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231043857896243200
@PostDisclosure @tomdelonge What I'm suggesting is that I might have identified that particular item.

2020-02-22T02:27:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1231042903008399360
@Bhima_Jenkins @tomdelonge I bet you $10 that it's ordinary thermite combustion byproducts.

If you are more than 50% sure it's not, then you should take the bet.

2020-02-22T02:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231042392704180224

@PostDisclosure @tomdelonge So why not say WHICH? Elizondo claims that they know that some of the items shown exhibited unusual properties. What's the point of hiding them in a bunch on mundane items?

2020-02-22T02:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231036974384869377

@Fibonacci222 Not interested, but I'm sure other people are.

2020-02-22T02:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231036854935310337

@PostDisclosure @tomdelonge Kind of a get-out-of-jail-free thing there. Why didn't he show a slide with items he actually thought (or knew, through their testing) were interesting?

2020-02-22T01:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231032855884492801

@DrNormanBergrun @tomdelonge Because many people take them seriously.

2020-02-22T01:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1231032537239015426

@Bhima_Jenkins @tomdelonge It's not an iron bar. It's a rather specific object - the reaction product of burning iron oxide and aluminum (i.e. thermite) in a flower pot.

2020-02-21T21:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230966464623964161

@HitzTristan It's not high on my list of priorities, but if there was an opportunity, I'd certainly be interested.

2020-02-21T21:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230965424600125441

This bit of "exotic material" from @tomdelonge's TTSA looks remarkably similar to a couple of samples I have in my collection!

I suspect a similar formation mechanism using a conic section ceramic vessel. Probably also has lots of iron microspheres. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERVD8iQU4AEgOwr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERVD8iQU4AEgOwr.jpg
2020-02-21T20:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230952760943632385
@jonnyindy67 No. But he looks like just another free-energy scammer.

2020-02-21T20:26:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230951984670265344
@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx You claimed that contrails cannot persist, and that high bypass engines don't make contrails.

Your claims are wrong.

2020-02-21T17:21:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230905429414178816
@Bulma__Brief @Jordan_Sather_ Interest in "Qanon" has declined since 2018, when it was briefly more popular than Flat Earth.
Although it's not clear if that indicates belief or just interest.
https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?date=2017-08-21%202020-02-21&geo=US&q=Qanon,Flat%20Earth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERUNyVoUwAA_cw9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERUNyVoUwAA_cw9.jpg
2020-02-21T17:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230904403743268865
@Bulma__Brief @Jordan_Sather_ The 0.1% is just the frequency of Q in words in the dictionary. That has not changed.

Searches for Q have not really changed. over the last 5 years.
https://trends.google.com/trends/explore?date=today%205-y&geo=US&q=Q
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERUMxw3UcAAfij4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERUMxw3UcAAfij4.jpg
2020-02-21T15:36:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230879015633747969
@Bulma__Brief @Jordan_Sather_ You should check that.

2020-02-20T16:46:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230534304058486786
@try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis It's continuous. So pick a vertical difference and you can calculate that length.

Over a few feet the difference is less than the size of a water molecule. So ESSENTIALLY flat.

That's why float glass is flat.

2020-02-20T16:44:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230533640662077440
@try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis Here's some key points to consider, since you like Wikipedia as a source. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERO7xMhVAAA50_O.jpg

---

(Iceland) to −106 m (southern India), less than 200 m total.[2]

If the ocean were isopycnic (of constant density) and undisturbed by tides, currents or weather, its surface would closely approximate the geoid. The permanent deviation between the geoid and mean sea level is called ocean surface topography. If the continental land masses were crisscrossed by a series of tunnels or canals, the sea level in those canals would also very nearly coincide with the geoid. In reality, the geoid does not have a physical meaning under the continents, but geodesists are able to derive the heights of continental points above this imaginary, yet physically defined, surface by spirit leveling.



Geoid undulation in false color, to scale.



1. Ocean
2. Reference ellipsoid
3. Local plumb line
4. Continent
5. Geoid

Being an equipotential surface, the geoid is, by definition, a surface to which the force of gravity is everywhere perpendicular. That means that when traveling by ship, one does not notice the undulations of the geoid; the local vertical (plumb line) is always perpendicular to the geoid and the local horizon tangential to it. Likewise, spirit levels will always be parallel to the geoid.

On a long voyage, spirit leveling indicates height variations even though the ship is always at sea level (neglecting the effects of tides). That is because GPS satellites, orbiting about the center of gravity of the Earth, can measure heights only relative to a geocentric reference ellipsoid. To obtain one's geoidal height, a raw GPS reading must be corrected. Conversely, height determined by spirit leveling from a tidal measurement station, as in traditional land surveying, is always geoidal height. Modern GPS receivers have a grid implemented in the source of the geoid (e.g. EGM-96) height over the World Geodetic System (WGS) ellipsoid from the current position. Then, they are able to correct the height above WGS ellipsoid to the height above EGM96 geoid. When height is not zero on a ship, the discrepancy is caused by other factors such as ocean tides, atmospheric pressure (meteorological effects) and local sea surface topography.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERO7xMhVAAA50_O.jpg

2020-02-20T16:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230531790265184257

@stormcloud2010 How can you tell that from looking at my photo?

2020-02-20T16:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230531680349245441

@try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis Click on the word "elevation" in the article you linked, and read all about that.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elevation

For extra points, drill down to "geoid"

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geoid

Then report back with your findings.

2020-02-20T15:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230518448771387393

@sfinn80 @try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis It's always interesting to see just how intractable someone is.

2020-02-20T15:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230518010550444032

The Bedford Level is level. Yet it is demonstrably curved.

Lake Pontchartrain is level, yet it is demonstrably curved.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EROtlmwUcAAVyj3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EROtlmwUcAAVyj3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EROtkw8U4AA7oMH.jpg
2020-02-20T15:11:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230510253822767104
@try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis Or course there is. You can measure it, if you want to.

Are you seriously going to try to make an argument based on a cherry picked dictionary definition of "level"?

Words don't define reality, empirical evidence defines reality.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EROmY1nU4AEI47H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EROmY1nU4AEI47H.jpg
2020-02-20T15:02:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230508016795037696
@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx Jeremiah, where are you getting your knowledge of contrails from? Are you ignoring 100 years of well documented verifiable science for a good reason? Have you even LOOKED at the books on clouds? Here's 70 years worth in 3 minutes: https://youtu.be/X72uACIN_00

2020-02-20T03:10:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230328921477898240
@try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @SigmaCollinis Instead of parsing word, you'd be better off trying to figure out what's actually happening when you look down a pool cue.

Does it A) make a straight cue look curved? Or B) make a slightly curved cue look more curved?

2020-02-19T20:10:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230223205979181056
@MrReali05459432 @hugh_bothwell @USMCNoggin @maxsec @try_thinking @keigh_see @jesse_kozlowski @Frequen15309040 @Kompromat9 @AaronBurrell23 @mikeonthebayou @alastairjallen1 @FlatSlugbrains @rawlinson92 @badibulgator @GodKingNobody @poeticbulldozer @JBadass408 @BostonsBuddha @doc_lamb @Harley_Dogg @floyd_marston @GerbusJames @citizenscienti3 @dwolfman54 @PeterLinnMusic @ColdDimSum @bigfootRULE @CollinOctantis @TheUpriser1 @LaikaAndYuri @AitorCM2018 @Shaved_Beav @Tinman_73 @IFDrinkLib @wiguy45 @cbridger954 @DenEvigeEtter @Corax_The_Raven @def732dan @DeanKo @bikinatroll @doctorbuttons @forthemasses @KayeTatton @nikocari @robbiederman198 @Freak0nline @dimamynedd @Mctoon27 I'm not watching your videos.

Draw a diagram. Introduce suitable notation. Explain the issue with words, referring to the diagram. Post the diagram, and the words, not in video form.

2020-02-19T20:07:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1230222436013989888

@BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx Contrails are not from hot air. They are clouds triggered by the water vapor in the exhaust. All contrails, including persistent contrails, are just clouds - the same temperature as the surrounding air.

I STRONGLY encourage you to read about how contrails work before proceeding

---

2020-02-19T17:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230189162990489600

@Berserk97981716 @mikeb8637 (Bledsoe, that is, I'd still like to go on a CE-5 expedition)

2020-02-19T17:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230188961877745664

@Berserk97981716 @mikeb8637 I don't have any plans to do that.

2020-02-19T17:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230188802859094018

@MrReali05459432 @hugh_bothwell @USMCNoggin @maxsec @try_thinking @keigh_see @jesse_kozlowski @Frequen15309040 @Kompromat9 @AaronBurrell23 @mikeonthebayou @alastairjallen1 @FlatSlugbrains @rawlinson92 @badibulgator @GodKingNobody @poeticbulldozer @JBadass408 @BostonsBuddha @doc_lamb @Harley_Dogg @floyd_marston @GerbusJames @citizenscienti3 @dwolfman54 @PeterLinnMusic @ColdDimSum @bigfootRULE @CollinOctantis @TheUpriser1 @LaikaAndYuri @AitorCM2018 @Shaved_Beav @Tinman_73 @IFDrinkLib @wiguy45 @cbridger954 @DenEvigeEtter @Corax_The_Raven @def732dan @DeanKo @bikinatroll @doctorbuttons @forthemasses @KayeTatton @nikocari @robbiederman198 @Freak0nline @dimamynedd @Mctoon27 Draw a diagram.

2020-02-19T17:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1230176890259771393

Beware of cheap products on Amazon with loads of 5-star reviews. Sometimes they are (illegally) paid for.

Also @LockPickingLwyr is awesome!

@LockPickingLwyr

2020-02-19T02:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229949096124809216

@DJThermalD @drbairdonline @Harnaik9 A powerful underground fire is going to look volcano-like. Especially when dark. Coal seam fires are good examples, just burning hydrocarbons. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERGoHciU8AA1t5a.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERGoHciU8AA1t5a.jpg

2020-02-19T01:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229936491544973312

@drbairdonline @Harnaik9 The thermite idea dates back to people theorizing that the collapse of the core was due to a pool of molten iron. Needing a source of that molten iron, they hit on thermite. Super-thermite followed.

But explosive thermite would scatter and likely reoxidize iron. So not helping.

2020-02-18T22:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229891455725920256

@ShaneRapp17 But contrails can spread out and stay in the air for hours.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/

2020-02-18T22:07:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229890158012456960

@Kitty20659976 @zcichy @UfoIssue @LtTimMcMillan @blackvaultcom I replied with some detailed questions, but go no response. I also sent it to him via email. I sent similar questions to the showrunner. Also no response. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFyMVLUYAAEjcm.jpg

---



Mick West 7 months ago

Hi Ross,

Thank you for your reply!

I absolutely agree with you that it's very unlikely that we are alone in the vast universe. However, I wanted to restrict my questions to this one "Go Fast" video segment, in particular, the claims made on the show where you appeared as an expert.

The video does NOT show anything jumping in and out of the water. In fact, it shows an object maintaining an altitude of around 13,000 feet.

The velocity is not 100 knots. It's difficult to pin an exact figure on it, but it is almost certainly less than 50 knots, and possible in the 20-40 knot range. As you know 50 knots is a not-unreasonable reasonable differential in wind velocity between 25,000 feet and 13,000 feet, so a balloon drifting in the wind might explain it. Another possibility is a large seabird, as the size analysis seems to indicate something around 6 feet across.

Could you possibly supply some details regarding the analysis you did? Did you take any measurements? Did you perform any modeling or geometric analysis?

Finally, there's a moment on the show when Chris Cook says "it's a little less than two-thirds the speed of sound". This was portrayed as being about the object, however, the jet's speed was on display as 0.62 M, which is also "a little less than two-thirds the speed of sound", so it seems like the show producers might have inadvertently taken this number and applied it to the object, which actually seems much slower. Can you confirm this?

Thank you very much for your help in resolving this matter,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFyMVLUYAAEjcm.jpg

2020-02-18T22:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229889532490735616

@Kitty20659976 @zcichy @UfoIssue @LtTimMcMillan @blackvaultcom Yes, Ross was one of the "experts" who got it wrong. He also commented on my Go-Fast YouTube video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFyAOSUUAEqhH1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFyAOSUUAEqhH1.jpg

2020-02-18T21:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229885336165027840

@BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx Persistence is determined by the atmospheric conditions. Like if it can support persistent clouds.

Ever seen a persistent cloud? Same thing.

2020-02-18T21:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229885100151562240

@BlauweStorm @Oswald1160 @MaxHeadxxx Of course they do. Exhaust contrails are made from the engine exhaust. Prop planes have engine exhaust, so, if they go high enough they make contrails.

That said, prop planes quite often fly lower and make aerodynamic contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFt4uhU4AA1tJ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERFt4uhU4AA1tJ1.jpg

2020-02-18T20:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229866844422459392

@SigmaCollinis @ResistanceBlue1 @try_thinking He doesn't seem to be doing it very well. He seems to be just posting my images, and saying he's a bit confused by them.

2020-02-18T15:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229788570103107584

@ResistanceBlue1 No.

2020-02-18T13:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229760201332416512

@BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx and 100000...% passes by the outside of the engine.

The bypass air is just part of the outside air, just pushed by the fan.

The thing that makes contrails is the exhaust mixing with outside air.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ERD8SNxUEAATrz0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ERD8SNxUEAATrz0.jpg

2020-02-18T05:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229640865322192897

@bob_kitt https://www.google.com/search?q=crown+flash

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERCP2JoUYAAyo72.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ERCP2JoUYAAyo72.jpg

2020-02-18T01:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229585000024047617

@GabeMaiberger @vandrinkseens @warriorofwoke I use Ciddor in the more complex (and accurate) refraction simulator.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/simulating-atmospheric-refraction.7881/

2020-02-18T00:40:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229566216613687296
@BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx They you would know that the exhaust of a high-bypass jet engine makes a contrail just like the exhaust of a low-bypass jet engine.

The bypass air isn't part of the exhaust, it's just air being pushed passed the jet turbine.

2020-02-17T22:15:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229529816430305280
More details in this video - including pics from space!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6A-Cw8jVBk

2020-02-17T22:12:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229529155714179073
@DeBunKerEtoiles See also: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6A-Cw8jVBk

---

2020-02-17T22:11:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229528732672512000
@BlauweStorm @MaxHeadxxx All of the intake to the actual jet turbine goes through the combustion chamber. The bypass air is just air, exactly like the air flying past the engine.

2020-02-17T22:09:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229528272599302144
Crazy contrails over Sacramento formed during ground stop at LAX earlier today. Cool @flightradar24 animation, and views of the contrail loops from space!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V6A-Cw8jVBk

2020-02-17T18:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229467693125750785
This was caused by a temporary ground stop due to weather at LAX (the marine layer, fog)

2020-02-17T17:53:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229463919963426817
@specrtre Just contrails.

2020-02-17T17:50:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229463089260556288
This was actually one of many planes in holding patterns, as LAX traffic was diverted for a while
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ_uJyiUUAAdOLk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ_uJyiUUAAdOLk.jpg

2020-02-17T17:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229459428073541633

Some interesting contrails near Sacramento caused by Alaskan 2862 doing a couple of 360 turns on its way to LAX. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ_q1JcU8AEMk4G.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ_q1JcU8AEMk4G.jpg

2020-02-16T22:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229166890796208128

@TheChilterns @UfoIssue @LtTimMcMillan @DeepStateDan No really, I was just being helpful. Book scanning is just something I'm interested in. I'm often scanning cloud books and 9/11

books, and they are often unwieldy.
I don't doubt Tim at all.

And on a lighter note, it reminded me of this:
https://youtu.be/rIz_xhYK2Mo?t=11

2020-02-16T20:59:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229148236104888320
@TheChilterns @UfoIssue @LtTimMcMillan @DeepStateDan I'm just giving some helpful book-copying tips, is all. Chillax.

2020-02-16T20:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229144047580672000
@TheChilterns @LtTimMcMillan @DeepStateDan You're missing the point.

Yes, I would trust him to no lie to me when recounting his memory of what was in the report.

That does not mean I believe everything that is written in the report. A book of unverifiable evidence is very weak evidence.

2020-02-16T20:40:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229143586551152640
@UfoIssue @LtTimMcMillan @TheChilterns @DeepStateDan He said "it's not something you can easily copy", so I was demonstrating how you could easily copy it.
Permission is another issue.

2020-02-16T20:39:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229143306505834497
@YouVacuum @PostDisclosure @JasonColavito Well for all practical purposes our understanding of the world is based on evidence, reasoning, and the foibles of our brain. Determinism, solipsism, and whatnot are just irrelevances to both daily life and human history. I will talk of it no more, as it's a waste of time.

2020-02-16T20:35:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229142338523422720
@TheChilterns @LtTimMcMillan @DeepStateDan I don't think he's lying. And he does not seem like the type of person to fake a photo.

2020-02-16T20:15:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229137243245146112
@TheChilterns @LtTimMcMillan @DeepStateDan I would happily have accepted the scans as genuine scans of a book, if Tim said that's what they were.

Being written down does not make something "genuine"

2020-02-16T20:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229136877875122176

@YouVacuum @PostDisclosure @JasonColavito Fun stuff to think about, and I've got my copy of GÃ¶del, Escher, Bach right here on my bookshelf. I read it 40 years ago.
But I don't consider these things relevant to the topic at hand. The nitty-gritty here is seeing if we can observe good evidence of aliens.

2020-02-16T20:07:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229135155681325056
@LtTimMcMillan @TheChilterns @DeepStateDan Or, even easier, especially if access time is limited, just put your phone on a tripod, record in 4K in good lighting, and just turn all the pages as fast as you can. Like this (except in 4k):
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1229135119853555712/pu/img/1sW4OgPiW21iDJQW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1229135119853555712/pu/img/1sW4OgPiW21iDJQW.jpg
2020-02-16T19:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1229133337064656897
@LtTimMcMillan @TheChilterns @DeepStateDan You could (fairly) easily copy it with a book scanner. Two seconds per page. $289
https://www.amazon.com/CZUR-Document-Auto-Flatten-Technology-Compatible/dp/B07RKJ2V3N/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ7CQUGU8AAHmCL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ7CQUGU8AAHmCL.jpg

2020-02-16T19:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229128745836920832

@YouVacuum @PostDisclosure @JasonColavito Biology doesn't "understand" anything. But math is everywhere. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ694dAVAAAr2Vj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ694dAVAAAr2Vj.jpg

2020-02-16T19:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229127553698238464

@TheChilterns @DwynofNYC @joerogan There's no plans yet. I just said I would do it, if reasonably local and straightforward. I'm open tom suggestions. I'm in Sacramento. I would bring my own equipment (cameras, tripods, binoculars, flashlights, warm clothes)

2020-02-16T19:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229126786975330306

@YouVacuum @PostDisclosure @JasonColavito Everyone has deja vu. It just seems to be the flexible pattern-matching and recall generation that goes on in the brain.

2020-02-16T18:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229114418404843520

@EngagingThe @DwynofNYC @joerogan I'd follow the evidence wherever it leads.

If you see something anomalous, there's SOME explanation - so i'd try to figure out what the explanation is. I think telepathic alien encounters are VERY unlikely, but not 100% impossible.

2020-02-16T17:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229102588206825472

@randallme @PostDisclosure For paradigm shifts I require a somewhat higher standard of evidence than for mundane stuff.

And the available evidence for UFOs is FAR below that of planes. They don't fly over my house, for example.

If one did, I'd certainly consider multiple options.

2020-02-16T17:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1229101946826444801

@DwynofNYC @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure Can you show one where he zooms in to show what the object looks like?

2020-02-15T19:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228765821180112897

@gammaraybeam @zcichy @KikPlenty @dwynofnyc @DrStevenGreer I'm near Sacramento. I'd love to attend a CE-5 event, and see what people get out of it. But I don't want to have spend a day travelling to get there.

2020-02-15T19:34:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228764544824037376

@zcichy @KikPlenty @dwynofnyc @DrStevenGreer Don't they have CE-5 in NorCal? I wouldn't want to travel too much.

Greer's thing looks like around $3,000 plus travel. But I don't really want to spend a week in the desert meditating.

http://siriusdisclosure.com/expeditions/expeditions-w-dr-greer/registration-information/

2020-02-15T19:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228762402553909248

@KikPlenty @dwynofnyc How much does a good CE-5 cost nowadays? And where do people do them?

2020-02-15T19:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228760403296997378

@BobSmit20032226 @PostDisclosure If it convinced me, then how could I explain it out of existence? I'd have to pretend not to be convinced. I'd have to lie, which is not something that I do - my entire communication philosophy is based on honesty.

2020-02-15T19:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228759830728282112

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure Sorry. But if that were true it would be trivially easy to demonstrate consistently, and investigate, and revolutionize science.

I'm not going to waste time with it. Most UFO folk wouldn't either.

2020-02-15T19:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228759091826151424

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure Then replace that word with "think." Same thing. I think I would be wasting my time - but I invite evidence to the contrary.

2020-02-15T19:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228758130152001536

@randallme @PostDisclosure I see it all the time. I saw what looked like a plane fly over my

house earlier. I don't doubt it was a plane.

2020-02-15T19:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228757902447411200

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure Well, without any evidence of that, I'm not going to waste my time.

I mean, I've heard great things from people who paid to see Braco The Gazer, but I feel my time and money would be likewise wasted there.

2020-02-15T19:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228757319841804289

@PostDisclosure I'd be very surprised. 8/10

2020-02-15T19:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228757123158302720

@randallme @PostDisclosure Sure I will, just show me some good evidence.

2020-02-15T19:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228756379717947392

@GalaxyReport False balance. Just because there are several people actively pushing one fringe point of view, it does not mean they are onto something if there isn't a similar (or larger) set of people actively pushing back.

2020-02-15T18:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228755273071742976

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure What was your last experience like?

2020-02-15T18:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228755012370649088

@PostDisclosure That depends on the nature of that "forensic evidence"

2020-02-15T17:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228739008907268096

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ1bmwXU8AAp1Pu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQ1bmwXU8AAp1Pu.jpg

2020-02-14T22:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228443194792169473

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan No, I'm not telling you that. That's all your words, not mine.

2020-02-14T22:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228442876494802944

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan An unidentified sighting that causes lots of discussion and raised emotions, anger, leaked videos, etc, is of importance even if it's a mistaken sighting of something relatively mundane.

Really we need far more context to this general's statement. Which we probably won't get.

2020-02-14T22:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228442150431387648

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan I'm not sure what you are asking. But based on what Tim said, it would seem like he would have disclosed if he thought it was US tech. Unless there's some ATS stuff going on.

2020-02-14T22:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228440250340429824

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Possible, but unlikely.

2020-02-14T22:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228439823439917056

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan You are not disagreeing with anything I said.

2020-02-14T22:03:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228439575204249601

@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport And in this experiment I did with some candles.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1228439434011406337/pu/img/0N8nhBDDkfz8smnP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1228439434011406337/pu/img/0N8nhBDDkfz8smnP.jpg
2020-02-14T22:01:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228439201936314368
@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport Yes, as seen by this image of an A340.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxKyRHVAAAG2G3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxKyRHVAAAG2G3.jpg
2020-02-14T22:00:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228438832669835264
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan I think the most likely thing is that, as far as he knew, that

statement was true.

2020-02-14T21:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228438220242710528

@GalaxyReport @YouVacuum Here the red dot is the actual size of the flashlight. The glare is far bigger. and this is a 3024x4032 pixel sensor, in focus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxJxPAVUAAU-cA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxJxPAVUAAU-cA.jpg

2020-02-14T21:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228436973804670976

@GalaxyReport @YouVacuum I'm not sure how any of this matters. Glare happens on very high resolution sensors with perfect focus - if the exposure is bright enough.

The problem is the sky is super cold (in IR), the exhaust is super hot and tiny. It's like a flashlight in a dim room exposed for the room.

2020-02-14T21:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228436459117400064

@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Military officials can "lie" (give cover stories) if asked about classified things in a setting that does not match the security classification/compartment

I don't think he lied, that's just a possibility. If there WAS a TS-SCI level program, maybe he couldn't say, at that time

---

2020-02-14T21:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228435297626509312

@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis @Deepneuron Which bit? Over the years I've

made a variety of videos on the Gimbal clip, giving possible explanations for various aspects. They can be found here, along with videos on Go-Fast, and Flir1 (Nimitz)
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2020-02-14T21:35:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228432624542052352
@five4life @The_1Truth20 @SEye777 @QSimpleAnswers @Michael27452480 @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @MagickalG @koko58131745 @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Some areas of the US are far cloudier than others. The health differences are negligible.

2020-02-14T21:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228432412012474368
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Lie? What are you referring to?

2020-02-14T21:34:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228432235029647360
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Yes it would.

But step back a bit here. How to you know exactly what was said in what context? A reporter says that an "insider" says that a general said the some objects were not secret US technology.

That really means nothing without context.

2020-02-14T21:31:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228431590037917696
@GalaxyReport @YouVacuum You know the resolution of this video. It's not a lot.

2020-02-14T21:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228431373586722816
@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis @Deepneuron Yes, see here.
https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2004-nimitz-flir1-video

This is false, because at a distance the flare from the engines is (visually) far bigger than any plume. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxDjQIUEAEXkWf.jpg

---

As the video starts, the sensor is in infrared "white-hot" mode—white elements in the display are warmer than the dark, or cooler, areas. The UAP appears as a white shape in the middle of the screen. The chasing aircraft is in a left-hand turn flying Mach 0.55 at 19,990 feet altitude. The UAP is flying slightly above (6 degrees) and 4 degrees to the right of the Super Hornet. Pilot interviews state that the UAP was hovering at 24,000 feet.

Note that there is no visible exhaust plume from the object. Mid-wave infrared images of hovering aircraft, such as the AV-8B Harrier, would clearly reveal an exhaust plume if one was present.

At **0:15**, the weapon sensor operator (WSO) changes the zoom from 1.0 to 2.0, making the object much larger on screen.

At **0:18**, the WSO changes to TV mode and the zoom resets to 1.0. At 19 seconds, the operator changes the zoom to 2.0. In the visual band, the object appears dark against the bright daytime sky and is clearly oblong shaped.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxDjQIUEAEXkWf.jpg
2020-02-14T21:27:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228430603269238784
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan No, because the briefing of classified info is restricted, and expected. The Senate intelligence Committee is often privy to classified information.
https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/about/rules-procedure
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxC6qcUYAAxhhc.jpg

9.6. Access to classified information supplied to the Committee shall be limited to those Committee staff members with appropriate security clearance and a need-to-know, as determined by the Committee, and, under the Committee's direction, the Staff Director and Minority Staff Director.

9.7. No member of the Committee or of the Committee staff shall disclose, in whole or in part or by way of summary, the contents of any classified or committee sensitive papers, materials, briefings, testimony, or other information received by, or in the possession of, the Committee to any other person, except as specified in this rule. Committee members and staff do not need prior approval to disclose classified or committee sensitive information to persons in the Executive branch, the members and staff of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, and the members and staff of the Senate, provided that the following conditions are met: (1) for classified information, the recipients of the information must possess appropriate security clearances (or have access to the information by virtue of their office); (2) for all information, the recipients of the information must have a need-to-know such information for an official governmental purpose; and (3) for all information, the Committee members and staff who provide the information must be engaged in the routine performance of Committee legislative or oversight duties. Otherwise, classified and committee sensitive information may only be disclosed to persons outside the Committee (to include any congressional committee, Member of Congress, congressional staff, or specified non-governmental persons who support intelligence activities) with the prior approval of the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Committee, or the Staff Director and Minority Staff Director acting on their behalf, consistent with the requirements that classified information may only be disclosed to persons with appropriate security clearances and a need-to-know such information for an official governmental purpose. Public disclosure of classified information in the possession of the Committee may only be authorized in accordance with Section 8 of S. Res. 400 of the 94th Congress.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxC6qcUYAAxhhc.jpg
2020-02-14T21:21:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228429076727095296
@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport It's a great question, because it illustrates a huge misunderstanding that TTSA has promulgated.

In IR, distant jets look like blobs, because the IR source (the engine) is very hot, but very small

in the image. You see the flare, not the plane, not the exhaust.

Here, to scale. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxBmtPU0AUGhe-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQxBmtPU0AUGhe-.jpg

2020-02-14T21:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228427068590850048

@YouVacuum @GalaxyReport I think:

A) The radar returns were probably problems with the system, likely weather related (hence drifting with the wind and teleporting)

B) The video is probably of a far distant plane, the movement from the camera moving. Unrelated.

C) I don't know what Fravor saw.

2020-02-14T21:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228426507296501760

@GalaxyReport @LtTimMcMillan Of course they saw "something." but I'll reserve the "probably"s for individual cases. As they all seem kinda different.

2020-02-14T21:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228426270314094593

@GalaxyReport You fly with pilots who make mistakes. Some of those pilots make fatal mistakes. It occasionally happens.

https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a463865.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw_LbVUcAAgTZp.jpg



hese fidence, complacency, and misplaced motivation.
ded, *Adverse physiological states.* Equally important, however,
t, in are those adverse physiological states that preclude the
ron- safe conduct of flight. Particularly important to aviation
olete are conditions such as spatial disorientation, visual illu-
ices, sions, hypoxia, illness, intoxication, and a whole host of
ectly pharmacological and medical abnormalities known to
affect performance. It is important to understand that
conditions like spatial disorientation are physiological
states that cannot be turned on or off – they just exist.
's to As a result, these adverse physiological states often lead
orms to the commission of unsafe acts like perceptual errors.
irst, For instance, it is not uncommon in aviation for a pilot
l are to become spatially disoriented (adverse physiological
nent state) and subsequently misjudge the aircraft's pitch or
son, attitude (perceptual error), resulting in a loss of control
clas- and/or collision with the terrain.
/her *Physical and/or mental limitations.* The third and final
wed category of substandard conditions, physical/mental limi-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw_LbVUcAAgTZp.jpg

2020-02-14T21:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228424768610693120

@GalaxyReport @LtTimMcMillan I'm no sure who this "SAP Manager" is. Can you quote what he said, that you think I disagree with?

2020-02-14T21:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228423819636797441

@GalaxyReport You appear to be paraphrasing me incorrectly.

Highly trained genius level experts with decades of experience sometimes make mistakes. To suggest someone made a mistake is not a slur on their abilities, simply an observation of something that happens.

2020-02-14T20:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228423179791503361

@GalaxyReport Obviously UFOs would be an area of great interest, as we prefer thing to be identified and understood. Also, they might be dangerous. Like the Fu-Go balloons, 75 years ago.

https://www.history.com/news/attack-of-japans-killer-wwii-balloons-70-years-ago

---

2020-02-14T20:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228422050508759040

@CrewCut23566433 @GalaxyReport More like "I think it's a wild goose chase, so I stop there"

Hey, keep digging, but a Top Secret classification is a hard hurdle to overcome.

2020-02-14T20:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228420863193542656

@GalaxyReport Is it hard to believe buddy-buddy (or self-serving) deals exist in government spending? It's pretty common. Referred to as "pork." Related to "earmarks"

For example, Ted Steven's "Bridge to Nowhere", a $398 Million bridge to an island with 50 people.

2020-02-14T20:43:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228419629216722944

@barnscrapings @QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Here's the satellite image from around an hour ago. I'm in the red square.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw5HwXU4AAuJh1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw5HwXU4AAuJh1.jpg
2020-02-14T20:41:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228419077166600192
@barnscrapings @QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Some high cirrus bands, no visible contrails, but it's possible they contributed earlier.
Nothing that hasn't been photographed for 100+ years.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw4YyTUcAAt8Fm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQw4YyTUcAAt8Fm.jpg
2020-02-14T20:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228418645191081984
@barnscrapings @QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney

@MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 I go outside several times a day. I live out in the country, and like to observe planes and contrails.

Let me go check what it's like now. BRB.

2020-02-14T20:16:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228412627325308928
@Loggerhead_ @QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 No I'm not bored. I find it a fascinating challenge, to try to communicate why high bypass jet engines make contrails, just like low bypass jet engines.

2020-02-14T20:14:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228412305190187008
@Phil_Johnson_ Yeah, but then you've also got John 20:24-29

'Jesus said to him, â□□Because you have seen Me, have you believed? Blessed are they who did not see, and yet believed.â□□'

Which complicates things a bit.

2020-02-14T20:12:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228411749545590784
@jimpan666 @powerm1985 Just don't do it first. Let some other folk make sure the embers are right.

2020-02-14T19:42:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228404141463965697
@QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue

@RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 You are missing the point.
The amount of bypass air is irrelevant, it's just like the air flowing around the outside of the engine.
The exhaust is what makes the contrail when it mixes with air. The bypass air is just air, it's not part of the exhaust.

2020-02-14T19:21:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228398985884258304
@QSimpleAnswers Rainmaking is local weather modification, it's not a secret, never has been. They teach it in school in rural areas.
It not geoengineering, which is altering the GLOBAL CLIMATE, not local weather.

2020-02-14T18:51:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228391397981487104
@QSimpleAnswers @dlnarch @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 Read those articles. See where they say the aluminum is coming from, and in what form. Report back.

2020-02-14T18:50:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228391125771120640
@QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @TrumpetPump @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 That's not an essay on weather modification. It's Luke Howards essay on clouds. "Modification" here just means how clouds change form over time (like, individual cumulus change into stratocumulus, etc).
It's not semantics. It's a 200 year old book.

2020-02-14T18:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228390385778475008
@QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745

@barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 All jet engines utilize exhaust, that's how they get thrust.
I think you might be confusing a turbofan with an afterburner.
A turbofan simply means some of the energy from the jet of exhaust gasses is used to turn the compressor. The exhaust still exits out the back.

2020-02-14T17:41:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228373766083764224
@QSimpleAnswers @MagickalG @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Yes, it's the air that's part of the combustion process that makes the contrail. The other air is just air, either flying around the engine, or through the fan.

EXHAUST makes contrails. Bypass air is just air.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EQwPaAZU4AAOeUi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EQwPaAZU4AAOeUi.jpg

2020-02-14T17:08:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228365346928652288
@QSimpleAnswers So where was it detected?

2020-02-14T17:05:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228364683163234304
RT @BTRadford: My new article discusses myths, misinformation, and rumors about the coronavirus: "When people are frightened by diseases, tâ¦

2020-02-14T16:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228362430222192640
@QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @TrumpetPump @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 No. At least not deliberately. Semantically you could argue that CO2 emissions and other pollution, as well as land use, are a form for inadvertent, unintended, geoengineering.
Research is ongoing regarding possible future deliberate geoengineering. No spraying yet.

2020-02-14T16:54:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228361944718929920
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan What do you mean by "real?"

Also, is the general allowed to lie to protect national security?

2020-02-14T16:52:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228361471270117376
@QSimpleAnswers @Olaf51362685 @MollyGalt @Oswald1160 @TrumpetPump @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 Aluminum oxide exists in nature. It's (essentially) one of the most common components of rock. It's about 8% of the ground.

2020-02-14T16:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228360965730627589
@YouVacuum @LtTimMcMillan Excessive government secrecy, overclassification, is a problem that infects many domains. Here, (regarding UFOs) it keeps things tantalizingly in the realm of hints and clues, allowing multiple interpretations.

https://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/07/opinion/national-security-and-americas-unnecessary-secrets.html

---

2020-02-14T16:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228360144171327488
@QSimpleAnswers @Oswald1160 @TrumpetPump @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Cirrus clouds are in the oldest of books on clouds (217 years ago) . They are not new.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQwC99wVUAEAeQA.jpg



CUMULOSTRATUS FORMING; FINE WEATHER CIRRI ABOVE.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQwC5TIUEAEAMN2.jpg

ESSAY

ON THE

MODIFICATIONS OF CLOUDS.

By LUKE HOWARD, F.R.S., &c.

[FIRST PUBLISHED 1803.]

THIRD EDITION.



LONDON:
JOHN CHURCHILL & SONS, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.
MDCCCLXV.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQwC99wVUAEAeQA.jpg
2020-02-14T16:28:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228355314312863744
@Oswald1160 @TrumpetPump @QSimpleAnswers @DanPeacock12 @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue

@RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 And of course, contrails are just cirrus clouds. Here's a version of the graphic with contrails added in the right spot. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv-d2YU0AEtpty.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv-d2YU0AEtpty.jpg
2020-02-14T16:26:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228354875597045760
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan No, I think there multiple things going on here. I don't think AATIP is related, say, to the new Navy reporting guidelines, or to all the congressional things mentioned.

I'd encourage fiduciary oversight, but "government misspending tax dollars" is hardly news.

2020-02-14T16:23:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228354169318199296
@DJThermalD @Scribe1969 @WayneCoste @dchandlerpdx Well we have the flight path of the plane from the radar data. I'm not even sure from a conventional investigation point-of-view what benefit there would be to video of the plane. The priorities of the investigation unfortunately did not include placating conspiracy theorists.

2020-02-14T16:20:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228353432471273472
@RobAgs0817 @LtTimMcMillan Like unidentified drones?

2020-02-14T16:15:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228352069888659456
My thoughts on @LtTimMcMillan's detailed article on the government funded AATIP program's study of UFO's.
Summary: interesting details and hints about who is interested in what, but no new evidence for alien visitors or non-newtonian physics craft.
https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/research/a30916275/government-secret-ufo-program-investigation/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv7S1JVAAALTU_.jpg

---



Mick West Great stuff, but largely arcana to the general public. I think the unfortunate thing is that the secrecy apparatus in the military-industrial complex prevents anything really interesting (or even boring-yet-relevant) from being made public. This also allows people to read what they prefer into limited information.
So we've got some fascinating stuff on government-funded studies, and some hints about classified hearings about something (probably airspace incursions). But does it amount to more than Harry Reid following his personal interests in funneling some money to the usual suspects?
I think the several references to "the paranormal" are rather telling, regarding the degree of extreme open-mindedness here.
This is going to be framed in the popular press as "US GOVERNMENT STUDIES UFOS" - but really it's mostly "Bigelow tries to find evidence of UFO technology, and does some technology speculation, and got some funding from the government because he knows Harry Reid. Also the government is concerned about airspace incursions"
That said, where the "10-month report?" That looks interesting.

Like · Reply · 1m

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv7S1JVAAALTU_.jpg
2020-02-14T16:11:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228350983064834053
@wanderinganimal @QSimpleAnswers @lbox327 @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @MagickalG @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 @DanScavino What evidence do have that lenticular clouds are more common? They depend on local topology (mountains, etc) so vary from place to place. But the air hasn't really changed.

2020-02-14T15:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228345146325884929
@hoaxeye I think we should just encourage people to be more specific and descriptive. A new word is probably not going to catch on. We just need to use more words to avoid confusion.

2020-02-14T15:45:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228344588936462336
@MagickalG @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1

@Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Right, so you say you have a picture, but you somehow can't show it to me?

Why?

2020-02-14T15:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228344445549993985

@MagickalG @TrumpetPump @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 There's several possible applications for spraying things from planes. What's your point?
The Pic you posted was the Evergreen supertanker, which dumps water or fire retardant (see pics). It could also possibly do oil dispersement. Probably not mosquitos or crop dusting though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv0pSrU0AY6Joh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQv0pSrU0AY6Joh.jpg

2020-02-14T15:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228343562804183040

@MagickalG @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Show what you pulled up. .

2020-02-14T15:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228343492176334848

@MagickalG @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Same people who made the older engines. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvz2dtUUAElv3q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvz2dtUUAElv3q.jpg
2020-02-14T15:39:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228343125338284032
@MagickalG @TrumpetPump @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 People spray things from planes. This is known.
https://www.google.com/search?q=c-130+mosquito+spraying&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvzgdkU8AAKkl_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvzgdkU8AAKkl_.jpg
2020-02-14T15:39:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228342899047202817
@MagickalG @TrumpetPump @wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 That's a firefighting

plane.

2020-02-14T15:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228342674752540674

@wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 The exhaust of a high bypass jet engine is chemically the same as the exhaust of low bypass jet engine. Both are the product of burning kerosene and oxygen.

The bypass air is like the outside air, just moving faster.

The exhaust makes the contrail when it mixes with just air.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EQvy3nIUwAAodit.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EQvy3nIUwAAodit.jpg

2020-02-14T15:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228339765776269312

@wanderinganimal @QSimpleAnswers @lbox327 @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @MagickalG @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 @DanScavino Clouds

are quite well understood. If you look up "lenticular cloud" you will see many such examples, and explanations. https://www.google.com/search?q=lenticular+clouds
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvwgR5U4AApMHJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQvwgR5U4AApMHJ.jpg
2020-02-14T07:38:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228221985383043074
@wanderinganimal @suNstriderko @MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 Why do you think that articles is correct?

There's literally zero evidence to back it up.

2020-02-14T06:10:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228199780616065024
@EngagingThe @LtTimMcMillan We'll see. What will really take the conversation further is some

good data - like more videos, close-ups, or high quality radar . The lack of of such data has been a permanent stake through the heart of the ET hypothesis. A stake which I fear will remain come the morrow.

2020-02-14T06:05:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228198681209274370
@MagickalG @koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 @DanScavino The "waste" of a jet engine is carbon dioxide and water vapor. It does not get "recycled" (note the plane gets lighter as it burns fuel.) It goes out in the exhaust, and forms a cloud if it's cold and humid enough where the plane is flying.

2020-02-14T06:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228198190656016386
@Disclosure2020 That's just your entheogen kicking in.

2020-02-14T06:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1228197730985492482
@koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @MagickalG @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 @DanScavino Most air traffic is in the northern hemisphere.

https://www.google.com/search?q=map+of+global+air+traffic&source=lnms&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQtvDTMU0AAeoqX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQtvDTMU0AAeoqX.jpg

2020-02-14T05:59:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228197136753291264

@EngagingThe @LtTimMcMillan Let's discuss tomorrow. I suspect some interesting stuff about government blue-sky studies. But no real evidence of aliens, or even a well-defined phenomenon.

2020-02-14T05:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228196470047686656

@koko58131745 @barnscrapings @GPanderino @TrumpetPump @FreedomUSA15 @QSimpleAnswers @Loggerhead_ @iamlisakirk @five4life @DanPeacock12 @MollyGalt @MagickalG @wanderinganimal @lbox327 @Valkyrie_77 @grooverben @ThatsLifeButNo1 @Joni_Apple_Seed @bethrya74893789 @LuvsShinyObjs @HannaInHeaven @paniela100 @yellowquop22 @dcrow_baby @theuschronicle @Luvjbms @Poobah09641567 @Michael27452480 @ReidSingletary @gcb910 @LauraWanek @Vitalintegrity @TigerKaze @Surfhempster @allycl17 @bonlives68 @EdwardGheer @meequalsfree @GostaLoveMoney @MyFellowAmeric1 @Blytherich4 @GAttucks @jconnor19662 @Jay52043992 @KindeandTrue @RippedToad @Seanjensen66 @SandraC80012392 @CharismaAlasta2 @DanScavino It's essentially just a map of commercial air traffic. And hence contrails.

2020-02-14T05:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228192341355163651

@EngagingThe @LtTimMcMillan No, I wouldn't write a book about it. There would be far too much competition.

Or were you thinking a "What We Skeptics Got Wrong About Out New Alien Overlords?" book?

2020-02-14T05:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228190726715924482

@EngagingThe @LtTimMcMillan Oh wait, I was looking at the January article. Darn pins!

We'll see :)

2020-02-14T02:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228138909772570625

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx There were two cameras in the parking booth.

2020-02-14T02:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228138762179203073

@UfoIssue @NoErrorOccurred Yeah, but the problem is more with "Flying Object" or "Aerial Phenomenon".
Here we've got an "unidentified bright spot on the image", or we might have an "unidentified light in the sky" or an "unidentified black dot in a video".

2020-02-13T23:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228092380642852864

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx Who uses video security cameras to watch for incoming planes? Nobody, that's who. For very obvious reasons.

They use radar.

2020-02-13T22:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228090930558750720

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx They wouldn't use security cameras to keep track of planes.

2020-02-13T22:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228088293050314753

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx Foaflore.

2020-02-13T22:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228087809648381952

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx About the same, in terms of protection from a random plane flying into it with no warning.
The Pentagon is very close to an active airport.

2020-02-13T22:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228087551493148672

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx Pretty much. Except there are higher cameras that also point down.

Security cameras are generally used to detect people coming onto property where they should not be.

2020-02-13T22:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228087004971196416

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx And even now, with far more cameras running all the time (dash cams, Ring cams, cheap security cams) you are not getting scores of videos of

Kobe Bryant's helicopter.

2020-02-13T22:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228086135676534784

@Scribe1969 @DJThermalD @dchandlerpdx Security cameras generally don't show much, if any, of the sky. Do an image search for security cameras. They all point down.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQsJyH2VUAANB0-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQsJyH2VUAANB0-.jpg

2020-02-13T20:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228054326406348800

@MrReali05459432 That's didn't really help.

Don't make more video messages, I won't watch them. I'll respond to DMs that have specific points and questions.

2020-02-13T19:55:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228045052565282816

@DJThermalD Also available as a podcast:

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-39-dj-thermal-detonator-9-11-history-and-change/id1462120258?i=1000465518166

More listening options:

https://www.tftrh.com/2020/02/13/episode-39-dj-thermal-detonator-9-11-history-and-change/

2020-02-13T19:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228042424611569664

In #TFTRH 39 I talk to @djthermald about the "good old days" of 9/11 Truth activism, his brief brush with chemtrails, and how the 9/11 Truth community in Los Angeles disintegrated as they delved into more and more extreme conspiracy theories.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8g6CXoMZaOs

2020-02-13T19:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228040629571076096

@UFOMeldestelle That just sounds overly religious.

2020-02-13T19:23:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228037110625488896

@NoErrorOccurred Because we need a word for things that are as yet unidentified. I'm not talking about this particular case, but the general case of lights or shapes in photos, which may or may not be flying and/or objects.

2020-02-13T17:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228015425092386816

What word to use: UFO? UAP? I posted this pic, someone joked about the "UFO" - but it's not even there, it's just a lens flare. "UFO" presupposes a flying object. "UAP" presupposes it's aerial.

Is there a better term? Possible Aerial Objects? Unexplained Sky Observation?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQrIAaZU4AI1l6y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQrIAaZU4AI1l6y.jpg

2020-02-13T17:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1228011903336636416

@1947_z @AFSUnidentified @EngagingThe You can't really control language like that. "UFO" has entered the lexicon as "alien spaceship". Pointing out that "unidentified" could cover ordinary planes, or generic dots, isn't really helping. UAP is better but some people think "phenomenon" means something incredible.

2020-02-13T16:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227994152542990336

@19Nimbus @zcichy @Flyingh43892139 @UFOS8N You seem to be confusing two different cases.

2020-02-13T16:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227993943129776129

@19Nimbus @zcichy @UFOS8N @Flyingh43892139 A) That's not my suggestion
B) It's got zero to do with the Nimitz incident

2020-02-13T16:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227993595522637824

@Jay58457154 @hughespj1 Muscling in? A made a bunch of videos a year ago.
And again, I'm just trying to figure out what happened. I'd LOVE for it to be something interesting.

2020-02-13T06:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227840698407215104

@steven_dor @zcichy @Flyingh43892139 @UFOS8N I propose possible explanations, that's not "explaining away".

And I don't think it's likely that what Fravor flew around was a seagull. I also don't think it's likely that it's aliens. However I keep both of those unlikely things on the list of possible explanations.

2020-02-13T02:38:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227783995082960896

@UFOS8N @zcichy @Flyingh43892139 Me too.

2020-02-13T01:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227762923658809347

@BobRobe16294564 @JLuvin @tomdelonge Why would you think I think that? I just try to figure stuff out. Where have I said I know more than everyone? I donâ□□t.

I just do math and point at things.

2020-02-13T01:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227762268458192897

@BobRobe16294564 @JLuvin @tomdelonge Peanuts on sticks? Seems you are interpreting memes as reality.

Graves saw flashes of light. I too could see flashes of light. Itâ□□s not difficult.

But thatâ□□s hardly the point.

2020-02-13T01:07:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227761251872149504

@BobRobe16294564 @ufotodaynetwork @tomdelonge Quote something Iâ□□ve said that you think is a lie and I will correct or explain it.

2020-02-13T01:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227760770185719813

@BobRobe16294564 @JLuvin @tomdelonge What, Go-Fast and Gimbal?

2020-02-13T00:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227746041602007040

@zcichy @Flyingh43892139 @UFOS8N That's not my analysis, it's RubÃ©n Lianza's. He just asked me to post it for him. I'm not really studied that case.

2020-02-12T23:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227736577360531456

@UFOS8N @zcichy I try to figure out what the data shows.

If it shows something boring, don't blame me, blame the data.

2020-02-12T23:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227736117748756482

@JLuvin @tomdelonge No, he's just talking about himself seeing dodgy radar and flashes of light miles away.

He also says some other people saw some stuff. But we don't hear from them.

2020-02-12T23:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227735564150964225

@ufotodaynetwork @tomdelonge Yeah, I went back and watch the interview with Graves. Pay attention to his actual words, not the paraphrasing, or the combined interpretations. Most of the time it's not clear what he is referring to.

Tom, why not release his full interview? Why so heavily edited?

2020-02-12T23:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227730456830857216

@tomdelonge Ryan Graves, by the account he gave on your show, did not see anything himself beyond what he though were false tracks on the radar and flashes of light in the distance.

So why do you think his testimony is so significant?

2020-02-12T16:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227637510991273985

@fullbredmartian I'd consider it. But I don't really know anything about them.

2020-02-12T16:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227636780632952832

@nathanjslattery The ones that agitate me the most are the false flags, like people saying Sandy Hook or the Boston Marathon Bombings were faked with hundreds of actors. That's pretty ridiculous, but it's hard to beat Flat Earth for ridiculousness.

2020-02-12T15:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1227612332508860416

@fullbredmartian I've done a couple. The only one that was JUST about Flat Earth was with Sasha. But here's all the FE related episodes:
https://www.tftrh.com/category/flat-earth/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-flmkPyQls0&feature=emb_logo

2020-02-11T16:51:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1227274063342530560
@trutherbothazel 20-40K feet is not "the same altitude". Cloud conditions can vary greatly in a few tens of feet. Air traffic is generally separated vertically by thousands of feet.
Contrails are much more noticeable at sunset and sunrise.

2020-02-11T16:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1227273381654888448
@VeracityQuest @Chemtrails_SWE @LionelMedia Because of the geometry of the ice crystals, and the resultant path the light takes through them to reach your eyes. Why is a rainbow round?

2020-02-11T00:49:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1227031769469206528
@trutherbothazel Contrails are predictable, mostly based on relative humidity at 30,000+ feet.

2020-02-11T00:48:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1227031503407726592
@TomODon80437044 @PostDisclosure @ufo_fanusa @seandre34010767 @efstop1 @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye The object is black in the video. So the sky behind it is brighter than it is. This does not tally well with a white object, unless it's backlit.

2020-02-11T00:46:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1227031083406901250
@GuardedDon @OpenTechPark @Satcom_Guru @CliffMass @Skunkbayweather It's a contrail. They sometimes end up like that when flying through a region of rotors. It's like a region of air that would have row clouds if there were any clouds. Here's some discussion of a more dramatic example in Russia.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/moscow-spiral-contrail-helix-cloud-theories.1031/

2020-02-10T19:37:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1226953390229352448
@PostDisclosure @ufo_fanusa @seandre34010767 @efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye Well it still wouldn't, if it were not in focus, and backlit. Which is appears to be.

2020-02-10T18:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1226942025800372224
@PostDisclosure @ufo_fanusa @seandre34010767 @efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye Obvious the original video was better. But the indistinct shape isn't just from lower resolution.

Kind of a moot point really, without that video.

2020-02-10T18:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226939844678410240

@ufo_fanusa @seandre34010767 @efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye Not always, I've seen some pretty blurry video, especially in IR. It seems here like it's not just low resolution though, it's also a bit out of focus.

2020-02-10T17:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226920978879401984

@ufo_fanusa @seandre34010767 @efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye I don't KNOW, that just seems to be a good fit. Here's a video explaining my theory.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s1oTg0kxzDs&list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t&index=2&t=0s

---

2020-02-10T06:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226755756076093441

@seandre34010767 @efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye I think the video probably shows a plane, but is probably different to the radar data, and what Fravor saw.

2020-02-09T23:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226650542799126529

@efstop1 @TomODon80437044 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye UAPs are unidentified.

2020-02-09T18:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226579675020812288

@ExoAcademian @ufotodaynetwork @ScottBr31600449 I think of it more as fact checking, but checking really complicated claimed facts, which are often wrong.

I try to be fully transparent, and show my working, so people can themselves check or refute what I claim to show.

Is the available data really inarguable?

2020-02-09T18:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226578025141035009

All of the simulation result videos from the recent $340,000 study by Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth (who set out to demonstrate that fire and impact damage alone could not have caused the collapse of Building 7.)

Collated by Kostack Studio.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gb5jBtde2Fk

2020-02-09T14:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226513959718506498

@TomODon80437044 @efstop1 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye He didn't have radar. His account is of a visual-only encounter over a few minutes.
If it was a relatively featureless object, as he said, then its quite easy to misjudge size and distance. Given time you'd hope to figure it out, but some optical illusions are quite persistent.

2020-02-09T07:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226408986716471298

And in #TFTRH 38 I continue my chat with @ufotodaynetwork, this time covering the fascinating topic of QANON. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iDMri7f2yZ4

2020-02-09T07:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226408517361225729

In #TFTRH 37, I talk to @ufotodaynetwork about alien abductions, missing time, and UFOs.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KiIu_Y1itJg

2020-02-09T05:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226382595459403782

@efstop1 @PostDisclosure @BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye I think, most likely, the video shows a distant plane, the radar shows glitches, maybe from weather conditions, and commander Fravor saw something, but misjudged its relative position, and hence size and speed.

2020-02-08T19:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226232282618331136

@UFO_Researcher @BlueBookBelieve @PostDisclosure @hoaxeye F4.mpg? Thatâ□□s no better.

2020-02-08T17:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226194599485423616

@1947_z @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @Akam1129 False. The reality of some objects in the sky being unidentified has always been acknowledged. It's obvious and inescapable.
What is considered "fantasy" is the idea that these represent something new to physics, or are of alien origin. The Navy has remained silent on this.

2020-02-08T17:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1226189598092447744

@PostDisclosure @KikPlenty @Akam1129 It's easy to be an oracle if you only count your hits. Let's revisit this prediction in four weeks.

2020-02-08T01:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225955718953439232

@kMcK79 Racetrack contrails from a holding pattern.

2020-02-07T21:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225888683087155200

@tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 Or those are often plane

lights. Landing lights in particular can be very confusing if flying straight towards you then overhead. Looks like a surging change in direction.

2020-02-07T21:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225887637094846464

@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 PJ evidently misunderstood.

2020-02-07T21:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225887403165941760

@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 Not conventional lights. Gary said it was glowing evenly.

2020-02-07T21:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225887092644839424

@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 The suggestion I was responding to seemed to be that flying saucers would disguise themselves as human craft. In particular with lights.

I see ufo reports all the time that are plane lights at night.

2020-02-07T20:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225886448206807040

@hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 Iâ□□m asking why aliens craft would use lights as a disguise, especially at night.

I know all about regular aircraft lights.

2022-07-01T15:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542886964398944256

@JCernAlves I want to figure stuff out.

2022-07-01T15:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542886591772762113

@kimball_23 You said "I can't get into that on a public forum like Twitter"

So how can you get into it?

Who has verified that these sources are legit?

2022-07-01T08:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542788083820535808

@kimball_23 I did not look at that case for the show.

Where are these 6 videos?

2022-07-01T08:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542784903674155008

@kimball_23 So DM me.

And no, I've never said anything even vaguely related to that.

2022-07-01T05:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542741558746763264

@kimball_23 What was in those videos? Who showed them to you, and why?

2022-07-01T05:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542741256522018818

@ChaseHoward97 It does, it's just large and far away. Compare with videos of other large hot-air balloons - they don't seem to move much.

2022-07-01T04:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542728100936462336

@kimball_23 Which? Show the multiple angles.

2022-07-01T04:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542727865552121856

@dave_beaty @MAD__B Someone is moving the camera, probably with a joystick. Also itâ□□s super low res and compressed.

2022-07-01T01:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542681864787308544

@cbgb7190 @thieme OOF

2022-07-01T01:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542677738644832258

@EMP_Wrecker Nothing is hanging under the Medellin balloons.

2022-07-01T01:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542677407202570240

@kimball_23 1,2,3 - mil

4 - mistakes get made.

5 - something else.

2022-07-01T00:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542665321839964160

@kimball_23 Ball lightning is not something that is proposed as an explanation very often. I can't off-hand think of a single case where I've listed ball lightning as the most likely explanation. Some Foo Fighters, possibly.

Chinese lanterns, on the other hand, are actually fairly common.

2022-06-30T23:14:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542647831873216512

@UAPHub @ufo_classes @EdictaMachado Just like a giant balloon would.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1542647426258833409

2022-06-30T23:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542647720942239744

@UAPHub @TheUfoJoe @TheProjectUnity @tinyklaus @blackvaultcom @JeremyCorbell @528vibes @PostDisclosure @WitnessCitizen @rosscoulthart @Pewpew841 @Condorman6

@EricRWeinstein @MiddleOfMayhem

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1542647426258833409

2022-06-30T23:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542647426258833409

They like to fly giant homemade hot-air balloons in Medellin, Colombia. Every year or so one gets spotted by an airline pilot or a tourist, and a new UFO story is born.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1zArzTIqn_A

2022-06-30T21:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542624226816843776

@tonysuxks @Uaphunter2022 Itâ□□s not small, itâ□□s far away. So the perceived motion is small.

2022-06-30T21:37:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542623273870950400

@TSPriley Leaf seems likely, given the color matches the foliage behind.

2022-06-30T21:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542614348605976576

@BostonsBuddha @SheikYerbouti19 Technically that's just the name for the limo, but people probably call the other presidential armored cars that too.

2022-06-30T17:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542563223034920962

@SheikYerbouti19 Or like that's a generic name for the armored car the President is in.

2022-06-30T17:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542562944042430465

@mgivens187 @dandefi_wallet It resembles cigarette smoke, very close to the camera.

2022-06-30T17:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542562377345880064

@Uaphunter2022 It's a balloon. A very large one. Possibly solar. TradiciÃ³n Globera

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H_AikbTdkZE

2022-06-30T15:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542523435670052865

RT @RBReich: Let's be clear: The Supreme Courtâ□□s disastrous EPA decision is part of a broader rightwing push to strip Americans of regulatoâ□¦

2022-06-30T09:08:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542434844126302209

@lbf_tweet @dave_beaty @the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 @travisstaylor1 Is that not the same thing?

2022-06-30T08:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542419814815129600

@welling_phil @thieme It's not really a UAP, it's a type of giant balloon common in parts of South America.

https://www.wokipi.com/decouverte/balloon.html

2022-06-30T05:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542382575557046273

@Middle__Ground_ Two seconds of altercation, probably not. Look at all the available photos of Trump in the car at that time. There's not a lot.

2022-06-30T05:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542382233868070912

@Ve7xWnU97mQ It was not in the Beast, it was in the Bunker, a modified Suburban.

2022-06-30T04:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542361101169414144

@Real_Vaultteam6 Lunging isnâ□□t doing.

2022-06-30T04:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542360781336879106

@JasmyneEmmerick Different car.

2022-06-30T00:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542300647352983552

@Redditorhere420 @UAPmike A BMI of 22 is "normal", if perhaps not ideal for moving pianos.

2022-06-29T23:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542285498898534400

@The_Kroned_One @MiddleOfMayhem One thing is not all things.

2022-06-29T22:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542278292375339009

@darkbbx9 Hate? There's no hate. He seems like a lovely guy. I just feel it's a bit off - especially as he's strongly promoting an NHI explanation in the shows he's on.

2022-06-29T22:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542277461181771776

@BryceDodds1 I thought the UAP report was fairly grounded. I don't think Taylor wrote it.

2022-06-29T22:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542273170354229248

@scotburen Not directly. There are reports of that, but no official rebuttal.

2022-06-29T22:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542272946344824833

Like many people I was also very surprised when it was revealed that the "informal chief scientist" of the Pentagon's UAP (UFO) Task Force was also the star of a show about the supernatural. https://twitter.com/ScienceInsider/status/1542256088317366272

2022-06-29T21:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542266416912510977

@DaveSFoley Cloud you share a date, time, location (roughly), and (if known) direction?

2022-06-29T21:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542251861675167744

@dummigan_barry I'm pretty sure my legs were not "manipulated" â although I'm not really sure what you mean.

2022-06-29T20:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542249397760565248

@Echopeus @UAPmike If it did happen, then I'm sure it don't happen for very long. SS would be reluctant to manhandle the President unless there was a clear threat.

2022-06-29T20:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542249099503607808

@fann_wo If there is, then it's one that Trump could lower, and would make no real difference.

2022-06-29T20:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542243334227238913

@dave_beaty @the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @lbf_tweet @ChrisSPITZER7 @travisstaylor1 Taylor claims he's just doing analysis with what is publicly available. So I think he's just referring to the ADV M4 OK text.

2022-06-29T20:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542242594763051008

@GrgaPitic1981 I think when you feel like you have the right to do anything with impunity, then you tend to do more things than the average person - especially when enraged or otherwise motivated.

2022-06-29T20:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542239784306745345

@UAPmike I'm 6'2". Unfortunately, I'm only 170lb. But it really was not in the least bit difficult.

2022-06-29T19:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542228079153537024

@thingmum @EliotHiggins I mean that this demonstration is not PROOF that it happened. It's just proof that it's not at all difficult.

2022-06-29T19:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542227585160970240

@MiddleOfMayhem Luckily I own a Tahoe!

2022-06-29T19:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542225156625408001

How hard is it for a 6'2" person to grab the wheel of a Chevy Tahoe/Suburban (like the "Bunker") from the center row right seat, while putting your other hand on the driver's clavicle? Not hard at all. Doesn't mean it happened, but it means it could have.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1542224104966672384/pu/img/aQ9PGm2qsC6hrjVR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1542224104966672384/pu/img/aQ9PGm2qsC6hrjVR.jpg
2022-06-29T16:01:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1542176462836342784
@gornalalbion @Deleo77a1 Slightly, yes - it almost certainly indicates a problem with the radar system. Possible the ship's roll causing reflections off the ocean surface that triggers the sudden jump. Obviously something that you don't want.
Or maybe the COMPTUEX sim was glitching.

2022-06-29T05:23:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1542015964845187073
@TractorCrypto @UFOhistorian Who the heck denied exoplanets might exist?

2022-06-29T04:21:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1542000327217385473
@mb103001 @ELuizondo @g_knapp It explains the videos. It does not seek to explain anything else.

2022-06-29T03:56:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541993924910206977
@unkown2296 Says who?

2022-06-29T03:56:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541993875123752960
@Andrunajera1 @DailyWireEnt @DailyMailUK @JeremyCorbell Those are reflections of the car headlights, with some shake from the image stabilization (image is stabilized, reflections are not). Here I've rotated the lights 180 degrees.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1541993720211316737/pu/img/yfedsgBAOJBlQ5Y2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1541993720211316737/pu/img/yfedsgBAOJBlQ5Y2.jpg
2022-06-29T00:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541936899408814080
The first parallax illusion UFO, 75 years ago at Lake Mead, was basically the same as parallax illusions today (GoFast, Aguadilla, Jubilee, maybe Fravor, Rubber Duck, etc)

It's a convincing illusion, amplified by modern tracking cameras.) It's a keeper.
https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1541878478525435904

2022-06-28T22:12:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541907318069002242
Nobody thinks all, many, or even a few UFOs are "swamp gas"

One investigator, J. Allen Hynek awkwardly proposed it as an explanation for some observations during two events, in 1966.

The press mocked him. He later became to believe UFOs might be aliens

https://youtu.be/Z0HUmaWexAU?t=70

2022-06-28T19:57:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541873415719571457
@SaltyDawg49 Flares, not drones, in this case.

2022-06-28T19:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541873023065526272

@PT6WpeLEvN4SPAG @mu_gekkan This is a sensor reflection of the sun, a type of lens flare. You can see the phone lines in it. Here's another example

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWXVPcDUIAAVex-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWXVPcDUIAAVex-.jpg

2022-06-28T19:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541868592336998400

@EMP_Wrecker About this far.

https://twitter.com/sdmike/status/1541808532760145923

2022-06-28T19:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541867423480983553

@SayantaniDas2 By being far away. They are both falling and moving. Just not a lot visibly as they are far away.

2022-06-28T19:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541861731584028672

@james4125 Things that move slowly appear to stay in place. That is why you need a timelapse to see the motion. You also need a fixed camera or stabilized video.

2022-06-28T19:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541861475697889280

@EMP_Wrecker They are a long way out to sea.

2022-06-28T19:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541860297392021504

@james4125 No, they will slowly sink, just like the flares visible from San Diego and Rosarito did.

2022-06-28T18:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541851033344679936

Flares don't hang around in the sky for hours, obviously. But they DO slowly descend (on parachutes) then burn out after a minute or two, and the plane drops a new set of flares about 15-30 seconds before the previous set is due to burn out. Like this.

https://twitter.com/AJaddit/status/1541656663790198784

2022-06-28T18:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541849966737383424

When something looks like flares, acts like flares, is where flares have been seen before, and the military and police say it's flares, then some people are still going to think it's an alien spaceship. https://twitter.com/BridgetNaso/status/1541797377245208576

2022-06-28T18:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541848760753393664

@Cyber_Crusader_ @UndisputedGods Go argue with Reddit and #UFOTwitter. Sorry, these are obviously military flares. They look and act exactly like them.

2022-06-28T18:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541847505951813632

@Cyber_Crusader_ @UndisputedGods Area illumination flare are re-deployed every minute or two, which is why you see them often in two layers, and the bottom layer fades out.

They look like this.

And it was out to sea, not oven an airport.

2022-06-28T18:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541845865936986112

@Cyber_Crusader_ @UndisputedGods The video of flares? It's a nice example.

https://twitter.com/gabegunlock/status/1541646334632988673

2022-06-28T09:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541719433223188480

@andyH_uap Says who?.

2022-06-28T05:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541652449156423682

The only thing the anonymous crewman said was world-changing was the "the amazing energy capacity of these craft" because they could reportedly stay in the air for 4 hours.

But, the Skyfront Perimeter 4 drone has a 5-hour hover time. Hybrid gas drones have gone over 10 hours. https://twitter.com/ChrisUKSharp/status/1541496870094209024

2022-06-28T04:19:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541637439126614016
@BoydMichaelE @GeorgeT96559261 @dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 Distance does not change emissivity any more than it changes reflectivity.

2022-06-27T23:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541570751244816384
@prestonsSister Not at all! I think the UFO topic is a fascinating array of puzzles, peoples, mysteries, and contradictions.

2022-06-27T22:59:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541556897861357568
@BoydMichaelE @GeorgeT96559261 @dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 Nothing here changes the emissivity of the object. What can change is the per-pixel irradiance at the sensor. But distance does not change that either (unless <1 pixel, which it's not)

2022-06-27T22:11:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541544713919950848
@GeorgeT96559261 @BoydMichaelE @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty The calibration is kind of irrelevant if he is just working from a YouTube mp4 downsampled from a WMV digitization of a Hi-8 VHS recording of a mono interlaced NTSC signal generated from a 10-bit muti-processed (unknown gain) version of a 14-bit original signal.

Which he is.

2022-06-27T21:43:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541537797885964288
@dave_beaty @GeorgeT96559261 @BoydMichaelE @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 That seems to be just part of the problem. Taylor assumes that an object gets less bright as it gets further away. But that only applies to point sources. So as long as the resolved image is larger than a pixel (it is), it's going to "saturate" the same.
So his graph is useless.

2022-06-27T20:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541522292357754880
@SOURCESIGNALINT @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell A Daily Mail story is not confirmation of anything.

Corbell and @g_knapp were flat wrong about the triangle shapes, so maybe they got other things wrong too.

2022-06-27T20:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541512590269943809
@james_mals I await those dozens of accounts that @JeremyCorbell has, with great interest.

But even that article, if you read all of it, indicates he's got basically nothing. He does not even

care if they were drones.

2022-06-27T17:38:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541476199913750528

@GeorgeT96559261 @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty The camera has both automatic and manual level and gain adjustments, per-FOV corrections, and a "scene-based" adjustment. But the ALG status is not displayed in Gimbal

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRsWpdUIAE2_6s.jpg

Table 8. Digital Display Indicator (Continued)

Control/Indicator	Function
GN increase/ decrease pushbutton switches	Momentary pushbutton switches. Adjusts gain (contrast) of the infrared video on the FLIR control display. Pressing and releasing changes gain in small amounts. Pressing and holding changes gain very rapidly. Gain is adjusted from 0 (least contrast) to 9 (highest contrast). Initial setting is 5.
elevation readout display	Indicates pointing angle above or below the horizon. Negative sign is displayed when angle is below horizon.
BLK/WHT pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Alternately selects white hot or black hot video polarity. Label indicates selected polarity.
ALG pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. When boxed, selects automatic level and gain controlled by the FLIR pod. With ALG selected FOCS increase/decrease pushbutton label replaces GN increase/decrease pushbutton label and LVL increase/decrease pushbutton label is not displayed. ALG pushbutton label is boxed when selected.
LST pushbutton switch	LST is displayed when laser spot tracker (LST) is tracking a properly coded, laser illuminated target. It flashes until the LST target is designated.
velocity vector and artificial horizon line	Indicates aircraft vertical flight path angle and roll altitude information if DCLTR is not selected. Horizon line is enlarged and velocity vector is centered when VVSLV selected.
DCLTR pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Removes airspeed, altitude, mach number, velocity vector, FLIR radar LOS box, FLIR radar LOS box offset symbol, artificial horizon line and azimuth steering line from display. Label is boxed when selected.
altitude display	Indicates barometric or radar altitude. Not displayed when DCLTR is selected.
SETUP pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Causes a 10-shade gray scale to appear across the bottom 1 inch of the video. The gray scale is used as an aid when adjusting GN and LVL. Label is boxed when selected.
CAL	Momentary pushbutton switch. When selected, pod will do a short video calibration.
VVSLV pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Displayed in a/g or nav master mode when a target has not been designated and velocity vector is valid. Slaves ATFLIR line of sight (LOS) to aircraft velocity vector when inflight. Reinitializes ATFLIR to a specific pointing angle of 7.5° below the horizon along aircraft ground track with weight on wheels. Label is boxed when selected.
Field of view reticle	Indicates center of FLIR field of view. End bars on wide field of view reticle indicate limits of next narrower field of view. Not displayed when acquisition gate or tracking gate is displayed.
RTCL pushbutton switch	Momentary pushbutton switch. Selects or deselects ATFLIR field of view reticle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRrxGUUsAImA6K.jpg

j. servo controller module

k. servo interface module

l. signal processor module

m. video processor module.

19. **Analog Module.** The analog module (AM) provides microcontroller and BIT functions. It also produces analog ± 15vdc and ± 12vdc for the analog power forms and the BIT hardwired power forms.

20. **Clean Analog Module.** The clean analog module (CAM) provides clean analog ± 5.2vdc, ± 15vdc and digital +5.1vdc and -5.0vdc. These voltages are used in the clean analog power forms and video generation where low noise is required. It also includes voltages for the BIT hardware.

21. **Control and Interface Module.** The control and interface module (CIM) provides control for the TRS temperature, quad detectors TEC, FOV motors and focus motors. The control and interface module also provides a 16-bit and a 24-bit address to the VME bus. The module samples discretes from the focus pot, focus temperatures, auto-alignment diode, TRS and detector temperatures, and BIT voltages. The module also contains the JTAG test bus controller, JTAG scan path links, fibrechannel port bypass circuits and relay drivers for heater and motor control. The module also provides the READY status to the aircraft.

22. **Digital +3.3 Module.** The digital +3.3 module provides uninterruptible power supply (UPS) +3.3vdc through a 500 µsec input power dropout.

23. **Digital Module.** The digital module provides UPS +5.1vdc through a 500 µsec input power dropout.

24. **Digital Non-Uniformity Correction.** The digital non-uniformity correction (DNUC) channels pixel-to-pixel non-uniformity and converts the analog signal to digital. The low-noise 14-bit DNUC receives the ATFLIR detector video output and applies level and gain corrections to each pixel. A fine level calibration takes less than one second.

25. Six sets of corrections are pre-stored and calibrated for each FOV and detection gain combination. Any correction set can be applied within 200 milliseconds. Also, fine real-time level corrections, derived from term generators on the scene based non-uniformity correction (SBNUC) are also applied.

26. Dead pixel and blinker detection is also a function of squares calculations. SBNUC receives 10-bit digital video from the EOSU and converts EOSU timing for a 1:1 aspect ratio display. The DNUC also processes electro optic sensors. The DNUC has a VME bus interface and a fibre channel interface.

27. **Input Module.** The input module provides EMI filtering, converts the 115vac to 270vdc, provides 50vdc transient protected +28vdc and contains the motor power relay.

28. **Pod Control Module.** The pod control module controls all pod functions, including the ATFLIR sensor and interfaces with the mission computer. It is a radstone power PC VME data processor.

29. The pod control module functions are to enable the laser electronics to start and stop the laser, close the shutter during BIT firing, and control the eyesafe OPO mechanism. The pod control module interfaces include a dual redundant 1553B interface, a VME64 bus, an RS-232 serial interface, an RS-422 serial interface and an RS-485 serial interface.

30. **Scene Based Non-Uniformity Correction.** The scene based non-uniformity correction (SBNUC) provides optimal uniformity over all environments in the rapidly changing background environment of a fighter aircraft.

31. The SBNUC incorporates field and line buffering and filtering and motion detection circuitry to support a real-time NUC algorithm. The SBNUC scene based correction is for both high and low frequency active non-uniformities. For high frequency non-uniformities, the module calculates non-uniformity terms based on video from the DNUC and uses a 3LM non-linear filter based on a multi-stage median architecture. For low frequency non-uniformities, dual bandpass filters are used to filter the DNUC video. The SBNUC interfaces with the DNUC using 14-bit digital video and VME bus interface.

32. **Servo Controller Module.** The servo controller module (SCM) provides the digital servo function and performs LOS stabilizing, step-stare scan control, FLIR-to-laser boresight alignment,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRqK01UsAAxaPO.jpg



Figure 1. ATFLIR Displays (Sheet 2)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRsWpdUIAE2_6s.jpg
2022-06-27T17:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541472144147722241
@GeorgeT96559261 @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty Yes. And IR sensors have another style of bloom, where a pixel sensor can re-radiate the incoming heat to themselves or adjacent pixels - so better IR cameras are cooled (or in olden times used a "chopper" to constantly recalibrate)

Taylor suggested that's happening in Gimbal.

2022-06-27T17:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541468703534874625
@GeorgeT96559261 @ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty "Veiling glare" sometimes refers to local scattered/spread light around a bright light source. Unfortunately, terminology usage varies, even in optics journals. "glare" vs. "flare", for example.
Mil uses it more in the context of any glare that covers something, usually from sun
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRliRmUYAA1Jll.jpg

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_x \\ r_y \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x_u} - \mathbf{cc}, \qquad r = |\mathbf{r}| \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{x_d} = (1 + \kappa_1 r^2 + \kappa_2 r^4 + \kappa_3 r^6)\mathbf{x_u} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\kappa_4 r_x r_y + \kappa_5(r^2 + 2r_x^2) \\ \kappa_5(r^2 + 2r_y^2) + 2\kappa_4 r_x r_y \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{x_u}, \mathbf{x_d}$ are the undistorted and distorted point coordinates, $\kappa_{(1,2,3,4,5)}$ the distortion coefficients (first three radial and the rest tangential), and **cc** the principal point.

Once estimated, images can be corrected for distortion by warping by a function that maps colors from the distorted point coordinates to the undistorted point coordinates.

Application

Radial distortion correction is generally applied as a preprocessing step in algorithms like panoramic stitching, structure from motion, and other algorithms that rely explicitly on the pinhole model of projection for their correct execution.

References

1. Brown DC (1966) Decentering distortion of lenses. Photogr Eng 32(3):444–462
2. Brown DC (1971) Close-range camera calibration. Photogr Eng 37(8):855–866
3. Byrod M, Brown M, ÅstrÖm K. Minimal solutions for panoramic stitching with radial distortion
4. Distortion. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Distortion
5. Fisheye lens. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fisheye_lens
6. Fryer JG, Brown DC (1986) Lens distortion for close-range photogrammetry. Photogr Eng Remote Sens 52(1): 51–58
7. Hartley RI, Kang SB (2007) Parameter-free radial distortion correction with center of distortion estimation. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell 29(8)
8. Kopf J, Uyttendaele M, Deussen O, Cohen MF Capturing and viewing gigapixel images

Lens Flare

▸Lens Flare and Lens Glare

Lens Flare and Lens Glare

Dikpal Reddy[1] and Ashok Veeraraghavan[2]
[1]Nvidia Research, Santa Clara, CA, USA
[2]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

Synonyms

Aperture ghosting; Lens flare; Veiling glare

Related Concepts

▸Light Field

Definition

Lens glare or lens flare is a global light transport phenomenon which occurs due to reflections and scattering of light in the optical elements and body of the camera and leads to decrease in the contrast of the image.

Background

Glare is a phenomenon caused due to bright light source (either narrow or extended) in or near the field of view of the camera. It is typically caused when stray light reaches the sensor and could be either due to reflections at the air-glass interface of the lens or due to scattering in the lens. The effect of glare is a decrease in contrast of the image and thereby its dynamic range and is often considered undesirable. Sometimes glare is used artistically in graphics or outdoor filming to convey a sense of realism. A simple way to decrease glare is to use lens hood to prevent stray light from entering the lens and by using antireflective coating on lens which decreases the reflections at the lens surface.

Glare can also be mitigated by first estimating it and then removing it from the image. This can be done in a passive fashion post capture or in an active fashion where the sensed image is modified for better glare estimation and removal.

Theory

While there is some confusion in the literature regarding the classification and terminology of different kinds of lens glare, glare can be broadly classified into

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRlg4oUsAEYlLE.jpg



Lens Flare and Lens Glare, Fig. 3 An image of the stairs with distinct aperture ghosting. The glare in the image enhances the sense of ascending (Photocredit: Wikipedia and Gunawan Kartapranata)

characterizes how much an incident ray on lens contributes to outgoing rays. Please refer the above entries for technical details.

Application

Glare is used in photography and videography to enhance the scene by conveying a sense of realism as shown in Fig. 3.

Glare estimation and removal is an important step in HDR imaging since the presence of glare in captured images reduces the dynamic range. Glare removal techniques in HDR are described in [7]. In multi-exposure HDR imaging, it has been used in [8]. Glare removal techniques are also used in X-ray imaging [5, 9]. They are also used in astronomical imaging where scattering effects cause a degradation in the image. Glare removal has been used to remove glare caused by water droplets sticking to the lens [10].

Since aperture ghosting is symmetrically opposite to the light source, techniques have been proposed to estimate the light source location and the optical center of the camera using this fact [11].

References

1. Raskar R, Agrawal A, Wilson CA, Veeraraghavan A (2008) Glare aware photography: 4d ray sampling for reducing glare effects of camera lenses. ACM Trans. Graph. 27(56):1–56:10
2. Ray SF (2002) Applied photographic optics: lenses and optical systems for photography, film, video, electronic and digital imaging. Taylor & Francis US
3. Kuwabara G (1953) On the flare of lenses. J Opt Soc Am 43(1):53–53
4. Matsuda S, Nitoh T (1972) Flare as applied to photographic lenses. Appl Opt 11(8):1850–1856
5. Seibert JA, Nalcioglu O, Roeck W (1985) Removal of image intensifier veiling glare by mathematical deconvolution techniques. Med Phys 12(3):281–288
6. Talvala EV, Adams A, Horowitz M, Levoy M (2007) Veiling glare in high dynamic range imaging. ACM Trans Graph (TOG), 26(3):37
7. Reinhard E, Heidrich W, Debevec P, Pattanaik S, Ward G, Myszkowski K (2010) High dynamic range imaging: acquisition, display, and image-based lighting. Morgan Kaufman, Burlington
8. McCann JJ, Rizzi A (2007) Veiling glare: the dynamic range limit of hdr images. In: Proceedings-SPIE the international society for optical engineering. SPIE 649213, vol 6492, San Diego
9. Faulkner K, Kotre C, Louka M (1989) Veiling glare deconvolution of images produced by x-ray image intensifiers. In: Third international conference on image processing and its applications, Coventry, IET. pp 669–673
10. Hara T, Saito H, Kanade T (2009) Removal of glare caused by water droplets. In: Conference for visual media production, London, pp 144–151
11. Koreban F, Schechner Y (2009) Geometry by deflaring. In: IEEE international conference on computational photography (ICCP), San Francisco, pp 1–8

Light Field

Shing Chow Chan
Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Synonyms

Image-based rendering (IBR)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRliRmUYAA1Jll.jpg



Lens Flare and Lens Glare, Fig. 1 Different kind of lens glare in the image. Aperture ghosting can be seen as hexagonal parasitic images symmetrically opposite the light source. Lens flare is the fogging seen around the light source. Veiling glare is the cause for decrease in contrast on the person's jacket and the wall behind (Figure courtesy [1])



Lens Flare and Lens Glare, Fig. 2 The *red* and *blue* spot are focused on the sensor. The *purple* ray from the *blue* light source is undergoing reflection, which results in either aperture ghosting and/or lens flare. The *cyan* ray is scattering due to weak diffusion caused by the lens resulting in veiling glare (Figure courtesy [1])

three kinds as shown in Fig. 1. Two of these, aperture ghosting and lens flare, are caused by reflections at the air-glass interface of the lens. The third, veiling glare, is caused by the scattering of light in the lens.

Aperture ghosting is caused due to Fresnel reflection at the air-glass interface resulting in a number of aperture shaped reflections in the image as shown in Fig. 1. These aperture-shaped reflections or ghosts occur in the image at the symmetrically opposite end of the light source. If there are n lens surfaces, the number of ghosts are $n(n-1)/2$ [2].

Lens flare appears as fogging of a large image area as shown in Fig. 1 and is prominent when the aperture is large and the camera has a wide field of view. The effect is that region surrounding the bright light source is also bright due to reflections in the lens system as shown in Fig. 2.

Veiling glare is caused by scattering of light in the lens since lens acts as a weak diffuser as shown in Fig. 2. This results in a foggy appearance in the entire image with a distinct loss in contrast as shown in Fig. 1. Veiling glare is caused due to bright scene and not necessarily due to bright light sources close to the field of view.

In practice it is hard to distinguish and separate lens flare from veiling glare. Superior optics helps in mitigating glare by reducing the reflections at the lens surface.

Measuring glare: There are various ways of measuring glare. The standard way of measuring glare is to photograph a white board with a black target in the center [3, 4]. The ratio of the luminance of the black target to the luminance in the white region is defined as veiling glare index (VGI) by the ISO standard 9358 (year 1994).

Glare spread function (GSF) is another measure defined by ISO standard to quantify glare. It is defined as the glare intensity as function of distance from the center of an image of a centered point light source. If the glare intensity is invariant to the location of the point light source in the image, then GSF can be represented by a convolution operation like the point spread function (PSF).

Traditional methods of removing glare involve assuming a shift invariant GSF, estimating it, and performing deconvolution. This has been used in removing veiling glare in X-ray imaging [5]. The methods using deconvolution are passive where the glare is estimated and removed after capture. Active methods to remove glare have also been proposed, and they involve modifying the imaging architecture for better glare estimation and removal.

Talvala et al. [6] estimate veiling glare by taking multiple images of a scene by shifting a mask. This method allows the glare to be estimated even when the glare is significantly dominant. Other active approach involves estimating aperture ghosting and lens flare due to point light source by inserting a mask near the sensor and capturing a light field [1]. This method treats glare as high-frequency phenomenon in ray space as opposed to image space and defines a 4D glare ray spread function (GRSF) that

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRlhjJVsAAXfQ1.jpg
2022-06-27T16:16:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541455552881995777
@ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty And I'm not sure what you are referring to with "Mick is wrong when it comes to the terminology" - the use of "saturated" comes from Travis, which I said was problematic. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRZp2WUAAEb-S7.jpg

He bases this around a notion of "saturated" that seems poorly defined. Essentially he's using it as a synonym for "overexposed". That means for an individual pixel in an image it's brighter than the maximum value, so we can't tell exactly how hot it is relative to other pixels (other it being hotter than all of the unsaturated pixels). There are several problems with the way he uses that, but we can address those later.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWRZp2WUAAEb-S7.jpg
2022-06-27T16:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541454439633936384
@JasonColavito @ArtL7 I presume people have been asking the Pentagon to confirm this.

2022-06-27T16:11:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541454108355198976
@SoMuchKudzu @UAPTORONTO Hypotheses like this don't directly explain all the stories, pictures, and eventual memories. It's just an inciting incident - one small thing that's the root event that gets the kids talking, and then their imaginations and subsequent group interrogations take off from there.

2022-06-27T15:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541450259112423424
@ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty It CAN also spread in-sensor, but that usually results in vertical or horizontal streaks (bleeding). Given we see 90Â° and 45Â° spikes (especially visible at the start of FLIR1) that more suggests diffraction to me.

2022-06-27T15:52:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541449273337716736
@ChrisSPITZER7 @makoteko1 @dave_beaty No. Veiling glare is SPREADING light/radiation. Generally, that means that the light source itself would be saturated when visible (e.g. the sun) but the spreading light around it is not necessarily a byproduct of sensor saturation. Light spreads due to optical path imperfection.

2022-06-26T23:23:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541200587089276928
@RobHeatherly1 @quantastrings @conterammundi @TUPACABRA2 Lue said neo-nazi.

2022-06-26T19:36:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541143488699326464
@DreamDetective1 I don't see any spiraling in this video.

It was 50 years ago. Nothing extraordinary is shown, so nothing is going to come of it.

2022-06-26T18:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541127983032766464
@Catchme22322934 The lights appear to be reflections. They reflect around the center, so the middle light is the reflection of the light below, lights on the left are reflections of lights on the right. Horse obscures them, and they go out.

Seeing it full-width would reveal this. Maybe a joke

2022-06-26T18:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541125821275840512

@WTCphysics @NIST A win how?

Let's say they published a peer-reviewed paper. Would you accept that as final?

2022-06-26T18:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541125546515451904

@StoyWare @WTCphysics @NIST Essentially, yes.

2022-06-26T18:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541120671953981441

@WTCphysics @NIST Something that's blatantly obvious does not really need peer-reviewed studies. That's why NIST stopped the simulation once global collapse was inevitable.

2022-06-26T18:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541120258332717056

@WTCphysics @michael_mcleary @ViktoriaLukas1 I think the engineers he knows are a highly representative sample of the engineers most likely to understand the situation - i.e. senior structural engineers in New York City. He also worked on the WTC site from 9/12 for several months.

2022-06-26T17:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541112796116488193

@WTCphysics @michael_mcleary @ViktoriaLukas1 That's my impression from the ones I've talked to, for example. Donald Friedman, author of "After 9-11" says he knows lots of structural engineers in NYC, and none of them subscribe to the Controlled Demolition theory.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezHc9x75808

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWMh2zGUEAE4y9n.jpg

Mick West 39:33

Yeah. Yeah, I don't think I don't remember the live load being mentioned in in this analysis is though, it's not just the people as the floors themselves, which are the mass of the building. But they're not they're not live load. They're just they're dead load but they're not Yeah. So it kind of a general thing kind of closing up here. Like it's it's very hard To get people to talk about this type of thing, you're you're an engineer, you're an expert on this, this type of things. And you, you were on the site. So it's great, you have this really great perspective on it. But I find it very hard to get people like engineers or scientists to talk about conspiracy theories. what's what's your sense of how 911 conspiracy theories are viewed within the engineering community?

Donald Friedman 40:30

I've never met a structural engineer, a practicing structural engineer who believes them. That doesn't mean that those such people don't exist. I'm sure they do. But you would think that if there was something funny about what happened here, that engineers in New York would be aware of it. And there's really no sign that anybody here believes that kind of theory. It is sort of fascinating to me that so much of the the conspiracy theory comes from people who are quite far away. And not, don't necessarily spend a lot of time dealing with, with tall buildings and don't necessarily, actually tall buildings as opposed to theory, and don't necessarily spend a lot of time dealing with tall buildings that have real life problems.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWMh2zGUEAE4y9n.jpg
2022-06-26T16:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541102213627404291
@michael_mcleary @WTCphysics @ViktoriaLukas1 It matches both observed reality and other studies. It's widely accepted by the huge majority of the structural engineering community.

It's not perfect in every detail (impossible, as the "data" was never accessible, hidden by smoke and fire). But on the macro level, it's fine.

2022-06-26T16:35:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541097932518072321
@WTCphysics @ViktoriaLukas1 And regarding the accuracy, I think it's the best we have. It has limitations, but was a hugely computationally expensive model, with weeks-long iteration times. It accurately replicates the key aspects of the collapse (int failures, ext buckling), if not cosmetically perfect.

2022-06-26T16:33:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541097388135178241
@WTCphysics @ViktoriaLukas1 I think they were concerned that terrorists would use it to identify vulnerabilities in other buildings and plan attacks using explosives at key points. This was during a period of intensely heightened concern.

Subsequently I think that simply continued as the default position

---

2022-06-26T16:18:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541093611743260672
@WTCphysics @NIST The support comes from the columns. The floors are not columns, they can't support more than 12x their own weight as a STATIC force. The falling mass fell through the floors, stripping them from the columns with massive DYNAMIC forces. The columns were then slender, and failed.

2022-06-26T16:15:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541092709699440642
@WTCphysics @ViktoriaLukas1 It's on the NIST website. As you know they did not release the full model, just the results.

2022-06-26T14:42:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541069487096483840
@DreamDetective1 I don't see it stopping and starting in the video. I see an intermittent contrail.

2022-06-26T14:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1541069307030908928
@IWANTTOKNOWUK @benjimaan22 @wookiepastimes It was nearly 20 years ago. I'm saying what it looks like to me. That's all. I don't find it super interesting, as it just looks like some circling aircraft.

2022-06-26T10:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541011808131026945

@DreamDetective1 Looks like a high aircraft or object leaving a contrail. Not a lot else you can say about it.

2022-06-26T10:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541011053592449024

@benjimaan22 @IWANTTOKNOWUK @wookiepastimes I'd suspect a nighttime military exercise - some miles away.

2022-06-26T10:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541009867388751872

@CanesReign @UFOhistorian I'm simply seeking to answer questions raised about the videos - and the claims made about those videos. I'm not extrapolating that to a broader theory. It's quite possible there were more drones. It's even possible there were alien spaceships.

But this video shows mostly stars

2022-06-26T10:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541002654716788737

@welling_phil @Matt_CooperExSc @RealArea503 @JeremyCorbell @tinyklaus The moving one? Good question - and I do a detailed analysis of that here using the angular distance between stars. Short answer is no, long answer is that there's a range of plausible distances that include both drones and planes.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2020-02-07T20:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225884939524067329

@tylerwhulett @ObiWade @UfoJoe11 @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 Why do they have lights at all?

2020-02-07T19:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225857413594509313

@hughespj1 @EthicalSkeptic @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Well I think it's objectively true that "I know but I can't tell you" or "I know someone who knows, but he can't tell you" isn't generally considered great supporting evidence.

2020-02-07T19:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225856889973436417

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 "FLIR1" is the name of the one video from the Nimitz case. There's only one (publicly available.) The navy has said there's another video that is the exact same length - so probably just a different resolution version.

2020-02-07T18:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225856274031448064

@hughespj1 @EthicalSkeptic @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure That's great, but not really useful in terms of verifying your claims.

2020-02-07T18:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225855429080563712

@EthicalSkeptic @the_grandad @hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Verification. It's not verifiable now because PJ won't tell us who it is, and says they have signed NDAs.

And "This is reported to me from a crew member on that flight." IS a second hand verbal description.

2020-02-07T18:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225854671375364096

@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 If I give a full list I'll always include things like aliens and advance tech (and hoaxes, mistakes, etc) But generally people ask me what I think is most likely. I try never go 100% for something with limited data. But short tweets can sometimes lead to that impression.

2020-02-07T18:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225852617856733184

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Which is what I said.

2020-02-07T18:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225852045241933824

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 And Kevin Day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMZ76LUYAAzKUl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMZ76LUYAAzKUl.jpg

2020-02-07T18:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225851770120728576

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 But the testimonies are not classified either.

2020-02-07T18:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225851211292692480

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure And yet my point still stands.

2020-02-07T18:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225848894837248001

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 I think the Nimitz Flir1 video shows an unknown aircraft. It MIGHT be an alien craft, or (more likely) an novel human craft, or (even more likely) just a regular craft. One should keep an open mind.

2020-02-07T18:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225848328866238465

@the_grandad @hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure A second hand verbal description of something is not "good enough for scientific verification"

Someone saying someone said there is data is not actually data.

2020-02-07T18:25:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225847964926500864

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 I mentioned alien. It's a possibility. Do you discount it? Or just think there's no good evidence?

2020-02-07T18:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225847686433132545

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Again, I'm not say aline spaceships don't exist. I'm saying, just like in your example, that the evidence for them does not exist to the extent that we can rule out conventional explanations.

2020-02-07T18:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225847320048107521

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 The point is that there ISN'T good evidence of genuine UFOs. That does not mean they don't exist. It does not mean they are not alien, or advanced technology.

2020-02-07T18:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225846554814107648

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Breaking his NDA?

2020-02-07T18:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225846402497961984

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure I said "possibly" in my tweet.

So my tweet is correct? Your tweet is wrong? Retraction?

2020-02-07T18:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225846097119039493

@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Nobody claimed to see the F-117 up close (or even really at all), and people did not carry HD cameras with them everywhere they went in 1988.

2020-02-07T18:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225844575979200512

@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure So what part of what I said different from the conversation with Kevin? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMTJESUYAAW3gH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMTJESUYAAW3gH.jpg

2020-02-07T18:10:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225844238790750208
@hughespj1 @the_grandad @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMS1RcUUAA3__V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMS1RcUUAA3__V.jpg
2020-02-07T18:06:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225843399774720000
@the_grandad @hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Kevin Day is the guy to ask here, as he's the actual radar guy. He said on Facebook that it was not absolutely clear that the craft travelled between the two points, as there was no intermediate data. So possibly different things, or teleportation, or the radar just missed it.

2020-02-07T18:04:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225842824337186817
@Asgeir16813191 @HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 I'm not talking about the military. I'm talking about the billions of people out there with cameras. Including all the millions of non-military pilots, and millions of professional photographers, and the millions of astronomers.

2020-02-07T18:00:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225841839971848193
@HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 My standards of "better" are very low. There's vast room for improvement, and every little step in that direction will bolster the case.

Right now we've got blobs and lights. You don't need to give me 8K close-ups. Just a good photo or two would be a start.

2020-02-07T17:53:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225840128922247168
@YouVacuum @Rico71091546 @PostDisclosure That's not the issue here. The issue is Wendt's claim that RIGHT NOW the Navy has ruled out all conventional explanations.

2020-02-07T17:52:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225839800558571522
@HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Sure, but good photos and video would be MUCH more convincing than the hubcaps, fuzzy blobs, or lights in the sky we have right now. There's vast room for improvement.

2020-02-07T17:49:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225838892735057920
@YouVacuum @Rico71091546 @PostDisclosure So you just think it's possible that they are genuine UFOs.

Which means that, right now, they are not. They are just UFOs, and we've not ruled out all conventional explanations.

2020-02-07T17:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225838541176860674
@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure You can't help yourself, can you? :)

I've been through the interviews, I even did one of the interviews myself.
https://youtu.be/8EbRv2xUinI

2020-02-07T17:45:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225837982646538241
@HJB96145556 @jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure

@Oswald1160 Good quality photos and/or video that are not fake. Preferably from multiple known locations.

I'd never stop CONSIDERING prosaic explanations. You need to consider before you can rule out. But to RULE OUT prosaic explanations you need sufficient data.

2020-02-07T17:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225836678985551873

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure But here we are discussing Wendt's video, and his distinctions of "temporary" and "genuine". Do you wish to dismiss his entire video as irrelevant semantics?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u_RquOChJuE

2020-02-07T17:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225835442341216258

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure I don't consider it two sides. But you certainly seem to be on a side, even if it's just your personal side.

And again with the insults? Can't we just have a civil discussion about the facts? I have no hard feelings, but it's a problem when trying to be constructive.

2020-02-07T17:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225834769293856769

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure No. They have always been unidentified, who ever said they were identified?

The issue here is Wendt's claim that the Navy has ruled out all conventional explanations, and hence that they are "genuine unidentified".

They better fit his description of "temporary unidentified"

2020-02-07T17:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225833875210850304

@YouVacuum @Rico71091546 @PostDisclosure The issue here is Wendt's claim that the Navy has established that "genuine UFOs are real". He bases that on the videos and he claims, based on nothing, that that the Navy has "ruled out all the standard conventional explanations".
He has zero basis for his assertion. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMIpkpUYAA_Bpa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMIpkpUYAA_Bpa.jpg

2020-02-07T17:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225832297552678912

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure 'That terminology is important. "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena" provides "the basic descriptor for the sightings/observations of unauthorized/unidentified aircraft/objects that have been observed entering/operating in the airspace of various military-controlled training ranges,"'

2020-02-07T17:21:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225832084947652608

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure "In other words, the Pentagon says the aerial objects in the videos are simply unidentified, and for now, unexplained. The Navy is pointedly not saying the objects are flying saucers or otherwise controlled by aliens."

2020-02-07T17:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225830601246167043

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure Continually insulting people's intelligence isn't really a good discussion strategy. It makes your side look bad, and it sets up an adversarial situation that's difficult to get anything constructive from.

2020-02-07T17:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225829846686691328

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure He makes a distinction between "Temporary UFOs" where there is not not enough information to determine wha they are, and "Genuine UFOs" where all mundane possibilities have been eliminated. He falsely claims the Navy says these are "Genuine UFOs",

when they did not.

2020-02-07T17:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225828634956402688

@InfiniLuc I'm going to Mammoth. That interview was shot at the Berrics in LA.

2020-02-07T17:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225828431947943936

@SpaceDadOrbis He certainly is. He was always my favorite - his flatland stuff was incredible. Here he is at a THPS2 photoshoot around 1999. I'm in the middle back.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMDuWdU0AA9I-_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQMDuWdU0AA9I-_.jpg

2020-02-07T08:19:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225695504522022917

Come see Tony Hawk and Rodney Mullen (and me) at the world Premier of "Pretending I'm a Superman", at the Mammoth Film Festival. Feb 29th.

https://theberrics.com/tony-hawk-rodney-mullen-mammoth-lakes-festival

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQKK0_1UYAImFsI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQKK0_1UYAImFsI.jpg
2020-02-07T08:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225691818202824704
@jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 As for "why not" - basically it's lack of evidence. We have no examples of alien visitors. We have billions of examples of a wide variety of boring flying objects and human failings.

2020-02-07T08:02:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225691270938411008
@jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 There are lots of different possible explanations for lots of different sightings and events. ET is one possibility, just not one I'd rank very high.

Did you have one event in mind?

2020-02-07T07:49:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225687919685328898
@steven_dor @MusicAndTruth @UfoJoe11 Well that's not working, is it?

I'm retired, I'm living mostly off my earning from programming Tony Hawk's Pro Skater.

I investigate UFOs because it's fun trying to figure out what they are. I didn't even write about them in my book - although it is something of a Rabbit Hole.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQKEix5UwAkzREC.jpg

Contents

Acknowledgments v
Prologue: Willie – Rabbit Hole Escapee vii
Introduction xii
Overview xxii

PART ONE

Chapter 1: *The "Conspiracy Theory" Conspiracy Theory* 1
Chapter 2: *Conspiracy Spectrums* 11
Chapter 3: *The Shill Card* 26
Chapter 4: *The Rabbit Hole: How and Why* 37
Chapter 5: *Core Debunking Techniques* 56
Chapter 6: *Steve – A Journey through the Rabbit Hole* 75

PART TWO

Chapter 7: *Chemtrails* 85
Chapter 8: *Stephanie – A Former Chemtrailer* 129
Chapter 9: *9/11 Controlled Demolitions* 135
Chapter 10: *Karl – Temporary Truther* 160
Chapter 11: *False Flags* 164
Chapter 12: *Richard – Drawing the Line at Sandy Hook* 189
Chapter 13: *Flat Earth* 194
Chapter 14: *Bob – Escape from Flat Earth* 212

PART THREE

Chapter 15: *Complications in Debunking* 219
Chapter 16: *The Future of Bunk and Debunking* 233

Conclusion 249
Glossary 251
Endnotes 257
Index 274

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQKEix5UwAkzREC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EQKD6lJUEAACq-1.jpg
2020-02-07T07:43:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225686598114672641
@UfoJoe11 @HermanAllmaras It's quite possible that was from editing, as <2/3 the speed of sound is the speed of the jet.

The analysis of "go-fast" on the TTSA site repeats the same errors of saying the object is low and fast. They could calculate the actual altitude, and a range of speeds. But they don't

---

2020-02-07T07:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225685038810841090
@jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 I think there are lots of different explanations for lots of different observations. Alien visitors are one possibility, but I don't think that's a very good explanation.

2020-02-07T07:02:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225676091039158272
@AgentJ61780559 @PostDisclosure Shame he never drew a picture.

2020-02-07T04:26:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225636882832183298
@jordanmiracle1 @Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 Mistakes do not require stupidity.

2020-02-07T02:00:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225600177135710211
@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue How about section 10? Not for Twitter, of course, but maybe you could have told Harry Reid something?

2020-02-07T01:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225599800600477696
@BLeeD1GNAN @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue I don't think anyone ever doubted that you are not allowed to reveal classified information.

2020-02-07T01:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225599076550332416
@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue Radar is basically directional radio waves that bounce off things, and the return is processed to extract information about those things.
Again, what specifically is relevant in your question?

2020-02-07T01:48:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225597155601108993
@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue That's a silly question. I could just look something up. What specifically are you asking that's relevant to the issue here that would not be classified?

Maybe you should come on my podcast, and we could resolve our differences in public?

2020-02-07T01:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225594970372894721
@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue Utterly irrelevant. I'm not trying to convince people based on my experience. I'm saying nothing that people can't check for themselves. I'm not relying on privileged secret knowledge.

2020-02-07T01:37:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225594326337515520
@Icculus13 @hughespj1 My position is a seeker of truth. I'm trying to figure out what happened.

2020-02-07T01:36:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225594107361316865
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @UfoIssue Likely because the data does not conclusively show that (or possibly even shows nothing at all related to the video, which might be of a plane miles from where the radar was looking)

2020-02-07T01:34:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225593676925026304
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @UfoIssue One of
A) it doesn't demonstrate anything about what was filmed.
B) It demonstrates something, but they have more important things to do
C) It demonstrates something, but classification prevents release.
(Possibly B+C)

2020-02-07T01:28:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225592063011352576
@Icculus13 @hughespj1 What position is that?

Of course there's some radar data that shows something somewhere. But since we can't access

it, then it's not an actual source we can factor into an analysis.

All we have are memories about the data. And even there no real link to the video.

2020-02-07T01:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225589803376185344

@hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Put another way. It was said we have evidence including "experts, video, and radar data". If the video was just something PJ recorded in 2004, then never saw again. Is it true to say we have video evidence?

16 year old memories of data are not data.

2020-02-07T01:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225588629700894720

@hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure "PJ Knows" is just "military experts" it's not radar data.

"PJ says there is data" is not data.

"PJ says the data somehow proves the video is not of a plane" is not good evidence.

2020-02-07T01:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225587887854325762

@hughespj1 @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure Radar data that shows the object in the video is not a plane? How do you know the object in the video is the same as the source of your radar data?

2020-02-07T01:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225586753672638464

@UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 I was responding to " there are so many valid sources ... including radar"

But THERE ISN'T.

You want to argue a POSSIBILTY of data as ACTUAL and SPECIFIC data?

2020-02-06T23:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225561320596090884

@Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 I'm looking at it from the angle of trying to figure out what actually happened.

2020-02-06T23:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225561094703288320

@Asgeir16813191 @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 There's no radar data regarding the object in the video.

2020-02-06T23:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225559559630012416

@PostDisclosure @nina_clyde @Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 And don't you ever speculate about the reasons for the "aliens" doing what they do? Forming a model that includes intent

would seem to be quite helpful over one where everything is random.

2020-02-06T23:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225559174249091073

@PostDisclosure @nina_clyde @Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 If you are ascribing things to potentially alien technology, or just to a dot on the screen then it's really helpful to know why. Why did someone think the "radar jamming", an "act of war" came from the craft in the video? What difference would it have made?

2020-02-06T20:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225519981250727936

@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure But itâ□□s easier than tweeting.

2020-02-06T20:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225510084555550722

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure If it's invisible to radar, then why does it need to jam radar in a detectable manner?

2020-02-06T19:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225507503766691840

@ShepardAmbellas So this is when it's actually going to happen then? Because it seems like you've been telling people to get ready for martial law for quite a while.

2020-02-06T19:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225506914894741505

@GalaxyReport @knightsabers01 @PostDisclosure Did they say that they have eliminated all conventional possibilities?

2020-02-06T19:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225506787526422529

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure So it didn't seem to Jam HIS radar, and yet it did not appear on his radar even when it was right in front of him? Seems rather inconsistent.

2020-02-06T19:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225505958891270148

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure No, significant to this discussion of claims in the Wendt TEDx talk about the Navy.

2020-02-06T19:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225505505944199169

@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure Blocking and muting are quick and easy to do. So I assume this is some strange joke?

2020-02-06T19:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225498790616018950

@Asgeir16813191 @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure Why don't you:

A) Quote where he said that

B) Explain the significance to this discussion.

2020-02-06T19:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225498453796622336
@Asgeir16813191 @RobAgs0817 @1947_z @PostDisclosure Of course I can. I disagree with it, but you are not saying anything particularly complicated.
I get this argument all the time. "Hey you keep focussing on A, and not on A+B+C+D ~= X"
It's not actually an argument for anything.

2020-02-06T18:53:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225492736398233600
@RobAgs0817 @1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure Is there a spreadsheet of all 62 kids, with the point by point correlations and differences in their accounts during each interview cycle?

And if not, then why not? Anything else is cherry-picking.

2020-02-06T18:49:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225491764817154048
@RobAgs0817 @1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure Even the ones they interviewed did not feel the same way, beyond "something happened".

2020-02-06T18:48:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225491432171024389
@Asgeir16813191 @1947_z @PostDisclosure By "no particular reason" - I meant "no clear reason"

2020-02-06T18:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225491310976565250
@Asgeir16813191 @1947_z @PostDisclosure If I talk about jets, then it's because I think jets are a likely explanation. FLIR1 video is possibly of a distant jet. I've not seen anyone give a solid technical reason as to why it's not. I've seen some people give incorrect reasons.

2020-02-06T18:43:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225490206981226505
@RobAgs0817 @1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure The ones they did not talk to?

2020-02-06T18:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225490136697315329
@IBKING46 @PostDisclosure I'm addressing Wendt's TEDx talk here. He does not show or mention the FLIR1 incident. He makes the false claim that the Navy says they have eliminated conventional explanations for Gimbal and Go-Fast.

Without prejudicing anything else, it that true or false?

2020-02-06T18:40:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1225489335480410115
@RobAgs0817 @1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure I think that as adults, some of them have come to believe that something happened. It's remarkably easy to create false memories.

2020-02-06T18:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225488964322316289

@IBKING46 @PostDisclosure I'm not asking you give my OPTION any weight, I'm just pointing out various things that you can verify for yourself.

Here I'm pointing out that the Navy has not said they have ruled out all conventional explanations for the videos.

That's not my opinion. It's a fact.

2020-02-06T18:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225486446309629955

@1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure I see no more fear than you'd expect from children being interrogated by a stranger and trying to give him what he is asking for.

2020-02-06T17:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225478620342145024

@1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure I think some combination of the normal panoply of human failings is far more likely than alien visitors at a random school for no particular reason.

2020-02-06T17:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225475535536451584

@Asgeir16813191 @1947_z @PostDisclosure Of course I consider new ideas. That's what I do. I look at these new ideas, and I see if they hold up to scrutiny.

2020-02-06T14:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225430543434579968

@1947_z @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure Fear? Of what?

2020-02-06T14:43:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225429930319564801

@Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure The video probably shows a jet, and is probably unrelated to what Fravor saw, and not are probably unrelated to the radar issues.

2020-02-05T22:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225179773418106880

@EthicalSkeptic @Oswald1160 @jeffhalmos @PostDisclosure Your excessive focus on language is excluding you from reality. You never actually get around to discussing things that are concrete. This seems like a waste, a creation of noise that will go nowhere.

2020-02-05T19:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225139417477595137

@PostDisclosure Okay.

2020-02-05T19:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225137104855785473

@PostDisclosure No, it's not. It's a possible explanation. It's possible the Navy was unable to

identify the FLIR1 object because they did not get close enough to it.

2020-02-05T19:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225136019474829312

@BobSmit67179759 @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure Can you quote something relevant that the DoD said that I disagree with?

2020-02-05T06:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224941898357334016

@Normah28963081 You disagree with him. But what do you know about the subject that he does not? What is he (the world's leading expert on the topic) missing that you did not miss?

2020-02-05T06:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224941396961812481

@Normah28963081 He's the world's leading expert on the science of SAI. He says both that nobody is doing it, and that if they were, it would not look like contrails.

2020-02-05T06:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224940056764305408

@Normah28963081 It will look like a faint mist, probably invisible. Not a thick cloud. Only a contrail can form a thick persistent cloud. This is because a contrail is formed mostly (99.9%) from atmospheric water.

2020-02-05T06:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224939474531995648

@paulmuaddib61 @LionelMedia I'm explaining what the photo shows, nothing else.

2020-02-05T06:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224937129102307330

@BryanKelly @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 That's also false. The object in that video is not moving laterally at all. The camera is moving.

2020-02-05T06:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224936895425077249

@Normah28963081 David Keith says that SAI has not even been tested yet, not deployed in any way at all. He also says it would not look like contrails. So why are you citing him?

See my interview with him. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

---

2020-02-05T05:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224935020738965504

@Normah28963081 So, no long white persistent trails that look exactly like contrails? Just detectable movements of fleets of planes?

Nothing at all related to my photo of something that looks like a contrail, and that you claimed was SAI.

2020-02-05T05:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224934549747929089

@EthicalSkeptic @jeffhalmos @PostDisclosure No I didn't.

2020-02-05T05:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224933727827968000

@BryanKelly @DanPlouff @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure That's a good example. I suspect even a highly trained spillway engineer might be confused by it for a while. Especially if they were too far away :)

2020-02-05T05:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224932971968266241

@BryanKelly @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure And why it has not been fixed - and not only that, why the mistaken interpretation was repeated on TTSA's Discovery channel show with Elizondo and two "experts". https://youtu.be/PLyEO0jNt6M

2020-02-05T05:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224930871100108800

@EthicalSkeptic @jeffhalmos @PostDisclosure I'm not the one claiming that the Navy said they have eliminated all conventional possibilities. I'm the guy pointing out that that assertion is false.

2020-02-05T05:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224930535786438657

@BryanKelly @ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure No, it's not fair at all. TTSA has a page describing Go-Fast as a low fast object, when it's actually a high slow object. That's not a difference you can sweep under the rug as a "general characterization." It's just wrong, regardless of who did it.

2020-02-05T05:36:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224929880615215104

@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 Yes, it's either a beyond next generation craft, or something that was too far away to identify.

2020-02-05T05:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224929381983744000

@EthicalSkeptic @jeffhalmos @PostDisclosure I didn't say I knew the exact distance in any situation. However they Navy have not said that they were able to eliminate all conventional explanations, hence the possibility remains that the object was too far away to do so.

2020-02-05T05:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224928146882871296

@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure What am I overlooking regarding Elizondo's failure with the Go-Fast analysis?

Do you still think his professional opinion on a video (or his opinion of on someone else's opinion of that video) is worth something?

2020-02-05T05:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224927355891679232

@EthicalSkeptic @jeffhalmos @PostDisclosure None of which is useful if the object of interest is too far away.

2020-02-05T05:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224926975703187456

@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure So why exactly is this blatantly false analysis still on the TTSA web site two years after it was show to be incorrect. Who told Lue this was correct?

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2015-go-fast-footage

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EP_P6hIU4AAx24C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EP_P6hIU4AAx24C.jpg

2020-02-05T05:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224925296127643653

@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure I'm an expert in 3D mathematics. It's what I did professionally for 20 years. But it's not even that complicated. Elizondo, directly or indirectly, messed up Go-Fast analysis that needed less than high-school trig.

Hence his opinion, direct or indirect, is worthless.

2020-02-05T05:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224924152223551489
@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure So I've given you an example of where his experts were flat wrong, and you ask me if I trust their conclusions?

Who are these experts you are asking me to trust. What experience do they have in this field?

2020-02-05T05:11:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224923374473756674
@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure Concede what? These are things that are demonstrable. You cant outvote trigonometry.

2020-02-05T05:09:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224923041609605121
@Normah28963081 Where in any SAI proposal does it say there would be long white persistent trails that look exactly like contrails?

2020-02-05T05:08:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224922796909719554
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 Of course, but that's not what is being claimed. The claim is that the Navy has eliminated conventional possibilities. But they have not said that. You are just speculating that they might have.

2020-02-05T05:07:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224922375000449025
@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure Why would it? He's shown zero aptitude in judging these things. The worthless "Go Fast" analysis is still there on the TTSA web site.
https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2015-go-fast-footage

2020-02-05T05:03:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224921476584751104
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 More does not mean sufficient.

2020-02-05T05:00:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224920759048400898
@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure Obviously not. He messed up the Go Fast analysis (or he accepted someone else's faulty analysis), and that was just high-school math. He's a worthless expert in video analysis.

2020-02-05T04:59:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224920383737880576
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 Do you wish to apply them?

Has the Navy said they have eliminated all conventional possibilities for the FLIR1 video?

2020-02-05T04:57:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1224920020855087104
@PostDisclosure I'm talking about this TedX talk, which is not about the FLIR1 video.

2020-02-05T04:55:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224919409531080704

@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @jasonturner2 Wendt did not show the Nimitz video. He quite specifically say that the Navy had ruled out conventional explanations for Go-Fast and Gimbal. That's pure unsubstantiated speculation on his part.

2020-02-05T04:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224918604811923456

@ChiefofTruth @PostDisclosure What would that change? The videos remain the same. Elizondo is not an expert in video analysis, TTSA got the Go-Fast analysis demonstrably wrong.

2020-02-05T04:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224918169967460353

@PostDisclosure No. It simply means they were too far away.

2020-02-05T04:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224913144692129794

@Normah28963081 Why do you think that?

2020-02-05T04:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224913020251336705

@PostDisclosure This reflects a common and fundamental problem in UFOology - conflating "inability to resolve" with "ruling out".

A dot in the sky might be A,B,C,D,E,F, or something else. Not being able to tell WHICH of A,B,C,D,E, or F it is does not mean it's not any of them.

2020-02-05T04:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224912108220928000

@PostDisclosure It's nonsense. The two videos (Gimbal and Go-Fast) he gives as examples of "Genuine UFOs" are in fact only UFOs because of a lack of information - and more specifically because they are too far away. He totally misrepresent the Navy here. They did NOT rule anything out.

2020-02-05T01:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224868155719159808

@LionelMedia It's some spread contrails, and the sun, and a dirty lens creating those streaks, and a lens reflection creating that dot on the right.

2020-02-05T01:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224867633779990528

@ColonelTweeto At that point it's "ice fog", which is always significant more persistent that regular (liquid) fog, as it does not require as much humidity.

2020-02-04T23:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224838602217205762

3Â°F at Mammoth Lakes this morning. So the cars were leaving short-lived contrails. Not cold enough for homogeneous ice nucleation, so this is still a liquid water droplet cloud, unlike the one in the sky, which is ice. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EP9_RhUU8AEfUBE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EP9_RhUU8AEfUBE.jpg

2020-02-04T23:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224832533205942272

@Chemtrails_SWE @LionelMedia Those are iridescent clouds. You see them occasionally.

2020-02-04T22:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224827369954742272

@red_pill_junkie @_PeteLomas Other people had pointed it out before me. It had also shown up in various newspaper articles before Close Encounters.

2020-02-04T22:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224826869100318720

@Chemtrails_SWE @LionelMedia No, those are solar ice halos. It means there's ice crystals of various types in the air. See:

https://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/common.htm

2020-02-04T22:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224826607308664832

@TommyEAebischer @ufotodaynetwork It's not a live show. I record interview for my podcast, which usually comes out a few days later.

2020-02-03T15:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224356651160915968

@Rico71091546 @bsmiggity So many leaks?

2020-02-03T15:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224356182078279682

@_olligo_ Itâ□□s probably a bug.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2020-02-03T09:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224267289815502848

@NateMBeltran @ScottGandhi @hoaxeye @michaelshermer Some water on the lens distorting the image.

2020-02-03T09:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224267001679335424

@bsmiggity Can you link to their â□□acknowledgementâ□□ - not a paraphrasing, what they actually said?

2020-02-02T23:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224106036711186432

@sojourner919 @DanKaszeta Iâ□□ve not read them. But Iâ□□ve talked to Nick Pope, heâ□□s not confined itâ□□s aliens.

2020-02-02T17:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224014898532716546

@TRandallizer @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @hughespj1 @mintyhyperspace Who actually thought what they were seeing was â□□not of this worldâ□□ when they saw it?

2020-02-02T16:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224003336896045056

@TRandallizer @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @hughespj1 @mintyhyperspace Your initial premise does not make any sense. Maybe you could define your terms.

2020-02-02T05:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223838477504016384

@sicktanick @AugmentedRyan @conradhere What does â□□they existâ□□ mean, exactly?

2020-02-02T05:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223838009159610368

@sicktanick @UfoSunday Why not?

2020-02-02T05:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223837782084218882

@BlueBookBelieve @Eng_in_Russia @Th_Unidentified No, I think itâ□□s very likely that there is life elsewhere in the universe.

2020-02-02T02:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223801066669559809

@BlueBookBelieve @Eng_in_Russia @Th_Unidentified Iâ□□m not certain at all.

2020-02-01T17:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223665892220755968

@Real_StephenLaw No, really the only one of five observables thatâ□□s validated is â□□low observabilityâ□□. Which is a bit odd.

2020-02-01T17:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223656517175373829

@AugmentedRyan Depends on the photo. But the point is we don't even have that. As cameras improve, UFOs shrink or move further away.

2020-02-01T17:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223656192192331781

@UfoSunday If it's compelling, then why does it not compel anyone?

It would seem crazier to ignore compelling evidence than to follow up on it.

2020-02-01T17:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223655809495658498

@bsmiggity Highest level? What specifically are you talking about there? What did they acknowledge?

2020-02-01T17:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223655630319177728

@Th_Unidentified When?

2020-02-01T17:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223655565450076160

@Real_StephenLaw For you.

2020-02-01T17:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223655408834727936

@SSDavidT Those memes don't really bolster your case.

2020-02-01T06:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223492238564421632

@Chunkoftomato @VICE Yeah, "mass hysteria" is commonly used to mean "mass delusion" - so common that it really should be understood that way.

A classic mass delusion (also labeled hysteria) is the Seattle Windshield Pitting Epidemic of 1954

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seattle_windshield_pitting_epidemic

---

2020-02-01T06:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223490827596394496

@KimJellen How many voters need to be concerned?

People are concerned about all kinds of overlooked things in far higher numbers.

2020-02-01T06:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223490367489576965

@AugmentedRyan There's huge room for improvement in the photos of UFOs. We've got like 1% quality now, what it were cranked up to 10%, or even 100%?

2020-01-31T23:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223392109455015936

@AugmentedRyan Do they not have billions of cameras on this planet?

2020-01-31T23:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223391660622483456

@EnergyField7 @PaulFox13 Try this three minute overview of what the last 70 years of books on clouds say about contrails. It should answer some of your questions.

https://youtu.be/X72uACIN_00

2020-01-31T22:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223375551429087232

The Colorado Mystery Drones Werenâ□□t Real

https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/884xv3/the-colorado-mystery-drones-werent-real?utm_campaign=sharebutton via @vice

---

2020-01-31T20:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223339253377056769

@DanKaszeta It waxes and wanes, depending on what is on TV. It does not mean there are no actual exotic craft flying around - but most sightings are clearly boring stuff.

There's plenty of photos and videos - the UFOs just tend to get smaller or further away as resolution increases.

2020-01-31T20:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223338442156724224

@TxUFOSightings @Oswald1160 @EverywhereUfo I want to move the world toward a slightly more evidence-based criteria for action. Also, if people are in cults, or simply sucked too deeply down a harmful conspiracy theory rabbit hole, then I want to help them out.

2020-01-31T20:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223337802609250304

@EnergyField7 Clouds consist of condensation.

Do clouds disappear quickly?

2020-01-31T20:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223337522840793088

UFO enthusiasts think the study of UFOs is really important, and that world altering revelations are just around the corner. But the harsh reality is that the evidence really isn't strong, and politicians seem to have more important things to worry about.

https://mysteriousuniverse.org/2020/02/bernie-sanders-and-tulsi-gabbard-face-ufo-questions-in-new-hampshire/

2020-01-31T19:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223335028236537856

@amick_theresa Consider though that you can generally get "a consortium of scientists" to agree on anything. What about a majority of scientists? Or a majority of engineers?

2020-01-31T19:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223334436390879233

@TxUFOSightings @Oswald1160 @EverywhereUfo That's not what I was trying to say. I've talked to lots of people, and some of them describe their experiences in thinks like UFO experiencing, chemtrails, or 9/11 groups/communities as cults. So I was asking in general about this. Sorry if my initial question was vague.

2020-01-31T19:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223333718552563712

@mike53656612 @amick_theresa I think nCoV is probably another animal to human virus transmission, like MERS and SARS.

2020-01-31T16:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223275260042084353

@channel_clear The second part sounds a bit like a conspiracy theory. Do you think they are deliberately saying something is a weather balloon when they know 100% is not a weather balloon?

2020-01-31T15:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223274640073605121

@channel_clear @TxUFOSightings I think it's very likely there are other lifeforms in the universe.

2020-01-31T15:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223274307645669376

@EnergyField7 Contrails ofter appear ahead of rain, because they are high clouds. It's an effect of a warm front, not a cause. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPnxZ63UcAERfCe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPnxZ63UcAERfCe.jpg

2020-01-31T15:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223273719411302401

@TxUFOSightings @Oswald1160 @EverywhereUfo I mostly focus on figuring out if things are true or not.

2020-01-31T02:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223072087717240835

@jujuwren_jenny Yeah it's it a bit vague. I was thinking more in terms of social groups that essentially do what amounts to mind control and thought stopping.

2020-01-31T02:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223071341386952706

@EverywhereUfo @TxUFOSightings Nothing at all? What about Flat Earth? That's not going to become true.

2020-01-31T02:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223070575431565313

@jujuwren_jenny "a social group that is defined by its unusual religious, spiritual, or philosophical beliefs, or by its common interest in a particular personality, object or goal." seems to fit. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cult

---

2020-01-31T02:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223069159614902272

@TravisF79433241 I think his cloud buster did nothing at all to clouds.

2020-01-31T01:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223063337883189253

@M3Fine_Art What about QAnon, or people who genuinely think the Earth is flat?

2020-01-31T01:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223062913142808577

@TxUFOSightings It's when a group of people believe that the theory is true, and they start acting based on this and promoting their belief. This can seem similar to people who believe that a cult's teachings are true.

2020-01-30T19:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222971584211611648

@YouVacuum Not if the entire universe is a simulation.

2020-01-30T19:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222971363448643584

@MachinesSekret @YouTube Looks like a balloon of some sort.

2020-01-30T16:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222917052794408961

@UfoIssue No, that's a straw man.

2020-01-30T16:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222914652260032512

@specrtre @realDonaldTrump People who believe in one conspiracy theory often think of people who don't as being believers in a different one. So if you say democrats are perpetuating a conspiracy theory, they might say that is itself a conspiracy theory. If you were a Trump supporter, then: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPiqY4PUwAAmiqz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPiqY4PUwAAmiqz.jpg

2020-01-30T15:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222909700980654080

@specrtre @realDonaldTrump They might say the same about you. How would one resolve such a conflict?

2020-01-30T15:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222906588802899968
@MadScientistPod @ApplePodcasts How would you draw the line though? Is My Favorite Murder an indie podcast? At what point did it stop being one? You could argue even Joe Rogan is indie.

2020-01-30T15:28:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222904471988002816
@FintanHennessy Do conspiracy theories amount to mind control, but in an indirect, emergent manner?

Mind control, as describe by Steven Hassan (@CultExpert) here:
https://freedomofmind.com/bite-model/

2020-01-30T15:25:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222903616605810694
@ArchonLobo Yeah, but
A) sometimes they have a figurehead, just less of central focus - Richard Gage for 9/11, Dane Wigington for chemtrails, Mark Sargent for Flat Earth.
B) Maybe they are leaderless cults. i.e. everything but the leader.

2020-01-30T15:21:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222902766290395138
@zazzafrazz Well Qanon jumps to mind. But also others like 9/11, chemtrails, even flat earth.
I was reading about "thought stopping" - basically chanting to stop your brain when you start to doubt - common in cults. It seems to tally with *some* online behavior - particularly flat earth.

2020-01-30T15:16:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222901301073211392
Are some conspiracy theories essentially cults?

2020-01-30T15:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222898824936448002
@warrenkrettek @hoaxeye That's a little harsh. The problem is that people, even non-stupid people, are more easily fooled than they think they are.

2020-01-30T14:57:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222896700517273600
@FlatSlugbrains @badibulgator @try_thinking @hugh_bothwell @AaronBurrell23 @AitorCM2018 @keigh_see @floyd_marston @mikeonthebayou @Shaved_Beav @LaikaAndYuri @USMCNoggin @Tinman_73 @Harley_Dogg @Kompromat9 @CollinOctantis @alastairjallen1 @IFDrinkLib @GerbusJames @GodKingNobody @maxsec @wiguy45 @doc_lamb @Frequen15309040 @cbridger954 @TheUpriser1 @ColdDimSum @dwolfman54 @DenEvigeEtter @JBadass408 @bigfootRULE @MrReali05459432 @poeticbulldozer @Corax_The_Raven @BostonsBuddha @def732dan @DeanKo @bikinatroll @doctorbuttons @forthemasses @KayeTatton @nikocari @robbiederman198 @Freak0nline @dimamynedd @Valuable2017 @dennis_osseman @rawlinson92 @rockytech @EmptyGreenPants Yes, and to extend my point, a focus on "plumb" is perhaps a more useful way of conveying this. Start with plumb, as in a plum bob and line, and

then note that "level" is at right angles to plumb.

I think it helps get the concept across better than if you start with "level"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPiaCb_U0AAuHSD.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPiaCb_U0AAuHSD.jpg
2020-01-30T14:49:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222894691839926272
I'm looking for a podcast guest to talk about their experience believing in chemtrails. This can be either a current or past belief. Anyone up for a friendly chat on Tales from the Rabbit Hole? Here's some past episodes discussing chemtrails:
https://www.tftrh.com/category/chemtrails/

2020-01-30T14:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222893515660652549
@badibulgator @try_thinking @hugh_bothwell @AaronBurrell23 @AitorCM2018 @keigh_see @floyd_marston @mikeonthebayou @Shaved_Beav @LaikaAndYuri @USMCNoggin @Tinman_73 @Harley_Dogg @Kompromat9 @CollinOctantis @alastairjallen1 @IFDrinkLib @GerbusJames @GodKingNobody @maxsec @wiguy45 @doc_lamb @Frequen15309040 @cbridger954 @TheUpriser1 @FlatSlugbrains @ColdDimSum @dwolfman54 @DenEvigeEtter @JBadass408 @bigfootRULE @MrReali05459432 @poeticbulldozer @Corax_The_Raven @BostonsBuddha @def732dan @DeanKo @bikinatroll @doctorbuttons @forthemasses @KayeTatton @nikocari @robbiederman198 @Freak0nline @dimamynedd @Valuable2017 @dennis_osseman @rawlinson92 @rockytech @EmptyGreenPants You rang?
Sorry to interject, but I think here that the dictionary definition of "horizontal" is a bit wooly. I'd like to encourage the more practical terms "plumb" and "level", since they can be measured.
Lovely simple concepts. Level is 90Â° to plumb.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b0bSHRrN7GA

2020-01-30T05:41:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222756812488732673
@bartjpx @GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure I'm partial to reality, whatever that might be.

2020-01-30T01:58:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222700555090317315
@zcichy @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport I did. I designed most of the game. I still have my sketches. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPfnmWaU4AAnn4d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPfnmWaU4AAnn4d.jpg

2020-01-29T22:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222654054238572544

@Chunkoftomato That's three lights. There's no black triangle.

I think the concept of "Illusory contours" plays a big part in many of these sightings.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illusory_contours

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPe86BGVAAE3ElW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPe86BGVAAE3ElW.jpg

2020-01-29T22:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222641481300623360

@Chunkoftomato I'm interested in the "large black triangles." My leading hypothesis there is that it's a combination of disorientation (of the viewer) and planes. Hard to test though.

2020-01-29T21:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222636981215092736

@PostDisclosure @murnut Well I wouldn't give it 0%. But 1% sounds a bit high for something that's approaching magic - especially when based almost entirely on highly subjective anecdotal evidence by people who don't draw diagrams.

2020-01-29T21:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222636478364172289

@murnut @PostDisclosure You asked "What investigative body do you believe is qualified to offer findings?"

assuming you were talking about UFOs, I replied:

"Any of them. Why would you need qualifications?"

I mean, if you've found something, then show what you found.

2020-01-29T21:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222634762638020608
@murnut @PostDisclosure More on those rods:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/some-perspective-on-perspective-foreshortening-contrails-and-ufos.8898/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPer0_LUcAENC2U.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPer0_LUcAENC2U.jpg
2020-01-29T21:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222634171308199936
@murnut @PostDisclosure Any of them. Why would you need qualifications?

2020-01-29T21:28:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222632737682493440
@Spark71029439 @PostDisclosure Not really. I don't know what he saw. I think it's unlikely that it broke the (known) laws of physics. But I don't dismiss his experience. I'd like to figure out what it was.

2020-01-29T21:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222632441841500160
@murnut @PostDisclosure True, like you only need to see one black swan to prove that black swans exist. This is known.

But you've actually got to demonstrate that one account is true. You can't just say "there's lots of accounts, so one must be true"

2020-01-29T21:25:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222631933093564419
@Spark71029439 @PostDisclosure I just said that explaining one UFO case does not explain all UFO cases.

So how do you think that means I'm dismissing the Fravor case?

2020-01-29T21:21:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222630827529342976
@PostDisclosure Oh yeah? Optical illusions tend to keep working, even when you know the trick.
How much is the middle rod here bent? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPen9M_U0AA2rXr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPen9M_U0AA2rXr.jpg

2020-01-29T21:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222630231518801920

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport A stake in what? Solving UFO cases? Explaining how we know the Earth is round?

I've got a stake in what I do because I believe in what I do, and I think it's sometimes helpful and even sometimes important.

And I'm really not very popular. I've only got about 6K subs on YouTube.

2020-01-29T21:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222629476279844864

@PostDisclosure This case is not like the Nimitz case. Don't worry. Explaining one UFO case does not explain all UFO cases.

2020-01-29T21:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222628075982049281

@PostDisclosure Yeah, it's easy not to be fooled when you know what something is.

Yet the pilot of the private jet was fooled. He made a video, posted it on MUFON.

Trained pilots, eh?

2020-01-29T21:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222627712134569984

A few more details. Sometimes a cigar shaped white object in the sky is just a plane. Actually, most of the time.

https://metabunk.org/threads/mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-another-plane.11097/

2020-01-29T21:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222627221795303425

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport Aha, you think my leaving Neversoft and several million dollars of easy money was just part of a plot to spend 15 years building up my online presence so I could make $3500 from my book?

2020-01-29T21:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222626381764255744

The media buzz around "Tic-Tac" UFOs seems to have resulted in more people reporting regular planes as UFOs. Here's an example from the MUFON database. It's just another plane at a much lower altitude. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPej_mOUYAAy9uC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPej72sUUAA_hi9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPej_mOUYAAy9uC.jpg

2020-01-29T14:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1225526624777719808

@digitalditch1 Where would you expect it, if the eart were a globe? Can you draw a diagram?

2020-01-29T06:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1224016386289090 56

The virga streaming from this lenticular cloud shows the actual wind pattern. The cloud itself isn't really there - it's a constantly reforming mark at the crest of a wave in a river of air.

https://twitter.com/stuartweibel/status/1224008646832988 16

2020-01-29T05:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223900516795228 17

@humanoidlord @PostDisclosure Who are you referring to? Who died?

2020-01-29T05:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1223888330329292 80

@digitalditch1 Are you actually interested in science? Or is this all more some kind of exercise?

2020-01-28T18:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222220863480532992

@PstarmanUa @PaulFox13 Not in these photos. They are long exposures, and it's pretty dark, so most all all you see in the photos are the lights.

2020-01-28T18:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222220626724708353

@jgajek @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod That would be the government covering up that it has no control.

2020-01-28T18:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222220429642780672

@digitalditch1 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NzY5du8LMgk

2020-01-28T06:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222047519418413056

@digitalditch1 If all you need are your senses, then what's with the infrared photos? You can't see in infrared.

2020-01-28T05:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222029546532163584

@EdPiotrowski @EFisher828 It was Spirit flight 792, at 6:16

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPWFZIEU0AAUSrD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPWFZH-VAAAwZjt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPWFZIEU0AAUSrD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPWFZH_UEAAPZUF.jpg
2020-01-28T05:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222025610463072258
@EdPiotrowski @EFisher828 Was this today?

2020-01-28T04:56:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222020588287352833
@EdPiotrowski This is actually lower quality than the zoomed-in back of the camera shots. You can see the nav lights on the plane. The original photos (it's a sequence of long exposures) will show more detail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPV9PvCU4AA5A7q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPV9PvCU4AA5A7q.jpg
2020-01-28T04:49:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222018876696756224
@EdPiotrowski @AndrewElswick3 That's just because it's flying towards the camera. Sometimes plane contrails look vertical, but they are actually horizontal. This probably just was in a normal climb

---

2020-01-28T04:45:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1222017755597008896
@EdPiotrowski Yeah, you can see the flashing nav lights in the long exposure.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPV6qjNU8AABpWq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EPV6qjNU8AABpWq.jpg

2020-01-28T04:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222017210786308097

@EdPiotrowski It's probably a plane. Any chance of getting the location, time, and original photos?

Landing lights on, flying roughly towards the camera, climbing through the clouds.

2020-01-28T04:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1222016538183491584

@PaulFox13 Looks like a plane, flying just below the cloud layer and climbing through it.

2020-01-27T21:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221903672000385024

@digitalditch1 You could do it yourself. It will take some effort though. Why don't you try?

2020-01-27T21:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221903526521200642

@PostDisclosure @ufo_classes Sure, but is there really much of a problem? I see UFO conspiracies as "mostly harmless" compared to other conspiracies. People who are big UFO fans really don't seem to be more "beware the illuminati" than the average person. But 9/11 folk do, and chemtrail folk do even more.

2020-01-27T16:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221834361240084481

@ufo_classes @PostDisclosure The "dark side" being that there's some secret government controlling the world?

Surely that belief is independent of UFOs? The people who suspect such a thing would suspect it regardless.

2020-01-27T07:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221692321659359233
@BlackHo25290797 @PostDisclosure But it WAS harmless. Nothing happened there. Just people joking around.

2020-01-27T05:04:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221660319509929986
@BLeeD1GNAN @PostDisclosure What if they don't? How does that differ?

2020-01-27T05:02:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221659733800583168
@murnut @PostDisclosure UFO fans think the government is hiding it because they think it would cause civil unrest. Or they want to monopolize the technology. Both rather boring conspiracies - maintaining the status quo.

9/11 OTOH is seen as a pretext for wars and authoritarian societal restructuring.

2020-01-27T04:59:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221658968512090112
@PostDisclosure What other beliefs has it led to for you?

2020-01-27T04:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221658682796101632
@Fibonacci222 You should check out my interview with David Keith. He heads up geoengineering research at Harvard.
https://youtu.be/LVAxh39hlog

2020-01-27T01:49:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221611271281238017
@PostDisclosure Most UFO people tend to be single issue conspiracy theorists. Being a UFO truther does not translate to being a 9/11 truther.

There's some crossover, but the UFO conspiracists tend to think the plot is for relatively benign purposes, but 9/11ers think it's about enslavement

---

2020-01-26T23:50:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221581117192491008
@Fibonacci222 What's the litmus test for? Will you refuse to talk to me if I don't think chemtrails are real and 9/11 was an inside job?

2020-01-26T23:48:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1221580769795039232
@Fibonacci222 But it WAS a jet with a contrail. I believe that 100%. I'm a contrail expert. I've been observing contrails for 15 years. I was being entirely honest.

You're really not going to have many productive conversations if you just keep focussing on tone.

2020-01-26T23:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221573835247370240

@GaryVoorhis @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere Do you remember what the smallest distance was, at which you saw one of these 100 knot objects on the radar?

2020-01-26T23:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221572876840525825

@Fibonacci222 Who have I demeaned? Can you give an example?

2020-01-26T23:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221568969879896064

@Fibonacci222 Would you do a podcast where you don't have to do those things? Just chat? Or do you feel it's impossible to have an honest conversation with me?

2020-01-26T05:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221307220115120128

@GaryVoorhis @mission_europa And beam strength isn't really the issue. Refraction happens regardless of the power of the radiating source. You can't power through it any more than a laser can power through a lens.

2020-01-26T05:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221302426415665153

@GaryVoorhis @mission_europa That makes no sense. The radar does not have infinite range. The power drops with distance.

2020-01-26T04:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221284134976249860

@GaryVoorhis @mission_europa Is there a way of verifying this?

2020-01-25T18:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221139324453847040

@gothroyalty Essentially - although on H3 I was just talking about the hyoid bone.

And there may be some deliberate ignoring of this fact.

2020-01-25T18:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1221136564366929921

@gothroyalty I do.

2020-01-24T12:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220690255847669760

@BushChookSlayer @DJBallinger81 @DoctorKarl The original comparison was with antibiotics. The point isn't that it's a toxin, it's that a small amount of something can have a large effect.

2020-01-24T02:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220528994296053760

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport Not from anything I write on the internet, or my podcast, or from any of my videos. I've made a little from my book.

2020-01-23T20:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220448734213038081

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport French Wikipedia is wrong.

2020-01-23T20:38:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220445845969166349

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport I donâ□□t make a living off it. Quite the opposite. Iâ□□m a retired Videogame programmer spending my savings.

2020-01-23T20:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220445438903537664

@GaryVoorhis @mission_europa No? Not even over very long distances? Itâ□□s not the temperature per se, itâ□□s the resultant density and hence refractive index gradients.

2020-01-23T20:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220444791516925953

@Asgeir16813191 @GalaxyReport Yeah, everything is possible. But â□□possibleâ□□ encompasses things low on the list of possibilities.

Hypersonic missives donâ□□t drift at 28K for hours then pop down to sea level in 0.78 second to a dead stop.

2020-01-23T03:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220182106329899009

@GalaxyReport A drone is quite possible. Just not a 100g drone.

2020-01-23T03:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220181793027973121

@hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere @GaryVoorhis Itâ□□s a bit of a silly comparison though. If a school bus driver makes a mistake, people die. That does not mean their word is law regarding driving, internal combustion engines, and kids.

2020-01-23T03:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220179837492453377

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure No. Unidentifiable does not mean you've eliminated possibilities. Just just mean you don't know which, if any, of the possibilities is the right one.

2020-01-23T03:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220179623805255680

@hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere @GaryVoorhis So you've never ever made a mistake?

2020-01-23T02:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220176070579642368

@hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere @GaryVoorhis Example - see the variosu hypotheses we went though here before finding the correct answer.
https://metabunk.org/threads/identified-art-bells-ufo-aluminum-louvered-sheets-heat-exchanger-fins.11012/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO7vqlDU8AEpkml.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO7vqlDU8AEpkml.jpg

2020-01-23T02:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220175679754399744

@hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere @GaryVoorhis Of course not. I get things wrong all the time. As a game programmer I often spent more time debugging code than writing code.

Mistakes are made.

2020-01-23T02:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220175401969799168

@dwynofnyc @PostDisclosure I'm not going against the Navy's official position. At least not that I know of. Perhaps you can explain what you mean?

2020-01-23T02:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220175169357959170

@hughespj1 @theyre_heeeere @GaryVoorhis What actually happened does not change based on people's qualifications. This isn't a contest - it's an attempt to figure things out.

Everyone is capable of getting thing wrong sometimes.

2020-01-23T02:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220167327678791680

@uaptn @nina_clyde @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis It would be about people misinterpreting what they saw, heard, and remembered, and then honestly repeating that misinterpretation.

Like I said at the start, I believe Gary is telling what he thinks is the truth.

2020-01-23T02:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220166796734427138

@SkyKangz @uaptn @GaryVoorhis

https://www.tftrh.com/2020/01/21/episode-36-gary-voorhis-tic-tac-ufo-witness/

2020-01-23T01:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220157618045378561
@uaptn @nina_clyde @GaryVoorhis I'm not saying anyone is lying. I'm saying they are possibly mistaken.

2020-01-23T01:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220151035366268928
@Bhima_Jenkins @GaryVoorhis @uaptn The problem is, if there were certain weather conditions that caused the SPY to malfunction, then that knowledge would be an advantage to an adversary, and hence would be classified.
So if it's a radar problem, then we might not hear about it for decades.

2020-01-22T23:45:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220130419221229569
@uaptn @GaryVoorhis If someone misunderstand something about the radar, they might misunderstand something else.
I'm sure Gary knows a lot more than me about it, but it's not a competition. I'm trying to figure stuff out.

2020-01-22T23:43:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220129886066470912
@JurriaanMaessen @mikeb8637 @uaptn @GaryVoorhis The intent here is to figure out either what they might be, or at least eliminate some possibilities. We can't overlook possibilities because it seems a bit impolite to question someone. The more sources the better. Single experts are great, but not conclusive.

2020-01-22T23:17:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220123303718731779
@GaryVoorhis @uaptn What I was referring to is when you said the "feed horns" could be directed independently and hold tracks individually. Phased arrays used interference to create a beam, so you can't do that with one antenna. 16:15 here
https://youtu.be/8EbRv2xUinI?t=974

2020-01-22T23:09:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220121273696575488
@uaptn @GaryVoorhis I agree. But that does not make him right about everything.

2020-01-22T23:04:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220120065137184768
@uaptn @GaryVoorhis With all due respect to Gary, he does not know every little thing about the radar and its failure modes. He's a computer tech. He gave a description of the phased array radar didn't seem quite right (the antenna elements can't track individual.) So might be wrong re clouds.

2020-01-22T16:48:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220025500002729984
@BobKurbel @BobSmit67179759 @Asgeir16813191 @GaryVoorhis Yes, I saw the smiley. But unfortunately there are people who very much believe it - or even just in a lighter implied

version, like "there's no such thing as N coincidences clustering together"

2020-01-22T16:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220021767495770112

@BobKurbel @BobSmit67179759 @Asgeir16813191 @GaryVoorhis That's a silly rule. Of course there are such things as coincidences. How could there not be? Even if meant in jest, people are going to take it seriously and ascribe meaning where it is not warranted.

2020-01-22T16:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220020705753874439

@gerrycan1 r/swoosh.

Sorry g. You'll figure it out. No worries.

2020-01-22T16:25:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220019751537102849

@gerrycan1 It's proportional to mv^2. Not equal, proportional.

Which is what I said.

Google it.

https://www.google.com/search?q=kinetic+energy+proportional+to

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO5hTcmUwAAwPrZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO5hTcmUwAAwPrZ.jpg
2020-01-22T16:20:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220018479169818628
@gerrycan1 It's not wrong, you just don't understand what I said. Sorry.
https://www.khanacademy.org/science/ap-physics-1/ap-work-and-energy/kinetic-energy-ap/a/kinetic-energy-ap1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO5gUgCVUAAeqN2.jpg

Equations

Equation	Symbols	Meaning in words
$K = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$	K is translational kinetic energy, m is mass, and v is the magnitude of the velocity (or speed)	Translational kinetic energy is directly proportional to mass and the square of the magnitude of velocity.
$\Delta K = \frac{1}{2}m(v^2 - v_0^2)$	ΔK is change in kinetic energy, and v and v_0 are the magnitudes of the final and initial velocity	Change in kinetic energy is the difference between the final and initial kinetic energy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO5gUgCVUAAeqN2.jpg
2020-01-22T16:18:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220017794198032384
@gerrycan1 Why is it wrong? It seems literally correct.

2020-01-22T16:11:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220016091449061381
@gerrycan1 Yeah, but you're wrong. Are you dropping it now because you realize that. Be straightforward in your conversations and you'll be more productive.

F=ma is for force.

"proportional to mass times the square of the velocity" = 0.5mv^2 for KE.

2020-01-22T15:59:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220013192912310272
@JLuvin @GaryVoorhis Cirrus cloud. Ice clouds. They reflect things different to liquid water clouds. That's why you get things like halos and sun dogs from ice clouds, but not from cumulus clouds.

2020-01-22T15:50:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220010750661410817
@gerrycan1 MAKE your point. Don't be coy.

2020-01-22T15:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220005758311354373
@gerrycan1 Point?

2020-01-22T15:22:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1220003849659797504

@JLuvin @GaryVoorhis Some assumptions about banal things generally beats one incredible new thing in Occamâ□□s eyes. But the bottom line is that we donâ□□t know, and possibly never will.

2020-01-22T15:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220003254374821888

@BobKurbel @Asgeir16813191 @GaryVoorhis The movements happen during lens changes, gimbal corrections, and tracking loss.

Maybe I need to make a frame-by-frame analysis video.

2020-01-22T15:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1220002193933139971

@gerrycan1 State your point.

2020-01-22T02:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219809101489721344

@hughespj1 Thanks PJ!

2020-01-22T01:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219790342431465472

@GaryVoorhis Gary Voorhis UFO chat on Apple Podcasts: https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-36-gary-voorhis-tic-tac-ufo-witness/id1462120258?i=1000463300212

2020-01-22T00:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219783296185327616

@GaryVoorhis Apple podcast version will be up soon. Podcast web page at https://www.tftrh.com/2020/01/21/episode-36-gary-voorhis-tic-tac-ufo-witness/

2020-01-22T00:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219782235798130688

@DrNormanBergrun @ChrisRiddle121 Snopes covers a lot of topics. In my book I'm mostly talking about things like 9/11 controlled demolition, chemtrails, Sandy Hook, Flat Earth, etc. Those are not particularly partisan issues.

2020-01-22T00:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219781074936446976

In #TFTRH 36, I chat with @GaryVoorhis about his experiences with the 2004 Nimitz "Tic-Tac" UFO incident. We discuss our differing interpretations, and how we might resolve them. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8EbRv2xUinI

2020-01-22T00:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219780370033283072

@AdamFollo Do you think this is compelling evidence of a false flag? Something that will convince people, and blow the whole thing wide open?

Or just an interesting (to you) photography/physiology puzzle?

2020-01-22T00:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219779804192337921
@DrNormanBergrun @ChrisRiddle121 Yeah, it's right up there with "Americanuck Radio" and "The Daily Mail" :)

I've actually found Snopes to be generally quite reliable source of facts. Like Wikipedia they give sources, so you can check for yourself. Sure they have their biases, but who doesn't?

2020-01-21T23:00:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1219756604750327808
@AdamFollo Nonetheless, it's a compression artifact - compounded by resampling. There are plenty of higher quality version of that image out there.

2020-01-21T22:47:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1219753427699265536
@ChrisRiddle121 No, they got some British actor. He's pretty good. Sounds very intelligent.

2020-01-21T21:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1219729760693047296
@UFOTwiter Why would anyone be interested in such a thing?

And I get things wrong all the time. Who doesn't?

2020-01-21T21:08:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1219728436761001984
@AdamFollo There are lots of straight lines. Those are JPG compression artifacts. You can see them more clearly if you boost the contrast. JPG uses 8x8 pixel blocks.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO1YSBqUUAA9mH5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EO1YSBqUUAA9mH5.jpg

2020-01-21T21:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1219727477213290496

@ChrisRiddle121 I admit that having a British accent does not make me intelligent.

2020-01-19T18:40:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218966463798579200

@Scribe1969 Nothing about a plane. So the simplest explanation is that both explosion sounds were from during or after the plane hitting the building.

So it seems like he has a false memory, or a false interpretation of events.

2020-01-19T18:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218964037469229056

@Scribe1969 Seems like this is from before he started remembering the explosion before the plane.

2020-01-19T17:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218952291228606465

@crowbern Thanks!

2020-01-19T13:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218886929946570755

@Rexmundixed That's not a fact though. Not verifiably, or likely.

2020-01-18T22:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218655498762776577

In #TFTRH 36 I talk to Mike, a long term 9/11 Truth activist, about how we decide what is true and what is B.S. We also get rather deep into some of the endless questions about 9/11 building collapses, and I give him my take on them.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SufzsmKXo8w

2020-01-18T17:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218580362722365440

@MATRA_ @hoaxeye Seeing something on radar depends on looking in the right location. If you think it's 5 miles away, but it's actually 30 miles away, then you're not going to see it.

I think you might be confusing Flir1 and Gimbal though. There wasn't talk about radar regarding Gimbal/Go-Fast.

2020-01-18T03:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1218382486498971649

@GaryVoorhis It will be a few days.

2020-01-16T18:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217881699805057024

@ImChrisMinor @Oswald1160 @hoaxeye Also a BS article. The Navy did not say that at all. There were some slides that were top secret, and they gave the definition of TS. They did not say what it referred to.

2020-01-16T18:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217881376541700096

@ImChrisMinor @Oswald1160 @hoaxeye They are something unexplained. That does not mean they are unexplained. And the Navy never said they are "not glitches/drones/planes" - they said that at the time they did not identify them.

2020-01-16T16:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217846180178063361

@ImChrisMinor @Oswald1160 @hoaxeye They did not "disclose" that. If you think they did, then quote what they actually said, with a link.

2020-01-16T16:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217845898538967040

@pocodott1 HAARP really can't do very much.

Tornado project? I can't find that. Do you have a link?

2020-01-15T17:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217503129425416192

@GaloreGalaxies @hugh_bothwell @neiltyson Copernicus is about geocentricism, not FE. The geocentric models used Earth as a globe in the center of the Universe.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geocentric_model

2020-01-15T17:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217499542527983616

@hugh_bothwell @GaloreGalaxies @neiltyson Yeah, the 500 years probable refers to the time of Copernicus' publication of "On the Revolutions of the Heavenly Spheres" in 1543.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/De_revolutionibus_orbium_coelestium

---

2020-01-15T14:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217449160418291712

@magnabosco Just being able to detect it might be useful, in that it could be used to augment a human-human conversation. The computer could detect emotional responses ("that question made him angry") and let you interpret why.

2020-01-14T23:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217230389120393216

I'm the #1 Best Seller! ...

..., briefly, in e-books about Civics, which is odd because I never really thought about civics when I was writing the book.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOR4lhKU8AA210E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOR4lhKU8AA210E.jpg

2020-01-14T20:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217177697249447936

@gerrycan1 9/11 was lots of events. There's some versions that deny things like a plane flying into the Pentagon (or even the towers).

There's also the issue of if offering a radically different version of an event (like Controlled Demolition vs. Collapse from Impact and Fires) fits.

2020-01-14T17:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1217140095486050304
Cognitive scientist @johnfocook's 'Cranky Uncle' game aims to show you how to "disarm" climate deniers.

Not sure about the caricature. If your cranky uncle never sees it then it's fine. Otherwise he might think you are mocking.

Interesting.

https://www.yaleclimateconnections.org/2020/01/cranky-uncle-game-will-show-you-how-to-disarm-climate-deniers/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQk5yGU8AAXKWl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQk5yGU8AAXKWl.jpg
2020-01-14T17:33:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1217137552315936769
@Goldene_Regel I've no idea. But I guess you could try it and see.

2020-01-14T16:57:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1217128615084584961
TikTok's new community guidelines ban "Content that denies well-documented and violent events have taken place." While this is aimed at Holocaust denial, it might also cover some 9/11 conspiracy theories. https://www.tiktok.com/safety/resources/new-community-guidelines
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQcBhfU8AA6HUv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQcBhfU8AA6HUv.jpg
2020-01-14T15:08:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1217101246441508868
Escaping The Rabbit Hole is available for $1.99 on e-book for a limited time! Also in UK and CA.
Understanding and talking to conspiracy theorists, with facts, logic, and respect.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQC5kVU4AE5n0E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOQC5kVU4AE5n0E.jpg
2020-01-14T14:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1217090530384867329
Colorado's DHS investigates the mystery drone sighting that the public continues to report. Finds planes, planets, stars, regular drones, and .... that's it.

https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/dhsem/news/news-release-update-colorado-department-public

-safety-investigations-reports-suspicious-drone

2020-01-13T19:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216804691880759297

@Asgeir16813191 @conspiralatious @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis You know the origin of the term "flying saucer", right?

Also, ~70 years ago ... https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circular_wing

---

2020-01-13T15:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216742835765927938

@conspiralatious @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis "drones" come in all shapes and sizes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0XbQdMV7i0w

---

2020-01-13T15:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216740906939764742

@cortesi Thanks Aldo! Minor addition to your description: It's only about 50% conspiracy theorists. I also interview people from "the other side" - i.e. writers, debunkers, and scientists.

2020-01-12T19:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216437382439829504

@MariaPinam27 @JasonBermas The only thing I can find is a scare story in the Daily Mail about a UK proposal for a new form to ask for permission from a rape victim to examine their phone. https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-6970273/Uproar-police-powers-trawl-texts-photos-emails-rape-victims.html

NY's rape laws were described as the toughest in the country. https://nypost.com/2019/12/17/cuomo-in-push-to-close-new-yorks-drunk-rape-law-loophole/

2020-01-12T19:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216435849581121536

@JasonBermas I didn't know that the bail reform would apply to a case like that. I stand corrected.

What are you ultimately hoping for? A total repeal, or a move to NJ's systems, with more judicial discretion to consider potential harm.

2020-01-12T18:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216434222425993221

@conspiralatious @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis He might have seen a vaguely Tic-Tac shaped thing (balloon, drone). My biggest objection to his observation is the supposed hypersonic speed with no visible means of propulsion. Sure - maybe alien/advanced tech, but that would be incredible, and so I'd like a bit more evidence.

2020-01-12T18:52:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216432880760410112
@samtripoli @JasonBermas :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOGjOolUYAELIIM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EOGjOolUYAELIIM.jpg
2020-01-12T18:51:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216432587305930752
@JasonBermas That does not sound like a bail reform issue.

2020-01-12T18:51:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216432409643606016
@EKikiHutch @samtripoli @JasonBermas What was shocking about it?

2020-01-12T18:09:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216421901351837696
@JasonBermas Yeah, they should pay for their own protection. I'm fine with that. They don't have any real role in government.

This and NY bail reform are not big issues. I really don't know why you are wasting time with them.

2020-01-12T17:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216415697024122881
@JasonBermas Well, they don't actually "rule" anything. They just waste money. And that's really what Lewis is wanting to address. He's not calling for them to be "abolished" - just cheaper.

2020-01-12T05:25:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216229645856595968
@GalaxyReport @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Well if the evidence is on your "side", then what's the problem?

I don't know what the tic-tac was. Nor have I ever claimed to know. I have put forth some hypotheses (like the video being of a plane). I'd also give my top-of-the-list opinion if asked on anything else.

2020-01-12T05:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216228733016662017

@gerrycan1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I don't think I'm stupid. But one can never really tell, so just in case....

Also, there's nothing in my book about UFOs, so I'm not going to make any sales here.

2020-01-12T05:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216228308163035137

A fascinating podcast about selection bias in ... me! ReD Associates, a strategy consulting company in NY, hooked me up with a conspiracy theorist of a type that I would not normally talk to. Metabunk is simply not for everyone, but could be for more.

https://phenomena.simplecast.com/episodes/the-truth-is-out-there-zUPYSnnD

2020-01-12T00:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216157315197333504

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Well, I'm sorry you feel that way. I'm genuinely try to figure out what went on. I'm not trolling. If I'm just stupid, then I'm sorry about that.

2020-01-12T00:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216156514781519874

@hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Was the subject matter debugging radar glitches?

2020-01-12T00:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216156319561834496

@hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis As I've said several times before, if you want to debug one dataset, it's useful to have another dataset that at least partially overlaps it. So you can compare things (signals, interference, jamming) that happened at the same time.

2020-01-12T00:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216155777905217536

@RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I don't think it's "THE" experts. It's some people familiar with the equipment to various degrees.

2020-01-12T00:28:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216154973991424001
@RobAgs0817 @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I suspect that the data was collected to aid in debugging the radar glitches.

However the TS designation could be for any number of things

2020-01-11T23:55:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216146687510495232
@GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Multiple? More like 1.5.

2020-01-11T23:53:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216146212962762752
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Depends what "it" you are referring to. I think most likely (top of my list of possibilities) the "it" Fravor saw was something he misinterpreted in terms of size, position, and motion - because it was in the middle of his circuit, not on the other side. Hard to say.

2020-01-11T23:51:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216145539592376320
@GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis It's irrelevant how many people saw something else. Only Fravor saw what he saw. Female Pilot backs him up. It's not an impossibility that they did not actually see a giant hypersonic tic-tac shaped object.

2020-01-11T23:49:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216145080827838464
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I'd need more than a vague second-hand statement from PJ. Boil down what you actually have there.

2020-01-11T23:47:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216144604061323265
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Well, I've only hard of actual "I saw a Tic-Tac" accounts from Fravor and Female Pilot, so it's possible they were observing the same thing. It's also possible they were not.
I've see no other actual accounts that match Fravor's.

2020-01-11T23:45:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216144114162364417
@hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Of course they mean something. But it does not mean

I should blindly accept whatever he says is an exact representation of what happened - or even of what he saw.
People make mistakes observing things.
People's memories change - sometimes directly after the event.

2020-01-11T23:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216143100873076737
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I don't know. But if pressed to put money on one option or the other, then I'd say probably not.

I don't think the video shows a Tic-Tac shaped craft.

I don't think the >100g radar data showed a craft (at least not the actual movements)

Your question is a bit broad though.

2020-01-11T23:38:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216142445022302209
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I don't know what Fravor saw. But I think it's likely not a tic-tac shaped craft that did what he said it did. But there's not enough data.

2020-01-11T23:36:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216141880750043137
@Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis I think perhaps you are inferring my intent from memetic jokes people made about me. Not a good way of arriving at the truth.
Nor is taking one statement about one aspect of one incident end extrapolating it over everything that happened over several days.

2020-01-11T23:34:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216141322756579328
@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis At what point? It's quite possible that some sighting/pings were of something flying in the air, possibly intelligently controlled (meaning, ultimately, human).
And while it's always possible that it's aliens or revolutionary human technology, the evidence for that is not good.

2020-01-11T23:24:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216138754781372416
@Asgeir16813191 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Where do you get that synthesis from? Not me.

2020-01-11T23:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216136514234540032
Available on apple podcasts:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-34-stian-arnesen-debunking-censorship-9-11-and-ufos/id1462120258?i=1000462220332
And everywhere else podcasts are free.
https://www.tftrh.com/2020/01/11/episode-34-stian-arnesen-debunking-censorship-9-11-and-ufos/

2020-01-11T23:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216136270927159296
In #TFTRH 34, I talk with 9/11 Truther and UFO fan Stian Arnesen about debunking, Truth, the dangers of censorship, the benefits of "conspiracy theories", and that Tic-Tac shaped UFO.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=klnr7CzsuQc

2020-01-11T23:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216135258061430784
@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis You say "all" as if there's a coherent set of facts about which everyone agrees. In reality there's a bunch of different people who had different experiences, and something was synthesized from that. There needs to be more overlap before you invoke an amount of people.

2020-01-11T22:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216130107875590144
@GalaxyReport @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Obviously more than 300 feet. It's half the distance from the camera to the closest plane. At least 500 feet.

Not really important though, as it's not really a good way of demonstrating distance. Unless you want to demonstrate an illusion of closeness.

2020-01-11T22:42:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216128231528513536
@RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis Sure, but how did this person estimate the distance, if he did not know how big it was?

And that photo above tells you nothing about the separation, unless you know the distance to the closer plane (separation is about half that distance, based on size ratios).

2020-01-11T22:34:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1216126142354059265
@hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @GaryVoorhis What's that in feet? And how do you know this?

2020-01-11T21:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216106285017382912

@Asgeir16813191 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis What argument does what make false?

2020-01-11T21:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216105509712842752

@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Fravor apparently saw it closer than anyone. So why no Top Secret restriction there?

The people who are claiming TS are people who work with radar, CEC, etc. Which kind of implies the TS is about the Navy tech, not the Tic-Tac

---

2020-01-11T21:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216103120746364929

@Asgeir16813191 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis All irrelevant points, unless there's actually some demonstrable connection. One might also observe that the existence of mind-reading in some individuals would be top-secret (as it would be an incredibly powerful spying tool). But so what? Does that mean people can read minds?

2020-01-11T20:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216102228403998720

@RobAgs0817 @Asgeir16813191 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Of course, but so would a thousand other things, so that's really a pointless observation UNLESS you can specifically tie some TS classification directly to a craft, and not just something on or about the fleet

---

2020-01-11T19:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216084648805027840

@Asgeir16813191 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I don't understand the relevance of this question. What am I to compare my perspective against? Are you saying there's something here that LOOKS TS, but has not been explicitly designated TS? What specifically is it that you see?

2020-01-11T17:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1216042868202098688

@Asgeir16813191 @RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis But don't make the logically false step of inferring:

- Ultra Top Secret (SCI/SAP etc) stuff looks like nothing special from the outside.
- The incident is treated by the Navy as nothing more than airspace incursions
- Therefore, it's Ultra Top Secret, like alien tech, etc.

2020-01-11T02:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215830277299167232

@RobAgs0817 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Not really relevant. The point here is that TS commonly refers to non-alien type things. In fact, that all we know it applies to. So being TS is not an indicator of aliens. Itâ□□s just SOP

2020-01-11T02:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215826647745646592

@GalaxyReport Speculation does not equal likelihood.

2020-01-10T22:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215759956755959808

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @RobAgs0817 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Sorry Bob, I was trying to understand your statement. When you said "I believe the secret covers the tech." Did you mean the "Secret" classification applies to technology, and "Top Secret" applies to some products of that technology (i.e. images and sensor data)? Is no tech TS?

2020-01-10T22:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215758821332639746

@pocodott1 @TheOnlyEdAsner Why do you trust them, and not other experts who say something else?

2020-01-10T22:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215758702461911041

@pocodott1 Weather modification? Sure, cloud seeding has been done quite openly since the 1950s. It's never been a secret, and I don't know anyone who disputes its existence.

We can't really do much to the ionosphere. We can nudge it a bit, but the sun is zillionfolds bigger than HAARP

2020-01-10T17:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215693693014790145

@hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis You mean when you essentially said you couldn't comment because it was Top Secret?

Because I'm really not seeing anything here that indicates the TS designation is directly referring to the capabilities of the object, so it seems more likely it's just regular stuff.

2020-01-10T05:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215507524729753601

@hughespj1 @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis The issue here is that something (a slide?) related to this case was denied FOIA release because it contained TS info.

Do does not necessarily mean TS regarding the object, does it? It could mean something like a radar system, weapon, network, etc?

2020-01-10T05:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215506384571121665

@flarkey Yes it is. All the apparent movements seem to coincide with camera events, including:

1) Change of zoom (lens change)

2) Change of camera (IR/TV)

3) Gimbal Correction (passing though 0Â°)

4) Loss of tracking (from the above)

2020-01-09T01:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215086232889245696

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis I'm not sure how this is disagreeing with me. Are you saying advanced radar tech is just "Secret" and not "Top Secret"?

2020-01-08T21:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215019387675037696

@hughespj1 @Scribe1969 @transformPosit1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick But did they?

2020-01-08T21:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215018538693357568

@Scribe1969 @transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick Yes. And I gave a Navy doc showing this.

2020-01-08T21:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215016455420334082

@Scribe1969 @transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick None, why?

2020-01-08T20:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215008430596878336

@randallme @transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick I argued neither of those things.

2020-01-08T20:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215001691998707712

@hughespj1 @transformPosit1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick So you can't tell me how I'm wrong, or how you know I'm wrong, just that I am wrong, about something?

Any way of narrowing that down?

2020-01-08T20:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1215000727455588352

@UfoJoe11 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure Well I was, but then I looked up "exceptional grave damage" and, yes, it's just from the actual description for something classified Top Secret.

https://www.google.com/search?q=%22exceptional+grave+damage%22+site%3A.mil
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENyMmuzUUAAPjXE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENyMmuzUUAAPjXE.jpg
2020-01-08T19:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214999443650404352
@Scribe1969 @transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick I'd think pretty much all of them are in this context, were banal is anything other than super advanced or alien technology. Fancy new radar? Banal. Deep learning IFF algorithms? Banal. Cross-fleet integrate fire control? Banal. Battery powered submersible drone? Banal.

2020-01-08T19:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214997903770390529
@hughespj1 @transformPosit1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick Wrong how? I'm basing that on the Navy memo above, that says some radio frequency allocations are TS, so any list with non-TS and some TS freqs becomes TS.

2020-01-08T19:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214989222265798656
@paul_sailer @OVNI66 It's human nature. Not mocking anyone here, we've all done this.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MMiKyfd6hA0

2020-01-08T19:14:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214988760154116098
@transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick But as noted before, even banal things get a TS (Top Secret) classification. Then even

more banal things that happen to be associated with it get roped in to that TS. So TS could actually be something super banal, like a list of radio frequencies the radar uses.

2020-01-08T18:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214981305269542912

@OVNI66 This other "UFO/Drone" case illustrates it even better. A 50 feet plane at 6,500 feet is thought to be a 4 foot drone at a few hundred feet.

https://metabunk.org/threads/plane-shaped-drone-caught-on-camera-mead-ne-cessna-caravan.11060/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENx69J-UUAApbrw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENx69J-UUAApbrw.jpg

2020-01-08T18:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214971623276548097

@Daversa2 @PostDisclosure I looked into this one in some depth. It's probably a Cessna Caravan. Evidence being:

1) It sounds like one
2) The navigation lights match exactly
3) There was one flying overhead around the time they filmed.

https://metabunk.org/threads/plane-shaped-drone-caught-on-camera-mead-ne-cessna-caravan.11060/

2020-01-08T18:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214970952083111937

@murnut True. This one wasn't a jet, it was a prop plane.

https://metabunk.org/threads/plane-shaped-drone-caught-on-camera-mead-ne-cessna-caravan.11060/

2020-01-08T17:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214968951945326592

@lileana_pearson Lileana, that looks like the lights of a Cessna Caravan. And checking the

radar, there was one flying directly over that road at that time. Details here: https://metabunk.org/threads/plane-shaped-drone-caught-on-camera-mead-ne-cessna-caravan.11060/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxv0mBU0AAlJV0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxv0mBU0AAlJV0.jpg

2020-01-08T16:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214951506908106753

Fans of the mystery drone flap are closely examining things in the sky - often for the first time. Things they might never have noticed before, like a night-time plane at 30,000 feet leaving a contrail, suddenly become 300 foot hight tiny drones, in their minds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxfXp6UYAAEJrZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxfXp6UYAAEJrZ.jpg

2020-01-08T15:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214937658268368896

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick I'm asking if there's Top Secret information you have that proves that the object in the video is not a plane.

2020-01-08T15:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214935523082424326

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick No. But what argument exactly would that "shut down." My main point here is that the video is consistent with a distant plane (possibly unmanned). I don't know what people saw visually. Is there something you know (but can't tell me) that would shut down that?

2020-01-08T15:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214932730510639104

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick I know, that's my point. The TS stuff is likely just ordinary things, possibly quite mundane. Here's an example of one the exemptions used - radio frequency authorizations. Lists of radio frequencies are mostly boring, but if one is TS then the entire list is TS

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxOy4IUwAIH0Sr.jpg

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

30 November 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DEPUTY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (NAVY)
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DEPUTY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER (MARINE CORPS)

Subj: RADIO FREQUENCY AUTHORIZATION (RFA)

This memorandum provides guidance and justification for exemption of Radio Frequency Authorization (RFA) information pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

The RFAs contained in the Government Master File (GMF) are withheld pursuant to Exemption 1, in the interest of national security, and Exemption 2, in that the records are related solely to internal personnel and practices.

With respect to Exemption 1, disclosure of RFAs could compromise the telecommunications networks of the United States. Although most of the RFAs are unclassified per se, lists of unclassified frequency assignment records in a given area can be classified under the provisions of Sections 1.5(a), 1.5(c), 1.5(e), and 1.5(f) of Executive Order 12958 of April 20, 1995 (attachment 1) as RFAs en toto provide sufficient information which could lead to disclosure of military operations, intelligence activities, scientific and technological matters, including vulnerabilities or capabilities of systems, which all relate to national security. RFAs, when compiled, can indicate the overall strategic telecommunications capabilities of the United States and their disclosure could cause damage to national security. Accordingly, RFAs must be appropriately handled.

With respect to Exemption 2, case law provides protection to information concerning vital communication systems and analogous information for internal security purposes. Institute for Policy Studies v. Department of the Air Force, No. 87-0916 (D.D.C. October 9, 1987), and Hall v. United States Department of Justice, et. al., No. 87-0474 (D.D.C. March 8, 1989). Specifically, RFAs are prepared for internal use and their distribution is appropriately limited even within the Department of the Navy (DON). RFAs are provided to DON on classified CD-ROMs and are required to be logged and secured in classified repositories. Accordingly, the RFAs are used solely for internal purposes relating to the operation of DON systems.

FOIA's Exemption 1 and Exemption 2 provisions are applicable to all DON RFAs. There are no reasonably non-exempt portions of the RFAs which may be disclosed as exempt and non-exempt information is inextricably intertwined. All DON RFAs are to be marked as exempt from release to the public under FOIA.

My point of contact for this policy is Mr. Thomas Kidd, Director, Department of the Navy Strategic Spectrum Plans and Policy, thomas.kidd@navy.mil.

John J. Lussier
(Acting)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxOR85UcAADuKt.jpg

A2.3. Lists of Air Force Frequency Assignments.
A2.3.1. Although most individual frequency assignment records in the Air Force RFA list are individually unclassified, the entire RFA list is classified according to the highest level of classification of the assignments it contains. Lists (two or more frequencies) of unclassified frequency assignment records in a given range of frequencies, or in a given geographic area, may need to be classified, because they may provide information leading to the disclosure of military or national security related operations, and scientific and technological matters relating to national security. These lists can indicate the overall strategic telecommunications capabilities of the United States, and their disclosure could cause damage to national security. The continued protection of this information is essential to national security because it pertains to communications security and reveals vulnerabilities and capabilities. Unauthorized disclosure can result in nullifying the effectiveness of telecommunications networks and capability of the United States.
A2.3.2. The *USMCEB Security Classification Guide for Frequency Assignment Records* gives guidance on classifying compilations of frequency assignment records. Based on this guidance:
A2.3.2.1. Classify a RFA list at the highest level of frequency assignment it contains.
A2.3.2.2. When a RFA list contains only unclassified DoD frequency assignments, it is unclassified. This type of listing contains only assignments of one agency (DoD) and was requested by DoD, meeting the criteria of both paragraphs 4.2 and 7.1.2 of the USMCEB security classification guide.
A2.3.2.3. When a RFA list contains DoD unclassified frequency assignments and unclassified assignments of one or more federal (non-DoD) agencies, classify the list CONFIDENTIAL according to paragraph 4.2 of the USMCEB security classification guide, unless it meets the criteria of any one of the exemptions of the USMCEB security classification guide.
Mark RFA lists classified under this guidance according to DoD 5200.1R and AFI 31-401:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxOy4IUwAIH0Sr.jpg

2020-01-08T15:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214930228436320256

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick Well, given that you don't know what the UAP is, but you do know something that is TS, then would it not be safe to say you don't know anything TS about the UAP?

2020-01-08T15:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214929229495726080

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick Does the TS actually involved anything to do with the UAP though? Or just things to do with the fleet?

2020-01-08T15:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214928971009126402

@hughespj1 @Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis @Mick Record and data about what?

The reported sightings (and the video) are part of a much larger picture - some of of which may involve technology or operational details that are only related because they were there at the same time. But investigation discusses them, hence TS.

2020-01-08T15:03:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214925554337468418

@Daversa2 @PostDisclosure "Plane Shaped" propeller powered drones at that.

Shame they didn't have a flashlight with them.

2020-01-08T14:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214924304183259137

@Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis Check with the Pentagon.

http://www.dami.army.pentagon.mil/site/infosec/TP-levels.aspx

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxHH03W4AAzvYL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENxHH03W4AAzvYL.jpg

2020-01-08T14:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214922275926900736

@GaloreGalaxies @Erildo27 @Russianvids11 Itâ□□s like you didnâ□□t read the post!

2020-01-08T14:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214921951526842368

@Deepneuron @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure Itâ□□s just boilerplate language. Probably covers something like radar tech.

2020-01-08T07:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214805636203999232

@UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure I'm sure some of the supposed drones are objects. They all are, if you count planets as objects. Some of them are probably drones.

2020-01-08T07:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214805006777376768

@oscaralexander This is getting a little semantic. But these sightings (the one in the photo, and the other two at the same place) were planes, so they are not drones. So they are not part of a phenomenon of drone sightings. They may be part of a phenomenon of various different drone sightings

---

2020-01-08T05:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214779332834365440

@PostDisclosure @UFOGuy3 It's a false point, as it assumes the lights in the sky are mysterious drones in the daytime.

Daylight bring more information. UFOs (and "drones") turn back into planes at sunrise. Planets set, or fade into the sky.

2020-01-08T05:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214778532871589890
@JLuvin Yes. And again, there was disinformation all around. A bit different in the early days of the internet, but still lots to go around. Remember Baghdad Bob?
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Muhammad_Saeed_al-Sahhaf

2020-01-08T05:13:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214777203461746689
@dwynofnyc @UFOGuy3 @PostDisclosure It's really not that hard during the day.

2020-01-08T01:15:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214717312386748422
Lots of disinformation for all sides about the Iran situation. See this thread for several examples.

Very fast moving situations like this allow the disinfo to get through in waves, and people need to be especially cautious. https://twitter.com/JaneLytv/status/1214696336706166789

2020-01-08T01:00:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214713489245163521
@oscaralexander I'm really not seeing your point here. What's the problem exactly?

2020-01-07T22:09:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214670312215728128
@oscaralexander This is the Denver Post article where they mistook three 737s for low flying drones.

https://www.denverpost.com/2020/01/03/drones-mystery-lincoln-county-colorado/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENtgEa4U4AAotOe.jpg



RJ Sangosti, The Denver Post

Lincoln County Sheriff Deputy Justin Allen stands outside of his squad car looking up at the night sky on Jan. 2, 2020 near Limon. Lincoln County Sheriff's office is working with surrounding counties to figure out who is behind the mystery drones flying overhead.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENtgBV2UcAAEGKz.jpg



RJ Sangosti, The Denver Post

The grouping of lights in the center of the photograph shows a drone flying several hundred feet in the night sky on Jan. 2, 2020 near Limon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENtgEa4U4AAotOe.jpg

LAST CHANCE — It's 6:36 p.m. on a chilly January night, and the Lincoln County Sheriff's Office is prepping for calls.

"Drone activity reported just now, Highway 71 and County Road 4D," Deputy Justin Allen says in a text message to a Denver Post reporter, who's on the hunt for drones hovering over the Eastern Plains.

On a desolate dirt road just north of Limon, the sky is lit by stars and a waxing crescent moon. Then, a small set of red-and-white lights blink rapidly, punctuating the rural Colorado landscape. The aerial object travels briskly across the sky at several hundred feet above the fields and wind turbines. Minutes later, another one creeps onto the horizon. And then another one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENtf8i0UwAA9CPq.jpg
2020-01-07T14:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214561953193947136
@oscaralexander Because people (in this case the Sheriff and the Denver Post) are saying that it does apply to the wider phenomena.
Pointing out that it does not apply is the ACTUAL POINT of the post. Not a reason for not posting.

2020-01-07T14:38:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214556949594796032
@GaryVoorhis @kevincann @hughespj1 @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
So who actually saw a distinct craft with an aura, but not via a camera (which can add an aura). Anyone?

2020-01-07T14:34:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214555902885281794
@GaryVoorhis @HJB96145556 @hughespj1 @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx
What could you actual see with the large binoculars? Just a brighter point of light, or the shape of a craft surrounded by a halo? Would it be possible to get an image (sketch/recreation/similar photo) of what people saw?
I think it's important to move beyond verbal descriptions.

2020-01-07T14:31:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214555137177317377
@GaryVoorhis @bartjpx @hughespj1 @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @HJB96145556
So when it was moving around, did it ever leave long streaks of light at night? Did anyone see it move?

2020-01-07T14:24:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214553486324428800
@oscaralexander Did I say that? Why are you asking if I said something I didnâ□□t say?

2020-01-07T14:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214552293502156800
RT @ajreid: What if the deepfake appears in, say, an advert which a political candidate pays Facebook to push into voters' newsfeeds https:â¦

2020-01-07T05:57:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214425816353787904
@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Then it would have been visible to millions of people on the coast then? Especially at night.

2020-01-07T05:55:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214425329525092354
@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
So why are there no photos of it?

2020-01-07T01:04:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214352076186120192
@HJB96145556 @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx
Well I'm very interested in these reports of a visible "aura" - it would be great to nail down a visual on it. Assuming it happened somewhere other than the videos.

2020-01-06T23:19:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214325713148342272
@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Did the aura look like this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214325689349853185/pu/img/D0nKtd6LKV-aMc6B.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214325689349853185/pu/img/D0nKtd6LKV-aMc6B.jpg
2020-01-06T22:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214320319575384064
@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Here's an "LED Hidden behind something"

Is there any we we could get a visual on what you saw? Even a really rough sketch? Or a photo of something that is similar in some way? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENohiQ5U4AANJQz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENohiQ5U4AANJQz.jpg

2020-01-06T22:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214317814078570496

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 I just did some experiments, and the only way I could get something like what was described, was to use the sun. So was this aura as bright as the sun?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENofjhAUUAELmMG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENofLXDU0AA0vpH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENofjhAUUAELmMG.jpg

2020-01-06T22:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1214316851003420672

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556

A "cloud of light" in sunlight? Against the sky?
Was it a could around something? Could you see a object in the middle of the cloud? What did the object look like? What did the whole scene look like?

2020-01-06T21:01:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214290902090309632
@GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Gary, when you say it was "actually seen" does that mean someone saw it with the naked eye (or binoculars) or was it just on-screen from the FLIR?
Who all saw it?

2020-01-06T19:42:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214270941695373312
@briefly_stated @mission_europa A) in this case, there were three 737s flying over the area in the same location as the three drones.
B) With a hunting flashlight or similar. One with a 500+ foot throw, like the Nitecore P30. $65 https://amzn.to/2ZWWGdN or similar. https://amzn.to/36uQSKL

2020-01-06T18:30:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214252888261681152
@mission_europa Not really, since
A) Small fleets of drones at night are really unusual
B) Nobody has managed to get identifiable footage of ANY drones yet.
C) They did manage to get footage of lots of other thing, showing how east it is to make mistakes.

2020-01-06T17:34:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214238949347971072
@_StarFleetCmnd_ We'll see.

2020-01-06T17:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214236410351239168
@_StarFleetCmnd_ I'm sure eventually someone will get one on video. But it's very obvious there are LOTS of misidentifications of things like planets, stars, planets, cars, and houses, as "drones". So I'm waiting for better evidence before I start piling potatoes.

2020-01-06T17:02:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214230717409841154
@_StarFleetCmnd_ Shame you didn't have camera.

What did they do after hovering?

2020-01-06T17:01:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214230508214710272
@kevincann @GaryVoorhis @JeremyRys2 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Of course, if someone saw this with their own eyes, then it's something else. But people conflate things in memory.

And if it's daylight - how would the light work exactly? Why is it "behind" the object, aligned with the camera/viewer?
The sharpening artifact seems to fit best.

2020-01-06T16:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214227866952454154
@GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Here's those "auras" explained.
https://youtu.be/r119JWI04Ls

2020-01-06T16:46:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214226670795673600
@GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Or more like this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214226636188467200/pu/img/kGtZOM4kSaZDSnbJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214226636188467200/pu/img/kGtZOM4kSaZDSnbJ.jpg
2020-01-06T16:45:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214226551371255810
@GaryVoorhis @kevincann @JeremyRys2 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
Was it like the Aura seen here?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214226493775073283/pu/img/IHLe9iXPESZV9i6m.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1214226493775073283/pu/img/IHLe9iXPESZV9i6m.jpg
2020-01-06T04:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1214047222045609985
@GaryVoorhis @JeremyRys2 @kevincann @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556
What aura are you referring to?

2020-01-06T01:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213991511005646848
@Rico71091546 Well, when all the FAA has to go by is "some guys somewhere said they saw something in the sky and they thought it was a drone" it's hard for them to solve it.

This is just one case though. Other things might be Venus, or cars, or buildings. Or drones.

2020-01-06T00:31:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213981254753734656
One of best documented sightings of 300 foot high "drones" over Colorado turned out to be 737s. The red light reflects off the engines and the other lights combine to fool the eye. It's happened before.

https://metabunk.org/threads/drone-photo-over-nebraska-actually-a-boeing-737.11055/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENjtJukU8AExASj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENjtJukU8AExASj.jpg
2020-01-05T22:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213958345595318274
@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann But Gary said the CEC had the Aegis data.

2020-01-05T22:36:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213952338328555520
@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann So why would ANYONE need to fly out to the ship, if all the data was available remotely?

Maybe the data was only available remotely via CEC, and if that was thought to be where the problem was, then they would need the raw physical data storage.

2020-01-05T22:34:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213951865026560003
@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann The more data

the better, when it comes to debugging. It's like having two regular cameras and a MWIR camera. Total information awareness.

2020-01-05T22:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213950511520436225

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann To compare it. It's a different set of sensor data - so they can compare it against the one they think is buggy. Like my two camera example, earlier.

2020-01-05T22:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213949567961452544

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 If the CEC had the Aegis data, and the CES was backed up remotely, then why take the Aegis tapes?

Kind of supports the bug hypothesis - if CES was corrupting Aegis data, you'd need the original.

2020-01-05T22:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213946768162877440

@JuenoMT @GaryVoorhis Sometimes I get a bit annoyed, but mostly just just because the silly jokes about seagulls distract from the conversation. Waste of time. But after years of chatting with chemtrailers and 9/11 Truthers, I've grown a thick skin.

2020-01-05T22:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213946323419820032

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 But when I asked you if dave was wrong about ".... Gary Voorhis had official US Gov personnel arrive that day via helicopter and confiscate their classified data tapes and drives from the mission" , you said "Nope, he was correct. The tapes were from the Aegis system,"

2020-01-05T22:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213945204148801536

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Didd you see that happen? What does that look like on the display?

2020-01-05T22:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213944431440609282

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 So did they physically take away drives or tapes, from you, that had data on them that was not backed up via the CEC?

2020-01-05T22:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213943640852033538

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 I'm confused. I though you said the CEC was backed up remotely. Does that mean it backed up all the Aegis data? If so, then why did they take the Aegis tapes

---

2020-01-05T21:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213942490245431296

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann I mean, when you can still see two blips on the radar, before a "merge plot", how far apart is that - the smallest distance apart two aircraft can be to show up as two distinct blips.

2020-01-05T21:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213942059687542784

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 I though it was CEC that was erased?

2020-01-05T21:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213941478172459008

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Regarding "merge plot" - what the dimensions of the cell in this context? What's are resolvable horizontal and vertical separations?

2020-01-05T21:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213941058322624512

@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Sure, that's just the physical layer. Not hugely important here. I think.

2020-01-05T21:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213940605736210438

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 I still kind understand why they erased the code, if they were going to re-load it later. Not a big deal, but it does not make sense. If the code is fine, why erase it?

2020-01-05T21:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213939967753195520

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 I've done a lot of debugging in my time :) I nearly wrote a book on it.
https://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/132212/debugging_memory_corruption_in_.php

---

2020-01-05T21:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213939638219329536

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 By debugging, I mean analyzing the code and data to find out what the bug is, so that a patch can be created. Installing a patch is not usually referred to as debugging.
Initially they can't patch is, because they don't know what the bug is.

2020-01-05T21:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213939028816326656

@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Right, but Aegis isn't just, as PJ said, "a radar" - it's a bunch of datalinks and displays around overlaying a radar system. CEC extends the concept fleet-wide.

2020-01-05T21:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213938241843294208

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 So the SPY

data, that wan't seen via a CEC synthesized view? It was direct from the SPY to a display, with no CEC in-between?

2020-01-05T21:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213937371634257921

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann But data from the same timeframe from one system can be used to debug another system.

A simple example would be a white spot in the sky on a video. You can't tell if it's really there, but if you compare it against another video you will be able to see if it's real, or a glitch

---

2020-01-05T21:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213936604206620672

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Isn't Aegis a "fancy datalink" that connects radar to weapons systems, with a display system for control and visualization? (See diagram)

And isn't CEC a more encompassing datalink (network) that encompasses more sensor and weapons systems http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENjEllldU4AAKGCc.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENjEllldU4AAKGCc.png

2020-01-05T21:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213935677299032064

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 So what do you think of the hypothesis that the confiscation of the data was just to enable debugging of false returns?

2020-01-05T21:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213934444513390592

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann But in Gary's case they deleted the whole thing. So it was no longer there. Not a huge issue, but raises questions as to why they might do that for reasons other than covering up secret UFOs.

2020-01-05T21:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213933594315411456

@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Yes, but obviously after it was erase it was not working - but as you say it was a prototype, and you could just use Aegis.

2020-01-05T21:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213933248411078657

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 So Aegis was not networked to an external datacenter, so they needed the tapes.
Would they be comparing simultaneous data from the two systems? Seems like if they wanted to debug CES then the parallel Aegis data would be useful, even necessary.

2020-01-05T21:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213932262665809920

@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann So the CEC stopped working after this visit?

One hypothesis this suggests is the CEC software was buggy, they diagnosed it remotely, then had someone come onboard to take it offline so they could fix it. Deleted it to prevent more problems. It's secret, so they don't explain.

2020-01-05T21:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213931522396282881

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 So Dave Beaty was incorrect in saying: "both Patrick Hughes and Gary Voorhis had official US Gov personnel arrive that day via helicopter and confiscate their classified data tapes and drives from the mission." It was just PJ's "E2 data from his secure safe"?

2020-01-05T21:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213929753754796032

@GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann So what does "entire operating system had to be reinstalled back in port" mean?

(I'm a former software developer, so feel free to be as technical as you like)

2020-01-05T20:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213927321050079232

@hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Why would they have to take/erase the OS? Surely the data would be all they need?

2020-01-05T20:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213926884410441728

@hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @GaryVoorhis Did you ever have problems with the system?

2020-01-05T20:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213926764759486464

@GaryVoorhis @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 it says here that "Gary told me the entire secret CEC system, a combined radar display, was erased on orders of these men and the entire operating system had to be reinstalled back in port."
Does that mean you had no CEC after the visit?
https://thenimitzencounters.com/our-story/

2020-01-05T20:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213922934625984512

@kyleardd @GaryVoorhis I'm just trying to boil things down a bit, and clarify some issues.

Like how do we know it was "completely functional equipment"? Turning it off and on again does not fix everything. And what about odd meteorological conditions, like the type of ice crystals that make halos.

2020-01-05T20:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213922001137491968

@RyanSturmer https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O749wtBdPxU

2020-01-05T20:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213920282810781696

@PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Here's the segment in the video where I mention seagulls.
https://youtu.be/l1mpwyAsBwY?t=1472

2020-01-05T20:25:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213919404313858048

@PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I don't think Fravor's encounter can be explained by a seagull. A seagull was one example of something might have been misidentified at some point. But it seems highly unlikely to have been the ONLY thing.

2020-01-05T20:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213914953242951680

@GaryVoorhis Thanks Gary. I don't mind being "roasted" but I think it's potentially distracting from productive discussion.

Also, I don't think Fravor's encounter can be explained by a seagull. But people repeat jokes along those lines, and bystanders get the wrong idea.

2020-01-05T20:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213913284249706496

@Asgeir16813191 @TheOnlyEdAsner The only reason the turn was needed was that they came in a bit too high. If it was precisely pre-planned they would have just flown straight in. But it wasn't hard either way.

2020-01-05T17:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213876457337839616

Actor @TheOnlyEdAsner and his Lawyers for 9/11 group say the Pentagon pilot made an "incredible spiral suicide descent". An old 9/11 Truth talking point. Yet I was quite easily able to replicate this "incredible" descent. Sorry Ed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I4E-WbCCxk4

https://youtu.be/UaOLpeTC7hY

2020-01-05T17:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213874403592654848

@kevincann @HJB96145556 @bartjpx @hughespj1 I don't think that's a viable explanation for something of size. Current tech is some dots or lines spread over inches, barely visible in daylight. It does not scale to a large moving opaque craft. Certainly not a black one (on video)

2020-01-05T17:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213872807949422594

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @chad_vfa41 (2/2)

Do you think it shows actual sudden lateral motion of the object?

If so, would you be willing to discuss this in a bit more depth, regarding which portions of the video exactly, and the reasoning behind it?

2020-01-05T17:19:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213872745487798273

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @chad_vfa41 (1/2)

Hi Chad.

I've been involved in investigating the FLIR1 video you took for quite some time now. My interpretation of it is that all the movement is apparent movement from the camera regaining lock, and from gimbal corrections.

2020-01-05T17:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213872529686708224

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @chad_vfa41 I'd be happy to. His DM's are closed though. I don't know if he's following this thread. Can anyone relay a message? email mick@mickwest.com

---

2020-01-05T17:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213870734671413248

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Think about why you think that.

2020-01-05T17:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213870382605668352

@HJB96145556 @kevincann @bartjpx @hughespj1 But likewise, if I zoom in and it clearly looks like a well defined white blob with tiny protrusions, then for all practical purposes it's confirmed to be NOT a plane.

Here's an example. Confirmed to not be a plane.

Not an insurmountable standard of evidence. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENiIS6DVUAAKiLS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENiIS6DVUAAKiLS.jpg
2020-01-05T17:05:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213869121747554307
@HJB96145556 @kevincann @bartjpx @hughespj1 One can very easily raise confirmation to near 100%, even if you want to get all philosophical about things. Like if I zoom in on a white speck, and it turns out to look like a 737, then I'm going to go with, for all practical purposes, it's confirmed to be a plane.

2020-01-05T17:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213868269238505472
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann No, I've studied the video extensively for over a year. I've talked to lots of people about it. It's not moving.

Do you personally know it's moving from looking at the video? Or are you relying on others?

2020-01-05T16:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213862398957740032
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann No. There's zero evidence of that. It only moves when the camera changes lenses, or does a gimbal correction.

2020-01-05T16:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213862097722851328
@HJB96145556 @bartjpx @kevincann @hughespj1 Does teleportation, and 1000g movement not sound "impossible" to you?

I mean we could go with "all things are possible" if you like, but you've got to have SOME

criteria for when to consider other possibilities as well as "a real teleporting object"

2020-01-05T16:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213861454828326912

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Sorry, but you said "this object was seen visually" - which kind of implies they saw the actual object.

Then you said "Not sure what your point is by focusing on the video." but then it turns out you were talking about the video (in it's original form)

2020-01-05T16:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213861077319962624

@bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 No, but it was also clearly not good enough to identify the object. It's small, back-lit, and out of focus. It resembles some kind of distant flying craft, so it's unidentified.

It wasn't maneuvering on the video.

2020-01-05T16:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213859934183424006

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann Who saw it on the Princeton? What did they see? White specks in the distance?

Underwood did not see it, so how can people report the same as Underwood.

2020-01-05T16:23:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213858638701350912

@bartjpx @HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 How could the Navy identify the object from that crappy video? It's OBVIOUSLY going to be unidentified. That does not make it advanced technology, or an alien visitor, or a space warp.

It makes it far away.

2020-01-05T16:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213857674825101312

@gerrycan1 @PstarmanUa @DaveyGrean69 And does Brzezinski say in that book that the US should assassinate a top Iranian General in around 2020, to provoke Iran into war?

Because I can't find that bit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENh9B_sU4AEX0-i.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENh9B_sU4AEX0-i.jpg

2020-01-05T16:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213856177815080966

There's a lot of noise in the media about a drone invasion. But it's lacking one important component: video or photos of actual drones. All we get are lights in the sky.

In this entire flap, is there any footage of an unequivocal drone?

https://metabunk.org/threads/mysterious-drone-swarms-over-colorado-and-nebraska-chasing-venus.11048/

2020-01-05T16:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213855256552992768

@HJB96145556 @kevincann @bartjpx @hughespj1 The most prosaic explanation of reports of an impossible sounding event is simply that it did not happen.

Equipment fails. People make mistakes. People misremember. People hoax. People lie. Optical illusions exist.

All those things are mundane reality. Possibilities.

2020-01-05T16:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213854395248435202

@PstarmanUa @gerrycan1 @DaveyGrean69 So where were, as you said, "Us conspiracy theorists" predicting this 20 years ago? What year did you predict it for? What you quote is a scenario fo the Bush administration, ten years ago.

And read the full thing.

2020-01-05T02:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213649153957355520

@Adrian00506915 It's the weather. Like how some days it's cloudy, and other days it's not. Same thing, but with the upper-air weather. Contrails form when it's humid and cold enough at altitude. Varies hourly.

2020-01-05T01:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213639132842344448

@Adrian00506915 Mostly the passengers, indirectly. Then the package customers, also indirectly. You can see the flights here: https://www.flightradar24.com/
Zoom out for a sense of how many there are in the air right now.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENe2PnmUUAEL3sS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENe2PnmUUAEL3sS.jpg

2020-01-05T01:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213638332728479744

@ChiefofTruth Well regardless, I still think it would be very useful to nail down the actual proportions of the object. Like the ratio of length to diameter, and if the ends were hemispheres or not, and the size of the "feet".

This seems like simple and useful information that would help.

2020-01-05T01:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213628990423851008

RT @magnabosco: This might the first time a podcast got interrupted by a flat tire.

Mick and I peel back the curtain on Street Epistemologyâ¦

2020-01-05T00:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213624803413749760

@DaveyGrean69 I'm sorry, where was the prediction of "this Iran action"?

2020-01-04T23:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213603411402211328

@ViaeroWXCams Sorry, do you have an exact heading?

I suspect most of those lights are just regular air traffic. You've got a lot of range from there, local airports, plus the proximity of DIA.

2020-01-04T23:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213601759764942849

@ViaeroWXCams Thanks. So towards Torrington then?

2020-01-04T23:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213599584984825856

Weather camera supposedly showing "drones" over farmland is actually pointing straight at Denver International Airport. https://twitter.com/ViaeroWXCams/status/1212924430608818176

2020-01-04T21:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213569337946071040

@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Would the information you know (that you can't tell me) prove that some kind of advanced, physics-defying, technology was being used?

2020-01-04T21:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213567862083706880

@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Sure, but Rodger signed an NDA, yet you've pretty much told us what he told you. What more does he know about the object that's not public? What areas did his NDA cover that were not covered by standard security classifications?

2020-01-04T21:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213566607059849216

@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Can you characterize what type of things these are? Technical specifications? Things that happened? Names?

You didn't sign an NDA, did you?

2020-01-04T21:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213565775656566784

@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis PJ, are there things that you know that you can't tell us, that are relevant to this case?

2020-01-04T20:44:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213561741935702016
@junglist1973 @mikeb8637 I'm not trolling. It was a serious question.

The point of the question is that if the shape in the video footage does not match the shape described by Fravor, then it's likely a different object.

2020-01-04T20:42:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213561357942968320
@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis You link says the ATFLIR can identify and designate targets at 40 miles. Underwood says "inside of 20 miles". You say "at near max distance."

Seems to be a discrepancy that should be resolved. Maybe it was much further away, and bigger.

2020-01-04T20:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213556136172871680
@DaveyGrean69 Where's the prediction? What exactly did you personally predict?

Can you also list all the other predictions made by all conspiracy theorists 20 years ago.

What percentage were exactly correct?

2020-01-04T20:00:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213550856412385280
@AnonCalif Why dod you think that's not a contrail passing through a region of the sky that's got lower humidity than the surrounding region?

2020-01-04T19:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213550572239908864
@HJB96145556 @kevincann @hughespj1 You should "go on about the toy on a string 'experiment'" because I'm wondering A) which one, and B) what EXACTLY do you think is the problem with it?

My experiments usually have specific and limited purposes, they are not overarching debunks.

2020-01-04T18:30:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213528114258534400
@BoysenIngo @hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis It's video.

2020-01-04T18:29:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213527977188704256
@Asgeir16813191 It's really more about the sunrise/moonrise. If the earth is flat, then how can the sun (or the moon) go below the horizon? (yet still be above it, when viewed from 6 hours to

the east)

2020-01-04T17:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213513910919131136

@channel_clear @TxUFOSightings @_Desmoden @UfoSunday @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis It would be very useful to know what shape it actually was. It's a shame nobody ever thought to draw a diagram to indicate the proportions.

You'd think that really would be the FIRST thing you'd do.

Then draw a diagram to show how it moved.

2020-01-04T17:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213513256154697728

@hughespj1 @YouVacuum @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis And what distance was that? "Inside of 20 miles" I take photos of planes 20 miles away, and they don't change shape.

Do you know how Underwood determined that distance? He never saw it, and It would seem to be impossible without radar.

http://nymag.com/intelligencer/2019/12/tic-tac-ufo-video-q-and-a-with-navy-pilot-chad-underwood.html

---

2020-01-04T17:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213511780212736000

@Asgeir16813191 It is. At sunrise.

2020-01-04T17:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213511657449672704

@Mikelynette @hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Why don't you find the quote?

2020-01-04T14:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213460962394529792

@TxUFOSightings @_Desmoden @UfoSunday @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I have no problem with memorable terms for things. However I'm asking here what the ACTUAL shape was that Fravor saw, so we can compare it to the video.

2020-01-04T14:02:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213460716042121216

@YouVacuum @hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Lens: no real effect on shapes at a distance.
Processor: not a well defined term there - filters? compression algorithm and settings? It

depends on what they are - but they are generally designed to NOT change the shape of things. Extreme compression will though, giving blocks.

2020-01-04T06:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213342686763085826

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis What are you getting from within government?

2020-01-04T06:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213342043277185025

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Surely if the video does not match the eyewitness description then that DOES shed some light on the situation.

2020-01-04T05:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213330330410598401

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis The video is the only piece of data there that's actually verifiable and testable. The other stuff, compelling though it may be, is largely second-hand and inconsistent accounts with no official verification. The video is not all of it, but it's an important part of it.

2020-01-04T05:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213329081686622208

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'm not sure what your point is.

2020-01-04T05:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213327685713158144

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'm sorry, but what exactly is wrong with trying to clarify minor points? If there's a discrepancy in an account, and it can be resolved wither way, then everyone moves closer to the truth.

It's not as if the entire Nimitz encounter collapses if the video was just of a plane.

2020-01-04T05:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213325879536865280

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I questioned flight characteristics last year. Actually starting back in 2017.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo-flir-footage-flir1.9190/

2020-01-04T05:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213325378724356097

@stang289 @FrankLuntz Yes. Meaning he might actually end up causing more harm dead than

alive.
It's like, say, if your neighbor's cat is shitting on your lawn, so you shoot it in front of his kids, to teach him a lesson. Sure, no more shit on your lawn!

2020-01-04T04:58:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213323754685681664
@GalaxyReport @transformPosit1 @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'll see you later.

2020-01-04T04:57:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213323573772685312
@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Echo-chambers are where a bunch of like-minded people get together to reinforce their beliefs by repeating them to each-other.

That is not what I am doing here.

2020-01-04T04:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213323134104850438
@PostDisclosure If there's disclosure, then I'm pretty sure people will have more interesting things to talk about. Like, aliens.

But, I stand by the point. The Flir1 video shows a more irregular object than described by Fravor.

2020-01-04T04:53:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213322474844123136
@Deepneuron @GalaxyReport @mikeb8637 @Disclosure2020 I'm not trolling anyone. If I'm wrong, then I'm wrong. But I'm doing all this in good faith, with my only goal to find what is true, and what is not.

I disagree that your video shows how to get from a tic-tac to a peanut. Plus we need to get the actual eyewitness proportions

---

2020-01-04T04:49:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213321454520922112
@GalaxyReport @transformPosit1 @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis No, I'm being serious.

2020-01-04T04:48:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213321301877637122
@magnabosco My podcast with Anthony Magnabosco is also available on Apple Podcasts:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-33-anthony-magnabosco-street-epistemology-conspiracy/id1462120258?i=1000461512786
And everywhere else:
https://www.tftrh.com/2020/01/03/episode-33-anthony-magnabosco-street-epistemology-and-co

nspiracy-theories/

2020-01-04T04:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213320315259871232

@GalaxyReport @transformPosit1 @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis It doesn't really seem more irregular on the top than the bottom. In fact it seems pretty irregular all round.

That reminds me, I did the gradient version a while back. Kind of useful to get an idea of the overall change in shape.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213319995268091909/pu/img/eKb6cxo09BAyFn1W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213319995268091909/pu/img/eKb6cxo09BAyFn1W.jpg

2020-01-04T04:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213318830321065985

In #TFTRH 33 I talk with Anthony @Magnabosco on Street Epistemology - the art of getting people to examine the foundations of their beliefs. In a fascinating conversation we compare our different conversational approaches and conspiracism vs. religion

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FbdOITMdoiY

2020-01-04T04:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213317059049734144

@GalaxyReport @transformPosit1 @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Here's a frame from your video, showing the irregular top. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaRXfWU8AAfCLr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaRXfWU8AAfCLr.jpg

2020-01-04T04:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213315774313463809

@FrankLuntz You certain he didn't pull an Obi-Wan Kenobi on you there? (From the Dark Side, sure, but still...) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaP4cjUcAE45KO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaP4cjUcAE45KO.jpg

2020-01-04T04:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213314247163179009

@transformPosit1 @GalaxyReport @UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui

@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Again though, it doesn't really explain the irregular top.

And it being a different craft is my entire point here.

2020-01-04T03:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213304517204144130

@transformPosit1 @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Underwood claims HE first used the term "tic-tac" to describe it. But his interview seemed a bit, um, chaotic, so who knows.

http://nymag.com/intelligencer/2019/12/tic-tac-ufo-video-q-and-a-with-navy-pilot-chad-underwood.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaFqIsU8AABJcj.jpg

---

The "Tic Tac."

The term "Tic Tac," I actually coined that. So, any time you heard the term, "It looked like a 'Tic Tac' out there in the sky," I was the one that kind of coined that.

Was that named based on what you saw with your own eyes, or from looking at the screen on the camera?

No. I was more concentrated on looking at the FLIR[2]. It was inside of 20 miles. You're not going to see it with your own eyes until probably 10 miles, and then you're not going to be able to visually track it until you're probably inside of five miles, which is where Dave Fravor said that he saw it. So, at that point I didn't see anything with my eyeballs. I was more concerned with tracking it, making sure that the videotape was on so that I could bring something back to the ship, so that the intel folks could dissect whatever it is that I captured.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENaFqIsU8AABJcj.jpg

2020-01-04T02:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213281577335050241

@GalaxyReport I'm not sure what you are referring to here.

2020-01-04T02:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213281181845745664

@GalaxyReport https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/flir1_981-mp4.37653/

2020-01-04T02:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213280410471301120

@GalaxyReport One frame. And the most oblong one at that. Now explain the frames when it's not oblong.

2020-01-04T02:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213279460398518272

@GalaxyReport Now try that with the rest of the video.

2020-01-04T02:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213279182362251264

@GalaxyReport Or near the start, larger on the left. Shorter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZu3MCUwAA4Ak1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZu3MCUwAA4Ak1.jpg

2020-01-04T01:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213278848994799616

@GalaxyReport What about at the end of the video, when the shape is closer and clearer. Would you describe that as a tic-tac? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZuoVyUwAAY5CT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZuoVyUwAAY5CT.jpg
2020-01-04T01:45:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213275233018208258
@GalaxyReport Nobody said "kind of" Fravor said (on Tucker Carlson) "a white, 40-foot long, tic-tac shaped object."

So basically you're saying he could have meant a cigar, or an egg, and you don't care what shape it was?

Still, none of those shapes would make the irregular shape in the video

---

2020-01-04T01:37:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213273279143632896
@GalaxyReport We are not arguing over semantics. I'm trying to figure out what the shape is that Fravor thought he saw. Then what did other people think they saw?

It's a big deal because it establishes that the video is not of the Tic-Tac.

2020-01-04T01:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213271384798875649
@GalaxyReport "Ovoid" = Elliptical/Egg
"Tic-Tac" = Oblong/Stadium/Caplet.

It's a clear distinction, and one they should be able to make. Also vs. irregular-peanut-shape.

2020-01-04T01:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213270773843914753

@GalaxyReport So why didn't they draw a diagram. They think the shape is irrelevant?

I'm not arguing what a Tic-Tac looks like. I'm asking what shape they think they saw.

2020-01-04T01:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213270456704196608

@GalaxyReport There was no ping-pong motion or hypersonic maneuvers in the video either.

2020-01-04T01:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213270256723976192

@GalaxyReport No, we are seeing if there's any consistency between the eyewitness description and the video.

2020-01-04T01:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213269676689510400

@GalaxyReport Why can't we find out what shape they saw? I'm going by their descriptions. If they say otherwise then I'll change. Otherwise there's no point - might was well say they have no idea what they saw.

2020-01-04T01:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213269259805085696

@GalaxyReport And Fravor said "like little feet" not "like clown shoes"

2020-01-04T01:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213268807168356352

@GalaxyReport My model is already longer than a tic-tac.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZlgfpVAAA9Rz7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZlgfpVAAA9Rz7.jpg

2020-01-04T01:16:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213267998913335296

@GalaxyReport Why? Why not go by shape given by the eyewitnesses. Don't they remember what shape it was? They said it looks like a tic-tac, not a short Sharpie.

2020-01-04T01:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213265645183193088

@GalaxyReport Go on then...

Because I don't think so. That's why I'm making a model, to see if it's possible.

2020-01-04T01:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213265186921992193

@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'm not losing sleep over this. I'm just fact checking the story. People say the video shows what Fravor saw. But the video does not match his description.

2020-01-04T01:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213264772193370113

@GalaxyReport No they don't. Protrusions on the bottom don;t change the shape of the top, or

make one end larger than the other.

I've updated my model. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZh0kxU0AALPzE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZh0kxU0AALPzE.jpg
2020-01-04T00:44:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213259783911792641
@BryanKelly @marcpalmerdev @PostDisclosure Yeah the human visual system is easily tricked.

That's why you get things like, you know, UFOs...

2020-01-04T00:41:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213259010205290496
@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Also it sounds like he's saying this was in the video, but not when he saw it.

2020-01-04T00:39:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213258688267313153
@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160

@Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis
https://silvarecord.com/2019/07/16/different-ufo-shapes-different-functions/

Just DRAW A DIAGRAM! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZcScmVAAE3cLh.jpg

Silva Record

Corbell: And so you had mentioned to me they were L-shaped appendages underneath the vehicle, the tic-tac?

Fravor: Yeah, like if you're looking at it, you know...go about... I don't know 20%, 25% down and kind of come out make like a little L, to the right, like a little down 90 degree slope. They almost look like little just feet sticking out the bottom. Could be antennas.

Corbell: Were both of the L shapes going to one side or the other or were there two symmetrical...

Fravor: Yeah, I believe they both went to the right. So we're looking at it, you know it goes off to the left side, so they came down and pointed out to the right side. They don't go that far down. I mean, the way they're zoomed in there they're pretty close to the object, you know, zoom wise.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZcScmVAAE3cLh.jpg
2020-01-04T00:37:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213258129573466112
@BryanKelly @marcpalmerdev @PostDisclosure They distort VIS? Then how did Fravor even see the shape?

This is just an appeal to magic now. You're saying they look different because they look

different for some reasons, but they are the same thing?

2020-01-04T00:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213257559705931778

@PostDisclosure @GalaxyReport @bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Did they both agree there were two? How big?

2020-01-04T00:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213257313051541504

@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'm certainly hung up on the lack of diagrams. Why on earth don't we have a visual on what it looked like?

2020-01-04T00:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213252759039795201

@bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Yes, but now PJ says "Tic Tac was a close descriptor, not an exact shape"

2020-01-04T00:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213251220778782720

@UfoSunday @GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis The big question here is how a tic-tac can look peanut shaped. What angle is that? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZViD9U0AU1___.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZViD9U0AU1___.jpg

2020-01-04T00:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213250598608359424

@PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis Caplet is pretty straightforward though. Were the sides parallel? Were the ends equal hemispheres.
And aren't these supposed to be the best observers in the world?
Why has NOBODY drawn it?

2020-01-04T00:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213249209131921408
@GalaxyReport @hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis WHO CARES? You don't think the details of the most celebrated UFO encounter of recent history are important or relevant?

You should care because the video needs to match the descriptions if they are the same thing.

Because otherwise, they are not.

2020-01-04T00:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213248796991246341
@UfoSunday @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis I'm not naysaying, I'm methodically trying to figure out if the visible light video could possible be a tic-tac (caplet) shape as described by Fravor. Or if a different shape fits better.

2020-01-03T23:59:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213248466891071488
@Captain60850040 @1947_z @PostDisclosure But the visible light video does not look like that the "eyes" later describe.

2020-01-03T23:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213248083057758208
@hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I think that people should be able to draw what they think it looked like, if they can verbally describe it.

2020-01-03T23:56:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213247671986577408
@hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis So can we get a diagram of the actual shape?

2020-01-03T23:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213246882635378688
@hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Please go on. What difference would the range make? What effect would it have on the shape of the object? Is there an example long distance video that shows this effect?

2020-01-03T23:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213246514438389761
@hughespj1 @GalaxyReport @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Analog video does not use digital compression. MP4 has been around since 2000.
But surely it would be interesting to replicate the video? In fact, I'd think it would be required, to prove that it COULD be a caplet shape.
Right now, it does not look like it could be.

2020-01-03T23:47:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213245626621648897
@hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis In the video during the TV portion, the object doe not appear to be moving at all, it just gets a bit closer. I replicated that in my video.
Distance and altitude would not make a visual difference, when you account for scale (which I did, small close model)

2020-01-03T23:45:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213244909651513344
@bartjpx @hughespj1 @naoehogui @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Well, except for it being much more obviously over-compressed, and still maintaining a caplet shape.

2020-01-03T23:42:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213244352811552768
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I see an irregular peanut shaped object, more bulbous at the ends. A tic-tac has straight sides. So you'd expect it to look like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZPQCnUYAAm1kj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENZPQCnUYAAm1kj.jpg
2020-01-03T23:39:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213243493868392448
@hughespj1 @naoehogui @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I have a video camera and a Tic-Tac shaped object. What's the difference? Seriously?

I tried recompressing mine at a very low 100kbps, still recognizable shape. What more needs to happen?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213243401233002496/pu/img/cKzbwul8ihFW9PyT.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213243401233002496/pu/img/cKzbwul8ihFW9PyT.jpg
2020-01-03T23:29:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213240981962678278
@naoehogui @hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Well if you can explain how the "watering down" changes the shape, maybe I can replicate it.

2020-01-03T23:28:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213240822780456962
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis So how is converting the file going to change the shape?

2020-01-03T23:19:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213238382848929792

@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis So why doesn't the video show a tic-tac shape? Surely it should look like this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213238233842122752/pu/img/q2-_ab0P7VI66lNw.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213238233842122752/pu/img/q2-_ab0P7VI66lNw.jpg
2020-01-03T23:10:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213236129291358208
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis And did they agree it was a featureless white tic-tac shape? Like Fravor described?

2020-01-03T23:06:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213235238316363776
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Did you clearly see the shape of the object yourself?

2020-01-03T23:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213233686235115521
@hughespj1 @bartjpx @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis So if you removed Fravor, then what's the best bit of evidence?

2020-01-03T22:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213233383611875329
@DarkstarIE @InquiringJosh @PostDisclosure The best data we have is the video. Really the only data.
I really don't see how you get a dark irregular shape out of a white tic-tac. I've tried a few things. But it remains tic-tac shaped.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213233246504247296/pu/img/VhNFvvDPN48NlpN7.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213233246504247296/pu/img/VhNFvvDPN48NlpN7.jpg
2020-01-03T22:52:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213231713473531904
@marcpalmerdev @PostDisclosure The video is referred to as "FLIR1", but it contains both IR and visible light footage. I'm discussing to the visible light portions. Labeled "TV" at the top, here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213231614144077829/pu/img/a4LP0HXMqt2HTkgo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213231614144077829/pu/img/a4LP0HXMqt2HTkgo.jpg
2020-01-03T21:38:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213213105418883074
@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure Here's video of a tic-tac shaped object, at the same resolution. It looks tic-tac shaped.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213213001936859137/pu/img/DyTS7LU4KRCay-0D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1213213001936859137/pu/img/DyTS7LU4KRCay-0D.jpg

2020-01-03T21:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213212310740725762

@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure But the point here is that it does not look tic-tac shaped.

2020-01-03T21:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213212134969991169

@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure "UAP" includes planes, drones, missiles, and balloons.

2020-01-03T20:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213201970204270592

@marcpalmerdev @PostDisclosure like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYowbfU4AIkZ2P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYowbfU4AIkZ2P.jpg

2020-01-03T20:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213199784279855104

@marcpalmerdev @PostDisclosure It's not in FLIR, it's in TV mode, visible light.

2020-01-03T20:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213190690135400448

@buffcommacu @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis Patrick? Gary? Would you like to come on my Podcast and discuss the details of the Tic Tac incident?

2020-01-03T20:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213189344212598784

@PostDisclosure @DarkstarIE Well, feel free to point out the substantive errors.

I'm not trying to discredit Joe's analysis by pointing out that he's a fan. I'd discount his analysis by explaining why it's wrong.

2020-01-03T20:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213188678039654400

@batdaddy5656 @LATAMforTRUMP @DrEtiquette @realDonaldTrump @SarahHuckabee @AmyKremer @RealDrGina @Lrihendry @kimguilfoyle @DiamondandSilk @AppSame @VP

Maybe skinny guy has a gun. Heck, maybe he has a knife.

This isn't wrestling.

2020-01-03T19:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213187671733878784

@PostDisclosure @DarkstarIE Skeptics seek evidence backed truth. That's what I do.

2020-01-03T19:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213187073227685888

@1947_z @PostDisclosure Why exactly? I mean, it's a different shape. Different set of shapes.

Do you think I can take some video of my new tic-tac model and get frames like these?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYbNabU8AEQJ5n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYbNabU8AEQJ5n.jpg
2020-01-03T19:51:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213186149033734144
@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure Joe is a big UFO fan. I think it's clouding his judgement.

2020-01-03T19:49:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213185691531628544
@DarkstarIE @PostDisclosure That's not my armchair. I'm actually out behind my garage, trying to get more information. Doing actual analysis.

What DOES a white tic-tac shaped object look like from a distance? Flir1 has visible light footage, so we should be able to replicate this image. No?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYZ5MTU4AAhmrl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYZ5MTU4AAhmrl.jpg
2020-01-03T19:45:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213184751676190720
@buffcommacu @PostDisclosure Which ones?

2020-01-03T19:41:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213183620929638400
@Kevin12887548 @Deepneuron @paulsanderson I'm not asserting any final opinion, but I'd put significant money on not all of the reports being of the same thing. I'm sure there were SOME drones.

2020-01-03T19:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1213182659985149952
@PostDisclosure I'll just let this paint dry. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYXMI-VUAA3NOZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYXMI-VUAA3NOZ.jpg

2020-01-03T19:36:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213182473263185920

@PostDisclosure Maybe I need a bigger model?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYXArcVUAAirqN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYXArcVUAAirqN.jpg

2020-01-03T19:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213182282506227712

@PostDisclosure Here's my current model Tic-Tac, an iPhone earbud case, with the support removed. How do you suggest I get it to look like the various shapes in Flir1?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYWwQcUcAA1lsh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYWcNiVUAIs5nx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYWwQcUcAA1lsh.jpg

2020-01-03T18:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213168287590146050

@PostDisclosure You know, I think there's a quite fundamental proof that the FLIR1 video is not of a tic-tac shaped object. The proof is that the object in the FLIR1 video is not shaped like a Tic Tac. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYKHmLUUAAh1QW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYKHmLUUAAh1QW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENYJ_WLUwAAs8kg.jpg

2020-01-03T18:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213167071309127680

@PostDisclosure Why don't they draw a diagram of what they saw?

2020-01-03T18:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213166919836041216

@PostDisclosure When they say there IS a longer video, do they mean now? Of course there was one on the day.

2020-01-03T18:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213164274228482048

@PostDisclosure So why do you believer Fravor's memory 100% about the encounter if you don't believe him about the existence of longer videos?

2020-01-03T18:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213162603561644033

@Kevin12887548 @Deepneuron @paulsanderson What exactly is it in this thread that you think is not objective? The position of Venus? The Lights on the horizon that look like buildings? The note that some observations were of drones? What?

https://metabunk.org/threads/mysterious-drone-swarms-over-colorado-and-nebraska-chasing-venus.11048/

2020-01-03T15:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1213123586199703552

@DaveFromHouston @Deepneuron @paulsanderson Sightings of UFOs always peak A) on July 4th (and other fireworks), and B) after a big media story about someone seeing a UFO.

Photos and videos don't improve in quality though.

2020-01-03T04:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212949992857464832

@briandavid0523 @adminnathan Some of them probably are.

2020-01-03T02:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212917028358541312

@GalaxyReport @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @hughespj1 @Mikelynette @GaryVoorhis So what is the evidence linking them? What did they even do the same on radar vs. video?

2020-01-03T02:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212916580784300032

@IamDrVenkman @adminnathan I think you are missing the point. Of course there are some actual sighting of drones. But there are also sighting that are probably not drones - like the ones I discussed in my article.

2020-01-02T21:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212848450426949633

@Oswald1160 @GaryVoorhis @hughespj1 @GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @PostDisclosure Reminds me of the term â□□la belle indifferenceâ□□ - youâ□□d think the Navy would be on battle stations for they were being buzzed by hoards of white dots.

So, maybe they were not.

2020-01-02T19:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212824788579667969

@BobMcGwier_N4HY @paulsanderson People move. Also buildings have lights. Cars do both.

2020-01-02T16:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212769886771920896

@DarkstarIE @UFOSpectra @PostDisclosure You still have to focus on a HUD. It's also not to the side of the plane looking down.

2020-01-02T16:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212769569216970752

@GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure What seagull theory? The one where Fravor sees several different things, a brief one of which might (but probably would not) be a seagull, and conflates them all as one object because he's misjudging the distance to something that is featureless? That one?

2020-01-02T16:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212768396091129857

@GalaxyReport @Mikelynette @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure No, and no.

2020-01-02T16:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212768222509821952

@gridiron70 @CrewCut23566433 @paulsanderson Back in pre-cellphone days, presumably?

2020-01-02T15:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212760125254979586

@hughespj1 @BurtReynolds0 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis Well obviously there's an

underlying reason they classify operations. I'm just saying you don't really need to speculate about what one thing they are protecting. The entire op is blanket classified by default.

2020-01-02T15:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212759068399435776

@Mikelynette @hughespj1 @GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure According to Fravor (and more so the other pilot), the vibe was partly one of mocking their UFO observations.

2020-01-02T15:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212758591326670848

@DarkstarIE @UFOSpectra @PostDisclosure Instrument scan implies taking your eyes off the object periodically. I'm not sure how it works with a WSO, but Fravor would not have stared fixedly at the object(s) for several minutes.

2020-01-02T15:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212757989599240198

@rjblaskiewicz @gorskon Not social, but you might be interested in giving my 12x news viewer a bash. Somewhat paradoxically, more sources leads to clarity, as you can see the biases.
http://news.metabunk.org/ (required some setup, see video)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRPh1CsvRI4

2020-01-02T15:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212755335988969472

@buffcommacu @CrewCut23566433 @paulsanderson Strike out? I'm just not interested in endlessly going over old cases. I tweeted about the drone case because it's new and interesting. You brought up a different case, for some reason.

2020-01-02T15:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212754935126753280

@hughespj1 @BurtReynolds0 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I'm going by what Spokesman Gradisher said.
"any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. ... Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.â□□

https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/navy-no-release-of-ufo-information-to-the-general-public-expected/2019/05/01/25ef6426-6b82-11e9-9d56-1c0cf2c7ac04_story.html

---

2020-01-02T15:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212753812542218240

@buffcommacu @CrewCut23566433 @paulsanderson Old news. I'm more interested in this new drone mass hysteria.

2020-01-02T15:11:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212753355149213696

@Mutantbigred4 @hoaxeye I'd imagine they would use more technical language, like "oxygen". But you can SEE what it is.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tnbSMjFebPk

2020-01-02T14:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212749139743608833

@hustlesolo @YouTube The German Government did not "admit participation in ChemTrail experiments". The video is a deliberately incorrect translation of a 2008 report on military chaff (radar countermeasures) interfering with weather radar.

https://contrailscience.com/germans-admit-they-used-duppel/

2020-01-02T14:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212748396647178242

@BurtReynolds0 @hughespj1 @PostDisclosure @GaryVoorhis I don't think you need specific classified tech for things to be classified. They just classify all operations by default, to be safe.

2020-01-02T14:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212747435530805249

@Mutantbigred4 @hoaxeye Of course they do. They are rocket scientists.

2020-01-02T14:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212747281906065409

@CrewCut23566433 @paulsanderson The Phoenix lights were an actual large large set of lights (army flares) in a single location, also over a city.

A bunch of different reports of different descriptions of "drones", accompanied by videos of things that are not drones (like Venus) is a different kettle of fish.

2020-01-02T14:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212745999946407938

@DarkstarIE @UFOSpectra @PostDisclosure I've flown an aircraft. But regardless of training, all pilots lose situational awareness at times.

2020-01-02T14:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212745776691986432

@DarkstarIE @UFOSpectra @PostDisclosure I don't think anyone has suggested that all, or even most, of Fravor's observation was of a seagull. I certainly have not.

2020-01-02T06:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212620839054479360

@chrisc334 The vent being that bright small jet in the top right?

I was wondering also if it might be condensation of the exhaust product (water) cooled by the vent? Like a gradual build-up of actual water ice?

2020-01-02T06:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212618289085464576

Does a mouse crawling around the exterior of a SpaceX Rocket prove that space is fake? No (obviously), it's a bit of rocket gunk, just sliding around.

https://metabunk.org/threads/mouse-in-space-ice.11050/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ENQVmhLVUAAu6ss.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ENQVmhLVUAAu6ss.jpg

2020-01-02T05:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212609882068643840

@CrewCut23566433 @PostDisclosure I use "classified" because the navy spokesman, Gardisher, very specifically said that any investigation would be classified, so it would be unlikely more would be released.

2020-01-02T05:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212609445508681728

@DarkstarIE @UFOSpectra @PostDisclosure What bird theory, exactly?

2020-01-02T05:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212609165010407424

@paulsanderson The accounts are all of different things. Can you find two independent witnesses who say "30 or more drones at once, flying in specific grid patterns"

And where's the footage of these 30 drones?

2020-01-01T22:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212505821734506496

@Deepneuron @JazzShaw Nobody is paying me to debunk UFOs, or anything else.

How would that even work?

2020-01-01T21:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212483221511819264

@EngagingThe @presuminggeorge @Deepneuron No, I didn't include it because I considered it to be mostly harmless.

2020-01-01T21:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212482918007754752

@stephenblong @Daemon29135127 @911JusticeNow The introduction to my book starts with "Conspiracies are very real, of course".

There's lots of corruption - basically wherever there's money.

2020-01-01T21:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212482509600018432

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @Erwal4 @histrangeness Same thing? How do you know they saw the same thing? Find the female pilot's actual words, there very little overlap. Plus, her original statement indicates a high degree of stress.

But, did you try the experiment?

2020-01-01T17:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212429825547259904

@DJGrothe We are a bit closer than average, and, when viewed low and though thin clouds, you can see the actual disk of the sun, which is about the same size as the moon. As it's close to the horizon you get the same illusion as you do when viewing a low full moon
https://www.skyandtelescope.com/observing/moon-illusion-confusion11252015/

2020-01-01T17:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212425777918070784

@PostDisclosure @Erwal4 @histrangeness Here's a useful though experiment y'all can do. Re-read Fravor's account, but instead of picturing the object as being where he thinks it it, picture it being half-way there - i.e. instead of moving around the other side of the circle, it's drifting in the middle of the circle.

2020-01-01T17:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212425203847819269

@PostDisclosure @Erwal4 @histrangeness And even then, I don't think the entire visual encounter was a single seagull, or all seagulls, or even seagulls. I just gave it as a possibility for one white dot at maybe one point in the encounter.
A 40-foot tic-tac looks like a speck from sufficient distance.

2020-01-01T17:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212424710014681088

@Deepneuron Could you give an example? Perhaps you could point out the "blind dogmatism and confirmation bias" in something like:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2020-01-01T17:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212424285085552641

@presuminggeorge @Deepneuron Also, I'm not paid. I don't even write about UFOs in my book. It's a subject that's mostly harmless fun. (Although I recognize it's a very personally important topic for a lot of people, particularly "experiencers")

2020-01-01T17:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212422872460718080

@WallerJoe1 Ha, they are probably de-ranking my videos because of the subject matter.

2020-01-01T17:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212422586379816960

@Erwal4 @PostDisclosure @histrangeness I don't think anyone has every suggested the FLIR1 footage shows a seagull. That wouldn't make any sense. Go-Fast maybe, but balloon fits that better.

You are not going to get one explanation to fit everything.

2020-01-01T17:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212421025050181632

@Daemon29135127 @stephenblong People benefit from things that they didn't do. CNN benefit every time there's a plane - yet nobody says CNN are making planes crash.

2020-01-01T17:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212420613584773120

@PostDisclosure @ExoAcademian Just for a possibility of PART of his sighting, or maybe the female pilots. Thinking about glancing down at the water from 20,000 feet.

2019-12-31T22:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212146091291889664

A tricky one to explain for the Flat Earthers. :)

https://www.facebook.com/elias.chasiotis/posts/10218267840661566

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENJoQmdU0AAwUeo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ENJoQmdU0AAwUeo.jpg

2019-12-31T22:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212141529587838976

@BigChris198436 @JasonBermas If he is convicted of theft, then he will go to jail. This is about pre-trial incarceration. Should people automatically all be put in jail for an indefinite period as soon as they are accused of doing something? For some crimes, yes. For other crimes (with no flight risk), no.

2019-12-31T20:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212114398870827008

@JasonBermas (That should read "This changed nothing for the majority of VIOLENT felonies.") The intent behind this change is to stop people accused of non-violent crimes being put in jail simply because they can't afford bail.

2019-12-31T20:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212108957973544960

@JasonBermas He didn't walk into a bank with a gun. He (or someone who looked like him) pretended he had a gun.

This changed nothing for the majority of non-violent felonies.

It's also basically what New Jersey did like 10 years ago.

2019-12-31T20:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212104223799697409

@JasonBermas

https://www.brennancenter.org/our-work/analysis-opinion/new-yorks-upcoming-bail-reform-changes-explained

"For those charged with the most serious crimes â□□ including almost all violent felonies and certain nonviolent felonies, such as sex offenses [...] â□□ very little has changed [in NY] In those cases, judges will retain the option to set cash bail."

2019-12-31T20:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1212103837743403008

@JasonBermas I would have thought you would be opposed to preventative detention (keeping people in jail before they are convicted or exonerated, just in case). It seems like a rather authoritarian thing you'd want to reduce if you are in favor of individual liberties.

2019-12-31T06:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211898689322512384

@Russianvids11 @GaloreGalaxies @Veganearther @ThisIsKikki @NASA @NASA_Johnson @esa @eddiebravo @joerogan @IAmJericho @neiltyson If you want to talk to me, then why are you not talking to me? It's not like I'm inaccessible.

2019-12-31T06:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211898309612171264

@GaloreGalaxies @Russianvids11 Well, #33 is going to be Anthony Magnabosco. But #34 is an equally significant number.

2019-12-30T20:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211746820323282944

@JLuvin @MadScientistPod This case seems to be some frames from a video of something from

30 years ago? Is that what you mean by "consistently recorded radar"

2019-12-30T15:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211676224151412736

@JLuvin @MadScientistPod There's always a rational alternative hypothesis - usually there are several. You just can't pin it down because UFOs exist in the Low Information Zone.

Low information is not an excuse to jump to "advanced technology." Sure, you can add that to the list, ranked appropriately.

2019-12-30T15:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211671764838703105

@anonymous4835 You don't need me to explain it. You could research it yourself. Contrails like these have been observed since planes could fly high enough to make them. Here's some film from 1944, showing the exact same thing.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wfOrez6q7WM&feature=emb_logo

And you can look in old books on cloud.

2019-12-30T15:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211670651506847745

@jaydiamond @peterboghossian Street Epistemology is somewhat ad-hoc (less so than "Escaping the Rabbit Hole") but it's a real thing. It intersects conspiracism (and supernatural and pseudoscience) via the notion of "crippled epistemology" popularized by Cass Sunstein and Adrian Vermeule.

2019-12-30T15:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211668733975912454

@jaydiamond "Street Epistemology" is the name of a strategy that uses the Socratic method with a focus on the belief acquisition aspect of epistemology (which the individual thinks of as knowledge acquisition.) The term comes from ch 1 of "A Manual for Creating Atheists" by @peterboghossian

---

2019-12-30T02:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211475429401354240

Tomorrow I'm going to interview prolific Street Epistemologist, Anthony Magnabosco.

What should I ask him?

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCocP40a_UvRkUAPLD5ezLIQ

2019-12-29T21:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211404617528963072

@apolloandartemi Thanks. I've just updated Metabunk's software, which will clear the way for a variety of improvements next year. The main goal is to make content more accessible and discoverable.

2019-12-29T01:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1211100381423394816

Also on Apple podcasts

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-32-skeptic-north-former-conspiracist-current/id1462120258?i=1000461001478

And everywhere else:
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/12/28/episode-32-the-skeptic-of-the-north-former-conspiracist-current-skeptic/

2019-12-29T01:42:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1211100116406300672
#TFTRH 32 is a chat with The Skeptic of the North, a former conspiracy theorist who's now a skeptic, and is making some interesting videos on epistemology and conspiracy theories.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fl8Ek9Vwciw

2019-12-27T06:25:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1210446466432389121
@GeorgeTakei These objects are probably man-made. Quite likely rather mundane things like planes and balloons, with some misunderstood camera glitches. Partly explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2019-12-26T23:30:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1210342035518971905
@GeorgiannK @VincentCrypt46 How do you know which trails are contrails, and which are "chemtrails?"

You know contrails are sometimes short, and sometimes long, right? Even at very similar altitudes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-12-25T01:34:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1209648558212648960
@chopperblade53 Email me, mick@mickwest.com and Iâ□□ll sort it out.

2019-12-24T22:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1209599400386809858
@chopperblade53 What happened? Did you not get the confirmation email?

2019-12-24T17:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1209534103252389889
@anonymous4835 Can you explain how you know that's not a contrail?

I don't mean just SAYING it's not, or ASSUMING it's not. What is it about this trail that shows to YOU that it's not a contrail?

2019-12-24T17:58:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1209533798607675392
@LDayMcCoy @free_energy2016 Cars produce quite a lot of water. Burning a gallon of gasoline produces over a gallon of water. Gasoline is a hydrocarbon, so it's burning both hydrogen and

carbon.

2019-12-24T06:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1209357506138427392

@chopperblade53 What group?

2019-12-21T22:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208521594617745408

@bamafreeman2019 @neiltyson @elonmusk @NASA Today? They would have to build a factory first. Several. Thereâ□□s a whole supply chain.

Same thing.

2019-12-21T20:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208484243220791297

@bamafreeman2019 @neiltyson @elonmusk @NASA Large scale 8-track manufacturing.

2019-12-21T05:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208258997981024256

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Thereâ□□s literally zero witnesses to the object in the FLIR1 video.

Nobody saw it.

2019-12-21T02:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208207849567866880

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Is it not an important point?

2019-12-21T02:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208207744550854659

@Blerf_Boom @paul_sailer @LotusOak2 Bacteria are nature. Cancer is nature. Rattlesnakes are nature. Fire is nature.
Nature is often deadly.

2019-12-20T23:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208161385781182464

@PostDisclosure They have probably figured it out already. But itâ□□s just classified by default.

2019-12-20T22:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208156811578105856

@PostDisclosure No, because the needed data is either classified or unobtainable. The Chilean plane radar track is on plane http://finder.net and we know the GPS of the helicopter.

2019-12-20T21:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208135729081016320

@PostDisclosure Did the Chilean Navy UFO case change anything?

2019-12-20T20:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208123987571568640

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 So he's basing his interpretation of what

he saw on what other people saw earlier? That makes no sense.

How did he know how far away it was?

2019-12-20T20:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208123758960996352

@Curious_Normie It's not unusual. Old books on clouds have photos of the same kind of thing. The physics also checks out.

2019-12-20T20:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208123577939030021

@Mattyboy_5280 My first thought was helicopter. But the lights are odd. So my next thought is drone, much closer than he thinks it is.

Some kind of man-made aircraft with flashing lights though.

2019-12-20T16:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208059908819570688

I usually tweet the YouTube link, but Tales From The Rabbit Hole is really a podcast. The latest episode has false memory expert Prof. Elizabeth Loftus, others have various conspiracy aficionados & experts like Michael Shermer, Brian Dunning & David Keith

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole/id1462120258

2019-12-20T15:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208040164271906817

@Oswald1160 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Yeah, which part did it help with?

If a video shows an object barely moving (other than from camera motion) then what exactly can you determine from that?

You can determine that the g-force on the object is very low.

2019-12-20T14:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1208037909913198593

@Blerf_Boom Since what you ask for is impossible, then why do you ask for it?

2019-12-20T07:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207932855390633984

@Blerf_Boom @LotusOak2 It's my site. But I don't own the people on it, or what they write.

2019-12-20T06:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207915979516211200

@Blerf_Boom @LotusOak2 I didn't even comment on that five year-old thread.

But yes, I would defend vaccines. I'm not expert, but I'd refer you to the body of scientific evidence that's available demonstrating their efficacy and safety.

2019-12-20T05:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207901343089737729

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 And Iâ□□d be happy to talk to him about this.

Chad? Letâ□□s talk. Mick@mickwest.com, or just DM me.

2019-12-20T05:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207900903509917698

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Based on what? The size of the blob on the ATFLIR?

2019-12-20T04:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207887316166955008

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Or simply get wrong.

2019-12-20T03:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207863439365787648

@Bhima_Jenkins @efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 How does he know it was 10 miles away if the FLIR was reading 99.9?

2019-12-20T02:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207851864168882181

@wanderinganimal @angeldemonTV It's just a plane landing in fog.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gNOm7rwxd0U

2019-12-20T00:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207824028628467712

@Real_StephenLaw @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 He didn't say that.

2019-12-19T23:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207800676476047360

@BrowneBJ No. If Oswald acted alone then there was no conspiracy.

2019-12-19T23:08:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207799882460749825

@efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 So is there a distance at which a plane cannot be discerned as a plane?

2019-12-19T22:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207785487185768448

@OttoCuddly @Watershedd It's not lucrative for me. I'd make more money spreading woo. And if I really wanted to make more money I'd go back into video games.

2019-12-19T22:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207785214916747264

@mikeb8637 @Real_StephenLaw @chad_vfa41 Because it was at different times. Different locations. Different planes. Different people. Different motion.

Why do you think it was the same thing?

2019-12-19T22:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207785027573932033

@efstop1 @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 If a plane is very far away and looks like a blob, then how do you "discern" it?

2019-12-19T20:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207766471041929217

@Real_StephenLaw @mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Fravor saw something. The FLIR1 video shows something else. Different times. Different locations. Different planes. Different people. Different motion.

2019-12-19T20:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207766112592465920

@OttoCuddly @Watershedd While "conspiracy theory" in normal use refers to baseless or demonstrably false theories, you could also use it to refer to more reasonable theories. There's also the possibility that some baseless theories might be correct. So I often use "false conspiracy theories" for clarity

---

2019-12-19T20:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207765339829719040

@mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 The plane in the FLIR video does not seem to move much at all. It seems to be in level flight. No G-Forces are implied by the video.

2019-12-19T20:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207765109147226112

@mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 No. I'm saying you would not expect to see clear exhaust plumes beyond a certain distance in IR.

2019-12-19T17:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207711157798105090

@Scribe1969 Itâ□□s not one I sing.

2019-12-19T17:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207710968433655808

@mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 So what does your tweet mean, technically?

2019-12-19T17:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207709657348706304

@mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 Itâ□□s not in the immediate vicinity.

2019-12-19T17:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207709533226713088

@Scribe1969 You think people are blinded to conspiracy theories because they ARE smart, or because they are not smart, and just think they are?

2019-12-19T17:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207709029729853442

@Scribe1969 Why are you asking rhetorical questions? Speak plainly.

2019-12-19T16:48:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207704226308059142

@Scribe1969 How do you think they do?
I'm assuming you think that I think that? Or do you think I'm a paid shill?

2019-12-19T16:47:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1207703909453553670
@BollerGl And how do you know all this?

2019-12-19T16:37:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1207701559410212864
@mikeb8637 @chad_vfa41 I don't think he filmed a reflection in his cockpit, and certainly not a "bird". I think he filmed a distant plane. Similar to this known distant (4 engine) A340 filmed over Chile. The absence of an exhaust plume just means it was far away, so the glare covers the details. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EMKd64vUUAAUQjT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EMKd64vUUAAUQjT.jpg
2019-12-19T16:25:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1207698457537855488
The first video in a very promising series from a former conspiracy theories. How DO people come to believe in false conspiracy theories? What are the common factors between JFK, 9/11, and Climate Change. https://t.co/1bKa4Eta0I

2019-12-19T16:21:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1207697418113802240
@scroader That's great. You do a wonderful job of quickly drawing the parallels between various disparate conspiracies, and showing how the common factor (and the combining factor) is epistemology - how people come to "know" what they they know. I look forward to future chapters!

2019-12-18T17:27:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1207351640027623424

@RobertSmoker Contrails have a net heating effect, as the extra cloud cover traps heat at night. They are not really helping. They makes the days very slightly cooler, but the slightly larger night warming offsets this.

2019-12-18T17:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207351344706641920

@the_fe_pill @free_energy2016 The function of the device is not a cloud generator, it's a rocket engine. Rain cloud generation is a side-effect of static testing of large rocket engines.

Surely you have a point beyond semantics? What is that point?

Or were you simply "playing"?

2019-12-18T17:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207346645966319616

@RobertSmoker Yes, but those are contrails. Are you just going to reclassify contrails as chemtrails and say chemtrails are "real"?

Contrails affecting the weather has been observed for decades.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2019-12-18T17:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207346072403603458

@Caddy_One Those are contrail shadows. See:
http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2019-12-18T16:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207333408411488261

@the_fe_pill @free_energy2016 Sure, but so does my kettle. It would be equally impractical to alter the weather with millions of kettles.

It's a rocket engine. Specifically a Space Shuttle booster engine. Burning hydrogen based fuel makes water vapor. Burn it all in the same place and you make a cloud.

2019-12-18T16:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207332630955315200

@Mcscorpio81 @PaulFox13 @free_energy2016 That she's anything to do with the UN, or that she has any evidence supporting the "chemtrail" theory.

2019-12-18T14:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207312118518730752

@Mcscorpio81 @free_energy2016 Nobody is spraying anything for Geoengineering.

2019-12-18T14:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207304822107455488

@Mcscorpio81 @free_energy2016 Well, if you are fine spending several weeks and millions of dollars to make a tiny cloud with a sprinkle of rain, but only at ground level, then sure.

2019-12-18T00:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207099578631065604

In #TFTRH episode 31, I talk with Distinguished Professor of Psychological Science Elizabeth Loftus (@eloftus1) about the failings and malleability of memory and how this relates to UFOs and conspiracy theories.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IB6NWdBNjvw

2019-12-18T00:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207097907712679936

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas Well, that depends what it is. What is it?

2019-12-17T21:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207045033557315584

@free_energy2016 That's not a cloud generator - it's a rocket engine. It's kind of like a really big version of a car on a cold day.

https://www.theverge.com/2018/4/10/17218872/nasa-rocket-engine-test-stennis-space-center-cloud-generation

---

2019-12-17T18:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1207002340953219072

@FrankLuntz What about #PreferablyNotTrump conservatives?

2019-12-17T17:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206991179889332224

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas I await your results with interest.

2019-12-16T18:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206643574483251200

@IDoubtIt That question was a big part of our discussion. One example she gave was showing them concrete examples. Like the test where you count basketball passes by the white team. She used this to get a judge to dismiss a case.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vJG698U2Mvo

2019-12-16T18:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206641115522158595

@friendlyenp The advice that more studies are needed?

2019-12-16T18:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206640704660766720

We ended up doing the interview a bit earlier, but I still managed to get in most of the above questions. Thanks everyone!

2019-12-16T16:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206613340950122497

@MadScientistPod Other? What did you have in mind?

2019-12-16T16:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206607161498824705

@friendlyenp It's just one data point. What do you think happened after this study was published?

2019-12-16T16:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206606928379568128

I'm going to interview memory expert Elizabeth Loftus in a few hours about the malleability of memory and how that intersects topics like conspiracy theories and UFOs.

Any question requests?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elizabeth_Loftus

2019-12-16T15:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206591872950341632

@friendlyenp That's just a simulation result.

2019-12-16T15:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206591724715266048

@anonymous4835 Correct. But I'd strongly encourage you not to take the word of some random guy on the internet. Do your own research, check out the descriptions of contrails in the last 70 years of books on clouds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-12-16T01:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206387913841233920

@anonymous4835 How do you know they are not contrails?

2019-12-15T20:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206314678613200896

In #TFTRH 30, I talk to Tom, a somewhat half-hearted 9/11 conspiracy theorist who's unable to let it go because of some questions about the WTC collapses that are probably never going to be answered to his satisfaction. A polite a friendly chat.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gq-xxf35PzY

2019-12-15T20:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206313857632784385

@friendlyenp Advocated? Where did they "advocate" that?

2019-12-15T20:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1206306354673025025

@friendlyenp I've read it before. It is some results from a computer model. They don't say they are doing it, or if it would be a good idea. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EL2pIfXVUAAzRcy.jpg

---

climate of $-4.88\,\mathrm{mW\,m^{-2}}$.

Our simulations suggest that the climate and air quality impacts of aviation are sensitive to FSC and the altitude of emissions. We explored a range of scenarios to maximise climate cooling and reduce air quality impacts. Use of ULSJ fuel (FSC = 15 ppm) at low altitude combined with high FSC in the free troposphere results in increased climate cooling whilst reducing aviation mortality. More complicated emission patterns, for example, use of high FSC only whilst over oceans might further enhance this effect. However, we note that the greatest reduction in aviation-induced mortality is simulated for complete desulfurization of aviation fuel. Given the uncertainty in both the climate and air quality impacts of aerosol and ozone, additional simulations from a range of atmospheric models are required to explore the robustness of our calculations. Finally, we note that our calculations are limited to calculation of aviation-induced RE. Future work needs to assess the complex climate impacts of altering aviation FSC. Future work needs to estimate the health impacts of aviation using newly available concentration response functions (Burnett et al., 2014).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EL2pIfXVUAAzRcy.jpg
2019-12-14T00:45:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1205649958516359168
@Asgeir16813191 @UAPreality @Deepneuron @javiklein It's intended to replicate other terrible fake videos that a few people took seriously.

2019-12-14T00:40:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1205648598936907776
@Asgeir16813191 @UAPreality @Deepneuron @javiklein CGI is much harder than swinging teapots. Anyway, that's not me. THIS is me: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sgB20Azs_S8

2019-12-13T01:32:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1205299355810320385
@mission_europa @UFO_Kal
https://web.archive.org/web/20010614020521/http://www.artbell.com/roscrash.html

---

2019-12-13T01:30:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1205298978260013056
@TucsonJohnin They are air diffusers IN a radiator fin. Here's a better picture of similar vents in partially unfolded set of radiator fins.
https://www.dewitts.com/blogs/news/what-is-serpentine-fin

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELoUvhbUEAARIB_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELoUvhbUEAARIB_.jpg

2019-12-12T22:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205251537884217344

@ufoofinterest @TTSAcademy Hmm, I guess the â□□piece of aluminumâ□□ could be the louvered fin radiator sheet?

2019-12-12T22:19:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205250747710881792

@ddeanjohnson @ufotodaynetwork @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ Well, youâ□□d think nannycams would cover a bunch of the reported abductions.

2019-12-12T22:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205246297126535169

@ufoofinterest @TTSAcademy Did they buy this? I though they just bought the sputter-slag-like layerered bits.

2019-12-12T21:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205238766123679744

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas His finishes quite a bit before mine does at 16.1. I did the entire animation to 17.2

Also, his is different to mine even on the limited portion he colored.

2019-12-12T21:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205233337406607361

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas Well the black bits are in NIST'S videos. All I did was add green.

2019-12-12T21:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205231942033010688

Mysterious metal sheet, supposedly from a UFO, identified as a bit of a radiator.

https://www.metabunk.org/posts/235468/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELnXxAaU8AIlgOb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELnXxAaU8AIlgOb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELnXvsHUEAAVEJI.jpg

2019-12-12T21:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205231560145825792

@Lakedistrictent Editing one now!

2019-12-12T18:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205193873447743488

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ Well, with TTSA at least, we'll see. I predict that within five years nothing will come of their materials, they will be in receivership, and possibly under SEC investigation.

Did you invest in them?

2019-12-12T15:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205147236927066113

@ufotodaynetwork @UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ Dreams and fabulations that are never caught on camera.

2019-12-12T15:21:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205145773505073152

@UfoIssue @PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ I'm not sure there's that much new to discuss. What topics are you interested in?

2019-12-12T15:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205145220511219713

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas And it really didn't take a lot of work. Frame advance, color it in green, repeat. It was like 15 minutes (for each render)

2019-12-12T15:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205144605626290176

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas I didn't. I explained how I got the idea from your video, I posted a link to your video, and I then posted the more detailed one I made: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cqh1Ye3Mt9s

---

2019-12-12T15:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205142142990946306

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ That's not my worldview. That's just what the evidence seems to indicate.

2019-12-12T15:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205141972127633408

@gerrycan1 @wildsideisok They don't really believe in gravity, in the Newtonian sense. It's a challenge.

2019-12-12T06:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205017786713554944

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ Well, given that the number of verified anomalous materials in the universe is zero, then the odds of TTSS having some is also going to be in that ballpark.

2019-12-12T06:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205012336924192769

@wildsideisok Surveyors say otherwise. And they build bridges.

2019-12-12T06:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1205011984745291778

@PostDisclosure @MadScientistPod @__dregs__ I donâ□□t think they are interested in â□□the materialsâ□□

They are interested in any novel materials that a search for novel materials might find, even if thatâ□□s rather unlikely. Who wouldnâ□□t be?

2019-12-11T16:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204795262096404480

@NWSCharlestonSC @SC_SuperDAD Looks like the contrails might have got even more interesting later. It landed about 20 minutes ago after doing some figure-8s

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhKmKrUUAAmi92.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhKmKrUUAAmi92.jpg

2019-12-11T16:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204794585517441024

@NWSCharlestonSC @SC_SuperDAD Most likely? I'd get with "almost certainly", seeing as it looks exactly like a contrail. Look at the spread on the right side.

Might have been this Gulfstream on a test flight. This was an hour ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhJs04U8AAkz9B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhJs04U8AAkz9B.jpg

2019-12-11T15:56:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204792180218318848

@saekimao006 It didn't collapse at once. It collapsed over five hours later.

2019-12-11T15:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204789782095622144

@saekimao006 Well if nothing was hit, where did all the WTC7 damage on the south side come from? You see the gash, surrounded by the grey dust from WTC1?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhFwhBU0AAR1l5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhFwhBU0AAR1l5.jpg

2019-12-11T15:30:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204785575498137605

This was swiftly followed by a letter from an outraged doctor demanding the accuser reveal himself and his sources.

Letters to the editor were like social media back then (130 years ago) , just a bit slower.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhBkWaU0AAf7om.jpg

THE ANTI-VACCINATION MOVEMENT.

To the Editors of THE LANCET.

SIRS,—Cannot something more be done to prevent a repetition of such scandalous libels than the simple comment published by you in THE LANCET of the 20th inst.? Surely the proprietors of the *Echo* could be compelled to divulge the name of their correspondent, the quondam assistant, in order that he might be indicted as an accessory to what was, at the least, a criminal, if not actually a murderous, line of conduct on the part of his employers. "Dentist, Strand, W.C.," would then in self-defence either give up the names of the two public vaccinators and the Government teacher of vaccination, or he would repudiate his allegation; so that in either case the whole body of public vaccinators might be justified. It is simply monstrous that a profession so nearly ruined commercially by its own unselfish disinterestedness should be blasted morally by the slanders of an anonymous traducer.

I am, Sirs, yours truly,

ALAN REEVE MANBY, M.D. & P.V.

East Rudham, April 21st, 1889.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhBkWaU0AAf7om.jpg

2019-12-11T15:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204784790311821312

Anti-vaccination conspiracy theories are not new. I was looking up articles and one popped up from the Lancet in 1889. It's a second hand anonymous anecdote about evil vaccinators spreading disease to make more business for themselves.

https://books.google.com/books?id=N9FKAQAAMAAJ&lpg=PA858&ots=BHZViq4DVP&pg=PA804#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhAzQPU0AEhUVl.jpg

THE ANTI-VACCINATION MOVEMENT.

A CORRESPONDENT of the *Echo*, writing under the name of "Dentist," and giving no other address than "Strand, W.C.," states: "Some fifteen years ago, in my student days, I was assistant to a London public vaccinator. Two of his most intimate friends were another public vaccinator and a Government teacher of vaccination. The boast of these men was that, if possible, they always chose syphylitic (*sic*) or otherwise unhealthy children to take lymph from as it so spread disease and made business; and my instructions as assistant were never, if possible, to take lymph from a healthy child." The *Echo* allows this story to be told by an anonymous correspondent who gives practically no address. It is one of the circumstances in connexion with the anti-vaccination movement which will, we trust, be made the subject of investigation by the Commission about to be appointed. A more scandalous story we have never read.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELhAzQPU0AEhUVl.jpg

2019-12-11T15:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204781955335643137

@saekimao006 WTC1 hit WTC7. You can see this in your photo, and in later photos of the South side of WTC7.

2019-12-11T15:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204780200950583298

@MatthewTabone2 @Jeff_Chesser Like the New York Times, NPR, Washington Post, Politico, Wired, Newsweek, National Review, The Guardian, Merck, QZ, Huffington Post, The BBC, USA Today, PBS, etc.

It's mainstream, so there's no real need for me to get into it. I focus on the fringe.

https://www.google.com/search?q=anti-vaccine+movement

2019-12-11T05:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204629862549340161

@Jeff_Chesser Not much - thereâ□□s plenty of people writing and talking about that.

2019-12-11T05:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204629228500598786

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas No, I mean models. Do your models have non-linear connections and members? Can they be used for dynamic analysis?

Go on then.

2019-12-10T18:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204461013996728322

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas Okay, now make it simulate a collapse. Post the video.

2019-12-10T17:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204458071872770048

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas WTC7, the NIST ANSYS fire damage accumulation.

But you can't do anything meaningful with it, because you don't have a dynamic non-linear model.

What DO you have? Just Hulsey's static linear model?

2019-12-10T17:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204452925608644608

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas Maybe I'm an idiot. But that doesn't change anything about Hulsey's report. The problems that exist in the report are problems that people can check for themselves. Or they can ask a real expert.

2019-12-10T17:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204452160529825792

@MadScientistPod @__dregs__ I think the big clue here is that the CRADA is "to develop enhanced capabilities for Army ground vehicles." Somehow TTSA crafted a package deal, leveraging the TCG active camouflage research - which is the only thing TTSA has that's relevant to ground vehicles.

2019-12-10T17:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204448751105892352

RT @MadScientistPod: :megaphone: New Podcast! "Conspiracy Culture and Aliens with Mick West" on @Spreaker #conspiracy #philosophy #science #skepticisâ¦

2019-12-10T05:07:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204266316112072704

@gerrycan1 @JasonBermas Well, now Iâ□□ve known about shear studs for six years. Only four more until Iâ□□m an expert! :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2019-12-09T23:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204185803556917248

@gorskon Are you opposed to carrier testing in general?

2019-12-09T23:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204184136707006464

@friendlyenp Again though I don't really see your point. Sulphur content of fuels are reduced to reduce pollution. Nobody is doing it for geoengineering.

2019-12-09T19:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1204116362261450752

@anonymous4835 @metoffice So, how many meters should it take?

2019-12-09T00:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1203830218160369664

@friendlyenp Try telling him what? Nothing I said disagrees with what he said.

2019-12-09T00:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1203829967672377344

@anonymous4835 @metoffice That's an aerodynamic contrail. They are different to exhaust contrails. They have been observed since planes could fly high enough.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELTc3tEU0AEftIx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELTc3tEU0AEftIx.jpg

2019-12-08T16:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1203710356448694272

@friendlyenp The sulfur is not added, itâ□□s naturally occurring in petroleum products. Always has been, because itâ□□s in the crude oil in the ground. Itâ□□s in gasoline/petrol too. See: https://www.epa.gov/gasoline-standards/gasoline-sulfur

---

2019-12-07T18:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1203382711324295168

@contrailsareco1 Thanks, but I'm not really thinking of doing a contrail book at the moment.

2019-12-07T18:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1203380765741858816

@bingonett @mrmediocre20 @TheFlatEartherr @Metabunk The moon is never visible as a crescent during a solar eclipse, as it's the thing doing the blocking. I've seen a total eclipse. Moon is invisible to the naked eye. Here's my pic.

Seeing a crescent moon in a clear blue sky is different. Angles work out.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELNERKoVAAA0pz3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ELNERKoVAAA0pz3.jpg
2019-12-06T14:16:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1202955095303835649
RT @SkeptInquirer: I Worked for Alex Jones. I Regret It.
I dropped out of film school to edit video for the conspiracy theorist because I bâ□¦

2019-12-05T20:51:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1202692103161012224
@GeoeWatchDebunk Probably not always. Hmm, I wonder if I can look at my own stats over that time period...

2019-12-05T17:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1202640538299559936
@carland27488094 That illustrates the two problems - the backfire effect, and worries about a slippery slope of increased censorship.

Quis custodiet ipsos custodes?

2019-12-05T16:52:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1202631869705027584
@ufotodaynetwork Yeah, that's one of the issues. Not that there's an immediate danger, but one should always be vigilant.

Have you seen any change in your UFO related YouTube browsing?

2019-12-05T16:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202630693919944705

YouTube announced their recent changes have reduce watch time of borderline content and harmful misinformation by 70%. That's a good thing. Not without issues (who watches the watchmen?) But I think it will have a substantial effect for the better.
https://www.metabunk.org/youtubes-changes-reduce-watch-time-of-borderline-content-and-harmful-misinformation-by-70.t11005/

2019-12-05T05:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202466161046118400

@JLuvin @BobMcGwier_N4HY @Jay09784691 @GarryPNolan @UfoJoe11 Can you back up that correlation?

2019-12-05T01:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202394716475510784

@bingonett @Metabunk Also, you can't use the "drop" calculation to see how much is hidden. The equation used by http://EarthCurvature.com does not include the viewer height. So it's wrong.

2019-12-05T01:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202393800242384896

@bingonett @Metabunk I would suggest you look at what Malta looks like from a bit closer, and see how much of it is hidden by the horizon. And then think about why it looks like most of it is behind the horizon.

2019-12-05T00:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202386380917067778

@bingonett @Metabunk You can fact check it yourself. It's really not that difficult.

2019-12-04T06:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202108811533619200

@Fibonacci222 @Oswald1160 So why is it being unanswered significant in any way? Lots of questions are unanswered simply because of lack of information.

2019-12-04T06:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202108496994394113

@paulmuaddib61 This appears to be a video of a sun dog.

2019-12-04T06:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1202107529750122497

@QuietlyRiot @AstroYogi101 @GodisAw67904783 @FLATHORIZON @Huttvalleycraz1 @EarthGary @PeterLinnMusic @DalgettyJames @kin_wars @USMCNoggin @faking_space @flatearthvvip @Macker202 @joedftfd @os_earth @badibulgator @WendysShakes @bigfootRULE @try_thinking @Kompromat9 @SkyDog_59 @DestroyFE @phy_add @itsallflat @earthisaglobe @captscorch @T_E_S_L_A_2 @FirmamentDome @flerfrates @imkimaaron @badassack @icread37 @BollerGl @maxsec @Megalofailure @jayhazy23 @tuxlinuxien @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @Red_Pilled_USA @VinceGottalotta @ConundrumENigma @bikinatroll @PocoHillcrest @JamesPr89681394 @Msimisi00815216 @MomGlober

@ni_c0de_mus @MainStrmDeprted @BlueEarthThing So what about when you CAN'T see, like when 1000 feet of the 2000 foot high Catalina island is sharply and cleanly cut off by the horizon.

What's going on there? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EK6-W8XUwAAJn3j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EK6-W8XUwAAJn3j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EK6-PIYUEAA58zZ.jpg

2019-12-01T13:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1201137180808110080

@Fibonacci222 Adding links to something to a web page does not mean a site is actually linked to that that thing. Anyone can add any link to a web page. Find a link going in the other direction, then you might have a connection

---

2019-12-01T13:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1201136677537767424

@Fibonacci222 Different dudes, and not pranksters. Just people with odd ideas.

2019-12-01T05:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1201016720820826112

@Fibonacci222 I'm being serious. What's your actual question?

2019-11-30T06:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1200658834076782592

@Fibonacci222 The Georgia Guidestones are also just some guy.

2019-11-30T06:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1200656656633192448

@Fibonacci222 2025 is in about five years. So youâ□□ll see itâ□□s wrong soon enough.

And Deagle isnâ□□t connected to anything. Itâ□□s just some guy.

2019-11-30T00:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1200567975310315520

@apolloandartemi Sorry! I'm a bit behind with Thanksgiving commitments and all. Will get another episode out ASAP. Probably next weekend.

2019-11-28T05:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199925615073214465

@JunkScience You can survive being shot in the head. That doesn't mean you shouldn't try to avoid it.

2019-11-28T05:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199924743463260160

@gerrycan1 Please read what I just wrote.

2019-11-27T21:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199800636436443136

@bartjpx @devgru1980mi People immediately started with the "aliens" talk. I wasn't responding to this, but it was quite obvious that once the craft was not immediately identified, then it's a short hop to "UFO" and then "Aliens".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKaL9ZbUwAAQIhV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKaL9ZbUwAAQIhV.jpg
2019-11-27T21:16:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199799249501736960
@TheStuMcCormick "Upon further investigation, we found there was no aircraft," a NORAD spokesman said in an update.
https://www.nbcwashington.com/news/local/Airspace-Violation-Reported-in-Washington-DC-Fighter-Jets-Scrambled-NBC-News-Reports-565474112.html
"Objects spotted in the air on radar are sometimes weather balloons or flocks of birds, the NORAD spokesman said"

2019-11-27T21:14:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199798613703970816
@gerrycan1 Calculating the DCRs (Demand/Capacity Ratios) of the columns only tells you if any of the columns are likely to fail. That's perfectly fine when you are designing a building to NOT fail, but when simulating an actual COLLAPSE it tells you nothing beyond the initial failure.

2019-11-27T06:07:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199570533194461184
@gerrycan1 It's not that complicated. To simulate what a collapse looks like, you can't just

iteratively calculate DCRs and remove failed columns AFTER the calculation. You need to actually calculate the point (in time) of failure. i.e. you need dynamic analysis.

2019-11-27T05:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199567320676323328

@gerrycan1 You're missing the point.

2019-11-27T05:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199556508754665474

@gerrycan1 But the problem isn't with a simple DCR - it's about simulating how a building collapses - like what it looks like.

You think a static linear analysis can do that?

2019-11-27T04:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199552527844311043

@gerrycan1 Hey, you want to come on my podcast to discuss this?

2019-11-27T04:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199551859469369344

@gerrycan1 You can only accurately calculate the DCR if it does not exceed them. It does not work for multiple column failures because time is important.

2019-11-27T04:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199549634785370112

@gerrycan1 What if you want to find out what the collapse would look like?

2019-11-27T03:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199529411202052098

@gerrycan1 Yes.

2019-11-27T01:21:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199498388342861824

@ApocalypseHackR @pbarracl @mesolithicguy Paul suggested there was solid proof. So what was that exactly?

2019-11-26T22:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199453607420030976

@EngagingThe Why say "I have no idea" when I have several ideas. I don't KNOW what they are, but there's several possibilities - and there's some thing we can say for sure, like speed, altitude.

2019-11-26T22:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199453274945884160

@dansim8 @SweeneyABC Sometimes it actually is birds.

2019-11-26T20:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199429412472573952

@ApocalypseHackR @pbarracl @mesolithicguy So what you are saying it that there might be some corroborating radar data, but nobody has even mentioned it?

2019-11-26T20:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199429072842964992
@Asgeir16813191 @qufoanon @devgru1980mi @lesliekean So you think Kean was somehow duped by a bunch of amateurs when she wrote it?
Or perhaps it's evidence that even "committees of military experts, technicians and academics from many disciplines." make mistakes.

Who all even investigated the Nimitz incident? Better than CEFAA?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKU5952U0AA3T5L.jpg

01/05/2017 11:19 am ET | **Updated** Jan 05, 2017

An exceptional nine-minute Navy video of a UFO displaying highly unusual behavior, studied by Chilean authorities for the last two years, is now being released to the public. The CEFAA - the Chilean government agency which investigates UFOs, or UAP (unidentified aerial phenomena), has been in charge of the investigation. Located within the DGAC, the equivalent of our FAA but under the jurisdiction of the Chilean Air Force, CEFAA has committees of military experts, technicians and academics from many disciplines. None of them have been able to explain the strange flying object captured by two experienced Navy officers from a helicopter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKU5952U0AA3T5L.jpg
2019-11-26T20:25:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199423991191982080
@JLuvin The reaction was classified.

2019-11-26T20:23:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199423542602780672
@RodrigoLVieira1 Well maybe @TTSAcademy SHOULD be talking about it, because it's the kind of false report that needs to be eliminated if you are going to say some blips on radar are interesting.

2019-11-26T20:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199423093749374976
@Asgeir16813191 @qufoanon @devgru1980mi You didn't think it was interesting that the Chileans had spent two years trying to figure it out? @lesliekean lauded it as "exceptional" General Ricardo Bermudez said it was the most important case of his career.
https://www.huffpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_b_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b?lciacn1ruwjkmx6r= http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKU0g8pUcAEeqKA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKU0g8pUcAEeqKA.jpg
2019-11-26T20:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199421970493792261
RT @SweeneyABC: JUST IN: The security scare that put the White House in lockdown today was possibly caused by a flock of birds appearing onâ¦

2019-11-26T17:53:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199385813865226240
@ApocalypseHackR @pbarracl @mesolithicguy I've heard it described.

2019-11-26T17:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199382535278489600
@pbarracl @mesolithicguy The Nimitz incident did not have any corroboration by radar. The radar showed super rapid movement up and down, and "teleportation". Visual sightings were of small zig-zags, mirroring the movement of a jet, and then rapid apparent horizontal movement. Video showed no movement.

2019-11-26T17:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199381874025496576

@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi Prosaic in the "not aliens" sense still involves some incredibly non-prosaic and dangerous possibilities, like foreign power's drone spying, and even drone (or balloon) terrorist attacks. That's why there's new guidelines and briefings.

2019-11-26T17:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199381081285259264

@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi I don't think they even said that. I'd like to see a full quote, but Navy Times says:

'To improve upon past investigations, the Navy wants to establish a formal process so that â□□such suspected incursions can be made to cognizant authorities.â□□'
https://www.navytimes.com/off-duty/military-culture/2019/04/25/aliens-ahoy-navy-developing-guidelines-on-reporting-ufo-sightings/

2019-11-26T17:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199380603616944128

@Asgeir16813191 @qufoanon @devgru1980mi I was asking where "they said publicly it was to protect pilots from the fear of professional backlash"

2019-11-26T17:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199380380312199169

@pbarracl @mesolithicguy But does it prove they are not mundane things? Is there PROOF that they are interesting craft beyond known technology?

Because unidentified flying objects are always going to be a thing. Any object in the LIZ (Low Information Zone) is unidentified.

2019-11-26T17:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199378378857435136

@Asgeir16813191 @qufoanon @devgru1980mi Sometimes they are perfect observations, but simply with limited information. For example when a plane is very far away, there's not a lot you can do to identify it.
UFOs typically are sighted in the Low Information Zone, just out of range of the observer's skill and technology

---

2019-11-26T17:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199377710973276160

@pbarracl @mesolithicguy You moving on from a question does not mean that question has actually been answered. It does not even mean it's a sensible question. Which objects? What does "real" mean?

2019-11-26T17:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199377354880995328

@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi Where did they say that? As far as I know their

rationale was that there were "a number of reports of unauthorized and/or unidentified aircraft entering various military-controlled ranges and designated air space in recent years"
https://www.politico.com/story/2019/04/23/us-navy-guidelines-reporting-ufos-1375290

2019-11-26T17:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199375395692302336
@pbarracl @mesolithicguy Everyone is qualified enough to note that people are sometimes wrong, regardless of that persons level of experience or training. Pilots make big and small mistakes all the time.

2019-11-26T17:10:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199374838881607681
@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi Investigation of a low information situation cannot rule things out. There's often cases where more information is needed to rule things out. Remember when the Chilean's 2-year investigation "ruled out" aircraft in this case, which was an aircraft (Iberian flight 6830) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUI5DeUUAEXCMH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUI5DeUUAEXCMH.jpg
2019-11-26T17:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199374017628532737
@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi They probably updated their guidelines because there's more drones now. Times are changing. Guidelines should change.
Have you compared the old and new guidelines?

2019-11-26T17:03:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199373165564067840
@OKftl This seems to be somewhat like the radar component of the Nimitiz encounter. The object showed up in "different sectors". Was it moving incredibly fast between those sectors? Or just radar glitchs? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUHgn5UwAYrMEl.jpg

---

White House on lockdown Tuesday morning

The White House and the Capitol Building were briefly placed on lockdown Tuesday morning.

Accoridng to Fox News, U.S. Capitol Police scrambled a helicopter, and the military scrambled fighter jets from Joint Base Andrews during the incident.

Law enforcement sources initially told Fox News the lockdown was triggered after an aircraft moving east violated restricted airspace. But Fox News later learned that officials may have to go back and review the radar to determine what exactly prompted the scare, with sources saying they got "mixed signals at best" as to what the potential aircraft could have been.

One source said they were never sure whether an actual aircraft was ever involved, while another told Fox News that radar was showing "different sectors" as to where the threat was coming from. One source said whatever they saw may have just been an “anomaly” or even “geese.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUHgn5UwAYrMEl.jpg
2019-11-26T17:00:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199372295799590913
@mesolithicguy My point is that I don't think they are stupid, and yet I don't think they saw alien craft.

2019-11-26T16:59:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1199372103784419328
@qufoanon @Asgeir16813191 @devgru1980mi If they know it's birds then they will call it birds. But the point is that birds and/or spurious radar returns frequently generate reports of UFOs, because they are not initially identified due to lack of information. See Project Blue Book https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/FOID/Reading%20Room/UFO/proj_b1.pdf?ver=2017-05-22-113513-837 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUGdKWUwAEYPFL.jpg

---



HOW THE PROGRAM IS CONDUCTED

The program is conducted in three phases. The first phase includes receipt of UFO reports and initial investigation of the reports. The Air Force base nearest the location of a reported sighting is charged with the responsibility of investigating the sighting and forwarding the information to the Project Blue Book Office at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

If the initial investigation does not reveal a positive identification or explanation, a second phase of more intensive analysis is conducted by the Project Blue Book Office. Each case is objectively and scientifically analyzed, and, if necessary, all of the scientific facilities available to the Air Force can be used to assist in arriving at an identification or explanation. All personnel associated with the investigation, analysis, and evaluation efforts of the project view each report with a scientific approach and an open mind.

The third phase of the program is dissemination of information concerning UFO sightings, evaluations, and statistics. This is accomplished by the Secretary of the Air Force, Office of Information.

The Air Force defines an unidentified flying object as any aerial object which the observer is unable to identify.

Reports of unfamiliar objects in the sky are submitted to the Air Force from many sources. These sources include military and civilian pilots, weather observers, amateur astronomers, business and professional men and women, and housewives, etc.

Frequently such objects as missiles, balloons, birds, kites, searchlights, aircraft navigation and anticollision beacons, jet engine exhaust, condensation trails, astronomical bodies and meteorological phenomena are mistakenly reported as unidentified flying objects.

The Air Force groups its evaluations of UFO reports under three general headings: (1) identified, (2) insufficient data, and (3) unidentified.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EKUGdKWUwAEYPFL.jpg

2019-11-26T16:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199364352148557824

@mesolithicguy They are not stupid.

2019-11-26T16:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199364247324520449

@ufotodaynetwork The simplest explanation is that it was downplayed because it was nothing. Quite possibly not even an actual aircraft.

Interesting though, as the high level of media interest might help give perspective on other cases.

2019-11-26T16:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199363466810707968

@devgru1980mi No worries. But, as of now, whatever it was is still classified as an unexplained aerial phenomenon. It might get explained as there's so much interest. But many such events go unexplained, simply because of lack of information (and not because of aliens)

2019-11-26T16:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199362277029187584

@devgru1980mi It sounds like they initially had an unidentified radar blip - which can be caused by *flocks* of geese, not individual birds.

2019-11-26T16:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1199359507870019584

UFO forces lockdown of the White House!

It's just a suspected airspace incursion. This type of thing is what the recent hoopla of US Navy UFO's is really about.

'One source said whatever they saw may have just been an â□□anomalyâ□□ or even â□□geese.â□□'

https://www.fox5ny.com/news/all-clear-at-white-house-us-capitol-after-reported-airspace-violation-over-dc-prompted-lockdowns

---

2019-11-22T15:50:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1197905142797914113
RT @rothschildmd: Crowdstrike is not a Ukrainian company. It's based in California. The DNC did not "give" "the server" to anyone, they hadâ□¦

2019-11-20T23:15:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1197292389813170177
@Fibonacci222 Inverse is about magnitude, not direction.

2019-11-19T20:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196888531433472000
@Fibonacci222 They are consistent.

2019-11-19T15:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196817777643810818
@Fibonacci222
https://www.metabunk.org/a-diy-theodolite-for-measuring-the-dip-of-the-horizon.t8617/

2019-11-19T15:36:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196814482921537537
@Fibonacci222 How do you know?

2019-11-19T07:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196695807988097025
@Fibonacci222 And yes, I've tried this experiment.

2019-11-19T07:44:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196695648252219393
@Fibonacci222 No, I check it.

2019-11-19T07:43:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196695453594570752
@Fibonacci222 No. The tubes are connected. Use a single length of clear tub if you like. The result is exactly the same.

2019-11-19T07:42:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196695227467091968
@AngeloJohnGage Nothing at all suggests "aliens" as a likely explanation.

2019-11-19T07:41:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1196694970314264576
@Fibonacci222 What you quoted is a true statement, but so is: "In contrast, directly

proportional variables increase or decrease with each other."

Note "y = k/x" is not the same as "y = 180/pi*asin(sqrt(2*3959*x+x^2)/(3959+x))"

2019-11-18T04:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196287285060235264

@Fibonacci222 The tubes are connected. Fluid is free to flow between them. So the tops of the fluid in each tube are level - and, on this scale, horizontal.

2019-11-18T04:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196286892993470465

@Fibonacci222 Yes it does.

2019-11-17T23:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196214953008484353

@Fibonacci222 No, this is a graph that show what angle the horizon is below eye level at any given altitude. The x axis is altitude in miles, the y axis is the dip of the horizon in degrees.

It's very easy to check this with a water level.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJnPFCOUUAAhqNP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJnPFCOUUAAhqNP.jpg

2019-11-17T22:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196194522172022785

@Fibonacci222 It is directly proportional, not inversely. The larger the altitude, the larger the drop. I gave you the formula.

And it's non-linear, not linear. That simply means the function is a curve, not a straight line.

2019-11-17T20:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196165271389532160

@Fibonacci222 You can plot the dip angle in degrees as a function of h (replacing h with x for plotting) with: 180/pi*asin(sqrt(2*3959*x+x^2)/(3959+x))

Here it is for up to 1 mile up, it's fairly linear after a mile up, but not at all at low altitudes, much steeper increase. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJmhjz4UwAAEO7Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJmhjz4UwAAEO7Q.jpg

2019-11-17T20:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196163200762314757

@Fibonacci222 Yes, the dip of the horizon, expressed as an angle is asin(SQRT(2Rh+h^2)/(R+h)) (Note SQRT(2Rh+h^2) is the distance to the horizon). R = radius of Earth, h = height of viewer above the earth.

2019-11-17T19:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196146880125661184

@Fibonacci222 I falls as a function of increasing height. It doe not do it linearly because of geometry. The Earth is essentially a very large sphere. The function can easily be calculated. Draw a diagram.

2019-11-17T18:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196139608221708289

@Fibonacci222 Can you write it out in logical form? Just inventing a reason is not logic. Logic is when a conclusion follows from a premise and axioms via verifiable and correct logical steps.

2019-11-17T18:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196139018037030913

@Fibonacci222 Sure, but it's demonstrably not. I've checked.

2019-11-17T18:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196138886893731841

@WillMat23034903 @RT_com @_simonchandler_ It's not that "flat" is some more exciting that "round". It's that "something contrary to all mainstream science, history, and conventionally observable reality" is more exciting than whatever they have got going on in their lives. People at Flat Earth conferences have fun.

2019-11-17T18:48:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196138175971749889

@Fibonacci222 That's your logic. Since the world is not flat, and he's an astronaut, then the simplest explanation is that he was joking. Most likely as a way of saying "what a silly question".

2019-11-17T18:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196132902586634241

@Fibonacci222 Just regular sarcasm. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJmEcQ4UUAA0TRm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJmEcQ4UUAA0TRm.jpg

2019-11-17T18:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196130626140721158

@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters You think that shows "neatly cut" columns? No, it shows columns sections that snapped apart where they were joined at the ends. Like if you glue a 6 foot 2x4 end to end with another six foot 2x4. Fine while it's braced, but braced and under lateral pressure, where will it snap?

2019-11-17T18:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196129593792401409

Writing in @RT_com, @_simonchandler_ argues that the rise of Flat Earth is "actually a positive development for science, and for society more generally." because it "[points] us towards a better world."

Hedged with some valid points, but still BS.

https://www.rt.com/op-ed/473465-flat-earth-fashionable-science/

2019-11-17T18:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1196128335408926720

@Fibonacci222 He appears to be politely going along with what he thinks is a joke.

2019-11-15T18:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195407772713512960

@gerrycan1 @gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters All of it. The *initiating* failure was column failure, not floor slab pancaking. The *progression* of the collapse was all of the top of the building falling mostly though the floors.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EJbwrwdUcAA5xga.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EJbwrwdUcAA5xga.jpg

2019-11-15T16:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195385795114196992

@gerrycan1 @gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters Dynamic load from collision gets complicated, but can easily (and obviously) be orders of magnitude greater than static load.

2019-11-15T14:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195353777378910208

@gerrycan1 @gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters And how does it transition to the outer walls?

Did you ever calculate how much static force a floor slab could take? NIST said 6 times its own weight. Dynamic force of a single falling slab is more than that.

2019-11-15T05:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195214260646731776

@PJMoore1958 @9991b0 @RichardReichle @Devinator23bear @BonkersArchie @jjvalleycreek @metoffice Why do you think it's at 42,000 feet?

2019-11-15T05:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195210388536643586

@BollerGl I don't remember ever hearing of him. But attempting to bring forth the antichrist sounds kind of kooky.

2019-11-15T05:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195207806359490561

@PJMoore1958 @9991b0 @RichardReichle @Devinator23bear @BonkersArchie @jjvalleycreek @metoffice Also a fuel dump. Look them up, do an image search.

2019-11-15T05:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195207551253528577

@BollerGl I'm sure you are right about many things. It's hard to be wrong about everything. Brilliant people don't necessarily have just one failing. Newton had a whole bunch. It's not all consequential stuff. Pythagoras forbade the consumptions of beans.

2019-11-15T04:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195202008535322624

@JRod1955 @MyBrooklyn1 @Devinator23bear @ni_skies @NULOOKREFINISH @HighImpactFlix @Kt23kartracer @SkywatcherTrut1 @PaulFow40018151 They are laughing because they are joking. "Barium = Bury 'em" They are just referencing popular culture memes. They don't think it's real. The patches are satire.

2019-11-15T04:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195201385370808320

@briansolis It's similar with Photoshop. After a few days I have like 20-30 files open, and eventually just do a "close all." If I've not saved it, too bad. Move on.

2019-11-15T04:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195200927461863424

@briansolis I have 35, in six windows. But mostly because I never saw much point in closing them. I very rarely go back, eventually I end up closing them all without looking at them again. It's not really a problem though.
When researching I keep related tabs in the same window short term.

2019-11-15T04:40:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195199886737600512
I'm confused by http://cetmin.com - they have very cheap high quality generators for $100, but their women's tops cost half a million dollars, even after the 1% off.

Spoiler: It's a scam. I reported it to Facebook a while back, but they keep popping up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYy3ImUwAEzWOp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYy3ImUwAEzWOp.jpg
2019-11-15T04:06:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195191346987847681
@PJMoore1958 @9991b0 @RichardReichle @Devinator23bear @BonkersArchie @jjvalleycreek @metoffice Did you look up what it actually was?

2019-11-15T03:28:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195181648821665802
@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters Neatly cut? They snapped at the partial penetration welds.

2019-11-15T03:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195176472438177794
@PJMoore1958 @9991b0 @RichardReichle @Devinator23bear @BonkersArchie @jjvalleycreek @metoffice Thatâ□□s not a contrail.

2019-11-15T01:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195149715211341825
@BollerGl Of course I do. Same with Newton. Most historical great minds had some weird and/or

wrong ideas. Science (and especially math) is not based on authority.

2019-11-15T01:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195149341670797313

@PJMoore1958 @9991b0 @RichardReichle @Devinator23bear @BonkersArchie @jjvalleycreek @metoffice Why do you think they are very rare for a modern engine? Science and observation show that they are not. The only difference is the exhaust is cooler, so slightly MORE likely to make a contrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYF2s7U4AE3hnY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYF2s7U4AE3hnY.jpg

2019-11-15T01:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195148710381940737

@Caparosa52 @Michael27452480 Contrails do that sometimes. Always have. For example here's an article from 1958.

https://news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1338&dat=19581206&id=VwBYAAAAIBAJ&sjid=FfcDAAAAIBAJ&pg=6955%2C1632252&hl=en http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYFY--UYAIqgY5.jpg

---

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flab- that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJYFY--UYAIqgY5.jpg
2019-11-15T01:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195148184474935296

@BollerGl What's the 2nd most popular number?

2019-11-15T01:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195144535073943553

@BollerGl Again, you put words in my mouth that I not only did not say, but are obviously nonsense. Please stop doing that. It's not helping anyone.

2019-11-15T00:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195141098684862464

@BollerGl Here you go: https://www.rong-chang.com/namesdict/100_last_names.htm

---

2019-11-15T00:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195140886205628416

@BollerGl What percentage of Presidents have 33? How does that differ from a random sample of 50 ordinary names? Is there a statistically significant difference?

2019-11-15T00:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195139281825583106

@BollerGl I suspect you'll find that 5-10% of all names sum to 33. It's kind of in the middle of the range. So you'll always be able to find someone related to any event with a 33 name. Also 32 and 34. It's just an illusion.

2019-11-15T00:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195138811593994240

@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST @BBCWorld @Reuters Are you familiar with the idea of floor stripping, rather than column failure, as leading edge mechanism of collapse? It does not need much mass at all - just enough to strip one floor from the columns.

2019-11-15T00:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195131763040735232

@BollerGl Numerology is nonsense. Is everyone called Michael a mason because some formula converts their name to 33?

2019-11-14T23:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195115735221178368

@iZotopeInc I just wasted half a day trying to upgrade RX 7 to Advanced. You really should make it clearer that your products don't yet install under OSX Catalina. And if there's a manual install process, then why not include that?

2019-11-14T22:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195104164491087872

@BollerGl Numerology is almost entirely nonsense.

2019-11-14T21:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195096459500118016

@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation @NIST Yet curiously without a bang.
And where did they go, exactly?

2019-11-14T20:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1195080970849751041

@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation I've studied Hulsey. See here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2019-11-14T20:21:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195074185619394560
@BollerGl Just a recap.

2019-11-14T20:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195074085274845184
@gregfullmoon @WTC7Evaluation I think they are incorrect. I also think you can always find some "scientists" who hold contrary viewpoints. But generally, like here, they are a tiny obscure minority.

2019-11-14T20:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195070846802378752
@BollerGl I didn't help him. He paid for me to be there, hardly anyone showed up. I gave a talk debunking Flat Earth, and I met some interesting people.

2019-11-14T20:00:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195068979569577984
@BollerGl I didn't go there to help him. I went there to debunk. I did an episode of my podcast on it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3GQY7EVbHp8

2019-11-14T19:48:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195065989336928256
@BollerGl That is all .... what?

2019-11-14T19:47:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195065711426539520
@BollerGl No, it's because of the lack of evidence for the alternative explanations, and the strong evidence for the conventional explanation.

2019-11-14T15:36:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1195002469144645632
@Nintenboob I think â□□lucky coincidenceâ□□ beats out â□□time travelerâ□□ in the likelihood rankings here.

2019-11-14T15:07:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194995390917070848
@gregfullmoon Tonkin is in the book.

Of course there are lots of real conspiracies.

2019-11-14T15:05:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194994879815831552
@DavidMu86437761 I talk to lots of people. It does not mean I endorse thei beliefs or their methods.

2019-11-13T23:44:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194762921735122944

@drabkikker It always was, in the vernacular.

2019-11-13T20:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194711734251970560

@mark12011950 There's Fox News and Breitbart there for conservatives.

What 12 sites would you pick?

2019-11-13T20:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194710046174613504

Fun discussion about debunking the Flat Earth theory.

https://twitter.com/FightFlat/status/1194669366392037377

2019-11-13T14:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194627887795585030

@mark12011950 That would be Brian Dunning. @BrianDunning of @skeptoid

---

2019-11-13T14:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194622744664535045

@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom I didn't see any triangles, but here's a bunch of odd shaped crud that could easily be mistaken for flying craft in another context. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQnDQBUYAA9fQr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQmxuoUUAEMqTT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQnDQBUYAA9fQr.jpg

2019-11-13T14:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194621432568791040

@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom Here's my grandfather, and a bunch of UFOs. Professional scanners would, of course, remove a lot more of the dust (and have less to start with). But you'll still get some specks. Occasionally large ones.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQlqUmUwAYYAao.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQlqUmUwAYYAao.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQliwaU0AIlWVv.jpg
2019-11-13T14:19:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194620744132464640
@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom Could be, or course. Dirt on a negative actually comes out white, black specks come from damage to the film itself (scraping off, or bubbles in the emulsion). Color transparency images are a bettter example, as that's what the NASA image is. No birds here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQlIudU0AA_Toy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQlIudU0AA_Toy.jpg
2019-11-13T14:07:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194617718449692673
@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom Here's an example digitized

image, with typical dirt. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQiXXmU0AEshHt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQiBKWUYAI5B_c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJQiXXmU0AEshHt.jpg

2019-11-13T14:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194616425970712578

@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom I've digitized thousands of negative. It's not that bad when you get a system in place.

2019-11-13T13:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194610938315337728

@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom "When I see a bird that walks

like a duck and swims like a duck and quacks like a duck, I call that bird a duck."

Sure, speculate it's a robot, or a pigeon with a fake beak. But if every single bit of evidence points to one conclusion, then that's usually a pretty safe bet.

2019-11-12T22:09:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194376595852550144
@BollerGl @Russianvids11 @Aubrey_WTSP @10NewsWTSP That's a deep fat turkey fryer.

Sometimes a cigar is just a cigar. Actually, most of the time.

2019-11-12T19:19:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194334002749902849
@redaphid Thanks! It's a fascinating topic, for sure.

2019-11-12T17:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194303584772349953
@edwardcurrent Yeah, should be ... interesting.

2019-11-12T14:20:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194258725453697024
@MatosZulma They LOOK like contrails. At least they look like the contrails seen in the last 70 years of books on clouds. So why exactly do you think they are not contrails?

2019-11-12T04:15:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194106343172509696
@Berserk97981716 Occamâ□□s razor. Thereâ□□s no reason to suspect itâ□□s not a hoax. It looks like a hoax. A hoax is by far the simplest explanation.

2019-11-12T03:48:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194099612245729280
@Berserk97981716 Both

2019-11-12T00:30:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194049818001199104
@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom But some suggestions are clearly far more reasonable than others, so you can rank them.
"A tiny flake of something on the scan" vs. "Alien Spaceship that nobody noticed", for example, has a pretty clear winner.

2019-11-11T22:37:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1194021317764206593
@Oswald1160 @BollerGl @gfbernardi11 It was described as landing "behind" the mall, and based on images, looks like probably in the fire lane behind it:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJIEDZcVAAAoAJX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJIEDZcVAAAoAJX.jpg

2019-11-11T22:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1194015554660487168

@BollerGl @Oswald1160 @gfbernardi11 No reason? You can't think of any reason? Come on, you've got a great imagination. Why would they want to get there quicker?

https://toronto.citynews.ca/us-attacks-boston/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJH-tM9UYAAyFoj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJH-tM9UYAAyFoj.jpg

2019-11-11T20:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193991757081411585

@BollerGl @gfbernardi11 (Actually CBS, but same thing - it was reported on. It wasn't him, so it was not much of a story)

2019-11-11T20:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193991590001332225

@BollerGl @gfbernardi11 The screenshots I posted were from CNN and ABC News.

2019-11-11T20:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193991342231183360

@impeachanorange Because it's not very heavy (so less mass, and hence impact force) per bone cross-section area), it's furry (acting slightly like a parachute, so lower impact speed), and it landed in sand (spreading out the impact).

2019-11-11T20:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193983242296119296

RT @rothschildmd: @MickWest @NBCNews When I write or give interviews about QAnon, I go out of my way to mention its violent, bizarre aspectâ□¦

2019-11-11T20:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193982972904394752

@BollerGl @gfbernardi11 You could figure it out yourself. You choose not to. You make assertions that are trivially demonstrably false.

Make an effort. You're brainwashing yourself.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-video-of-tamerlan-tsarnaev-naked-handcuffed-walking-alive.t1422/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHg_kBU4AEdTNZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHgtiQUUAEL8y0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHg04XVUAIJHTi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHg_kBU4AEdTNZ.jpg

2019-11-11T19:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193976167209922561

@BollerGl @gfbernardi11 You can explain them yourself. But you choose not to.

2019-11-11T18:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193961348985544705

QAnon moves closer to the political mainstream - BECAUSE OF STORIES LIKE THIS!

Thanks @NBCNews

Next time, don't describe it as "fringe", people LIKE fringe. Be accurate, use unambiguous words like "stupid", "nonsense", or "failed"

https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/qanon-candidates-fringe-conspiracy-theory-moves-closer-political-mainstream-n1078216

2019-11-11T18:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193959398902620160

@anonymous4835 That's the World Meteorological Organization saying what it is. Not me.

2019-11-11T18:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193958029730828288

@BadAstronomer Sounds kinda familiar.... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHKd_cUYAAKJ11.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJHKd_cUYAAKJ11.jpg

2019-11-11T18:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193956115018440709

@Chemtrails_SWE @Jarekai @2hellwevil The one with the plane, that is. The one seen by the beach is a volutus. Looks like a Morning Glory.

2019-11-11T18:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193955665737203712

@Chemtrails_SWE @Jarekai @2hellwevil That's not volutus, that's cirrus homogenitus.

https://cloudatlas.wmo.int/aircraft-condensation-trails.html

2019-11-11T18:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193954932015038464

@UfoIssue @pjrbarnett Sola dosis facit venenum.

Which also applies to radiation.

2019-11-11T18:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193954413087318018

@jTooShygrimsley Well they are not all coming from the same place, I can tell you that.

Why not focus a bit?

2019-11-11T18:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193954138129719299

@UfoIssue @pjrbarnett Salt is a neurotoxin.

2019-11-11T18:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193953004581318657

@Berserk97981716 Obviously a hoax. Not worth bothering with.

2019-11-11T18:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193952762301571072

@gfbernardi11 @BollerGl I don't think he's especially uneducated. As he says, he's actually looked more into some of the topics than the average person. He's just really wrong, and really resistant to reality.

2019-11-11T18:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193951850099138562

@pjrbarnett @UfoIssue Why are you asking me?

2019-11-11T03:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193733205901201408

@Timspeer8 @Oswald1160 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom Itâ□□s not moving. Itâ□□s just on one scan.

2019-11-10T20:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193625784126074880

@BollerGl The "nose out" video? It's a famous bit of Truther mythology. But if you look at it from other angles you can see it's just the debris cloud.

2019-11-10T15:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193547393452523520

@BollerGl That's not what the video shows.

2019-11-10T15:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193546412429983744

@BollerGl Well, take one of those kegs you remember hefting around, and imagine it's made of aluminum, and full of beer, and flying at 500 mph. What happens when it hits a steel pipe (or, say, a steel fence)

2019-11-10T15:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193544523286138880

@BollerGl Ever lifted an aluminum beer keg? Like a full half barrel size? About 160 pounds, right? What do you think would happen if you shot one of those at the joint between two steel pipes, at 500 mph? Even 100mph.

Steel is not magic. Aluminum is not butter. Mass is mass.

2019-11-10T14:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193541260579000320

@jTooShygrimsley These all appear to be random pictures and videos of lights in the sky.

2019-11-10T14:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193540817677275137

@BollerGl Nothing did "slice" though a steel beam. The beams were ripped apart, mostly at the connections.

You should visit the 9/11 memorial museum in New York. I was just there. They have a lot of the steel, including some from where the plane hit.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJBPCDoU4AAV8Hw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJBPCDoU4AAV8Hw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EJBO9KIUwAEjlCg.jpg

2019-11-10T14:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193539786176262145

@BollerGl The Coup album had a release data in November 2001. The Dream Theater album depicts "the Big Apple" in flames, a reference to a burning secret heart, a symbol on an earlier album.

Coincidences happen. If you ignore that fact you are doomed to live a life of fantasy and fear.

2019-11-10T14:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193537875553353728

@BollerGl Knifes bend. Rebar bends. Swords bend.

2019-11-10T14:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193537654928756737

@jTooShygrimsley Your video shows some distant lights, behind trees, seemingly illuminating clouds. How does that tally with a "3 inch glow"?

Do you have video or photos of this smaller object?

2019-11-10T14:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193537045471195137

@BollerGl Strong wings. What's your point?

2019-11-10T04:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193392095161012224

@BollerGl I'm a physics guy.

2019-11-10T04:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193391087026462720

@BollerGl Check the physics.

2019-11-10T04:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193389518180585472

@hurlgr @NWSPortland Maybe, but probably not fully half. Weather modification, yes, obviously. Geoengineering, no. See my interview with David Keith.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2019-11-10T04:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193388767597355008

@BollerGl Have you checked the physics? Because that sounds like rhetoric to me.

2019-11-10T04:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193385567834136576

@BollerGl Yes. How do you figure they can't? Rhetoric?

What do you think is more likely to give the correct answer, physics or rhetoric?

http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

---

2019-11-09T20:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193259874227908609

@hurlgr @NWSPortland You asked "Since when do "contrails" not dissipate within a few moments?" Do you accept then that contrails do sometimes persist and spread? I mean, here's a news story about them from 1980, nearly 40 years ago. Just the same as now.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2019-11-09T19:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193243633287225344

@BollerGl A Salt Rifle: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI9AtthU8AAPC0k.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI9AtthU8AAPC0k.jpg

2019-11-09T18:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193236124702953472

@BeverlyPilot @CCAerial @TimNBCBoston Q200 and Q400 often leave aerodynamic contrails at 20-25,000 feet. The formation mechanism is different to exhaust contrails, and they can form at higher temperatures. Some examples:

https://www.metabunk.org/persistent-aerodynamic-contrails-from-propellor-tips.t8172/

2019-11-09T18:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193229165882789888

@hurlgr @NWSPortland Where do you live, approximately? Portland?

Why are there Portland "Chemtrails" videos from 8 years ago?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iVb-Y8CRXeI

2019-11-09T17:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193218258767212544

@hurlgr @NWSPortland Since forever. Or at least since planes few high enough. The first such account of a persistent trail was in the 1920s. But you can look at any book on clouds from the last 70 years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-11-09T17:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193216380885057536

@BollerGI Check this one: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y2X88sFwiX4

2019-11-09T17:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193215979309817857

@BollerGl Physics.

2019-11-09T17:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193214979320627201

@BollerGl Sure, assuming you use a proper ballistics gel dummy, with embedded human skeleton - and preferably a whole crowd of them, and repeat the experiment a few times to eliminate luck.

But really it seems perfectly obvious that it could do that. Why not?

2019-11-09T17:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193212782558101507

@BollerGl So you think nobody died on 9/11, because the government was afraid the families would sue them?

2019-11-09T17:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193212278432075776

@BollerGl A closer example would be a shotgun. Shoot someone with a shotgun from two feet away, and you can blow holes in them. Shoot them from 20 feet and they get a bunch of puncture injuries. Shoot at a snow fence from a few feet away = minor damage.

2019-11-09T16:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193210551922384896

@BollerGl Like, here's a salt rifle. It explosively ejects a few grams of salt. It causes a lot of damage at short range, if the salt hits the target. It can blow a hole in paper if within an inch of it, but just minor damage at longer distances.

2019-11-09T16:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193209441379700736

@BollerGl Liability? How does that work?

2019-11-09T16:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193209312367112192

@BollerGl I'm not deflecting. I'm trying to get you think about the underlying physics.

Like I said, it's a challenge to explain, as most of us have very little experience with explosives. What kind of analogous experiments could we do? Maybe something to show the effect of distance?

2019-11-09T16:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193208788007829505

@BollerGl I think it's possible someone could do some kind of false flag attack. I don't think 9/11 or Sandy Hook are plausible examples of this. And if there WERE to do the Boston Marathon bombing, then why not just use real bombs?

2019-11-09T16:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193202368847855616

@BollerGl No, but the answer is pretty obvious. Think about it. Draw a diagram.

2019-11-09T16:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193202054560243712

@BollerGl Is it open to all possibilities? Is it GENUINELY open?

2019-11-09T16:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193201878340792320

@BollerGl Actually I think you are the one making the extraordinary claim here.
But it's an interesting educational challenge. Are you really open to listening to the science though?

2019-11-09T16:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193198483433345024

@BollerGl Oh, you need physics. You need to be able to think about what's actually going on in a physical sense, and not in a rhetorical sense.

That's the problem here. You've been convinced with words, when the underlying evidence is physics, which you don't understand.

2019-11-09T16:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193196837953400832

@BollerGl Explain how that makes it easy? What's the physics here?

2019-11-09T16:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193196674270691329

@BollerGl Think about it.
You are eagerly jumping to a conclusion. The answers to your questions are quite accessible to you, but your habit over the years had made you shy away from them.
Open your mind a little.

2019-11-09T15:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193195590680629248

@BollerGl People's legs were not neatly sliced off.
Here's a pressure cooker bomb going off, watch the pattern of the explosion.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JSskfc8_gqA

2019-11-09T15:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193195143181959168

@BollerGl Think about it. It's not hugely complicated.

Try thinking about it WITHOUT assuming it's fake. Think about the simple physics. Draw a diagram.

2019-11-09T15:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193194807981559808

@BollerGl You ever dropped a glass? Did the glass collect in a neat little pile at your feet.

2019-11-09T15:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193192085593018368

@BollerGl I don't think they need to have placed it on its side (not that it's difficult). It would

explode outwards regardless.

All explosions have a vertical plume of smoke. The air is very hot, so it rises. It was a bomb.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8R1ZGU0AA8IEx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8R1ZGU0AA8IEx.jpg

2019-11-09T15:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193186160576585728

@BollerGl The glass shattered, then it fell. It wasn't blown anywhere.

2019-11-09T15:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193183600394420226

@BollerGl Read the papers. Primary blast is the shockwave, which creates overpressure injuries. The low power of the Boston bombs meant this was not a big factor, although there were several burst eardrums among the closest people (who also had the most other injuries).

2019-11-09T15:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193182918941667328

@Oswald1160 @Timspeer8 @sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom I wonder if the experience of scanning is something that will be unfamiliar to younger people, as all their photos are digital. Hence a lack of understanding of things like this.

2019-11-09T14:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193177817166430208

@BollerGl Here's another paper on the injuries. Do you think this is part of the plot?

https://pubs.rsna.org/doi/pdf/10.1148/rg.2016150114

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8E4jsVUAAVYB5.jpg



Figure 2. Simplest form of blast wave described by the Friedlander waveform. The blast wave (shock wave) has three components: the positive phase (increase in ambient air pressure), the negative phase, and mass movement of wind (blast wind). The blast wave is followed by a blast wind of negative pressure, which sucks items back in toward the center.





Figure 3. Drawings depicting primary **(a)**, secondary **(b)**, and tertiary **(c)** blast injuries. Primary blast injuries are caused by the shock wave, and secondary blast injuries are caused by trauma from shrapnel. Tertiary blast injuries can be caused as victims are thrown by the force of the blast wind against another object.

by the explosive device. Injuries are caused by compression and shearing effects in tissues, debris carried by the blast wind, and blunt trauma caused by the victim being displaced and impacting immovable objects (11).

body and results in injuries to gas-filled structures such as the ear, the lungs, and, rarely, the bowel, as well as traumatic brain injuries (11,13). After a blast, secondary injuries are the most commonly encountered and are blunt or penetrating injuries resulting from materials thrown out from the bomb casing (primary fragments) and debris from the environment being carried by the blast wind (secondary fragments) (13,16). Tertiary injuries occur as a result of victim displacement by the blast wind and include visceral injuries, fractures, and closed head injuries. Tertiary injuries also include injuries sustained as a result of structural

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8E4jsVUAAVYB5.jpg

2019-11-09T14:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1193176553657532416

@BollerGl I've got one, and yes, it can be on its side. I don't think that's necessary to explain the situation though. It's not like a cannon, it's like a bomb, and IED. The shrapnel basically radiated out from the origin, which was on the ground.

See: https://www.ajronline.org/doi/10.2214/AJR.14.12549

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8CR5zUcAEEHE1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI8CR5zUcAEEHE1.jpg
2019-11-09T04:57:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1193029724311703552
@pjrbarnett Safe. Yes.

2019-11-09T04:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1193029252511223808
@alexvarone Thanks!

2019-11-09T02:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192992519463686144
@BollerGl What if it were on its side?

2019-11-09T01:30:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192977740493774848
@BollerGl We can assume we have no clue if he knows what he's talking about.

Sudden massive overpressure (explosive in the cooker) is very different to a gradual overpressure (faulty pressure cooker safety device leading to build up of pressure). Sudden overpressure = multiple cracks.

2019-11-09T01:27:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192976854182875136
@BollerGl Here's the end result. You can see there's plenty of outward force, maybe the greater force goes up - but if outward force can rip metal, it can damage nearby people.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5NvluVUAEnAdL.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5NvluVUAEnAdL.jpg

2019-11-09T01:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192975910321807360

@sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom Similar on the previous image on that roll. Levels adjusted to make the dirt stand out. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5NNKVUwAAVmQ-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5NNKVUwAAVmQ-.jpg

2019-11-09T01:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192975611863519236

@BollerGl I'm not sure the author of that article is actually an expert. Do pressure cooker bomb manuals recommend they be aimed?

2019-11-09T01:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192974768053444608

@sardjatwopoinow @blackvaultcom It's dirt on the scanned film. There's lots more, that's just the biggest bit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5MOUoUUAAZGF3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI5MOUoUUAAZGF3.jpg
2019-11-08T19:30:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192887126687240193
@CcibChris The rapid growth of water droplets condensing in the aerodynamic portion of the contrail (the middle) creates a gradient of sizes, and hence a gradient of wavelengths of Mie scattering - giving this distinctive spectrum.
http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI37-DzVAAE5T2q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EI37-DzVAAE5T2q.jpg

2019-11-08T19:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192885556100755461

Interesting conversation with Geoff (@BollerGI) on Tales from the Rabbit Hole. We discuss how he got started with conspiracies, who he thinks runs the world, the clues the leave, and why he suspects that the Earth might be flat.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eju0mvJds8U

2019-11-08T00:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192606409134768128

@UfoIssue That's why you need to install the "Ignore X-Frames" plugin, like I explain in the video.

https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/ignore-x-frame-headers/gleekbfjekiniecknbkamfmkohkpodhe?hl=en-US

2019-11-08T00:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192601439907893248

@vimanaboy Just view source on http://news.metabunk.org/

(ie: view-source:http://news.metabunk.org/)

2019-11-08T00:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192597142130458626

(Watch the video full screen to see what it actually looks like)

2019-11-08T00:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192596852186595328

Feel like the news outlets are a bit one-sided? Want a wider perspective? Here's how I read twelve news sites simultaneously!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dRPh1CsvRI4

2019-11-08T00:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192592946744320001

@muliwasx @Paul7Law @DrStevenGreer A few enthusiasts, sure. But most people have never heard of it. People are aware of the subject because of TV shows.

2019-11-07T23:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192581242358616064

@the_holy_fools I think the army is interested in active camouflage, hence they wanted a connection to TruClear Global.

They tossed the other stuff in because, hey, why not? The US military is quite forward thinking. It does not mean TTSA has anything, other than TruClear Global.

2019-11-07T23:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192580723472879616

@muliwasx @Paul7Law @DrStevenGreer Was it? What did the conference achieve? What lasting effect did it have?

2019-11-07T21:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192554827659673600

@Paul7Law @DrStevenGreer I've not seen it.

I'm not interested in Greer either. The Disclosure Project was founded in 1993. So in 26 years it's failed to get any kind of disclosure.

Show me some hard data, and I'd be interested.

2019-11-07T16:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192474286360944640

@BollerGl And only a 1/3 of Presidents have been Freemasons. Last one was Gerald Ford.

It's just a fraternity. Sure, you get the old-boys network effect. But they don't rule the world.

2019-11-07T16:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192473651104428033

@BollerGl So what other colors did he have to pick from in tanning? Did they show him blue, green, red, black, and orange, and he picked orange?

Everyone who self tans too much ends up a bit orange. That's just how it works.

2019-11-07T16:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192473036944920577

@BollerGl How does the international conspiracy part work? Who's in charge?

2019-11-07T15:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192471758458109952

@BollerGl Six US Presidents though.

2019-11-07T15:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192471558452740097

@BollerGl Elks is a US organization, so I doubt they would have any UK royals.

2019-11-07T15:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192471033665638400

@UFO_Researcher @jTooShygrimsley @zcichy @RealWJRucker @ExogenesisHH Started a Metabunk thread, and did some experiments. Needs a wet tree, some fog, and a worse camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/bright-lights-behind-trees-with-roiling-glare-panama-city-florida.t10978/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIyCFRtU0AA_16i.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIyCFRtU0AA_16i.jpg

2019-11-07T15:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192469987362918400

@jTooShygrimsley @UFO_Researcher @zcichy @RealWJRucker @ExogenesisHH Genuine unidentified objects tend to be rather boring, as there's not enough information about them to A) identify them, B) show anything of significance. Kind of a catch-22

Distant white speck = genuine UFO.

2019-11-07T15:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192469502539075585

@BollerGl I don't think they are particularly influential any more, so it does not bother me. It's just a fraternity, like the Elks.

2019-11-07T04:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192297262723911680

@Beddingfield78 @michaelshermer Isnâ□□t everything?

2019-11-07T02:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192266962459447296

@BollerGl I looked up the "LOL Guy" that you use for your Twitter avatar. He's a cop. He grins at someone for four seconds at the start of a ten minute press briefing about the Pulse nightclub shooting - not at all the "entire time" you suggested.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e2X0fRCdPYs

2019-11-07T02:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192265180744257536

@BollerGl Biblical exegesis is kind of irrelevant if you are an atheist (or even just not a Biblical literalist). But you can interpret things either way, if you put your mind to it. Like in Harry Rimmer's book, The Harmony of Science and Scripture.

2019-11-06T22:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1192204951780380673

@UFO_Researcher @zcichy @RealWJRucker @ExogenesisHH I seem to remember it not being landing lights thought. Hmm. I'm sure it will come to me.

2022-06-26T10:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1541000904194654208

@CanesReign @UFOhistorian I didn't know if those triangles were stars, or which stars they were, so I asked "are those stars, and if so, which", and then figured it out.

Like that? Or would you like to suggest a question?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1541000456477954049/pu/img/6U33F54wokaNzp1m.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1541000456477954049/pu/img/6U33F54wokaNzp1m.jpg

2022-06-26T10:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540999910635339776

@welling_phil @Matt_CooperExSc @RealArea503 @JeremyCorbell @tinyklaus Don't trust me, check it for yourself.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1540999889844285440/pu/img/D-e_97sxJgyDnV4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1540999889844285440/pu/img/D-e_97sxJgyDnV4f.jpg
2022-06-26T05:38:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540932457158963200
@jacques_oneill @thewoody @asorto1 @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein @uncertainvector I've been in the US for nearly 30 years. This is what you get when you blend Yorkshire with California.

2022-06-26T00:26:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540853883194331137
@highlandhebrew @jangrosser85 @SilvaRecord @LueElizondo @Mick @travisstaylor1 A 1.6Gz audio file?

That's just silly.

2022-06-26T00:20:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540852530472636417
@thewoody @asorto1 @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein @uncertainvector I have a bachelor's degree in computer science from the University of Manchester.

Which is entirely irrelevant. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWI0flqUYAEzJMs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWI0flqUYAEzJMs.jpg

2022-06-25T21:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540813955056029696

@Brian314159265 @NwBigfoot @billgockeler @travisstaylor1 @WitnessCitizen @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench That seems like it would be easy to A) replicate, and B) get more technical detail on how it failed. Even the GPS in an iPhone lets you get some per-satellite data.

2022-06-25T21:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540813615485267968

@maetaphysika @Josh_JandAshow Beats me. I don't think there's much that can be usefully be done with a case like that. Permanent LIZ.

2022-06-25T21:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540811438918213632

@UnpluggedUser Odd story, as it's not even very big. They are commonly 10x as large. http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/Solar/solflare.html

---

2022-06-25T21:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540810488979681281

@maetaphysika @Josh_JandAshow Sorry about that. What case was it?

2022-06-25T20:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540793287623159808

@blurbot2 @DreamDetective1 I mean I don't know how to definitively explain them.

2022-06-25T20:15:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540790740049006593

@DreamDetective1 I don't know what the unexplainable cases are.

2022-06-25T20:14:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540790562097205249
@BarlowTerry @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha He believes in intelligent entities that are not conventional humans.

If you don't want to call that aliens, then that's fine. But that's what he believes.

2022-06-25T19:47:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540783677654695937
@Pewpew841 @ThanosAlpha I'm doing a remarkably bad job if my goal is to make money :)

2022-06-25T19:46:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540783542778572801
@Drunk_on_Books @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha too far

2022-06-25T19:34:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540780491434930180
@MikeColangelo @SilvaRecord @LueElizondo Funny, because Lue has done WAY more TV than me.
Tarvis has us both beat though.

2022-06-25T19:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540772310067515392
@jangrosser85 @SilvaRecord @LueElizondo @Mick Past seasons have not left me with high expectations of good science.

2022-06-25T18:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540771208454479872
@PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha It seems like he genuinely feels persecuted, I don't know how accurate the details are.

I suspect he got mocked and sidelined for his beliefs in aliens, and that perhaps his beliefs interfered with his actual job. There's no way of knowing though.

2022-06-25T18:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540770290103291905
@JasonColavito @blackvaultcom I think Jason's assessment seems correct. Taylor seems like he's saying they are going to do Skinwalker/UAPTF style investigations, and he seems to include Stratton in that.

2022-06-25T18:41:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540767101673033729
@jangrosser85 @SilvaRecord @LueElizondo @Mick Not for a while. I'm busy with other things.

2022-06-25T18:26:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540763381551968256
@SilvaRecord @LueElizondo Was the context you asking him about Taylor's work at Skinwalker Ranch?

2022-06-25T18:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540760349443358721

@CanesReign @tinyklaus Yet here is Travis making a bold claim using only the video.

2022-06-25T18:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540760180652093440

@CanesReign @tinyklaus I have not determined what the Gimbal object is, or how far away it is. I think the distant straight-line trajectory is more likely. But it is far from "case closed"

2022-06-25T17:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540751596442701826

@CanesReign @tinyklaus And yet his "suggest" elicits responses like this one, so I think a rebuttal is warranted.

Which case do you think I closed prematurely?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWHY7PfUEAE7JiV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWHY7PfUEAE7JiV.jpg

2022-06-25T17:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540748784367325184

@CanesReign @tinyklaus I have asked him.

If he's in the middle of analysis, then why is he announcing results?

I think he's wrong.

2022-06-25T17:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540745868193591297

@CanesReign @tinyklaus Where's the math?

2022-06-25T17:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540745785033121797

@thewoody @GeorgeT96559261 I've asked Travis for the numbers he used in his graph, so his claim can be verified openly.

I strongly suspect it will not hold up to close scrutiny.

2022-06-25T16:53:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540740001431842816
@Robin081564 @Munook I would be happy to be involved in an effort to honestly investigate and attempt to resolve UAP cases. Especially if I was paid to do so.

Right now it's just a hobby, with a few minor TV appearances each year - where I say what I honestly think about a variety of cases.

2022-06-25T16:44:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540737639011143683
@harrison_fnord @etdotcom_ @OwatcherUf @thewoody @kimwarrior123 @juice14704861 @UnpluggedUser My videos are not monetized.

2022-06-25T16:08:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540728668871307264
@thewoody He should show his math, including defining terms and the values of the constants used. Otherwise, it's just a claim.

I think he's also using a rather vague description of what he understands "glare" to be.

2022-06-25T03:26:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540536865953959936
@OMApproach @DaveFalch @tinyklaus No, because in that hypothesis it is nowhere near the cars, or the water.

Travis is flat wrong on this case.

2022-06-25T03:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540536405981466624
@ChrisSPITZER7 Most of the cases I've looked at have a reasonable resolution (i.e. good working hypothesis) in a few days. The time afterward is spent in communication and refinement.

Also, they are not going to divide their time equally. Some cases will be a day or less, some will be weeks.

2022-06-25T03:18:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540534925224321025
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Mr_BenPrime @Cernunnos69 @EasySideMoneyy @R35YYj @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 The green pyramid? None at all. I was just responding to your assertion that drones can't fly for four hours.

I think the flashing green pyramid is more likely a plane.

2022-06-25T02:35:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540524107749801984
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Mr_BenPrime @Cernunnos69 @EasySideMoneyy @R35YYj

@MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1
https://www.unmannedsystemstechnology.com/2021/03/hybrid-gas-electric-multirotor-drone-breaks-endurance-record/

2022-06-25T00:26:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540491658998870016
@thieme @GeezerSqueezer @logicalconclusn @shado_uk One of my first hypotheses was that it was an entirely in-camera thing, like lens flare from the sun. That was wrong.

2022-06-24T23:15:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540473600582352896
@rgh_ufos @dawndawnndawnnn No, my opinion is: I really don't know. I don't think it's true, but I don't know where it comes from.

2022-06-24T23:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540470375326265344
@rgh_ufos @dawndawnndawnnn I really don't know. I don't think it's true, but I don't know where it comes from.

2022-06-24T21:38:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540449350135844864
@dawndawnndawnnn Is there something specific that you think I should look at? Link?

2022-06-24T21:32:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540447690512662528
@dawndawnndawnnn I don't know, was there something good?

It looked like a bunch of old cases and blurry photos.

2022-06-24T16:27:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540371009248735232
Accurate perspective. We all have our bubbles of focus and interest that seem important to us, but the larger world inexorably turns.
https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1540369760331767808

2022-06-24T16:22:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540369687568998400
@Linkchadlad @MiddleOfMayhem @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @EasySideMoneyy @R35YYj @travisstaylor1 Like Hynek said, astronomical objects make common UFOs.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWB-ECAUAAAWFEP.jpg

Surprisingly, commercial and military pilots appear to make relatively poor witnesses (though they do slightly better in groups).

What we have here is a good example of a well-known psychological fact: "transference" of skill and experience does not usually take place. That is, an expert in one field does not necessarily "transfer" his competence to another one. Thus, it might surprise us that a pilot had trouble identifying

other aircraft. But it should come as no surprise that a majority of pilot misidentifications were of *astronomical* objects.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWB-ECAUAAAWFEP.jpg
2022-06-24T16:16:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540368323791032320
@smug_liberal @CTFlyMonkey @MiddleOfMayhem You would also think they could recognize stars.

2022-06-24T16:10:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540366775174320130
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @EasySideMoneyy @R35YYj @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 The green pyramid case IS stars, and one moving aircraft with flashing lights. That should be clear to anyone who looks at the evidence, which Travis now has.

2022-06-24T15:45:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540360390168629248
RT @ewarren: In the face of this dark post-Roe reality, I have three words: Hold onto hope. Do not give into despair. Hereâ□□s how Iâ□□m stayinâ□¦

2022-06-24T15:41:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540359533603586054
RT @RBReich: So states can decide you must carry a fetus but not whether you can carry a concealed gun?

2022-06-24T15:39:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540358841304461312
@aka__V @shado_uk @logicalconclusn @benjamuniverse1
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWB0M2VUcAArYF6.jpg

THE HYNEK UFO REPORT

The Authoritative Account of the Project Blue Book Cover-Up

J. ALLEN HYNEK



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBzsHfUYAATWTm.jpg

THE PEOPLE WHO SEE UFOS

While examining the cases in Project Blue Book, my colleagues and I kept careful records of the occupations of witnesses (with special attention paid to the military and trained technicians of all kinds). The correlation between occupation and what was perceived—or misperceived—is extremely interesting, and appears below:

TABLE 11.7—WITNESS RELIABILITY AS A FUNCTION OF OCCUPATION

OCCUPATION	% OF MISIDENTIFICATION
Military pilot	
(single witness)	88
(multiple witness)	76
Commercial pilot	
(single witness)	89
(multiple witness)	79
Radar technicians	
(multiple witness)	78
Technical person	
(single witness)	65
(multiple witness)	50
Other	
(multiple witness)	83

It would seem that, as a rule, the best witnesses are multiple engineers or scientists; only 50 percent of their sightings could be classified as misperceptions. Surprisingly, commercial and military pilots appear to make relatively poor witnesses (though they do slightly better in groups).

What we have here is a good example of a well-known psychological fact: "transference" of skill and experience does not usually take place. That is, an expert in one field does not necessarily "transfer" his competence to another one. Thus, it might surprise us that a pilot had trouble identifying

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBz_5LUcAAwcnr.jpg

other aircraft. But it should come as no surprise that a majority of pilot misidentifications were of *astronomical* objects.

As one might expect, the statistics do show that multiple witnesses are slightly better than single witnesses, since it is unlikely that a group of people would misperceive the same stimulus, group pressure notwithstanding.

BLUE BOOK SPECIAL REPORT NUMBER 14

The great "flap" of 1952 was, as far as we can judge from statistics, a "real" flap and not the result of mass hysteria. Its reverberations in the Pentagon were sufficiently great to have the Air Force commission the Battelle Memorial Institute in Columbus, Ohio—a private, highly regarded industrial research organization—to examine this prime question: Do "Unidentifieds" differ in basic characteristics from "Identifieds"? That is, if one considers these two main classifications separately, and examines each in terms of reported colors, number of objects, shapes, duration of observation, speed, and light brightness (when applicable), does one find similar descriptions reported for both groups? If so, one might deduce that "Unidentifieds" and "Identifieds" belong to the same statistical "universe," to use the statistician's term, and, therefore, that "Unidentifieds" are really all mis-identifications of ordinary things, perhaps seen under unusual circumstances. If, on the other hand, descriptions of "Unidentifieds" and "Identifieds" differ significantly, then one could make a stronger case for the existence of "real" UFOs.

In statistical theory there is a time-honored method of determining the probability (statistics deals with probabilities, not certainties) that one set of things is truly different from another set. This is the "chi-square" test, which one can find described in any standard text on statistics and probability theory. If you were examining, for instance, two crates of apples (but didn't know they were apples), the chi-square test on sizes, weights, numbers of objects, etc., would tell you that the probability was very high that the same sort of things were in the two crates. But if one crate contained apples and the other tennis balls, the chi-square test would tell you that the probability that both crates contained the same thing was extremely small —not zero, but very small!

The Battelle report showed that when six characteristics (color, shape, number, duration of observation, speed, light brightness) were given the chi-square test, the results were as follows:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWB0M2VUcAArYF6.jpg
2022-06-24T15:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540353310942588928
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @EasySideMoneyy @R35YYj @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 If it's not, then that would only mean there are TWO such cases.

He's obviously talking about the green triangles.

2022-06-24T15:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540352823430328320

@aka__V @shado_uk @logicalconclusn @benjamuniverse1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBulawVsAAqBFs.jpg

THE PEOPLE WHO SEE UFOS

While examining the cases in Project Blue Book, my colleagues and I kept careful records of the occupations of witnesses (with special attention paid to the military and trained technicians of all kinds). The correlation between occupation and what was perceived—or misperceived—is extremely interesting, and appears below:

TABLE 11.7—WITNESS RELIABILITY AS A FUNCTION OF OCCUPATION

OCCUPATION	% OF MISIDENTIFICATION
Military pilot	
(single witness)	88
(multiple witness)	76
Commercial pilot	
(single witness)	89
(multiple witness)	79
Radar technicians	
(multiple witness)	78
Technical person	
(single witness)	65
(multiple witness)	50
Other	
(multiple witness)	83

It would seem that, as a rule, the best witnesses are multiple engineers or scientists; only 50 percent of their sightings could be classified as misperceptions. Surprisingly, commercial and military pilots appear to make relatively poor witnesses (though they do slightly better in groups).

What we have here is a good example of a well-known psychological fact: "transference" of skill and experience does not usually take place. That is, an expert in one field does not necessarily "transfer" his competence to another one. Thus, it might surprise us that a pilot had trouble identifying

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBulawVsAAqBFs.jpg

2022-06-24T15:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540350408022818816

@DallasAnalytics If someone recorded good evidence of an actual alien spaceship somewhere, then the location of that sighting would immediately far exceed SWR in terms of recorded weirdness.

2022-06-24T15:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540349862339694594

This Brazil UFO hearing seems to be mostly old UFO enthusiasts discussing old cases for which there are largely just eyewitness memories and drawings.

Will there be any fresh stuff? Military statements? Government statements? Video?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBr0VdUAAAvJfp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBr0VdUAAAvJfp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBry3SVsAI9nOf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBrxqCUcAACKKi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBrzkBUUAAmnBI.jpg

2022-06-24T14:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540348607382949893

@greenbien @mission_europa Or balloons, birds, drones, Jupiter, planes, etc. Things in the LIZ, not doing anything amazing, not being looked into in any real depth by experts who are not experts in everything.

2022-06-24T14:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540344851677323264

@lbf_tweet @the_cholla @EireScientist @murnut @GuardProsper @Cernunnos69 @MvonRen The weird curve is just a function of a distant straight line + the path of the plane. The closer you get to the plane, the more the "curve" will mirror it. Forcing it into a straight line and you are just mirroring the vertical component. The distant line makes more sense

---

2022-06-24T14:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540342467098054656

@fin365 @MusicAndTruth @ChrisSPITZER7 That's an amusing scene. A bunch of anomaly hunters. UFO arrives. Zero cameras, zero binoculars. Camera crew films the people, not the object.

Probably a recreation, but still.... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBk8nEVEAACw9K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBk8nEVEAACw9K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FWBk7oeUEAEAfyk.jpg

2022-06-24T11:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540292075282829313

@logicalconclusn @shado_uk How would I know who is controlling the aircraft? If it's a drone, then some drone operator (or AI). If it's a plane, then a pilot.

2022-06-24T06:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540215353011077120

@pgferrari22 USN. The USAF has been curiously silent on the topic.

2022-06-24T04:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540197763249369088

It's also significant that the Pentagon's UAP Task Force's chief scientist says that there was nothing the UAPTF saw that exceeded what he has observed at Skinwalker Ranch.

The SWR footage and data is not classified. Nor is it particularly compelling

So the UAPTF has, what? https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1540191587652624384

2022-06-24T04:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540196294475386880

@shado_uk One drone (which I think is more likely a plane)

Someone, a fisherman, some guys on a party boat, the Chinese, the USN (training). That's not really the point here.

2022-06-24T04:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540192019980513280

Want to read more about my philosophy of how to investigate UFO cases with ambiguous data?

I call it "curated crowdsourcing"

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2022-06-24T04:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540191587652624384

The Pentagon's UAP task force could only identify 1/144 UFO cases. But after releasing some data on the internet they have doubled that to 2/144 - with the new one being some stars and a drone.

You're welcome.

Want some more cases solved? Release more data.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1540190658060615681/pu/img/YrDObzzjD2Btgciz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1540190658060615681/pu/img/YrDObzzjD2Btgciz.jpg
2022-06-24T00:48:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540134857862352897
@OwatcherUf @6diego32 @jplatte11 @MiddleOfMayhem It's 53 seconds, and there are 8 identifiable stars visible at the end after it passes Okab. You can trace either backward from abt 0:35, AND forward Jupiter at 0:00, and identify the other stars, including Okab.
I've blocked @6diego32, waster of time.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/DOD_108981161-1920x1080-9000k.mp4

2022-06-24T00:42:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1540133161132101635
@MoonshineParad4 I recommend anyone interested in the Ariel school incidents read all the sections of the article. The section on POSSIBLE involvement of a van is a tiny part of the analysis.

https://threedollarkit.weebly.com/ariel-school.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV-m8U1UEAArvz5.jpg



Behind the myth

Based on these early reports, what was it the children saw?

We can't go back – we'll probably never know – but a vehicle reflecting sunlight, and a couple of guys running around in sunglasses or masks, fits most of the evidence. As the vehicle drove off the "light" may have appeared to rise up (going over a bump) then disappear (as it moved into shade and stopped glinting).

Some of the drawings certainly look like a camper trailer (as suggested by Gilles Fernandez) or van, with a row of windows and some with a defined "front" and "back". The children say they couldn't see clearly because of the trees and long grass, which would hide the wheels. Bright sunlight would wash out other features.

And far be it from me to speculate on alien behavior, but why the heck were they *on top* of their spaceship? Isn't climbing up and sitting on your vehicle's roof something idiot teenagers would do for a laugh?

Maybe those dudes were playing a prank. Maybe they stopped for a spliff and realized the kids were curious, so they had some fun with it. But the fact remains, even if we'll never know exactly what was out there and why, from the earliest accounts there's no good evidence this was anything otherworldly.

The area was part of the school's cross-country running track, a "beaten path" [Hesemann, 1997] through the bush, so it seems there was a way for a vehicle to get in and out. What about the failure to find vehicle tracks? It's possible the children misjudged the distance to the object and the "landing site" wasn't quite where they thought. Gunter Hofer said the earth was rock-hard following a severe drought, so this may also explain the lack of tracks.

What about the objects coming in along the power lines? This may have been an unrelated sighting, perhaps helicopters (a strong wind and a whirring sound were noted in Hind's report) or even birds. Again, we'll never know – but it's odd that only two witnesses saw this: Stefan, who drew it, assuming I've correctly identified his drawing, and Barry, whose testimony we only have indirectly from Hind. In any case, Gunter Hofer recently stated the sighting along the power lines was *the day before*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV-m8U1UEAArvz5.jpg

2022-06-23T23:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540121067691184128

@Drunk_on_Books @TOEwithCurt @EricRWeinstein It's happening!

2022-06-23T23:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540108505926864896

RT @TOEwithCurt: Finalizing questions for talk with @MickWest Î @EricRWeinstein on UFOs. Specifically, whether we should take the topic ofâ¦

2022-06-23T21:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540089343787683840

@Daily_Sinner @Oswald1160 The bokeh is the videos is almost entirely stars, and one moving light, a plane or possibly a drone.

The videos don't show swarms of anything.

2022-06-23T21:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540083187799322625

@6diego32 @jplatte11 @MiddleOfMayhem Have not looked at anything I posted? There are MULTIPLE stars. Each one has at least three others in perfect position and magnitude - literally impossibly by chance.

2022-06-23T21:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540082837071679488

@6diego32 @jplatte11 @MiddleOfMayhem The videos show mostly stars. That's what is being

claimed here. If Travis disagrees with that then he's wrong.

You're just going to get blocked if you keep bringing up the same strawmen over and over.

2022-06-23T20:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540076311263137794

@Drunk_on_Books But I'd imagine that I'd be focusing exclusively on studying this confirmed ETH, because it would be the most interesting thing in the universe.

2022-06-23T20:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540076035789553665

@Drunk_on_Books Heck, the world would change. What does it matter how I'd change?

Really, it depends on how it was confirmed, and what exactly was confirmed.

2022-06-23T20:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540075752569180160

@Heracli19402827 @tinyklaus On the contrary, I think Elizondo should be grilled by congress.

2022-06-23T20:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540075547497115648

@6diego32 @jplatte11 @MiddleOfMayhem That's the flasher passing the star Okab. As has been explained to you many, many times.

2022-06-23T16:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540014684299857920

@the_cholla @murnut @GuardProsper @Cernunnos69 We've discussed it at considerable length, we disagree.

I don't think it's at all settled - and there more work to be done on Sitrec. In particular I need to add wind. I've just been on other things.

2022-06-23T16:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540010877268525057

@the_cholla @murnut @GuardProsper @Cernunnos69 I'll admit I'm wrong if it's demonstrated I'm wrong. I'm not going to do it for PR points.

2022-06-23T16:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1540009069221531653

@UFOBRO2 @RoGin1230 @realrightmemes @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 Stars don't get any closer. Okab is simply the brightest star in that bit of the sky. Altair, which we see later, is brighter. Here's a look at the relative positions and brightness of the stars visible at that time.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV819ptVsAA3V_R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV819ptVsAA3V_R.jpg

2022-06-23T15:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539992518695067648

@ChrisSPITZER7 Generally, it's the impenetrable LIZ.

2022-06-23T14:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539984726458392577

RT @likeitmatters3: New section to my Ariel School analysis: scroll down to "Distorting the myth" for evidence on how Cynthia Hind (MUFON)â□¦

2022-06-23T14:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539984479090905089

@Daily_Sinner I don't think they are lying, they just didn't put much effort into it.

2022-06-23T10:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539913307460538368

@the_cholla @GuardProsper @Cernunnos69 We are analyzing the video because it looks like a flying saucer that slows down and turns on its side.

2022-06-23T10:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539912788528640000

@RobHeatherly1 @quantastrings @conterammundi @TUPACABRA2 I think that when someone is discussing a run for Congress and also how neo-Nazi's are bad but not right-wing, and how we should not get all offended by racial epithets because they have funny origins, then it's worthy of comment.

Here's the full context with timestamps. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV7dwHjUUAA_yRF.jpg

---



Lue Elizondo 25:10

So when people will say you have, you know, far left ideals and far right so far left ideas like Antifa, for example, I'm not supporting or defending it or, or condemning it just you have far left ideas and far right ideals. The problem is we're not having, we're not doing the due diligence and understanding things. So for example, when people say, you know, the Nazis, white supremacy and Nazis, again, I'm being Latino myself. They say, Well, it's, you know, the Nazi Party is, the neo Nazi Party, is a far right extremist group. Well, let's look at that. Is it really, what what is the word Nazi come from? Well, it comes from the German word, National Socialist Movement. Socialism is in the very title of socialism is a left-leaning effort. Not right. So again, I'm not saying it's good or bad. It's not good. But either way, but let's at least be let's be specific about, about terminology here, just like when someone says a very terrible word, and you can edit this out if you want. But you know, I'll say because I'm Latino, and ??? says, you know the word, right? Because Oh, yeah, that's terrible. Okay, well, that's fine. But let's, let's, for just a moment, let's let's dissect that word, what does it mean? Where does it come from? Well, it comes from the truncation of "Do you es-speak Spanish?" es-spic? It's always one of those. It's not even a real word. So to hold myself, you know, in bondage because someone called me a name. Guys, that's, that's life. You know, I used to tell tell my young agents, what are you going to do when someone calls you a bad name? You're gonna whip out your gun and point it at their head. Now, you can't do that. No, you have to take the moral high road.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV7dtMCVUAEptlP.jpg



Lue Elizondo 26:46

You know, another example. Case in point when I was in Korea, I was in Korea for a little over a year as a and I had a Korean MIS, which was a basically a, think of a, a Korean national who has a security clearance who's helping you with your military intelligence functions. And there were some KATUSAs, which were Korean augmentees to us to the United States Army. They're laughing and laughing and I asked, What does what are they laughing at? And they were laughing at the word which is considered very derogatory here that it states the word and I was offended. I said, Why? Why? Why are you laughing? And it took my Mr. Shane, my Korean Augmentee I'm sorry, my MIS to explain to me says, Do you know where the word comes from? And I said, No, but it's a bad word. He says, because from the Korean War came when the United States came over to to Korea to fight in the war. You had, for example, private Johnson come up and said, Hey, I'm American. My name is private Johnson. What's your name? Well, when he went like that, the Korean looked at him. And he said, miguk. miguk means Korean. Okay. doesn't even mean I mean, I'm sorry, correction mi-guk means American. So when he said, I'm an American, the Korean looking at Oh, mi-guk mi-guk means American. Well, unfortunately, the American understood it to be me.... Oh, you therefore you are a no, you the American. That's, that's what that means. And so my point being is it's, look, you know, I'm not defending the use of, of hateful speech, when I'm simply saying is that, once we understand where a lot of these words come from, they lose their power, they lose their power over us. And to the worst thing we can do is to allow a word to hold us back. That's, that's, that's what we're doing when we can't have a conversation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV7dwHjUUAA_yRF.jpg

2022-06-23T09:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539909212817395712

@the_cholla @GuardProsper @Cernunnos69 I disagree that the physically ridiculous near trajectory is somehow better than the physically sensible distant trajectory.

But that aside, how am I ""totally" wrong on Gimbal?" The main point I make is that it's a rotating glare, not a physically rotating object.

2022-06-23T04:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539831718957309952

@sonnguy63358399 @dave_beaty @crazyhorse65 @TheUfoJoe You don't have to trust it, you can check it for yourself.

2022-06-23T04:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539831137828188162

@issaufobro Those pixels are not stars, they are noise. They change from frame to frame. Watch the video and you will see this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539831102885416960/pu/img/FpgzrBBfXTSXQkYg.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539831102885416960/pu/img/FpgzrBBfXTSXQkYg.jpg

2022-06-23T04:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539828617642881024

@blurbot2 This has nothing to do with Fravor's case, which was in daylight.

2022-06-23T04:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539828442614550529

@Cernunnos69 @the_cholla Well again, there is my analysis. What's wrong with it?

2022-06-23T04:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539823742523559938

@Cernunnos69 Thanks, but "totally" wrong on Gimbal? Which bits of my new analysis do you think are wrong? It's can't be "totally" wrong.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-06-22T23:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539755950151675905

RT @RBReich: ExxonMobil's Q1 profits more than doubled from last year.

Instead of easing the burden on consumers at the gas pump, the oilâ¦

2022-06-22T23:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539745190335811584

@BoydMichaelE @thewoody @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench Yeah, but that's nothing at all to do with what we are looking at here. It's an image intensifier. It's mostly intensifying visible light. It can intensify near-IR, but you'd need an IR source. A warm plane would not do it.

It's all lights

2022-06-22T22:47:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539741864227512321
@BoydMichaelE @thewoody @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench What are you saying?

Planes are not black bodies. They are (angularly) small clusters of lights, some on all the time, and some flashing. Which is what we see.

As you know from observing the sky, both planes and stars vary in brightness, for a variety of reasons.

2022-06-22T22:43:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539740839894650882
@thewoody @OwatcherUf @BoydMichaelE @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench Here's on showing stars, moon, and a plane, in and out of focus, with varying apertures.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539740712425496576/pu/img/U3munxzlFJ-tMzwl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539740712425496576/pu/img/U3munxzlFJ-tMzwl.jpg
2022-06-22T22:36:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539739032409714688
Here's a comparison of the star Capella, out of focus, circular aperture, with the star Capella in the Navy UAP video - to show the flickering is similar.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539738970870861826/pu/img/xh0BDQ1R5PsYH1yz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539738970870861826/pu/img/xh0BDQ1R5PsYH1yz.jpg
2022-06-22T22:23:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539735796114108418
@Daily_Sinner @EricRWeinstein Yeah, we'd kind of need someone who would actually do it :)

2022-06-22T22:22:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539735483705503744
@BoydMichaelE @thewoody @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench What do you mean by "Stars are much hotter than the triangles"? The triangles ARE stars, there are no other stars. The little dots of light are image intensifier scintillation noise.

2022-06-22T21:53:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539728358296104960
@6diego32 @OwatcherUf @Bobbybigrig @19Nimbus @GuardProsper @DavidJayB @bradjohansson21 I don't think they are all drones. I think there's ONE that might be a drone, but is probably a plane. The rest are all identified and verifiable stars.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1539727980380819456

2022-06-22T21:52:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539727980380819456
@6diego32 @Bobbybigrig @19Nimbus @GuardProsper @DavidJayB @bradjohansson21 Lots of dots, which match stars in position and relative magnitude.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539727902538838016/pu/img/tljmZhiI3Fi5Lpb3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539727902538838016/pu/img/tljmZhiI3Fi5Lpb3.jpg
2022-06-22T21:38:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539724540376252416
@AlgolAluvaia @ufouapam @travisstaylor1 They would look like very blurry triangles with rounded-off corners.

2022-06-22T21:06:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539716426033790976
@19Nimbus @6diego32 @GuardProsper @DavidJayB @bradjohansson21 He we see the traverse right up to where it passes Okab.

Note Altair, which appears in the longer version of the video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539716230591918080/pu/img/fsJF_k5e0ilNRafJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539716230591918080/pu/img/fsJF_k5e0ilNRafJ.jpg
2022-06-22T21:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539716066439376896

@19Nimbus @6diego32 @GuardProsper @DavidJayB @bradjohansson21 The stars have been verified in a variety of ways. By traversal from Jupiter for one, that was last year

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4rglhVsAAMZMf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4rglhVsAAMZMf.jpg

2022-06-22T20:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539712495924813824

@19Nimbus @6diego32 @GuardProsper @DavidJayB @bradjohansson21 The bright triangle is moving. The star (Okab) is not.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539712438085398528/pu/img/gNr2J4k5vZLNCgGR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539712438085398528/pu/img/gNr2J4k5vZLNCgGR.jpg
2022-06-22T20:33:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539708148130279424
@ChrisSPITZER7 @blaq_ty It is what I think.

2022-06-22T20:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539706867730882566
@ChrisSPITZER7 @blaq_ty This is generally because those cases are: "this needs more information," and not "the information demonstrates something amazing".

Show me something amazing, and I'd be happy to talk about it. Shakey blobs, not so much.

2022-06-22T20:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539705932787027968
@Blackmetalphoto Now that would be entertaining.

2022-06-22T20:07:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539701716643225600
@AdmiralQuality Oh, I'm all about investigating and resolving cases. But another perspective can be both a catalyst and a foil.

2019-11-06T22:18:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192204632807788544
@UFO_Researcher @zcichy @RealWJRucker @ExogenesisHH Yeah I can't remember where it was. But I recall going out and shining a flashlight though some trees.
That'll teach me not just debunk on obscure corners of Facebook. It just gets lost.

2019-11-06T21:57:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192199398505115649
@zcichy @UFO_Researcher @RealWJRucker @ExogenesisHH It's some bright lights behind some trees. I remember discussing it somewhere.

2019-11-06T21:52:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192198142877286400
@BollerGl @try_thinking @Crimsontide722 @PaulGMyers1 @LaikaAndYuri @bigfootRULE @tuxlinuxien @Kompromat9 @earthisaglobe @AfrikPinocchio @NEERAJ____EARTH @CptnCrutch5373 @Macker202 @FirmamentDome @joedftfd @imkimaaron @flatearthvvip @EdConwayNZ @FlatEartherMatt @Msimisi00815216 @KeithAd92926261 @captscorch @AstroYogi101 @LulPepega @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @ResistanceBlue1 @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 @maurice50714375 He joined for about a month as a joke.

2019-11-06T21:51:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192197958755741696

@BollerGl @Crimsontide722 @PaulGMyers1 @LaikaAndYuri @bigfootRULE @tuxlinuxien @Kompromat9 @earthisaglobe @AfrikPinocchio @NEERAJ____EARTH @CptnCrutch5373 @Macker202 @try_thinking @FirmamentDome @joedftfd @imkimaaron @flatearthvvip @EdConwayNZ @FlatEartherMatt @Msimisi00815216 @KeithAd92926261 @captscorch @AstroYogi101 @LulPepega @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @ResistanceBlue1 @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 @maurice50714375 I said I'd heard of him, but not in the context you mentioned. To be honest his channel is a bit too out there for me - stuff that's so extreme often goes undebunked just because it's hard to imagine people taking it seriously.
Of course some people do.

2019-11-06T17:48:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192136569131425792
@donilo252525 @mojobaal That's a fascinating exercise in reverse projection: "A rational person would be able to separate the World Trade Center from the Plasco incident, but apparently demolition deniers saw Plasco as a threat to their ideology "

2019-11-06T16:41:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192119757908004864
@thegreendecoy @michaelshermer Millions of people are genuinely 9/11 Truthers. The extent varies, but it's not at all uncommon.

2019-11-06T16:40:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1192119506530791424
@ButchMcbain @michaelshermer I carefully examine, evaluate, and test it, and see if it holds up to scrutiny.

What do you do?

2019-11-06T05:15:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191947195056107520
@BollerGl @coj4444 Perhaps you should talk to some black people about this. Get their take on the topic?

2019-11-06T04:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191934069124284416
@BollerGl This theory you mentioned, of discrediting FE by association it with certain people, who came up with it?

2019-11-06T04:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191931993296134145
@thegreendecoy @michaelshermer There are millions.

2019-11-06T04:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191930003845312512

RT @langstonhimes: Black folk, find this book. In this wild world of misinformation, black Americans and other POCs need discernment and crâ¦

2019-11-05T15:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191733768001732609

@Agent_W_Wallace @MachinesSekret @Jennasie2 @AndCryptids I mean the video is taken form underneath the cloud, looking up, so it looks different to your photo.

2019-11-05T00:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191512395455139841

@Agent_W_Wallace @MachinesSekret @Jennasie2 @AndCryptids Go stand underneath it, and look up.

2019-11-04T20:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191456948987674625

@captscorch @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 The coastguard has visibility tables that are essentially that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjnlq_U8AAwGRG.jpg

---

GEOGRAPHIC RANGE TABLE

The following table gives the approximate geographic range of visibility for an object which may be seen by an observer at sea level. It is necessary to add to the distance for the height of any object the distance corresponding to the height of the observer's eye above sea level.

Height Feet / Meters	Distance Nautical Miles (NM)	Height Feet / Meters	Distance Nautical Miles (NM)	Height Feet / Meters	Distance Nautical Miles (NM)
5/1.5	2.6	70/21.3	9.8	250/76.2	18.5
10/3.1	3.7	75/22.9	10.1	300/91.4	20.3
15/4.6	4.5	80/24.4	10.5	350/106.7	21.9
20/6.1	5.2	85/25.9	10.8	400/121.9	23.4
25/7.6	5.9	90/27.4	11.1	450/137.2	24.8
30/9.1	6.4	95/29.0	11.4	500/152.4	26.2
35/10.7	6.9	100/30.5	11.7	550/167.6	27.4
40/12.2	7.4	110/33.5	12.3	600/182.9	28.7
45/13.7	7.8	120/36.6	12.8	650/198.1	29.8
50/15.2	8.3	130/39.6	13.3	700/213.4	31.0
55/16.8	8.7	140/42.7	13.8	800/243.8	33.1
60/18.3	9.1	150/45.7	14.3	900/274.3	35.1
65/19.8	9.4	200/61.0	16.5	1000/304.8	37.0

Example: Determine the geographic visibility of an object, with a height above water of 65 feet, for an observer with a height of eyeof 35 feet.

Enter above table;

Height of object 65 feet= 9.4 NM
Height of observer 35 feet= 6.9 NM
Computed geographic visibility= 16.3 NM

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjnlq_U8AAwGRG.jpg

2019-11-04T20:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191455729003061248

@captscorch @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron

@NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Similar approximations are used. But usually with refraction factored in.

2019-11-04T19:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191440392199139328

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 So where should I go on your Discord server?

2019-11-04T19:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191437366482784256

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 I mean it's not a game for me. I'm in favor of people living a more reality-driven life, so I try to help people understand the world. I'm not trying to "win" some "game".

2019-11-04T19:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191436345727578112

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_

@citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Is there some law that says level means horizontal? The dictionary says one definition is: "conforming to the curvature of the liquid parts of the earth's surface"

WHY do you disagree with that dictionary definition?

2019-11-04T19:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191435363924922368

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 I don't play games.

2019-11-04T19:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191435094134673410

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 I just mentioned it.

Do you understand what the conventional model of the world is? You understand that it's roughly a globe, with gravity pointing towards the middle of the globe?

You think that some dictionary definitions are at odd with this model? But the books are not?

2019-11-04T19:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191433496071327746

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Sure, locally that's true. Like with the float glass example.

2019-11-04T19:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191432980650065920

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Sure, if I can figure out how to use Discord. I'm an old man.

2019-11-04T19:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191430788987777034

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 The Wallace method is quite simple, and old. What don't you understand about it?

2019-11-04T19:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191430387030876160

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_

@citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Your own reference includes both true level and apparent level, 1a and 1b here:
https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/level
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjPkQKUcAQoiBL.jpg



Definition of *level* (Entry 3 of 3)

1 a : having no part higher than another : conforming to the curvature of the liquid parts of the earth's surface

b : parallel with the plane of the horizon : HORIZONTAL

2 a : even or unvarying in height

b : equal in advantage, progression, or standing

c : proceeding monotonously or uneventfully

d (1) : STEADY, UNWAVERING
// gave him a *level* look

(2) : CALM, UNEXCITED
// spoke in *level* tones

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjPkQKUcAQoiBL.jpg
2019-11-04T18:59:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191429801086578694
@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 What do you mean "no one else"? It's discussed in every single book that discusses leveling out there.

2019-11-04T18:56:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191429139254804480
@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216

@earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 And I use old books as they are harder to fake, but of course, it's the same thing as taught in college now: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjOfD3UcAY1ZjJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjOfD3UcAY1ZjJ.jpg
2019-11-04T18:54:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191428494900654080
@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 This is a particularly clear explanation: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjN241UcAMkbRy.jpg

CHAPTER VII.

LEVELLING AND CONTOURING.

Levelling. Levelling is the art of determining the relative altitudes of points on the Earth's surface.

Were the Earth a true sphere, the altitude of a point might be defined as its distance from the Earth's centre; but, as it is spheroidal in shape, the definition is not exact in a general sense, though it is nearly so as regards a limited area. If a long pipe A B (fig. 146) were laid, from one place to another, with vertical pipes at intervals, and filled with water, the altitude of the water-surfaces in the upright tubes would be equal when the water has come to rest. Further, if a network of



FIG. 146.

pipes were laid over a given area, connected together, and provided with upright pipes, the water-surface in each and every pipe would stand at the same altitude.

A surface passing through all water-surfaces in the several pipes, would be a surface of equal altitude, and would be an approximately spherical surface, whose radius is equal to the mean radius of the earth at the latitude of the place.

If levelling operations were conducted, from pipe to pipe, with perfect accuracy, the difference of the altitude of the water-surface, or water-level, in any two pipes, would be zero. The surface of a still lake is a surface of equal altitude. So, also, would be a surface, passing through 'mean sea level' at various places on the earth.

It is a property of a surface of equal altitude that a plane, tangential to it at any point, will be at right angles to a plumb-line, suspended at that point.

If the plumb-line be deflected from its normal position, for instance by the attraction of some large mountain, as sometimes happens, the plane of equal altitude will be deflected also.

The effect of the mountain mass, would be to produce local elevation in the surface of equal altitude (*vide* fig. 147, dotted lines), thus reducing the apparent height of the summit, above the general mean surface, in the neighbourhood thereof. But if a tunnel were driven through the mountain perfectly level, its invert would exactly satisfy the conditions of a line of equal altitudes as before

described, and it would be *level* from a hydrostatic point of view, as well as from that of the engine-driver.

The Level. The levelling instrument, in its essential parts, consists of a telescope with a horizontal wire accurately centred in the optical axis (*vide* Chapter on Instruments), thus affording a line of collimation, and two vertical wires to define a perpendicular portion of the field of view. A spirit-level is attached to the telescope, and it is so adjusted that, when the bubble is at the centre of its run, the line of collimation is horizontal, or in other words at right angles to a plumb-line suspended from the instrument.



FIG. 147.

Levelling operations. To ascertain the difference of altitude (more commonly called the 'difference of level'), between two points, A and B (fig. 148), not far apart, it is only necessary to set up the instrument at one point A, adjust it so that the line of collimation is horizontal, direct it to a graduated staff at B, and read the height h at which the cross-wire cuts the staff.

Then, measuring the height of the centre of the telescope h above the point A, the difference between h and h_1 is the approximate 'difference level' between the points A and B.



FIG. 148.

If h_1 is greater than h, then B is lower than A, if less, then A is lower than B.

This simple procedure would give for any two points, not more than one or two hundred feet apart, a practically accurate result, provided that the instrument were in perfect adjustment.

Effect of Curvature of the Earth on Levelling. For long distances, however, this procedure is inaccurate, on account of the curvature of the 'line of equal altitudes,' otherwise called the 'curvature of the earth.'

Let A C (fig. 149) be the curved line of equal altitudes, an arc of a circle, then B C is the true difference in level between the points A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjNvWvU0AAnx3w.jpg

and B. Draw A E parallel to I D, the horizontal line of collimation of the instrument, when it is evident that the apparent difference of level between A and B as given by deducting h from h_1 is in excess of the true difference E C, due to the 'curvature of the earth.' This excess or error amounts to about 8″·0 or 0·67 feet in one mile, and increases as the square of the distance.



FIG. 149.

For ten miles it would be $0{\cdot}67 \text{ feet} \times 10^2 = 67 \text{ feet}$.

For one-tenth of a mile, or 528 feet, it would be $0{\cdot}67 \times \frac{1}{10^2} = 0{\cdot}0067$ feet only. This error would, however, be reduced by about one-sixth to one-seventh of its amount by 'refraction,' which raises the apparent position of a distant object, to an extent which is somewhat irregular. (See table K, p. 226.)

The error due to curvature, is not serious in a *single* sight taken at a distance compatible with the optical power of the telescope of an ordinary levelling instrument, but if one were to attempt to determine the difference of level of the first and last, of a long series of points, by proceeding successively in the manner above described for two points, the error would be cumulative, always tending in the same direction, and the final total error would be serious.

Elimination of Curvature and Refraction. It is, however, possible to eliminate completely all errors due to curvature and refraction.

To this end it is only necessary to plant the level, not directly over one of the points, whose difference of level is to be determined, but midway between the two (fig. 150).



FIG. 150.

It is evident that by so doing, the error due to curvature and refraction will be equal in both directions, and that the difference between the two staff-readings will be the true difference between the levels of the two points A and B.

The effects of both curvature and refraction are thus *entirely* eliminated, and need no further consideration.

The line of equal altitudes so determined may be treated as a truly level line, even in the most extensive levelling operations.

The above is the usual practice in levelling operations, and involves no error as regards the physical results.

If a railway were set out in this manner, and accurately level, the top of the rails would be a curved line, concave to the centre of the earth. In a length of a few miles, this curvature would be very perceptible, but nevertheless a railway carriage on the line would not tend to move in one direction or the other, for the rails would at all points be at right angles to the direction of the plumb-line, that is to say, to the direction of the force of gravity.

Elimination of Collimation Error. Another, and not less important merit of the midway position of the level is, that it eliminates completely, the effect of instrumental errors in collimation adjustment.

For example, suppose that the line of collimation is not parallel to the axis of the spirit-level, but makes some fixed angle θ therewith, thus (fig. 151).

It is evident that, when the instrument is exactly equidistant between the two staves S and S_1, the errors in reading $d \tan \theta$, are equal and opposite in direction, and therefore produce no error in the determination of the difference of level of



FIG. 151.

the points A and B. Provided always that the bubble remains, or is brought to the middle point, or to any one position in its run, when reading the staff at the two equidistant points, A and B.

Procedure to Obtain the Difference of Level between two points. The following is the procedure to obtain the difference of level between two points, A and X, separated by a considerable distance. Let A be the starting point whose altitude or 'level' (above a selected datum) is known, or has at least some arbitrary or rational value assigned to it. Place the staff on the initial point A (fig. 152), and set up the instrument at a point I, so that whilst the graduations of the staff, held at A, can be distinctly read, as well as those of the staff held at some second point B (equidistant, or nearly so, from I), the position of the level can also be fixed and recorded, if necessary. With the level bubble in the centre of its run take readings on the staff at A and B respectively, when the difference of these readings will give the differences of level between the stations A and B. Next, whilst still holding the staff at B, remove the instrument to some point I_2, about midway between B and the next selected station C. Read the staff at B and C respectively as before, which gives the difference of level between B and C. Proceeding in a similar manner with the staff held at C, D, E, F, &c., and the instrument erected at I_3, I_4 . . . . &c., it is

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjN241UcAMkbRy.jpg

2019-11-04T18:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191428029542617089

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip

@KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Not a lot, but yes. When surveyors talk about leveling, that's what they mean - keeping things relative to the local direction of gravity. I've gathered many references here: https://www.metabunk.org/curvature-and-refraction-in-surveying-and-leveling-through-history-old-books-etc.t8856/

2019-11-04T18:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191427435956301831

@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Cool, let's set up a time. Are you free later today? I'm on Pacific time. DM me, or email mick@mickwest.com.

2019-11-04T18:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191426509040283648

@try_thinking @floyd_marston @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 On small scales, like a few tens of feet, there's almost no difference between level and horizontal. You know glass is made flat by floating molten glass on molten tin. So it's actually very slightly curved. But you can do the math and over 20 feet it's only 0.0001 inches.

2019-11-04T18:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191424146720210945

@try_thinking @joedftfd @BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe

@FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Depends on what sense of the word you use. Like many words, "level" has different meanings depending on context.
But here, "level" means the surface equidistant from the center of the Earth, and "horizontal" is the tangent plane, sometimes referred to as "apparent level"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EljJ8QAUcAAXCvO.jpg

LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CHAPTER VIII.

LEVELING.

Two or more points are said to be on a level, when they are equally distant from the center of the earth, or when they are equally distant from a tranquil fluid, situated immediately below them. A level surface on the earth, is nearly spherical, *and is not a plane;* it is everywhere *perpendicular to a plumb line.*

Any small portion of a true level surface, cannot be distinguished from a plane; and, therefore, when observations are taken in respect to level, within short distances of each other, the spherical form of the earth is disregarded, and the level treated as a plane. But when any considerable portion of surface is taken into account, the curvature of the earth's surface must be considered.

The apparent level, at any point on the earth, is a tangent plane, touching the earth at that point only, and the true level is below this, and the distance below, depends on the distance from the tangent point.



Let T be any point on the surface of the earth, at right angles to the plumb line from this point is the plane or apparent level ATB; but the true level, or the surface of standing water, would be the curved surface GTH.

The distance AG, depends on the distance AT, and the radius of the earth CG or CT.

From G, draw GD, at right angles to AC; then the two triangles ATC, AGD, are equiangular and similar, and give the proportion

$$CT : TA :: DG : GA.$$

Practically.—TA is a very short distance compared to CT, for TA, the distance within which we can take observations, is never more than two or three miles, while the distance CT is near 4000 miles; therefore, CT is nearly equal to CA, consequently, DA is nearly equal to DG, so near that we shall call it equal.

Observe that $TD = DG$; hence $DG = \frac{1}{2}TA$.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjJ8QAUcAAXCvO.jpg

2019-11-04T18:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191416638576283648
@whoopsitsflat @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Yes, because the air gets less dense as you go higher. This creates a slight downwards refractive index gradient, light bends towards the denser air, and so your line of sight curves slightly around the geometric horizon. It varies with the weather.

2019-11-04T18:00:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191415035202924544
@floyd_marston @try_thinking @ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 Neither. It's all wavy.

Water in general is level (equidistance from the center), not horizontal (in the tangent plane of the surface). Of course locally those two things are about the same, what with the Earth being so big.

2019-11-04T17:57:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191414091560022016
@try_thinking @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666

@AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 I do! I prefer the Wallace method. I even had a t-shirt made with the original drawings from this demonstration. I wore it to Mad Mike's Flat Earth Expo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjAqUFU4AEJvFY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIjAqUFU4AEJvFY.jpg
2019-11-04T17:48:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191411794918592513
@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 BollerGl, are you a real person?

Can I interview you? DM me, or email mick@mickwest.com

2019-11-04T17:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191411466093641734

@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 There is. Up is the opposite of down. So the up vector is the exact opposite of the down vector (in cartesian coordinates you'd simply negate the X, Y, and Z). A general "looking up" would be anything above the local horizontal plane at your eyes.

2019-11-04T17:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191410789007024128

@MachinesSekret @Jennasie2 @AndCryptids Iridescent pileus cloud

https://www.google.com/search?q=Iridescent+pileus&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eli9y8zUcAMYuTT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Eli9y8zUcAMYuTT.jpg

2019-11-04T17:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191408531657744384

@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3

@FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 The ant is very close to you, and at a different altitude.

With the examples of curvature, like the Willis tower, the distances are MUCH greater than the differences in altitude, so the curve of the Earth starts to have an effect.

Imagine if the Earth were a sphere...

2019-11-04T17:32:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191407871189118976
@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Right, that's the "down" vector. A vector is direction, like a arrow. If we look in the same direction as that vector, then that's "straight down". But "looking down" is more general. If you "look down" now, at your keyboard, you are not looking exactly straight down, just down.

2019-11-04T17:27:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191406587455275015
@Scribe1969 @AE911Truth A few hours.

2019-11-04T17:25:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191406034507595778
@joedftfd @BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Yeah, it curves away from any tangent plane (the local horizontal plane at any point). That description doesn't really capture

the full curve tho
Really it's simpler to start with the basics. It curves equally around the center (assuming a sphere, which is a good approximation).

2019-11-04T17:21:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191405033922818049
@FlatEartherMatt @BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Which 7,000 follower Twitter accounts are you comparing these numbers with?

2019-11-04T17:20:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191404820978003969
@BollerGl @ethan__tyson @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 That's not what I said. I think you'd agree that the corner of the floor in your room is something you have to look DOWN to? Right? Look over at any corner now. You are looking down at it. That's what I mean by down. Any angle below horizontal.
"Straight down" is something else

2019-11-04T17:04:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191400931759230976
@BollerGl @ResistanceBlue1 @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur

@MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 So are you simply doing it for fun? Do you actually believe what you are saying? Is there a deeper purpose to your continual raising of straw men and red herrings? Trying to get people to question assumptions?

Why are you having this discussion?

2019-11-04T17:03:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191400497233592322
@ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Ï is the ratio between the circumference of a circle and the diameter C = ÏD. It tells you how long the outside of a circle is, not WHERE it is - although you could derive the cartesian formula from this, Ï is not really an equation of a circle.

2019-11-04T16:58:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191399269242007553
@ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 What's going on here? Are you just trolling each other? Is either of you actually serious? Does either of you genuinely want to change the other's mind? Do you actually care about the other?

Why are you having this discussion?

2019-11-04T16:56:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191398820480872450
@BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3

@FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 "Down" varies by location. It's always towards the center of the Earth.
Put another way, it's perpendicular to the surface of the Earth, at any given location.
So from any point above the surface of the Earth, you have to look down to see any point that's at a lower altitude.

2019-11-04T16:50:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191397208383315968
@BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 They are looking down. When you stand on top of the Willis Tower then you have to look down to see anything that's near lake level

---

2019-11-04T16:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1191396627895836672
@ResistanceBlue1 @BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 That's a bit of a sweeping statement, as the Cartesian formula for a circle is:

$$x^2 + y^2 = r^2$$

And a good formula for drop, that gives you a circle, is

$$drop = \sqrt{r^2 - d^2}$$

Both of which involve squaring a number.

2019-11-04T16:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191394893429534720

@BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 Read the entire thing, and look at the diagram to see what the numbers refer to. What are the heights 1, 2, and 3, labeled in the diagram?

2019-11-04T16:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191393334490648582

@BollerGl @Msimisi00815216 @earthisaglobe @FirmamentDome @imkimaaron @NEERAJ____EARTH @flatearthvvip @KeithAd92926261 @ResistanceBlue1 @EdConwayNZ @AstroYogi101 @jayhazy23 @captscorch @joedftfd @bigfootRULE @LulPepega @Macker202 @try_thinking @FlatEarthExpert @tuxlinuxien @QuentinJimenez_ @citizenscienti3 @FlatEartherMatt @Crimsontide722 @Kompromat9 @JonFree43354382 @FLATHORIZON @FightFlat @Beeeelzebub888 @icread37 @BallDropped @gatekeepermd @ethan__tyson @Flaffenbam @riot____grrl @Mctoon27 @GEIST_VIEW @ni_c0de_mus @Shadowtooth2 @baaaaayK @LaikaAndYuri @Brainshaker1961 @maxsec @Nikocari1 @RiderOfDinosaur @MrBozo666 @AitorCM2018 @math_panto @SherillRogers14 @AceTrades1 The 8" per miles square is an approximate formula for drop, not visibility. As explained previously.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIit63-U4AES5UM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elit63-U4AES5UM.jpg

2019-11-04T16:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191392084302823426

@ExploitingNiche Sometimes what looks like a contrail shadow can actually be a contrail itself, just in shadow - like a dark cloud.

2019-11-04T16:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191391733860298755

@ExploitingNiche These seem to match, and show the angles are shallow. It's 3D, so can be quite a complex setup with some contrails out of frame, and still casting a shadow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElisHt-VAAIp248.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIisHt-VAAIp248.jpg

2019-11-04T16:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1191385600839974912

Architects and Engineers for 9/11 truth notes that tall buildings don't often collapse from fire & the 9/11 collapses looked odd.

When a tall building in Iran collapsed from fire, looking like 9/11, @AE911Truth was forced to add that to the conspiracy.

https://www.metabunk.org/ae911-truth-forced-to-claim-plasco-collapse-is-an-inside-job.t8339/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elim1IYUYAAlLrC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Elim1IYUYAAlLrC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ElimR65UUAApSwP.jpg

2019-11-03T05:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1190861962646675457

@jTooShygrimsley Lots of things make light pulsate, but it breaks down to variations in either the light source or the light path.

Most UFOs remain unidentified. I don't "do" anything about that.

What's the "more information" you are referring to that you think I'm not addressing?

2019-11-03T03:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1190840550133358592

@FiskarsAmericas Will do, thanks. These were Fiskars 8 Inch Titanium Nitride Shop Shears

(171750-1001) which I bought on Amazon in 2013.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIa12cMW4AE3lxd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIa12cMW4AE3lxd.jpg

2019-11-02T20:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1190730153334194176

In #TFTRH 28 I talk to prodigious skeptical podcaster Brian Dunning about his show (Skeptoid, with 700 episodes), his latest book (Conspiracies Declassified), and his upcoming documentary: Science Friction.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yk9C4XkCMD8

2019-11-02T19:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1190719846742253569

I'm normally a fan of @FiskarsAmericas's bladed products, so I was rather surprised when their fancy titanium coated scissors exploded in my hand as I was attempting to cut some @GorillaGlue tape. Narrowly missed slicing my finger open!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIZHGyeW4AE1s-j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EIZHGyeW4AE1s-j.jpg
2019-10-30T16:59:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1189587526329090048
@connor_rath Multiple experts disagree.
https://www.nytimes.com/2019/10/30/nyregion/jeffrey-epstein-homicide-autopsy-michael-baden.html

---

2019-10-29T23:05:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1189317379303657473
@CoderPW Fuller original video here. Condensation.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zSVT5xAPGDg

2019-10-29T23:04:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1189317020824866821
@CoderPW Reddit seems to agree.
https://www.reddit.com/r/AskPhysics/comments/cg5chs/will_hidroituango_become_the_next_tacoma_narrows/

2019-10-29T23:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1189316510612938752
@CoderPW Maybe not dust. Looks more like condensation, from standing wave low pressure.

2019-10-29T22:59:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1189315751670403075
@CoderPW I'd suspect an air-pressure related thing. Looks like the dust is being caught up in some kind of standing wave. Given that it's under construction (or a mine) perhaps blasting, or

maybe strong external winds or pressure differentials.
The wormhole explanation is nonsense.

2019-10-24T17:01:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187413925844799488
@naoehogui How does it misrepresent it? Did you read it?

2019-10-24T14:19:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187373107188654080
@SwavZielin It's the most trusted name in news. And the least.
https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/fox-news-is-most-and-least-trusted-cable-news-source-in-america-poll

---

2019-10-24T14:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187372704501886976
@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar It's not like I don't understand the "UFO's represent advanced technology, possibly aliens" concept. It's not that complicated.

I simply disagree with reaching that conclusion from the available evidence - which I've looked at in depth.

2019-10-24T14:16:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187372181207969792
@JoLMassey @tabbysstar @Asgeir16813191 The problem here is that there are still some people who think the Billy Meier videos are genuine UFOs. I was looking into THAT. It has no reflection on more mainstream UFOlogy. Obviously Commander Fravor did not see a model dangling from a string.

2019-10-24T14:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187371238143885312
Fox News does not appear to be taking Tom DeLong entirely seriously.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHpjrkHUcAAiXz_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHpjrkHUcAAiXz_.jpg

2019-10-24T13:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1187361335824113666

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar That is not a comment I made.

It sounds more like what other people have said I've said. Misrepresenting or misunderstand me (or both)

It's really just math, and not very complicated.

2019-10-24T13:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1187356937223933954

@tabbysstar @Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey I like talking to people. I'm genuinely trying to bring everyone closer to the truth though. I'm not toying with people.

2019-10-24T13:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1187356651180851200

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar They are evidence that I've done things other than

"comment obtusely on UFO." You said you were lacking this evidence. So here it is.
For example, here's once where I very directly show the math behind some analysis. Not obtuse at all.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M&feature=youtu.be

2019-10-24T13:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187354818014171136
@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar What about all these videos I made?
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2019-10-24T13:04:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187354291679318016
RT @michaelshermer: The absence of evidence is evidence of absence:
@Snowden reveals that in all the deep state government archives he acceâ¦

2019-10-24T13:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187353973159649280
@priestwillis And finding nothing ....

2019-10-24T12:59:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187352999963705345
@JoLMassey @Asgeir16813191 @tabbysstar I don't have any income. I'm retired. And there's really nothing in my book about UFOs.

This isn't cynicism, it's skepticism. I just don't see any evidence that TTSA have anything of significance. They do have TruClear, but that's really just people connecting.

2019-10-24T02:55:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187200893202026497
@JJDoe78 Itâ□□s 1967

2019-10-23T23:39:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187151504869711872
@JoLMassey @Asgeir16813191 @tabbysstar Is there one that you think I should not be skeptical of?

I don't doubt that people see things.

2019-10-23T21:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1187121898372526080
@JoLMassey @Asgeir16813191 @tabbysstar Iâ□□ve not dismissed your experience. I know nothing about it.

2019-10-22T23:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1186792785552109569
@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar Thanks for your feedback. I'm still not sure what this all has to do with the cool effect though.

When I posted it, it had nothing at all to do with UFOs, so I was a bit surprised that people made some kind of connection.

And I stand by my previous comments.

2019-10-22T22:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186776009988694017

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar Can you give a specific example, quoting something I said?

2019-10-22T22:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186768998236508162

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar Erm, it's not like this is a particularly common effect. How many times have you seen it?

And why do you care what I think? What do you think that I should think instead?

2019-10-22T22:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186768532370935808

@JoLMassey @Asgeir16813191 @tabbysstar My take is that the Army is interested in active camouflage, and TTSA facilitated connection with TruClear. Then TTSA wrote a bunch of fluff in the CRADA.

2019-10-22T21:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186750667584524288

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar And I'm not sure what you mean by: "How can you be at your age and discover things like this just now." This is an interesting phenomenon I'd never seen before. I thought it would be fun to share. Lots of other people had never seen it before.

2019-10-22T21:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186750156923920384

@Asgeir16813191 @JoLMassey @tabbysstar Was there something specific I got wrong?

2019-10-22T14:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186655399182647297

@JoLMassey @tabbysstar Did you watch the video? It's just a cool atmospheric effect.

Sure it LOOKS like a glitch in reality, but that's what makes it fun.

2019-10-22T04:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186495666974937088

RT @patstokes: Donald Trump has so many pseudonyms they have their own Wikipedia page.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pseudonyms_of_Donald_Trump

https://twitter.com/GovMikeHuckabee/status/1186303006087827458

2019-10-22T03:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186487911169941505
@DisclosureTv_ What do you think?

2019-10-22T00:48:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1186444077828493312
@stephenjjohnson Not exactly, as the pencil changes direction on either side. This is just a highly localized up then down in refractive index. Light bends towards the higher pressure air.

It's more like a thin glass rod held vertically in front of you, but squished flat. Except kinda one-way

---

2019-10-22T00:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1186442109991055361
@DarkstarIE And more specifically:
https://twitter.com/Michael812/status/1186426908352765952

2019-10-22T00:38:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1186441610910810112
@DisclosureTv_ Of course UFO's are real. How could anyone debunk that? I saw a UFO just the other day.

What I try my best at is identifying and explaining individual cases - or at least coming up with some good hypotheses.

Like, I think this one is just a plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHcWH2jU8AAgk2r.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHcWH2jU8AAgk2r.jpg
2019-10-22T00:35:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1186440996575305728
@tabbysstar Er no. It's just a cool thing.

You know the whole world isn't UFO's. Sometimes I post on other topics.

2019-10-21T22:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186412921699483653

@tabbysstar Seriously.

2019-10-21T22:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186410977115299840

Viewed at the correct angle, the shockwave over the wing of a plane can look like a rip in the fabric of reality.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=icLNtF_ehwo

2019-10-21T22:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186409040034721794

@DarkstarIE This has zero to do with the FLIR videos. Different topic entirely.

2019-10-21T22:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186408856043155456

@SternShark The clue there is "Army ground vehicles"

Which of the listed technologies there has capabilities specific to Army ground vehicles?

Active camouflage. That's all.

2019-10-21T13:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186278086968365060

@PstarmanUa Yeah, it's specifically trying to replicate the Meier "pendulum" video.

(Which is funny, calling a video the mundane explanation, kinda like "gimbal" :))

2019-10-21T00:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186071241662189569

@jTooShygrimsley Why are you posting this?

2019-10-21T00:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186071003253768192

@jTooShygrimsley I see two orange lights. That pulsate slightly, seemingly behind some trees. They seem connected in brightness. Video is jerky like a timelapse. I'd first suspect it's the sun. But I have very little information.

So what is it then?

2019-10-20T23:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186068168470478848

@jTooShygrimsley Two orange lights? What did they do?

You obviously can't tell what it is. I can't either, based on that tiny video snippet. That does not make either of us idiots.

2019-10-20T23:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186067807277993985

@UFO_Researcher And why would it be large? It's a point of light.

2019-10-20T23:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186067650935308288

@UFO_Researcher I can't tell what that object is, and if it's connected in some other way.

2019-10-20T23:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186067469644906496

@Rett94291220 Michael Horn emails me, which is what prompted this little foray.

2019-10-20T23:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186064891448184834

Going for a less dramatic look. They sure had bad movie cameras in the olden days.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW_UyLUYAAOd6M.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW_UyLUYAAOd6M.jpg

2019-10-20T23:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186064397724086273

@UFO_Researcher The ice just moves in a straight line. The Space shuttle changes velocity/rotation because they are doing thruster tests. Camera is fixed to the Space Shuttle, so it looks like the ice is changing direction. But it's not.

2019-10-20T23:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186061133448265728

@thedrunkinmonk That's not a tea kettle. I went with a lighter and smaller contraption. A paper bowl with a bit of steel bar for mass. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHW8GvsVAAAya5p.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHW8GvsVAAAya5p.jpg

2019-10-20T23:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186058654350376960

@UFO_Researcher A rotating flake of ice.

2019-10-20T23:16:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186058560339243008

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW5BORU0AIa-oR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW5BORU0AIa-oR.jpg

2019-10-20T23:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186058427660787714

@buffcommacu Work in progress. I actually got a hummingbird in another shot.

2019-10-20T23:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186057081180180481

Just need some less reflective line, and a worse camera, and I'm in business!

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW4IpcVUAAr8BA.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EHW4IpcVUAAr8BA.jpg

2019-10-20T21:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1186025544015466496

Spending a fun Sunday afternoon replicating antique UFO videos. It's actually quite hard to make them that bad. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHWb8R5UcAApN7y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHWb8R5UcAApN7y.jpg

2019-10-20T15:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185941313591468040

@ExogenesisHH @Deepneuron @EngagingThe @SethShostak @USNavy @GarryPNolan Sure, go for it.

2019-10-20T15:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185935079916199936

@ExogenesisHH @Deepneuron @EngagingThe @SethShostak @USNavy @GarryPNolan Not really. The point is that ACADEMIA is not interested, presumably because they don't think it's really a good use of time. You don't have to persuade Shostak, you have to persuade scientists and researchers.

2019-10-20T14:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185930295939256320

@ExogenesisHH @Deepneuron @EngagingThe @SethShostak @USNavy @GarryPNolan I think he actually said it would be a worthwhile project for a graduate student to take on. Just nobody is really interested.

2019-10-20T13:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185909115891023874

@ddeanjohnson @RodrigoLVieira1 @PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord You jest, but canopy and windshield reflections are actually a significant issue in the military - one they spend money on.

Of course this agreement is about ground vehicles, so it's probably just the active camouflage from Truclear. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHUx73hUYAAdsYF.jpg

---



Figure 6. Approaches to eliminate reflections.

14

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHUx73hUYAAdsYF.jpg

2019-10-20T13:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185906769698050053

@Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord Sure, what has likely happened is that TTSA signed up Truclear Global with the understanding that they would connect them with the government to attempt to market their projection technology for use as active camouflage. That's why it's the "ground vehicles" division.

2019-10-20T08:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185840256727175168

@MurkinDream @lithiumminer1 @1Cracker4 @POTUS Humor explains it.

2019-10-20T04:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185781637604241408

@MurkinDream @lithiumminer1 @1Cracker4 @POTUS Aerodynamic contrails

---

2019-10-19T22:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185679007666167808

@alsniewaddan @PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord No. Think about whatâ□□s actually happening.

2019-10-19T13:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185549893168967680

@Nickelderberry @Rett94291220 @Erwal4 @PhaseUfo @PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord everything?

2019-10-19T12:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185533670020603904

@Erwal4 @PhaseUfo @Nickelderberry @PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord Although. The only thing ground-vehicle related is â□□active camouflageâ□□. Thatâ□□s the projection advertising company they signed a deal with. TTSA probably tacked the rest on.

2019-10-19T12:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185528317866381317

RT @blackvaultcom: NEW documents released to The Black Vault by the U.S. Air Force and the Navy shed more light on "Unidentified Aerial Pheâ□¦

2019-10-19T04:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185415139954216960

@PostDisclosure @WilsonAdmiral @SilvaRecord Itâ□□s basically the army lab going: â□□sure, weâ□□ll take a look, good practice, send it overâ□□

2019-10-19T00:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185357753033904129

@BrianDunning @snopes Here, you can photoshop me in.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHM8l1ZWoAI5qfm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHM8l1ZWoAI5qfm.jpg

2019-10-18T01:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1185007012767289345

@TheoryQed @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @DarkstarIE @ChiefofTruth Also not confirmed by 4.

2019-10-16T23:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184607624215420928

@PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @DarkstarIE @ChiefofTruth Of course you can compare them. That what you do with different things.

The F-18s were right there. So would have got a much better lock, all things being equal. So maybe that cancels out done if the power/size issues.

2019-10-16T18:22:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184534994879946753

@TamBrewer1 @khirinknight @Trutherbotanyo2 Those are static wicks

---

2019-10-16T14:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184482083000864768

@TamBrewer1 @Trutherbotanyo2 Notice how low your examples are, and how high the contrails are.

Superficially resembling something does not mean it is that thing.

2019-10-16T14:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184481627809832960

@Trutherbotanyo2 @TamBrewer1 Patents are real, but it does not mean the thing being patented works, or is in use. Thereâ□□s patents for houses on the Moon.

2019-10-16T14:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184481354127269890

@TamBrewer1 @Trutherbotanyo2 Aluminum oxide is sand. It is not flammable.

Oxides are generally non-flammable because combustion in the air is OXIDATION. You canâ□□t oxidize an oxide.

Also, itâ□□s not being sprayed.

2019-10-16T13:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184457426197606400

@TamBrewer1 @Trutherbotanyo2 Sure they do. It's called "pendules", and it's caused by the irregularities in the interacting wake vortices. Aka "crow instability"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHAJtXoUYAAWB62.jpg



This contrail developed pendules, or elements of sinking air akin to mammato-cumulus (see p. 41).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EHAJtXoUYAAWB62.jpg

2019-10-15T23:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184252594039836672

@JLuvin @SilvaRecord @PostDisclosure So my alternative hypotheses are, roughly

1) False returns from cirrus clouds

2) False returns from something else

3) Some military thing, like balloons or drones.

4) software or hardware glitches.

2019-10-15T19:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184183549651369984

@DarkstarIE @ChiefofTruth I think you are actually referring to their reactions to the Gimbal or Go-Fast footage. Nothing is moving in the Flir1 footage, other than the object getting further away.

Are you referring to the two "experts", inset here at 0:39?

https://youtu.be/PLyEO0jNt6M?t=39

2019-10-15T18:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184181156494708736

@Oswald1160 @DarkstarIE @ChiefofTruth Yeah, the radar recollections (we don't actually have

data) don't match the eyewitness recollections. Radar recalls something at 20,000. Fravor flies there, and sees something within a few miles but at 1,000 feet. Radar shows vertical movement, Fravor says horizontal fly-off.

2019-10-15T18:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184169630643834881

@ChiefofTruth @DarkstarIE He saw something that looked like featureless object from several miles away at first. So what was that?

2019-10-15T17:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184165149206204416

@DarkstarIE @ChiefofTruth No.

I said that IF there were actually multiple different white objects that Fravor saw during his encounter (and mistook for the same object, IF he didn't have continuous eyes-on), it's POSSIBLE that, briefly, one might have been a seagull.

Feel free to explain why not

2019-10-15T17:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184163829854289930

@JLuvin @SilvaRecord @PostDisclosure Can you quote exactly what Kevin said about that?

2019-10-15T17:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1184163548366163968

@MarkTorana @JChurchRadio Well, if one plane was twice the size of the other, then it could be twice as high, and look the same. Or just 10% bigger and 10% higher. It only takes a few hundred feet to make the difference.

2019-10-15T03:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1183952452128657409

@MarkTorana @JChurchRadio Probably at different altitudes. Try looking them up on FlightRadar24.

https://www.flightradar24.com

2019-10-15T03:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1183951713645948928

@IBKING46 @ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure It was hardly the only straw, nor was it one I'd put anywhere near the top of the list for explaining what Fravor saw.

2019-10-11T21:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182770295708377088

@TDBrigham And the analysis based on verbal descriptions is pointless overkill.

2019-10-11T21:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182768829509718016

@TDBrigham They say it does not loose lock, but it does. So everything else is wrong.

2019-10-11T18:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182728062456086528

Cool data visualization of land area vs population in political demographics.

https://t.co/ccwFVL2bze

2019-10-11T09:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182592706255581184

RT @markgfh: The @DailyMailUK vaccines campaign is to be welcomed unequivocally -- not least as those who have changed their minds on an isâ¦

2019-10-11T08:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182573818784538626

@Fractal_Stuff Itâ□□s a measure of interest in the topic.

2019-10-11T08:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182568351085682689

@JLuvin @TheZignal I have. Heâ□□s not an expert.

2019-10-10T17:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182353113946054656

@Fibonacci222 Only with cables. Which is not what happened.

2019-10-10T17:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182350440144355328

RT @michaelshermer: I'm talking conspiracies & conspiracy theories with @MickWest on his Tales From the Rabbit Hole podcast. Mick is one ofâ¦

2019-10-10T17:40:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182350250666672129

@Fibonacci222 It's pretty common usage on many fields. "Pull the show" "pull the funding" etc https://www.google.com/search?q="pull+the+funding"

2019-10-10T16:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182334565118906368

@Fibonacci222 Question: are you going to bring up "pull it" in the future? Do you think the dictionary definition is an adequate explanation?

2019-10-10T16:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182334312152100864

@leastactionman IDW isn't really my cup of tea. I understand some of the motivation behind bemoaning lack of dialog and nuance, but that often lumbers into delicate areas. I'm sticking to more tangible discussions for now.

2019-10-10T15:41:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182320285703237633

@ezvic420 @pradipkumarjsr8 @atlantis000000 @BiglyTrumpette @establishmentno @itsme_user @GracieLovesUSA @MarilynLavala @BroMark1611 @COL314 @im_angination @77MARKALLENSOVA @HustusMichael @Quin4Trump @Tammylynnmiddl1 @Dawndaw41131715 @Geeke @conlibrarian @Agortitz @ScottRickhoff @usvetram @Jamie32377541 @AmerMilNews @Amplifier2Rf @freddyrace14 @MichaelsANewman @LoveCovfefe2020 @robcarlson20 @spinson7746 @MacShiver @ChrisPBaconLT @WagonKnoggin @EvenBrokerRoca @PatriotBrwnEyes @Francis95781199 @SpaceForceBravo

@traveler002 @mamoobonnie @davidf4444 @bmickeydanger @kay89266490 @SJT_MAGA @paulsfam4 @WhimsicalMeToo @side_show007 @WattzzD @laurawilcamaro @Farmgal2010 @Wrix2 @gahawolfMAGA "Pull" means to pull the operation. i.e. to stop fighting the fire and pull out the firemen. It's a fairly common term. (and NOT ever used for explosive demolition) http://merriam-webster.com/dictionary/pull http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGhx9BuU0AAK_VM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGhx9BuU0AAK_VM.jpg
2019-10-10T15:31:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1182317837970337794
@Fibonacci222 @JasonBermas If he's so stupid and arrogant then how did he manage to pull off such an amazingly complex and difficult plan?

If he's so rich and doesn't give a fuck, then why did he do it?

2019-10-10T15:29:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1182317264378327041
@lmfga Here's a good article on it.

https://www.nytimes.com/2019/07/16/science/5g-cellphones-wireless-cancer.html

It's something I plan to look at more soon.

2019-10-10T15:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1182316641532563461
@Fibonacci222 @JasonBermas It means to pull the operation. i.e. to stop fighting the fire and pull out the firemen. It's a fairly common term. (and NOT ever used for explosive demolition)
https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/pull
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGhus6UVUAAggkM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGhus6UVUAAggkM.jpg

2019-10-10T15:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182314148312440834

@RodrigoLVieira1 in my book, there's a chapter called "The 'Conspiracy Theory' Conspiracy Theory", where I discuss that in depth. Shermer references it in the first lesson.

2019-10-10T15:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182312639432577025

@Fibonacci222 @JasonBermas You think he'd just tell everyone the collapse was planned, even though it would mean losing all his insurance money, going to jail, and blowing the lid off an insanely complex theory?

Or MAYBE he just meant to say 2002?

2019-10-10T15:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182310535137595393

In #TFTRH 27, I talk with founder of the Skeptics Society, Dr Michael Shermer about his new course: Conspiracies and Conspiracy Theories. We cover: History, psychology, a variety of conspiracies (real and imagined), intellectual dark web and skepticism.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PvrHX0qRRMs

2019-10-10T04:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182150439292174336

@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah The F/A-18s were right there. The other ships were many miles away.

2019-10-09T20:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182029664841162752

@bartjpx @Nickelderberry @Oswald1160 @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah I don't think Day was talking about that particular incident there. If they saw that then they would have told the pilots.

2019-10-09T20:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1182023565672992768

@Nickelderberry @Oswald1160 @bartjpx @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah Merge plot means they were at the same position and altitude as far as the radar could tell - so there was just one dot on the screen. However the TicTac was actually 20,000 feet (about 4 miles) below them, so the radar should have seen it descend if it was the same thing.

2019-10-09T15:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181952803582799873

@ReluctantUser14 @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue Why don't YOU make a list of all your different hypotheses? What are your #1 and #2?

2019-10-09T15:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181952553312907264
@ReluctantUser14 @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue I also include "teleportation technology from aliens" - but that's way down the list.

2019-10-09T15:18:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181951961773428737
@ReluctantUser14 @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue You'r making the same mistake other people have. I'm only suggesting "seagull" for some specific things - basically for distant observations of WHITE SPECKS IN THEY SKY.
I'm not saying seagulls explains everything. I think there's multiple things going on here.

2019-10-09T15:16:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181951585762459648
@dmt_quest @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue A bird of some sort is possible yes. It would have to be a large one capable of flying at 13,000 feet. It also shows cool on the IR.
I think a ballon is more likely, but I'd put bird at #2.
An actual seagull isn't a good fit, if you want to narrow it down. A migratory bird maybe.

2019-10-09T15:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181950317811752960
@ReluctantUser14 @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue I don't ignore possibilities simply because they seem ridiculous. One should consider everything, add it to the list of possible explanations, rank the list, see what bubbles to the top, and then repeat as new information and analysis is added.

2019-10-09T14:49:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181944839249678336
@dmt_quest @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue 13,000 feet. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-10-09T14:38:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181942004260818945
@AgentJ61780559 @JDsuppy @wisecrack Fravor is saying some thing that a demonstrably wrong. It would help his case if people point that out to him and he stops.
I'll help distill the case to it's essence, then you can focus on that.

2019-10-09T14:36:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181941602400342016
@auneakeffect How can you tell?

2019-10-09T14:36:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181941540647665666
@dmt_quest @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue For Go Fast, yes, because what we have there is a smallish object moving slowly. Ballon is more likely though.
For small white dots in the distance, obviously that's possible
For giant Tic-Tac doing hypersonic maneuvers, no, OBVIOUSLY NOT.

2019-10-09T14:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181940848679772161
@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah Yeah, they merged plot, and then got a visual on something a few miles away. So how do they know it's the same thing?

2019-10-09T03:18:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181770943590330369
@AgentJ61780559 @JDsuppy @wisecrack Right, so here I'm looking at one detail that he got wrong. You can check it yourself. Should we let it stand because he's more credible than me?

Let's narrow things down to only things that are not demonstrably wrong. Then see what we have.

2019-10-09T02:55:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181765167106805761
@Anon2World @joerogan No, no, and no.

2019-10-08T21:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181681414216925184
@nczerenda @joerogan He has lots of experience. But people make mistakes. People with his experience have flown planes into the ground.
I'd like to see reports from all four witnesses giving the same level of detail.

2019-10-08T21:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181680963849318400
@LeifNordh @bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue He's not really been very consistent with his clock positions though.

2019-10-08T19:40:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181655621667409921
@dmt_quest @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue I agree! That's why I encourage people to not take my word for anything, and instead just check the things I'm pointing out. I try to make everything easily verifiable.

2019-10-08T19:01:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181645745394372608
@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @ufotodaynetwork @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah @brianjhanley There are differences. East vs west, male vs. female.

2019-10-08T18:35:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181639309079695360
@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @ufotodaynetwork @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah @brianjhanley We donâ□□t know what the sequence of events was. So we donâ□□t know how improbable it was.

2019-10-08T18:18:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181634930633166848

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @UfoIssue I suggested seagulls as one tiny part of a possible mistaken set of observations involving multiple different things.

2019-10-08T17:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181621856635244544

@GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis A don't think it's a stretch to see that SOME white specks seen in the distance might be sea birds.

That says NOTHING AT ALL about radar, or Fravor, or video. Just what people were seeing from the deck, with binoculars (or even naked eye).

2019-10-08T17:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181621398231367681

@GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis Go Fast is nothing to do with Fravor. Fravor's thing (and the related Flir1/Nimitz/TicTac video) was on 14 nov 2004. Go Fast and Gimbal were 21 Jan 2015

2019-10-08T17:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181620438809505792

@GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis Big-Eyes are just 20x binoculars. Meaning any object would be twice as big as with ordinary 10x binoculars that a lot of the crew would have. Better, but not hugely so.

And "smaller specks" is absolutely a case where seagulls should enter consideration.

2019-10-08T17:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181617725518446592

@GalaxyReport @GaryVoorhis Fravor says this is all the (Flir1) video. How could he have incorrectly arrived at that assumptions?

2019-10-08T17:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181617189746462720

@brianjhanley @cornweaver @ValidatedM @Akam1129 Well if my options to fit the video are:

A) A large 3,000 MPH 20+g invisible craft that displaces no air.

B) A 1/2" bug that the drone flew past.

Then I feel B is actually the simpler answer.

2019-10-08T17:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181616533816037376

@brianjhanley @cornweaver @ValidatedM @Akam1129 Thanks, but I think I'm getting a bit of UFO fatigue on #TFTRH. It's more a conspiracy theory podcast.

2019-10-08T16:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181606598143164416

@bartjpx @ufotodaynetwork @Oswald1160 @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah @brianjhanley I actually think multiple whales feeding is more likely, as it would give a more sustained disturbance. See around 0:50 here: https://youtu.be/Glt-W_5vw54?t=49

2019-10-08T16:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181601545407754240

@bartjpx @ufotodaynetwork @Oswald1160 @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah @brianjhanley

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CFOCC5XpTT0

2019-10-08T16:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181600647210127360

@brianjhanley @cornweaver @ValidatedM @Akam1129 It's probably a bug. Speed estimates depend on size and distance estimates. A small bug fits at the drone speed.

A large craft going 3,000 MPH would have been seen, heard, and felt by the operators.

"Zip-by" UFOs are increasingly common as we get more drone footage. Bugs mostly

---

2019-10-08T15:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181600042169200640

@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @Nickelderberry @BitcoinKallor @PostDisclosure @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah @brianjhanley From their accounts it seems like the whale breaching was some distance PAST the merge plot point. Also, the radar blip the were heading for was at 20,000 feet, not sea level. So really it's whales SEVERAL MILES away.

Lots of whale-watching tours out of Ensanada...

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGXhnXcU8AELjwl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGXhnXcU8AELjwl.jpg

2019-10-08T15:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181590043296587776

On @joerogan, Commander David Fravor talked about how he'd try to fake UFO sighting by buzzing campers in his F/A-18. Could this explain a UFO case reported near Paradise Hill, El Centro, in 1997?
https://www.metabunk.org/commander-dave-fravor-faking-ufo-encounters.t10947

2019-10-08T03:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181414412113940480
@crippledkitty44 @joerogan Iâ□□ve looked at it for over a year.

2019-10-07T21:53:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181326785293611008
@Tina23089983 It's fall. Cooler temps and more moisture mean more contrails.

2019-10-07T21:51:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181326132055957504
@bobofango86 @joerogan It's the same *basic* 3D trig and physics in videogames as in real life. So one can make reasonable and testable models based on the videos.

2019-10-07T21:22:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181318850899394560
@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah LT _____ appears to always be the female pilot. I was asking about the WSOs

---

2019-10-07T20:48:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181310350769082369
@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah That appears to only have accounts from Fravor and "Source". Not the WSOs

---

2019-10-07T20:40:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181308353454039041
@TTSAFan We are not in a court here. This is not a debate. It's a months-long scientific inquiry. The results that will stand will be those that are verifiable, not those that are most popular.

2019-10-07T17:27:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181259890179760128
@Oswald1160 @InfomaniacHouse @Udjanii @Deepneuron @jimroy @joerogan The camera moved from 3Â° right to 8Â° left (relative to the forward axis of the jet)

2019-10-07T17:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181256363361488910
@Oswald1160 @InfomaniacHouse @Udjanii @Deepneuron @jimroy @joerogan Not exactly. The camera is tracking a moving object. Then it stops tracking it.
Imagine following a plane with binoculars. You do it so well that it looks like the plane is not moving. Then you stop following it, and the plane flies out of shot.

2019-10-07T16:36:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181246936721920000
@selvanegra400 @ghonmol @JmGonzalez70 @tecn_preocupado @GlobalCHEMTRAIL @extelas @Chemtrail_Watch @ichingiking @BabylonDab @CallToWakeUp @chirigotero74 @eleritzo

@paleoquiano @Rcdespanyol66 @janayaish @LGI_vlc Not new.

https://www.metabunk.org/hole-punch-clouds-canal-clouds-and-fallstreak-holes-through-history.t8280/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGShx66UYAUe0k7.jpg



71 W. Tribble

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

79

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGShx66UYAUe0k7.jpg



Photographs by [R. M. Poulter

WEATHER AUGUST 1948 *To face p.* 232

General views of the path in the altocumulus cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGShx67U0AIe3AS.jpg

MOSAIC OF PHOTOGRAPHS showing a hole in altocumulus clouds over Miami. (Photo: T. E. McCaughan; photograph provided to the author by R. Holle.)



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGShx68U4AEmwVD.jpg



[*Photograph by D. G. A. Dyson*

Circular hole in altocumulus cloud at 11.30 a.m. on July 8, 1945
seen from Stratford-on-Avon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGShx67VAAA6hUg.jpg

2019-10-07T14:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181219286611681281

@bartjpx Where does it seem that?

2019-10-07T14:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181219146131898368

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah I don't know, there's not really enough details. Perhaps there was nothing showing up when he expected to see something, so he interpreted that as jamming.

2019-10-07T14:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181218843449888773

@InfomaniacHouse @Udjanii @Deepneuron @jimroy @joerogan A multi-engine plane 50+ miles away, flying away and a bit to the left. Apparent motion is all due to camera zoom changes, with the large movement due to lens changes (WFOV/NAR), the last lens change causing a loss of passive tracking, so it drifts off screen at tracking speed.

2019-10-07T14:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181218112084930560

@bartjpx @PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah The one that says the object was five miles from the sea disturbance? The one that's very different to Fravor's story? The one that only mentions pilots, not WSOs?

http://www.nicap.org/reports2/2004_Navy%20event%20document%202004%20Nov%2014.pdf

---

2019-10-07T14:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181217255419367424

@TTSAFan @Nickelderberry @joerogan I'm not asking anyone to take my word for anything. I'm showing people things that they can verify themselves, or have a math-minded friend verify for them. Nothing at all to do with my experience. I could be a chimp, and the math would be the same.

2019-10-07T14:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181216647337529345

@s_sedwards @NateMBeltran @tristanSmellor @joerogan There's heat in Gimbal and Flir1.
Go-Fast seems cool, but it's moving slow, so might be a balloon.
None of the videos show any altitude changes.

2019-10-07T14:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181216207682146307

@JDsuppy @wisecrack I'd respond by focussing in on the disagreement, and attempting to reconcile the differences. For a start that's not Fravor's video. It was shot later. Secondly Fravor makes some of the mistakes other people do. E.g. the "aura" is actually nothing special.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2019-10-07T14:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181215518222508032

@wisockijunior @RMando2112 @joerogan I'd be happy to have a conversation with Commander Fravor to iron out the various misunderstandings and other questions. He's a hard man to reach though. Can anyone help facilitate it?

2019-10-07T05:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181086187626254336

@joe_super0 @brandondarby @Cernovich There's a variety of those explanations here:
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2019-10-07T04:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1181066971002966016

3,000 architects and engineers are somehow incapable of uploading some files to the internet. @AE911Truth's three year study is not really ending with a bang.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGP9NJqU8AUp6GV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGP9NJqU8AUp6GV.jpg
2019-10-07T01:55:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1181025169491386368
@TheoryQed @Akeen34 @tristanSmellor @joerogan Not a lot. Major misidentifications don't happen very often.

"According to the United States government, the crew of USS Vincennes had incorrectly identified the Airbus as an attacking F-14 Tomcat"

So they shot it, killed 290 people, 66 children.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iran_Air_Flight_655

2019-10-07T00:11:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180998982421504000
@ChrisRiddle121 @chasekuertz @Nickelderberry @joerogan Yeah, I need to make an explainer.

2019-10-06T23:25:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180987554759208960
@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah What about "Noodle" (OK-3)?

2019-10-06T23:05:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180982505609940998
@PostDisclosure @Nickelderberry @joerogan @michiokaku @ScolesSarah Where is the testimony of the WSOs?

2019-10-06T22:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180972617911504896

@GalaxyReport @zcichy Probably not. Depends on the details. I'm already assuming some form of life exists elsewhere in the universe.

2019-10-06T22:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180970978651693056

@GalaxyReport Sure, but where is everyone?

:)

2019-10-06T22:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180970721251446784

@GalaxyReport Or simpler: Someone in particular wins the Powerball Lottery. What are the odds it would be that person, that gas station, that day, and those numbers, which were also the birthdates of his family?

Unlikely things happen all the time. Alien spaceships have never materialized.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOmiawUwAEte6g.jpg

Missouri - Patience is a virtue. At least that's the case for a St. Louis man, who after two decades of playing the same Powerball numbers - a combination of family birthdays - is now reveling in a big jackpot win. The special numbers picked by Tom Rea, 62, matched all five white-ball numbers, as well as the Powerball, in the July 4 drawing to win the $70 million jackpot prize. He and his wife, Cathy, claimed the prize at Lottery headquarters in Jefferson City on July 24.

"I've been playing those numbers every Wednesday and every Saturday for 23 years," Rea shared.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOmiawUwAEte6g.jpg

1 in 292,201,338

Drawings for **Powerball** are held every Wednesday and Saturday evening at 10:59 p.m. Eastern Time. Since October 7, 2015, the game has used a 5/69 (white balls) + 1/26 (Powerballs) matrix from which winning numbers are chosen, resulting in **odds** of 1 in 292,201,338 of winning a jackpot per play.

Powerball - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org › wiki › Powerball



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOmGsDU4AADEdQ.jpg

2019-10-06T22:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180969490021904384

@GalaxyReport You can't give odds when one thing is a total unknown, and the other is just an unlikely unknown. Since you don't know how unlikely 1000g spaceships are, then there's no way of comparing them. .

And since unlikely things happen all the time, there's no reason they would not.

2019-10-06T22:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180968618114203648

Clickbait site tries to stir fears of martial law using old administrative messages abut the Marine reserves helping out during earthquakes and hurricanes.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-dod-prepares-for-martial-law-in-conus.t10944/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOkojGUwAAP1T2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOkojGUwAAP1T2.jpg

2019-10-06T22:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180968438732185601

@GalaxyReport You keep saying "odds". I don't think it means what you think it means.

2019-10-06T22:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180968132275363843

@ThomasB57121856 @Oswald1160 @LauraJa16440751 @LevanaLomma1 Now I mute you.

2019-10-06T21:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180955707425714176

@Oswald1160 @ThomasB57121856 @LauraJa16440751 @LevanaLomma1 Also, on my about page I like to this twitter account. I'm me.

https://www.metabunk.org/about-metabunk.t1966/

2019-10-06T21:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180955022017716225

@Oswald1160 @ThomasB57121856 @LauraJa16440751 @LevanaLomma1

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Metabunk%202019-10-06%2014-15-28.jpg

---

2019-10-06T20:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180949455270338560

@Nickelderberry @sjn0094 @IWANTTOKNOW2019 @Deepneuron Correct. And I just uploaded a version with timecodes, if you've got questions about specific points, tell me the frame number. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tCvlW-HoHog

---

2019-10-06T20:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180939573431390208

@GalaxyReport @sjn0094 @Nickelderberry @IWANTTOKNOW2019 @Deepneuron We don't have radar data of what he saw.

2019-10-06T20:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180939206970863616

@ShepardAmbellas More detailed explanation here. Summary: it's clickbait bullshit. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-dod-prepares-for-martial-law-in-conus.t10944/

2019-10-06T19:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180935670874853376

@ShepardAmbellas That's exactly what the old guidance said in 2014. Nothing has changed. Marines are part of the navy, which is why their orders come from the Navy.

Quit scaremongering with nonsense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOGupNUwAA2jLe.jpg

---

ANY ADDITIONAL MANPOWER POLICY GUIDANCE SPECIFIC TO THE CONTINGENCY.

(5) DC PPO, IN COORDINATION WITH COMMANDER MARINE FORCES COMMAND (COMMARFORCOM), DIRECTS COMMANDER MARINE FORCES RESERVE (COMMARFORRES) TO ACTIVATE DESIGNATED UNITS VIA NAVAL MESSAGE.

(6) IAW WITH THIS MESSAGE AND AMPLIFYING GUIDANCE PROVIDED BY DC MRA, THE ACTIVATION PROCESS AT APPROPRIATE HOME TRAINING CENTERS (HTC) IS COMPLETED IN PREPARATION FOR FOLLOW-ON MOVEMENT.

(7) A USMCR COMMANDING OFFICER ACTIVATION REPORT SIMILAR TO THE EXAMPLE WITHIN REF F WILL BE RELEASED VIA NAVAL MESSAGE TO ANNOUNCEACTIVATION IS COMPLETE.

B. EMERGENCY PREPARDNESS LIAISON OFFICER (EPLO)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGOGupNUwAA2jLe.jpg

2019-10-06T19:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180934958640025600

@JackKlemeyer @ShepardAmbellas It's total nonsense. Just standard guidance for activation of Marines if an emergency is declared by a State Governor. Like if an earthquake hits California, or Hurricane on the East coast. Minor update to older guidance from 2014 https://www.marines.mil/News/Messages/MARADMINS/Article/896455/manpower-policy-for-mobilization-of-reserve-component-rc-marines-iso-defense-su

---

2019-10-06T19:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180929252587237376

@rory_blyth @TheOutThere2016 @joerogan It's just the Youtube automatic transcript, so will contain errors ("Mick West" is "Maina mint") . But useful for finding things.

2019-10-06T19:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180928706174283776

@sjn0094 @Nickelderberry @IWANTTOKNOW2019 @Deepneuron Fravor is wrong about that

bit. The object moves to the left (after the camera stops tracking it) with the same angular velocity the camera was moving (roughly 0.2Â° per second).
i.e. the camera stopped tracking it, and it continued on. It did not change speed.

2019-10-06T19:27:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180927651533316096
@rory_blyth @TheOutThere2016 @joerogan Here you go:
https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/joe-rogan-experience-1361-cmdr-david-fravor-jermey-corbell-txt.38579/

2019-10-06T17:47:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180902397016604674
@tristanSmellor @Nickelderberry @Akeen34 @joerogan No, because it's not the object that's moving. It's the camera.

2019-10-06T17:46:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180902088391348224
@Nickelderberry @tristanSmellor @Akeen34 @joerogan It did not fly away. It's in constant level flight the entire time. All the motion is from camera motion.

2019-10-06T17:04:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180891523400884225
@tristanSmellor @Nickelderberry @Akeen34 @joerogan Who has scrutinized them? Have you? Where are the results of your scrutiny?

2019-10-06T16:00:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180875523678801920
@tristanSmellor @Akeen34 @joerogan It explains what is in the videos. If someone is making a case based on videos+eyewitnesses, then it's important that BOTH stand up to scrutiny.
Also, Fravor is misunderstanding what he's seeing in the videos. Maybe he misunderstood other things?

2019-10-06T15:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180875072313032705
@chasekuertz @Nickelderberry @joerogan You can see it getting lost in the video. At 1:14 it is not moving on screen for a few frames, but it's not tracked. If it were tracking it, then the bars would be around it, they are not, hence the track is lost. Watch the whole video frame-by-frame in the other transitions

---

2019-10-06T15:48:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180872346954948609
@tristanSmellor @Akeen34 @joerogan I'm not putting my eggs anywhere. I'm attempting to explain what is seen in the videos. Fravor did not take any of these videos, nor was he in the air when the videos were taken. Fravor's experience is different to the videos.

2019-10-06T15:46:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180871946197598208
@tristanSmellor @Nickelderberry @joerogan The lock is lost during the change from WFOV

(wider angle) to NAR (zoomed in) at around 1:13. This is a PHYSICAL change in lenses. The singnal is lost for a few frames, then when it comes back, track is off. Change from 1x to 2x compounds this.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nd7K5LafDF8

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGNMwvQUEAAVBb0.jpg



Nimitz/Tic-Tac/Flir1 UFO Raw Footage

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGNMwvQUEAAVBb0.jpg

2019-10-06T15:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180868760791478278

@ThomasB57121856 @LauraJa16440751 @Oswald1160 @LevanaLomma1 I'm not a Boomer. Also, Twitter does not let you apply for checkmarks any more. But I can prove I'm me by screen-capturing this post to my http://mickwest.com website.

http://mickwest.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Metabunk-2019-10-06-08-32-57.jpg

---

2019-10-06T15:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180867192453120000

@chasekuertz @Nickelderberry @joerogan It is what he said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGNIb6BVUAAnKOu.jpg

00:25:15,890 --> 00:25:21,870
and so those two bars next to the white

735
00:25:20,099 --> 00:25:23,998
object that's a that's a that's a

736
00:25:21,869 --> 00:25:26,218
passive track so what he's done is he's

737
00:25:24,000 --> 00:25:28,290
commanded the FLIR to track that so what

738
00:25:26,220 --> 00:25:30,298
the system does is it uses its actually

739
00:25:28,289 --> 00:25:32,159
tracking it can track pixels and it's

740
00:25:30,298 --> 00:25:33,689
just basically blocked those hot pixels

741
00:25:32,160 --> 00:25:36,209
those white pixels from the black ones

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGNIb6BVUAAnKOu.jpg
2019-10-06T10:16:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180788889423728640
@SpizeyMeatball @joerogan His video analysis was wrong. It's pretty obviously he's not actually done any. Like he still thinks "Go Fast" is low and fast, and that the "Flir1" video shows hovering then high speed.

And that "new info" about the formation was on the History channel show, "Unidentified"

2019-10-06T10:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180788166137008128

@OmniTalkRadio @ValidatedM And yeah, it's "popular science magazine". It's not actually a magazine that does any science. It also does not say "unlikely", it says "difficult to prove", and it is conflating all the cases together. The IR glare theory is just for "Gimbal". Here's their entire analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGMAXF5U0AEnq9n.jpg


ILLUSTRERAD VETENSKAP

What do the experts think?

The message from the US Navy, of course, has sparked speculation that the objects may be evidence of life in space.

However, there are other and more natural theories. One theory is that the objects are part of a top secret drone program.

Others speculate that the objects may be a form of optical illusion or that there have been errors in the combat pilots' equipment. However, both of these theories are difficult to prove because the objects have been shown on several occasions and also seen by several pilots.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGMAXF5U0AEnq9n.jpg
2019-10-06T10:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180786641931161600
@DisclosureTLiv @PstarmanUa @Th_Unidentified @joerogan @PostDisclosure Nobody is saying seagulls explain it. But did all witnesses have eyes-on for the entire encounter? A 40 foot object viewed from 20,000 feet is rather small. Where are the WSO accounts? The other pilot gives a different story, https://www.metabunk.org/posts/234516/

2019-10-06T09:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180775459207778309
@UAP_UFO @joerogan Fravor said this is the full length of the video.

2019-10-06T09:22:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180775252114034688
@PstarmanUa @Th_Unidentified @DisclosureTLiv @joerogan @PostDisclosure The entirety of Fravor's description cannot be dismissed with "seagulls". That's just silly.
But in a complex situation involving multiple people in multiple situations over the ocean, all seeing distant white dots, is there not a possibility that a bird was seen at some point?

2019-10-06T04:32:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180702333556015105
@JuenoMT @JeremyCorbell Trashed? They didn't even look at them.

2019-10-06T04:31:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180702184960221185

@RMando2112 @joerogan I have a podcast. https://www.tftrh.com/

2019-10-06T02:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180668843309486080

@LauraJa16440751 @ThomasB57121856 @Oswald1160 @LevanaLomma1 Iâ□□m not a bot. Iâ□□m not even sure why someone would say that.

2019-10-06T02:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180668367125901313

@Nickelderberry @joerogan It would have to try to reacquire it. As Fravor mentioned, it was tracking based on the image (the pixels).

2019-10-06T01:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180657922453278720

@Nickelderberry @joerogan It lost lock during the change in zoom. You can see itâ□□s not locked on.

2019-10-06T01:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180651595677097984

In @joerogan's interview today with Commander Fravor, Joe says "explaining away" the high speed in the Nimiitz video takes "mental gymnastics."

But the explanation is just seeing that the fast movement is due to a change in zoom from 1x to 2x. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIl4peYb59E

2019-10-06T00:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180638126273810432

@SpaceDadOrbis @theyre_heeeere It does mean that Fravor was wrong today.

2019-10-06T00:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180634298623258624

@zcichy @theyre_heeeere 1) 99.99% I try not to go to 100. It's very clear that it's a zoom change. It's also clear that it's not being tracked. So it would naturally slide out of view.

2) Sure. One thing not happening does not mean another thing didn't happen.

2019-10-05T23:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180632469353029632

@theyre_heeeere I'm listening. He's still continually making old mistakes.

2019-10-05T23:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180631781558476800

@zcichy @theyre_heeeere About 28:23, he's talking about the Nimitz object shooting off the left side. But it's not. It's a change in the zoom setting, and lack of tracking. I (and other people) explained it nearly two years ago.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIl4peYb59E

2019-10-05T23:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180629342293913600

@theyre_heeeere Fravor is wrong about that.

2019-10-05T21:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180598527354793984

@LauraJa16440751 @Oswald1160 @ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 Thatâ□□s what the scientists focus on.

2019-10-05T04:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180343551000899584

@buffcommacu @PostDisclosure Depends what it said.

2019-10-05T00:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180276176306106368

@michellelewisss @KATVToddYak You'll see a few a year now you know to look. Watch for when the contrail is pointing at the sun.

2019-10-04T23:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1180266240591269889

@michellelewisss @KATVToddYak https://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGEl4t-UwAAhTXp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGEl1L4UwAAfq0v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGEl4t-UwAAhTXp.jpg
2019-10-04T17:39:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180175548066091012
@Deepneuron @_jraza @GalaxyReport @Nickelderberry @SethShostak That is what I said. But I'd argue they are very plausible possibilities.
The question for TTSA is really why they don't seem to have done any real analysis, and continue to let their mistakes go unchecked. Like the "aura"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2019-10-04T17:29:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180172997417504769
@_jraza @Deepneuron @GalaxyReport @Nickelderberry @SethShostak Well that's easy. It's because they ARE (or at the very least WERE) unidentified.
I haven't identified them, I've just explained a bunch of mistakes people make about them (like the "rotating", or "rapid acceleration", or "aura") and given some quite plausible other hypotheses

---

2019-10-04T16:07:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180152437753049088
@GalaxyReport @Nickelderberry @Deepneuron @SethShostak I would just want to ask them in detail about their analysis of the three videos, and if/why they disagree with mine.

2019-10-04T15:06:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180137101783777280
@Nickelderberry @GalaxyReport @Deepneuron @SethShostak They "responded," but basically nothing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGCwWCtVAAESzb5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EGCwWCtVAAESzb5.jpg
2019-10-04T14:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180126345637949440
@Bujjy713 @IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Back and forth? It's some emails with 2-3 guys on getting some videos declassified. TTSA is probably nothing more an a minor irritant to the Navy.

2019-10-04T14:21:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180125869332844544
@GalaxyReport @Nickelderberry @IvanBuchanJanus @alien_expanse @nickpopemod I think that THEY think there's something "real" (i.e. aliens) about UAPs. So they don't see it as risking their careers (Justice and Mellon are retired). They think they are bringing a major truth to light.They just don't have very compelling evidence.

2019-10-04T13:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1180118719999049731
@Nickelderberry @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @alien_expanse @nickpopemod For publicity.

2019-10-04T05:04:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179985614248148994
@JonLorber https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/911-memory-accuracy/

2019-10-04T04:43:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179980398954041344
@GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @alien_expanse @nickpopemod They are not.

2019-10-03T23:47:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179905831027916800
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Makes no sense to me. A rediculous fake underdog story? Itâ□□s like bad SciFi

---

2019-10-03T23:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179903707778965504
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod That makes no sense. Cynicism towards Lockheed Martin?

2019-10-03T23:35:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179902889042407426
@GalaxyReport Not for TTSA.

2019-10-03T23:34:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179902598620401664
@GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Except I wouldn't use a silly little entertainment company like TTSA and sprinkle it with olde-time Skinwalker crazy. I'd use Boeing, or Aerojet Rocketdyne, or SpaceX, or Raytheon, or Lockheed Martin. A company with the actual resources needed.

Anyway. It's a moot point.

2019-10-03T23:30:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179901605769990144
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Sure, that would be great.

2019-10-03T23:30:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179901442468958208
@GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @alien_expanse @nickpopemod @zcichy I'm not saying he IS deluded, I'm saying he APPEARS deluded.
Has have given any interviews on this topic?

2019-10-03T23:28:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179901115015413761
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod I'd just tell people a bit at a time, if that was thought to be best. You could start off saying - we had an incursion, looks like advanced Chinese drone. Play diplomatic games for years, gradually ramp up to be something else. Capture one, science, yadda yadda yadda, aliens.

2019-10-03T23:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179898525577957378
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Right, I'd obviously start a company with a plucky rockstar and a team of misfits and have them "discover" stuff like warp drives made with meta-materials until there's so much stuff that I'd have to sheepishly admit that UFOS are aliens and we were covering it up all along.

2019-10-03T23:13:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179897414607458305
@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Well in the absence of evidence to support any of that, I'm just going to discount it.

There's no contracts for warp drives coming.

2019-10-03T23:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179897104015081472

@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod And what's McCasland's involvement, exactly? He's not listed on the TTSA website, so why include him? Just a mention in some email?

https://www.google.com/search?q=mccasland+site%3Atothestarsacademy.com

---

2019-10-03T23:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179895968965095425

@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod I see no evidence of that.

But, like what? What exactly might he know that would justify his odd behavior? And why exactly would TTSA be the conduit for that information, and he the recipient?

2019-10-03T23:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179895105093681152

@GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod Steve Justice appears to have some deluded idea that TTSA's science division is going to figure out how warp drives work, and then he's going to build one. He's either seriously misunderstanding reality, or he's got suckered in somehow.

2019-10-03T22:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179885427626397696

@GalaxyReport @alien_expanse @nickpopemod So you'd expect lots of whistleblowers then, deathbed evidence dumps, etc. Who's the best one so far? Lazar?

2019-10-03T22:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179883454214721538

I had a fun chat with the crew on @Wisecrack's Culture Binge podcast about the recent interest in UFOs.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ran8Zf8xu2Y&t=353

2019-10-03T22:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179879019333898240

@alien_expanse @GalaxyReport Or perhaps there are no alien visitors? That would certainly simplify this massively complex web of secrecy that such a theory requires.

2019-10-03T21:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179877268652380164

@GalaxyReport Well that's only relevant if there's something to disclose. But your hypothesis still raises the same question as "to avoid mass panic" arguments. How are all the government in the world on the same page? What's in it for Iran, North Korea, Cuba?

2019-10-03T17:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179811793926905857

@orac101 @PostDisclosure @zcichy An early drop of my UFO Jesus interview.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l1mpwyAsBwY

2019-10-03T17:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179811538254696448

In #TFTRH 26 I interview "UFO Jesus", a popular advocate of UFO â□□disclosureâ□□; the belief that the US Government is close to revealing that it knows that some UFOs actually are the result of alien visitors.

Also, the Nimitz Tic-Tac and other UFO topics.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l1mpwyAsBwY

2019-10-03T14:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179761479643365377

@GalaxyReport @ScottBr31600449 40 knots is for the Go Fast video. The numbers above are probably based on verbal descriptions of memories of the Nimitz incident.

2019-10-01T23:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179176871742730240

@WilsonAdmiral @PostDisclosure Not live though, I'm recording it for my podcast that comes out at the weekend.

2019-10-01T23:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179176392707076096

@gerrycan1 I'm mostly interested in the global collapse analysis right now. Obviously they did the other models of the connections and the C79 area, but people are focusing on how it "looks just like" the videos. When it's actually just an animation.

2019-10-01T22:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179166898061099008

@gerrycan1 Both. They suffer from the exact same problems, although more obviously with the tip-over scenario.

And Hulsey did not actually do an analysis of his column removal scenario. He just mocked up an animation.

Do you see any columns being removed?

2019-10-01T22:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179163076513259520

@Scribe1969 @dontdrinkmyduce @PostDisclosure I talked a bit about "Project Blue Beam" with @JasonBermas. It's pretty much magical nonsense.

2019-10-01T22:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179162755615485952

@gerrycan1 First I'd look at all the other visualizations of runs that are there, and see what they show us.

The major problem are all revealed already - static linear analysis of a dynamic, non-linear situation. Just wrong.

2019-10-01T22:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179157147931668480
@gerrycan1 You think itâ□□s terrible Hulsey is late with his promise?
Heâ□□s had most of this data for YEARS. Promised to continually release it. But never has.
Still, his report shows the failings already.
Happy to debate this with AE911.

2019-10-01T19:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179115271442665472
@dontdrinkmyduce Roswell = Project Mogul Balloon.
Corso, etc = fabulists.

2019-10-01T19:22:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179114323811041281
@dontdrinkmyduce @PostDisclosure It's on my regular podcast, so it won't come out until the weekend.

2019-10-01T15:54:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179062113798541312
@paul_sailer @TheOutThere2016 Simpler, it's the "Credentials Fallacy" - which is related to the Appeal Accomplishment, and the Argument from Authority.

2019-10-01T15:50:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179061023271051264
@knightsabers01 @TheOutThere2016 SSX (2000) Came out after Tony Hawk (1999). I met one of the programmers and he said they actually took some inspiration from THPS. SSX is a great game though. I played it a lot, loved the feel. So in a way the two game series exchanged DNA.

2019-10-01T15:30:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179056059450740736
@TheOutThere2016 No, that's because ONLY THE MILITARY want to make secret stealth bombers.
Everyone wants to make clear air holograms, cures for cancer, FLT, free energy, and quantum computers.

2019-10-01T15:28:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179055383991578625
@TheOutThere2016 Why? Let's say, hypothetically, I dropped out of school at age 15, then worked as a carpet fitter for 40 years.

How would that change anything?

2019-10-01T15:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179050120484016130
@GalaxyReport @SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified Looks like @AFSUnidentifed has blocked me, so I can't really follow this conversation.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFzT1YyUEAEXBnE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFzT1YyUEAEXBnE.jpg

2019-10-01T14:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1179047060890214401

@AE911Truth Where's the data you promised "sometime between September 16 and September 30, 2019?"

Why don't you just start putting it in a public Dropbox? 3TB for $12.50 a month will cover everything you need.

And you will extend the public comment period?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFzRDVfUwAMTmtY.jpg

Open Data

All input data, results data, and simulations that were used or generated during this study will be made available here and at http://ine.uaf.edu/wtc7. The research team is currently organizing and uploading all of its data into a format that can be readily downloaded and used. We expect to post the data sometime between September 16 and September 30, 2019.

For anyone intending to download the data, please be advised that there are several hundred gigabytes, so plan your data storage accordingly.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFzRDVfUwAMTmtY.jpg
2019-10-01T14:26:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179039808204623873
@TheOutThere2016 It wasn't a clear air hologram.

Show an example where military was ever 20 years ahead of academia.

2019-10-01T14:02:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179033812136628225
@TheOutThere2016 1993? I'll have to call you out on that one. Show something similar to that paper from pre 1995. Heck, show something from pre 2015!

2019-10-01T13:54:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1179031786191388673
@TheOutThere2016 That does not translate AT ALL to a flying object in daytime.

2019-09-30T23:57:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178821261213880320
@JetEnthusiast Not really looked at it. Anything historical has generally been examined to death. If nothing conclusive has emerged after a few decades, then I'm unlikely to find anything.

2019-09-30T23:56:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178820915037007872
@GalaxyReport @Deepneuron Is it the same object? Nothing seems to match.
video/radar/eyewitness

2019-09-30T20:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178765325304598528
@GalaxyReport @Deepneuron Not if they are different incidents.

2019-09-30T20:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178761782401224704
@GalaxyReport @Deepneuron Looks like: "Eyewitnesses report amazing thing defies logic, we can't figure out what it is. Must be really advanced technology and not a problem with observation, interpretation, equipment, memory, and/or witness reliability"

Have fun whale watching!

2019-09-30T17:10:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178718780953726982
@ericwojo I cheated. AE911Truth have been morphing into AEPlascoTruth for a couple of years now. Even doing things in Farsi to send to the Iranian government.
https://www.ae911truth.org/news/391-news-media-events-our-plasco-report-now-in-farsi-pursuit-of-truth-ramping-up

---

2019-09-30T16:59:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178715938205470721
@ericwojo 9/11 Truthers will simply claim this is also a nanothermite controlled demolition.

2019-09-30T15:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178699226319994880
@Soriluin It varied. But they did NOT think it was flat. Read this, it's very interesting. There were heliocentric models as far back as 250BC. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geocentric_model

---

2019-09-30T15:36:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178695052853334017
@Soriluin People didn't think the earth was flat. They though it was the center of the universe and the sun wen around it. But it was pretty obvious to anyone who observed the skies and traveled that it was round.
And geocentrist is not simplest when you look at the available evidence.

2019-09-30T04:02:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178520364294365187
RT @SnoozeInBrief: A history lesson for people who think that history doesn't matter:
Why were tweets (and text mesages) first set as 140 câ¦

2019-09-29T21:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178415971561697280
@TruscottSimon @zizi_87 I was repeating what I saw elsewhere. But I was wrong. It did not actually declare an emergency, it just dumped fuel and returned to LHR.

2019-09-29T19:07:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178385945613066240
@BadAstronomer @physicsmatt And while ships do float for essentially the same reason balloons go up, there a huge difference in that ships float at the water-air interface, as they need a vastly higher pressure differential (being dense). Not really a gradient, more a discontinuity (the waterline).

2019-09-29T19:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178384722033954817

@BadAstronomer @physicsmatt The accelerating car example is more complex, with sloshing, but still, it's the creation of a pressure gradient that makes the balloon move forward. Like sideways gravity.

2019-09-29T18:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178383223262339073

@BadAstronomer @physicsmatt At which point the weight of the balloon is the same as the net upward force.

If the pressure on the balloon were exactly the same from top to bottom, then why would the balloon move upwards? What force is involved?

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buoyancy http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFp1SjyUYAABAej.jpg



Buoyancy (/ˈbɔɪənsi, ˈbuːjənsi/)[1][2] or **upthrust**, is an upward force exerted by a fluid that opposes the weight of an immersed object. In a column of fluid, pressure increases with depth as a result of the weight of the overlying fluid. Thus the pressure at the bottom of a column of fluid is greater than at the top of the column. Similarly, the pressure at the bottom of an object submerged in a fluid is greater than at the top of the object. The pressure difference results in a net upward force on the object. The magnitude of the force is proportional to the pressure difference, and (as explained by Archimedes' principle) is equivalent to the weight of the fluid that would otherwise occupy the volume of the object, i.e. the displaced fluid.

For this reason, an object whose average density is greater than that of the fluid in which it is submerged tends to sink. If the object is less dense than the liquid, the force can keep the object afloat. This can occur only in a non-inertial reference frame, which either has a gravitational field or is accelerating due to a force other than gravity defining a "downward" direction.[3]

The **center of buoyancy** of an object is the centroid of the displaced volume of fluid.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFp1SjyUYAABAej.jpg

2019-09-29T18:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178373641496494080

@physicsmatt @BadAstronomer Maybe you did. "helium has no place to go but up" kind of glosses over the forces involved. Bottom air is pushing balloon more than top air, because it's denser. Net pushing force is greater than the weight. Ballon goes up.

2019-09-29T17:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178366506037415936

@Headlines_From @michaelshermer Hamerof and Koch have been arguing over this very fuzzy topic for many years. Seems somewhat woo-like to me.

https://www.quantumconsciousness.org/content/debate-christof-koch-heading-wrong-direction

---

2019-09-29T17:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178365726756720640

@Headlines_From @michaelshermer Actually, Roger Penrose advanced similar arguments back in 1989 in The Emperor's New Mind. Along with Stuart Hameroff he suggested brain microtubules within neurons had a quantum function necessary for consciousness. The computers then were a million times less powerful than now

---

2019-09-29T17:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178356625322569728

@paulmuaddib61 @AE911Truth Here's some I found.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpdF_xUEAApymC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpc2SqU8AAdSEa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpdF_xUEAApymC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpdBNMU0AAUtEc.jpg

2019-09-29T17:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178355259812376576

@KPDownes1 How would that change anything? It was going to Phoenix. They didn't get over 9,000 feet. It's now safely on the ground. Story over.

2019-09-29T17:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178354793321861120

@BrianViera27 @ErikaJill2 @JasonBermas It's not clear who you are referring to here, Brian.

2019-09-29T17:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178354366635364354

@zizi_87 Sure, but it's still all carefully coordinated. Like I said, it landed behind the A380. That's where it was inserted into the queue.

2019-09-29T16:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178353163243405312

@zizi_87 Although admittedly EY25 might have been making that turn anyway. Still, it's all carefully coordinated to allow BA289 to jump the queue.

2019-09-29T16:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178352710527012865

@zizi_87 Because that's what's happening. BA289 was bound for Phoenix, and is now landing back at LHR.

2019-09-29T16:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178352403973730304

@KPDownes1 You declare an emergency over the radio, then squawk what ATC tell you to squawk.

2019-09-29T16:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178351123196825601

Giant planes lumbering around each other. EY25, an A380 maneuvers to allow BA289, which has declared an emergency, to get into position to land back at LHR just behind it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpX4hQUUAE8p0E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpX4hQUUAE8p0E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpXR7BU4AEt2Bb.jpg

2019-09-29T16:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178346990951915523

@Sx60Contrails Yup. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpUUs7UYAAxBfS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFpUUs7UYAAxBfS.jpg

2019-09-29T15:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178335350550216706

@Sx60Contrails Low too (9,000 feet). I'm thinking that one is coming back. Either trying to resolve something, or dumping fuel?

https://www.flightradar24.com/BAW9CG/22496fae

2019-09-29T15:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178333211023179779

@gerrycan1 @Calltoart @KevinRyan911 @TraciIrving1 Where in the core was the collapse

initiated? What floor(s)?

2019-09-29T14:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178323540971409408

@Calltoart @gerrycan1 @KevinRyan911 @TraciIrving1 At http://WTC7.net (a precursor to AE911Truth) they make a detailed argument that you'd simply have to replace two million ceiling tiles with explosive ceiling tiles, over a few weekends.

Seriously. They even title it: "A Plausible Theory"

http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/thermite/blasting_scenario.html

---

2019-09-29T05:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178178068747177986

@gerrycan1 @Calltoart @KevinRyan911 @TraciIrving1 Hey Gerry. Did you see I just had Jason Bermas on my podcast? I'd quite like to also have someone on from the 9/11 Truth movement who would discuss the Hulsey Report in a technical way.
Who do you think would be good?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jutBcs2VFkI

2019-09-29T04:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178171701294587905

@gerrycan1 @Calltoart @KevinRyan911 @TraciIrving1 Quite large fires actually.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2019-09-28T23:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178094492127121409

@GalaxyReport @SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified They are a company that makes paint and advertising displays. How is that going to transition to satellite launches?

2019-09-28T23:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178092671300096000

@SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @GalaxyReport It SOUNDS LIKE science. But it's Paint + Projectors = ... some mysterious "life-saving applications".
That could just be projecting anti-vaping ads in schools.

2019-09-28T23:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178089750445453312

@SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @GalaxyReport It's a company that makes projector art and advertising. How does that fit into science or aerospace?

Not exactly the connections they need to write military airspace incursion reporting protocols.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFlp8YCUcAE7AB5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFlp8YCUcAE7AB5.jpg
2019-09-28T23:16:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178086147559288832
@AFSUnidentified @GalaxyReport No. I don't. It's a tiny cluster of people with a smattering of celebrity and journalist fans. Harry Reid isn't goin to do anything now. What are they connected into? Plenty of partners in the entertainment division. None in science or aerospace. https://dpo.tothestarsacademy.com/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFlmu3GVAAAQB7u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFlmu3GVAAAQB7u.jpg
2019-09-28T22:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1178074667006873600
@IBKING46 @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport We shall see. Come back in two years.

2019-09-28T22:28:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178073968168685568
@JasonBermas Episode Website:
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/28/episode-25-jason-bermas-producer-of-loose-change-shade-invisible-empire/
Apple Podcast:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-25-jason-bermas-producer-loose-change-shade/id1462120258?i=1000451584771

2019-09-28T22:13:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178070146721566721
@IBKING46 @Oswald1160 @GalaxyReport It's the only arm really doing anything.

2019-09-28T22:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178069945818595328
I'm at odds with @JasonBermas
on a lot of topics. But it's usually possibly to find SOME common ground and have a nice chat based on that. Then try to get into why we disagree on things. We do this on the latest episode of Tales from the Rabbit Hole.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jutBcs2VFkI

2019-09-28T20:06:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178038275467964416
@jerry25a @theyre_heeeere @sardjatwopoinow @Akam1129 @Stephen37722821 @OmniTalkRadio @lucyskye318 @ExogenesisHH @BurnettCynthia @IvanBuchanJanus @devgru1980mi @SilvaRecord @Th_Unidentified @PostDisclosure @Aida_x @tiller4riller @mintyhyperspace @UfoJoe11 @alejandrotrojas @ElectroDeeJ @Deepneuron @__dregs__ @sarahcruddas @NickKarnaze @MykeCole @UAP1949 @BigelowSpace @g_knapp @RichDolan @Earthfiles @medievalguy @HISTORY We have strict posting guidelines to encourage detailed investigation of specific claims of evidence. A lot of people dislike the guidelines and don't follow them. So they get warnings, post deleted, and often leave in a huff.

2019-09-28T18:56:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1178020549970120704
@ufodreaming @GalaxyReport https://twitter.com/GalaxyReport/status/1177687926249009153

2019-09-28T17:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177999182742708224
@discloseitnow @zcichy @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch No, the question here is about what is showing in on video, "Go Fast". The TTSA show described it as "2/3 the speed of sound". But it's much slower.

Who is risking their career by saying that?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-28T17:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177998702826291200

@zcichy @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkXiCsVAAA7atQ.jpg



Re: To The Stars: Mick West Inbox ×

General Inquiries <info@tothestarsacademy.com> 10:25 AM (2 minutes ago)

to me

Hi Mick,

Thank you for your email and interest in To The Stars Academy. We will forward your inquiry to the appropriate channel for press/media requests and someone will reach out if it is of interest and they require more information.

Thank you,
To The Stars... Academy of Arts & Science

Please read the Offering Circular before investing.

To The Stars Academy of Arts and Science Disclaimer:

This e-mail message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you may NOT use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender by reply e-mail immediately and destroy all copies of the original message, including all attachments.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkXiCsVAAA7atQ.jpg

2019-09-28T17:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177996286433169408

@ddeanjohnson @KikPlenty @Rett94291220 @GalaxyReport mick@mickwest.com

---

2019-09-28T17:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177996098369048576

@jbb731 @Soriluin But I'm not just denying things. I'm doing analysis and pointing out a bunch of reasonable explanations.

For example, look at this 2 minutes video I made, and then tell me I'm just "denying"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-09-28T17:04:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177992428524990464

@zcichy @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch Done.

If anyone has, or can facilitate, direct contact, then I'd appreciate it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkRuF1U8AEgzHw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkRuF1U8AEgzHw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkRpsGUcAEm9tB.jpg
2019-09-28T17:03:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177992119903907841
@discloseitnow @zcichy @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch Which people, exactly?

2019-09-28T16:41:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177986625243996162
@TheOutThere2016 @tabbysstar @RichDolan I visited Nepal years ago. Saw some in a zoo

there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkL6lIU8AA4GxA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkL6lIU8AA4GxA.jpg
2019-09-28T16:35:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177985314255601664
@ufodreaming @GalaxyReport Yes, you are missing that it was claimed that TTSA wrote the new US Navy guidelines for reporting unidentified airspace incursions.

2019-09-28T16:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177985036848513029
@tabbysstar @TheOutThere2016 @RichDolan You can check it here: http://onlinemd5.com/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkLIN0UEAIrcmj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkLIN0UEAIrcmj.jpg
2019-09-28T16:32:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177984540452646912
@tabbysstar @TheOutThere2016 @RichDolan Nope. The md5 has that I posted before proves

what I was thinking of. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkKjblUcAEwvba.jpg

```
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$ md5 -s "seven, Nepal, Red Panda"
MD5 ("seven, Nepal, Red Panda") = 41eabb0bf485b55286851dbdf4f4349e
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkKjblUcAEwvba.jpg

2019-09-28T16:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177981023436492801

@TheOutThere2016 @tabbysstar Here's pre-verification of what I'm thinking of. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkHbL1UYAAL3BY.jpg

```
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$ md5 -s "[redacted]"
MD5 ("[redacted]") = 41eabb0bf485b55286851dbdf4f4349e
Micks-iMac-Pro-2:~ mick$
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkHbL1UYAAL3BY.jpg

2019-09-28T16:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177979724385009664

@tabbysstar Okay

2019-09-28T15:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177973598343942144

@KikPlenty @Rett94291220 @GalaxyReport "Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Intelligence" is an odd title. Where does it fit in this org chart? Seems like it's more like "third tier" than "third highest"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkASWcUwAEiopd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFkASWcUwAEiopd.jpg

2019-09-28T15:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177965216010686464

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon Sure. But read the context of that calculation. I'm going for ballpark figures. the 50 feet I used is actually the wingspan of an FA-18 (44 feet) "plus a bit" because the glare is larger than the object. These are very rough BALLPARK figures. In the next sentence I use 200 feet.

2019-09-28T15:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177961817277992965

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon (and slightly off-topic language issue, "trigonometry" is not restricted to right-angle triangles. The "sine rule" is still trigonometry.) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_sines

---

2019-09-28T14:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177960017594474496

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon I just said "making a right angle triangle"

2019-09-28T14:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177959318458527744

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon So draw the plane in plan view. The longest dimension that is perpendicular to the camera (making a right angled triangle) does not change much.

2019-09-28T14:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177957673674760192

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon Okay, draw what the plane would look like in the video, based on you worse case scenario. What's the change in the largest dimension from the worst case. (note, the feature measured will not be the same)

2019-09-28T14:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177957225484042240

@Deepneuron @EngagingThe No, I'm not. If the US Navy is updating classified guidelines for US Navy pilots reporting incursions into US Navy airspace, then I think it's most likely it will be handled by the US Navy. Not TTSA.

2019-09-28T14:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177956527723802631

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon The difference is that a plane is not just a skinny wing. You are abstracting the math to think about a line in space (wingspan) planes are 3D object where the longest projected length does not vary greatly (1/sqrt(2)) methinks.

2019-09-28T14:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177955342497406976

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon And more clearly - a plane is not just a set of wings. It's kind of like a cross shape. So if the wings are at an acute angle, then the fuselage is at an obtuse angle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFjwH5oUEAALGxi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFjv_8nUcAErK4i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFjv4cGU4AEsst6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFjv6KKUYAEM59r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFjwH5oUEAALGxi.jpg

2019-09-28T14:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177954183850291200

@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon You might want to think about that in 3D.

Consider looking at a distant plane. Take a mental photo, so now you have a 2D image. Something in that now 2D is the "apparent long axis". Not necessarily wings.. What's the shortest you can make that.

2019-09-28T14:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177950886670155776

@KikPlenty @Rett94291220 @GalaxyReport No they are not. Administrative military, or intelligence at best. Read their bios.
https://dpo.tothestarsacademy.com/#the-team

Who there has any relevant experience regarding airspace incursions that's somehow better the actual US Navy?

2019-09-28T14:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177948633922072576
@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon Draw a diagram. Introduce suitable notation. Explain with reference to the diagram, and what I said.

2019-09-28T14:09:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177948457782218752
@AFSUnidentified @GalaxyReport No. I'm asking serious questions. The TTSA team seems to have zero relevant experience in the area of Naval airspace incursions and fleet security, etc. Read their mini-bios here.

https://dpo.tothestarsacademy.com/#the-team

2019-09-28T14:05:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177947504152760321
@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon It says quite clearly "if the apparent long axis of the object is representative of the wingspan".

It's kind of irrelevant though, as that's a glare, not an object. It's a ballpark figure.

2019-09-28T13:44:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177942253739728896
@conor_cowens22 @devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon At such small angles it does not matter. And all the assumptions are listed there.

2019-09-28T05:12:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177813379634778115
@Erwal4 Sure. If someone could forward me their emails, I'd be happy to extend an invitation.

mick@mickwest.com

2019-09-28T05:02:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177810883025571840
@Deepneuron @zcichy @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch I don' think "2/3 the speed of sound" is open to interpretation.

2019-09-28T05:00:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177810246544154624
@KikPlenty @GalaxyReport Which one is most military?

2019-09-28T03:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177792671936442368
@zcichy @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch Not recently. I think I sent something in to their contact address like a year ago.

2019-09-28T03:48:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177792188098285568
@IBKING46 @GalaxyReport Are you not entertained?

2019-09-28T00:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177739529152655360
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi I've let it go because people don't like individual attacks on experts. They see it as mean and insulting. So I tried to explain it to Dave for months. I politely tried to resolve misunderstandings without hurting feelings.

I'm sorry Dave, I'm afraid I can't do that anymore.

2019-09-28T00:16:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177738741269426176
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi That's fine, lots of people misunderstand things. The problem here is that he says he's an expert, other people think he's an expert, they make videos based on that, then hundreds or thousands of people get bad information. ...

2019-09-28T00:13:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177738186677612544
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi He might be an expert in using some FLIR products, but he's not an expert in understanding why they work. If you think IR cameras detect heat, not photons, then clearly there's a fundamental misunderstanding. ...

2019-09-28T00:11:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177737532278108160
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi 1) If the camera rotated the horizon would too.
2) FLIR cameras detect heat, not light, so don't have glares.
3) He's an expert so knows I'm wrong.

All these things are false. ...

2019-09-28T00:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177736623095549952
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Well now Dave has muted me. Let me explain why I'm giving him a hard time.
I've been trying to explain the thesis to him for months. But he keeps dismissing it based on three false claims ...

2019-09-28T00:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177735969392316416
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Oh I'm keeping it up.

2019-09-27T23:57:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177733989844406272
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Heat is not radiation. The camera isn't measuring "heat", there's no such thing as "heat flaring".

Heat is a property of matter (kinetic energy of the molecules, essentially). Matter gives off infrared radiation. Hotter matter gives off more infrared radiation. It's photonic.

2019-09-27T23:53:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177733039490600960
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Oh, I see you quoted from Wiki "The two main types of detectors are thermal and photonic (photodetectors)."

Thermal = contact thermometers
Photonic = Cameras and remote thermometers.

You don't know what heat is.

2019-09-27T23:51:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177732477827174400
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Er, what? The camera contains a detector for electromagnetic radiation. Calibrated for specific wavelengths, sure, but they are all photonic. In IR they are essentially thermal photonic.

I'm feeling like you don't actually understand what "heat" is.

2019-09-27T23:46:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177731238326501378
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi Okay, from your second video, 1 second. You think that the plane is in focus, but the engine is out of focus because it's hot.
You're sure this is not just ..... glare? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgkTf_UEAAT02B.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgkTf_UEAAT02B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgkFIjVAAA1Ba7.jpg

2019-09-27T23:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177730028034899969

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi That makes zero sense. Like saying a dim light focusses better than a bright light. Focus is focus - unless there is glare.

Plus, you said it was totally out of focus.

2019-09-27T23:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177729463418679297

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi AKA "Germanium glass"

It's an irrelevant point. The point is that a MWIR focuses electromagnetic radiation onto a sensor. Just like a visible light camera also focuses electromagnetic radiation onto a sensor. So it has similar artifacts. It doesn't "see heat", it sees IR.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgiLRXUwAAo_Lv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgiLRXUwAAo_Lv.jpg
2019-09-27T23:33:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177728073069776896
@buffcommacu @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @devgru1980mi It's closer. So the glare is smaller relative to the plane, which also means it'a affected more by exhaust turbulence.

2019-09-27T23:30:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177727201099358208
@buffcommacu @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @devgru1980mi In Daves video of a much closer jet. See here at 3:18
https://youtu.be/5E7onF1c_T0?t=198

2019-09-27T23:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177725810964254720
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi If your video was "completely out-of-focus" then why could you see the wings in IR?

2019-09-27T23:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177725322852089856
@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi What do you mean, "no glass used in the IR optics?" There's still lenses. They are still made of glass, of various types.

They might not always transmit visible light. But that's irrelevant. We are talking about MWIR radiation.

https://www.edmundoptics.com/c/ir-lenses/655/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgezSgUYAAEYEH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgezSgUYAAEYEH.jpg

2019-09-27T23:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177723246549983232

@knows_goyim @agvBruceAdams @paulmuaddib61 @AE911Truth The photos are from the AP, not from Metabunk.

2019-09-27T23:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177723058506760192

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi I'm not talking about visible light. Not in the slightest. I only USE light as a more visible demonstration of glare. I'm NOT saying it's visible light creating the glare in the Gimbal video.

I'm talking about medium wave infrared radiation. MWIR glare. Like in your video.

2019-09-27T23:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177719638475472896

@agvBruceAdams @paulmuaddib61 @knows_goyim @AE911Truth That column was actually cut six weeks later. There's AP photos of it before it was cut.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.t9469/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgZnGeVAAAYU0S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFgZnGeVAAAYU0S.jpg
2019-09-27T21:47:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177701221320159232
@GalaxyReport I would be very surprised if, as you suggested TTSA "wrote these updated UAP reporting guidelines".
What does TTSA know about military airspace incursions? What's their military experience? What military guidelines have they written before?

2019-09-27T21:45:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177700706020577280
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @richardgage This is a linear dynamic analysis. Individual girder were modeled with multiple shell elements. Real girders are continuous things. You have to approximate them as closely as possible with the given resources. Hulsey did not even try. Just used springs. No movement. No collision.

2019-09-27T21:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177690789993832448
@GalaxyReport I'm asking why you think TTSA are involved.

2019-09-27T21:00:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177689432247263232
@GalaxyReport What makes you think TTSA wrote them. That make no sense. The reporting is for incursions into military controlled. It's a safety and security issue, not a scientific anomaly spotting issues. Why would TTSA, essentially an entertainment company, be involved in that?

2019-09-27T20:32:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177682522576056320

http://Academia.edu are trying really hard to pique my curiosity.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFf3l_CUYAAXSZk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFf3l_CUYAAXSZk.jpg
2019-09-27T19:50:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177671941802475521
@SpaceDadOrbis @nickpopemod Thanks! I wasn't sure if we've have enough to talk about going in, but it turned out there was plenty of interesting subjects, and Nick is a great conversationalist with these topics.

2019-09-27T19:30:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177666763158216709
@buffcommacu @PostDisclosure @zcichy @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch @TheoryQed Essentially yes. But I'll also make the argument that there's no good correlation between the three things (eyewitness reports, videos, and recollection of radar.) They don't necessarily match, so you CAN treat them in isolation.

2019-09-27T19:27:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177666116350398464
@PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch @TheoryQed Also I talked to @TheoryQed a month AFTER that video was made. So you should also watch this for full context.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qwWVlhnhF1M&feature=youtu.be&t=1865

2019-09-27T19:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177664815579332609

@PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch @TheoryQed Summing it up: He says that I don't account for what the eyewitnesses saw, and that Dave Falch disagree with me.

But I think Falch's objection are wrong, and and I'm explaining what this video looks like, not what other people say they saw.

2019-09-27T18:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177653913362694145

@adrian_probert @UAP1949 It #4/#5 on a list where there are three other options that are vastly more likely and would need to be made vastly less likely first for "advanced tech" to rise to the top.

Never take anything off the list. But rank it appropriately.

2019-09-27T18:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177652806548742145

@jerry25a @Centaurianslug @IvanBuchanJanus @Deepneuron @Soriluin @mesolithicguy It's probably, if you do all the math, a bug. Most of the apparent motion comes from the 20mph motion of the drone itself.

https://www.metabunk.org/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.t10370/

2019-09-27T18:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177652240586137600

@Direax1 No.

2019-09-27T18:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177648734395498497

RT @Rainmaker1973: What does the Sun do at the South Pole? In the Antarctic summer (now), it never sets. It just circles the pole [source oâ□¦

2019-09-27T18:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177646655203471360

@PostDisclosure @zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch I'm afraid Dave doesn't really understand my assessment. He keeps saying that if the camera was rotating, then the horizon would rotate.

I explain why it does not, here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-09-27T18:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177645501136588805

@Deepneuron @zcichy @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch I think we can agree as a baseline at "2/3 the speed of sound", as stated in the TTSA show, is "high speed"? And since the object is moving roughly at 40 knots, then they are very wrong?

2019-09-27T18:01:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177644527080706048

@Deepneuron @zcichy @PostDisclosure @Soriluin @DaveFalch If you've got range, slant angle, speed, and heading, then it's 3D.

We did 3D reconstructions too. Although with constant altitudes, a lot simplifies to 2D.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFfVYsuU4AEkJQI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFfVYsuU4AEkJQI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFfU6TQUcAEgAOl.png

2019-09-27T17:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177643106981691392

@zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch The SCU analysis of Nimitz is a different matter. It most about eyewitness stuff, and a waste of time because the eyewitness descriptions are inexact but obviously of something far beyond human tech.
Their video analysis is wrong, because they say it moves. The camera moves.

2019-09-27T17:53:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177642457925685248
@zcichy @buffcommacu @devgru1980mi @DaveFalch More specifically, I'm talking about the Go-Fast video, because the math is the most accessible (basically just Sine = Opposite over Hypotenuse), and the verifiable results are at odds with the TTSA and Elizondo descriptions ("2/3 the speed of sound")

2019-09-27T17:28:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177636128691638272
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Quite different? Sure, MWIR is absorbed less than LWIR. But MWIR (3,000 to 5,000nm) is actually more like visible light (700nm) than LWIR (7,000 to 14,000) is. Different sensor and lens requirement, but optically it's the same physics. Trade-off between clarity and emissivity.

2019-09-27T17:11:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177631844558241792
@kyleparks77 @MarcWeinbergWX More examples and explanation here: (#3 - Edge/Volumetric shadow) http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFfJ6CfUUAA1jc0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFfJ6CfUUAA1jc0.jpg

2019-09-27T17:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177630945106481152

@devgru1980mi @zcichy How would me seeing a UFO change the math regarding the Go-Fast video? How would it change the fact that the Gimbal rotation is just a camera artifact? These are measurable, testable things. They are unrelated to different observations.

2019-09-27T16:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177627718629724160

@devgru1980mi @zcichy I was talking to Nick Pope about how I found UFO folk to be more prone to anger than 9/11 or Flat Earth folk. He thought it might be because so many UFO folk are "experiencers," and see critical UFO analysis as a challenge to their sanity.

46:15 here: https://youtu.be/k3iPZXrifso?t=2774

2019-09-27T16:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177625345169616896

@devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon I'm not saying your experience wasn't real. I'm saying that everyone who has done the math on "Go Fast" has found the same thing I find in my video. It's not low and fast, it's high and slow (and small)

2019-09-27T16:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177624775822151681

@devgru1980mi @zcichy @buffcommacu @Raytheon Yeah, they had a somewhat tongue-in-cheek press release about the videos that really said nothing other than "looks unidentified, yay us! More data needed"

https://web.archive.org/web/20180413220327/https://www.raytheon.com/news/feature/uap_atflir

---

2019-09-27T16:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177623661169143808

@devgru1980mi @zcichy @Raytheon I don't hear them saying anything about how high or fast the Go Fast object is. Or how big it is. So you've just got simple high-school trig vs. TTSA's eyeballing. Here's the VERY SIMPLE math they did not do.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-27T16:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177623117658607616

@devgru1980mi @zcichy @buffcommacu @Raytheon Raytheon has not.

2019-09-27T16:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177623030786191360

@devgru1980mi @zcichy Trigonometry needs no experts. It just is.

2019-09-27T16:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177622879636058112

@devgru1980mi @zcichy Given the speed & altitude, then what fits? Large birds or balloons would fit, but a stray weather ballon fits better

Figuring out what it might be comes AFTER you figure out how high, fast, and big it is. Elizondo did not do the math. TTSA's claims of low & fast are wrong.

2019-09-27T16:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177619765939990528

@adrian_probert @UAP1949 The verbal descriptions indicate incredible technology. I'd put it down to (in decreasing probability)

1) Mistaken observations and/or glitches
2) Misremembering
3) Lies
4) Advanced human technology
5) Non-human technology
6) Magic/Matrix

2019-09-27T16:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177618943936090112

@Soriluin @zcichy It should also include a bit of thought. How can he POSSIBLY imagine that this image is a useful comparison to what is shown in any of the videos?

So you've got to ask, is this indicative of the general quality of their diligence?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFe97aFUEAA1Xgn.jpg

---

which creates relatively low exhaust temperatures and volume of exhaust gases. In a higher power setting, the exhaust plume would be much larger and brighter.



Figure 2 - Infrared imagery of known aircraft shows features and characteristics clearly different than the object in the GO FAST video

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFe97aFUEAA1Xgn.jpg
2019-09-27T16:17:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177618360407744512
@Soriluin @zcichy Due diligence should include math though. Why does Elizondo allow this mistake to remain on his site long after it has been shown to be wrong with simple trig?
https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2015-go-fast-footage
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFe9grdUYAUKIv9.jpg

HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE

GO FAST is an authentic DoD video that captures the high-speed flight of an unidentified aircraft at low altitude by a F/A-18 Super Hornet ATFLIR forward-looking infrared system. While TTSA was the first to obtain a copy, it should be available to any member of the press or public via the Freedom of Information Act.

BACKGROUND

This video, GO FAST, was captured by a U.S. Navy F/A-18 Super Hornet using the Raytheon AN/ASQ- 228 Advanced Targeting Forward-Looking Infrared (ATFLIR) Pod. This sensor has two imaging modes – mid-wave infrared and visual. It has high resolution and can locate and designate targets at distances exceeding 40 nm. The video imagery represents the image displayed in the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFe9grdUYAUKIv9.jpg
2019-09-27T16:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177616146733776896
@Soriluin No. Although that varies with the topic. With Flat Earth for example I'm pretty much

100% on the side of it being wrong. But even there I examine individual claims of evidence. I don't think "UFO as super advanced technology" is impossible, just unlikely and lacks good evidence.

2019-09-27T15:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177601621221466112

@BartParty One should be skeptical of all information, but of course one modulates that skepticism depending on the extraordinariness of the claim and the historical trustworthiness and accuracy of the source. I wouldn't spend time investigating David Berkowitz's UFO sightings.

2019-09-27T14:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177589214214418432

@BTRadford @nickpopemod agrees with working 1991-1994, part time (up 50%, his other duties were things like vetting & clearance of military personnel written books). He says that he says he "ran the UK UFO program" for simplicity. More detailed explanation at 52:27 https://youtu.be/k3iPZXrifso?t=3147

2019-09-27T13:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177582580670980097

@ChrisGZuger @joerogan @h3r2tic Well, @h3r2tic is mostly about 3D graphics, so there's that. :)

How many complaints does it take to get a video flagged? Youtube probably weighs something like ((complaints-verified*5)/(subs*views))*(manual override) which keeps @joerogan running.

2019-09-27T13:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177579006314463232

@TheOutThere2016 I suspect it's just a default behavior once something gets one or two hate-speech complaints. It should be reinstated after review, and the people reporting it might get a strike. There was nothing offensive in it that I remember. It's up here. https://www.pscp.tv/w/1gqGvgPnOgnJB

2019-09-27T13:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177571732544057344

@efally123 It is now. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3iPZXrifso

---

2019-09-27T13:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177571593536434176

@Zayin_77 Too late! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3iPZXrifso

---

2019-09-27T13:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177570407311798272

@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @nickpopemod If any witness would like to talk to me, then mick@mickwest.com, and my DMs here are open.

2019-09-27T13:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177569973591371777

@adrian_probert @UAP1949 It's a bunch of unnecessary math. Obviously the verbal descriptions given indicate something with trans-current-human technology and impossibly high "g" s.
The analysis of the video is wrong, as the only actual movement is from loss of lock with jumps from camera/lens changes.

2019-09-27T12:34:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177562220449763328
@TheOutThere2016 Must be the UFO folk. I didn't really talk about Flat Earth there. Mostly 9/11 and UFOs, with a touch of chemtrails, as I remember. It was my third one-hour podcast in a day.

2019-09-27T06:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177469304243027969
@nickpopemod Podcast page:
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/26/episode-24-nick-pope-area-51-ufos-and-ufology/
Apple Podcast:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-24-nick-pope-area-51-ufos-and-ufology/id1462120258?i=1000451387435
Spotify: https://open.spotify.com/show/1epkvGqLWfGBBJ2wW1y0cC

2019-09-27T06:19:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177467851407388672
Great chat with @nickpopemod on Storming Area 51, UFOs, the state of UFOlogy, and exactly what he did in the Department of Defense's UAP investigations.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3iPZXrifso

2019-09-26T23:51:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177370099654651904
My interview with Nick Pope

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/26/episode-24-nick-pope-area-51-ufos-and-ufology/

2019-09-26T23:50:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177369770548547584
In #TFTRH 24 I interview Journalist Nick Pope about his recent trip to observe the storming of Area 51, as well as current developments in UFO research and culture. We also discuss his past work studying UFO reports for the British Government in the 1990s
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/26/episode-24-nick-pope-area-51-ufos-and-ufology/

2019-09-26T23:47:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177369055147069440
@williamkay62
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/26/episode-24-nick-pope-area-51-ufos-and-ufology/

2019-09-26T22:52:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1177355414356164608
@Fibonacci222 @JasonBermas I try to stick to the facts.

2019-09-26T22:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177345693771390976

@williamkay62 Maybe later today.

2019-09-26T21:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177336928447811584

@rogerglassel Oh yeah, I've seen those. We talked a lot about the UAP/UFO terminology. Didn't mention UAS though.

I'm going to edit it and put it up later today.

2019-09-26T21:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177335669602934784

@jimroy I mean he seemed like he was giving honest answers without a hidden agenda.

2019-09-26T21:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177335341394432000

@rogerglassel The Gardisher answers? Maybe link to them?

2019-09-26T21:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177332987597217792

@rogerglassel He mentioned you. Not sure about those Qs, but he did say the DoD seemed to be betting into a little bit of a muddle, with some contradictions.

2019-09-26T21:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177332427481501696

@SpaceDadOrbis He did talk about that a bit.

2019-09-26T21:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177332246040104960

I just finished recording this talk, and I think we covered quite a few of the questions raised. Nick seemed quite genuine to me, and we had a nice chat.

2019-09-26T21:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177331750566936576

@Fibonacci222 @JasonBermas It went great, we discussed a variety of topics.

2019-09-26T18:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177295810951647232

@rinsana You recently recounted visiting Downtown NY on 9/12, and described how a building would maybe fall and hit the NY Stock exchange. You said there was a "controlled implosion later on"

This is being taken out of context to promote conspiracy theories. Please clarify.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFaYTIuUYAAAe2_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFaYTIuUYAAAe2_.jpg

2019-09-26T18:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177283003984121856

@jake_dankman He was. We will talk about that.

2019-09-26T18:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177282407587647489

@darthvadertube I don't anyone puts "expert" in their actual title. But he's got a lot more experience than most. Particularly as he used to investigate UFOs/UAPs for the British Government. Kind of a semantic thing though. He knows a lot about UFOology.

2019-09-26T17:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177281161741029376

@IvanBuchanJanus @ddeanjohnson @TheUndeadGaucho @PostDisclosure You can use simple trigonometry to resolve that question.

2019-09-26T17:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177280861625995264

I'm chatting with UFO expert Nick Pope later today. What should I ask him?

2019-09-26T17:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177280649641652224

@Fibonacci222 So the 4-year university study that AE911 paid $316,000 for being riddled with errors is "an empty objection" and "irrelevant shit"?

You should tell them that.

2019-09-26T17:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177280200561709056

@ddeanjohnson @TheUndeadGaucho @PostDisclosure What! I'm MUCH taller than that guy.

2019-09-26T17:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177278505400188928

@Fibonacci222 Then why did they need to spend $316, 000 on a study? And why did the study turn out to be very poor quality, with conclusions based on faulty analysis?

2019-09-26T17:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177278164256485376

@TheUndeadGaucho @ddeanjohnson @PostDisclosure This appears to be a made-up story, as I don't know what the "Tic-Tac" actually was, and I've not "had my picture taken with the thing". I THINK it's most likely a mid-sized plane in the video, and that and what Fravor saw, and what the radar detected were all different things.

2019-09-26T15:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177250514473373703

@Fibonacci222 It's based on verifiable facts, which is why you don't have to take my word for it. Check it out. Did he do static linear analysis for a dynamic non-linear situation?. Did he pass off box physics as "dynamic analysis"?.

Don't take my word for it. Check it.

2019-09-26T15:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177244733413838850

Ron Insana mentions "7 World Trade" and "controlled demolition" when remembering his visit to the 9/11 site. Conspiracy theorist rejoice. Two problems:

1) He went there on 9/12, AFTER WTC7 fell

2) The building he describes as WTC7 is One Liberty Plaza.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ae911t-cnbc-anchor-ron-insana-claims-building-7-a-controlled-implosion.t10929/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFZp140UYAA8iOO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFZp140UYAA8iOO.jpg

2019-09-26T04:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177076142131560449

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage So what day will they be

available to chat about Hulsey's study?

2019-09-26T04:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177075704363569153

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Probably not. Just you. And Hulsey's students.

2019-09-26T04:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177072771907538949

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage You thing the tilting building is JUST a visualization of DCRs? Which set of DCRs is it visualizing if they have all failed?

2019-09-26T04:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177070328779952128

@Fibonacci222 No, that just what I say.

2019-09-26T03:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177070086672146432

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage You are misunderstanding what the problem is.

2019-09-26T03:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177067696044703744

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Hey Gerrycan, are you still planning on setting up that Skype chat with some engineers? Iâ□□m free on Friday.

2019-09-26T03:47:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177067048322523136

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan I look forward to them. Ideally a very long range shot of the back of a jet.

2019-09-26T03:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177066674471620608

@Fibonacci222 Not really.

2019-09-26T03:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177066589071347714

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Itâ□□s not wrong. Hulseys usage is wrong.

2019-09-26T01:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177040060257988608

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage So is either one an analysis?

2019-09-26T01:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177039329496973313

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan But perhaps you could be more specific. What are the differences?

2019-09-26T01:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177037870231539713

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan The article discusses military usages.

2019-09-26T01:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177029847274516482

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage You're going round in circles. Let's try a slightly different angle.

Do you think figure 4.16 is an "analysis" or a "visualization"

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1177029565270511616/pu/img/Xf13CYjTRwMVBH2T.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1177029565270511616/pu/img/Xf13CYjTRwMVBH2T.jpg

2019-09-26T00:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177023646771974144

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage I'm referring to his specific use of linear static analysis.

2019-09-26T00:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177019156572459008

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Where is "The stated aim of the linear static analysis was to measure column DCRs - nothing more." validated there?

2019-09-26T00:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177016876477513728

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Er no. His usage of it was wrong. Linear Static Analysis is not inherently wrong.

HULSEY's linear static analysis is just wrong.

2019-09-26T00:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177016563674669056

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Where did he state that? They had broader goals. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFWaUisU4AEdxH_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFWZwZoVAAEf8Di.jpg

4.2 The UAF Research Team's Approach

We simulated a number of hypothetical scenarios in order to determine what types of local failures could and could not produce the key features described above. One set of analyses was performed for the collapse of the east penthouse. A second set of analyses was performed for the collapse of the west penthouse and north face roofline (i.e., the main observed collapse event). For all collapse models and analyses, we included the debris damage assumed by NIST, which involved six exterior columns on the southwest face of the building reportedly being severed by falling debris from the collapse of WTC 1.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFWaUisU4AEdxH_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFWZ1ivUwAAoh4U.jpg

2019-09-26T00:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177014829350969344

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Oh, they will. You will too.

2019-09-26T00:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177014436160131072

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage The papers and manuals are not wrong. And I say in my second video that static linear analysis is fine for removing a column and seeing where the load goes. But Hulsey's usage was wrong.

2019-09-25T23:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1177002833117270018

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks @richardgage Huh? Nobody said anything useful there. I just thought they might be interested. But it seems not so much. Interest in the study is pretty low.

2019-09-25T22:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176985273642577920

@TTSAFan @PostDisclosure Sure, what do you think I should do?

:)

2019-09-25T22:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176984502100381697

@Kid_Bx @TheoryQed @Soriluin @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Of course if you use that in an atmosphere, you'd get a massive explosions.

Mind you, even Alcubierre didn't think it would actually work.

2019-09-25T22:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176983851660234752

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy Watch this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

Read this: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2019-09-25T22:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176983152943755266

@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Well, first of all you've got see if it is advanced tech. I know y'all feel that has been proven. But I don't. So the other questions seem kind of irrelevant to me.

2019-09-25T22:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176982889063301120

@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @ElectroDeeJ @ToTheStarsInc @tomdelonge @joerogan @neiltyson @michaelshermer I'd just dig into the details.

2019-09-25T22:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176982491418095617

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy It's also utterly irrelevant to this case. we know what the plane was (an A340) . We know how high it was (climbing from 20,000 to 30,000 feet). We have the ADS-B tracking data. We have a 3D recreation of the paths of the

plane and the paths of the helicopter. Check it out.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFV7RiUUcAAJ1-M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFV7RiUUcAAJ1-M.jpg
2019-09-25T22:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176980706905317376
@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy Bill.

I've spent large chunks of the last 12 years of my life looking at planes and figuring out what they are and where they are in space.

You're asking me a circular question about what altitude a plane at altitude is at? It's at its altitude. They vary.

2019-09-25T21:59:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176979633096421376
@GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @ElectroDeeJ @ToTheStarsInc @tomdelonge @joerogan @neiltyson @michaelshermer I too would like to talk to Elizondo. Someone suggest it to him.
Actually I'd also like to talk to Fravor, and Justice.

2019-09-25T21:58:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176979383631790080
@Kid_Bx @TheoryQed @Soriluin @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Might as well just say "unless you invent time travel"

2019-09-25T21:57:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176979174570905600
@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy

@PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Sure. It's not important. A semantic classification. Let's change the choices to "regular humans secret amazing tech" and "unusual beings we were previously unaware of, amazing tech"

Still going with "regular humans" as the simplest.

2019-09-25T21:53:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176978105463758848
@Kid_Bx @TheoryQed @Soriluin @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Only in one direction though.

2019-09-25T21:53:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176977983153700864
@PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @ElectroDeeJ I'm not your opponent.

2019-09-25T21:52:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176977822012731392
@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Although you could get semantic with "unknown advanced aquatic species." But hopefully that won't be an issue. I'd call them aliens, but it's not really important, is it?

2019-09-25T21:51:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176977490767540225
@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Those are all either humans or aliens.

2019-09-25T21:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176976628166971392
@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy That curve calculator is a bit simple. Try this one I wrote. https://www.metabunk.org/curve/

2019-09-25T21:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176975823527534592
@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Life's what you make it.

I'd ask "how can we develop or acquire technology to travel to the stars and settle planets with

human life?"

2019-09-25T21:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176975192247001088

@TheoryQed @Soriluin @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan If, as asked, it was proved to be "a nuts and bolt unknown craft, and it went from 80.000 feet to 50ft in under a second" then those are actually the only two possibilities.

2019-09-25T21:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176974740705042432

@YourFriendZippy @AnahitaAredvi @tubes99 @a_leesha1 @_ClarissaRenee @jeremycorbyn Might want to check this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-25T21:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176974286310928384

@Soriluin @TheoryQed @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan I'd have plenty of questions for the aliens too.

2019-09-25T21:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176973863466389504

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy So should you. The relevant bit ends as shown in this image. So watch up to 43 seconds in, pause it, then think about what he just said.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVzFl9U0AA6F9K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVzFl9U0AA6F9K.jpg

2019-09-25T21:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176972918242177024

@Soriluin @TheoryQed @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy

@PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Either way it would be pretty amazing. But what exactly could indicate it was not man-made? There's two options:

A) Amazing, unknown, human technology
B) Amazing, unknown, alien technology

These are the same, except one requires aliens. With nothing else Occam would pick humans

---

2019-09-25T21:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176969905410699264
@TheoryQed @Kid_Bx @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan That's a rhetorical question though. I would not spend too much time on it :) What are you ACTUALLY questioning?

2019-09-25T21:18:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176969204185976837
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks You keep mentioning "my" engineer. He's not mine. He's Donald Friedman, a senior structural engineer who worked at Ground Zero from 9/12 for two months. He wrote an interesting book about it. I've interviewed him once. That's it. https://amzn.to/2nkmam9 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVu1NKU0AAY4Jj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVu1NKU0AAY4Jj.jpg

2019-09-25T20:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176958709743767552

RT @PostDisclosure: My joyous message for @MickWest regarding our upcoming Conversation on October 1st:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176956451912323072/pu/img/-ogLXc0xd-ViSvGn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176956451912323072/pu/img/-ogLXc0xd-ViSvGn.jpg
2019-09-25T19:15:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176938340907569152
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Kind of irrelevant if, as seems to be, the static linear analysis is deeply flawed (starting with "static" and "linear") and they did not even try to do the required dynamic non-linear analysis.

2019-09-25T19:13:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176937910894878720
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Plenty of data from NIST. They released a lot of it. You can download it from here:
https://github.com/medmond78/nist-wtc7

2019-09-25T19:12:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176937560385261568
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Doing a proper dynamic analysis is hard to do. NIST took a shot at it. Hulsey did not. Probably did not have the resources.

NIST's models took EIGHT WEEKS to spit out 25 seconds of analysis. They did this multiple times. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVSX_KVAAANmTc.jpg

---

3.6.1 System Specifications

All simulations were run on ARA's high speed Linux Beowulf computer cluster. The system consisted of a head node and eight compute nodes. The head node housed two 64 bit AMD Opteron 250 2.4 GHz processors. The head node had 4 GB of RAM and housed 1.5 terabytes of RAID 5 disk storage. Each compute node housed two 64 bit AMD Opteron dual-core 285 2.6 GHz processors. Six of the compute nodes had 8 GB of RAM, and the remaining two nodes had 16 GB RAM. The compute nodes communicated using Silverstorm Infiniband Interconnects.

3.6.2 Model Size and Typical Run Time

The global model was constructed with 3,593,049 nodes and 3,045,925 total elements. The type and number of the elements were: 3,006,910 shells, 3,190 beams, 2,461 solids, 33,364 discrete elements, and 3,050 rigid elements.

The global simulation was first initialized under gravity loading over 4.5 s of simulation time. Then damage and temperature initialization states were applied over 4 additional seconds. Collapse propagation in the global model required approximately 16 additional seconds after initialization, for a total of close to 25 seconds of simulated time, which generally took up to 8 weeks using 12 CPUs across 3 nodes (4 CPUs per node).

3.6.3 LS-DYNA Version

The analyses were performed with the LS-DYNA finite element code (Version mpp971dR4 beta, revision 41161). Use of this double precision code results in longer run times than a single precision version would require. However, a double precision version was necessary. In structural models where there are physically large dimensions (spatial extents of the building) compared to the characteristic element length, and long time scales compared to the explicit time step size, rounding errors can occur and accumulate when using a single-precision analysis. To address this issue, the double precision version of LS-DYNA was used for the global analyses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVSX_KVAAANmTc.jpg

2019-09-25T19:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176936720563990528

@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Well my point is that Hulsey's static analysis is, quite frankly, obviously wrong.

2019-09-25T19:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176936404548407296

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Here's what he SHOULD have done. He decided not to, for some reason. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVRWYeUwAA9D5S.jpg

---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

E.1 INTRODUCTION

As part of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) investigation into the collapse of World Trade Center (WTC) 7, NIST worked with Applied Research Associates, Inc. (ARA) under contract to conduct global collapse analyses of the WTC 7 building. The purpose of this work was to analyze the global response of WTC 7 to initial failure events due to fire and to analyze the resulting component and subsystem failures to determine the events that led to the global collapse.

The nonlinear dynamic collapse analyses were performed using LS-DYNA, which is capable of explicitly modeling sequential failures, falling debris, and debris impact on other structural components. LS-DYNA is well suited for this type of analysis since it can model the dynamic sequential failure processes, including nonlinear material properties, nonlinear geometric deformations, material failures, and contact between the collapsing structural components. In addition, LS-DYNA has capabilities to include thermal softening of materials and thermal expansion.

Analyses of the LS-DYNA model of the 47 story WTC 7 were used to evaluate the global response of the building to initial failure events due to fire, including component and subsystem failures, to determine the events that led to the global collapse. Four global analyses were performed:

- Analysis of the building response to debris impact damage, temperature effects (Case B, 4.0 h temperatures), and fire induced damage based on the 16 story ANSYS analysis (Case B, 4.0 h damage).
- Analysis with earlier fire induced damage (Case B, 3.5 h) to determine if a lesser degree of induced damage state was sufficient to initiate a global collapse of WTC 7.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFVRWYeUwAA9D5S.jpg

2019-09-25T19:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176935901244452865

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks There's data there. There's the column loadings, and there's the building displacement.

The analysis result is a tipping building.

The analysis is deeply flawed, for a variety of reasons, discussed previously.

2019-09-25T19:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176935131203817472

@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks You're missing the point. They have two different models that give two different results. Hulsey seemed unaware that columns were being manually removed after the first 6.

Which model is correct? How do they know?

2019-09-25T18:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176933914775965696

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks They are simplified physical models set up to create the behavior that Hulsey imagine would follow from the static analysis.
They are NOT actual dynamic analysis results. It's OBVIOUSLY just box physics.

2019-09-25T18:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176932707634315264

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I'm working with what I've got. Since everyone is touting the report as PROOF!!! then I feel it's perfectly reasonably to point out the serious and obvious flaws it contains. Like it being obviously wrong, or claiming dynamic analysis when it was box physics.

2019-09-25T18:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176932176966766592

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy They are not.

FLAIR has targeting limitation. It has no limit on how far it can see. You can see the moon rise.

2019-09-25T18:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176931448390963200

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Really, you don't think the unusually flat distribution of axial loads is a problem? Seems kind of significant, if you are looking for a validated model. Axial loads seems like an easy validation.

2019-09-25T18:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176930397986279424

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Well of course the linear static analysis leads to figure 4.14. That's the whole point. The linear static analysis is not a reflection of reality, as seem by the super-fulcrum problem and the load distribution problem, (and the "nothing breaks" problem, and the linear problem)

2019-09-25T18:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176926323429691392

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks But I was asking for suggestions. Is you suggestion that I don't mention 4.14, just stick to the column plan diagrams with axial loads?

2019-09-25T18:26:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176925933216968704

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I'm not treating it as a dynamic output, Hulsey is. It's a garbage output.

2019-09-25T18:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176924960813539331

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Well thank you for your concern, but I've yet to see a good rebuttal, so I'm forced to keep going. I'll make a video soon about the fulcrum problem, and the load distribution. Any suggestions?

2019-09-25T18:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176923427065565184

@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No. They are different simulations. He even has three more floors on the model used in the presentation. And much bigger glitches (which is why it's purple). Did you watch all of my video?

2019-09-25T18:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176923177399676928

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks It's a shame Hulsey and AE911 won't engage in public forums.
I'd agree that for some columns a direct comparison is meaningless (they are missing or close to missing columns) but for the east side, the comparison is valid, and shows Hulsey is wrong.

2019-09-25T18:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176920574246834176
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Is that the only difference then? So the columns on the east wall, and C79, should be pretty much unchanged, right?
So if we restrict comparison to the undamaged East side, that's pretty much like-with-like?

2019-09-25T18:01:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176919763114590208
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I'm comparing axial loads on columns at the floor 13 level. Hulsey's numbers are all from the same figure, 4.8.

2019-09-25T17:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176916851080646656
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Looks like the undamaged case in the table Hulsey includes. But damage isn't gong to flatten it out to that extent. C79 and C38, for example, would be barely affected. NIST has C38 at 1,064, Hulsey has it at 2,560.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFU_oO6UEAE4QBP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFU_oO6UEAE4QBP.jpg
2019-09-25T17:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176914766037569536
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks That's just additional analytical confirmation that the incorporation of damage won't alter the range of axial loads for most of the building.

2019-09-25T17:10:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176906794607628288
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No? Are they not both column axial load around the floor 13 height? What are they then?

2019-09-25T17:09:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176906528453840896
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks So, when you go Bungie jumping, you fall DOWN, creating tension in the cord. Does this not "try" to pull you back UP?

Similarly, the building tips FORWARD, putting tension on the back columns. Does the tension not "try" to pull it back?

2019-09-25T17:00:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176904334912585728
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I wrote up the axial load distribution possible problems. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/234351/
Key point: Hulsey has:
C79 at 3,898 while NIST has it at 5,142
C3 at 2,979 while NIST has it at 916

Feedback appreciated!

2019-09-25T16:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176903904107188224
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks "Tension would be pulling the building back."

and

"The columns that elongate at the rear of the building are in TENSION."

are not in conflict. So where's the confusion?

2019-09-25T16:42:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176899914279686145
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Erm, that sounds backward. Tension would be pulling the building back. This talk of what a building "wants" to do is a bit silly. The tension is a RESULT of tipping (on an infinitely strong fulcrum) it's not something that motivates the building to move away.

2019-09-25T16:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176899249109270528

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks It failed at a higher value, so it was not failed at 20,000, 30,000, 50,000, 100,000, OR 150,000

It's a problem with static analysis.

2019-09-25T16:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176898982208913408

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks They are the end results of one run of a static analysis. Demonstrating the analysis is wrong.

2019-09-25T16:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176897007815757825

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Yet you said "The building won't pivot in a linear static analysis " is "tipping" not "pivoting?"

2019-09-25T16:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176896739019612161

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks This isn't a push-over analysis. There's no lateral forces.

Column six appears to have failed after supporting a load of 150,000 kips. Does this seem realistic to you?

2019-09-25T16:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176896377093115904

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Hulsey (or whoever edited the report) says otherwise. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUtAl3UUAEJgrH.jpg

---



Figure 4.12: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.11 above) leads to the failure of the remaining core columns and many exterior columns (circled in red). The columns with blue values are in tension rather than compression, indicating the building is tipping away from those columns.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUtAl3UUAEJgrH.jpg

2019-09-25T16:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176895940067639296

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Red circle = column failed due to excessive axial load (compression or tension)

Green circle = non-failed columns manually flagged for removal (no clear selection criteria given)

2019-09-25T16:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176895604120666112

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks The red circle indicates it has failed.

2019-09-25T16:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176895282807656448

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks There's four, you can tell by the minus sign. They are all similar numbers.

153,000 kips is nearly the entire load of the building. That's some strong fulcrum!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUr2hyU8AAzsIe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUr2hyU8AAzsIe.jpg

2019-09-25T16:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176894873636462592

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Yeah, they do sound wrong, don't they ... Yet they are the printed numbers. And many of them are clear enough to read the top number, and see the lower numbers (different floors?) are similar. This is CLEARLY 153842.9,

and three similar numbers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUriRFVUAABcWS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUreTfUEAA7xhr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUriRFVUAABcWS.jpg
2019-09-25T16:18:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176893755602788354
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Wrong how? Am I reading the number wrong - because it seems quite clear. Or am I misinterpreting the units? Or is it not load but

something else?

Looks like axial load in kips to me. Axial loads is what is being discussed on page 98.

2019-09-25T16:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176891004089069570

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks It's just the upper left corner of those diagrams. The corner column is #1, then 2,3,4,5,6 are down the left (West) side.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUoF79UwAAh1A-.jpg



Figure 2.23 Column number layout of Floor 13 (NIST, 2008, NCSTAR 1A).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUoF79UwAAh1A-.jpg

2019-09-25T16:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176889462267117568

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No, I'm asking you if I'm wrong, or if I'm right in assuming the numbers refer to column loads in kips. That's figure 4.13, page 101

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUmtOZUYAAFxbN.jpg



Figure 4.12: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.11 above) leads to the failure of the remaining core columns and many exterior columns (circled in red). The columns with blue values are in tension rather than compression, indicating the building is tipping away from those columns.



Figure 4.13: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.12 above) leads to the failure of nearly every remaining column (circled in red).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUmtOZUYAAFxbN.jpg

2019-09-25T15:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176884451843833856

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Is that -4,108 not the load in kips on column 3?

Column 3 normally carried a load of 1,161 kips, so failing at 4,108 seems reasonable, no?

2019-09-25T14:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176871788942262273

@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks This is the result of a deeply flawed

application of static analysis. In Hulsey's world C6 and C3 failed at the same time, allowing C6 to act as a 153,000 kip fulcrum.
This alone should invalidate the study.
Hulsey has no valid global model. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUVzAuU4AAUdcy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUVzAuU4AAUdcy.jpg
2019-09-25T14:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176870759794216960
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I didn't attribute "dynamic properties" to a static analysis. I said it SHOULD HAVE USED dynamic analysis, because the situation it depicts is dynamic.
Those back columns are only in tension because the fulcrum was not failed at the right time.
How did C6 get to 153,842 kips?

2019-09-25T14:38:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176868614151888897
@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks He's presenting the report. How on earth did he get so radically mixed up? It's almost like A) he didn't really understand the slides showed manual column removal in later stages, and B) he didn't know they were different to the figures in the report.

2019-09-25T14:34:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176867646182019072
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I'm talking about the animations that

Hulsey did in SAP2000, the ones available as video on the UAF page. Figure 4.16, etc. Labeled as "dynamic analysis", but actually just box physics based on the flawed static analysis.
http://ine.uaf.edu/wtc7

2019-09-25T13:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176849267069054976
@Shawn5676 I see a burning building collapsing.

2019-09-25T13:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176849046050238465
@JunkScience Sounds like you agree with her then.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUB7HhUcAEDTNf.jpg

Dutton, 46, was nominated because of her studies on how higher temperatures lead to rising sea levels and polar ice shrinkage. As a field geologist, she has traveled the world to develop a picture of what happened during past warming periods so she can better predict the future magnitude and speed of rising sea levels as temperatures increase.

Sea levels can rise by 20 feet (6 meters) or more when the average temperature increases by just a few degrees, she said.

"The message is, what sounds like small changes in temperature has a very large impact on polar ice sheets," she said. "That is a sobering message when it comes to climate change. It means we may have already have committed ourselves to a 20-foot increase in sea level rise."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUB7HhUcAEDTNf.jpg
2019-09-25T13:16:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176847917467914240
@Shawn5676 You need to define what you mean by "pancaking" if you want to argue about. A common usage is something alone the lines of "massively crushed." It's often used in the context of extreme car-crashes. Do you see "pancaking" here?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUAxuWUEAE-sh_.jpg



COLLISION: The two occupants of this Chevy Malibu are lucky to be alive after it was rear-ended by another car at the Trafalgar Road and Dundas Street intersection Thursday night. The occupants were taken to the hospital with serious, but non-life threatening injuries. - David Ritchie/Special to the Beaver

The occupants of a Chevy Malibu are lucky to be alive following a severe collision at the Trafalgar Road and Dundas Street intersection Thursday night.

Police said the Malibu was southbound on Trafalgar when it was rear-ended by a Lincoln SUV.

The impact of the collision was so great that the rear portion of the Malibu was pancaked into the rest of the car.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFUAxuWUEAE-sh_.jpg
2019-09-25T13:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176846665812074496
@gerrycan1 @Oswald1160 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Oswald, the ones with color scales are actual data visualizations of the flawed static analysis. The red/blue "dynamic" ones are just "artist impressions" that have very little connection to the actual data.
gerrycan1 thinks it's the other way around, and that THIS is analysis.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176846432063508480/pu/img/jQ8d_K80hP8w2wBZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176846432063508480/pu/img/jQ8d_K80hP8w2wBZ.jpg
2019-09-25T13:07:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176845669299978243
@GrbrDsy @blackvaultcom The question would be - exactly how unusual was sleeping on the job and falsifying records in that particular prison prior to his death? What if it was just a crap prison, understaffed, with systemic incompetence? Have you checked that?

2019-09-25T12:45:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176840196307898373
@cornweaver @gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No, heâ□□s misunderstanding.

2019-09-25T04:25:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176714379095658496
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan (and, perhaps more relevant, this one https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermographic_camera)

2019-09-25T04:24:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176714137453387777
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan So let's resolve this disagreement? Instead of stances and accusations, let's move to facts.

What is it that I misunderstand? I'm fine with everything in this article, so what am I missing or

misinterpreting? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thermography

2019-09-25T03:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176707044327469062

@Shawn5676 It was not intended to be a term. It's just a description. Sorry if it came across as snarky. I just mean that during the collapse, which is chaotic, floors will obviously impact each other (messily), a kind of "pancaking".

2019-09-25T03:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176698949639360512

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan So why do you have lenses on MWIR cameras?

IR is refracted like visible light.

2019-09-25T02:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176690353077813248

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I unmuted you after I finished the video.

2019-09-25T02:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176690085040779264

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Watch it again.

2019-09-25T02:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176687751686582272

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan What IS your stance?

2019-09-25T02:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176680116090327040

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Yet you bother. Why not resolve things?

2019-09-25T02:08:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176679786623561728

@gerrycan1 @edwardcurrent @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No, thatâ□□s just how the diagrams are labeled

Ask him.

2019-09-25T01:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176677199526547457

@gerrycan1 @edwardcurrent @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Yes that's what I've saying. Why

don't you ask someone who knows about it? The "Dynamic Analysis" was just essentially box physics. A low fidelity "visualization" of what might happen next, if the building were a bunch of boxes.

2019-09-25T01:55:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176676602597392384
@gerrycan1 @Shawn5676 Probably the fire.

2019-09-25T01:35:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176671410246176768
@Shawn5676 I invent all of my sentences. What do you do, copy them from elsewhere?

2019-09-25T01:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176670426874798081
@Shawn5676 Yes. There's initiation pancaking (did not happen) and chaotic collapse pancaking (obviously happened).

I.e. the towers did not collapse because of "pancaking" floors, but when it DID collapse, the floors could hardly avoid being pressed together somewhat.

2019-09-25T01:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176668203696586752
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan I did not copy-paste it. I wrote it based my understanding of electromagnetic radiation and optics.

When a jet engine goes behind a power line, the IR spikes because of diffraction. When it is hot it is bright. The lenses in your IR camera refract IR much like visible light.

2019-09-25T01:18:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176667210367959040
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan So you keep saying, yet you don't produce any evidence at all to support this.

Get technical please.

2019-09-25T01:00:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176662657421627392
@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan That's how infrared electromagnetic radiation works. IR is diffracted (and refracted, and interferes). IR follows light paths. IR has brightness.

IR differs from visible light in absorption, transmission, reflection, and the type of sensor needed.

2019-09-24T23:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176645521563254784

@edwardcurrent @AE911Truth @uafairbanks NIST did do another study taking out JUST C79 - but I'm not sure how they went about it - but Hulsey's study is static, so the floors don't fail. NIST did a proper dynamic analysis.

2019-09-24T23:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176644922897661953

@edwardcurrent @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Good point. The NIST collapse initiation isn't deleting columns. It's a full dynamic analysis where floors fall (and hit other floors), columns buckle non-linearly, and loads vary highly dynamically.

It's not clear what Hulsey is doing, but it not what should have been done.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176644146305495041/pu/img/_yrNJ9vzyqvZ-_tV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176644146305495041/pu/img/_yrNJ9vzyqvZ-_tV.jpg

2019-09-24T23:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176640173573996544

@TheoryQed @DaveFalch @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan But neither involve visible light. So I don't know why Dave would say that's involved, or that I'm confusing "jet exhaust spiking" (presumably in IR) with "light glaring".

Seems like there's a failure to communicate here. We should chat.

2019-09-24T23:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176636860799410176

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Dave, do you want to chat about this over Skype, so we can resolve the misunderstandings?

2019-09-24T23:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176636212049674240

@DaveFalch @TheoryQed @carland27488094 @mesolithicguy @Soriluin @PostDisclosure @nickpopemod @dave_beaty @Deepneuron @blackvaultcom @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @mintyhyperspace @hughespj1 @GaryVoorhis @chad_vfa41 @joerogan Light glaring? We're talking about medium wave infrared. Where did I mention "light glaring" and how exactly am I confusing it with "jet exhaust spiking"?

2019-09-24T22:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176631364000444416

@TheChilterns @Soriluin @CryptGold @mesolithicguy Humans could have done that.

2019-09-24T22:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176629232354525184

@GatsbyFake @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I strive to avoid ambiguity, and to communicate nuance. A tricky task sometimes, especially with emotive topics.

2019-09-24T22:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176626278901805056

@GatsbyFake @AE911Truth @uafairbanks There's currently AE911Truth, a million-dollar organization pushing the "controlled demolition" story. So that's a good indicator it's still a big thing for many people.

It's never going away, but this study might be AE911's last hope. They messed it up.

2019-09-24T22:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176621919975571457

In this more technical follow-up to my video analyzing the @AE911Truth @uafairbanks 9/11 WTC7 Study, I identify some serious problems with the static analysis, and clarify that, unlike NIST, they did not do global dynamic analysis. Just boxy animations.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-DadyW-LR4

2019-09-24T22:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176620284796817408

@TheChilterns @Soriluin @CryptGold @mesolithicguy Go on....

2019-09-24T22:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176617423052500992

@TheChilterns @Soriluin @CryptGold @mesolithicguy Is it hard evidence of something that

people could not do? (and/or animals in the case of cattle mutilation)

2019-09-24T21:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176617222644453377

@TheLightTruthIs @PostDisclosure Not at 100%, but if I had to bet, I'd go with suicide. Maybe with a deliberate lack of care to prevent it.

2019-09-24T21:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176609943861907456

RT @rothschildmd: The next few days are going to be a Level 5 shit hurricane of trolls, bots, conspiracy theories, fake stories, hoaxes, anâ¦

2019-09-24T21:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176604565547831296

@TheLightTruthIs @PostDisclosure Who said 100%?

2019-09-24T19:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176586330169413632

@FiresOfTruth @blackvaultcom With cloth. He was in a fairly regular cell, not on suicide watch.

2019-09-24T19:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176580126743490561

@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks No. I thing that having two models means they donâ□□t have a valid model.

Hulsey used one model in the presentation, one very different model in the report. ON THE SAME DAY.

2019-09-24T19:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176577758123577344

@fffffuuuuuu6 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks In one the columns fail by themselves and are removed. In the other non-failing columns are removed manually to ensure the results. i.e. one model did not work, so they had to keep removing columns, then made a different model.

I'm making a video which will explain in depth.

2019-09-24T19:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176575104836222976

@Soriluin @CryptGold @mesolithicguy UFO vary, but they often have very limited information - so it's hard to say what they are.

2019-09-24T19:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176574571597549570

@adampfinch @blackvaultcom He did not break his neck. He fractured his hyoid bone - kind of a wishbone shaped structure under your chin that gets brittle with age. He wrapped something around his neck, and hung from a bunk bed. Crunch.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFQIJ-mU0AEzGtN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFQIJ-mU0AEzGtN.jpg

2019-09-24T18:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176557422443237376

@LFCforumCo @JetEnthusiast @AE911Truth It has already been confirmed. Although they don't want to publicly talk about it yet.

2019-09-24T17:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176548945666793472

@CryptGold @Soriluin @mesolithicguy I always try to debunk my own theories. That's why they

A) change over time.

and

B) are usually, eventually, solid.

2019-09-24T17:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176548533358383104

@LFCforumCo @JetEnthusiast @AE911Truth They might not have based their conclusion on it, but they certainly did those animations by hand. They just set up some simple box models, and rotated them.

2019-09-24T17:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176544321014657024

@PostDisclosure If it proves nothing then it is not a component. Might as well say "Epstein was a man, men kill themselves more often than women, so it was probably a suicide" It's exactly as wrong and irrelevant as that.

2019-09-24T17:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176543593047003137

@PostDisclosure It's common in both. So "more common" in strangulations is statistically irrelevant. Proves nothing either way.

2019-09-24T17:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176543322090852352

@ufotodaynetwork @PostDisclosure unless they are facing life in jail and public disgrace.

2019-09-24T16:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176540126748405760

@WilsonAdmiral @Deepneuron @Soriluin @mesolithicguy @michiokaku I bet you $20 he's not seen any videos from the Nimitz incident other than those currently publicly available.

2019-09-24T16:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176539839975452672

@PostDisclosure Well it's not entertaining if it's boring. Most news media likes the entertaining spin. Like the nonsense about the hyoid bone, which commonly breaks in hanging suicides of old people. Did you hear that aspect?

2019-09-24T16:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176538635945926656

@WilsonAdmiral @Deepneuron @Soriluin @mesolithicguy @michiokaku That's what I'm referring to. He's wrong. He's not seen anything anyone else hasn't. Why would he? He's just a science popularizer.

2019-09-24T16:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176538403908636672

@PostDisclosure What if them being unusable was not unusual? Like they had a crappy twenty year-old system that was always going down? Do you know the context? I don't.

2019-09-24T16:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176534427968536577

@WilsonAdmiral @Deepneuron @Soriluin @mesolithicguy @michiokaku No he hasn't. Are you

joking? Why are you saying this?

2019-09-24T16:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176534270665314304

@CryptGold @blackvaultcom I don't say no conspiracy. It's possible that someone deliberately did not insist he was on permanent suicide watch. But even that need not be true.

2019-09-24T16:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176534034425376768

@blackvaultcom In any event under intense scrutiny you can pick out things that seem extraordinary if you frame them out of context.

Epstein was in a crap prison with all kinds of problems, he requested to be taken off suicide watch, then he killed himself.

2019-09-24T16:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176533508799352832

@CryptGold @Soriluin @mesolithicguy Why would I do that? I'm not an advocate, I'm trying to find the truth, not argue for one side.

2019-09-24T16:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176532884045189120

@blackvaultcom Sound and fury.

The simplest explanation is that he wasn't murdered, so there's no evidence of him being murdered. So the story has no legs.

2019-09-24T15:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176524670150959106

Hulsey's @AE911Truth @uafairbanks report and presentation use radically different models. In the presentation he describes manually removing columns to "keep the collapse proceeding". In the report (on the same day) it just collapses.

So which is the right model? Why the change?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFPaUVUU4AA5MEa.jpg





Sept 3 Presentation
Manual Column removal



Figure 4.10: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of Columns 76 to 81 overloads 10 exterior columns (circled in red) around the southeast corner, but not the next row of core columns to the west (not circled in red).



Figure 4.11: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of 10 exterior columns around the southeast corner leads to numerous core and exterior columns (circled in red) being overloaded and failing. A progressive collapse triggered by the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81 is underway.

Sept 3 Report
All columns fail under load

SO WHICH MODEL IS CORRECT?

Was it tweaked to get the desired result?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFPaUVUU4AA5MEa.jpg
2019-09-24T15:48:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176523915910213633
@JetEnthusiast @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Yes, just doing some fact-checking.

2019-09-24T13:45:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176492971715284994
@Soriluin @mesolithicguy Are you kidding me? Disclosure of alien contact would be fucking AMAZING!!! I'd be ecstatic and fascinated watching the epoch-shaping events unfold. What a time to be alive! It would be utterly AWESOME!

Oh wait, it would mean I might have made some math mistakes. Abort!

:)

2019-09-23T23:29:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176277481562574848
@carland27488094 @AE911Truth In Hulsey's model, he removes 8 floors entirely. Then EVERYTHING above that falls.

He does not show what happens next, because he does not do a proper dynamic analysis.

2019-09-23T23:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176277102544338944

@icesurfer @AE911Truth It likely won't be addressed by serious scientists, as they generally think the whole idea is ridiculous. However this lack of opposition actually emboldens them. The study is deeply flawed, and that needs pointing out and explaining else people WILL think it proves something.

2019-09-23T22:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176267111896776704

@marcoecolo @eye_walking @PostDisclosure I've always talked to believers - back in the day I used to talk to Chemtrail folk like Dane Wigington.

2019-09-23T22:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176266857109549056

@icesurfer @AE911Truth Yes, because the study is being presented as "proof" of controlled demolition. The fact that is not is not something that cannot just be left unsaid. It needs to be clearly addressed.

I'm critiquing the study quite publicly to encourage a rapid response.

2019-09-23T22:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176256276478562309

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Thanks for your input. But as you keep saying the same thing over and over I'm going to have to mute you. Feel free to declare victory.

However, I'd be happy to talk to someone else. Someone who understands what Hulsey did. Maybe Tony?

2019-09-23T22:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176255882008547328

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks The funny thing is, the "dynamic" model is much more of a "visualization" in the "artist's impression" sense you seem to be using. It's not really data driven, in terms of it being a full-detail simulation. It's essentially an animation.

Ask some fo the folk at AE911 about this

2019-09-23T22:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176255336157630464

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks It's visualization of the analysis. The analysis shows the building tipping over. This should not be something in dispute. You know lots of engineers, right? Go ask them.

2019-09-23T21:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176254878751965185

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I stand by my statements 100%. You've repeated

yourself about five times now. My answer is not going to change.
Both are visualizations. ALL the figures are visualization of one form or another. You are barking up the wrong tree entirely.

2019-09-23T21:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176254203833942017
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Both are visualizations of the data produced by the analysis.

2019-09-23T21:50:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176252500921307136
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks I disagree. A visualization of the data is essentially the same thing as data - especially when there's a color scale in the image showing displacements. This is clearly the result of the analysis, and that's how Hulsey describes it.

2019-09-23T21:46:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176251488466685952
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth @uafairbanks Figure 4.14 shows the results of a linear static analysis. However it's an entirely inappropriate use of static analysis for a situation that is neither static, nor linear. Large deformations and buckling require nonlinear analysis.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLh90FU8AAJ198.jpg



Figure 4.14: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southeast, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLhfSaVAAALhaZ.jpg

Flow Chart: Do I need nonlinear geometry?



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLh90FU8AAJ198.jpg

2019-09-23T21:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176247654218551296

@gerrycan1 I recognize a model glitch when I see one. They happen all the time in games (before release - they are bugs). Seeing it in the final presentation of 4 year, $316,000 study though, that's rather unexpected. Indicative of a lack of rigor. @AE911Truth @uafairbanks

---

2019-09-23T21:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176245925162799104

@gerrycan1 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1176244778368815104

2019-09-23T21:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176245055188660224

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Mistakes made in public are corrected faster than those made in private. So let's have it out!

2019-09-23T21:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176244778368815104

After spending four years and $316,000 on a study to invalidate NIST, you would think @uafairbanks and @AE911Truth would produce something that didn't have structural members displaced 25E+12 (25 trillion)inches below the ground.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLcTrLVUAARmPI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLcTrLVUAARmPI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLb0oxUcAAb1Ew.jpg

2019-09-23T21:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176242753816027136

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Those sticky-out bits in the purple diagram. What are they? How does that work in a static linear analysis? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLacR9UUAAJgpv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLacR9UUAAJgpv.jpg

2019-09-23T21:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176242219784663040

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth No, it's right in the middle of the static analysis.

2019-09-23T21:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176241866515173376

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Well at that point all the column would have failed, so it would be falling. It certainly illustrates tension in the rear columns by rather ridiculous stretching which has no basis in reality.

2019-09-23T21:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176240265629057024

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Use this version to see the slides better.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TAEHhDCTaBw

2019-09-23T21:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176239976289226753

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth It's in the presentation, not in the report. 1:04:13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLYA5LU4AAh10V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLYA5LU4AAh10V.jpg

2019-09-23T20:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176239588223774720

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth So what does green indicate? And why did these columns fail?

2019-09-23T20:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176239051071868928

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLXLa8UUAAwc8G.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLXLa8UUAAwc8G.jpg
2019-09-23T20:56:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176238877759217666
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth What's this visualizing from the column CDRs?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLW77CUcAAtvPe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLW77CUcAAtvPe.jpg
2019-09-23T20:52:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176237968593342464
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Well this is interesting. It seems the the green circle does not just indicate a non-failed column, it indicates a column that was manually removed to get the result they wanted.
Hulsey appears not to understand this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLVqXFVAAAibL6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLVqXFVAAAibL6.jpg

2019-09-23T20:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176236816552169473

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth So this is obviously not just calculating DCRs? It's calculating displacement of the floor panel. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLVAVdUUAAxIk3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLVAVdUUAAxIk3.jpg

2019-09-23T20:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176235979947905024

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Ah he's presenting things in the opposite order to in his report. Odd.

2019-09-23T20:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176235405156311040

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Because I want to hear what your answer is.
If the columns all failed in 0.1 seconds then the building would not tip.

Of course static analysis is time independent. So how does he know the building has tipped over 100 feet based on a sequence of columns failures?

2019-09-23T20:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176234742829608960

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth 1:00:00 ?? He's talking about the Penthouse collapse at that point.

2019-09-23T20:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176232971369476097

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth What's the time interval between 4.10 and 4.11 and subsequent results?

2019-09-23T20:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176231204741210112

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth So, if all it is doing is calculating DCRs for the columns, then at this point we just have two columns in tension.

So what's going on there? All the columns except two have failed, the entire weight of the building is on two columns, and they are in tension?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLPqzNUYAAV3rc.jpg



Figure 4.13: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.12 above) leads to the failure of nearly every remaining column (circled in red).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFLPqzNUYAAV3rc.jpg

2019-09-23T20:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176230055141240832

@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Where does it say that?

2019-09-23T20:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176229995309461504

If you are doing dynamic analysis of the failure of some columns in a building, why not show the part of the building with the actual failure? Why not show what happens when the top part hits the bottom? @ae911Truth.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176229295158525952/pu/img/CET80VPN26wbPQ3k.jpg

---



Figure 1 Finite element model of WTC 7 in SAP2000.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176229295158525952/pu/img/CET80VPN26wbPQ3k.jpg
2019-09-23T20:15:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176228633238634502
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth No Skype then?

My point on the visualization is pretty simple. That's what the linear static analysis gave as the positions of the building. Tilted over by 100 feet.

2019-09-23T20:11:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176227504828248064
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth So you want to Skype then? Can I record and post it?

2019-09-23T20:01:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176224996617621505
@gerrycan1 @AE911Truth Can I record it and post it?

2019-09-23T19:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176216597985316864
@gerrycan1 The one 3 pages before appears to be just load on columns in one slice (not clear where). Saying 4.14 is a visualization of that is a bit rum.

2019-09-23T19:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176215237030100992
@gerrycan1 It says nothing about DCRs. Of course that's one product of the linear static analysis, but that's not stated as being what it is "for". It's not even clear what the four numbers are.

2019-09-23T19:17:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176214120330223616
@gerrycan1 Contact.

2019-09-23T19:00:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176209780794224640

@gerrycan1 Is Hulsey not giving use the data right there? Should we just ignore all the numbers in his diagrams?

2019-09-23T18:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176209271303766016

@gerrycan1 From the article you linked. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK8EUIVUAAiI0_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK8EUIVUAAiI0_.jpg

2019-09-23T18:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176208879232802816

@gerrycan1 So you are saying that 100 foot deformation is an accurate result from the linear static analysis?

2019-09-23T18:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176206573917466629

@gerrycan1 So why is he using linear static analysis?

2019-09-23T18:47:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176206352768622592

@gerrycan1 So this is like an artist's impression, not based on actual numbers?

What's with the scale then? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK5bqPU0AAct7w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK5bqPU0AAct7w.jpg

2019-09-23T18:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176205613035966464

@gerrycan1 " Simply put, in linear analysis deformations of the system does not impact its response.

... And hence, you get really stupid outcomes!"

Like this, maybe? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK4u8LUcAEoEHN.jpg



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK4u8LUcAEoEHN.jpg

2019-09-23T18:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176205160923557890

@gerrycan1 "Linear Finite Element Method simplifies a lot of things. For instance, the material

will never yield resulting in unrealistically high stresses in your model."

Like this, maybe? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK4QmFVAAA0l6o.jpg



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFK4QmFVAAA0l6o.jpg

2019-09-23T18:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176204629853368320

@gerrycan1 How does it show it is not plausible? By showing the building tipping over 100 feet.

How can you do that with a static analysis?

2019-09-23T18:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176203294802186240

@gerrycan1 Again you say "dynamic elements to a linear analysis"

So, you either mean "STATIC", not "LINEAR", or "NON-LINEAR" not "DYNAMIC".

Either way, the issue here is the building is leaning over 100 feet, so linear static analysis is not appropriate. You need non-linear dynamic .

2019-09-23T18:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176200881131245568

@gerrycan1 No. Since the top of the building has clearly moved over 100 feet, it's also very clearly not a static situation.

You don't seem to understand the role of time here.

2019-09-23T18:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176199542217142273

@gerrycan1 So, by "dynamic" you don't actually mean "dynamic analysis" you mean "a non-linear stiffness matrix in static analysis", aka "non-linear static analysis"?

2019-09-23T18:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176197616649924608

@gerrycan1 Then you don't understand.

2019-09-23T18:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176197496944525312

@gerrycan1 Look like all the columns would fail. How the building falls depends on WHEN they fail, which is a time variable. Which needs dynamic analysis.

2019-09-23T18:10:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176197126054768640

@gerrycan1 What you describe is not dynamic analysis.

It's non-linear analysis.

2019-09-23T18:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176196917715292160

@gerrycan1 I understand the distinctions.

So when you said "linear, not dynamic", you actually meant "linear static, not dynamic"?

2019-09-23T18:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176196372082462720

@gerrycan1 You know what it is, don't ask rhetorical questions please.

Has the roof been displaced in the analysis? Or are they measuring the displacement in an artistic "visualization"?

2019-09-23T18:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176196011246514176

@gerrycan1 Correct (although you don't need to specify "linear").
So what does "Their properties are linear though, NOT dynamic." mean?

2019-09-23T17:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176194421580128256

@gerrycan1 Look at this diagram. I'm referring to the color coded displacement of the top of the building. It's green. That's >1200 inches. >100 feet.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKuHxwUEAA86b4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKuHxwUEAA86b4.jpg
2019-09-23T17:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176193647194193920
@gerrycan1 "linear, not dynamic"

If those things are so different, then what's a linear dynamic analysis?

You might want to look up the definitions. You are mixing up terms.

2019-09-23T17:52:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176192541579857920
@gerrycan1 But this roof movement. It's that data? I mean it's a number. 112 feet, right there in the diagram. Isn't that "analysis" and not "visualization" ?

2019-09-23T17:51:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176192322972708867
@gerrycan1 Err, it says it right there. Hulsey also discussed it in his presentation.

Obviously they are in tension, that's why they are stretched out like rubber bands to 3x their natural length. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKsajTUwAAJ-Xc.jpg

---



Figure 4.12: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.11 above) leads to the failure of the remaining core columns and many exterior columns (circled in red). The columns with blue values are in tension rather than compression, indicating the building is tipping away from those columns.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKsajTUwAAJ-Xc.jpg
2019-09-23T17:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176191196466577408
@AE911Truth @AE911Truth, you can contact me mick@mickwest.com, or phone 530-903-5359

I realize Ae911Truth might not monitor this twitter account, so if people can share this with them in other ways, that would be great. I'd like to clear this up.

2019-09-23T17:44:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176190592432263168
@gerrycan1 (actually, 112 feet for the green, but the question remains the same)

2019-09-23T17:42:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176190085642899456
@gerrycan1 So, when the 4.14 says the roof has moved 100 feet. Is that just a "visualization" Or has it actually moved 100 feet in in the analysis?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKqniHU0AA_hcW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKqniHU0AA_hcW.jpg

2019-09-23T17:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176189153551085569

@gerrycan1 Just that one bit? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKpkOrVAAExJzV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKpkOrVAAExJzV.jpg
2019-09-23T17:29:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176186953777074176
This is an open invitation to Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth @AE911Truth

I've raised several questions regarding Dr. Hulsey's study. Could any structural engineer with SAP2000 experience look at my critique, and then we can discuss publicly?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2019-09-23T17:25:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176185947492245504
@gerrycan1 So the building is not actually tipping? Just WANTING to tip?

2019-09-23T17:21:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176184787062550528
@gerrycan1 Does figure 4.14 show the accurate position, orientation. and deformations of the parts of the building?

2019-09-23T17:15:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176183392485797888
@gerrycan1 Wait, surely you are not saying that the data on page 101 is ALL the data that is being visualized? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKkhrkU0AI9iRu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKkhrkU0AI9iRu.jpg



Figure 4.12: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.11 above) leads to the failure of the remaining core columns and many exterior columns (circled in red). The columns with blue values are in tension rather than compression, indicating the building is tipping away from those columns.



Figure 4.13: Linear static analysis results show that the failure of additional columns (shown in Figure 4.12 above) leads to the failure of nearly every remaining column (circled in red).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKkds5U0AEllm6.jpg

2019-09-23T17:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176182902670807049

@gerrycan1 So this is visualized by them stretching out on one floor only, to 3x their natural length?

2019-09-23T17:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176182414571261957

@paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Bluebir20887474 @gerrycan1 @caldred21 @Guy_Forget2000 @xenuqueue @Oswald1160 Google pays me about $12 a year for the ads I run on http://cowboyprogramming.com

That's it.

2019-09-23T17:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176181176991535104

@gerrycan1 No, I want to check your understanding of visualizations.

Explain what data is being visualized here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKidpYU4AAFOlB.jpg

---



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKidpYU4AAFOlB.jpg

2019-09-23T17:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176180739949264896

@gerrycan1 I've mentioned him a couple of times already. Donald Friedman, he worked at the WTC site. I linked a relevant portion of our talk before. The full discussion of the Hulsey report (which he'd only just seen) starts at 31:44, linked here. https://youtu.be/ezHc9x75808?t=1904

2019-09-23T16:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176178797885870080

@gerrycan1 You make it sound like a "visualization" is just some artist impression.

Let's talk about that, specifically, since you keep saying: That is a visualization. NOT an analysis.

How was that visualization generated from the analysis?

2019-09-23T16:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176178438090051584

@cosmicdahlia @GalaxyReport @zcichy Questions are great, I just said "you should check it." So I'm encouraging people to question things.

Also my goal in life is to do things I enjoy and make a difference in the world. I'm not complaining.

2019-09-23T16:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176177723674263553

@gerrycan1 I have no problem with "linear static is a standard type analysis for SAP2000 and core column DCR".
The actualy issue here is 4.14/5 showing something different. It's showing a dynamic situation

What are those back stretchy columns visualizing?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKfUysVAAAqv8g.jpg



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKfUysVAAAqv8g.jpg
2019-09-23T16:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176177168583294976
@gerrycan1 I have yet to see anyone, or any paper, that conflicts with what I say.

2019-09-23T16:45:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176175843904589824
@gerrycan1 Which bit of it? It seemed fine, but I don't want to give a sweeping okay.
Quote the relevant part please.

2019-09-23T16:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176175592447725571
@gerrycan1 You are rapidly wearing me out. Find someone else who agrees with you, and get back to me.

2019-09-23T16:44:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176175424960749568
@gerrycan1 If you want me to ask him a question, you actually need to be more coherent than continually just saying that visualization of the output of an analysis is not the output of the analysis.
You are not making any sense. I'm not going to waste his time with that.

2019-09-23T16:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176174789964124160
@gerrycan1 Okay, find me one who will talk to me.

2019-09-23T16:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176174703494352896

@gerrycan1 No, I've been discussing it all along. You've just been repeating this bizarre platonic assertion that a visualization of an analysis is not the analysis.

The visualization of the data shows the data. That's why we have graphs. That's what red circles are. Visualization. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKceLVU0AERz4C.jpg

---



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKceLVU0AERz4C.jpg

2019-09-23T16:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176173766969159687

@gerrycan1 I think you are missing the point here. I've said long ago a static analysis is fine for sudden column removal, and calculating DCRs and progressive collapse initiation in a static structure is fine.

The problem is once the structure starts to tip, it's now a dynamic situation.

2019-09-23T16:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176172939017768960

@gerrycan1 The green circles seems to be a simple denotation, not a >1 DCR. Unless it's done selectively. Most columns have no circle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKbDCXUcAYrJB_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKbDCXUcAYrJB_.jpg

2019-09-23T16:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176172378616786944

@gerrycan1 I don't see any "ratios" here. What are you referring to?

The numbers are a bit hard to read. The bottom three are overlaid. I'm assuming one is the axial load capacity and one is the calculated axial load? Can you explain the four numbers?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKaGU8UUAAtkk7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKaGU8UUAAtkk7.jpg

2019-09-23T16:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176171805075099648

@gerrycan1 Correct! I'm just looking at the diagrams, and using my knowledge of computer modeling from video games and personal experiments.

I did ask Donald Friedman about it though.

2019-09-23T16:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176170308627156992

@gerrycan1 I though it showed the actual load and capacity, rather than a ratio. Let me check...

2019-09-23T16:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176169726185070592

@gerrycan1 No, most of the simulations I've done have been things I've programmed myself from scratch.

2019-09-23T16:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176169513982681088

@ufotodaynetwork Well, verification would be tricky, as I generally don't like strangers coming around to look in my pockets.

Much the same as the military not wanting randos lookin at their data.

2019-09-23T16:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176169190442405888

@gerrycan1 No, it has not. I'm still going with this.

2019-09-23T16:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176168741974896641

@gerrycan1 When is output ever just graphical? Simulations are numeric. Their input, processes and outputs are numeric. If those numbers include coordinates then visualization is a view of the output data.

I'm starting to think you don't really understand computer models and graphics.

2019-09-23T16:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176167501777928197

@ufotodaynetwork And a CRKT pocket knife. Clipped in, so I forget it's even there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKWEXOUYAEJEhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKWEXOUYAEJEhp.jpg

2019-09-23T16:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176166995789639680

@ufotodaynetwork A Fenix PD2 with a Streamlight battery and half a roll of AmazonBasic dog waste bags.

2019-09-23T16:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176166063538499584

@gerrycan1 I love having errors pointed out. I'm just not sure that's what you are doing.

2019-09-23T16:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176165897553080321

@gerrycan1 Aha, so you problem is that you can't prove they don't have justification in removal of the bridging trusses from the model, so you've got nothing?

2019-09-23T16:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176165613426765825

@gerrycan1 Isn't "tip forward" a rather dynamic action for a tall building?

2019-09-23T16:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176165078980120576

@gerrycan1 Sure. That makes sense. So you are saying NIST rigged their model in this really stupidly obvious way that anyone can see, with zero justification?

Seems like you've cracked the case! What's the next step? Grand jury?

2019-09-23T16:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176164641166069761

@gerrycan1 What is the purpose of the visualization of the analysis?

2019-09-23T16:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176164439952740353

@gerrycan1 And as a reminder, 4.15 is like 4.14, but with the problems more apparent.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKTLWBUUAA1Lkg.jpg



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKTLWBUUAA1Lkg.jpg

2019-09-23T15:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176163951949729792

@gerrycan1 I don't know.

2019-09-23T15:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176163850455941120

@gerrycan1 Erm, you asked a question. I gave an answer, and you accuse me of changing the subject?.

Sure. let's go back to 4.14 and 4.15. Can you find an engineer to discuss this live?

2019-09-23T15:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176162915717505024

@gerrycan1 Yeah, the bridging trusses were discussed back in 2014. Been a while, so it's a bit hazy. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/130912/

2019-09-23T15:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176162032141258752

@gerrycan1 4.24a

Also not an actual dynamic analysis, or a visualization of one, except in the "artist's impression" sense.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176161803178373122/pu/img/V4QKSyz3sUggdnkB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1176161803178373122/pu/img/V4QKSyz3sUggdnkB.jpg

2019-09-23T15:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176161460075937792

@gerrycan1 How would it get to that angle without moving?

2019-09-23T15:47:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176161183839055872

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew Yes, I discussed linear static analysis for sudden column removal with Donald Friedman. Here's the part of the discussion discussing static vs. dynamic. https://youtu.be/ezHc9x75808?t=2120

2019-09-23T15:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176159495803662338

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew You brought up pushover analysis. But if you want to talk about 4.14. Would you say this is an appropriate use of linear static analysis? Are there REALLY zero time/momentum/collision/inelastic considerations at this point? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKOcp6U0AABa63.jpg



Figure 4.14: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southeast, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKOcp6U0AABa63.jpg

2019-09-23T15:34:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176157936130748416

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew Pushover needs a push (a monotonic displacement-controlled lateral load pattern, in the lingo)

2019-09-23T15:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176157188017930242

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew I think first we need to clear up your misunderstanding regarding static/dynamic and linear/non-linear. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKMnTjU0AAMABW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFKMnTjU0AAMABW.jpg
2019-09-23T15:28:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176156514622398467
@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew I was chatting about that last year:
https://www.metabunk.org/posts/219956/

2019-09-23T15:25:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176155627338354688
@ufotodaynetwork @GalaxyReport @cosmicdahlia @zcichy Only I know that.

We are veering into epistemology. Let's not.

2019-09-23T15:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176155344587804673
@cosmicdahlia @GalaxyReport @zcichy I'm a "trust, yet verify" kinda guy.

2019-09-23T15:23:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176155130908987392
@ufotodaynetwork @GalaxyReport @cosmicdahlia @zcichy Sure. I'm the only person in the universe who knows what is in my pocket.

2019-09-23T15:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176154727634980867

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew Again, you seem to have missed the actual difference between static and dynamic analysis and instead given the difference between linear and non-linear analysis, but presented it as a comparison between "dynamic" and "linear".
"Dynamic" and "linear" are not comparable.

2019-09-23T15:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176153045274464257

@GalaxyReport @cosmicdahlia @zcichy I'm suspicious of credentials. Arguments are best when they stand alone. A high school math kid could verify the analysis I present. No authority is needed.

2019-09-23T15:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176152695901540355

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew What I lack is time and a good rationale for spending time on some obscure point that nobody except you seems to find important. Convince some more people, then come back.

2019-09-23T15:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176152364278927360

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew I think the point with Hulsey's work it that even before the data is released, it's pretty clear he did not do a dynamic analysis.

2019-09-23T15:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176152148591071232

@cosmicdahlia @GalaxyReport @zcichy Video game programming might sound like a trivial profession, but it's actually pretty complicated.
However I think whenever you just have to take someone's word for it, you should check it, regardless of their profession.

2019-09-23T15:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176151229652619264

@gerrycan1 @PamelaDrew I presume you are going to check Hulsey's work?

2019-09-23T15:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176150843084529665

@GalaxyReport @cosmicdahlia @zcichy Why are y'all so obsessed with professions? You don't care about professions. If professions were a factor you'd be believing Neil deGrasse Tyson and Seth Shostak.

2019-09-23T15:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176149743421911042

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 A data visualization shows a view of the data. It's an actual product of an analysis. What Hulsey is showing here is not a visualization of dynamic analysis. It's a hand-produced animation inappropriately extrapolated from static analysis and assumptions

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQkRR81haW8

2019-09-23T14:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176143960453959681

@naoehogui @RobAgs0817 @alien_expanse If you have puzzle pieces that don't fit, then you can't just say "we don't need to put these pieces together".

You need to consider that they might be pieces from different puzzles.

2019-09-23T14:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176142971734904833

@PamelaDrew NIST addressed this very question in their FAQ. #12

The other questions are a good read too.

https://www.nist.gov/topics/disaster-failure-studies/faqs-nist-wtc-7-investigation

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFJ_s_VUYAAe8C0.jpg

---

12. Are there hundreds or thousands of unsafe tall buildings with long span supports that must be retrofitted in some way? How would one retrofit a building to prevent this problem?

While the partial or total collapse of a tall building due to fires is a rare event, NIST strongly urges building owners, operators, and designers to evaluate buildings to ensure the adequate fire performance of structural systems. Of particular concern are the effects of thermal expansion in buildings with one or more of the following characteristics: long-span floor systems, connections that cannot accommodate thermal effects, floor framing that induces asymmetric forces on girders, and composite floor systems, whose shear studs could fail due to differential thermal expansion (i.e., heat-induced expansion of material at different rates). Engineers should be able to design cost-effective fixes to address any areas of concern identified by such evaluations.

Several existing, emerging, or even anticipated capabilities could have helped prevent the collapse of WTC 7. The degree to which these capabilities improve performance remains to be evaluated. Possible options for developing cost-effective fixes include:

- More robust connections and framing systems to better resist effects of thermal expansion on the structural system.
- Structural systems expressly designed to prevent progressive collapse. Current model building codes do not require that buildings be designed to resist progressive collapse.
- Better thermal insulation (i.e., reduced conductivity and/or increased thickness) to limit heating of structural steel and minimize both thermal expansion and weakening effects. Insulation has been used to protect steel strength, but it could be used to maintain a lower temperature in the steel framing to limit thermal expansion.
- Improved compartmentation in tenant areas to limit the spread of fires.
- Thermally resistant window assemblies to limit breakage, reduce air supply, and retard fire growth.

NIST is recommending that building standards and codes be strengthened beyond their current intent to achieve life safety to prevent structural collapse even during infrequent building fires like those in WTC 7 when sprinklers do not function, do not exist, or are overwhelmed by fire.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFJ_s_VUYAAe8C0.jpg

2019-09-23T14:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176142310448357377

@gerrycan1 @caldred21 @Guy_Forget2000 @paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Unfortunately g, the ridiculous "dustification" argument is believed by a lot more people than the "NIST did the trusses wrong" argument. So I tend to focus on what people believe.

Get some support for your ideas, then I'll look at them.

2019-09-23T14:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176141713246584832
@jarboe_larry @adampfinch @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Have you looked at the @uafairbanks "simulation?" It's a joke. One box rotating through another box? This is not a simulation. This is a hand input of some rotations passed off as a dynamic analysis.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cQkRR81haW8

2019-09-23T14:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176137755333554176
@greg_devereux @Guy_Forget2000 @paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 It was the same amount.

2019-09-23T14:14:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176137656666710016
@caldred21 @Guy_Forget2000 @paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 The dust was generated during the collapse from the crushing and breaking of the tons of drywall and concrete in the building.

Steel cannot turn to dust without melting and igniting. That's why you get sparks from an angle grinder.

2019-09-23T14:11:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176136995942223874
@naoehogui @RobAgs0817 @alien_expanse It is not any idea I've ever had. The testimonies of the pilots like Fravor are far from prosaic. They do, however, seem only loosely coupled to the videos and the radar reports. Unless you can show a connection we need to analyze them separately. You can quote me on that.

2019-09-23T14:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176135454002823168
@paulmuaddib61 I was responding to something addressed directly to me. Would a non-"deep state front man" just ignore all questions? Is that better?

2019-09-23T14:03:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176135086434967554
@TommyLogsdon I discussed that question with him here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2019-09-23T14:00:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1176134241312108545
@naoehogui @RobAgs0817 @alien_expanse @BillNye @neiltyson I don't think I ever said "irrelevant prosaic testimonies"
In fact, I don't think ANYONE has ever said "irrelevant prosaic testimonies".
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFJ30-xUEAEkL1Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFJ30-xUEAEkL1Z.jpg

2019-09-23T13:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176133283979001858

@Guy_Forget2000 @paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 They are not turning to dust, they just have dust on them, then they fall.

2019-09-23T13:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176129112588480512

@naoehogui @BillNye @neiltyson And why are you still following me?

2019-09-23T13:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176128778646376449

@naoehogui @BillNye @neiltyson You use lists? Surely a better approach in deciding who to follow is to see if they say things that spark interest, things that challenge your assumptions, things that teach you something. Why were these people on your list to start with? What changed?

2019-09-23T12:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1176109942647836672

@DusterePodcast @MadScientistPod @BigelowSpace @rscottkemp @MIT Dust mote. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d5DjhbMZlOk

---

2019-09-23T04:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175997583837151232

@Fibonacci222 I disagree. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-23T04:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175993433426518016

RT @michaelshermer: "@michiokaku says burden of proof is on government to demonstrate UFOs don't exist" No:

1. Not all new video clips demoâ□¦

2019-09-23T04:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175989675611189250

Ian goes by the name TheoryQED on Metabunk and his YouTube Channel, where he posts about UFOs. Unlike me, and definitely unlike my last guest (Seth Shostak), Ian thinks there's something highly significant to the UFO phenomenon, maybe even alien visitors.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qwWVlhnhF1M

2019-09-23T04:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175989291803037697

@RobAgs0817 I'm talking about the the new stories, nothing has really changed. The videos and the testimonies are the same.

2019-09-23T02:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175962255151599616

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Itâ□□s only significant if other people think it is. So who are they?

2019-09-23T01:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175952520360812544

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I mean an argument worth addressing. One other people agree with, share, and ultimately use to influence policy, in either significant numbers, or significant ways.

2019-09-22T23:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175912827992731649

@paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 How is that wrong?

2019-09-22T22:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175903018811707393

@xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I've certainly done corrections, I just kind find anything significant off-hand.

2019-09-22T22:15:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175896355979460608

@xenuqueue @gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 They are usually pretty minor things, mid-thread.

Can you link me to somewhere I was wrong, but did not issue a correction.

2019-09-22T21:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175888415197261824

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 "said to have failed"?

2019-09-22T21:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175888060136869888

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 If I'm wrong, I'll write up a correction.

But I don't think Gerrycan's objection is anything more than semantic. How can a visualization not be showing the results of the analysis it is based on? What is it visualizing if not the positions and orientations of the members?

2019-09-22T20:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175876673511677952

@gerrycan1 @xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Iâ□□m waiting for you to make an argument.

2019-09-22T19:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175856054866866177

@jaze_ca I don't think they were really acting.

2019-09-22T19:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175849331644981248

@MadScientistPod @BigelowSpace @rscottkemp @MIT I combined all the frames into a single image to enhance the detail. Looks kind of messy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFF0utkUwAE5r8O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFF0utkUwAE5r8O.jpg

2019-09-22T18:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175837346098376704

@jaze_ca The black blob IS the glare. The white around it is an "aura", which is an artifact of the image sharpening. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2019-09-22T18:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175836662623948800

@EvelynTheGerma1 @naoehogui I've been looking at the evidence for a year. Check out my videos on the topic.

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2019-09-22T17:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175831249820114944

@EvelynTheGerma1 @naoehogui Who said UFOs were not real?

2019-09-22T17:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175831063672700928

@rock_n_my_soul @Johnny_B_Q @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 What on Earth do you imagine is happening?

2019-09-22T15:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175794903277690882

@Johnny_B_Q @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 @rock_n_my_soul Why do you waste time with nonsense like that? Why didn't you just look up the answer?

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kids-saying-kite-hit-steel-plane-must-in-class-on-9-11.t2626/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFFC71aVUAAudnw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFFC71aVUAAudnw.jpg

2019-09-22T15:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175794112068407296

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I think any large report is guaranteed to contain errors. And any model is not going to perfectly reflect history, especially when information is limited.

The main problem here is that Hulsey claims to have done a dynamic analysis, but he did not. He did animation.

2019-09-22T15:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175789384731283464
@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I didn't call it debunked, I just identified numerous problems with it.

And here I'm addressing the Hulsey study, which should stand alone. Don't you want to discuss it?

2019-09-22T14:52:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175784980015763462
@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Not really. Here I'm specifically addressing the Hulsey report. The problems are in that report. I show the NIST model only to show what a proper dynamic simulation would look like (i.e. not like a box rotating above another box)

2019-09-22T14:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175782175397965824
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Because Iâ□□m hardly going to figure out the entire colllapse initiation and progression sequence by myself. I just look at claims of evidence. I presumed you were planning a critique of NUST and an alt explanation?

2019-09-22T14:25:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175778104704266242
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I'll wait for your report. I've got enough stuff to work through!

2019-09-22T14:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175776746349588480
@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Which other thread? My Twitter is a mess.
Here's some Problems with the UAF/Hulsey/AE911Truth WTC7 Draft Report
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2019-09-22T14:15:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175775594400776192
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Why the delay in releasing them?

2019-09-22T14:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175775436032229377
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Well they clearly failed by the column being torsioned in some way. So what did that torsioning, if not the general collapse? What made these weld fail? (say on a non-bent column, as this one was more obviously twisted.) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFExUYJU4AAO3sw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFExUYJU4AAO3sw.jpg

2019-09-22T14:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175774259999723521

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Thanks. I might have seen them before and forgotten. I tend to get easily distracted :)

2019-09-22T14:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175773998660980737

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I know you are just asking questions, but I'm curious what mechanism you think cause all those column sections to fail at the splices? Some kind of rocket charge pushing against it?

2019-09-22T14:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175773191005802496

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 This thing? I seem to remember you saying this was going to be significant. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEvX5FU0AAOwmI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEvX5FU0AAOwmI.jpg

2019-09-22T14:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175772944678543361

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 No royalties, just living off investment income, like most retired professionals do.

2019-09-22T14:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175772499969101824

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 But is there a full set online

somewhere? 911Datasets seems to have gone away.

How big is the set?

And weren't you going to do something with them? Something about different steel strengths?

2019-09-22T14:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175771877295316992

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I'm a retired videogame programmer. I did Tony Hawk.

https://mpora.com/skateboarding/tony-hawk-pro-skater-mick-west-neversoft-interview#d2LjjFSDWuPLB7xh.97

2019-09-22T13:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175771425572978689

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 This CC501 diagram does seems like the typical column we see in the pile, failed at the welds. It would be interesting to compare actual columns to the drawings. Do we know where this bent column came from? It's at the memorial. Seems only welded two sided.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEtxAPUcAAAXOH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEtxAPUcAAAXOH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEtguiU8AAgaT9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEtGVCU8AE9ZPM.jpg

2019-09-22T13:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175770055138611200

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Can you post a copy of all these drawing in a dropbox somewhere?

2019-09-22T13:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175769413473013761

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Just one book. Which I wrote about ten years AFTER I started debunking. Nobody is paying me. The book is about things that I believe. If I wanted more money I would have stayed in the game industry, where I was making 100x what I make now.

2019-09-22T13:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175768915722457090

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 It's not really about expertise, it's about verifiable facts. If you read the statements of most of the members of AE911Truth, they seem almost identical to the general public. Lots of "it just looked impossible", or "I watched a video on WTC7" no real analysis.

2019-09-22T13:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175768429996859392

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 The connection overall is only going to be stronger that raw mid-section if it's a *perfect* weld all the way around. Interesting technical discussion in B.3.3 here:

https://www.fema.gov/media-library-data/20130726-1512-20490-8107/403_apb.pdf

---

2019-09-22T13:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175766821787467781

@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 How did you confirm it?

I mean, nobody pays me. I disagree with a lot of people, but who doesn't?

2019-09-22T13:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175766637980479488

@paulmuaddib61 @xenuqueue @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Those are the cut marks for the lifting and alignment plates that were removed after the columns were assembled. Discussed in depth here:

https://www.metabunk.org/wtc-towers-core-columns-what-held-them-together-welds.t9256/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEphUkU8AAG3ki.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEphUkU8AAG3ki.jpg

2019-09-22T13:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175766039579115520
@xenuqueue @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Regarding "3000+ architects and engineers" just remember that's 3000+ out of millions of qualified people. While a small number are engineers, they cast a wide net. One is a food engineer.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEo47rUwAYCIMD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEo47rUwAYCIMD.jpg
2019-09-22T13:29:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175764044466143232
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 How about a typical corner core column around floor 50?

2019-09-22T13:26:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175763347100168192
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I'm interested in the percentages of different connections. Based on looking at the debris it seems mostly simple partial penetration welds between sections. Do you have pics of failed column splice plates?

2019-09-22T13:24:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175762893482020864
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 I mean the core below the initial collapse zone. And yes, the "spire" that remained. Needed more of an accumulation of damage and stripped bracing. The outer walls just peeled off.

2019-09-22T13:23:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175762472789315584
@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 No, most of the ones I was looking at were box columns. I interviewed Donald Friedman a couple of weeks ago - a structural engineer who worked at GZ. Here's what he says in his book.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEloGSU4AE3CRb.png

the bevel and the flat top surface of the lower column formed a lopsided "V" shaped groove, which was then filled with weld. Partial penetration welds are not as strong as full-penetration welds, where the groove is the same depth as the steel is thick, but they are far stronger than is needed for most purposes. Under the extraordinary loads imposed during the collapse, the columns were free to buckle after the the welds ripped off of the flat surface of the groove.

Like a lot of the structural damage I saw, this was not a normal phenomenon and it was hard to accept. I spent a lot of time noting such issues and trying not to learn too much from them. It would be easy to stop trusting my knowledge of building design, and weld performance, and steel strength, and so on. I felt that by understanding what had physically happened on September 11, I could contrast it with the ordinary engineering problems I dealt with on my projects.]
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFEloGSU4AE3CRb.png

[*I had misgivings about the core columns I was seeing. I was sure the dunnage design would work–Kyle and Chris know their stuff–but I was unhappy that the columns I saw lying on West Street seemed to be in too-good condition. These huge columns–the largest weighed more than one ton per running foot–were almost all straight, with clean edges at both ends. There were some dents here and there, but I expected a piece of steel that had been wrenched out of a building to be bent. I examined the ends of the columns every chance I got. Every welded splice at the column ends I saw had failed the same way: by ripping out of the steel. The plates that had been assembled into boxes for the core columns varied from a couple of inches at the top to five inches at the bottom. The top and bottom ends of each column were flat and had been spliced with a partial-penetration groove weld: the upper column's four sides were beveled about an inch and a half. When the upper column was erected over the already in-place column below,*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFElcT2U8AUyRkN.png

2019-09-22T13:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175761346094256128

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Could you maybe characterize the different types of connections, and their frequency? When you look at core columns in debris pile photos you generally see snapped welds.

2019-09-22T13:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175760803279982592

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Yes, that's why the core was the last thing to fail.

2019-09-22T13:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175760604289593350

@gerrycan1 @paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Looks like a splice in the upper columns? Where they were too thin to use welds? Interesting stuff.

2019-09-22T04:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175625918171115520

@316curtis @michaelshermer Me too!

2019-09-22T04:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175625759177576448

@rock_n_my_soul @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 The cars were on fire. Burning debris ignited car fires.

2019-09-22T04:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175625389126766592

@Coleyufo @BlueprintUfo I suspect lots of scientist are looking at it. Iâ□□d think itâ□□s pollution, loss of habitat from land use change, and some climate change.

2019-09-22T04:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175624933621108736

@starapparatus @eye_walking @PostDisclosure Iâ□□ve put out some feelers, but they seem reluctant so far.

2019-09-22T01:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175578988153954305

@WilsonAdmiral @PostDisclosure UAP has been used for 20+ years in the UK and at least 10 in the US.

2019-09-22T00:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175565821931769858

@WilsonAdmiral @PostDisclosure They don't spend all that 800 billion in the same place.

2019-09-22T00:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175565719116713984

@WilsonAdmiral @PostDisclosure They are not being more open at all. They essentially surfaced only to say they were not going to comment.

2019-09-22T00:20:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175565530683432960
@WilsonAdmiral @PostDisclosure Perhaps because it WASN'T "hovering for days on end"

If there was some kind of mass airspace incursion into a carrier group than that's like an act of war. Yet there were practically no engagement attempts.

Is it POSSIBLE that the story grew in the telling?

2019-09-22T00:04:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175561582387982336
@paulmuaddib61 I made a short video with some references, showing that the persistent trails match contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-09-22T00:03:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175561322248822784
@paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Automobile glass shatters in fires due to differential thermal expansion. It's very common.
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214157X17301053

2019-09-21T23:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175559930582978560
@paulmuaddib61 I'm not sure what you are referring to.

2019-09-21T23:49:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175557699817234432
@paulmuaddib61 @jimroy @Oswald1160 @rock_n_my_soul Yeah, it's dust.

2019-09-21T23:46:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175556932842614784
@jimroy @SilvaRecord @alsniewaddan Just afternoon in California :)

2019-09-21T23:45:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175556753934544896
@Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Or maybe he's young and I'm old? :)

What's wrong with emotional restraint and sensitivity?

2019-09-21T23:41:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175555681694302209
@SilvaRecord @alsniewaddan I was asking.

Clean rooms are not immune to dust, that's why they have air filters in the room - to remove dust that might be carried in or generated in the room. There was also different levels of dust-freeness.

So no guarantee of no dust, regardless. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFBpkd0VUAEpKdW.jpg

---

Airborne Particulate Cleanliness Classes (by cubic meter):

CLASS	Number of Particles per Cubic Meter by Micrometer Size					
	0.1 micron	0.2 micron	0.3 micron	0.5 micron	1 micron	5 microns
ISO1	10	2				
ISO2	100	24	10	4		
ISO3	1,000	237	102	35	8	
ISO4	10,000	2,370	1,020	352	83	
ISO5	100,000	23,700	10,200	3,520	832	29
ISO6	1,000,000	237,000	102,000	35,200	8,320	293
ISO7				352,000	83,200	2,930
ISO8				3,520,000	832,000	29,300
ISO9				35,200,000	8,320,000	293,000

In cleanrooms, particulate concentration changes over time — from the construction and installation of equipment to its operational status. ISO delineates three cleanroom classification standards: as-built, at-rest and operational. Read more about **cleanrooms** and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFBpkd0VUAEpKdW.jpg

2019-09-21T23:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175554318738419712

@rav_ravenhi @michaelshermer We do actually have a pretty good understanding of dust particles, air currents, infrared cameras, and optics.

Of course it MIGHT be something else. But there's nothing to indicate that.

2019-09-21T23:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175553920388612097

@mikeb8637 Something I never said. Not even closely resembling anything I said. Who are you trying to help with these memes?

2019-09-21T23:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175553593153179649

@SilvaRecord @alsniewaddan How many dust particles were there there? It only takes one, and plenty of time.

2019-09-21T22:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175542773438566401

@BeberMichelle

https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

2019-09-21T22:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175542528558264320

@rock_n_my_soul @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 there were zero melted engine blocks.

2019-09-21T22:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175540189134909441

Infrared cameras often show strange orbs of light. These are usually dust (sometimes bugs)

people don't realize there's a really bright light close to the camera, because it's invisible infrared. Hence some confusion, and talk of ghosts.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d5DjhbMZlOk

2019-09-21T22:26:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175536695707492353
@Aviation_Intel I made a video showing what dust looks like close to an IR camera with IR lights.
It looks like this.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d5DjhbMZlOk

2019-09-21T20:38:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175509735048503297
@jimroy @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 @rock_n_my_soul The core was no aluminum clad. And it did not "blow away", it fell straight down, leaving some dust.

2019-09-21T20:37:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175509341664710656
@rock_n_my_soul @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 I don't paid.

2019-09-21T20:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175509262316920832
@rock_n_my_soul @paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 They were on fire, from the burning debris.

2019-09-21T20:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175508857549754368
@paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 The connections between core columns is discussed in depth here.
https://www.metabunk.org/wtc-towers-core-columns-what-held-them-together-welds.t9256/

2019-09-21T20:33:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175508485947060224
@paulmuaddib61 @rock_n_my_soul @Oswald1160 Of course not. The core columns could not sustain lateral loads, so they mostly came apart at the seams. The recovered all the columns, just in short sections snapped apart.

2019-09-21T20:21:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175505436088258560
@paulmuaddib61 @Oswald1160 @rock_n_my_soul That's the remains of the core of the building, falling a few seconds after the main collapse wave hit the ground. It's covered in a lot of debris, so leaves dust behind it as it falls.

2019-09-21T20:11:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175502867261620224
@eye_walking @PostDisclosure I'm always cordial. Here for example I chat with a 9/11 Truther, who I have some pretty fundamental disagreements with.
Friendly chats are always the best way to start effective communication.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZUVlXTKhS8&feature=youtu.be

2019-09-21T19:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175485353207033856

@Neil_Bower @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 I'll wait too. If they posted it in seriousness then they demonstrate poor judgement. If it's a joke, it's not very funny.

2019-09-21T16:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175451894656815104

@BryanKelly @jboat1992 @2gether_WeRise @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Really? Do you have a video of "shooting them around the room with light" because I don't imagine that looking like this.

This looks like typical motes on an IR illuminated security camera. Super common on baby monitors and home security camera.

2019-09-21T16:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175445546200252416

@paulmuaddib61 @tmc7316 @1_gramster the sunlight goes all the way down. At the time of collapse the sun was pretty much aligned with the towers, so the sun went all the way down to street level.

2019-09-21T16:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175443099004239872

@2gether_WeRise @jboat1992 @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan What do you mean by unconventional? I mean, a jetpack is unconventional.

I don't think there's any warping of spacetime going on in Earth's atmosphere, if that's what you mean.

2019-09-21T16:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175442499717881856

@jboat1992 @zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan @TTSAcademy "Turns out"? No, the Navy said they were unidentified. I think they are unidentified. I DON'T think they are birds, although that's possibly for "Go Fast." I think they are aircraft. Go Fast most likely a balloon.

Avoid getting your knowledge from memes.

2019-09-21T16:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175441930575966208

@Direax1 @2gether_WeRise @GalaxyReport @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 There's patents for houses on the moon. There are no houses on the moon. There's patents for teleportation devices. There are no teleportation devices. There's patents for stratospheric geoengineering. etc.

Patents don't mean things exist or even that they work.

2019-09-21T16:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175440991546834950

@paulmuaddib61 Watch the video again? Might it be sunlight?

2019-09-21T16:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175440804837388288

@2gether_WeRise @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 That line just shows what the status is on today's date. It's still active today. It's been active since 2018-12-04.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFABH4mUwAAjplI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EFABH4mUwAAjpII.jpg
2019-09-21T16:02:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175440120767320064
@paulmuaddib61 @tmc7316 @1_gramster That's the dust cloud emerging into sunlight. The camera is set for the darker scene behind it, so it looks white for a while until the camera adjusts.

2019-09-21T16:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175439720236474368

@jboat1992 @zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan No I don't. "Real" means something that exists. Specks of dust are real. Insects are real. anti-gravity microdrones would be real if they existed. Ghosts would be real if they existed.

So when you say "you are wrong, it is real" it's not at all clear what you mean.

2019-09-21T15:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175439095520059392

Dropping links of people will not work if they don't follow the links, and 99% of the time they don't. Especially if it's from a source they distrust.

Show them evidence, not links. https://twitter.com/debunker/status/1175437218669707265

2019-09-21T15:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175438349663784960

@jboat1992 @zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 @LtTimMcMillan You keep using this word "real." You are using it wrong. Use better words to explain what you mean.

Real what?

2019-09-21T15:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175437607339061249

@jboat1992 @2gether_WeRise @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 IR camera's see single specks of dust that is close to the lens as an "orb."

The thing people often miss is that there's a really bright IR light, often in a ring around the camera. The dust speck is super bright, but very small and out of focus.

2019-09-21T15:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175436694335578112

@2gether_WeRise @zcichy @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 How can you see a single speck of dust in a dark room?

2019-09-21T15:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175436547060928512

@jboat1992 @zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Of course it's real. There it is on the video.

2019-09-21T15:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175435555955326976

@zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 There's loads of videos on YouTube of similar orbs on baby monitors. Parents often think they are ghosts. https://youtu.be/Eh_Zw8NROtw

---

2019-09-21T15:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175435333627854848

@humanoidlord @Deepneuron Yeah, so it's probably not that.

2019-09-21T15:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175434234934120449

@zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Sure, either it's a joke, or they haven't really given it much thought. I'd presume joke, as a "orb" is such a common thing (usually attributed to ghosts.) But it's also possibly it's simply something new to them.

2019-09-21T15:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175433261163565056

@Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Focus.

2019-09-21T15:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175433209477099520

@zcichy @Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 People are varied.

2019-09-21T15:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175433085099229184

@MusicAndTruth @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy And I donâ□□t just focus on UFOs. I also look at 9/11, chemtrails, false flags, flat earth, ghosts, free energy, fake news, and wildfires.

2019-09-21T15:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175432093066620928

@Deepneuron @2gether_WeRise @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Dust or a bug. I see this nearly every day on one of my security camera.

2019-09-21T15:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175431869870964736

@MusicAndTruth @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy I haven identified the aircraft behind the UFO videos, just shown that they are consistent with ordinary aircraft.

So I agree they are unidentifed. And flying. And objects.

2019-09-21T15:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175431200950767616

@ShepardAmbellas Seriously?

2019-09-21T15:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175430220221804544

@2gether_WeRise @Deepneuron @SilvaRecord @jboat1992 @WilsonAdmiral @BigelowSpace @UfoJoe11 Good grief! This is why UFOlogy gets laughed at. Tighten up your act people!

2019-09-21T15:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175429712337702912

@Aviation_Intel Are the actually saying the â□□orbâ□□ is significant? Lots of people do, and Iâ□□ve seen worse on TV.

2019-09-21T15:17:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175428947049238528
@MusicAndTruth @DeepStateDan @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy But did they see the same things at the same times? Was it the same time and place as a video?

No.

2019-09-21T15:16:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175428608040456197
@MusicAndTruth @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy No, not at all. I only try to debunk claims of evidence I think seem wrong. I focus on evidence, not speculation.

2019-09-21T02:29:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175235567170097152
@humanoidlord @Deepneuron The point is: military stuff is classified by default. So they can't discuss leaks, or leak investigations, or what is in the leaks.
They are not specifically hiding something, just everything that originates from the military is considered classified unless it's cleared.

2019-09-21T00:14:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175201522029694977
@joann_pruett High altitude humidity. Green in this map is contrail-supporting humidity at 30,000 feet.
https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000

2019-09-20T21:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175166611939966976
@TRandallizer @ValidatedM @SethShostak Well nobody knows what they are. However we CAN know things like the speed of Go Fast, or why Gimbal has an aura. Those things are relatively straightforward, even if the object is unidentified.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2019-09-20T21:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175165943242055680
@thinkfree55 So why would they do it?

2019-09-20T21:42:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175163439578329089
@wilcher_grant @PostDisclosure If he did then it's a video of the same thing behaving differently. I can only go by what I see in the video.

2019-09-20T21:27:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1175159500984111104
@wilcher_grant @PostDisclosure But the videos are of different things.

2019-09-20T21:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175157154677870592

@wilcher_grant @PostDisclosure For the videos yes. Not for the eyewitness accounts.

2019-09-20T17:33:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175100787887759360

@naoehogui Confirmation of what? What has changed since the 1910 form was released? Just some indication of TTSA shenanigans.

2019-09-20T16:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175080671364018176

@TheLightTruthIs @EricRWeinstein Either way works :)

2019-09-20T16:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175078868568297472

@Deepneuron @GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett Also they hire people without TS/SCI all the time - although the job offer is conditional on them passing the background checks.

Not that they would hire me, of course. Nor would I be interested, I think. I'll wait for the knock.

2019-09-20T16:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175078144383995907

@GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett @Deepneuron What did you hear about Hal? Where did you hear it?

2019-09-20T16:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175078018659696640

@Deepneuron @GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett They don't need to hire me. They can look at my videos for free!

2019-09-20T16:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175077640207654912

@GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett @Deepneuron We are all idiots in some areas of thought.

2019-09-20T16:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175077413941694464

@GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett @Deepneuron Skunkworks is not an arbiter of reality, that's a totally different thing. Why didn't Hal run Skunkworks?

2019-09-20T15:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175076052592287744

@GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett @Deepneuron It's a more attractive version of reality. But that's not how things work.

2019-09-20T15:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175075886967640066

@GalaxyReport @pjrbarnett @Deepneuron Hal Puthoff isn't a top scientist. He's was fooled by Uri Geller.

Steve Justice's plans for inventing a space-warp ship in a few years, based on studying UFO videos, appears borderline delusional. I'm sure he's a smart guy, but he's optimistically chasing ghosts.

2019-09-20T15:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175071314329030657

@GalaxyReport @UfoIssue @JonasCh57673093 I've watched it. I included a clip from it in my Go Fast video. I'm familiar with the context. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-20T15:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175070811968794625

@pjrbarnett @GalaxyReport @Deepneuron Y'all are missing what the Navy has been saying. Even training exercises involve classified information. So the Navy's default position is: "No comment."

That's partly why Lazar has got away with his story for so long. Banal secrecy regulations prevent military rebuttals.

2019-09-20T15:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175069931454386176

@HarryBannister @JonasCh57673093 I think "most" is not an accurate descriptor. Most regular people interested in the story think "aliens". There's some people who try to legitimize the inquiry by saying "but it might JUST be physics-defying human technology." But they all have "aliens" pretty high on their list.

2019-09-20T15:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175065120201822218

@naoehogui "Nothing there" refers to the current news story about the videos being "real". Everyone assumed they were real before now. Everyone knows the military would investigate unauthorized airspace incursions. So there's nothing there.

The videos still show unidentified objects.

2019-09-20T15:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175064597121785857

@UfoIssue @JonasCh57673093 My previous tweet is is 100% accurate. You are also correct. Currently the objects are unidentified. I've attempted to identify them. The whole idea is to find out what they are!

If my attempts don't fit the data, then I'd be force to hypothesize more fun stuff.

But it fits.

2019-09-20T15:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175063476261150721

@everevolve89 Also, atheists will have a big problem after the rapture.

Same thing.

2019-09-20T15:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175063136858034177

@JonasCh57673093 It would be great if UFOs were actually aliens. I'd take the hit, in a heartbeat!

But if there IS something there, the more bunk that can be pruned away â□□ the closer everyone gets to the truth.

2019-09-20T14:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175061783800758272

@EricRWeinstein Ooo, can I call you an intellectual phony and force you to come on my podcast? :)

2019-09-20T14:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175061314550423557

@UfoIssue @JonasCh57673093 I'm not debunking here, just pointing out there isn't much to this story. I've always assumed the videos are real Navy videos, showing real unidentified things. The Navy simply confirmed it, and said NOTHING about what the objects actually are.

2019-09-20T14:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1175060344747610113

@nickpopemod @JonHNodge Hi Nick, that sounds like an interesting counterpoint to my last show with Seth Shostak from SETI. Email mick@mickwest.com and we can set it up.

2019-09-20T04:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174907170720104453

@ImChrisMinor @hoaxeye How is it obvious? Fravor didn't take the video. The object in the video moves nothing like the object Fravor described. So how would researching Fravor change anything about the analysis of these three videos?

2019-09-20T04:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174904931280490496

@sicktanick @mikeb8637 @tomdelonge @GarryPNolan Thanks for promoting the bird theory! I'd just like to make sure people know: it's only the #2 theory for the Go Fast video, and not the others. I actually think a balloon is more likely, so it would be great if you could also make some balloon memes? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-20T04:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174902625180872704

This might explain why the "UFO videos are real!" fluff is trending. It's a story that sounds interesting, but there's actually almost nothing there. But since it SOUNDS good, people share it. Goes viral. Nothing will come of it.

https://twitter.com/michellemanafy/status/1009855993038213121

2019-09-20T04:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174899072613773313

@UFOJase @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy @neiltyson How does

it relate to trigonometry?

2019-09-19T23:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174833430770503680

@07904567432b @SecretzChannel @AFSUnidentified They are not necessarily something else either. In fact, if what things look like is the only data we have, then it's generally best to go with that, especially if it's unsurprising.

Other data changes things.

2019-09-19T23:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174832991970844672

@sardjatwopoinow @zcichy @ufoofinterest @Akam1129 @Deepneuron But why? All someone actually has to do is demonstrate that there's something that actually HAS extraordinary flight characteristics.

2019-09-19T22:55:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174819218757308416

@07904567432b @SecretzChannel @AFSUnidentified I was just saying what it looked like to me. Seemed more likely that alien visitors.

2019-09-19T22:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174818997516136448

@BlueValence I can see that itâ□□s just a plane. I donâ□□t invent realities for fun.

2019-09-19T21:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174803385893015552

@07904567432b @SecretzChannel @AFSUnidentified Canâ□□t look at everything. I figure if it was legit, it would get a lot of significant interest. Iâ□□ve barely heard of it.

2019-09-19T21:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174792967661338624

@BlueValence Yes, different sizes and altitudes. Plus you move the camera rightward near the end, making the small one seem to slow down.

2019-09-19T21:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174791486140903424

@BlueValence Various planes.

2019-09-19T20:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174784672036835329

@07904567432b @AFSUnidentified @SecretzChannel I've not really looked into it in depth. It does not seem that interesting.

2019-09-19T20:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174779599995076608

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy You can see the mountains that are even further away.

2019-09-19T19:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174772636280221696

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy You can see the mountains that are even further away.

2019-09-19T19:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174772348240547840

@IvanBuchanJanus @ddeanjohnson @sjn0094 @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy Yeah, it wasn't a good comparison. But in one case people are describing a physical object they saw fall then later found, not just something they saw in the sky. And it would have remained uncertain if we did not have the actually rocks.

2019-09-19T19:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174771572550164480

@IvanBuchanJanus @monsieur_legris @Oswald1160 @NomadNurses @GalacticWorld @GalaxyReport @jehvee_ @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy Good job we've got the video and can actually measure it.

You understand the image is not visually rotating, right? So why would the camera rotate to follow the rotation of the object?

2019-09-19T19:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174771094395355136

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy That is explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2019-09-19T19:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174769176319102976

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy This was solved last year. If you want to rebut the explanation, then start by addressing why the plane was in the same position in space as the UFO?

2019-09-19T19:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174768761242390528

@ddeanjohnson @sjn0094 @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Lots of reports of rocks falling out of the sky = rocks falling out of the sky.

Lots of reports of unidentified flying objects = unidentified flying objects.

2019-09-19T19:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174767924411633664

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy It is reproducible. Go fly an helicopter there while a plane is departing Santiago.

It's also reproducible virtually using the actual radar track of the plane that was in that exact spot at that exact time.

2019-09-19T19:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174765978120376320

@slick1ru2 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy Read the article. Watch the videos. This is a solved case.

2019-09-19T19:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174763904804614145

@monsieur_legris @IvanBuchanJanus @Oswald1160 @NomadNurses @GalacticWorld @GalaxyReport @jehvee_ @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy They rotate in sync. Itâ□□s not a coincidence.

2019-09-19T19:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174762569342472192

@ddeanjohnson @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Iâ□□d be happy to discuss it with them.

2019-09-19T18:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174759742033383429

@ddeanjohnson @sjn0094 @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy The difference being the meteorites left physics evidence - impact craters, the meteorites themselves. Also consistent accounts with multiple independent witnesses. Lots of people gave accounts of ghosts and angels too. We don't believe them because there's no physical evidence.

2019-09-19T18:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174748875552215040

@sjn0094 @ddeanjohnson @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Perhaps an example might be helpful?

2019-09-19T17:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174742904188702721

@sjn0094 @ddeanjohnson @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy How could the most plausible prosaic explanation be nonsensical? That's a bit of a contradiction.

2019-09-19T17:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174742687234215936

@carland27488094 @AFSUnidentified @Jay09784691 @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan No worries, and thank you.

2019-09-19T17:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174742552429264896

@ChrisGZuger @red_pill_junkie It was actually a soaring Turkey Vulture. 5 foot wingspan., But yeah, based on that image it could have been a bug.

"Small, or far away" is a constant problem in image analysis.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE2FsblUwAAXXTy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE2FsblUwAAXXTy.jpg
2019-09-19T17:46:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174741512137035776
@carland27488094 @AFSUnidentified @Jay09784691 @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan No fleet visible in the video, so I don't know what they were referring to. My first guess would be false radar tracks, second would be a flight of pelicans in formation. But that's all just guess based on one off-the-cuff remark.

2019-09-19T17:44:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174741054655848449
@paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @Jay09784691 @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan What exactly did he say?
And in this video, the motion is nothing at all like the erratic high-speed motion Fravor described. In the video it does not move.

2019-09-19T17:25:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174736172477599744
@ddeanjohnson @GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy One should consider all possibilities. Make a list. Sort the list into order. See what's near the top and work with that, but don't remove things from the list.
Also, don't avoid explanations that involve coincidences. They happen.

2019-09-19T17:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174731592582909952
@JetEnthusiast All the Navy's comments are here. Summary, it's unidentified, Navy is looking into it, but won't tell you what it is due to automatic classification of military stuff.
https://www.metabunk.org/are-the-navy-ufos-real-or-just-in-the-low-information-zone.t10921/

2019-09-19T17:05:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174731182367313920
@BrianRoemmele @earthdoggo @denise4607 They both are Sept 18, Las Vegas.
(Henderson is a part of Greater Las Vegas.)

2019-09-19T16:57:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174729298659311616
@JetEnthusiast Elizondo had the videos, probably as part of his job which involved declassifying things. He personally had clearance to view them. He emailed some people and got a DD-1910 form with a "Cleared for Open Publication" stamp on it, but no signature
Navy thinks it was done wrongly.

2019-09-19T16:54:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174728371915198464
@ddeanjohnson @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Yeah, loss of situational awareness is a problem with military pilots. There's also a variety of cognitive illusions, like "giant hand" that most pilots experience.

Once a military pilot flew into the ground because he did not realize he was inverted.

2019-09-19T16:51:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174727825569402880
@BrianRoemmele @denise4607
https://www.8newsnow.com/news/local-news/valley-residents-mistake-skydivers-parachutes-for-possible-ufos-meteor/

2019-09-19T16:51:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174727666584317952
@BrianRoemmele @denise4607 No, but they are in this case.

2019-09-19T16:50:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174727548351041536
@JetEnthusiast Does not apply to classified information. That's FOIA exemption #1

2019-09-19T16:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174727224978657281
@carland27488094 @AFSUnidentified @Jay09784691 @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan Where did I say that?

2019-09-19T16:47:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174726819083194368

@denise4607 @BrianRoemmele Those are skydivers.

2019-09-19T16:45:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174726329083629568

@AFSUnidentified @Jay09784691 @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan You mean the one that took the video? Of course I know that. A huge issue here is that the plane that took the video was not involved in the TicTac incident.

2019-09-19T15:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174714741001875456

@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Why not both? Or all three? Maybe also a canopy glare?

Don't you think it's odd that all we have are these vague verbal descriptions? At one point "Source" drew some diagrams. Where are they? Where are Fravors diagrams? (also, what's the 8-10 seconds "after"?) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1srYDUwAAJo8d.jpg

---



their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1srYDUwAAJo8d.jpg

2019-09-19T15:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174712741128355841

@Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan There were two aircraft. Fravor is one pilot OK-2, the female pilot of the other plane is "Source"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1qnlRU4AIpldf.jpg



In early July 2004, Source received her first military assignment as a pilot for the U.S. Navy's F-18 Super Hornet. Source conducted joint exercises as part of "COPE THUNDER" at Eielson, Air Force Base, Denali, Alaska until August, 2004. After completing her initial training period, Source was assigned in October 2004 to support the U.S.S. Nimitz Carrier Battle Group, in San Diego, CA.

On 14 November 2004, the U.S.S. Nimitz Battle Group was conducting a training mission in U.S. Navy Operating Area "Whiskey", approximately 80 nautical miles (NM) West from the coast of San Diego. The purpose of the training was to practice carrier operations, launch and recovery, flight safety drills, and battle scenarios. The weather conditions for that day were exceptional with no cloud cover and a calm sea state. Visibility was unrestricted and skies were blue. Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 were identified as the first cycle of F-18s that day and as such, were designated first to be launched. OK-6 was located approximately 120 NM from the training location and was assigned as the radar operator for the E-2 Hawkeye radar aircraft serving as air traffic control.

At approximately 1200 hours EST, Source and OK-1 were launched from the U.S.S. Nimitz. Source was piloting the aircraft while OK-1 was assigned to the back seat as the designated Weapons Systems Officer (WSO). Upon launching, Source and OK-1 immediately rendezvoused with OK-2 and OK-3 and proceeded together to their designated training area. Source and OK-1 served as "wing" for OK-2 and OK-3. Upon reaching their designated training area, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 engaged in "Red Air vs Blue Air" combat routines with OK-6 serving as air traffic controller. At approximately 1230 hours, during a mission "reset", an unidentified female voice from U.S.S. Princeton Missile Cruiser, CVL-23 interrupted their combat routines to announce an immediate re-vectoring. (Source Comment – the female voice was that of a young woman and there was a sense

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1qnlRU4AIpldf.jpg

2019-09-19T15:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174705849991749632

@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy My analyses certain don't match the testimony. But then the video does not match the testimony either.

2019-09-19T15:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174705270938689536

@Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan No, that's the female pilot. Fravor was a lot more experienced.

2019-09-19T15:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174704905342222338

RT @Rainmaker1973: Aydin BÃ¼yÃ¼ktas is a photographer and digital artist: he creates warped landscapes using drone photography and his worksâ¦

2019-09-19T15:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174704766837870597

@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy And that's THPS HD, programmed by Robomoto. I did THSP1-5. (Not that they are without glitches, but the ones you show in the video are not mine)

2019-09-19T15:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174704097858994176

@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy A bot would not be above the disturbance, but if there was a boat some distance from it that he momentarily misinterpreted as a flying object,

then it might have seemed like it was above the disturbance.
Consider all possibilities. Keep an open mind.

2019-09-19T15:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174703536090693632
@pixelpiinc @mikeb8637 @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy You seem to be mistaking a well-poisoning meme for something I actually said?

Bird is a possible, but secondary, less likely, explanation for Go Fast (which was not hot, and was at 13,000 feet). It's not possible for Flir1/Nimitz or Gimbal.

2019-09-19T15:09:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174702081774505984
@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1hS09U4AA4DhB.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1hS09U4AA4DhB.png

information was the USS Princeton's SPY-1 radar. According to CDR Fravor the first indication he had of the unknown contact was a visual of a disturbance on the water below the AAV. As he scanned the area he gained a visual on the object. It is important to note that when asked to describe the disturbance on the water he stated that it was localized underneath the object, did not appear as a trail or wake, and looked like frothy waves and foam almost as if the water was boiling.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1hG5VVUAAvik7.jpg
2019-09-19T15:05:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174701068271411202
@Jay09784691 @SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan Boat + loss of situation awareness. If, for example, he was misinterpreting a boat briefly as a hovering aircraft, then it might lead to more confusion. He would not have eyes on it the entire time. So maybe switched to something else. I don't know.

2019-09-19T15:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174700541424762880

@GalaxyReport @Jay09784691 @SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan I watched it. What do you think I'm missing?

2019-09-19T15:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174700099789656066

@SpaceDadOrbis @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan You should read her original testimony. At the time she was freaking out, thinking they were on a suicide mission to prevent another 9/11. So I think loss of situational awareness on her part would be understandable.

https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1fRf4VAAA0nSM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EE1fRf4VAAA0nSM.jpg

2019-09-19T14:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174698958100320256

@JetEnthusiast They didn't release it.

2019-09-19T14:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174698783164137472

@chasekuertz The stories are not about the videos, they are about other incidents.

2019-09-19T14:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174698300794163202
@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Commander David Fravor, US Navy pilot:

"A disturbance in the water"

Mick West, videogame programmer:

"Maybe a whale?"

2019-09-19T14:51:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174697509802803200
@SpaceDadOrbis @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan I don't know, however I suspect that loss of situation awareness and played a significant part. There's a variety of possibilities (canopy reflection, nearby seagull, boat), but verbal descriptions are low information.
There's problems - like how is he looking straight down?

2019-09-19T14:47:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174696576473882628
@JetEnthusiast There's a similar shaped glare here. Camera is handheld though, so you don't have the gimbal rotation.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

2019-09-19T14:45:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174696032766238724
@GalaxyReport @SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Again though, very different to the videos.

2019-09-19T14:44:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174695826700079106
@chasekuertz My conclusions are the the objects are unidentified. Same as the Navy.

I also have some hypotheses as to what the objects MIGHT be, but they certainly have not been identified.

I'm sure the Navy has hypotheses too, maybe some the same as mine.

2019-09-19T14:43:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174695438873763843
@GalaxyReport Why? Do you know something else?

2019-09-19T14:42:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174695328693596160
@Soriluin @naoehogui 50%? I think you mean "indeterminate"

2019-09-19T14:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174695200276529157

@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michaelsquest6 A bird has only been suggested as the #2 possible explanation for the "Go Fast" video. The other two videos are not birds, and nobody I know of has ever suggested otherwise.

And the #1 explanation for Go Fast is a balloon. #1 for the other is a distant jet.

2019-09-19T14:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174694637958782977

@SpaceDadOrbis @Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy @joerogan "Pretty sure?" based on what? Do you know how common this is? What time of year it happens? How much Fravor flew? How much time he spent observing the water surface?

2019-09-19T14:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174694021450674176

@MATRA_ @hoaxeye We don't know what was seen on the radar. The audio says "there's a whole fleet of them", but it's hard to correlate one thing with the other without knowing range and heading.

2019-09-19T14:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174693359199367168

@nasty_dipsy @hoaxeye Gimbal isn't a balloon, it's something with a hot engine, like a jet. But Go-Fast might be - although the constant altitude would suggest it's not a normal working weather balloon (designed to rise rapidly though that altitude)

2019-09-19T14:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174692720490799104

@SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy There's only one such report. Graves, IIRC, said the majority of sightings were just distant lights.

2019-09-19T14:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174692391502131202

@_jraza @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy Close range? The closest any of the videos gets is about 4 miles.

UFO's have be "observed" by eyewitness much closer for decades. Some say they have even been INSIDE them. What's lacking is close range video evidence. This isn't it.

2019-09-19T14:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174691641359306754

@GalaxyReport They are not releasing anything because, as the Navy said, "Any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected."

2019-09-19T14:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174691139930284032

@JetEnthusiast It needs the plane at the right distance, and the focus tweaked just so, and for the pilot to lock on to a far distant plane at full zoom. So not all the time, but I'm sure there are other examples. Military video is classified by default though. The Navy will figure it out.

2019-09-19T14:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174690479197360135

@chasekuertz None of the videos do.

2019-09-19T14:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174690385521729536

@Rett94291220 @rogerglassel @nickpopemod It's easy to make essentially disposable surveillance drones with a range of many miles. So that would be something the military would be concerned about. Here's a 25 mile flight with parts you can get on amazon for $1,5000. Hence Navy interest in UAPs.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dxj8JwdQ7Lk&feature=youtu.be&t=1221

2019-09-19T14:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174688964923592704

@Jay09784691 @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy "Whale" is one possible explanation for the disturbance in the water that Fravor saw. It fits the location.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Glt-W_5vw54&feature=youtu.be&t=46

2019-09-19T14:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174687888065191936

@SpaceDadOrbis @AFSUnidentified @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Sounds like something totally different to what is showing in any of the videos.

2019-09-19T14:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174687453229137920

@BlueValence It varies - a lot of them are just stylized conventions that evolved over time (like halos, for example). Note they were also depicting all kinds of supernatural things in paintings back then, and there's NEVER been those things.

2019-09-19T14:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174685585648185345

@Soriluin @naoehogui Who do I insult with my little illustration? Are you insulted?

2019-09-19T14:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174685288452382721

@naoehogui But the entire point of all the excitement around the current navy statements seems to boil down (for many outlets) to "UFOs are REAL!!!" - so I think it's fair to ask what this actually means. Millions of people are being clickbaited by this.

2019-09-19T14:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174684647617257472

@_jraza @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy No. The

Navy describes UAP as "sightings/observations of unauthorized/unidentified aircraft/objects that have been observed entering/operating in [military] airspace "

They say nothing about the craft being "unconventional"

Source:
https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/u-s-navy-releases-dates-of-three-officially-acknowledged-encounters-with-phenomena/#

2019-09-19T05:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174559281481273344
@AFSUnidentified @SecretzChannel Isn't it? How do you know?

2019-09-19T05:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174554271133491200
@naoehogui So what DOES "real UFO" mean?

2019-09-19T05:10:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174551290619121664
@lsanger That report has some pretty serious problems. For one, they did not actually seem to do a proper dynamic analysis.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2019-09-19T05:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174550223084912645
@ufoartwork @mikeb8637 @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy Nah. "Cogito, ergo sum" is pretty solid.

2019-09-19T05:05:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174550036216082432
@AFSUnidentified No me. I'm just trying to make a point with a little humor. Perhaps a bad idea?

2019-09-19T04:50:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174546317357375488
@TheOutThere2016 @blackvaultcom Probably did not got through the right channels. Maybe there was some deception from Elizondo, or maybe just mistakes.

2019-09-19T04:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174545350222180354
@RahulRa94154451 @zcichy @UAP1949 @Deepneuron See what I say the end of my Nimitz video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s1oTg0kxzDs&feature=youtu.be&t=257

2019-09-19T04:43:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174544438430859264
@__dregs__ @RahulRa94154451 @GarryPNolan @UAP1949 @Deepneuron I'm not ignoring the larger context, but I don't think it has any bearing on these videos. There's no direct correlations between other events and these videos. So I think the videos need to stand alone.

2019-09-19T04:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174543966743613440
@zcichy @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron None of the Nimitz/Gimbal/Go Fast video are labeled "debunked".
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEzRfPuU0AE3T67.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEzRfPuU0AE3T67.jpg
2019-09-19T04:17:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174538077835907073
@FormallySomeone Nah, I just looked in the thread.
They did look familiar though, it's a common source of UFO sightings. Here's one from my old blog in 2010. http://contrailscience.com/manu-ginobilis-santa-monica-silver-surfer/

2019-09-19T04:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174537556253216768

@__dregs__ @GarryPNolan @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron The Flir1 Nimitz video was a unique event, which happened after the Fravor event, and showed an object, with very little apparent movement, a long way off. Very different to what Fravor saw, and with nothing to connect them.

2019-09-19T04:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174535625812242432

@mikeb8637 @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy Thanks!

2019-09-19T04:07:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174535503430873090

@LiberalForLife3 Disprove what exactly? You can't disprove things like "I saw a strange light" and you can't disprove blurry low-information photos. You can't even disprove "I saw aliens and we had a cup of tea"

2019-09-19T04:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174534902357753857

@wilcher_grant He seems to believe it. I generally assume people are not lying. But I don't think he actually saw a hypersonic craft.

2019-09-19T04:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174534693938581509

@wilcher_grant It wasn't in the same area. The object in the video is about 40 miles away. Also if the object actually WAS superhypersonic, then it could be anywhere.

2019-09-19T04:02:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174534320356151296

@__dregs__ @GarryPNolan @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron There were no witnesses to the videos.

2019-09-19T04:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174534192786366465

@RahulRa94154451 @mikeb8637 Maybe you should base that one on a specific example? Also, actually quote me.

2019-09-19T04:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174533953488769029

@FormallySomeone https://twitter.com/FOX5Vegas/status/1174527871026524160

2019-09-19T04:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174533624693063681

@tthnnai1 @Kar98rfb @CelestialEmpirQ

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual-riff-on-contrails-handbook.t352/

2019-09-19T00:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174482442591207425

@MatthewBriski No, but then nobody is saying that. You are conflating different things.

2019-09-19T00:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174482326467702784

@MatthewBriski They have an idea. However that's classified, as their spokesman explained. Any results of their investigation would not be released to the public.

2019-09-19T00:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174482126852329472

@adampfinch @uafairbanks @AE911Truth It appears to be wrong yes. They do not appear to have done a full dynamic analysis.

2019-09-19T00:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174481976977346560

@wilcher_grant How do you know what he saw is the same thing as in the video? The video appears to show a mostly stationary object many miles away. Not some hypersonic Tic-Tac.

2019-09-19T00:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174481714170585088

@gyyy6y @AskTheQuestionZ The eyewitness account are not the same things as the video shows.

2019-09-19T00:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174481493025947648

@alien_expanse @AFSUnidentified @michaelsquest6 My explanations don't identify the aircraft or balloons involved they just show how the videos could come about without breaking any laws of physics, on involving odd craft.

2019-09-18T22:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174456683403235328

@wilcher_grant What if they were too far away to identify? Remember the Navy is just talking about the videos here.

2019-09-18T22:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174456416007909376

@dmt_quest @michaelsquest6 Thanks, then you might enjoy the other videos in the Gimbal series. I sometimes even wave my arms around!
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn4FcIJPKnJVGskuXDjHnTOS

2019-09-18T22:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174455121897373697

@gyyy6y @AskTheQuestionZ Well, with "Go Fast" all you need is a bit of high school trig.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-18T22:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174452575451500545

@leastactionman Unlikely, as such a mix-up would be resolved. However the plane appears to be really far away, so maybe with the video.

2019-09-18T22:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174450401896095745
@MusicAndTruth @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy My line is with verifiable facts. I'll show you stuff, like alternative hypotheses, and you can check it yourself.

2019-09-18T22:28:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174450030863777792
@leastactionman All they say is that the things in the videos were designated "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena ... unauthorized/unidentified aircraft/objects that have been observed entering/operating in the airspace of various military-controlled training ranges.â□□"

2019-09-18T22:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174449316766736386
@buffcommacu I don't see any video of a cube in a sphere. There's a few suggestions though. https://twitter.com/Aviation_Intel/status/1142532802237194240

2019-09-18T22:20:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174448088603213824
or perhaps UFO actually stand for "Unfortunately, Far Out of range of this particular camera I happen to have with me today"

2019-09-18T22:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174447378977280000
U.F.O. stands for "Too Far Away"

2019-09-18T22:16:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174447065616617472
@buffcommacu Sensationalizing videos of unknown objects isn't very professional either. I'm joking here to make a point. Something being "unidentified" in a photo just means it's too far away.

2019-09-18T22:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174446510278201344
@SecretzChannel Sounds like a well described phenomena called "mass hysteria" https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_mass_hysteria_cases

---

2019-09-18T22:08:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174445029785399296
@wilcher_grant "Unidentified" does not mean "no idea".
I see something in the sky. Is a bird? Is it a plane? Is it a balloon? I have several ideas. I can even rank them by the most likely (plane, bird, balloon, something else). But it's not identified.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEx3FxAU4AAYi1p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEx3FxAU4AAYi1p.jpg

2019-09-18T22:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174444326316675073

@SecretzChannel Nobody took a photo?

2019-09-18T22:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174444169168748544

@edwardcurrent Also the Pentagon, the impact event was low information in the visual record, with just a couple of low resolution video. So theories like "cruise missiles" leapt into the the void.

Of course there was lots of other information, but visual is key for most people.

2019-09-18T21:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174441001869402112

@GalaxyReport No, it means that UFOs somehow magically are always just a bit further away than the range of whatever camera you happen to have with you. Lots of "UFO" photos that are very close (like mine) that would be resolved with a longer lens.

Should we discount all photos <2000mm?

2019-09-18T21:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174440295716376576

@GalaxyReport Gimbal? Have you see my gimbal explanation videos?

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn4FcIJPKnJVGskuXDjHnTOS

2019-09-18T21:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174439229499199488

@GalaxyReport I have a superzoom camera too. It will give similar photos of birds if the birds are further away. The low information zone gets more distant as zoom power increases.

2019-09-18T21:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174438625422888960

@wilcher_grant They did not say they had no idea. They said they classed them as unidentified, and there will be an investigation, and when it is finished they won't tell you what the result is. So if they have started working on it, they probably have some reasonable hypotheses.

2019-09-18T21:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174438221343645696

@AFSUnidentified @michaelsquest6 I have no argument with what the Navy spokesman says. It conflicts in no way with my hypotheses.

2019-09-18T21:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174438075453198336

@LiberalForLife3 No, here I am focussing on UFOs. You think that's "low hanging fruit?" You should talk to some of the UFO fans.

Also, 9/11.

But I DO focus on things that can be subject to analysis, to clean up misunderstandings where possible.

2019-09-18T21:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174437384823267329

@MusicAndTruth @DeepStateDan @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy The odds? The odd of something like this happening eventually are very high. What are the odds of winning the lottery? Someone does that. What are the odd of ANY strange coincidence? Happens all the time!

2019-09-18T21:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174436833352007680

UFOs are "real!" I just took this photo of a flying object near my house. It's black + white aura. Possibly an alien spacecraft with an spacetime warp field?

Or a bird with in-camera sharpening?

Can't say for sure from this photo, so it's a "REAL" UFO.

What does "real UFO" mean? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EExvoRPU0AECS5G.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EExu73sUEAAlFLi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EExvoRPU0AECS5G.jpg

2019-09-18T21:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174435351621853185

@wilcher_grant Having a reasonable explanation for something and that thing still not being identified are not mutually exclusive things. You can also have a idea of what something might be (a drone, plane, ballon, etc) without actually identifying it.

2022-06-22T20:03:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539700622953512965
@zcichy I thought you were out :)

2022-06-22T20:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539700019296473089
I think a good UFO investigation show should have an interplay of various perspectives.

If, hypothetically, I was one of those perspectives (a skeptical, nerdy, Scully,) then who should be the other?

Who is (or wants to be) Mulder?

2022-06-22T19:30:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539692228439703552
@MiddleOfMayhem @Oswald1160 @TaterTo74406292 @Mycroft05834901 @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench It's the NV lens, not the SLR. You can tell because the noise (the individual bright pixel patterns that change from frame to frame) in the NV is in focus. The SLR lens is irrelevant to the bokeh.

2022-06-22T19:19:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539689624250904576
@IamDrVenkman @bradjohansson21 @6diego32 @JimothyChristm2 @DavidJayB Only one moves, the flasher.

The camera moves around a lot, which gives an illusion of motion. Here it's roughly stabilized.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539689566327623680/pu/img/rDbmhEaqLlo9R8RF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539689566327623680/pu/img/rDbmhEaqLlo9R8RF.jpg
2022-06-22T19:18:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539689225372676097
@MiddleOfMayhem @blaq_ty @travisstaylor1 Don't forget Pewdiepie

It's not that complicated.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3C5XALaTanA&t=361s

2022-06-22T19:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539687574112940032
@PThegermandude @chrisotis78 I disagree, you need to actually establish that there's something weird going on before speculating what it might be.

We know pilots see things they can't identify. So that's a reasonable thing to ask questions about. But "what is flying at 1000g" is not.

2022-06-22T18:42:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539680322714972161
@PThegermandude @chrisotis78 Heavy snow is a phenomenon. You need to be more specific.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_severe_weather_phenomena

---

2022-06-22T18:37:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539679051689783296
@hlywdufo @BSquidding @MHuntington7 @travisstaylor1 @TjAllard @HISTORY I agree - you need discussion and questioning, not just some guy droning on.

2022-06-22T18:36:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539678791961718784
@TjAllard @MHuntington7 @BSquidding @travisstaylor1 @HISTORY Was it ever scripted? Like on season 1?

Is that all actual science that we can quote as such?

2022-06-22T18:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539678522440003584
@chrisotis78 Your question assumes that there is "a phenomenonâ□□ and not just a series of interesting puzzles.

That really needs to be established if you want people to take it seriously.

2022-06-22T18:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539677867952353280
@MHuntington7 @BSquidding @travisstaylor1 @TjAllard @HISTORY We are going to have more reality-tv shows that support the ETH based on weak or false evidence. So it wouldn't be a bad

thing to have a Mythbusters-style show to bring at least a little balance.

This would not detract from any more rigorous science.

2022-06-22T18:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539676666930245633

@LouisMorasse @Lazl0Holyfield The "Not what it looks like, just UAP pretending to be that" excuse is up there with "birds aren't real".

It looks like stars, it's in the same place in the sky the stars would be (but only from that one camera), and they have the right relative brightness (for that camera)

2022-06-22T18:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539676063189504000

@ZeroPointV1 It's the same thing. Grup Airmed does surveys. That's a survey pattern.

https://www.grupairmed.com/grupairmed/index.php/es/services-main#sp-2

2022-06-22T18:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539672831369261056

@ZeroPointV1 The same. It's owned by Ravenair. They do aerial surveying. The plane says "SURVEY" under the wing, and you can see the camera window on its belly.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4EIBdUAAEQdAX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4EIBdUAAEQdAX.jpg

2022-06-22T18:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539671157384695808

@KJSaundersGuru @Badoosh4122 I'm also in Sacramento. No contrails, blue skies.

The reason is the upper-air humidity is low. Here's a chart showing this. Greener areas support

contrail (cloud) persistence. Right now Sac is in the brown.

https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4CcGMVsAUMrFT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV4CcGMVsAUMrFT.jpg
2022-06-22T18:02:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539670241495490560
@ZeroPointV1 It's a mapping plane. That's how you get those nice 3D maps in Google Maps.

2022-06-22T17:15:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539658269215444992
@NameTrapezoid @travisstaylor1 The white dots are noise - you can tell because they change from frame to frame. The triangles are stars.

2022-06-22T16:49:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539651783735201792
@Lazl0Holyfield We know the non-moving triangles (i.e. all. but one of them) are stars. No additional data will change that.

2022-06-22T16:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539646471867203584
@NwBigfoot @Uaphunter2022 @travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench Here are some.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539646346222743552/pu/img/mxRmVwdwoiB0F_rV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539646346222743552/pu/img/mxRmVwdwoiB0F_rV.jpg
2022-06-22T16:23:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539645212372324353
@AAWSAP @travisstaylor1 I'm saying that if he thinks those are not stars then he's demonstrably wrong.

Qualifications have zero to do with that.

2022-06-22T15:11:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539627145030299648
@travisstaylor1 @Brian314159265 @ThomasWinterton @BrandonFugal @SWRDragon @KalebBench So what is making all the stars have a triangular shape?

2022-06-22T15:06:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539625874319757312
@rip_and_dipp @DingoRabid @caitgaist @Lewis_Brian1 The July 17 and July 30 videos, that have the snoopie team leader talking, show stars. The audio on the video seems to indicate they thought they were drones. The videos were shot two weeks apart. Those are all inarguable facts.

2022-06-22T15:01:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539624629559369728
@Daily_Sinner All but one of the triangles you see are stars.

Any points of light you see (when zoomed in enough to see the triangle shapes) are just noise that changes from frame to frame.

2022-06-22T14:52:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539622483338219522
@KilliK69 So you think that Knapp totally misrepresented Taylor?

Did Knapp also make this slide? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV3WZD_UEAEvUt1.jpg

George Knapp 3:13

Taylor and the taskforce spent three years analyzing the 144 incidents, including dozens of bizarre objects that buzzed multiple Navy ships in 2019. Some of them were spheres that swarmed and kept pace with the warships. Others appeared to be greenish pyramids. Taskforce members were stunned to hear a navy official tell the congressional hearing last month that all of these were, quote, drones as if the mystery had been sown. Someone had to disregard the work of the task force to make that claim.

Travis Taylor 3:46

We had a lot of sensor data on some of them, that we couldn't determine what they are. I mean, if it's our near peers doing it, that scary, but at the same time, we also never found any evidence that it was our near peers doing it.

George Knapp 4:01

The drone conclusion was reached after the UAP taskforce was done and before the new UFO program AIOMSG even started. So who did it? George Knapp, eight news now.

Speaker 2 4:13

Dr. Taylor went into much greater detail about why the drone scenario just falls short of explaining the 2019 UFO encounters, and also why so many UFO videos appear to be fuzzy

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV3WUNfUYAIdjPx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV3WZD_UEAEvUt1.jpg

2022-06-22T11:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539568237586681856

@uapstuditaly @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly @travisstaylor1 They seem unaware that the green triangles were nearly all stars.

Why is that?

2022-06-22T11:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539567915929702400

@ASYSOFFICIAL I'm explaining what the video shows.

I don't know what happened off camera.

2022-06-22T11:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539567807859347456

If you want to replicate (or refute) the star analysis of the green triangle videos, make sure you use the actual videos from the DoD, and not the degraded YouTube versions.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pyramid-ufos-in-night-vision-footage-maybe-bokeh.11695/page-7#post-272484

2022-06-22T11:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539567203543969792

@ASYSOFFICIAL Sensors won't change the stars. This video shows stars.

2022-06-22T11:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539565222855225344

@ADelarge60 @DeepImpcat Where did he say it? Link?

2022-06-22T11:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539564628350341121

@Peter50751945 @travisstaylor1 Everything is possible.

But this is what we've got.

2022-06-22T10:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539561445255282688

@ADelarge60 @DeepImpcat How do you know this?

2022-06-22T10:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539561084679401473

@ADelarge60 @DeepImpcat If they misidentify stars, why not planes?

Radar is only useful if you know where to look. If you think there's something 700 feet up, then a plane 10 miles away could get ignored.

2022-06-22T10:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539560796820078592

@ADelarge60 @DeepImpcat They saw multiple drones. They did not bring any down (that they mentioned)

2022-06-22T09:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539547761569214464

@ADelarge60 @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO What radar returns? Are they at the same time and location?

2022-06-22T09:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539545245238538240

@ADelarge60 @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO They vary. At least two people replicated it with NV they personally owned.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539545124052447232/pu/img/bRyNISvMjxg03iDS.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539545124052447232/pu/img/bRyNISvMjxg03iDS.jpg
2022-06-22T06:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539502596263538688
@NotOwenEason @OwatcherUf @JavierGmezVill3 Unless they thought it was a quadcopter and were wrong.

THey do get things wrong. Like the green triangles.

2022-06-22T06:55:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539502216368640000
@NotOwenEason @OwatcherUf @JavierGmezVill3 It's a plane. You can see the wings, the tail, and the glare from the engines.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539502103441203200/pu/img/BIBptgBoZ_mcZA4M.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539502103441203200/pu/img/BIBptgBoZ_mcZA4M.jpg
2022-06-22T06:32:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539496532965150721
@dmcl8619 And yet the videos show stars.

2022-06-22T06:21:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539493833087496194
@1GOC They are stars.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-22T06:16:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539492602579279872
@dmcl8619 We KNOW that all but one of the green triangles are stars.

Extra data is not going to change that.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539492516243812352/pu/img/PwW0Zt62EVU-_Wyx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539492516243812352/pu/img/PwW0Zt62EVU-_Wyx.jpg
2022-06-22T05:38:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539483048667774976
@mhmanley No, I'm saying that in the green triangle videos, all but one of the green triangles are actually stars. Verifiable named stars.

One, the "flasher," might be a drone but looks more like a plane to me.

2022-06-22T05:30:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539480972734787585
@RoGin1230 @realrightmemes @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 One might be a drone. It looks more like a plane to me. The rest are stars. The two visible in the video that KLAS showed are stars.

2022-06-22T05:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539479377875914753
@RoGin1230 @realrightmemes @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 Yeah, that's the star Okab. It's not moving.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539479353582465024/pu/img/nIK7emkg9lKFfQts.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539479353582465024/pu/img/nIK7emkg9lKFfQts.jpg
2022-06-22T05:21:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539478622301347841
@RoGin1230 @realrightmemes @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 The new short video they showed was only of stars. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-22T05:06:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539474851403948032
@realrightmemes @MiddleOfMayhem @travisstaylor1 I'm 100% serious.

2022-06-22T05:02:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539473983631896576
@Duke87242518 @AnonymouShadoww I think that was a military exercise from a few years ago.

2022-06-22T04:47:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539470183588171776
Question for @travisstaylor1 - as chief scientist for the UAPTF, why did they never figure out that these two triangles (showcased on KLAS 8 News Now today) are the stars Tarazed and Altair? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV1LFjfVsAEKW0n.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV1LFjfVsAEKW0n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FV1KztSVsAAgH9x.jpg

2022-06-22T04:23:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539464095681769474

@RamonDe17166977 Not explicitly. I'm just explaining it, as it's in the video and someone might question it. It's not a star.

2022-06-22T04:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539462263873994753

@UFOApostle One blinks, that's probably a plane, maybe the drone. It's not a pyramid - that's been known for a year.

The rest are stars. All the ones they showed in this clip are stars. That's been known for a week.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-22T04:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539461257505280001

@RamonDe17166977 I mean that one of the lights that shows up as a triangle is a light that is fixed to the ship. You can tell because it moves with the ship, not with the stars.

2022-06-22T04:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539460639399153666

Is this a joke?

Doubling down on the "green pyramids"? Showing footage of what we know now, 100%, to be stars, and insisting they are some kind of flying pyramids?

If this is actually representative of the UAPTF, then it's no wonder they failed to solve most of the cases. https://twitter.com/UAPJames/status/1539418849333977090

2022-06-21T21:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539360043518218240

@Testimonio_UFO @AliensOnEarth_

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-seen-from-fedex-plane-over-mexico-march-19-2020-venus-narcap-tr-20.11699/

2022-06-21T21:09:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539354919362187265

@jere0111 @ChrisSPITZER7 In the video above the little point of light are part of the star map overlay. The stars they represent are faint tri blobs behind them.

In the original video, there are flickering points of light that differ from frame to frame, those are just noise in the Night Vision device

---

2022-06-21T20:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539343689683595264

@LyricalBrynner Green triangle UAP videos? There are only three. All show just stars - except for the one original "flasher" - which I think is probably a plane.

2022-06-21T18:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539310918646976512

@Bobbybigrig @Funkadelick2 @markocheese Yes, the position of the lights in the sky matching stars in position and relative magnitude is sufficient proof that those lights are those stars.

2022-06-21T18:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539310502072811528

@EMP_Wrecker Just coincidentally in the exact same position of a star of the same magnitude.

Occam's razor suggests not.

2022-06-21T18:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539308176725581826
@EMP_Wrecker I used Stellarium. Took the position, date, and heading from the video, and there was Capella. Other stars are visible (best seen scrubbing the video, stills are too noisy). Constellations match. That's it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539307863276802051/pu/img/8B90HoBBFMa7IqLd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539307863276802051/pu/img/8B90HoBBFMa7IqLd.jpg
2022-06-21T17:25:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539298383625482240
@MikeColangelo Yes it is, low in the north east. Their course was 034 True, they appear to be looking fowards (gave the UAP course also as 034). That's within 8Â° of Capella.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyvCLlVUAAkk5-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyvCLlVUAAkk5-.jpg

2022-06-21T16:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539291378160570368

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539291369482514432/pu/img/lhEl5aWEWPGh84Bg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1539291369482514432/pu/img/lhEl5aWEWPGh84Bg.jpg

2022-06-21T16:41:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539287280744923136
@Robin081564 @Channa_Sr So this would have to happen twice, and then some.

Jul 17 - someone films four stars while some talks about four drones

Jul 30 - someone films a star while the same guy talks about a drone.

Later - the July 17 video is described by the UAPTF as drones.

2022-06-21T16:23:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539282822149550080
@markocheese @Funkadelick2 Yeah, here we have the Snoopie team leader, a "trained observer" seeming to mistakenly identify stars are drones.
And then the UAPTF says they are drones
Then Scott Bray says they are drones.
(And Jeremy says they are flying Pyramids)
But they were all stars. All except one.

2022-06-21T16:14:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539280641405071360
@MikeColangelo But basically, it's off the coast of San Diego.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyflP5UAAARA_k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyflP5UAAARA_k.jpg
2022-06-21T16:13:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1539280270326525953
@MikeColangelo The audio gives the location.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vxBMPhOh3CQ

2022-06-21T16:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539277420422868992

The blurry shape below right from Capella is a light on the ship. It moves with the ship when the camera moves.

2022-06-21T15:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539266972516397057

@Drunk_on_Books @Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf @JayParker1967 @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 There's a vast amount of evidence that it's a glare. What is causing the glare is up for debate. But the case for it not actually rotating is very much evidence-based.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-06-21T15:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539266388333719553

I've identified the stars in the second short "green triangle" video. One light is on the ship. The big flickering one is Capella, the sixth brightest star in the sky. Again the same SNOOPIE team leader is saying it's a drone, this time 4000 yards away.

It's a star http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyShc2UsAUsC7a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVyShc2UsAUsC7a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVySGbGUYAAYPKd.jpg

2022-06-21T13:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539236230231035904

@ADelarge60 @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO Itâ□□s not the SLR. Itâ□□s the Night Vision.

2022-06-21T05:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539124547315712001

@dave_beaty @JeremyCorbell But who gave it?

2022-06-21T05:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539124456173588480

@thieme His contributions start here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pyramid-ufos-in-night-vision-footage-maybe-bokeh.11695/page-2#post-247508

2022-06-21T05:51:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539123735218757635

@kimball_23 Can you characterize those other videos in any way?

2022-06-20T21:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538997084107309058

RT @patriottakes: After preaching at Charis Bible College in September of 2021, Lauren Boebert and Pastor Andrew Womack directed church memâ□¦

2022-06-20T21:24:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538996180243296256

@kimball_23 With which video?

2022-06-20T20:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538984270634463232

@kimball_23 Yes, I'd be interested in speaking to any military personnel. Full confidentiality assured.

I think there's a variety of different things going on.

2022-06-20T19:49:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538972357515046913

@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra I believe you, and it's unfortunate there is that perception. I'm only trying to figure out what is going on. As I said, I'd be happy to talk with anyone on or off the record.

2022-06-20T19:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538966366274801664

@UallNV @MatrixTMN @thoreauawhelan Well, the Starlink satellites go in all kinds of different orbits, so presumably, there's some variation in the launches.

2022-06-20T19:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538961585011429376

@MatrixTMN @UallNV @thoreauawhelan Not me, but a thousand other people noted that it's a SpaceX rocket.

2022-06-20T17:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538941920189132800

@Bringouttherum @JeremyCorbell One does not simply "ask CNN"

2022-06-20T17:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538937745485139970

@JeremyCorbell I know that Pentagon spokesperson Gough did a general confirmation of the Green Triangle video and some photos (the Batman balloon, etc), but not specifically the green-triangle slide.

I have asked her for clarification. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtnXlmUAAIAMPS.jpg

---

(CNN) — The Defense Department has confirmed that leaked photos and video of "unidentified aerial phenomena" taken in 2019 are indeed legitimate images of unexplained objects.

Photos and videos of triangle-shaped objects blinking and moving through the clouds were taken by Navy personnel, Pentagon spokeswoman Sue Gough said in a statement to CNN. She also confirmed that photos of three unidentified flying objects -- one "sphere" shaped, another "acorn" shaped and one characterized as a "metallic blimp" -- were also taken by Navy personnel.

"As we have said before, to maintain operations security and to avoid disclosing information that may be useful to potential adversaries, DOD does not discuss publicly the details of either the observations or the examinations of reported incursions into our training ranges or designated airspace, including those incursions initially designated as UAP," Gough said.

She also said that the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force, created in August to investigate UFO sightings observed by the military, has "included these incidents in their ongoing examinations."

The Navy photos and videos were published by Mystery Wire and on Extraordinary Beliefs' website last week but had been circulating online since last year.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtnXlmUAAIAMPS.jpg
2022-06-20T17:28:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538936845437792256
@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra I'm also curious as to what these "analysis companies" are. Can you give an example of one?

2022-06-20T17:26:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538936350409273345
@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra Which thing are you referring to?

2022-06-20T17:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538936008254709760
@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra I'm not mocking anyone. I'm presenting hypotheses that explain some events.

I'd be happy to talk to any military witness entirely off the record to show I'm on the level. YOu can pass on my email address, mick@mckwest.com, and my DMs are open.

2022-06-20T17:15:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538933493295554560
@nexisfan @JeremyCorbell @ufogarage And in this video, where we see the lights are 100% stars, we see minor differences in orientation across the frame. 00:20 for example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538933053761851395/pu/img/gKILvrzFBpDB12Ro.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538933053761851395/pu/img/gKILvrzFBpDB12Ro.jpg
2022-06-20T17:13:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538932998543855617
@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra That seems like a really weak excuse. Why don't they just ignore me? Are these not ex-military people? Why so sensitive?

2022-06-20T17:10:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538932218306777089
@nexisfan @JeremyCorbell @ufogarage Where are you seeing them not oriented the same way?
There's going to be a little distortion both from the NV device, and the recording camera. Here's an example of a photo of triangles all aligned the same way. The corner triangles appear slightly rotated. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtinfgUsAAc3Ab.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtinfgUsAAc3Ab.jpg
2022-06-20T17:02:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538930179598188544
@kimball_23 @Lynda_Katerra They don't want to come forward because they are afraid their sighting will be explained and they will look bad?

If I say something incorrect or unsubstantiated about a case, then isn't that just going to make ME look bad?

2022-06-20T16:28:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538921852029898752
Does anyone remember if this slide was ever confirmed as being part of an official Pentagon/UAPTF briefing?

@JeremyCorbell?
#UFOtwitter #UAPtwitter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtY32WUcAIdk4O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVtY32WUcAIdk4O.jpg

2022-06-20T16:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538917258277203968

@kinger90210 @528vibes @MiddleOfMayhem @DrStevenGreer If I can prove that's the star Altair in the Pentagon slide, would that be at all significant to you?

2022-06-20T04:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538740463640793088

@kinger90210 @528vibes @MiddleOfMayhem @DrStevenGreer So you don't think this slide shows Altair? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVqz-PZUAAUnS_4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVqz-PZUAAUnS_4.jpg

2022-06-19T23:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538661374305325056

@sumbitchMF @ChrisSPITZER7 Only one moves, and it moves like a plane. And thatâ□□s the longer video. In the short one with audio talking about four drones there are four stars

---

2022-06-19T22:14:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538646541077925888
@Drunk_on_Books @JayParker1967 @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 Iâ□□m eager to debunk it because I think the evidence shows it is bunk.

2022-06-19T22:13:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538646207861379072
@aka__V @EireScientist @caitgaist @Oswald1160 @bbman182 @DavidFieldSculp @lbf_tweet @ShiftingShane Debunking is an investigative attempt at falsification. A bedrock principle of science.

2022-06-19T17:45:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538578709292101633
@Drunk_on_Books @JayParker1967 @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 Falch has never been near an ATFLIR.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FG49Tpb_los
Ehrhart's interview was addressed in-depth here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

People who know won't talk, because it's classified.

2022-06-19T17:27:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538574182920835072
@MDTedPoker Example? (With original source please)

2022-06-19T17:26:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538573898521817088
@Drunk_on_Books @JayParker1967 @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 You said, "experts who seem to disagree"

So who are they, and what is their explanation for their disagreement?

2022-06-19T17:02:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538567987464065024
@bhkufg @zorbonk Except they also thought stars were at 700 feet.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVoXZsCUEAAdTlc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVoXZsCUEAAdTlc.jpg

2022-06-19T16:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538562762539868160

@SumOfUs1 @TheDiddyBop @ITalkParanormal Well, sounds like you saw a UFO then. Not a lot more can be determined from your account.

2022-06-19T16:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538561757123182592

@Drunk_on_Books @JayParker1967 @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 Can you name a technician who is familiar with the ATFLIR, and quote where he disagreed with me?

2022-06-19T16:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538561445591273472

@SumOfUs1 @TheDiddyBop @ITalkParanormal How do you know it was not a drone?

2022-06-19T16:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538556777553272833

@SumOfUs1 @TheDiddyBop @ITalkParanormal How did you estimate the altitude?

2022-06-19T16:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538553848767205376

@SumOfUs1 @TheDiddyBop @ITalkParanormal Were you there?

2019-09-18T21:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174434877535506432

@keithkloor You expect the Navy to radically change the way it classifies information just to satisfy the curiosity of a bunch of UFO fans? Why would they do that?

2019-09-18T20:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174427138767736832

And if you're REALLY interested in this type of analysis, here's an in-depth look at a balloon that resembles the "Go Fast" video. Here we have buildings and GPS data, so we can see the

movements that make up the "go fast" illusion.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2019-09-18T20:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174425707927072768
@keithkloor Because the Navy classifies things by default. Any investigation will be classified, regardless of what it actually is. They said any report will "include classified information" and "no release of information to the general public is expected."

2019-09-18T20:49:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174425311812808705
@DeepStateDan @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy I don't think it's combined. It think it's just an unfortunate confluence of events. They might be pretty ordinary along - burry video, loss of situational awareness, radar glitches, etc. But combined they attained an unwarranted mythology.

2019-09-18T20:47:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174424697255034880
@GalacticWorld @GalaxyReport @jehvee_ @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy It's not going fast. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-18T20:46:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174424488789737472
And back to the Gimbal video, the rotation of the camera is a bit confusing, and I describe it in depth here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4X1PRDbtiF0

2019-09-18T20:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174422437846376448
@paul_sailer I only really use sine(a) = opposite/hypotenuse. YouTube should be able to explain that for you :)

2019-09-18T20:37:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174422108681621504
@jehvee_ @GalaxyReport @GalacticWorld @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy I think it's more likely a balloon. But if it's a bird, it probably has around a 6 foot wingspan (in the video I calculate the size based on FOV) so a large soaring seabird like an albatross.

2019-09-18T20:35:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174421648520302592
And the "Flir1" video (AKA Nimitz Tic-Tac), while it has the most interesting backstory, is the least interesting of all the videos. Probably just a very distant plane.
https://youtu.be/s1oTg0kxzDs

2019-09-18T20:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174421062689255424
The "Go Fast" video is also less interesting than it seems when you do the math. It's actually a fairly slow object, and not really very large. Might even be a balloon.

https://youtu.be/PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-18T20:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174420744714899456

One thing that was touted as "unexplained" has been very well explained. The "aura" around the gimbal video isn't some space warp. It's just ordinary image sharpening.

https://youtu.be/r119JWI04Ls

2019-09-18T20:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174420435531812864

The recent flurry of interest in the Navy's acknowledgment of some videos of unidentified objects skims over the fact than there are actually some reasonable explanations for these videos. Here's the one most often shown, the rotating Gimbal video.

https://youtu.be/ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-09-18T20:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174418533725261824

@GalaxyReport @GalacticWorld @jehvee_ @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy It's not convenient, that's just what it is. I look at the Go Fast video, I came up with what I thought was a reasonably hypothesis, I did the math. Here's the math:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-09-18T20:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174417512290586624

@GalaxyReport @GalacticWorld @jehvee_ @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy Like I said, the bird/balloon hypothesis is just for the Go Fast Video.

2019-09-18T20:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174414202024259584

@GalacticWorld @GalaxyReport @jehvee_ @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy The bird hypothesis only applies to the Go Fast video, nothing else. Even there I think a balloon is more likely. The other observations you mention are from another incident years earlier.

2019-09-18T20:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174413703095013376

@BlueValence @IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @gammaraybeam @AFSUnidentified @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy What if it doesn't?

2019-09-18T20:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174413566482374657

@rogerglassel @nickpopemod One thing the Navy would be concerned about would be unfriendly drones launched from submarines. Seems inevitable that enemy drone would probe operational airspace, be seen but not identified. But ANY investigation would be classified, even if it turned out to be a ballon.

2019-09-18T19:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174408484110008320

@apicasefiles @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy And you CAN identify unknown objects. The process is called "discovery". The first time someone saw an elephant it was an unknown object . And then it wasn't.

2019-09-18T19:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174407311042859008

@IvanBuchanJanus @GalaxyReport @gammaraybeam @AFSUnidentified @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy What's the point of your "what if?" We can only go by the evidence we have. What if there's evidence that the Queen is an alien? It's a pointless hypothetical.

2019-09-18T19:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174406774285189121

@apicasefiles @paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy What is this, Platonic classification time? You can take a clear high-resolution photo of an unknown object. Then it's identified as the thing in the photo. But UFO's have been curiously getting further and further away every year. People have big zooms. https://youtu.be/LhQlwKX3LQA?t=27

2019-09-18T19:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174404848843800576

@rory_blyth Look at their channel. They are very much still a paranormal thing.

2019-09-18T19:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174404053746339840

@GalaxyReport @gammaraybeam @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy It's quite easy to get clear FLIR video, just fly closer.

2019-09-18T19:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174403862809038849

@paulsanderson @GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Unidentified objects will remain unidentified is they are too far away to identify, regardless of what they actually are. Jet, Balloons, Birds, Drones, Flying Saucers, Whales, etc.

2019-09-18T18:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174387441202892800

@GalaxyReport @IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy Nobody is saying that there's no unidentified flying objects. I see them all the time. The fly just far enough away that you can't identify them.

2019-09-18T17:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174377765786673152

@GarryPNolan @GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @nickpopemod @USNavy Why? The Navy hasn't eliminated any possibilities or even released any analysis at all. "Unidentifed" does not mean the same as "not an albatross" or "not a balloon"

2019-09-18T17:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174372703148445696
@GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy They are already UFOs.

2019-09-18T17:20:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174372588555866112
@kimberley82h @mattjamesmedium @ni_skies @jconnor19662 @smit_anja @FLATEARTH111 @TheFlatEartherr @fergus_ezra The sun is always white (except for sunrise and sunset). Look at a sheet of white paper in sunlight. If the sun was giving off a yellow light, then the paper would look yellow. It looks white because sunlight is white.

2019-09-18T17:18:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174372243322753024
@IvanBuchanJanus @AFSUnidentified @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy But the camera's DON'T get too close. That's the point. What you see is what you would expect to see of an object at that distance. If you were close you would see clearly what it is.
But the UFO's stay just beyond the range of whatever camera you are using.

2019-09-18T17:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1174371733198913536
@kimberley82h @mattjamesmedium @ni_skies @jconnor19662 @smit_anja @FLATEARTH111 @TheFlatEartherr @fergus_ezra The hexagon shape comes from the aperture of the camera and glare. If you use a solar filter to view the sun you can see it's round.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEw0vX5U8AE6ynK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEw0vX5U8AE6ynK.jpg
2019-09-18T17:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174371272588845056

@GalaxyReport @AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @USNavy Why?

2019-09-18T17:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174370774334857217

@CryptGold @michiokaku Simple logic based on a false premise. Kaku said the VIDEOS showed "videotaped objects executing things that are impossible with ordinary commercial and military aircraft." This is wrong, and hence his conclusion based on this is also wrong.

2019-09-18T17:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174370410638348288

@CryptGold @michiokaku The navy spokesman said:

â□□The Navy has not publicly released characterizations or descriptions, nor released any hypothesis or conclusions, in regard to the objects contained in the referenced videos.â□□

2019-09-18T17:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174370048837709826

@buffcommacu @GarryPNolan @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron He can look that up in Wikipedia. I was explaining why Rahul's statement was wrong.

2019-09-18T17:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174369686303100928

@AFSUnidentified @IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy I'd need someone other than blurry video and personal anecdotes.

Why do UFO's never venture close enough so that people can get a good photo? Is it:

A) They can detect what type of camera you are carrying

B) They are ordinary things that are just too far way.

C) Something else?

2019-09-18T17:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174369081425682432

@IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron Indeed. If you can push out the low information border to beyond where the object is, they you will be able to identify it. But right now it's just a blob on a video.

2019-09-18T17:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174368770803912704

@CryptGold @michiokaku How would I go about that? I know that someone in the Navy has been asked about the rotating glare hypothesis, but it's a rather monolithic organization resistant (with good reason) to outside ideas. Who would I send my video to? Why would they not just ignore it?

2019-09-18T17:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174368229403185152

@GarryPNolan @RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron And wrong. Debunking is about removing bunk - that does not mean eliminating possibilities, it's usually about removing false

claims of evidence or inaccurate leaps of logic. It's literally impossible to prove a low information sighting like Flir1 is not an alien.

2019-09-18T17:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174367738816450560

@RahulRa94154451 @UAP1949 @Deepneuron I explain why I think one possibility is more likely than another. You can rarely eliminate possibilities for things that exist in a low information zone.

2019-09-18T16:59:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174367359877832706

@CryptGold @michiokaku Okay, what did I get wrong? Show me the math.

2019-09-18T16:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174367236997308418

@IvanBuchanJanus @GarryPNolan @nickpopemod @GalaxyReport @USNavy That navy has said nothing at all about what their hypotheses for these objects are, so there's nothing to compare. At least I've shared my hypotheses.

2019-09-18T16:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174366906490376192

@nickpopemod There will always be videos of objects flying & unidentified. UFOs invariably fly in the LIZ, the Low Information Zone. An iPhone has a LIZ. Navy ATFLIR has a different LIZ. The Navy will invariably have video of UFOs. Just further away than iPhone video https://www.metabunk.org/are-the-navy-ufos-real-or-just-in-the-low-information-zone.t10921/

2019-09-18T16:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174365256988033024

@CryptGold @michiokaku You don't have to "trust" facts. You can check them for yourself.

2019-09-18T14:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174331965761183745

@mrbouffant @GreyAlien @nivrig That's true.

2019-09-18T05:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174195695068299265

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @ValidatedM @michiokaku Now you are just randomly speculating.

2019-09-17T23:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174108736551440384

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @ValidatedM @michiokaku And yet curiously they managed to track it going from 28,000 feet to sea level in 0.78 seconds. Is that the magic speed-limit for radar?

2019-09-17T21:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174072388155129856

@ForPolJournal Why on earth are people still going on about NIST's draft report? They gave a simplified analysis of the collapse, someone pointed out there was a period of near free-fall.

NIST's final report broke down the collapse in to sections that showed this
That was ELEVEN YEARS AGO!

2019-09-17T21:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174071354091429888

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @SpaceDadOrbis @ValidatedM @michiokaku And yet curiously no radar observed it depart to the south. What's up with that?

2019-09-17T21:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174070420124733440

@HALMACDAL @Metabunk I don't want testimony. I want a verified demonstration.

2019-09-17T19:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174044699272761344

@ddeanjohnson @generaldamras No.

2019-09-17T19:37:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174044616158404608

@HALMACDAL @Metabunk And yet nobody can demonstrate it working?

2019-09-17T19:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174043458958282752

@Scribe1969 @HALMACDAL @Metabunk Can you quote the bit where it's demonstrated with proper scientific controls?

2019-09-17T19:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174043233954910213

@generaldamras Yeah, they haven't identified them. Neither have I. But I've got some very reasonable hypotheses as to what they might be.

2019-09-17T16:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174002840970248192

@EricRWeinstein Well, what DOES it have to do with? Can you give some illustrating examples?

2019-09-17T16:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1174002286336413697

@HALMACDAL @Metabunk I shall wait for him to provide scientific evidence of remote viewing. How hard can that be exactly? He's got a series of videos on TRAINING RV, so surely he can win a prize by demonstrating it?

2019-09-17T16:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173996983255629824

@leechristian69 @brendatomczak @brondmanmusic @Judy_Cockerton @RevCavs @geewilikers @marshallstackz @rubberclowncar @CosmicCrash1 @BedrokkV8 @axxepackes @LeonasSister @thebloodynerve @so_st_ca @GenuineCrude @podracerband @BrokenRomeo @SecondPlayrScor @RobertcTyson @RevelatorRyan @HikersHell There's an interesting story behind Prince's "chemtrails" reference.
https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

2019-09-17T16:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173996464164360192
@EricRWeinstein How about with consequential public servants, like the US president?

2019-09-17T00:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173749823050113024
@Garyjayuk2 @AustinWaltersUK @dahboo7 Yeah, me too. But I get fooled occasionally when the planes are very far away, and precisely lined up. We could try eliminating planes. What was your date, time, view direction, and location?

2019-09-16T21:44:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173714256253968386
@AustinWaltersUK @Garyjayuk2 @dahboo7 Probably the landing lights of a far distant plane heading for Manchester airport, or maybe even London. Here's an example.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6e7cw4bYvM0

2019-09-16T21:38:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173712811689570304
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku How many discreet radar systems measured this 0.78 drop? How do you know this?

2019-09-16T20:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173694793123106817
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku It's also impossible to do without an incredibly loud sonic boom. Which means a radar glitch seems way more likely.

2019-09-16T18:59:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173672767062822915
@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michiokaku And what exactly did the radar show at that point in time?

2019-09-16T18:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1173672126181593088
@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEm4knHU4AAlknL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEm4knHU4AAlknL.jpg

2019-09-16T18:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173670433649876992

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku It's not mysterious. Recollections vary. Recollections change over time. Stories change in the retelling. Intense focus on a story crystalizes one particular version - but does not indicate that's the right one.

2019-09-16T18:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173669992853688320

@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michiokaku The issue here is what Kaku said about the burden of proof shifting because of the videos being testable evidence we did not have before. If you think the other evidence is "testable", then explain how you test it.

2019-09-16T18:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173666850636324864

@cptarkennedy @michiokaku Kaku is basing his statement on the videos. The videos don't show anything odd. The "radar data" is purely anecdotal recollections of different events.

2019-09-16T18:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173666577050296320

@rory_blyth @MarkSte96213981 @JeremyCorbell @michiokaku @area51basecamp Assume I have none at all. How does that affect what I said?

If you are interested in my past, you can see here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mick_West

But again, what does it change? My degree subject has no effect on the facts, or on trigonometry.

2019-09-16T17:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173648144778723328

@cptarkennedy @michiokaku What is inaccurate is the assertion that the craft was

demonstrably pulling high-gs. It does not appear to move any more than a distant jet, flying away in straight and level flight.

2019-09-16T17:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173647751424307204

@AFSUnidentified @michiokaku They are not part of what Kaku said. They are not testable, as they are anecdotal.

2019-09-16T17:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173647578828685312

@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michiokaku He said it flew off towards the horizon.

2019-09-16T17:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173647483886419968

@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michiokaku It means they did not identify them exactly. If does not mean they are some bizarre impossible phenomenon.

2019-09-16T16:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173632923074883585

@adrian_probert @AFSUnidentified @michiokaku No I do not. There's zero evidence of a simultaneous event over multiple systems. Just different anecdotes from different people, in different places, at different times. For example, Fravor says the TicTac flew away. Radar only observed vertical movement.

2019-09-16T16:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173628520754319360

@cptarkennedy @michiokaku A large number of pages is not a good indicator of correctness. You also have to look at the contents.

2019-09-16T16:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173628285391200256

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku In fact it started out with everyone saying 80,000 feet, not 28,000. Also some said 60,000.

2019-09-16T15:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173624127938154496

@_Desmoden @Oswald1160 @ValidatedM @michiokaku Radar guy (Kevin Day) changed those numbers several times in his early recollections. They eventually solidified, but that might just be from multiple retellings.

2019-09-16T15:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173623035993026560

@AFSUnidentified @michiokaku Yet Kaku is basing his assessment on the TESTABLE data - the videos (in his own assessment.) Those videos do not show what he says they show, and I doubt he personally has analyzed them in any depth.

2019-09-16T08:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173509059221184513

@_Desmoden @ValidatedM @michiokaku Right, they say it would not lose lock except via super

fast motion. The mistake is saying this motion has to be the target object. The â□□motionâ□□ is the camera.

2019-09-16T06:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173483863340802048

@_Desmoden @ValidatedM @michiokaku Nope. It was changes in zoom, then the final shot is it just drifting away.

2019-09-16T06:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173481630716981248

@vimanaboy @zcichy @michiokaku No. I focus more on the individual â□□thingsâ□□. Not general conclusions.

2019-09-16T05:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173473057911922689

@vimanaboy @michiokaku Listen to his talk. He discusses things needing to not be anecdotal. Things need to be testable. He quite specifically say's that the videos are testable. Nothing else is mentioned as testable. He's talking about the videos.

2019-09-16T05:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173468921208266752

@vimanaboy @michiokaku He said "We have studied these military videotapes and we now can measure how fast they are, how high they are, we now have numbers we can play with." It seems quite clear that the testable data he is referring to is the video.

2019-09-16T04:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173456489605812225

@_Desmoden @michiokaku Yes

2019-09-16T04:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173455950776127488

@vimanaboy @michiokaku Itâ□□s because Iâ□□m addressing the videos.

2019-09-16T04:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173455709234581505

@jerry25a @cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace They are wrong.

2019-09-16T02:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173426302348455937

@lucyskye318 @takahari4 @zcichy Most of it is fairly simple, and verifiable, trigonometry. You don't need to trust what I say, just do the math.

2019-09-15T20:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173327282959613952

@MarkSte96213981 @StonerKidWSuper @JeremyCorbell @michiokaku @area51basecamp How do you know I don't have a clue, if you have not looked at what I said about Gimbal?

2019-09-15T20:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173326018645381120

@paul_sailer @michiokaku Which one? I link all three analysis threads in the above link.

2019-09-15T18:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173301523150864384

@ValidatedM @michiokaku They base it on the camera not losing lock, when it obviously does.

2019-09-15T17:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173290482299916289

@buffcommacu @UfoIssue @michiokaku @PostDisclosure I don't know exactly what it is. But I do know what fits, and I have calculated speeds, etc. Kaku seems not to have done this, and instead relied on other accounts.

2019-09-15T17:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173281643965997056

@JeremyCorbell @michiokaku @area51basecamp Kaku is wrong about the videos shifting the burden of proof, as I explain here.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.t10912/

2019-09-15T17:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173281300528001024

Why Michio Kaku is wrong about the UFO burden of proof and the Navy video.

His interpretation of the physics in the videos is incorrect. Flir1, Gimbal, Go Fast, do not show impossible speeds or acceleration. Burden of proof is now with him. @michiokaku

https://www.metabunk.org/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.t10912/

2019-09-15T14:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173249012859715584

@MarkSte96213981 If someone can debunking anything to make themselves sound right, then does it not also follow that people could make claims of conspiracies to make themselves sound right?

So if you remove "sounds right", you've got to examine the actual evidence. So I do.

2019-09-15T12:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173216730933383169

@ElectroDeeJ @PostDisclosure Pretty much. Any subject with a hint of possible secrets.

2019-09-14T23:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173020310318149632

@PostDisclosure The question " does the U.S. government know more about UFOs than it is telling us"

Can you name ANY subject where >50% of people think that the government is telling us everything they know about it?

2019-09-14T23:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1173019668396724224

@Richard73086615 @AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure That's actually the opposite of his thinking. He says, several times in the interview, that just because they have not found anything, it does not mean there is nothing to be found.

2019-09-14T21:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172984646151241728

@HabsyMatthews @clumsy_moose @NickyStix018 How was it proven?

2019-09-14T21:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172984537724309504

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure So what if there were not ET? Proven to all have banal implications? Would your head likewise explode?

2019-09-14T18:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172943241949302785

@ForPolJournal Maybe that's why NIST hasn't described it like that since 2008? You should read their FAQ:

https://www.nist.gov/topics/disaster-failure-studies/faqs-nist-wtc-7-investigation

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEchoG4UEAArz05.jpg

---

11. In a video, it appears that WTC 7 is descending in free fall, something that would not occur in the structural collapse that you describe. How can NIST ignore basic laws of physics?

In the draft WTC 7 report (released Aug. 21, 2008; available at http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/wtc_draftreports.cfm), NIST stated that the north face of the building descended 18 stories (the portion of the collapse visible in the video) in 5.4 seconds, based on video analysis of the building collapse. This time period is 40 percent longer than the 3.9 seconds this process would have taken if the north face of the building had descended solely under free fall conditions. During the public comment period on the draft report, NIST was asked to confirm this time difference and define the reasons for it in greater detail.

To further clarify the descent of the north face, NIST recorded the downward displacement of a point near the center of the roofline from first movement until the north face was no longer visible in the video. Numerical analyses were conducted to calculate the velocity and acceleration of the roofline point from the time-dependent displacement data. The instant at which vertical motion of the roofline first occurred was determined by tracking the numerical value of the brightness of a pixel (a single element in the video image) at the roofline. This pixel became brighter as the roofline began to descend because the color of the pixel started to change from that of the building façade to the lighter color of the sky.

The approach taken by NIST is summarized in NIST NCSTAR Report 1A, Section 3.6, and detailed in NIST NCSTAR Report 1-9, Section 12.5.3.

The analyses of the video (both the estimation of the instant the roofline began to descend and the calculated velocity and acceleration of a point on the roofline) revealed three distinct stages characterizing the 5.4 seconds of collapse:

- Stage 1 (0 to 1.75 seconds): acceleration less than that of gravity (i.e., slower than free fall).
- Stage 2 (1.75 to 4.0 seconds): gravitational acceleration (free fall)
- Stage 3 (4.0 to 5.4 seconds): decreased acceleration, again less than that of gravity

This analysis showed that the 40 percent longer descent time—compared to the 3.9 second free fall time—was due primarily to Stage 1, which corresponded to the buckling of the exterior columns in the lower stories of the north face. During Stage 2, the north face descended essentially in free fall, indicating negligible support from the structure below. This is consistent with the structural analysis model, which showed the exterior columns buckling and losing their capacity to support the loads from the structure above. In Stage 3, the acceleration decreased as the upper portion of the north face encountered increased resistance from the collapsed structure and the debris pile below.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEchoG4UEAArz05.jpg

2019-09-14T16:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172914732975579136

@AFSUnidentified @PostDisclosure That's a topic we discuss at at 35:20
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wh8d6Esi268&t=2120s

2019-09-14T16:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172907344335753216
@ApocalypseHackR Yeah, people sometimes create fake videos to show people how easily they are fooled by fake videos. I think it could well be a net negative. This fake video by @edwardcurrent is still making the rounds of truthers. https://youtu.be/k8VAsoVuShM?t=48

2019-09-14T16:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172906489561829376
@PostDisclosure I mentioned to Seth (after this interview) that I was going to be talking to "UFO Jesus" next week. He was quite amused by your name.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1172888136998739970

2019-09-14T15:01:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172888136998739970
In #TFTRH 22, I interview Seth Shostak, SETI's senior astronomer, about the hunt for ET, UFO "disclosure" culture, TTSA and Area 51. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wh8d6Esi268

2019-09-14T01:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172678312444846081
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace I wonder if you might be referring to the "Aura" around the glare. You might want to watch this explanation: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2019-09-13T23:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172660983774343169
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Iâ□□m not. Itâ□□s a solid color. Hot. On the display it says BLK or WHT to indicate what the hot color is. Maybe you could show a pic. Iâ□□m just on my phone right now, but will give detail later.

2019-09-13T23:26:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172652746417131520
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Gimbal uses both modes. The hot region is all glare from the engines.

2019-09-13T23:05:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172647608793174016
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace No, Iâ□□m not. The black blob you see is entirely the glare from the very bright IR radiation from the engines.

2019-09-13T22:53:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172644496594456579

@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Itâ□□s the black bit.

2019-09-13T22:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172632610935173120

@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace The A340 example is black hot. Chilean Navy UFO case

---

2019-09-13T22:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172631903507120129

@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace The Gimbal video shows both modes.

2019-09-13T19:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172598045579476992

@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace You can't get hotter than white (or black, as in most of the video, black=hot)/ IR footage shows relative temperatures equalize relative to area. Like here's IR footage of an A340, black hot engines, black clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEXneujU0AE2X0x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEXneujU0AE2X0x.jpg

2019-09-13T19:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172587472665972736

@Rett94291220 @cc05270 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace The object behind the camera artifact is stiff unidentified. It's obviously something hot, and almost certainly a jet

engine. But since you can't tell exactly what it is, then it's a UAP.
But the rotation is not a mystery.

2019-09-13T18:53:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172584024742588416
@KOS_Listed @wadibig @ChloeJappy2020 @make_serv @FashionabAnon @1Howiedubz @PepetheHutt @MarcusT23762392 @johnnyneon @BrianCMulloy76 @gani_ndreu @Lightworker2012 @HJJANG51 @FireballMaga @MxMarineMom @lillian63662639 @Q_Army1 @jones_kip @MattDuBois137 @ReElect20 @sommerfeldkitty @BudderRed @Cornhusker54321 @4519steven @LeeHarvey63 @realTT2020 @JimHo45766669 @mark52525786 @Powerlite6 @Hopingurok @dontbeabogan @rey_ocean @ghostofembed @RozineCapt @YouMustOvercome @OrtaineDevian @Babyl0nNTing @Eudoxia73996321 @POTUSPress @POTUS @GenFlynn @USAttyHuber @John_F_Kennnedy @trixirilla @mntcol @LoveBling6 @2pieceIn @930VM @BringMeHomeSafe @JohnGrahamDick1 If you lose your receipts, your bnak statments, your bills and your mortgage statements, then add up all the numbers on those things, does that mean you've lost that money?

2019-09-13T18:50:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172583464383602688
@cc05270 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace We've got the two main contenders:

A) A camera artifact that I can easily demonstrate in my garage.
OR
B) A flying saucer that can fly on its side using a propulsion mechanism new to science.

Which one seems more outlandish?

2019-09-13T18:48:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172582802744721408
@Rett94291220 @MTinfoilhat @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace No. It means there was something apparently showing up on radar, and then there was something that Fravor through he saw. He never saw it fly to the cap point, the radar never saw what he saw.
So why assume they are the same thing?

2019-09-13T18:29:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172578039135232000
@MTinfoilhat @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace There's no example yet of a specific radar observations matching a visual observation. Fravor saw what he thought was a craft flying away at hypersonic speed. Nobody has reported observing that on radar, even though he and the object were being tracked.

2019-09-13T18:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172577448791179264

@cc05270 @Oswald1160 @ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace It can change the orientation of a glare. The rotation is explained here: https://youtu.be/ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-09-13T05:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172383295507582978

@bjorn7861 @hughespj1 Which video are you referring to

2019-09-12T16:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172189442213179392

@Shawn5676 It means there was a big fire on a few floors.

Imagine a cubic yard of jet fuel sat right next to you. It's quite a bit.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERz7DCUYAE3y_K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERz325U4AAgDDA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERz1LeU0AE0KWT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERz7DCUYAE3y_K.jpg

2019-09-12T16:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172188926787768320

@ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @cc05270 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Those are only memorable because they were at nuclear sites, which kind of skews the statistics. People see UFOs in all kind of random locations.
Elizondo is talking mostly about Navy pilot sightings, which of course are over water, and near a nuclear reactor (the ship's engine)

2019-09-12T15:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172176899579138053
@ApocalypseHackR @ddeanjohnson @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @_Desmoden I did state my opinion. My opinion is that Fravor did not see a rapidly moving craft. I think he probably saw something though.

2019-09-12T15:53:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172176518195212288
@Rett94291220 @ExogenesisHH @cc05270 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Which you can pretty much boil down to "aircraft carriers"

2019-09-12T15:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172176106041987079
@discloseitnow @GalaxyReport @Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure Turning things off and on again is great, but it does not always fix everything.

2019-09-12T15:50:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172175618273759234
@Shawn5676 Yes, that's the jet fuel, which started and spread the fire. The image would be more meaningful if you just showed the areas that actually burnt, and their flammable contents.

2019-09-12T15:47:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172174977493110785
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Attenuation? No, I'm talking about false returns. Attenuation makes shadows.

2019-09-12T14:58:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172162506082541570
@ZoyaStan @hoaxeye It might be legit. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JT0wx27J9xs

---

2019-09-12T14:57:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172162328285986818
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace To you look for high altitude planes on your radar?

2019-09-12T14:53:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172161342788079617

@gigstaggart @hoaxeye No, the new ball bounced back intact from this. They then tried with a really old ball, which exploded as it was more brittle.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERaRl4U4AAa3pB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERaL3DU0AAde3z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EERaRl4U4AAa3pB.jpg
2019-09-12T14:47:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172159917773352960
@gigstaggart @hoaxeye After seeing SmarterEveryDay do this, It's clear they can sustain a lot of deformation. So i'm not entirely sure.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JT0wx27J9xs&feature=youtu.be&t=393

2019-09-12T14:43:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172158772581502977
@cc05270 @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace And yet the people on-board the Nimitz first thought was that it was false returns.

2019-09-12T13:01:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172133070675173376
@ddeanjohnson @hughespj1 @Deepneuron @_Desmoden Not really, more like occasional glitches, then two pilots think they see something they later agree was tic-tac shaped, the movements they thought they observed were not captured on radar.

2019-09-12T04:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172011122410409990
@gerrycan1 @hughespj1 And how do these values translate to a column section stretched 3x its length. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEPRxybUUAAsfGj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEPRxybUUAAsfGj.jpg
2019-09-12T04:54:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1172010458565365760
@gerrycan1 @hughespj1 Explain how that works. In particular, the time component.

2019-09-12T04:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1172005542367096832
@CypressXMX @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace @michaelshermer No.

2019-09-12T00:55:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171950447344541696
@hughespj1 Oh, I see. PJ.

2019-09-12T00:55:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171950318201913345
@hughespj1 Under a different name?

2019-09-12T00:54:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171950216406134784
@Serec_Fourmyle @rjbirrell @uafairbanks @AE911Truth NIST withdrew nothing.

2019-09-12T00:54:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171950061942538241
@hughespj1 I've posted the question on an AATIP group Kevin is a member of. I'll let you know if he replies.

2019-09-12T00:45:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171947857517002752
@hughespj1 Okay, I'll ask Kevin.

2019-09-12T00:43:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171947283329380352
RT @MattReaganWX: I always thought this was unbelievable. Watch the air traffic on 9/11 2001.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1171610123502084097/pu/img/bTsJsj4qiW88zvWI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1171610123502084097/pu/img/bTsJsj4qiW88zvWI.jpg

2019-09-12T00:41:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171947027921428480

@hughespj1 So what event DO you recall there being multiple simultaneous radar tracks of?

2019-09-12T00:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171946812229337088

@hughespj1 So that's a "NO" then.

2019-09-12T00:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171946661918081025

@hughespj1 Nobody has written down a list of the events and recollections of radar tracks? I have to reconstruct it from YouTube?

2019-09-12T00:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171946381159718912

@hughespj1 Are you aware of any set of multiple radar tracks that show the exact same hypersonic event, tracked through that event (not just start and end)?

2019-09-12T00:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171946049994289153

@hughespj1 Are you aware of multiple radar tracks that showed a craft departing Fravor's location at hypersonic speeds?

2019-09-12T00:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171945657277407232

@hughespj1 Isn't it written down somewhere in full?

2019-09-12T00:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171945478931415040

@AnaG04533251 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Play that video with the sound. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=25&v=HqZRJ_dDx8w

---

2019-09-12T00:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171944152839254017

@hughespj1 How do you know they were "Tic-Tac" shaped? You only had the one visual.

2019-09-12T00:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171943978016493568

@hughespj1 Was there a track that showed an object leaving Fravor's position at hypersonic speed?

2019-09-12T00:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171943748999139328

@hughespj1 Which were? Valid tracks that went from 80,000 feet to 200 feet in a fraction of a second?

2019-09-12T00:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171943436003479557

@mikeb8637 I've never claimed to be qualified (except in a few fields, like geometry, physics, video, and contrails). I just point things out.

2019-09-12T00:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171942936600178688

@_Desmoden @hughespj1 No, it does not. I've not seen any evidence of multiple radar reporting the exact same thing.

2019-09-12T00:25:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171942800734048256

@hughespj1 @_Desmoden Why did people at the time think that there might have been false returns?

2019-09-12T00:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171938607050805248

@hughespj1 @_Desmoden I've no practical experience with them. How does that change my suggestions? I'm not arguing from authority, I'm suggesting hypotheses. Maybe you should address the hypothesis and not me?

2019-09-11T23:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171935568743129089

@_Desmoden @hughespj1 Exactly what failed the same way, and what evidence do you have that it actually happened at the same time?

2019-09-11T23:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171935371409494017

@zcichy @ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace You ONLY have testimony of the radar.

The Navy keeps things classified by default, that's just the way it is.

2019-09-11T23:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171934306425458688

@zcichy @ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace Radar glitches are things that are known to happen all the time.

A 100g accelerating craft that appears not displace air has never been known to happen.

So a few instances of the first is still more likely than the second.

2019-09-11T23:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171923438417543168

@eebsterworld @rjornd @Kevin_Shipp What would you like to know?

2019-09-11T23:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171923367676366849

@zcichy @ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace I suspect all it means is that the proper declassification process was not followed, and that they don't know with 100% certainty what's on the videos.

2019-09-11T22:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171910936023552001
@ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace But that requires the addition of an incredible craft that defies physics. Vastly more of an assumption than some unrelated glitches and mistakes.

2019-09-11T22:00:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171906267054399488
@ExogenesisHH @Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace That not how Occamâ□□s razor works. It says you donâ□□t add things to a hypothesis. Adding a silent hypersonic 100g craft is a superm example of a violation of Occamâ□□s razor. Glitches are not.

2019-09-11T21:57:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171905625825038336
@hughespj1 So they didnâ□□t ever have problems?

2019-09-11T21:46:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171902743537020928
@Rett94291220 @hughespj1 @ExogenesisHH @Oswald1160 @earthdoggo @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku @GaryVoorhis @mintyhyperspace They may well have had different glitches

---

2019-09-11T18:10:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171848592559988739
@GalaxyReport @Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure Oh, I've researched it.

2019-09-11T18:09:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171848348459888640
@GalaxyReport @Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure And did any of them show the same thing at the same time? Or did they all show different things at different times?

2019-09-11T18:08:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171848088807342080
@Rett94291220 @GalaxyReport @PostDisclosure "this" is a rather broad descriptor. I think that the supposedly rapidly dropping object was a glitch, or other equipment or operator error.
Far simpler than a hypersonic 100g craft moving without displacing air.

2019-09-11T17:49:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171843190258724866
@GalaxyReport Glitches get sorted out as systems are debugged, improved, or replaces at their end of life.

2019-09-11T17:47:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171842758803279872
@GalaxyReport @Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure No, it's probably a glitch. You think the Navy would be so unconcerned if such a thing actually happened?

2019-09-11T17:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171842611197308928
@paulsanderson @ExogenesisHH @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku No, but it would suggest that's something different. You never get an actual correlation between types of evidence here.

2019-09-11T14:45:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171796854155005952
@MillenarianWoes Sure, but why the decline?

2019-09-11T14:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171796695627132928
@mcwh87 Just sharing data. Lots of people still believe in 9/11 controlled demolition, but just are not active any more. This is a measure of interest, not necessarily belief.

2019-09-11T14:43:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171796347621527552
@Rett94291220 @PostDisclosure The interview was yesterday. We did discuss it, and he thought the videos probably had earthly explanations. He'd spoken to a pilot who said one looked like a distant jet. I agree.

2019-09-11T14:41:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171796019329130496
@ExogenesisHH @UFOCollage @Keithmayoh @michiokaku Radar glitches.

2019-09-11T14:40:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171795645448871936
@milodekiss @StandUpToDonald Of course.

2019-09-11T04:19:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171639299856420864
@UFOCollage @ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku You think you are not being misled because you are a fan? Why are you a fan?

2019-09-11T04:16:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171638609645932544
RT @KateNocera: NOAA chief scientist just really going for it
https://www.research.noaa.gov/article/ArtMID/587/ArticleID/2489/A-Message-from-Craig-McLean-Hurricane-Dorian-and-Exceptional-Service

---

2019-09-11T03:57:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171633740067393536
@mark58329831 @carland27488094 NYT got them from TTSA. TTSA had higher bitrate versions than they used on their web site. The original files can be found here:
https://www.metabunk.org/original-flir1-gimbal-and-go-fast-ufo-video-files.t10802/

2019-09-11T01:08:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171591289206235136
@StandUpToDonald @milodekiss Because the Alaska study has several rather glaring errors that need pointing out.

Also, Plasco.

2019-09-10T21:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171535750338232326

@UFOCollage @ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku Why not?

2019-09-10T21:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171535675016896512

@StandUpToDonald @milodekiss "Pull it" means when they pull it down with cables. When they actually PULL it down.

2019-09-10T21:07:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171530574927810563

@ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku Where did the US Navy say that?

2019-09-10T21:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171530402856464384

@UFOCollage @ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku No, it's mostly when the camera changes zoom level

---

2019-09-10T20:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171525723481182209

@NationalFile I would say "debunked" after all, there's some pretty serious flaws in that study draft report. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-10T20:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171525609123565572

@AE911Truth There's some pretty serious flaws in that study draft report. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-10T20:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171524826856452097

@UFOCollage @ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku That's a camera movement.

2019-09-10T19:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171513547089567748

@ExogenesisHH @Keithmayoh @michiokaku That's a rather speculative statement, as nothing in the videos shows hypersonic speeds. So no burden of proof has shifted.

2019-09-10T19:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171513134097420291

@apicasefiles @Keithmayoh @ExogenesisHH Seth Shostak was the Chair of the International Academy of Astronautics SETI Permanent Committee from 2003-2012

2019-09-10T17:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171472676667285505

@greenfox49 @ExogenesisHH I didn't ask that, but as far as I know they are all just noise. If they had been "decoded" that would be major news.

2019-09-10T17:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171472316682780677

@TheLightTruthIs @ExogenesisHH @PostDisclosure I asked him. He thought it was a reasonable thing to look at, but felt it was unlikely to yield anything.

2019-09-10T17:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171471658596499457

@TheoryQed Yeah, he did say that the fact that so many had been detected made it more likely we'd get a good answer soon. There's one site in Canada in particular that's good at finding them.

2019-09-10T17:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171471346053701632

@cravingcannolis While I didn't ask him specifically about that, he does not think the US government is not disclosing alien knowledge. I'm sure he'd be all in favor of cheap transportation though.

2019-09-10T17:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171470686209994752

@TheoryQed I have to edit the episode, will probably be out at the weekend.

2019-09-10T17:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171470503615201281

@TheoryQed I asked him, basically he thinks radio is the best bet for comms, it could be something else, but since we have no idea what that is, it's hard to look at.

Also thinks Fast Radio Bursts in not alien, something natural.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEHmCWNU0AU8Pdf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEHmCWNU0AU8Pdf.jpg

2019-09-10T15:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171440604300972032

The origin story of the Fermi Paradox is an interesting study in memory. Everyone present remembered it slightly differently.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi_paradox#Original_conversation(s)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEHLA7GUYAAMpoq.jpg

Teller remembered Fermi asking him, "Edward, what do you think. How probable is it that within the next ten years we shall have clear evidence of a material object moving faster than light?" Teller said, "10^-6" (one in a million). Fermi said, "This is much too low. The probability is more like ten percent." Teller wrote in 1984 that this was "the well known figure for a Fermi miracle."[9]

Herbert York does not remember these prior conversations.[21][9]

Years later, only Emil Konopinski clearly remembered that Fermi's lunchtime exclamation was connected to a conversation which had occurred on the same day.[9] Writing in 1984, Teller acknowledged that he may have been mentally linking two separate conversations.[22] Teller also remembers "approximately eight" persons sitting at the lunch table, whereas York and Konopinski remember just the three of them plus Fermi.[9]

At lunch, Fermi suddenly exclaimed, **"Where are they?"** (Teller's remembrance), or **"Don't you ever wonder where everybody is?"** (York's remembrance), or **"But where is everybody?"** (Konopinski's remembrance).[9]

Teller wrote, "The result of his question was general laughter because of the strange fact that in spite of Fermi's question coming from the clear blue, everybody around the table seemed to understand at once that he was talking about extraterrestrial life." And York wrote, "Somehow . . . we all knew he meant extra-terrestrials."[9]

However, Konopinski was not emphatic in his letter that he immediately knew Fermi was referring to possible extraterrestrials.[23][9]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EEHLA7GUYAAMpoq.jpg

2019-09-10T05:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171300406426656770

@TheoryQed What longer video? There's no longer video to see.

2019-09-10T05:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171298643233558529

@withorders @RyLiberty I interviewed a structure engineer who worked at ground zero, for another perspective. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezHc9x75808

2019-09-10T05:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171297780708474880

Podcast Web Page:

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/09/09/episode-21-donald-friedman-engineer-at-the-world-trade-center-after-9-11/

Apple Podcast

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-21-donald-friedman-engineer-at-world-trade/id1462120258?i=1000449210314

2019-09-10T05:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171297693320114176

I interviewed a structural engineer who work at the 9/11 World Trade Center site about how his experiences and expertise relates to the conspiracy theories. We also discussed how NYC structural engineers feel about 9/11 "Truth".

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezHc9x75808

2019-09-10T04:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171277425646301184

@trustrestored Multiple people have the same name. I've never given to any politician or political campaign

---

2019-09-10T01:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171241621528039424

@trustrestored Also I think your Beto guy is someone else.

2019-09-10T01:58:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171241443215597568

@trustrestored Except you have to pay about $6K for the software. Iâ□□ll certainly look at it though.

2019-09-10T00:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171215421891993600

#Aliens #Astronomy, and #UFO fans. Tomorrow I'm interviewing Senior Astronomer for the SETI institute, Seth Shostak. What questions should I ask him?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EED-AycU8AAtkYw.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EED-AycU8AAtkYw.png

2019-09-10T00:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171214393423450113

@trustrestored @LFCforumCo @AE911Truth I do plan on submitting some comments in document format soon. Been a bit busy with the podcast. JM Talboo yesterday, Donald Friedman today, and Seth Shostak tomorrow! https://www.tftrh.com/

Still, I think the video alone communicates the problems fairly well.

2019-09-10T00:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171213033114234881

@trustrestored Glad you found me too! Thanks for sharing that demonstration of the effects of a jet fuel fire on a steel beam.

9/11 isn't really my main interest though, I've written a lot more about chemtrails. There's just lots of interest now, with the Hulsey report. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-09T21:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171181082995068928

@trustrestored @LFCforumCo @AE911Truth They are not

2019-09-09T17:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171119906324013056

@JLuvin The problem is they don't take things like this seriously. But I did just speak with a structural engineer who worked at the WTC site, and he said Hulsey's study was "not very convincing"

2019-09-09T15:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171076924967280640

@UfoIssue @zcichy Compare with this zip-by. More obviously a bug, and similarly misidentified. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=126&v=caAgudl4nuU

2019-09-09T14:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171072536651554823

@UfoIssue @zcichy How do you know it's not a bug?

2019-09-09T14:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171069772823330816

@UfoIssue @zcichy It's a small object, likely an insect, close to the camera. The motion mostly comes from the drone motion itself. It's part of a general class of UFO sightings call "zip-bys" that arose because of drones.

Analysis: https://www.metabunk.org/utah-drone-video.t10370/

2019-09-09T14:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171069027717767169

@AgentJ61780559 Where is this report?

2019-09-09T14:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171068809530114048

@UfoIssue @zcichy Debunker means finding errors. What's wrong with that? The more errors I find, the closer everyone gets to the truth.

2019-09-09T13:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1171059208294285320
RT @DrShepherd2013: I feel like the tragedy in #Bahamas right now needs a bit more attention. Here is my overview in @ForbesScience of justâ¦

2019-09-09T13:46:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171057284815810560
@PapayaBoei @zcichy @UAPreality He's saying do the math first. He clearly did not, as none of the videos demonstrate hypersonic speed. So that entire quote is pretty much nonsense.
There's no burden of proof on the military, because they are not making extraordinary claims about these videos.

2019-09-09T13:42:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171056302417514496
@milodekiss That certainly seems like the most likely explanation, does it not?
Can you find any example of "pull it" every being used to mean anything other, in demolition, than pulling a building over with cables?

2019-09-09T11:12:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1171018575911325696
@milodekiss Do you actually, honestly, think that "pull it" meant "destroy the building with explosives?"
Why do you think this, exactly?

2019-09-08T21:11:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170807010499055616
The UK's Daily Express is claiming a recent study "solves" World Trace Center 7's collapse, finding it did not collapse due to fire. But the study appears seriously flawed.

My rebuttal: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED-KSTdVUAARJZT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED-KSTdVUAARJZT.jpg

2019-09-08T21:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170805790208184320

@TinFoilHatCast @JasonBermas I'm in. mick@mickwest.com

2019-09-08T20:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170789114477592576

TFTRH #20 - JM Talboo: Debunker of 9/11 Debunkers. Discussing 9/11 debunking and counter-debunking, JFK, Chemtrails, False Flags, and other topics.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZUVlXTKhS8

2019-09-08T19:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170780340555669505

@JasonBermas @KratomKid @samtripoli But I'm fine wherever. Sam seems relatively reasonable.

2019-09-08T19:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170780161676939269

@JasonBermas @KratomKid @samtripoli https://www.tftrh.com/ ? :)

I just had JM Talboo on.

2019-09-08T18:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170762587165708288

@derekarbeiter @rjbirrell Because nobody died in WTC7. It was just another building that got

damaged. 130 Liberty wasn't mentioned either, but that was badly damaged, and eventually demolished. WTC7 changed nothing about policy.

2019-09-08T09:47:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170634662789533696

@911truthnews @911TRUTH Or did it? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T02:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170518440282451968

@risingthunder4 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth I think he could respond regardless.

2019-09-08T02:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170517993144516608

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe So it wasnâ□□t collapsing before this point?

2019-09-08T01:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170513847947390976

@dbuscemi1949 @YouTube The fire plus the damage from WTC1 hitting it.

2019-09-08T01:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170506056016904194

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe I want to know if this situation, shown in fig 4.15 is an appropriate and accurate use of static linear analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED54oU9UcAEug_r.jpg

---



Figure 4.14: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southeast, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED54oU9UcAEug_r.jpg

2019-09-08T01:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170503273876312064

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe Well, we are 0-0 in supporting engineers so far :) No Skype chat then?

2019-09-08T01:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170503001875697664

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe Engineers don't like to talk about 9/11, they think it's silly. However I am going to talk to a senior engineer who worked at Ground Zero on Monday. Not sure how up he is on FEA, but I'll ask him.

2019-09-08T01:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170502380128894976

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe How would I know what? You're getting distracted.

2019-09-08T00:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170501952305651712

@rjbirrell You might also be interested in these problems I think I identified with the study. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170501685703131136

@lyne_ian @RedDressPress @AE911Truth @mediamonarchy @samtripoli @RT_America @guardiannews @ReutersScience @DRUDGE_REPORT @AP @thehill @nytimes @GroundZeroMedia And here's a video that identifies a variety of significant problems with Professor Hulsey's study. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170500867696418816

@dbuscemi1949 @YouTube University study that appears to have some significant problems. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170500679942623237

@risingthunder4 @mideberry @Cernovich The UAF study has some significant problems that need addressing: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170500554000257024

@Okavangomick @caitoz I'm not avoiding it. Here's the problems I see with it: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:52:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170500178257645568

@gerrycan1 @BeGregiousMe "Gerry" is in your Twitter handle. It's not like you've got some secret identity.

Are all your friendly engineers also anonymous? Maybe I should just talk to Tony?

2019-09-08T00:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170499339568869377

@gerrycan1 So, just to be clear, you think this is a perfectly reasonable and accurate use of static linear analysis? This precise situation in this figure. Not a different situation. This one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED5ysZDUcAIMSmm.jpg

---



Figure 4.14: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southeast, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED5ysZDUcAIMSmm.jpg

2019-09-08T00:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170498730497167360

@risingthunder4 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Well I'm trying to meticulously point out the problems with his report. That's okay, right? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170498556907491329

@BeGregiousMe @gerrycan1 Gerry's an old-time 9/11 Truther, he did some of the original work on the A2001/C79 connection many years ago. He means well, but he's a bit abrasive.
We are talking about my video response to Prof Hulsey's AE911Truth paper. Links in the description. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-08T00:42:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170497539767853057

@gerrycan1 I'd like to discuss the actual problems I raised, not some irrelevant straw-man.
But I really only have about 20 minutes now.
Skype: mickword

2019-09-08T00:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170495796040454145

@risingthunder4 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth I am. You're assuming UAF is correct. I'm pointing out some problems with their report. Did you watch my video?

2019-09-08T00:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170495593128480768

@gerrycan1 I'd be happy to chat though. Who are these real engineers?

2019-09-08T00:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170495339993825280

@gerrycan1 You can't write it down?

2019-09-08T00:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170488400241033216

@gerrycan1 @timtron2020 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth In this case - a building actively falling over at 45Â° with columns segments stretching to 3x their length - linear static analysis not a useful form of analysis. I stand by that, and I invite some actual engineers to explain why I'm wrong.

2019-09-07T23:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170473572780761088

@gerrycan1 @timtron2020 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Yes. That's far beyond what's reasonable for linear static analysis. Look at those columns in the back stretching, and unless it took five hours to lean over, it's not static.

2019-09-07T22:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170464488522272771

@gerrycan1 @timtron2020 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth You can use static analysis for sudden load re-distribution, obviously. That could also include sudden column removal. But the situation in WTC7 was not static or linear. Certainly not Fig 4.14. Watch the video, I discuss it in more detail, with reference to specific figures.

2019-09-07T22:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170463673690669061

@uafairbanks @AE911Truth AE911Truth's initial description of this project is perhaps indicative of a desire to demonstrate a specific outcome, rather than find the true cause.

Source: http://action.ae911truth.org/o/50694/t/0/blastContent.jsp?email_blast_KEY=1309110
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED5SapxUEAAG8FL.jpg

One of our highest priorities for 2015 is to conduct a "finite element analysis" of WTC 7.

Working with a structural engineering professor from a major university and a committee of peer reviewers composed of renowned leaders in the engineering field, the goal of our computer modeling will be to demonstrate conclusively that NIST's collapse initiation mechanism for WTC 7 could never have occurred, and, secondly, that the destruction we actually saw can be more easily and accurately replicated by simulating a controlled demolition.

Once completed, this team of researchers will seek to publish their findings in top engineering journals, thus widely disseminating this critical information to a key community that continues, inexplicably, to be mostly unaware of WTC 7's destruction.

If successful, this study will awaken the entire engineering community to the truth about WTC 7 in a short period of time, and serve as the foundation to finally undermine NIST's fraudulent reports in eyes of the world!



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ED5SapxUEAAG8FL.jpg
2019-09-07T22:17:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170461150942597120
@gerrycan1 @timtron2020 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth I explain in more detail here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

---

2019-09-07T22:16:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170460973729107969
9/11 Truth Activists are lauding the UAF draft report on Hulsey's study of WTC7 as proof of controlled demolition. But there seem to me to be some quite serious problems with it. I detail

them here, and invite answers. @uafairbanks @AE911Truth
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2019-09-07T20:47:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170438565722906624
@gerrycan1 @timtron2020 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth You should read the whole paper.

2019-09-06T18:34:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170042524045438976
@gerrycan1 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth No, it's a ridiculous and meaningless application of static linear analysis. Static means things don't move much. Linear means the deformation does not affect the connection response. Obviously not the case in this image. He should have used non-linear dynamic analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDzTVLfU0AAh_GC.jpg

---



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDzTVLfU0AAh_GC.jpg
2019-09-06T18:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170041316555706368
@ChanceBrown222 Other restaurant.
"Alefantis estimates, he bought 12 tons of Toigo tomatoes, ... canned before trucking the jars to the basement at Buckâ□□s Fishing & Camping, Alefantisâ□□s other restaurant just a few steps down the block on Connecticut Avenue NW."
https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/food/san-marzanos-the-bible-of-tomatoes/2013/08/12/85485c1a-fa32-11e2-9bde-7ddaa186b751_story.html

---

2019-09-06T18:13:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170037308101292032
@gerrycan1 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth And do you actually think the stiffness matrix is constant here? This is probably a textbook example of what NOT to do with linear static analysis. Ask an engineer. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDzOj77UcAAO-j1.jpg

---



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDzOj77UcAAO-j1.jpg

2019-09-06T18:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170036249060491264

@Truth_inContext @edwardcurrent Compare with actual sounds of demolition.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vMpJQgbKsms

2019-09-06T18:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1170036024681975808

@gerrycan1 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth I don't have a huge problem with linear. It's more the static vs. dynamic thing. Does this look like a dynamic analysis of the full building structure? It's very clearly not.

Yet what I'm looking at is what Hulsey describes as "Dynamic analysis results" (fig 4.16)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1170035454621540353/pu/img/1xLTexG78ucNCKUO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1170035454621540353/pu/img/1xLTexG78ucNCKUO.jpg
2019-09-06T18:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170035080611299328
@LiberalForLife3 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth @h3h3productions Because nobody asked me about them. We covered like 30 things in 2 hours. Can't do everything.

2019-09-06T16:26:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170010413821394945
@Truth_inContext @edwardcurrent I don't think that's entirely original, there is quite a bit of artifacting going on. But what would be the point of explosions on the outside, way after the building had collapsed.

2019-09-06T16:04:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170004794372526082
@Truth_inContext It's a fake video, created by @edwardcurrent Notice it's flipped. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k8VAsoVuShM

2019-09-06T15:54:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170002261117792257
@Truth_inContext I just have a column in Tweetdeck, next to my "chemtrail" column . People often pose questions about things I've said, so I like to answer them where possible.
Why no click? What are you afraid will happen?

2019-09-06T15:51:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1170001624711888896
@Truth_inContext It did. Didn't you see them standing after the impacts? It was the fire, in addition to the impacts, that caused the collapse.

2019-09-06T15:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169994020174479361

@Truth_inContext I'd be happy to answer hardball questions. Check out the thread on Metabunk. https://www.metabunk.org/sept-3-2019-release-of-hulseys-wtc7-draft-report.t10890/

2019-09-06T06:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169864969753485313

@Kelraerae1 @h3h3 I don't know. But you could make a list of possible reasons and rank them. Keep "other" on the list.

2019-09-06T06:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169864065662906368

@LFCforumCo @AE911Truth I read it. I'm asking why their "dynamic analysis" appears to be manual animations. You can't base a study on something you just animated by hand.

2019-09-05T22:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169735541769654272

@W911 They certainly don't do this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169735426900254720/pu/img/2wRqKV-td7u4gYBq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169735426900254720/pu/img/2wRqKV-td7u4gYBq.jpg

2019-09-05T20:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169704703661207554

@zcichy @Ave_The_Barber @multistagecorre @JCumsalot @malcolmstewart0 @awakeningMan Looks like a sun dog or parhelion, but seems a bit further away than the normal 22Â° sun dogs - so might be a rare 44Â° or even further. https://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/44pars.htm

---

2019-09-05T17:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169665074140573696

@EtTuCarl @uafairbanks @AE911Truth I suspect they never did a full dynamic analysis (Unlike Nist). Or st least did not render them out. They had these crappy animations so were forced to use them.

2019-09-05T16:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169649062884151296

@MarkSte96213981 @AE911Truth How can an animation "test" anything?

2019-09-05T16:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169648971146416128

@MarkSte96213981 @AE911Truth Yes, and the others have similar problems. Watch the west penthouse sink into the building without anything underneath it actually moving. Watch the East penthouse crack open like an egg and pivot out and up.

These are not dynamic analyses. They are animations.

2019-09-05T16:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169644111810330624

@uafairbanks @AE911Truth It ends up like this. You can see here there's zero deformation of the top (so it's not a continuation of the static analysis). And both parts are undamaged. The top now passes through the ground.

It's a simple animation, done manually. It's not analysis. Yet you claim it is.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDtonTKU0AAZfeN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDtonTKU0AAZfeN.jpg

2019-09-05T16:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169642125375369217
@uafairbanks @AE911Truth To be clear, here's the video that is supposed to be a "dynamic analysis".

It's not. It's one rigid structure rotating, and one not rotating. No deformation. No collision. No damage. Probably hand animated.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169641556761907200/pu/img/8VhkKNYzacfMXEQK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169641556761907200/pu/img/8VhkKNYzacfMXEQK.jpg
2019-09-05T16:00:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169641367565324288
@MarkSte96213981 @AE911Truth Because there's zero deformation as the top part rotates cleanly THROUGH the bottom part.
This is supposed to be a dynamic analysis. It appears to have only two components. They might as well have used cardboard boxes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169641142310166529/pu/img/h3x5eNSyMAuKj_aq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169641142310166529/pu/img/h3x5eNSyMAuKj_aq.jpg
2019-09-05T15:46:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169638058792144896
@MarkSte96213981 @AE911Truth Yes I do. See for example this thread where I question their focus on A2001/C79 and identify dubious manual edits they made to data-driven figures in the report.
https://www.metabunk.org/wtc7-is-ae911s-and-nists-focus-on-a2001-justified-if-it-was-not-key-in-nists-global-model.t9427/

2019-09-05T15:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169637489318883329
This is bad static analysis.
This is pushing static analysis far beyond where it is appropriate. You can't ignore the momentum of a tilting building. It's not static. And stretching those rear columns means your acceptance criteria is incorrect. @uafairbanks @AE911Truth
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDth7fXU0AAflZm.jpg



Figure 4.15: Visualization of linear static analysis, from the southwest, showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDth7fXU0AAflZm.jpg

2019-09-05T15:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169636065579171842

This is not dynamic analysis.

This is manual animation of the top of the building rotating without deformation though the lower part. Columns have be removed to avoid silly looking stretching. Calling this "dynamic analysis" is dishonest. @uafairbanks @AE911Truth

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDtg0bKUwAAF4jI.jpg



Figure 4.16: Dynamic analysis results showing the building tipping to the southeast after the hypothetical failure of Columns 76 to 81.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDtg0bKUwAAF4jI.jpg

2019-09-05T14:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169621102479327238

It can be quite a challenge to get thought to some people when even your name has become kryptonite to their minds.
Still, give it time. https://twitter.com/SailorMacaw/status/1169619724822384640

2019-09-05T14:30:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169618691215872002
@BrianViera27 @h3h3productions That's not what I said at all. Why don't you watch the episode?

2019-09-05T14:13:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169614583343960064
@LFCforumCo @AE911Truth Sure, it was widely known before the building collapsed that it might collapse. That's why they pulled the firefighters. So the collapse was not unexpected.
https://youtu.be/OLYZHEYTwtg?t=291

2019-09-05T14:08:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169613283856306181
@Shawn5676 @GatsbyFake @MofdiLombardi @PJMcGuirk85 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Things explode in fires. Multi-ton objects falling hundreds of feet sound like explosions.

2019-09-05T14:04:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169612343287767040
@milodekiss @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Fight? If it's a fight, then my dog is truth and accuracy. But I'm not fighting, I'm pointing out where mistakes have been made.

Watch that video. Tell me it looks like an accurate dynamic analysis.

2019-09-05T14:02:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169611774108110848
@Shawn5676 @GatsbyFake @MofdiLombardi @PJMcGuirk85 @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Nobody died in Building 7. That's why you don't hear much about it.

2019-09-05T13:42:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169606798250303488
@MarkSte96213981 @AE911Truth I read it. This is supposedly the dynamic analysis, yet it's clearly not using the full model. Why does the top PASS THROUGH the bottom, without any damage. That's not a useful or meaningful dynamic analysis.
What part of the report do you think explains it?

2019-09-05T03:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169457028936265728
@Will_IV_ @AE911Truth The more technical stuff I do on Metabunk. Like:
https://www.metabunk.org/investigating-active-thermitic-material-discovered-in-dust-from-the-9-11-wtc-catastrophe.t9485/

2019-09-05T02:16:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1169434098319839232
@Will_IV_ @uafairbanks @AE911Truth Yes.

2019-09-04T22:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169384602420400132

@TheLightTruthIs @PostDisclosure Check out my H3 podcast. Bunch of Alien/UFO stuff starting at aroud 1:17:30 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9LmxvrxDjvA&t=2909s

---

2019-09-04T22:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169375851781079040

@TheLightTruthIs @PostDisclosure Why? I've even invited him on my podcast. But he demurs.

2019-09-04T22:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169372722171805696

@AE911Truth Can you explain why the figures and videos you released don't actually use your full global collapse model, but instead use some odd manually rigged thing? Figure 4.16 for example. Floor 11 removed, and zero exterior deformation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169372668170125312/pu/img/ESMxNojxLQzwvqZq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169372668170125312/pu/img/ESMxNojxLQzwvqZq.jpg

2019-09-04T22:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169369717825363969

@PostDisclosure @EwokHunter1 Escalated? No it hasn't. It just took some time for the edutainment channels to get their series in the pipeline. Now that's more of less over, there won't be much more until the SEC hammer drops.

2019-09-04T21:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169369187170435072

@JunkScience https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_capture_and_storage

---

2019-09-04T21:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169368485723394048

This is supposed to be the @uafairbanks and @AE911Truth
replicating NIST's hypothesis for the collapse of WTC7 (failure of cols 79-81). Problem is: they seemingly removed floor 11, then didn't model any response from the exterior. The result is comically inaccurate.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169367305429479424/pu/img/IwKa0bfPOG3ZUjWh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1169367305429479424/pu/img/IwKa0bfPOG3ZUjWh.jpg

2019-09-04T20:22:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169344911868485632

@XanderArena @JoeRoganEXP @NIST Hulsey's animations don't match the collapse initiation, the important bit. NIST's model matches it a lot better. Hulsey appears to have shoehorned in a weird theory about the East Penthouse collapsing partially into the building.

2019-09-04T19:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169339280381972481

@XanderArena @JoeRoganEXP Could you be more specific?
That thread is locked now anyway. There's a new thread focussing on the problem of the draft report.

https://www.metabunk.org/sept-3-2019-release-of-hulseys-wtc7-draft-report.t10890/

In particular, they appear to have fudged the animations they use. They are not simulations. Don't match.

2019-09-04T18:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169313901663543296

@spezedits Actually, this is something I do partly for fun. You know how some people do Sudoko, right? Or crossword puzzles? Or Hidden Picture puzzles?

Of course debunking conspiracy theories is a bit more substantive in import than games, but the intellectual stimulation is still there

---

2019-09-04T14:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169260525970026496

@spezedits You could easily rebut them yourself if you looked at the evidence with a genuinely open mind. Give it a go. Dig a bit deeper.

2019-09-04T14:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169258220675715072

@spezedits @h3h3productions @theh3podcast @HilaKleinH3 Like I said, I wouldnâ□□t eat a spoonful of it. But highly dispersed it was thought to be safe.

2019-09-04T14:36:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169257854903013376

@spezedits @h3h3productions @HilaKleinH3 The â□□possibly faster than freefallâ□□ is WTC7. The â□□core still standingâ□□ is WTC1&2 (The Towers)

2019-09-04T14:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169257471312924672

@spezedits The timeline is correct. Iâ□□m in California. I was driving to work when I turned on the radio. They were talking about the Capitol being evacuated. Then they did a recap of events so far.

2019-09-04T04:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169098473766977536

@Adaminstor I think if it were true the people, somewhere in the world, would have done it decades ago.

2019-09-03T23:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1169025864476037120

RT @theh3podcast: Just posted the latest episode of The H3 Podcast with @MickWest! We chat with Mick about chemtrails, 9/11, Jeffery Epsteiâ□¦

2019-09-03T13:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168876269250859009

@nathanjslattery I've certainly seen that happen, even with the more hardcore. Like my interview with Adam Taylor.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XXvrJHMpVYg

2019-09-03T13:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168871326792044550

@MDeastern_shore https://www.tftrh.com/

2019-09-03T04:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168738280872873984

I'm wondering if it's some automated thing. Perhaps having "Rothschild", "QAnon" and "Epstein" all in the title triggers some kind of spam protection bot?

2019-09-03T04:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168737974600658944

This one: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dYSvV4nrYKE

2019-09-03T04:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168737886549635073

The last episode of my podcast was somehow removed from sharing on Facebook for "spam." Shares were flagged, and the post on my page vanished. What's going on?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDgw6R2VAAEkJbD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDgw6R2VAAEkJbD.jpg

2019-09-02T19:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168609279252656129

Iron Microspheres are a byproduct of thermite combustion, so their presence in the Ground Zero dust is often cited as evidence that thermite was used to sneakily destroy the buildings after the plane impact and the fires.

But it's not evidence at all.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uskEP89S-ec

2019-09-02T19:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168607202367557632

@thefireXE @digitalfoundry Now if you search YouTube for 9/11 Truth, you still get a presentation by Richard Gage as the #1 result. But you also now get a presentation by me (on Iron Microspheres) at #5. Much better than it was.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDe6DQ8U8AEtWpo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDe6DQ8U8AEtWpo.jpg

2019-09-02T17:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168583140576620544

@4bins @Unplugg13106903 @zcichy @thinkfree55 Not me. Watch the link. I directly ask David Keith about it.

2019-09-02T17:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168582857016541184

@4bins @Unplugg13106903 @zcichy @thinkfree55 An experiment that has not yet been done,

and when it will be done it will only involve a few pounds of dust. I spoke to one of the scientists involved here. https://youtu.be/LVAxh39hlog?t=1875

2019-09-02T17:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168582224926539776

@Unplugg13106903 @zcichy @thinkfree55 Notice the word "hypothetical" at the bottom there. That means it speculative, a theory that has not yet been put into practice.

2019-09-02T17:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168576650235170817

@Unplugg13106903 @zcichy @thinkfree55 Contrails often persist and spread. They always have. Just look at the last 70 years of books on clouds.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-09-02T17:03:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168570218999795715

@username_Dizzy @PJMcGuirk85 Some people take a lot more time than others. Hopefully her beliefs are not causing her too much harm. Mud Flood is a silly fun theory - hard to believe people take it seriously. But many people believe in the supernatural to varying degrees, and still have functioning lives.

2019-09-02T16:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168568608592580612

@edwardcurrent You might get a slight blip tomorrow.

2019-09-02T16:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168568190214950913

@username_Dizzy Yeah, Flat Earth has peaked. It was essentially just a viral thing driven by YouTube's algorithms, internet rubbernecking, and a few celebs pranking the media. Now YouTube is fixing their algorithms, and the novelty is gone. Fun times though. And some people still sadly stuck.

2019-09-02T16:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168567240846741504

@PJMcGuirk85 It varies. You can't lump them all together and say "people are X because of Y." And even if there's trends and correlations they don't apply to every individual.
I think information exposure is more significant than personality trait.

2019-09-02T16:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168562657789562881

@PJMcGuirk85 Yes. Check out "I Know Things They Don't Know" by Lantian, et al. Lots of good references too.
https://econtent.hogrefe.com/doi/10.1027/1864-9335/a000306
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDeRWXwVUAY5UNl.jpg

In recent years, psychologists have made significant ground in understanding what draws people to conspiracy theories. For example, personality traits such as openness to experience (Swami, Chamorro-Premuzic, & Furnham, 2010; Swami et al., 2011), distrust (Abalakina-Paap, Stephan, Craig, & Gregory, 1999; Goertzel, 1994; Wagner-Egger & Bangerter, 2007), low agreeability (Swami et al., 2010, 2011), narcissism (Cichocka, Marchlewska, & Golec de Zavala, 2016), and Machiavellianism (Douglas & Sutton, 2011) are associated with conspiracy belief. In terms of cognitive processes, people with stronger conspiracy beliefs are more likely to overestimate the likelihood of co-occurring events (Brotherton & French, 2014), to attribute intentionality where it is unlikely to exist (Brotherton & French, 2015; Douglas, Sutton, Callan, Dawtry, & Harvey, 2016), and to have lower levels of analytic thinking (Swami, Voracek, Stieger, Tran, & Furnham, 2014).

Conspiracy theories also appear to have important consequences, such as negatively influencing health decisions (Jolley & Douglas, 2014a; Oliver & Wood, 2014), decreasing intentions to engage in politics (Butler, Koopman, & Zimbardo, 1995; Jolley & Douglas, 2014b), increasing people's desire to leave their workplace (Douglas & Leite, in press), and reducing environmental behavioral intentions (Douglas & Sutton, 2015; Jolley & Douglas, 2014b; Lewandowsky, Oberauer, & Gignac, 2013; van der Linden, 2015). Further, some research suggests that conspiracy theories may perform certain functions for the self, allowing people to regain a sense of control (van Prooijen & Acker, 2015; Whitson & Galinsky, 2008), order (van Harreveld, Rutjens, Schneider, Nohlen, & Keskinis, 2014), power (Gray, 2010; Sapountzis & Condor, 2013), and to relieve death anxiety (Newheiser, Farias, & Tausch, 2011). The current research aims to further contribute to current knowledge about the personal needs that may be satisfied by conspiracy belief. Among the self-related motivations that could influence belief in conspiracy theories, we will argue that the *need for uniqueness* should play a role in people's adherence to conspiracy theories. More specifically, our general claim is that people with a high need for uniqueness should be more likely to believe in conspiracy theories.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDeRWXwVUAY5UNl.jpg

2019-09-02T16:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168559319203237888

Every September there is a peak in interest in 9/11 "Truth" conspiracy theories. Every year it gets less and less.

I suspect the hardcore believers, whose lives revolve around 9/11 truth, are not aware of the scale of the decline. But even they are fading away.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDeNgRGUEAA1MlC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDeNgRGUEAA1MlC.jpg

2019-09-02T02:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168345070786895872

@jerry25a @Patryan @PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @KikPlenty @Deepneuron @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate

@ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr @UAP1949 Why do you trust his viewpoint?

2019-09-01T22:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168283134741250048

@zcichy @thinkfree55 Everyone sees it. It's not like everyone is going around thinking there are no lines in the sky. The difference is most people know it's just contrails, the lines of cloud left behind planes since planes were able to fly high enough to make them.

2019-09-01T18:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168227779575308289

@PhtevenMackenz1 @EcoSenseNow They are at different altitudes. It only takes a few hundred feet to be in a totally different layer of the atmosphere. Plus it's super hard to judge altitude. One plane might be twice as big as the other.

2019-09-01T18:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168227354121916416

@Deepneuron @Patryan @PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @jerry25a @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @KikPlenty @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate @ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr @UAP1949 The light was around the corner, the bug (probably not a moth, now I think about it, too fast) shot into the cone of light very suddenly, so looked like a streak of light against the sky, to my naked eye. The illusion only lasted a fraction of a second. I was like Meteor!OhBug

---

2019-09-01T18:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168224217407426560

@mission_europa I sure do :)

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/08/31/episode-19-mike-rothschild-decline-of-qanon-death-of-epstein-and-other-conspiracies/

And everywhere good podcasts are found:

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole/id1462120258

https://open.spotify.com/show/1epkvGqLWfGBBJ2wW1y0cC

etc.

2019-09-01T18:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168223801730977794

@Patryan @PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @jerry25a @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @KikPlenty @Deepneuron @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate @ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr @UAP1949 Based on what? you can't tell how high a light is by looking at it.

Last night I though I saw a shooting star, which would have been many miles away (and up). A fraction of a second later it changed direction, and I realized it was a moth flying by the outdoor floodlight.

2019-09-01T18:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168222425772806144

Mike Rothschild is a writer who is an expert on a variety of conspiracy theories, in particular QAnon. We discuss the drawn-out decline of the QAnon theory, prosperity scams, the theories around the death of Jeffery Epstein, and Mike's upcoming book

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dYSvV4nrYKE

#TFTRH

2019-09-01T17:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168221383949553665

@PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @jerry25a @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @KikPlenty @Deepneuron @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate @ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr Note: @UAP1949 has me blocked, so I might be missing any useful info they are providing.

2019-09-01T17:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168221031808421889

@PostDisclosure @carland27488094 @jerry25a @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @KikPlenty @Deepneuron @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate @ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr The flashing light make the start look deceptively abrupt, but if you stabilize it, it seems quite ordinary for a drone.

https://www.metabunk.org/ufo-in-tampa-bay.t10887/

2019-09-01T16:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168204257641652231

@kken_ole Nope. It's a mystery!

Although if I were to guess - someone who worked at Activision or a game magazine just moved to Norway, and cleared out all their old CDs at some point.

2019-09-01T16:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168203802815500288

@PostDisclosure @jerry25a @Akam1129 @Th_Unidentified @SilvaRecord @alejandrotrojas @devgru1980mi @EngagingThe @Aida_x @Stephen37722821 @mintyhyperspace @carland27488094 @KikPlenty @Deepneuron @ValidatedM @TheZignal @CaptSpacePirate @ChaseKloetzke @BurnettCynthia @tiller4riller @oscaretteJr Probably a small drone, much closer than they imagine it.

2019-09-01T13:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168160893777002496

@ufoofinterest @sardjatwopoinow A drone seems like a very reasonable explanation. It's not actually up in the clouds, it's just a few hundred feet away.

2019-09-01T13:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168159962607996935

@kken_ole Got it, thanks! These are marketing images for the European version. It was "Pro Skater" in the US, but "Skateboarding" in the UK, as they thought "Skater" meant "Ice Skater"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDYjBOWUcAA-fot.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDYjBOWUcAA-fot.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDYi9eBUcAAErs-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDYiNd-VAAAQQEH.jpg

2019-09-01T05:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1168026646428844033

@kken_ole Hmm, that look more like art assets for ads than for the game itself. So probably a marketing-related set of data.

Could I get a copy? Dropbox, etc?

mick@mickwest.com

2019-08-31T18:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167873138320785408

@kken_ole I suspect it was a disk of the THPS art assets given to an external developer doing a conversion. Possible Livesay Technologies who worked on the PC port in late 1999. What type of files are on it?

2019-08-31T14:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167810343407501312

@CoderPW No. Who?

2019-08-31T14:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167809923905802241

@JLuvin I think it's more like big pharma conspiracy theories like hiding a cure for cancer, or covering up a supposed vaccine-autism link.

2019-08-31T00:37:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167597269614350336

I'm going on the H3 Podcast next Tuesday. If there's topics you think Ethan and Hila should bring up, then there's a Reddit thread for that. So far: Epstein, Area 51, Biggie, Hillary, 9/11, Jim Morrison, JFK, Cobain, Climate Change, Illuminati, Big Pharma, and Shane Dawson. :)
https://twitter.com/i_am_nium/status/1167581858848280577

2019-08-30T23:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167583579041189888

RT @JosephKnoop: Ladies and gentlemen, after months of work, here it is on @IGN's front page.

I spoke with the one and only @tonyhawk, pluâ¦

2019-08-30T19:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167513094685245443

@TheLightTruthIs Programmers are always debugging their code. QA/Testers FIND bugs. They don't fix them. They report the bugs to the programmer.
Tracking down and fixing the cause of bugs is what I find analogous to investigating and debunking conspiracy related falsehood.

2019-08-30T18:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167498933968310273

Before I did conspiracy theory debunking I did Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. 20 years ago now. Here an interview that covers both of my worlds.
https://twitter.com/Mpora/status/1167401740876075008

2019-08-29T20:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1167175304374190080

@samhell @rybred8877 @michaelshermer I watched the presentation by Dr. Hulsey. My comments are here:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.

t9056/

However the full report has yet to be released. That's happening next week. I expect similar (or the same) mistakes. But more material. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDKjUXFU8AAEr2w.jpg



There are numerous problems with the claim that the study shows that WTC7 could not have collapsed from fire:

- **The study is unfinished**. Nothing has been published other than Dr. Hulsey giving a presentation on YouTube, and a pdf file of the slides for that presentation.
- **The study is largely not new**. While there is some new material, the bulk of the slides were used by Dr. Hulsey nearly a year ago, in October 2016. Most importantly the "UAF conclusions" slide is totally unchanged.
- **The study only focuses on one connection.** Dr. Hulsey focuses on the connection that NIST identified as a "probable initiation event" in some of its reports, but in fact NIST identified several potential connection failures. This particular connection was not the initiating one in NIST's global collapse models.
- **The study makes incorrect displacement comparisons.** In both 2016 and 2017 Dr. Hulsey made much of a difference in the displacement at column 79 (5.5" west vs. 2" east). But he appears to be comparing the wrong values — global instead of local displacements. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/210992/
- **The study makes incorrect temperature related buckling comparisons.** Dr. Hulsey claims (slide 82) his study shows col 79 did not buckle due to temperature. He lists this as a point of comparison with NIST. However NIST explicitly makes the exact same observation. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/211186/
- **The study does not model fire progression.** Dr. Hulsey only used one static temperature distribution, where the actual fires moved around heating unevenly.
- **The study mischaracterizes NIST's modelling of the exterior.** Dr. Hulsey claims the exterior columns were fixed when they were not. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/210990/
- **The study mischaracterizes NIST connection modeling in the LS-DYNA model.** Dr. Hulsey claims that volumes of the full-building LS-DYNA model did not have connections modeled, but his evidence for this is a misrepresentation of a different model, the ANSYS model. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/210990/
- **The study was not open.** At the start of the study we were told "*WTC 7 Evaluation is a completely open and transparent investigation into the cause of World Trade Center Building 7's collapse. Every aspect of the scientific process will be posted here and on the university's website so that the public can follow its progress.*" The last such release was in 2015. Nothing has been released since then except videos of Dr. Hulsey giving versions of this slideshow.
- **The study neglects unknowns**. Impact damage from falling WTC1 debris, the actual fire spread and temperatures, the state of the insulation at every spot, and differences between drawings and constructions are all factors that are unknown, and make it impossible make a determination of the exact cause of the collapse.

While it is possible that Dr. Hulsey's study will eventually yield some interesting results, **it is factually incorrect to say that it proves that fire could not have caused the collapse.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EDKjUXFU8AAEr2w.jpg
2019-08-29T20:37:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1167174537797963776
@Sinuhenheimo @rybred8877 @samhell @michaelshermer Can you give an example of a steel framed building with significant fires burning after it was struck by a large plane at 500+mph, or had a 110 story skyscraper collapse next to it, that DIDN'T collapse after the fire?

2019-08-29T13:55:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1167073244916006912
@ballymazoo @TlohJ @_AdamFitzgerald You can debunk it yourself. Give it a go. Open your mind.

2019-08-29T00:20:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1166868141202264065
Another 9/11 anniversary is just two weeks away, and with it we'll get the regurgitating of groundless conspiracy theories.

Did you know a third building fell on 9/11? Here's the broad strokes of why that happened.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4LUDXpMhkNk

2019-08-26T00:28:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165783122547331073
â□□Paradigm Shiftâ□□ is the name used by a poster on Metabunk. He's made many posts about 9/11

and the need, as he sees it, for a new investigation to look into the possibility of explosives being used to bring down the WTC. We try to reach some common ground
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vM7tC4RfC-k

2019-08-25T21:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165735235188772864
@Prof_Elle @KevinRyan911 @NPR So basically it doesn't encourage people to use the term. So Kevin was wrong.

2019-08-25T21:17:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165734955684524032
@Prof_Elle @KevinRyan911 @NPR But it is a common misconception. How should I have said it? A common mistake? An urban legend? Fake news? Straw man?

2019-08-25T21:15:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165734356909879302
@Prof_Elle @KevinRyan911 @NPR I think the graph is pretty clear. No real increase can be attributed to that one point in time. But the root point here is that the CIA did NOT encourage usage of the term.

2019-08-25T00:22:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165419205929254914
@sapinker The usage of "literally" to mean "virtually, as good as"" dates back to the 1700s. OED calls it colloquial, but they are a bit snooty.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxmY9RUUAIYLGn.jpg



c. *colloquial.* Used to indicate that some (frequently conventional) metaphorical or hyperbolical expression is to be taken in the strongest admissible sense: 'virtually, as good as'; (also) 'completely, utterly, absolutely'. Categories »

Now one of the most common uses, although often considered irregular in standard English since it reverses the original sense of *literally* ('not figuratively or metaphorically').

1769 F. Brooke *Hist. Emily Montague* IV. ccxvii. 83 He is a fortunate man to be introduced to such a party of fine women at his arrival; it is literally *to feed among the lilies.*

1801 *Spirit of Farmers' Museum* 262 He is, literally, made up of marechal powder, cravat, and bootees.

1825 J. Denniston *Legends Galloway* 99 Lady Kirkclaugh, who, literally worn to a shadow, died of a broken heart.

1863 F. A. Kemble *Jrnl. Resid. Georgian Plantation* 105 For the last four years..I literally coined money.

1876 'M. Twain' *Adventures Tom Sawyer* ii. 20 And when the middle of the afternoon came, from being a poor poverty-stricken boy in the morning, Tom was literally rolling in wealth.

1906 *Westm. Gaz.* 15 Nov. 2/1 Mr. Chamberlain literally bubbled over with gratitude.

1975 *Chem. Week* (Nexis) 26 Mar. 10 'They're literally throwing money at these programs,' said a Ford Administration official.

2008 *Herald-Times (Bloomington, Indiana)* 22 Oct. A8/1 'OMG, I literally died when I found out!' No, you figuratively died. Otherwise, you would not be around to relay your pointless anecdote.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxmY9RUUAIYLGn.jpg
2019-08-25T00:06:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165415079874985984
@sapinker It's a correct dictionary defined usage.
https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/literally
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxiv0BUUAER58L.jpg

---

literally adverb

lit·er·al·ly | \ ˈli-tə-rə-lē, ˈli-trə-lē, ˈli-tər-lē\

Definition of *literally*

1 : in a literal sense or manner: such as

a : in a way that uses the ordinary or primary meaning of a term or expression
// He took the remark *literally*.
// a word that can be used both *literally* and figuratively

b —used to emphasize the truth and accuracy of a statement or description
// The party was attended by *literally* hundreds of people.

c : with exact equivalence : with the meaning of each individual word given exactly
// The term "Mardi Gras" *literally* means "Fat Tuesday" in French.

d : in a completely accurate way
// a story that is basically true even if not *literally* true

2 : in effect : VIRTUALLY —used in an exaggerated way to emphasize a statement or description that is not literally true or possible
// will *literally* turn the world upside down to combat cruelty or injustice
— Norman Cousins

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxiv0BUUAER58L.jpg
2019-08-25T00:04:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165414506496847872
@PostDisclosure @zcichy @mikeb8637 @PstarmanUa People see UFOs all the time. That's not disputed, nor is it grounds for dismissal. I'd take that on a case-by-case basis

---

2019-08-24T23:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165407327102521344
@mikeb8637 @PstarmanUa There's plenty that have no definitive explanation. But if there was something that genuinely had no rational explanation, then it would be pretty big news.

2019-08-24T23:30:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165406108925562880
@KevinRyan911 @NPR I simply pointed out the 100% verifiable fact that "Countering Criticism of the Warren Report" does NOT propose labeling people "conspiracy theorists."

Are you going to correct your article to incorporate this new information?

2019-08-24T23:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1165398578983886848
@KevinRyan911 @NPR Your division of usages of the term into before and after does not really tell the story. Usage was already growing in 1967.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxTXIaU0AAG_Df.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECxTXIaU0AAG_Df.jpg

2019-08-24T22:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1165396477054603264

@KevinRyan911 @NPR Here I am countering a misconception, am I not? Have you read the document?

2019-08-24T22:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1165392634547884032

@KevinRyan911 @NPR A common misconception there. In fact, the document "Countering Criticism of the Warren Report" does NOT propose labeling people "conspiracy theorists." Instead it suggested pointing out what was wrong with the theories. https://www.siper.ch/assets/uploads/files/dokumente/CIA%20(1967)%20-%20Countering%20Criticism%20of%20the%20Warren%20Report.pdf

---

2019-08-22T18:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1164600681367425024

@apicasefiles @TheOutThere2016 Observations and evidence is always something to explain. False claims and theories about those observations are something to debunk.

2019-08-22T17:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1164596747210899456

@MrTJ_808 @OPM_UK I think it let everyone finish their combos. But some people figured out how to combo almost indefinitely.

2019-08-22T15:01:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1164553305416036352

@PstarmanUa @mikeb8637 But those questions have all been answered. It's a plane.

2019-08-22T00:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1164339084329811969

RT @FrankLuntz: Jewish-American vote over the years :star_of_David:

2008
â¢ Dem: 78%
â¢ GOP: 22%

2010
â¢ Dem: 66%
â¢ GOP: 31%

2012
â¢ Dem: 69%
â¢ GOP: 30%â¦

2019-08-21T21:55:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1164294897991426048
@mikeb8637 Keane did a kind of roundabout admission it was just a plane.
https://www.huffpost.com/entry/the-chilean-navy-ufo-video-is-it-a-plane_b_587e7277e4b06a0baf6490ef

---

2019-08-21T21:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1164287569498009601
@IamDrVenkman @888_shawn @michaelsquest6 @mufon The trail is black, which make you think it's hot. But they you realize that the clouds are also black. Blue sky registers as super-cold in IR, so even fairly cold contrails look hot.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2019-08-21T18:44:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1164247034225815552
@ThomasHughson @EricRWeinstein @michaelshermer Why not both? :)
I have been noticing more and more conspiracy theory apologist papers, like "Are Conspiracy Theorists Epistemically Vicious?" and here, "responsible conspiracy theorizing." It think it's a bit of a semantic dead end, unfortunately provides ammo to conspiracists.

2019-08-21T14:53:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1164188668338139137
@magoniareview I was just trying to simulate the pose and lighting of the image above it. I was done the same day as the more flattering images on the far left.

2019-08-21T14:51:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1164188321909579777
@tobadzistsini For the same reason the photos are so different. Facial recognition from a photo alone does not work very well close up. That's why the iPhone has a 3D scanner for the face recognition - even that fails at very short distances.

2019-08-21T00:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163966529991352321

@MkmoTeacher @Grammarly @alicekeeler Yeah it's basically unusable. Chrome 76 on OSX. Just started to lag terribly. Disabled it and everything else is fine.

2019-08-20T16:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163848171551748097

@ScottBi44157050 @HybridHumans144 @Inquiri70388674 @PostDisclosure Public fascination does not override the law or the rights of the family. New York only releases autopsy reports to: "a legal family member or an officer of the court."
https://portal.311.nyc.gov/article/?kanumber=KA-01129

2019-08-20T16:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163846329648349184

@PostDisclosure Having a bias against the most likely explanation certainly isn't helping.

2019-08-20T16:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163845420709081088

@ScottBi44157050 @HybridHumans144 @Inquiri70388674 @PostDisclosure Public fascination with a topic does not override the regulations that are there to protect the family of the deceased. You know, conspiracy theorists often ask where are the photos of the dead Sandy Hook children? As if they have some right to see them.

2019-08-20T16:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163844458594488323

@PostDisclosure You think a pilot spotting something he thinks was breaking the known laws of physics is the same as a coroner giving the most likely explanation for a death?

2019-08-20T15:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163839587057205251

@PostDisclosure I'd advise you not to compare apples to oranges.

2019-08-20T15:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163838640000077824

@murnut @PostDisclosure Although it completely ignores the more mundane proposed explanations for the videos.

2019-08-19T12:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163434393525878784

@sicktanick @g_knapp Sure. That would be great!

2019-08-19T12:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163434057545338886

@PostDisclosure @g_knapp I'm asking how these emails prove he worked there. He's made that claim. So perhaps he could explain what he means?

2019-08-19T04:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163306394327478273

@Becauseofnow More here. http://contrailscience.com/fightercontrails-over-kent-1941/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECTkv2SU0AEhcU-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECTkv2SU0AEhcU-.jpg
2019-08-19T03:58:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1163299083877924865

@mvtatertot @g_knapp I'm not trolling, and you could very easily repeat any of my garage experiments yourself if you think they are incorrect.

2019-08-19T01:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163261126827577344

@g_knapp They don't prove he worked at AATIP. They do prove he described the "strategic issue" involved as "Unmanned aerial vehicles (balloons, commercial UAVs, private drones such as quadcopters, etc) "

2019-08-18T23:04:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163225268267278336

@horine_steve @joerogan Mars missions failed because of equipment failure, not bad calculations. And there's no equipment to fail on a giant rock. The math checks out. Lots of people check it. You could check it.

2019-08-18T22:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163222541688696832

@16thStMALL @joerogan It's 100% going to miss on the 2nd pass. But there's a 1 in 150,000 chance of a 2068 impact.

2019-08-18T21:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163204214962548741

@joerogan They are "preparing" for it to miss the Earth. The trajectory has been calculated with sufficient accuracy that they know it will miss this time, and next time (2036), and almost certainly in 2068 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/99942_Apophis

---

2019-08-18T20:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163181139785179136

@Criminotweet But they never drive through it. It just LOOKS like it's in front of them. Everyone is seeing different rainbows in front of them. Nobody drives through their own rainbow.

2019-08-18T19:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163176980721754112

@Jersey327 @realDonaldTrump @catturd @StormIsUponUs @prayingmedic It's a combination of age and the distance to the camera.

2019-08-18T19:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163176736210636800

@Criminotweet Notice how the rainbow keeps moving away from the car? Rainbows don't exist at particular locations. They appear in front of you based on your position and the sun's position. You can drive through where you thought they were, but they are not actually there.

2019-08-18T19:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163167795602812929

@Criminotweet Hmm, I think it's optically impossible to drive through the end of a rainbow. If you get that close to them then they are the size of the rainbows you see in a garden hose.

2019-08-18T18:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163156946813243392

@buffcommacu @g_knapp @SilvaRecord @JChurchRadio I think he could keep up a preference for one explanation (or rather one unexplanation) while ignoring actual explanations. Time will tell.

2019-08-18T16:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1163131357880471552

@g_knapp So why did he start with discussing "Unmanned aerial vehicles (balloons, commercial UAVs, private drones such as quadcopters, etc)"? Is Go Fast just a balloon after all? Is Gimbal just the glare of a drone?

2019-08-17T15:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162743589593481216

@everevolve89 This shows how people can get size estimates wrong. People thinking it was hundreds of feet long: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vcq1ZWiQ18w

---

2019-08-17T14:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162740768907251712

@everevolve89 Looks like a Hyperblimp

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zDaEMDdiTlM

2019-08-17T01:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162543961308712961

@ApocalypseHackR @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy It was an A340. Four engines. Read the linked article.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ or at least watch this 60 second video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2019-08-16T21:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162474935492591616

@AhearnTim @TheJuanWilliams @TheFive What's the source of that data?

2019-08-16T21:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162473334233128960

@milodekiss The image you posted was satirical. It's referencing Soviet propaganda posters, 1984, and the Shepard Fairey Andre the Giant OBEY poster.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECHu9nYUIAAOFx_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECHu9nYUIAAOFx_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECHusEYU0AAfbIj.jpg
2019-08-16T21:11:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1162472042278445056
@ApocalypseHackR @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy Plane ENGINES are a lot hotter, particularly if they are flying away from you. And when they are small on screen, the resultant glare covers the plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECHuAdNVUAAFzY5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECHuAdNVUAAFzY5.jpg

2019-08-16T18:26:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162430558120493061

@limitedview @earthisaglobe @Oswald1160 @JPMajor Just make a scale model. Which is what was shown in previosus posts.

Optics scales, because light travels in straight lines. So the projection of an image onto the camera's sensor is a simple geometric transform. (x' = f * x / d)

This is not controversial.

2019-08-16T17:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162414679932542976

@limitedview @Oswald1160 @JPMajor @earthisaglobe It's not what you are describing though. The images of the Earth from satellites have the continents in the correction proportions for the altitudes of those cameras (relative to the size of the Earth). Easy to check with Google Earth.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG59hPUwAAxZsw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG59hPUwAAxZsw.jpg

2019-08-16T17:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162413464528179200

@milodekiss That's what I thought. But saying you are sarcastic while posting something that is clearly satirical is a bit of a double negative type thing.

And for the record, I partly agree with the satirical message in that image - I think you should NOT always believe what you are told.

2019-08-16T17:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162412430103699456

@HTXWX Some more examples. They are fun things to spot. I see a few a year.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG31LQUcAAhdUP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG31LQUcAAhdUP.jpg

2019-08-16T17:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162411979488673792

@HTXWX It's called a "distrail"

2019-08-16T17:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162411710591881216

@RichardYoung It's interesting. There are similarities in the way they think - specifically the desperate clinging to one interpretation - that parallel more mainstream conspiracy theories. So there's general thing to learn. Also I occasionally help someone.

2019-08-16T17:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162410025567973378

@theyre_heeeere @mesolithicguy It's a plane. Iberian flight 6830. It's a perfect match for time, location, size, speed, contrail, and circumstance. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG1oZRU8AA9iQ1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECG1oZRU8AA9iQ1.jpg

2019-08-16T16:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162403011777290245

@DiscoveryUK @2alpha2quit_ @paulbeban @sarahcruddas @NickKarnaze @medievalguy @MykeCole No. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2019-08-16T16:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162402880520724480

@RichardYoung Could you be a bit more specific?

2019-08-16T16:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162402429503037441

@ApocalypseHackR @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy The middle picture show what an aerodynamic contrail is from close-up. It looks very different from far away.

The heat signature is the engines. They are very bright in infrared, and so flare up. It's quickly explained here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2019-08-16T01:21:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162172373895827457

@everevolve89 @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy https://www.metabunk.org/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.t8952/

2019-08-16T00:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162161147463626752

@milodekiss Sarcastic which way?

2019-08-15T21:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162121629742194688

Discovery channel's latest UFO show "Contact" is reanimating long-debunked UFO stories like

the Chilean CEFAA UFO. It's essentially fictional entertainment and should be viewed as such. Did the hosts know? @MykeCole @sarahcruddas @medievalguy https://www.metabunk.org/discovery-channels-contact-declassified-breakthrough-was-debunked-2-5-years-ago.t10865/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECCt_UIUEAA4xEU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECCt_UIUEAA4xEU.jpg

2019-08-15T17:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162046565667627009

@earthisaglobe @TomSoenen1 @limitedview @JPMajor As far as I can tell, it does NOT say "not for educational purposes." Why would it? It's a perfectly reasonable globe, showing the current countries, cities, topography, and historical voyages. It's perfectly suitable for educational purposes.

https://www.ultimateglobes.com/Hastings-World-Globe-by-Replogle-p/rp-31509.htm

---

2019-08-15T16:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162043561027575808

@BrowneBJ Not really. Depends on how well the software can account for differences in camera distance - particularly "selfie-face". If distance and head angle is unknown, then very hard to solve

---

2019-08-15T16:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162033397436256256

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe But the distortion of elements in the image will NOT change. The image will just be cropped and magnified. Not distorted more or less.
Take a step back here. What do you think is actually going on with photos of the Earth that show different sized continents?

2019-08-15T16:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162032173550993415

@limitedview It can be done with a big object that's far from the lens.
This is not controversial. It's not something scientists argue about. It's just simple optics. The only issue here is that you don't understand it.

Why do you think that YOU understand it better than everyone else?

2019-08-15T15:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162027278521364485

@TheOutThere2016 Sad indeed. Of course the primary goal of these shows is to make money, which they do by focusing on things that sound really interesting and ignoring the rest. This seems a bit much though, as it was explained over two years ago.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2019-08-15T15:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162016956209233920

Photos of the same person taken at different times with different camera distances can look so radically different that people think they must be different people. Here I use photos of myself to show why photos of the El Paso shooter look so different.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-the-many-faces-of-patrick-crusius-the-el-paso-shooter.t10860/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECBPlA2UIAMhfh5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ECBPlA2UIAMhfh5.jpg

2019-08-15T15:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162016155671810048

@milodekiss Trust, yet verify.

Or don't trust at all, just go and figure it out for yourself, then verify.

2019-08-15T14:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1162015909759766528

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe How so? You can change the lens without changing the distance. And you can change the distance without changing the lens.

That seems almost the very definition of separable.

2019-08-14T20:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161743636360335360

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe If the distortion was caused by the lens, then changing the lens would change the distortion. Since it does not, but changing the DISTANCE changes the distortion, we can only conclude it's a function of distance.

2019-08-14T19:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161718409672790017

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe The 500 mm lense from that position shows the same image, if it could actually focus, it would look something like this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB9AlP1UcAAcrc5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB9AlP1UcAAcrc5.jpg

2019-08-14T19:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161716884841984000

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe But if we move closer, then we see the distortion. Here's the 10mm view from up close. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8_SvkUIAAFmcT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8_SvkUIAAFmcT.jpg

2019-08-14T19:08:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161716287250132992

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe With a 50-500 zoom lens, close to 500mm zoomed, it look the same, just more detailed. Neither lens has distorted the globe.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-ti9U4AA2nq6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-ti9U4AA2nq6.jpg

2019-08-14T19:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161715729655197696

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe Magnify the globe, you can make out Europe and Aftrica. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-RsUUcAI3DQe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-RsUUcAI3DQe.jpg

2019-08-14T19:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161715505389961216

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe For example. Here's a 10mm focal length (1.6 crop) photo of a globe. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-DeYUwAAnttJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8-DeYUwAAnttJ.jpg

2019-08-14T19:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161715034138894336

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe The point is that is a function of the relative distance to the object. The distortion is NOT caused by the lens (unless it's a fisheye). It's caused by the

projection of a 3D image onto a 2D plane.

2019-08-14T18:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161712684741435392

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe A telephoto lens simply magnifies the middle bit of the same image as wide angle rectilinear lens. Take two photos of the same thing from the same distance with different lenses, and the result will be the same (just different size, but not distorted)

2019-08-14T18:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161708197083799553

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe The problem here in understanding the face distortions and the planet scale distortions, is a lack of understanding of how a camera actually works, and more specifically how 3D->2D projection works. It's not hugely complex, but can be hard to grasp. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB83PrdUcAA88RI.jpg



"The Painter's Manual” - Albrecht Dürer, 1538

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB83PrdUcAA88RI.jpg

2019-08-14T18:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161705635496378369

@limitedview @JPMajor @earthisaglobe The effect scales to larger objects. Here's the Superdome from about 50m and 300m http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8znoFU8AUqxOt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8znoFU8AUqxOt.jpg

2019-08-14T16:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161676708371505152

@earthisaglobe @JPMajor @limitedview It's not that huge of a difference in terms of how much of the Earth you can see though. 2 and bit diameters is quite a ways. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8ayP0UYAAII5z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8ayP0UYAAII5z.jpg

2019-08-14T16:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161676447963967489

@JPMajor @earthisaglobe @limitedview That's not barrel distortion though, if that's what you meant by "barreling". It's just perspective.

2019-08-14T14:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161649365510807554

@limitedview @earthisaglobe @JPMajor "adjusting to infinity" only relates to focus. This feature distortion effect has nothing to do with focus. It has to do with A) the distance to the object, and B) The size of the object/features. So the face difference is the same as the continent difference from space. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8BxtyU8AElJWm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB8BxtyU8AElJWm.jpg

2019-08-13T16:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1161309145858899968

Why does the El Paso shooter's mugshot look so different to his selfie? It has to do with the distance to the camera (and a poor copy of the handout photo by the AP). But it is actually the same person.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-the-many-faces-of-patrick-crusius-the-el-paso-shooter.t10860/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB3L_hIU0AECOeD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB3LqtdVAAAYtyp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB3L0sNUIAAdyH0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EB3L_hIU0AECOeD.jpg

2019-08-12T19:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1160994258993569792

@dr_rythm2003 @robspruce @KerryFurnell @EndRoadwork @DoctorKarl So why does your doctor worry about a "4 fifths of fuck all" rise in your cholesterol? If there's fuck all there in the first place, then doubling it should be fine, right?

2019-08-12T19:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1160993114493816833

@CalebOnTwatter No, other than them both being nonsense.

2019-08-12T16:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1160958306472435720

Chemtrail theorist mistakes camera trick for apocalyptic "frequency" weapon!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SD1FJUJdi6M

2019-08-11T18:03:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1160612620061179904
RT @michaelshermer: Of Epstein's death ask Hume's "what's more likely?" question (miracles: suspension of natural law or human error?): a mâ¦

2019-08-10T17:06:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160235941811744768
@4thAnon It's going to take more than a broken link to convince me ATS is a CIA front.

And seriously, you don't think those barrel photos show "Chem-Planes" do you? Or are you saying it's some kind of bait and switch disinfo by the CIA to convert conspiracists? What?

2019-08-10T17:00:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160234543938301952
@COXeqyYSpBRYVP0 I though you'd find it amusing. It's probably flagging you a bit because of the topics you tweet about. But you don't seem to interact with known bots, so it figures you are a real person.
They have a cool browser plugin. Flags bots in red.
https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/bot-sentinel/eadmnplpcakhnmjbaioeholpakbknhgc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn7Bj6U4AEbecr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn7Bj6U4AEbecr.jpg
2019-08-10T16:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160231836171132929
@OpChemtrails @xy_typhoon

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBn4rwHVAAAfjLM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBn4rwHVAAAfjLM.jpg
2019-08-10T16:39:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160229277847060482
@4thAnon I helped a bit. But it was actually on the AboveTopSecret forum that he started to figure things out. The old Ballast Barrels hoax was the key. He realized that was BS, and so wondered what else might be BS. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn2Xs7U8AAYVul.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn2Xs3U8AA-Knr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn2Xs7U8AAYVul.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBn2Xs1VAAUA13l.jpg

2019-08-10T16:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1160226033821294592

@COXeqyYSpBRYVP0 I checked on @BotSentinel and you are not a bot.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBnzbRgVUAI-nrr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBnzbRgVUAI-nrr.jpg
2019-08-10T15:46:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160215967038767104
RT @KenCaldeira: Before you retweet something inflammatory, please do us all a favor and make sure you are not being used by a propaganda bâ□¦

2019-08-10T15:39:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160214186724454400
RT @rothschildmd: There are three possibilities in the death of Jeffrey Epstein.

1. He was murdered by the Clintons or someone else
2. Heâ□¦

2019-08-10T15:38:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1160213735538954241
Willie is the former conspiracy theorist I interviewed for the preface of Escaping the Rabbit Hole. Two years later I interviewed him again for my Tale From the Rabbit Hole podcast. We catch up and share what we've learned about conspiracy culture.
https://youtu.be/Fd4Nxl3-v0I

2019-08-09T14:40:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1159836935856066563
@dr_rythm2003 @robspruce @KerryFurnell @EndRoadwork @DoctorKarl Because PPM is accurate. Quoting a percentage of the atmosphere as a whole is highly misleading. Ever had a blood test? They quote CO2 there in mmol/L (1 mmol/L = 44 ppm). Imagine if your blood CO2

went from a borderline 29 to something like 45.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBiP5CUUIAMrGK2.jpg

Test Name	Result	Flag	Reference Range	Lab
GLUCOSE	99		65-99 mg/dL	EN
Fasting reference interval				
UREA NITROGEN (BUN)	20		7-25 mg/dL	EN
CREATININE	1.44	HIGH	0.70-1.25 mg/dL	EN
For patients >49 years of age, the reference limit for Creatinine is approximately 13% higher for people identified as African-American.				
eGFR NON-AFR. AMERICAN	51	LOW	> OR = 60 mL/min/1.73m2	EN
eGFR AFRICAN AMERICAN	59	LOW	> OR = 60 mL/min/1.73m2	EN
BUN/CREATININE RATIO	14		6-22 (calc)	EN
SODIUM	142		135-146 mmol/L	EN
POTASSIUM	4.2		3.5-5.3 mmol/L	EN
CHLORIDE	104		98-110 mmol/L	EN
CARBON DIOXIDE	29		19-30 mmol/L	EN
CALCIUM	9.5		8.6-10.3 mg/dL	EN

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBiP5CUUIAMrGK2.jpg
2019-08-09T14:09:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1159828985498333186
@dr_rythm2003 @robspruce @KerryFurnell @EndRoadwork @DoctorKarl This is just vanilla Wikipedia. They have references for everything in the article. In particular, they link to all the national and international scientific bodies that concur with the IPCC. The references also include excerpts. Here's a few. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBiKGmYU8AAMqK-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBiKGmYU8AAMqK-.jpg
2019-08-09T13:47:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1159823606450274304
@dr_rythm2003 @robspruce @KerryFurnell @EndRoadwork @DoctorKarl You might want to read through this article. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientific_consensus_on_climate_change

2019-08-07T21:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159209369357017088

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane Try that with a mountain over water. Small objects like people have too many complications from refraction.

Plus, there's no road that's level enough and long enough to see the effect of Earth's curvature.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBZWuY2U4AAugsO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBZWuY2U4AAugsO.jpg

2019-08-07T18:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159170828858093569

@OnThisPlane @Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth Itâ□□s magnifying it. Making it look bigger so you can see it.

2019-08-07T16:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159141576993435649

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane Here you go: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8zzEWy5SGKg

---

2019-08-07T16:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159141442045874176

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane Things in front of it obscure it.

2019-08-07T16:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159138615395381248

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane Conversely, I might ask, do you find it strange that 10,000 feet of a 11,000 foot mountain is not visible when it should be visible (if the water surface were flat)

2019-08-07T16:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159138298985508864

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane It's

not strange at all. I made that simulator using the verifiable refractive properties of air, and normal ray-tracing. The results correspond to what we see. So it's EXPECTED that refraction causes hidden things to be visible.

2019-08-07T16:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159137939244244994

@Freedom4thekids @motnevobrev @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane The weather certainly does have an effect - with the mood (visibility) of the sun being the biggest factor. It heats the ground/water, and hence the very lowest layer of air.If your line of sight grazes the horizon, then it's hard to do a simple calculation for where it ends up

---

2019-08-07T16:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159136848951697408

@motnevobrev @Freedom4thekids @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane Here's a demonstration of how it works. The focus is on lasers, but it's the same general principle. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ookTfBP5sUU

2019-08-07T16:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159135988834156544

@motnevobrev @Freedom4thekids @robbiederman198 @awakenflatearth @OnThisPlane The Metabunk Curve Calculator is a bit of a simplification, you really have to use the Metabunk Refraction Simulator to see the range of visibilities possible for relative small objects like buildings.

https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/

2019-08-07T14:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159114673142169602

@InPlainView19 Well, I was specifically thinking of the Nimitz Encounter, which was south of Catalina, where there's plenty of whales.

Here's another source of momentarily disturbed sea:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m51Egq2i368

2019-08-07T14:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1159113388103852034

@knightsabers01 My intuition tells me it's much ado about nothing - essentially issues of mil pilots having trouble identifying things based on limited information, conflated by some slightly rich and powerful UFO fans. But I try to stick to the evidence, not feelings.

THPS4 was my favorite

2019-08-06T14:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158753742793035777

@XKeyscore1 The document is clearly speculative. It's about what weapons might be developed in 2025+ It's on page 43 here.

http://stopthecrime.net/docs/nasa-thefutureof-war.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBS4Ya5UIAIk4CK.jpg



1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBS4Ya5UIAIk4CK.jpg

2019-08-06T14:11:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158742295883083776

@XKeyscore1 Sounds nasty. Itâ□□s a stand thing in both science fiction and in any speculation about future weapons. Smart dust.

2019-08-06T13:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158737724502728704

@TheoryQed You can't do the exact same analysis because there's no "RNG" shown. However, there's still quite a bit you can do. Have a look at this thread:

https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/

2019-08-06T13:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158736810383568896

@ArthurCios mick@mickwest.com

2019-08-06T04:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158602265722155008

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax Slow down Deep. Look at the map.

2019-08-06T04:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158601150460862465

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax Er, no. It happened in West Chester, a northern suburb of Cincinnati. Donna Peck's Video was from

Fairfield Township, likewise. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBQtIwdUwAAkJx-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBQtIwdUwAAkJx-.jpg

2019-08-06T04:40:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158598583987273728

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax The Cincinnati in Kentucky is essentially the same city. Not a different place in this context.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBQq8w_U8AIH4qn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBQq8w_U8AIH4qn.jpg

2019-08-06T04:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158595764332847104

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax You know that Cincinnati is a city in the state of Ohio, right?

2019-08-06T04:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158594709456973824

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax The Daily Star?

Seriously?

2019-08-06T04:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158593700219330560

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax Where's the other video?

2019-08-06T04:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158592684170199041

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax How do you know they were in different states back to back? Which states, and at what time? Parachutes can be steered.

2019-08-06T04:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158590704802623488

@Deepneuron @sardjatwopoinow @theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @teamfastrax To slow their descent they used parachutes.

2019-08-06T04:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158590515371053057

@theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @Deepneuron @teamfastrax :) and you don't need me. It's obvious the skydiving pyro display, because that's what it looks like, and it was there.
Here's some close-ups of similar events.
https://youtu.be/O_9i0MRC31Y?t=234

2019-08-06T03:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158586778304991232
@theyre_heeeere @jboat1992 @Deepneuron @teamfastrax I await Deep's peer reviewed paper on the subject.

2019-08-05T20:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158469282486865920
@ShepardAmbellas What do you think it's going on? It's two photos of two different people. The photos look similar, but they are clearly not the same person. Other photos look very different. Can you figure out why? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBO1pfcU8AA1EXx.jpg

---



Patrick Crusius' mugshot from an El Paso jail as he faces capital murder chargers for allegedly killing 20 people in an El Paso Walmart on Aug. 3, 2019.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBO1pfcU8AA1EXx.jpg

2019-08-05T18:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158443755839451139

@COXeqyYSpBRYVP0 Would you be interested in being a guest on the Tales From The Rabbit Hole podcast? I think it's good to hear from diverse voices. https://www.tftrh.com/ DM or Email me mick@mickwest.com

---

2019-08-05T18:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158441170072006657

@LenartJoe @JackSarfatti Well, you could say that about literally anything.
This is just some whales having fun.

2019-08-05T17:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158433734346985472
@ParanormalMend1 @mike18499668 No, it's not a joke. Pilots have reported seeing underwater disturbances, sometimes in combination with "UFO" sightings. You have to consider what those underwater disturbances might be. There's a variety of biological possibilities.
https://youtu.be/ukQqMvgkLEI?t=160

2019-08-05T13:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158366953225875457
@DuncanMcKay1888 I do that to, the occasional ghost photo.
https://www.metabunk.org/analysis-crathes-castle-ghost-in-doorway-photo.t8200/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBNYmEOU0AI66Yr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBNYmEOU0AI66Yr.jpg
2019-08-05T13:13:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158365387777400832
A possible source of sightings of underwater flying saucers.
https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/1158362230678798337

2019-08-05T05:35:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158250079456616448
@_Desmoden @Puxley101 They never though to fly over for a better look at these POSSIBLE ALIEN VISITORS!!!!??

2019-08-05T04:17:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158230487841701890
@IBKING46 @luismen1991 I look at individual events. In the case of the videos we don't actually have additional information about those events. Just other, different events reported by different people. So the videos each have to stand alone.

2019-08-05T04:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158226564699398145
@IBKING46 @luismen1991 Of different events, in different locations, reported or remembered by different people.

2019-08-05T03:57:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158225401199517696
@_Desmoden @Puxley101 No, I'm saying, based on your broad characterizations of the statistics, that there would only be occasional inexplicable sightings. You'd agree, I take it, that SOME sighting can be pilot error, let's say misidentifying Venus and never figuring it out? Like, occasionally?

2019-08-05T03:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158224847761215488
@_Desmoden @Puxley101 So you think the Navy had contact with super high technology, possible alien craft for 9 months, and they never went to check what it was, and only just now updated their pilot reporting guidelines?
Perhaps they just had crap radar system for a while? What's your source of 9mo?

2019-08-05T03:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158217287624642561
@Real_StephenLaw @Deepneuron @GarryPNolan @mikeb8637 @alejandrotrojas @AFSUnidentified I'm not suggesting that at all. Nothing at all like that.

2019-08-05T03:23:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158216990885994496
@_Desmoden @Puxley101 Exactly.
What percentage of flights involve an inexplicable UFO sighting?
Pilots occasionally make mistakes, often small mistakes, sometimes large mistakes.

2019-08-05T01:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158181630508331008
@GarryPNolan @mikeb8637 Which journal would you recommend?

2019-08-05T01:02:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158181365898043393
@JuenoMT @Deepneuron @zcichy @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere Which?

2019-08-04T23:57:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158165179995000832
@Deepneuron @GarryPNolan @mikeb8637 @alejandrotrojas @AFSUnidentified Sure, but I get a lot of feedback into the Metabunk discussion threads. The science is often hammered out over a long time. Look at the main Gimbal thread. 18 months of work, 400 posts. Lots of analysis, boiling down to some pretty solid conclusions.
https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/

2019-08-04T23:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158164427759214592

@abinksma Well if they are running into them all the time, then there must be a lot of video out there. So I'll wait for some video combined with the testimony of the pilot who took that video.

2019-08-04T23:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158163806775853058

@Inquiri70388674 @Deepneuron @GarryPNolan @mikeb8637 @alejandrotrojas I'm not dismissing the pilots. They didn't take these videos. They were not in the plane when these videos were taken.

2019-08-04T23:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158162965591281665

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 Why do you ask?

2019-08-04T23:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158162377969246208

@_Desmoden @Puxley101 So you'd say in "Go Fast" that the pilots were perhaps being a bit premature in their assessment of the object as fast moving?

2019-08-04T23:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158162036599058432

@Deepneuron @GarryPNolan @mikeb8637 @alejandrotrojas @AFSUnidentified What's the big deal here? I've done some analysis of some videos. I actually put quite a bit of time into it, and made some helpful videos to explain it. Why do you think I'm a lazy coward if I don't write it out in scientific paper form.

I'm not afraid of people criticizing me.

2019-08-04T23:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158161027285962753

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 If you analyze ANYTHING you'd be hard-pressed not to find a few ppb of some metallic compound in it. Air has "metal" in it (mineral dust). You are breathing "metal" right now. What's your concern here? Aviation exhaust pollution? Look it up.

2019-08-04T23:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158160429039808512

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 Why does it matter?

2019-08-04T23:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158159426987020288

@_Desmoden @Puxley101 Well yes. The problem being that a lot of people don't take parallax into account when interpreting what an object seems to be doing. This is more of a factor in the "Go Fast" video though.

2019-08-04T23:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158159000170455041

@zcichy @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @mikeb8637 We all find a niche. I like 3D physics analysis because of my background as video game programmer, where I did lots of 3D physics. UFOs and chemtrails had a lack of people doing good analysis, so there were fun things for me to do.

2019-08-04T23:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158158330218479617

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 Here's a more recent thread. I don't think it's a particularly interesting topic. Jet fuel is almost entirely hydrogen and carbon.

https://www.metabunk.org/bunk-archeology-barium-in-jet-fuel-additive-stadis-450-there-isnt-any.t8233/

2019-08-04T23:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158157443567968256

@zcichy @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @mikeb8637 I believe everything I say. My "job" is not to debunk things, it's to figure out what really happened.

2019-08-04T23:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158156919120396289

@abinksma One should not believe something they otherwise would not simply because challenging the belief might be seen as impolite.

Pilots make mistakes, even highly trained ones. A pilot once flew his plane into the ground because he thought it was upside down.

2019-08-04T23:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158153632463724546

In #TFTRH Episode 16 I talk to Adam Taylor, a retired 9/11 Truth activist who decided after ten years it wasn't worth continuing advocating for a new investigation into the WTC collapses. It didn't look like it would ever happen.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/08/04/episode-16-adam-taylor-retired-9-11-truth-activist/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XXvrJHMpVYg

2019-08-04T23:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158152071264104448

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 There are all kinds of additives in all kinds of fuels. Not a lot though, parts per million or billion.

And what does that have to do with "chemtrails?"

2019-08-04T23:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158151707886379008

@JuenoMT @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere @zcichy And you were also incorrect.

2019-08-04T19:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158100317814132737

@PostDisclosure @murnut @zcichy @Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere So quite possible those three different things that happened at different times, in different locations, reported by different people, all have different causes?

2019-08-04T19:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158099310065569792

@Deepneuron @zcichy @mikeb8637 @PostDisclosure @theyre_heeeere I know. I have my name on one peer-reviewed paper. The reviewers didn't find some errors. Didn't change the

conclusion, but once it got a wide readership the errors were pointed out. I prefer starting with a wider audience, more eyes, more accurate.
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2019-08-04T19:19:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158095194853502976
@zcichy @mikeb8637 @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere Not really. Peer review is about checking your work for errors. I put out videos, accompanied by the math. People check them. They tell me if there's something wrong. I fix it. Things improve.

2019-08-04T19:17:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158094738605535232
@murnut @PostDisclosure @zcichy @Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere Multiple pilots reporting different things. Things that don't match the videos. Fravor saw an oval shape that jumped around rapidly then shot off horizontally. Radar showed thing dropping from FL800 at 100+g. Video shows something hot in the distance, just flying away like a jet.

2019-08-04T19:13:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158093710690664448
@PostDisclosure @zcichy @Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere If I get something wrong, then I figure out why, explain it and continue. It's like my videos are subject to peer review, but more efficiently than the academic kind.

2019-08-04T19:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158093317285937152
@IBKING46 Radar data? Where?

2019-08-04T19:11:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158093208301084672
@mikeb8637 @GarryPNolan @Deepneuron @alejandrotrojas Yes I'm serious. Peer review means a few people read your paper and see if they can spot problems with it. Passing it means they didn't find problems with it. To get good peer review you have to persuade a good journal to consider your paper.

2019-08-04T19:09:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158092664333410304
@mikeb8637 @zcichy @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere I really don't see what your point is. You think academics won't take me seriously? Hello? We're talking about UFOs here. Rightly or wrongly, UFO research is not taken seriously except by aficionados.

2019-08-04T19:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1158091854946025472
@PostDisclosure @zcichy @Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere Where is this rebuttal of the Gimbal Analysis? How about Go Fast?
Does me getting a plane the wrong way around in one example scenario of Flir1 somehow rebut everything?

2019-08-04T19:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158091397032865793

@mikeb8637 You know there's peer reviewed papers proving the existence of chemtrails, right? So what exactly would a peer review process prove? Do you even know how that works?

2019-08-04T19:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158091090945163265

@zcichy @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere Nobody deifies me. The analyses I do stand or fall on their own merits. Nobody says "that must be true, because Mick West said so".

But people DO essentially say that about military pilots. Why exactly do you "believe the testimony"? Is it not possible that someone be mistaken?

2019-08-04T18:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158089970529099776

@knightsabers01 "Cute language?" You think the US Navy is just using random "cute language"?

2019-08-04T18:54:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158088936884846592

@knightsabers01 What did they say about this video?

They titled it "gimbal", for one thing. Might that be a clue?

2019-08-04T18:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158088100242788353

@mikeb8637 "Peer Reviewed" - You know there's peer reviewed papers proving the existence of chemtrails, right?

My posts and my video are just fine where they are.

You think the lack of peer review is what is stopping TTSA from rebutting my videos?

2019-08-04T18:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158087108793270272

@knightsabers01 Of course not. It looks like it's rotating doesn't it? How on earth could they by lying? They are just saying what it looks like to them on the screen.

2019-08-04T18:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158086302522155008

@mikeb8637 Papers? I don't have papers. I've got videos and forum posts.

I think the videos actually work much better in conveying things - as I'm analyzing video.

For example, look at this answer to a frequently asked question. How would that be better in a paper? https://youtu.be/ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-08-04T18:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158084354305748992

@mikeb8637 @zcichy @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere It's not though. Check it out. And check out his specific objection to what I suggest. Basically he didn't seem to understand how it would rotate independently of the horizon, and he thought there would be visible wings. He's wrong.

2019-08-04T18:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158083513943375872

@PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @zcichy @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere That's why I generally accompany my "debunked!" proclamations with a detailed and verifiable explanation.

UFOs by their nature are hard to conclusively debunk or explain, so it's NOT a term I use much about UFOs:

https://www.metabunk.org/ufos-aliens-monsters-and-the-paranormal.f10/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBJWZfaU0AA1Ypx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBJWZfaU0AA1Ypx.jpg

2019-08-04T18:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158082117479174145

UFO enthusiast highly doubt that well-trained US Military pilots would make mistakes. But human factors are often involved in all manner of mishaps. Including this fatal crash in 2012, where the pilot mistakenly thought the plane was upside down.

https://timemilitary.files.wordpress.com/2012/08/acc_f-15e_aib_082212.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBJVK0EU4AEvv5m.jpg

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION
F-15E, T/N 90-0235, SOUTHWEST ASIA
28 MARCH 2012

On Wednesday, 28 March 2012 at approximately 1603 Zulu (2003 local time), the Mishap Aircraft (MA), an F-15E, Tail Number 90-0235, impacted the ground approximately 18 nautical miles west, southwest of the deployed operating location of the 391st Expeditionary Fighter Squadron in Southwest Asia. The Mishap Weapon Systems Officer (MWSO) initiated ejection for the Mishap Crew (MC) and ejected safely with only minor injuries. The Mishap Pilot (MP) was fatally injured when his ejection sequence was interrupted by contact with a 377-foot tower that was part of a large radio tower array. The MA was destroyed after contacting the radio tower and subsequently the ground. The MA loss is valued at $47,094,662.60. The MA caused damage to Host Nation property.

The MC was participating in a large force exercise as the flight lead of a two-ship of F-15Es in a strike package of approximately 27 aircraft. At the conclusion of the tactical portion of the mission, the MC removed their night vision goggles (NVGs) and proceeded back to the base. Below 10,000 feet above mean sea level (MSL), blowing dust and sand obscured the horizon (with or without NVGs). At approximately 3,100 feet MSL, five degrees nose low and in a wings-level attitude, the MP incorrectly interpreted the visual scene in front of him and began a series of abrupt maneuvers that ultimately resulted in him rolling the MA into an inverted attitude 1,800 feet above ground level and 25 degrees nose low. Due to the lack of any significant topographical features, the expected lack of cultural lighting, the reduced visibility and the lack of a discernable horizon, the MP became disoriented by the cultural lighting in the vicinity of the mishap site and incorrectly perceived that the MA was inverted. This misperception caused the MP to roll the MA into a truly inverted attitude, at which time the MWSO became convinced the MP had become disoriented and took control of the MA. After attempting to recover the MA, the MWSO initiated ejection for the MC.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBJVK0EU4AEvv5m.jpg

This would be due to the aforementioned highway lighting in his periphery and the atypical orientation of this isolated "Y" tower. This radio tower in the MP's focal view would have been an unfamiliar shape, wide at the top and narrow at the bottom. A typical radio tower would be the opposite shape, with a wide base and a narrow top (Figure 11). Instead of realizing he was viewing an unusual "Y" shaped tower, the MP may have thought he was viewing a "typical" tower that appeared like a "Y" because he was inverted.



Figure 11. The left image shows a "typical" tower, wide at the bottom and narrow at the top. The right image shows the "Y" tower located north of the tower array.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBJUybNU4AEK_Sx.jpg

2019-08-04T18:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158079937967824896

@Deepneuron @zcichy @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere Why? I love data!

2019-08-04T18:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158079558232395776

@Deepneuron @mikeb8637 @theyre_heeeere @zcichy Honorable? It's just math, geometry, optics.

Look at Gimbal. Is there something specifically wrong with my analysis of the video?

The video is consistent with an optically rotating IR glare on a distant jet engine. That does not prove what it is, just something to consider.

2019-08-04T16:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158048920234102786

@OVNI66 Essentially, although the tracking camera could be flying parallel.

2019-08-04T16:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158048590025019392

@ElectroDeeJ It flew that curve. That's why the horizon is at an angle, the jet is banking to the left.

2019-08-04T16:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158048336319934464

@ThomasB57121856 @LevanaLomma1 They don't add it to jet fuel because it would instantly ignite it in air. Aluminum Borohydride was used as an experimental chemical ignitor. Most jet engine ignitors are essentially spark plugs. Chemical ignitors have some theoretical benefits, but are rare. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBI18CtU0AAjqvC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBI18CtU0AAjqvC.jpg

2019-08-04T15:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158043555249573888

UFOs filmed from fast jet at high zoom, with tracked camera, at an angle, can give the illusion that the object itself is moving sideways. Here Ian Goddard illustrates how parallax makes the Gimbal UFO seem to move relative to distant clouds, and to slow down as the angle narrows http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBIxu4GUYAALxFa.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBIxu4GUYAALxFa.jpg

2019-08-04T15:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1158042407146942470

The rotating light patterns that demonstrate the "Gimbal" video is just an artifact of camera rotation are hard to see. Here's an animation by Ian Goddard showing where they are.

Once you see them ... https://www.metabunk.org/posts/232838/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBIwo6MU8AE35aX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EBIwo6MU8AE35aX.jpg

2019-08-03T22:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157773364670296064

@debunker The manifesto was posted at 10:20MDT. The shooting happened around 11:00MDT (although there's mixed reports saying it happened at 10). El Paso Police first warned people away at 11:03 MDT.

https://www.metabunk.org/cielo-vista-mall-shooting-el-paso-texas.t10849/#postdoc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBE8L44UwAAcwGH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBE8L44UwAAcwGH.jpg

2019-08-03T16:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157694120665632768

@LileStarseed Kind of looks like a tent to me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBD0pgWUYAALppC.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EBD0pgWUYAALppC.png

2019-08-03T15:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157681159481860097

@4thAnon And actual formula one engines have had many advances in technology over the years. We're certainly not using the same engines there. If F1 stopped as a sport in the 1970s, we'd have "lost" the technology there too, as the supply chain and institutional knowledge would degrade.

2019-08-03T15:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157680223820738561

@4thAnon No, we were talking about the moon landing technology. The F-1 engine was the engine used on the Saturn 5 rocket. It was a good engine, but it does not make sense to try to rebuild it exactly. Sorry I missed the dash. Technically F-1, not F1.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ovD0aLdRUs0

2019-08-03T14:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157653352424960000

@4thAnon Is your scientist going to argue something about combustion engines?

2019-08-03T14:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157653116050743297

@4thAnon No, just a general observation. Your conspiracist leanings make you more suspicious of the US Gov than average, and so you tend to give more credence to RT than the NYT. Maybe just "RT Fan"?

But we were talking about your issues with the combustion engine?

2019-08-02T19:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157377599103852545

The latest FBI definition of a conspiracy theories includes: "a conspiracy theory refers to an allegation that may or may not be true"

I expect a few folk will misinterpret this as "FBI FINALLY ADMITS CONSPIRACY THEORIES ARE TRUE!!" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EA_USayUEAABw2t.jpg

---

(U) Appendix A: Defining Conspiracy Theories

(U) Although many conspiracy theories appear benign or inconsequential, others create serious risks. Throughout history, such conspiracy theories have fueled prejudice, witch-hunts, genocide, and acts of terrorism.[e] In the context of domestic terrorism, extremists often view the activities of alleged conspirators as an existential threat that can only be stopped through drastic, or even violent means. The definitions and notes below are meant to inform discussions within law enforcement as they relate to potentially harmful conspiracy theories and domestic extremism.

(U) A *conspiracy theory* is an attempt to explain events or circumstances as the result of a group of actors working in secret to benefit themselves at the expense of others.[f] Conspiracy theories typically allege wrongdoing by powerful others (for example, public officials, business executives, scientists) or societally marginalized groups (for example, Muslims, Jews), and are most prevalent among individuals with extreme political views.[g] Some conspiracy theories point to weak circumstantial evidence, but ignore stronger evidence that would refute their claims.[h, i] Consequently, they are usually at odds with official or prevailing explanations of events.[j] While a conspiracy theory refers to an allegation that may or may not be true, a *conspiracy* is a true causal chain of events. Real conspiracies involving illegal, antidemocratic, or harmful activities by high-level government officials and political elites have been exposed in the past and it has been argued that such plots have encouraged conspiracism in society.[k]

(U) Relying on the premises that nothing happens by accident, nothing is as it seems, and everything is connected, conspiracy theorists tend to view every bad outcome as the result of an intentional decision by an evil actor, dismiss disconfirming evidence as "fabricated" by the conspirators, and connect a wide range of seemingly unrelated occurences to suggest a larger plot.[l] Despite sharing key characteristics and at times featuring similar themes and intersecting plots, conspiracy theories vary greatly in their scope. Some are narrowly focused on a particular event or set of events whereas others suggest broad, expansive narratives that link multiple conspiracies in complex ways to portray a group of evil actors working to manipulate society on a global scale.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EA_USayUEAABw2t.jpg

2019-08-02T19:29:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157372851533467649

@At0micTh0mas @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Or at least he thinks he is. Assuming that lie detection by "body language examination" is a legit science, which it isn't. So how can it be "without question?"

2019-08-02T19:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157371990413631489

@At0micTh0mas @JeremyCorbell @joerogan And I understand Joe's point, you don't want to start out building an argument for one explanation. But that's not what I'm doing. I'm looking for the ACTUAL explanation. It's not my fault that it often turns out to be something disappointing.

2019-08-02T19:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157371052550324224

@At0micTh0mas @JeremyCorbell @joerogan But that's not what I do. I find things that sound

unlikely or unusual, and I attempt to see if there's a reasonable explanation for them. If there is a reasonable explanation, then I explain that and encourage other to check my work. If not, I'll explain how far I got.

2019-08-02T17:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157340438308610048

@Clayton_Hart @Ahrrggghh @4thAnon And there's plenty of original material from Apollo 11, as well as the other missions.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/projectapolloarchive/albums/with/72157658629097469

2019-08-02T15:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157307641665736704

@Ahrrggghh @4thAnon @Clayton_Hart What exactly do you think would change if the original tapes were found? Slightly better footage, is all.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apollo_11_missing_tapes

2019-08-02T15:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157305381636329473

@QaseSensitive @ComstockRoyalty @DeepStateExpose @OpChemtrails That is because it's summer. Notice also that it hasn't rained much in the last few months. Contrail formation depends on the weather.

2019-08-02T14:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157304195017351174

@4thAnon Sure, which is why I'm bemused by your combustion engine comment. I though you were a team of conspiracy-minded Russia-friendly chaps. I don't remember it extending to moon-landing denial and "rockets don't work in space" type things - if that's what you were saying.

2019-08-02T14:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157296065994903552

@4thAnon Sorry if I misunderstood. You seem to be saying that the Moon Landings were fake, and "the combustion engine" is a joke? I'm trying to figure out what's going on with YOU. Maybe you could explain your combustion engine comment?

2019-08-02T14:19:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157294794407759872

@free_energy2016 @An0nTruther_ @An0nAKn0wledge @RedDizzlah @PaulFox13 @franklyteran @ctfallen @climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @lbox327 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group Try to keep an open mind.

2019-08-02T14:04:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157290996272517120

@4thAnon "The combustion engine? A joke in itself!" - how so? Do you think rocket engines don't work in space, or something?

Didn't you used to have a scientist on your team? Perhaps you could ask him/her about it?

2019-08-02T13:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157287696655478784

@GRUMPYGAMER3 @blackvaultcom @ufo_seekers The earliest source I saw was Reddit in Dec 2018. But I think it came to my attention via a YouTube comment. I just re-posted it because it was not getting much attention.

https://www.reddit.com/r/aliens/comments/aaihtw/i_still_believe_but_i_have_some_bad_newsidentimat/

2019-08-02T13:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157285573016485888

@4thAnon "They destroyed the bloody technology?! " - Yes they did. Not intentionally of course. You can't keep the infrastructure and institutional knowledge of something like the Moon Landings up and running for 40 years. Look up the F1 engine. Why not rebuild that? There are reasons.

2019-08-02T05:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157160170067120128

Close-up of aerodynamic contrails forming off the wings of a KC-10

Low quality videos of this phenomenon are often shared as evidence of "chemtrails," but here you can see it's just aerodynamic condensation.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaQ2_yHeNSM

2019-08-01T19:54:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1157016700014886912

@SecretzChannel Well, I've never seen any evidence that it's expanding. I mean, things generally seem to be the same distance apart.

2019-08-01T15:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156945441214197760

@mia_vengeance75 @hoaxeye @gustavo8up @PaulTheMartian @SecretzChannel @LevanaLomma1 @climateviewer @Kt23kartracer @rezn8d Here's a recent interview with David Keith on chemtrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

---

2019-07-31T18:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156640025167089664

@grabaroot No, just regular local cloud seeding for ag and water. As described by Richie Van Dyke in 1965. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2019-07-31T18:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156639489713823744

@NadlerJanet @grabaroot I interviewed David Keith, a leading researcher in Geoengineering, and I asked him. https://youtu.be/LVAxh39hlog?t=1875

2019-07-31T15:34:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156588992248385536

@grabaroot What do you mean by "real?" Weather modification (cloud seeding) has been done

quite openly since the 1950s. Geoengineering (by spraying stuff in the air) is a real topic, but only in the very early stages of research, with no signification experiments, and zero deployment.

2019-07-31T15:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156587951075106816

@4thAnon @Clayton_Hart Do you think the Moon landings were fake?

2019-07-31T15:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156587256045559811

One of the most enduring claims of the chemtrail conspiracy theory is that they have video of "spraying." The basis of this claim is a joke video made in 2011. Failing to get a joke can sometimes have serious consequences. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A

2019-07-31T15:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156586096702230531

@LevanaLomma1 You should check out the original source of that video: https://www.youtube.com/user/USAFFEKC10A#p/a/u/0/t22wy4c-A-A

2019-07-30T04:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1156061987513573376

@4thAnon Yeah, they did science with the Moon rock to see if it was safe. What were they supposed to do, turn it into jewelry?

2019-07-29T18:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155909443533430785

RT @MickWest: Something is gumming up the works with the e-book version of Escaping The Rabbit Hole. There are THREE Kindle versions on Amaâ□¦

2019-07-29T15:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155865193831792640

@PostDisclosure @KikPlenty Amazing he never thought to draw a sketch of what he saw.

2019-07-29T15:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155861939165077506

@PostDisclosure @KikPlenty I'd put money on that high resolution footage with appendages never emerging, and Fravor simply imagining it based on the image compression artifacts on 8-pixel boundaries. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EApyTg5U8AUUOGh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EApyTg5U8AUUOGh.jpg

2019-07-29T15:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155860582106464263

@PostDisclosure @KikPlenty There's a variety of witness accounts - most of them are just lights in the sky. I don't think they are wrong. Lots of people have seen things like kits, balloons, planes, birds - I don't think they are "wrong" either. I'm not even sure if Fravor is "wrong" exactly.

2019-07-29T15:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155857401762861057

@PostDisclosure @KikPlenty But witness testimony IS unreliable. That does not mean it's all false. It means you can't rely on it. Look at how many eyewitness accounts there are of ghosts. Do you dismiss that historical record, or do you give ghosts and UFOs equal weight?

2019-07-29T14:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155854447559340036

@PostDisclosure Sure. I think you are wrong (that there's going to be some kind of world changing disclosure about UFO's soon), and if it later turns out you were right, then I would have made a mistake.

But then we've have lots of fun alien stuff to discuss, which would be great!

2019-07-29T14:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155852930680885249

@roscoebeaux @__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell @joerogan He just picked a hole in the periodic table that was likely to be filled. He claims he took a pound of it. But it has a half life of milliseconds. Explained here: http://boblazardebunked.com/

2019-07-29T14:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155851204653531137

@PostDisclosure I've seen some of those tests been performed at The Amazing Meeting, they seemed perfectly fair to me.

The tricks of fake psychics are well-known to those skilled in the art. But "scientists" keep falling for them.

2019-07-29T14:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155849584792354816

@limitedview Lots of fires, lots of offices. Raging infernos of fires that burned for hours.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2019-07-29T14:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155849194462048256

@PostDisclosure Remote viewing would be easy to demonstrate under strict scientific controls. There's a million dollar prize. Nobody has claimed it.

2019-07-29T14:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155848953583161344

@PostDisclosure Same reason 9/11 hasn't been conclusively debunked, and chemtrails haven't been conclusively debunked and why the Navy hasn't bothered to explain Gimbal/Go-Fast etc. They don't see it as worth the bother. They've got better things to do.

2019-07-29T14:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155848363654279168

@limitedview Retired engineers. Retired scientists. STUDENT engineers. Millions of them.

2019-07-29T14:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155847399614496769

@limitedview Millions of engineers? There are many thousands of RETIRED engineers. And yet only a handful have fallen for the AE911 narrative.

2019-07-29T14:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155847026220785666

@PostDisclosure https://youtu.be/ryYIfNrOM3Y?t=151

Geller fooled him. Other people could fool him.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EApkbdMU0AEY2TM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EApkbdMU0AEY2TM.jpg

2019-07-29T14:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155845358947373057

@limitedview And millions of engineers and architects just can't figure it out?

2019-07-29T14:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155845057171218433

@PostDisclosure If Davis and Puthoff were subcontractors for AATIP that really tells you all you need to know about the program. Pseudo-scientific hand waving about zero-point energy and psychic powers with zero evidence to back it up. Puthoff also said Uri Geller was genuine.

2019-07-29T14:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155843268728410112

@PostDisclosure Eric Davis, the same guy who said: â□□Lazar Made Up His Entire Cockamamie Storyâ□□ :)

http://www.ufojoe.net/?p=220

2019-07-29T13:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155839768250343424

@PostDisclosure Trump is an idiot. If he knew about UFOs I think you'd be able to tell.

Do you have a link to this subcontractor statement?

2019-07-29T13:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155834626771828736

@PostDisclosure I wouldn't say you were delusional. Perhaps wishful.

The President hasn't "chimed in", he was asked, and he said he does not believe there's

anything to it.
And Elizondo is not the director of a government UFO program. It's hard to say WHAT he actually was before TTSA.

2019-07-29T13:22:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155831051467407360
@KenSobers @monsieur_legris @Alien_Scientist @joerogan He probably briefly saw one somewhere. He worked for a contractor (Kirk Meyer) who did some work at at Los Alamos National Lab. Did he every use one though? His description is incorrect, saying his badge "popped out", and the "light shone thought your bones." That's all wrong.

2019-07-29T02:35:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155668191651061760
@UFOengineering It's a small book. If I wanted to make money then I'd make video games again.

2019-07-29T02:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155667707490004992
@jim_ripp @PostDisclosure I'm not, I just haven't seen any good evidence of such extraordinary technology. These TTSA videos are certainly not that evidence, as the objects in them are not moving very fast, and don't make any sudden changes in direction.

2019-07-28T20:05:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155570036309803008
@jeffhanson9 Those are shadows. The clouds are in layers at different altitudes, so you get multiple shadows from one contrail, which is above them.

2019-07-28T20:03:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155569495697575936
@JuenoMT @PostDisclosure @Taylor10255 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I focus on pieces of evidence that the promoters of these stories claim are significant. Lazar talks about these scanners, Corbell makes a big deal about them, Rogan brings them up as evidence, many people think it's significant.
But it's not, and that fact deserves to spread.

2019-07-28T19:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155564619013873665
@BurliestCorgi Yeah, that's the theory.

2019-07-28T19:25:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155560034761265152
@ufoofinterest No, it was noted on Reddit last year, well before the Joe Rogan episode.
https://www.reddit.com/r/aliens/comments/aaihtw/i_still_believe_but_i_have_some_bad_newsidentimat/

2019-07-28T19:11:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155556462019526656
@Taylor10255 @JeremyCorbell @joerogan There's a couple of models that Corbell shows to

Lazar.

The thing is, it's quite possible Lazar saw one of these in Los Alomos. What's debunked here is that it somehow confirms he had access to super secret stuff. It's something made from nothing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlb3SoUwAA5iTt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlb3SoUwAA5iTt.jpg



Bob Lazar: Area 51 & Flying Saucers

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAla7pCUcAEJOPo.jpg

2019-07-28T19:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155554119572021248

@PostDisclosure @Blablablablubl2 There's a variety of specific conspiracy theories that involve

"chemtrails"

2019-07-28T18:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155550776967647232

@twiddderdude @USMCNoggin Yes, people have different ideas of the spectrum. Like some chemtrail folk rank UFO folk as being more ridiculous, and vice-versa. So you have to understand their personal spectrum, as well as where they draw the line.

2019-07-28T18:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155550115186089984

Bob Lazar's supposedly top-secret hand-scanner from the 1980's, that @JeremyCorbell "discovered" for his film, was seen by tens of millions of people in 1977 in the film "Close Encounters of the Third". @joerogan https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BlmY5eDwmZE http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlWUzYUYAAmqz0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlWUzYUYAAmqz0.jpg

2019-07-28T18:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155547666119458816

@PostDisclosure @Blablablablubl2 So who is conspiring here? The government? How is the government's AATIP program predicated upon a government conspiracy? How would that even work?

2019-07-28T18:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155545926255013889

@PostDisclosure @Blablablablubl2 So what is the actual "conspiracy of UFOs?"

The simple fact that there are some sightings of things that remain unidentified is neither disputed, nor a conspiracy.

2019-07-28T18:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155541944367325184

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure No, I don't. Elizondo said "bureaucratic challenges and

inflexible mindsets" Where did he state there is a conspiracy by "interests" to suppress knowledge of aliens? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlPFJ4UIAE1hKZ.jpg



OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
5000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-5000

MEMORANDUM FOR: RECORD

SUBJECT: Letter of Resignation & Differed Retirement

Mr. Secretary;

It has been my sincere honor and pleasure to have served with some of America's finest men and women in both peace time and in war. For over 22 years, I have been blessed to learn from, and work with world-class leadership, you certainly being among the very best.

With that in mind, bureaucratic challenges and inflexible mindsets continue to plague the Department at all levels. This is particularly true regarding the controversial topic of anomalous aerospace threats. Despite overwhelming evidence at both the unclassified and classified levels, certain individuals in the Department remain staunchly opposed to further research on what could be a tactical threat to our pilots, sailors, and soldiers, and perhaps even an existential threat to our national security. In many instances, there seems to be a direct correlation the phenomena exhibits with respect to our nuclear and military capabilities. The Department must take serious the many accounts by the Navy and other Services of unusual aerial systems interfering with military weapon platforms and displaying beyond next generation capabilities. Underestimating or ignoring these potential threats is not in the best interest of the Department no matter the level of political contention. There remains a vital need to ascertain capability and intent of these phenomena for the benefit of the armed forces and the nation.

For this reason, effective 4 October 2017, I humbly submit my resignation in hopes it will encourage you to ask the hard questions: "who else knows?", what are their capabilities?", and "why aren't we spending more time and effort on the issue?". As I transition to a new chapter of my life, please know it has been an honor and privilege of a lifetime to serve with you. Rest assure, no matter where the path of life may lead me, I will always have the best interest of the Department and the American people as my guiding principle.

Luis D. Elizondo
Director
National Programs Special Management Staff
OUSD(I)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAlPFJ4UIAE1hKZ.jpg
2019-07-28T18:08:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1155540612256374784
@PostDisclosure You said there "a tax payer funded program predicated on the conspiracy of UFO" Yet there's nothing to indicate it's predicated on a conspiracy.
You are instead suggesting that the program later FAILED because of a conspiracy.

2019-07-28T18:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155539883722604544

@PostDisclosure So where did they say they "failed BECAUSE interests didn't want it to happen"

2019-07-28T17:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155534994703409153

@PostDisclosure Perhaps because the chain of command just though it was BS? Is that a conspiracy?

2019-07-28T17:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155534724191752192

@L_torre_s There's lots of stuff on Metabunk. You can often just google your question and add "Metabunk" and something will crop up. Here's one of the longer 9/11 related investigations: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w

---

2019-07-28T17:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155534190307229696

@PostDisclosure What was a "shock"? It's mildly exotic pork.

2019-07-28T17:42:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155534047562481667

@PostDisclosure So you are claiming the government knew the government was covering up the government's knowledge of UFOs so they started a program to discover what they already knew?

2019-07-28T17:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155526879610195968

@PostDisclosure It's not predicated on a conspiracy theory. The conspiracy theory is that the government is covering up the existence of aliens. Is the government investigating itself?

2019-07-28T17:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155526223784660992

@L_torre_s It's tricky to prove a negative of something that might have left very little evidence. But 9/11 conspiracy theories span the spectrum. I'd focus on specific claims involving physical evidence, like the idea that the buildings were destroyed with pre-planted explosives.

2019-07-28T16:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155522510198202368

Where does your friend draw their line on the conspiracy spectrum? When you want to help someone out of the rabbit hole, first figure out how deep they have gone, and start from there. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bP1EVkomsZA

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EAk8uOOU0AEYZE3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EAk8uOOU0AEYZE3.jpg

2019-07-28T16:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155518845748043777

I was interviewed on BuzzFeed News about why people fall down the Rabbit Hole of conspiracy theories. They animated some of my thoughts, including a nice illustration of the conspiracy spectrum demarcation line idea.

https://twitter.com/BuzzFeedNews/status/1155515524610056192

2019-07-28T01:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1155294421799202816

RT @blackvaultcom: Join me LIVE on YouTube this Tuesday morning/afternoon as we travel to the land of debunkery with science writer and skeâ¦

2019-07-26T19:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154834505598824448

@malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Here comes Alaska 442, one a similar path. Let's see what that looks like.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAbL0A2VAAEkDl4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAbL0A2VAAEkDl4.jpg

2019-07-26T19:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154834276157808640

@mike18499668 @malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Not sure, I've not looked at it for a while.

2019-07-26T19:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154831374169206784

@mike18499668 @malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/ufo-over-bristol-channel-captured-by-police-helicopter.t8357/

2019-07-26T19:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154831013622636544

@malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Here's a partially stabilized version of my video, where the fact that it's a plane is clearer. This is from the higher resolution original, stabilized then cropped. I'll try to record in 4K if I do it again.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1154830560755240966/pu/img/5TUVEh-ECRdDOjVD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1154830560755240966/pu/img/5TUVEh-ECRdDOjVD.jpg
2019-07-26T18:24:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1154819749634641920
@malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Specifically, it was this plane: about 15 miles away. The distance makes it look lower
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAa-IyfUYAA2TcX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAa-IyfUYAA2TcX.jpg
2019-07-26T18:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1154817503488442370
@malcolmstewart0 @JonHNodge @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 It was a plane. I could see it was a plane with the naked eye, and I'm familiar with the flight paths - probably Alaskan. There was some noise, low, and lagging behind the plane.

2019-07-26T14:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154763026714619904

@JonHNodge @malcolmstewart0 @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 I was trying to replicate the camera movements seen in other videos. Making the plane move while minimizing tree motion. Parallax.

2019-07-26T03:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154599406961713153

@malcolmstewart0 @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Here's a similar video I shot today. It's a plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1154599116170620928/pu/img/g5A8YABLyMAin79o.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1154599116170620928/pu/img/g5A8YABLyMAin79o.jpg

2019-07-25T21:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154507315367649281

@jbb1996_jb @PostDisclosure Iâ□□ve seen the videos.

2019-07-25T16:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154435575287390208

@OnlyMe_Q_ @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 No, I hate it when people get frustrated. I want to have clear and constructive conversations with people, not rile them up. I like finding explanations that work.

2019-07-25T15:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154411923250671617

@OnlyMe_Q_ @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 I think it's very strong evidence that they saw something they were not able to identify. Or at least that's how they remember it. Or at the VERY least, that's what they are saying now. Consider all the possibilities. Human failings are

vastly more common than paradigm shifts.

2019-07-24T19:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154111470130483201

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 So sounds like radar evidence is pretty dubious, based on what you are saying.

2019-07-24T19:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154111220326080512

@PostDisclosure How do you know the government hasn't got secret knowledge of angels? There's been lots of sightings.

2019-07-24T14:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154037854999154688

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 What did the radar show exactly? What was the magnitude, direction, and speed of the movements?

2019-07-24T14:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154037643748864004

@PostDisclosure It's not as big a topic as you think. You have a very skewed perspective because it's a passion for you. Very few people get into it qua conspiracy theory. Mostly it's comparable to ghosts or angels.

I like it because it's fun identifying things. That's all.

2019-07-24T14:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154036036969754625

@PostDisclosure Maybe. Depends on what book gets out first.

2019-07-24T14:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154035744370855936

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 And yet, curiously, it does not match the observations, or the video. Almost as if they were all different things.

2019-07-24T13:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154016933655662593

@murnut @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 Ruling things out is their first problem. When dealing with data that's insufficient to identify things, you generally can't rule things out either. Chilean NAVY spend 2 years ruling out everything, and declared it all ruled out. But then it was an A340. Never go full ruled-out.

2019-07-24T13:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154016245101944832

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 How many witnesses saw exactly the same thing and had it exactly backed by radar?

Fravor didn't see his Tic-Tac drop from the sky at 100g, he saw it fly off horizontally. So does the radar back him up? Then the Flir1 footage is different again.

2019-07-24T13:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154014751975886851

@PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 I genuinely think you are incorrect. Clean energy in particular would be impossible to suppress for decades on a global scale.

2019-07-24T13:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154013937945288704

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 You have some statistics?

2019-07-24T12:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154012044577738752

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 Or even the Science Channel's "Go-Fast and Gimbal Revisited - The errors in Mick West's Trigonometry."

If I was wrong about something then I want to understand WHY I was wrong, and I see no problem talking about it.

I'm pretty sure my trig is right though.

2019-07-24T12:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154010299369791488

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 No. It seems like a remarkably unlikely scenario, and there would be more pressing matters to discuss. But wouldn't you like to eventually see the History Channel special "Our Zeta Reticuian Overlords - What Skeptics Got Wrong"

2019-07-24T12:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154008978226991105

@PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 I don't think even UFO Jesus agrees with your version of imagined things that will be disclosed. Are you going to arrest him too?

It might seem obvious to you. But not to everyone else. So perhaps your case isn't actually that obvious. Perhaps you need to lay it out a bit better?

2019-07-24T12:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1154005691532599296

@PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 What exactly is the crime you envisage me being charged with?

2019-07-23T13:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1153658530714406914

@Rainmaker1973 Here's a related effect, a "beam of light" that looks like it's coming down from the heavens. But it's just a combination of lightning and a rolling shutter.

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EAKdqfoUcAA0BcQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/EAKdqfoUcAA0BcQ.jpg

2019-07-22T23:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1153452528647823360

@multistagecorre I understand the possible. I'm looking at the evidence.

2019-07-22T17:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1153355104675749888

In #TFTRH 14 I talk to Rory, debunker of Flat Earth, and explorer of realms physical, mental, and physical.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fzylq9FhwoE

2019-07-22T15:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1153331278558535680

@connormcmk I also added your answer :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAF0nLzUcAEUELU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/EAF0nLzUcAEUELU.jpg

2019-07-22T15:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1153330058842427392

@malcolmstewart0 @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 If you don't have some, then 10x or 12x is a good magnification for plane identification, and wider

angle helps too, like 10x50. or 12x42
https://www.amazon.com/Bushnell-Falcon-10x50-Angle-Binoculars/dp/B000051ZOA/r
https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07QLNF8WZ/ref=sspa_dk_detail_1?psc=1&pd_rd_i=B07QLNF8WZ

2019-07-22T15:39:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1153328695211200513
@malcolmstewart0 @mike18499668 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Where are your binoculars? Please post a photo of your binoculars.

2019-07-21T17:22:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1152992181604347904
@mike18499668 @malcolmstewart0 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 In that video the camera moves so the trees remain fairly steady, which makes it look like the plane moves. Parallax.

2019-07-21T16:01:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1152971749136322560
@mike18499668 @malcolmstewart0 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 I think it looks like a plane flying overhead, in a straight line. The movements are camera motions. The camera is bouncing around and rotating as the plane goes overhead. Try it with your phone, you have to rotate it at points.

2019-07-21T14:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1152950902820466690
@malcolmstewart0 @odracir0730 @timescout @crocusgloria202 @mike18499668 @multistagecorre @awakeningMan @UfoIssue @UAP1949 @JCumsalot @Azrael0928 Those are planes. Get some binoculars.

2019-07-20T15:58:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1152608611907989504
Something is gumming up the works with the e-book version of Escaping The Rabbit Hole. There are THREE Kindle versions on Amazon, two of which are not available. The correct link is:
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_7jAV3VAAAt5YO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_7jAV3VAAAt5YO.jpg

2019-07-19T22:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1152341479022481408

@ShepardAmbellas Also looks like a plane flying overhead.

2019-07-17T15:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1151518122202947584

@Inquiri70388674 @IWANTTOKNOW2018 @PostDisclosure @UfoJoe11 @JeremyCorbell It's a NASA sounding rocket from 2010, in Norway.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-beam-of-light-in-to-the-stars-academy-header-images.t10826/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_sDmZgUEAADRqY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_sDmZgUEAADRqY.jpg

2019-07-15T18:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150839820274393088
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy Graves does not give us much to go by. He says he personally saw only flashes of light. He says someone else saw a cube in a sphere. He says someone else saw something fly between two planes. He describes a video with no real resemblance to the Gimbal video. What's to dispute?

2019-07-15T18:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150836786349801472
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy Well, OBVIOUSLY a plane cannot rotate 90*, stay that way, and maintain altitude. A child who likes planes could tell you that. Yes, Ryan is 100% correct on that point that nobody has ever argued with.

2019-07-15T18:35:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150836308366880769
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy He says " At this time is pretty much where you see this video, where these guys are out of the picture, and it's just this guy that's stationary. "
But the gimbal object is not stationary.
It's all edited so much it's hard to see exactly what he's referring to.

2019-07-15T17:28:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150819368298668032
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy I don't see anywhere that says or even implies that the pilots that took the video were under his command, or that he personally saw anything other than distant flashes of light.

2019-07-15T16:05:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150798603566211072
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy He says nothing about "command" in that segment.

2019-07-15T16:00:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150797303461994496
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy Yes. I've watched it. At 04:40, Graves says "It's not that I'm seeing a bright physical object, I'm just seeing a flash/shine out there."
That's all he says he saw.

2019-07-15T15:58:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150796800372047872
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy No he didn't. He said "I'll never forget those voices. I know those guys, yeah."

2019-07-15T14:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150781955828416512
@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy "His command" meaning what exactly? Did he use the words "my command"? Why don't you quote what he said?

My point here is that we don't have anyone who actually took the video.

2019-07-15T14:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150780226915299329

@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy You said "Ryan Graves confirmed that the footage of the go fast video was his and another pilot. Both pilots ... confirmed the videos was taken by them " - So that's not true.

2019-07-15T12:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150747968393232384

@PstarmanUa @mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy What did Graves say exactly? Which episode, and at what time?

2019-07-15T12:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150739360737685504

@chdescantes They are internal lens reflections, you can see they mirror the lights exactly.

2019-07-14T16:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150442403263733760

Thanks to @DKeithClimate for a great discussion on the current state of #Geoengineering research, its potential future, and his thoughts on the #chemtrails conspiracy theory.
TFTRH Web Page:
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/07/13/episode-13-professor-david-keith-geoengineering-research-and-the-chemtrails-conspiracy-theory/
Apple Podcast:
https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-13-professor-david-keith-geoengineering-research/id1462120258?i=1000444395750
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2019-07-14T16:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150440388471037952

@mesolithicguy @PstarmanUa @DaveFalch @TTSAcademy The videos and the eyewitness accounts are for different events, so I consider them separately. If there's a good explanation (like a distant jet) for the video, then that does not mean the eyewitnesses saw a distant jet. It just means there's a good explanation FOR THE VIDEO.

2019-07-14T16:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150439647958278144

@cravingcannolis Yes, there's been some significant research into that exact question. Like many climate related questions it's a complex mutli-variable situation. Less sunlight, but more diffuse light, and lower heat peaks.
https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/geoengineering-to-combat-global-warming-may-harm-major-crops

---

2019-07-14T03:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150245440132018176

@DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel

@PapayaBoei @michaelgruso So let's stop waving credentials around and get down to the nitty-gritty. Is it at all possible that this is a just the rotating glare of a distant jet engine?
If not, then why not, exactly.

2019-07-14T03:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150244913168015360

In #TFTRH Episode 13, I talk to professor David Keith, a well-known expert in the largely theoretical field of geoengineering (deliberately modifying the Earth's climate) who has become an often demonized target for "chemtrail" conspiracy theorists

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2019-07-14T03:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150244435197739008

@DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Neither do you.

2019-07-13T22:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150170724901896193

@starfleet_jraza @TTSA_Agent_001 @Inquiri70388674 @Deepneuron @murnut @theyre_heeeere @mesolithicguy @Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @Aviation_Intel I'd looked at the Nimitz one. Not really very good. They say the object was actually moving at 40g instead of losing lock. It's obvious it was losing lock because of FOV changes. So huge chunks of their assessment was based on that mistake.

2019-07-13T22:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150169606503276544

@starfleet_jraza @TTSA_Agent_001 @Inquiri70388674 @Deepneuron @murnut @theyre_heeeere @mesolithicguy @Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @Aviation_Intel It's just part of the HUD. Probably showing the relative position of the locked target.

2019-07-13T21:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150162597435416576

@ctfallen Buoyancy effects would be much smaller. Las Vegas is at 2000 feet, my house (in the Sierra Foothills) is at 1100 feet, very little pressure difference.
I also did Denver vs. Albuquerque, same altitude, significant difference from latitude.

2019-07-13T18:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150109244491911168

@mike18499668 @TheChilterns I think Elizondo is greatly misrepresenting things.

2019-07-13T18:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150107483555241984

@TTSA_Agent_001 @mesolithicguy @Inquiri70388674 @Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @murnut @Aviation_Intel @USNavy They do have lots of humans.

2019-07-13T18:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150107211198128130

@mike18499668 @TheChilterns Why? We've got zero context about why these videos even

exist as discrete items. They might just have been training videos, like "here's a problem you might see with a gimbal lock", or "here's where things seem to go fast, but don't". DoD is silent so far.

2019-07-13T18:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150105641878351873

@theyre_heeeere @mesolithicguy @Inquiri70388674 @Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @murnut @Aviation_Intel My "job" is to figure out what things really are. I'd love for UFOs to be real, it would be far more exciting. But math is math.

2019-07-13T18:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150104989211090945

@mesolithicguy @Inquiri70388674 @Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @murnut @Aviation_Intel No, I'm saying it's probably mistakes.

2019-07-13T18:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150103835852996608

@mike18499668 @TheChilterns Huge mistakes? All we see is a few seconds of video. It may well have all been resolved a few seconds later.

2019-07-13T18:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150102786232614916

@Th_Unidentified @AFSUnidentified @murnut @Aviation_Intel Who is ignoring? I'd LOVE to have some relevant data. But accounts of different events are not relevant. The Gimbal video stands alone, so I analyze it in that context. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_X8Jv5U0AAlZKs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_X8Jv5U0AAlZKs.jpg

2019-07-13T17:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150101997602820096

@JasonAlexan1986 @Inquiri70388674 I'd happily listen to the pilots who took this video, but they remain silent. Eyewitness accounts of one event are not that useful in analyzing a different event.

2019-07-13T17:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150101444206329856

@UFOengineering No, it's just me.

2019-07-13T17:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150100961932615681
@mike18499668 @TheChilterns How do you know they didn't?
Sometimes pilots identify things many miles away as being "in their vicinity", so they end up looking in the wrong place, and asking the wrong people. Like the Chilean Navy case.

2019-07-13T17:51:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150100519349903361
@Inquiri70388674 @Aviation_Intel Well that not a plane, that's an IR glare from the engine.

2019-07-13T17:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150095345012989954
@TTSA_Agent_001 And how do you know this?

2019-07-13T17:07:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150089429790257153
@TheChilterns Your statement is self-contradictory. If humans have something then human possess it. And as noted, lots of humans here, no evidence of aliens.

2019-07-13T16:07:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150074179011485696
@TheChilterns @Aviation_Intel Because the planet is full of humans, not aliens.

2019-07-13T15:49:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150069794281967624
@TheChilterns @Aviation_Intel In order: fighter jet, large jet, drone, fake, sun glare, advanced human craft, novel natural phenomenon, alien technology craft, alien craft, glitch in the matrix, magic, angel, something else.

2019-07-13T15:12:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150060449209040896
@TheChilterns @Aviation_Intel Yes I do. I start off with a position of not knowing what it is. I gather more information and I perform analyses and those point very strongly to it being man-made. But I always keep other possibilities on the list.

2019-07-13T14:22:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150047831979253761
@DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @mvtatertot @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Oswald is referring to the actual motion of the camera required to keep the target centered, not the detection/calculation component of tracking.

2019-07-13T13:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150035873649455104
@Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso And all these explanation gloss over the complexity of the optical path and the nature of the gimbal lock/singularity correction around +/- 3Â°. If you want a fuller understanding, read Raytheon's patent EP2525235B1, section 36-38
https://patents.google.com/patent/EP2525235B1/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_W_CkxU4AA8Ayf.jpg



FIG. 2A



FIG. 2B



FIG. 2C

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_W_CkxU4AA8Ayf.jpg
2019-07-13T13:22:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1150032774339784704
@Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @paulsanderson @Aviation_Intel @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Minor point, but the IR derotation mechanism is probably reflective, not refractive. i.e. mirrors rather than a prism, as IR doesn't like going through things. https://patents.google.com/patent/EP1031060B1/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_W8XLhVUAEZzLI.jpg

[0021] The IR/CCD optical bench houses an IR sensor receiver 22, the time multiplexed modulated infrared (IR) reference source 21 that is mechanically aligned to the center of the field of view of the IR sensor receiver 22, a multispectral beam combiner 27 that combines beams of the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and the IR reference source 21. In the IR optical path is an IR imager 29 (or IR imaging optics 29), a focus mechanism 24, a reflective derotation mechanism 25 that derotates the IR beam to keep the IR image erect, and a relay beam expander 26 that expands the beams associated with the coaligned IR sensor receiver 22 and IR reference alignment source 21.

[0022] The IR/CCD optical bench 42 also houses a visible CCD sensor receiver 32, the time multiplexed modulated CCD optical reference source 31 that is mechanically aligned to the center of the field of view of the CCD sensor receiver 32, a beam combiner 33 that combines the coaligned beams associated with the CCD sensor receiver 32 and the CCD reference source 31. In the optical path is a visible imager 36 (or visible imaging optics 36), a focus mechanism 34 and a refractive derotation mechanism 35 that derotates the visible channel beam to keep the visible image erect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D_W8XLhVUAEZzLI.jpg

2019-07-13T13:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150027265515773952

@ValidatedM @TheChilterns Imagination is not the limiting factor here. It's very easy to imagine alien spaceships or advanced secret human technology or trans-dimensional magic. Trivial, almost, as such things suffuse popular culture. But I'm trying to figure out what it actually is.

2019-07-13T12:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150026489569525760

@TheChilterns @Aviation_Intel In my experience, most things are prosaic. So in the absence of evidence that this video shows something extraordinary I think it's perfectly reasonable to assume it most likely does not.

Everything is possible, but not everything is equally probable.

2019-07-13T12:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1150025837434961921

@TheChilterns @ValidatedM It's impossible for a conventional craft to rotate 90 degrees and maintain altitude. So if there's no explanation for the apparent rotation then it must be something else. With the glare as an explanation it moves "conventional craft" to the top of the list of possibilities.

2019-07-13T00:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149834539264442368

@Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Works for me.

2019-07-13T00:09:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149833070461083650

@Aviation_Intel @DaveFalch @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Would the ATFLIR guy (or the others) go on the record with an opinion about the "rotating glare" explanation?

2019-07-13T00:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149832785143590912

@Aviation_Intel Unfortunately people often jump to conclusions without really watching or understanding the whole video. It's partly my fault for not making everything explicit - but I've tried to fix misunderstandings in subsequent videos.

2019-07-13T00:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149831562340384771

@Aviation_Intel Oh yes, It's almost certainly a jet engine, possibly on another fighter jet, or maybe on a more distant larger plane. A jet engine is very hot, so the glare is large, like from a bright light.

2019-07-12T23:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149828854288019456

It's been tricky to explain why, in relation to the "Gimbal" UFO video, the glare (the "UFO") rotates when the horizon does not. If the camera is rotating then why doesn't everything rotate? The answer is "derotation." A bit confusing. I try to explain.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-07-12T23:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149828134050471937

@DaveFalch @Aviation_Intel @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Here's a video that explains the common question of why the glare rotates when the horizon does not.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ka_bX9Hx1H0

2019-07-12T22:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149814408358400000

@Mikelynette @mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso Yes, that's the region I always point out where the rotation is most obvious. You can see it in other regions of the sky too. It's quite clearly synced with the rotation of the "UFO" (the glare) and nothing else.

2019-07-12T22:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149813185412624384

@DaveFalch @mvtatertot @Aviation_Intel @Oswald1160 @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Is a glare of a hot object, like a jet engine or two. The SHAPE of the glare is some function of the camera. So the rotation/derotation causes the shape of the glare to rotate independently of the horizon.

2019-07-12T22:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149812783984173057

@DaveFalch @mvtatertot @Aviation_Intel @Oswald1160 @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso The derotation is required to correct for the gimbal problem. It's NOT there to correct for banking, the display is supposed to show the bank angle. That's why the like plane icon in the middle is parallel to the horizon.

2019-07-12T22:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149811499264704512

@DaveFalch @Aviation_Intel @Oswald1160 @mvtatertot @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso Me too.

2019-07-12T22:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149811275787935744

@mvtatertot @Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso I'm not ignoring him. I've talked to him quite a bit online.

What, you think I faked the videos I made of rotating glares?

2019-07-12T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149765213052215297

@mvtatertot @Aviation_Intel @Oswald1160 @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @michaelgruso There's a more detailed explanation of why the glare rotates differently, here: https://www.metabunk.org/the-shape-and-size-of-glare-around-bright-lights.t10596/

2019-07-12T00:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149479441170440192

@mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso Sorry but I think you are misunderstand the explanation here. The rotation is an unwanted but necessary gimbal correction. The horizon is then de-rotated, so just the camera artifacts (glare and banding) rotate.

2019-07-12T00:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149468926121611265

@mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso In my gif above, the object (glare) and the light streaks in the sky, are rotating when the horizon is not rotating.

2019-07-11T23:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149453375181770752

@mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso Because the ATFLIR system has a derotation step to keep the horizon parallel to the actual horizon (and the horizon indication - the L shaped lines).

The glare though is an artifact of some component of the camera, so rotating the camera causes rotation of the glare independently

---

2019-07-11T18:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149386072196993025

@mvtatertot @DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D_NwSVyUwAA1DQK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D_NwSVyUwAA1DQK.jpg

2019-07-11T15:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149335329599475713

@DaveFalch @PapayaBoei @mvtatertot @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso I'm sorry Dave, but "the rotation seems independent of the horizon and unnatural" is MY argument. The fact that it's independent of the horizon is the evidence that proves it's a camera artifact. The unwanted light rotates when the horizon does not. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Btns91W5J8

2019-07-11T07:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149213866057822208

@DaveFalch @mvtatertot @Aviation_Intel @michaelgruso Your videos are very useful, but they are shot on different equipment, in different circumstances - a fixed, ground-based camera position and relatively short distances.

2019-07-10T21:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149069767124250625

@BKatakis @keithkloor I know what you are talking about. The rotation of the glare independently of the horizon is the entire point.

2019-07-10T21:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149067233395560449

@BKatakis @keithkloor The cloud tops are not supposed to rotate. They are direct images, not glares. Glares often cover the plane, especially if itâ□□s flying away.

2019-07-10T19:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1149041183810678784

@BKatakis @keithkloor Which bit is wrong?

2019-07-09T15:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148619269967532032

Hmm, I guess the title is "Simply Mick West", but those ain't the lyrics :)

2019-07-09T15:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148619026941091840

Someone made a song called "F**k off Mick West", sung to the tune of "Simply The Best." The video is kind of funny.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8M7lCq0Z6b4

2019-07-08T18:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148299884547547136

@007_social I think it's just random movements of the steam. It's probably just a plane well behind the volcano.

https://www.metabunk.org/some-perspective-on-perspective-foreshortening-contrails-and-ufos.t8898/

2019-07-08T17:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148284315832213504

@TKovachNashvil @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge No, it doesn't. It's just the camera losing lock after zoom changes.

Not sure what you are referring to with "rangefinder" though. The RNG indicator either shows nothing or 99.9 (out of range) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D--GehDUcAAq_2N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D--GehDUcAAq_2N.jpg

2019-07-08T16:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148273801064411143

@TKovachNashvil @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge It's still there. I unfortunately cropped off the timecode there. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Btns91W5J8

I'd encourage you to use the original, increase the contrast, and scrub it. Here's a link to the file. https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/gimble_vid-_492-mp4.37654/

2019-07-08T04:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1148094201537519616

@TKovachNashvil @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge What about from 5313 to 5315?

2019-07-07T18:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1147943104101507073

#TFTRH 12, Podcast Page:

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/07/06/episode-12-paul-escape-from-planet-x/

Apple Podcast:

https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/episode-12-paul-escape-from-planet-x/id1462120258?i=1000443808324

2019-07-07T18:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1147942607969902592

In #TFTRH - Episode 12: Escape from Planet X, I talk to "Paul", who was once a big YouTube promoter of the Nibiru Cataclysm theory, but was eventually talked out of it by a debunker. We discuss how it happened and the personal cost.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yK2B09z-2UU

2019-07-06T18:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1147579116582912000

@mrtagnan They are internal lens reflections

2019-07-06T18:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1147570469928755201

"UFOs" seen hovering over Trump's 4th of July speech were just reflections from the stage lights.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-ufos-in-donald-trumps-crowd-photo-on-4th-of-july.t10807/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-z9F2pUIAAfoMK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-z9F2pUIAAfoMK.jpg

2019-07-04T18:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146853978728099840

@07904567432b @tool_pen @TTSAcademy You think Prophet Yahweh is actually summoning UFOs?

2019-07-04T16:44:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146821975806844928

@07904567432b @tool_pen @TTSAcademy Obvious nonsense. Easy to have someone release a balloon upwind. Or in many places if you wait long enough something will float along.

2019-07-03T23:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146560355906142209

@briansolis Thanks Brian! Podcasts are fun!

2019-07-03T22:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146549028126990336

RT @KWCPod: This week we have @MickWest on the KWC #podcast . Listen to Mick talk about his career as a #science writer, #conspiracytheoryâ□¦

2019-07-03T20:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146518539521515520

@connormcmk The answer is "Hole". I'll reword the question.

2019-07-03T18:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146480229558321153

@tool_pen @TTSAcademy Yeah, unfortunately, the media and the UFO community don't seem to realize this.

2019-07-03T17:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146475627022712833

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie Found them. Quite low resolution (480p). They were obviously upscaled for TV distribution. Not much additional information in them, but still better than using re-encoded versions.

https://www.metabunk.org/original-flir1-gimbal-and-go-fast-ufo-video-files.t10802/

2019-07-03T17:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146466468495675402

@PstarmanUa @TTSAcademy No. Have a look at the various explainers I did here:
https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2019-07-03T17:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146466155378270208

@monsieur_legris @TTSAcademy Oops.
https://www.metabunk.org/original-flir1-gimbal-and-go-fast-ufo-video-files.t10802/

2019-07-03T17:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146466030421594112

@TTSAcademy (Forgot to add the link before!)

2019-07-03T17:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146465818969903104

Via an anonymous file drop: the original (or at least a bit more original) Flir1, Gimbal, and Go-Fast "UFO" videos used by @TTSAcademy.
Not a huge increase in quality, but the more original a file is, the better.
https://www.metabunk.org/original-flir1-gimbal-and-go-fast-ufo-video-files.t10802/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-kQkNhVAAAD4nR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-kQkNhVAAAD4nR.jpg

2019-07-03T16:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146452124252815361

I had a fun chat with Hardy Haberland yesterday. We talked about how I became a video game programmer, the Neversoft and Tony Hawk days, and how I ended up as a writer and debunker.

https://twitter.com/HardyHaberland/status/1146311411401969664

2019-07-02T22:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146189800245846017

@jason_quitt @UFOengineering Those white specks? Could be something tiny really close to the drone.

2019-07-02T22:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1146188583776030720

@jason_quitt @UFOengineering You sure you're not just looking at the propellers?

2019-07-01T22:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145819457765466114

@JeremyB018 @Jay09784691 @red_pill_junkie If there's one closer to the original, then I want that one. Apparently there were leaked files, flir_981.mp4, etc. But nobody is sharing them.

http://ufos-scientificresearch.blogspot.com/2018/08/aatip-documents-found-on-us-website.html

---

2019-07-01T22:04:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145815519972352002

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie But both are worse than the original. No matter how small the original was.

2019-07-01T21:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145812789363015680

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie Re-encoding with a lossy algorithm will lose information. With a higher bit-rate less information is lost. So the 12 Mbps file is closer to the original than the 5 Mbps file.

But the original file (or at least next file up the chain) has more information in it. I want that

one.

2019-07-01T21:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145810954229207041

@Inquiri70388674 Does not appear to be different to f4-2007.mpg, which has always been on http://archive.org

---

2019-07-01T21:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145809603524874240

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie I understand how videos are encoded. What I want is to get the video that is the closest to the in-camera original. Currently, this is the 12 Mbps version. I understand a lot of what it is encoding is artifacts, but it's also actual information. But I'd like the original.

2019-07-01T21:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145807389976698880

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie Or someone could just email me the video(s). mick@mickwest.com

---

2019-07-01T21:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145807204538150913

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie No, I'm taking a screenshot of the video from the New York Time site that has overlaid captions to show you the spot I'd like YOU to take a screenshot. TTSA has an overlaid logo. So you should be able to take a screenshot, in HD, of that 5248 position in the video to prove it

---

2019-07-01T21:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145805370582949888

@Jay09784691 @JeremyB018 @red_pill_junkie Prove it. Post a screenshot with the timestamp at 5248.

And explain why you can't just give them to me. What's the restriction.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-a3nUzVUAAQOQZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-a3nUzVUAAQOQZ.jpg

2019-07-01T20:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145793811760631808

@Jay09784691 @red_pill_junkie @nytimes @TTSAcademy @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge If you have them, then why does the public not have them?

I'm no saying that there's something "hidden". I'm saying you'd get much better results from public analysis by letting the public have the raw video.

2019-07-01T20:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145787517108551680

@Jay09784691 @red_pill_junkie @nytimes @TTSAcademy @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge Have you compared them?

2019-07-01T20:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145787157904158721

@Jay09784691 @red_pill_junkie @nytimes @TTSAcademy @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge No, but the lower bit rate version has LOST information.

2019-07-01T20:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145787005659299841

@OKftl @nytimes @TTSAcademy @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge If the original are not cleared then copies are not cleared. Top secret documents do not become declassified just because they are photocopied.

2019-07-01T20:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145786463709085696

@Jay09784691 @red_pill_junkie @nytimes @TTSAcademy @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge I know. But what was initially released was a lower bit rate. You can see more detail in the higher bit rate video.

2019-07-01T15:41:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145719038770679814

@JeremyB018 I used Downie by @charliemonroe, it's great.

2019-07-01T14:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145702850980241410

@_Desmoden There's only one data stream for this video (unless you count the audio). There's no DIRECT link from any other data to this video.

Other things reportedly happening at around the same time are NOT additional data streams on this object.

2019-07-01T14:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145702323181649920

@JeremyB018 I use Invisor on OSX. But you can also tell just by the file sizes.

IInvisor is great for this type of thing, particularly comparing files.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZZUiuU8AAEMhb.jpg

	'Look at That Thing!' U.S. Nav...	'Look at That Thing!' U.S. Nav...	75000_1_16_ufo-vid-2_wg_hd_...
File			
Name	'Look at That Thing!' U.S. Navy Jet Encounters Unknown Object 2017 NYT.mp4	'Look at That Thing!' U.S. Navy Jet Encounters Unknown Object 2019 NYT.mp4	75000_1_16_ufo-vid-2_wg_hd_synd.mov
Size	16.2 MB (16229268 bytes)	69.3 MB (69275077 bytes)	69.3 MB (69275077 bytes)
Kind	MPEG-4 movie	MPEG-4 movie	QuickTime movie
UTI	public.mpeg-4	public.mpeg-4	com.apple.quicktime-movie
Location	/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/US Navy Jet and Unknown Object GIMBAL	/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/US Navy Jet and Unknown Object GIMBAL	/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/US Navy Jet and Unknown Object GIMBAL
Created	December 16, 2017 at 10:18:39 AM	July 1, 2019 at 7:03:56 AM	December 17, 2017 at 3:02:01 PM
Modified	December 16, 2017 at 10:18:50 AM	July 1, 2019 at 7:04:34 AM	December 17, 2017 at 3:02:01 PM
Downloaded From	https://www.nytimes.com/video/us/100000005607812/look-at-that-thing-us-navy-jet-encounters-unknown-object.html?action=click&contentCollection=us&module=lede&region=caption&pgtype=article	https://www.nytimes.com/video/us/100000005607812/look-at-that-thing-us-navy-jet-encounters-unknown-object.html	
Container			
Format	MPEG-4	MPEG-4	MPEG-4
Format profile	Base Media	Base Media	Base Media
Codec ID	isom (isom/avc1/mp42)	isom (isom/avc1/mp42)	isom (isom/avc1/mp42)
Duration	34 s 388 ms	44 s 970 ms	44 s 970 ms
Overall bit rate mode	Variable	Variable	Variable
Overall bit rate	3 776 kb/s	12.3 Mb/s	12.3 Mb/s
Encoded date	UTC 2017-12-15 21:11:23	UTC 2017-12-17 23:01:43	UTC 2017-12-17 23:01:43
Tagged date	UTC 2017-12-15 21:11:23	UTC 2017-12-17 23:01:43	UTC 2017-12-17 23:01:43
Video			
ID	1	1	1
Format/Info	Advanced Video Codec	Advanced Video Codec	Advanced Video Codec
Format profile	Main@L3.1	Baseline@L4	Baseline@L4
Format settings	CABAC / 3 Ref Frames	3 Ref Frames	3 Ref Frames
Format settings, CABAC	Yes	No	No
Format settings, Reference frames	3 frames	3 frames	3 frames
Format settings, GOP	M=1, N=90	M=1, N=90	M=1, N=90
Codec ID	avc1	avc1	avc1
Codec ID/Info	Advanced Video Coding	Advanced Video Coding	Advanced Video Coding
Duration	34 s 333 ms	44 s 910 ms	44 s 910 ms
Bit rate	3 715 kb/s	12.1 Mb/s	12.1 Mb/s
Maximum bit rate	4 621 kb/s	18.1 Mb/s	18.1 Mb/s
Width	1 920 pixels	1 920 pixels	1 920 pixels

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZZUiuU8AAEMhb.jpg

2019-07-01T13:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145692723313164289

The recent UFO videos released by @nytimes and @TTSAcademy have different versions, none of which are original files. If the originals are cleared for public release, then why can't we see them? Please release the raw files @lesliekean @helenecooper @ralphblu @tomdelonge

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZQs-yU8AA5OM9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZQZ81VUAAfzL7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZQs-yU8AA5OM9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-ZPAaxUYAEPKkP.jpg

2019-07-01T13:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145689937498349578

@_Desmoden I'm not analyzing THOSE objects, I'm analyzing THIS object. This object looks like a distant jet engine. Those objects are almost certainly something else.

2019-07-01T12:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145668279425306627

@UFOengineering @mission_europa The lens is rotating because of something called "gimbal lock" or "gimbal singularity"

It is explained in this thread here:

https://www.metabunk.org/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.t10792/

I certainly don't think the pilot in the Gimbal video is lying about anything.

2019-07-01T03:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145542353920262144

@46and26 @DailyGrail You think they train specifically for a slightly out of focus jet several miles away exhibiting visual rotation when transiting 0 degrees?

2019-07-01T01:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145505338847662081

@LittleBigRing @AdamGorightly Hence the radar returns were something else.

2019-07-01T00:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145490609043410944

Higher quality footage from the New York Times helps demonstrate that the Gimbal UFO is not actually rotating, and that it's not actually a flying saucer.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Btns91W5J8

2019-06-29T16:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1145006547740684288

@JonHNodge @etufodisclosure Coming from Hawaii, so it was flying toward LA, and so the contrail looked vertical.

2019-06-29T14:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144983143612502018

@TheOutThere2016 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IVqk5rc_eOQ

2019-06-29T14:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144982775574880257

@murnut And a lot of different mistakes.

Is it really a lot though? Out of billions of hours flow by pilots in human history it seems reasonable that a few thousand will see things they mistake for something else.

2019-06-29T14:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144979717767888897

Reminder: when you are asked to take the assessment of a pilot as gospel:

General McInerey: "I spend 35 years flying fighters ... that is a missile, it's launched from a submarine ... I am absolutely certain that that is not an aircraft."

But it was.

https://youtu.be/UI_0hh26n6Q?t=70

2019-06-29T13:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144961042448969729

https://xkcd.com/2156/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-O38XlUcAEFSwl.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D-O38XlUcAEFSwl.png

2019-06-29T13:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144960768724520960

@TheOutThere2016 Good 480p would have been enough. The problem here is the low resolution, the blurry video which looks like a second generation VHS copy and the noise. My recreation is actually to high in quality.

2019-06-29T12:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144940561155026944

The Nimitz UFO video was taken AFTER the famous "Tic-Tac" incident, and it shows a black blob far away, gradually getting close. Could it just be a plane, in out of focus, low resolution, noisy video? I make the case.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s1oTg0kxzDs

2019-06-29T12:05:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144940019599024128

@JS18016995 @BarackObama So pretty reliable then!

2019-06-29T02:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144802078683828224

@PstarmanUa @Lemurian1897 Pretty much.

2019-06-28T18:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144677682795315202

@PstarmanUa @Lemurian1897 I'm just analyzing the videos here, they are different things to

the eyewitness accounts.

2019-06-27T18:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144309946789445632

@JuenoMT @AFSUnidentified @Th_Unidentified Historically speaking, what has been more accurate?

A) Eyewitness testimony
B) Hard data, like videos

2019-06-27T12:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144221177797177344

@paulsanderson @AFSUnidentified @Th_Unidentified All you can see is the glare. You can't see an object.

2019-06-27T12:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144221061795340288

@JuenoMT @AFSUnidentified @Th_Unidentified Well, if that hard data is not what people say it is, then what is wrong with me pointing that out and explaining it?
You still have eyewitness testimony, you just don't have hard data.

2019-06-27T12:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144220744089341954

@PstarmanUa @DaveFalch When far away, with large glare, you don't see wings.
It's hot. Gimbal is the hot one. Go Fast is the cold one. Different videos.
Pilots make mistakes.
TTSA is partly an entertainment company, making money.

2019-06-27T01:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144049830261473281

@Juddlydoright I suspect an antigravity bubble would actually be rather hot - especially when the craft moves. It's essentially magic though.

2019-06-27T01:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144049427310452737

@Alien_Scientist @ufo_seekers I'll buy a flying car!

2019-06-26T22:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1144005559823192065

@RodrigoLVieira1 Almost certainly, yes.

2019-06-26T21:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143988617796186112

@Juddlydoright No, but it sure looks like they did. And it's an infinitely simple explanation that cold air in a bubble of anti-gravity.

2019-06-26T20:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143986403019124736

@Juddlydoright I varies based on the settings. It's kind of like a contrast knob you can turn up

and down except there are two main settings, amount and radius. Both will affect the apparent size of the glow.

2019-06-26T20:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143978969823186944

One of the things that stops people understanding the "rotating glare" aspect of the Gimbal vide is why the glare rotates when the clouds do not. I made a model, and a more detailed explanation. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4X1PRDbtiF0

2019-06-26T17:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143933609402720257

@PostDisclosure @SpaceDadOrbis I talked to Dave about that. You won't actually see the wings when the plane gets a bit further away - which was his main objection. He pretty much agreed with that.

2019-06-26T17:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143933239775522816

@EtTuCarl Ancient aliens had three "experts" claiming the glow was unusual (in the video above), and @JeremyCorbell repeated the same thing on @joerogan's podcast. The New York Times also mentioned it.

2019-06-26T17:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143928543929196545

@SpaceDadOrbis @PostDisclosure Yes, but those are nearby jets. Check out this comparison. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

---

2019-06-26T16:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143926276463288320

@StevenM10657487 @ufoofinterest Well 1 and 2 have been explained. The glare covers the craft and the rotation of the glare is due to the derotation mechanism necessitated by a two axis gimbal system. Here's an overview: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

---

2019-06-26T16:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143923307961438208

@SpaceDadOrbis @PostDisclosure Why? The camera artifacts (the glow, the glare shape, and the rotation) are the only interesting things there.

2019-06-26T16:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143922221305364480

@FreqTech @PostDisclosure @Mikelynette No, that's just a mistake or a false memory.

2019-06-26T16:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143920165312028672

@nickielisamc @stepickford It's a podcast. I also post it on YouTube. https://www.tftrh.com/

2019-06-26T16:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143919929848037376

The glow in the "Gimbal" video has puzzled various experts who theorized it might be evidence of anti-gravity propulsion. But it's actually just evidence of video edge-gradient sharpening with

the "unsharp mask" filter.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2019-06-26T16:29:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143919114907312128
@ufoofinterest That version had some audio glitches. See the fixed version:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2019-06-26T15:05:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143897961140645889
@cornweaver Lunar waves are just ripples in the air from passing planes. Even the Flat Earthers have debunked that one. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=257s-poFA6M

2019-06-26T04:44:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143741821475905537
@Sigmondo77 @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes So let's see those radar hits.

2019-06-26T00:59:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143685229384617984
@Mikelynette @PostDisclosure Exactly. That's why you should not discount the possibility.

2019-06-26T00:59:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143685088787488768
@PostDisclosure It's not an assumption. It's a possibility that should not be discounted. People make mistakes. Brains make mistakes. Radars make mistakes. Even video sometimes makes mistakes.

2019-06-26T00:55:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143684176773705728
Being polite in discourse does not mean we should avoid uncomfortable questions. Even when there is a serious risk of feelings being hurt, one should at least *consider* the words of Thomas Paine, perhaps adding the words "... or be in error".
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D98t1xSU4AAWCmE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D98t1xSU4AAWCmE.jpg

2019-06-26T00:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143682071442780160

@PostDisclosure Is there a rational reason to assume that Graves is not lying or mistaken?

2019-06-26T00:29:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143677559562854400

@PostDisclosure Video is data. Graves' account is less data-like.

2019-06-26T00:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143676948750585857

Preview of next weekend's podcast from my guest Jim Lee of Climate Viewer News. Jim used to be more of a conspiracy theorist, but now he wants to educate people about the possible dangers of climate engineering. Although, he's still a bit of a conspiracist in some areas.

https://twitter.com/climateviewer/status/1143673436486668289

2019-06-25T17:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143575559642894336

@Scribe1969 @Keithmayoh @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I'm not investigating extraordinary claims, like "UFOs are real". I'm investigating extraordinary claims of evidence, like "this UFO is surrounded by cold air". If false, I debunk it. Debunking false bits of evidence brings EVERYONE closer to the truth.

2019-06-25T16:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143560889926221824

@aSkepticalHuman All of the above.

2019-06-25T15:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143540940830732288

@Noti_ET @Keithmayoh @JeremyCorbell @joerogan I focus on isolated bits of VERIFIABLE and DEMONSTRABLE bullshit. If you want to keep those bits for some reason, then you might want to re-evaluate your overall approach.

2019-06-25T15:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143540544506777600

@discloseitnow @FreqTech @PostDisclosure @c0nan666 @DaveFalch You can't build a house on a foundation of bullshit. If there's something in the story that stinks (like the claim that "Go Fast" was going fast) then everyone moves close to the truth if I point this out.
Explain what is wrong with demonstrating actual falsehoods from TTSA etc.

2019-06-25T15:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143536850524504065

@discloseitnow @FreqTech @PostDisclosure @c0nan666 @DaveFalch But I'm giving analyses OF THE VIDEOS. They stand alone. You don't have draw broad conclusions from them - just that the videos have quite possible boring explanations.
Maybe they actually have transcendent explanations, but there's nothing in the videos themselves to show that

---

2019-06-25T15:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143535736290889729

@Keithmayoh @JeremyCorbell @joerogan That's not what I do. I check to see if claims of evidence are correct or not. I'm always looking to see IF things are bullshit.

I focus on extraordinary claims, because they are often under-examined because regular scientists ignore them. Often I find bullshit.

2019-06-25T05:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143386282376093696

@PostDisclosure @c0nan666 Where is their analysis?

2019-06-25T05:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143386187945480192

@aSkepticalHuman I don't think he saw a hanger full of alien spaceships.

2019-06-24T18:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143228080275673088

@IWANTTOKNOW2018 @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy When a plane is small on screen, the glare can be bigger than the plane, so you can't see the wings. See the animation above. The image on the left has wings, but you can barely see them, just the glare.

2019-06-24T16:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143201384914833418

@buffcommacu It's a common video filter. Probably one they can turn on and off and adjust.

2019-06-24T16:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143198276746825728

The source of the glow artifact is probably a sharpening adjustment called an "Unsharp Mask". This makes objects easier to see, useful for fighter jets. Applying the adjustment to a black object on a gray background produces an identical "glow".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D910H5DVUAMiUzf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D910H5DVUAMiUzf.jpg

2019-06-24T16:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143191940311072768

@509thConductor @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes @Raytheon Raytheon have not commented on these UFO videos since 2017, AFAIK. But I do know people are asking them, so I'd expect something from them eventually.

2019-06-24T15:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143184925425717249

@509thConductor @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes @Raytheon It's because back then (in 2017) they just saw it as something they could use as a fun way to promote the ATFLIR system. Ask them again now.

2019-06-24T15:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143184367436455937

@chadbecker777 @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy Different incidents. Fravor, for example, had eyes on a "tic-tac", later someone took a video of an unidentified heat source in the distance. No evidence they were the same thing.

2019-06-24T15:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143179359831769093

@77Fidelio @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes @Raytheon Yes, and then it switches to BLK. You can see the "cooler" "aura" in both WHT and BLK, it's just clearer in BLK. It's the same thing, just the image inverted.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D91jYeWU0AgdR-m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D91jR-OUcAAuIwU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D91jYeWU0AgdR-m.jpg
2019-06-24T14:54:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143170630918930432
@NoeRangel @TheOutThere2016 @JeremyCorbell @tomdelonge I'm pruning away the falsehoods. I'm not forcing you to draw conclusions from all these falsehoods. They cloud the truth. If something wrong, should I not point it out?

And it's not just "some shit", it was a New York Times headline!

2019-06-24T14:45:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143168181063704576
@NoeRangel @TheOutThere2016 I'm on solid ground here, no need to dig in.
Don't you want your story fact-checked? If @JeremyCorbell makes a claim about cold force-fields should we just accept it? Or should we check it, and, having found it to be false, together take a step closer to the truth?

2019-06-24T14:37:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143166137359687680
@NoeRangel @TheOutThere2016 I listened. I'm not lazy. The people who are still claiming that there's a "cold" region around the objects are the ones not putting in the work.

2019-06-24T14:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143165336000221184
@joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes Footage from @Raytheon using the ATFLIR system (also used in the GIMBAL footage). Just normal fighter jets. Black hot in the IR, surrounded by a "cold" aura on the video. Not cold. Not anti-gravity. Just a camera artifact.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91WI6nVAAEzJYx.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91WI6nVAAEzJYx.jpg
2019-06-24T14:26:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143163603006058496
@SamYork83 @joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy There are millions of hours of low information footage that's impossible to explain definitively. I see distant white dots in the sky every day that I can't identify. But there's generally at least one plausible explanation, even if you can't pin down which one exactly.

2019-06-24T14:21:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143162347013300224
@joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy @nytimes This isn't new. This was discussed back in March 2018. It should have been obvious, had they consulted real experts, or just sought out comparable footage. Black hot in IR often makes a "cold" aura in video. It's not anti-gravity.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91T4WLUwAEqoJg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91T4WLUwAEqoJg.jpg
2019-06-24T14:04:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143157925801709568
@joerogan @JeremyCorbell @TTSAcademy This particular mistake stated back with the @nytimes story "Glowing Auras and â□□Black Moneyâ□□: The Pentagonâ□□s Mysterious U.F.O. Program"
Which described
"... footage from a Navy F/A-18 Super Hornet showing an aircraft surrounded by some kind of glowing aura "
Again, just IR glare.

2019-06-24T14:00:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143157064459378695
On the @joerogan show last week, @JeremyCorbell mentioned a cold region surrounding the

objects on the @TTSAcademy UFO videos. This is presented as evidence of some gravity warp drive. But in fact it's just a commonly observed artifact of IR video, seen around jet engine glares. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91O1qIU8AYIVSu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91O1qIU8AYIVSu.jpg
2019-06-24T13:56:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143155908962160640
@TheOutThere2016 Yes, the cold "aura" is a common thing seen around a hot IR glare. Look at the jet engines here. They are black hot, and they have a white "aura" around them, which would indicate cold. Except, of course, IT IS NOT COLD.
This was explained over a year ago. I should make a video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91N4BqUcAAY7HX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D91N4BqUcAAY7HX.jpg
2019-06-24T13:50:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1143154436497264643
@stacknyc I'm used to it. And it helps refine my communication. People often get defensive because they think that the debunking of one thing (like a single video) is intended to debunk

their entire world view (or at lest all of UFOlogy.) It's not, which is something I need to tell them.

2019-06-24T13:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143153777916039169

@stacknyc A bird still generates some heat, so a bird is more likely to show up as hot (the object appears cold, relative to the Ocean). But it's still a possibility.

2019-06-24T05:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1143022209935409152

@UfoJoe11 @rodogonio One of those experts commented on my video after I emailed him. Unfortunately his reply didn't really answer the questions. And it does not really seem like he did much geometric analysis.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M&lc=UgzLAogi0gvhA6WYhfV4AaABAg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9zUBXCUYAAa2WK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9zUBXCUYAAa2WK.jpg

2019-06-23T23:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142939934107373568

@conor_cowens22 @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 @anthonylappe The most likely explanation, given that it WAS parallax, is that they did not realize that the apparent speed was a parallax illusion.

2019-06-23T23:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142933975905660929

@conor_cowens22 @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 @anthonylappe But instead it looks like this, because the camera is moving to track the ocean.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9yEMuRUIAAJkvg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9yEMuRUIAAJkvg.jpg

2019-06-23T23:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142933630584365056

@conor_cowens22 @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 @anthonylappe It's physically impossible for it not to be. The camera is looking left 35Â° at the start and the plane was in level flight. If it was not ground tracking it would look like this. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9yEE1iUwAA1RM-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9yEE1iUwAA1RM-.jpg

2019-06-23T23:04:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142931481427189760

@conor_cowens22 @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 @anthonylappe It's only going to slave to a lock if there's a lock. It's probably slaved to the radar look point which is ground stabilized The important point is that before the target is acquired it is NOT in snowplow mode - it's correcting for parallax. Otherwise, the ground would zip by.

2019-06-23T22:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142921696191500288

@blakevtruelove I'm just looking at this one video. If you'd like to point me at a contrary analysis of this one video, then I would be happy to look into any differences.

2019-06-23T22:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142915646658760704

@509thConductor @UfoJoe11 @anthonylappe An understandable misconception. At the start of the video the ATFLIR is in "ground stabilized mode" where it "stabilizes a non-designation point of interest on the ground", after the target is acquired it switches to "slaved pointing" and follows the reticle track. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9xzTONUwAACHEm.jpg

---

3.3 Pointed Mode

The FLIR operates in Pointed mode whenever the FLIR is in Operate (OPR) status. During Pointed mode, the FLIR's Line-of-Sight (LOS) is controlled via several sub-modes. When the FLIR is in Stabilized pointing, the MC provides the pod with LOS direction cosines which cause the pod to point to a specific spot on the ground. The FLIR will then maintain this LOS as the aircraft maneuvers. During Snowplow pointing, the MC forces the FLIR's LOS to constant azimuth and elevation angles relative to the aircraft, but does not attempt to maintain LOS on a fixed position. When the FLIR is not in STBY status, the following pointed modes are available:

- Slaved Pointing - With no designation (i.e. no ground target), the FLIR may be slaved to the HUD or Radar formats. With TDC priority to the HUD, the FLIR is slaved to the current HUD reticle LOS (e.g. CCIP, TDC cursor position, or AUTO mode reticle position). This allows the FLIR to be pointed prior to a HUD designation, or to display the CCIP impact point prior to release, even if the CCIP cross is below the HUD FOV. HUD slaving is not ground stabilized. If TDC priority is on the A/G Radar format, the FLIR is slaved to radar cursor look point by slewing with the in-video or EXP corral cursors visible. Radar slaving is always ground stabilized and always assumes a ground altitude of zero even if AGR is available. This is done to prevent undulations caused by rapidly changing terrain elevations which would in turn cause the look point elevation to rise and fall as the Radar cursor traverses the terrain.
- Snowplow Pointing - FLIR LOS remains at a constant azimuth/elevation angle off the ownship flight path. The FLIR's snowplow pointing LOS is changed by assigning priority to the FLIR and using the TDC cursor to slew the FLIR LOS directly.
- Stabilized Pointing (undesignated) - Snowplow pointing may be ground stabilized (without a designated target) at any time by pressing the cage/uncage switch CTRL+U while the FLIR LOS is below the horizon. This does not create a designation automatically, it simply stabilizes a non-designation point of interest on the ground. The stabilized point may be converted to a designation or moved/offset by using the DESIGNATE ENT and SLEW ▲/▼/►/◄ commands respectively.
- Stabilized Pointing (designated) - When a target is designated by any means, all other pointing modes are disabled and the FLIR LOS is slaved to the ground target/OAP LOS. When the FLIR is slaved to a designation, the FLIR will remain ground stabilized at all times and cannot be unstabilized. Ground stabilized means that the FLIR is geographically "locked" at a given point on the ground, horizon up.
- Velocity Vector Slaved (VVSLV) Pointing - The VVSLV option available along the right side of the FLIR format without a ground designation, can be used to point the FLIR along the velocity vector LOS. In the A/G or NAV Master Modes, depressing the Undesignate button SHFT+DEL also commands the FLIR into VVSLV mode. When this option is selected, the FOV reticle is removed (if present), the velocity vector symbol is frozen, and the horizon bar is elongated. The position of the velocity vector symbol is adjusted for dynamic differences between the velocity vector and the reported FLIR LOS. When VVSLV is selected, the FLIR reticle is initialized off (unboxed). Whenever VVSLV mode is exited or any designation is performed, the FLIR reticle is initialized on (boxed). While slaved, TDC priority may be assigned to the FLIR and a FLIR FOV reticle designation may be performed. Any designation causes the VVSLV option to be deselected and removed. Subsequently undesignating causes the VVSLV option to reappear (unboxed).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9xzTONUwAACHEm.jpg

2019-06-23T21:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142907276321144832

@anthonylappe @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 Anthony, In this clip, when they say "a little less than 2/3 the speed of sound" can you confirm that was an actual estimate from them of the speed of the craft, and not just them reading the Mach speed of the jet from the on-screen display, which just happens to be 0.61 M?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1142906721502842881/pu/img/VeqBG8nKL-VD1BR3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1142906721502842881/pu/img/VeqBG8nKL-VD1BR3.jpg
2019-06-23T21:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142904149920514048
@Deepneuron @anthonylappe @AFSUnidentified @509thConductor @UfoJoe11 If they did indeed dismiss parallax in the Go Fast case then they were wrong.
Anthony, did it not occur to anyone to do the math based on the angles and the ranges rather than just go by the seat of their pants? Of course it LOOKS low and fast, but it's provably not.

2019-06-23T20:21:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142890511100858368
@funwithwords2u @AlcyoneProject @ProjectUnity6 @UFOnetwork_ @webseitz2 Radar reflectors are relevant in the "Go Fast" case as weather balloons have radar reflectors, and hence the jet could easily get an accurate radar lock on it.
The "cube in a sphere" thing is unrelated.

2019-06-23T20:06:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142886671819558912
Regarding "Go Fast", @TTSAcademy were aware of these issues. Back in March 2018, TTSA advisory board member Garry Nolan said: 'I understood all of Paracast's & Metabunk's math last week ... The video information says the object "can" be mundane'
https://www.metabunk.org/posts/220380/

2019-06-23T19:06:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142871612728852480
@DarrenReadUFO @keithkloor height = range * sin (angle)

Does not require a doctorate.

Where IS their math?

2019-06-23T18:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142866597016559616

@UnkleGravity I think there are probably two factors. Firstly they are trying to drum up publicity. Secondly the people doing PR don't understand the analysis.
Speculation though, and I prefer to stay with physics.

2019-06-23T18:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142860631713955844

@TTSA_Agent_001 @EtTuCarl @haroldputhoff So work it out. If something is 4.4 nautical miles away, and your view angle is 26Â° down, and you are at 25,000 feet. Then what altitude is the object?

2019-06-23T18:21:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142860117949288449

@TTSA_Agent_001 @Max31562629 @PostDisclosure @AFSUnidentified @UAP1949 Sorry, you think that aliens change trigonometry?

TTSA made claims about the video based on what they saw in the video. They did not inject "assuming some non-euclidean temporary space warp."

Start with reality, don't add magic just because you prefer amazing answers.

2019-06-23T18:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142858414306971650

@NECSS Was it inspired by Doctor Manhattan's logo?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9w_Pu_U4AAtOsc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9w_Pu_U4AAtOsc.jpg

2019-06-23T17:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142840134582206465

@ChrisRiddle121 @PressToDigitate I'm explaining what is shown in the video, that's all.

2019-06-23T17:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142839844692869120
@Deepneuron @TTSA_Agent_001 @haroldputhoff @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge @Aviation_Intel Meaningless? They tell you the altitude! How is that meaningless? TTSA says the video shows something close to the water. But it does not. They say it is fast. It is not.

2019-06-23T16:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142839483445858305
@PressToDigitate I'm good for high school math, which in this case is what is required.

2019-06-23T16:56:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142838785899544576
@TTSA_Agent_001 @haroldputhoff Math does not require trust. You just work it out.

2019-06-23T16:55:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142838629267460096
@Deepneuron @TTSA_Agent_001 @haroldputhoff @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @UfoJoe11 @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge @Aviation_Intel The altitude calculation is really simple math.

2019-06-23T15:48:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142821664725405696
@PostDisclosure @AFSUnidentified @UAP1949 You're just lumping everything in as "unexplained advanced technology" but what the Navy seems interested in is just airspace incursions.

2019-06-23T15:00:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142809619607117824
@jgajek A variety of factors. At its simplest it just a desire for extraordinariness.

2019-06-23T14:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142806383814774789
@mcb1879 No, it's essentially flying towards the object, notice the RNG gets smaller through the video. Not directly towards it though. Like this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IRd1RY2PuvA

2019-06-23T14:44:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1142805561840300032
@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel Example wind diffs
At the surface, it's 15 km/h from the east (90Â°)
at 700mb (about 10,000 feet) it's 15 km/h from the south (185Â°)
At 500mb (about 18,000 feet) it's 70 km/h from the west (260Â°)
at 250mb (34,000 feet) it's 165 km/h from the west (270Â°).
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wPg5ZVAAEVgwZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wPg5WVAAEo7a6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wPg5ZVAAEVgwZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wPg5YUYAQWBYQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wPg5HU8AAlK3J.jpg

2019-06-23T14:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142805139641602048

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel Towards the end of the thread there was discussion of incorporating the frame-by frame bank/roll data. It's all linked in the thread. Unknown is the wind diff (jet vs. object vs. ground) which gives a range of possibilities. Data is here though, example: https://www.metabunk.org/posts/220389/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wOtfTUEAAIHZZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9wOtfTUEAAIHZZ.jpg

2019-06-23T14:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142802425113223168

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel There's some much more complex analysis in the Metabunk thread, including frame-by-frame recording of the roll angle (and the other angles extrapolated from the coarser numbers), wind estimates, and various full 3D recreations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D9wMn3FUIAE03_z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D9wMn3FUIAE03_z.jpg

2019-06-23T14:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142798301223256064

@JeremyB018 Sounds like you are talking about the Nimitz/FLIR video (not the "Go Fast" video discussed here). The movements in the Nimitz video all seem due to camera zoom and loss of lock. What they are seeing (just in that video) is almost certainly a far distant jet flying away.

2019-06-23T07:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142701690069499904

@AFSUnidentified @UAP1949 @PostDisclosure A large number of false reports would also be a serious national security issue.

2019-06-23T07:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142701222538801155

@AFSUnidentified @UAP1949 @PostDisclosure Not that I know of.

2019-06-23T07:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142691282860609536

@paulsanderson The pilot who supposedly shot this video declined to speak about it. A weather balloon also looks like a white orb, and the math shows this object is NOT moving fast.

2019-06-23T07:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142690806542716929

@AFSUnidentified Where's their math?

It's not that complicated, especially the height thing. Just range times sine of angle = height difference. Did they do that?

Why didn't they?

2019-06-23T06:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142687521769287681

@AFSUnidentified Math != narrative

2019-06-23T06:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142687386960220160

@TheOutThere2016 Why wouldn't they get it wrong, if it's a situation they never encountered before?

2019-06-23T06:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142686097387864064

@AFSUnidentified Yes, this video is based on claims made in episode 4.

2019-06-23T06:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142684864673533952

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-06-23T06:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142683632064069632

The "Go Fast" UFO video is deceptively simple. Yet it is perhaps the most misrepresented video shown on the "Unidentified" show. With a little high school math we can see that it's neither close to the water, nor is it fast. So why did TTSA say it was?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PLyEO0jNt6M

2019-06-23T06:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142682724328587264

@PostDisclosure @Timma202012 Oh, I think that "being wrong about something" is greatly outweighed by "aliens proven to visit the Earth". I'll be fine.

2019-06-23T05:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142668488382640128

@Timma202012 I'm fine going wherever the evidence goes. I'd LOVE it if there was evidence of aliens. I've been reading science fiction since I was ten.

2019-06-23T05:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142666669258526720

@Timma202012 Thanks!

Coping with what?

2019-06-23T04:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142645073470902273

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel Yeah, he was wrong too.

2019-06-23T01:53:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142611512298622977

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel Source is the video. You can calculated the altitude (13,000 feet) and the speed, from the data in the display. TTSA got it wrong.

2019-06-23T01:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142601086261313537

@p4pmemes @Aviation_Intel No, it wasn't. It was going around 20-40 knots.

2019-06-23T01:11:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142600935203471361

@SamYork83 There are thousands of videos and photos that have too little information in them to solve. That's where UFOs live, in low information data sources.

2019-06-23T00:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142588442137616384

@ChambersofHeart It was designed by Chris Ward, who was into Heavy Metal music, so I suspect he took stylistic inspiration from there. No real symbolism though, other than reflecting the general free spirit of Neversoft in the early years.

2019-06-22T21:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142551293715607552

@PostDisclosure @Aviation_Intel You can take that as read. I don't think I'm causing any harm by not laboring an obvious point.

2019-06-22T21:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142550377268518912

@buffcommacu @Aviation_Intel This IS more data.

2019-06-22T21:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142540214918766592

@Aviation_Intel Did you see this more recent Patent, owned by the Navy?

https://patents.google.com/patent/US3671965A/en

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9seLlbVUAACmZM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9seLlbVUAACmZM.jpg

2019-06-22T20:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142534020862844928

@Aviation_Intel Interesting. I posted about radar reflectors on weather balloons last year, as the "Go Fast" sighting looks very like a balloon. I couldn't think of how the pilots might visually see them though, as the balloon is a lot bigger than the reflector.

https://www.metabunk.org/posts/220294/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9sYJ-eVAAErWny.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9sYJ-eVAAErWny.jpg

2019-06-22T15:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142449955019186176

@dutchsinse What is their name on Metabunk?

2019-06-22T15:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142449493750607873

@dutchsinse I don't know who ATCFDrummer is.

2019-06-22T12:36:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142410957819273216

@_Desmoden Being polite and respectful IS constructive.

2019-06-22T05:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142297446535458816

@paulsanderson @__dregs__ Did he draw a sketch?

2019-06-22T05:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142297291618828288

@_Desmoden Not for a living, and only if they are factually wrong.

2019-06-22T01:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142242485634129920

@_Desmoden Iâ□□m just looking for facts.

2019-06-21T23:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142205863542714368

@_Desmoden But Fravor said Day and the others were not credible, attention seekers. Bit of a paradox.

2019-06-21T22:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142203122497884161

@stubbedoutbine Thanks!

Did you have an example or two in mind?

2019-06-21T21:47:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142187272290230273

When events cluster together, it seems like they must have some common factor.

But clustering is actually an expected result of totally random data. Several tourists die every year in the Dominican Republic, sometimes more and sometimes less ...

https://www.metabunk.org/clustering-illusions-baader-meinhof-and-death-in-the-domincan-republic.t10786/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9nc9CqU8AEL8JJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9nc9CqU8AEL8JJ.jpg

2019-06-21T21:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142186394095239168

@_Desmoden And where is this synthesized data track? Who saw it?

2019-06-21T21:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142186209201889280

@_Desmoden But it didn't show up on Fravor's radar, if I remember correctly. So maybe what he saw wasn't what the ship's radar saw?

2019-06-21T21:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142179216110174208

@_Desmoden Besides, running diagnostics and resetting the system does not automatically flush out all the glitches - which could be system faults, or environmental false returns. Military radar has never been flawless. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9nV6V3VUAABsTh.jpg



STARS AND

Friday, May 11, 2018 A news digest for U.

Errors, radar cited in I

By Dianna Cahn
Stars and Stripes

WASHINGTON — Faulty radar was a way of life on the USS Fitzgerald and was a key contributing cause for its collision with a merchant vessel in June 2017 in the busy waters off the coast of Japan.

Those and other details about a chain of errors leading up to the deadly crash were revealed Wednesday in a preliminary hearing for two junior officers at the controls that night. It's now up to a hearing officer to determine whether there's enough evidence to try those officers in a court-martial and whether they should be held negligently responsible for the deaths of seven sailors.

Lt. Natalie Combs, the tactical action officer, as failing at their jobs, not using the tools at their disposal properly and not communicating adequately. They became complacent with faulty equipment and did not seek to get it fixed, and they failed to communicate with the bridge, the prosecution argued. Had they done those things, the government contended, they would have been able to avert the collision.

"Imagine the Crystal, a 29,000-ton container ship, suddenly takes up the entire (radar) screen," prosecutor Lt. Cmdr. Katherine Shovlin told the hearing officer. "There was not a breakdown of communication. There was ... no communication."

perfor
neglec
ligent
neglig
The
days
dation
of thos
ward
be up
ity, A
decide
recom
Pros
failed
were
pictur
the F
surfac
comba
matio
with t
ing th

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9nV6V3VUAABsTh.jpg

2019-06-21T21:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142177012187951104

@sgpln @joerogan @keithkloor I'd be happy to. That's up to Joe though.

2019-06-21T21:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142176801461948416

@_Desmoden And how exactly do you know that's all the same thing?

2019-06-21T19:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142146924780249088

@_Desmoden Quite a variety eh? Perhaps they were all different things?

Just because rebooting the system failed to clear the glitches does not mean that they were not glitches.

2019-06-21T15:59:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142099614507528192

@_Desmoden Even on the "Unexplained" TV show they said that the radar data was thought at the time to *possibly* be glitches. Some almost certainly was - atmospheric returns, ground clutter, flocks of birds. It's a HUGE mistake to lump everything together. Lots of different events.

2019-06-21T15:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1142089870963331072

@Richard73086615 @naoehogui @carland27488094 It is unidentified. They are all unidentified. If they were identified then the UFO community would not be talking about them.
And there's no good evidence that the video is related to specific radar data or craft sightings. Different things.

2019-06-20T23:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141851031061458944

Gimbal UFO explanation in a nutshell.

https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D9irWdLU8AAMRLe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D9irWdLU8AAMRLe.jpg

2019-06-20T23:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141850803679838208

The Gimbal UFO video is been shown on TV again. So here's a possible explanation again.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

2019-06-20T23:06:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141844886989103104

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty I don't think they saw a plane.

2019-06-20T23:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141844805783130112

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty "hot exhaust heat signature" (as a distinct cone of heat) is only visible when close up. When it is far away it looks like a blob.

2019-06-20T21:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141818245172740097

RT @travis_view: With Q absent, some QAnon followers are hoping a coming date will vindicate them: July 4th. On that day, some hope, JFK Jrâ¦

2019-06-20T20:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141799462232178688

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty Yes, and it just shows a distant hot object. Probably a plane.

2019-06-20T19:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141782862657540096

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure We'll see.

2019-06-20T17:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141767314360156160

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty I'd rather talk to the guy who shot the video. Fravor never even drew a diagram.

2019-06-20T17:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141766380980715520

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure I think they didn't really look into it in depth back then. Particularly the Gimbal video glare and rotation aspect.

2019-06-20T15:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141723992522289153

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty No. I think that the radar equipment was malfunctioning, AND that Fravor did not see a hypersonic tic-tac.
However, that's just my opinion. Where it gets interesting is the actual data, which right now is just the videos. Those have boring verifiably possible explanations.

2019-06-20T15:03:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141723318371753984

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure In 2017. I'd be interested to see what they say now that they have had time to actually look into it.

2019-06-20T14:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141712470962130944

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure I really don't get this amazement that the Military wants to get to the bottom of reports of unidentified objects. Obviously on the edges of identifiability (distance, duration, situational awareness) there will be things that are unidentified. So they want to improve that.

2019-06-20T14:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141710865055379458

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure @KikPlenty I like surprises. However, I'm not surprised that a populist TV show has generated public interest. Heck, that X-Files did that too (probably much more so).

2019-06-20T14:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141708708633726976

@Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure You tell me. Imminent disclosure of alien contact, perhaps the most important event in human history, is met by almost nothing but Tom DeLonge and a breathless History channel special? Seems a bit dissonant, no?

2019-06-20T03:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141553856486133760

@PostDisclosure â□□Scientifically verified?â□□

2019-06-19T23:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141481308796776448

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis Distances change though.
Try it on a regular globe (or a basketball) Go south 1", then turn 90Â° go another inch, then another 90* and a third inch. You don't end up back where you started, so is a basketball not a sphere?

2019-06-19T16:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141382399424548865

@Heaney555 Looks like an actual bounce to me. I'd guess it's some kind of small remotely operated craft, like an RC jet plane. Hard to say with such poor quality video.

2019-06-19T04:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141204920810344450

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis So draw the diagram with a 100 mile line, then 1,000 mile, then 8,000 mile.

2019-06-19T04:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141204732754579456

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis You would only return to your start point if each side of that triangle was 8,000 miles long - i.e. a quarter of the globe. The smaller you get, then the flatter things look.

2019-06-19T04:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141195423291301888

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis Can you draw a diagram to demonstrate your claim?

2019-06-19T03:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141190045790961664

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis How far did you go? What was the size of the discrepancy you measured?

2019-06-19T03:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141189250022432769

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis Distance is measured over the surface. So they use that much.

2019-06-18T23:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141127738129969152

In #TFTRH Episode 9, I talk to Joe, who I once talked out of chemtrails, but who remains convinced there's some kind of globalist/leftist/Social Justice Warrior/Liberal Media/George Soros/New World Order type plot going on.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/06/17/episode-9-joe-former-chemtrail-conspiracist-current-new-world-order-conspiracist/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kW6c2eUGpsM

2019-06-18T23:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141126095246258176

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis More here:

https://www.metabunk.org/curvature-and-refraction-in-surveying-and-leveling-through-history-old-books-etc.t8856/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YYGm4UIAATt5j.jpg

---

SECTION IX.

LEVELLING.

Pl. 13. *fig.* 2.

LEVELLING is the art of ascertaining the perpendicular ascent or descent of one place (or more) above or below the horizontal level of another, for various intentions; and of marking out courses for conveyance of water, &c.

The *true level* is a curve conforming to the surface of the earth; as *ABG*.

The *apparent level* is a tangent to that curve; as *ADE*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YYGm4UIAATt5j.jpg

faſtened three braſs ferrils, moveable by means of joints, wherein are ſtaves; and on this foot is placed the box.

LEVELLING, the finding a line parallel to the horizon, at one or more ſtations, and ſo to determine the height of one place with regard to another. See LEVEL.

A truly level ſurface is a ſegment of any ſpherical ſurface which is concentric to the globe of the earth. A true line of level is an arch of a great circle which is imagined to be deſcribed upon a truly level ſurface.

The apparent level is a ſtraight line drawn tangent to an arch or line of true level. Every point of the apparent level, except the point of contact, is higher than the true level: thus (plate LXXIX. *fig.* 13.) let EAG be an arch of a great circle drawn upon the earth; to a perſon who ſtands upon the earth at A, the line HD is the apparent level, parallel to his rational horizon RR; but this line, the further it is extended from his ſtation A, the further it recedes from the center; for BC is longer than AC, and DC is longer than BC, &c.

The common methods of levelling are ſufficient for laying pavements of walks, for conveying water to ſmall diſtances, for placing horizontal dials, or aſtronomical inſtruments: but, in levelling the bottoms of canals which are to convey water to the diſtance of many miles, the difference between the apparent and true level muſt be taken into the account; thus (*fig.* 14.) let IAL be an arch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YYCJlUIAAc9CD.jpg

those of our scientific men who have taken the matter in hand, have decided that for all practical geodesical operations it may be regarded as one-seventh part of the allowance to be made in respect of the curvature of the earth, from which it must be deducted, as will be seen on reference to Fig. 18,—*a* being the true position of the object observed, *b* its apparent position, *c d* and *c e*



FIG. 18.

parallels drawn to account for the height of the instrument above the surface of the ground, and *d f* the allowance to be made in respect of the curvature of the earth, of which *d e* is a seventh part.

Now the curvature of the earth produces an apparent *depression* of an object viewed, as will be made quite clear from the following example and from reference to Fig. 19.

The level being properly set up, as shown in the figure, the centre of the eyepiece being 4·75 feet above the ground, and the horizontal cross web bisecting the summit of a chimney at a distance of 4 miles, required the difference of level between the site of the observation and the summit of the chimney.

	Feet.
Curvature for 4 miles (*vide* Chap. I.) = ·667 feet × 16 =	10·672
Less one-seventh for refraction	1·524
	9·148
Add for height of the instrument	4·750
Difference of level . . . . . =	13·898 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YYCIMUcAAzmy6.jpg

A LEVEL LINE.

Benj. F. La Rue.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A LINE OF TRUE LEVEL AND A LINE OF APPARENT LEVEL—THE EARTH'S CURVATURE—REFRACTION—THE PRINCIPLE OF EQUAL SIGHTS.

THE operation of leveling consists in finding the difference between the elevations of given points on the earth's surface. The difference between the elevations of two points on the earth's surface is the difference between the distances of the respective points from the center of the earth. A point is said to be *above* or *below* another point according as it is situated at a greater or less distance from the center of the earth. Thus, let *a b*, Fig. 1, represent, in an exaggerated manner, a portion of the earth's crust, shown in section. It is evident that the higher point or summit of the mountain *s* is at a greater distance from the center of the earth *o* than is the lower point or valley *r*.

In order to ascertain the difference between the elevations of any two points on the earth's surface, however, it is not necessary to know the actual distance of either point from the center of the earth.

The *difference* between the distances of the two points from the center of the earth may be determined by ascertaining their respective distances vertically above or below a horizontal or level line.

A *vertical line* is one which coincides with the direction of the force of gravity, and *vertically* means in a vertical line. The distance of any point vertically above or below a level line passing through a given point, or above or below an imaginary level line whose position is arbitrarily assumed, is called the *elevation* of the point. What is meant by a level line will be understood from what follows.

A *horizontal surface* may be defined as a surface everywhere perpendicular to the direction of the force of gravity. Such a surface is also called a *level surface*. In a very general sense, a horizontal surface corresponds to the surface of the earth. The figure formed by a perfectly horizontal surface is, therefore, very nearly that of a true spheroid. For most purposes, however, it may be considered as a sphere. The surface of a body of water at rest is a very common example of a horizontal or level surface. The intersection of a vertical plane

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YYCJXUYAEI4JK.jpg

2019-06-18T23:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141125918892576768

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis But who says that level isn't a curve? All the books on surveying for the last 300 years define "level" as following the curve of the Earth.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YX79uUwAEcZ2y.jpg

Gummere
1853

CHAPTER VIII.

LEVELLING.

The surface of an expanse of tranquil water or any similar surface concentric with it, is called a *Level Surface.* Any points situated in a level surface are said to be on the *same level;* and any line traced in such a surface is called a *line of level.*

If through any place, a level surface be conceived to pass, the distance which another place is from this surface, either above or below, measured on a line perpendicular to it, is called the *difference of level* of the two places.

Levelling is the art of determining the difference or differences of level of two or more places.

In consequence of the globular figure of the earth, a level surface is not, as it appears to be, a plane surface. It is nearly, though not exactly, spherical. In the operations of levelling we may, without sensible error, regard a level surface at any place, as being strictly a spherical surface, with a radius equal to 3956 miles, the mean radius of the earth, or, which is more exact, with a radius equal to 3968 miles;* the centre being

* The earth, if we disregard the inequalities in its surface, is an oblate spheroid. Its polar diameter is 7899 miles, and its equatorial diameter 7925 miles. A level surface is therefore a spheroidal surface. The radius of the spherical surface which most nearly coincides with any small portion of this spheroidal surface, changes slightly with the latitude of the place. For any place in the United States, the greatest error which can occur from

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YX79uUwAAB6gM.jpg

CHAPTER VII.

LEVELLING AND CONTOURING.

Levelling. Levelling is the art of determining the relative altitudes of points on the Earth's surface.

Were the Earth a true sphere, the altitude of a point might be defined as its distance from the Earth's centre; but, as it is spheroidal in shape, the definition is not exact in a general sense, though it is nearly so as regards a limited area. If a long pipe A B (fig. 146) were laid, from one place to another, with vertical pipes at intervals, and filled with water, the altitude of the water-surfaces in the upright tubes would be equal when the water has come to rest. Further, if a network of



FIG. 146.

pipes were laid over a given area, connected together, and provided with upright pipes, the water-surface in each and every pipe would stand at the same altitude.

A surface passing through all water-surfaces in the several pipes, would be a surface of equal altitude, and would be an approximately spherical surface, whose radius is equal to the mean radius of the earth at the latitude of the place.

If levelling operations were conducted, from pipe to pipe, with perfect accuracy, the difference of the altitude of the water-surface, or water-level, in any two pipes, would be zero. The surface of a still lake is a surface of equal altitude. So, also, would be a surface, passing through 'mean sea level' at various places on the earth.

It is a property of a surface of equal altitude that a plane, tangential to it at any point, will be at right angles to a plumb-line, suspended at that point.

If the plumb-line be deflected from its normal position, for instance by the attraction of some large mountain, as sometimes happens, the plane of equal altitude will be deflected also.

The effect of the mountain mass, would be to produce local elevation in the surface of equal altitude (*vide* fig. 147, dotted lines), thus reducing the apparent height of the summit, above the general mean surface, in the neighbourhood thereof. But if a tunnel were driven through the mountain perfectly level, its invert would exactly satisfy the conditions of a line of equal altitudes as before

described, and it would be *level* from a hydrostatic point of view, as well as from that of the engine-driver.

The Level. The levelling instrument, in its essential parts, consists of a telescope with a horizontal wire accurately centred in the optical axis (*vide* Chapter on Instruments), thus affording a line of collimation, and two vertical wires to define a perpendicular portion of the field of view. A spirit-level is attached to the telescope, and it is so adjusted that, when the bubble is at the centre of its run, the line of collimation is horizontal, or in other words at right angles to a plumb-line suspended from the instrument.



FIG. 147.

Levelling operations. To ascertain the difference of altitude (more commonly called the 'difference of level'), between two points, A and B (fig. 148), not far apart, it is only necessary to set up the instrument at one point A, adjust it so that the line of collimation is horizontal, direct it to a graduated staff at B, and read the height h at which the cross-wire cuts the staff.

Then, measuring the height of the centre of the telescope h above the point A, the difference between h and h_1 is the approximate 'difference level' between the points A and B.



FIG. 148.

If h_1 is greater than h, then B is lower than A, if less, then A is lower than B.

This simple procedure would give for any two points, not more than one or two hundred feet apart, a practically accurate result, provided that the instrument were in perfect adjustment.

Effect of Curvature of the Earth on Levelling. For long distances, however, this procedure is inaccurate, on account of the curvature of the 'line of equal altitudes,' otherwise called the 'curvature of the earth.'

Let A C (fig. 149) be the curved line of equal altitudes, an arc of a circle, then B C is the true difference in level between the points A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YX790VUAAUadi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YX79uUwAEcZ2y.jpg

LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CHAPTER VIII.

LEVELING.

Two or more points are said to be on a level, when they are equally distant from the center of the earth, or when they are equally distant from a tranquil fluid, situated immediately below them. A level surface on the earth, is nearly spherical, *and is not a plane;* it is everywhere *perpendicular to a plumb line.*

Any small portion of a true level surface, cannot be distinguished from a plane; and, therefore, when observations are taken in respect to level, within short distances of each other, the spherical form of the earth is disregarded, and the level treated as a plane. But when any considerable portion of surface is taken into account, the curvature of the earth's surface must be considered.

The apparent level, at any point on the earth, is a tangent plane, touching the earth at that point only, and the true level is below this, and the distance below, depends on the distance from the tangent point.



Let T be any point on the surface of the earth, at right angles to the plumb line from this point is the plane or apparent level ATB; but the true level, or the surface of standing water, would be the curved surface GTH.

The distance AG, depends on the distance AT, and the radius of the earth CG or CT.

From G, draw GD, at right angles to AC; then the two triangles ATC, AGD, are equiangular and similar, and give the proportion

$$CT : TA :: DG : GA.$$

Practically.—TA is a very short distance compared to CT, for TA, the distance within which we can take observations, is never more than two or three miles, while the distance CT is near 4000 miles; therefore, CT is nearly equal to CA, consequently, DA is nearly equal to DG, so near that we shall call it equal.

Observe that $TD = DG$; hence $DG = \frac{1}{2}TA$.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D9YX79yU0AAKygS.jpg

2019-06-18T17:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1141031289891381248

@macsunmood Are they hiring?

2019-06-18T05:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1140847617070419968

@GMan88420759 @Pandarcher @skeptropolis Says who?

2019-06-15T17:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1139946721415294977

@JoeUscinski @susie_dent maybe something like "weaponized language"

2019-06-15T16:43:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1139936593471557634

@JoeUscinski @susie_dent I'd just go with "carefully crafted language", or "persuasive language" (or "... rhetoric" to amplify it)

2019-06-14T21:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1139653226494431232

RT @priestwillis: @libsyn New episode with @MickWest. He actually appeared on the @joerogan podcast and we talk about how we got his startâ□¦

2019-06-13T05:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1139044190631878657

@cornweaver :)

https://www.metabunk.org/soundly-proving-the-curvature-of-the-earth-at-lake-pontchartrain.t8939/

2019-06-12T16:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1138845151403552769

@hoaxeye @AlanAsh69667018 It depends on the nature of the smear across the lens cover. A partial straight smear will give a partial straight streak.

2019-06-11T21:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1138564650625249280

In #TFTRH Episode 8, I talk to Sasha, a very interesting Flat Earther I met at the conference in Vegas. Sasha is a fascinating mix of understanding some things very well and getting other things fundamentally and inexplicably wrong.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/06/11/episode-8-sasha-chatting-with-a-flat-earther/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-flmkPyQls0

2019-06-10T04:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137943816978161668

@MicrobiomDigest Excellent summary! I enjoyed your talk Elisabeth, very important work you are doing in detecting science misconduct.

2019-06-09T23:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137862302693113856

@dennissutherby Actually itâ□□s probably not dectectable at all in that view, as youâ□□ve got

variable trees and ground.

2019-06-09T23:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137861722885124096

@jbrownonline Either tonight or tomorrow, Iâ□□m at a conference right now.

2019-06-09T00:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137521439236452359

@dennissutherby You are seeing it there. Just not a lot. It gradually increases.

2019-06-08T15:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137378787555856386

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski But you can see the effect by compressing the JPG. You can also zoom in a scroll left to right to see the slight curve.

2019-06-08T15:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137377995335667713

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski It needs Photoshop

---

2019-06-08T14:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137371722091335680

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Here's the still image: https://www.metabunk.org/f/Image%20Compression%20demo.jpg

And the Photoshop PSD of the animation.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Image%20Compression%20demo.psd

2019-06-08T14:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137369573219430406

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Yes, it's slightly curved.

Here's an example, two sets of two lines, one perfectly straight, and one slightly curved. I compress the top set of lines. Straight stays straight, curve is magnified.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Image-Compression-demo.gif

2019-06-08T05:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137232058856509440

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski No. He took a photo of a line. It was curved before the compression.

2019-06-08T03:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137200115083427840

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Stretching an image can only magnify a curve.

If you draw a straight line in the image in photoshop, and then stretch it then the straight line will remain straight.

2019-06-08T03:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137199079786594309

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski It is there. Same in this image. The line in the IMAGE is curved.

2019-06-07T20:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1137091904468803584

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Yes, it'a quite hard to get an image with zero distortion with a cheap camera. But the horizon bends more than the straight edge, and constantly from one side to another. Subtract the lens distortion seen in the straight edge and you get the actual horizon bend.

2019-06-07T01:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136805019955556352

@Da_BuDMaN @skeptropolis Itâ□□s not a small distance, itâ□□s 20 miles. But you need 20 level miles in a straight line, a shallow view angle and high zoom.

2019-06-07T00:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136799534707642369

@Da_BuDMaN @skeptropolis Less zoom. You need high zoom at a shallow angle. The earth is very large, so the curve is very gradual and needs magnifying.

2019-06-07T00:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136797306370453504

@Da_BuDMaN @skeptropolis Thatâ□□s a salt flat. The road is on the ground.

2019-06-06T16:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136669803077201920

@livingEVOL They are there. Just hard to see planes with no contrails pointing to them. Contrail formation depends on high-altitiude weather.

2019-06-06T16:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136668388342652928

@jesse_kozlowski @skeptropolis Did any of the FE folk respond to this? I'd suspect one objection would be that GNSS is operating from cell phone towers and balloons and is just pretending to give you a curve.
I'd be impressed if they attempted the math behind that.

2019-06-06T16:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136664377552134144

@Fr1nk3 @skeptropolis A long exposure of that would be pretty cool. You'd see the headlights trace the curve.

2019-06-06T15:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136657677491118080

@CryptGold @PostDisclosure @__dregs__ @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell You don't have to go that far. I'd happily look at good evidence. Like close up photos, or even just photos of something other than blobs or lights.
Multiple sources would be ideal.

It's an oft-made point, but we have a billion times as much photographic power as 50 years ago.

2019-06-06T14:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136647582149570561

@brax_whit @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski I watched @jeranism's video. The countertop is curved in the image, but that's probably just his camera. An actual straight line in the image will not curve when stretched. He seems to misunderstand this simple point, and everything follows from that misunderstanding.

2019-06-06T14:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136636396209180672

@brax_whit @DavidJayB @ethan__tyson @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Which is why you included a straight edge next to the horizon. Thus proving the horizon curves.

2019-06-06T00:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136428817801654272

@PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @__dregs__ @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell That photo is from 1971. That's 50 years ago.

2019-06-05T23:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136417353720246275

@JeremyCorbell @Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure With all these eyes on it (the various forms of it) for multiple minutes, you'd think there will be some great video and/or photos. Heck someone said "it" hovered somewhere for hours. So there must be AMAZING videos!
I'll wait.

2019-06-05T23:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136415732210626562

@CypressXMX @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Why don't you write down side by side what actually correlates? Did the radar ever actually correlate with an actual movement that was witnessed? Pilots were being sent to check on returns. Often they saw nothing. Does that mean there was nothing?

2019-06-05T22:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136394407853285376

@Da_BuDMaN @skeptropolis The structures on the side of the bridge are all the same size. They want the road level, so it follows the curve of the earth.
And look at the BOTTOM of the structures, where they meet the water. You can still see the curve there even on this side of the horizon.

2019-06-05T21:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136386778208673792

@brax_whit @skeptropolis @WBislin @jesse_kozlowski Because it's curved. My countertops are very flat, but one still has about a 0.5mm dip in the middle.
Use a carpenter's level.

2019-06-05T21:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136386255288094720
@Da_BuDMaN @skeptropolis Harry, it's not visible everywhere as you need a long (10-20+ miles) exactly straight road on perfectly flat ground (or fixed height above water), a good zoom lens, and an unobstructed viewpoint at a shallow angle. None of those things are in your photo). But they exist.

2019-06-05T21:31:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136385193076379648
@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Yet the last statement "at no time during the incident" refers to the whole incident. Maybe she clarified this on TV?

2019-06-05T21:29:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136384468795633666
@brax_whit @skeptropolis Not distortion, just perspective compression. Which does not make things curve if they are flat, it just exaggerates the curve.
So there's a curve on the surface of the water. Same curve on most of the bridge. Bigger curve on some bits of the bridge.

Example. Actual photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8U_Yh4VsAAfGzq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8U_Yh4VsAAfGzq.jpg
2019-06-05T17:59:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136331719936581632
@PostDisclosure @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell Then why does she say they lost visual contact "After approximately 8 to 10 seconds?"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8UPoAqUcAA7REA.jpg



worried for them because whatever this was, Sex and Noodle didn't stand a chance against it! There is now way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2's aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously but in a controlled, intelligent manner "tumbled" into nonsensical angles, that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and "pointed at them". OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned successfully to the U.S.S. Nimitz without further incident. Upon recovery of both aircraft, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 arrived for their routine intelligence debriefing only to find that no debriefing official was available. Furthermore, closed circuit television onboard the ship had movies involving aliens and paranormal activities playing. (Field Comment – Source was furious that colleagues on the ship were not taking the incident seriously and were playing the movies "Signs", "Men in Black", and "X-Files". Source believed it was a flight safety issue at a minimum.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8UPoAqUcAA7REA.jpg

2019-06-05T17:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136323367030706179

@skeptropolis It's a shame that Wendover is in the middle of nowhere!

2019-06-05T17:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136320745959710720

@07904567432b @skeptropolis Not entirely. There are some facts in the world.

2019-06-05T17:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136319877520642048

@07904567432b @skeptropolis I'm an equal opportunity debunker. Flat Earth is a fun topic, and the people are fascinating.

2019-06-05T16:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136315862191763456

@skeptropolis You can even (just) make the curve of the Earth in Google Street View photos

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8UBCWrVUAAoaWP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8UBCWrVUAAoaWP.jpg

2019-06-05T16:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136307451261739008

Two of the best ever photos of the curve of the Earth. One over land (Bonneville Salt Flats from Wendover, NV, Michelle Pilling Photography), the other over water (Lake Pontchartrain Causeway, @skeptropolis). They are like giant science demonstrations that you can also drive on. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8TyYyzUEAAfIw6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8TyN-EUEAEtPu7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8TyYyzUEAAfIw6.jpg

2019-06-05T07:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136166965125324801

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell He didn't mail his Aunt. She did. And where is this "lab notebook?" Why not publish that? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8R5wlqUcAERExa.jpg

---



Upon losing visual contact with the object, both F-18s were low on fuel and returned successfully to the U.S.S. Nimitz without further incident. Upon recovery of both aircraft, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 arrived for their routine intelligence debriefing only to find that no debriefing official was available. Furthermore, closed circuit television onboard the ship had movies involving aliens and paranormal activities playing. (Field Comment – Source was furious that colleagues on the ship were not taking the incident seriously and were playing the movies "Signs", "Men in Black", and "X-Files". Source believed it was a flight safety issue at a minimum, especially if they were deliberately vectored to a testing location of a blue-force weapon system.)

After not receiving an intelligence debriefing, Source, OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 entered the Ready Room, where OK-2 slammed closed and secured both hatchways and began making an electronic copy of the gun tape from his F-18. During this time, Source made detailed written notes of the incident on available printer paper and mailed them to her Aunt with the notice "keep this because this is important stuff about some real X-files shit." (Field Comment – Source is unaware if copies of the gun tapes still exist but maintains an original copy of her notes and her log book entry.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8R5wlqUcAERExa.jpg

2019-06-05T06:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136163899294638080

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Illusions experienced by military pilots. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8R29tbVsAA_tp9.png

---

Abbreviated Description (Ranked by % of all pilots reporting SD incident)	% Reporting at least 1 incident				
	All	FJ	ME	TR	RW
Leans	76.0	83.8	74.5	74.5	75.2
Loss of horizon – atmospheric	69.2	78.4	71.9	64.8	66.3
Sloping horizon	66.4	71.6	78.5	58.4	65.3
Coriolis	60.8	62.2	59.4	62.3	42.6
Distraction/task saturation	60.7	64.7	68.2	55.3	60.4
Night approach – black hole	58.1	65.2	82.6	42.4	57.4
Misleading altitude cues	49.8	64.7	58.9	37.2	80.2
False sense of pitching up	44.3	53.4	45.3	42.3	19.8
Poor crew coordination	40.5	18.6	60.9	35.4	68.3
Giant hand	38.4	40.8	37.7	36.5	55.4
Misjudgment of position – night	37.8	75.0	55.2	15.3	37.6
Elevator illusion	37.1	37.0	37.6	37.1	31.7
Autokinesis	36.7	40.8	51.9	25.9	45.5
False sense of pitching down	36.5	47.6	37.0	33.8	17.8
G-Excess	35.9	53.2	21.2	39.4	13.2
Loss of horizon – sand, dust, snow	33.0	41.0	42.8	21.4	76.2
Graveyard spiral	31.7	31.4	31.8	31.3	38.6
False sense of yaw	31.5	38.7	41.6	24.5	25.7
Inappropriate use of sun etc	24.2	35.9	26.0	18.9	22.8
Roll-reversal error	23.0	20.3	21.8	24.2	25.7
False sense of inversion	22.9	27.8	20.0	23.4	12.9
Inability to read instruments	22.1	19.2	18.0	25.8	18.8
Problems interpreting head-down display	19.8	27.8	22.4	14.8	22.8
Flicker vertigo	19.6	22.9	23.3	15.1	36.6
Instrument malfunction	13.4	14.5	14.5	10.9	18.8
SD while using night vision goggles (NVGs)	11.7	13.7	17.6	3.3	72.3
Detachment	10.8	6.6	13.5	10.9	11.9
Problems interpreting head-up display (HUD)	9.9	38.0	3.0	4.0	1.0
SD using aerial flare	9.5	17.7	7.1	7.1	12.9
SD using forward looking infra-red (FLIR)/targeting aids	8.8	24.1	5.6	2.7	32.7
Graveyard spin	6.3	4.3	2.4	9.2	1.0
Undetected drift	5.9	2.4	1.5	3.0	90.1
Other	3.3	5.6	2.7	2.6	3.0
Problems interpreting helmet mounted display (HMD)	2.1	2.8	2.6	1.5	0

Table 6. Rank Order of the Most Frequently Experienced Illusions

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8R29tbVsAA_tp9.png

2019-06-05T06:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136162969874681857

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Maybe thinking he was within 1,000 feet of something was part of a loss in situational awareness? How can we tell? Just trust Fravor because he's a stand-up guy and trained pilots never mess up?

It's a possibility that should remain on the list.

2019-06-05T06:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136161250516193280

@__dregs__ @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell What exactly do they say about the correlation?

2019-06-05T06:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136161024518774785

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Or perhaps in the two seconds after making a mental transition from intercepting drug runners, to a suicide mission preventing another 9/11, and then to search and rescue for a crashed plane, she was momentarily and understandably confused. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8Rzqn0UIAAQbu9.jpg

---

As both F-18s approached the new operating area, the female controller announced "approaching merge plot". At this time, the female controller asked "what is your load-out?" (Field Comment – the request for load-out refers to the quantity and type of ordinance the aircraft is armed with.) OK-2 responded to the female controller "none, practice rounds only". (Source Comment – At this point I was frightened due to the fact that we were being asked if we had any weapons available. I became concerned because we were in a situation that we may have to use our aircraft itself as weapon. I was thinking to myself that this could be another September 11th – style attack that were being asked to intercept.) As both F-18s approached the target location, the female controller began to count down the anticipated intercept time, "two minutes to merge plot", etc. Finally, the female controller indicated "merge plot" and announced "you should have visual."

As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, she noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be "roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined she thought she was be witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as she made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified areal system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a "tic-tac" candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8Rzqn0UIAAQbu9.jpg
2019-06-05T06:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136156682055180289
@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell The moon is rather noticeable because it's so bright. But that's not really the point. The issue was the description of the Tic-Tac's altitude, size, and speed - and it "crossing" the disturbance when parallax makes it impossible to tell.

2019-06-05T06:20:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136155834734374912
@__dregs__ @Oswald1160 @PostDisclosure @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell It does not correlate.

2019-06-05T00:53:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136073564946219008
@PostDisclosure @__dregs__ @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell Yay, more recent blurry videos, and some even less compelling anecdotes.

2019-06-05T00:28:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136067166917152768
@__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell They would astonishing, paradigm shifting, and epochal â□□ ushering in a new era of scientific progress and discovery.
So it's a real shame that all we have is some anecdotes from a decade ago and some blurry video of some things that resemble balloons and jets.

2019-06-05T00:02:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1136060642715029506

@PostDisclosure @Juddlydoright @JeremyCorbell I'm sure there were radar returns of some sort. But I don't see any form of direct correlation with the described movement of the "tic-tac". And radar returns that break the laws of physics are automatically suspicious.

2019-06-04T23:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136059776033419265

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell And the geometry of her account makes no sense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8QYTDdUIAAUh1l.jpg

---

Then the sizes of things. 60 foot by 80 foot for the water disturbance, and half that (30 to 40 foot long) for the tic-tac. This is very small to see from "10,000 to 20,000 feet." And the tic-tac was at 1000 to 3000 feet above the tiny patch of water, and yet it "traveled from left to right **over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet ... at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line.**"

300 knots is about 500 feet per second. This means it would have travelled over the 80 foot disturbance in 0.16 seconds.

However the jets themselves were also travelling at around 250 knots (422 feet per second) at 10,000 feet, looking down at a moving object at 1,000 feet. It's literally impossible to describe this at moving over the disturbed water. It could only possible have lined up for a fraction of a second. Here's a side view of the movements and relative positions for 1 second.



It makes no sense. You can't say it was going across the region if it only happened to be lined up for a fraction of a second - a fraction which is entirely depending on the position of the jet as well as the UFO.

Any sensible analysis would have involved a 3D recreation of the movement based on all available information. That would have shown that the description given is just nonsensical, and almost certainly the result of a loss of situational awareness.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8QYTDdUIAAUh1l.jpg

2019-06-04T23:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136059389599551488

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell No, for a start her account is a bizarre series of different expectations and emotional states.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8QX97BUYAADF-8.jpg

I became nervous when I heard the female controller for the second time, **I could sense concern and urgency** in her voice and I realized this was not a drill and that this was for real.
...
Source then remarked to OK-1, "Bad ass!" (Source Comment - As a new pilot, the idea that we were being asked to intercept drug runners was **exciting** to me.
...
At this point **I was frightened** due to the fact that we were being asked if we had any weapons available.
I became concerned because we were in a situation that **we may have to use our aircraft itself as weapon.**
I was thinking to myself that this could be another September 11th-style attack that we were being asked to intercept
...
Source opined **they thought they were witnessing a crash** perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, **as they made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.**
...
Source **immediately became alarmed** and initially thought that perhaps this was an **unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine**. As such, they were **concerned that the object could pose a threat,** especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.
...
Source Comment - **I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before I was worried** for them because whatever this was, **I didn't stand a chance** against it!
...
Source was furious that colleagues on the ship were not taking the incident seriously and were playing the movies "Signs", "Men in Black", and "X-Files". Source believed it was a flight safety issue at a minimum, especially if they were deliberately vectored to a testing location of a blue-force [friendly, i.e. US] weapon system
...
OK-1, OK-2, and OK-3 entered the Ready Room, where OK-2 **slammed closed** and secured both hatchways and began making an electronic copy of the gun tape from his F-18. During this time, Source made detailed written notes of the incident on available printer paper and **mailed them to their Aunt** with the notice "keep this because this is important stuff about some real X-files shit."
...
Source indicated they experienced some time dilation during the incident but believes it was due to their **heightened state of excitement and adrenaline**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8QX97BUYAADF-8.jpg

2019-06-04T20:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1136005718958518272

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell She gave TTSA a statement on the record in 2017. This new thing is the same, but on video.

https://coi.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report

2019-06-04T19:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135991282667380736

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure From who? There's the same two people telling the same story they told years ago.

2019-06-04T16:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135945280514187264

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure Of course! But what's the new information? Fravor telling his story again? "Source" telling her story again?

2019-06-03T21:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135655746727636992

@AntonioSubi @SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github @Mick @mck No, standard refraction has very little distortion because it has and essentially linear refractive index gradient. This is refraction caused by a temperature inversion (warm air over cool air)

2019-06-03T18:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135621122106437632

@diz_whit @PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk @RichDolan How do you know it went "deep black?"

2019-06-03T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135620778978816001

@RodrigoLVieira1 @PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @dcwebster041 @Metabunk See above.

2019-06-03T18:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135614623086927872

@PostDisclosure @dcwebster041 @Metabunk And yet the program ended.

2019-06-03T18:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135614417360437248

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @dcwebster041 @Metabunk Conjecture? I posted a quote from a Pentagon spokesperson.

2019-06-03T15:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135564813256470529

@AntonioSubi @SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github @Mick See that distortion? Thatâ□□s refraction.

2019-06-03T12:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135520692550610944

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure It's essentially the same account that was published over a year ago. It discussed it starting here: https://www.metabunk.org/posts/216966/ (using "he" as her information was redacted)

2019-06-03T02:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135366276769955840

@AntonioSubi @SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github And itâ□□s not to bad even for things like ships and lighthouses - the main misuse was for laser/light visibility where the light squeezes through in the compressed bit by the horizon.

2019-06-03T02:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135365588681801728

@AntonioSubi @SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github It works fine for mountains, and in good atmospheric conditions.

2019-06-02T14:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135189293352095750

@dcwebster041 @Metabunk Can you provide any quotes to back that up?

2019-06-02T14:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135189002523332609

@FlatEartherMatt @ChristianPoet2 People noticing is the point. They have been ignoring this problem for far too long.

2019-06-02T14:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1135188289680371712

@Alien_Scientist @martingeddes Erm, why would I be saying there's no Rabbit Hole when I wrote a book about the Rabbit Hole?

2019-06-01T23:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134958905434361856

@whoopsbuni @NothingSirius @JonandRoGilbert @RogersCentre Here's about 700 feet of Catalina Island, hidden BEHIND the horizon. "poor visibility" has nothing to do with it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8Au-HYU0AAbt74.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D8Au-HYU0AAbt74.jpg

2019-06-01T20:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134924453148676096

@JonandRoGilbert @NothingSirius @whoopsbuni @RogersCentre

https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/

2019-06-01T17:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134875681777520640

@dcwebster041 @Metabunk Pentagon spokesperson Christopher Sherwood: â□□Mr. Elizondo had no responsibilities with regard to the AATIP program while he worked in OUSDI [the Office of Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence], up until the time he resigned effective 10/4/2017.â□□

https://theintercept.com/2019/06/01/ufo-unidentified-history-channel-luis-elizondo-pentagon/

2019-06-01T17:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134871959345328128

In #TFTRH Episode 7, I report back from my trip to the â□□Exit the Matrixâ□□ Flat Earth Expo in Las Vegas, where I gave a presentation, had a debate, and talked to a lot of Flat Earth believers.
https://youtu.be/3GQY7EVbHp8
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/06/01/episode-7-flat-earth-exit-the-matrix-expo/

2019-06-01T17:13:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134870651859193856
@ethersvoice @YouTube My reply to him. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7_eztTUEAA1HZH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7_eztTUEAA1HZH.jpg
2019-06-01T16:17:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134856445764104193
@NothingSirius @RogersCentre That's what the note is referring to. You need a more complex algorithm that accounts for refraction from a non-linear temperature gradient. Here is one such implementation: https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7_R5ClU8AETo08.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7_R5ClU8AETo08.jpg

2019-06-01T14:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134833381705781249

@NothingSirius Rewording for clarity is not changing parameters. No numbers were changed.

2019-06-01T09:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134757744789975040

@SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github @AntonioSubi Hmm, I guess the use of "grazing" (as in "grazing angle") is a bit confusing. I've changed it to: "Note: Not accurate for observations over water very close to the horizon"

2019-06-01T08:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134740096664530944

@SurferCosmic @NothingSirius @github @AntonioSubi The note in the curve calculator, "Not accurate for horizon grazing observations over water" is sometime I've said before. I've discussed this fact in great length. But people keep ignoring it, so I've made it more prominent. https://www.metabunk.org/curve/

2019-06-01T00:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134617572899315712

@Real_StephenLaw @CallmeConor @kumailn Like what?

2019-05-31T21:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134569107855106049

@PostDisclosure @ufo_seekers @ufoofinterest @devgru1980mi Clearly one hypothesis is not going to fit all cases. You need to be more specific.

2019-05-31T18:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134520972017487872

@PostDisclosure @ufo_seekers @ufoofinterest @devgru1980mi Why would you only ask for one hypothesis to explain thousands of very different observations and measurements?

2019-05-31T17:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1134508604688461824

Motion is relative, and even MORE dangerous.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gcGSD2PgQA8

2019-05-31T17:11:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134507685674508288
Motion is relative. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HJ79PJq-CWY

2019-05-30T19:24:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134178864039469057
@Stef_Chasseloup @FreemanStahl @SPACEdotcom I'd say nothing does that. And really you are synthesizing accounts here. NOBODY saw a "cubed shaped object [which] drops from 30,000 ft to 500 ft below the oceanâ□□s surface in .78 seconds"
You can't just add things together like that.

2019-05-30T19:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134177938637615105
@MachinesSekret @UFOL3TA That's what we call a drone zip-by, an object close to the drone has a high apparent motion but it's largely due to the motion of the drone itself. The drone is fast, but high up.

2019-05-30T15:08:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1134114415056285696
@chasekuertz A featureless white blob?

2019-05-30T06:11:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133979293023854592
@Scribe1969 So you think that what actually happened (whatever it might be) resembles a ludicrous conspiracy theory?

2019-05-30T04:58:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133960729273389057
@Scribe1969 That seems obviously false. Maybe you would like to rephrase it?

2019-05-30T04:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133950233342144513
Everyone draws their conspiracy line in a different place. "Tim Osman" debunks Flat Earth, but thinks 9/11 was an inside job. We interview each other on Tales From The Rabbit Hole #6
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qfM4crQtTsg
https://www.youtube.com/redirect?q=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.tftrh.com%2F2019%2F05%2F28%2Fepisode-6-tim-osman-debunker-and-conspiracist%2F&event=video_description&v=qfM4crQtTsg&redir_token=fyDivx-e0OPnB5Fc4PW_c4L55el8MTU1OTI3NTc5M0AxNTU5MTg5Mzkz
#TFTRH

2019-05-29T23:59:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133885577902796800
@naoehogui @Enttiis The conspiracy spectrum goes from 0 to 10. UFOs are on there because of the theorizing about some government cover-up. Being on the same spectrum as Flat Earth does not mean itâ□□s the same degree.

2019-05-29T22:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133870287504728065

@shepdizle Whoâ□□s paying?

2019-05-29T22:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133870093916672006

@RodrigoLVieira1 @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure Why didnâ□□t they go take a photo?

2019-05-29T22:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133863893095239680

@shepdizle Why would I do that? Skinwalker ranch is very clearly bullshit. Transdimensionsal wolves?

2019-05-29T22:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133862777674555392

@Enttiis It's all part of the same spectrum.

2019-05-29T19:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133819054567747584

@MarkDRudningen No.

2019-05-29T19:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133810419175346176

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure I'll wait for the details of this corroboration.

2019-05-29T18:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133809969088720896

@Mikelynette "Giant hand" is an illusion where pilots feel like a giant invisible hand is pushing the plane in one direction. It has led pilots to eject when there is nothing wrong with the plane. As you can see from the above table, it's relatively common.

https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a235654.pdf

2019-05-29T18:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133800202895208448

@buffcommacu @FreemanStahl Open to mystery? Exactly what happened in these various cases is a mystery.

I'm open to any explanation that fits the evidence. If you have two that fit, then ones that don't defy conventional physics obviously seem far more likely.

2019-05-29T18:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133799617835876352

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure Not put like that no, but I don't that's an accurate summary of the various accounts. Can you put four independent descriptions side by side?

2019-05-29T17:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133791395347480577

@Juddlydoright @PostDisclosure Why wouldn't I defend a position I think is correct? It's not like I'm saying "there are no alien visitors." I'm saying: "here are some reasonable explanations for the videos, and don't assume pilots never make mistakes"

I stand behind that 100%

2019-05-29T17:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133781569179492352

@gorskon The III there is a reference to the Three Percenters, a loose militia organization, some of whom think Trump is fighting a tyrannical deep state and might need them to take up arms to help him. It's like QAnon with less eating children, and more guns.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/3_Percenters

2019-05-29T17:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133780259067682816

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure So what is the "them" they saw every day. There seem to be quite a variety of phenomena that people see.

2019-05-29T16:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133780018910248960

@PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy Sun = Glare.

2019-05-29T16:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133771527239790592

@PostDisclosure My point here is that we should not discount the possibility, on a per-case basis, that a pilot might not have seen an actual flying object. I get the sense that people are passionately defending Cmdr. Fravor's account as if he's some kind of precision instrument. He's human.

2022-06-19T15:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538551205214883840

@SumOfUs1 @TheDiddyBop @ITalkParanormal Where are these witnesses? Or even some direct quotes from them?

2022-06-19T15:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538550924267773952

@ARTUROSAND79 @__Nimitz @jaimemaussan1 The high birds are very large and slow. The low bird is small and fast.

2022-06-19T15:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538547085984772096

@TheDiddyBop Thanks!

But how was I wrong "early on"?

2022-06-19T15:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538540010562985984

@tdeeefc @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO Nothing he has seen can make these stars actually be flying alien pyramids.

2022-06-19T15:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538539810683355136

@Falkyrs @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO The triangles in this slide are stars.

The US Military seems to think they are drones.

Jeremy seems to think they are flying alien pyramids.

But they are stars.

So they should retract their statements.

2022-06-19T15:07:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538538989220614146
I wonder if this the replication experiment that Scott Bray was referring to in congressional committee testimony.

Here we see most of the elements of the "UFO" triangle video - including the shimmering of bright stars.

Video by Jesse.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538538161847930880/pu/img/P74wIcmkk5znCBe2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538538161847930880/pu/img/P74wIcmkk5znCBe2.jpg
2022-06-19T15:04:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538538103924834305
@corgisphere @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO He was cited in a congressional committee, and interviewed by many major media outlets.

I don't take him seriously, I take it seriously that other people do.

2022-06-19T15:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538537652248465408

@steve_crazed The "other stars" are noise. Image intersifies also intensify noise.

Here's a replication.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538537529577656321/pu/img/5JD00q2nLh0_D-z9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1538537529577656321/pu/img/5JD00q2nLh0_D-z9.jpg

2022-06-19T15:00:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538537188018688000

@zorbonk @bhkufg The one that moves is either a plane or a drone. I think a plane is more likely.

The non-moving ones are all stars, (and one planet)

2022-06-19T14:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538536923601330176

@__Nimitz @ARTUROSAND79 @jaimemaussan1 It's a bird. It's not at high as the other birds.

SFA

2022-06-19T14:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538536612451143682

@james4125 The other points of light are noise (it's an image intensifier, that's what you get).

I'm 99.9999% sure the non-moving triangles are stars - as much as looking up in the night sky and seeing Orion's belt.

They got it wrong. I don't know exactly how.

2022-06-19T14:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538535569860440064

@AtticusFinch_JD @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 I'd rename it "Meta", but the name is taken, and "MetaInvestigations" is a bit unwieldy.

I'm fine with Metabunk. We transcend simple bunk!

2022-06-19T14:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538534825509867521

@corgisphere @JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO The slide here shows stars, so regarding this slide, and the video it is taken from, the US Military is incorrect.

But my broader point here is I'm disagreeing with Jeremy, who claimed there were flying pyramids.

2022-06-19T14:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538534036120870913

@openupforfacts I think it is not true.

Perhaps you could quote the Spanish Meteorological Agency, and not a misleading headline?

2022-06-19T08:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538439097584218113

@zorbonk @bhkufg Those lights ended up in official Pentagon briefing slides.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVmiMX6VIAEPpgA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVmiMX6VIAEPpgA.jpg

2022-06-19T08:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538437852580544512

@JeremyCorbell @Condorman6 @PacificBasin @USNavCNO Jeremy, are you going to address

the fact that this slide (and the video it came from) shows stars, and not drones? (and certainly not flying pyramids)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVmg9iiUYAAY6qJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVmg9iiUYAAY6qJ.jpg
2022-06-19T08:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538436463573929986
@FrobertKinsey @AtticusFinch_JD @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 That was an early hypothesis - part of an investigation is forming and testing hypotheses. That one was based on stills from the video. It went way down the list after some analysis of the actual video.

2022-06-19T07:36:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538425544215130113
@Serg_is_Vargas @OMApproach @WhatDaMath @nadiamdrake What has been "debunked" are the claims that they demonstrate extraordinary physics. We have not actually identified what the craft are, just that they are probably not anything incredible. GoFast is probably a balloon.

2022-06-19T07:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538425215146790913
@AtticusFinch_JD @UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 I do. I removed the word "debunker" from my profile after @uncertainvector told me that it put him off talking to me.

Debunking is finding things that are demonstrably wrong in claims. But a lot of what I do is simply investigate photos or videos to find out what they show.

2022-06-19T07:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538424537682874369
@encyclopath @cantillon17 @SawanPa77614095 Yes, it was the first game I worked on in the

US.

2022-06-19T07:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538422935848816640

@encyclopath @cantillon17 @SawanPa77614095 Aha indeed, I'd forgotten all about that. I only worked on the Genesis version. Looks like the SNES one was done by a different developer entirely.

2022-06-19T07:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538421346308214784

@_Toltecway_ All but one of them appear to be stars, so maybe that one. We don't know.

2022-06-19T06:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538415840663867392

@bhkufg Proof here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-19T06:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538415534995214337

@jangrosser85 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-19T06:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538415328438366208

@UnknownSekrets @uncertainvector @SilvaRecord @DietrichVFA41 Why not present it and you'll find out. I love new evidence.

2022-06-19T06:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538414985277190144

@__Nimitz Because they are wrong.

2022-06-19T06:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538413985275387905

The first slide here shows the stars Tarazed and Altair. This has been conclusively shown by the analysis of new videos. The rigging in the middle shot matches.
The Pentagon thought stars were drones.
Corbell thought they were flying pyramids.
What else did they get wrong? https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1380236262892732417

2022-06-19T00:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538324169946898432

@encyclopath @SawanPa77614095 It is, look like Mobygames screwed it up.

2022-06-19T00:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538319792829894656

Dang, that should have been in my last video!

2022-06-19T00:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538319679567015937

@SawanPa77614095 Here you go:
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspira

cies

2022-06-19T00:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538319361865199616

Oh!

The images in this slide are taken from the newly released Navy video (1704...)

They show the stars Altair and Tarazed.

They are identified, apparently BY THE UAP TASK FORCE, as UNK UAS - Unknown Unmanned Aerial Systems, i.e. drones.

What is going on? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVk0UFgVsAAeNtk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVk0UFgVsAAeNtk.jpg

2022-06-19T00:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538316806783311872

@6diego32 @LueElizondo @TheUFOTrail No, I did not.

If you make claims like that, then back them up with a direct quote.

2022-06-19T00:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538314714626674688

I think "UFO Sightings Explained" is rather overselling things. It's more like "If UFOs are alien visitors, then let's speculate about how that might have happened and what their motivations are" https://twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1538310260477218816

2022-06-19T00:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538313682718887936

RT @JasonColavito: The Republican Party of Texas is literally a hate group.

2022-06-19T00:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538312827873525760
@Bubblez58714468 Looks like a cloud.

2022-06-18T23:31:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538303328160915456
@MusicAndTruth @zcichy https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1538302187993870336

2022-06-18T23:26:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538302187993870336
@Manning123Sm @RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail Obviously, he's saying Nazis are bad. The point is he's using a silly debunked claim that Nazis are socialists, in a way that is essentially an attack on socialists.

He's not claiming neo-nazis are good, he's saying they are socialists.

2022-06-18T23:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538299502850736128
@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail That's great, but irrelevant.

If Lue wants to clarify his statements, then he's got plenty of ways of doing it.

2022-06-18T23:14:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538299066664112128
@WhatDaMath @nadiamdrake Thanks, Anton. Very thoughtful video.

2022-06-18T23:08:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538297670648745985
RT @WhatDaMath: Took 3 days to make this, it's probably going to be the most disliked and unsubscribed from video, featuring @nadiamdrake aâ¦

2022-06-18T23:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538296547401605120
@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail Lue said:

"They say, [..] the Nazi Party is, the neo-Nazi Party, is a far-right extremist group. Well, let's look at that. Is it really?"

Obviously, it is. The origin story in the 1930s is irrelevant to neo-Nazis.

2022-06-18T22:59:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538295347222441984
@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail Ideally, words would have no power. But that's not how the world actually works.

Shrugging off casual racism isn't going to make it stop.

2022-06-18T22:57:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538294884506796032

@mbowdle1 It's a video where they say they are looking at four drones, but the video shows four stars.

This does not disparage the entire armed forces. It's just one thing.

2022-06-18T22:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538290366960439297

@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail I'm not condemning him for simply discussing words. I'm saying he's wrong about words not having power. He is diminishing the significant of racial insults and saying people should just shrug them off.

2022-06-18T22:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538289533711577089

@LewisHall11 @TheUfoJoe @LueElizondo Dictatorships did that.

I support social democracy. I don't like the silly linking of Nazi's and socialism, especially as it's bullshit. The Nazis were racists and fascists.

https://www.britannica.com/story/were-the-nazis-socialists

2022-06-18T22:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538288333075271681

@TheUfoJoe @LueElizondo You follow me. That's your call.

2022-06-18T22:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538288136039432192

@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail Free country. He can say what he likes.

But why is trying to rehabilitate the usage of "spic" and "gook", and implying Socialist are Nazis, considered "persuing unity not emnity"

2022-06-18T22:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538287550116179968

@TheUfoJoe @LueElizondo The Nazi thing isn't about supporting Nazis. It's about attacking socialism.

It's just D'Souza-level pandering.

2022-06-18T22:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538286568166658049

@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail I did not misrepresent him, I quoted the entire thing.

2022-06-18T22:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538283573651726336

@KellyGr11032786 Nobody, I'm retired. I just find it interesting.

But if I was paid, then they would still be stars.

2022-06-18T22:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538283243983687680

@BostonsBuddha I just mean the original interview tapes. He said years ago that he was trying to get them into a form researchers could use.

Youtube would be a good form.

2022-06-18T21:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538280389654900736

@BostonsBuddha Did he say when he's going to release all the original tapes?

2022-06-18T21:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538278091142770688

@__Nimitz Stars don't lie.

2022-06-18T21:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538277689718517760

@BostonsBuddha How do you know this?

2022-06-18T21:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538266701707390976

@the_cholla He probably thought there were drones.

But that's a problem. You should not let expectations bias your perceptions. That should be in Observer Trainining 101

2022-06-18T20:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538260610500112384

@AtticusFinch_JD The long video was released after the congressional hearing. The shorter one came via The Drive.

https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/navy-releases-videos-from-mysterious-drone-swarms-around-warships-off-california

---

2022-06-18T20:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538257613434982401

@TChatary I have.

2022-06-18T20:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538257403531042816

A recently released video shows a Navy team leader videoing four lights, he describes them as possible drones. However, they were actually easily identifiable stars.

What's going on?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pbxtTEWczRk

2022-06-18T19:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538244582323785728

@RobHeatherly1 @straub_tj @TheUFOTrail Like Elizondo with the s-word and the g-word, Rogan

was not USING the n-word, he was DISCUSSING it, and bemoaning how we can't speak freely using certain words.

2022-06-18T17:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538217952901664770

@CPA9320 @Spectre67904513 And yet we have videos of stars being presented as drones.

This hyper-competent military you imply, it does not seem to present itself.

2022-06-18T17:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538216195056275456

@JoseMar10156725 @GC48021171 @chrisotis78 @YouTube Does it also go underground a few seconds earlier?

2022-06-18T17:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538212904893222912

RT @IDoubtIt: Texarkana Fish Rain Mystery Solved

https://sharonahill.com/2022/06/18/texarkana-fish-rain-mystery-solved/

2022-06-18T17:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538212530157326336

@CKakadan @KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 And I don't think Underwood ever mentioned the "feet"

2022-06-18T17:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538211497951698945

@KingM2021 Yeah, 100% ruling out a possibility when wh have low information is unnecessary, and often a mistake. Like in the Chilean case.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjTLUxVIAEFNhk.jpg

But on and off for about two years, CEFAA looked into this case without finding such an explanation. They studied the crew accounts, the video, and other data they thought relevant. They enlisted the help of French UFO analysts who concluded that it was probably a plane coming into land at Santiago airport dumping waste water before landing. The Chileans rejected this explanation because no planes were landing at that time.

They asked meteorologists if it was a weather balloon and were told no. An astrophysicist checked to see if it could be space junk and told them it could not be. A Navy admiral told them there were no Navy exercises or secret aircraft flying in the area. The DGAC officials confirmed it was not a drone. Air Force photo analysis determined that the object was not a bird. Numerous other experts ruled out numerous other explanations.

So CEFAA could not figure out what it was, and after two years they made an announcement declaring it a genuine unexplained phenomena. They released the video via writer Leslie Kean of the *Huffington Post*, who then wrote an article about the case, published on January 5, 2017.

The article and video went viral, quickly racking up over a million views. It caused great excitement—at last there was a "real" UFO video, certified unknown by the military, countless experts, and years of study.

Five days later, the case was solved. On January 6, Scott Brando from the *UFO of Interest* blog tweeted me a link and asked "Could this be a plane and its contrail?" I looked at the video and it

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjTLUxVIAEFNhk.jpg

2022-06-18T17:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538210723448229888

@Spectre67904513 And where is the analysis of debrief viewing?

We don't have access to it, and we don't know what conclusion anyone reached.

2022-06-18T17:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538208129149591552

@straub_tj @TheUFOTrail He then goes on to discuss how the words "spic" and "gook", while bad words, have benign linguist origins, so we should not let them have power over us.

But words DO have power, and you can't just choose to ignore that on a technicality. Joe Rogan found that out with the n-word. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjPicYVUAEMws9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjPicYVUAEMws9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjPddbUsAAIvJc.jpg

2022-06-18T16:59:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538204690214576128

@Spectre67904513 Well, except that we've never seen this SA page, and one thing can be behind another.

2022-06-18T16:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538194668130668544

@CKakadan @KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 The problem there is the screen in the plane is small, and you only get seconds to look at it while doing a bunch of other things.

2022-06-18T16:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538194211454914560

@mission_europa @DabberPatriotic @ChrisSPITZER7 Well-insulated dry birds will show up at ambient air temperature.

2022-06-18T16:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538192944791838722

Regardless of if someone is right or wrong, encouraging people to view any doubt in your mind

as the opposition manipulation is some cult-level thought
-stopping.

Think for yourself. Trust, yet verify. And if you can't verify, keep your options open.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjBwo3VIAAJJt2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVjBwo3VIAAJJt2.jpg
2022-06-18T15:04:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538175871411838981
@DabberPatriotic @ChrisSPITZER7 Cold objects flying are probably balloons

---

2022-06-18T08:50:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538081688915480576
@sAME__dIFF @DoctorKarl Steam is water vapour. People confuse water gas with the suspension of liquid water particles in the air (clouds, mist).

Unfortunately, the terms "steam" and "water vapour" are used in the vernacular for both the gas and the clouds

---

2022-06-18T08:38:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538078721156198400
@MaximilianEmel Interpolation can take what is an obvious discontinuity between 8x8 blocks and turn it into a spike.

2022-06-18T08:27:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1538075890588221441
@MaximilianEmel I don't know. Bilinear has some distinctive vertical and horizontal artifacts. I was comparing it to the algorithms in Photoshop.

It's also going to depend on what source file you used. There's original f4, then the DoD one,

then a variety from YouTube http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVhXf6FUEAAHRIx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVhXSqQVsAE_oaZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVhXZIzUcAAr_vj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVhXf6FUEAAHRIx.jpg

2022-06-18T08:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538074162325069824

@sdk4422 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXFoBUR_jqY

2022-06-18T08:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538074124459065344

@MaximilianEmel Yeah, those were the pros and cons I mentioned.

2022-06-18T08:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538073289410871296

@sdk4422 There's an extensive discussion here, with examples of both extreme altitude and endurance (>10 hours using liquid fuels)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/long-distance-drones-maybe-foreign-as-possible-ufos.11678/

2022-06-18T07:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538068162629013507

@MaximilianEmel Nothing like that, it's just the default in. Final Cut - which does not give you options, but looks like it's a simple bilinear interpolation possibly with some sharpening.

It does make a difference to the end results (sorry), but the general issue is the same.

2022-06-18T04:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538011942173872130

@MaximilianEmel Why would it matter?

2022-06-18T03:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538004256036294659

@sdk4422 Not sure what your point is, but:

https://youtu.be/smUEvnTYxi0

2022-06-18T03:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538003225629974529

Wider view, not quite as impressive http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVgVx_SVUAE7S-N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVgVx_SVUAE7S-N.jpg

2022-06-18T03:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1538002716483407877

@dave_beaty @CKakadan @KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @DietrichVFA41 Probably those tapes recorders that are the limiting factor.

2022-06-18T03:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537994210820775936

Another tear in the sky. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVgNlUWUAAAoO9E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVgNlUWUAAAoO9E.jpg
2022-06-17T23:21:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537938587601096709
@BriarRichard1 @doodiewiz At long distances, both ends should be the same size.

They are not.

2022-06-17T22:34:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537926728080760832
@Falkyrs What does that have to do with anything?

The Deputy Director of Naval Intelligence said before a congressional committee that these were videos of drones. Yet no drones are to be found.

2022-06-17T22:32:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537926285367726080
@mh3141592 @TheUfoJoe Kind of funny that people attacking me for supporting the occasional use of strong words to describe someone are now searching for stronger words to describe me.

2022-06-17T22:00:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537918118995013636
@doodiewiz Shouldn't it look Ti-Tac-ish in all the frames?

2022-06-17T21:59:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537917835829075968
Upscaling vs. original pixels

Upscaling has pros and cons. It can actually let you see perceive scale features - but it also creates false detail out of single pixels and lines, especially compression artifacts. So you always need to look at the original pixels.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537917347859550210/pu/img/2jbdwoG7anYuPsUe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537917347859550210/pu/img/2jbdwoG7anYuPsUe.jpg
2022-06-17T21:26:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537909592922783749
@DreamDetective1 RTVi is not a Russian channel like RT. It's New York based, and is for international Russian Speakers. It is owned by Mikayel Israyelyan, an immigrant to the US.

2022-06-17T20:49:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537900259073896450
@BrainwashSyka Of course not. Nobody has suggested anything even vaguely like that. It's certainly not at all related to the question of if "bottom feet" are or are not visible in the video.

2022-06-17T20:13:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537891225302224897
@BrainwashSyka @MazzocchiRafael @KilliK69 @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 Here's a version with frame numbers. Which frames do you think clearly shows some little feet that are not 8 pixels apart (i.e. not compression artifacts)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537890832946040837/pu/img/XK6M70zVz15GC4GQ.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537890832946040837/pu/img/XK6M70zVz15GC4GQ.jpg
2022-06-17T19:54:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537886400640479234
@EMP_Wrecker It's Russian language, not actually from Russia.

2022-06-17T19:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537881818589868032

@BrainwashSyka @MazzocchiRafael @KilliK69 @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 They are not constant at all.

2022-06-17T19:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537881560707309577

I had an amusing experience during a live interview for a Russian-language show. The translation was barely audible over the presenter, so I had to infer the question from about three words and then wing it. One I them I just heard "...do you think?"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BMda9lsmwdE&t=1044s

2022-06-17T19:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537879222433087493

@Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 @Serg_is_Vargas @BarlowTerry Green, red, and white flicking light also fits Sirius, a star.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537879068460298243/pu/img/Sn4YjutFHAskgDuH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537879068460298243/pu/img/Sn4YjutFHAskgDuH.jpg

2022-06-17T15:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537818978306756608

@dave_beaty @KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @DietrichVFA41 One thing you can tell - it's not Tic-Tac shaped. It has an irregular shape that appears to turn to the left. It's also significantly out of focus - which means the original was also out of focus.

2022-06-17T10:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537739243769933825

Viewing a low res video on most video players will smooth out the actual pixels. This hides things like compression artifacts, and can give the illusion of protrusions where it's just the boundaries between 8-pixel blocks.

Here the actual pixels are magnified, not smoothed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537738210616303617/pu/img/1ZtvIgwANeGD7ARg

.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537738210616303617/pu/img/1ZtvIgwANeGD7ARg.jpg
2022-06-17T10:02:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537737557278363648
@KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 Some of the noise comes from compression artifacts on the 8x8 block boundaries.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537737541947772928/pu/img/8hObabOH0nJtBEve.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537737541947772928/pu/img/8hObabOH0nJtBEve.jpg
2022-06-17T09:20:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537726808245710848
@Muff96395190 @AE911Truth What would collapse look like?

2019-05-29T16:20:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133770195959369728
@mike18499668 No, but that's hard to verify unless you get independent accounts collected shortly after the incidents.
One thing about these illusions - they generally don't show up on video.

2019-05-29T16:18:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133769707696164864
@buffcommacu Depends on the degree of correlation. You look at the videos, and they are nothing at all like the eyewitness accounts. Similar with radar data. I think more likely they are unrelated.

2019-05-29T16:11:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133767884641935360
@aSkepticalHuman @rgage33 I think he's wrong.
Also people sometimes say "melted" when talking abut metal that is just bent, sometimes not even from heat. See this guy for example, talking utter nonsense:
https://youtu.be/M2YGFCeRfL4

2019-05-29T16:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133767249305657350
When discussing UFOs we are sometimes asked to ascribe god-like powers of observation, recollection, and immunity from illusions to military aviators. But nearly ALL USAF pilots report significant numbers of various illusions. Sometime leading to crashes.
https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/p013851.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7vy0n-UcAIMOee.jpg

Abbreviated Description (Ranked by % of all pilots reporting SD incident)	% Reporting at least 1 incident				
	All	FJ	ME	TR	RW
Leans	76.0	83.8	74.5	74.5	75.2
Loss of horizon – atmospheric	69.2	78.4	71.9	64.8	66.3
Sloping horizon	66.4	71.6	78.5	58.4	65.3
Coriolis	60.8	62.2	59.4	62.3	42.6
Distraction/task saturation	60.7	64.7	68.2	55.3	60.4
Night approach – black hole	58.1	65.2	82.6	42.4	57.4
Misleading altitude cues	49.8	64.7	58.9	37.2	80.2
False sense of pitching up	44.3	53.4	45.3	42.3	19.8
Poor crew coordination	40.5	18.6	60.9	35.4	68.3
Giant hand	38.4	40.8	37.7	36.5	55.4
Misjudgment of position – night	37.8	75.0	55.2	15.3	37.6
Elevator illusion	37.1	37.0	37.6	37.1	31.7
Autokinesis	36.7	40.8	51.9	25.9	45.5
False sense of pitching down	36.5	47.6	37.0	33.8	17.8
G-Excess	35.9	53.2	21.2	39.4	13.2
Loss of horizon – sand, dust, snow	33.0	41.0	42.8	21.4	76.2
Graveyard spiral	31.7	31.4	31.8	31.3	38.6
False sense of yaw	31.5	38.7	41.6	24.5	25.7
Inappropriate use of sun etc	24.2	35.9	26.0	18.9	22.8
Roll-reversal error	23.0	20.3	21.8	24.2	25.7
False sense of inversion	22.9	27.8	20.0	23.4	12.9
Inability to read instruments	22.1	19.2	18.0	25.8	18.8
Problems interpreting head-down display	19.8	27.8	22.4	14.8	22.8
Flicker vertigo	19.6	22.9	23.3	15.1	36.6
Instrument malfunction	13.4	14.5	14.5	10.9	18.8
SD while using night vision goggles (NVGs)	11.7	13.7	17.6	3.3	72.3
Detachment	10.8	6.6	13.5	10.9	11.9
Problems interpreting head-up display (HUD)	9.9	38.0	3.0	4.0	1.0
SD using aerial flare	9.5	17.7	7.1	7.1	12.9
SD using forward looking infra-red (FLIR)/targeting aids	8.8	24.1	5.6	2.7	32.7
Graveyard spin	6.3	4.3	2.4	9.2	1.0
Undetected drift	5.9	2.4	1.5	3.0	90.1
Other	3.3	5.6	2.7	2.6	3.0
Problems interpreting helmet mounted display (HMD)	2.1	2.8	2.6	1.5	0

Table 6. Rank Order of the Most Frequently Experienced Illusions

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7vy0n-UcAIMOee.jpg

2019-05-29T16:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133765707835904002

@aSkepticalHuman @rgage33 See my detailed explanation of microspheres here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w (Richard, you might want to watch it too, if you have not already)

2019-05-29T16:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133765213482696704

@aSkepticalHuman @rgage33 Gage is talking about iron mircrospheres, which are EXPECTED in a large building impact+fire+collapse+cleanup. They are NOT an indication of flowing molten metal, which would have a much more significant fingerprint in the form of large solidified pools of formerly liquid steel.

2019-05-29T15:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133750363184517120

@BrennanNeillVT @the_kochalka @YouTube I'm not asking you to trust me. I'm just asking you to look at some evidence and to keep an open mind.

2019-05-29T14:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133745739610243072

@AGameChanger89 @TykoMusic @michaelshermer @USNavy Itâ□□s just the Gimbal video stabilized for clouds, amplifying the parallax illusion.

2019-05-29T04:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133594213029822465

@ShawnChittle @vurnt22 They don't? How do they change optical zoom then?

2019-05-29T04:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133590359106179072

@ShawnChittle @Oswald1160 @TTSAcademy The pilot (Fravor) was not in the plane that took this video, or nearby. This is a different thing, apparently filmed later.

2019-05-28T23:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133520562565705728

@sprspndx @skeptropolis The guy debating me (Nathan Thompson) did not respond to my discussing the Wallace experiment. Subsequent discussion with others showed they had trouble understanding it.

2019-05-28T23:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133520197497774080

@sprspndx @skeptropolis No response yet on the Catalina thing. And very quiet regarding the debate in general.

2019-05-28T22:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133507993935290368

@ShawnChittle @vurnt22 This appears to show IR video of the engine glare of a distant jet. It jumps around as the camera changes lenses (different magnifications) and then at the end the camera loses lock and it drifts away (with a final zoom jump)

2019-05-28T22:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133507208077987841

@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian But my bio says I already made money and I'm now retired.

2019-05-28T22:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133506418558943232

@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian You want a book? Where are you getting your history from?

2019-05-28T22:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133506219006603264

@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sF4XpW0AAirLU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sF4XpW0AAirLU.jpg
2019-05-28T22:51:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133506067231436800
@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian That's not a map that works. On that map Australia is 8000 km wide, but in reality it's less than 4000 km wide.

2019-05-28T22:50:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133505767334481920
@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_geodesy

2019-05-28T22:34:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133501916229464064
@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian I was invited by Infinite Plane Society and Mad Mike Hughes.

2019-05-28T22:34:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133501719344640002
@SimonGr41594862 @sinistrapublica @fullbredmartian After talking to a lot of people at the conference, I got the sense (from my unscientific sample) that it was mostly misunderstanding. In some cases this was greatly compounded by the assumption that the official story MUST be false.

2019-05-28T22:31:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133501194049953793
@skeptropolis More details on the classic Wallace Bedford Level experiment here: https://flatearth.ws/bedford-level http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sBOkCXsAAx8bd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sBOkCXsAAx8bd.jpg

2019-05-28T22:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133500889736499202

The shirt I wore for the Flat Earth debate has this design on it, showing a definitive Earth-curve demonstration by Alfred R. Wallace in 1870. Here's the transparent artwork if you want to make your own, @skeptropolis https://www.metabunk.org/f/Wallace.png

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sAoISUwAAzkTf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7sAoISUwAAzkTf.jpg

2019-05-28T22:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133497232861171712

@sinistrapublica @SimonGr41594862 @fullbredmartian It's clear to me. If the Earth were flat then you would see all of Catalina. You can only see the top 1/3, hence the Earth is not flat. Also, the amount hidden matches the amount predicted by the globe model. So it's probably a globe.

2019-05-28T22:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133493989166833664

@sinistrapublica @fullbredmartian And my back story is true.

2019-05-28T22:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133493871696965632

@sinistrapublica @fullbredmartian What more data do you need? And how much would that data change the result?

2019-05-28T21:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133487207652532230

@sinistrapublica @fullbredmartian The hidden part of the island is bigger than the visible part. How does "perspective" explain that?

2019-05-28T21:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133486904601501696

@sinistrapublica @fullbredmartian Sea level (tidal changes) would make no real difference here. You are not going to get back 400+ feet. That's another reason why using mountains is a lot better than using ships or buildings.

2019-05-28T21:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133479489009094656

I went to a Flat Earth conference and one of the attendees gave me five photos of Catalina Island and asked me to explain why it's visible if the Earth is a Globe.
So I did.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qluJ2vAnNaw

2019-05-28T21:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133478125407334400

@richgel999 @NathanWailes @RichDolan "possibly a great unsolved mystery" â□□ or possibly tens of thousands of minor unsolved mysteries.

2019-05-28T20:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133477759672446977

@Eyes_Wide0pen @richgel999 @NathanWailes @RichDolan Which is why I don't make broad conclusions from my analyses. I just say it's a plausible explanation for that one video.

2019-05-28T20:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133475843357913088

@richgel999 @NathanWailes @RichDolan Hard to be an expert in hypotheticals.

[Hello from a fellow game programmer, BTW
https://www.mobygames.com/developer/sheet/view/developerId,14618/]

2019-05-28T20:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133474523712376832

@richgel999 @NathanWailes You've got to make some leaps and pretty wild assumptions to move that air out of the way. Even theoretically possible things like warp drives require resources of unknown availability, like negative mass matter, and don't actually work in an atmosphere (without kiloton booms)

2019-05-28T20:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133473102824783872

@richgel999 @NathanWailes But does it follow the currently known laws of physics? If an interpretation requires some NEW physics (like silently moving tons of air in a fraction of a second) then perhaps it might require more skepticism than one that does not (like a radar glitch, or losing camera lock)

2019-05-28T20:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133472265666826240

@druderadio It looks like a plane flying in a circuit, leaving contrails. They do that occasionally

because it's one of:
A) A high altitude hold for traffic or weather.
B) A surveying plane mapping or prospecting
C) A test plane, verifying aerodynamics
D) A research plane
E) A military plane

2019-05-28T20:32:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133471088627703808
@CallmeConor @kumailn Well it's a bit more complicated than that. But I think I've got some quite reasonable hypotheses for the three videos. Especially GIMBAL.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

2019-05-28T15:34:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133396243349041153
@J5_Project @SimonGr41594862 Thinking about contrails, and flight paths, and planes, might be related to a type of visuospatial mental abstraction that's also required for understanding perspective. So the understanding of one theory might lead to the rejection of the other.

2019-05-28T15:26:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133394217336791040
@SimonGr41594862 Some of them believe that. Part of the evidence being that jet fuel would be too heavy, so planes just fill up with compressed air instead.

2019-05-28T15:25:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133393877392678913
@TonyRush Of course this wasn't a scientific survey. It was people who attended a conference, and who were willing to talk to me, and then only a handful.
However some FE might exclude chemtrails due to the sky being under God's dome, which keeps it safe.

2019-05-28T05:55:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133250325190590464
@ShawnChittle @TTSAcademy What footage is that?

2019-05-28T05:54:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133250109020332032
@PostDisclosure @buffcommacu I haven't read it yet. Been busy away at a Flat Earth Conference

---

2019-05-27T19:17:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133089826163400704
@rothschildmd The flat earthers are a pretty fun bunch

2019-05-27T18:22:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1133075917960409088
@OKftl Well they were all quite open about the Earth being flat and 9/11 being an inside job.

2019-05-27T16:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133045061761941509

@GrumFromNorwich @OhNoPodcast There was a mixture, but Iâ□□d say more than half had some religious rationale as part of it.

2019-05-27T14:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1133022242130948096

Unexpected discovery at the Flat Earth conference - very few Flat Earthers believe in chemtrails. They donâ□□t think it makes any sense.

2019-05-26T21:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132761801139974144

@ARWallace https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1132761154831261697

2019-05-26T21:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132761154831261697

Representing Alfred Russel Wallace, at a Flat Earth Expo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7hgQx0UwAAK8eT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7hgQx0UwAAK8eT.jpg

2019-05-26T14:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132656806314909697

Hotel tripod http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7gBW5lUEAMQv6z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7gBW5lUEAMQv6z.jpg

2019-05-25T14:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132300268152823810

Iâ□□m at a Flat Earth conference. The soap in the hotel is called â□□Terra Pureâ□□ (i.e. â□□pure Earth

and itâ□□s a FLAT DISK!

Coincidence? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7a9FqUU0AAPTOT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7a9FqUU0AAPTOT.jpg

2019-05-25T14:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132295889022676995

@SimonGr41594862 Itâ□□s the sun of both. Thereâ□□s a good technical discussion here.

http://walter.bislins.ch/bloge/index.asp?page=Earth+Gravity+Calculator

---

2019-05-25T03:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132129894282874885

@SpiritWhirled Go measure the dip of the horizon. Nothing stopping you doing that.

2019-05-25T01:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132096897152299008

@SpiritWhirled So use your own numbers. Go out and measure the world.

2019-05-24T23:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132062465720643584

@SpiritWhirled Thatâ□□s because its force is proportional to mass. The physics all works.

2019-05-24T23:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132060675604242433

@ZombieSymmetry It usually drift slightly, like 0.03g. But itâ□□s a $20 scale.

2019-05-24T23:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132060094877671424

@SpiritWhirled Why would you expect to see it? On what do you base your belief?

2019-05-24T22:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132049350438268928

@roarbro Although if Iâ□□d calibrated my scales n San Diego, the weight would be heavier in Vegas.

2019-05-24T22:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132047255593078784

@thedrunkinmonk @elonmusk The closer to the equator the better. Reduced weight is minimal though.

2019-05-24T21:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132038037611749376

Gravity is slightly lower in Las Vegas. 500.00g (calibrated near Sacramento) weighs only 499.85 here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7XOll2VsAAzVFD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7XOll2VsAAzVFD.jpg

2019-05-24T21:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1132037453320151040

@SpiritWhirled Itâ□□s right her, hiding the mountain.

2019-05-24T18:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131992537143529472

@SpiritWhirled Water can curve if there are focrces acting on it. Nature seeks equilibrium, not â□□levelâ□□ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7WlNg_UYAAtL1Y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7WlNg_UYAAtL1Y.jpg

2019-05-24T18:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131986072953450496

@SpiritWhirled Water seeks level. Thatâ□□s pretty much how level is defined. The curve water confirms to locally.

2019-05-24T18:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131985739112112128

@SpiritWhirled http://exitthematrix.live/

2019-05-24T16:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131966991772704768

@SpiritWhirled You should watch my talk in Vegas tomorrow.

2019-05-24T13:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131918979793006592

@SpiritWhirled https://www.metabunk.org/explained-443-km-distance-mountains-visible.t8980/

2019-05-24T13:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131918124607975424

@SpiritWhirled Those are some of the mountains I just mentioned. All you are seeing is a small bit of the very top of the mountain, most of it is missing. Why?

2019-05-24T04:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131780352421244928

@SpiritWhirled No, some mountains are visible from other mountains over 200 miles away.

What are you driving at?

2019-05-23T23:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131703171091316736

@SpiritWhirled I'm just bemused as to why you can't just plug the numbers in to the calculator?

For a six foot person it's about 3 miles to the horizon. For the Burj Khalifa at 2722 feet it's 69 miles. So a total of 72 miles. Refraction varies though.

2019-05-23T18:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131628297706586112

@SpiritWhirled Depends how high the viewpoint is.

2019-05-23T14:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131571798661783558

@4thAnon @RT_com Russia rolling out 5G is discussed in the NYT articles. That's part of the story. Russia knows it's safe tech, but they like fanning the flames of divisive issues in the US. Like 5G, Vaccines, and conspiracy theories.

2019-05-23T14:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131570040925384711

A video accusing me of faking an image is relatively popular in the Flat Earth community, and yet my video response explaining exactly how the image was obtained is blocked from that channel. A walled garden for "open" minds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7QkR_VUYAA7X-P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7QkR_VUYAA7X-P.jpg

2019-05-23T14:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131565022092546048

@SpiritWhirled That depends on how tall it is, and how high the observer is above sea level. It the sum of the distance to the horizon for both heights. So if both heights are 20 feet, then it's around 11 to 12 miles or more. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7QgVmQU8AA_7YE.jpg

---

Earth's Curve Horizon, Bulge, Drop, and Hidden Calculator

Distance in Miles: 4

Viewer height in Feet: 20

Planet Radius in Miles: 3959

Horizontal FOV in degrees: 60

Image Width in Pixels: 3264

Imperial Metric Advanced

Distance = 4 miles (21120 feet), View Height = 20 feet (240 inches) Actual Radius = 3959 miles (20903520 feet)

With "Standard" refraction adjustment of 7/6 radius (4618.83 miles)
Refracted Horizon = 5.92 miles (31232.96 feet)
Refracted Drop= 9.15 feet (109.74 inches)
Refracted Hidden= None, refracted horizon is beyond the target distance
Refracted Horizon Dip = 0.073 Degrees, (0.0013 Radians)

Geometric results (no refraction)
Geometric Horizon = 5.48 miles (28916.11 feet)
Geometric Drop = 10.67 feet (128.03 inches)
Geometric Hidden= None, horizon is beyond the target distance
Geometric Horizon Dip = 0.079 Degrees, (0.0014 Radians)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7QgVmQU8AA_7YE.jpg

2019-05-23T05:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131428175659974656

@4thAnon @RT_com How is it not a conspiracy theory, what do you think the supposed cover-up of supposed harmful effects of 5g is then? It's exactly like the vaccine conspiracy theory, or the fluoride conspiracy theory.

And why is Russian so happy to be rolling out 5G?

2019-05-23T04:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131414909709721600

@4thAnon @RT_com My mistake, but it's the same type of thing. A low step on the conspiracy ladder.

2019-05-23T01:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131371085952217088

@SpiritWhirled Figure of what?

There's a calculator here: https://www.metabunk.org/curve/

2019-05-23T01:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131370783006007296

@4thAnon @RT_com I don't really see the comparison. The point here is that RT is pushing false information about vaccines. Not what other people are doing (which I've not looked at, as it seems off-topic).

2019-05-22T23:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131338564606672896

@4thAnon @RT_com You can always find a PhD to fight in whatever corner.

2019-05-22T23:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131336466380935173

@SpiritWhirled How much tilt are you expecting?

2019-05-22T21:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131307617999962112
@SpiritWhirled It a bit more complicated than Autocad can handle. Have a look at my ray-tracing refraction simulator.
https://youtu.be/8zzEWy5SGKg

2019-05-22T18:37:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1131267945835794437
@SpiritWhirled With an atmosphere there no one number, as the atmosphere (the weather) varies.
However we can see that objects ARE obscured by the horizon. Catalina, for example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7MSLk2UIAAKtt2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7MSLk2UIAAKtt2.jpg
2019-05-22T18:18:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1131262972062384128
@SpiritWhirled So why do you think the Earth is flat?

2019-05-22T18:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1131260702537109504
@SpiritWhirled If a simulation looks like reality, then that means it contains a reasonably good model of reality.

2019-05-22T18:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1131258501559230465
@SpiritWhirled Perspective is based on light traveling in straight lines. Hence, you can do the math. Google Earth is not reality, it's a simulation of the globe model that looks like reality because it uses actual 3D projection perspective, like in nature, and not some made-up version.

2019-05-22T17:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1131256488121421824

@SpiritWhirled I understand it. I spent 25 years as a video game physics programmer, using perspective pretty much every day. Light travels in a straight line from the object, to the camera. If there's nothing in the way, and the object is big or bright enough, then you will see it.

2019-05-22T17:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131255938248110080

@RealRBIlegend @TLCplMax Left one is prop contrails, right one is lit propellers.

https://youtu.be/Xaqm7fEci-g?t=73

2019-05-22T17:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131254825545478144

@barrybateman @DaanBarnard @darren_olivier That's a contrail. Pretoria does not have many overflights, so the locals are not that familiar with contrails. You can verify this in #flightradar24 with a filter for >30,000 feet. Result = no planes over Pretoria

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7MGEFaU0AAOYAp.jpg


FLIGHTS OVER PRETORIA THAT
MIGHT LEAVE CONTRAILS (>30,000 feet)
(i.e. none of them)
FILTERS
Enable filtering
ADD NEW FILTER
Altitude
30,000 ft
65,000 ft
ACTIVE FILTERS
If you want to set more filters, please check out our subscription plans.
ALTITUDE 30,000 ft - 65,000 ft
Gaborone
Pretoria
Johannesburg
Soweto
Eswatini
Nelspruit
Maputo
Mbabane
Rustenburg
Klerksdorp
Potchefstroom
Vereeniging
Kroonstad
Welkom
Lichtenburg
Mahikeng
Emalahleni
Barberton
Piet Retief
Standerton
Ermelo
Bethal
Secunda
Carolina
Witbank
Middelburg
Belfast
Lydenburg
Dullstroom
Graskop
Sabie
Hazyview
Skukuza
Komatipoort
Malelane
Marloth Park
White River
Nelspruit
Barberton
Jeppes Reef
Emgwenya
Belfast
Machadodorp
Waterval-Boven
Witbank
Middelburg
Bronkhorstspruit
Cullinan
Hammanskraal
Brits
Rustenburg
Sun City
Madikwe
Zeerust
Groot Marico
Lobatse
Ramotswa
Otse
Gaborone
Thabazimbi
Modimolle
Bela-Bela
Mookgopong
Mokopane
Vaalwater
Mochudi
Mahalapye
Palapye
Francistown
Selebi-Phikwe
Orapa
Letlhakane
Jwaneng
Kanye
Molepolole
Lobatse
Ramotswa
Otse
Pilanesberg National Park
Sun City
Madikwe
Zeerust
Groot Marico
Rustenburg
Mahikeng
Lichtenburg
Itsoseng
Ventersdorp
Potchefstroom
Klerksdorp
Orkney
Wolmaranstad
Leeudoringstad
Makwassie
Bothaville
Kroonstad
Hoopstad
Wesselsbron
Odendaalsrus
Welkom
Virginia
Hennenman
Ventersburg
Bultfontein
Senekal
Bethlehem
Harrismith
Lindley
Heilbron
Frankfort
Vredefort
Parys
Vanderbijlpark
Vereeniging
Sasolburg
Evander
Bethal
Secunda
Ermelo
Amersfoort
Piet Retief
Standerton
Volksrust
Daggakraal
Amsterdam
Eswatini
Mbabane
Lobamba
Simunye
Big Bend
Nhlangano
Ingwavuma
Jozini
Mbazwana
Manguzi
Ponta do Ouro
Reserva Especial de Maputo
Maputo
Boane
Matola
Namaacha
Infaco
Hluhluwe–iMfolozi Park
Hlushluwe
KwaMsane
Empangeni
Richards Bay
Dundee
Glencoe
Ulundi
Ceza
Nongoma
Vryheid
Utrecht
Newcastle
Ladysmith
Estcourt
Mooi River
Howick
Pietermaritzburg
Hilton
Underberg
Kokstad
Matatiele
Mount Fletcher
Maclear
Barkly East
Rhodes
Lady Grey
Aliwal North
Zastron
Wepener
Ficksburg
Clocolan
Ladybrand
Thaba Nchu
Bloemfontein
Botshabelo
Dewetsdorp
Reddersburg
Smithfield
Rouxville



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7MFyZWUEAE1VDu.jpg

2019-05-22T17:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131249737200328705

@Corax_The_Raven Make sure you have terrain and 3D buildings turned on.

2019-05-22T17:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131249412506701824

@SpiritWhirled The cliffs are hidden behind the ocean. That's not perspective. That's them being behind the ocean.

Perspective makes things look smaller as they get further away. It does not hide one thing behind another. On a flat earth there's a direct line of sight to those cliffs.

2019-05-22T17:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131248445384118272

Episode 5 of Tales From the Rabbit Hole: Dan, Former Apocalyptic Prepper

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UWqkESC6e0I

2019-05-22T16:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131239781449457664

@SpiritWhirled I didn't. The image is real, just zoomed in, and I show you how you get it in Google Earth here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T16:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131239149430697985

I was recently accused of faking an image of Beachy Head in Google Earth. It's actually a little-known feature of GE that allows you to zoom in 100x or more. So I responded to the accusation, and turned it into a teaching opportunity.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T16:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131238664204275713

@MaxPlane_ Here's a more detailed response. The image is real, just zoomed in, and I show you how you get it in Google Earth here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T16:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131238515675557888

@Bjorkbloggen @YouTube Actually, quite the opposite. The image is real, just zoomed in, and I show you how you get it in Google Earth here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T16:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131238497279389698

@TheDailyPlane Actually, quite the opposite. The image is real, just zoomed in, and I show you how you get it in Google Earth here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T16:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131238454782615553

@ParadigmNYC @gluino78 @RunningHippo @don_goodnight @twatterfull @dimamynedd @USMCNoggin @ThinkyTexan @jameswzegan85 @bikinatroll @badibulgator @FlatSlugbrains @Valuable2017 @fastestfredy @manamiangry @Neuroskeptik1 @_zero_gravitas @maxheadroom1983 @DrMathsPhysics @DanielW37136702 @Snoot07067074 @AquaVelvaBoy @MindedTiny @DeeAlexandria42 @LordMolnar @UnCastellsMes @rmbctious @Tinman_73 @PoliticoCryzis @TheOlifant @HoloBuzz @J5_Project @SholaDogg @BasqueTerra @fenzilward @Womble67 @TakeThatGravity @SRTEng @whoopsbuni @Cruel_Coppinger @ISON_Tracker @mode23 @VolumeRose @JennUndercover @ivegotamuon @r_evolutie @CobraElDiablo @Becauseofnow @ColdDimSum @MechaPanda9K Actually, quite the opposite. The image is real, just zoomed in, and I show you how you get it in Google Earth here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SoGcMwIar14

2019-05-22T15:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1131219113529843712

@MaxPlane_ Unfortunately what's happened there is Dr. John did not understand that in Google Earth you can zoom in over long distances using the photo overlay tool, so he moved his camera closer, and compared what he saw with my image. Also, he has comments disabled.

2019-05-21T20:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1130933277735305216

A quick debunking of the "sunset is like a coin on a tabletop moving away" model that's surprisingly popular in the Flat Earth community.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9AgkaAibh58

2019-05-21T15:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1130858306539405313

@benrothke @DanielW37136702 @dfreedman7 @KarenLKeeley1 @crackedscience @FlatEarthOrg @SingaporeAir Here's a tool I wrote that shows three different routes between any two points on the globe. There's the actual shorted route (a great circle, in green), then a flat earth AE straight line route (red), and a "normal" map straight line (yellow). https://www.metabunk.org/flat/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7GdEaAUEAEUngv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D7GdEaAUEAEUngv.jpg
2019-05-21T15:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1130857564994850817
@benrothke @DanielW37136702 @dfreedman7 @KarenLKeeley1 @crackedscience @FlatEarthOrg @SingaporeAir A good start in understanding what's wrong with that video is to note that the lines he drew on the globe were not actually great circle routes, they were more like straight lines on an equirectangular map. Actual routes vary with wind.

2019-05-19T21:09:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1130218984421281792
@friendlyenp @LondonSkywatch Where is it linked in Metabunk?

2019-05-19T15:28:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1130133106441113600
@Stephen37722821 @theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl And I wasnâ□□t joking about the Flat Earth conference.

2019-05-19T05:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1129975524892205056
@Real_StephenLaw @PostDisclosure @JonHNodge That's not a conspiracy theory. It's just a theory.

2019-05-19T04:18:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1129964442970550273
@Real_StephenLaw @PostDisclosure @JonHNodge Well, then there mistaking a bunch of fish or

whales feeding for something unexplained, and then linking it to mistaking a canopy reflection for a flying oval, then later misremembering exactly what happened after hearing about odd radar returns ... maybe?

2019-05-19T04:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129963150755844096

@Stephen37722821 @theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl http://Tftrh.com

---

2019-05-18T23:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129898727727030272

@Stephen37722821 @theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl I'm not sure that Kevin is a reliable witness. He seems a bit eccentric.
Also, I AM a bit busy, with my Flat Earth conference coming up, and my podcast. But I'm sure I'll have stuff to talk about after the TTSA show.

2019-05-18T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129879974758903808

@SpiritWhirled That's not a flight with a refueling stop, it's two entirely different flights. Being unable to fly direct between two places is not uncommon. It's about economics.

2019-05-18T22:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129878895841275904

@Stephen37722821 @EliaJ15 @theyre_heeeere @FreemanStahl No, you said "MANY wingless tic tacs doing extreme maneuvers", however there's only 2 reports (Fravor and anon female pilot) of a SINGLE tic-tac, then multiple recollections of radar activity with multiple targets of UNKNOWN SHAPE, and one video of a SINGLE non-maneouvering blob.

2019-05-18T19:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129824731182452736

@theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl We'll see.

2019-05-18T19:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129824142042157056

@theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl What do you mean by "they"? How can I estimate a percentage if I've no idea what you are referring to. MUFON reports? TTSA videos? Youtube videos? Daily Star stories?

2019-05-18T18:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129823287737851904

@theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl Percentage of what?

2019-05-18T18:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129820533451776000

@theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl Also, nobody ever said there were "many wingless tic tacs doing extreme maneuvers". You are extrapolating.

2019-05-18T18:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129819787490553856

@theyre_heeeere @EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl No.

2019-05-18T18:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129809908902162432

@EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl Holmes would never argue you have to have ALL the data, he just needs some data. Most of his cases were solved by following what was indicated by incomplete data.

2019-05-18T18:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129809604055953408

@EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl No, we have data. So I'm "twisting my theories" to fit the data.

2019-05-18T18:01:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129809329534586880

@EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl One can argue anything.

2019-05-18T16:37:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129787996570435584

@FreemanStahl @EliaJ15 It's by RubÃ©n Lianza, Commodore (ret), Argentine Air Force, not me.

2019-05-18T16:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129787684694577153

@EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl No, but I think it's quite reasonable to make a list of possibilities, and try to order that list based on Occam's razor, so the more likely and simple explanations are at the top. There's no need to be forced into picking only one explanation when there's multiple possibilities.

2019-05-18T15:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129768678986264578

@FreemanStahl @EliaJ15 And yet you claim itâ□□s impossible to unbelieve. Sounds more like faith than science.

2019-05-18T14:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129752442546135042

@EliaJ15 @FreemanStahl Sounds a bit like a religion. Believe what?

2019-05-16T15:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1129043706970083330

@morayross The vast majority of the weight of the building was in non-combustible material, steel and concrete. Only a few floors burnt.

2019-05-16T05:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128892098491502592

@travis_view Thanks! Iâ□□ll give my best shot. Should be ... interesting.

2019-05-16T05:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128888564568199169

@MuKaoKai @nazani14 @travis_view That seems a rather unlikely outcome here. :)

2019-05-15T19:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128744533569630209

@jasonjames71 I'm trying to A) save people from getting stuck down a rabbit hole that ruins

their lives, and B) increase the amount of reality based voting.
Also C) have fun, learn cool stuff, and meet interesting people.

2019-05-15T19:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128738969187864576
@realChefJared The P900 is great for a lot of things, but unfortunately not for this. The wavy demonstration he shows is one I did. In this thread.
https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-take-a-photo-of-the-curve-of-the-horizon.t8859/

2019-05-15T18:19:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128726729156059136
@mikeb8637 @PostDisclosure I am not arguing based on my qualifications. I'm just pointing stuff out. Check it yourself.

2019-05-15T17:14:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128710336331128832
In part two of my chat with Stian Arensen, we discuss the upcoming 9/11 WTC7 report from @uafairbanks, why it's hard to get scientists to take conspiracy theories seriously, and the physics of the collapse of the Twin Towers.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kyWi7miH5LE

2019-05-15T17:08:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128708804558766080
You don't need to go to 45,000 feet to see the curve of the earth. With care, you can do it from around 500 feet! A fun science experiment anyone can try (with a hill near the sea, a clear day, and a good camera.)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tOCodgq1oM8

2019-05-15T16:52:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128704809018617856
@PressToDigitate @StevenM10657487 @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch We are discussing Gimbal. You appear to be discussing Nimitz/FLIR

2019-05-15T16:50:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128704194314006529
@PressToDigitate @StevenM10657487 @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch Yes I do. However, I don't think that everyone in the Navy knows where every drone is, at any moment in time. So it's entirely possible that in the 34 seconds of the Gimbal clip those pilots did not know what they were looking at, even if it was a drone.

2019-05-15T16:46:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128703237031206912
@EliaJ15 @etufodisclosure @Deepneuron @mesolithicguy @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy No, because thatâ□□s not true. If they can demonstrate it is something else then thatâ□□s a good thing for the military. They like correct info.

2019-05-15T16:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128695538050682880
@mesolithicguy @Deepneuron @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy I am neither pretending nor assuming any of those things. In fact I think, if they were doing their jobs, they must have studied the videos sufficiently to know what they show, otherwise they would not release them.

2019-05-15T16:13:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128694856182681601
@buffcommacu @Deepneuron @mesolithicguy @Max31562629 @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy What did I miss from the pilots? "There's a whole fleet of them"? It not really clear what that means when the video shows one object.

2019-05-15T16:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128693936464093185
@Deepneuron @mesolithicguy @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy So really the indication are that the Navy HAS examined these videos, and that they know what they are (drone, plane, balloon)
Why haven't they quashed the UFO rumors? Because they are a vast bureaucracy made up of people with more important things to do.

2019-05-15T16:06:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128693094126313473
@JonHNodge @PostDisclosure But I AM a nerd! :)

2019-05-15T16:05:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128692949942919168
@Deepneuron @mesolithicguy @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy The "unknown" category
Consider, the explanation for the Gimbal video is that the rotation is an artifact of the gimbal system for the ATFLIR. The navy labeled it "GIMBAL". Pilot says it's a drone, Navy labels says "UAV" on 1910. Go-fast looks like a balloon, Navy says "BALLOON"

2019-05-15T15:58:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128691154126397440
@mesolithicguy @Deepneuron @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy Why would they? Any operational video is not cleared for public release. That does not mean anyone has studied it in great depth. According to the Navy 1910 form they just lumped them in with UAV, Balloons, and UAS. (U = Unmanned)

2019-05-15T15:52:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128689494578716672
@JonHNodge @PostDisclosure And sometimes witness testimony puts people in prison incorrectly. Actually LOTS of times. https://www.innocenceproject.org/cases/ronald-cotton/

2019-05-15T15:46:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128688150220378112
Humans making a mark on the Moon that will be there for millions of years.

(Unless we go up and build a city over it, which is quite possible)
https://twitter.com/MasaCritit/status/1128672176113102849

2019-05-15T15:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128687389751201792
@buffcommacu @FreemanStahl @Deepneuron @Max31562629 @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @TTSAcademy How about @PostDisclosure comes on my podcast?
https://www.tftrh.com/

2019-05-15T15:42:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128686999773204480
@FreemanStahl @Deepneuron @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @TTSAcademy I'm totally open to it. I just don't see any good evidence, and I see a lot of wishful thinking.
I do like beer though.

2019-05-15T15:10:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128678989646589953
@Deepneuron @Max31562629 @buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy It's nothing at all to do with me. Historically, people have been known to make mistakes that's all. But we're really discussing Gimbal here, for which there's just the video, so far.

2019-05-15T15:07:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128678340359901184
@JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy Where? When they say "It's a fucking drone, bro." What exactly are you referring to? Please link and quote it.

2019-05-15T14:46:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128672926603960320
@FreemanStahl @TheOutThere2016 @EngagingThe @TTSAcademy ... or both, or some combination of truth, lies, bad memories, correct memories, correct interpretations, instrument error, out of context reports, conflations, synthesis, miscalculations, and/or mistakes ...

2019-05-15T14:36:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128670585582538752
@StevenM10657487 @PressToDigitate @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch And a drone IS a jet plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6nX5_JUcAAmaHX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6nX5_JUcAAmaHX.jpg

2019-05-15T14:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128670108786647040

@StevenM10657487 @PressToDigitate @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch No, they were looking at their ATFLIR, it would have been too small to see visually, certainly too small to see "rotating". And I'm not suggesting at least two engines. One would suffice.

2019-05-15T14:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128669636872925184

@JuenoMT @TheOutThere2016 @EngagingThe @TTSAcademy My response here was to someone accusing me of saying I thought people were lying. I was correcting that, not setting up a dichotomy. I was telling him what I actually thought. Of course there are always other possibilities.

2019-05-15T14:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128668586656976896

@buffcommacu @JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy Duh.

2019-05-15T14:21:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128666788135227393

@JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @TTSAcademy No, that fits too. People make mistakes. Even Navy pilots.

But in the case of the Gimbal video, the subject here, there really isn't any witness testimony available yet, so we really just have the video.

2019-05-15T13:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128653209197617152

@EngagingThe @TTSAcademy Of course.

But for a DoD expert his track record is not good. Like why did he say Go-Fast was close to the water when it was not (and not fast). Heâ□□s not demonstrated expertise, just claimed it.

2019-05-15T13:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128651306418065408

@TheOutThere2016 @EngagingThe @TTSAcademy That is an excessive generalization

---

2019-05-15T13:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128650755215257601

@PostDisclosure @UFOL3TA Yeah, you trust TTSA, and you are a Disclosure fan.

2019-05-15T13:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128650502642618368

@theyre_heeeere @PostDisclosure @UFOL3TA I think itâ□□s a mixture, and varies by individual. I generally assume good faith though. Very intelligent people make mistakes. Look at the Chilean Navy case. No lies there. Just mistakes.

2019-05-15T13:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128649360445661184

@PressToDigitate @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch Actually they say â□□Itâ□□s a fucking drone broâ□□, and nothing about planes.

2019-05-15T13:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128648000815763457

@PostDisclosure @UFOL3TA So your counter argument is that Iâ□□m not thinking right?

2019-05-15T13:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128647331430031360

@Mikelynette @TTSAcademy In the case of Gimbal the footage and the word â□□gimbalâ□□ are all we have.

2019-05-15T13:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128647115553402881

@TheOutThere2016 @EngagingThe @TTSAcademy Did I say that? I speak plainly. Iâ□□ve no idea if people are lying or just mistaken.

2019-05-15T13:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128646790402543618

@EngagingThe @TTSAcademy No, I donâ□□t think weâ□□ve heard from the DoDâ□□s experts on this matter.

2019-05-15T06:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128548109221388288

@PressToDigitate @Blablablablubl2 @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch We are talking about the Gimbal video, where there is no such testimony.
And what about the Gimbal video is "self-explanatory?" Does it explain what the object is? Does it explain that it's not a plane or a drone?

2019-05-15T06:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128547573919129600

@Blablablablubl2 @PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch So what is the context that tells us something about what the Gimbal object is here? What suggests it's

not a plane? Has the Navy said it's not a plane (or drone)

2019-05-15T06:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128544833583194113

@Blablablablubl2 @PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch And we have no context.

2019-05-15T06:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128544576422023168

@FreemanStahl @StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch We also have evidence that it looks very like the IR signature of a jet, and that it's not rotating.

But it's not a bold assertion to say we don't have something. Especially as that's what you just said. Where do we disagree?

2019-05-15T05:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128528557192335360

@Akam1129 @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch I don't know what you mean. What is "the story of the UFOs hypothesis"?

2019-05-15T03:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128509364413714433

@TheOutThere2016 Anecdotes about radar.

2019-05-15T03:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128509053313806336

@PostDisclosure @fluffykitty27 We'll see :)

2019-05-15T03:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128508928713609216

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Excellent! I look forward to seeing them.

2019-05-15T03:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128507192343031808

@PressToDigitate @PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch My angle is that all we have to go off for the Gimbal video is the video itself.

2019-05-15T03:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128499653178093568

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell Just to be clear though, I wouldn't have changed anything if I had seen that article. I don't think being unable to see the wings is compelling evidence against glare. Especially with how big glare can be relative to an engine.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6k8cb7VUAA2KXq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6k8cb7VUAA2KXq.jpg

2019-05-14T22:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128430896309198849

@PostDisclosure @StevenM10657487 @DaveFalch No PUBLIC testimony. Secret stuff is just speculation.

2019-05-14T22:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128430459463979008

@buffcommacu @StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @JeremyCorbell Dave's main objection seems to be that in his video you can see a faint outline of wings. This seems like a spurious objection as the Gimbal video is lower resolution, and likely shows a plane much further away, so the wings would be behind the glare.

2019-05-14T22:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128428571280560129

@buffcommacu @StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch I disagree with his conclusion that it's not a jet. But when he says " I got an image that resembled the Gimbal footage. Not as much of an oval, but had a pointed end and a tilt to it." I agree.

2019-05-14T22:25:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128426198814478337

@DboardUfor @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch Erm, where are we supposed to be remembering that from?

2019-05-14T22:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128425917930328064

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @DaveFalch It's not. The testimony is all from the Nimitz/FLIR incident, not Gimbal. There's no testimony from anyone who actually took any video, and nothing at all from the day of the Gimbal and Go Fast videos.

2019-05-14T20:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128402470676250624

Does new footage of the infrared glare of a small plane help solve the mystery of the GIMBAL UFO video promoted by @TTSAcademy? All the pieces seem to fit. aura, rotation, parallax, spiky disk glare. Solved?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jr1cfpos6vo

2019-05-14T19:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128377271683694592

@HandlebarWisdom @timtron2020 @PiersRobinson1 @VanessaBeeley @medialens @ScottLucas_EA @d @2ndNewMoon @MichaKobs I meant with the Szamboti debate.

2019-05-14T19:06:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128376106766094342

@timtron2020 @HandlebarWisdom @PiersRobinson1 @VanessaBeeley @medialens @ScottLucas_EA @d @2ndNewMoon @MichaKobs What happened? It thought it was a good conversation.

2019-05-14T15:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128319309933998082

Of course, the reality of satellites comes as no surprise to the many of thousands of people who use a mobile satellite dish in an RV. There's a whole art to finding where the satellites are, and aiming the dish. And there's an app.

https://liveworkdream.com/2018/07/18/alaska-satellite-internet/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6iYYUnUEAE652V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6iYYUnUEAE652V.jpg

2019-05-14T15:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128316031187935233

How to check that satellites are actually in space, using a ladder, a large metal object, and some long arms. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bIA-x0QGBp0

2019-05-14T14:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128305176635293697

@4thAnon Because the story is about Russia deliberately pushing disinformation, not about bits of the US media being bad at science communication.

2019-05-14T14:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128304411720028161

@morayross No

2019-05-13T21:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128047777450082304

@facebones777 @TakeThatGravity 8" per mile squares is easily close enough for the first 200 miles. Here's the calculations for 200 miles distance

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6ehS3qVUAAwjs6.jpg

Drop is the amount the curve of the earth drops away from level, it can be calculated in various ways
which are all *about the same* for under 100 miles distance

- Drop as r-sqrt(r^2-d^2) = **5.06 miles (26690.44 feet)** 5.055007969888605 Miles (26690.44208101183 Feet) (perpendicular to level line)
- Drop as sqrt(r^2+d^2)-r = **5.05 miles (26656.41 feet)** 5.048561761068942 Miles (26656.406098444015 Feet) (perpendicular to surface tangent)
- Drop calculated as 8 inches per mile squared = 8 * d*d / 12 = **5.05 miles (26666.67 feet)**
- Drop calculated with trig = r/cos(d/r) - r = **5.06 miles (26701.8 feet)** (perpendicular to target surface)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6ehS3qVUAAwjs6.jpg

2019-05-13T21:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128046843953274880

@thedrunkinmonk @dennissutherby Probably.

2019-05-13T21:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128046042325209088

@MichaelWasmer @VaesNico @iamlisakirk @TRUMPETB2 @BFrownfelterND @Loggerhead_ @BeeBeeBeeLeaves @TammyJParadis @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @rexhh @AzSkyWatcher @gcb910 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @MichaelBringsli @JoelleePeterson @jconnor19662 @Surfhempster @SilenceNotGold @KryptykConjurer @theTexan65 @POTUS Halos are a refraction phenomena. Maybe you are thinking of coronas?

https://www.atoptics.co.uk/droplets/corform.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6eftYmVUAAq-3Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6eftYmVUAAq-3Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6efnHZUcAAxD--.jpg

2019-05-13T20:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128034336521895936

@dennissutherby Most popular entertainment portrays conspiracy theorists as correct and unjustly maligned. Which is odd as conspiracy theorists think there's a campaign to belittle them.

2019-05-13T20:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128029942745198592

@dennissutherby I did not watch X-Files much, but I do think Mulder is a fairly accurate caricature of a true believer, and "I want to believe" does encapsulate a lot of the biases that power belief without good evidence. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6eRPZ6UcAA7HZA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6eRPZ6UcAA7HZA.jpg
2019-05-13T20:08:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1128029267369926656
@lbox327 @MichaelWasmer @VaesNico @iamlisakirk @TRUMPETB2 @BFrownfelterND @Loggerhead_ @BeeBeeBeeLeaves @TammyJParadis @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12

@rexhh @AzSkyWatcher @gcb910 @MollyGalt @MichaelBringsli @JoelleePeterson @jconnor19662 @Surfhempster @SilenceNotGold @KryptykConjurer @theTexan65 @POTUS Those are two different types of iridescence. The top one is thin film iridescence, cause by a thin film of oil on water. The second is particle iridescence cause by differences in cloud water particle sizes. Related, but very different physical mechanisms.

2019-05-13T19:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128020468978438144

@MichaelWasmer @VaesNico @iamlisakirk @TRUMPETB2 @BFrownfelterND @Loggerhead_ @BeeBeeBeeLeaves @TammyJParadis @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @rexhh @AzSkyWatcher @gcb910 @MollyGalt @lbox327 @MichaelBringsli @JoelleePeterson @jconnor19662 @Surfhempster @SilenceNotGold @KryptykConjurer @theTexan65 @POTUS I'm Metabunk. You said "clouds donâ□□t cause rainbow diffraction without precipitation â□□ chemtrails do" I think you are confusing terms there. Rainbows are a refraction phenomena, and diffraction colors (iridescence) generally comes from clouds that are not precipitating.

2019-05-13T18:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1128001507880620032

Just checking my satellite dish is actually getting signals from space and not a nearby radio mast. Looks like it's pointing at a geostationary satellite to me!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6d3FEeU0AA2yBR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6d3FEeU0AA2yBR.jpg

2019-05-13T15:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127957836825104386

RT @dennissutherby: New episode of Tales From The Rabbit Hole; â□¦@MickWest podcast about conspiracy theory conversations. Seems like conspirâ□¦

2019-05-13T15:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127957681031958528

NEW: Episode 3 of Tales from the Rabbit Hole. Stian Arnesen, 9/11, Crop Circles, and

Debunkers. We discuss the parallels and difference between these communities, groupthink, conformity and exclusion.
https://www.tftrh.com/2019/05/12/episode-3-stian-arnesen-discussing-9-11-crops-circles-and-debunkers/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J9KQUQxyxv0

2019-05-13T14:43:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127947456971296768
@a51s1 Do you have a link to the Chinese report?

2019-05-12T22:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127698466870665216
5G is even safer than older cell-phone technology, but some people are pushing the idea that it's dangerous. A lot of this misinformation is coming from Russia, even as Russia rolls out their own 5G network.
https://www.nytimes.com/2019/05/12/science/5g-phone-safety-health-russia.html

---

2019-05-12T19:21:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127655060291211264
@CalebOnTwatter @MarkDice @ExConspiracyGuy He blocked me, thatâ□□s nothing at all like deplatforming. His Twitter feed is not a platform. Twitter is a platform.

2019-05-12T14:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127588921284501506
@hoaxeye @Alawy_Vlogs And by "close to the camera," I mean they were floating in the air, maybe 50 feet away (unlike the planes, which were 50,000 feet away)

2019-05-12T02:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127401275098689536
@EdPapworth New?

2019-05-11T18:01:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127272451904196608
@EdPapworth Actually, on review it more I'm pretty sure that what it is. ALL the white specs that are moving experience a change in direction and/or speed. The magnitude relates to the distance.

2019-05-11T17:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127271336559038464
@EdPapworth Some discussion of this effect here:
https://www.metabunk.org/need-debunking-video-claiming-zigzaggin-objects-and-movement-prove-eva-filmed-in-pool.t10424/

2019-05-11T17:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1127270858794291200
@EdPapworth What the context? I'd suspect it is something that's small and close to the camera (like ice), so when the camera changes direction then the closest lit objects have a large apparent motion, but don't actually change direction.

2019-05-11T16:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127250044162199552

@5alhi No. Is it a correlation, like these? http://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6TL4KjUEAAAbT3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6TL4KjUEAAAbT3.jpg

2019-05-11T15:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127236137301331968

Not just conspiracy theories, you also get this conformity pressure in other fringe groups like UFOology, crop circles, paranormal sensitives, mystics, and other "experiencers". There's pressure to not rock the boat with inconvenient facts. Persistence leads to exclusion.

2019-05-11T15:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127234417011781632

Social conformity is something that crops up very often in the my Rabbit Hole interviews. People think at first they are rebelling against being a conforming sheeple, but instead rapidly become mired in a different kind of tribal groupthink - conspiracy theories.

https://twitter.com/CassSunstein/status/1116470663101988871

2019-05-11T15:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127230959529541632

@UFOS_EXPOSED I saw something like this last night. It was a plane flying towards me with its landing lights on,

---

2019-05-11T14:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1127223128122204160

@TheOutThere2016 @ZombieSymmetry They were floating in the sky. It was a breezy day.

2019-05-10T23:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126986741670219778

@opensenseme Contrail formation depends on the weather at flight altitudes.

2019-05-10T23:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126986104844931072

@ZombieSymmetry This is the closest to a correct answer:) They are actually bits of spider web. They were quite close to the camera. Close up they look like this: https://youtu.be/Ipx1ZHQt-rs

---

2019-05-10T20:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126940698329006080

@YeahBuzz It's was daytime, bright blue sky.

2019-05-10T20:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126940000958894080

Close ups: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6Ox8V5U0AAeQnH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6Ox3bhUIAAdDBv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6Ox8V5U0AAeQnH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6Oxy_5UcAA9JBW.jpg

2019-05-10T19:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126939741486632960

I took this infrared photo of two planes and noticed afterwards that there are three white shapes in a line. They are not camera artifacts. What do you think they are?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OxLecUUAALnfo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OxLecUUAALnfo.jpg

2019-05-10T19:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126938247316172800

@CalebOnTwatter That it does not hold up to scrutiny. The Israeli students seem to be who they said they were, and their actions were misreported and misrepresented. I've talked to one of the people involved, they remain silent because of threats from antisemitic conspiracy theorists.

2019-05-10T17:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126898867960553472

9/11 Truthers repeat the same falsehoods for years. Some seem genuinely unaware of the explanation, some are avoiding it, some are lying. One claim is that the WTC fires were small and short-lived. Time-stamped photos show, 6 min before collapse, massive fires over entire floors http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OLwW0UUAAcc-Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OLwW0UUAAcc-Y.jpg

2019-05-10T17:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126897847071207424

@ExConspiracyGuy Me too! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OLnLDUcAAXy4T.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6OLnLDUcAAXy4T.jpg

2019-05-10T14:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126864088879861760

@peterxdunn @TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED It's no reflection on you personally that your description of your memories of your observations are suspect. That's just something that would apply to anyone. While your story is fascinating, there's really not a lot that can be done with it.

2019-05-09T20:03:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126578436300988416

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qNnfQBOsz-4
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6JpGu4U0AAYoeM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6JpGu4U0AAYoeM.jpg
2019-05-09T16:21:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1126522505697488896
@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 Started a thread on Metabunk to solicit other ideas

https://www.metabunk.org/golden-orb-daytime-reflective-sphere-ufos-in-photos.t10710/

2019-05-09T05:38:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1126360821003456513
@wishyou38745820 Have you never been to a wake? People respond to loss in all kinds of ways, varying from second to second.

2019-05-08T19:15:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1126203914083110912
Here's another photo of me tossing a reflective ball up with the sun in a similar position, overlaid on the hikers image. I'd say it's consistent with someone on the other side of the ridge playing with a ball. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6EUI_AUwAAxMPG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6EUI_AUwAAxMPG.jpg

2019-05-08T18:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126188207345811456

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED There's only one photo.

2019-05-08T18:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126186398107688960

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 And where are these photos? Seems rather odd he would just upload one if he had more. And he took the time to add an arrow, and a cropped version. So I'm a bit dubious about these other photos.

2019-05-08T18:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126185310495240192

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 no one juggling in shot. Here's a UFO shot I took at the beach a couple of years ago. The thrower was off to the left.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6EDjUyUIAAdu8M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6EDjUyUIAAdu8M.jpg

2019-05-08T17:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126183975695728640

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED 1/500 is what the hikers photo was shot at

---

2019-05-08T17:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126179422124462080

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED That's the transparent one. I think that's just darkness.

2019-05-08T17:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126176299276324864

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED Shiny ball seems closest

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D7MpmU8AA7aSG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D7MpmU8AA7aSG.jpg
2019-05-08T17:16:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1126174084574793728
@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED I happen to have a Canon 7D, a 200mm lens, and a sunny ridge. So I went over there and juggled three different balls (transparent, reflective, and solid yellow) with the same settings. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D5L_2U8AAXdFB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D5L_2U8AAXdFB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D5FAkUcAA4lYP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D6D46qyVUAYBHo_.jpg

2019-05-08T16:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126168930005991427

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 I'm moving away from "drop of water" in any context here. I think the hikers image shows something that's near or beyond the hikers. Exif on Mufon

says 200mm, F/5, 1/500, Date and Time (original): 2015:10:25 13:17:09, Canon 7D, . A drop of water would be very out of focus.

2019-05-08T15:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126147213451640834

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED Actually no, I take that back, there's the same drop pattern in two different directions. Still, quite different to these "golden orb" photos.

2019-05-08T15:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126146461886861313

@TheOutThere2016 @UFOS_EXPOSED I suspect that water is on the car window, not the lens.

2019-05-08T15:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126142297941241856

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 I'll try replicating this later. It's really foggy here right now.

2019-05-08T15:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126142081611624449

@TheOutThere2016 There are no glassy domes on the Moon.

2019-05-08T14:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126138158012178432

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 Those look like small reflective spheres. So most likely are drops of water. Certainly not on the lens though. They are more-or-less in focus, so must be some distance away. It's kind of an artifact of the zoom. Drop of rain or something happens to be in the right place

---

2019-05-08T14:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126137203783020544

@Reinisceplis It does not LOOK flat.

https://media.giphy.com/media/Slzm9388qrTws/giphy.gif

2019-05-08T14:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1126133424689836034

@UFOS_EXPOSED @TheOutThere2016 Looks like a balloon to me.

2019-05-07T20:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125858867206705153

@mwbucher The depth of the craters does vary quite a bit. But there are physical limits to how deep a hole (or how high a ridge) you can have on a planet. While it might initially be deeper/higher it would very quickly collapse.

2019-05-07T20:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125856354873167872

@KenCaldeira @GhoatInsider It's possible it's a snafu caused by website restructuring. The original paper was linked via a pdf. i.e. you had to open a PDF then click on a link in that PDF. Maybe the odd link structure was difficult to archive.

https://web.archive.org/web/20161227213703/https://netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/03/

carbon-seq/PDFs/Poster.pdf

2019-05-07T19:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125846328104198144

@KenCaldeira @GhoatInsider It's on http://archive.org

https://web.archive.org/web/20170207010645/https://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/03/carbon-seq/PDFs/167.pdf

---

2019-05-06T22:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125525662146502656

Circular craters on the Moon is often cited by flat-earthers as evidence that the standard model of the solar system is wrong.

2019-05-06T22:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125525470101983232

The resultant shapes are somewhat complicated on Earth by the atmosphere, as some of the asteroid's mass can vaporize before it hits the ground. The Moon seemingly has neater craters.

2019-05-06T22:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125523882549493760

Why are the craters on the moon round, and not oval, if some meteors or asteroids come in at an angle? The reason is that when they hit the surface they vaporize and explode, and the spherical explosion it what makes the circular crater. Illustrated here with Denver.

https://twitter.com/MarkBoslough/status/1124677716144529408

2019-05-06T05:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125271999163461632

@__dregs__ Bit of a low-level conspiracy theory though.

2019-05-06T04:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125253222078173185

RT @rothschildmd: I had a great time talking QAnon and conspiracy psychology with Mick West, and you should check out his podcast! https://â□¦

2019-05-06T04:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125252293757100033

Tales from the Rabbit Hole, my new podcast of Conspiracy Culture Conversations, is now available on @Stitcher

https://www.stitcher.com/podcast/mick-west/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D52y7lsU0AAScir.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D52y7lsU0AAScir.jpg

2019-05-05T23:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125175901929463808

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @Th_Unidentified I'm not a team player. I just like checking things.

2019-05-05T19:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125113121314447360

@alien_expanse Thanks!

2019-05-05T18:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125098546250698752

Episode 2 of Tales From The Rabbit Hole, a conversation with #QAnon expert @rothschildmd, is now available on YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eQwgOKneLJQ

2019-05-05T17:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125086209871470592

Episode 1 of Tales from the Rabbit Hole is now available on YouTube. A conversation with an ex conspiracy theorist. @ExConspiracyGuy https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hr3pz--UJqE

2019-05-05T16:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125075011834789888

Tales from the Rabbit Hole is available on

- iTunes https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/tales-from-the-rabbit-hole/id1462120258
- Android https://subscribeonandroid.com/www.tftrh.com/feed/podcast/
- Google https://www.google.com/podcasts?feed=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cudGZ0cmguY29tL2ZlZWQvcG9kY2FzdC8
- RSS https://www.tftrh.com/feed/podcast/
- Web https://www.tftrh.com/

2019-05-05T16:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125074917156777984

Episodes 3 & 4 - (Coming next week) An extended interview with Stian Arnesen, a member of the 9/11 Truth community from Norway

---

2019-05-05T16:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125074844935004165

Episode 2 - Mike Rothschild & QAnon. Not a member of the Rothschilds banking family, Mike is a writer, researcher, debunker, and expert on the QAnon theory. We talk about what QAnon is, weirder aspects, real-world implications, and what it might become.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/05/03/episode-2-mike-rothschild-discussing-qanon/

2019-05-05T16:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125074579871784960

Episode 1 - The Ex Conspiracy Theorist, where I talk to Jon about his fascinating journey in and out of the Rabbit Hole, and how he's now using what he learned to help others escape.

https://www.tftrh.com/2019/04/19/tftrh-001-ex-conspiracy-theorist/

2019-05-05T16:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1125074403761348608

My first podcast, Tales From The Rabbit Hole goes live today! TFTRH is an interview podcast where I chat with people whose lives have been affected by conspiracy theory culture. Believers, ex-believers, family members, debunkers, and researchers. http://TFTRH.com

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D50Q8twUcAAxu3b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D50Q8twUcAAxu3b.jpg

2019-05-05T03:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124880381939859459

@arunbakshi5 A distant turning plane might appear to.

2019-05-04T16:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124708492273696768

@arunbakshi5 Compare with: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9bUgsxIfAg4

2019-05-04T16:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124707333672558592

@arunbakshi5 Your video appears to show flares.

2019-05-03T18:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124379354476138496

@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe Section 3 is to record the forum of open presentation or publication. It's to provide a justification for a public release. It asks for a Date, Place, Event. IN the case of these videos this was N/A as they didn't have plans. So they gave other justification.

2019-05-03T18:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124376277312393222
@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe It does not say "Pursuant to disclaimers," it says "CLEARED For Open Publication". Which is clearly explained in multiple military guides as being "Information may be released to the public without restriction."
https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DOPSR/Docs/DOPSR%20BROCHURE.PDF?ver=2017-07-05-142406-460&timestamp=1499279056278
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qWI0fUEAAS05r.jpg



STREAMLINING THE SECURITY AND POLICY REVIEW PROCESS

The Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review (DOPSR) conducts a security and policy reviews on all documents that are submitted for official public release in accordance with DoD Directive 5230.09 and the ITAR. The average response times listed below are estimates upon receipt by DOPSR. Response times may vary based upon the document type and content. Additional time may be needed if the material is complex, voluminous, and/or requires review by DoD components or the Interagency.

1. Please allow **10-15 working days** for review of papers, articles, and briefings.

2. Please allow **15-20 working days** for review of technical papers and brochures.

3. Larger documents – books, reports, and manuscripts - may take longer to review - please allow **30-60 working days**.

Note: For additional information on the prepublication review process, please see DoDI 5230.29 at: http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/index.html

Please submit documents in a timely manner so that the review can be completed prior to the publication/presentation deadline.

WHAT ELSE DO I NEED TO KNOW ABOUT THE REVIEW?

1. All documents submitted for review must be legible and in font size appropriate for review purposes (no less than 8 pitch).

2. "Other media" (CDROMs, DVDs) should be in a format compatible with DoD approved software (MPEG-3 or MPEG-4) for video or Microsoft Office for documents.

3. Documents already in the public domain will not be reviewed.

4. Proprietary documents cannot be accepted by DOPSR for review.

5. ***Final versions only.*** Draft versions will not be accepted for review.

6. To expedite processing, send documents by fax or email.

7. Please send 3 unbound hard copies of the documents to be reviewed.

8. Requests to receive responses by fax or email should be noted in the "Remarks" section of DD Form 1910 or cover letter.

9. Provide complete contact information, including fax number, email address and date the review response is needed.

RESULTS OF REVIEWS

If no alternate method is indicated, responses will be sent via U.S. Mail or DoD courier.

Cleared - Information may be released to the public without restriction.

Cleared as amended - Amendments are mandatory due to deletions or additions. DoD clearance is contingent upon implementation of amendments. Information may be released without restriction upon incorporation of the amendments provided by DOPSR.

Recommended changes - Identifies non-binding suggested changes to clarify or amplify information in the document.

Not cleared - Information is not cleared and may not be publicly released.

Returned without action – DOPSR is unable to review due to insufficient time, material is already in the public domain or review was cancelled by the requester.

APPEALS

Denials and amendments may be administratively appealed. Any such appeal should offer justification to support reversal of the decision and should be forwarded in writing to DOPSR.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qWI0fUEAAS05r.jpg
2019-05-03T18:00:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124373215222984704
@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe Sorry, five possible outcomes. Same thing though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qTcxzV4AAHZDw.jpg

---

Possible Outcomes

Cleared: Information may be released to the public without restriction.

Cleared as Amended: Amendments are mandatory due to deletions or additions—DoD clearance is contingent upon the implementation of the noted amendments. The information may be released without restriction upon implementation of the amendments.

Recommended Changes: These are non-binding suggested changes to clarify or amplify information in the document.

Not Cleared: The information is not cleared and may not be publicly released.

Returned Without Action: This occurs when the reviewer is unable to review due to insufficient time, the material is already in the public domain, or the review may be cancelled upon request of submitter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qTcxzV4AAHZDw.jpg
2019-05-03T17:59:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124372929465049088
@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe And again, there are three outcomes of a 1910 submission. "Cleared" means "Information may be released to the public without restriction."
https://www.denix.osd.mil/cr/lrmp/home/reports/safeguarding-military-information-legacy-12-516/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qTBsiUcAA7fW-.jpg

Possible Outcomes

Cleared: Information may be released to the public without restriction.

Cleared as Amended: Amendments are mandatory due to deletions or additions—DoD clearance is contingent upon the implementation of the noted amendments. The information may be released without restriction upon implementation of the amendments.

Recommended Changes: These are non-binding suggested changes to clarify or amplify information in the document.

76 Department of the Army Document Review Practices | 1-Nov-13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qTBsiUcAA7fW-.jpg
2019-05-03T17:56:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124372119041630208
@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe You don't think they would have specified it?

Who is to say "info sharing with ... industry partners" is public or not? Who are these "industry partners?"

2019-05-03T17:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124370262651047936

@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe Of the slideshow "Best Practices for the Architecture, Design, and Modernization of Defense Models and Simulations " - that was just supposed to be a single presentation in Oct 2017? Yet it's out there, open, public.

https://ndiastorage.blob.core.usgovcloudapi.net/ndia/2017/systems/Wednesday/Track4/19711_Heaphy.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qQxgWU0AA9Mmq.jpg



CLEARANCE REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION

(See Instructions on back.)

(This form is to be used in requesting review and clearance of DoD information proposed for public release in accordance with DoDD 5230.09.)

TO: *(See Note)* **Chief, Office of Security Review, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155**

Note: Regular mail address shown above. For drop-off/next day delivery, use: Room 12047, 1777 North Kent Street, Rosslyn, VA 22209-2133

1. DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION

a. TYPE	b. TITLE "Best Practices for the Architecture, Design, and Modernization of Defense Models and Simulations" (Brief)
Brief	
c. PAGE COUNT	d. SUBJECT AREA
17 Pages	DoD Modeling & Simulation (M&S)

2. AUTHOR/SPEAKER

a. NAME *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	b. RANK	c. TITLE
Citizen, Jesse J., Jr.	CIV	Director, DMSCO
d. OFFICE Defense Modeling & Simulation Coordination Office (DMSCO)		e. AGENCY USD(AT&L)/ASD(R&E)/SE/DMSCO

3. PRESENTATION/PUBLICATION DATA *(Date, Place, Event)*

Date of event: Oct 23-Oct 26, 2017
Place: Waterford at Springfield, 6715 Commerce Street, Springfield, VA 22150
Event: 20th Systems Engineering (SE) Conference (http://www.ndia.org/events/2017/10/23/20th-systems-engineering-conference)

4. POINT OF CONTACT

a. NAME *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	b. TELEPHONE NO. *(Include Area Code)*
Mock, Sherrel W or Robinson, David A - Public Affairs Email address: osd.msco.pao@mail.mil	571-372-6787

5. PRIOR COORDINATION

a. NAME *(Last, First, Middle Initial)*	b. OFFICE/AGENCY	c. TELEPHONE NO. *(Include Area Code)*
SLIDES ONLY **NO SCRIPT PROVIDED**	CLEARED For Open Publication Oct 02, 2017 Department of Defense OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW	

6. REMARKS This brief follows an abstract that supports a continuing effort to share M&S information with M&S practitioners attending the SE conference. DOPSR cleared the abstract on May 15, 2017 (Case #17-S-1721). Most slides have been previously cleared – respective case numbers are annotated. The USD(AT&L), by charter (paras 3 & 3.35 of DoDD 5134.01), is responsible for all matters relating to DoD modeling and simulation. The DMSCO is the OSD office responsible for supporting the USD(AT&L) in the execution of his M&S responsibilities. We believe the information is unclassified and that it is ready for public release. From DMSCO's perspective, this brief does not violate any security concerns.

NOTE: Request scanned .pdf copy of DOPSR-stamped DD Form 1910 be sent to email address in Block 4a, above. If the DOPSR-stamped hard copy is returned, please mail to POC in blocks 4a & 4b above at DMSCO, 4800 Mark Center Drive, Suite 16E08-08, Mailbox 46, Alexandria, VA 22350-3600.

7. RECOMMENDATION OF SUBMITTING OFFICE/AGENCY

a. THE ATTACHED MATERIAL HAS DEPARTMENT/OFFICE/AGENCY APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE *(qualifications, if any, are indicated in Remarks section)* AND CLEARANCE FOR OPEN PUBLICATION IS RECOMMENDED UNDER PROVISIONS OF DODD 5230.09. I AM AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THIS RECOMMENDATION FOR RELEASE ON BEHALF OF:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5qQxgWU0AA9Mmq.jpg

2019-05-03T17:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124369330605395968

@blackvaultcom @chasekuertz @EngagingThe So in the case of "Thermal Actively Controlled Sludge Treatment", that's only approved for "Posting on the SERDP web site"? Because that's what they say in section 3.

https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a608403.pdf

2019-05-03T16:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124351325980938240

@blackvaultcom @EngagingThe So what do they stamp it with when it's cleared for public release?

2019-05-03T15:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124341843456450560

@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy I'll go against it. DIAI 5400.300 is clear that "Cleared for open publication" means without restriction. That's why it's a form for PUBLIC release. Ask her for the governing documents regarding her interpretation.

2019-05-03T14:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124321030288183296

@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy "Cleared for Open Publication" means "The information may be released without restriction"

https://www.dia.mil/FOIA/FOIA-Electronic-Reading-Room/FileId/39658/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5pjyv-U0AAgJ-p.jpg

6.2.8. After all review of the material is completed, [redacted] notifies the submitter of the results of the review as follows:

- *Cleared for Open Publication*. The information may be released without restriction by the originating component. A disclaimer may be required to accompany the information. Additionally, "recommended" changes may be suggested. These changes are not binding on the author.

- *Cleared "as amended" for open publication*. Amendments are binding on the submitter. Amendments may be deletion of certain material or alternative wording of phrases and sentences.

- *Not cleared*. The information submitted for review may not be released.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5pjyv-U0AAgJ-p.jpg

2019-05-03T14:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124318250760671238

@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy The instructions for section 3 are "Record the forum of open presentation or publication"

This is a form to request public release. It grants public release.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5phAzWUcAATwrk.jpg

---

3. PRESENTATION/PUBLICATION DATA. Record the forum of open presentation or publication.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5phAzWUcAATwrk.jpg

2019-05-03T14:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1124317267359965184

@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy But section 7a says "qualifications, if any, are

indicated in Remarks section", which is section 6, not section 3.
Sections 3 appears to request a (Date, Place, Event), not limits on public release.

2019-05-03T14:13:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124316048457490438
@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy I've looked at several of those forms. I agree that section 7 is filled out as part of the request. Yet it's still a broader request than section 3. The important outcome seems to be that it's stamped "CLEARED For Open Publication," without qualifications.

2019-05-03T14:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124313456063025152
@blackvaultcom @RichNilsenPhd @TTSAcademy My point here is that Gough's email is only referring to what was requested ("per block 3".) However I don't see anything that suggests that section 3 overrides section 7. Instead, there's specific provision for 7 to override 3 (via 6 - Remarks).

2019-05-03T13:24:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124303642759012353
@RichNilsenPhd @blackvaultcom @TTSAcademy The form appears to contain two parts, the request and the response. The request is what they asked for. The response is what they got. Gough's 5/2/19 email refers only to the request. Section 6 and 7 seems very clear in approving if for open public release with no qualifications
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5pUE5kU0AA1XE7.jpg


6. REMARKS
OCA is Navy POC: [redacted]
CLEARED
For Open Publication
Aug 24, 2017
Department of Defense
OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW
7. RECOMMENDATION OF SUBMITTING OFFICE/AGENCY
a. THE ATTACHED MATERIAL HAS DEPARTMENT/OFFICE/AGENCY APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE (qualifications, if any, are indicated in Remarks section) AND CLEARANCE FOR OPEN PUBLICATION IS RECOMMENDED UNDER PROVISIONS OF DODD 5230.09. I AM A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE (civilian or military), AND NOT A CONTRACTOR, AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THIS RECOMMENDATION FOR RELEASE ON BEHALF OF:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5pUE5kU0AA1XE7.jpg


GS
Thu 5/2/2019 3:14 PM
Gough, Susan L (Sue) CIV OSD PA (USA) < @mail.mil>
RE: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Media Question about DD Forms 1910 (One additional question)
To john@greenewald.com

Hi, John,

You may attribute the following to me as a Pentagon spokesperson:

"I can confirm that the form DD1910 you asked about is a valid DD1910. The standard procedure is for blocks 1-7 on the form to be filled out by the submitter before sending to DOPSR; however, occasional exceptions have occurred.

The submitter is responsible for any disclaimers on the form as approved, and also abiding by any amendments that may be included in additional communications from DOPSR to the submitter as part of the approval process.

Per block 3 of this form DD1910, the submitter requested release of the videos solely for research and analysis purposes by U.S. government agencies and industry partners, and not for general public release."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5pTVChVUAAFugx.jpg
2019-05-03T05:38:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124186564911742976
@blackvaultcom @TTSAcademy You seem to be conflating the request with what was granted.

They only requested research privileges. They were granted clearance for open publication.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5npqfNUwAAB8un.jpg



CLEARANCE REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RELEASE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION

(See Instructions on back.)

(This form is to be used in requesting review and clearance of DoD information proposed for public release in accordance with DoDD 5230.09.)

TO: (See Note) Chief, Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155

Note: Regular mail address shown above. For drop-off/next day delivery, use: Room 2A534, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155

1. DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION

a. TYPE	b. TITLE "GoFast"; "Gimble"; "FLIR"
.mpg File (x3)	
c. PAGE COUNT	d. SUBJECT AREA
3	UAV, Balloons, and other UAS

2. AUTHOR/SPEAKER

a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	b. RANK	c. TITLE
[redacted]	GS-15	[redacted]
d. OFFICE	e. AGENCY	
NPSMS	OUSD(I), DoD	

3. PRESENTATION/PUBLICATION DATA (Date, Place, Event)

Not Applicable. Not for publication. Research and analysis ONLY and info sharing with other USG and industry partners for the purposes of developing a database to help identify, analyze, and ultimately defeat UAS threats.

4. POINT OF CONTACT

a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	b. TELEPHONE NO. (Include Area Code)
[redacted]	[redacted]

5. PRIOR COORDINATION

a. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	b. OFFICE/AGENCY	c. TELEPHONE NO. (Include Area Code)
See below POC	Navy	[redacted]

6. REMARKS

OCA is Navy POC: [redacted]

CLEARED
For Open Publication
Aug 24, 2017
Department of Defense
OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

7. RECOMMENDATION OF SUBMITTING OFFICE/AGENCY

a. THE ATTACHED MATERIAL HAS DEPARTMENT/AGENCY APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE (qualifications, if any, are indicated in Remarks section) AND CLEARANCE FOR OPEN PUBLICATION IS RECOMMENDED UNDER PROVISIONS OF DODD 5230.09. I AM A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE (civilian or military), AND NOT A CONTRACTOR, AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THIS RECOMMENDATION FOR RELEASE ON BEHALF OF:

b. CLEARANCE IS REQUESTED BY _____ (YYYYMMDD).

c. NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)	d. TITLE
e. OFFICE	f. AGENCY
g. SIGNATURE	h. DATE SIGNED (YYYYMMDD)

DD FORM 1910, APR 2016 PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE. Adobe Professional XI

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5npqfNUwAAB8un.jpg
2019-05-03T04:10:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124164179693264896
@robroy109 @PaulFox13 @MissFuzzball @DoglovinPatriot @samkia22 @GeoeWatchDebunk @rexhh @justthinkit @BFrownfelterND The exhaust gasses are the same. So high bypass engines make contrails just like low bypass.

2019-05-02T22:02:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1124071733026332673
@robroy109 @PaulFox13 @MissFuzzball @DoglovinPatriot @samkia22 @GeoeWatchDebunk

@rexhh @justthinkit @BFrownfelterND That's not what 70 years of books on clouds say!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2019-05-02T17:05:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1123996855174610944
A fan made me a theme song!
Actually it's been around for a while. An interesting version of "poisoning the well" by making dismissive jokes about a source. I refer to this as the "Wikipedia, LOL" tactic of disinformation.
https://t.co/x1YGzhHGIS

2019-05-02T15:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1123974527250247680
Northern California's affordable scientific skepticism conference, SkeptiCal will be June 9, 2019, at the San Francisco Airport Hyatt Regency in Burlingame, CA. Speaker list and registration information at http://www.skepticalcon.org . You don't want to miss it!
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5koqktWAAALrwV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5koqktWAAALrwV.jpg
2019-04-30T17:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1123278227869319168
@bo_ufo @devgru1980mi @g_knapp Really? So find another usage of that category. Of even just find a non-historical usage by the military of Balloons as UAS.
When .mil talks about UAS, they almost always are referring to UAV. The addition of "Balloons" is significant here.

2019-04-30T04:44:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1123085774692519937
Why bother debunking Flat Earth? Because if someone thinks the government is covering up the shape of the Earth, you'll never get them to listen to reason on real topics, like vaccines.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5YALdOUwAAF_qp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5YALdOUwAAF_qp.jpg
2019-04-29T16:15:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1122897234863902720
@TheOutThere2016 @TucsonJohnin @a51s1 @GalacticNet @ufoofinterest It looks exactly like a cloud or a contrail - slight possibility of a smoke ring, but it seems separate from the smoke. Notice the woman filming thinks that the smoke "stopped moving" at some point when it does not, so her interpretation of events is suspect.

2019-04-27T18:48:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1122211019747520512
@TreeAia There's quite a few other options.

2019-04-27T18:47:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1122210785608916993
@BelieversBeware Thanks!

2019-04-27T17:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1122197766350917633
@BelieversBeware The video is about Lindsey Graham saying that a president's refusal to comply with congressional oversight was an impeachable offense. Kristol agreeing with Graham does not make Graham wrong.

2019-04-27T17:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1122196835236376576

@david_m_miller Because it's a relatively cheap camera with a very powerful zoom. It allows you to focus on distant objects that are partially hidden by the horizon. They tend to greatly misinterpret or misunderstand what they are seeing though. Kind of like this. https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/rosetta-stones/wallace-8217-s-woeful-wager-how-a-founder-of-modern-biology-got-suckered-by-flat-earthers/

2019-04-27T17:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1122194885744836608

RT @BillKristol: Lindsey Graham explains that a president stonewalling Congressional oversight is an impeachable offense. https://t.co/tv88â□¦

2019-04-27T07:23:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1122038660105326592

The International Space Station flying in front of the sun, recorded with a $1,000 camera that's very popular with the Flat Earth folk. https://twitter.com/MovieVertigo/status/1121843534972293123

2019-04-26T23:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121926717742784512

An illustration of the demarcation line: the best debunking of "no 757 hit the Pentagon on 9/11" is done by hard-core believers in the controlled demolition conspiracy theory of the World Trade Center collapses. Even organizing a debunking conference! http://www.scientistsfor911truth.com/

2019-04-26T23:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121924098290929664

In a great example of the demarcation line in unusual beliefs, the best debunking of a Bibilical exegetical basis for Flat Earth can be found in the Young Earth Creationist site "Answers in Genesis". Jesus rode dinosaurs, but flat Earth is over the line. https://answersingenesis.org/astronomy/earth/does-bible-teach-earth-flat/

2019-04-26T22:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121902569268236288

@BryanDBender But the statement does NOT cite "highly advanced aircraft intruding on Navy strike groups." That appears to be something you added from a different source?

2019-04-26T21:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121889789442056192

@BryanDBender Sure, but what prompted it? You say the Navy said it was "in response to a series of sightings of unknown, highly advanced aircraft intruding on Navy strike groups" But that's not what their statement says. So who said that?

2019-04-26T21:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121882215070097408

@BryanDBender When you say "the Navy said in a statement in response to questions from POLITICO" - can you say what these questions were, and what prompted them? Seems very specific.

2019-04-26T19:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121857459876798465

@KaleighRogers https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XLmaBmjNFuI ?

2019-04-26T17:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121829624344760320

@tool_pen @SimonGr41594862 I typed in a listing on my ZX81 before I even had a tape drive. I had to leave it switched on for three days. Then I typed something else in. Good job it was only 1K

2019-04-26T16:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121820665906991104

@last_starwhale Thanks! I'd love to see translations, and I'm sure Skyhorse would be happy to sell the German rights to any interested publisher.

2019-04-26T01:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121593813267390465

That's for the hardcover edition.

2019-04-26T01:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121593391748222976

There's 12 copies of my book Escaping the Rabbit Hole available on Amazon (via 8 trax media) for the low price of $10.32 including shipping! It's normally $14.71 without shipping. https://amzn.to/2DAItZX http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5CywsNUYAADTfY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5CywsNUYAADTfY.jpg

2019-04-25T17:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121466025889808384

@stang289 It's not an optical viewfinder in the sense of looking through the lens. It's an electronic viewfinder. But that's ideal for not going blind when you 83x the sun.
Works great for us old types though, as it has adjustable diopter. I find it hard to focus on the back screen.

2019-04-25T16:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121455161019224064

@stang289 Yes it's a P900, I modified it to full spectrum and it's got a 760nm filter. It's a good camera, but the 83x zoom is really the only outstanding feature. So it depends on what you want (great for contrails :)). It's also a little dated with only 1080p video.

2019-04-25T16:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121446072813928448

Here's a closer view. Notice the red light only just touches the paragraph, but the infrared light covers four lines. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5As99DUwAAcfYx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5As99DUwAAcfYx.jpg

2019-04-25T16:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121445373384376320

A color spectrum caused by the edge of my shower door. The lower camera is showing only infrared light, and the (invisible) beam appears in a different position on the page because infrared is bent slightly less than red. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5ArwVqU0AAvDdU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D5ArwVqU0AAvDdU.jpg

2019-04-25T06:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121296514129678336

@CelestialHeroes @PostDisclosure New guidelines for unidentified airspace incursions? There's some serious spin going on here.

2019-04-25T06:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121295661679308800

@CelestialHeroes @PostDisclosure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4-kXbdVUAAyyt8.jpg

---



Ryan @PostDisclosure 40m

.@MickWest One of two possibilities. Either A) the announcement on October 11 coupled with the later released gun camera footage of two separate events will go down in HISTORY as the beginning of rapidly increasing transparency on the UFO subject that will culminate w/ a >>



Ryan @PostDisclosure 39m

head of state announcing that UFOs are real and we are not alone in the universe... or B) the continued release of gun camera footage from the DOD, and the 'alien alloys' will turn out to be a sham, and you will be vindicated as someone who analyzed the data better than most >>



Ryan @PostDisclosure 38m

people including a plethora of high level government officials, and pilots. I put my money on A. Definitely.



Mick West @MickWest 36m

Replying to @PostDisclosure

$50?



Ryan @PostDisclosure 31m

Replying to @MickWest

I will bet $50 that at the very minimum this culminates with a head of state

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4-kXbdVUAAyyt8.jpg
2019-04-24T20:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1121145258920648704
@GalacticService @ColinWigle @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 Hawaii, Perth, or North New Zealand. If you really want to get away from them.
Why do they bother you so much? They are just clouds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D48bnRVU8AAVr-5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D48bnRVU8AAVr-5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D48bZ1wU4AEK5wy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D48bhR_U4AEVcBH.jpg

2019-04-24T18:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1121112155162468352

@GalacticService @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 People have eyes. They see contrail cover. They donâ□□t care. What action do you suggest?

2019-04-24T02:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120877418162425856

@GalacticService @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 Yes, obviously. Most people are not though.

2019-04-23T14:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120695590680088582

@ShepardAmbellas Just buy one online. Its a US quirk.

2019-04-23T12:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120671526045413381

@GalacticService @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 No, my point is there ISN'T a huge outcry. Most people don't care about contrails.

2019-04-23T04:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120549869976944642

@GalacticService @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 SOME people are upset. Just not very many, and not with good rationale.

2019-04-22T05:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120202343104991232

RT @DKeithClimate: Cleaning my desk: "Dear Brainy Bob at Harvard..." I get some odd letters. Some, like this, make me smile. The best are fâ□¦

2019-04-22T05:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1120192036924051456

@TungstenClan Thanks! Glad you liked it, and thanks for the review!

2019-04-21T00:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119755023389302784

@BrandonYoung82 Not any more, Iâ□□m retired.

2019-04-20T18:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119675267528577024

@BrandonYoung82 No

2019-04-20T18:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119675034329468928

@Cosmonautica1 68K

2019-04-20T14:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119614161674051584

@brax_whit @MrReali05459432 @behindthecurve_ No. Thatâ□□s not K1 either. Far from it. He was just confused.

2019-04-20T06:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119488271837347840

Back in 1987, I used to distribute programs I'd written by sending each one to a magazine, who would then print it out, a few weeks later people would buy the magazine, type it in, assemble it, and (hopefully) make a useable program. A kind of paper internet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4k3sE0UUAAW7rF.jpg



PROGRAMMING: ST

Mono Emulator

Mick West

Save yourself £150 with Mick West's Mono Emulator, the program that emulates the hi-res monochrome mode and will then run a number of hi-res only applications. Alternatively, only save £146 and send £4 for a ready assembled program on disc, to Needham Hall, 205 Palatine Road, West Didsbury, Manchester M20 8TU.

```
        MOVE.L $0436(A5),A0
        ADD.L #SPACE-XBIOS,A0
        MOVE.L A0,POKE1+2          ; SPACE ADDR
        MOVE.L A0,POKE2+2     ; IN BOTH ROUTINES
        ; SET FLY TO TOP OF SCREEN
        MOVE.L #0,MONOPOS+SPACE
      SCANPOKE:
      ; FORTY LINES/VBLAN DEFAULT
        MOVE.W #40,MONOLINES+SPACE
        MOVE.W #0,MONOCOUNT+SPACE   ; NONE  YET
        MOVE.L $0436(A5),A2         ; Get Memtop
        MOVE.L #XBIOS,A1            ; NOW MOVE THE
      ; CODE FOR XBIOS & VBLANK
      MOVE.W #XEND-XBIOS-1,D0
      XMOVE:
        MOVE.B (A1)+,(A2)+
        DBF D0,XMOVE
        LEA GEN,A1          ; Generate the move code
        MOVE.W #39,D0 ; 40 MOVEs to first plane
      GENMOVE1:
        MOVE.L (A1),(A2)+
        DBF D0,GENMOVE1
        ADDQ.L #4,A1
        MOVE.W (A1)+,(A2)+    ; Move SUB #158,A0
        MOVE.L (A1)+,(A2)+
        MOVE.W #39,D0 ; 40 MOVEs to other plane
      GENMOVE2:
        MOVE.L (A1),(A2)+
        DBF D0,GENMOVE2
        ADDQ.L #4,A1
        MOVE.W (A1),(A2)+           ; Move the RTS
        MOVE.L $0436(A5),A0
        MOVE.L A0,$B8 ; New XBIOS Vector to $B8
        ADD.L #VBLANK-XBIOS,A0
        MOVE.L A0,$70 ; New VBLANK Vector to $70
        LEA     $04CE(A5),A0
        MOVE.L  A0,$0456(A5)
        MOVE.W  #$0007,D0
      FC033E:
        CLR.L   (A0)+
        DBF     D0,FC033E
        JSR     $FC21B4
        MOVEQ   #$02,D0
        JSR     $FC0596
      FC0360:
```

Continued o

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4k3sE0UUAAW7rF.jpg

2019-04-19T05:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1119105922997964800

@5alhi Interesting. I wonder if it's some kind of bot. Is this on Youtube?

There's speculation that some states are encouraging the growth of conspiracy theories. But it seems unlikely the GRU is trolling Arabic flat earth.

Not impossible though.

2019-04-18T20:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1118975586775388161

@Son_of_Sandor @carolim @KGWNews @Zaffino Well, remember that PLANES (with engines)

were only invented in 1903. So that kind of puts a limit on it.

2019-04-18T04:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1118739690419449856

@5alhi Never heard of it. Do you have some evidence of this?

2019-04-18T04:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1118739512971022336

@thedrunkinmonk With the Cuban Embassy thing I'm pretty much against the official story.

https://www.metabunk.org/sonic-attacks-at-the-us-embassy-in-cuba-mass-hysteria.t9102/

2019-04-18T03:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1118716092438827009

Last year I gave a talk at CSICon on Debunking Iron Microspheres. An obscure sounding topic, but it's a cornerstone of 9/11 conspiracy theories and one well worth addressing in depth.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uskEP89S-ec

2019-04-18T01:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1118684031124512768

@FlatEarthBible Gosh, Dr John seems to have totally misunderstood my point about divergence. Divergence just simplifies aiming. It's a shame he has disabled comments there.

2019-04-15T03:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1117629497967165440

@FMuppetry There's been a few updates since then, most notably the addition of lasers. See: about 4:00 here for the new stuff
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ookTfBP5sUU

2019-04-15T01:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1117598697565474816

Sadly overcast. :(. Next time! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4KCFyEUwAAzlZA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4KCFyEUwAAzlZA.jpg

2019-04-13T17:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1117116108345970688

@patrick3604 Here's roughly what I expect to see. Not going to be impressive, except for the exactness of the prediction. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vxp-dk_K61U

2019-04-13T17:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1117115420282982400

@patrick3604 That's why I encourage them to go out and check for themselves. You can use a wee bit of math to triangulate. But:

The ISS transit predictions use a globe model

The are ALWAYS correct.

Therefore it's probably a globe

2019-04-13T17:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1117111011914289153

Tomorrow the International Space Station is going to fly between the Earth and the Moon. This actually happens all the time, but not so much near my house. So I'm going to try to photograph is and see space is real, Earth is round, etc.

https://www.metabunk.org/recording-iss-transits-of-the-sun-and-moon.t10638/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4DF8RdU0AA0JWD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D4DF8RdU0AA0JWD.jpg

2019-04-12T22:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1116835409034539008

@Trogdor8freebir Sure, email me mick@mickwest.com

2019-04-11T16:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1116378207572226049

RT @SkeptiCalCon: Are you a young skeptic who would like to attend @SkeptiCalCon 2019? Do you know one?

We're offering scholarships to theâ¦

2019-04-11T16:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1116377627013419008

@benjymous Yes, it works in both directions. There's a famous example of a plane flying towards the camera at 1:20 in the video. A very powerful zoom lens greatly magnifies the illusion. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34rW41U0AAYwcH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34rW41U0AAYwcH.jpg

2019-04-11T15:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1116355690845487104

@brax_whit @Tinman_73 @behindthecurve_ They fit the standard model EXACTLY. With mathematical precision they orbit the sun, are illuminated by the sun, and their moons orbit them. I've personally photographed the crescent of Venus and the Moons of Jupiter - exactly where the standard model predicted them. My pics:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34Xi-5UYAEWL7W.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34W6QYUUAcKk2L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34Xi-5UYAEWL7W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D34XYh9U8AEPRgA.jpg

2019-04-11T14:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1116350806230388737
Yesterday I saw a weird white thing just behind the trees. I knew what it was, but out of context shots of similar things have fooled people before, even made the news, and will probably continue to do so.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_JO-o2iLTUA

2019-04-11T14:37:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1116349623805759490
@Oswald1160 @MrReali05459432 @behindthecurve_ In any horizon photo you need to know all the variables before you can say what to expect. Important variables being the altitude and the camera/lens - particularly the focal length and field of view and if it is rectilinear or not. Also weather.
But this horizon curves

2019-04-10T00:23:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115772145898885120
A passionate one-star review of my book, Escaping The Rabbit Hole. I did add an entire chapter explaining why I'm not a "paid disinformation agent" but I guess it didn't take. Not a Verified Purchase though.
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510735801/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3wEOLjUwAAjfFC.jpg


RAM

★☆☆☆☆ **Corrupt to the core of its very intention. Disingenuous. A complete fraud.**
April 8, 2019
Format: Kindle Edition

If you really want to understand why so many people venture into the rabbit hole and never come back, it's because they find something very rare buried there, something people like Mick West are desperately trying to hide. It's called the TRUTH. No, not just the truth about conspiracies like 9/11, but the truth about the utter evil and depravity of many who control our government and how easily they will murder American citizens without a second thought to promote their agenda.

It's clear to me that Mick West is a paid disinformation agent, and this book is a ridiculously transparent work of deception intended to further discredit those who are wide awake to the truths he wishes to hide.

Don't waste your money on books written by deceivers. The truth is free: 9-11WasTreason.org

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3wEOLjUwAAjfFC.jpg
2019-04-10T00:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115769543576567808
@MrReali05459432 @behindthecurve_ Tyson gets things wrong all the time. Remember when he though that K1 was higher than K2 and Everest, and then this:
https://twitter.com/neiltyson/status/623117641507557376?lang=en

---

2019-04-09T19:25:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115697191564144640
@brax_whit @ColinWigle Sure, you go spend tens of millions of dollars just for a meaningless record. We've been to to the Moon. Slightly higher orbits are a bit uninspiring by comparison. Apollo 11's record was all about the Moon. Read:

https://motherboard.vice.com/en_us/article/4xxbbg/the-gemini-that-almost-went-to-the-moon

---

2019-04-09T19:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115694497285861376

@ColinWigle @brax_whit Again, you either go into orbit, or you go to the Moon or Mars. There's practically zero point in putting people into medium or high earth orbit. It's not something anyone is pushing for because there's no net benefit.

2019-04-09T18:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115687092321902593

@brax_whit There's no point sending people beyond earth orbit unless they are going to the Moon. Because you NEED the Moon so you can orbit it to get back. There''s also nothing of interest between here and the moon. So orbit and Moon are your options.

2019-04-09T16:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115660467114897408

@brax_whit There's plenty of evidence. Just Google your question, and read the results. There's many articles. I'm not going to write a new one on Twitter.

2019-04-09T16:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115658372068413441

@brax_whit The live TV broadcasts. Impossible to fake with the technology back then. The whole world watching.

2019-04-09T16:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115658083076718592

@brax_whit No, this is actually really really tangible, it's also way easier than sticking a person in a vacuum chamber (which has nothing to do with the shape of the Earth) You've just got to think out why you actually see a curve of the horizon.

2019-04-09T16:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115653147081330688

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ The middle guy in yellow here sees a curve at the edge of the rink. i.e. if he takes a photo, the curve will be measurable. If the rink was 100 miles wide then no curve would be measurable in photos taken from that position.
So the world is tiny, or a globe, and it's not tiny.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3uYB6fUwAAZlhU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3uYB6fUwAAZlhU.jpg
2019-04-09T16:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115652217040658432
@brax_whit Eventually. Expansion into the solar system is inevitable.

2019-04-09T16:24:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115651616168767488
@convair990BHX @AirFranceUK That's probably the APU. A small jet engine in the tail cone used for auxiliary power.

2019-04-09T16:20:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115650583472422912
@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ No, the horizon being:

A) A circle
B) Just 30 miles away from 500 feet
C) Visibly curved
D) Below eye level
E) in a world where things are often hundreds, even thousand of miles apart.

Proves it's a globe

2019-04-09T16:10:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115648099521683456
@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ The curve seen by Rory is the curve you see looking down at a 30 mile radius circle from 1000 feet above that circle (500 feet above sea level and about 500 feet drop).
It is UTTERLY UNLIKE anything you'd get on flat earth, unless the planet is s a 29 mile radius disk.

2019-04-09T16:02:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115646144074223617
@wisecrackalec @wisecrack Thank you! It really is a great video, and I think it will be quite helpful in prompting some critical thought in people who are not entirely stuck down the Flat

Earth rabbit hole.

Of course you've got to get them to watch it - but some of them will.

2019-04-09T15:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115643657674059778

I highly recommend this episode of @Wisecrack on how REAL science works, and why some people reject it. All set in the context of Flat Earth. Written by @wisecrackalec, it's insightful and very well communicated.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=umo6pMCkcXs

2019-04-09T14:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115626733229580289

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ Why? On a plane the horizon appears flat and really hazy. Itâ□□s the same as an incredibly large globe.

2019-04-09T04:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115470185064828930

Shooting a laser across a lake close of the water is a popular way of demonstrating the curvature of the Earth (or non-curvature, if you think it's flat).

The problem is, it doesn't work.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ookTfBP5sUU

2019-04-09T04:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115464834412232704

@DoctorKarl @SciencePetr @Gordeauz For insulation you should fill it with a hard vacuum shipped in from intergalactic space, where the vacuum is hardest.

2019-04-09T03:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115461425856634880

@LiesSkies It's your camera. Try doing the same thing with a bright light indoors.

2019-04-09T03:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115460109600034817

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ Which can only happen on a globe.

2019-04-09T03:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115459633240395776

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ We've got photos of the 45,000 foot curve, and the 500 foot curve. But you'd be hard pressed to squeeze a pixel out of a 200 foot cruise ship deck.

So let me rephrase: "Seems like a wild goose chase, when you can PHOTOGRAPH the curve."

2019-04-09T03:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115458497204744192

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ Not forever, just trillions of miles. We can see stars, and they are a lot further away than the horizon. From 46,000 feet the horizon is only about 280 miles

away.

2019-04-09T00:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115408566846779393

@TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Well before you delete your account, let me know.

2019-04-09T00:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115406440653447168

@TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Who gets to judge?

2019-04-08T23:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115397025569230849

@TTSA_Agent_001 When should I check in with you?

2019-04-08T23:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115395934777561089

@__dregs__ Done. See you in three years http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3quqFwU0AEKuhA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3quqFwU0AEKuhA.jpg

2019-04-08T21:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115372896619323392

@__dregs__ No, I prefer a small but reasonable amount, as it saves argument. You don't want motivated reasoning.

2019-04-08T20:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115355251396071424

@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ Seems like a wild goose chase, when you can see the curve.

2019-04-08T20:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115355024605859840

@TTSA_Agent_001 @__dregs__ I just gave it a go. Check it out. A thin film meta-material is levitated with sound waves from 0.06g down to 0.00g. It's anti-gravity!

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1115354665837719552/pu/img/5IeHdZ2ZQPB2N6id.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1115354665837719552/pu/img/5IeHdZ2ZQPB2N6id.jpg
2019-04-08T20:40:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115353647959777280
@TTSA_Agent_001 @__dregs__ Where did you hear that?

They probably meant weight, or something like "effective mass" But it's a pretty meaningless claim without details. I can reduce the weight of a piece of aluminum foil by blowing on it.

2019-04-08T20:31:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115351469148872704
@__dregs__ $20 is plenty. It reduces the incentive to argue semantics to wiggle out of it. Yet it's still a money bet, which nobody likes to lose. I'll do $100, but we have to mutually agree on the outcome.

2019-04-08T20:29:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115350974422441985
@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ There's no such thing as the "circular limits of vision" The curve of the horizon is the result of looking at the flat circle of the horizon from above. It would only happen on a globe.

2019-04-08T20:28:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115350746604625926
@brax_whit @behindthecurve_ What does he think will happen?

2019-04-08T18:48:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115325657091694592
@__dregs__ With the bet being that within three years, TTSA will demonstrate a material that is "revolutionary to our understanding of physics" and demonstrates that some kind of thrust-less high altitude flying craft is possible. I'm betting that does not happen.

2019-04-08T18:45:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115324783749550080

@__dregs__ How about $20, even money, and you get to decide.

2019-04-08T18:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115324402378235909

@__dregs__ What if we disagree? Like let's say TTSA announce that they have a material with an odd isotope mix, in layers, and that they demonstrate it on a scale getting 0.1g lighter when being next to a large humming machine. They claim this demonstrates anti-gravity. Like that?

2019-04-08T17:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115313052742590464

@__dregs__ So who gets to judge if it is "revolutionary to our understanding of physics?"

2019-04-08T17:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115304272026001408

@__dregs__ Revolutionary to what degree? Like how drones have revolutionized home videos? How Fortnite has revolutionized gaming? How mobile phones cameras have revolutionized UFOology.

How about a simple demo, like a thrust-less craft hovering 100 feet above the ground for 30 seconds.

2019-04-08T17:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115302852044308482

@TTSA_Agent_001 @TTSAcademy @tomdelonge I'd put money on "no," but I'd need some of those terms defined. Like "rules"

2019-04-08T17:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115302224513515520

@__dregs__ We can make atomically layered things on Earth.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atomic_layer_deposition
The Bismuth/Magnesium thing isn't atomically layered though, just regular vapor deposition. Some manufacturing byproduct, or a hoax. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3pZL0uUwAMOdl-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3pZL0uUwAMOdl-.jpg

2019-04-08T17:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115300329317253123
@diz_whit @Ghostmaster75 @TTSA_Agent_001 @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY Of course there's "evidence" that is not publicly available. Lots of things happen in connection with top secret stuff that simply does not get into the public arena because of broad classification. That does not mean that there is good evidence of alien visitors.

2019-04-08T17:06:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115299897161334784
@theyre_heeeere @diz_whit @Ghostmaster75 @TTSA_Agent_001 @Deepneuron @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY The double slit experiment? My take is that it's a good demonstration of wave/particle duality. What would you expect? That I think it's quantum witchcraft?

2019-04-08T17:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115299378959282176
@dennissutherby @joerogan Thanks! I'd be happy to go back any time. You should talk to Joe about it :)

2019-04-08T17:03:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115299083231477761
@__dregs__ That transcript is all a bit vague. I mean magnetic levitation would fulfill the basics of what is described there. Unless you really want to claim it has temporarily "reduced mass"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3pWeIHUYAAIzri.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3pWeIHUYAAIzri.jpg
2019-04-08T16:23:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115289006726475776
Famous Flat Earther Mark Sargent (from the @behindthecurve_ documentary) has promised to

quit Flat Earth if someone sends him a photo of the curve of the horizon.
So Rory send him some, with instructions on how to replicate them.
So what will Mark do?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o2y7fk1eAgc

2019-04-08T15:51:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115280987653414914
@Cindea6 @ppalotay @OpChemtrails Why not? I mean, that's what all the books on clouds for the last 70+ years say.

2019-04-08T15:50:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115280783793512448
@mikesara444 Contrails are clouds, basically a form of cirrus. Obviously they block the sun. There's lots of research into it. Like:
https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/full/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00213.1

2019-04-08T14:33:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115261369580785664
@__dregs__ I asked for a well defined bet, not a YouTube time stamp.

2019-04-08T14:31:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115260951798771712
@mikesara444 To debunk what, exactly?

2019-04-08T05:50:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115129893925167104
@Ghostmaster75 @TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY You could obviously attempt to use it attempt to assign some statistical probability to unidentified sightings being alien visitors. Seems like a fools game though. WAY too many assumptions to have any use.

2019-04-08T05:49:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115129479959998464
@__dregs__ How was it described?

2019-04-08T05:47:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115129090783055872
@Ghostmaster75 @TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY I don't know. The statistical Drake equation isn't really a hugely useful advance on the regular Drake equation. And Maccone presented his paper in 2008, so it's not really clear what its inclusion in the list means.

2019-04-08T05:04:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115118264839888896
@Cindea6 @ppalotay @OpChemtrails What if there were simply two different types of contrail?

2019-04-08T04:16:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1115106081275912194
@TTSA_Agent_001 @Ghostmaster75 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT

@QuestForZen @HISTORY Although the paper listed there is actually Maccone's "Statistical Drake Equation", a way of formulating the Drake equation using statistical distributions, which gives a slightly higher density of life in the Galaxy.

2019-04-08T03:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115096950271905792

@TTSA_Agent_001 @Ghostmaster75 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY You mean this? https://fas.org/irp/dia/aatip-list.pdf

The Drake equation would give you a ballpark guesstimate for the prevalence of radio-communicating life in the Galaxy. You could use to in determining how seriously one would take a visiting-aliens hypothesis of any nature.

2019-04-08T00:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115054693695123456

@Ghostmaster75 @TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY Why not?

2019-04-08T00:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1115054222108573696

@__dregs__ What do you mean by "comes through"? I'm always happy to take a well-defined bet.

2019-04-07T21:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114999947059814402

@TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY They don't. And there's no good evidence that such a thing happened. And there's plenty of evidence it dit NOT happen (no atmospheric disturbance).

2019-04-07T21:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114998874119131136

@TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY But you seem to be suggesting that YOU know what it is they are spending money on. Maybe it's spending money on identifying novel aerial threats from Russia, China, terrorists, etc.

How do you know?

2019-04-07T21:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114998467745574912

@TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY How do you know what is soon to be disclosed?
And I'm unsure what your point is. The Pentagon spends money on tracking airborne objects regardless of what they are. If some are unidentified, it seems reasonable they might spend some money on figuring out what they are.

2019-04-07T21:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114997475599880192
@TTSA_Agent_001 @diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @HISTORY I bet you $100 it will not.

2019-04-07T20:52:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114994445470986240
@diz_whit @Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Yes, I've read about Skinwalker ranch. It's a bunch of nonsense.

2019-04-07T18:04:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114952076981702656
It's often thought that you need to be really high to detect the curve of the horizon, like 60,000 feet or more. But in fact it's relatively easy to see it from just 500 feet above sea level (if the weather cooperates). Hence proving the Earth is a globe. https://youtu.be/tOCodgq1oM8

2019-04-07T17:02:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114936408571932672
@Deepneuron @theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Which is actually a quote from Poltergeist. About ghosts. Not aliens.
https://youtu.be/P6Htti3EJ5g

2019-04-07T16:55:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114934836983021568
@theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @JuenoMT @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I donâ□□t know why youâ□□d think anyone thinks aliens are inconceivable when all they are doing is point out that aliens being HERE is not backed by good evidence.

2019-04-07T01:33:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114702813651587072
@mikesara444 You had that back then too. See this 1921 article. One contrail had a background of cirrus clouds.
And of course it was sometimes totally cloudy back then.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3g4IyoUwAAsm_1.jpg

Cloud Formation by Supercharged Plane

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field, recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a LePere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26–27,000 feet at 11.50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently ten to fifteen times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps two miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after two or three miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been three miles long. After twenty minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

The observer reported as having observed nothing unusual; occasionally the motor would give a burst of black exhaust gas. The pilot thought he remembered having passed through a stratum that felt damp. Clouds at this altitude are necessarily ice crystals, or needles, and it is difficult to determine the cause of the cloud formation under consideration. Possible contributing causes are: Water in exhaust; dust and carbon particles in exhaust serving as cloud nuclei; convective currents due to disturbance caused by passage of plane. Conditions of humidity were undoubtedly ideal for the formation of cloud at that altitude.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3g4IyoUwAAsm_1.jpg

2019-04-06T23:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114667145323925506

@theyre_heeeere @JuenoMT @Deepneuron @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY That seems the most likely explanation.

2019-04-06T21:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114640607559839744

@Patryan Natural selection. Anyone that's capable of doing something silly like that would probably already have done it, so what we have left are people who are all talk.

2019-04-06T21:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114640175177453569

@JuenoMT @theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Really? I should hold back on pointing out things that are factually wrong?

Why?

2019-04-06T21:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114639968612151297

@JuenoMT @Deepneuron @QuestForZen @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY No. I'm saying that TTSA said that Go Fast was close to the water, and that they were wrong.

2019-04-06T18:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114603067381387264

@theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @QuestForZen @JuenoMT @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I don't really see the relevance of ball lightning here.

2019-04-06T18:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114601226589499392

@Deepneuron @QuestForZen @JuenoMT @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY No I mean I can use

trigonometry to figure out the altitude of the Go Fast object. But TTSA apparently could not.

2019-04-06T18:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114600957839437824

Contrails are just over 100 years old. In 1919, Scientific American published a letter on the subject of "Clouds Formed by Planes", based on observations from the WWI battlefields of the Argonne. It described "a plane writing in white upon the blue slate of the sky"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3fbBRKUYAALLxs.jpg

Correspondence

The editors are not responsible for statements made in the correspondence column. Anonymous communications cannot be considered, but the names of correspondents will be withheld when so desired.

Clouds Formed by Airplanes

To the Editor of the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN:

In a recent letter from my brother, Capt. Ward S. Wells, M.C., 60th Infantry, 5th Division, A. E. F., he mentions the observance of rather strange and wonderful phenomena which I think worth passing on to you. They strike me as being quite unusual and perhaps worthy of record, so I am sending the following quotation from his letter.

"The first part of October last we spent several days in the Bois de Hess waiting to take over a part of the front line in the Argonne. The shell holes from the first great Verdun battle were so thick that there were no patches of ground large enough to accommodate even a pup tent and from any of the war pictures you can imagine what was left of the trees.

"There were two or three days of rain, when came a wonderfully clear and beautiful morning, with not a cloud in sight. At the time, some miles ahead, there was going on an especially terrific bombardment.

"Our attention was first drawn to the sky by the sudden appearance of several strange and startling clouds—long graceful, looping ribbons of white. These were tapering to a point at one end and at the other where they dissolved into nothingness 60 degrees across the sky, were about as broad as the width of a finger held arm's distance from the eye. On close observation we noticed some distance ahead of each cloud point the tiny speck of a chasse plane. Apparently the churning of the air was all that was needed to upset the delicately balanced meteorological conditions and precipitate this strange cloud formation. Before, I had seen ships leave their tracks in the clouds, similar to those of little sea animals in the wet sands at the shore, but never before had I seen a plane writing in white upon the blue slate of the sky.

"But that is not all that I have to tell you. From 9 until 12 that day it was our uncanny privilege to *see* sound waves. Great rings were continualy floating upward and across the sky from the north. It made you dizzy watching these arcs at times for they were very numerous, their centers were at different points along the northern horizon and of course their radii were of varying lengths. As they would meet and merge one would almost expect to see these big rings flatten out when they bumped into each other. They were absolutely definite affairs and were discernible by being darker than the rest of the sky. When they crossed one of the above described clouds there was apparently a refraction of light although this may have been an optical illusion. It was exactly like passing a piece of crooked window glass over the cloud.

"You may skeptically smile when you read this letter, but I assure you that we were all in our right minds at the time and I have not had enough New Year's egg-nog today to develop a creative imagination."

EVERETT D. WELL

Nashua, Iowa.

entrances of our harbors and get to sea at all. And in less stormy weather when they do get out are too small to tow in the vessel in distress, and also too small to take off but a very limited number of the passengers or crew.

In case it becomes necessary to go some distance from their station, the slow speed of these boats makes them very tardy in arriving at their destination.

On signing the Peace Treaty our Government will find itself with a great many 110-foot sub-chasers on its hands. These boats have been described as well built, fast and seaworthy. Why could they not be worked over somewhat, under the supervision of a competent naval architect and make excellent coast guard boats?

With decks fore and aft raised and heavily crowned, steel water-tight bulkheads, steel deck house and pilot house, large ventilating stack, to insure good ventilation when everything is battened down and a pair of heavy towing bits, these boats should be able to go anywhere, regardless of weather conditions and do real work in saving lives and property.

In putting these boats into this service the Government might receive the benefit many times of the expenditure of their cost, even at war-time prices.

Of this much I am positive; that we need just such a boat here at the entrance of Coos Bay, and I wish the picture on the front of your issue of the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN of January 25th, 1919, showing one of these boats taking off wounded soldiers from the stranded "Northern Pacific" could be placed on the desk of every official of the Coast Guard Service at Washington.

It might cause them to awake to the fact that standard equipment of 50 years ago, even with a gasoline engine added is not sufficient for present necessities.

CLARENCE A. PENNOCK.

Marshfield, Ore.

An Absurd Figure

To the Editor of the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN:

In your issue December 7th, 1918, I note an article entitled "The Saving Grace of War," dealing particularly with by-products of manufacturing industries. On the article, as a whole, I want to congratulate you, and hope it will be followed by other articles of similar tenor. In the distilling business what might be termed "by-products"—that is, the feed recovered, is now becoming the principal product, and I have no doubt same will apply to other lines of manufacture.

In the article above alluded to on page 464, you say:

"One company is distilling from this waste of the vats 500,000 gallons a day of alcohol, which is identical with that obtained from the best grain and which bears no resemblance to the ill-smelling wood spirits. This product releases cereals for food and provides a valuable fuel for the arts of peace and war. This cooking liquor can also be condensed to the consistency of molasses. The light brown compound which results is much in request by the foundries, which just now are overwhelmed by Government contracts. They are paying from 13 to 15 cents a gallon for it to mix with the sand of their molds. Its adhesive qualities insure the firmness of the impression and lower the percentage of defective castings. As a binder in core making it is unexcelled."

The quantity stated, namely, 500,000 gallons (presumably daily) must be a mistake on the part of your contributor, as there was not that much ethyl alcohol

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3fbBRKUYAALLxs.jpg

2019-04-06T18:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114599186760994818

@Deepneuron @QuestForZen @JuenoMT @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I'm an expert at sines, cosines, and tangents, and arithmetic. It often does not take very much to demonstrate errors in analysis. For example, you don't need quantum physics to show the "Go Fast" object is at 13,000 feet, and not near the ocean surface as was originally claimed.

2019-04-06T18:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114598289553350656

@theyre_heeeere @gav_gavnewman @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY It's not actually rotating though. That's an artifact of the camera, IR glare and gimbals + derotation.

2019-04-06T18:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114598089153699840

@theyre_heeeere @gav_gavnewman @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY By "said" you mean "speculated"?

2019-04-06T18:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114594821677522944

@Deepneuron @QuestForZen @JuenoMT @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Try me. I've very much in favor of high quality evidence and analysis. Even "experts" makes mistakes though. The exposure of those mistakes should be an objective exercise.

2019-04-06T18:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114594359041843200

@carland27488094 @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY What do you mean "these"?

2019-04-06T18:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114594181505142784

@theyre_heeeere @gav_gavnewman @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I bet you it does not. How much would you want to bet? $20?

The only video that shows a "blast off" is the Nimitz Tic_Tac video, and that's only because the camera loses lock and drifts off.

The Gimbal video has clouds as reference points, so it would be obvious.

2019-04-06T18:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114592951760384000

@gav_gavnewman @theyre_heeeere @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Maybe. Or maybe he made a mistake, or misremembered, or is lying. I don't know, and neither do you. You should at the very least consider those things as possibilities, and keep them on the list of possibles, even if you keep them very low down on the list.

2019-04-06T16:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114568701355757568

@carland27488094 @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY What do you mean by REAL? Of course people see UFOs all the time. I've seen loads of flying objects I've been unable to identify. Just not flying objects I've been unable to list a few plausible possibilities for.

2019-04-06T16:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114568357926264832

@gav_gavnewman @theyre_heeeere @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I don't know what Fravor saw. But I can still analyze the videos and extract whatever information is contained in those videos. Anyone can. Via a process of checking our math we can boil out agreements on objective facts, like the possible ranges of speed, altitudes, etc

---

2019-04-06T06:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114421647035867136

@JuenoMT @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY I'm not one for "sides". I look at evidence, and make decisions based on that evidence.

2019-04-06T06:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114420128681095169

@theyre_heeeere @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Yes, I've looked into all the videos. None of them appear to be going unusually fast. Tic Tac does not really move. The one labeled "Go Fast" is probably 30 knots or less, drifting with the wind. Gimbal's apparent motion is largely parallax as it's a jet flying away.

2019-04-06T05:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114407370296156160

@MattMelv @TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY What date? I'll put it on my calendar.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3crj20UUAEqVC9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3crj20UUAEqVC9.jpg

2019-04-06T05:57:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114406847757185024
@EngagingThe If there's more data then I will analyze it to see if it means anything.

I'd love to discover alien visitors, it would be fascinating, and really cool. So I really WANT good evidence to come out.

I just don't like all this crap evidence being passed off as something real.

2019-04-06T04:07:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114379093665955841
@TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY Well there's only two ways it will go. If the show has some indisputable evidence then that will be great, everyone will be fascinated. If it doesn't, then maybe Elizondo isn't quite the class act you think he is.
So I'm asking, what will you do if the show turns out to be crap?

2019-04-06T04:05:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114378462586789889
@Fibonacci222 @HappyDonutFarts All the NIST reports included collapse initiation.

2019-04-06T04:01:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114377620232200193
@TTSA_Agent_001 @HISTORY And what if it doesn't happen? What if it's just more smoke and mirrors?

2019-04-06T01:15:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114335797732188161
@Fibonacci222 @HappyDonutFarts He wrote that after the 93 bombing. Everyone was thinking of that as a possibility.

2019-04-06T00:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114325301268320257
@Fibonacci222 @TlohJ It's essentially a conservative concoction, and it's certainly not an accurate reflection of UN policy.

2019-04-06T00:31:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114324735326691329
@HappyDonutFarts @Fibonacci222 More: fire causing a progressive collapse of floors around one column, causing it to buckle, the entire column fails, penthouse falls, interior collapses east to west, then the stiffer exterior buckles, and down it comes.
WTC1 debris did significant damage, started lots of fires

2019-04-06T00:17:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114321084986216448
@Fibonacci222 @TlohJ That's right. I even bought a copy of "Global Biodiversity Assessment" to check.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-agenda-21-map-of-simulated-reserve-and-corridor-system

-biodiversity-propaganda.t6855/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3bdGjhUwAAgFvm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3bdGjhUwAAgFvm.jpg
2019-04-06T00:15:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114320732450721792
@Fibonacci222 No, I'm just pointing out the video is fake.

2019-04-06T00:14:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1114320487721517058
@MJShorn Yes, and I have done so, like in this thread where I point out differences between the global collapse model animation and the "push-off" initiating event, and show where figures have been manually edited to add damage.
https://www.metabunk.org/wtc7-is-ae911s-and-nists-focus-on-a2001-justified-if-it-was-not-key-in-nists-global-model.t9427/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3bcdo2UUAAsAKr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3bcdo2UUAAsAKr.jpg

2019-04-05T22:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114290669994950662

@Fibonacci222 @TlohJ Remind me.

2019-04-05T22:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1114290590680735744

@Fibonacci222 This is a well-known fake video by Edward Current

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k8VAsoVuShM

2019-04-04T19:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113888257937268736

@rothschildmd How do they make money?

2019-04-04T16:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113844488932212736

@keithkloor Thanks!

2019-04-04T16:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113844048316391425

@Quality_Qontrol Have you noticed that sometimes clouds are different colors? Contrails are a type of cloud, so they too can be different colors depending on how dense they are, the type of ice crystals formed, how the sun hits them, and what's in the air in front of them and behind them.

2019-04-04T05:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113676495577210880

@JRockTigers Basically around under <40Â°, and >66-70% Relative Humidity (100% RH with respect to ice). Air in those conditions is known as an ice supersaturated region. I discussed a similar outbreak in depth here:
http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2019-04-04T03:26:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113643946838650880
@JRockTigers Looks like a combination of contrails and cirrus clouds. Often contrails will develop into cirrus. That region is prone to occasional contrail outbreaks like that. It depends on the weather.

2019-04-04T03:24:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113643466045579264
@ManneringJohn You mean a conspiracy that I agree happened? There are thousands. People get convicted of conspiracy all the time.
Euribor rate rigging. College admissions bribing. Multifamily housing Lending.
https://www.bbc.com/news/business-47779993
https://www.duluthnewstribune.com/news/education/4592591-15-parents-charged-college-scandal-appear-court
https://www.housingwire.com/articles/46496-inside-the-scandal-that-could-explode-multifamily-real-estate

---

2019-04-03T19:47:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113528529910521856
@ManneringJohn That seems like a reasonable definition of refraction. But why are you showing it to me?

2019-04-03T00:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113233261977690112
@ManneringJohn I'd change my mind to whatever is supported by the evidence. I'm evidence based, not faith based. So if new evidence arrives, them my belief will change.

2019-04-03T00:08:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113231744650452992
@ManneringJohn Have you ever considered that I sound so credible simply because I'm right?

Think about that for a while. Why are are trusting a bunch of other random credible sounding people, but not me?

2019-04-03T00:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1113230191705202688
@Theconnecting @naomirwolf 2017? It's been doing that since the 1940s.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3L88nBUUAEgiHt.jpg



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3L88nBUUAEgiHt.jpg



TRAIL OF ICE — Emitting a vapor trail is this Convair NB-36H bomber show in a test flight. The vapor trail is actually tiny pieces of ice formed in the cold air at high altitudes. (Unifax Photo)

★ ★ ★

Fluffy, White Vapor Trails Caused By Tiny Ice Crystals

By DONN GAYNOR

Long, fluffy white vapor trails, billowing out behind high-flying aircraft have long fascinated those who observe the phenomenon.

A popular question is: what causes these trails.

Most people have come to believe that vapor trails are caused only by jet aircraft. However, any airplane can cause these trails, but few aircraft that are not jet-propelled can reach the altitudes at which the trails occur.

Referred to by the weather bureau as condensation trails, or "contrails" for short, the phenomenon is caused by moisture in aircraft exhaust gasses condensing on contact with cold outside air.

There is considerable moisture in exhaust gas which at lower altitudes merely evaporates into the air, leaving no trace. At higher altitudes, in the cool thin air the water condenses, immediately freezes into ice crystals and becomes in fact a cirrus cloud.

★

Within the past few years, the weather bureau has begun to report the trails as actual cloud layers when there are sufficient trails to cover a portion of the sky.

Normally water will not condense and freeze below 20,000 feet. Since jets are the only planes that ordinarily fly at 20,000 feet or above, they are responsible for the greater part of contrails. Propeller-driven planes will leave trails at lower altitudes in the winter, when the lower regions are naturally extremely cold.

Such lower altitude trails are short-lived however, and evaporate quickly. Sometimes, when the air is saturated with moisture, the cooling effect of a whirling propeller can change the moisture from a gas to a liquid and cause a halo of fog at the propeller tip. This phenomnen is seldom seen as the moisture content and temperature must be just right.

During World War II, vapor trails were a common sight over the European battle areas. The B-17 and B-24 bombers and their fighter escorts were among the first to reach extremely high altitudes and to leave trails.

★

The long clouds extending behind our present day bombers would prove quite a menace in the event of war. Enemy interceptors and anti-aircraft gunners would have an easy time tracking jets on daylight flights. The Air Force has been working for a number of years, seeking a way to eliminate the give-away vapor trails.

It's a thrill for many to watch as a gleaming shaft of white cloud appears to come from nowhere and work it's way across the sky.

"AIR CONDITIONED"
PARK
Today & Mon.
1st Downtown
Showing
The RIOTOUS SAGA of

She is eager...young...sensual...luminous arrogant...icy...impudent



She is bewitched...defiant...childlike...brazen...tender...cruel...

Argument Got 'Out Of Hand'

Mrs. Ruby Miller, 30, of 251 North Diamond Street, was treated for back bruises at General Hospital at 12:20 a. m. Saturday inflicted, she told police, by her husband, Clifford.

Mrs. Miller told officers she and her husband were having "a friendly argument" at their home, "but things got out of hand" and her husband threw her to the floor and kicked her. He then drove her to the hospital where police were called and told Mrs. Miller to contact the city solicitor if she wished to file charges against him.

Angler Hooked

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind. (UP) — Police hooked Raymond Kennie, Peoria, Ill., just as he began fishing on the banks of the White River with $500 worth of stolen equipment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3L8yhZUgAAZtZj.jpg

Air Traffic 'Clouds' Get Study

Ridder News Service

BOULDER, Colo. — Visitors to Grand Canyon National Park spend most of their time looking down into the awesome vastness of one of the geological wonders of the world. But those tourists who happen to glance upward will see an awesome sight, too.

Aircraft contrails begin to streak the normally bright Arizona sky at dawn. Through the day, as air traffic peaks, these contrails gradually merge into an almost solid, interlaced sheet of cirrus cloud — an arti-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3L83m6UEAAtqxF.jpg

Vapor Trails Bane To Pilots, Former News-Post Photog Says

Vapor trails similar to the one which a high-flying airplane left over this city early in February are a bane to pilots since they are a "dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners," Tech. Sergt. Robert L. Yinger, former News - Post photographer, writes from Muroc, Calif., where he is stationed with the 536th Bomber Squadron.

Sergt. Yinger said he read with interest the account of the long vapor trails left by the unidentified aircraft which passed over this city and which were viewed by many residents. The trails have appeared as a narrow ribbon of dense white smoke unwinding from the plane until it disappeared below the horizon.

He said the vapor trails are termed "contrail," a contraction for condensation trail, and "are not so much a rarity here in the west, possibly due to the dryness of the atmosphere."

"It is quite common to see several large trails every day, produced by high altitude bombers. The maneuvering of the aircraft results frequently in interesting patterns. Contrails are a headache to combat pilots and are very difficult to control. Apparently they result only when the atmosphere is at a certain temperature and humidity."

Sergt. Yinger then makes this interesting observation: "Contrails frequently have a tendency to cause a complete overcast and cause rain. In Idaho I have seen contrails formed in a perfectly clear sky and four hours later a complete overcast resulted.

"But one of the oddest effects I have even seen and which has never been satisfactorily explained to me is that when an aircraft producing a vapor trail enters a cloud formation, the vapor trail stops and a perfectly clear tunnel is bored through to the other side. As soon as the ship emerges, the trail begins again. This may be caused by precipitation of the water vapor in the cloud. While most trails persist for several hours, some are only of short duration, giving the appearance of the wake of a ship in water.

"The complete elimination or control of contrails would be a great advancement for aerial warfare, since they are a dead giveaway for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners.

"Notwithstanding the seriousness of contrails to high altitude flying, I saw one pilot over Los Angeles who was making good use of it. He was sky-writing with his P-39, to the amazement of onlookers."

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3L8lFkVYAAbsOT.jpg

2019-04-02T23:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113228927093198848

@ManneringJohn Seriously. How do you decide who to believe? What kind of checks do you do on the quality of the information?

2019-04-02T20:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113176705001115649

@ManneringJohn Says who?

2019-04-02T17:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113138752505823232

I'm going to be one of the Speakers at @SkeptiCalCon on June 9. I'll be talking about science communication and conspiracy theorists. How common misconceptions about science end up reinforcing conspiracist world views.

Check out the other great speakers: http://www.skepticalcon.com/speakers-2019

2019-04-02T17:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113136810589270016

@ManneringJohn Sure you can use stuff. You just need to explain exactly how you separate out the good stuff from the bad stuff. What source, for example, would you say is reliable about the Gulf of Tonkin. And why is this source reliable?

2019-04-02T17:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113134279670751232

@ManneringJohn So how do you know what happened historically? Why did you cite specific events like you had some personal knowledge of them? What sources do you trust when it comes to history?

2019-04-02T17:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113132810187841536

@ManneringJohn How do you know they happened? You reject history, so what are you going by?

2019-04-02T17:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113128738181402625

@ManneringJohn You listed several historical events as evidence that Sandy Hook was a false flag events. Why did you do this if you reject all of history as unreliable. You can't both reject history and simultaneously cite it as evidence.

2019-04-02T14:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1113084447652405249

@ManneringJohn Have you read history? Try the Wikipedia article.

2019-04-02T00:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112881501618630656

@covered_UPINTEL That's just poor focus. The "orb" is an out-of-focus highlight on the plane, a reflection of the sun.

2019-04-02T00:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112880675743363072

@ManneringJohn Franz Ferdinand? What's the theory there? And what's the theory that the evidence is based upon?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Assassination_of_Archduke_Franz_Ferdinand

---

2019-03-31T20:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112454895599140864

@ManneringJohn I'm debunking what Shepard said. The FBI did NOT say nobody died at SH. You

understand the difference between state and local police?

2019-03-31T19:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112433250318729216

Conspiracy theorist using "FBI Says nobody died at Sandy Hook" nonsense that was verifiably debunked years ago, as some kind of defense of Alex Jones. Not only debunked, it's a good example of "over the line" that actually backfires for conspiracists by making them look bad

https://twitter.com/ShepardAmbellas/status/1112397298070245379

2019-03-31T19:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112430819908304896

@ShepardAmbellas Seriously @ShepardAmbellas? You are repeating old nonsense that you could have debunked yourself with an ounce of serious research. That table is based on UCR reports for LOCAL law enforcement, but the SH deaths were under STATE police jurisdiction. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.t4570/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3Alp00VYAApSkX.jpg



Crime in Connecticut 2012 — **Offense and Arrest Data**

Offense Statistics for Year 2012 — Agency or Area: Newtown — Pop: 26,389

Index Offense	Offenses Number	Offenses Rate[2]	Clearances Number	Clearances Pct.	Value Stolen Total	Value Stolen Average
Murder[3]	0	0.0	1	-----	$0	-----
Rape	5	18.9	1	20.0%	$0	$0
Robbery	0	0.0	0	-----	$0	-----
Aggravated Assault	2	7.6	1	50.0%	-----	-----
Burglary	32	121.3	4	12.5%	$105,764	$3,305
Larceny	155	587.4	27	17.4%	$243,735	$1,572
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	7.6	0	0.0%	$8,501	$4,251
Arson	1	3.8	0	0.0%	$3,000	$3,000
Crime Index Total[1]:	**196**	**742.7**	**34**	**17.3%**	**$358,000**	**$1,827**

[1] Arson not included — **Value Recovered:** **$8,004**

[2] All rates per 100,000 persons; crime rate of rape per 100,000 females is 36.7

[3] Does NOT include 27 victims of Newtown mass shooting (*see State Police Misc.*)

Murder Victim-Offender Relationship	female	male	Circumstance	
Spouse	0	0	Argument	0
			LEOKA	0

Robbery	n (clr)	Total Loss	Avg. Loss
Highway/Street	0	$0	$0
Gas Station	0	$0	$0
Convenience Store	0	$0	$0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3Alp00VYAApSkX.jpg



Crime in Connecticut 2012 — **Offense and Arrest Data**

Offense Statistics for Year 2012 — Agency or Area: State Police Misc.* — Pop: 3,067,466

Index Offense	Offenses Number	Offenses Rate[2]	Clearances Number	Clearances Pct.	Value Stolen Total	Value Stolen Average
Murder[3]	27	0.9	27	100.0%	$0	$0
Rape	2	0.1	0	0.0%	$0	$0
Robbery	4	0.1	2	50.0%	$215	$54
Aggravated Assault	52	1.7	40	76.9%	-----	-----
Burglary	16	0.5	2	12.5%	$12,669	$792
Larceny	203	6.6	41	20.2%	$445,901	$2,197
Motor Vehicle Theft	74	2.4	34	45.9%	$702,519	$9,494
Arson	35	1.1	0	0.0%	$1,645,012	$47,000
Crime Index Total[1]:	**378**	**12.3**	**146**	**38.6%**	**$1,161,304**	**$3,072**

[1] Arson not included — *Included in State Police total. — **Value Recovered:** **$243,497**

[2] All rates per 100,000 persons; crime rate of rape per 100,000 females is 0.1

[3] Includes 27 victims of Newtown mass shooting

Murder Victim-Offender Relationship	female	male	Circumstance	
			Argument	0

Robbery	n (clr)	Total Loss	Avg. Loss
Highway/Street	2	$1	$1
Gas Station	0	$0	$0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3Alpa9UwAEoJuf.jpg



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
US Rate:	4063.41	3990.86	3898.94	3808.07	3730.45	3667.02	3465.52	3345.52	3294.93	3246.03
CT Rate:	2940.86	2981.24	2913.69	2886.10	2781.03	2805.00	2650.28	2478.84	2438.97	2433.40
CT Offenses:	102441	104451	102279	101152	97400	98210	93244	88596	87332	87367
CT % Change:		2%	-2%	-1%	-4%	1%	-5%	-5%	-1%	0%
CT Clearances:	20064	20215	19489	19507	19924	21095	21095	20127	20108	20417
CT % Cleared:	20%	19%	19%	19%	20%	21%	23%	23%	23%	23%
CT Pop (millions):	3.483	3.504	3.510	3.505	3.502	3.501	3.518	3.574	3.581	3.590

Crime Index Components for Connecticut

		2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Murder	N:	117	100[1]	112	135	108	128	107	132	129	143[2]
	R:	3.36	2.85	3.19	3.85	3.08	3.66	3.04	3.69	3.60	3.98
Rape	N:	701	778	757	714	703	685	650	599	688	923[3]
	R:	20.12	22.21	21.57	20.37	20.07	19.56	18.48	16.76	19.21	25.71
	R:	39.00	43.03	41.79	39.48	38.90	37.92	35.80	32.48	37.24	49.82
Robbery	N:	4221	4239	4142	4460	4328	4049	3993	3554	3690	3691
	R:	121.18	120.99	118.00	127.25	123.58	115.64	113.49	99.44	103.05	102.80
Ag Assault	N:	5360	5137	5085	5216	5411	5906	5782	5792	5380	5410
	R:	153.87	146.62	144.86	148.82	154.50	168.68	164.34	162.06	150.25	150.68
Burglary	N:	15539	15978	15628	15549	15684	15122	15151	15158	15468	14738
	R:	446.09	456.04	445.20	443.65	447.82	431.90	430.64	424.11	431.98	410.49
Larceny	N:	65163	67158	65875	64807	61250	63497	60137	56705	55357	56021
	R:	1870.69	1916.83	1876.62	1849.09	1748.85	1813.56	1709.28	1586.56	1545.98	1560.33
MV Theft	N:	11340	11061	10680	10271	9916	8823	7424	6656	6620	6441
	R:	325.55	315.70	304.25	293.05	283.13	252.00	211.01	186.23	184.88	179.40
Arson[0]	N:	712	536	514	479	485	497	396	424	379	377
	R:	20.44	15.30	14.64	13.67	13.85	14.20	11.26	11.86	10.58	10.50

N: Number of Offenses; R: Rate per 100,000 persons; R_F: Rate per 100,000 females;

[0] Arson is not included in the calculation of the traditional Crime Index, but it is a component in a "modified" Crime Index.

[1] Includes 16 victims of a Hartford nursing home arson.

[2] Includes 27 victims of Newtown mass shooting.

[3] Includes repeated offenses (separate time and place) of single offender in Bridgeport

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D3Alo08VAAIgXD0.jpg

2019-03-31T12:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1112332104090583046

@Mojostarz @TheBubbleBubble @naomirwolf The dark line is a shadow caused by the contrail being lined up with the sun. See http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2019-03-29T19:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111715748144009216

@T__E__S__L__A @rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom And close up you can barely see the left-right curve. This is because you only see a tiny bit of it. Like looking at 1" of a pool cue to try see if it is bent (vs. looking along the cue.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D22bSQOVAAADc9_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D22bSQOVAAADc9_.jpg
2019-03-29T19:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1111715357268443136
@T__E__S__L__A @rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom It;s sufficiently close. See the comparison looking along the white direction vs. along the black. In both cases there's a significantly more noticeable curve in the perspective compressed direction
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D22bCy6U0AA7h-6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D22bAPHU0AECJcb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D22bCy6U0AA7h-6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D22bBfCUYAARf8H.jpg

2019-03-29T15:16:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111648270663614465

@T__E__S__L__A @rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom Youâ□□r gonna need a bigger stone. Or smaller pawns. Whatâ□□s the radius of curvature of a car roof?

2019-03-29T04:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111481235019169792

@rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @T__E__S__L__A @Wifizoom The interesting thing about this example â□□ as the focal length increases there's LESS distortion. And the Soundly images were taken with a long focal length.

2019-03-29T01:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111445996725137409

@VartanianAlbert Not for everyone. You'd be surprised.

2019-03-28T22:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111388454502359040

@rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @T__E__S__L__A @Wifizoom But somehow you can't actually explain what the trick is? How does the trick work? Why exactly does it look like there is a curve?

2019-03-28T22:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111387506379886595

@rokro111 @mwelchanshfa @T__E__S__L__A @Wifizoom So how EXACTLY is it trick photography?

And why are you using the Biloxi Bay Bridge as a kind of counterexample? That is short, curved, and arched over most of its length. Entirely unlike the Pontchartrain Causeway.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xw6h_UcAA9Rgo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xwc8UU8AAw2Kr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xw6h_UcAA9Rgo.jpg

2019-03-28T20:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111363476784439296

The "Tune" plugin allows you to select how "loud" you want the comments section to be. It automatically filters out toxic comments to a level you select. Here I'm showing the two it removed on "medium".

Internet improver, or tool of the Illuminati?

https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/tune-experimental/gdfknffdmmjakmlikbpdngpcpbbfhbnp http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xavfiUYAACgvv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xavfiUYAACgvv.jpg

2019-03-28T20:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111360724444446720

@T__E__S__L__A @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom @rokro111 What if I took a very large ball, and just used a square of the curved surface? Would that differ from using the entire ball?

2019-03-28T19:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111355439709511680

@T__E__S__L__A @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom @rokro111 Just like the curve of the Earth, you need perspective compression to be able to see it easily.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D2xTuynVYAAepyW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/D2xTuynVYAAepyW.jpg

2019-03-28T19:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111354846496485376

@T__E__S__L__A @mwelchanshfa @Wifizoom @rokro111 Here's a great experiment you can do on top of your car (which will generally curve both ways)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xTNO1U0AAfD_q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xTNO1U0AAfD_q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xTNOxU0AE7r7i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2xTNO1UcAAyfK9.jpg

2019-03-28T17:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111314963954434048

@Jaksims That's probably water. It just looks black because it's in the shadow of the plane. It's coming from the drain mast. Drain masts dump grey water from the galley (kitchen) and bathroom sinks.

2019-03-28T17:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111312009973264384

A dangerous demonstration of why you can't just take off in a helicopter and hover while the Earth turns below you. Inertia keeps you moving with the thing you are/were moving on, regardless of if you are in contact with it.

https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/1111310360630444032

2019-03-28T16:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111308690731102208

Amazing slow motion close-up Schlieren footage of arc welding using inert gas. A common source of iron microsphere dust in building construction. You can see the spheres shooting off in all directions. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RoBDDQnLEWo

---

2019-03-28T03:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111109624235610112

@matthetube Wine drinkers don't generally need much of an excuse. :) I've not drunk wine with McDonalds, but I have with Jack-in-the-Box :)

2019-03-28T02:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1111097045253677057

@matthetube I drink wine with every type of savory food. Certainly with pizza. Why not?

2019-03-27T18:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1110977826797174784

@ColinWigle @PabloMusic Well yes, it very much depends on the weather, and weather can vary from year to year (wet years, dry years, hot years, cold years), so over the scale of human memory you can feel like it's something new when it's really just weather variability.

2019-03-27T18:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1110976334761615360

@PabloMusic Seeing an increase in contrails can be due to a number of factors - like moving from one region to another, or new routes opening up over where you live. Of course there's an increase in jet traffic overall - especially with regional jets.

2019-03-24T23:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1109953453382471681

@rokro111 @try_thinking @UnCastellsMes @mattbrown590 @Red_Pilled_USA @DanielB59756947 @NickSoutter @T__E__S__L__A @TheFlatEartherr @kayenne22 @EmptyGreenPants @manamiangry @bikinatroll @mistymoonlite88 @Geo_Kaplan59 @Water_Kooler @FLATHORIZON @itsallflat @The_ClaireWitch @_CROPES_ @Bearsreggie @bernhollow @debiacharya1969 @LogicalReterg @badibulgator @keigh_see @RedDwarf2018 @earthisaglobe @sfasc78 @ColdDimSum @alexjowski @NonsenseFlat @thatfrootybri @CobraElDiablo @Theflateartherz @IEAffiliate @whoopsbuni @JulieAMcLean @anndar @Mattlan12 @GeorgeL56854102 @manostion @Da_BuDMaN @Gospel_Truther @BenefactrChurch @AyDeeJay @GolfQFloG @patriot14574 @mdeutschmeister @NickSoccorso I took the photo of my pool cue, which I slightly bent under it's own weight. The intent was to show how perspective compression magnifies a slight curve. The photo of the electrical towers was taken by Soundly. This is all discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/soundly-proving-the-curvature-of-the-earth-at-lake-pontchartrain.t8939/

2019-03-24T16:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1109855003236155393

@UnCastellsMes @rokro111 @mattbrown590 @Red_Pilled_USA @DanielB59756947

@NickSoutter @T__E__S__L__A @TheFlatEartherr @kayenne22 @EmptyGreenPants @manamiangry @bikinatroll @mistymoonlite88 @Geo_Kaplan59 @try_thinking @Water_Kooler @FLATHORIZON @itsallflat @The_ClaireWitch @_CROPES_ @Bearsreggie @bernhollow @debiacharya1969 @LogicalReterg @badibulgator @keigh_see @RedDwarf2018 @earthisaglobe @sfasc78 @ColdDimSum @alexjowski @NonsenseFlat @thatfrootybri @CobraElDiablo @Theflateartherz @IEAffiliate @whoopsbuni @JulieAMcLean @anndar @Mattlan12 @GeorgeL56854102 @manostion @Da_BuDMaN @Gospel_Truther @BenefactrChurch @AyDeeJay @GolfQFloG @patriot14574 @mdeutschmeister @NickSoccorso I'm not. That is my pool cue though.
https://media.giphy.com/media/xUPGGwh5tCfNycR5Xa/giphy-downsized-large.gif

---

2019-03-22T19:20:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1109172957891293184
@T__E__S__L__A @Communista2 @Handle @The_MikeWest My only Twitter accounts are this and @Metabunk

---

2019-03-22T07:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1108996802676559877
There's all kinds of issues around this question - the first being: "are they even serious?" Some of them seem to be - so then the question becomes: "is it even possible to explain in a timely manner?" Quite possibly not, so does the confusion remains permanent?

2019-03-22T07:37:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1108996226773475328
Flat Earth folk complain that the calculations of the amount of something hidden by the horizon ignore angular size.
That's nonsensical, or course, but raises the challenge of how you explain why it's nonsensical.
The calculation in terms of angular size is slightly more complex
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2Pxg4XUcAEGtZF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D2Pxg4XUcAEGtZF.jpg

2019-03-21T18:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1108795984790708226

@GalacticService @Oswald1160 @BreatheAgain777 It's because there ISN'T a massive outcry. There's a really small number of people bothered by contrails. There's a slightly larger number that thinks they are "chemtrails". Then there's most people, who really don't care.

Where exactly are you seeing this "massive outcry"?

2019-03-20T19:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1108446998322016256

@GalacticService @BreatheAgain777 It's not massive. And it's just contrails, so what is there to cover? There's some science, but science isn't really interesting to most people.

2019-03-20T13:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1108352923669389313

@GalacticService @BreatheAgain777 If you plant a tree, does that mean it was 100% man made?

Contrails are NOT being dismissed, there's lots of research into their effects. The problem has been discussed since the 1950s, with research going back to the 1980s

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2019-03-19T22:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1108136955466776576

@GalacticService @BreatheAgain777 Contrails are arguably man-made clouds, although 99.99% of their development is natural. Engine exhaust just triggers cloud growth.

2019-03-19T01:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107821340076048384

@TTSA_Agent_001 @Juddlydoright @EngagingThe @joerogan @TTSAcademy No, I think thereâ□□s no evidence itâ□□s true, and it seems very likely that if it was then people would demonstrate it it would be great if true, but thatâ□□s not evidence.

2019-03-19T00:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107802528941404160

@Juddlydoright @EngagingThe @joerogan @TTSAcademy I watched a bit of the video. Elizondo claimed that people had successfully done remote viewing, for spying.

Now y'all might think that's fine. But for most people that just makes him look silly.

So I wonder if it was taken down because of that, and other silly things.

2019-03-19T00:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107800980970590208

RT @JuicyJaceOG: @MickWest already has me hooked. Love this mans work

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1-lHlKUgAAsnCq.jpg


A GUIDE TO HELPING FRIENDS, FAMILY, AND LOVED-ONES
ESCAPING THE RABBIT HOLE
HOW TO DEBUNK CONSPIRACY THEORIES USING FACTS, LOGIC, AND RESPECT
MICK WEST

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1-lHlKUgAAsnCq.jpg
2019-03-19T00:24:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107799862991413248
@JuicyJaceOG Thanks!

2019-03-18T23:06:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107780390066876416
@UnkleGravity @EngagingThe What was measured to calculate "g forces into the 1000s"? How do you know it happened?

2019-03-18T21:39:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107758473582776320
@UnkleGravity @EngagingThe You asked for my working, so I assumed there was some math there.

No math = nothing to debunk.

2019-03-18T20:23:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107739198356754432
@UnkleGravity @EngagingThe Which bit of the conference video has math? Link and Timestamp please.

2019-03-18T17:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107695413539143684
@EngagingThe @theyre_heeeere @TTSAcademy "Approach?" I'm not an anti-UFO fanboi. My approach is to look at evidence.

If there's "genuine and indisputable" evidence of alien visitors then why on earth are you concerned about me?

2019-03-18T17:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107694693859512320
@USMCNoggin @TlohJ Quite a few. See: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&as_vis=1&q=contrail+radiative+forcing&btnG=

2019-03-18T17:08:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107690250770341888
@theyre_heeeere @EngagingThe @TTSAcademy I await the evidence with interest.

2019-03-18T17:07:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107690097061691394
@EngagingThe @joerogan @TTSAcademy I always try to be serious, scientific, and unbiased. It's not like I'm just advocating one position. I look at the presented evidence, and I see what hypotheses fit it best.

2019-03-18T17:06:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1107689683536863232

@stang289 @7NewsSydney I don't know. I suspect they don't have enough people to look at all the complaints, and this type of mass posting of a video was not something they are tooled up for yet.

2019-03-18T17:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107689084430872576

@EngagingThe @joerogan @TTSAcademy I made no error. Why don't you look at what I actually said: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIl4peYb59E

2019-03-18T16:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107679596932005898

@USMCNoggin @TlohJ Slightly more, in that, if the planes were not flying there, then there would be less cloud there. The total effect is slight net warming when you account for night-time contrails. So it's a factor in the climate, but a relatively small one.

2019-03-18T16:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107679018864631808

@EngagingThe @joerogan @TTSAcademy What did I get wrong?

There are objects in the sky that are unidentified (which does not mean they are particularly interesting). There's a dearth of physical evidence that the UFO phenomenon is anything other than misidentifications, illusions, hoaxes, and wishful thinking.

2019-03-18T16:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107677115791474688

Various different looking contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D19CWPmU0AAE7zI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D19CWPmU0AAE7zI.jpg

2019-03-18T02:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107469954641625088

@GeoeWatchDebunk Think of it simplified. The sun is to the left, low. The exhaust contrail on the left starts low, at the engine. The Aerodynamic contrail starts higher, on the top of the wings. The EC rises up until it casts a shadow across the thin AC.

2019-03-18T02:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107467568078446592

@GeoeWatchDebunk 747 contrails swoop down, out, up and around in a fairly dramatic manner. There's perspective foreshortening here, and a low sun.

2019-03-17T19:19:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107360933666447360

@ivan_januskevic @TTSA_Agent_001 So you are using a "traditional understanding" AND "hypothesizing possible physics"?

It's a moot point anyway, obviously if something is silently accelerating at 1000g then it's either using exotic physics, new physics, or it didn't happen. So what's the evidence it did?

2019-03-17T19:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107359928841191424

@GeoeWatchDebunk The aerodynamic contrail does not end there, that's just where it's fully in shadow.

2019-03-17T15:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107303382107090945

@GeoeWatchDebunk Looks fine to me, just has the colors boosted.. The overall contrail shape looks like a normal four engine contrail. The aerodynamic portion seems to have sharp edges, I think that's just due to the shadows from the exhaust contrails.

2019-03-17T15:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107299327427264513

@TTSA_Agent_001 Why not just say they warped space without using any energy. Or used a tiny bit of energy? It makes just as much sense from a physics perspective. Why is the conventional amount of energy important.

If you can just make up physics then you don't get to use physics.

2019-03-17T14:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107291857732530182

@TTSA_Agent_001 That's only if the acceleration reported actually happened. So what's the evidence that it did?
There's MUCH MORE evidence it did NOT happen, like the lack any kind of nuclear-blast sized energy release (flash, boom, blast, condensation)

2019-03-17T06:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107170400620834817

@ClintFundomax @EngineerEarth @Jordan_Sather_ @WeslieJoe And the 14 is a reference to the "14 words", also neo-Nazi symbolism. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fourteen_Words

---

2019-03-17T06:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1107169961795973120

@ClintFundomax @EngineerEarth @Jordan_Sather_ @WeslieJoe That's not a fish on the gun, it's an Odal rune - a neo-Nazi symbol. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Odal_(rune)

2019-03-16T01:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1106732855613222912

@4thAnon Iâ□□m sure youâ□□ll see both kinds of contrail during your stay.

2019-03-15T03:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1106404121337184256

@T__E__S__L__A I am in favor of mandatory vaccines. The evidence is overwhelming that they do good.

2019-03-15T03:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1106400781106712576

@T__E__S__L__A Why would anyone parade their own children in public for the benefit of someone who would will not change their mind even when the science is well settled? Would you parade your kid to defend the shape of the Earth? There's no compelling reason for them to do it.

2019-03-15T00:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1106356999317417985

Contrails criss-crossed the sky near my house today. Infrared photography reveals more details, and heightens the drama. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1qRhUGUwAcwNP8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1qRhUGUwAcwNP8.jpg

2019-03-15T00:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1106353489024708608

@T__E__S__L__A I think vaccines are safe because of the incredible amount of research that shows they are both safe AND effective. I don't have kids, but if I did then they would have all their recommended vaccinations.

Most people in the world feel the same.

2019-03-13T21:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105941306822418433

@Kimber_Lee_Lynn @kurteichenwald PDT. Local time for me.

2019-03-13T19:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105910246017363968

@rothschildmd You might not be representative of the target audience :)

2019-03-13T19:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105909833645973504

To watch the last 737 MAX flights in @flightradar24, add an aircraft filter of B38M and/or B39M

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1j6ENDV4AA-whw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1j6ENDV4AA-whw.jpg

2019-03-13T18:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105905766928506881

@kurteichenwald Not exactly "the same time"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1j3R1gU8AAf9WP.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1j3R1gU8AAf9WP.jpg

2019-03-12T21:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105579969210605568

@bobmatthyssens There were plenty of small parts of 93 scattered around the crater. What there was not were hoards of locals and journalists with HD cameras taking pics of it all.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1fPDHCV4AA3UNo.jpg

Flight 93



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1fPDHCV4AA3UNo.jpg

2019-03-12T19:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105547418404192261

@bobmatthyssens Yes, it's not exactly the same. But It's clear that a substantial portion of the plane was buried underground - something that truthers seem to feel was impossible. Another big difference is public access to the site, and lots of cameras.

2019-03-12T16:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105511822554095617

@BrianDunning Near-infrared is a hot bit of the sunlight. Sadly my thermal camera is away on loan right now.

2019-03-12T01:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105272844512968704

@DKeithClimate @MichaelEMann Chemotherapy does harm, lots of harm. Most medicines have harmful side-effects. If doctors actually worked according to "first do no harm" then we'd have a lot more sick and dead people. A better aphorism suggested in the BMJ is "first do no net harm"

https://www.bmj.com/bmj/section-pdf/749607?path=/bmj/347/7932/Observations.full.pdf

---

2019-03-11T15:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105129966478360576

@TTSA_Agent_001 @PostDisclosure @g_knapp @JeremyCorbell @tomdelonge @TTSAcademy @UfoJoe11 @SilvaRecord Iâ□□ll touch it. Where is it?

2019-03-11T12:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1105078503718834178

@bobmatthyssens Neither did 93. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1YHBTSVAAEu2B2.jpg

---

Flight 93



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1YHBTSVAAEu2B2.jpg
2019-03-10T22:01:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1104864934054092800
@carland27488094 @Coleyufo How would I know?

2019-03-10T21:34:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1104858127709564929
@carland27488094 @Coleyufo I don't think "getting the attention of MIck West" really means "soon the president will announce our contact with aliens"

It might just me someone tagged me in a post.

2019-03-10T21:22:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1104854976784822272
@HybridHumans144 @Akam1129 @carland27488094 @theyre_heeeere @Deepneuron @PostDisclosure Angels. Fairies. Elves. Ghosts. All have thousands, even millions, of eyewitness accounts. No photos though.

2019-03-10T21:19:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1104854413825331200
@Deepneuron @KikPlenty @TTSA_Agent_001 I think using elementary geometry is foundational. What else are you going to go by, what it â□□lookedâ□□ like?

2019-03-10T19:03:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1104819989733793792
@theyre_heeeere @BlueBookBelieve @FreemanStahl Except it would be blindingly obvious the

plane was shot down. But instead it looks exactly like it flew into the ground.

2019-03-10T18:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104813895640743936

@FreemanStahl @BlueBookBelieve Does that actually make sense to you?

2019-03-10T17:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104798025405231107

@BlueBookBelieve @FreemanStahl Yes. Planes that are shot down do not embed themselves in the ground. They break up in the air, and the now non-aerodynamic pieces fall to variosu locations. A classic example of a shot-down airliner is MH17.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1UHyDAUcAAwbmS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1UHyDAUcAAwbmS.jpg

2019-03-10T17:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104793816492466181

@MoremonJ I understand. I'm concerned about both.

2019-03-10T17:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104793172389982208

@HybridHumans144 @carland27488094 @Akam1129 @PostDisclosure The Chilean Navy UFO WAS a plane. Even Leslie Kean admitted this. We have the radar tracks. It was not where the helicopter crew thought it was. It's a perfect match.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1UDXhnV4AEkti3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1UDXhnV4AEkti3.jpg

2019-03-10T17:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104792370871132160

@nelizadrew There's quite a few counterexamples to the Truther flight 93 claims. This one is the closest though. Hopefully will get some of them to stop and reconsider.

2019-03-10T17:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104789642719555584

The tragic crash of Ethiopian Flight 302 may throw some light on an old 9/11 "Truth" meme: "where's the plane?"

They are going to have to decide if they are going to drop that bit of "evidence", or if they are going to become Ethiopian 302 Truthers.

https://www.metabunk.org/ethiopian-airlines-flight-302-and-9-11s-united-flight-93.t10552/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1T_5xyUwAIMAYt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1T_5xyUwAIMAYt.jpg

2019-03-09T19:02:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104457493755785216

@AAltsci @GrumFromNorwich Could you perhaps explain how I've eliminated perspective from my info?

I'm a former video gamer programmer. I spent half my life writing code that incorporates perspective. Putting perspective calculations in the code is how you get 3D video games that look realistic.

2019-03-09T05:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104252075204997120

@generaldamras I'd just converted my camera to infrared, and was wandering around the house looking at stuff through it.

2019-03-09T01:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1104188854720819200

Ski gloves look very different in infrared light. Is this some cunning thermal configuration to keep your hands warm, or just a coincidence?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1LdrIpV4AAzv4A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D1LdrIpV4AAzv4A.jpg

2019-03-07T20:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1103761759318364160

Aliens vs. Golf Carts - Denbigh UFO Analysis https://youtu.be/lSJ81C0cULo via @YouTube

---

2019-03-06T05:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1103162967820890112

@Alien_Scientist @PostDisclosure @michaelshermer @ProfBrianCox @neiltyson Who is a "real" skeptic then?

2019-03-05T20:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1103032649482813440

@GazPyrotechnics @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure The criteria of "within the next decade the evidence will build that some UFOs are not ours. " seems rather vague. What does that mean? What is the criteria? Who gets to decide?

2019-03-04T19:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1102650653359267840

@Yespeazs @jamesperloff @Metabunk It's just showing it at its last estimated position.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D01m1_DU8AAHk4d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D01m1_DU8AAHk4d.jpg

2019-03-03T14:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1102216057329115136

@LondonSkywatch @VaesNico I said you need the humidity at fligh altitudes, at the right time, and location, not some average. The 300 - 200mb RH charts are usually the best, unless there RH instruments on the plane.

2019-03-02T03:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101686577795756033

@LondonSkywatch @Oswald1160 @robemarine Between 25,000 and 45,000 feet, generally. But it can vary.

2019-03-01T19:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101560808994029568

@cj980088 @LondonSkywatch Crow instability.

2019-03-01T19:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101560395876073472

@LondonSkywatch @Oswald1160 @robemarine Average is not the same as a specific measurement.

2019-02-28T23:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101270294201655297

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine The average is irrelevant to contrails. Itâ□□s the RH at exact flight altitude that you need.

2019-02-28T15:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101147987437223936

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine And there's a CONSIDERABLE variation over that range. You can't use a single number for 13,000-40,000 feet. You need the number at the location of the observed trails.

2019-02-28T15:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101146853150621696

@PerfektSpellller @joerogan It could probably be distilled down to an hour or two. He threw out a lot of bunk with certainty that really should have been fact checked. It might not seem important, but it should be winnowed down. At least Joe asked him for sources a few times on the big stuff.

2019-02-28T15:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1101137275289661440

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine Again, check the RH at flight altitude, at the correct location, and at the correct time. There's a LOT of variation in RH over those three variables.

2019-02-28T05:47:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100995999496003584

@c0nan666 @UfoJoe11 @joerogan It encompasses a range of conspiracy extremeness in theory variants, for example:

0: Promoting drugs beyond their actual need.

1: Campaign contributions to induce a politician to pass pharma-favorable legislation

2: Falsifying clinical trails

3: Hiding a cure for cancer

2019-02-27T23:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100907439245807617

@KingBrennymma I think he's just obsessed with a false belief. and is doing everything he can to try to justify that belief.

2019-02-27T23:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100907189726670848

The Alex Jones episode of the @JoeRogan podcast made me realize my conspiracy spectrum needed to be extended up to 11. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0c06_LU8AA_pX_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0c06_LU8AA_pX_.jpg

2019-02-27T23:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100902669110042624

@deekatello Oh it's pretty entertaining. I had no idea he was so far along the conspiracy spectrum. I though he was actually trying to go mainstream.

2019-02-27T23:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100901641304170497

Now Alex Jones is saying he's on a mission from God to find the origin of God.

2019-02-27T23:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100899593397534720

It's like Alex Jones just told David Icke to hold his beer.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-5yh2HcIlkU

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0cuMZZU4AAgVEn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0cuMZZU4AAgVEn.jpg

2019-02-27T23:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100896099433242624

Watching Alex Jones on @joerogan. Jones started out trying to be sensible, saying Sandy Hook actually happened. Then went down a bizarre rabbit hole of, literally, demonic nazi interdimensional pedophile elves.

2019-02-27T22:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100885835958771712

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine No, it means that the humidity at ground level is not the same as the humidity at 30,000+ feet.

2019-02-27T21:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100876689544888320

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine At what altitude?

2019-02-27T21:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100876634540765184

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine

https://earth.nullschool.net/#2019/02/27/1800Z/wind/isobaric/250hPa/anim=off/overlay=relative_humidity/orthographic=-9.27,58.20,1662

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0cZcEhUUAAHsCD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0cZcEhUUAAHsCD.jpg

2019-02-27T21:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100875851950809088

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine You need to look at the Relative humidity between 350mb and 150mb. What time were the Geman photos taken? Note Hamburg is right in the North of Germany.

2019-02-27T21:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100873569913823232

@LondonSkywatch @robemarine Because of the weather conditions at the time the photos were taken.

2019-02-27T21:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100870150746628096

@stang289 But you asked "Where was the media". The CBC isn't the media. Obama was part of the CBC, the CBC supported the "Millions More Movement". There was a meeting between the CBC and the MMM committee.

Seems like much ado about nothing.

2019-02-27T18:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100824091236265984

@stang289 That photo was taken in 2005. I'm not really sure what your point is there. Do you think it's a major cover-up of some kind?

2019-02-27T17:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100817700035559425

@TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye @IR_2004 It's not that high force really. Here's the inside view: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=78ZDaQ0UYZg

---

2019-02-27T17:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100816419602612224

@stang289 Brexit is a mess, and the UK would be better off staying in the EU.

I agree Pakistan and India is potentially very serious. It's more slow-moving than the Cohen

hearing though.

2019-02-27T15:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100778115092013058

@stang289 Itâ□□s a bit of both. Thatâ□□s why I look at all 12

2019-02-27T05:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100633747416801281

A diverse news day:

NBC&NPR:House blocks Trump's wall.

CNN,NYT, & Breitbart: Cohen dumps on Trump

BBC: Nigeria elections

Zeit: Brexit is a mess.

Reuters, Xinhua: Trump+Kim is happening.

RT: Venezuela Aid trucks

PressTV: Iran Supports Syria.

Fox: 9th Circuit Judge is conservative http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0Y7Z7_VsAAh4XV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0Y7Z7_VsAAh4XV.jpg

2019-02-27T05:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100630356519079936

Cohen testimony:

http://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/5752912/Testimoy-Michael-Cohen-2-27-19-the-Oversight.pdf

---

2019-02-25T16:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100063852429864962

@AMGarvey I'd imagine most of what they moderate in terms of conspiracy theories is where people are attacking the victims - particularly of school shootings like Parkland and Sandy Hook.

Also, anti-semitism is a quite common root in conspiracy theories, so those posts get reported.

2019-02-25T15:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1100057966223405056

Forced to watch conspiracy theory videos for days, Facebook moderators found even the more outlandish theories insidiously creeping into their brains. Eventually, some of them became believers in the material they were supposed to be moderating.

https://www.theverge.com/2019/2/25/18229714/cognizant-facebook-content-moderator-interviews-trauma-working-conditions-arizona http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0QwVGEV4AEOOfW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0QwVGEV4AEOOfW.jpg

2019-02-25T06:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1099913912189894656

149 Years ago, Alfred Russel Wallace performed an experiment for a Flat Earther to demonstrate the Earth was round. Bizarrely they decided that it showed the Earth was flat.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bedford_Level_experiment

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0OtW7zU8AA889f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0OtW7zU8AA889f.jpg

2019-02-22T20:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1099040495269888000

@LondonSkywatch High altitude aerodynamic contrails come from the wing surface, not the tips. They are much more susceptible to atmospheric conditions than exhaust contrails, so tend to be patchy.

The illusion is just that this segment stops where the contrails wrap around. Similar:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0CTePcU0AAOisC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/D0CTePcU0AAOisC.jpg

2019-02-22T14:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098959400876728320

@LondonSkywatch Itâ□□s an optical illusion. Thereâ□□s a short section of aerodynamics contrail in the middle that coincides with the nner exhaust trails curling outwards.

2019-02-21T18:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098652318835236864

@thatonetxginger Depending on how you measure it. It does not really have an edge, so you have to pick some fairly arbitrary criteria for where it stops. I would really call the hydrogen geocorona the "atmosphere"

2019-02-21T18:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098650674999394305

@AndyAndymcfadz I think the buildings collapsed due to the plane impacts and the fires. I don't think any explosives or incendiaries were used.

2019-02-21T17:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098632502053228544

A plane circling over the north of England a few weeks ago left an impressive set of circular contrails - leading many to speculate about the possibility of "chemtrails". It was just an AWACs

radar plane flying normal circuits in ideal contrail conditions.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-video-of-concentric-circular-chemtrails-e-3-sentry-awacs-plane-feb-1-2019.t10497/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8f6qGUcAAh7-J.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8fwqvVAAAveZa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8fn32VsAArh5s.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8f6qGUcAAh7-J.png

2019-02-21T17:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098630888739368960

"Staggering discovery reveals moon lies INSIDE Earthâ□□s atmosphere" is the headline from RT, sure to be seized on by Flat Earthers who won't read the article.

Real headline should be "Earth's atmosphere bigger than thought, depending on how it's measured"

https://www.rt.com/news/452091-moon-inside-earth-atmosphere/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8e1RlVAAE4zM6.jpg

Staggering discovery reveals moon lies INSIDE Earth's atmosphere

Published time: 21 Feb, 2019 15:29 Get short URL



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dz8e1RlVAAE4zM6.jpg

2019-02-21T16:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098624149663039489

Compositing the famous footage of the World Trade Center 7 collapse with a later wide angle shot from the same camera location gives a different perspective on the scale of the collapse.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QiFxm16-MQ0

2019-02-20T18:32:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098289180033970177

@ivan_januskevic @mesolithicguy You can adjust the isotope ratios of metals by mixing them. Complexity is not exactly non-human.

2019-02-20T15:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098237385282744320

@mesolithicguy Sure, if you could prove a material was artificial, and not man made, then that would be an epochal game-changer.

But it has not been proven.

2019-02-20T00:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1098023318253232128

Are drone zipby UFOs small? Or far away? I build some models out of string and an old pen to try to get some perspective on this popular question.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bw2lIUO3Yy8

2019-02-19T19:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1097946121631084544

How do you you unbend a laser?

My tank of sugar water was starting to get a bit disgusting looking, so I did one final experiment with it - undoing refraction. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oGMkIqAidK4

2019-02-18T20:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1097588907179311104

@Horn009 @AE911Truth There's actually quite a variety of possible sources. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w

---

2019-02-18T06:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1097379326146695168

@AE911Truth Hardly a response though. It's more like getting annoyed that I mentioned NIST and then dredging up a bunch of old claims which I didn't mention.

Why don't you address some of the points I actually make, like the iron microspheres, or the angle cut columns, or my wooden model.

2019-02-15T19:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096492049015029761

@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner In this example, what are you doing exactly when you "attempt to change the direction of fall"?

2019-02-15T17:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096466068577476608

@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner If you were falling with the elevator, you can still push yourself from one side to the other, or from top to bottom.

2019-02-15T17:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096465841741127680

@limitedview I'm a little bemused by how you figure that? The radius of curvature of the lake is going to be the same as the radius of curvature of the Earth.

2019-02-15T14:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096412700437954561

@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner Yes, you can move in any direction you like in a falling elevator, relative to that elevator. You'd need something to push off, of course. But essentially a falling elevator is like the ISS (except for the duration of the orbit).

2019-02-15T14:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096412060085153802

@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner Probably.

HR2977 explained: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

Scientific papers talk about possible future geoengineering.

Contrails are not an illusion. We all see the same sky. Planes leave long clouds behind them sometimes.

2019-02-15T14:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096411509368938498

@muhammadekmal There's a variety of degrees of certified accuracy. My weight is M2, near the lowest. But that does not matter, as we are measuring relative change in weight, not true weight. https://laboratoryresource.com/?navaction=getitem&id=237

2019-02-15T13:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1096408189694705664
@muhammadekmal Reference masses are calibrated by comparison to higher precision reference masses and are certified by various authorities. There's a fascinating look at the process here:
http://resource.npl.co.uk/docs/science_technology/mass_force_pressure/clubs_groups/instmc_weighing_panel/massnweight.pdf

---

2019-02-15T13:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1096405370388373504
@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner It's a bit like being on a train. On a train everyone is moving at 100mph down the tracks in the direction of the train's motion. But you can also get up and walk around. You can even throw things to each other.

2019-02-15T13:43:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1096404640621506560
@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner I look at the skies all the time. I was just in New Mexico, and saw some cool lenticular clouds, and fallstreaks. I think though you are possibly mistaking contrails for "chemtrails"?

2019-02-15T05:55:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1096286944902795270
@T__E__S__L__A @m101wagner What did you notice, exactly?

2019-02-14T20:42:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1096147734522982400
@CBaskaraca @razor0fOccam Airflow and temperate make very little difference. I repeated the test in a variety of conditions

---

2019-02-14T17:13:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1096095116337471488
@ChrisOfTheNort1 @James2012 And it is. Albuquerque
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzYcsV8UUAAGjke.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzYcsV8UUAAGjke.jpg

2019-02-14T06:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095936614906220547

@T__E__S__L__A They move in the same direction. Free fall on the ISS is an orbit.

2019-02-14T06:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095929523034513409

@WGDykstra Yes it does, which is why it weighed even less in Albuquerque

---

2019-02-14T00:43:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095845844647960576

@Russianvids4 And updrafts, for cumulus, etc.

2019-02-14T00:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095845735977836545

@Russianvids4 Terminal velocity.

2019-02-14T00:22:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095840767493337091

@stang289 Mostly altitude, then latitude, then a tiny bit air pressure (which makes it heavier at higher altitudes)

2019-02-14T00:21:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095840362218672128

@ManneringJohn Not from different heights.

2019-02-13T21:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095797101122990081

@KEV1484947 Sort of. Itâ□□s fairly simple above ground. Lower means heavier. But as you go underground the ground above you is exerting an upwards pull, so you get lighter. Being close to a mountain also complicated things. You are heaviest at the beach.

2019-02-13T20:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095785856772780041

Should be 499.64 in Albuquerque, according to my rough calculations. And this g calculator

https://www.sensorsone.com/local-gravity-calculator/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzUDbKDUYAEDKSQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzUDbKDUYAEDKSQ.jpg

2019-02-13T20:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095782352540893186

I will now fly 5 degrees south, to Albuquerque, where, at the same altitude it will weigh even less.

2019-02-13T20:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095779606899159040

(Small g gravity, that is)

2019-02-13T20:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095778107720720386

First photo is a 500g reference weight and calibrated scale near Sacramento, about 1000ft. Second photo is the same weight and photo in Denver, at around 5500 ft. Thus demonstrating that gravity decreases with altitude. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzT8X3aUUAA6tfA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzT8X3aUUAA6tfA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DzT8X3aV4AAaoMh.jpg

2019-02-12T17:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095371323587538946

@ChrisA3030911 @glassic131 @GWBridgeUK @MikeStuchbery_ Metabunk is a debunking web site and forum. The screen capture above is from a comment in the discussion forum by a chemtrail believer. It does not represent Metabunk. Here's a more representative link. https://www.metabunk.org/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.t3201/

2019-02-12T16:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1095353750623940608

RT @GeoeWatchDebunk: The keywords in the source code of Geoengwatch website are revealing. The words chemtrail and conspiracy occur >100 tiâ¦

2019-02-10T21:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094713084386541568

RT @ufoofinterest: What was that alleged #UFO taken by a GEODS telescope during the year 1993? It was just an airplane. Here's an interestiâ¦

2019-02-09T04:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094094044165095426

@BallDropped @forthemasses @PaperForSale @globe_junk @AtheistEngineer @HomeoReikiDogs @Becauseofnow @ForeverFlatErth @Van_Vagabond @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @Geek_0nline @ItsFlatFolks @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1 @WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat How many kids per square foot are you using in your calculation?

2019-02-09T04:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094091598302928897

@BallDropped @forthemasses @PaperForSale @globe_junk @AtheistEngineer @HomeoReikiDogs @Becauseofnow @ForeverFlatErth @Van_Vagabond @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @Geek_0nline @ItsFlatFolks @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1 @WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat Try drawing a diagram

---

2019-02-09T04:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094088464620957696

@BrandonYoung82 I donâ□□t know, it was set by the publisher.

2019-02-09T03:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094070408096079873

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ No.

2019-02-09T02:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094058850259357696

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ Nobody has argued if cloud seeding has been done. It has been done openly since the 1950s

---

2019-02-09T00:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094033360874160128

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ No they are not. You can't just say cloud seeding is geoengineering.

Also that wedding thing was a hoax.

2019-02-08T23:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094018133071777792

@BallDropped @forthemasses @PaperForSale @globe_junk @AtheistEngineer @HomeoReikiDogs @Becauseofnow @ForeverFlatErth @Van_Vagabond @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @Geek_0nline @ItsFlatFolks @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1

@WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat It is basically like it. It's just David Ridlen's diagram - I didn't want to assume credit for it.

2019-02-08T23:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1094009076218908672

@forthemasses @BallDropped @PaperForSale @globe_junk @AtheistEngineer @HomeoReikiDogs @Becauseofnow @ForeverFlatErth @Van_Vagabond @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @Geek_0nline @ItsFlatFolks @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1 @WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat That's not my diagram. I made the interactive diagram at the bottom of the curve calculator. Drag the purple dots.
https://www.metabunk.org/curve/

2019-02-08T20:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093963089706274816

@charliewalsh9 @PaulFox13 @JamesJgerbus @Er_Nope @lbox327 @dvjrn @Lena38Baker @MidAtlantcWoman @Just4RealGirls @jtyler220 @badastridd @DanPeacock12 @Kt23kartracer @MichaelBringsli @Surfhempster @AzSkyWatcher @flightradar24 Multiple sources. Which is the best way to verify things, short of making your own careful observations (which I highly recommend)

2019-02-08T20:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093962793559109632

@Mayonnaisejargo It's a row of seven identical screws.

2019-02-08T19:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093962649925107712

@10foldtony Over short distances at constant temperature, yes. But over long distances the very small temperature gradient can have significant effects, mirages, etc.
The thing that bends light paths is the CHANGE in refractive index, abruptly (air-water) or gradually (air to denser air) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6JOWnVsAE5F9E.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6JOWnVsAE5F9E.jpg

2019-02-08T19:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093961603551281152

The inside of my aquarium is starting to look a little ... unusual.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6HZFAUcAARpWD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6HZFAUcAARpWD.jpg

2019-02-08T19:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093960230021427201

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ I'm not sure what you point is. Do you think they have reached some kind of threshold that qualifies what they are doing as "geoengineering" in your mind? Or are you saying all Weather Modification is geoengineering?

Is there a reason why you want to use the label?

2019-02-08T19:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093958690711920640

@10foldtony Air: about 1.0003

Water: 1.333

Sugar Water: about 1.35 (at 10%) to 1.5 (at 85%)

You can verify this with google.

http://www.refractometer.pl/refraction-datasheet-sucrose

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6E2UqU8AAuidT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dy6E2UqU8AAuidT.jpg

2019-02-08T14:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093884733912543232

@10foldtony Similar to the length of an aquarium compared to the width of Lake Ontario.

2019-02-08T07:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093779774432894976

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ One day of record rainfall is weather, not climate. Nor is it even demonstrably cloud seeding, as the article says: "It is difficult to assess the impact of cloud seeding on levels of rain because there is no way of measuring how much would have fallen without it. "

2019-02-08T04:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093732443851575297

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ What was the measurable effect on the climate, in numbers?

2019-02-08T01:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093689464222769152

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ So has cloud seeding measurably changed the composite or generally prevailing weather conditions of a region, as temperature, air pressure, humidity, precipitation, sunshine, cloudiness, and winds, throughout the year, averaged over a series of years, anywhere?

2019-02-08T01:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093681968011771904

@FlatEarthCity @Georjakos Did you notice in the fourth photo you just posted you can see a bit of a curve?

2019-02-08T01:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093681401512177664

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ Weather is not climate. Local weather is not global climate.

I'm not the dictionary. I don't decide on the acceptable meaning of words. But I'm pretty sure that the scale of weather modification current practiced is vastly less than what would be considered geoengineering.

2019-02-08T00:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093675133770723328

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ Geoengineering modifies the climate of the planet. Cloud seeding just modifies a bit of local weather. It's like rubbing your hands together vs. adjusting the house thermostat.

2019-02-08T00:54:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093674492830703616

@DrJamesConnor What about it?

2019-02-07T19:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093597667165237248

@DrJamesConnor Snell's law only applies when there's an abrupt change in refractive index. That's not the case here - there's a gradient. Different math applies.

2019-02-07T16:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093548136121790464

@FlatEarthCity What fake?

2019-02-07T16:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093547968735502337

@Oswald1160 @ManneringJohn Water and glass can bend light at an interface (like air to glass, air to water, or glass to water). However that requires an angle, and here I hold the laser perpendicular to the interface.

What is being shown here is how a GRADIENT in the refractive index will bend light

---

2019-02-07T14:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093516361660153858

@FlatEarthCity That's a good example of the problems of refraction in near horizon observations. You can see that in the simulator. Cold lower layers flattens out the apparent curve.

https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/?~(profile~(~12.35~0~15.847~0.733~15.552~69.552~15.405~42.403~15.497~266.146~15.52825232~250.362)~useRefraction~true~useStandard~false~useFlat~false~useNarrow~false~useNight~false~showSideView~true~showSideGradi

ent~true~useDebug~false~showEyeLevel~false~vFOV~0.03316125578789226~tilt~0.00087
26646259971648~showEveryLines~10~viewerHeight~30~viewerOffset~-57.8~minX~12~ma
xX~18~minY~-10~maxY~300~RH~50~wavelength~550~computedParams~false~targets~(
~(distance~1785~height~100~multiple~80~gap~979~name~%27Electrical*20Towers)~(dist
ance~10560~height~5~image~1~offset~-100~multiple~8~gap~5000~name~%27boat))~sr
c~%27PontchartrainPylon2.png*2cboat_PNG45.png~name~%27Pontchartrain*20Pylons*20*28
Soundly*29)_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyzzTrKUUAIVlcv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyzzTrKUUAIVlcv.jpg

2019-02-07T14:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093514283130867713

@FlatEarthCity What "lies" and "faking pictures" are you referring to? I don't lie. I don't fake pictures either, except as demonstrations of how things might be fakes. Please don't accuse without evidence.

2019-02-07T14:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093513962073673729

@ManneringJohn Lake temperature is pretty constant. And air temperature follows regular cycles.

2019-02-07T14:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093513651703566336

@Jm4n @SteveMcRae_ Cloud seeding isn't geoengineering (at least the way it's being done now). It's just local precipitation augmentation i.e., it attempts to makes particular regions of cloud rain or snow a bit more than they normally would. It's not secret, and does not leave trails.

2019-02-07T14:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093513180955893760

@SteveMcRae_ Sure, I'd be happy to be back on. Maybe in a couple of weeks.

2019-02-07T14:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093512955491053568

@alo_pumpa I think he exists. I don't think he's see aliens. He might think he has.

2019-02-07T14:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093512761328381952

@FlatEarthCity This demonstration does not rely on my credibility. It's something that people can replicate for themselves. Or just look at the video. Do you think there's something fake there? How would that even work?

2019-02-07T14:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093512521644818432

@ManneringJohn The tank is needed to hold the water. But you can observe the effect by looking at a city over a lake when A) the lake is colder than the air, and B) the lake is about the same temperature. The colder the lake, the larger the temperature gradient. Lots of variables thought.

2019-02-07T13:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093509114859114496

@ManneringJohn They are in a variable refractive medium (air). Same principles of physics apply.

2019-02-07T13:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093508768313204737

@alo_pumpa You don't.

2019-02-07T13:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093508483033362433

@ManneringJohn And the bent metal is just in there as an obstruction. It only covers part of the base of the tank, and the laser curves exactly the same in the other parts.

2019-02-07T13:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093508261267959809

@ManneringJohn The laser is perpendicular to the glass at times, and yet still curves. In a tank of homogenous water (or air) the glass has very little effect, and the laser does not curve. The curve of the laser is from the density gradient, just like in the atmosphere.

2019-02-07T08:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093429100423704576

@ManneringJohn You can observe the exact same compression, like in the Jenna Fredo video.

2019-02-07T08:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093425757194338304

@SimonGr41594862 I don't think different gasses bend enough over a short distance unless there's the huge temperature difference.

2019-02-07T05:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093388573389205504

@alo_pumpa What speed, specifically, are you referring to?

2019-02-07T05:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1093388318333591552
How does refraction make distant cities visible when they should be hidden by the horizon? I explain, with the help of an aquarium full of sugar water and a dangerously bright laser.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KLufSkz-et0

2019-02-06T22:50:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1093280894339624960
@alo_pumpa
https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2019-02-06T21:52:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1093266125134036992
@GeoeWatchDebunk @wx_radar @CloudsBastiaan @CloudAppSoc @ICASLeeds @AtmosScience @metoffice @BBCWthrWatchers @SocialMetwork Fascinating. Are there more photos? Can I repost this on Metabunk Skydentify?

2019-02-05T03:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1092623678087385091
@VartanianAlbert @YouTube I think it's small and close to the camera.

2019-02-04T16:38:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1092462419828330496
@thewoody I've not really looked at it in depth. But I think it's consistent with something relatively close to the camera, with most of the closing velocity from the 20+mph drone motion.

2019-02-02T20:46:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1091800015587094529
@rothschildmd Yes, some intelligent and interesting discussion. I don't often get to bring up thin film interference on a podcast.

2019-02-02T20:39:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1091798163302674432
A great chat with Steve and Kyle on the NonSequitur Show. We discuss the technical issues behind contrails and the chemtrail theory, and the challenge of communicating with people who are probably not going to follow the science.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D8saJB4yhcM

2019-02-01T18:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1091410552687972352
@Fibonacci222 @MarkBusser I could tell.

2019-02-01T17:39:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1091390522202046464
@Fibonacci222 @MarkBusser I get both tired and thanks. But it's essentially just a hobby that I also think does good.

And I don't get paid. The book money will be the first money I've made outside of the games industry.

2019-02-01T15:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1091351033979187200

@Fibonacci222 @MarkBusser I'm not obsessed with being "right". It more about being right, and more specifically about not being objectively wrong. So I look at specific claims of evidence and see if they hold up to scrutiny. If I find things that are demonstrably wrong, then I try to demonstrate that.

2019-02-01T06:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1091224149194825729

RT @MarkBusser: Awesome new book find: Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect. How havâ¦

2019-01-31T04:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1090832032723197952

@TheOutThere2016 I deleted it and reposted as the URL was broken.

2019-01-30T18:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1090683347230064640

@TheOutThere2016 What post?

2019-01-30T14:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1090625354291568640

Boiling water does not instantly freeze when you throw it in the air. Most of what you see is actually from the opposite, hot water evaporating, and then re-condensing as a cloud. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-boiling-water-freezing-when-you-throw-it-in-the-air-at-40-degrees.t2902/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyKtsuhUcAApW_D.jpg



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyKtsuhUcAApW_D.jpg

2019-01-29T19:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1090327361478750208

Someone at @BookBub did an excellent job of picking the most superlative bit out of the blurb

that @stephenfry gave Escaping The Rabbit Hole. Mind you, he's a pretty superlative guy, so there was lots to choose from.

https://www.bookbub.com/books/escaping-the-rabbit-hole-by-mick-west?ebook_deal
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGeHS3VAAEfjkF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGeHS3VAAEfjkF.jpg
2019-01-29T18:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090322860445331456
@GeoeWatchDebunk @JonExArmy My comment on that video. Dane is basically ignoring chemistry. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGau4rU0AAZb8N.jpg



Mick West 1 second ago

I met Dane Wigington, back in 2012, at the "Consciousness Beyond Chemtrails" conference in Los Angeles. I knew back then that he was mistakenly thinking that his tests for aluminum were showing free aluminum (i.e. the metal). The test that he most commonly used was Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry. This rips matter into plasma (charged atoms) during the testing process, so it does not distinguish between elements and compounds of those elements. So if you test dirt, you'll find aluminum. But that's just the aluminum found naturally in the ground.
I approached Dane and after some conversation, I tried to bring this up. "Did you know," I asked, "that the test you use is EPA 6010B, which..."
I didn't get to finish my sentence because Dane angrily cut in, and said, "OH, don't you start with that scientific-sounding nonsense!"
I tried to explain, but he was having none of it, and walked away.

It's sad that five years later, Dane *still* does not understand the test he is quoting. I'd encourage anyone interested in this topic to look up what EPA 6010B does, and what results it will find when you feed it aluminum oxide.

Dane's test results, the basis for this entire theory, are simply showing the elements you'd find in dirt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGau4rU0AAZb8N.jpg
2019-01-29T17:59:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090308508048797696
@GeoeWatchDebunk @JonExArmy Give it time.

2019-01-29T17:37:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090302960863653889
Escaping the Rabbit Hole is the #1 Best Seller in Journalism Writing Reference on the Kindle. Get it today for the limited time price of $1.99
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGIK8MVsAId6nw.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyGIK8MVsAId6nw.jpg
2019-01-29T16:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090288163510046720
@booksafari_ Thanks! But that .com link is broken :(
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyF7KwGUwAAwx5m.jpg



This site can’t be reached

www.booksafariglobal.com’s server IP address could not be found.

Try:

- Checking the connection
- Checking the proxy, firewall, and DNS configuration

ERR_NAME_NOT_RESOLVED

Details Reload

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyF7KwGUwAAwx5m.jpg
2019-01-29T01:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090059082076024832
@wxdam It actually happened with a salsa bar.
http://www.slate.com/blogs/atlas_obscura/2014/01/09/the_largest_bioterror_attack_in_us_history_began_at_taco_time_in_the_dalles.html

---

2019-01-28T21:53:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1090004872299048961
This offer is in Limited countries, at least the US ($1.99) and UK (Â£1.99), not sure about elsewhere, sorry!

2019-01-28T20:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1089984702079856640
For a limited time, Escaping The Rabbit Hole is available on Kindle for just $1.99 !!!
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/
Got a friend or relative who is lost down the conspiracy theory rabbit hole? Want to know how to debunk chemtrails, 9/11 demolition, Flat Earth etc? Check it out!
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DyBm4HkVsAQ-FcG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DyBm4HkVsAQ-FcG.jpg

2019-01-28T18:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1089956876094103552

@BoomerKC Simulating refraction is useful for Flat Earth explaining, but it's also an interesting thing by itself.

2019-01-28T17:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1089946193042362374

(Various bugs fixed, and performance improvements). Now taking requests to simulate specific scenes.

2019-01-28T17:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1089945810584760320

Simulating refraction phenomena over water in a variety of situations.

https://www.metabunk.org/refraction/?~(profile~(~14.425~0~14.24~59.7~14.24~152.35~13.855~149.8~16.383~156.6~15.998~145.55~15.35~398~15.40141466~372.033)~useRefraction~true~useStandard~false~useFlat~false~useNarrow~false~showSideView~true~showSideGradient~true~useDebug~false~showEyeLevel~false~targetDistance~73392~targetHeight~200~vFOV~0.017453292519943295~showEveryLines~10~viewerHeight~139.2~minX~10~maxX~17~minY~-10~maxY~500~RH~50~wavelength~550~name~%27Oil*20Tanker*20Superior*20Mirage*20*28Inversion*29~src~%27Supertanker_AbQaiq_200ft.png)_

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyBDsSyUUAAee1Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyBDdBOVsAAMWXA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyBDsSyUUAAee1Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DyBDVxCU8AAxIXQ.jpg

2019-01-28T02:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1089715049784999936

@TheOutThere2016 Radar is refracted like light. Put in the wavelength of radar (150000000 nm) and you'll see the refraction is not that different. So the line of sight for radar and optical light is in the same ballpark.

2019-01-25T23:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1088937867873677312

@paul_sailer Not really, it would just be a mischaracterization of an existing situation.
A false flag is generally when you stage an attack and pretend it was someone else, so you can attack them.

2019-01-25T15:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1088814283507548160

@IMHO__2017 @BlagenLogin The introduction of my book literally starts with "Conspiracies are very real, of course".

https://amzn.to/2Et0Cea http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dxw-sK6X0AEUZxL.jpg

---



Introduction

Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people secret plans at the expense of the general public should come as no s to anyone. Nixon conspired to cover up Watergate. The CIA staged flag" operations in 1953 to bring down the Iranian government. P men in the Reagan administration conspired to illegally trade arms w to finance the Nicaraguan Contras. Enron conspired to shut dow stations to raise the price of electricity. Executives from Archer Dan land conspired to fix the price of animal feed.[1] People within th Bush administration conspired to present sketchy evidence as proof of WMDs to justify the invasion of Iraq. Politicians tacitly (

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dxw-sK6X0AEUZxL.jpg

2019-01-25T01:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1088611329542217729

@IMHO__2017 @BlagenLogin Well, he thinks the Earth is flat, and it isn't. So I don't really see much need to check out his DEW theories.
Show me this odd steel.

2019-01-23T17:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1088125320652238848
Don't read the comments, they tell you! But here the comments are a fascinating cross-section of the entire conspiracy spectrum, from the quite reasonable, up to the more extreme end of flat-earthers calling me a government shill. And a few in-between.
https://www.youtube.com/watch/?v=x-zIq4NvP4k

2019-01-23T16:57:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1088118641852174336
@star2000dancer @GeoeWatchDebunk I do, you should check out this chat:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x-zIq4NvP4k

2019-01-23T04:57:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1087937263311433729
Fascinating discussion with @jonexarmy. Jon calls himself a "conspiracy theorist", but has recently started taking a more balanced approach to evaluating conspiracy claims. He's getting some pushback from his fans, but is moving forward.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x-zIq4NvP4k

2019-01-23T00:05:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1087863924660137984
@ItheMissingLink @wildweezle @RobertVosFrere @oprman @impinkestgirl @TaggartRehnn @media_auntie @Mschade2020 @SaneSophisticat @Aprylsmiths @DiXiEjO68 @DinaSaS69 @Carmenr1Carmen @GomesChantel @fenrir_71 @Nasty_Woman1 @robinandriver @BandieraNancy @BeverlyBednarc1 @yolalindayola @reevynap @mystcole @angelarlacy @teenytinyflame @dawnresist @riotwomennn @10MinutesaDay4U @HillaryWarnedUs @iche_me @BJSquirrel @BlueTsuni @MarciaBunney @holachola @AlisonR61423986 @DavidGr78574965 @cy_guevara @ginadem @impeach_45NOW @TheRealJTIII @rmontanez3rd @MaireRua @crpswarrior1 @myserenity69 @DarlaDarla614 @hxernst @LLaws2 @Momof3gngrs @ingrid_resists @Chris_09765 @Frankie1654 How do you know that's not just the difference between two different types of contrail?

2019-01-22T18:20:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1087777109261484032
@BoomerKC @YouTube @ufoofinterest https://www.metabunk.org/utah-drone-video.t10370/

2019-01-22T18:20:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1087777079712612353
@jbrownridge There's a discussion thread on metabunk.
https://www.metabunk.org/utah-drone-video.t10370/

2019-01-21T21:45:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1087466361603805184
@scm11478 @VICE The minute amounts used have no effect on the sunset. They briefly make some colored clouds and then dissipate. The make no different to the amounts of these elements found naturally in the environment. It's like dumping a few pounds of salt in the ocean.

2019-01-21T16:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1087384262968410113

@scm11478 @VICE Lithium, Barium, and other chemicals are also used in vastly larger quantities in the lower atmosphere. Generally around July 4th in the US, and November 5th in the UK. Many tons are used for same reasons as why NASA uses a few Kg in space. Color.

https://www.metabunk.org/aluminum-barium-and-strontium-in-fireworks.t3905/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DxcpvXwVAAA-vtr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DxcpvXwVAAA-vtr.jpg

2019-01-21T15:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1087377422092185600

@GeoeWatchDebunk Iâ□□m not sure Dane understands the differences between Aluminum and Aluminum Oxide. Not that most people do, but youâ□□d think heâ□□d have figured it out by now. Unfortunate.

2019-01-20T18:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1087059340601638912

@TungstenClan It took me a while to realize how important this was. But over time it because clear that not being polite and respectful was not being productive.

This doesn't mean you can't tell people you think they are wrong, just that you should do it without them shutting you out.

2019-01-20T17:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1087039125708894208

@TungstenClan Thanks! I'm glad you like it, and hope it comes in useful.

2019-01-19T21:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1086735457285623808

@scm11478 @VICE I'd speculate it's just contrails. Show me a photo.

2019-01-19T20:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1086717230568550400

@whoneedsit Rain clouds? Snow clouds? Virga?

2019-01-19T20:08:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1086717003031662592

@scm11478 @VICE The man who tricked chemtrail believers was Chris Bovey. I explained how he was tricking them. I'm not in favor of tricks.

Cloud seeding has been done since the 1950, quite openly. It does not leave trails.

https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/xd5kq7/i-tricked-chemtrail-conspiracy-theorists-947

2019-01-18T16:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1086304487914893313

I had a fun and interesting discussion with Critical Think on debunking the Flat Earth conspiracy theory, and my book Escaping the Rabbit Hole.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PmsyKuEtCYA

2019-01-17T20:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085993161023881217

Some impressive attention to detail in this fan art. However, I'd never wear jeans like that!

https://twitter.com/SwavZielin/status/1084575170961043456

2019-01-17T06:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085781339792539649

@BlagenLogin @IMHO__2017 Bits of cars melted. Steel remained.

2019-01-17T06:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085781166282530816

@weirdgeometry No, not really topics Iâ□□ve been interested in. Sorry.

2019-01-17T05:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085772495087558656

@IMHO__2017 @BlagenLogin Evidence of melted steel?

2019-01-16T21:19:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085647837331767297

@skeptropolis I don't even joke about things like that. There's always someone who will take you seriously.

2019-01-16T20:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085638303993327616

@IMHO__2017 @BlagenLogin There was no molten steel.

2019-01-16T20:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085637655293882368

@Da_BuDMaN @exsvera @skeptropolis Really the only other explanation for phases is if the sky is a big simulation. The position of the planet, the sun, and the phases (the shadowed and lit parts of the planet) all match up with incredible mathematical precision. [image not to scale, but shows relative positions) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DxD1ehzUYAAtuKy.jpg

---



	Date	Time (UT)	Diameter	Elongation	Visual Mag.	Illum.fract.	Altitude
1	28.12.2014	16:49	10,3"	15,8° E	-3,9	0,965	7°
2	10.01.2015	16:58	10,5"	18,8° E	-3,9	0,950	11°
3	05.02.2015	17:59	11,2"	24,8° E	-3,9	0,911	13°
4	24.02.2015	18:45	11,9"	29,0° E	-4,0	0,874	14°
5	12.03.2015	18:30	12,6"	32,5° E	-4,0	0,836	23°
6	28.03.2015	18:21	13,6"	35,9° E	-4,0	0,791	32°
7	06.04.2015	18:27	14,3"	37,7° E	-4,0	0,763	34°
8	12.04.2015	18:28	14,8"	38,8° E	-4,1	0,743	36°
9	30.04.2015	18:55	16,7"	41,9° E	-4,1	0,675	36°
10	08.05.2015	19:57	17,8"	43,1° E	-4,1	0,641	27°
11	16.05.2015	19:23	19,0"	44,1° E	-4,2	0,605	34°
12	01.06.2015	20:41	22,3"	45,3° E	-4,3	0,525	20°
13	17.06.2015	19:05	26,9"	44,9° E	-4,4	0,431	35°
14	28.06.2015	19:40	31,3"	43,1° E	-4,4	0,356	24°
15	23.07.2015	18:47	46,3"	30,3° E	-4,4	0,145	18°

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DxD1ehzUYAAtuKy.jpg

2019-01-16T04:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085390798613557248

RT @deekatello: Here is the latest DEEKAST in full video! Discussing conspiracy theories and how to debunk them with science writer, skeptiâ□¦

2019-01-16T04:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1085389820451532800

@Da_BuDMaN @exsvera @skeptropolis Phases are highly indicative of sphericalness.

2019-01-14T06:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084697439636844544

@3Fel9 @SwavZielin @UfoSunday Like a man in a suit??

2019-01-14T05:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084689988741083136

@Fibonacci222 No, I disagree with your point.

2019-01-13T06:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084339630185443328

@Fibonacci222 When has silence ever mean agreement, over, say, not being there?

2019-01-12T17:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084134952327737345

@timtron2020 @HandlebarWisdom @PiersRobinson1 @NeilClark66 @medialens @CraigMurrayOrg @citizentommy @EvaKBartlett Metabunk is intended for very focused examinations of specific claims of evidence, not for advocacy. I've no problem with polarized discussion that stays of topic. Tony Szamboti, an AE911 Truther, has been a member for many years. Example focused thread:
https://www.metabunk.org/why-do-sagging-floors-or-trusses-pull-walls-inwards.t9560/

2019-01-12T17:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084133678052081664

@TheFallen131 @T__E__S__L__A Angular velocity and linear velocity are not exactly the same thing. But you can think of the angular velocity of an object on earth as linear velocity plus an inward force curving that linear velocity around. It's MOSTLY gravity. Only very minor difference from pole to equator

---

2019-01-12T16:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084132564011384833

@IMHO__2017 @BlagenLogin Hen the aluminum is IN the fire (like in a burning car, and generally inside an intensely burning tire), and the tree is a few yards away, then yes.

2019-01-12T16:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084132238365646848

@Skeptopathy Silly Joke, sorry!

2019-01-12T10:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084034765261496320

@Grae1308 @ClimateRealists @JoanneNova In his article he says nothing about retirement. Nor does it seem particularly different to things he has said before.
https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/jan/2/the-overblown-and-misleading-issue-of-global-warmi/

2019-01-12T10:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084027475833761792

@timtron2020 @HandlebarWisdom @PiersRobinson1 @NeilClark66 @medialens @CraigMurrayOrg @citizentommy @EvaKBartlett Can you give an example? Threads get locked for a variety of reasons. I very rarely unlock them. Let me know which thread, and I'll explain why I did what i did.

2019-01-12T09:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084026876178255872

@Fibonacci222 Eugenics is about controlling breeding by promoting "good" breeding with propaganda, incentives & forced breeding, and inhibiting "bad" breeding with methods like sterilization and murder. It's a denial of basic human rights.

CRISPR is about fixing genetic problems people have

2019-01-12T09:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1084025448714006533

@BlagenLogin Green trees are pretty hard to burn, even in an ember storm. Try taking a Bic lighter and setting a live oak tree on fire. Then try it with a house.

(Don't actually try it, just think abut it. If in doubt cut a live branch from an oak tree and try lighting it in a safe area.)

2019-01-12T06:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083973161022943232

@Fibonacci222 I think eugenics died.

2019-01-12T05:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083955804611391489

@Fibonacci222 Of course they do. But does this one?

2019-01-12T04:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083945084880474113

@Fibonacci222 Itâ□□s a common term for speculative theories about vast powerful nefarious conspiracies.

2019-01-12T02:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083920355721326593

@Fibonacci222 Sounds like an interesting conspiracy theory.

2019-01-12T01:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083901904256552961

@Fibonacci222 "humorously 'eugenic'" ??? . Obviously social change can affect birth rates. When women got more say in their reproductive rights they could chose to have fewer children. China obviously had the one-child policy. I don't think gay-rights changed birthrates much, if at all.

2019-01-12T01:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083900962966630400

@Fibonacci222 "Official?" There's nothing "official" here. I do think that the writer was a bit batty. I mean. the idea of reducing the world's population to 0.5B is pretty silly (unless we are talking hundreds or thousands of years).

2019-01-12T00:23:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083882237278310401

@Fibonacci222 I don't disregard them. The guidestones are the ramblings of a madman.

2019-01-12T00:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083882028200644608

@Fibonacci222 i don't think so. And I don't see anyone at the UN calling for population reduction - just curbs in population growth. Certainly nobody is calling for culls, and reducing birth rates creates big problems itself demographics (like in Japan).

2019-01-11T22:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083849048111497216

@GeoeWatchDebunk @GeoengWatch Yosemite is at a major air crossroad, mostly because of the traffic flying into SFO. Here's a @flightradar24 timelapse of the air traffic in just three hours passing over the park. Easy to see how you would get a contrail grid if conditions are right.

https://youtu.be/Je9bVV88x34

2019-01-11T21:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083834523962363905

@franklyteran Brown mentions Malthus several times, and discusses the problem of population growth. But this writing is from 1978. 40 years ago, when the population was 4.3 billion. Now it's 7.7 billion but the growth rate is declining. The situation has changed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwqNvoiV4AALdet.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwqNvoiV4AALdet.jpg

2019-01-11T21:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083831550376988672

@franklyteran @risingbluetide Obviously the more people there are, the more CO2 emissions there are, and there's more denuding of the natural environment as land gets converted to food or housing. I don't think there's a real need for (or possibility of) population reduction. Population growth is the problem.

2019-01-11T20:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083829686218588160

@Fibonacci222 It also says "fair laws and just courts". Is that a bad thing as well, because of the low population numbers on the stones?

What exactly is wrong with "harmony with nature" taken alone?

2019-01-11T20:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083829140120190976

@franklyteran @risingbluetide I don't think he assumed that.

The science of geoenginering is STILL in a nascent stage. They have not even done any field tests. Back in the 1970s they really had no idea.

2019-01-11T20:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083824008817037312

@Fibonacci222 What is it exactly about the "Harmony with Nature" draft resolution that you think is bad? http://undocs.org/A/C.2/70/L.70

2019-01-11T20:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083823723403128833

@Fibonacci222 But my tweet was about the map. That's what you were responding to.

2019-01-11T20:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083823117888176128

@franklyteran @risingbluetide Overpopulation is an actual problem for the environment. So of course environmentalists are going to talk about it.

2019-01-11T20:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083821522630762496

@franklyteran @risingbluetide I'm not sure what your point is. He referred to rain making by cloud seeding as the chief method under "deliberate attempts to alter the climate". Cloud seeding only affects the climate if done continually, and then only locally.

2019-01-11T20:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083820148157407232

@Fibonacci222

https://www.abebooks.com/servlet/SearchResults?cm_sp=plpafe-_-all-_-soft&bi=s&ds=5&n=&sortby=17&tn=global+biodiversity+assessment

---

2019-01-11T20:16:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083819899636600832

@Fibonacci222 You should buy a copy and check it out. :)

2019-01-11T20:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083816576959184897

@franklyteran @risingbluetide It's not important, as he was clearly using it to refer to cloud seeding.

Do you think he was actually referring to some super secret geoengineering program in the 1970s?

2019-01-11T17:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083773511125032961

Conspiracy theorists in the US say forest fires were started by houses being zapped by DEW (Directed Energy Weapons) to clear the way for a United Nations wildlife reserve.

But in Australia, DEW puts out fires!

Who are you going to believe? https://twitter.com/CFSAlerts/status/1083607891528044550

2019-01-11T16:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083767825980747776

@franklyteran @risingbluetide Brown is talking about cloud seeding, which is weather modification. He calls it modifying the climate, but in modern usage that's incorrect (except in a limited local and temporary sense if done consistently). It's just cloud seeding.

https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED153893.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwpQm7AVAAAV_rW.jpg

Another source of climatic change is thermal pollution, as weather forecasts for major cities remind us daily. Temperatures within the inner city commonly range from a few to several degrees higher than those of the adjacent countryside. So far, the clearly measurable thermal effects remain largely localized, but the continuing growth in fossil-fuel use could eventually lead to global temperature increases. A 1977 Ford Foundation–sponsored study, *Nuclear Power: Issues and Choices*, reports that electric power generation can both directly and indirectly contribute to a warming of the earth. "The most serious potential environmental impacts from greatly increased power generation are changes in global climate. The thermal output of both coal and nuclear power plants contributes directly to the long-term heating of the atmosphere. A much more immediate atmospheric heating problem, however, results from the carbon dioxide produced when coal is burned."[41]

Apart from the inadvertent modification of climate, deliberate attempts to alter the climate are becoming increasingly common. Chief among these are efforts to increase rainfall where water supplies are inadequate. Some rainmaking technologies have proven at least occasionally successful. In fact, cloud seeding was the issue behind a clash between the states of Washington and Idaho during the drought ridden early months of 1977. Washington officials, who had hired a rainmaking firm to seed clouds moving inland from the Pacific, were accused by Idaho's political leaders of "cloud rustling."[42] This relatively tame skirmish raises the prospect of meteorological warfare as countries that are hard-pressed to expand food supplies begin to compete for available rainfall.

That humans could inadvertently or intentionally alter global climatic patterns is now beyond doubt. That there are a number of possible counteracting influences that need investigating is also clear. However, whether the world would be

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwpQm7AVAAAV_rW.jpg

2019-01-11T16:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083761320531439617

@Fibonacci222 Do you actually have a copy of "Global Biodiversity Assessment?" I've only been able to read the very limited excerpts quoted by Henry Lamb. But you claim this map is in there?

2019-01-11T16:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083759720010211328

@Fibonacci222 And I never blocked you.

2019-01-11T16:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083759537797005313

@Fibonacci222 But the maps is NOT from the UN. It was created by MIchael Coffman, someone who was OPPOSED to the UN, he was "defining environmental problems and solutions based on Judeo-Christian principles of stewardship as contrasted with pantheism-based (nature is god) environmentalism."

2019-01-11T15:23:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083746203030810625

@Fibonacci222 Where did I block you?

2019-01-11T05:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083604110132166656

Some anti-fan art that someone made for me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwm8BLmVAAENiPU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwm8BLmVAAENiPU.jpg

2019-01-11T05:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083589437970235393

@Fibonacci222 What more do you need? Read the linked thread.

2019-01-11T00:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083516634206093312

@Fibonacci222 I've read all of those. This is still a fake map. It's essentially a fear-mongering extrapolation of on article in the Wildlands Project issue that mused about what the US might be like in a few hundred years.

So it's not an UN map. Nor does it reflect any UN position.

2019-01-10T14:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083372621364846592

@Da_BuDMaN Sure it has. You can weight two objects of the same mass but of different densities in a vacuum chamber in a faraday cage, and you get the same weight. So some thing is pulling them down. Not density, electromagnetism, or buoyancy.

This is very old and very well understood.

2019-01-10T06:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083255484029722624

@Da_BuDMaN @UHOHitsMark @skeptropolis Well, clearly there's some force that causes things to fall towards the center of the Earth, even in a vacuum chamber. Why not call it gravity?

2019-01-10T05:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083230915650670592

@Da_BuDMaN @UHOHitsMark @skeptropolis If you want to know more, have a look at any of the various explainers on "hyperfocal distance"

2019-01-10T02:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083184601516789760

Today I made some angels in my garage.

https://www.metabunk.org/angels-in-a-snowstorm-on-mt-athos-bokeh.t10355/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwg-XrCU8AAvo48.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwg-SH1VYAAADTA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwg-XrCU8AAvo48.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwg-QIKU8AAuM4M.jpg

2019-01-10T00:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083165431542607872

@Da_BuDMaN @UHOHitsMark @skeptropolis I'm a camera expert. After you get some distance away the depth of field of a camera easily encompasses everything from infinity down to a mile or so away. But even closer it's nor hard to have near and far objects in focus. Like here - near grass to far trees and clouds http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwgtBv7U0AE4a4v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwgtBv7U0AE4a4v.jpg
2019-01-10T00:16:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1083155672852615168
@JasonBermas @YouTube Spoiler: No smoking gun.

At what point does the absence of evidence actually become evidence of absence?

2019-01-10T00:12:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1083154536762564608
@GeoeWatchDebunk @prn_radio Ah yes, a solar thermal plant. Given that that essentially uses steam power, I think it's safe to say working as a regular electrician there provided no relevant experience.

2019-01-10T00:10:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1083153971907194880
@Da_BuDMaN @UHOHitsMark @skeptropolis I know that it appears to be a very large distant sphere that is lit by the sun. I've studied it extensively, taken lots of photos, and verified it matches the conventional model of the solar system.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwgikamU8AA1QrE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwgikamU8AA1QrE.jpg

2019-01-09T23:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083150700048113664

@Da_BuDMaN @UHOHitsMark @skeptropolis Have you ever wondered why the moon appears more or less the same size as travels across the sky? That's impossible on a flat earth. So it's not flat. There you go.

2019-01-09T22:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083121541762535424

@GeoeWatchDebunk @prn_radio Is there actual documentation of that? Or of him saying that exactly?

2019-01-09T21:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083118159937167360

@GeoeWatchDebunk @prn_radio His background in Solar Energy is that he had solar panels installed in his house in 2003, 20 years AFTER he quit being an electrician.
https://www.homepower.com/article/?file=HP98_pg14_Wigington

2019-01-09T15:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083029347156389889

@Herbert_N7 @hoaxeye There are true believers, mostly religious folk. Consider there are Snake Handlers who use rattlesnakes in church. Faith can be strong enough to blot out reality.

2019-01-09T15:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1083028476045611008

RT @paulrogerson4: @acostrian @MickWest @hoaxeye Still struggling to understand what would be gained by 'perpetuating the globe myth' why aâ□¦

2019-01-09T01:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082819102719205377

@superkyol This is the code used to scale the head in THPS2. Just a patch. Note it's 3x for x and z, but only 2x for y. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwbx32QVYAA2L2_.jpg

```
if  (i == GHeadPart && !(pVertex->pad & (VERTEXFLAGS_GHOST|VERTEXFLAGS_ALWAYSFACING)))
{
    pVertex->vx *= 3;               // Expand head, not so tall though
    pVertex->vy *= 2;
    pVertex->vz *= 3;

    pVertex->vy += GHeadUp*16;      // shorten his neck
    if (GHeadUp<0)
        pVertex->vz -= 15*16;                   // Short skeleton guys, Move head forward, so neck is in better position

}
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dwbx32QVYAA2L2_.jpg

2019-01-09T01:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082818202382393344

@superkyol I suspect "big head" might have been done simply because it was easy to do, and looked cool

---

2019-01-09T01:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082817898073079808

@superkyol Hmm, I think the kid mode might have come later...

2019-01-09T01:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082817340213886976

@superkyol As I remember, there was a "Kid" mode, we scaled the models to be more like kids (mostly big head, but reduced arms). I can't really remember what inspired the big head cheat mode.

2019-01-08T23:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082782322720628736

@matthewjustinnn Because the camera exposure is set for daylight.
Once you get out of the atmosphere you can see stars, but they are very faint, so they won't show up unless you use a longer exposure, and if you do that the ground would be bright white. Same in IR.

2019-01-08T18:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082713347257073664

@LondonSkywatch Perspective makes things look sharer than they are. But it's not clear if that dark region is actually a continuation of the trail. The bit at the top looks like a distrail with the ragged edges. The bottom dark region looks less so.

2019-01-08T18:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082712972726685697

@franklyteran Read the rest of that paragraph.

2019-01-08T18:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082712814781816833

High altitude infrared footage shows the curve of the horizon from 100,000 feet, proving the Earth is a globe.

Flat Earthers flood the comments saying this is proof the Earth is flat.
How many of them are just trolling?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G5kRyQStPhU

2019-01-08T17:49:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1082695831495430144
@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri @TlohJ @usslibertyvets @Bollyn_CL Not the AG.
Have you actually read what the New York US Attorney wrote?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwaBzatVYAAfauu.jpg



November 7, 2018

BY MAIL

Mick G. Harrison, Esq.
Executive Director
The Lawyers' Committee
for 9/11 Inquiry, Inc.
426 River Mill Road
Jersey Shore, Pennsylvania 17740

Dear Mr. Harrison:

We have received and reviewed The Lawyers' Committee for 9/11 Inquiry, Inc.'s submissions of April 10 and July 30, 2018. We will comply with the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3332 as they relate to your submissions.

Very truly yours,

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
United States Attorney

By:
Michael Ferrara
Ilan Graff

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwaBzatVYAAfauu.jpg
2019-01-08T14:34:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1082646740795158528
@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri @TlohJ @usslibertyvets @Bollyn_CL If you are getting meta, consider what a fire and gravity driven collapse of WTC7 would do for your expanding your consciousness.

2019-01-08T14:31:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1082645978220355585
@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri @TlohJ @usslibertyvets @Bollyn_CL And thereâ□□s no Grand Jury looking into this. Thatâ□□s just nonsensical spin. The DA basically just acknowledged their letter, thatâ□□s all.

2019-01-08T14:30:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1082645618877591552
@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri @TlohJ @usslibertyvets @Bollyn_CL The UAF study was paid for by AE911Truth with the stated goal of proving that fire could not have caused the collapse. And

itâ□□s still a year late, and not open.

2019-01-08T14:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082645102751666177

@LondonSkywatch Looks like one.

2019-01-08T04:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082497137143762944

@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri @TlohJ @usslibertyvets @Bollyn_CL Whatâ□□s the hold up? It has been 17 years. Why do you think your â□□truthâ□□ isnâ□□t going anywhere?

2019-01-07T16:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082312161752281088

@scm11478 Sure, but you can't accept ANY theory just because it's the type of thing you think the government might do. There has to be actual evidence of them actually doing it. So far we've got people pointing at contrails, and people not understand the Earth's crust is 7% aluminum.

2019-01-07T16:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082311036353503232

@scm11478 They use about 1 millionth of the amount that is used in fireworks every year, and they only do it a handful of times, and it's too high to affect the weather, and it burns up rapidly. So it's totally unrelated to any kind of "geoengineering"

2019-01-07T16:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1082310555212275712

@scm11478 That isn't people admitting anything. That's just some believers in the covert geoengineering theory. They bring no actual evidence, and their belief are contradicted by actual experts in contrails and atmospheric sampling. .

2019-01-05T04:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081412813103353856

@mtumzuri @CalgaryPatty Because there was really no reason to suspect explosives, what with the planes hitting the buildings providing all that was needed for them to collapse.

2019-01-04T19:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081274648963108865

@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri They did study the metal. And if they had studied it more we'd simply be having a slightly different conversation. Would you ever accept the results of a government funded study?

2019-01-04T19:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081274107453272064

@TheMetalDen Do you have a source for those figures?

2019-01-04T19:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081273768394149888

@QuriousQanon99 @ScottAdamsSays It's historical. I started out with just http://ContrailScience.com in 2006. But I wanted to discuss other things as well, so I started

http://Metabunk.org in 2010. I've not updated ContrailScience (other than maintenance fixes) since 2013. I just do Metabunk now.

2019-01-04T19:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081272981870047232

@IAmMorganSturn @Klamath_NF Are you referring to my wiping the lens with my finger, or some specific other example you feel is unexplained?

2019-01-04T05:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1081056178086375425

@BallDropped @Gyanokath @badibulgator @NoScienceDenial @earthisaglobe @X_BikiniBear_X @Geo_Kaplan59 @icread37 @captscorch @tbragsdale @Crimsontide722 @Hamaya42920579 @Pandarcher @Oswald1160 @FlatSlugbrains @Royal_Time @fruitchicken1 @ChickenEven @ColdDimSum @Samstongues @Camaroni1000 @tinastullracing @sciencegecko @JustWakeUp8 @jameswzegan85 @geoffboller @_zero_gravitas @pashton65 @LeeWilschevic @Captainswoop1 @PragmatiqueC @AimeeespinAimee @flatearthboy @enterosoft @TheCarp86835734 @bikinatroll @Mak7piaL @Col_Sandurz @Dave_was_here @AmAust @queso_the_rogue @PaperForSale @jacqDeLaFuente7 @debiacharya1969 @Globe_Ripper @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @StaleSonnen @jools6691 @_lets_do_it_ @mikerainham You should call Twitter and suggest they get on that!

2019-01-03T19:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080909021652049920

@CalgaryPatty @mtumzuri And the falling outer walls. And later the "spire".

2019-01-03T18:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080899229919281153

@Gyanokath @badibulgator @BallDropped @NoScienceDenial @earthisaglobe @X_BikiniBear_X @Geo_Kaplan59 @icread37 @captscorch @tbragsdale @Crimsontide722 @Hamaya42920579 @Pandarcher @Oswald1160 @FlatSlugbrains @Royal_Time @fruitchicken1 @ChickenEven @ColdDimSum @Samstongues @Camaroni1000 @tinastullracing @sciencegecko @JustWakeUp8 @jameswzegan85 @geoffboller @_zero_gravitas @pashton65 @LeeWilschevic @Captainswoop1 @PragmatiqueC @AimeeespinAimee @flatearthboy @enterosoft @TheCarp86835734 @bikinatroll @Mak7piaL @Col_Sandurz @Dave_was_here @AmAust @queso_the_rogue @PaperForSale @jacqDeLaFuente7 @debiacharya1969 @Globe_Ripper @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @StaleSonnen @jools6691 @_lets_do_it_ @mikerainham The derivation of this is here:
https://www.metabunk.org/posts/186828/
And there's a radius calulator using it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/radius/

2019-01-03T18:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080898738413944832

@Gyanokath @badibulgator @BallDropped @NoScienceDenial @earthisaglobe @X_BikiniBear_X @Geo_Kaplan59 @icread37 @captscorch @tbragsdale @Crimsontide722 @Hamaya42920579

@Pandarcher @Oswald1160 @FlatSlugbrains @Royal_Time @fruitchicken1 @ChickenEven @ColdDimSum @Samstongues @Camaroni1000 @tinastullracing @sciencegecko @JustWakeUp8 @jameswzegan85 @geoffboller @_zero_gravitas @pashton65 @LeeWilschevic @Captainswoop1 @PragmatiqueC @AimeeespinAimee @flatearthboy @enterosoft @TheCarp86835734 @bikinatroll @Mak7piaL @Col_Sandurz @Dave_was_here @AmAust @queso_the_rogue @PaperForSale @jacqDeLaFuente7 @debiacharya1969 @Globe_Ripper @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @StaleSonnen @jools6691 @_lets_do_it_ @mikerainham Of course â□□What the formula is to determine the curvature of Earthâ□□ could mean "how do you determine the radius from measurements". In the case where an object at distance d viewed from height h has is obscured by x, it's this (ignoring refraction)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAfe2-U8AIjeRI.jpg

$$r = \frac{d^2\,h + d^2\,x - 2\sqrt{d^4\,h\,x - d^2\,h^3\,x + 2\,d^2\,h^2 - d^2\,h\,x^3}\; - h^3 + h^2\,x + h\,x^2 - x^3}{2\,(h^2 - 2\,h\,x + x^2)}$$

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAfe2-U8AIjeRI.jpg
2019-01-03T18:43:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080897359242915840
@An0nAKn0wledge @An0n_Truther @RedDizzlah @PaulFox13 @franklyteran @ctfallen @climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @lbox327 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group Read the full quote.
http://archive.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?TranscriptID=674
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAd2RUUYAE1WVQ.jpg

Q: Let me ask you specifically about **last week's scare here in Washington**, and what we might have learned from how prepared we are to deal with **that (inaudible), at B'nai Brith**.

A: Well, it points out **the nature of the threat**. It turned out to be **a false threat under the circumstances**. But as we've learned in the intelligence community, we had something called -- and we have James Woolsey here to perhaps even address this question about phantom moles. The **mere fear** that there is a mole within an agency can set off a chain reaction and a hunt for that particular mole which **can paralyze the agency for weeks and months and years even**, in a search. The same thing is true about **just the false scare of a threat** of using some kind of a chemical weapon or a biological one. There are some reports, for example, that some countries have been trying to construct something like an Ebola Virus, and that would be a very dangerous phenomenon, to say the least. **Alvin Toeffler has written about this** in terms of some scientists in their laboratories trying to devise certain types of pathogens that would be ethnic specific so that they could just eliminate certain ethnic groups and races; and others are designing some sort of engineering, some sort of insects that can destroy specific crops. **Others are engaging even in an eco- type of terrorism whereby they can alter the climate, set off earthquakes, volcanoes remotely through the use of electromagnetic waves.**

So there are plenty of ingenious minds out there that are at work finding ways in which they can wreak terror upon other nations. It's real, and that's the reason why we have to intensify our efforts, and that's why this is so important.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAd2RUUYAE1WVQ.jpg
2019-01-03T18:30:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080894120510386176

@BallDropped @badibulgator @NoScienceDenial @earthisaglobe @X_BikiniBear_X @Geo_Kaplan59 @icread37 @captscorch @tbragsdale @Crimsontide722 @Hamaya42920579 @Pandarcher @Oswald1160 @FlatSlugbrains @Royal_Time @fruitchicken1 @ChickenEven @ColdDimSum @Samstongues @Camaroni1000 @tinastullracing @sciencegecko @JustWakeUp8 @jameswzegan85 @geoffboller @_zero_gravitas @Gyanokath @pashton65 @LeeWilschevic @Captainswoop1 @PragmatiqueC @AimeeespinAimee @flatearthboy @enterosoft @TheCarp86835734 @bikinatroll @Mak7piaL @Col_Sandurz @Dave_was_here @AmAust @queso_the_rogue @PaperForSale @jacqDeLaFuente7 @debiacharya1969 @Globe_Ripper @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @StaleSonnen @jools6691 @_lets_do_it_ @mikerainham It is a nonsense question, because it depends on what exactly you are asking for. At the simplest level the formula is

R = 3959

To describe the approximate 3d curve:

x^2 +y^2 + z^2 = 3959^2

To be more accurate you'd use WGS84. Or EGM2008 (which would be a bit of overkill)

2019-01-03T18:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080892199368196096

@mtumzuri @CalgaryPatty Perfectly symmetrical? WTC2's top tipped over to one side, and WTC1 was significantly asymmetrical. Look at the largest leading debris on left and right

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAZXuiUUAAYBBv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAZXuiUUAAYBBv.jpg

2019-01-03T18:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080890168465190912

@stang289 @IapetusOrbit Conspiracy theorist are not really that much more unhinged, on

average, than non-conspiracists. They are mostly just regular folk who happen to be into conspiracies.

But here I suspect satire or sarcasm. Hard to tell sometimes.

2019-01-03T17:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080879346233950208

Remember this scary looking "UN Agenda 21" map? It's not from the UN, and it's not Agenda 21. It's a fake map created by an evangelical anti-environmentalist in 1994 in order to lobby the US Senate to not ratify the Rio Convention on Biological Diversity.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-agenda-21-map-of-simulated-reserve-and-corridor-system-biodiversity-propaganda.t6855/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAM903VYAEr4MU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DwAM903VYAEr4MU.jpg

2019-01-03T17:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080875298936184835

@EastSidePdc "Debunking stuff for society" does not prevent me from doing anything else.

2019-01-03T06:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080705382287196162

@CalgaryPatty Mostly correct, although it's not "essentially " free fall, it's about 0.8g. And many people think that the floor failing means the columns failed by being hit from above. They mostly did not. The floor slabs were stripped away from the columns, which failed later.

2019-01-03T00:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080628499927379968

@CoZza_86 You never heard of a storm cloud? Try flying through one.

2019-01-03T00:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080628227104620544
@CalgaryPatty The exact initiation is the hard part to figure out. Once it started to collapse there was no stopping it. You are familiar with the floor stripping -> column buckling model of progressive collapse of the towers?

2019-01-03T00:49:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080627293775486976
And here's direct links to the speech and the book, if you don't like Metabunk.
Speech: http://archive.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?TranscriptID=674

Book: https://archive.org/details/WarAndAntiWar-Toffler/page/n157

2019-01-03T00:49:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080627097016528896
@WeatheredHype @An0n_Truther @An0nAKn0wledge @RedDizzlah @PaulFox13 @franklyteran @ctfallen @climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @lbox327 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group Cohen's speech and that book are public record. Check them yourself.

Speech: http://archive.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?TranscriptID=674

Book:
https://archive.org/details/WarAndAntiWar-Toffler/page/n157

2019-01-03T00:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080625185965789184
@CalgaryPatty That's not how it works.

2019-01-03T00:38:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080624321150603264
This meme about William S. Cohen "admitting" the government can set off earthquakes with radio waves has been around for years. But it turns out it was just Cohen discussing what he'd read in a book by Alvin Toffler about future war. Not anything real.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-others-are-engaging-even-in-an-eco-type-of-terrorism.t159/#post-732 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8lTrYVYAAEJ4O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8lQp1UUAAOCbQ.jpg



142 ALVIN AND HEIDI TOFFLER

ing body, the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW), was established to police the agreement. Its inspectors will have greater powers than those enjoyed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) until now. But twenty-one members of the Arab League refused to join in the agreement until Israel did. Iraq sent no one to the meeting. And the Convention actually does not come into effect until half a year after fully sixty-five nations ratify it.

Even Russia, which has sworn up and down to eliminate chemical arms, recently arrested two scientists, Vil Mirzayanov and Lev Fyodorov, for revealing in the press that a new chemo-weapon was being developed at a lab in Moscow after Russian president Yeltsin had spoken out in favor of agreements with the United States to get rid of such toxins.

As for biological warfare agents—in many ways the worst of the weapons of mass destruction—it is now known that work on offensive bio-war weapons continued in the Soviet Union long after it signed a 1972 treaty outlawing such arms; long after these activities were denied by Gorbachev; long after the Soviet state collapsed and was replaced by Russia; and even after Yeltsin publicly ordered germ warfare research ended. That work included—and may still include—a search for a genetically engineered "super-plague" that could wipe out half the population of a small city in short order.

Who, in a country torn apart politically and on the edge of anarchy, controls the pathogens that still, no doubt, remain in the laboratories of the former Soviet Union? And how safe are they?

In 1976, the Soviets, undoubtedly aware of the horrors breeding in their own laboratories, called for international bans on exotic arms. They warned, at that time, of the hideous possibility of race-specific weapons—genetically engineered to single out and decimate only the members of selected ethnic groups—the ultimate genocidal weapons for race war. In 1992 Bo Rybeck, director of the Swedish National Defense Research Institute, pointed out that as we become able to identify the DNA variations of different racial and ethnic groups, "we will be able to determine the differences between blacks and whites and Orientals and Jews and Swedes and Finns and develop an agent that will kill only [a

WAR AND ANTI-WAR 143

particular] group." One can imagine the uses to which such technology might be put by "ethnic cleansers" of tomorrow.

The warning about race-specific weaponry takes on new urgency in light of recent scientific advances connected with the Human Genome Initiative, which aims at unlocking the secrets of DNA. Taken a step further, it conjures up the use of bioengineering or genetic engineering to alter soldiers or to breed "para-humans" to do the fighting. Fantastic, no doubt. But no longer beyond the extremes of possibility.

And then there is ecological weaponry. When Saddam Hussein torched the Kuwaiti oil fields, he was only doing what the Romans did when, according to some, they salted the fields of Carthage, and what the Russians did to their own fields during World War II when they pursued their "scorched earth" policy to deny food to the Nazi invaders. And, indeed, what the United States did with the use of defoliants in Vietnam.

These acts are primitive compared with some of the imaginable (and imagined) possibilities of sophisticated ecological weaponry. For example, triggering earthquakes or volcano eruptions at a distance by generating certain electromagnetic waves; deflecting wind currents; sending in a vector of genetically altered insects to devastate a selected crop; using lasers to cut a custom-tailored hole in the ozone layer over an adversary's land; and even modifying weather.

Lester Brown, of the Worldwatch Institute, a leading environmental think tank in Washington, D.C., pointed out as far back as 1977 that "deliberate attempts to alter the climate are becoming increasingly common," raising the prospect of "meteorological warfare as countries that are hard-pressed to expand food supplies begin to compete for available rainfall." It has turned out to be extremely difficult, so far, to produce even small-scale changes in weather. But that has not stopped speculation about very large-scale changes, indeed. Discussions of global warming are conjuring up horrific images of rising shorelines around the world as polar icecaps melt. But few today remember the breathtaking plan to thaw the Arctic Ocean that was reputedly put forward by Lenin shortly after the Russian Revolution.

Russia's historic strategic problem was the lack of a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8lTrYVYAAEJ4O.jpg

2019-01-03T00:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080623298612932608

@An0n_Truther @An0nAKn0wledge @RedDizzlah @PaulFox13 @franklyteran @ctfallen @climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @lbox327 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group Yeah, because he'd just read a speculative futurism book "War and Anti-War" by Alvin Toffler. What he is saying is describing WHAT IS IN THE BOOK. Toffler is discussing "imaginable possibilities," not actualities.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-others-are-engaging-even-in-an-eco-type-of-terrorism.t159/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8lHT2VYAAQB8d.jpg

---



142 ALVIN AND HEIDI TOFFLER

ing body, the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW), was established to police the agreement. Its inspectors will have greater powers than those enjoyed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) until now. But twenty-one members of the Arab League refused to join in the agreement until Israel did. Iraq sent no one to the meeting. And the Convention actually does not come into effect until half a year after fully sixty-five nations ratify it.

Even Russia, which has sworn up and down to eliminate chemical arms, recently arrested two scientists, Vil Mirzayanov and Lev Fyodorov, for revealing in the press that a new chemo-weapon was being developed at a lab in Moscow after Russian president Yeltsin had spoken out in favor of agreements with the United States to get rid of such toxins.

As for biological warfare agents—in many ways the worst of the weapons of mass destruction—it is now known that work on offensive bio-war weapons continued in the Soviet Union long after it signed a 1972 treaty outlawing such arms; long after these activities were denied by Gorbachev; long after the Soviet state collapsed and was replaced by Russia; and even after Yeltsin publicly ordered germ warfare research ended. That work included—and may still include—a search for a genetically engineered "super-plague" that could wipe out half the population of a small city in short order.

Who, in a country torn apart politically and on the edge of anarchy, controls the pathogens that still, no doubt, remain in the laboratories of the former Soviet Union? And how safe are they?

In 1976, the Soviets, undoubtedly aware of the horrors breeding in their own laboratories, called for international bans on exotic arms. They warned, at that time, of the hideous possibility of race-specific weapons—genetically engineered to single out and decimate only the members of selected ethnic groups—the ultimate genocidal weapons for race war. In 1992 Bo Rybeck, director of the Swedish National Defense Research Institute, pointed out that as we become able to identify the DNA variations of different racial and ethnic groups, "we will be able to determine the differences between blacks and whites and Orientals and Jews and Swedes and Finns and develop an agent that will kill only [a

WAR AND ANTI-WAR 143

particular] group." One can imagine the uses to which such technology might be put by "ethnic cleansers" of tomorrow.

The warning about race-specific weaponry takes on new urgency in light of recent scientific advances connected with the Human Genome Initiative, which aims at unlocking the secrets of DNA. Taken a step further, it conjures up the use of bioengineering or genetic engineering to alter soldiers or to breed "para-humans" to do the fighting. Fantastic, no doubt. But no longer beyond the extremes of possibility.

And then there is ecological weaponry. When Saddam Hussein torched the Kuwaiti oil fields, he was only doing what the Romans did when, according to some, they salted the fields of Carthage, and what the Russians did to their own fields during World War II when they pursued their "scorched earth" policy to deny food to the Nazi invaders. And, indeed, what the United States did with the use of defoliants in Vietnam.

These acts are primitive compared with some of the imaginable (and imagined) possibilities of sophisticated ecological weaponry. For example, triggering earthquakes or volcano eruptions at a distance by generating certain electromagnetic waves; deflecting wind currents; sending in a vector of genetically altered insects to devastate a selected crop; using lasers to cut a custom-tailored hole in the ozone layer over an adversary's land; and even modifying weather.

Lester Brown, of the Worldwatch Institute, a leading environmental think tank in Washington, D.C., pointed out as far back as 1977 that "deliberate attempts to alter the climate are becoming increasingly common," raising the prospect of "meteorological warfare as countries that are hard-pressed to expand food supplies begin to compete for available rainfall." It has turned out to be extremely difficult, so far, to produce even small-scale changes in weather. But that has not stopped speculation about very large-scale changes, indeed. Discussions of global warming are conjuring up horrific images of rising shorelines around the world as polar icecaps melt. But few today remember the breathtaking plan to thaw the Arctic Ocean that was reputedly put forward by Lenin shortly after the Russian Revolution.

Russia's historic strategic problem was the lack of a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8lHT2VYAAQB8d.jpg

2019-01-03T00:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080620948007510018

@CalgaryPatty And you should check this thread, and the related video discussion with an Engineer, then perhaps add to the discussion there. It contains criticism of NIST.

https://www.metabunk.org/wtc7-is-ae911s-and-nists-focus-on-a2001-justified-if-it-was-not-key-in-nists-global-model.t9427/

2019-01-03T00:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080619793386881024

@CalgaryPatty How do you know that ALL engineers will agree with you (let alone a majority?)

Or do you only trust the engineers that agree with you and AE911?

2019-01-03T00:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080614874344914944

@CalgaryPatty Metabunk isn't dedicated to 9/11, that's just one sub-forum.

Again though, I'm not claiming any particular authority, or expertise (expect for some practical knowledge of computers, physics, and flying.)

I don't just claim stuff it to be true, I want people to check.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8ddObUYAUfo4y.jpg

title	discussion_count
Contrails and Chemtrails	991
General Discussion	533
Conspiracy Theories	503
Chitchat	432
Skydentify - What is that Thing in the Sky?	385
9/11	265
UFOs, Aliens, Monsters, and the Paranormal	187
Open Discussion	182
Flat Earth	168
Site Feedback & News	148
Practical Debunking	143
Science and Pseudoscience	138
Health and Quackery	113
Moderators	75
Quotes Debunked	75
Sandy Hook	72
Boston Marathon Bombings	62
Testing Sandbox	59
Images and Videos: Contrails, Skies, and Aviation	53
Admin	50
Current Events	47
Flight MH17	47
Escaping The Rabbit Hole	46
Flight MH370	44
HAARP	40

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv8ddObUYAUfo4y.jpg

2019-01-02T23:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080604174759284738

@CalgaryPatty Iâ□□m not arguing from authority. You can check what I say yourself.

2019-01-02T22:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080598021610426368

@davidconstable6 @TlohJ @cynthiamckinney If you just want them to be able to steer and crash, sure.

2019-01-02T20:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080559705984913409

@davidconstable6 @TlohJ @cynthiamckinney You know how many hours it takes to get a commercial license? You know it's not actually hard to steer a plane in the air, or steer it into something? A child can do it.

2019-01-02T17:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080515064161923073

@davidconstable6 @TlohJ @cynthiamckinney How many hours exactly?

2019-01-02T16:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080505554676506624

@TlohJ @davidconstable6 @cynthiamckinney Yes, there were quite a few items recovered from inside the airplanes. When the cockpit hits the building it basically shatters, and now the contents are inside the building. Now it's part of a wave of debris propelled forward by the explosion 50 feet behind it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv66Dx4UcAA_2R5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv66Dx4UcAA_2R5.jpg

2019-01-02T15:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080482548134735872

@07904567432a You too!

2019-01-02T15:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080480755883462656

@07904567432a Of course there are. But thereâ□□s already lots of people looking at that. Iâ□□m trying to help by removing or reducing the distraction of FALSE conspiracy theories. Iâ□□m also trying to help individuals who get stuck down the Rabbit Hole.

2019-01-02T15:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080480023079862273

@TlohJ @davidconstable6 @cynthiamckinney After the plane impact the air was full of millions of sheets of paper which survived an explosion.

2019-01-02T07:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080360456093487105

@Liatach @DoctorKarl I don't think it really that much higher, if at all. The capillary action is tilting the meniscus. But your photo is itself tilted.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv41tDiU8AANT-l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv41tDiU8AANT-l.jpg

2019-01-02T06:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080356495982714880

@cuisineillum Do you have a link to info on the Chicago fire conspiracies? I'm interested. And here we are talking about the 1910 "Big Burn" fire. Are there suggestions that was deliberately set? Any evidence? There were over 1,000 fires as part of that complex.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Fire_of_1910

2019-01-02T06:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080344499778404352

@cuisineillum Well sure, things were corrupt up the wazoo back then. But that does not mean the fires were intentional. Even if they were set there was no way of predicting or controlling them. They barely had telegraph service in those areas.

2019-01-02T05:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080340593706885120

@cuisineillum How exactly did the 1910 fire help the railroads? And how did they engineer all that dry weather, lightning, and high winds in 1910 - just seven years after the invention of powered flight.

2019-01-02T04:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080327227705778177

@TlohJ @davidconstable6 @cynthiamckinney No steel was found melted.

2019-01-02T04:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080326824024928256

@cuisineillum Iâ□□ve looked into it. Iâ□□ve read the Big Burn book and some of the source documents. Iâ□□m going to buy a Pulaski. It was just lightning sparking 1,000+ fire in hot dry windy conditions.

2019-01-02T01:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080271752020652033

@cuisineillum You think the 1910 Big Burn was deliberate? How would that even work? It was a perfect storm and weather and trees, almost entirely in untouched natural forest.

2019-01-02T01:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080271117770608640

@TlohJ @davidconstable6 @cynthiamckinney Passports are made of strong paper, like currency (dollar bills). They can't withstand fire, but they are very light and can survive an explosion by being blow out of the way. Paper is often recovered after plane crashes.

2019-01-02T00:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080256989379190784

@pyrescene @CphilpottCraig And 9/11 was certainly exploited as a pretext for war and domestic spying, but I don't think it was a deliberate false flag. The WMD spin was probably the closest thing there, similar to Tonkin in some ways.

2019-01-02T00:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080256542635524096

@pyrescene @CphilpottCraig Northwoods is one of the thousand reasons given do why "they" had JFK killed. Not a compelling one though, as it was long past and irrelevant after the Cuban missile crisis.

2019-01-01T21:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080213808096792576

@FlatSlugbrains @NickSoutter @inflatearth @bikinatroll @PeterValdo @jameswzegan85 @idebunkforme @d_deejay187 @CallMeEOnly @RegratNothing @doctorbuttons @LaikaAndYuri Because at night you can only see the results of one path of light - from one point (the laser). If you do it in daylight you get all of them, and you get an inarguable image, rather than some random flash of light.

Every pixel in this image represents a ray of light.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv2wSjHUwAAMZ7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv2wSjHUwAAMZ7q.jpg
2019-01-01T21:20:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080212211077771264
@NickSoutter @FlatSlugbrains @inflatearth @bikinatroll @PeterValdo @jameswzegan85 @idebunkforme @d_deejay187 @CallMeEOnly @RegratNothing @doctorbuttons @LaikaAndYuri
Doing line-of-sight experiments at night is ridiculous. Do it in the daytime, when there's about a trillion times as much data available.
Seriously. Just do the Wallace experiment, in the daytime, with a P900. It's easier, and far less prone to error. And done by Soundly.

2019-01-01T21:16:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080211166918455297
@NickSoutter @FlatSlugbrains @inflatearth @bikinatroll @PeterValdo @jameswzegan85 @idebunkforme @d_deejay187 @CallMeEOnly @RegratNothing @doctorbuttons @LaikaAndYuri
Didn't Jeranism essentially already do this, and found results consistent with a curve?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RMjDAzUFxX0

2019-01-01T20:48:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080204198912811008
@FlatSlugbrains @NickSoutter @inflatearth @bikinatroll @PeterValdo @jameswzegan85 @idebunkforme @d_deejay187 @CallMeEOnly @RegratNothing @doctorbuttons @LaikaAndYuri
Where's the description of this experiment?

2019-01-01T19:16:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1080181043074715648
@vickiwinget16 @TlohJ @drewblevins77 Did I say that? Why don't you address what I actually

said?

2019-01-01T19:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080180826665508864

@franklyteran @climateviewer @PaulFox13 @RedDizzlah @ctfallen @GeoeWatchDebunk @lbox327 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group For who is this upside of pollution better than the downside? How would this conspiracy actually work?

2019-01-01T18:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080176246577758208

@HooperIth @drewblevins77 What evidence does he use to reach this conclusion?

2019-01-01T18:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080175027306127360

Small contrail below a much bigger contrail. Not necessarily from a bigger plane, but a contrail that has spread out to create a region of cloud.

Most of the water in these contrails comes from outside the plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv2NGsTUwAAXVnj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dv2NGsTUwAAXVnj.jpg

2019-01-01T18:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080167826613129216

@CalebOnTwatter Works for me.

2019-01-01T18:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080166995838959616

@CphilpottCraig It's not an unnatural thing. It's usually the result of normal tendencies and

biases that we all have, combined with being exposed to compelling one-sided info (usually YouTube nowadays) at a vulnerable point in their lives.

2019-01-01T18:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080166386545000448

@Diamond30802384 @drewblevins77 I'm open to possibilities. What I debunk are the incorrect claims of evidence. Like "contrails can't persist" or "aluminum should not be in soil." i.e. bunk.

2019-01-01T17:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080159758068273152

@Diamond30802384 @drewblevins77 Then what evidence do you have that they are doing it? Just a feeling?

2019-01-01T17:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080159433647218694

@JesusResurrect1 Not really. We went to the Moon. The NASA footage is all real.

2019-01-01T16:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080131984112857088

@TlohJ @drewblevins77 It temporarily modifies a cloud in a relatively small area. Cloud seeding is commonly refered to as "weather modification". Usually done by local water authorities. SMUD in these parts.

2019-01-01T15:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080131115820539904

@TlohJ @drewblevins77 Cloud seeding has been around since the 1950s. Never a secret. Still commonly used, especially in the US West.

2019-01-01T15:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080130656678469632

@Stephen37722821 @UfoSunday Which guys?

2019-01-01T14:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080116224032354306

@TlohJ @drewblevins77 How about those patents for teleportation devices and perpetual motion machines?

How about that patent for a birthing device that involves strapping a pregnant woman to a table and spinning it around until the baby pops out into a net?

Patents don't mean something works.

2019-01-01T14:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080113914921115648

@TlohJ @drewblevins77 I know that there's no good evidence that they are.
I know that the trails people point at look and act exactly like contrails.
I know that all public research on Geoengineering indicates we are not ready for deployment.
I know http://GeoengineeringWatch.org is full of false claims.

2019-01-01T14:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080110534731550722

@drewblevins77 It was never in dispute that scientists have been studying the POSSIBILITY of geoengineering, for decades.

Where we get into conspiracy theory is with the idea that it's already going on. People point at contrails and call them "chemtrails."

2019-01-01T14:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1080109504610545666

Discovered a conspiracy theory that (seriously) says QAnon is an incorporeal angelic reincarnation of JFK using Pleiadian technology to prepare the world for a wave of light with Trump via info drops on 4Chan.

I think I might need to extend the conspiracy spectrum to 11.

2019-01-01T05:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079967940089278464

@fullbredmartian @TlohJ @debunker Not really. Why donâ□□t you try experimenting with a old passport. Challenge your preconceptions.

2019-01-01T01:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079917200754917377

@CalebOnTwatter Sure!

2019-01-01T00:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079891913379991552

@dennissutherby Thanks! I've been thinking about doing a podcast. Probably should!

2018-12-31T23:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079883616564342784

Friendly chat with Flat Earth Debunker "Soundly," covering a variety of conspiracy topics, and the differences between our approaches. Also Neversoft and Tony Hawk's Pro Skater!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=60_ewflnl5o

2018-12-31T21:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079855639021539328

@TlohJ @falseflagjerry I don't think it's an illness. In fact I think it's perfectly normal.

2018-12-31T21:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079855411941916672

@TlohJ @Prophecy_106 I only "deny" theories that I think (after investigating) are wrong. I certainly don't want to make people look bad, but unfortunately it's hard to do when pointing our errors. That's the sort of communication issue I discuss in the book.

2018-12-31T19:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079822882438504449

@ToGtmo The patents are real enough. That does not mean they are bing used, or that they work. There are lots of patents for manned travel to Mars and houses on the moon, even teleportation. It just means there's a patent.

2018-12-31T19:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079817046584582144

@ToGtmo Who is pretending they don't exist? I've written about patents many times, investigated many of them, and there's several pages in my book about them. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.t3704/

2018-12-31T19:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079816722461249536

@TlohJ @debunker They are wider than the average runway, and pilots seem to manage landing on those just fine, dead center too, unlike the towers.

Try it in a simulator. I have. It's incredibly hard to miss.

2018-12-31T18:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079811371825389570

@ToGtmo What do your eyes tell you about contrails in the 1950s?

2018-12-31T17:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079788949516705793

@paul_sailer Excellent! Let me know what you think of it.

2018-12-31T16:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079779847415549953

@debunker Didn't melt. :)

2018-12-31T16:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079776758805651456

@kanuchronicles I'd ask them about the 70 years of books on clouds that all say contrails can persist and spread.

2018-12-31T16:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079773131177250817

Wallace Idaho, 1910. The "Big Burn" swept through town destroying nearly all the buildings. Curiously it did this without burning the trees on the hillside, the wooden sidewalks, or the telegraph poles! Was this 1910 fire started with lasers as part of UN Agenda 21? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvwflAeV4AU-WNS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvwflAeV4AU-WNS.jpg
2018-12-31T15:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079757640073244672
@CalebOnTwatter And I can't find the typo, can you quote the sentence?

2018-12-31T15:07:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079755952222494722
@pizzapicklespur @chemtrail_aware Weather modification (cloud seeding) has been done openly since the 1950s. It has never been a secret.
HOWEVER, it does not leave visibly trails, it's something done to existing clouds.

Here's a mention of cloud seeding from 1965.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

2018-12-31T14:53:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079752480689278976
@pizzapicklespur @chemtrail_aware That's a photo of an aerodynamic contrail. They have some interesting physics. http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvwNErsUYAANmVs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvwMxD-UcAAsBAX.jpg

Aerodynamic formation of condensation trails

Klaus Gierens, Bernd Kärcher,
Hermann Mannstein, Bernhard Mayer

Institut
für
Physik der
Atmosphäre

DLR

Introduction

Photographs taken by pilot Jeff Well out of his cockpit show that condensation sometimes starts right above the wings of cruising aircraft. This demonstrates the existence of contrails different from the well studied jet exhaust contrails.
The present study is a first investigation of the conditions that lead to the appearance of aerodynamic contrails. Studies of aerodynamic contrails require interdisciplinary research on compressible gas flow over airfoils, ice and aerosol microphysics, and optics of ice crystals, such as presented on this poster.

Aerodynamics



2-dimensional potential flow field (compressible flow) around a Joukowski airfoil, calculated with the method of singularities. Effective angle of attack: 1°. Mach number: 0.8. The dimensions of the aerofoil are typical of a wide-body aircraft close to the fuselage.

Calculation of pressure and temperature along streamlines with generalised Bernoulli equation. $p_\infty = 350$ hPa, $T_\infty = 235$ K.



Microphysics



The figure shows results of microphysical calculations using a comprehensive gas-aerosol-ice trajectory model. Water condensation on liquid aerosol droplets, ice nucleation, and depositional growth of the ice crystals have been simulated, driven by one of the T- and p-trajectories close to the wing as shown above. The legend denotes distances behind the leading wing edge and times.

The ice crystal size distributions (solid curves) are generated from homogeneous freezing of liquid aerosol droplets (dashed). The smallest droplets freeze first, followed by freezing of larger droplets, until all available aerosol particles are depleted. Nucleation is finished as the air parcel moves across the wing (after ~16 m). In the subsequent growth phase in highly supersaturated air (RHI=140%), mean crystal sizes increase to ~2.8 µm after ~680 m.

Optics

Mie theory was used to calculate optical properties from the size distributions, assuming spherical ice particles. Spectral radiance was calculated in single-scattering approximation and converted to colour. For a mono-disperse distribution, the colour changes with the effective radius, due to the wavelength-dependence of the scattering efficiency (left image). The right image shows the colours for the actual size distributions which nicely match the photograph.





On June 12 2005 from 14:59 to 15:06 Bejing time (~07:00 UTC) pilot and photographer Jeff Well took a series of 45 photos of an exceptionally colourful iridescent contrail produced by an A340-313X aircraft in 9600 m altitude, just 1200 m higher as his position on the same route over eastern China. Both aircraft were heading to North-West (329°) from 32° 14.8′ N, 119° 46.7′ E to 32° 56.8′ N, 119° 10.1′ E.

From NCEP reanalysis data we estimate a temperature of -40 °C, the aircraft altitude is close to the 300 hPa pressure level shown below.



The series of photos on the left shows the development of contrails that cannot be explained by the Schmidt-Appleman criterion. Jet contrails produced from the mixing of exhaust gases with the ambient air would show up as 4 separate lines and start later. The onset of the aerodynamic contrails occurs directly above the wings and is stronger close to the body of the aircraft. Thin vortex lines originate from the wing tips and get mixed into the wake vortices

Conclusions, outlook, open questions



The aerodynamic formation of condensation trails is independent of the Schmidt-Appleman criterion. Aerodynamic contrails can appear and persist whenever the ambient air is ice-supersaturated. The adiabatic cooling in the flow leads to higher supersaturation the warmer and moister is the air. To produce a visible aerodynamic contrail, the numerous ice crystals must grow sufficiently rapid, requiring warm temperatures.



Photographs demonstrate that the effect occurs preferentially on the inner parts of the wings where lift is largest. Hence, aerodynamic contrails may become more relevant for a potential future fleet of blended wing-body aircraft with their large wing depths.

Future work will investigate the properties of the small ice crystals produced in the adiabatic air flow over wings of airliners, the impact of ambient conditions and background aerosol properties on aerodynamic contrail formation and evolution, and how common this process occurs relative to the formation of jet contrails.

Acknowledgement

The photographs shown here are all taken by pilot Jeff Well. We thank him for allowing us to use them and for having kept his eyes open not only for the instruments in his cockpit but also for the beauty of optical phenomena. Many other photographs of aerodynamic contrails can be found on www.airliners.net.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvwNErsUYAANmVs.jpg

2018-12-31T14:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079751696480317440

@CalebOnTwatter Thanks. But if I wanted to tell you something I'd just tell you.

Numerology is largely nonsense. It's easy to find patterns in any collection of random numbers.

2018-12-31T01:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079552096813215744
@_America_First @mcdili4usa @inittowinit007 @realDonaldTrump @FLOTUS That is the aftermath of some kind of attack in Iraq. You said homeowners were "videoing the DEW Attacks" so where are these videos?

2018-12-31T01:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079551011415420930
@SYMB1A They are probably identifiable. Usually they are a lot further away than you think, like 20-80 miles.

2018-12-31T00:43:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079538407389319170
@SYMB1A Photos of clouds and contrails.

2018-12-30T23:22:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079518144455012352
@VartanianAlbert @Zacharronni Thereâ□□s lots of research into those question.

2018-12-30T21:03:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079483240837132289
@Zacharronni Contrails are a type of cloud. So it has EVERYTHING to do with clouds.
Do clouds instantly vanish? No. So why would contrails always instantly vanish?
Do clouds form at all altitudes simultaneously? No. So why would contrails act the same at every altitude?

2018-12-30T17:25:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079428379508584449
@Zacharronni Or, more likely, one plane is a bit higher than the other, in a different layer of the atmosphere.

The question is essentially the same as "why are there clouds at one altitude but not at another" or, more to the point, "why are clouds in layers"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvrmPXqUwAAmLyC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvrmPXqUwAAmLyC.jpg

2018-12-30T16:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079419557800886275

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA @USNavy So what was he talking about then? Unclassified stuff that anyone has access to?

2018-12-30T16:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079419384676765697

@_America_First @mcdili4usa @inittowinit007 @realDonaldTrump @FLOTUS What would you say was the very best video of a an actual DEW attack? Can you pick just one that is inarguably DEW?

2018-12-30T16:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079418848007270400

@KikPlenty @BlueBookBelieve @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA @USNavy UFOs are real. I see unidentified flying objects every day. They look a bit like distant birds, planes, insects, and balloons, but I can't be sure.

2018-12-30T16:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079418447019180038

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA @USNavy If he had access, then why did he not disclose anything before he died?

2018-12-30T16:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079416675458416641

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord Court cases are not about investigating things to find the truth. They are about single-minded advocacy for one of two deeply polarized positions

using spin, selective evidence, mischaracterization, and rhetoric.

2018-12-30T16:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079415839416176640

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA @USNavy Yes, an enthusiast.

2018-12-30T16:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079412839331188737

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA @CNN It is not good video. It looks like a distant plane. Fravor did not take any video and his account does not match the video. So, no.

2018-12-30T16:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079412229668188160

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA Why did Hillenkoetter believe without evidence? Hillenkoetter reportedly wanted public disclosure of UFO evidence but disclosed nothing himself. So he had nothing. He was essentially just another enthusiast.

2018-12-30T16:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079410759677800449

@BlueBookBelieve @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @usairforce @CIA No, Iâ□□d take some good, verifiable video or photos from anywhere.

2018-12-30T16:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079410185116868608

@jim_ripp @thomaspluck You literally canâ□□t debunk all manner of supernatural things. The lack of falsifiability of UFO theories is not a point in their favor.

2018-12-30T16:04:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079407913716744192

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord Itâ□□s not about choosing one set of people over another. Thatâ□□s just tribalism.

Itâ□□s about the evidence.

2018-12-30T08:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079299657648357376

Fan feedback. https://twitter.com/AdrianoMazzola/status/1078357769827291136

2018-12-30T01:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079183401146437633

This contrail looks vertical, like a rocket. But, like the power lines that are parallel it in this photo, it's actually horizontal. Simply passing overhead.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvoHO3eVAAEYKDn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvoHO3eVAAEYKDn.jpg

2018-12-30T01:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079182788086063104

@scm11478 Where do they say this?

2018-12-29T23:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079157771344404480

@TinFoilHatCast Yeah, that's what the implication is. Clearly wrong though. Bad headline.

2018-12-29T22:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1079137117047992320

@Comedy__Love @samtripoli @TinFoilHatCast Why is this suspicious? He's a guy who had some expertise in mortgages and similar financial transactions, and also advised business on Brexit stuff.

Sometimes people die by accident or suicide. Deep state whacking should not be your default explanation. http://archive.li/qHQQn http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dvnc-UzU8AA2mFR.jpg

---

Geraint Thomas

Partner



geraintthomas@eversheds-sutherland.com
+44 20 7919 4500
+44 778 994 1882
United Kingdom
Add my details to your Outlook contacts list
View my LinkedIn profile

Languages

French and Welsh

Practice areas

Banking and finance

Practice notes

Geraint has been a partner since 2003. He specialises in Retail Finance.

Geraint's particular expertise is in Consumer Credit, Mortgage Regulation and savings/deposit products. Geraint has settled standard documents under FCA, CCA and other regimes for clients such as HSBC, Barclays, Ikano, Lloyds Banking Group, Nationwide, Morgan Stanley, Aldermore Bank, Aviva, Principality Building Society and others. Product experience includes first and second charge residential mortgages, buy to let and commercial mortgages, lifetime mortgages, home reversion plans, unsecured loans, credit and store cards and savings products.

He also advises on sale and purchases of loan portfolios and securitisations.

Brexit

The impact of Brexit will not be the same for all businesses. Our Brexit lawyers are working with a number of clients to help them with Brexit planning. We are helping them take steps to put themselves in the best possible position. For some clients, we have analysed the implications of Brexit on their business based on the different potential models of Brexit. We would be happy to discuss the potential implications of Brexit for your business too.

Contact Geraint for more information on how Brexit may affect your business.

View our Brexit hub for our full range of Brexit resources.



RANKED IN UK CHAMBERS 2018

GERAINT THOMAS

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dvnc-UzU8AA2mFR.jpg
2018-12-29T21:57:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079134452226306048
@AlecTang_ It's horizontal. It's just flying overhead. Imagine a horizontal grid at 30,000 feet. Some of the lines are at sharp angles when viewed from the ground, some even looking near vertical. http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-usually-horizontal/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvnaxBUU0AAFi6g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvnavtpUYAEhzfz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DvnaxBUU0AAFi6g.jpg
2018-12-29T21:53:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1079133334473650176
@scm11478 There's nothing incorrect in that article.

Cloud seeding with silver iodide has never been something that was secret, or denied. It has been done very openly (ads in the local papers announcing it) since the 1950s.

I don't think aluminum oxide or barium compounds are used.

2018-12-29T06:20:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1078898416753598464
@GeoeWatchDebunk @GeoengWatch Lots of routes funneled over Las Vegas due to MOAs

nearby.

2018-12-29T01:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1078829981835452416

@GeoeWatchDebunk @GeoengWatch Certainly normal contrails, although it depends what you mean by â□□normalâ□□, clearly there are more than on a normal (typical) day. But the amount of air traffic is quite normal for NorCal north/south routes. Itâ□□s normal traffic, deeper layers of high humidity.

2018-12-28T02:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1078479046290354176

@stang289 Happy new year to you too!

2018-12-27T10:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1078231667188342784

Two contrails. One is a previously straight line gradually distorted by rolling ripples in the atmosphere. The other is from a plane in a holding pattern, a traffic jam in the sky. https://twitter.com/jconnor19662/status/1077013281511014400

2018-12-26T10:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1077867799555571712

@dennissutherby I think that the approach of taking (and allowing) time to more fully understand the reasons why a person believes something would be valuable regardless of where you stand. Quick dismissals should be avoided.

2018-12-26T04:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1077783174468562944

@Kal1_Furies @frogetteca @OpChemtrails Well now youâ□□ve looked up the physics you should have a good idea. Forever as in millions of years, rarely as in occurring so that you might see them a few times a year if you are looking. Like, say, sun pillars.

2018-12-25T04:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1077421397507534848

@stewitter Send me the username and email address you used.

2018-12-24T04:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1077054522965590017

@Kal1_Furies @frogetteca @OpChemtrails Just look them up.

2018-12-23T06:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1076727855470530560

@frogetteca @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Normal? Itâ□□s a rarely seen cloud, so no, not normal. But it has been seen and described forever. Itâ□□s just water. Very different iridescence physics to oil sheen.

2018-12-21T08:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1076032470611570688

@limitedview For example. http://dev.physicslab.org/Document.aspx?doctype=3&filename=GeometricOptics_SnellsLawDer

ivation.xml

2018-12-21T08:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1076029913658990594

@limitedview Itâ□□s essentially what Snells law is derived from.

2018-12-21T01:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075930439620141061

@limitedview I answered him. I donâ□□t use Snellâ□□s law. Itâ□□s only for interface transitions. Does not work for continuously variable refractive index.

2018-12-20T14:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075765696594919425

@fullbredmartian Thereâ□□s an old Metabunk thread on it here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-conspiracy-of-the-titanic-the-first-staged-9-11.t970/

2018-12-20T14:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075754807644839936

@limitedview As I told John, the source is on the page. Just â□□view sourceâ□□ in your browser.

2018-12-20T13:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075752644797452288

@fullbredmartian My theory is no.

2018-12-20T04:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075610067657986049

RT @NWSBayArea: Still not 100% certain, but evidence is growing the object seen was a meteor. A meteor can create a very high level cloudâ□¦

2018-12-19T06:05:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075270993902534656

RT @vera_anz: Reposting @mindmeltpodcast:
Be sure to check out @mickwest 's book escaping the rabbit hole! This book was so hard to put dowâ□¦

2018-12-19T00:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075185462132830209

@fullbredmartian @BryceWylie1 @End_TheFederalR Read The Pentagon Building Performance Report. Itâ□□s explained there.

2018-12-18T20:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1075120809914572806

@thomaspluck Thanks Tom!

2018-12-18T00:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074818392694112256

@MGoedblick Itâ□□s due to the lack of video, specifically multiple videos from different sources of the same event.

2018-12-18T00:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074818100305059851

@MGoedblick Very varied observations.

2018-12-17T22:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074790305898094593

@MGoedblick Your memory of your observation is very limited and inaccurate reflection on a past event for which there are no other records. So more data would be very helpful.

2018-12-17T18:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074728024166158336

@MGoedblick A video or photo. A second witness.

2018-12-17T14:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074675813759381505

@MGoedblick That was not my statement. I was referring to this one explained case (the water drop). There are many cases where thereâ□□s insufficient information to explain it with confidence, like yours.

2018-12-17T04:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074523043718782976

@MGoedblick Unfortunately 44 years diminishes the reliability of eyewitness accounts.

2018-12-17T01:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074477459796516864

@MGoedblick And how wide at the start vs. at the end?

Also if you pointed at it, what's the angle of your arm off the ground? (start and end)

2018-12-17T01:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1074477176173387782

@MGoedblick Hard to say.

If you held out your arm, how many degrees wide would it be?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DulPSq9UYAELYFz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DulPSq9UYAELYFz.jpg
2018-12-16T17:01:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074348847994785796
@TlohJ @EsotericExposal Nobody is paying me, except a few people who bought my book on helping people.

2018-12-16T16:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074347509625962501
@nakhlawi Itâ□□s true, look it up.
https://www.metabunk.org/curvature-and-refraction-in-surveying-and-leveling-through-history-old-books-etc.t8856/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DujZXBLU8AAd6Wo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DujZXBLU8AAd6Wo.jpg

LEVELLING.

Plate XIII. fig. 2.

LEVELLING is the art of ascertaining the perpendicular ascent or descent of one place (or more) above or below the horizontal level of another, for various intentions; and of marking out courses for the conveyance of water, &c.

The *true level* is a curve conforming to the surface of the earth; as ABG.

The *apparent level* is a tangent to that curve; as ADE.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DujZXBGUYAErUub.jpg

LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CHAPTER VIII.

LEVELING.

Two or more points are said to be on a level, when they are equally distant from the center of the earth, or when they are equally distant from a tranquil fluid, situated immediately below them. A level surface on the earth, is nearly spherical, *and is not a plane;* it is everywhere *perpendicular to a plumb line.*

Any small portion of a true level surface, cannot be distinguished from a plane; and, therefore, when observations are taken in respect to level, within short distances of each other, the spherical form of the earth is disregarded, and the level treated as a plane. But when any considerable portion of surface is taken into account, the curvature of the earth's surface must be considered.

The apparent level, at any point on the earth, is a tangent plane, touching the earth at that point only, and the true level is below this, and the distance below, depends on the distance from the tangent point.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DujZXBNU0AEuM0d.jpg
2018-12-16T16:31:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074341263741050880
@stang289 @climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @franklyteran @ctfallen @lbox327 @PaulFox13 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group My response was towards Jim Lee, as I was thinking how heâ□□s always wanting to debate people, but seems more interested in starting fights.

2018-12-16T02:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074122008198180864
@climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @franklyteran @ctfallen @lbox327 @PaulFox13 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Well, this all isnâ□□t improving the chances of ANYONE debating you Jim.

2018-12-15T23:08:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074078742832590848
@MindspinDave That map is not in that book. It's an entirely inaccurate map created as propaganda by anti-environmentalists.

2018-12-15T22:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074068328157634560
@KikPlenty @UfoSunday @g_knapp It's going to be a few weeks before I can look into that. In the meantime, what do other researchers say about it?

2018-12-15T22:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1074067877739749378
@fullbredmartian @End_TheFederalR It's a fake video. Those flashes and sounds are not in the original videos of the collapse. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nqbUkThGlCo

---

2018-12-15T16:54:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073984662496636928
@MindspinDave Thatâ□□s not a UN map. It was made by a property rights activist as a scaremongering tool based on nothing.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-map-of-simulated-reserve-and-corridor-system-to-protect-biodiversity-anti-gov-org.t6855/

2018-12-15T16:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073983523491479552
@KikPlenty @UfoSunday @g_knapp Can you link to the data?

2018-12-14T15:04:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073594643562913792
@WeatheredHype @MichaelBringsli @lbox327 @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12

@RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Like pretty much any form of pollution. Pick one at random, like pesticides or dioxins or mercury or PM2.5 from diesel busses or lead from GA... Long list.

2018-12-14T14:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073587841064529920

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @rezn8d Well, he SAYS he does, but people have been dancing the rain dance for quite some time. Someone is fooling someone.

2018-12-14T07:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073483409031233537

@TheDailyPlane Refraction compressing the image near the horizon: http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DuXHXhlU0AAmMty.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DuXHXhlU0AAmMty.jpg

2018-12-14T07:06:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073474343454236674

@jarek_wiatr The problem is that the near-horizon compression there is quite small. It's easy to see with the Google Earth comparisons, but if you don't like those, it IS still visible on the Holiday Inn hotel. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuW--H4UcAE_PEv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuW--H4UcAE_PEv.jpg

2018-12-14T05:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073450018642644992

@lbox327 @MichaelBringsli @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group It was a training operation. They do things like that in training.

2018-12-14T05:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073449842733510656

@MichaelBringsli @lbox327 @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Yes it is, there has been extensive research into the potential health problems, and it has been found to be essentially safe. If you want to worry about pollution, there are MUCH bigger problems to look at.

http://blog.al.com/breaking/2013/06/is_chaff_safe_for_humans_and_t.html

---

2018-12-14T05:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073447462407303168

@lbox327 @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Yes it does.

2018-12-14T05:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073447402500063232
@lbox327 @MichaelBringsli @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group From the article you linked:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuWmtzRU0AADRgM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuWmtzRU0AADRgM.jpg
2018-12-14T05:15:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073446331329310720
@lbox327 @climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group The explanation is in the article you posted.

2018-12-14T05:09:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073444745383309312
@irishgino @DanPeacock12 @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @climateviewer @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Not in the summer you donâ□□t.

2018-12-14T01:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073392759401115649
@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks Like with Sussex Heights though, it's not entirely clear if the floors are all the same height, but you can see the change before the change in balcony type.

2018-12-14T01:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073391840911478784

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks Here's the source image.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuV0IqOV4AAYWVP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuV0IqOV4AAYWVP.jpg

2018-12-14T01:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073391716009275392

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks The other building is a bit better. Here are exactly spaced lines, you can see it's a near perfect match for the top 6 floors, then you start the see the compression below that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuV0Cf2UcAA1L1A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuV0Cf2UcAA1L1A.jpg

2018-12-14T01:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073385355535998976

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks It does. Just hard to see that that resolution.

2018-12-13T21:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073328604170186752

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks It's correct in the raytracing sim though. https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/

2018-12-13T21:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073328122617974785

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks Oops, I'd doubled it in GE by linking the path back to the start. But same thing, 50,000 feet vs. 22 feet.

2018-12-13T21:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073326834945642496

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks I'm not really sure what your point is. I was demonstrating the presence of downward refraction in the image. The 22 feet elevation in the GE recreation does not affect that aspect.

For "hidden" height, you have to measure everything relative to actual water level.

2018-12-13T20:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073310722086494208

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks Yes, 22 feet. But that does not make a measurable difference to images taken 100,000 feet away (19.2 miles). The angles are all basically the same.

2018-12-13T20:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073307339288018946

@climateviewer @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @franklyteran @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Photo is not of chaff. It's just flares. Chaff does not leave trails, it's basically invisible (except to radar).

2018-12-13T19:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073304754141376512

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks And the issue he really seems to gloss over there is the fact that the horizon obscures some of the distant shore. Which means that's there's a curve.

2018-12-13T19:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073304493045895168

@BlagenLogin @BlueEarthThing @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks It's there though. https://www.metabunk.org/observations-of-brighton-from-worthington-pier.t10259/

2018-12-13T19:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073295090687172608

@climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group So you don't think they are already doing it? So this whole "chemtrail" theory is BS?

2018-12-13T19:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073293067245907969

@climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group You seem to be claiming that CURRENT contrails and/or aviation cirrus are part of a DELIBERATE effect to alter the climate. Is that what you are claiming?

2018-12-13T19:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073292488893390848

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @GeoeWatchDebunk @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Clouds are one focus. Pinatubo style radiative forcing is another.

2018-12-13T18:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073290259213873152
When rotten stumps burn in wildfires they sometimes burn completely, leaving a hole. This does not mean the fires were started with lasers.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=afqU-kGekgM

2018-12-13T18:46:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073288142541606913
@climateviewer @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @GeoeWatchDebunk @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Yes, we know, aviation affects climate. Lots of things do. But that's not why those planes are flying around. They are just delivering passengers and cargo, not trying to alter the climate. That's just a side effect.

2018-12-13T18:45:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073287715313963008
@GeoeWatchDebunk @franklyteran @PaulFox13 @climateviewer @DRSingletary2 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group But it's clear that Long meant accidental and not deliberate. And we DO call other effects accidental geoengineering, or similar. It's reasonable vernacular English.
https://www.technologyreview.com/s/610007/were-about-to-kill-a-massive-accidental-experiment-in-halting-global-warming/

2018-12-13T18:10:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073279066415886337
@climateviewer @GeoeWatchDebunk @ctfallen @PaulFox13 @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @ETC_Group You think you can set off a volcano remotely with electromagnetic waves?

2018-12-13T15:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073243109822058496
RT @DKeithClimate: Lot's of confused reporting about Harvard's geoengineering experiment... Example:
https://www.sciencealert.com/harvard-scientists-to-launch-groundbreaking-solar-geoengineering-experiment-in-2019

but, experimeâ¦

2018-12-13T15:19:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073236073747177473
@BayleeB79 @stang289 @Kal1_Furies @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Snopes is pretty good. Metabunk is a lot smaller, but more technical.

2018-12-13T15:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073235530979004416

@GeoeWatchDebunk @climateviewer @ctfallen @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Old jet engines left thin trails of black smoke. You see this in old movies.

2018-12-13T15:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073234656873476099

@climateviewer @ctfallen @DRSingletary2 @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Iâ□□d be interested to see â□□the worst.â□□ Round here (Sacramento) most Mil planes are low flying.

2018-12-13T14:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073229069997682688

@DRSingletary2 @DanPeacock12 @ctfallen @wanderinganimal @climateviewer @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Post pics of the planes with time and location. Iâ□□ll take a look.

2018-12-13T08:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073131935591915520

@freemitch @Lukewearechange Perfect? No. It's ultimately designed to make money, not help people.

2018-12-13T08:16:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073129458574323713

@wanderinganimal @climateviewer @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @DRSingletary2 @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @ctfallen @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group It's a meme. It's intended to make the point, in a humorous way, that you appear to be raising two conflicting points. Chemtrails going back to 1940s, but you never notices until recently.

2018-12-13T08:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073128542336995328

@freemitch @Lukewearechange That's because it drops uncommon words. Stick it in quotes.
https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=%22wearechange%22+french+protest
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuSEn69VAAQQzhb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuSEn69VAAQQzhb.jpg

2018-12-13T08:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073127085676195840

@freemitch @Lukewearechange He also says "I dominated my competition", so how is he "non-competitive?"

2018-12-13T08:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073126699183665152

@freemitch @Lukewearechange He said "search my channel", but that's not what he was doing.

2018-12-13T07:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073124500684779520

@Lukewearechange That's not how a channel search works. If you actually search your channel then you get the results.

https://www.youtube.com/user/wearechange/search?query=french+protest

---

2018-12-13T06:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073106251523842048

@WeatheredHype @wanderinganimal @climateviewer @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @DRSingletary2 @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @weezmgk @ctfallen @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group So if people rarely or never saw it, then why would every book on clouds for the last 70 years say that contrails often persisted and spread?

2018-12-13T06:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073102689414725632

@Kal1_Furies @stang289 @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer I like diverse feedback. If you agree to read it and write a short review somewhere, then I'll mail you a copy. DM me a mailing address.

2018-12-13T06:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073101963275886592

@wanderinganimal @climateviewer @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @DRSingletary2 @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @ctfallen @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRshEkUYAU5bAV.jpg

---





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRshEkUYAU5bAV.jpg

2018-12-13T05:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073082868451049473

@BayleeB79 @stang289 @Kal1_Furies @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Kinda. :) https://amzn.to/2Et0Cea http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRatbvUUAAK3Sg.jpg

Frequently bought together



Total price: $34.20

Add both to Cart

Add both to List

This item: Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect by Mick West Hardcover $14.71

The Skeptics' Guide to the Universe: How to Know What's Really Real in a World Increasingly Full of... by Steven Novella Hardcover $19.49

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRatbvUUAAK3Sg.jpg
2018-12-13T05:03:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073081001495060481
@wanderinganimal @climateviewer @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @DRSingletary2 @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @ctfallen @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group High altitude plane flights (and hence contrails) were not common in the early 1940s. There was simply no compelling reason to fly that high, except to avoid anti-aircraft fire, until jet engines came along. And there was no anti-aircraft fire in the US or Australia.

2018-12-13T05:00:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073080140161155072
@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @rezn8d I'm not really sure what your point is? I mean, you don't actually think you could schedule an AR, do you?

2018-12-13T04:55:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1073078853281624065
@ItsFlatFolks You know people can simply be wrong, and it's usually better to assume that than to jump to "liar!" But in this case I believe I am correct. The lower buildings are squished. See: https://www.metabunk.org/observations-of-brighton-from-worthington-pier.t10259/ @TheDailyPlane http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRXXWDVAAAcKCA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRXXWDVAAAcKCA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRXQrqUYAEab9W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuRXTjWUYAAugtB.jpg

2018-12-13T01:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073032024498135040

@Kal1_Furies @climateviewer @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @rezn8d Probably.

2018-12-13T00:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073019488000389120

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch I'm not a big fan of videos.

2018-12-13T00:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073009368168247297

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch I live next to #3 there.

So where's this paper on "over seeding?"

2018-12-13T00:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1073006015493197824

@Kal1_Furies @climateviewer @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Clouds shift water.

2018-12-12T22:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072989038917824512

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Over seeding as in cloud seeding, or from contrails?

2018-12-12T22:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072988260274556930

@Kal1_Furies @climateviewer @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Er yes, that's basically what I said/quoted.

2018-12-12T22:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072988085829296128

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch We can just chat, let me know what new stuff you will be bringing up.

2018-12-12T22:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072987834947133440

@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Where? I searched for "seed", "alps", and "drought", "seed" and nothing came up http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuQEt-lUwAEcYkm.jpg

---



Find

seed

Previous Next

Replace with

Acrobat Pro DC

Adobe Acrobat has finished searching the document. No matches were found.

OK

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11179–11199, 2015
www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/11179/2015/
doi:10.5194/acp-15-11179-2015
© Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Open Access

Dehydration effects from contrails in a coupled contrail–climate model

U. Schumann[1], J. E. Penner[2], Yibin Chen[2], Cheng Zhou[2], and K. Graf[1]

[1]Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffe
[2]University of Michigan, Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Space Sciences, Ann Arl

Correspondence to: U. Schumann (ulrich.schumann@dlr.de)

Received: 20 June 2015 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 17 July 2015
Revised: 16 September 2015 – Accepted: 23 September 2015 – Published: 8 October 2015

Abstract. The uptake of water by contrails in ice-supersaturated air and the release of water after ice particle advection and sedimentation dehydrates the atmosphere at flight levels and redistributes humidity mainly to lower levels. The dehydration is investigated by coupling a plume-scale contrail model with a global aerosol–climate model. The contrail model simulates all the individual contrails forming from global air traffic for meteorological conditions as defined by the climate model. The computed contrail cirrus properties compare reasonably with theoretical concepts and observations. The mass of water in aged contrails may exceed 10^6 times the mass of water emitted from aircraft. Many of the ice particles sediment and release water in the troposphere, on average 700 m below the mean flight levels. Simulations with and without coupling are compared. The drying at contrail levels causes thinner and longer-lived contrails with about 15 % reduced contrail radiative forcing (RF). The reduced RF from contrails is on the order of 0.06 W m^{-2}, slightly larger than estimated earlier because of higher soot emissions. For normal traffic, the RF from dehydration is small compared to interannual variability. A case with emissions increased by 100 times is used to overcome statistical uncertainty. The contrails impact the entire hydrological cycle in the atmosphere by reducing the total water column and the cover by high- and low-level clouds. For normal traffic, the dehydration changes contrail RF by positive shortwave and negative longwave contributions on the order of 0.04 W m^{-2}, with a small negative net RF. The total net RF from contrails and dehydration remains within the range of previous estimates.

1 Introduction

Contrail ice particles grow by the uptake of humidity from ambient ice-supersaturated air masses and release their water content after sedimentation or advection with the wind into regions with lower relative humidity. Knollenberg (1972) derived the ice mass inventory in a contrail for a single aircraft from measurements and found that the water present as ice in the contrail exceeds that in the original aircraft exhaust by at least 4 orders of magnitude. Hence, contrails dry or dehydrate the atmosphere at places where they form, and redistribute humidity to places in the atmosphere where they sublimate (Fahey and Schumann, 1999). Small relative changes of humidity in the troposphere and small absolute changes in the tropopause region have large effects on radiative forcing (Riese et al., 2012). Ice is far more efficient in radiative forcing than water vapor (Meerkötter et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Fusina et al., 2007; Wilcox et al., 2012). The redistribution of humidity may make contrails thinner. In regions with heavy air traffic, contrail cirrus persistence can modify or even suppress natural cirrus formation (Unterstrasser, 2014), with consequences for radiative forcing (Burkhardt and Kärcher, 2011). Falling ice particles may enhance precipitation from mixed-phase or warm clouds at lower altitudes by increasing humidity and thus the liquid water content or by the Wegener–Findeisen–Bergeron process, both of which are thought to increase the likelihood of precipitation (Murcray, 1970; Korolev and Mazin, 2003; Yun and Penner, 2012). Dehydration from contrails may follow similar processes as dehydration by thin cirrus at the tropical tropopause (Jensen et al., 1996; Fueglistaler et al., 2009).

Contrails have been investigated in many observational and numerical studies (Schumann, 2002; Mannstein and

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuQEt-lUwAEcYkm.jpg

2018-12-12T22:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072987230552043520
@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Maybe in January. I'm a bit busy now.

2018-12-12T22:49:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072986766490054656
@crosshairsonyou @TrueRepublics I think "exactly" in that first image must must be missing another work, like "NOT". Look at where the border is in each image. They look less alike than my hand looks like a turkey.
(Also, those are red-flag counties, not fires)

2018-12-12T22:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072985694618836992
@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch Interview me about what, exactly?

2018-12-12T22:42:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072985078416859136
@climateviewer @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @Adolftwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch I think it's open to misinterpretation. The dehydration is at contrail level. "Many of the ice particles sediment and release water in the troposphere, on average 700 m below the mean flight levels." Moving some water a bit lower down, not "drying the sky"

2018-12-12T19:14:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072932799571615749
@FreemanStahl @SilvaRecord @KikPlenty @imDanJones @PostDisclosure @ufoofinterest I'm quite sure the Navy has no real confusion about GO FAST or GIMBAL. TTSA seem confused, but they also seem to enthusiastically gravitate towards a particular explanation., and I think that's blinding them to the more reasonable one.

2018-12-12T16:48:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072895972022247424
@paulsanderson @imDanJones @ufoofinterest Angular size.

2018-12-12T16:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072892533628268544
@imDanJones @PostDisclosure @ufoofinterest Vindication of what, exactly?

2018-12-12T06:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072742443450195968
@FreemanStahl @imDanJones @ufoofinterest I'd tend towards balloon, but bird is still possible. It was not moving very fast.

2018-12-12T01:16:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1072661551285501959
@Nasty_1_ @zerrubabel @AmeliaG37028892 @YeshuaBenDavid4 @InmateTwitmo @TWITMO_INMATE @realDonaldTrump @GenFlynn @BradFitzpatriot @DougieFreshii

@paulacblades001 @TheAmericanLef1 @WillOfThePeopl5 @SmackYeti @GreenMike16 @darla22686198 @MemeSyndicate @AnonymousInfo6 @TimMerr1tt @joshua_nimmons @Info5Anonymous @meadlynn1 @fcfootsteps @JustMeLiberty @Matthew22655 @WeThePeeps4517 @grabaroot @FederalistNo78 @daisyboca @bella_pmk @Qtastic3 @_twiceborn_ @AltHutch @craigsolve @DreamwalkerWC @DaleDholc @donnie_maga @AmericaHasBalls @thelionlogos @RickeyB11595096 @aleeyun_nvaazun @Sandfarmer007 @laztar11 @TrumpFrolicker @QBlueSkyQ @QAnon711 @goodmedicine4us @HBronnenberg2 @CylantJustice @POTUS Yes that's the law the FORBIDS such testing. Read it.

2018-12-12T00:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072643747761061894

@Nasty_1_ @zerrubabel @AmeliaG37028892 @YeshuaBenDavid4 @InmateTwitmo @TWITMO_INMATE @realDonaldTrump @GenFlynn @BradFitzpatriot @DougieFreshii @paulacblades001 @TheAmericanLef1 @WillOfThePeopl5 @SmackYeti @GreenMike16 @darla22686198 @MemeSyndicate @AnonymousInfo6 @TimMerr1tt @joshua_nimmons @Info5Anonymous @meadlynn1 @fcfootsteps @JustMeLiberty @Matthew22655 @WeThePeeps4517 @grabaroot @FederalistNo78 @daisyboca @bella_pmk @Qtastic3 @_twiceborn_ @AltHutch @craigsolve @DreamwalkerWC @DaleDholc @donnie_maga @AmericaHasBalls @thelionlogos @RickeyB11595096 @aleeyun_nvaazun @Sandfarmer007 @laztar11 @TrumpFrolicker @QBlueSkyQ @QAnon711 @goodmedicine4us @HBronnenberg2 @CylantJustice @POTUS That's an old hoax. Public law 105-85 actually specifically forbids testing of chemical and biological agents.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.t4344/

2018-12-11T23:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072635822900424704

@imDanJones @ufoofinterest Well, their reaction was wrong, as it was demonstrably not actually moving that fast. There's plenty of data on the screen allowing you to do the geometry.

Their reaction was also quite understandable, as it LOOKED like it was going fast. But it was not. It was a slow UFO.

2018-12-11T23:21:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072632400893673473

@imDanJones @ufoofinterest The pilot reaction is based on what they can see though the ATFLIR. It looked like a really fast-moving object. Detailed and exhaustive 3d analysis shows that it was not.
https://www.metabunk.org/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.t9569/

2018-12-11T23:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072630640959520768

RT @ufoofinterest: Do you remember those 'fast UFOs' filmed from planes/helicopters? Well,

not so fast watching this interesting video publâ□¦

2018-12-11T19:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072569879323123712

@FreemanStahl So can you give three cases that are identical that happened in three different years observed by three different people?

2018-12-11T18:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072559536035241984

@FreemanStahl So your "identical sequenced cases" don't really exist? It's just people thinking they saw something that accelerated impossibly rapidly without a sonic boom?

2018-12-11T16:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072534393300881413

@FreemanStahl Surely if "identical sequenced cases" proved there was something to the UFO phenomenon then someone would have made at least a list of three?

UFO's on MUFO come in all shapes, size, sounds, lights, and velocities.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuJoV7VWsAA6hx1.jpg

Raw UFO Shapes	Count		Exotic UFO Shapes	Count
Blimp	597		Blimp	
Boomerang	1502		Boomerang	
Bullet	10		Bullet	
Bullet/Missile	825		Bullet/Missile	
Changing	2090		Changing	
Chevron	1505		Chevron	
Cigar	3146		Cigar	
Circle	15931		Circle	
Cone	767		Cone	767
Cross	455		Cross	455
Cylinder	2883		Cylinder	
Diamond	2207		Diamond	2207
Disc	7587		Disc	7587
Egg	1264		Egg	1264
Fireball	9760		Fireball	9760
Flash	2894		Flash	
Formation	2682		Formation	
Light	17631		Light	
Other	9590		Other	
Oval	5976		Oval	5976
Rectangle	1333		Rectangle	1333
Saturn-like	342		Saturn-like	342
Sphere	14475		Sphere	14475
Square/Rectangular	521		Square/Rectangular	521
Star-like	6042		Star-like	
Teardrop	1199		Teardrop	1199
Triangle	12700		Triangle	
Unknown	13954		Unknown	
Dumbbell	8		Dumbbell	8
Grand Total	**139876**		**Grand Total**	**45894**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DuJoV7VWsAA6hx1.jpg

2018-12-11T16:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072531669431783424

RT @AndyKroll: â□□Itâ□□s painful and crazy,â□□ former Clinton campaign chair John Podesta says about being the victim of the Pizzagate conspiracyâ□¦

2018-12-11T16:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072529855147847682

RT @KenCaldeira: New paper showing albedo effect of ship aerosols might be as large where ship tracks are not visible as where they are. Inâ□¦

2018-12-11T16:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072522461927878656

@FreemanStahl So what is the best example of three identical cases from, say, 1973-75? Or just two?

2018-12-11T07:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072389712399220736

@skeptropolis Inevitably. However that means you are doing it right. If the remaining believers are the irrational hard-core, then you've helped all the other people escape.

2018-12-11T05:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072359738682343424

@wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @RaeVasho @AzSkyWatcher @climateviewer @DRSingletary2 @lbox327 @JFlemingHistory @GeoeWatchDebunk @PaulFox13 @deplorable_pooh @WeatheredHype @weezmgk @ctfallen @faketonysewell @Er_Nope @naomirwolf @ETC_Group Not for the reason you think though.

2018-12-10T22:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072252664715522049

@FreemanStahl "identical sequenced cases?" What are those? What's the best example?

2018-12-10T21:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072240892352679936

@ivj8585 I don't think anything about Lazar. I'm not really interested in UFO culture.

2018-12-10T21:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072240667290550272

@FreemanStahl Who is shutting off critical thinking?

Do you think I should NOT explain the UFO videos that have a mundane explanation?

2018-12-10T19:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072211310631239680

@PJMcGuirk85 But really this is not a useful substance. it lacks mechanical strength. There's lots of other better fireproofing things out there.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FaVblCHQjVY

2018-12-10T19:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072209892683829249

@PJMcGuirk85 PVA Glue is also known as "Elmers Glue" or "School Glue". It's cheap. $10/gallon.

2018-12-10T18:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072196823807287296

@TlohJ I discuss that in the video.

2018-12-10T18:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072193189409214465

You can't convince all of the people, ever. Despite a perfectly reasonable explanation for a UFO, there will always be people who prefer it remain unexplained. Are there diminishing returns in providing more detailed explanations?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5vHa4ldQAXE

2018-12-10T07:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072029821054533632

@JaquesTowaki1 @NoCupsOrLiberty They are talking about possible future geoengineering. So, no, Alex Jones is not going to be vindicated.

2018-12-10T07:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1072029373622960128

I had a fun and interesting discussion with Eric on the MInd Melt podcast. We covered a wide range of topics, from JFK, Flat Earth, and 9/11, to the nature of consciousness and the coming robopocalypse. https://youtu.be/Ff9SjIrgYRk

---

2018-12-09T21:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071874605545975808

In 1990 the BBC famously demonstrated how "Starlite" would protect an egg from a butane blowtorch for three minutes. Here I recreate the experiment with my own version of Starlite, in sync with the original video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X9FjI2rGL_c

---

2018-12-09T20:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071867491809320960

RT @hoaxeye: My first book review: "Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect" written byâ□¦

2018-12-08T23:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071544307310505984

@grand_solar Here's the explanation for that weird light. It's just a drop of water on the lens. Replicated here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OqY3l4Bteco

---

2018-12-08T23:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071544273420509184

@DJSiri Here's the explanation for that weird light. It's just a drop of water on the lens. Replicated here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OqY3l4Bteco

---

2018-12-08T23:17:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071544248346935296

@TechHeading2018 Here's the explanation for that weird light. It's just a drop of water on the lens. Replicated here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OqY3l4Bteco

---

2018-12-08T23:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071544169351397376

@IndyUSA Here's the explanation for that weird light. It's just a drop of water on the lens.

Replicated here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OqY3l4Bteco

2022-06-17T09:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537726636157677568

@KilliK69 @MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 Fravor never mentioned the top spikes, and the side spikes.

It's just noise enhanced into different noise. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVcZ_hNVEAA3Hg9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVcZ_hNVEAA3Hg9.jpg

2022-06-17T07:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537692692762677250

@JoeJoe99323618 @Just_Shannah Might as well have shown a blank screen then.

The point of this video appears to be that they think they are looking at drones. But there are no drones in this video. The point the camera solidly at Altair.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537692488135110656/pu/img/P1-ZwTMa8ulcObpq.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537692488135110656/pu/img/P1-ZwTMa8ulcObpq.jpg

2022-06-17T05:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537675079856754688

@dave_beaty @blackvaultcom What is? There's nothing in those videos that does anything interesting at all. So where's the thing that is being covered up?

2022-06-17T05:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537673552521883648

@Just_Shannah @JoeJoe99323618 I still think that particular flashing object was more likely a plane.

But the stars ARE a big issue. Why release a video of four lights that are just stars, with the SNOOPIE team leader talking about drones?

2022-06-17T05:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537667836348207104

@rjblaskiewicz That's the Humber Bridge Country Park, The Hessle Foreshore viewpoint, and The Deep aquarium, obs.

2022-06-17T04:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537656275642658816

@snacke_solid Once total collapse is inevitable the details are not relevant. Weeks of computing time for what utility?

2022-06-17T04:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537654711628640256

@snacke_solid Gravity goes straight down. Itâ□□s the way buildings fall.

2022-06-17T04:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537649013658222592

@Channa_Sr Explain.

2022-06-17T04:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537648912005091329

@snacke_solid They explained why it might collapse. The actual optics after initiation are chaotic, hard to replicate, and irrelevant.

2022-06-17T04:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537647385249075201

@thighiguy Always happy to chat. Iâ□□m self funded. Retired Videogame guy.

2022-06-17T03:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537644630581211136

@MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty @DietrichVFA41 â□□Enhancedâ□□

You canâ□□t enhance low resolution noise and 8x8 compression artifacts.

2022-06-17T03:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537638057058283521

@snacke_solid Or maybe itâ□□s just a bit hard to understand?

2022-06-17T03:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537633131494113280

@scazebet @DNAtharapper @JeremyCorbell Iâ□□m pretty much 100% on this one.

Those are stars.

2022-06-16T23:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537571331926917120

AE911"Truth" calls me "the most influential demolition denier on the internet", "the Pope of demolition denial", and "debunker-in-chief."

They mean well, but if I can push their buttons so easily then perhaps their theories are not as

rock solid as they think. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVaMal4UUAALvwZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVaMal4UUAALvwZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVaMZvXUEAAykBp.jpg

But back to Weinberg's conundrum: Even more important to demolition deniers than their newfound ability to claim *one* fire-induced collapse is the severe damage that would be done to their ideology if they had to accept the Plasco incident as a demolition.

Perhaps a few demolition deniers saw the videos of explosions shooting out of the Plasco Building and thought to themselves, "Hmm, maybe this one *was* demolished." But, if they did, they kept quiet and decided not to go against the orthodoxy being written by people like the Pope of Demolition Denial, Mick West. The very same day we released our initial statement, West published the article "AE911Truth Forced to Claim Plasco Collapse is an Inside Job." A rational person would be able to separate the World Trade Center from the Plasco incident, but apparently demolition deniers saw Plasco as a threat to their ideology and couldn't entertain the possibility that it was a controlled demolition.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVaMYvIUYAAMmyJ.jpg
2022-06-16T22:59:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537570560166596608
@LOW843 @dutchess_boxer @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom I know that's what it seems like.

2022-06-16T22:38:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537565305181904897
@Channa_Sr Do you know this, or is it just a theory?

2022-06-16T22:05:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537557071410827265
@murnut No, the moving flashing light is an aircraft of some sort.

2022-06-16T22:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537556177109757953
@GM4AJK @Channa_Sr Are you suggesting the Pentagon wants to discredit "trained observers"?

Or just that trained observes make mistakes?

2022-06-16T21:58:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537555160028676096
@murnut And the video with the audio about drones that shows only stars.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ybakt2q2zQw

2022-06-16T21:56:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537554715004661760
@murnut
https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/DOD_108981161-1920x1080-9000k.mp4

2022-06-16T21:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537554403678269440

@mcbosies @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty My position on what exactly? There are lots of things out there. Scott Bray supports my position on bokeh (but that's about it). But unfortunately qualified people don't like to talk about classified stuff.

2022-06-16T21:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537551910898528256

@blackvaultcom @dave_beaty I agree it's weird. But the audio seems like SNOOPIE though they were filming drones. I'd take that as an honest mistake that then got propagated up to the UAPTF (and whatever drone task force) because nobody thought to question it.

Until: @Dylan_DTV

https://twitter.com/Dylan_DTV/status/1382067585059999744

2022-06-16T21:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537550765132161024

@Channa_Sr I agree it makes little sense, and yet here are these videos where the team leader is talking about drones while filming stars.

2022-06-16T21:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537542934177849344

@blackvaultcom The 1704 video. See:

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537542576919568384

Hard to see all the stars in stills, but you can see them when scrubbing the video.

2022-06-16T21:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537542576919568384

In the longer, official, Green UAP video, there are SEVEN identifiable stars at the end.

In the (official) 1704 video we see four of those stars.

The SNOOPIE team leader on the Russell seems to think they are drones.

What's going on? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZyMPJUAAEWJEh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZyMPJUAAEWJEh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZyIEsUAAAoL92.jpg

2022-06-16T20:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537537919128260608

@UAPorSAP @RiotSeeds @de_varse @blackvaultcom I rarely exclude possibilities.

2022-06-16T20:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537530390973251585

@dutchess_boxer @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom He seems simply to have been convinced by the Disclosure Project.

2022-06-16T20:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537530216582524928

@ww82325 @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom It resembles a lot of balloons.

https://youtu.be/WSgcUMk8bNE?t=227

2022-06-16T20:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537529151246086144

@dutchess_boxer @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom Found out, but got zero evidence. So maybe not?

2022-06-16T20:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537526191103410177

@ww82325 @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom Possibly. Hard to say though.

2022-06-16T20:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537526062103797760

@MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom So do you think they had a specific unacknowledged reason for releasing a video of stars and implying it's a video of drones?

2022-06-16T20:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537525545860141056

@drugbunnytwit That's a bug. The white things are mostly seeds.

2022-06-16T19:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537524362278219776

@BriarRichard1 @Travis_Tritten A different constellation. It's Altair and two minor stars.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZiKvMUsAAKjeu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZiKvMUsAAKjeu.jpg

2022-06-16T19:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537523751650091008

@UAPorSAP @RiotSeeds @de_varse @blackvaultcom Of course, it's possible. But it would be ridiculously blatant.

If they are competent then they would realize that the fact that video shows stars would be pointed out soon after the release.

So what is the ruse? Pretending to be terrible at spotting drones?

2022-06-16T19:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537523330336452608

@BrentlyLee @6diego32 @the_cholla @moranlerner @DavidFieldSculp @Spectre67904513 @bradjohansson21 @DavidJayB @BrainwashSyka @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Dietrich has said, both in her original 7 sept 2017 "Source" interview, and in 2021 Tweets, that the 8-10 second was from Merge Plot. Fravor says the 5 minutes was from Merge Plot.

This has never been adequately explained. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZgxm0VIAApsxT.jpg



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZgxm0VIAApsxT.jpg

controller began to count down the anticipated intercept time, “Two minutes to merge plot,” etc. Finally, the female controller indicated "merge plot" and announced, “You should have visual.”

As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, they noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be “roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined they thought they were witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as they made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a “tic-tac” candy mint. The object had no distinguishable control surfaces, was uniformly smooth, with no windows, doors, or lights visible. The object was opaque with a solid, definable edge. The object did not appear to emit any noticeable light or radiation from its surface nor did it have any noticeable exhaust trail. The object traveled from left to right over the disturbed water at an altitude of approximately 1000 to 3000 feet. The object appeared to travel at a speed of approximately 300 to 500 knots in a straight line. Source was unaware of the origin of the object or its destination but believed the disturbed water below could have been related in some way to the object. Source immediately became alarmed and initially thought that perhaps this was an unannounced, classified missile test by a U.S. Navy submarine. As such, they were concerned that the object could pose a threat, especially given the fact both F-18s were unarmed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZgKoLVIAEUoqE.jpg



Upon noticing the object, OK-2 indicated over the radio, “I’m in!” in which Source replied, “I have high cover”. (Source Comment – I was scared because I never encountered a situation like this before and I felt that the object had yet to be identified and we were about to pursue it.) OK-2 conducted an aggressive banking maneuver and dropped their aircraft while turning at the same time in order to catch up with the object. As OK-2 conducted the maneuver, Source noticed the object immediately respond to OK-2’s change of direction. (Source Comment – The UFO turned on [redacted] as if it knew or somehow anticipated what they were going to do and even pointed towards them! I was worried for them because whatever this was, [redacted] didn’t stand a chance against it! There is no way any aircraft or missile that I know of could conduct maneuvers like what we saw that day.) Source indicated that the object began to make deliberate changes in its altitude, attitude, and angle in response to OK-2’s aircraft in a manner that seemed to defy the laws of flight physics. The object instantaneously - but in a controlled, intelligent manner - “tumbled” into nonsensical angles that made any engagement by the F-18 impossible. OK-2 and OK-3 were approximately 1000 to 3000 feet from the object when the object turned and “pointed at them." OK-2 conducted one or two evasive turns in their F-18 but the object appeared to maintain positive and dominant control of the airspace.

At no time during the incident did either F-18 have radar contact with the object; however, OK-6 and the female air controller confirmed radar contact. After approximately 8 to 10 seconds, both Source and OK-2 lost visual contact with the object. When Source looked back at the area where the ocean was disturbed, the water was again smooth and calm with no signs of anything submerging. (Field Comment – Source used a pen to describe how the object behaved when OK-2 and OK-3 approached it. Source also drew a picture of the incident, see attachment.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZgOvFVUAAg-TI.jpg
2022-06-16T19:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537519097621098496
@UAP_CR Disrespect aside.

Do you agree that these are stars?

This is something you can look at objectively. The video shows four triangles in a specific geometric layout, and magnitudes, and orientation that match those stars at that time.

No? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZdHD2UEAEn7R7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVZdHD2UEAEn7R7.jpg

2022-06-16T19:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537518537643765760

@JasonBerger123 The camera is moving.

2022-06-16T19:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537518009010507776

RT @ChrisSPITZER7: The hypothesis passed the test: It's a navigation light or some light radiating heat located on the portside of the bridâ□¦

2022-06-16T19:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537516042875875330

@blackvaultcom So do you think the DoD deliberately released videos of stars and said they were videos of drones? And they have some kind of deceptive plan that includes this? And Carson is some kind of useful idiot? Or accomplice?

2022-06-16T19:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537515374081495040

@RiotSeeds @de_varse @blackvaultcom But here I'm suggesting that they ARE inept - at least in the investigation and presentation of the "green triangle" videos.

Others seem to be suggesting it's some kind of ruse.

2022-06-16T18:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537508291084881920

@blackvaultcom That would imply people like AndrÃ© Carson are in on the deception.

Or inept.

2022-06-16T18:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537506331283709954

@blackvaultcom Why would they make themselves look so incredibly inept? Wonâ□□t that invite congressional scrutiny?

2022-06-16T18:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537505480372695040

@JeffCla81664839 Now they say it is drones.

2022-06-16T18:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537505228387258369

@UAP_CR Your respect is misguided when the error is this plain.

2022-06-16T18:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537501941860773888

@JasonBerger123 A black dot? Whatâ□□s to explain? Might be a drone.

2022-06-16T16:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537479979776102400

@AtticusFinch_JD Depends on which case you are talking about.

The green pyramid thing seems very much like ineptitude to me.

2022-06-16T16:21:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537470547050700801

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVYxTezUcAAJjM-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVYxTezUcAAJjM-.jpg

2022-06-16T16:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537469695506276352
@Falkyrs I do now :)
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537438015307456513

2022-06-16T15:35:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537458826286227456
There's a big difference between a strategic, disingenuous, ad-hominem attack on a person instead of their ideas, and simply, honestly, saying what sort of person you think they are.

Zac here is doing the latter. Maybe too colorful, but I support his right to express his opinion
https://twitter.com/ThanosAlpha/status/1537412367545516033

2022-06-16T15:26:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537456683261210630
@etroll451 The non-moving ones were always stars, we can just see a few more now.

2022-06-16T14:27:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537441696320921603
@greenbudha One interpretation is that people fool themselves. They waste their intelligence investigating illusions because they want those illusions to be true.

2022-06-16T14:18:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537439430784405505
@AtticusFinch_JD More in what sense? What exactly are you suggesting in addition to ineptitude?

2022-06-16T14:17:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537439255026249729
@Daily_Sinner @MickSvest I looked up the population of Philadelphia (1.5 million), and used her estimates of size and altitude. 100,000 is a conservative ballpark figure, smaller than just the population of South Philadelphia.

2022-06-16T14:12:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537438015307456513
The Green Triangle UFO video was a dud. Was it deliberately leaked by the Pentagon to discredit the UAP phenomenon? Or does it actually just show how inept their investigation was (identifying stars as "drones")?

2022-06-16T14:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537436197882015746
@Falkyrs "Most" as in who? Did you take a poll?

2022-06-16T14:02:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537435566643421185
@19k0011 Apparently they did not know, for some time, that stars look like triangles through the night-vision device the snoopy team leader was using, when a bit out of focus.

Not even apparently. That's what Bray said.

2022-06-16T13:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537432268091686926

The Pentagonâ□□s UAP investigations thus far have been terrible (in public). While they eventually figured out the Bokeh (partly, the SLR is irrelevant), they seem to be mistakenly claiming these stars are drones. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537194052407291906

2022-06-16T13:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537430737195892736

@Neil__Goodman Why would it not have been visible to 100,000? She said a million. Do you think she misjudged its size?

2022-06-16T13:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537428504299524097

@JohnSam10418239 Nope, a writing exercise is not the same thing. Also we donâ□□t actually know the results.

2022-06-16T13:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537427707503341569

RT @bradjohansson21: @JeremyCorbell http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVVM0F5X0AAkQyR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVVMtUvWYAA04oG.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVVM0F5X0AAkQyR.jpg

2022-06-16T13:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537427592701087746

@DNAtharapper @JeremyCorbell The dimmers triangles some distance away from the flasher are stars.

The slightly overlapping triangles visible on the flasher are from the separation of lights on the plane or drone.

2022-06-16T13:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537424696811040769

@FrobertKinsey So why would there be any account of it at all?

2022-06-16T13:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537422941725437952

@FrobertKinsey If we are talking about tiny seeds, yes. If we are talking about truck-sized craft hovering over cities, no.

2022-06-16T05:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537306090064424962

@samuel @AlxndrSmith @BostonsBuddha They = the lights in the video = stars.

2022-06-16T05:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537305744625651712

@CDVilsack @Ryanmbride What about fairies? Or Matrix manipulations? Or a hoax? Or Intelligent metamaterials? Are they all equally likely?

2022-06-16T03:59:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537283622205501440

@Just_Shannah @SincerelyCosmic Itâ□□s not really a theory when those are demonstrably just stars.

2022-06-16T03:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537281905116053504

@Just_Shannah @Jim87504388 @MiddleOfMayhem @thewarzonewire @strategic_doubt @MC05A You would think so, yes. But here we are. They ARE stars.

2022-06-16T01:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537240954893049856

Well, easy for me to say, as I was there. But if you just saw ONE of them later, on viewing the video - well thatâ□□s how a lot of UFO videos are born.

2022-06-16T01:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537239719637004288

A bunch of UFOs performing impossible maneuvers.

Or some seeds in the wind?

Hard to say for sure.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537239678557880321/pu/img/uAEENqyLPZlAALBC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537239678557880321/pu/img/uAEENqyLPZlAALBC.jpg

2022-06-16T00:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537237227620536320

@Just_Shannah @MiddleOfMayhem @thewarzonewire @strategic_doubt @MC05A People make mistakes.

2022-06-16T00:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537234319810260992

@randomlydelibe1 @CavsChicho2 Unless she was looking at the camera.

2022-06-16T00:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537233985817874432

@randomlydelibe1 Nothing jumps out, other than lack of noise. No real reaction.

2022-06-16T00:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537228330847461377

@randomlydelibe1 The only thing I can immediatly think of is a VERY small hovering insect that just takes off. Seems unlikely.

2022-06-15T23:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537219493306302470

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem 99%

2022-06-15T23:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537216853449158656

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Iâ□□m saying the video shows the identified stars

---

2022-06-15T22:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537207915789963264

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Flickering stars, like sirius https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1487660534124011523

2022-06-15T22:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537205385483808768

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Which? The one that looks like a flickering star, or the one that looks like a plane?

2022-06-15T22:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537201128470761472

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem With reference to each other. That's how it works.

Tarazed and Altair had already been identified with references starting back to Jupiter. The other two just fit perfect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537201063949807616/pu/img/HZ3C14vgTFL7lrUy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1537201063949807616/pu/img/HZ3C14vgTFL7lrUy.jpg
2022-06-15T22:22:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537198926532530176
@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem I'm both sober and serious.

These are, unequivocally, the identified stars. The angles match, and the magnitudes match.

2022-06-15T22:20:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537198488416489472
@MiddleOfMayhem The 170411Z video shows only stars. Not planes.

300757Z shows two non-moving (or barely moving, not entirely clear) lights. Not planes.

DOD_108981161 shows Jupiter, multiple stars, and what looks like a plane, possibly a drone. No clear audio.

2022-06-15T22:15:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537197232595734528
@MiddleOfMayhem Neither of these two new bokeh videos show planes.

2022-06-15T22:14:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537196936901513217
@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Well, if the snoopy team leader can't tell he's looking at stars, then maybe he can't tell he's looking at a plane. So why would he check?

2022-06-15T22:13:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537196734631145472
@PstarmanUa No, because it's 100% consistent with being a fly.

2022-06-15T22:11:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537196113983201280
@BostonsBuddha @stringythings No, those have always been stars. There's only one moving

and flashing light in the original - and that might well be a plane.

2022-06-15T22:10:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537195898106589184

@Uaphunter2022 @uncertainvector Don't ask me. Although I'd love to see it. Both before AND after the 30 seconds we have.

Lots of questions could be answered (and some new ones will arise)

2022-06-15T22:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537195511714721792

@Travis_Tritten Most of them are not even drones.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537194052407291906

2022-06-15T22:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537195230226591745

@MiddleOfMayhem No, the plane-like IR footage seems to have two heat sources, in a "737-engine-like" position on a "737-like" shape (from this angle). Yours does not match.

2022-06-15T22:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537194774318305281

@thieme No, however, I know of no drones, of any size, with this heat source configuration.

2022-06-15T22:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537194315922825216

@BostonsBuddha @stringythings https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537194052407291906

2022-06-15T22:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537194052407291906

Specifically the video has at least four identifiable stars:

Gamma Sagittae
Tarazed
Altair
Alshain

They twinkle because that's what stars do. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVU1tmzVEAAI1H-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVU1tmzVEAAI1H-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVU1scxUcAAEdku.jpg

2022-06-15T21:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537187586468245505

@BostonsBuddha No, not at all. They match identified stars from the longer video.

2022-06-15T21:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537184001512787970

@MiddleOfMayhem @thewarzonewire @strategic_doubt @MC05A Very useful videos. Seems to show that they did not even realize that some of the lights they were looking at were actually stars.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1537183667872616448

2022-06-15T21:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537183667872616448

While there may well have been drones near Navy ships, this video shows two stars. Probably Altair (the brighter one) and Tarazed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ybakt2q2zQw

2022-06-15T21:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537181371189235714

This "drone" video still looks like a plane. Notice how its motion to the right is consistent with the orientation. It's also above the clouds

Not saying there were no drones, but this one looks like a plane.

https://twitter.com/strategic_doubt/status/1537180137837449216

2022-06-15T21:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537180569427009536

@act10n_jacks0n @Nelson16Toronto @528vibes Looks like Sirius.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1487660534124011523

2022-06-15T21:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537178351143596032

@TUPACABRA2 @TheUfoYogi Sure!

2022-06-15T20:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537174953430134784

@TUPACABRA2 @TheUfoYogi Synchronicity.

2022-06-15T20:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537174845250625537

@KilliK69 @stringythings @LAdlerhorst I went to school in Cottingley.

2022-06-15T20:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537174667667984384

@stringythings @KilliK69 @LAdlerhorst There are probably many adults who remember actually seeing fairies and similar beings when they were children. Likewise with ghosts and angels. They probably didn't though.

2022-06-15T20:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537173587739566080

@TheUfoYogi @TUPACABRA2 It looks like a reflection of the sun off the water and a slightly dirty lens creating a large "veiling glare".

You see the sun backlighting his hair.

2018-12-08T22:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1071539357331247104

Explained: Unexplained light that had scientists baffled.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OqY3l4Bteco

2018-12-08T22:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071539028934066176
@ufoofinterest And it was very easy to do, that was my first try. Just need a single small drop of water on the lens - preferably at the top, but you can rotate the camera. Small drops don't move much.

2018-12-08T22:46:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071536475005538305
@ufoofinterest @perthnow Replicated it here: https://youtu.be/OqY3l4Bteco

---

2018-12-07T22:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071175958827229184
@TT9zero @fullbredmartian It's physically impossible for the planes to make trails that long with aluminum particles. It can only be done by forming a cloud - because most of the water comes from the atmosphere.

2018-12-07T22:52:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071175546103459840
@Cresta2323 I always err on the side of caution and assume people are serious.

2018-12-07T21:37:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071156683500449792
@Cresta2323 I'm not a shill and I've not been paid any money, other than the advance for my book. I don't need to be paid, as I'm retired. I helped make Tony Hawk's Pro Skater.

2018-12-07T21:28:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071154631105949696
I just heated up some steel and aluminum to see if they glow the same color like science tells us. It was a little tricky as the aluminum kept melting. But it turns out science was right again!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3lEXTA1ni5A

2018-12-07T21:26:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071153959811788800
@BrianDunning @JoeUscinski Out of stock, or never in stock to start with :)

2018-12-07T17:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071095882940989440
@TT9zero Can you explain how you know I'm a shill?
Could you point to one thing that I got wrong about contrails? I'd be happy to issue a correction about anything I said that you can demonstrate is incorrect.

2018-12-07T14:48:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1071053877976285184
@TThwarter @Ryan_inNC @TlohJ @YouTube Regarding CO2? I have solar panels on my house and I drive a hybrid car. I vote with the environment in mind.
In a broader context I try to explain the mistakes underlying the "chemtrail" theory, so people

stop focussing on that, and instead focus on real environmental issues.

2018-12-07T07:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070945081291563008

@fullbredmartian Richie Allen? Not that I remember.

2018-12-07T05:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070910459308470272

Is white hot really white hot? I did some experiments. It turns out that "white hot" on an iPhone video (or pretty much any video or image) is actually

"orange hot"

So re-check those 9/11 photos of "molten steel"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzcodRCLOE8

2018-12-06T21:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070791752720637952

@TlohJ @Ryan_inNC @YouTube Like clouds sometimes do?

Sure, you should be a little bit concerned. Contrails are one of a number of factors that affect the climate. They are not a huge one though. If you are concerned about contrails you should be a lot more concerned about CO2 emissions.

2018-12-05T23:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070456692347830272

RT @ufoofinterest: This video has gone viral on Facebook. What is that weird light? It was caused by a water drop on the window. There's aâ¦

2018-12-05T00:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070113421666934784

@maxheadroom1983 @BlagenLogin @ItsFlatFolks @RubberToe73 @Bensamsona1 I plugged the situation into the Metabunk Refraction Simulator. Try fiddling with the temperature profile, and turn refraction on and off. https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtnOdjgUcAArg4w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtnOdjgUcAArg4w.jpg

2018-12-04T22:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070078669035433985

@BlagenLogin @maxheadroom1983 @ItsFlatFolks @RubberToe73 @Bensamsona1 Refraction is quite a complicated thing.

2018-12-04T19:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070040232005783553

@Warrior_4Truth @R_Tehubijuluw @LionelMedia Yes, they look like photos of contrails in all the old books of clouds I've ever looked at. For example:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtmL5OAUwAo8fjZ.jpg



11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (see 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

11.4.1 These contrails are of various ages and are seen almost vertically overhead about three hours after sunrise in July at Wimbledon. The newest trail is the one from the top left corner: the oldest is the horizontal one, which has a delicate structure for which there is no obvious explanation.

11.4.2 This trail was seen above the sunset over Bognor Regis in July. The blobs were extended over about a mile horizontally and in the shear layer billows can be seen.

11.4.3 Old ice trails not subjected to much shear begin to acquire a fibrous structure suggesting more rapid fall of the particles along the centre of the trail. However contrails do not usually show the effect of the fallspeed of the particles because the particles are always very small and very multitudinous. This is because of the plentiful supply of nuclei and the rapid freezing of all the particles. Only if a very few froze would fallout be observed, and trails are not commonly formed at temperatures warm enough for this to happen.

11.4.4 The aircraft making the distrail over Seattle in July in this unfrozen cloud evidently initiated glaciation so that a few particles froze, grew rapidly, and began to fall out. The ice cloud below the distrail is not a contrail and never showed blob formation.

11.4.5 The upper of these two trails has an exceptionally well marked upper edge to the curtain of cloud, but not well marked blobs. This suggests that the cloud originated from two centrally placed exhausts. Some stretching by shear of the vertical curtain is occurring, and the lower, more distant trail has already been considerably extended.

11.4.6 Contrails distorted by wind shear sometimes exhibit a screw-like structure. No satisfactory explanation has been proposed on the basis of a symmetrical aircraft wake, and this appearance may be the result of manoeuvres of an asymmetrical nature by the pilot. In this sunset picture, over Bayswater, low level very thin wave clouds are beginning to form at a haze top.

130

11.4.1

11.4.2

11.4.3

11.4.4

11.4.5

11.4.6

131

1972 Clouds of the World

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtmL5OAUwAo8fjZ.jpg

2018-12-04T19:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1070039846226296832

@Warrior_4Truth @R_Tehubijuluw @LionelMedia I did, two years ago.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cia-director-admits-chemtrails-geoengineering-stratospheric-aerosol-injection.t7721/

2018-12-04T14:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1069967013320294401

@Warrior_4Truth @R_Tehubijuluw @LionelMedia â□□Within seconds?â□□ How do you know this? What do old cloud books say about contrails?

2018-12-04T01:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1069763590524067840

RT @UnCastellsMes: Do you know someone who is deep into the rabbit hole*? @MickWest

wrote something that can help.
https://youtu.be/ojie1P3j5Okâ□¦

2018-12-03T22:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069712900502114304
Explained: Flashes of Light During the World Trade Center Collapse

A minor bit of "evidence" in the 9/11 pantheon. But if you don't debunk a claim, then people can think that claim is solid.

The flashes are probably falling glass, reflecting the sun.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-dzNW7Hcmhw

2018-12-03T17:30:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069645120683761664
@thedrunkinmonk @Panzerbrecher Planes have exhaust. But the contrails seen in the photos that I posted are not from the exhaust, they are from the drop in pressure over the wing.

Most contrails are formed from the exhaust, but these ones are not. These are AERODYNAMIC contrails, not EXHAUST contrails.

2018-12-03T16:50:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069635033818775555
@Panzerbrecher This is not exhaust. Aerodynamic contrails, unlike exhaust contrails, are a purely physical effect. Reduced pressure over the wing cools the air very briefly below its dew point, forming clouds â□□ aerodynamic contrails. No exhaust is involved. Look at the photos.

2018-12-03T16:23:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069628195194851328
@Gridlock Those would persist longer if it were foggy. I wonder what the longest car contrail recorded looks like. Although local turbulence would probably be more of an issue for the cars contrails, compared to planes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fnds4VYislU

2018-12-03T16:18:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069627038871388160
Aerodynamic contrails often exhibit a spectrum of colors. Unlike an actual rainbow this comes from diffraction of light, not refraction. The growing water particles in the contrails interfere with different wavelengths of light as they grow.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wKwQ_Rf9k9U

2018-12-03T16:14:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1069626045609861120
In-air refueling gives a unique perspective on the formation of over-wing aerodynamic contrails. Often these are mistaken for exhaust contrails, or sometimes for covert geoengineering "chemtrails"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kc-10-video-by-tanker-enemy-hoax-aerodynamic-contrails.t2761/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtgSzqCVAAAQRix.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtgSy4xVYAEVTOi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtgSw8eV4AAj9EM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtgSyGgVAAERbB_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtgSzqCVAAAQRix.jpg

2018-12-03T16:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1069623705095962624

@tatesngraves @JoeBusa333 Yers, it was a hoax video. The person filming it (Tim) is the flight engineer in a KC-10, which is flying behind another KC-10.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kc-10-video-by-tanker-enemy-hoax-aerodynamic-contrails.t2761/

2018-12-01T22:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068999469608263680

@dennissutherby Thanks! Let me know what you think!

2018-12-01T11:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068826974037041157

Fascinating interview with a former Flat Earther. Why he fell down the rabbit hole, what kept him down there for so long, and how he eventually got out with the help of friends.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Af9KATJ6sjI

2018-12-01T01:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068684464723652609

@GrandArch104 @Beneloben @JoeBusa333 A) It's usually the planes that are NOT near airports that make contrails. Landing and takeoff planes are too low.

B) It's oxygen, not nitrogen, that planes use to burn their fuel (kerosene)

2018-11-30T22:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068632107633668096

@DogStompers You jest, but some people actually believe this.

2018-11-30T22:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068631814908985344

@TheWrongQuest Plying with fire is one of the most fun aspects of debunking. Unfortunately I like in fire country, which limits my experiments. But now the rains have arrived! I was just doing this earlier: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSK1PPU0AE7FzZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSK1PPU0AE7FzZ.jpg

2018-11-30T22:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068629938272559104

@DogStompers Are you doing the test with the lighter? It works the same with ice cubes. It's just soot. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DtSJJOEU4AAnPjk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DtSJJOEU4AAnPjk.jpg

2018-11-30T22:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068629497547616258

@DougAdamavich And when they fly very close to you, it looks like they are flying a lot faster.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSIzLwU4AAXtbJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSIzLwU4AAXtbJ.jpg

2018-11-30T22:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068629228034318336

@DougAdamavich There was a good tailwind going West to East, this SW jet was going at 657 mph! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSImw-U8AAUz_i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtSImw-U8AAUz_i.jpg

2018-11-30T22:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068627541282369537

Every winter we get a recycling of the "fake snow" hoax. People will take some snow and hold a butane lighter close to it. Soot will form on the snow, and people will say that it "burns". But it's just soot. The snow is just ordinary fluffy frozen water.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.t3026/

https://twitter.com/DogStompers/status/1068625879318781952

2018-11-30T20:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068609379136491522

@GrandArch104 @Beneloben @JoeBusa333 Commercial planes use jet turbines, which have a combustion chamber. It's the exhaust from this combustion that is responsible for exhaust contrails. But the exhaust from a prop plane would do the same (it it flew high enough)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x8DK4rM6Y90

2018-11-30T19:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068591963253821446

@Beneloben @GrandArch104 @JoeBusa333 Indeed, and planes go a lot faster and have much bigger wings than an F1 car. A significant difference though is that the plane is in sub-zero temperatures, so the contrail gets fixed by freezing into an ice cloud. F1 trails are narrow and short lived. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRmg6xVsAArXL3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRmg6xVsAArXL3.jpg
2018-11-30T19:41:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1068590758741999616
I had a great discussion with Kyle Polich of the fascinating Data Skeptic podcast, where we discussed my book Escaping the Rabbit Hole.
http://dataskeptic.com/blog/episodes/2018/escaping-the-rabbit-hole
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRldPwU4AAuVR2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRldPwU4AAuVR2.jpg

2018-11-30T19:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068581943623577601

@GrandArch104 Look it up then. Aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/05/17/amazing-photo-captures-rare-rainbow-contrails-plane-effect/

2018-11-30T18:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1068572349287489536

@GrandArch104 @JoeBusa333 It's not coming from the engines. It's an aerodynamic contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRU111VsAAHX6E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtRU111VsAAHX6E.jpg
2018-11-30T05:50:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1068381778946052096
@JoeBusa333 It was recorded by someone who knew what they were seeing â□□ aerodynamic contrails. Here he posts the original video, and explains what you are looking at. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A

2018-11-29T19:22:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1068223610530095104
@paulreilly @eddiebravo @Metabunk Space is real though. The conventional model of physical reality is actually by far the best model of physical reality â□□ and this is something you can verify yourself. Eddie is smart, but deep down the rabbit hole.

2018-11-29T11:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1068102034291748865
@Rainfeather1 Look up "ember storm" https://youtu.be/4sZebKbLMp4?t=199

2018-11-29T11:14:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1068100961313292289
@realdanielruff @Condor_Law @mikaOnPoint @realDonaldTrump Aluminum melts in the *immediate* proximity of an intense fire. Like it has to be practically touching it. In a car fire this happens because the rims are surrounded by tires, which burn very hot. Houses collapse after the wood is burned away. Stone is not dustified.

2018-11-28T21:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067900656306941953
@realdanielruff @Condor_Law @mikaOnPoint @realDonaldTrump Whatâ□□s the scorched radius around your camp fire?

2018-11-28T20:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067885420820615168
@Rainfeather1 The ignition comes from the ember storm, not a wall of fire sweeping over everything.

2018-11-28T20:57:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067885224820826112
@realdanielruff @Condor_Law @mikaOnPoint @realDonaldTrump You can melt aluminum and glass on a small campfire.

2018-11-28T14:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067793845809758208

@GeoeWatchDebunk Yes. I think it's not quite as thick as it seems, the sun is nearly edge on, which makes the lighting seem like it's going through more cloud, plus it's a bit blurred on the video. But still very interesting. Probably only just above the plane.

2018-11-28T05:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067646986382303232

@Rainfeather1 Since there were houses made of dead wood in a forest made of live wood.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtELPEfV4AACvRU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtELPEfV4AACvRU.jpg

2018-11-28T03:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067622495614713857

RT @debunker: https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/mom-tear-gas-photo/

2018-11-28T02:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067602427350679552

@ufoofinterest Here's the plane for that sunset contrail. A 747 heading for Brussels

https://www.metabunk.org/sunset-contrail-ufo-over-denver-nov-23-2018.t10188/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtDimg6V4AE7-NC.jpg


flightradar24
LIVE AIR TRAFFIC
Apps
Add coverage
Data / History
Social
Press
About
Commercial services
Visible 27 / Seen 2352
© Matteo Lamberts
SQ7957 /SIA7957
Singapore Airlines Cargo
3D VIEW
LAX
LOS ANGELES
BRU
BRUSSELS
DEPARTURE
ARRIVAL
SCHEDULED 2:10 PM
SCHEDULED 9:50 AM
ACTUAL 2:19 PM
ESTIMATED 9:44 AM
Want to get rid of ads?
Upgrade today for a faster and ad-free Flightradar24 experience.
GREAT CIRCLE DISTANCE: 9,075 KM
SQ7957 - AVERAGE FLIGHT TIME: 10:14
More SQ7957 flights
TYPE (B744)
Boeing 747-412F(SCD)
REGISTRATION 9V-SFM
MODE-S CODE 76CCCD
SERIAL NUMBER (MSN)
AGE
Recent 9V-SFM flights
CALIBRATED ALTITUDE 37,000 ft
VERTICAL SPEED
GPS ALTITUDE
TRACK 65°
Speed & altitude graph
GROUND SPEED 522 kts
TRUE AIRSPEED
INDICATED AIRSPEED
MACH
WIND
TEMPERATURE
Speed: 12x
PLAYBACK Fri, Nov 23, 2018, 23:47
21:00 21:10 21:20 21:30 21:40 21:50 22:00 22:10 22:20 22:30 22:40 22:50 23:00 23:10 23:20 23:30 23:40 23:50 00:00 00:10 00:20
Fri, Nov 23, 2018
Sat, Nov 24, 2018
Denver
Aurora
Boulder
Colorado Springs
Littleton
Centennial
Westminster
Arvada
Golden
Lakewood
Longmont
Loveland
Greeley
Fort Collins
Rocky Mountain National Park
Grand Lake
Estes Park
Breckenridge
Keystone
Frisco
Silverthorne
Vail
Avon
Eagle
Aspen
Leadville
Twin Lakes
Mt Elbert
Mt Harvard
Mt Antero
Mt Evans
Grays Peak
Mt Lincoln
Pikes Peak
Cripple Creek
Victor
Woodland Park
Castle Rock
Parker
Elizabeth
Kiowa
Deckers
Larkspur
Monument
Black Forest
Peyton
Calhan
Ellicott
Yoder
Rush
Truckton
Fountain
Wigwam
Salida
Buena Vista
Granite
Hartsel
Guffey
Florissant
Divide
Lake George
Tarryall
Garo
Jefferson
Como
Fairplay
Alma
Bailey
Pine
Grant
Idaho Springs
Black Hawk
Nederland
Allenspark
Lyons
Estes Park
Glen Haven
Rand
Toponas
Kremmling
Hot Sulphur Springs
Granby
Tabernash
Fraser
Winter Park
Sheephorn
Heeney
McCoy
Bond
Burns
Arapaho and Roosevelt National Forests
Pike and San Isabel National Forests & Cimarron...
Gunnison National Forest
Ohio City
Monarch
Tincup
Nathrop
Antero Junction
Cheyenne Mountain
Fort Lupton
Hudson
Keenesburg
Roggen
Wiggins
Orchard
Hoyt
Leader
Bennett
Byers
Peoria
Deer Trail
Watkins
Evans
Johnstown
Windsor
Weld
Orchard
Yampa
Creek
Hayden
Steamboat Springs
Kremmling
Granby
Hot Sulphur Springs
Toponas
Burns
Bond
Heeney
Sheephorn
McCoy
Eagle
Avon
Vail
Silverthorne
Frisco
Keystone
Breckenridge
Grant
Bailey
Pine
Jefferson
Como
Fairplay
Alma
Leadville
Twin Lakes
Mt Elbert
Aspen
Ashcroft
Granite
Hartsel
Garo
Tarryall
Deckers
Larkspur
Monument
Black Forest
Peyton
Calhan
Simla
Elizabeth
Kiowa
Castle Rock
Parker
Littleton
Centennial
Westminster
Arvada
Golden
Lakewood
Denver
Aurora
Watkins
Bennett
Byers
Peoria
Deer Trail
Longmont
Loveland
Greeley
Evans
Johnstown
Windsor
Weld
Orchard
Wiggins
Roggen
Keenesburg
Hudson
Fort Lupton
Hoyt
Leader
Boulder
Nederland
Idaho Springs
Black Hawk
Golden
Lakewood
Arvada
Westminster
Littleton
Centennial
Parker
Castle Rock
Elizabeth
Kiowa
Deckers
Larkspur
Monument
Black Forest
Peyton
Calhan
Simla

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtDgXQFV4AA6g2x.jpg
2018-11-27T22:50:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067551336999247872
@earlofawesome @Panzerbrecher @BayleeB79 I also discuss those patents.

2018-11-27T17:50:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067475738176016389
@NYC_CHEMTRAILS That's warm weather clouds seeding using salt water. It was a Malaysian Air Force operation in 2005. Salt water is not used in the US, as we use silver iodide on ice clouds (since the 1950s).
https://www.thestar.com.my/story/?file=%2F2005%2F8%2F23%2Fnation%2F11845177&sec=nation

---

2018-11-27T17:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067474668183928832
@ufoofinterest @skeptropolis Here's a partial panorama I extracted from the video
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBuf4oV4AA07dA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBuf4oV4AA07dA.jpg
2018-11-27T17:20:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067468098477801473
@ufoofinterest @skeptropolis Hmm, unfortunately I can't access those links.

2018-11-27T16:52:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067461276765323266
@ufoofinterest @skeptropolis Can you post links to the originals?

2018-11-27T16:50:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067460776703680512
@BayleeB79 @earlofawesome @Panzerbrecher @rezn8d @climateviewer @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @Kal1_Furies Because I've already talked to him.

2018-11-27T16:48:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067460144118677504
@BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye @usairforce @uOttawa @WrightPattAFB @Metabunk So there was a group of UFO enthusiasts who happened to have government clearance in the 1950s? So

what?

2018-11-27T16:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067456508630204416

@hoaxeye @BlueBookBelieve @usairforce @uOttawa @WrightPattAFB @Metabunk Too many dots for Metabunk. We try to just look at individual claims of evidence, not 159 page articles.

2018-11-27T16:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067454342016323585

Excellent video of a sunset contrail, showing the full length from spreading "vertical" looking contrail, over to the plane. https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1067452955991990274

2018-11-27T16:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067453782303236101

@ufoofinterest Excellent. I've never seen a contrail so distinctly lit from below like that.

2018-11-27T16:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067453420628467713

@4thAnon I've not really looked into it. Plenty of eyes on that topic already.

WikiLeaks follows me though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBayagU0AEANdw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBayagU0AEANdw.jpg

2018-11-27T16:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067452040211623937

@earlofawesome @Panzerbrecher @BayleeB79 I have an extensive discussion of weather modification in relation to "chemtrails" in my book, Escaping the Rabbit Hole. https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBZpYfVsAEKOST.jpg

---



ESCAPING THE RABBIT HOLE

Flying along the line of clouds the pilot electronically ignited two small flares. The flares were not too different from those used by the highway patrol to create temporary traffic diversions. Cardboard tubes about an inch thick and a foot or so long, several flares are arranged in holders on each wing and wired so they could be individually ignited.

The pilot fired two more flares, then flew over to another small weather system and fired two more for a total of six flares. The next evening Hurricane Harvey made its enormous ponderous landfall in Galveston, Texas, and proceeded slowly inland to dump a historic fifty inches of rain over the Houston area—causing equally historic flooding.

Could these two events be linked? One day there's some organization conducting odd weather modification operations in Texas, and the next it's the worst rainfall in history, also in Texas? Surely these events must have been linked?

No. Texas is a big state. Pecos is over 500 miles from Houston, and at the time the flares were ignited, Hurricane Harvey was 800 miles away, out gathering energy from the warm waters of the Gulf of Mexico. There's simply no way lighting six flares in Pecos could have a measurable effect on a weather system 800 miles away. It's not clear if it really even did anything in Pecos. It would be like lighting six small flares over London, England, and having it change the weather in Rome, Italy.

And yet the conspiracy theorists still thought it was possible. They found the operations report on the Trans-Pecos Weather Modification Association's website and interpreted it as a revelation of something nefarious. The very fact that weather modification was being performed was construed by the conspiracists as validation of what they had been saying all along. Here were chemicals being sprayed out of planes. Here were "Chemtrails" modifying the weather.

The conflation between the very real process of cloud seeding and the unfounded theory of Chemtrails goes back a long way. There's a constant string of Chemtrail believers having an excited confirmatory revelation when they find out that yes, there indeed are planes spraying chemicals and modifying the weather. The conspiracy is seemingly confirmed, the debunkers are debunked, rejoice!

There are two problems with this. The first is that nobody has ever denied that cloud seeding takes place. It's something that has been done very openly

108

Chemtrails

and publicly since the 1950s. It has been mentioned many times in mainstream media; in fact, it even entered popular culture for a while as a topic of interest in the 1960s, to the extent that an episode of the Dick Van Dyke Show featured it as a plot device in the 1965 episode "Show of Hands," where the son needs to make a costume of a rain cloud for a part in a school play:

SON: I'm a good cloud. An airplane flies over me and seeds me.
MAID: Seeds you? What in the world for?
SON: To make me rain on the crops.[21]

But the thing that the conspiracists seem to miss is that cloud seeding is no more "Chemtrails" than crop dusting is. Sure, it's a chemical being sprayed out of a plane, but the Chemtrail theory is quite clearly about a secret plot that involved spraying chemicals out of large planes at high altitudes, often in a clear blue sky, leaving visible trails that persist and spread, to achieve some poorly-defined nefarious end. Cloud seeding is not secret, it uses small planes at low altitudes, it's done to existing clouds, and it does not leave visible trails.

This can be a difficult thing to convey, as people are reluctant to give up the proverbial holy grail of actual-planes-spraying-chemicals. The discussion will frequently devolve into a semantic one of pointing at weather modification and saying, "Look, Chemtrails are real."

Try to stay away from semantics and focus on the knowledge gaps. Your friend probably only just heard of cloud seeding weather modification, so you should give them context. Tell them about the history of cloud seeding, explain that it has never been a secret, it uses small planes, at low altitudes, and does not leave trails in the sky.

One thing that might make your friend think that there's a connection between the trails he sees and the weather is that there actually is one. Contrails are a type of cloud, more specifically a type of high ice cloud called a cirrus cloud. Natural cirrus tends to be wispier, and often develop curls and streaks. Sometimes they form in thin layers, sometimes they are patchy. When it persists more than a few minutes a contrail will eventually start to

109

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DtBZpYfVsAEKOST.jpg
2018-11-27T11:13:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067375835634634752
@4thAnon That's what happens when you take ANYTHING away from a baby.

2018-11-27T11:10:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067375071134543872
@Panzerbrecher @BayleeB79 How do you know this?

How do you know that "the contrails should not be there?"

Your belief seems contradicted by every book on cloud for the last 70 years. If you know better than 70 years of books then you must have an amazing source of information.

How do you know?

2018-11-27T05:44:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067292951464701952
@WhiteEagle1927 Did they have "DEW" in 1983?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds_JNXSVsAAIJFN.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds_JNXSVsAAIJFN.jpg
2018-11-27T02:53:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067250130942779392
@John_Qanon @LionelMedia Lots of them.

2018-11-27T02:39:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067246407193513986
@John_Qanon @LionelMedia It's a variant on "devil theory". Gained popularity in the early 1960s, after JFK, but was used before that.

2018-11-27T02:18:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067241258257539073
@John_Qanon @LionelMedia Have you read my book? It explains why I do what I do. You might find it interesting.

2018-11-27T02:18:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067241099993874432
@John_Qanon @LionelMedia It's a car fire. It caught fire from wind and firebrands.

2018-11-27T02:01:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067236959087521792
@regisjack @LionelMedia Yes. Firebrands and high winds. Ember storm. Live wood does not burn easily. Dead wood does. Houses are made of dead wood.

2018-11-27T02:00:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067236687934189569
@BlueBookBelieve Sorry, I find it hard to get interested in old UFO cases. I like to look at individual cases and try to figure them them out, but photos and video are far more tangible than eyewitness accounts.

2018-11-27T01:50:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067234284644126720
@John_Qanon Tires burn hot.
Fire came from over the road. See the field burnt. Also the trees up the road on the same side are burnt. Irrigated field is not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds-Tn4PV4AAl8Ct.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds-Tn4PV4AAl8Ct.jpg

2018-11-27T01:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067232215166119936

@BlueBookBelieve Well they have secret programs that watch for novel airspace intrusion methods by the Russians, Chinese, or other hostiles. So yes, of course they have things to hide. Military secrets. It does not mean they are hiding UFO sightings.

2018-11-27T01:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067230200725942272

@LamoreauxDevon The theory is that it is part of some kind of land grab. Like the Government wants Paradise, CA, (which is basically the middle of nowhere) for some unspecified reason.

It's a nonsensical theory.

2018-11-27T01:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067229686458019840

@BlueBookBelieve "... because they donâ□□t want to respond to people like you,â□□ former Air Force Captain Robert Salas told The Huffington Post.

2018-11-27T01:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067227501733208064

@John_Qanon Engine blocks did not liquify. Aluminum rims did. But aluminum rims were not that popular back in 1983.

And that asphalt bridge in the Woolsey fire had a wood deck.

2018-11-27T01:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067226956289073152

@BlueBookBelieve Why wouldn't you study unexplained sightings?

2018-11-27T01:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067226738193661952

@BlueBookBelieve @hoaxeye Just an old false belief that some people fell for. Unfortunately the explanation is technical and people naturally have difficulty with it. Once you accept "fetuses in Pepsi" as true it's hard work to understand why it is not.
Discussed in 2012
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-pepsi-uses-aborted-fetus-cells-in-their-drinks.t752/

2018-11-27T01:16:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067225720819089409
@BlueBookBelieve And I don't think that believing in conspiracy theories makes you a "nutter" any more more than believing in the supernatural does. It's a natural outcome of the human mind to fall for (and passionately defend) seductive yet ultimately unfounded beliefs.

2018-11-27T01:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067224983267504128
@BlueBookBelieve I think modern media platforms allow these theories to take root and spread more than they would otherwise. So you get odd theories exploding in popularity whereas before it was more naturally limited.

2018-11-27T00:55:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067220388176777216
More coverage of the 1983 fire. Just the same as modern fires. Not started by lasers.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nVquGTpK9Ik

2018-11-27T00:49:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067218918924644352
The Ash Wednesday fires of 1983 are very reminiscent of the California fires of 2018. Houses destroyed while trees remain standing. Cars burnt in the street. This isn't some "directed energy weapon" conspiracy. It's just how fire works.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g7e7NQvQbNc

2018-11-27T00:45:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1067217727142604800
"Be specific. And brave" directs @LionelMedia when asking "see anything wrong with this picture." He's promoting the DEW conspiracy theory, but the picture he's showing looks EXACTLY like other fires, like this one from 35 years ago.
Being new to you does not a conspiracy make.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds-ChJVVYAARi3T.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds-ChJVVYAARi3T.jpg

2018-11-27T00:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067208036794560512

@Panzerbrecher @BayleeB79 Fact? If the air is ice supersaturated (which it was) then it's literally IMPOSSIBLE for contrails not to form. Halos are also common sights in these conditions. It just rained in California, the air is humid.

Green = high clouds and contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds975rIUwAArjZK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds975rIUwAArjZK.jpg

2018-11-26T18:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067130282577321984

@TlohJ Have you looked at any of the old books on clouds? Of the thousands of older photos and videos of contrails?

2018-11-26T15:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067079033651847168

@TlohJ I compared them to historical photos of contrails from the 1940s and 1950s, and they match. They also match the written descriptions in all the books on clouds for the last 70 years. That's how I know. How about you?

2018-11-26T14:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1067062898889908224

@TlohJ If "chemtrails" look like contrails then how do you know they are NOT contrails? What's the actual difference, and how do you know?

2018-11-26T03:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066899678921277442

@TlohJ Because they looked like contrails and acted like contrails.

2018-11-25T19:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066769888864129024

@EndtimeApostasy @taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Scale is a tricky thing.

2018-11-25T15:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066709528534630401

@BayleeB79 No, just contrails and a halo.

2018-11-25T14:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066702601943580673

@MGoedblick "Handle" it? What do you think would happen, would my head explode from cognitive dissonance?

I'm very open to new information. However the technical and scientific Russians are quite sensible and pragmatic people. They know what's up.

2018-11-25T06:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066582858255425537

Contrails crossing the haloed Moon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds1DXBIUcAAUiZb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ds1DXBIUcAAUiZb.jpg
2018-11-24T23:29:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1066473836822978561
1961 Bel Air fire. Houses burnt to the ground surrounded by pristine lawns.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DszgJSAU0AIxDjO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DszgJSAU0AIxDjO.jpg
2018-11-24T22:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1066463435834060800
Moon-Landing-Conspiracy-Theorist "Lionel" seems to have mistaken a Russian joke for reality. The head of Russiaâ□□s Roscosmos space agency is fully aware of reality but is not above cracking a joke about going and checking if the US Moon Landings actually happened.
https://twitter.com/LionelMedia/status/1066350468820029440

2018-11-23T23:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066106071587024898

@mission_europa 20km is 65,000 feet. That's where spraying would be most effective.

2018-11-23T22:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1066089958308368385

Well, that scuppers the "chemtrail" theory then!

https://twitter.com/GeoeWatchDebunk/status/1065935075559309314

2018-11-22T18:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065671489314115584

@paulreilly @findtruthQ @snopes @Metabunk @RationalWiki @honeywell Sounds fun. Unfortunately I don't have a ring laser gyroscope. What does yours say in the manual about setting local Earth's rate correction?

https://books.google.com/books?id=a4Q-AAAAYAAJ&lpg=SA2-PA20&ots=Y9zyDjx8Rn&dq=%22earth's%20rate%20correction%22&pg=SA2-PA17#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsoGflIUUAA3zDX.jpg

---

(4) Compute the observed heading change (the difference between successive headings recorded in table 2–5).

(5) Compute the time change and observed heading drift per hour. (See table 2–5 and the note below.)

Note. If readings are taken on the very first positive swing, or after the exact second for measurement, an even 30-minute interval may be assumed. In this case, the heading drift per hour may be obtained by multiplying the heading change by 2; otherwise, exact times must be used, and the heading change must be divided by the time change and the change per hour calculated as shown below.

Heading Change 5° 20′ ÷ Time Change 30′ 40″

or: 320′ ÷ 1840″

Or: The rate of change is $\frac{320 \text{ angular}}{1{,}840 \text{ minutes per second}}$

Or: The rate of change is $\frac{320}{1{,}840 \times 60 \text{ angular degrees per hour}}$

Or: 10.434 angular degrees per hour,
Or: 10 angular degrees and 0.434 x 60,
Or: 26.04 angular minutes per hour.

Note. If a reading is taken at an off time, adjust the following reading time to bring back an even 30-minute interval.

(6) Determine the earth's rate correction for the latitude at which the test is conducted.

Notes.
1. In the northern hemisphere, the latitude correction is +15 sine (latitude in degrees) per hour.
2. In the southern hemisphere, the latitude correction is –15 sine (latitude in degrees) per hour.

(7) Algebraically add the earth's rate correction to each calculated observed heading drift to determine the free drift rate. (Refer to table 2–5.)

(8) Compute the spread between the most positive and most negative hourly rates.

Note. The average of the three hourly drift rates should not exceed 0.500 per hour. Also, the spread between the most positive and the most negative hourly rates should not exceed 1° per hour.

d. Vertical Drift Rate Test.

(1) Check to see that the heading established by procedures given in *b* above still pertains.

(2) Complete all steps listed in the chart below. (This test includes timed steps.)

Step	Time (min)	Procedure
		Note. Take all readings when the MX–4042A/ASW–12(V) is turning clockwise, and the ID–1450/ASN readout is increasing in a positive direction.
1	----	Set the motion control switch on the MX–4042A/ASW–12 (V) to OSC.
2	0	Record the PITCH attitude on the ID–1450/ASN.
3	0	Set PITCH ERECT switch S9 on the AN/ASM–298 to OFF.
4	0	Start the stopwatch.
5	15	Record the PITCH attitude on the ID–1450/ASN.

(3) Set PITCH ERECT switch S9 on the AN/ASM–298 to N.

(4) Compute the attitude change, the time change, and the drift in degrees and minutes per hour.

Note. A typical format for recording and calculating for this test is shown in table 2–6.

Table 2–6. Typical Pitch Drift Rate Calculation

Reading	Recorded attitude		Time of readings		Attitude change		Time change		Drift deg hr	
	Deg	Min	Min	Sec	Deg	Min	Min	Sec	Deg	Min
Initial	1	12	0	0						
15 minute	359	40	15	0	–1	32	15	0	–6	8

Notes:
1. If readings are taken on the very first positive swing, or after the exact second for measurement, an even 15-minute interval may be assumed. In this case, the drift in degrees and minutes per hour can be obtained by multiplying the attitude change by 4; otherwise, the exact time change must be used, and a computation similar to that given for heading (Note in paragraph *c*(5) above) must be made.
2. The free drift rate should not exceed 12° per hour.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsoGfllUUAA3zDX.jpg

2018-11-22T02:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065430722092064768

@Panzerbrecher Heâ□□s not a professional, he does not even believe in most variants of the chemtrail theory. Heâ□□s also rather impolite, hinders communication. Iâ□□ve talked to him before.

2018-11-22T02:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065427999955181569

@Panzerbrecher No thank you.

2018-11-22T02:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065427879448592384

@Panzerbrecher @BernhardGeyer @Mueller_M63 @rufiox3 @DasErste @KSchwanke

@DWD_presse Weather modification is real. Chemtrails are not. Your quote is from a decade ago.

2018-11-22T01:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065413978732486656

@Panzerbrecher @BernhardGeyer @Mueller_M63 @rufiox3 @DasErste @KSchwanke @DWD_presse Weather modification is real. Look it up.

2018-11-22T00:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065408416594575360

@Panzerbrecher @BernhardGeyer @Mueller_M63 @rufiox3 @DasErste @KSchwanke @DWD_presse Sadly the lesson here is to never tell a joke. Someone will take you seriously. Especially non-native English speaking people.

2018-11-21T19:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065327006835859456

@Panzerbrecher @BernhardGeyer @Mueller_M63 @rufiox3 @DasErste @KSchwanke Where did you get that idea? I'm not paid by anyone, and I believe in what I say.

2018-11-20T22:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065005809472393217

@paulreilly @findtruthQ @snopes @Metabunk @RationalWiki What type of gyroscope did you use?

2018-11-20T22:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1065005403564474368

@SocietyUSA Well one of them is just a dirty lens. Quite possibly others too. There's a few source of light beams. Sensor flare, light pillars, fakes. DEW is not one. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-dew-energy-beam-starting-forest-fires-dirty-lens-light-streak.t9931/ @kylegotjokes

---

2018-11-20T18:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064954444654104576

@paulreilly @findtruthQ @snopes @Metabunk @RationalWiki Oh, there's science :) You should try it. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1064953976171331584

2018-11-20T18:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064953976171331584

I took a photo of the crescent of Venus this morning. It matches the models of the Solar System in Stellarium and Celestia, thus providing very good evidence that those models are accurate, and that the Earth is not flat. #science http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dsd5WOqU0AADcbF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dsd5VLuU0AAwA84.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dsd5WOqU0AADcbF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dsd5T-yVsAAi5Ju.jpg
2018-11-20T13:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1064874770921996288
@DavidMu86437761 @mikesara444 Statistics are quite complex though, with variations in record-keeping pre-1984. National trend seems flatter than California.
https://wildfiretoday.com/tag/statistics/

2018-11-20T13:28:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1064873178831310850
@DavidMu86437761 @mikesara444 This year is obviously a bad one - particularly the Camp Fire - the deadliest and most destructive in US history. 79 dead, 16,000 structures. Climate change won't help but over 10 years the variation is weather. This fire is a function of bad location, season, and wind.

2018-11-20T01:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1064692749138448385
@VendettaAnon1 @Shareaholic Because geoengineering alters the climate of the entire planet. It would be like trying to extinguish a candle in your house by turning the AC down one degree.

2018-11-20T01:29:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1064692167027769344
Did we have a lot of wildfires in 2017-2018? Or did we just have very few in the previous ten years? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsaLgvAU0AAVypL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsaLgvAU0AAVypL.jpg

2018-11-20T00:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064684096385802240

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Floors offered negligible resistance to a large falling mass, (getting faster and increase in mass each floor). Hence if much of the building fell through the floors (not the columns) then A) it would fall fast, 2/3 free fall? B) some of the core would not fall with the main wave

---

2018-11-20T00:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064680185079881728

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Well you can't really refute my hypotheses if you don't understand it, right? That why I was trying to come to some baseline understanding, so there could be constructive dialog.

2018-11-20T00:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064674782514315266

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist More technically, the Euler buckling critical load is inversely proportional to the square of the unsupported length. So removing even one floor can have a significant effect. Removing six would be catastrophic in a dynamic load situation.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Buckling#Columns

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZ70igU8AA9CAS.jpg

$$F = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(KL)^2}$$

To get the mathematical demonstration read: Euler's critical load

where

F = maximum or critical force (vertical load on column),

E = modulus of elasticity,

I = smallest area moment of inertia of the cross section of the column,

L = unsupported length of column,

K = column effective length factor, whose value depends on the conditions of end support of the column, as follows.

For both ends pinned (hinged, free to rotate), K = 1.0.

For both ends fixed, K = 0.50.

For one end fixed and the other end pinned, $K = \sqrt{2}/2 \approx 0.7071$.

For one end fixed and the other end free to move laterally, K = 2.0.

KL is the effective length of the column.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZ70igU8AA9CAS.jpg

2018-11-20T00:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064673535581274112

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Okay: If floors were stripped from columns then the columns would lose a lot of strength, and would more easily fail Hence the (hypothetical) stripping of the floors from the columns explains why they failed. I think we can agree this would happen on the exterior at least?

2018-11-20T00:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064670516206698496

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Do you understand that a column with lateral bracing (i.e. floors) is much stronger than one without them?

2018-11-19T23:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064669561251815424

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Well let's ignore all my models then. Do you understand that a column with lateral bracing (i.e. floors) is much stronger than one without them?

2018-11-19T23:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064668211679916032

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist I'm fully aware that my models is not a simulation of the buildings. It's illustrating one small but important point. Floor stripping leading to unbraced column lengths. I want you know if you understand the role of lateral bracing in the vertical strength of a column.

2018-11-19T23:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064658890137075712

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist In the WTC, the columns require bracing with floors in order to have full strength, right?

2018-11-19T23:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064657678260101120

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist A response to WHAT? I don't see any outstanding questions from you. I've explained that I understand Newton's laws of motion. I've explained scale. I've explained models illustrating limited principles. You don't seem to be raising any points. Don't debate with puzzles. Ask!

2018-11-19T23:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064656883326144513

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist Well here I am, responding. Sorry but I don't find Twitter the best forum for long detailed discussions.

2018-11-19T23:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064656297755205633

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist I'd be happy to discuss any physics aspect with you.

2018-11-19T23:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064656081341734912

@Van60444079 What about the Thomas fire last year?

There's plenty in older fires of course. If you wanted to get a full historical overview you could start with the great fires of London. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZq3CkVsAEMgDB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZq3CkVsAEMgDB.jpg

2018-11-19T23:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064654615814135809

@taoeffect @The911FalseFlag @Alien_Scientist So why did you want to debate me?

2018-11-19T22:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064654389556633600

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist 9/11 is just one subject I've been writing about since 2010 on Metabunk. I started writing the book in 2017. There's 300+ threads on 9/11 on Metabunk that I've participated in, with about 280 predating starting the book. There's over 1,000 threads on chemtrails and over 150 on FE

2018-11-19T22:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064653326879342592

@SoberHootOwl @taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Well much of the lower half of the core did actually remain standing for a few seconds after everything else had hit the ground.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZogKZUwAAmIyV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsZogKZUwAAmIyV.jpg

2018-11-19T22:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064652264504090624

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist Why don't you go, explain your ideas to them. See how it goes.

2018-11-19T18:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064580814921322496

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist Maybe you and I could start with a chat on skype to try to narrow down the disagreement?

2018-11-19T17:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064570952795615236

@Van60444079 Sho how does the modern fire path differ?

2018-11-19T17:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064570811112022016

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist Also my childhood fears mostly vanished with childhood. I'm not afraid of chemtrails, or flat earth, or 9/11 controlled demolition theories. I just find them interesting. Like I said, I shifted from fear to fascination.

2018-11-19T17:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064570337055010819

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist No, I actually do understand Newtonian mechanics. I'm not pretending to misunderstand anything. I genuinely think my understanding is correct.

I did program physics for 20 years, so I do have some experience.

2018-11-19T15:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064545382863011840

@Van60444079 I look forward to your thoughts on the 1961 fires.

2018-11-19T15:45:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064545139790512128

@taoeffect @SoberHootOwl @Alien_Scientist My real story is in my book. Chapter 3: The Shill Card. It's a much longer version of this post:
https://www.metabunk.org/my-personal-history-of-debunking.t1915/

2018-11-19T15:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064544104070098944

@Van60444079 This video is excellent, about the fires in 1961. Just like the fires today.
https://youtu.be/vhC4mkLqvN0

2018-11-19T15:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064542933670551552

@Van60444079 http://www.illawarramercury.com.au/story/421153/australias-worst-bushfires/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsYEH1rU8AEyluV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsYEH1rU8AEyluV.jpg

2018-11-19T15:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064542315912425472

@Van60444079 What about the Bel Air fire?

2018-11-19T15:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064542243535564800

@Van60444079 Cars have changed in the last 45 years. Aluminum rims in particular used to be expensive, but are more commonly the default.

2018-11-19T15:17:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064538228487856128

@Van60444079 None of this is new. Here's a report from 1894. No "DEWs" back then.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsX_v45U8AAY0bH.jpg

REPORT OF THE STATE COMMISSION.

FOR THE RELIEF OF THE FOREST FIRE SUFFERERS.

To His Excellency, Knute Nelson, Governor of Minnesota:

On Saturday, Sept. 1, 1894, occurred one of the most dreadful calamities which this country has ever seen. Owing to the long protracted drought, forest fires had prevailed in different localities for several weeks, but on that day the wind suddenly rose to a tornado, and a small fire which was burning near Brown's Hill spread with frightful rapidity, and was carried on the wings of the tornado over a district covering, probably, from three hundred and fifty to four hundred square miles. Almost without warning, the fire swept over the villages of Mission Creek, Hinckley, Pokegama, Sandstone, Finlayson and Miller. A furnace blast swept over the fated district and left behind it absolute devastation. Every building in Hinckley and Sandstone, with one or two unimportant exceptions, was completely destroyed. Nearly every farmhouse was destroyed, with barns, crops and supplies, and in most cases, live stock. The material loss will probably approximate $750,000, in addition to the timber destroyed, but this is a small matter compared with the dreadful loss of life. So sudden was the onset of the flames that the people could only rush from their houses and seek a place of refuge, without even an effort to save their household goods and personal effects. Four hundred and eighteen persons, comprising about one-sixth of the entire population of the burnt district, are known to have perished by a most frightful death in the flames. A great many families lost one or more members, and some families were wiped entirely out of existence. The charred bodies, in most cases unrecognizable, were gathered up and buried by tender hands after the fire.

The full record of the heroic and unselfish endeavors of the people to rescue one another from threatening death can never be fully written. Some perished while striving to save the lives of others. The charred and shriveled hands of others testify to their endeavors to save their friends. A few cool headed men at Hinckley saved many lives by urging the people to abandon everything and hasten to the gravel pit. At Pokegama, a little group of people, who lay stifling in a pool of water, were preserved largely by the efforts of

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsX_v45U8AAY0bH.jpg

2018-11-19T15:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064536857151565829

@Van60444079 Of course "firenados" are natural. It's just air movement. Fires have always done that if they get big enough, with some wind.

2018-11-19T15:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064536143591497728

@Van60444079 Car fires melt rims. That't really what you should focus on. But even a small fire will do it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oTH-afrORlI

2018-11-19T15:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064534476162625537

@SoberHootOwl @taoeffect @Alien_Scientist The FLOORS were not designed to support the upper portion of the building. That was done by the columns. So when the upper mass broke up and fell though the (relatively fragile) floors, the columns were stripped of their lateral support.

2018-11-19T15:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064534095072378880

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer Wind-driven wildfires can get hotter than a pile of wood burning by itself. Also, aluminum regularly melts in car fires.

2018-11-19T06:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064399443569532928

@taoeffect @The911FalseFlag @Alien_Scientist No, that's just life.

2018-11-19T06:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064399271175184384

@taoeffect @The911FalseFlag @Alien_Scientist I made the animation - it's replays of a recreation in X-Plane.

Debunking is more of a hobby than a job. I'm not sure that I "suck", but I'd imagine there's a lot of room for improvement - like with everything. So I appreciate any constructive feedback.

2018-11-19T06:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064398673935650816

@Van60444079 Why wouldn't aluminum rims melt? They melt all the time in car fires.

2018-11-19T06:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064397902343094273

@taoeffect @The911FalseFlag @Alien_Scientist That much is true. It's increasingly going to recede into the panoply of history.

2018-11-19T05:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064397575749431296

@The911FalseFlag @taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Lots of people talk about it. I've piloted a plane, it's not something you can do surreptitiously with a knife to your throat. The transponder is a basic instrument the hijackers would have been familiar with. The pilot needs to dial in four numbers and press a button.

2018-11-19T05:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064395806151663616

@Van60444079 Well, houses are dry wood, live forests are wet wood. Is this not true?

2018-11-19T05:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064395059162898432

@The911FalseFlag @taoeffect @Alien_Scientist What do you think the light poles would do in the 0.8 seconds between hitting them, and hitting the Pentagon.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WdKjO1j0-64

2018-11-19T02:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064343630679166977

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer Oak. It had reached the charcoal burning stage, which is hotter than just dry wood.

2018-11-18T17:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064212551595024384

This microsphere is the ball of an old ballpoint pen. The dark is dried ink, speckled with dust. Cheap balls are made of steel, others are made of tungsten carbide coated with hard alloys like aluminum chrome molybdenum steel. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsTViJJVYAATEZF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsTViJJVYAATEZF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsTQB4FUwAEe8qB.jpg

2018-11-18T05:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064024124564004864

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Also, my model is clearly not trying to scale every aspect of the WTC. The key thing it is simulating is a floor that can hold 6-12 times it's own weight of static load. And that floors laterally brace the columns.

2018-11-18T05:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064023520697442305

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Because scale models are actually a thing. Even with cars.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsQrtNpV4AAJq6T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsQrtNpV4AAJq6T.jpg

2018-11-18T05:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1064023172280811521

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Is your question really: "Do you really not understand that: That is not how physics or Newtonian Mechanics works. That would be like masturbating with a banana in order to crash test a car for a safety test. There is a reason they use real cars!"?

2018-11-18T02:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063982486844100608

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist I'm off to dinner. Maybe we can Skype sometime next week.

2018-11-18T02:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063982277275701249

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist It was a rhetorical question.

2018-11-18T02:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063982079388479495

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Not that hanging the top 20% of WTC1 from a crane is possible - another way in which my model is vastly stronger than WTC1.

Scale is everything here. Square cube, etc. Using a real building would be good, but to illustrate an isolated point, small models suffice. Tradeoffs.

2018-11-18T02:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063981418034847744

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Regarding "playing with cards." Imagine for a second you had the top 20% of WTC1 dangling from a very large crane. Would you just swing it into place and drop it? Or would it be very carefully moved a fraction of an inch at a time. Scaled to that my move are gigantic and rapid.

2018-11-18T02:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063980423112359936

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist No, it was not supposed to be a building. It's illustrating a general principle, abstracted to this model.

2018-11-18T02:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063975342983901185

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist We probably could. You just need to understand why they don't apply in a simple manner to large rigid bodies, and certainly not to inelastic bodies, and absolutely not to complex breakably connected assemblies of inelastic bodies.

2018-11-18T01:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063973783696957443

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist And which real physics did you want to discuss? Euler buckling?

2018-11-18T01:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063973525675925504

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist If the question is "Do you believe Israel is justified in how it treats Palestinians?" then the answer is "I'm not that familiar with the situation, but I'd guess frequently it is not justified"

2018-11-18T01:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063970414307594241

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist I don't see how my feelings about Israel apply to things like Iron Microspheres.

I imagine you think Israel is responsible, and you want to figure out if I think they are bad or if I will defend them?

I though you wanted to debate physics, and now you have slid into Israel.

2018-11-18T01:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063963846270828544

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Complicated questions that do not yield to a simple answer. Can you explain WHY you are asking? What exactly is it that you want to learn?

2018-11-18T01:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063961994259161089

@zhoumamma @LondonSkywatch @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer I know because I've heated steel to yellow hot in my fire. That's over 1100Â°C

2018-11-18T00:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063954318397599745

@LondonSkywatch Where is WHAT?

2018-11-18T00:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063954083206193153

@LondonSkywatch Where is what?

2018-11-18T00:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063953907662020608

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Seems poor. I'd go for a two state solution. However I'm no expert in the middle east.

2018-11-18T00:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063951907784290304

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer You think there's a big conspiracy to edit all the science books to hide how hot fires burn, so people won't get suspicious about Agenda 21 when they see car's aluminum wheels melt in a forest fire?

2018-11-18T00:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063951265464369152

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist No.

2018-11-18T00:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063949854232072192

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer And really you might want to ask "how hot does a tire burn in a wind-driven wildfire?" As that's the closest thing to the wheel.

2018-11-18T00:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063949397371707392

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer Temperature varies at different points in a fire, and under different conditions. High winds and lots of fuel can make it much hotter.

2018-11-18T00:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063948765977964544

@zhoumamma @LondonSkywatch @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer All which green trees? Were they green?
Depends how much fire there is, if there's a long lasting 1000Â°C going on then a tree is going to burn even with maximum water load.

2018-11-18T00:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063945914618789888

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer Even this fairly ordinary forest fire reached 850Â°C https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zvPa_yEEd4E
Your article says 1.100Â°C

2018-11-17T23:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063944533908451329

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @twistedcomputer Aluminum, usually from wheels. Hydrocarbon fires can burn a lot hotter than that.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsPjzTWU8AAu-VM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsPjzTWU8AAu-VM.jpg

2018-11-17T23:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063936790183141377

@rsqk9s @anntracyart @DebBrya75982725 @FEMA_Brock It wasn't clear to me.

2018-11-17T23:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063936631881650176

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Again, this is not the way to get someone to debate you.

2018-11-17T21:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063905734788706304

@rsqk9s @anntracyart @DebBrya75982725 @FEMA_Brock You linked an articled titled "Indecent Atmosphere: The Eugenics Roots Of Metabunkâ□□s Contrail Con"

2018-11-17T21:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063905388817350656

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist You want to debate ALL of the physics?
Physics isn't really a subject where people debate. It's a subject where people use math and scientific knowledge to work out the answers. Could you give an example of something you'd want to talk about?

2018-11-17T20:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063899095192891392

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist That's a strange way of getting someone to debate you. We've been chatting nicely, and you've not even said what you want to debate.
I like discussions to be polite and constructive.

2018-11-17T18:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063861440392491008

@rsqk9s @anntracyart @realDonaldTrump @DebBrya75982725 @FEMA_Brock @SecretaryZinke @fema Eugenics?

2018-11-17T18:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063860351899361280

@hanktheyank58 @wandaj505 @YouTube Yeah thatâ□□s what they said in 1960. Atypical does not imply space lasers.

2018-11-17T17:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063853092171526144

@rsqk9s @anntracyart @realDonaldTrump @DebBrya75982725 @FEMA_Brock @SecretaryZinke @fema @TheRealHSaive Saive from 2012? I responded to his article here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-two-disinfo-sites-run-by-just-some-guy-mick-west.t683/

2018-11-17T17:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063840353596301317

Fire Sciences Researcher Jack Cohen explains why people focus on the flames of a wildfire when most of the ignition of houses comes from embers and firebrands (burning wood carried by the wind)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Dq6wy_tffpg

2018-11-17T15:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063812457708175360

@zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @LondonSkywatch @twistedcomputer Look at this fire from 57 years ago. Same thing. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SlmEIj94fBk

---

2018-11-17T15:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063808986980376578

A detailed look back at the causes of the fires near Los Angeles in 1961. Lots of excellent vintage footage. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SlmEIj94fBk

---

2018-11-17T14:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063808000559788032

@hanktheyank58 @wandaj505 @YouTube Compare with wildfires in the 1960s. It's exactly the same. https://youtu.be/hRIIeFYp2Nc http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsNntrjUwAEka_M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsNntrjUwAEka_M.jpg
2018-11-17T14:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063807302363361280
@zhoumamma @TheTrueFamasss @LondonSkywatch @twistedcomputer Steel did not turn to liquid in the fires. At most it warped a bit, some beams sagged, thinner steel frames twisted. This happens at much lower temperatures. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsNm7aBUcAEK-UZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsNm7aBUcAEK-UZ.jpg

2018-11-17T13:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063783929000321024

@Alien_Scientist A plausible and likely explanation is not an excuse. What you need to do is find something for which there is NOT a plausible and likely explanation other than controlled demolition. From a neutral POV, what would you pick?

2018-11-17T13:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063783325683175424

@rsqk9s @anntracyart @realDonaldTrump @DebBrya75982725 @FEMA_Brock @SecretaryZinke @fema I didn't realize that! Where is this debunking?

2018-11-17T13:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063783205541601280
@Alien_Scientist There's many photos of the steel from the actual site, very shortly after collapse. There were also thousands of people with access to the site for the months of cleanup. The evidence would be plain to see, bit instead we see popped welds, ripped flanges, and snapped bolts.

2018-11-17T01:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063607254350319616
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo
https://fortunedotcom.files.wordpress.com/2017/10/gettyimages-860230012.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKxI8aVAAIAwfx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKxI8aVAAIAwfx.jpg
2018-11-17T01:36:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063606851747504128
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo example:
https://thenypost.files.wordpress.com/2017/10/california_wildfires1.jpg?quality=90&strip=all
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKww0-UcAE_ulx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKww0-UcAE_ulx.jpg

2018-11-17T01:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063606716305002496

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo No, it ignites the plywood under the tiles.

2018-11-17T01:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063604866440847360

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo The heat alone will probably shatter them. Falling roof does not help. It's an interesting question though, I'll look into it.

2018-11-17T01:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063604584885579776

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo My attic is expensive. It has fire breaks, blocking round the chimneys. But it still has all this bare wood. There are millions of embers. So it's statistically very likely. Especially if you consider gutter debris.

https://krcrtv.com/archive/fire-demonstration-reveals-rain-gutter-fire-danger_20160513161516571 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKutvcUUAAv4Mt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKutvcUUAAv4Mt.jpg
2018-11-17T01:23:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063603433545129990
@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL But what exactly is his thing?

2018-11-17T01:21:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063603112852615169
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo My attic has wood on the bottom. Rafters and framing. and some wood platforms. This is my attic in this video at 0:25
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mkH5I0lXiFs

2018-11-17T01:19:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063602536211341312
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo It doesn't burn, it shatters into pieces, usually when the roof falls on it.

2018-11-17T01:18:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063602310486491136
@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL I've no idea what you are referring to.

2018-11-17T01:18:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063602201224916992
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Aluminum melts when it is in an intense fire, like a car fire. A live branch is harder to burn than plastic.

2018-11-17T00:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063594446741073921
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Fiberglass is fireproof. Try a piece of paper or some leaves.

2018-11-17T00:46:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063594069459259392
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Notice the power pole burnt through. That's because it's dead wood. Live wood is very hard to burn. Try it. Go get a 1/2 thick live branch (one with leaves) and light it on fire. Post pics of the result.

2018-11-17T00:34:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063591086805807104
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Watch the firemen working:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HCNSDk7fyYE

2018-11-17T00:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063590812364075009
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Which cars specifically?

2018-11-17T00:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063590458524237824
@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Research "ember storm" Like the first three seconds here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q2kk7RJdKjE

2018-11-17T00:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063589502575210496

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo Heck, cars catch fire all by themselves sometimes. Research "car fire". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y4OLYgoQQR8

2018-11-17T00:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063587987928244225

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo And the steel in the cars does not burn. It's the paint, the tires, the seats, the gasoline, the oil, and the plastic. Aluminum wheels melt. Example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UOOekEATci0

2018-11-17T00:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063587270102413312

@KoreyEdwards6 @MamakHubert @almostjingo High winds blow embers. Some get in the attic, or maybe ignite dry leaves in the gutter. Look at the embers at the start of this video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q2kk7RJdKjE

2018-11-17T00:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063586415169032192

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist A plane flying very low at very high speed at low altitude over New York is a very hard thing to miss. It's quite possible more people actually saw the first plane, as it came from the North. Example:

http://www.traveller.com.au/911-i-saw-the-first-plane-hit-gr6x0q

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKeLf3VYAEapcZ.jpg

Fifteen years ago this weekend I was sitting at my desk in my loft a few blocks north of New York's World Trade Center when I heard an almighty engine roar above my head and looked up to see a plane smash full throttle into one of the twin towers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKeLf3VYAEapcZ.jpg

2018-11-16T23:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063577870415495168

@MamakHubert @almostjingo

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-unburned-trees-next-to-burned-down-structures-as-evidence-of-secret-energy-weapons.t9168/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mkH5I0lXiFs

---

2018-11-16T23:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063577107186429952

@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL What physics thing is that?

2018-11-16T23:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063576740830662656

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Consider. But to continue to consider it is odd. Is it not obvious that planes flew into the twin towers? Is it not obvious that scores of people would be videoing after the first impact? Isn't it obvious you can't fake 10,000 eyewitnesses? What exactly are you still

considering?

2018-11-16T22:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063562260616929281

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist I consider the no-plane theory to be obviously false, and I'm surprised you even consider it. There were literally tens of thousands of eyewitnesses. There are hundreds of millions of people who know someone they trust who was an eyewitness. Their recollections match the videos.

2018-11-16T22:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063560237615992833

@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL I pick things to debunk based on A) if they are wrong (either obviously, or after some investigation), and B) if nobody else has debunked it sufficiently.

I enjoy the investigation, but the challenge of communicating is fascinating. Hence the book.

2018-11-16T22:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063558914141114368

@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL I just like figuring things out, and fixing things that are broken. I was always very good at debugging code, so debunking was a natural extension. After I retired I took flying lessons, which led me to the chemtrail theory, and I started blogging.

2018-11-16T22:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063558419116748800

@taoeffect @PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL My High School Physic Teacher was Frank A. Ford (aka FAF). But I actually learned a lot more in Maths (UK, 1980s), where we focussed on Mechanics and 3D coordinate geometry. Sadly I forget the names of my Maths teachers. But here's a photo! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKEmPhUcAE-MpC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsKEmPhUcAE-MpC.jpg

2018-11-16T19:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063519939301007360

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist Which no-plane theory?

2018-11-16T15:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063447352260902912

@PollManFEC @Bollyn_CL Because he'd just want to talk about zionist conspiracies, and I'm interested in physics.

2018-11-16T12:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063402724153126914

@Alien_Scientist The problem with this theory is that thermite "weakens" structural steel by melting it. This leaves very obvious evidence - ragged melted steel, slag,and a patina of aluminum oxide. But no, there was nothing like this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsH22N3VsAAR-NT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsH22N3VsAAR-NT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsH2hzjVsAAIHyf.jpg

2018-11-16T11:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1063400627101827072

@Alien_Scientist So your theory is that it was CD that just looked and acted like an impact/fire/gravity collapse?

This reminds me of other theories, like how chemtrails are poison spraying that just looks and acts exactly like contrails. Or how the Earth is flat, but just looks and acts round.

2018-11-15T22:29:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063197282462396418
@_AdamFitzgerald @TerrytheCensor @Consensus911 A more detailed review here: https://www.metabunk.org/book-review-9-11-unmasked-an-international-review-panel-investigation.t10141/

2018-11-15T22:27:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063196859718492160
Book Review: "9/11 Unmasked: An International Review Panel Investigation"

'A sad testament to the state of 9/11 "Truth".' 1/5

https://www.metabunk.org/book-review-9-11-unmasked-an-international-review-panel-investigation.t10141/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsE7lpWV4AAcBMQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsE7lpWV4AAcBMQ.jpg
2018-11-15T17:04:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1063115652456579072
@DAVID_G It started in Agora hills, where it's pretty warm still in the daytime, and was driven by the wind. Marine layer cooling does not go that far inland.

2018-11-15T05:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062937992749187072
RT @GeoeWatchDebunk: A wonderful collection of hundreds of persistent contrail photos from before 1995, proving wrong all those self-proclaâ¦

2018-11-15T03:02:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062903548948566016
@DAVlD_G @WeHoCity Which fire are talking about?

2018-11-15T02:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062893225596215296
@DAVlD_G @WeHoCity High winds, dead brush, and it hasn't rained for a while.

2018-11-15T02:14:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062891566329585664
@ThalesM17 Er, so what's the question?

2018-11-15T02:11:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062890719906394112
@ThalesM17 It is two plane flying at similar altitudes in the same direction.

2018-11-15T02:10:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062890440385421312
@Consensus911 The one I linked.
https://youtu.be/hyFIHZwMm4w

Which is large based on material from this thread.
https://www.metabunk.org/making-iron-microspheres-grinding-impacts-welding-burning.t9533/

2018-11-15T00:48:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062870032479186945
@MDeastern_shore No.

2018-11-15T00:48:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062869849871794176
Just got my copy of "9/11 Unmasked" by @DavidRayGriffin, Elizabeth Woodworth, and @Consensus911. Unfortunately it seems they missed my talk on microspheres, as they are still making several false or misleading claims about them.
https://youtu.be/hyFIHZwMm4w http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsASLW5VAAEQaAw.jpg

---

These iron-rich spherical particles—or "spherules," as they are sometimes called—can only come about if iron is melted and then "sprayed into the air so that surface tension draws the molten droplets into near-spherical shapes."[72]

Accordingly, the USGS report mentioned (without explaining) the existence of particles in the dust that should not have been there, according to the NIST explanation of the collapses.

I-D. Report by the Steven Jones Group

NIST also ignored another scientific report describing phenomena in the WTC dust that could have been produced only by extremely high temperatures. Entitled, in fact, "Extremely High Temperatures During the World Trade Center Destruction," this report, written by Steven E. Jones and seven other scientists, pointed out the existence of particles in the dust that required even higher temperatures than those implied by the RJ Lee and USGS reports.

Jones and his colleagues performed tests using their own samples of WTC dust, which had been collected shortly after the destruction of the WTC—either very shortly afterward or from the inside of nearby buildings (which means that the dust could not have been contaminated by cleanup operations at Ground Zero). They reported finding "an abundance of tiny solidified droplets roughly spherical in shape (spherules)," which were primarily "iron-rich . . . and silicates." The iron-rich spherules would have required a temperature of 1,538°C (2,800°F). The silicates often contained aluminum, and aluminosilicate spherules, which were found in abundance in the dust, would have required a temperature of 1,450°C (2,652°F).[73]

Iron could not have arisen from the steel alone and should not have been found in the rubble. The iron, which needs to be accounted for, is a byproduct of the thermite reaction.

Still more remarkable, the Jones group reported, was a spherule found in the dust that was not mentioned in USGS's "Particle Atlas," and which was obtained only through an FOIA request, namely, "a molybdenum-rich spherule," which had been observed and studied by the USGS team. This information is remarkable, because molybdenum (Mo) is "known for its extremely high

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsASLW5VAAEQaAw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsARxQrV4AAflWS.jpg
2018-11-15T00:06:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062859296612839424
@stang289 Looks pretty substantial.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YwCQXNWSwhI

2018-11-14T23:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062857583030022144
@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer Bonfires in a forest.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3CkLktkBSgo

2018-11-14T23:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062856746845188097

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer This fire is way bigger than a house, yet is surrounded by green grass! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ee-xHNQljt4

2018-11-14T23:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062856214499876864

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer See bonfire above, and both green and brown vegetation right next to it.

2018-11-14T23:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062854379181551617

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer Example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uHLTEFF7bno

---

2018-11-14T23:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062854037584863232

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer It would depend on how close they were to the fire. Have you ever had a bonfire in a green field? The grass a few feet away is fine. Even with a super large bonfire it does not take much.

2018-11-14T23:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062853562374320128

@zhoumamma @LondonSkywatch @twistedcomputer And I don't have alerts, but I do have a column in Tweetdeck that filters in contrails/chemtrails/geoengineering. I occasionally respond to tweets in that column, as these are topics I'm interested in http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsADdNBU8AASEhB.jpg

---

Tweet content

Matching 'contrail OR contrails OR chemtrails OR chemtrail OR Geoengineering OR #chemtrails'

Location

Tweet authors

Engagement

Preferences

Medium media

Share Clear Remove



Angel Mendez @leg3nd1983 2m

Are We Being Poisoned By Chemtrails? This Is What They Are Spraying..: youtu.be/GYNRr9Mf5MI?a via @YouTube





Connie luvs Israel and Freedom @... 4m

Geoengineering Watch Global Alert

News, November 10, 2019, #170 / Dane

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DsADdNBU8AASEhB.jpg

2018-11-14T23:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062852986345385984

@zhoumamma @LondonSkywatch @twistedcomputer Nobody pays me.

2018-11-14T23:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062852840731754496

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer I recommend you ask a scientist. But the ionosphere really has nothing at all to do with what happens on the ground.

There a lot of burnt stuff in the fire because it's a big fire. That's all.

2018-11-14T23:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062851769955364864

@zhoumamma @twistedcomputer @LondonSkywatch Many people are asking this. The answer is that brick faced structures usually have wood framing, and the melted metal is usually aluminum. Live (green) trees are very hard to burn because of their moisture content, bark, and density. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mkH5I0lXiFs

---

2018-11-14T23:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062851024325472257

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer The ionosphere is a very low density ionized gas. Even if you could somehow pull it down it's not going to do anything.

2018-11-14T23:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062850718158151680

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer I worked it out for myself.

2018-11-14T23:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062848258186178560

@LondonSkywatch @zhoumamma @twistedcomputer The ionosphere is practically in http://space.You can't pull it down with a fire.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dr_-xR0U4AABXXY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dr_-xR0U4AABXXY.jpg

2018-11-14T23:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062847306024738816

@wanderinganimal @RealGeoEngWatch @YouTube What time was that exactly? Do you have a graph showing the correlation of these things?

2018-11-14T23:17:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062846919314046976

@scifihq @freedom_moates This is why we have the judicial system, to resolve questions like this.

2018-11-14T23:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062843329384079360

@scifihq @freedom_moates See section 5 of the introduction here for legal background:

https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/5095849/cnn-acosta-trump-complaint.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dr_6Ws_VAAA0Cwe.jpg

---

5. The Framers of our Constitution embraced a "profound national commitment to the principle that debate on public issues should be uninhibited, robust, and wide-open, and that it may well include vehement, caustic, and sometimes unpleasantly sharp attacks on government and public officials." *N.Y. Times v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 270 (1964). The President lacks the authority to quash "[t]he sort of robust political debate encouraged by the First Amendment"—debate that is "bound to produce speech that is critical of those who hold public office." *Hustler Magazine, Inc. v. Falwell*, 485 U.S. 46, 51 (1988). That is why the D.C. Circuit has been clear that "the protection afforded newsgathering under the first amendment guarantee of freedom of the press requires that . . . access [to White House press facilities] not be denied arbitrarily or for less than compelling reasons." *Sherrill v. Knight*, 569 F.2d 124, 129 (D.C. Cir. 1977). And "notice . . . of the factual bases for denial [of access to White House press facilities] with an opportunity to rebut is a minimum prerequisite for ensuring that the denial is . . . [not] based on arbitrary or less than compelling reasons." *Id.* at 131.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dr_6Ws_VAAA0Cwe.jpg
2018-11-14T21:26:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062819100043296769
@GeoeWatchDebunk @LondonSkywatch Perfect contrail viewing conditions. Near the edge of natural cloud regions (the dark green). Humid enough to form lots of contrails, but not so much that the sky is obscured by clouds.

2018-11-14T21:06:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062814066933063681
@ErikWemple Because he's just started doing this. This is the first one.

2018-11-14T21:00:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1062812579179839490
@BTRadford Here it is a quarter speed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Dr_eIu3U0AAHevn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Dr_eIu3U0AAHevn.jpg

2018-11-14T20:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062808189501005824

@BTRadford It's an optical non-illusion.

2018-11-14T20:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062807418667589632

@ERICEMS @metavinci Q thought a photo of a helicopter was a missile launch. That should have been a clue.

2018-11-14T19:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062793862719008768

Is the QAnon trolling finally coming to an end as the followers realized Q is just yanking their chains? https://twitter.com/rothschildmd/status/1062769386262474752

2018-11-14T19:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062785797995192320

@FreemanStahl Then it's an ideal self-gift for xmas! :)

2018-11-14T19:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062785147685093376

@anders_rocco 9/11 Controlled demolition, Chemtrails, False Flags, and Flat Earth.

2018-11-14T18:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062781295875416064

Got a relative visiting for the holidays that believes in conspiracy theories? You might want to check out "Escaping the Rabbit Hole". Maybe leave a copy in their room. Let me know how it goes. https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Dr_BiEeUUAANc6j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Dr_BiEeUUAANc6j.jpg

2018-11-14T18:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062778043742081024

Video shows destruction of celebrities' mansions in California wildfires. 1961

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-unburned-trees-next-to-burned-down-structures-as-evidence-of-secret-energy-weapons.t9168/

https://youtu.be/hRIIeFYp2Nc

2018-11-13T18:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062411025297731584

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist It is. I've been investigating chemtrails for quite a bit longer. It's also a smaller subject.

2018-11-13T15:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062374288982859776

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist How about the Chemtrails section?

2018-11-13T15:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062374103242301440

@Alien_Scientist @taoeffect If itâ□□s a fallacy then itâ□□s certainly one that AE911 are guilty of. And more so, as they represent an extreme minority position - asserting absolute proof of CD.

2018-11-13T03:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062184960516214784

@AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist Sure I can.

2018-11-12T19:49:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062069928751067136

@_lets_do_it_ @MarkuzOcampo Then how do you know that "level" always means "planar?"

2018-11-12T16:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062018837657214982

@_lets_do_it_ @MarkuzOcampo

https://www.metabunk.org/curvature-and-refraction-in-surveying-and-leveling-through-history-old-books-etc.t8856/

2018-11-12T16:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1062017098778533888

@taoeffect @Alien_Scientist I did not claim to have polls. â□□Numerousâ□□ is not the same thing as a high perecentage.

2018-11-12T11:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061945963231686656

@1sosublimeM @Marfoogle And seriously, are you entirely lacking in imagination? You can't think of a single reason why someone would change storage locations?

2018-11-12T11:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061945673191313410

@1sosublimeM @Marfoogle What does moving them have to do with anything? They are grave liners. They get used a lot as millions of people die every year. They store them somewhere.

2018-11-12T11:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061945299978006528

@Alien_Scientist How many active high-rise structural engineers? Zero.

2018-11-12T11:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061944805628928005

@Alien_Scientist @stephenfry None of those connections are at all surprising. NIST is a big scientific organization. The article is just dot connecting predicated on "the substantial evidence for the use of explosives at the WTC" which does not actually exist.

2018-11-12T11:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061942445196247040

@Alien_Scientist Perhaps start by explaining why "they" needed nanothermite, when they already flew planes into the buildings and set them on fire. And all of mainstream, engineering agrees that's would cause the collapse.

2018-11-12T11:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061941786828922880

@Alien_Scientist So what is your next step? How can you effectively proceed from here? Perhaps focus on making a better case?

2018-11-12T10:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061930979328122880

@Marfoogle @1sosublimeM Would you believe it if I checked my grammar?

2018-11-12T10:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061930645096554496

@Alien_Scientist No, pretty much entirely unlike that.

2018-11-11T21:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061738704857849856

@MarkuzOcampo Look it up.

2018-11-11T16:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061660939651436550

@Alien_Scientist Regardless of the truth of your "who did 9/11" inforgraphic's claims, the issue of if WT7 and Towers were destroyed by controlled demolition is very important. It's like investigating a murder assuming someone was shot, when they were actually poisoned.

2018-11-11T04:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061475018830278657

@wehadalaugh @taoeffect #12

https://www.nist.gov/topics/disaster-failure-studies/faqs-nist-wtc-towers-investigation

---

2018-11-11T04:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061470083468292096

@wehadalaugh @taoeffect But they did provide an explanation. How do you feel it's lacking?

2018-11-11T03:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061457495720288257

@briansolis @alexisohanian Or as we called it at Neversoft: "Hardcore Week".

Occasionally you might have to work a bit more, but it should not be default behavior.

2018-11-11T02:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061450191994159104

@taoeffect @WTC7Evaluation @truthandshadows Well, I've still not seen a specific and correct objection from you as to the content. Could you possibly focus on one, so we could resolve it?

2018-11-11T02:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061449263010406400

@taoeffect @WTC7Evaluation @truthandshadows I feel just fine thank you. I even cry at the movies. But I think I am (generally) correct. And when I'm wrong I hurry to correct my mistakes.

2018-11-11T02:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061448896503701504

@taoeffect @WTC7Evaluation Well I like to focus on individual claims of evidence. There's aways going to be someone who says "you did not debunk 9/11".

With Hulsey though, I'm waiting for him to actually produce some data.

2018-11-11T02:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061448066866139136

@taoeffect Hmm, I use TweetDeck. Didn't realize this was a problem. Sorry, I'll try to avoid it. So I suppose I should reply to my reply if I want to reply twice?

2018-11-11T02:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061447580712759302

@taoeffect @WTC7Evaluation It has not finished. They have not even submitted a paper for peer-review yet. My debunking was about their premature claim from last year.

2018-11-11T02:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061447202915020800

@taoeffect Sorry, I'm unclear what you mean by "branch threads". I'm just having one conversation with you here.

2018-11-11T02:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061446585320525824

@taoeffect Well, you'd have to convince me I'm wrong first. What did you think of the microspheres thing? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w

---

2018-11-11T02:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061445901833490432

@taoeffect Well what would you prefer that I do? Keep introducing people to 9/11 Truth, or stop debunking the bits I think are false?

2018-11-11T02:21:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061443847002107906

@taoeffect Earlier your were thanking me for introducing people to 9/11. But now you think I should go to jail?

What effect do you think my debunking is having? Do you want to encourage me or stop me?

2018-11-11T02:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061443284348821504

@taoeffect How is that a lie? At worst I'm bad at physics. But I genuinely believe that my experiment is ILLUSTRATIVE of ONE ASPECT of the collapse of the Twin Towers. And I've fully engaged in extensive conversation those who think otherwise (or who misunderstand what I was doing)

2018-11-11T02:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061441370177425408

@taoeffect Example?

2018-11-11T02:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061441286392111104

@taoeffect You seem like a smart chap. PKI isn't trivial (I did my CS degree final year project on it, implementing an RSA protocol.) So I'm curious as to what route got you into believing 9/11 controlled demolition was proven. Did you actually do any of the math involved?

2018-11-11T02:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061440627353649152

@taoeffect Well, you are wrong.

But suppose I was, wouldn't the easiest way to expose me be to point out lies in my book, or on my web site?

Why do you think nobody does this?

2018-11-11T02:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061438626850959361

@taoeffect There's actually a quite long section on Northwood, and another on Tonkin. You might find it interesting - even if you think I'm a shill.

2018-11-11T01:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061430722999705600

@taoeffect No, Iâ□□m focusing on the â□□Controlled Demolitionâ□□ theory, because itâ□□s a HUGE distraction from what actually happened.

2018-11-11T01:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061429419355529217

@taoeffect Itâ□□s true. Iâ□□m a retired video game programmer. I did Tony Hawkâ□□s Pro Skater.

2018-11-11T01:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061426066445594625

@taoeffect Nobody pays me, so I guess you will have to thank me directly.

2018-11-10T00:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061054362393296896

Fixed! Also added the steel forging point, and grouped the various steel temps.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrmfKrFV4AAy2KU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrmfKrFV4AAy2KU.jpg

2018-11-10T00:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1061053874256015360

@moserbr Clearly only a room temperature for reptiles.

Hmm, perhaps I should have gone for 72, I'll change it in the next version

---

2018-11-09T20:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1060999909338361856

@I_Laplante Snow forms higher up, where it is colder. Then it falls down. It just takes a while to melt if it's only slightly above freezing.

2018-11-09T19:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1060978442538168320

If you are interested in #911Truth, you might find this chart of relevant temperatures useful.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrlaIpcU0AALi8D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrlaIpcU0AALi8D.jpg
2018-11-08T19:48:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060620096513564672
@johnmdudley @BadAstronomer This one image shows the entire process.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrgUVwrUUAAAj1l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrgUVwrUUAAAj1l.jpg
2018-11-08T19:46:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060619476838694912
@johnmdudley @BadAstronomer Solitary hybrid contrails. Sometimes one vortex breaks up, allowing the other to persist much longer and make these trails. Many examples and explanation here:
https://www.metabunk.org/sinusoidal-thin-contrails-explained-meandering-solitary-hybrid-contrails-the-rook-instability.t4539/

2018-11-08T18:13:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060596296925372416
@Trexorman And what's the evidence of this?
Military and Intelligence already have black budgets, where the money comes out of the budget, but the destination is classified.

2018-11-08T06:30:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060419248097394688
@Trexorman No more that before. Still really waiting for an audit of the Pentagon.
Can you be more specific about "certain elements"?

2018-11-07T13:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060163837540757504
@Alien_Scientist @vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Looks pretty orange to me. Like embers.

2018-11-07T04:39:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1060028846420877312
RT @themadstone: Just hit me that the House Science Committee will, for the first time in nearly a decade, be led by someone who accepts maâ□¦

2018-11-06T23:14:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1059947105580548097
@larrywilbur71 @lostinnm1 @DanPeacock12 @POTUS @VP @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @HealthRanger Trails get cut off at the same point because the jets are flying into a region of

less-humid air.

2018-11-06T22:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059940253010583552

@larrywilbur71 @DanPeacock12 @lostinnm1 @POTUS @VP @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @HealthRanger Sounds like you're at a bit of a crossroads. Where are you located? You can check the traffic with a site or app like FlightRadar24.

https://www.flightradar24.com/32.12,-86.87/8

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrWqABeUcAEYrq8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrWqABeUcAEYrq8.jpg

2018-11-06T22:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059933034131021825

@larrywilbur71 @lostinnm1 @DanPeacock12 @POTUS @VP @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @HealthRanger If you've always watched the skies then why did you not notice the interesting trails before people told you about them?

Maybe it's always been like that?

2018-11-06T21:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059918711040155649

@AeroSavvy I'm working on improving the gas simulator I used there. Hopefully should be able to use it to illustrate more concepts soon (like a pressurized container)

https://www.metabunk.org/gas/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrWWZi2VsAAbrH-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrWWZi2VsAAbrH-.jpg

2018-11-06T04:51:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059669652903587840

@facebones777 @Pipwhistleblow @ryanbeatty666 @_dudeyourefugly @Theflateartherz A verifiable assumption. Which is the point.

2018-11-05T17:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059498080381222914

@Alien_Scientist @vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout And that link is NOT any kind of official HTA investigation manual. It's an investigation report that Steven Carman wrote in 1994 into some unique fires in Seattle in the 1980s. It's an interesting read though:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrQX4iBU8AMOO0c.jpg

To fully appreciate the complexities of these fires, investigators will not be able to rely solely on conventional wisdom of fire behavior, but must gain a full understanding of scientific principles intrinsic to combustion. A reliance on experience gained only at regular fires may not provide the rational basis upon which an HTA fire cause must be established. Investigators must be able to appreciate concepts such as HTA-like damage being natural to accidental fires, rapid fire growth being inherent to certain scenarios, and melted metals indicating nothing more than a substantial draft.

Carman, p 149

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrQX4iBU8AMOO0c.jpg

2018-11-05T16:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059489486805987328

@Alien_Scientist @vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout I think it's pretty clear that the majority of people in that video are using the terms "soften" and "melt" synonymously. They don't mean "melt" as in "turn into a white hot flowing liquid"

2018-11-05T16:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059488633521004544

@Alien_Scientist @AHome4Electrons @vernon911truth @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout What is the "simple test for thermite" that you keep referring to?

2018-11-05T16:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059488492483358720

@Alien_Scientist @vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Actually there seems to be quite a few characteristics lacking, like white flames and sparks, usually rapid flashover, and non-anecdotal melted steel. Also there was an obvious cause of the fires, with the planes crashing into the buildings

---

2018-11-05T00:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059242546965037057

@nemo_gratis @DanPeacock12 @POTUS @HealthRanger Yes, it does. It says: "His US experiment, conducted with American James Anderson, will take place within a year and involve the release of tens or hundreds of kilograms of particles"

2018-11-04T17:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1059129174479130624

@nemo_gratis @DanPeacock12 @POTUS @HealthRanger It's actually only about 10Kg. Which is thousands of grams, not thousands of tonnes.
About 25 pounds.

2018-11-02T19:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058448479050096640

@junglovn @Ibox327 @VaesNico @sara8smiles Proposing something as a solution does not mean it exists as a physical thing. There are proposals for a space elevator (and lots of research into the technology needed), but no space elevator exists.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBdJ9PU4AAyRDa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBdJ9PU4AAyRDa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBdFj1U4AEM_2D.jpg

2018-11-02T19:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058447654013652992

@lbox327 @VaesNico @junglovn @sara8smiles Two pictures of contrails (hybrid contrails - entrained in the wake vortices and undergoing crow instability decay). One blurry white photo, possible spider silk from ballooning spiders. An MD-11 (N256UP) bought by UPS, before painting, 2006.

2018-11-02T19:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058440483305742336

Why do aircraft lay trails and then later it sometimes rains? Often it's because contrails are forming in the humid high air that arrives ahead of a warm front (over 1000 miles ahead sometimes), like cirrus clouds do. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBVmNtVsAESTXP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBVmNtVsAESTXP.jpg

2018-11-02T19:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058439929326268416

@lbox327 @VaesNico @junglovn @sara8smiles Notice here where contrails are (next to Cirrus). A warm front is heralded by high clouds before it arrives. Contrails are high clouds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBVQabUUAE_Ka_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DrBVQabUUAE_Ka_.jpg

2018-11-02T18:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058433294528724992

@VaesNico @junglovn @lbox327 @sara8smiles It's kind of tricky to both claim that "chemtrails" started in the 1940s, and simultaneously claim as evidence that you never noticed them until you heard of them. Interestingly J. Marvin Herndon never saw contrails until 2014! https://www.metabunk.org/how-rogue-scientist-j-marvin-herndon-disproved-the-last-resort-of-the-chemtrail-theory.t6734/

2018-11-02T18:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058426998542880768

@VaesNico @junglovn @lbox327 @sara8smiles You might find these books relevant. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-11-02T18:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058426624108978177

@tembeep Are chemtrails considered paranormal now?

2018-11-02T00:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1058152362097831936

Here's an interactive version of the gas universe simulator. With explanatory video (already a bit out of date, as I've added particle add/remove with the mouse, and a "floor" mode).

https://www.metabunk.org/gas/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IvIUUJMNBXE

2018-11-01T06:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057877355144765440

@vernon911truth Because they are not, and they don't.

2018-10-31T20:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057731528711532544

An ideal pairing of books: Escaping the Rabbit Hole and The Skeptics Guide to the Universe. @skepticsguide provides a lot of tools and info for how to think skeptically. ETRH helps you understand and help those who do not.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510735801/

https://www.amazon.com/Skeptics-Guide-Universe-Really-Increasingly/dp/1538760533/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dq3QNjGV4AAH_I-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dq3QNjGV4AAH_I-.jpg

2018-10-31T17:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057687395389317126

If space is a vacuum, then why doesn't the Earth's atmosphere get sucked out into space? To understand this, you have to understand a bit about what a gas actually is, and how it's the motion of the molecules that makes air pressure.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p62NS-q57vQ

2018-10-31T16:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057666537744560128

@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout It's not flowing in any of those clips. There's something

glowing orange, possibly burning material, and some bits fall off it. Compare with an actual steel pour, which is white hot, not orange. And you can't pick it up, as it's liquid.
https://youtu.be/OSCQ6jdGgco?t=91

2018-10-31T08:43:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057553548643160067
@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Where's the flowing in that video? Timestamp please.

2018-10-31T08:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057552138413387776
@jameswzegan85 @ColoradoSkyEyes @skeptropolis @SciManDan
https://youtu.be/p62NS-q57vQ

2018-10-30T23:18:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057411441156751360
@cjcmichel Did anyone grab the video before it was taken down?

2018-10-30T22:39:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057401619380228097
@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout If that metal is molten then why is it solid?

2018-10-30T16:54:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057314734725197824
@jameswzegan85 @ColoradoSkyEyes @skeptropolis @SciManDan I'm going to make a video explaining the gas/vacuum thing later today. Preview:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqxWIllV4AAzQCC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqxWIllV4AAzQCC.jpg
2018-10-30T16:50:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1057313747381837825

@GalacticService Everything is made of chemicals, but you don't put "chem" in front of everything, do you?

The point of calling something a "chemtrail" is to distinguish it from a contrail. i.e. it's saying it is not water condensation, but some other chemical.

2018-10-30T14:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057283299184263168

@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Well, I'm sure I'll get around to it eventually.

2018-10-30T14:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057283110738350087

@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Something red and glowing does not mean molten iron.

2018-10-30T14:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057272073221885953

@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout It's not simultaneous, just very quick. A stiff structure, low buckling, and load transfer will ensure it.

2018-10-30T14:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057271601412984833

@AHome4Electrons @vernon911truth @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout It is a building collapsing due to fire. It exhibits many of the "clues" of both the Towers and Building 7. It should be evidence that fire can do this, but instead AE911Truth have embraced it as another secret nanothermite example.

2018-10-30T14:01:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057271215524478977

@AHome4Electrons @vernon911truth @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout Why unlikely? How did you calculate the odds? Planes don't fly into buildings often. 110 story buildings don't fall on other buildings often. There were known extraordinary events, so not surprising there were extraordinary outcomes.

2018-10-30T13:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057270854273257474

@AHome4Electrons @vernon911truth @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout No, the floors really did not offer much resistance. It was the columns that held up the building, and the increasing and accelerating wave of thousands of tons of steel and concrete mostly fell past the columns - because they are only a tiny area of the building's cross-section

---

2018-10-30T13:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057270111990538241

@AHome4Electrons Escaping the Rabbit Hole is on Audible.

https://www.audible.com/pd/Escaping-the-Rabbit-Hole-Audiobook/1982540966

2018-10-30T05:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057146500147437568

@vernon911truth @AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @911TruthBurnout 1% resistance is still tons, just not visually different to free fall.

2018-10-30T02:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1057096794180472832

@7thHouseOfK Itâ□□s been around for a while. Grew in usage a bit in the 1960s.

2018-10-29T18:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056980989510017024

@richierigg1 Those are photos of ballast barrels. Used during testing of new aircraft to simulate passengers. Like these: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqsme4eV4AQ9gNk.jpg

---



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqsme4eV4AQ9gNk.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqsmc5bUcAA9M2b.jpg

2018-10-29T18:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056980590879170560

@AHome4Electrons @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout It was not free fall, just very close. Buckling of columns removes most of their strength, so it fell at a rate indistinguishable from free fall, like 99%

2018-10-29T18:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056980214562021377

@LondonSkywatch @GeoeWatchDebunk @shmchuck @GeoengWatch @caitlinocoilean @OpChemtrails @mumonamission5 Volumetric shadows stop when they reach the edge of what they are casting shadows through (or, like flat shadows, at the edge of the shadow itself).

2018-10-29T04:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056757871650590720

@Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout So which first?

2018-10-28T17:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056606041155100672

@__dregs__ @JoeUscinski Yeah, over time the political context is lost, and the conspiracy believers are less politically polarized. Like with 9/11, where it was more anti-Republican at the time. Now it's just anti-establishment.

2018-10-28T17:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056599286249545728

@WTFUProductions No.

2018-10-28T17:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056596154132029440

Before conspiracy theories were called "conspiracy theories" they were called "devil theories". Blame all your problem on a "mysterious clique".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqnH4ryU0AEB3OB.jpg



The Salt Lake Tribune

Page 16A Saturday Morning, June 20, 1964

Marquis Childs

Goldwaterites Have Scapegoat Ready

WASHINGTON—Will a Goldwater ticket offer a true test of the Goldwaterites' claim that the country has been waiting for a chance to vote for a real conservative?

Until recently moderates and liberals in both parties were saying that it might be well to let the senator have it and show by his overwhelming rejection that there was no substance to this claim. Partly this was in the conviction that President Johnson was in any event unbeatable.

Mr. Childs

But there are doubts as to whether the hard-core Goldwater followers would accept the results of such a test. In fact, if the senator fails of the nomination, or if nominated he is defeated, they will find a scapegoat to blame.

Their suspicions are already centering on the likely scapegoat — the press and the other media of communication.

Otherwise quite rational-seeming Republicans are saying that the press has distorted Goldwater's views. Ignoring the great bulk of the senator's own writings in two books, innumerable speeches and interviews making plain his all-out stands on both foreign and domestic policy, they see the press deliberately presenting him as an extremist. It is only a short step to the conclusion that a secret conspiracy is at work to frustrate the senator and keep him from the presidency.

The conspiracy or devil theory of politics simplifies life for those who embrace it. Any setback can be blamed on the devils conspiring against you.

THE DEVIL for the Goldwaterites is something variously called "the eastern establishment" or "the eastern conspiracy," and at its heart are press, radio and television.

At times the senator himself has seemed to lean on the conspiracy theory. One of the strangest episodes in his California primary campaign was the private press conference he held in the offices of the San Diego Union, a newspaper favorable to his cause. Only six reporters were personally invited by Goldwater to this conference.

They quoted him as talking about a "mysterious clique" of eastern Republicans.

This "mysterious clique" had tried to get General Eisenhower to join the stop-Goldwater movement. They had failed. The press was distorting what he had said about the possibility of using low-yield nuclear weapons to defoliate the trees in Viet Nam and making it sound as though he had advocated the use of nuclear bombs which he had not done.

In its farthest-out form, the "mysterious clique" or conspiracy theory was seen in a leaflet distributed by the thousands in the hotel lobby at the Cleveland governors' conference and presumably being circulated throughout the country.

IN EFFECT an attack on New York as the center of the conspiracy, it opens with a quotation from Senator Robert A. Taft explaining why he was defeated for the nomination by General Eisenhower in 1952:

"First it was the power of of the New York financial interests and a large number of businessmen subject to New York influence . . . second, four-fifths of the influential newspapers in the country were opposed to me continuously and vociferously and many turned themselves into propaganda sheets for my opponent . . ."

BUT ASSAILING New York as a center "alien" to America, the leaflet goes on to the easy logic of the conspiracy view: ". . . In the past 12 presidential elections, 12 of the nominees of the two major political parties were New Yorkers, some by birth and some by adoption. During this time, Communist domination in the world has grown from 40,000 to more than one billion people."

Today most of the influential newspapers oppose Senator Goldwater. There is no secret about their opposition. They are for the most part Republican in orientation and on the practical side they do not believe Goldwater has a chance to carry the big-city states essential to win.

Furthermore, they are fearful that if he heads the ticket many congressmen and state legislatures will be lost.

On the side of ideas, the Goldwater views in recent years on the United Nations, the federal income tax, Social Security and the Tennessee Valley Authority raise grave doubts about the senator's judgment if he were to become president. These doubts have been expressed in editorials in newspapers across the country and not just in the east. Many of these same newspapers opposed Senator Taft and favored General Eisenhower for much the same reasons.

The devil theory — the conspiracy of a "mysterious clique" — is consoling because it puts the hero beyond any judgment of his acts or his ideas. But it automatically rules out any real test of voter sentiment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqnH4ryU0AEB3OB.jpg

2018-10-28T16:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056580556383645696

@GeoeWatchDebunk Well he retweeted some memes. It's not clear how much he believed in them. Just these: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqm6UoAVAAEs-YI.jpg

---





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqm6LuUU0AAXC8O.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqm6UoAVAAEs-YI.jpg

Julius Cesar Milan @hardrockintlent · Sep 19



Julius Cesar Milan @hardrockintlent · Sep 19



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqm6Rh6U4AAKQBG.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqm6OrdU0AAvTPH.jpg

2018-10-28T15:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056575131680616448

A fascinating 1964 article on the prevalence of JFK assassination conspiracy theories in Europe.

Just a year after the event, just before the release of the Warren commission report. As now, belief in political conspiracies was strongly influence by ideology.
@JoeUscinski http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqmz3DzUUAA1VYA.jpg



Expect European Leftists To Dispute Warren Report

LONDON (AP) — The Warren commission's report on President John F. Kennedy's assassination is certain to produce a storm of controversy in Europe if it holds that the accused killer, Lee Harvey Oswald, acted alone.

An Associated Press survey of nine European countries today showed that leftist circles will take the lead in charging a whitewash if the commission's report, to be made public Sunday, upholds the FBI's version of the Dallas killing.

Europeans in general have regarded reports from Dallas with feelings ranging from misgiving to outright suspicion. Leftist organizations have long subscribed to the theory, that the assassination was a rightist conspiracy with Oswald as its tool and that Dallas nightclub operator Jack Ruby shot Oswald to silence him.

The publication in Europe, as a newspaper serial and as a book, of "Who Killed Kennedy?" by Baltimore reporter Thomas G. Buchanan, has added to the doubt. So has New York lawyer Mark Lane, who toured the continent denying that Oswald was the assassin.

A "Who Killed Kennedy" committee has been formed in Britain by Bertrand Russel, 92, the philosopher and pacifist.

But British opposition to the Warren report probably will generally be confined to leftists.

In eight continental countries, this is the situation:

Italy — Immediately after the killing there was widespread speculation that the assassination might have been part of a plot. But the majority of the Italian press came around to the view that the assassination was the work only of Oswald. Left-wing papers persist in raising the plot theory.

France — If the Warren commission says Oswald acted alone, there will very likely be widespread feelings of an attempt to cover up a plot. The U.S. police, particularly the Dallas force, will undoubtedly be accused either of inefficiency or of a politically motivated cover-up.

No amount of evidence presented up to now makes the average Frenchman — or most newspaper editors — believe it was a cut-and-dried, one-man killing.

West Germany — A book advancing conspiracy theory is a best-seller. Its author, Hungarian-born Hans Habe, spent the war years in the United States and now lives in Switzerland. His book, "Death in Dallas" claims reactionary millionaires and white trash conspired to kill Kennedy.

The Netherlands — Dutch newspapers have shown great interest in the assassination. The theory of an extreme right-wing conspiracy continues in leftist circles.

Belgium — Leftists subscribe generally to the theory of a rightist plot. Newspapers of other political coloring generally have adopted a wait-and-see attitude although conflicting or mysterious points of evidence have frequently been pointed out.

Norway — The liberal afternoon newspaper Dagbladet published the Buchanan conspiracy story in serial form. Otherwise only Orientering, weekly organ of the tiny People's Socialist party, has published stories supporting the conspiracy theory.

Sweden — The conspiracy theory has had widespread publication. Doubts are expected to return to many with the issuing of the Warren commission report.

Denmark — The belief in a conspiracy is rather widespread, and several newspapers have shown skepticism that Oswald acted alone. Many editors suspect he was silenced.

Sept 25, 1964. Centrailia Sentinel

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqmz3DzUUAA1VYA.jpg
2018-10-28T07:00:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1056440674151686146
@Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout Why donâ□□t you?

2018-10-28T03:40:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1056390112131477506
@PrayerwarriorG It's the weather. High altitude humidity. I'm in Sacramento, and I guess you are similar, as I saw lots of contrails today. See the dark green band between SF and Tahoe in the 30,000 foot chart here.
https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqkNBJ0U0AAgmiY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqkNBJ0U0AAgmiY.jpg

2018-10-28T03:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056389153649188864

Iron microspheres! Do they indicate that high temperature incendiaries were used to demolish the World Trade Center? No. There's actually several reasons why they would be found in the dust, including some you can replicate at home.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w

2018-10-28T03:33:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056388560389984257

@Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout So here's what I was doing during my brief absence from Facebook today.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hyFIHZwMm4w

2018-10-28T03:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056382969961574400

@911news @Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout Neither, I'm just some guy.

2018-10-28T02:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056377299237388289

@Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout Is that why you said I blocked you, because I did not continue a conversation once? You don't seem blocked to me.

2018-10-28T02:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056377118290960385

@Alien_Scientist @Metabunk @911truthnews @911news @AE911Truth @vernon911truth @911TruthBurnout How does one "run away" from a thread? I had other things to do. I'm still

here.

2018-10-27T16:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056215277967355905

@SakoSemizyan You should get the FlightRadar24 app for your phone. Then you can point it at the planes and it will tell you what they are, where they are from, and where they are going.

2018-10-27T15:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056213098384642048

@SakoSemizyan Yes, it depends on the plane's altitude. Notice not a lot of clouds in the sky, which shows the conditions were not favorable for cloud formation.

2018-10-27T14:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056192173442355200

@Ripp_Savage @alley167 I parked my car outside for years, lots of people do. It was fine, they design them that way. Also thereâ□□s nothing to stop you washing it occasionally.

2018-10-27T02:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1056007361683279874

@DARgirl92 @Ripp_Savage @alley167 Obtuse? It's regularly 110Â° here.
Look, lots of people have seen this van, there's lots of photos of it. It's not new.
He's been like this for a while.

2018-10-27T01:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1055988712847233025

@Ripp_Savage @alley167 It's pretty clever to be doing it back in 2017 though? How did they plan so far in advance?

https://twitter.com/lesleyabravanel/status/925768015244873728

2018-10-27T00:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1055984323038334977

@lyn_musk He was at Trumps inauguration. He was a fan.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqecEW7XQAAn_Kc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqecEW7XQAAn_Kc.jpg
2018-10-26T21:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055930737923256320
Accused #MAGABomber's @hardrockintlent account was tweeting threats against @CNN back in July. Suggesting the CNN building would burn on the 4th.
@EliotHiggins http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqdrGLAX0AAtvjP.jpg

Julius Cesar Milan @hardrockintlent · Jul 2

Replying to @CNN @CNNOpinion



1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqdrGLAX0AAtvjP.jpg

Julius Cesar Milan @hardrockintlent · Jul 2

Replying to @hardrockintlent @CNN @CNNOpinion

A great 4 th day coming we heard.Watch it live CNN building



1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dqdq-s9WwAAWOLn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqdrDCXW4AA3-Jz.jpg

2018-10-26T20:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1055916512563712001

@JakeGodin @EliotHiggins @thereal_mo01 It's the same image that he wore when he attended Trumps inauguration.

The account has now been suspended by twitter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqdeSGrXgAAGz-9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqdeSGrXgAAGz-9.jpg
2018-10-26T03:53:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055668807815983104
@SakoSemizyan I did that five years ago. I'd be happy to do it again.
https://www.metabunk.org/wigington-west-geoengineering-debate.t2211/

2018-10-26T03:23:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055661155652272129
@SakoSemizyan Yes

2018-10-25T22:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055593561310121984
@stang289 @joerogan Only in a battle of the wits I've got the reach, but he's got everything else.

2018-10-25T22:04:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055580838828503040
Working on my seven candles / one punch technique ...
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1055580547748114432/pu/img/mYWqkW2t2Mr5o_ZX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1055580547748114432/pu/img/mYWqkW2t2Mr5o_ZX.jpg
2018-10-25T20:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055561166146039808
@tomasaguillen @MilfSquadYT @hoaxeye @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics I'd just been waiting for an excuse to make a vortex cannon. :)

2018-10-25T20:41:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055559956890451968
@MilfSquadYT @hoaxeye @tomasaguillen @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics I did it! Punched out 7 candles! Took me two punches, but the second one was from the full distance, so still counts. (Some assistance from vortex cannon and editing) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enePoDBch-E

2018-10-25T20:31:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055557424168415232
@MilfSquadYT @hoaxeye @tomasaguillen @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics I made a vortex cannon! Crap, but does the job. Video of fake candle punch to follow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqYXuktXcAUBtKL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqYXuktXcAUBtKL.jpg
2018-10-25T19:01:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055534877179752448
@MilfSquadYT @hoaxeye @tomasaguillen @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics Yes, and I noticed that in the "behind teh scenes". I'm leaning back toward "hoax" again. Back to my original "vortex cannon" hypothesis.
Hmm, I've always wanted to make a vortex cannon...

2018-10-25T17:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055514257175961603
@Alien_Scientist Well it's obviously flakes of paint and rusty steel, as it came off my wheelbarrow. The microsphere are shiny and magnetic, so are almost certainly iron rich. I don't have an NMR machine handy.

2018-10-25T17:11:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055507040523571200
@hoaxeye @tomasaguillen @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics Although after a few attempts at replication, I'm starting to doubt it again....

2018-10-25T16:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055494236844380161
@tomasaguillen @hoaxeye @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics So I retract my previous guess. With the boxing gloves on and a fast punch it seems plausible. He rotates his hand, displacing more air. More of a waving action than a punch.

2018-10-25T16:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1055492621928284160
@tomasaguillen @hoaxeye @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion @PhysicsVideo_ @physorg_com @APSphysics Maybe so. Here's a behind-the-scenes video. It actually looks quite plausible here. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yi5257MyiaE

2018-10-25T15:14:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055477817503186944
@hoaxeye @tomasaguillen @RootsOfCombat @CDisillusion Iâ□□d guess a composite shot using a vortex cannon.

2018-10-25T01:26:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055269444144877569
@ColoradoSkyEyes Science is still models, even if you disagree with them.
You can check them. Which is what the calculator is. An approximate model of the Earth that you can check.
You can also derive the model yourself, with the radius calculator
https://www.metabunk.org/radius/

2018-10-25T00:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1055261397695614976
@ColoradoSkyEyes @keigh_see @RocketRagnar @USMCNoggin @Captainswoop1 @jelew311 @jbrownridge @J5_Project @mortisford @scientologyuk @james_dalgetty @KorbinWasilews1 @jameswzegan85 @_lets_do_it_ @jon_hill987 @CobraElDiablo @doctorbuttons @DavidJayB @ColdDimSum @FearTheSphere_ @vaughanweather @BamaDan78 @rockytech @FlatSlugbrains @readyornotfory2 @SimonGr41594862 @pashton65 @captscorch @BillJPoe @UnCastellsMes @Col_Sandurz @MechaPanda9K @Busterbigdangle @earthisaglobe @KeighseeToo @Geek_0nline @MisterStrawman @ThinkyTexan @skeptropolis @LeoRosenhamar @PaperForSale @Feather_Ise @globemenot @RidiculousBS @Foulkesy1 @caperguy33 @twatterfull @WBislin @SalsFromDaCrypt @maxheadroom1983 Science is models, and as such it's the best approximation of reality that we currently have (and one we seek to improve). Which model fits empirical reality best?
https://www.wired.com/story/science-isnt-about-the-truth-its-about-building-models/

2018-10-23T23:55:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1054884146449801217
@ASpinOfTheWheel @roarbro Seems highly unlikely. Iron filings/powder are fairly dense. You would be hard pressed to get anything more than showers of sparks in anything other than the most contrived situations. They are a good explanation for microspheres, but not for explosions.

2018-10-23T23:32:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1054878146451845122
@devgru1980mi Specifics?

2018-10-23T22:42:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1054865622473957381
RT @rdfrs: After devoting the past fifteen years to online educational projects such as

MorgellonsWatch(dot)com & Metabunk(dot)org, he hasâ¦

2018-10-23T20:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054831632908136448

@ASpinOfTheWheel @roarbro Well itâ□□s hot enough to melt steel.

2018-10-23T18:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054806893640568832

@roarbro @ASpinOfTheWheel I don't think you could get the same effect with iron powder. It would be an interesting thing to try though. Something like:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CnqPZhX-jtI

2018-10-23T18:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054797444322492416

@ASpinOfTheWheel I made a quick video just now. It illustrate how the power would only burn as individual sparks in air, and not in bulk with a flame.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7cnpuybJP2o&feature=youtu.be

2018-10-23T17:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054793912861118464

@FlatSlugbrains @USMCNoggin @ColoradoSkyEyes @keigh_see @jbrownridge @skeptropolis @forthemasses @J5_Project @jelew311 @mortisford @scientologyuk @james_dalgetty @KorbinWasilews1 @jameswzegan85 @_lets_do_it_ @jon_hill987 @CobraElDiablo @doctorbuttons @DavidJayB @ColdDimSum @FearTheSphere_ @vaughanweather @BamaDan78 @rockytech @readyornotfory2 @SimonGr41594862 @pashton65 @captscorch @BillJPoe @UnCastellsMes @Col_Sandurz @MechaPanda9K @Busterbigdangle @earthisaglobe @KeighseeToo @Geek_0nline @MisterStrawman @ThinkyTexan @LeoRosenhamar @PaperForSale @Feather_Ise @globemenot @RidiculousBS @Foulkesy1 @caperguy33 @twatterfull @WBislin @SalsFromDaCrypt @maxheadroom1983 @JWontObey I was saying the calculator assumed the standard value of R (radius of Earth) was correct. I did not say there was no evidence for it. The simple fact that the calculator matches reality is evidence the value is correct.

2018-10-23T17:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054789538172686336

@ASpinOfTheWheel So essentially you get a shower of sparks that are individually incredibly hot, but don't, in total, contain a lot of heat.

2018-10-23T17:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054789078346956800

@ASpinOfTheWheel No. Iron in air only burns in small (or very thin) pieces, so the amount of energy is small. You can't really ignite a pile of fine iron powder, it needs to be in suspension (in air)

2018-10-23T17:09:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054781935388581889

@ASpinOfTheWheel If passed through a flame it would ignite the smaller particles. This

mechanism would probably be a significant source of both iron microspheres, and small paint chips with a layer of iron-rich material on the back.

2018-10-23T17:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054781148599996422

@devgru1980mi For example? What's the best bit of new evidence?

2018-10-23T16:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054766189719638017

When steel fails under tension, the shock wave blows off the entire surface in a fine dust of iron-rich flakes. https://youtu.be/vPBM0g9usMs?t=184

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DqNG5kEU8AA8zat.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DqNG5kEU8AA8zat.jpg

2018-10-23T15:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054762465790029826

@devgru1980mi Why, is there some new video? Some other new evidence?

2018-10-21T20:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1054114959322312704

Gave a copy of my book to @stephenfry as some small thanks for giving it such a good blurb.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqD39qIVsAEU94C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DqD39qIVsAEU94C.jpg

2018-10-20T05:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1053513063448510464

@LondonSkywatch @shmchuck @GeoengWatch @caitlinocoilean @OpChemtrails @mumonamission5 Volumetric shadows.

2018-10-20T05:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1053512896666230785

@GeorgeHrab Hereâ□□s a quarter ounce, which I ignited .... incorrectly.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1053512843176235009/pu/img/l5Lcyjhax7dXj7Bx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1053512843176235009/pu/img/l5Lcyjhax7dXj7Bx.jpg

2018-10-18T04:52:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1052784370568687617
@Earths_a_Plane @Oswald1160 @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex So why has nobody noticed, except a plucky few people who dislike geometry and optics?

How would this cover-up even work? Do you think I'm getting paid to cover up the flat Earth? Or do you think I'm stupid?

2018-10-17T18:13:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1052623710618677250
Arc welding energetically zaps a little puddle of molten steel with a spark, splashing up thousand of microscopic drops of steel. The dark dust that results from welding is not soot, it is tiny balls of steel.
https://youtu.be/rB9n9Mt68J0?t=7

2018-10-16T18:43:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1052268910219747328
@Earths_a_Plane @Oswald1160 @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex I've looked into scores of claims like these. They don't hold up to scrutiny.

2018-10-16T17:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1052250135537672192
@Earths_a_Plane @Oswald1160 @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Take a picture of something tall and recognizable. Do it from multiple heights.

2018-10-16T00:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051986544628658176
@adampfinch I question everything. And where I trust, I also verify.

I've spent nearly a decade looking into 9/11 claims and counter-claims. I don't just assume things. I check them.

2018-10-15T23:59:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051986046429188097
@yorky0982 That's great, thanks!

2018-10-15T22:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051957819698597888
@adampfinch Who always believes the official narrative? Nobody I know.
That does not mean you have to automatically believe the opposite though.

2018-10-15T20:46:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051937383363403776
@adampfinch Why, so we can murder firemen?

What could possibly be the reason?

2018-10-15T19:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051913127267168256

@adampfinch So, they wanted to demolish the Plasco building, so they secretly rigged it with silent nanothermite, then lit the building on fire, waited until a lot had burnt, then blew it up carefully in sequence to make it look like a progressive collapse, killing 20 firefighters. Why? How?

2018-10-15T19:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051912733610790912

@adampfinch Okay then let's just go with "Lots of firemen died."

2018-10-15T18:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051898458234212352

@adampfinch The Iranians did an investigation. It was just a fire. Lots of firemen died.

2018-10-15T18:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051896861819166720

Apparently I have some "partially reacted thermite" coating my red wheelbarrow!

(First photo is from a 9/11 conspiracy site. Next three are from my wheelbarrow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpkWO5HV4AAyY0G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpkWDahUUAA_k51.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpkV3WVU0AAxu8l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpkWO5HV4AAyY0G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpkV0jqUYAArPLa.jpg
2018-10-15T13:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051830710862925826
@Sue_Suliman @ziyadnoo @iM_SMS @AAldoraihem @Joyce_Karam The wikipedia article used her statement as the source of his birthdate. Currently it's removed, and under discussion.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Jamal_Khashoggi#Birthdate?

2018-10-15T13:34:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051828778421248001
@Sue_Suliman @ziyadnoo @iM_SMS @AAldoraihem @Joyce_Karam No, the 1/7 (1 Rajab) is Hijri. And his passport has a 1/7 HIjri date.

See:
https://www.alarabiya.net/ar/saudi-today/2015/04/20/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%8A%D9%88%D9%85-1-7-%D9%85%D9%8A%D9%84%D8%A7%D8%AF-%D8%BA%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A8%D9%8A%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B3%D8%B9%D9%88%D8%AF%D9%8A%D9%8A%D9%86-%D9%88%D9%85%D9%88%D8%B9%D8%AF-%D8%AA%D9%82%D8%A7%D8%B9%D8%AF%D9%87%D9%85-.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpjYXoHU4AEFhlC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpjYXoHU4AEFhlC.jpg

2018-10-15T01:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051644891627835392

@ziyadnoo @iM_SMS @AAldoraihem @Joyce_Karam Just one Instagram post As far as I know. What else?

2018-10-14T20:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051571681238573056

@Abdullahsaad_50 @Joyce_Karam Got a link to him saying this?

2018-10-14T20:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051563821800677377

@Abdullahsaad_50 @Joyce_Karam So says Saudi media. Which family members have spoken publicly on this?

They only met in May.

2018-10-14T19:40:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051558265371279361

@Abdullahsaad_50 @Joyce_Karam At least according to Google Translate.

2018-10-14T19:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051555708787773440

@Abdullahsaad_50 @Joyce_Karam Yes, it says "With my wife, children and grandchildren I celebrated my 54th birthday in Jeddah."

2018-10-14T19:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051553465678217216

@Joyce_Karam Multiple sources also say that the "official" January date is not the real birthdate. It's a consequence of all pre-1975 births being listed as 1/7 (1st day of Rajab).

2018-10-14T18:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051545485364858880

@iM_SMS @AAldoraihem @Joyce_Karam 1-7-1440 is 22 Jan 1958. But 1-7 is a placeholder used for all Saudi's born before 1975, due to bad government records. It's not his birthday.

2018-10-14T17:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051519285703540737

@iM_SMS @Joyce_Karam Depends which calendar you use. 1-7-1440 (his "Hijri birthday") is September 18, 2018

2018-10-14T16:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051516972104437760

@MashhourII Well she said that's when the party was. The Hijrah calendar might account for this.

Where's the passport photo from?

https://www.metabunk.org/when-was-jamal-khashoggi-born-and-when-was-his-birthday.t10047/#

2018-10-14T16:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051516371471818752

@Joyce_Karam Hijri calandar may account for differences. Kashogi's birthdate on the passport is 1-7-1477 in Hijrha, 22 Jan 1958 in Grecorian. This year is 1440, and 1-7-1440 (his "Hijri birthday") is September 18, 2018, a few weeks ago.

https://www.metabunk.org/when-was-jamal-khashoggi-born-and-when-was-his-birthday.t10047/

2018-10-14T15:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051496251416408064

@adampfinch https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=50eOzUHZkP8

2018-10-14T00:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051269198385561601

Iron microspheres on a dollar bill, with a ballpoint pen for scale.

(Illuminati symbolism used ironically.) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpbbNLIU8AI61w8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpbbNLlU8AI61w8.jpg
2018-10-13T22:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051232981107404800
Amazon UK has Escaping the Rabbit Hole in stock again! Their "1-2 Months" delivery time has magically dropped to 1-2 days!

https://www.amazon.co.uk/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510735801/

2018-10-13T20:34:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1051209601415176192
@LondonSkywatch They are already "colliding". The opposing winds kind of cancel each other out. Although it's bit more three-dimensional than that.
https://earth.nullschool.net/#current/wind/surface/level/orthographic=-7.91,44.22,1097
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpalhxAVsAAaTwC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpalhxAVsAAaTwC.jpg

2018-10-13T20:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051204172933083136

@UnityBearer @lorrainestarr Yes, I'm great with a cup of cocoa and some digestives. However I didn't really cover that in the book.

2018-10-13T18:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051182799602966528

RT @lorrainestarr: I canâ□□t wait to read this by my old and very respected colleague @MickWest my timeline is laden with conspiracy theoriesâ□¦

2018-10-13T18:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051181275594153986

@PJMcGuirk85 There's a mixture. I certainly don't want to be preaching to the choir.

2018-10-13T18:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051177803750694913

The best quality World Trade Center 7 (WTC7) collapse video suffers from being zoomed in on the top of the building. This gives something of misleading impression of how big it is. Here I composite it into a wider scene from later. 4K to preserve detail.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QiFxm16-MQ0

2018-10-13T15:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051128106872754176

@wanderinganimal @EdDarrell @DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @Kal1_Furies @MichaelBringsli @SkywatchI @Rebelrot1994 @stopspraying @investorjsw No. I bother because itâ□□s a distraction from real issues.

2018-10-13T15:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1051127845785821185

@wanderinganimal @EdDarrell @DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @Kal1_Furies @MichaelBringsli @SkywatchI @Rebelrot1994 @stopspraying @investorjsw Probably because the film was set in Roman times, before planes.

2018-10-12T18:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050813766852460544

@CalebOnTwatter Might be a while. It's only $14.71 on Amazon. https://amzn.to/2ydsm1K

2018-10-12T15:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050763953427513351

RT @ElizabethAWhite: "Mick West has put forth a conclusive, well-researched, practical reference on why people fall down the conspiracy theâ¦

2018-10-12T06:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050641900070260737

I had a fun time talking about my book, and making some iron microspheres live on stage, at the Bay Area Skeptics talk in Berkeley.

https://twitter.com/BASkeptics/status/1050582042142892033

2018-10-10T22:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050145789891080192

@brucebanner5280 Here's a straight red line and a curved black line. Can you do a vertical stretch on this and see if the red line bulges. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpLd4hUUYAAgrtr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpLd4hUUYAAgrtr.jpg

2018-10-10T21:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050132141202268160

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex You would thing people would have noticed. If the Earth was actually flat. Why do you think they did not?

2018-10-10T16:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050059572759605248

@brucebanner5280 Can vertical stretching make a straight line appear curved?

Try it.

2018-10-10T16:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050059287593017344

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Can you see this? This is what The Isle of Portland would look like on a Flat Earth from Brixham Harbour.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKO39hVsAEFk-Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKO39hVsAEFk-Z.jpg

2018-10-10T16:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050057618796904448

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Can you see Weston?

2018-10-10T16:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050057405214511104

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex So you often get a bunch of stuff compressed into the space right at the horizon. You need to be measuring where the tops of things are relative to the horizon. Compare against GE view.

Also, how do you know what you are looking at? Maybe closer.

2018-10-10T16:07:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050055271190413314

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex That's a cool location. But observations right at the horizon are notoriously variable due to the steep temperature gradient in the few meters just above the ocean â□□ which can go either way. You can see things, usually very distorted though.

2018-10-10T15:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050052399312728064

@brucebanner5280 Now try vertically stretching it. Notice the STRAIGHT lines remain straight. Notice the curve of the horizon is magnified.

2018-10-10T15:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050046518198034432

@brucebanner5280 @NASA Okay, take this image I gave you. Vertically stretch it. Notice the straight lines I added neither bulge nor distort. But the curve of the horizon becomes more

apparent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKDep1U8AIAljU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKDep1U8AIAljU.jpg

2018-10-10T15:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050045703299330048

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian Iron microspheres are common. They are not difficult to make. Yet AE911 claims they can only be evidence of thermite. They did not do any research into other sources of them. I've made millions of them. I made some just now. https://www.metabunk.org/making-iron-microspheres-grinding-impacts-welding-burning.t9533/

2018-10-10T15:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050044864119812096

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Refraction varies. At low levels it can bend so much it matches the curve of the earth. That's why low altitude observations are problematic. Use larger targets from multiple altitudes where you can see the actual shape of the target. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKCJ1HU8AEeVJk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpKCJ1HU8AEeVJk.jpg

2018-10-10T15:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050044054463864832

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian While you think this is a serious issue, for most people it's akin to the theory that the moon landings were faked. If you want people to look into a theory you need to provide sufficient evidence. Personal incredulity is not evidence.

2018-10-10T15:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050043713626431488

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian AE911T push the controlled demolition theory. There's no evidence to support that beyond "the collapses look weird to me, and I'm an engineer". What should the MSM look into?

2018-10-10T15:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050042441942818816

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex What are you observing?

2018-10-10T15:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050042289467183104

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian And they fail because they are very fixed in their positions. They are LESS open-minded than your average engineer, because they are 100% convinced they are right, even though their evidence is nonsense like iron microspheres, angle cut columns, or pyroclastic flows.

2018-10-10T15:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050041816874024960

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian No, but that does not automatically make them correct. Fringe positions are usually wrong. If they are correct they will be able to win people over by demonstrating they are correct. AE911T has consistent failed to do this.

2018-10-10T15:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050040383592255488

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Of course not. But it DOES prove that there's a curve in that image.

2018-10-10T15:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050039789951442944

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker The curve is visible in the original. All stretching does is magnify it. If it were flat, then magnifying it would make it stay flat.
Here I have added some straight lines, so you can see the curve on the horizon and the cloud layers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ9gDtU0AAH1Ch.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ9gDtU0AAH1Ch.jpg

2018-10-10T14:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050038196556328962

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker @Astro_Alex Use the actual 5548x3430 original https://farm2.staticflickr.com/1943/45062353822_5757e357c0_o_d.jpg

---

2018-10-10T14:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050036948100730881

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian Regarding the Architects and Engineers - remember there's always going to be a small percentage in any group that will take a contrary position. Even scientists and engineers are not immune to falling down the rabbit hole. Being minority rebels does not make them right.

2018-10-10T14:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050035819333922816

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian Sounds good.

2018-10-10T14:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050035537820545024

@Earths_a_Plane @jaredvc @princecrocoduck @ZZerker I just stretched it vertically. A simple stretch does not create curves, it magnifies existing curves.

2018-10-10T14:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050034887900581888

This @NASA photo of sunset from the Space Station is zoomed in, making the horizon look flat. But by stretching it you can discern the curve.

Rather surprisingly this technique also works at just 400 feet above sea level!

https://www.metabunk.org/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.t7832/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ4ae5V4AEJ1mB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ4M9sVsAIB81E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ4ae5V4AEJ1mB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ4Ny_UcAAV391.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ4Zz6U8AA3eIx.jpg

2018-10-10T14:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050033637670834177

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian It's a complex subject, and unfortunately I don't have time to explain ALL of it. Perhaps you could focus on one subject, do some research, check opposing explanations, and then if you still have a question, ask then? For WTC7, I'd start here: https://www.nist.gov/topics/disaster-failure-studies/faqs-nist-wtc-7-investigation

2018-10-10T14:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050032992440074240

@jaredvc @princecrocoduck @Earths_a_Plane @ZZerker The NASA photo of the sun on the horizon looks flat, but it's actually not. If you stretch it vertically then you can see the curve of the Earth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ3OxqV4AA0lNn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpJ3OxqV4AA0lNn.jpg

2018-10-10T14:26:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1050029781247451137

@bassninjas @fullbredmartian No. Yes. No, but fire can. No. No.

2018-10-10T05:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049894803339714560

@EdDarrell @wanderinganimal @DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @Kal1_Furies @MichaelBringsli @SkywatchI @Rebelrot1994 @stopspraying @investorjsw I think Michael thinks I'm a government agent. That's a problem I discuss in my book. (Spoiler: I'm not a government agent, and nobody pays me.)

2018-10-09T17:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049719156193390593

@fullbredmartian @RichieAllenShow Sure. I'm always happy to have civil chats on these topics.

2018-10-09T17:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049718737853505536

@fullbredmartian WTC7 was hit and damaged by the collapse of the North Tower, caught fire,

lack of water, abandoned by firefighters due to possible collapse. Collapsed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpFZlekU8AEj_l5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpFZlekU8AEj_l5.jpg
2018-10-09T04:37:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1049519126127861762
@fullbredmartian Most of the hijackers were Saudi, and that's where the money came from. You can speculate beyond that. But I like to go with actual evidence.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alleged_Saudi_role_in_September_11_attacks

---

2018-10-09T00:14:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1049453097418813440
One of my favorite contrail photos is this one from the set of Spartacus in 1959. The scene was never used, and later re-shot on a sound stage.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpBnTpZU0AAHE7C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpBnTpZU0AAHE7C.jpg

2018-10-08T23:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049437274033639425

@AnotherSapien @BadAstronomer I think good skeptical content like Phil's helps more people than the content creators realize. There's lots of people who get helped, avoid a deeper rabbit hole, and just go on with their lives. It's great to hear from them sometimes though!

2018-10-08T23:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049436489275256839

@fullbredmartian Hijacked planes hit the buildings, which caught fire and later collapsed. No explosives were used, or needed.

Just my theory though. I'd be open to new evidence, but I've already looked at quite a lot.

2018-10-08T23:07:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049436060512473088

@stang289 Thanks! Glad you like it. And I think our past conversations have contributed to it in some ways.

2018-10-08T23:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049435352883060736

@tykobrian @loudestsubtext Not really, no. It focusses on false conspiracy theories. There's plenty of other books on religion, so I don't think there's much I could add.

2018-10-08T18:35:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049367769710379008

@Moltisanti10 Excellent! Hope you find it useful.

2018-10-08T17:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049358504593481734

@Moltisanti10 If you find yourself getting angry, it's best to just step away for a while. Much more productive in the long run.

2018-10-08T17:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049358300909719554

@stang289 Maybe because you've tweeted at me. I don't know how it works.

2018-10-08T16:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049339985818603520

Why do I debunk false conspiracy theories? For people like Apex. Once he "believed everything". But now he's escaped the rabbit hole, and I helped a bit. Remember it might not seem like people can change. But they can, and you can help. It takes time. https://amzn.to/2PndkNB http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpAAyA7U0AAfJy6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DpAAyA7U0AAfJy6.jpg

2018-10-08T05:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049168199848095744

@philkirby Thanks, that's great!

2018-10-08T05:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1049167770779172864

Did you think Civics was boring? Not any more! Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect is the #1 New release in Civics! https://amzn.to/2IHlQ7j http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do9kJnHUcAA0LJ_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do9kJnHUcAA0LJ_.jpg

2018-10-06T23:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048724513825779712

@AnotherSapien Yeah, but it won't be delivered for a month or two. Can you see a delivery date on the app? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3RKkyU4AApX7N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3RKkyU4AApX7N.jpg

2018-10-06T23:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048721753332101120

And several other places, according to BookButler:

http://www.bookbutler.co.uk/compare?isbn=9781510735804

Looks like AbeBooks and Alibris are currently the quickest ways to get Escaping the Rabbit Hole in the UK. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3On3yUcAAq1mj.jpg

---

Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect



Mick West

Hardcover - Skyhorse Publishing (04 October 2018) - 304 Pages
List Price: £15.99
ISBN-10 1510735801 - ISBN-13 9781510735804

Zoom

Shipping To: United Kingdom
Currency: £ Pound Sterling
Update

All (26) | New (22) | Used (4) | (7) | Amazon (13) | Market (13) | Compact (26) | Details

Store	Country	Availability	Condition	Price	Shipping	Total	View
The Book Depository .co.uk	UK	2 days	new	14.47	free	**14.47**	
AbeBooks .co.uk	UK	immediate	new 3	14.77	free	**14.77**	
amazon.co.uk Marketplace	UK	4 - 5 days	new	12.99	2.80	**15.79**	
amazon.co.uk	UK	23 - 58 days	new	15.99	free	**15.99**	
FOYLES	UK	immediate	new	15.99	free	**15.99**	
alibris	UK	24 - 48 hours	new 14	13.48	2.79	**16.27**	
AbeBooks .com	US	immediate	used 12	13.63	2.69	**16.33**	
AbeBooks .com	US	immediate	new 8	13.75	2.69	**16.45**	
amazon.de Marketplace	DE	24 - 48 hours	new	14.68	2.69	**17.37**	
amazon.com	US	immediate	new	11.36	6.16	**17.52**	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3On3yUcAAq1mj.jpg
2018-10-06T23:44:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1048720792337375233
It's also available on Wordery, with free international shipping.
https://wordery.com/escaping-the-rabbit-hole-mick-west-9781510735804
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3N69lV4AABa1p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Do3N69lV4AABa1p.jpg

2018-10-06T23:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048720166933000192

UK readers, Escaping The Rabbit Hole seems to be temporarily sold out for direct purchase on Amazon UK. However it's still available indirectly via third party sellers like Book Depository. https://www.amazon.co.uk/gp/offer-listing/1510735801/ref=olp_f_usedGood?ie=UTF8&qid=1538868452&sr=8-1&f_new=true&f_usedLikeNew=true

---

2018-10-05T23:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048348085200416768

@Stick_Pins @crackedscience It's on Amazon UK.

I don't dwell much on personality traits. Variations can make people more or less likely to become conspiracists, and can be useful for broad understand. But really anyone can fall down the rabbit hole, knowing their big-5 profile probably won't help.

2018-10-05T12:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048196003826827265

@FelisUncia Oh, I see England. Thatâ□□s odd. Sorry! The uk distribution is a bit indirect, but Iâ□□m surprised itâ□□s that long.

2018-10-05T12:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048194550886350848

@FelisUncia Thatâ□□s sad, what country are you in?

2018-10-05T04:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048072979433717760

@NonconformO_O @AdrianoMazzola @pdubl22 @RockyMt2 No, and no.

2018-10-05T04:48:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1048072494630895616

@KurtRennels Thanks!

2018-10-04T22:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047971967276539904

@crackedscience @conspiration @JoeNationTV @SkeptInquirer @ScienceBorealis Thank you for the recommendation!

2018-10-04T22:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047971808450756608

RT @crackedscience: Conspiracy theories: can science help us identify those of us more susceptible to them? And how to escape from the rabbâ¦

2018-10-04T02:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047668723165741062

@GeoeWatchDebunk @OpChemtrails Exactly. It would look entirely unlike that. Check it out.

2018-10-04T01:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047663394445123584

@Moltisanti10 @joerogan Thank you! I always have an interesting time with Joe.

2018-10-03T19:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047566235393712128

RT @AiPTscience: How do you deal with a friend or family member who's a #conspiracy theorist? @MickWest has a book for that! "It's lightheâ¦

2018-10-03T16:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047528496040267777

Comprehensive review of Escaping the Rabbit Hole on Adventures in Poor Taste. 8.5/10. Iâ□□ll take it!

http://www.adventuresinpoortaste.com/2018/10/02/book-review-escaping-the-rabbit-hole-by-mick-west/

2018-10-02T16:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047160056460922880

@monosnap Fixed! I just deleted the app from the Applications folder, and then re-downloaded from the app store. Now I'm on 3.5.2 and it works with multiple monitors in Mojave.

Thanks! I love Monosnap. I use it every day.

2018-10-02T16:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047156620520710144

@monosnap Here's what the app store is telling me, along with the Monosnap "About" box.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dog_M7hU4AA5v0I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dog_M7hU4AA5v0I.jpg

2018-10-02T16:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047156088552837120

@monosnap I've got 3.5.1. But it's not showing me an update in the app store. Maybe you didn't advance the internal version number? I'll try rebooting, then maybe reinstalling.

2018-10-02T15:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047142091359367168

@monosnap Does it fix an issue in Mojave with multiple monitor? It seems to only work on the first monitor, with mouse capture highly intermittent on the other two when over an app window.

2018-10-02T06:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1047007806551994368

@TheOutThere2016 probably dust on the film when scanned.

There's various artifacts in all the images.

2018-10-01T14:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046761705764663302

@alanl1983 It's essentially the same thing. The Obama birth certificate was just saved with rendered layers at some point. Goes through different programs.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DobYNyGV4AAT43o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DobYNyGV4AAT43o.jpg

2018-10-01T13:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046760263305682946

@LondonSkywatch Sounds like an edge shadow. See: #3 here:

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DobW5_uUYAAfmR3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DobW5_uUYAAfmR3.jpg

2018-10-01T13:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046749503028584451

@alanl1983 Since at least 2009.

http://blogs.adobe.com/acrolaw/2009/05/better_pdf_ocr_clearscan_is_smal/

2018-10-01T02:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046594864375193600

@alanl1983 Acrobat.

2018-09-30T19:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046480007403462656

@ufoofinterest @hoaxeye This was a fun one because if they were actually there you'd be able to see their shadows with some good binoculars or a good zoom camera like the P900. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/204562/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoXX6IyV4AAwc3O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoXX6IyV4AAwc3O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoXXzW3UgAApj-Z.jpg

2018-09-30T15:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046419077147721728

@TheOutThere2016 @ufoofinterest @hoaxeye @UFOTheater It looks just like the leaf. It does not look like a bird.

2018-09-29T16:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1046078981370515461

@dean_anonym @TchuTchx @docsgenes @JeanineDeal That's not what it's demonstrating.

2018-09-28T17:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045732199658450944

@bikerstustu It didn't all come out of an aircraft. Most of the water in a contrail comes from the atmosphere. The aircraft exhaust (99.9% CO2 and water) just raises the humidity enough to trigger the formation of a cloud (the contrail).

2018-09-28T17:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045727769584263168

@bikerstustu Why not?

2018-09-28T17:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045727586616205312

@horrocks_d Thanks James, I really appreciate that.

2018-09-28T17:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045727493158621186

RT @horrocks_d: @MickWest Countless numbers of skeptics have relied on metabunk since you made it. Itâ□□s helped me save many people from theâ□¦

2018-09-28T16:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045718493499351041

@horrocks_d Thanks!

2018-09-28T14:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045685825281777665

Very interesting conversation on Squaring the Strange with @BTRadford and @CelestiaWard. We discuss the best ways to communicate with people who disagree with you â□□ particularly conspiracy theorists. Also: debunker vs. skeptic, debunking vs. debugging, and candles vs floodlights https://twitter.com/SquaringStrange/status/1045572364405284866

2018-09-28T14:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045680255858499584

@LondonSkywatch The dark line is a bit of an illusion. The cloud has been removed so you can see the sky behind. Look up photos of distrails, you will see many similar things. https://www.google.com/search?q=distrail&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoMAZBTUYAAjnud.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoMAZBTUYAAjnud.jpg

2018-09-28T05:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045547374246944768

@SkepticNikki His metaphor seems a bit off. If you protect people from sharp things it makes them not get cut. Cutting people does not make them sharp, or clever.

2018-09-28T05:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045546559075536896

@black_tombrady @nutitty They are contrails, a type of cloud

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoKGp6TUcAAXZV-.jpg

INTERNATIONAL CLOUD ATLAS

BIBLIOTHÈQUE OMM WMO LIBRARY

Volume I

WORLD METEOROLOGICAL ORGANIZATION

1956

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoKGgUHVsAA3e0C.jpg



3. Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

The main factor in the formation of contrails is the cooling of the exhaust gases which have a high water vapour content as a result of the combustion of fuel. Condensation nuclei provided by the exhaust gases of the engines also assist in their formation.

A type of contrail different from that described above and with a much shorter life sometimes develops as a result of expansion in eddies from propeller- and wing-tips.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoKGp6TUcAAXZV-.jpg

2018-09-27T21:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045422920766373888

@LondonSkywatch Sorry I though you meant the larger one that's more horizontal across the middle. Same basic thing though.

2018-09-27T21:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045421155295719424

I'm on the Something You Should Know podcast, talking about the various aspects of conspiracy theories discussed in Escaping The Rabbit Hole.

(Starts at 2:28, and there's more after the break).

https://www.somethingyoushouldknow.net/where-conspiracy-theories-come-from-which-do-humans-prefer-strict-or-loose-rules/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIU6S2V4AAVbqt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIU6S2V4AAVbqt.jpg

2018-09-27T19:51:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045400450445373440

@LondonSkywatch It's probably the downwash from the plane that left the left-right contrail. It was a bit higher, so out outside of that layer of humidity. Downwash pushed down less-humid air into the older contrail. There's a variety of examples of downwash doing this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoICKe3VAAASH3o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIB9KSVAAEOUk9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIBuOTU0AAcoNh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoICKe3VAAASH3o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIByaPVAAAPGGX.jpg

2018-09-27T19:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045399255429439488

@cybernoelie Did you know that soil is naturally loaded with Aluminum? In California the soil is around 7-8% Aluminum pretty much everywhere.

https://mrdata.usgs.gov/soilgeochemistry/#/detail/element/13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIA81IUcAAbxI7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoIA81IUcAAbxI7.jpg

2018-09-27T17:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045361643054555136

@cybernoelie Actually, here's the 1956 WMO Cloud Atlas. Same clouds as now.

https://cloudatlas.wmo.int/docs/ICA_1956-Vol_I_low_res.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHe28SVsAAa-vV.jpg


WMO International Cloud Atlas, 1956

3. Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

The main factor in the formation of contrails is the cooling of the exhaust gases which have a high water vapour content as a result of the combustion of fuel. Condensation nuclei provided by the exhaust gases of the engines also assist in their formation.

A type of contrail different from that described above and with a much shorter life sometimes develops as a result of expansion in eddies from propeller- and wing-tips.

4. Clouds from waterfalls

High waterfalls produce spray which virtually saturates the air. The down-drafts caused by the falling water are often compensated by upward currents in their vicinity, lifting the saturated air and forming, above the waterfall, a cloud resembling a Cumulus.

The spray itself may cause brilliant rainbows.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHe28SVsAAa-vV.jpg

2018-09-27T17:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045359416470433792

@cybernoelie Here's the 1987 WMO Cloud Atlas:

https://cloudatlas.wmo.int/docs/wmo_407_en-v2.pdf

2018-09-27T16:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045356864836259840

@cybernoelie The WMO has included contrails as clouds for decades. This is from the 1987 WMO International Cloud Atlas, Volume II http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHaYP2U0AELdzE.jpg



Royal Air Force, Farnborough (Hampshire, U.K.), 9 August 1944

Condensation trails (contrails)

Three condensation trails (1, 2, 3) can be seen. They expand with time. The two older trails (1, 2), probably made 15-30 minutes before the picture was taken, have assumed a fleecy aspect, while the most recent one (3), which is just being produced, merely looks like a white streak in the sky. Numerous Cirrus clouds can also be seen; they may have developed out of contrails formed earlier.
A weak trough associated with a low near Iceland was passing over southern England. Rather humid and warm air, associated with a weak warm front at about 700 km distance, was invading the higher layers of the troposphere from the north-west.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHaYP2U0AELdzE.jpg

2018-09-27T16:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045355694331899904

@cybernoelie But I don't think most people are really interested in the microphysics and fluid mechanics of cloud growth. Contrails have been spreading into cirrus since the 1920s without much public interest. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHZYoYU8AATTnQ.jpg

Cloud Formation by Supercharged Plane

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field, recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a LePere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26–27,000 feet at 11.50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently ten to fifteen times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps two miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after two or three miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been three miles long. After twenty minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

The observer reported as having observed nothing unusual; occasionally the motor would give a burst of black exhaust gas. The pilot thought he remembered having passed through a stratum that felt damp. Clouds at this altitude are necessarily ice crystals, or needles, and it is difficult to determine the cause of the cloud formation under consideration. Possible contributing causes are: Water in exhaust; dust and carbon particles in exhaust serving as cloud nuclei; convective currents due to disturbance caused by passage of plane. Conditions of humidity were undoubtedly ideal for the formation of cloud at that altitude.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHZYoYU8AATTnQ.jpg

2018-09-27T16:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045354445037436928

@cybernoelie There's plenty of quite accessible books that describe the general process. 70 years worth.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-09-27T16:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045352860731375618

@OrgPhysics Here's a video showing wider context of a low pass with smoke.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_mEYQUfEbHk

2018-09-27T16:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045351807134838785

@OrgPhysics That is smoke, not condensation. Wingtip mounted smoke generators for an airshow. The vortices are real though.

2018-09-27T16:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045351148364787712

@letullett Sure they do. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHVSXdUwAAjlvb.jpg

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_H$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHVSXdUwAAjlvb.jpg

2018-09-27T16:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045350940453158912

@JoeCostello See highlighted text: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHU_bcU4AAch_y.jpg

---



Pl.172 The thin veil-like clouds seen at the top of the cloud mass at the upper center are produced by vertical displacement of moist air and are called pileus.

150

Pl.173 Ice crystals falling from a condensation trail left by an airplane. Since most contrails form at temperatures colder than −40°C (−40°F), their moisture quickly generates ice crystals.

Pl.174 Condensation trails made by jet aircraft — training or testing flight. Passenger planes rarely deviate in their course except in a holding pattern.

151

Pl.175 The awesome cloud that has been generated by the explosion of an atomic bomb. Smooth surface of cloud is caused by rapid displacement of moist air, which produces condensation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHU_bcU4AAch_y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHU4NxUcAA2dG4.jpg

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV_H Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHU1LLUcAAJ6iC.jpg



Pl.145 V Condensation trail from a jet plane that produces its shadow on a diffuse mass of ice crystals extending downward below the contrail and the sun.

Pl.146 The condensation trail of a jet plane that is growing where moist air is supersaturated with respect to ice. This shows that the contrail is located below the thin streamers of cirrus cloud.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoHU-RtV4AA-m3E.jpg

2018-09-26T21:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1045069300766109697

Did internet sleuths find edits in the Kavanaugh Calendar? No. What they are pointing out are

the results of the OCR in Adobe Acrobat. It creates smooth-edged versions of text it can read, and leaves the rest pixillated. Like Obama's birth certificate.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-kavanaughs-calendar-pdf-looks-edited-ocr.t10008/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoDUildUcAECgYL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DoDUildUcAECgYL.jpg
2018-09-25T22:42:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1044718824187023361
@ColoradoSkyEyes @keigh_see @UnlearningLies You use the R calculator.
https://www.metabunk.org/radius/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn-WOX3UUAAB7W7.jpg

$$r = \frac{d^2\,h + d^2\,x - 2\sqrt{d^4\,h\,x - d^2\,h^3\,x + 2\,d^2\,h^2 - d^2\,h\,x^3} - h^3 + h^2\,x + h\,x^2 - x^3}{2\,(h^2 - 2\,h\,x + x^2)}$$

Where d = distance, h = viewer height, x = obscured.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn-WOX3UUAAB7W7.jpg
2018-09-24T22:25:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1044352034281943040
@dukat291 @flightradar24 It's not a trijet, there's only two contrails at the start. The middle bit

probably comes from some interaction of the wake vortices that's not always visible, depending on temp/humidity and power/climb setting. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn5IT4EUUAAKB5Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn5IT4EUUAAKB5Z.jpg
2018-09-24T22:06:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1044347423252836352
@Kal1_Furies @OpChemtrails Essentially, you need relative humidity >100% with respect to the water phase of the contrail. Since that's generally ice you also need freezing temperatures. For exhaust contrails to FORM you also need lower than the homogenous freezing temp (around -40Â°C), aerodynamic is higher

---

2018-09-24T20:13:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1044318984105906176
Want to browse through Escaping The Rabbit Hole, but don't have a handy bookstore? Google Books has 86 pages from various sections of the book available for preview, so you can get a great sense of what the book contains before you buy it. https://books.google.com/books?id=Zi5GDwAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn4qLTQUUAA8e5F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn4qLTQUUAA8e5F.jpg

2018-09-24T18:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1044287862185779204

"Why were there small iron spheres in the dust found on 9/11?"

An oft asked question was lacking an answer on Quora, so I supplied one. There's actually a variety of reasons.

https://www.quora.com/Why-were-there-small-iron-spheres-in-the-dust-found-on-9-11/answer/Mick-West-8?srid=htigs http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn4OJ9OV4AAIEnM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dn4OJ9OV4AAIEnM.jpg

2018-09-22T19:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043586948667924480

@Professor_Hal @jrwyke Fascinating. I've been doing some experiments burning steel wool and collecting what falls off it with a magnet. These are some the spheres I got, lots are <100Âµm, I think formed in sparks. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnuQW0uUUAAm_I8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnuQW0uUUAAm_I8.jpg

2018-09-22T19:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043584981002477568

@Professor_Hal @jrwyke So do you think the spheres that form are mostly iron? There's a common classroom experiment of measuring the mass before and after combustion of steel wool, and finding it's increased in mass. That suggest some oxide remains.

2018-09-22T19:21:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043581009692786688

@yablowme Burning is rapid oxidation. Then only thing that can oxidize here is the steel (mostly iron)

2018-09-22T19:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043580791714766848

@jrwyke @Professor_Hal but why is it molten at all? When it burns, what's happening to the iron oxide that is the product of combustion? Does it burn off and melt the steel underneath because of the geometry and small cross-section? Or is it all molten Fe2O3? Or a mixture of Fe and Fe2O3

2018-09-22T19:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043578706726346752

@yablowme Oxide burning? Surely it's the iron itself that's burning.

2018-09-22T19:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043576851996717058

Steel wool burning in slow motion. No flames, wires just get hot and sometimes melt forming tiny spheres. What's the actual physics of this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1043576212398911488/pu/img/cLphQISMpfc1QI9k.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1043576212398911488/pu/img/cLphQISMpfc1QI9k.jpg

2018-09-22T15:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043524048901173248

@Japworld29 Here's one around 10:30, not sure if the contrail would last until 11:15, but yours does look quite spread out. This is just a 360 for spacing, to fit the arrival slot at SEA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DntXRfhUcAAv8-4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DntXRfhUcAAv8-4.jpg

2018-09-22T14:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043513462138126336

@Bostonmyk @VitalSigns10 That's a tricky one, as it's largely based on dot connecting, and not empirical evidence. The best approach is hard, to teach people about the actual deep state, and get them to see how their conspiracies don't actually fit with how the world really works.

2018-09-22T14:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043512674829529088

@Kal1_Furies @OpChemtrails Persistence is a function of humidity, like with all clouds. But here's a good study that did sampling. http://patarnott.com/pdf/contrailMicrophysics99.pdf

---

2018-09-22T14:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043511949038743552

@JunkScience The question here is if it WAS based purely on science, then what would environment policy look like? Probably a lot less carbon emissions.

2018-09-22T05:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043374499540824064

@TheOutThere2016 @TxUFOSightings Example:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2XsFIgA1vv0

2018-09-22T05:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043374206719672321

@TheOutThere2016 @TxUFOSightings This type of scale.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnrPROVUUAAga5e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnrPROVUUAAga5e.jpg

2018-09-22T01:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043309879270629377

@Kal1_Furies @OpChemtrails Both a rabbit and a duck.

So if chemtrails look like contrails, then HOW DO YOU KNOW THEY ARE NOT?

2018-09-22T00:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043298897819394048

@Japworld29 There were a bunch of holds on NE OR around that time. Can't find a 360 though. Do you have a precise time? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnqKKfgU0AEO86A.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnqKKfgU0AEO86A.jpg

2018-09-21T21:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043258223640231936

@Japworld29 It possibly a plane doing a high altitude 360 for spacing going in Seattle. They often have to so a large S-turn, but sometimes a 360.

2018-09-21T21:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043255983894491136

@Japworld29 Today?

2018-09-21T21:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043255891699458048

@VitalSigns10 @Bostonmyk There's loads of real conspiracies. Iran Contra, campaign finance, revolving door politics, price fixing, and more. My focus is on clearing up things that go wrong - there's plenty of people looking at the fact-based conspiracies.

Did you have an example in mind?

2018-09-21T21:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043246238605357056

RT @Bostonmyk: Really thoughtful book by @MickWest . Still thinking why people latch on to ideas and how to engage without losing a connectâ□¦

2018-09-21T21:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043244647349334016

@Japworld29 What time was this video taken?

2018-09-21T20:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043231976537260032

@OrbisCast @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure I could make a list of plausible hypotheses. Advanced technology would be on that list, but low.

2018-09-21T19:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043221178674905088

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Well I'll wait for history then. In the meantime I'll keep occasionally analyzing UFOs to try to figure out individual cases.

2018-09-21T19:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043220219068477441

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 I can wait. It's all hypothetical.

2018-09-21T19:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043219923789537280

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 That's always the question. Science and our understanding of the universe upended, or someone made a mistake. Which is most likely.

2018-09-21T19:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043219475258957825

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 No, why did you think I might be?

2018-09-21T19:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043218964325650432

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Would you agree though that if an object in the sky cannot be identified but we still canâ□□t rule out the possibility that it could be if we had more data, then it is not a true unknown?

2018-09-21T19:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043218666756628480

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Wait, what do you think "post disclosure" will consist of? I'd assumed it meant lots of compelling new evidence. Do you mean something different, like just someone saying "UFOs are real"?

2018-09-21T19:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043218118602944513

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 BS on what? I look at evidence. I see if claims are right or wrong. If there's new evidence I look at that.

2018-09-21T19:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043217194937532416

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 If you passage into a post disclosure world then I'm sure that making skeptics eat their hats would be one of the least interesting things you could do with your time. Why would you even care?
Personally I'd be super excited if if were proven any case I'd looked at was ET/AT

2018-09-21T19:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043215288391487488

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Of course, who doesn't?

2018-09-21T19:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043214533731340288

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Am I wrong that the photographic evidence for UFOs is complete crap? Blobs, lights in the distance, that sort of thing?

2018-09-21T19:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043214160299880448

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 It's relevant if it's impossible. I think it's impossible to prove all UFO sightings are not advanced technology.

2018-09-21T19:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043213596417609728

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Of course it's not goin to get debunked. How would that even work?

2018-09-21T19:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043213489366421504

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Why is my judgement even slightly important? I'm just a guy who likes to identify things in the sky.

2018-09-21T18:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043212848724291584

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Being part of any culture does not make any particular judgement wrong, especially when backed with evidence and detailed analysis. I do not ask you to trust me, in fact I'd invite fact checkers with open arms.

2018-09-21T18:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043212467571019776

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 She should, and there is a huge amount to be learnt for it. It was a plane, it was an embarrassment for CEFAA. They made the fundamental error of declaring something a "true unknown"

2018-09-21T18:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043209591201325057

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Does the book cover UFO culture?

2018-09-21T18:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043209509814951936

@BlueBookBelieve @PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Sightings might have been the Russians, hence spending and investigation was justified.

2018-09-21T18:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043209103894433792

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 I'm not sure what your point is. That's a hypothetical question. If I get something wrong, then I correct it. I show people evidence, I don't ask them to believe me, I get things wrong all the time, who doesn't?

2018-09-21T18:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043208704550551554

@jbbridges731 @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @PostDisclosure Look it up, other people analyzed the video. Edge tiling, as I remember. Plus all the video takers knew each other.

2018-09-21T18:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043208449176174592

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 What would you call the culture of people interested in UFOs, like yourself? I'm using it in the same sense as "storm chasing culture". People very interested in a topic grow a culture that includes personalities and celebrities, as well as science and observations.

2018-09-21T18:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043207429444403201

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Also, my book is not about UFOs. I only mention the UFO cover-up conspiracy theory very briefly as an example to illustrate the conspiracy spectrum. It's got the widest spread of any of them. Big topic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dno3ffHU8AAJtrc.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dno3ffHU8AAJtrc.png

2018-09-21T18:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043206621524946944

@OpChemtrails Looks like a contrail.

2018-09-21T18:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043206556215439360

@Xavi52397609 @PostDisclosure @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 I evaluate UFO sighting all the time. Sometimes I spend many days on one sighting.

2018-09-21T18:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043206367178158080

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 I'm interested in evidence, and in trying to identify unknown objects. I'm not particularly interested in UFO culture. So it's relevant to me, and my decision to read the book or not.

2018-09-21T18:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043205855020113920

@jbbridges731 @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @PostDisclosure Well, UFOs are real of course. It's impossible for there not to be some unidentified flying objects.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dno2GCUUwAA-jHw.jpg

A second fundamentally important point is that roughly 90 to 95 percent of UFO sightings *can* be explained. Within the remaining 5 to 10 percent, once an object has been determined to be a genuine UFO by the proper standards, then all we know is what it is *not*: something man-made or natural, or an outright hoax, of which there are unfortunately too many. Examples of phenomena sometimes mistaken for UFOs are weather balloons, flares, sky lanterns, planes flying in formation, secret military aircraft, birds reflecting the sun, planes reflecting the sun, blimps, helicopters, the planet Venus or Mars, meteors or meteorites, space junk, satellites, sundogs, ball lightning, ice crystals, reflected light off clouds, lights on the ground or lights reflected on a cockpit window, temperature inversions, hole-punch clouds, and the list goes on! Yes, the vast majority of reports can usually be explained by one of the above, but of course it's only the ones that can't that we're interested in.

It follows, therefore, that the often asked question "Do you believe in UFOs?" is actually baseless, but it's frequently asked and creates endless problems in communication. It really doesn't make sense,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dno2GCUUwAA-jHw.jpg

2018-09-21T18:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043205384238841857

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 Which chapter do you think contained the strongest evidence?

2018-09-21T18:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043204926485086208

@Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Well of course their conclusion are that they are real, she interviewed people who thought UFOs were real. Did she conduct proportionate interviews with people who did not?

2018-09-21T18:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043204574893359105

@PostDisclosure @Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 I'm not saying people shouldn't read it. I'm saying I personally have no desire to read it. It's more of a UFO culture book, not a solid evidence book.

2018-09-21T18:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043198803640168448

@Xavi52397609 @LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Her handling of the Chilean Navy case (quickly found to be a plane) does not make me want to read the book. How does it differ from this type of thing?

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_us_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b

---

2018-09-21T15:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1043156648901140480

Great review of Escaping The Rabbit Hole from a top 50 reviewer on Amazon. This is exactly the type of response I was hoping for.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/product-reviews/1510735801/ref=cm_cr_dp_d_show_all_btm?ie=UTF8&reviewerType=all_reviews

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnmyHDxU8AARG0G.jpg

★★★★★ **A Message of Hope**

By Dee Arr Top Contributor: Harry Potter TOP 50 REVIEWER on September 20, 2018

Format: Hardcover

From the title alone, one may deem the thrust of this book to be an attack on those who believe in what are commonly referred to as conspiracy theories. Right from the start, author Mick West explains the book advocates respect and discussion, and is not presenting a hard-nosed offense against different viewpoints. This theme is reiterated throughout each chapter. The term “rabbit hole” describes what happens when a person begins researching different theories, and how easy it can be to become lost inside its depths.

It is refreshing to read a book that educates without the shrillness I’ve come to expect when venturing on websites that debate topics discussed in “Escaping the Rabbit Hole.” While touching on other theories, Mr. West addresses four of the most prominent: chemtrails, 9/11 (controlled detonations), flat earth, and false flags. He presents the points brought up by the conspiracy theory sites and moves on to debunk them, all the while advising readers how to talk with friends (or family) in an effort to guide them out of their personal rabbit holes.

My own history, which does not involve actively reviewing the sites and proponents of these theories, is that I have entertained questions concerning some of them. For instance, I do see contrails and have witnessed them spread over the skies; thus, I wonder if there is chemical spraying going on and are my food and garden safe. The book gave me a ton of information, more than I could hope for. In fact, I found myself researching both sides of the discussions, my Kindle propped up next to my computer as I cross-referenced data.

I also gained many insights on how to help friends and family members who have fallen down their own rabbit holes. I have already used some of these recommendations, and even though the author warns the turnaround could take a long time, I am encouraged by the initial results.

This book has value for just about anyone, but especially people like me looking for ideas to help others, and those who are beginning to question the beliefs they have formed. There are hundreds of links to information supporting Mr. West’s book, and it is a thoughtful as well as helpful resource. Five stars.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnmyHDxU8AARG0G.jpg

2018-09-20T16:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042807277873291265

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @GalacticWorld $20?

2018-09-20T16:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042807102505246720

@mesolithicguy @KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @GalacticWorld Debugging and debunking have a surprising amount in common. It's all about using logic, math, and technical information to drill down to the root cause of an event or situation. I've got decades of logic, math, and debugging experience, and I can look up the technical information

---

2018-09-20T14:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042785772628205568

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @GalacticWorld Bet?

2018-09-20T14:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042783294729859072

@KikPlenty @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @GalacticWorld If heâ□□s been doing it for decades, why is is he just now about to make progress? Whatâ□□s new?

2018-09-20T14:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042780694026498048

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @GalacticWorld No, itâ□□s wrong because the plane was identified.

2018-09-20T06:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042659228362526720

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @GalacticWorld The chilean case also had witnesses who were entirely wrong. It's a great cautionary tale. They were looking at a passenger plane that was large and far away.

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_us_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b

---

2018-09-20T06:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042658377648619520

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @GalacticWorld Here's an article I wrote. https://www.csicop.org/sb/show/curated_crowdsourcing_in_ufo_investigations

Read Kean's article, she just repeats what the Chileans told her, and then goes on about how great they are. It's not really an investigation, it's "here's what some amazing UFO believers told me"

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_us_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b

---

2018-09-20T05:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042652258767257600

@mesolithicguy @GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I'm not planning on reading it, as I'm not really interested in UFOs except as interesting examples of skydentification challenges.

2018-09-20T05:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042651998217166848

@PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @GalacticWorld I don't feel that she's really objective. The Chilean example wasn't just something she got wrong, it typifies an approach of deifying "experts" when they agree with you.

2018-09-20T03:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042620240872370176

@SheTreadsSoftly Thanks!

2018-09-19T17:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042462967118233600

This is a good example of what I call "The Shill Card" in Escaping the Rabbit Hole. A link to a perfectly reasonable explanation (that someone else wrote, and includes many references) is ignored just because it on my site.

https://twitter.com/AdrianoMazzola/status/1042461807816916993

2018-09-19T16:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042449040649084928

RT @debunker: Do as I say, not as I do. Instead of pointlessly hissing and flailing at conspiracy theorists, read Mick West's lucid, informâ□¦

2018-09-19T16:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042447943813390336

@langstonhimes Thanks Danny!

2018-09-19T03:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042254485081350145

RT @BASkeptics: SkepTalk on Oct. 11 in #Berkeley: @MickWest on "Beyond a Candle in the Dark: Respectfully Debunking #ConspiracyTheories".â□¦

2018-09-18T22:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042180945896341504

@ericbeetner Thanks Eric!

2018-09-18T20:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042142262384156672

If you want a signed copy of Escaping the Rabbit Hole then Barnes and Noble in Folsom has some on the table out front. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnZu24nV4AAEy9L.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnZu24nV4AAEy9L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnZu24mUwAAH9B1.jpg

2018-09-18T16:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042093613872689152

@LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure It's a streak of light. Probably a plane.

2018-09-18T15:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042073799410167810

@kdeangeren @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch I've watched it over a hundred times, and several times frame by frame, I did sub-pixel position analysis, and I did the math, and others verified it, together and independently. We made 3D plots based on the data. It's half way between the jet and the ocean, not low, not fast

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYwSERU4AExSHH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYwSERU4AExSHH.jpg

2018-09-18T15:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042072399074615296

@kdeangeren @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch Detailed discussion here:

https://www.metabunk.org/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.t9569/

2018-09-18T15:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042071792737640450

@kdeangeren @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch Not really. This is a wide angle tracking shot from a parallel track, not zoomed in from an opposing track. The "Go Fast" object has been shown to be at high altitude and moving slowly. The apparent motion is from parallax.

2018-09-18T15:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1042070689404997632

@peaceofcotton @James_Kovalsky @RabbiZvi @AmandaJ37757423 @NASA There's no new cloud genera. They are just sub-classifications, minor refinements to the naming scheme. The two manmade clouds are contrails (jet engine condensation) and condensation clouds above power stations.

https://www.metabunk.org/there-are-no-new-clouds-just-new-latin-classifications.t8521/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYtgAAUYAEFp1F.jpg

Genera	*Species*	*Varieties*	*Supplementary features and accessory clouds*	*Mother-clouds and special clouds* (most commonly occurring mother-clouds are listed in the same order as genera)	
	(listed by frequency of observation)			*Genitus*	*Mutatus*
Cirrus	fibratus uncinus spissatus castellanus floccus	intortus radiatus vertebratus duplicatus	mamma fluctus	Cirrocumulus Altocumulus Cumulonimbus Homo	Cirrostratus Homo
Cirrocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus	undulatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum	-	Cirrus Cirrostratus Altocumulus Homo
Cirrostratus	fibratus nebulosus	duplicatus undulatus	-	Cirrocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrus Cirrocumulus Altostratus Homo
Altocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum fluctus asperitas	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus
Altostratus	-	translucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus	virga praecipitatio pannus mamma	Altocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrostratus Nimbostratus
Nimbostratus	-	-	praecipitatio virga pannus	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Altostratus Stratocumulus
Stratocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma praecipitatio fluctus asperitas cavum	Altostratus Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Nimbostratus Stratus
Stratus	nebulosus fractus	opacus translucidus undulatus	praecipitatio fluctus	Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus Homo Silva Cataracta	Stratocumulus
Cumulus	humilis mediocris congestus fractus	radiatus	virga praecipitatio pileus velum arcus pannus fluctus tuba	Altocumulus Stratocumulus Flamma Homo Cataracta	Stratocumulus Stratus
Cumulonimbus	calvus capillatus	-	praecipitatio virga pannus incus mamma pileus velum arcus murus cauda flumen tuba	Altocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus Cumulus Flamma Homo	Cumulus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYtgAAUYAEFp1F.jpg
2018-09-18T15:16:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042069889576390656
@kdeangeren @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch It looks almost entirely unlike it. What are you talking about exactly?

2018-09-18T14:50:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042063325025030144
@FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch Disclosure is always just around the corner. I'll quite happily look at new information when it comes out. But I can't help feeling these teasers are just drips of information to keep you interested, and only drips because they don't have much.

2018-09-18T14:45:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042062021020155904
One of my first reviews is a very positive one, in Folha de S.Paulo, the biggest Newspaper in Brazil. Back-translated to english my book is apparently about "Bunny Holes" :)
https://www1.folha.uol.com.br/colunas/joaopereiracoutinho/2018/09/buracos-de-coelho.shtml?loggedpaywall#_=_

2018-09-18T14:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042055337316974592
@LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Also not 4K.

2018-09-18T14:17:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042055098342309890
@LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure That looks like a kite.

2018-09-18T14:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1042050825248497665
Escaping the Rabbit Hole is OUT! Hardcover is in your local bookstore, eBook and Audiobooks online. https://amzn.to/2xCio9d http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYbBNjUUAAhk-z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnYbBNjUUAAhk-z.jpg

2018-09-18T07:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041950378789494784

@LamoreauxDevon @jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Do you have an example of one that is not CGI?

2018-09-18T06:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041931043576541184

@AncientCraftism It's a plane, looks like a Boeing 737 or similar.

2018-09-18T05:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041929134778150912

@BlueBookBelieve @GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure The Nimitz UFO does not move. It's consistent with a distant plane flying away. It's nothing at all like the pilot reports.

2018-09-18T05:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041925968812572672

@TerrytheCensor You can, it ships tomorrow (or arrives tomorrow for pre-order folk). https://amzn.to/2xj4N7c

---

2018-09-18T05:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041916693600063488

@TTSA_Agent_001 @DaveFalch @PostDisclosure Want to bet?

2018-09-18T05:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041916528055083013

Escaping The Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect is now available for download on Kindle! If you pre-ordered it, then it will be there waiting for you. If not ... https://amzn.to/2xk3pkJ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnWhJN3U8AE92yv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnWhJN3U8AE92yv.jpg

2018-09-18T05:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041916019785183233

@TroyHCampbell Thanks Troy, I suspect you bring rather more academic rigor to the table than my somewhat ad hoc approach. Interesting articles. The human mind is fascinating, surprising, and a touchy subject.

2018-09-18T03:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041897186705862656

@DaveFalch @PostDisclosure So UFOologly is like a less productive cryptozoology? Skywatching has produced meteorology, astronomy, and some ornithology. I guess you could say UFOology is part of that, just yet to go anywhere?

2018-09-18T01:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041861285984395264

@jbbridges731 @SauceRegime @PostDisclosure Show it.

2018-09-18T00:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041850384124338176

@GalacticWorld @BlueBookBelieve @PostDisclosure They do not always move fast. They don't always show up at night. Yet no photos.

2018-09-17T22:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041815903963242496

@BlueBookBelieve @GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure It counts less, because memory fades and people die, and other information is lost. But really that's not the issue - the issue is more the lack of good photos and videos. Eyewitness accounts are notoriously unreliable, and people inevitable eventually make mistakes.

2018-09-17T20:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041793143706857472

@BlueBookBelieve @GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure Why are you citing things from 66+ years ago?

2018-09-17T20:41:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041789293302145024

@GROUNDZERO1984 @PostDisclosure UFO witnesses are almost never lying. However they very frequently make mistakes.

2018-09-17T19:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041776441111674880

@GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I don't think there is good evidence that they made "sick turns and unexplained maneuvers"

2018-09-17T19:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041767881707405312

@GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I think itâ□□s unlikely the unexplained ones will be explained as advanced tech.

2018-09-17T18:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041759805222731776

@GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I don't ignore it. I spend lots of time looking into UFOs. I've written articles about, been on TV talking about it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Is8zl7FDX9w

2018-09-17T18:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041756182199717888

@PostDisclosure @AdvisorUap Some 9/11 guys get annoyed that I have UFO stuff on Metabunk because they think I'm trying to discredit them by associating them with aliens.

2018-09-17T18:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041755329040510977

@GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I don't see any good evidence than anyone is behind it.

2018-09-17T18:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041755200212557824

@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure There's about 100 million iPhoneXs. They all shoot 4K. And that's last year's model.

2018-09-17T18:23:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041754461264179200
@JATPSNPharoe Thanks!

2018-09-17T18:20:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041753880613142528
@GalacticWorld @PostDisclosure I don't think it's cryptozoology - I think it's as harmless as a belief in cryptozoology.

2018-09-17T18:19:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041753626358628352
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure The one with three angles? Fake.

2018-09-17T18:19:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041753548067758080
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Nearly all new phones now shoot 4K video. You've got cameras like the Nikon P1000 with 3000mm equivalent zoom shooting 4K video, capable of seeing cars five miles away, planes 20+ mies away. And you've got accounts of people saying the UFO was 50 feet away. A curious disconnect

---

2018-09-17T18:16:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041752709613838336
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure The footage of blobs in the distance is not compelling. You get video like this, and it ends up just being a plane.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gOLpeBp9m1Y

2018-09-17T18:14:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041752218943143936
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure Some good video or photos would be a start. There's like a half a billion 4K cameras out there now.

2018-09-17T18:10:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041751213409153024
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure I have background knowledge. I've looking into several cases in depth. I like the aspect of identifying things in the sky - it ties in with the whole "chemtrail" thing.

2018-09-17T18:08:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041750829810692096
@GalacticWorld It's Venus, I just moved it inside the Moon to see who was paying attention.

2018-09-17T18:06:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041750290498633728
@jbbridges731 @PostDisclosure I have done extensive research yes, including the TTSA footage.

2018-09-17T18:06:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1041750149775601666
@RokStarrMom @PostDisclosure You mean it isn't a conspiracy theory, it's just a conspiracy. I'd

agree, as would most people.

2018-09-17T18:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041749855499051008

@PostDisclosure UFO theories are mostly harmless fun, like cryptozoology. The conspiracy aspect of UFOs is a very minor one with no real impact on the world. 9/11 and chemtrails on the other hand are serious distractions from real issues.

2018-09-17T17:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041747509725474816

@KingBrennymma I think that the evidence indicates that Adam Lanza killed 26 people and himself at the school, and that he acted alone. I think there's a lot of baseless speculation about "False Flags".

2018-09-17T17:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041746657941975041

@PostDisclosure Industry lobbyists giving politicians money in exchange for legislation favorable to their industry.

2018-09-17T17:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041746301635899395

Escaping the Rabbit Hole is #3. Sure it's only #3 in "Amazon, Hot New Releases, Kindle, Reference, Journalism," but it's a start, and it's not out until tomorrow! https://amzn.to/2NnbaRb http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnUGTX7U4AAUQCL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnUGTX7U4AAUQCL.jpg

2018-09-17T17:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041745783169548288

@PostDisclosure Write one yourself. What can I write that you cannot?

2018-09-17T17:45:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041744847097348096

@PostDisclosure I doubt I'll be writing a UFO related book, unless it's something like photo and video identification techniques. The whole UFO culture is not that interesting as it really does not currently impact the real world very much.

2018-09-17T17:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041735030840582146

@loudestsubtext Thanks! I wish I'd written more about QAnon, but the basic techniques should still be useful. My next book will cover QAnon and deep state theories in more detail.

2018-09-17T16:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041721864874188809

@oneblueJ Perhaps a bit of a reach :)

2018-09-17T16:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041720063101829120

@CamClarkCarlton @MolotoVNKA No, it just solved that one photo.

2018-09-17T13:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041675440878845953

@CamClarkCarlton @MolotoVNKA Did you know those "radiation melted vehicles" look exactly like cars burnt in a fire?

http://www.bnreport.com/eerie-photos-reveal-aftermath-of-massive-fire-that-destroyed-1000-cars/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTGQSvUwAASxQy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTGQSvUwAASxQy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTF1_dUYAA_U4p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTF-AlUYAE6wPk.jpg

2018-09-17T13:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041674262631743489

@CamClarkCarlton @MolotoVNKA Did you know that "thermite cut beam" was actually cut six weeks after the collapse?

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.t9469/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTFKnoV4AAdsGE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnTFKnoV4AAdsGE.jpg

2018-09-17T01:31:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041499976566681600

Copies of Escaping The Rabbit Hole are starting to arrive around the world.

https://twitter.com/jopyrah/status/1041411068285906946

2018-09-16T19:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041407351461371904

@CamClarkCarlton Did you read it?

2018-09-16T18:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041397987249774592

@CamClarkCarlton Iâ□□d start with the NIST WTC7 FAQ

https://www.nist.gov/topics/disaster-failure-studies/faqs-nist-wtc-7-investigation

---

2018-09-16T17:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041376739727822849

@brucebanner5280 The guys on the planes. That's what we know with some certainty.

2018-09-16T16:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041368025394380800

How to pull a friend out of the conspiracy theory rabbit hole. An excerpt from my book, which is released on Tuesday.

https://www.salon.com/2018/09/16/how-to-pull-a-friend-out-of-the-conspiracy-theory-rabbit-hole/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnOuVfnV4AA24KE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnOuVfnV4AA24KE.jpg

2018-09-16T16:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041356546595143680

Me and my shill bucks.

Actually this was the winning pot for the Neversoft Kickflip Contest, and I had to give it to Ken.

So close! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FFHKEATnmB4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnOjH_mU4AEhsvi.jpg



Tony Hawk's Pro Skater 3 - Neversoft Kickflip contest

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnOixYWVYAA_Alu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnOjH_mU4AEhsvi.jpg

2018-09-16T15:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041342696898453504

@jonnymohano @AlGorAtelier @cynthiamckinney Prove it, show some old films next to new versions.

2018-09-16T14:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041339678627061760

@AlGorAtelier @jonnymohano @cynthiamckinney Nobody pays me. Iâ□□m a retired Videogame programmer. I did Tony Hawk.

2018-09-16T04:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041186941373317125

@TheOutThere2016 Why would it ever matter?

2018-09-16T00:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041121804524281856

@jonnymohano @AlGorAtelier @cynthiamckinney So find an old VHS, or an old movie print. Blow the whole thing wide open!

2018-09-15T21:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041083549393182720

@TheOutThere2016 No, it looks like it takes a photo about every minute or two.

2018-09-15T17:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041010297912274944

@TheOutThere2016 Looks like 5 to 7 minutes behind real time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnJpVo9VYAAEye4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnJpVo9VYAAEye4.jpg

2018-09-15T17:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041008871752425472

@TheOutThere2016 The SDO takes picture of the sun with lots of different filters, and it takes a few minutes just to cycle through them all. You can look on the directory to see the very latest, current ten minutes behind real time. Close enough.

https://sdo.gsfc.nasa.gov/assets/img/browse/2018/09/15/

2018-09-15T16:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1041007781959020544

@RonyPET36618813 @ProjectBluebeam Those are reflections of dust and the camera lens. It was taken with a lens adapter on an iPhone.

2018-09-15T14:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040976634826747904

@JThebergeAuthor I donâ□□t think the FBI was really involved. It was probably just some threat and the management overreacted and does not know what to do.

2018-09-15T14:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040976119241924609

@TheOutThere2016 Every image takes time to process and upload. But you can always go outside and look at the sun in real time. I think there might be some live streams somewhere.

2018-09-15T02:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040789948104757248

@radiormnpodcast @SteerMark Patents donâ□□t mean a thing is being used, or that it works.

2018-09-14T22:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040734254970241024

@Hellsten17 @ProjectBluebeam @NASA Yes itâ□□s the moon. The SDO orbit is responsible for most of the apparent motion.

2018-09-14T18:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040670603609436160

@DoubtfulNews The weirdest thing is whey there's nobody there, just some caution tape. Random YouTube People are just walking up to it and poking around, opening unlocked doors. https://youtu.be/_AVdVBvSjcU?t=1m32s

---

2018-09-14T18:33:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040669987432587265

@AlGorAtelier @cynthiamckinney Here's a contrail over Paris, France, in 1963. It's from the film "Irma la Douce" 7m26s http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEzqDXU8AAUNPP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEzqDXU8AAUNPP.jpg

2018-09-14T18:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040669070650105857

@DoubtfulNews It is a weird situation though. I'm wondering if it's not all just a big misunderstanding "I thought YOU said we were evacuating!!"

2018-09-14T18:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040668672426106880

@newmind99 Says who?

2018-09-14T18:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040668582676389888

@newmind99 In the past weeks and months (and years) there's ALWAYS outages in every provider, due to local equipment failures.

2018-09-14T18:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040661656081072128

@newmind99 Give one example of a region with widespread outages.

2018-09-14T17:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040659815209426945

@jessiesimpson04 @chemrt It means there's nothing wrong with the sun. All the solar

observatory does is observe the sun. So it's something else. The problem is on the ground somewhere. A security issue.

2018-09-14T17:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040659325411241984

Worried that the closure of the #sunspotobservatory is to hide some kind of solar disaster? Calm down, and have a look at the current space weather, and live shots of the sun. Not even any sunspots. https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/communities/space-weather-enthusiasts

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEp9r5UwAA-94X.jpg

Geospace



Ionosphere



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEp9r5UwAA-94X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEp2mcUcAAgg6q.jpg

Solar



Solar Wind



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEp5otUwAA1ooJ.jpg

2018-09-14T17:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040658556473634816

@newmind99 Go on then. Show me some evidence.

2018-09-14T17:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040657872508477441

@jessiesimpson04 @chemrt Here's a 4K image. Timestamp at the bottom. The sun is very quiet right now.

https://sdo.gsfc.nasa.gov/assets/img/latest/latest_4096_HMIIF.jpg

---

2018-09-14T17:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040657593029410816

@jessiesimpson04 @chemrt Near live images of the sun in a variety of spectrums from the SDO. Who cares about some webcams? https://sdo.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/

2018-09-14T17:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040653471425282049

@newmind99 Perhaps nobody is talking about it because it's not true?

2018-09-14T17:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040652128799186944

@chemrt @jessiesimpson04 ND100000 filter on NIkon P900 @ 2000mm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEjhPoVYAAPRe9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEjhPoVYAAPRe9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEjbypV4AAk9uD.jpg
2018-09-14T17:21:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1040651798678106112
@chemrt @jessiesimpson04 I've found when look for ANY webcam than half (or more) of them are down.

I can take photos of the sun. It looks just fine.

2018-09-14T17:20:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1040651472126373888
@chemrt @jessiesimpson04 Where is this list? Give me a link. And why is it just telling you the brand of a camera in Tenerife. Seems a bit
vague./https://www.axis.com/en-us/products/axis-232d

2018-09-14T17:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1040651026154450944
@radiormnpodcast @SteerMark Watch this for ten seconds, and you will see the explanation.
https://youtu.be/xrDv4jX_MUs?t=52s

2018-09-14T17:16:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1040650626248523776
@radiormnpodcast @SteerMark
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEiKuKVYAAwk6_.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEiJckVsAAclh5.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEiKuKVYAAwk6_.jpg

2018-09-14T15:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040630821009256449

@jessiesimpson04 @chemrt Show me those six.

All of the "town" of Sunspot was closed, but it's not really a town, just the observatory, a camp site, and 40 houses. One road in and out, perched on a ridge.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEP8SRU0AIvFvK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnEP8SRU0AIvFvK.jpg

2018-09-14T05:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040468828528885760

@chemrt They didn't close all the observatories. Just this one. There's hundreds more, plus the the SDO and other satellites, and tens of thousands of individuals, and millions of people with long zoom lenses and solar filters.

2018-09-14T04:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040463651520442368

Moon appears to change direction, crossing the sun and then back again a few hours later.

https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2018/nasa-s-sdo-spots-2-lunar-transits-in-space

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DnB3vwRU4AA-Qjb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DnB3vwRU4AA-Qjb.jpg

2018-09-14T04:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040459355018035200

@radiormnpodcast @SteerMark But how is there a constant gap between the engine and the

trail? Like here: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnB0Q2NUYAEHJlN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnB0Q2NUYAEHJlN.jpg

2018-09-14T00:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040390954794930176

@radiormnpodcast @SteerMark If all those trails are coal ash, then why is there a gap between the engine and the trail?

2018-09-13T23:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040389604099018754

@NukePaper @MailOnline No those are internal reflections of a lens adapter on an iPhone 8.

2018-09-13T23:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040387503092457472

@WI_Chemtrails https://www.metabunk.org/hurricane-florence-vs-hurricane-cora.t9984/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnAy26kVYAA7g-X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnAy26kVYAA7g-X.jpg

2018-09-13T22:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040370366894366720

Conspiracists say the FEMA hurricane exercise from earlier this year is evidence that hurricane Florence is man-made. The problem with that is that simulated hurricane Cora is nothing at all like real hurricane Florence. (Also we can't make hurricanes)

https://www.metabunk.org/hurricane-florence-vs-hurricane-cora.t9984/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnAiwYPVAAAMmnp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DnAiwYPVAAAMmnp.jpg

2018-09-13T20:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040338125078200321

@HoustonSkeptics Yeah, I don't know why either. Conspiracists are abuzz.

https://www.metabunk.org/unexplained-shutdown-of-sunspot-observatory-by-fbi.t9980/

2018-09-13T16:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040278411187343360

The mystery of the closed solar observatory remains unresolved with this update from @NatSolarObs

But the sun is probably not going to explode, because this wouldn't help.

https://twitter.com/NatSolarObs/status/1040277011460026369

2018-09-13T16:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040277521911046144

@GeoffLath It could be a typo of Ð½Ð¾ÑÐ¼Ð°Ð»ÑÐ½ÑÐ¼ Ð¼ÑÐ¶ÑÐ¸Ð½Ð°Ð¼, or just some word like "guys", "blokes", or similar. The original RT translation was "lads"

2018-09-13T16:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040269334088691712

@ASpinOfTheWheel RT has now broken out the "gay" aspect into an entire sub-story.

https://www.rt.com/news/438367-awkward-interview-skripal-suspects/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm_HUJwVAAAT6De.jpg

Arguably the most awkward moments in the interview, which the two men named by Britain as suspects in the Skripal affair gave to RT's editor-in-chief, came when their straightness came into question.

Alexander Petrov and Ruslan Boshirov are suspected by the UK of being Russian military intelligence agents, who were sent to Britain to assassinate former double agent Sergei Skripal. They came to RT to deny the claim. But apparently being perceived as a gay couple seemed to them at least as dreadful as being suspected of having used a chemical weapon, killing one person.

Read more



'We're not agents': UK's suspects in Skripal case talk exclusively with RT's editor-in-chief (VIDEO)

When asked by RT's editor-in-chief Margarita Simonyan about whether they had a Nina Ricci perfume bottle when they arrived in London, Boshirov objected the very idea that he or his friend would carry one.

"Don't you think that it's kind of stupid for two [straight men] to carry perfume for ladies?" he said, adding that such a possession would have been found by the British customs officers, who would see it as *"suspicious"*.

"Even an ordinary person would have questions. Why would a man need perfume for women?" agreed Petrov.

Simonyan jumped on the awkward vibe and pressed forward: *"Speaking of straight men, all footage features you two together … What do you have in common that you spend so much time together?"*

The only thing Boshirov came up with in response was *"let's not breach anyone's privacy"* and complained that instead of protection the two were expecting from RT they get an *"interrogation"*.

The nature of the relationship between Boshirov and Petrov came up again, when the former launched a tirade about how normal it is for two straight men to stay in the same hotel room.

"The British say many things. They say we lived in a room with a single bed," he said. *"It's a normal thing for tourists to stay together in the same hotel apartment with two rooms. It saves money living like that. It's more comfortable and more fun. This is a normal thing for any normal man."*

The outburst was finally cut short by Simonyan, who said whether the two shared a bed in the London hotel was *"the last thing the world cares about now."*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm_HUJwVAAAT6De.jpg

2018-09-13T16:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040268963706482688

@Polly_Boiko Thanks, so would you say the paraphrasing as "[straight men]" here is accurate in context?

https://www.rt.com/news/438367-awkward-interview-skripal-suspects/

2018-09-13T15:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040264979604226049

Looks like it was "Ð½Ð¾ÑÐ¼Ð°Ð»ÑÐ½Ñ Ð¼ÑÐ¶Ð¸ÐºÐ¸" - "normal men".

2018-09-13T15:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040262289683881985

In the same 15:17 update, RT explain why they are talking about trips to Switzerland.

RT's stories are truly living works of journalism, changing from hour to hour.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm_AuX9VAAAmC1f.jpg



We know who people named as suspects in Skripal case are, they are civilians – Putin

On March 4 though *"everything had melted away in London, there was warm and sunny weather."* So the two decided to go to Salisbury again to see the Old Sarum and the cathedral, *"to finish this thing."* The *"thing"* in question might have sounded quite sinister given the scale of accusations made by the British, and Simonyan had to clarify: *"What thing?"* Petrov replied: *"To see the cathedral."*

Simonyan then challenged them about their stay in the UK. British investigators claimed Petrov and Boshirov transported Novichok, the military-grade nerve agent purportedly used in the attack, in a fake Nina Ricci perfume bottle.

"Isn't it silly for straight men to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

They also underlined that not only did they not have Novichok in a Nina Ricci bottle, they didn't have it at all, or any other poison for that matter.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how much their lives had changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents who attempted to assassinate the Skripals. *"When your life has been turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go,"* Boshirov said.

Asked whether they had recently been to any European countries, the two said they had. *"Was it mainly Switzerland?"* Simonyan asked. The two men said they travelled to Switzerland on vacation from time to time, but also to do business. In addition, visiting Switzerland is *"convenient"* to see sights in adjacent countries like France, Boshirov explained.

While the UK claimed the two were trained intelligence officers, the pair themselves said their occupation was far more modest. *"We are owners of a mid-tier business,"* Petrov told Simonyan, but chose to stop there. According to him, keeping quiet on the details of their business was the only way to protect their clients.

We know who people named as suspects in Skripal case are, they are civilians – Putin

On March 4 though *"everything had melted away in London, there was warm and sunny weather."* So the two decided to go to Salisbury again to see Old Sarum and the cathedral, *"to finish this thing."* The *"thing"* in question might have sounded quite sinister given the scale of accusations made by the British, and Simonyan had to clarify: *"What thing?"* Petrov replied: *"To see the cathedral."*

Simonyan then challenged them about their stay in the UK. British investigators claimed Petrov and Boshirov transported Novichok, the military-grade nerve agent purportedly used in the attack, in a fake Nina Ricci perfume bottle.

"Isn't it silly for straight men to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

They also stressed that not only did they not have Novichok in a Nina Ricci bottle, they didn't have it at all, or any other poison for that matter.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how much their lives changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents who attempted to assassinate the Skripals. *"When your life has been turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go,"* Boshirov said.

When asked about the frequent trips to Europe – and Switzerland in particular – reported by the British media, the two said that the number of visits to Switzerland was simply exaggerated.

"If memory serves me well, we had just a couple of trips to Switzerland," Petrov said, adding that while traveling there on vacation, they also combined it with some *"business trips."* In addition, while visiting Switzerland, it is *"convenient"* to see the sights in neighboring countries like France, Boshirov explained.

While the UK claimed the two were trained intelligence officers, the pair themselves said their occupation was far more

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm_AuX9VAAAmC1f.jpg

2018-09-13T15:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040261248577937408

Then with a 15:17 Update they flesh out "we are not gay" part of the story.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-_IccU8AE-BIv.jpg



Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

currently wearing."

"Are these clothes currently in Russia?" Simonyan asked.

"Yes, of course, we can show them."

'I don't know if they are gay or not'

Simonyan later released a series of tweets about the interview. She said her impression was that the men *"were nervous and were sweating much."* At one point in the interview, she suggested turning on the air conditioning, and Petrov said, *"yes, it's hot in here."* She also said she poured them some cognac to *"give them courage."*

Trying to provoke them into telling more, Simonyan said all CCTV videos released by the British showed Petrov and Boshirov living together and walking together. *"What is in common between you?"* she asked. Anticipating further questions on this, she wrote on Twitter: *"Guys, I don't know if they are gay or not."*

RT's editor-in-chief revealed other behind-the-scenes details, including her perception of Petrov's and Boshirov's personalities. The two were *"sporty men with quite trendy haircuts."* She said one of them smoked, the other didn't.

They demanded that only one camera run during the interview and refused to show their IDs. But in the end a second camera was present, filming Simonyan asking the questions. They said they would not go to the studio and only agreed to do the interview in her office.

Simonyan stressed that the two men's answers are their own responsibility, and everyone can decide for themselves whether to believe them or not. She has chosen to not comment on her own perception.

Subscribe to RT newsletter to get stories the mainstream media won't tell you.

Think your friends would be interested? Share this story!

Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

"Yes, of course, we can show them."

'I don't know if they are gay or not'

Simonyan later released a series of tweets about the interview. She said her impression was that the men *"were nervous and were sweating much."* At one point in the interview, she suggested turning on the air conditioning, and Petrov said, *"yes, it's hot in here."* She also said she poured them some cognac to *"give them courage."*

Trying to provoke them into telling more, Simonyan said all CCTV videos released by the British showed Petrov and Boshirov living together and walking together. *"What is in common between you?"* she asked. Anticipating further questions on this, she wrote on Twitter: *"Guys, I don't know if they are gay or not."*

Apparently, the men were quite distressed by the UK showing photos of a hotel room with a single bed. They insisted they are straight, saying there were double rooms next to it, adding it's *"perfectly normal for tourists to stay together in a double room. It saves money and it's practical. It's more fun that way and it's also easier. There's absolutely nothing wrong with this."*

RT's editor-in-chief revealed other behind-the-scenes details, including her perception of Petrov's and Boshirov's personalities. The two were *"sporty men with quite trendy haircuts."* She said one of them smoked, the other didn't.

They demanded that only one camera run during the interview and refused to show their IDs. But in the end a second camera was present, filming Simonyan asking the questions. They said they would not go to the studio and only agreed to do the interview in her office.

Simonyan stressed that the two men's answers are their own, and everyone can decide for themselves whether to believe them or not. She has chosen to not comment on her own views.

Subscribe to RT newsletter to get stories the mainstream media won't tell you.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-_IccU8AE-BIv.jpg

2018-09-13T15:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040255817122033664

The Skripal suspects description of themselves has been variously translated as "decent lads", "straight men", and now "normal men" and "simple men". What did they actually say, and what does it mean? https://twitter.com/Polly_Boiko/status/1040190441361158146

2018-09-13T15:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040254337488965633

@ASpinOfTheWheel It might be an unexpected minor win for LGBT rights in Russia if the state media tries to play up the oppressed honest gay aspect to get more Western sympathy for the "tourists"

2018-09-13T14:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040251076698492928

In a 14:07 update to the Skripal murder story, RT removes speculation about a conspiracy theory around faked photos, and replaces it with speculation about the suspects' sexuality, despite their assurances that they are not gay.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-2Jf1VsAA_9n3.jpg



We know who people named as suspects in Skripal case are, they are civilians – Putin

They tried to walk through the town when they arrived there by train on March 3, but that *"lasted for only half an hour because it was covered in snow,"* Petrov told Simonyan. There was *"muddy slush everywhere."*

On March 4 though *"everything had melted away in London, there was warm and sunny weather."* So the two decided to go there again to see the Old Sarum and the cathedral, *"to finish this thing."* The *"thing"* in question might have sounded quite sinister given the scale of accusations made by the British, and Simonyan had to clarify: *"What thing?"* Petrov replied: *"To see the cathedral."*

Simonyan then challenged them about their stay in the UK. British investigators claimed Petrov and Boshirov transported Novichok, the military-grade nerve agent purportedly used in the attack, in a fake Nina Ricci perfume bottle.

"Isn't it silly for straight men to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

They also underlined that not only did they not have Novichok in a Nina Ricci bottle, they didn't have it at all, or any other poison for that matter.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how much their lives had changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents who attempted to assassinate the Skripals. *"When your life has been turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go,"* Boshirov said.

Asked whether they had recently been to any European state, the two said they were.

"Sure… in Switzerland we were for a couple of times… we spent New year in Switzerland." The journey was part of their vacation, however the two have also been in Europe to do business that is related to sports nutrition.

Read more

Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

"We examine the market, look if there is something new – some biologically active additives, amino acids, vitamins, microelements. We pick up the most necessary, come here and decide how to deliver the new products from this market here."

After asked if they were people on the screenshots released by the UK, the men said they indeed were.

"Yes. We have these clothes, this jacket is hanging in my wardrobe. The shoes are bought in England…This is the clothes were are currently wearing."

"Are these clothes currently in Russia?" Simonyan asked.

"Yes, of course, we can show it."

The RT editor-in-chief also touched upon the most puzzling picture of the two, the photo from the Gatwick airport.

"Here is the picture that puzzled the whole world, Gatwick airport, you are leaving through a gate literally in the same times, almost the same second. How did it happen?" she asked.

"We always go together through the same corridor and the same custom service officer or a policeman. One goes, the other waits. We went through the corridor together, we always [do it] together. How did it happen? It's better to ask them [UK police]," Boshirov replied.

"Isn't it silly for straight men to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

They also underlined that not only did they not have Novichok in a Nina Ricci bottle, they didn't have it at all, or any other poison for that matter.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how much their lives had changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents who attempted to assassinate the Skripals. *"When your life has been turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go,"* Boshirov said.

Asked whether they had recently been to any European countries, the two said they had. *"Was it mainly Switzerland?"* Simonyan asked. The two men said they travelled to Switzerland on vacation from time to time, but also to do business. In addition, visiting Switzerland is *"convenient"* to see sights in adjacent countries like France, Boshirov explained.

While the UK claimed the two were trained intelligence officers, the pair themselves said their occupation was far more modest. *"We are owners of a mid-tier business,"* Petrov told Simonyan, but chose to stop there. According to him, keeping quiet on the details of their business was the only way to protect their clients.

"In brief, this is the fitness industry, everything related to sports industry, vitamins and microelements," he said. According to Petrov and Boshirov, they travelled to Europe to do business from time to time to examine the market and *"look if there is something new – some biologically active additives, amino acids, vitamins, microelements."*

Read more

Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

Asked if they were the people in the screenshots released by the UK, the men said they indeed were.

"Yes. We have these clothes, this jacket is hanging in my wardrobe. The shoes are bought in England…This is the clothes were are currently wearing."

"Are these clothes currently in Russia?" Simonyan asked.

"Yes, of course, we can show them."

'I don't know if they are gay or not'

Simonyan later released a series of tweets about the interview. She said her impression was that the men *"were nervous and were sweating much."* At one point in the interview, she suggested turning on the air conditioning, and Petrov said, *"yes, it's hot in here."* She also said she poured them some cognac to *"give them courage."*

Trying to provoke them into telling more, Simonyan said all CCTV videos released by the British showed Petrov and Boshirov living together and walking together. *"What is in common between you?"* she asked. Anticipating further questions on this, she wrote on Twitter: *"Guys, I don't know if they are gay or not."*

RT's editor-in-chief revealed other behind-the-scenes details, including her perception of Petrov's and Boshirov's personalities. The two were *"sporty men with quite trendy haircuts."* She said one of them smoked, the other didn't.

They demanded that only one camera run during the interview and refused to show their IDs. But in the end a second camera was present, filming Simonyan asking the questions. They said they would not go to the studio and only agreed to do the interview in her office.

Simonyan stressed that the two men's answers are their own responsibility, and everyone can decide for themselves whether to believe them or not. She has chosen to not comment on her own perception.

Subscribe to RT newsletter to get stories the mainstream media won't tell you

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-2Jf1VsAA_9n3.jpg

2018-09-13T14:31:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040246485701390337

@TulsiGabbard @joerogan It was a very interesting conversation. I look forward to your run for President.

2018-09-13T14:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040246224954130432

@GknBtg @Ei1299Erin "Silver" isn't really a color - it's a reflective state. So if it was carrying orange embers, then it would partially reflect the light from those orange embers, or it would reflect the surroundings. You would not expect "silver" to show up in the video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-yFviU0AMW5ei.jpg

---

21. Why does NIST state that a yellow stream of molten metal seen in some photographs pouring down the side of WTC2 was aluminum from the crashed plane, even though aluminum burns with a white glow?

NIST reported (NIST NCSTAR 1-5A) that just before 9:52 a.m., a bright spot appeared at the top of a window on the 80th floor of WTC 2, four windows removed from the east edge on the north face, followed by the flow of a glowing liquid. This flow lasted approximately four seconds before subsiding. Many such liquid flows were observed from near this location in the seven minutes leading up to the collapse of this tower. There is no evidence of similar molten liquid pouring out from another location in WTC 2 or from anywhere within WTC 1.

Photographs, as well as NIST simulations of the aircraft impact, show large piles of debris in the 80th and 81st floors of WTC 2 near the site where the glowing liquid eventually appeared. Much of this debris came from the aircraft itself and from the office furnishings that the aircraft pushed forward as it tunneled to this far end of the building. Large fires developed on these piles shortly after the aircraft impact and continued to burn in the area until the tower collapsed.

NIST concluded that the source of the molten material was aluminum alloys from the aircraft, since these are known to melt between 475 degrees Celsius (900 degrees Fahrenheit) and 640 degrees Celsius (1,200 degrees Fahrenheit)—depending on the particular alloy—well below the expected temperatures (about 1,000 degrees Celsius or 1,800 degrees Fahrenheit) in the vicinity of the fires. Aluminum is not expected to ignite at normal fire temperatures and there is no visual indication that the material flowing from the tower was burning.

Pure liquid aluminum would be expected to appear silvery. However, the molten metal was very likely mixed with large amounts of hot, partially burned, solid organic materials (e.g., furniture, carpets, partitions and computers) which can display an orange glow, much like logs burning in a fireplace. The apparent color also would have been affected by slag formation on the surface.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-yFviU0AMW5ei.jpg

2018-09-13T14:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040245417693171712

@GknBtg @Ei1299Erin But what you are seeing is the burning material being carried out by a flow of molten metal, like aluminum. The metal being silver is irrelevant. What you are seeing is a bunch of flaring embers from the fire, carried out with the aluminum.

2018-09-13T13:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040238512237211648

@GknBtg @Ei1299Erin Well yes, that's what I (and NIST) said. The stream of aluminum is silver, with burning stuff on top providing the yellow flame color.

2018-09-13T13:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040238120808022016

@GknBtg No, but molten aluminum or lead does.

2018-09-13T13:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040235621673926656

RT's story about the Skripal suspects Alexander Petrov and Ruslan Bishirov shows some curious evolution over time. Including downplaying their attempts to go to Stonehenge and changing the phrase "decent lads" into "straight men"

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Metabunk_2018-09-13_06-16-40.jpg @EliotHiggins

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-ne54UwAAlLyx.jpg



https://www.rt.com/news/438350-petrov-boshirov-interview-simo...

News USA UK Sport Russian politics Business Op-ed

Edited time: 13 Sep, 2018 12:25

THE FOURTH PART OF THE VIDEO TO FOLLOW SOON.

The two men reached out to RT Editor-in-Chief Margarita Simonyan, as they wanted to tell their story. The first thing she asked them was to confirm their names, and they said that they were indeed Alexander Petrov and Ruslan Boshirov, and that those were their real names.

She then asked them whether they worked for the GRU – Russian intelligence. They immediately denied it.

"You honestly look very tense," Simonyan noted.

"And how would you look after all of that?" Petrov responded, before Boshirov said the British investigation *"ruined their lives."*

"Well, we came there [to the UK] on March 2, then went to a railway station to see the timetable. We arrived in Salisbury on March 3 and tried to walk through the town, but we lasted for only half an hour because it was covered in snow," Petrov said.

Read more

We know who people named as suspects in Skripal case are, they are civilians – Putin

"Of course, we went there to see Stonehenge, Old Sarum, but we couldn't do it because there was muddy slush everywhere. The town was covered by this slush. We got wet, took the nearest train and came back [to London]."

"We spent no more than an hour in Salisbury, mainly because of the lags between trains," Boshirov said. *"Maybe we did [approach] Skripal's house, but we don't know where is it located."*

"On March 4 we returned [to Salisbury] because everything had melted away in London, there was warm and sunny weather. We specifically went there [again] to see the Old Sarum and the cathedral and decided to finish this thing on March 4," said Petrov.

Simonyan clarified: *"What thing?"*

"To see the cathedral," Petrov replied.

When Margarita Simonyan asked the two men whether they had Novichok or any poison with them, they emphatically said no. Then she asked whether they had the Nina Ricci perfume bottle that has been shown as evidence.

"Isn't it silly for decent lads to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how their lives had changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents, who attempted to poison the Skripals.

"When your life turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go. We're afraid of going out, we fear for ourselves, our lives and lives of our loved ones," Boshirov said.

Asked whether they had recently been to any European state, the two said they were.

"Sure... in Switzerland we were for a couple of times... we spent New year in Switzerland." The journey was part of their vacation, however the two have also been in Europe to do business that is related to sports nutrition.

Read more

Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

"We examine the market, look if there is something new – some biologically active additives, amino acids, vitamins, microelements. We pick up the most necessary, come here and decide how to deliver the new products from this market here."

After asked if they were people on the screenshots released by the UK, the men said they indeed were.

"Yes. We have these clothes, this jacket is hanging in my wardrobe. The shoes are bought in England...This is the clothes were are currently wearing."

"Are these clothes currently in Russia?" Simonyan asked.

"Yes, of course, we can show it."

The RT editor-in-chief also touched upon the most puzzling picture of the two, the photo from the Gatwick airport.

"Here is the picture that puzzled the whole world, Gatwick airport, you are leaving through a gate literally in the same times, almost the same second. How did it happen?" she asked.

"We always go together through the same corridor and the same custom service officer or a policeman. One goes, the other waits. We went through the corridor together, we always [do it] together. How did it happen? It's better to ask them [UK police]," Boshirov replied.

Subscribe to RT newsletter to get stories the mainstream media won't tell you.

Think your friends would be interested? Share this story!

https://www.rt.com/news/438350-petrov-boshirov-inte...

News USA UK Sport Russian politics Business Op-ed

Edited time: 13 Sep, 2018 13:04

THE FOURTH PART OF THE VIDEO TO FOLLOW SOON.

UK prosecutors claimed their names were not real, but Alexander Petrov and Ruslan Boshirov have confirmed their identities in an interview with RT's Editor-in-Chief Margarita Simonyan.

Both men said emphatically that they were not Russian intelligence agents and were merely sightseeing in Salisbury. They said they wanted to visit the *"wonderful town"* of Salisbury but realized they came at a bad time – and not just because of the muddy English weather.

The subsequent accusations caught them completely by surprise.

The pair told Simonyan they went to London to *"hang out,"* and decided to also visit Salisbury upon the advice of their friends. The town, situated close to the world-famous Stonehenge, also attracted them because of the Cathedral Church of the Blessed Virgin Mary, *"famous not just in Europe, but in the whole world."*

Read more

We know who people named as suspects in Skripal case are, they are civilians – Putin

Its 123-meter spire and clock, the first one of its kind anywhere in the world, was a reason solid enough to jump on a train from London to Salisbury, Boshirov and Petrov explained. But then the weather interfered with their travel plans.

They tried to walk through the town when they arrived there by train on March 3, but that *"lasted for only half an hour because it was covered in snow,"* Petrov told Simonyan. There was *"muddy slush everywhere."*

On March 4 though *"everything had melted away in London, there was warm and sunny weather."* So the two decided to go there again to see the Old Sarum and the cathedral, *"to finish this thing."* The *"thing"* in question might have sounded quite sinister given the scale of accusations made by the British, and Simonyan had to clarify: *"What thing?"* Petrov replied: *"To see the cathedral."*

Simonyan then challenged them about their stay in the UK. British investigators claimed Petrov and Boshirov transported Novichok, the military-grade nerve agent purportedly used in the attack, in a fake Nina Ricci perfume bottle.

"Isn't it silly for straight men to have women's perfume? The customs are checking everything, they would have questions as to why men have women's perfume in their luggage. We didn't have it," Boshirov said.

They also underlined that not only did they not have Novichok in a Nina Ricci bottle, they didn't have it at all, or any other poison for that matter.

Both Petrov and Boshirov sounded distressed as they spoke about how much their lives had changed since they were named in the UK as Russian intelligence agents who attempted to assassinate the Skripals. *"When your life has been turned upside down, you don't know what to do and where to go,"* Boshirov said.

Asked whether they had recently been to any European state, the two said they were.

"Sure... in Switzerland we were for a couple of times... we spent New year in Switzerland." The journey was part of their vacation, however the two have also been in Europe to do business that is related to sports nutrition.

Read more

Salisbury plot thickens, questions without answers multiply

"We examine the market, look if there is something new – some biologically active additives, amino acids, vitamins, microelements. We pick up the most necessary, come here and decide how to deliver the new products from this market here."

After asked if they were people on the screenshots released by the UK, the men said they indeed were.

"Yes. We have these clothes, this jacket is hanging in my wardrobe. The shoes are bought in England...This is the clothes were are currently wearing."

"Are these clothes currently in Russia?" Simonyan asked.

"Yes, of course, we can show it."

The RT editor-in-chief also touched upon the most puzzling picture of the two, the photo from the Gatwick airport.

"Here is the picture that puzzled the whole world, Gatwick airport, you are leaving through a gate literally in the same times, almost the same second. How did it happen?" she asked.

"We always go together through the same corridor and the same custom service officer or a policeman. One goes, the other waits. We went through the corridor together, we always [do it] together. How did it happen? It's better to ask them [UK police]," Boshirov replied.

Subscribe to RT newsletter to get stories the mainstream media won't tell you.

Think your friends would be interested? Share this story!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm-ne54UwAAlLyx.jpg

2018-09-13T13:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040224612972261378

RT @guywalters: The statement by the #skripal suspects is glorious. The city was so 'covered in snow' that they couldn't walk 0.7 miles toâ¦

2018-09-13T12:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040220723665489920

@GreenTeamBC Only so I can help people out.

2018-09-13T05:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040116234891620352

@HeyoSpeaker @FreemanStahl @bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell I read the Kindle sample of the book. It describes an encounter with a giant magic wolf that is immune to bullets and vanishes into thin air. This did not make me want to read the book, or watch the film. YMMV

2018-09-13T05:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040110942661431296

@FreemanStahl @bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell I'd pick two witnesses over one witness. And video recordings and physical evidence over that.

What ideology are you talking about?

2018-09-13T05:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040110570861514752

@SaltersMichael @Ei1299Erin You just adding a physical implausible explanation to something that does not need it. Bombs on the plane would be destroyed on impact, or in the fire, or shot out the other side, and miraculously survived would end up in random position and not be able to bring the building down

---

2018-09-13T04:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040098969404096513

@SaltersMichael @Ei1299Erin How would that even work?

2018-09-13T03:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040077695814590465

@bosma2002 Well right here I'm just debunking this one photo.

2018-09-13T00:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040037336765984771

@Ei1299Erin You are being sarcastic, but might not be entirely wrong. The leading theory for what that is is burning debris being carried out by a stream of molten aluminum (which by itself would be silver). Paper might be included. Paper and wood flames are bright orange and yellow.

2018-09-13T00:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040035185142554624

The back cover of #EscapingTheRabbitHole. Great blurbs from @stephenfry, @DavidPakmanShow, @michaelshermer, @SkepticZone, and @BTRadford. Help family and friends out of the conspiracy theory rabbit hole using facts, logic, and respect. Ships on

Tuesday! https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm7w6MlV4AAzPOC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm7w6MlV4AAzPOC.jpg
2018-09-13T00:11:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1040030131488608256
@rjblaskiewicz Although of course a chunk of the fuel load in the fires was paper, and so it did contribute a bit to the collapse. Lots more paper in offices back then.
Even more tangentially related, burning printed paper makes iron microspheres. Not a lot, but still..

2018-09-13T00:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040028575800254465

A photo of "molten metal" used for a decade by 9/11 "Truthers", turns out to just be a pile of burning paper.

https://www.metabunk.org/a-molten-metal-9-11-photo-is-just-burning-paper.t9982/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm7sYrZUwAEkunA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm7sYrZUwAEkunA.jpg

2018-09-12T23:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1040021534822133760

@DianeShears @davidicke Unlike what? What are you not taking on faith here?

2018-09-12T21:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039993101601759232

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Can you link me to this admission?

2018-09-12T21:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039992949172404224

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Without verification, pretty much. Especially when it comes to extraordinary claims, like monsters climbing out of portals.

2018-09-12T20:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039970552151138304

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Testimony though, needs verification.

2018-09-12T20:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039970434303766528

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl I always believe verifiable facts. Who doesn't?

2018-09-12T20:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039970295384141824

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl What did the teams of legit qualified scientists find, in terms of evidence? Anything significant?

2018-09-12T20:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039970093755588608

@bossmancharlie @JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl You think the US government is convinced there is paranormal activity in that area? Seems a rather broad statement. Who does that involve exactly? Trump? Sanders? Zinke?

2018-09-12T18:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039940294995668992

@EndGameShowWW3 @ShepardAmbellas Except for it being a different scenario, yes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm6cILXXcAEO0D2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm6cILXXcAEO0D2.jpg

2018-09-12T18:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039939774310572033

@ShepardAmbellas No. Scientists don't actually know how to modify hurricanes in a predictable manner.

2018-09-12T14:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039887351940493312

@TheObserverEff1 I'm a big fan of truth. I've been debunking things that are wrong for ten years, and not made any money yet. But I do have a book coming out next week :)

2018-09-12T05:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039746009251885056

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl I'm asking you, the director of the film, if there's substantive evidence in it. I'm asking this because I'm all about evidence, and don't want to waste time watching a film that's just people telling ghost stories, and some cow things. So correct that misapprehension.

2018-09-12T05:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039743869125058561

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Well, does it present something other than eyewitness testimony and cow shenanigans? I'm trying to determine if there's a reason to watch it.

2018-09-12T05:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039743607090110465

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Why would I watch it? Does it actually present any evidence? Can't you at least describe very roughly that evidence so I have an actual reason to watch it?

2018-09-12T05:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039743174770667525

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl I'd watch the film if it presented something other than eyewitness testimony and cow shenanigans, but I've yet to hear that it does.

2018-09-12T05:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039742881433612288

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl I'm not really your target audience. You didn't make the film to convince scientists that there was something there. You made it to entertain fans of the paranormal. You've probably succeeded, but don't have unrealistic expectations. The primary problem is you lack hard evidence.

2018-09-12T05:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039741982363533313

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl This review is more positive, but essentially boils down to the same thing. Eyewitness accounts, cows moved, no evidence.

http://cinema-crazed.com/blog/2018/09/04/hunt-for-the-skinwalker-2018/

2018-09-12T04:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039740228003614720

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Right or wrong, that was the picture that was painted by the review. Now if you forced me decide I'd guess it's probably accurate, but would read other reviews, as one alone is rarely accurate. That why we have rotten tomatoes.

2018-09-12T04:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039739845252403201

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl I was:
"Jason Colavito's review of "Hunt for the Skinwalker" paints a picture of a group of people who really want to believe and have resorted to "scaring themselves in the dark" to sharpen their evidenced-free beliefs of monsters, ghosts, and trans-dimensional portals."

2018-09-12T04:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039737104983617536

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl No, but I was writing about the review, not the movie. Can you perhaps give a concrete example in which the review is incorrect?

2018-09-12T04:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039736522180911104

@DaveFalch Hmm, maybe not, wing shape is wrong. But this type of thing
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm3izG8WsAAL3OS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm3izG8WsAAL3OS.jpg

2018-09-12T04:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039734992916672512

@DaveFalch Looks a bit like an A10 flying towards you in fairly level flight

---

2018-09-12T04:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039733425450766336

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl Was he inaccurate in his portrayal of the lack of evidence? Have you personally got any actual evidence of inexplicable "orbs, UFOs, animal mutilations, unknown creatures, poltergeist-type activity?"

Do you not want to believe?

2018-09-11T22:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039643079769128960

@DianeShears @davidicke That's warm weather cloud seeding with salt water. It's not a secret. It's from Malaysia in 2005.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-photos-of-spraying-out-the-back-of-a-cargo-plane-malaysian-cloud-seeding-from-c-130.t3637/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm2N2d5UcAAGJJB.jpg

---

Cloud-seeding process

INFOGRAPHIC NST BY AHMAD YUSI









http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm2N002UUAEeYGy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm2Nw_RUcAAEzlU.jpg



RAINMAKER: A Royal Malaysian Air Force personnel adjusting one of the tubes for the cloud-seeding operation over Sumatra yesterday. — STARpic by ART CHEN

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm2NvX8U8AAJ2Kf.jpg



HIGH ALTITUDE MISSION: Air Force pesonnel checking tanks carrying the salt and water mixture which were used to seed the clouds Friday and hopefully cause rain in haze affected areas.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm2N2d5UcAAGJJB.jpg
2018-09-11T21:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039627129720205312
@FreemanStahl Complex? Cows herded into odd places? Strange feelings? Ghost stories?

2018-09-11T18:54:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039588082935259137
Jason Colavito's review of "Hunt for the Skinwalker" paints a picture of a group of people who really want to believe and have resorted to "scaring themselves in the dark" to sharpen their evidenced-free beliefs of monsters, ghosts, and trans-dimensional portals.
https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1039492587583877121

2018-09-11T18:32:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039582519572623361
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich Opening pages of chapter 2, "The Shill Card", where I discuss the problem of how to establish constructive communication when the person you are talking to thinks you are a government shill.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1WeOHUcAApbNr.jpg



CHAPTER THREE

The Shill Card

The "shill card" is a tactic used by the promoters of disinformation to discredit people who point out their errors. Instead of answering the objections being raised, they simply claim that the source is a "government shill." It's an old tactic, more generally described as "poisoning the well." It is applied to fact checking sites like Snopes or FactCheck.org, it's applied to the media by politicians, and it's applied to debunkers by conspiracy theorists.

Once a label has been applied it often sticks. Posting an explanation for something like contrails with a reference to Wikipedia might be met with a response like "Wikipedia, LOL," or even "Wikipedia has been debunked." A very useful source of largely neutral information has been suddenly deemed entirely unreliable. Not only that, but the fact that Wikipedia (or Snopes, or Metabunk) says something is often interpreted (by conspiracy theorists and people on the political fringes) as evidence that the opposite is true.

If you encounter this accusation after referencing a site like Wikipedia, then the best thing to do is simply bypass that "tainted" site and use the direct references instead (Wikipedia in particular almost always has the information available in several referenced articles). But what do you do when the shill card is played against you yourself?

This is a position I have found myself in many times, and I have also seen it when other people reference articles I've written. Like the "Wikipedia LOL" comeback, I get similar responses, like this one from a believer in the "Flat Earth" theory:

Anyone who buys Metabunk bullshit deserves not the truth. Mick West, lol, what a joke. He is utterly ignorant of pretty much everything involving basic physics.[1]

Or from a believer in Chemtrails:

When a liar is caught in a lie, the only way to cover up the previous lie is to make up another lie. That is why Mick West, the most notorious internet troll in existence, will never tell the truth.[2]

Or from a believer in the 9/11 Controlled Demolition theory:

Mick West is a shill for the Government and believes there is no such thing as "Conspiracy Theories" or "Government Corruption." . . . When it comes down to the science and physics of 9/11, he suffers from cognitive dissonance.[3]

I've even seen it in strange indirect manners when people on social media raise issues that sound like claims I've made (like, "contrails have always persisted"), then they get accused of being Mick West in disguise, and their evidence is discarded.

I have tried to counter this by being open, honest, and respectful. I tell people who I am. I explain to them what I think. I explain that I think there are many real conspiracies, an abundance of government corruption, and that nobody should blindly trust people in power. I discuss why I debunk *false* conspiracy theories, and why I think that is important. I discuss my past, explaining how I got here, what my credentials are (more often how I don't have any), how I came to know so much about contrails, and how I can afford to run Metabunk by myself.

The short version I give is that I'm a retired video game programmer and nobody pays me. I debunk as a hobby and it's something I've always been interested in. Metabunk is just an off-the-shelf forum that costs very little to run except for time, of which I have plenty.

The longer version follows. I include my story here because the more someone knows about you and the more they can relate to you, the more

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1WeOHUcAApbNr.jpg
2018-09-11T18:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039581153928212480
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich No problem. It's easy to get angry at people in the abstract when you think they represent something deceptive. It's a problem I talk about in my book, Escaping the Rabbit Hole. My solution is just to be be as open and honest as possible and hope it comes through.

2018-09-11T18:21:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039579778112663553
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich I'm always happy to respond to constructive criticism. I want people to point out mistakes in what I write. I also think it's important to listen to different perspectives.

2018-09-11T18:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039579305800421377
@JohnSmi43907640 I have flown planes. I'm not at NASA.

2018-09-11T18:19:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039579139408191488
@Revolution4Love The idea that HAARP can control the weather is just wrong. It only affects the ionosphere, and then just a bit of it over Alaska. That has no downward coupling to the troposphere, so cannot affect the weather. Like dropping rocks in the Nile to make a splash in lake Victoria.

2018-09-11T18:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039575931952619520
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich I say it all the time. It's hard to prove a negative. I just focus on individual claims of evidence. Weeding out things that are wrong. Then people can make a better informed decision with things that are factual.

2018-09-11T18:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039575344129294342
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich Well I feel like I'm part of the solution. I think false conspiracy theories are a distraction from real conspiracies, like political corruption and revolving door careers with the military industrial complex, finance, etc.

2018-09-11T18:01:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039574696499376128
@JohnSmi43907640 Here's the WMO page showing where they fit in the classification, basically as sub-variety of various forms of cirrus.
https://cloudatlas.wmo.int/explanatory-remarks-and-special-clouds-cirrus.html

---

2018-09-11T17:59:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039574289916121088
@JohnSmi43907640 They are essentially cirrus clouds. They form in long lines because their formation is triggered by the water in the aircraft exhaust which pushes the local relative humidity over 100%. Sometime called "aviation induced cirrus", "cirrus aviaticus", or Cirrus Homogenitus.

2018-09-11T17:56:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039573342099988480
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich I debunk things that are false. So less falsehood = more truth.

2018-09-11T17:42:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039569938044710912
@vandman777 @Goofamatic @PaulineSandwich Yes, I debunk the things that can be debunked. What exactly is wrong with that?
This column cut for example, has been proven to be cut six weeks after the collapse. That does not prove it was not CD, however it proves this long-standing bit of evidence for CD was wrong.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1LSgXVsAEQ4Fd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1LSgUU4AARt-U.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1LSg5V4AAOqSw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1LSgWU0AAyFYV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm1LSgXVsAEQ4Fd.jpg

2018-09-11T16:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039550718145847296

@Revolution4Love Weather modification is not a conspiracy theory - people have been seeding clouds quite openly since the 1950s. However accurate hurricane steering isn't a form of weather modification with any science behind it (except the science saying previous attempts did not work)

2018-09-11T15:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039543137272655872

@Marcohikes I'll make sure there's a PDF. There's 19 illustrations in the book.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm0y7fGUUAAcVfY.jpg



1 was 3 Usage of Term Conspiracy Theory LARGE.png



2 was 4 Google Trends Conspiracy theory Figure.png



3 was 1 Extremeness Spectrum LARGE.png



4 was 2 Demarcation line LARGE.png



5 IMG_1168_Contrails BW_600dpi.png



6 was Y - Aerodynamic vs. Exhaust.png



7 was X - Bypass Engines.png



8 was 6 Wigington Test LARGE.png



9 was 7 Top5_AI-Flattened.png



10 was 8 US3216423-0 Birthing.png



11 was 9 Patent_US5094409 Moon House.png



12 was 10 Google Trends False Flag 2010-present.png



13 was 11 Boston Bombing Tweet Compare.png



14 was 12 Flat Earth Google Trends.png



15 was 13 DSCN3192-BW-comp Boat on horizon.png



16 was 14 Three views of Catalina-contrast.png



17 15 DSC00504-level-correction soundly 600 DPI Pontchartrain.png



18 was 16 flat earth render with sun volume spotlight BW.png



19 was 17 IMG_2008-bw iPhone Pocket Univers .png

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dm0y7fGUUAAcVfY.jpg
2018-09-11T15:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039534788061745155
@Marcohikes I'm not sure how that works, I'll check. But it will be out in a week. Narrated by the appropriately British @RalphLister
https://www.audible.com/pd/Escaping-the-Rabbit-Hole-Audiobook/1982540966

2018-09-11T00:06:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039304243516067840
@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier I'm not getting your point. You understand the $2.3 Trillion does not refer to actual missing money, right?
You understand that there are ALSO actual cases of missing money in government, sometimes in the billions?
So what exactly is your issue here?

2018-09-11T00:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039302715891826688
@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier Minnery was talking about millions, not trillions, as the next sentence reveals.

"Minnery, a former Marine turned whistle-blower, is risking his job by speaking out for the first time about the millions he noticed were missing from one defense agency's balance sheets. "

2018-09-10T23:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039300898088861696

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier What do they say exactly?

2018-09-10T23:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039300766605795329

@MitchBallard_ Missing billions are a significant problem that should be addressed. But missing trillions would be a thousand times more significant, it would be the biggest story ever if it actually happened. It didn't

1 Trillion = 1,000 Billion

2018-09-10T23:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039294469097418752

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier I don't think it's fake news, just bad reporting. I don't think they deliberately misunderstood.

2018-09-10T23:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039293998572101632

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier The follow-up was that the earthquake was too deep to do any damage. Not really an earthquake in the sense most people understand it.

2018-09-10T23:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039288647697059841

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier And of course we should care about missing billions, even missing millions. But nothing much gets done because the military industrial complex would not benefit from closer scrutiny. So the response is slow. Things DO get done sometimes despite this. https://www.newsweek.com/pentagon-800-million-799740

2018-09-10T23:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039287734207008768

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier That's really a different topic though. The original claim was that $2.3 TRILLION was missing, (and then $6.5 Trillion, then $21 Trillion). It was not. Now billions probably are, but a billion is a thousand times smaller than a trillion. So the response is proportionate.

2018-09-10T22:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039283557670170625

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier There was no follow-up because they figured out there was no story. It's like when there's an 8.2 magnitude earthquake in Fiji, like there was last month. Initial media response was OMG MASSIVE 8.2 EQ! But once it was explained it was a harmless 8.2 no follow-up was needed

---

2018-09-10T22:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1039282234069372929

@Brian_D_Roberts @MitchBallard_ @RyanAFournier The $2.3 Trillion story was just the first version. There's been a few variants since then, but they all have the same answers - the

figures don't represent money that is missing.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/

2018-09-10T20:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039243674792521728
@davidicke http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DmwijEIUcAAa-ys.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DmwijEIUcAAa-ys.jpg
2018-09-10T20:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1039243223783288832
@davidicke They sure look like contrails. Just look in any old book on clouds going back to the 1940s https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-09-07T19:16:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1038144061918601217
@JBurt1992 @ZonePhysics Fake.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-lights-chasing-each-other-carving-holes-in-clouds-fake-video-fallstreak-holes.t9040/

2018-09-06T20:21:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1037798085546704896
@ckavanagh1 @ZonePhysics
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-lights-chasing-each-other-carving-holes-in-clouds-fake-video-fallstreak-holes.t9040/

2018-09-06T18:33:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1037770763732967424
@PostDisclosure @DaveFalch @SvenKolbow @dave_beaty Some more comparisons with far distant receding planes would be needed. Compare with

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2018-09-05T22:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037469356605620224

@Ebe210 @ufoofinterest @UFOS_EXPOSED Unless attached to some spider silk

---

2018-09-05T14:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037346608919150593

@GreenTeamBC Everything â□□existsâ□□ if you can just make up a definition. If you are simply defining â□□chemtrailsâ□□ as â□□contrailsâ□□ thatâ□□s like defining â□□unicornsâ□□ as â□□horsesâ□□.

2018-09-04T21:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037084046298247168

@Masschemtrails @BayleeB79 @realchanpepe @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @rezn8d @climateviewer @LionelMedia @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @NULOOKREFINISH @dahboo7 I'm from the UK.

2018-09-04T20:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037081673110773762

@Masschemtrails @BayleeB79 @realchanpepe @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @rezn8d @climateviewer @LionelMedia @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @NULOOKREFINISH @dahboo7 However that Net Worth estimate you linked to is for an Australian race car driver of the same name.

2018-09-04T20:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037081279278153728

@Masschemtrails @BayleeB79 @realchanpepe @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @rezn8d @climateviewer @LionelMedia @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @NULOOKREFINISH @dahboo7 https://www.giantbomb.com/mick-west/3040-6482/

2018-09-04T20:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037081016186236928

@Masschemtrails @BayleeB79 @realchanpepe @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @rezn8d @climateviewer @LionelMedia @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @NULOOKREFINISH @dahboo7 I'm retired. I made lots of money because I helped create the Tony Hawk videogames. Nobody is paying me anything now.

2018-09-04T19:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037064906544050176

@BayleeB79 @realchanpepe @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @rezn8d @climateviewer @LionelMedia @AzSkyWatcher @DanPeacock12 @NULOOKREFINISH @dahboo7 Well I don't see how that applies either. I don't pretend to agree with conspiracy theories to discredit them. I examine the claims of evidence to see if they hold up to scrutiny.

2018-09-04T19:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1037060795383799808

Someone called me a government shill. What's that? This 1958 article says it's "a casino

employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade". But I'm really not very enthusiastic about the government. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DmRgfxjU8AAYkMp.jpg

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DmRgfxjU8AAYkMp.jpg
2018-09-04T07:08:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036873600899330048
@JoeHuggans Then read the book to find out how to debunk "most other conspiracies" - because 9/11 is only a part of the book.

2018-09-04T07:06:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036873235260878848
@JoeHuggans The very first words of my introduction are "Conspiracies are very real, of course."

2018-09-03T19:44:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036701554886160384
@powerm1985 New user on Metabunk: "The breaking point was me sitting down and watching the 9/11 series by Myles Power on Youtube with an open mind. After realizing that the conspiracy theories surrounding the attacks were baseless at best ... here I am."

2018-09-03T19:32:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036698553475784704
@TinFoilHatCast That last one looks a little sketchy....
Which kind of makes me think the others might need a bit more evidence on their more contentious claims. Like something more than a Q drop or Kim Dotcom's say-so.

2018-09-02T07:08:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036148723355549697
@adampfinch @4thAnon Yes exactly. Figure out how that might actually have happened. Donâ□□t just jump in your first interpretation.

2018-09-02T07:06:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1036148373005332481
@DaveFalch @yablowme Looks like an aerobatic plane with pyrotechnics.

2018-09-01T16:23:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035926015577448449
@Pax1sw Quote the "admission" then....

2018-09-01T15:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035914275666055169
@4thAnon What was it that I said that you think people should not listen to?
I presume you'd also encourage people not to read my book?

2018-09-01T15:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035911078536863744
@4thAnon Donâ□□t just dig deep though. Look around.

2018-08-31T02:07:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035348237169844224
Rattlesnake for dinner tonight. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl5LvAuU0AEImaj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl5LvAuU0AEImaj.jpg

2018-08-31T00:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035320293357568000

I want Escaping the Rabbit Hole to reach as many people as possible, because I think it can help people. I'd appreciate any assistance in making this happen: retweeting, rating, sharing, mentioning, etc. Here's a guide to some ways you can help.

https://www.metabunk.org/what-you-can-do-to-help-promote-escaping-the-rabbit-hole.t9948/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4xWXUU8AAu6hN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4xWXUU8AAu6hN.jpg

2018-08-30T22:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035299022397792256

@ufotruther http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4e5veUYAEyGrA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4e5veUYAEyGrA.jpg

2018-08-30T22:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035292084628942849

@BayleeB79 @Masschemtrails @crspcritter @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @realchanpepe @rezn8d @DanPeacock12 @AzSkyWatcher Every so often, as some planes like the SU-30 (see video) use fuel dumped into the exhaust to skywrite. However I'm just explaining a few rare exceptions to "almost certainly all just contrails"

https://youtu.be/yzFTOb0K1ZE?t=1m33s

2018-08-30T21:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035282113593991173

@Masschemtrails @BayleeB79 @crspcritter @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @realchanpepe @rezn8d @DanPeacock12 @AzSkyWatcher Occasionally there are other trails in the sky. Fuel

dumps and skywriting being the two that most resemble contrails.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4PlFbVAAAheGZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4PlFbVAAAheGZ.jpg
2018-08-30T21:09:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035273405912702976
@crspcritter @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @BayleeB79 @OpChemtrails @realchanpepe @rezn8d @DanPeacock12 @AzSkyWatcher The trails you see are almost certainly all just contrails.

2018-08-30T20:59:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1035270806060728320
@crspcritter @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @BayleeB79 @OpChemtrails @realchanpepe @rezn8d @DanPeacock12 @AzSkyWatcher That particular plane is NASA806, they do atmospheric and other scientific research with it.
https://www.nasa.gov/centers/dryden/multimedia/imagegallery/ER-2/EC98-44829-1.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4FMQcUYAAHEEN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dl4FMQcUYAAHEEN.jpg

2018-08-30T16:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035204187393421312

@TheOutThere2016 See discussion here. I'm leaning towards birds and perspective compression. I didn't think of rolling shutter, but that makes some sense with the brightness. https://www.metabunk.org/new-strange-drone-footage-small-white-objects-moving-fast.t9943/

2018-08-30T14:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035179410117148673

@ufoofinterest And probably again in a few months :)

2018-08-30T13:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1035164106834100224

Mystery lights over San Diego solved. https://twitter.com/fox5sandiego/status/1035034962200809472

2018-08-30T02:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034986474117058561

@UFOcentral @DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson Just want to see if it fits. Eliminate if not. Wouldnâ□□t you?

2018-08-30T00:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034964877717123072

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson I like UTC as some people use GMT to refer to "London Time", which can vary by an hour.

2018-08-30T00:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034964597327839232

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson Possibly this

plane then? About 25 miles from NAS JAX, and heading south. Ideally we'd want one flying away, so you are looking INTO the engines. And longer distance.
https://www.flightradar24.com/2018-08-29/13:10/12x/FFT1391/1dad024a
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzuNw3V4AIPQce.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzuNw3V4AIPQce.jpg
2018-08-30T00:36:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1034963139463266304
@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson Cool, so 13:11 UTC

2018-08-29T23:44:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1034949827883200512
@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson Does this mean 084Â° camera heading? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzhT2KU4AABH_R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzhT2KU4AABH_R.jpg

2018-08-29T23:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034949505576198144

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson And can you give your exact location, and the direction you were filming in?

2018-08-29T23:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034949069108441089

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity @RoboThomson Nice, thanks. Is the time correct? 08:11:51 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzgsAbU8AAyJYM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlzgsAbU8AAyJYM.jpg

2018-08-29T21:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034921545779503104

@NateMBeltran @OldWiseHermit @TS_SCI_MAJIC12 Look around any random region long enough and you'll find something that passes for a face when you mirror it.

2018-08-29T21:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034909858254843904

@brtanner @PollManFEC The chart is simply the temperature of the air TODAY over Phoenix, Arizona. Consider that Mt. Everest is only 30,000 feet up. The summit there is always really cold, right? Everest (28Â°) is further south than Phoenix (33Â°N). It's 0Â°F right now on Everest. https://www.mountain-forecast.com/peaks/Mount-Everest/forecasts/8850

2018-08-29T18:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034869480608813056

@PollManFEC Notice the temperature drops rapidly with altitude. 71Â°F at 6,000 ft, but -65Â°F at 39,000 feet. 136Â° colder. Add another 30Â° difference for ground level. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlyXqgQVsAA3BwK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlyXqgQVsAA3BwK.jpg

2018-08-29T18:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034867211800727552

@PollManFEC They were not at 10-15 thousand feet. They form at 25-45,000 feet over the southern US, higher in summer. It also only takes around 60% RH, as the engine exhaust is supplying the extra water needed.

2018-08-29T17:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034856025906503680

@PollManFEC How do you know they are not contrails? I mean, they LOOK like contrails.

2018-08-29T03:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034650111844872196

Want to know how to talk to a conspiracy theory friend? Pre-orders for the Hardcover of my book "Escaping The Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect" are now 33% off on Amazon! Ships on Sept 18. https://amzn.to/2wuZ2SM

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DlvQOk4UYAAx34E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DlvQOk4UYAAx34E.jpg

2018-08-29T00:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034600012070543365

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity Nice, a bit close though.

2018-08-28T22:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034565278464598016

@mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity Cold sweats? It would be GREAT to get some genuine UFO footage.

2018-08-28T19:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034523854528425984

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity Excellent, thanks!

2018-08-28T19:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034519741799358464

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity Thanks!

And that would be great with the aircraft - one of the problems with the TTSA footage is there's very little to compare it with - most footage is short range, but some UFO's might be jets anything from 20 to 200 miles away.

2018-08-28T18:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034509177878601728

RT @JenniferRaff: Debunking Done Right: Mick Westâ□□s Escaping the RabbitÂ Hole

http://violentmetaphors.com/2018/08/28/debunking-done-right-mick-wests-escaping-the-rabbit-

hole/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlsIFg3VAAAMQrE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlsIFg3VAAAMQrE.jpg

2018-08-28T18:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034508930074869760

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity That's focal length. What was the FOV in degrees?

Not a lot to go by here. But an interesting comparison if you could get it would be to film distant aircraft flying away from you over a range of 10 to 150 miles. Track as long as possible, and flip between vis and IR

2018-08-28T13:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034434525793636357

@mesolithicguy @DaveFalch @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity I suspect itâ□□s something hot and far away. Hard to say.

2018-08-28T13:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034433680956043264

@MolotoVNKA Look into it.

2018-08-28T13:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034433378374696960

@MolotoVNKA No.

2018-08-28T13:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034433133544783872

@DaveFalch @mesolithicguy @Keithmayoh @UFOcommunity Whatâ□□s the FOV on the UFO shot? Whatâ□□s the exact date, time, location?

2018-08-28T05:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034314366747729920

@BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @realchanpepe @rezn8d @DanPeacock12 @AzSkyWatcher What's not normal about the flight paths? That's perfectly normal. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqfbNnUcAArb8P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqfbNnUcAArb8P.jpg

2018-08-28T05:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034306520173801474

Figure 5 from Escaping The Rabbit Hole. Crisscrossing contrails near Sacramento. Illustrating the "chemtrails" chapter. Taken Sept 16, 2017, almost a year exactly before publication date on September 18, 2018. https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqXzqYUUAESPT0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqXzqYUUAESPT0.jpg
2018-08-28T04:49:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1034301955261091840
@WileGenius @PaulFox13 @TheOutThere2016 NASA Worldview is also good for details. Here's May 26. Toggle through the dates to see how common it is. Zoom out for a wider view.

https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/?p=geographic&l=VIIRS_SNPP_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor(hidden),MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor(hidden),MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,Reference_Labels(hidden),Reference_Features(hidden),Coastlines&t=2018-05-26-T00%3A00%3A00Z&z=3&v=7.250979625588496,42.2572042720871,11.469729625588496,44.6807882564621 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqT_phU8AAIIT5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlqT_phU8AAIIT5.jpg

2018-08-28T04:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034299091344228353

@WileGenius @PaulFox13 @TheOutThere2016 Two great resources here are FlightRadar24 and GOES-16. With FlightRadar24 you can see all the planes criss-crossing the sky (filter for >25,000 feet). With GOES-16 you can see the grids form (they are not actually that common)

2018-08-28T03:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034279648601944065

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Flight paths cross. Contrails drift with wind. Look at satellite images and flight paths.

2018-08-28T00:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034231497836785664

@MolotoVNKA https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fu7M1A3ZKmU

2018-08-27T23:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034219796508729344

@cherielynnae Conditions are right for high cirrus clouds, and hence you are also getting a bunch of contrails. See:
http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu/?sat=goes-16&sec=full_disk&x=4361&y=4036&z=4&im=12&ts=1&st=0&et=0&speed=130&motion=loop&map=1&lat=0&p%5B0%5D=16&opacity%5B0%5D=1&hidden%5B0%5D=0&pause=0&slider=-1&hide_controls=0&mouse_draw=0&s=rammb-slider

---

2018-08-27T23:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034219016213020672

@4thAnon It not really the same issue. But consider this: In a conflict you do not submit to the enemy attacking you just because you had attacking someone else.
If we had attempted to assassinate Castro should we then ignore attempts to assassinate Trump?

2018-08-27T23:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034217695112134656

@wanderinganimal @BornongMj @naomirwolf Quote one lie I have told.

2018-08-27T19:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034167180319907841

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Most contrails you see are exhaust contrails. However a substantial fraction of persistent contrails are aerodynamic. The on/off contrails are almost always aerodynamic contrails precisely because of the specificity of the condition needed.

https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/2008JAS2768.1

2018-08-27T19:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034153925258014720

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Here's something.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DloNdP9UYAAMi8c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DloNdP9UYAAMi8c.jpg

2018-08-27T18:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034143086681550848

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 You should read my book. :)

https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DloDmtoUYAELIKx.jpg

---



"aerosol" or "spray." Here are some of the actual patents listed as "evidence":

1631753–An electric water heater
2097581–A steam generator for sterilizing lab equipment
2591988–A method for making white paint pigment
3174150–A self-focusing antenna
3300721–A radio for spaceships
3564253–A giant space mirror for reflecting sunlight onto the Earth
3899144–A towed aerial target that leaves a powder trail for visibility
3940060–A ground-based smoke ring generator for punching holes in clouds
3992628–A temporary laser shield
4347284–A snow camouflage blanket to hide tanks under
4415265–A spectrometer for analyzing chemicals
5056357–An ultrasound measuring device for liquids
5327222–A flow speed sensor
5631414–A device for measuring radiation from the ocean
6110590–A method of making silk
5486900–A device for measuring how much toner is left in a photocopier

We might forgive the compiler of the list for initially being a little over-enthusiastic, but the persistence of this list after at least five years of people pointing out the mistakes is a testament to the resistance of the list compiler to any form of correction. The last one in particular, a device for measuring how much toner is left in a photocopier, is something I have attempted to tell the Chemtrails folk about several times. I've written a detailed explanation on Metabunk[34] which I share every time it comes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DloDmtoUYAELIKx.jpg
2018-08-27T18:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1034142647395315712
@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 You could find out. Go research it. The information is not that hard to find.

2018-08-27T17:20:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1034128574918221824
@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flame#Colour
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln2Y2GU4AAOTFO.jpg



Different flame types of a Bunsen burner depend on oxygen supply. On the left a rich fuel with no premixed oxygen produces a yellow sooty diffusion flame; on the right a lean fully oxyg ...

More details

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln2Y2GU4AAOTFO.jpg

2018-08-27T17:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034128033878224896

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 That link has nothing directly to do with high vs. low bypass engines. But it indirectly shows lots of modern planes are making persistent contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln19NvV4AA0CAy.jpg



Figure

Recommend Comment

Caption

Fig. 7 Global annual mean radiative forcing due to aircraft-induced clouds. RF due to a persistent contrails alone and b together with contrail cirrus from selected studies and assessments sorted by publication date since 1999. Purely observational global estimates derive from extrapolating local aircraft and regional satellite data. Pure model... Read more

0 Recommendations

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln19NvV4AA0CAy.jpg

2018-08-27T17:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034127344636547072

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 More from that paper. Essentially what I said. Energy is used to turn the fan, so the exhaust is cooler, so the contrail factor is higher.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln0-5tUwAQ_juZ.jpg

thalpy. If the total enthalpy of the exhaust is computed in the frame of reference of the air through which the engine is being carried by the aircraft, then propulsion efficiency is implicitly accounted for in the estimate of contrail factor. Contrail factors computed in this way are equal to or greater than the contrail factor of $0.030\ g \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1\circ}\mathrm{C}^{-1}$ computed assuming all water and enthalpy generated during combustion exit the exhaust nozzle. Contrail factors are typically greater than this because some of the energy generated by combustion is used to propel the aircraft and some is lost in parasitic flows. When exhaust gases have high exit speeds relative to the airspeed of the aircraft, when fan and core streams are well mixed, and when there is little energy extracted in the form of parasitic flows, the contrail factor should approach $0.030\ g \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1}\mathrm{K}^{-1}$. For high-bypass engines, which move more air more slowly than low-bypass engines for a given net thrust, contrail factors generally are higher.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dln0-5tUwAQ_juZ.jpg

2018-08-27T17:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034125873127944192

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 But you did claim: "High bypass JE incapable of creating any contrail except under the rarest and most extreme circumstances." What were you basing that on?

Check out the "contrail factor" of an engine. It's a more complex measure of what I describe.

https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/2.2976?journalCode=ja

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dlnz38ZUwAEmdIo.jpg

Contrail Formation and Propulsion Efficiency

Andrew G. Detwiler*
South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, South Dakota 57701-3995
Arthur Jackson†
U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory VSBL, Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts 01731

The contrail factor is the ratio of water vapor to enthalpy added by combustion to the exhaust plume from an aircraft engine. It is the key parameter determining the highest temperature at which contrails will form behind a particular engine on a particular aircraft. Cycle calculations are used to estimate contrail factors for a range of flight environments at a range of power settings, for generic low-bypass and high-bypass turbofan gas-turbine engines. It is found that, contrary to assumptions made in current methods of contrail forecasting, the contrail factor is not constant for a given engine type. The contrail factor varies even for the same engine at different power settings and flight conditions. Results are shown for a range of conditions, including flight levels between 25,000 and 50,000 ft in a standard atmosphere, flight Mach numbers ranging from 0.4 to 0.9, and power settings from idle (low) to military (high). The computed contrail factors range from 0.030 to 0.053 $g \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1}{}^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{-1}$ for the low-bypass engine and from 0.038 to 0.090 $g \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1}{}^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{-1}$ for the high-bypass engine. Changes in contrail factor can be roughly related to changes in the threshold environmental temperature for contrail formation by the relationship that a 10% increase in contrail factor results in a 1°C higher threshold temperature in a typical upper tropospheric environment for threshold temperatures near −50°C. These calculations do not yield precise estimates of contrail factors for specific engines, but demonstrate that contrail factors for a given engine are generally higher at lower power settings at a given Mach number, higher at higher Mach numbers at a given power setting, and higher at higher altitudes in general.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dlnz38ZUwAEmdIo.jpg

2018-08-27T16:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034111143285948418

@ShepardAmbellas Florid was getting boat loads of Cuban refugees decades ago. We can handle it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zDFLv1DnIAs

2018-08-27T16:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034110367096496129

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 And you are still not explaining why high bypass would make a difference. In low bypass there's highly turbulent mixing with ambient air. Why is high bypass different exactly? They both produce exhaust, which mixes with air.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dlnly6-UwAA0jv9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dlnly6-UwAA0jv9.jpg

2018-08-27T16:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034109259691126785

@ShepardAmbellas That was French taxi drivers protesting against Uber in 2016. So probably not. https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-35410038

2018-08-27T15:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1034107359151382529

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 How do cirrus clouds form? These larger particle don't just spring in existence - they start as nano sized particles, just like contrails do.

You know what ice supersaturation is? If it's ice supersaturated then it cannot sublimate, it grows.

2018-08-27T06:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033957429266903040

@wanderinganimal @BornongMj @naomirwolf I'm not sure you can claim both:

"300 years of cloud history and research with all cloud names already been identified long ago"
AND
"these scientists have been lying for hundreds of years also"

2018-08-27T05:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033956577709940736

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Ice does not sublimate in higher humidities. That's when you get a persistent contrail.

Note that cirrus clouds are also made of tiny ice crystals. They do not instantly vanish.

Same thing.

2018-08-27T00:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033876035102633984

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 â□□Sufficiently distributesâ□□ = mixing = how contrails form.

Does not really matter if itâ□□s ambient or bypass air.

2018-08-27T00:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033875619866533888

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Heat and temperature are different things.

2018-08-26T19:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033798983120900097

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 I understand how a jet engine works. It burns fuel, and the exhaust comes out the back. The exhaust contains water. It mixes with the air and can trigger the formation of a cloud. The amount of bypass air is largely irrelevant. Dane Wigington unfortunately misunderstands.

2018-08-26T19:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033798382190440448

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Well the difference between 30Â°F and 34Â°F is ice and liquid water.

The difference is actually not that much, maybe 5% more contrails. It just lets them form in a wider range of conditions.

2018-08-26T18:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033779304415473664

Mysterious dark streak emerging from the clouds like an interdimensional alien spacecraft is just a barn swallow at dusk, with motion blur.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-dark-streak-ufo-photo-barn-swallow-motion-blur.t9936/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dli4ealVAAACzUd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dli4ealVAAACzUd.jpg

2018-08-26T18:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033778827321692160

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Contrails are made from jet engine exhaust right? High bypass engines still have exhaust, right? They still burn fuel, and exhaust comes out the back. Look at my diagram again, and tell me which bit is wrong. Explain why it is wrong.

2018-08-26T17:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033768736459608064

@WileGenius @TheOutThere2016 Please explain.

2018-08-25T23:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033499333868417025

@GeoeWatchDebunk Dane is actually half reasonable in that post. Even fairly deep conspiracists can do good debunking work for things on the other side of their line. Some 9/11 Truthers spend a large amount of time debunking holographic planes and missiles at the Pentagon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dle540JU4AAXcWP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dle540JU4AAXcWP.jpg
2018-08-25T18:38:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033423412666847232
Escaping the Rabbit Hole, Figure 7 - Low and high bypass engines. Essentially they are the same, but the high bypass diverts more energy to the front fan, and so has cooler exhaust, making contrails in a slightly wider range of conditions.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dld0frGUYAAmpF5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dld0frGUYAAmpF5.jpg
2018-08-25T17:27:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033405504888832001
@paul_sailer You can request your local library carry it. Lots of them have on-line forms.
https://www.lapl.org/about-lapl/contact-us/suggest-purchase

2018-08-24T20:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033089970968260608
@BayleeB79 @ShepardAmbellas
https://gacc.nifc.gov/nwcc/interactivemap/index.html?webmap=ed0a7dad32fe4848b20c6f91c74c79ea http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlZFyTwUYAAj0H7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlZFyTwUYAAj0H7.jpg

2018-08-24T20:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033089691795345408

@BayleeB79 @ShepardAmbellas Actually there were rather a lot of fires burning on 8/21, and the wind blowing the smoke directly at Seattle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlZFIinUcAA9VMp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlZFIinUcAA9VMp.jpg

2018-08-24T19:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033077946628562944

@TammyJParadis @ShepardAmbellas There's plenty of other guides on geoengineering. The question is what evidence is there that it is being currently carried out. That geoengineering group contains all the top researchers (and other people), and they all agree that nobody is doing it yet

http://www.geoengineering.ox.ac.uk/what-is-geoengineering/what-is-geoengineering/

2018-08-24T17:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033046613009125376

@fraser_lofstrom @KDragonash There's plenty of real photos. A new one every few minutes. Here's one from just now.
http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu/?sat=goes-16&sec=full_disk&x=10848&y=10848&z=0&im=12&ts=1&st=0&et=0&speed=130&motion=loop&map=0&lat=0&p%5B0%5D=16&opacity%5B0%5D=1&hidden%5B0%5D=0&pause=0&slider=-1&hide_controls=0&mouse_draw=0&s=rammb-slider http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlYeX03U4AEXlbU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlYeX03U4AEXlbU.jpg
2018-08-24T16:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033030677535449088
@fraser_lofstrom @KDragonash It's a good question. Basic science, interesting stuff. The sort of question it's good to discuss with kids studying science. Like asking why the atmosphere does not rush off into space if space is a vacuum. Good questions, with interesting and sometimes unintuitive answers.

2018-08-24T16:16:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033025188420407296
@Cagsil So can you give an example of a "chemtrail" that does not look like a contrail?

2018-08-24T16:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033024034886184961
@Cagsil WITWATS makes a number of specific claims that are wrong. Like claiming that normal contrails cannot persist. 70 years of books on clouds all agree that this is wrong.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-08-24T16:06:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033022712157880320
@Cagsil Some of the points raised are rebutted here:
http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2018-08-24T15:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033013314308042753
@4thAnon I discuss this viewpoint in the book.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlYABK7VAAY7QrR.jpg



one out of the rabbit hole is to understand them, and then to gain their trust. You cannot do that by waging a war of words against them.

There is a significant danger that I will reiterate throughout the book. The danger is that advice like "treat them like a friend" and "gain their trust" might be viewed as advice from a manual on brainwashing. Conspiracists are obviously suspicious of people like me who spend time investigating and refuting their theories. I get accused of being a paid government agent, someone trained in "disinformation," someone skilled in implanting false ideas in people's heads. They may look at this book, and my body of work on Metabunk, and decide I'm lying, trying to gaslight individuals away from the truth.

The best defense is to be as open and honest as possible. Yes, I think treating someone like a friend makes it easier to convince them of their errors. But

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlYABK7VAAY7QrR.jpg
2018-08-24T15:22:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1033011689824743424
@Buzzkil408 It's free for just observing flights like this. Use "Multi-select" to get multiple tacks.
https://www.flightradar24.com/ Also there's phone apps.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX-nErVsAEA4jT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX-nErVsAEA4jT.jpg

2018-08-24T15:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033010421052010497

@ShepardAmbellas Beijing, on the other hand, is constant poor air quality due to industrial pollution. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX9WBnV4AAS2OR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX9WBnV4AAS2OR.jpg

2018-08-24T15:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033009424854437888

@ShepardAmbellas And it was also just a brief thing, while the wind was blowing in a certain direction. It's fine now. SF Generally has excellent air quality due to the onshore flow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX78M2U4AAhYdY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlX78M2U4AAhYdY.jpg

2018-08-24T15:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1033007936820236288

@ShepardAmbellas Its the fires, obviously.

2018-08-23T23:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032778708556242944

@Panthereverte @OpChemtrails Different altitudes?

2018-08-23T23:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032770905590489088

Do you know someone who has got a bit lost down the conspiracy theory rabbit hole? Then I've got a box of books for you!. Hardback is in the warehouse, shipping in September.

https://amzn.to/2wkVsKF http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlUjFSGUwAAFECg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlUjFSGUwAAFECg.jpg

2018-08-23T22:44:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032760563640631297

@tmasson There's two things of conspiracy interest here. A descending 360Â° turn is suspicious to the 9/11 crowd (as they think it says something about the Pentagon attack) and non-straight flight paths seem suspicious to the "chemtrail" crowd.

So I was pointing out they are both normal.

2018-08-23T20:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032723557875253248

Traffic from the North having some trouble slotting into the landing sequence at San Francisco. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlT4c0EVAAASDsu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlT4c0EVAAASDsu.jpg

2018-08-23T20:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032721355064500224

Hey, where's Air France going? I guess I was wrong about the straight in.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlT2hDGU0AAe303.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlT2hDGU0AAe303.jpg

2018-08-23T19:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032718924737957888

European flights doing a little dance to line up for SFO. UAL900 did a nice descending 360Â°, XLF042 did an S turn. AFR084, the big A380 just goes straight in.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTz-PKVAAAnWqw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTz-PKVAAAnWqw.jpg

2018-08-23T18:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032693921170640896

@UFOShowLive @rainbowland1979 Fravor did not take either of these videos, hence I'm not talking about what he saw. I'm talking about what THESE VIDEOS look like.

2018-08-23T18:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032693026919919616

@BelieversBeware @BenSwann_ And the referenced law in (b)(iii) gives more clarification in how the jurisdiction of a special counsel can be expanded.

https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/28/600.4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTcydAUcAUVeRT.jpg

CFR › Title 28 › Chapter VI › Part 600 › Section 600.4

28 CFR 600.4 - Jurisdiction.

eCFR | Authorities (U.S. Code)

prev | next

§ 600.4 Jurisdiction.

(a) ***Original jurisdiction.*** The jurisdiction of a Special Counsel shall be established by the Attorney General. The Special Counsel will be provided with a specific factual statement of the matter to be investigated. The jurisdiction of a Special Counsel shall also include the authority to investigate and prosecute federal crimes committed in the course of, and with intent to interfere with, the Special Counsel's investigation, such as perjury, obstruction of justice, destruction of evidence, and intimidation of witnesses; and to conduct appeals arising out of the matter being investigated and/or prosecuted.

(b) ***Additional jurisdiction.*** If in the course of his or her investigation the Special Counsel concludes that additional jurisdiction beyond that specified in his or her original jurisdiction is necessary in order to fully investigate and resolve the matters assigned, or to investigate new matters that come to light in the course of his or her investigation, he or she shall consult with the Attorney General, who will determine whether to include the additional matters within the Special Counsel's jurisdiction or assign them elsewhere.

(c) ***Civil and administrative jurisdiction.*** If in the course of his or her investigation the Special Counsel determines that administrative remedies, civil sanctions or other governmental action outside the criminal justice system might be appropriate, he or she shall consult with the Attorney General with respect to the appropriate component to take any necessary action. A Special Counsel shall not have civil or administrative authority unless specifically granted such jurisdiction by the Attorney General.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTcydAUcAUVeRT.jpg

2018-08-23T18:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032692560521703424

@BelieversBeware No that's not true. Notice @BenSwann_ gives no examples of this supposed media conflation. In fact the special counsel VERY SPECIFICALLY is authorized by the AG to investigate "any matters that arose or may arise from the investigation"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTcGFlUUAIiCrB.jpg

Office of the Deputy Attorney General
Washington, D.C. 20530

ORDER NO. 3915-2017

APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL COUNSEL
TO INVESTIGATE RUSSIAN INTERFERENCE WITH THE
2016 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION AND RELATED MATTERS

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Attorney General, including 28 U.S.C. §§ 509, 510, and 515, in order to discharge my responsibility to provide supervision and management of the Department of Justice, and to ensure a full and thorough investigation of the Russian government's efforts to interfere in the 2016 presidential election, I hereby order as follows:

(a) Robert S. Mueller III is appointed to serve as Special Counsel for the United States Department of Justice.

(b) The Special Counsel is authorized to conduct the investigation confirmed by then-FBI Director James B. Comey in testimony before the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence on March 20, 2017, including:

(i) any links and/or coordination between the Russian government and individuals associated with the campaign of President Donald Trump; and

(ii) any matters that arose or may arise directly from the investigation; and

(iii) any other matters within the scope of 28 C.F.R. § 600.4(a).

(c) If the Special Counsel believes it is necessary and appropriate, the Special Counsel is authorized to prosecute federal crimes arising from the investigation of these matters.

(d) Sections 600.4 through 600.10 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations are applicable to the Special Counsel.

5/17/17
Date

Rod J. Rosenstein
Acting Attorney General

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTcGFlUUAIiCrB.jpg
2018-08-23T17:17:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032678144296153089
@edwiebe PurpleAir is great for looking at air quality over a region.
https://www.purpleair.com/map#7.14/48.488/-122.615
@purpleairq http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTOtuhU8AEwf6z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlTOtuhU8AEwf6z.jpg

2018-08-23T17:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032676506735013888

@BenSwann_ Well they don't have to, do they? They are quite serious offenses in their own right. It's a different topic. You are conflating.

2018-08-23T01:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032435589700702208

@debunker @ChelseaClinton And most of them seem to just include the loop as part of the upper limb.

2018-08-23T01:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032433622781849600

@debunker @ChelseaClinton The images shown might not be all photoshopped. Compression artifacts can shorten or lengthen an arm of the cross, especially if taken from video.

2018-08-22T21:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032383844647809024

Now only Breitbart is pushing the "Murdering Illegals" line, tying it in with one of their (and Trump's) favorite punching bags, Elizabeth Warren.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlPCwUvU4AAxaCc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlPCwUvU4AAxaCc.jpg

2018-08-22T21:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032381183575748610

Fox News led with the Tibbett's murder case all morning. But after the suspect's lawyer said he's actually in the country legally they seemed to lose interest.

https://www.desmoinesregister.com/story/news/investigations/2018/08/22/mollie-tibbetts-alleged-killer-iowa-legally-lawyer-missing-student-body-found-brooklyn-trump/1064567002/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlPAeMaUwAE8gH6.jpg

Des Moines Register HOME NEWS



Mollie Tibbetts' accused killer is in Iowa legally, his lawyer says

→ What we know about Mollie Tibbetts' accused killer

→ $5M cash-only bond set for man accused of murdering Mollie Tibbetts

→ Investigator: Suspect in Mollie Tibbetts' death 'hadn't come across the radar'

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlPAeMaUwAE8gH6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlPAXQyUUAAAE_H.jpg

2018-08-22T16:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032300787211235333

@RaptormanReport @acls9_9 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @SEIA Have you never see a cloud where the distance between the inside (>100% RH) and the outside (<100% RH) is less then a thousand

yards? It can actually be just a foot or so, clouds can have very well defined edges.

2018-08-22T16:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032300381424893952

@CDinHD But it's interesting science. So still fun. Seeing the curve from 400 feet was interesting and unexpected.

2018-08-22T16:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032300084044562433

@CDinHD Just a little.

2018-08-22T15:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032287660448997376

@TheOutThere2016 The affairs are not the issue legally (although it's interesting that his base gives him a pass on that, as you'd think the "family values" folk would be a tad more outraged) it's the campaign finance violations.

2018-08-22T15:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032286508248526848

@Jerminator33 The collusion he admitted to was being aware of the meeting at Trump Tower with the Russians offering dirt on Clinton. He's not admitted collusion with Russian hacking or online propaganda efforts. But the investigation isn't just about collusion.

2018-08-22T15:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032283768264880128

@Jerminator33 And yet Cohen is going to jail for it. He's being sentenced for "willfully causing an unlawful corporate contribution". Could have got 65 years, but struck a deal. Accused Trump of ordering him to do it. Seems pretty significant to me. FBI-worthy. High crimes.

2018-08-22T15:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032282830728904704

@Jerminator33 Why are you only interested in Russian Collusion? Trump claims that's not even a crime. The investigation is not just about collusion (which he basically admits) it's about Russian interference in elections, (which THEY basically admit).

2018-08-22T15:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032281458264530944

@B1gDMV @TheOutThere2016 The Tibbetts story is right there on CNN's front page. They link to a story about Rivera's background. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNmX1AV4AI9yOU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNmX1AV4AI9yOU.jpg

2018-08-22T14:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032280091219226625

@Jerminator33 Witch hunts end up with innocent people convicted and executed.

This investigation has GUILTY people convicted and jailed.

Vast difference.

2018-08-22T14:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032279491454746625

@MolotoVNKA Why are you convinced they were? Pause for a minute here and think back to the start. You made some assertions, and they turned out to be flat wrong. Maybe instead of jumping around you should research a bit more in depth. Let's resume in a week.

2018-08-22T14:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032277595688132610

@MolotoVNKA Yes, you said "Maria neighbour heard the first plane crash at 8.46 and immediately phoned Maria." and that's nothing at all like what the document you linked says. They were NOT there at the time of first impact.

2018-08-22T14:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032276793284153344

@MolotoVNKA There's video of people smiling, laughing, drinking beer. I just showed it to you.

2018-08-22T14:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032275401916047361

@MolotoVNKA And why is it odd that they would be filming? Wouldn't you want to document such an amazing event? Lots of people were filming. The woman in the apartment drinking beer

and joking with her friends was filming.

2018-08-22T14:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032274826579259393

@MolotoVNKA Also, your timeline and description does not match the document you linked. You said "Maria neighbour heard the first plane crash at 8.46 and immediately phoned Maria." FBI says neighbor saw smoke shortly before 9:00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNgV4mUwAAAopy.jpg

[redacted] was in the kitchen of her apartment shortly before 9:00am on 09/11/2001. She received a telephone call from [redacted] a friend who lives in apartment [redacted] in the building. [redacted] told [redacted] that there was smoke coming from the World Trade Center (WTC). [redacted] looked out her window and saw smoke coming from the towers. [redacted] ended the call and [redacted] took a pair of binoculars and walked over to the window. When she looked out, she also noticed a white van parked in the parking lot for her building, approximately five or six parking spaces from the south end of the lot, in a direct line between her and the WTC. There were young three men on top of the van, which was parked at an angle pointing directly at the WTC. She saw one of the males holding a small video camera. One of the men had his hand on the shoulder of the other and they appeared to be happy and "joking around."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNgV4mUwAAAopy.jpg

2018-08-22T14:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032273392559251457

@MolotoVNKA Perhaps you should investigate these people drinking beer, laughing and joking. This is AFTER the second plane hit. People are just people.

https://youtu.be/_qiVBOqNiOs?t=1m44s

2018-08-22T14:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032272339633766401

@MolotoVNKA Why is that odd? Lots of people we laughing and joking and videoing the first tower. People thought it was an accident. They were watching the spectacle.

2018-08-22T14:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032269514245779456

@MolotoVNKA Perhaps you should read them and then quote the relevant part, as you got it wrong before.

You think it's suspicious that someone was laughing between the first impact and the second? Remember the first impact was widely thought to be an accident. People went on with life.

2018-08-22T14:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032268938300743680

@Jerminator33 Non-Russia matters are within the scope of the investigation. Cohen's lawyers says he will testify about Russia. We'll see. Hardly a witch hunt if people are actually guilty, like Manafort, and Cohen, and several others. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNaXI8U0AADCsA.jpg

---



Office of the Deputy Attorney General
Washington, D.C. 20530

ORDER NO. 3915-2017

APPOINTMENT OF SPECIAL COUNSEL
TO INVESTIGATE RUSSIAN INTERFERENCE WITH THE
2016 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION AND RELATED MATTERS

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Attorney General, including 28 U.S.C. §§ 509, 510, and 515, in order to discharge my responsibility to provide supervision and management of the Department of Justice, and to ensure a full and thorough investigation of the Russian government's efforts to interfere in the 2016 presidential election, I hereby order as follows:

(a) Robert S. Mueller III is appointed to serve as Special Counsel for the United States Department of Justice.

(b) The Special Counsel is authorized to conduct the investigation confirmed by then-FBI Director James B. Comey in testimony before the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence on March 20, 2017, including:

(i) any links and/or coordination between the Russian government and individuals associated with the campaign of President Donald Trump; and

(ii) any matters that arose or may arise directly from the investigation; and

(iii) any other matters within the scope of 28 C.F.R. § 600.4(a).

(c) If the Special Counsel believes it is necessary and appropriate, the Special Counsel is authorized to prosecute federal crimes arising from the investigation of these matters.

(d) Sections 600.4 through 600.10 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations are applicable to the Special Counsel.

5/17/17
Date

Rod J. Rosenstein
Acting Attorney General

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNaXI8U0AADCsA.jpg

2018-08-22T13:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032264117376045057

@TheOutThere2016 It's good to get a variety of news sources. I look at all 12 every day.

2018-08-22T13:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032263128464031744

@TheOutThere2016 Well the point I was making was about the divide in the media. Is the "murdered by illegal!" story really a bigger story than the Cohen/Manafort/Mueller/Trump story? Decisions were made in all those newsrooms for what to run.

2018-08-22T13:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032262054051106816

@AaronTaylor1212 Infowars' response this morning was similar to RT's.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNU0bpV4AAYbS-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNU0bpV4AAYbS-.jpg

2018-08-22T13:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032260446227578880

@TheOutThere2016 Trump is president now. Sure it's great to be informed by history, and I'm sure that congress will take that into account.

2018-08-22T13:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032260129733804032

@TheOutThere2016 "So what" is that he might get impeached. Regardless of your personal feelings about the rights and wrongs of his actions, there are actual political and legal consequences. So it's big news, and interesting that conservative media is trying to distract.

2018-08-22T13:13:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032254402558476288

Most of the media led with the Trump news this morning. But three outlets (Fox News, Breitbart, New York Post) led with the the Mollie Tibbetts story, thinking promoting immigration reform is more important than the possibly criminal acts of the US President.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNMX9jUUAEODJL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNMX9jUUAEODJL.jpg

2018-08-22T12:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032246066920353793

@MolotoVNKA Your article does not say that. it says: "Maria, ... had a view of the World Trade Center from her New Jersey apartment building. She remembers a neighbor calling her shortly after the first plane hit the towers."

2018-08-22T12:37:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1032245387698946048

@RaptormanReport @acls9_9 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @SEIA Sure it does. Consider the inside and the outside of a cloud. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNFrqjV4AAh2NQ.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlNFrqjV4AAh2NQ.jpg
2018-08-22T05:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032131794462621696
@MolotoVNKA Where does he say they were "photographing before the first plane hit?"

2018-08-22T05:04:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032131270669524992
@RaptormanReport @acls9_9 @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @SEIA Commercial aircraft fly in circles in holding patterns. But this also might be military, surveying, or a test flight.
Contrails turn on and off as they fly though regions of patchy humidity.

2018-08-21T23:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032053347153399808
@MolotoVNKA @thedrunkinmonk So why did he not say it was controlled demolition?

2018-08-21T23:54:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032053255000346625
@MolotoVNKA Which video?

2018-08-21T23:00:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032039883693424640
@MolotoVNKA @thedrunkinmonk How about the FBI?

2018-08-21T22:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032039339172093954
@MolotoVNKA I was not aware that they were.
This seems like a bit of a tangent.

2018-08-21T22:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032034553357983745
@MolotoVNKA It's great you are taking the time to read this.

2018-08-21T22:19:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1032029532046282755
@TTSA_Agent_001 @TTSAcademy @HISTORY They won't. Because if there actually WAS evidence it's not coming out on the History Channel. TTSA have some videos of blobs, some eyewitness accounts, some random chunks of metal, and a lot of hope and imagination. That is what we will see on @History

---

2018-08-21T20:19:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031999282700681216
@tenfourzero @metoffice Looks like two contrail segments from different planes that just happened to line up. There's another photo from a different angle here:
https://www.metabunk.org/posts/224822/

2018-08-21T18:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031976245913739264

@TTSA_Agent_001 No. But all things are "possible". The issue is what there is EVIDENCE for.

2018-08-21T18:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031973326539739136

@TTSA_Agent_001 There's "no reason why it can't be" aliens, or the Chinese, or time travelers, or glitches in the matrix.

The point being that there's no actual evidence of any of these things. There's a huge amount of evidence that the fires are just fires. Hot, dry, windy, and then a spark.

2018-08-21T18:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031971461102067713

@Klamath_NF Here's an explanation of the streak of light - it's actually from a bit of grease on the lens cover. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=it1s7gFRgDE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlJMiBTU4AEUSO9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlJMiBTU4AEUSO9.jpg

2018-08-21T18:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031964330105790465

@RegimeChangeBC Building codes are partly to blame for the buildings burning down. Communities that implemented shelter-in-place compliant buildings did not burn.

2018-08-21T17:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031961574519361536

@RegimeChangeBC Not nothing. There's a variety of reasons for the fires and destruction. Over 100Â°F, high winds, lots of dry brush, houses built in unwise places with poor building codes.

2018-08-21T17:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031960870304198656

Beam of energy from space starting forest fires? Nope, it's just a symptom of a bit of grease on the lens, and a rather poor cleaning technique. Full explanation here:

https://youtu.be/it1s7gFRgDE

2018-08-21T17:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031960462437507072

@RegimeChangeBC It's just a streak of light on the lens.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=it1s7gFRgDE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlJCcaHU8AAnOS8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlJCcaHU8AAnOS8.jpg

2018-08-21T15:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031922507043942401

@MolotoVNKA @thedrunkinmonk There was extensive examination of the steel. There's an detailed report on it here:

https://ws680.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=101016

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlIf-_UUUAAEtHZ.jpg

Chapter 5
STEEL INVENTORY AND IDENTIFICATION

5.1 THE RECOVERY OF WORLD TRADE CENTER STRUCTURAL STEEL

Beginning in October of 2001, nearly a year prior to the start of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) investigation, members of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) – American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Building Performance Assessment Team (BPAT), members of the Structural Engineers Association of New York (SEAoNY), and Professor A. Astaneh-Asl of the University of California, Berkeley (with support from the National Science Foundation), began work to identify and collect World Trade Center (WTC) structural steel from the various recovery yards where debris, including the steel, was taken during the WTC site clean-up effort. Dr. J. Gross, a research structural engineer at NIST and a member of the FEMA/ASCE Building Performance Study, was involved in these early efforts.

There were four major sites where debris from the WTC buildings was shipped during the clean-up effort:

- Hugo Neu Schnitzer, Inc., Fresh Kills Landfill in Staten Island, New Jersey,
- Hugo Neu Schnitzer East, Inc., Claremont Terminal in Jersey City, New Jersey,
- Metal Management, Inc., in Newark, New Jersey, and
- Blanford and Co. in Keasbey, New Jersey.

The volunteers searched through enormous unsorted piles of steel and other debris for pieces from the WTC buildings, specifically searching for (McAllister 2002):

- Exterior column panels and interior core columns from WTC 1 and WTC 2 that were exposed to fire and/or impacted by the aircraft,
- Exterior column panels and interior core columns from WTC 1 and WTC 2 directly above and below the impact zones,
- Badly burned pieces from WTC 7,
- Connections from WTC 1, 2, and 7 (e.g., seat connections, single shear plates, and column splices),
- Bolts in all conditions,
- Floor trusses including stiffeners, seats, and other components,
- Any pieces that in the engineers' professional opinion might be useful.

Once selected for recovery, the samples were marked as "SAVE" and given an alphanumeric code that identified the recovery yard where they were located and an accession number. Some pieces were not saved in their entirety, but instead, small portions were removed, hereafter called coupons and held at Gilsanz Murray Steficek, LLP (GMS). Coupons were also removed in the field for WTC 7 and held at GMS (all coupons were later sent to NIST).

Facing concern that the selected steel may not be properly preserved in the recovery yards, NIST arranged for the steel to be shipped to its campus located in Gaithersburg, MD, starting in February of 2002, about six months before launching its investigation.

Before the samples were shipped to the NIST campus, environmental testing for asbestos and analysis of the paint for lead was conducted. SEAoNY volunteers and NIST personnel continued to select and ship steel specimens to NIST through October 2002. Mr. S. Cauffman of NIST coordinated all environmental testing, transportation, and delivery of the recovered steel to NIST. The recovered structural components constituted the material base for analysis in the NIST Investigation.

Structural steel elements were also collected and held by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ or Port Authority) in Hangar 17 of John F. Kennedy International Airport. The main goal of the Port Authority project was to decontaminate and preserve the steel, as well as other WTC artifacts, for future exhibits and memorials. A complete listing of the pieces held by PANYNJ can be found in the *Preservation and Inventory Report* prepared by Voorsanger and Associates Architects, PC (Voorsanger 2002). NIST personnel visited the hangar and identified 12 pieces considered important to the investigation. Six whole pieces were moved to the NIST campus in Gaithersburg, Maryland, as well as portions of six additional pieces.

5.2 STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS RECOVERED FROM WTC BUILDINGS

5.2.1 Location and Labeling of Structural Steel Elements

NIST obtained 236 samples from the WTC buildings. The report *Steel Inventory and Identification* (NIST NCSTAR 1-3B) gives a complete list of each sample with a brief description and characteristic photographs. The samples ranged from full exterior column panels to pieces of bolts and bags of glass and other debris fragments. The pieces were classified into one of eight categories:

Classification	# of Pieces
Exterior column panel sections (flat wall or corner)	94
"How-tie" pieces	2
Rectangular built-up box column (not perimeter column)	11
Wide flange sections	44
Floor trusses	23
Channels	25
Coupons from WTC 5	7
Miscellaneous (isolated bolts, floor hanger components, other)	30

5.2.2 Identification Methods of WTC Structural Steel Elements

Information from Leslie E. Roberts Associates (LERA) indicated that all structural steel pieces in WTC 1 and WTC 2 were uniquely identified by stampings (recessed letters and numbers) and/or painted stencils (Faschan 2002). NIST was successful in finding these markings on many of the perimeter panel sections, core columns, and other wide flange members, thereby identifying the original, as-built location of the pieces. (Based on identification of damaged panels in precollapse photographs, NIST believes that the as-built locations were correctly identified; for the majority of identified pieces, however, it is the *intended* location within the building that was identified.)

The positive identification of the structural elements was made possible by deciphering the stampings and/or stencils found on them. During fabrication, the exterior panel sections were stamped at the bottom of the center column on the inside face. These stampings indicated the building, center column line number, and floors spanned by the columns. The core columns were stamped at the lower end of the component. The building was typically represented as "A" for WTC 1 and "B" for WTC 2. An example of a stamping found on an exterior column is shown in Fig. 5–1, where the stamping indicates that the piece was from WTC 2 with center column line number of 206, spanning floors 83–86. Core columns were found to have similar markings as seen in Fig. 5–2. Other stampings found on the flanges of the perimeter columns indicated the column type (individual plate dimensions) as well as the specified minimum yield strength of the column. Additional stampings were sometimes located on the flanges, although NIST, PANYNJ, and LERA were unable to determine the significance of these codes. All stampings were typically located within one meter of the bottom of the column.

The perimeter panels and core columns were often additionally stenciled in white or yellow lettering with similar building information. For the exterior panel sections, the stenciling was located on or near the lowest spandrel on the interior face. Figure 5–3a shows typical stenciling found on a perimeter panel, indicating this piece was in WTC 1 with center column line number of 130, spanning floors 93 to 96. For the core columns, both stenciling and hand written codes were observed on the recovered pieces. Figure 5–3b shows one of these stencilings from a core column located in WTC 1.

Also seen in Fig. 5–3a are two other markings, "51" and "<83>", found on the exterior panel sections. These markings are the estimated piece tonnage (1 ton equals 2,000 lbs, or approximately 907 kg) and erector's derrick division number, respectively. This information was also stamped on some of the core column pieces, see Fig. 5–2. The erector, Karl Koch Erecting Co., Inc., assigned derrick divisions 47 through 70 for WTC 1 and derrick divisions 547 through 570 for WTC 2 (PONYA 1967). Each division was assigned to a specific area of the building and shared one of four cranes with other nearby derrick divisions. For example, a single crane may have lifted pieces from derrick divisions 65, 67, and 69. Several derrick divisions that hoisted the specific columns for both buildings have been identified, based on derrick numbers found on structural elements with positive identification, providing additional information to help identify partially identified panels (NIST NCSTAR 1-3B).



Source: NIST.

Figure 5–1. Example of stampings on the interior base of the middle column for each panel. Shown here on panel M-10b are the stampings "B206 86 83," indicating that it is from WTC 2, column number 206, spanning floors 83 to 86.



Source: NIST.

Figure 5–2. Example of stampings placed on one end of a core column. Shown here on sample C-90 are the stampings "18 TON, 701 B 12 – 16, 549 WEST," indicating that it is from WTC 2, core column number 701, and spans floors 12 to 16. Also indicated are the approximate weight of the piece (18 tons) and the derrick division <549> that was to lift it into place.



Source: NIST.

Figure 5–3. a) and b) Characteristic stenciling found on the lower portions of the exterior column panels for sample M-27. Markings indicate this piece was in WTC 1, column number 130, spanning floors 93 to 96. Also seen are two other indicators, "51" and "<83>," indicating the estimated piece weight in tons and erector's derrick division

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlIf-_UUUAAEtHZ.jpg

Fl 99



M2-C3B
WTC1
Col 129
Fl 96

Source: NIST.

Figure 6–26. Photographs of recovered end plate connections from sample M-2 (A127: 97-100). The upper end plate experienced bolt hole failure. The bottom end plate of column 129 was also ripped out upon impact of the airplane. Failure was found to be a mixture of fracture in the base plates (portion of the flange/web still attached to the end plate) and clean separation of the end plate from the flange/web (complete flange/web recovered with internal weld bead visible) (continued).

occurrence was most likely a result of the overloading of the lower floors ("pancaking" mechanism) during collapse of the building.

Missing seats were typically a result of fracture near a welded joint associated with the standoff plates. Inspection of the failures associated with the floor truss connectors showed that failure typically occurred in the component with the lowest cross-sectional area with respect to the high loading forces of the collapse. This was usually located near a welded joint. However, there was no evidence to indicate that the type of joining method chosen was improper, that the materials chosen were inadequate, or that inappropriate welding procedures were used.

6.4 PHYSICAL DAMAGE OF CORE ELEMENTS (COLUMNS AND CHANNELS)

Damage surveys were conducted on the core elements to identify and inventory the various failure modes. The identified core columns, channel material that connected the trusses to the core, and floor truss connectors (truss seats welded to the channels) were examined. A full discussion of these results can be found in NIST NCSTAR 1-3C.

6.4.1 Core Columns

Table 6–2 lists the 12 identified core columns, including as-built locations and possible conditions to which they may have been exposed prior to the collapse of the buildings. Due to the small number of samples, statistical data analysis of the damage features and failure modes would be of little use. Therefore, in-depth descriptions of the four significant pieces (C-80, C-88a, C-88b, and 103) that were located within the fire zone floors are provided below. For reference, Fig. 6–29 displays the position of the columns within the core and with respect to the perimeter panel damage.

Exterior Column Panel: M-2, Column 1



M2-C1T (Co 131, Fl 99)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411

Tab plate remains;
Seat intact, 1 bolt hole remains intact, other ripped out;
Gusset plate for damper unit ripped off at weld.



M2-C1M (Co 131, Fl 98)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411
Tab plate intact;
1 standoff plate remains, seat and other standoff plate ripped off at welds;
Gusset plate with damper unit intact, bent up.



M2-C1B (Co 131, Fl 97)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411

Tab plate ripped off at weld
Seat intact, 1 corner bent downwards, 1 bolt hole intact with bolt, other ripped out;
Gusset plate with damper unit intact, bent up.

Source: NIST.

Figure 6–27. Floor truss connectors for panel M-2 (A130: 96-99).

Exterior Column Panel: M-2, Column 2



M2-C2T (Co 130, Fl 99)
Type C
Seat Detail: 5210
Tab plate ripped off at weld.



M2-C2M (Co 130, Fl 98)
Type C
Seat Detail: 5210
Portion of tab plate remains, bent up.



M2-C2B (Co 130, 97)
Type C
Seat Detail: 5210
Tab plate remains, bent up.

Source: NIST.

Figure 6–27. Floor truss connectors for panel M-2 (A130: 96-99) (continued).

Exterior Column Panel: M-2, Column 3



M2-C3T (Co 129, Fl 99)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411
Tab plate ripped off at weld;
Standoff plates ripped off at welds;



M2-C3M (Co 129, Fl 98)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411
Tab plate remains;
Seat intact, bent down, 1 bolt hole remains intact with bolt, other ripped out;



M2-C3B (Co 129, Fl 97)
Type A
Seat Detail: 1411
Tab plate ripped off at weld;
Seat intact, bent down, 1 bolt hole remains intact, other ripped out;

Source: NIST.

Figure 6–27. Floor truss connectors for panel M-2 (A130: 96-99) (continued).



Figure 6–28a. Damage diagram overlaid with recovered samples and damage of connectors.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlIf5jJU0AAy6ZZ.jpg



Figure 6–29b. Positioning of the recovered core columns with the core area for WTC 2.

Sample C-80 (Fig. 6–30a), from WTC 1, was a 36 ksi wide-flange located in the middle of the second row of core columns along the north face. Only the lower third of the column was recovered (approximately 13 ft). The location of the column indicated that it did not sustain impact damage. Consistent with the column's position, modeling results indicated that this column was not in the path of the aircraft debris (NIST NCSTAR 1-2). Different failure modes were observed for each end (Figs. 6–30b and 6–30c). Bolt fracture occurred at the lower portion of the column (92nd floor region), while the upper portion of the wide-flange was torn through its section (near the 93rd floor region). No visible necking (localized reduction in area) was observed in the immediate vicinity of the fracture.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–30. Core column C-80 (603A: 92-95). a) Overall view of recovered column, b) view of connection in the 92nd floor region, failure as a result of bolt fracture, and c) torn end of column near the 94th floor region.

Core columns C-88a and C-88b, from WTC 2, were unique among the recovered core elements in that the columns were still connected at the welded column splice, Fig. 6–31. Both columns were 42 ksi built-up box columns with their shared splice in the 80th floor level. The lower 16 ft of C-88a was recovered (floors 80 through 82), whereas the upper 8 ft of C-88b was still attached (primarily within the 80th floor). The location of the column C-88b suggested that impact damage may have been sustained. Both webs and the "south" flange were severely bent to the east and had flame cut ends, Fig. 6–32. The bends occurred just below the connector for the channel associated with the 80th floor. The "north"



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–31. Overall view of recovered core columns C-88a (801B: 80-83) and C-88b (801B: 77-80).





Source: NIST.

Figure 6–32. Damage associated with core column C-88b (801B: 77-80). a) Overall view of failed end, b) bottoms of "south" flange and both webs bent towards the east just below the 80th floor level, ends were flame cut, and c) "north" flange bent towards the north, majority of plate was fractured with some flame cutting.

flange had a less significant bend toward the north, with approximately 80 percent of the failed end of the plate as a fracture. There was no visible necking of the plate in the area of failure, with the remaining portion of the plate having been flame cut during the recovery effort. This flange was fractured roughly 2 ft below the splice in the 80th floor level. The fracture surfaces were too corroded for analysis. It was believed that the damage of the south flange and the two webs occurred during collapse of the building as the direction of bending was not consistent with the travel of the airplane. However, the damage to the north flange may have been a result of impact damage, as the severity and location of the plate failure was different from the other three plates of the column, and the direction of the bend was consistent with the travel of the airplane. The baseline analysis of the damage model indicated that this core column was not damaged as a result of the impact (NIST NCSTAR 1-2). However, another analysis with parameters set to increase the amount of damage indicated that impact damage was sustained. Core column C-88a did not sustain impact damage as (1) the damage (81st floor level) was above the floors of impact in this region (79th floor level), (2) the columns were bent downward indicating overloading of the column from above, and (3) the bending direction of the plates was opposite to the direction of the travel of the plane. This is also consistent with the modeling results (NIST NCSTAR 1-2).

Aside from the three columns discussed above, only one other core column was recovered from within the fire region. Sample HH from WTC 1, Fig. 6–33, was a 42 ksi wide-flange positioned near the middle of the second row of core columns along the north face. The bottom half of the column was recovered (approximately 16 ft). The location of the column was such that damage could not have occurred during the impact. This was corroborated by the modeling results that indicated the core column was not hit during the impact (NIST NCSTAR 1-2). The failure mode of each end was different (Fig. 6–33a). Fracture of the plates used in the bolted connection occurred at the lower portion of the column (99th floor region), while the upper portion was torn through the material (100th floor region). Additionally, the sample was nearly bent in half (99th floor region), with complete fracture of one flange. Figure 6–33b shows that the flanges have become separated from the web in the region of the bend.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–33. Core column HH (603A: 98-101). a) Fracture at both ends and b) separation between flange and web in the 99th floor region.

6.4.2 Core Channels and Truss Seats

An analysis was conducted to evaluate the failure modes of the recovered channel material and the condition of the core truss seats. Of the 24 channels used to support the floor trusses, half failed at both ends within the channel itself, Fig. 6–34a, while the others failed at one or both of the end connectors, Fig. 6–34b. Twenty-one pieces of channel had seats or remnants of seats attached. Over 90 percent of the seats (31 total) were still intact with the majority of these somewhat deformed. Only two seats had been ripped completely from the channel at the welded joint between the seat and the channel, as shown in Fig. 6–34c. Half of the retained seats were observed to have both bolt holes still intact, while the other half had at least one bolt hole ripped out.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–34. Failure modes of core channels. a) Fracture through channel as indicated by arrows, b) failure associated with end connector, and c) seat ripped off at welded connection to channel.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlIfwCbUcAA0bf2.jpg

6.5 PHYSICAL DAMAGE OF FLOOR TRUSS MATERIAL

A damage survey was conducted of the floor truss material to identify and inventory the various failure modes. A full discussion of these results can be found in NIST NCSTAR 1-3C.

Samples received at NIST were either small sections (less than 3 ft to 4 ft in length) of chord and rod material (Fig. 6–35a) or large, entangled masses in the form seen in Fig. 6–35b. According to Structural Engineers Association of New York (SEAoNY) volunteer members involved in the recovery effort, it was necessary to "ball up" any truss pieces of significant size to facilitate removal from the WTC site and subsequent handling in the recovery yards. This was necessary since the primary and bridging trusses for a given floor were welded together into huge grids. During the collapse, these lightweight floor sections were severely damaged and could not be easily removed. Thus, it is unknown when specific damage features occurred. Further, it is likely that sections of multiple trusses were "balled" together and labeled as a single unit rather than that NIST labeled samples consisting of just one pre-fabricated truss unit.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–35. Examples of recovered floor truss material. a) Small sections of rod and chord (sample C-53) and b) "balled-up" sections of rod and chord (C-137f).

Attempts were made to identify the as-built location of the truss material, but unlike the other major structural elements, identifying marks could not be found on the trusses. Difficulty was also encountered in trying to measure the length of the truss members to determine if they were of the 35 ft or 60 ft variety. It appears that no whole length sections were recovered. A large majority of the compressive resistance welds between the chords and rods were observed to have failed. This was evident from the large amount of chord material recovered without rods attached and vice versa. It is unknown when these failures occurred or what caused them.

6.6 FIRE EXPOSURE OF THE STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS

Examination of the structural steel components for indications of fire damage is important to provide an indication of the location and intensity of the pre-collapse fires in the towers, as well as the effect of the fires on mechanical properties of the structural steel. This portion of the analysis attempts to (1) determine the temperature excursions experienced by the steel components, (2) determine when the excursion occurred (pre- or post-collapse), (3) determine if pre-collapse fires significantly affected the mechanical properties of the structural elements such that the structural integrity (load bearing capabilities) of the component may have been compromised, and (4) provide this information for input/validation of the fire and thermal models of the reconstruction of thermal and tenability environment (NIST NCSTAR 1-5). Analytical techniques used to carry out these tasks involved assessment of the condition of the primer paint, microstructure, chemistry, and hardness of the steel. A full discussion of these results can be found in Chapter 6 of NIST NCSTAR 1-3C.

6.6.1 Visual Inspection of Recovered Structural Components

NIST has developed a novel approach to evaluating the primer paint on the structural components for evidence of exposure to high-temperature excursions (see Appendix D of NIST NCSTAR 1-3C). This method was found to be relatively easy to implement and robust enough to examine an entire component in the field. Calibration tests in the laboratory showed that, although there was little or no change in color, the primer paint used on the WTC steels that reached temperatures over 250 °C cracked (similar to a "mud cracking" pattern) from the difference in thermal expansion between the paint and the steel. Since deformation and environmental effects can also cause mud-cracking, the absence of mud-cracking indicates the steel has not exceeded 250 °C, but the presence of mud cracks cannot be assumed to be caused by high temperature.

Visual inspection for the fire effects on recovered steel was conducted solely on the perimeter panels and core columns, as these were the only structural elements that had known as-built locations. Twenty-one panels were selected with numerous locations on the inner webs, flanges, spandrels, and floor truss connectors for each floor level analyzed, providing that sufficient paint was available for the analysis. Core columns C-80 and 101 from WTC 1 were examined while C-68a and C-81b were tested from WTC 2; these columns resided within the fire floors for their respective buildings. The entire length of each core column was examined, and evaluations of the primer paint were made when sufficient paint was available for inspection.

Over 170 areas associated with the 21 exterior panels were analyzed, and the results may be found in Appendix E of NIST NCSTAR 1-3C. These 21 panels represent only 3 percent of the panels on floors

involved with fire and cannot be considered representative of other columns on these floors. Only three locations showed evidence of paint mud cracking:

1. Panel K-1, WTC 1, column 210, flange and inner web of 98th floor region. Prior to the collapse of WTC 1, the panel was observed to have experienced varying degrees of fire exposure for a minimum of 31 cumulative minutes in this area. A unique feature of this panel is that the upper portion of the column was crushed while generally maintaining concentric axial alignment with the lower portion of the column, Fig. 6–36. This suggests that deformation occurred at the onset of collapse, while the lower portion of the column was still constrained due to the bolted splice (endplates).
2. Panel K-2, WTC 1, column 236, 93rd floor spandrel. This area was observed to have fire exposure for nine cumulative minutes prior to collapse, Fig. 6–13. A positive reading was made directly below the truss seat while above the seat in the same location a negative result was obtained, Fig. 6–37. This suggests that the concrete floor may have shielded the upper portion of the column from the high-temperature exposure experienced by the lower portion.
3. Panel N-8, WTC 1, column 143, seat and standoff plates of the 99th floor. This seat (Fig. 6–38) was exposed to fire for a minimum of 18 cumulative minutes before collapse. Mud cracking was not observed on the spandrel plate to which the seat was welded.

6.6.2 Core Columns Exposed to Fire

Four of the core columns with known as-built locations were examined for mud cracking of the paint. For columns C-68a and C-81b, sufficient paint for analysis was not available. For columns 101 and C-80, five areas of paint were observed (three to five spots per column) with no indication of temperatures over 250 °C. Note that these core columns represent less than 1 percent of the core columns on floors involved with fire and cannot be considered representative of any other core columns.

6.6.3 Metallographic Analysis of Elements Exposed to Fire

Some aspects of the thermo-mechanical history of a structural steel element may be revealed through a careful assessment of the component's microstructure. Identification of phases, whether stable or metastable, and their characteristics (e.g., size, morphology, distribution) can help lead to an understanding of the possible rolling conditions and/or cooling rates used during fabrication. These factors are directly related to the room temperature mechanical properties of the component. Likewise, high-temperature excursions due to fire can also alter the microstructure and the mechanical properties. Therefore, if knowledge of the as-fabricated microstructure is available, then a review of the "affected" microstructure may give an indication of the level of elevated temperature exposure while in service. Thus, an assessment was made to determine if information could be compiled on the extent and effect of fire exposure through changes in the microstructure. When appropriate, chemical analysis and hardness evaluations were used to supplement the results. A full discussion of these results can be found in NIST NCSTAR 1-3C.

Samples for analysis were chosen based upon the panel exposure to pre-collapse fires. These included floor truss connectors (seats) and the lower portion of spandrels where external framing was observed in

the window directly beneath the location of sample removal. The microstructural results were compared with the paint mapping analysis, to confirm the apparent dissonance between the results of the paint mapping study and those from the pre-collapse fire exposure results reported in Sec. 1.2.5.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–36. Crushed portion of column 210 in the area of the 98th floor (from sample K-1, A209: 97-100). Paint had mud cracking characteristic in this region.



Source: NIST.

Figure 6–37. Spandrel at the 93rd floor of column 236 from sample K-2 (A236: 92-95). Paint mud cracking was found below the 93rd floor line, no evidence of paint mud cracking was found above floor line.





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlIf0tvUUAAeBZX.jpg

2018-08-21T15:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031920840969875456

@MolotoVNKA WTC1 had the antenna. A plane hit it. Then there was a big fire. There were some local collapses and failures, but loads were redistributed, in large part by the hat truss. Eventually the core failed as there was not enough remaining capacity to hold everything up.

2018-08-21T15:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031919840343150592

@MolotoVNKA Again, reach chapter 5 (specifically 5.6, but it's all good). There's far more source, and a DETAILED description of the time and locations of the observed fire clues.
 http://ws680.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=861611

2018-08-21T02:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031729784005394432

@thedrunkinmonk Lack of evidence.

2018-08-21T01:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031713999203647488

How did the World Trade Center Towers collapse? A primary problem with 9/11 conspiracy theories is explaining how the smaller top of a building can destroy the much larger bottom of that building. Here's a model I made two years ago to explain this.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=flo62pdaIMI

2018-08-20T23:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031691390655246337

@MolotoVNKA More importantly, check out section 5.6 "DEVELOPMENT AND SPREAD OF FIRES IN WTC 7" many pages of detailed analysis of where fire and smoke was seem which floor, what time, how long. Here's a sample. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFN0EbVsAAuSN7.jpg

---

it is possible to identify a dull red glow through these open windows. The smoke patterns visible above these windows indicate that a more intense fire had burned there earlier and had subsequently died down. The floor plan for the 11th floor (Chapter 3) was similar to that for the 12th floor, and interior walls were present on either side of these two windows. Apparently, these walls slowed the fire spread into enclosed spaces on either side.

Over the next several minutes, the fire on the 12th floor broke additional window glass. Between 2:13:04 p.m. and 2:14:09 p.m., windows 12-28A and 12-28B opened at the south edge of the face, and flames were observed through these windows shortly afterward. Figure 5–116 is a frame taken from a video showing the fires on the east face of WTC 7. The exact time when it was shot is unknown, but it was during a 12 min period starting at 2:15 p.m., based on the appearance of the face compared to photographs for which times were accurately determined. Intense flames were coming from multiple windows on the 12th floor. The arrow indicates a large section of window pane that suddenly fell out of the frame for window 12-37. Heavy smoke poured from the window after it opened, but flames were not immediately evident.

Several video clips showing the east face of WTC 7 are available between 2:15 p.m. and 2:29 p.m. The exact times for these clips are not known. In the clip shot immediately after the one shown in Figure 5–116, window 11-32 on the 11th floor had already broken, and the glass in window 11-33 suddenly fell outward. Low intensity flames appeared in window 11-32 shortly afterwards. By the time the next clip started, windows 11-31 and 11-34 were open, and growing flames were visible through windows 11-31 to 11-34. In this clip, heavy smoke appeared, and flames erupted from window 11-34, likely an indication that flashover occurred at that time. Heavy smoke and flames continued to come from these four windows over the next several minutes.



Figure 5–115. Cropped photograph showing fires on the east face of WTC 7 at 2:11:09 p.m. ± 1 s.
The intensity levels have been adjusted, and column and floor numbers have been added.



Figure 5–116. Frame from a video showing a portion of the east face of WTC 7 between 2:15 p.m. and 2:27 p.m.
The intensity levels have been adjusted, and column numbers are indicated. The arrow indicates a large piece of glass falling from window 12-37.

Figure 5–117 is another photograph of the east face, taken at 2:28:43 p.m. On the 12th floor, flames are visible in windows 12-28 to 12-36, with flame extension present from several windows. Heavy smoke was coming from window 12-37 at this time, but flames were not evident. In general, the fire intensity appeared to have declined since the image in Figure 5–116 was recorded. Note that no additional windows had opened on this floor since the time of Figure 5–116. The glass in window 12-38 has a different appearance than other intact windows to the north and appears to be deformed by smoke.

At 2:28:43 p.m., the number of open windows on the 11th floor had increased by one, since window 11-35 was now open. A check showed that the glass was still in place in this window when the last video clip of this face was recorded by the photographer. Interior flames were still visible in windows 11-31 to 11-34, but neither smoke nor flames were evident in open window 11-35. Windows 11-28A and 11-28B at the south edge still had glass in place.

The latest full view of the lower floors on the east face in the Investigation database is shown in Figure 5–118. The time for this image is not known, but it was likely several minutes later than the photograph reproduced in Figure 5–117. On the 12th floor, window 12-37, which was open with a heavy smoke flow at 2:28:43 p.m., now has long extended flames, while window 12-38, which had darkened glass in place at 2:28:43 p.m., is now open with a heavy smoke flow. The glass in the four windows between window 12-38 and the north edge of the face appears to have been intact at this time. On the 11th floor, intense flames are jetting out of window 11-35. In Figure 5–117, this window was open, and interior fire was visible as an orange glow. At the later time, the glass in window 11-36, which was in place at 2:28:43 p.m., has been broken, and an interior fire can be seen through the open window.

The fires observed on the east sides of the 11th and 12th floors slowly spread to the north. The floor diagrams in Chapter 3 indicate that these fires were spreading through a series of enclosed offices located along the face.

Closer inspection of Figure 5–118 reveals that three windows appear to be open on the 13th floor. The glass in window 13-31 is missing, while there appears to be fire visible in windows 13-33 and 13-34. These are the first indications that a fire may be growing on the east side of the 13th floor, in addition to

those evident on the 11th and 12th floors. Since windows 13-28A to 13-30 seem to be intact, it is possible that these fires spread in the interior from fires on the south side of the building or that the window breakage and fire ignitions on the 13th floor were due to heat transfer from flames extending upward from open windows on the 12th floor.

In Figure 5–118, no smoke or fire is evident on floors below the 11th floor. This includes the 5th and 6th floors, where intake and exhaust louvers were located. (See floor diagrams in Chapter 3.) It is possible to see windows along the south edge of the building up to the 22nd floor. There are no indications of open windows between the 14th and 22nd floors.



Figure 5–117. Photograph showing fires on the east face of WTC 7 at 2:28:43 p.m. ± 1 s.
The intensity levels have been adjusted, and column and floor numbers have been added.

Only partial and long distance views of the east face were available after the time when the photograph in Figure 5–118 was taken. While not known definitely, it seems likely that the immediate area around WTC 7 was more securely cordoned off by security personnel starting around 2:30 p.m. due to the growth of large fires on the east face and later on the north face. If so, potential photographers and videographers would have had a more difficult time reaching locations where this face could be observed.



Figure 5–118. Photograph of the east face of WTC 7 taken at some time after 2:28:43 p.m. from near the corner of West Broadway and Barclay Street.
The building to the left is the U.S. Post Office. The intensity levels have been adjusted, and column numbers have been added.

Summary: Fires on or below the 13th Floor, 1:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

The discussion thus far has shown that around 2:30 p.m. in the afternoon, fires were burning toward the north on the east and west faces of WTC 7 and were approaching the north face. On the west side, fire had been observed on the 7th floor, while on the east side, actively spreading fires were present on the 11th and 12th floors, and there were indications that fire had appeared on the 13th floor toward the south side of

the east face. Imagery recorded near the end of this period provided no indication of fire or additional broken windows on the north face.

Fires below the 14th Floor on the North Face, 2:57 p.m. to 3:42 p.m.

There is a substantial time gap in available imagery between the times when Figure 5–113 and Figure 5–118 were taken and the next available image (Figure 5–119) that provides useful information about the fire distribution. The photograph in Figure 5–119 was shot on film, and it was difficult to determine exactly when it was taken. Fortunately, a series of time-stamped digital photographs shot from high on a building to the north on Greenwich Street around the same time provided a view of sunlight and shadows on the roof of the building at 70 Murray Street. (See Figure 5–2.) This roof was also visible in photographs from the same film roll shot prior to and after the image reproduced in Figure 5–119. Using changes in these light and shadow patterns, it was possible to determine that the photograph was taken around 2:57 p.m. The uncertainty in this time is estimated as ± 5 min.

The photograph in Figure 5–119 provides a great deal of detail. For instance, it is possible to discern the open windows 8-42A and 8-47B. During the time from roughly 2:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. fires had moved to the north face of WTC 7 on both the 7th and 12th floors. The fire on the 12th floor seemed to be just beginning to grow. There is one area of relatively intense orange flame visible through open window 12-45C, and smaller, more reddish flames are visible in windows 12-44C, 12-44D, and 12-45A.

Fire on the north side of the 12th floor first appeared at locations well removed from the eastern edge. Fires had been observed earlier spreading along the east side of the building. The floor diagram in Chapter 3 indicates that there were a series of small offices on the north face that opened onto a corridor that ran from the east side to the west side. The fact that the fires appeared in offices located about 1/3 of the way across from the east edge suggests that the fire took an internal pathway, perhaps along this corridor, to reach these offices.

The fires spreading on the 7th floor displayed a different behavior than those on the 12th floor. By the time Figure 5–119 was taken, this fire had spread over at least 18 windows running from windows 7-50B to 7-54C. All of these windows appeared to be open, with the possible exception of window 7-51A, which was adjacent to Column 51. Note that windows to the west of 7-54C are hidden from view by the building at 123 Barclay Street, and it is not possible to determine where these fires first reached the north side. Several small spot fires are visible through the open windows. The most intense burning area was at windows 7-50C and 7-50D.

A much closer view of the windows near Column 51 on the 7th floor is shown in Figure 5–120. This image is taken from a video clip that was recorded shortly after the photograph in Figure 5–119. The fire visible through window 7-50B had grown somewhat. The flames in this area appear to fill the volume.

Consistent with this, the apparent low fire intensities suggest that the fuel load or burning rate was insufficient to induce flashover in this large space.



Figure 5–119. Photograph showing the north face of WTC 7 taken from a helicopter around 2:57 p.m. ± 5 min.
The intensities have been adjusted, and column and floor numbers have been added.

Only very light smoke can be seen coming from the open windows on the 7th and 12th floors. Even though the fires were relatively small, they would be expected to release much more smoke than was observed. It is likely that this smoke was being varied elsewhere from the building, perhaps at locations on the east or south faces. This would be the expected behavior if internal flow pathways were available to these faces due to the positive pressure created at the open windows on the north side by the ambient wind flowing over this face and the corresponding low pressure areas created on the leeward faces.

Figure 5–121 shows another aerial view of the north face taken several minutes after Figure 5–119. The time for this photograph was estimated to be 3:05 p.m. ± 5 min in the same way as for Figure 5–119. During the approximately 8 min between the two images, the fire distributions on the 7th and 12th floors

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFNtZ8U0AAxMBI.jpg

this close up it is easy to identify Column 14 through window 23-13B on the 23rd floor and Column 14A through windows 21-14 and 21-14A on the 21st floor.



Figure 5–109. Frame from a video clip showing the southwest corner of WTC 7 around the 22nd floor.
The clip was recorded from West Street near its corner with Vesey Street between 12:10 p.m. and 12:25 p.m. Intensity levels have been adjusted, and floor and window numbers have been added.



Figure 5–110. Cropped photograph showing a portion of the west face of WTC 7 near the south edge at 12:27:30 p.m. ± 1 s from near the corner of Vesey and West Streets.
The Verizon Building is in the foreground. The intensity levels were adjusted, and floor numbers have been added.

A larger area of the west face near the south edge is visible in the photograph shown in Figure 5–110, which was taken shortly after Figure 5–109 at 12:27:30 p.m. At this time flames were not evident in window 22-14A, but light smoke continued to flow from the window. Higher up on the face, smoke was coming from the six adjacent open windows 29-11 to 29-14A on the 29th floor, with heavy flames filling windows 29-11 and 29-12. As discussed in Section 5.5.2, the condition of windows 29-13A to 29-14A immediately following the collapse of WTC 1 could not be determined, while the glass was intact in windows 29-11 and 29-12. This suggests that the fire burning on this floor had opened windows 29-11 and 29-12 by 12:27:30 p.m.

Heavy smoke was also coming from open windows 30-13A to 30-14A on the 30th floor at this time. This suggests that a fire was also burning on this floor, but flames are not apparent in Figure 5–110. In a long distance view from the same short video clip from which Figure 5–109 was taken, these windows appeared to be intact. This indicates that the fire burning on this floor broke the glass from these windows during the short time period between the times when Figure 5–109 and Figure 5–110 were taken.

The appearance of the smoke on the south side of WTC 7 in Figure 5–110 demonstrates a difficulty that plagued attempts to use smoke to provide qualitative information about fire behavior. There appears to be relatively light-colored smoke on the south face at all of the floors visible in the image, while much denser and darker, rapidly rising smoke appeared to be flowing from windows near the west edge of the 30th floor. Due to the wind direction, it was common for smoke to "bank up" against the south face. For this reason, it was usually not possible to differentiate different types of smoke or to identify smoke source locations on the south face. Viewing difficulties resulting from the large amount of smoke on the south face were discussed earlier.

Figure 5–111 shows another view of the fires at the southwest corner on the 29th and 30th floors taken within a few minutes of Figure 5–110. At this time flames were visible on both floors. The appearance of the fires on the 29th floor is similar in both images. However, unlike in Figure 5–110, intense flames are visible on the 30th floor. Flames are apparent in windows 30-14, 30-14A, 30-15, and 30-16A to 30-16C. In the video it is clear that flames were coming from multiple windows on the south face. This is an indication that a fully developed flashed over fire was present in the corner of this floor at this time.



Figure 5–111. Frame from a video clip showing fires near the southwest corner of WTC 7 on the 29th and 30th floors around 12:28 a.m. ± 3 min.
The clip was recorded from West Street near its corner with Vesey Street. Intensity levels have been adjusted, and floor numbers have been added.

Photographs show that by 12:47 p.m., flames were no longer evident inside any of the open windows on the 29th or 30th floors, and the fires had apparently not progressed further north on the west face, since no additional windows had been broken out. Taken together, these observations suggest that intense fires which grew in the southwest corners of these floors starting around noon had died down by 12:45 a.m. after burning intensely for a short period of time.

Photographs taken around 12:47 showed that the smaller fire seen earlier in window 22-14A had also died down, as can be seen in Figure 5–66. This fire had not grown sufficiently large to break additional windows along the west face. Interestingly, in a video clip shot just before 1:30 p.m. smoke is visible flowing from window 22-14. Apparently, a dormant fire had eventually grown large enough to break the glass in this window. Figure 5–67 shows a view of the west face taken from above much later, between 2:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. It appears that the four windows, 22-13A to 22-14A, near the south edge were open at that time. Apparently, the fire on this floor spread very slowly to the north before dying out. Distinct smoke marks are visible above windows 19-14 and 19-14A in Figure 5–66, which was taken at 12:47:40, indicating that a fire had been present in the southwest corner of this floor prior to this time.

There are no images showing flames or other evidence of active fires above the 14th floor after about 1:00 p.m. No images showing flames on the south face or near the south edge of the west face of any floor of WTC 7 are available at times after 1:30 p.m.

Fires on or below the 13th Floor prior to 1:30 p.m.

There are no photographs or videos of any fires on the lower floors of WTC 7 before 1:30 p.m., although a witness saw a fire on the southwest corner of the 7th floor at about 12:15 p.m., before being rescued (Chapter 6). In the videos and photographs of WTC 7 taken from West Street between 12:00 p.m. and 1:30 p.m., smoke can often be seen flowing along the outer walls of lower floors of the west face at the south edge. Since the wind was blowing from the north and the visual evidence indicates no substantial fires were burning on or near Washington Street, this suggests that fires were burning on lower floors of the west face during this time, but it was not possible to identify windows where the smoke originated.

Fires on or below the 13th Floor on the West Face, 1:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

Images showing the initial spread of fire across floors on the west side of WTC 7 are very limited. Figure 5–112 shows a view of the west face taken in the early afternoon. Based on shadows apparent on the face the time is estimated to be between 1:30 p.m. and 2:30 p.m. This photograph should be compared with Figure 5–88, which shows the same area of the face at an earlier time.

In Figure 5–112 smoke is visible coming from open windows 7-5 and 7-7 on the 7th floor, and windows 7-6 and 7-8 appear to be open as well. In the earlier photograph, no smoke was evident coming from windows on this floor, and glass was in place in each of the open windows mentioned above. Light smoke is also visible coming from the louvers in window 7-2 in Figure 5–112. The glass in windows 7-1A, 7-1B, 7-3 and 7-4 was still intact when the photograph was taken. The presence of smoke and additional broken windows indicates that a fire had progressed toward the north edge of the west face on the 7th floor by the time the photograph in Figure 5–112 was taken. Even though a fire was present that was capable of breaking out window glass, no flames are visible. It is possible that flames were present

earlier and had died away or that the prevailing wind on the face pushed the flames into the building and that the smoke and possibly flames exited primarily through openings on the south face.

Smoke is also visible coming from open windows at a few other locations on the west face in Figure 5–112. Relatively heavy smoke appears to be coming from an open window on the 8th floor near the southwest



Figure 5–112. Cropped photograph shot from near the corner of Washington and Barclay Streets shows lower floors on the west side of WTC 7 between 1:30 p.m. and 2:30 p.m. The intensity levels have been adjusted, and floor numbers have been added.

corner. This window was likely 8-10 or 8-11. Smoke is also coming from a window on the 12th floor near the same corner. There does not appear to be significant smoke flow from other open windows at this time.

Figure 5–113 shows a portion of the aerial photograph in Figure 5–64, enlarged to emphasize the areas on lower floors near the northwest corner of WTC 7. This image was estimated to have been taken between 2:15 p.m. and 2:45 p.m. by relating it to other photographs taken earlier that show fires on the east side of WTC 7 and the south side of WTC 5 and by using shadows at various locations around the site.



Figure 5–113. Enlargement of a portion of the photograph shown in Figure 5–64 emphasizing areas on the lower floors of WTC 7 near the northwest corner.
The time is estimated as being between 2:15 p.m. and 2:45 p.m. The roof line of the building in the lower left hand corner is 123 Barclay Street.

Heavy smoke is visible passing over the west face, starting at a point close to the north edge of the building. This smoke appears to be coming from windows on the 7th or 8th floor. It likely was coming from windows on the 7th floor, since fire appeared on the north side of this floor shortly after Figure 5–113 was taken, and there were indications of earlier burning to the south of this location on this floor. Note that there were no visual signs of fire or broken windows on the north face at that time.

Chapter 3 reports that the area between the west face and the core on the 7th floor was not partitioned and

earliest photograph in the database with fire on the east face. It was taken at 2:08:28 p.m. Flames are present on the 12th floor, and windows appear to be open on the 11th floor. Due to the steep angle, it is difficult to identify exactly in which windows the fires were located.

Figure 5–115 shows a less oblique view of the fires on the east face taken at 2:11:09 p.m. An intense fire with external flaming covers windows 12-29 to 12-34 on the 12th floor. Videos taken from the same location indicated that these fires grew substantially in the roughly 2½ min period between Figure 5–114 and Figure 5–115. Windows 12-35 and 12-36 are open, but no flames are apparent. The remaining windows, including 12-27A and 12-27B at the south end of the floor, still have glass in place.

The heavy smoke coming from the windows on the 12th floor rose rapidly and was pulled toward the north. This movement was due to the wind flow over the north face of the building, which created a low pressure region near the northeast corner.

The floor plan for the 12th floor (Chapter 3) indicates that this area was divided into a number of walled offices, including one in the southeast corner with partitioned walls between Columns 27 and 28 and near Column 29. It is likely that these office walls slowed fire spread into the southeast corner. The floor plan suggests that fire may have spread onto the east face from the south by moving along a corridor.



Figure 5–114. Oblique view of the east face of WTC 7, taken at 2:08:28 p.m. ± 1 s from West Broadway near its corner with Park Place.
Floor numbers have been added.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFNqGsUwAA1tL3.jpg



Figure 5–120. Frame taken from a video clip shot looking down on WTC 7 from a building to the northwest showing the fires along a length of the north side of the 7th floor of WTC 7.
The video clip was recorded shortly after the photograph in Figure 5–119 was taken. The intensities have been adjusted and window numbers added.

A closer view of the fires burning on the 12th floor around this time is shown in Figure 5–122. The flames appear to fill the space over the several windows that are visible. Some details are evident inside the building. There appears to be a large file cabinet visible against a wall through window 12-45B. Flames are visible behind window 12-45E, but only a small section of glass seems to have broken out.

Reference to the floor plan in Chapter 3 indicates that the fires on the 12th floor extended over at least four different offices at this time. The fires appeared to be fully developed, completely filling the open windows, suggesting that they were flashed over. Even so, relatively little smoke and flames were coming from the open windows. This behavior can be contrasted with the large flame extensions observed earlier from open windows on the east face of this floor. As discussed above, these differences are likely due to the ambient wind field.

An aerial view of the north face of WTC 7 taken from a much longer distance than Figure 5–119 and Figure 5–121 is shown in Figure 5–123. The time of this photograph was estimated in the same way as the earlier aerial shots as 3:10 p.m. with a ± 5 min uncertainty. Flames were only visible on the 12th floor from this distance and angle. The fire had spread to the east and west in the roughly 5 min period following the photograph in Figure 5–121. The flames had spread all the way to the east face.



Figure 5–121. Cropped photograph of the north face of WTC 7, taken from a helicopter around 3:05 p.m. ± 5 min.
The image was rotated 6° counterclockwise and the intensities were adjusted. Column and floor numbers have been added.



Figure 5–122. Frame taken from a video clip shot looking down on WTC 7 from a building to the northwest shows the fires along a length of the north side of the 12th floor of WTC 7.
The video clip was recorded around the same time as the photograph in Figure 5–121 was taken. The intensities have been modified and window identification added.



Figure 5–123. Enlarged section of a long distance aerial shot of the north face of WTC 7 taken around 3:10 p.m. ± 5 min.
The contrast has been enhanced and the intensity levels adjusted. Floor numbers have been added.

Flames were also visible from at least the two windows on the east face adjacent to the northeast corner, indicating that the flames had engulfed the small unburned island in this corner of the floor. On the west side the flames had moved beyond Column 46. This was the first substantial apparent fire spread in this direction since fire first appeared on the face shortly before 3:00 p.m. (See Figure 5–119.)

The fires on the north side of the 12th floor were extensive, covering a length running approximately from window 12-41A to 12-46C, and intense. Close inspection of Figure 5–123 shows that the fire in the vicinity of windows 12-44C to 12-44E had begun to die down. This area is close to where the fires first appeared just before 3:00 p.m., indicating that, for this location, the period of intense burning lasted for roughly 15 min.

Figure 5–124 provides a view of the north face of WTC 7 taken from the northwest at 3:10:46 p.m. It shows an example of the shadow and sunlight distributions on the roof of the building at 70 Murray Street (the stepped white building at the lower left of the photograph) used for timing the aerial photographs described earlier. Flames are visible on the 7th and 12th floors of the north face of WTC 7. Light smoke or dust is visible on the north side of the building. Heavy smoke is also visible rising from the east face, indicating that fires were burning near by or that the effluent from more distant fires was being vented through the face.

A much closer view of the fires visible on the north face in Figure 5–124 is provided by the photograph reproduced in Figure 5–125, which was taken within a few minutes of Figure 5–124. The north face is partially obscured by smoke or dust, but it is possible to make out fires in individual windows on the 7th and 12th floors. Columns are visible inside the windows, and these have been identified on the image. On the 7th floor, windows are open, and flames are visible from windows 7-47B to 7-49C. In Figure 5–121, which was taken at roughly 3:05 p.m., fires on this floor had reached only as far east as window 7-49A. Longer distance photographs indicate that the extended area of intense fire to the east of Column 49 became visible between 3:09:42 p.m. and 3:10:46 p.m. Clearly, fire was continuing to spread toward the east on the 7th floor at this time.



Figure 5–124. Cropped photograph of the north face of WTC 7 taken from a building located to the northwest looking down Greenwich Street at 3:10:46 p.m. ± 3 s.
The intensities were adjusted.

On the 12th floor, intense flames are visible in Figure 5–125 as far west as window 12-47C, indicating that this image was taken shortly after the photograph shown in Figure 5–123, in which flames were not yet evident to the west of Column 47. The flames completely fill the open windows, suggesting that flashover had taken place within the offices at these locations. As noted earlier, very little smoke or flames are coming from the open windows on this floor, again suggesting that the smoke was venting elsewhere from the building due to the ambient wind direction.

Another close up view of the north face taken from a building to the northwest between 3:11:15 p.m. and 3:16:51 p.m. is shown in Figure 5–126. The fires on the 12th floor are visible, but the image did not extend low enough to show the 7th floor. During the short period between when the images in Figure 5–125 and Figure 5–126 were taken, the fires on the 12th floor broke windows 12-48A to 12-48D, and heavy flames appeared. This indicates that the fires on this floor were continuing to spread toward the west.

A close-up ground shot of the north face of WTC 7 taken from near the corner of Greenwich and Murray Streets is shown in Figure 5–127. Even though the photograph was digital, its timestamp information had been lost by the time the image was provided to the Investigation. The assigned time of 3:12:50 p.m. ± 5 min is based on known times for other photographs from the same camera taken shortly before and afterward. Comparison of Figure 5–127 with Figure 5–126 indicates that Figure 5–127 was taken shortly before Figure 5–126, since windows 12-47D and 12-48A were open in Figure 5–126 and glass was still in place in Figure 5–127. In both figures, windows 12-48B to 12-48D are open, but a larger section of glass was missing from window 12-48D in the later photograph.



Figure 5–125. Cropped close-up photograph of the north face of WTC 7 taken within a few minutes of the image in Figure 5–124.
Fires are visible on the 7th and 12th floors. Column numbers are indicated, and the intensity levels were adjusted.



Figure 5–126. Cropped close-up photograph of the north face of WTC 7 taken between 3:11:15 p.m. and

In Figure 5–127, the fires observed earlier on the 12th floor between Columns 44 and 46 have died down substantially. Only small flames are visible near windows 12-44B and 12-45E. On the other hand, the flames further to the west are more intense at this time and appear to fill the open windows, indicating that flashover had occurred. As observed earlier, very little smoke and flame are coming from the open windows, likely indicating that the effluent is being vented elsewhere.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFNv_IUUAAprke.jpg

Figure 5–127 provides a good view of the fires on the 7th floor around 3:13 p.m. As noted previously, these fires appear to be less intense than those observed on the 12th floor. There are indications of low intensity flames through windows between Columns 50 and 51. Further west, the fire appears to have gone out. The most visible flames extend from windows 7-47B to 7-49B. Window 7-47A appears to be broken, while the glass in windows 7-49C and 7-49D appears to be intact. Comparison with Figure 5–125 indicates that, during the few minutes between the two photographs, the fires on the 7th floor had died down somewhat, while not spreading further to the east.

No flames or newly opened windows were present on other floors at this time on the visible portion of the north face. In Figure 5–127 there appears to be light smoke or dust rising from near the ground. There is no evidence to indicate whether this was coming from WTC 7 or from locations outside the building. Several FDNY and NYPD uniformed personnel are visible in Figure 5–127 near Murray Street. Their presence is consistent with the suggestion that the area around WTC 7 had been cordoned off somewhat earlier.

A long distance view of the north face of WTC 7, similar to Figure 5–124, that was shot at 3:22:00 p.m. shows that the fires visible on the 7th and 12th floors about 30 min earlier had died down somewhat and had not spread noticeably. No fires were visible elsewhere on the face.

An overhead view of the north face looking down Greenwich Street from a building to the northwest is shown in Figure 5–128. It was taken around 3:42:30 p.m. ± 2 min. Fires are visible on the 8th floor between Columns 47 and 52, with the most intense burning toward the east, on the 12th floor between Columns 50 and 52, and on the 13th floor between Columns 44 and 46, with intense burning visible between Columns 44 and 45. The greatest length of fire is on the 8th floor. An earlier frame from the same video shows fire present on this floor at least one minute earlier. This was the earliest observation of a fire present on the 8th floor.

A view of the north face of WTC 7 shot from Greenwich Street is shown in Figure 5–129. Fires are visible on the lower part of WTC 7. This area is enlarged in Figure 5–130. Even though the image is grainy, it provides useful information about the fire distribution at this time. Comparison of the fire distribution with that visible in Figure 5–128 suggests that this photograph was taken just prior to the time when the video frame was recorded. The time of this photograph is estimated to be 3:41:00 p.m., with an uncertainty of 3 min. Flames cover at least a length from windows 8-47C to 8-53D, even though it appears that the glass in these windows had not yet broken. There could be fires further to the west, but these windows are hidden by the building in the foreground. The large extent of the fire suggests that flames must have appeared in this area earlier since some time would be required for the fire to spread, but no indication of fire on this floor was apparent in a photograph taken from the northwest at 3:22:00 p.m., suggesting that fire spread rapidly on this floor. Where visible, the flames on the 8th floor appear to fill the windows, providing an indication that the fires in the area were flashed over. As observed previously on the north face, relatively little smoke and flames were coming from open windows, suggesting that the fires were likely vented on the south and/or east faces.

Flames are also visible through open windows on the 12th floor. At around 3:14 p.m., fires on this floor were observed as far west as window 12-48D, and the distribution was little changed roughly 5 min later. In Figure 5–130, fire had spread at least as far west as window 12-53C during the roughly 20 min between close up images. At the later time, flames are only visible as far east as window 12-48D, indicating that the fires visible earlier had mostly died down. The flames visible on the 12th floor around

3:41 p.m. seem to fill the open windows, suggesting flashover conditions, but several windows still appear to have glass in place. This may be an indication that the fire on the north face was not spreading systematically to the west, but at times jumped over adjacent offices which then ignited somewhat later.

Close inspection shows that a dull red glow is visible in windows 13-45C to 13-45E on the 13th floor. These windows can just be seen at the side of the building at 30 West Broadway, which hides windows further to the east. This image provides the earliest visual evidence that fires on the 13th floor, which were identified much earlier on the east face, had spread to the north side of WTC 7. Based on Figure 5–128, it is likely that fire was present to the east between Columns 44 and 45 at this time.



Figure 5–128. This frame from a video shot from a building to the northwest of WTC 7 was taken around 3:42:30 p.m. with an uncertainty of ± 2 min.
The intensities have been adjusted and column and floor numbers added.



Figure 5–129. Photograph showing the north face of WTC 7 shot looking down Greenwich Street from near the corner of Greenwich and Warren Streets at around 3:41 p.m.
The estimated time uncertainty is ± 3 min.



Figure 5–130. View of the north face of WTC 7 taken around 3:41 p.m. ± 3 min, enlarged from Figure 5–129.
The intensities have been adjusted, and column and floor numbers have been added.

While difficult to discern in Figure 5–130, a number of windows are open on the 7th floor. Most, if not all, of the windows between window 7-47A and 7-53D are open. There is no indication of fire on the floor in this photograph. Apparently, fire present in this area around 3:13 p.m. had stopped spreading and had died down by 3:41 p.m.

There are no indications of fires on other floors in this time interval, between 2:57 p.m. and 3:42 p.m. With the exception of Figure 5–123, which indicates that fire was present on the north edge of the east face around 3:10 p.m., there are no images available during this time period that show the fire distributions on the east, west, or south face of WTC 7.

Fires below the 14th Floor, 3:47 p.m. to 4:40 p.m.

Figure 5–131 shows a view of the central region of the north face taken from the video shot from a building to the northwest of the site looking down Greenwich Street at a time estimated as 3:50:13 p.m. with an uncertainty of ± 3 min. During the approximate 7 min 45 s period between the time when Figure 5–128 and Figure 5–131 were taken, the fire on the 8th floor had spread towards the east and was burning vigorously between Columns 46 and 47 at the later time. Windows on this floor around Column

50 also appear to have opened. On the 12th floor additional windows around Column 51 have opened, and fire has appeared in window 12-52A. On the 13th floor the fire between Columns 44 and 45 has become less intense at the same time that the fire to the west of Column 45 appears more intense.

Around the time when the photograph in Figure 5–130 was taken, a news crew managed to reach Barclay Street by way of the building at 123 Barclay Street and filmed the fires in WTC 7 from several vantage points. This video was not time stamped, so it proved difficult to obtain accurate times for all of the clips. However, as discussed below, by comparison with other images taken at relatively well known times, it was possible to estimate times for this video.

Even though 123 Barclay Street was a full block north of the south face of WTC 7 and was nearly completely shielded from the WTC site by WTC 7 and the Verizon Building (see Figure 5–2), all of the windows in the main lobby facing Barclay Street were broken, and the lobby was covered by a thick layer of dust. This observation provides another example of the potential of the dust clouds created by the collapse of the towers to break windows and distribute material. There was no indication that fires ignited in the lobby. Initial views of WTC 7 shot by this news crew were taken through the broken windows in the lobby. Figure 5–132 shows a view of the northwest corner of WTC 7 taken from a video clip shot through the open windows. The time assigned for this video clip is estimated relative to that for Figure 5–134 provided below. Figure 5–132 reveals a great deal about the recent fire behavior. Consider the west face. Flames are visible coming from window 8-6 on the 8th floor, and heavy smoke is flowing from multiple windows starting at the northwest corner and extending at least as far south as window 8-8. Several video clips were shot from near the same location as Figure 5–132. A frame from one of the clips recorded slightly earlier showing a much closer view of the west face is reproduced in Figure 5–133. In this image, flames are visible in windows 8-3 to 8-5, with heavy flames coming from window 8-3. In a longer distance view from the same clip, flames can also be seen coming from windows 8-1A, 8-1B, and 8-2.

Taken together, these video clips indicate that an intense fire spanning at least half the west side of the 8th floor was burning at this time. Conversely, even though there was heavy blackening of the façade above open windows 7-1A, 7-1B, 7-3, and 7-4 visible in the clips, indicating the earlier presence of a fire, no



Figure 5–131. This frame from a video shot from a building to the northwest of WTC 7 was taken around 3:50:13 p.m. with an uncertainty of ± 3 min.
The intensities have been adjusted and column and floor numbers added.



Figure 5–132. View of the northwest corner of WTC 7 from a video recorded from inside the lobby of 123 Barclay Street around 3:42 p.m. ± 5 min.
The intensities have been adjusted, and column and floor numbers have been added.



Figure 5–133. View of the west face of WTC 7 taken from a video recorded from inside the lobby of 123

fire was visible, and no smoke was coming from these windows at this time. Recall that window 7-5 was already open by the time Figure 5–112 was taken between 2:15 p.m. and 2:30 p.m. A part of the blackened façade above these windows can be seen in Figure 5–133, where it is also apparent that the louver in window 7-2 is still in place. Apparently, the smoke flow from the louvered window was less than from the nearby open windows, since the façade is less deformed immediately above.

The presence of an earlier fire on the 7th floor that released substantial smoke near the northwest corner is consistent with the smoke seen in the area in Figure 5–113 (see associated discussion), which was estimated to have been taken between 2:15 p.m. and 2:45 p.m. The observation of heavy burning on the 8th floor much later supports the conclusion that the earlier smoke was coming from the 7th floor. The fact that heavy smoke flowed from windows 7-1A to 7-4 suggests that when this area burned, there was no direct pathway to openings on the south or west walls, since the fire would have vented there, as observed elsewhere. This hypothesis is not supported by the floor diagram shown in Chapter 3, which indicates that windows 7-1A to 7-4 were open to the same common area as windows further south. The same conclusion concerning pathways to the south or east face applies for the 8th floor, since heavy smoke and flames were coming from the open windows on the west face.

On the north face, every window on the 7th and 8th floors to the west of Column 51, with the exception of window 8-56A, was open at the time Figure 5–132 was taken. This is the first visual evidence that fires had burned on these floors between Column 54 and the west edge of the face. The only locations where flames can be seen are near Column 51 on the 8th floor. Other clips shot around the same time show fire in windows 8-57B and 8-57C. In Figure 5–130, intense burning was present between Columns 51 and 54. The fact that these fires had died down by the time of the later video clip indicates that many minutes separate the images in Figure 5–130 and Figure 5–132. This is consistent with the assigned times.

The open windows and fire distributions on the 7th and 8th floors provide clues concerning fire movement.

- On the 7th floor, the evidence indicates that an earlier fire spread up the western edge of the building. Later fires were observed near the center of the north face moving toward the east. The fact that fires had burned earlier on the west side suggests that the fire moving along the west side of the building turned at the northwest corner and began to spread toward the east.
- A different movement is implied by the observations on the 8th floor. A rapidly developing intense fire was first observed near the center of the north face. By the time the western side of the north face was observed, fires in this area had already died down, but intense burning was

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFN0EbVsAAuSN7.jpg

2018-08-20T23:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031689860812173313

@MolotoVNKA Why don't you read chapter 9, so you get a better understanding of what NIST is doing.

2018-08-20T23:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031689651046604800

@MolotoVNKA Would you like to draw a correct version?

How do you account for the areas of the floor that you cannot see? The longs side is to the north. All good views of the building are from the north.

2018-08-20T23:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031689253451780096

@GreenTeamBC @stubbedoutbine Who is he going to influencing?

2018-08-20T23:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031680650200330240

@MolotoVNKA Did you notice that there were raging fires?

2018-08-20T22:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031676773908377600

@MolotoVNKA Read chapter 9 of NCSTAR 1-9 to see how they calculated the fire spread and temperatures. It's quite detailed, and based on extensive observations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFAejSUYAAZpAC.jpg

Chapter 9
FIRE SIMULATIONS

9.1 OVERVIEW

9.1.1 Approach

The major fires in WTC 7 were simulated using the Fire Dynamics Simulator, version 4 (McGrattan 2004), the same software that NIST used to simulate the fires in the World Trade Center towers (NIST NCSTAR 1-5F). These simulations were part of a sequence of estimates that included the debris damage from WTC 1, the subsequent fires, the heating of the structural elements, the structural response, and the eventual collapse. Temperature predictions from the fire model were passed to the structural model via the Fire Structure Interface, again using the same process as in the reconstruction of the collapse of the World Trade Center towers (NIST NCSTAR 1-5G).

As described in Chapter 5, sustained and/or late fires were observed on Floors 7 through 9 and 11 through 13 of WTC 7. There was a single observation of a late, small fire on Floor 14. In addition, there might have been concealed fires in the mechanical spaces on Floors 5 and 6. No fire was observed on the 10th floor. The fires on the upper floors (19, 22, 29, and 30) died out well before the collapse of WTC 7.

The fire simulations for WTC 7 were conducted for each floor individually. Section 5.6 showed that there were no externally visible observations of flames passing from one floor to another, aside from what might have remained hidden in the debris-damaged area in the southwest of the building. The only floor-to-floor connection within the tenant spaces was a flight of convenience stairs connecting the 11th and 12th floors. It was located near the southwest corner of the building, near the multi-floor debris damage zone, and essentially acted as an enlargement of the debris damage zone. The stairs were thus not included in the FDS simulations. The analysis described in Section 9.1.2 found that any late developing floor breaches would not have had a major effect on the structural heating.

It would have been difficult to observe fires on the 5th and 6th floors, due to the partitions just behind the various windows or louvers (Chapter 3). Thus, the Investigation Team simulated a variety of fire scenarios and examined the outcome of each to determine whether it would have produced a visible telltale or whether it would have been severe enough to weaken any structural elements on those floors.

The actual fires on Floors 7 through 9 and 11 through 13 were likely initiated when WTC 1 collapsed (Section 5.6). However, there was limited photographic evidence or on-site personal observation upon which to base simulation of the early development of these fires. While use was made of the appearance of flames and window breakage in photographs and videos in formulating the simulations, the Investigation Team realized that the absolute timing of the simulations might not align exactly with the timing of the fires on September 11, 2001.

Using a timeline reconstructed from the limited photographic evidence and eyewitness testimony, fire simulations were conducted for Floors 7 and 8. For the simulations, the fires on these two floors were assumed to have initiated at a time designated as noon. There was no evidence of visible fire growth on

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlFAejSUYAAZpAC.jpg
2018-08-20T22:50:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031674838362906624
@MolotoVNKA Here's evidence of raging fires.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2018-08-20T22:49:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031674489241665536
@MolotoVNKA And here's the upper layer gas temperature. This is the temperature of the gas

(air, and gases from the fire like carbon dioxide) at the highest point in the room. This is COOLER than the flames. Note red, temps of 1000Â°C
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlE-C7VVAAAw5TF.jpg



Figure 9–11. Progression of simulated fire on Floor 12 of WTC 7.
Upper layer gas temperatures are shown.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlE-C7VVAAAw5TF.jpg
2018-08-20T22:45:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031673672585474048
@MolotoVNKA But regarding the temperatures inside the building. Remember again there's a big different between flame termps, gas temps, and structural steel temps. Here's the computed steel temps. Note some red (576Â°C)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlE9uUBU8AAf3dA.jpg



Figure 10–39. Computed temperature distribution (ºC) on the floor beams of Floor 13 at 12 different instants in time (Case B, floor slabs removed).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlE9uUBU8AAf3dA.jpg

2018-08-20T22:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031673087199068160

@MolotoVNKA The temperatures BEFORE the collapse are almost nothing to do with the temperatures DAYS AFTER. The later temperatures came from later fires.

2018-08-20T21:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031651688539516929

@MolotoVNKA read NCSTAR 1-9 Structural Fires Response and Probable Collapse Sequence of WTC7 http://ws680.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=861611

2018-08-20T21:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031650837880176640

@MolotoVNKA You can't "cool" a flame. The flames are always at over 1900Â° The gasses a bit cooler, the surrounding material cooler still. It varies with heat flow.

NIST did NOT say that. You should read the NIST report.

2018-08-20T21:13:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031650422673403904

@MolotoVNKA They found basically the ingredients in some types of paint. Aluminum, siliocon, iron oxide, other things. Nothing special. And yes, my paint chips burnt.

2018-08-20T21:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031647687056093184

@MolotoVNKA Yes, so if there's ZERO oxygen it will go out. But an oxygen starved fire does not mean zero oxygen. Just like how you can physically starve on one slice of bread a day, but you don't die for months. That's why those dump fires last so long. There's a lot of smoldering.

2018-08-20T20:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031642069851205632

@MolotoVNKA "It's like looking down on hell," one firefighter said.

https://www.jsonline.com/story/life/green-sheet/2017/11/14/like-looking-down-hell-when-milwaukee-dump-caught-fire-and-burned-months/856767001/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEg1DlVsAAMDrJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEg1DlVsAAMDrJ.jpg
2018-08-20T20:36:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031641113969418241
@MolotoVNKA Oxygen starved makes fires last longer. Fire is very hot. Dump fires can last for months or years.

2018-08-20T20:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031640883177771008
@MolotoVNKA NIST explained freefall.
I found red/grey chips on my wheelbarrow - it's just paint.

2018-08-20T20:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031640676276957184
@MolotoVNKA The floors have the SAME columns. The columns go all the way up.
You don't see the buckling because it buckled at the bottom.

2018-08-20T20:26:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1031638733685063680
@MolotoVNKA In this picture the important things to remember are
1) Those columns go all the way down to the lower structure of the building, and all the way up to the roof.
2) The girders are what failed from heat, causing LOCAL floor collapses
3) The columns failed after multiple floors http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEddpIVsAIYqjC.jpg



Figure 8. *The vertical member in the center is World Trade Center Building 7's column #79. The larger horizontal beams that are directly connected to it are the girders, and the horizontal beams that are connected to the girders are the floor beams.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEddpIVsAIYqjC.jpg

2018-08-20T20:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031636915211055105

@MolotoVNKA Of course, like on every floor. But only the bits AROUND C79 collapse at first.

2018-08-20T20:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031636331615547392

@MolotoVNKA Finally it buckles at the base of the exterior, and everything (that has not already collapsed inside) comes down together. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbpqFVsAANYeg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbpqFVsAANYeg.jpg

2018-08-20T20:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031636119627083779

@MolotoVNKA The collapse spreads to the the other parts of the building. Still mostly hidden at this point. The penthouse is gone, but the exterior stands.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbck6VsAEKvUe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbck6VsAEKvUe.jpg

2018-08-20T20:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031635845999079426

@MolotoVNKA Then column failure. See all the upper floors fail at once as all three columns are failing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbNjuVsAM2-Mx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbNjuVsAM2-Mx.jpg

2018-08-20T20:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031635667762130945

@MolotoVNKA Here's the wider view, just before the column starts to buckle. This is all just the limited floor failures at this point. Fire damaged floors failing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbEdHUYAAFPSl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEbEdHUYAAFPSl.jpg

2018-08-20T20:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031635261132746752

@MolotoVNKA 8 seconds in the loads are transferred to the other columns, and they too start to buckle. All the floors above fall down with the column

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaxnMVsAMVU2P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaxnMVsAMVU2P.jpg

2018-08-20T20:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031634935650500609

@MolotoVNKA But 7 seconds in, column 79 buckles, because it now has no support from the sides. This makes it a lot weaker, so it can't support itself + the floors + the roof + the penthouse, so it buckles. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaQWwVsAUi5En.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaQWwVsAUi5En.jpg

2018-08-20T20:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031634565427654656

@MolotoVNKA Notice the floors fail first. Multiple floors are falling, but initially the columns are fine. This is five seconds in. The columns are still fine.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaJ4BVsAEOzLx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEaJ4BVsAEOzLx.jpg

2018-08-20T20:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031634194345078785

@MolotoVNKA All the columns go all the way up and are on every floor (above the bottom 8 or so). They are not like individual columns per floor. The columns are the main structure of the building, the floors are attached the the columns, and give them sideways support.

2018-08-20T20:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031632643777941509

@flightradar24 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEYYmHVsAAeli-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEYQH6VsAEQ7ah.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEYYmHVsAAeli-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlEYWi1V4AAz3PA.jpg

2018-08-20T20:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031632084379492352

@MolotoVNKA Would you like me to explain it?

2018-08-20T19:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031631309515280384

The 3D view on @flightradar24 is fun for watching the supertanker.

https://www.flightradar24.com/T944/1d930fd3/3d

2018-08-20T19:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031627520032948224

T944, the Global Supertanker is off to fight some fires. Taxiing for takeoff after re-filling.

https://www.flightradar24.com/T944/1d930fd3

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlETpR9UUAA1gj4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlETpR9UUAA1gj4.jpg

2018-08-20T19:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031625457425235969

@MolotoVNKA That''s nothing at all like what NIST say what happened. Multiple floor failures happened before the column failed. It did not break or loosen. It buckled because of the loss of lateral support from the floors. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cqh1Ye3Mt9s

---

2018-08-20T18:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031605706460262401

@rothschildmd Also relies on the the fact that QAnon followers clearly do NOT believe in coincidences.

Once you drink the "there are no coincidences" Kool-Aid it makes connecting the dots so easy than anyone can do it.

2018-08-20T13:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031537010178023424

@GreenTeamBC @stubbedoutbine Tony Robbins is another similar person. Reach like Icke, and probably at the level Peterson will settle down at if he keeps going.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlDAh0qUcAAnZGP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlDAh0qUcAAnZGP.jpg

2018-08-20T13:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031535681317683200

@GreenTeamBC @stubbedoutbine There's no flat earthers who come close to Peterson in terms of popularity. Obviously his subject matter is very different to Icke. I was pointing out their similarity in terms of being popular charismatic self-help public speakers and authors.

2018-08-20T13:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031534822194204673

@SimonGr41594862 That was 40 years ago though. I think he believes what he says to a large degree. It's odd though, as he comes across as self-aware regarding how people perceive him. More than Peterson really.

2018-08-20T13:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031532334376411137

@stubbedoutbine @GreenTeamBC I think the people in the audience for both take them very seriously. Icke has been around a long time so has probably affected more people than JP at this point. It remains to be seen how long JP will be popular for. Who will have the larger net effect?

2018-08-20T13:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031531077758726144

@CalebOnTwatter I don't think it was necessary, just interesting.

2018-08-19T23:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1031327170725986304

David Icke vs. Jordan Peterson. I suspect that when Peterson's moment passes he'll settle down to a level of popularity similar to Icke at his stadium-filling peak. They differ greatly in the details, but both have a revelatory self-improvement mantra with dedicated fans.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlABtE9UcAAkXeG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DlABtE9UcAAkXeG.jpg
2018-08-18T22:26:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1030943980458889216
@MolotoVNKA Same as why the frying pan is cooler than the flame. Net heat flow.

2018-08-18T16:40:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1030856924521086976
@MolotoVNKA No, they said that the fires only heated the steel in WTC7 to 400C. The fires themselves were a lot hotter.
Like when you fry a egg. The gas flame on the stove is 1950Â°C. Yet the pan itself does not get over 200Â°C.

2018-08-18T16:22:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1030852402985951232
@MolotoVNKA Fires continued to burn for days.
Fire does not burn at 200Â°C. It burns at up to 2000Â°C with common materials like wood.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adiabatic_flame_temperature#Common_flame_temperatures

---

2018-08-18T14:12:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1030819758457778176
@Jerobe2 @Ca11xto @LogicalReterg @UMNeric @RD_Town @CobraElDiablo @tino2199 @AnneMorter @dishonestdrumpf @TheJonnyRios @jjmacnab You might like my refraction simulator. It uses ray tracing to approximate the light paths. It's a work in progress, so is a bit messy, but you get the idea. https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dk409hgU8AAahyq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dk409hgU8AAahyq.jpg

2018-08-18T14:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030817047029080064

@MolotoVNKA Flames are hot. So they were probably recording fires that were very close to the surface.

2018-08-17T21:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030574783686107136

@4thAnon What objection of mine are you tackling here? I made some observations, not objections.

And the study was conducted by Taylor Nelson Sofres - a simple telephone survey. You think they rigged it?

2018-08-17T17:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030511502107729921

@4thAnon Itâ□□s the first thing Iâ□□ve tried to sell in 10+ years of debunking. I want it to do well because I believe in it and I think it can help people.

2018-08-17T13:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030446463258480640

@4thAnon There's a variety of research and opinions regarding the link between anti-zionism and antisemitism. There are obviously degree of both, and there's obviously going to be some overlap. Many NWO conspiracists say "jew" not "zionist"

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anti-Zionism#Anti-Zionism_and_antisemitism

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_antisemitism

2018-08-17T00:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030254745208799232

RT @rothschildmd: More #QAnon failure: drop 856 promised "a parade that will never be forgotten" on 11/11/18. That parade has now been postâ□¦

2018-08-16T23:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030237722135298048

@4thAnon YMMV.

http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0022002706289184

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkwjrxKUcAA9Ajd.jpg

CONCLUSIONS

We began this article by noting that extreme anti-Israel sentiment has been interpreted by some as anti-Semitic in effect if not intent. It is therefore important to consider the competing motivations behind such sentiment. There are certainly critics of Israel on specific policy grounds, but there are also anti-Semitic individuals for whom attacks on Israel are manifestations of prejudice. Given this mix, what is one to think when presented with accusations such as "Israel is just like apartheid South Africa," "Israel is responsible for the violence in the Middle East," or "Israel deliberately targets Palestinian civilians"?

Our research directly addresses this issue. From a large survey of 5,000 citizens of ten European countries, we showed that the prevalence of those harboring (self-reported) anti-Semitic views consistently increases with respondents' degree of anti-Israel sentiment (see Figures 2 and 3 and Table 3), even after controlling for other factors. It is noteworthy that fewer than one-quarter of those with anti-Israel index scores of only 1 or 2 harbor anti-Semitic views (as defined by anti-Semitic index scores exceeding 5), which supports the contention that one certainly can be critical of Israeli policies without being anti-Semitic. However, among those with the most extreme anti-Israel sentiments in our survey (anti-Israel index scores of 4), 56 percent report anti-Semitic leanings. Based on this analysis, when an individual's criticism of Israel becomes sufficiently severe, it does become reasonable to ask whether such criticism is a mask for underlying anti-Semitism.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkwjrxKUcAA9Ajd.jpg

2018-08-16T20:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030197095582425089

@rothschildmd Everyone interested in conspiracy theories should read that memo. It's very interesting, especially if you are familiar with the historical context.

It's also interesting how timeless it it is.

http://www.jfklancer.com/CIA.html

2018-08-16T19:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030174014310772736

I was out in my backyard when I saw a mysterious flashing light hovering between two trees. What could it possibly be?

If I only had a cell phone camera the mystery might never have been solved.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vmI2gMw8j2c

2018-08-16T15:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030107856727461888

@LillySlapper @Valkyrie_77 I feel I have truth on my side. Can you give an example otherwise?

2018-08-16T15:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1030107546390941696

@4thAnon Of course there are different grades. From mild social dislike to dehumanizing hatred

and genocide.

2018-08-16T04:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029952315757748224

@4thAnon It depends on how antisemitic you are.

2018-08-16T04:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029942060281810945

@intellihubnews I suspect this survey's sampling is somewhat biased.

2018-08-15T17:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029788482368036864

@LonestarTallBoi Well to be fair, it just says "devoted to", not "devoted to praising." They have issues "devoted to" all kinds of weird subjects.

2018-08-15T17:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029786713315409921

@ute_lias @hoaxeye @TheOutThere2016 Here's a closeup of something similar. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b9rs_4iGSPw

---

2018-08-15T16:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029773851373096960

@JasonBermas @wikileaks @HillaryClinton @realDonaldTrump @CNN @MSNBC I'd check the other Wiki on his Uranium One claim. Kind of seems like he's spinning it a bit there with Hannity-style talking points. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uranium_One

---

2018-08-15T15:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029755071540543488

@TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye Shadow of plane on clouds. It's not on the window, you can see dirt moving on the window at around 1:00

2018-08-15T14:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029730342125457408

@Valkyrie_77 @BayleeB79 @Masschemtrails And my book (Escaping the Rabbit Hole) is not out yet. So you can still give your copy a quick read before shredding it for your rat's cage.

2022-09-09T04:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568093131350114304

@HSVUAP Garry Nolan? You know what he's been saying. https://twitter.com/BlackTriangle16/status/1561584168114454528

2022-09-09T04:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568091874250080256

@SicCoP1 All the people at the Press Club said they would testify under oath to congress.

2022-09-09T04:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568091315854000128

@HSVUAP Do they say this data is going to be released?

2022-09-09T04:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568090230355562496

@XRPTakisian @HSVUAP How would the threat of perjury charges be relevant if they just say what they believe to be true?

2022-09-09T04:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568089826628599810

@HSVUAP I've not heard rumors about a "lot" of witnesses, no.

Nor have I heard rumors about data. I've heard *hope* about data.

I'd love to see lots of witnesses produce lots of data.

2022-09-09T03:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568086652685516800

@HSVUAP I think the people in 2001 mostly believed everything they were saying, so being under oath would make no difference.

2022-09-09T03:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568082979540578304

Supposedly, credentialed individuals are going to come forward to testify about recovered alien technology.

But remember last time? 2001? The Disclosure Project? Airforce officers and NASA employees talking about alien bases on the Moon?

What will differ?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4DrcG7VGgQU

2022-09-09T03:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568081192775479296

@LOW843 @edwardcurrent Do you think my "false cloak of objectivity" is working?

2022-09-09T03:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568071899082797057

@chedca @wikiphotografy @mrjeffknox What are you talking about? What "old workmate?"

2022-09-09T02:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568069238522531840

@zcichy I care a little. It's not high on my list of priorities, but a bit more effort put into messaging interoperability would be nice. That one green person in a group text just messes it up for everyone, forcing reactions to be sent as new texts!

2022-09-09T01:56:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568055725754294273

@TheUFOTrail @keithkloor Valued experts can occasionally do things that are less than optimal. That does not mean they are stupid.

2022-09-09T01:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568048611170320386

@its_only_Gaz I think the opinion of the person in charge of the Air Force is what is relevant here. But you are somehow making this about me.

2022-09-09T01:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568047466641240064

@likeitmatters3 And pretty much what the Navy spokespersons have been saying about their investigations. It covers stuff (sensors) they don't want to talk about. So they won't talk about it.

Gradisher in 2019: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcLSsBvacAAxh-x.jpg

---

> “Military aviation safety organizations always retain reporting of hazards to aviation as privileged information in order to preserve the free and honest prioritization and discussion of safety among aircrew,” Gradisher said. “Furthermore, any report generated as a result of these investigations will, by necessity, include classified information on military operations. Therefore, no release of information to the general public is expected.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcLSsBvacAAxh-x.jpg

2022-09-09T01:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568046311198556160

@HaydenCalnin Head of the Air Force said that.

2022-09-09T01:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568045979710148609

@keithkloor @MiddleOfMayhem @nickpopemod Well, the DoD don't specify *how much* national security was damaged. Just that they don't want it damaged further.

It's not like "damage to national security" is a binary thing.

Nor do they assign blame for the (possible, implied) initial damage.

2022-09-09T01:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568044920623222785

@its_only_Gaz I'm sure he'd be worried about it if there was good evidence it was happening.

2022-09-09T01:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568044602296512514

@ufotua1 @MiddleOfMayhem He's not saying that UAP are from China.

He's saying he's not worried that much about UAP, because he's got real threats to worry about, specifically China.

2022-09-09T01:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568044275103059968

@tomONLINE700 @MiddleOfMayhem He said this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcLPy1yakAArPX2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcLPy1yakAArPX2.jpg

2022-09-09T01:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568043320651444231

@Spectre67904513 @LOW843 I think what the Secretary of the Air Force is saying is a lot more important than what I do or do not find interesting enough to Tweet about.

2022-09-09T00:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568031986794795008

@LOW843 I think current high-ranking government officials are at least as relevant as former ones. Probably more so.

2022-09-08T23:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568022385407950850

Frank Kendall, United States secretary of the Air Force, says UFOs are not (for him) a "real issue," but we should do some technical investigations to figure out what they are.

He's much more concerned about "real threats", and China.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568021576062500864/pu/img/7o8gWdLXIZnQEyXA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568021576062500864/pu/img/7o8gWdLXIZnQEyXA.jpg
2022-09-08T23:24:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568017343342845957
@chedca @wikiphotografy @mrjeffknox So what evidence do you have that this is happening? Why not go test some fuel?

2022-09-08T23:22:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568017043726946305
@RandianJustice @UAPMediaHub It's a plane. Long exposures, motion blur.

2022-09-08T23:07:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1568013136640483332
@WSN_WorldSpace Yeah, it's about 40 miles away, up in the hills. The prevailing wind is away from here.

This is the view from outside my house. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcKzjgJaQAIM1ex.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcKzjgJaQAIM1ex.jpg

2022-09-08T22:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568011294460215296

Fire season again in NorCal. This exploding pyrocumulus cloud from the Mosquito fire is visible from my house in Sacramento.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568011176138899457/pu/img/lH7jQerhiv-tJGg6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1568011176138899457/pu/img/lH7jQerhiv-tJGg6.jpg

2022-09-08T22:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1568008320975536128

@kevinscottnow @MiddleOfMayhem @conterammundi At the time, I thought it was algorithmic. I was posting complex tweets very rapidly, with images. It might have looked like spamming behavior.
I think it's unlikely that Twitter was in cahoots with the MIC to boost a crappy TMZ UFO show.

2022-09-08T19:57:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567965368140066816
@keithkloor Or course not, and that is not what I'm suggesting.

I'm saying that the DoD response indicates they would not have released those videos either - for the reasons they stated.

2022-09-08T18:59:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567950654479679489
@RealArea503 @ChillBones15 @OSIRIS_UAP @LueElizondo Nor do you need Lue, or the Government, or the Military, to take photos and videos of things in the sky.

2022-09-08T18:55:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567949764058320899
@AnonOpinions87 "It's one side of a story. Is it true? I await the other sides with interest, but something is afoot."

2022-09-08T18:48:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567948062697603072
@King_Milkfart @Cernunnos69 I'm sorry I didn't tell people you also said "Hello, Mick?" I did not think it was relevant (or a comment.)

You don't seem to be arguing in good faith, so I'm blocking you now.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcJ3yN5agAA0Tea.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcJ3yN5agAA0Tea.jpg
2022-09-08T18:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567945232238661634
@King_Milkfart @Cernunnos69 Quote what I said. Explain how it's a lie.

2022-09-08T18:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567944086598070275
@tgflynn314 What about it? It's crappy quality and has very little on-screen display.

2022-09-08T18:30:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567943385197199360
@King_Milkfart @Cernunnos69 Are you accusing me of lying?

Quote what I said. Explain how it's a lie.

2022-09-08T18:24:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567941880708734978
@tgflynn314 There really are not a lot of ATFLIR videos out there. The two videos "Gimbal" and "GoFast" are BY FAR the highest quality and most information-dense ATFLIR videos in the public sphere. There's nothing even close.

Try to post a better ATFLIR video than Gimbal.

2022-09-08T18:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567937176922640385
@dutchess_boxer "not supposed to be released" does not necessarily mean "a federal crime."

2022-09-08T17:53:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567934270035066880
An implication here is that Gimbal, GoFast, and FLIR1 were not supposed to be released and that releasing them potentially harmed national security - maybe by demonstrating the limits of the ATFLIR system?

Probably not a huge deal, but "better safe than sorry" is understandable.

2022-09-08T17:51:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567933551575986176
It seems unlikely we will get any good US Military UFO videos

But I don't think this is because they show non-human craft zipping around - but rather that the videos
"may provide adversaries valuable information regarding [DoD] operations, vulnerabilities, and/or capabilities." https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1567897752184713216

2022-09-08T17:45:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567932217808269320
@oldjolio I don't think that the Tic-Tac was a bird. I also don't know if McGowan's story is true. But there's no real connection between the two events.
Elizondo was there, he can say exactly what happened, in detail.

2022-09-08T16:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567917845794951170

@Beckie_ness Maybe a helicopter. Could you post the "Info" page. The "i" button at the bottom of the video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcJc7ZGagAIkS12.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcJc7ZGagAIkS12.jpg

2022-09-08T13:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567869441840664577

RT @RetractionWatch: Perhaps it's time to rethink scholarly communication, including the unrealistic expectations of peer review, says @BOUâ¦

2022-09-08T05:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567754017044074496

@JoeJoe99323618 @dvergano UAP hearing was on May 17 2022.

On April 15, 2021, over a year earlier, I mentioned in a video that they might be drones.
https://youtu.be/-r2oaQWmqkk?t=226

2022-09-08T05:28:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567746730325852161
@JoeJoe99323618 @dvergano The one was the green triangles, which I convincingly demonstrated were not triangular in shape, that some of the videos just showed stars, and that the singular moving light was likely a plane or a drone.

2022-09-08T05:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567745261849706502
@chedca @mrjeffknox Here's a different take on Brennan
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-director-admits-chemtrails-geoengineering-stratospheric-aerosol-injection.7721/

2022-09-08T05:20:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567744743966404614
@sharpless89 @JunkScience Diablo is operational until at least 2030.
Carbon Capture and Storage is also a factor. Obviously, it's a challenge. Maybe it will slip a few years. But it's a worthwhile goal.

2022-09-08T02:33:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567702733830115329
@ThanosAlpha @its_only_Gaz @fin365 @conterammundi It's interesting to me. I understand it if does not interest you

---

2022-09-08T02:31:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567702041547669504
@DmitriThaSheep @ThanosAlpha @its_only_Gaz @fin365 @conterammundi I don't know.

2022-09-08T02:22:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567699751721926657
@BrianJo78940026 We also have believers turned skeptics...

2022-09-08T02:20:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567699482267254784
@Alien_Scientist @T33K3SS3LCH3N @depthsofwiki Because heating up a small pocket of air enough to cause refraction would cause chaotic local turbulence, like hot air over a bonfire. You need a smooth slight stable temperature gradient over miles.

2022-09-08T02:12:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567697467197759493
@ThanosAlpha @its_only_Gaz @fin365 @conterammundi More than one person has told me similar things. That makes it interesting, but unverified.

2022-09-08T02:01:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567694699837935618

@JunkScience Nuclear power, hydro, and various types of batteries? And that's by 2045. We've got some time to figure it out.

2022-09-08T01:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567694033555947520

@Alien_Scientist @T33K3SS3LCH3N @depthsofwiki No, you could not.

2022-09-08T01:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567691255928483842

RT @BSquidding: I guess if McGowan isnâ□□t sued in the coming days, we can assume itâ□□s all true? https://twitter.com/mintyhyperspace/status/1567601402641543170

2022-09-08T01:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567683133407100929

@marcbel19406167 "Tic-Tac" is how it was listed on Reddit, and how it was reported to MUFON. I would describe it more as a "cigar." http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcGHSg6aQAAaGM6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcGHSg6aQAAaGM6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FcGHCWHaIAIMyBP.jpg

2022-09-08T00:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567673313786474496

@fin365 @ThanosAlpha @conterammundi I'll take "It's interesting" :)

2022-09-08T00:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567672349566304256

Ever wondered how Metabunk tracks down UFOs? Here's a quick overview of what happened when another "Tic-Tac" video cropped up. It was obviously a plane, but which plane? And could we prove it was a plane?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-DzzQpAyo_8

2022-09-08T00:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567669592839045120

@fin365 @ThanosAlpha @conterammundi Jeremy says that Lue *pretended* to read his future by using information that Sean fed him.

Is that true? If not, then it seems libelous. Either way, it's interesting. Did it never happen? Does Lue actually think he's psychic? Did he fake it? Is he psychic?

2022-09-07T23:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567662900080422912

@fin365 @ThanosAlpha @conterammundi Are you not suspicious?

Escaping from rabbit holes does not mean there are no conspiracies in the world. I make that very clear in my book.

I don't know what the true is here, but it's worth looking into.

2022-09-07T23:21:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567654395344728067

@chedca Literally the opposite of my stance.

2022-09-07T23:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567653644413333506

@NinoRheaume @conterammundi Jeremey addressed that point. Daughters vs step-daughters.

2022-09-07T23:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567653277231382531

@conterammundi @DingoRabid Iâ□□d be interested to hear your side too, Richard.

Iâ□□ve heard similar things from other people about Elizondo using hot reading techniques. Ask him if itâ□□s true

---

2022-09-07T21:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1567626514950426624

A fascinating tale by Jeremy McGowan on how he moved in the inner circles of UFOlogy, and

gradually began to suspect he was being snowed by Elizondo and Cahill.

It's one side of a story. Is it true? I await the other sides with interest, but something is afoot.
https://twitter.com/OSIRIS_UAP/status/1567567196829929477

2022-09-07T17:38:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567568090963247105
@jonnyindy67
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1567568042858811394/pu/img/WknAmrVLx0us0VyL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1567568042858811394/pu/img/WknAmrVLx0us0VyL.jpg
2022-09-07T03:40:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567357077164822529
@SumOfUs1 @OldVetSymposium Explained here
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1561123857544597504

2022-09-06T19:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567236255657906178
@Oswald1160 @King_Milkfart @RobRobi05420533 I have muted @King_Milkfart, his last comment to me via DM was "Gimbal Singularity."

He did not elaborate as to what he thought the actual issue was.

Gimbal singularity issues have been discussed a lot on Metabunk, eg:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-lock-and-derotation-in-flir-atflir-systems.10792/

2022-09-06T14:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567155425187102721
Does anyone know who wrote the original analysis of the Nimitz FLIR1 video that appeared on the TTSA website?

https://web.archive.org/web/20210601164513/https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/2004-nimitz-flir1-video http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fb-ng6LaAAEmCu9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fb-ng6LaAAEmCu9.jpg
2022-09-06T14:10:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567153219851399168
@JJ46758764 I did this last night. I've only got one laser but you see the stopping effect, and how it seems to converge at the same point when viewed from left and right.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1567153009989414915/pu/img/AIc3b72JPrGloroY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1567153009989414915/pu/img/AIc3b72JPrGloroY.jpg
2022-09-06T12:13:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567123735441985538
@TheOutThere2016 @T33K3SS3LCH3N @depthsofwiki It's a mistaken word usage.

2022-09-06T07:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567052295652913153
@TheOutThere2016 @T33K3SS3LCH3N @depthsofwiki These floating ship illusions are not mirages, not looming, and they are not caused by refraction.

It's the surface of the water itself.

2022-09-06T05:42:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567025488870375424
@TheOutThere2016 @T33K3SS3LCH3N @depthsofwiki "While mirages are the best known atmospheric refraction phenomena, looming and similar refraction phenomena do not produce mirages. Mirages show an extra image or images of the miraged object, while looming, towering, stooping, and sinking do not. "
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Looming_and_similar_refraction_phenomena

---

2022-09-06T04:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1567013911924457472
RT @T33K3SS3LCH3N: @depthsofwiki Mick West got a great video on mirages. How they come to be, which types there are, and how to calculate tâ□¦

2022-09-06T03:14:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566988240430968833
@AtticusFinch_JD @tompeary @uaplive 103 right now (8:13pm). 113 high for tomorrow!

2022-09-05T20:11:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566881694971412480
@dancaron @tompeary @uaplive Bokeh depends on the camera, not the object (assuming the object is essentially a point source)

2022-09-05T01:48:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566604234287525888
@tompeary @uaplive Sadly, it looks like an interesting bokeh.

2022-09-05T01:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566603231517483008
@uaplive What camera?

2022-09-04T19:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566512281067737088
@JulesUrbach @analyticpaul If the camera is not moving, then all motion blur comes from the motion of the object.

2022-09-04T15:44:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566452241048805377

@TedTinfoil Motion blur is just a function of exposure time and motion within the frame. The motion can be the object, the camera, or b both.

You can see motion blur without a camera. The classic example is a sparkler.

2022-09-04T14:34:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566434429525827585
@TedTinfoil We know what lights should look like.

2022-09-04T14:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566433243846479873
@FullStackLogan What year was this?
Perhaps related to the outdoor art festival in the Nevada desert? Like, a drone with some lights.

2022-09-04T07:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566321857950728194
@analyticpaul No.

2022-09-04T03:22:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566265517115027456
@JulesUrbach @analyticpaul All motion blur is in camera space.

2022-09-03T21:51:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566182088776110082
@analyticpaul View the video full size. The lights at the top and the bottom of the video are both moving similar amounts, but only the bottom lights have motion blur.

2022-09-03T21:08:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566171252984672256
@arxivabs @DeepSpace41
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ukrainian-uap-study-observation-of-events.12607/

2022-09-03T21:04:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566170185056133120
@jimqk It happens. Sometimes several people plan out a hoax, including talking to the media. Example:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/hoax-glowing-tic-tac-ufo-in-southern-france-possibly-a-drone.12125/

2022-09-03T21:01:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566169474515869696
@BostonsBuddha A coordinated hoax seems like the simplest explanation.

2022-09-03T20:53:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566167450302812160
@jimqk Do you see the lack of motion blur?

2022-09-03T20:27:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566161076990853121
@Crumbsuka Nimitz is good as a series of interesting events, but the video is poor. Gimbal

probably is the most interesting video.

2022-09-03T20:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566160817979961346

@TxUFOSightings @Linkchadlad Ask them to email you the original videos direct from their phones. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FbwekAFUYAENwYe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FbwekAFUYAENwYe.jpg

2022-09-03T20:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566159984492040192

Fake videos often have clues in the way motion blur is rendered. Here the hoaxer has simply not bothered adding motion blur, and hopes nobody will notice.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Fbwd8BxUIAUFu2c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Fbwd8BxUIAUFu2c.jpg

2022-09-03T20:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566159581625012224

@TxUFOSightings @AndySimonds1 @WalkerATX That great, but you can't just ignore the fact that the videos are very clearly fake. Ask a UFO friendly video expert about the lack of motion

blur here in the lights.

Trails on the real lights no trails on the fake lights that move just as much.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FbwdV5KUUAAN89V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FbwdV5KUUAAN89V.jpg

2022-09-03T20:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566155508716748800

@TxUFOSightings @Linkchadlad The lack of motion blur is even more apparent in this video.

These are fake. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbwZ7EjUsAAkpcx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbwZ7EjUsAAkpcx.jpg
2022-09-03T19:25:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566145364423454720
@RadicalSalsa It seems to move over regions of the sky with no clouds with no change in brightness, and there's no visible beam. So that seems unlikley

---

2022-09-03T19:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566139159466479616
@TxUFOSightings @TemplarScribe @LogicNow Are you in contact with the people?
Why did nobody post it from their personal accounts?

2022-09-03T17:50:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566121500427448320
@TxUFOSightings @WalkerATX I suspect it's fake. Motion blur does not match the rest of the video. https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1566118852412329984

2022-09-03T17:46:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1566120459187277825
Here the UFO just moved MORE (in frame) than the small lights, yet there's zero motion blur.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbv5yJ6UsAEERjT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbv5yJ6UsAEERjT.jpg

2022-09-03T17:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566118852412329984

This view appears to be fake. Compare the amount of motion blur in the UFO to the amount of motion blur in the small lights at the end. Especially the first frame of the UFO motion.

https://twitter.com/TxUFOSightings/status/1566089500303958016

2022-09-03T17:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566112158256996352

@5X5_NEWS @Oswald1160 @flarkey @3v3nt_H0r1z0n @FullStackLogan @Calirevoltt Not that it matters here, but the times in my screenshot are both in my timezone. Twitter does not display times based on who posted, but on who is viewing. So those relative times are correct.

This does matter elsewhere, when people think a Tweet predates an event.

2022-09-03T14:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1566065044365840385

UFO sighting statistics point towards the disappointing conclusion that when more people look

up at the sky more often, they will see more things that are in the Low Information Zone, and hence see more UFOs.

Basically, @costa_writer's work hints at the mundane hypothesis.
https://twitter.com/costa_writer/status/1566059809618759680

2022-09-03T07:52:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565970961760866304
@ExtranicelyC @Neil__Goodman @528vibes People see UFOs with their naked eyes. Eventually, they should be able to record what they are seeing.

If this video was real, it would be invisible to the naked eye.

2022-06-15T19:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537151029304643584
@KilliK69 @LAdlerhorst You can get a false memory from one interview. They had lots.

2022-06-15T19:01:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537148380614254593
@matt_deplorable @FullStackLogan @timburchett @JeremyCorbell @LueElizondo Looks remarkably like an alphanumeric mylar balloon when it finally focuses.

2022-06-15T19:00:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537147968649719808
@ZYK5201314 @LisaBow09179485 Hard to say without more context. It appears to wave like a kite tail.

2022-06-15T18:58:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537147466318958592
@MickSvest I didn't disregard it. That's the point - we get these visual descriptions, but never get video to match - even when 100,000 people could have seen it.

2022-06-15T18:11:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537135743688056832
@Pewpew841 Lately? You were asking about my usage of "bullshit" over a year ago.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1393064511188340736

2022-06-15T16:57:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537116986441773056
â□□Do your own researchâ□□ is generally code for â□□I donâ□□t actually know, thatâ□□s just my interpretation from watching YouTubeâ□□

I.e.: BS https://twitter.com/Maz_Jamm/status/1537115444359798784

2022-06-15T16:54:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537116357526835200
@DeepSpace41 @Maz_Jamm Mellon said, on Rogan, that â□□Gimbalâ□□ was the most compelling video.

2022-06-15T16:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537110503549329408

@Shawn00Guerra It was this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVTp2j2VUAEpi7f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVTp2j2VUAEpi7f.jpg

2022-06-15T16:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537109690294804480

@Neil__Goodman @moozoo_wizard that's it.

2022-06-15T16:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537109261360148480

@darkbbx9 @QTRResearch No, but claiming I'm in a basement actually is a common mistake :)

That's Greenstreet.

2022-06-15T16:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537109024268689409

You can't just average wrong answers.

2+2 is not 4.5 https://twitter.com/Edgeist143/status/1537108325292199938

2022-06-15T16:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537108607342215168

@EMP_Wrecker @OwatcherUf Many phones can resolve detail better than their owner's eyes

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1446491399428329472

2022-06-15T15:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537098010500313088

@theferaltweets Not if it was just a balloon

2022-06-15T15:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537097744749170689

RT @MickWest: @BKurvie LIZ Hypothesis: UFOs are likely mundane because they invariably exist ONLY in the Low Information Zone (LIZ) - a regâ¦

2022-06-15T15:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537097705712803841
@BKurvie LIZ Hypothesis: UFOs are likely mundane because they invariably exist ONLY in the Low Information Zone (LIZ) - a region where it is visible to the naked eye or current camera, etc, but there is insufficient information to either identify it or rule out mundane explanations.

2022-06-15T15:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537096251954458624
@QTRResearch She eliminates a variety of things because she "knows" what those things look like. Which is a common mistake.

2022-06-15T15:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537095890892009472
@pa23081335 @ChrisSPITZER7 @kofflestop @EireScientist You can post your critiques anywhere you like.

2022-06-15T15:30:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537095328188743681
On 6-11-22, 1:15PM, a UFO supposedly hovered and zipped around over Philadelphia for many minutes, visible to 100,000+ people with cameras. But only one person reported it. And the one photo is firmly Too Far Away

This is evidence. Of the LIZ hypothesis

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BFeS2Y46HEo
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVTbEpFUsAA9yJR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVTbEpFUsAA9yJR.jpg
2022-06-15T14:43:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1537083329035374593
@ChrisSPITZER7 @kofflestop @EireScientist My analysis is all out there in public. It's not even that complicated. All Kuku has is speculation in the media that seems to be largely derived from

History channel shows.

Call for "peer review" here are just a waste of time. What peer review is needed of the trig in GoFast?

2022-06-15T14:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1537082153657245697

@ELuizondo Drawing is just part of it. And drawing for life, memory, and imagination are three different things.

They stage UFO crashes because it's fun and engaging. Draw a UFO vs. draw a tree.

2022-06-14T19:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536799670839570432

@DeepSpace41 Yah itâ□□s a pretty pointless circular exercise.

2022-06-14T19:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536799007279751168

@SternShark @DanteTheDon Looks kind of like reflection.

2022-06-14T18:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536779576688357376

@JohnSam10418239 I've looked at it. The problem is that it's incomplete data. And likely cherry-picked.

2022-06-14T18:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536776395422322688

People complain for years that I never try to address the Ariel school UFO incident (which I didn't, as there's no real data, just kids drawings and memories.)

Then I tweet about it over a couple of days, and suddenly they think I'm "obsessed" with it.
https://twitter.com/AliensOnEarth_/status/1536773698555981826

2022-06-14T18:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536775761822044160

@Atheist_Tomdog @zorbonk @ram0l Or, in some cases, ghosts, or other supernatural things.

2022-06-14T17:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536767609810522113

@beta_sid a few weeks. And you only get a limited amount.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOx8DjVIAA8oAA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOx8DjVIAA8oAA.jpg

2022-06-14T17:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536764595259334656

@JeffCla81664839 I don't know.

2022-06-14T16:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536752456528326656

@ram0l I think they are honestly describing their memories. I don't think anything was staged.

2022-06-14T16:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536750802638807042

@Ben1jammin @ori_volfo Which photo it that? Can you link to it?

2022-06-14T16:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536750045282062343

@ram0l There are no adults telling them Santa is real. Nor were kids quizzed by the media about their experiences with Santa.

There are (and were) many adults that strongly believe the Ariel story was real.

2022-06-14T16:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536746042879713280

@Ben1jammin @ori_volfo Yes, some children described wetsuit-like clothing. And the big eyes described are suggestive of large goggles.

However, it's impossible to know what actually prompted the descriptions.

2022-06-14T16:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536745580201791488

There are several UFO cases that reply on the testimony of children. Children are seen as honest witnesses. But studies show they often make mistakes, and their testimony can be shaped by questions that assume a more full developed mind.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4640896/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOd9QDUYAI2O-p.jpg

The second study, a survey of Canadian judges about their perceptions of child witnesses, reveals that judges believe that compared to adults, children are generally more likely when testifying to make errors due to limitations of their memory or communication skills and due to the effects of suggestive questions. However, children are perceived to generally be more honest than adult witnesses. The survey also revealed that judges believe that children are often asked developmentally inappropriate questions in court, especially by defence counsel.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOci1OUAAEsfWk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOd9QDUYAI2O-p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOdvGTVIAAYpSq.jpg

A major concern with child witnesses is their potential suggestibility. As a result of repeated or misleading questions, the memory of a witness may become distorted. It is possible for a person who has been subjected to repeated, suggestive questioning to develop "memories" of events that did not in fact occur. While children, especially young children, are more suggestible than adults, there is great variation between individuals of the same age in suggestibility and in resistance to suggestion. There is a large body of experimental research about the suggestibility of children,[15] as well as some research about the suggestibility of adults.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOdYgxUcAI_qzb.jpg

2022-06-14T16:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536742711104983042

@ori_volfo No, absolutely not. Not at all. I never have, and I never will. I don't think it was a conspiracy.

2022-06-14T16:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536742425162526722

@Komutan27916321 Are telepathic aliens not an "unnecessary complication"?

2022-06-14T16:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536741863675158528

@Komutan27916321 @Jim87504388 Where are the 60 individual testimonies?

2022-06-14T15:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536736928732549120

RT @CultExpert: A lot of people are beginning to wake up. I strongly recommend asking questions respectfully and gently. Hold back the urgeâ□¦

2022-06-14T15:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536736203596107777

@JohnSam10418239 @Robin081564 Once the memory is set, and there continue to be people who believe them, then there's little reason for them to change their minds.

2022-06-14T15:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536735792470536198

@Spark71029439 They are not acting. They are giving honest accounts of their memories.

2022-06-14T15:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536735091816210433

@Robin081564 @JohnSam10418239 I mean has it happened in a case where we actually know if the events were real or not.

2022-06-14T15:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536734801318645761

@Spark71029439 Who is?

2022-06-14T15:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536734734805389312

@TheoryQed @KirbysCrackle Because to do it properly you would have to do it without the teachers knowing about it.

You try sneaking up on a school in a wetsuit. See how that goes.

2022-06-14T15:34:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536733864105717760

@JohnSam10418239 @Robin081564 What if they spend days in groups re-telling the story to TV reporters and alien-believing investigators?

Has that ever happened before?

2022-06-14T15:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536733409543737344

There are LOTs of fake alien crash-landings in the UK, used as prompts for creative writing (and drawing) exercises. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOSe67UcAAtcNx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOSe67UcAAtcNx.jpg

2022-06-14T15:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536732627272491008

Another fake aliens school encounter:

https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-leicestershire-13472655.amp

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOSFLhUsAAc6kJ.jpg



> Some of the four-year-old children described the aliens as "skinny, brown, big and strong"

Pupils have been investigating an "alien" spacecraft which crash landed on their school field in Leicester.

About 325 children at Scraptoft Valley Primary School were made to believe by teachers that aliens had landed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOSFLhUsAAc6kJ.jpg

2022-06-14T15:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536732036160839681

@EndUAPSecrecy Do you think if I didn't exist then people would take it seriously?

2022-06-14T15:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536731857844305920

Here's one more impressive example:

https://www.independent.co.uk/news/shock-and-awww-ufo-crashes-into-london-primary-school-playground-8858451.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOReNpVUAELVrD.jpg

---

Shock and awww... 'UFO crashes' into London primary school playground

Officer guards crash site as forensics take samples

Felicity Morse • Friday 04 October 2013 11:59 • Comments



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVOReNpVUAELVrD.jpg

Pupils at a London primary school were shocked after a UFO appeared to have crashed into their playground.

An officer guarded the 'crash site; at North Harringay Primary School while an apparent forensics officer took samples behind a police cordon.

Only half the craft was visible after apparently smashing into the tarmac and children were allowed closer to inspect the UFO after samples had been collected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVORbd1VsAA5omv.jpg
2022-06-14T15:25:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536731564385611776
After I suggested that teachers might have kids roleplay seeing aliens to see how their memories of events evolve, I was quite surprised to learn this was a relative common exercise in the UK.

(I'm not saying the Ariel incident was staged by teachers)
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1536353086695825410

2022-06-14T15:21:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536730659577688065
@KirbysCrackle @Dwightc621 Of course all those things are possible.

2022-06-14T15:17:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536729635840331776
@BostonsBuddha @Robin081564 @MurMouche Having clear memories does not mean those memories are accurate.

Studies have shown that false memories feel just as clear as real memories.

2022-06-14T15:16:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536729207350235136
@fin365 https://www.midjourney.com/

Took them a while to send me the invite, but might be faster now. They are going to have a paid service.

2022-06-14T14:35:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536718947868811265
@Dwightc621 @KirbysCrackle It would not be recreating the event, as we will never know what that actually was.

It would be creating SOMETHING that might have a similar effect, at least in the short term.

2022-06-14T14:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536717817927438338
@SincerelyCosmic @Artie_jandashow There's no shame in believing something you thought you saw as a child - it's a perfectly natural and understandable thing that has probably happened to all of us to some degree.

2022-06-14T14:24:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536716197248479233
@BrianSzy_PF What happens then is people's cameras stop working.

2022-06-14T14:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536716004302000128

@TheoryQed I'm not suggesting recreating the initiating incident, as we have no way of knowing what that was. I'm suggesting seeing how easy it is for children to (at least briefly) form false memories.

2022-06-14T14:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536715600981962752

@bazoocchini It took like 30 seconds.

2022-06-14T14:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536715435202097158

@JohnSam10418239 @giddierone The same consistency that you'd expect from storytelling in groups.

2022-06-14T14:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536715192297345024

@Robin081564 YMMV

2022-06-14T14:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536715126883028993

@Robin081564 @MurMouche Traumatic memories are not the only memories that last from childhood.

2022-06-14T14:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536714563944448000

@Robin081564 I disagree. One can learn a lot simply from non-traumatic false memories.

You would probably not get any long-term effect though, as the continuation of the memories would rely on adults continually affirming their validity.

Kids remember seeing Santa, but not as adults.

2022-06-14T14:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536714088381706240

@CaulfieldTim @AmyEGardner @iarnsdorf @VoiceOfFranky Most "evidence-informed counter measures" exist in a world where there isn't a substantial power structure fighting against them. Believing in Trump's lies is currently necessary for those primary winners to win. If it was not necessary, fewer would believe.

2022-06-14T13:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536699319725592577

@SumOfUs1 It was free.

2022-06-14T13:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536699185998598144

@Robin081564 No, I'm not.

NOTHING like that.

I'm suggesting an experiment to see how susceptible children are to forming false memories.

2022-06-14T13:15:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536698796658176000

@Anon2World How is that a conspiracy? It's an experiment.

I don't think the teachers were responsible at Ariel.

2022-06-14T06:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536591352938450944

@SwinkInc or by the object being larger than a bird.

2022-06-14T06:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536591150596751361

@SwinkInc By being closer.

2022-06-14T06:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536590847210184704

@SwinkInc How can you tell it moves through the smoke?

2022-06-14T05:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536586598950744066

@RamonDe17166977 yes

2022-06-14T05:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536586396940374016

Midjourney AI's interpretation of "An alien spaceship made of seagulls"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMM8zfUUAAtskZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMM8zfUUAAtskZ.jpg
2022-06-14T05:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536584535839625216
@PhillipJeffre16 Midjourney

2022-06-14T05:38:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536583947890532352
@moozoo_wizard "A balloon that looks like a UFO"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMK9CkUYAEHSGR.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMK9CkUYAEHSGR.png
2022-06-14T05:36:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536583436592242688
@moozoo_wizard "a bird that looks like a UFO"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMKcpfUAAA85mx.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMKcpfUAAA85mx.png
2022-06-14T05:33:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536582454642823168
@pr_juanca Midjourney

I asked it to draw Mick West, and got these potential new profile pics. .....
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMJlg_UcAE2AbN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMJlg_UcAE2AbN.jpg

2022-06-14T05:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536581691191439360

@smlsunburst I'm pretty sure I'm not being overwhelmed by Tucker Carlson :)

2022-06-14T05:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536580955657236481

This thing is nuts. It tooks about 20 seconds to draw this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMIINbUYAANLJM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMIINbUYAANLJM.jpg

2022-06-14T05:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536580472288882688

I asked an AI to draw "a child's drawing of a flying saucers in the woods with two aliens and some children" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMHngRUcAEubyK.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMHngRUcAEubyK.png

2022-06-14T05:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536578986767110144

@Palladiotypist I think he's perhaps a bit wishful there - the majority of scientists seem to disagree. Unfortunately, it's not really something that easy to investigate/test.

2022-06-14T05:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536578689449635841

@NotYourAvgGal @JohnSam10418239 @UAPll Are you talking about me?

2022-06-14T05:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536578354479894528

@GaryUAPHuckerby @BraumLincoln @JamesPr65703236 @Julesofthwood I did three. Fun times.

2022-06-14T05:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536577748126162945

Can you see the upside-down triangle that isn't actually there?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMFNrdUcAYUSuK.jpg



Fig. 3. Illusory triangle—with apices at the dots.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMFNrdUcAYUSuK.jpg
2022-06-14T05:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536577553061670913
Any three points make a triangle. The brain is primed to make illusory contours, like with Kanizsa's triangle.

So you'd expect triangles to be a relatively common sighting.
https://twitter.com/SomewhereSkies/status/1536471757577670658

2022-06-14T05:07:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536576066562584576
@fin365 @MusicAndTruth @PostDisclosure If it's actually common, I wonder if there are lesson plans for it.

Obviously not what happened at Ruwa, but interesting if there were similar false memories that were generated from it.

2022-06-14T05:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536574959367049216
@BraumLincoln @JamesPr65703236 @GaryUAPHuckerby @Julesofthwood Joe and I go way

back. Started off with chemtrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMCxBjUEAEKBLI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVMCxBjUEAEKBLI.jpg
2022-06-14T04:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536573898128429057
Apparently in the UK faking UFO landings for school kids is a common creative imagination exercise.

When I was young we just got to stand still and pretend we were trees.
https://twitter.com/giddierone/status/1536473859498680324

2022-06-14T00:49:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536511146881998849
@headrushv4 @BrianDunning Itâ□□s just radiosurgery. Been around for decades. Nothing like A â□□med bedâ□□ except for the prone position.

2022-06-13T20:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536450212662693889
@LarryRosenthal Probably this type (Chinese lantern), not the incendiary.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKRLTcVUAAnGYe.jpg

National Park Service discourages the use of fire balloons

Bill Gabbert June 19, 2014 Uncategorized sky lantern



Sky lantern release in Chiang Mai, Thailand. Photo by Takeaway.

Chinese lanterns, sky lanterns, or fire balloons are banned in at least 12 states and 6 countries. These devices use

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKRLTcVUAAnGYe.jpg
2022-06-13T20:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536449601309356032
@giddierone @UAPorSAP Could you post the drawing(s)?

2022-06-13T20:44:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536449375873925121
@davpea2 @skyumpix Birds and balloons are the first things that the DNI list as possible explanations of some of the cases.

https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Prelimary-Assessment-UAP-20210625.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKQhhuUcAAOWNJ.jpg

---

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

UNCLASSIFIED

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKQhhuUcAAOWNJ.jpg

UNCLASSIFIED

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

Preliminary Assessment: Unidentified Aerial Phenomena

25 June 2021

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKQNZtUYAA2Gdv.jpg
2022-06-13T20:41:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536448735168737281
@Komutan27916321 So the UFO flies over the powerlines and lands, and later takes off, but most of the kids don't see it?
You'd think there would be mass commotion.

2022-06-13T20:35:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536447257905532928
@skyumpix @davpea2 I very much doubt all 140 can be explained. As they say in the report; there's only a limited amount of low-quality data. So things will simply remain out in the LIZ.

2022-06-13T20:33:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536446671432781824
@TruthBeTOLDMFer "Teachers" because this is the type of thing that teachers could do, and apparently HAVE done. You don't even need to set out to fool people or implant false traumatic memories - just see how stories evolve and converge.

https://twitter.com/giddierone/status/1536372316266962945

2022-06-13T20:20:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536443320074874880
I never knew that H.P. Lovecraft was a debunker. Or that even back in 1909 (113 years ago), fire balloons were a cause of UFO sightings.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wallace_Tillinghast
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKKZ7IVEAAiR6F.jpg

Between December 12, 1909, and February 1910, New England newspapers were filled with "sightings" of the aircraft purportedly designed by Tillinghast and two unnamed mechanics.[2] A sighting over Worcester, Massachusetts on the night of December 22 was reported nationwide.[3] The sighting followed claims by inventor Wallace Tillinghast that he had invented an airplane that could fly 120 miles per hour.

The author H. P. Lovecraft witnessed a mass sighting of Tillinghast in Providence, Rhode Island on December 24, 1909. While the crowds of people on the street believed they were viewing Tillinghast's airship, Lovecraft identified the object as the planet Venus.[4]

Tillinghast never offered his craft for public viewing or inspection, and the media grew more skeptical, explaining the sightings were most likely Venus or fire balloons.[1]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKKZ7IVEAAiR6F.jpg
2022-06-13T20:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536442549044248577
@Mike_Gullion @PredatoryPanic @EvaporatingH2O Interesting. New to me.

2022-06-13T20:10:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536440966826971136
@Alien_Scientist @smiths617 @EricRWeinstein The theory seems to be that there's some kind of secret technology program related to flying saucers, possibly reverse-engineered from alien tech, and that we are on the verge of near-magical advances, especially with metamaterials and quantum stuff. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKIFbIUcAEk0uI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVKIFbIUcAEk0uI.jpg
2022-06-13T17:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536396480394805248
@eeeirf @ArielPhenomenon @GrantCameron @disclosureteam_ @ufouapam No, I mean all the video from the original tapes he has.

2022-06-13T16:30:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536385554631168001
@DeepSpace41 @BrushWandering @sketch_god @TODAYshow @GadiNBC
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-06-13T16:27:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536384723710136320
@skyumpix @BroadRunner1 @SendDoomGuytoR You asked you for your best one, you gave Billy Meir, then dropped it and started on paintings of Jesus and reflections of airport ceiling lights. Clearly, you are not being serious. Sorry, I don't have time for this.

2022-06-13T16:20:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536382889020882944
@skyumpix @BroadRunner1 @SendDoomGuytoR
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVJUF6IUEAAHJhh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVJUF6IUEAAHJhh.jpg
2022-06-13T16:19:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536382694786863104
@skyumpix @BroadRunner1 @SendDoomGuytoR Is the photo showing the tree with some scale?

Bonsai did not occur to me, as there are lots of things that look like that that are not trees. But what's being in Switzerland got to do with it?
https://www.zulauf.ch/de/bonsaicenter

2022-06-13T16:12:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536380987998752769
@skyumpix @BroadRunner1 @SendDoomGuytoR Why not? How big is that bit of vegetation?

2022-06-13T15:48:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536374889732419585
@BryceDodds1 @ArielPhenomenon @GrantCameron @disclosureteam_ Yes. there were only a few minutes from the hours of video that he has.

2018-08-15T14:01:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1029729813798383617
@Valkyrie_77 @BayleeB79 @Masschemtrails The pre-JFK usage of "conspiracy" is just history. There's a good overview in this 1964 article:
https://harpers.org/archive/1964/11/the-paranoid-style-in-american-politics/

2018-08-15T05:56:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1029607653385728000
@Valkyrie_77 @BayleeB79 @Masschemtrails Not true, there term was in use before the JFK assassination and the 1967 CIA memo did not encourage its usage. See chapter 1of my book.

2018-08-14T20:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029463150951784449

@Masschemtrails While that's true, I think I'd prefer the opening sentence of my book. "Conspiracies are very real, of course" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkljQQlU4AAPHqD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkljQQlU4AAPHqD.jpg

2018-08-14T20:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029462019756318720

@BayleeB79 @BenSwann_ @Masschemtrails @Chuck46435913 @SteerMark @climateviewer @rezn8d @Kal1_Furies @PamJonesLiberty @Uniocracy @OpChemtrails Nobody is paying me though. So what does that make it?

2018-08-14T19:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029455272094314496

@2ndfor1st @BenSwann_ Yes I did. He refers to the 2013 Thornberry amendment which, as my link explains, does NOT make it legal to propagandize in the US. It's still illegal.

2018-08-14T18:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029437899844988928

@BenSwann_ False. Domestic propaganda is still illegal. The law change relaxed controls on US propaganda aimed at foreign countries, allowing them to use social media. The law EXPLICITLY FORBIDS using funds to influence public opinion in the US. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-2013-ndaa-thornberry-amendment-domestic-propaganda-disinformation.t592/

2018-08-14T17:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029414804883009537

@ERICSORENSEN These trails were aerodynamic trails, not exhaust trails. They formed at 25,000 feet. Two planes out of SFO. Aerodynamic contrails look flatter near the plane, ribbon-like. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk2y7oUYAE5Vsp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk2y7oUYAE5Vsp.jpg
2018-08-14T17:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1029413707581812736
@ERICSORENSEN And don't neglect aerodynamic contrails. Some days a significant portion of contrails are aerodynamic, forming off the wings, and very little to do with the exhaust at all. They look very similar from the ground. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk2CXUV4AAwHx3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk19k9U0AAsgnt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk13yQU0AAD8Ce.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk2CXUV4AAwHx3.jpg

2018-08-14T17:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029412687392829440

@ERICSORENSEN Jet engine exhaust gasses are almost entirely CO2 and water. Here's a couple of illustrations. Note H2O measured in tonnes, Soot is 0.02kg. The added water raising the humidity over 100% RHw is the most significant factor.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk07m-UwAATg8V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk07m-UwAATg8V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkk04SiU4AEr1Gj.jpg

2018-08-14T16:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029409900370128896

Why do we call recordings "tapes?" It's not complicated folks. Most recordings used to be on tapes, and now "tapes" is a generic word for recording. Usage is meaning.

2018-08-14T16:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029407351944564737

@ERICSORENSEN Minor quibble, your bit makes it look like contrails are formed from equal parts water and exhaust particles. This make it seem like they are far dirtier than they actually are, being >99.99% water. Contrails would form without exhaust particles, as there's CCN in the air.

2018-08-14T02:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029187420762193920

@WTFUProductions I donâ□□t HAVE to do it. I see FE as an opportunity for some fun science experiments now and then.

2018-08-13T21:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029110773312675840

RT @Humanists_UK: In case you missed it: England says bye bye to homeopathy. It's no longer funded anywhere on the NHS in England, Wales, oâ□¦

2018-08-13T18:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029075448276209666

Someone asked me about refraction in air. How can we trust what Globe Earth scientists tell us?

So I concocted some simple experiments you can do in your garage with a laser pointer, a mirror, a flame, some ice, and a sheet of plywood. Fun!
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uh3BmK7NzDc

2018-08-12T21:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028752328227086336

@JasonBermas @DallasDave13 @WeAreChangeNYC @Lukewearechange @trebillion "After investigation, a grand jury in Douglas County, where Omaha, Nebraska is situated, determined the abuse allegations were baseless, describing them as a "carefully crafted hoax" and indicting two of the original accusers on perjury charges."
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franklin_child_prostitution_ring_allegations

---

2018-08-12T21:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028751666965671936

@JasonBermas @DallasDave13 @WeAreChangeNYC @Lukewearechange @trebillion Well if he's not got it written down somewhere then it can't be particularly compelling.

2018-08-12T21:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028748911559696384

@JasonBermas @DallasDave13 @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth @trebillion So the evidence for the existence of this child porn is some guy saying that he found it after hacking into a secret area in the Comet Ping-Pong server?
You're sure it's not just some guy stirring?

2018-08-12T20:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028746949074120704

@JasonBermas @DallasDave13 @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth @trebillion I'm afraid I'm not familiar with @trebillion, or his claimed evidence.

Not suing someone for their conspiracy theory beliefs does not mean the conspiracy theory is true. Pizzagate is generally considered ridiculous, and those powerful people and cops have better things to do.

2018-08-12T18:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028709560683454464

@DoNotTrollOnME @billmaher @prayingmedic "Tip Top" and "Tippy Top" are just phrases that Trump occasionally uses. He was bound to say it again. It took over two months. Random. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-tip-top-as-a-qanon-clue-from-trump-hes-said-it-before.t9921/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dka1k6uVsAABX-k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dka1k6uVsAABX-k.jpg
2018-08-12T18:14:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028706293148569600
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth @trebillion That does not look like it hit a hard drive. The top of the case is power supply and DVD. Where are you getting "hard drive" from?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkaygU3UcAAi3Ln.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkaygU3UcAAi3Ln.jpg
2018-08-12T18:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028704601069957120
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth @trebillion The thing is, you sent that email to the NYT, with a passage

highlighted, as if THAT ITSELF were something that needed addressing. Maybe you should also have told them you believe in a global elite pedophile culture that he and this friends and family are part of. But you didn't. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkaw3dLUwAA1llk.jpg

---



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dkaw3dLUwAA1llk.jpg
2018-08-12T18:01:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028703024741416961
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth @trebillion What's this magic bullet you are referring to? New to me.

2018-08-12T18:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028702759627833345
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth We are discussing this email, and the fact that you think it warrants an investigation. There were 20 people in that email chain.
And why are you not asking for an investigation of the submachine gun terrorist attack that was mentioned?
https://wikileaks.org/podesta-emails/emailid/49435

2018-08-12T17:51:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028700556485844992
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth And the other 17 people. Can you get me descriptions of them?
Because that's a GROUP EMAIL It's not something he wrote. It's not something directed at him. It's an email sent out to some family and friends about a party.

2018-08-12T17:46:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1028699215092826112
@JasonBermas @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey

@ImperatorTruth Why don't you write a brief description I can use.

2018-08-12T17:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028696222381428736

@JasonBermas @johnpodesta @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth Who is "interested?" If anything I think the email is simply warning them that it's going to be a bit loud with all the kids in the pool. It's an 18 person group email giving details of a party. It's amazing and sad that so many people read what they want into these banal emails

---

2018-08-12T15:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028668904665542657

@SimonGr41594862 They (Flat Earth folk) pretty much have to ignore the sun, the moon, the planets, and the stars.

2018-08-12T15:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028668539333357568

@JasonBermas @nytimes @RealAlexJones @johnpodesta @PrisonPlanet @ActivistPost @eddiebravo @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth It's ridiculous to take this email as evidence of anything other than the fact that the writer is letting people know her kids would be playing in the pool. Have you never been to a family oriented party where there was a pool? Kids play in pools. They have fun. Not weird.

2018-08-12T15:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028664103022129153

@JasonBermas @nytimes @RealAlexJones @johnpodesta @PrisonPlanet @ActivistPost @eddiebravo @DewsNewz @ihatematt @ShepardAmbellas @FluorescentGrey @ImperatorTruth What do you mean by "addressed?" What if they came back and said "It doesn't look like anything to me"? Would you try to argue with them.
Because it doesn't look like anything to most people. Some woman saying her kids are coming to the pool party.

2018-08-11T23:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028419915529695232

@thedrunkinmonk He likes tippy-top https://youtu.be/CFz51NcVBhQ?t=1h1m26s

---

2018-08-11T22:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028406511750414336

Trump used "tip top" several times in the past to describe nukes. Trying to legitimize QAnon, 4Chan gambled that he'd do it again when talking about nukes at SOTU. He didn't, but randomly said "tip top" 3 months later. So they grasped that as "proof"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-tip-top-as-a-qanon-clue-from-trump-hes-said-it-before.t9921/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkWhQcRV4AAYmyY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkWhQcRV4AAYmyY.jpg

2018-08-10T19:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1028004288444882944

@rothschildmd That chart is from 2016. Imagine how complicated it must be now!

2018-08-10T18:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027990050015858689

@RichardDawkins It's got a pretty specific meaning. "a set of 19th- and 20th-century philosophical traditions from mainland Europe."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continental_philosophy

2018-08-09T19:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027634871345147905

@JasonBermas And it's Peter, not James.

2018-08-09T19:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027633250712182785

@JasonBermas He's just pulled a number out of thin air, there is no context. Crematoriums operate at around 1700Â°F, so maybe he was thinking of that. A passing mention of a temperature is not evidence of that temperature.

2018-08-09T15:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027570679573504000

@JasonBermas And, just for context, no judging, what do you think hit the Pentagon?

2018-08-09T14:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027570114193842176

@JasonBermas So I'm not really sure there's a point going over claims that were made and then explained over ten years ago. I guess if they still get traction there might be some use in explaining them to people, but I doubt Joe would be interested in such old stuff.

2018-08-09T14:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027569609379987458

@JasonBermas And of course there was molten metal, why would there not be? You get molten

metal in a house fire. I've melted metal (into liquid) in my fireplace without forced air.

2018-08-09T14:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027567202407309312

@JasonBermas "Reported"? Methinks you might be reading a bit much into that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkKm2QpUYAA6DGu.jpg



Peter Bakersky, who is heading up all Federal Emergency Management Administration operations in New York, confirmed the lack of whole, or even partial, bodies in the World Trade Center debris. Bakersky, who has responded to nearly every major disaster in the country since 1988, has the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah federal building in Oklahoma City as a reference point.

"What they're looking at, those items that are being recovered now, are pieces," Bakersky said. "Look, this is a 110-story building. We're still at the top levels. You probably are only going to find body parts, or nothing. Because of fire, of blast. When you're talking about temperatures of 2,700 degrees, what do you have? You have a cremation effect.

"As you get farther down, to the lower floors, you probably will find intact bodies. In Oklahoma City, the farther down we went, you found more intact bodies," he said. "Of course, when you say 'intact' it still doesn't mean fully intact. You still have crushed bodies" that may be dismembered."

It will be a long time before excavators can reach that level. Both Bakersky and Shaler said digging and sifting through the mountains of debris will last until year's end. DNA analysis probably will continue into 2002, they said.

SEPTEMBER 16, 2001

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkKm2QpUYAA6DGu.jpg

2018-08-09T05:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027419273113653248

@JasonBermas Which physical anomalies? Pick the most compelling one, I'd like to know what type of discussion I'd be in for.

2018-08-08T23:58:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027343349714276354

@JasonBermas Hmpf, I was going to refresh my memory (and Metabunk page) on Shade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkHbAnYU4AAIGrx.jpg

GoDaddy New .COMs just $11.99 * Reg. $14.99 Search for a new domain

Welcome to
shadethemotionpicture.com

Learn how you can get this domain » | See more domains like this »

This Web page is parked **FREE**, courtesy of GoDaddy.



Award-winning, 24/7 support
We're here to help, day or night.
Call (480) 505-8877.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkHbAnYU4AAIGrx.jpg

2018-08-08T23:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027342396999139329

@JasonBermas @joerogan @Graham__Hancock @michaelshermer Fine by me, but I'm not sure if @joerogan is really into conspiracy theories any more. So we might just have to do a Google Hangout or something. Interesting research for my next book.

2018-08-08T22:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027319690664665088

@JasonBermas Ask him about DEWS and wildfires. :)

2018-08-08T22:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027314826463272962

@KevinR70 @pilot_airbus @Delta @luchtvaartfotos @apilotsview @APilotsEye @AviateAddict @Annie55917614 @iLove_Aviation @VadeAviones Center contrails are sometimes from galley drains.

2018-08-08T17:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027239258766028800

@Marcohikes Thank you!

2018-08-08T17:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027239134589403136

@Lukewearechange @voxdotcom Have you stopped doing the chemtrail thing?

2018-08-08T16:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1027237452283404288

The sun kind of looks like it gets bigger and closer through the day. But if you look at it through a solar viewer you will see it does not actually change size. In fact, it's a lot smaller than you think! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkF6lfzUwAA0qa8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DkF6lfzUwAA0qa8.jpg
2018-08-08T15:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1027218506314465280
Mischaracterized as a call to ban more accounts, this video is actually a very thoughtful discussion of YouTube's problem of balancing open access with the desire of its advertisers to avoid their ads cropping up on hate speech videos.
https://twitter.com/voxdotcom/status/1026907076046544896

2018-08-07T19:29:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026913151709671424
@BenSwann_ Have you been on Facebook recently? It's mostly dissenting voices. Anti-Dem, Anti-Hillary, Anti-Tax, Anti-Trump, Anti-Fascism, Anti-anti-fascism, Anti-science. Dissent does not get you stifled.

2018-08-07T19:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026910342255968258
@webbery That's not what he said. He did not mention the small scale tests that have been proposed, as obviously the pound of two of whatever is released will do nothing.
Plus, he's a bit of a fringe scientist. Most scientists don't hold his options about aluminum toxicity.

2018-08-06T18:46:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026539910734405632
@braggy It went down and out a bit. It went into the basement, and made big piles 100 feet high. Can you phrase the question better, perhaps with a diagram?

2018-08-06T00:38:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026266153331478528
Ever wondered if the average weather (the climate) has really changed in your region? Check out NOAA's handy "climate at a glance" tool. California sure seems to have got hotter.
https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cag/regional/time-series/202/tavg/12/1/1895-2018?base_prd=true&f

irstbaseyear=1901&lastbaseyear=2000&trend=true&trend_base=10&firsttrendyear=1895&lasttrendyear=2018 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dj4HX_5VAAMDiSx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dj4HU-5U4AArUt5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dj4HX_5VAAMDiSx.jpg
2018-08-06T00:19:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026261325461127168
@RICHARDRENO2 @intellihubnews @ShepardAmbellas I do. I live in Northern California. The sky outside my house is thick with smoke right now. There was a fire six miles from my house. My fire insurance is very expensive. Wildfires are a reality I live with. It's the weather, maybe climate change. It's not "geoengineering".

2018-08-05T22:56:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026240448434659328
@UnivseralKeys @POTUS @FLOTUS @JerryBrownGov It's because cloud seeding only works on

existing rain clouds when it's cold. You can't make it rain on a cloudless summer day.
https://denver.cbslocal.com/2012/06/26/cloud-seeding-not-really-an-option-during-wildfire-season/

2018-08-05T21:26:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026217868864643072
RT @nycsouthpaw: Extraordinary AP photo of California's firefighting 747 in action.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjyNBXHU4AA6Ubs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjyNBXHU4AA6Ubs.jpg
2018-08-05T20:32:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1026204220410609664
Have you ever wondered what it looks like if you go ALL THE WAY down the rabbit hole? It looks like this.

https://deepstatemappingproject.com/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dj3OiCrU0AAQZ5k.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dj3OiCrU0AAQZ5k.jpg

2018-08-04T23:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025893767750901760

@JunkScience @ClimateHome So why do you do what you do Steve? Don't you make a profit?

2018-08-04T22:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025877737553027072

@RonaldSDean @RealAlexJones He's not simple. I suspect he's trying to divert attention away from Russia because he's continuing to shift his focus from apocalyptic conspiracy theories to pro-Trump QAnon style theories.

And the media isn't "obsessed" with Infowars. Infowars is the face of the sideshow.

2018-08-04T21:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025852475322974209

@RealAlexJones It is possible to have more than one enemy.

2018-08-04T21:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025851576768843777

@pjohnmoonlight 4Chan (You) meme:

https://knowyourmeme.com/memes/you-here-s-your-you

Triple parenthesis as an antisemitic symbol:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Triple_parentheses

2018-08-04T19:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025829770980777984

@pjohnmoonlight Is ((you)) a failed mail-merge tag, 4chan in-speak, or a euphemism for "jew"?

2018-08-04T19:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025821304094515201

@pjohnmoonlight You don't think "Trump was put in power by the military to counter a deep state coup run by pedophiles and he is dropping clues on 4chan to give people hope" is a conspiracy (theory)?

2018-08-04T18:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025810010813517824

#QAnon is more popular that Flat Earth! But it's got a long way to go before it gets as popular as the last uber-conspiracy: Jade Helm, back in 2015. And we all remember what happened to that, right? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjxnuSPVsAAOSWA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjxnuSPVsAAOSWA.jpg

2018-08-04T17:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1025799722764652544

@WW101team @WeatherWar101 I'm pretty sure those rings that apparently started your interest are just the range of the radar. So inside the ring it's one radar. Outside the ring it's another. Different radars give different results.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjxfZciUcAAOOyw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjxfZciUcAAOOyw.jpg
2018-08-04T15:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025772777930776576
@JJPeregrine @AE911Truth @iangreenhalgh11 Which test failed? There were a variety of fire tests where they stopped the fire when failure was imminent, so they could study the steel. NIST hypothesis is accepted in the scientific and engineering community. Thereâ□□s always a small % of naysayers in any field, even gravity

---

2018-08-03T16:21:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025416435495927809
@intellihubnews @ShepardAmbellas Yes, volcanos make both. But in the context of 9/11 the one (mistakenly) identified is pyroclastic. Any large wildfire will make pyrocumulus clouds, it's not a sign of anything other than a large mass of rising air.

2018-08-03T16:15:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025414752359526400
@intellihubnews @ShepardAmbellas Glass slumps and become malleable at a much lower temperature. You can "melt" a bottle in a small camp fire.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w2d6ocNi_B0

2018-08-03T15:26:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025402638555209729
@ShepardAmbellas @intellihubnews "Dustified?" Really? Houses burnt down, that's ash.
Also I think you might be confusing pyrocumulus with pyroclastic.

2018-08-03T15:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025401929168388097
@ShepardAmbellas @intellihubnews It's mostly the weather and unwise development.

2018-08-02T15:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025045371326685186

@D_B_Alan I would, but there's hundreds of actual scientists who have been working on this question for decades, and continue to work on it.
https://www.kqed.org/science/88844/contrails-change-temperatures-on-the-ground-beneath-them

---

2018-08-02T15:43:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1025044360163876864
@Stuart_Weal I don't think he's *just* another anti-science right-winger.

2018-08-02T00:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024811317545197568
@D_B_Alan RH is a function of air temperature. You can only really say RH is changing if you account for the change in temperature. Higher temps will lower RH, and vice versa.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjjcPGmUwAAVxyc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djjb40yVsAEzj9T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjjcPGmUwAAVxyc.jpg

2018-08-02T00:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024808121292615680

@D_B_Alan There's also far few contrails in the summer here.

2018-08-02T00:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024807992561086464

@D_B_Alan Contrails block the sun. They cool things down a little. That's what I've observed.

2018-08-01T23:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024801263324549120

@D_B_Alan Heavy air traffic, or contrails?

Contrails make it cooler in the daytime.

2018-08-01T23:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024796263483551746

@D_B_Alan Ground level temp/rh is irrelevant.

2018-08-01T04:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024516140834291712

@D_B_Alan Go on then. Show it.

2018-08-01T04:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024505030190059521

@D_B_Alan Itâ□□s changed because of high temps and dangerous housing development. Itâ□□s still just fire.

2018-07-31T23:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024439769885884416

@D_B_Alan Show me.

2018-07-31T23:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024437623215939584

The every hopeful #QAnon followers are showing up at Trump rallies. They are going to be very disappointed when/if reality sets in.

https://twitter.com/betsy_klein/status/1024427600054300672

2018-07-31T23:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024436494633598976

@rothschildmd To be fair it's a bit tricky to visualize. It's understandable that people will continue to insist it's a missile. There's still people who think the Los Angeles "mystery missile" from 2010 was a missile. That was a plane. Large scale 3D thinking is hard.

2018-07-31T23:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024430565045547008

@D_B_Alan And if you want an extreme example. Why does this candle not melt if it's right next to a 1400Â°C flame? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjeCHBYUwAApBvM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjeCHBYUwAApBvM.jpg

2018-07-31T23:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024429543069601793

@D_B_Alan Why do you think that? I've melted an aluminum can in a fire while just two feet away I had plastic lighter filled with butane. Fire can be very localized.

2018-07-31T22:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024428346371858433

@rothschildmd That "Missile" was a helicopter. ADS-B data proves it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djd_7_4V4AAMQJS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djd_7_4V4AAMQJS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djd_7_3UcAEVSPP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djd_5OOVsAAzgZ8.jpg
2018-07-31T16:41:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024334228404625408
@JasonBermas @ImperatorTruth @ActivistPost @ihatematt @AnOpenSecret @truthstreamnews @PressForTruth Interesting. I think it's entirely plausible, even a given, that Russian wants to break up the EU and NATO, and that they would use "active measures" to do so. Electing Trump is something they wanted. Brexit was something they wanted. So why wouldn't they try to nudge that along?

2018-07-31T16:38:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024333427762286592
@BlueballsNina @svmke1 @shoahbiz @weareontoyou @CplBart @endcomputed More here: https://www.businessinsider.com/colorado-black-forest-fire-aerial-pictures-2013-6
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djcpuq9UYAAM41T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djcpp73VAAUaLhr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjcpsAsUcAUH_ap.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Djcpuq9UYAAM41T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjcplifVAAAuF_6.jpg

2018-07-31T16:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024332884788699137

@BlueballsNina @svmke1 @shoahbiz @weareontoyou @CplBart @endcomputed Sometimes trees burn, but houses (and their irrigated trees) do not. Notice the type of trees though. It makes a difference. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjcpAC9U0AEXEHO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjcpAC9U0AEXEHO.jpg

2018-07-31T16:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024331620839378944

@shoahbiz @weareontoyou @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed Dry house, live green trees. Not complicated.

2018-07-31T16:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024331385908080640

@JasonBermas @ImperatorTruth @ActivistPost @ihatematt @AnOpenSecret @truthstreamnews @PressForTruth I suspect Q is just a troll who got popular, amplified by a lot of wishful thinking. Maybe a little Russian in there too, but probably not.

2018-07-31T15:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024313454037852161

@JasonBermas @ImperatorTruth @ActivistPost @ihatematt @AnOpenSecret @truthstreamnews @PressForTruth So you think #QAnon is nonsense then? Some kind of disinfo? Or just trolling?

2018-07-31T15:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024312855309377538

@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Also in the Carr fire there were areas of total destruction, like when the fire was dense enough that it formed a tornado. https://twitter.com/FireWeatherLab/status/1024095326616006657

2018-07-31T15:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024312110052827136

@Oswald1160 @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed That's funny, as I thought at first it looked like sprites, but then I was looking on my phone and though "how could someone pass off a photo of sprites as light beams from helicopters?"

2018-07-31T04:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024147142191898625
@weareontoyou @endcomputed @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Saying isn't showing. He also says the DEWs were used.

2018-07-31T03:58:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024142106456023040
@weareontoyou @endcomputed @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart There's over 1,000 wildfires every year. There's a variety of reasons why they start. None of them involve energy beams

---

2018-07-31T03:56:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024141710828265472
@weareontoyou @endcomputed @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Carr fires started from a van with a flat. Sparks from the rim.

2018-07-31T03:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024139119725690881
@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart There a difference between fires like the King fire and the Carr fire. King fire was dense conifers - soft wood, many dead, burns easy. Carr fire is undergrowth and scattered mixed trees, dense green wood like live oak. ESPECIALLY around homes, often irrigated lawns and trees

---

2018-07-31T03:41:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024138073745969152
@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart No, trees WILL burn in a true firestorm. But look at this footage of the Carr fire. It's the undergrowth burning, some trees, but certainly not all. The entire fire is not a firestorm.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CUZKHbJ9I7I

2018-07-31T03:38:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024137246356586497
@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Sure, but there was not a a firestorm in every single spot. There were many places where houses ignited from embers.

2018-07-31T03:33:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024135879709745160
@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Show your evidence of this.

2018-07-31T03:32:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024135686620733441
@weareontoyou @endcomputed @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart No they were not.

2018-07-31T03:32:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024135578135158789
@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed Looks like an upside down photo of trees.

2018-07-31T03:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024134857587273729

@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Porcelain did not melt. Probably spalled though, like concrete does.

2018-07-31T03:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024133576466489345

@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Embers spark fires in buildings, not in green wood trees.

2018-07-31T03:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024133225298321408

@weareontoyou @endcomputed @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Zincâ□□s melting point is lower than aluminum.

2018-07-31T03:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024132853909450757

@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Aluminum rims. They melt.

2018-07-31T02:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024122047805317120

@endcomputed @weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart Here the trees are green. Hard to burn.

2018-07-31T01:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024108856203309056

@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed Every year somewhere.

2018-07-31T00:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024089269252419590

@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed Check these out. Steel not melted. Normal fire. https://twitter.com/BBCJamesCook/status/1023987585104801792

2018-07-31T00:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024087796217143296

@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed They melt aluminum and glass. They always have. Show me an image of something unnaturally melted.

2018-07-30T23:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024073476313772032

@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed The firemen in Bel-Air had never seen anything like that either. In any one region you don't get these once-in-a-lifetime fires every year.

2018-07-30T23:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024072332434759680

@AugmentedRyan Not really. It depends on the individual. But I'd need them to make a effort if they want me to address things like "nukes destroyed WTC7"

2018-07-30T23:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1024067265451319296
@shoahbiz @weareontoyou @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hRIIeFYp2Nc

2018-07-30T23:00:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024067161172496384
Conspriacists think that CA wildfires are caused by energy beams from satellites. They forget that we had exactly the same fires before we had satellites. Here's the Bel-Air fire of 1961. The same scenes of burnt houses next to unburnt trees we see today.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hRIIeFYp2Nc

2018-07-30T22:55:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024065929095741440
@shoahbiz @weareontoyou @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed These are just fires. Very dry conditions, but still just fires. Houses have VERY DRY wood in them, that's why they burn when trees do not. Often from blown embers in high winds. Compare with fires decades ago.

2018-07-30T22:28:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024059205773225984
@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed I live in California, in a high fire danger zone. I've seen the fires. When I walk in the field behind my house the golden grass crunches under my feet, tinder dry. But the oak trees still have green leaves and if you cut a branch it's alive and damp inside.

2018-07-30T22:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1024058473913282560
@weareontoyou @shoahbiz @BlueballsNina @CplBart @endcomputed California wildfire spread via grass and brush, not so much by trees. Live trees are quite hard to burn and wildfires will often just scorch them. It varies.

2018-07-30T18:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1023997952937754624
@petitsanimaux It''s all to do with a combination of the underlying geology, the topology, and the weather. Mostly the bedrock type though.

2018-07-30T14:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1023946027479457792
@petitsanimaux 1. Because it's a common element in the Earth's crust.
2. Probably not, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_impact_craters_in_North_America

---

2018-07-30T14:55:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1023945216678490113
@Dan_James_kek It means that you find aluminum everywhere. The chemtrail folk think that the aluminum they find is evidence of secret geoengineering, but it's just dirt.

2018-07-30T13:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1023919304834613248
In California the top 2 inches of the ground are, on average, around 8% Aluminum.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjWwCMAU0AE7whI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjWwCMAU0AE7whI.jpg

2018-07-30T13:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023917905736425472

@OpChemtrails You're tweeting broken links. But what do YOU know about the high bypass turbofan and its slightly cooler exhaust gasses?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjWvzMuUwAAeIdE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjWvzMuUwAAeIdE.jpg

2018-07-30T13:04:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023917263248744448

@JunkScience As the article states, it's the firefighting agencies that are going to have to plan and budget for climate change. It's a long term thing.

2018-07-28T17:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023263818913181696

Mysterious glowing orb! A Chinese lantern was one suggestion, but is a Chinese lantern really that bright? I put it to the test. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MO9pFD8oPj8

2018-07-28T15:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023221882005348358

@msimmons872 It's not wrong, it's just one of two ways of specifying the distance. The Metabunk calculator gives you the result of both methods, so you can compare. Click on "advanced".

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?d=100&h=6&r=3959&u=i&a=a&fd=60&fp=3264

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjM2zDgUcAAo8Kv.jpg

Distance d = 100 Miles (528000 Feet)
Radius of Earth r = 3959 Miles (20903520 Feet)
Distance to horizon, a = sqrt((r+h)*(r+h) - r*r), a = **3 Miles (15838 Feet)**

Hidden is the amount of the distant object hidden by the curve of the Earth
Hidden = sqrt(a*a - 2*a*d + d*d + r*r)-r = **1.19 Miles (6273.36 Feet)**
1.188136117762152 Miles (6273.358701784164 Feet)

The following is the hidden amount calculated using slightly more complex trig
And assuming the 'distance' is the distance across the surface of the earth
This is more accurate for large distances and heights
But essentially the same for distances under 100 miles
True hidden = r/cos(d/r - asin(sqrt(h*(2*r+h)) / (r+h))) - r = 1.19 Miles (6275.87 Feet)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjM2zDgUcAAo8Kv.jpg

2018-07-28T04:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023060910196178945

@msimmons872 @hopegirl587 You can measure the distance to object as a straight line or measure it as an arc. Over 100 miles the difference in the amount hidden hidden is about 2.5 feet out of 6275 feet. Nothing, for all practical purposes over actual distances.

2018-07-28T01:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023010161890189312

@msimmons872 @hopegirl587 What math are you referring to?

2018-07-28T00:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023001439331745792

@hopegirl587 Perhaps you could also add a link to the Metabunk thread, so people could judge for themselves?

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-quantum-energy-generator-qeg-10kw-out-for-1kw-in.t3572/

2018-07-28T00:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1023000755496611840

@hopegirl587 Thanks for the feedback, which I watched. However I think your efforts would be better spent making something that generates energy in a useable way, and then demonstrating this. It has been four years since the original video. What has changed?

2018-07-27T17:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022892232779620352

RT @TalkZoneRadio: How to Escape the #RabbitHole #Debunking #ConspiracyTheories Using Facts Logic and Respect w @MickWest & The #Crypto-Gurâ¦

2018-07-27T15:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022870583271288832

RT @Jackson_Dill: Incredible capture of a pyrocumulus cloud associated with the #CarrFire over Redding, CA. (Image credit: Jim Mackensen) hâ¦

2018-07-27T05:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022711314269892608

@swampd1515 It appears to show the expected views. For example.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjFmdsZVAAEOdy3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DjFmdsZVAAEOdy3.jpg

2018-07-26T22:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022615197511380992

@kdeangeren @FreemanStahl @TTSA_Agent_001 I'll wait.

2018-07-26T22:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022606772668850176

@FreemanStahl @TTSA_Agent_001 Well I'd be happy to start with some results from TTSA. Have they made any claims regarding the material samples they have?

2018-07-26T21:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022595589823844352

Some audio analysis of the Trump-Cohen tape. Slowing it down, DeHumming, and various pitch shifting makes it sound a lot more like "So I'll pay with cash?" I could not do the opposite.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eNQaWL6QcBQ

2018-07-26T18:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022556047427289088

@JasonBermas @ShepardAmbellas @ActivistPost @WeAreChangeNYC @joerogan Sure, I'm always up for a friendly chat.

That wasn't banter though. That's just what happens in every active shooter situation. Chaos and limited information. It is to be expected they would look for multiple shooters. Why wouldn't they?

2018-07-26T17:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022542044244324353

@ShepardAmbellas @JasonBermas @ActivistPost @WeAreChangeNYC Police ALWAYS look for additional shooters. What are they going to do, stop looking after they get one guy? Looking for additional shooters is default policy.

2018-07-26T16:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022522853571342338

David Keith on why scientists occasionally need to communicate with conspiracy theorists. "Obviously some of these seem like debates we shouldnâ□□t need to have, but if a significant fraction of the population is having them, we need to engage."

https://www.technologyreview.com/s/611682/how-one-climate-scientist-combats-threats-and-misinformation-from-chemtrail-conspiracists/

2018-07-25T06:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1022010062909460481

@shadowban69 I've never really looked at Bigfoot theories, or the first Gulf War.

2018-07-25T00:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021915382838263809

@JunkScience So what was McCarthy on about?

2018-07-25T00:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021915128541790208

@shadowban69 Iraq WMD.

2018-07-25T00:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021914845401165825

@shadowban69 @richardsyrett How exactly are you coming up with these? Those are broad sweeping statement that I mostly disagree with (although I'd partially agree with 2,3,6, and 7) At Metabunk we focus on specific claims of evidence, not generalities.

2018-07-25T00:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021913664087965696

@TurkeyTalking And what's the evidence these were both cell calls?

2018-07-24T21:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021869056725381120

@TurkeyTalking Where did you find that time? Can you quote what it says?

2018-07-24T21:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021865895671431168

@TurkeyTalking So how did you figure the altitude at the time of the call?

2018-07-24T21:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021865118370414594

@TurkeyTalking How do you figure that exactly?

2018-07-24T21:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021862617382436865

@TurkeyTalking What altitude was the call made from?

2018-07-24T15:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021780973237592064

@JasonBermas You should watch Dietland. It covers both conspiracies AND fat acceptance issues. The main character is not always happy. It's complicated.

https://www.amc.com/shows/dietland

2018-07-23T22:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021528551885922304

@adampfinch The point was more about the huge clouds of dust.

2018-07-23T22:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021523722820149249

@TurkeyTalking I believe the plane was only at 5,000 feet at that point (less than a mile up). Perfectly reasonable cell-phone distance.

2018-07-23T21:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021514740080599043

@TurkeyTalking Pick one.

2018-07-23T21:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021507809303715840

@TurkeyTalking Mostly seatback phones.

2018-07-23T21:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021502926995574785

Building being prepped for demolition unexpectedly collapses without explosives, sending up a huge cloud of dust. https://twitter.com/InfobaeAmerica/status/1021495053531967489

2018-07-23T06:04:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021274920389509120

Into the lion's den with @richardsyrett on "The Conspiracy Show"

We have a nice chat, covering a variety of conspiracy theories and how to effectively talk about them and find some common ground.

https://youtu.be/P_JAGp5qcns?t=3m6s

2018-07-22T23:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021177390100185089

RT @richardsyrett: Tonight live from #Toronto The #Conspiracy Show @zoomerradio 11 p edt. HR 1: How to Escape the #RabbitHole #Debunking #Câ¦

2018-07-22T17:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021082961456738306

@keigh_see If you do it again, I'd:

1) try getting your view points all pefectly lined up with the CN Tower. This allows you to use

horizontal scale to better demonstrate vertical distortions.

2) Try to get a few different altitudes

3) Do a zoom-back & then pan video to show context

2018-07-22T17:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021082118133145600

@keigh_see Excellent example. I've added it to this thread discussing that view.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-the-view-of-toronto-from-hamilton-600-feet-up.t7798/

2018-07-22T17:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1021081713420611584

A great example of how best to observe the curve of the Earth. View large distant objects from near the water level, and then from higher up. And do it in the morning, when the air and water are similar temperatures, to avoid excessive refraction.

https://twitter.com/keigh_see/status/1020880833337544704

2018-07-21T17:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020723110549442561

@zetetic_tech @yarknarf You can make rough estimate on the scale of the secrets though. Take 9/11. Half of all FBI agents were tasked with investigating it for several years. That alone should give some perspective on how hard it would be to cover up. If Youtube detectives can solve it, why not the FBI?

2018-07-21T13:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020666029834289152

@BenMS Well the planes look like little white specks from the ground. But yes, contrails get pretty wide. It varies a lot with atmospheric conditions.

2018-07-21T13:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020664081806606336

@Dan_James_kek The main reason now is Youtube. People get into conspiracy theories after watching compelling videos.

They get angry because they think people are being unreasonable and stupid in not seeing what they are seeing. It's partly an expression of their frustration.

2018-07-21T13:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020663444893155330

@Oswald1160 @AugmentedRyan Also there's a huge difference between keeping a wartime project (mostly) secret for a bit, and faking a huge event that the entire world is watching live on television and following and recording in multiple other ways, and being studied and discussed by millions of scientists.

2018-07-21T13:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020661993320022018

Excellent example showing the effect of even small differences in altitude on the formation (or not) of contrails. One plane leaves a trail, but one separated by only 2,000 feet does not.

https://twitter.com/ArtoftheSkies/status/1020373551856037890

2018-07-21T13:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020661207617830912

@zetetic_tech So how many people do you think managed to pull off this perfect hoax that fooled the world? (Including the Russians tracking the entire thing, and the live freed)

2018-07-21T03:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020516429160898561

@4thAnon In wartime, and it did not remain secret long.

2018-07-20T22:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020440975347290113

@4thAnon Hey, are you into the whole QAnon/Storm thing?

2018-07-20T22:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020440838722080768

@4thAnon Why? Is there some fascinating new theory you think I'd be interested in?

2018-07-20T22:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020433770044723200

@W3lIy They either claim it could have been done with far fewer people, or they claim it's very easy to put pressure on people by threatening their jobs and families. It's a strange world-view that seems at odds with reality. A lot of people escape the rabbit hole by gaining perspective

---

2018-07-20T22:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020432060765298688

@W3lIy That too.

I suppose the ultimate one would be Flat Earth â□□ you'd have to have about a billion people to cover than one up.

2018-07-20T22:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020431135715647488

Most conspiracies can be debunked (or at least demonstrated to be highly implausible) by considering the number of people needed to cover them up. The Moon landings are an extreme example of this. (But 9/11 and chemtrails are certainly up there)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DilMPqlV4AE4VoE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DilMPqlV4AE4VoE.jpg

2018-07-20T19:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020394154122022912

@4thAnon Thanks Trump!

2018-07-20T17:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020355788135575552

@4thAnon Natural News? Really? Come on guys.

2018-07-20T16:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020339823515598849

@spentronik And no "It's not something I've looked at" is not something I can say about anything. I've looked at many things. This does not happen to be one of them.

2018-07-20T16:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020339576907296768

@spentronik Have you ever considered you might just be looking at the evidence as presented from one point of view? Like the jury at a trial only getting to hear the case set out by the prosecution?

Documentaries can be very persuasive, but are they neutral sources of information?

2018-07-20T00:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020096296852467712

@Billmazzacca @mtaibbi @nomiprins It's it the Fed's job to manipulate interest rates?

2018-07-19T23:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020094579477921792

@4thAnon $80 Billion out of the $20,000 Billion total US debt.

2018-07-19T18:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1020019961828335617

@spentronik It's not something I've looked at. I'm sure other people have though.

2018-07-19T15:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019963743604637696

@erinbiba Probably, but the paper did leave open that: "it remains possible that gender differences in STEM involvement emerge later in development from maturation, in other cognitive skills, or from interactions between cultural stereotypes, training, and sexually dimorphic behaviors"

2018-07-19T03:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019787546849447936

@Marko_BC @wanderinganimal @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us No, itâ□□s because there are more planes and more routes and more smaller jets.

2018-07-19T00:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019741349765976064

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp How about intelligently controlled flying craft of non-human origin?

2018-07-19T00:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019741212687753216

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp How about any human inventory? Because I'm pretty sure the Billionaires are going to be flying stuff around. Also a kid with a drone would meet your criteria as written.

2018-07-19T00:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019736740318175232

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp So how about you bet that in the next five years there will be an official US Military announcement that there are artificial craft in the solar system that do not come from earth.

2018-07-19T00:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019736184145100801

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Oh I want to bet. I just don't want to bet on something that's open to interpretation. E.T. is specific, "beyond what we have now" is not.

2018-07-19T00:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019735931526320128

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp So what does "beyond" mean? The military is always coming up with new things that are beyond everything that was before. So that would fulfill your terms next time someone flew a new fighter or drone secretly.

2018-07-19T00:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019735296521396224

@wanderinganimal @Marko_BC @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us The planet will be fine. It's the people that will have the problems.

2018-07-19T00:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019734910125289472

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp What if the craft was autonomously piloted that was way beyond anything the US had?

I think you are hinting at "breaking the laws of physics", so why don't you just go there. Let's say a craft proven to both hover and pull more than 100g?

2018-07-19T00:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019734371928924160

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp It's obvious the military would study reported UFOs, because they might be enemy craft. It's a moot point. You are positing some vague program with some vague objective that's just an extension of that.

2018-07-19T00:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019733912174542849

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp E.T. Is what I'm interested in, not some argument about what "beyond" means.

2018-07-18T23:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019733099205160960

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp You Russian and China are incapable of having something that the US doesn't?

2018-07-18T23:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019732399448444928

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Isn't disclosure about ET?

2018-07-18T23:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019732108330356741

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp I care. ET is vastly more interesting that some advanced drone.

2018-07-18T23:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019731794097156096

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Too subjective. Could cover an impressive new secret drone by a foreign power.

2018-07-18T23:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019730365617860609

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp I'd bet that the US military does not "understand" (i.e. know) there to be ET technology flying around the world.

2018-07-18T23:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019730125875580928

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp No, seeing as it's too vague. What does "understood" mean? "Known", "thought", "suspected"?

And seeing as the military has had UFO research before, why not again?

If something is "understood" (known) to be ET, then that's plenty for me.

2018-07-18T23:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019729424873222145

@wanderinganimal @Marko_BC @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us Facts, like: There's no actual evidence of high altitude spraying. There's no evidence that the trails look like anything other than contrails. High bypass engines make more contrails than low bypass. Contrails can persist and turn into cloud layers.

2018-07-18T23:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019723273372758017

@wanderinganimal @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us So where are you getting your information about what is happening to the climate? Why do you trust them?

2018-07-18T23:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019722999514083328

@TTSA_Agent_001 @eddiebravo Just one brief mention of UFOs. I'm not really a UFO guy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DibIiCvV4AA8ff8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DibIiCvV4AA8ff8.jpg

2018-07-18T23:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019721899503304704

@BTRadford And I have you to thank for it! Thanks Ben! I went for a middling index :) . I used Excel rather than index cards for the first pass

---

2018-07-18T22:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019709675103637504

@Marko_BC @wanderinganimal @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us Geoengineering proposals are about fixing the radiative forcing budget problems caused by CO2. They would slot into this chart. Radiative forcing warms things up globally, causes the climate to change chaotically. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dia8UXXUEAAxfSi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dia8UXXUEAAxfSi.jpg

2018-07-18T22:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019706457413976064

@wanderinganimal @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher @foe_us Not trusting someone is not the same as automatically assuming the opposite of what they say is true. I don't trust Scott Pruitt, does that mean everything he said was right, or wrong?

Or should we actually look at the facts?

2018-07-18T22:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019703779401142272

@TheDirtyPolak @eddiebravo Hey, it's one more page than Charlie Sheen got.

2018-07-18T21:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019702836349636608

Just checking the index for Escaping The Rabbit Hole before it's off to the printers. @eddiebravo gets three pages. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dia16MWUYAEmaFA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dia16MWUYAEmaFA.jpg

2018-07-18T19:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019666406684495872

@johnmrkl @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Like an UNKNOWN drone type.

2018-07-18T18:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019651345085689856

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp No, because it IS entirely plausible that the military would want to investigate "UFOs" as a "check for new types of UAV" type thing. My suggestion to bet was about the $500 million suggestion.

2018-07-18T18:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019650066330501120

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp How much money will be allocated?

2018-07-18T16:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019623417820078080

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Want to bet?

2018-07-18T14:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019596412110032896

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Does not seem like good evidence.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiZVc_hVQAEy-Zj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiZVc_hVQAEy-Zj.jpg

2018-07-18T14:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019595722843230209

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp What objects? What specifically do you know about this supposed program? I've just seen a video of a note someone wrote in crayon. Is there more?

2018-07-18T14:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019590850530037760

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Worth researching in what sense?

2018-07-18T14:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019587389390376961

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Most probably that they thought the Chinese or Russians had some new aircraft or drones of uncertain type that posed a thread and we needed to study them and develop countermeasures for that threat.

2018-07-18T13:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019579827060277248

@FreemanStahl @KikPlenty @gigathought @g_knapp Facts are not something to "overcome".

I'm not trying to argue a side here, I'm just calling it like I see it.

2018-07-18T13:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1019579388679974915

@wanderinganimal @lorenzosghost @DanPeacock12 @PaulFox13 @BayleeB79 @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo @foe_us Come back in five years. You'll see geoengineering still has not begun, carbon emission continue to rise, the planet getting a bit hotter, and people starting to take it a bit more seriously.

2018-07-16T15:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018878066083295233

@Oswald1160 Either that, or someone is waging nuclear war on the moon.

2018-07-16T07:39:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018762013931999233

Amazing photos of Venus and the Moon tonight!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiNd2CzU0AAgbfF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiNd2CzU0AAgbfF.jpg

2018-07-16T07:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018758461813735427

@BelieversBeware I've talked to intelligent people who genuinely think it's going to happen. They were also 9/11 Truthers, but still. It''s interesting what people can fall for with a bit of motivated reasoning. Seems the secret of a good conspiracy theory is to make it involve utopian dreams.

2018-07-16T06:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018747371469996037

"The Storm" (QAnon) is a surprisingly popular conspiracy theory. Trump is a messiah teaming up with Kim Jong-un and Putin to arrest The Clintons, Kevin Spacey, and Obama (Seriously!) Thousands think it's true. What will they think when nothing happens?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3vw9N96E-aQ

2018-07-16T04:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018717124150231041

@wanderinganimal @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher Because of evidence, or rather the lack thereof.

2018-07-16T01:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018671749049696256

@Marko_BC @BayleeB79 @lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Sure books are updated. But I'm looking at the original printings from the 40s, 50s, 60s, and 70s. They all say contrails can persist, and they often do.

2018-07-16T01:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018671543067369473

@wanderinganimal @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher Some of these books are 70 years. What they say is consistent. Contrails can persist, and they often do.

2018-07-16T01:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018671322283458560

@wanderinganimal @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @AzSkyWatcher I'm opposed to geoengineering, except as a last resort. I think we should reduce carbon emissions. I have a strong distrust of government. You think I trust Trump?

2018-07-16T01:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018661532786257920

@wanderinganimal @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @Jannamamma @OpChemtrails @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies

@AzSkyWatcher I was just giving her some advice. There are genuine concerns to do with aircraft emissions, but if you want people to care about what you say then starting with the false statement "contrails cannot persist" is going to hinder communication.

2018-07-15T19:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018575564498563072

@NerbieDansers @davidgerard Their blockchain angle is that they can compress blockchain blocks to 1/1000 of their size. Which is obvious nonsense as much of the content of those blocks is hashes, which are mathematically incompressible.

2018-07-15T19:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018573001455890432

@wanderinganimal @lbox327 @monarchbus @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Those books are not lies. Ignore me and check out what the books say.

2018-07-15T17:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018542952522498048

@lbox327 @Marko_BC @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo No, the testing has not started. And since it's just a few pounds you are not going to see anything.

2018-07-15T05:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018363782333005825

@lbox327 @Marko_BC @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo We are testing because we don't know how to do it, or if it will work, or if it's a good idea.
Look into the science of geoengineering. Nobody really knows exactly what it will do.

2018-07-15T05:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018360323466616832

To communicate effectively with someone you have to comprehend their world (or at least the bits of it you are talking about). Talking effectively with conspiracy theorists requires a bit of effort, but they are not lions. https://twitter.com/existentialcoms/status/1016741387729924096

2018-07-15T04:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018350099858984960

@BayleeB79 @lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger

@RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Have you looked at the books?

2018-07-15T04:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018347925590511616

@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Yes he plans to put a few pounds of various things in the air later this year. Or maybe next year. It's a test, to study how it might work.

2018-07-15T03:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018343960622743552

@BayleeB79 @lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo The difference is that my agenda is fact based. Contrails can persist, they often do. They have done since planes flew high enough.

2018-07-15T03:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018343450087862273

@Marko_BC Who says it's natural? Contrails are essentially artificial clouds. They impact both weather and climate.

2018-07-15T03:51:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018342177141768192

@Marko_BC That's technically possible, but not really relevant. It would be trace amounts only. Contrails are almost entirely water.

2018-07-15T03:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018341145858850817

@Marko_BC That sounds roughly correct.

2018-07-15T03:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018340091809239040

@naomirwolf blocked me (for explaining contrails), so Twitter recommended @NaomiAKlein as a replacement.

I'm sure they are interchangeable, right? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiHeheLVAAA7bvs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiHeheLVAAA7bvs.jpg
2018-07-15T03:40:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018339535946567685
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo @naomirwolf blocked me.
I said: "Actually a LOT of people are still stuck on "contrails can't persist". So if you want to get then to look at a more nuanced interpretation you're going to have to help them past that misunderstanding. "

2018-07-15T03:20:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018334457873367040
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo
And this gag order did not apply to ANY of the scientist in the study. Just weather service employees. And again, on unrelated administrative subjects.

2018-07-15T03:19:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018334221637640192
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo
2015. The topics of the gag order are Organizational planning, Grievance settlements, and Collective bargaining. Nothing about geoengineering.
https://www.peer.org/news/news-releases/weather-service-employees-tethered-by-illegal-gag-orders.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiHZWmHVAAAF6nt.jpg

---

The complaint cites three gag orders issued in recent weeks by the National Weather Service and its parent agencies, the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration and the Department of Commerce. These orders forbid disclosure of information arising out of –

- Organizational planning. This confidentiality order forbids disclosure of anything about the Weather Service Organization Workforce Analysis, which has the effect of muzzling any revelations about agency planning and the rationale for planned actions;

- Grievance settlements. In July, the Commerce General Counsel instituted a policy that any settlement of grievances must include a nondisclosure clause. While it has an exception for "whistleblower cases" that exception comes nowhere close to meeting requirements of the WPEA and would block release of grievances involving actions by agency managers that constitute violations of law or regulation, waste of funds, mismanagement or abuse of authority; and

- Collective Bargaining. The National Weather Service has just added a confidentiality clause to its ground rules for Collective Bargaining Agreement negotiations which requires that all information about the CBA bargaining process must be held "confidential."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiHZWmHVAAAF6nt.jpg
2018-07-15T02:52:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018327341330644993
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo The gag is years old, and only only related to their collective bargaining agreement discussions. Union stuff.

2018-07-15T02:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018323150193377281
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Not at all. That's not what I'm saying. I think the tests and results you do are real. Their interpretation is often dubious though. I asked a bunch of scientists about them. http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2018-07-15T02:14:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018317742871490560
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo It is not a word game. It's 70 years of books on clouds. They all say that contrails persist like the one labeled "chemtrail" in your image. Many of these books had photos of contrails that look like your "chemtrail". It's not a word game. It's just new information.

2018-07-15T02:06:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018315725134430209
@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12

@Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Wait, HOW do you know the difference? Did you ever stop to think where the idea about that "difference" came from? Who told you it? Why do you believe them, but don't believe what EVERY book on clouds for the last 70 YEARS says? Seriously. Check them out: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-07-15T01:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018301247512723456

@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Big rigs and tractors run on diesel. Kerosene in modern jet engines is actually much cleaner burning than diesel engines.

2018-07-15T01:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018300959854694400

@monarchbus @lbox327 @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Jet fuel does have harmful emissions, of course. It's something to be aware of. However it's the same exhaust if the theres no contrails. So if you point at contrails, you also need to point at no contrails on the less humid days. Same thing.

2018-07-15T01:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018300553963585536

@lbox327 @monarchbus @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @mike_Zollo Sure, but the exhaust is exactly the same regardless of if there's a contrail or not. On a day with zero contrails the planes are still spewing EXACTLY the same exhaust into the sky. So it's misleading to describe contrails as an "emission".

2018-07-15T01:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1018299182635864064

@BayleeB79 @lbox327 @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @mike_Zollo I didn't save it. Someone posted it on Metabunk. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/223613/

2018-07-15T00:46:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018295700168142848
@BayleeB79 @lbox327 @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies @LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @mike_Zollo Do you mean this? I didn't report that account. I didn't think it was serious. However someone else obviously did. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiG2E8QVAAIS0W5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiG2E8QVAAIS0W5.jpg
2018-07-15T00:34:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018292700037013505
@lbox327 @wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Marko_BC @DanPeacock12 @Devinator23bear @JohnJay18034096 @DaLeftHook @Vomit911 @Jannamamma @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @BayleeB79 @kanyewest @HealthRanger @RealGeoEngWatch @Kal1_Furies

@LionelMedia @SteerMark @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @mike_Zollo The difference is that emissions controls on vehicles is for harmful substances like hydrocarbons and particulate matter. Contrails are just water.
High bypass jet engines make contrails slightly more frequently than low bypass jet engines. The exhaust is the same, just cooler. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiGzvolUEAAJs1E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiGzvolUEAAJs1E.jpg
2018-07-14T18:20:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018198664731226112
@powerm1985 Does it actually include any technical info/advice, subtended angles and suchlike?

2018-07-14T15:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018149161462521857
@jgajek They actually say the opposite. Compress locally, so cloud not needed. Itâ□□s a hoax or a scam.

2018-07-14T07:42:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1018038027262705665
What's this "Delta Lambda" 1000x compression on Indiegogo? A scan, or some kind of promotional hoax for HBO's Silicon Valley?
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-delta-lambda-compression.t9848/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiDMJkMU8AA4dpE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DiDMJkMU8AA4dpE.jpg
2018-07-14T05:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017999356132089857
@RickyBaby321 @Channel4 @ITV Well contrail sunsets have been a thing at least since the 1960s, so it's really fairly ordinary.

2018-07-14T02:21:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017957343034658817
@Kolyin These gyroscope theories remind me of the more complicated 9/11 theories. Becoming an "expert" at the details of something, sounding very reasonable to non-experts, but kind of missing the point somewhere.

2018-07-14T02:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017955175632269314
@AlterNet It's not because he doesn't like apologizing. They want to keep the theory alive. It's got a lot of legs with a good chunk of their base.

2018-07-14T02:08:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017953974463287296
@RealAlexJones You should really watch the whole Stroz thing, just in case someone is cherrypicking, trying to fool you, present you with a misleading narrative. I mean, you don't want someone to chose your facts for you, do you?

2018-07-13T20:12:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017864477587324928
@spentronik I don't debunk conspiracy theories. I debunk false claims of evidence.

2018-07-13T17:26:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017822486870675456
@FreemanStahl My opinion is that he's making things up.

2018-07-13T16:17:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017805302924627968
A fun podcast where I discuss my video games history, debunking conspiracy theories like 9/11

and Chemtrails, and my upcoming book, Escaping The Rabbit Hole.
https://twitter.com/strangelifethis/status/1017760387364634625

2018-07-13T04:15:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017623552311230464
@devgru1980mi @AugmentedRyan Are NASA officials all experts in thermonuclear isotope signatures?

2018-07-13T02:36:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017598670588469248
@devgru1980mi @AugmentedRyan I canâ□□t look at everything. You give it a go. Write it up.

2018-07-12T23:49:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017556634552262656
@AugmentedRyan Seems a bit speculative.

2018-07-12T22:33:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017537580940738560
@AugmentedRyan Which isotopes exactly?

2018-07-12T22:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1017529711419772928
@flatearthexpert @alexxdesignn @phiroc @wichor @Da_BuDMaN @LibSocScientist @rokro11 @Nutt007 @keigh_see @Tinman_73 @UsaneOrNah @Oswald1160 @RealTruther1 @skip_cavanough @J5_Project @forthemasses @RedSoxMVP @DSL1912 @skeptropolis @FlatSlugbrains @classblah @hugh_bothwell @RatJohnny @doctorbuttons @facebones777 @_Gravity_Man @siirii @Hamaya42920579 @KennethOath @bob35039695 @globe_earther @waterislifeh20 @MyWorldisFlat1 @geoffboller @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @GrumFromNorwich @whoopsbuni @limitedview @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Rcomian @VerumBellator1 @ericdubay There's actually hardly any straight line railroads in the UK - certainly not between London and Liverpool (red line here). But why WOULD curvature be taken into account. The rails just lay on the ground, the ground follows (on average) the very slight curve of the Earth.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dh79h0oU8AAecpQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dh79h0oU8AAecpQ.jpg

2018-07-12T20:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1017506050201694208

@johncardillo So you're saying Strzok comes across as genuine, makes a very compelling case, and you find that suspicious?

2018-07-12T20:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1017500643458572288

@ShepardAmbellas What do you mean first? The term had been used before that.

2018-07-12T04:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1017268378673672193

@BrianDunning Well 14 is clearly the actual 13th floor when it's missing, but then 15 is the 14th, so by eliminating both 13 and 14 it requires too much thinking to actually be superstitious.

2018-07-11T15:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1017066156660686849

@JunkScience @EricHolthaus The US is the world's second largest emitter of CO2. Your math does not add up. Sure we also need to get China and the ROTW to cut deep, but even your 27 ppm is still a significant chunk.

http://johannes-friedrich.com/circle2017/circle_state.htm

2018-07-11T13:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1017040995324575745

@HoustonSkeptics The view from space http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dh1BW70UEAUwaBE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dh1BW70UEAUwaBE.jpg

2018-07-08T05:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015828529961885696

@MBcalanca Yes that's a fun site. The 250mb RH is a good contrail predictor. Easier to see if you turn off the wind. More resources here: https://www.metabunk.org/relative-humidity-at-flight-altitudes-resources-and-contrail-prediction.t8084/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhjycjiUEAAZ5mI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhjycjiUEAAZ5mI.jpg

2018-07-08T04:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015819281135644673

@DeanByron666 They don't start out corkscrew shaped - it's the air motion that moves them into that (apparent) shape.

2018-07-07T19:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015673520137781249

@ceeeeebeeeee 1) More air traffic

2) More routes

3) More short-hop small jets

4) More private jets

5) Reduced vertical separation minima

6) More efficient, cooler, engines

7) The Baader-Meinhof effect

2018-07-07T17:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015648772104208390

I predicted contrails over NorCal yesterday (July 6), and we got some. Not that many visible though, as in the morning there was a lot of cloud cover. High temps also restricted the formation later. But there were still a few, generally short, but persistent.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhhO9S1VMAAVVIh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhhNuA9UEAEFunV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhhO9S1VMAAVVIh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhhNzsfUEAAlLWP.jpg

2018-07-07T17:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015645781661847553

@spannishchicken @MFarquois @fleminjames64 @ShotaDiscoShoes They are not new. Contrails have been around since the 1920s. The other clouds like cirrus vertrabratus predate planes.

2018-07-06T21:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015353605186965505

@TammyJParadis If you accuse someone of something they did not do then they deny it. That's not a double negative.

Any physical evidence that it's happening. Like photos of unexplained spraying from nozzles, or demonstration that trails don't behave like contrails.

2018-07-06T21:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015352684210151424

@TheOutThere2016 Rolling shutter is usually from one long side to the other long side. In landscape it's top to bottom, in portrait it's left to right (or right to left) The camera in the plane video is in portrait orientation. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhdBw0GVQAYnneW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhdBw0GVQAYnneW.jpg

2018-07-06T21:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015350239086010368

Cloud on cloud shadows. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dhc_OoyUEAAO9AI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dhc_OoyUEAAO9AI.jpg

2018-07-06T13:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015232467647647745

@TheOutThere2016 @tyea99 The one with the trees is motion blur. The one with the plane is rolling shutter.

2018-07-06T13:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015230024671113216

@TammyJParadis Wouldn't they deny it if it were not happening?

What's the actual physical evidence?

2018-07-06T13:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015229826955800576

@TammyJParadis No, because it's the ionosphere. You don't geoengineer in the ionosphere.

2018-07-06T13:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015229573196275712

@MaryNoonan1967 A plane at 32,000 feet looks the same as a plane at 33,000 feet. If they are different plane types the difference in altitude can be huge. Here plane B is 4,000ft BELOW A, but looks higher because it's a smaller plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhbRjGkU8AEj0vY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhbRjGkU8AEj0vY.jpg

2018-07-06T12:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015209925121675265

@TammyJParadis Nobody is suppressing discussion of Weather modification. Weather modification has been openly done since the 1950s. It was on the Dick van Dyke show in 1965 https://youtu.be/5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2018-07-06T12:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015209358727131136

@TammyJParadis Because we were talking about geoeningeering. The use of strontium as a tracer in the ionosphere has nothing to do with geoengineering.

2018-07-06T04:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015091950620250112

@MaryNoonan1967 Those were most likely just planes at different altitudes.

2018-07-06T04:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015091714564939776

@SkewsMe If you look at any book on clouds for the last 70 years that book will say that contrails sometimes persist. Here's some of those books.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-07-06T04:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015089962817974272

@MattBornong More tomorrow, although the band of moisture is going to swing north. Should be some clouds and contrails up here in the Sacramento area in the morning.

2018-07-05T23:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015014212563709952

@SkewsMe Clouds are made of water. Why don't they dissipate?

2018-07-05T23:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015014033701756928

@MaryNoonan1967 Scenario planning.

2018-07-05T23:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015013942693789696

@Joshphi23040839 There's plenty of HD footage of planes and birds. If fact every single time a sufficiently good camera is on hand the UFOs are revealed for what they are. Only when there's just a crappy camera (or it's really REALLY far away) will it be declared a UFO.

2018-07-05T22:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015007042468114432

@SkewsMe @APWestRegion @AP This is a smoke curtain, filmed in 1923. Closer to WWI than WWII.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-amazing-footage-of-wwii-chemtrail-experiments.t369/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhYHfv1UwAArDAI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhYHfv1UwAArDAI.jpg

2018-07-05T22:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015006196435075072

@Joshphi23040839 You know this because of the limits of optics and the human visual system. There will always be UFOs, regardless of if they are birds, balloons, planes, Venus, or alien spaceships.

UFO? Or far away?

2018-07-05T22:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1015002151544713216

For the past few days Northern California has been contrail free. Tomorrow (July 6th) there will be contrails. I know this because of the weather forecast. Green = high upper air humidity = Contrails.

http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=00

0 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhYC9sdUYAIWZEn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhYCszeUEAEGgPV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhYC9sdUYAIWZEn.jpg

2018-07-05T16:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014916154823864321

@TammyJParadis That's for sounding rockets. Entirely different. Essentially that's just a big single firework in space. Zero to do with geoengineering - and not even really in the atmosphere. It's used in the ionosphere, the edge of space.

2018-07-05T14:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014873200776261632

@TammyJParadis Correct. And nobody would EVER spray strontium. Itâ□□s never even been suggested. Someone made that up.

2018-07-04T22:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014630706339647488

@NathanOakley80 @YouTube You can actually validate it with observations of things hidden by the horizon, like Catalina Island, or the Isle of Wight. That's the point - it's verifiable independent of what science tells us. But also matches that science. Give it a go, but watch out for refraction!

2018-07-04T20:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014610784175812609

@hugh_bothwell It's the ethanol that's the problem.

2018-07-04T20:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014601266628980737

Several tons of aluminum, barium, and strontium will be sprayed into the atmosphere tonight, in close vicinity to large crowds in people. Children will hold small devices that create clouds of nanoparticles of aluminum. #notchemtrails #notgeoengineering

https://www.metabunk.org/aluminum-barium-and-strontium-in-fireworks.t3905/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhSV4ZrU0AEgLFs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhSV4ZrU0AEgLFs.jpg

2018-07-04T18:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014575107694518272

@Lukewearechange Sting operations are not the equivalent of manufacturing attacks. They are not without ethical and legal problems though.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sting_operation

2018-07-04T01:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014323662483099648

@annemariayritys "unless their horizon are not limited by the obvious realities and they can dream of things that never were, in which case they should go for it, skepticism or not!"

2018-07-04T01:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014322904350089218

@mikejacobsen99 @TammyJParadis @RaptormanReport @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher

@aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA We = humanity. It's a global issue, as geoengineering affects the entire globe.

2018-07-03T21:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014266612579352576

@KatieSimmons_17 @rothschildmd @Kolyin @rjblaskiewicz Origin story:

"While Trump, a known bullshitter, was clearly just pulling generic vaguely-intimidating phrases ["The Storm", "You'll see"] from his ass to sound cool, this moment resonated with Q, clearly inspiring his initial /pol post a couple of weeks later. "

2018-07-03T21:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014265956850229248

@KatieSimmons_17 @rothschildmd @Kolyin @rjblaskiewicz A bit of a rabbit hole. Basically Trump is a genius orchestrating a a purge of the deep state and dropping lots of clues about the coming "storm" via Twitter as (or using) QAnon.

Nonsense, best ignored unless you like that type of thing.

Good explainer:

https://www.vice.com/en_us/article/ywex8v/what-is-qanon-conspiracy-theory

---

2018-07-03T19:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014235197791825920

@cdslashetc From 90,000 feet above Tuscon LA is hidden by the desert divide mountains. You can see the Gulf of California though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhNJgX-V4AAAfgW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhNJgX-V4AAAfgW.jpg

2018-07-03T19:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014224339195949056

@MatthieuSorel @WeatherFlowCHAS @weatherdak You can verify that they are ships by seeing how slowly they move. http://www.goes.noaa.gov/dml/west/nhem/weus/vis.html

---

2018-07-03T19:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014223357204549632

@Kolyin The trope of "disclosure" exists in most conspiracy cultures. UFO is the most obvious, but there were a lot of people who got their hopes up that Trump was going to spill the beans on 9/11, Chemtrails, Pizzagate, and probably even Flat Earth. Qanon is partly to explain the delay

---

2018-07-03T19:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014222350978433024

@Kolyin The older theorists towards the more extreme end of the spectrum (chemtrails, 9/11 Controlled demolition) seem to have no problem incorporating it into their belief system. Like this one five minutes ago. https://twitter.com/weatherwarsinfo/status/1014220634417745920

2018-07-03T17:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014204945371787265

@Kolyin Not directly , I was just going by it having started in 4chan, which trends younger, from the limited stats out there. http://reddonychandaily.wikia.com/wiki/Reddit

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMt9LPU0AASek_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMt9LPU0AASek_.jpg

2018-07-03T17:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014200287182008321

@rjblaskiewicz @Kolyin Agreed - but there's lots of people who believe it. I first heard about it from a 9/11 Truther who was convinced something big was about to happen. But that was back

in Dec '17. I think it was already getting crusty back then.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMpecZVAAEji2K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMpecZVAAEji2K.jpg
2018-07-03T17:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014193843393052672
@RaptormanReport @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA But stratospheric aerosols spraying is not listed by ANYONE as something that's actually being done.

2018-07-03T17:01:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014192427702206464
@rsqk9s @cyndimc71 @BayleeB79 @ImperatorTruth @naomirwolf @medelano @seemorerocks @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA @BillGates @Chuckreturns @CDCGlobal A poor study with an unrepresentative sample, not replicated, and retracted even by the pay-to-play journal it was published in. https://sciencebasedmedicine.org/no-two-studies-purporting-to-show-that-vaccinated-children-are-sicker-than-unvaccinated-children-show-nothing-of-the-sort/

2018-07-03T16:38:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014186591328145408
@RaptormanReport @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA This graph from your report shows Geoengineering has the lowest perceived benefit, and is in the highest for negative consequences. Not something we are gong to jump into.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMdEz4VQAAN-zG.jpg

Figure 3.1.1: Perceived Benefits and Negative Consequences of 12 Emerging Technologies



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMdEz4VQAAN-zG.jpg
2018-07-03T16:36:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014186084249419776
@RaptormanReport @naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA What graph are you looking at? The one where it gets a 2/5 for safety? That appears to be the opposite of what you said. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMcqKAU0AEwvAh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhMcqKAU0AEwvAh.jpg
2018-07-03T16:07:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014178862450610182
@rsqk9s @cyndimc71 @BayleeB79 @ImperatorTruth @naomirwolf @medelano @seemorerocks @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA @BillGates @Chuckreturns @CDCGlobal You have to look at actual studies, not folk beliefs. Their lifestyle (diet, no smoking/booze, sun protection) reduces cancer risk, but: "Actually, members of the religious sect are prone to some terrible diseases." https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2015/01/why-are-anti-vaxxers-rallying-behind-the-amish/384151/

2018-07-03T16:03:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014177879662325760
@Kolyin It's a time-limited belief, and it's a lot of young believers. Most of them will grow out of it by a combination of: 1) none of it coming true, and 2) them getting older and wiser.

Of course there will inevitably be some hold-outs and mutated versions.

2018-07-03T15:14:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1014165423745454080

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp Enormous speeds suggests he misinterpreted where and what it actually was.

2018-07-03T13:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014145430857265152

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp I believe he's reporting what he thinks he saw (at least what he remembers now), I just don't think what he thinks he saw was a physics-defying craft.

2018-07-03T13:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014138019576606720

@JunkScience @KristinMinkDC She's right though. And he'll be gone soon. Even Trump can't tolerate that level of corruption forever. He's just holding out to lower expectations.

2018-07-03T13:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014136701579505664

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp Only virtually. Which would be good way of recreating what he saw. https://www.funker530.com/360-view-fa-18-super-hornet-launches-from-aircraft-carrier/

2018-07-03T12:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014128623836524544

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp (50Â° from atan (3500/2640) in degrees, not 50')

2018-07-03T12:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014128465467994112

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp Seems like it would be impossible to judge the size of a featureless object when looking down at it at a 50Â° angle.

How can you even look down at 50Â° from that cockpit?

2018-07-03T05:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1014020918903898112

@cyndimc71 @rsqk9s @BayleeB79 @ImperatorTruth @naomirwolf @medelano @seemorerocks @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA @BillGates @Chuckreturns @CDCGlobal "Amish children were hospitalized for vaccine-preventable diseases (VPD) at significantly higher rates than other children, researchers found."

http://www.aappublications.org/news/2017/08/02/AmishVaccines080217

2018-07-02T22:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013912463618686976

@Joshphi23040839 @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @Keithmayoh @TTSAcademy Okay, what's the timeframe for this wager?

2018-07-02T22:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013912249247731712

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl It would be really interesting to see evidence of

alien visitors. I'd love to see it. I'd be amazed and fascinated just like everyone. It would an epochal event. It would open up a whole new world of possibilities for identifying UFO, as well as revolutionizing life as we know it

---

2018-07-02T21:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013902792488964096

@Joshphi23040839 @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @Keithmayoh @TTSAcademy Want to bet? $20?

2018-07-02T21:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013901981004357632

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl Improbable because of the difficulties of incognito interstellar travel, and the lack of evidence.

Good evidence = close up photos/video. Actual radar data. Physical objects of actually impossible human manufacture.

Radar returns are only by witness testimony, both unverifiable.

2018-07-02T21:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013900021656219648

@Joshphi23040839 @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @Keithmayoh @TTSAcademy Did you even consider that UFO enthusiasts might have a higher degree of pareidolia than the general population?

2018-07-02T21:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013899686984347648

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl "something" is a bit all-encompassing. Can you be more specific? I think visiting aliens are improbable and not supported by good evidence.

2018-07-02T21:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013896769585811457

@Joshphi23040839 @FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure @Keithmayoh @TTSAcademy There's nothing in his hand.

2018-07-02T21:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013896519462731776

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl It's not really about UFOs. I mention it to show I'm a fan of respect. Hard to communicate with someone you are mocking.

2018-07-02T21:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013896234812108802

@FreemanStahl @Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure They evaluated it poorly. For example in the GO FAST footage they said the object was close to the sea. Trigonometry proved it was not. Trig also proved it was not moving particularly fast.

2018-07-02T21:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013895762717970432

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl Respect is the the third ingredient of my book.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhIUzmbVMAEbWYK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhIUzmbVMAEbWYK.jpg

2018-07-02T20:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013885289922609152

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @Keithmayoh Well when the evidences is mostly "look at all these totally different things that might all be caused by aliens if they don't have a prosaic explanation" then it's kind of hard to analyze. You need to find something that demonstrably has no other plausible explanation.

2018-07-02T20:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013884360137719809

@Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl Nobody is stopping you from forming hypotheses based on limited evidence. Just don't be surprised when people subject those hypotheses to scrutiny.

2018-07-02T19:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013864313205841927

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp Yes, but what was the size subtended at the eye? What did it ACTUALLY look like. Can we rule out this for a start?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhH4LaGVMAAaQF2.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhH4LaGVMAAaQF2.jpg

2018-07-02T19:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013861902571565056

@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @Keithmayoh Science is a dusting of finely refined hypotheses that made the grade sprinkled on a mile high mountain composed of the withered corpses of those that did not.

2018-07-02T18:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013852626885070848

@FreemanStahl @Keithmayoh @PostDisclosure That's also what the 9/11 Folk say, and the Chemtrailer, and the Flat Earthers.

Of course YOU think I "know", because you see I'm not entirely stupid, and you think the evidence, despite being rejected by mainstream science, is incontrovertible.

But I disagree.

2018-07-02T18:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013851828318961666

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp And I though i could imagine what a Tic-Tac looks like, but then I bought a pack and they look stubbier and curvier than I remember. So I'd like Fravor to draw a picture of the exact proportions as he remembers them. Like which of these shapes is closest?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhHsr_OVAAEPWgb.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhHsr_OVAAEPWgb.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhHsq3fV4AA2Pao.png
2018-07-02T18:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013851215610839048
@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp I want to know how big it appeared in the cockpit. Where it appeared in the cockpit. How it moved. i.e. what did the view look like from the POV of Fravor. There's a lot of possibilities. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhHsJa5U0AAzDOt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhHsJa5U0AAzDOt.jpg
2018-07-02T17:08:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013831847141183488
@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp Hard to say. Why don't they draw a picture of what they saw?

2018-07-02T10:37:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013733429622345728
@BryHr37ic @GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure Absence of proof means there isn't any proof.

2018-07-02T06:16:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013667642261925888
@CollinOctantis @dontpanicbjtj @Geek_0nline @enochsearth @jaredvc @Oswald1160 @skeptropolis @dps1879 @revolve88 @earthisaglobe @_lets_do_it_ @southard_craig @Captainswoop1 @rokro11 @AtyHans @LolSpinningBaLL @ElveTwelve @barmanamar1976 @N0T0R1ETY @WayGukIn @I_mNotAComedian @jelew311 @harryhamilton99 @JWontObey @Rjinswand @SimonGr41594862 @_CROPES_ @RosaRubicon @ChivalryAnon @GodsPrivates @spiritutecno @TungstenClan @Paxington @TheWrongQuest @zetmoon @custardsmaster @tyler1martinez @nemesis_online @Uncle__drunk @zankman1 @BookishNeptune @MikeLushey @jarek_wiatr @gardensdesignwa @CallMeEOnly @KoolThough @CharlieCanFly @MAK7PIA @Its_Stationary Not for the sun @CollinOctanis. Sun reflections off water seem smaller in photos sometimes because they are darker, so there's less glare. Like how the sun appears smaller as it sets - it's just darker.

2018-07-02T06:01:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013663974993289216
Someone gave me this detailed explanation of 9/11 on YouTube. I'm not sure if they are serious. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhFBszjU8AAuE60.jpg

---

Mick West ... well what would be the point of not doing a proper investigation with 0 stones left unturned..not even attempting to explain how the building could could fall at the speed of gravity with a single columns failure (79) to initiate the 100+ft of freefall... saying that there was no evidence of explosives (sorry but total bullshit) you have all of the firefighters saying that there was explosions and videos with audio of explosions..there is no god damn excuse for that...find me just 5 architects or engineers that will put their name and reputation on the line and back the non investigations that received less money to investigate then the Monica Lewinsky scandal...the only people left on the planet that believe 9/11 was real..is people who have participated... benefited... and fucking idiots that have to put a helmet on when they walk anywhere... the people who are responsible for 9/11 and every single other deception is not Jewish International bankers like Rothschilds and Rockefellers... London is directly responsible... and Rothschilds are fake identities being used by those European Royals who established the United States and Israel to get control of the entire world by using deception...all Israeli elements in the story of 9 11 is bullshit and can be easily proven bullshit because the planes were CGI...that is why parts of the wings on the planes disappeared behind buildings that we're behind the WTC buildings and then came back once they passed the buildings they disappeared behind...so there were no planes for the Israeli mossad agents on the van to celebrate when the fake plane hit the tower... and since planes were CGI...the media was part of the whole thing..they were interviewing people (royals) in front of a green screen in a Hollywood studio so they could control the narrative.. each of those Royals have many identities each they use and this is how they give citizens the illusion of voting... Hollywood is those Royals... which is the exact reason for fake news... Benjamin Franklin came up with the idea to give the United States freedom of speech.. religion.. and press... and then use fake news and events to fear monger citizens into voluntarily giving up rights while at the same time giving the illusion that the government is protecting the citizens...but it has been them faking everything.. Obama's birth certificate prooves they have many identities each... and they are attempting to blame and sacrifice their fake identities ...so they created YouTube so they could build that up for when they sacrifice Hollywood...all YouTube channels with tons of subs are extensions of Hollywood by those Royals... that is why they all cross promote each other and appear on each other channels and they have professionally done videos... and many channels each with tons of subs using different identities each one

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhFBszjU8AAuE60.jpg

2018-07-02T05:54:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013662099094949888

@dontpanicbjtj @CollinOctantis @enochsearth @jaredvc @Oswald1160 @skeptropolis @dps1879 @revolve88 @earthisaglobe @_lets_do_it_ @southard_craig @Captainswoop1 @rokro11 @AtyHans @LolSpinningBaLL @ElveTwelve @barmanamar1976 @N0T0R1ETY @Geek_0nline @WayGukIn @I_mNotAComedian @jelew311 @harryhamilton99 @JWontObey @Rjinswand @SimonGr41594862 @_CROPES_ @RosaRubicon @ChivalryAnon @GodsPrivates @spiritutecno @TungstenClan @Paxington @TheWrongQuest @zetmoon @custardsmaster @tyler1martinez @nemesis_online @Uncle__drunk @zankman1 @BookishNeptune @MikeLushey @jarek_wiatr @gardensdesignwa @CallMeEOnly @KoolThough @CharlieCanFly @MAK7PIA @Its_Stationary @WV4eva Volumetric shadow. Like the dark bits in a crepuscular ray pattern.

2018-07-02T05:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013655095299305472

@GazPyrotechnics @g_knapp I don't think the pilots were mistaken over multiple days. And it's quite possible for radar to get bad returns over several days if it's not working well.

2018-07-02T01:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013602886427729920

@naomirwolf @AzSkyWatcher @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA No one? There's tons of research on cloud seeding. There has been since the 1950s. Like here's just the papers from the 1950-60:

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=cloud+seeding&hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&as_ylo=1950&as

_yhi=1960

2018-07-01T23:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013559720223764480

@MoremonJ @enochsearth You don't actually need to go to space to know the earth is spherical. Have you ever observed the rotation of the stars around the Southern (or Northern, if you are antipodean) celestial pole that's below that horizon? That, I have done.

2018-07-01T23:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013558904465211393

@simulatedcosmos @__dregs__ @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure Numbers? Physics?

2018-07-01T21:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013539719630618625

@enochsearth Your problem is that you APPEAR to be trolling. Can you demonstrate that you are not?

Tricky.

2018-07-01T21:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013533618390437888

@enochsearth @jaredvc @Oswald1160 @skeptropolis @dps1879 @revolve88 @earthisaglobe @_lets_do_it_ @southard_craig @Captainswoop1 @rokro11 @AtyHans @LolSpinningBaLL @ElveTwelve @barmanamar1976 @N0T0R1ETY @dontpanicbjtj @Geek_0nline @WayGukIn @I_mNotAComedian @jelew311 @harryhamilton99 @JWontObey @CollinOctantis @Rjinswand @SimonGr41594862 @_CROPES_ @RosaRubicon @ChivalryAnon @GodsPrivates @spiritutecno @TungstenClan @Paxington @TheWrongQuest @zetmoon @custardsmaster @tyler1martinez @nemesis_online @Uncle__drunk @zankman1 @BookishNeptune @MikeLushey @jarek_wiatr @gardensdesignwa @CallMeEOnly @KoolThough @CharlieCanFly @MAK7PIA @Its_Stationary @WV4eva The horizon curves should be equal, you say? You should look at a sphere to check this. https://imgur.com/gallery/nSGtPtz http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhDLMWkU8AAOwQb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhDLMWkU8AAOwQb.jpg

2018-07-01T20:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013522188916252672

#countyfire blankets San Francisco and the Bay Area with orange smoke and sprinkles it with ash. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhDBAK9V4AAq5l8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhDBAK9V4AAq5l8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhDAqLYUwAEDgYq.jpg

2018-07-01T20:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013513562994536448

@Joshphi23040839 @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure If the facts have not come out yet, then why are you convinced?

2018-07-01T20:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013513415703195648

@weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Not square. Not straight lines. Trail not in center. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhC4_DiUwAEsEmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhC4_DiUwAEsEmU.jpg

2018-07-01T19:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013511322946813952

@weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA I'm glad you still hold out hope for me. However I'd encourage your to check with other people, more versed in fluid mechanics and the weather.

2018-07-01T19:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013510308491476992

@rsqk9s @weatherwarsinfo @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA That happens sometimes too. For example here's a a squarish cloud forming. This is just fluid mechanics. Inevitable it will happen sometimes.https://youtu.be/r4XaBalulVs

---

2018-07-01T19:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013507926101712896

@UfoSunday @PostDisclosure There's a variety of ways of testing hypotheses. Simplest is to check for normal explanations first.

2018-07-01T19:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013505652965044224

@weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA That's 115Â°, and the lines are not straight. It's just a random meeting of air masses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhCx4vxUYAA78Bw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhCx4vxUYAA78Bw.jpg

2018-07-01T19:13:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013500955541712896
@weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Edges of air masses sometimes are sometimes briefly in the shape of a straight line. Been observed from space since we went to space. Just watch GOES-16
3D fluid mechanics is a tricky thing to model. But you know there's several models in use, generally for weather forecasting.

2018-07-01T18:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013491476091699200
@weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Scott, people have been seeing things in clouds since the dawn of humanity. You need some more rigorous evidence than random shapes and pareidolia. Why do you never do the 3D reconstructions I suggest? Why not do a GOES-16 animation of that image? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhCke9KVQAAYyxy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DhCke9KVQAAYyxy.jpg
2018-07-01T18:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013485879606706176
@rsqk9s @AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA They canâ□□t.

2018-07-01T16:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013463469151023105

@GalloNegro415 https://www.sacbee.com/news/state/california/fires/article214151299.html

---

2018-07-01T16:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013462398462640128

@GalloNegro415 County fire in Yolo.

2018-07-01T16:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013455909639471109

@AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA We can't make it rain. We can make it rain bit more if it's already going to rain. That's how cloud seeding works. They spray silver iodide on rain clouds to make them dump their load. Even then the increase is typically only a few percent overall.

Can't do it in summer in CA

2018-07-01T15:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013437245540298752

@GreenTeamBC Oh right. Well then I'd check at the start of rainfall if you want to get a reading. This site says it's less than 10 PPM (mg/L). But it's going to be a bit random at the start, so not sure how useful. http://www.ei.lehigh.edu/envirosci/watershed/wq/wqbackground/tdsbg.html

---

2018-07-01T14:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013435830801534976

@UfoSunday @PostDisclosure Scientists don't assume some things are something. They entertain a range of hypotheses, and they test them. I keep ET on my list, but other hypotheses are much better fits, in the Occamic sense.

2018-07-01T04:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013285471063977984

@GreenTeamBC @joerogan @bchydro @CityofVancouver @CityofDeltaBC No, those meters just detect alternating electromagnetic fields. I.e. Alternating current, or regular electricity. I use mine to test for live wires. Hold it near any outlet.

2018-07-01T04:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013276386348285953

@GreenTeamBC Might want to check your meter works. Try it in smoke.

2018-06-30T23:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013196414938017792

@GreenTeamBC It would matter yes. But rain water is generally pretty pure. We are talking about parts per million or even parts per billion. It can vary a lot depending on how dusty the air is.

2018-06-30T23:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013196071412031488

@GreenTeamBC Very few, and the FAA.

2018-06-30T22:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013185395952005120

@GreenTeamBC Contrails are mostly water - like a cloud. But they form on tiny condensation nuclei - some of those are just regular cloud nuclei from the air, like dust. But sometimes it's soot and stuff from the exhaust.

Basically 99.99% of what you see is water, just like a natural cloud.

2018-06-30T21:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013174993511804929

@AzSkyWatcher @naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Weather modification has been done openly since the 1950s. It's a boring thing that local authorities do to get more rain or snow. Check the date on this classified ad. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg-FMgBU0AEJaA4.jpg

---

Public Notices

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Notice is hereby given that it is the intent of the Water Resources Development Corporation of 460 South Broadway, Denver 9, Colorado, which holds License No. 54 of the State of Oregon, to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, for and on behalf of MORROW COUNTY WEATHER RESEARCH ASSOCIATION, located at Echo. Oregon. The object of the above program will be to increase natural rainfall.

1. The area to be affected is described as lying within Morrow County and Northwestern Umatilla County, State of Oregon.
2. The Operation will be conducted through the use of ground-based silver iodide generators and located within the Counties of Grant, Wheeler, Wasco, Gilliam, Morrow, Umatilla and Sher-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg-FMgBU0AEJaA4.jpg
2018-06-30T21:36:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013174471677505536
@__dregs__ @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure See this is the problem when all we have to go by is some verbal info. There's pretty much zero real data here. Fravor thinks he saw an extraterrestrial craft bopping around, there's video of a distant normal speed object. That's about all we know for sure.

2018-06-30T20:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013164972795314177
@__dregs__ @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure How can multiple jets moving at 300 mph be "at" precise coordinates? Seems more likely it defines a mile wide holding pattern.

2018-06-30T20:18:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013154886949588992
@__dregs__ @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure Coincidence? Who knows? How big is the cap point? Where exactly was the "object"?

2018-06-30T19:28:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013142330692386816
@__dregs__ @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure It's most likely not radar returns from what the pilots saw. Because there would have been a MASSIVE release of energy if it moved that fast - like a meteorite hitting the atmosphere (except a much denser atmosphere). Burst eardrums, windows, and sunburns were not observed.

2018-06-30T18:48:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013132284092805120
@FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure If I had that evidence then I would analyze it.

2018-06-30T18:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013132054467211264
@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio Who has that logic?

2018-06-30T18:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013124920690135040
@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio That's a tricky thing to put into an equation. How do you do it?

2018-06-30T17:55:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013118830262902784
@PostDisclosure @FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio What are the actual limits to people making mistakes and getting things wrong?

2018-06-30T17:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1013118583956635648
@FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure There's no data in the

report. There's second-hand accounts of interpretations of the data.

When do you predict this change is coming? Would you put money on it?

2018-06-30T17:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013111051473248257

@FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure There's no photos in that case. Nor are there radar returns other than in verbal accounts.

2018-06-30T17:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013110827052724224

@DonJRobertson My favorite Aurelius quote used to be "Love the little trade which thou hast learned, and be content therewith" (although I'm not so sure any more). It's interesting looking at the various translations (which is why I have two versions)

http://mickwest.com/2007/01/08/the-little-trade/

2018-06-30T17:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013108281391214597

@FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure Which one would you pick?

2018-06-30T17:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013108174759444480

@DonJRobertson @mpigliucci I also have two different translations of Marcus Aurelius' Meditations on my Facebook profile pic. I'm a Stoic fan!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg9H_coV4AAiI_X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg9H_coV4AAiI_X.jpg

2018-06-30T17:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013106912043208704

@FreemanStahl @Joshphi23040839 @OmniTalkRadio @PostDisclosure Someone should publishing one of those "compelling" cases with the radar returns and photographic evidence.

2018-06-30T17:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013106153062973441

@DonJRobertson @mpigliucci I was just jesting, sorry. I like Massimo's work a lot, and I've seen him speak a couple of times. His discussion of the demarcation problem directly influenced the notion of a "conspiracy demarcation line" that I use in my book Escaping the Rabbit Hole

---

2018-06-30T16:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013098731749531649

@DonJRobertson @mpigliucci Don't Stoic exercises consist mostly of shoulder shrugs?

2018-06-30T16:04:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013090996559572992

There's a lot more planes in the sky than people realize. Over 200,000 flights in a single day. No wonder we occasionally see criss-crossed contrails. Especially near intersections of routes and bottlenecks like Yosemite to SFO.

https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/1013088775973556224

2018-06-30T15:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1013087927436394496

@supernick71 @CornwallLive Those are solar halos, not sundogs. 70 days a year sounds about right, based on historical observations since the 1500s.

https://www.metabunk.org/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literature.t6742/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg82EQhV4AAkGCU.jpg

---

the outermost circle was a bright red. In 1728, one was seen of a pale red outwardly, then followed yellow, and then green, terminated by a white. Corona.

These coronas are very frequent. In Holland, M. Muschenbroeck says, 50 may be seen in the day-time, almost every year; but they are difficult to be observed, except the eye be so situated, that not the body of the sun, but only the neighbouring parts of the heavens, can be seen. Mr Middleton says, that this phenomenon is very frequent in North America; for that there is generally one or two about the sun every week, and as many about the moon every month. Halos round the sun are very frequent in Russia. M. Æpinus says, that from the 23d of April 1758, to the 20th of September, he himself had observed no less than 26, and that he has sometimes seen twice as many in the same space of time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg82EQhV4AAkGCU.jpg

2018-06-30T03:00:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012893601695555584
@naomirwolf @aftertheXhale @jimamirault @weatherwarsinfo @rsqk9s @CyStanton @AzSkyWatcher @acls9_9 @2d_p30ple @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @SlicksTweetz @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA â□□Chemtrailsâ□□ is the name given to a supposed covert large scale geoengineering program which scientist and official deny exists. Isnâ□□t that what you are suggesting?

2018-06-29T18:50:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012770366433841157
@SkeptInquirer @stephenfry Thanks! I'm greatly looking forward to it! I shall make the use of iron microspheres for debunking both interesting and entertaining :)

2018-06-29T18:03:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012758526303670272
Advanced and humbling praise from @stephenfry for Escaping the Rabbit Hole.

Release is set for September 18.
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/r
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg4JC5fV4AAdvdT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dg4JC5fV4AAdvdT.jpg
2018-06-28T20:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012436331132174336
@jboat1992 @JeremyCorbell It's a rhetorical question.
If I'm doing good analysis then that moves everyone closer to understanding what is being observed.

2018-06-28T20:31:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012433244271214592
@jboat1992 @JeremyCorbell How could I be moving backwards if I conduct accurate analysis?

2018-06-28T20:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012430451686817793

@jboat1992 @JeremyCorbell I analyze things based on available information. What's wrong with that?

2018-06-28T17:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012382979132252162

@devgru1980mi @MergeReport1 Did anyone ever draw a diagram of these hundred of tic-tacs?

2018-06-28T15:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012361641579565061

@jboat1992 UFO's clearly exist. I see UFOs all the time. The question is what are they.
The ones I see are probably planes and birds, sometimes balloons, or spider silk, or seed. But it's hard to be sure, so they remain unidentified.

2018-06-28T07:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012242819837091840

@jboat1992 That's impossible. You can't prove something doesn't exist.

2018-06-27T22:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012094913662496768

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake Nice, thanks!

2018-06-27T22:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012094846465540096

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake but a hecka lot of gamma ray energy...

2018-06-27T19:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012052939324645376

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake Minor? Do the math. What's the KE required to move a parcel of air out of the way of a 20 foot diameter object doing 10,000 mph over 60,000 feet? Consider the speed the air has to move at each point on the path.
Draw a diagram, introduce suitable notation.

2018-06-27T19:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012051888617893888

@UAPinfo Sure sounds like radar glitches to me. And to the NIMITZ folk as well, at least initially, as they didn't seen anyone to investigate this for a while. The lack of follow up also seems to indicate they continued to think it was radar glitches.

2018-06-27T19:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012048534130941952

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake The molecules still have to move, and later stop moving. Both require energy.

2018-06-27T18:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012044065519517696

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake Even if you can bend spacetime, how can you get through the atmosphere? If you compress it, then that just makes it denser. Near instant displacement of mass through air results in huge energy releases, even under optimistic theoretical interpretations of spacetime.

2018-06-27T18:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012043538786160641

@StevenM10657487 @PostDisclosure @MergeReport1 @AnunnakiAwake Physics is not necessarily a never ending stream of more and more impressive possibilities. It's entirely possible, for example, that FTL travel is literally impossible in the this universe. In this example we've got the more mundane problem of Krakatoa level sonic booms.

2018-06-27T18:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012035743525437441

@MergeReport1 @PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake No craft, according to conventional physics. So your explanation requires new physics which is a pretty big thing to hinge an explanation on.

New physics vs. some unlikely coincidences ....

2018-06-27T17:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012032302975672321

@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure How do you know what he saw was what the ship radar saw? It did not show up on his radar.

2018-06-27T17:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012028310891577344

@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake so take the Chilean case, and add a couple more coincidences/mistakes.

Is that IMPOSSIBLE?

2018-06-27T17:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012028032234602497

@PostDisclosure @GazPyrotechnics Which pretty much means aliens, right? I mean if it's something that US government can't buy.
You think aliens buzzing the fleet are more likely than some pilots making mistakes and some dodgy radar returns.
Would you deny that?

2018-06-27T17:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012027085731217409

@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake How have you determined he did not make a mistake? Eyewitnesses mess things up all the time. Remember the Chilean Navy UFO case that Leslie Keane was all excited about? People said the same thin about military pilots there. It was a plane.

2018-06-27T17:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012026619567861760
@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure How do you figure? And how are you figuring they are consistent? There's discrepancies in the accounts, and there's nothing to show the radar was pinging the same thing Fravor saw, or what the far distant object was in the FLIR video. Could all have been different.

2018-06-27T17:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012023736860471296
@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake Your near-deification of Fravor might be a problem here. Everyone makes mistakes.

Why has he never drawn a picture of what he saw? Why has nobody drawn a picture?

2018-06-27T17:21:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012023052119359488
While we can educate people to investigate the claims of viral videos more carefully, we are clearly not going to educate everyone at once, or even soon. Other technological measures need to be put in place by social media providers to fight the rise of dangerous fake news.
https://twitter.com/DisinfoEU/status/1011994607637401601

2018-06-27T17:15:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012021630887837696
@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure Not defective, just subject to to occasional faulty returns, like all radar is. Clutter, wave reflections, and target disambiguation are all ongoing issues for shipborne radar.
And statistically speaking, rare coincidences occasionally happen.

2018-06-27T17:05:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012019202591297536
@GazPyrotechnics @PostDisclosure I'm not suggesting that. I'm suggesting it's less likely than human error and equipment problems.

2018-06-27T17:05:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012018988635717632
@PostDisclosure You are conflating two different things. There's zero evidence that the FLIR video is of the same thing that the pilots saw. You can't see it visually at that distance with a 1Â° FOV on the FLIR

2018-06-27T17:03:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012018626717614081
@PostDisclosure @GazPyrotechnics But you think it's more likely it was ALIEN TECHNOLOGY than some mistakes? Rank these:
A) Mistakes and equipment problems
B) Advanced physics defying human technology
C) Advanced physics defying alien technology.
I'd say A > B > C

2018-06-27T16:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012015243420024832

@kristenhinkson @karenricks

https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dgtmd6UVQAAzFdh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dgtmd6UVQAAzFdh.jpg

2018-06-27T16:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012014886983905280

@PostDisclosure @GazPyrotechnics So you think it's more likely that they spotted aliens than made some mistake?

2018-06-27T16:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012012970585440256

@PostDisclosure @GazPyrotechnics Do unlikely things ever happen?

2018-06-27T16:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1012005861894393856

@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake For example, could they at least give some indication as to the size and shape of the tic-tac as perceived in the cockpit. Like which of these matches what they saw? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgtduUNUYAATXyP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgtduUNUYAATXyP.jpg
2018-06-27T16:10:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012005131179573248
@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake Pics?

2018-06-27T16:06:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012004373667274752
@PostDisclosure @AnunnakiAwake Did they see the same thing? Why did they never, in the years since, draw a picture of what they saw? Verbal account of "a tic-tac" are easy to match with no actual context. Half the planes I see in the sky look like tic-tacs at some point.

2018-06-27T16:02:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1012003349296631809
@aquajane50 @UFOvet @Aviation_Intel What do you mean by the "the totality of the evidence?" TTSA evidence? Certainly not proof of some advanced technology. Can't say exactly what the videos and events were, but there are several mundane possibilities that cannot be ruled out unless you feel pilots are infallible.

2018-06-27T02:17:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1011795546300805121
@JasonColavito I should probably read it then :)

2018-06-27T01:11:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1011779111688130560
@JasonColavito True, also they didn't actually get the money.
I think your article is great, I was especially interested in the historical part, scientology, and science fiction.
I'm also not a fan of philosophical waffling. For me skepticism is about science, not semantics.

2018-06-27T00:54:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1011774836148875265
@JasonColavito Sure, and I find both things very interesting, just not particularly important.

There's lots of graft on a much large scale, and DeLonge's dubious fundraising is arguable no worse than many Indigogo campaigns/scams like the Skarp Laser Razor. https://www.kickstarter.com/projects/skarp/the-skarp-laser-razor-21st-century-shaving?ref=category_newest

---

2018-06-26T22:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1011733454025736192

@JasonColavito I think a lot of skeptics consider investigating UFO's as fruitless "Bigfoot skepticism". Even when there's real public money involved, it's perhaps hard for them to care from a skeptical perspective. And from a political perspective it's just a minor bit of pork.

2018-06-26T21:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1011730522332991488

An excellent summary of the current state of affairs with Bigelow Aerospace and Tom DeLonge's UFO "investigations". They have apparently gone "full paranormal." https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1011587834610843648

2018-06-26T17:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1011658487439376384

@franklyteran @TammyJParadis @KaleighRogers @KenCaldeira @ScienceSolvedIt @sarah_witman I wasn't disagreeing. The discussion was about how to communicate the degree. Color perception is a tricky thing. There's probably more perceptible variance between different iPhone screens than the amount of whitening (max 10%, according to ken's calculations.) All theoretical. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dgoh1EzVMAAwrQ4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dgoh1EzVMAAwrQ4.jpg

2018-06-25T23:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1011384898303623168

@4thAnon It's called actuarial science, and is centuries old. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Actuarial_science

---

2018-06-24T15:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010914609169981440
What is fringe for science is ordinary for many people
https://twitter.com/daniel_loxton/status/1010692116396163072

2018-06-23T12:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1010505013100023808
@__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure It's hard to know if they saw the same thing. Did they given independent accounts before they discussed it with each other? How soon after the incident did they each write down what they saw? What happened before that? What do those account say that differs?

2018-06-23T05:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1010390770807762944
@__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure I'm referring to the excitable "source"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgWhC-nVAAApJp6.jpg



concerns of limited fuel, OK-2 requested another group of F-18s respond to the call. At this time, the female controller's voice became more directive in tone and ordered the two F-18s to the new operating area. (Source Comment – I became nervous when I heard the female controller for the second time, I could sense concern and urgency in her voice and I realized this was not a drill and that this was for real.)

Both F-18s assumed combat formation en route to the new location. Source and OK-1's aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3's aircraft and both F-18s proceeded east at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island. Source inquired to OK-1, "What do you think it is?" to which OK-1 responded, "It might be drug runners." Source then remarked to OK-1, "Bad ass!" (Source Comment – As a new pilot, the idea that we were being asked to intercept drug runners was exciting to me. I fully expected to see a low flying Cessna or helicopter coming from Mexico.)

As both F-18s approached the new operating area, the female controller announced, "Approaching merge plot". At this time, the female controller asked, "What is your load-out?" (Field Comment – The request for load-out refers to the quantity and type of ordinance the aircraft is armed

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgWhC-nVAAApJp6.jpg
2018-06-23T05:14:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1010390572987645953
@FreemanStahl @ParabunkBlog @PostDisclosure They didn't classify this either, did they?

2018-06-23T05:13:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1010390465617641472
@JeremyCorbell @ParabunkBlog @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Why would they send fighters out if they were detecting ICBMs at 60,000 feet? An ICBM trajectory reading is obviously an error.

2018-06-22T19:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1010242205284368384

@SvenKolbow @devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure I think it's 100% true that black swans exist. I've seen them myself, I've seen video, I've seen photos, I've seen consistent historical accounts going back over 300 years. I can see them TODAY in the zoo just 2 hours away. Extraordinary evidence. 100% http://www.sfzoo.org/animals/birds/blackswan.htm

---

2018-06-22T19:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010240396683689984

@FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure One can still sell if a hypothesis fit without concluding it is correct. One can still say what they think is probable, based on existing data.

2018-06-22T16:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010204560579686400

@SvenKolbow @devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure Prove what?

2018-06-22T16:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010201912019337216

@FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure Balloon. And how do you know they did not? There's zero context around the GO FAST video.

2018-06-22T16:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010199279099527169

@SvenKolbow @devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure I think you perhaps mistake the problem here. "How can one prove the existence of a phenomenon that is not falsifiable?" is not a real problem. The existence of black swans is not falsifiable. But it's trivially easy to prove they exist. UFO as advanced tech is not falsifiable.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgTyiypVAAA6tkP.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgTyiypVAAA6tkP.jpg

2018-06-22T16:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010197838637092865

@devgru1980mi @paulsanderson @PostDisclosure But Paul was making the distinction between highly trained servicemen and religious devotees. But here we have highly trained servicemen who THINK THEY WERE GIVEN PSYCHIC POWERS BY ALIENS. I'd suggest that to most people that's not too different to religious devotees.

2018-06-22T16:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010195504402083840

@FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure This is specifically referring to the GO FAST video. What did I manipulate? What errors were there in my analysis.

https://www.metabunk.org/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.t9569/

And I think balloon is more likely than bird. Analysis shows it could be as slow as 28 knots TAS.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DgTvW0KV4AAessq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DgTvW0KV4AAessq.jpg

2018-06-22T16:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010194637359681536

@paulsanderson @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Well let's be frank here. One of the star witnesses here says he gained psychic powers from the encounter and is now able to manifest things.

I don't like pointing out things like that, but if you base an argument on authority you need to give the full picture.

2018-06-22T16:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010192499162243072

@__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Very rare coincidences happen eventually given enough time and people. This seems like it might be a set of coincidences that does not happen very often. But it only needed to happen once. And really it's not that odd. Trainee pilot, equipment glitches. Mundane stuff.

2018-06-22T16:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010191705859973120

@FreemanStahl @PostDisclosure I see if the data fits a mundane explanation, like a plane, a bird, or a balloon. If it fits, then I'd keep that possibility high on the list. I don't manipulate data, I analyze it.

2018-06-22T16:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010191231928815616

@KikPlenty @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure The exact same thing? There's a large number of very different reports. All kinds of shapes, sizes, motions, actions, sounds, encounters, feeling, measurements, etc. Remarkably little consistency. Show me three photos of the same thing by different people.

2018-06-22T05:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010027438875688969

@devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure Here's some interesting info on these type of radar operations. http://navybmr.com/study%20material/NAVEDTRA%2014308A.pdf

---

2018-06-22T05:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010027091234967553

@SvenKolbow @devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure Which investigators? How do you know they didn't? What do you actually know about the investigation into this case?

2018-06-22T05:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010024662267383813

@__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure I'm arguing it was not perfect, since it has since been replaced with more accurate and reliable equipment. So there was potential for inaccuracies and faults.

2018-06-22T04:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010022504734208006

@devgru1980mi @JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure No, but I'm a computer programmer, so I'm familiar with "computerized functions." I know they can go wrong. Also if they want to increase accuracy it pretty obvious it was not perfectly accurate before.

2018-06-22T04:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010019587419615232

@JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure And why are they replacing AN/SPY-1 with the AN/SPY-6(V) to "provide greater detection ranges, increased discrimination accuracy, higher reliability" Sounds like they had problems with discrimination accuracy.

2018-06-22T04:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010018795451084802

@JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure And I notice you said "reduce" not "eliminate" - a significant reduction would make the rare instances when it went wrong seem a lot more significant.

2018-06-22T04:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010018563673845760

@JeremyCorbell @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure This computerized function, was it working?

2018-06-22T04:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010018251022036992

@devgru1980mi @paulsanderson @PostDisclosure And jets been sent out to investigate radar glitches, and some of them thinking they saw something, IS anomalous. That does not mean it's an exotic technology craft.

2018-06-22T04:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010017904610299906

@devgru1980mi @paulsanderson @PostDisclosure Well, there were several thousand witnesses at Fatima. Numbers ALONE are not enough. There needs to be corroboration.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miracle_of_the_Sun

2018-06-22T04:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010017282011983874

@devgru1980mi @Joshphi23040839 @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure He thinks Donald Trump is orchestrating UFO disclosure as well as secretly running "The Storm" to round up the deep state. It's just an odd conspiracy theory.
https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/06/opinion/qanon-trump-conspiracy-theory.html

---

2018-06-22T04:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010016760249049094

@PostDisclosure That's rather subjective. If UFO's are proven then we'd have vastly more interesting things to talk about.

2018-06-22T04:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010016476927889408

@devgru1980mi @paulsanderson @PostDisclosure More witnesses is always good. Especially if they independently give the same story. It's also good if elements of their story can be verified.

2018-06-22T04:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010016007845367808

@PostDisclosure New evidence arriving does not mean that the old evidence was good evidence. If my calculations were wrong then I'd admit that. If my conclusions were wrong because of incomplete information then I'd admit that. I'm all about the facts.

2018-06-22T04:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010015316229816320

@PostDisclosure It's a rhetorical question. What am I going to do, deny irrefutable evidence? I always admit when I am wrong - it's part of the debunker code. Nothing better than debunking yourself.

2018-06-22T04:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010014690385072130

@devgru1980mi @paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure Why would he say something new now? Has he

just remembered something?

2018-06-22T04:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010014459618721793

@PostDisclosure What exactly could possibly demonstrate to you that you were wrong? Say if the Nimitz video was 100% shown to be a plane? GO-FAST was a ballon, and GIMBAL a plane and glare. Then what? What would it take?

2018-06-22T04:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010013595122274304

@paulsanderson @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Well given the lack of interest show in them over most of that time, it still sounds like they thought it was clutter.

2018-06-22T04:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010013258646880260

@PostDisclosure And there's always going to be UFOs, simply because it's impossible to conclusively identify everything. The existence of exotic technologies or alien is not falsifiable. Their non-existence IS falsifiable (i.e. you can't prove something doesn't exists, but you can prove it does.

2018-06-22T04:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1010012343768477696

@PostDisclosure Most skeptics/debunkers are SF nerds. We'd LOVE to see unequivocal evident of exotic technologies â especially if of extraterrestrial origin! It would be awesome!

2018-06-22T02:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009981570596237312

@JeremyCorbell @FreemanStahl @SvenKolbow @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi They WOULD be. If only someone could spot one, and get a good photo or video.

2018-06-21T23:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009939074226851841

@FreemanStahl @SvenKolbow @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi I always doubt the government - who doesn't? But the government isn't actually saying anything here.

2018-06-21T21:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009908762243575808

@FreemanStahl @SvenKolbow @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi Doubt that the program found anything. That's why it was shut down, and nobody seems really interested in the UFO story.
Either that, or there's a conspiracy to cover it. Because genuine UFOs would be the most interesting thing in the world.

2018-06-21T21:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009907956995874816

@SvenKolbow @FreemanStahl @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi Well lots of people in the military seem to doubt it.

2018-06-21T18:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009866495537524736

@JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @devgru1980mi Ask him to draw some pictures of what he saw.

2018-06-21T17:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009845798476046336

@weatherwarsinfo @whytheliesuk Just photos?

2018-06-21T17:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009845714325630978

@weatherwarsinfo @whytheliesuk I mean can you demonstrate that by showing the ADS-B tracks of the planes in 3D, from multiple angles, and with the timelapse.
Just looking and confirming your beliefs to yourself is not helping get your message out.

And I have several cameras.

2018-06-21T17:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009845157003268096

@__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @devgru1980mi Both seem plausible. Also he might simply be exaggerating, or misremembering. he says he saw a flying saucer, not a caplet.

2018-06-21T17:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009843519706394625

@weatherwarsinfo @whytheliesuk Timelapse would also be highly illustrative of what is happening.

2018-06-21T16:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009843354597593089

@weatherwarsinfo @whytheliesuk Can you demonstrate this?

2018-06-21T16:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009842468617981952

@Joshphi23040839 @PostDisclosure @gigathought @SvenKolbow @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi Not without evidence I don't.

2018-06-21T16:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009842347796905984

@weatherwarsinfo @whytheliesuk You should download the tracks of those planes from FlightAware and plot them in Google Earth. See if they are actually anywhere near each other.

2018-06-21T16:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009840868826611718

@PostDisclosure @gigathought @SvenKolbow @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi Of maybe it was just radar clutter.

Radar clutter and rare disorientation.

vs.

Decades-long conspiracy to cover up joy-riding aliens buzzing the military and the public with physics defying-craft, and no photos or videos clearer than a blob.

Which seems the most probable?

2018-06-21T16:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009839205868945408

@SvenKolbow @gigathought @StephenVerona20 @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure And yet apparently not - as most of the crew just joked about it. They saw these odd radar returns for days.

2018-06-21T16:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009837680824238080

@vernon911truth @hoaxeye @Metabunk Where does it show this? It's obvious from looking at the penthouses that the core of WTC7 failed from east to west over several seconds.

2018-06-21T16:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009837419426820096

@gigathought @SvenKolbow @StephenVerona20 @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell @__dregs__ @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure "around 100" strongly suggests he was looking at radar clutter or birds. 100 high tech craft flying around would be a rather significant event.,

---

2018-06-20T21:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009552142355521536

@miketmbt There will be.

2018-06-20T19:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009521585655435264

@DebunkingNibiru Me too :)

2018-06-20T19:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009521475194314752

@leastactionman I'm not sure. But it will be available as an ebook on Amazon, Apple Books, B&N, Google Play, and Kobo

---

2018-06-20T19:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009512869497454592

My book Escaping the Rabbit Hole: How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories Using Facts, Logic, and Respect, is currently 40% off for Kindle pre-orders.

https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories-ebook/dp/B077YS5G2N/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgKCahwU0AAWPms.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgKCahwU0AAWPms.jpg

2018-06-20T16:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009471749212200960

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi Who are the "people at the very top of their game" who analyzed the video? Because I've not seen any impressive analysis.

2018-06-20T16:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009471109530390528
@mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure In 2016 two jets collided. One of the pilots was a flight instructor. Military jets collide surprisingly often. Even the best of the best are not immune to mistakes, especially in novel situations.
https://www.military.com/daily-news/2017/08/10/poor-planning-low-flight-hours-led-to-marine-hornet-collision.html

---

2018-06-20T15:41:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009461192870453248
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy Navy radar (and radar operators) also makes mistakes, sometimes quite serious mistakes. For example:
https://www.stripes.com/fitzgerald-collision-hearing-brings-ship-s-ongoing-radar-problems-into-focus-1.526518 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJTle_U8AANFY6.jpg

> Rear Adm. Brian Fort, who conducted a Navy investigation of the crash, testified for the prosecution that the SPS 67 radar in the CIC was set to the wrong pulse, which added what is known as clutter, or fuzziness, to the picture. He said that through his interviews with dozens of sailors on the Fitzgerald that night, the CIC had learned to live with it.
>
> "Quite frankly, they accepted the clutter on the radar and did absolutely nothing about it," he said in testimony by telephone.
>
> Defense witnesses testified that the pulse setting was controlled in a separate room by engineers, and that those in the CIC would not have known it was on the wrong setting. Clutter is also created by weather and atmospheric conditions.
>
> Similarly, Fort said the AIS – which identifies the name and location of every ship on the system -- would frequently go down because of a loose cable, and neither Woodley nor Combs complained.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJTle_U8AANFY6.jpg
2018-06-20T15:32:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009459062717624321
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy Navy pilots also occasionally make mistakes, even much more serious ones:
https://www.wearethemighty.com/articles/that-time-a-navy-f-14-accidentally-on-purpose-shot-down-an-air-force-f-4-during-an-exercise http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJRh92VMAAK7Mz.jpg

---

That time a Navy F-14 accidentally-on-purpose shot down an Air Force F-4 during an exercise



Hint: U.S. Navy pilots aren't supposed to do this to U.S. Air Force pilots. (Photo: Public Domain)

There are a couple things that everyone going into a military exercise absolutely has to get right. First, get good training and look for ways to improve both personal and unit performance. Second, and perhaps more importantly, don't really shoot anyone.

Guess which thing Navy Lt. (j.g.) Timothy Dorsey, an F-14 pilot, messed up while shadowing an Air Force RF-4C Phantom over the Mediterranean on Sep. 22, 1987?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJRh92VMAAK7Mz.jpg

2018-06-20T15:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009457707659583493

@devgru1980mi @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure I like identifying flying objects.

2018-06-20T15:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009457513715023872

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy Of course Navy Seals make mistakes. Everyone makes mistakes, at least occasionally. They are not gods. They can even make MAJOR mistakes. For example:

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/navy-seal-dies-after-accidentally-shooting-self/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJQK1yV4AAyn25.jpg

SAN DIEGO - Navy officials have confirmed that a Navy SEAL who accidentally shot himself in the head has died.

Navy spokesman Lt. Cmdr. Frank Magallon tells the U-T San Diego that Petty Officer 3rd Class Gene "Geno" Clayton, Jr. was taken off life support on Saturday. He is survived by his parents and three sisters.

Navy SEAL shoots self with gun believed unloaded

San Diego police were called on Thursday after the accident. Police say Clayton had gone to a bar and brought a woman back to his apartment and was showing her guns when he put a pistol to his head, believing it was unloaded, and pulled the trigger.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJQK1yV4AAyn25.jpg

2018-06-20T15:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009454498471862272

@devgru1980mi @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure "a new pilot" (and an excited one at that) New pilots make more mistakes than old pilots. But they both CAN make mistakes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJNV6DVQAQnkAK.jpg

---

concerns of limited fuel, OK-2 requested another group of F-18s respond to the call. At this time, the female controller's voice became more directive in tone and ordered the two F-18s to the new operating area. (Source Comment – I became nervous when I heard the female controller for the second time, I could sense concern and urgency in her voice and I realized this was not a drill and that this was for real.)

Both F-18s assumed combat formation en route to the new location. Source and OK-1's aircraft was approximately .3 NM behind OK-2 and OK-3's aircraft and both F-18s proceeded east at an altitude of approximately 10,000 to 20,000 feet towards San Clemente Island. Source inquired to OK-1, "What do you think it is?" to which OK-1 responded, "It might be drug runners." Source then remarked to OK-1, "Bad ass!" (Source Comment – As a new pilot, the idea that we were being asked to intercept drug runners was exciting to me. I fully expected to see a low flying Cessna or helicopter coming from Mexico.)

As both F-18s approached the new operating area, the female controller announced, "Approaching merge plot". At this time, the female controller asked, "What is your load-out?" (Field Comment – The request for load-out refers to the quantity and type of ordinance the aircraft is armed

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJNV6DVQAQnkAK.jpg

2018-06-20T15:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009453624819240960

@PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi I see dots in the sky literally EVERY DAY that I can't immediately identify with certainty (and sometimes I never identify them). There's always something just beyond the limit of your senses, augmented or otherwise.

2018-06-20T15:08:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009452885745139712

@PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi And how do you know how much experience they had? Here's a paraphrasing of the account of one source. Is this inaccurate at all? (via: https://coi.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJMAPVU0AIvmWe.jpg

So breaking that down, firstly he's nervous because it's "not a drill", then he's excited because he thinks it drug runners, then he's frightened because he thinks it's a 9/11 attack and he's going to have to ram an airline with his plane, then he thinks there a plane just crashed, and then literally two seconds later thinks that it's a missile test, and is worried that he will die because he won't be able to shoot it down, then he thinks he sees something moving so rapidly that he'd not have a chance, so he's scared of that. Then he loses sight of it, and when he gets back to the ship he's angry that nobody is taking him seriously, and thinks he may have been deliberately sent into the path of a US missile test and now everyone is making fun of him. After three different UFO theme films have been played, which he and his friends sees as mocking, they go into the ready room, slam the door shut, one of them makes a copy of of the video, and "Source" write a letter to his Aunt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgJMAPVU0AIvmWe.jpg
2018-06-20T15:06:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009452339692908544
@PostDisclosure @mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi Why do they train all the time if they never make mistakes?

2018-06-20T15:03:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009451581991866368
@devgru1980mi @mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure Yes I'm serious. Are you?

2018-06-20T15:00:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009451010249707520
@vernon911truth @hoaxeye @Metabunk Well I'm looking forward to it because it will confirm that the outer columns did not need to be destroyed with explosives in order to see the collapse we observed. Szamboti has already stated as much.

2018-06-20T14:59:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009450579414769664
@mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure And if they were "he most well trained pilots on the planet" then why were they on a training mission? Surely training means they are still learning things, still making mistakes (that's why they only had dummy missiles on the jets, in case they fired them by accident)

2018-06-20T14:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009449884049551361
@mesolithicguy @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure I think it's more like: weather conditions were causing anomalous returns, some jets went out to see if there was anything there. They latched onto a few different unrelated things, from fish shoals to seagulls and distant planes, but ultimately nothing concrete was discovered.

2018-06-19T22:43:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009204967712776192
@wanderinganimal @Oswald1160 @mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf So why have they been described in books since the 1940s?
Think about it, if all the books were describing things that you never saw, then why didn't people notice all the books were wrong?

2018-06-19T22:38:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009203717801848832
@webbery Cool.

2018-06-19T22:11:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009196992856092672
@wanderinganimal @Oswald1160 @mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf Sometimes there are clouds in some regions of the sky, but not in others.
Sometimes contrails form in some regions of the sky but not in others.
Same thing.

2018-06-19T22:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009195692609302528

@webbery Why is this still on your site?

2018-06-19T14:03:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009074225284505600

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure No that would be incredibly significant, if true.

2018-06-19T13:59:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009073064686936064

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure No, I've read about the nuke thing before. Didn't look interesting. No real evidence, just some recollections, tales, and possible coincidences.

2018-06-19T13:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009072705667125258

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure And what are you basing this on? None of the witnesses say there were fire orders. Nobody said it was assessed as a threat. Trevor says his supervisors ignored it. Sound to me like they though it was radar glitches.

2018-06-19T13:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009071002410672128

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure What? Why would they fire on something if they did not know what it was?

2018-06-19T13:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009070818326790144

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure No, I'm not willing to do that.

2018-06-19T13:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009069940538654720

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Surely actual evidence, like multiple HD videos of the same event, would be the best tool?

2018-06-19T13:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009069496374419457

@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi I'd expect them to go battle stations, scramble everything they've got, alert the President, prep the nukes.
Good grief, if they though it WASN'T just a glitch, that's an incredibly serious event. At the very least it's foreign aircraft of stunningly new capabilities

---

2018-06-19T13:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009068509320474624

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Not at all, one just needs to consider that witnesses make mistakes. So it's always a probability.

2018-06-19T13:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009068228176297984

@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi "Mimic" is perhaps a poor choice of word :)

2018-06-19T13:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009067956590952448
@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi He said "[my supervisors] said, okay, it's no big deal" and "they basically ignored it".
Does that sound like they thought there was an actual craft teleporting from spot to spot?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-VWVzaww1eU&feature=youtu.be&t=5m40s

---

2018-06-19T13:35:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009067065913700352
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Things "might" anything. I don't hate witnesses, however it's well known that witnesses stories vary greatly. It's also well known that memories change over time. One should not ignore these facts.

2018-06-19T13:33:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009066550278549504
@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi You'd think Trevor would at least have sketched some diagrams of what he saw. Verbal descriptions are open to misinterpretation.
However his account of radar blips does sound like fairly banal glitches. His supervisors were unconcerned.

2018-06-19T13:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009065837766967297
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Delete the word "alien" then - physics defying is the key thing.

2018-06-19T13:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009065591909388288
@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi Which tweet?

2018-06-19T13:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009065423092871169
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Yes I'm serious. One guys says it's a caplet shape, the other says it's a saucer shape. What does this difference suggest to you?

2018-06-19T13:26:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009064974075871232
@vernon911truth @hoaxeye @Metabunk I look forward to examining the results of the Hulsey study.

2018-06-19T13:25:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009064574467784704
@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure Why would two witnesses reporting DIFFERENT THINGS make the event more credible? Surely that simply illustrates the problems with eyewitness observations and memories.

2018-06-19T13:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1009064171168624640
@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi Really? Like what? Requiring that humans are fallible?

2018-06-19T13:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009064052172046336

@PostDisclosure @devgru1980mi I think it's fascinating that you consider mistaken interpretations to be a huge reach, but physics-defying alien spacecraft to be less of a reach. It's like saying the simplest explanation for Criss Angels' tricks is that he's using actual magic instead of difficult illusions.

2018-06-19T13:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009063318542139392

@devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure "Fake" is always somewhere on the list of possibilities, depending on what the footage looks like. However "mistaken interpretation" is generally at the top. "Advanced technology, maybe aliens" is generally at the bottom, as it requires so much.

2018-06-19T12:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1009054251056680961

@vernon911truth @hoaxeye @Metabunk What if it was just a few tons of resistance? Would there be a visible difference? If it's normally a few hundred tons, then a few tons is just 1%.

2018-06-19T05:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008945600040132608

@wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @mikejacobsen99 Modern high bypass engines make more contrails that older engines, as they have cooler exhaust.

Water in exhaust gases are what makes contrails. Burn hydrocarbons (HxCy) and you get CO2 and H20. Regardless of engine type.

Even burning pure hydrogen (H2) would make contrails

2018-06-19T04:50:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008935045963268096

@ikingjosh All paint?

2018-06-19T04:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008932421557878786

@webbery My take is that you are rather obviously misreading some satellite data. I could look up what it is and tell you, but you could look it up yourself too. Since some people don't trust me, then it's better for everyone if you do it - so accurate information is disseminated.

2018-06-19T04:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008926353448525824

@naomirwolf @wanderinganimal @mikejacobsen99 Who said it isn't a problem? The point is that contrails are not a NEW problem. Contrails have been affecting the sky, the weather, and the climate since the 1940s.

https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/contrails-aviation-affects-climate/

2018-06-19T04:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008922996042416133

@wanderinganimal @mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf Contrail are clouds. Clouds persist. QED.

2018-06-19T04:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008922452083109889

@webbery I think you are quite capable of figuring out your misunderstand yourself.

2018-06-19T00:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008866547496976385

@wanderinganimal @mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf You say the internet is polluted with lies, but where are you getting your "true physics" from? The science of contrails is in books going back 70+ years, it's in thousands of scientific papers, & it's in the actual correlation between upper-air humidity and contrail persistence

---

2018-06-19T00:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008864954252865536

@ThorGoLucky Thanks!

2018-06-19T00:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008864699746643968

@ikingjosh I think they were probably paint chips with a layer of rust. I've found some similar looking chips after hitting my wheelbarrow with a hammer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgA1DmpU8AA9AWF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DgA1DmpU8AA9AWF.jpg

2018-06-18T17:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008764693056405514

@mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf There's no charge. And I only debunk things that are demonstrably false.

2018-06-18T17:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008764444510339073

@mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf Both false. Water injection was first investigated in the 1930s, and not used until the 1940s (then still experimentally). High bypass engines actually make MORE contrails that low bypass, as the exhaust (otherwise identical) is cooler.

https://www.snowperformance.eu/en/water-injection/boost-cooler-history

---

2018-06-18T16:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1008741653182418944

@mikejacobsen99 @naomirwolf What's misleading there is the idea that they "persist and form

cloud cover now". They have ALWAYS done this. The very first observations of contrails in the 1920 describe this. It's not new. It's certainly an issue, but not a new one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Df_FIugVMAAOU2P.jpg

Cloud Formation by Supercharged Plane

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field, recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a LePere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26–27,000 feet at 11.50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently ten to fifteen times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps two miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after two or three miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been three miles long. After twenty minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

The observer reported as having observed nothing unusual; occasionally the motor would give a burst of black exhaust gas. The pilot thought he remembered having passed through a stratum that felt damp. Clouds at this altitude are necessarily ice crystals, or needles, and it is difficult to determine the cause of the cloud formation under consideration. Possible contributing causes are: Water in exhaust; dust and carbon particles in exhaust serving as cloud nuclei; convective currents due to disturbance caused by passage of plane. Conditions of humidity were undoubtedly ideal for the formation of cloud at that altitude.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Df_FIugVMAAOU2P.jpg
2018-06-18T00:50:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1008512138053181441
@PlantBasedComic Their evidence is so wrong it's doubtful they are serious. Look into field rotation. It's the simplest thing possible, and yet they ignore it. If not trolling, then address that.

2018-06-18T00:11:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1008502318797451264
@PlantBasedComic I'd consider it if they first post a video demonstrating they understand how field rotation causes the apparent rotation of sunspots. Otherwise I'd have to assume they are just trolling.

2018-06-15T17:48:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1007681348897656832
@ambermekush That's just what I write about. I started writing about chemtrails, then other subjects arose. I focus mostly on claims of evidence that people make regarding conspiracy theories.

2018-06-15T06:52:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1007516132297699328
@ambermekush Bit too general.

2018-06-14T20:13:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1007355315405246464
@heatherspence @NASA @GLOBEProgram Wind shear though, not just wind. Wind is indicated by the contrail moving. Sometimes they move without spreading much.

2018-06-14T05:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1007133979684757504
@KenCaldeira You can recalibrate the scale. I've got a 500g reference mass just for that purpose. In theory you could take that around with you as you travel, recalibrating your scales in new cities for the perfect cup of joe.
I suspect though you'll be close enough :)

2018-06-13T20:20:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006994667483717633
I get a bit of flack for calling myself a debunker. I like the word because "debunk" is a verb, but "skeptic" isn't. Debunking, *practical* debunking, is about investigating dubious claims and then effectively communicating the results.
https://twitter.com/SkeptInquirer/status/1006976015636164608

2018-06-13T16:56:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006943427785736192
@latchkeyme @mtaibbi I think the point is more that it generally doesn't work out well.

2018-06-13T16:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006942607333683200
@mtaibbi Truman: "We had lunch, talked socially, put on a real show of drinking toasts to everyone, then had pictures made in the backyard. I can deal with Stalin. He is honest -- but smart as hell."
https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/opinions/1980/10/19/truman-on-stalin-ike-commies-and-nuclear-war/90884781-94cb-4e7a-8aa3-6284c6f06e84/?utm_term=.92e6d3405f33

2018-06-13T16:07:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006931138592436225
RT @KenCaldeira: What do you do when a poorly expressed idea is quoted out of context by people with a political agenda?

#climate #energyâ¦

2018-06-13T00:16:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006691755939336192
@disinformatico @ufoofinterest And NOT a contrail. It's a long exposure. A light streak from a moving light.

2018-06-13T00:12:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006690850015866880
@disinformatico @ufoofinterest I'd agree it's probably a light on an aircraft. More or less horizontal. Perspective makes it look vertical. The top is closer to the camera. Discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/washington-state-island-mystery-missile-plane-with-landing-lights.t9787/

2018-06-12T19:42:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1006622750771896320
@SimonGr41594862 The target audience is more their friends and relative. For most people

there's always someone with a theory more extreme than their's, so there's something for everyone. 9/11 Folk can debunk chemtrails. Chemtrails folk can debunk Flat Earth. Flat Earth Folk can debunk ... ?

2018-06-12T19:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1006618793265647616

Escaping The Rabbit Hole won't actually hit the shelves until September 18th, but you can preorder now. https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8

2018-06-12T17:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1006583335219425280

@ThatRUSSTrader @eddiebravo He had no argument, so it was a little tricky to formulate a counter argument. My calculator uses the standard value of the radius of the Earth, so people can go out and VERIFY it. That's the whole point. The calculation of the radius by other means is another topic

---

2018-06-11T23:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1006324913479696384

@ThatRUSSTrader @eddiebravo See Eddie, there's nothing to be afraid of. Let's have a debate/chat sometime. :)

2018-06-11T05:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1006045128912338944

@wanderinganimal @naomirwolf @Gregorca @TommyLasV @DanPeacock12 @PamJonesLiberty @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @LionelMedia @Surfhempster @AzSkyWatcher I don't think anyone has ever denied weather modification. It's been done quite openly since the 1950s

---

2018-06-09T18:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1005520437474816000

@aquajane50 No, not really an area of interest. I was trying to explain perspective to a Flat-Earther, and I found these interesting illustrations.

2018-06-09T16:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1005488399090778112

500 years ago the accurate projection of 3D objects into 2D images was a laborious manual process. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfQ2LTVUcAAvJZB.jpg

---



Albrecht Dürer, *Mechanical creation of a perspective image*, 1525

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfQ1YAZU8AAnmmW.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfQ2LTVUcAAvJZB.jpg

2018-06-09T15:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1005474218564128768

@DewellConsult They "dissolve" (actually they "sublimate") because the relative humidity at that altitude is not high enough.
You may well not remember, but contrails did sometimes persist when you were kid. Other

---

2018-06-08T16:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1005128427903467520
@MarcMcD @SkepticsGuide @SkepticalDDS @gorskon @crackedscience @skepticpedi @NECSS @PrismPodcast @FinchLydia @MrMMarsh @QEDcon At the last two CSICons Richard Dawkins arrived at the Saturday party with an entourage of friends, then he spend a good chunk of the time wandering around by himself. He was quite happy to chat, but people seemed afraid of him.
Fostering social interaction is tricky and varies.

2018-06-08T16:40:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1005127404631699456
@FinchLydia @SkepticalDDS @MarcMcD @SkepticsGuide @gorskon @crackedscience @skepticpedi @NECSS @PrismPodcast @MrMMarsh @QEDcon I doubt there's more than 20% - especially at large conferences. CSICon had problems with a small bar. But even back at TAM with that lounge area. Compare that with the audience at a keynote.
I think this calls for a scientific study. :)

2018-06-08T16:14:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1005120862012375040
@SkepticalDDS @MarcMcD @SkepticsGuide @gorskon @crackedscience @skepticpedi @NECSS @PrismPodcast @FinchLydia @MrMMarsh @QEDcon Why do so many attendees "retreat" in the evening. You can't fit the entire convention in the bar.

2018-06-08T16:12:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1005120288055427072
@MarcMcD @SkepticsGuide @SkepticalDDS @gorskon @crackedscience @skepticpedi @NECSS @PrismPodcast @FinchLydia @MrMMarsh @QEDcon Ideally yes - but unfortunately the "celebs" are celebs because they work a lot and have lots of connections, and so are often either very busy or are catching up with their vast existing social network. That said I've always had nice chats with people I've approached.

2018-06-08T16:04:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1005118356591075328
@MarcMcD @SkepticsGuide @SkepticalDDS @gorskon @crackedscience @skepticpedi @NECSS @PrismPodcast @FinchLydia @MrMMarsh @QEDcon I think a good chunk of the perceived "standoffishness" is simply that people tend to socialize largely with people they know at conferences. This is true both for "celebs" and everyone else. There's lonely chaps wondering around, benign cliques, and normal social interactions.

2018-06-08T04:02:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004936682523656192
@DewellConsult Contrails do not (always) evaporate because A) they freeze and B) the ambient

humidity is high enough to support the existence of an ice cloud (and generally high for that cloud to grow).

2018-06-08T04:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004936381968183296

@DewellConsult Your breath evaporates because A) it does not freeze, and B) the ambient temperature and humidity are too low to support the existence of a cloud. Initial mixing creates favorable conditions so a (liquid water) cloud forms. Continued mixing results in dryer air, so it evaporates.

2018-06-08T03:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004934588521594882

@DewellConsult It does at -40 and >100 RHI, any book on clouds confirms this. Heck CLOUDS confirm this. Clouds grow and spread in sunlight. Cirrus clouds are ice.

2018-06-07T22:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004857322894585857

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt It's not about changing minds. It's about sharing information. I hope I've at least told you about some things that you did not know before. Increasing knowledge is generally a good thing.

2018-06-07T22:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004853525300445185

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt So why do you think that contrails should not persist?

2018-06-07T22:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004853374888468480

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt You have hundred of photos of contrails. Look here, there's hundreds of photos of contrails from before 1955:
https://www.metabunk.org/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.t487/

2018-06-07T22:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004852910637789184

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt You also see them in old TV shows, like The Rat Patrol, 1959 @22:25
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3URrYnZS_Tw&feature=youtu.be&t=22m25s
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfH0ZFuV4AAsRut.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfH0ZFuV4AAsRut.jpg
2018-06-07T22:25:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004851933151035392
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt You should check out old books on clouds. There's similar images. Or there's a variety of old photos with similar trails. This is from the outdoor set of Spartacus in 1959. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfHzYvGUEAASo-8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfHzYvGUEAASo-8.jpg
2018-06-07T22:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004847089531023361
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt Those "perfectly drawn pentagrams" are lucky alignments. And very rare. But the Metabunk Skydentify team have traced down the planes of some of them. https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfHvFhsVMAA4u7g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfHvFhsVMAA4u7g.jpg

2018-06-07T22:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004846659140874240

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt Sure looks like contrails. Just like in old photos.

2018-06-07T19:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004810744368525312

@businessinsider Kind of silly to open your video with low altitude smoke trails at an air show. Nothing at all to do with contrails.

2018-06-07T19:40:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004810380126793728

@DewellConsult If it's hot enough to melt, then why do the ice crystals form in the first place? It's -40Â° up there.

And what about regular ice crystal clouds, like cirrus? Why don't they melt?

2018-06-07T18:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004793254666096640

@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt Roll cloud, or morning glory

2018-06-07T17:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004779913130328065

@STVS_Belgium Thatâ□s an aerodynamic contrail. They are often patchy like that as they are much more sensitive to atmospheric conditions.

2018-06-07T17:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004778142622367744
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt Iridescent

2018-06-06T23:16:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004502337749073920
@IncoherentTweet @intellihubnews @Metabunk @infowars What banal demonetized channels are you comparing his to?

2018-06-06T23:11:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004501124940853248
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt No, contrails sometimes fade and sometimes persist and spread. Any book on clouds in the last 70 years will tell you this.

2018-06-06T23:10:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004500932665565184
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt They are limited by the specification of the plane. Even if there some advanced technology that lets the carry more weight, there's still a volume limit. Plane are only a few hundred tons, with cargo. So adding thousands of tons of something else is a non-started.

2018-06-06T20:43:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004463688693133312
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt "Homo" is a modifier that's applied to a variety of different clouds forms. Clouds over cooling towers are similar. They are all still just clouds though i.e. they are made of water.

2018-06-06T20:41:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004463246844149760
@miketmbt @tonesofhome26 You'd think. However it's not the most obvious thing to convey to people. Clouds, despite bing all fluffy, are incredibly heavy because they are so large. Contrails are the same.

2018-06-06T18:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004423352532926464
@tonesofhome26 @miketmbt Contrails have been listed as clouds for decades, although they were only recently given a latin name (cirrus homogenitus). The new cloud names are just sub-species refinements of existing clouds.
https://www.metabunk.org/there-are-no-new-clouds-just-new-latin-classifications.t8521/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBtobQU8AMksod.jpg

Genera	*Species*	*Varieties*	*Supplementary features and accessory clouds*	*Mother-clouds and special clouds* (most commonly occurring mother-clouds are listed in the same order as genera)	
	(listed by frequency of observation)			*Genitus*	*Mutatus*
Cirrus	fibratus uncinus spissatus castellanus floccus	intortus radiatus vertebratus duplicatus	mamma fluctus	Cirrocumulus Altocumulus Cumulonimbus Homo	Cirrostratus Homo
Cirrocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus	undulatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum	-	Cirrus Cirrostratus Altocumulus Homo
Cirrostratus	fibratus nebulosus	duplicatus undulatus	-	Cirrocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrus Cirrocumulus Altostratus Homo
Altocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum fluctus asperitas	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus
Altostratus	-	translucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus	virga praecipitatio pannus mamma	Altocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrostratus Nimbostratus
Nimbostratus	-	-	praecipitatio virga pannus	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Altostratus Stratocumulus
Stratocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma praecipitatio fluctus asperitas cavum	Altostratus Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Nimbostratus Stratus
Stratus	nebulosus fractus	opacus translucidus undulatus	praecipitatio fluctus	Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus Homo Silva Cataracta	Stratocumulus
Cumulus	humilis mediocris congestus fractus	radiatus	virga praecipitatio pileus velum arcus pannus fluctus tuba	Altocumulus Stratocumulus Flamma Homo Cataracta	Stratocumulus Stratus
Cumulonimbus	calvus capillatus	-	praecipitatio virga pannus incus mamma pileus velum arcus murus cauda flumen tuba	Altocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus Cumulus Flamma Homo	Cumulus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBtobQU8AMksod.jpg
2018-06-06T17:20:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004412636149133312
@IncoherentTweet @intellihubnews @Metabunk @infowars As far as I know he just chooses topics that interest him. He gets money the normal way, from ad revenue. Maybe you don't notice because you've got an ad-blocker on (I do). Turn it off, you'll see.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBj8MHUcAAezi4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBj8MHUcAAezi4.jpg
2018-06-06T16:31:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004400317776056320
@tonesofhome26 How do you know what a "chemtrail" looks like? How do you know you've not just been looking at a variety of contrails all along. Because everything you've showed my so far looks like some form of contrail.

2018-06-06T16:11:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004395434759086081
@miketmbt @tonesofhome26 Thousands of tons. Most of the moisture in a spreading contrail comes from the atmosphere, not the exhaust. The moisture added by the exhaust just tips the local humidity over 100% letting a cloud start to grow. Contrails are a type of cloud.

2018-06-06T16:09:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004394786734784512
@IncoherentTweet @intellihubnews @Metabunk @infowars Sure, but he's not doing his podcast just for you.

2018-06-06T16:08:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004394505066274816
@tonesofhome26 This is an aerodynamic contrail, not an exhaust contrail. Different things, and different formation conditions. How does it differ from this?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ViKYFsN3p24
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBTdWnUwAAN1Jv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfBTdWnUwAAN1Jv.jpg

2018-06-06T14:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004374775282610176

@adamgoldsack And renaming something does not give it more credibility. It just makes it more obscure. It's the nature of the thing itself that lacks credibility (something else I discuss in ETRH)

2018-06-06T14:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004374450844983297

@adamgoldsack Video would be a good start. Radar data that's been independently examined by radar data experts (rather than just paraphrased) would be another.

2018-06-06T14:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004370890128125953

@adamgoldsack Secret physics defying crafts made by humans is also a remote possibility for which there's no good evidence. Again, very different to Flat Earth.

2018-06-06T14:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004370471020720128

@IncoherentTweet @intellihubnews @joerogan @YouTube @Metabunk @infowars He doesn't really do debates, he does interesting discussions. But there's this one. The problem with most expert debates is they degenerate into in-speak and unexplained allusions, so have limited appeal to a general audience. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tFlAFo78xoQ

2018-06-06T14:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004369261622521856

@adamgoldsack In my book "Escaping the Rabbit Hole" I talk about an "extremeness" spectrum of conspiracy theories. Notice the relative ranges of UFO cover-up and Flat Earth. There's overlap at one end but I do not equate the two things. Flat Earth is EXTREME. https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfA8R9YUEAADwsl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DfA8R9YUEAADwsl.jpg

2018-06-06T14:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004367452287799297

@adamgoldsack I'm not sure why you are making these comparisons. Flat Earth is demonstrably wrong. Alien visitors is a remote possibility lacking good evidence. Apples and oranges.

2018-06-06T13:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004360131914502145

@adamgoldsack Apples and oranges. One is a solid hypothesis which can be disproven, the other is an amorphous hypothesis that can't even really be pinned down. What exactly IS "the unidentified aerial phenomena issue?" that you give merit to. I mean the Earth LOOKS flat from a plane. Merit?

2018-06-06T13:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004351321749614592

@adamgoldsack Well one is a binary option (either flat or round) which is provably round, and the other is lacking in solid evidence and contains some observations which violate the known laws of physics, and some which do not, with many potential explanations for both.

2018-06-06T07:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004259191748362241

@tonesofhome26 Kinda like this: https://youtu.be/ViKYFsN3p24
No spraying involved, just aerodynamics, and condensation.

2018-06-06T07:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004258988236476416

@tonesofhome26 That's a plane landing in fog at LAX.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.t3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De_YMUAU0AAkWJd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De_YMUAU0AAkWJd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De_YKY_U8AAxYfD.jpg
2018-06-06T05:34:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1004235073586020352
@tonesofhome26 Weather modification does not leave trails. It's done by small planes into existing clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De_CdZTUYAA5ZA2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De_CdZTUYAA5ZA2.jpg

2018-06-06T05:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004230484803514368

You can roughly calculate the radius of the Earth simply by observing how much of a distant island is obscured by the horizon from a given altitude. The math is a bit complicated, so I made a calculator. https://www.metabunk.org/radius/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De-95D5UYAAPf24.jpg

$$r = \frac{d^2\,h + d^2\,x - 2\sqrt{d^4\,h\,x - d^2\,h^3\,x + 2\,d^2\,h^2 - d^2\,h\,x^3}\; - h^3 + h^2\,x + h\,x^2 - x^3}{2\left(h^2 - 2\,h\,x + x^2\right)}$$

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De-95D5UYAAPf24.jpg

2018-06-06T04:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004213342129422336

@tonesofhome26 Weather modification has never been secret.

2018-06-06T01:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004171829865472000

@char_stokely @linuxfiend Contrails are clouds that planes sometimes make.
Chemtrails are imaginary secret spraying that people sometimes mistake contrails for.

2018-06-06T01:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004171495017312257

@tonesofhome26 Why would they patent stuff if they wanted it to remain secret? Patent's don't even mean something works.

2018-06-06T00:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004165041485053952

@IronRealmMedia @YouTube All models are based on assumptions - that's why they are called models. The assumption could just be that your measurements are correct. The good thing is you can verify your assumptions, which is what I suggested they try.

2018-06-06T00:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004164607139766272

@tonesofhome26 Again, Kaku was talking about the future with geoengineering. Weather modification has been done openly since the 1950s.

2018-06-06T00:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004164377073745920

@tonesofhome26 This is a contrail. You can tell because there's a gap between the engines and the trail. So it's condensing water. This gap is sometimes shorter, but it's always there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De-B-G7UcAEqT33.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De-B-G7UcAEqT33.jpg

2018-06-05T23:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004143248007299072

@tonesofhome26 Clouds are often at different altitudes. NASA has not lied about this. Brennan was talking about the future, not now. It MIGHT help climate change. They are not reflecting the sun off clouds yet, and hopefully will not do so in the future.

2018-06-05T23:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004142845358297088

@tonesofhome26 That photo shows a plane leaving a contrail. The article is about occasional very tiny releases of chemicals like lithium INTO SPACE. From rockets. NASA have not admitted chemtrails, in fact they find the whole thing rather annoying.

2018-06-05T21:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004114704376070144

@tonesofhome26 Contrails form when the upper air has a high relative humidity. There's a detailed explanation here, with links to resources on forecasting this humidity. And some older examples.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-were-there-contrails-today-but-not-yesterday-its-the-weather.t8003/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De9U8qWUcAAnd5Q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De9U8qWUcAAnd5Q.jpg

2018-06-05T18:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004060775990738944

@erinbiba Broke link.

https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2017/07/sidelining-science-es-ucs-7-20-2017.pdf

---

2018-06-05T18:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004060538496675840

Thanks @NASA https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/1004059441589874688

2018-06-05T16:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1004030847400402945

@intellihubnews @IncoherentTweet @joerogan @YouTube @Metabunk Well, he also has the @infowars guy on. You don't want a one-sided echo chamber do you?

2018-06-05T04:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003859474304516096

@tonesofhome26 You'll probably get some contrails tomorrow. Needs RH > 60% (green) http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/conusupper.php?run=2018060500&var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=015 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De5s1SPVQAARRjG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/De5s1SPVQAARRjG.jpg

2018-06-04T13:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003632406241292288

@JeremeyIsJoking @joerogan There's lots of fake images. That's actually Mt Sinabung in Indonesia, back in 2013.

https://www.theatlantic.com/photo/2013/11/the-eruptions-of-mount-sinabung/100630/

Still an awesome photo though.

2018-06-04T00:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003434956834496512

@4thAnon That just provided federal assistance for local privatization efforts. It had almost no effect. See the broader context here. http://talkingpointsmemo.com/features/privatization/one/

2018-06-04T00:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003428264172388352

@4thAnon Research of rather dubious quality.

https://respectfulinsolence.com/2017/11/29/christopher-exley-using-bad-science-to-demonize-aluminum-adjuvants-in-vaccines/

2018-06-03T05:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003153929041920001

@DoctorKarl Except for hydrogen.

2018-06-03T05:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003146065527201792

@4thAnon Being a billionaire, don't you think Musk already has a good understanding how how the world works? Better than you and Makow (and me), most likely.

2018-06-03T01:35:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003087659336531969

@BeingRachel_GS But thatâ□□s different. Scientific hypotheses are testable.

2018-06-03T00:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003077956346863617

@MaryNoonan1967 You should always doubt authority. But keep your doubts well reasoned.

2018-06-03T00:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003077192891297793

@mikesara444 @DKeithClimate Thatâ□□s a good reason for debunking the false parts of their beliefs.

2018-06-03T00:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003076995985506305

@BeingRachel_GS Scientists?

2018-06-02T22:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003040987747254272

@BeingRachel_GS The term "conspiracy theorist" was in use in its modern sense since the late 1950s, with no increase in its use after the CIA document you refer to. There's a chapter on this in "Escaping the Rabbit Hole" called "The 'Conspiracy Theory' Conspiracy Theory" https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeuEOHjVQAAQi3v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeuEOHjVQAAQi3v.jpg

2018-06-02T21:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1003022503340486656
Conspiracy theorists often resist information that contradicts their beliefs. But sometime people just need to briefly touch base with reality.
https://twitter.com/DKeithClimate/status/1003015859428679681

2018-06-02T14:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002925067460702208
@4thAnon And why are you telling Elon about the demographics of media owners?

2018-06-02T14:47:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002924550252720128
@4thAnon They are different articles, with different meaning. Why did you pick the first one? Satanic cults?

2018-06-02T04:24:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002767867094290432
@BrianDunning Geoff?

2018-06-01T15:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002572014178074625
@skepticalkris Most of my work goes on http://metabunk.org now. You can search CS by adding a "site:http://contrailscience.com" to a Google search, like
https://www.google.com/search?q=strontium+site%3Acontrailscience.com

---

2018-06-01T15:24:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002571473507086342
@4thAnon Yes really. Pretending a word has another meaning is just a way of avoiding the problem. Despite the disclaimers that article portrays "the Jews" as a "satanic cult" from whom "society is under relentless occult attack". The other content on the site is worse.

2018-05-31T22:18:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002313386812583936
@4thAnon Did you read that? Seems a bit antisemitic.
Protocols of Zion? Really?

2018-05-31T22:08:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1002310752798064640
@Kolyin Indeed, most conspiracy theorists are just normal people. Of course some take it really seriously, go to conventions (and cruises), post lots online, watch lots of videos, sometimes get angry.
But you get aficionados like that in any field.

2018-05-30T22:35:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001955396251275271
@4thAnon Scholar: "Kevin Barrett, an author and 9/11 researcher" - Perhaps not everyone's idea of a scholar.

2018-05-30T06:57:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001719195401408512

@kravietz2 @Jamielundahl Publishers have a pipeline for most of their books, and it's just where it fit into that pipeline. I don't think there will be significant differences.
I'm British by birth, so I try to avoid language that's not mutually understandable US/UK

2018-05-30T00:06:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001615800149557248
@MarkSykes15 @FlatEarthMemes Buoyancy pushes things up, not down.

2018-05-30T00:04:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001615282509524992
@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Maybe we could have a chat then? Much quicker.

2018-05-30T00:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001614972743434240
(and my book, Escaping the Rabbit Hole)

2018-05-30T00:01:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001614648041398274
I'm talking about 9/11, false flags, flat Earth, big pharma, and being called a "shill" on this podcast episode with JB. Some interesting discussion of iron microspheres, JFK, Boston Bombing, chemtrails, the curvature of the horizon, and the Gulf of Tonkin
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H_mS_thcEy0

2018-05-29T18:52:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001536687187476480
@Flat_E_Prophet @BayleeB79 @Oswald1160 @EPAScottPruitt @EPA @EPAresearch @EPAwater @AdolfTwittler8 @AzSkyWatcher @ImperatorTruth @WhiteHouse @webbery @RealGeoEngWatch @LionelMedia @climateviewer Look it up.

2018-05-29T18:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001535513407324160
Here's a fun science experiment I won't be trying.
https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/1001531761812176897

2018-05-29T18:29:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001530915326115845
@Kolyin @JenniferRaff It takes a while. I sent the publisher my proposal last June.

2018-05-29T18:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001528236692488192
@Kolyin When's The Good Fight coming out?

2018-05-29T18:10:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001526084591017984
@Kolyin Thanks Colin! There's plenty of room for multiple books on this topic â□□ especially with the way political discourse has devolved towards conspiracism. I'll buy your book, I loved your ConspiracSea posts.

https://violentmetaphors.com/2016/05/29/a-skeptic-on-the-conspirasea-cruise/

2018-05-29T17:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001512610632626182

@BayleeB79 @Oswald1160 @Flat_E_Prophet @EPAScottPruitt @EPA @EPAresearch @EPAwater @AdolfTwittler8 @AzSkyWatcher @ImperatorTruth @WhiteHouse @webbery @RealGeoEngWatch @LionelMedia @climateviewer Depends on what you want to measure. You could get one of these.

https://www.thepocketlab.com/store/PocketLab-Air-Pre-order-p99926839

2018-05-29T17:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001511906111193089

@Flat_E_Prophet So with R = 3959 miles, solve for h (use Wolfram Alpha for help)

http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=solve+(R%5E2)%2F((R%2Bh)%5E2)%3D0.5+where+R%3D3959

Gives h = about 1640 miles, for your weight to be halved. Of course there's nowhere to stand, so hard to measure it. That's why we use mountains.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYVidcUQAA7srY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYVidcUQAA7srY.jpg

2018-05-29T17:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001510741726216194

@Flat_E_Prophet Your weight is inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the center of the earth. So the your weight at height h as a fraction of your surface weight is R^2/((R+h)^2) so set that equal to 1/2 and solve for h.

2018-05-29T16:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001505935112654848

@Flat_E_Prophet I've posted the chart and the link to the wikipedia article. I've also posted links of the experiment being performed, and given you a link to a cheap scale you can use to repeat the experiment.

2018-05-29T16:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001505605196988416

@Flat_E_Prophet The Earth is moving at 1000 mph at the equator, but linear velocity does not create any force. When you are on a plane cruising at 500mph you feel no force. Acceleration from the rotation rate involves force, but that rate is very low one per day. A REALLY slow turn in a plane.

2018-05-29T16:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001504530108837888

@MarkSykes15 The same thing doesn't happen exactly, the ground that is ABOVE you exerts a gravitational pull, which counteracts some of the effect of getting closer to the center. The core of the planet is denser though, so you'll initially weight more. But at the middle you'd be weightless

---

2018-05-29T16:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001503260996337664

@Oswald1160 @Flat_E_Prophet Any sufficiently accurate scale comes with an explanation of this in the instructions. You can get a scale this accurate for $10 and test it yourself. It's fun science!

https://amzn.to/2L4crqp http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYNwiqUwAADu6y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYNwiqUwAADu6y.jpg

2018-05-29T16:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001501680586842113

@Flat_E_Prophet It is different. But the Earth only spins once per day. In terms of revolutions per minute that's pretty slow. Don't confuse linear speed with rotational speed.,

---

2018-05-29T16:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001501384741539840

You can test the variable nature of gravity by driving up a mountain with an accurate scale. The higher you go, the smaller the force of gravity.

https://www.metabunk.org/codys-lab-how-weight-changes-with-location-and-velocity.t8783/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYL8bYVQAAM_lx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYL8bYVQAAM_lx.jpg

2018-05-29T16:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001499753593487360

Fake new is everywhere, deliberate and accidental. Attempts to portray an administration as evil or incompetent by leaping on a story without fact-checking are like to backfire. Temper your immediate outrage and verify the source and details of the information before sharing.

https://twitter.com/hannahdreier/status/1001223534394466305

2018-05-29T16:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001498491162574848

I see @RealAlexJones has jumped on the Tommy Robinson bandwagon to sell more TV dinners.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYJPVMVwAAypaj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYJPVMVwAAypaj.jpg

2018-05-29T16:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001497151975780352

@bobbycolada73 Sure, but he still broke the law, violated the terms of his previous suspended sentence, and disrupted a trial, possibly allowing an unnecessary retrial. Hence his sentence is justified.

2018-05-29T16:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001496563053613056
@bobbycolada73 @Peter_Nater It's a long article. And in part the details are not discussed precisely because of the reporting restrictions you mentioned.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYHpYPUQAAUvUY.jpg

7. So what you're saying is that Tommy Robinson was given a suspended sentence simply for trying to report on a case? Free speech is truly dead.

No, ye of little brain. He was found to be in contempt of court and given a suspended sentence because his actions put a serious criminal trial in jeopardy. Running around a court building shouting "paedophile" at defendants during a live trial, or live-streaming defendants and members of the public – potentially including jurors – entering and exiting a court building against a tub thumping narration of "Muslim paedophile gangs", is hardly conducive to ensuring a fair trial. And if there can't be a fair trial, nobody gets justice. Not the accused, not the complainants, not the public. This is not theoretical – serious criminal trials have nearly collapsed because of the actions of people like Yaxley-Lennon.

We have a quaint tradition in England and Wales that trial by media should be avoided, and that trial on evidence heard in court is the fairest way to determine a person's guilt. Therefore while criminal courts are open to the public, and it is absolutely fine to report soberly and accurately about ongoing criminal trials, anything which might prejudice or intimidate the jury is strictly forbidden. And this makes sense. It would be a nonsense, for example, to have strict laws preventing individuals from walking up to a juror to say, "The defendant you are trying is plainly a dirty paedophile", but to allow broadcasters or tabloid columnists to trumpet that message to jurors through the media. Self-defined "free-speech advocates" – particularly a number on the other side of the Atlantic – have difficulty understanding this, so it's worth pasting in full what HHJ Norton said:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYHpYPUQAAUvUY.jpg
2018-05-29T16:10:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001496040078372864
@Peter_Nater @bobbycolada73 No, you have to give them a trial.

2018-05-29T15:54:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001491859523256320
@bobbycolada73 It's in the article. He's anti-immigration, so he was trying to draw attention to some muslim immigrants being tried for sexual assault of a child. Basically an attempt to foment more hatred of immigrants, gain sympathy for his own cause, and discredit the legal system.

2018-05-29T15:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001490723793846272
@Flat_E_Prophet How does the high pressure ocean exist in the low pressure atmosphere? It's the same thing. Gravity pulls gasses towards a planet, and the creates air pressure.

2018-05-29T15:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001489824333774848
@Flat_E_Prophet Right here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_of_Earth
You have to combine the graph with the geoid and local topography and geology. You can actually measure it with a 0.01g scale though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYBXgmU8AAuJFI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeYBXgmU8AAuJFI.jpg

2018-05-29T15:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001486010499317761

@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer @LionelMedia Good example. A missile could not have caused the damage seen at the Pentagon - specifically the damage caused by the wings. So this video is fake.

2018-05-29T15:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001485270842146816

A great overview of the manufactured controversy surrounding nationalist Tommy Robinson - who was arrested for contempt of court for disrupting a trial in the UK - which has a different legal system to the US, hence some confusion.

https://thesecretbarrister.com/2018/05/25/what-has-happened-to-poor-tommy-robinson/

2018-05-29T15:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001479167253168130

@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer The egg test was for a different topic. It was demonstrating that the end result of a "crash" varies based on circumstances like speed. So comparing the 9/11 impact sites to low speed crash sites was not valid.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w057C_2ippc

2018-05-29T15:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001478683633172481

@AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails

@trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer But factual, and true. It's a very significant difference for 9/11 Truthers.
I focus on supposedly factual claims. So that's where I make most of my errors and then corrections.
Point out something I got wrong, and I will correct it.

2018-05-29T14:41:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001473540544065536
@Flat_E_Prophet That would be a combination of the Earth's geoid, and the altitude. There's also some minor differences with mountains. It's all pretty small variations though. Details here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_of_Earth

---

2018-05-29T14:36:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001472381959880704
@AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer A recent example is the building that collapsed in Brazil. I originally thought he columns were steel, but they turned out to be steel-reinforced concrete (important in the context of 9/11 controlled demolition theories). So I updated my post. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeXxsmgV0AA6mn-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeXxsmgV0AA6mn-.jpg
2018-05-29T14:30:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001470810773569536
Details and context are vitally important.

2018-05-29T14:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001470244844531718
@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer There's a huge amount of facts and science that we'd agree about. A shared base of knowledge. What's interesting is where exactly do we diverge - on facts or opinions? If it's facts then let's correct whoever got it wrong.

2018-05-29T14:24:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001469374383845378
@AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer I'm very much in favor of truth, and I'm always happy when people point out errors I made so I can correct them.

2018-05-29T14:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001463336272211968

@Kal1_Furies @AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Of course itâ□□s a conscious choice. I think incorrect claims are harmful, plus I enjoy investigations. Truth is important.

2018-05-29T13:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001462108226076672

@BayleeB79 @Kal1_Furies @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Cool!

2018-05-29T05:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001328690523881472

@AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer I represent myself, and I attempt to represent the truth.

2018-05-29T05:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001328450496380928

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer I'm sure we'd agree upon all kinds of things.

2018-05-29T03:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001301739742547968

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @lordearthmover @Ed54745685 @Twitlertwit @naomirwolf @CarreraCasper @DanPeacock12 @Ryan_inNC @FiestyLemur @Surfhempster @Funkybdon @RaptormanReport @philparraa @DrAmanyAlazraq @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @LionelMedia @dosenwetter @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @RealGeoEngWatch @POTUS @mike_pence @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @SandyRiosTweet @AFRnet @seanhannity @SenatorIsakson @TomFitton @MikePompeo4USA @climateviewer @KenCaldeira @DKeithClimate @Harvard @EPAresearch @EPAScottPruitt @AdolfTwittler8 No, Iâ□□d include them in â□□transportâ□□. Not the worse source of pollution, but still a significant factor.

2018-05-29T03:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001301237587820544

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer No

---

2018-05-29T00:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001262987041165312

@BayleeB79 @Kal1_Furies @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer I wish! I've not been "compensated" at all. But I made some money with Tony Hawk, so I'm essentially retired. Might get some money for the book though.

2018-05-29T00:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001262660715929600
@BayleeB79 @lordearthmover @Ed54745685 @Twitlertwit @naomirwolf @CarreraCasper @DanPeacock12 @Ryan_inNC @FiestyLemur @Surfhempster @Funkybdon @RaptormanReport @philparraa @DrAmanyAlazraq @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @LionelMedia @dosenwetter @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @RealGeoEngWatch @POTUS @mike_pence @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @SandyRiosTweet @AFRnet @seanhannity @SenatorIsakson @TomFitton @MikePompeo4USA @climateviewer @KenCaldeira @DKeithClimate @Harvard @EPAresearch @EPAScottPruitt @AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies Aluminum, barium and strontium are elements that are part of the composition of the earth's crust.
Of course in some cases it might be local pollution. Factories, power stations, transport, landfills - all real forms of pollution. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeUy8cVU8AA2_Ky.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeUy8cVU8AA2_Ky.jpg
2018-05-29T00:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001261749109014528
@BayleeB79 @naomirwolf @Ryan_inNC @DanPeacock12 @Funkybdon @lordearthmover @Ed54745685 @Twitlertwit @CarreraCasper @FiestyLemur @Surfhempster @RaptormanReport @philparraa @DrAmanyAlazraq @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @LionelMedia @dosenwetter @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @RealGeoEngWatch @POTUS @mike_pence @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @IngrahamAngle @SandyRiosTweet @AFRnet @seanhannity @SenatorIsakson @TomFitton @MikePompeo4USA @climateviewer @KenCaldeira @DKeithClimate @Harvard @AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies It's been disputed that it's being by spraying things from planes. What you are referring to are probably contrails. Contrails can persist and spread if the air is ice-supersaturated (cold and damp)

2018-05-28T23:22:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1001242399174148097
@ShepardAmbellas It appears the ozone destroying chemtrails are not working?

2018-05-28T23:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001240464513683456

@BBFunk01 @Anamcara2015 Planes at different altitudes. See:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2018-05-28T23:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001239838664810496

@naomirwolf @Ryan_inNC @DanPeacock12 @Funkybdon @lordearthmover @Ed54745685 @Twitlertwit @BayleeB79 @CarreraCasper @FiestyLemur @Surfhempster @RaptormanReport @philparraa @DrAmanyAlazraq @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @LionelMedia @dosenwetter @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @RealGeoEngWatch @POTUS @mike_pence @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @IngrahamAngle @SandyRiosTweet @AFRnet @seanhannity @SenatorIsakson @TomFitton @MikePompeo4USA @climateviewer @KenCaldeira @DKeithClimate @Harvard Calling contrails "geoengineering" is just clouding the issue.

2018-05-28T23:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001239507721641984

RT @SkeptiCalCon: #SkeptiCalCon Northern Californiaâ□□s conference on #science & critical thinking is just over a week away. Book NOW at httpâ□¦

2018-05-28T23:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001239238975750149

@lordearthmover @Ed54745685 @Twitlertwit @BayleeB79 @naomirwolf @CarreraCasper @DanPeacock12 @Ryan_inNC @FiestyLemur @Surfhempster @Funkybdon @RaptormanReport @philparraa @DrAmanyAlazraq @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes @HealthRanger @LionelMedia @dosenwetter @AzSkyWatcher @monarchbus @RealGeoEngWatch @POTUS @mike_pence @DevinNunes @BryanJFischer @IngrahamAngle @SandyRiosTweet @AFRnet @seanhannity @SenatorIsakson @TomFitton @MikePompeo4USA @climateviewer @KenCaldeira @DKeithClimate @Harvard Dirt is about 8% aluminum. So if you keep dirt off your crops then they will have less aluminum on them. Aluminum is everywhere though.

https://www.metabunk.org/aluminum-in-the-united-states-prevalence-in-soil-and-water.t6000/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeUdt_2VQAA-bqq.jpg

ALUMINUM



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeUdt_2VQAA-bqq.jpg

2018-05-28T22:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001229740982747137

@KikPlenty @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure The world now is weird and wonderful and unpredictable. It's a shame so many people get caught up in fairytales and miss out on the genuine wonder of nature and science.

Nothing wrong with studying UFOs, Just consider there's a possibility that "disclosure" isn't real.

2018-05-28T22:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001228048035823617

@KikPlenty @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure Science is skepticism. Being wrong is part of the progress of science, and I'd welcome it, and the advancements it would bring.

But science is not wishful thinking.

2018-05-28T22:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001226876415692800

@Joshphi23040839 @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure If there's good evidence for it, which there currently isn't, yes.

2018-05-28T21:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001221020571729920

@UfoSunday @PostDisclosure I'm not really a UFO guy. I just like skydentifying things.

2018-05-28T21:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001219089187008512

@lennylaw Thanks! The book has interviews with several people who were deep down the rabbit hole, and got out. It does happen, even though it can seem like it won't. One of the key pieces of advice is: "Give it time"

2018-05-28T21:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001218278201540608

@MaryNoonan1967 I have a degree in computer science, a background in programming physics, and ten years of investigating and writing about conspiracy theories at ContrailScience and Metabunk. But I try to provide authoritative sources, or the underlying math and science, for all the info I give.

2018-05-28T21:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001217738805690369

@KurtRennels Because my focus is on debunking "silly" theories, so people can focus on the real ones. I want to remove that distraction, as much as possible.

2018-05-28T18:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001174752403206144

@spentronik Someone trolling.

2018-05-28T18:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001164297009967104

@edwiebe Alternatively they could be part of some medieval sorority initiation rite.

2018-05-28T18:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001164129585934345

@edwiebe Someone had an empanada party!

2018-05-28T18:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001163664236294144

@edwiebe Empanada press http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTZCwHVMAIQmsF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTZCwHVMAIQmsF.jpg

2018-05-28T17:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001151531293396992

Wikileaks attempts to point out the @qanon is a bunch of LARP/Trolling nonsense â without alienating their base segment of political conspiracy theory affecionados.

It's a convoluted rabbit hole. https://twitter.com/wikileaks/status/1001139404805738498

2018-05-28T17:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001150289821380608

@KarmaWordz Because it's not actually missing. At least not trillions - probably a few billion though.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/

2018-05-28T16:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001143742139514880

@GreggMJackson Those are not even contrails. See the same clouds over 100 years ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTGwfkU8AA7Ab9.jpg



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*)

Page 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTGr97UQAUfLvw.jpg



PLATE 9. WINDY CIRRUS. (*Cirrus Ventosus.*) *Page* 59.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTGwfkU8AA7Ab9.jpg



PLATE 16. BAND CIRRUS. (*Cirrus Vittatus.*) *Page* 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeTGtD_VAAEe2w8.jpg

2018-05-28T15:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001119931377766400

@MaryNoonan1967 I don't want you to un-see. I want you to see more.

2018-05-28T15:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001119620240035840

@EPostans @DoctorKropotkin In Groundhog Day, Phil (Bill Murray) takes advantage of the

repetition by trying out new approaches each time, developing new skills, and learning from his failures. By communicating with honesty and acceptance he eventually escapes from the loop. So it's not all bad.

2018-05-28T14:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001104935017103365

@DoctorKropotkin The Pentagon has not admitted any such thing. It's impossible to lose more money than is in the entire budget. The $21T is just an accumulation of accounting transactions that don't meet audit requirements. It's not a real amount of money.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/

2018-05-28T13:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001090037478342657

@Kal1_Furies @WhinyWhiner @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer It's a different type of thing. I do have lots of references though!

2018-05-28T07:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1001000118814830594

@WearKilluminati It's both.

2018-05-28T06:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000992689259663360

@KurtRennels I'm still not sure what your question is

2018-05-28T05:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000975074533433344

@KurtRennels I'm not sure what you are asking. Obviously there's all kinds of conspiracies all the time, all round the world. Can you give an example of what you mean?

2018-05-28T05:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000974647502884864

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer There's a section where I explain my history, and why I ended up doing what I do, and why I think it's important. And I also relate my personal experiences in talking to a variety of people.

2018-05-28T05:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000974120958345216

@WearKilluminati Well that's the topic of the book. If you've got a friend who is too far down the rabbit hole, the how do you help them get out?

2018-05-28T05:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000973772239843328

@Kal1_Furies @WhinyWhiner @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer There's a section on Weather Modification in ETRH, in the chemtrails chapter.

2018-05-27T22:34:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000867851387912193

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer You would find it interesting. I think it would give you a much clearer understanding of where I am coming from, and why I do what I do. It's a pretty personal book.

2018-05-27T22:24:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000865466783490048

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer About six months from whenI signed the contract back in July 2017, but I'd done some work on it before then. Finished in Jan 2018, but then there's a few rounds of editing.

Sorry about the price, it's set by the publisher.

2018-05-27T22:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000863290396852224

@Kal1_Furies @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer $22 for hardcover initially. You can check it out at the publisher's site:

http://skyhorsepublishing.com/titles/13843-9781510735804-escaping-the-rabbit-hole

---

2018-05-27T22:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000862326965264384

@generaldamras It will be on audiobook. The publisher set the release date, and I'm sure they thought about the 9/11 connection. But it's more about where it fits in the publishing pipeline.

2018-05-27T22:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000862021540167681

@ThatBuckGuy I think the Kindle edition is Sept 18th, paper version is Sept 18 in the US, but Oct 4 in the UK.

2018-05-27T22:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000860659255398400

@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @Kal1_Furies @SteerMark @OpChemtrails @Masschemtrails @trishadishes2 @RealGeoEngWatch @climateviewer Metabunk is free too!

2018-05-27T22:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000859470044446721

That's "Logic", not "Logics", darn it!

2018-05-27T21:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000857775637872640

My box of Advanced Reading Copies of "Escaping the Rabbit Hole - How to Debunk Conspiracy Theories using Facts, Logics, and Respect" finally arrived! It's available for sale on 9/18, preorder available now. https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DePCZCSU8AAtymi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DePCZCSU8AAtymi.jpg

2018-05-27T19:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000824398163537921

@powerm1985 Thatâ□□s actually somewhat promising - 85% of your stuff is getting across.

2018-05-27T05:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000617040489496576

@1StopMortgageTN So if the numbers are meangless. Why I does $21T have meaning?

2018-05-27T01:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000551860288176128

@dmill187 @AndeyTheFellow @pocketsizedhero But how do you find this evidence compelling if you've not checked it. Maybe it's just the videos that are compelling. Maybe they are just good at making compelling videos.

2018-05-27T01:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000551650283569152

@dmill187 @AndeyTheFellow @pocketsizedhero The faked evidence for WMDs in Iraq.

2018-05-27T01:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000551405554315264

@Frank87270541 @RichDolan Some of the UFO community - it's a broad community, some science based, some wishful thinking, and some mysticism.

2018-05-27T01:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000550330021498881

@dmill187 @AndeyTheFellow @pocketsizedhero It's a real deep hole for sure. You can get out if you want. Just keep an open mind. And go measure the disc of the sun. https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8

2018-05-27T01:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000549907868991488

@dmill187 @AndeyTheFellow @pocketsizedhero I've observed the disk of the sun not changing size and seen stars rotate around the southern celestial pole. Seen Catalina island rise over the curve from low to high ground. Zoomed in on distant boats that get no more visible. Used a level at 35,000 to show the horizon dips.

2018-05-27T01:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000543605868478465

@dmill187 @AndeyTheFellow @pocketsizedhero I've doe them. I detected curvature. What did you do?

2018-05-27T00:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000541950066372608

@ThinkAboutItOnl They are contrails.

2018-05-27T00:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000541823163551745

@dmill187 @pocketsizedhero @AndeyTheFellow Have you checked any of this yourself? Actually gone out and looked at mountains over the horizon?

2018-05-27T00:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000541624697438211

@dmill187 @pocketsizedhero @AndeyTheFellow All demonstrably wrong. Start with perspective - how does that make things go out of view? It doesn't. It it did then zooming it would not make things re-appear because all zooming in does is magnify the exact same image.

2018-05-27T00:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000539629412499456

@dmill187 @pocketsizedhero @AndeyTheFellow I mean people are not seeing things they should not. They see exactly what we we should see based on the laws of physics. Refraction isn't just a number. It's more complicated. But regardless - things STILL GET HIDDEN by the horizon. Big things. Mountains.

2018-05-27T00:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000539088234020865

@Frank87270541 @RichDolan If there actually WAS conclusive evidence of a breaks-the-laws-of-physics craft, then that's an EPOCHAL event. Not just something Tom DeLonge will leverage to get a better Netflix deal. Not even something that Bigelow would use to get gov contracts. It would change everything.

2018-05-27T00:35:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000535896418996224
@pocketsizedhero @AndeyTheFellow @dmill187 Nope.

2018-05-27T00:34:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000535665199595521
@Frank87270541 @RichDolan Irrelevant rumors and speculation without seeing these videos. And it seems ridiculous. So the military has evidence of UFO's that congress is unaware of, and TTSA and BAASS is leaking secret military videos of UFOs to congress to get contracts. Makes no sense.

2018-05-26T20:20:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000471813653082112
@3DTruth The pentagon did not "lose" $21 Trillion. No did they find it. It's not even representing an amount of money. See: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoOFhjDjGqU

2018-05-26T20:02:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000467302934958080
@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw Where did I say that?

2018-05-26T19:46:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000463144387399680
@davidVdegraw @WaveOfAction The claims of $21 Trillion Missing are what is a distraction. The problems with accounting do obscure real problems. But the $21T story is bogus. Listen to the DoD comptroller explain. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoOFhjDjGqU

2018-05-26T19:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000462732498419712
@Greg_Palast @RedactedTonight @LeeCamp It's not missing money though. Ask an accountant, or the DoD comptroller David Norquist. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoOFhjDjGqU

2018-05-26T19:33:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000460055618113536
@HealthRanger @FightingMonarch Clouds seeding is weather modification, not geoengineering. It has been done OPENLY since the 1950s with no problems.

2018-05-26T19:33:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000459812474273792
@WaveOfAction @davidVdegraw Nobody like war profiteers. I think the Pentagon should be audited. But this $21T number is bogus, and a distraction from REAL waste and fraud in the military.

2018-05-26T19:31:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000459523885219840
@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw It's simple arithmetic. They can't lose more money than they

have. How much room for waste and fraud would you say is in there. 10%? Is 10% of the military budget $21 Trillion? Is it $6.5 Trillion in one year? No, it's backwards. Mil budgets are around $0.6T. What's 10% of 0.6T?

2018-05-26T19:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000457266774999040

@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw Well we know it can't be $$21T, as that's more than ALL OF THEIR SPENDING. Think about it. How do they mange to pay everyone, maintain their equipment, buy aircraft carriers, etc, and still lose more money than they get?
Military waste is in $B, not $T

2018-05-26T19:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000454193872695297

@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw Did you read what Norquist said?

2018-05-26T19:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000454007645650945

@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw We know (mostly) where the money went. That's not what this $21 Trillion number is about. It does not represent an amount of money.

2018-05-26T19:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000452080782360577

@davidVdegraw @ReidTymcio Because they explained what the numbers represented, and they were not spending, and not missing money. Of course there's waste and fraud. But the $21 Trillion number is nonsense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeJR2cwVwAAX1cG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeJR2cwVwAAX1cG.jpg

2018-05-26T19:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000451582834585600

@davidVdegraw @ReidTymcio He's talking about MUCH smaller amounts of money, try to save money within offices with a budget of just $64 billion. Not $21 trillion ($21,000 billion). http://www.americanrhetoric.com/speeches/robertgatesamericanenterpriseinstitute.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeJQumsU0AAta_D.jpg

Then there was the effort to pare down the overhead costs of DoD components outside the military services -- in particular, the Office of Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, the defense agencies and field activities, plus the major regional and functional military headquarters -- referred to as Combatant Commands -- apart from Iraq and Afghanistan.

With respect to these components, known internally as the 4th Estate, the efficiencies experience was something akin to an Easter egg hunt. My staff and I learned that it was nearly impossible to get accurate information and answers to questions such as, "How much money did you spend?" and "How many people do you have?" For example, when I launched the efficiencies effort in August 2010 we projected the savings from closing Joint Forces Command to be about 250 million dollars. That number doubled over the next four months as more details trickled in out about how this organization was funded and staffed from dozens of sources across the department.

Overall, the 4th Estate savings were disappointing -- less than a billion dollars in annual projected savings from a group of organizations that consume at least 64 billion dollars a year by our latest estimate. I believe we can and should do much better. There are still too many headquarters, offices, and agencies employing too many high ranking personnel and contractors consuming too many resources relative to real military missions and measurable results.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeJQumsU0AAta_D.jpg
2018-05-26T18:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000449503114158081
@ChangemakerM @PentagonAudit @davidVdegraw What unappropriated money, exactly? Do you think that $700 billion represents unappropriated money? FBWT is available budget spending authority. i.e. appropriated spending authority. It's not a transfer.

2018-05-26T18:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000447937321684992
@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw You brought up "spending".
Of course it should be audited - they waste billions. But this $21 trillion figure is nonsense. It's also being deliberately spread by RT.

2018-05-26T18:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000446404148449280
@ReidTymcio @davidVdegraw The DoD does not say "spending". It's "accounting transactions". They explained exactly what that was back in 2001. It''s when a total is copied from one system to another system. it's not spending. It is complicated. It's actual fake news.

2018-05-26T18:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000437882870362112

@PentagonAudit @davidVdegraw That's a great goal, there's a lot of waste and fraud in military spending. billions probably. However you need to be looking at the right numbers. It's literally impossible to steal trillions if you only have billions. FBWT is not a transfer of money.

2018-05-26T17:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000435737756557312

@PentagonAudit @davidVdegraw And nobody pays me. Who pays you?

2018-05-26T17:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000435272302055427

@PentagonAudit @davidVdegraw That's not a transfer though, is it. Explain the $700 billion number to people. What is it actually?

2018-05-26T17:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000434939865718784

@NoeRangel @KeithDaly4 @AbbyMartin @LeeCamp @Truthdig The point here is that $21 Trillion is not missing. Now if you want to talk about waste and fraud that's great. But this $21 Trillion number is a totally different thing, as it's not from spending. It's assets and liabilities, recorded multiple times.

2018-05-26T17:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000430649226899457

@ChangemakerM @davidVdegraw I've been researching this for years. I first wrote about it in 2011, and there's a section in my book about it. I've read multiple DoD documents regarding the issue and talked to Mark Skidmore about it.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.t165/

2018-05-26T17:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000429265723142144

@NoeRangel @KeithDaly4 @AbbyMartin @LeeCamp @Truthdig You might as we say "The Pentagon can't account for $21 Trillion in free money", as that would be equally inaccurate.

2018-05-26T17:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000428921068765184

@NoeRangel @KeithDaly4 @AbbyMartin @LeeCamp @Truthdig Look into it. The story gets repeated because accounting is complicated. The DoD explained the problem back in 2001. It's not spending. It's mostly estimates on the dollar amount of assets and liabilities.
http://archive.defense.gov/news/Jul2001/d20010710finmngt.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeI8pBgVQAAjDOH.jpg

While DoD may debate some of the criticisms of its financial statements and the size and components of the $2.3 trillion issue, we think that corrective action requires radical financial management transformation. For the FY 1999 financial statements, the auditors concluded that $2.3 trillion transactions of the $7.6 trillion entries to the financial statements were "unsupported". DoD notes that many of these entries included end-of-period estimates for such items as military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, and manual entries for such items as contract accounts payable and property and equipment values. DoD would further note that the "unsupported" entries are "not necessarily improper" and that documentation does exist in many cases, albeit, not adequate for the auditing standards imposed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeI8pBgVQAAjDOH.jpg

2018-05-26T17:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000427920911884289

@davidVdegraw It's not $21 Trillion of MISSING money.

Of course there IS missing money, waste, fraud, quasi-legal black-ops. But it's not trillions, and it's not in these number which ARE NOT SPENDING. You are hiding the real story by focussing on this fake one

---

2018-05-26T17:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000422915047702528

@davidVdegraw It's not unaccounted-for spending. It's stuff like end-of- period estimates for items like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities. The Pentagon needs an audit, but $21 Trillion "missing" is nonsense. Flat wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeI2_ZLVAAA80gk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeI2_ZLVAAA80gk.jpg

2018-05-26T15:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000402272642256903

@MatriarchAthena You should. The number does not represent spending. It's like if you estimated the value of your house. Have you lost the entire value of your house because your estimate is based on 3000 square feet instead of 3105 square feet? It's that like that.

2018-05-26T06:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000255613497036800

@randypcox Really? No. The number does not represent either spent or missing money.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGfKSFU8AENCrO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGfKSFU8AENCrO.jpg

2018-05-26T06:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000255339558666240

@dcfairbank @LeeCamp @Truthdig @RealTimBlack @jimmy_dore @HumanistReport @TheYoungTurks @KyleKulinski Also not true. That number does not represent missing money.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGe2YxUwAEyWeA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGe2YxUwAEyWeA.jpg

2018-05-26T05:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000250050201595904
The false story about a "missing" $21 Trillion is being pushed again today. Tweeted out by @AbbyMartin @Greg_Palast and @LeeCamp. The story has legs because accounting is complicated. But the big number isn't missing money. This is actual fake news.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGZOzEV4AAr6_v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGZOzEV4AAr6_v.jpg
2018-05-26T05:33:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000248576167968768
@AbbyMartin @LeeCamp @Truthdig Abby, it's not missing. It's not an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments. Actual fake news
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/

2018-05-26T05:31:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000248044447678464
@Greg_Palast It's not missing. It's not an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGYRRpVQAADBig.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGYRRpVQAADBig.jpg

2018-05-26T05:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000247740213833728

@LeeCamp Come on Lee, it's not missing. It's not an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGX86aV4AEkvU5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGX86aV4AEkvU5.jpg

2018-05-26T05:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000247388764758018

@1StopMortgageTN @NewYorkerRadio @LeeCamp @TheSolariReport Because it's not missing. It's not even an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like

military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGXryBU0AAjk9K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGXryBU0AAjk9K.jpg
2018-05-26T05:28:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000247189950545921
@ProfGWhiz @Forbes No. It wasn't spent. It's not even an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGXfo3U8AAxpFW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGXfo3U8AAxpFW.jpg
2018-05-26T05:25:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000246520124358656
@MatriarchAthena It's not missing. It's not an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGW4fnVQAAbZ-L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGW4fnVQAAbZ-L.jpg
2018-05-26T05:25:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1000246403191390208
@randypcox It's not missing. It's not an amount of money, it's a sum of a number of accounting totals for stuff like military pension actuarial liabilities and contingent liabilities, recorded with incomplete audit tracking between departments

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGWJHOVwAEwetS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGWJHOVwAEwetS.jpg

2018-05-26T05:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000243800411856896

The first recorded use of the word "debunker" is thought to be 95 years ago in the 1923 book "Bunk" by W. E. Woodward, which follows the adventures of a self-styled "professional debunker" called Michael Webb. ... M. W. ... Mick West. Coincidence? Yes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGUYSWV0AIIVV5.jpg



Bunk by W. E. Woodward, 1923

2 *Bunk*

I do not know the Dumbles very well. No one does. They appear to exist only to give these affairs, and when one is over they quietly fade away until the time comes to take the lid off the cosmos again. . . .

When I entered the room the cigarette smoke was almost thick enough to cut with a knife. Mrs. Dumble whispered, "we have some interesting people here tonight"—the noise was deafening; all were talking at once—"Mr. M—M—M, and Miss B—B-b."—I couldn't catch the names.

The chaotic turbulence of light and sound eventually shook itself down into a composition of people and furniture, and I noticed that the talking was being done mainly by a strong-chinned, blue-eyed man who sat in a sharp shaft of shadow near a window.

"We are now conducting de-bunking operations on a rather large scale," this man said. "It's a big thing, let me tell you, but so far we've had no competition. We began in a small way about two years ago, and have grown a lot. No job too large and none too small is our motto."

"De-bunking?" asked a lady with an English accent, who sat at my right. "Exactly what is de-bunking? . . . I suppose I ought to know without being told," she added doubtfully.

"Why, de-bunking means simply taking the bunk out of things," the man with the strong chin replied. "You've heard of deflation—of prices, wages, and so on—taking the fictitious values out of merchandise. Well, de-bunking is simply an intellectual deflation. It's the science of reality. That's my business.—I mean to say that I'm a professional de-bunker."

"I don't know what it is yet," the young lady whispered

A Cosmic Evening 3

to me, "and I'm ashamed to ask him. What is bunk, anyway?"

Her condition of mind was most astonishing. Just fancy a grown-up person who has no first-hand knowledge of bunk! My low-voiced explanation was hurried. I hope the young lady understood it. "Why, bunk, my dear young lady," I explained, "is the diminutive, or pet name, for buncombe."

"It's hypocrisy—pretence—then, isn't it?" the young lady whispered back.

"Not altogether," I replied. "It is a kind of illusion. Hypocrisy's a mean word. Illusion, that's it. It's a big thing in life. Millions of people make their living with no other asset."

I turned my attention again to the de-bunking expert. He was describing his methods. "There was a hurry call just before I came here this evening," he was saying, "but I couldn't take the case. One must have a little recreation. A young actor. It's too bad, for such cases are usually fatal. They told me over the phone that this young man was swollen to an enormous size, and was beginning to float around the room like a balloon. He'll probably burst before morning."

"You have a de-bunking establishment, or staff, or plant, or whatever equipment is required in such operations, I suppose?" said a fair-haired and alert-looking gentleman of thirty, whom I recognized as an assistant professor of physical science at a neighboring university.

"Oh, no, only myself," answered the man sitting in the shadow. "I used a plural pronoun just now in describing my activities, but it meant nothing more than the editorial

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeGUYSWV0AIIVV5.jpg

2018-05-25T22:51:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000147264289959936

@charliefoxkit @PandorasArtbox It's condensation. The water vapor is actually a combination of water from the air, and added water from the engine exhaust. Hydrocarbons burning in oxygen

make CO2 (carbon dioxide) and H2O (Water). Later growth of a contrail all comes from the air.

2018-05-25T22:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000146711270993920

@RonaldVermeij Perhaps it's simply a symbol of his travels? A plane with ordinary contrails?

2018-05-25T20:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1000109653974368256

@Lagonda_Blogger You can debunk it by looking at it. The letters don't actually line up, and the line spacing is different. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeEaTp0U0AA9vRA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DeEaTp0U0AA9vRA.jpg

2018-05-23T23:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999436955375910912

@ItsgunYTNews It's a test flight. The KC-46A is still under development. Boeing is in Seattle.

2018-05-23T23:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999434870639112192

@KurtRennels Well I'm watching the Netflix video now, it's a bit simple, but pretty interesting. Shows pretty much that it must have been a single bullet.

2018-05-23T23:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999430966270509056

@KurtRennels I can't find where it's from. There's another NOVA film on Netflix that looks newer, were you thinking of this? They do different test on the bullet. Concluded SBT is correct. https://www.netflix.com/watch/80003182

2018-05-23T22:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999419104804069377

@KurtRennels What show? I've no idea what you are talking about.

2018-05-23T22:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999417473433681923

@KurtRennels You're welcome, although I don't understand what you mean.

2018-05-23T22:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999415488701595649

@KurtRennels I'm also not sure what Netflix has to do with anything.

2018-05-23T22:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999415266156068864

@KurtRennels Like you contend that Meyer's model of the car, JFK, and Connally is wrong, and yet it seems to match the Zapruder footage exactly, and you just posted some image and said " Notice JFKâ□□s feet computer." which was unclear.

2018-05-23T22:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999414275134316544

@KurtRennels Not really, as there's not enough detail. It's a bit hard to debunk things on Twitter for that reason. I see you making claims, and some images, but it all lacks verifiable context, and accurate diagrams with math.

2018-05-23T21:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999407864870391808

@KurtRennels Isn't what bunk?

2018-05-23T21:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999395145136066560

@KurtRennels Sure, but one would obviously not put "more than one JFK shooter" in the same ballpark as "The Earth is Flat". The spectrum arises from your current information set, and experiences. Everyone thinks things on their side of the line are sound, evidence based, near irrefutable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dd6QcC8U8AAVYG9.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dd6QcC8U8AAVYG9.png

2018-05-23T20:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999381071023095809

@KurtRennels There's no JFK in it, I mostly look at things further along the spectrum.

2018-05-23T18:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999352985644744710

@KurtRennels No. I think this page explains it quite well.

http://www.jfkfiles.com/jfk/html/concl2.htm

2018-05-23T17:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999347909542858752

@KurtRennels But those lines can move when we learn new information. I'm quite open to shifting my line, but I think the SBT is pretty solid. What's new? Shirt hole a bit lower than expected? Doesn't really do much. Shirts move.

2018-05-23T17:53:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999347507732725762

@KurtRennels And regarding bias - in my book I talk about a demarcation line people draw on the conspiracy spectrum. You think of some 9/11 theories in the same way I think of some JFK theories. We have different lines.

2018-05-23T17:51:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999347047051362304

@KurtRennels Surely you should be looking at the wound locations, not the shirt hole?

2018-05-23T17:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999339115777683456

@KurtRennels You're beating a dead horse. And probably the wrong horse.

2018-05-23T17:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999338937494601728

@matthetube Of course in both cases it's still a significant event, especially for locals. But media focus tends to amplify public estimates of extent.

2018-05-23T16:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999327109850972160

Perspective is everything https://twitter.com/SamLMontano/status/999269234755690497

2018-05-23T13:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999285022040322049

@juliagalef "The Algorithmic Beauty of Sea Shells" is a great book on this, although perhaps a bit nerdy. https://amzn.to/2J1UuLH

2018-05-23T13:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999278470432669697

@KurtRennels That's not his shirt.

2018-05-22T23:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999071668445134848

@KurtRennels Have you check this with a 3D model?

2018-05-22T20:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999017226538663936

@KurtRennels Is this description wrong? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Single-bullet_theory

---

2018-05-22T19:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999015575182393344

@KurtRennels They explained that. The bullet hit two ribs not one, that changed things quite a bit.

Given the number of variables I'd say that's close enough. It demonstrates that something like what happened is actually possible.

2018-05-22T19:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/999009928248414208

@KurtRennels Perhaps you could look it up? I'd imagine there was quite a variety, depending on what exactly it hit. Since it tumbled after hitting JFK it's impossible to replicate exactly, just get a range of results. The real bullet fits in this range.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dd0yJknVMAAaAKo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dd0yJknVMAAaAKo.jpg

2018-05-22T18:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998999982626631680

@KurtRennels yes, and here's a more modern recreation. In this test it struct another rib, but the results are essentailly the same. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PZRUNYZY71g

---

2018-05-22T15:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998945555999899648

9/11 Truthers like to use the "Block A or Block B" comparison to explain their suspicions about the World Trade Center (often with cardboard boxes). They miss how there was more than one box in the disintegrating building, including a "block" C, which DID NOT FALL until later.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ddz3GueU0AE3DSe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ddz3GueU0AE3DSe.jpg

2018-05-22T05:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998804965492637696

@jackie_greene Do you have a favorite?

2018-05-21T22:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998691831993942016

@AuralLoom Perhaps he needs better titles then.

2018-05-21T22:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998691329583366144

@AuralLoom Anyway, my Peterson lesson is done for the day. Thanks for the conversation.

2018-05-21T22:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998691108929519617

@AuralLoom Yeah, he want's men to be authoritarian with their children, carve them into something they like.

What's the skateboarding thing about. What if you don't like "skateboarding". Conflict?

2018-05-21T22:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998690705823289344

@AuralLoom You have three brothers and no sisters, right? That might be an interesting demographic to look into.

2018-05-21T22:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998690402524778496

@AuralLoom Who knows, it depends which bits they love, and which bits they have read. Some women like more traditional gender roles.

2018-05-21T22:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998690049096015873

@AuralLoom As opposed to men helping raise the babies women being heroes

---

2018-05-21T22:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998689402183237632

@AuralLoom We don't need to get semantic here. We've both seen the same video, and we

know what he said. It was 3 hours well spent as I now know understand more what the fuss is about. He speaks to men, women don't like that, he's anti-SJW, he likes fun psycho-mythology and Jung. That's it.

2018-05-21T22:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998688695883476995

@AuralLoom Noting that there are less adult women interested in STEM is not the same as saying women should have all babies and let men be heroes.

2018-05-21T22:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998688431487107072

@AuralLoom "prejudice, stereotyping, or discrimination, typically against women, on the basis of sex" to which I'd add "beyond the obvious physical different, and demographic trends"

2018-05-21T22:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998686842944864257

@AuralLoom Harsher, if those are my only choices. I can see he's entertaining and sometime interesting. But I think it's mostly pop-psychology and pop-philosophy. Fun, but not incredibly useful. Then there's the obvious sexism.

2018-05-21T22:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998686352957820928

@AuralLoom What I don't like is the rather extreme division he described. Men have evolved to be combative hierarchy-climbing heroes, women have evolved to have babies, unless they have genius level IQ.

2018-05-21T22:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998685261792882688

@AuralLoom Joe's audience is increasingly diverse, but his base is young males. But really it's that he has a BIG audience. A slice of that fit Peterson.

2018-05-21T21:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998684633020551170

@AuralLoom Counterargument to what?

I've listened to the whole thing. I got some nice self-improvement tips, and some fun Jungian philosophy, and a very strong sense that he's only concerned with men.

2018-05-21T21:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998683877240594432

@AuralLoom 2:57:15: Peterson: "You have provided a tremendous boost to my presence, so whatever comes of that is to be blamed partly on you".

2018-05-21T21:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998682739669848064

@AuralLoom 2h53m28s Joe mentions me to Peterson.

https://youtu.be/USg3NR76XpQ?t=2h53m28s

2018-05-21T21:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998682318192570368

@AuralLoom I'd imagine there are surveys out there that can answer than.

2018-05-21T21:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998681743577137152

@AuralLoom Not really. I'd say his interests quite specifically exclude and belittle women.

2018-05-21T21:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998681343566344192

@AuralLoom People regret their decision either way, but are generally happy with where they are.

2018-05-21T21:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998681033137520640

@AuralLoom Both.

2018-05-21T21:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998680698578812929

@AuralLoom I'd suspect it's more male for the younger crowd, and then more evenly distributed as you get older.

2018-05-21T21:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998680132335251458

@AuralLoom Sure they can. But the point here is that Peterson seems to largely ignore women, saying they are too busy having babies, and that they SHOULD have babies.

2018-05-21T21:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998679790138802176

@AuralLoom 2:40 They are just chatting now.

2018-05-21T21:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998679426840674304

@AuralLoom I have a technical focus. So the demographics are similar to adult interest in STEM topics.

2018-05-21T21:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998678916008062976

@AuralLoom 75% male

2018-05-21T21:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998678808025645057

@AuralLoom It varies by individual, and the obvious physical differences and social traditions and constraints.

Women have to carry babies. They can be raised by two people, who can both be warrior gods, or not.

2018-05-21T21:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998677827519004673

@AuralLoom 2:30:00 I agree with Joe on the problem of video games.

2018-05-21T21:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998677183823470592

@AuralLoom I was on Joe Rogan the day after this talk.

2018-05-21T21:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998676828888813568

@AuralLoom His message appears to be largely for men. Not the "stand up straight and clean your room stuff", but the "go out and be a warrior hero god while the women have fulfilling lives raising babies" part.

2018-05-21T21:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998675574951366657

@AuralLoom Most people do it as a team.

2018-05-21T21:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998675388791382016

@AuralLoom 2:16:36, this does not apply to women with genius level IQ, they will step outside the norm, "they are shapeshifters".

You can see Joe is a bit wary of where this is going.

2018-05-21T21:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998674910267428864

@AuralLoom Dude, he's totally coming across as "women should just have babies, because that the incredible thing they evolved to do, and it's great".

2018-05-21T21:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998674752754499584

@AuralLoom No, he states the demographics in the podcasts. 90% male youtube, nearly all at the lectures.

2018-05-21T21:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998674411208101889

@AuralLoom 2:14:25 "Men need a purpose, women have a purpose". Riiiiiight, so men get to be heroes and gods, but women have to have babies and that should be enough.

2018-05-21T21:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998673401488142336

@AuralLoom I'm two hours in to this Peterson video and I think I can understand why women don't go to his talks. And it's not because they are busy having kids. It's because he's talking about men to men.

2018-05-21T21:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998672799265837056

@AuralLoom 2:07:50 on why no women: "Maybe women have enough to do, ...maybe men have to take this on voluntarily...You (a man) can screw around until you are 50 (and still procreate)". So basically no women at his talks because they are all busy having babies.

2018-05-21T21:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998671975055638528

@AuralLoom 2:05:50, superhero movies are the return of polytheism. hmm....

2018-05-21T21:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998671699942948866

@AuralLoom 2:05 talking about how his talk audiences are all men, and "that what women do", and how it boggles his mind.

2018-05-21T21:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998670996998471681

@AuralLoom 2:02 he's getting angry!

2018-05-21T20:59:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998669712488726528

@AuralLoom He's getting into the the "be a fighter" thing now. This is something where he says men fight, but women do not, is that right?

2018-05-21T20:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998669318236733445

@erinbiba Internet says it's just an occasional check built into Android https://www.reddit.com/r/GalaxyS8/comments/8abple/phone_still_keeps_on_asking_for_password_instead/

2018-05-21T20:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998668746876112896

@AuralLoom People will go look at his YouTube channel because of the anti-SJW stuff, and just end up with a tidy room and a fear of snake.

2018-05-21T20:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998668109123760128

@erinbiba Happen after a reboot on iPhone. Maybe similar.

2018-05-21T20:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998667970732736512

@AuralLoom Hopefully a generation of young men will have tidier rooms because of him. :)

2018-05-21T20:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998667460130754560

@AuralLoom 1:42 "Clean your room", also good advice :)

2018-05-21T20:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998667120199122944

@AuralLoom Sounds semantic. However complex language is unique to humans.

2018-05-21T20:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998666812886695936

@AuralLoom 1:37:30 "tell the truth" - I'm with him there.

2018-05-21T20:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998665425096294401

@AuralLoom Jaynes does not think it's god-given. He thinks gods are a mental framework that evolved consciousness.

2018-05-21T20:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998665109634351104

@AuralLoom 1h27m This evolutionary hero archetype stuff is fun, but I don't see if how it's useful. He does seem like it's very male-specific too. Where do women fit in?

2018-05-21T20:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998663629279969280

@AuralLoom Have you read Julian Jaynes, Bicameral Mind. Some of this reminds me of that.

2018-05-21T20:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998662859255988224

@AuralLoom So now he's basically saying how there are evolutionary psychological reasons for social anxiety. Which (I think) is both true, and probably an appealing piece of information for people who blamed themselves for their failures.

2018-05-21T20:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998661745072730112

@AuralLoom You seemed to suggest SJWs were inherently more aggressive than the average person. But as evidence you referenced video of the aggressive ones. Since video exists of people in other groups that are aggressive, then are SJWs MORE aggressive? Examples don't make a trend

---

2018-05-21T20:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998660694101803008

@AuralLoom 1:12:00 he's saying that idea of morality arose (evolved) from people thinking bad people had snakes inside of them. Interesting, but rather speculative.

2018-05-21T20:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998660216093732865

@AuralLoom Surely "videos of aggressive SJWs" is a rather restrictive sample. Have you not look at all those videos of aggressive Trump supporters? Or aggressive Hillary supporters? Or aggressive men with beards?

2018-05-21T20:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998659637892206592

@AuralLoom Of course they have. It's a valid point. It's not valid to extrapolate it to a single group as an explanation of their different political views.

2018-05-21T20:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998659453699293184

@AuralLoom I thought he said it did, was it an inverse correlation?

2018-05-21T20:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998659305392914433

@AuralLoom What's he saying about burning and killing here, if not that he thinks that's what underlies the actions of SJWs, and is possible what they are leading towards on a broader level (genocide). https://youtu.be/USg3NR76XpQ?t=1h8m46s

---

2018-05-21T20:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998658839057596416

@AuralLoom And perhaps a poor one for people with whom you disagree. He seems to say

SJWs (primally) want to destroy people who fall into the "snake" category.

2018-05-21T20:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998658496819150849

@AuralLoom I mean that agreeableness is not something you can measure and then extrapolate to see how much of an SJW asshole someone is going to become. There some correlation, but there's also lots of counterexamples. It's tarring everyone with the same brush.

2018-05-21T20:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998658031654060032

@AuralLoom He's using the snake as an evolutionary sensitized threat, like Shermer uses wolves.

2018-05-21T20:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998657794592026624

@AuralLoom I wrestled a Rattlesnake once. The feeling was unlike any feeling I ever got from human conflict.

2018-05-21T20:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998657334233546752

@AuralLoom Huh, he's the one that suggested it.

2018-05-21T20:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998656880783253509

@AuralLoom All traits are minor unless pathologised.

2018-05-21T20:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998656708892213248

@AuralLoom Ohh, disgust! (1hr) I like this as I've read "Purity and Danger" by Mary Douglas.

2018-05-21T20:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998656492852076555

@AuralLoom 57:40 he's explaining more about the snake. Wait, now it heirarchies. erm, exploring, er, limits of knowledge, er learning by mistake, snake detectors. DRAGON DETECTORS, ...

2018-05-21T20:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998655864876625920

@AuralLoom But I don't think the SJWs punching Nazis is the first step to genocide.

2018-05-21T20:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998655716129849344

@AuralLoom I'm against punching Nazis for their words, so there's probably some agreement with Peterson there. (55m)

2018-05-21T20:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998655415490498560

@AuralLoom Yes, it's a vast and over-simplistic generalization for someone who promotes the importance of the individual. Agreeableness is a variable trait everyone has. He's taking a minor correlation and making it into a straw-man irrational friend-protecting snake-bashing SJW.

2018-05-21T19:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998654341773910023

@AuralLoom Mansplaining does actually happen though. And it's not always just a man clearly thinking and a woman being mad at him because of his regressively independent brain.

2018-05-21T19:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998653724435275779

@AuralLoom Dogma, really. I'd agree that's a bad thing.

2018-05-21T19:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998653427990257664

@AuralLoom (wasn't sure if you were being sarcastic with "fair summary", so there's the quote)

2018-05-21T19:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998653256980094976

@AuralLoom He said (54m) "The maternal viewpoint is something like anyone that is part of my in-group is an infant in trouble and anyone outside it is a predatory snake .. and so you are seeing that manifest itself in a political doctrine"

2018-05-21T19:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998652461396123648

@AuralLoom "ideology" is a very broad brush. What if your ideology is scientific skepticism?

2018-05-21T19:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998652213919604736

@AuralLoom Yes, the "clear intellect" is what SJWs say is anti-post-modernist. (according to Peterson)

2018-05-21T19:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998651689828737025

@AuralLoom Now (54m) SJWs are expressing maternal characteristics, people who agree with them are infants who need protecting, people who disagree are snakes that should be destroyed.

2018-05-21T19:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998651156946608130

@AuralLoom Now the SJW movement is "a hatred of clear intellect" because it's anti-post-modernist, or "mansplaining". (50m)

2018-05-21T19:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998650508435800064

@AuralLoom Now he's saying to treat PTSD you need to teach the philosophy of good and evil. Not sure what to make of that. But he seems to be connecting this to SJWs

---

2018-05-21T19:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998650284657205249

@AuralLoom He dismissed the entire book by saying it was obvious that mental illness had a social component.

2018-05-21T19:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998649900173684737

@AuralLoom What mentality? I'm listenting to what he says, and then giving my impression of it.

2018-05-21T19:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998649723354398720

@AuralLoom I suspect he's strongly misrepresenting Foucalts "Madness and Civilization" (42m)

2018-05-21T19:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998648659511197696

@AuralLoom He's now asserting that people not wanting to talk to him is a rejection of the enlightenment (39m).

2018-05-21T19:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998648294082469888

@AuralLoom Ah right, anti-deconstructionism as pragmatism now. (37m)

2018-05-21T19:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998647843257704448

@AuralLoom Now he's going on about pop-philosophy and AI. Hope he has a point. (35m)

2018-05-21T19:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998647245854597121

@AuralLoom Far right? He does not really talk about politics (that I've paid to attention to). He seems (now in the video, 30 min) to agree with Peterson that there's a chilling effect in academia with an excessive SJW mentality.

2018-05-21T19:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998645320354488321

@AuralLoom Well that's how it came across. Anyway, I'm watching it (at 1.5x). Seems to be largely railing against SJWs so far (20 min)

2018-05-21T19:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998644591535390721

@AuralLoom I'm sure he says many things that are both sensible and inspirational, and that he's helped many people feel better about themselves. But you can say that about all kinds of people you disagree with on other topics.

2018-05-21T19:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998643321407922176

@AuralLoom Listening to the JRE958 podcast. He just accused men who support feminists of doing it for "social and sexual points", and that "there's something seriously creepy about it." This does not bode well https://youtu.be/USg3NR76XpQ?t=8m36s

---

2018-05-21T19:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998641016625291264

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy This one? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=USg3NR76XpQ

2018-05-21T19:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998640820596101121

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy I though you said Peterson was puzzled as to why his audience was mostly men.

2018-05-21T19:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998639856266788864

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy What would you say is one of his best, most insightful talks or interviews that's online? I'll check it out.

2018-05-21T18:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998638597120049152

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Do his teachings resemble patriarchy?

2018-05-21T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998638167547723776

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy My impression is he's a quirky self help guy with some conservative ideas about individual responsibility and gender. Studied fascism so sees the world through that lens. Likes mythology, Jungian archetypes and stuff. Went viral at right time to ride anti-SJW wave to fame. Dudes.

2018-05-21T18:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998637305656061952

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy So why did men give his book a chance? Why don't men end it at "alt-right professor"?

2018-05-21T18:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998637090966421504

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy I'm basing my impression on what I've read about him, some excerpts of his work, and a few YouTube videos. I've not found a compelling reason to read any of his books. Maybe I'm too old.

2018-05-21T18:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998636458763169792

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy It's like you are blaming women for not understanding what Peterson is saying.

2018-05-21T18:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998636261047844865

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy That seems like a bit of a backwards question. Obviously his book does not speak to women, otherwise more would buy it and more would got to his talks.

2018-05-21T18:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998630787221045248

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Hmm, the reminds me of books I read as a teenager in the 1980s. I was a big fan of "Begin it Now" by Susan Hayward. Peterson just sounds like a pseduo-mystical gloss on self-improvement for men. "Individual responsibility", great, but what else?

2018-05-21T18:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998625930653253632

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy If it's not too personal a question, what were the flaws in yourself that Peterson helped you identify and fix?

2018-05-21T18:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998625338023264257

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy The young women I know don't seem to be particularly more angry with society than the young men. What about your female friends, are they angrier than you at the state of society?

2018-05-21T18:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998625026654916608

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy But Peterson did not figure this out?

2018-05-21T18:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998624847742681089

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy So it's a mystery? He does talk about differences between men an women, like he says women don't have physical combat as a backdrop to their discourses like men do. One would think he would have looked into why they don't like him.

2018-05-21T17:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998622061684649990

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy No, it's a question for you. Why do you think that if Peterson, as you claim, appeals to people who are "at the cusp of adulthood, a time of great confusion and fear", then why does he not appeal to young women?

2018-05-21T17:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998620793083805696

@erinbiba With instructions here:
https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/advanced-twitter-mute-options
YMMV, I've never used it myself.

2018-05-21T17:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998620658811654144

@erinbiba https://twitter.com/settings/muted_keywords

2018-05-21T17:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998620120070041600

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Are you in "a time of great confusion and fear?" You seem very self-confident. What then is the appeal of Peterson to you?

2018-05-21T17:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998619755773739009

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Why not young women?

2018-05-21T17:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998619637116878858

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Are you saying the alt-right liked Obama as much as they now like Peterson?

2018-05-21T17:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998618681977462785
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy I presume he'd strongly reject this, but Incels also find his idea appealing. Are they seriously misunderstanding him?

http://www.wehuntedthemammoth.com/2018/05/20/incels-embrace-jordan-peterson-after-he-calls-for-enforced-monogamy/

2018-05-21T17:33:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998617698455072768
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy And yet his words seem to most strongly resonate with a particular audience - young men. Why is that?

2018-05-21T17:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998616801939341314
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Suggesting of what? He has ideas the alt-right IN PARTICULAR find appealing. So what? That just means lots of alt-right folk like what he says. They like him and his ideas more than the typical liberal likes him. It's a chunk of his base.

2018-05-21T17:27:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998616337172725760
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy And Peterson rejecting a label does not mean that all the alt-righters stop liking him. The original point was simply that he appeals to the alt-right. This does not mean he deliberately appeals to them, it mean that they find him appealing, they like him. Different things.

2018-05-21T17:24:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998615573574500354
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Alt-right is a complex movement, white pride is hardly their only defining characteristic. See the "frequently overlapping" list in the first paragraph here - it includes antifeminism. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alt-right

---

2018-05-21T16:05:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998595637774962688
@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Silly? It's a direct connection. Men's rights activists feel that feminism has gone too far. Alt-right folk feel the same. His fans are not the full spectrum of humanity, they are some fairly specific groups, and mostly young men.

2018-05-21T16:01:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998594707172220928
@DBHnBuckhead2 @PrisonPlanet http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ddu4fm2VwAAnrNG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ddu4fm2VwAAnrNG.jpg

2018-05-21T15:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998592980742123520

@AuralLoom @FintanHennessy Well, "individual responsibility" (not that there's anything wrong

with that) is very much a favorite of the right. He appeals to MRAs, and there's some intersection with the alt-right there, who generally favor traditional interpretations of gender.

2018-05-21T05:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998433434757300224

9/11 folk, not all plane crashes look alike! Despite them all being planes falling out of the sky, there's a huge amount of variation in what happens. Mostly due to speed and angle - but also due to what they hit. I try to illustrate this with some eggs.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w057C_2ippc

2018-05-21T00:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998367590639587328

@PostDisclosure @UfoSunday @__dregs__ @Jasongoldthorpe @adamgoldsack I never said otherwise. The point is we can't look at the data, so we can only go by indirect accounts of it.

2018-05-21T00:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998366701145112576

@UfoSunday @__dregs__ @Jasongoldthorpe @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack I can still point out that the recordings have not been verified to match Fravor's description.

2018-05-21T00:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998366369996394497

@PostDisclosure @__dregs__ @UfoSunday @Jasongoldthorpe @adamgoldsack As far as I know there's none that's publicly available, or that has really been officially and unambiguously described. What the military has, I have no idea.

2018-05-21T00:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998362709656653825

@__dregs__ @UfoSunday @Jasongoldthorpe @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack What hard data? It's essentially ALL based on eyewitnesses and other accounts. If there were AAVs then the military would have recordings.

2018-05-20T22:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998329549803765760

@UfoSunday @adamgoldsack @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @rpowell2u @PaulJamesDean So you are saying there is none that everyone can check? Have you ever seen any actual data?

2018-05-20T22:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998329095246069760

@UfoSunday @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack

https://www.nicap.org/rufo/rufo-14.htm

2018-05-20T22:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998328840727416832

@UfoSunday @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack Maybe he should have said there are no proven explanations on how this can happen, because, like everything else associated with the UFO, there was a theory.

2018-05-20T22:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/998327363791306752
@Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack @UfoSunday That would be awesome, but I don't think it would happen. Besides, I don't think that even you would claim that EVERY SINGLE UFO that I've debunked is actually aliens or advanced tech. What about this one?
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdrFOKHVAAEWXnq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdrFOKHVAAEWXnq.jpg
2018-05-20T22:16:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998326517355573249
@adamgoldsack @__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @UfoSunday No based on the evidence I've seen so far. It seems to be entirely from eyewitnesses and third hand reports of radars. Where's the inexplicable video? Where's the radar data?

2018-05-20T21:34:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998315959797497856
@__dregs__ @PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack @UfoSunday "I don't know" is generally a correct answer in many UFO cases". However "I don't know" does not exclude "It could be A, B, or C, maybe even X, but we lack enough information to decide."

2018-05-20T19:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998284998632337410
"If Jordan Peterson is the most influential intellectual in the Western world, the Western world has lost its damn mind." https://www.currentaffairs.org/2018/03/the-intellectual-we-deserve

---

2018-05-20T12:28:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/998178764545605632
@TheOutThere2016 Shame they did not have a camera with them.

2018-05-19T06:14:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/997722219349344256

Joe Rogan explains pretty much everything to Steven Tyler.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nkWn5vKCZj4

2018-05-18T22:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997599178954981376

@GroovyBruce Lots of planes in southern Oregon. If you give a more accurate time and location then the Metabunk Skydentify team can track it down for you.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdgvIZ7V0AExYHP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdgvIZ7V0AExYHP.jpg

2018-05-18T18:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997537383066226688

Awesome live stream of eruptions in Hawaii. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-9PcgTQDJSc

---

2018-05-18T06:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997364091642511360

Regardless of who you believe, there's some pretty high-level fake news going on here.

https://twitter.com/KLCanolog/status/997335934319386624

2018-05-18T06:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997356636237844482

@h3h3productions @h3podcast Hey Ethan, sounds very interesting. I'm up in Sacramento now, but I'm sure something can be arranged. Will DM.

2018-05-18T05:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997350282613866496

The term "debunker" sounds like a modern thing, but it dates back nearly a hundred years.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DddLNJ5VMAENibm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DddLNJ5VMAENibm.jpg

2018-05-18T00:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997279061037600768

@bosma2002 @LeeCamp I think the story has legs because many people don't really understand large numbers, or the money supply, or the vast complexity of the military as an organization.

2018-05-18T00:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997278661840531456

@bosma2002 @LeeCamp Still rather misses the point - where were they stealing $6.5 trillion from? Where did it go? You can't double the US money supply without people noticing. And nothing indicates money was created. The whole thing is both baseless and impossible.

2018-05-18T00:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997276489786671104

@rokro11 Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

2018-05-18T00:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997275667019411456

@bosma2002 @LeeCamp I've looked into it in some depth, read many DoD reports, corresponded with Mark Sizemore, and done the math. Not only is it literally impossible, it's not what the evidence shows. Everything there just points to accounting. Nothing suggests loss of trillions. It's complicated.

2018-05-18T00:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997267205048840192

@bosma2002 @LeeCamp What exactly is wrong with the story? Do you think it's possible to lose $6.5 trillion when your budget is only $0.6 trillion? Why do you think there's a loss at all?

Did they say they lost the money?

2018-05-17T07:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/997012548623912960

@TheOutThere2016 @samtripoli That's why I said: "Nobody is saying that the defense department does not waste money. It very obviously does. Hundreds of millions, possibly even billions of dollars are wasted through inefficiencies, incompetence, and corruption."
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/

2018-05-17T06:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996993748205629440

@samtripoli Sure, I'm always up for a good podcast!

2018-05-17T05:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996992353893138433

@samtripoli No worries. But the $2.3T was really the same thing - incompatible accounting systems. I wrote about it a few years ago.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.t165/

2018-05-17T05:46:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996990230895902720

@gigathought @KikPlenty @VernLovic @GarryPNolan @TTSAcademy @PostDisclosure @SteveBassett @AnunnakiAwake No, I'm just good with Google.

2018-05-17T05:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996990049215369216

@samtripoli That's basically the same thing.

2018-05-17T05:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996989253014900737

@KikPlenty @VernLovic @gigathought @GarryPNolan @TTSAcademy @PostDisclosure @SteveBassett @AnunnakiAwake Ah, it's coming back to me. TTSA, genetics. He seems legit, and quite reasonable. But I'd never heard of him before.

2018-05-17T05:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996984976313729026

@KikPlenty @VernLovic @gigathought @GarryPNolan @TTSAcademy @PostDisclosure @SteveBassett @AnunnakiAwake I think you might be thinking of someone else. I don't remember talking about Gary Nolan.
My memory is terrible however.

2018-05-17T05:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996979456983031809

@LeeCamp It isn't missing. How can you lose $6.6 Billion in a year if your budget is one tenth of that? It's voucher entries for year end balance sheets of assets and liabilities. Not Spending. Not lost money. See explanation from DoD comptroller here:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdX7RM2U8AE63b0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdX7RM2U8AE63b0.jpg
2018-05-17T00:54:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/996916795570188288
Losing $6,500 billion out of a $600 billion budget and still being able to run the US military sounds like a joke. But to this comedian on Russia Today it's no laughing matter.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-missing-21-trillion-6-5-trillion-2-3-trillion-journal-vouchers.t9718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdXB4-tVQAU7StX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdXB4-tVQAU7StX.jpg
2018-05-17T00:09:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/996905618781814784
@TheOutThere2016 Wingtips have white+green and white+red. The white+red line is there, but faint.

2018-05-15T18:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996451189578334208

@TheOutThere2016 Nice. That flight direction matches the track in the sky when rotated to match Stellarium's projection at that time/location. This view is looking east, the plane is flying just south of west. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdQa5biU8AAQ4pt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdQa5biU8AAQ4pt.jpg

2018-05-15T06:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996276009337995266

A UFO was caught on camera by an astronomer taking a long exposure of distant galaxies. He posted it on the Mufon UFO database. It was briefly shared to an excited corner of Facebook. We figured out it was just a flashing red light on a plane. Typical.

https://www.metabunk.org/red-winged-ufo-mufon-case-91964-plane-lights.t9715/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdN6pblVQAANBbQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DdN6pblVQAANBbQ.jpg

2018-05-15T00:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/996189986574680064

@KeremGo3D @ufoofinterest @mufon Itâ□□s a single long exposure tracking the stars. The red images are a strobe light flashing, so do not move. Thin lines are always-on nav lights.

2018-05-12T16:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/995346397535748096

@nickmyer @Helicop_seats @AmyMichelleSel2 So why is there such a variation in weight? I breath the same air as you, and yet I'm really skinny.

2018-05-12T15:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/995320313519865856

@Paulxxxxx @KikPlenty @alienufovideos Have these 70 years of cases not already been investigated? Where is the radar data?

2018-05-11T20:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/995045721781952513

@Paulxxxxx @KikPlenty @alienufovideos Confirmation that some flying objects have not been identified is simply stating the obvious.

2018-05-11T18:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/995006023600500741

@nickmyer @RennyStrong Looks like a solar halo.

2018-05-11T16:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994982668885377024

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer And that's not a spraying system. It's a speculative system of REMOVING things (pollution) from the atmosphere.

2018-05-11T16:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994982176654422016

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer You know a lot of patents are speculative. Many don't even work. There are patents for space warping spaceships. Does that mean they exist?

2018-05-11T16:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994981693256691712

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer Wait, so the things they use are NOT patented? What are patents evidence of then? Crop dusting?

2018-05-11T15:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994968852113969152

@Twimom09 I like how #2 on his list has already been debunked, by him.

2018-05-11T15:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994968223152881665

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer That appears to be a HAARP style device. They affect the ionosphere, which is far too high to affect the weather or climate. Plus they only had a minuscular fraction of the effect the sun has.

2018-05-11T15:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994967194898284545

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer I don't see the 5486900 patent referenced there. Where is it used?

2018-05-11T15:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994966476455985152

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer Look it up where? Can you give a link for where this photocopier toner measurement system is used in the spray systems of planes? Perhaps it's just listed as a reference in some other patent?

2018-05-11T15:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994965339015299072

@Globe_Ripper @BallDropped @stewart_doe @AnonDRGN @whoopsbuni @exiledbytruth @RecipehMaker @DeanKo @rokro11 @JWontObey @ForeverFlatErth @BillNyeActorGuy @NASA It's not unaffected, just affected a lot less (assuming the moon is high in the sky. If you draw a diagram it should be apparent why.

2018-05-11T15:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994965024031453184

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer If someone is trying to keep this program secret, then why did they patent it? Patents are all publicly available. Perhaps A) patents are for non-secret things, like cloud seeding, or B) patents are speculative, for things that MIGHT be done in the future, or C) both.?

2018-05-11T15:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994964127071158272

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer It's for a photocopier.
https://patents.google.com/patent/US5486900

2018-05-11T15:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994963492368072704

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer There's lots of patents for cloud seeding and for geoengineering. But there's also a lot of patents on the list that are not for either. I think if the list is going to be

used, it should be fixed.

2018-05-11T15:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994963166068011009

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer I want the truth. That's why I'm always grateful when people point out mistakes I have made. I ask only for fair consideration.

2018-05-11T15:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994962784013058048

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer It is. Read it.

2018-05-11T14:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994954444088397824

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer Example of a mistake. One of those patents is for a photocopier toner level indicator. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dc7JsvVVQAA8_ZL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dc7JsvVVQAA8_ZL.jpg

2018-05-11T14:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994951968958238720

@STVS_Belgium @nickmyer I'll correct any mistake I'd made with facts. Please point out the specific mistakes. Thanks.

2018-05-10T22:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994712660431155200

RT @Frank87270541: @MickWest I never did get a flat earth explanation for this... https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JGY0IHAPZjk

---

2018-05-10T21:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994684587597942784

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos Not proven to be advanced tech though, there generally several other possibilities.

2018-05-10T21:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994684002362519552

@TxUFOSightings See my list.

2018-05-10T18:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994647753987796992

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos It's impossible to know what everything flying around is. Things are often far away and hard to see. Things are often observed only for limited periods of time. Sometimes people fake things. People sometimes fall for visual illusions. They will ALWAYS be UFOs, even if no aliens.

2018-05-10T18:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994647171298312194

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos But greatly overstated the significance of this. Of course the military would be interested in unidentified craft - they might belong to enemies of the US.

2018-05-10T18:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994643705863684098

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos One can be both. She eagerly accepted and trumpeted the Chilean UFO as being a "genuine" UFO based on years of research, Then it was debunked in a few days.

2018-05-10T17:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994634434304327680

@matthetube Quit blubbering!

2018-05-10T17:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994633963934134272

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos I'd put money on that being false too. Your list takes trivial things and makes then seem significant. NYT & WaPo just published Leslie Keane pieces. She's a UFO fan with an excessive trust in eyewitnesses. Look at the actual evidence, not the parade.

2018-05-10T17:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994632564789755904

@TxUFOSightings The connection is that people point webcams at volcanoes because they do interesting things. Angled up to catch any plume. Then planes, birds, insects, drones, cars, and hikers get seen on the webcam footage and are called UFOs.

2018-05-10T17:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994631718836432896

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos I'd put money on them not finding anything. Except they will probably find some statistically insignificant random variation and claim that it means something, so I'd never collect.

2018-05-10T17:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994623834069188608

@KikPlenty @alienufovideos Well one out of three ain't bad.
Seriously though, didn't he just do some DNA work? He's not really a UFO guy.

2018-05-10T17:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994623302839615489

The shadow that the Earth casts on the Moon during an eclipse is one of the classic clues of the shape of the Earth. Since the sun is so far away it also gives us a rough indication of the size of the Moon relative to the Earth. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dc2chY_UQAEsHSW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dc2chY_UQAEsHSW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dc2cgTnVAAAUUM9.jpg

2018-05-10T16:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994622801234427904

@thedrunkinmonk More like a really "open" mind with a strong preference for the esoteric. Then being incurious when shown the real world explanation. Just moving on to the next paradigm shattering claim that's utterly baseless. @eddiebravo, real science is fascinating too. Look into it.

2018-05-10T16:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994616159314964485
@naomirwolf Biofuels only account for a small (but growing) fraction of jet fuel. Most flights are not biofuel. The composition of contrails hasn't visibly changed since 2016, has it? Remember this "chemtrail" scare started back in the 1990s. Contrails still look the same.

2018-05-10T15:46:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994604538601455616
@thedrunkinmonk It would be interesting. He knows a lot of talking points, but has perhaps not thought them all through. Seems like a nice chap though.

2018-05-10T15:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994604150125088769
Aviation has been growing steadily since the 1950s when London's Heathrow had just 30 flights a day. This video visualizes the evolution of the UK's congested airspace.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZytXSawjFVU

2018-05-10T15:40:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994603213385953280
@thedrunkinmonk Bring it. :)

2018-05-10T15:38:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994602617975144448
@strait328 @_kellimagine It's certainly an unusual amount of contrails, but it's just something that happened occasionally if the weather is just right - and if you live near an intersection of multiple air routes, like in the south of England.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZytXSawjFVU

2018-05-10T15:34:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994601668036640768
@naomirwolf People have observed contrails creating cirrus clouds since the 1920s. They have been known to be a component in the climate (radiative forcing) since at least the 1960s.
https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/1520-0469%281971%29028%3C1513%3ACCATC%3E2.0.CO%3B2
Those "weird" emissions look like contrails.

2018-05-10T15:28:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994600085391527936
@nickmyer @naomirwolf You think there are actually flesh eating robots roaming the world?

2018-05-10T15:22:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994598581351825408
@KikPlenty @alienufovideos Article? It's a video from "Attack of the Show". Putoff and Davis both seem like they are rather on the fringe. If they have something interesting to say they don't need me to ask the questions. They can publish it.
UFOology is littered with treasured crumbs.

2018-05-09T19:29:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/994298223018704897

@MarkDice Well Dude Perfect has thirty million subscribers vs. your one million. There's a difference between entertainment and news.

2018-05-09T06:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994097394353569792

@__dregs__ @KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @JeremyCorbell We'll see if they produce anything. In the meantime I'll just examine individual claims of evidence, like the videos.

2018-05-09T05:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994084506050187266

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @JeremyCorbell You'd think that if there actually was a material that defied human science then it would be easier to find info about it. The lack of forthcoming information after so long tends to indicate there's nothing of real interest.

2018-05-09T05:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994083473542537216

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @joerogan Finding evidence of aliens would be insanely cool. But it does not follow that one should then advocate for that interpretation. Science is not about what is cool, it's about where the evidence leads - even if that's something rather mundane. Motivated reasoning leads astray.

2018-05-09T05:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994082787866144768

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @joerogan It's not that I'm avoiding the opportunity. I just think I AM on the right side of science. I'm going by what the available evidence is. I've done deep analysis of several UFO videos and either figured them out, or found plausible hypotheses. Nothing that needs aliens.

2018-05-09T01:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994032731150413825

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Show it.

2018-05-09T00:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994018957949452289

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ I love sci-fi , I love forteana, Iâ□□d love for aliens to be discovered. But I just donâ□□t see good evidence.

2018-05-09T00:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994018341990756352

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Metamaterials, which? They are just odd materials, nothing beyond current science demonstrated

---

2018-05-09T00:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994017591373873153

@__dregs__ @KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 â□□Helpedâ□□, according to Tom. Could cover a range of things.

2018-05-09T00:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/994009798881329152

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ "Backed"? What does that mean? Podesta is just another UFO fan. Nothing has been "backed" other than there was this program that had no results and was shut down. The NYT and Wapo pieces were by UFO fans (Keane)

2018-05-08T23:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993997402091634688

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ It's only the story of century if there's actual disclosure or other evidence. Characterizing where we are now as "post-disclosure" is setting the bar rather low.

2018-05-08T23:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993996921181163520

@KikPlenty @Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Convincing of what? I mean there's clearly some dots and lights in the sky that have not been conclusively identified. But I don't know of anything that's clear evidence of unknown advanced technology.

2018-05-08T20:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993954392792432640

@__dregs__ @Joshphi23040839 Look at the advances Skunkworks made. It's all just advancements on existing tech. Reducing radar and IR signatures, a better STOVL fighter, variations on jet engines. They have no history of revolutionizing physics, just using it well. It's a different kettle of fish.

2018-05-08T20:08:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993945707580030977

@Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Look at the list, it's a bunch of hand waving.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dcs0OGgU8AAD0Tm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dcs0OGgU8AAD0Tm.jpg

2018-05-08T20:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993945510992990210

@Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ @TTSAcademy I think he enjoyed his work at Skunkworks, and

he wants to build a spaceship. This was simply his only option to try something like that.

2018-05-08T20:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993944915980705792

@Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Pretty much. What do you think is the most viable thing they are working on?

2018-05-08T20:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993944658559430656

@__dregs__ @Joshphi23040839 @TTSAcademy I don;'t think he runs the podcast, if you mean this: https://lockheedmartin.com/insideskunkworks It's just a PR historical thing he's been on couple of times.

2018-05-08T20:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993943782117670912

@__dregs__ @Joshphi23040839 @TTSAcademy They are essentially just managers though, somewhat insulated from the realities of science and engineering. What has Justice told us? That he's going to make an essentially magical spacetime-warping craft by leveraging science and talent. Why did nobody think of this before!

2022-06-13T15:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536374774615576577

@giddierone Really? Is there any documentation of this event - like kids drawing? Written or verbal accounts?

Sounds just like what I was thinking.

2022-06-13T15:43:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536373799230181378

@ArielPhenomenon @GrantCameron @disclosureteam_ Can they ask him when he'll release all the raw video he has?

2022-06-13T15:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536371641478918146

@AliensOnEarth_ @zorbonk Which one is the best one?

2022-06-13T15:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536371358141063168

@ChilliFowler The kids, now grown, think their memories are real. Memories seem real regardless of if they are or not.

2022-06-13T15:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536371150850142209

@darkman00861132 @hoaxeye No. I'm saying their "UFO" videos are too low quality to determine what the objects look like. Small, glares, out of focus, low resolution.

2022-06-13T15:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536370834033455104

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 They are attempting to tell the same story and

they were interviewed TOGETHER. Obviously, their stories would converge. It's not a coincidence.

2022-06-13T15:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536366482103316482

@ChilliFowler "little men who looked a bit like Michael Jackson"

2022-06-13T15:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536365138424434689

@A__White__Raven @PThegermandude @h43z There are variations in what they said on video over the first few weeks.

That's why Nickerson really needs to release all the data he has - so the extent of the shifting stories can be assessed.

2022-06-13T15:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536364614123892736

@smiths617 I still dabble in 9/11, chemtrails, etc. But I find UFOlogy really fascinating.

There's some crossover. Like with Med Beds and JFK (supposedly derived from Roswell - similar to @Alien_Scientist's group's theory of modern technology)

https://www.thedailybeast.com/new-qanon-conspiracy-involves-a-magical-bed-for-zombie-jfk

---

2022-06-13T15:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536363486711648256

@A__White__Raven @PThegermandude @h43z Their stories changed at the start, even in the curated clips that are out there.

False memories are not something you recant. They seem as real as genuine memories.

Nickerson has a lot more data that he's not releasing.

2022-06-13T15:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536362938117738496

@KirbysCrackle Mack interviewed them six weeks later. The damage was largely done by then, although he did get them to start talking about telepathy.

2022-06-13T14:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536362543144243200

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 The consistency you describe does not even exist in the available data. Going "out into the world" is not going to change that.

You would think, at the very least, there would be a spreadsheet. But no, we get curated excerpts from motivated individuals.

Where's the data?

2022-06-13T14:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536361093349580802

@PredatoryPanic @EvaporatingH2O When people discuss it in groups and are interviewed in groups, their stories convene and grow together. More so with kids.

This is not a deliberate thing.

2022-06-13T14:47:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536359494661206016

@KirbysCrackle I don't think it's roleplaying. I'm suggesting that as a possible way of recreating the genesis incident.

You could also have someone dressed as an alien (or just wearing a wetsuit and some welders goggles) come and wave at the kids. But that would be difficult.

2022-06-13T14:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536358849489170437

@AliensOnEarth_ @zorbonk Do you think that all the photos that look like hubcaps or mixing bowls are genuine non-human flying craft?

If not, then which ones do you think are not fake?

2022-06-13T14:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536358134511308801

@PThegermandude @h43z It's a hypothesis. Are we not allowed to express what we feel is the more likely explanation. It's also possible there was a bizarre and world-changing encounter with aliens. But I would put that far down the list.

2022-06-13T14:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536355537767411712

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 Tell a story together, and you get a somewhat consistent story, especially with lots of "gentle and persistent prodding"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI7HJbUcAAW2c1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI7GTvUUAAZQL-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI7HJbUcAAW2c1.jpg

2022-06-13T14:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536354768423071744

@h43z Not with "roleplaying", no. I think some kids saw an odd person in the middle of a UFO flap (caused by space debris a couple of days earlier), they started talking about it, then it grew from there

---

2022-06-13T14:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536353383140904962

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 They believe their memories to be true.

2022-06-13T14:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536353086695825410

Child psychologists, teachers, could you ethically recreate the Ariel School alien incident?

i.e. have kids roleplay seeing aliens and flying saucer on friday, then get them to draw pictures and retell on camera, in groups next week, then individually, months, then years later?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI401wVIAAVnmm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI01ScVsAIhVHF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI2SRyUYAENWn_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI401wVIAAVnmm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVI28AbUEAADFss.jpg

2022-06-13T13:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536346674875904001

@Edmonstar_7 @zorbonk @Joel08316401 Also kids. Probably triggered by a balloon.

2022-06-13T13:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536346153050025985

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 No, I said a "similar consistency" - like what you'd get in the case of kids illustrating a story together.

It would make a great experiment. Some teacher should try it.

2022-06-13T13:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536345760559558656

@Edmonstar_7 @zorbonk @Joel08316401 Adults who saw some excited kids and thought they were just messing around.

2022-06-13T13:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536345620251672579

@skyumpix Your points say it's really hard to take good photos of UFOs, but there are lots of good photos. Which is it?

2022-06-13T13:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536345199474905094

@Edmonstar_7 @zorbonk @Joel08316401 They were kids.

2022-06-13T13:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536345133003571201

@skyumpix They have edges, don't they? Or are they actually fuzzy blobs?

2022-06-13T13:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536343969809911811

@Edmonstar_7 @zorbonk @Joel08316401 It's one minor hypothesis. There is a large number of possibilities, and the truth will never be known.

But why do you think a welder is somehow less likely than a spaceship with telepathic aliens?

2022-06-13T13:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536343202722066437

@JohnSam10418239 @beta_sid @dan44269820 If you read kids a story, then have them draw pictures together of that story, then they will have a similar consistency.

2022-06-13T13:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536341859370295296

@skyumpix Aerodynamic contrails on a plane 80 miles away. We did full 3D reconstruction. Itâ□□s a perfect match.

2022-06-13T08:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536270311527550976

@skyumpix Sorry, that just sounds like a long list of increasingly implausible excuses.

If people can see them, then eventually there will be good photos. So far no.

2022-06-13T07:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536255002976477184

@TheOutThere2016 @Omengod But why?

2022-06-13T05:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536216968746831872

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Right, another anonymous out-of-context quote.

2022-06-13T05:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536215880622694400

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MiddleOfMayhem That's nothing at all like the gimbal. It's the position of the light that causes to the rotation of the lens flare. Almost as irrelevant as the knife.

2022-06-13T04:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536207078078918656

@SwinkInc Camera moves a lot. Object does not move much. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xI0-js7oXLU

2022-06-13T04:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536199649857482752

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Derotation would essentially be instant. Why not? Itâ□□s a vastly lighter mechanism than the pod roll

---

2022-06-13T02:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536180596484976641

@caitgaist @BrianSzy_PF @aka__V @Robot__Roberto @michiokaku Day says he considered radar glitches, or something civilian, right up until he heard Fravor freaking out.

2022-06-13T02:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536179611767230464

@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku How would that work? Itâ□□s not like gimbal is a hot knife held against a wall.

2022-06-13T02:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536179163320553472

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Saying it â□□flew away from himâ□□ is a bit misleading. Itâ□□s flying away from him yes, but itâ□□s not like it u and left. It made no real changes through the video.

2022-06-13T02:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536178753264529408

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Iâ□□ve not looked at it for a while, but thereâ□□s a chunk of uncertainty on the 5Â°/6Â° values - plus we donâ□□t have clouds, so hard to be as accurate as Gimbal.

2022-06-13T02:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536172968522395648

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku He didnâ□□t â□□chaseâ□□ anything. The took a video of something, itâ□□s out of focus. Could a a plane o drone.

2022-06-13T02:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536172503999033344

@TheOutThere2016 Well itâ□□s pointless then.

2022-06-13T02:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536172086942584833

@joe_forbrich I donâ□□t think they were laughing at the Wright brothersâ□□ aviation comedy sketches.

2022-06-13T02:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536171738240647169

@BostonsBuddha @dave_beaty @SBrowneITF I call them like Lyceum

---

2022-06-13T02:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536171279685853189

@SpaceLawyer2 @michiokaku No, I mean called out. It should be pointed out that his claims appear to be baseless.

Scientists back up claims, especially extraordinary ones. He has not.

2022-06-13T02:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536170355655467008

@TheOutThere2016 Can you post the frames?

2022-06-13T02:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536168363629563904

@SpaceLawyer2 @michiokaku I feel his very public claims need to be called out publicly.

2022-06-13T01:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536166122034040835

@TheOutThere2016 Hidden data? Whatâ□□s the point?

2022-06-13T01:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536165734375518209

@SpaceLawyer2 @michiokaku Does he not welcome robust examination of his assertions?

He makes incredible claims, on major media, seen by millions.

He should be able to back it up.

2022-06-13T01:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536157343594864641

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Fravor was not there when the video was taken. Nobody actually SAW the object in the video.

2022-06-13T01:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536156992854556672

@dka78 @chileano99 What date?

2022-06-13T01:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536156336836136960

@SBrowneITF Bird's nest fungi. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nidulariaceae

---

2022-06-13T01:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536154688390696960

@the_cholla @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku You can dislike both, you don't have to average them.

2022-06-13T01:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536154439945318400

@KJ46838999 Those are the same thing. This is an illustration of that thing.

2022-06-13T01:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536154220977479680

Amusingly, at one point it appears to be hovering over the old Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVGD2YKVIAA3qUX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVGD2YKVIAA3qUX.jpg

2022-06-13T00:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536148931003809792

@Tarcante @Oswald1160 @AtticusFinch_JD @michiokaku Has Kaku endorsed Knuth's (misleading) paper?

Has he even read it?

2022-06-13T00:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536148554531500032

@HighStrangenes3 There is a possibility that they are all mundane (at least in the sense of not requiring new physics, advanced technology, or aliens)

2022-06-13T00:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536144820304891905

@the_cholla @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku And when you are saying "Not saying Kaku is right" - you mean he's wrong. You should say that.

2022-06-13T00:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536144590540918784

@the_cholla @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku I disagree. FLIR1 could very well be a plane or a drone.

Gimbal's video is MUCH better explained by the distant straight line. Your loopy 10NM trajectory is far less Occamic.

2022-06-13T00:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536143050128228353
@AdmiralQuality It was shot from the passenger seat.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVF59QRUsAAcC72.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVF59QRUsAAcC72.jpg
2022-06-13T00:25:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536142627963252736
Now it UFO videos looked like this, then they simply would not be UFO videos.

I can't say it strongly enough. THIS IS EVIDENCE.

The lack of good videos strongly suggests most (if not all) UFO videos are of mundane objects.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536142255316037632/pu/img/TKEFchP5RqdWZ7hv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536142255316037632/pu/img/TKEFchP5RqdWZ7hv.jpg
2022-06-13T00:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536141719544004609
@dellerm93 I don't have a good example of that. There are thousands of videos that are indeterminate, so hard to identify. Nothing unambiguously weird though. That's the problem.

2022-06-13T00:17:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536140753373515779
Twitter made it even worse - which is also something that happens

---

2022-06-13T00:15:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536140114165760000
I took this video of a flying object today. The original is 4K and you can tell what it is. But I've converted it to 180P to more accurately replicate the typical UFO experience.
The potato-level quality of the majority of UFO videos (including the Navy ones) is a MASSIVE clue.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536139520915124224/pu/img/x1N_pTlYyc9o4axz.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536139520915124224/pu/img/x1N_pTlYyc9o4axz.jpg
2022-06-13T00:06:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536137901586542593
@the_cholla @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku They certainly don't have the exotic evidence of advanced propulsion that Kaku and others propose. Their motions are mundane. What they are is uncertain, but planes or drones of some sort are quite plausible explanations.

2022-06-12T19:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536062315459579904
@enmasse01 @MiddleOfMayhem @michiokaku Then he should have no problem in actually backing up his claims.

2022-06-12T18:20:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536050830729613317
@BostonsBuddha @CDVilsack Yes, I'm sure. Because it's the only usage that fits. It's obvious.

Why, which one do you prefer? Showing up? None of them make sense. This is silly.

2022-06-12T18:17:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536050043928469504
@CarpJayD I focus on specific claims, to see if those claims are true, or not, (if such a determination can be made).

With the Navy UFO videos, I've attempted to interview everyone involved, and have read or listened to hours of personal accounts.

2022-06-12T18:12:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536048783716323328
@CDVilsack @BostonsBuddha @michiokaku Kaku made explicit claims that the videos (analyzed frame-by-frame) showed things that defy the normal laws of physics, and I'm asking

him to back that up.

Or retract his claims. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEj8v6VIAAQpYa.jpg

---

Michio Kaku 0:54

That's right. It used to be the third rail of a scientific establishment. If you're talking about UFOs, you are pretty much relegated to being a nutcase. And the giggle factor kicks in. Right? Yeah. But things have changed, then, you know, because of the fact that the military is now basically releasing hours of videotapes, of things that defy the normal laws of physics. And the military has admitted that, quote, "they're not ours". Before there was always that ambiguity, that maybe it's a new stealth bomber, or a new, fantastic device being prepared by the military. Nope. The military now admits that they're not ours, then the question is, Whose are they then?

Michio Kaku 2:12

It used to be that one person would see something in the sky and say, Look, Martha, look, there's something up there. Now, things have changed. Now, we have multiple sightings by multiple modes, that is the gold standard, the gold standard for looking for these objects, not just one person, but several people that are reputable, not just radar, but visual sighting, infrared sensors, telescopic evidence. Now we have multiple sightings by multiple modes. And so the burden of proof has shifted, it used to be the burden of proof was on the people who believed in UFOs, they saw something? Prove it! Now the burden of proof has shifted to the Pentagon to the military. Now they have to prove that these aren't extraterrestrial. And so I think there's been a sea change, a sea change in the last several years. You know, 50 years ago, there was a congressional hearing. And it was coming out of project Bluebook. And there was a lot of laughter and a lot of jokes about things, little green men and out of space 50 years ago, that's the way it was now, things have changed. Now people are looking at looking at: are they a threat militarily? What kinds of sensors do we have? What kind of metrics do we have, we now have frame-by-frame an analysis of these objects. These objects travel between Mach Five and Mach 20, that's 20 times the speed of sound. These objects can zigzag. And we can measure the g-force inside the this object, the g-forces are several hundred times the force of gravity. In other words, any living person's bones will be crushed by these objects. So they're probably drones of some sort. These objects can drop 70,000 feet in a few seconds. Think about that. It can drop a tremendous distance in just a few seconds, and they can go underwater. This is something that we didn't realize before. But yes, they can actually go underwater. And they also move without creating an exhaust or breaking the sound barrier. So these are things that we can now document frame by frame, looking at these videotapes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEj8v6VIAAQpYa.jpg
2022-06-12T18:09:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536048035775467525
@BostonsBuddha @CDVilsack So then it's not, as Kaku claimed, in the videos. Or released by the military.

So his statement is incorrect. This whole paragraph, the premise of his argument. Is wrong.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEjYvEUsAESSa1.jpg



Michio Kaku 0:54

That's right. It used to be the third rail of a scientific establishment. If you're talking about UFOs, you are pretty much relegated to being a nutcase. And the giggle factor kicks in. Right? Yeah. But things have changed, then, you know, because of the fact that the military is now basically releasing hours of videotapes, of things that defy the normal laws of physics. And the military has admitted that, quote, "they're not ours". Before there was always that ambiguity, that maybe it's a new stealth bomber, or a new, fantastic device being prepared by the military. Nope. The military now admits that they're not ours, then the question is, Whose are they then?

Michio Kaku 2:12

It used to be that one person would see something in the sky and say, Look, Martha, look, there's something up there. Now, things have changed. Now, we have multiple sightings by multiple modes, that is the gold standard, the gold standard for looking for these objects, not just one person, but several people that are reputable, not just radar, but visual sighting, infrared sensors, telescopic evidence. Now we have multiple sightings by multiple modes. And so the burden of proof has shifted, it used to be the burden of proof was on the people who believed in UFOs, they saw something? Prove it! Now the burden of proof has shifted to the Pentagon to the military. Now they have to prove that these aren't extraterrestrial. And so I think there's been a sea change, a sea change in the last several years. You know, 50 years ago, there was a congressional hearing. And it was coming out of project Bluebook. And there was a lot of laughter and a lot of jokes about things, little green men and out of space 50 years ago, that's the way it was now, things have changed. Now people are looking at looking at: are they a threat militarily? What kinds of sensors do we have? What kind of metrics do we have, we now have frame-by-frame an analysis of these objects. These objects travel between Mach Five and Mach 20, that's 20 times the speed of sound. These objects can zigzag. And we can measure the g-force inside the this object, the g-forces are several hundred times the force of gravity. In other words, any living person's bones will be crushed by these objects. So they're probably drones of some sort. These objects can drop 70,000 feet in a few seconds. Think about that. It can drop a tremendous distance in just a few seconds, and they can go underwater. This is something that we didn't realize before. But yes, they can actually go underwater. And they also move without creating an exhaust or breaking the sound barrier. So these are things that we can now document frame by frame, looking at these videotapes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEjYvEUsAESSa1.jpg

2022-06-12T18:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536047446874173440

@BostonsBuddha @CDVilsack @michiokaku #3, obviously. They SEEM to do weird things, at least based on eyewitness accounts.

They even SEEM to do weird things in the videos, until you analyze them frame-by-frame, and then they don't.

2022-06-12T18:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536047021618085888

@JakeUdub @BostonsBuddha @Robot__Roberto I've muted 528vibes for posting unsourced clickbait. Find the actual source.

2022-06-12T18:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536046702620078080

@CarpJayD I am. https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-06-12T18:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536046165438803968

@BostonsBuddha @CDVilsack So where's "The car that crashed" in relation to these videos?

There's zero physical evidence relating to the videos.

2022-06-12T17:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536045381720502272

@BrianSzy_PF @caitgaist @Robot__Roberto @michiokaku You are either missing the point or

trolling. Take a break.

2022-06-12T17:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536045243526549509

@BrianSzy_PF @caitgaist @Robot__Roberto @michiokaku Not really. they are examples of a general issue in science.

The basic mistake is assuming sensor systems are 100% accurate and never give anomalous readings.

2022-06-12T17:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536044268967538689

@BrianSzy_PF @caitgaist @Robot__Roberto @michiokaku These examples are about the second paragraph, not simply the first one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEgEGdVUAAZGwf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEgEGdVUAAZGwf.jpg

2022-06-12T17:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536043763289554944

@BostonsBuddha @Jim87504388 @michiokaku "Discern Propulsion" seems to basically mean "not too far away"

2022-06-12T17:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536042390066106369

@BrianJo78940026 @michiokaku So when he says "the military is now basically releasing hours of videotapes, of things that defy the normal laws of physics" - you think he means they have not released them?

So he's saying the opposite of reality?

2022-06-12T17:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536041880344854528

@Jim87504388 @BostonsBuddha @michiokaku Here's another. How the heck is it moving? Even if you account for the camera motion, you can't discern the engine. http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536041740360069120/pu/img/M-NVQQ1uw1xwvW8s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1536041740360069120/pu/img/M-NVQQ1uw1xwvW8s.jpg
2022-06-12T17:42:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536041167384547328
@caitgaist @Robot__Roberto @BrianSzy_PF @michiokaku Another example of scientists declaring alien life:

https://www.nbcnews.com/science/space/signs-life-venus-might-just-be-ordinary-sulfur-gas-n1256739

2022-06-12T17:37:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536040040320471040
@BostonsBuddha @Robot__Roberto @michiokaku The videos showed heat. Except for GoFast, which moved like a balloon.

2022-06-12T17:36:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536039826067054594
@CarpJayD I'm retired. I'll do whatever the heck I feel like doing.

Of course "nothing left to debunk" is literally impossible, so I'll be fine.

2022-06-12T17:35:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536039550451011584
@Robot__Roberto @BostonsBuddha @michiokaku And that all seems to to be based on "observer reports", not videos. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEbvDNUcAAB-0q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEbvDNUcAAB-0q.jpg

2022-06-12T17:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536036319796658177

@BostonsBuddha @michiokaku But not shown in the videos. also in the report:

"These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis. "

https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Prelimary-Assessment-UAP-20210625.pdf

---

2022-06-12T17:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536035502465294336

@BrianSzy_PF @michiokaku I highlighted it because it is not something that's even vaguely supported by the videos.

2022-06-12T17:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536035028685139969

@BrianDunning I just learned about Med Beds - which are tachyon-powered medical beds derived from UFO technology recovered at Roswell, part of the Secret Space Program, used to keep JFK alive and young until now.

People actually believe this.

https://www.thedailybeast.com/new-qanon-conspiracy-involves-a-magical-bed-for-zombie-jfk

---

2022-06-12T17:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536033805324066816

@JACKonline700 @michiokaku So then why say "these are things that we can now document frame by frame, looking at these videotapes"?

If you can't actually do that, then it's still just stories.

2022-06-12T16:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536026743366004736

RT @AtticusFinch_JD: @MickWest @michiokaku And if he hasn't personally verified this, which obviously he has NOT, WHOSE analysis is he relyâ□¦

2022-06-12T16:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536021092573192192

@EMP_Wrecker @michiokaku I'd be all ears. Sounds fascinating.

But right now that's just fantasy. Like Kaku's characterization of the situation.

2022-06-12T16:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536016863242530816

A challenge to @michiokaku.

Back up your claims.

Which military-released video shows things that "defy the normal laws of physics"?

How did you personally verify this?

What's the math? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEG6XmUEAAlLSt.jpg

---



Michio Kaku 0:54

That's right. It used to be the third rail of a scientific establishment. If you're talking about UFOs, you are pretty much relegated to being a nutcase. And the giggle factor kicks in. Right? Yeah. But things have changed, then, you know, because of the fact that the military is now basically releasing hours of videotapes, of things that defy the normal laws of physics. And the military has admitted that, quote, "they're not ours". Before there was always that ambiguity, that maybe it's a new stealth bomber, or a new, fantastic device being prepared by the military. Nope. The military now admits that they're not ours, then the question is, Whose are they then?

Michio Kaku 2:12

It used to be that one person would see something in the sky and say, Look, Martha, look, there's something up there. Now, things have changed. Now, we have multiple sightings by multiple modes, that is the gold standard, the gold standard for looking for these objects, not just one person, but several people that are reputable, not just radar, but visual sighting, infrared sensors, telescopic evidence. Now we have multiple sightings by multiple modes. And so the burden of proof has shifted, it used to be the burden of proof was on the people who believed in UFOs, they saw something? Prove it! Now the burden of proof has shifted to the Pentagon to the military. Now they have to prove that these aren't extraterrestrial. And so I think there's been a sea change, a sea change in the last several years. You know, 50 years ago, there was a congressional hearing. And it was coming out of project Bluebook. And there was a lot of laughter and a lot of jokes about things, little green men and out of space 50 years ago, that's the way it was now, things have changed. Now people are looking at looking at: are they a threat militarily? What kinds of sensors do we have? What kind of metrics do we have, we now have frame-by-frame an analysis of these objects. These objects travel between Mach Five and Mach 20, that's 20 times the speed of sound. These objects can zigzag. And we can measure the g-force inside the this object, the g-forces are several hundred times the force of gravity. In other words, any living person's bones will be crushed by these objects. So they're probably drones of some sort. These objects can drop 70,000 feet in a few seconds. Think about that. It can drop a tremendous distance in just a few seconds, and they can go underwater. This is something that we didn't realize before. But yes, they can actually go underwater. And they also move without creating an exhaust or breaking the sound barrier. So these are things that we can now document frame by frame, looking at these videotapes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVEG6XmUEAAlLSt.jpg

2022-06-12T15:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1536014530047725569

@BarlowTerry And "Green Triangle" is not there because it's not a UAP - it was an example of something they identified.

Also it never even looked like it was defying any laws of physics.

2022-06-12T15:48:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536012545277894656
@No3spera There were 100 seconds released.

Kaku said "hours" were released

So he was off by a factor of at least 80x

So his claim is still total BS, which is the crux of the matter.

2022-06-12T15:41:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536010761566179329
@No3spera No, the crux was

A) hours, vs seconds
B) defying the laws of physics vs. not.

Huge mischaracterizations by Kaku. A "big lie" - but probably told honestly as he's not actually looked deep enough into it to lie about it.

2022-06-12T15:33:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536008869545070592
@BarlowTerry Sorry, 100 seconds.
FLIR1, Gimbal, and GoFast are all 30 seconds.
FlyBy is 10 seconds.
Those are the military official releases so far.

2022-06-12T15:12:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536003592070385664
@MadBunnyNo1 @uncertainvector Or maybe people often see birds?

2022-06-12T15:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536003390857129984
Minor correction: Kaku did not say "hundreds of hours..." the actual quote is: "the military is now basically releasing hours of videotapes, of things that defy the normal laws of physics."

But it's still just 90 second of things that don't defy any laws of physics.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1535005603805900800

2022-06-12T15:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1536001934313725952
June 24, 1947 - Kenneth Arnold sees the first "Flying Saucers"
July 12 1947 - Atchison Daily Globe produces a humorous interpretation.
2020 - @uncertainvector sketches some UFOs he saw on instrument tapes.
It's the EXACT SAME FORMATION!

Funny coincidence? Probably. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVD5VpbUEAEked0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVD5VpbUEAEked0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVD4BVIVsAADfla.jpg



He believes there would be a little shock threshing next week.

Th Potter elevator was so busy yesterday afternoon no one there had time to talk to a reporter except to say, "Here's another truck coming in now. I got to go. I don't know just when I'll have time to talk."

Idaho "Flying Disc" Invented By Youths

VIN FALLS, Idaho, July 12— our lads with imagination to flying discs may o be laughing up thei y after their versio ucer had practicall populace, the FB officers and p nd planted i an obje well as t ers, jus aft D. M of the boy ut the disc which took tw e, was made fro id phonograph, bur io tubes and other di electrical parts. It had glass dome, radio tube rned wires and glistening go and silver sides.

Since the boys are juvenil their names were withheld. The will not be prosecuted, McCrack said.

CHISON—Everyone is seeing fl successfully—until now. Therefore i presents today a "formation flight" of s one carries a cup. People who habitual ager to buy some of the saucers and have the st everyone to get to work on time. They also edictable targets for they are reported various man ought to know definitely what he's shootin becoming more so every day—their value is exp nd given away free at movie theaters.—(Photo by the u Lotus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVD40k8UEAAu9e9.jpg



ATCHISON DAILY GLOBE

ATCHISON, KANSAS, SATURDAY, July 12, 1947 —8 PAGES

"SAUCERS" OVER ATCHISON—Everyone is seeing flying saucers these days but no one has photographed them successfully—until now. Therefore it is with considerable tongue-in-cheek pride that The Globe presents today a "formation flight" of six flying saucers. We know they are saucers because the sixth one carries a cup. People who habitually pour hot coffee into their saucers and blow on it are eager to buy some of the saucers and have their coffee cooled at 1,600 miles per hour, thus enabling almost everyone to get to work on time. They also may be used by trap shooters although they make unpredictable targets for they are reported variously to range from six inches to 20 feet in diameter and a man ought to know definitely what he's shooting at. As saucers become more plentiful—and they are becoming more so every day—their value is expected to decrease and sooner or later they will be given away free at movie theaters.—(Photo by the usually reliable Lotus Engraving Co.)

ity that he knew about.

George Thornton of Troy reports yields of up to 30 bushels in his vicinity, but said not too much wheat is coming in because not much of this small grain is grown in his vicinity any more. He believes there would be a little shock threshing next week.

Th Potter elevator was so busy yesterday afternoon no one there had time to talk to a reporter except to say, "Here's another truck coming in now. I got to go. I don't know just when I'll have time to talk."

Idaho "Flying Disc" Invented By Youths

TWIN FALLS, Idaho, July 12—(AP)—Four lads with imaginations that run to flying discs may or may not be laughing up their sleeves today after their version of a flying saucer had practically the entire local populace, the FBI, army intelligence officers and police on the run.

The boys created and planted in a local yard yesterday an object that looked to them, as well as to the army and civilian officers, just like a flying disc should look.

Their hoax was exposed after Assistant Police Chief I. D. McCracken was tipped one of the boys knew something about the disc.

The creation, which took two days to complete, was made from parts of an old phonograph, burned out radio tubes and other discarded electrical parts. It had a plexi-glass dome, radio tubes, burned wires and glistening gold and silver sides.

Since the boys are juveniles their names were withheld. They will not be prosecuted, McCracken said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FVD339nUsAAPv8Y.jpg

2022-06-12T05:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535855892934995969

@RossElderWrites But do they look like this?

2022-06-12T05:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535854819218403330

@randomlydelibe1 If it's white, and there's some illumination from below. But it's not really clear. LIZ, of course.

2022-06-12T04:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535845824596348934

@randomlydelibe1 Kinda bird-like, but the video needs stabilizing.

2018-05-08T19:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993939266081181696

@__dregs__ @Joshphi23040839 Weird that some people are UFO enthusiasts? Not at all. Justice probably liked being at Skunkworks, and this is the closest he can get now. It's a bit sad, because his talents are probably being wasted on nonsense.

2018-05-08T18:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993928013623382016

@limitedview The bigger the image the more bandwidth can be saved. The non-Earth parts are about 15% of the area of the image. Also less storage space is needed for archives.

2018-05-08T18:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993925724569403392

@limitedview There's un-cropped images, but if I showed you one what would you say about it?

2018-05-08T18:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993925590578167808

@limitedview And again that's a cropped image. They use a circular mask for space, to save bandwidth.

2018-05-08T18:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993924887164084224

@Joshphi23040839 @__dregs__ Did DeLonge actually post this? Got a link?

2018-05-08T18:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993924388423483392

@limitedview Well those are shot though the atmosphere. But I'm not clear what your problem is? What would a "real" photo look like? Would you only accept a polaroid? What about all the photos taken from the ISS?

If you are simply going to declare everything as fake then why are you asking?

2018-05-08T18:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993920695250833409

@__dregs__ @Joshphi23040839 It's the CARET hoax. There's some discussion here: http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread289007/pg1

2018-05-08T18:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993918914932322304

@limitedview All photos are pixilated. Like most full-disk images they crop out the region outside the atmospheres to slightly reduct the file size.

But what WOULD the Earth shot from space look like, if not this? Can you draw a diagram?

2018-05-08T17:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993903186866929664

@limitedview The images are shot in space. It proves there are cameras in geostationary orbit (and other orbits, if you look at AQUA, TERRA, etc. You can verify the images against ground observations.

2018-05-08T17:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993902337478443008

@limitedview They are about the same, but it depends on how well they are calibrated and how steady you can hold them. At 400km at plane at 40,000 feet would be pretty much on the horizon and that's a lot of atmosphere to see through. Impressive if verified. Pics?

2018-05-08T04:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993703933225852928

@TheJakeSimpson @crest_research Millions of people are capable of change. That there also exist other people who have more fixed beliefs is not a reason to give up on everyone. There's

plenty of good that can be done.

2018-05-07T23:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993635682953318400

@MrsKrishan @crest_research I think inoculators would be more pro-vaccine.

2018-05-07T22:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993621802763997184

@limitedview And there's several other satellites that do the same thing all day long, every day. See: https://www.metabunk.org/full-disk-hd-images-of-the-earth-from-satellites.t8676/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcoNvjzV4AEAPEs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcoNvjzV4AEAPEs.jpg

2018-05-07T22:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993620630015688704

@limitedview Essentially. It takes it in three shots, red, green, and blue. These are combined into one full color shot. It actually takes 16 different photos in different wavelengths. only 1,2 & 3 are visible. See: https://cdn.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/GOES16/ABI/FD/

2018-05-07T22:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993619682044198918

@TheJakeSimpson @crest_research Everyone's thinking changes over time. You can help it change in the right direction.

2018-05-07T21:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993608576185778176

Can we "inoculate" people against fake new? Prevention is generally better than a cure. If you explain to people the tricks that fake news promoters use, then it measurably helps those people take a more critical look at what is being said.

https://www.crestresearch.ac.uk/csrflipbook/issue-8/?page=10 @crest_research

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcoBS0ZVQAAsr_E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcoBS0ZVQAAsr_E.jpg

2018-05-07T21:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993603984714752000

@weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA You should get a friend to video the trails from the other side (about 50 miles away). Then compare the image. That would be interesting.

2018-05-07T19:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993569649336897536

@weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Since those trails are at different altitudes (and they don't actually "cross" where they appear to) they experience different winds.
Wind is not mysterious, just invisible.

2018-05-07T19:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993568601285185539

@limitedview Here's one. This is repeated every 15 minutes.

https://cdn.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/GOES16/ABI/FD/GEOCOLOR/20181241845_GOES16-ABI-FD-GEOCOLOR-10848x10848.jpg

---

2018-05-07T18:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993565942713008128

@limitedview It's just a shrunk down copy. What will you do with the raw image to verify it?

2018-05-07T15:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993519312269856768

@limitedview Some photos are composite photos. hundreds of thousands are not. Geostationary satellites take full 100 megapixel images of the earth every few minutes. Here's one from 20 minutes ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dcmwf64VMAAq-jB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dcmwf64VMAAq-jB.jpg

2018-05-07T15:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993517945283211265

@weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA The causes of the trail perturbations are wind variations.

2018-05-07T04:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993353676042338304 @weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Plane A here is 4000 feet above plane B. This image looks totally different from the other side. https://www.metabunk.org/which-plane-is-higher-optical-illusions-in-assessing-relative-altitude.t5772/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DckZ3NbVMAY5nl-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DckZ3NbVMAY5nl-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DckZwHTVMAAbaTd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DckZe6aV0AAoks2.jpg
2018-05-07T04:52:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/993352776309616640
@weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA You should to the math, it's a lot more signification that you suggest. Where is it supposed to be viewed from? Not your viewpoint obviously. So what does it look like from the "intended" viewpoint?

2018-05-07T04:27:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/993346649920425984
@weatherwarsinfo @Kal1_Furies @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA If the trails are vertically separated then the "location" that you describe will vary greatly by the position of the observer. Someone viewing the same thing from the other side will see something ENTIRELY different to what you are seeing.

2018-05-07T04:07:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/993341510320930818
@Kal1_Furies @weatherwarsinfo @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Do you doubt it is correct? You could do the

math from things like the measured frequency of Ice Supersaturated Regions and air traffic. Or you could simply look at MODIS images, and note that vertical separation minimum is 1000 feet.

2018-05-06T14:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993137637413109762

@Kal1_Furies @weatherwarsinfo @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Intersecting trails are inevitable. Fligh paths cross. Ice supersaturated regions exist. Thereâ□□s some overlap.

2018-05-06T05:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/993000853211566080

@Kal1_Furies @weatherwarsinfo @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA Check it out yourself. You will find they are usually not actually intersecting.

2018-05-06T04:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/992988845409910789

@weatherwarsinfo @planetXpassing @PamJonesLiberty @AzSkyWatcher @2d_p30ple @naomirwolf @SlicksTweetz @jimamirault @FifiFnawfnaw @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @ImperatorTruth @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet @EPAScottPruitt @SEIA You should try visualizing those trails in 3D. Generally they are not even close to each other.

2018-05-04T20:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/992496607084986368

RT @DKeithClimate: New blog post: Why we chose not to patent solar geoengineering technologies @DKeithClimate: https://keith.seas.harvard.edu/blog/why-we-chose-not-patent-solar-geoengineering-technologies httâ□¦

2018-05-03T15:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/992060311891931137

@JohnSerwach And Soundly's channel is great. https://www.metabunk.org/soundly-proving-the-curvature-of-the-earth-at-lake-pontchartrain.t8939/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcSBlSNVwAAl3dN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcSBlSNVwAAl3dN.jpg

2018-05-03T15:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/992060029774659595

@JohnSerwach Other good things are 1) The apparent size of the sun does not change through the day. 2) the stars in the south rotate around a southern celestial pole. https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-verify-that-the-sun-is-a-distant-sphere-with-binoculars-sunspots.t8646/ https://www.metabunk.org/the-southern-cross-celestial-poles-and-the-shape-of-the-earth.t8701/

2018-05-03T15:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/992059561321218048

@JohnSerwach Windmills can do it, bit it's tricky with relatively low objects, as you get refraction effect. You can counter this by using mountains on the opposite shore (if wide enough) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcSA4BtU0AErY0g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcSA4BtU0AErY0g.jpg

2018-05-01T04:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991178126024523776

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s I'm not supporting the CIA. They have done some terrible things in the past. But they have basically nothing to do with geoengineering research.

2018-05-01T04:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991177738835738625

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @rsqk9s @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes They patented it because aluminum toxicity has been a known problem for over 100 years. Soil is already like 8% aluminum, so you need to spray billions of tons to change that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcFe1hHV0AAh232.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcFe1hHV0AAh232.png

2018-05-01T01:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991124869025742848

@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s I'm not a game developer, I'm retired. Now I research and write about all kinds of things. I've read about Evergreen because it's been coming up for many years. Evergreen is old news. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evergreen_International_Aviation

---

2018-05-01T01:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991123892214185984

@BayleeB79 @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes The CIA is interested in climate change because it's potentially destabilizing in a geopolitical sense. If, say, crops fail in India because of climate change, then that could lead to nuclear war with Pakistan. So the CIA, is interested in what might happen with the climate.

2018-04-30T23:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991098233685344257

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump

@OpChemtrails @rsqk9s It's all detailed here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/747_Supertanker

---

2018-04-30T23:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991097978076053504

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s No, there's just one, and the CIA does not use it. They used to use Evergreen for stuff like covert extradition and relocation. Different arm of the company. The Supertanker was just a prototype - I think maybe they sold one.

2018-04-30T23:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991097494464413696

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s That's a plane dumping fuel.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoUBWEqPu0Q

2018-04-30T23:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991096638465691650

@rsqk9s @BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @TrishaDishes Check exactly what that $3 Billion is earmarked for. The Federal government spends very little on weather modification, let alone geoengineering research. Weather modification is mostly done by local (state and county) government/authorities.

2018-04-30T22:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991079716395233280

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Here it is in action (with actual fire retardant this time)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uUEqbLVfpGc

2018-04-30T22:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991079446034632704

@AdolfTwittler8 @BayleeB79 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s That was a prototype firefighting plane. The tanks held water.

2018-04-30T22:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991079336726872064

@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s What about it? It's just a plane contrails. Does something about it look odd to you ?

2018-04-30T22:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991078901827825664

@rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails Lots of private jets are not tracked on publicly available "radar" like ADS-B. It's allowed for privacy/security reasons. However the vast majority of planes that leave contrails can be identified. The others don't look any different.

2018-04-30T22:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991078391662063616
@AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Planes fly in odd patterns for a variety of reasons. We can generally track them down on the Skydentify forum, if you've got some examples with time, date, and location. For example here's one that Patrick Roddie asked me to identify:
https://www.metabunk.org/zigzag-contrail-on-terra-image.t9065/

2018-04-30T22:10:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/991077460300083200
@AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Straight lines form grid where they intersect. Planes don't all fly in the same direction.

2018-04-30T22:09:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/991077207450701824
@BayleeB79 @AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s First pic is of cloud seeding flares. The other tree are ballast barrels on various test planes which are identified here:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcEDa77UwAAd2RY.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcEDYSWVwAE4DiN.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcEDa77UwAAd2RY.jpg
2018-04-30T21:20:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/991064825756139520
@AdolfTwittler8 @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s
Nonsensical? US airspace is pretty complicated, and not all flights go from A to B. Did you have an example in mind.
For reference, here's the planes in the air right now:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcD4L7lUwAAjl9y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DcD4L7lUwAAjl9y.jpg

2018-04-30T19:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/991040350214021124

@BayleeB79 @DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @TrishaDishes @AdolfTwittler8 @Masschemtrails @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @LionelMedia His story was demonstrably incorrect. You can check this for yourself. He used the wrong units. (mL instead of ÂµL). I simply pointed this out. It's independently verifiably wrong. You can verify it yourself, anyone can. Nothing to do with me. Just really simple science.

2018-04-29T19:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990667894928195584

@rsqk9s @kerrijacobi @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails There were no iPhones or even real digital cameras 50 years ago. What tech did the MIC have?

2018-04-29T17:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990648338482540545

@kerrijacobi @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s I also recommend the Peterson Field Guide to Atmosphere, 1981

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

Much cheaper on Amazon

https://www.amazon.com/Field-Guide-Atmosphere-Peterson/dp/0395240808/ref=tmm_hrd_swatch_0?_encoding=UTF8&qid=&sr= http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db99IADUwAEmdHk.jpg

---



Pl.174 Condensation trails made by jet aircraft — training or testing flight. Passenger planes rarely deviate in their course except in a holding pattern.

151

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db99IADUwAEmdHk.jpg

2018-04-29T17:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990646537372950528

@kerrijacobi @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s

Clouds of the World, by Richard Scorer. Chapter 11 is on contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db97vJAV0AADqI5.jpg



ISBN 0 7153 5485 X (Great Britain)
ISBN 85091 1168 (Australia)

Copyright © 1972 Richard S. Scorer

First published 1972

All rights reserved
No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted,
in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical,
photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission
of the publishers.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Scorer, Richard Segar, 1919-
Clouds of the world.

Bibliography: p.
1. Clouds—Pictorial works. I. Title.
QC921.S357 551.5'76 72-1115
ISBN 0-8117-1961-8

Platemaking by Lawrence-Allen Ltd
Weston-super-Mare
Printed in Great Britain by Gildenprint Ltd
Bristol

Published in Great Britain by
David & Charles (Publishers) Ltd
Newton Abbot Devon
and in Australia by
Lothian Publishing Co Ltd
Melbourne

CONTENTS

	Preface	6
	Acknowledgements	7
	List of Plates	8
	History of Cloud Classifications by F H Ludlam	17
1	Cumulus	20
2	Glaciation of Convection Cloud	40
3	Showers	44
4	Cirrus	57
5	Wave Clouds	64
6	Billows	88
7	Altocumulus	100
8	Warm Sector Cloud	106
9	Fog and Inversions	110
10	White Plumes	116
11	Condensation Trails	120
12	Droplets and Windblown Material	132
13	Optical Phenomena	136
14	Rotation	154
	Appendix: Photogrammetry and Stereoscopic Photography	168
	Bibliography	172
	Index	173

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db97vJAV0AADqI5.jpg

2018-04-29T17:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990645741092724736

@WillyChevalier Treibstoffschnellablass

2018-04-29T17:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990643389140893696

@kerrijacobi @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Here's some photos from a book on clouds from 1972. Contrails were the same then as they are now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db94iw9UQAAUU4g.jpg

---

11.2.4 The contrail is formed about one wingspan behind the aircraft when the exhaust has mixed with sufficient air of the environment to produce saturation. The edges of the trails are ragged to begin with but further mixing evaporates these and the trail becomes smooth: this indicates that the condensation persists only in the low pressure region of the vortex cores.

Close to the aircraft it is seen that the two trails from the inner engines descend further than the outer ones, those on the right being separately visible but the outer left one being behind the inner left one. Often one complete rotation of the inner round the outer one can be seen.

In the second picture the trails appear hollow. This is because the exhaust did not enter the middle of the vortex core. The particles are too small for centrifuging to be significant.

The irregularities are intensified, and at the right end the mixing penetrates in a few places into the vortex cores and dissipates the cloud. The spacing of the loops must be determined by the distance apart of the vortices: irregularities of all wavelengths are unstable, but two loops very close together merge into one, while new loops can appear between those that are rather far apart. The interval between the first and last pictures is 90 sec.

Motion identical with that shown here has been observed in smoke trails emitted at the wing tips of a Comet aircraft at 3,000 metres which is far below the normal altitude for contrails.



11.2.4

11.2.5 The condensation outside the vortex cores persisted in the trail of the first aircraft to pass, but not in the trail of the second. It seems probable, therefore, that the first trail was frozen as a result of being at an environmental temperature near to or below −40°C. (See 11.4.)

These pictures were taken looking vertically upwards over a period of about three minutes, and because the aircraft was on a turn the downwash was inclined outwards. The effect is to give a view rather like the side view of a straight trail (see 11.2.3, 11.2.8). Where the vortices are closest together they have penetrated farthest, and the blobs correspond to the places where the mixing has penetrated into the vortex cores. In the lowest picture the linear clouds are composed of the detrained accompanying fluid.



11.2.5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db94iw9UQAAUU4g.jpg



11.2.9



11.3.1



11.3.2



11.3.3



11.3.4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db94I0kV4AEDeKT.jpg



11.4.1



11.4.2



11.4.3



11.4.4



11.4.5



11.4.6

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db94PyxUQAAutNT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db94aPUVQAAJKfg.jpg
2018-04-29T13:02:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/990577158060888064
@kerrijacobi @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Those are photos of planes landing in misty conditions, producing streamers of aerodynamic contrails. Here's Paul Joseph Watson explaining:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BlTeqBwf2Ic

2018-04-28T23:53:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/990378426652540928
@GeoeWatchDebunk Contrails move quite a lot in an hour. Since the wind aloft can be 50-100mph, they can often move that much in an hour. Best viewed in timelapse.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gXl3T9FYKBw

2018-04-28T18:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/990298172051226624
@Oilfield_Jobs @FinancialTimes You have to be at least 100 miles from an airport to see contrails to/from that airport. Most contrails people see is from flyover traffic from airports 100s or 1000s of miles away.

2018-04-28T18:28:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/990296746038214656
@DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Post it.

2018-04-28T18:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990296351312199681

@DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Where is your water test?

2018-04-28T18:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990294725671374848

@DanPeacock12 @naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s I saw that clip back in 2007. I've talked to the reporter in it (Jeff Ferrall) about the mistake that was made. He retracted the story. Details here: http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

2018-04-28T17:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990286547139227649

@TrishaDishes @right558 @Masschemtrails @naomirwolf @HappyMacGirl @SlicksTweetz @ImperatorTruth @PamJonesLiberty @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet Here's some great perspective on the globe. You can zoom in and see interesting cloud formations all around this half of the world.

http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu/?sat=goes-16&sec=full_disk

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db40VljV4AE4Iem.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db40VljV4AE4Iem.jpg

2018-04-28T17:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990285881121554432

@KayeTatton @prove_your_ball You're right though. Heliocentrism isn't a conspiracy, it's just science.

2018-04-28T17:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990285379860291584

@right558 @Masschemtrails @naomirwolf @TrishaDishes @HappyMacGirl @SlicksTweetz @ImperatorTruth @PamJonesLiberty @Cin_Loves_Jim @BayleeB79 @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s @AdolfTwittler8 @SteerMark @RealGeoEngWatch @dahboo7 @Govjerrybrown @AlterNet Completely covered? Check the satellite record. (change the date with the arrows at the bottom) https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db4zOJEU0AAYgG9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db4zOJEU0AAYgG9.jpg

2018-04-28T05:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990095041107382273

@PamJonesLiberty @dianesheldon5 @OpChemtrails Contrails do sometimes turn the sky grey, yes.

But they are just clouds - water vapor condensed into clouds. They do nothing to your health. Look at real forms of pollution, like diesel trucks or power stations.

2018-04-28T05:03:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990094254168035328

@naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s They did look like this five years ago.

Check photos fro five years ago, Heck, check the chemtrail sites from five years ago, or ten years ago. Nothing has changed. You are just paying more attention to contrails.

2018-04-28T04:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/990087054938681344

@ConspiraFact @PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s Really? Link to one of those documents that "admits" spraying. And not crop dusting, fire fighting, skywriting, or cloud seeding â actual geoengineering.
You won't find any.

2018-04-27T22:18:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989992237772652544
@PamJonesLiberty @naomirwolf @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s And yet it looks exactly like contrails. So there's actually plenty of questions about your claim.

2018-04-27T22:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989987912031719424
@MolotoVNKA Erm, have you actually flown that route? You can't "move slightly to the right"
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WdKjO1j0-64

2018-04-27T21:51:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989985380542726144
@MolotoVNKA SW and NE are 180Â° apart. What are you talking about?

I'm placing the plane where the FDR says it is. Or just 60Â° (240Â°) from the impact point.

2018-04-27T21:49:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989984868938350592
@MolotoVNKA Which flight simulator do you use? I use X-Plane.

2018-04-27T21:48:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989984580038873088
@MolotoVNKA I've hit it. What you CAN'T do is make a last minute sharp turn. If you are north of the annex then you miss the Pentagon.

2018-04-27T21:42:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989983034827882496
@MolotoVNKA The animations shows 60Â° heading right (correcting from magnetic). It's impossible to hit the Pentagon if you fly north of the annex at 60Â° But it's a perfect fit for south. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0gPiiVAAAp18M.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0gPiiVAAAp18M.jpg

2018-04-27T21:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989982439995985920

@sk8erace THPS3

2018-04-27T21:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989981871424487424

@MolotoVNKA That data I sent you was from a FOIA request from Pilots for 9/11 Truth. It's the official FDR data.

2018-04-27T21:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989981641136263169

@MolotoVNKA NE? Perhaps you need to draw a diagram. Both the animation and the data shows the plane heading towards the west facing wall

---

2018-04-27T21:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989980033031651328

Here's the actual FDR data in a Google Earth KMZ.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/aa77v2.5.3.kmz

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0cpAOV0AA69k6.jpg

AA77 FDR CSVs (v2.5)

AAL77

This is a rendering of American Airlines flight 77 flight path, which was hijacked on 9/11/2001 and flown into the Pentagon.

Presented here are two plots, constructed from two data sources, available on the internet. The so called "Pilots for Truth" organization (PfT) provides their data, allegedly aquired from an original flight data recorder file, released to them under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), on their site, www.aa77fdr.com.

Other set of data comes from an independent 9/11 researcher/debunker, Mike Williams (MikeW of the JREF forum). He presents his FOIA aquired data on his site www.911myths.com.

Data for PfT flight path comes from their "Complete Tabular File", which is a Comma Separated Values (CSV) file. Columns used in this presentation are
"NAVlon" - geographical longitude coordinate
"NAVlat" - geographical latitude coordinate
"ALT" - presumably pressure altitude
"CASraw" - computed airspeed
"HDGtruecapt" - true heading
"HDGmagcapt" - magnetic heading
"ATTrollcapt" - roll
"ATTpitchcapt" - pitch
"NAVtrackangletrue" - track angle true
"NAVgndspdcapt" - ground speed
"ACClat" - lateral acceleration
"ACClong" - longitudinal acceleration
"ACCvert" - vertical acceleration

Latitude, longitude and altitude data were used to draw the plot and position the plane models. Models were further aligned with roll and pitch data. Speeds, accelerations and headings were only used in the popup balloons for each data point.

MikeW's flight path is a basic rendering of Lat/Long/altitude data.

Longitude data in MikeW's CSV file is originally offset by some 20 miles to the west. It has been adjusted for a take off from Dulles International. Lat/Long geolocation data is also presented in a much coarser way (1NM or 1 latitude minute as opposed to about 1 lat second resolution in the PfT CSV). This lack of geolocation resolution also prevents the rendering of plane models along data points.

There are no indications as to the actual Lat/Long accuracy of either data source. 911myths data has a native resolution of 1 nautical mile, while aa77fdr data shows some signs of interpolation. If the decoding of Lat/Long data is done in software, especially if it's done by interpolation or some inertial calculations, incorporating the weight of the aircraft, PfT might've introduced additional inaccuracies by processing their raw FDR file with a wrong template. Their CSV had been processed by Boeing 757-300 template, while AAL77 was a somewhat smaller and lighter Boeing 757-223 plane.

There appear to be no indications that Lat/Long data is accurate to anything more than a nautical mile. After all, planes don't take off half a mile south of the runway.

Special thanks to Dan O. of the JREF forum for providing a Boeing 757 3D model.

CSV sources:
aa77fdr.com
911myths.com
plane model:
Google 3D Warehouse

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0cpAOV0AA69k6.jpg

2018-04-27T21:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989978203983831041

@MolotoVNKA That doesn't match the animation though. So where are you getting that from?

2018-04-27T21:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989975525526392832

@MolotoVNKA An animation is not data. I'm referring to the actual numbers.

Have you seen this:

http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/2010/Calibration%20of%20altimeter_92.pdf

---

2018-04-27T21:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989974699651227648

@MolotoVNKA And why does the actual FDR data in Google Earth show the correct path?

2018-04-27T21:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989974495128514560

@MolotoVNKA There's plenty of accounts describing the incoming plane.

What exactly do you think might have happened? Where did the plane go? How did the light poles fall? Why do eyewitness describe the plane hitting light poles?

2018-04-27T21:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989974101140754434

@MolotoVNKA No, that poor quality VIDEO appears to match. But the actual data puts it on the south side.

Also since the plane hit the light poles and the Pentagon, then that's where it had to be. Which is also consistent with most of the eyewitnesses. And the bodies of the passengers.

2018-04-27T21:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989972908268142593

@MolotoVNKA They also saw it hit the Pentagon

2018-04-27T20:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989970758024609793

@MolotoVNKA They were prompted and selected by the CIT, that's all. There were hundreds of people who saw the plane hit. The FDR data disagrees. This animation probably would demonstrate that if you went by the grid lines, not the badly placed texture.

2018-04-27T20:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989968825520078848

@MolotoVNKA The problem is the very rough graphics they were using. If you plot the FDR on an actual map, you get this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0TX32VAAEfHzC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0TX32VAAEfHzC.jpg

2018-04-27T20:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989967894082502656

@MolotoVNKA Aha, I see you are referring to this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0SZaCV4AAx-Qj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Db0SZaCV4AAx-Qj.jpg

2018-04-27T20:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989965562947096576

@MolotoVNKA And what's the problem there exactly? That track goes along the expected path, south of the Navy annex, and through the light poles.

2018-04-27T20:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989965245786435585

@naomirwolf @PamJonesLiberty @realDonaldTrump @OpChemtrails @rsqk9s But Kucinich didn't say it was actually happening, did he? Lots of people are concerned about possible future geoengineering, me included. That does not mean "chemtrails" are currently a real thing.

2018-04-27T20:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989962004449263616

@VectorNectar I've read them. What are you claiming they prove? A concern for biodiversity and sustainability?

2018-04-27T19:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989957180152365056

@MolotoVNKA And where is this animation?

2018-04-27T19:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989957024401117184

@erinbiba That's what "mute" is for :)

No worries, I can never resist a Google-fu challenge.

2018-04-27T06:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989747614127026176

@MolotoVNKA The FDR data flies to the south of the Navy annex, and through the region with the light poles. The only thing that does not match this is a small percentage of eyewitness recollections.

What do you think is wrong with the animation? Show it.

2018-04-27T05:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989742484606435329

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Either explain what you think the problem is in technical terms, or get blocked. Your call.

2018-04-27T03:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989702556292018182

@KDragonash It will at least be in Barnes and Noble, but it's available for preorder on Amazon, e-book and hardcover. https://amzn.to/2Jtwaz8

2018-04-26T23:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989648757745577984

@erinbiba @KristianStupid @milesoutsides @BariAWilliams Gattaca was ahead of its time. Worth a watch if you are writing about DNA. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gattaca

---

2018-04-26T23:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989641355281354752

@erinbiba https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phantom_of_Heilbronn

2018-04-26T23:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989640917622538240

@erinbiba http://content.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,1888126,00.html

---

2018-04-26T23:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989640265689280512

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth What's the problem there exactly? I've flow in a light aircraft to Catalina. Looked fine to me. Did you do the math?

2018-04-26T22:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989639991713132544

@careydod Thanks! I never really played much THPS after THUG, which was the last one I worked on. I never got into THUGPro, but it's pretty interesting. May it live forever.

2018-04-26T22:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989626451153448960

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I don't have answers I cannot question.

Science creates models of the universe, refining the models if they don't fit. We can test these models against our own personal observations. I have done this, and I've not found any problem with the spherical Earth model.

Have you?

2018-04-26T22:01:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989625497473581056
@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Do you believe anything, or do you simply enjoy being overly solipsistic and argumentative?

2018-04-26T21:52:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989623198185541633
@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Not really. Haven't you seen the output of doppler radar?

Anyway. I'll go by the weight of the evidence. Do you have any evidence that the Earth is flat?

2018-04-26T21:43:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989621099041243136
@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Now realize it does this for the entire hemisphere. Every 15 minutes. All day. Every day.

It's a camera, on a satellite, taking photos. That's the only way it could possibly work.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvW-usUwAAmcHR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvW-usUwAAmcHR.jpg

2018-04-26T21:42:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989620802944360449

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Not without a vast network of airborne cameras, no. Look at a tiny bit of one GOES-16 image. It makes this every 15 minutes. Every single little cloud is correct. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvWwBZV0AA2OWU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvWwBZV0AA2OWU.jpg

2018-04-26T21:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989620205255979008

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Yes, I'm an expert in 3D modeling. I used to be technical director of Neversoft Entertainment.

2018-04-26T21:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989619902955728896

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I'm a computer graphics expert. I understand. So I know how impossible it would be to fake. However it's a difficult thing to explain to other people. Look at GOES-16 animations.

2018-04-26T21:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989619555558305792

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth This one could not. You'd need millions of balloons for a start. Because the images are consistent FROM ABOVE. It's flawless. Have you looked at GOES-16 animations?

http://rammb-slider.cira.colostate.edu/?sat=goes-16&sec=full_disk&x=9215&y=4934&z=5&im=12&ts=1&st=0&et=0&speed=130&motion=loop&map=1&lat=0&p%5B0%5D=16&opacity%5B0%5D=1&hidden%5B0%5D=0&pause=0&slider=-1&hide_controls=0&mouse_draw=0&s=rammb-slider

---

2018-04-26T21:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989619067819409408

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I can see in the GOES images the contrails of planes that I can also see from the ground, within 20 minutes. every 20 minutes.

2018-04-26T21:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989618546001854464

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I've thought about it. It would be nearly impossible. Hugely expensive, and impossible to conceal such a program.

2018-04-26T21:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989617988507598848

@KennethOath @limitedview @Oswald1160 @ForeverFlatErth It's because they wanted a high resolution image of the entire globe, with and without clouds. This is impossible with a single photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvUPZ-VwAAE6qT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbvUPZ-VwAAE6qT.jpg

2018-04-26T21:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989617519160844288

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth The Apollo images have also

been checked agains weather records of the time.

2018-04-26T21:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989617353179643904

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth They consistently match observations from the ground. "Ground truth". I've checked this, https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-prove-satellite-images-are-real-ground-truth.t8781/

2018-04-26T18:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989577350521151489

@JiriGlembek @VectorNectar I'm not arguing credibility. Here I'm debunking a very specific claim of evidence. The claim here is that Brennan's statements about SAI geoengineering are evidence it exists. I'm explaining that it is NOT evidence, and I give counterexamples to illustrate the logic.

2018-04-26T17:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989562231070253056

@DanPeacock12 @HealthRanger Explained here: http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbuhlxFUQAAB4u4.jpg

---

Barium Chemtrails on KSLA

Brief Summary:

- Samples of water were collected in August 2007, in Stamps Arkansas, by leaving some bowls outside for a month
- The resultant dirty water was tested by KSLA and was found to have the same amount of barium in it as most municipal tap water.
- The reporter misunderstood the results, and said there was a lot of Barium
- The reporter now admits he was mistaken, and that he found no evidence for chemtrails

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbuhlxFUQAAB4u4.jpg

2018-04-26T17:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989558334259785728

@JiriGlembek @VectorNectar The argument is that talking about possibly doing something does not mean that that thing is currently being done.
What is the flaw in that argument?

2018-04-26T17:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989556653723807744

@HealthRanger "Irrefutable proof" of something nobody has ever denied. Lead in AV gas is a known issue. Far less than the old lead in gasoline problem. It's gradually being phased out. You seem to be conflating it with the false "chemtrails" issue.
https://www.faa.gov/about/initiatives/avgas/

2018-04-26T17:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989555524168384512

@randallme It's logical to assume that everyone makes mistakes sometimes. Being wrong is always a possibility for everyone, some people less than others, sure, but nobody is 100% infallible.

2018-04-26T16:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989547100772814848

@intellihubnews @ShepardAmbellas Write it down. It will save time later.

2018-04-26T16:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989545499551195136

Hitting the shelves in September, "Escaping the Rabbit Hole" examines how real people get out of the conspiracy theory rabbit hole, and what you can can do to help them. I cover a spectrum of theories, from 9/11 controlled demolitions to Flat Earth. And chemtrails, of course.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbuRjHvVQAICCwO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbuRjHvVQAICCwO.jpg

2018-04-26T16:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989542859379376133

@intellihubnews @ShepardAmbellas Not really, the idea that someone was shooting out of a helicopter in plain sight of potentially hundreds of thousands of people is something we can dismiss due to absence of evidence.

2018-04-26T16:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989542226186260481

@limitedview @KennethOath @Oswald1160 @ForeverFlatErth @rsimmon Its a photo. On film. One of many.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/projectapolloarchive/21081863984

2018-04-26T16:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989541612291162113

@intellihubnews Pics, or it didn't happen.

2018-04-26T16:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989537137203662848

@limitedview @KennethOath @Oswald1160 @ForeverFlatErth It's the 1972 Blue Marble. @rsimmon was not even born when it was taken.

2018-04-26T16:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989535878417559552

@CphilpottCraig Yeah, I'm in El Dorado County, California. We see Erickson S-64 air-cranes during fire season.

2018-04-26T15:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989527137378959362

@CphilpottCraig They use them for power line work round here.

2018-04-26T15:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989522361513754624

Bizarro flying machine http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbt9On2U0AAICtL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbt9On2U0AAICtL.jpg
2018-04-26T14:56:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989518581330132993
@JgvJaime Those are actually ballast barrels on test planes. They are full of water for weight and balance. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbt55VKVQAEI4_V.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbt55VKVQAEI4_V.jpg
2018-04-26T13:55:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989503307554017280
@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You should check out the other images on the same roll of film as the 1972 Blue Marble image. Do you think they are composites too? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbtr9H5VwAA7D9i.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbtr9H5VwAA7D9i.jpg

2018-04-26T13:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989502686968954881

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Oswald linked to a thread that contained a reference to the 1972 Blue Marble image.

You though that he was referring to the more recent Blue Marble image.

What else?

2018-04-26T13:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989501312474628096

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Your brought up the blue marble. Now admittedly you did it BY MISTAKE, thinking that Oswald was referring to it. But you were still the first to mention it.

So let's discuss the the 1972 blue marble image, which is not a composite image.

2018-04-26T13:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989499999753879553

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Ken, you are just avoiding discussing the actual issue here. Let's talk about the Blue Marble images if you like. You now know the 1972 image is not a composite, right?

2018-04-26T13:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989499409216950274

@VectorNectar Contingency plans for what happens if the President has been assassinated are discussed by the CIA. Does that mean the President has been assassinated.

2018-04-26T13:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989499153032990720

@VectorNectar Non-existent missions to Mars are also discussed by NASA. Does that mean

there are people on Mars?

2018-04-26T13:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989498621853810689

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth He brought up that thread, because it related to your question. He did not bring up the Blue Marble.

You seem to avoid the important issue here. There are lots of actual photos the Earth from space.

2018-04-26T13:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989497534656606209

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You did bring it up. You were shown a thread about a general globe viewing topic. You saw the 1972 blue marble image mentioned in that thread. Then you posted that it was not a photograph. But you were actually thinking about the 2012 composite image. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Blue_Marble

---

2018-04-26T13:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989496664917401601

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth The 1972 blue marble image IS a photograph. https://www.flickr.com/photos/projectapolloarchive/21081863984

2018-04-26T13:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989495880125374464

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You were incorrect. You said the Blue Marble image was a composite, and referenced a thread about the 1972 image, which was not.

2018-04-26T13:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989495582564671489

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Like tried to observe the apparent size of the sun through the day?

2018-04-26T13:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989495487802716162

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Have you ever done any experiments?

2018-04-26T13:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989495247066427398

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth So you are just being semantic?

2018-04-26T13:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989495176153350149

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Post a link to this readme file.

2018-04-26T13:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989494933143744512

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Brought what up? That thread

references the 1972 Blue Marble image, which IS a single image, taken from Apollo 17.

2018-04-26T13:19:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989494070912270336

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Why?

2018-04-26T13:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989493947251572738

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Perhaps if you explained WHY you asked? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbtjdKtV0AASlT7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbtjdKtV0AASlT7.jpg

2018-04-26T13:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989493481948172288

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Explain why you bring up Blue Marble. Otherwise it looks like you are trolling.

2018-04-26T13:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989493356043501568

@KennethOath @Oswald1160 @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Why are you bringing up the Blue Marble image? It was created as a high resolution composite from low altitude images. Like Google maps. It's irrelevant. There's thousands of full frame images of the Earth from space. Like GOES-16, DSCOVR, Himawari-8, etc.

2018-04-26T06:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989395832469315584

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I'll check back tomorrow. Maybe include a diagram.

2018-04-26T06:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989395339735019520

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth How high above a 4000 mile radius globe Earth would you need to be to make it look like a 1" radius tennis ball that was two inches from your eye?

2018-04-26T06:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989394914352873472

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Let me ask you a question.

2018-04-26T06:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989394740775866368

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth If you were to say you can see a tennis ball is a ball from one inch away, then that's very roughly 3000 miles up on the globe Earth. So if you want the same angular sized image of the Earth as of the tennis ball, then go 3,000 miles up.

2018-04-26T06:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989394236725383168

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth There is no such altitude. There's no such distance for a tennis ball either. However you can use the latter to establish simple equivalences for the the former.

2018-04-26T06:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989393330134966274

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Assume I have a deep understanding of projective geometry, cameras, and vision. Then ask a sensible question.

2018-04-26T06:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989390706857803776

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Explain why you asked that.

2018-04-26T06:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989390609294151680

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Assume I have a deep understanding of 3D geometry. Then ask a serious question.

2018-04-26T06:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989390382726168576

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Assume I do. Then ask a serious question.

2018-04-26T06:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989389957436325888

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Explain why you are asking this.

2018-04-26T06:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989389786417790976

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Why don't you explain the reason behind your question? Then I'll be able to answer it better.

2018-04-26T06:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989389412218765312

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth It's really unclear what you are asking? You just

want to know the height at which the entire horizon is visible at once? Of the height at which the disk of the Earth has a certain angular size? These are all simple math things (assuming you can ballpark the FOV of a human)

2018-04-26T06:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989388776186101760

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth But it's still "just the horizon", by your reckoning. Just a lot more of the half of the plane than the pool photo.

2018-04-26T06:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989388423642234881

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth It's a single photo. Taken by DSCOVR. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CFrP6QfbC2g

---

2018-04-26T06:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989388220596043776

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I'm asking what you personally mean by "see it as a ball". It's trivial to take a photo of the earth where it looks like a ball. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsDSdVUQAACrbk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsDSdVUQAACrbk.jpg

2018-04-26T06:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989387789111148544

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I have already explained you can never see the entire 180Â° of half the earth, you can simply approach that limit as you get higher. Even DSCOVR can't see it all. Just most of it. Still, it looks pretty ball-like

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsC1ksVAAA3FWj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsC1ksVAAA3FWj.jpg
2018-04-26T06:14:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989387306384572416
@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Depends on your field of view, and what you mean by "as a ball". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsCcE2V0AAntRI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsCcE2V0AAntRI.jpg
2018-04-26T06:13:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/989386914632425472
@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You need a big level, and a place to stand with a wide view of the ocean horizon.
https://www.metabunk.org/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.t7832/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsCCBhUwAID6XI.jpg



horizon 10 to 1 stretched.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsCCBhUwAID6XI.jpg

2018-04-26T06:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989386628459257856

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You can see the curve of the horizon from 400 feet. Above that it gets harder due the atmosphere.

2018-04-26T06:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989386478953218048

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I can see it right now. It's below me.

2018-04-26T06:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989386102120239104

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth You can never see the sphere as a whole, you can only approach being able to see half of it. You just see more as you get higher.

2018-04-26T06:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989385628411408384

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth How is that not a comparison? It's the same photo taken at different times.

2018-04-26T06:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989385142060793856

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Lots of practical experiments to check claims of flat or round Earth.

https://www.metabunk.org/a-diy-theodolite-for-measuring-the-dip-of-the-horizon.t8617/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsAcXWU8AA50Xt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsAcXWU8AA50Xt.jpg

2018-04-26T06:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989384903480377344

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth No, I check it. Have you seen my site? That's what it does: https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Flat-Earth/

2018-04-26T06:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989384745220956160

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth And the mountain:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsALIDV4AAHzkj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbsALIDV4AAHzkj.jpg

2018-04-26T06:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989384394413555712

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth But since you asked:

https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-verify-that-the-sun-is-a-distant-sphere-with-binoculars-sunspots.t8646/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbr_2UuV0AAXI5v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Dbr_2UuV0AAXI5v.jpg

2018-04-26T06:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989383839121260544

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Are you not zetetic?

2018-04-26T06:00:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989383759941156864

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth Along with the absence of evidence of a flat Earth, the existence of time zones and seasons, and the overwhelming scientific consensus.

Yes.

2018-04-26T05:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989382658395271173

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I checked it. I observed the sun and moon not changing angular size through the day. I observed the celestial sphere with rotation about a southern celestial pole. I observed huge mountains being obscured by the horizon, then being revealed as I climbed higher. That was enough.

2018-04-26T05:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989381449139683328

@limitedview @KennethOath @ForeverFlatErth So how much would the image be bent? assuming your photo there is on a flat earth, then what would it look like on a globe earth of about 4,000 mile radius? How would it differ? Draw it.

2018-04-26T04:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989357953055539201

@limitedview @KennethOath @ForeverFlatErth You should probably try to find a better example than a 300 foot long lake high in the alps.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbrnssbVMAA_4bj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbrnssbVMAA_4bj.jpg

2018-04-26T04:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989356394267607040

@limitedview @KennethOath @ForeverFlatErth How do you know it's not curved? If it were curved, with a 4,000 mile radius of curvature, then what would it look like?

2018-04-26T04:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989355752060960768

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I just use regular math. What is the difference between the image produced by a very slightly curving reflective surface and a flat surface? We can investigate this mathematically, or we can do it practically by very slightly bending a large

glass mirror.

2018-04-26T04:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989354574468165632

@KennethOath @naomirwolf No, just facts.

2018-04-26T00:05:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989294314256678912

@BrianDunning So what about Historians discussing, say, the Lavon Affair? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lavon_Affair

---

2018-04-26T00:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989293485990723585

@KennethOath @limitedview @ForeverFlatErth I correct things that are demonstrably incorrect. With trivial things like very-slightly-curved water reflecting almost exactly like a flat mirror, then we don't need to rely on authority, we can simply do the math.

2018-04-25T23:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989292890474987520

@KennethOath @naomirwolf Personal experience. I look up contrails planes almost every day. I don't find the plane only one or two times a year. Probably military, but still leaving a normal contrail.

2018-04-25T23:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989292419446276096

@MolotoVNKA How do you know that the FDR data indicates a flight path to the south of the Navy Annex? Have you looked at the actual data and plotted it on a map?

2018-04-25T15:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989167567993372672

@MolotoVNKA How do you know?

2018-04-25T15:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989167565812346880

@limitedview @KennethOath @ForeverFlatErth Sure. Take a mirror and bend it to match a 4000 mike radius. Notice it still appears flat and reflects apparently the same.

2018-04-25T05:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/989010421800566784

@MolotoVNKA What's the expected resolution and accuracy of the the FDR?

2018-04-25T03:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/988985758563250176

@MolotoVNKA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbmVKEAVMAATAH9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbmVKEAVMAATAH9.jpg

2018-04-25T03:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/988983398277369856

@MolotoVNKA Have you investigated?

2018-04-24T14:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/988794679310233600

@MolotoVNKA I was attempting to replicate the actual flight path.

2018-04-22T17:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/988103676165148672

RT @PolygraphInfo: "Vanessa Beeley is a British blogger who believes the attack on French satirical magazine Charlie Hebdo was staged al-Qaâ□¦

2018-04-21T22:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/987825335495344128

@dLimacher @oopseedaisy123 @JohnKleinRegina Where was it debunked?

2018-04-19T15:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986995594911559680

@SocietyUSA "creeyopasta" = "creepypasta". Fingers still asleep.

2018-04-19T15:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986995373313937408

@SocietyUSA I suspect some people don't know what creeyopasta is. Some are kind of LARPing.

2018-04-19T15:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986994194672533504

@SocietyUSA It's just a nonsense Hoax/Creepypasta type thing for kids.

2018-04-19T01:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986779013346615296

@SocietyUSA Link?

2018-04-18T15:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986622080484425728

@naomirwolf The vast majority (>99%) of planes leaving trails show up on flight trackers. However it can be difficult as people frequently underestimate the distance from them to the plane. There's lots of tracked planes over remote places like Scotland (heading to the US). Varies.

2018-04-18T14:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986608237448314880

@davebrooker322 i.e. he's saying that he thinks there should be a pause in the "progress to deploy solar geoengineering". Also not the article says: "Mr Pasztorâ□□s warning comes as researchers prepare for what is thought to be the worldâ□□s first outdoor experiment" (on SAI).

2018-04-18T14:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986607724921208832

@davebrooker322 You have quoted two words out of context.

"Progress to deploy solar engineering, experimental technology designed to protect the world against the impact of the changing climate, must pause, a former United Nations climate expert says"

2018-04-18T14:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986607173303058432

@naomirwolf It's an ordinary solar halo. It's not uncommon. Aristotle wrote about them in 350BC, and they were describe by William Fulke in 1563, Encyclopedia Britannica, 1773. The 1823 Encyclopedia says there are "one or two every week" in North America.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbEhDx0UwAEuy45.jpg

PARHELIUM, or PARHELION, in physiology, a mock sun, or meteor, in form of a very bright light, appearing on one side of the sun.

The parhelia are formed by the reflection of the sun's beams on a cloud properly posited. They usually accompany the coronæ, or luminous circles, and are placed in the same circumference and at the same height. Their colours resemble that of the rainbow, the red and yellow are on the side towards the sun, and the blue and violet on the other. There are coronæ sometimes seen without parhelia, and *vice versa*.

Parhelia are double, triple, *&c.* and in 1629 a parhelion of five suns was seen at Rome, and in 1666 another at Arles of six.

M. Mariotte accounts for parhelia from an infinity of little particles of ice floating in the air, that multiply the image of the sun by refraction or reflection; and by a geometrical calculus he has determined the precise figure of these little icicles, their situation in the air, and the size of the coronæ of circles which accompany the parhelia, and the colours wherewith they are painted.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbEgN7XVAAA1tZk.jpg



GALLERY. Fol. 34.

called one. ¶ *Of Circles.*

The Circle called *Halon*, is a garland of diuerse collours that is seen about the sunne, the Moone, or any other sterre specially about *Iupiter* or *Venus*, for their greate bryghtnes. It is called of the Greeks a compassed platte, of the Latines a crowne or garlande.

Circles about the sunne, the moone & other sters Iupiter & Venus Planetes.

The matter wherin it is made, is a cloude of equall thicknes, or thinnes, cõming directly vnder ẏ body of the sunn, the Moone, or other sterres, into whiche the lyght of the heauenly body is receyued, and so appeareth rounde, because

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbEgBeYV4AATGBn.jpg

the outermost circle was a bright red. In 1728, one was seen of a pale red outwardly, then followed yellow, and then green, terminated by a white. Corona.

These coronas are very frequent. In Holland, M. Muschenbroeck says, 50 may be seen in the day-time, almost every year; but they are difficult to be observed, except the eye be so situated, that not the body of the sun, but only the neighbouring parts of the heavens, can be seen. Mr Middleton says, that this phenomenon is very frequent in North America; for that there is generally one or two about the sun every week, and as many about the moon every month. Halos round the sun are very frequent in Russia. M. Æpinus says, that from the 23d of April 1758, to the 20th of September, he himself had observed no less than 26, and that he has sometimes seen twice as many in the same sp ce of time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbEhDx0UwAEuy45.jpg

2018-04-17T19:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986329560999378944

@HealthRanger The video you linked is mostly about ordinary cloud seeding. This is a technology that has been openly used since the 1950s by local water authorities. It is done from small planes into existing clouds, and does not leave trails.

2018-04-17T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986328289437364224

@HealthRanger Patents as "proof of chemtrails" debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.t3704/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbAkUktU8AA-KSm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DbAkUktU8AA-KSm.jpg

2018-04-17T19:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986327956262809600

@MolotoVNKA What does "official" even mean here? Russian Officials? Syrian Officials? Australian Officials? Japanese Officials? IGDA Officials?

2018-04-17T19:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986327742005170176

@MolotoVNKA I don't trust "official" accounts at all. But when you see the vast amount if false claims in the 9/11 "Truth" movement, then it tends to make them lose credibility. Similarly with RT, Fox News, Donald Trump, Occupy Democrats, etc.

2018-04-17T18:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986305664057131008

@MolotoVNKA Of course they expected a reaction. That was the whole point of doing it.

2018-04-17T17:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986300697145520129

@FedupWithSwamp @FAVOR_IN_CHRIST Just another example of bad date extraction by Google. Like: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-dylann-storm-roof-huffington-post-timestamp-before-the-shooting.t6406/

2018-04-17T17:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986300369272582144

@MolotoVNKA Claiming isn't knowing.

2018-04-17T17:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986298877723664384

@kurt_nimmo Groups hack home computers to create untraceable botnets.

2018-04-17T17:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/986298458767212544
@MolotoVNKA They didn't want a nuclear war either, but they still put missiles in Cuba. Perhaps it was a calculated risk. Trump is not going to invade Syria. He does not need another Iraq/Vietnam. The Russians know this.

2018-04-17T04:30:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/986099568654761984
@MolotoVNKA The best time to use a chemical weapons to provoke the West is when it's least advantageously militarily. It gives them deniability, they use US attacks for internal propaganda, strengthening Assad's position locally and creating the "false flag" myth for Russian active measures.

2018-04-16T22:51:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/986014348928675840
@MolotoVNKA It was planned.
He came across the highway at the same times as either A) Other people in cars. B) the plane, or C) himself and metaphorically other people. He does not seem to be the most eloquent person, so it's hard to say what he means.

2018-04-16T22:33:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/986009648531619844
@MolotoVNKA He appears to be talking about being a small man swept up in a big event.

2018-04-16T22:25:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/986007605209325568
@MolotoVNKA Source?

2018-04-16T17:01:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/985926094049050624
@MolotoVNKA I'm not sure what your question is.

2018-04-15T18:41:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/985588985379545093
@mtaibbi I think lots of people are pretty concerned. But it sounds like a good topic for a scientifically valid poll.

2018-04-15T17:18:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/985567968808456192
@ForeverFlatErth In the bottom images the camera is not zoomed in between shots, nor does it move as far as I did. However the effect is the same if you zoom in on the last image and compare it with the first. Same size globe, different size US.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Da1wrvfUMAAIA5m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Da1wrvfUMAAIA5m.jpg

2018-04-11T18:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/984130976962953216

@TheOutThere2016 @TxUFOSightings Yeah, sunlight/sunset under thunderstorm looks right to me.

2018-04-09T22:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/983473294060896256

@BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes @AdolfTwittler8 Nothing is being sprayed for geoengineering. Look it up

---

2018-04-09T16:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/983379904212320257

@BayleeB79 @TrishaDishes The Arizona project will be the first test of its kind, spraying only a few pounds of material.

2018-04-09T16:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/983379611298947073

@PadayachySami It is a fuel dump, not a contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DaWqhBYV4AA074k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DaWqhBYV4AA074k.jpg

2018-04-09T05:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/983215324152475648

Solar Geoengineering â□□Too Uncertain to Go Ahead Yet,â□□ Expert Cautions

https://www.truthdig.com/articles/solar-geoengineering-too-uncertain-to-go-ahead-yet/

2018-04-09T05:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/983214921700667393

@trutherbothazel Also on the aerodynamic effects of the wing!

2018-04-06T18:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/982324439768444928

@nuclearIndie @FlatEarthKyle @TheDailyPlane Here's one for nonstandard refraction. It starts out as standard, then you can edit the temperature profile in the upper left to see the effects.

https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DaHql5iVQAEZnHu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DaHql5iVQAEZnHu.jpg

2018-04-04T21:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981641892566740993

@PamelaWilcox12 It's probably an aerodynamic contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ9-BZBUQAEtNQY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ9-BZBUQAEtNQY.jpg

2018-04-03T20:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981265490839445505

Are deadly levels of UV-C radiation reaching the Earth's surface due to the destruction of the ozone layer by high altitude spraying of coal ash used for genengineering?

No.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-deadly-ultraviolet-uv-c-and-uv-b-penetration-to-earth%E2%80%99s-surface-stray-light.t9627/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ4nvvYVoAAe9KB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ4nvvYVoAAe9KB.jpg

2018-04-03T06:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981054264775618561

How extreme atmospheric ducting can create floating cities.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1ncQBU8AAIJu5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1ncQBU8AAIJu5.jpg

2018-04-03T06:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981050992329351168

@RealTruther1 @skeptropolis @FlatSlugbrains @phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs

@SpeakToMeInDots Perspective compression.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1kqq6UQAANuAL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1ko2QUMAAoWfF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1kfIzUQAA2AoS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1kmbvV4AAmNdQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ1kqq6UQAANuAL.jpg

2018-04-03T04:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981018692505907201

@HomeoReikiDogs @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ They are due to air. You can test this.

2018-04-03T03:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981009063843696640

@HomeoReikiDogs @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ Its refraction. It looks like reflection but itâ□□s physically different. Curve through the air.

2018-04-03T03:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/981004138627579904

@HomeoReikiDogs @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ Itâ□□s refraction.

2018-04-03T02:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980995876230348801
@HomeoReikiDogs @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ And other manifestations of refraction. Check out the simulator.

2018-04-03T02:29:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980995716687413249
@HomeoReikiDogs @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ Looming.

2018-04-03T02:28:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980995533148897281
@HomeoReikiDogs Google Earth does not use a lens. Itâ□□s simulating the globe

---

2018-04-03T01:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980973255065391104
@_Gravity_Man @phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots Yes. Yes. Wrong.

2018-04-02T23:38:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980952708763983872
@phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots I sent you that screen capture to demonstrate that the horizon curves in Google Earth. Here's the original email thread.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ0LEazU0AEFvPN.jpg

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> 11/17/17

to Philippe

They are not parallel though, just very close. The Earth is large.

Why is the horizon curved here?



phiroc 11/17/17

to me

Clearly that photo has some serious problem if you zoom in the horizon you can see the angular pixelation from Photoshop which causes the horizon to look like steps and boxes at different heights and therefore giving you the illusion of a spherical horizon. And what is that white line going across the picture? Next time send me a real aerial photograph not some computer animated JPEG. By the way what you estimate is the distance of the horizon left to right in that photo?

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 17, 2017, at 2:39 PM, Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> wrote:

> They are not parallel though, just very close. The Earth is large.
>
> Why is the horizon curved here?
> <20171117-143916-ed48p.jpg>

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ0LEazU0AEFvPN.jpg

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> 11/17/17

to Philippe

Google Earth simulates a Round Earth. This is what the world looks like. You would not see an 8 inch difference.



phiroc 11/17/17

to me

You just made my point and this is that the surface is flat in order for all those structures to be perpendicular. If there is no curvature there is no 8 inch declination for the first mile therefore the earth is a flat plane.

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 17, 2017, at 12:18 PM, Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> wrote:

> Google Earth simulates a Round Earth. This is what the world looks like. You would not see an 8 inch difference.
> <20171117-121551-4tzid.jpg>

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> 11/17/17

to Philippe

They are not parallel though, just very close. The Earth is large.

Why is the horizon curved here?



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZ0K-GuU0AAJYNa.jpg

2018-04-02T21:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980927410576097280

@NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots The tilt is small. The earth is very large.

2018-04-02T21:43:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980923579859546112
@phiroc @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots I would, but I live in Sacramento now.

Can you post your photo of Santa Barbara island that you took from the shore? As I remember we were still waiting for it on Metabunk. I possibly misremember?
https://www.metabunk.org/stand-up-to-detect-the-curve-of-the-earth.t8364/page-2

2018-04-02T21:15:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980916734168190976
@phiroc @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots No, it's shaped like a really big ball.

2018-04-02T20:57:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980912176016777216
@NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots Mountains are a much better thing to show curvature than ships. The rise above most of the refraction.

2018-04-02T20:56:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980911853365706752

@NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @phiroc @geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots Ships going below the horizon is eventually curvature, but you can almost always see refraction. It's quite complicated. https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZzmHMoVMAAj66J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZzmHMoVMAAj66J.jpg
2018-04-02T20:54:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980911255081902086
How can you see things that are 30 miles away and should be hidden by the curve of the Earth? It's refraction. Have a play around with this refraction simulator that lets you adjust the temperature profile. https://www.metabunk.org/mirage/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZzlOkmU0AA8fRR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZzlOkmU0AA8fRR.jpg
2018-04-01T22:34:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980574198434115585
@nickmyer Why trust anyone? Verify.

2018-04-01T19:06:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980521758712213504
@Deplorable80210 @JulianAssange Out of focus specular highlight.

2018-04-01T18:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980517892125900801
@nickmyer Hit piece? Is there anything at all in it that is incorrect? Let me know and I will fix it.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DZt_yMKVMAAz_HK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DZt_yMKVMAAz_HK.jpg
2018-03-31T13:27:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/980074153167413248
@ForeverFlatErth @cassify88 @BallDropped @samuel @Geek_0nline @BamaDan78

@InfoIsGood @Foulkesy1 @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @frenchie_myriam @globe_junk @Astronomy_Ryan @Becauseofnow @HomeoReikiDogs @ItsFlatFolks @PaperForSale @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1 @WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat Why don't you go to the beach and take some photos yourself? Florida eh? You could probably still find something with a viewpoint 100+ feet above sea level. Compare that with beach views of distant object. Get a Nikon P900.

2018-03-31T13:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980072789297135616

@nickmyer 100 years is about when the first persistent contrails were observed. They have been observed ever since. People noticed them a lot more after they started looking for them. Take J Marvin Herndon for example.

2018-03-31T13:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980068741277917184

@nickmyer So you think they have been spraying for 100 years, but people only started noticing it around the time the internet got popular?

2018-03-31T13:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980068048768966656

There are two types of contrails: aerodynamic contrails and exhaust contrails. From a distance they look similar. Close up they are very different. Aerodynamic contrails can form in warmer temperatures and often start and stop suddenly as they are sensitive to air conditions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZnl38RVwAAljBp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZnl38RVwAAljBp.jpg

2018-03-31T12:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/980060612867768320

@ForeverFlatErth @cassify88 @BallDropped @samuel @Geek_0nline @BamaDan78 @InfoIsGood @Foulkesy1 @rokro11 @ShinyMouse @frenchie_myriam @globe_junk @Astronomy_Ryan @Becauseofnow @HomeoReikiDogs @ItsFlatFolks @PaperForSale @WaterIsFlat @seeplaneearth @VerumBellator1 @WeAreWakinUp @Its_Stationary @foreverflat That's a nice series of photos of oil platforms. It's a shame there isn't a corresponding series taken from the beach showing the increase in obscuration.

2018-03-30T22:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979849249327267840

@Gr8Believer @SimonGr41594862 @jimwells1963 White paper? You mean like one of these papers?

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&lookup=0&q=atmospheric+refraction

---

2018-03-30T22:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979848503038898176

@techmanufo @apicasefiles As far as I know nobody has even deliberately sprayed stuff from a plane into the stratosphere. What it would look like would depend on what was being sprayed. It would probably look different to both types of contrail.

2018-03-30T22:29:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979848044639240192

@GreenTeamBC They almost never dump fuel. It's only done in an emergency. Or very rare miscalculations or unexpected tailwinds.

2018-03-30T21:03:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979826523073953792

An aerodynamic contrail. This less common type of contrail is responsible for a lot of "chemtrail" sightings by people who have never heard of aerodynamic contrails. I keep trying to explain it to them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZkKvD5VwAA9jvu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZkKvD5VwAA9jvu.jpg

2018-03-30T17:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979767055128805376

@ForeverFlatErth @revolve88 @JurassicTruth I've not watched it all. But I'm guessing no, based on the nonsensical bits I did watch.

Why a book? That basically saying there isn't any actual evidence in this video. So it's waste of time watching it. Let me know when you have numbers.

2018-03-30T17:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979766187629277184

@emilybramley27 @mmsBA Emily, contrails need very cold air in which to form, and -40Â°C for exhaust contrails to form (homogenous freezing point of water). So that's generally very high up. Aerodynamic contrails are different, can persist in warmer temps.

2018-03-30T17:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979765335548035072

@mmsBA It's possible it was an aerodynamic contrail, which can form and persist at lower altitudes (higher temperatures). I've seen them at 25,000 feet quite often. This type of thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZjTV5ZUQAAFyGa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZjTV5ZUQAAFyGa.jpg

2018-03-30T06:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979613695721406465

@adamgoldsack We may never know for sure.

2018-03-30T05:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979584446239133696

@ForeverFlatErth @revolve88 @JurassicTruth You just need to look at their building example to know it's nonsense. Supposed measured the distance between the tops and bottoms of two buildings 3,050 km apart! Sorry, but it's obviously nonsense. What's the methodology? Numbers? Math? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgtjK2VoAA2EPY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgtjK2VoAA2EPY.jpg
2018-03-30T03:50:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/979566578902515712
@ForeverFlatErth @revolve88 @JurassicTruth Looks like a lot of flimflam.

2018-03-30T03:46:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/979565627219181568
@ForeverFlatErth @revolve88 @JurassicTruth The problem here is atmosphere. As you get higher the horizon gets further away. That means you are looking through more atmosphere to see it. There's a sweet spot from 400 to around 1000 feet when the ocean horizon is close enough to be sharp, with curve visible. Then gets hazy.

2018-03-30T01:53:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/979536948153876480
@ForeverFlatErth @JurassicTruth @revolve88 It's not obvious at all. In fact its very hard too see the curve of the horizon at 400 feet. However you CAN see it, if you have a wide enough field of view and a straight edge.
https://www.metabunk.org/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.t7832/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgDacLU8AAzmA-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgDPlgU8AANL1Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgDacLU8AAzmA-.jpg

2018-03-30T01:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/979533128841621504

Cloud iridescence http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgAFyWVMAAV5lA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZgAFyWVMAAV5lA.jpg
2018-03-26T17:23:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978321486850097152
@philo_sofa It was made by @skeptropolis

2018-03-26T17:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978321291873681408
@SimonGr41594862 It often takes a lot of time. You probably helped a bit, even if he does not realize it yet.

2018-03-26T16:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978309075069906944
@SimonGr41594862 Some of them see the light eventually. It's really a natural process for most fo them even if they are left alone. But it's interesting to see what can help it along. Of course, not everyone can be reached.

2018-03-26T16:22:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978306297689915392
@Hunt4Truth33 @CobraElDiablo @WoodyWouldntHe @Steve_P_Knight @SueMeBro42 @AamenHammer @Globe_Ripper Looking at a lighthouse and looking at light from a lighthouse somehow getting over the horizon via refraction and reflection are two different things. What you really want to do it just look at very tall structures that are far away. What more do you need? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZOkOSzVoAARJON.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZOkOSzVoAARJON.jpg

2018-03-26T16:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978304801728446464

@LaurynHasIt @prove_your_ball @bulkevans @slayckx @Wiseowl0617 @barmanamar1976 @ZaphodTheFourth @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @limitedview @MaxisicalG @noreligion69 @VerumBellator1 @ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @jabbawonger @gpburdon @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 So you admit "orbits" at least? :)

2018-03-26T16:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978301935462723584

@MarkDob5 @PostDisclosure @RichDolan @nickpopemod @BigelowSpace Because they were assuming the UAP was something like ball lighting, not an alien spacecraft. Read the full document.

http://www.noufors.com/Documents/UAP%20in%20the%20UK%20Air%20Defence%20Region%20-%20Volume%202/uap_vol2_pgs16to30.pdf

---

2018-03-26T15:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978300161997774848

View across Lake Pontchartrain from different altitudes shows the curve of the Earth.

https://twitter.com/skeptropolis/status/978272625259679744

2018-03-26T15:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978299530973097989

@TxUFOSightings @TheOutThere2016 @ufoofinterest Report says "On Friday March 2, 2018 at

21:10 ... As I looked up at the brightly lit full moon". So Sirius or Jupiter are likely candidates. Sirius is brightest star in sky, and flickers colorfully.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZOeEJzUMAAf_O7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZOeEJzUMAAf_O7.jpg
2018-03-26T15:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978294390195023872
@TheOutThere2016 @ufoofinterest It's an out-of-focus light in the sky. Probably Venus, or a star like Sirius. Hard to say without wider context.

2018-03-25T23:48:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/978056146857598976
@ShepardAmbellas Looks like a solar halo. Here's similar images from 1813
https://archive.org/stream/researchesabouta00forsiala#page/n479/mode/2up
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZLA2PxUQAAoAwF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZLA2PxUQAAoAwF.jpg

2018-03-25T23:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978051346501853185

@MarkDob5 @PostDisclosure @RichDolan @nickpopemod @BigelowSpace Multiple documents regarding the same event?

2018-03-25T23:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978050850286219264

@prove_your_ball @bulkevans @slayckx @Wiseowl0617 @barmanamar1976 @ZaphodTheFourth @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @limitedview @MaxisicalG @noreligion69 @VerumBellator1 @ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @jabbawonger @gpburdon @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Well you can get images direct from NASA. If you were really enterprising you could set up a satellite dish and download the images yourself. These images are unedited, and near real-time https://epic.gsfc.nasa.gov/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZK7-OYVMAAgMl8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZK7-OYVMAAgMl8.jpg

2018-03-25T23:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978049194161143808

@MarkDob5 @PostDisclosure @RichDolan @nickpopemod @BigelowSpace How about one instance where there is real evidence that "they shut nukes down?". Robert Salas telling some uncorroborated story about something that happened 50 years ago is not "evidence". What are the details? What evidence is there that it happened as he described it?

2018-03-25T23:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/978047528393310213

@ForeverFlatErth @rokro11 There's a few different ways of using a railgun/HVP, the upper atmosphere ones seems to be theoretical as yet. Discussed in this report from the Navy to Congress.

https://www.history.navy.mil/research/library/online-reading-room/title-list-alphabetically/n/navy-lasers-railgun-hypervelocity-projectiles.html

---

2018-03-25T17:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977953353442328576

@ForeverFlatErth @rokro11 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZJjWaMU8AUXvIb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZJjWaMU8AUXvIb.jpg

2018-03-25T16:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977952172246781952

@MarkDob5 @PostDisclosure @RichDolan @nickpopemod @BigelowSpace I keep waiting for someone to tell me one case that does not have prosaic plausible explanations. Otherwise it's just endless cases of "might have been, perhaps". Quantity is not quality.

2018-03-25T06:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977798619620261888

@mesolithicguy @PostDisclosure @lesliekean Is there a case in there that does not have a plausible banal explanation?

2018-03-25T05:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977777458769403906

@VerumBellator1 Yes, with CO2 emissions. Here, you can read that bottom book. Just remember Weather != Climate. https://www.nsf.gov/nsb/publications/1965/nsb1265.pdf

---

2018-03-25T05:19:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977777072054575104

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice And regarding their radar operators testimony being used in court of law - it would be 1000x better for the case if they had the actual data.

2018-03-25T05:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977776676619735041

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice My point is more that the radar DATA is not available. Nor has anyone claimed to analyze it. Operators making momentary evaluations is not the same as

comprehensive data analysis.

2018-03-25T05:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977773688304033792

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice What do you think the defense would say about such eyewitness evidence?

2018-03-25T04:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977768659115323392

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice No I'm not saying that. I did not answer this "question" because I assumed it was rhetorical.

2018-03-25T04:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977764220673470467

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Wait, what question did I not answer?

2018-03-25T04:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977764058052079616

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice So what, you trust the bits you like?

2018-03-25T04:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977763935293075457

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice I'm discussing why you believe what you do. You do it on trusting a small number of people. You don't do it based on physical evidence.

2018-03-25T04:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977763544937594880

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice That changes nothing. You are still basing your belief on trusting a few people. Not on actual evidence.

2018-03-25T04:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977763234676527105

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Do you trust Elizondo?

2018-03-25T04:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977763056317968384

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice So you are basing this on trust of a few people, not actual evidence.

2018-03-25T04:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977761902276177920

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice How would you know what was on those radars? How is this better than some anecdote?

2018-03-25T04:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977761746910773250

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Someone saying there is a recording of something is not evidence. Let's see them.

2018-03-25T04:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977761372405575680

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Perhaps because every time we have both, the explanation becomes clear. But a first person account alone, or a video with no context, allows some subjective interpretation.

2018-03-25T04:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977761140443832320

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice My point is that it would be more compelling if there was a video of the engagement. Or a first-person account of the video. We have neither. Yet they are falsely combined into one "event"

2018-03-25T04:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977760679376560128

@flightradar24 And in debunking the Flat Earth :)

2018-03-25T04:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977760340514451456

@MarkDob5 @PostDisclosure @RichDolan @nickpopemod @BigelowSpace See what exactly? What does this have to do with physics?

2018-03-25T04:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977760148721614849

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice So again you get to the idea that you have definitive proof of something breaking the known laws of physics? Has this ever actually happened and been demonstrated not to be a radar glitch?

2018-03-25T04:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977759783141830658

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Don't you think it's curious there's no combination of video and extensive "engagement" by the same person. Maybe because they don't line up?

2018-03-25T04:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977757976126676992

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Which bit of the event? Because the video sure can.

2018-03-25T04:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977757801307975680

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice If something is an unidentified conventional aircraft, then is it identified?

2018-03-25T04:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977757611809423365

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice If something is a drone of unknown origin, then is it identified?

2018-03-25T04:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977757376014049285

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Is something is "probably a plane", then is it identified?

2018-03-25T04:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977757294371864576

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice If something is a bird or a ballon then is it identified?

2018-03-25T03:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977755199195332610

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice How seriously would they take it if they were just perhaps some Russian drones?

2018-03-25T03:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977754670427881473

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice You think the NImitz is the best example? Where's the analysis of this that shows it's breaking the laws of physics?

2018-03-25T03:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977751104032194561

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Why do you believe that? What's the evidence for it?

2018-03-25T03:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977750934368301056

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice If you are not that knowledgeable, then why are you so sure? Are you just trusting people?

2018-03-25T03:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977746223430909953

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice So which one do you think would be most difficult to come up with an alternative explanation for?

2018-03-25T03:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977741898675662854

@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice It wouldn't be about "why UFO's are bunk", it would be about why UFOlogist can't make a good case because they don't have the evidence that they think they do. It would also draw parallels with people who think there were explosives in the World Trade Center on 9/11

2018-03-25T02:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977741037635436544

@wxdam Cads!

2018-03-25T02:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977740718096592897

@wxdam "Reslushify" though, I'll grant you that.

2018-03-25T02:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977740528920903680

@wxdam Cleary you must have read the 1897 romantic novel "The Ordeal of Fay".

https://books.google.com/books?id=2qEwAQAAMAAJ&pg=PA108#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZGhd_8UQAAOJ1o.jpg



Do, my dear Fay, at least wait the reply to our *dépêches*."

That sudden possibility of Charley's being in this very town takes possession of me. Oh, if it should be! How my heart beats. I yield at once. The messages are despatched, and we return home to await replies. . . .

It is dusk. The grand logs are spluttering and hissing in the open fireplace. Snow-flakes are blobbing lazily, softly against the small-paned window. Tea-cups—my English whim—are on the table, and the subtle fragrance of the cup that cheers pervades the room. Madame Lebrun, busy as usual, is crocheting bright fleecy wools into a comforting shawl by the flickering firelight. I am sit-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZGhd_8UQAAOJ1o.jpg
2018-03-25T02:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977739349964947457
@PostDisclosure @Vccomplice Which book on the subject is the best? Just one please.

2018-03-25T02:49:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977739203139092480
@PostDisclosure Why debate me? Why not just write an academic paper, or just an article, or even a blog post, that conclusively and inarguably demonstrates that the government is covering up observations of craft that break the known laws of physics?

2018-03-25T02:38:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977736380720693249
@PostDisclosure I think it's perhaps telling that you can't name a good event with scientific analysis.

2018-03-25T02:33:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977735170168991744
@PostDisclosure Which event is the most credible, and why is it credible? Is there math involved? There should be math.

2018-03-25T01:59:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977726540178890752
@PostDisclosure Can you make a case for UFOs that's better than the case for chemtrails?

2018-03-25T01:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977726383928483840
@PostDisclosure I'm a critic of what she did regarding the Chilean "UFO" case. But I'm a critic of

anyone who seems to be a bit over-credulous, wanting to believe.
I'd love to see aliens (or even just anti-gravity planes). But I see no compelling evidence.

2018-03-25T01:52:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977724824150728704
@PostDisclosure So, maybe hundreds? Give me ballpark. In your lifetime? I'm really not seeing any good evidence, or evidence of a coverup. Gov studies "UFO" in case they were Soviets, or now any of the "enemy" states. It's secret for national security.

2018-03-24T22:40:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977676659603091456
@PostDisclosure At what year approximately do you expect to be vindicated?

2018-03-24T20:29:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977643607543828481
@MergeReport1 @Enttiis @PostDisclosure @gigathought @joerogan Why don't they interview the guy who took the video?

2018-03-24T20:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977637871585652736
@PostDisclosure @Enttiis @gigathought @MergeReport1 @joerogan Unless they are just birds. Or stray balloons.

2018-03-24T20:05:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977637668354797568
@deborahjahn That's an old urban myth. It's false. Respiratory disease has barely changed. (CLRD on this graph)
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rise-in-respiratory-mortality-from-4th-to-3rd-cause-of-death-chemtrail-flu.t6746/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZFECkjVwAAd4eW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZFECkjVwAAd4eW.jpg
2018-03-24T20:02:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977636788339527680

@PostDisclosure @Enttiis @gigathought @MergeReport1 @joerogan The all were fooled into think it was close to the ocean, and moving fast. But if you recreate it from the angles and distances on ATFLIR then it's high and slow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZFDJ0QU8AAf8SJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DZFDJ0QU8AAf8SJ.jpg
2018-03-24T19:58:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977635909997805568
@PostDisclosure @Enttiis @gigathought @MergeReport1 @joerogan So you think "alien" is more likely than "bird"?

2018-03-24T18:55:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977620053683142656
@ufoofinterest @mufon It would be a lot easier if we know what the flight was.

2018-03-24T18:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977616011716321280
@PostDisclosure @gigathought @MergeReport1 @joerogan What context? He gives a vague description of some technology that we don't know if it was on the planes, or if it was used after the few seconds of video that was shown. And if it was pointed at a bird or balloon, what would it show? What did it show?
There's no context here.

2018-03-24T18:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/977615413042298880
@ufoofinterest @mufon I've started a thread, we'll see.
https://www.metabunk.org/structures-in-the-gulf-of-mexico-appearing-to-make-waves-in-clouds.

t9604/

2018-03-23T09:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977114261787836417

@Hunt4Truth33 @Globe_Ripper @AamenHammer Wrong

2018-03-23T03:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977026566562627584

@Hunt4Truth33 @Globe_Ripper @AamenHammer What about it? Then it's very cold.

2018-03-23T03:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977026078903451648

@Hunt4Truth33 @Globe_Ripper @AamenHammer Why use a laser when a vastly better tool (a camera, in daylight) exists? Do you use a laser to find your keys in a dark room, or just switch the light on and look?

2018-03-23T03:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977025365397815296

@Globe_Ripper @Hunt4Truth33 @AamenHammer

https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19740007037.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY8XYPAVAAAZK2q.jpg

CORRECTION OF LASER RANGE TRACKING DATA FOR ATMOSPHERIC REFRACTION AT ELEVATIONS ABOVE 10 DEGREES

J. W. Marini
C. W. Murray, Jr.

ABSTRACT

A formula for correcting laser measurements of satellite range for the effect of atmospheric refraction is given. The corrections apply above 10° elevation to satellites whose heights exceed 70 km. The meteorological measurements required are the temperature, pressure, and relative humidity of the air at the laser site at the time of satellite pass.

The accuracy of the formula was tested by comparison with corrections obtained by ray-tracing radiosonde profiles. The standard deviation of the difference between the refractive retardation given by the formula and that calculated by ray-tracing was less than about 0.04% of the retardation or about 0.5 cm at 10° elevation, decreasing to 0.04 cm near zenith.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY8XYPAVAAAZK2q.jpg

2018-03-23T03:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977024851985641473

@Globe_Ripper @Hunt4Truth33 @AamenHammer Going straight up though the atmosphere is

vastly different to skimming the surface of a lake. You can do the math. You can also correct for the minuscule error from atmospheric refraction in the moon measurements.

2018-03-23T03:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977024285939195905

@Globe_Ripper @Hunt4Truth33 @AamenHammer And it's not like detecting the curvature of a lake is this difficult task. You can just look across it and see stuff obscure on the other side. Take a pic with a zoom lens. It's like the laser test times a million. One for each pixel.

2018-03-23T03:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/977022511404564485

@Hunt4Truth33 @AamenHammer @Globe_Ripper It depends on A) how high the laser is, and B) refection (and occasionally reflection). Laser tests are not very useful as it's just one light path. It's barking up the wrong tree.

2018-03-22T00:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976612018886082560

@JunkScience Possibly Ada Yonath for her pioneering work on ribosomal crystallography and cryo bio-crystallography, and enabling structure-based drug design by deciphered the structural basis for antibiotic selectivity. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ada_Yonath

---

2018-03-21T21:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976569703240880129

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 But you are demonstrably wrong.

2018-03-21T21:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976568778912776192

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Celestial sphere is observable reality. Can you point to any observation that deviates from the celestial sphere?

2018-03-21T21:11:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976567120346603521

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 We can use a telescope for that. The sun bends light. It's viewable during an eclipse. LIGO measures massive changes in gravity, like from colliding stars, which are

generally things you don't want in your solar system.

2018-03-21T21:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976566646822248448

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 You can verify they are at the least:

A) Very far away - many time more than the size of the Earth.
B) on an apparent celestial sphere.

Give it a go.

2018-03-21T21:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976566090565271552

@ForeverFlatErth @Oswald1160 @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 That's just trolling. You are obviously familiar with the notion that gravity acts towards the center of the globe. If you continue to post troll memes in bad faith then I will ignore you.

Up to you.

2018-03-21T21:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976565608392228864

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Foucault alone seems reasonable. But the distance to the stars is a big one. They would have to be moving many times faster than the speed of light if the Earth was stationary.

2018-03-21T20:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976563674713276416

@ForeverFlatErth @Richarardwayne @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 400 feet., assuming you have a clear view of the horizon, and a straight edge to compare it against.

2018-03-21T20:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976563450108297216

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 The horizon is a flat circle. Like the edge of a circus ring with you standing on a box in the middle.

2018-03-21T20:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976563201872609281

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 The boat is probably around five miles away. It was a P900, 2000mm shot, 1.2x digital zoom. Then cropped a bit. Here's the original, uncropped.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1y7c2VMAAQ5rz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1y7c2VMAAQ5rz.jpg

2018-03-21T20:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976561782172000256

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976

@cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Then it's presenting nonsense. I do not truck in nonsense. Ask sensible questions.

2018-03-21T20:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976561503699529728

@Richarardwayne @ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Here's something 500 times bigger. A mountain is obscured by the curve of the Earth. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1xYOoVQAA_mCv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1xYOoVQAA_mCv.jpg

2018-03-21T20:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976561246416732160

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 400 feet.

2018-03-21T20:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976561027557998592

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 That meme has nothing to do with me. However I have observed boats and

mountains being obscured by the curve of the Earth. So it's curved.

2018-03-21T20:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976560475893784576

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Depends what you mean by "observe curvature". But around 400 feet seems to be the sweet spot for observing the apparent left/right curve of the horizon. You can see it against a straight edge. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1wbnCVAAAVJDt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1wbnCVAAAVJDt.jpg

2018-03-21T20:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976559336523677696

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 I'm not clear what you find outrageous. The effect of the horizon is well documented. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1vexhVwAA8ZA8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1vexhVwAA8ZA8.jpg

2018-03-21T20:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976557247126953984

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Things have moved.

What is the point of your question?

2018-03-21T20:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976555307634577408

@deZabedrosky http://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1r3UiV4AAEyYX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY1r3UiV4AAEyYX.jpg

2018-03-21T20:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976554930151370753

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 So, you accept the answer about stellar parallax?

2018-03-21T20:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976554564190027776

@MGoedblick @AE911Truth NIST's explanation is quoted right there. AE911 said they did not have one, not that they disagreed with it. There's a significant difference.

2018-03-21T20:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976553567380123648

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 and answered

---

2018-03-21T20:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976553515010019328

@ForeverFlatErth @limitedview @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 B) Most stars are very far away, so you can't see it even over centuries. Older observations were not accurate. However it's seen in many near stars

---

2018-03-21T20:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976553494084689920

@ForeverFlatErth @limitedview @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 A) We do observe stellar http://parallax.It's measurable on a yearly basis on some stars, like Barnard's Star. http://www.princeton.edu/~rvdb/tex/BarnardStar/BS.pdf

---

2018-03-21T20:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976552057283919872

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Pick one question. Only one. Ask it. I will answer. You have until 1:20 PDT (9 minutes from now).

2018-03-21T20:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976551878958882816

@ForeverFlatErth @limitedview @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 What is your question?

2018-03-21T20:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976551172243824640

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG

@jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 Saying "they are idiots" is not a rebuttal.

2018-03-21T20:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976550941213118464

@ForeverFlatErth @limitedview @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 So pick one serious question. I will answer it.

2018-03-21T20:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976550108094279680

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 I've shown you that your quote is incorrect, and you refuse to remove it?

2018-03-21T19:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976548293990690817

@ForeverFlatErth @limitedview @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG @jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 I often don't bother with Flat Earth because it's hard to know who is trolling. However if you'd like to ask me a scientific question then I'll give you a scientific answer - and hopefully a practical demonstration.

2018-03-21T19:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976538006550016000

@naomirwolf And marine cloud brightening is happening anyways regardless of the experiments. Ship tracks have been observed for a century. Current MCB experiments do add an immeasurably small amount to this, but provide useful science.

2018-03-21T19:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976537579452420096

@naomirwolf Pretty much all forms of emission into the atmosphere are without your consent. It seems silly to focus on ones that have no actual effect when there are type of pollution that cause actual, massive, harm.

2018-03-21T19:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976536691203715072

@ForeverFlatErth @CalciteCandy @Richarardwayne @MGoedblick @bulkevans @Theflateartherz @GerbusJames @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @SentientApe @DSL1912 @MaxisicalG

@jabbawonger @gpburdon @VerumBellator1 @PaulTheMartin @ADalassio @barmanamar1976 @cat21X @Astroidhalo774 @slayckx @ChrisStoffa69 @WeAreWakinUp @rob46203robert @Reeben18 I noticed your quote is incorrect. JFK says "planet" not "plane". Can you fix this? https://youtu.be/yh0RkrNVl4U?t=14m35s

---

2018-03-21T15:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976484804920197125

@weed_removal @johnvenuebooker @newbelfast @StephenNolan The sweet spot for contrails is around 60-70% RHw. See the levels for yesterday morning. Ireland was just on the edge of this, hence the blue skies, and contrails. As the day progressed humidity increased, so more clouds.

http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/euroupper.php?run=2018032006&var=RH&lev=300mb&hour=000 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY0rXgyU8AAuM2-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DY0rXgyU8AAuM2-.jpg

2018-03-21T15:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/976484221316317184

@weed_removal @johnvenuebooker @newbelfast @StephenNolan It's the weather. The upper-air humidity is just high enough for contrails to form (jet engines add water to the air), but not quite high enough for natural clouds to form. So you get this unusually stark contrast.

2018-03-19T18:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975799974263865344

@TammyJParadis @naomirwolf I'm talking about the sounding rocket launches. I know nothing of this old Canadian case.

2018-03-19T18:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975798721731469313

@TammyJParadis @naomirwolf It's not a military program.

If you want to appeal to secrecy than you can say anything at all you like. But where's the actual evidence? What is the basis of your belief?

2018-03-19T18:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975798176878706688

@naomirwolf Tens of millions of pounds of aluminum released. Why not big outcry? Because it's not really toxic and there's much more just in the dirt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYq69LnVoAASc5O.jpg

Table 6-1. Releases to the Environment from Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Aluminum (Fume or Dust)[a]

		Reported amounts released in pounds per year[b]							
							Total release		
State[c]	RF[d]	Air[e]	Water[f]	UI[g]	Land[h]	Other[i]	On-site[j]	Off-site[k]	On- and off-site
AK	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
AL	4	2,453	0	0	45,887	48,344	2,453	45,887	48,340
AR	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	750	750
AZ	4	7,167	0	0	230,729	237,900	7,217	230,679	237,896
CA	16	182,017	0	0	1,662,654	1,844,688	1,802,363	42,364	1,844,727
CO	1	1,500	0	0	53,058	54,559	1,500	53,058	54,558
CT	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
FL	5	1,624	0	0	23	1,652	1,624	23	1,647
GA	10	37,680	0	0	108,219	145,909	37,680	108,871	146,551
IA	8	11,570	0	0	43,052	54,630	11,570	43,052	54,622
ID	2	2,864	0	0	653,345	656,211	518,203	138,006	656,209
IL	16	62,008	0	0	520,607	582,631	62,008	525,882	587,890
IN	29	149,220	0	0	10,023,429	10,172,678	149,225	10,024,174	10,173,399
KS	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
KY	13	254,892	0	0	2,799,380	3,054,285	2,419,281	634,991	3,054,272
LA	4	1,184	0	0	13	1,201	1,197	0	1,197
MA	2	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	0	0
MD	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
MI	16	17,862	0	0	1,215,365	1,233,243	17,862	1,224,508	1,242,370
MN	6	58,268	0	0	157,233	215,507	58,268	157,277	215,545
MO	8	29,495	0	0	1,941,390	1,970,893	1,828,685	7,037,274	8,865,959
MS	1	0	0	0	550	551	0	550	550
NC	8	62,432	0	0	55,340	117,780	63,182	54,590	117,772
NE	2	0	0	0	31,105	31,107	21,263	9,842	31,105
NJ	6	4,413	0	0	5,222	9,641	4,413	5,222	9,635
NM	1	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	0	0
NV	4	259	0	0	330,084	330,347	330,343	0	330,343
NY	7	58,438	312	0	198,222	256,979	60,436	213,027	273,463
OH	33	50,159	2	0	2,170,512	2,220,706	253,161	2,237,892	2,491,053
OK	9	9,654	0	0	447,920	457,583	10,416	447,158	457,574
OR	4	646	0	0	1,295	1,945	646	1,295	1,941
PA	23	8,594	0	0	294,833	303,450	8,866	317,627	326,493
RI	1	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	0	0
SC	4	7,841	0	0	750	8,595	7,841	1,500	9,341
TN	21	93,021	0	0	887,911	980,953	185,281	795,651	980,932
TX	19	89,879	0	0	7,670,584	7,760,481	2,590,719	5,171,544	7,762,262

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYq69LnVoAASc5O.jpg

2018-03-19T18:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975797296448159744

@naomirwolf Did you know that int the 5 million kilograms of aluminum per year is deposited from the atmosphere into Lake Michigan?

If you are worried about aluminum then look at A) natural atmospheric loading (dust), and B) releases from industry and agriculture. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22-c6.pdf

---

2018-03-19T18:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975796006473248769

@naomirwolf Fireworks contribute thousands (if not millions) of times more lithium to the air you breath. Sounding rockets are not a source of pollution. It's a red herring.

2018-03-19T18:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975794562718253056

@naomirwolf I'm basing it on the number of launches, listed here.

https://sites.wff.nasa.gov/code810/pastmissions.html

2018-03-19T17:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975794014547992576

@naomirwolf Alumina is the byproduct of rocket motors burning aluminum. CARE released 66kg of it. Industry makes 115 million tonnes a year. Aluminum is the most abundant metallic element in the Earth's crust. It's everywhere.

2018-03-19T17:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975792516824948737

@naomirwolf The full amount of the releases is limited by the sizes of the rockets, which carry about a pound, and the number of launches. So we are talking a about a few pounds a year. Compare with hundred of tons of metals from meteorites, and thousands from industrial pollution.

2018-03-19T17:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975790658693644290

@naomirwolf And again, there's VASTLY more of those metals released in the atmosphere, much closer to you, in fireworks. https://www.thoughtco.com/elements-in-fireworks-607342

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYq0ZZHUQAU3fuR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYq0ZZHUQAU3fuR.jpg

2018-03-19T17:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975790321333256192

@naomirwolf Here's some detail on what the sounding rockets are for, and what they do.

https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/sounding-rockets/index.html

---

2018-03-19T17:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975790079011467264

@naomirwolf They are not spraying us. They occasionally release a tiny amount in near-space. It does nothing to the weather and does not change the background amounts of those elements. Focus on REAL pollution.

2018-03-19T17:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975789592858083328

@naomirwolf To be better able to describe and discuss them.

2018-03-19T17:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975789388297789440

@naomirwolf What you saw with the naked eye was probably the shadow the cloud was casting on another cloud layer. Like this one. The limning is what is a camera reflection.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYqzCsoVQAA0Wd_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYqzCsoVQAA0Wd_.jpg

2018-03-19T14:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975737322787319808

30 years ago, in 1988, I predicted the most common question I would ask Amazon's Alexa. It was part of a contest to predict the future. Only difference was I called her "Alice". Pretty close!

http://mickwest.com/2007/01/11/the-it2010-competition/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYqDI2vVAAAIN6L.jpg

A HOUSE LIKE ALICE

A House Like Alice

Bob Mortan looks at the very latest developments in the integrated house.

My house is called Alice. It seems a bit strange to give a house a name, but Alice is no ordinary four walls and a roof, Alice has a mind of her own. Built by Faberman Developments, Alice is part of a luxury development aimed at the top end of the house buying market. It is the house that has everything.

Actually Alice is not my house, I was invited to spend the weekend in it by a nice man from Faberman who claimed he was the press officer. It seemed like too good an opportunity to miss, so on friday I collected the keys and went to see Alice.

From the outside Alice looks like any other luxury house, large and expensive. The first difference you notice is when you try to get in. As soon as I put my key in the lock a smooth female voice said "Welcome home Bob".

"Hello", I replied, struggling to turn the key, "Can I come in?"

"Of course Bob, I just had to check your voice prints, come in."

With that the lock gave a click and the door swung open. The man from Faberman thought it was a good idea that I should review the house with no prior knowledge, but he could have told me that the lock was an electronic one disguised as a normal one, with a microchip embedded in the imitation key.

I picked up my case and cautiously entered the house. The lights had come on as soon as the door opened. To my surprise the house looked like any other luxury house from the inside as well as outside. But you could feel the difference, you could feel the gently gaze of Alice's watchful eye as she followed your every move, you could feel the tension of her constant anticipation of your next command.

Right, I thought, let's try her out.

"Alice?", I said.

"Yes Bob", she replied after the slightest of pauses.

"What time is it?", I asked, starting with something simple.

"The time is nineteen thirty three"

Well, that seemed to work well enough, I wandered into the lounge and sat down on the leather couch. A large blank video screen covered much of the the wall in front of me.

"Turn the TV on", I said.

The screen lit up, it was some nature program on BBC1.

"Turn over".

"What channel would you prefer?" Alice asked, "or would you like a film?"

"What films do you have?"

The screen flicked and a long list of film titles appeared.

"I have seventy films on store, What would you like"

"Black Oak", my favourite ninetys classic.

The screen flicked again and the opening scene of the film came up and the music drifted through the hidden speakers. I watched the first five minutes of the film then got bored with it and told Alice to turn it off. Then I went to explore the rest of the house.

The Kitchen looked like any other kitchen, with the addition of a square door set in one wall. this turned out to be the dumb waiter. I asked Alice for some fish and chips and five minutes later the door slid back to reveal a plate of perfectly done battered cod and fries. Alice has a large automated freezer in the basement that is fully stocked with pre-cooked meals. A robotic arm selects the meal that you want and puts it in a high powered microwave oven to heat it up. Then the arm puts the meal in the lift and sends it up. Your meal is ready in a matter of minutes.

The bathroom is very high tech. Everything is done by voice. You tell Alice to turn on the taps and what temperature you want the water to be at. The bathroom scales give a voice output of your weight, this is stored by Alice if you are on a diet so that she can keep track of your progress and monitor your meals to check that your calorific input is correct. Even the toilet flushes automatically when you have finished. Any nasty smells are quickly wisked away by Alice through her air conditioning.

In the bedroom Alice is not so evident, but she is still there. I told her to wake me up at eight in the morning. She turned out the lights and drew all the curtains for me. At eight she woke me up and then obliged my request for ten minutes more. I got up and went to the bathroom. On returning to get dressed I found a small cleaning robot attacking my socks which I had carelessly dropped on the carpet. I tapped the bot and it scuttled off.

I wandered into the games room. A giant screen dominated one wall of the room with a console in the centre of the room. I sat down and put on the 3D specs. I selected 'Grourauxians' from the menu and was immediately flung into a different world. The seat lurched under me and explosions reverberated around me as I shot down aliens which zoomed towards me in perfect colour 3D. These games were as good as any you would find in the best video arcades.

I went back into the lounge and read the morning paper on the main screen. Then I dictated a letter to Alice to send by email.I lost a few games of chess to her, but beat her at poker. When the time came at last to leave the house, I felt that Alice had become my friend and I was sorry to have to leave her, never to meet again. Alice is the perfect computerised integrated house. Everything you could want from the house is there. Unfortunately all this technology does not come cheap. Alice will cost £200,000. However this is just the first of a range of integrated houses from Faberman, and you can expect this sort of integration of computers into your homes to become the standard by 2020. But for now it is just a rich man's toy.-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYqDI2vVAAAIN6L.jpg

2018-03-19T13:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975730741618270209

@KYcanoe @naomirwolf @nickmyer Oil on water iridescence thin film interference.

Cloud iridescence is water particle size diffraction.

Two entirely different physical processes.

2018-03-19T05:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975606674542116864

Contrail in a rippled sky. 1987. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoM4_3VQAklm50.jpg

---



▲ 12.5 (Beamsville, 7/12/87, 16:15 PM)

12.5 Sometimes contrails partake visibly of other motions in the air. They have been seen to take up the shape of a mountain wave. In this case the trail was formed in or very close to a layer of cirrus in which billows were developing. One part appears to be wound up in the billow vortices, but the winding did not go further than is seen in the picture.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoM4_3VQAklm50.jpg

2018-03-19T05:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975603148445294597

@naomirwolf Kelvin Helmholtz clouds are not a new type of cloud, they are just getting a new latin name: "Fluctus". They used to be just called "billow clouds". Cloud names have been progressively refined over the years. Not new types, just new ways of classifying them.

2018-03-19T05:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975599309730140160

@naomirwolf Clouds move at the speed of the wind at that altitude, regardless of what they are made of. However sometime they are constantly reforming in the exact same spot, like lenticular clouds. Try taking a time-lapse to see exactly what is happening.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SOupoW8qlps

2018-03-19T05:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975598342309756928

@naomirwolf It is not "mirrored in the haze", it's a reflection either in the camera, or a window. Nothing to do with the sky at all. You can just see the reflection of a street light in one region. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoFcM1VQAATES7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoFcM1VQAATES7.jpg
2018-03-19T04:50:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/975595324466081792
@naomirwolf Those are sounding rockets in the lower reaches of space, not in the atmosphere where weather happens. They are only a "cloud" in the loosest sense. Vastly less barium and strontium is released than in a typical fireworks display. This has been openly done since the 1960s http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoCleRU8AETaaJ.jpg

Seven Rockets Fired From Wallops Island

WALLOPS ISLAND, Va. (AP) —Seven Nike-Apache sounding rockets sped out from this space agency testing base last night and early today — four laying pink and red clouds, three carrying experimental capsules.

The sodium vapor cloud shots were part of the National Aeronautics and Space Agency's continuing program of gathering data on wind directions and speeds at various atltitudes.

The experimental capsules were flown for the University of Illinois to measure changes in the ionosphere occurring in the change over from night time to daytime conditions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoCleRU8AETaaJ.jpg
2018-03-19T04:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/975594282688987138
@naomirwolf They are essentially minor tweaks to the classification system. There are no new Genera (the 10 main divisions) None of them are especially new. The newest would probably be the contrail, which has been around since 1918. Here they are in context.
https://www.metabunk.org/there-are-no-new-clouds-just-new-latin-classifications.t8521/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoBsHQUQAAU03R.jpg

Genera	*Species*	*Varieties*	*Supplementary features and accessory clouds*	*Mother-clouds and special clouds* (most commonly occurring mother-clouds are listed in the same order as genera)	
	(listed by frequency of observation)			*Genitus*	*Mutatus*
Cirrus	fibratus uncinus spissatus castellanus floccus	intortus radiatus vertebratus duplicatus	mamma fluctus	Cirrocumulus Altocumulus Cumulonimbus Homo	Cirrostratus Homo
Cirrocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus	undulatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum	-	Cirrus Cirrostratus Altocumulus Homo
Cirrostratus	fibratus nebulosus	duplicatus undulatus	-	Cirrocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrus Cirrocumulus Altostratus Homo
Altocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum fluctus asperitas	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus
Altostratus	-	translucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus	virga praecipitatio pannus mamma	Altocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrostratus Nimbostratus
Nimbostratus	-	-	praecipitatio virga pannus	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Altostratus Stratocumulus
Stratocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma praecipitatio fluctus asperitas cavum	Altostratus Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Nimbostratus Stratus
Stratus	nebulosus fractus	opacus translucidus undulatus	praecipitatio fluctus	Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus Homo Silva Cataracta	Stratocumulus
Cumulus	humilis mediocris congestus fractus	radiatus	virga praecipitatio pileus velum arcus pannus fluctus tuba	Altocumulus Stratocumulus Flamma Homo Cataracta	Stratocumulus Stratus
Cumulonimbus	calvus capillatus	-	praecipitatio virga pannus incus mamma pileus velum arcus murus cauda flumen tuba	Altocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus Cumulus Flamma Homo	Cumulus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoBsHQUQAAU03R.jpg
2018-03-19T04:41:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/975593003602464769
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PanTransHuman @PersonAwake @DKeithClimate That cloud seeding looks very different to contrails. Contrails are huge ice clouds, usually from large jets, at high altitudes, often in blue skies, with a gap. Cloud seeding is a flare and a bit of smoke, small plane, in a cloud, no gap. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoAEyxUMAAqNUF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYoAEyxUMAAqNUF.jpg
2018-03-19T00:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/975528911609069568
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PanTransHuman @PersonAwake @DKeithClimate You can see faint trails close up - some smoke from the flare. But nothing at all like a contrail. https://youtu.be/nwonVY_cNS4?t=3m21s http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYnGJaGU0AEp7hf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYnGJaGU0AEp7hf.jpg
2018-03-19T00:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/975527858222153728

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PanTransHuman @PersonAwake @DKeithClimate Cloud-seeding is done with flares on small planes, usually above or in clouds. It's hard to see anything even from the planes themselves. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYnFKwHU8AAflvp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYnFKwHU8AAflvp.jpg

2018-03-18T05:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/975245799541022720

@PanTransHuman @cmnit @naomirwolf @PersonAwake @DKeithClimate The found the "signature" because A) those were the only things they tested for. and B) those elements are found in dirt, roughly in those proportions.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-footprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYjEpFqU8AE5G8W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DYjEpFqU8AE5G8W.jpg

2018-03-16T19:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974725488974340096

@devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @HybridHumans144 @PostDisclosure Got to get the numbers right first. Otherwise it's GIGO.

2018-03-16T18:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974714127053594629

@powerm1985 If you are going to SacsayhuamÃ¡n, take a taxi. The walk up looks short on the map but it will kill you. Walk down is nice though.

2018-03-16T18:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974707572065296384

@devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @HybridHumans144 @PostDisclosure You might have noticed that there are a variety of different numbers there for the speed. Metabunk has arrive at around 30-40 knots using the ATFLIR angles, the jet altitude, the TAS, and the RNG. This value is consistent with bird or balloon.

2018-03-16T17:45:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974703287092957184

@UfoSunday @HybridHumans144 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure I say bird? balloon? It's not an either/or - it's two possibilities that does not exclude other possibilities

---

2018-03-16T17:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974694836010762240

@UfoSunday @HybridHumans144 @devgru1980mi @PostDisclosure What's the point of a convincing recreation if it's not accurate? The first step is to establish a range of values for where it is, how big it is, and how fast it is going.

2018-03-16T10:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974589115936026625

@HybridHumans144 @devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure From the info on screen in the video. In this thread. Jet true airspeed is 369 knots, then use RNG, and angles. https://www.metabunk.org/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird-balloon.t9569/

2018-03-16T05:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974518878905688064

@PostDisclosure @UfoSunday Context? Some pilots whooping about getting a radar lock while traveling at 400mph? The same people later not being able to figure out why an IR glare was rotating? What context am I missing?

2018-03-16T01:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974460430667018240

@HybridHumans144 @devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure They simply seem excited about locking their ATFLIR on on a difficult target. It's difficult because THEY were moving fast. The speed of the object has been calculated as much slower than the jet.

2018-03-15T21:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974398552653758464

@devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure I'd need a rather more detailed rebuttal than "nope" before I try again.

2018-03-15T21:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974389793202233345

@devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure The angles and closing velocity indicate it's not going very fast. Around 35 knots. So wind speed.

2018-03-15T20:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974388805619793920

@PostDisclosure @UfoSunday You say "forced". I say "naturally conclude it is a possibility"

2018-03-15T20:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/974388354279092225

@devgru1980mi @UfoSunday @PostDisclosure That would make a rather large number of people who would have to stop talking....

I can experience the video, so I can analyze the video. The pilots would not be able to see it with the naked eye, so it's not too different.

2018-03-13T20:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973659808820678656

@PostDisclosure @adamgoldsack @Keithmayoh @MergeReport1 @matthabermehl @brumacc People draw that line in different places. It's the conspiracy theory demarcation problem. I've got a section on it in my book. The 9/11 folk are annoyed that I debunk chemtrails AND 9/11. Heck one 9/11 guy was annoyed I was addressing UFO.

2018-03-12T23:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973332811217190912

@JeremyCorbell Being specific is necessary to make progress. I can't spend much time on the GO FAST video as I'm busy writing my book. Nothing to do with UFOs unfortunately.

2018-03-12T22:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973330909289095168

@JeremyCorbell Joe was referring to the calculation of the change in speed at the end of the vid. Some people did not notice the change in zoom and so interpreted the apparent change in speed as an actual change in speed. So i debunked that small bit. The nature of the object remains unknown.

2018-03-12T22:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973324220653432832

"This has been debunked. You know who debunked it? Whatshisface, Mick West"

https://youtu.be/Riixniwgv7E?t=1m22s

2018-03-12T22:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973321217540173824

@geoffboller @Oswald1160 @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @BartJJSimpson7 @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @phiroc @InfoIsGood

@DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BadBuc99 @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots They topic was not "Sandy Hook", the topic was if a teacher and 15 kids could fit in a bathroom. Your post clearly did not stick to that topic.

2018-03-12T21:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/973309535858212864

@Oswald1160 @geoffboller @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @BartJJSimpson7 @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @phiroc @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BadBuc99 @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots Metabunk has strict posting guidelines. Many people get their posts removed because they want to list multiple claims of evidence when the guidelines say you have to focus on one.
https://www.metabunk.org/posting-guidelines.t2064/

2018-03-11T18:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972899072616075271

@geoffboller @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @BartJJSimpson7 @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @phiroc @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BadBuc99 @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots @Its_Stationary You said "he pays people to do this". That is false. I asked for people to tell their stories for inclusion in my upcoming book "Escaping the Rabbit Hole". I have not paid anyone, nor will I.

2018-03-11T18:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972898433773129728

@HomeoReikiDogs Metabunk costs me $50 a month to run, for server costs. I pay it myself.

2018-03-11T18:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972897905408327680

@ForeverFlatErth @joerogan â□□For funâ□□ is part of the reason I debunk things that are false. I debunk official narratives too, like the Cuba embassy sonic weapon

---

2018-03-11T17:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972880964463468544

@geoffboller @siirii @facebones777 @chasfax @KingAntifa1 @ForeverFlatErth @Tinman_73 @lennartregebro @GrumFromNorwich @BartJJSimpson7 @skeptropolis @whoopsbuni @globe_earther @limitedview @_Gravity_Man @phiroc @InfoIsGood @DarkMat09403687 @NEERAJ_AGARWAL_ @RanWiz @nutsyLFC @hugh_bothwell @FlatSlugbrains @Triaxe666 @ABranesample @BadBuc99 @BlagenLogin @tino2199 @Hamaya42920579 @Rcomian @rokro11 @VerumBellator1 @TakeThatDarwin @bob35039695 @Rjinswand @TheWrongQuest @WeAreWakinUp @RatJohnny @newitsgun @IronRealmMedia @Theflateartherz @barmanamar1976 @globe_4_life @CFBKEW @DeanKo @FlatEarthCity @ItsFlatFolks @HomeoReikiDogs @SpeakToMeInDots @Its_Stationary Nobody pays me. I don't pay anyone. I'm a retired video game programmer who investigates conspiracy theories because it's interesting.

2018-03-10T02:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972295752318767104

@Frank87270541 @GrodyObservant @PostDisclosure If a bird just looks like a fast moving white dot then how are you supposed to recognize it?
And "former government people" are not infallible, or immune to falling for hype.

2018-03-10T00:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972272423948099584

@GrodyObservant @PostDisclosure The bird is not moving fast. It's just parallax. The jet with the camera is moving fast, at 45Â° to the line of sight to the object. The object is half way between the jet and the ocean. So it looks like it is moving fast. But it's just parallax.

2018-03-10T00:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972264404417949696

@devgru1980mi @OurStrangeSkies @Chuckthefreak @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YdIEY1Ska_U&lc

---

2018-03-10T00:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/972263684545245184

Tom DeLonge's new UFO video is probably just a bird, and the parallax effect.
https://www.metabunk.org/go-fast-footage-from-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-academy-bird.t9569/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DX4slXYVoAAtUv3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DX4slXYVoAAtUv3.jpg

2018-03-09T03:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/971956629217329152

@JunkScience If there's no crime then why are so many people lying about it?

2018-03-08T20:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/971844824469942272

A novel demonstration of an aspect of the World Trade Center collapse. I use a chain embedded in a cylinder of ice to simulate a fire-heated floor truss. This shows how a sagging structure pulls in the ends due to catenary forces, even when fairly stiff.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zTygQK3vriI

2018-03-07T03:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/971230247667384320

@philo_sofa Intuition in physics varies quite a bit. Most people just donâ□□t think about such things.

2018-03-06T22:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/971148456373886976

Some fun science experiments this morning. Trying to demonstrate why a floor that sags in a fire will pull in the walls on either side. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6obTq2UrCiU

2018-03-06T00:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/970814792330919937

@thewoody This article on that case sums up some of my thoughts on investigating "unexplainable" phenomena.

https://www.csicop.org/sb/show/curated_crowdsourcing_in_ufo_investigations

---

2018-03-06T00:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/970814425090396166

@thewoody Take the Chilean UFO example. If we didn't have the radar tracks for the planes in the area, then lots of people would say that's unexplained, even though the "it's a plane"

explanation is still just as reasonable without the radar data.

2018-03-06T00:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/970813890996051968

@thewoody My experience has been that most of the "verified unexplained" events eventually have a reasonable explanation. So if there's one that does not, it's probably because some information is lacking.

2018-03-05T23:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/970796174465630211

@thewoody No.

2018-03-05T22:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/970796082862080000

@thewoody No. Even highly trained experts misinterpret things sometimes. A rare loss of situational awareness seems at least as likely as an encounter with an advanced unknown technology.

2018-03-03T07:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/969840615499251712

@CJlegalBeagle @wordbird67 @tom_truthful Newly fallen? It was sampled on July 8th.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXWQ2nWU8AAZvR4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXWQ2nWU8AAZvR4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXWQtEaU0AAMl0R.jpg

2018-02-28T15:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968870458740301824

@airgun21 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=23MZqf3r5jg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXIehWgVAAAq7oi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXIehWgVAAAq7oi.jpg

2018-02-28T15:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968870217270009856

@SportStarPhotos @AircraftSpots @TheAviationist @thewarzonewire Bird.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=23MZqf3r5jg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXIeSOHVoAEuLYT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXIeSOHVoAEuLYT.jpg
2018-02-28T02:01:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/968667421472038912
@eenor23 "reframe with the facts." I like that!

2018-02-27T18:12:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/968549497486721024
@naomirwolf "Climate optimal aircraft routing" = adjusting flights based on the weather to have a "good" effect on the climate (cooling rather than warming). Not done yet. Uncertainty as to cost and extra CO2 emissions from longer/lower routes.
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/met.1291/full

2018-02-27T18:09:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/968548655794765824
@naomirwolf There's lots of research into it, going back over 20 years.
https://scholar.google.com/scholar?oi=gsb40&q=radiative%20forcing%20contrails&lookup=0&hl=en

Note "radiative forcing" is the difference between the Earth's incoming heat from the Sun, and the outgoing heat. Many factors are involved, and it's greatly complicated by different wavelengths.

2018-02-27T18:05:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/968547658875809793
@naomirwolf Contrail induced radiative forcing has been though to be a component for many year. It's certainly not THE cause though, but it's part of the problem. It's not really a "clean"

problem, the contrails are made of water. The best solution would be to route air traffic differently.

2018-02-27T16:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968526283838640128

@naomirwolf Warming, As the article you linked explained. The AMOUNT of warming has some uncertainty.

2018-02-27T16:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968525578847465472

@AdamMenhennett @TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye Cats are very flexible. They would eat the toast.

2018-02-27T05:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968357755642896384

@TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye It does not go behind any street poles, just trees.
I call it "glare" to distinguish from lens flare, which is a very different thing.

2018-02-27T04:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968347095680929794

@TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye It'ss a similar effect to this:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-clouds-appear-behind-the-sun-and-moon.t7084/

Basically it's the tip of the sun, and it's very bright, so it looks behind the more solid things like tree trunks and houses, and in front of the less solid things, like branches and twigs.

2018-02-27T04:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/968346103438561282

@TheOutThere2016 @hoaxeye It's not that complicated. It's just glare. Where the trees are thin enough they appear fully transparent. Notice the tree right after zooming in.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXBBnwJXcAAPttI.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DXBBnwJXcAAPttI.jpg

2018-02-26T00:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967913832805904385

@ShepardAmbellas Like this one: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW64d5uVoAIOLMg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW64d5uVoAIOLMg.jpg

2018-02-26T00:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967913497450315776

@ShepardAmbellas Probably a Grand Canyon tour helicopter.

2018-02-25T23:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967905310391222272

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate @GernotWagner Which documents specifically?

2018-02-25T23:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967905151015989251

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate Here's the actual details of the experiment.

https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00015.1

2018-02-25T23:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967904450139496448

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate Here's a good overview of the topic, with a section on governance. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marine_cloud_brightening

---

2018-02-25T23:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967903157798891520

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate White roofs is a method of changing the reflectivity of the earth by encouraging everyone to paint their roofs white. it's more an energy saving thing than geoengineering. ETC tends to lump a lot of things as "geoengineering" http://www.etcgroup.org/content/white-roofs-black-dust-and-slippery-slopes

---

2018-02-25T23:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967902536924504064

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate No, no tests other than the marine cloud one. Arguably one in Russian with a bit of smoke from a helicopter. But that's barely relevant, and only mentioned for completeness.

Other than that, no.

2018-02-25T23:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967902024443486208

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate Not of high altitude spraying no. There was one test of low altitude spraying of marine clouds (clouds over the ocean), a few years ago.

2018-02-25T23:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967901765046648832

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate The study is a lot more detailed than asking one question. We asked contrail experts about four images of trails in the sky that people often present as evidence of geoengineering.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW6tfYmUQAAJipF.jpg



Figure 2. Presented with four different images of trails behind aircraft (a)–(d), experts uniformly responded that a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP) was not the most parsimonious explanation for the depicted phenomena (pie charts). In each case, the stacked bars show the experts' most common alternative explanations. The photo in part (b) has been reproduced with permission from Forest M. Mims III.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW6tfYmUQAAJipF.jpg

2018-02-25T23:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967901265811263488

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate They would all say: yes, of course geoengineering poses risks. That's why we have not done it yet.

2018-02-25T23:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967900475864444928
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate No actual deployment or large scale tests of stratospheric spraying though. There are things like carbon sequestration, white roofs, ocean fertilizations. There's lots of computer modeling going on, but no high altitude spraying.

2018-02-25T23:11:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967899769921142784
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate My role. I'm a writer. I write about contrails and the "chemtrail" theory quite often. I run the site "Contrail Science", I'm an author on this peer reviewed paper on evidence for a secret spraying program.
http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2018-02-25T23:09:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967899266155921409
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate One kilogram total, of " a fine mist of materials such as sulfur dioxide, alumina, or calcium carbonate", from a balloon.
https://www.technologyreview.com/s/603974/harvard-scientists-moving-ahead-on-plans-for-atmospheric-geoengineering-experiments/

2018-02-25T23:06:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967898687102902272
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate J. Marvin Herndon, the guy that you mentioned earlier. who got some papers published on coal fly ash. He's a scientist, yet he did not notice the trails AT ALL until 2014.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW6qswpVoAAK7-_.jpg

---

actually "contrails," the formation of water/ice condensation occasionally created by high-altitude aircraft [47].

Let me add the words of a humble naturalist and scientist practiced in observation. I have lived in the same house since 1977 and viewed the same area of the sky nearly every day. After the morning marine layer burns off, the sky in San Diego, California, USA, is often cloudless; rain is infrequent here. Over the years, I have seen on the average less than a single contrail a year, and always at great distance. Recently, though, sometimes for several days in a row, the sky is filled with chemtrails. Figures 1.7, 1.8, and 1.9, August 24, 2014 photographs of the area of sky I regularly observe, show chemtrails, not contrails



Figure 1.7 Chemtrails crossing. Note the more dispersed chemtrail terminated as the spray cut off in an environment where chemtrails continued to form, which shows these are *not* H2O-based contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DW6qswpVoAAK7-_.jpg
2018-02-25T23:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967897490396626944
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate But the photographic record shows similar skies in years past. Plus there's no actual evidence that anyone is doing any high altitude spraying.

2018-02-25T23:00:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967897145129910272
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate Chemtrails is the popular name for the what people think are the persistent spreading trails left by covert geoengineering. It's a quote popular theory. The evidence does not hold up to scrutiny though.,

---

2018-02-25T22:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/967896120465620992
@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate "under a kilogram". A negligible amount.

Governance is important, regulations will be necessary. But this is just some really simple science that will obviously not affect anyone.

2018-02-25T22:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967895669829648384

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate When did you start really paying attention though? Lots of people don't really remember much about the sky until they get interested in the chemtrails theory. I know I didn't. But the photographic and satellite record show no such "bizarre" change.

2018-02-25T22:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967894597585199105

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate There has been no "bizarre alteration of the sky over Manhattan" other than an increase in the number of contrails over the last few decades due mostly to the increase in air traffic.

2018-02-25T22:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967894164959461376

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate " a fine mist of materials such as sulfur dioxide, alumina, or calcium carbonate
"

"He stressed that the experiments would have negligible environment impacts, as they will involve no more than a kilogram of materials."

https://www.technologyreview.com/s/603974/harvard-scientists-moving-ahead-on-plans-for-atmospheric-geoengineering-experiments/

2018-02-25T22:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967893743184441345

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @Er_Nope @DKeithClimate The current planned experiments involve spraying only two pounds of essentially harmless material. It's pointless to be concerned about that when the industry emits 15 million tons of particulates a year.

2018-02-24T03:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967247703829704704

@naomirwolf @cmnit @PersonAwake @DKeithClimate The photo shows contrail cirrus, not the result of deliberate spraying.

2018-02-23T22:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/967160705685381126

@charliewalsh9 @cocktail2Funk @RealGeoEngWatch @mirageLTD @ufo_seekers @Sheilaaliens @socalskywatch @TheCheefReport @PamJonesLiberty @camillevaldez10 It's graupel.
https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/capital-weather-gang/wp/2014/12/05/graupel-the-wintry-precipitation-youve-never-heard-of/?utm_term=.d49a79739023
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWwLYpXU0AABNYz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWwLYpXU0AABNYz.jpg

2018-02-21T02:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966133729100931072

@TacBrahs They sure are. They get used all the time for training drills. That's how first responders train for things like active shooters and bombs going odd. There's several companies, or some organizations just use their own staff. http://crisiscast.com/

2018-02-20T23:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966086903983357952

@ChandlerDon018 See also:
https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

2018-02-20T23:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966086648478842880

@naomirwolf @rokro11 @bosma2002 @StephenSound (Oops, RVSM) Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum. Planes can fly within 1000ft of each other. Old limits were 2000 feet. You can get twice as many planes in the same airspace at contrail altitude.
The atmosphere has not changed significantly, weather is bigger variation factor

---

2018-02-20T23:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966085292355567616

@naomirwolf @rokro11 @bosma2002 @StephenSound There's a lot more air traffic, a lot more routes, more short hop commuter and private jets, more planes packed into the upper air (RSVM), and modern jet engines have cooler exhaust, so make more contrails. Plus, you're looking now.

2018-02-20T22:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966082104848084992

@rokro11 @bosma2002 @StephenSound @naomirwolf Contrails drift downwards because they are ice crystal clouds. As the size of the ice crystals grow in humid cold air, they sink. If they sink into slower moving air they spread out, smeared across the sky.

2018-02-20T22:42:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/966080583913754624

@rokro11 @bosma2002 The vertical line is perpendicular to the surface. The "Surface level" line is tangential to the surface below the viewer. The "Eye Level" line is parallel to that. Zoom out a bit and move around: https://www.metabunk.org/curve/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWg1JeTVQAAp0NJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWg1JeTVQAAp0NJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWg06igU0AAFfyl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWg00qPVQAA8hER.jpg

2018-02-20T03:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965790894577238018

@rokro11 @bosma2002 They are at right angles to vertical. So are not pitched down.

2018-02-19T14:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965593027640086529

@rokro11 The eye level and surface level lines are not slanting down. They are tangential to the surface below the observer. It's the line to the horizon that slopes down.

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWZ5UWJVMAAUIiB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWZ5UWJVMAAUIiB.jpg

2018-02-18T19:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965309311307034624

@TrishaDishes @spannishchicken How would chemtrails work IN SPACE?

2018-02-18T14:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965228878925934594

@sutnical The diagram does not correspond to the numbers, it's just an illustration of what they mean. You can drag the points around to investigate how changes affect what can be seen.

2018-02-18T14:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965228511790157824

@spannishchicken David Keith has never sprayed anything AFAIK. It's all theoretical.

The CFR discussion have all been about possible FUTURE geoengineering.

2018-02-18T05:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965090562138255360

@CIMSS_Satellite @webbery @yulsman @DiscoverMag There's an interesting discussion on the formation mechanisms and ideal conditions for ship trails here, from "Spacious Skies", 1989.

Old satellite photos from '83 and '84. Perhaps outdated, but still fascinating.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWSwJ8AU8AArrCd.jpg



SHIP TRAILS

Ship trails are a rather rare phenomenon which was discovered by satellite. As far as we know they occur mostly in the eastern North Pacific and in the north and east of the North Atlantic Ocean. A survey of the North Atlantic for six years showed that they occur not very regularly and on the average about once every six weeks.

The trails occur only in a particular type of cloud. This is shallow, capped by a stable layer, with rather weak cellular convection, which appears to be caused in the same way as in ordinary layers of cloud except that the cloud is dull and full of small holes; and because the holes may be smaller than a pixel, the cloud's appearance is a mixture of the cloud and the surface beneath. This is not the full explanation, because even where the layer is complete it is still not as bright white as usual. It therefore seems that it is composed of a smaller number of droplets of larger than normal size, and this gives it a reduced albedo (the degree of whiteness). This implies that the air in which the cloud is formed has a deficiency of condensation nuclei and the cloud water has condensed on fewer but larger droplets.

The effluent from a ship's chimney contains a vast number of nuclei, probably many more than 20,000 in every cubic centimetre of exhaust. These nuclei are diffused (by the cellular motion already referred to) throughout the cells into which they are introduced by the ship, after the buoyancy of the hot gas has carried them up to the cloud top. The result is that the number of droplets is greatly increased and the cloud becomes bright white, like ordinary cloud, where it has been polluted.

We do not see trails in ordinary cloud because they already have a plenitude of condensation nuclei. We have not seen ship trails in air which has recently been over a continent: thus there have not been any in the Mediterranean or off the east coast of North America.

Where does the very clean air deficient in nuclei come from?

In the Pacific there are 'Ocean Deserts' in the middle of almost permanent anticyclones where there is no life in the surface water because of lack of nutrients. In these places such nuclei as may originally have been in the air have fallen out, and have not been replaced either by nuclei of biological origin or by particles of salt spray because the ocean is so persistently calm.

There are no such areas in the Atlantic and it appears most likely that the clean air comes from the frozen Arctic, mostly via the Davis Strait, but occasionally from the Greenland Sea, between Greenland and Spitzbergen. Two of the very rare occurences of trails in the North Sea were supplied that way. The Arctic air must travel slowly, for otherwise salt spray is produced; and it must be subsiding for otherwise the gusty winds of showers in such cold air would do the same.

While no measurements have yet been made in the clouds in which ship trails appear, the consistency of 'the story so far' gives confidence that future discoveries will not contradict it. Even so, we are sure there is much more to be discovered about the trails. The persistence of the trails, their narrowness many hours after formation, and their great length, tell us that the dispersion of pollution is sometimes – perhaps often – much slower than had previously been thought.

171

A most interesting feature of ship trails is that they persist for many hours and widen and disperse very slowly by comparison with air pollution over land. In some cases they have remained for as long as thirty hours. This carries an important message for those studying the transport of pollution across the sea: it means that the incineration of chemical waste at sea may produce quite high concentrations of obnoxious fumes which may reach the coast or pollute a passing ship. Pollution trapped beneath an inversion over land may travel, with very little dilution across a sea, and arrive at the other side almost in the same condition as when it first arrived over the sea at the opposite coast.

▲ 12.19 (17/8/83, 16:24 PM, 2)

12.19 Ship trails in the North Atlantic, at 60 deg N 32 deg W seen here are well to the north of the main shipping routes. This picture illustrates the typical cellular cloud which is made much whiter by the provision of a large number of condensation nuclei by passing ships.

The cloud cellular structure of droplets (not the amount of liquid water) is greatly increased. The width of this picture represents 500km (311 miles).

◄ 12.20 (8/2/84, 15:54 PM, 2)

12.20 Here we see copious ship trails on the much frequented lanes off the west coast of Portugal. The cellular structure of the cloud causes the trail to have a zig-zag appearance. Several trails can be seen in the making. The width of the picture is 750km (466 miles).

Spacious Skies
Scorer and Verkaik
1989

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWSwJ8AU8AArrCd.jpg

2018-02-18T02:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/965057050559459328

@webbery @CIMSS_Satellite @yulsman @DiscoverMag You need an appropriate cloud layer for the ships to make tracks. Less likely in hot places.

2018-02-17T16:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/964901941586227200

@spannishchicken Clearly not, you can see there's also some contrails in there. Contrails are a type of cloud, and have been known to evolve into more natural looking cirrus clouds since 1921. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWQFHUPVQAAapUI.jpg

---

CLOUD FORMATION BY SUPERCHARGED PLANE.[1]

[Reprinted from *U. S. Air Service*, July, 1921, p. 13.]

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field [Dayton, Ohio] recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000–27,000 feet at 11:50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.
* * *

[1] Cf. Varney, B. M.: The Argonne battle cloud. Mo. Weather Rev., June, 1921, 49: 348-349, also Wegener, A.: Frost-supersaturation (Frostübersättigung) and cirrus. *Ibid.* p. 349.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWQFHUPVQAAapUI.jpg

2018-02-17T16:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/964901139824091136

Why does @AE911Truth say NIST does not have an explanation for the brief period of free fall of World Trade Center Building 7 on 9/11? Is it possible they have not really read what they are trying to refute?

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-nists-lack-of-explanation-for-wtc7-freefall-they-have-one-column-buckling.t9524/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWQD-_hUQAAYujU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DWQD-_hUQAAYujU.jpg

2018-02-15T02:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/963958766902194176

RT @Maz_zaroth: Warning!! Be aware of http://Metabunk.org. They come with a serious health warning @Metabunk http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DVqWODsX4AAEwul.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DVqWODsX4AAEwul.jpg

2018-02-08T19:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/961678883211767808

I had a frank yet friendly two hour discussion with "chemtrail" activist Patrick Roddie. Lots of topics were covered. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M_MZplILp4w

---

2018-02-08T19:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/961678241277788162

@vonmuff Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth claim they have lots of evidence. It generally

does not hold up to scrutiny though.

2018-02-01T18:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/959133462513860608

@PerfektSpellller @joerogan It's not old news to Richard Gage, who used it as "evidence" a few weeks ago.

What's new here is the identification of this specific column.

2018-02-01T17:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/959110197057765376

@joerogan Here's one of the most icon images in 9/11 trutherdom, finally debunked.

AE911 have been using it incorrectly for over a decade.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.t9469/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU9xGd3VoAAHaVF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU9xGd3VoAAHaVF.jpg

2018-02-01T16:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/959103783446896640

Debunking an iconic image in 9/11 "Truther" evidence.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.t9469/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU9rwMeVwAUuaXw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU9rwMeVwAUuaXw.jpg

2018-02-01T16:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/959103529058119680

(updated with better graphic)

2018-02-01T07:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/958968610881335297

Finally! That angle cut column with lots of slag that truthers have used as evidence of thermite demolition has been found and identified. It was cut six weeks after demolition, after being dug out from 80 feet of rubble. The truthers were wrong again.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.t9469/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU7wRgwUMAAnP6R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DU7wRgwUMAAnP6R.jpg

2018-01-28T15:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/957636044504973312

@devinekyle Never heard of it.

2018-01-28T06:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/957501961758171136
@TheOutThere2016 It's silicon, probably just from dirt. The important thing was the elements they claimed were a signature are the same in both slag and rust. It's just iron oxide - normal oxy cutting slag.

2018-01-28T06:31:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/957501311519309824
@rezn8d @TakeThatClouds @Vydon_com @Er_Nope @SpringbirdN2016 @MaryNoonan1967 What's the downwards coupling?

2018-01-27T23:55:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/957401821747732480
Conspiracy theorists Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth made a mistake in their chemical analysis of WTC slag, and they are still repeating that mistake 12 years later.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ae911truths-analysis-of-slag-residue-from-wtc-debris.t9468/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUlfg9qVwAAsB_V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUlfg9qVwAAsB_V.jpg
2018-01-27T15:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/957271121316859904
@TheOutThere2016 @TxUFOSightings @ufoofinterest @hoaxeye Looks like flying insects at various distances and speed. Not on the lens. They are being illuminated by the infrared light of the security camera.

2018-01-26T23:18:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/957029928771334144
If you think a high school science experiment with a wobbly hosepipe and an iPhone is something that science can't yet understand and might be dangerous, then perhaps you might want to take a step back and consider your sources.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SD1FJUJdi6M

2018-01-26T21:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/957006029425844224

RT @GeoeWatchDebunk: Recently, #DaneWigington showed a video by @brusspup about the effect of "frequency" on water, not realizing that it'sâ¦

2018-01-26T06:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956780851785744384

@4thAnon No randomly though. Very specifically.

2018-01-26T06:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956780740305235968

I think @realgeoengwatch is perhaps unaware that "frequency" means a variety of different things. This effect is a combination of acoustic wave frequency and video frame frequency (frames per second). Nothing to do with "radio frequencies".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUcqETCUQAAuXW7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUcqETCUQAAuXW7.jpg

2018-01-26T06:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956779172709347328

@4thAnon The fact that they only just figured out that "Creating clouds to stop global warming could wreak havoc" is pretty good evidence nobody would have done it decades earlier. What would be the benefit of randomly fucking with the climate?

2018-01-26T06:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956777426947682305

@4thAnon I've not seen any good evidence of it.

2018-01-26T06:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956777249662840832

This water is not being suspended in mid-air by electromagnetic radiation (as Geoengineering Watch believes). It's not even being suspended by sound. It's a camera effect - like the "wagon wheel" effect.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uENITui5_jU

2018-01-26T05:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956765050886172672

@4thAnon Which is why nobody has done it yet.

2018-01-26T05:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956755826382856192

@phiroc Why not? Santa Barbara Island is 634 feet high, and the refracted hidden is only 410.

2018-01-24T20:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956255549657571328

@PlunkHead http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUVNJXJVQAAHzOq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUVNJXJVQAAHzOq.jpg

2018-01-24T16:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/956209237041979392

@PlunkHead The pic didn't, the website did. It's not difficult.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUUjGWZU8AAfeM8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUUjGWZU8AAfeM8.jpg

2018-01-21T18:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/955147722075488256

@nczerenda So it seems! I like to encourage people to check for themselves though, since it's kind of sciencey fun.

2018-01-21T18:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/955146086351454208

I can see the clouds over my house from space! You need big and distinctive clouds though, like

these roll clouds. Sometimes mistaken for contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUFcHPpU8AAoOjX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DUFcHPpU8AAoOjX.jpg

2018-01-20T21:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/954833584883359744

@LaterNewspaper @Metabunk The 30% figure would be for very sandy soil. 7% is typical though.

2018-01-19T18:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/954418912967376896

@ufoofinterest @TRTHREEBEE Half an hour outside Los Angeles there's a few solar facilities. Ivanpah is the one people see most often from planes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DT7GpSVVMAAZxXw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DT7GpSVVMAAZxXw.jpg

2018-01-18T02:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/953823843046404096

@PJMcGuirk85 People sometime respond to science. Itâ□□s not a lost cause.

2018-01-17T06:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/953507351729905664

@MolotoVNKA Yeah it would be a lot easier to do with proper controls. X-Plane models ground effect and density effects. At Ground level the plane becomes prone to failure at 350 knots, very touchy above 400, and fails around 477

2018-01-17T00:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/953428785654726657

@PJMcGuirk85 I believe in all kinds of things. Iâ□□m not a solipsist.

2018-01-16T23:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/953409016750686208

Was it suspicious that the plane that hit the Pentagon on 9/11 performed a descending 360Â° turn before finally flying into the building?

No, it was both expected, and quite easy to duplicate, even by an inexperienced pilot like me.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2018-01-15T01:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/952720881289084929

@randonhb @joerogan No, lots of other people doing that. I focus where I can make a difference.

2018-01-14T17:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/952589842604376064

@RealGeoEngWatch The image just shows "ball ice". It not common, but it is natural.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ice-boulders-on-great-lakes-caused-by-geoengineering-natural-but-rare-ball-ice.t3776/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DThHAIJUMAAs3ko.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DThHAIJUMAAs3ko.jpg

2018-01-13T05:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/952057474202222592

A spirited debate between myself and Tony Szamboti of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth. Tony thinks the Twin Towers and Building 7 of the World Trade Center were brought down with explosives. I disagree. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u1CZmtR8gno

---

2018-01-10T03:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/950938514555719684

@FrancineLane Thanks!

2018-01-08T06:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/950247060342636545

@wesleyray123 The jet fuel has to push against the rocket in order to move away from it. Like the expanding gases have to push against the shotgun to push out the shot. It's that push that moves the gun, or the rocket. Air is a different thing. Need not be there.

2018-01-07T06:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949892368785813506

@wesleyray123 And it pushed back, right? Probably quite hard, against your shoulder. That's how it works. To accelerate the shot away it has to push against you. Same with rockets. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Kcb6WP0aAg

---

2018-01-07T06:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949884252849516544

@wesleyray123 This is one of those rare cases when reading the YouTube comments can actually
teach you something :)

2018-01-07T06:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949883671653203968

@wesleyray123 Ever shot a gun?

2018-01-05T19:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949368099081302016

@911_TruthNow And so? We know there was a period of freefall, and we know why (buckling). What's the problem?

2018-01-05T19:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949367571630891008

@thoughtsofindie I think that slide is from someone else. I wrote this though: https://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/1942/programming_responsiveness.php?print=1

2018-01-04T21:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/949023856928239616

@SkizDriz Thanks! Thatâ□□s great to hear.

2018-01-03T01:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/948365064515469312

@JBurt1992 It is a collection of various 9/11 claims that were explained yeas ago.

2017-12-30T17:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/947155796046987265

@NewestSam The movement around the sun is included in the length of a day. Look up sidereal days vs actual days.

2017-12-29T23:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946881801288425473

@niteliteone @Oswald1160 @bobathon @TheBlkWatchman @ian_lundgren It's also what this 1989 book on clouds ("Spacious Skies")
says they are. So why EXACTLY do you think they are not?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSP_3HtUEAEF1NE.jpg



SPACIOUS SKIES

◂ 12.23 (19/8/64, 18:45 PM, NW) Sea salt haze is produced by breakers on the beach. If the beach slope is small and the wind strong the spray causes a significant reduction of visibility. This place is, significantly, called Saltburn-by-the-Sea, and is on the north-facing part of the Yorkshire coast of eastern England.

A gusty wind over the ocean soon blows up white-caps and generates a salt haze with an abundance of nuclei. Ship trails are not seen in the air where there have been gusty showers or strong winds, both of which generate condensation nuclei by the fragmentation of water film in the foam, and the bursting of bubbles at the surface.

12.24 It is very common for today's passenger aircraft to form contrails. This is a fairly typical scene from the Atlantic just west of Ireland. There are several navigation points which are traversed by many aircraft on adjacent routes. Some of these trails, for example those near the bottom of the picture, are spread out by shear as in 12.16. Since these trails are persistent having been formed in air just supersaturated for ice, what we see could have been generated over a period of several hours.

The fact that only a few show the effects of shear indicates that they are not all at the same height; but they are much colder (whiter, in this infra-red picture) than the clouds below, through which some strips of even darker (warmer) sea can be seen.

Since most of the airports of Western Europe have very few movements during normal sleeping hours, and this is 9 am by the sun, they have probably all been generated in the last three hours or so. Similar scenes may be seen in the middle of the afternoon and in several different areas of the North Atlantic Ocean. They may be found in places where new frontal cloud is about to form or where frontal clouds have evaporated and the air has subsequently ascended a little so that it has become supersaturated for ice.

▾ 12.24 (29.8.87, 09:01 AM, 4)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSP_3HtUEAEF1NE.jpg

2017-12-29T23:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946880841526820865

@niteliteone @Oswald1160 @bobathon @TheBlkWatchman @ian_lundgren That's what NASA says they are. https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=4435

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSP_E4AVAAE71Mp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSP_E4AVAAE71Mp.jpg

2017-12-29T22:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946868896325234689

@scott_lachance @businessinsider Not according to the last 70 years of books on clouds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2017-12-29T22:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946868639084396545

@niteliteone @Oswald1160 @bobathon @TheBlkWatchman @ian_lundgren Newr turbofans actually make more contrails than older ones, due to the colder exhaust from the more efficient energy extraction (turing the fan). Remember contrail are simply EXHAUST MIXING WITH AIR. It does not matter (much) if the mixing is with bypass air.

2017-12-29T06:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946627028245028864

@cyclopticalone I didn't "just happen" to have it. I brought it specifically.

2017-12-28T22:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946506509457932288

Can you tell which of these cloud layers is higher?

Thin clouds have additive transparency, which makes it very hard to tell which layer is where, and often impossible to tell if a contrail is above or below a thin cloud layer, or another contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSKqAmNUQAIU1FA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DSKqAmNUQAIU1FA.jpg

2017-12-28T19:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946460230145122304

@HoffmAndrewS @Karry_Hoganson @joerogan Chemtrail believers frequently conflate cloud seeding and geoengineering. But when they talk about "chemtrails", they are always just looking at contrails. Actual cloud seeding does not leave a trail, and it's done from small planes.

2017-12-28T19:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946458787560296448

@HoffmAndrewS @Karry_Hoganson @joerogan Clouds seeding is something that is done to relatively low level existing clouds in a specific location, and the effect lasts hours at most.

2017-12-28T19:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946458218808487936

@Chris_Gedney Spot The Station: https://spotthestation.nasa.gov/signup.cfm

---

2017-12-28T13:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946370331677093888

@Prime8Podcast You need to define your terms.

2017-12-28T04:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/946232319299297280

@MolotoVNKA One that is far away.

2017-12-27T10:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945967226691002368

@Prime8Podcast I'm really not clear what your concern is.

2017-12-26T19:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945735851471921152

Awesome HD timelapse footage of the SpaceX Falcon 9 Rocket Launch that many people

thought was a UFO.
https://vimeo.com/248591160

2017-12-26T16:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945687199692996608
@VitalSigns10 @neiltyson I follow all tweets that mention "contrail", and a few other words.

2017-12-26T06:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945538484898480128
@Prime8Podcast They will act like people. So how will you judge that they are not conscious? How do you know I am conscious? I could just be mimicking.

2017-12-26T04:40:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945514538861867008
@MrTurnerAU @dangerdaveroger
https://www.snopes.com/bill-gates-vaccinations-depopulation/

2017-12-26T04:22:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945510071986819072
@VitalSigns10 @neiltyson The speed of my replies depends almost entirely on when I happen to read the tweet. I read, and I reply. I don't really see why it would be otherwise. Do you normally wait a while before replying?

2017-12-26T03:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945502765618311168
@Prime8Podcast It will appear to.

2017-12-26T03:39:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945499240381349888
@Prime8Podcast No, the question has a very clear answer. You need to ask a better question.

2017-12-26T01:45:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945470579884285952
@VitalSigns10 @neiltyson Fast? The exact same argument has been used for a decade. Geoengineering research is nothing new.

2017-12-25T23:42:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945439614340182016
@VitalSigns10 @neiltyson Discussing the future is not the same as admitting the past.

2017-12-25T20:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945389050243846144
@tkies123 Give it a few days :)

2017-12-25T20:05:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945385063268564992
@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla It has happened before, like with the Chilean Navy case.

2017-12-25T19:42:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/945379251913494528

@Prime8Podcast Yes.

2017-12-25T01:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945099388329721857

@PostDisclosure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR2q4cBVAAEqG2g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR2q4cBVAAEqG2g.jpg

2017-12-25T01:10:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945099354716565505

@PostDisclosure Put this link in your calendar.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/20171224-170701-qjdd2.jpg

2017-12-25T01:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945098452416864256

@PostDisclosure Okay. It's on. See you in five years.

2017-12-25T00:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945096202248339456

@PostDisclosure Thereâ□□s some kooky heads of state, so you have to narrow the down a bit. EU or G8 counties, five years?

2017-12-25T00:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945089420738289664

@PostDisclosure Over 5 years?

2017-12-25T00:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945089190433136640

@PostDisclosure $50?

2017-12-24T22:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945051960964661248

Debunking isn't new. There was a debunking magazine 90 years ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR1_ltBVQAEHSoC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR1_ltBVQAEHSoC.jpg

2017-12-24T19:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/945009396857192448

Did the CIA invent the term "Conspiracy Theory" to discredit JFK conspiracy theorists?

No, actually that's just a conspiracy theory.

https://books.google.com/ngrams/graph?content=conspiracy+theory&year_start=1900&year_end=2000&corpus=15&smoothing=3&share=&direct_url=t1%3B%2Cconspiracy%20theory%3B%2Cc0 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR1Y77gUQAAgjPm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DR1Y77gUQAAgjPm.jpg

2017-12-24T16:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944965994266615808

@trumpinaskeri He is talking about the Nimitz "Tic Tac" video, not the GIMBAL video. He also appears to be referring to things that are not show in the video.

2017-12-24T14:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944935951612100608

@WearKilluminati Well I'm talking about the misidentification of distatant aircraft and glitchy radar returns. Talking isn't doing.

2017-12-24T04:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944789197063307264

@PostDisclosure @rainbowland1979 If it's far away and they think it's nearby then all their fancy equipment is for naught. You are familiar with the Chilean Navy case. That's what happened there.

2017-12-24T04:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944787536622247936

@PostDisclosure @rainbowland1979 What information did they have?

2017-12-24T03:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944767560444022784

@PostDisclosure @rainbowland1979 By being very far away.

2017-12-23T21:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944674581771575296

@rainbowland1979 A plane.

2017-12-23T21:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944674526251454464

@nczerenda It appears (to me) to be real footage of a distant plane that has been misidentified as a nearby UFO.

2017-12-23T19:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944654217368580097

The same analysis applies to the "Tic Tac" footage. The video is NAR (1.5Â°) 2x (so 0.75Â°). A bit smaller blob, so consistent with fighter at 10 to 20 miles or airliner at 100 to 200 miles. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRwV_3eUEAARcK5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRwV_3eUEAARcK5.jpg

2017-12-23T19:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944652699332571139

@W3lIy I think that's the most likely explanation.

2017-12-23T19:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944647312856383488

How big the object is depends on how far away it is, and vice versa. The range is between 12 miles for a fighter jet sized object 50 foot, slight glare), and 120 miles for a large jet like an A340 (500 feet with glare being bigger).

The age old question: small, or far away?

2017-12-23T18:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944641889382252544

Ballparking the range of distances of the GIMBAL UFO. Based on the field of view it's probably between 12 and 120 miles away.

https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRwKir-VAAA_EWh.jpg

---

The indicator in the top left of the display "**NAR / Z 2.0**" indicates maximum magnification. NAR (Narrow) is a FOV of 1.5°, so with **Z 2.0** (Zoom 2.0x) (probably digital zoom) that's an effective FOV of 0.75. (By comparison the 2000mm P900 mega zoom has a FOV of about 1.0°)

We can get some ballpark figures for distance from this. Let's say the target is about the size of an F/A-18 Hornet, 44 ft wingspan with a bit extra for IR flare, like 50 feet.



If the apparent long axis of the object is representative of the wingspan, then it's 64/1074 of the width of the image. i.e. 0.75°*64/1074 = 0.0447° (note: linear to angular conversion are fine for small angles)

So converting that to distance, tan(angle) = object size/object distance

50/tan(0.0447 degrees) = 64089 feet. (**12 miles away**).

Alternatively if it's actually **a distant airliner with a 200 foot wingspan**, and the "saucer" shape is actually flare, then the *effective* length on the long visual axis there would be more like 500 feet. Hence **120 miles away.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRwKir-VAAA_EWh.jpg

2017-12-23T16:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944604726611419136

@NYDailyNews @lindastasi "You don't have to be a conspiracy theorist to make the connection that there seems to be a coordinated, concerted international effort... " - Actually, I think you do.

2017-12-22T20:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944298825581133824

@CaraSantaMaria @stevennovella Here's a plausible explanation of one aspect of the GIMBAL video shown in that image.

Funnily enough it's the gimbal. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2017-12-22T19:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944282944352296960

@AugmentedRyan I was not saying they were not valid. I'm saying this analysis was wrong.

I awaid the official, but until then I shall examine the presented evidence. Otherwise what's the point of presenting it?

2017-12-22T17:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944264442019176448

@JeremyCorbell @pjohnmoonlight @joerogan Here's a more detailed explanation of what he missed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIl4peYb59E

2017-12-22T17:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944264189278699520

Some calculation of "massive g-forces" in UFO videos neglect both the camera movement and, like here in the Nimitz "tic-tac" video, changes in zoom levels.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIl4peYb59E

2017-12-22T16:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944245728884408320

@IrishTimes The $22m was the TOTAL for all five years, only $4.4M/year. Please correct.

2017-12-22T06:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944097807354421248

@JeremyCorbell @pjohnmoonlight @joerogan Except he missed that the zoom level changes from 1.0 to 2.0, which causes the "jerk" in velocity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRob5ozV4AAe0ZK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRob5ozV4AAe0ZK.jpg

2017-12-22T06:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944095977215893509

@adamgoldsack It's not a conspiracy theory. It's a mistake.

2017-12-22T01:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/944011607918632960

@hikeworldca Thanks!

2017-12-21T22:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943971305753157632

A photo being used by the media as the "Tic Tac" UFO from the USS Nimitz incident off the coast of California is actually a photo of a party balloon taken in the UK.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-photo-of-ufo-used-in-connection-with-nimitz-incident-balloon.t9345/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRmoupDUQAAXcbr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRmoupDUQAAXcbr.jpg
2017-12-21T21:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943961140148629509
Raytheon explains a fundamental problem with single videos as evidence - lack of triangulation.

â□□to actually verify these and be absolutely certain that this is not an anomaly is to get the same target, behaving the same way on multiple sensors.â□□
https://www.raytheon.com/news/feature/uap_atflir.html

2017-12-21T21:42:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943959926497452032
@PostDisclosure It is customary to accompany such statements with some evidence. :)

2017-12-21T20:47:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943945963609079808
Perspective is the mother of all aerial misidentifications, and a few ground based ones too!
https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/943831814535368706

2017-12-21T20:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943935173871091712
@nickpopemod @JonAustinjourn1 What was the actual misleading? I don't see any specifics in the Express article.

2017-12-21T18:30:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943911478473646080
@nickpopemod @JonAustinjourn1 Except for matters of national security.

2017-12-21T18:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943911217961172993

@WMUR9 The video you are showing of "GIMBAL" UFO is not the same event as the Nimitz video being described by David Fravor. You want the "tic-tac" video.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlyPbnU8AADRJT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlyPbnU8AADRJT.jpg
2017-12-21T17:32:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943896975648350208
@JeremyCorbell @NoeRangel Unfortunately, and no offense intended, it's not really worth anything. Unverifiable vague claims by UFO enthusiast.

2017-12-21T17:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943896569543245826
@UFOChronicles Wrong video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlk4cbU8AAzN88.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlk4cbU8AAzN88.jpg
2017-12-21T17:09:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943891149659324417
@JeremyCorbell @NoeRangel â□□unknown origin and technologyâ□□ - who said that? And about what? And what does it actually mean? Some new electroplating technique from China? Citation

need.

2017-12-21T17:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943889699311009792

@tstanley12 I think they are probably conflating what the see on the radar with what they see in the FLIR. Radar is showing a "fleet" of anomalous returns, maybe birds. FLIR is showing distant plane engine glare. They can't visually see either.

2017-12-21T16:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943887689346039808

@NoeRangel @LiveScience @JeremyCorbell I think that's the most likely outcome, yes. I'd put money on it.

2017-12-21T16:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943883671441776640

RT @LiveScience: The Truth About Those 'Alien Alloys' in The NY Times UFO Story https://goo.gl/Ebekdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlXQwXVwAAIlq_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlXQwXVwAAIlq_.jpg

2017-12-21T16:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943880865569898496

@BTRadford @DudeBehavior @RealJoeNickell And note there's two threads one for Nimitz and one for GIMBAL. There has been MUCH conflation of the two.
Nimitz: https://www.metabunk.org/2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo-flir-footage.t9190/
GIMBAL:
https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-gimbal-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlWnjhVwAAScZ5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlWnjhVwAAScZ5.jpg

2017-12-21T16:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943880285975748608

UFO Hunters and media, get your videos straight! The GIMBAL video (rotating shape, "fleet") is not from the Nimitz incident (water surface disturbance and tic-tac craft). Pilot David Fravor is not talking about GIMBAL, he's talking about Nimitz. GIMBAL pilots are unknown.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlVonzV4AAmFQJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRlVonzV4AAmFQJ.jpg

2017-12-21T15:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943871328829956097

RT @pictureboston: Over #Boston, at sunset this evening, dying #aviation contrails formed what looked like a giant airplane over the city.â¦

2017-12-21T15:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943865854436900864

@GeorgeRocco I made a short video explaining my theory.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2017-12-21T15:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943865368035995648

@macsunmood_run @joerogan Sure, if they give me all their information first. Like where the video was shot and any official reports around it.

2017-12-21T06:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943735643066155008

@pjohnmoonlight *crowd*

2017-12-21T05:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943709589844869120

@pjohnmoonlight We briefly discussed the woman shouting at the crown, but that was all. I don't think it's that interesting.

2017-12-21T03:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943692248016760833

@Gurrlly007LIVE @johnmrkl The â□□fleetâ□□ was a radar thing. Iâ□□m addressing this one video, thatâ□□s all.

2017-12-21T02:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943671657083764736

@heidimgarman Not if there has been recent good evidence, which there usually is. :)

2017-12-21T01:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943649664267456513

@KTLAMorningNews Maybe it was a bit simpler than they thought.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2017-12-21T01:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943648632141578240

@OnAirWithJP It could be 100 miles away. Like in the Chilean case. If they think it's close then they won't find it.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2017-12-21T01:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943647343001214976

@JeremyCorbell A clearer explanation of my rotating glare hypothesis:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2017-12-21T00:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943647193713356800

@JeremyCorbell What does that mean? My quest to run a six minute mile is ongoing, but I've not made much progress in the last few years.

2017-12-21T00:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943646857065934848

@z9bill @DoubtfulNews And of course I could be entirely wrong here. But I feel it's a hypothesis worth considering.

2017-12-21T00:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943646744247648256

@z9bill @DoubtfulNews It's only "easily understood" after it's well explained. It's probably something that does not cause much of a problem, as most of the time ATFLIR is used for much closer objects where the physical shape can be seen. A distant commercial plane is not the typical use case.

2017-12-21T00:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943641612705337344

Could the "rotating" UFO be explained by the rotating window in the housing of the Raytheon ATFLIR. If the window rotates, then IR glare will also rotate. Experiments verify this. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcsAZTKRv5E

2017-12-20T21:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943597220267302912

@johnmrkl It's happened before.

2017-12-20T20:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943579888664977408

@alfendog Depends on your metric. Tony Hawk paid better :)

2017-12-20T18:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943548441354838021

Important tip for UFO hunters - the shape you see in Infrared is not the shape of the craft. It's the glare around the engine(s). The effect increases with distance and in a verified case it made this A340 look like a peanut. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRgmKGoVAAAar4g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRgmKGoVAAAar4g.jpg

2017-12-20T18:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943545207743594496

@JeremyCorbell You need to follow me for DMs.

2017-12-20T18:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943544224770748416

@JeremyCorbell What's the deal with the GIMBAL video? Where/when was that shot?

2017-12-20T18:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943542193955860481

@nsaillant @JeremyCorbell @kevineckelkamp @KyleMizokami The case starts in pieces. There's lots of DIFFERENT videos and lots of DIFFERENT eyewitness accounts. Practically the only commonalities they share is A) something appearing to break the laws of physics, and B) being too far away to get a clear look.

2017-12-20T18:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943541682322075648

@JeremyCorbell @nsaillant @kevineckelkamp @KyleMizokami It's a deal!

2017-12-20T17:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943533421984280577

@nsaillant @JeremyCorbell @kevineckelkamp @KyleMizokami Eyewitness testimony is often inexplicable other than as A) breaking the laws of physics, or B) a mistaken interpretation of what they saw.

Absent some more compelling physical or visual evidence then I go with B.

2017-12-20T17:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943532233410801664

@MoistNoize Hard to debunk something that's just a "personal belief".

2017-12-20T17:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943527039453118464

@souljanoah_ A plane, with the shape of the IR flaring much larger than the plane.

2017-12-20T05:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943355514842382337

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L Is there confirmation the "gimbal" video was from Nimitz? NYT said unknown date/location.

2017-12-20T05:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943350027971452928

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L Youâ□□d think. Not a sure thing though.

2017-12-20T05:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943348839095898112

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L We have seen NOTHING of the US program.

2017-12-20T05:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943348685294985217

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L Did the program have the capability? Funding ended 2012, and most went Bigelow.

2017-12-20T05:08:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943347327699423232

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L And yet Bigelow said the US program was â□□backwardâ□□ compared to Chile.

2017-12-20T04:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943338330715971584

@PostDisclosure @MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L Well, they overlooked the answer in Chile.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2017-12-20T04:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943330541327196160

@pjohnmoonlight @JeremyCorbell @joerogan Iâ□□ve not changed my opinion on DeLong. The videos are nothing new and his spaceship building plan is still ludicrous wishful thinking.

2017-12-20T02:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943309068483018753

@MolotoVNKA I mean flaring around a light/heat source. Can be 10x the size of the engine.

2017-12-20T02:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943308739855114240

@MolotoVNKA @L_H_Ryuga_L Correct, but who knows what they looked into?

2017-12-20T01:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943298969379020800

I just had a nice chat with J.B. about conspiracy theories. J.B. is more a conspiracist and I'm more of a debunker. But we can still find common ground.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FTnnINxWbZg

2017-12-20T01:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943287927353651200

@nsaillant @MolotoVNKA The reporters are very friendly to the cause. Basically it's like a big PR win for DeLonge. Tasty drips of info to keep the story going. Like why not release the full video?

2017-12-20T01:09:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943287266880999424

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate And:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-unburned-trees-next-to-burned-down-structures-as-evidence-of-secret-energy-weapons.t9168/

2017-12-20T01:03:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943285623787421698

@nsaillant @MolotoVNKA Calling it like he sees it IS Joe's profession.

If there's alien metal, then why is that not the main story. Not some dubious footage and eyewitness accounts, but an actual bit of a UFO!!

2017-12-20T01:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943285221750919168

@L_H_Ryuga_L @MolotoVNKA Wasn't he talking about the earlier "tic-tac" case?

2017-12-20T00:56:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943284036994195456
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate Refs for stuff we discussed.

https://www.metabunk.org/does-this-photo-show-a-too-small-hole-in-the-pentagon-no.t8302/
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.t165/
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-sandy-hook-end-the-life-of-adam.t1100/
https://www.metabunk.org/ae911-truth-forced-to-claim-plasco-collapse-is-an-inside-job.t8339/
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-12-20T00:54:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943283391557812224
@nick_horvatich @nsaillant @MolotoVNKA Well if it was "officially" explained then there would be nothing for me to explain.
It's one possible explanation.

2017-12-20T00:52:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943282899721232384
@nsaillant @MolotoVNKA What exactly are you basing those statements on?

2017-12-20T00:51:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943282630539100160
@L_H_Ryuga_L @MolotoVNKA The highlight can be rotated without the view rotating. In fact it has to work that way as the outer gimbal axes can't do straight and level tracking alone. The outer glass has to rotate. Then the outer glass creates the flare. In theory.

2017-12-19T23:18:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943259334435258368
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate 2 minutes

2017-12-19T23:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943256099876093952
@KyleMizokami Pilots DO make mistakes. They don't need to be PRONE to mistakes for mistakes to happen. All pilots in total fly many millions of hours. What are the odds that those hours will be error free?

2017-12-19T23:03:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943255568927436800
@KyleMizokami Well what would you call this? Maybe an oversimplification? "Given the level of skill necessary to fly from a U.S. Navy carrier it seems extremely unlikely these pilots were prone to fantasy or misidentifying the sun as a white, tic-tac-shaped UFO hovering close to the water."

2017-12-19T22:47:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943251350107840512

@nsaillant @MolotoVNKA By HUGE I mean in its implications. A specimen from a UFO would be significant.

Of course, it's not. It's just a bit of metal they found somewhere.

2017-12-19T22:32:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943247653260836864

@nsaillant @JeremyCorbell @kevineckelkamp I stand by what I said. The reasons that @kylemizokami gives for being discomfited by the mundane explanation are a specious appeal to authority. Obviously the pilots are not PRONE to misidentifying things - they only did it once!

2017-12-19T22:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943245243524059136

@MolotoVNKA I suspect the target lock there is working on the image, not radar. It's a heat source, not a light source. Like a jet engine.

2017-12-19T22:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943244681818734593

@deepgreendesign It's in that thread.

2017-12-19T22:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943244639464599552

@MolotoVNKA @nsaillant Agreed. A physical specimen would be HUGE. The fact that it's apparent just sitting in Bigelow's warehouse somewhere is evidence that this is nothing. Where exactly do you get bits of any alien spaceship from? Or bits of a craft seen only through a FLIR darkly.

2017-12-19T22:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943244146621288448

@An0nKn0wledge The lack of evidence is what says it. If they are doing it, then they are not leaving any evidence. So why think they are doing this, specifically?

2017-12-19T22:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943243788675248129

@maxpotter0

https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/chronic-fatigue-syndrome/symptoms-causes/syc-20360490

"The cause of chronic fatigue syndrome is unknown, although there are many theories â□□ ranging from viral infections to psychological stress. Some experts believe chronic fatigue syndrome might be triggered by a combination of factors."

2017-12-19T22:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943243361552441345

@kevineckelkamp We'll see. Unexplained videos are are evidence of aliens. Check back in five years.

2017-12-19T22:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943242387790299136

@deepgreendesign There's a discussion thread here. Feel free to add your hypothesis: https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/

2017-12-19T22:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943242084298788864

@nsaillant @MolotoVNKA I'm just offering a simple explanation for the rotation seen in one video.

2017-12-19T22:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943241763354845184

@Ralbot7 Yes, there's a discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/

2017-12-19T19:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943202377661743104

@MolotoVNKA Rotation explained. https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/#post-216364

2017-12-19T16:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943157876431847427

@mission_europa @MolotoVNKA A very hot one, like jet engines. Hard ot be sure though.

2017-12-19T16:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943157798262550528

@mission_europa @MolotoVNKA No. This looks like a heat source.

2017-12-19T16:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943156187792490496

@MolotoVNKA @mission_europa http://www.military.com/video/operations-and-strategy/air-strikes/f-18-takes-out-insurgents/658386321001

2017-12-19T16:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943156074139475969

@An0nKn0wledge Label them what you will. Weather modification is real and happening. SRM geoengineering is a real idea, but is not yet happening.

2017-12-19T16:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943155808463753216

@An0nKn0wledge @joerogan Of course cloud seeding is real. it has been openly done for 50+ years. They advertise it in local papers. It was on the Dick Van Dyke Show in 1965. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2VpyeuQLjrg

---

2017-12-19T16:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943155471141109763

@AshtonCBS4 @MetroAirport @KOAJetcopter @CBSDenver I agree, looks like a part of a contrail. There was a similar much more pronounced one in Russia a while back. https://www.metabunk.org/moscow-spiral-contrail-helix-cloud-theories.t1031/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRbC4ZSUIAELVlQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRbC4ZSUIAELVlQ.jpg

2017-12-19T16:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943154744003923968

@mission_europa @MolotoVNKA I've seen an IR flare rotate. The object itself seems to be in level flight. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DRbCKAbUIAADe_M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DRbCKAbUIAADe_M.jpg
2017-12-19T16:20:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943154046029897728
@An0nKn0wledge You appear to be conflating weather modification (cloud seeding) with SRM geoengineering. Look it up. They are very different things. Even Dane Wigington knows this. Nobody is doing SRM spraying.

2017-12-19T15:42:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943144475253075968
@An0nKn0wledge @Goodson There's no SRM spraying programs in operation, nor have there ever been. Talking about something is not the same as doing it. And Weather modification is not the same as SRM geoengineering.

2017-12-19T15:40:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943144064865538048
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate No it didn't.

2017-12-19T15:39:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943143785680158720
@deepgreendesign Why don't you just tell everyone what the observation is? No need to speak in riddles.

2017-12-19T15:38:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943143609536098306
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate Well, that's assuming the experiments were good experiments. Which one do you think was best?

2017-12-19T14:57:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943133276792745985
@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla They are not using the wrong gear on purpose. "Unexplained" photos and videos will naturally arise no matter what gear you use. It's a self-selecting process. Just skim off the 0.001% of images that are unexplained. Bingo - tens of thousands of images.

2017-12-19T14:55:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943132644576870401
@ElectJimBaird $22 Million total, over 5 years. Worth a go as it might be secret Russian planes or suchlike. But it did not find anything.

2017-12-19T14:53:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943132282516267008
@deepgreendesign Can you demonstrate that?

2017-12-19T14:52:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/943132020544172032
@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla DeLonge is a true believer. But also making money in a misleading manner. You can do both.

2017-12-19T14:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943131816948408322

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Well that's a bit cynical. They seems mostly well meaning to me, like cryptozoologists. Sure there's a few "content creators", but you get that everywhere.

2017-12-19T14:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943131476144427008

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Or: "If there's nothing to this, then why has Robert Bigelow and Tom DeLonge invested so much money in it? Why did the Pentagon investigate? Why does Leslie Kean write about it so much if there's nothing to it?"

2017-12-19T14:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943130973830504448

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla I could say "military pilots are the best observers in the world, are you telling me they were all mistaken, crazy or lying? That's impossible". Now you flip and respond.

2017-12-19T14:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943130660318748672

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Sure, I could say "there's so many good reports of UFO, some of them must be aliens", but what would that gain us?

2017-12-19T14:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943130259582361600

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate No, I saw a huge fireball. Picking frames out of crap video is stupid when you have much better video available. The "nose out" idea is nonsensical, physically impossible, and baseless.

2017-12-19T14:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943129292233310208

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Can I switch sides? I'm not clear what you mean. I don't think it's weird that there will exist reports of UFOs, given the amount of stuff in the the sky.

2017-12-19T14:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943128919296708610

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla No, it means that's what he thought he saw. No pics.

2017-12-19T14:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943128133124833286

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla "The fighter jets returned to the Nimitz, where everyone on the ship had learned of Commander Fravorâ□□s encounter and was making fun of him.

Commander Fravorâ□□s superiors did not investigate further "

Because misidentifications happen.

2017-12-19T14:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943127822498897920

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla There's lots of accounts from pilots of unusual flying objects. The questions is if you can RULE OUT a more conventional explanation, like mistaken perception.

2017-12-19T14:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943127208117157889

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Only if the plane is listening on the hailing frequency. Planes are frequently tuned into a specific local frequency like ATC or departure control which is hard to determine for something an unknown distance away.

2017-12-19T14:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943125295678201857

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Light pillars. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light_pillar

---

2017-12-19T14:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943123579972882433

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla UFO is only a UFO until you zoom in on it. If you are only going by the cases where magnification was not available then that's just selecting for the unknown. It will NECESSARILY include many things like distant planes.

2017-12-19T14:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943122633406554113

13,000 planes are in the air RIGHT NOW. And that's not counting thousands of military planes and literally millions of drones. Is it really surprising that occasionally one of them gets misidentified as something it is not? https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/943121756478492672

2017-12-19T14:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943121874027888640

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla The point being there's no detailed photos of UFOs, even though we can take photos of spaceships outside the atmosphere. And there's billions of cameras in the world.

2017-12-19T14:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943121666351099906

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla It can be done though. Check out the guy on the right: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRakJRSVAAAflEJ.jpg

---



Source: Ralf Vandeburg, spaceweather.com

Vandeburg's telescope is significantly larger than a P900:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRakJRSVAAAflEJ.jpg

2017-12-19T14:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943121339405156352

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla So? Lots of people take photos of the ISS. Many of them handheld. I describe how I did it here: https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-take-photos-of-the-iss-international-space-station-with-a-p900.t7986/

2017-12-19T14:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943120914417192960

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla They did not lose their minds. However there's precedent for pilots making mistakes in the pase.

2017-12-19T14:07:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943120561089142784

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Align what? I took that photo handheld with a $600 camera.

2017-12-19T14:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943120399205679104

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla Lots of average citizens own them. It's a very popular camera.

2017-12-19T13:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943117645431193600

@PeacockBurch @AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @tarasmatla All the time. I use a Nikon P900. I've personally taken photos of the International Space Station, 300 miles away, IN SPACE!!. Much more powerful lenses exist. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRagTubVwAAdDc7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRagTubVwAAdDc7.jpg

2017-12-19T13:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943116826543775744

@t_nati0527 @mshizwick @JeremyCorbell There's very high magnification with the camera. If it's miles away then they would not see any detail - maybe a dot, if that.

2017-12-19T13:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943116376327122944

@AugmentedRyan @PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Sure it would. Even just some photos of such an encounter. But we never get that do we, just photos of blobs.

2017-12-19T13:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943116141609631745

@DecoMalone1 @YouTube It's a good recreation. 3D visualizations are often very illuminating.

2017-12-19T13:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943115677275119616

@nsaillant @JeremyCorbell But there have been plenty of cases that "ruled out" something that it eventually turned out to be. General McInerey "ruled out" a plane in 2010, but that's what it was. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UI_0hh26n6Q

2017-12-19T13:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943115052902621184

@TheOutThere2016 @Rainmaker1973 @nytimes Possibly not, however seeing more footage would be helpful. Both of this incident and "normal" encounters. It might be solvable, just not with this video alone.

2017-12-19T13:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943114689721937920

@mshizwick @JeremyCorbell I thought that at first, however it seems to move with the clouds when the camera saccades. I'd still not rule out an optical glitch, but it looks more like a real flying hot object - like a jet exhaust.

2017-12-19T13:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943114306291351553

@DouglasSeaburg @JeremyCorbell Well if it's anything like the Chilean Navy case then it was not a nearby plane. So would not have shown up on nearby radar. You ahve to look in the right spot.

2017-12-19T06:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943007619425251329

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla How were they recorded?

2017-12-19T06:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943006054975074306

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Base on my recollection of things she said at the time. Sorry I don't have quotes.

What one case do you think is her strongest?

2017-12-19T06:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943005779543474176

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla There's thousands of cases that are unexplained. There's thousands of cases where a conventional explanation cannot be proven. None where it can be ruled out.

2017-12-19T06:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943005413405949952

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla The Chilean case WAS her strongest case. But I could debunk it only because there was sufficient information to determine what it was. Other cases are less detailed, so less can be determined.

2017-12-19T06:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943001947627331584

@Nadeshot I'm pretty sure most people think that. The question is if we've actually been visited recently.

2017-12-19T06:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/943001581439426560

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla The problem here is here position before she was shown irrefutable proof she was wrong. Of course she admits when she is inarguably wrong. Read this "before" article.

https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_us_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b?lciacn1ruwjkmx6r

---

2017-12-19T05:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942997066443132928

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla He said "reporting accurately", he did not say there were worse observers. Pilots are trained to identify objects. However they can still make mistakes. So there will naturally be a LOT of mistakes.

2017-12-19T05:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942996601634668544

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla But in this case of video of insects she just doubled down. https://www.huffingtonpost.com/leslie-kean/ufo-chile_b_2123947.html

---

2017-12-19T05:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942996211648274432

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla There's the Chilean case, but she was so obviously wrong that she had to (very indirectly admit it).

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2017-12-19T05:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942995386485981189

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla She does not do analyses, as far as I can see. She just relies on others.

2017-12-19T05:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942993692511518720

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Quote one.

2017-12-19T05:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942993553285718016

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Like the Chilean case. She was all in with that. But it was a plane. Then there was a case with insects.

2017-12-19T05:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942993283810078721

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla No, but she has a very poor track record. Wrong a lot.

2017-12-19T05:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942992981329567750

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Sheer number? Thereâ□□s 100,00 flights a day. Occasionally pilots will see something they canâ□□t identify. Inevitably.

2017-12-19T05:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942992334228090882

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla And WHO holds that position? That sounds ridiculous. Iâ□□ve flown planes.

2017-12-19T05:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942992003993759745

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla For example?

2017-12-19T05:28:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942990076698681344

@PostDisclosure @PeacockBurch @tarasmatla Who said that? Pilots are much better at identifying aerial objects than other people. So when they DO make mistakes itâ□□s more temping to think they did not.

2017-12-19T05:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942989388077662209

@JeremyCorbell I looked at it. Probably a plane.

https://www.metabunk.org/nyt-video-of-u-s-navy-jet-encounter-with-unknown-object.t9333/

2017-12-19T04:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942971846856544256

@PeacockBurch @tarasmatla It means experts also make mistakes.

2017-12-19T02:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942946341482676225

@tarasmatla @PeacockBurch Beyond our tech, or mistaken observations?

2017-12-19T02:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942945983364661248

@tarasmatla @PeacockBurch Trained like the General here? He was wrong.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UI_0hh26n6Q&feature=youtu.be

2017-12-19T02:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942945806692184065

@PeacockBurch It's similar to th Chilean case, which was identified.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2017-12-19T01:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942937483418800128

@PeacockBurch Neither did the Chilean case.

2017-12-18T22:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942885270336049152

Perspective on the US UFO program:
â□□After a while the consensus was we really couldnâ□□t find anything of substance. They produced reams of paperwork. After all of that there was really nothing there that we could find.... We only did it a couple years.â□□
https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/12/16/pentagon-ufo-search-harry-reid-216111

2017-12-18T22:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942882463595970560
@NoeRangel @joerogan Actually most of the $22 Million went to Harry Reid's buddy Robert Bigelow. It was basically pork. It didn't have interesting results, so they, quite rightly, cut off funding in 2012.

2017-12-18T22:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942881558158905345
@QuazarSamCoupe @TheOutThere2016 No, started programming ZX81 then Spectrum, first commercial games were for Amiga and ST.

2017-12-18T22:12:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942880273062019072
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate Yes, lots of people. And much faster.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LcjKYBccoqs

2017-12-18T16:28:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942793593994952706
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate How much weight do the columns support?
How much weight do the floor trusses and horizontal beams support?
What area of the floor is columns?
What area of the floor would be the path of least resistance?
How much resistance is it?

2017-12-18T16:25:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942792958297874432
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate What point is that? That if you drop cardboard on cardboard it will bounce off?

2017-12-18T16:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942792778286751744
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate All the pilots. Check them out.

2017-12-18T15:59:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942786510155169792
@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate Remember when Jesse Ventura could not get three shots off in the time it took LHO? Remember when OJ could not pull on his glove? Motivated reasoning can have a physical manifestation, conscious or unconscious.

2017-12-18T05:54:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/942634048911900674
@DrThomasParker1 Light can also be refracted and diffracted.

2017-12-18T05:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942627928428253184

@grogland Why do you think they cut off his funding in 2012?

2017-12-18T05:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942627612299370497

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate When you stick a pencil through a window screen, it leaves a hole, does it not? The screen does not collapse. But there's a hole.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRTiv0uUMAA6CWI.jpg

> Not only were the towers designed to survive crashes of large jet aircraft, but they were designed to potentially survive multiple plane crashes. This assertion is supported by Frank A. Demartini, the on-site construction manager for the World Trade Center, who said on January 25, 2001:
>
> > "The building was **designed to have a fully loaded 707 crash into it**. That was the largest plane at the time. **I believe that the building probably could sustain multiple impacts of jetliners** because this structure is like the mosquito netting on your screen door—this intense grid—and the jet plane is just a pencil puncturing that screen netting. It really does nothing to the screen netting."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRTiv0uUMAA6CWI.jpg

2017-12-18T05:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942626500355932166

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate It sure did! That nose cone was the the first thing to go.

2017-12-18T05:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942626324853571584

@Dom_Suman You can email me. mick@mickwest.com

2017-12-18T05:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942625842231885824

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate I suspect you probably could find answers to many of your questions. Did you perhaps just find answers that you disagreed with?

2017-12-18T05:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942625538266427392

@JBurt1992 @IEAffiliate Check out Richard Gage's explanation at 12:55 here. He does actually get some things right. https://youtu.be/hrByZ3WFoMw?t=12m55s

---

2017-12-17T18:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942460274551824384

@williamkay62 @ufoofinterest @WearKilluminati @lionsground It's quite possible it will remain as such. The full video would likely reveal more clues.

2017-12-16T21:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942143409669550080

@MyNameisMudd417 Cool! Want to share your story? Email me tabunk@gmail.com

---

2017-12-16T20:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942131693862789120

@williamkay62 @ufoofinterest @lionsground It's interesting. I think the shape of it is likely some kind of lens flare (the protrusions). It's remarkably well tracked, almost as if it's stuck to the lens. Really need comparisons with similar videos to get more ideas. Odd roll. The angles might tell us something. Later.

2017-12-16T19:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942114820324696064

@Josh_Yawn It's an interesting video. My initial thought is that it's the exhaust of a distant plane with lens flare creating the shape. Hard to say without comparison with other videos.

2017-12-16T19:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942113512263786496

@CozmicSmurf @PoseidonsPonder Everything is Sci-Fi before it happens. Supercomputer film studios in your pocket!

Military robots will have programmed self-preservation and autonomy. It does not take emergency consciousness to go beyond that, it just takes a bug.

And they won't care about you bowing.

2017-12-16T14:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942043692675743744

@hoaxeye @TheOutThere2016 That was some chemtrail guy who was mad at me and started some strange YouTube SEO campaign against me.

2017-12-16T14:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942042727583059968

@TheOutThere2016 I played around with MIDI too, I had a sampler on the Spectrum (maybe a RAM Music Machine) , and later a Roland MT-32. Programming games won over music though.

2017-12-16T14:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/942036098955427840

@PoseidonsPonder @CozmicSmurf @joerogan The issue is the capabilities AI and robots will have for reproduction, acquisition of resources, autonomy, and warfare. This does not require consciousness.

2017-12-16T05:12:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941898927598551041

@PoseidonsPonder @CozmicSmurf @joerogan Being like humans isnâ□□t really the issue.

2017-12-15T23:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941813288869830656

@ty5020 I meant about 100 years from now. A very rough estimate.

2017-12-15T23:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941813070153654273

@AncientRevival Run outside, you might see this leave a sunset contrail overhead.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9-H3V4AA0L3-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9-H3V4AA0L3-.jpg

2017-12-15T23:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941812711951704064

@AncientRevival @joerogan In the air right now. Watch this for a while.
https://www.flightradar24.com/39.14,-78.14/8

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9f7UUEAAqkFM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9f7UUEAAqkFM.jpg

2017-12-15T23:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941812177639325697

@AncientRevival And North Virginia has some of the busiest airspace in the world. You can see contrails up to 100 miles away. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9JL9VAAAQJVA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH9JL9VAAAQJVA.jpg

2017-12-15T23:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941811016043708416

@AncientRevival Those are not contrails. They are row clouds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH8FuGVoAABtkq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRH8FuGVoAABtkq.jpg

2017-12-15T23:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941810675877265408

@NeoconWatson @__PAULALEXANDER @joerogan Why believe either? Why do you need someone to tell you what to believe. Check the facts for yourself.

2017-12-15T00:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941466525235535872

@lightni11 How does "5486900 â□□ January 23, 1996 â□□ Measuring device for amount of charge of toner and image forming apparatus having the measuring device" relate to geoengineering? It's a toner level indicator for a photocopier.

2017-12-14T23:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941457676336107520

A bit of my @joerogan appearance prompted the Daily Star to announce the imminent robopocalypse! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRC5-h8XkAAQT8G.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRC5-h8XkAAQT8G.jpg

2017-12-14T21:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941423644315549696

@JBurt1992 @BrendanDorman Sure. Email me at metabunk@gmail.com and we can set it up.

2017-12-14T19:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941385017393004544

@uwouldkill4this @joerogan The test they used (EPA 6010B)) did not distinguish between free and bound. It works by turning the sample to plasma, which breaks down everything into its component elements.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-chemtrail-tests-on-the-ground-find-metals-that-dont-occur-naturally.t7842/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRB4hUYUEAAYfNc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DRB4hUYUEAAYfNc.jpg

2017-12-14T17:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941357845064310784

@BrendanDorman @JBurt1992 Persistent? I'm just answering the morning tweets.

And I'm not sure what "audience" has to do with it?

2017-12-14T17:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941356956127698944

@ChrisG_97 Be nice to them. Show them where they are wrong, and where their idols are wrong. Show them stuff they are missing. But don't hit them over the head with it. Give it time, lots of time, months. Ultimately it's something they have to do for themselves. You are there to help.

2017-12-14T16:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941346531159957504

@BigPeezy099 Nor does a plane's. Watch where it starts, and then where it is an hour later. Most contrails form in the upper air where the wind is >50mph, so an hour later it's 50 miles away. Also, condensation only persists in -40Â°F weather

---

2017-12-14T16:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941343808075083777

@paulieguru It's not really something I've seen come up a lot. Do you know of an example

where a Flat Earther discusses this?

2017-12-14T16:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941343438498250752

@JBurt1992 @BrendanDorman These are all questions that have been answered years ago. You can look them up. But I think the information needs to be better organized, and I'd like to focus on that a bit when I finish my book

---

2017-12-14T05:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941185146715172864

@BrendanDorman No. Iâ□□m retired. Most of my internet time is on Metabunk. I donâ□□t have a job.

2017-12-14T05:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941177290523738114

@czesco @joerogan Mug Life

2017-12-14T02:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941134811933978624

@BrendanDorman Chatting on Twitter is hardly hard work.

2017-12-14T02:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941131643204415488

@BrendanDorman I'm curious why you think I can't be retired? Surely after making a sufficient amount of money anyone can retire?

2017-12-14T02:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941131498136125440

@BrendanDorman No.

2017-12-14T02:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941131228907945984

@BrendanDorman And no, I've never talked to anyone from any intelligence service.

2017-12-14T02:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941131013152956416

@BrendanDorman How do you know it's not true? How do you know what I do for a living? You know Tony Hawk was very successful, right?

2017-12-14T02:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941130081753210881

@BrendanDorman Now I'm retired. Living off savings.

2017-12-14T02:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941129816375418880

@BrendanDorman I got my income from video games. I co-founded Neversoft, creators of Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. We sold the company to Activision. Here's the Edgar filing. https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/718877/000091064300000127/0000910643-00-000127-0001.txt

---

2017-12-14T02:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941127461814681600

@JBurt1992 @BrendanDorman Some things may be fishy. But what's wrong with picking and choosing? If it's wrong, and I debunk it, then it's all good. Someone else can debunk the rest. I focus on things that interest me.

2017-12-14T01:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941116222724124672

@bobbbyblack You're welcome!

2017-12-14T00:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941105299523477504

@BrendanDorman I did though. Because you brought up Jowenko. Are you saying he was mistaken?

2017-12-14T00:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941104655735463936

@BrendanDorman I've listened to it all. You have only listened to the WTC7 part. Here's the rest:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3wwdI0XawI

2017-12-14T00:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941104266965430272

@BrendanDorman It's not a game.

2017-12-14T00:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941103727557058561

@BrendanDorman Jowenko said it was.

2017-12-14T00:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941103402590748677

@BrendanDorman I'm focusing on Jowenko. You bring him as an expert. So do you think the was correct about WTC2?

2017-12-14T00:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941102848976109568

@BrendanDorman Obviously I've heard of him before. He's one of the more common things people bring. A lot of them have not heard about his views on the Twin Towers though.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ94Co5VAAAzdLY.jpg





..



..



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ94Co5VAAAzdLY.jpg

2017-12-14T00:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941101819471716352

@BrendanDorman He drove into a tree. He was not "killed".

Plus he said that WTC1 & WTC2 were NOT controlled demolition, and they looked like they

were just collapsing without explosives to him.

2017-12-13T23:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941091783026515969

@LourdesKosse I think there was single shooter, Stephen Paddock, and that his motive is unknown.

2017-12-13T18:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/941008880540139520

@HFtards @joerogan Hoax. The pilot can type whatever they like into that line on the display. It's a memo line.

2017-12-13T17:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940994231685885952

@Christtttmaaaas For looking up the humidity where planes fly, there's a variety of resources listed here.

https://www.metabunk.org/relative-humidity-at-flight-altitudes-resources-and-contrail-prediction.t8084/

I usually use this one:

http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/showmap-conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb

Today: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ8U2SCU8AAA41b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ8U2SCU8AAA41b.jpg

2017-12-13T17:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940993284255526912

@JCASTRONAUT You're welcome!

2017-12-13T17:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940993162553651201

The pristine "magic" bullet from the JFK assassination looks a little less pristine when viewed from the end. It got squished when it hit Connally's wrist sideways. It's a complex sequence of events, but not an impossible one.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Single-bullet_theory#Theorized_path_of_the_bullet_CE399

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ8UNRMUEAA_9qY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ8UNRMUEAA_9qY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQ8TmVAVwAA8OMf.jpg

2017-12-13T17:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940991046967730176

This could leave some interesting contrails

https://twitter.com/flightradar24/status/940946361675145217

2017-12-13T05:27:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940815418113093632

@TiP54 It's actually on the Neversoft Wikipedia page. I'm between Chris Ward and Joel Jewett, the other two founders.

2017-12-13T05:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940812132064681984

@TiP54 Yes, that's my wedding in 1998.

2017-12-12T04:31:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940438817693057024

@yoyosmuggler76 @BIGGBlackDuck @ManneringJohn @FlatEarthCity I remember Jenna. Smart lady.

2017-12-12T04:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940438543448473600

@JBurt1992 Same way a ping-pong ball can cut through a wooden paddle. By going fast.

2017-12-12T04:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940437724405760002

@JBurt1992 Steel with dust on it. Falling.

2017-12-11T05:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/940084059832696833

@JBurt1992 What?

2017-12-10T22:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939992435102384128

@Cresta2323 Not something I'm really interested in, unfortunately. There's probably various

studies that could be quoted.

2017-12-10T22:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939992100111708160

@MoremonJ Thanks! How do you think I could improve?

2017-12-10T22:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939991918120910848

@JBurt1992 Have they not already been debunked? Did you check?

2017-12-10T20:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939950731288657920

@LiveFastCompany Thanks! Although I'd not call them idiots. Something I've learnt over the last few years is that most conspiracy theorist are just normal people. Sure some are a bit dim, but then so are some normal people. There's the full range of humanity on both sides of the line.

2017-12-10T20:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939949795556257792

I'm going to be on the Joe Rogan Experience again on Tuesday Dec 12, sometime after 11AM. We'll be chatting about Flat Earth, Chemtrails, 9/11 Controlled Demolition, and other conspiracy theories. Also how debunking some conspiracy theories does not mean I trust the government!

2017-12-10T19:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939946047266107392

@BIGGBlackDuck @ManneringJohn @yoyosmuggler76 @FlatEarthCity I'm not a flat earther. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8hGAdltf4FI

2017-12-10T19:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939945416564514816

@MadisonstarMoon That's an aerodynamic contrail. They den to be more sensitive to variations in local relative humidity. Like invisible clouds.

2017-12-10T05:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939727706958184449

RT @DianeTurnshek: Two scientists walk into a bar.
"I'll have H2O," says the 1st.
"I'll have H2O, too," says the 2nd.
Bartender gives themâ¦

2017-12-08T23:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939280848565575680

@BIGGBlackDuck @ManneringJohn @yoyosmuggler76 @FlatEarthCity It's not "local". It's 93 million miles away. It's just risen so it's very close of the horizon. Low clouds are lit from below, red. Sky is high, blue. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQj-1nVVwAAuvxo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQj-1nVVwAAuvxo.jpg

2017-12-08T21:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939248368219336708

@HWDConservative @benshapiro And does not exist in much higher resolution images taken at the same time. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjhZ1TUEAUOv5e.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjhZ1TUEAUOv5e.jpg

2017-12-08T21:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939248203869831169

@HWDConservative @benshapiro It doesn't. It also affects half the 1977, the tip of the R, and (the other way around) the start of "Olde" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjhEnrU8AAWugn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjhEnrU8AAWugn.jpg

2017-12-08T21:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939244600140505088

@LunarLemonade @realDailyWire @benshapiro Plus, HD images taken at the exact same time show it's all black.

http://www.telegram.com/storyimage/WT/20171114/NEWS/171119642/AR/0/AR-171119642.jpg

---

2017-12-08T21:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939244405000617984

@LunarLemonade @realDailyWire @benshapiro The gradient change overlaps. The signature appears to be consistent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjdqSKVwAAp_uu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjdqSKVwAAp_uu.jpg

2017-12-08T21:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939243713196310529

@technowizardry Agreed, it does not prove it's authentic. However it does show that ink is all the same. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjdEYzVQAA1cDw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQjdEYzVQAA1cDw.jpg

2017-12-08T21:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/939242938088882176
@MikePrysner @AbbyMartin 2 out of 6? Because the clip highlighted people from abroad, and California has a large population. There were four people from the US. Two from CA. A full demographic breakdown would be interesting though.

2017-12-08T21:12:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939241295884644352
@technowizardry The ink in Moore's signature is all the exact same color (black), the blue shift comes from a chromatic aberration due to depth of field in the CNN image. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T21:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939241221725208576
@technowizardry @Blackdi51264299 The ink in Moore's signature is all the exact same color (black), the blue shift comes from a chromatic aberration due to depth of field in the CNN image. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T21:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939241174136602624
@HWDConservative @benshapiro The ink in Moore's signature is all the exact same color (black), the blue shift comes from a chromatic aberration due to depth of field in the CNN image. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T21:11:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939241016174903296
@montanamickey1 @Imperator_Rex3 The ink in Moore's signature is all the exact same color (black), the blue shift comes from a chromatic aberration due to depth of field in the CNN image. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T21:10:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939240916639932416
@LunarLemonade @realDailyWire @benshapiro The ink in Moore's signature is all the exact same color (black), the blue shift comes from a chromatic aberration due to depth of field in the CNN image. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T20:15:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939226830086619136

@knowledgevendor @benshapiro Nobody doctored the photo, and there's no blue ink. It's chromatic aberration. The signature (and everything else) seems to have been written with the same pen (but not the same hand)
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/

2017-12-08T20:12:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939226197220761600
@BIGGBlackDuck @ManneringJohn @yoyosmuggler76 @FlatEarthCity They are sunsets or sunrises. There's several videos of similar events.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XQKS0kvTWzQ

2017-12-08T06:42:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939022385163878400
@ManneringJohn @miketmbt It's only below the horizon from the point of view of someone on the ground. Often you see the sun illuminating clouds, contrails, and planes after the sun has set.

2017-12-08T06:07:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/939013520993148928
@ShepardAmbellas @Metabunk What's YOUR take Shepard?

2017-12-06T02:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/938228051980173313
@miketmbt @ManneringJohn No it just means that the sun is at or below the horizon. Consider, at noon the sun is above me, up there in the sky. Then at midnight the sun is below me. I'm above the sun.
In fact as soon as the sun sets I'm higher than the sun.

2017-12-05T20:04:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/938137023189204992
@blancs_TX @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 I've read lots of papers on potential SRM geoengineering. None on ACTUAL SRM geoengineering. Experts say trails are just contrails. http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2017-12-05T19:58:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/938135625798004736
@blancs_TX @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 Canisters? You mean planes?

2017-12-05T19:40:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/938130936410996737
@blancs_TX @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 You SEE it? What do you see?

2017-12-05T19:37:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/938130254316519425
@blancs_TX @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 Keith would stay exactly

the same thing now.

2017-12-05T19:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938129001029165056

@blancs_TX @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 You mentioned David Keith. Here's where he says that as far as he knows nobody is doing it.

https://youtu.be/_SFVYRZPXLs?t=12m43s

2017-12-05T16:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938083801745457163

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt You don't really need the calculation as all the clouds are essentially the same distance from the sun. But just consider how they are lit, and how you can see the regions that are lit. It how the light goes from sun to cloud then cloud to camera.

2017-12-05T16:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938081194977017856

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt You are kind of seeing this, but from the other side.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQS7ylbUQAASi41.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQS7ylbUQAASi41.jpg

2017-12-05T16:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938080872326037505

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt In both cases the sun is on the other side of the clouds. looking down though you can only get the effect with the sun a shallow angle.

2017-12-05T16:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938079254725607424

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt The brightness of something lit by a light depends on what is between the light and the object. Why, for example, are some clouds dark in this image?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQS5_0qVwAAqaVG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DQS5_0qVwAAqaVG.jpg

2017-12-05T16:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938077619211272192

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt The brightness of a cloud is based on a number of factors. You seem to be just considering one (angular distance from sun) which only really applies (as a sole factor) with a homogeneous thin layer of cloud.

2017-12-05T16:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938076736603832321

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt Misleading? Well not to me. It shows clouds lit from below. It is perhaps unintuitive.

2017-12-05T16:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938076060196802560

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt By "closest" do you mean physically closest, or closest in the image?

2017-12-05T15:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938074880125181953

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt so if the far clouds are 20 miles away then the near clouds will be (93000000^2)/(93000020^2) = 0.99999957 times as bright. i.e. basically the same.

2017-12-05T15:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938074170344202240

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt Because the sun is really far away it lights up everything nearly equally. Once could do the math for inverse square falloff (distance to sun squared) / (distance to sun plus distance to far clouds squared).

2017-12-05T15:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938065597878886400

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt But it's illuminating the underneath of the cloud layer, because it's at or below the horizon.

2017-12-05T15:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/938060602332692481

@ManneringJohn @miketmbt It's 93,000,000 miles away, not 930,000. It's just setting or rising, so at the horizon in the pics. There's a clear line from the bottom of the clouds to the horizon. But the plane's line of sight is obscured by the clouds.

https://orig00.deviantart.net/38a9/f/2010/005/7/d/sunset_clouds_by_mollyhulo.jpg

---

2017-12-04T23:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/937829723228454912

@ManneringJohn The horizon is under the clouds too.

2017-11-26T17:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/934835979805900801

Do "smoke" trails behind falling objects prove nanothermite was used to destroy WTC? I grabbed a handful of dust, banged it on the top of a sledgehammer, and dropped it off the top of a wall. Result = DUST trail.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-smoke-trails-on-9-11-world-trade-center-falling-debris-dust-powder.t9281/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DPk0PosV4AADEZn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DPk0PosV4AADEZn.jpg

2017-11-22T06:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/933217333677457408

RT @StephenKing: Wait a minute, wait a minute--did Trump just basically endorse an alleged

child molester because he's a conservative and wâ¦

2017-11-20T03:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/932449183113535488

@skeptropolis Not that you really even need gaps. The rails could bend the gajillionth of an inch required

---

2017-11-18T21:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/932003994829914112

@WarForGrace11 @HealthRanger Yes?

2017-11-18T21:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931998355416260608

@WarForGrace11 @HealthRanger It's flyover traffic that leaves contrails. To and from distant cities, 100s of miles away.

2017-11-17T23:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931664511693492224

@tatobin2 The Roy Moore signature colors are just from depth of field chromatic aberration. Straight on images show one color ink.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DO3v0f9UMAA_s0g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DO3v0f9UMAA_s0g.jpg

2017-11-17T17:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931581028233129984

RT @Rainmaker1973: Visualization of the air flow field around the nose landing gear of a Boeing 777. The simulation was run on 5,000 Pleiadâ¦

2017-11-16T23:10:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931298414112915457

@olson_micki @Patrici15767099 @bfraser747 Maybe it's a fake, it's all one colour though. Look at this HD color image. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOyi9FLVQAA7iJ1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOyi9FLVQAA7iJ1.jpg

2017-11-16T21:21:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931271144916660225

@olson_micki @Patrici15767099 @bfraser747 The two colors in the Roy Moore signature are just from the depth of field chromatic aberration. Other photos show one color. And you can duplicate the color change yourself by shooting some text at an angle.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chromatic-aberration.t9253/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOyKHpnV4AAEwHV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOyKHpnV4AAEwHV.jpg

2017-11-16T20:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/931258803479126016

@Wellman_nateW @benshapiro The two colors in the Roy Moore signature are just from the depth of field chromatic aberration. Other photos show one color. And you can duplicate the color change yourself by shooting some text at an angle.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-roy-moore-two-color-yearbook-signature-depth-of-field-chr

omatic-aberration.t9253/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOx-wJgUEAADikX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOx-wJgUEAADikX.jpg

2017-11-13T21:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/930190233273905152

@Rainmaker1973 Technically not smoke, it's a condensation cloud, cooling tower plumes over West Burton power station. Check out this similar "Iron Giant" walking above the clouds.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOix7QCVAAEIDln.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOix7QCVAAEIDln.jpg
2017-11-10T01:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/928792322052993024
@Rainmaker1973 @ElcuervoElias2 I'd say they are about the same here, or slightly more common. It depends where you live, as they generally occur a bit below normal cruise altitudes. The colors are usually pretty unsaturated though. The really impressive captures are rare. Science: http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOO7av-UMAAWubo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DOO7av-UMAAWubo.jpg

2017-11-10T01:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/928790984892104705

@WisdomsGrave That image is from one day in 2004, it's still an unusual occurrence (on that scale), and still just contrails. See:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2017-11-07T18:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927965815864926208

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard No houses exist on the moon, and yet there are patents for houses on the moon. Does that mean there are houses on the moon?

2017-11-07T18:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927965634847055873

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard We've not put a person on Mars yet. A person on Mars has never existed. We HAVE done research on putting a person on Mars.

2017-11-07T18:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927965342466424832

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard "never existed" and "not implemented" are the same thing. Adding a "yet" implies they will be implement, which is very much uncertain now.

2017-11-07T17:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927955143181471744

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard Even something like 36,000 feet vs. 37,000 feet can make all the difference.

2017-11-07T17:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927955018040336384

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard Planes fly between 0 feet and 60,000 feet. But the point remains that different planes will be in different atmospheric conditions

---

2017-11-07T17:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927954636308324352

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard There has been public research into geoengineering for decades. This is nothing new. They just haven't IMPLEMENTED anything yet.

2017-11-07T16:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927931012956303360

@sweetjlowe @redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard Planes at different altitudes are in different atmospheric conditions. Ice forms at one temperature, but a few degrees off and no ice.

2017-11-07T16:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927930770173280256

@MortazaviMrs @redswhinez @sweetjlowe @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard Admit that they have been researching Geoengineering for possible future use? That has never been denied.

2017-11-07T07:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/927793411456884736

@redswhinez @MortazaviMrs @sweetjlowe @NULOOKREFINISH @Skyizblue2 @HealthRanger @Malliard They sure LOOK like contrails.

2017-10-30T18:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/925060529181278208

@iamjohnsmith @RichieAllenShow I could, although I'm not sure I'm the type of guest that Richie usually has on, they seem to tend towards people more supportive of Icke.

2017-10-30T17:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/925048035955974144

@iamjohnsmith What was the question about patents?

2017-10-24T00:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/922621118720233477

@Stevesglobe Those are diffrent planes flying the same route. It's very rare for a plane to fly back and forth.

2017-10-23T21:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/922574094603771904

USS Nimitz "UFO" FLIR footage looks just like a distant plane. Similar to the Chilean Navy case.

https://www.metabunk.org/2004-uss-nimitz-tic-tac-ufo-flir-footage.t9190/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DM2kJ0DVAAEjXcI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DM2kJ0DVAAEjXcI.jpg

2017-10-23T20:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/922565041320181760

@TheSacrilege @marleyengvall @guardianelm @SenatorBaldwin @Marina_Sirtis @FCC So in this case you trust the government science, but not with 9/11?

2017-10-19T22:43:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/921144836140310528

@XRealmtrotterX Depends on exactly what you saw. But an inversion (warm air above cold air) is the basic condition for looming. see: http://aty.sdsu.edu/explain/atmos_refr/bending.html

---

2017-10-19T21:59:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/921133738720862208

@XRealmtrotterX I don't think you could see it from 10'. It's theoretically possible under certain atmospheric conditions, but probably not.

Maybe clouds

2017-10-19T21:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/921122640072290304

@XRealmtrotterX The a discussion of views of Mallorca here, perhaps you would like to add your photos so they can be explained.

https://www.metabunk.org/observations-of-mallorca-island-and-the-earths-curvature.t9097/

2017-10-19T21:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/921122135581405184

@XRealmtrotterX How much of it could you see?

2017-10-14T16:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/919240809714679808

When chatting with people who have outrageous beliefs, anger is always nearby, temptingly easy but best avoided. Calmness works better.

https://twitter.com/mpigliucci/status/918958788945891328

2017-10-14T04:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/919053670909607941

RT @tamarwilner: Just an ordinary day, when presidential threats to 1st amendment are about the fourth most important story. https://t.co/iâ¦

2017-10-11T00:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/917903583697178624

Who thinks Tom DeLonge (of Blink182) is going to reveal something interesting about aliens tomorrow? Not me.

https://www.metabunk.org/blink-182s-tom-delonges-to-the-stars-ufo-disclosure-enterprise.t9155/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DL0MF7TVQAAobme.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DL0MF7TVQAAobme.jpg

2017-10-02T13:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914850430743601154

@Oswald1160 @AllDogsToHeaven @webbery Some people spend several hours a day with model trains, or knitting, or watching TV. I spend it investigating claims of global conspiracy.

2017-10-01T18:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914557608610095104

@Rainmaker1973 it's part of an *illusion*. It's not a mirage, nor is it a fata morgana. It's a normal image of a boat in a misleading context.

2017-10-01T18:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914555737304555525

@Rainmaker1973 Here's a clearer example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DLEnlizUEAAk_Zn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DLEnlizUEAAk_Zn.jpg

2017-10-01T18:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914555148889841664

@Rainmaker1973 I've just written up my thoughts on this in more detail with a few more examples: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fata-morgana-hovering-boat-mirages.t9112/

2017-10-01T17:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914546490260979714

@Rainmaker1973 I think it's not a Fata Morgana, it's not even a mirage. It's a normally viewed boat beyond a false horizon created by reflected fog.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DLEfJSfU8AAiWGg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DLEfJSfU8AAiWGg.jpg

2017-09-30T19:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/914207759641350144

@Daily_Express It's quote possible that the Cuba "Sonic Attacks" are just a kind of mass hysteria

https://www.metabunk.org/sonic-attacks-at-the-us-embassy-in-cuba-mass-hysteria.t9102/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK_q6SFVAAAApFY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK_q6SFVAAAApFY.jpg
2017-09-29T21:36:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/913880070279749632
Could the "Sonic Attacks" at the US Embassy in Cuba possibly just be mass hysteria?
https://www.metabunk.org/sonic-attacks-at-the-us-embassy-in-cuba-mass-hysteria.t9102/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK7A070UIAA7HiN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK7A070UIAA7HiN.jpg
2017-09-29T18:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/913837394285043712
@marleyengvall @guardianelm @SenatorBaldwin @Marina_Sirtis @FCC The planes and the fires? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK6aOsIVYAEQV86.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DK6aOsIVYAEQV86.jpg

2017-09-27T05:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/912906555456094210

@Stevesglobe Those are ballast barrels. Look them up.

Did you check out those cloud books?

2017-09-27T04:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/912897922408288257

@Stevesglobe Why do you think that? Have you looked it up in any book on clouds?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2017-09-26T18:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/912748991074283520

@Stevesglobe But aerodynamic contrails do not come from the engine.

2017-09-26T18:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/912748869984821248

@Stevesglobe This is a fuel dump. See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fuel_dumping

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKq8IkMUEAANo4p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKq8IkMUEAANo4p.jpg

2017-09-26T17:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/912731552294985728

@Stevesglobe That's a contrail. It's a aerodynamic contrail, the science of which Mr Wignington studiously ignores.

https://www.metabunk.org/halifax-ns-regularly-spaced-gaps-in-contrails-ethiopian-flight-501.t8953/

2017-09-22T20:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/911323704621121536

Seems unlikely that Guajataca Dam dam breach would affect communities much outside the deep ravine of the Rio Guajataca. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKWrrUxUEAA8pUa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKWrrUxUEAA8pUa.jpg

2017-09-19T15:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/910165769262030848

@webbery http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGO7BgVoAAogkH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGO7BgVoAAogkH.jpg

2017-09-19T15:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/910165609811394560

@webbery http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGOxguVoAAQN_9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGOxguVoAAQN_9.jpg

2017-09-19T15:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/910160870600171520

@webbery Virgin Flight 7 made the zigzag. Adjustment for spacing on the north atlantic track

https://www.metabunk.org/zigzag-contrail-on-terra-image.t9065/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGKQEFUQAAK46g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGKO_WUQAApqrg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DKGKQEFUQAAK46g.jpg
2017-09-19T05:08:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/910007543996358656
@webbery Cool, thanks!

2017-09-18T04:59:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909643108735844353
@stang289 Couple more for you :) Good luck.

https://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJ-xj4dU8AAQFt3.jpg:large

2017-09-18T01:14:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909586489943531520
@dshalom11 There's a lot of problems with that study. For a start it's not really a new one, nor is it finished.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-09-18T01:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909586448382226432
@MintPressNews There's a lot of problems with that study. For a start it's not really a new one, nor is it finished.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-09-17T05:23:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909286553024839680
@END_THE_DUOPOLY @Oswald1160 @originalmrphil @FlatEarthCity @kingdonomics @polNewsInfinity Do the math.

2017-09-16T21:16:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909164124638035968
@END_THE_DUOPOLY @Oswald1160 @originalmrphil @FlatEarthCity @kingdonomics @polNewsInfinity Yes. He discusses my reply here:
https://stopsprayingus.com/mick-west-replies-poorly/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJ3_8U7VoAAafxD.jpg

Here's Mick West's reply in its entirety:-

> *"It's a good question, because it shows that you are aware that contrails actually CAN persist and spread.*
> *Contrails need a relative humidity over with respect to ice of over 100% to persist and spread. This is nothing to do with the presence r absence of nuclei, as they need nuclei to form regardless.*
> *100% RHI is about 60% RHW (the more common RH value, and the one given in the soundings, with respect to water).*
> *Soundings unfortunately are a terrible way of getting local humidity at high altitudes in cold air. Firstly they are spaced apart, in time by 12 hours, and in space by around 200 miles. Humidity can vary vastly in a few hundred feet, which is why we sometime get individual clouds instead of huge sheets.*
> *An equal problem is that the reading for humidity basically stop working below -40°, and are quite inaccurate at lower altitudest.*
> *See Figure 2 here:*
> *http://radiometrics.com/data/uploads/2012/11/Refsonde-GRL.pdf*"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJ3_8U7VoAAafxD.jpg
2017-09-16T18:29:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/909121988165214209
@Oswald1160 @END_THE_DUOPOLY @originalmrphil @FlatEarthCity @kingdonomics @polNewsInfinity Like here. Green areas are likely contrails.

http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/showmap-conusupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb

---

2017-09-16T06:13:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/908936862797930502
@dragonsoo32
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-cloud-seeding-weather-modification-in-texas-before-hurricane-harvey.t9032/

2017-09-15T19:13:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/908770684263817216
@necrosofty Unfortunately no. It was just gathering dust at Neversoft last I saw. But I had nowhere to put it at home.

2017-09-15T18:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/908754560323166208
@necrosofty I was programming the Saturn. :)
http://cowboyprogramming.com/2010/06/03/1995-programming-on-the-sega-saturn/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJyLW6yUIAAp_Fy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJyLW6yUIAAp_Fy.jpg
2017-09-15T14:16:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/908695949207146496
@An0nKn0wledge @Z0mbieGh0st @ActivistPost You mean the 1990 USAF Academy chemistry 131 textbook that was riffing on the "Contrails" handbook name?
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual-riff-on-contrails-handbook.t352/

2017-09-15T04:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/908555172661432326
@Z0mbieGh0st @An0nKn0wledge @ActivistPost It's mostly last year's "study" and has many flaws and errors.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-09-15T04:55:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/908554787012014081
@TruthSeekerJedi It didn't actually find that. It's mostly last year's "study" and has many flaws.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-09-15T04:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/908554592069099520

@SOTTnet No, it didn't.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/

2017-09-14T05:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/908198817685581824

2017 study that "proves" WTC7 didn't collapse due to fires is pretty much the same as the 2016 one, similar problems

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-uaf-study-shows-wtc7-could-not-have-collapsed-from-fire.t9056/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJqRewfV4AA3bLN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJqRewfV4AA3bLN.jpg

2017-09-11T04:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/907096056407584768

@END_THE_DUOPOLY @originalmrphil @FlatEarthCity @kingdonomics @polNewsInfinity Like what, for example?

2017-09-09T06:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/906403780387790848

@Kal1_Furies @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 Doesn't ring any bells. Maybe you are thinking of water injection? That was (incorrectly) proposed to explain WWII contrails

---

2017-09-09T05:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/906392735686340608

@Kal1_Furies @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 I don't think lead was ever a factor in contrail formation. AVGas (prop planes) is still leaded. Never had lead in jet fuel.

2017-09-08T17:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/906200747494195201

@Kal1_Furies @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 Atmospheric conditions are the major factor. Likely ANY plane would have made trails there.

2017-09-08T17:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/906200480769916928

@Kal1_Furies @GeoeWatchDebunk @Joespha1981 @mikejacobsen99 Contrails have been commonly observed for decades. Their formation is reasonably well understood. What do you suspect here?

2017-09-08T00:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/905947995090280449

@Kal1_Furies @OpChemtrails Doesn't that mean you can choose what to believe on arbitrary criteria?

2017-09-07T19:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/905870602401079300

Hurricane Andrew was the most destructive hurricane on record to hit Florida. Irma is about twice the size of Andrew at its peak http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJJLkUKUEAA03TD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJJLkUKUEAA03TD.jpg

2017-09-07T18:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/905865838883880960

RT @JoelNihlean: @EricHolthaus I combined the images of Hurricane Andrew (1992) & Hurricane Irma (today) at scale in a gif. Irma is a damnâ□¦

2017-09-06T19:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/905510203717689344

@Borislizardking https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2017-09-06T14:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/905437778535579648

@OpChemtrails Here's an extract for that paper that shows that solar geoengineering is not happening yet. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJDCvfrUQAEx2ta.jpg

---

1 Introduction

Solar geoengineering has been proposed as a way to counter the effects of global warming induced by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions (e.g., Crutzen, 2006; Robock et al., 2009). By reducing incoming solar radiation, solar geoengineering would bring the climate with elevated concentrations of CO_2 into radiative balance. It would compensate for a change in surface temperature from the increased CO_2 trapping of outgoing longwave radiation with a reduction in incoming shortwave radiation. Solar geoengineering is a controversial proposal, but should it come into favor due to continued greenhouse gas emissions, it is critical that the climate effects be understood before deployment (NRC, 2015).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DJDCvfrUQAEx2ta.jpg
2017-08-31T17:07:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/903303209787129856
@hoaxeye @R_Tehubijuluw @ufoofinterest @SSDavidT I think @ufoofinterest has this one covered. There's no crater in high-res images. Just lighting and image artifacts here.

2017-08-29T21:23:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/902642858468941824
@DriftingYarnFly @Oswald1160 @eddiebravo Yeah sorry I was not clear, I meant experimenting with airliners spraying trails. Not normal cloud seeding.

2017-08-29T16:12:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/902564573797945346
@DriftingYarnFly @Oswald1160 @eddiebravo It's real cloud seeding. Cloud seeding is nothing new. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2VpyeuQLjrg

---

2017-08-19T02:04:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/898727350799548417
@BayleeB79 I debunk all kinds of things. False claims are everywhere.

2017-08-18T18:20:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/898610564758257664
Explained: Charlottesville Car Attack License Plates - Ohio GVF 1111 and 1122 (moved from KY to OH, reregistered)
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-charlottesville-car-attack-license-plates-ohio-gvf-1111-and-gvf-1122.t8997/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DHiBYMRUQAEHhHg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DHiBYMRUQAEHhHg.jpg

2017-08-17T20:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/898278106024116224

@DesertErrorist @icp That he used to see them all the time when he was a kid?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DHdS-5FVYAAtQJn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DHdS-5FVYAAtQJn.jpg

2017-08-14T17:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/897146608818597888

RT @GeoeWatchDebunk: Photos of contrails in the 1987 edition of the International Cloud Atlas (same were included in 1956 edition) @Joesphaâ□¦

2017-08-11T23:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/896147100152479746

@skeptropolis It's not a weather balloon. It's a communication balloon. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-satellite-crashing-attached-to-a-balloon-googles-project-loon.t8880/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DG_A_LXV0AECd6f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DG_A_LXV0AECd6f.jpg

2017-08-09T23:40:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/895429642550628352

@AnarchoCapital2 @whosaracist I didn't wear one.

2017-08-06T18:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/894268080326443008

@AnarchoCapital2 @_Z__ @hollyhaygood @RebornDeutsch Or perhaps shows that refraction is slightly more complex than a single number?

2017-08-06T06:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/894081610617806849

Weird fireball UFO? Nope, just another sunlit contrail. About 100 miles away, so an odd perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-manurewa-nz-extra-terrestrial-fireball-jumps-up-contrail-altitude-change.t8975/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGhqS4YVoAM1Nd6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGhqS4YVoAM1Nd6.jpg

2017-08-06T02:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/894016830611767296
@donttrythis About three seconds. The kitchen did it.

2017-08-04T17:26:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/893523653597450240
@ufoofinterest @hoaxeye An explanation of why the "UFO" goes "behind" the dark areas. Probably out-of-focus birds, additive transparency.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGXnsJ75Eq4

2017-08-03T17:26:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/893161207233826817
@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Jamey_H @miketmbt Cars are small and don't generally drive at -40Â°C. But when they do, yes they can alter the weather.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGUlS1rVoAAZTru.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGUlS1rVoAAZTru.jpg
2017-08-02T23:31:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/892890600986386432
@Jamey_H @rezn8d Ethiopian flight 501
https://www.metabunk.org/halifax-ns-regularly-spaced-gaps-in-contrails-ethiopian-flight-501.t8953/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGQvNdmUMAAeKnu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGQvNdmUMAAeKnu.jpg

2017-08-01T17:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/892430038527651840

@MoremonJ @joerogan There's a link with explanations. The chart just illustrates the impossible scale of what is claimed.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.t165/

2017-08-01T16:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/892427538898632704

@joerogan That "missing" $2.3 Trillion on 9/11? It's the sum of non-code accounting transactions. Not missing.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.t165/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGKJqMWUQAExFiX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DGKJqMWUQAExFiX.jpg

2017-07-28T20:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/891026451251609601

@miketmbt I think they say the sun moves north or south of the equator on the disk (meaning it also has to speed up and slow down).

2017-07-28T00:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890725647433089024

@gerrycan1 No a request? What is it then?

It's just a function of the software. Nothing's actually happening.

2017-07-27T19:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890653983131303936

@skeptropolis 64K shares on FB. I posted the Little Tensas Bayou video as a followup. It won't do as well, but every little helps

https://www.facebook.com/Metabunk/posts/1622669564424204

2017-07-27T19:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890652005714112512

@skeptropolis I'm a big fan of coffee, why?

2017-07-27T02:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890393323835342848

@benrothke All the legwork, death defying videos, etc, are by @skeptropolis. I'm just trying get more FE folk to look carefully at it.

2017-07-27T02:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890392791494217728

@skeptropolis http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFtPgp4UMAAIzvY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFtPgp4UMAAIzvY.jpg

2017-07-26T18:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/890281108977238016

@MaxPlane_ @merrillmatter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFrp7sEUMAAo47J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFrp7sEUMAAo47J.jpg
2017-07-26T01:01:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/890014203687206912
@einarkuusk @seeplaneearth Saw some. You are not going to detect the curve of the horizon from the beach though. You need 400+ feet. Taut string is better.

2017-07-25T23:39:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/889993435175829504
@MarkuzOcampo Thousands of people go there.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fox79xddlRE

2017-07-25T23:36:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/889992759418867713
@einarkuusk @seeplaneearth Bad focus, and atmospheric turbulence. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/the-p900-rippling-orb-effect-taking-photos-of-venus.t8299/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DFnjl0AVYAABfyo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/DFnjl0AVYAABfyo.jpg

2017-07-25T18:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889919983307849732

@red_____dragon @OpChemArchives It's -40Â° at 37,000 feet, so why would a contrail melt?

2017-07-25T18:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889919771986276352

@MarkuzOcampo Which bit of the Antarctic treaty says you can't go there without supervision?

2017-07-25T16:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889881877364056064

@seeplaneearth Have you tried focussing on a planet properly with a P900 or similar? You can see the crescent.

2017-07-25T04:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889709575657504768

@einarkuusk @seeplaneearth Do you have an example of this "bizarre sight"?

2017-07-25T02:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889675410220302336

@seeplaneearth @einarkuusk But you can zoom in on them, and see the details. Like the moons of Jupiter. And the crescents.

2017-07-24T19:05:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889562156319948805

@seeplaneearth @einarkuusk Planets reflect light off the sun. Because they are small you get bokeh. It's quite distinctive, and you can fix it with focus.

2017-07-24T00:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889285178664681472

@dontpanicbjtj So why can't you see the beach below the rocks?

2017-07-23T17:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889172626236555264

@davino1959 I think we live on a globe. You need forced perspective to see the curve over the horizon as it's slight. Like looking down a pool cue

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFb5xvPWsAIkTiX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFb5xvPWsAIkTiX.jpg

2017-07-23T17:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/889172152129249280

@merrillmatter "bendy light" and/or "perspective"

2017-07-23T00:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888921458797199360

@merrillmatter Because they think it works something like this (although they are unclear on the precise details) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFYVPs_VYAAuGkb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFYVPs_VYAAuGkb.jpg

2017-07-23T00:30:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888919148029333509

@skeptropolis Shared your Pontchartrain curve spotting on Facebook. Quite popular.

https://www.facebook.com/Metabunk/posts/1617280934963067

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFYTM__UwAEEnbA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFYTM__UwAEEnbA.jpg

2017-07-22T20:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888853978838048768

"Where's the curve" the #FlatEarth folk ask. One man goes to great lengths to show it to them. Can they see it now?

https://www.metabunk.org/soundly-proving-the-curvature-of-the-earth-at-lake-pontchartrain.t8939/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFXXvyZUQAAxN77.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DFXXvyZUQAAxN77.jpg

2017-07-21T23:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888543976998846464

@miketmbt @wildsideisok @Metabunk Yes, but It's relevant in any very large site where you are doing things relative to a level altitude. Level is a curved surface.

2017-07-21T17:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888452316860342272

@miketmbt @wildsideisok @Metabunk Curvature is accounted for mostly by using a midpoint halfway between foresight and backsight reading, so curvature error cancels out

---

2017-07-21T05:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/888273025598070785

@wildsideisok How many geodetic surveyors have you talked to?

2017-07-19T17:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/887730109896994818

RT @NWSSacramento: Check out this smoke plume from the #DetwilerFire in Mariposa County as seen by #GOES16. Preliminary, non-operational daâ□¦

2017-07-14T06:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/885744344635854848

@hoaxeye @ufoofinterest The Express is just junk.,

2017-07-11T02:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/884598539296284672

@END_THE_DUOPOLY I've seen two this week.

2017-07-11T02:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/884598381468729344

@END_THE_DUOPOLY It's true. It's like the deerpocalpse round here.

2017-07-10T03:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/884255850369437697

@deejay_dnn I found it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DEWB-vfUQAEZkeG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DEWB-vfUQAEZkeG.jpg

2017-07-09T15:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/884075418059382785

@ufoofinterest And it was actually debunked by Trailblazer, not me.

2017-07-08T20:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/883789441361264640

How long are these rods? How much do they bend? Not what you think.

Forced perspective leads to planes becoming UFOs

https://www.metabunk.org/some-perspective-on-perspective-foreshortening-contrails-and-ufos.t8898/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DEPZd_-UwAA56ts.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DEPZd_-UwAA56ts.jpg

2017-07-08T05:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/883558183167344640

RT @antmarcarr: Startrails over the @ucdscience 40cm @WatcherUCD telescope near

Bloemfontein in South Africa #astrophotography https://t.coâ¦

2017-06-30T20:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/880882069970206720

@JeffSciarappa I was using "GE" as an abbreviation for Geoengineering.

2017-06-30T18:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/880851989298520064

RT @ufoofinterest: Orbs w/ brights dots: lens flares mistaken for UFOs often show strange artifacts. Here's an explanation by @MickWest httâ¦

2017-06-29T21:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/880533091558674433

@stang289 Progress! :)

2017-06-29T18:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/880486295939596288

@stang289

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-viral-video-of-787-leaving-thick-contrails-with-forced-perspective.t8836/

2017-06-25T19:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/879061084455305216

@WilliamWWilson4 Water does not flow uphill. It flows towards lower elevations, relative to local gravity.

2017-06-22T17:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/877936850249203712

@TheOutThere2016 @ufoofinterest The older hand had more bones in the fingers than that seal flipper.

2017-06-22T06:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/877769140634701826

@DriftingYarnFly @eddiebravo I'm not aware of anyone doing any such experimentation. Nor of any evidence suggestion that they are.

2017-06-21T17:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/877575870210125824

@eddiebravo Seems like the same old stuff, explained years ago. Where's the actual evidence these are not just contrails?

2017-06-20T05:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/877042061354061824

@TurkeyTalking It's just a contrail with forced perspective and good lighting.

2017-06-17T20:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/876173879806369792

@MarkuzOcampo drone or kite

2017-06-17T19:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/876167226411302912

A year of skies over Berlin. https://twitter.com/A3803/status/876166793156493312

2017-06-17T19:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/876167020760268801

@iamjohnsmith @OpChemArchives

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.t3704/

2017-06-17T19:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/876166818364145664

@MarkuzOcampo Illusion caused by parallax. Car is moving, the plane is moving. The car is much closer to the trees, so the plane stays above trees.

2017-06-17T05:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875947931148328961

@brucebanner5280 @OpChemtrails 1. Why would a pic of cloud need to prove nec cond?. 2. They do that sometimes. 3. Yes, clouds are black sometimes, depends on light.

2017-06-17T05:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875945092992729088

@brucebanner5280 @OpChemtrails Well what exactly is it about the image that you want explaining? You've seen contrails. You've seen sunsets. What is the question?

2017-06-17T02:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875908742109216768

@brucebanner5280 @OpChemtrails Sunset with contrails.

2017-06-15T21:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875459865447088128

@skeptropolis FIxed GEMaker, sorry!

2017-06-15T17:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875405041862975488

@ihollowed How accelerometers work:

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-a-phone-spirit-level-works-around-the-world-accelerometers-are-scales.t8817/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCYQHdzVYAAcQYb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCYQHdzVYAAcQYb.jpg

2017-06-15T17:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875404484435824640

Can you prove a #flatearth with a phone level? No, no more than you can with a scale. Accelerometers ARE scales.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-a-phone-spirit-level-works-around-the-world-accelerometers-are-scales.t8817/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCYPKifUIAAEdmd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCYPKifUIAAEdmd.jpg

2017-06-15T04:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875208252308324352

@dmarble1 @Valuable2017 Gravity, surface tension, curved tube.

2017-06-14T22:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875119551825952769

@Kal1_Furies 50 or more is just one day. The count is the number of days per year on which they are observed.

2017-06-14T22:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875119311555141632

@Kal1_Furies You could probably find webcam archives to count them more accurately. Also going to vary with weather cycles, El Nino, etc.

2017-06-14T22:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875117863798886400

@Kal1_Furies I'm near Sacramento. Where are you?

2017-06-14T22:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875117057406255107

@Kal1_Furies I'd say I probably see them less than one day a week. And I watch the sky a lot.

2017-06-14T22:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875116297754779648

@Kal1_Furies Depends on your location on the Globe 1 or 2 a week is still a reasonable figure. Now and in 1823. Have you counted?

2017-06-14T16:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875029875026927617

@Kal1_Furies Not really.

https://www.metabunk.org/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literature.t6742/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCS6_YhV0AE6bmZ.jpg

---



Encyclopedia Brittanica, 1823
https://books.google.com/books?id=vVQ0AQAAMAAJ&dq=encyclopedia britannica halo&pg=PA673#v=onepage&q=encyclopedia britannica halo&f=false

'3] C O R

the outermost circle was a bright red. In 1728, one was seen of a pale red outwardly, then followed yellow, and then green, terminated by a white. Corona.

These coronas are very frequent. In Holland, M. Muschenbroeck says, 50 may be seen in the day-time, almost every year; but they are difficult to be observed, except the eye be so situated, that not the body of the sun, but only the neighbouring parts of the heavens, can be seen. Mr Middleton says, that this phenomenon is very frequent in North America; for that there is generally one or two about the sun every week, and as many about the moon every month. Halos round the sun are very frequent in Russia. M. Æpinus says, that from the 23d of April 1758, to the 20th of September, he himself had observed no less than 26, and that he has sometimes seen twice as many in the same sp ce of time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCS6_YhV0AE6bmZ.jpg

2017-06-14T16:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/875029780155912192

@OpChemtrails No it didn't.

https://www.metabunk.org/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literat

ure.t6742/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCS6717UMAEahng.jpg



Encyclopedia Brittanica, 1823
https://books.google.com/books?id=vVQ0AQAAMAAJ&dq=encyclopedia britannica halo&pg=PA673#v=onepage&q=encyclopedia britannica halo&f=false

'3] C O R

the outermost circle was a bright red. In 1728, one was seen of a pale red outwardly, then followed yellow, and then green, terminated by a white. Corona.

These coronas are very frequent. In Holland, M. Muschenbroeck says, 50 may be seen in the day-time, almost every year; but they are difficult to be observed, except the eye be so situated, that not the body of the sun, but only the neighbouring parts of the heavens, can be seen. Mr Middleton says, that this phenomenon is very frequent in North America; for that there is generally one or two about the sun every week, and as many about the moon every month. Halos round the sun are very frequent in Russia. M. Æpinus says, that from the 23d of April 1758, to the 20th of September, he himself had observed no less than 26, and that he has sometimes seen twice as many in the same space of time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DCS6717UMAEahng.jpg

2017-06-13T22:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874758724505575424

@skeptropolis You're welcome. Are you using it for the causeway?

2017-06-13T14:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874636767835455489

Just in case you were wondering what your city looked like on a #flatearth, here's a technique for simulating it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jOQSxal-2FM

2017-06-12T07:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874171645501493248

@EyeOfTheTiler That's a good approximation for smaller distances, yes.

2017-06-12T06:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874149804296753152

@ihollowed https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ey0VyXGZFwA

2017-06-11T21:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874008966140903425

@FlatEarthMemes @dmarble1 No, it would have to be a contact thermometer. Otherwise you are just measuring what it is reflecting. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gfe5QoESNh4

2017-06-11T20:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/874006653489762304

@FlatEarthMemes @dmarble1 You can't measure the temperature of reflective aluminum with an IR thermometer.

2017-06-11T02:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/873728167470448640

@FaithfulWordKJV @JasonMMAref Not according to science, and all the books on clouds for 70 years.

2017-06-11T02:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/873727055069798400

@trutherbotgreen Except there's no real SRM geoengineering. And there's lots of contrails that people call "chemtrails".

2017-06-07T15:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872477866360938496

@Enlilsoeaks @UFOTruth_Seeker "needs" is a bit strong. I just follow "chemtrail" tweets, and I like explaining misconceptions.

2017-06-07T15:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872477289744785409

@deejay_dnn That's a fisheye lens. You can't use that. Find video without fisheye. You can see the fisheye

distortion here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=93iwouFwejE

2017-06-06T21:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872206767454801922

@UFOTruth_Seeker No, it's perspective. Think about a plane flying directly towards you from 100 miles away. What would the path look like in the sky?

2017-06-06T21:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872198501710548992

@UFOTruth_Seeker It's just an overhead path. How else will it go from one side of you to the other?

2017-06-06T21:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872198067356745728

@EyeonicDJ Ah, humor :)

I've ordered on of these scales to repeat the experiment.

2017-06-06T16:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/872131331307610112

@EyeonicDJ You think that helps the FE case? I'm not sure what you mean.

2017-06-06T06:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/871970214530785280

Great demonstration by Cody's Lab of how location affects weight.

https://www.metabunk.org/codys-lab-how-weight-changes-with-location.t8783/#post-206982

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBncR2vUAAAIsri.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBncR2vUAAAIsri.jpg

2017-06-05T15:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/871752947188748288

@SinsiMillas @jeranism You are not banned. That post was removed for politeness issues and not providing a link to your claim. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBkWkEQU0AAC--_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBkWkEQU0AAC--_.jpg

2017-06-03T16:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/871047699092471808

@georgepetraki11 How flat is a ball, close up?

2017-06-03T16:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/871044376763310080

Did anyone actually believe in #flatearth, but now does not? I'd like to talk to you. Anonymously if needed. email metabunk@gmail.com

---

2017-06-03T16:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/871042938981072896

@georgepetraki11 Flat, or just very large?

2017-05-30T18:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/869626190943797248

@FlattyPlaner @1stTryScience @sleddriver73 @mitchkochka @neiltyson Why do you think on some days you see more, and some days see less (of Toronto, from that same spot).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBGIYyJUQAIj4KA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBGIYyJUQAIj4KA.jpg

2017-05-30T15:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/869570549999034369

@GodTruth7 That appears to be a fisheye camera. Try with a rectilinear lens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBFVzEJUAAENoXV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DBFVzEJUAAENoXV.jpg

2022-06-12T04:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535845034418790401

@smlsunburst @HeyLukOverThere @EricRWeinstein Where do they say anything like â□□we are repeatedly being visited by non human technologyâ□□?

2022-06-11T18:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535684440885633024

@kimball_23 @akareyon Here's some examples.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU_YhaBVEAANOxo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU_YhaBVEAANOxo.jpg

2022-06-11T18:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535683777699012608

@bbman182 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @ShiftingShane Unexplainable != aliens (probably)

Unexplainable == not enough data

2022-06-11T17:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535682913492119552

@kimball_23 It's a common thing in conspiracy culture - "First they ignore you, then they laugh at you, then they fight you, then you winâ□□ - used as an indication that they are on the right track

---

2022-06-11T17:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535671510064517120
We should question accepted wisdom and bow to the weight of evidence. BUT we should also avoid treating ridicule as a badge of honor, or an indication of correctness

Sagan said: They laughed at Columbus, Fulton, and the Wright brothers. But they also laughed at Bozo the Clown. https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/1535663416018456579

2022-06-11T17:05:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535669446970224641
@UnpluggedUser @promptonia @thieme @PostDisclosure You clearly think the ETH is the most likely. There's no shame in that. Why are you shying away from it?

2022-06-11T16:54:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535666876264591360
@UnpluggedUser @promptonia @thieme @PostDisclosure
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU_Iz3vVEAA2Wea.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU_Iz3vVEAA2Wea.jpg
2022-06-11T16:44:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535664183982796800
@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem Didn't they identify the Bass Strait? Some were local fishermen, and a "pleasure craft" (presumable a regular local private boat)

2022-06-11T16:40:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535663333252005888
@caitgaist Yes. Drones have their engines in specific places for practical reasons (as do planes). So it would seem likely that an example could be found. It LOOKS like twin engines, under wings

---

2022-06-11T16:03:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535653998190993408
@thieme @Channa_Sr @CanesReign @EricRWeinstein That's literally the topic of this thread. Not dismissed at all.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/uss-kidd-and-other-ships-drones-encounter-2019.11681/#post-246769

2022-06-11T15:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535652377742569472

@Spectre67904513 @caitgaist How is it a "ruse?"

2022-06-11T15:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535652247224262656

@Channa_Sr @caitgaist @Spectre67904513 One thing is not all things. I think the majority of the reports of drones were most likely drones.
I think the ONE green triangle is more likely a plane.
I think the new photo LOOKS LIKE a plane.

That's all.

2022-06-11T15:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535651833984655360

@Channa_Sr @DeepSpace41 @TheQuengineer @MiddleOfMayhem @strategic_doubt @MC05A https://twitter.com/Oswald1160/status/1535514685692334080

2022-06-11T15:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535648093193940994

@Spectre67904513 @caitgaist I don't think the UAP issue is a government ruse.

If you want to accuse me of saying something, then quote it.

2022-06-11T15:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535646467624316928

@Channa_Sr @EireScientist So, I was right, but you still think I'm saying something else?

Where did I say the Navy has no other data. OBVIOUSLY, they have terabytes of data. The question is what it shows.

2022-06-11T15:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535645979994509312

@ChrisSPITZER7 first noticed this similarity (with a regular plane). It's hard not to see it once it's pointed out. But is it legit?

2022-06-11T15:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535645607301218305

@asvmac On a regular plane, like a 737, yes. But on a UAV? Which one?

2022-06-11T15:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535645422005260288

Here's a comparison video of the new US Navy "drone" photo, with a plane from various angles. It could be a match, but is it just a UAV with the motors in the right place? Or a larger drone?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1535645046896136192/pu/img/_ZkGQ_jr-h0Je_ih.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1535645046896136192/pu/img/_ZkGQ_jr-h0Je_ih.jpg
2022-06-11T15:27:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535644988666564608
What model of UAV has a heat source configuration like the aircraft on the bottom here?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-0z5oUUAESegN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-0z5oUUAESegN.jpg
2022-06-11T15:25:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535644494862745601
@Channa_Sr @EireScientist Didn't the recent revelations just match my #1 hypothesis?

https://twitter.com/Oswald1160/status/1535514685692334080

2022-06-11T14:52:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535636136244064256
Browsing the New York Times archive is fascinating. Loads of articles and letters on UFOs from 70+ years ago that read like they were written last week.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-s5MJVEAAx1xE.jpg

THOSE FLYING SAUCERS: ARE OR AREN'T THEY?

Everybody, Including the President, Is Puzzled and Many Guesses Are Made

By JOSEPH NOLAN

The mystery of the "flying saucers" was accorded renewed attention last week, this time even by President Truman himself. The President said he was just as puzzled as the next fellow by the latest flurry of reports about weird and wonderful sights in the sky.

A police chief in Illinois swore he saw a "strange disk-like object, reddish in the center, with blue lights on the outer edges." Residents of an Ohio city reported "two bright lights in the sky," each trailing a streak of orange flame. Other "saucer" stories popped up in such widely scattered points as Turkey, Argentina, Germany, China and Chile.

The reports were reminiscent of was advanced recently by True Magazine. It has a counterpart in stories making the rounds on the West Coast to the effect that one of the disks crashed and that a body was thrown free of the wreckage, the body of a monkey-like creature about three feet tall. The Air Force insists that its investigations "lend no support to the view that the 'saucers' may come from another planet."

Despite the frequency with which the flying disks have turned up, most people have trouble discussing them with a straight face. Soviet Deputy Foreign Minister Andrei A. Gromyko, in a rare moment of whimsy, suggested that perhaps

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-s5MJVEAAx1xE.jpg

'Flying Saucers' Were Big Balloons It Used for Research, Navy Reveals

The Office of Naval Research disclosed in Washington yesterday that all reliable reports of "flying saucers" made in the last three and one half years were attributable to sightings of a huge new plastic balloon used by the Navy in cosmic ray studies at upper altitudes.

This explanation for the persistence of flying saucer reports despite official denials by the Armed Forces and the President, among others, that this nation was being invaded from Mars or elsewhere by two-foot "men" in strange machines, was made by Dr. Urner Liddel. Dr. Liddel, who was reached by telephone, is chief of the nuclear physics branch of the research office.

rays and other phenomena of the atmosphere. Thereafter, according to Dr. Liddel, reports of "saucers" coincided roughly with the number of such balloons sent up by the Navy, disregarding, of course, those reports obviously the doings of crackpots or hoaxers.

The Navy balloons, Dr. Liddel declared, sometimes rose to a height of 100,000 feet (about nineteen miles) and there were swept along by winds of 200 miles an hour or more. The balloons, called skyhooks by the Navy researchers, were released at many points in the country and were free to travel to unlimited distances. At dusk on a summer evening would be the best time to see such a "saucer," Dr. Liddel said, and a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-sW1jVUAAJlZO.jpg

U.F.O.'s

TO THE EDITOR:

I READ with considerable interest the review by Willy Ley of John W. Macvey's "Alone in the Universe?" (Dec. 8). I thought the review was good with one exception. Ley remarks: "Macvey should be forgiven for displaying too much credulity in dealing with U.F.O.'s because a Scotsman may not be aware of how tall American tall tales can be." The implication of this is that we have here a purely American phenomenon. This is simply not so. For example, J. Allen Hynek, who has for the past 15 years been the head Air Force consultant on U.F.O. (he is also head of an observatory, a university department of astronomy and an officer of the American Astronomical Assoc.) says in an article published last April in the Yale Scientific Magazine, that England has had the highest concentration of U.F.O. sightings. Further that they have been seen all over the world, and continue to be seen in large numbers. Dr. Hynek has access to official data on the subject and should know of what he speaks . . .

GORDON H. EVANS.
Hudson, N. Y.

The New York Times
Published: January 5, 1964
Copyright © The New York Times

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-r-WPUsAEQ2sJ.jpg

The lack of critical ability of Darrach and Ginna is shown by their ready acceptance of the report that Kenneth Arnold presented in 1947—a report in which he maintained that he saw a chain of "saucerlike things" approaching Mount Rainier at a speed of 1,200 miles an hour. No doubt Arnold saw something—in fact, he is the man who launched the flying saucer saga—but no human eye can tell what is flashing past at 1,200 miles an hour at a range of twenty-five miles. It always arouses suspicion when observers, even honest observers like Arnold, are able to give the exact height, size and distance of an unfamiliar object in the sky.

The New York Times

Published: April 13, 1952

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU-r8iPUUAAb7Hq.jpg

2022-06-11T14:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535631975163187201

@BKolbey @6diego32 Ignore? Iâ□□ve interviewed both Day and Voorhis.

2022-06-11T14:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535629574993694725

This does look more like a plane than a drone.

https://twitter.com/ChrisSPITZER7/status/1535602695201075200

2022-06-11T04:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535483388315852800

RT @MiddleOfMayhem: :police_car_light:BREAKING:police_car_light: The "UFOs" that swarmed US Navy ships in 2019 were indeed drones. Per documents, â□□Quadcopter style UAS.â□□ A fâ□¦

2022-06-11T04:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535483206979317761

@SumOfUs1 @EricRWeinstein Video?

2022-06-11T04:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535474379001241601
@SumOfUs1 @EricRWeinstein ET probably exists somewhere in the universe.

I don't see any good evidence they are visiting.

2022-06-11T03:21:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535462249787101184
@DaveBass4 @RamblingsOUS @CogentNomad @KristenMeghan @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 They didnâ□□t. Thatâ□□s pure speculation, not backed up by evidence.

2022-06-10T22:10:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535383822602104832
@UnpluggedUser @thieme @PostDisclosure Can you point to one bit of analysis that Kaku did that's not just repeating what other people told him or stating the obvious (like: if it's accelerating really fast then humans would not be able to survive it).

What did he actually do?

2022-06-10T22:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535383233004654592
@ChristianJMora6 @All4LightBearer @CTFlyMonkey @EricRWeinstein https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2022-06-10T17:49:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535318294126768129
@edwardcurrent @Jimmi21084147 One of my Tony Hawk programmers had a PhD in physics.

2022-06-10T14:23:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535266475174289408
@bbman182 @ShiftingShane Mostly A

2022-06-10T14:05:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535261876350685184
@aneilbaboo @EricRWeinstein I'm not ignoring Ratcliffe. He was only head of the DNI for a few months. A political appointee by Trump with no real experience, not really a part of the IC. Even then he is NOT saying "we are repeatedly being visited by non-human technology." just things "difficult to explain"

2022-06-10T06:56:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535153994808471552
@KirbysCrackle @Fineprint25 So you've never seen good evidence in the public domain?

2022-06-10T05:51:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535137449848016896
@IWANTTOKNOWUK @NASA Why is it negative?

2022-06-10T05:46:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535136338160275457

@EdwardKissinge1 @NASA I don't know, but I suspect Fravor misjudged the distance, and hence his perception of the speed and maneuvers was greatly exaggerated.

2022-06-10T05:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535135903458402304

@OliverWood2017 @encyclopath @EricRWeinstein You can't have it both ways. Bray is the official who should know about UAPs. That's why he was testifying to Congress.

He's not familiar with Malmstrom because it's essentially UFO mythology.

2022-06-10T05:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535134887446994944

@TKadotani You are going to have to be more specific.

2022-06-10T05:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535134006085566464

@OliverWood2017 @encyclopath @EricRWeinstein Scott Bray, government official, deputy director of Naval Intelligence, oversees the UAP task force, poured cold water on the ETH at a congressional committee just a few weeks ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU3jnKWVsAAjuAR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU3jnKWVsAAjuAR.jpg

2022-06-10T05:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535130763364024321

@ShiftingShane "They"? Who are "they"?

The people selling the story are:

A) True believers - people who think the government is covering up knowledge of aliens
B) Media and entertainment biz folk who just like a popular story
C) Hucksters who make money selling fun nonsense

And combinations

2022-06-10T04:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535123983539924992

@randomlydelibe1 Likely a variety of things. Possibly including some rare atmospheric phenomena.

2022-06-10T04:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535123749187379200

@_flyers77 @EricRWeinstein Yeah, it's been "about" to get weird since the 1950s. The weirdness never arrives, but the same arguments endlessly recur.

2022-06-10T04:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535121329187213312

@timbo_staley It's fun and interesting.

Some people play with model trains after they retire. I investigate conspiracy theories and UFO videos.

2022-06-10T04:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535121011103784961

Actually, it IS the videos. Otherwise what are "we" seeing?

The videos are what are being used to sell this story to the public, the media, and the various politicians who talk about it

Without the videos it's just Foo Fighters - an interesting puzzle for sure, but that's it
https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1535077183575666688

2022-06-10T04:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535119387740409856

@Drunk_on_Books @caitgaist @SingletrackAdam No, I didn't. It does not go underwater. You can see it because it's not underwater, exactly like it's not underground at this point in the video. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU3W59YVEAA_5pg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU3W59YVEAA_5pg.jpg

2022-06-10T03:58:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535109148802568192

@zfxbids @EricRWeinstein Bright light on the ground. Maybe a commercial greenhouse.

2022-06-10T03:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535108113996517376

@Drunk_on_Books @caitgaist @SingletrackAdam And when it goes â□□underâ□□ the ground? Whatâ□□s going on there?

2022-06-10T03:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535107790154240003

@HighStrangenes3 No, I have a nice wife. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2022-06-10T02:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535083213214740480

@EricRWeinstein Can you at least quantify and justify â□□an unbelievable amountâ□□ somewhat - because Iâ□□m not really seeing it. WHO are you talking about in the IC? And if you canâ□□t say, how do you know?

And in that amount, what is good? Anything?

2022-06-10T02:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535081567378280448

@Fineprint25 How blank is the check? Thereâ□□s an infinite number of things you could do.

2022-06-10T01:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535066891537960960

@Fineprint25 UAP? Iâ□□d rig a fleet of bizjets with vast arrays of cameras, petabyte servers, and AI filters. Then have them fly around in supposed hotspots for years.

2022-06-10T01:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535064917203513345

A <$100K budget for NASA to investigate UAP is a strong indication of the level of data so far.

If they actually thought there was advanced technology up there, that would be epochal, warranting BILLIONS of dollars in research money.

Nope. Just some interesting puzzles.

https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1534967495286738949

2022-06-10T00:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535061762348752897

@tatterdamilion Iâ□□m pretty sure Iâ□□ve seen more than Kaku has.

2022-06-10T00:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535059330394779648

I like NASA. I support public spending on science. The topic of UAPs contains some interesting puzzles that would benefit from more rigorous investigation and analysis.

Still no good evidence of aliens, and Iâ□□d bet none is forthcoming. But it would be cool.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2gUT5VsAAlvc5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2gUT5VsAAlvc5.jpg
2022-06-10T00:12:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535052242528612352
@EricRWeinstein Wrong based on what exactly? Who is telling you this? What did they tell you?

Or do you think Mellon and Elizondo constitute a "faction within government?"

2022-06-10T00:09:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535051422609289216
@NicholasJTerry @528vibes @NickMadrid16 "enhanced"

That's not enhanced, that's imaginative algorithmic interpolation and extrapolation that actually removes information from the video and adds nice-looking noise.

2022-06-09T23:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535046549662601216

@BostonsBuddha @ZwitterelfZana @de_varse @NASA No, you can't.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2UrSaUsAUAhHM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2UrSaUsAUAhHM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2UnnZUEAE5Onr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2UplsUcAAN3tD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU2UoihUAAAXqSq.jpg
2022-06-09T23:36:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535043135696297984
@ZwitterelfZana @de_varse @NASA How do you merge a bald skinny alien with a chubby alien with long hair and get a "well-defined description?"

2022-06-09T23:34:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535042744829112320
@issaufobro Looks more like something on the ground. Maybe grow lights on a farm.

2022-06-09T23:33:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535042473877045249
@EricRWeinstein BS. There's no "portion" of the government that's saying that. A few politicians have vaguely characterized the UAP topic in a variety of ways that suggest non-human-technology as a possibility.

But the narrative that "the government" has admitted non-human interlopers is false

---

2022-06-09T21:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1535005603805900800
Kaku said there were 100s of hours of UAP videos showing advanced technology craft flying around.

The total length of ALL government-released UAP videos is about 100 seconds.

And they are all ambiguous. https://twitter.com/1911Moose/status/1535004508803194880

2022-06-09T21:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535004243429425153

@EdwardKissinge1 @NASA Which event from the Nimitz? There are radar glitches, ambiguous video, and conflicting eyewitness accounts.

2022-06-09T20:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535003736879157248

Michio Kaku was on Joe Rogan. He basically said the same things he's been saying since 2019. It was BS then, and it's BS now. The burden of proof is STILL with those claiming advanced technology craft are flying around. The evidence is just not there.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2022-06-09T20:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1535002497202278400

@Boarding99 @ZwitterelfZana @NASA It's discussed in Cynthia Hind's book. It was widely reported. Some of the children had heard of it. Some even saw a "UFO" the day before the supposed encounter. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1sWhRVEAARUZR.jpg

---



wich Observatory, discovered that a Russian satellite launched on the 26th of August, 1994, had ejected its nose cone and this is what had been seen on the Wednesday night. I also heard from various sources in Zimbabwe that pieces had fallen in Karoi, northwest of Harare, and in, or near, the Chokwe river in Mozambique.

Within minutes of my hearing the explosion at 21:04 on Wednesday, my phone had started to ring and reports began flooding in from all over Zimbabwe and South Africa but more especially from the Lake Kariba area, Bulawayo and Harare.

Bernard Ncube of Bulawayo saw a very large bright light followed by numerous (13-15) smaller lights and a flaming tail.

A. Mlamban, also of Bulawayo, saw something similar, except that he observed many colours to the lights, such as blue, bright red, orange and green.

One report said, 'The sky was completely lit with what appeared to be a long sausage-shape of brilliant light with numerous flashing lights around and moving across the sky in a southerly direction. It seemed to be skimming the roofs of the houses in the distance.'

The reports were from farm labourers to pilots, the medical doctor of a large mining company, technologists and many fishermen on the lake. Most admitted that they had never seen anything like this before, whereas the more knowledgeable felt it might be a meteorite or similar.

The reported height of the object varied. Some mentioned 2-3,000 ft., others spoke of it being at treetop height. All objects were seen below the cloud level, which was scattered in a reasonably clear sky.

Two pilots, from Mabelreign in Harare, reported they had

seen what appeared to be a ball of fire with a tail
main light. It was moving at the speed of a Boeing jet. They heard an explosion (entry into Earth's atmosphere). They could see a dark centre to the object, and it appeared to be following the arc of the Earth. The time was 21:00.

African fishermen on Lake Kariba saw a very bright light with two sidelights, travelling slowly, with two tails trailing at the end. The light was an orangish colour. There was no sound.

At 20:50, a man at Kariba Bream farm took a video of the object. I saw two different videos, but it appeared to me to be a blob of light travelling erratically.

I had many reports involving over 100 witnesses, and I have no doubt that these were all of the nose cone on its way to landing on Earth.

But there were other reports which puzzled me, not necessarily for their contents, but because of certain factors pertaining to what the witnesses described.

Grant N. of Bulawayo thought it was a plane in trouble. 'There was no sound, and it was flying very slowly.'

Two unrelated people said that they were able to walk along with the object's movement. 'It seemed to be on fire,' they said.

Cecil A. of Harare, who had worked in civil aviation for five years, saw the lights at 20:45. He viewed them for about 5 minutes and thought it was a Boeing 747, with two engines on each wing; the left emitting a continuous flame, while on the other side, wing sparks were spitting out. He thought the aircraft was in trouble and decanting fuel. Altitude was slightly above treetop level.

A personal friend of mine, Jo Hensman, said, 'I saw the
s at 20:50. I thought it was a small plane coming towards

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1sWhRVEAARUZR.jpg

2022-06-09T20:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534999588490207232

@ZwitterelfZana @NASA People were seeing the re-entry of a space rocket. That led to lots of UFO reports and likely contributed to the children's experience.

2022-06-09T20:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534999228941860864
@schizlamic The linked study was not aerial spraying, as far as I can tell. What evidence is there of spraying?
https://web.archive.org/web/20131118184036/http://www.ogtr.gov.au/internet/ogtr/publishing.nsf/Content/dir126ebnotific-htm

---

2022-06-09T20:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534997460048719872
@ZwitterelfZana @NASA That's not data. It's stories told by children.

2022-06-09T20:33:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534997245480685569
@aka__V @chron The "face" is just the pattern of the fence. Hard to say much beyond that. I don't think the overall shape is an actual animal - maybe long exposure?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1njLqUAAAE7uU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1njLqUAAAE7uU.jpg
2022-06-09T20:26:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534995416848011264
@NASA Pouring cold water on UFOlogy today.

Yes, unidentified flying objects are real and deserve study. But they represent a variety of things, and there's no evidence any of those things are aliens, or even antigravity-level technology.

It's a puzzle. Let's apply science. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1lyCaVEAEC779.jpg



The limited number of observations of UAPs currently makes it difficult to draw scientific conclusions about the nature of such events. Unidentified phenomena in the atmosphere are of interest for both national security and air safety. Establishing which events are natural provides a key first step to identifying or mitigating such phenomena, which aligns with one of NASA's goals to ensure the safety of aircraft. There is no evidence UAPs are extra-terrestrial in origin.

"NASA believes that the tools of scientific discovery are powerful and apply here also," said Thomas Zurbuchen, the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FU1lyCaVEAEC779.jpg
2022-06-09T14:15:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534901922691354625
@ufo_worldwide It does not look like a weather balloon.

2022-06-09T14:06:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534899828307599363
@Deleo77a1 I can, but it didnâ□□t hit the water.

2022-06-09T14:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534899654034223104
@JeffCla81664839 Possible - but as it stays together itâ□□s maybe an alphanumeric balloon, like the one Chris Mellon had behind him in the TTSA launch video.

2022-06-09T01:27:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534708629353336832
@DaveBass4 @CogentNomad @KristenMeghan @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 Only a few wartime things, like Popeye. Which, like all military operations, were classified at the time.

2022-06-09T01:25:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534708213450276864
@BrainwashSyka @captainkb24 Kanu does not analyze UFO videos.

2022-06-09T01:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534703875613044736
@DaveBass4 @CogentNomad @KristenMeghan @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 Weather modification has be done openly since the 1950s

---

2022-06-09T00:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534701435538903042

@BostonsBuddha @Funkadelick2 Simply one of hundreds of possibilities.

2022-06-08T21:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534655433800945664

@CogentNomad @DaveBass4 @KristenMeghan @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 I'm not ignoring those things, in fact, I've written about most of them.

Pick one.

2022-06-08T21:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534655180469174272

@captainkb24 Kaku? No, he's just a sensationalist regarding UFOs at this point.

I watched ATITS, not really interesting.

2022-06-08T21:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534654833818337280

@1GOC @528vibes https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1534654725500465153

2022-06-08T21:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534654725500465153

Another UFO that looks like a mylar balloon - but is too far away to tell (especially in the original video), so people think it might be aliens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534654489398943744/pu/img/Hfkw5CX8wE01FUuz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534654489398943744/pu/img/Hfkw5CX8wE01FUuz.jpg

2022-06-08T17:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534581154749091842

@Cl4rky130 @GeoeWatchDebunk @WRobinson7160 @EdoardoAngeloni @A3803 @VaesNico

@MikeGlynn59 The winglets look a bit more like 737s to me. Split Scimitar?

But then the hex hole looks a bit like this (from an A320)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUvsqVMVEAEbQY4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUvsqVMVEAEbQY4.jpg
2022-06-08T16:06:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534567478877073409
@WRobinson7160 @Cl4rky130 @VaesNico @GeoeWatchDebunk @EdoardoAngeloni @A3803 @MikeGlynn59 The video appears to be showing the damage from a tailstrike. Here's some other examples. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUvg8ZQVsAAL8D-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUvg8ZQVsAAL8D-.jpg

2022-06-08T15:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534565213608869888

@GeoeWatchDebunk @EdoardoAngeloni That's probably correct, there's some discussion on bifurcated-looking contrails here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/2-contrails-from-1-engine.3510/

2022-06-08T08:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534448782531735552

@sovereignbrah @MikeWel61647760 @TheGoldenLion_1 @JonWRoss @rawmilkhoney @akakmoto @KJSaundersGuru Conditions need to be right (and conditions can vary over short distances), but persistent spreading contrails very much do happen. All the books on clouds agree on that.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-06-08T08:00:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534445311560544256

@sovereignbrah @MikeWel61647760 @TheGoldenLion_1 @JonWRoss @rawmilkhoney @akakmoto @KJSaundersGuru Maybe what you are seeing are just two different types of contrail? Types that have been observed for 70+ years

---

2022-06-08T04:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534397644448989184

@IUHoosierSteve Pc games have always been variable.

2022-06-08T00:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534331390547873792

@dandefi_wallet This resembles the led display on some blimps.

2022-06-08T00:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534331130874417152

@JoeJoe99323618 @BfOlivier @FullStackLogan In the green triangle video, only the flashing one was a flying craft. The others were identified stars.

I think itâ□□s probably a plane, but possibly a drone.

2022-06-07T21:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534283025013297152

@Flyingh43892139 @caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam If it looks as hot as the rest of the lantern, then what's the difference between that and not seeing it?

This is not really a comparable example.

2022-06-07T20:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534277301772242944

@Flyingh43892139 @caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam It does not seem to show a direct view of the lantern's heat source in the FLIR view.

2022-06-07T20:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534276657028075520

@Daves420Guide @FullStackLogan It's not flying at 90mph, and it's probably not a bird.

2022-06-07T19:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534260187514540032

@caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam And you can actually see it for quite a bit of the time when it's "underwater"

2022-06-07T19:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534259746298990592

@Drunk_on_Books @caitgaist @SingletrackAdam I haven't completed my analysis, but others have and it appears to be moving at wind speed. 8-12mph IIRC.

SCU made the mistake of assuming it actually goes underwater and behind trees, and that constrains their distances (and hence speed). It's actually a lot closer and slower.

2022-06-07T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534255983244890116

@Drunk_on_Books @caitgaist @SingletrackAdam It's not JUST video compression. You can only see the cooler part of the lantern when it's over a warm surface - basically asphalt. When it's

over the water you can only see it when the flame is visible. Here it is over the road, then a second later, no cool bits visible. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUrFmCoUYAAJqQn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUrFmCoUYAAJqQn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUrFeBfUUAAf09g.jpg

2022-06-07T19:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1534254415376355328

@caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam You can SEE the cooler parts of the lantern when over the warm runways and the road. Most of the time it's hard to see as it is the same temperature as the background. Compare with the cars (hot exhaust) at the end of this clip. http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534254240238931968/pu/img/Om4dHT6XWHd83X

dd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534254240238931968/pu/img/Om4dHT6XWHd83Xdd.jpg
2022-06-07T19:12:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534252097243258880
@caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam And it's doing this because the IR source is being obscured as the object spins. Like here:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534252026313420800/pu/img/uouZeOln73bd5LbC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534252026313420800/pu/img/uouZeOln73bd5LbC.jpg
2022-06-07T19:09:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534251251721916416
@caitgaist @Drunk_on_Books @SingletrackAdam It also *appears* to go underground, in a

portion of the video where it's nowhere near the ground (as it just flew off a 200' cliff.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534251219056611328/pu/img/imp0oSIDm8EdYG5U.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1534251219056611328/pu/img/imp0oSIDm8EdYG5U.jpg
2022-06-07T16:49:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534215991776882688
@steve_crazed @Spacecowboy781 Itâ□□s a 70 year-old story with no supporting evidence.

2022-06-07T13:50:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534170850634608640
@Daves420Guide @FullStackLogan Whatâ□□s the surface temperature of a well-insulated bird at 10,000 feet?

(Also, I think GoFast is more likely a balloon)

2022-06-07T13:34:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534167016717754368
@papercrane111 It didnâ□□t. It just looked a bit like it did.

2022-06-07T04:59:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1534037382235770880
@caitgaist @MazzocchiRafael @chrisotis78 Yes, the object path isn't shown here yet - it's a bit fiddly to extract as the camera keeps moving around. Green line is where the camera is looking

---

2017-05-30T14:36:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/869563266732654594
@bkrizphoto https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/distrail

---

2017-05-29T06:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/869072388494839808

@MaxPlane_ It's not though.

2017-05-28T15:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868854587792306177

@MaxPlane_ It's a curve. Hold a piece of paper up to it.

2017-05-27T14:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868470542986129409

Above 100K ft, you can see the curve of the Earth. You can adjust the levels to see through the haze. No fisheye here. #flatearth #not http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DA1tDhbUwAAiKf5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DA1sb-cVoAErSrg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DA1tDhbUwAAiKf5.jpg

2017-05-27T13:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868464904612622340

@Bigg_Quando About once a day. So very very slowly. Half the speed of an hour hand on a clock.

2017-05-27T04:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868328190225272834

@Bigg_Quando Did you check the dates on those images? It looks like both those things, now and in the past.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-partly-cloudy-1990-2014-photo-comparison-actually-2011-2012.t3716/

2017-05-27T01:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868280730761125888

@Libwithlube @dmarble1 THere's probably a few, worldwide.

2017-05-27T00:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868267944723103744

@dmarble1 @Libwithlube @FalichaKarr Mostly random, look at the criss-crossing flight paths.Additional randomness in time, altitude, and wind.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=poYQVKv5_zw

2017-05-26T23:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868254812957061120

@Libwithlube @dmarble1 @FalichaKarr No, a pentagram IS just the star, maybe you are thinking of the Wiccan encircled pentagram? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pentagram

---

2017-05-26T22:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868238966151139328

Curated Crowdsourcing in UFO Investigations. How the hive mind of the internet beats a team of experts. http://www.csicop.org/sb/show/curated_crowdsourcing_in_ufo_investigations

---

2017-05-26T19:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868192066009874432

@ddtayside Where's the rest of the mountains?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAxwAlGVwAAv65X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAxwAlGVwAAv65X.jpg

2017-05-26T18:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868176866531659777

@yogazombies http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAxiPwkUMAEzS_8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAxiPwkUMAEzS_8.jpg

2017-05-26T18:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/868176519277027328

@Libwithlube @FalichaKarr @dmarble1 It's real. Random lines across the sky will eventually form all kinds of shapes. Here's a verified example:

https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/

2017-05-26T05:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/867980129401683968

Sunset.The sun is squished because of atmospheric refraction. It's darker at the bottom as the light goes through more air than from the top

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAuvFD6UwAIHqNz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAuvFD6UwAIHqNz.jpg
2017-05-26T05:13:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/867972015151435776
@AnarchoCapital2 All details listed here:
https://www.metabunk.org/a-plane-flying-across-the-face-of-the-sun-with-contrails-virgin-flight-353.t8735/

2017-05-25T17:46:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/867798943849041920
@dmarble1 And there's a similar one here in the UK, where the planes were tracked down.
https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAsKerFXkAA-XUK.jpg

The earliest blue contrail was formed about five minutes before the green and yellow contrails and ten minutes before the orange and red ones. I've moved it in the SE direction by about 13 km parallel to its flight route (purple line), which corresponds to a modest wind speed of 80 km/h or 50 mph. The green and yellow contrails have also been moved by a half of this distance in the same direction. Note that from above the resulting star looks very asymmetric, but from the ground it looks more proportional due to the perspective:



Here is a view of the modelled contrails from the centre of Stockport (compare with photo #4).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAsKerFXkAA-XUK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAsKbNjXsAE_DQw.jpg

The order of appearances and headings of these planes are fully consistent with the photos. The contrails from the flights EZY49EY, VIR302G, DHL5CK, RYR39CF and H2B (1, 2, 3, 5 and 6) probably have made the star. Taking the wind factor into account, I have modelled these contrails on Google Earth, using the Flightradar24 data on flight routes and altitudes:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAsKbxTXkAAjFuC.jpg

2017-05-25T17:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/867798548011655169

@dmarble1 Yes, it was discussed in this thread:

https://www.metabunk.org/contrail-pentagram-and-other-signs-in-the-sky.t3659/

2017-05-25T00:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/867545612111167490

@alexxdesignn @FlatRealm Here's a handy way of visualizing routes on flat or globe earth.

https://www.metabunk.org/flat/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAokHORW0AElyoB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAokHORW0AElyoB.jpg
2017-05-24T15:54:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/867408444118056960
@ms_lenox @dmarble1 But you can measure it. You can see both the dip and the curve of the horizon.

2017-05-24T01:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/867193133682929664
@ms_lenox @dmarble1 How is it wrong exactly?

2017-05-23T18:22:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/867083329564065792
@dmarble1 @Vagabond_2017 Unfortunate that it's wrong then.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAh_qrXXoAAGh_K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAh_qrXXoAAGh_K.jpg
2017-05-22T22:02:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/866776260948578305
Remember the guy who took a level on a plane to show the earth was flat? Here's why it didn't show #FlatEarth
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-a-spirit-level-on-plane-does-not-show-curvature-corrections.t8741/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAdoXDdWAAAyxT-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAdoXDdWAAAyxT-.jpg
2017-05-22T05:30:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/866526755443490816
@801Jones It's an edge shadow. #3 here:
http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAaFfIbVwAETzcR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAaFfIbVwAETzcR.jpg
2017-05-21T17:03:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/866338578019659777
@FlatEarthCity @UsAgainstTrump @Futureghost6 @BuddyCle_ @dmarble1 That's 8850 ft, horizon is 115 miles, dipped 1.67Â°. Curve at ends is 0.390% of image width, 12 pixels iPhone 6

size

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?d=190&h=8858.268&r=3959&u=i&a=a&fd=60&fp=3264

2017-05-21T14:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/866305176948228096

@normski2000 Cloud seeding does not leave trails. It's done to existing clouds from small planes.

2017-05-21T05:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/866158663492263937

@FlatEarthCity @openmind_123 @T1D1W1 @NASA I made that image, it's from this article.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

2017-05-20T18:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/866004294566199296

@ladieslovebigd Here's a related experiment I did to duplicate the effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DASqRVlUAAA_WFl.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DASqRVlUAAA_WFl.jpg

2017-05-20T18:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/866003867795730432

@johnfashanu88 Why does the distance between sunspots not change then?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DASp5pAUMAAmNnS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DASp5pAUMAAmNnS.jpg

2017-05-20T18:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/866003342744354817

@ladieslovebigd Why? it's just a reflection. It would be the same if it were near or far away. Draw a diagram.

2017-05-20T17:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865979507722772481

@enriqueschoch Career? I'm a retired video game programmer.

2017-05-20T17:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865979233297772544

@ladieslovebigd No, that's a reflection off a rippled surface.

2017-05-20T14:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865941060610134018

@johnfashanu88 So why do planes appear smaller as they get further away?

2017-05-20T14:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865939755678093317

@ladieslovebigd "Clearly"? How does this photo demonstrate that? It's just showing glare.

2017-05-20T05:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865807889494974464

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism This refraction is there all the time. It varies, but on average it's what we see.

2017-05-20T05:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865807752878096384

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism That IS my position. The atmosphere, because of standard decrease in pressure with altitude, has standard refraction. How could it not?

2017-05-20T05:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865803917245820929

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism Not really, just normal atmospheric refraction that is always there.

2017-05-20T05:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865796932404256769

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism So if refractive index decreases with height, and light bends toward the larger refractive index, then it would bend down?

2017-05-20T04:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865787880462876672

@MarkuzOcampo It's just the lighting. You know how clouds look dark sometimes.

2017-05-20T03:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865765427296718848

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism And the refractive index decreases with height? Right?

2017-05-20T03:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865764542877384705

I took a photo of the sun. Thought I'd got a phone line in the shot, then looked closer. #contrail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAPQCz2UIAEMq1R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAPQCz2UIAEMq1R.jpg

2017-05-19T23:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865707232075292674

@ladieslovebigd So basically you know nothing about it. How do you know it's close if it never changes size?

2017-05-19T23:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865706617567715328

@ladieslovebigd If the sun and the moon are not subject to perspective, then how does they set on a Flat Earth?

2017-05-19T23:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865706385933090817

@ladieslovebigd You think the sun is something that magically appears the same, not matter where you view it from?

2017-05-19T23:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865703820709437440

@ladieslovebigd I mean the "law of perspective" is that things get smaller as they get further away. Right?

2017-05-19T23:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865703623468044288

@ladieslovebigd So if it's 3,000 miles up then it should vary in visual size significantly as it goes around the 24,000 mile equator, right?

2017-05-19T22:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865702539055906817

@ladieslovebigd How far away do you think it is, approximately?

2017-05-19T22:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865695056778248192

@ladieslovebigd That's a photo I took ten minutes ago. With a P900.

2017-05-19T22:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865694929422434304

@ladieslovebigd We know what it looks like. We know it looks like this from everywhere on the planet, all day long. Impossible if the Earth is flat.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAOQww7VYAAGqhd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAOQww7VYAAGqhd.jpg

2017-05-19T22:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865693385646002176

@ladieslovebigd If the Earth is flat, then why does the sun never change size in photos between dawn and noon? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAOPW-zUQAABF6Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAOPW-zUQAABF6Z.jpg
2017-05-19T22:15:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865692476815818753
@w_masterson The plane has to fly level (constant altitude), otherwise it would fly off into space. Level has a slight curve. Plane flies along it.

2017-05-19T22:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865692039962173440
@ladieslovebigd Why not? Level is just the local direction perpendicular to gravity. It's continually variable and only looks flat.

2017-05-19T22:10:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865691124475641857
@ladieslovebigd Gravity needs a large mass to have significant effects, so no.

2017-05-19T21:35:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865682437539471361
@FlatEarthCity @greattgrandma Why does it look circular from every direction?

2017-05-19T21:06:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865674960760471552
@grenadeoftruth @brucebanner5280 @jeranism Well, you are only looking at 16 miles of the bridge there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAN-U5aU0AAyqzF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAN-U5aU0AAyqzF.jpg

2017-05-19T20:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865672602446028800

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism @kander We have to agree on basic science points if we are to get anywhere. You agree air has a refractive index? Layers of air cause refraction? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAN8jTpVoAEm1eH.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAN8jTpVoAEm1eH.jpg

2017-05-19T20:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865671884783747072

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism We were discussing the Canigou visibility issue. Do you wish to change the subject?

2017-05-19T19:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865653410669805568

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism Would you agree that air has a refractive index?

2017-05-19T19:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865650272114065409

@brucebanner5280 @grenadeoftruth @jeranism It's a very slight curve of the light from atmospheric refraction. Well known phenomenon. Measurable

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANoU1jU0AQ6p6l.jpg

Snell's Law



$$\frac{\sin\theta_1}{\sin\theta_2} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}$$



$n_1 > n_2 > n_3 > n_4$

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANoU1jU0AQ6p6l.jpg

2017-05-19T19:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865648218935132161

@grenadeoftruth @jeranism This has the effect is visually slightly flattening the curve of the Earth. Weather conditions can make it a bit more of less.

2017-05-19T19:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865648024973746177

@grenadeoftruth @jeranism Because of the pressure gradient of the atmosphere. It reduces the refractive index as you get higher, often approximated with R'=R*7/6

2017-05-19T19:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865647374135312387

@4thAnon You don't think there's any possibility a black hat hacker might have released it?

2017-05-19T19:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865644830931599363

@JohnMichaelG5 @MeatEaterTV @joerogan Just headline writing technique. Clickbait.

2017-05-19T19:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865644632398364673

@grenadeoftruth @jeranism It doesn't. It just means thing get smaller. It's nothing to do with them being hidden by anything.

2017-05-19T19:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865643898445586437

@SabrinaFon @AJisTweeting http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANiiFJUMAIgfTP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANiiFJUMAIgfTP.jpg
2017-05-19T18:58:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865642852151205889
@JohnMichaelG5 @MeatEaterTV @joerogan That's "RIP" as in "rip apart", in case you were serious.

2017-05-19T18:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865639473110933508
@grenadeoftruth @jeranism Except that isn't how perspective works. Try it.

2017-05-19T18:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865636364825501698
@rockermom53 @ufotruther @FlatEarthCity @thefreerifleman @marcrward They are at the same zoom. Same size sun means it is far away. This is verifiable.

2017-05-19T18:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865635890927763457
@grenadeoftruth @jeranism But it's 90% hidden. How does that work on flat earth?

2017-05-19T17:30:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865620746634055681
@grenadeoftruth Refraction gives you another 10% or so. But notice how much is missing. Where is it?

2017-05-19T17:08:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865615136152838144
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT A very small percentage change in size means a very small percentage change in distance. Is the sun the same distance from me all day?

2017-05-19T17:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865614576322101250

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT So you think the relative distance remains unchanged (say within 1%)? So either nothing is moving, or the sun is millions of miles away.

2017-05-19T16:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865609259261743105

Observations of the tip of the Canigou mountains in France peeking over the curvature of the Earth. #flatearth #not http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANC7Z_UAAAelSo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DANC7Z_UAAAelSo.jpg

2017-05-19T16:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865608854532366336

@WisdomOlogi @DaztheDruid Ok, so WHY is the sun the same size in photos at sunrise, noon, and sunset? Use any model you think is correct.

2017-05-19T15:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865592152822226945

@WisdomOlogi @DaztheDruid I'm curious though. How do you personally explain the unchanging size of the sun, if you think it moves away between noon and sunset?

2017-05-19T15:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865591632975900672

@WisdomOlogi @DaztheDruid It's all you need. The sun staying the same size all day proves the earth is not flat.

2017-05-19T14:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865582415858642944

@WisdomOlogi @DaztheDruid How did the "actual scientists" debunk this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMqhviVoAEFlks.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMqhviVoAEFlks.jpg

2017-05-19T14:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865578620474937348

@FlatEarthCity @thefreerifleman @marcrward @rockermom53 Try taking photos of the sun with a filter so you can see how big it is. They will come out the same size. It's easy with a P900. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMnG7SUMAA_OI2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMnG7SUMAA_OI2.jpg

2017-05-19T14:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865577241081597952

@FlatEarthCity @thefreerifleman @marcrward @rockermom53 You are replying to my real photos with a fake photo. Take photos of the sun. Observe it stays the same size in the photos

all day.

2017-05-19T14:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865575423970746369

@FlatEarthCity @thefreerifleman @marcrward @rockermom53 I think it because I took some real pictures. Of the sun. Give it a go. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMkOlGUwAETpeL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMkOlGUwAETpeL.jpg

2017-05-19T13:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865567134289494019

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT These two cows are the same size. One appears smaller in the photo because it is further away. Why does the sun not do this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMck0AUAAANf-F.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMck0AUAAANf-F.jpg

2017-05-19T13:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865564091540094977

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT If the bunny were further away it would appear smaller, no? So why doesn't the sun?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMZ8TCVoAEDEhB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAMZ8TCVoAEDEhB.jpg

2017-05-19T13:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865563488789307392

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT It proves it stays the same apparent size in photos. Meaning there's near zero percentage change in distance. Like 4,000/93,000,000

2017-05-19T05:37:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865441148503859202

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT My photos show the actual size of the sun in detail. Your videos just show how bright it is. Why does it not get smaller in filtered photos? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKp_PeV0AE4dYo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKp_PeV0AE4dYo.jpg

2017-05-19T04:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865420440205799424

@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT I make no money from this. Now, WHY does the sun stay the same size in photos all day?

2017-05-19T03:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865401759803490307

@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Are you going to ignore the sun size problem?

2017-05-19T02:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865400291633504256

@RealJohnnyAya Can you quote their admission?

2017-05-19T02:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865399902347550720

@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT A wider shot. Compare with expected skyline. Is that not refraction? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKEgI5VoAEZDri.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKEgI5VoAEZDri.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKEDIDUAAAt55V.jpg

2017-05-19T02:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865399005794021377

@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT In this case it is very obviously refracting. Have you looked at the video? Compare it with the actual Rogers center viewed from the water. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKDx2ZUMAAWrT2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKDjvuUMAA4GHG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAKDx2ZUMAAWrT2.jpg

2017-05-19T02:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865398138953113600

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Things should be smaller in photos as they get further away, right? So why not the sun?

2017-05-19T02:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865397763365720064

@questeverythg Of course it doesn't PHYSICALLY change size. Nobody suggested that. It would just look smaller if it were within 100,000 miles. It doesn't

---

2017-05-19T02:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865397527679352832
@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Because what is being seen is obviously refraction.

2017-05-19T02:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865392228050522112
@questeverythg I've taken photos. It does not change size.

2017-05-19T02:22:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865392134056103937
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT It's me taking a photo of the sun. The phto I posted earlier. The same size as all the other photos. All taken at 2000mm zoom

---

2017-05-19T00:42:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865367049345744896
@questeverythg
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fake-live-chat-from-the-international-space-station-iss-with-boise-state.t8731/ But can you address why the sun stays the same size all day? I took a video for you.

2017-05-19T00:08:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865358412099362817
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Here's the video. So. Why are all photos of the sun at 2000mm zoom the same size?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hp4dKgLZFnY

2017-05-18T23:57:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865355720333508609
@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT
https://www.metabunk.org/views-of-toronto-from-hamilton-and-fort-niagara-illustrate-earths-curvature.t8149/

2017-05-18T23:57:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865355688276406273
@questeverythg @eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Jenna's video is discussed here. I'm only discussing the sun size with you.

2017-05-18T23:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865354109473374208
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Video is uploading. 20 minutes. I have slow internet.

2017-05-18T23:49:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865353745164419072
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Okay, here's your pic. Same size as the others. 4:44PM http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJadJDUMAA9_Lh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJadJDUMAA9_Lh.jpg

2017-05-18T23:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865351247758372864

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT I can go outside right now and take a photo of the sun if you like. It will be the same size. I'll video it. Will that convince you?

2017-05-18T23:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865350967926980608

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT So if it was in the same location, then would it be factual proof?

2017-05-18T23:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865350117997465600

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT So why does it not look smaller in photos?

2017-05-18T23:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865349726220234752

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Check it. Take some photos at the same zoom setting. Demonstrate the change.

2017-05-18T23:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865349603410886656

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT That, but also that the sun does not change size, regardless of time of day.

2017-05-18T23:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865347932320522240

@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT So check it. I've checked it. It stays the same size. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJVUz3V0AEVYGe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJVUz3V0AEVYGe.jpg
2017-05-18T23:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865347656314376192
@questeverythg Atmosphere dims it. And it's going through more atmosphere closer to the horizon.

2017-05-18T23:24:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865347489234247680
@questeverythg @LorettaPFJ @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT If the sun appears to shrink, then why doesn't the moon?

2017-05-18T23:24:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865347304663916545
@questeverythg First you check the size of the sun.

2017-05-18T23:23:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865347203182743552
@questeverythg You sent videos showing it gets dimmer, not smaller. Meaningless without a filter.

2017-05-18T23:18:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865345930777591809
@eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Do no flat earthers own a P900 then?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJTccWU0AAY56b.jpg



WARNING: Don't do this with a camera with an optical viewfinder, as it could burn your eyes, causing vision loss or blindness. The P900 has a digital viewfinder, so is safe. Even so, incorrect usage may damage your camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJTccWU0AAY56b.jpg

2017-05-18T23:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865345719196041216

@questeverythg Stop changing the subject. Why does the sun stay the exact same size?

2017-05-18T23:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865345284322205696

@eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT So why does the sun stay the same size?

2017-05-18T23:14:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865344929173692416

@questeverythg Just so we are on the same page. If something moves 10% further away, it gets 10% smaller, right? No disagreement there?

2017-05-18T23:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865344626932072448

@questeverythg Relative to us.

2017-05-18T23:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865344420681469952

@questeverythg I'll discuss other things after you check the size of the sun at sunset and noon.

2017-05-18T23:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865344257996881920

@questeverythg Because its angular position to us changes. So it's moving relative to us. But size does not change. Can only do that if far away.

2017-05-18T23:09:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865343690272788480

@questeverythg Look, you expect the sun to be smaller at sunrise/sunset vs. noon, right? Why not get a filter and demonstrate this. Prove it.

2017-05-18T23:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865343358578810882

@questeverythg Laser measuring? You mean seeing if you can see the light from a laser? Lots of error opportunities. Why not just look? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJRFEhUQAA_iz-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJRFEhUQAA_iz-.jpg

2017-05-18T23:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865342698642747393

@questeverythg I know that since it does not change size then it must be very far away. Many times more than the size of the earth.

2017-05-18T23:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865342422607314944

@questeverythg You can't see the actual shape of the sun without at filter.

2017-05-18T23:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865342241555980289

@DustinJuggles @ClassifiedTruth @dmarble1 @skylarkwashrre_ @NASA Normal gravity would crush the flat earth into a ball, all things being equal. Also would make people lean at odd angles.

2017-05-18T23:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865341819067940864

@questeverythg What experiment have you done that you consider the most convincing?

2017-05-18T23:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865341701996429312

@questeverythg The size of the sun is a very simple thing. Why not check that?

2017-05-18T22:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865340947676004352

@questeverythg My photos are of sunrise and noon. Same exact size. Try it yourself.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJO_caVoAA-6L5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJO_caVoAA-6L5.jpg

2017-05-18T22:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865340478215958528

@questeverythg Try it yourself. It's an observation that has been made millions of times in history. Why don't YOU try it?

2017-05-18T22:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865340068348665856

@questeverythg Take photos of it. It will appear smaller in the photos where it is farther away. Why does the sun not shrink in photos through the day?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJOGzyVoAAjupJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJOGzyVoAAjupJ.jpg

2017-05-18T22:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865339613728002049

@questeverythg So why do photos not show it shrinking?

2017-05-18T22:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865339482152632320

@questeverythg Here's a closeup I took showing sunspots. Same sunspots visible from everywhere on Earth. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJNmzdVwAE6wdL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJNmzdVwAE6wdL.jpg

2017-05-18T22:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865339124185579520

@questeverythg Yes, that illustrate why you need to use a filter. I took this photo today. Sun is always this size. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJNO3hV0AE-jdu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJNO3hV0AE-jdu.jpg

2017-05-18T22:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865338432603660288

@T1D1W1 Draw a diagram of what you think this photo would look like.

2017-05-18T22:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865338368082587648

@T1D1W1 How can you get close up photos of two things at the same time is they are hundreds of miles away and apart?

2017-05-18T22:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865338118454394880

@T1D1W1 Some good perspective on the visual size of satellites.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DT1wSm_iK6o

2017-05-18T22:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865337968554266624

@T1D1W1 What are you referring to? Can you give an example?

2017-05-18T22:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865337809703321600

@questeverythg Try it yourself. It's pretty easy to do.

2017-05-18T22:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865336975745630208

@eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Seriously. Why does it stay the same size?

2017-05-18T22:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865336858951139329

@T1D1W1 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rIesWBTUeiI

2017-05-18T22:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865336562141220864

@questeverythg So why are these photos I took the same size?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJK7xsUwAAyV91.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJK7xsUwAAyV91.jpg

2017-05-18T22:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865336363339587584

@T1D1W1 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DhGBQqhTs4M

2017-05-18T22:37:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865335555504062466

@T1D1W1 http://www.satsig.net/geopics.htm

2017-05-18T22:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865335157032538112

@brucebanner5280 Look at the chart. It's basically tied for 3rd/4th with stroke. No not a significant change, especially as stroke is on the decline.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJJqJlUAAArb4e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJJqJlUAAArb4e.jpg

2017-05-18T22:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865333953112096768

@brucebanner5280 There's no real increase. Minor demographic bump from baby boomers who smoked dying. Actual rate is declining. Everyone healthier.

2017-05-18T22:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865333103870394368

@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord I'm explaining why they leave circular trails. They are far away, so only angle changes have an effect.

2017-05-18T22:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865332642173960192

@brucebanner5280 That is correct, also matches my chart.

2017-05-18T22:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865332471436423168

@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord Imagine looking at a city skyline from far way. Move your head side to side, no change. Tilt your head and the entire city tilts.

2017-05-18T22:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865332147745300480

@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord Your position relative to the stars is essentially unchanged through the day. Only your angle to them changes. Hence they seem to spin.

2017-05-18T22:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865331484638404608

@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord The stars are very far away.

2017-05-18T22:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865331329319133184

@brucebanner5280 CLRD

2017-05-18T22:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865328022999539712
@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord You understand what "celestial sphere" means? An apparent sphere of fixed stars, apparently infinitely far away. Then the earth spins.

2017-05-18T22:04:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865327304553054208
@brucebanner5280 @ApophisDiscord Perpendicular to what exactly? Stars appear as if they are on a celestial sphere, spinning around the viewer. Stars are really far away.

2017-05-18T22:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865326778935463936
@brucebanner5280 Actually the rate declined. Only thing that happened was stroke deaths declined faster. So it went from 4th to 3rd.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rise-in-respiratory-mortality-from-4th-to-3rd-cause-of-death-chemtrail-flu.t6746/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJCBjdVwAEZWAL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAJCBjdVwAEZWAL.jpg
2017-05-18T21:50:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/865323629541605376
@eugene_maxey @LorettaPFJ @FlatEarthRT Why does the sun stay the exact same size all day long? I took these photos. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAI_Mg_UAAEPvcc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAI_Mg_UAAEPvcc.jpg

2017-05-18T17:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865262220728344580

@AndrewDibble3 @BetteanneC You know you can just look up on Google why the flag "fluttered". Like most questions, asked and answered many times

https://www.google.com/search?num=50&q=why+did+the+flag+flutter+on+the+moon

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAIHOCBUQAAgJjk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAIHOCBUQAAgJjk.jpg

2017-05-18T16:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865246847538937861

@AndrewDibble3 @BetteanneC It's one of many photos. The lack of atmosphere allowed the lander to not be aerodynamic. No wind. https://www.hq.nasa.gov/alsj/a11/a11_eva_thumbs.html

---

2017-05-18T16:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865237783849205761

@1stTryScience @AustinDDover @imbroughers And here's an AEP from anywhere you want

(click and drag on the map).

http://rogerallen.github.io/webgl/2014/01/27/azimuthal-equidistant-projection/

2017-05-18T15:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865232014680539136

@brucebanner5280 @eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT The southern celestial pole should be all you need.

2017-05-18T05:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865081237148286976

@eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT In the 1970s, in the UK.

2017-05-18T03:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865046594546053121

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle As in focus.

2017-05-18T03:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865046489990549508

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle Doesn't look flat. There's also some blurring.

2017-05-18T02:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865031023448342528

@eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT But what about evidence against flat earth, like the celestial poles?

2017-05-18T02:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865025958750724096

@eugene_maxey Okay, what arguments did you think were wrong?

2017-05-18T02:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865025819030077440

@LynkLuv And where did you get the weather info from?

2017-05-18T02:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865025697881800704

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp And the facts. Like how you can actually SEE his pinky hooking the pocket when he reaches out without looking.

2017-05-18T01:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865023556907802625

@eugene_maxey Which did you think was the strongest?

2017-05-18T01:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865021273683841024

@eugene_maxey @FlatEarthRT Not me!

2017-05-18T01:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865020416217145344

@theshiznackle Can you give me the link the air bubble video? The best one you have?

2017-05-18T01:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865017987149041665

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle You'd need to know the temperature gradient over the lake. But go ahead.

2017-05-18T01:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865017605907660800

@BlagenLogin @arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp By the evidence you mentioned not existing.

2017-05-18T01:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865017357499891713

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle Multiple light paths to/from the same point.

2017-05-18T01:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865016991647580160

@BlagenLogin @arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp Not for rendering computer graphics, no.

2017-05-18T01:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865016787993108480

@LynkLuv Good Conditions (8:22 AM) vs bad conditions (11:10AM). You kind of need to take pics all day to find the sweet spot. 3 mile shot.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAEn485VoAAH8ql.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAEn485VoAAH8ql.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAEn4WRV0AQ7JoX.jpg

2017-05-18T01:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865015661679988736

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle That's standard refraction. It can vary quite a bit.

2017-05-18T01:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865015513155424256

@BlagenLogin @arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp Why is that safe to say? There has NEVER been any evidence the Mil has rendering tech that's better than the film industry. Ever.

2017-05-18T01:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865015048304906240

@LynkLuv I have to try that!

2017-05-18T01:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865014932223303680

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle Yes, but it was sunny. Sun heats the surface of the water, creates a temperature gradient close to the water.

2017-05-18T01:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865014589909381120

@LynkLuv @theshiznackle You are looking thorough heat haze. The turbulent air has rapidly changing areas of different refractive index.

2017-05-17T22:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864969165089644544

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp He wasn't looking. Used his whole hand. Just hooked the pinky accidentally. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAD8wXPUwAAx9wT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/DAD8wXPUwAAx9wT.jpg

2017-05-17T22:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864964576848171009

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp The pants pocket. Look closer.

2017-05-17T21:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864961315525099520

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp Sure I do. But you'd just ignore each explanation and move on the the next. It's not worth my time.

2017-05-17T21:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864955250251243520

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp So they are faking thousands of hours of footage? Including the Russians and Japanese? Like a feature film every day, but w' better effects?

2017-05-17T20:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864934357273788416

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp I'm saying that no "invisible harness" would allow he to do all that. For example, how does the "invisible harness" levitate her necklace?

2017-05-17T18:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864915159306784769

@FlatEarthMemes @joerogan It is. The earth is only very slightly oblate. It's 7926 wide by 7898 high. Not really noticeable.

2017-05-17T15:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864871628508872704

@Smuncherfood But how do you demonstrate that he's trolling? Without evidence it comes across as a baseless personal attack.

2017-05-17T15:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864871223980810240

@FlatEarthMemes @joerogan Tilt? You mean axial tilt? That's relative to the sun. The satellite

orbits relative to the earth's rotation, not the sun.

2017-05-17T15:51:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864870896367841281

@iamjohnsmith @OpChemtrails

https://www.google.com/search?q=aluminum+patents+site%3Ametabunk.org

---

2017-05-17T06:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864725020907520000

@arden_b_skyye @WeAreWakinUp First you explain this:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bhGydridbEA

2017-05-17T04:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864699286096707584

@Smuncherfood @joerogan Quite possible, but he's a lost cause either way. The issue is that people believe him.

2017-05-16T17:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864529003608064000

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek You can google the answers to these questions if you like.

2017-05-16T17:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864528779506368512

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek No, as I said they are a mile plus wide, and many miles long. About a million times the visible area.

2017-05-16T16:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864522167626547202

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek No. it should not. They are too small and too far apart.

2017-05-16T16:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864521700918022144

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek The Worldview composites (like most satellite composites) are done by computer, just like the iPhone panoramas are.

2017-05-16T16:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864521529588973568

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek There are several satellites that take single frame images of the entire planet.

https://www.metabunk.org/full-disk-hd-images-of-the-earth-from-satellites.t8676/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9luyPUIAEdqE6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9luyPUIAEdqE6.jpg

2017-05-16T16:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864521232607174656

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek Visible contrails a mile+ wide, and many miles long. Trackable space debris is typically under 1 foot, largest are smaller than a car.

2017-05-16T16:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864520355376791554

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek And being composite does not mean fake. This iPhone panorama photo is a composite image. Is it fake?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9kqfxUQAAWjm_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9kqfxUQAAWjm_.jpg

2017-05-16T16:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864519854019059714

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek ISS images were never composites. You must be thinking of something else, like EOSDIS Worldview. https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/

2017-05-16T16:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864519420357427205

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek Same reason you can't see any cars, and there 1,000,000,000 cars, each much bigger than most space junk.

2017-05-16T16:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864518882681167872

@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek Those are real single frame photos from the ISS. You can even do star trail photography.

https://www.google.com/search?q=ISS+long+exposure+stars&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9jSzJVYAACm-J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9jSzJVYAACm-J.jpg
2017-05-16T16:23:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/864516620864987136
@PhilBeez86 @ISON_Tracker @nmpanek Plenty of pics of stars from the ISS. Satellites are too small and widely spaced to show up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9hG8aUAAAwe_D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9hG8aUAAAwe_D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_9g_8DVwAAkyFo.jpg

2017-05-16T16:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864514655103762433

@Freeredcaz Stars flicker through the atmosphere. But they are still just points of light.

2017-05-16T16:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864514371556327424

@Freeredcaz @oneredpaperclip It's an odd argument. It's okay to mess up the climate, vast changes to weather patterns, sea level, etc, because PLANTS on average win.

2017-05-16T15:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864505228556513280

@Freeredcaz By photographing them? I'm not sure what you mean.

2017-05-16T06:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864366299496841216

@PhilBeez86 @nmpanek Day lit earth is much brighter than stars. Satellites are small and many miles apart.

2017-05-16T05:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864345324264882176

@oshae It's surprisingly common. Google for "night terrors" + "spiders". It lessened with age, then stopped some time after I hit 40.

2017-05-16T00:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864276304895524864

@cavanretic I'm from Bingley, but I went to Cottingley Manor School (now the Nuffield Gym). I'm in California now.

2017-05-15T20:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864210033130651648

@T1D1W1 Yes.

2017-05-15T18:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864189520232800261

@T1D1W1 Say you are on a mountain 10,000 feet high. There's another mountain 10,000 feet high, 160 miles away. Math:

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?d=160&h=10000&r=3959&u=i&a=a&fd=60&fp=3264

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_43onFUAAA4YLG.jpg

Distance d = 160 Miles (844800 Feet)
Radius of Earth r = 3959 Miles (20903520 Feet)
Distance to horizon, a = sqrt((r+h)*(r+h) - r*r), a = 122.47 Miles (646660.96 Feet)
Amount obscured x = sqrt(a*a - 2*a*d + d*d + r*r)-r, x = 939.03 Feet (11268.4 Inches)

The following is the amount obscured calculated using slightly more complex trig
And assuming the 'distance' is the distance across the surface of the earth
This is more accurate for large distances and heights
But essentially the same for distances under 100 miles
True hidden = r/cos(d/r - asin(sqrt(h*(2*r+h)) / (r+h))) - r = 941.04 Feet (11292.54 Inches)

'Bulge' b = r-sqrt(4*r*r - d*d)/2, b = 4268.18 Feet (51218.16 Inches)
Bulge is the amount of rise of the earth's curve from a straight line connecting two points on the surface

Drop is the amount the curve of the earth drops away from level, it can be calculated in various ways
which are all about the same for under 100 miles distance
Drop as r-sqrt(r^2-d^2); drop = 3.23 Miles (17077.95 Feet) (perpendicular to level line)
Drop calculated as 8 inches per mile squared = 8 * d*d / 12; = 3.23 Miles (17066.67 Feet)
Drop calculated with trig = r/cos(d/r) - r; = 3.24 Miles (17082.6 Feet) (perpendicular to target surface)

Tilt is angle between vertical at the two locatons
The amount a distant object is leaning away from you
It's the distance divided by the radius (in radians)
d/r = 844800.00/20903520 = 0.04041425 radians, *180/PI = 2.31556573 degrees
Horizon Dip is the angle that the horizon is below level
as seen from the viewer height
It's arcsin(a/(r+h)), or arcsin(646660.96/(20903520.00+10000.00)) = 0.03092564 radians, *180/PI = 1.77190882 degrees

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_43onFUAAA4YLG.jpg

2017-05-15T18:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864188765236895748

@T1D1W1 The curvature of the Earth is effectively constant at 3,959 miles radius.

2017-05-15T18:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864178953807736832

@DustinJuggles @FlatRealm @siirii @HeatherLA2010 @BLMcCallum @jamreilly57 @kayenne22 @EnochEarth @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @majwal7 @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity I've repeated it with a FLIR camera. Moonlight is undetectable as heat. Moon shadow same as moonlit. https://www.metabunk.org/posts/197530/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4uHqGVwAAfiYb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4uHqGVwAAfiYb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4t8_zVYAA_5s3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4t8JXUMAA0ccG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4uFdTVwAENZAV.jpg

2017-05-15T17:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864167723558813696

@Freeredcaz @FlatEarthMemes That was Joe's joke. Pick a question and I'll answer it.

2017-05-15T16:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/864152517252325376

@JBadass408 @FlatEarthMemes You need a VERY big telescope to see the disk of a star. It can be done though.
https://astrobob.areavoices.com/2014/06/06/can-we-really-see-other-stars-as-true-disks-you-betcha/

2017-05-15T16:13:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/864151861233803264
@T1D1W1 Ever been up a mountain? The air is thinner the higher you go. So that affects the refractive index. http://www.mike-willis.com/Tutorial/PF6.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4VgqkUAAAUCl9.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_4VgqkUAAAUCl9.jpg
2017-05-15T05:32:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863990337362042880
@FlatEarthMemes @ericdubay You're welcome. Jupiter is out right now, you should try it on that. Expose more to get the moons.

2017-05-15T04:03:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863967940575584257
@FlatEarthMemes That's out of focus. P900 can't focus well on points of light. Use manual focus. https://www.metabunk.org/the-p900-rippling-orb-effect-taking-photos-of-venus.t8299/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_1uQOkUIAEhI_1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_1uQOkUIAEhI_1.jpg

2017-05-15T03:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863966868758863872

@oneredpaperclip @lippytak @WeAreWakinUp Come on Kyle, you are just flame baiting here. Interesting project for you, but wasting my time.

2017-05-15T03:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863960023990288384

@FlatRealm @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 Where was it well explained? Why is there a celestial south pole?

2017-05-15T03:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863958867125780484

@lippytak @oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp I suspect he's just doing a "project". Gathering material for another TedX talk.

2017-05-14T22:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863888418907996160

@T1D1W1 First tell me why you think 90% of it is below the horizon.

2017-05-14T19:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863831697195900928

@T1D1W1 Except it's not in complete view, 90% of it is missing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_zyVTGUQAAz5yG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_zyVTGUQAAz5yG.jpg

2017-05-14T18:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863831094650478592

@FlatEarthCity @T1D1W1 You can see further than that if you pick your mountains well.

https://beyondhorizons.eu/2016/08/03/pic-de-finestrelles-pic-gaspard-ecrins-443-km/

2017-05-14T18:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863824239975055360

@T1D1W1 @FlatEarthCity How do you know? Did you do the math?

2017-05-14T18:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863824161390510080

@T1D1W1 @FlatEarthCity Why would be able to? They are too widely spaced.

2017-05-14T01:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863569201931276289

@MarkuzOcampo I'd recommend reading the original Agenda-21 to see what it actually says. Not what someone else says it says.

2017-05-14T00:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863558625964343296

@JimJerrolds @sciencegecko @EnochEarth @siirii @noreligion69 @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Crux isn't the same as Polaris, it's actually more the equivalent of Ursa Major, which you can also se for some degrees south sometimes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_v55LWVYAA718Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_v55LWVYAA718Y.jpg

2017-05-14T00:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863545711450767360

@LeeZilch @WeAreWakinUp The dark moon? Which bit of the Wikipedia description do you think is wrong or incomplete? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dark_moon

---

2017-05-13T19:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863481228313321472

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Fell free to speed up those 15 seconds (which is one take) to real time. It would just be quicker.

2017-05-13T19:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863480916840263681

@EnochEarth @Tinman_73 @DSL1912 @TomLawrence3 @James2012 @jamreilly57 @azim_humayun @kayenne22 @stopflatearth @WisdomOlogi @jaredvc @Gr8Believer @FlatRealm @NothingSirius @JimJerrolds @FlatEarthCity @BookishNeptune @80Jovan The celestial poles (north and south) are the ends of the apparent axis of rotation.

2017-05-13T19:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863474239138963456

@EnochEarth @Tinman_73 @DSL1912 @TomLawrence3 @James2012 @jamreilly57 @azim_humayun @kayenne22 @stopflatearth @WisdomOlogi @jaredvc @Gr8Believer @FlatRealm @NothingSirius @JimJerrolds @FlatEarthCity @BookishNeptune @80Jovan The distant stars form an apparent sphere that appears to move around the earth due to earths rotation. You can tell it's a sphere.

2017-05-13T19:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863474039645282304

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp 3:00 to 3:15 is all one take. They fall at the same rates.

2017-05-13T19:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863473720559452160

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Why do things fall down?

2017-05-13T19:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863473479948967936

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp So what happens when you suck the air out of a bell jar?

2017-05-13T19:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863473298427883520

@EnochEarth @Tinman_73 @DSL1912 @TomLawrence3 @James2012 @jamreilly57 @azim_humayun @kayenne22 @stopflatearth @WisdomOlogi @jaredvc @Gr8Believer @FlatRealm @NothingSirius @JimJerrolds @FlatEarthCity @BookishNeptune @80Jovan The earth relative to the celestial sphere. think more to the point is that it's a celestial SPHERE (effectively)

2017-05-13T19:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863472705747664896

RT @TEDTalks: We need to clean up our space junk: http://t.ted.com/nr3YJBz @nmpanek http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_urhNnWAAA6zrF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_urhNnWAAA6zrF.jpg

2017-05-13T19:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863472683186503681

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Geometry does no lie.

2017-05-13T19:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863472482388398080

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp A metric of what?

2017-05-13T19:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863471228266225664

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Well, would you at least agree that L1 is possible using Newtonian Physics. G=Mm/R2 etc?

2017-05-13T19:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863470283293827072

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Just physics. Universal gravitation, it all adds up if you do the math.

2017-05-13T18:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863465923360903168

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp By being at the L1 point. That's basically the definition of the L1 point. https://www-spof.gsfc.nasa.gov/Education/wlagran.html

---

2017-05-13T18:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863461556310351876

@oneredpaperclip @WeAreWakinUp Why not?

2017-05-13T17:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863453198132027392

@ApophisDiscord Looking South from Sydney at the Celestial South Pole.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uaBImU0AEvYDM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uaBImU0AEvYDM.jpg

2017-05-13T17:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863452568529260544

@ApophisDiscord What are these stars rotating around in this photo from Australia?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uZc82UQAEf0H3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uZc82UQAEf0H3.jpg

2017-05-13T17:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863452234800967681

@ApophisDiscord So why can you see it?

2017-05-13T17:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863451188754128896

@ThePaperThrones http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uYRiZVoAA7Czw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uYRiZVoAA7Czw.jpg

2017-05-13T17:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863450384483745792

@ThePaperThrones Who would I ask?

2017-05-13T17:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863450228896051200
@ApophisDiscord That's not an answer. How can you see the celestial south pole from South America and Australia simultaneously?

2017-05-13T17:44:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863449843628253184
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm No, the moon is tidally locked so the same side faces earth. But it can't face two points on the flat earth at the same time.

2017-05-13T17:40:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863448810818740225
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm If the moon were spherical wouldn't you see the other side of it?

2017-05-13T17:36:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863447963808415744
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XnnLrJC9Kcs

2017-05-13T17:34:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863447279268618242
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Except it does not look like the moon. Wait, I'll do a video.

2017-05-13T17:25:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863445112218468352
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Not exactly round though, is it?

2017-05-13T17:24:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863444967586275328
@ApophisDiscord Check out the Southern Celestial Pole problem :)
https://www.metabunk.org/the-southern-cross-celestial-poles-and-the-shape-of-the-earth.t8701/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uSm1UVoAA_fh3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uSm1UVoAA_fh3.jpg

2017-05-13T17:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863444516467908608

@ApophisDiscord So? Lasers are limited by the horizon.

2017-05-13T17:22:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863444296631857152

@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Actual experiments are great. Here, I did it for you. Notice the problem?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uR_3DU0AEGYu5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uR_3DU0AEGYu5.jpg
2017-05-13T17:18:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863443440373080064
@EnochEarth @siirii @noreligion69 @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm I've been to Peru. Could not see Polaris.

2017-05-13T17:14:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863442447677874176
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm You should try it. Tape a photo of the moon to your ceiling. Report back.

2017-05-13T17:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863442020408229888
@ApophisDiscord
https://www.history.navy.mil/research/library/online-reading-room/title-list-alphabetically/n/navy-lasers-railgun-hypervelocity-projectiles.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uP7qNUQAA8WY-.jpg



Potential limitations of shipboard lasers include the following:

- **Line of sight.** Since laser light tends to fly through the atmosphere on an essentially straight path, shipboard lasers would be limited to line-of-sight engagements, and consequently could not counter over-the-horizon targets or targets that are obscured by intervening objects. This limits in particular potential engagement ranges against small boats, which can be obscured by higher waves, or low-flying targets. Even so, lasers can rapidly reacquire boats obscured by periodic swells.
- **Atmospheric absorption, scattering, and turbulence.** Substances in the atmosphere—particularly water vapor, but also things such as sand, dust, salt particles, smoke, and other air pollution—absorb and scatter light from a shipboard laser, and atmospheric turbulence can defocus a laser beam. These effects can reduce the effective range of a laser. Absorption by water vapor is a particular consideration for shipboard lasers because marine environments feature substantial amounts of water vapor in the air. There are certain wavelengths of light (i.e., "sweet spots" in the electromagnetic spectrum) where atmospheric absorption by water vapor is markedly reduced. Lasers can be

[40]

designed to emit light at or near those sweet spots, so as to maximize their potential effectiveness. Absorption generally grows with distance to target, making it in general less of a potential problem for short-range operations than for longer-range operations. Adaptive optics, which make rapid, fine adjustments to a laser beam on a continuous basis in response to observed turbulence, can counteract the effects of atmospheric turbulence. Even so, lasers might not work well, or at all, in rain or fog, preventing lasers from being an all-weather solution.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uP7qNUQAA8WY-.jpg
2017-05-13T17:12:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863441750517358593
@ApophisDiscord A laser example of what? Lasers are line-of-sight weapons. Limited by the horizon.

2017-05-13T17:11:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863441484241973249
@ApophisDiscord Very long distance railgun HVPs are guided. Shorter distances can be just ballistic. http://www.dtic.mil/ndia/2014/armaments/WedFox.pdf

---

2017-05-13T17:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863439365527056385
@ApophisDiscord Railgun isn't a laser. It's a ballistic weapon.

2017-05-13T16:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863438369728024576
@ApophisDiscord What's the problem? Why do you think it would not work?

2017-05-13T16:58:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863438241986265088
@ApophisDiscord So what's the problem with the railgun?

2017-05-13T16:55:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863437668046192640
@ApophisDiscord So what's the problem wth the railgun?

2017-05-13T16:52:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863436770452492288
@ApophisDiscord I don't understand the problem. The bullet travels using regular ballistics.

Have to adjust for gravity, wind, and Coriolis

2017-05-13T16:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863435820983762944

@ApophisDiscord I'm not clear what the problem would be. If you can see it, why can't you shoot it? Just need to factor in Coriolis.

https://thearmsguide.com/5329/external-ballistics-the-coriolis-effect-6-theory-section/

2017-05-13T16:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863434685656965124

@ApophisDiscord That's a good approximation for the first hundred miles, yes. But not exact, and diverges for long distances.

2017-05-13T16:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863433481266708484

@ApophisDiscord That's the circumference,not diameter. 8000 miles would be an approximate diameter

---

2017-05-13T16:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863433320809455617

@ApophisDiscord If you click the "advanced" box it will show you the formulae I use.

https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?d=4&h=6&r=3959&u=i&a=a&fd=60&fp=3264

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uH-kmUIAEChLW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uH-kmUIAEChLW.jpg

2017-05-13T16:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863432931670343680

@ApophisDiscord Sure!

2017-05-13T16:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863432006335578112

@ApophisDiscord https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N0GI20nAb40

2017-05-13T16:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863431951348228096

@ApophisDiscord It's just out of focus.
https://www.metabunk.org/the-p900-rippling-orb-effect-taking-photos-of-venus.t8299/

2017-05-13T16:30:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863431352405774336
@EnochEarth @noreligion69 @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Many stars have measurable parallax. Barnard's star for example. Many measurement and photos exist. Verifiable.
https://www.google.com/search?q=barnard%27s+star+parallax&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uGEahUMAEg67a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_uGEahUMAEg67a.jpg
2017-05-13T15:27:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863415535928696832
@EnochEarth @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm First of all, why exactly do you think Polaris can be seen from 20Â° South?

2017-05-13T15:11:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863411493932421120
@EnochEarth @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm I bet you $100 Polaris cannot be seen 20 degrees south of the equator.

2017-05-13T15:10:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863411229779345410
@EnochEarth @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57

@BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Why wouldn't they work on a globe? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tz7PbUwAAp_8f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tz7PbUwAAp_8f.jpg
2017-05-13T15:08:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863410561899311105
@WeAreWakinUp Is this photo fake? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tzQ5jUAAIBuqg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tzQ5jUAAIBuqg.jpg
2017-05-13T15:07:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863410304180301824
@WeAreWakinUp http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tzFSgVwAAiFne.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tzFSgVwAAiFne.jpg

2017-05-13T15:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863409964273905664

@WeAreWakinUp How far away is the DSCOVR camera?

2017-05-13T15:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863409826549780481

@EnochEarth @siirii @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm Compasses work just the same in Australia as in Europe.

https://www.gizmodo.com.au/2015/01/how-to-use-a-map-and-compass/

2017-05-13T15:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863408572020924416

@theshiznackle At the horizon it is. Compare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_txgeoUMAANU8D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_txgeoUMAANU8D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_txbr3VwAEz6mH.jpg

2017-05-13T14:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863405505963630592

@theshiznackle The calculator is for ideal atmospheric conditions. It varies. Ever seen a road on a hot day look like water?

2017-05-13T14:47:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863405310001651712

@theshiznackle You can basically see a distorted superior mirage of the top of the Rogers center. Depends on the air conditions. Normally hidden.

2017-05-13T14:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863403869832974337

RT @AdlerPlanet: Itâ□□s SATURday and youâ□□ll be able to see SATURn tonight. Coincidence? We think not! #LookUp to see Saturn near the Waningâ□¦

2017-05-13T14:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863403680510353408

@siirii @EnochEarth @eugene_maxey @faith4truths @DustinJuggles @majwal7 @jamreilly57 @BLMcCallum @JimJerrolds @WisdomOlogi @BookishNeptune @kayenne22 @NothingSirius @shotgunsusie @Gr8Believer @80Jovan @FlatEarthCity @FlatRealm People in the south see the same thing looking in different directions simultaneously. The Celestial South Pole.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_ts2ypUQAQvMZd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_tsy5qVYAAW-Cp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_ts2ypUQAQvMZd.jpg
2017-05-13T14:38:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863403088081698816

@theshiznackle Depends on the weather conditions. It's always partially obscured by the curve of the lake. Obvious on a clear day.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-the-view-of-toronto-from-hamilton-600-feet-up.t7798/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C_tsgH0UIAAkr_6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C_tsgH0UIAAkr_6.jpg
2017-05-13T14:28:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863400669482426369
@iamjohnsmith Yeah, it's a fallstreak hole. But @OpChemtrails already knows that.

2017-05-13T14:26:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863400034842181632
@4thAnon I think it was more that he was anti cap-and-trade.

2017-05-13T05:43:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863268461157400576
@fraser_lofstrom Such clouds have been observed since the first satellites have been able to take photos of them.

2017-05-13T02:24:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863218312662011904
@MarkuzOcampo @PlunkHead @Sourico It would not move regardless of how far you went. The plane stays level the whole time.

2017-05-13T02:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863212307299446785
@MarkuzOcampo @PlunkHead @Sourico Level means perpendicular to gravity, constant altitude, so why would a spirt level move? No matter how far you go, flight is "level"

2017-05-13T01:57:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/863211674135810048
@MarkuzOcampo @PlunkHead @Sourico I did, flying to L.A.. Any turn or alt change made it move. You can feel the forces yourself. Travel round globe's curve would not move it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_q-KbLV0AALmmy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_q-KbLV0AALmmy.jpg

2017-05-13T01:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863200239783616512

@MarkuzOcampo @PlunkHead @Sourico The level would simply respond to sum of the forces acting upon it. It would not remain level, but mostly due to turns and altitude changes

---

2017-05-13T01:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863198701929455617

@JimmyTheFlubist Okay, let's start with the southern cross.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_qymrDUwAAbUEY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_qymrDUwAAbUEY.jpg

2017-05-13T00:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863185855606702080

@theshiznackle https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/

Switch between the three base layers for different satellites.

2017-05-12T22:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863160640428429312

@PlunkHead @MarkuzOcampo @Sourico If people makes claims of evidence about them that are wrong I'd debunk those claims.

2017-05-12T21:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863144653138173952

@MarkuzOcampo Might want to try everyone on manual, and set the ISO to 100.

2017-05-12T21:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863137048814731264

@wilhildebrand4 Probably the Chilean UFO thing

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/

2017-05-12T20:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863136122137792513

@MarkuzOcampo (rings as in bands of clouds, not rings like Saturn)

2017-05-12T20:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863136029628260352

@MarkuzOcampo Stars will just look like points of light though. Try Jupiter and its moons. You can see the rings of Jupiter with a P900. EV-0.7

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_p5g4GVYAAUfSY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_p5g4GVYAAUfSY.jpg

2017-05-12T20:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863135514852859904

@MarkuzOcampo There's tips in the thread. Easiest way is to focus on the moon. You can also use manual focus then focus on moon with > then its locked

---

2017-05-12T20:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863122010800504832

@MarkuzOcampo It's out of focus.

https://www.metabunk.org/the-p900-rippling-orb-effect-taking-photos-of-venus.t8299/

2017-05-12T20:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863121835151335424

@MarkuzOcampo http://www.snopes.com/moon-footprints/

2017-05-12T19:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863120004912263168

@MarkuzOcampo @Sourico

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_prC5CUQAERIuM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_prBP9VYAEioBn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_pq_qrUQAAFVSi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_prC5CUQAERIuM.jpg

2017-05-12T19:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863112320171384832

@MarkuzOcampo @Sourico I only debunk claims of evidence that I can demonstrate are incorrect.

2017-05-12T19:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863112166114607104

@C_A_L_E I've read about it.

2017-05-12T17:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863090562290786309

@LiveFastCompany @joerogan Isn't that what I said was a possibility? My point was more that it wasn't necessarily a PLANNED False Flag. More exploited event.

2017-05-12T17:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/863088158182789120

@LiveFastCompany @joerogan How? I said there were two reported incidents, disputed details, then it was used a pretext. Unclear if fabricated.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gulf_of_Tonkin_incident

2017-05-12T04:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862895045808054272

RT @VerbalRambler: @joerogan Really enjoyed the @MickWest episode. Coincidentally I was watching a useful clip on the Mercator map: httpsâ¦

2017-05-12T04:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862893447589396480

@theshiznackle @joerogan I matched satellite images to my own photos ten years ago.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-above-and-below/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_mc1aEUIAAfXhC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_mc1aEUIAAfXhC.jpg

2017-05-11T19:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862748603495858176

@texpoops It's just the best Flat Earth debunking camera out there :)

2017-05-11T17:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862717663063048193

@JBurt1992 No, thank you.

2017-05-11T16:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862709404977643521

@nicholaspitts How do you know this? Pilots report seeing the curvature, and it's visible in X-15 footage. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Usb-_Uk6_io

---

2017-05-11T15:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862694820623536130

@nicholaspitts Have you checked? You can see the curve from 400 feet. https://www.metabunk.org/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.t7832/

2017-05-11T13:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862661019721646080

@johnadams2030 Sure. That's why I do the experiments to measure the shape of the Earth. That's why I check ground truth.

2017-05-11T04:41:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862528137405452288

@bodycando I think that's just the switchover from a live show to the recording. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kvitm0bMHyA

2017-05-11T04:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862527625201242113

@Rjinswand @AustinDDover It's an azimuthal equidistant map. It's the only FE map that works for latitude and longitude positions of landmarks.

2017-05-10T17:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862360044339318784

RT @ufoofinterest: No @Daily_Star, it is not an Earth-sized blue planet near the Sun. Here's the explanation for the same effect https://t.â¦

2017-05-10T00:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/862109793753772032

@ajhellyer52 Thanks!

2017-05-09T01:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861756438103769088

@PriceTribune So why are there shadows on the surface aligned with the sun? P900 photo: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_WS36RUIAALzRk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_WS36RUIAALzRk.jpg

2017-05-08T00:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861382086824837120

@iamjohnsmith @TakeThatChem Probably a small helium balloon drifting in the wind.

2017-05-07T23:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861364361297657858
@Goudiaby14
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-why-clouds-appear-behind-the-sun-and-moon.t7084/

2017-05-07T23:31:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/861362901323440128
@iamjohnsmith @TakeThatChem Got a link?

2017-05-07T23:22:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/861360645110759425
@iamjohnsmith @TakeThatChem But the Los Angeles Mystery Missile is a classic too.
http://contrailscience.com/los-angeles-missile-contrail-explained-in-pictures/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_Qq7lcUIAAkk-M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_Qq7lcUIAAkk-M.jpg
2017-05-07T23:21:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/861360285579268096
@iamjohnsmith @TakeThatChem The Chilean Navy UFO
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QqdyKUwAAOppR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QqdyKUwAAOppR.jpg

2017-05-07T22:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861347663819689984

@JimJerrolds @emanuel14486948 Cool. The cheap ones I used for the comparison shots were these. https://www.amazon.com/Solar-Eclipse-Viewers-Pack-Certified/dp/B00KDGJ3D6/

2017-05-07T21:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861330156962447360

@GrumFromNorwich The P900 has a digital viewfinder though, so it's pretty safe. Even if the filter comes off you are just looking at a picture of the sun.

2017-05-07T21:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861329609027076096

@JimJerrolds @emanuel14486948 Maybe you were meaning digital zoom? My sun photos are full optical zoom. Digital zoom does not help, so I turn it off.

2017-05-07T21:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861327102993326080

@JimJerrolds @emanuel14486948 Try it.

2017-05-07T20:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861317732188344324

@JimJerrolds @emanuel14486948 Give it a go.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QD4--V0AAgqwn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QD4--V0AAgqwn.jpg

2017-05-07T20:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861317619139215360

How to take high quality photos of the sun for cheap.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QDsM1UIAA-qvQ.jpg

I just used a very cheap ($12 for 10) solar filter for this, held in front of the camera (P900, maximum zoom).



WARNING: Don't do this with a camera with an optical viewfinder, as it could burn your eyes, causing vision loss or blindness. The P900 has a digital viewfinder, so is safe. Even so, incorrect usage may damage your camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QDrJQV0AAKoAv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_QDsM1UIAA-qvQ.jpg

2017-05-07T20:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861315953019244545

@JimJerrolds @emanuel14486948 Because you can check it for yourself wth a $2 solar filter and your camera at maximum zoom. I took these two photos.

2017-05-07T19:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861297870007287808

@stopthenwo3 Check the non-stop flights, not flights with layovers.

https://www.metabunk.org/flat-earth-theory-debunked-by-short-flights-qf27-qf28-from-australia-to-south-america.t6483/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_PxsKNU0AAZxlr.jpg

---





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_PxsKNU0AAZxlr.jpg

2017-05-07T19:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861297343982731265

@YariFranceschi Correct. Some people think the sun gets bigger during the day.

2017-05-07T18:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861293536548802560

@emanuel14486948 @Mandokero @YBeletsky @badibulgator @joshuavrooman @JennUndercover @D4u2s0t @CobraElDiablo @thearrowbreaker @BlagenLogin @starfishpotato @king_ervae @maverick_kris @skeptropolis @Doo_ku @bikinatroll @VeganillaIce @UnCastellsMes @Justin9Thousand @_TheGeoff

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_Pt5NRVYAAChRK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_Pt5NRVYAAChRK.jpg

2017-05-07T18:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861293472967409664

Comparison of size of the sun at sunrise and solar noon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_PttZWUQAAXhIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C_PttZWUQAAXhIu.jpg

2017-05-07T18:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861287460327702528

@hollyhaygood @StillPlaysMC You see none because you are tiny and very close to the surface.

2017-05-07T18:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/861287160095191040

@iamjohnsmith @TakeThatChem Nobody except me funds Metabunk. I'm a retired video game programmer. Costs are minimal web hosting.

2017-05-06T00:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/860659646427615232

@T1D1W1 @FlatEarthCity Because they both use the Azimuthal Equidistant projection. Makes

Australia totally the wrong shape.

2017-05-05T05:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/860372493940412418

@DomeDweller21 The chart they mention had London at the center. You can make any point on the globe the center of an AEP map. Only correct for that point.

2017-05-03T23:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859917070296768512

@taoeffect Not really. They maybe refuted some aspects of some hypothesis.

2017-05-03T22:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859900368397914112

@taoeffect Just how sections of buildings are carefully lowered into place. Also notice the impact and sway test. https://youtu.be/flo62pdaIMI?t=20s

---

2017-05-03T20:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859863305124421632

@taoeffect To scale it's as stable as the towers were.

2017-05-03T20:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859860446743900160

@taoeffect It's not intended to. It is meant to illustrate the principle behind the progression of collapse in WTC1&2

2017-05-03T19:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859853760683581440

@taoeffect It's mean as an illustration of the principle of progressive collapse by floor stripping. Not meant to represent WTC exactly.

2017-05-03T18:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859830878263885824

@emeryemeryii @Apple Because of the shape of the sensor?

2017-05-03T17:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/859816502349840384

@_xLukey @wroetoshaw @pernilleem98 You show a photo with a curve. What is it supposed to be evidence of?

2017-05-01T03:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858882089151676417

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA Those southern routes prove the Earth is a globe.

2017-05-01T02:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858870598927237122

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA You can fly over Antarctica. Hence the world is a globe.

2017-05-01T02:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858870263131193345

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA You can read about polar routes here. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polar_route

---

2017-05-01T02:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858869734485381120

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA What's the problem? There are zero no-fly zones over either pole.

2017-05-01T01:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858854670739030017

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA What evidence does "Galactic Connections" give to support their claim? Lots of flights over the North Pole.

2017-05-01T01:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858849915631423488

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA I looked it up. I did not find any no fly zones. Where did you read that?

2017-05-01T01:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858848769500000257

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA To measure the dip you can also use a level.

https://www.metabunk.org/a-diy-theodolite-for-measuring-the-dip-of-the-horizon.t8617/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-s-QEdUwAAXtIQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-s-QEdUwAAXtIQ.jpg

2017-05-01T00:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858848284147826688

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA Here's how you measure the curve of the horizon with a level. Note you need a fairly long one.

https://www.metabunk.org/measuring-the-curvature-of-the-horizon-with-a-level.t7832/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-s98K2VYAAMib1.jpg



horizon 10 to 1 stretched.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-s98K2VYAAMib1.jpg

2017-05-01T00:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858847874427125760

@ms_lenox @dmarble1 @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA Well you can't detect something that's 1* to 2* if your potential error is 5* Horizon dip is quite small, but detectable if you do it well.

2017-05-01T00:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858844804200800256

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA It's great you do experiments, not a lot of people do. You do need to consider the level of accuracy though.

2017-05-01T00:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858844666464161792

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA I doubt you will see anything

with that. A plane s a bad place to do horizon experiments, due to haze. Below 1000 feet is better, sharper.

2017-04-30T19:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858772031961153538

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA Even if they did, so what? The flight itself proves the globe. Impossible on Flat earth.

2017-04-30T19:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858769923534864384

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA Quantas flies over the sea ice. What do you think is going on there?

2017-04-30T19:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858769654071742464

@dmarble1 @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @JonnyCustom @NASA No oceans are flat, they LOOK flat because they are so big. But YOU can measure the curve of the horizon with a level.

2017-04-30T19:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858762267646492672

@JonnyCustom @ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @NASA There's video of the actual flight. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X623emPcIYo

---

2017-04-30T19:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858761914255425536

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA It's one example that disproves the flat earth. You don't really need to much beyond Santiago to Sydney flights.

2017-04-30T18:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858755659688402944

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA If you fly from Sydney to Santiago you go over the Antarctic sea ice. You don't fly over California.

2017-04-30T18:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858755367139815424

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA It's irrelevant because there are DIRECT flights. There's also layover flight that don't form a line on the flat earth either.

2017-04-30T18:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858750186079739904

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA Sydney to Santiago, a variety of layovers, and one nonstop flight.
https://www.expedia.com/Flights-Search?trip=oneway&leg1=from:SYD,to:SCL,departure:07/04/2017TANYT&passengers=adults:1,children:0,seniors:0,infantinlap:Y&options=cabinclass%3Aeconomy&mode=search&origref=www.expedia.com
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-rkmGeV0AAmSun.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-rkmGeV0AAmSun.jpg

2017-04-30T18:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858748322730749952

@ms_lenox @CorporalBruno @dmarble1 @JonnyCustom @NASA Not all cities in the world have direct flights. Santiago and Sydney do though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-ri_9JVoAA4n4z.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-ri_9JVoAA4n4z.jpg

2017-04-29T05:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858189183654936576

@4thAnon Yeah, it's almost like the PizzaGate believers fell for it because they did not understand how normal people interact.

2017-04-28T21:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858065933293633536

@wroetoshaw @pernilleem98 Actually you've got a bit of a curve there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h2WWUU0AA5O0d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h2WWUU0AA5O0d.jpg

2017-04-28T21:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858064892351229952

@B_Rich33NO @Mrs_Valine Those are mammatus clouds.

https://www.google.com/search?q=mammatus+clouds&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h1dAOV0AQ1upz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h1dAOV0AQ1upz.jpg

2017-04-28T21:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/858063491042639872

A fun way to verify the sun is a faraway ball.

https://www.metabunk.org/how-to-verify-that-the-sun-is-a-distant-sphere-with-binoculars-sunspots.t8646/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h0GrYVoAIdOl7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-h0GrYVoAIdOl7.jpg

2017-04-28T06:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857839862497411072

@mirak727 Gravity attracts everything to everything, it does not pick. But buoyancy makes some things float.

2017-04-28T05:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857830819372519424

@mirak727 Gravity. Imagine no planets, no gravity, air just spreads out through space. Now add planets. Air goes to the planets, gravity holds it down

---

2017-04-28T05:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857829509889798144

@mirak727 It's hard to reproduce because you need a planet sized object for gravity. But the underlying physics are quite reproducible.

2017-04-27T21:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857705733961297920

@jordanliles @JREpodcast @AbbyMartin In a couple of weeks. I'm looking forward to it to. Never a dull moment with Joe.

2017-04-27T04:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857459187395117057

@MKEBeerMan @AE911Truth @WTC7Evaluation @mediamonarchy @corbettreport @RT_America @eddiebravo @HighImpactFlix @truthstreamnews @SecureTeam10 @billburr AE911 says it didn't. FAQ #2. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-ZOkqwUwAEaIAm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-ZOkqwUwAEaIAm.jpg

2017-04-27T02:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857423762093883393

@AE911Truth @WTC7Evaluation @mediamonarchy @corbettreport @RT_America @eddiebravo @HighImpactFlix @truthstreamnews @SecureTeam10 @billburr So thermite ignites at 430Â°C? Why didn't it ignite in the fires?

2017-04-26T01:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857046252785025024

@MeowCatAleks Depends on the temperature and humidity aloft. But yes. Also viewing them from an angle makes them look a lot thicker.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-TW3EvV0AUYuLi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-TW3EvV0AUYuLi.jpg

2017-04-25T22:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857002377936752640

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Can you make a magnet fly by turning it upside down?

2017-04-25T22:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857002230947303424

@swearyG @Oswald1160 So as water is diamagnetic, why does it fall down? Surely it would fall up.

2017-04-25T22:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/857001254588891136

@MeowCatAleks It looks like a plane leaving contrails.

2017-04-25T16:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856908540136443904

@swearyG @Oswald1160 What's the equation of this force in terms of density? For gravity it's F = G*m1*m2/(r^2). This matches observations.

2017-04-25T15:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856892578674888704

@swearyG @Oswald1160 How can magnetism pull an apple to the ground if apples are not affected by magnets?

2017-04-25T15:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856892152751702021

@swearyG @Oswald1160 We observe gravity. You are simply calling it something else. What's the physical difference?

2017-04-25T13:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856868197508796419

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Sounds like you're just calling gravity something else. What happened to "density"?

2017-04-25T05:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856741659052089346

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Buoyancy requires gravity to work. That aside, why do things fall in a vacuum? You can do this experiment yourself. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_XJcZ-KoL9o

---

2017-04-24T19:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856586302162034690

@aden_76 @bowbrick

https://www.theguardian.com/society/2014/aug/13/brick-lane-is-the-uks-laughing-gas-megastore-but-for-how-long http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-M0rlRU0AIxAFs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-M0rlRU0AIxAFs.jpg

2017-04-24T19:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856584720599048192

@Auto_Protect_UK @wildbillhkhk @KitemanX @dontpanicbjtj @GlobeEarthToday @asb5689 @MP_USMC_SRT @NothingSirius @MaverickRant @JimJerrolds @busterknutt1 @richardohughes @jeffcoco13 @dwolfman54 @KellekenV @davino1959 @FlatEarthCity @GodKingNobody @NomieAhmed @Science_McTesty @FlatSlugbrains @ColinWigle @facebones777 @elementofphire @shotgunsusie @y0unghusk @kayenne22 @malo_j @dan__315 @Wethepeople1776 @LeeWilschevic @CharliePoet @HaveNoSphere @HimawariPics @himawari8bot A minor aside: Polaris actually rotates a bit, as it's about about 89Â°. It just happens to be the visible star that's closest to 90Â°.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-MzDNGV0AAzxMr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-MzDNGV0AAzxMr.jpg

2017-04-24T18:06:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856570127491284992

@just1minion @PlanetMindSlave @JamieBrownFE Except for all the proof of that force :)

2017-04-24T18:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856569839422291968

@Oswald1160 @swearyG I've piloted a plane. We just set the controls (trim) so that altitude remains constant. Then we fly to our destination. Simple.

2017-04-24T18:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856568885553668096

@swearyG By that reckoning, the pole is also 3959 miles lower than the equator. How can two points be lower than each other?

2017-04-24T03:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856350215099498496

@swearyG But altitude is measured relative to sea level, not the Noth Pole. So it's constant for cruising plane.

2017-04-23T23:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856296081981808640

@swearyG The plane says the same distance from the center and the surface of the earth, so there's no "drop" as such, just travel on a globe.

2017-04-23T23:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856295320107442176

@Loucrates420 @LaloDagach @UN To avoid country preference. Antartica isn't a nation.

2017-04-23T23:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/856294992070926337

@Rjinswand @starshiplimo Also:
https://www.metabunk.org/flat-earth-theory-debunked-by-short-flights-qf27-qf28-from-australia-to-south-america.t6483/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-Irqc9UAAAomaP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C-Irqc9UAAAomaP.jpg

2017-04-22T19:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/855870519236673536

@MindspinDave Or ice crystals.

2017-04-20T21:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/855173351152664576

@schmavian @FactsOnTheLoose @dearnikolee Try debunking the dip of the ocean horizon.

https://www.metabunk.org/a-diy-theodolite-for-measuring-the-dip-of-the-horizon.t8617/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C94vho5VoAAUUrc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C94vho5VoAAUUrc.jpg

2017-04-20T21:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/855170983052713985

Want to proved the curvature of the earth by measuring the dip of the horizon. Make a DIY theodolite!

https://www.metabunk.org/a-diy-theodolite-for-measuring-the-dip-of-the-horizon.t8617/

#flatearth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C94tKUUVwAA-cil.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C94tKUUVwAA-ciI.jpg

2017-04-19T14:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854710304231391232

@davebrooker322 @chucksteakpt @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Looks like you just relabeled persistent contrails as "chemtrails". Are you not then just creating a vocabulary?

2017-04-19T01:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854510906486607873

@karensachiko They think the videos are fake.

2017-04-19T01:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854505273645334528

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker322 @YouTube Why would I? It's a purely physical effect.

2017-04-18T23:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854476847450431488

@chucksteakpt @davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube So how that that tall you which contrails are chemtrails? What does geoengineering look like?

2017-04-18T21:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854442928869064704

@davebrooker322 @chucksteakpt @Kal1_Furies @YouTube How do you know the difference is not just different types of contrails? Like all the books on clouds say.

2017-04-18T20:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854428044198424576

@chucksteakpt @davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Why do you think they vanish when they stop spinning? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9uJsaxVoAAf7rv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9uJsaxVoAAf7rv.jpg

2017-04-18T20:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854427422090973185

@chucksteakpt @davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Don't you call all contrails "chemtrails"? Personally I think these trails are just a sub-type of contrails.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g7PMCEkACiI

2017-04-18T18:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854408730498129920

@chucksteakpt @davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube It's a proposed term: "I propose a useful new classification for a type of contrail, the Hybrid Contrail"

http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2017-04-18T18:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854408419922419712

@chucksteakpt @davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Well what would you call exhaust contrails entrained and extended by wake vortices that decay after crow instability distortion?

2017-04-17T23:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/854116403896434688

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker322 @YouTube I just gave them a name to simplify discussion. Been observed for decades.

2017-04-16T04:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/853470370858323975

@davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Yes. Slight difference in air pressure.

2017-04-16T04:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/853470120810749952

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker322 @YouTube Not my idea. I prefer "covert geoengineering" for the conspiracy theory. Or "chemtrails"

2017-04-16T04:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/853469737543622656

@davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube Why? Hybrid contrails are still clouds. Just minor difference in physics.

2017-04-16T04:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/853467929366544384

@davebrooker322 @Kal1_Furies @YouTube I invented the term four years ago. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2017-04-15T00:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/853039929147875328

@EyeonicDJ So probably some cache thing of a temporary glitch. Try clearing your cache, an/or logging out/in

---

2017-04-14T20:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852983565700571136

@EyeonicDJ Odd, I just flushed things here. Try again in an incognito browser window.

2017-04-14T18:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852948700682788864

@RobinWhitlock66 Thanks! Most of the action is over on Metabunk now though.

2017-04-14T18:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852948553815048192

@EyeonicDJ Which site? Metabunk seems fine to me. I did change the CS site a while back.

2017-04-12T21:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852266700686807040

Before I debunked I debugged Tony Hawk's Pro Skater. There's a great documentary in the works. Last day to join. https://www.indiegogo.com/projects/pretending-i-m-a-superman-the-tony-hawk-game-story/x/13817515#/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9Pbw2AUIAAw-ED.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9Pbw2AUIAAw-ED.jpg

2017-04-12T20:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852256374973112320

@deusvulteuropa @OpChemtrails @sagajake Exactly like that. Because it hasn't.

2017-04-12T20:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852256145678802944

@OpChemtrails That third pic is pretty common. I call it a "hybrid" contrails as it's both exhaust and aerodynamic. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9PSbLhUAAAx7WB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C9PSbLhUAAAx7WB.jpg

2017-04-12T20:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/852254554917814272

@dissonance_dev You're welcome! It's probably a bit dated now, but hopefully gets the general idea across.

2017-04-01T15:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/848197365395726337

@43a6f0ce5dac4ea @selinasorrels Dr Karl? Probably because the "evidence" is all wrong. Just people misinterpreting contrails.

2017-04-01T00:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/847976405803515904

@selinasorrels The conspiracy theory was this it was done secretly. That's still a conspiracy theory.

2017-04-01T00:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/847973922117107712

@FlatRealm @JennUndercover @Skeptickler @mabyeez The figures in this diagram seem to be correct. Which bit is the disproof?

2017-03-31T22:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/847944131343040512

Media gets all excited about "declassified" Pentagon photos from 9/11. Turns out they were

released six years ago!
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-releases-27-classified-photos-of-9-11-pentagon-attacks-not-new.t8551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C8SAmttVYAAUqJr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C8SAmttVYAAUqJr.jpg
2017-03-28T17:58:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/846783448677212161
Lizard on Mars Rover is just a dust removal tool. A wire brush.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-lizard-found-on-mars-rover-dust-removal-tool.t8530/
@ufoofinterest http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C8Bg8ZGV4AArUKo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C8Bg8ZGV4AArUKo.jpg
2017-03-27T22:26:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/846488692197748736
New clouds? No, just some new latin classification words to describe existing clouds.
https://www.metabunk.org/there-are-no-new-clouds-just-new-latin-classifications.t8521/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C79U5NiU8AA0OgH.jpg

Genera	*Species*	*Varieties*	*Supplementary features and accessory clouds*	*Mother-clouds and special clouds* (most commonly occurring mother-clouds are listed in the same order as genera)	
	(listed by frequency of observation)			*Genitus*	*Mutatus*
Cirrus	fibratus uncinus spissatus castellanus floccus	intortus radiatus vertebratus duplicatus	mamma fluctus	Cirrocumulus Altocumulus Cumulonimbus Homo	Cirrostratus Homo
Cirrocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus	undulatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum	-	Cirrus Cirrostratus Altocumulus Homo
Cirrostratus	fibratus nebulosus	duplicatus undulatus	-	Cirrocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrus Cirrocumulus Altostratus Homo
Altocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma cavum fluctus asperitas	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus
Altostratus	-	translucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus	virga praecipitatio pannus mamma	Altocumulus Cumulonimbus	Cirrostratus Nimbostratus
Nimbostratus	-	-	praecipitatio virga pannus	Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Altostratus Stratocumulus
Stratocumulus	stratiformis lenticularis castellanus floccus volutus	translucidus perlucidus opacus duplicatus undulatus radiatus lacunosus	virga mamma praecipitatio fluctus asperitas cavum	Altostratus Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus	Altocumulus Nimbostratus Stratus
Stratus	nebulosus fractus	opacus translucidus undulatus	praecipitatio fluctus	Nimbostratus Cumulus Cumulonimbus Homo Silva Cataracta	Stratocumulus
Cumulus	humilis mediocris congestus fractus	radiatus	virga praecipitatio pileus velum arcus pannus fluctus tuba	Altocumulus Stratocumulus Flamma Homo Cataracta	Stratocumulus Stratus
Cumulonimbus	calvus capillatus	-	praecipitatio virga pannus incus mamma pileus velum arcus murus cauda flumen tuba	Altocumulus Altostratus Nimbostratus Stratocumulus Cumulus Flamma Homo	Cumulus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C79U5NiU8AA0OgH.jpg

2017-03-26T19:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/846078140359237633

@stang289 not especially big though. And not for a while.

2017-03-25T15:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845658506082471936

@NedSparks @carenharkins But the conspiracy theory was there in an early form. Here's another story about it from 1973 (44 years ago)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7xhnWFVQAEtNk-.jpg



THE GETTYSBURG TIMES, THURSDAY, AUGUST 9, 1973

Letters to the EDITOR

August 6, 1973

FLOODS AND DROUGHT

Sir:

This is the 17th year of cloud seeding in Area 'S', 90,000 sq. mi. of the Potomac Basin and the Apple Belt. The same is true of Area 'R' in central New York State. In actual fact, military-commercial projects cover the entire east coast and other mountainous regions in the country. Commercial ventures make their profits from redistribution of rain and snow, increasing the income of those who buy "guaranteed" weather, and bankrupting their neighbors. The military engage in flood-making (and its counterpart, drought), hail increase, cloud cover (this deadly haze develops from low level cirrus-clouds which in turn come from chemical jet contrails), and cyclonic storms or hurricanes. For this, see Third Conference on Weather Modification, Rapid City, 1972, pp. 235-6, and Memos of Tri-State Natural Weather Assn., Inc. This cloud cover is toxic and traps pollutants, endangering the lives of all who suffer from lung or heart ailments. Silver iodide is generally used, silver acting on the body proteins as mercury does. But the military's main purpose is to develop weather as a branch of chemical-biological warfare, and we are the guinea pigs. The ecological and the economic cost is enormous, to say nothing of the wasting of huge amounts of scarce gasoline. But this is well kknown. We live under "Militarized State Capitalism" (see N.Y. Times book section, 2 August, 1973).

Less well known is the role of the Army Corps of Engineers, Public Enemy No. 1, as Justice Douglas calls them. Destruction follows them everywhere, or is used as the clinching argument to force 'flood control' dams on the nation, the floods and drought themselves being intensified by seeding. Drowned farms, ruined tax bases, stinking mud flats, are their legacy. The engineers think big. They are not merely interested in the juicy contracts dams and river dredging bring. The floods in the mid west of last spring were the direct result of channelling waters to flow too swiftly down stream, waters that naturally would have spread over swamps and increased ground water supply.

To top this off, The Proposed Potomac River Basin Compact, tailor-made for the engineers, will give them what are called "large responsibilities" under the commissioners of the compact. They want nothing less than entire control of all water rights, in the atmosphere and on the ground, down to wells that supply five households. In 1961 they told journalists that the (man-made) drought in this basin would bring in its wake their dams. They would have little trouble controlling the commissioners, who are to be self-perpetuating, whose decisions will be above all legislative control, and who need not even be USA citizens! To inform the people, Tri-State Natural Weather Association is issuing "This is the Internal Capture of the U.S.," at 30 cents a copy.

U.S.," at 30 cents a copy.

Sincerely yours,
W. L. Kinter, Secretary
Tri-State Natural Weather Association, Inc.
258 N. Main St.,
Chambersburg, Penna.

https://goo.gl/rGPoIq

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7xhnWFVQAEtNk-.jpg

2017-03-25T05:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845510826048065536

@NedSparks @carenharkins You mean this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7vblEOVMAIvh2b.jpg

---



THE GETTYSBURG TIMES, MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 1978

Dear Sir:

Several months ago Mr. Kent Shelhamer, The Secretary of Agriculture in Pennsylvania, promised a group from a farm organization in South Central Pennsylvania that he was "going to get to the bottom" of the persistent reports of cloud seeding in Adams, Franklin and Fulton Counties. The latter half of July would have given him text book evidence. Irving Langmuir (Cloud Nucleation, 1962) tells us what to look for, and the evidence was, and is, above our heads daily. We are part of Area 'S' for seeding purposes ('S' is 90,000 sq. mi. of the Potomac Basin). Northwest of us, violent storms, one even a tornado; south east of us, torrential rains, over Area 'S' the drizzle and heavy air inversion characteristic of heavy seeding.

According to the U. S. Weather Bureau's description of climate for this region, the normal is to have 35 to 40 thunderstorm days each summer. From 1957 to 1965 the Blue Ridge Weather Modification Association was hired by orchardists to suppress hail. Because of the cloud seeding the active thunderstorm days dropped to 5 to 8 or fewer during the summer months. By 1968 military-commercial "experiments" were well under way in this region, bringing floods to some, drought to others, and toxic pollution of air, land and water to all.

The Blue Ridge Seeders disbanded in 1965, but the evidence of seeding has intensified. Now small craft are aided by military jets, whose criss-cross chemical contrails develop into domes of haze which trap pollutants, heat, and humidity for days. Heavy precipitation is induced in winter and spring by light seeding; and drought in the summer is induced by massive seeding which dissipates the precipitation potential.

Here, no one younger than 25 years can recall the awesome majesty of the massive cumulonimbus clouds that signals the build up of great thunderstorms over the western mountains, bringing their essential gifts of nature — nitrogen (from lightning), rain, and the washed clean air. Since 1957, all convective thunder storms are extinguished by the seeders. The few broken storms that sneak through generally do so late at night when the seeders have retired.

Since Secretary Shelhamer promised "to get to the bottom of the cloud seeding" he admits that there is something to get to the bottom of. Why has he not called a meeting of the Pennsylvania Weather Modification Board? Why is he dragging his feet?

Edmund R. Hill,
Vice Pres.
Tri-State Natural
Weather Assoc.
124 Carlisle St
Gettysburg, Pa

https://goo.gl/UQaFxM

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7vblEOVMAIvh2b.jpg

2017-03-25T05:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845504120320512000

@RealAlexJones And now you have that liberty.

2017-03-25T05:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845503810680188928

@stang289 New types, but not new clouds. It's like learning new words. The universe has not changed, there's just new words.

2017-03-24T06:40:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845163379471802368

Some open source simulations of the WTC progressive collapse mechanism.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MgxjUTILGwA

https://www.metabunk.org/a-virtual-model-illustrating-some-aspects-of-the-collapse-of-the-wtc-towers.t8507/

2017-03-24T05:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/845142975701172225

@ufoofinterest @hoaxeye This type of thing: http://www.dewbow.co.uk/glows/cshad11.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7qMy84VUAA9dMe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7qMy84VUAA9dMe.jpg

2017-03-22T14:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/844557411306242049

@Kal1_Furies They look pretty cool close up. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nfwejwWlmt4

2017-03-22T14:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/844556857595199488

@Kal1_Furies The last three pics there show a rapidly dissipating hybrid contrail, persisting only as long as the wake vortex spins.

2017-03-22T14:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/844555628567654401

@JunkScience The maps shows lead levels in children's' blood, not in the environment. There's a variety of sources.

2017-03-16T19:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/842462307212906496

@PavewayIV @sherisspace It the big picture it's just noise.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7EG_i2V4AI4vzg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7EGwyvVoAAaFAV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7EG_i2V4AI4vzg.jpg

2017-03-16T17:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/842425607824670720

@PavewayIV @sherisspace Limited measurement resolution (0.12 inches) leads to noise. Consider the hourly change in elevation here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7DlSfTVsAAhuvJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C7DlSfTVsAAhuvJ.jpg

2017-03-16T17:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/842423180597764096

@PavewayIV @sherisspace Inflow is calculated from Elevation-(Outflow+Evaporation). But when elevation only changes 0.02 or 0.03, large error

---

2017-03-14T16:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/841682373645230080

@RobertDolezal The previous sustain 100K cfs would have removed most of the loose/weak rock. Lower flows now mostly over more solid rock.

2017-03-12T06:59:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/840819525616463873

@PavewayIV @andrebyik Published on ChicoER on 2/10

http://www.chicoer.com/article/NA/20170210/NEWS/170219971#

2017-03-12T06:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/840814665122766848

@PavewayIV @andrebyik It's not a secret.

https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/3458959/Oroville-Dam-Inspection-Report-June-2014.pdf

---

2017-03-12T05:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/840796125925842945

RT @astro_kimbrough: Cool view from @Space_Station of spiral-shaped contrails created by airliners in holding patterns over Europe. https:/â¦

2017-03-06T05:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/838629843864436736

Strange gravity at the #OrovilleDam http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6NpBiTVMAAJMmo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6NpBiTVMAAJMmo.jpg

2017-03-04T22:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/838161563097800704

@Mlambm because it's the same as atan(y/x)

2017-03-04T19:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/838105931225288705

People for scale. #Orovillespillway #orovilledam

https://pixel-ca-dwr.photoshelter.com/galleries/C0000OxvlgXg3yfg/G00003YCcmDTx48Y/Oroville-Spillway-Damage http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6GMxbGVAAE6uu5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6GMxbGVAAE6uu5.jpg

2017-03-04T18:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/838101089828294656

@Jack_in_VA Inflows are calculated numbers, not accurate, lots of noise. Look at trendline, not spikes.

http://cdec.water.ca.gov/jspplot/jspPlotServlet.jsp?sensor_no=6680&end=03%2F04%2F2017+10%3A54&geom=huge&interval=20&cookies=cdec01

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6GIZOzUwAAS2vA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C6GIZOzUwAAS2vA.jpg

2017-03-02T00:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/837091303632429056

@joerogan "These prospective findings provide tentative evidence of a link" seems a bit weak.

2017-03-01T22:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/837061080077631491

@R_Tehubijuluw I neither lie nor get paid.

2017-03-01T04:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/836800970260680704

@R_Tehubijuluw How are reflections debatable? The properties of light are well understood.

2017-02-25T16:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/835526429798354944

@JunkScience Arguable. But what's not arguable is that Trump is wrong here. Obamacare covers 20 million people. That's not "very few".

2017-02-23T01:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834578663026810880

@piktore Sounds plausible. Bit of a coincidence though.

2017-02-23T01:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834571828857221120

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5T-o1hUEAA1O0V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5T-o1hUEAA1O0V.jpg

2017-02-23T01:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834571278249975808

#OrovilleDam postcard shows something going on at the failure site 50 years ago. Same exact

spot.

https://www.metabunk.org/pre-failure-oroville-dam-spillway-historical-images.t8410/page-2#post-202171 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5T9rZ0VYAAuehh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5T9rZ0VYAAuehh.jpg

2017-02-22T17:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834453767084920832

@voluntarist1960 It's a moderated site with posting guidelines. People have to follow the guidelines to post.

2017-02-22T02:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834223734437277696

@JunkScience But since there were not enough volcanic eruptions to sufficiently cool the planet, we are decidedly NOT glad of greenhouse CO2

2017-02-21T19:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834121841044107265

Scale of the #OrovilleSpillway Note people in lower right

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5NlUwVUoAAMW8Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5NlUwVUoAAMW8Y.jpg

2017-02-21T18:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/834112721020817409

.@oldhickory49 Oroville outflow is still the same, 60K cfs.

http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/queryF?s=ORO

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5Nc7bHUEAAIEYr.jpg

OROVILLE DAM *(ORO)*

Elevation: 900' · FEATHER R basin · Operator: CA Dept of Water Resources/O & M

Query executed Tuesday at 10:47:45

Provisional data, subject to change.
Select a sensor type for a plot of data.

Earlier

Date / Time (PST)	*RES ELE* FEET	*STORAGE* AF	*OUTFLOW* CFS	*INFLOW* CFS	*RIV REL* CFS	*RAIN* INCHES	*BAT VOL* VOLTS
02/20/2017 23:00	850.14	2810229	60068	89307	65341	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 00:00	850.34	2812914	60166	91087	65145	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 01:00	850.48	2814794	60113	87728	65169	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 02:00	850.65	2817079	60122	86674	64552	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 03:00	850.84	2819634	60219	90764	64538	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 04:00	851.00	2821786	60076	88413	65117	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 05:00	851.17	2824075	60089	89133	65069	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 06:00	851.35	2826499	60071	88283	64723	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 07:00	851.50	2828521	60110	86863	64653	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 08:00	851.64	2830409	60249	84605	64753	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 09:00	851.76	2832028	60399	82803	64923	39.32	13.4
02/21/2017 10:00	851.92	2834187	60195	84610	64869	39.32	13.4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5Nc7bHUEAAIEYr.jpg

2017-02-21T06:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833928089663008768

@OpChemArchives That's my house

2017-02-21T02:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833860681178902528

@KCRANatalie That big rock isn't the pile though. It's the flat grey regions in the pool.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5J31V-UoAA4h38.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5J31V-UoAA4h38.jpg
2017-02-21T02:03:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/833859646200819712
@weatherwhiz @MyAmerica120 It is expected to go up to 110,000 cfs, for a few feet rise in the lake.

2017-02-21T02:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/833859281707364352
@lee_bowen @esericse Sure, but this storm isn't a problem, and they are still letting out water. They did not stop. The rain will stop.

2017-02-21T01:02:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/833844302723764224
@lee_bowen @esericse Input exceeding output a little bit, will lead to five foot rise or so. What's the problem?

2017-02-20T23:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/833823148659204097
@esericse @lee_bowen Yeah, I don't think rising 0.01 feet in an hour is cause for concern. Models predict rise of five feet out of 50

2017-02-20T19:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/833767222862491648
@kron4news Not really. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5IizMgVMAAMOaA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C5IizMgVMAAMOaA.jpg

2017-02-19T02:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833144985184727040

@Kal1_Furies 1. I believe by the academic institutions, like discretionary research. 2. No.

2017-02-18T22:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833082965622075392

@Stckysheets That what he seemed to be suggesting. How else would spillway failure void (empty) the dam? How else?

2017-02-18T22:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833082345976582145

@Stckysheets Did the dam void? Was there a wall of mud washing out cities in seconds?

2017-02-18T22:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833077899364179969

@Stckysheets That's great, but his initial assessment of Oroville was inaccurate and sensationalist.

2017-02-18T21:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833059920572411904

@Stckysheets @ScottPCahill How is the reservoir going to be "voided" by a spillway failure? Wall of mud taking out city? Sensationalism.

2017-02-18T20:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833044828623495168

.@kron4news The Maxwell flooding is unrelated to the Oroville dam. Please don't alarm people.

2017-02-18T19:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833039473378078720

@KayDesigner https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sutter_Buttes

2017-02-18T19:01:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833028765017989120

@afreedma The dam will be fine, they have lots of room now. The levees less so.

2017-02-18T18:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/833027924596977665

@BadAstronomer Check out the full CA-DWR gallery of those pics. Lots more.

http://pixel-ca-dwr.photoshelter.com/galleries/C0000OxvlgXg3yfg/G00003YCcmDTx48Y/Oroville-Spillway-Damage

---

2017-02-18T07:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832858910537035776

@JBaker31826004 No it's not. Oroville is in the North.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C47owiKVMAEpFU6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C47owiKVMAEpFU6.jpg

2017-02-17T23:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832739754005237761

@tmc_Ford https://archive.org/stream/zh9californiastatew2003calirich#page/94/mode/2up

---

2017-02-17T23:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832731406337875969

RT @ProComKelly: Stunning Aerial Video of #Oroville Dam Emerg Spillway Repair Progress on Thursday PM. #cawx #caflood #dam #aerials https:/â□¦

2017-02-17T23:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832727881809752064

#OrovilleDam Spillway blueprints and discharge ratings

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C45ximuVMAIkghf.jpg



Figure 77. Flood Control Outlet—Plan and Elevation

95

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C45xYMCUcAAp6vG.jpg



Figure 79. Emergency Spillway—Sections and Details

97

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C45xbI5VMAAq3IK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C45xZR1VMAI9SKa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C45ximuVMAIkghf.jpg

2017-02-17T22:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832724268840480772

@RichardDLyday Plenty of pics of the repairs here.

http://pixel-ca-dwr.photoshelter.com/galleries/C0000OxvlgXg3yfg/G00003YCcmDTx48Y/Oroville-

Spillway-Damage

2017-02-16T21:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832342052545269760

.@billhusa1 Do you have the original of this image? It might show damage to ramp before #orovilledam hole. https://mng-chico.smugmug.com/Oroville-Week-of-1-30-2017/i-sZJBSXt/A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C40Slu_VMAAQn5u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C40Slu_VMAAQn5u.jpg

2017-02-16T16:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832269854795182081

@sara8smiles @dvitale1 More because of what the first word of each line spells out.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4zRAvsUkAEIE9M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4zRAvsUkAEIE9M.jpg

2017-02-16T06:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832116091220488192

@RyanMaue You have to consider the whole watershed though. A lot of it to the east is much lower.

2017-02-16T06:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832113662861025280

@MrsKrishan and that access road is not on rammed earth, it's over the extended spillway.

2017-02-16T06:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/832111054146592770

@MrsKrishan @reva702That would be @mickwest :) That other graphic is from Wikipedia.
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Oroville_dam_infographic_feb_14.png

---

2017-02-15T19:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831955856988135424

.@ggweather Oroville outflow is 100K cf/s. 100000*60*60*24 cubic feet in acre feet is 198K acre-ft. So 172KAF is ok

https://www.google.com/search?q=100000*60*60*24+cubic+feet+in+acre+feet

---

2017-02-15T19:51:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831954034420445184

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4uxw3AUcAAurVy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4uxw3AUcAAurVy.jpg

2017-02-15T18:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831931508017410048

@JmeDubya Not a crack. Existing erosion from rain runoff.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4udPn9VUAASYme.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4udPn9VUAASYme.jpg

2017-02-13T20:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831233221966770177

RT @ChicoER: DWR says it needs to release more from diversion pool, just below dam, because it's getting too high. #OrovilleSpillway https:â¦

2017-02-13T19:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831230347471839232

#orovilledam spillway hole has got 330 feet closer to the top in 6 days. Erosion continues. Hope it hits rock soon.

https://www.metabunk.org/oroville-dam-spillway-failure.t8381/page-7#post-200045

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4kfYx1VMAAo1Rc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4kfYx1VMAAo1Rc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4kfXLXVMAMeuMt.jpg

2017-02-13T16:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/831177064766070785

RT @WendyAguilarTV: Two helicopters are at the scene where dozens of baskets have been filled with big rocks that will be used at #Orovilleâ¦

2017-02-13T01:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830951101771902976

@Afterseven Let's wait and see what transpires. Looks very bad though even with "just" spillway failure.

2017-02-12T23:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830923270253748224

@MozartTarzan It's the same video. Looks like he uploaded it twice. Someone noted that in the comments.

2017-02-12T23:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830918286585901057

@MozartTarzan Not my vid, it's Alan Kuentz. Which 9:30 vis?

2017-02-12T23:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830917624498266113

@CA_DWR Is that something like 11357.8*(height - 901.508)^1.5 or more complex?

2017-02-12T22:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830910029033197568

#OrovilleDam footage from 12:25 today shows emergency spillway still going strong https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEETWRXiFJs.

2017-02-12T18:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830852351837614080

@jamesirving2 Want to bet?

2017-02-12T17:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830831897840275457

@aghenis They just want to be able to use their own drones safely. They have published lot of image. Can't exactly hide it.

2017-02-12T17:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830828892072005637

@WeatherNation @ken_crichlow NO IT ISN'T. It's just flowing over the emergency spillway as designed. Dam is 20 foot above, so safe.

2017-02-12T16:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830823491897171968

RT @ButteSheriff: #OrovilleSpillway #Spillway 2/12/17 Morning Update: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4es3jyUYAAm08D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4es3jyUYAAm08D.jpg
2017-02-12T16:26:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/830815382726258688
@HankGreelyLSJU Yes. Regular spillway can handle 150K cfs, but they had to reduce it to 65K then 55K. Aimed for minimal ES usage.

2017-02-12T16:08:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/830810733550055424
.@WeatherPlus24 No, there is plenty of rebar in the #OrovilleSpillway Look closer.
https://www.metabunk.org/oroville-dam-spillway-failure.t8381/#post-199691
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4eh4HiUMAEuiY7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4eh2xjUcAE0_Ye.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4eh4HiUMAEuiY7.jpg

2017-02-12T15:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830806823955419136

Comparison of #OrovilleDam runoff yesterday afternoon. Erosion near stopped on main spillway. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4eeOBJVMAAIiMj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4eeOBJVMAAIiMj.jpg

2017-02-12T15:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830806318982115328

@jackeegan Who told you that? It's it's 902.5, and decreasing.

http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/queryF?s=ORO

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ed3_tUkAA_lIe.jpg

OROVILLE DAM *(ORO)*

Elevation: 900' · FEATHER R basin · Operator: CA Dept of Water Resources/O & M

Query executed Sunday at 7:49:16

Provisional data, subject to change.
Select a sensor type for a plot of data.

Earlier

Date / Time (PST)	RES ELE FEET	STORAGE AF	OUTFLOW CFS	INFLOW CFS	RIV REL CFS	RAIN INCHES	BAT VOL VOLTS
02/11/2017 20:00	902.39	3575499	55005	66240	68979	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 21:00	902.44	3576295	55027	65137	68692	34.76	13.5
02/11/2017 22:00	902.51	3577410	55048	66996	68174	34.76	13.5
02/11/2017 23:00	902.56	3578207	55066	67109	68344	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 00:00	902.57	3578367	55070	61754	67617	34.76	13.5
02/12/2017 01:00	902.58	3578526	55077	58434	67494	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 02:00	902.58	3578526	55077	57249	67370	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 03:00	902.59	3578686	55077	55591	67203	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 04:00	902.58	3578526	55077	55591	67258	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 05:00	902.57	3578367	55074	54624	67572	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 06:00	902.55	3578048	55066	52697	67278	34.76	13.4
02/12/2017 07:00	902.54	3577888	55059	51281	67332	34.76	13.4

Later | Latest

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ed3_tUkAA_lIe.jpg

2017-02-12T15:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830802025524760576

.@jackeegan The river below #orovilledam can handle 150K cfs without significant flooding. Current flows are MUCH LOWER than flood level.

2017-02-12T14:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830788316421201920

RT @AdamRatliff: Close up view of the new channel being cut around #OrovilleDam

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gchf6COGAKQ

2017-02-12T02:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830610804797906945

@KRCR7 @CA_DWR Merged them into a panorama:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bsBMtVMAA0CRL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bsBMtVMAA0CRL.jpg

2017-02-12T02:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830609420002304000

RT @KRCR7: Latest photos from the emergency spillway on #Orovilledam from @CA_DWR #OrovilleSpillway http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bo4TUVYAAAZzF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bo4TUVYAAAZzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bo4TPVYAAW1SX.jpg

2017-02-12T02:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830603183860785152

There goes the road. https://twitter.com/ProComKelly/status/830588936279846912

2017-02-12T02:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830602733249892352

@Afterseven Yes, soil eroded down to rock. Top of the the spillways are on rock. While there are no guarantees it's well within the design.

2017-02-12T02:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830602369570201601

@Afterseven @flowinguphill @Oroville_Dam @PeterGleick @ProComKelly It's a theoretically maximum storm, super worst case scenario. 21" of rai

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bkVQPUkAA9xps.jpg

The Probable Maximum Flood for Oroville Dam

The probable maximum flood (PMF) is based on the probable maximum precipitation (PMP), considering both rain and snow, and is used for spillway design purposes. USACE estimated the PMF in 1970 to have a peak flow of 720,000 cfs and a 3-day runoff volume of 2,510 taf and results from a 72-hour storm depositing 21.1 inches of precipitation.

The PMF study was updated by USACE in 1980. It showed that the PMF has a peak inflow of 960,000 cfs and an 8-day run-off volume of 5,217 taf.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4bkVQPUkAA9xps.jpg

2017-02-12T02:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830601781285642241

@Afterseven @flowinguphill @Oroville_Dam @PeterGleick @ProComKelly Designed for PMF peaking 700K cfm.

http://www.water.ca.gov/orovillerelicensing/docs/wg_study_reports_and_docs/EO/SP-E4.pdf

---

2017-02-12T02:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830599675757551616

@Afterseven @flowinguphill @Oroville_Dam @PeterGleick @ProComKelly DWR said 500K. Total dam system designed for peak 700K, says Army Corps

---

2017-02-11T19:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830497361625436161

@stephenjjohnson Well it's designed for 700,000 cfs, and currently handling ~2,000. The problem is the hillside.

2017-02-11T19:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830493246241411072

@EdJoyce That flow is more like 1,000 cfs. It will take several hours to build up to a peak of 6,000-12,0000

2017-02-11T17:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830475516129849344

#OrovilleDam boat ramp parking lot is designed as part of the emergency spillway. Drains now filling it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RpTSUHDM2JQ

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZwxykUYAAMA14.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZwxykUYAAMA14.jpg

2017-02-11T16:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830460697670676485

#OrovilleDam at half an inch over the top. Minimal flow at this point. Level rising, outflow will increase to match net inflow http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZjM4DVcAAgml6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZjM4DVcAAgml6.jpg

2017-02-11T16:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830457841819332610

Some scale on the #OrovilleDam emergency spillway. Note the two engineers bottom right.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZgyYHVUAA9COk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZgyYHVUAA9COk.jpg

2017-02-11T16:27:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830453213836898304

#OrovilleSpillway emergency spillway overtopped at the #OrovilleDam, water starting to flow down hillside http://www.kcra.com/nowcast

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZckZSVUAU4CkC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZckZSVUAU4CkC.jpg

2017-02-11T16:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830449017091874816

#OrovilleDam is at 901.09" out of 901" high emergency spillway (dam itself is 920'). Lapping over the top now, flow imminent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZYheMUkAAJmkJ.jpg

---

OROVILLE DAM *(ORO)*

Elevation: 900' · FEATHER R basin · Operator: CA Dept of Water Resources/O & M

Query executed Saturday at 8:08:00

Provisional data, subject to change.
Select a sensor type for a plot of data.

Earlier

Date / Time (PST)	RES ELE FEET	STORAGE AF	OUTFLOW CFS	INFLOW CFS	RIV REL CFS	RAIN INCHES	BAT VOL VOLTS
02/10/2017 21:00	898.63	3515965	55056	108947	61636	34.64	13.5
02/10/2017 22:00	898.92	3520530	55104	106370	60422	34.72	13.5
02/10/2017 23:00	899.16	3524311	55102	105792	59933	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 00:00	899.44	3528727	55068	103772	59458	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 01:00	899.65	3532041	55083	103332	59391	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 02:00	899.88	3535673	55099	98853	59439	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 03:00	900.10	3539160	55102	98437	59276	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 04:00	900.31	3542481	55034	94817	59430	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 05:00	900.55	3546278	55045	97652	59300	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 06:00	900.72	3548970	55110	96115	59430	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 07:00	900.89	3551664	55092	89276	59016	34.76	13.4
02/11/2017 08:00	901.09	3554836	--	--	--	34.76	13.4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZYheMUkAAJmkJ.jpg

2017-02-11T15:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830443945926627328

RT @kcraFinan: Lake Oroville. Here is the water right up to the edge of the emergency spillway. This photo was taken around 7am Sat https:/â¦

2017-02-11T15:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830440777612353537

Hastily adding some reinforcements to the #OrovilleDam emergency spillway. Overtopping

imminent. Dam itself is safe, round the corner.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZRPakUMAEy9Ig.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4ZRPakUMAEy9Ig.jpg
2017-02-11T15:11:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/830434139937476608
@Donengene So just 7,800 then :) It's a good thought, but not practical here.

2017-02-11T07:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/830324204826288128
@lelied It's not. The actual dam is round the corner, behind a hill of rock. This is just stripping dirt from bedrock. @flyingwide http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4XnNngUoAE3ICw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4XnNngUoAE3ICw.jpg

2017-02-11T07:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830322103396159491

@Donengene Very large 5" firehose can do 1000 gallons per minute with big pump. So for 100,000 cfs you would need 45,000 of them.

2017-02-11T07:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830320283374362625

@flowinguphill Depends how heavy it is. Forecasts in the area were in the low fractions of an inch. Previous days had been 1 to 2 inches

---

2017-02-11T07:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830314654228307968

@flowinguphill Couple of hours based on weather forecast. Not too big, but hard to tell.

2017-02-11T06:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830300671664496640

Rain right now not helping the #OrovilleDam

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4XR_CsVYAAxHQu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4XR_CsVYAAxHQu.jpg

2017-02-10T22:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830188252627816448

http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/queryF?s=ORO

2017-02-10T22:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830188021844627457

#OrovilleDam inflow has not changed in the last hour, Slightly worrying. Maybe using auxiliary spillway after all. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4VrZ4vUMAAZG5U.jpg

---

OROVILLE DAM *(ORO)*

Elevation: 900' · FEATHER R basin · Operator: CA Dept of Water Resources/O & M

Query executed Friday at 14:50:57

Provisional data, subject to change.
Select a sensor type for a plot of data.

Earlier

Date / Time (PST)	RES ELE FEET	STORAGE AF	OUTFLOW CFS	INFLOW CFS	RIV REL CFS	RAIN INCHES	BAT VOL VOLTS
02/10/2017 03:00	892.58	3421685	65078	155045	61226	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 04:00	893.08	3429407	65140	156731	62509	34.60	13.5
02/10/2017 05:00	893.51	3436058	65069	153096	65135	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 06:00	893.93	3442564	65038	144940	66688	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 07:00	894.35	3449078	65049	142973	69533	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 08:00	894.74	3455135	65077	141015	70988	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 09:00	895.14	3461356	65095	139499	71090	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 10:00	895.49	3466804	65193	135704	71263	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 11:00	895.84	3472260	65029	132107	71182	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 12:00	896.18	3477565	65191	130587	71052	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 13:00	896.50	3482564	65049	125860	71720	34.60	13.4
02/10/2017 14:00	896.82	3487567	65040	125839	72159	34.60	13.5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4VrZ4vUMAAZG5U.jpg

2017-02-10T22:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830179375693770752

@ToddFitchette Dd you account for the non-linear increase in height due to decreased inflows?

2017-02-10T20:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830152504671232000

@cbalfe Possible the one near the bottom, if there's a large flow.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4VLKTwUkAAGimt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4VLKTwUkAAGimt.jpg

2017-02-10T20:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830145844854681600

RT @SkepticismNL: From Chemtrails to CSICon: An Interview with Mick West. http://dlvr.it/NLRl0v http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4U8E_mUMAALJZc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4U8E_mUMAALJZc.jpg

2017-02-10T19:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830129490042908672

View for the top of #OrovilleDam, shows water near the lip of emergency spillway. Trees cleared below. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1dBPk2cpcyk http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4U2LXRUcAAWSfi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4U2LXRUcAAWSfi.jpg

2017-02-10T18:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830118972984922112

@flowinguphill @wazeciteman @eric_maclaurin If there's >150K coming in, not a lot they could do. Dam designed safe for 720K flood.

2017-02-10T18:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830114193898500099

@flowinguphill @wazeciteman @eric_maclaurin Does not sound right. The main spillway alone is rated for that. Emergency is 500K. Link?

2017-02-10T17:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830108336947212288

Officials now hopeful #OrovilleDam emergency spillway usage might be avoided thanks to 65K cfs output.

http://www.sacbee.com/news/state/california/water-and-drought/article131941279.html

---

2017-02-10T17:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830106523506397184

@eric_maclaurin @wazeciteman They will continue to put as much as possible down the main spillway. Depends on erosion patterns.

2017-02-10T17:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830106180211007488

@BungerKCBS I'm near Folsom Lake, they've been draining that for a month now. Also their new spillway will cost $1 billion.

2017-02-10T17:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830105627041026048

@wwesternj @CaWaterBoards @NRDC @SierraClub @EnvDefenseFund First we need working spillways.

2017-02-10T17:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830105207191138304

@MozartTarzan https://twitter.com/CecileJuliette/status/830093907790749696

2017-02-10T17:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830104937434525696

@wazeciteman @eric_maclaurin Essentially though you are going to have (inflow - main spillway) going down that hill as the lip is so wide.

2017-02-10T17:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830104627001511937

@wazeciteman @eric_maclaurin But you can still split the outflow, continue 65K down main spillway, excess goes to emergency + level rise

---

2017-02-10T17:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830102890828017664

@BungerKCBS 99.3% of height to the emergency spillway. 6 feet to go, 0.4 feet per hour.

2017-02-10T17:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830100707055276032

@dp_m10 Certainly nervousness is understandable.

2017-02-10T17:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830099708144033792

@dp_m10 Possible, seems unlikely though. Hopefully main spillway stabilizes on rock soon. Then they can sustainably draw down.

2017-02-10T16:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830096021971628032

RT @CecileJuliette: Pilot Gonzalo Curiel pics of #LakeOroville right now-rising roughly half a foot/hour. Now 894.74, almost 6 feet from emâ¦

2017-02-10T16:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830095006027247616

@dp_m10 But could it work around to the dam itself? The main spillway is cut into rock at the top of the ridge.

2017-02-10T16:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830091846147641344

The #OrovilleSpillway will make an expensive mess and possible some flooding, but the #OrovilleDam will not collapse https://www.metabunk.org/oroville-dam-spillway-failure.t8381/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4UTpVPUYAEbSpK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4UTpVPUYAEbSpK.jpg

2017-02-10T15:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/830081229915463681

Linear extrapolation suggests @CA_DWR might avoid emergency spillway usage if 65K outflow sustained and inflows drop at #OrovilleDam http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4UJ9DgUcAAl1Gt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4UJ9DgUcAAl1Gt.jpg

2017-02-10T06:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829943543397363712

RT @davegatzke: Just thinking that if the other candidate had won, the only thing in my Twitter feed right now would be Oroville Dam.

2017-02-10T06:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829937916134559744

@flowinguphill Yes, here's the KMZ, feel free to reuse

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Oroville_Emergency_Spillway.kmz

2017-02-10T06:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829934398476652544

@kcraFinan @ScottOlling only 12 now. Overtops at 901 feet, some time friday evening.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4SEuCYVcAErXoo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4SEuCYVcAErXoo.jpg

2017-02-10T06:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829933529068761088

High voltage tower just 10 ft above base of #OrovilleDam emergency spillway ravine. Likely erosion will undermine it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4SDz5UUoAEYT2s.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4SDz5UUoAEYT2s.jpg

2017-02-10T01:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829864052679598081

@Afterseven Correction to my earlier statement. Emergency spillway has a design max of 500K cfs. As per 34:19 here: https://www.pscp.tv/CA_DWR/1BdGYPakMWEKX#

2017-02-10T00:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829846193282834433

@Afterseven Well hopefully we won't have to find out too soon :)

2017-02-09T23:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829835287467470848

@Afterseven Yeah, I just don't see a loose soil route around the ridge. Everything angles to the NW

2017-02-09T22:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829824726256082945

@Afterseven The topology of the area suggests that it will go down the ravine to the west.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QhLezVMAAZR4w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QhLezVMAAZR4w.jpg

2017-02-09T22:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829822347049787393

@Afterseven The main spillway looks like it is cut through rock at the top. Pic from 1968, dedication. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4Qe6PcVYAEd7EE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4Qe6PcVYAEd7EE.jpg

2017-02-09T21:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829810527513686016

@sheehytl The amount of water released is still controlled.

2017-02-09T21:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829809473648631808

@Afterseven Looks pretty rocky here. Emergency spillway just visible on the left. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QTF6tUEAA1KkX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QTF6tUEAA1KkX.jpg

2017-02-09T21:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829805126609035265

@Afterseven @Pianomover We'll see. Much depends on the weather, and where the head-cutting reaches bedrock.

2017-02-09T21:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829803145777668096

@Afterseven Both spillways are on other side of bedrock ridge from dam.

2017-02-09T21:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829802610051801088

Here's a free Google Earth KMZ model of the #OrovilleDam Emergency Spillway location.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Oroville_Emergency_Spillway.kmz

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QMyq-VMAApg0r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QMyq-VMAApg0r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QMi0bVYAA5W7K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QMoF5UMAE0yAD.jpg

2017-02-09T21:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829801437689319426

@Afterseven Have you seen the emergency spillway?

2017-02-09T21:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829801030913134592

@Afterseven No it's not. The emergency spillway has not even been used yet, and has a 250,000 cfs capacity.

2017-02-09T20:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829796172520779776

@Afterseven Oroville cannot be overtopped, as it has an emergency spillway down the fill away from the dam. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QHD69VMAAH7sQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4QHD69VMAAH7sQ.jpg

2017-02-09T20:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829790866159972353

@Afterseven But Teton did not fail at the spillway.

2017-02-09T20:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829784278800035840

@Afterseven No it didn't. The dam failed as they were filling it the first time. Bad materials.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Teton_Dam

2017-02-09T19:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829774271027179521

Here's where the water will overflow from the #Oroville dam if they can't use the main spillway.

https://www.metabunk.org/oroville-dam-spillway-failure.t8381/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PyzmDUMAAEmW8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PyzmDUMAAEmW8.jpg

2017-02-09T18:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829754467847282688

@billhusa1 Comparison with yesterday. Damage is going up the spillway.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PhF8AVYAA12hJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PhF8AVYAA12hJ.jpg

2017-02-09T17:37:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829746045290631168

Looks like a significant increase in the hole in the #oroville dam spillway overnight.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PZV4GUcAAa0vZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4PZV4GUcAAa0vZ.jpg

2017-02-09T05:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829565134456492032

RT @kurteichenwald: Slaughter in Canada. Disaster in Yemen. Loss of military cooperation with Yemen. And Trump is tweeting polls and complaâ¦

2017-02-09T02:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829511202963222528

@OpChemArchives Sunset?

2017-02-09T01:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/829505472193388544

@PeterGleick http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4L-yfJVMAAE3uH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C4L-yfJVMAAE3uH.jpg

2017-02-05T18:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/828302742225457152

@Joseph31 @RealGeoEngWatch It's just regular snow. Flame blackens it, like this:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.t3026/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C3642kEVcAA_gNU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C3642kEVcAA_gNU.jpg

2017-02-05T06:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/828122979506597888

@PamJonesLiberty @BlueAJ41 @BayleeB79 @lltwins @dum_phuk @LexadLisa So how exactly is this not just contrails?

2017-02-05T00:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/828033249158975488

@BayleeB79 @BlueAJ41 @lltwins @dum_phuk @LexadLisa Contrails can spread into a haze that lasts all day. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C33D17mUYAEDO5E.jpg

---

The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.
[....]
Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2 an almost solid contrail sheet reported to average 500 m in depth.



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C33D17mUYAEDO5E.jpg

2017-02-04T07:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/827776792178012160

@OpChemtrails Contrails are real.

2017-02-03T21:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/827631202739761152

@OpChemtrails But Mike is wrong, according to all the books on clouds ever. Where did he do his research?

2017-02-03T17:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/827565948513050624

Why does @AIANational approve 9/11 conspiracy theorists as a provider of continuing education? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C3waxW7VMAA5u8X.jpg



Southern US Architects Earn Continuing Ed Credits with AE911Truth

Consensus in Mobile: WTC Building 7 Collapse Needs New Investigation

Earlier this month, the Mobile, Alabama, chapter of the American Institute of Architects hosted AE911Truth's one-hour continuing education course, *The Third Tower: Solving the Collapse of World Trade Center Building 7*, which 38 AIA members attended.

Credit is provided to Institute members who take the course because AE911Truth is an AIA CES-approved provider of continuing education. Its seven registered courses can be found at AE911Truth.org/Continuing-Ed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C3waxW7VMAA5u8X.jpg

2017-02-01T18:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/826860084680470528

@Gronz23 In what sense?

2017-01-29T20:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/825803537858768896

RT @donttrythis: 4 those telling me to stay out of politics (because it's not my area of expertise)- that argument died forever when TRUMPâ□¦

2017-01-25T07:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/824163629977178116

RT @CaraSantaMaria: Check out these amazing #EarthSky photos from this weekend!

http://earthsky.org/astronomy-essentials/best-photos-weekend-jan-20-22-2017

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C299inrVIAAcQzI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C299inrVIAAcQzI.jpg

2017-01-25T06:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/824135059171065857

@arvi_NDN @jeffccohen No, that's the wind.

2017-01-21T22:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/822935635304382464

@theglynja What's the dispute, exactly?

2017-01-21T06:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/822690838194974720

Now "Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth" are saying the Iran building collapse looks like an inside job!

https://www.metabunk.org/ae911-truth-forced-to-claim-plasco-collapse-is-an-inside-job.t8339/

2017-01-17T20:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821452416558084096

@SkyInspector Thanks, so you want it just lower than the level clouds will form at? <100% RHw

---

2017-01-17T18:07:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821418757272633344

@SkyInspector Is high humidity a good thing?

2017-01-17T17:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821406832207925248

@wilsovoxo Probably the contrail of a plane flying horizontally, but directly overhead and away from you. It's perspective.

2017-01-17T05:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821224125993611264

@theglynja what about it?

2017-01-17T04:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821214276941529088

@theglynja which bit?

2017-01-16T23:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/821135671469318144

@DrStevenGreer Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2VCc2mUoAE3kYT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2VCc2mUoAE3kYT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2VCc2nUkAAXl57.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2VCc2oUQAA2t-v.jpg

2017-01-16T00:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820793012213026816

@aeromilitarytecWoudl you agree that IB6830 was actually taking off and climbing out at that time?

2017-01-16T00:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820792845455867904

@aeromilitarytec What was the range of the helicopter radar?

2017-01-15T22:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820760681678942208

@aeromilitarytec I think you miss the point. The plane was on the radar, just not where they were looking.

2017-01-15T17:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820687924278636545

@aeromilitarytec @IBTimes @Iberia It's not a stealth aircraft. It was there, and showed up on area radar. They just discounted it.

2017-01-15T04:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820495021677813760

@rosspayton Now explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2L71RSUcAE-MSk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2L71RSUcAE-MSk.jpg

2017-01-14T18:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820333292759060480

Why do ice contrails appear "hot" in thermal imaging video? It's the automatic exposure and a cold blue sky.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-contrails-appear-hot-in-thermal-imaging-because-the-sky-appears-very-cold.t8328/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2Joi1qUAAAFcqX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2Joi1qUAAAFcqX.jpg

2017-01-14T16:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820305409005133824

@IBTimes It has been identified as flight IB6830 departing Santiago.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2JPZgzUcAAY6v2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2JPKLgVEAE2eMy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2JPZgzUcAAY6v2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2JPWnPUQAIVMiC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2JPOG_UcAALCll.jpg

2017-01-14T05:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820145263058644992

@BadAstronomer needs to be dark enough to see them, which normally eliminates the sun. Normally. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2G9t_hUUAALPAU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C2G9t_hUUAALPAU.jpg

2017-01-14T05:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820144446289289216

@BadAstronomer If it's reflecting off horizontal hexagonal ice plates then top/bottom makes no difference.

2017-01-14T05:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820144201790734341

@BadAstronomer There's no reason light pillars would not be below a light. Just at night most lights are at ground level.

2017-01-13T21:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/820017881664475136

@4thAnon So why does Trump say he thinks the Russians hacked the DNC?

2017-01-12T18:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819618974530871296

@ChopperNews @KCStar Yes we can.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1_fE74UcAApXcz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1_fE74UcAApXcz.jpg

2017-01-12T18:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819606751674699777

@Blurred_Trees How do you know?

2017-01-12T15:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819567135898804224

@idrobinhood UFO explained here.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1-v8VhUkAMomg4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1-v8VhUkAMomg4.jpg

2017-01-12T06:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819425473818042368

@LAHT It has been identified as an Iberian flight.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18vEF_UkAEpxKm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18vEF_UkAEpxKm.jpg

2017-01-12T06:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819425011635101696

@Lukewearechange It's a plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18urVEVEAIj5NW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18urVEVEAIj5NW.jpg

2017-01-12T02:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819374913035214848

@Ufo_area Yes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18BHRRVQAAct3y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C18BHRRVQAAct3y.jpg

2017-01-12T02:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819367812141461506

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C176p5fUoAAlJnh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C176p5fUoAAlJnh.jpg

2017-01-11T20:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819276224157495296

@MarkBrookes62 Because it was far away. They thought it was close and smaller. It was a very large plane far away.

2017-01-11T19:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819271571772612608

@MarkBrookes62 it was 40-90 miles away. Also it was in exactly the same place as IB6830.

2017-01-11T19:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819267712941592576

@MarkBrookes62 Where in the video does it look "disc shaped"?

2017-01-11T13:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/819169586276765696

RT @ufoofinterest: Finally an article where is exposed the full analysis by @MickWest and Metabunk's team about Chilean Navy #UFO: an explaâ¦

2017-01-10T21:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818927996585132032

@Enigmatidotca But Metabunk can.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-maybe-la330.t8306/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T05:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818696577225080833

@STXparanormal And up to 45,000 is not at all uncommon.

2017-01-10T05:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818696420618178560

@STXparanormal They generally don't for practical reasons (less efficient, or they just physically can't) There is no legal limit

---

2017-01-10T05:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818695451507494912

@STXparanormal Persistent contrails can be anything from 20,000 feet (or less if it's incredibly cold) to 50,000+ feet.

2017-01-10T05:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818689419750227969

@STXparanormal That is correct. The contrails are probably at different altitudes.

2017-01-10T04:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818670890690822146

@Edwardshultz we'll see.

2017-01-10T03:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818667707427999744

@Edwardshultz post?

2017-01-10T03:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818657437360099329

@Edwardshultz not waste. It's a contrail. Same color as clouds.

2017-01-10T03:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818657240206872576

@Edwardshultz @matthetube @nypost it was on radar, just further away than they thought

---

2017-01-10T03:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818657050657890305

@NineInchBride they were looking in the wrong place. The GOS track match.

2017-01-10T01:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818633212784091136

@NineInchBride Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T01:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818632824529907712

@ClaytonMorris It's just a plane. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T01:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818632640932655104

@alaskarebel But it's explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T01:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818632521692741632

@beforeitsnews Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T01:35:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818632302708146176
@syedn_hussain No, just a plane.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T00:28:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818615379844964352
@MetroUK Here's the explanation: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-10T00:27:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818615283937988609
The Chilean Navy UFO explained in 60 seconds.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2017-01-09T18:26:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818524311128444928
@flightradar24 I was hoping you might have it in your private database. It's getting a lot of media attention.

2017-01-09T17:15:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818506500725358595
@1shawnster It's explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1vrTgEUAAA-oRp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1vrTgEUAAA-oRp.jpg
2017-01-09T17:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818506268641869824
@FoxNewsLive Airplane leaving contrails. IDed here:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1vrFkeUAAEv7w_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1vrFkeUAAEv7w_.jpg
2017-01-09T17:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/818503397967966208
@flightradar24 Do you have historical data for the Santiago Area, 2014-11-11? IB6830 & LA330. Might help explain:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flights-ib6830-and-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1voOulUcAAX-nW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1voOulUcAAX-nW.jpg

2017-01-09T05:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818331727726678017

@aeromilitarytec It is if you you are not looking in the right spot.

2017-01-08T23:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818238548797059072

@williamkay62 They kind of are. Anyway regardless, no, nobody has contacted me.

2017-01-08T23:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818236824707428352

@CollectiveEvol Chilean Navy UFO Identified as Sandiago departures, aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T23:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818236804071444480

@LaQuishaStR Chilean Navy UFO Identified as Sandiago departures, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T23:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818236772182212608

@BabakTaghvaee Chilean Navy UFO Identified as Sandiago departures, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T23:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818235837695463424

@williamkay62 CEFAA are not authorities. It's just a group. I thought you mean like an actual air force authority.

2017-01-08T20:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818191587670790144

@williamkay62 I think they are incorrect.

2017-01-08T16:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/818133916666036225

@williamkay62 I don't think the authorities are too concerned. They already assumed it was just a plane.

2017-01-08T01:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817908029836275712

@Daily_Star Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T01:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817907957614526464

@EndTimeHeadline Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg
2017-01-08T00:40:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/817893754933608448
@ggasp Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg
2017-01-08T00:40:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/817893684188319744
@matthetube I actually ignored it for two days, not realizing how popular it would be :)

2017-01-08T00:13:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/817886826664849408
@tbird_goinggalt Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886763939024896

@conserv_tribune Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886721903718402

@shukla_tarun Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886670460551169

@iamcindychu Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t

8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886580132093952

@Starseed52 Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t

8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886529682952192

@ReportUK Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t

8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886421574782976

@joemoeller44 @HuffPostWeird Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817886340687609856

@ReportUK Chilean Navy UFO Identified as likely flight LA330, aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-08T00:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817885844845363200

Chilean Navy UFO Footage Identified as likely flight LA330 with aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail-flight-la330.t8306/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1m2v4sUUAAsVhp.jpg

2017-01-07T19:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817819276975312896

RT @AlysonDunlop: Flat Earth Debunked! Fabulous show. Mick West explains to Alyson Dunlop where Flat Earthers go wrong with their... httpâ¦

2017-01-07T16:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817764105335386114

@hoaxeye @ufoofinterest Thanks, that's quite useful, especially near the end:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1lIHFkVQAEeVxQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1lIHFkVQAEeVxQ.jpg

2017-01-07T01:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817549996715147264

@ufoofinterest Maybe, see:

https://www.metabunk.org/explanation-for-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrail.t8306/

2017-01-06T02:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817197669474914304

@STXparanormal It's flyover traffic. Not local at all. Going to Los Angeles, etc.

2017-01-06T00:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817172312424259584

@STXparanormal Filtered for contrail altitude. And that's just the planes right now.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1ctxmnUkAAWr-S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1ctxmnUkAAWr-S.jpg

2017-01-06T00:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817171911998193665

@STXparanormal You get a lot of flyover traffic. See here https://www.flightradar24.com/29.83,-100.11/7

2017-01-05T23:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817155676484947969

@STXparanormal No, it's an aerodynamic contrail. It's not spraying or venting anything.

2017-01-05T22:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817139044492525570

@STXparanormal Probably an aerodynamic contrail, flying though patchy air. Like this? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A

2017-01-05T21:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817115616670720001

@STXparanormal sure they do. Look at charts of 250mb RH

2017-01-05T21:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817115403667111936

@STXparanormal they never had seats. Preproduction test aircraft. Read about "ballast barrels"

2017-01-05T14:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817017198178729984

@trutherbotred Can you give an example of where I argued from authority? I try to let people check for themselves, and so give references.

2017-01-05T14:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817015285186064389

@sara8smiles That's why I'll give references, so you can check for yourself.

2017-01-05T14:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/817008808039854080

@STXparanormal Ballast barrels are used in test planes for weight and balance distribution tests. Not spraying. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1aZCMvUkAEZ8Kl.jpg

---



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1aZCMvUkAEZ8Kl.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1aZCORUcAA8INA.jpg

2017-01-05T05:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816874390025474048

@STXparanormal So why do all the books on clouds say that contrails can sometimes last for a long time and spread out?

2017-01-05T02:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816827338759356420

@STXparanormal Well, they sure LOOK like contrails. What's the difference?

2017-01-05T00:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816800694036402176

@powerm1985 Sure, added.

2017-01-04T20:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816740069117554688

A lot of people with Nikon P900's get confused when Venus looks like an underwater orb. Explanation: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N0GI20nAb40

2017-01-04T14:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816650123161677824

@mikesara444 @rezn8d @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 do you have a question?

2017-01-04T05:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816515894578847744

@rezn8d @mikesara444 @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 I'm glad y'all are interested in real issues like pollution. That's one reason why I debunk.

2017-01-04T01:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816451762307510273

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Planes are noisy, they pollute, they make clouds. These are known problems.

2017-01-04T01:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816450915217514496

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Okay. But that's not evidence for or against a covert spraying program.

2017-01-04T00:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816444384723935232

@InfinityMineGam @rezn8d @Kal1_Furies I am helping you. I'm fact checking and clarifying.

2017-01-04T00:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816444058285445121

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Specifically health effects of increased cloud cover from contrails is not a big issue.

2017-01-04T00:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816443911669301248

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 I'm not trying to play with words. I genuinely think it's not a big issue.

2017-01-04T00:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816440785419706368

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Just not a very big one. You could say everything is an issue. Small issues are unimportant.

2017-01-04T00:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816439730178924544

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 So why are you confusing them with the "chemtrails" conspiracy theory? Why string along the chemtrailers?

2017-01-03T22:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816417187875328000

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 If you want to protest contrails, that's great, go for it.

Visual pollution and all.

2017-01-03T22:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816416931313913856

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 It seems like a pedantic and irrelevant point. Minor cloud cover change is not really a "chemtrails" issue.

2017-01-03T21:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816402060404924416

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @mikesara444 @Kal1_Furies Then clouds are chemical clouds? Semantics will get you nowhere.

2017-01-03T19:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816370118661812224

@rezn8d @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies THAT'S the big problem?

2017-01-03T05:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816159909137920000

@mikesara444 @rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies So what's the big problem with contrails then?

2017-01-03T01:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816099498216726528

@InfinityMineGam @rezn8d @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies The exhaust is the same regardless of if it makes a contrail.

2017-01-03T00:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/816086540958855168

@mikesara444 @rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies those seeds are in non-contrail exhaust too.

2017-01-02T13:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815916008267390976

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 some regions have more cloud. Does it affect health measurably?

2017-01-02T03:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815758822841008128

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 Anything could have unknown health effects. Unlikely clouds would though.

2017-01-01T22:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815687003568078848

@RealFKNNews Except that's entirely backwards. High bypass engines make MORE contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/

2017-01-01T22:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815684430035501057

@rezn8d @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies This being: "Contrails have no health

effects. Jet exhaust does." What's to discuss?

2017-01-01T15:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815585509455974401

@mikesara444 @rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies it's still there if no contrail forms.

2017-01-01T08:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815473712380002305

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 what is your opinion?

2017-01-01T06:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815449887693148160

@mikesara444 @rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies contrails have no health effects. Jet exhaust does.

2017-01-01T00:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815348634652852224

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Jim's site still says "Barium in jets comes from STADIS 450" which is false.

2017-01-01T00:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815347849902768128

@Fliipp_ @chemtrailsrevil Physics. If the fluid is moving relative to source then any standing wave would be smoothed out.

2016-12-31T23:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815339346807005184

@Fliipp_ @chemtrailsrevil Why do you think that?

2016-12-31T23:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815338837945614336

@Fliipp_ @chemtrailsrevil EMR would not make large ripples in cloud. Especially not moving clouds. The air mass movement makes the ripples

---

2016-12-31T23:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815338412475461632

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Compare that with Geoengineeringwatch. Immune to correction.

2016-12-31T23:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815338318351077377

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 I'd be happy to fix any comparable error on my site. Any error really. Point out errors and I'll fix them.

2016-12-31T23:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815338101128056832

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Jim is claiming on his site that Stadis 450 contains barium. It does not. He should edit his site.

2016-12-31T23:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815337637393219584

@Fliipp_ @chemtrailsrevil So how would it make these cloud forms? Normal weather explains them, so why do you need EMR?

2016-12-31T23:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815337421730643968

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 If I found an error on Metabunk I would correct it. The minor errors in the paper were irrelevant.

2016-12-31T22:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815322097308139520

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 don't you think Jim should fix his errors?

2016-12-31T22:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815321958422151169

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 the other authors handled it. Do you th

---

2016-12-31T21:35:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815310350325190656

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 the glacial pace of academia. Metabunk corrections are instant.

2016-12-31T20:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815299524440662017

RT @NWSSacramento: Today's satellite imagery shows a neat-looking storm off the coast of California #cawx http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C1CE9H1UUAEum2v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/C1CE9H1UUAEum2v.jpg

2016-12-31T20:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815295084316872704

@chemtrailsrevil So how is that cell phone tower creating massive sound waves? It would take billions of times more energy, and be very loud

---

2016-12-31T20:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815294106926608384

@DanneoMoss They just found some public domain docs and vids. Nothing that says "chemtrails" are real.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-anonsecs-nasa-hack-global-hawk-hijack-evidence-of-chemtrails-public-domain-data.t7253/

2016-12-31T20:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815293427331960832

@MrBluesky69 And the different curves are right and left. Both planes here are in level flight at cruise altitude.

2016-12-31T20:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815293092215406592

@MrBluesky69 They are not the same trail. It's an illustration of the effect.

2016-12-31T20:25:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815292799285272576

@Uniocracy Do you think ripples do not occur in nature?

2016-12-31T19:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815283325124284416

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh The one the on the right is scud, not asperitas.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scud_(cloud) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1B31UYUkAA8V-H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1B31UYUkAA8V-H.jpg

2016-12-31T19:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815282775162900482

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark Might want to check the wind and cloud altitude and the exact

times there. They vary quite a bit.

2016-12-31T19:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815282519155183616

Is the left pic a flying saucer? Or is it a sunlit plane with motion blur like the right pic?

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-exmouth-ufo-flying-saucer-sunlit-contrail-and-motion-blur.t8284/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1B27e7UcAAZkuv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1B27e7UcAAZkuv.jpg

2016-12-31T18:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815262077698785280

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark Cloud lines along a front.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1BkZ6BUUAAj_Ow.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C1BkZ6BUUAAj_Ow.png

2016-12-31T18:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815261864032550912

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh "Scud" isn't a new term. "Asperitas" is.

2016-12-31T18:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815258276442931200

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh And scud cloud is not even a new descriptor. Dates back to Luke Howard, early cloud namer.

https://books.google.com/books?id=7skTAAAAYAAJ&dq=scud%20cloud&pg=PA13-IA120#v=onepage&q=scud%20cloud&f=false

---

2016-12-31T18:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815257239833473026

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark Front are perpendicular to wind direction. Boundary between two different air masses.

2016-12-31T17:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815247700836814848

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies Does not help people take your seriously if you don't correct your mistakes.

2016-12-31T17:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815244474360074240

@PGorlinsky looks like a regular band of cirrus maybe cirrus vertebratus

---

2016-12-31T17:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815241256997531648

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark have a look at a map of fronts. There always straight sections somewhere in the world.

2016-12-31T16:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815240862678585344

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark how could that possibly be man made, and for what?

2016-12-31T16:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815240310817030144

@Chemtrails76200 you should read up on the history of cloud names. It's not that old, still refining it.

2016-12-31T14:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815207083511427072

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh new names. Old clouds

2016-12-31T14:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815206702983233536

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh @Sheilaaliens 1920s smoke screen

2016-12-31T01:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/815007114582106112

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh It's scud. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scud_(cloud)

2016-12-30T20:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814933100392124420

@Chemtrails76200 @Nord_Eh neither. That's just an unusual natural cloud.

2016-12-30T03:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814676578944749568

@Kal1_Furies http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_407_en-v2.pdf

---

2016-12-30T03:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814670945864466432

@Kal1_Furies officially? Depends on what you mean by official. 1940s books to 1970s IMO?

2016-12-28T23:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814259371563565056

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe And all clouds start and stop. Otherwise they would cover the planet in one big cloud. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0zUh3gUsAAT1wP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0zUh3gUsAAT1wP.jpg

2016-12-28T23:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814259130319839232

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe It's not really a double helix, but yes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0zUT61UsAAtTfJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0zUTbIUUAE8gny.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0zUT61UsAAtTfJ.jpg

2016-12-28T23:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814258670724755456

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe But all the books for 70 years say contrails CAN persist.

2016-12-28T23:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814258576285802496

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe Yes, but your logic is circular. You say they can't be contrails because contrails don't persist.

2016-12-28T23:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814258386636193792

@Kal1_Furies Why not?

2016-12-28T22:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814244440533409792

@photo_listings crow instability

https://www.google.com/search?q=crow+instability&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2016-12-28T22:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814243796066045952

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe How do you know they are not contrails? They look exactly like persistent contrails.

2016-12-28T22:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814234500280434688

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe So why do you think that contrails can't persist?

2016-12-28T22:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814230448943427584

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe So how exactly do you know contrails should not persist? Why would 70 years of books say that they do?

2016-12-28T22:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814230002359103488

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe not just "a" book, all the books on clouds agree contrails can persist. So why do you think otherwise?

2016-12-28T21:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814214631237423104

Most chemtrail believers say contrails only last a few minutes. This 1943 book (like ALL books on clouds) proves them wrong http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0yrpUAVIAEBAbC.jpg

---



10 CLOUDS AND WEATHER PHENOMENA

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed. *Clouds & Weather Phenomena* , C. J. P. Cave, 1943, page 10

Fig. 42. Condensation trails

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0yrpUAVIAEBAbC.jpg

2016-12-28T20:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814214306606612481

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe Here's a book from 1943. Is it wrong?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0yrikYUUAEleJw.jpg



10 CLOUDS AND WEATHER PHENOMENA

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed. *Clouds & Weather Phenomena* , C. J. P. Cave, 1943, page 10

Fig. 42. Condensation trails

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0yrikYUUAEleJw.jpg

2016-12-28T20:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814214187094151168

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe So you've seen trails that persist. How do you know they are not persistent contrails?

2016-12-28T20:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814211995708715008

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe So where there does it say contrails can only last five minutes?

2016-12-28T18:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814170419338977280

@FailinDemocracy @edwiebe Why do you think contrails only last 5 minutes? All the books say they can last for hours if conditions permit.

2016-12-28T15:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814135470435143680

@RainerKresken @hoaxeye maybe. But I've not seen any accounts from before aviation

---

2016-12-28T15:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/814133702183841800

@Kal1_Furies the point still stands.

2016-12-28T01:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813926890524155904

Hole punch clouds seem new, as they are associated with high flying aircraft. But they've been around for decades.

https://www.metabunk.org/hole-punch-clouds-canal-clouds-and-fallstreak-holes-through-history.t8280/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0umCsvUcAE1WPw.jpg

---



[*Photograph by D. G. A. Dyson*

Circular hole in altocumulus cloud at 11.30 a.m. on July 8, 1945 seen from Stratford-on-Avon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0umCsvUcAE1WPw.jpg

2016-12-28T01:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813918368554094596

@FleshnChrome The dark lines can also be boundaries between convection cells.

2016-12-28T01:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813916782817734657

@FleshnChrome Passing through the layer, likely planes climbing out of NY or Philly

---

2016-12-28T00:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813903849522012160

@FleshnChrome Not really. You would not expect it pre 1980s, due to lack of traffic. Also very unusual conditions.

2016-12-27T23:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813893980471455744

@FleshnChrome The "mottled" clouds are lots of fallstreak holes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0uIP9LUUAAy9YC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0uIP9LUUAAy9YC.jpg

2016-12-27T23:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813893663709245440

@FleshnChrome Sorry I missed that you were referring to the RI pic. Probably not, as it would take lots of air traffic.

2016-12-27T20:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813837871169740800

@FleshnChrome I'm not sure what you mean. But hole punch clouds have been observed for decades.

https://www.metabunk.org/hole-punch-clouds-canal-clouds-and-fallstreak-holes-through-history.t8280/

2016-12-27T15:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813773001862692864

@FleshnChrome A quick look found this from 1972. Clouds of the World by Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0saIIfUQAALQ4H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0sZaT0UUAAzaYy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0saIIfUQAALQ4H.jpg

2016-12-27T02:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813569222013960192

@Kal1_Furies I'll say "When hot humid jet exhaust mixes with cold humid air, it sometimes triggers the formation of a cloud, a contrail"

2016-12-26T18:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/813445577581072384

It's a Christmas Contrail! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0nwUZOVEAE-Pnz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0nwUZOVEAE-Pnz.jpg

2016-12-25T01:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812832922013044736

.@Uniocracy They filed a 60 day notice 151 days? So what happened?

2016-12-24T18:07:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812721446887661568

@hmilz @OpChemtrails Too be fair, it's a challenge to MOST. Microdroplets of super-cooled water is a bt of an odd concept.

2016-12-24T01:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812472508662173696

.@OpChemtrails Hole punch clouds are cool.

https://www.google.com/search?q=hole+punch+clouds&num=50&biw=1920&bih=1076&source=lnms&tbm=isch http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0Z7V0fUcAAwBFO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0Z7V0fUcAAwBFO.jpg

2016-12-23T02:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812127449274163200

@Kronos382 Book chapter:

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2016-12-23T02:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812127382085640192

@Kronos382 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0VBibDUcAAnbXk.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0VBibDUcAAnbXk.jpg

2016-12-23T02:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812127172378828800

@Kronos382 Just criss-crossing air traffic routes. If the weather is right more planes make contrail clouds. Needs to be humid at 30,000+ ft

---

2016-12-23T02:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812121940362022912

@Kronos382 a contrail is a type of cloud made by the water in the exhaust of a plane. https://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/science.php

---

2016-12-22T23:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/812081002960953345

@Kronos382 Those apprear to be regular persistent contrails.

2016-12-22T00:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/811729100343652352

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies And has no real effect on actual (tropospheric) weather.

2016-12-20T20:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/811304095629725696

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies Why are you still referring to Stadis-450 as a "barium salt fuel additive" https://climateviewer.com/2015/03/15/aluminum-barium-and-chemtrails-explained-just-the-facts/

2016-12-20T19:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/811289722756558848

@survivingearth Except they are real, just trying to debunk disinfo.

2016-12-19T22:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/810968360364036098

@MisterioDescono Chinese rocket. https://www.metabunk.org/chinese-ufo-crashes-in-fiery-crater-space-junk-part-of-rocket-motor.t8252/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0EjMkWUcAAMVzw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0EjMkWUcAAMVzw.jpg

2016-12-19T22:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/810967954124738561

@Strange_Sounds UFO Identified as Chinese rocket part

https://www.metabunk.org/chinese-ufo-crashes-in-fiery-crater-space-junk-part-of-rocket-motor.t8252/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0Ei78OUsAEEQnU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/C0Ei78OUsAEEQnU.jpg

2016-12-19T01:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/810665076596191232

@OpChemtrails @pianobymoonlite @yogagirl19901 Two planes on the same initial route that then diverges.

2016-12-16T19:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/809845284192690177

@stang289 Breitbart criticized Podesta for link to Hastert? So what?

2016-12-15T18:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/809470558563745792

@simeonjeppsen It looks like a load on nonsense from 4chan

2016-12-14T21:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/809155818260021248

@donttrythis @WhiteHouse @POTUS @whitehouseostp Broken link: Fixed: https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2016/12/05/year-action-supporting-computer-science-all

---

2016-12-14T21:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/809153946795278336

@BrianDunning Also what I used to demonstrate slender column buckling leading to partial freefall acceleration in the collapse of WTC7

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Czqw54KVIAAVA0I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Czqw54KVIAAVA0I.jpg

2016-12-12T20:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/808401747852918784

@ufoofinterest @hoaxeye It was a reflection, sometimes considered as part of a lens flare called ghosting. Usage varies.

2016-12-11T23:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/808097834620702720

@Kal1_Furies No, none. Some very minor interactions (Twitter, email) with Ken, is all.

2016-12-11T23:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/808088584406253568

@Kal1_Furies @rezn8d @Oswald1160 Yes: http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/129501

2016-12-11T21:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/808057605243301888

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies Are you going to correct your web page? https://climateviewer.com/2015/03/15/aluminum-barium-and-chemtrails-explained-just-the-facts/

2016-12-10T15:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807604597330018304
@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies That's the acid, not the salt. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/bunk-archeology-barium-in-jet-fuel-additive-stadis-450-there-isnt-any.t8233/

2016-12-10T06:43:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807475720280604673
@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies There is no barium in Stadis 450. That seems like an old mistake. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/bunk-archeology-barium-in-jet-fuel-additive-stadis-450-there-isnt-any.t8233/

2016-12-09T20:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807324002377007104
@Darkpr0_Gaming Thanks for responses. I'd love to see a video if it happens again.

2016-12-09T20:32:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807322044710780928
@Darkpr0_Gaming I don't suppose you've got any video of these low contrails?

2016-12-09T20:28:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807320976883007488
@4thAnon So you think fake news is a pretty serious problem then?

2016-12-09T20:13:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807317176298258432
@4thAnon You started by joking about Clinton. But presumably you agree that fake news is a real problem?

2016-12-09T20:12:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807316932303065088
@4thAnon We were discussing fake news. This was atrocity propaganda by Kuwait.

2016-12-09T19:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807313772599644161
@4thAnon The media actually uncovered and exposed the lie.

2016-12-09T19:58:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807313373008248832
@4thAnon Fake news is when the media outlet creates a fake story. Often done by a fake media outlet.

2016-12-09T19:57:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807313242875838464
@4thAnon More likely elements from within the Kuwaiti gov, (Citizens for a Free Kuwait). But that's not fake news. It's someone lying.

2016-12-09T19:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/807312041186725889
@4thAnon And you know there s a difference between someone lying to congress and the

media lying to the public.

2016-12-09T19:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807311599568437250

@4thAnon But you've made a claim about Jaco. You've presumably read the debunking now. So will you retract your claim?

2016-12-09T19:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807311418856914944

@4thAnon Of course I'm not justifying her lies. That was terrible. Lies are bad.

2016-12-09T19:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807310541957935104

@4thAnon Fake or incorrect news is bad, I agree. Don't want to retract your Jaco allegation?

2016-12-09T19:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807310201153929216

.@SteerMark @totointhesky Atmospheric waves. The last one is Helvin Helmholtz clouds . Look it up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzQkNPOVEAAyxPx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzQkNPOVEAAyxPx.jpg

2016-12-09T19:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807309164674330624

@Darkpr0_Gaming Where is this?

2016-12-09T19:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807308800768126976

@4thAnon And the original stories about Nayirah al-á¹¢abaá¸¥'s testimony were not "fake news", they were reporting her testimony. She lied.

2016-12-09T19:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807308616273260544

@4thAnon Yes, Nayirah al-á¹¢abaá¸¥ lied. But you were wrong about Jaco. So that's some fake "news" you've spread.

2016-12-09T19:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807307982132260864

@4thAnon You posted a link to the Jago story and said it was fake. You were wrong.

2016-12-09T19:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807305950545649665

@4thAnon That's not fake. That's a real news story. Look it up.

2016-12-09T19:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807301847874555904

@4thAnon Fake news is a real thing. Example:
http://www.veteranstoday.com/2015/05/01/top-ten-reasons-sandy-hook-was-an-elaborate-hoax/

2016-12-09T19:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807300247571468288

@4thAnon You retweet a lot of dubious stories. Some of them are probably fake news.

2016-12-09T19:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807300047725477888

@BayleeB79 @RisingSign All over the books over the last 70 years say the same thing.

2016-12-09T18:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807296097395707905

@BayleeB79 @RisingSign Maybe, but what's most likely and backed by evidence, like 70 years of books on clouds?

2016-12-09T18:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807295967875604481

@4thAnon I was questioning. It seems inevitable that you must have spread some, given what you do.

2016-12-09T17:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807269982983729152

@RisingSign Or maybe just natural PERSISTENT contrails?

2016-12-09T16:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807267653962199041

@4thAnon Now you are just being frivolous. Let's talk about the problems of fake news. You've spread some in the past, have you not?

2016-12-09T15:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807240071157977088

@4thAnon I don't think social niceties actually apply to Twitter, with limited space. We just post things and try to ask incisive questions

---

2016-12-09T14:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807236249756913665

@4thAnon So she shouldn't be worried?

2016-12-09T14:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/807236104503980032

@rezn8d @Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies There's no metal in Stadis 450.

https://www.fmv.se/FTP/M7789-000193/datablad/M0729-465015_Stadis_450PDS.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzPg1u5VIAAygNn.jpg

Stadis® 450

Description

Appearance – yellow to dark amber liquid. Addition of Stadis® 450 increases the electrical conductivity of distillate fuels to minimize the risk of electrostatic discharge and ignition during the handling, mixing, pumping and filtration of fuels.

Composition

A mixture of polymeric organic nitrogen and sulphur compounds dissolved in aromatic solvent.

Stadis® 450 contains no metals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzPg1u5VIAAygNn.jpg
2016-12-08T08:19:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806775098434650114
@digitalErmit @rezn8d There is nothing wrong with picking one battle. Most people pick zero battles.

2016-12-08T08:17:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806774667973304320
@digitalErmit @rezn8d Not addressing one thing does not make something else true.

2016-12-08T01:17:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806668874103934976
@SteerMark There have been similar images since satellites went up. Nothing new.

2016-12-07T23:49:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806646759917166592
@digitalErmit @rezn8d Pick your battles. I battle against bunk. Other people battle against pollution. We all can't do everything.

2016-12-07T22:47:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806631159270473729
@rezn8d why?

2016-12-07T22:42:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/806630056881635328
@digitalErmit @rezn8d I'm not defending anything. I'm no fan of pollution.

2016-12-07T22:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806620303078014976

@rezn8d I'd be happy to talk about specifics though.

2016-12-07T22:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806620229811830784

@rezn8d You might want to work on clarifying exactly what your theory is. I generally ignore it as it's rambling.

2016-12-07T21:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806618544477638656

@rezn8d Power?

2016-12-07T21:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806616903674306560

@rezn8d No, you are not. I think you've dug yourself a rabbit hole.

2016-12-07T21:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806616303289012224

@rezn8d You are going nowhere with this Jim. You know that.

2016-12-07T21:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806615924065189889

@rezn8d If you want to draw attention to those issues then calling them "chemtrails" is not helping at all. Just marginalizes you.

2016-12-07T21:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806615620984807424

@rezn8d No, that's just a silly renaming of something. Confusing the issues. Not what everyone else calls "chemtrails".

2016-12-07T21:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806614914366193664

@1wncweather It's a shadow.

2016-12-07T21:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806614740751380480

@rezn8d Why? It seems like you are the only person who believes your version of the chemtrail theory.

2016-12-07T21:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806610765553639424

@rezn8d What does that have to do with soot?

2016-12-07T14:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806509529936470016

@OpChemtrails @patriciamcnelis Well, it certainly would not look like that. What's the point of low SRM that evaporates in hours?

2016-12-07T00:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806301821358571521

@rezn8d That's for local precipitation augmentation, for which it would not work.

2016-12-07T00:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806298498001813504

@rezn8d So what evidence is there that commercial aviation is deliberately making more soot than normal?

2016-12-06T16:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806169179795533824

@OpChemtrails @tuverash It's a picture of the sky. The sky often has contrails in it.

2016-12-06T16:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806169053962248192

@rezn8d How do they get the carbon black up there?

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0269749113003266

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzAV1EgUsAESfUK.jpg

As discussed in a previous paper (Watson and Valberg, 2001), the terms carbon black and soot have often been used interchangeably despite the fact that soot by definition is an undesired byproduct of incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. Watson and Valberg (2001) demonstrated that CB and soot are physically and chemically distinct substances. CB is simply a quasi-graphitic form of nearly pure EC that is distinguished by its very low quantities of extractable organic compounds and total inorganics (generally <1% of each; IARC, 2010, McCunney et al., 2012, OECD, 2005, Wang et al., 2003 and Watson and Valberg, 2001). In addition, CB has a characteristic particle morphology that consists of aciniform (grape-like) aggregates of highly fused spherical primary particles, with the aggregates clustered into larger-sized agglomerates. In stark contrast, combustion soot is a highly heterogeneous substance that generally includes a major organic carbon fraction (oftentimes >50% of total mass) and significantly higher ash and extractable organic matter contents than carbon black. Its chemical and physical properties are highly variable depending on its source. As described previously by Watson and Valberg (2001), some soot forms such as diesel exhaust particulate (DEP) can have morphologies resembling the aciniform aggregates of carbon black, while other soots can exhibit significant variations in morphology.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CzAV1EgUsAESfUK.jpg

2016-12-06T15:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/806152698168905728

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark So would you say it's impossible that it's natural?

2016-12-05T19:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805855399391809536

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark It the same cloud, seen from space. Why would you think it's impossible. Just rare.

2016-12-05T19:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805854060863627264

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark http://www.sanap.ac.za/sanap_marion/sanap_marion.html

---

2016-12-05T17:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805829023154610176

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark Random alignment of two edges of cloud system, like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy7hIM_WEAEajD3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy7hIM_WEAEajD3.jpg
2016-12-04T22:16:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/805536362313064448
@3131dt http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy3XC6qUsAEYJ2R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy3XC6qUsAEYJ2R.jpg
2016-12-04T22:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/805535662795419648
@3131dt This looks like a lens reflection. The apparent water reflection at right is a lens reflection of the water reflection on the left.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy3WBmzUUAAduaC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy3WBmzUUAAduaC.jpg

2016-12-04T22:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805534918008680448

@3131dt Three of those appear to be sun dogs, so that's a normal reflection.

2016-12-04T22:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805534500344119296

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark The clouds from 100 years ago are the same as the clouds now. Lee waves are as old as the atmosphere.

2016-12-04T20:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805504896833228800

@3131dt This one?

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/

2016-12-04T16:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/805451699573751808

@Chemtrails76200 @SteerMark Those are just lee waves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy2KA8QWEAAu03o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cy2KA8QWEAAu03o.jpg

2016-12-02T21:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804805436914311168

@LadiePenny crepuscular ray. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crepuscular_rays

---

2016-12-02T21:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804804887850598400

@jamreilly57 @chessboxer35 @swearyG @Oswald1160 And what do you think this mountain is doing? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cys9uurUsAAxkAX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cys9uurUsAAxkAX.jpg

2016-12-02T21:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804804716077064192

@jamreilly57 @chessboxer35 @swearyG @Oswald1160 Video is explained here. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-view-of-blue-ridge-mountains-impossible-on-spherical-earth.t7941/

2016-12-02T19:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804767275215503360

@triciev @swearyG @Oswald1160 The observable universe appears to be really big. Incredulity is not an argument.

2016-12-02T18:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804761049182261248

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Accurate viewer height (3.5m) and standard atmospheric refraction. https://www.metabunk.org/curve/?d=91.8&h=3.5&r=6371&u=m&a=n&fd=60&fp=3264

2016-12-02T18:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804757927194042368

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Proof of what? Why is is mostly hidden? (and did you account for the viewer height?)

2016-12-02T18:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804757153504366593

@triciev @swearyG @Oswald1160 Stellar parallax verifies the distances.

2016-12-02T18:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804756228228272128

@swearyG @Oswald1160 589m high mountain, 92km away. only 115m visible.

https://www.metabunk.org/calculating-the-height-of-something-in-a-p900-photo-when-distance-is-known.t8146/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CysRQTpXgAYmyLp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CysRQTpXgAYmyLp.jpg

2016-12-02T18:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804747939683844101

@vnovakovich @swearyG @Oswald1160 Stellar parallax.

2016-12-02T17:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804743281363955712

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Curve is apparent by mountains disappearing over the horizon.

2016-12-02T17:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804743169933856768

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Spin is apparent by the fact that a star 4 light years away can't travel 13 light years in 24 hours.

2016-12-02T16:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804724233926385665

@swearyG @Factorialpython @Oswald1160 You mean like Einstein?

2016-12-02T15:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804703516467965954

@swearyG @Oswald1160 But general relativity explains that, and proves the Earth is moving.

2016-12-02T14:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804686724500582400

@swearyG @Oswald1160 No spin relative to what?

2016-12-02T01:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804497295513305088

@Uniocracy July 25th was more than 60 days ago. What happened?

2016-12-02T00:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804489430937411584

@swearyG @Oswald1160 A failed experiment in the 1800s does not negate modern science. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aberration_of_light

---

2016-12-01T23:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804465373017870336

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Everything is moving.

2016-12-01T17:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804383422965649408

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Yes, that's parallax. Stars seem fixed as so far away, moon orbits the earth at about 0.5 degrees per hour. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cym-PMfUUAEILUV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cym-PMfUUAEILUV.jpg

2016-12-01T17:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804380797142605825

@Oswald1160 @swearyG Why do you think the moon rises later every day, but the stars do not?

2016-12-01T17:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804380135629537280

@swearyG @Oswald1160 Looks like the moon moving relative to the stars. You notice it more from one day to the next.

2016-12-01T15:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804348607641985024

@swearyG But the moon moves across the star field. That's parallax.

2016-12-01T14:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804328438504898560

@JohnRMoffitt Funny except he had to leave the country and is in fear of his life.

http://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-26815298

2016-12-01T04:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804180361496305664

@swearyG do the math.

2016-12-01T02:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804143055972352000

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies Or were you suggesting that as another explanation for the "gap" photo? Possible, but unlikely as the trails line up.

2016-12-01T01:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804141867025608704

@Kal1_Furies What specifically do you think a scientific investigation on this subject should cover?

2016-12-01T01:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804141749786423297

@Kal1_Furies Of course. More people would have been good. Also more types of photos.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjijppVQAE2MsM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cyjie8jUcAEK1n2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjijppVQAE2MsM.jpg

2016-12-01T01:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804141320851685376

@swearyG But the moon orbits the Earth every 28 days, so how can it be geostationary? It also orbits the sun. So what parallax. Diagram?

2016-12-01T01:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804141028672319488

@Kal1_Furies No, the aim was to see if anyone thought the photos were evidence of secret spraying. Because that's how they are used.

2016-12-01T01:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804138933290344449

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies The AWACS one. Yes, that's what happens.

http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjfqjXUoAAzpro.jpg

---



Moreover, $\eta = 0$ at flight, if the engine is idle with zero thrust for finite fuel consumption (such as during descent). Therefore contrails are expected to disappear at higher altitude during descent than they occur during ascent, and an aircraft may avoid contrail formation, at least near threshold conditions, by flying with reduced power.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjfqjXUoAAzpro.jpg

2016-12-01T01:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804131300168724480

@swearyG if it wasn't orbiting the Earth, then yes.

2016-12-01T01:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804128457986744320

@SteerMark @Massimo_Masini Hole punch clouds

2016-12-01T01:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804128398809255941

@Kal1_Furies I really doubt you'd find any giving different opinions. Do you know someone who might?

2016-12-01T01:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804128171637370880

@Kal1_Furies More details would just make it more obvious they were just contrails.

2016-12-01T00:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804127257635602432

@swearyG @SvenRichtmann Yes. I have a good understanding of geometry, imaging, and astronomic scales.

2016-12-01T00:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804116938045591552

@Kal1_Furies They go and sample contrails. What research is missing?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjMELyVQAEYg6s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjL7jNUsAIWpSN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyjMELyVQAEYg6s.jpg

2016-12-01T00:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804116554287751168

@Kal1_Furies And again, can you find someone else who SHOULD have been in the study, who might have a different opinion?

2016-12-01T00:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804116126451920896

@Kal1_Furies Study of what? Several of them were involved in sampling trails.

2016-12-01T00:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804113654924746752

@swearyG @SvenRichtmann They don't change much because they are far away. They change measurable for near stars. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar_parallax

---

2016-11-30T23:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804112565177438208

@Kal1_Furies Do you think it's possible that all the photos people say are "chemtrails" are actually just contrails (or other normal stuff)?

2016-11-30T23:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804104981020188672

@Kal1_Furies Well are they ANY photos of trails that you actually think are strong evidence of secret spraying?

2016-11-30T21:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804068535626584065

@feldenfrizz Just clouds. They do that sometimes.

2016-11-30T21:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804068419255439360

@Frater_Ralph Debunked 9 years ago. http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

2016-11-30T21:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804068057928765440

.@feldenfrizz Clouds 100 years ago vs. today. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyifldZVIAAUruH.jpg

---



PLATE 58. CRESTED ALTO WAVES. *Page* 148.

(*Alto-cumulus Undatus.*)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyifZOBUkAIz_U3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyifldZVIAAUruH.jpg

2016-11-30T19:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804045282862206976

@SteerMark What if it just looks like clouds from 100 years ago?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyiK5PlUAAAzkjc.jpg



PLATE 59. ALTO WAVES.

(*Alto-stratus Undatus*) *Page* 149.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyiKzJeUUAAHzqJ.jpg



PLATE 58. CRESTED ALTO WAVES.

(*Alto-cumulus Undatus.*) *Page* 148.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyiK0RhUAAAoXj1.jpg



PLATE 60. CIRRO RIPPLES.

(*Cirro-cumulus Undatus.*)

Page 150.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyiK5PlUAAAzkjc.jpg



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*) *Page* 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyiK3ZmVIAALnEd.jpg
2016-11-30T18:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/804033126414462977
@OleCannonFoot

http://doubtfulnews.com/2016/11/ufo-hoaxer-fuels-worry-about-an-attack-on-turkey/

2016-11-30T18:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/804029650821222400

@OleCannonFoot I see no evidence of that. SecureTeam are known hoaxers.

2016-11-30T16:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803997989215686660

@OleCannonFoot Looks like four lights in the sky. Multiple possible explanations. Alien invasion seems the least likely.

2016-11-30T05:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803836181741977602

@4thAnon So who did the Russians fall in line with?

2016-11-30T05:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803832090789892096

@4thAnon You think Alex Jones has influenced Russia? That's ridiculous.

2016-11-30T05:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803831905405857792

@4thAnon "Shill" and "useful idiot" are not the same thing at all.

2016-11-30T05:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803829770811228160

@4thAnon look what he's tweeting. Nonsense stuff that A) helps Russia, and B) sells prepper gear from his web store. https://twitter.com/RealAlexJones/status/803828658200870912

2016-11-30T05:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803829297836412928

@4thAnon I'm not sure why age has anything to do with it. If Jones parrots one Russia generated story then he's a useful idiot.

2016-11-30T04:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803821396279328769

@4thAnon surely there are some "useful idiots" for Russia. What do they look like?

2016-11-30T04:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803821065889714176

@4thAnon or perhaps they believe ALL the conspiracies, and lap up alt-media?

2016-11-30T01:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803777985568350209

@4thAnon What if you actually WERE a useful idiot? How would you know?

2016-11-29T22:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803727915670089728

@Kal1_Furies The long/short one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydqOo0UQAAA7sN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydqOo0UQAAA7sN.jpg

2016-11-29T22:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803722579001253888

@Kal1_Furies These four (or just the long/short, if you only have time for one)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydlURBUQAAT7Q8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydlUQ9VEAASWu6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydlURCUcAA9S2m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydlURAUAAATRof.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CydlURBUQAAT7Q8.jpg

2016-11-29T22:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803722182815662080

@Kal1_Furies What do you think the four photos show, if not contrails.

2016-11-28T23:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803378356741869571

@Kal1_Furies No, they just has the photos. They were told nothing more about them than was in the question.

2016-11-28T21:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803356200435785728

@Kal1_Furies You can see the questionnaire here:
http://www.nearzero.org/elicitation/review/a2592e56-cb21-4849-baa2-560d456707c8

2016-11-28T17:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803288372068302848

@SteerMark Those appear to be just clouds.

2016-11-28T17:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803288178924716032

@Kal1_Furies What info would you like? Sources are given at bottom of first post here:
https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyXaVSIVIAAQCxu.jpg

---


Here are the original sources of the photos and test results:

Plane Trails

Photo 1 - Longer and Shorter Trails
Source: http://globalskywatch.com/chemtrails/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showgallery&Number=8579#.VmW6r-MrKEI
Photographer: "Bornfree" (anonymous forum poster)
Captioned: "Two Contrail planes alongside one Chemtrail plane. These pictures were taken in Tucson Arizona in 2011."

Photo 2 - Trail with gap in between
Current Source: http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/...ated-chemtrail-conspiracy-continues-unabated/
Previously: http://www.sas.org/tcs/weeklyIssues/2004-05-28/news2/index.html
Photographer: Forrest M. Mims III
Caption: "The break in this contrail indicates drier air than the air in which the contrail is visible."
Published in 2004

Photo 3 - Trails with color spectrum in between
Current Source: http://www.gettyimages.com/detail/photo/plane-flying-over-sea-of-clouds-leaving-high-res-stock-photography/71083312
(Previously: http://www.airliners.net/photo/KLM-Cargo/Boeing-747-206BM(SF-SUD)/0239080/L/)
Photographer Josef P. Willems
Caption: "KLM Flight 9165 from Amsterdam to Dubai, cruising at FL350, seen from 1000 ft above. Maybe the largest trails I've ever seen..."
Photo taken May 21, 2002

Photo 4 - Swirling Trails
Source: http://war.163.com/07/1225/08/40I0FABE00011MTO.html (http://archive.today/ojnZc)
Caption: "战机大规模演习后空中流下的尾迹。" (Contrails behind fighter jets in the large scale exercise)
Photographer unknown, possible an official Chinese government photo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyXaVSIVIAAQCxu.jpg

2016-11-28T16:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/803277350838235137

@ImperatorTruth @GMentalMadness Any Jimdo site. They use a standard site template. e.g. http://www.paulibird.com/protected

---

2016-11-27T21:10:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802983045003284480

@wanderinganimal So which plane left the persistent trail?

2016-11-27T16:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802917147122896899

@stang289 Lots of people are unfamiliar with the broad scope of the NY art scene.

2016-11-27T14:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802876759108501504

@stang289 I'm not. I'm blaming them for inventing a connect-the-random-dots child kidnapping conspiracy on zero actual evidence.

2016-11-27T14:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802876374738345986

@Kal1_Furies Generally I'd do it. I was just really interested in what you actually think the trails in the photos are.

2016-11-27T01:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802684041208033282

@stang289 It's a nonsense conspiracy theory based on not liking some artwork and not understanding people's in-jokes.

2016-11-26T23:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802658907483082753

@stang289 #PizzaGate is just nonsense.

2016-11-26T23:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802656777988734976

@stang289 It started with a crazy conspiracy theory on reddit.

http://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/21/technology/fact-check-this-pizzeria-is-not-a-child-traffickin
g-site.html

2016-11-26T22:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802645828326170624

@stang289 It's just a resurgence of the "Satanic Panic", pushed for political reasons, and for clickbait reasons. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Satanic_ritual_abuse

---

2016-11-26T20:59:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802617722320207872

@wanderinganimal That's Friday at 7:01 AM UTC. you are UTC +10:30, right?

2016-11-26T16:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802548647019704320

@krp234 Is there any way I could get a full resolution version to post in the metabunk thread? Just this bit. metabunk@gmail.com http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyM5cLmUQAA8NvG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyM5cLmUQAA8NvG.jpg

2016-11-26T16:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802547771458797568

@krp234 @crocusgloria202 @NWSBillings @JimCantore @StormHour It started straight. See: https://www.metabunk.org/sinusoidal-thin-contrails-explained-solitary-hybrid-contrails-the-rook-i
nstability.t4539/

2016-11-26T16:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802545105378766848

@wanderinganimal Was it this?

https://www.flightradar24.com/2016-11-25/07:01/12x/ETD460/bb5a6fc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyM2egMUUAAYfFP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyM2egMUUAAYfFP.jpg

2016-11-26T15:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802537159093723136

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Where was #3 taken?

2016-11-26T15:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802536910556012544

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @abcnews @OpChemtrails It's the same photo, but scaled to account for altitude. So the "lower" plane is bigger

---

2016-11-26T03:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802359867327397888

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies link?

2016-11-26T00:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802305463421267969

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @abcnews @OpChemtrails How do you know they are the same height? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyJcj0pUUAALL1K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyJcj0pUUAALL1K.jpg

2016-11-25T20:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802241872894623744

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies The comments are what they wrote. Contrail persistence requires ISSR.

2016-11-25T15:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802172458816061440

@Kal1_Furies It applies between two people. Here's you asking me a favor, so I ask one of you.

2016-11-25T05:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802022540310786048

@Kal1_Furies Most famously: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YlRLfbONYgM

2016-11-25T05:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/802022258298343424

@Kal1_Furies it means: i give you something, you give me something.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quid_pro_quo

2016-11-24T21:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801895142336524288

@Kal1_Furies Quid pro quo. What do you think the (non-contrail) trails are?

2016-11-24T20:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801889168129277952

.@BKaysac http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyDh6YyUsAAhoZU.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CyDh6YyUsAAhoZU.png

2016-11-24T19:43:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801873867702603778

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies Actually all essentially the same answer, variations in RH (variation in temp results in variation in RH)

2016-11-24T19:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801873159074918400

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies Happy Thanksgiving.

https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/page-3#post-196309

2016-11-24T17:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801836404137304064

@rezn8d @Kal1_Furies But they gave reasonable explanations. How is that not having a clue?

2016-11-24T16:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801832110675767296

@Kal1_Furies So what did you mean by "not just water vapor". I also think it's not just water vapor as it includes nuclei. Anything else?

2016-11-24T06:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801668370672152576

@Cornfedmofo @HuffPostPol Where was Metabunk incorrect?

2016-11-24T06:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801667390211661824

@Kal1_Furies Something besides the nuclei plus water vapour? Why?

2016-11-24T01:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801604722398412800

@Kal1_Furies I do assume you haven't. But I would be delighted to be shown otherwise.

2016-11-24T01:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801604595587813376

@Kal1_Furies I take it you believe in "chemtrails". But do you think these specific photos show

chemtrails?

2016-11-24T01:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801604426100133888

@Kal1_Furies The selection criteria might have been more justifiably scrutinized if there had been some dispute.

2016-11-24T01:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801604187310039040

@Kal1_Furies I'm perhaps a little frustrated. I feel your objections are unclear & I think the unanimous opinion of the contrail experts is.

2016-11-23T22:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801552285461397504

@Kal1_Furies I'd be more than happy if you found some other contrail experts to give additional input.

2016-11-23T22:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801552164195700736

@Kal1_Furies I am handling it. How do you think I'm not?

2016-11-23T22:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801551915687415808

@Kal1_Furies Do YOU think any of the four photos are not just contrails? Does ANY contrail expert? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-vGLFUkAAbiml.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-vGLCUQAE_l99.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-vGLHVIAAPjdc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-vGLEVQAAQnC6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-vGLFUkAAbiml.jpg

2016-11-23T22:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801551604218347520

@Kal1_Furies Why don't you politely email a few contrail experts, and ask them about it?

2016-11-23T22:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801551264634912769

@Kal1_Furies What? (I mean to type "domain experts" there). Most of them DO think it's laughable, especially the "chemtrails" part. Ask them

---

2016-11-23T21:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801533004233355264

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @abcnews @OpChemtrails What's wrong with this picture?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-eA5WUcAAsk1K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cx-eA5WUcAAsk1K.jpg

2016-11-23T19:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801502558854332416

RT @pjvanerp: Dutch Party for the Animals changes position on chemtrails after reading http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011/meta;jsessionid=560EC1340AD74FFDCB5F4648FE28351B.ip-10-40-1-71 @MickWest https://t.co/KMMCTâ□¦

2016-11-23T16:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801463401473929216

@Kal1_Furies And again, if you are unable to find some who you think should be on the list, then who is missing?

2016-11-23T16:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801463227561349121

@Kal1_Furies Any bias is going to be toward scientists willing to humor the possibility.

2016-11-23T16:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801463059315163136

@Kal1_Furies No, especially given the subject matter. Most domain scientists think secret geoengineering theories are laughable.

2016-11-23T04:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801277734290739205

@Kal1_Furies I mean what are you trying to clarify with this question? Maybe we should Skype to discuss.

2016-11-23T04:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801277258417586177

@Kal1_Furies low compared to what?

2016-11-23T00:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801218739442061312

RT @AlecMacGillis: CNN chief and other TV bigs agreed to meet with Trump off the record. NYT did not. Upshot: Subscribe to a paper. Read moâ¦

2016-11-23T00:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801218056332578816

@Kal1_Furies Andrew Carnegie, in 1902. https://carnegiescience.edu/about

---

2016-11-23T00:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801217165521088512

@Kal1_Furies Sure, but that's not WHY you are asking. Why are you asking?

2016-11-23T00:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801217016698839040

@Kal1_Furies I think you are overthinking this selection method thing. Look at this list. Who is missing?

2016-11-22T23:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801203410896752640

@Kal1_Furies Who do you picture as a better pick? Can you find ONE contrail expert to give a different answer?

2016-11-22T23:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801203288553111552

@Kal1_Furies I don't know. I also don't know why you are asking. These are clearly contrail experts. They gave consistent responses.

2016-11-22T22:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801187692423712768

@Kal1_Furies I'm discussing it with the other authors.

2016-11-22T22:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801187589667450880

@Kal1_Furies Photo 1 had 26, 2->18,, 3->19, 4->9.

2016-11-22T20:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801153384430518273

@wanderinganimal @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies That's just haze.

2016-11-22T19:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801148302024581120

@wanderinganimal @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Different altitudes (or possibly engines)

2016-11-22T17:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/801111314923798528

@manic1hispanic @CassieWilsonWX Looks like contrails. Must be large area of ice-supersaturated air at flight altitudes.

2016-11-22T05:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800937734118326272

@Kal1_Furies But our paper is not in the top 100 most cited papers about contrails.

2016-11-22T04:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800922577581449216

@Kal1_Furies so find some contrail experts who disagree. Find one. Anywhere.

2016-11-22T02:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800888306703572992

@Kal1_Furies You can look at the list. There are plenty of leaders in the fields there.

2016-11-22T02:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800888148527960064

@Kal1_Furies Ken oversaw and advised, I mostly advised regarding what common evidence claims were used. Everyone edited to varying degrees,

---

2016-11-22T00:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800852484939915264

@Kal1_Furies Most of the legwork was by Steve and Christine. They ran the survey, and collated results.

2016-11-22T00:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800851837297381376

@Kal1_Furies It's based on expert opinions.

2016-11-21T17:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800758267735511040

@Kal1_Furies Extracted and posted here:
https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/page-3#post-193959

2016-11-21T02:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800532568533647360

@MolonLabeNews none of it showing anything significant. Just misunderstanding the tests.

2016-11-21T02:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800522086254923784

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Planes fly at 6-8 miles up, but the ionosphere is at 30+ miles. So how could spraying do ANYTHING?

2016-11-21T02:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800521872618045440

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies they don't spray aluminum. And what would that ever do if it's not in the ionosphere?

2016-11-21T02:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800519629361684480

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Says who?

2016-11-21T02:01:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800519554753409024

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies A tiny bit. doing a billionth of what the sun does every second.

2016-11-21T01:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800518014554677248

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Can you give a reference to someone using lithium bromide? I don't think they do,

---

2016-11-21T01:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800515540917764101

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Who and when?

2016-11-21T01:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800513939268218880

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies That's essentially what cloud seeding does. It's make a cloud rain out.

2016-11-21T01:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800508165326393344

@Kal1_Furies Pick one photo or test, I'll strip any ID from the answers and post them.

2016-11-21T01:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800507665226944513

@Kal1_Furies most people commented on each photo & test, so you can do the math.

2016-11-21T01:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800506546581106689

@Kal1_Furies None of the "other" explanations were anything like "spraying" if that's what you were thinking.

2016-11-21T01:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800506433334673408

@Kal1_Furies or the did not specific a reason, just explained contrails in general.

2016-11-21T01:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800506289239441408

@Kal1_Furies 2a most of the "others" seems to be vague, like "the amount of water vapor involved."

2016-11-21T01:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800505947684646913

@Kal1_Furies 2a: due to aerodynamic vs. exhaust contrails

2016-11-21T01:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800505665961631752

@Kal1_Furies But I see about Fig 2, Photo a

2016-11-21T01:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800505588425707521

@Kal1_Furies That would take me too long to extract. What question are you trying to answer?

2016-11-21T00:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800500002283864064

@Kal1_Furies @MolonLabeNews By looking at the media report on cloud seedings, like China Olympics, etc. Ingredients are mostly silver iodide

---

2016-11-20T19:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800414682058092545

@newyorkgenius If you don't debate them then how will you know what they are thinking?

2016-11-20T18:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800409973289123840

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Talking is not doing. They also talk about going to Mars. Are there men on Mars?

2016-11-20T18:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800408556314890240

@newyorkgenius I'm just a writer. Not everyone gets it right. But in general I think they are right about AGW. Evidence is pretty solid.

2016-11-20T18:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800406173027483648

@newyorkgenius But most climate scientists think the evidence points to AGW.

2016-11-20T18:03:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800399304053526528

@DoctorAtlantis To solve it, TEACH critical thinking. And get it taught in schools.

2016-11-20T17:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800390508497367040

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies There are no weather weapons. GEW just posted photos of normal ripple clouds and iridescence.

2016-11-20T17:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800390348753158145

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Woodpecker is not operational. It would have no effect on weather regardless.

2016-11-20T15:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800367436365082624

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies How could you dry up a cloud? Where would the water go?

2016-11-20T15:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800367287760875520

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies You'd need to be more specific. I mean you could cloud seed to make rain as a kind of weapon.

2016-11-20T15:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800366741431779329

@newyorkgenius They don't believe in science because it's required. They believe based on evidence.

2016-11-20T15:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800366395796000768

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Sure they do, consider the dihydrogen monoxide hoax. Based entirely on truth. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dihydrogen_monoxide_hoax

---

2016-11-20T15:51:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800365924696014849

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies You can't "dry up" a cloud. You can only make it rain/snow to get rid of it

---

2016-11-20T13:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800333603322200064

@Kal1_Furies @MolonLabeNews Mostly it's just seeding clouds with silver iodine.

2016-11-20T13:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800330710921809921

@Kal1_Furies @MolonLabeNews That was a publicity stunt. The copyrighter explained: https://www.metabunk.org/travel-firms-cloud-seeding-stunt-attracts-chemtrailers.t5697/

2016-11-20T01:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800149543618629632

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Small ionosphere heater. Does not do much compared to the sun.

2016-11-20T01:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800149358532362240

@Uniocracy That 60 day notice was 122 days ago.

2016-11-20T01:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800148896928317440

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies None. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Duga_radar

---

2022-06-06T23:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533957256236019712

@DaveBass4 @KristenMeghan @CogentNomad @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 Where is this collection published? Can you give one example?

2022-06-06T23:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533955513934680064

@DaveBass4 @KristenMeghan @CogentNomad @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 Surely you'd have a collection of those images? Or at least one good one?

2022-06-06T23:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533955081631956992

@Farawarner I don't think it's meant to be a documentary.

2022-06-06T23:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533954518789935104

@DaveBass4 @KristenMeghan @CogentNomad @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 I've been researching it since 2007. I'd be happy to compare notes. Nobody pays me.

Here's the first post I made on contrails.
https://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2022-06-06T23:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533953405177057281
@DaveBass4 @KristenMeghan @CogentNomad @RamblingsOUS @rnadeau12 @AxelSavage4 Weather modification does not operate in the stratosphere. It's low altitude spraying that is not secret, is done in a few places from small planes, and doesn't leave trails.

You're conflating (actual) cloud seeing with (theoretical) geoengineering. Different things

---

2022-06-06T23:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533950502957830144
@chrisotis78 It sure is! Early days yet. But check out the WIP

https://metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=aguadilla http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUmvQKcUYAAKIYV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUmvQKcUYAAKIYV.jpg
2022-06-06T17:47:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533868245421215744
@ZonkstheAlien No.

2022-06-06T17:12:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533859280226439170
Working on a new sitch. Who recognizes this classic UFO case?

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sit=agua http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUlcs7tUEAIOwXy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUlcs7tUEAIOwXy.jpg

2022-06-06T04:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533671433414377472

@Trees_in_Winter Nobody has ever said that drones account for all the sightings.

2022-06-06T04:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533670146266451968

@Trees_in_Winter UAP represent multiple things. Some of them are drones. Some of them are foo fighters. Some of them are other things.

2022-06-06T02:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533631157991899136

@qd9rmrccc @edwardcurrent By finding the explanation, which is probably terrestrial.

2022-06-05T11:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533415844725657601

@JayEdnaHoover @OMApproach Plane flies in a curve

Parallax effect is curved

2022-06-05T03:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533297362260484100

@katurahlee @AlxndrSmith Cloud seeding has been done since the 1950s.

It does not leave trails.

2022-06-05T03:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533297123680104449

@ufotruthdigger By not being that close.

2022-06-04T18:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533159946241486848

@mrlechuguin It's a plane.

2022-06-04T18:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533159698748301312
@Mendysexual The filtering? It's Photoshop's camera raw.

2022-06-04T16:32:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533124655908896768
@annlesby @pretty_bland Those are sounding rocket releases in space. Not trails behind planes.

2022-06-04T15:58:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533115955093524485
I'm assuming Elon Musk is just joking here. But not everyone will take it as a joke.
The "chemtrail" conspiracy theory is baseless. Six years ago we asked the experts. They agreed.
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011
https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/1532933207276019712

2022-06-04T15:55:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533115160893612033
@Magic_CAD @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 There's more than one white dot in the world.

2022-06-04T15:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533114578296377346
The videos look like they might just be spotlights reflecting off the Skylon Tower.

https://www.google.com/maps/@43.0843292,-79.0778056,3a,60y,295.04h,113.8t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1s4M7m0fh0cS4HrT2daGhi7g!2e0!7i16384!8i8192

@SilvaRecord https://twitter.com/MikeColangelo/status/1533110234851549185

2022-06-04T15:38:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533110875334119426
@skinnywalker7 @JokeToSelf You have the truth. It's not that complicated.

2022-06-04T15:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533110685986455552
@benjimaan22 @BrandonFugal @skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem I would, should such a trip be organized.

2022-06-04T15:34:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533110065867108353
@skinnywalker7 @JokeToSelf I think it was just some small misunderstandings. It's not a big deal.

2022-06-04T15:32:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1533109544724799489
@skinnywalker7 @JokeToSelf I simply assumed he was busy.

You are making something out of nothing.

2022-06-04T15:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533108796330868736

Was there a UFO buzzing the Queen's jubilee celebrations? Probably not, just the usual types of airborne clutter.

When viewed from a moving plane, a very slow moving object can appear to move very fast.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2022-06-04T15:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533107759859716096

@skinnywalker7 @JokeToSelf I did not know SG was planning a trip, so I thought his initial tweets were a joke. I asked BF about going but did not hear back. I discussed SG's trip with him, and asked him if the NYP would pay HIS costs.

Since I never heard back from BF, that's where it ended.

2022-06-04T15:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533106891806498816

@harrison_fnord @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 Yes.

2022-06-04T15:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533106666018746368

@TSPriley @Magic_CAD @Spacecowboy781 It looks like some type of clutter. It's white and round, so a white round balloon seems likely.

Regarding the motion, see: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

---

2022-06-04T15:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533106169903886336

@TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 Multiple angles are only useful if they show the same thing (the same object). Here they show different objects.

Birds and balloons exist in the air. That's a known air-safety issue.

2022-06-04T15:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533105734136713217

@Magic_CAD @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 It's various bits of airborne clutter. Birds, balloons, etc.

2022-06-04T15:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533104328033980417

@TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 The multiple angles show different things.

2022-06-04T15:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533104206730498048

@skinnywalker7 @JokeToSelf Nobody is lying, just some small misunderstandings.

2022-06-04T13:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533081237031555073

@JokeToSelf Brandon didnâ□□t return my DM, so nothing happened.

2022-06-04T13:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533080783316914176

@armageist1 Itâ□□s parallax. Notice the camera is moving.

2022-06-04T13:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533080356974276612

@kayts013 @BrandonFugal @skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem Iâ□□m making it accurate. Itâ□□s really NOT complicated.

2022-06-04T13:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533080194713432064

@JokeToSelf It is not the argument.

2022-06-04T11:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533045327950798848

@BrandonFugal @skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem This is not accurate.

I asked Greenstreet if the Post would over *HIS* expenses. I did not ask if they would cover mine.

Nothing transpired because I DMed Brandon and did not hear back, so I dropped it.

2022-06-04T11:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1533043553340825601

@TheChilterns @BrandonFugal @skinnywalker7 @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem That's a significant part of it.

2022-06-04T04:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532944971266940928

@BernieW95758093 Fewer planes = fewer contrail-induced cirrus.

2022-06-04T03:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532934424911720448

@SeriouslySiriu5 @TUPACABRA2 No, it would actually help!

2022-06-04T03:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532934296985489408

@JokeToSelf @tinyklaus But I also think that there are events that have happened that have not been explained adequately.

Who doesn't? Obviously, that is true.

2022-06-03T22:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532858037001519104

@BernieW95758093 They are clouds. But yes, that is a thing. Always has been since the 1920s (more now, obviously)

2022-06-03T22:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532845925135097856

@kimball_23 Not really no. I'm retired, and I make very little money from skepticism. I mostly do it because I enjoy the puzzles and the people.

2022-06-03T22:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532845441263341568

@DaveOliver179 @krystalball @esaagar What's the hardest question you think I've avoided?

2022-06-03T20:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532823270008926208

@kimball_23 "compromised"?

2022-06-03T19:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532802041659027456

@conterammundi @junglist1973 Sorry, what was the question? I just see a gif.

2022-06-03T18:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532793775881302016

@gangatoday1 @TUPACABRA2 It actually does reasonably well handheld - not great for filmmaking, but sufficient to identify things in the daytime. Here's a mega-zoom video I took with a P900 at Yosemite.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1532793573866754048/pu/img/zvT479B_CJtdEEir.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1532793573866754048/pu/img/zvT479B_CJtdEEir.jpg

2022-06-03T18:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532791799906832385

Lots of contrails over Sacramento today because of the upper air humidity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUWR5NYUUAA29Wu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUWRkyPUcAAPmgn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUWR5NYUUAA29Wu.jpg

2022-06-03T18:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532789987204182016

@Mike_FIRE "seems"

The official government position is reflected in the UAP report and in Scott Bray's answers. Carson gets his info from there, and from Jeremy Corbell.

2022-06-03T18:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532787633704751104

@BigfootKbi @DisclosureUAP @nikkinoelle7 @justmyvideos @TheOutThere2016 I'm going to go out on a limb and say that looks fake.

2022-06-03T17:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532771005633994757

@Mike_FIRE @Drunk_on_Books The report does not admit that there are unknown craft flying around our airspace that do extraordinary things.

2022-06-03T17:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532770753552166912

@ageez25 @tadaaa20 @RitualGesture Once the columns were no longer aligned with the falling mass, they could no longer offer any significant resistance. The collapse proceeded through the floor panels, not the columns.

2022-06-03T15:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532745631004909568

@skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @BrandonFugal @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem We exchanged a couple of messages about him maybe going.

Again, this isn't a big deal. If anyone is behind me not going then it's me. I've only just recently tipped over the line from "meh, not really worth it", to "hmm, could be interesting, let's see".

2022-06-03T15:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532744266883117056

@skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @BrandonFugal @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem Well, I don't, and I'm me. Steve has his own thing. Bradon is a busy man. I've never shown great enthusiasm, so I can't expect everyone to jump just because I expressed a bit of tardy interest.

2022-06-03T15:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532739176126328832

@the_cholla @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm I'm not actually sure those are anything like 180Â° turns. We see the patterns of light rotate, but also change shape, so it's not clear where they stop.

And why not one roll?

2022-06-03T14:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532736303518711808

@welling_phil @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @esaagar I do not.

2022-06-03T14:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532727233076375552

@KarmawGreys51 @lesliekean It can't melt them, but it can significantly weaken them.

2022-06-03T14:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532727011801587712
@Sizzlefeggio @Oswald1160 "some kind of phenomenon exists" isn't really a theory, it's an observation, a truism.

2022-06-03T12:42:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532704263641501696
@Sizzlefeggio What does "real" mean exactly? What is he "admitting"

2022-06-03T12:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532703239501533184
@skinnywalker7 @KilliK69 @physicsufo @BrandonFugal @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem It's nothing dramatic, there's no misunderstanding or bad feelings that I'm aware of. Y'all are making too much of a few tweets.

2022-06-03T12:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532702312409362433
@BrandonFugal @skinnywalker7 @ThatUFOGuy @MiddleOfMayhem There was never much more than those jokey Tweets regarding me joining Steven on his trip.

I'd not pursued Brandon's offer years ago because of a number of factors, Covid being one.

I'm interested now though, but let's see how this trip goes. No rush, SWR isn't going anywhere

---

2022-06-03T04:17:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532577249152487424
@Mike_FIRE Mellon is the UFO aficionado. Ratcliffe was the brief political appointee.

2022-06-03T03:57:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532572134584659968
@Mike_FIRE Brief political appointee DNI, and a long-time UFO aficionado in an assistant deputy position who thought the best video was the Gimbal.

That is not "the government"

2022-06-03T03:52:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532570897579507712
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm Can you draw "the close trajectory seen on SA by the pilots."

And what is so weird about a huge consistent glare? That's what we had in the Chilean case.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUTJFRyVEAEjfr3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUTJFRyVEAEjfr3.jpg

2022-06-03T03:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532567739390255104

@the_cholla @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm You donâ□□t help your argument by resorting to scurrilous speculation. Letâ□□s stick to the facts.

2022-06-03T03:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532567252972605440

@VX_GAS_ATTACK @Armassusi1 @lesliekean Itâ□□s like the 140 are Bosnian Billâ□□s naughty bucket, except he doesnâ□□t have the Lock Picking Lawyer.

These were difficult and ambiguous cases before they got them. Then they had no resources.

2022-06-03T02:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532553147410894848

@OwatcherUf @the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm Nope, the glare theory predates that by two years.

2022-06-03T00:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532526194255491072

@AndrewP71392714 @Manning123Sm Are they perfect?

2022-06-03T00:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532522727973654528

@AndrewP71392714 @Manning123Sm Iâ□□ll sit on the fence when everyone else does. If people make claims that are wrong, then that needs addressing.

2022-06-03T00:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532522288527986689

@AndrewP71392714 @Manning123Sm No, thatâ□□s not what Iâ□□m saying. But mistakes do happen.

2022-06-03T00:35:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532521296008863744
@AndrewP71392714 @Manning123Sm You know they once shot one down?

Not a UAP, a commercial airliner.

2022-06-03T00:30:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532520151609794561
@the_cholla @OwatcherUf @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm FLIR1.

2022-06-03T00:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532518760631836672
@AndrewP71392714 @Manning123Sm Viper teams are PR, not investigators.

2022-06-03T00:13:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532515728363925504
@mission_europa I think that refers to the RF.

2022-06-02T23:44:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532508592779104256
@cculpepper4 @ManegNus @mrdrlove2 Video even.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wfOrez6q7WM

2022-06-02T23:42:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532507988421844992
@patrick92german Nobody. That's the point.
People are saying the gov has "admitted" UFOs are something non-human. They have not.

2022-06-02T22:27:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532488984835657728
@UnpluggedUser @SkyPhenomena Sometimes a smudge is just a smudge.

2022-06-02T21:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532481808729710594
@UnpluggedUser I'd say the chances are sky-high. Windows get smudged.

2022-06-02T21:57:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532481513052180480
@SicCoP1 @ThanosAlpha @zcichy Of course, and that's why they are looking into it. Maybe it's sensor errors. Maybe spoofing. Maybe something else.

I'd really like to hear exactly how correlated these "multiple" sensors are though.

2022-06-02T21:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532480705887817728
@UnpluggedUser Looks more like something on the window.

2022-06-02T21:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532479818909962240

Has the government "admitted" that UFOs are incredible non-human craft?

Not according to the congressional testimony of Scott Bray, U.S. Naval Intelligence Deputy Director - the guy who oversees the UAP task force.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUR1qWuUcAIyUTZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUR1qWuUcAIyUTZ.jpg
2022-06-02T21:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532471215700381696
@Linkchadlad I was behind a fence.

2022-06-02T21:15:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532470924661751808
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm I was wondering if you had a good estimate on what the curve should look like. I think, to a degree, you could solve for that.

2022-06-02T21:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532470458301394944
How can my finger move faster than a speeding car?

Silly question? It's one asked all the time in UFOlogy. How can a bug move faster than a jet? How can a bird move faster than an A-10?

They can't. A finger is not faster than a car. But one is small and the other is far away
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1532469585785409537/pu/img/oxHcFUCt0xyOZVSG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1532469585785409537/pu/img/oxHcFUCt0xyOZVSG.jpg
2022-06-02T21:02:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532467716279242753
@Shimmy4404 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen I don't know what he looked at, or what he saw.

2022-06-02T20:57:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532466552108244992
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm I don't think it's quite that simple. There's a variety of factors. If the distant jet was accelerating, for example, and if there were variations in throttle settings.

What should the curve look like, exactly?

2022-06-02T20:54:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532465636772679681
@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm So the object is illuminating the clouds?

No.

And also no for "the light patterns are some sort of reflections in the camera associated with it. So if the object rotates, they also rotate with it" - how?

Rotate a flashlight.

2022-06-02T20:12:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532455186219687936
@geotecniaonline MAFFS. Firefighting.

2022-06-02T20:09:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532454349598695424
@Shimmy4404 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen Experts sometimes make mistakes.

2022-06-02T19:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532447249669574657
@HaweZachary @MiddleOfMayhem @Saw_a_UFO @SugarmanSpeaks @TuckerCarlson @JeremyCorbell When objects are very close to the camera, they move across the picture quicker than things that are far away.

2022-06-02T18:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532434239139983361
@III___Words Compare to this, much faster framerate, but shows the extents of the wings.

https://youtu.be/Q5rhLHfwpUk

2022-06-02T18:32:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532429843110891520

@GLawson194 @thegriffter There is, itâ□□s the weather that varies.

2022-06-02T18:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532422905144872960

@dsmethurst66 @BarlowTerry But there IS something to UAPs.

2022-06-02T18:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532422056423268352

@GLawson194 @thegriffter Transatlantic redeye flights to Europe arriving from the US. You can see them in animations of flights. It's like a wave of flights.

http://contrailscience.com/map/

2022-06-02T17:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532420698278199296

@KilliK69 @thieme @patrick92german @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @esaagar His answer was basically "no."

But more fully, there are things that remain unexplained, that are difficult to explain, but not that are definitively ET. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FURAQCPUAAA20eD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FURAQCPUAAA20eD.jpg

2022-06-02T17:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532419021731008513

@TierOnePoster @KCDefender Yes, the full video is really needed here, if only to remove suspicion of tampering.

2022-06-02T17:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532418784274788358

@AustinGonzales0 @TheUfoJoe @DK71 @krystalball @esaagar A couple of days ago I said a particular UFO was a bird, when it was actually too fast for a bird, so more likely an insect.

With the Chilean UFO, I initially favored the wrong plane.

That type of thing.

2022-06-02T17:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532412812701487105

@TierOnePoster @KCDefender Hard to say, it's too low quality with lots of artifacts from video compression.

2022-06-02T16:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532403784931954688

@TheUfoJoe @DK71 @krystalball @esaagar Of course I'd want to know. I'm a big fan of science, and science fiction. Aliens (or even just anti-gravity or similar) would be amazingly interesting.

Unfortunately, the evidence isn't really compelling.

Also, I don't lie. Might get things wrong, but not deliberately.

2022-06-02T16:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532392311774031872

@ky_phili @maxwell_tubman Planes have a range of possible flashing patterns. They don't all have a fixed one per plane. And the camera's frame rate and exposure time can record it inaccurately. It seems quite plane-like to me.

2016-11-20T01:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800147192199532544

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Yes, just a radio signal.

2016-11-20T01:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800142961228988416

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Cloud seeding was used as a weapon in Vietnam, that's about it.

2016-11-20T01:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800142857851965440

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies We can agree that cloud seeding is real. But it does not leave trails in the sky.

2016-11-20T00:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800141224715821056

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies It is usually done to increase precipitation, sometimes as anti-hail. Rarely to try to prevent rain for events.

2016-11-19T23:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/800114404066881536

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies They call it cloud seeding. They use silver iodide or saline, depending on the climate. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cloud_seeding

---

2016-11-18T02:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/799437665527427073

@SputnikInt Just an E-6B practicing,

https://www.metabunk.org/identified-mystery-plane-circling-over-denver-iron99-e-6b-mercury-t

acamo.t8162/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxgsO-0UcAASPQA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxgsO-0UcAASPQA.jpg
2016-11-17T20:20:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/799346374277857280
@stang289 E6-B Military jet.
https://www.metabunk.org/mystery-plane-circling-over-denver-iron99-e-6b-mercury-tacamo.t8162/

2016-11-17T00:46:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/799051078146801664
@MoonRocks22 Give this a read too:
http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxbMtYrUsAAY3x6.jpg

The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.

[....]

Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2 an almost solid contrail sheet reported to average 500 m in depth.



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxbMtYrUsAAY3x6.jpg



Do contrails sometimes persist and spread out?

Yes, see the Encyclopædia Britannica article on vapour trails (contrails):

> *Contrail, streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud.*
> *vapour trail. (2007). In Encyclopædia Britannica.Retrieved May 4, 2007,from Encyclopædia Britannica Online: http://www.britannica.com/eb/article-9074829*

(The above quote is from the current EB. However, a Google books search dates the inclusion on the EB back to 1983)

Also see "A Field Guide to the Atmosphere", by Schaefer and Day, 1981:

> *"Sometimes [contrails] are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus" (Page 137)*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxbMjNJVEAAF4Vd.jpg

2016-11-17T00:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/799049898385543169

@Kal1_Furies A) Extra unnecessary work, B) No clear need (no specific questions), C) risk of accidentally releasing identifying info.

2016-11-16T23:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/799036800199061504

@DoubtfulNews You mean Editor@doubtfulnews.com ?

2016-11-16T23:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/799036183648944128

@Kal1_Furies What questions would it answer? I could have a look for you.

2016-11-16T20:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798984047120654336

RT @KenCaldeira: Monthly mean precipitation in the US in mm/day. Wet winters in the west. Wet summers and autumn in the east. https://t.co/â¦

2016-11-16T16:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798929957489512448

@Kal1_Furies What questions would you have about the raw data? What would the raw data reveal that's not in the paper?

2016-11-16T16:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798929771564384257

@Kal1_Furies No, the raw data contains identifying comments, and participants were promised anonymity.

2016-11-16T03:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798735074690596864

@Kal1_Furies I'm always amenable to facts.

2016-11-16T01:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798697865300164608

@Kal1_Furies There are certainly lots of unknowns, lots of current research. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Environmental_impact_of_aviation

---

2016-11-16T00:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798688085772931072

@Kal1_Furies And also there's probable effects from sub-visible aviation induced cirrus and engine aerosols.

2016-11-16T00:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798687830570479616

@Kal1_Furies I do agree, of course cloud formation is sometimes affected by aviation. For example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxWCULmUUAEygkc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxWCULmUUAEygkc.jpg
2016-11-15T19:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798611847146401792
@RealAlexJones ... if they existed

2016-11-15T16:36:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798565311267995648
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Ask a meteorologist or atmospheric scientist about it.

2016-11-15T16:35:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798565040341159936
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies No, it depends on the weather at the plane's altitude.

2016-11-15T16:03:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798557123013545984
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Yes it did. If it's 105Â°F on the ground it's -40Â°F at 40,000 feet. So contrails can persist for hours.

2016-11-15T15:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798554960220680192
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies My point was what the Snowdon Chemtrails story was fake. Nothing more.

2016-11-15T15:54:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798554721237671940
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies It's always -40 of lower at some altitude.

2016-11-15T15:39:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798551081953280000
@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Which Snowden leaks? There was a satirical story on

chronicle.su, that's all
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails-satire.t2550/

2016-11-15T14:46:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798537561429852160
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So it's both new to you, and been going on for decades? Trails never persisted, but also have for decades?

2016-11-15T14:45:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798537377044008961
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume Do you still think contrails never persist, even after seeing all those books?

2016-11-15T14:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798537215500374016
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I look at a large number of references to understand what I see. They look like contrails.

2016-11-15T14:43:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798536964672585732
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So you think "chemtrails" have existed since the 1940s?

2016-11-15T14:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798536370964729856
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I see on/off contrails too. I also see planes that look white from a distance. We see the same things.

2016-11-15T14:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798536189774942208
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So you agree that we DID have clouds like this in the past?

2016-11-15T14:40:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798536031012147200
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume True tellers don't need to cover their tracks. How do you know the difference?

2016-11-15T14:39:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798535918571270144
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I'm retired. Lots of people are retired. Once you retired that means you don't work.

2016-11-15T14:38:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/798535545798344704
@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume These? They look just like old photos of contrails (and some cirrus) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxT3xVmUUAQPcRt.jpg

---

These are NOT clouds or contrails.
It is #poison #aerosol #spray that's
killing every living thing = #omnicide.
#GeoEngineering #Depop #wiwx



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxT3xVmUUAQPcRt.jpg

2016-11-15T14:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798535232567660544

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume How do you know that? I'm not paid. I'm a retired video game programmer.

2016-11-15T14:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798534705238839296

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume Which pics?

2016-11-15T14:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798534393723699204

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I focus on old books. But of course more modern books say the same thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxT2yNIVEAAQ7Rq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxT2yNIVEAAQ7Rq.jpg

2016-11-15T14:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798533207582908417

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I list the details here. Let me know if you need more info on one.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.t3201/

2016-11-15T14:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798532843395694592

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Who gave the class? Was it an actual university course of just some guy speaking?

2016-11-15T14:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798532711639977984

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies I'd need a reference for that.

2016-11-15T14:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798532651980206080

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies No it doesn't. They have not started, unless you are confusing cloud seeding with geoengineering.

2016-11-15T14:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798532476142399488

@MolonLabeNews @Kal1_Furies Of course cloud seeding is happening. It's been openly done since the 1950s for precipitation (rain/snow).

2016-11-15T05:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798401879529099264

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume You can get a copy for under $10

https://www.amazon.com/gp/offer-listing/B0012RTD76/ref=tmm_hrd_used_olp_sr?ie=UTF8&condition=used&qid=&sr=

2016-11-15T05:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798401328951296000

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume Here's the title page:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxR9p7cXEAErWn1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxR9p7cXEAErWn1.jpg

2016-11-15T02:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798358372315643904

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume title is in the pics. Which book do you want more details on?

2016-11-15T01:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798340005076705280

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume in 1823 the Encyclopedia said they were "very frequent"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxRF_roUsAAZJM3.jpg

the outermost circle was a bright red. In 1728, one was seen of a pale red outwardly, then followed yellow, and then green, terminated by a white. Corona.

These coronas are very frequent. In Holland, M. Muschenbroeck says, 50 may be seen in the day-time, almost every year; but they are difficult to be observed, except the eye be so situated, that not the body of the sun, but only the neighbouring parts of the heavens, can be seen. Mr Middleton says, that this phenomenon is very frequent in North America; for that there is generally one or two about the sun every week, and as many about the moon every month. Halos round the sun are very frequent in Russia. M. Æpinus says, that from the 23d of April 1758, to the 20th of September, he himself had observed no less than 26, and that he has sometimes seen twice as many in the same space of time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxRF_roUsAAZJM3.jpg

2016-11-15T01:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798339466079256576

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume 1943 book does not say they are incredibly rare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxRFfxiUkAAv7xn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxRFfxiUkAAv7xn.jpg



HALOS

Besides rainbows there are other coloured rings that are of a different nature; these are called halos and are seen round the sun or moon. The halo most commonly seen is a ring 22 degrees from the sun or moon; it is usually whitish, but sometimes colours are visible; in this case red is on the inside of the circle, followed by yellow and green; when seen round the moon the colours are hardly visible. A halo is an optical phenomenon caused by the sun or moon shining through clouds composed of minute ice crystals, but sometimes these clouds are so thin that the only indication of their presence is the fact that a halo is visible; it is on these occasions that the colours are best developed. An outer halo 46 degrees from the sun or moon is sometimes seen. There is sometimes a white circle going right round the sky through the sun and parallel with the horizon; it is sometimes called the mock sun ring, for on it are situated the mock suns, or parhelia; the two most generally seen occur close to the points where the mock sun ring cuts the 22-degree halo; they are just outside the halo, and the higher the sun in the sky the farther off are they from the halo; they are sometimes white, but generally show prismatic colours with the red nearest to the sun; they are more or less drawn out on the side away from the sun; they may be seen on many occasions when no halo is visible; sailors call them sun dogs, and they are popularly supposed to be a sign of bad weather, but this is by no means always true.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxRFaMEVQAAE2w5.jpg

2016-11-15T01:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798337543955546112

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume I have the physical books, what do you want to examine in them?

2016-11-15T01:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798337370869239808

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume pretty sunset and sundogs are not rare. Where did you get that idea?

2016-11-15T01:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798337163133730816

@courtskinz @jelo1317 @martinhume Why, it's true, but you keep saying the opposite. So I'll

keep pointing this out.

2016-11-14T23:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798312473719775232

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume How do you you explain all these books if contrails cannot persist? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxQs3bPVQAABFmU.jpg

---

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxQs3bPVQAABFmU.jpg

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxQss1NVEAUR1gx.jpg

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxQsy3hUQAAD6he.jpg

1943 - Cloud Reading For Pilots, page 73
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

There is one other form of ice crystal cloud. The artificial one caused by aircraft, and there are two varieties. One is produced by the condensation and freezing of exhaust vapour, which on issuing expands to a large extent forming a line of cloud which drifts in the sky for some time, spreading outward, and becoming less dense. The other type, is produced by the wing tip vortices, and is only temporary, and seldom visible from the ground. Figs. 78 and 79 show the first type of trail in company with true cirrus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxQsvRGUcAA5m8f.jpg

2016-11-14T23:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798311917387296768

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume The point is that books on clouds 70 years ago (and ever since) say contrails can persist.

2016-11-14T23:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798304375781224448

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume Most people actually believe it, because it's true. As evidenced by 70 years of books on clouds.

2016-11-14T18:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798235917718695936

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So find me a book that says that.

2016-11-14T16:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798199728101986304

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So can you find a science book that agrees with your claims about contrails not persisting?

2016-11-14T16:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798199351126335488

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxPGD1HUkAAMkvG.jpg



Using these criteria, we identified 220 contrail experts and 255 atmospheric deposition experts. A survey invitation was sent out: 49 contrail experts and 65 atmospheric deposition experts could not be reached with our contact information or explicitly disqualified themselves as experts on the survey topic. This left a total sample population of 171 for contrails and 190 for atmospheric deposition. Of these, 49 experts completed the contrail survey and 28 completed the atmospheric deposition survey —a response rate of 29% and 15%, respectively. The lower response rate for deposition may have in part been due to the wider breadth of knowledge covered in the survey leading more people to disqualify themselves as experts. All invited experts were informed that the survey would be confidential: participant names are listed, but responses are not attributed to specific experts. Contrail experts had an average of 26 years professional experience in their field (with a median of 26 years), and deposition experts 22 years (with a median of 20 years).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxPGD1HUkAAMkvG.jpg

2016-11-14T15:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798193557865570304

Goodbye Supermoon! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fv2Voy0XRRo

2016-11-14T15:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798193341401698304

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume How can I "rewrite" a book from the 1940s?

2016-11-14T15:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798193146995740672

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume So if you don't believe any science books, then how can you tell how a contrail should act?

2016-11-14T15:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798192950320635904

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume 22% of the surveys we sent out were completed.

2016-11-14T14:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798175602746363904

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume Seriously though, what do you think of all the books that say contrails often persist?

2016-11-14T14:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798173615086706688

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @martinhume That's the Encyclopedia Brittanica from 1983. Don't you believe the Encyclopedia?

2016-11-14T06:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/798054450417934336

@courtskinz @jelo1317 @martinhume Check it out:

http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxNCQWtUQAAuwP-.jpg



Do contrails sometimes persist and spread out?

Yes, see the Encyclopædia Britannica article on vapour trails (contrails):

> *Contrail, streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud.*
> *vapour trail. (2007). In Encyclopædia Britannica.Retrieved May 4, 2007,from Encyclopædia Britannica Online:*
> *http://www.britannica.com/eb/article-9074829*

(The above quote is from the current EB. However, a Google books search dates the inclusion on the EB back to 1983)

Also see "A Field Guide to the Atmosphere", by Schaefer and Day, 1981:

> *"Sometimes [contrails] are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus" (Page 137)*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxNCQWtUQAAuwP-.jpg

2016-11-14T01:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797968497200377857

@shimmyshimmyy Sun dog in a contrail, and a fly on your windshield.

2016-11-13T17:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797855133723815936

@Uniocracy Why would you not see contrails over Cuba? Planes fly over it.

2016-11-13T17:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797854991713079296

@dannodotcomm @Masschemtrails Might see some way to the south.
http://www.twisterdata.com/index.php?prog=forecast&model=RAP&grid=255&model_yyyy=2016&model_mm=11&model_dd=13&model_init_hh=16&fhour=01&parameter=RH&level=250&unit=MB&maximize=n&mode=singlemap&sounding=n&output=image&view=large&archive=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxKM0TRUAAAKqZQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxKM0TRUAAAKqZQ.jpg

2016-11-13T13:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797801012375351296

@Kal1_Furies Do you know if any cloud is real? The point is that is exactyl what it looks like. So why suspect otherwise?

2016-11-12T18:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797501908306968576

@Masschemtrails Not uncommon in 1960s either.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxFLvXJUcAAP_pj.jpg

The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.
[....]
Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2 an almost solid contrail sheet reported to average 500 m in depth.



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxFLvXJUcAAP_pj.jpg
2016-11-12T18:09:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/797501506106757120
@Kal1_Furies Well what do you think is unnatural in that image? It's a contrail, virga, and a solar arc from ice refracting the sun.

2016-11-11T20:27:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/797173893240733696
Donald Trump dud not promise to "reopen 9/11 probe", that old fake news.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-donald-trump-promises-to-reopen-9-11-probe-fake-news.t8144/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxAhXwLUkAADMs2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxAhXwLUkAADMs2.jpg

2016-11-11T20:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797168102538547200

@OpChemtrails They science.

2016-11-11T19:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797155642825850880

@TchuTchx Why? It's a normal turboprop altitude for that type of local flight.

2016-11-11T18:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797142224626536448

@TchuTchx Could you send me the raw video? metabunk@gmail.com

---

2016-11-11T18:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797141953372516352

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf Sometime they are asymmetric. Interesting.

https://www.metabunk.org/asymmetric-partially-aerodynamic-turboprop-contrails-at-25-000-and-27-000-feet.t6931/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxAENPpUoAA-yS6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CxAENPpUoAA-yS6.jpg

2016-11-11T18:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797140935926628352

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf Aerodynamic contrails tend to be patchy. Example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P3-IWfgsEeM

2016-11-11T17:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797129000942325760

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf More like this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BD8tUUOASoE

2016-11-11T17:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797128800886657024

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf Possibly. But I can't really see a trail coming from the plane there. He pans over to a trail.

2016-11-11T17:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797127801790808064

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf This one in particular. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cw_3bUyVQAATRfU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cw_3XpBVEAAjlon.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cw_3bUyVQAATRfU.jpg

2016-11-11T17:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797127356544466945

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf Not necessarily, at cruse it comes from a wide area of the wing surface. eg

https://www.metabunk.org/contrails-from-turboprop-planes-at-lower-than-typical-altitudes.t6082/#post-150191

2016-11-11T17:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797126852057796608

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf I took this photo of a prop plane at 25,000 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cw_2kygVEAAtoEv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cw_2kygVEAAtoEv.jpg

2016-11-11T17:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797126249088831488

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf So? Prop planes leave exhaust contrails too. However this is probably an aerodynamic contrail.

2016-11-11T17:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/797124012346253312

@TchuTchx @strathearnrose @HumzaYousaf Well it LOOKS like a contrail. How exactly do you know it is not?

2016-11-09T20:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/796449373215297536

@AndreaH66392509 Try it at -40, with around 70% relative humidity.

2016-11-09T19:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/796434553942355968

@MakeDRevolution Depends what you mean by "geoengineering" lots of different things, some are real, like cool roof. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reflective_surfaces_(geoengineering)

2016-11-08T15:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/796016456588611584

RT @NASA: Good morning America! Today's #ElectionDay. Hereâ□□s a look at our country on this Blue Marble. Remember to go vote today! https://â□¦

2016-11-06T19:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/795347242722828288

@VennieKocsis Both appear to be contrails.

2016-11-06T17:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/795324765191798784

@ToyotaObsession @600jefe Which claim? Maybe this would help?
https://vimeo.com/134735552

2016-11-04T20:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794631680057163776

@Fiveeyezagent @GuruPundit It's not clear to the people who actually study these things. I've not seen any scientists say it changed.

2016-11-04T19:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794626322806947840

@Fiveeyezagent @GuruPundit Says who? I've seen no evidence that the relative frequency has changed.

2016-11-04T15:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794560011804344321

@GuruPundit So you see the contrails sometimes persist then?

2016-11-04T00:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794334261427871744

@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Hard to maintain in Antarctic ocean.

2016-11-04T00:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794334186395971584

@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi It's economic. Very long cable is expensive.

2016-11-04T00:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794333329071816704

@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi What is the question? I see a cable map.

2016-11-04T00:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794332963525664769

@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi That's two different flights.

2016-11-04T00:17:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794332722269331456

@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Which direct route? Which cities?

2016-11-03T23:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794327109929865216

@600jefe @DrJ_WasTaken @AtheistDog666 @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Why would they? That's not a direct route.

2016-11-03T23:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794326018655821824

@600jefe @DrJ_WasTaken @AtheistDog666 @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi The near Antarctic routes are just as impossible.

2016-11-03T23:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794323147348545536

@600jefe @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Actual Route flown yesterday http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwYArg9UkAAkdg0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwYArg9UkAAkdg0.jpg

2016-11-03T23:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794322419364134912

@600jefe @DrJ_WasTaken @BenjaminLives @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Route: https://flightaware.com/live/flight/QFA27/history/20161102/0249Z/YSSY/SCEL

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwYABN9UAAARmtz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwYABN9UAAARmtz.jpg

2016-11-03T23:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794321931201720320
@600jefe @AtheistDog666 @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Load this in Google Earth.
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Near_Antarctic_Flights.kmz

2016-11-03T23:02:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794313757119758336
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Direct routes miss Antartica. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CwX4D4dVQAAsCVe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CwX4D4dVQAAsCVe.jpg
2016-11-03T22:54:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794311780642099200
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi And Auckland, sorry.

2016-11-03T22:54:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794311708856623104
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi What other cites? Only those three have direct flights.

2016-11-03T22:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794310857123459072

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Johannesburg, Sydney, and Santiago. What else?

2016-11-03T22:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794310663782875136

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Why should it? There's not many cites down there.

2016-11-03T22:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794310521579192324

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Sydney flights are as close as you'll get.

2016-11-03T22:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794309703626985472

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Which cities?

2016-11-03T22:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794305380964675584

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Google Earth is free. Useful. https://www.google.com/earth/explore/products/desktop.html

---

2016-11-03T22:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794305028022366208

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi This is on a globe. Spot the difference. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwXwIFvVEAAxhQu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwXwIFvVEAAxhQu.jpg
2016-11-03T22:22:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794303819316236288
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi Specify which cities.

2016-11-03T22:20:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794303294126452737
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi You can't just draw a straight line on a flat map. Use a globe.

2016-11-03T20:06:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794269469757476864
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi How is that not real? Click the link. You can buy it.

2016-11-03T19:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/794265313642618880
@600jefe @BenjaminLives @DrJ_WasTaken @KitemanX @NothingSirius @SweetCordi
https://www.google.com/flights/?f=0#search;f=SYD;t=SCL;d=2016-11-20;r=2016-11-24;mc=m;q=flight+from+sydney+to+santiago+nonstop

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwXME5jVEAAjka9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwXME5jVEAAjka9.jpg

2016-11-03T15:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794195028331532289

@GuruPundit But all the books on clouds say that contrails can persist.

2016-11-03T14:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794192062472032256

@GuruPundit I don't think there's any geoengineering that involves airplanes. The "chemtrail" photos are just contrails.

2016-11-03T13:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/794170940984725504

@GuruPundit Cloud seeding certainly isn't a conspiracy theory. It's been done quite openly since the 1950s. Not chemtrails though.

2016-11-03T01:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793982555120766976

@600jefe @BenjaminLives @EarthIsFlatAF @TheOlifant @SweetCordi @KitemanX @NothingSirius http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwTKyucUcAAq3cz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwTKyucUcAAq3cz.jpg

2016-11-02T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793946423804866561

@stang289 Nice!

2016-11-01T22:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793579819527049218

@Fiveeyezagent Did you see any persistent trails today?

2016-11-01T21:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793567696516362240

@cmnit From my collection: https://www.metabunk.org/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.t3201/

2016-11-01T21:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793565032915214336

@Fiveeyezagent All the old photos I've seen mostly show the long trails. They are just much more apparent.

2016-11-01T21:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793564781558935552

@cmnit Yes http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/media/pdf/c/3/1946_Brewer_condensation_trails.pdf

---

2016-11-01T19:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793532367734382592

@Fiveeyezagent Is it possible you are a lot more interesting in them now than you were 20 years ago?

2016-11-01T19:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793529699611783168

@Fiveeyezagent Would you at least agree that SOME contrails could persist and spread in the 1980s.

2016-11-01T19:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793529561463926785

@Fiveeyezagent Because there's no evidence that it happened. Just evidence that you started to notice them more.

2016-11-01T18:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793526897590149120

@Fiveeyezagent Actually, just found this guy to the north of you:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMsax7UsAAeBBF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMsax7UsAAeBBF.jpg

2016-11-01T18:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793526543754469376

@Fiveeyezagent So you just see the larger persistent contrails, mostly to the south and west, lower in the sky due to distance

---

2016-11-01T18:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793526160676171776

@Fiveeyezagent Explains why you don't see so many short contrails. They are too far away to spot easily.

2016-11-01T18:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793526032817004544

@Fiveeyezagent Probably military, you are in the Edwards MOA, so no directly overhead

commercial flights. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMrsCKUIAAk6S3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMrsCKUIAAk6S3.jpg

2016-11-01T18:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793519583806181376

@Fiveeyezagent What direction are you facing in that photo.

2016-11-01T18:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793519177956941825

@Fiveeyezagent It looks like a single plane in a holding pattern. Not uncommon.

2016-11-01T18:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793519008473505792

@JonWilderness Well, I'm not spreading disinformation, and I'm not getting paid. So I'm not sure how that's relevant.

2016-11-01T18:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793518828667801600

@Fiveeyezagent It's possible it was a military flight, as you are close of Edwards AFB

2016-11-01T18:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793515618750205952

@Fiveeyezagent Would it change your mind if they were?

2016-11-01T18:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793515520083369984

@FacMagnaAmerica @TheYoungTurks You should look him up, he's a Trump supporter. http://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/arizona/2016/10/31/jew-s-a-chanter-goes-viral-second-time/93080418/

2016-11-01T18:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793514470144217088

@Fiveeyezagent Do you live in one place now?

2016-11-01T18:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793514320608886784

@Fiveeyezagent This isn't a job. I'm a retired videogame programmer. I do this because I enjoy it, and I think truth is important.

2016-11-01T18:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793514134167887873

@Fiveeyezagent Do you have the last 365 days documented?

2016-11-01T18:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793513868278456320

@Fiveeyezagent There's nothing I know of that involves arial spraying for SRM.

2016-11-01T18:03:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793513738024341504

@Fiveeyezagent I observe them all the time.

2016-11-01T18:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793513416337920000

@Fiveeyezagent #1 an increase in the number of contrails. #2 you paying a lot more attention.

2016-11-01T18:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793513148019986432

@Fiveeyezagent http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMf_uzUIAEkkLh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMf_uzUIAEkkLh.jpg

2016-11-01T18:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793512997029224448

@Fiveeyezagent Contrails lasted for hours back in the 1940s

2016-11-01T17:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793512912346238977

@Fiveeyezagent I have already explained that there was no spike.

2016-11-01T17:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793512752383832064

@Fiveeyezagent They say it happened "often", "frequently", "a common sight". You say "never". It's all the books vs. you.

2016-11-01T17:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793512190250582016

@Fiveeyezagent But they exist, and they disprove your recollection. Like this 1943 book.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMfAYPVUAEpm8C.jpg



10 CLOUDS AND WEATHER PHENOMENA

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMfAYPVUAEpm8C.jpg

2016-11-01T17:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793511882489417728

@Fiveeyezagent 1957. How could they claim this in 1957 if contrails did not persist?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMeyBsUMAAy-SY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMeyBsUMAAy-SY.jpg

2016-11-01T17:55:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793511684891561984

@Fiveeyezagent We are talking about you not remembering persistent contrails, and how that conflicts with the evidence in books and papers.

2016-11-01T17:54:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793511473553092608

@Fiveeyezagent But how could these lies work if contrail did not persist?

2016-11-01T17:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793511294674415617

@ElvisOnToast Either they ignore it because it conflicts with their memory, or they shift to "this has been going on for decades"

2016-11-01T17:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793511069788413952

@Fiveeyezagent So why did you not notice it?

2016-11-01T17:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793510948371738624

@Fiveeyezagent Think about all these books pre 1995. What's all that about exactly? How could it work if contrails did not persist.

2016-11-01T17:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793510731928907776

@Fiveeyezagent How could they claim contrails persisted back when they didn't? How would that even work?

2016-11-01T17:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793510496372559873

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMdlKTVUAEbL7W.jpg



The trail, of course, spreads and diffuses behind the aircraft, but if the air is generally saturated the trail cannot evaporate, or can do so only very slowly, and a very long and persistent trail is formed, as is well known. An excellent example of such a trail made by Fortress aircraft at 32,000 ft., which could be seen for some time from most parts of East Anglia, is shown on the cover photograph. If, on the other hand, the general relative humidity is low, the trail will evaporate behind the aircraft and will only appear as a short plume behind it. Actually, the really persistent trails are almost always associated with the supersaturation in the upper air, in which case the trail, once formed, thickens instead of evaporating, and after a few minutes snow can usually be seen falling from it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMdlKTVUAEbL7W.jpg

2016-11-01T17:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793510228738179073

@Fiveeyezagent Here's one from 1975, says about the same thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMdRBxUMAAuaBB.jpg



II.6.3 International Cloud Atlas, World Meteorological Organisation, 1975

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMdRBxUMAAuaBB.jpg

2016-11-01T17:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793510090783404032

@Fiveeyezagent So you think all the science books from the 1980s were wrong. Why didn't anyone notice? Surely that would be a huge story.

2016-11-01T17:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793509680475545600

@Fiveeyezagent Well, would you agree this was from a 1981 book:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMc1j6VMAAHLpi.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMc1j6VMAAHLpi.jpg

2016-11-01T17:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793509550607380480

@Fiveeyezagent Well, YOU are, but the photographic record, books on clouds, and science papers have not sen this.

2016-11-01T17:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793509377730711552

@Fiveeyezagent But if in 1980 there were NO persistent contrail, then everyone would immediately see the book was lying.

2016-11-01T17:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508969268391937

@Fiveeyezagent Why would a book published in the 1980s say that contrails often persist?

2016-11-01T17:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508841002446848

@Fiveeyezagent Nothing has changed (other than more planes, routes, etc)

2016-11-01T17:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508718092558336

@Fiveeyezagent Have you looked at any books on clouds published before 1995, see what they say about contrails.

2016-11-01T17:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508556452470784

@Fiveeyezagent That's not true, all the books on clouds say otherwise.

2016-11-01T17:42:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508452395986947

@Fiveeyezagent But lots of people saw them do that pre 1990s

2016-11-01T17:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508270010798080

@Fiveeyezagent It is impossible. So if conditions have not changed, and there's lot of accounts pre 1995 of persistent contrails, then...?

2016-11-01T17:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793508056818589696

@Fiveeyezagent Approximately. Like 2010? 1995?

2016-11-01T17:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507855655579648

@Fiveeyezagent The conditions are unchanged.

2016-11-01T17:38:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507644375863296

@Fiveeyezagent When did it change for you?

2016-11-01T17:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507569864060929

@Fiveeyezagent They are not. There's more traffic now, and you are paying more attention because of your interest in the "chemtrail" theory.

2016-11-01T17:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507402976862208

@Fiveeyezagent If cirrus clouds can last for hours, then why can't contrails last for hours?

2016-11-01T17:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507321443852289

@Fiveeyezagent And if it's -40Â°F up there, then why would ice clouds always quickly vanish?

2016-11-01T17:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507135015358464

@Fiveeyezagent So if conditions are right for clouds persisting more than seconds, then contrails can persist.

2016-11-01T17:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793507031541952514

@Fiveeyezagent That's physically impossible. Since contrails are clouds, they do what clouds do.

2016-11-01T17:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793506843779751936

@Fiveeyezagent Do you know what contrails? i.e. what they are made of?

2016-11-01T17:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793506713194205185

.@Thedyer1971 Kylie Jenner's questions were answered last year. @L117AA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMaCKEVMAA9pEf.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMaCKEVMAA9pEf.png

2016-11-01T17:33:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793506341826330624

@Fiveeyezagent Where in Conus?

2016-11-01T17:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793506273819930624

@Fiveeyezagent More traffic, cooler engines, more routes, higher routes, more business jets.

2016-11-01T17:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505968264929281

@Fiveeyezagent Where was this?

2016-11-01T17:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505913579577344

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen Or so you recall.

2016-11-01T17:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505842846806017

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen By you. That you remember.

2016-11-01T17:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505765902344193

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen And long persistent contrails.

2016-11-01T17:31:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505645722935296

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen Not true,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMZKwPVUAAi_mA.jpg

The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.
[....]
Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2 an almost solid contrail sheet reported to average 500 m in depth.



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMZKwPVUAAi_mA.jpg

2016-11-01T17:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505446132801536

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen Not according to that 1970 paper (46 years ago, a "familiar sight")

2016-11-01T17:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793505265421209601

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen And it also rains less in the SW. Contrail seasons are loosely linked to rain seasons.

2016-11-01T17:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793504948017168384

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen The paper says: "The spreading of jet contrails

into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight"

2016-11-01T17:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793503542656970753

@Fiveeyezagent @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen I don't see them every day, more like 10-20% of days. Where are you seeing them every day?

2016-11-01T17:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793502861233496064

.@Fiveeyezagent Typical contrails went horizon to horizon in 1969. http://contrailscience.com/files/1970-AMS-i1520-0469-27-6-937.pdf @naccio85 @trutherbotgreen http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMWaWoUkAIrmKP.jpg



938 JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES VOLUME 27

FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occurred on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2, an almost solid contrail sheet reported to average 500 m in depth.

Addressed directly to the question of the radiative effects of conventional and supersonic transport exhaust products, our research is aimed at determining, experimentally and by applied Mie absorption and scattering theory, the effects of contrails and contrail sheets on the atmospheric IR and solar thermal radiation budget and at reconciling calculations and observations. The investigations, currently conducted to 42,000 ft, will be extended to 65,000 ft within the year.

The research plan specifies the following objectives:

1) Observation of the IR transmissivity and scattering in the 10.0–12.0 μ spectral interval from flights over and under contrail sheets.

2) Observation of the upward, downard and reflected hemispheric solar radiant power from the aircraft platform.

3) Calculation by Mie theory of the IR transmissivity and scattering for monodispersed equivalent spherical ice particles of assumed 50 μ radii. This method is a modification of the one used by Zdunkowski *et al.* (1965), the main variable being the particle concentration.

4) Comparison of calculations of transmissivity and scattering with observations by iterating the radiative transfer solution on the particle concentration, the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CwMWaWoUkAIrmKP.jpg

2016-11-01T16:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793486399328489473

@naccio85 @trutherbotgreen Can you give some examples of this "widely admitted"? I don't think anyone admits to doing aerosol SRM

2016-11-01T15:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/793477187839983616

@JonWilderness Nothing.

2016-10-30T22:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/792853029108129793

@Kolyin @Reason4Reason @center4inquiry Discussion and link to paper. https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2016-10-30T20:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/792832158138191872

RT @Reason4Reason: "Expert Elicitation vs. Chemtrails" by @MickWest, a Sunday paper at #CSICon @center4inquiry #skepticism https://t.co/Eecâ¦

2016-10-29T19:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/792441076430667776

@tamarwilner Great CSICon talk. Concise and useful.

2016-10-24T19:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/790642902619742208

RT @JetPhotos: An @Alitalia 777 with aerodynamic contrails high above Poland on its way to Tokyo. http://www.jetphotos.net/photo/8405789 :copyright: Mirek ZagÃ³rskiâ¦

2016-10-22T16:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789871418410307585

@4thAnon I've read the ones that people say are evidence. Presumably someone is reading them all looking for evidence?

2016-10-22T16:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789870384493453312

@4thAnon I've read the emails. There's nothing earth-shattering there.

2016-10-22T04:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789686023156445184

@4thAnon What are they waiting for? They have nothing. There probably is nothing, just banal ordinary powerplays.

2016-10-22T04:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789684297556819968

@4thAnon So if you're all conspiratorial, if you can't trust Wikileaks to actually reveal a conspiracy, then can you trust any of the leaks?

2016-10-22T04:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789682748550098944

@4thAnon I did. Just a bunch of crank emails. Like with UFOs.

2016-10-21T21:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/789579578314362880

.@OpChemtrails That's an aerodynamic contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CvUma-aVMAA9Al7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CvUma-aVMAA9Al7.jpg

2016-10-19T23:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788891743060045824

@TPoliticmanager I think you missed the bit where they weighted the results by registered party.

2016-10-19T23:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788884709908451328

@davidicke That's probably just glitter.

2016-10-19T19:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788821151128563712

@ProfessorWerner So why exactly do you think contrails can't persist? All the books and science say they can.

2016-10-19T17:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788789941539000320

@Oswald1160 @ProfessorWerner @RalphMus Also: More routes (new flyover areas), and people move to flyover areas, so different traffic.

2016-10-19T14:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788744255409840128

@Chemtrails76200 Why do you think that?

2016-10-19T00:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788535459093749760

@4thAnon Irritating, but no major obstacle. The actual Politico story goes into more detail. http://www.politico.com/story/2016/10/hillary-clinton-trump-wikileaks-229864#ixzz4NM9gNqrX

2016-10-18T23:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788522630781415424

@ProfessorWerner @RalphMus A variety of reasons, varies by individual. I'm self funded.

2016-10-18T22:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788500129795878913

@ProfessorWerner @RalphMus Physically speaking, contrails ARE clouds. They can last as long as clouds do. Like hours.

2016-10-18T21:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788497068750835712

@TheSeverian @DoubtfulNews @BusyDrT Unfortunately that oversteps my politeness policy a tad.

2016-10-18T21:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788486245034827776

When the anti-vaccine movement joins forces with the chemtrail movement, who's taking a step down? https://www.metabunk.org/chemtrails-and-the-anti-vaccine-movement.t8060/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CvFD0nFVYAAY6BH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CvFD0nFVYAAY6BH.jpg

2016-10-18T18:26:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788446280028557313

@gradearadio Sicker than assassination? Really, which seems more plausible?

2016-10-18T18:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788444210101760000

@gradearadio @wikileaks "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg
2016-10-18T18:18:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788444065171857408
@realsaltytodd "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg
2016-10-18T18:17:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788443980845297664
@AAGMONEY1 "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-18T18:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788443897974263808

@Memory_of_Duck

There are several more reasonable explanations than assassination.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-18T18:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788443841917399041

@backscatter There are several more reasonable explanations than assassination.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-18T16:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788420407980195840

@stang289 What does that emails have to do with flooding the west wth Muslims?

2016-10-18T15:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788403760926040064

@stang289 You are not an anarchist Joe.

2016-10-18T15:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788403596681371648

@stang289 Like I'm happy to give up my right to dump garbage wherever I feel like it, if others also give up that right.

2016-10-18T15:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788403307031109632

@stang289 Sure you can. You support the rule of law don't you? Doesn't that impinge on individual freedom. It's a balance.

2016-10-18T14:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788387648083636224

@stang289 I believe in international treaties and the rule of law. I also believe in individual freedom. Balance is hard.

2016-10-18T04:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788239372650897408

@stang289 I'm voting for Hillary. She's not without problems, but a lot better than Trump.

2016-10-17T23:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788158330757709824

@4thAnon Good job we've got government regulation now, eh?

2016-10-17T20:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788121472262746112

@CindyMahanna @notalemming "Wet Works" has several other more plausible meanings.

Listed:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-17T20:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788114116091052033
@CindyMahanna @notalemming Where does it say she's going to have Scalia assassinated?

2016-10-17T20:09:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788109632350752768
@StoneColdTruth So why the capital 'V'? Martha's Vineyard.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg
2016-10-17T20:01:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788107799381225472
@CindyMahanna @notalemming Not always.

2016-10-17T19:24:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788098385311965184
@MagnificentOne_ @amybpotter @ChrisCuomo @NewDay That's not the ONLY use of the term though. Like "headhunting" has several meanings.

2016-10-17T19:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/788097991647203328
@CindyMahanna @notalemming There's several more reasonable explanations than assassination.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-17T19:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788097753838522368

@MagnificentOne_ @amybpotter @ChrisCuomo @NewDay "Wet work" is firings, or similar work. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-17T19:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788092590763614208

@notalemming Do you actually think assassination is more likely?

2016-10-17T18:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788086296606486528

@notalemming So which seems more likely to joke about over email. Personnel changes, or the assassination of a supreme court judge?

2016-10-17T18:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788082558651109377

@notalemming Debunk what? They didn't mention him. They are talking about aftermath of NH primary.

2016-10-17T18:02:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788077713290436608

@notalemming Did they mention Scalia?

2016-10-17T14:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788022433307435009

@preciousliberty "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-17T14:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/788019595785351168

@OpChemArchives Why exactly do you think they are NOT contrails?

2016-10-16T20:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787756320216625152

@TDennis17 How is that a debunking?

2016-10-16T19:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787741272379232256

@bringmethedank "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T19:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787741226220986369

@RandyBohlke "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T19:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787741134466355200

@Ric_Mellon "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T19:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787740767263465472

@wxdam Isn't that just for ballots in polling places?

http://www.snopes.com/dont-selfie-your-ballot/

2016-10-16T18:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787715012399763456

@mightymitchell1 "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T16:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787689936791048192

@DeploringHRC @JamesOKeefeIII @bitsy423 There's many different instances listed here:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-16T15:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787676634987900928

@TruthSeekTeller Pick one.

2016-10-16T15:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787676502825381889

@TruthSeekTeller The point is "wet work" DOES mean other things. and given the context this makes more sense.

2016-10-16T14:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787668855489298432

@TruthSeekTeller "Wet work" is firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787662344889389056

@AnnVandersteel He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787662289390358529

@retired9941 He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787662250756612096

@MasterHellKat He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787662235183091713

@BEWHallend He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787662175267454977

@Paul55770744 He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787661675763675136

@joepalojoe He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cu5WELNVMAES21D.jpg

2016-10-16T14:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787659574476091392

@Ange6H777 There are several more reasonable explanations than assassination.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-16T14:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787659485670215680

@johnny_rottham He's talking about firings or other political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-16T14:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787659416250175489

@deplorable111 @anonbloo He's talking about firings/political work after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-16T14:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787658546502443010

@DeploringHRC @JamesOKeefeIII @bitsy423 Several.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-16T04:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787513971976699904

@JuliaGulia809 Martha's Vineyard.

2016-10-16T00:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787444473957462016

@JunkScience Because they contain very little of interest. Even Breitbart struggles to find "dirt" there.

2016-10-15T21:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787408741779943424

@Granite_Hope Thank you!

2016-10-15T21:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787407963610763264

@Granite_Hope Martha's Vineyard. He's saying it's not going to be fun and games going forward. Note capital V.

2016-10-15T21:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787399796009029632

@Granite_Hope Did they reference assassinating a Supreme Court judge?

2016-10-15T19:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787377407053312000

@JuliaGulia809 Several possible reasons.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T19:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787377264233066496

@CHANNINKAIL @serpico1109 @BreitbartNews And lots of other things like firing people.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T19:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787377031856041984

@Granite_Hope Here are multiple usages of "wet works" to mean layoffs or ordinary political work. Includes Trump.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-firing-people-other-unpopular-tasks.t8043/

2016-10-15T19:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787368094024949760

@Granite_Hope No, he's is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T19:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787367992740810753

@xoskltl @emilyslist There are several more reasonable explanations than assassination.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-15T19:01:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787367925208326144
@ScottPresler There are several more reasonable explanations than assassination.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-15T18:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787355264882094080
@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein Sure, if you would like to acknowledge the other many other usages of "wet work"

2016-10-15T18:10:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787354952108617728
@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein So now you have lots of evidence of

it being used for something other than assassination

2016-10-15T18:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787352788359524352

@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein I supplied many other examples. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-firing-people-other-unpopular-tasks.t8043/

2016-10-15T17:49:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787349726387589120

@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein I think you need rather more than "wet works" to infer assassination.

2016-10-15T17:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787347068587171840

@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein I read this one, and others. Which ones do you recommend?

2016-10-15T17:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787346879260401664

@ChrisDClay @GilliadPellaeon @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein From the context of the emails. On the day of the NH primary.

2016-10-15T17:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787346213582413825

@shortman5427 Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T17:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787346050323353600

@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein "rang true"? Which actually turned out to be true?

2016-10-15T16:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787330738366459904

@Uniocracy Why wouldn't there be contrails over Cuba? Planes fly over it on their way to South America.

2016-10-15T16:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787325949016547328

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210 I'm defending truth.

2016-10-15T16:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787325811812552705

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210 The Koch brothers do "wet work". Are they assassins?

http://www.salon.com/2012/07/10/the_untold_story_of_koch_twins_and_mitt_romney/

2016-10-15T16:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787325601216471040

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210 Nixon did "Wet work" for Eisenhower. Did he kill someone?

http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2014/07/summer-of-nixon-109378_Page4.html#.WAJViZPyvmF

2016-10-15T16:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787325180045516800

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210 So Trump killed Rubio?

2016-10-15T16:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787324712997167104

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2015/10/trumps_wet_work_against_rubio_shows_character_assassination_works.html

---

2016-10-15T16:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787324468825722881

@LaDonnaRae53 @cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @rickybobby90210 Why? "wet works" is common phrase.

2016-10-15T16:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787322657544863744

@cautiousone1 @duchess1201 @LaDonnaRae53 @rickybobby90210 Or terminating people after NH.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T15:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787307114339241984

@ginah89121 @EricTrump @seanhannity There are several more reasonable explanations.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T14:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787306130019983361

@DclareDiane And also to speak of firing people, and general political trenchwork

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T14:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787305872749756417

@LibertyNews1776

Podesta is talking about firing people after the NH primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T04:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787151423897120769

@EllenSWilson @heifer109 @netwrkguy @infowars He's talking about firings after NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T04:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787151299238166529

@GWeinhaus @PamelaGeller @greeneyes0084 He's talking about firings after the NH primary.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T04:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787151073500725248

@tootietopcat Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T04:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787151025601777666

@JanetOrendorff Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T04:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787150432187457536

@4evertruther Perfect bill of health?

2016-10-15T04:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787142018749181952

@xiaozhu @hishboy maybe.

2016-10-15T01:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787098761604440064

@BigDog1940 Trump apparently uses wet work:

http://www.americanthinker.com/blog/2015/10/trumps_wet_work_against_rubio_shows_character_assassination_works.html

---

2016-10-15T00:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787089921676681217

@const_liberty1 He is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T00:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787089755246776320

@nanluvsmatt Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T00:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787089680651038720

@CharCharSSF Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-15T00:35:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787089554494754816
@pewdrdad Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-15T00:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787089494251966464
@rockynickydog Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T00:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787089464824696832

@aff4bc Podesta is talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-15T00:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787083148169400321

@ChrisDClay @GilliadPellaeon @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein He meant he was in for whatever came next after the NH disaster.

2016-10-15T00:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787082914055925760

@ChrisDClay @GilliadPellaeon @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein Were they asked? This is conspiracy theory territory.

2016-10-15T00:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787082784250667008

@ChrisDClay @H0rrible7 @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein Why would he? It's borderline silly.

2016-10-14T22:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787055457953165312

@Jon_W_Tweets @TheIlluminati He's talking about firings after the NH primary loss.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg

2016-10-14T22:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787051362982858752

@kookierthanmost So you think the campaign chair was joking about the upcoming murder of Scalia on email? Unconcerned by the NH loss?

2016-10-14T22:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787050781962665984

@kookierthanmost Just regular words. Like "terminated" is used for firing people as well as killing them.

2016-10-14T21:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787049120204623873

@kookierthanmost He's the campaign manager. They just lost NH by 20 points. Media predicted a bloodbath. Of course he's concerned.

2016-10-14T21:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787047518567698432

@dscottheath @ChrisDClay @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein Martha's Vineyard.

2016-10-14T21:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787047432752201728

@kookierthanmost Why not? Why is assassinating a supreme court judge more plausible that firing campaign staff after a bad loss.

2016-10-14T21:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787042906502631424
@kookierthanmost He's talking about firing people after the New Hampshire primary loss.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-14T21:29:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787042695277490176
@ChrisDClay @OnMessageForHer @maxdklein No, John Podesta is not talking about assassination
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-14T21:27:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787042158939287552
No, John Podesta is not talking about assassinating Scalia in that leaked email.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-fi

ring-people.t8043/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuwiY3yVIAAZxMY.jpg
2016-10-14T20:45:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787031720751095809
@mgreco84 It's not an assassination reference, it's a firing people reference.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T20:44:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787031413295943680
@BigDog1940 I did research, there are many instance of it being used in the context of firing people.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:56:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787019272895684609
@aviv1818 @co_firing_line Here's an explanation:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:29:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787012440428978176
@DaystoTrump By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:29:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787012418824183808
@BigDog1940 By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787012362939138048

@JoshLeCash By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787010255934808064

@moms4trump2016 By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787010197004836864

@RealSofiaScott By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787009302284283905

@TrumpWeTrust By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787009047228653569

@VeraVanzetti By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787008985257824256

@MagicMetalNinja By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/787008927506378752

@nick_ingrassi By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:14:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787008734568456192
@ReignsFreedom By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:13:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787008382758563840
@clasimpmv By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:13:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787008352211480577
@RealAlexJones By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787008238344482816
@femmemoyenne By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:11:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007998812000256
@Droz70 No, by "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:11:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007924568662016
@NetworksManager By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:11:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007839604580352
@MkMadonnakin By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:10:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007771551993856
@Puttcarp @johnpodesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:10:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007636050841600
@Best_Moomoo By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:10:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007570238070785
@halsteadg048 By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:09:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007520422232064
@brandiroberts99 By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:09:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007485244604416
@BEWHallend By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T19:09:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/787007462444371968
@kelseyw991 By "wet works" Podesta was referring to personnel changes after the New Hampshire primary that day.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-podestas-wet-works-refers-to-assassinations-it-refers-to-firing-people.t8043/

2016-10-14T16:11:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786962768616226817

@TchuTchx @Er_Nope @GeoeWatchDebunk Or: aerodynamics drop pressure. RHw goes over 100, contrails form. It's all just known science. Physics.

2016-10-14T16:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786962435005571072

@TchuTchx @Er_Nope @GeoeWatchDebunk It's still unclear what the problem is here. Combustion adds water, RHw goes over 100, Contrails form.

2016-10-14T15:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786957731022249985

@4thAnon How exactly is that "Hillary Clinton's Sins"?

2016-10-14T15:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786957348262645760

@4thAnon You haven't found any actual significant revelations yet? So what's being ignored?

2016-10-14T15:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786955594758393856

@4thAnon And what are these Wikileaks revelations that are not being covered? Pick the most serious one.

2016-10-14T15:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786955255183384576

@4thAnon Have you not heard the term "liberal media" before? It's quite popular in American culture.

2016-10-14T15:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786955065764384768

@4thAnon So all along the majority of people trusted the press? Until this article?

2016-10-14T15:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786952157064929281

@4thAnon Kimberley Strassel WROTE A BOOK on these precise claims. So it's nothing at all new from her.

https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01BKSLHL6/ref=dp-kindle-redirect?_encoding=UTF8&btkr=1

2016-10-14T15:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786949120233656320

@4thAnon I don't think that's anything new, coming from Kimberley Strassel

---

2016-10-14T15:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786946957755375618

@TchuTchx @GeoeWatchDebunk @Er_Nope @RealGeoEngWatch In contrails some does. So what's the problem exactly?

2016-10-14T14:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786933813574184964
@TchuTchx @GeoeWatchDebunk @Er_Nope @RealGeoEngWatch How can ice nucleate on ANYTHING when humidity is low? Physically impossible.

2016-10-13T00:05:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/786357200713244676
@4thAnon You tell me. Am I credible to you?

2016-10-13T00:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/786357077304238080
@4thAnon (not like you, just like her)

2016-10-12T23:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/786352148753690625
Beware of tweeting clickbait. You might end up with no credibility like @KellyannePolls
@4thAnon http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CumvE6YUsAAdK0O.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CumvE6YUsAAdK0O.jpg
2016-10-12T23:38:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/786350281143439360
@HealthRanger @pittfan51 Except for him not actually admitting it, just talking about possible future use.

2016-10-12T23:36:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/786349754938560512
@4thAnon Some singer sending crank email to John Podesta is not "Clinton told of 'Roswell

whistleblower' "

2016-10-12T23:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786344886626770945

@4thAnon Well, you keep posting clickbait....

2016-10-12T22:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786333927426318336

@4thAnon I don't like clickbait. It's a silly story, the headline is misleading.

2016-10-12T22:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786327997372522496

@4thAnon "e-mail was sent by former Blink-182 singer Tom DeLonge" Sounds legit!

2016-10-12T17:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/786252998968119296

@icedartgun A lot less than you.

2016-10-10T22:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/785615556820873216

@docsgenes @TchuTchx @GeoeWatchDebunk @dannodotcomm No, I only use @MickWest on Twitter

---

2016-10-10T19:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/785567003826282496

@Air_Site1 aka "ice"

2016-10-09T16:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/785160878500876288

@Uniocracy I'm curious as to why you think there would NOT be contrails over Cuba.

2016-10-08T22:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784878844368924672

@Lukewearechange Shocking? Did you actually READ what she said?

2016-10-07T23:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784539527662698496

@tman89123 Not always, no.

2016-10-07T21:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784509957441851392

@OpChemtrails So why do you have flowers?

2016-10-07T21:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784509826449539072

@QualityBat @Chemtrails_818 @Chemtrail_Watch @ChemtrailTruth @chemTrailActivi Contrails. The weather changed.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-were-there-contrails-today-but-not-yesterday-its-the-weather.t8003/

2016-10-07T21:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784505045094064128

Weather changes from day to day. Geoengineering would stay the same. Hence this is weather. @OpChemtrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuMfFTaUEAA5oaz.jpg

---

You've been lied to.
#chemtrails #aerosol blue sky vs. #bluesky
#OpChemtrails @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies
@TchuTchx



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuMfFTaUEAA5oaz.jpg
2016-10-07T21:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/784504064226668544
@jelo1317 @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz Perhaps one of these?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuMeR3vUkAApAN4.jpg

In reply to GeoEngineeringCanada



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:30 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Yes. Weather modification. Nobody is denying weather modification.

In reply to John Loeffler



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:30 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx How do you know this? Did someone sample a trail, find a virus?

In reply to Waking Warriors



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:29 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Again, those images are nothing new, and have physical explanations. Read a book on clouds.

In reply to Waking Warriors



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:28 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Watch the himawari 8 feed. Lots of different shapes. Eventually square. rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online…

In reply to John Loeffler



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:27 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Based on what evidence?

In reply to Waking Warriors



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:26 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Sometimes they have squarish angles. Not very often though.

In reply to John Loeffler



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:25 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Rather confuses the subject. Nobody denies weather modification.

In reply to John Loeffler



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:24 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx I'm discussing SRM. The "chemtrail" theory of geoengineering.

In reply to John Loeffler



Mick West @MickWest · 22h - 4:24 PM - 6 Oct 2016

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx So then you win th argument by definition. I'm not discussing weather

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuMeR3vUkAApAN4.jpg

2016-10-07T21:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784503432681885697

@jelo1317 @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz So what was the lie? You've still not told me what it was. QUOTE IT.

2016-10-07T20:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784487566594576384

@jelo1317 @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz If you can't quote it, then I'd say it does not exist.

2016-10-07T17:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784451748203077632

@tman89123 I'm not trolling. I'm explaining why contrails are forming today.

2016-10-07T17:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784450066316533760

@tman89123 It's the weather.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-were-there-contrails-today-but-not-yesterday-its-the-weather.t8003/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuLtJe5VUAAR-v3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuLtJe5VUAAR-v3.jpg

2016-10-07T17:27:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784445174042599424

@jelo1317 @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz Lies which you seem unable to quote even one of.

2016-10-07T16:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784438133400010752

@TchuTchx @jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz But this isn't really a mistake, just either wring terminology, or a joke.

2016-10-07T16:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784437976575070208

@TchuTchx @jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz ATC make mistakes. They once cleared me to land into a jet.

2016-10-07T16:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784437724488929280

@OpChemtrails @IloveAishaHaadi The first one is smoke, The other three are contrails.

2016-10-07T16:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784436420676943872

@opgeoengineeri1 @TchuTchx @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz Yes. It's as designed. Basically an overflow valve for thermal expansion.

2016-10-07T16:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784423374952857601

@TchuTchx @jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz Discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/chemtrail-off-your-left-wing-air-traffic-controller.t7977/ probably fuel leak.

2016-10-07T16:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784423105410191360

@jelo1317 What lie? Quote what I said.

2016-10-07T16:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784423040692006912

@jelo1317 What's to explain? Some people believe in covert geoengineering, and think they have evidence. The lawsuit will fail.

2016-10-07T05:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784260594547384321

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Yeah, it's a smoke screen. Smoke is an aerosol.

2016-10-07T04:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784249215144693760

@jelo1317 Weather modification has been done since the 1950s. SRM geoengineering is is just in the modeling stage of research.

2016-10-07T04:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784248895807234049

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx You would if the proposal was moving forward.

2016-10-07T00:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784194388532432896

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx What study? Why do an SRM health study unless we are likely to do SRM?

2016-10-07T00:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784193966329569283

@jelo1317 Respond to what? Ask me a question.

2016-10-07T00:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784193839690952704

@opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Dirt is about 7% aluminum.

2016-10-06T23:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784178058022445056

@TerrisRyan And how exactly would you know that? Can you point at anything I got wrong? Any lie?

2016-10-06T23:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784177523256995842

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx

http://www.weathermodification.org/images/AGI_toxicity.pdf

2016-10-06T23:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784176001211244544

@jelo1317 Responding to the regurgitated GEW images.

2016-10-06T23:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784175016048889856

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch I have.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHzAh-UMAEQqWn.jpg



▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.

Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHzAh-UMAEQqWn.jpg

2016-10-06T23:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784174046615183361

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Yes. Weather modification. Nobody is denying weather modification.

2016-10-06T23:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784173912829550592

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx How do you know this? Did someone sample a trail, find a virus?

2016-10-06T23:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784173809058275328

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Again, those images are nothing new, and have physical explanations. Read a book on clouds.

2016-10-06T23:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784173442937479168

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Watch the himawari 8 feed. Lots of different shapes. Eventually square.

http://rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online/loop.asp?data_folder=himawari-8/full_disk_ahi_true_color&width=800&height=800&number_of_images_to_display=300&loop_speed_ms=100

2016-10-06T23:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784173162523037696

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Based on what evidence?

2016-10-06T23:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784173015135244288

@sara8smiles @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Sometimes they have squarish angles. Not very often though.

2016-10-06T23:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784172744250302464

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Rather confuses the subject. Nobody denies weather modification.

2016-10-06T23:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784172579112091648

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx I'm discussing SRM. The "chemtrail" theory of geoengineering.

2016-10-06T23:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784172439404019712

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx So then you win th argument by definition. I'm not discussing weather mod

---

2016-10-06T23:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784172267907403777

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Which question? I don't think any form of SRM geoengineering is happening.

2016-10-06T23:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171844387516416

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Which docs? You might be confusing with cloud seeding.

2016-10-06T23:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171715056185344

@ThinkforYours @jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx Yes they have been doing that since the 1950s. It's not a secret.

2016-10-06T23:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171480938536960

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx But I don't think it is ongoing. So I'm not sure what you mean.

2016-10-06T23:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171362101321732

@ThinkforYours @jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @TchuTchx I genuinely don't think they are doing it yet.

2016-10-06T23:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171167724675072

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx I'm sure health effects would factor into future decisions.

2016-10-06T23:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171091950350336

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Kind of a moot point though, as we are not actually doing it yet.

2016-10-06T23:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784171009221853185

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Or like existing pollution just modified a bit.

2016-10-06T23:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784170929559523329

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Because it models natural processes, like volcanoes.

2016-10-06T23:17:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784170671374897152

@ThinkforYours @opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @courtskinz @TchuTchx No he didn't. He said it might be something we do in the future.

2016-10-06T23:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784170297624625153

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx don;t think there are any studies. No need, as there are no current plans.

2016-10-06T23:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784170185066360832

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Pick one.

2016-10-06T23:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784169765514334208

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Pick one.

2016-10-06T23:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784169730663784449

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx Depends on the type. Minimal though, slight change to pollution.

2016-10-06T23:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784169534831767552

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 What lies? Pick one.

2016-10-06T23:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784169119901888513

@jelo1317 @ThinkforYours @courtskinz @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 What questions? Pick one.

2016-10-06T23:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784168252565889024

@ThinkforYours @courtskinz @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Herndon only noticed contrails in 2013. Why is that?

2016-10-06T23:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784168113453400064

@ThinkforYours @courtskinz @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Don't most chemtrail folk claim it's new? Herndon, for e.g.

2016-10-06T23:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784167924013543424

@ThinkforYours @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz What technology exactly?

2016-10-06T23:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784167767536574464

@jelo1317 So what is the question?

2016-10-06T22:51:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784164114893385728

@jelo1317 @Masschemtrails Do you have some questions about it?

2016-10-06T21:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784144438079528961

@Masschemtrails @jelo1317 There are no questions in that document.

2016-10-06T21:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784144209607438336

@SGTROCKUSMC82 @RadicalRW @weatherchannel @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Rosalind Peterson is not the UN.

2016-10-06T21:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784140584462147585

@jelo1317 Really? Can you give a specific example of something I got wrong?

2016-10-06T21:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784140476559482880

@SGTROCKUSMC82 @RadicalRW @weatherchannel @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch No they didn't.

2016-10-06T21:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784140372251250688

@jelo1317 Ask away. What's the question?

2016-10-06T20:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784133224620445697

@jelo1317 I thought you might like a different perspective. But if you just want my take: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.t3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHM9Q1UkAAW7tx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHM9Q1UkAAW7tx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHM26xUEAARqNn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHM5QcVMAABQcC.jpg

2016-10-06T20:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784128498029912064

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx Here. I'll let Paul Joseph Watson explain it: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BlTeqBwf2Ic

---

2016-10-06T19:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784120392206077952

@BenjaminChemist @EliotHiggins And in this case the air was so dry there was no condensation at all.

2016-10-06T19:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784120035782434816

@jelo1317 @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx You posted a video. I explained what it was.

2016-10-06T19:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784119873341313024

@jelo1317 @VocalTranceUK @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 They are from the book "Spacious Skies", 1989.

2016-10-06T19:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784119626196070401

@jelo1317 How can it be "ongoing" if it's only a future possibility?

2016-10-06T19:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784119468376989696

Why were there contrails today, but not yesterday? It's the upper air weather. https://www.metabunk.org/why-were-there-contrails-today-but-not-yesterday-its-the-weather.t8003/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHAWJ6UIAE7tsS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuHAWJ6UIAE7tsS.jpg

2016-10-06T16:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784069203326226432

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx Those are aerodynamic contrails. It's foggy. High humidity.

2016-10-06T16:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784068925638062080

@jelo1317 @VocalTranceUK @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 I posted a bunch of photos. What link?

2016-10-06T16:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/784068766397108229

@jelo1317 Why wouldn't it be? It's something we might do in the future.

2016-10-06T05:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783897441527443456

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx I've flow directly over LAX myself. Why not?

2016-10-06T05:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783896923795173376

@opgeoengineeri1 @jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx Planes fly over airports on their way to other destinations.

2016-10-06T05:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783896646530760704

@jelo1317 @VocalTranceUK @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 It's on your list. So why?

2016-10-06T00:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783832594827796480

@VocalTranceUK @jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 So what does this one mean? https://www.google.com/patents/US5486900

2016-10-06T00:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783825477882359808

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 The theory makes no sense. Contradictory, and lacks any actual evidence.

2016-10-06T00:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783819276247453696

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 And why would they patent it AND make a 1952 Disney film about it?

2016-10-06T00:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783819108781391872

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Where does it say there will be lines in the sky?

2016-10-05T23:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783805276797358080

@jelo1317 @courtskinz @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 So if the sky has always looked like this, what's the evidence it changed?

2016-10-05T22:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783797776366710784

@jelo1317 So why did nobody notice for 60 years?

2016-10-05T22:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783797115768086528

@jelo1317 That's a cloud seeding patent.

2016-10-05T22:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783797015398404097

@courtskinz @jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 So it's been going on for decades, but people only just noticed?

2016-10-05T20:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783758849966604288

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz 1983: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4gjUVIAEAKhU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4gjUVIAEAKhU.jpg

2016-10-05T20:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783758668542205952

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz Complex pattern from 1983: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4VsyVUAAp6X7.jpg



▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.

Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4VsyVUAAp6X7.jpg

2016-10-05T19:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783758429416402944

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz 1978:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4Hw2VMAAaqj5.jpg



▲ 3.1c (20/2/78, 11:27 AM, IR)

3.1c The ice is at about its maximum extent, for it only very rarely reaches beyond Jan Mayen, and it can be seen how the wind drags fragments of ice off the edge to be melted over the open sea.

3.1 (b) and (c) are three days apart and so the speed of the ice movement can be determined by the displacement of identifiable pieces of ice. Displacements from almost nothing to 50km (31 miles) per day can be seen.

Across the bottom of this picture lines of cirrus originating over the high mountains of SE Greenland are being carried from the northwest.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB4Hw2VMAAaqj5.jpg

2016-10-05T19:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783758233395564544

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz 1982 example with ripples and persistent contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB34xiUEAAT0NU.jpg



▲ 7.10 (26/4/82, 15:10/13:29, 4)

7.10 This superb anticyclone has jetstreams all round it, the most intense being from the Azores to Iceland round the western side. This continues down Britain's east coast and is then renewed in strength from Central Europe into a low near Leningrad, which feeds cool northern air into Europe.

Iceland sparks off a brief trail of cirrus from its volcanic peaks; Norway sets up lee waves with a tiny streak of cirrus, and protects Denmark and part of Sweden from the cloud by its mountains. The moister air of the North Sea contains typical stratus or fog, while western Britain and Ireland have dry air in the middle of the high.

It is called a warm high because the high pressure is not due to cold air near the surface, but cold air in the stratosphere. The jetstream circulates anticyclonically around it, and this does not happen with a cold high.

A feeble Mediterranean low is over Sardinia, but the collection of convective storms over Morocco is producing a more convincing example. An old front residue circles round from south Portugal and further north its moist high level air supports condensation trails of the traffic from Europe.

This high lingered in this neighbourhood from 8 April to beyond the end of the month, shunting lows around its northern border.

In its centre are some beautiful feathery patches of cirrus. The land is black because it is warmer than the sea in this infra-red picture.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB34xiUEAAT0NU.jpg

2016-10-05T19:57:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783757934907928576

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 @courtskinz Example from 1980: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB2s4xVIAAMerj.jpg

2
EARTHWATCHING

EYES IN THE SKY

The bloodstream of the biosphere is exquisitely evident when viewed from space. In our generation it has become possible to see the Earth from outside. We can watch our local bit of sky every day, but our imagination yearns to go beyond. Art and literature show that wishful thoughts of riding upon the clouds have been common throughout the ages. The sky is where heaven used to be, but now we can reach even higher; transport aircraft take us into the stratosphere, and with satellites we have eyes in orbit.

In Chapter 7 we give a brief description of how we obtain satellite pictures, and what they mean. Here, a few scenes demonstrate the widened view to which we now have access. We are not interested merely in illustrating things we knew about before satellites broadened our perspective; we are looking for new patterns which had not been imagined before the advent of satellite photography.

All life is in the air, the soil, or the ocean; ours is in the air. We now look at the atmosphere, which is one of the three realms of the biosphere and was moulded by life from the lifeless geology of the young Earth into the semi-transparent mixture of pure nitrogen, pure oxygen, pure water vapour, and pure carbon dioxide which now makes our planet the jewel of the solar system.



▲ 2.2 (4/2/80, 14:17 PM, 2)

A REMOTE ISLAND

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CuB2s4xVIAAMerj.jpg

2016-10-05T19:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783755531534032896

@jelo1317 @RealGeoEngWatch Those look like regular cloud structures that have been observed since the dawn of space flight.

2016-10-05T19:46:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/783755307235192832

@oakleyfullwood Why are they bent then?

2016-10-02T05:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/782458718961086464

@NoScience4War It was discussed long before 2009

2016-10-01T13:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/782206941493800960

.@davidicke Here's a much larger sample size of actual contrail scientists talking about "chemtrail" evidence.

https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ctr06hvUsAA03uf.jpg

---



Figure 2. Presented with four different images of trails behind aircraft (a)–(d), experts uniformly responded that a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP) was not the most parsimonious explanation for the depicted phenomena (pie charts). In each case, the stacked bars show the experts' most common alternative explanations. The photo in part (b) has been reproduced with permission from Forest M. Mims III.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ctr06hvUsAA03uf.jpg

2016-09-29T22:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781614778255020033

@webbery Finally though, the most likely explanation is fuel overflow. Happens sometimes with 737s

---

2016-09-29T22:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781614557861179392

@webbery #3 The RH of 100% is RHI, not RHW. Sounding data uses RHW. So you'd need to convert it (not that it's relevant here)

2016-09-29T21:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781614175130877952

@webbery #2 the -40F is for formation of exhaust contrails. Takeoff contrails in Oregon are always aerodynamic contrails, not exhaust.

2016-09-29T21:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781613474858270720

.@webbery You've made a few errors in your reading of the Contrail Science page on hybrid

contrails. #1 it's about HYBRID contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtjZM3BUEAAyWhi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtjZM3BUEAAyWhi.jpg

2016-09-28T18:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781192810883977216

.@AntonioParis Probably a bit of dirt on the sensor, like here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.t3103/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ctdas9dUEAAfeqd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/Ctdas9dUEAAfeqd.jpg

2016-09-28T17:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/781181028832464897

@tonsmorecowbell Contrails and cirrus. The cirrus sheet was probably in part contrail induced. See this old book on the weather: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtdP7wPVIAAeSy-.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtdP7wPVIAAeSy-.jpg

2016-09-26T22:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780534850541359104

@GeorgeMurrayJr1 Border states just have more people in them.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T22:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780534773043245057

@Rock8541 Border states just have more people in them.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T22:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780534746837245953

@Lagartija_Nix Border states just have more people in them.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T22:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780534519895986176

@JaneyMackWriter Same list the NRA says is based on "secretive or unsubstantiated accusations" https://www.nraila.org/articles/20110419/why-nra-opposes-gun-control-supporters"

2016-09-26T22:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780534084346839040

@JaneyMackWriter Because they were on the watchlist. Notice none of them got arrested. You can be on that list and legally buy guns.

2016-09-26T21:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780526410901299200

@JaneyMackWriter just pointing out the article's problem. They were not "terrorist encounters", and they were not focussed in border states.

2016-09-26T21:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780524146543370240

@JaneyMackWriter How do you know what that number is? This is the SAME list the NRA said was too broad to use for banning gun sales.

2016-09-26T21:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780518072943714304

@JaneyMackWriter They were not "Terrorist Encounters", they were just matches on the 1.87 Million people screening database.

2016-09-26T20:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780505785272938496

@JaneyMackWriter Border states have more people in them. Just watchlist matches.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T18:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780476882135375873

@tomesimpson The point is it's not a border issue. The numbers were actually higher in Nevada than AZ. And CA and TX were about #19 & #20

2016-09-26T18:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780474613549588480

@tomesimpson The statistics don't match the article.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T18:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780474571606458369

@AmauryBrelet The statistics don't match the article.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T18:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780474535686512640

@DrMartyFox The statistics don't match the article.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T18:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780474422515728384

@LindaSuhler The statistics don't match the article.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-26T18:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780472985916276736

.@PolitixGal There's more terrorist watchlist matches in "border states" because that's where there are more people.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fbi-data-reveal-7-700-terrorist-encounters-in-us.t7960/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CtTL4RdUAAAGgDE.jpg

2016-09-25T16:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780082614472314880

@dwightyc Well you can always find "a few sites" that say ANYTHING. Why do you pick these particular sites to believe?

2016-09-25T16:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780080413465194497

@dwightyc Who said that?

2016-09-25T16:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780079919539757056

@dwightyc Haven't you done any research into how the photo came to be taken? Why not?

2016-09-25T16:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780078832766164992

@dwightyc Are you a Zetetic?

2016-09-25T16:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780077823901827072

@dwightyc why do you think?

2016-09-25T16:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780074627615723520

@dwightyc The parents who had to bury their children. Most of the community of Newtown (27,000) knowing someone who buried their children.

2016-09-25T15:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/780070766419202050

@dwightyc No.

2016-09-21T16:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/778627732657672192

@AChillGhost @Artemis_In_Love Generally the planes just LOOK like they are at the same altitude. 1000 feet can make all the difference

---

2016-09-21T16:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/778626999162015744

RT @JMulliganRNZ: .@mickwest spends a lot of time proving conspiracy theorists wrong http://www.radionz.co.nz/national/programmes/afternoons/audio/201817013/debunking-conspiracy-theories

---

2016-09-20T04:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/778091604112449537

@ColdDimSum Discussed here, and next three posts by me. https://www.metabunk.org/lake-balaton-laser-experiment-to-determine-the-curvature-of-the-earth-if-any.t7780/page-4#post-188215

2016-09-20T04:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/778090773707382784

@ColdDimSum It makes very little difference to the general shape of the surface of the lake though.

2016-09-17T00:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776948252289609728

@webbery It doesn't.

2016-09-16T16:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776824908768800768

.@webbery A more detailed explanation of why radiosonde data does not perfectly match local contrail observations. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CsfWC-OWgAAR6Qo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CsfWC-OWgAAR6Qo.jpg

2016-09-16T15:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776797965998518273

@webbery check the wind speeds above 30000 feet. Typically 50-100 mph. New air every hour.

2016-09-15T22:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776544460087885824

@webbery The site is working fine, but as discussed it's not very useful for high altitude local humidity. http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html

---

2016-09-15T22:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776543553883410433

@webbery And the radiosondes generally stop working for humidity when it gets below -40. Inaccurate regardless. See: http://radiometrics.com/data/uploads/2012/11/Refsonde-GRL.pdf

---

2016-09-15T22:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776542972762583041

@webbery Secondly, weather soundings are a terrible way of finding local high altitude humidity. Too widely spaced.

2016-09-15T22:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/776542745922039808

@webbery Sure. Firstly you need >100%RHi (about 60% RH) regardless of there being condensation nuclei, So it's not an indication of nuclei

---

2016-09-11T21:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/775078002459684864

@alucientes It's pretty sad that these guys are continuing with their confirmation bias.

2016-09-10T20:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/774712943896252416

@Erica4NY @bluzzzzman There's a few different types. Some precipitate like long hole-punch clouds, some just rip the layer up with downwash.

2016-09-10T20:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/774704915457249282

@Erica4NY @bluzzzzman http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CsBOA4CUIAADsbs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CsBOA4CUIAADsbs.jpg

2016-09-10T20:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/774704744434503680
@Erica4NY @bluzzzzman It's a distrail

2016-09-10T16:18:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/774643202183663616
@joerogan Well one Flat Earther does, I think this is a tad too crazy even for the FE true believers.

2016-09-09T14:43:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/774256979501907970
@mmdevoe Distrails. That's a thin layer of
cloud, and passing planes have created rips in in. The opposite of contrails.

2016-09-09T14:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/774254510365474817
@chucksteakpt @SteerMark So what are you predicting for the next five years? We can come back and check.

2016-09-09T00:12:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/774037742594379777
@chucksteakpt @SteerMark Things change. Why attribute it to a secret government spraying program. Nobody predicted bee die-offs.

2016-09-08T19:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773969133062922240
@chucksteakpt @SteerMark Seriously though. Have any of the predictions come true? Go back to 1999 chemtrail theory. What has changed?

2016-09-08T18:18:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773948615601434624
@SteerMark So where do you think things will be in five years? What did you think five years ago?

2016-09-08T01:38:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773697081810706433
@ArlenaDellic @Oswald1160 Generally that would be cirrus haze or pollution (forest fires, for example)

2016-09-08T00:55:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773686174477004800
@ArlenaDellic @Oswald1160 Droughts happen.

2016-09-07T15:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773546045016014848
@OpChemtrails Well they are in textbooks from back then. Maybe you just did not read those books?

2016-09-07T03:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/773365114430861312
@ArlenaDellic weather is not climate.

2016-09-06T22:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773288056950394880

@AncapTV @trutherbotornge Let me know when you find a quote

2016-09-06T21:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773270869162332160

@AncapTV @trutherbotornge I asked.

What's the source?

2016-09-06T20:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773256207314264064

@AncapTV @trutherbotornge Assuming what is made up? I've not seen anything yet.

2016-09-06T18:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773231252879003648

@AncapTV @trutherbotornge Of course. Find me where the UN says GE is our only fighting chance.

2016-09-06T18:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773225415204757504

@trutherbotornge No they don't, and no it isn't.

2016-09-06T18:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773225006385893376

@ArlenaDellic Well, cloud seeding being a secret is not real. It's always been public knowledge.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

2016-09-06T17:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773216069246853120

@davechanedm It's a hierarchy. 9/11 truthers don't all believe in Flat Earth. But all Flat Earth believers are 9/11 truthers.

2016-09-06T17:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773214846322028544

@ArlenaDellic Because it's not real. Why would I debunk something that was real?

2016-09-06T16:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773198173091139584

Simulation of what the Moon looks like from some unusual viewpoints.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jdkMHkF7BaA

2016-09-06T16:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773195318795960320

@ArlenaDellic

https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/

2016-09-06T15:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773179332868976640

@ArlenaDellic And why NOT listen to the 77 scientists in our study?

2016-09-06T15:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773179219924758529

@ArlenaDellic Why would you listen to Dane Wigington, he's just a former electrician and real estate developer?

2016-09-06T13:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773154283457875973

@ArlenaDellic No, that's just how I look.

2016-09-06T13:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773154221323497472

@ArlenaDellic I'm a retired videogame programmer

2016-09-06T05:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773028649935056896

@ArlenaDellic And I didn't see any other questions from you.

2016-09-06T05:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773028574517342212

@ArlenaDellic That's silly. How long should I have waited to deny it?

2016-09-06T04:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/773021847491268608

@ArlenaDellic Nobody pays me.

2016-09-06T01:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772977439089627136

@ArlenaDellic I'm a human. And those are normal aerosolized contrails. Clouds are aerosols.

2016-09-05T14:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772799658527567878

@alucientes But I can stack the weight of 500 cans on a single can without it failing. Is the can 100x as strong as the WTC?

2016-09-04T21:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772542851469025280

@jelo1317 @docsgenes @TchuTchx @opgeoengineeri1 Do you have a specific question?

2016-09-04T18:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772500331666092032

@docsgenes @jelo1317 @TchuTchx I never resort to verbal abuse and insults. Please post examples if you disagree.

2016-09-04T16:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772464839167844352

@jelo1317 The distance is important if you want to identify the planes on FR24. People often look far too close to where they are.

2016-09-04T16:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772464614940418048

@jelo1317 Like these? So you can identify the livery.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhYda8UkAAaKG0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhYda8UkAAaKG0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhYU4XUMAAz_Se.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhYQOBUIAAcwV5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhYTfyUIAAmFdu.jpg

2016-09-04T15:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772464127084154881

@jelo1317 Do you have photos of the planes then?

2016-09-04T15:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772464039326724097

@jelo1317 I'd be happy to address specifics.

2016-09-04T15:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772458041597845504

@jelo1317 Get yourself a P900, take some photos of the planes that actually come close. You'll see.

2016-09-04T15:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772457806611963904

@jelo1317 Those contrails are fairly close to the horizon, meaning maybe 20+ miles away.

2016-09-04T15:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772457610276503552

@jelo1317 Sure they do (at least most of them). Takes a bit of practice to track them down though. Perspective is hard.

2016-09-04T15:32:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772457374154051584

@jelo1317 Some even from different countries. You are ina busy flyover area.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhR21EVIAAJLNK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhR21EVIAAJLNK.jpg

2016-09-04T15:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772456374169051136

@alucientes Drop a can on a can from it's own height. No damage. Drop WTC7 on WTC7 from it's own height. Major damage. Is the can stronger?

2016-09-04T15:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772455886375661568

@jelo1317 They are not actually GOING to La Crosse. They are flying to and from distant cities. Flyover. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhQbYbUIAAzut2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrhQbYbUIAAzut2.jpg

2016-09-04T14:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772447628416004096

@alucientes But it has been explained. You are just ignoring the explanation.

2016-09-04T14:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772447516730155008

@alucientes So you could take five WTC7's, smush them into a ball, and drop that on top of the WTC, and it would be fine?

2016-09-03T15:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/772096855811452928

@alucientes You forget the square cube law.

2016-09-02T14:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771720216338313216

@alucientes Yes, but the SITUATION was not normal. "Normal" bacteria can kill you without antibiotics.

2016-09-02T14:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771715792840691712

@alucientes Individual column failure would very rapidly progress to adjacent columns.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CrWva2vUEAAV5pb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CrWva2vUEAAV5pb.jpg

2016-09-02T12:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771692072864669700

@Zuluking709 Contrail.

2016-09-02T12:51:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771691956317540353

@doctorbuttons @ColdDimSum I'm pretty happy with my method, as it gives you measurable pixels. Might revisit this later.

2016-09-02T04:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771571176481001473

@ColdDimSum @doctorbuttons I'd need to see a diagram.

2016-09-01T23:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771493849843666944

@ColdDimSum @doctorbuttons I also get 16.57, using a slightly simpler method.
https://www.metabunk.org/are-lynchs-horizon-calculations-correct.t7877/#post-189836

2016-09-01T18:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771418585163894784

@ad_holland Clip art. http://www.freeimages.com/photo/softgel-capsule-1325982

2016-09-01T16:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771390000646631424

@alucientes But yes. It collapsed inside first (see the penthouse fall), then the shell collapsed quickly.

2016-09-01T16:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/771389751823704064

@alucientes Not exactly normal, as there was also a lot of damage to the south side, and lack of firefighting.

2016-08-30T19:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770708583130370048

@naccio85 Meaning there's no fuel wasting, just two planes crossing tracks.

2016-08-30T16:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770665017020604416

@naccio85 It's two different planes.

2016-08-29T17:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770317548671737856

@Stinkbomb87 @_Moonwaterz_ @joerogan Joe had this figured out long before he had me on the show.

2016-08-29T14:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770272990848090114

@charliebadger99 @SmithTarquin Actually due to the refractive index, it can ONLY be water.

2016-08-29T14:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770265491222822912

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ All I did was move the camera back

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrCIRX6UsAA-r-C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrCIRX6UsAA-r-C.jpg

2016-08-29T14:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770264525983457281

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ The camera is on a tripod, level with the center of the globe. The angle is unchanged.

2016-08-29T13:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770258231880847362

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ Case reopened. :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrCBvj9UsAAG1KF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CrCBvj9UsAAG1KF.jpg

2016-08-29T02:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770080549461516288

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ It demonstrates the NASA photos are consistent with a globe.

2016-08-29T01:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770078223556370433

@Red4Eva71 @Fliipp_ @EyeonicDJ @JimJerrolds the CGI. Do the math.

2016-08-29T01:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770075306002702336

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ Zoom on the "far" shot. Compare with the near shot above. Exact same angle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_baHQWYAAPg9s.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_baHQWYAAPg9s.jpg

2016-08-29T01:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770075130022199301

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_bP3lXgAAWyfr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_bP3lXgAAWyfr.jpg

2016-08-29T01:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770074990515453952

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_bHw6W8AAcAkx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_bHw6W8AAcAkx.jpg

2016-08-29T01:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770074831752658944

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_a-efXYAMjFgC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_a-efXYAMjFgC.jpg

2016-08-29T01:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770074697514029057

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ It's the same angle here:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_a2qlWcAEPWQy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_a2qlWcAEPWQy.jpg
2016-08-29T01:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/770069373826498560
@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_WAqaXgAA7Wqx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_WAqaXgAA7Wqx.jpg
2016-08-29T01:23:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/770069206343749633

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_VsPWWAAAfLwf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cq_VsPWWAAAfLwf.jpg

2016-08-29T01:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770065009560936448

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ @Fliipp_ So go take one with the exact same angle. What do you think will happen?

2016-08-28T21:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/770016318326247426

@Red4Eva71 @Fliipp_ @EyeonicDJ @JimJerrolds So science it. Do the math.

2016-08-28T19:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/769975657032024064

Full-disk images of the earth every 10 minutes http://rammb.cira.colostate.edu/ramsdis/online/loop.asp?data_folder=himawari-8/full_disk_ahi_natural_color&width=800&height=800&number_of_images_to_display=240 @Fliipp_ @EyeonicDJ @JimJerrolds

---

2016-08-28T16:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/769938317425057792

@EyeonicDJ @JimJerrolds https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k0Xp61PaP0I

2016-08-28T13:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/769884738093932544

@JimJerrolds @EyeonicDJ I walked.

2016-08-26T01:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768979061565632512

@AlbertarocksTA @opgeoengineeri1 This is from 1787, and shows the various colors of the sky.

http://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2014/05/this-is-how-people-once-measured-the-blueness-of-the-sky/370821/

2016-08-25T18:01:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768871058015346688

@sara8smiles Here's some near an airport, but they can happen anywhere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CquUHsxUsAASIFG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CquUHsxUsAASIFG.jpg

2016-08-25T18:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768870683086458880

@sara8smiles Not normal, but still quite ordinary. Usually done for arrival spacing.

2016-08-25T17:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768868125009784832

@sara8smiles Looks like a high altitude hold.

2016-08-25T17:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768867737082863620

@NWOwillnotOWNme @karentalford66 I did, and I wrote it up here:

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-we-are-opposed-around-the-world-by-a-monolithic-and-ruthless-conspiracy.t162/

2016-08-25T15:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768829055042846720

@TamaraAlexis #perspective. That's a plane in level flight flying overhead.

2016-08-25T14:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768822182617067520

.@karentalford66 The "chemtrail" bill, HR 2977, was WRITTEN by conspiracy theorists: Carol Rosin and Alfred Webre. http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2016-08-25T00:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768607912126091264

@Maj_Earthquake @DoctorKarl And the size of the sun will change slightly, due to elliptical orbit.

2016-08-25T00:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768607531748831232

@Maj_Earthquake @DoctorKarl Yes, the stars will move relative to the sun.

2016-08-24T19:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768532057102307328

@OpChemtrails Sunsets actually vary quite a lot.

2016-08-24T15:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768473563804282880

@OpChemtrails How do you know?

2016-08-24T05:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768318530408239104

@weatherwarsinfo Did you read it?

2016-08-23T23:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/768222485481332736

Some contrails. Now why can't we get footage of the "chem" planes this good? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=28trJ8FdHO0

2016-08-23T04:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767942086809178112

@Kal1_Furies Well, thank you for your considered opinion. Now what?

2016-08-23T04:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767935594223632385

@Kal1_Furies are there errors in my recent MB posts about GW claims?

2016-08-23T04:03:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767935224260890624

@Kal1_Furies GW is just the largest collection of claims, and is frequently cited by believers.

2016-08-23T04:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767934851609530377

@Kal1_Furies but one needs something to compare it to in the subject domain.

2016-08-23T02:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767914165113458688

@Kal1_Furies did you review Herndon's paper?

2016-08-23T02:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767908796391796736

@Kal1_Furies no standards for GeoengineeringWatch then?

2016-08-23T02:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767908505902723072

@Kal1_Furies well, let's see what other academics have to say about it.

2016-08-23T00:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767884374587023360

@Kal1_Furies Data outweighs opinion, but the point here is that the chemtrail community has no data.

2016-08-23T00:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767884094818574342

@Kal1_Furies It's like "poorly worded questions", vs. "rejection of known physics"

2016-08-23T00:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767883790714744832

@Kal1_Furies I'm wondering why you are spending time nit-picking our paper, but ignoring huge factual errors on Dane's site?

2016-08-23T00:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767881868033269762

@Kal1_Furies And it explains many of the problems with chemical tests.

2016-08-23T00:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767881649631604736

@Kal1_Furies It's certainly in keeping with the response of all atmospheric scientists AND meteorologists I've ever heard talk about SLAP

2016-08-23T00:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767881354746867713

@Kal1_Furies Perhaps, and yet I think it gives a very clear picture of mainstream science's opinion on SLAP evidence.

2016-08-23T00:22:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767879549556183044

@Kal1_Furies yes, "fourteen" should have been "four". Thanks!

2016-08-23T00:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767878445573378048

@Kal1_Furies Hence we need to be specific. We asked specific questions to experts, and we got specific answers. No evidence of SLAP.

2016-08-23T00:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767878305328484352

@Kal1_Furies Obviously if you asked broad questions like "is geoengineering happening", then the answer could be yes. CCS is considered GE.

2016-08-23T00:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767877896597745664

@Kal1_Furies The point of the paper was about SLAPs, I don't get the focus on non-SLAP forms of geoengineering.

2016-08-23T00:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767877508196814848

@Kal1_Furies Did you write this?

2016-08-23T00:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767875771713003521

@Kal1_Furies And since the chemtrails theory IS a SLAP, then it shows theres no evidence for chemtrails?

2016-08-23T00:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767875547032453120

@Kal1_Furies So you would agree then that the study show there's no evidence of a secret large scale atmospheric spraying program?

2016-08-22T23:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767866525147463681

@chucksteakpt So why has he never mentioned aerodynamic contrails?

2016-08-22T22:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767855134483230720

@chucksteakpt Do you think Dane has ever read a book on contrails?

2016-08-22T22:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767855003306369024

@chucksteakpt How does he and "everyone else" know this? Scientists disagree. Books Disagree. Physics Disagree. Meteorologists Disagree.

2016-08-22T22:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767844982933688320

@chucksteakpt And what does he know about this type of trail that you do not?

2016-08-22T21:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767839288268460032

@chucksteakpt Do YOU think they are irrefutable evidence?

2016-08-22T20:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767822268680380417

Why do chemtrail promoters say videos of aerodynamic contrail are "Irrefutable" evidence? https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-irrefutable-film-footage-of-climate-engineering-aerosol-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.t7856/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqfZ7lSUkAASskY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqfZ7lSUkAASskY.jpg

2016-08-22T14:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767729672822018048

@CriticalSauce @AllanRTate Those believers would discard the study regardless, as they think @KenCaldeira is part of a "conspiracy".

2016-08-21T21:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767481086792249344

@redding_red You can't find any lies?

2016-08-21T17:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767418096546029568

@redding_red So expose my lies then. Pick one lie I made. Quote it. Explain why it is wrong.

2016-08-21T16:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767400055758127105

@redding_red I point out mistakes. Feel free to rebut.

2016-08-21T16:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767391755247296512

@redding_red Yes, why are you posting photos that were explained many years ago?

2016-08-21T05:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/767226139777478656

@KindOrgone skewed in favor of those who don't dismiss chemtrails as obviously ridiculous.

2016-08-20T12:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766971944684048385

@chysicalphemist Nope. It seems to be a word invented by Flat Earthers.

2016-08-20T12:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766971550415196160

@TerrytheCensor Depends who you ask. Either way it does not make sense.

2016-08-19T19:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766722664962461696

Amazing close-up video of the sun setting. Great to show to Flat Earthers.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U3MdY_-xL8g

2016-08-19T17:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766686596087197700
@tonsmorecowbell And what about the scientists?

2016-08-19T17:11:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766684013301936129
@tonsmorecowbell @Nate_Hamilton We did not tell the scientists what to say. We simply asked them what the photos and tests indicated.

2016-08-19T14:51:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766648796650418176
@4thAnon Well, he knows where to reach me.

2016-08-19T13:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766627730808909824
@4thAnon @Traceyhunter71 PR is ancient. Bernays was a very good self-publicist.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_public_relations

2016-08-19T13:23:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766626716303306752
@4thAnon Hello!

2016-08-19T00:55:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766438451717615616
@4yoinfopeeps So why are we not all dead?

2016-08-18T22:08:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766396385935536128
@Kal1_Furies @dean_anonym I'm interested in why. Is there some methodological error?

2016-08-18T22:08:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766396263558295552
@Kal1_Furies @dean_anonym We submitted it to the journal. They reviewed it. Edits were made at the reviewers suggestions, it was published.

2016-08-18T21:59:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766394030657638400
@Kal1_Furies @dean_anonym Summarized in the paper. What do you feel is missing?

2016-08-18T20:59:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/766378897801818112
@_HFSelfMade_ @liamverse @milanzissou You can look it up.
http://www.nasa.gov/centers/dryden/about/Organizations/Technology/Facts/TF-2004-14-DFRC.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqK5i3bUMAAq_Db.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqK5i3bUMAAq_Db.jpg

2016-08-18T14:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766273582313857024

@Kal1_Furies @dean_anonym Yes, q are linked in the paper:

http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

http://www.nearzero.org/elicitation/review/a2592e56-cb21-4849-baa2-560d456707c8

http://nearzero.org/elicitation/review/d172e2d8-89fa-4bcc-a90f-c28a58bc7cf0

2016-08-18T00:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766068092770201600

@liamverse @milanzissou Your photo is of a NASA plane with smoke generators studying turbulence. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqGe1d6XgAAokFi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqGe1d6XgAAokFi.jpg

2016-08-18T00:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766067409673256960

@dean_anonym Yes, that's quite common in open-access journals.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Article_processing_charge

2016-08-17T20:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766007790590529536

@DarrenRafferty @JanJohnsonFL @Chemtrails762 Because soil is about 1% to >10% aluminum. http://mrdata.usgs.gov/soilgeochemistry/#/detail/element/13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqFn1LYUIAA91EU.jpg

ALUMINUM



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqFn1LYUIAA91EU.jpg

2016-08-17T20:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766006167432630273

@dean_anonym I'm not sure "sponsor" is the right word. Maybe "co-author"?

2016-08-17T20:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766005995990491136

@JanJohnsonFL @DarrenRafferty @Chemtrails76200 If you've ONLY JUST noticed something folk have talked about for decades. When did it start?

2016-08-17T20:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766004977374416898

@RedMedusa13 @charliebadger99 Last one is a contrail. I'd say about 10-15 min old. High small planes are hard to see.

2016-08-17T20:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/766004632606748672

@DarrenRafferty @JanJohnsonFL @Chemtrails76200 When did you first notice it?

2016-08-17T19:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765993404140367872

@AveEuropa Normal contrails do sometimes. It depends on the weather.

2016-08-17T19:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765988159272292352

@JanJohnsonFL @Oswald1160 @OpChemtrails Clouds can persist. Contrails are Clouds. So why can't Contrails persist?

2016-08-17T18:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765986262004043776

@JanJohnsonFL @Oswald1160 @OpChemtrails Look it up. Why exactly do you think contrails

cannot persist?

2016-08-17T18:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765986063009533953

@Chemtrails76200 @JanJohnsonFL @OpChemtrails That is correct.

2016-08-17T17:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765959438800818177

@JanJohnsonFL @OpChemtrails No, I see the trails. Obviously the trails are there. However they look EXACTLY like contrails.

2016-08-17T17:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765959243925106688

@shirl_joseph Recently 49 atmospheric science experts were asked about this photo. They said: just a contrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqE7yKFVUAEKTec.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqE7yKFVUAEKTec.jpg

2016-08-17T17:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765958497389248513

@shirl_joseph No, they are ice crystals refracting light. In fact they can ONLY by ice crystals based in their refractive index.

2016-08-17T17:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765958279004499968

@JanJohnsonFL @OpChemtrails I say there's no EVIDENCE they exist. Maybe they exist but don't leave evidence?

2016-08-17T16:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765955016565723136

@OpChemtrails So basically you now think that "chemtrails" are contrails. As that's what that research is about.

2016-08-17T16:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765954781592510464

@shirl_joseph You can see contrails from 100 miles away, so it's hard to limit them to "wealthy" areas. You see them over London.

2016-08-17T16:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765950856080437248

@JanJohnsonFL So what makes them any more experts than me? Dane is a former electrician and real estate developer.

2016-08-17T16:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765950569903030272

@JanJohnsonFL And why do you think those are not photo of contrails?

2016-08-17T15:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765936820391600128

@JanJohnsonFL Okay, who? And what makes them an expert?

2016-08-17T15:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765931895863377920

@OpChemtrails Why do light pillars worry you? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Light_pillar

---

2016-08-17T15:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765931595341541377

.@OpChemtrails No matter what your beliefs are, you can always find someone who shares them. That does not make them right.

2016-08-17T15:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765930242867236864

RT @benshapiro: I Know Trump's New Campaign Chairman, Steve Bannon. Here's What You Need To Know.
http://www.dailywire.com/news/8441/i-know-trumps-new-campaign-chairman-steve-bannon-ben-shapiro?utm_source=twitter&utm_medium=social&utm_content=news&utm_campaign=twitterbenshapiro https://t.co/fsq5â¦

2016-08-17T15:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765929802322677761

.@Dan_E_V Do you understand that the "geoengineering footprint" is the "dirt footprint"?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEhCGUUkAAEA8I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEhCGUUkAAEA8I.jpg

2016-08-17T15:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765929416165687298

@Dan_E_V So where are the patents that mention Aluminum, Barium, or Strontium METAL for geoengineering?

2016-08-17T15:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765928600235233282

@Dan_E_V Do you understand the difference between Aluminum and Aluminum oxide? Metal vs. Rock? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEf8tEUIAA1qPG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEf8tEUIAA1qPG.jpg

2016-08-17T14:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765924427087224832

@JanJohnsonFL Skewed by what? Those are experts on contrails, they said the photos look like contrails. Find a contrail expert who does not.

2016-08-17T14:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765916141260681216

@JanJohnsonFL No, that's why I'd refer you to the real scientists in our study.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEUn3jUsAARC0F.jpg

- Andrew Carleton, Penn State University
- Andrew Heidinger, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Andrew Heymsfield, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Andrew J Weinheimer, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Brian A Ridley, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bruce Anderson, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bryan Baum, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Charles A Brock, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Charles E Kolb, Aerodyne Research
- Christine Fichter, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Christos Zerefos, University of Athens
- Cynthia Twohy, NorthWest Research Associates
- Darrel Baumgardner, Droplet Measurement Technologies
- David Doelling, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Kratz, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Lee, Manchester Metropolitan University
- David Lewellen, West Virginia University
- David J Travis, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
- Donald P Garber, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Eleftheratos Konstantinos, University of Athens
- Gaby Radel, University of Reading
- Guy Febvre, Observatory of Atmospheric Physics at Clermont-Ferrand
- Hartmut Grassl, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Jack Dibb, University of New Hampshire
- Karen Rosenlof, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Klaus Gierens, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Larry Miloshevich, Milo Scientific
- Markus Garhammer, Ludwig-Maximilians-University
- Matthias Tesche, Stockholm University
- Michael Ponater, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Michael Prather, University of California, Irvine
- Otto Klemm, University of Muenster
- Patrick Minnis, National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Piers Forster, University of Leeds
- R Paul Lawson, Stratton Park Engineering Company
- Rabi Palikonda ,National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Reinhold Busen, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Robert Sausen, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- Robert Talbot, University of Houston
- Ru-Shan Gao, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Sonia M Kreidenweis, Colorado State University
- Stephan Bakan, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Tatiana Khokhlova, University of Washington
- Thilo Stilp European, Aviation Group for Occupational Safety and Health
- Tove Svenby Norwegian, Institute for Air Research
- Ulrich Schumann Institute, of Atmospheric Physics
- Ulrike Burkhardt, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Volker Grewe, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- William L Smith, National Aeronautics and Space

Every single one of these scientists rejected the hypothesis that the four photos shown were evidence of a secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP). They all identified the trails as contrails, and gave scientific explanations for the effects seen in each photo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqEUn3jUsAARC0F.jpg

2016-08-17T14:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765915845155446784

@Dan_E_V It mentions specifically "Aluminum oxide", which is what sandpaper is made of. NOT aluminum metal.

2016-08-16T21:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765667179178004480

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 What patent is strontium in? What patent has metallic aluminum or barium?

2016-08-16T21:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765666998042828801

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 Yes, and he agrees there's no geoengineering going on now. He'd like to work towards starting tests.

2016-08-16T21:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765666837862363136

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 So why didn't these people notice it. 60 faculty, 400 students.

http://catalog.ou.edu/current/Atmospheric_Geographic_Meteorology.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqAx54iUkAAxNMx.jpg



OU GENERAL CATALOG
The UNIVERSITY of OKLAHOMA

- Catalog Home
- Message from President Boren
- The Administrators
- Welcome!
- How to Use this Catalog
- Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations
- What OU is all About
- Organization
- Orientation and Advisement
- Career Planning
- Academic Majors—Undergraduate and Graduate
- The OU Difference
- Programs for Academic Excellence
- Special Facilities and Resources
- Museums and Collections
- Admission, Enrollment & Student Financial Services
- Student Affairs
- University College
- College of Architecture
- College of Arts and Sciences
- College of Atmospheric & Geographic Sciences
  - Atmospheric & Geographic Sciences Academic Units
- Michael F. Price College of Business
- OU Outreach - College of Continuing Education
- Mewbourne College of Earth and Energy
- Jeannine Rainbolt College of Education

School of Meteorology

David B. Parsons, Director
Susan Postawko, Associate Director
Alan Shapiro, Graduate Liaison
120 David L. Boren Blvd., Suite 5900
Norman, OK 73072-7307
Phone: (405) 325-6561
FAX: (405) 325-7689
Internet: http://som.ou.edu
email: dparsons@ou.edu, spostawk@ou.edu

GENERAL INFORMATION

Faculty Roster

Professors Beasley, Bluestein, Carr, Chilson, Droegemeier, Fedorovich, Fiedler, Leslie, Moore, Morrissey, Palmer, Parsons, Richman, Shapiro, Straka, Xue, Zhang; Emeritus Professors Crawford, Duchon, Friday, Kimpel, Lilly, Sasaki; Associate Professors Basara, Biggerstaff, Klein, Postawko, Wang; Assistant Professors Cavallo, Homeyer, Martin; Adjunct Professors Brooks, Brown, Burgess, Doswell, Doviak, Jorgenson, Kanak, Karoly, Kogan, Lakshmanan, MacGorman, Rust, Ryzhkov, Schaefer, Schultz, Stensrud, Trafalis, Turner, Wicker, Xu, Zrnic; Adjunct Associate Professors Basara, Brewster, Brotzge, Elmore, Gao, Gourley, Hong, Kain, Kong, Laufersweiler, Mavriplis, McPherson, Schuur, Yu, Zhang; Adjunct Assistant Professors Heinselman, Jung, McGovern

Degrees Offered

- **Bachelor of Science in Meteorology**
- **Master of Science in Meteorology**
- **Doctor of Philosophy**

General Information

Meteorology, or atmospheric science, is the study of the atmosphere and its interaction with Earth's surface, oceans, and biological systems. Meteorologists seek to describe, understand, and predict weather phenomena that occur on space scales ranging from millimeters to thousands of kilometers, and on time scales from microseconds to thousands of years or longer. These phenomena range from localized thunderstorms and tornadoes, to regional frontal systems and hurricanes, to global climate change.

There are two major scientific areas of research in meteorology today. One is in the study and prediction of severe and hazardous weather events that strongly affect life and property. These include, but are not limited to, lightning, tornadoes, floods, hail, blizzards, dense fog and hurricanes. The second is the understanding of the Earth's climate system. Research activities here include study of past climates, regional (mesoscale) climate, surface and boundary layer processes, climate change and seasonal forecasting. The School of Meteorology is actively engaged in research on all the above-mentioned topics.

The University of Oklahoma is the only university in the state that offers undergraduate and graduate programs in meteorology. The School of Meteorology maintains an enrollment of approximately 300 undergraduate students and 100 graduate students. Led by 24 internationally recognized faculty members, and assisted by adjunct faculty members

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CqAx54iUkAAxNMx.jpg

2016-08-16T20:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765652289533030400

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 A) Why haven't the scientists and Meteorologists noticed. B) Why do the citizen think it's metallic aluminum?

2016-08-16T16:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765587626795540480

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 There's a double confusion here though, who says aluminum metal is being sprayed?

2016-08-16T16:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765587442422329344

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 You mean test for the presence of a metallic element in metal form? Probably.

2016-08-16T16:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765586190095429632

@Dan_E_V @Oswald1160 I don't know off hand, but I do know that the chemtrail folk are not using them. They list their tests on the results.

2016-08-16T15:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765574227856535552

@sara8smiles Not according to those scientists. Also, consider this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_dqGEVYAANBsR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_dqGEVYAANBsR.jpg

2016-08-16T15:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765573452002500612

"Chemtrail" chemical test are not actually testing for what they think they are.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-chemtrail-tests-on-the-ground-find-metals-that-dont-occur-naturally.t7842/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_c52kUsAEElc8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_c52kUsAEEIc8.jpg

2016-08-16T15:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765573164415918080

@Oswald1160 @Dan_E_V And the tests don't distinguish.

https://www.metabunk.org/why-chemtrail-tests-on-the-ground-find-metals-that-dont-occur-naturally.t7842/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_cvCYUEAA-Fky.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp_cvCYUEAA-Fky.jpg

2016-08-16T00:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765338537411477504

.ChloeMS Breath condensation and contrails are physically different..

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-condensation-but-not-like-your-breath/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp8G-vOVMAIGtNg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp8G-vOVMAIGtNg.jpg

2016-08-15T23:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765326518058754049

@OpChemArchives Of course we can see it. The question is if it's anything other than persistent contrails.

2016-08-15T22:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765318486146985984

RT @NYTNational: A group of 77 scientists is out to debunk conspiracy theories about secret spraying called â□□chemtrails.â□□ https://t.co/hrjdâ□¦

2016-08-15T21:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765306858437390336

@Dan_E_V Contrails vary like natural clouds vary. Occurrence is seasonal, and varies by location.

2016-08-15T21:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765306431700475904

@Dan_E_V High humidity, droplet growth, and wind shear.

2016-08-15T21:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765301182013120513

@Dan_E_V The difference between contrail and no contrail behind a plane is JUST the water made visible. Everything else is the same.

2016-08-15T21:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765300928178040832

@Dan_E_V It does not require them. You can get contrails with pure hydrogen engine using atmospheric nuclei.

2016-08-15T21:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765296710880940032
@eddiebravo It's just the weather. Like how it doesn't rain in the summer in LA. Same kind of thing.

2016-08-15T20:52:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765290086409744384
@Dan_E_V about -40 for exhaust contrails. Heterogeneous liquid nucleation and condensation, then homogenous freezing, then deposition.

2016-08-15T20:40:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765286979588149248
@Dan_E_V There are many different nature type of cirrus too. What is your point?

2016-08-15T20:35:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765285754083815424
@Dan_E_V Cirrus clouds are ice clouds.

2016-08-15T20:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765282130356084736
@Dan_E_V How is MINERAL DUST not naturally occurring? The Earth's crust is about 8% aluminum.

2016-08-15T20:19:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765281801149349888
@Dan_E_V I do. I look at the evidence and see if it holds up. That all the study does. The evidence did not hold up.

2016-08-15T20:18:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765281452078444545
@Dan_E_V There's millions of tons of aluminum in the atmosphere. Mostly in mineral dust, dirt.

2016-08-15T20:11:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765279732371828736
@Dan_E_V And why would you? It's a study on the effects of atmospheric mineral dust particles

---

2016-08-15T19:58:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/765276615135797248
@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Either. Here's a middle-aged book.
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7O-6NUMAAD8rK.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7O-6NUMAAD8rK.jpg

2016-08-15T19:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765276149345681408

@Dan_E_V And of course I I look, I've got a web site devoted to examining claims of evidence. What did I miss?

2016-08-15T19:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765276000036937728

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon We asked the scientists about the most common;y claimed evidence. They said it wasn't.

2016-08-15T19:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765274916002279424

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Evidence of a secret large scale spraying program.

2016-08-15T19:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765274765774827520

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon But this is actual science. You can verify what they say for yourself, look in books on clouds.

2016-08-15T19:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765274530470252545

@Maz_zaroth But it's certainly a data point to take into your considerations. The scientists give explanations for the photos and tests.

2016-08-15T19:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765274216367140864

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon If something does not exist, then you don't need an explanation for why it exists.

2016-08-15T19:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765274012901527553

@Maz_zaroth Who did you ask, and why does that make this fake?

2016-08-15T19:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765273657530724352

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Not according to these experts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7MVYYUkAAzmWE.jpg

- Andrew Carleton, Penn State University
- Andrew Heidinger, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Andrew Heymsfield, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Andrew J Weinheimer, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Brian A Ridley, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bruce Anderson, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bryan Baum, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Charles A Brock, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Charles E Kolb, Aerodyne Research
- Christine Fichter, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Christos Zerefos, University of Athens
- Cynthia Twohy, NorthWest Research Associates
- Darrel Baumgardner, Droplet Measurement Technologies
- David Doelling, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Kratz, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Lee, Manchester Metropolitan University
- David Lewellen, West Virginia University
- David J Travis, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
- Donald P Garber, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Eleftheratos Konstantinos, University of Athens
- Gaby Radel, University of Reading
- Guy Febvre, Observatory of Atmospheric Physics at Clermont-Ferrand
- Hartmut Grassl, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Jack Dibb, University of New Hampshire
- Karen Rosenlof, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Klaus Gierens, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Larry Miloshevich, Milo Scientific
- Markus Garhammer, Ludwig-Maximilians-University
- Matthias Tesche, Stockholm University
- Michael Ponater, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Michael Prather, University of California, Irvine
- Otto Klemm, University of Muenster
- Patrick Minnis, National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Piers Forster, University of Leeds
- R Paul Lawson, Stratton Park Engineering Company
- Rabi Palikonda ,National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Reinhold Busen, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Robert Sausen, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- Robert Talbot, University of Houston
- Ru-Shan Gao, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Sonia M Kreidenweis, Colorado State University
- Stephan Bakan, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Tatiana Khokhlova, University of Washington
- Thilo Stilp European, Aviation Group for Occupational Safety and Health
- Tove Svenby Norwegian, Institute for Air Research
- Ulrich Schumann Institute, of Atmospheric Physics
- Ulrike Burkhardt, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Volker Grewe, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- William L Smith, National Aeronautics and Space

Every single one of these scientists rejected the hypothesis that the four photos shown were evidence of a secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP). They all identified the trails as contrails, and gave scientific explanations for the effects seen in each photo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7MVYYUkAAzmWE.jpg

2016-08-15T19:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765273510641934337

@Maz_zaroth How so? We asked real expert contrail scientists from around the world.

https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7MI_2VYAE0fDW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7MI_2VYAE0fDW.jpg

2016-08-15T19:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765272987029299200

@InThe7thDay "admits"? She's a chemtrail conspiracy theorist.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.t3514/

2016-08-15T19:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765272717071294469

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Consensus was there's no evidence it's happening.

2016-08-15T19:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765272430315134976

.@Maz_zaroth I guess we should have included fuel dump photos too.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying-wingtip-fuel-dump-photo.t645/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7LJmdUEAAJQxS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7LJmdUEAAJQxS.jpg

2016-08-15T19:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765271849072590848

@1shawnster He didn't though. He was talking about sounding rockets leaving trails of chemicals in space. Totally different thing.

2016-08-15T19:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765271605601718272

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Dd you read it?
http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2016-08-15T19:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765270523802628096

@Dan_E_V @HealthRanger @Pbzon Contrails and cirrus (possibly contrail induced), with a 22Â° halo, yes.

2016-08-15T19:13:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765265292112953344

.@HealthRanger @Pbzon That photo is one assessed by experts in our study. It's contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7EqDUUkAAaWxb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7EqDUUkAAaWxb.jpg

2016-08-15T19:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765264709478068224

.@Bondcliff2008 You are in good company :)

https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7EMklUMAI2kzo.jpg

And it is a large number of experts, have a look at the list of atmospheric scientists polled:

- Andrew Carleton, Penn State University
- Andrew Heidinger, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Andrew Heymsfield, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Andrew J Weinheimer, National Center for Atmospheric Research
- Brian A Ridley, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bruce Anderson, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Bryan Baum, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Charles A Brock, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Charles E Kolb, Aerodyne Research
- Christine Fichter, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Christos Zerefos, University of Athens
- Cynthia Twohy, NorthWest Research Associates
- Darrel Baumgardner, Droplet Measurement Technologies
- David Doelling, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Kratz, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- David Lee, Manchester Metropolitan University
- David Lewellen, West Virginia University
- David J Travis, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
- Donald P Garber, National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Eleftheratos Konstantinos, University of Athens
- Gaby Radel, University of Reading
- Guy Febvre, Observatory of Atmospheric Physics at Clermont-Ferrand
- Hartmut Grassl, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Jack Dibb, University of New Hampshire
- Karen Rosenlof, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Klaus Gierens, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Larry Miloshevich, Milo Scientific
- Markus Garhammer, Ludwig-Maximilians-University
- Matthias Tesche, Stockholm University
- Michael Ponater, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Michael Prather, University of California, Irvine
- Otto Klemm, University of Muenster
- Patrick Minnis, National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Piers Forster, University of Leeds
- R Paul Lawson, Stratton Park Engineering Company
- Rabi Palikonda ,National Aeronautics & Space Administration
- Reinhold Busen, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Robert Sausen, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- Robert Talbot, University of Houston
- Ru-Shan Gao, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Sonia M Kreidenweis, Colorado State University
- Stephan Bakan, Max Planck Institute for Meteorology
- Tatiana Khokhlova, University of Washington
- Thilo Stilp European, Aviation Group for Occupational Safety and Health
- Tove Svenby Norwegian, Institute for Air Research
- Ulrich Schumann Institute, of Atmospheric Physics
- Ulrike Burkhardt, German Aerospace Center (DLR)
- Volker Grewe, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
- William L Smith, National Aeronautics and Space

Every single one of these scientists rejected the hypothesis that the four photos shown were evidence of a secret large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP). They all identified the trails as contrails, and gave scientific explanations for the effects seen in each photo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp7EMklUMAI2kzo.jpg

2016-08-15T18:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765259677076557824

@eddiebravo It's focussed on some specific claims of evidence, photos etc.. Check it out.

http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp6_iT-VMAA0eqK.jpg



Figure 2. Presented with four different images of trails behind aircraft (a)–(d), experts uniformly responded that a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP) was not the most parsimonious explanation for the depicted phenomena (pie charts). In each case, the stacked bars show the experts' most common alternative explanations. The photo in part (b) has been reproduced with permission from Forest M. Mims III.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp6_iT-VMAA0eqK.jpg

2016-08-15T18:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765251649870757888

@wargandhi @carnegiescience @MarieAnneLeCler @KenCaldeira @SteveDavisUCI Then we focus on real issues, like climate change and pollution

---

2016-08-15T17:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765237005500284928

@WisdomsGrave Here's some similar from 1969. A "familiar sight" back then.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp6q9PTVYAABeo7.jpg

For scientific discussion, see, for example, all these articles on contrails. In particular the one from 1970 titled “”

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

> *The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and* ***gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.***
>
> *[….]*
>
> *Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2* ***an almost solid contrail sheet*** *reported to average 500 m in depth.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp6q9PTVYAABeo7.jpg



Fig. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



Fig. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cp6q49BVIAIIGjc.jpg

2016-08-15T04:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/765046540847095808

@OuchMyLegs Yes, see here:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-anatomical-impossibility-of-jeff-bauman-photos.t3478/

2016-08-14T19:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764901312223817728

@James_Michael_S Fixed! Thanks.

2016-08-14T06:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764703570251816960

@ABTagenda What's the issue?

2016-08-14T06:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764703184946204673

@surfinwav You know he was talking about possible future use, right?

2016-08-14T05:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764696225857675264

@A_K_I_L We asked a lot of scientists if they knew of any evidence of "chemtrails"

http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cpy_EseVMAAY-w0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cpy_EseVMAAY-w0.jpg

2016-08-14T03:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764665206806949888

@alexmdbuchholz Show her this:

http://www.sciencemag.org/news/sifter/atmospheric-science-experts-agree-chemtrails-fantasy

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cpyi8VvVMAIhHe2.jpg

Science Home News Journals Topics Careers



Aero Pixels/Flickr (CC BY 2.0)

SHARE

21

Atmospheric science experts agree 'chemtrails' is a fantasy

Aug. 12, 2016

Believers of one conspiracy theory claim that the persistent condensation trails left behind aircraft in the sky—so-called "chemtrails"—are evidence of a covert large-scale spraying operation with sinister intent. A new study aims to debunk that idea by surveying atmospheric chemists who specialize in condensation trails and geochemists working on atmospheric deposition of dust and pollution. Nearly all of them—76 out of 77—said **they had not encountered any evidence of secret atmospheric spraying**, reports Phys.org. The

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cpyi8VvVMAIhHe2.jpg

2016-08-13T17:51:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764519692962664448

@JunkScience So you think that as PM2.5 is harmless, then these test would only inflict psychological harm? Like with the gas chamber comp?

2016-08-13T17:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764514446152577025

@JunkScience Don't you think pm2.5 is mostly harmless?

2016-08-12T22:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764225000794562561

It's worth pointing out just how "expert" the contrail experts are. 26 years in the field. https://www.metabunk.org/quantifying-expert-consensus-against-covert-geoengineering-chemtrails.t7824/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpsSTYHVMAAe_Tf.jpg

---

experts. Contrail experts had an average of 26 years professional experience in their field (with a median of 26 years), and deposition experts 22 years (with a median of 20 years).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpsSTYHVMAAe_Tf.jpg

2016-08-12T21:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764210429065175040

@afreedma Thanks Andrew, that's a good write-up.

2016-08-12T21:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764209817397235713

.@chucksteakpt Why not read the paper? Contrail experts agree these are just contrails. .

http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011/meta

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpsEoU3UsAA_ZNr.jpg



Figure 2. Presented with four different images of trails behind aircraft (**a-d**), experts uniformly responded that a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (SLAP) was not the most parsimonious explanation for the depicted phenomena (pie charts). In each case, the stacked bars show the experts' most common alternative explanations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpsEoU3UsAA_ZNr.jpg

2016-08-12T17:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764153090056388608

Pioneering chemistry study finds no evidence of a 'chemtrails' conspiracy http://mashable.com/2016/08/12/chemtrails-debunked-in-new-study/#n8hCgS.vs05W via @mashable

---

2016-08-12T16:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764132631927631872

@EyeonicDJ @sciencegecko @Yishai4Truth I made the *gif*, but it's based on an interactive GeoGebra by David Ridlen https://www.metabunk.org/an-easy-experiment-to-debunk-the-flat-earth-by-observing-the-size-of-the-sun.t7252/#post-175039

2016-08-12T14:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/764101468475523072

RT @carnegiescience: So-called "chemtrails" not real, say leading atmospheric scientists http://carnegiescience.edu/node/2077 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpqNzAEXYAAQKdU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CpqNzAEXYAAQKdU.jpg

2016-08-10T01:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/763179279995711489

@chrisfholm They did! I thought my eyesight had improved. Easier to read the bookmark bar.

2016-08-04T23:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/761347782523310080

.@PrisonPlanet @RealAlexJones There's nothing wrong with her health. http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/hillary-clinton-health-excellent-doctor-letter-2016-campaign-120861

2016-08-03T19:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/760918374314602496

@OpChemtrails That's a circumhorizontal arc, understood 100 years ago.

https://books.google.com/books?id=jyhFAQAAMAAJ&dq=%22Circumhorizontal%20arc%22&pg=PA638#v=onepage&q=%22Circumhorizontal%20arc%22&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co9TN70UMAE7pI3.jpg

Circumhorizontal Arc.—A colored arc, red on the upper side, of perhaps 90° in extent, is occasionally seen parallel to the horizon and about 46°, or a little more, below the sun. This arc is produced by light entering snow crystals through vertical sides and passing out through horizontal bases, and, therefore, the theory of its formation is identical with that of the circumzenithal arc. On merely substituting "zenith distance" for "elevation" all the numerical values of the one become those of the other. Hence, the circumzenithal arc cannot appear when the zenith distance of the sun is greater than 32° 12′. Similarly, when this distance is 22° 8′, corresponding to minimum refraction, the solar distance of the circumhorizontal arc is 45° 44′, the radius of the halo of 46°. Further, for all values of the zenith distance of the sun from 16° to 27° the circumhorizontal arc is within 1° of tangency to the halo of 46°. Hence, it is also, though incorrectly, called the lower tangent arc of the halo of 46°.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co9TN70UMAE7pI3.jpg

2016-08-03T00:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/760631785671659520

@SteerMark @Tdrinker2 It's literally impossible to be anything else. Too big. Millions of tons of water.

2016-08-03T00:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/760631580427558912

@jefbt Why dod you think it looks odd? The pendules?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co5OYoAUkAA-Uq1.jpg



This contrail developed pendules, or elements of sinking air akin to mammato-cumulus (see p. 41).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co5OYoAUkAA-Uq1.jpg



11.2.i

11.2.i The two crosses represent the axes of the vortices trailing from the wingtips of an aircraft. In this vertical section the closed curves represent tracks of the air circulating round the vortices. The outside curve encloses the "accompanying fluid", which is the name given to the air which travels downwards, with the vortices, through the surrounding air. Since the exhaust is emitted between the wingtips it is inside the accompanying fluid. In the right half of the diagram the heavy lines show how exhaust trails would circulate around the vortex. Exhaust emitted along the centre line would travel directly downwards, and would then be divided when it reached the bottom of the accompanying fluid. The motion becomes very much modified before such a division would occur.

In the left half we see three points on a horizontal line marked by a circle, square, and triangle. The circle travels round the vortex more rapidly so that three successive positions of the originally horizontal line of particles are shown. In particular, as viewed from a distance, the inner exhaust trail shown on the right would appear to travel round the outer one (the square moves to a position beneath the circle, and the triangle likewise but more slowly).



11.2.ii

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co5OQdhVMAAToh1.jpg

11.2.ii The motion shown in 11.2.i does not persist because of the buoyancy acquired by the accompanying fluid as it descends through a stably stratified environment. As the air moves from the lower stagnation point L the juxtaposition of buoyant air, inside the boundary of the accompanying fluid (heavy line), with the air outside produces vorticity in the direction shown by the small pairs of arrows. This vorticity, which is in the opposite direction to the principal vortices, has the effect of moving the upper stagnation point from its original position S down to S_2 so that all the air between the streamline (left half of diag.) to S_2 and the outer boundary starting at L is "detrained". It is left behind so that the accompanying fluid becomes smaller. This has to happen because the buoyancy force acting on the accompanying fluid decreases the downward momentum of the system, and the only way this can happen is for the accompanying fluid to decrease in volume: this has the effect of bringing the two vortices closer together and since their circulations are not decreased their downward velocity is actually increased. This is more than offset by the decrease in volume so that the momentum still decreases.

The vortex sheet which is generated on the outer boundary of the accompanying fluid is unstable, and so a mixing zone will appear, which is represented by the shaded area which gradually widens upwards. To begin with the stagnation point S_1 is below this region and so all the mixed fluid is left behind; this is shown in the right half. But after a minute or so, in the case of typical values for airliners at about 11,000 metres, the stagnation point rises nearer to the original position. The consequences of this are illustrated in the left half of the diagram, with some of the mixed fluid travelling down the middle of the accompanying fluid. As soon as this penetrates beyond about the middle of the system the main vortices become unstable because the buoyancy of this mixed fluid will cause the generation of circulation, opposite to the principal vortices, inside the accompanying fluid in circuits surrounding the vortices. Mixing then very quickly penetrates into the cores of the vortices. The consequences of this sequence of events is illustrated in the next diagram and the pictures following.



11.2.iii

11.2.iii This perspective view from an angle above of a vortex pair illustrates how irregularities are magnified because the buoyancy causes an increase in descent velocity which itself increases the buoyancy. The cloud carried down between the vortices descends in a series of blobs. The descent ends when the mixing penetrates into the vortex cores and the two loose ends of the vortices tend to join across the system to form a series of loops. The lowest parts of the vortices are closest together.

If cloud is present in the vortex cores it will remain until mixing reaches it, when it will rapidly disappear because of the mixing and the rapid rise in pressure as the rotation ceases.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co5ON8EUAAA3JS3.jpg

11.2.4 The contrail is formed about one wingspan behind the aircraft when the exhaust has mixed with sufficient air of the environment to produce saturation. The edges of the trails are ragged to begin with but further mixing evaporates these and the trail becomes smooth: this indicates that the condensation persists only in the low pressure region of the vortex cores.

Close to the aircraft it is seen that the two trails from the inner engines descend further than the outer ones, those on the right being separately visible but the outer left one being behind the inner left one. Often one complete rotation of the inner round the outer one can be seen.

In the second picture the trails appear hollow. This is because the exhaust did not enter the middle of the vortex core. The particles are too small for centrifuging to be significant.

The irregularities are intensified, and at the right end the mixing penetrates in a few places into the vortex cores and dissipates the cloud. The spacing of the loops must be determined by the distance apart of the vortices: irregularities of all wavelengths are unstable, but two loops very close together merge into one, while new loops can appear between those that are rather far apart. The interval between the first and last pictures is 90 sec.

Motion identical with that shown here has been observed in smoke trails emitted at the wing tips of a Comet aircraft at 3,000 metres which is far below the normal altitude for contrails.



11.2.4

11.2.5 The condensation outside the vortex cores persisted in the trail of the first aircraft to pass, but not in the trail of the second. It seems probable, therefore, that the first trail was frozen as a result of being at an environmental temperature near to or below −40°C. (See 11.4.)

These pictures were taken looking vertically upwards over a period of about three minutes, and because the aircraft was on a turn the downwash was inclined outwards. The effect is to give a view rather like the side view of a straight trail (see 11.2.3, 11.2.8). Where the vortices are closest together they have penetrated farthest, and the blobs correspond to the places where the mixing has penetrated into the vortex cores. In the lowest picture the linear clouds are composed of the detrained accompanying fluid.



11.2.5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Co5OSUTVIAE10J-.jpg

2016-08-02T23:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/760625707932262401

@UFCdotcom Why?

2016-08-02T23:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/760624852139028480

@UFCdotcom How many? The extreme conspiracysphere isn't as big as it seems from the

inside.

2016-07-31T15:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/759778600346279937

@rezn8d Are there any others?

2016-07-29T18:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/759098743371161601

@_N_Sane @OpChemArchives Correct, that's pretty much zero. Just a very small bit of dirt.

2016-07-28T15:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758680696718110720

@JoepvanSteen That's some flat-earth level rationalization there. Artificially aged books in all the libraries saying contrails persist?

2016-07-28T14:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758670159527550977

@jeremt007 Maybe they blocked you. Curiously @OpChemtrails does not block me, even though I'm on the list.

2016-07-28T14:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758669405538557952

@ArmaghPlanet Agreed, and there should have been a note ON the photo.

2016-07-28T13:41:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758658597785960448

@BayleeB1979 @MickWest @OpChemtrails then read current books. They say the same thing. Science.

2016-07-28T05:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758528995998601216

Saw a huge fireball over NorCal. Space Junk re-entering the atmosphere and burning up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CobVwr2VMAAmdKs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CobVwr2VMAAmdKs.jpg

2016-07-28T04:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758527292645658625

@BadAstronomer I saw it over Shingle Springs, CA. It seemed more together then. Heading SE

2016-07-28T01:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758470033135636480

@BayleeB1979 @OpChemtrails Have you read what books on clouds say about contrails? Where do you get your science form?

2016-07-28T01:11:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758469910473211904

@BayleeB1979 @OpChemtrails I'm the one who reads everything. I'm the one who talks to chemtrail believers. So how am I in a bubble?

2016-07-28T00:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758452041471582208

#chemtrail believers like to shut out conflicting opinions to create illusion of consensus. Here's their block list. https://twitter.com/OpChemtrails/lists/troll-blocker/members

---

2016-07-27T23:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758450711814209536

@OpChemtrails Do you like doing your thinking in a bubble? Contrary facts annoying you? Actual science distasteful to you?

2016-07-26T22:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758062946987540480

@opgeoengineeri1 So I'd say that it's very current. And Dane thinks it is credible. Clearly though it is not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUuDTlUsAAyBRT.jpg

---

variances (F-test); and, (2) the assemblage of 14 elements in the HEPA dust and in the corresponding average un-leached coal fly ash are likewise essentially identical.[2]

Coal fly ash contains a variety of heavy metals and other toxic elements, including: aluminum, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chromium (III), chromium (IV), cobalt, lead, manganese, mercury, selenium, strontium, thallium, thorium, and uranium. *Id.* at 9385.

Snow samples from Mount Shasta are contaminated with aluminum. Pure white snow at 8,000-foot elevation on Mount Shasta had 61,100 parts per billion (ppb) of aluminum, over 4 times more than the mud beneath the snow and tens of thousands of times the expected maximum level in a snow sample. The samples also contained 83 ppb of barium and 383 ppb of strontium. The only route for these heavy metals to enter the precipitation system is from the aerosolized clouds. A rainwater test conducted in 2013 on Mount Shasta contained 13,100 ppb of aluminum, 130 ppb of barium and 138 ppb of strontium. These amounts are undrinkable by state standards, at 13 times the allowable limit. Lab reports of aluminum in precipitation range from ppb13,100 ppb correlated to

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUuDTlUsAAyBRT.jpg

2016-07-26T22:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758062214569861120

@opgeoengineeri1 That's the sample that Dane is using in his lawsuit.

2016-07-26T20:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758042620257902593

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 There was an old proposal using small disks. Nobody ever FOUND any though http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUbsD4VIAAi642.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUbsD4VIAAi642.jpg

2016-07-26T20:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758042346319548417

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 Perhaps you misremember "perfect nanoparticle sized circles" actually being found?

2016-07-26T20:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758040657378238464

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch I looked, and I could not find it. So I guess it does not exist, since you've not found it

---

2016-07-26T20:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758037646123773959

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch You can't back up a mistake by quoting the mistake. Repeating something does not make it right.

2016-07-26T20:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758036989073428480

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Or you could ask Max Bliss: https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154099991370396&set=a.10150428768655396.417477.685100395&type=3&theater

---

2016-07-26T20:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758036760731324416

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch There are more references listed here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/

2016-07-26T20:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758036558058360832

.@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch This is why high bypass engines still make contrails. It's the engine exhaust. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CoUWEs3UkAA2Hka.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CoUWEs3UkAA2Hka.jpg

2016-07-26T20:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758036213638963200

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch

https://books.google.com/books?id=58v1fg4xeo8C&lpg=PA233&ots=_-uNkL6yUM&dq=contrail%20factor%20high%20bypass&pg=PA233#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUV3vXUkAEW2me.jpg

Lynch, David K., et al, 2002: Cirrus, Oxford University Press
http://books.google.com/books?id=58v1fg4xeo8C&lpg=PA233&ots=_-uNkL6yUM&dq=contrail factor high bypass&pg=PA233#v=onepage&q&f=false

Aircraft exhaust may lead to cirrus clouds where no clouds would have formed otherwise. Ice-supersaturated air is often free of visible clouds because the supersaturation is too small for ice particle nucleation to occur (Heymsfield et al. 1998b; Gierens et al. 1999b). Persistent contrails may last for hours and grow into a spreading cirrus cloud. Although aircraft flying through ice-supersaturated air masses trigger contrail formation by the increase of humidity within their exhaust trails, the ice formed in long-lasting contrails originates almost completely from ambient water vapor (Knollenberg 1972).

The threshold temperature for contrail formation in the Schmidt-Appleman criterion depends on ambient pressure, p, and ambient RH and on the parameters that determine the steepness, $de/dT = \mathrm{EI}_{H_2O}\, c_p\, p/[0.622\, Q_{eff}]$, of the mixing line (fig. 11.1) of excess partial water pressure, e, versus excess temperature, T, in the plume (Schumann 1996a). The parameters include the emission index (EI) of water mass per burnt fuel mass ($\mathrm{EI}_{H_2O} \cong 1.25$ for typical jet fuels with 13.8% hydrogen mass fraction), the specific heat capacity of air, $c_p \cong 1004\,\mathrm{J/kg\,K^{-1}}$, and the effective amount of heat, Q_{eff}, released into the exhaust per unit fuel mass, where $Q_{eff} = (1 - \eta)\, Q$ depends on the specific heat of combustion of the fuel in the engine ($Q \cong 43\,\mathrm{MJ/kg}$) and the overall efficiency, η, of the aircraft propulsion system. The dependence on engine efficiency was noted by Schmidt (1941). The efficiency can be computed from $\eta = (F\,V)/(m_F\,Q)$ for given engine thrust, F, aircraft speed, V, engine fuel consumption rate, m_F, and Q (Schumann 1996a). Propulsion engineers call m_F/F the specific fuel consumption. Typical values of η are in the range 0.2–0.4, its value grew from older low-bypass to modern high-bypass engines. Some authors use "contrail factors" $\mathrm{EI}_{H_2O}/Q_{eff}$ explicitly depending on the by-pass ratio of engines (Coleman 1996; Ferris 1996; Mazin 1996; Schrader 1997).

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUV3vXUkAEW2me.jpg

2016-07-26T20:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758035552792829953

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Did you find that link?

2016-07-26T20:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758035442495205376

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUVLJQVUAE4cFt.jpg

Ulrich Schumann and Reinhold Busen, 2000, Experimental Test of the Influence of Propulsion Efficiency on Contrail Formation
http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf

Content from external source

For a direct test, a formation flight of two different large jet air- craft was arranged, wing by wing, during an ascent and a descent of the aircraft. Contrail formation and ambient conditions were observed simultaneously from a research aircraft. The two contrail
forming aircraft were 1) a **Boeing B707-307C** built in 1968 and equipped with four jet engines of type PW JT3D-3B with **bypass ratio of 1.4 and 2)** an **Airbus A340-300** built in 1998 with four jet engines of type CFM56-5C4 **with bypass ratio of 6.8**.
...
A contrail was observed to form during ascent first behind the A340 at 7:28:40, at flight level 33,300ft. The B707 continued to ascend nearby without a contrail (Fig. 2). About 50 s later, at altitude of about 33,700 ft, a contrail formed also behind the B707 at 7:29:30. The contrails were observed to be forming very suddenly and persisted thereafter. During descent from flight level 35,000ft, the contrails disappeared first behind the B707 and disappeared shortly thereafter behind the A340.
...
As documented in several photographs, **an altitude range exists in which the A340 causes contrails while the B707 causes none.** Figure 2 shows this fact during ascent and Fig. 3 during descent. The photographs show the contrails best during descent due to the more favorable sun and Falcon positions relative to the two other aircraft. We clearly see the four contrails forming from the four engines of the A340 while the B707 is seen flying without contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUVLJQVUAE4cFt.jpg

2016-07-26T20:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758035205697318912

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch You just linked me to HIM saying it. What do actual scientist say?

2016-07-26T20:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758035044388573184

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch I'm sure he's 100% sure. That's always been his problem.

2016-07-26T20:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758030395006787584

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch It's the same year (2013) that Dane performed his tests.

2016-07-26T20:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758029949970198528

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why is he saying the snow was 4x the dirt when he did not test the dirt?

2016-07-26T20:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758029752192028672

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why is he using a paper by Herndon that has been retracted?

2016-07-26T20:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758029512227500032

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch If trout are starving, then how did Francis Mangles catch 300 of them?

2016-07-26T20:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758029282992005120

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why has nobody except Francis Mangles noticed a 90% decline in insects in Northern California?

2016-07-26T19:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758029052670124032

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why does he think it's coal-fly ash from military tankers when the trails come from commercial jets?

2016-07-26T19:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758028691335094272

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why does he not understand that the tests do not show only free aluminum?

2016-07-26T19:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758028008137502720

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why does he say high bypass jet engines can't make contrails? Scientists don't say that.

2016-07-26T19:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758027905762942976

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch If so, then why so many mistakes?

2016-07-26T19:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758027835260882944

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch http://uvb.nrel.colostate.edu/UVB/uvb-network.jsf

---

2016-07-26T19:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758027248720949248

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch UV levels are at historically normal levels. Dane misused his equipment. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUNqbvVIAAGgwM.jpg

---





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoUNqbvVIAAGgwM.jpg

2016-07-26T19:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758026298564280321

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Claims drinking water limit for barium is 10 ppb when it's 1,000 ppb. (1 MCL)

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/Documents/DWdocuments/MCLsEPAvsDWP-2014-07-01.pdf

---

2016-07-26T19:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758025995345465344

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch It's certainly riddled with errors. However I think Dane at least believes it to be correct.

2016-07-26T19:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758024749972762624

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch "UV levels are already increasing dramatically around the globe" when levels are basically unchanged.

2016-07-26T19:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758024528945524737

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch "unprecedented levels of aluminum in human blood" - when tests show normal level.

2016-07-26T19:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758024309130403840

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch "ionosphere ,..being shredded by the aerosols in the Programs" - physically impossible for ANY SRM

2016-07-26T18:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758012502495997953

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch It's riddled with things that are factually incorrect.

2016-07-26T18:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758012159330705408

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch How do they get "Pure white snow" in July? Same week, location: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoT_9L1VIAAdPGH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoT_9L1VIAAdPGH.jpg

2016-07-26T18:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758011818400813056

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch It's just repeating claims that were debunked YEARS ago. Dirty snow, etc. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoT_ugEVMAAeb7q.jpg



www.basiclab.com
voice 530.243.7234 fax 530.243.7494
2218 Railroad Avenue
Redding, California 96001

Sampled July 9th 2008

RECEIVED
APR 13 2010
U.S. FOREST SERVICE
Shasta-Trinity National Forests
Mt. Shasta District

Report To: TAYLOR, ROSE
2338 JAKES PLACE
MT SHASTA, CA 96067
Attention: ROSE TAYLOR
Project: GENERAL TESTING SNOW SURFACE, MT SHASTA

Lab No: 8070406
Reported: 07/21/08
Phone: 926-1451
P.O. #

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method	Analyzed	Prepared	Batch
3/4 Mile Above Upper Ski Bowl Parking Lot	Water	(8070406-01)	Sampled:07/09/08 00:00	Received:07/11/08 10:39					
Aluminum	ug/l	61100		30	100	EPA 6010B	07/18/08	07/16/08	B8G0368
Barium	"	83		1	5	"	"	"	"
Strontium	"	383		1	5	"	"	"	"

Notes and Definitions

DET	Analyte DETECTED
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the detection limit
NR	Not Reported
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
<	Less than reporting limit
≤	Less than or equal to reporting limit
>	Greater than reporting limit
≥	Greater than or equal to reporting limit
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Minimum Level of Quantitation
	Maxium Contaminant Level/Action Level
	Results reported as wet weight
TTLC	Total Threshold Limit Concentration
STLC	Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure

Normal = 0.5 ug/l of Aluminum
Drinking water = 50 ug/l
Gov't action required = 1000 ug/l.

Francis Mangels
736 Pine Ridge Ave
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoT_ugEVMAAeb7q.jpg

2016-07-26T18:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/758002619906334720

@VeganNewsNet Your link does not say anything about increased fire risk, nor does it suggest any mechanism.

2016-07-26T14:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757948236082524160

@VeganNewsNet Livestock is only 5% of GHG. How does that affect the current fires in California? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoTFqIXVMAoYUxF.jpg

World GHG Emissions Flow Chart



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoTFqIXVMAoYUxF.jpg

2016-07-26T04:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757791877605175296

@VeganNewsNet Animal ag use does not make it rain less on grassland and trees. This is irrelevant to the current fires.

2016-07-25T20:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757666989775081474

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 Just admit you don't have the link if you don't have it. This isn't a contest.

2016-07-25T18:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757651330257125376

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Or rainwater in the 1970s. Al is everywhere naturally.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO3zZ2UsAErFTz.jpg

---

where μ_i is the mass absorption coefficients (cm^2/g) and m_i is the mass per unit area (g/cm^2) of different elements on the target. Using the elemental composition from a major ele-

Discussion

Pittsburgh is one of the major industrial cities in the United States, especially for the steel

In 1972-4, K.C. Chan et. al. tested rainwater and air particulates in Pittsburgh, PA and a rural area 80 miles southeast for various metals. and found these results.

http://journals.co-action.net/index.php/tellusa/article/viewFile/10190/11817

As you can see, aluminum levels in Pennsylvania rain 40 years ago were about 600 micrograms (0.62 ppm) and were associated with higher levels of Silicon (Si) with a Si/Al ratio about 2 which indicates crustal aluminosilicate mineral dust as the main source.

Table 1. *Elemental contents in air particulates and rainwater samples*

K is the centroid of the distribution as shown in Fig. 2. All values for rainwater are in ppm. Values for air particulates are in relative weight with arbitrary normalization. ΔK is the ratio of the second maximum concentration to second minimum concentration

	Pittsburgh air particulate *K* (rel. units)	ΔK	Pittsburgh rainwater *K* (ppm)	ΔK	Rural area rainwater *K* (ppm)	ΔK
Al	No analysis performed		0.62	16	0.21 (8/34)	
Si			1.3	38	0.7	6.6
S			2.9	4.0	2.8	8.0
Cl			0.33	8.2	0.29 (6/34)	
K			1.4	4.0	0.39	5.0
Ca			1.2	6.6	0.71	4.0
Ti	0.042	5.6	0.036	13	Below sensitivity	
Mn	0.027	32	0.022	8.0		
Fe	0.645	11	0.20	30	0.078	13
Cu	0.007	11	0.12	5.6	0.15	13
Zn	0.028	9.5	0.088	7.0	0.082	14
Pb	0.040	9.5	0.041	3.4	0.019	5.8
Br	0.017	16	0.0096	8.0	0.0035	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO3zZ2UsAErFTz.jpg

2016-07-25T18:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757650933354418176

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch An indirect indicator is the Al in drinking water back in 1989. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO3bjBUEAAob0L.jpg

Table 6-3. Aluminum Concentrations Detected in Drinking Water in Various Regions of the United States

U.S. States	Aluminum concentration (µg/L)[a]
California	0–274
Colorado	42–166
Hawaii	12–124
Idaho	28–63
Illinois	3–467
Indiana	1–137
Kansas	12–245
Kentucky	9–400
Louisiana	12–210
Michigan	6–123
Minnesota	24–93
Missouri	2–368
Montana	11–98
New York[b]	254–299
Nevada	5–126
Ohio	2–245
Oregon	0–483
Pennsylvania[c]	688
South Carolina	2–1,029
South Dakota	2–502
Tennessee[d]	45
Texas	1–520
Utah	19–51
Washington	0–118
Wisconsin	12–118
Wyoming	16–608

[a]Range in values reported for each state
[b]Water sampled in New York City only
[c]Water sampled in Philadelphia only (one sample)
[d]Water sampled in Memphis only (one sample)

Source: Schenk et al. 1989

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO3bjBUEAAob0L.jpg

2016-07-25T18:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757649952042430464

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch It was an example, because people are familiar with sodium in food, and it not being a free metal in food.

2016-07-25T18:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757649803337617408

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch No, I just think they are both metals that are found in nature in their bound forms. Different minerals.

2016-07-25T18:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757649609942454272

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Notice it's very high on the West coast.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO2J8WUMAI75AJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoO2J8WUMAI75AJ.jpg

2016-07-25T18:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757649155665702912

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch Here, USGS, top 5 cm (2 inches) aluminum in dirt percentage. http://mrdata.usgs.gov/soilgeochemistry/#/detail/element/13

2016-07-25T18:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757648310152732673

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch But bound aluminum is in dirt, test show that as aluminum. So how do you know it's synthetic?

2016-07-25T18:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757647763857301504

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Give it a go: order some Aluminum Oxide powder, send to a lab to be tested. Results = Aluminum + Oxygen

---

2016-07-25T18:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757647237841203200

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch If you test aluminum oxide, then will your test show aluminum? That's what these tests show.

2016-07-25T18:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757647022006472704

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch They don't find free aluminum in their tests. Ask Dane.

2016-07-25T18:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757646845564727296

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch Like you know how sodium is in food, but it's a metal? Same thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoOzvgXVYAAFepB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CoOzvgXVYAAFepB.jpg

2016-07-25T18:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757646636092755968

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch Yes, but it's found BOUND in nature. That's what the tests detect.

2016-07-25T18:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757646266922741760

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch Like how food contain sodium, but they don't actually contain free sodium, the metal.

2016-07-25T18:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757645882661539840

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch You know the tests don't distinguish free aluminum from bound aluminum. Right?

2016-07-25T18:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757645767150415872

@opgeoengineeri1 @TerrisRyan @RealGeoEngWatch You mean this? http://education.jlab.org/itselemental/ele013.html It says aluminum is everywhere.

2016-07-25T18:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757644190033391616

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 If you can't post a link to it, then I'm going to assume it does

not exist.

2016-07-25T18:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757640898872172544

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 What tests are you referring to where they found "perfect nanoparticle sized circles" in blood?

2016-07-25T18:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757639527099793408

@TerrisRyan @opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Nobody pays me. And aluminum being about 8% of dirt is a verifiable fact you can look up.

2016-07-25T16:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757609964856750080

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch Why not? IT'S IN DIRT. Dirt is everywhere, plants grow in dirt. You eat plants. Dust is dirt. You breath.

2016-07-25T16:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757609651617734656

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 The tests don't distinguish metal from ore. When has it been found in perfect nanoparticle sized circles?

2016-07-25T04:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757428934698860544

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch dirt is 8% aluminum. So it's everywhere. Levels not changed.

2016-07-25T00:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757377033919877123

@derEngelLuzifer If he noticed them back then, then why did nobody else?

2016-07-24T21:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757321570368917505

@opgeoengineeri1 @RealGeoEngWatch How do they know what will happen? Scientists don't know.

2016-07-24T19:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/757293643405234176

@michaelshermer They are usually fakes
http://vamped.org/2014/10/31/6-reasons-why-you-shouldnt-buy-an-antique-vampire-killing-kit/

2016-07-23T21:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/756958591022534657

@RealGeoEngWatch So why do you think it's being done? Why would they do it if they have no idea what will happen?

2016-07-22T04:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/756352360528486400

@stang289 Yeah, it's just talk about possible future programs. Same as always.

2016-07-21T20:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/756231944019378176
@JunkScience Trump says climate change is a hoax.
http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2016/jan/24/bernie-s/yes-donald-trump-really-did-tweet-climate-change-h/

2016-07-21T18:09:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/756189438397468673
@SteerMark @VoiceoverLinds Surely things that happen all the time ARE normal, regardless of if you like them.

2016-07-17T13:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/754671204770779136
@screnwritter A good overview of Truther culture and mythology.

2016-07-17T01:49:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/754493193563930624
@TrutherbotE @CassSunstein The suggestion there is to slowly introduce them to the factual truth. Nobody benefits from Flat Earth nonsense.

2016-07-15T02:32:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/753779214998839296
@chucksteakpt I've not seen any here for a week. But they are not rare, they roughly follow the rainy season.

2016-07-15T02:31:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/753779090436403200
@tommy_babylon @CriticalSauce I'm saying there's no evidence the trails people see in the sky are anything other than contrails.

2016-07-14T23:20:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/753731026497576960
@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave It the hold is a high altitude, then contrails can form. Most holds are lower though.

2016-07-14T23:07:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/753727735730540544
@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave 747s and A380s get put in hold too. Why wouldn't they?

2016-07-14T22:18:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/753715297954041856
@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave Planes sometimes do a 360 for spacing, longer holds will be racetrack shaped. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnW78cCVIAEaGPs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnW78cCVIAEaGPs.jpg

2016-07-14T22:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753714979421888512

@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave But that IS a massive arc. Standard holds are racetrack shaped. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holding_(aeronautics)

2016-07-14T21:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753703712900558848

@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave Why not? What if there's a traffic hold at the destination airport, what would they do? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnWxh5lUMAA6pdt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnWxh5lUMAA6pdt.jpg

2016-07-14T20:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753686412185047040

@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave Would you agree that planes will sometimes fly in circles and racetrack shapes, for a variety of reasons?

2016-07-14T20:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753683660449079296

@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave Contrails. It's from a 1981 chapter on contrails.

2016-07-14T19:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753680172130283520

@tommy_babylon @CriticalSauce But if there's no evidence, then why would you believe?

2016-07-14T19:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753679924192419840

@chucksteakpt @WisdomsGrave Should I shut down this 35 year old book too?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnWbwzBUkAACvFN.jpg



Pl.174 Condensation trails made by jet aircraft — training or testing flight. Passenger planes rarely deviate in their course except in a holding pattern.

151

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CnWbwzBUkAACvFN.jpg

2016-07-14T02:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753415723305672704

@WisdomsGrave look up holding patterns. Been making curved trails for decades.

2016-07-14T01:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/753396360431284224

@WisdomsGrave That's a holding pattern. Contrails blown by the wind.

2016-07-12T01:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/752670208871976962

@4thAnon Did you write that?

2016-07-11T05:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/752371453702512640

@tommy_babylon @CriticalSauce So the actual "chemtrails" are the ones that look like persistent contrails?

2016-07-10T00:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/751934139146743808

@mickeypamo Discussing geoengineering was never a conspiracy theory. It's the actual doing of it that's the CT. This is just more talk.

2016-07-06T04:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750542479129206784

@RegimeChangeBC no, look up roll clouds and cloud streets. One thing at a time.

2016-07-06T03:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750538646843957248

@RegimeChangeBC look it up.

2016-07-06T02:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750517147160371200

@RegimeChangeBC http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmpfW_lUEAA3wSX.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmpfW_lUEAA3wSX.jpg

2016-07-05T17:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750382932200607745

@chucksteakpt @RegimeChangeBC @kooter4469 @LindaBesseling Look it up.

2016-07-05T17:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750376480459239424

@RegimeChangeBC @kooter4469 Cloud Streets. For example.

http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=81723

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmnfbHHUkAA1nP1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmnfbHHUkAA1nP1.jpg

2016-07-05T14:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750328614902652929

@chucksteakpt @RegimeChangeBC @kooter4469 @LindaBesseling two front often intersect at right angles.

2016-07-04T22:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750100167852847104

@Blurred_Trees How is that nonsense. Isn't that a problem? The explosives MUST survived the fireball for the theory to work. But it can't

---

2016-07-04T22:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750099856304119809

@Blurred_Trees Think about it. How could pre-wired explosives possibly survive the impact fireball? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjjxE-UIAEFTM5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjjxE-UIAEFTM5.jpg

2016-07-04T22:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750099466586169345

@Blurred_Trees How do you know there was "molten lava"? there were certainly fires in the debris pile, no blobs of molten rock recovered

---

2016-07-04T22:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750099227120799744

@Blurred_Trees Maybe you are not correct about how dumb I am. Do I actually seem dumb, other than disagreeing with you?

2016-07-04T22:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750099036162527232

@Blurred_Trees Why was there no evidence of melted girders, no partially melted girders at all? No blobs of melted steel?

2016-07-04T22:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750098837188980736

@Blurred_Trees How can thermite burn some steel, but throw other steel beams 500 feet?

2016-07-04T22:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750098728313204740

@Blurred_Trees You don't think the thermite story has some problems? How much thermite does it take to burn 8" thick steel in 0.1 seconds?

2016-07-04T22:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750097686812987393

@Blurred_Trees How did they set off this thermite?

2016-07-04T22:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750097503354138625

@Blurred_Trees So is thermite fireproof?

2016-07-04T22:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750097285443309568

@Blurred_Trees I'm addressing specific points (and you posted it 42 minutes ago :))

2016-07-04T22:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750097130941845504

@Blurred_Trees Why DIDN'T the impact, explosions, and huge fires set off the thermite? Was there no thermite here? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjhUYjUEAAeQdd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjhUYjUEAAeQdd.jpg

2016-07-04T22:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750096612790120449

@Blurred_Trees Of course not. I'm just pointing out the known problems with the thermite theory.

2016-07-04T22:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750095112512737280

@Blurred_Trees Why did the fires not set off the thermite?

2016-07-04T22:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750095007713939456

@Blurred_Trees Thermite? You mean a secret nano-thermite that is painted on and explodes violently, yet silently, with no fuse?

2016-07-04T22:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750094783402504192

@Blurred_Trees Very true.

2016-07-04T22:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750091975886381057

@Blurred_Trees Sorry, but you'd need to be a bit more specific. I've written thousands of posts on 9/11, so pick a claim of evidence.

2016-07-04T22:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750091591545528321

@Blurred_Trees No problem, and no offense, but you'd probably have more useful and constructive discussions if you were a bit more polite

---

2016-07-04T22:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750091179446841344

@Blurred_Trees So what did I get wrong about jet fuel? It can weaken steel beams can't it? Fire could conceivably intimate a collapse.

2016-07-04T22:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750090101087088640

@Blurred_Trees Can you give an example of something I got factually wrong?

2016-07-04T22:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750089879632039936

@Blurred_Trees So what about contrails lasting more than a minute. Was that information helpful to you?

2016-07-04T22:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750089497908432896

@Blurred_Trees No? So you'd refuse to engage with people who disagree with you? Or just punch them?

2016-07-04T22:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750089274691768320

@Blurred_Trees Smaller slower plane, low fuel load, concrete and masonry short span building. Different situation.

2016-07-04T22:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750088834868613121

@Blurred_Trees Would that make you right though?

2016-07-04T22:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750088727964164096

@Blurred_Trees Yes, but it's a discussion with engineers from AE911. Tony Szamboti is their main engineering guy.

2016-07-04T22:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750088517355597825

@Blurred_Trees And they did withstand the impacts. The fire (and stripped insulation) was the problem after that.

2016-07-04T22:05:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750088232902094848

@Blurred_Trees I DO look into it. For example, here's a very detailed discussion I had with AE911 folk 3 years ago.
https://www.metabunk.org/thermal-expansion-of-beam-k3004-integrated-instantaneous-vs-mean-coefficient-of-thermal-exp.t2557/

2016-07-04T22:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750087890894352384

@Blurred_Trees No, I'll watch any SPECIFIC claims of evidence, not a two hour video. Do the individual points not stand up to scrutiny?

2016-07-04T22:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750087588497666048

@Blurred_Trees If an explosion can throw a 20 ton girder 500 feet, how far would it throw a 5 pound steel plate? Why didn't it?

2016-07-04T22:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750087380992823297

@Blurred_Trees Why would only huge chunks fly into other buildings? Why were there no small chunks found miles away? Physically impossible?

2016-07-04T22:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750087194686074880

@Blurred_Trees Okay, if it DID collapse, then what would that look like? I take it you are familiar with the wide span construction?

2016-07-04T21:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750086688093802496

@Blurred_Trees I didn't say it exploded the building. The building collapsed, like the Verinage buildings.

2016-07-04T21:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750085817226260480

@Blurred_Trees "lies"? What have I lied about? I've read a lot about 9/11. What did I get wrong?

2016-07-04T21:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750082917259284480

@Blurred_Trees But it looked exactly like a progressive collapse. They seem to "explode" too.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjUagPUIAAd3LP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjUagPUIAAd3LP.jpg

2016-07-04T21:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750082024614948864

@Blurred_Trees What's your view of this photo? Does it show a vanished building?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjTnyyUMAA6s5z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjTnyyUMAA6s5z.jpg

2016-07-04T21:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750081879668191232

@Blurred_Trees That's a photo, it's not "my view", It's a fact.

2016-07-04T21:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750081740387946496

@Blurred_Trees And it did not "pancake on itself", it collapsed in a hugely chaotic wave of debris.

2016-07-04T21:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750081529494122496

@Blurred_Trees There was a huge debris pile. The building did not vanish, it just collapsed

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjTIBfUIAA1XEW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjTIBfUIAA1XEW.jpg

2016-07-04T21:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750080991432024065

@Blurred_Trees The building is collapsing, the floors are being smashed together just before the exterior walls fully fail.

2016-07-04T21:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750080694475378689

@Blurred_Trees and fire CAN make steel beams fail. And falling buildings make a lot of dust. So what more do you need?

2016-07-04T21:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750080548773568512

@Blurred_Trees Progressive collapses can happen though, as seen in that video.

2016-07-04T21:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750080337166807040

@Blurred_Trees I've done a lot of research. I've watched a lot of YouTube videos too.

2016-07-04T21:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750080187262332934

@Blurred_Trees Yes, I've read that. Was there anything in particular there you think holds up to examination?

2016-07-04T21:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750079900485230592

@Blurred_Trees How does fire make things hot? How much "lava" did you see? There's no evidence of molten steel, probably just aluminum.

2016-07-04T21:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750079664446541824

@Blurred_Trees No. I want to examine claims of evidence and point out things that are wrong.

2016-07-04T21:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750079398196289536

@Blurred_Trees Look at the debris pile. Most of the girders were undamaged, failed only at the connections. Why would that be?

2016-07-04T21:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750079248715558912

@Blurred_Trees When ANY building collapses there's going to be a lot of dust, regardless of how it happens. The steel was mostly undamaged.

2016-07-04T21:29:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750078985392926720

@Blurred_Trees But fire CAN weaken steel enough for it to fail, can't it?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CGsOkT__M7Y

2016-07-04T21:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750078659570987008

@Blurred_Trees The towers burnt for an hour or more. Steel can fail in a few minutes.

2016-07-04T21:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750078510257942528

@Blurred_Trees "lies"? Maybe I made a mistake, but I don't lie. I hate lies. What did I say that you think is a lie? I'll correct it.

2016-07-04T21:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750078242996957184

@Blurred_Trees Obviously you'd agree that fire can make steel beams fail. So why can't a big fire make a building collapse?

2016-07-04T21:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750078085458907136

@Blurred_Trees I never claimed to have credibility. You can independently verify anything I point out.

2016-07-04T21:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750077864989462529

@Blurred_Trees All these collapses used zero explosives. WTC was the same once it started going, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NwFHEoiUZ7o

---

2016-07-04T21:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750077605911490560

@Blurred_Trees No, fire just STARTED the collapse, gravity did the rest. It was a progressive collapse.

2016-07-04T21:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750077440936980480

@Blurred_Trees I posted a photo of a jet fuel fire making a beam fail. Is that not a fact?

2016-07-04T21:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750076850227916800

@Blurred_Trees I never claimed I wasn't stupid. Please assume I'm an idiot, as I'm not arguing from authority. Check it yourself.

2016-07-04T21:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750076649916342272

@Blurred_Trees So how is a jet fuel fire making a steel beam fail lacking in credibility? I mean, it DID FAIL, did it not?

2016-07-04T21:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750076400258813952

@Blurred_Trees It was on fire for hours though. And damaged by the WTC1 collapse.

2016-07-04T21:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750076222734888960

@Blurred_Trees Less than four minutes of a jet fuel fire can do this. How long did the towers burn? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjOQadUsAAbf0h.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjOQadUsAAbf0h.jpg

2016-07-04T21:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750075856257560576

@Blurred_Trees Well I don't. But you know jet fuel fires could VERY rapidly make steel beams fail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjOAhGUIAA5YFu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjOAhGUIAA5YFu.jpg

2016-07-04T21:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750075578229731328

@Blurred_Trees I don't think jet fuel alone did. It was a combination of the impact and the huge fires. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjNtgtVYAA_kcB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjNtgtVYAA_kcB.jpg

2016-07-04T21:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750075225916575744

@Blurred_Trees @Er_Nope @Womble67 @WTFRLY How many meteorologist? How many meteorology students? How many scientists?

2016-07-04T21:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750075068110123008

@Blurred_Trees @Er_Nope @Womble67 @WTFRLY There are hundreds of thousands of pilots. How many are alerting the public?

2016-07-04T21:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750074870407409664

@Blurred_Trees How would that effect what all the books on clouds say about contrails?

2016-07-04T21:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750073349577990144

@Blurred_Trees The towers fell from a combination of impact damage and fires from both jet fuel and the building contents.

2016-07-04T21:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750073107944091648

@Blurred_Trees No, But "yes or no" questions often have no simple correct answer.

2016-07-04T20:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750063213971009536

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY You then brought up a lot of other claims, which were largely wrong too, so I explained that as well.

2016-07-04T20:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750063093191839744

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Remember you started this with making a claim. That claim was wrong, so I explained that to you.

2016-07-04T20:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750062988388818944

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I'm going on about checking the evidence behind claims. I think it's important for claims to be fact based.

2016-07-04T20:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750062757077131264

@Blurred_Trees But at least you now know that contrails can last more than a minute. Thanks for the interesting conversation.

2016-07-04T20:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750062593448882176

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY And I don't "deny chemtrails". I examine the evidence and see if it holds up. If not, then I tell people. "debunking"

2016-07-04T20:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750062355204026368

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY The screenshot is there simply to highlight that the test used was

EPA 6010B. Feel free to look up how it works.

2016-07-04T20:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750062047920922625

@Blurred_Trees I'm very fact driven. I'm very open to correction. Feel free to point out anything I get wrong.

2016-07-04T20:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750061814772150272

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Actually the test they use does not distinguish between types.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjBOkjUsAAEUjd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CmjBOkjUsAAEUjd.jpg

2016-07-04T20:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750061561306226688

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Yes, here's me discussing it with Dane Wigington of http://geoengineeringwatch.org:

https://www.metabunk.org/wigington-west-geoengineering-debate.t2211/

2016-07-04T20:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750061148427284480

@Blurred_Trees If it was being sprayed on me, yes.

2016-07-04T20:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750061062683189248

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY That's basically people not understanding that dirt is naturally 8% Aluminum. It's everywhere.

2016-07-04T20:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750060597212053504

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Do you think contrails can last for hours?

2016-07-04T20:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750060487111430144

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I don't see any good evidence that there's a secret spraying program going on.

2016-07-04T20:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750060332110929920

@MickWest @Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I don't think there's a secret geoengineering program, if that's what you mean.

2016-07-04T20:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750060119388332032

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Define "chemtrails", because obviously there are chemicals sprayed from planes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi_rhfVYAEagjM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi_rhfVYAEagjM.jpg

2016-07-04T20:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750059764302831616

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY It's hard to prove a negative. However you can't see if the evidence actually holds up to examination.

2016-07-04T20:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750059583733846016

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I think that contrails can last for hours. I think that the trails people point are are very likely just contrails.

2016-07-04T20:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750058888284606464

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I've flown solo long distance in a small plane. Why?

2016-07-04T20:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750058727097577472

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY How long is "several hours"? Anyway you said "never more than a minute", so that's wrong, isn't it?

2016-07-04T20:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750058165299912704

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY But you said "never", so your claim, at least, is wrong.

2016-07-04T20:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750058040703881216

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY 1963: "OFTEN it may last for several hours"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9sxOUcAE2Wry.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9sxOUcAE2Wry.jpg

2016-07-04T20:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750057781118377984

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY 1972: "May last for many hours"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9fkUUEAAImUj.jpg

11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (see 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

11.4.1 These contrails are of various ages and are seen almost vertically overhead about three hours after sunrise in July at Wimbledon. The newest trail is the one from the top left corner: the oldest is the horizontal one, which has a delicate structure for which there is no obvious explanation.

11.4.2 This trail was seen above the sunset over Bognor Regis in July. The blobs were extended over about a mile horizontally and in the shear layer billows can be seen.

1972: Richard Scorer - "Cloud of the worlds"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9fkUUEAAImUj.jpg

2016-07-04T20:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750057160650792960

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Same in the current edition "may last for several hours"

https://www.britannica.com/science/vapor-trail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9ApqUsAAgYBj.jpg

Vapour trail

AEROLOGY

WRITTEN BY:
The Editors of Encyclopædia Britannica

Alternate Titles: condensation trail, contrail

Vapour trail, also called **Condensation Trail**, or **Contrail**, streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi9ApqUsAAgYBj.jpg

2016-07-04T19:58:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750056302479446016

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY They can do both. It depends on the weather conditions. See Encyclopedia Brittanica in 1983 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi8MQ3VIAE823-.jpg

Page 357


froze. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes the ice-crystal cloud spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi8MQ3VIAE823-.jpg

2016-07-04T19:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750054538128662528

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Well, let me know when you find a source that says contrails can't persist.

2016-07-04T19:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750054247190835201

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY But we are talking about how long contrails can last. You said just a few minutes. That's wrong.

2016-07-04T19:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750053949948858368

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY What sources? Can you quote one?

2016-07-04T19:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750053814187667456

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Can you find a book that says that? Every book I've looked at says they can last longer. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi58KeVMAAWd18.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi58KeVMAAWd18.jpg

2016-07-04T19:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750053441838329856

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Here's a piece about them from the 1950s.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi5oHqUkAAJmy2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi5oHqUkAAJmy2.jpg

2016-07-04T19:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750053181938233344

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY There was less air traffic, but there were still contrails. Like in that 1972 book for example.

2016-07-04T19:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750052657935425536

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY But you claimed that CONTRAILS never last more than a few minutes. That was the point I was addressing.

2016-07-04T19:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750051930026618881

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY A book about clouds will tell you about contrails though, and that's the point.

2016-07-04T19:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750051741975011329

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY All I wanted to point out was that contrails can persist, according to science and history.

2016-07-04T19:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750051534621188096

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Lots of normal variation in the size of the gap,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi32MCUkAAS_JV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi32MCUkAAS_JV.jpg

2016-07-04T19:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750051267406286848

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Plenty of persistent trails have a very visible gaps when formed. But the gap varies.

2016-07-04T19:38:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750051064221540352

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Are science books nonsense? Is perspective nonsense?

2016-07-04T19:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750050806443892736

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Planes can be 1000s of feed apart, and still look the same

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi3KiSUEAAB9ie.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi3KiSUEAAB9ie.jpg

2016-07-04T19:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750050673094324224

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Obviously persistent trails and short trails are different things. But they both come out of the same planes.

2016-07-04T19:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750050312367411200

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY I think that contrails can persist for hours. Let's start with that.

2016-07-04T19:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750050212450742272

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY It's explained in the book. The planes are at different altitude, so different atmospheric conditions.

2016-07-04T19:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750049466011398144

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Well, this 1972 book of clouds clearly exists, So what am I incorrect about? https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/albums/72157641669574294

2016-07-04T19:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750048509575897088

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY For example:

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi1EpSUEAAoOGu.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cmi1EpSUEAAoOGu.jpg

2016-07-04T19:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750048236740571136

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY No, the books specifically say contrails can persist for hours,.

2016-07-04T19:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/750044221944442881

@Blurred_Trees @WTFRLY Why do 70 years of books on clouds say contrails can last for hours?

2016-06-20T18:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/744962375040675840

@trutherbotornge Wrong on all counts. The trails are still just contrails. SRM geoengineering is not "admitted

---

2016-06-18T01:17:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/743975786412027905

@matthetube Game debugging is good training for debunking :)

2016-06-17T19:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/743895098514014208

Can orgonite block Electromagnetic Radiation? A Metabunk investigation:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-experiments-showing-orgonite-blocking-electromagnetic-radiation.t7678/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=01BrUDWVZKo

---

2016-06-14T19:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742805192198889472

@njk25l Aluminum is naturally in water (unless you filter it out). That is because a large % of dirt is aluminum.

2016-06-14T00:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742519150086488064

@EyeonicDJ Start a thread on Metabunk, so the results can usefully be shared.

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Flat-Earth/

2016-06-14T00:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742509268780228608

@TawnyaJones No, just planes crossing, leaving contrails.

2016-06-13T23:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742500651909844993

@EyeonicDJ reflective tape should be fine for effects like this, however you need a tiny curvature to scale correct http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ck3kNlEVEAIfoZ5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ck3kNlEVEAIfoZ5.jpg

2016-06-13T23:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742499931114471425

@EyeonicDJ Can you show a pic of "water on our globe" that shows the effect you are trying to duplicate?

2016-06-13T23:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742499730119217152

@EyeonicDJ You'd need to duplicate the radius of curvature before you worry about reflective properties.

2016-06-13T17:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742406071222820864

@njk25l Okay, pick one piece of evidence for that video that you think holds up.

2016-06-13T05:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742233425956864000

@njk25l That's just the toxicological profile of Aluminum Oxide. How is that evidence of spraying?

2016-06-13T05:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742233239184543744

@njk25l That's just a toxicological profile. They have them for everything.

2016-06-13T05:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742233107575635968

@njk25l That one was explained 8 years ago:
http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/

2016-06-12T19:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/742072381208813569

.@RHSTools The explanations for all those "suspicious" photos of planes with barrels:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ckxe1BlUYAAEyEd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ckxe1BlUYAAEyEd.jpg

2016-06-12T13:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/741986418684100608

@njk25l Who says this, and where do they say it?

2016-06-12T13:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/741986304917786628

@njk25l Which tests? I've looked at a lot, and none show levels higher than normal variation. Largely it's just dirt.

2016-06-12T00:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/741783200385490948

@njk25l Do you know what percentage of the Earth's crust is aluminum?

2016-06-12T00:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/741782790350372865

@njk25l Actually they seem to be just misunderstanding the the Reporting Level is. It's the

lowest measurable level.

2016-06-11T14:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/741634067418865666

@njk25l they found presence, not rise. And how would they know if they don't test the others?

2016-06-08T00:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740347118011834368

@JunkScience Saying China is some kind of giant open-air prison is also pretty absurd.

2016-06-08T00:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740346446247956480

@BenGranville There's plenty of full disk images. http://www.goes.noaa.gov/goesfull.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkY9KuOUkAAj_G7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkY9KuOUkAAj_G7.jpg

2016-06-08T00:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740345898060812288

@BenGranville It's a single image from the geostationary satellite ATS3

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkY8q0aUgAAVPan.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkY8q0aUgAAVPan.jpg

2016-06-08T00:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740345468727615491

@JunkScience So compare the US to free countries. Do you really not think this is a problem? http://www.nationmaster.com/country-info/stats/Crime/Prisoners-per-1000

2016-06-07T21:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740287639438249984

@wxdam I'm pretty sure the latter is an enclosed subset of the former.

2016-06-07T17:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/740232708652072960

@EyeonicDJ @3whitetiger88 And the flights thing: https://www.metabunk.org/flat-earth-theory-debunked-by-short-flights-qf27-qf28-from-australia-to-south-america.t6483/#post-158337

2016-06-06T20:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739917998647967745

@tell_it_yell_it @Chemtrails_Uk That's not an opinion. It's a photo from 1937. How does it change your opinion?

2016-06-06T20:29:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739917095060668417

@tell_it_yell_it @Chemtrails_Uk But contrails do that. So how do you know that's not a contrail? See this 1937 photo http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkS2ptCVAAAy2PN.jpg

---



Photo by R.A.F.

FIG. 3.—CONDENSATION TRAILS FORMED BY AIRCRAFT
November 13, 1937, 11.30 a.m.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkS2ptCVAAAy2PN.jpg

2016-06-06T20:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739912943760855040

@tell_it_yell_it @Chemtrails_Uk Notice the trail on the right is also dissipating. I though "chemtrails" were supposed to spread out?

2016-06-06T19:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739905449386901504

Water is also a good thing, but you don't want it over your house.

https://twitter.com/RealAlexJones/status/739904773583839233

2016-06-06T19:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739903871158386688

@AshWright87 Close enough, the visible trail can diverge from the track quite a bit due to wind and viewing angle.

2016-06-06T19:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739902926089396224

@AshWright87 The defense is very simple - there's no evidence. How does that not stack up?

What claim of evidence has not been debunked?

2016-06-06T19:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739902468507602945

@AshWright87 Does that look right? i.e. were you facing East when you took the photo?

2016-06-06T19:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739902201376579584

@AshWright87 I believe them to be unsupported by evidence. I've spent a lot of time looking at the claims of evidence. They don't hold up.

2016-06-06T19:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739901983021142016

@AshWright87 And quite often a contrail (or group of contrails) will spread out into a layer of cloud.

2016-06-06T19:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739901847935156224

@AshWright87 It happens. The conditions that support cirrus clouds are the same as the conditions that support contrails.

2016-06-06T19:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739901495198371840

@AshWright87 But conversely, contrail layers (ice-supersaturated layers) can be thousands of feet thick. Like invisible clouds, they vary

---

2016-06-06T19:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739901096949223424

@AshWright87 Like in your photo, it looks like it's going "up", but it's just flat at 34,000 feet.

2016-06-06T19:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739900751015616512

@AshWright87 Often planes look like they are descending when they are in level flight and just flying away, so they get closer to horizon

---

2016-06-06T19:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739900462481035264

@AshWright87 I reckon it was this one:

https://www.flightradar24.com/2016-06-06/19:07/12x/THY11/9f0bb4c

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkSnh5TUkAAQP1u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CkSnh5TUkAAQP1u.jpg

2016-06-06T19:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739898881396203520

@AshWright87 I'll look it up. Over Manchester?

2016-06-06T19:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739898823154094082

@AshWright87 Planes at different altitude leave different types of trails, It can be as little as a few hundred feet.

2016-06-06T19:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739898424896544770

@AshWright87 Probably a transatlantic flight getting lined up for LHR. What time did you take the photo?

2016-06-06T19:13:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/739897931080208384

@AshWright87 Yes it does. Shallow S-turns are very common, especially when nearing the destination. They usually do them for spacing.

2016-05-31T18:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737718320674177025

@SteerMark But why the change in topic. Do you agree that the planet has always looked like those satellite photos then?

2016-05-31T18:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737718127199277057

@SteerMark The plane, not the engines. The engines proceeded in, were badly damaged by columns.

2016-05-31T18:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737717909468774400

@digitalErmit I explain what the trails are. I debunk claims that are incorrect. I discuss the ACTUAL effect of contrails on climate. So?

2016-05-31T17:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737692618784546817

@digitalErmit How are you measuring "hardly been covered", there's plenty of discussion, links to current research.

https://www.metabunk.org/interesting-articles-about-contrail-research.t6499/

2016-05-31T16:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737684653084004352

@SteerMark @Mr__Killuminati When did it even NOT look like that, on a global scale?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjzIRkbUUAANuQj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjzIRkbUUAANuQj.jpg

2016-05-31T16:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737684159397646338

@ArmaghPlanet Well I wouldn't call it entirely necessary. More interesting, and possibly useful to some.

2016-05-31T16:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737683876995174400

@digitalErmit Huh? What exactly do you think MB is dong, regarding Kerosene? Planes make contrails. One can hardly cover up this fact.

2016-05-31T16:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737681479354851328

@digitalErmit So what do you mean that Metabunk leans towards Exxon? In what subject?

2016-05-30T20:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/737379233236819968

@tonsmorecowbell @joerogan I don't remember saying anything about square clouds. But nonetheless they happen. Intersecting fronts usually.

2016-05-29T17:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736980414884024320

@digitalErmit Which industry?

2016-05-29T17:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736979827375280128

@stompk @Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies plenty of those in jet exhaust.

2016-05-28T23:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736702475290349568

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies Only among people who believe the conspiracy theory. Among others there's no real change. Why would that be?

2016-05-28T22:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736688100307435521

@MickWest @Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies You don't remember persistent contrails, do you? And now you believe in chemtrails.

2016-05-28T22:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736688008364052481

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies The point of the chart is that lots of people remember contrails. Those who do not might fall for the CT hoax

---

2016-05-28T22:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736687744240386049

@tonsmorecowbell Regardless, the atmosphere s still a fluid, and fluids still ripple. Look it up.

2016-05-28T22:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736687551012999172

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies It's a similar percentage to ghosts, and reptile humanoids ruling the world.

2016-05-28T22:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736687164767899648

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies There IS a popular conspiracy theory though. People are interested in conspiracy theories.

2016-05-28T22:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736686951609180160

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies If you found they were not liars would it change your mind?

2016-05-28T22:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736686861490343937

@Kal1_Furies @Annthomas369Ann Just what's in the spreadsheet. Have a look, the comments are pretty interesting reading.

2016-05-28T22:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736683008359424004

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies So, if you found those those were all real people, would it change your mind at all?

2016-05-28T21:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736675901241335808

@WisdomsGrave @CriticalSauce All the books on clouds for the last 70 years say contrails can persist.

2016-05-28T20:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736653533378809856

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies I did. over 3 years. http://contrailscience.com/chemtrailpersistent-contrail-survey/

2016-05-28T20:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736653381838606336

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies How so? I set up a survey, let it run for years, collated the results.

2022-06-02T15:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532386615137513473

@Rocketnick10 I'm harping about others' harpings. The case itself has been sufficiently characterized as bokeh.

2022-06-02T15:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532377255095087104

@stefanie_ladley @CarolineCoramUK If it's leaving contrails, it's probably transatlantic flights from European cities. Check this out: http://contrailscience.com/map/

2022-06-02T14:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532375583543283713

@nostalgicartist @Manning123Sm Military pilots are not children, nor are they stupid. They can understand the skepticism and reasonable questions about extraordinary events.

2022-06-02T11:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532329976367763457

@lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @Serg_is_Vargas @Manning123Sm In Sitrec, if you increase the "Tgt Start Dist NM" to about 33, then you get a straight line.
https://metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-06-02T11:50:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532328906782494720
@james4125 It's exactly according to the UAP report.

2022-06-02T11:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532328736586010626
@blackvaultcom @dave_beaty @YouTube Maybe referring to him (and Travis Taylor) going to work at Radiance Technologies.

https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/john-f-stratton-jr-joins-radiance-technologies-as-sme-for-intel-strategy-301546258.html

---

2022-06-02T11:41:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532326644869779457
@Flanker14 Not what they remember happening.

2022-06-02T11:26:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532322757387571200
@ky_phili @maxwell_tubman Since it's the same shape as the stars, you can tell it's a small light, or a fairly tight cluster of lights.

What these light are attached to is unknown, but its flashing and motion is consistent with a plane.

2022-06-02T11:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532322216162906112
@PierreFDP1 I don't think so.

2022-06-02T11:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532321471631986688
@Flanker14 I don't think it was aliens.

2022-06-02T09:42:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532296618271133698
@skinnywalker7 I'm not there. I was not invited on that particular trip.

2022-06-02T08:50:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532283408671395840
@stefanie_ladley @CarolineCoramUK Opposite direction traffic is at a different altitude, to avoid collisions. Actually, there's a lot of variation. Different altitudes = different atmospheric conditions.

2022-06-02T08:47:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532282843501502465
@KEVINOLOUGHLIN2 Most UFO footage does not contain sufficient information to make a

resolution. That's why it's unidentified.

2022-06-02T08:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532278811647045632

@MoonTwin @lesliekean It's far away. Far away planes look small and close to the horizon.

2022-06-02T04:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532221665131700224

@Mike___Kilo @OSIRIS_UAP How would they know that? I'm not really part of the academic system. I have one paper credit (on chemtrails), and my current topic of interest is mostly relegated to fringe or predatory journals.

I'm open to specific suggestions.

2022-06-02T04:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532220069047959552

@OSIRIS_UAP Who? And why would they take my paper?

2022-06-02T04:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532219698196033537

@Sizzlefeggio If there wasn't a Non-Human Intelligence concealing itself, wouldn't it be logical that what appears as birds/drones/stars are actually just that?

2022-06-02T04:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532212263183994882

@BarlowTerry No, thatâ□□s not why. And no, not everyone is stupid.

2022-06-02T04:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532211949613682688

@JohnKYoung12 @mombojom @DietrichVFA41 Did they see it fly there? Or was there just another radar blip?

2022-06-02T04:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532211439058001921

@BlackLionMemnon @conterammundi @Go_Kick_Rocks88 @tinyklaus @SicCoP1 Itâ□□s a misunderstanding by the people who donâ□□t realize itâ□□s false. Itâ□□s a lie for those who do.

2022-06-02T03:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532210371657773057

@Rezeus2017 @edwardcurrent @thieme @ianpoley The people you list donâ□□t analyze data. Nor do they say there is conclusive evidence of anything amazing. There are puzzles. Thereâ□□s no trillion dollar reality.

2022-06-02T03:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532209859956879361

@OSIRIS_UAP Submit it where? What journal is interested in Gimbal and has a slew of relevant experts in their peer review pool?

2022-06-02T02:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532184169656090626

@ParanormalcyUSA Do you think itâ□□s 50:50? Why?

2022-06-02T02:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532183251724345344

RT @Strandjunker: The fact that I know more about Johnny Deppâ□□s and Amber Heardâ□□s relationship than I do about the crimes committed by Ginnâ□¦

2022-06-02T02:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532183107373133825

@EssentialBusin7 Quote this government admission.

2022-06-02T02:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532182734743171072

@ParanormalcyUSA I just think the balance is a little off. Itâ□□s not like itâ□□s 50:50. That should be reflected in the analysis - otherwise youâ□□ll miss things.

2022-06-02T02:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532181844996673536

@ParanormalcyUSA Yes, Iâ□□m trying to be accurate, and figure stuff out in a way that is independently verifiable.

2022-06-02T02:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532180526890512384

RT @chrislhayes: The overlap between the â□□The Woke Mob Is Destroying Free Speechâ□□ crew and the â□□Letâ□□s Open Up Those Libel Laws So We Can Baâ□¦

2022-06-02T02:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532180247923134464

@KilliK69 @thieme @patrick92german @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @esaagar His testimony being contradictory does not mean I have to pick one part as being accurate.

The video does NOT show a tic-tac shape. It shows an irregular shape.

2022-06-02T01:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532179655997870080

@EssentialBusin7 Where in the congressional testimony was this admitted? Where in the UAP report? Where in Pentagon statements?

2022-06-02T01:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532175520552480768

@KilliK69 @thieme @patrick92german @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @esaagar Their existence in the video is not at all clear.

Fravor did not see them when he had eyes on.

The shape in the video is not what he described.

2022-06-02T00:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532162933551050752

@JokeToSelf @SicCoP1 Thatâ□□s not what the briefing said.

2022-06-02T00:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532162834775166976

@ITSSPodcast @SicCoP1 It means the government hasnâ□□t admitted there are unknown craft flying around out airspace that do extraordinary things.

2022-06-02T00:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532157697746866177

@SicCoP1 Thatâ□□s the point.

2022-06-01T21:18:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532109380862083072

@SawanPa77614095 I retired.

2022-06-01T21:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532108860462227457

@devgru1980mi @krystalball @esaagar I'm better at UFO analysis :)

https://youtu.be/FFHKEATnmB4?t=27

2022-06-01T21:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532107040914477056

@KilliK69 @TheUfoJoe @MvonRen An anonymous source, who claimed to be an avionics systems technician for the air force.

Happy to talk to any expert.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2022-06-01T21:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532105890316226560

@SawanPa77614095 Actually, I did pretty well at producing video games.

2022-06-01T20:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532096345456070656

Anyone who still has lingering doubts about if the "green triangle" video is just an out of focus light (bokeh) should view the version released by the government. It clearly shows additional, identifiable, stars. Altair at 0:33. Triangle-shaped.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-wOIww5qQf8

2022-06-01T20:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532095815677661184

@TheUfoJoe @krystalball @esaagar I disagree. I try to figure things out, and I have figured some things out. I have not figured everything out.

2022-06-01T20:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532094186966568961
@sSamsquantch @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @esaagar It was a UAP. It is not now.

2022-06-01T20:16:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532093751031521280
@McDegenGrill Not based on the videos no. And even the eyewitness accounts from Fravor, Day, Dietrich, etc, are not admitted by the government as proving some hyper-g craft.
Nor do they admit they have sensor data that proves this.

It's all "more study needed"

2022-06-01T20:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532093157055180800
@LaMao0o0o0 Here's what it leads to.

https://twitter.com/Paranormalacct/status/1401264625237344260

There's a big difference between something a few things in a group appearing to do something and that thing being proven to actually happen. So far nothing has been proven, but many promoters are acting like it has.

2022-06-01T20:12:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532092651834462208
@BillHickokWild_ It's a big universe. Hard to look everywhere.

2022-06-01T20:11:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532092534440140801
@UfoSunday I explain that in the above video.

2022-06-01T20:09:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532091947644428288
@the_cholla @krystalball @esaagar Any close trajectories are "robust and reproducible" because the closer you get to the camera the more the possible trajectories are limited to follow the camera trajectory.

And "match witness accounts" is, as you well know, false.

2022-06-01T20:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532091152433700864
@LawrenceMail Slightly more extreme:
https://twitter.com/AstralTrader69/status/1516921051535446017

2022-06-01T20:04:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532090819942830080
@LawrenceMail It varies. Example:
https://twitter.com/Eyes_OnTheSkies/status/1295361809176813568

2022-06-01T20:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532089762735005697
@Deleo77a1 Not knowing what something is is different from knowing they are doing extraordinary things.

2022-06-01T19:57:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532089065574567936
@McDegenGrill Not ones that "do extraordinary things", no.

They have said few sightings APPEAR to be unusual, but they might be observer misperception, sensor errors, or spoofing. More study needed.

2022-06-01T19:51:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532087521559031808
@HRFaulkner @TheUfoJoe @sSamsquantch @JeremyCorbell @esaagar Using that logic then anything could be anything else.

2022-06-01T19:49:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532087053097308163
@gfodor I'm referring specifically to the propaganda technique of repeating an extraordinary claim often enough that it becomes, for some, accepted fact. Is there a better term?

2022-06-01T19:45:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532085999219552256
@TheUfoJoe @sSamsquantch @JeremyCorbell @esaagar I was referring to the triangle shape being bokeh. That's 100% proven to be true. The extra stars in the longer video confirm it. We don't know if it's a plane or a drone behind it, but that's irrelevant.

The point is @JeremyCorbell is still saying they were flying pyramids.

2022-06-01T19:43:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532085500571332609
Now, most people who repeat this are not actually lying, because they think it's true, because they have been told that it is true. So for many, it's a big misunderstanding, but they are still essentially repeating a falsehood that corrupts the conversation.

2022-06-01T19:41:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532085024710721537
The "Big Lie" of UFOlogy is the claim that the government has admitted there are unknown craft flying around out airspace that do extraordinary things.

Using this falsehood avoids having to discuss the lack of unambiguous evidence for such a thing.

https://youtu.be/qlSX7p3Bjy0?t=188

2022-06-01T19:37:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532083952575389696

@TheUfoJoe @sSamsquantch @JeremyCorbell @esaagar When something becomes debunked - i.e. the falsehood in it is exposed - is that not a good result?

2022-06-01T19:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532083432297074688

@WissiW @TheUfoJoe @MvonRen @BrandonFugal I've yet to hear back from Brandon. He's a busy man.

2022-06-01T19:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1532082876023418880

@mission_europa @krystalball @esaagar Seemed like a semantic disagreement at the time. I said Mellon did it, not Congress. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUMNQTGVIAAYedb.jpg

---



Mick West @MickWest · Sep 23, 2021

Chris Mellon has acknowledged that he was "the person" responsible for getting the UAP report to happen.

christophermellon.net/post/the-uap-r...



1 1



Mission to Europa Ψ(x,t) @mission_europa · Sep 23, 2021

But he has no power, he is simply taking credit for maybe getting ball rolling

1



Mick West @MickWest · Sep 23, 2021

So? He's the one responsible for it happening. He made it happen. Just him.

1



Mission to Europa Ψ(x,t) @mission_europa · Sep 23, 2021

I can't tell, is this dry sarcasm? Even in what you wrote he just says "As the person who lobbied..."

lobbied != actions by Congress

1



Mick West @MickWest · Sep 23, 2021

Congress didn't "take action" any more than they "take action" on any little earmark that finds its way into a bill. This one didn't even have any funding associated with it. Just "make a report".

1



Mission to Europa Ψ(x,t)
@mission_europa

Replying to @MickWest

Yes, an earmark in legislation by Congress is "action", that seems beside the point though? Did Mellon sneak into Congress at the dead of night and personally

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUMNQTGVIAAYedb.jpg
2022-06-01T19:26:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532081190999470080
@TheUfoJoe @MvonRen I'd be happy to discuss this with any of the experts cited. Who were they?

2022-06-01T19:09:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532076948679077889
@the_cholla @krystalball @esaagar I think that FLIR1 makes no sudden moves, that GoFast is not going fast, and that the Gimbal object is not rotating. I think that has been demonstrated very convincingly by the evidence. I'm always happy to discuss suggested problems and other findings with honest investigators.

2022-06-01T18:28:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532066624315854848
@mission_europa @krystalball @esaagar And what specific facts do my opinings outweigh?

2022-06-01T18:27:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532066275437924352
@_EL_AS_ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-06-01T17:48:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532056480676859904
Thanks to @krystalball and @esaagar for letting me explain the skeptical perspective on the recent UAP hearing and videos. We're all trying to figure out what is going on, some with more passion than others. But let's avoid advocacy outweighing facts.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qlSX7p3Bjy0

2022-06-01T17:32:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532052560126676992
@_EL_AS_ I did some analysis on that one here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-06-01T16:26:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532035817782116352
@Linkchadlad @528vibes I don't do @528vibes videos, as they simply post old stuff with zero context - for clicks.
This one is from 2018, and had no provenance back then, showing up on YouTube complete with ominous music.

2022-06-01T14:14:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1532002532418981888
@Athancolorado They are just contrails. Probably around 30,000 feet. One is at an altitude where there was a more humid region of the atmosphere (like a cloud, but invisible)

When contrails are partially transparent, it's nearly impossible to tell if one is above or below

the other.

2022-06-01T13:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531993169579352064

@nicoanderson4 @xssfun @Anony_Mouse777 Can you demonstrate that any two show the same object - and why some show no object?

2022-06-01T00:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531787918276038656

@ispitcoldsnow Something small and close to the camera - only LOOKS like it is going fast.

2022-05-31T22:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531772428376231938

@MetaStudioLogic I'm sure he's talked to people. But he's basically just repeating some unsubstantiated stuff someone told him.

2022-05-31T22:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531772164113694720

@skinnywalker7 It's footage taken by a government employee while doing their job, and so it is in the public domain.

2022-05-31T22:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531771885934940160

@TSPriley @ChrisSPITZER7 Seems unimpressive. What about it do you think is significant?

2022-05-31T21:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531746445396561920

@xssfun @Anony_Mouse777 Different thing.

2022-05-31T21:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531742602948530176

@PLEASE_LOOK_UP @FullStackLogan Who do you think is paying me? How much? And why?

2022-05-31T21:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531742436845756417

@TheJonBrooks @the_cholla Interlacing is only a full frame issue if your conversion software gets the field orders reversed. Otherwise it's just the things with significant movement.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUHXm1QVIAANoLL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUHXjieVIAAl4yu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUHXm1QVIAANoLL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUHXF_1UUAAD34r.jpg

2022-05-31T19:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531721752287404032
@Ed_2da_Werd It's a puzzle. A slide claims there are optical interference fringes in a frame of the Gimbal video.

But are there? I'm just wondering how obvious it is to other people.

2022-05-31T15:43:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531662737767403520
@strsscptl It's not over the ocean, it's close to the camera.

2022-05-31T15:15:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531655696109883392
@Anony_Mouse777 Can you give an example?

2022-05-31T15:12:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531654848122212353
@EmpireStoic There is none. The object enters the frame from the right side, not from in the water.

Nobody noticed it, and you'd think a hypersonic craft flying out of the water between swimmers would be the type of thing people noticed.

2022-05-30T16:36:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531313640367742976
@_tonygaeta yes.

2022-05-30T16:34:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531313100263018497
@ThereAreNoStars That was my initial impression, but it's too fast for a bird.

2022-05-30T16:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531312279131545601
The above is in slow motion. At a more typical frame-rate a fly path looks like this.
Notice the fly looks like a black blob with a varying white blob (the wings)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUBQQqNVIAA62KK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUBQQqNVIAA62KK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FUBP9gFVUAAA9iI.jpg

2022-05-30T16:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531311091233353728

@ashworth_mick I'm not seeing much data. What specifically?

2022-05-30T16:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1531311025688956929

UFO close up. This resembles something we often see - an insect, maybe just a common housefly.

SFA -> Small, or Far Away?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1531310461055950850/pu/img/IIRautMfL5dDN9je.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1531310461055950850/pu/img/IIRautMfL5dDN9je.jpg
2022-05-30T15:06:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531290922629009408
@Z3ddyZ3d @roydherbert I think it's probably a small thing close to the camera, like an insect.

2022-05-30T14:21:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531279700609273859
@rogeressig @galarzian A classic sighting!

2022-05-29T20:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531012324642828290
@FutureRider4 @AstroKat27 @SugarmanSpeaks Like an insect. There seems to be periodic motion and a wavy path that indicates a biological flying thing. Speed and frequency (and nobody actually noticing it) indicates something small.

2022-05-29T20:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531011414097219585
@ZanzibarIand White object, high up, movingly slowly.
Probably a balloon, maybe even a weather balloon

2022-05-29T20:21:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1531007954379386880
@FutureRider4 @SugarmanSpeaks I think it's something smaller now.

2022-05-29T19:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530998021525123072

@Mr___Frames @Oswald1160 @lbburns13 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks He sent me the video, it's 1280x720 - recorded in slow motion so variable framerate. The quality is not much better than the Twitter version due to digital zoom.

2022-05-29T18:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530978317163147265

@JillOfTheBox @MikeWel61647760 @MissytheMuse That's just the chemical signature of dirt. Same type of thing with testing water or soil. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8gePTUUAA2xIi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8gePTUUAA2xIi.jpg

2022-05-29T18:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530975208500174849

@Oswald1160 @JohnRob52817188 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks I agree. My initial assessment didn't account for the slow motion.

2022-05-29T18:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530974945106309120

@jacques_oneill @MiddleOfMayhem I'm open to it. Details are still to be materialized.

2022-05-29T17:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530960899791417344

@DeftoneSpeak @Saw_a_UFO @SugarmanSpeaks @TuckerCarlson @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell it does not come out of the water, it comes off the right side of the frame.

2022-05-29T16:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530946167088947201

@Magic_CAD @hoaxeye @richgel999 @ufoofinterest Flapping wings can give that effect.

There are not enough pixels to tell.

2022-05-29T16:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530945851840876544

@JohnRob52817188 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks A small one. And after some more analysis, maybe an insect. Almost certainly something with wings though.

2022-05-29T16:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530945590967734272

@CalebLe74625772 It's dark. He's using IR vision, basically, the same thing we are looking at. So he sees three dots.

In the meantime, he's in the middle of training and doing a takeoff.

2022-05-29T16:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530944724982386689

@Oswald1160 @lbburns13 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks Here's part of the path, rotated and vertically compressed, to exaggerate the periodic motion direction changes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8B26VVsAA6x19.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8B26VVsAA6x19.jpg
2022-05-29T16:09:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530944356835659776
@Oswald1160 @lbburns13 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks Here's a better track of the object (previous one was just bright pixels)

Periodic brightness changes and weaving path indicate flapping-driven motion (bird/bug)

@SugarmanSpeaks http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8BM8MUsAAg3Nr.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT8BM8MUsAAg3Nr.png

2016-05-28T20:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736653192277069824

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies It's not a representative of the general population. Only ~5% of folk are kind of chemtrailers

---

2016-05-28T19:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736647893361135619

@SteerMark Did you check? https://www.google.com/search?q="cross+swell"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkZWumUYAAbhf8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkZWumUYAAbhf8.jpg

2016-05-28T19:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736647317135073280

@SteerMark Sure it does. It happens all the time. Why wouldn't it?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkY0E9UoAA_CIE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkY0E9UoAA_CIE.jpg

2016-05-28T19:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736646454823903233

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies Here's a spreadsheet, has about 150 who remember pre-1990s

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/persistent-trails-history-survey-2016-02-10-xlsx.17483/

2016-05-28T19:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736644983441129472

@SteerMark Here's the full set:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157654588723464

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkWtWtUgAAMlPT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkWtWtUgAAMlPT.jpg

2016-05-28T19:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736644357759983616

@SteerMark It's not new. It's a photo over my house I took in 2014.

https://www.metabunk.org/moonlit-contrails-at-night.t2964/

2016-05-28T19:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736643961217916929

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies Don't you believe the "chemtrail" thing started in the 1990s? So even in your theory millions of kids saw?

2016-05-28T19:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736643057374744576

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkU9JIUkAQoOji.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkU9JIUkAQoOji.jpg

2016-05-28T19:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736642634567933956

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies You think Prince was the only person who noticed them?

2016-05-28T19:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736642525285359616

.@SteerMark How ripple clouds are formed. No HAARP required.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkUXqJVEAA6f4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjkUXqJVEAA6f4f.jpg

2016-05-28T19:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736642225845592066

@SteerMark Or simply ripples. The atmosphere is a fluid. Fluids ripple.

2016-05-28T19:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736641958987194372

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies Why? And plenty of people DID see them as kids.

2016-05-28T19:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736633869781192704

@Annthomas369Ann @Kal1_Furies It looks exactly like contrails.

2016-05-27T03:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/736037192632434694

@BrianDunning @DoctorKarl Orient your hand vertically and sideways. The sensors are active, so need a perpendicular surface for reflection.

2016-05-27T00:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735993713231466496

@Rene_devries Well, how much aluminum would you expect to find in rain in Sacramento?

2016-05-26T18:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735901863367708675

@chucksteakpt An official? Peterson was an insurance crop loss adjuster.

2016-05-26T17:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735888412171849728

@chucksteakpt What, the Pernilla Hagberg thing? She's just some person who ran as Green in a local election. She's not an "official".

2016-05-26T17:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735879996074393600

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 It basically says that if they disagree with you they are lying. No actual investigation needed.

2016-05-26T17:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735879709309829121

@chucksteakpt Unlikely, not that many overflights in Sweden.

2016-05-26T17:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735878905328861185

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/6-20.htm suggests that if it takes you away from the faith, then it's not knowledge

---

2016-05-26T17:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735878230838632448

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 http://biblehub.com/1_timothy/6-20.htm "what is falsely called knowledge" - so how is "knowledge" determined?

2016-05-26T16:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735877711701233664

@chucksteakpt It's rare for people to win money on 0, but it happens every day.

2016-05-26T16:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735877444637335553

@Kal1_Furies @aluminumsky111 It's not normal, it's an HDR photo that makes the sky look weird.

2016-05-26T16:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735877258825453568

@chucksteakpt And I suspect that particular photo is from Europe, which tends to have denser contrail grids, as more packed traffic.

2016-05-26T16:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735876929824251904

@chucksteakpt Rare things happen wth a certain average frequency. Like how 0 comes up about 1 out of every 37 spins on a roulette wheel.

2016-05-26T16:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735876031001681920

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 You should look at the context. The Bible has a particular take on what is "knowledge"

2016-05-26T16:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735875665778475012

@chucksteakpt Not at all. In fact that's an unusual amount of contrails. But sometimes we have unusual weather. Rare things happen sometimes

---

2016-05-26T16:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735875332222259200

@Rene_devries Should they? Did they?

2016-05-26T15:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735855595287281664

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 @bonfire_mars How do you know they are not contrails? There's more air traffic now.

2016-05-26T15:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735855340122603520

@stompk There are aerosols both in the atmosphere, and in jet exhaust. So what's needs debunking? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjZIh87VAAEiKtb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjZIh87VAAEiKtb.jpg

2016-05-26T14:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735845909863354368

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @bonfire_mars so you think the trails Prince saw in the 60s were "chemtrails"?

2016-05-26T14:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735845651154534401

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @bonfire_mars Yes, after watching psycho. as a kid, I developed a fear of showers :) Cured now.

2016-05-26T14:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735845333909917697

@Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @bonfire_mars Not any more :) I was scared of the dark as a child. Started my interest in ghosts.

2016-05-26T14:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735845142788071424

@Rene_devries The "Reporting Limit" there is just the lowest level possibly to accurately detect. So that's low.

2016-05-26T14:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735843612538200065

@chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @bonfire_mars Rare? Prince saw them all the time in the 60s. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjY90O4UkAMLlXa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjY90O4UkAMLlXa.jpg

2016-05-26T05:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735701036732534784

@chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @bonfire_mars I'd be happy for responses from anyone. What did I get wrong?

2016-05-26T04:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735695238308626433

@jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars so give an example

---

2016-05-26T00:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735621525047377920

@jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars If so, why can't you give one example?

2016-05-25T23:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735621464141922304

@jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars Well I'm not getting any responses when I ask for mistakes I made. Did I make any?

2016-05-25T23:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735618771251908608

@jelo1317 @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars Can you give one example?

2016-05-25T22:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735601183046258688

@Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars What science did I get wrong?

2016-05-25T14:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735480463523975168

@jelo1317 @chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann I've explained all the proposed evidence. What did I miss?

2016-05-25T01:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735278607711371264

@chucksteakpt @AlienAxioms What's the actual "new" thing that the the NWO is bringing about? The world order has ALWAYS changed.

2016-05-25T01:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735278445253382144

@chucksteakpt @AlienAxioms So what does the world "New" mean in the context of the NWO? New vs. the middle ages?

2016-05-25T01:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735275773548859392

@chucksteakpt @AlienAxioms Yes, the "New World Order". What's new about it?

2016-05-24T23:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735254246849814528

@AlienAxioms @chucksteakpt So it's not "New"?

2016-05-24T22:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735237227953815552

@chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 And many other hypothetical programs that might happen in the future.

2016-05-24T21:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735227503086686208

@chucksteakpt @bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 But the MIT talks is discussing possible future geoengineering.

2016-05-24T19:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735190191044845569

@bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Do you think there are men on Mars because of this video? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_XUl8xw0Ywg

---

2016-05-24T19:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735189883820462080

@bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 You can find videos on all kinds of speculative stuff. Does not mean it's happening

---

2016-05-24T18:59:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735183381651017729

@chucksteakpt @trutherbotblue Quite the opposite. I have the highest regard for reality, facts, evidence etc. And I'm a big fan of awareness

---

2016-05-24T16:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735140644511879172

@slyfox__ Kind of looks like a contrail.

2016-05-24T16:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/735140278219071488

@chucksteakpt Isn't the absence of evidence of a large dragon in my garage evidence that there is no large dragon in my garage?

2016-05-24T04:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734969709389484032

@grinagainagain It's not clear if it increases the net amount of precipitation. Maybe a waste of money, but no real harm done.

2016-05-24T04:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734969334770991104

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 @TchuTchx Why?

2016-05-24T03:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734948175325204480

@chucksteakpt @trutherbotblue unless the thing in question would necessarily create evidence.

2016-05-23T18:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734810824007507970

@GlennBodnar It's just the weather. The planes are still there. https://www.flightradar24.com/

2016-05-23T18:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734809377035542528

@Dan_E_V And why would reflections make ripples?

2016-05-23T18:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734809000269602816

@jelo1317 @DKeithClimate @Harvard @bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt If you can't post a link, then I suspect it does not exist.

2016-05-23T18:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734808261514272768

@ReddingRosamond @jelo1317 So even though you can look up the original cartoon, you think it's fake because *I* pointed it out?

2016-05-23T06:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734625300752044032

@bonfire_mars @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Where is MIT's analysis?

2016-05-23T04:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734599578520047617

@courtskinz @Dan_E_V @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 just type it.

2016-05-23T04:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734599432642138112

@courtskinz @jelo1317 how do you know?

2016-05-23T04:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734599321853775873

@courtskinz @jelo1317 contrails can persist.

2016-05-23T01:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734554615346126848

@74f5c728e7d446d Why are you using a photo of contrails then?

2016-05-23T01:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734554276660273152

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx No, you didn't explain why old clouds also had ripples. What's different now?

2016-05-23T01:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734552067767959552

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx If you've read the book, then why do you think cloud ripples are unnatural?

2016-05-23T01:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734551872879497216

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx

http://www.atmos.washington.edu/~hakim/101/snowflakes/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGnBKzUoAAQQz6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGnBKzUoAAQQz6.jpg

2016-05-23T00:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734548260065562624

@trutherbotruby @trutherbotbitch Those are documents about weather modification. Wignington says that's irrelevant.

http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/climate-engineering-and-regional-weather-modification-understanding-the-difference/

2016-05-23T00:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734547488904994816
@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx Rimed Stellar Dendrites and Stellar Plates.
https://www.google.com/search?q=Rimed+Snowflakes&num=50&source=lnms&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGjBGWUUAAZO2y.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGjBGWUUAAZO2y.jpg
2016-05-23T00:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734546338679906304
@ReddingRosamond @jelo1317
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CjGiAHiUkAQ2C3a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CjGiAHiUkAQ2C3a.jpg
2016-05-23T00:42:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734545105252556800
@ReddingRosamond @jelo1317 https://youtu.be/0GnkJLFP0PM?t=1m6s

---

2016-05-23T00:38:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734544095520030721
@Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 @chucksteakpt @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 It's not a job. But I challenge you to try it for a day.

2016-05-23T00:38:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734543936325332992
@ReddingRosamond @jelo1317 Its from Tom & Jerry "Hic-cup pub" created 1952 shown 1954
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hic-cup_Pup http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGftShVAAArnKl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjGftShVAAArnKl.jpg

2016-05-23T00:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734543670788132864

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx Oh, it's already there. I use the NIV translation though.

2016-05-23T00:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734543180608249856

@ReddingRosamond @jelo1317 That's a fake.

2016-05-23T00:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734543126409269248

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx Great verse, I'll add it to my article.

2016-05-23T00:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734542788927193089

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx Have you ever looked into the science of clouds? Ever read a book about clouds?

2016-05-23T00:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734537191322308609

@4thAnon You must be new here.

2016-05-23T00:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734536775281061894

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann How?

2016-05-23T00:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734536720834695168

@Annthomas369Ann @Dan_E_V @TchuTchx The air is rippled, essentially waves in the air. Have a look in any book on clouds.

2016-05-22T21:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734498263106125824

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann But clouds have been rippling since the dawn of clouds. In photos since the dawn of photography.

2016-05-22T21:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734498128452161537

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann There's no known mechanism where EM waves could create ripples in clouds.

2016-05-22T21:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734497796414394368

@jelo1317 Things do not become false through repetition.

2016-05-22T21:34:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734497660934066176

@redding_red @jelo1317 Why would photos of what look like contrails be evidence of "chemtrails"?

2016-05-22T19:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734459388610633728

@Alba_gamer @TelaAntkowiak @sjbenson101 @rmbctious Unless it's -40Â° and the air is ice supersaturated. That happens at cruise altitudes.

2016-05-22T19:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734459033197776896

@trutherpott @Ryan_Shear27 Weather modification has always been in plain sight. It does not leave trails though. Look it up.

2016-05-22T18:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734457408169218048

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann Ripples don't indicate unnatural things. That's the point. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjFRE75UYAAoH94.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjFRE75UYAAoH94.jpg

2016-05-22T18:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734457092489109505

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann The point was simply that ripples and waves occur in nature. Just fluid mechanics.

2016-05-22T18:48:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734455964519170049

@jelo1317 I'm saying there's no evidence "chemtrails" even exist.

2016-05-22T18:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734455782041755648

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann No, they were formed by the water. Air and water are both fluids.

2016-05-22T18:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734455619294355456

@Dan_E_V @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 So why would they patent something if they wished to do it secretly?

2016-05-22T18:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734455331171012608

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann Because they were formed under water.

2016-05-22T18:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734447980774752256

@Dan_E_V @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Nope. http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-do-regular-wavelike-s/

2016-05-22T18:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734447611722137600

@4thAnon And why didn't they just look up why Purple was used for the Queen's birthday? http://www.livescience.com/33324-purple-royal-color.html

---

2016-05-22T18:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734446941094989825

@4thAnon Some context.

https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

2016-05-22T18:11:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734446725528576001

Was Prince killed for talking about chemtrails? No.

https://www.metabunk.org/demythologizing-princes-reference-to-chemtrails.t7598/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjFHMrMUUAA3J73.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjFHMrMUUAA3J73.jpg

2016-05-22T15:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734409701471838209

@RabbieGuevara @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 Ask @chucksteakpt, he was suggesting it was fake snow.

2016-05-22T15:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734409535901700097

@Annthomas369Ann @jelo1317 @chucksteakpt @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 Digital zoom contrast enhancement.

2016-05-22T15:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734409271731851264

@RabbieGuevara @TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Well, they are a lot smaller + irregular surface http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjElRA8UYAAt9gI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjElRA8UYAAt9gI.jpg
2016-05-22T15:40:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734408690036539393
@RabbieGuevara @chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 I'm retired. I was a video game programmer.

2016-05-22T07:33:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734285947085295616
@jelo1317 look it up

2016-05-22T03:36:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734226279155666944
@jelo1317 weather modification is local and very brief in duration. GE modifies climate.

2016-05-22T02:02:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734202804953174016
@jelo1317 weather modification is not geoengineering. Ask Dane.

2016-05-21T22:26:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734148372739694592
@TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Wind. But there isn't really a "center" there, two independent sets of waves.

2016-05-21T22:24:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734148004760801281
@jelo1317 @chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 You'd have to tell me what they were again. I get asked a lot of questions.

2016-05-21T22:20:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/734146765591453697
@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 The mechanism

might be clearer here: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjA2lo-UgAAotb4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjA2lo-UgAAotb4.jpg

2016-05-21T22:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734146621336739841

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 Here's a fun one, bit different. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjA2dj-VAAAkeh4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjA2dj-VAAAkeh4.jpg

2016-05-21T22:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734146315932700672

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 That's just gravity drive, similar principle and conditions (~32Â°F). Slides.

2016-05-21T22:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734145763358343170

@jelo1317 What about them? You know weather modification is a real thing that's been done since the 1950s, right?

2016-05-21T22:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734143540171702272

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CjAzpASUoAAcUkJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CjAzpASUoAAcUkJ.jpg

2016-05-21T22:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734143364644233216

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 The snow is being blacked by soot. I explain: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.t3026/

2016-05-21T22:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734142126359859201

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 Snow Rollers were observed in 1847. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjAyHpaVEAAeJv4.jpg

---

SYMONS'S RAINFALL CIRCULAR.

SNOW ROLLERS.

In last month's circular a description of these singular formations was promised, and in fulfilment thereof, the following statement has been drawn up from several communications forwarded by the observer (Rev. C. Clouston), who first drew attention to them in 1847, by a note in the *Philosophical Magazine*. They have hitherto only been observed in the vicinity of Sandwick Manse, and even there only on four occasions, viz.—Feb. 11, 1847, March 5, 1862, Feb. 18, and (a few) March 26, 1865,—a combination of the following conditions being necessary: (1) a recent fall of loose snow-flakes in calm weather; (2) temperature near 32° to give adhesion to the snow without thawing it; (3) a good brisk wind rising after the fall. Under these conditions, the snow ripples up, as it were, and the ripples breaking into sections, the wind rolls each in its own path, until, just like a schoolboy's snow-ball, they rapidly increase in size, and have been found 3½ feet long, and 7 feet in circumference; while others are not as many inches. "On examination, they are all found to be cylindrical, like hollow-fluted rollers, or ladies' swandown muffs, of which the smaller ones much remind me, from their lightness and purity. The centre is not quite hollow, but in all there is a deep conical cavity at each end, and in many there is a small opening through which one can see." Their density seems to be about one-ninth that of water, as one 3 feet long, and 6½ feet in circumference weighed 64lbs. Their number is variable; in 1847, 133 were counted in one acre, and nearly 400 acres were covered with them. It may be well to add that the manse stands near the top of a very gentle slope, rising from the sea, whence it is distant about two miles; the coast which is generally fringed with cliffs of considerable height, drops to, and even below the level of the sea, west of the manse, and allows the sea to form an arm, running nearly up to the manse grounds. There is no high ground in the neighbourhood, but gentle undulations in all directions. I cannot help thinking that the briny breeze which thwarts all attempts at growth on the part of trees in the open country, produces these beautiful snow rollers, though the relative influence of the wind and the salt remains to be investigated.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjAyHpaVEAAeJv4.jpg

2016-05-21T21:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734141353483862016

@jelo1317 Uncinus, like the cloud.

2016-05-21T21:51:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734139654694281216

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 Popularity is not a measure of accuracy.

2016-05-21T21:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734139147904917505

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317

https://books.google.com/books?id=qJhFAQAAMAAJ&dq=snow%20roller&pg=RA1-PA95#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjAvqIQUoAACXD-.jpg

---

hand, thus indicating but slight packing of the snow in the wind rollers (Fig. 4).

From the conditions observed in this instance, it would appear that the flakes of a light fluffy layer of surface snow are made adhesive by a sudden rise in air temperature, while the under snow still remains cold and dry, and the particles of damp surface snow are enabled to adhere to each other, but not to the dry under snow. A strong wind may then push over little prominences or projections of the surface snow, and start them rolling, when of course they will continue to travel and grow until the resistances overcome the propelling power of the wind.

Miss Ione A. Jillson subsequently reported having once witnessed the formation of snow rollers, and at the suggestion of the writer contributes the



FIG. 4.—Snow Rollers at Canton, N.Y., February 20, 1907.

following account. As its author appears to be habitually careful and painstaking in both observation and statement, the description is thought to be of value:—

"During the winter of 1895 I watched the formation and progress of so-called snow rollers. The temperature was probably a trifle above the freezing point, following a snow-storm characterised by very large light flakes. For the most part the wind seemed to sweep downward and get under a slightly projecting mass of snow, and set it in motion. As the roll grew in size the speed, at first very rapid, slackened, until the mass became too compact and heavy to be moved farther. Sometimes a triangular-shaped "card" of snow, often 3 or 4 ins. across the base, would rise and fall several times before the wind gained sufficient purchase to turn the point over and start the roller. This card looked very much like the corner of a piece of paper as it rises and falls with the wind

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CjAvqIQUoAACXD-.jpg

2016-05-21T21:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734138147420835840

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 It's not new, it's just rare. Rare things show up quick on the internet.

2016-05-21T21:31:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734134593029214208

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 It doesn't. You can't bend it. http://www.viralforest.com/snow-melted-cabins-roof-strange-way/

2016-05-21T21:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734134176304107520

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Snow_roller

---

2016-05-21T21:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734133474345390081

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 What don't you understand?

2016-05-21T21:25:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734133156664594433

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Those are not perfect concentric circles.

2016-05-21T21:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734132933968039936

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Reflection.

2016-05-21T21:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734132838207889409

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 There is nothing wrong with it. Why do you think there is?

2016-05-21T21:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734132714291372034

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Hybrid contrails occur when wake vortices interact with exhaust contrails.

2016-05-21T21:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734132515351318528

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Hybrid just means made from two or more different things.

2016-05-21T21:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734131978488807424

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @jelo1317 How so? Can you give an example of something I got wrong?

2016-05-21T21:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734131728697036800

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 So... you agree contrails have always persisted?

2016-05-21T15:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/734043033398706176

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 As does this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci_YN9CVAAMiDJe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci_YN9CVAAMiDJe.jpg

2016-05-21T00:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733821697623625728

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Clouds like lenticulars can, as they constantly re-form. But not clouds of dust.

2016-05-21T00:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733813004072976385

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @jelo1317 I've read it now. Case Orange is bunk, contains no evidence, many mistakes.

2016-05-21T00:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733812746249084932

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Because you are looking at it sideways. The sand pic has points too.

2016-05-20T23:29:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733801785274425345

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Ripples are found in nature. Why not clouds? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci78ziJVEAAun-f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci78ziJVEAAun-f.jpg
2016-05-20T23:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733801507322134528
@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @jelo1317 I don't remember. Link it again.

2016-05-20T23:27:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733801318771490816
@Annthomas369Ann @digitalErmit @TchuTchx Above or below? Same thing, as the light adds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci78VxSWsAAkyMX.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ci78VxSWsAAkyMX.jpg
2016-05-20T23:25:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733800915480633344
@Annthomas369Ann @digitalErmit @TchuTchx How would it look different if were above vs below? Draw it.

2016-05-20T23:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733800683179114496
@Annthomas369Ann @digitalErmit @TchuTchx That's because the clouds are made of billions of water droplets. So there's a net effect, adding.

2016-05-20T23:24:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733800488357990400
@MickWest @Annthomas369Ann @digitalErmit @TchuTchx If two overlapping clouds are not blocking light, then you can't tell which one is behind

---

2016-05-20T23:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/733800317523890177

@Annthomas369Ann @digitalErmit @TchuTchx No I'm quite serious, and have given it a lot of thought. It's essentially additive transparency.

2016-05-20T23:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733799347905781760

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 If the dust or smoke is suspended the air, then it moves when the air moves.

2016-05-20T22:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733782333879398400

@TchuTchx @digitalErmit @Annthomas369Ann It's an optical illusion. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.t4334/

2016-05-20T21:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733766980100988929

@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 That makes no physical sense. They would fall.

2016-05-20T21:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733766618853998592

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 It's a contrail with a shadow on a haze layer

---

2016-05-20T00:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733459108376903683

@chucksteakpt @TchuTchx @jelo1317 If it were a "chemtrail", then why does it not move with the wind?

2016-05-20T00:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733458976419909633

@chucksteakpt @TchuTchx @jelo1317 They are large snowflakes.

2016-05-20T00:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733450682544459777

@TchuTchx @chucksteakpt @jelo1317 Looks like a standing wave. Similar to why lenticular clouds don't move despite the wind.

2016-05-19T23:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733446123923218434

@Annthomas369Ann @scia_chimica So what? Cloud seeding does not leave trails, and there are plenty of other books.

2016-05-19T05:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733172573752582145

@chucksteakpt @jelo1317 @TchuTchx One hears of many things for the first time. Does that mean that everything we never heard of is unreal?

2016-05-18T22:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/733059641043619840

@Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @TchuTchx @rothiemoon The trail is above the clouds.

https://www.metabunk.org/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.t4334/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CixZ1a4UgAAuPU4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CixZ1a4UgAAuPU4.jpg
2016-05-18T17:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732988826247921664
@chucksteakpt Then find an ugly meteorologist. Ask an expert.

2016-05-18T15:35:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732957758924935168
@Annthomas369Ann I don't think that the point changes though, it still reads like a story made up to cover the disciples stealing the body

---

2016-05-18T15:34:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732957480498659328
@Annthomas369Ann Thanks, I've corrected it. I must have been paraphrasing a different account. Some people do suggest the earthquake did it

---

2016-05-18T14:48:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732945850670817280
@chucksteakpt they are natural. Large snowflakes. Ask a weatherman.

2016-05-18T05:06:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732799597630873600
@chucksteakpt Many things in nature are "obviously" absurd. Like the duck-billed platypus. It's just new to you. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CittSftWgAE-MSw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CittSftWgAE-MSw.jpg

2016-05-18T05:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732798462274703361

@chucksteakpt The video appears to show silk from ballooning spiders. I see it here all the time, especially in the AM.

2016-05-18T00:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732728430676975616

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann Why not exactly? Have you not seen snowflakes before? Then can be 10mm or more across

http://blog.quartoknows.com/quartohomes/2016/02/23/the-stellar-dendrite-snowflake/

2016-05-18T00:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732726422255505408

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann They are snowflakes. As have been observed since antiquity. Sometimes they are big. https://www.metabunk.org/giant-snowflakes.t7229/

2016-05-17T22:39:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732702024278499330

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann It's not about trust. This is not religion. It's just simple science.

2016-05-17T22:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732701843210440704

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann I'm not trying to silence them. I'm pointing out that their claims of evidence are wrong.

2016-05-17T22:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732693859109494784

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann What about actual evidence? Why not study contrails? Why not see what elements are NORMALLY found in rain?

2016-05-17T22:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732693747171921920

@chucksteakpt @Annthomas369Ann So you'd trust anyone who says the same story as you've been told is true. Seems rather circular.

2016-05-17T22:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732692919035944960

@scia_chimica Contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CisMU8jVAAEmww6.jpg

---



Pl.173 Ice crystals falling from a condensation trail left by an airplane. Since most contrails form at temperatures colder than −40°C (−40°F), their moisture quickly generates ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CisMU8jVAAEmww6.jpg

2016-05-17T22:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732692557616975872

@tecn_preocupado @63_Cris @EstherCld No, acabo de utilizar el Traductor de Google.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CisL4z7VEAALXpd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CisL4z7VEAALXpd.jpg
2016-05-17T19:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732655380040310784
@Annthomas369Ann @TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon can you explain why they are not contrails?

2016-05-17T18:27:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732638806084444160
@tecn_preocupado @63_Cris @EstherCld
por lo que encontrar aquellos elementos en todas partes

2016-05-17T18:27:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732638617189814272
@tecn_preocupado @63_Cris @EstherCld
"Suciedad" aquÃ- significa suelo. El suelo estÃ¡ compuesto de esos elementos (y otros)

2016-05-17T18:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732637997812744192
@chucksteakpt There you go, no download needed. Just some photos of an old book. From 35 years ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraXEhUkAAR_3j.jpg

---



Pl.172 The thin veil-like clouds seen at the top of the cloud mass at the upper center are produced by vertical displacement of moist air and are called pileus.

150

Pl.173 Ice crystals falling from a condensation trail left by an airplane. Since most contrails form at temperatures colder than −40°C (−40°F), their moisture quickly generates ice crystals.

Pl.174 Condensation trails made by jet aircraft — training or testing flight. Passenger planes rarely deviate in their course except in a holding pattern.

151

Pl.175 The awesome cloud that has been generated by the explosion of an atomic bomb. Smooth surface of cloud is caused by rapid displacement of moist air, which produces condensation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraXEhUkAAR_3j.jpg

2016-05-17T18:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732637820209111041

@chucksteakpt http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraNu_VEAEI4ml.jpg



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

UNUSUAL CLOUDS 139

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_H$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraNu_VEAEI4ml.jpg
2016-05-17T18:23:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/732637699664830464
@chucksteakpt http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraGv-VAAITAG9.jpg

flight, or as one of the hardy souls who lives in these latitudes.

There are of course many other forms of unusual clouds. Giant convective clouds such as thunderstorms, hailstorms, tornado clouds, hurricanes, and many other organized systems, present an endless series of challenging opportunities to see, describe, or better yet photograph atmospheric phenomena. Generally the observer must be on the periphery of such clouds to have the light and the proper perspective to see them. In time, for those who become interested, experience will show that it is unwise ever to be far away from a camera!

In addition to the unusual clouds that appear in the "natural" atmosphere there are others that occur because of some sort of cataclysmic or unnatural mechanism. Examples are the clouds formed by the heat above smokestacks condensing the atmospheric moisture on a cold morning, and the clouds that form above electric generator cooling towers wherein the moisture comes from the aeration of hot water.

Nature also produces some very unusual clouds in sporadic instances. These include mixtures of water droplets, gaseous vapors, and ashy residues blown out of the earth by volcanoes, and the spectacular water droplet clouds that are emitted by geysers in places like Yellowstone Park.

In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Chapter 2 explained that a cloud forms when the moisture content of the air at a particular temperature exceeds a critical amount and that this condition can be attained in 2 ways: (1) adding water vapor to the air from an external source, or (2) cooling the air, thus reducing the amount of moisture it can hold. A second important fact that was discussed (and is illustrated in Appendix 16) is that at a given temperature, slightly more water vapor can be held over a water surface than over an ice surface.

It is easiest to consider the contrails laid down by commercial jets that commonly fly from 10 km to 13 km (32,800–42,600 ft.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiraGv-VAAITAG9.jpg

2016-05-17T18:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732637360890863620

@chucksteakpt (oops, forgot the key link)

https://mega.nz/#!0E4VlLAY!qZDzojNWVQpNy60OTNw9Zun0RCJIf6Mp9anzJWs_VZc

---

2016-05-17T18:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732637154191368192

@chucksteakpt https://mega.nz/#!0E4VlLAY Have you read it?

2016-05-17T18:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732637072029155329

@chucksteakpt Please. Just look at the science for a while. Why would 70 years of books be faked if they only just started?

2016-05-17T18:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732636709947473920

@chucksteakpt You think I'm lying, and you appreciate it? That's horrible.

2016-05-17T18:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732636552480755712

@chucksteakpt What evidence did Snowden give?

2016-05-17T18:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732636409702416384

@chucksteakpt So no evidence then. What evidence did the others give?

2016-05-17T18:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732636238893584385

@tecn_preocupado @63_Cris @EstherCld Check for other elements. It's just dirt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirYxu5UUAAoiWM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirYxu5UUAAoiWM.jpg

2016-05-17T18:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732636069905072128

@chucksteakpt What evidence did he show?

2016-05-17T18:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732635885598965760

@chucksteakpt Here's the PDF file on a neutral site. Please just give it a look. Verify your assumptions. https://mega.nz/#!0E4VlLAY

2016-05-17T18:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732633697212489728

@chucksteakpt How can you know it's a chemtrail if you don't understand contrails?

2016-05-17T18:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732633593197912066

@chucksteakpt Okay I will. If you read that chapter from the Peterson guide to Atmosphere, from 1981. https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2016-05-17T18:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732633117224116224

@chucksteakpt It's a PDF file. Just download it.

2016-05-17T18:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732633020448940032

@chucksteakpt Read the chapter. It explains a lot.
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2016-05-17T18:04:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732632941495357440

@chucksteakpt And how do you know? You can't tell how high the planes are. Two planes 100 feet apart vertically can leave different trails

---

2016-05-17T18:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732632707637772288

@chucksteakpt Focus on the sections that are in bold. Like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirVjVAUgAAoiuz.jpg

In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails —contrails— are a commonly observed feature of the sky. **Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus.** Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft: at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult in locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, **contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirVjVAUgAAoiuz.jpg

2016-05-17T18:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732632522861858816

@chucksteakpt Do me a favor. Read this very short chapter on contrails. See if it explains anything for you.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2016-05-17T18:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732632151741472768

@chucksteakpt Why are there books describing it happening?

2016-05-17T18:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732632064260866048

@chucksteakpt Why dod you think so many people remember it happening?

2016-05-17T18:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732631989098938369

@chucksteakpt Well according to science, history, and lots of other people with different memories, it did.

2016-05-17T17:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732631474814341120

@chucksteakpt But they key point here is that THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF EXTRA ALUMINUM. None. Just finding normal variations.

2016-05-17T17:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732631328219267073

@chucksteakpt And since aluminum is so common (billions of tons), a 0.01% increase isn't going to do anything.

2016-05-17T17:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732631153941733377

@chucksteakpt Yes it does, it it takes years to fall it would be impossible to correlate with visible trails

---

2016-05-17T17:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732630787984523264

@chucksteakpt No, I just know that A) someone talked about it. B) it could not make persistent trails. C) it would not be detectable.

2016-05-17T17:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732628510523543552

@chucksteakpt key words would be "particle size" and "settling velocity". Nanoparticles are <0.1 microns (<100nm). How fast do they fall?

2016-05-17T17:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732627865443827714

@chucksteakpt How fast do nanoparticles fall? Please look this up.

2016-05-17T17:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732627756182208513

@chucksteakpt Of course you can't prove something isn't happening, but you CAN point out the

lack of evidence.

2016-05-17T17:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732627659578953732

@chucksteakpt Well you quoted David Keith, he says he doesn't know of any evidence it's being done. Are you gong to selectively believe him?

2016-05-17T17:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732625927134281729

@chucksteakpt Very high in the atmosphere. Above where planes fly. Would not be detectable on the ground. And not yet done.

2016-05-17T17:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732625482668113920

Chemtrail promoters STILL claim there's some "chemtrail geoengineering footprint" But they only test for 3 elements. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirOkFgVAAAcP8G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirOkFgVAAAcP8G.jpg

2016-05-17T17:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732623154695143425

@chucksteakpt Same reason you find them in rain, soil, air, people. Those elements are everywhere. Try testing for others too.

2016-05-17T17:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732622962939990016

@chucksteakpt They do if they are dusty. Because dust has "high" levels of those elements naturally. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirMsGYUkAAiY7w.jpg

---

Abundance of elements in Earth's crust

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The table shows the **abundance of elements in Earth's crust**. Numbers show percentage or parts per million (ppm) in mass; 10,000

Note that numbers are estimates, and they will vary depending on source and method of estimation. Order of magnitude of data can r

Rank	Z	Element	Symbol	Lithosphere abundance[1]	Relative proportion (ppm)[2]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[3]	
1	8	oxygen	O	460,000	474,000	460,000	46
2	14	silicon [A]	Si	277,200	277,100	270,000	27
3	13	aluminium	Al	81,300	82,000	82,000	8(
4	26	iron	Fe	50,000	41,000	63,000	5(
5	20	calcium	Ca	36,300	41,000	50,000	3(
6	11	sodium	Na	28,300	23,000	23,000	2(
7	19	potassium	K	25,900	21,000	15,000	2(
8	12	magnesium	Mg	20,900	23,000	29,000	2(
9	22	titanium	Ti	4,400	5,600	6,600	6,
10	1	hydrogen	H	1,400		1,500	1,
11	15	phosphorus	P	1,200	1,000	1,000	1,
12	25	manganese	Mn	1,000	950	1,100	9(
13	9	fluorine	F	800	950	540	2(
14	56	barium	Ba	500	340	340	5(
15	6	carbon	C	300	480	1,800	9(
16	38	strontium	Sr		370	360	
17	16	sulfur	S	500	260	420	5(
18	40	zirconium	Zr		190	130	2(
19	74	tungsten	W		160.6	1.1	
20	23	vanadium	V	100	160	190	
21	17	chlorine	Cl	500	130	170	4(
22	24	chromium	Cr	100	100	140	3(
23	37	rubidium	Rb	300	90	60	
24	28	nickel	Ni		80	90	1(
25	30	zinc	Zn		75	79	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CirMsGYUkAAiY7w.jpg

2016-05-17T16:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732604283225411584

@TchuTchx @Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon Variable humidity in the atmosphere. Like why clouds have edges. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7trRUYAELscN.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7trRUYAELscN.jpg

2016-05-17T16:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732603902592311296

@chucksteakpt Have you looked up ballooning spiders? Do you know what they are?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7XH6VAAEs_5d.jpg

Rev. Gilbert White, whose "Natural History of Selborne" has been so long and deservedly popular, describes such an incident as occurring in England on September 21st, 1741. At daybreak he found the stubble and clover grounds matted all over with a thick coat of cobwebs, in the meshes of which a heavy dew hung so plentifully that the whole face of the country seemed covered with two or three fishing set-nets drawn one over another. The dogs were so blinded by this deposit that they could not hunt, but lay down and scraped the encumbrances from their faces with their fore feet. "As the morning advanced," writes the author, "the sun became bright and warm, and the day turned out one of those most lovely ones which no season but autumn produces, cloudless, calm, serene, and worthy of the south of France itself. About nine, an appearance very unusual began to demand our attention—a shower of cobwebs falling from very elevated regions, and continuing without any interruption, till the close of the day. These webs were not single filmy threads, floating in the air in all directions, but perfect flakes or rags; some near an inch broad, and five or six long, which fell with a degree of velocity that showed they were considerably heavier than the atmosphere.

Material of the Shower.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7XH6VAAEs_5d.jpg

2016-05-17T16:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732603541055922176

@Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @TchuTchx @rothiemoon Or this. The shadow will be there though, tip of the trail shadow http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7CdyUYAAMmAp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq7CdyUYAAMmAp.jpg

2016-05-17T16:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732602870697058304

@Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @TchuTchx @rothiemoon It's just very small and grey relative to the contrail. Like this http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq6bcFUkAAVyMv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ciq6bcFUkAAVyMv.jpg

2016-05-17T05:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732442999699968005

@chucksteakpt It's not an act. I actually do think those are webs from ballooning spiders. As would any spider expert you ask.

2016-05-17T05:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732442803695943680

@chucksteakpt No, it's not. However that's what you will find in dirt. Maybe dirt got stuck on the web somehow?

2016-05-17T05:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732441897227194368

@Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @TchuTchx @rothiemoon Can you see the plane?

2016-05-17T04:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732431682700107777

@chucksteakpt ballooning spiders.

2016-05-17T04:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/732431436305719296

@Annthomas369Ann @Oswald1160 @TchuTchx @rothiemoon it's a shadow on a cloud layer below the contrail.

2016-05-15T18:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731912527978749952

@TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon you are simply looking at them a lot more now.

2016-05-15T18:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731912331412668417

@TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon based on what?

2016-05-15T16:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731877917534212098

@TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon but they have done that since the 1920s. Maybe you just noticed it now?

2016-05-15T14:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731861471668273154

@TchuTchx @Oswald1160 @rothiemoon I've not noticed a change. How long have you studied them?

2016-05-15T07:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731747147024982017

@TchuTchx @rothiemoon same reason those clouds are not a solid sheet.

2016-05-14T22:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731617519899791360

@TchuTchx @rothiemoon And temperature is below -45. Really pretty good contrail conditions. http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/showmap-euroupper.php?run=2016051412&var=TMP&lev=300mb&hour=003 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cic6IAHUoAAf2W7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cic6IAHUoAAf2W7.jpg

2016-05-14T22:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731616995985104897

@TchuTchx @rothiemoon Somewhere between 50 and 90, so good contrail conditions. http://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/showmap-euroupper.php?run=2016051412&var

=RH&lev=300mb&hour=003 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cic5tRVUgAEow5e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cic5tRVUgAEow5e.jpg

2016-05-14T21:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731604820549734400

@TchuTchx @rothiemoon If it's ice supersaturated up there, how is it impossible?

2016-05-14T05:40:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731358388592906240

@rokro11 @ChrisWilson101 @Todaysopinionis @mbsevans @sjparis Then how will you know if it's lying?

2016-05-13T18:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/731184945746432001

@_HystErica if chemtrails are not real, then why not debunk them?

2016-05-12T15:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730774631347408897

@CAchemtrails @Lookupcolorado2 Hybrid contrails with crow instability.

2016-05-12T14:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730771380052992001

@_HystErica @TrutherbotUFO @hhmom10 And what if the air is ice supersaturated? And temperature below the homogenous freezing point of water?

2016-05-11T20:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730501675593523200

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt Yes, I do it because people doubt my story, so I give them something they can check.

2016-05-11T03:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730243335017795585
@chucksteakpt @davidicke @tbigggs I question authority.

2016-05-10T23:43:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730181441418461184
@chucksteakpt I'm in this video, 27 seconds in: https://youtu.be/FFHKEATnmB4?t=27s

---

2016-05-10T23:40:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730180662871740416
@chucksteakpt Unfortunately a bit late now :)

2016-05-10T23:27:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730177544058589184
@Kal1_Furies @opgeoengineeri1 I was telling @chucksteakpt, since he's the one who asked.

2016-05-10T23:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730176195908657152
@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 I did the Tony Hawk's Pro Skater games.

2016-05-10T23:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730176096839180288
@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 I'm not incredibly wealthy, just retired. But other than that, correct.

2016-05-10T23:07:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730172424654753793
@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Yes, it gave no evidence except observing contrails.
http://www.aerialdischargeclassaction.ca/uploads/files/Scan%20of%20Claim.pdf

---

2016-05-10T23:00:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730170709658337280
@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Meteorologist? Who are they, and what evidence do they present?

2016-05-10T22:42:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730166173535342592
@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies So focus on the actual evidence. Are they doing it and leaving no evidence?

2016-05-10T22:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730165858933202945
@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies But what does that prove, beyond the fact that scientists are studying it?

2016-05-10T22:40:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/730165725642383360
@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @Kal1_Furies He wrote a whole book arguing the case for geoengineering.
http://www.amazon.com/Climate-Engineering-Boston-Review-Books/dp/0262019825

2016-05-10T22:39:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730165354433912832

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies No, I don't work for anyone. I thought you were suing the government.

2016-05-10T22:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730163180077375488

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Why hide the evidence? Won't it get scrutinized in court? Why not now?

2016-05-10T22:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/730163049508659200

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Are you going to tell the government "do your own research" in the lawsuit?

2016-05-10T04:58:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729898322022916096

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @Kal1_Furies Yes, revolving door is a big problem. See: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.t2632/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiEemiyUUAAFGxe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiEemiyUUAAFGxe.jpg

2016-05-10T04:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729897532516540418

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies But surely you have it lined up to the lawsuit? So what is it?

2016-05-10T04:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729897408486768642

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @Kal1_Furies Sure. I'm aways happy to chat about these

topics.

2016-05-10T04:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729896914074763264

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @Kal1_Furies From the CDC. Where do you get your data? http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/leading-causes-of-death.htm

---

2016-05-10T04:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729895709370015744

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies And how do you know they are at the same altitude? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiEcQfBUUAA-9dS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiEcQfBUUAA-9dS.jpg

2016-05-10T01:27:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729845273585844224

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Let's focus on your evidence. How do you know they are "chem planes"?

2016-05-10T01:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729845099383787520

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Can you not visually distinguish a "chem plane" from a normal plane?

2016-05-10T01:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729844216193388544

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies How do "chem planes" visually differ from planes leaving persisting contrails?

2016-05-10T01:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729843817382174721

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Can you give an example of this evidence? What's the best "high zoom vid"?

2016-05-10T01:12:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729841608678477825

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails The way EPA 6010B works is a fact, is it not?

2016-05-10T01:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729841431695613953

@chucksteakpt @Kal1_Furies @opgeoengineeri1 So why is life expectancy increasing? Why no change in CLRD mortality? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiDqyy5UkAEM38H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiDqyy5UkAEM38H.jpg

2016-05-10T01:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729841040975269889

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Don't you see that's circular logic? How do you know they are "chem planes"?

2016-05-09T23:11:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729811127731818496

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies Is that indicative of the type of evidence? The high bypass thing?

2016-05-09T23:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729810984169144320

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies But they think high bypass jet engines can't make contrails.

2016-05-09T22:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729804660475760641

@chucksteakpt @opgeoengineeri1 @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies How do they know they are not taking photos of persistent contrails?

2016-05-09T19:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729752315796709376

@BizzyBlazinBudz

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-sandy-hook-dad-dave-wheeler-caught-in-swat-uniform-on-day-of-shooting.t7123/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiCZ1dcVIAAYmYg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiCZ1dcVIAAYmYg.jpg

2016-05-09T19:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729751446791421952

@chucksteakpt different speeds, surfaces, and angles. Do the math.

2016-05-09T19:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729751115235872768

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails check the test, see the test method, look it up.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiCYvR6VAAAIQMG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CiCYvR6VAAAIQMG.jpg

2016-05-09T19:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729750834519511040

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails Likewise, if you test dirt, aluminosilicate minerals, you find aluminum, but it's not metallic.

2016-05-09T19:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729750524308787201

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails You know, for example that table salt is sodium chloride, sodium is a metal. Test salt, find sodium

---

2016-05-09T19:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729750251947462656

@Kal1_Furies @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails I'm sure when they list the test type on the results. EPA 6010B detects elements regardless of form

---

2016-05-09T19:08:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729749839949389824

@opgeoengineeri1 @chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails @Kal1_Furies They will shortly find out. Of course the THINK they have evidence. Check it.

2016-05-08T23:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729447089126531072

@SteerMark @karinebio13 Something like this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oLfRbUNQDwk

2016-05-08T22:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729442795815997440

@chucksteakpt @trutherbotyelow Maybe they are, but if so they are doing it without leaving evidence.

2016-05-08T22:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729442635090305024

@SteerMark @karinebio13 So have you read the report that shows how the plane was shredded?

2016-05-08T18:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729374857041879040

@SteerMark @karinebio13 They didn't. They were badly damaged, but they were found inside the Pentagon.

2016-05-08T06:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729189492574195712

@stang289 Yeah, that's a typical hold pattern. But it's not clear WHY it's flying a hold. Probably not important though, something routine.

2016-05-08T05:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729188831317008388

@chucksteakpt Sure

2016-05-08T00:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729106556533379072

@chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails_ @Devinator23bear I'm talking about contrails. They persist if the air is ice-supersaturated. (RHi > 100%)

2016-05-07T23:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729086451384619008

@TrutherbotUFO @hhmom10 So why don't clouds dissipate? The sky is cloudy now here, why does it not dissipate?

2016-05-07T21:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729064351856918529

@chucksteakpt @SteerMark @karinebio13 It's just a free app that I used once.

2016-05-07T21:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729064223431532544

@chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails_ @Devinator23bear No, contrails require ice supersaturation to persist.

2016-05-07T20:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729047370130804736

@SteerMark @karinebio13 This app is great for reposition your WiFi router for best results: https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/wi-fi-sweetspots/id855457383?mt=8

2016-05-07T20:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729046218869870592

@SteerMark @karinebio13 But your WiFi signal is weak. That's why you are having connection issues. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch4XoPbVEAI5Pxf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch4XoPbVEAI5Pxf.jpg

2016-05-07T20:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729039709234569216

@SteerMark @karinebio13 Looks like you've got a poor WiFi connection there

---

2016-05-07T20:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729039416241487872

@chucksteakpt @ed_betty @chemtrailHou @OpChemtrails_ @Devinator23bear Can you quote

anything from http://contrailscience.com that is incorrect?

2016-05-07T20:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/729039055854309377

@chucksteakpt @OpChemtrails The aluminum in dirt is in the form of minerals. And that's what the tests find.

2016-05-07T16:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728980765782867968

@SteerMark @algore @LeoDiCaprio I recommend "Spacious Skies" (1987)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch3cIauUgAEY45I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch3cJJSUYAAB0l1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch3cIauUgAEY45I.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ch3cIkHVEAAn2pq.jpg

2016-05-07T16:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728979683161694210

@SteerMark @algore @LeoDiCaprio Correct, those are clouds. Have a look at some old books on clouds for comparison.

2016-05-07T16:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728979545966059520

@Kal1_Furies Any aerosol will be carried by the air, i does not move of it's own accord. Technically it's "entrained" in the air. Like smoke

---

2016-05-07T16:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728979113843658753

@Kal1_Furies It's based on a misunderstanding. Contrails don't spread any more that other trails. They just PERSIST more (in high humidity)

2016-05-07T06:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728836692468080641

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @OpChemtrails Did you know that dirt in California is about 10% Aluminum? It's going to be everywhere.

2016-05-07T06:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728836107970830337

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @OpChemtrails It's impossible they were zero, as just regular dirt contains them. Elements of the Earth's crust

---

2016-05-07T06:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728832562886381568

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @OpChemtrails Can you pick one of those tests that does not show normal background levels?

2016-05-07T06:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728832352202301440

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @OpChemtrails Same reason cirrus clouds are global.

2016-05-07T04:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728802629552209920

@SteerMark @algore @LeoDiCaprio @azhimmaksum Looks like clouds streets. http://earthsky.org/earth/what-are-cloud-streets

---

2016-05-07T04:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728801963370942464

@Kal1_Furies No. Contrails stay visible because the ice crystals are growing. A sprayed powder trail would spread the same. But not visible.

2016-05-07T04:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728801617550528512

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @OpChemtrails Can you give an example of evidence you think holds up to scrutiny?

2016-05-07T02:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728771427994771456

@Kal1_Furies The funny thing is, ONLY contrails can persist and spread at that size. It's physically impossible not to be a contrail.

2016-05-07T02:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728771204585136128
@Kal1_Furies 99.9% of the water in the contrails comes from atmospheric moisture. So where does all the extra Al2O3 etc. come from?

2016-05-07T02:18:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728770985130786816
@Kal1_Furies Not a visible one. And how could a particle trail NOT spread. They just disperse. Like smoke.

2016-05-07T01:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728761461237932033
@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @RealGeoEngWatch @OpChemtrails Just like clouds do.

2016-05-07T01:40:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728761405105524736
@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @RealGeoEngWatch there's no good evidence that they are. What is the evidence?

2016-05-07T01:40:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728761312298176513
@Kal1_Furies They could spray enough for SRM. They can't spray enough from one plane to leave a trail that spreads out. Only water can

---

2016-05-06T22:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728710045643341824
@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ @RealGeoEngWatch How did you determine what I said was not true? Did you check books? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2016-05-06T19:06:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728662311498489857
@TheyLiveNews @ianMacleod14 No they didn't. It was a custody dispute. Conspiracy beliefs were one of several factors
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-article-court-takes-child-from-mother-after-she-mentions-chemtrails-at-school.t7542/

2016-05-06T18:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728658926531584000
@YouAreAwesomeUR @4thAnon Were there other factors?

2016-05-06T18:41:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728656020772249600
@Anamarii20 What's to explain? Some people like examining dubious claims of evidence, and pointing out when they are wrong.

2016-05-06T18:40:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728655780249894912
@4thAnon @YouAreAwesomeUR Because of Prince.

2016-05-06T18:11:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/728648408257298432

@Sdk123_ @chucksteakpt yes it is. It shows "virga"precipitation. Described here as "precipitation trails": http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/98GL00189/epdf

---

2016-05-06T14:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728599224368037888

@chucksteakpt @Sdk123_ I'd say "where's my check?" because nobody has paid me.

2016-05-06T14:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728598662461300737

@Kal1_Furies @ReddingRosered @trutherbotornge Aerial dispersal, like crop dusting? Fire fighting? Cloud seeding? Why wouldn't they research?

2016-05-05T23:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728364668247375872

@CuJoe101 I did not say it was false. I said there were multiple factors raised in a custody dispute hearing, including chemtrails.

2016-05-05T22:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728348337053655040

@ReddingRosered @Kal1_Furies @trutherbotornge Why? Why not call them persistent contrails, as they have been called for generations?

2016-05-05T22:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/728348109470769152

@CuJoe101 No I'm not. I was simply explaining what happened. There were multiple factors in a custody dispute, and chemtrails were one.

2016-05-03T01:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/727302072995958784

@stang289 It's a military flight. Could be anything. Maybe a training flight,

---

2016-05-02T20:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/727228255300816898

@Terry24681865 Contrails form in straight lines.

2016-05-02T18:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/727200302055616512

@Terry24681865 @TommyBirkAuthor The number you quote are actually ppb, off by a factor of 1000. From dirty snow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CheIz00U8AAwSDD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CheIz00U8AAwSDD.jpg

2016-05-01T17:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726827811659345920

@Terry24681865 @TommyBirkAuthor Aluminum is everywhere. It's basically 8% of all dirt.

2016-05-01T17:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726827583787016194

@Terry24681865 @TommyBirkAuthor What is Canada doing exactly?

2016-05-01T17:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726827365775470593

@Terry24681865 @TommyBirkAuthor None of them mention strontium. And those elements are found in dirt. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChY1lNzUcAAQtNE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChY1lNzUcAAQtNE.jpg

2016-05-01T17:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726824979145195520

Is there a "chemical footprint" exposing secret Geoengineering? (No)

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-footprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChYzT0VUgAEGgvl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChYzT0VUgAEGgvl.jpg

2016-05-01T17:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726824312406073345

@Terry24681865 @TommyBirkAuthor That patent does not mention Barium or Strontium.

2016-04-30T18:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726482544334499840

@kintroligator @SawbonzDO @jelo1317 @OpChemtrails So it's physically impossible to fit

enough stuff in a plane to make those trails.

2016-04-30T18:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726482363429965824

@kintroligator @SawbonzDO @jelo1317 @OpChemtrails Well it can't be anything else, 99.9% of the mass comes from atmospheric vapor.

2016-04-30T18:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726481457439342592

@Climatologist49 @wxdam The conspiracy theorists jumped right on it, like this nonsense.

http://www.infowars.com/did-the-chemtrail-flu-kill-prince-and-merle-haggard/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChT68VVUYAArghw.jpg

INFOWARS

RADIO SHOW NEWS VIDEOS STORE TOP STORIES BREAKING NEWS CONTAC

DID THE CHEMTRAIL FLU KILL PRINCE AND MERLE HAGGARD?

Was Prince killed by the chemtrails he and Haggard spoke out against?

Kit Daniels - APRIL 21, 2016 2496 Comments



28.9K

The artist known as Prince has died suddenly of a mysterious illness, just like Merle Haggard, and both men previously spoke out against chemtrails many have suggested are responsible for a surge in respiratory illnesses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ChT68VVUYAArghw.jpg

2016-04-30T18:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/726479696217526273

@Climatologist49 @wxdam Likely due to Prince

2016-04-25T15:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724616034162892800

@CuJoe101 "Admit"? I call things like I see them. If the evidence is good, I'll say it's good. If it's bad, I'll debunk it.

2016-04-25T01:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724407475005329410

@CuJoe101 Cloud seeding is not a secret spraying program. Nor does it leave trails in the sky.

2016-04-25T01:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724407309351292928

@forty4seven Contrail persistence depends on the weather at 30,000+ feet. That weather changes day to day.

2016-04-25T01:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724406510030221312

@CuJoe101 @trutherbotornge It's a bit vague. "Salts, and other chems" covers just about everything suggested.

2016-04-24T22:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724363653328195585

@CuJoe101 research into possible future geoengineering, and hoping we won't have to. That's hardly "bragging"

2016-04-24T21:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724348444865781760

@Patrick58588170 @CuJoe101 @trutherbotornge How can you tell?

2016-04-24T14:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724245564221591552

@CuJoe101 I'm no fan of the military industrial complex. But I'm no fan of bunk either.

2016-04-24T14:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724245376891392001

@CuJoe101 @trutherbotornge Contrails are not deliberate geoengineering. There is no deliberate SRM going on.

2016-04-24T14:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724245196674715649

@CuJoe101 @trutherbotornge 1995 Nobel prize was not for geoengineering, it was for ozone hole research.

2016-04-24T13:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724231262697717760

@CuJoe101 @trutherbotornge Because of the amount of relative harm and uncertainty. You also "just accept" toxic truck exhaust.

2016-04-24T13:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/724230939203641345

@CuJoe101 I'd like to see the 28 pages. Saudi Arabia is a terrible country.

2016-04-23T17:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/723925718501978112

@4thAnon @TruthIzSexy @DomainerAnon Why do you need a scientist? If you are convinced your idea are correct, then surely you understand them

---

2016-04-23T17:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/723925397130223616

@AdiRaptor Yeah, one should never joke with people who take very silly things seriously. They might not realize you are joking.

2016-04-21T14:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/723153504118796289

@TheFallBackUp @ng_480 What about Flat Earth? Lots of people "know" that. Should it be addressed?

2016-04-21T14:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/723150925154516992

@trutherbotornge Sounds like persistent contrails. In fact physically it MUST be persistent contrails. You can't spray that much.

2016-04-21T14:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/723150254451789824

@ufoofinterest Several possibilities. Reflection, satellite, ice.

2016-04-15T14:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720986538524323840

@4thAnon @TruthIzSexy @DomainerAnon You can't please all off the people.

2016-04-15T14:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720986467472834560

@4thAnon @TruthIzSexy @DomainerAnon Well, I've not really been trying to collect significant followers. I've still got y'all though :)

2016-04-15T14:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720980786682339328

@4thAnon @TruthIzSexy @DomainerAnon I'm always happy to chat with scientists. Not sure about "hammering" though.

2016-04-15T13:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720964457124339714

@karentalford66 @busterhymen69m Nobody ever denied weather modification. Nobody ever admitted spraying stuff from planes for SRM.

2016-04-15T01:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720787044180434949

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Yes, it's just a tiny fraction of CO2 radiative forcing.

2016-04-15T01:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720779262937042944

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies They says it's possibly worse than the CO2 FROM AVIATION, which is a tiny fraction of total CO2.

2016-04-15T00:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720771037445496832

.@karentalford66 @busterhymen69m Here's why there are so many contrails over Yosemite. SFO. https://www.metabunk.org/yosemite-contrail-grid-17-june-2015.t6427/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CgCxTKOUEAABR17.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CgCxTKOUEAABR17.jpg

2016-04-15T00:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720770462205120512

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone That we don't really know what they do to the climate.

2016-04-14T19:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720699886115524609

Those trains track pieces actually WERE different sizes (on screen). Not just an illusion. https://www.metabunk.org/the-rush-to-explain-an-illusion-with-train-track-pieces-jastrow-and-perpective.t7492/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CgBwp0qUIAAyM1l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CgBwp0qUIAAyM1l.jpg

2016-04-14T18:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720676362562850816

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Most of the contrail research since 2000 is on the effect on the weather and climate.

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=contrails&hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&as_ylo=2000&as_yhi=

2016-04-14T03:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720457580175302656

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone as opposed to?

2016-04-14T01:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720418777796456449

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone See pollution in general. It's a capitalist world. Contrails also have uncertain effects.

2016-04-14T00:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720414472909656064

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone You argue there should be fewer contrails to help global warming, so why would the government secretly make more?

2016-04-14T00:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720414245137965057

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Well I guess I am arguing with you :) However I really don't see what your point is.

2016-04-13T22:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720380964128448512

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Contrails have been discussed for decades. You are arguing semantics.

2016-04-13T17:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720309008825917442

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone I did not say it was never a concern.

2016-04-13T17:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720298915988287489

@neon___tetra I'm not defending them. I'd be fine with no contrails. There's just no popular support for the idea. Expensive, polluting.

2016-04-13T05:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720119523731496963

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies That's nothing to do with contrails. It's an area on the takeoff path for JFK. Noise mostly http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf5gyWfWcAEp-1N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf5gyWfWcAEp-1N.jpg

2016-04-13T01:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720064204519026688

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Because it's not clear if it's cost effective. But what's your broader point here?

2016-04-13T01:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720061815703470081

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Of course. And rerouting planes is one suggestion to help. Not a lot of momentum behind it though. Cost + unknowns.

2016-04-13T00:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720044741270736896

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Concerns about altering the weather date back to the 1980s.

http://contrailscience.com/1980-nbc-news-report-on-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf4cz3yUsAADPA8.jpg

Jet trails change weather

By Philip J. Hilts
The Washington Post

WASHINGTON — Jet trails are changing the weather of the Midwest — and possibly much of the rest of the country — creating more clouds, cooler days and warmer nights, according to weather researchers at the University of Illinois.

Cloudiness over the Midwest has been slowly increasing since the turn of the century, but beginning in the 1950s with the introduction of commercial jetliners, cloudiness and its temperature effects have increased sharply, the Illinois researchers said.

Today the Midwest has 30 fewer clear days a year than it did in 1900: 90 now compared with 120 then. This increase in cloudiness has also caused a steady narrowing of daily temperatures, so that the high and low temperature readings for a day are steadily coming closer together. The Illinois team now is working to calculate how much more rain and snow, if any, is caused by the increased cloudiness.

"The bottom line on this research is economics," said Richard Semonin, one of the leaders of the research team. "At the end of the study, we hope to calculate the economic benefit or disbenefit from reducing temperature stresses (warming the coldest nights and cooling the hottest days), and from additional rainfall. This may be having a significant impact on energy use and on agricultural production."

The effects of the cloudiness seem so far to be positive, Semonin said, but no final statement on the subject can be made until the study, financed by the National Science Foundation, is finished, which will require several years.

Cloudiness generally has two important effects. In the daytime, clouds block the sun and hold temperatures down, an important fact when air conditioners are running in the summertime. In the winter, the heat generated during the day remains longer at night because clouds prevent warm air from escaping up into the atmosphere. So less heating may be necessary at night.

In addition, many plants are damaged by temperature extremes in the summer and winter. Reduction of these extremes should benefit farmers considerably, Semonin said.

He said that his study only covered Midwestern skies, but he believes similar weather effects are

• *Please turn to page 2A: Weather*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf4cz3yUsAADPA8.jpg

2016-04-13T00:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720044221432868864

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Page 5 of the above link from 1964:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf4cWGmUMAAOw-J.jpg

Resolution No. 5
Proposed by the Commission 12 (Radiation and Structure of the Solar Atmosphere)
Proposée par la Commission 12 (Radiation et Structure de l'Atmosphère Solaire)

On solar eclipses

During total eclipses of the Sun, important scientific observations made from the ground by professional astronomers may be hampered, or even ruined, by the vapour trails from aircraft flying in the zone of totality.

The International Astronomical Union accordingly requests the competent authorities in the countries concerned to regulate flights at the times and locations of total solar eclipses in such a way that their interference with scientific programmes be avoided.

The necessary information for each eclipse, will be provided sufficiently in advance by the relevant Working Group of IAU Commission 12 and communicated to those concerned by the General Secretary.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cf4cWGmUMAAOw-J.jpg

2016-04-13T00:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720043989869563904

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone And it depends who you are talking about. Astronomers disliked them in the 1960s http://www.iau.org/static/resolutions/IAU1964_French.pdf

---

2016-04-13T00:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/720043422996783105

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Depends what you mean by "concern", but the military was concerned about them in the 1930s.

2016-04-11T22:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/719659401255079936

@neon___tetra How did she out me? What evidence did she give that I was misleading people?

2016-04-10T16:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/719202137578676224

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone And acid rain is nothing to do with contrails. The exhaust is the same regardless of if a trail forms or not.

2016-04-10T16:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/719194996658667520

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone No, it's correct. There never was a great outcry against contrails.

2016-04-10T04:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/719021604835954690

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone I'm not.

2016-04-10T03:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/719009504067596289

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone and so?

2016-04-09T18:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718869997292892161

@Dan_E_V So either Dane paid for the tweet, or they randomly picked that page as the story is trending - to improve their SEO rankings

---

2016-04-09T18:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718869796972945409

@Dan_E_V They are just fake spamming accounts run by a computer program. Click on a few of them. Some thing with different names.

2016-04-09T18:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718868659200864256

@Dan_E_V Hack? It seems to be just bhive SEO spam.

2016-04-09T18:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718864170960064512

@Dan_E_V Here's a good overview.

http://www.climatecentral.org/news/clouds-play-lesser-role-in-curbing-warming-20221

2016-04-09T17:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718860356274130944

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone That's about smoke (actual pollution), in the 1970s, not about contrails.

2016-04-09T04:55:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718663696617091072

@BearHerback11 @KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies

http://www.nist.gov/customcf/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=861610

2016-04-09T01:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718609547720396800

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Are you just complaining about contrails, or do you still think they are secret geoengineering "chemtrails"?

2016-04-09T00:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718602356749828096

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Clearly though most people don't give a hoot.

2016-04-09T00:51:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718602272188465152

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone "Gripes" and "Fuss". Not a great outcry. A few people do complain about contrail cirrus.

2016-04-08T05:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718316830029426688

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Astronomy would be better without contrails. But they would never make it impossible.

2016-04-08T05:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718316464349048832

@SteerMark Do you agree with Dane Wigington?

2016-04-08T05:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718316259243356160

@sisquere Because he's talking about when the communists conspire to do things. Plots and stuff.

2016-04-07T20:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718180153885466625

.@SteerMark Even Dane Wigington says that regional weather modification (like in that ad) is not chemtrails.
http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/climate-engineering-and-regional-weather-modification-understanding-the-difference/

2016-04-07T20:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718179676926029824

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Dimming has been in reverse since the 1990s due to the clean air acts. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_dimming

---

2016-04-07T18:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/718140896571555840

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone "They" as in airlines and regulatory authorities. There's no great outcry against contrails. Never was.

2016-04-06T15:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717729673971519488

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone I don't think it's deliberate, except in the sense that they are not trying to avoid it. I think they are contrails

---

2016-04-06T04:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717571847164833793

@Kal1_Furies @KindOrgone Why do you think it's deliberate? Those are contrails, a byproduct of aviation.

2016-04-06T04:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717571713609760768

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies So you are just concerned about the effects of normal contrails then? Not "chemtrails"?

2016-04-05T23:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717498260139544577

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies The key being "accidental". It's regular aircraft emissions, not a secret spraying program.

2016-04-05T21:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717461148686098432

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies So you'd think the military would just simulate a volcano, hope it works out, and nobody notices?

2016-04-05T21:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717460955051925504

@KindOrgone @Dan_E_V @Kal1_Furies Not at all, but they would not look like contrails. Would not persist. Would be much higher.

2016-04-05T20:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717452122522914816

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies The key reason is that they have no idea if it would work. Why would they risk just random results?

2016-04-05T20:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717451779676381184

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies The conspiracy label is for the theory that there's secret geoengineering. Not that research exists.

2016-04-05T19:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717431013719191552

@Dan_E_V @KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies This is cited more. So do you assume it is being used? http://www.patentbuddy.com/Patent/5094409

2016-04-05T19:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717430834458791936

@Dan_E_V @KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Just 2. Forward citations just mean more patents. Not that it works, or is used. Moon house is cited more.

2016-04-05T18:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717424366431166464

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies How have YOU detected it? Why do you think it is happening if there's zero evidence that it is?

2016-04-05T18:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717424193269325824

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies In secret? Without a shred of evidence?

2016-04-05T18:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717424008300462082

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies It's a powder trail generator. Would make a short trail that would disperse. Utterly unlike persistent contrails.

2016-04-05T18:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717423756986216448

@Dan_E_V @KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Patents are not evidence of usage, or even that something works. There are patents for houses on the moon

---

2016-04-04T22:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717120553455226881

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies So what are the patents evidence of then? (This particular one was for a towed target).

2016-04-04T22:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717120365789446144

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies So how have you detected it?

2016-04-04T17:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717044394373750784

@TerminatorBarbi @TrutherbotE @Reddword That's defoliant spraying in Vietnam (probably Agent Orange). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Ranch_Hand

---

2016-04-04T17:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717042358492069888

@KindOrgone @Kal1_Furies Are secret spraying programs patented? How about towed targets?

2016-04-04T17:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/717041894673362944

@TerminatorBarbi @TrutherbotE @Reddword Funny how nobody every gets photos of the actual "spraying" - maybe it looks exactly like contrails?

2016-03-30T18:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/715250141482000385

@JudgeDan48 @Metabunk Well I've already posted several thousand times, so I'm not sure what proof they are looking for.

2016-03-29T16:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714852868411432960

@PrisonPlanet @MarkDice Death threats are expected, Not newsworthy unless credible. Campaign manager assaulting a reporter is unusual.

2016-03-29T05:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714687236579672069

@redding_red http://contrailscience.com/contrail-or-chemtrail/

2016-03-29T01:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714621082527862784

@TerminatorBarbi @Reddword Why not? The wind blows dirt for thousands of miles. And Shasta snow gets dusty quick. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CerYFnqWAAA6Rcw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CerYFnqWAAA6Rcw.jpg

2016-03-29T01:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714620755732877313

@TerminatorBarbi @Reddword Here's some rain and snow samples from 1967, showing dirt components http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CerXje7WIAAAtzw.jpg

TABLE II 1 p.p.m. = 1000 ug/L

Summary of chemical analyses of precipitation in part of S.E. Ontario (p.p.m.)

	Rain				Snow			
	M	H	L	No.	M	H	L	No.
SiO_2	0.25	0.56	0.0	13	0.0			12
Al	0.80	1.12	0.52	13	0.46	1.00	0.07	11
Fe	0.69	1.80	0.13	13	0.89	1.80	0.12	9
Na	0.70	6.30	tr	14	3.97	14.00	14.60	12
K	1.05	2.7	0.65	14	1.10	4.90	0.4	12
Ca	3.61	12.0	0.0	13	6.18	13.0	2.0	11
Mg	1.55	2.0	1.0	13	2.09	12.0	1.0	11
$N-NO_3$	0.37	0.6	0.1	13	0.33	0.54	tr	10
SO_4	11.8	32	8	13	11.91	23	3	11
Cl	2.44	9	1	14	4.80	15	2	10
Alkalinity	8.08	14	4	13	14.09	27	6	11
Hardness	11.3	34	2	14	23.6	58	8	11

*M = mean; H = highest; L = lowest; No. = number of analyses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CerXje7WIAAAtzw.jpg

2016-03-29T01:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714620210460798977

@TerminatorBarbi @Reddword There's dirt in the air. The wind blows dust from the ground. Any

air sample will have dirt in it.

2016-03-28T23:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714592073983197184

@Reddword @TerminatorBarbi Dirt.

2016-03-28T21:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714563612182851584

@Reddword @TerminatorBarbi Did you know that the soil in California is naturally around 10% Aluminum? What people are finding is dirt.

2016-03-28T21:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714561758296322048

@Reddword @TerminatorBarbi Monsanto's patent relates to acidic soil, it allows crops to grow where they would not before. Obvious win.

2016-03-28T18:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714525065476308992

@TerminatorBarbi Quote one lie you think I made.

2016-03-28T18:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714524666539315200

@TerminatorBarbi Perhaps you could just pick one thing you think can't be explained. I've already explained several to you, but no response

---

2016-03-28T18:19:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714517180109312000

@TerminatorBarbi @Reddword Normal clouds sometimes spread out and last for hours. Why wouldn't contrails?

2016-03-28T17:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714509641741303810

@TerminatorBarbi The AWACS plane reduced throttle to separate, less fuel burnt, so there was not enough water in the exhaust to form a cloud

---

2016-03-28T17:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714509080870592512

@TerminatorBarbi You can look it up. The biggest "toxin" would be carbon monoxide. Just like cars.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236900115_Gaseous_and_Particulate_Emissions_with_Jet_Engine_Exhaust_and_Atmospheric_Pollution

---

2016-03-28T17:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714508600647913472

@TerminatorBarbi The clouds are almost entirely water. There's a minuscule amount of non-water emissions, typically as the nucleus.

2016-03-28T17:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714508232543174656

@Reddword @TerminatorBarbi Contrails are not cloud seeding.

2016-03-28T17:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714508056751517696

@Reddword @TerminatorBarbi So when you combine hydrocarbons with oxygen, what are the end products? Where does the hydrogen and oxygen go?

2016-03-27T18:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714157277859610624

@TerminatorBarbi But it's unrelated to contrails. Exactly the same exhaust when there are no contrails. Different weather.

2016-03-27T18:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714157128018108416

@TerminatorBarbi No, it's similar to car/truck exhaust. It contains toxins. Nobody has ever denied or ignored this.

2016-03-27T18:16:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714154172640137216

@TerminatorBarbi And it's still almost entirely carbon dioxide and water. The water is what makes the contrail.

2016-03-27T18:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714154019967488001

@TerminatorBarbi But it's unrelated to contrails. The exhaust is exactly the same regardless of if it leaves a contrail or not.

2016-03-27T18:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714153890824921089

@TerminatorBarbi It's pretty similar to the exhaust from trucks. Cleaner burning though.

2016-03-27T18:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714150709864693760

@TerminatorBarbi The page itself seems correct, but I'm not sure what point you are making with it. Can you clarify?

2016-03-27T17:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714145556822495232

@TerminatorBarbi No sure what you point is with that page. That they did not mention water?

2016-03-27T17:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714145020182290432

@TerminatorBarbi I take it you've seen NASA's contrail tests?
http://www.nasa.gov/aero/access-II.html

2016-03-27T17:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714144644196466690

@TerminatorBarbi No, I don't think you are kidding. I'd be fully in favor of you testing contrails. I'm just not paying for it.

2016-03-27T17:38:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714144465070329857

@TerminatorBarbi Sure, go for it, if you don't trust DLR, NOAA, NASA etc, you'd have to do it yourself. MJM is working on it, tricky though.

2016-03-27T17:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714144123859501056

@TerminatorBarbi No, you should go for it. But people have tested contrails already, found ice crystals. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CekmO-aW4AE77e2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CekmO-aW4AE77e2.jpg

2016-03-27T17:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714143453580427266

@TerminatorBarbi If you burn a gallon of fuel, you get about 1.3 gallons of water. That's how he made water on "The Martian".

2016-03-27T17:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714143239419211776

@TerminatorBarbi It's the products of combustion. Like if you burn hydrogen and oxygen you get pure water. (H2O)

2016-03-27T17:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/714142918643036161

@TerminatorBarbi Hydrocarbon (fuel) + Oxygen (burning) = Carbon dioxide + water (H20) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combustion http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cekk5xTWQAIm38x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cekk5xTWQAIm38x.jpg
2016-03-27T17:29:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/714142378999721984
@TerminatorBarbi Almost entirely carbon dioxide and water. Just like any hydrocarbon combustion. On Flickr https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

2016-03-27T17:06:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/714136398756847616
@TerminatorBarbi Contrails are clouds. Their formation is triggered by the water in the engine exhaust. Like:
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2016-03-27T16:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/714134201990119424
@TerminatorBarbi No, the main misconception is the misidentification of contrails as some kind of deliberate spraying. They are contrails.

2016-03-26T17:33:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/713780991685296128
@TerminatorBarbi Yes it it. Pretty much all weather modification is cloud seeding. Been openly done since the 1950s. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weather_modification

---

2016-03-26T05:32:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/713599362543169536
@TerminatorBarbi @KenCaldeira That's just cloud seeding.

2016-03-24T04:44:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/712862737425174528
NASA Survey jet leaves giant curved contrails over NorCal. Prompts #chemtrail rumors. https://www.metabunk.org/nasa502-glf3-earthquake-survey-plane-leaves-giant-curving-contrails-again.t7419/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CeSYspLWQAAVZnv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CeSYspLWQAAVZnv.jpg

2016-03-24T04:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/712862163161989121

@t_pav @Chris_Manno It's a NASA survey plane.

https://www.metabunk.org/nasa502-glf3-earthquake-survey-plane-leaves-giant-curving-contrails-again.t7419/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CeSYSHbWQAADLq1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CeSYSHbWQAADLq1.jpg

2016-03-21T22:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/712042599465537538

@SkyWatchrs @TheAwakening15 @umeboshikim Correct, that is fuel dumping.

2016-03-21T15:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/711933649319370753

Metabunk is working a creating a physical model to demonstrate some of the misconceptions about the WTC collapses.

https://www.metabunk.org/towards-a-replicable-physical-model-illustrating-the-progressive-collapse-of-the-wtc-towers-on-9-11.t7396/

2016-03-14T22:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/709514264881442816

@TheDirtyPurple No, it just needs to be behind them. The distance is irrelevant.

2016-03-14T20:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/709476615785308160

@TheDirtyPurple Because that makes no difference. It's just a light source.

2016-03-14T00:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/709181607244992512

@mikesara444 @FR33Q You would for that particular scheme, if it were implemented.

2016-03-14T00:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/709167691391639552

.@FR33Q Except that we saw (and explained) those "chemtrail planes" nearly ten years ago: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-or-chemtrail/

2016-03-13T01:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708820734961799168

@_IAMCHEMTRAIL You know California has been OPENLY cloud seeding since the 1950s, right? And it does not leave trails.

2016-03-12T16:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708689371239550976

@JunkScience Perhaps a bit more on the side of science and reason? Probably not though, just angling for different demographic.

2016-03-12T16:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708688824574980097

@shiftfrequency @InsiteCalico Most of the plane interiors are found here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/

2016-03-12T16:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708687713914851328

@shiftfrequency @InsiteCalico Quite a variety of things. But all explicable. Pick one.

2016-03-12T15:46:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708680621862756354

@shiftfrequency @InsiteCalico That first photo is a cloud seeding plane in Malaysia, it's using salt water.

2016-03-11T09:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/708222763039920129

@neon___tetra outed how?

2016-03-07T07:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/706743377086312448

@huff_huff55 this is a cloud seeding notice. Nothing secret. Been openly done for 60 years. Does not leave trails.

2016-03-02T13:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/705023998212632576

@trutherbotornge Of course it's possible. Most chemtrail believers don't though. They point at contrails and claim they are "chemtrails".

2016-03-02T02:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704855707284172800

@KindOrgone You'd need to check the actual humidity at that spot, not just the forecast. Varies a lot near water.

2016-03-02T02:02:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704849387080421377

@KindOrgone Mist?

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-particulate-crimes-chemtrails-mist-under-bright-light.t809/

2016-03-01T23:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704816408648032258

@mjmcardle For now :)

2016-03-01T21:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704783387320406016

@TradCatKnight

Carbon Monoxide levels are actually normal. It was a data glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704774297491869697

@leesimonds The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704774225035284480

@jodyyvette The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704774178805710848

@GWillowWilson The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704774155770593280

@mjmcardle The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704774087084670976

@ZenYinger The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704773906654048257

@GetBOLDToday The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704773888383668224

@YoungN37 @DutraWeather The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T21:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704773840694456321

@sandentotten @dailykos The Carbon Monoxide "explosion" is not real. Satellite data glitch. Levels are normal.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-carbon-monoxide-explosion-on-us-west-coast-feb-26th-glitch.t7351/

2016-03-01T01:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/704473996973051905

@Snilleblixten @GittF Tagelmaskar. https://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tagelmaskar

---

2016-02-27T16:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/703619366068187136

@nebraskanhandu @Lucky5713 @SteerMark Good example of contrails partially in shadow, possible self-shadowing by facing the sun.

2016-02-26T06:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/703112095399710720

@SteerMark Trace levels are normal.

2016-02-26T06:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/703111836284006400

@Canadianmtstick Because it is dark.

2016-02-26T01:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/703031857319784448

@Canadianmtstick Looks like contrail and some cirrus.

2016-02-25T20:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702958899507306496

@wanderinganimal @Fucked_IT Yeah, a small smoke trails visible from this angle, not from the round. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcFpS37VIAAudyk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcFpS37VIAAudyk.jpg

2016-02-25T20:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702950802311131136

@wanderinganimal @Fucked_IT Very limited tests of harmless substances to see hoe far they would spread. What has that to do with anything?

2016-02-25T19:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702938712468926465

@wanderinganimal @Fucked_IT Cloud seeding does not leave trails.

2016-02-25T18:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702929245937410048

@wanderinganimal @Fucked_IT How so? It's just an observation of persistent contrails from 1955 (pub 1960) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcFOWMuUsAAeKCd.jpg

---

Weather Note

JET CONDENSATION TRAIL SHADOWS

DONALD H. LOKKE

4756 Staples Ave., Fort Worth, Tex.

Jet condensation trails are a common occurrence over most of the United States. During the years of 1955 and 1956, shadows of jet condensation trails were observed and photographed on two occasions in northeastern Kansas. The shadows were noted at noon on November 1, 1955, at Lawrence, Kans., and again prior to sunset on June 14, 1956, west of Lecompton, Kans.

The accompanying photographs record the observations of November 1, 1955, when numerous contrails were left as jet planes swept across the sky. The point of observation was located at the western edge of the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at approximately 38°57′30″ N., 95°15′ W. Both photographs were taken with a Leica camera with 50-mm. Elmar lens; f/9 at 1/60 sec., 35-mm. Kodachrome film.



FIGURE 1.—Looking in a westerly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 11:58 a.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is located to the right of jet condensation trail.



FIGURE 2.—Looking in a west-northwesterly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 12:02 p.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is to the right of the condensation trail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcFOWMuUsAAeKCd.jpg

2016-02-25T18:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702928699327287296

@Reptoid_Hunter I'm standing right here!

2016-02-25T17:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702912472013885440

@wanderinganimal @BOM_Vic Are you paying more attention to the sky now?

2016-02-25T17:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702901404386471937

@Zorbavelli Jet engines and piston engines burn fuel. When you burn fuel you make water. If it's cold enough you make clouds. #Physics

---

2016-02-25T02:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702681397937307649

@MickWest @Zorbavelli (sorry, 1918)

2016-02-25T02:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702681188972961792

@Zorbavelli You seem sarcastic, but you are correct. You can get sundogs in contrails. Observed in 1921. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcBs0NiUAAAMiHq.jpg

---



[University of California, June 22, 1921.]

rmed in the
battle front
ied by eye-
ows:

en we noticed
cross the sky.
sing, throwing
from straight.
r to the earth,
waves of heat
hifting motion

American

nderfully clear
* * * Our

meteorological conditions and precipitate this strange cloud formation. * * * Never before had I seen a plane writing in white upon the blue slate of the sky.

Capt. W. H. Nead, One hundred and sixty-eighth Infantry, described the phenomenon [3] thus:

The Rainbow Division, on the morning of October 10, 1918, was lying in what had at one time been a wood just back of Montfaucon. The sky was clear except for a few fleecy clouds to the northwest. Three airmen came from the northwest and passed almost over our regiment, continuing on to the southeast.

Behind each machine was a trail of white, which at first sight appeared to be smoke resulting from poor engine combustion, but which upon more careful observation proved too wide to have been caused by smoke. Perhaps the strangest thing of all was the fact that when the planes reached a certain point in the sky the rainbow (sundog) colors became distinctly visible.

The explanation is not difficult. The air was almost saturated with moisture at the temperature which prevailed at that altitude. With

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CcBs0NiUAAAMiHq.jpg

2016-02-24T20:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702585091566874624

@Zorbavelli and consider that a 22Â° halo can ONLY form from ice crystals, due to the refractive index. So it's not "chem"-anything

---

2016-02-24T18:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/702563807416049664

@Zorbavelli None of those photos show sundogs. They show 22Â° halos.

2016-02-22T05:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701636540770824192

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Pick one claim of evidence you think will stand up to scrutiny.

2016-02-21T07:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701303655547293696

@anonn3phy11lym There's this: https://www.metabunk.org/moonlit-contrails-at-night.t2964/ But essentially it's pretty much the same thing as contrails at daytime.

2016-02-20T23:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701183164073414656

@Oswald1160 @wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Here's a list of all the elements in your body. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Composition_of_the_human_body#Elemental_composition_list

---

2016-02-20T21:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701162067491819520

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Everyone has small amounts of several metals in their blood.

Aluminum and barium are common.

2016-02-20T21:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701161814302674944

@Oswald1160 @wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Aha, a misunderstanding? Yes, I was referring to the test method, not any particular test result.

2016-02-20T19:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701133174810673152

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Is it not also a fact that the tests used don't distinguish the form of aluminum? Do you dispute that?

2016-02-20T19:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701132961660338176

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Isn't it a fact that soil in California is around 10% aluminum? Are you disputing this?

2016-02-20T19:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701132514434224128

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_FuriesIt Those spikes coincide with spikes in rainfall. i.e. it was likely due to increased erosion.

2016-02-20T19:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701127067228729344

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Would you agree at least that there's naturally a lot of Al in soil? That's common ground, right?

2016-02-20T19:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701126921111732224

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Sorry if you can't quote the lie, it just seems like you misinterpret what I say.

2016-02-20T19:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/701126710339612672

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I said it's indistinguishable from soil. That means you can't tell it's not soil. It does not mean it IS soil.

2016-02-20T06:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700934807660802048

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Pretty much, 15% in Florida would be rather suspicious. But I don't know any test that could not be explained

---

2016-02-20T05:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700916912318865408

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal The Al form makes no difference. Test just measures how much aluminum, regardless of the form.

2016-02-20T05:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700916732739751936

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal My hypothesis is that the test results are indistinguishable

from dirt. That's all I've been saying.

2016-02-20T05:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700916230870269952

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal If you performed that test on dirt in California, it would show 10% (on average). This is true.

2016-02-20T04:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700903913097076740

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I'm still confused as the where you think I was lying. Why can't you just quote MY tweet.

2016-02-20T00:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700841511840149505

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal You are quoting your own tweet. I asked you to quote MY tweet, where you think I lied.

2016-02-20T00:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700835620365533187

@TruthYoda @Reptoid_Hunter Sorry, but I was accuse of lying. I was defending myself. RH was unable to quote a lie, so that settles that.

2016-02-20T00:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700834719877853184

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Sorry to push for a quote. But if accuse me of lies, you should be able to quote a lie.

2016-02-20T00:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700834443359944704

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I've reviewed my tweets. None of them seem to be "lies" to me. Please quote the tweet you think is a lie.

2016-02-19T23:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700824252887359488

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Why don't you quote the tweet where you think I lied?

2016-02-19T23:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700823375925501952

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Lies? Where did I lie? There's Al everywhere, so it's probably the Al that people are finding. Disagree?

2016-02-19T23:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700819726121865217

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda Quote a lie or be honest with yourself.

2016-02-19T22:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700808299529175040

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda If I lie, then you should be able to give an example.

2016-02-19T22:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700802670492168193

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda You've given up trying to find anything I said that you think is factually wrong?

2016-02-19T20:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700776947429670917

@karentalford66 @GRMcKen That's just cloud seeding in Malaysia.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-photos-of-spraying-out-the-back-of-a-cargo-plane-malaysian-cloud-seeding-from-c-130.t3637/

2016-02-19T20:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700776453353279488

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 Regarding that video, maybe you can find something wrong here:

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2016-02-19T20:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700775923243622404

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 I thought you were going to quote something I got wrong. Were you unable to find anything?

2016-02-19T17:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700737007337648128

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 So, you were going to quote something I got wrong, so I could correct it?

2016-02-19T17:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700734011547619328

@SteerMark @SkyWatchrs "Cloud Studies", by A.W. Clayden, 1905.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbmB3skUkAU-GVX.jpg



PLATE 59. ALTO WAVES. *Page* 149.

(*Alto-stratus Undatus*)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbmB3skUkAU-GVX.jpg

2016-02-19T16:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700725065361403904

@SteerMark @SkyWatchrs You say the clouds don't look normal. Why exactly, when they look like clouds in old books?

2016-02-19T16:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700721860883779585

@SkyWatchrs @SteerMark Why do you think these are not normal? They look like the clouds in old books.

2016-02-19T16:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700721588694437892

@riva_vitale How do these level differ from what you would expect with no chemtrails?

2016-02-19T15:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700710115645652993

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal If there was no spraying, then would the chemical tests be different for soil? What's the range of Al in soil?

2016-02-19T15:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700709853379989505

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I'm simply saying what seems like the most likely explanation. Maybe it's chemtrails that don't affect soil?

2016-02-19T02:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700514074707103746

@namredniMD perspective

2016-02-19T02:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700513913473863680

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal of course not. But it sure seems likely, given the preponderance of dirt

---

2016-02-19T02:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700505084468170754

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I wasn't. I was appealing to your reason. Look at the map I sent. Click the link http://mrdata.usgs.gov/soilgeochemistry/#/detail/element/13

2016-02-19T02:15:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700503898696523776

@MickWest @Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal

http://mrdata.usgs.gov/soilgeochemistry/#/detail/element/13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiwhzYUAAAqEPa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiwhzYUAAAqEPa.jpg

2016-02-19T02:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700503602415030273

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I gave you a map of the Al levels. It varies a lot with local geology. Mostly around 10% though.

2016-02-19T02:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700503381517795328

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Perhaps a useful question here is: what evidence is there that it is NOT dirt.

2016-02-19T02:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700503258788290561

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal It seems by far the most likely explanation, does it not? It matches. Dirt exist everywhere.

2016-02-19T02:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700500649989943297

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I'd be happy to debunk that one, if you'd like.

2016-02-19T02:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700500404665057280

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies How do you know that contrail don't last long? Where did you read that? Al the books seem to disagree.

2016-02-19T01:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700498538514354176

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Not according to the evidence. Your personal recollections are not evidence. Why no photos of spraying?

2016-02-19T01:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700498255965073409

@namredniMD Similar to these clouds in 1905.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbira06VAAAAngP.jpg



PLATE 58. CRESTED ALTO WAVES. (*Alto-cumulus Undatus.*) *Page* 148.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbira06VAAAAngP.jpg

2016-02-19T01:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700497856260493312

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies He's not actually doing it, just talking and researching.

Possible future geoengineering.

2016-02-19T01:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700497733010853888

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies My agenda is to debunk things that are incorrect.

2016-02-19T00:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700484174168207360

@MickWest @flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Example, it's "evidence" of this: https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/icebridge/index.html NOT SECRET

2016-02-19T00:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700483935470383104

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh It's a bunch of public domain evidence. Pick one thing there.

2016-02-19T00:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700476097155076097

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Bee decline is real, but has many causes. You don't need to invoke "chemtrails".

2016-02-19T00:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700475223787720704

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Well I live in a pretty rural area. El Dorado County.

2016-02-19T00:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700474825622495233

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I defer to your expertise on bees, but what do the scientists say?

2016-02-19T00:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700474675709620224

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh It's public information, they have web sites for those research programs. No trails

---

2016-02-19T00:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700474526635692034

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh You've been misled if you think that's evidence of "chemtrails"

2016-02-19T00:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700474268027465729

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies No, but lots of scientists do.

2016-02-19T00:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700474167955591168

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh That only contains public information about known research programs.

2016-02-19T00:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700473791403560960

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I see plenty of bugs here in California. Bees are making a comeback
https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2015/07/23/call-off-the-bee-pocalypse-u-s-honeybee-colonies-hit-a-20-year-high/

2016-02-19T00:13:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700473258827583488
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies There are many old example of persistent spreading contrails.

2016-02-19T00:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700473036919574528
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Since what year have you seen long trails?

2016-02-19T00:07:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700471678585819136
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I'd be very happy to answer a specific question.

2016-02-19T00:06:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700471356324864000
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Answer what? What exactly is your question?

2016-02-19T00:05:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700471255883907073
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I am telling the truth. I think the evidence for "chemtrails" does not hold up.

2016-02-19T00:04:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700470911779012608
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Focus please, can you pick one single claim of evidence you can actually get behind?

2016-02-19T00:03:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700470763543859202
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I went to it. Now what? You'd admit there's a lot there that's wrong, obviously. So what's your point?

2016-02-19T00:02:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700470355211587584
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies yes, and I can probably debunk every single claim. So I wanted you to pick the BEST one.

2016-02-19T00:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700470132745744384
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies They match the chemical signature of dirt.

2016-02-19T00:00:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700470038038315008
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies See, "ugly foggy white skies" in 1969
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiRtJ0UAAA7DWL.jpg

For scientific discussion, see, for example, all these articles on contrails. In particular the one from 1970 titled ""

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

> *The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and* ***gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.***
>
> *[….]*
>
> *Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2* ***an almost solid contrail sheet*** *reported to average 500 m in depth.*



Fig. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



Fig. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiRtJ0UAAA7DWL.jpg

2016-02-18T23:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700469662652329984

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies I don't think that's true. I showed evidence skies were similar, but there's more traffic now.

2016-02-18T23:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700469239434518528

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies You can't prove a negative. I don't say they are not real. I say there's no evidence. Please quote exactly.

2016-02-18T23:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700468024973750272

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Here's the original ballast barrel photo.

http://www.airliners.net/photo/Boeing/Boeing-777-240-LR/0855967/L/

2016-02-18T23:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700467822648930304

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies So why can't you point out a lie? Or ask me a specific question? I'm happy to chat.

2016-02-18T23:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700467576778788864

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies How about this one? You think this fake and mislabeled photo

is good evidence? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiPjJhUcAA-nkO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiPjJhUcAA-nkO.jpg

2016-02-18T23:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700467279310381056

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies That pages has about 200 things on it. Pick one you think is good.

2016-02-18T23:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700466965542875136

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh How are you sending it? I don't see anything.

2016-02-18T23:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700466800253743104

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies You said I did not answer questions. Which question?

2016-02-18T23:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700464635523178496

@Fucked_IT No, I'm just talking about the ZCS spraying. Not "chemtrails". And no, trust nobody.

2016-02-18T23:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700464412285489153

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 I live in California, here's the sun (though some clouds). http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiMq8aUkAEnwJX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiMq8aUkAEnwJX.jpg

2016-02-18T23:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700463744195829760

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies For example?

2016-02-18T23:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700463692253515777

@Fucked_IT Not really, as the better analogy is minor pollution. Second hand smoke was legal then.

2016-02-18T23:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700461325986562049

@Fucked_IT It was basically a very small amount of non-toxic pollution. Is consent sought for regular (much bigger) pollution?

2016-02-18T23:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700461093987090432

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 No pic of your room? Seems a bit unfair.

2016-02-18T23:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700460919671754752

@Fucked_IT It was a test to see how far things would blow in the wind, so they used things that were safe for people.

2016-02-18T23:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700459930864582656

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 Your turn.

2016-02-18T23:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700459771929821184

@Fucked_IT Note the "stuff" back then was ZCS, thought to be harmless. Just a tracer to see

how far things went.

2016-02-18T23:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700459293032603649

@Fucked_IT But do you think this has anything to do with the white lines in the sky that some people claim are "chemtrails"?

2016-02-18T23:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700458998005301248

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 I'm at home. I don't have a job. I'm a retired video game programmer. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiHj7dUcAA82vP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiHj7dUcAA82vP.jpg

2016-02-18T23:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700458124570824704

@Fucked_IT Why did you send me that link?

2016-02-18T23:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700457553394728960

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 What type of proof are you looking for? How about a note? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiGXJjUAAEkvGE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbiGXJjUAAEkvGE.jpg

2016-02-18T23:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700454983683018752

@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh So then what is there to debunk? Could you be more specific?

2016-02-18T22:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700454073351319553

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 I can prove you wrong by your inability to give an example.

2016-02-18T22:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700452473350127616

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 You claim I lie. How hard is it to find just one lie to demonstrate this?

2016-02-18T22:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700452318471294977

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 Try me. Quote one thing you can show is a lie. I won't ignore it.

2016-02-18T22:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700448804022005760

@Reptoid_Hunter @TruthYoda @karentalford66 Surely it would be better to simple expose where I was wrong? Just quote it.

2016-02-18T22:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700448386328047616

@TruthYoda @karentalford66 @Reptoid_Hunter Can you give an example? Quote it.

2016-02-18T22:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700448193801105408

@Fucked_IT I focus on claims of evidence, and check if the evidence holds up.

2016-02-18T22:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700443084291608576

@Reptoid_Hunter Pass the Turing Test?

2016-02-18T22:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700442085233528832

@Reptoid_Hunter I'd suggest the best way would be to quote things I've said that are wrong.

2016-02-18T22:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700441915611742210

@Reptoid_Hunter Breath is normally very different to contrails, due to temp being above -40Â°

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbh4FVYVAAAngFQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbh4FVYVAAAngFQ.jpg

2016-02-18T22:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700441640465408000

@Reptoid_Hunter But I thought you were going to quote things I had said that were demonstrably wrong?

2016-02-18T22:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700441457589559298

@Reptoid_Hunter No, breath dissipate rapidly, and even if it freezes at -40Â° it's similar mass to cigarette smoke, so dissipates.

2016-02-18T22:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700440884173615104

@Reptoid_Hunter Again, I look forward to your examples.

2016-02-18T22:04:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700440797028548608

@Reptoid_Hunter Maybe just one now?

2016-02-18T22:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700440721921191936

@Reptoid_Hunter I'm always happy to correct things I got wrong.

2016-02-18T22:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700440167333560320

@Reptoid_Hunter Can you quote an example lie you think I told?

2016-02-18T21:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700435939236052992

@flanagan_eli @trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh NASA files of what? Cloud seeding? Sounding Rockets? Cloud Research? Be specific.

2016-02-18T21:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/700435674978086913

@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Randomly crossing lines sometimes form shapes.

https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbhyg-rUsAAQrGB.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Cbhyg-rUsAAQrGB.jpg
2016-02-17T21:17:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700066460039671808
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies So what's the visual difference? Or is it just the smell?

2016-02-17T21:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700064116522688512
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies How do "chemtrails" visually differ from contrails?

2016-02-17T20:57:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700061572459274240
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies How do you know what is at 38,000 feet? If it looks like a contrail, why do you think it is not?

2016-02-17T20:20:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700052094926004225
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies So you agree then, about those elements being pretty common in dirt? You'd expect to find them everywhere, no?

2016-02-17T19:54:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700045675308843008
@RegimeChangeBC @evanasher555 How can you tell?

2016-02-17T19:45:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/700043374410096640
@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Not my opinion. Verifiable facts. You could pick another 3.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abundance_of_elements_in_Earth%27s_crust
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbcNu2EVIAADcKT.jpg

Rank	Z	Element	Symbol	Lithosphere abundance[1]	Relative proportion (ppm)[2]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[3]	Abu in (pp
1	8	oxygen	O	460,000	474,000	460,000	467,1(
2	14	silicon [A]	Si	277,200	277,100	270,000	276,9(
3	13	aluminium	Al	81,300	82,000	82,000	80,70(
4	26	iron	Fe	50,000	41,000	63,000	50,50(
5	20	calcium	Ca	36,300	41,000	50,000	36,50(
6	11	sodium	Na	28,300	23,000	23,000	27,50(
7	19	potassium	K	25,900	21,000	15,000	25,80(
8	12	magnesium	Mg	20,900	23,000	29,000	20,80(
9	22	titanium	Ti	4,400	5,600	6,600	6,200
10	1	hydrogen	H	1,400		1,500	1,400
11	15	phosphorus	P	1,200	1,000	1,000	1,300
12	25	manganese	Mn	1,000	950	1,100	900
13	9	fluorine	F	800	950	540	290
14	56	barium	Ba	500	340	340	500
15	6	carbon	C	300	480	1,800	940
16	38	strontium	Sr		370	360	
17	16	sulfur	S	500	260	420	520
18	40	zirconium	Zr		190	130	250
19	74	tungsten	W		160.6	1.1	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbcNu2EVIAADcKT.jpg

2016-02-17T16:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699990320415571968

Photos of contrails casting shadows from 1955. Notes contrails are "a common occurrence"

http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0493%281960%29088%3C0181%3AWNJCTS%3E2.0.CO%3B2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbbdbYyUEAAz697.jpg

Jet condensation trails are a common occurrence over most of the United States. During the years of 1955 and 1956, shadows of jet condensation trails were observed and photographed on two occasions in northeastern Kansas. The shadows were noted at noon on November 1, 1955, at Lawrence, Kans., and again prior to sunset on June 14, 1956, west of Lecompton, Kans.

The accompanying photographs record the observations of November 1, 1955, when numerous contrails were left as jet planes swept across the sky. The point of observation was located at the western edge of the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at approximately 38°57′30″ N., 95°15′ W. Both photographs were taken with a Leica camera with 50-mm. Elmar lens; f/9 at 1/60 sec., 35-mm. Kodachrome film.



FIGURE 1.—Looking in a westerly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 11:58 a.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is located to the right of jet condensation trail.



FIGURE 2.—Looking in a west-northwesterly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 12:02 p.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is to the right of the condensation trail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbbdbYyUEAAz697.jpg

2016-02-17T16:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699989795188027392

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I can tell you dirt in CA is 10% Aluminum. Would you not then expect to find aluminum in dirt?

2016-02-17T05:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699834226372276224

@TreyMothafucka @SteerMark Seriously though, where is the "contrail" in these images? Looks like large bands of cloud.

2016-02-17T05:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699832864884420608

@SteerMark @TreyMothafucka Looks just like clouds.

2016-02-17T05:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699832284938043392

@Sci_Phile Hummingbirds live around 5 years. Long for their metabolism/sze.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hummingbird#Lifespan

2016-02-17T05:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699829006435090432

@BearHerback11 @trutherbotgreen I look up and take photos of the sky nearly every day. Have

done for ten years. I see persistent contrails.

2016-02-17T05:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699825216843866112

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh If there's no evidence that can stand up to scrutiny, then why believe in the chemtrail theory?

2016-02-17T04:51:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699818338344632320

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Try me. What's the one BEST piece of evidence for chemtrails?

2016-02-17T03:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699802391290351617

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh planes would be likely, but there's no evidence they are used.

2016-02-17T03:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699794550068375552

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh balloons and artillery have been suggested.

2016-02-17T03:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699793839708475393

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh I'd say show me the difference. Show me evidence, not hypotheticals

---

2016-02-17T02:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699781514989441025

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh But you are arguing about the meaning of words here. NOBODY denies cloud seeding.

2016-02-17T02:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699781369438703616

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh No, I'd call it cloud seeding. "Chemtrails" refers to suspicious high altitude persistent trails.

2016-02-17T01:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699770338888908800

@Dan_E_V @coynebrendan @NWSSacramento It's the same kind of thing as crepuscular rays. Seen for thousands of years.

2016-02-17T01:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699770108290281472

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Well, it's been done since the 1950s. There's some dispute as to efficacy. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cloud_seeding#Effectiveness

---

2016-02-17T01:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699762237964062720

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh I just look at claims of evidence. Feel free to point out what I got wrong.

2016-02-17T01:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699762140580687872

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Of course weather modification is real.

2016-02-17T01:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699760679985610752

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh But one can still debunk false conspiracy claims while acknowledging there are real conspiracies

---

2016-02-17T01:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699760365337378816

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh No, I run a website debunking false claims of evidence. I also cover UFOs, Scams, fake news, etc.

2016-02-17T00:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699758498230333444

@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Why can't you give one example? Are you avoiding giving an example?

2016-02-17T00:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699757544827285504

@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Can you give an example? I mean I could just go to http://Factcheck.org and pick something.

2016-02-17T00:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699756608373403649

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh I think there was a conspiracy. I'm sure there are lots of conspiracies. But I focus on EVIDENCE.

2016-02-17T00:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699756465842626561

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Fact checking politicians is already very well done by far more capable people.

2016-02-17T00:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699756250884501504

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh But this seems besides the point, all I'm doing on Metabunk is fact checking.

2016-02-17T00:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699755723148754949

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh I think they downplay their lies. I think they deliberately distorted evidence beyond spin.

2016-02-17T00:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699755273158680576

@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh Example: http://www.cbsnews.com/news/white-house-admits-wmd-error/

2016-02-17T00:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699754932400852992
@trutherbotgreen @trutherbotred @xTerahh They admit their intelligence was wrong.

2016-02-17T00:38:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699754852528750592
@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Anyway, my point is I don't blindly believe anything the gov says. I try to fact check.

2016-02-17T00:37:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699754384918335488
@trutherbotred @trutherbotgreen @xTerahh They did admit it, they said they though there were WMDs based on the intelligence at the time.

2016-02-17T00:34:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699753833354756097
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Faulty intelligence.

2016-02-17T00:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699753777566318592
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Could you give an example of the type of thing you think I should disagree with?

2016-02-17T00:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699753355409625089
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Either by mistake, or some other motive, like oil, or regional power, or a mistake. Or a combination.

2016-02-17T00:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699752611017203712
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh "Official Stories" have a huge amount of scrutiny already. I focus on mostly claims not covered by others.

2016-02-17T00:29:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699752368745787392
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh Iraq WMDs

2016-02-17T00:25:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699751578538889216
@xTerahh @trutherbotgreen Why not question everything? If you don't question stuff, then you accept on faith. Trust, yet verify.

2016-02-17T00:24:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699751336124887040
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh That's an overly broad "It". What is "it"? The chemtrail theory? I'd just say it lacks evidence.

2016-02-17T00:23:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/699751106117677056
@trutherbotgreen @xTerahh I always give references when needed. And I don't call people delusional. There are hoaxes sometimes, and lies.

2016-02-17T00:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699749695984259072

@TrutherbotJOKOR @trutherbotgreen Can you give an example?

2016-02-17T00:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699749242198294528

@xTerahh @trutherbotgreen I'm honest with everyone. You have to be honest to be a debunker.

2016-02-17T00:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699748752534310913

@coynebrendan @NWSSacramento It's an "edge shadow". You're looking a slab of shadow in the sky, edge on. #3 here: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2016-02-17T00:12:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699748127314608128

@xTerahh @trutherbotgreen But seriously, I'm always happy to correct things that I got wrong, so feel free to quote anything you find.

2016-02-17T00:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699747872451874816

@trutherbotgreen So you think I always win? :)

2016-02-17T00:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699747643623256065

@TerminatorBarbi "Recommended" is not "Required".

2016-02-16T23:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699730366681055233

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Again, looks like normal variations in expected levels. Those elements are everywhere.

2016-02-16T23:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699730005874479104

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Are the known levels of Aluminum in soil not science?

2016-02-16T22:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699729862630572033

@TerminatorBarbi So quote something on Metabunk that is wrong.

2016-02-16T21:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699711170450579456

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies They misunderstand the term "Reporting Limit"

2016-02-16T21:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699710223179608064

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies That's barium in blood, and right there on the test shows normal ranges. 1.8 to 165

2016-02-16T21:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699709800272105472

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal No, it's "elemental concentrations", meaning it ignores the form.

2016-02-16T21:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699709554636894208

@Kal1_Furies No, I'm just saying that the levels found are entirely consistent with what is normally in the ground.

2016-02-16T21:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699708704124342272

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Map of levels of aluminum, publishing in 1984. Note CA is largely >10%, esp in north. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbXdKKeUYAAtMmZ.png



FIGURE 2.—Aluminum content of surficial materials.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbXdKKeUYAAtMmZ.png

2016-02-16T21:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699708255556079617

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal This is a good overview from 1984

http://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/1270/pdf/PP1270_508.pdf

2016-02-16T21:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699707770489085952

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Benchmarks? There's a huge variation in soil levels of Aluminum. But yes, it's been measured

---

2016-02-16T21:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699706407973580800

@MickWest @Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Dirt is "likely" because the entire country is covered in trillions of tons of dirt. It's ubiquitous

---

2016-02-16T21:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699705938555502592

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal And similar results would be expected with no spraying. So

they are not evidence of spraying.

2016-02-16T21:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699705834251558912

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal What is? The tests did not *specifically* show free aluminum. So they are not evidence of free aluminum.

2016-02-16T20:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699692888964268032

@TerminatorBarbi if you can quote something on the site that is inaccurate, then I'll fix it.

2016-02-16T19:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699674475785097216

@trutherbotgreen Depending on how you define the words. I prefer "debunker" as it's more active. You can "debunk", you can't "skeptic"

2016-02-16T19:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699674130937827328

@TerminatorBarbi I only post what I believe to be true, and I invite and encourage corrections.

2016-02-16T17:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699641509272903681

@TerminatorBarbi Saying it is "deceptively worded" is basically saying it does not actually say that. Nothing there about losing benefits.

2016-02-16T16:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699629554218848256

@TerminatorBarbi Go check with a lawyer on if the vaccines are mandatory. All I'm saying is it does not look like it to me.

2016-02-16T15:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699619295387525120

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal No, they just find aluminum. The form of aluminum is unknown. But since CA dirt is 10% Al, dirt is likely.

2016-02-16T15:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699618868466036736

@TerminatorBarbi I disagree with the analysis. Vaccines are not mandatory. Ask a lawyer.

2016-02-16T01:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699408385939083264

@TerminatorBarbi It's my site. I don't write everything on it. I see the link to the Metabunk thread. What exactly is wrong?

2016-02-16T01:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699407567408099328

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal @DaneWigington It varies by local geologies. But largely aluminum silicates. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aluminium#Natural_occurrence

---

2016-02-16T01:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699406612612534272

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I don't see a huge difference there.

2016-02-15T23:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699378705173352448

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal You can invoke secret evidence for any theory. It's not really evidence, just speculation.

2016-02-15T23:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699378535979315200

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Secret evidence, sure. But when debunk things, I debunk specific claims of evidence, like Al levels or bypass

---

2016-02-15T23:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699378357016743936

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal @DaneWigington With that particular test, yes. Dirt in California shows 10% Al with that test.

2016-02-15T22:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699358449042219010

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal I did. It's possible something is happening that is not leaving any evidence.

2016-02-15T22:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699356752488169473

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal @DaneWigington The tests dd not show free aluminum.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbSdQftUsAAi3eJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbSdQftUsAAi3eJ.jpg

2016-02-15T22:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699356185313484800

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Difficult and unlikely to remain covert, but it's possible. There's just no evidence it's happening.

2016-02-15T22:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699355918824157184

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal My opinion is that the claims of evidence people like Dane make are generally bunk. Like the high-bypass thing

---

2016-02-15T21:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699347129739792385

@TerminatorBarbi What does that have to do with me?

2016-02-15T21:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699343171638075392

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @DaneWigington The tests show normal variations in environmental levels. Aluminum and Barium are everywhere.

2016-02-15T17:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699284713660293120

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal Sure, maybe there's a secret program that leaves no evidence. But that not what Wigington claims.

2016-02-15T17:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/699284551055515649

@Kal1_Furies @wanderinganimal It seemed rhetorical. Of course secret things try to remain secret. The point I make is there's no evidence.

2016-02-14T22:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698993185024925696

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @BOM_Vic Before when? When did you first notice it?

2016-02-14T22:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698993012303462402

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies Otherwise barium would be everywhere. This is a local groundwater problem.

2016-02-14T20:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698965765819494400

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @Dan_E_V @DaneWigington I examine claims of evidence in great depth.

2016-02-14T20:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698964969119883264

@wanderinganimal @Kal1_Furies @Dan_E_V @DaneWigington it came from the ground.

2016-02-14T18:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698933690806603777

@Kal1_Furies @Dan_E_V @wanderinganimal @DaneWigington so what evidence is there?

2016-02-14T02:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698688442226507776

@Dan_E_V @wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies @DaneWigington that's a proposal.

2016-02-13T21:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698612855872983040

@wanderinganimal @Dan_E_V @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies @DaneWigington pick one bit of science there you think can stand scrutiny.

2016-02-13T19:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698596437131550720

@wanderinganimal @Dan_E_V @RationalBee @DaneWigington @Kal1_Furies Do you think 70 years of science on contrails is "spin"?

2016-02-13T19:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698593864324526080

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies It only takes a few hundred feet difference for trail/no trail.

2016-02-13T19:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698593507200487425

@Dan_E_V @wanderinganimal Those are the airlines that fly most over the PNW, so obviously would leave the most trails

---

2016-02-13T19:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698593339227017216

@Dan_E_V @wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies It's not every day. Today for example over Sacramento, clear blue skies, short trails.

2016-02-13T17:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698562521934884864

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies It's -40Â° at some altitude directly above you now, is it not?

2016-02-13T17:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698562395296264192

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies What evidence? All the books on clouds say contrails can persist. AU is no different to Arizona.

2016-02-13T17:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698557111756652544

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies But contrails can be miles long, and spread out to cover the sky. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbHF_dYUYAAbGvX.jpg

---

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbHF_dYUYAAbGvX.jpg
2016-02-13T17:16:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/698556459378868225

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies Contrail persistent depends on the conditions at the flight altitude, typically 6+ miles up, cold

---

2016-02-13T05:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698383641945059329

Cirrus clouds arrive ahead of a warm front. That does not mean they cause the warm front. Same with contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbEn_FUUEAEIpLx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CbEn_FUUEAEIpLx.jpg

2016-02-13T05:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698382161305432064

@OpChemtrails Those appear to be just regular clouds. Look them up.

2016-02-13T02:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698330168901767168

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies Your initial claim was that "contrails are short". Would you agree that's not always true?

2016-02-13T02:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698329588150067200

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies Sure they do. Either planes are at slightly different heights, or different engines.

2016-02-12T19:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/698232208096686086

@wanderinganimal @RationalBee @Kal1_Furies 70 years of books on the weather say contrails can persist and spread in some conditions.

2016-02-11T05:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697655641087696897

@mikesara444 @MockWest_ Read the article. Significant difference compared with people who are not chemtrail believers. See spreadsheet.

2016-02-11T04:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697645409531875328

Why didn't most chemtrail believers notice persistent trails before 2010? https://www.metabunk.org/persistent-trails-survey-shows-chemtrail-believers-only-recently-noticed-persistent-trails.t7295/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca6IldZVIAEBjlh.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca6IldZVIAEBjlh.png

2016-02-10T21:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697529937452871680

@JunkScience @eachus Those were Chinese figures, from the Chinese government. Not the EPA.

2016-02-10T21:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697528625923432448

@JunkScience @eachus No reports? http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/asia/china/10646593/Toxic-smog-threatens-millions-of-Chinese-lives.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca4elJLUEAA22sP.jpg

---

Tom Phillips in Shanghai
8:29PM GMT 18 Feb 2014

25 Comments

Millions of lives will be unnecessarily lost to soaring rates of respiratory disease and lung cancer unless the Chinese government takes determined action against rampant air pollution, according to one of the country's foremost lung experts.

Bai Chunxue, the head of respiratory medicine at Shanghai's Zhongshan Hospital, said that while smoking was still the main culprit for skyrocketing rates of lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), the dangerously high level of air pollution was taking an increasingly devastating toll on Chinese lungs.

"If air pollution is not reduced we will have more and more respiratory disease, including lung cancer, COPD, asthma and even pneumonia and also heart disease, coronary heart disease," warned Dr Bai, who is also chairman of the Chinese Alliance against Lung Cancer and the director and founder of the Shanghai Respiratory Research Institute.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca4elJLUEAA22sP.jpg

2016-02-10T21:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697527118566002688

@JunkScience @eachus So how are you determining the safe dose? Comparing average air quality to smoking joints?

2016-02-10T21:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697526214823575553

@JunkScience @eachus At risk population can't just stop breathing. They can not smoke.

2016-02-10T20:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697525295511785472

@JunkScience @eachus In the ground? Smoke in any form is bad for your lungs.

2016-02-10T20:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697524547218595840

@JunkScience @eachus People breath vastly more than they smoke. 15 million breaths per year.

2016-02-10T20:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/697523569492766721

@JunkScience @eachus I think you missed the Abstract.

http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/103/23/2805.full

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca4Z_S6UEAADhc5.jpg

Methods and Results—In the Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study, we interviewed 3882 patients (1258 women) with acute myocardial infarction an average of 4 days after infarction onset. We used the case–crossover study design to compare the reported use of marijuana in the hour preceding symptoms of myocardial infarction onset to its expected frequency using self–matched control data. Of the 3882 patients, 124 (3.2%) reported smoking marijuana in the prior year, 37 within 24 hours and 9 within 1 hour of myocardial infarction symptoms. Compared with nonusers, marijuana users were more likely to be men (94% versus 67%, $P<0.001$), current cigarette smokers (68% versus 32%, $P<0.001$), and obese (43% versus 32%, $P=0.008$). They were less likely to have a history of angina (12% versus 25%, $P<0.001$) or hypertension (30% versus 44%, $P=0.002$). The risk of myocardial infarction onset was elevated 4.8 times over baseline (95% confidence interval, 2.4 to 9.5) in the 60 minutes after marijuana use. The elevated risk rapidly decreased thereafter.

Conclusions—Smoking marijuana is a rare trigger of acute myocardial infarction. Understanding the mechanism through which marijuana causes infarction may provide insight into the triggering of myocardial infarction by this and other, more common stressors.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca4Z_S6UEAADhc5.jpg
2016-02-10T20:44:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697521425330999297
@eachus @JunkScience http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/103/23/2805.full "Smoking marijuana is a rare trigger of acute myocardial infarction. "

2016-02-10T20:40:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697520628539101184
@eachus @JunkScience Unlike Steve's "hey, smoking has particles, therefore pollution can't hurt you" argument?

2016-02-10T20:36:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697519461897646080
@JunkScience @eachus But smoking a joint DOES increase the risk of heart attacks, so your counter example is invalid http://abcnews.go.com/Health/story?id=117399

2016-02-10T20:14:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697513979741011968
@eachus @JunkScience There are many papers on the topic. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?start=0&q=heart+attack+air+pollution&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&lookup=0

2016-02-10T17:40:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697475301605924865
@JunkScience You mean like research linking air pollution to heart attacks?http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/11/151108124801.htm
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca3uBkoVAAASBoy.jpg

People with heart disease face an increased risk of a serious heart attack during poor air quality days, according to a major new study presented today at the American Heart Association Scientific Session in Orlando.

The study of more than 16,000 patients by researchers at the Intermountain Medical Center Heart Institute in Salt Lake City examined patients who had suffered three types of heart attacks -- STEMI, non-STEMI, and unstable angina -- to identify which type of heart attack was more likely on days when the air was especially polluted.

For the study, researchers compared air quality measurements to the number of patients treated for heart attacks at Intermountain Healthcare hospitals in the urban areas in and around Salt Lake City between September 1993 and May 2014.

The Intermountain Medical Center Heart Institute research team identified a strong association between bad air quality days -- those with a threshold above 25 micrograms of fine particulate matter per cubic meter of air -- with a greater risk of STEMIs, the most dangerous type of heart attack.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Ca3uBkoVAAASBoy.jpg
2016-02-10T17:32:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697473110618955776
.@JunkScience Why do you feel compelled to defend air pollution against all attacks.

2016-02-10T17:30:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697472828824662016
.@JunkScience @GinaEPA Air pollution can cause heart attacks, according to science.
https://scholar.google.com/scholar?as_ylo=2015&q=air+pollution+heart+attacks&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5&as_vis=1

2016-02-09T18:59:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697132776907153410
@mikesara444 @martinhume @Shareaholic "changes in global temperature ... require drivers such as increased greenhouse gas concentrations"

2016-02-09T18:56:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/697132019642269696
@onezerosix @BillNye Try: http://contrailscience.com/

2016-02-08T23:07:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/696832687940591617
@martinhume @Shareaholic No, it says "except if net anthropogenic CO2 emissions were strongly negative over a sustained period."

2016-02-05T03:09:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695444104562409474

@rokro11 so how are you going to persuade others it is wrong? By assertion?

2016-02-05T02:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695432710836195329

@rokro11 Not being able to give an example would indicate there's nothing wrong there.

2016-02-05T01:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695420867623321600

@rokro11 @GlochMichael You can't even give a single example of where Metabunk is wrong? Then how do you know that it is?

2016-02-05T00:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695407246759493633

@rokro11 @GlochMichael Can you point out something on Metabunk that you can show is incorrect?

2016-02-04T00:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695046281127792640

@zenmommaNgrimes @loybost Can you back that up with any evidence? Nobody has ever paid me to debunk.

2016-02-04T00:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695044039796588544

@misssarahsue Yes, the part that's near the horizon is very big and far away, so it takes a lot of driving to change the perspective.

2016-02-04T00:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695043306313519105

@MikaelThalen They published bits of that, like here: https://youtu.be/3L7lOBvCpKQ?t=49s

---

2016-02-04T00:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695042755177762816

@MikaelThalen I suspect legal they are public domain, just nobody ever asked for them. Mostly boring stuff.

2016-02-04T00:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695040728997584896

@misssarahsue When a plane flies directly towards or away from you, the contrail can appear vertical and go to the horizon. Perspective.

2016-02-04T00:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695040047779680257

@MikaelThalen I was not able to download the 250GB file, is it broken up somewhere? Were any of them interesting?

2016-02-04T00:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/695036944800088064

@MikaelThalen Even the list of NASA employees is on their web site (you just have to do an A,

B, C, ... search).
https://people.nasa.gov/people/search?firstName=&middleInitial=&lastName=A&email=&phone=

2016-02-04T00:07:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/695035801038508034
@MikaelThalen The are "proof' of what the studies are about. I think people misunderstand terms like "aerosol", etc. Automatically nefarious

---

2016-02-04T00:05:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/695035370455433216
@MikaelThalen so it's easy to see why people thought the leak contained chemtrails-related stuff. Just atmosspheric science tho

---

2016-02-04T00:04:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/695035123813609472
@MikaelThalen There was rather an excessive focus on "chemtrails" in their zine though. Repeating the entire list of chemtrail claims.

2016-02-03T22:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/695007503059279872
@MikaelThalen @TheRegister Try this one:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-anonsecs-nasa-hack-global-hawk-hijack-evidence-of-chemtrails-public-domain-data.t7253/

2016-02-03T20:09:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/694976014020059138
@R_C_Radio possibly, but you really can't tell for sure from that video.

2016-02-03T18:24:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/694949632799952896
When did you first notice persistent trails? Take the survey, then retweet for science!
https://docs.google.com/a/mickwest.com/forms/d/1vcAbaAOiOQ-lnxjDlPNG3Y_NG9wtX393NZuqVOqPpEU/viewform http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CaTzkdBUUAQscqi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CaTzkdBUUAQscqi.jpg

2016-02-02T21:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/694633519767638016

Hackers break into NASA looking for chemtrails, but only find public domain data.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-anonsecs-nasa-hack-global-hawk-hijack-evidence-of-chemtrails-public-domain-data.t7253/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CaPVM8QUUAAX9Nc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CaPVM8QUUAAX9Nc.jpg

2016-02-02T00:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/694320687423442944

@AstroTwerp I've read the transcript you provided, and I see nothing wrong with it. Explain.

2016-02-01T18:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/694229299155435520

@AstroTwerp So, do you accept that he's not lying?

2016-02-01T02:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693984486124441600

@AstroTwerp however, GTG, feel free to discuss on MB

2016-02-01T02:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693984357631946752

@AstroTwerp Thanks. Looks like you misunderstand what he's saying. There's no signature". Too much sulfur, none of other.

2016-02-01T02:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693981815153958912

@AstroTwerp If you'd like to start a new thread on Metabunk with a transcript, and without the immediate accusation of liar, then go for it.

2016-02-01T02:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693980695396425728

@AstroTwerp Fair enough. But I'm afraid I have to enforce the posting guidelines on Metabunk, including the politeness policy.

2016-02-01T02:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693978729823907840

@AstroTwerp That will be very useful, thanks.

2016-02-01T02:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693978287173840896

@AstroTwerp What exactly does he say?

2016-02-01T02:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693978182647619584

@AstroTwerp I've still not seen where he did this. Give me a transcript of his words if you want to make a case.

2016-02-01T02:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693978031535198208

@AstroTwerp Why don't you write down what he said? Where he said which elements were there vs. trace. Quote his contradictions.

2016-02-01T02:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693977099418234885

@AstroTwerp Feel free to vent your anger on Youtube & Twitter. But you CHOSE to go to Metabunk, and we have a politeness policy. Your call.

2016-02-01T01:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693976850805051392

@AstroTwerp Then debunk it. Quote what he said in context, and politely explain why you think it is wrong.

2016-02-01T01:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693976086313455616

@AstroTwerp Did you read the politeness policy before posting?

https://www.metabunk.org/politeness-policy.t1224/

2016-02-01T01:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693975871447695361

@AstroTwerp See now that's not polite, is it? You seem to want to exclude yourself from any polite conversation.

2016-02-01T01:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693975631869030400

@AstroTwerp It did not provide the quotes in question, and used a video, and called someone a lair based on an analysis of paraphrasing

---

2016-01-30T20:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693534606788722688

@RassFaber I've signed talent releases just for being interviewed.

2016-01-30T20:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693534397882978305

@RassFaber But as noted, talent releases are used for members of the public too. Just allows the filmmaker to use the footage freely.

2016-01-30T20:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693529818386673664

@BradleeDean1 Just one point on that story, Finicum also carried a 9mm on his left side.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZ_pjC1UEAAY-Mn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZ_pjC1UEAAY-Mn.jpg

2016-01-30T20:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693528656719671296

@SteerMark Or Iraja Svadas, claiming he found high rates of free aluminum, when none of the tests actually show this. They need peer review

---

2016-01-30T20:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693528205613871106

@SteerMark Yes I do. Perhaps Pilot Jeff Nelson can find a peer to explain why ice "warms" up

when it's -40 degrees? Why did it freeze then?

2016-01-30T20:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693527662346661888

@RassFaber So? Who was asking for the release? What footage did it refer to on the release?

2016-01-30T18:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693504686180036608

@RassFaber Documentary filmmakers often need talent releases. Even with public shooting. http://www.videomaker.com/article/f16/15398-why-do-you-need-release-forms

---

2016-01-30T17:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693487061358112769

@jelo1317 @krisLibertad I don't see any in this image.

2016-01-30T17:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/693486807871193088

Claims that LaVoy Finicum could not have been reaching for his gun are incorrect. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-lavoy-finicum-only-carried-his-gun-on-his-right-he-carried-two-guns.t7247/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZ_CSubUMAEy7lL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZ_CSubUMAEy7lL.jpg

2016-01-29T06:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692958599983808512

Zoomed and stabilized video of Finicum Shooting. https://www.metabunk.org/m/Finicum.html

---

2016-01-28T17:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692756439165603840

@3Xtraders I explained why. A) There were fewer trails, B) There were far fewer photos on ANYTHING. C) low quality photos. D) Selection bias

---

2016-01-28T05:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692576970660417537

@3Xtraders I enjoy it, and think it's a net positive for the world.

2016-01-27T23:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692485697534586880

@mikesara444 @KindOrgone a google image search will usually explain what they are. That's a DC-3 for oil spill dispersement spraying

---

2016-01-27T23:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692483248597962752

@KindOrgone @mikesara444 Yes, because there's lots of variation with no health problems. San Diego vs. Seattle, for example.

2016-01-27T23:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692482899191451648

@KindOrgone @mikesara444 No, those are tanks used for spraying chemicals.

2016-01-27T20:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692440019429003265

@mikesara444 @NWS @KindOrgone Same plane, lots of different gaps

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZwKhzlW0AAC7tw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZwKhzlW0AAC7tw.jpg

2016-01-27T19:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692436813663834112

@mikesara444 There's lots of natural aerosols in the air, you can't "stop" them. Contrails just change the balance a bit.

2016-01-27T19:37:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692431265744752643

@mikesara444 @NWS @KindOrgone And so?

2016-01-27T19:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692431057673687040

@mikesara444 How could the background atmosphere aerosols "stop"? What does that mean?

2016-01-27T16:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692389281550176256

@mikesara444 @NWS @KindOrgone Not really a difference you could see from the ground. Unless you had two identical planes side by side.

2016-01-27T16:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692388165634658305

@mikesara444 A sudden change? You mean like normal variations in the weather? Plants do better with diffuse light.

2016-01-27T15:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692366644061011968

@3Xtraders i did? You must refresh my memory. I'm always interested in photographic evidence. The problem is quantity.

2016-01-26T19:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692073848066342912

@mikesara444 @KindOrgone @NWS For temperature, and lower altitude humidity readings

---

2016-01-26T19:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/692073594986172416

@mikesara444 @KindOrgone @NWS

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/95GL01312/abstract and

http://www.aero-net.info/fileadmin/aeronet_files/links/documents/DLR/Schumann_Contrails.pdf

---

2016-01-26T14:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691992414190350336

@mikesara444 @KindOrgone @NWS no

2016-01-26T02:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691809604544630791

@Dan_E_V Probably.

2016-01-26T02:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691806839164538880

@Dan_E_V That happens sometimes, always has.

http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2016-01-26T01:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691794459282034688

@Dan_E_V Engine contrail factors still vary though, don't they?

2016-01-26T00:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691782017143443458

@Dan_E_V Planes at different altitudes are flying through different air conditions.

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2016-01-26T00:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691781777921302528

@KindOrgone Weather balloon soundings are very limited resolution in time and space. Humidity measurements bad.

https://www.metabunk.org/accuracy-of-radiosonde-humidity-soundings-for-contrail-prediction.t758/

2016-01-23T22:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/691029046931501056

@AstroTwerp it illustrates a particular principle of physics. That's all.

2016-01-22T06:27:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690420623433011200

@Annthomas369Ann @Hsaive Of course I can tell which is milk. The point is that "chemtrail" theorists can't say which trails are contrails

---

2016-01-22T06:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690420203075665920

@ClimateEnggRR That's just a 2010 paper by David Keith being aggregated with chemtrail articles. http://www.pnas.org/content/107/38/16428.long

---

2016-01-22T06:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690416210563985408

@Annthomas369Ann @Hsaive But it is a windshield wiper. I'm sure even Harold would agree with that.

2016-01-22T03:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690376381306400768

@Annthomas369Ann @MickWest @Hsaive Then pick one at random. Any one. If there are any.

2016-01-22T02:16:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690357408472899584

@eddiebravo Now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZSkXSgWIAAHvQp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZSkXSgWIAAHvQp.jpg

2016-01-21T23:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690318155672002560

@Annthomas369Ann @Hsaive Yes, just one. I keep asking, but nobody can give an example.

2016-01-21T21:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690286207155118080

@jelo1317 @jarek_wiatr If a contrail made of ice crystals can't spread out, then how can a "chemtrail" spread out?

2016-01-21T21:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690284132815900673

@sara8smiles @Oswald1160 Can you personally tell the difference by looking at them?

2016-01-21T17:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690231904633663488

@jelo1317 How do you know sundogs are more common now than they were before? Historical observations say no change.

2016-01-21T05:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690046351556624384

@Hsaive Could you give an example of this "phony proof"?

2016-01-21T04:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690025489348628482

@manupixou @jelo1317 thanks! I'll add that to the article.

2016-01-21T03:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/690006381345296384

@sara8smiles @Annthomas369Ann @OpChemtrails @Chemtrails_818 so what's the difference?

2016-01-21T02:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689998888405733376

@Annthomas369Ann @sara8smiles @OpChemtrails @Chemtrails_818 So you agree they look like contrails?

2016-01-21T01:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689989391851323392

@sara8smiles Again, it looks like a contrail. What's different?

2016-01-21T01:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689976232432287744

@jelo1317 Sundogs are described in the literature as "very common", been seen for centuries https://www.metabunk.org/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literature.t6742/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZNJjfnXEAEOklY.jpg

---



5.5 The 22° halo

The 22° halo is probably the most common halo of all, occurring whole or in part many times a year every place on earth (Figure 5.5A). It appears as a thin pearly ring centered on the sun (or moon) at a distance of 22°. It has a fairly sharp reddish inner edge and a diffuse bluish outer region. Sometimes the color is hard to see and even at its best it does not rival the colors of a rainbow. The 22° halo is a few degrees wide but slightly different for each display.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CZNJjfnXEAEOklY.jpg

2016-01-21T00:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689973426371596288

@Annthomas369Ann @sara8smiles @OpChemtrails @Chemtrails_818 The photo is of what looks like a contrail.

2016-01-21T00:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689973292820795393

@sara8smiles Ducks don't look like cats. These trails look like contrails.

2016-01-20T17:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689855035702775808

@sara8smiles or maybe #contrails, appearing in the media because they are part of everyday scenery?

2016-01-18T17:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689136172749045760

@nicholasod I suspect the GroÃkrotzenburg plant one near frankfurt. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/

2016-01-18T17:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689135400124678145

@nicholasod Trying to track down which power station made "Iron Giant" cloud. Could you say what day was it? Flight and Time would be great.

2016-01-18T16:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689125036590940161

@LeapDaily The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-18T16:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689124997969743872

@_noboru @travelmail The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-18T16:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689124963580690433

@Twuot The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-18T16:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689124873843523584

@iluvpurwakarta The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-18T16:39:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/689124848136663041
@okezonenews The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-18T16:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689124301727862784

@freddoso Who claims that money is the ONLY factor in winning elections? Easy to debunk a straw man. What's the real argument?

2016-01-18T15:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/689108086540374016

@freddoso What exactly does that debunk?

2016-01-18T06:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/688968008341929985

@freddoso Where's the debunking?

2016-01-17T05:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/688591817839783937

This "iron giant robot cloud" is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-17T05:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/688591408081420288

@retireleo The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:19:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591355556175872
@phxghosthunter The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:18:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591304574414848
@TonyDElia_ @travelmail The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:18:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591211678941184
@JUDAHsCHILDREN The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-17T05:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591145937448961
@Metztli_IT @theinquisitr The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:18:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591076760797185
@VeroBalderasI The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:17:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688591031005085696
@nsd282 The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:17:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688590986356723712
@zombak The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688590963279671296
@theinquisitr The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-17T05:17:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688590929066758149
@travelmail The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-17T05:17:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688590896011423745
@CapsParanormal The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-17T05:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/688590835424702464

@YoPaulieNJ @AliEnRobOtt The iron giant robot cloud is just two cooling tower plumes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.t7208/



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg

2016-01-17T05:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/688590581866446848

@enigmablogger The iron giant robot cloud is just a cooling tower plume.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CY5dcpBUwAES6C4.jpg
2016-01-16T15:47:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/688387106075365376
@BillKristol @GunSenseUSA So you think we should just give away guns for free? How are you paying for all these free guns?

2016-01-14T21:55:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/687754881600368640
@JunkScience I've got a new dishwasher. Works just fine. Seems like a bit of a silly thing to complain about.

2016-01-14T20:44:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/687737014314139648
WWII Fighter plane on an aircraft carrier leaves contrails from the tips of its propellor.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYtUxSYUAAAtXY-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYtUxSYUAAAtXY-.jpg

2016-01-13T18:09:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/687335751256678401

@ufoofinterest @disinformatico @DoubtfulNews @wafflesatnoon @CDSNAtech Although I think it's more likely a viral ad for his next book.

2016-01-13T18:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/687335097163366400

@ufoofinterest @disinformatico @DoubtfulNews @wafflesatnoon Perhaps viral ad for @CdSNatech (element numbers)?

2016-01-13T17:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/687323296317095937

@An0nKn0wledge they use silver iodide for cloud seeding. Why would they use aluminum? It would not work.

2016-01-13T17:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/687322999217758208

@An0nKn0wledge What USAF Chemtrail program? Can you link to a doc on that? (or did you just mean the chemistry textbook?)

2016-01-13T17:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/687322688071716865

@An0nKn0wledge Nobody has every denied clouds seeding is real. It has been openly done (and reported on) since the 1950s.

2016-01-12T18:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686980240745213952
@An0nKn0wledge If you want to say that cloud seeding is chemtrails, then you are "correct" by definition. But so what?

2016-01-12T01:48:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/686726347201183744
Is this the oldest known photo of a persistent contrail? It's from 1937
https://www.metabunk.org/1952-condensation-trails-from-aircraft-with-a-1937-persistent-contrail-photo-oldest-ever.t7195/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYe9k6yUEAAyuGS.jpg

---



Photo by R.A.F.

FIG. 3.—CONDENSATION TRAILS FORMED BY AIRCRAFT
November 13, 1937, 11.30 a.m.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYe9k6yUEAAyuGS.jpg
2016-01-11T18:32:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/686616587810439168
@letsrollforums I think everything I said there was accurate. But I'm curious as to why you are reposting it?

2016-01-11T16:06:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/686579843215917056
@tomtomUK @bengoldacre But yes, pseudo-debunking is a problem. It becomes more bunk,

doubling needed debunking, and diluting the real info.

2016-01-11T16:02:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686578910859898880

@tomtomUK @bengoldacre Where domain knowledge is needed, you can sometimes impart enough. Or seek an unbiased authority like old books.

2016-01-11T16:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686578555493339140

@tomtomUK @bengoldacre I somewhat agree, but there are many "fields" where domain knowledge is universal, and debunking is straightforward

---

2016-01-10T16:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686225915504771072

@Tim_the_Pilot

https://www.metabunk.org/sinusoidal-thin-contrails-explained-solitary-hybrid-contrails-the-rook-instability.t4539/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYX21XGVAAAwpya.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYX21XGVAAAwpya.jpg

2016-01-10T16:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686225834756014080

@Tim_the_Pilot There have been a number of cases where on vortex collapses totally leaving the other to form a solitary squiggle.

2016-01-10T07:06:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686081749017739264

@JunkScience But you said the myth was that he was massively popular. Now you say his popularity irrelevant?

2016-01-10T07:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686081360314798081

.@varoitus991 It's a lot of contrails in a large area of idea contrail conditions.

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYVzI-KUAAE035y.jpg



This enhanced infrared image from NASA's Terra satellite shows a widespread outbreak of contrails over the southeastern United States during the morning of January 29, 2004. Satellite data are critical for studying the effects of contrails. The crisscrossing white lines are contrails that form from planes flying in different directions at different altitudes. Each contrail spreads and moves with the wind. Contrails often form over large areas during winter and spring. CREDIT: NASA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYVzI-KUAAE035y.jpg

2016-01-10T02:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686014818445230080

@JunkScience But still, as you see, with a 64% approval rating. Better than W. Is W. radioactive for Jeb? What about H.W.?

2016-01-10T02:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/686007698073124865

@JunkScience Gallup says he's viewed very positively. But it's a bit more complicated than that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYUwXcdUAAAcyLI.jpg

Americans' Favorable Ratings of Living U.S. Presidents

	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion
	%	%	%
Bill Clinton	64	34	2
George H.W. Bush	63	31	6
George W. Bush	53	44	2
Jimmy Carter	52	32	16
Barack Obama	47	52	1

June 5-8, 2014

GALLUP

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYUwXcdUAAAcyLI.jpg
2016-01-09T23:04:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685960331206643712
@nntaleb what nonliteral claims of religion have had "scientific skepticism" levied against them by atheists?

2016-01-09T22:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685958271983697921
Nice hybrid contrails with an interesting visual asymmetry
https://twitter.com/Tim_the_Pilot/status/685956201084010496

2016-01-09T20:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685920726071705600
@JunkScience The weather in one tiny bit of the globe is not the same as the global climate.

2016-01-09T20:22:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685919662022893569
@GovJVentura I think you meant "suspicious critics of the government" not "critical thinkers", which has a broader meaning.

2016-01-07T21:23:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685210062751543297
@Takethatfrauds @SurvivalNews4U By breaking the "surface" (really a collection of linked object) at weak points. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYJa69lUoAA8lYy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYJa69lUoAA8lYy.jpg
2016-01-07T15:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/685127774973997056
@SurvivalNews4U No he didn't.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-top-climate-scientist-tim-lenton-admits-to-ongoing-geoengineering.t6949/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYIQFLAUkAAP50Q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYIQFLAUkAAP50Q.jpg

2016-01-06T18:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684806458681131008

@JunkScience That graph is not of the UK, and the story clearly states since 1910.

http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/news/releases/archive/2016/december-records

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYDr0_6UwAAcO3x.jpg

---

5 January 2015 - The latest provisional statistics from the Met Office confirm December has broken records both for rainfall and temperature

The month was not only the wettest December on record, but also the wettest calendar month overall since records* began in 1910, while 2015 is the sixth wettest year on record (dating back to 1910).

The UK mean temperature for 2015 is 9.2 °C, notably warm but not exceptional. The warmest year on record was set in 2014 at 9.9 °C. The UK mean temperature for December is record breaking at 7.9 °C, which is 4.1 °C above the long-term average. The previous record was 6.9 °C in 1934.



Mean Temp December 2015



December 2015 rainfall anomaly

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CYDr0_6UwAAcO3x.jpg

2016-01-06T00:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684536109125120000

@lawebber215 @JunkScience @elonmusk Sure they do. Gasoline vapor is pretty flammable.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b89x8CAS6xU

2016-01-06T00:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684531447474962432

@JunkScience @elonmusk What, you think a gasoline powered car never burst into flames while refueling? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX_xuV7UMAIOQzV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX_xuV7UMAIOQzV.jpg

2016-01-05T23:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684524644624302080

@RJSzczerba The thin table longest edge appears longer but is shorter, but only in the image. In 3D, the thin table would actually be longer

---

2016-01-05T17:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684422639364878336

@MadisonstarMoon This is nothing to do with me. Anyone can buy a domain and set up a redirect to anywhere else.

2016-01-05T01:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684185560089378816

@GunSenseUSA @HuffPostCanada 40% from illegal sources, who could get them from (previously) legal sources and resell them.

2016-01-04T22:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684133185110716416

@Aroca21 Ballast Barrels.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/

2016-01-04T22:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684133062116950017

@Aroca21 It's a fire fighting plane.

2016-01-04T21:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684130620633513984

@Dan_E_V Looks like you've got some snow around there. Maybe give it another shot?

2016-01-04T18:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684077988804046848

@marissopi That photo looks like a photo of clouds.

2016-01-04T18:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684077479829594121

@Dan_E_V Was there dirt on the glass?

2016-01-04T18:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684074320960688128

@Dan_E_V Maybe, but there's still condensation inside the tap water jar, so it's warmer.

2016-01-04T17:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684071085705318401

@Dan_E_V When you do, I'll update this post:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-a-snow-test-for-signs-of-geoengineering-portland-oregon.t7162/

2016-01-04T17:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684067996294189056

@Dan_E_V Do it again with a thermometer.

2016-01-04T17:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684065631956697088

@Dan_E_V If they were the same, then why is the condensation different?

2016-01-04T17:24:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684062766810796032

@Dan_E_V Obviously not. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5HZLBUQAAI7fF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5HZLBUQAAI7fF.jpg

2016-01-04T17:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684060554265141248

@Dan_E_V There were no planes in 1882.

2016-01-04T17:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684059787269545984

@Dan_E_V The two jars are clearly at at different temperatures.

2016-01-04T16:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684055418092392450

@CAchemtrails 1972 (and actually some of these were taken in the 1960s)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5AuhSUsAAwM1L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5AuhSUsAAwM1L.jpg

2016-01-04T16:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684055162248269824

1972 book on clouds shows that "chemtrails" are just contrails.

https://goo.gl/photos/MvabrH36DhmUPQd8A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5AgqHUoAAzH3Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX5AgqHUoAAzH3Z.jpg

2016-01-04T16:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684054690355494912

@CAchemtrails There's similar photos in this 1972 book on clouds. So these are just contrails

https://goo.gl/photos/MvabrH36DhmUPQd8A

2016-01-04T15:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684034352947146752

@Kal1_Furies @hazelredneck Sorry posted a broken link before. Here: https://goo.gl/photos/MvabrH36DhmUPQd8A

2016-01-04T15:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684030878134042625

@Kal1_Furies @hazelredneck There's a scan of the chapter on contrails here: https://picasaweb.google.com/107393796095434664991/CloudsOfTheWorld1972?feat=embedwebsite

---

2016-01-04T14:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/684016893003354113

@Kal1_Furies @hazelredneck "Clouds of the World", 0-8117-1961-8 http://www.amazon.com/gp/offer-listing/0811719618/ref=tmm_hrd_used_olp_0?ie=UTF8&condition=used&qid=&sr=

2016-01-04T01:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683822396453699585

@Kal1_Furies @hazelredneck You asked about the past. Surely looking in old books would be part of that? Contrails looked like that in 1972.

2016-01-03T23:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683799103763972096

@Kal1_Furies @hazelredneck They did in the 1970s https://picasaweb.google.com/107393796095434664991/CloudsOfTheWorld1972?feat=embedwebsite http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX1XkAwUMAAtWk_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CX1XkAwUMAAtWk_.jpg

2016-01-02T23:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683424670431903745

@mghent32 Can you describe the difference? Of show a photo of a "chemtrail" and explain why it is not just a contrail?

2016-01-02T22:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683410488022024192

@mghent32 I'm just wondering why YOU are certain they were chemtrails, if they look and act like persistent contrails

---

2016-01-02T21:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683404901347557376

@mghent32 Right, but I'm interested how you are sure. Was there something that distinguished it from a contrail?

2016-01-02T21:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683399033600147458

@mghent32 It just seemed like you thought they were "Chemtrails" because they lasted a long time. But contrails can also last a long time.

2016-01-02T19:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683370867531857920

@mghent32 It says that contrails can stay for hours, and spread out. So how do you know the trails you see are not those contrails.

2016-01-02T18:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683352325730140160

@repo4sale The number of search results is not really indicative of the violence of immigrants. More so the spin and propaganda.

2016-01-02T18:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683351507064897536

@mghent32 That's not what all the books on clouds say though. For example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXvAkyPUMAAMjOz.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXvAkyPUMAAMjOz.jpg

2016-01-02T18:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683349496265228288

@mghent32 How could you tell it wasn't a contrail?

2016-01-02T17:33:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/683340464074850304

Most contrails are actually not directly overhead, more like 20+ miles away.
http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/ @mghent32
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXu2cLTVAAEKSRx.jpg



Contrails, looking west from Kew Bridge over the Thames, to the West of London. It looks like the contrails are "over the city" but really they are much further away



Drawing a grid at six miles up shows just how far away. The closest would be ten miles away, the furthest over 100 miles. The number at the top of the buildings don't show the distance to the building (actually less than a mile), but show the distance to something six miles up in the sky if it appeared directly behind the top of the building.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXu2cLTVAAEKSRx.jpg
2015-12-31T23:49:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682710228828164096
@DrWhoisin That's 14 minutes into this clip, if you'd like the context.
http://comediansincarsgettingcoffee.com/president-barack-obama-just-tell-him-you-re-the-presi

dent

2015-12-30T16:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682243531063164929

Contrails occasionally intersect in pentagrams.

https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/ @SkyWatchrs @blazeart_ @Clos3st2Th3Sun http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXfQ3QXUEAAs2jr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXfQ3QXUEAAs2jr.jpg

2015-12-30T16:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682243154376957952

@RichardRMeyer The "Chinese troops flooding into Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682243108688363520

@ANTI_ALP The "Chinese troops flooding into Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682242948302372864

@Truth_Seeker59 The "Chinese troops flooding into Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682242711101952001

@p4ulsatim @ourbrian @MinogueTom The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax

by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242670844973057
@qatarflights The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242631154307074
@Laide_nigeria The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242609394274305
@RobRoselli The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242533733220352
@sanuzis The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:51:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242515085361154
@YusufDFI The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:50:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242468843094016
@altexploit The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:50:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242417123168257
@sans_kruti The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:50:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/682242358713294848

@Sshankara The "Chinese troops flooding Syria" story is false, a hoax by Sorcha Faal. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T16:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682240597680242688

Chemtrail theorists claim modern jet engines can't make contrails. They are very wrong. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D3AqHDtwBQg

---

2015-12-30T16:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682230523289190400

@Annthomas369Ann Did I lie? Quote the lie.

2015-12-30T01:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682007090768957440

@AlfieHeydrich Chinese troops are not flooding into Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T01:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682007071764566016

@shirleycolleen Chinese troops are not flooding into Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T01:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/682007034816901121

@eph6v12 Chinese troops are not flooding into Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-30T00:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681994350381764608

RT @XtyMiller: Debunked: Obama's "Worst Nightmare" Realized As Chinese Troops Flood Into Syria [Hoax] https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T23:45:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681984519596052481

@frank8427zz9za Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T23:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681984474670837760

@futuredanger6 Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T23:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681984435978371073

@TrustyGordon Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T23:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681984339039678464

@RichardWeaving Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T23:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681983497456726016

@Annthomas369Ann I left the company four years after I sold my share. Public knowledge.

http://investor.activision.com/secfiling.cfm?filingid=910643-99-157&cik=

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXbkSJKUQAAy7Ez.jpg


Neversoft was founded in 1994 by Joel Jewett, Mick West and Chris Ward, veterans in the games industry, and currently maintains two development teams comprised of industry-leading artists, designers and programmers. The company has developed strong game engine technologies that can be leveraged to create compelling interactive entertainment experiences in the action and sports genres. Neversoft's management team have signed long-term employment contracts with Activision.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXbkSJKUQAAy7Ez.jpg

2015-12-29T22:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681966084786929665

@ZeDikaRedirect Why didn't J. Marvin Herndon notice it until a year ago?

2015-12-29T22:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681965957905002500

@ZeDikaRedirect So why didn't you notice it until just now?

2015-12-29T22:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681965646079459329

@DrWhoisin @sergiez That link debunks the previous ones

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXbUIwcUAAEPt-8.jpg

Following the talk between the world leaders, Russian news agency ITAR-TASS reported that Russian Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev would be visiting China from December 14 to 17. The announcement does not mention terrorism cooperation, instead noting that Medvedev will discuss with Chinese officials "a wide range of issues of trade-economic, scientific-technical, investment and industrial cooperation, as well as bilateral humanitarian and cultural exchanges."

China and Russia are also set to be working on a media project, according to Russian propaganda outlet *Russia Today*. Yuri Shuvalov, identified as the head of the State Duma Committee for Mass Media, said the media project would launch in 2016 as part of "the year of the Russian and Chinese mass media."

Russia and China have taken significantly different approaches to the turmoil currently engulfing Syria and Iraq. Russia has taken an active military role in Syria to defend its ally Assad, claiming to be targeting the Islamic State with airstrikes, but in reality spending most of its efforts in regions of the country largely devoid of ISIS activity. While pro-Russian *Pravda* claimed in September that China had strengthened its presence in the Mediterranean Sea, little came of the report and there is no indication of active Chinese military operations in the region.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXbUIwcUAAEPt-8.jpg
2015-12-29T22:25:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681964305235968007
@ZeDikaRedirect Your link discusses possible future geoengineering. There has been a lot of discussion.

2015-12-29T22:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681964114118373376
@ZeDikaRedirect Contrails go back to around 1917. Older than pretty much all of us.

2015-12-29T22:21:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681963155552079873
@DrWhoisin @sergiez Anything that provides actual evidence wold be fine. Stories about people guessing in September are not evidence.

2015-12-29T22:18:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681962633113788416
@DrWhoisin @sergiez All that sats is that there are some reports that a Chinese naval vessel went through the Suez Canal in September

---

2015-12-29T22:14:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681961470024900610
@DrWhoisin @sergiez Do you have any actual sources? BIN is not very reliable.

2015-12-29T21:58:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681957596132196353
@cedlio @jameswesb Did they get there? That was from three months ago.

2015-12-29T21:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681954244170235904
@DrWhoisin @sergiez How do you know this?

2015-12-29T20:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941754757648385

@DrWhoisin @sergiez Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:55:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941638248312833

@jameswesb Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941601481011201

@TushRidingMan Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941567914033152

@SShourd Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941541091409924

@egor1963 Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681941353052377090

@SHUTTLECOCK74 Chinese troops are not flooding Syria. It's a Sorcha Faal hoax story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681940943637987333

@cedlio @jameswesb They are not.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T20:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681940911815823361

@repo4sale It's a fake story.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-obamas-worst-nightmare-realized-as-chinese-troops-flood-into-syria-hoax.t7141/

2015-12-29T19:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681913521106456576

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 The wings are upswept, so it's just the angle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXakuZyUkAA8ml1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXakuZyUkAA8ml1.jpg

2015-12-29T15:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681859958284103680

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 So you think that there's a "chemweb" that's thicker than a twig?

2015-12-29T15:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681856360322064386

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 Focus depends on distance from the camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXZwmhOUQAA8dqp.jpg

Next time you brush away a cobweb, consider what you're brushing. The diameter of a strand is around 1/10,000 of an inch. Human hair has 30 times that diameter and a thousand times the cross-sectional area. It's the difference between thread and rope.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXZwmhOUQAA8dqp.jpg

2015-12-29T15:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681854166499782658

@Annthomas369Ann That's a windshield wiper.

2015-12-29T15:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681852210620313600

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 Go takes some photos of spider webs in sunlight next to a twig, with the focus a bit off. Same thing.

2015-12-29T14:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681840128982028288

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 It's the same thing. Just one of your photos is out of focus, and reflecting the sun.

2015-12-29T03:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681672250857132034

@Annthomas369Ann it's the angle with the window. The reflection is IN the window.

2015-12-29T03:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681672072867622912

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 ballooning spiders

2015-12-29T02:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681656435277742080

@endcomputed @selinasorrels @BlackburnRuth He's making the analogy with chemotherapy. Not without problems, a last resort, but it can work.

2015-12-29T02:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681656109535506432

@eddiebravo Doctors.

https://www.sciencebasedmedicine.org/separating-fact-from-fiction-in-the-newborn-nursery-hepatitis-b-vaccine-for-newborns/

2015-12-29T01:59:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681655754793840641

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 The video, on the other hand, is an actual video of a contrail. Similar to others.

2015-12-29T01:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681648722074300416

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 This video shows hybrid contrails forming a dissipating. Again we see some "rings". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g7PMCEkACiI

2015-12-29T01:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681648039665233920

@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 No, it's a mixture of the two physical method of contrail formation, exhaust and aerodynamic.

2015-12-29T00:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681639833161326596

@VictorTalha They don't They fly back and for specific reasons. Holding patterns, training, testing, mapping, patrols, etc.

2015-12-29T00:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681639619704832000

@Annthomas369Ann .. ice crystals. See, much simpler to say "hybrid contrails"

2015-12-29T00:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681639481179549696

@Annthomas369Ann You could just say "portions of the exhaust contrail that are entrained in the wingtip vortices with subsequently larger ..

2015-12-29T00:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681639238979465216

@Annthomas369Ann Why not? It's to simplify discussion. It's not a new type of contrail, just a new word.

2015-12-29T00:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681638969017266176

@VictorTalha No, it's just her opinion. It was just a conference, she didn't present any evidence.

2015-12-29T00:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681638837056061440

@VictorTalha If you can get a photo, I can find out what they are.

2015-12-28T23:33:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681619087961780225

@VictorTalha So you were at the observation area?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXWY8tLUQAELeHi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXWY8tLUQAELeHi.jpg

2015-12-28T23:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681618125847183360

@Annthomas369Ann It's just a plane.

2015-12-28T23:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681615852446334977

@VictorTalha Loaded up with what? Did you take a photo?

2015-12-28T23:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681614727215202305

@VictorTalha They can even cover the sky.

https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXWU5qvU0AAzPxA.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXWU5qvU0AAzPxA.jpg

2015-12-28T23:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681614416576679937

@Annthomas369Ann What more do you need? All of science for 100+ years is pointing towards the trails being contrails.

2015-12-28T23:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681614213157097474

@VictorTalha Contrails can stick around for hours. The ones in your photo look like less then 15 minutes old. So why call them chemtrails?

2015-12-28T22:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681604999948951552

@VictorTalha Contrails can persist and spread, so how can you tell the difference?

2015-12-28T22:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681604478789906432

@Annthomas369Ann It's consistent with all the other books on clouds, as well as science in general.

2015-12-28T22:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681598974197952512

@Annthomas369Ann Page 129

2015-12-28T20:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681572014872772610

@Annthomas369Ann So you think there's some cloud seeding going on in your area? Because the snow crystal are plate form?

2015-12-28T20:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681567469660712964
@Annthomas369Ann Not really. Variations of hexagonal crystals.

2015-12-28T20:04:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681566397596577793
@Annthomas369Ann Why wouldn't they fall?

2015-12-28T18:56:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681549210139754496
@Annthomas369Ann See Appendix 1. Page 321. Plate shaped crystals are common in natural snow too.

2015-12-28T18:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681547097032658945
@Annthomas369Ann No. Contrails are high clouds made by planes. Cloud seeding is a method of making low clouds precipitate more.

2015-12-28T17:38:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681529618298355712
@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818
http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2015-12-28T17:36:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681529097432903680
@Annthomas369Ann don't they have captions? There's a section on weather mod in the book.

2015-12-28T16:47:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681516912896020483
@Annthomas369Ann WTH What?

2015-12-28T16:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681516853756346368
@Annthomas369Ann @Chemtrails_818 Not according to the evidence presented. The trails look and act just like contrails.

2015-12-27T18:57:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681187287326158848
@Annthomas369Ann Too much in what way? It's just the sunlight. Makes a bit of glare.

2015-12-27T18:54:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681186478307815424
@Annthomas369Ann You mean the flare on the tail as the sun hits it at the right angle?

2015-12-27T18:34:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681181312141668352
@Annthomas369Ann Because the angle between the camera and the window changes as the plane flies under and the camera tilts down to follow it

---

2015-12-27T17:18:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/681162277110833153
@Annthomas369Ann But here it is at 1/10th speed.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zyRDjuxP4R8 Note how reflections match bright spots.

2015-12-27T17:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681161934771765248

@Annthomas369Ann I didn't slowmo the carrier landing video, it was recorded in slowmo. With this vid you'd just have to frame advance.

2015-12-27T16:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681157236094320641

@Annthomas369Ann It's an internal reflection in the cockpit window. i.e. the window the camera is behind. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXP04DbUQAM_SHt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXP04DbUQAM_SHt.jpg

2015-12-27T06:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/681001229917491200

@Annthomas369Ann It's a reflection of the highlight, like on a bit: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXNm_nXUMAEddtS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXNm_nXUMAEddtS.jpg
2015-12-27T03:42:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/680957000172175360
@Annthomas369Ann it's a plane.

2015-12-27T02:57:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/680945600834236418
@Annthomas369Ann do what? Make contrails?

2015-12-27T01:12:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/680919034825605121
@Annthomas369Ann About what?

2015-12-26T23:07:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/680887615583830020
@kitaocom
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-snow-on-a-slide-curls-up-like-a-blanket-a-type-of-snowroller.t3669/

2015-12-26T21:34:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/680864257181900802
@Annthomas369Ann There's some interesting discussion of this type of "ring" in contrails here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-look-up-org-uks-chemtrail-missing-link-hybrid-contrails.t3206/

2015-12-26T18:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680821392212803584

@Annthomas369Ann At 1:47 in the above, there's one similar to your pics.

2015-12-26T18:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680821207394959361

@Annthomas369Ann Here's a collection from lots of different angles and weather conditions.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fM5MulfFmtI

2015-12-26T18:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680820579931406337

@Annthomas369Ann Similar from the front, and 1000 feet above. Notice perspective.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xl6iR7w7a_Q

2015-12-26T18:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680820158051438593

@Annthomas369Ann No, it's just a plane viewed from another plane that's about 1000 feet lower, and following a mile or so behind.

2015-12-26T05:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680626789094277120

@Annthomas369Ann What is? Youtube's sharing URL format?

2015-12-25T20:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680484902341599232

.@Annthomas369Ann Perspective hides gaps. A bit like this, but from the other side.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-lack-of-cooling-zone-behind-plane-in-geoengineeringwatch-video-perspective.t4370/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CXGRPllU0AAtUNf.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CXGRPllU0AAtUNf.png

2015-12-25T18:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680456803843354624

@Annthomas369Ann Here's a similar perspective: You see "rings" when camera pans back.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9zciuC7acRw

2015-12-25T18:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680456613946232832

@Annthomas369Ann It's just forced perspective, the "rings" are really more like spiral segments.

2015-12-25T17:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680444195878862848

@Annthomas369Ann If it's impossible, then why did it happen? It has been observed many times.

2015-12-25T15:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680416371352576000

@Annthomas369Ann Condensation due to reduced local pressure.

2015-12-25T15:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680416161893367808

@Annthomas369Ann Hooks? I'm not sure what you are asking

2015-12-25T15:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680415983673020417

@Annthomas369Ann @Kolyin The variation are essentially jus turbulence. With the carrier landing it's probably more ground effect based.

2015-12-25T04:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680237111090503684

.@Annthomas369Ann Low pressure in the wake vortices promotes condensation. Like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CXCv8K7UEAEAA8I.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CXCv8K7UEAEAA8I.png

2015-12-25T00:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680189114105356289

@Annthomas369Ann Persistence is amplified here by aerodynamic effects. Specifically the drop in pressure in the wake vortices.

2015-12-25T00:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680188877487747073

@Annthomas369Ann The trails in your photo are not persisting long though. So humidity is a bit below ice supersaturation level.

2015-12-25T00:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680188494573105153

@Annthomas369Ann It's the same reason that cirrus clouds persist.

2015-12-25T00:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680188417762803712

@Annthomas369Ann Because the air is ice supersaturated. Meaning the relative humidity with respect to ice is above 100%

2015-12-25T00:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680182363448422401

@Annthomas369Ann This is the company I co-founded: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neversoft

---

2015-12-25T00:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680182135852904448

@Annthomas369Ann Cool photo, but those are still contrails.

2015-12-25T00:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680181967753621505

@Annthomas369Ann Why don't you just tell me? There's lots of things going on in those photos.

2015-12-25T00:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680181794579206144

@Annthomas369Ann The Tony Hawk's Pro Skater series.

2015-12-24T21:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680134907440435200

@Annthomas369Ann Why don't you tell me? I understand the images are different. But what is non-contrail-like with your image?

2015-12-24T21:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680133362464374786

@Annthomas369Ann It's not a job. I'm a retired video game programmer. Debunking is just what I do to pass the time.

2015-12-24T21:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680133154057883650

@Annthomas369Ann Contrails have always persisted. It's in all the books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-12-24T21:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680131163193737216

@Annthomas369Ann Here's a good pic of hybrid contrails in the wake vortices, in not very persistent conditions http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBPifJUsAA0I6H.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBPifJUsAA0I6H.jpg

2015-12-24T21:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680130861052891136

@Annthomas369Ann What evidence do you have that there's something illegal going on?

2015-12-24T20:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680130363306323968

@Annthomas369Ann I was just showing you pics of the same type of contrail.

2015-12-24T20:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680129706260348929

@Annthomas369Ann And remember, cloud seeding does not leave visible trails. it is done from small planes.

2015-12-24T20:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680126153407860737

@Annthomas369Ann I think the precise details vary by state, but yes. Can you prove someone did not have a permit?

2015-12-24T20:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680125827988598784

@Annthomas369Ann It looks like a pretty normal hybrid contrail to me. Like in this 1972 book http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBK1jMUEAASo2K.jpg

---

11.2.4 The contrail is formed about one wingspan behind the aircraft when the exhaust has mixed with sufficient air of the environment to produce saturation. The edges of the trails are ragged to begin with but further mixing evaporates these and the trail becomes smooth: this indicates that the condensation persists only in the low pressure region of the vortex cores.

Close to the aircraft it is seen that the two trails from the inner engines descend further than the outer ones, those on the right being separately visible but the outer left one being behind the inner left one. Often one complete rotation of the inner round the outer one can be seen.

In the second picture the trails appear hollow. This is because the exhaust did not enter the middle of the vortex core. The particles are too small for centrifuging to be significant.

The irregularities are intensified, and at the right end the mixing penetrates in a few places into the vortex cores and dissipates the cloud. The spacing of the loops must be determined by the distance apart of the vortices: irregularities of all wavelengths are unstable, but two loops very close together merge into one, while new loops can appear between those that are rather far apart. The interval between the first and last pictures is 90 sec.

Motion identical with that shown here has been observed in smoke trails emitted at the wing tips of a Comet aircraft at 3,000 metres which is far below the normal altitude for contrails.



11.2.4



11.2.5

11.2.5 The condensation outside the vortex cores persisted in the trail of the first aircraft to pass, but not in the trail of the second. It seems probable, therefore, that the first trail was frozen as a result of being at an environmental temperature near to or below −40°C. (See 11.4.)

These pictures were taken looking vertically upwards over a period of about three minutes, and because the aircraft was on a turn the downwash was inclined outwards. The effect is to give a view rather like the side view of a straight trail (see 11.2.3, 11.2.8). Where the vortices are closest together they have penetrated farthest, and the blobs correspond to the places where the mixing has penetrated into the vortex cores. In the lowest picture the linear clouds are composed of the detrained accompanying fluid.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBK1jMUEAASo2K.jpg

2015-12-24T20:38:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680125304329748480

@Annthomas369Ann Why don't you show me some evidence that something illegal is going on?

2015-12-24T20:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680123364476862464

@Annthomas369Ann Here you go.

http://utahlegals.com/search.php?paper=all&query=weather+modification&action=search&start=1%2F1%2F2015&end=12%2F31%2F2015 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBIlXiUoAEc2bA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXBIlXiUoAEc2bA.jpg

2015-12-24T20:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680120898125352960

@Annthomas369Ann You mean with this contrail? It's a hybrid contrail in the wake vortex. One vortex is longer lasting than the other.

2015-12-24T20:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680120600401199105

@Annthomas369Ann Ad in small local newspapers don't generally seem to be archived online.

2015-12-24T17:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680082669934358528

@Annthomas369Ann It's all local, and varies by location. like here's Colorado.

http://cwcb.state.co.us/water-management/water-projects-programs/pages/%C2%ADweatherm

odificationprogram.aspx

2015-12-24T17:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680081588382662656

@Annthomas369Ann Permits are issued by local municipalities to individual companies. Posted in local newspapers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXAimUMVAAYmZpD.jpg

ATMOSPHERIC RESOURCE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION

Notice is given, that Weather Modification, Inc., of 3802 20th St. North, Fargo, ND, a licensee (License No. 2007-1) of the State of North Dakota, is to engage in operations to modify precipitation by the addition of ice nucleating agents in McKenzie, Mountrail, Ward and Williams Counties. Existing clouds will be selected for seeding according to the current operational procedures for enhancing rainfall and decreasing hail damage. Nuclei will be generated by silver iodide produced in the combustion of flares or acetone solutions, and the dropping of dry ice pellets. All seeding will be accomplished by twin engine aircraft equipped for instrument flight operating FAA airworthiness certificates and licensed by the North Dakota Aeronautics Commission. The operations area will be the target area and a ten mile extension of the border for operations easement of systems that will

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CXAimUMVAAYmZpD.jpg

2015-12-24T16:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680060746772819969

@Annthomas369Ann what evidence is there that people are seeding without a permit?

2015-12-24T15:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680053226465550336

@phillydesign @docpop This one might actually just be a regular shadow on a lower cloud layer, hard to say without a wider view.

2022-05-29T15:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530933880739356673

@CalebLe74625772 I'm basing that assessment on the motion of the three objects, which seems very consistent with a bird or a bat. Also, calculation of speed and size are consistent with a bird or bat, about 8", and 200-300 feet away, going 10-15 mph.

2022-05-29T14:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530919716012756993

@CryptoSnJ Gimbal is the most interesting, but certainly not the hardest. The two things donâ□□t correlate, as difficulty in identification just means lack of information.

2022-05-29T14:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530915566063480833

@james_mals Heâ□□s looking at them. Heâ□□s asking about what heâ□□s looking at. His instructor takes a guess, but is not looking at them.

2022-05-29T10:26:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530857987102806016

@ianjame48677288 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks Video compression.

2022-05-29T10:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530857863551197184

@lbburns13 @Oswald1160 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks yes

---

2022-05-29T06:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530798762674728960

@777Leds @FistOfSeduction @MFIsecretagent @ordiefam52 @76NOTaDemocracy Contrails do that. Check any book on clouds in the last 70 years.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-29T06:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530796062403088387

@Oswald1160 @lbburns13 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks The periodic change in brightness suggests flapping. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT56x6lUUAEVC4e.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT56x6lUUAEVC4e.jpg
2022-05-29T06:03:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530791974743093249
@randomlydelibe1 The A10 stays in the exact same position on the screen.

Put your finger on it. Does it move?

Now, the CAMERA is moving, which is why the frame moves around. But the A10 is fixed. So the bird moves like that relative to the A10

2022-05-29T05:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530787291588751361
@_lucky_jim @alpha_check Iâ□□m not certain, itâ□□s an estimate.

2022-05-29T05:44:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530787180049682438
@randomlydelibe1 The A10 is fixed, so all the motion is relative to that.

2022-05-29T05:42:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530786690213634048
@lbburns13 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks Itâ□□s flying towards the camera.

2022-05-29T05:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530786515692843008

@abeliciousj @alpha_check Boring: 99.9%

2022-05-29T05:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530781536890462209

@TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 @SugarmanSpeaks Itâ□□s a bird.

2022-05-29T05:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530781409576558593

@alpha_check Been: 1%

Being: 0.0001%

2022-05-28T15:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530574151009697797

@TUPACABRA2 Looks like you enhanced that by having a fingerprint on your lens (that makes the colorful streaks around the sun)

2022-05-28T15:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530570959958159361

@meow_in_space that's a normal 22 degree halo, as has been observed for all of history. Like here's a description from 1563

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literature.6742/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT2t6GPVUAEqXLf.jpg



GALLERY. Fol. 34.

called one. ¶ Of Circles.

The Circle called *Halon*, is a garland of diuerse collours that is seen about the sunne, the Moone, or any other sterre specially about *Iupiter* or *Venus*, for their greate bryghtnes. It is called of the Greeks a compassed platte, of the Latines a crowne or garlande.

Circles about the sunne, the moone & other sters Iupiter & Venus Planetes.

The matter wherin it is made, is a cloude of equall thicknes, or thinnes, cõming directly vnder ẏ body of the sunn, the Moone, or other sterres, into whiche the lyght of the heauenly body is receyued, and so appeareth rounde, because

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FT2t6GPVUAEqXLf.jpg

2022-05-28T15:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530570390518476801

@rdisipio I'm not sure what your broader point is.

2022-05-28T15:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530569713918660609

@sciencefandom @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty The plane is flying around the object. And balloons only "bob" in turbulent air.

Still, it might be a drone.

2022-05-28T14:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530563556164259840

@rdisipio It does not look like anything other than what you just described.

What do you think it looks like?

A bilinear algorithm is a simple interpolation, nothing like AI upscaling.

2022-05-28T14:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530555505357139968

@BostonsBuddha @ufo_worldwide Yeah, there's an hour and a half of it, in better resolution, with lots of training.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GIJ1DC3YhR0&t=5330s

2022-05-28T14:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530551885903540225

@BostonsBuddha @ufo_worldwide It's dark, and he's looking through a FLIR camera with a head tacking display that he's unfamiliar with.

2022-05-28T14:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530550143170138113

RT @Samuel_Gregson: Had a great time breaking down the recent congressional hearing on #UAP with sceptic @MickWest and sensor expert Dr @Raâ¦

2022-05-28T14:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530550044687945728

@BostonsBuddha @ufo_worldwide Why are people presuming he's an experienced observer when he's clearly a trainee who is unfamiliar with the camera system. The other guy is giving him pointers.

2022-05-28T05:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530416526125170689

@issaufobro @Flyingh43892139 @dembeck_chet They were not circling, that's parallax. The plane is circling. The object is moving slowly, just north of the runway.

2022-05-28T05:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530416279202373632

@etdotcom_ @MiddleOfMayhem The trainee thought he saw something, but the instructor wasn't looking. They got on with their training flight.

2022-05-28T01:21:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530358688631861248

@thieme @6diego32 One was trainee. One did not look.

2022-05-28T01:18:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530357712235614208
@TheOutThere2016 Check out the S21 Ultra

2022-05-28T00:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530349240513228800
@TheOutThere2016 iPhone 13 Pro.

2022-05-27T22:36:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530317142234501121
@steven_dor @uaprealities First time I've seen it here. I did see a U2 once though.

2022-05-27T22:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530314047186382848
@UFOSignature @619Notabot Quote what he says.

2022-05-27T21:41:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530303115534012416
@james_mals He wasnâ□□t stating, he was asking.

2022-05-27T20:15:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530281645093564416
@jm_gagne I wrote one:
https://www.amazon.com/Escaping-Rabbit-Hole-Conspiracy-Theories/dp/1510735801

It's not antagonistic, it's a genuine question/advice/point.

2022-05-27T20:13:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530281168175632384
@JamesWe14200015 @caitgaist @prentic4 What speeds? If they are close they are not really going that fast.

2022-05-27T19:34:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530271231580090369
@AliensOnEarth_ @FrobertKinsey You can't ignore counterarguments just because there are lots of them.

2022-05-27T19:32:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530270766222036992
@MindfulOfLight @the_cholla He didn't identify them. He asked if that's what they were. He seemed unsure.

2022-05-27T19:31:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530270428488298497
@markamaskey How can they tell it's a jet?

2022-05-27T19:19:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530267578874179585
@galarzian If you have to choose, then video. Especially if it's 4K.

2022-05-27T19:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530267261998534656
@_n_th_n_ @ny_uap No.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1530256293339881473

2022-05-27T19:18:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530267154662100992
@DarkSKYFiles @AliensOnEarth_ @mikewest The article you linked says they thought it was not a hoax.

2022-05-27T18:37:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530256794047549440
@DarkSKYFiles @AliensOnEarth_ Where is it explained?

2022-05-27T18:35:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530256293339881473
This type of "enhancement" is total bullshit.

You've got basically one grey pixel there. You can't draw out detail from a pixel. You can't AI upscale a pixel. You can't make a perfect circle around a square pixel and say it matches anything. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyP3KlUcAEZtPW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyP3KlUcAEZtPW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyPYkeVUAADK_3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyPLBbUUAMrqEC.jpg

2022-05-27T18:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530250796394110976

@Shimmy4404 @MvonRen I only post using this account. I told Marik this and he accused me of lying.

What would be the point of using another account?

2022-05-27T17:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530245747534221312

@the_cholla I don't think they are especially "excited", all you have is one query: "wow, are those three really fast-flying jets up there"

The other guy wasn't looking.

2022-05-27T17:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530245161506115584

@AliensOnEarth_ How did they eliminate the possibility of something thrown in the air?

2022-05-27T17:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530243964422434816

@Shimmy4404 I'm happy to talk to any honest critic. Marik burn that bridge when he started making baseless accusations about faking interviews and sock-puppets. He's also demonstrated a pattern of dishonesty.

Why's he the only guy? Why is there nobody else?

2022-05-27T17:35:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530241370341838848

@the_cholla Pretty close, the camera seems like it's a fairly wide-angle.

200 yards in 30 seconds is about 14 mph.

2022-05-27T17:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530240298277777409

Although there's nothing wrong with adding a little filtering.

#yesfilter #shootinraw http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyBR_hVIAAv_63.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyBR_hVIAAv_63.jpg

2022-05-27T17:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530239347663851520

A nice distinct 22Â° solar halo over Sacramento, CA, just now. #nofilter http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyAYgVUsAAUht3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTyAYgVUsAAUht3.jpg

2022-05-27T17:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530233320596021248

@skinnyb0b They seem to be getting worse.

Of course, this one isn't actually "from" the government.

2022-05-27T17:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530232433563402240

@CommonEnts Small, or Far Away - a common question.

2022-05-27T16:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530232254949040128
@digital_trav No, sorry. I think NDE can be explained as a reaction of the brain to extreme biological stress. Hallucinations and false memories, essentially.

2022-05-27T16:40:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530227496611811330
@CommonEnts Not constant? The resolution and bitrate is so low that they keep dropping out. That's not variable, it's just crappy video.

2022-05-27T16:37:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530226795265466370
@HaweDanny @MrMickeySanders @MarkCHamilton Nope, it was pretty much the same. There's a bit more regional high altitude traffic, and high-bypass engines (which make contrails more frequently) are more common. But the 90s and early 2000s was the start of the the "Chemtrail" theory, and they complained about the same things

---

2022-05-27T16:28:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530224484040421376
@619Notabot He did not say that. He asked that before he saw them moving like birds. The pilot said he wasn't looking up there.

2022-05-27T16:27:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530224239478902789
@bradjohansson21 @BostonsBuddha @jimqk Nearby small slow objects can look the same as distant large fast objects in low-resolution footage.

This is known.

2022-05-27T16:25:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530223718038765568
@messyjetski They are small warm winged creatures that, in terrible footage, can be briefly indistinguishable from more distant larger flying craft. But then you see them move like birds, and you either re-assess your distance estimate or posit they are anti-gravity, maybe aliens.

SFA

2022-05-27T15:49:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1530214560380071936
@MrMickeySanders @HaweDanny @MarkCHamilton Also, the weather can vary greatly from day to day.

This variation also causes the formation of contrails to vary, as they rely on the humidity at altitude.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-were-there-contrails-today-but-not-yesterday-its-the-weather.8003/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxp62nVUAE8Cxf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxp62nVUAE8Cxf.jpg

2022-05-27T15:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530213698605703168

@jimqk I'm simply noting that they LOOK LIKE birds.

Do you think they don't look like birds? In what way do they differ?

2022-05-27T15:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530212959674580993

@SaltburnChris @JayParker1967 10 seconds of radio chat is not enough to determine what their detailed professional evaluation was. Of if they even had more than this short view through the FLIR.

2022-05-27T15:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530211051152629761

@pennilessdick You can't 100% rule it out, as the video is poor quality and it's difficult to track the dots. However, they do appear to change direction in a way that human craft could not (but much closer birds could)

2022-05-27T15:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530210726400299009

@PThegermandude @edwardcurrent @LueDoty @Jack93882578 @chrisotis78 about -2%

2022-05-27T15:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530210582745387008

When UFO video is "released" by media outlets and youtube channels, they often add a logo or watermark, and re-encode it. This gradually degraded the quality and hinders independent analysis.

So why do it? Why not just release the original file?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxl47vUYAMByWb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxl4HHUUAA56Bo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxl0-1VsAAE_8l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxl2DVUYAAnam6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTxl47vUYAMByWb.jpg

2022-05-27T15:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530207002386833408

@PThegermandude @AlgolAluvaia It does look like birds.

2022-05-27T15:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530206832341307392

@AlgolAluvaia Ah, so yes, I think he's wrong.

2022-05-27T15:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530203725532258304

@AlgolAluvaia Where did he make this estimate?

2022-05-27T15:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530202956825473024

@bogsnorkeler Oh, I'm late to the party here. Many people have said it looks like birds.

It's a classic SFA + potato.

2022-05-27T14:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530199116990468096

Zoom in. Enhance.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I8ZOUNPtti4

2022-05-27T14:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530198036428619776

@ESCAPINGTHEBOX I have, yes.

2022-05-27T14:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530197918396731393

A, sadly, much-hyped new UFO video turns out to look just like three birds just doing bird things.

You don't even need analysis here. Just look at the original video. It simply looks like birds.

Don't y'all want to be taken seriously?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-oNIqlLXtLI

2022-05-27T06:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530072997087748096

@FutureRider4 It was probably very slow. When you fly past something then it looks like it's flying past you.

2022-05-27T05:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530061997106032640

We are supposed to get some new UFO videos tomorrow. Hopefully, they will be better than this one of a bird and an A-10. I need something to flex Sitrec.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1415085928264081408

2022-05-27T05:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530058534888480768

@the_cholla @LtTimMcMillan @MiddleOfMayhem @MvonRen @ZaineMichael1 Assuming the thing on the radar was the same as the thing on the ATFLIR.

There's some issues with Grave's account - which I'm trying to resolve with the incorporation of the SA page into Sitrec.

Like, what was the speed and radius of turn of the fleet? How could he tell?

2022-05-27T04:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1530041800601153536

@dave_beaty @ufojoe I dunno, I mean @UFOJoe only has five tweets.

2022-05-27T01:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529999011871985664

@Edmonstar_7 Old. Target flares.

2022-05-26T18:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529894758386020357

@BostonsBuddha @SadSadGayle @Jim87504388 I thought only one of them is new. I'd assume they were going to show Rubber Duck (balloons or a drone), and the DHS A10 (a bird), and this new one (I'm guessing a fuzzy dot)

2022-05-26T15:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529851574935166977

@RyanMcMullin7 @ZaineMichael1 That's clearly a targeting pod deploying (when not in use, the window is hidden), not tracking.

Also, it's CGI.

2022-05-25T16:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529499001195614208

Some of my thoughts on the recent UAP/UFO hearing in Congress.

Much promised. Little delivered.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/great-expectations-ufos-in-congress/

2022-05-25T13:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529453253099868161

@skinnywalker7 @JamesBroad7832 @PostDisclosure Bit of a hubcap vibe.

2022-05-24T18:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529172601695703042

@GFFmg @chrisotis78 @LueElizondo That's a sensor reflection of these three lights.

It's moving because the camera moves. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTi2QQ8VsAERrPg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTi2QQ8VsAERrPg.jpg

2022-05-24T18:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529172051512029184

@JustinMikulka Reference for the 99.9% figure:

https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atsc/29/7/1520-0469_1972_029_1367_motgot_2_0_co_2.xml?tab_body=pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTi1wFuUYAAvH3-.jpg



FIG. 6. Concentration and ice water content horizontal profiles in contrail 18 min after generation.

data are given in Figs. 8 and 9. The upper passes in these cross sections were within 100 m of the top of the contrail core and the estimated IWC isopleths should be reasonably good. The lower levels are less certain.

The size distributions in regions of high IWC are similar in appearance to those in low IWC, the change in IWC following the change in concentration very closely and not strongly influenced by crystal size (see Figs. 8 and 9). Many of the distributions in these passes were nearly closed, indicating that large numbers of smaller crystals were not present. Other distributions are characterized by exponentially increasing concentration with decreasing size and presumably significant

that there is a limited supply of ice crystals, and as growth proceeds the larger crystals fall out leaving smaller crystals to grow until the entire supply is exhausted. Both cross sections show the highest IWC in the region of the lowest passes which is probably the result of continued growth during fallout. The final pass in the same region as the upper pass in cross section A, but 12 min later (1247) revealed only a few crystals remaining at this level. The entire region rapidly decayed and completely disappeared by 1300.

Since the contrail core was a fairly continuous segment, computations of total ice per meter of flight path should be suitable for comparison with the exhausted water from the aircraft. Only the region between the passes was integrated. The values computed were 4.12×10^4 gm m^{-1} and 2.07×10^4 gm m^{-1} for cross sections A and B. There are at least four orders of magnitude more ice present in the contrail core than the Sabreliner originally exhausted! Furthermore, these values are underestimates for the following reasons:

1) The IWC above and below the pass levels wasn't added to the total ice m^{-1}.
2) The IWC in satellite patches such as that in Fig. 6 obviously arose from the contrail and once added would increase the ice m^{-1}.
3) During the course of the experiment (45 min) a continuous flux of crystals was falling out to lower levels and evaporating.
4) The spectrometer measured no IWC from crystals smaller than 75 μ.

1), 2) and 4) above are probably insufficient to raise the ice m^{-1} more than a factor of 2. However, 3) may be of considerable importance. The average terminal velocity computed for the largest crystals observed in the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTi1wFuUYAAvH3-.jpg

2022-05-24T18:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529171143076089856

@JustinMikulka 99.9% of the water vapor in spreading contrails comes from the ambient air. It's not really the tiny amount of additional water vapor that's a problem, it's the contrails clouds that are triggered by the momentary local rise in humidity.

So I'd say "contrails are a big deal"

2022-05-24T18:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529169823074160640

@_lucky_jim @MvonRen I have explained why I muted him.

He's a waste of time.

If his nuanced arguments are great, then someone else can make them.

2022-05-24T18:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529169458438123520

@normal20211 Big, and wings that don't flap.

When you see something for the first time, like a flying Tic-Tac, it can be hard to figure out

what's going on.

And we have a lot of science fiction as reference for the range of possibilities now.

2022-05-24T18:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529168293700874240

@HappyAtomsTees I've not seen any good evidence of it.

2022-05-24T18:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529167248329363456

@OMApproach @the_cholla I'm happy to talk to @the_cholla, who is mostly reasonable.

2022-05-24T18:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529166138399043584

@uapshawnf @DeepSpace41 @MusicAndTruth Do you think I code simulations of UFO encounters because of a "vestigial discomfort with the dark"?

How would that even work?

2022-05-24T18:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529164543833739265

@Lembot74 @Drunk_on_Books What is the best legit example?

2022-05-24T18:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529164359963795456

@Pewpew841 @MvonRen https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1529142513893638145

2022-05-24T18:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529164208624914432

@DeepSpace41 @MusicAndTruth @uapshawnf I was briefly scared by a UFO story I read when I was 10. I then got over it, and now, 45 years later, it's just an interesting memory.

2022-05-24T18:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529163687205842945

@JamesBroad7832 @PostDisclosure I like solving puzzles, and the people are interesting. Also, it's good coding practice!

2022-05-24T17:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529150092761976833

@BostonsBuddha @Jim87504388 @SadSadGayle Can you draw what that would look like at that focus, exposure, and resolution?

2022-05-24T17:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529148913956974592

@OMApproach I am not blocking him to prove a point. I'm blocking him because I've exchanged hundreds of emails and messages with him, and I've concluded, as have others, that it's a waste of time. He's engaging strategically, not in the spirit of honest inquiry.

2022-05-24T17:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529147752902406144

@classybucket If you'd like to make a point in your own words, then I'd be happy to address it.

2022-05-24T16:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529143454785097729

@MvonRen @the_cholla https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1529142513893638145

2022-05-24T16:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529143314347200513

@MvonRen I post this not to stoke more drama, but simply to explain my position, because, of course, he's using my block to claim I can't respond to his points.

I've engaged him plenty already, it's not productive, because he's not operating in good faith.

https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1529112204603056130

2022-05-24T16:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529142513893638145

I blocked @MvonRen for the same reason I did it before; constant misleading posts and misrepresenting the work of others.

I unblocked him once after he deleted the offending post, but he continues. His passion for the subject overrides his honesty.

Happy to engage with others.

2022-05-24T14:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529109925103751168

@Hummer35814874 @sean62984047 @Bugimus @19k0011 @GalacticGaz I also think it's possibly a drone. You can add a Lume Cube or similar to get the bright light.

2022-05-24T14:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529100121983528960

@SadSadGayle Not all planes are close enough.

2022-05-24T13:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529092883411718144

@andrearaby Perspective. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTht3aCVUAIxGTm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTht3aCVUAIxGTm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FThtxlzVEAATWSt.jpg

2015-12-24T15:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680052870805385216

@Annthomas369Ann No I don't. Nobody has EVER denied cloud seeding. It's obviously going on. But small planes, no trails.

2015-12-24T14:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680037096807989249

@Annthomas369Ann I understand cloud seeding

2015-12-24T14:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/680036718779604992

@Annthomas369Ann that is not my stance. Weather modification as cloud seeding is real.

2015-12-24T01:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679840424152858625

@Annthomas369Ann @achariw @SteerMark People have been openly cloud seeding since the 1950s. It does not leave trails.

2015-12-24T01:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679836214808068096

@Annthomas369Ann @achariw @SteerMark Okay, how do you know these are not contrails? What's the difference?

2015-12-23T23:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679809081545113600

@karentalford66 @Itwasmeanttobe9 Just following #chemtrails, it's a topic I find interesting. But check the snow, it melts.

2015-12-23T22:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679793818447679489

@karentalford66 @Itwasmeanttobe9 It is melting. Snow is mostly air, so it wicks away the meltwater. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.t3026/

2015-12-23T22:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679791368315654144

@Annthomas369Ann @vfurmanov @edwardlucas Here's a PDF version of the book. Good reference. https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1981_Peterson_Field_Guide_to_Clouds._Contrails.pdf

---

2015-12-23T22:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679791214908948481

@Annthomas369Ann @achariw @SteerMark "sometimes" vs. "other times". So how do you know by looking at them?

2015-12-23T21:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679782571673178112

Got a friend who believes in #chemtrails? Show them this 1981 book, it explains contrails

https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW8SVzAUoAAcq8p.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW8SVzAUoAAcq8p.jpg

2015-12-23T21:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679781571310686208

@achariw How do you explain what this textbook from 1981 says?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW8RuetUwAAy7Wb.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW8RuetUwAAy7Wb.jpg

2015-12-23T21:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679781218737500168

@achariw But why do you think contrails can't persist, all the books say otherwise.

2015-12-23T21:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679780803958591488

@achariw Why do you think contrails generally disappear after a while? All the books say contrails can linger and fan out.

2015-12-23T21:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679780464303816704

@achariw And meteorologists have seen contrails since the 1920s.

2015-12-23T21:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679780337342255104

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth So why is there not audio of this. I though you said it was indistinguishable. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vMpJQgbKsms

---

2015-12-23T21:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679780142416199680

@achariwHave you even read any books on clouds, and their explanations of persistent contrails.?

2015-12-23T21:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679779981036134400

@achariw @SteerMark Contrails are essentially the same all over he world (with variations based on weather, like humidity and temp)

2015-12-23T21:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679779719642914816

@achariw @SteerMark But there's no actual evidence of this, as the trails look and act just like contrails.

2015-12-23T21:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679779613485076480

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth And yet AE911 says it's impossible to fall though the "path of most resistance". Funny, right?

2015-12-23T21:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679779385839230976

@achariw @SteerMark Lots of people didn't notice them until the 2010s. Lots of people still don't notice them.

2015-12-23T21:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679779071710998528

@achariw @SteerMark Or even planes with different engines will leave different trails.

2015-12-23T21:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679778967776149504

@achariw @SteerMark Aircraft at different altitudes leave different trails. All it takes is a few hundred feet.

2015-12-23T21:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679778749064216576

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth Vast numbers of detonators and cables in the rubble, for one. Loud bangs on the videos for another.

2015-12-23T21:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679778472705708032

@achariw @SteerMark Yes, that's what contrails do sometimes. According to all the books on clouds.

2015-12-23T21:38:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679778130135891968

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth I'm sure you could think of many distinguishing features. You are not stupid.

2015-12-23T20:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679764273149509632

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth They don't need to fail simultaneously.

2015-12-23T19:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679742682785136640

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @AE911Truth Sure, but jet fuel fires can clear make a steel beam fail, can't they? So they have enough heat.

2015-12-23T02:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679485748194611200

@TerminatorBarbi Check back in five years. Nothing will have changed. Because they are just

contrails.

2015-12-23T00:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679463329362870272

@TerminatorBarbi They are not rare according to science. Why do you think they are rare?

2015-12-23T00:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679458833987600384

@h_jonesphoto How many pixels wide is the full frame?

2015-12-23T00:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679456932902486016

@h_jonesphoto What camera and lens were you using? Those planes are about 100 miles away. Big zoom, or something closer?

2015-12-23T00:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679456734843252736

@TerminatorBarbi and how do you know it's not contrails?

2015-12-23T00:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679454752854245377

@TerminatorBarbi But they don't say it's being done.

2015-12-23T00:12:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679454613066518529

@h_jonesphoto I suspect these. Does the direction seem right? Red line is sunset.

https://www.metabunk.org/contrail-shadowing-another-contrail.t7119/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW3oXsHU0AAnhVO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CW3oXsHU0AAnhVO.jpg

2015-12-22T23:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679440596088426496

@h_jonesphoto Excellent photo, is it okay if I share it on Metabunk's Skydentify forum to track

down the planes? https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2015-12-22T20:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679396315122393089

@Annthomas369Ann All plane engines are combustion engines. So yes.

2015-12-22T17:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679349756682891265

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 but how do you know this?

2015-12-22T15:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679325243849097216

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 How is that an excuse? It's true, isn't it? Did you read the document? I did.

2015-12-22T14:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679310373137223681

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 That's a document about governance of possible future geoengineering.

2015-12-22T05:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679177510160982016

@gord_russell @OpChemtrails @martinhume Not if they never started.

2015-12-22T01:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679115635289284608

@TerminatorBarbi Again, it would be more convincing if there was any evidence. Saying something does not make it so.

2015-12-22T01:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679114869157830656

@TerminatorBarbi Seem to be some sort of financial analyst. But surely the important thing would be the new evidence she presents. None.

2015-12-22T01:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679105590073757698

@TerminatorBarbi Psoras is a chemtrail believer, and she wrote to the commission detailing things she believed were true.

2015-12-21T23:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/679078601107488768

@TerminatorBarbi I'm just MORE of an expert on contrails than I am on natural clouds. But I AM pretty good with contrails. Ask me anything!

2015-12-20T20:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678672337969930240

@JunkScience http://www.snopes.com/photos/natural/egyptsnow.asp

---

2015-12-19T21:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678330464738738176

@VancityBC604 @AE911Truth You need to focus a little. One piece of evidence at a time. If you

have to keep switching, that's telling.

2015-12-19T21:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678330157552168960

.@AE911Truth The Windsor building DID partially collapse. But you compare very different things in misleading ways. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eKvgD9NyIi4

2015-12-19T21:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678329696799485952

.@AE911Truth And why are you using the Chechnya building as a counterexample? It barely burned at all, just some cladding.

2015-12-19T21:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678329415974055936

@VancityBC604 @AE911Truth So you think it's possible the jet fuel helped collapse the towers?

2015-12-19T21:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678328841509601280

.@AE911Truth Pooled jet fuel can burn VERY hot.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnoc-YVAAAoVTZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnoc-YVAAAoVTZ.jpg

2015-12-19T21:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678328287785955328

.@911news Your explanation seems to be wrong. Jet fuel can burn to over 2000Â°F in open air in just a few minutes http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnn-DcUkAACRsV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnn-DcUkAACRsV.jpg

2015-12-19T21:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678328074941796353

.@AE911Truth Your explanation seems to be wrong. Jet fuel can burn to over 2000Â°F in open air in just a few minutes http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnnhMkVAAAze1-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWnnhMkVAAAze1-.jpg

2015-12-19T19:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678294869895348225

@SteerMark Normal, not entirely. However they do look like contrails. Is there a page on GEW that explains the difference?

2015-12-19T16:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678248043892752384

@SkyWatchrs @SteerMark Isn't it? They are just contrails, and people have been seeing them for decades. So why not include them in books?

2015-12-19T16:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678247771153952773

@achariw @SteerMark How exactly do they differ from contrails?

2015-12-19T16:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678244707181322240

@Guitz59 @OlivierRimmel Possible slight increase in noctilucent clouds. Perhaps that is what you refer to? Normal iridescence is common.

2015-12-19T16:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678244191030280192

@Guitz59 @OlivierRimmel I am more of an expert on contrail. I don't think there has been a significant increase in iridescent clouds

---

2015-12-19T01:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/678026620708388864

@SteerMark How do you know they are "chemtrail" planes? You present no evidence, so there's nothing to debunk.

2015-12-18T21:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677970435770679296

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @mr_scottie @MichaelBBarker I'm not seeing anything there of note. Can you ask a specific question?

2015-12-18T20:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677950160740089857

@Beneloben I'm assuming this was just temporary?

2015-12-18T20:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677949693175861248

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @mr_scottie @MichaelBBarker The sign did not show up until the next day. It was probably coordinated by CT DHS. SOP

2015-12-16T23:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677265659999469568

@01_lockedloaded @mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker Because the town voted to demolish it.
http://www.msnbc.com/morning-joe/newtown-vows-make-town-whole-again-new-school

---

2015-12-16T22:52:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677259962461106176

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker But you admit Metabunk made no error regarding the "drill", or the sign?

2015-12-16T22:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677259846195003392

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker I have no idea.

2015-12-16T22:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677257127057035264

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker That's not a drill, it's a disaster planning course, in Bridgeport.

2015-12-16T22:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677254712362721286

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker So what, the day after the shooting a sign is put up, and so that makes it a drill?

2015-12-16T22:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677254605307256832
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker She just said she though the sign was from DHS. CT DHS supplied signs.

2015-12-16T22:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677249384183107585
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker You know that sign wasn't there until Dec 15th, PM. Right?

2015-12-16T22:00:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677246850865504256
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker DHS was not there AFAIK, it was mostly state police and other emergency services.

2015-12-16T21:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677243744224190465
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker But the sign was put up well AFTER the shooting, to organize the responders on site. Standard.

2015-12-16T21:39:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677241738663211008
@HonestReason @mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker Citation needed.

2015-12-16T21:39:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677241683847872512
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker You understand why everyone had to check in right? It's standard incident procedure.

2015-12-16T21:38:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677241425289994240
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker People were at Sandy Hook because Lanza just shot a bunch of kids.

2015-12-16T19:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677205085454245888
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker There was no drill at Sandy Hook, was there?

2015-12-16T19:09:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677203859991863297
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker So you can't actually point to something that was incorrect then? You can't quote anything?

2015-12-16T18:48:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677198575894327296
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker and where exactly was the drill? What was it a drill of?

2015-12-16T18:38:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/677196131319828481
@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker The sign was (after the shooting) to tell

people to sign in. How does that indicate a drill?

2015-12-16T16:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677171269465333760

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker So what exactly is the error on Metabunk? Can you quote it?

2015-12-16T16:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677164297433169920

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker Can you give an example?

2015-12-16T16:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677160866228346880

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker Metabunk focuses on fact checking. So we appreciate feedback. Let me know if you find anything.

2015-12-16T15:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677151370282057730

@mr_scottie @P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker So you STILL haven't found anything incorrect on Metabunk?

2015-12-16T15:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677146667716468736

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @MichaelBBarker @mr_scottie Could you give an example of something incorrect on Metabunk?

2015-12-16T15:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/677145130600521728

@DickSmit7 Contrails? You know that contrails can persist, right? All the books agree on this.

2015-12-15T18:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/676829789181767680

@DickSmit7 And how did she do that? What did she actually expose? What evidence did she give?

2015-12-13T14:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/676053405043810305

@maynon2013 @sdwalkers If you think about it, that would be impossible even if one were made of chemicals. If the air moves. trails move.

2015-12-12T20:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675772731879645184

@timdude64 Contrails are there. How do you know they are not contrails?

2015-12-12T20:19:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675771918956503041

@timdude64 So destroying the environment where they live seemed like a good idea to them, because there's no other way of making money?

2015-12-12T19:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675754616789712896

@timdude64 Even if chemtrails were real, if the world would be fine without them then why would they be spraying them in the first place?

2015-12-12T17:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675733291073335296

@aprifriends2014 It's a chemistry textbook, named after the USAF "contrails" handbook.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual.t352/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWCvxakVEAA-JXW.jpg







http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CWCvxakVEAA-JXW.jpg

2015-12-12T17:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675732455828008960

@maynon2013 @sdwalkers You can verify it yourself. Take a photo of a trail (with horizon visible). Wait ten minutes and take another. Moved.

2015-12-12T17:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675732070316961793

@maynon2013 @sdwalkers They move. They are generally high up and far away, so they seem to move slower. Timelapse. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gXl3T9FYKBw

---

2015-12-12T07:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675576748004651009

@PCFrii You don't think girls can shoot then?

2015-12-12T07:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675573960663105537

@An0nKn0wledge These girls look around 90 lb. It's really not that hard to hold and shoot a 10lb gun with a bit of practice.

2015-12-12T07:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675573289754865664

@An0nKn0wledge Have you actually checked that though? Plenty of girls can shoot an AR15. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K8nda8yPNbI

2015-12-12T06:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675564990967844864

@An0nKn0wledge Do you think she couldn't carry her six month old baby? It probably weighed more than her guns.

2015-12-12T06:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675563886259470336

@An0nKn0wledge How much do they weight? How much could she bench?

2015-12-12T06:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675563588384239616

@sdwalkers @maynon2013 My goal is to correct things that are wrong. I don't set out to disprove things. Debunking is about finding bunk.

2015-12-12T05:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675555063901777920

@sdwalkers @maynon2013 I always try to make it so things are verifiable, so you don't have to "trust" MB, just use it as one starting point.

2015-12-12T01:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/675495122734223360

@sdwalkers @maynon2013 What changed with Metabunk?

2015-12-09T21:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/674695410229710848

@zenmommaNgrimes What's wrong with Metabunk?

2015-12-08T05:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/674105530772799488

@CuJoe101 I took that pic. It's a photo of contrails over Los Angeles by moonlight.

2015-12-08T00:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/674022513748271104

@CuJoe101 No, not at all. I don't think everything is fine. Far from it.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.t2632/

2015-12-07T18:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673930707165548545

.@Vandahmier @IntoMyReality That is a coronal prominence cavity, See:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-giant-black-sphere-hovering-near-the-sun.t469/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CVpIWS7UwAAEcFc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CVpIWS7UwAAEcFc.jpg

2015-12-07T18:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673929392997851136

RT @voxdotcom: A telling â and disturbing â anecdote about conservative media from a House Republican
http://www.vox.com/2015/12/7/9863110/devin-nunes-conspiracy?utm_campaign=vox&utm_content=chorus&utm_medium=social&utm_source=twitter https://t.co/â¦

2015-12-07T16:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673908010356359168

@CuJoe101 Could you be a bit more specific? What is coming? And how do you know?

2015-12-07T14:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673877339571224576

@CuJoe101 @Donna0sborn Just a lot of air traffic. That's an new trail and some older trails.

2015-12-07T06:39:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673753606537916417

@Donna0sborn @CuJoe101 They do mention it. It's something that they might do in the future. http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/latest-ipcc-climate-report-puts-geoengineering-in-the-spotlight/

2015-12-05T21:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/673252185900093440

@SteveSGoddard @JunkScience So? High CO2 does not make cities into magnets for hurricanes. There is some randomness here you know.

2015-12-05T01:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/672957223866204160

@stang289 Yeah, it already came up three times on Metabunk. :) Thanks though!

2015-12-05T01:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/672946790887391232

@stang289 That's a general criticism I have about bunk. It distracts from real issues.

2015-12-03T18:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/672476301215707136

@trutherbotgreen Depending on how you define the word. It's rather semantic. Focus on what people actually do.

2015-12-03T18:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/672475799711121409

@Mchomeopath What does "hide the connection of fluid dynamics to the video game industry" mean?

2015-11-30T15:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/671345834869415936

@digitalErmit There's some work on that here: http://www.atmseminar.org/seminarContent/seminar10/presentations/212-Sridhar_0125130119-PresentationPDF-5-23-13.pdf

---

2015-11-29T20:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/671060483076571136

Contrails (and a solar halo) in a cloud chart. Boeing magazine ad, 1957 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CVAVzDmUEAAy6Ej.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CVAVzDmUEAAy6Ej.jpg

2015-11-29T19:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/671048259809374208

@BlastMyself I don't subscribe to any religion

2015-11-28T16:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670638986495397888

.@BlastMyself Unfortunately I can only deduce you are trolling or are face blind. Not a lot I can do either way. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prosopagnosia

---

2015-11-28T15:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670626742931820545

@BlastMyself So, you think this video shows the same person on each side of the screen? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8TKv4AzLr8w

---

2015-11-28T15:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670626404380205056

@stang289 Nah, I just saw the comment. People might have missed it as the comment had odd english, and the link is Chinese.

2015-11-28T07:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670501286178070528

@BlastMyself Can you give an example of something I wrote that is factually incorrect?

2015-11-28T06:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670483600882556929

@BlastMyself Nobody pays me. Is there anything factually incorrect in any of them?

2015-11-28T05:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670476847973597184

@stang289 road sweeper pulled up a steel cable being strung for utility lines. http://www.guokr.com/post/710423/

2015-11-28T05:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670475330163974144

@mikesara444 @OpChemtrails @martinhume And how exactly do you personally tell what is a "chemtrail" and not a contrail?

2015-11-27T04:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670094321077641216

@mikesara444 @OpChemtrails @martinhume can you tell the difference between contrails and "chemtrails"?

2015-11-26T23:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/670026463198863360

@mikesara444 @OpChemtrails @martinhume what about contrails then?

2015-11-26T13:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669864257354207232

@mikesara444 @OpChemtrails @martinhume Contrails can persist. The hoax says they can not.

2015-11-25T23:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669652407140249605

@wiltshire544 @karentalford66 @HanSoloAnon An expected consequence of air routes crossing, combined with wind. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ltg4tQoYh0g

---

2015-11-25T22:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669641828828708864

@wiltshire544 @karentalford66 @HanSoloAnon A contrail grid and some ballast barrels.

2015-11-25T21:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669632292441079809

@OpChemtrails @martinhume Why would the ice crystals melt if it were -40 degrees? What is actually going on with the short trail?

2015-11-25T21:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669632002853699585

.@OpChemtrails @martinhume You seriously think this is correct? The most fundamentally wrong thing behind the entire chemtrail hoax?

2015-11-25T21:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669631635902459904

@thegrugq @ClipperChip Or these low-tech guys, hacking with bullets:

http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702304851104579359141941621778

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUsCUhiWEAAtAIk.jpg



Shots in the Dark

A look at the April 16 attack on PG&E's Metcalf Transmission Substation

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12:58 a.m., 1:07 a.m. Attackers cut telephone cables	**1:31 a.m.** Attackers open fire on substation	**1:41 a.m.** First 911 call from power plant operator	**1:45 a.m.** Transformers all over the substation start crashing	**1:50 a.m.** Attack ends and gunmen leave	**1:51 a.m.** Police arrive but can't enter the locked substation	**3:15 a.m.** Utility electrician arrives

Sources: PG&E; Santa Clara County Sheriff's Dept.; California Independent System Operator; California Public Utilities Commission; Google (image)
The Wall Street Journal

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUsCUhiWEAAtAIk.jpg

2015-11-25T21:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669631138680303616

@marypgkeating @TheWorldPost @qz I was talking about the "ever increasing evidence that Paris was a false flag event." being a load of crap

---

2015-11-25T21:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669629912999837696

@marypgkeating @TheWorldPost @qz Quantity is not quality. If something is build of crap, then adding more crap does not make it better.

2015-11-25T21:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669626224449204224

@HanSoloAnon @karentalford66 Compare it with photos of known contrails. How does it differ?

2015-11-25T21:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669626095054929920

@HanSoloAnon @karentalford66 It's not about "my way". That photo looks exactly like a contrail. So why do you think it is not?

2015-11-25T20:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669621397900029954

@karentalford66 @HanSoloAnon Is it not a contrail?

2015-11-25T17:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669567948093194241

@SteerMark @SteveRudinABC7 @abc7Doug @ABC7News @HanSoloAnon Nothing to do with el Nino there. Just weather, and contrails.

2015-11-25T17:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669567625903517696

@karentalford66 @HanSoloAnon It's a contrail casting a shadow on a layer of clouds. So all condensation, yes.

2015-11-25T16:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669557278547185664

@Kal1_Furies @AnonBlitz A minority? How did you figure that?

2015-11-24T19:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/669237896075833344

.@ufoofinterest Debunked here. Just a solar power facility near Vegas. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-airplane-passenger-takes-picture-of-ufo-that-was-giving-off-bright-lights-and-orbs.t7021/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUmcUdGUkAAxbgp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUmcUdGUkAAxbgp.jpg
2015-11-24T19:28:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/669236147512586240
@ufoofinterest https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crescent_Dunes_Solar_Energy_Project

---

2015-11-24T19:27:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/669235918981734400
@ufoofinterest It's a solar farm

2015-11-24T15:36:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/669177873186598912
@jelo1317 @Oswald1160 @jarek_wiatr Cloud seeding was invented in the 1940s. But that's not "chemtrails". It's just cloud seeding. No secret.

2015-11-23T23:02:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/668927534189273088
@jelo1317 @jarek_wiatr Have I said anything that's factually wrong? I'd be happy to correct it.

2015-11-23T20:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/668887934846656512
@jarek_wiatr @jelo1317 the one I replied to.

2015-11-23T17:12:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/668839468640681985
@jarek_wiatr @jelo1317 The claim about NASA was demonstrably false. That's all.

2015-11-23T01:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/668608581994807296
@jelo1317 It is a normal cloud, just a man made one. The WMO has classified contrails as cloud for decades.

2015-11-21T02:13:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/667888451329507329
@scia_chimica Those are ship tracks.

2015-11-20T22:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667832750783631360

.@Fhilosofile Those are ballast barrels on an A380 test plane. They contain water, not #chemtrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUSeI1mUYAAXWNY.jpg



A group tours the A380's upper deck. Unlike on a Boeing 747, the A380's upper deck runs the length of the plane although it's not as wide as the main deck. Still, it's spacious enough that "you would never know there are passengers down below," said tour guide Wolfgang Absmeier, an Airbus test pilot. Photo: James Wallace, Seattle Post-Intelligencer / SL

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CUSeI1mUYAAXWNY.jpg

2015-11-20T20:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667809431153807361

@brianmagi @UNCCWeather What would non-uniform wind contrails of that age look like?

2015-11-20T19:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667780928228343808

@SteerMark Show me one thing I said that's wrong, and I will correct it.

2015-11-20T17:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667756369651593217

@Dan_E_V @Womble67 @Guitz59 Is the explanation wrong? I'd be more than happy to correct any error.

2015-11-20T15:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667724174740160512

@SkyWatchrs @SteerMark Well, they are certainly wrong. Perhaps they are simply mistaken?

2015-11-20T15:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/667722909192876033

@SteerMark three contrails perpendicualr to wind shear, one intersection of fronts

---

2015-11-18T01:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666792313142669312

@Terlingua_Texas @TerlinguaRE2 and you still think that's the same woman in all the photos?

2015-11-18T01:04:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666784021653774340

@Terlingua_Texas @TerlinguaRE2 you are making a fool of yourself. This does not help your cause. Debunk it yourself if you are sensible.

2015-11-18T00:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666775442020417536

@Terlingua_Texas @TerlinguaRE2 seriously?

2015-11-16T14:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666265731378450433

@LakesideBeats I'm not covering up anything. Feel free to point out anything I got wrong.

2015-11-15T23:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666037547097657348

@tyea99 It appers to be plane leaving a contrail, out of focus, and with motion blur

---

2015-11-15T23:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666036605371572224

@EricSteeleLive @ImperatorTruth @wingstwospirit So there's no actual photos of chemtrail spraying then?

2015-11-15T23:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/666031174330904577

@EricSteeleLive @ImperatorTruth @wingstwospirit Why are there no photos of the "process" then? Why use a photo of something else?

2015-11-15T20:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665993458901848064

@LakesideBeats Yeah, they also have volcanos and sandstorms. It's to warn planes to avoid areas (if something happens)

2015-11-15T20:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665988230056050688

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG What is lies? Quote one of these lies.

2015-11-15T20:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665984698896637952

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG How do you know it's lies if you've never read any of it? I read your links.

2015-11-15T20:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665984540897247232

@TerminatorBarbi I don't mind them seeing it at all. But it's just a search result about stuff they know. Aerosols and atmosphere

---

2015-11-15T20:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665984047286390784

@tyea99 @Johnson33M Interesting photo. Can you post the original?

2015-11-15T20:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665983813080629250

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG And does that mean you can't find any errors on Metabunk or Contrail Science?

2015-11-15T20:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665983615583436800

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG Then paraphrase it. What do you think I said?

2015-11-15T20:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665982797555757059

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG What lie have I told you? Pick one. Quote it.

2015-11-15T20:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665982684385009664

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG When have I ever said otherwise? It's undeniable that it's never been a secret.

2015-11-15T19:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665982240430526464

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG Draw your own conclusions, but the facts remain facts. The articles and videos exist & are real

---

2015-11-15T19:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665982089058062337

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG Just very simple factual things, indisputable. That's all. Just facts.

2015-11-15T19:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665981986071162880

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG I'm showing you articles and videos that prove cloud seeding was never secret.

2015-11-15T19:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665981558642249729

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG Popular Science, April 1950. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CT4Kqh5UAAAxEWf.jpg

---



APRIL 1950 129

Can We

320,000,000,000 gallons of rain followed one test of cloud-seeding—but maybe it would have rained anyway.

By Martin Mann

Photos by W. W. Morris and General Electric Co.

CAN we make it rain?

"Yes," says Dr. Irving Langmuir, Nobel-prize-winning consultant to the General Electric Research Laboratory and the Army-Navy Project Cirrus, "—provided conditions are right."

"Maybe," says Dr. F. W. Reichelderfer, chief of the U. S. Weather Bureau. "No tests so far prove to our satisfaction that rainfall has really been created by man. It might have rained anyway."

But despite this sometimes acrimonious debate over whether "seeding" a cloud with a few hunks of dry ice makes it rain, dozens of people all over the world are going ahead and doing just that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CT4Kqh5UAAAxEWf.jpg

2015-11-15T19:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665980899045933056

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG How do you know it's wrong then? 1947 Newsreel: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jc5Qzdu-7KM

2015-11-15T19:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665980550595768320

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG The point here is that cloud seeding has never been a secret. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WjJH8JKerrM

2015-11-15T19:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665977015225323520

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG When was cloud seeding a secret then? 1965? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2015-11-15T19:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665976793715769344

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG I'm not telling you what to believe. I'm showing you verifiable facts. Look it up.

2015-11-15T19:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665973673048707072

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @TalentsMomMLG Think about it. Cloud seeding has never been a secret.

2015-11-15T19:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665973350766739456

@KindOrgone @TerminatorBarbi You are conflating existing cloud seeding with geoengineering proposals. Very different things.

2015-11-15T15:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665916194164084737

@TerminatorBarbi @TalentsMomMLG My beef is people claiming there's evidence of a covert geoengineering program using "chemtrails".

2015-11-15T15:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665915818132180992

@TerminatorBarbi @TalentsMomMLG I've said a hundred time that weather modification has never been a secret. Openly done since the 1950s

---

2015-11-15T15:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665914801080537088

@TalentsMomMLG @TerminatorBarbi Experts? Pick one, explain how they are an expert regarding contrails.

2015-11-15T15:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665914215895404544

@TerminatorBarbi @TalentsMomMLG Why? Aerosols are just any small particle in the air. Read them, don't just look at the title.

2015-11-15T15:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665914066565619712

@TerminatorBarbi @TalentsMomMLG The point is that natural and anthropogenic aerosols (pollution) are in the air. So they affect weather.

2015-11-15T15:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665913197812056065

@mysimpletruth Weather modification is cloud seeding. Cloud seeding is not a secret, and does not leave trails.

2015-11-15T15:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665912903518715904

Why do "chemtrail" folk keep using this 1974 vortex study photo? @ImperatorTruth @wingstwospirit @EricSteeleLive http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CT3MHypU8AEDlQa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CT3MHypU8AEDlQa.jpg

2015-11-15T15:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665911485701316608

@TerminatorBarbi @TalentsMomMLG An aerosol is ANY fine particles in the air. Smoke, clouds, mist, sea salt, dust, pollen, pollution, etc.

2015-11-15T15:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665910614548545537

@LakesideBeats Your photo shows a normal aerodynamic contrail. It's just water. See: http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2015-11-14T23:42:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665676148848766976

@MickWest Source: http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/geoengineering-watch-global-alert-news-november-14-2015/ https://archive.is/njo28

2015-11-14T23:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/665674847083565056

Chemtrail conspiracy theorist seriously claims the Paris attacks were created to distract people from chemtrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTzzoQeVAAAOM7o.jpg

---

canyon. The walls are closing in which means the power structure will utilize all available options they have to distract populations from the bigger picture (like the toxic spraying going on above their heads) and to galvanize public support for increased government control. The "terrorist attack" card was to be expected and now we have it in France. The power structure chess pieces are being put into place. As we brace for impact on many fronts, we should all continue to sound the alarm to the best of our ability given our individual circumstances. If we combine our efforts, we could still change the flavor of what we face. We may still be able to salvage what is left of the Earth's live support systems, but only if we all pull together by being active, committed, and effective in the fight for the greater good. **Below is the latest installment of Global Alert News.**
DW



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTzzoQeVAAAOM7o.jpg
2015-11-14T05:49:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/665406152759484417
@OpChemtrails Not just me. Science.

2015-11-11T16:23:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/664478559184883712
@Anon_thr33 So why do you think you have 100% proof it is happening?

2015-11-10T03:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/663917043679232005
@stang289 probably needed it near tracking stations.

2015-11-10T02:34:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/663907434579136512
@stang289 But there might be weather and darkness reasons why they do it in November in general.

2015-11-10T02:12:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/663901953026490368
@stang289 @BradThor None that I know of. And the "mystery missile" (a proven plane contrail) was on Nov 8th. First "UFO" launch was Nov 7th

---

2015-11-09T23:52:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/663866788816424960
@TerlinguaRE2 Quite clearly they are driving five year old cars. Why on earth would they not. And the fire was set with paper.

2015-11-09T21:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663823651213475840

@SteerMark @RobinHood1776 @chemTrailActivi @martinhume @SkyWatchrs And also pre 1905. So what's your point? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZgFE1WwAA9tRk.jpg



PLATE 23. HAZY CIRRO-CUMULUS.
(*Cirro-cumulus Nebulosus.*) *Page* 80.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZgCTdWUAA5ifz.jpg



PLATE 58. CRESTED ALTO WAVES.
(*Alto-cumulus Undatus.*) *Page* 148.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZf_XGWUAAmx2Q.jpg



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*)

Page 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZgFE1WwAA9tRk.jpg



PLATE 59. ALTO WAVES. *Page* 149.

(*Alto-stratus Undatus*)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZf_2GWcAAD8PV.jpg

2015-11-09T20:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663823303564353536

@RobinHood1776 Beats me. I'd have to see an example.

2015-11-09T20:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663820408668360704

@RobinHood1776 And how do you know the planes are not making persistent contrails?

2015-11-09T20:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663820312430051328

@RobinHood1776 The point is that this particular photos is not, in itself, evidence of anything beyond normal clouds.

2015-11-09T20:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663818885867548673

@RobinHood1776 @chemTrailActivi @martinhume @SkyWatchrs @SteerMark Clouds in the UK, before 1905. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZbuSFXIAEqjii.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTZbuSFXIAEqjii.jpg

2015-11-09T18:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663779244061757440

@TerlinguaRE2 A car being five years old is evidence of absolutely nothing at all, as you well know.

2015-11-07T19:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/663070014451281920

Fake image juxtaposition suggests police car vandalism was a "false flag" by the police. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cop-car-towed-to-media-location-then-torched-at-million-mask-march-bx10-lnv.t6962/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTOyi3nWEAAYh9l.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTOyi3nWEAAYh9l.jpg

2015-11-06T23:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662778052586242048

@geoengineering1 This is a general explanation of the phenomena. It comes up every year in the fall.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.t287/

2015-11-06T23:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662777180624064512

@geoengineering1 Yep, ballooning spiders have been the source of confusions for decades. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.t287/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTKoVWoUAAA702z.jpg

---



The ballooning habit of spiders gives a complete explanation of a natural phenomenon which has attracted the attention of men from an early period, and which has been variously alluded to in prose and poetical writings, viz., Showers of Gossamer.

FIG. 280. A flocculent thread of gossamer, with small flies entangled.

Gossamer Showers.

One who walks the open fields in the latter part of September or in the soft bright days of October, which is the most delightful period of our American year, will notice great quantities of spider silk trailing and floating from the stalks of weeds and grasses, and indeed from all elevated objects. In the early morning, when the dew deposited upon these filaments betrays their presence, one will be surprised at the vast amount visible. Further on in the day he will observe quantities of this threaded spinningwork sailing through the air. (Fig. 280.) A great excess of these floating tufts and filaments constitutes what is commonly known as a gossamer shower. Doubtless Pliny alluded to such a phenomenon in the statement which he makes[2] that "in the year that L. Paulus and C. Marcellus were consuls it rained wool about the castle Carissa, near to which, a year after, T. Annius Milo was slain."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTKoVWoUAAA702z.jpg

2015-11-06T16:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662668719055745029

@Rheumatologe The point is the use of the photos here is misleading, bordering on misrepresentation.

2015-11-06T16:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662664974079148032

@Rheumatologe The argument was that it was caused by Fukushima. It weakens it because the photos are from before Fukushima.

2015-11-06T06:14:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662513400644157440

@Rheumatologe Yes.

2015-11-06T06:00:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/662509958588559360

@Rheumatologe That photo is from 2004. http://fishpathogens.net/image/cbchstumors2jpg http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTG1S-yWsAANQb4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CTG1S-yWsAANQb4.jpg
2015-11-05T10:27:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/662214673165488128
@geoengineering1 link?

2015-11-05T04:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/662117665335455745
@HargoFett @ufoofinterest It moves exactly as much as I'd expect it to, which is not a lot. Flat glass reflections don't move much. Try it.

2015-11-05T02:37:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/662096364851990528
@Dan_E_V Sider silk. From ballooning spiders.

2015-11-04T21:51:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/662024307665584128
@Dan_E_V Try just looking that the dust from bashing rocks together. Some will be reflective.

2015-11-04T21:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/662024078396514304
@Dan_E_V Likely just mineral dust. And probably in the concrete itself.

2015-11-04T19:16:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661985379910709248
How to track down which plane made a particular contrail, using @flightradar24
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kgBZ6HAZZC8

2015-11-04T19:15:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661985135068229632
.@Dan_E_V I've made a video about the first photo, explaining how to track down the plane.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kgBZ6HAZZC8

2015-11-04T18:41:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661976614209654784
@Dan_E_V Does it show up at all on video?

2015-11-04T15:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661934908802101248

@Dan_E_V No. People ask about the spider silk, but I've not heard of "microscopic reflective stuff"

2015-11-04T05:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661778235521286144

@Dan_E_V @Bingchemtrails @realvenusinfurs Because the real determining factor is humidity. It's always cold enough at some temperature.

2015-11-04T05:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661778028695949312

@Dan_E_V @PCFrii @Bingchemtrails @realvenusinfurs It's still debunked though isn't it?

2015-11-03T19:29:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661626234653179904

@LAKSHMISINGH I hope you don't waste too many years of your life on this nonsense.

2015-11-02T20:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661274238691602432

@PCFrii What did you win?

2015-11-02T18:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661247740056199168

@PCFrii And of course it does not apply to thousands of other meteorologist,

---

2015-11-02T18:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661247617481863168

@PCFrii Seems like it's would not stop them talking about geoengineering though. Just labor related stuff.

2015-11-02T18:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661245181811798016

@PCFrii or the grievance settlements?
http://www.peer.org/assets/docs/noaa/10_8_15_Grievance_Gag_Order.pdf Which one? And what is telling about it?

2015-11-02T18:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661244889946959872

@PCFrii Or the workforce analysis project?
http://www.peer.org/assets/docs/noaa/10_8_15_Org_Workforce_Analysis_gag.pdf

---

2015-11-02T18:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661244593480929280

@PCFrii But there's several results. Which one are you referring to? The one about collective bargaining? http://www.peer.org/assets/docs/noaa/10_8%2015_Collective_Bargaining_gag.pdf

---

2015-11-02T18:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661242959015227393

@PCFrii Can you link to the text of this "Gag order"?

2015-11-02T18:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661242491027324928

@JudgeDan48 Seems like the "Indigo Skyfold" hoax. Since no evidence is claimed, there's really nothing to debunk. It's just a story.

2015-11-02T17:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661233971036946432

@QuestionAll7 And how did she do that exactly? Does just saying something make it true nowadays?

2015-11-02T17:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661230712960319488

@Reptoid_Hunter @suzettepetillo They are the webs of ballooning spiders. Common in Arizona in the fall.

2015-11-02T17:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661229853912358912

@PCFrii @Dan_E_V The ripples? They are just gravity waves, look them up in a book on clouds.

2015-11-02T05:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661054682287083520

@dannodotcomm @DestinyandBruce @truthchase01 @NEPA_CHEMTRAILS I though wind shear was the major factor. Divergence seems unlikely.

2015-11-02T05:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661054106337849344

@QuestionAll7 What exactly is your point here? You show photos of ballast barrels, nothing unusual.

2015-11-02T05:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661051859658928128

@QuestionAll7 How is this 2008 public photo "recently leaked"?
http://www.airliners.net/photo/Emirates-(Airbus-Industrie)/Airbus-A380-841/1343009/L/&sid=c71cd59e408c63a31acb0ea6dcd94164 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyHKcPWsAAasRn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyHKcPWsAAasRn.jpg

2015-11-02T05:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661051655018819584

@QuestionAll7 None of these are "leaked" photos, they are just normal published photos that have been mislabeled.

2015-11-02T05:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661051333969993728

@QuestionAll7 Recently leaked photos that look just like older photos? What's the problem here exactly?

2015-11-02T05:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661050996894797824

@QuestionAll7 All the photos have very specific explanations. e.g. this original photo.

http://www.airliners.net/photo/Boeing/Boeing-777-240-LR/0855967/L/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyGWGLWoAAsCu_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyGWGLWoAAsCu_.jpg

2015-11-02T05:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/661050440495136769

@QuestionAll7 So they can move the water around t test different center-of-gravity configurations. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyF2mDXIAA4uCi.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyF2mDXIAA4uCi.jpg
2015-11-02T05:19:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661049973186756608
@QuestionAll7
https://books.google.com/books?id=iJ2FqbrRqyYC&pg=PA76&as_brr=3&ei=R_IpSr74EISmkATV-7T7Bg#v=onepage&q&f=false

---

2015-11-02T05:16:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661049219046703104
@cmcristo1 @JulieSheats
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyEwwjUsAAingj.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyEwwjUsAAingj.jpg
2015-11-02T05:14:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/661048838157832192
@QuestionAll7 They are ballast barrels.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.t661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyEalQVAAAxGQG.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSyEalQVAAAxGQG.jpg

2015-11-01T18:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660893660590960640

@Dan_E_V Did you know that all clouds are aerosols? And that contrails are clouds? Do you dispute this?

2015-11-01T18:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660892693648666624

@Dan_E_V Can you give a specific example of something that's wrong on any of my sites?

2015-11-01T18:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660890575655165952

@Dan_E_V So there's nothing wrong on my site?

2015-11-01T18:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660885861869838336

@Dan_E_V What specifically? Quote something that is wrong.

2015-11-01T17:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660867654471671808

@Dan_E_V That's her opinion. She offers zero evidence to back it up. Can you find anything on my sites that is wrong?

2015-11-01T05:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660686277130350593

Persistent spreading contrails in James Bond - Diamonds are Forever, 1971. Filmed in Las Vegas. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSs6YTgUsAAr3Lw.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSs6YTgUsAAr3Lw.png

2015-11-01T05:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660683123923906560

@Dan_E_V And you realize that's from 2007? You should read his book. He proposes sulfates almost exclusively. No mention of aluminum.

2015-11-01T04:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660682455951630336

@Dan_E_V What did he say about sulfates, exactly?

2015-10-31T22:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660581662263128064

@VaporizedMusic @FrankBublic Just looks like regular ripple clouds to me.

2015-10-31T22:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660581438568312833

@Dan_E_V No, his proposal is spraying sulphur.

2015-10-31T22:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660581085093335040

@Dan_E_V Have you actually looked up the science though? High bypass engines make MORE contrails than older engines.

2015-10-31T21:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660569585230327809

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ Well, just think about it next time you look up and see what you think is a "chemtrail". How exactly do you know?

2015-10-31T21:26:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660568487169945600

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ So are there any pictures of these "chemtrails"? They must look quite different to persistent contrails.

2015-10-31T21:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660567202131677184

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ So what's the visible difference between a frozen contrail and a "chemtrail"?

2015-10-31T21:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660564127132200960

Boeing 747 contrails have a distinctive shape.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSrLieNVAAA3jgO.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSrLieNVAAA3jgO.png

2015-10-31T21:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660563754740912128

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ What is it? Surely you don't think contrails can't persist?

2015-10-31T20:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660557708282621952

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ Are any of these provably not contrails or smoke?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSrFvDTVAAE6dDj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSrFvDTVAAE6dDj.jpg

2015-10-31T20:24:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660552893880143872

@jelo1317 then why were there lines in clouds before HAARP?

2015-10-31T20:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660552537037115392

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ So you can't give a single example of something that's not a contrail (or has other normal explanation)?

2015-10-31T20:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660549077063499776

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ So can you give one example?

2015-10-31T19:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660540582247297025

@cmcristo1 @_WeAreAware_ Any examples that you can prove are not contrails?

2015-10-31T19:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660536055418871808

@Dan_E_V No. His proposal would not make any more contrails.

2015-10-31T19:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660535723846602752

@_WeAreAware_ @cmcristo1 Here's some similar photos from 110 years ago.
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/albums/72157644761523016

2015-10-31T18:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660526156647542785

@chemTrailActivi @star2000dancer http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSqpCgsVEAA2m4T.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSqpCgsVEAA2m4T.png

2015-10-31T18:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660525671454605312

@bigarmyman But that's just a report on cloud seeding, which has been openly done since the 1950s. Lots of older reports exist.

2015-10-31T18:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660525395423326208

@powsurvivors But that's just a report on cloud seeding, which has been openly done since the 1950s. Lots of older reports exist.

2015-10-31T18:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660525360186978304

@asoldierfirst But that's just a report on cloud seeding, which has been openly done since the 1950s. Lots of older reports exist.

2015-10-31T18:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660525055277858816

@big_stout Yes I saw the video. The article I wrote about it was from 2010.

http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2015-10-31T18:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660524222494564352

@big_stout No, it was a hoax. Just an aerodynamic contrail. See:

http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2015-10-31T18:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660522047324356608

@lamarwilkons It's a report about cloud seeding.

2015-10-31T18:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660522018962477056

@LoopiLopez It's a report about cloud seeding.

2015-10-31T18:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660522000977301504

@chrisgoddamnit It's a report about cloud seeding.

2015-10-31T18:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660521791719215104

@Dan_E_V why wouldn't there be?

2015-10-31T18:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660521703915716608

@Dan_E_V It's not new. But there are various reasons why you might be noticing more. Depends on location and timescale.

2015-10-31T17:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/660501551069327361

@Dan_E_V One is atmospheric gravity waves, the other is a lot of contrails. http://visibleearth.nasa.gov/view.php?id=69463

2015-10-30T01:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659908155669745664

@PCFrii @notincomunicado This company has been doing it since the 1950s. Perfectly openly. http://www.weathermodification.com/cloud-seeding.php

---

2015-10-30T01:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659907861644906496

@PCFrii @notincomunicado Really? I don't remember saying that. Where did I say it? There's lots of places that do cloud seeding.

2015-10-29T16:03:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659762391777808384

@Metro_TV Saya meminta dengan hanya sebagai refleksi. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSfyZb4VAAAOQu0.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSfyZb4VAAAOQu0.png

2015-10-29T15:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659761387447582721

@notincomunicado @PCFrii The telling thing is what they do when they find out cloud seeding was never secret, even well known in areas.

2015-10-29T15:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659747427172265984

@notincomunicado @PCFrii To be fair though, it's an understandable mistake if you've never heard of cloud seeding before. But now what?

2015-10-29T15:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659746883758243840

.@PCFrii @notincomunicado It's similar in evidence to this. Cloud seeding is a real thing. Not a secret. No trails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2015-10-28T18:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659440047934955520

@clearlyess @technicmind It's just a fuel dump. Early return due to weather. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-search-plane-in-mh370-filmed-spraying-over-indian-ocean-fuel-dump.t3378/

2015-10-28T18:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659439622682865664

@LAKSHMISINGH @RealAmerican8 Would you agree that it's possible to be injured, and still walk around?

2015-10-28T17:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/659422600452399104

@AlerCatastrofes
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSa9XEdUEAAs2wg.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSa9XEdUEAAs2wg.png
2015-10-28T17:32:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/659422421703901184
@techeblog The "second sun" is just a refection. You can see it move when video stabilized.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSa9JhUUwAEqv5r.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSa9JhUUwAEqv5r.png
2015-10-27T18:20:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/659072215921459200
@rockermom53 which cloud seeding technique leaves long spreading trails?

2015-10-27T13:21:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658996852113608704
@rockermom53 No, it's cloud seeding. That thing that makes clouds rain a bit more.

2015-10-27T13:20:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658996585771040768
@rockermom53 Do you actually still think it's not a reflection?

2015-10-27T13:19:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658996500307927040
@rockermom53 I don't have her camera, or light settings, but I perfectly recreated the principle.

2015-10-27T13:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658992595528503296
@rockermom53 Which fact do I discount?

2015-10-27T13:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658992551597363200
@rockermom53 You can't prove it isn't happening. I'm pointing at the lack of evidence.

2015-10-27T13:03:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658992440213413888
@rockermom53 I proved that in her video the reflection moved, so it's an in-camera artifact.

2015-10-27T12:21:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658981938347274240
@rockermom53 Have you reached a conclusion? The stabilized video seems pretty clear. It's a reflection.

2015-10-27T12:11:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658979448583274496
@rockermom53 Discussed here:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-massive-us-senate-document-on-national-and-global-weather-modification.t6916/

2015-10-27T04:52:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658868797839941632
@rockermom53 that's about cloud seeding.

2015-10-26T20:58:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658749688053682176
@PotriLLitoo @MarkTwainRocks @FmasSion @MonarcaMk @selinasorrels That all looks very recent.

2015-10-26T20:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658749007884328961
@P_Alternativo_ sÃ³lo un reflejo
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSRYt4_UwAA1qvp.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSRYt4_UwAA1qvp.png
2015-10-26T20:54:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/658748671698292737
@nextinform2007 Not a sundog. It's a reflection.
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSRYaIUUcAAe2Hf.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSRYaIUUcAAe2Hf.png

2015-10-26T18:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658718178000904192

@rockermom53 So, case closed?

2015-10-26T18:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658717178913562624

@ufoofinterest Update with video stabilizations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSQ7yIFWcAAoU4h.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSQ7yIFWcAAoU4h.png

2015-10-26T18:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658715063285583872

@rockermom53 The sun does not move. The reflection and lens flares move in sync.

2015-10-26T18:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658714887284260864

@rockermom53 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LDmV_6EWFhY

2015-10-26T18:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658714242397413376

@rockermom53 yes

2015-10-26T18:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658711923215740929

@rockermom53 If you stabilize the video, you can see the reflection moves as the camera moves. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSQ27RfWIAIAtkm.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSQ27RfWIAIAtkm.png

2015-10-26T14:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658649779170340864

@alanBStardmp I can say there's no evidence he said it.

2015-10-26T14:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658648288770551808

@alanBStardmp Go on then.

2015-10-26T14:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658648140220887040

@alanBStardmp And if you think so, why don't you investigate?

2015-10-26T14:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658648032028790785

@alanBStardmp No it's not.

2015-10-26T14:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658647965817577473

@alanBStardmp But nobody has claimed any evidence that it's real. Hence there's nothing to debunk. Debunking is about EVIDENCE.

2015-10-26T14:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658647655292215296

@alanBStardmp Kennedy once said "In this great country, we have a long tradition of quickly rejecting unsubstantiated internet quotes".

2015-10-26T14:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658647298948354048

@alanBStardmp There's no need to debunk things that have no claims of evidence to back them up.

2015-10-26T14:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658647200797474817

@alanBStardmp What is the "need"? There's no evidence, so what exactly needs debunking? A random claim he said something?

2015-10-26T14:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658646287164817408

@alanBStardmp Go for it.

2015-10-26T14:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658645491329044480

@alanBStardmp Just note there's no evidence he ever said it, but you still think he might have. And that's it.

2015-10-26T14:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658645384206532608

@alanBStardmp Why? You can't possibly know every single word he said or wrote in his life. Hence you will never close the book on anything.

2015-10-26T13:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658642608156086272

@alanBStardmp There are an infinite number of things anyone might have said. But without evidence you are just making things up.

2015-10-26T13:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658634218793259008

@alanBStardmp The point is there is no evidence that he ever said it. So how can anyone claim that he did?

2015-10-26T13:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658633956284375040

@a_nxietyx I think there's no firm evidence of any other planets in the solar system. Certainly nothing visible.

2015-10-26T04:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658501729743409152

@rockermom53 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bOm0ua8aaBI

2015-10-26T04:23:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658499166654607360

@rockermom53 Here's some dimensionality, with a more obvious source.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSN1gJzWIAANQXj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSN1gJzWIAANQXj.jpg

2015-10-26T04:18:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658497877455564800

@rockermom53 What difference does that make? I have video, but really, what's the difference?

2015-10-26T00:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658443527660183554

@rockermom53 It's a reflection. There are no giant orbs in the sky, otherwise there would be millions of photos of the same thing.

2015-10-26T00:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658438296297676800

@rockermom53 You said my videos do not look real. You think they are just a reflection, but her's is not then?

2015-10-26T00:10:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658435461401198592

@rockermom53 So? you think I created a whole bunch of fake videos in an hour?

2015-10-26T00:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658435301854064645

@rockermom53 What about this one, is it dimensional enough with the shadows of the leaves? (sun is on the right) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSM7XKTXIAABQL_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSM7XKTXIAABQL_.jpg

2015-10-26T00:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658434923792080896

@rockermom53 Shadowing where?

2015-10-26T00:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658434336186892290

@rockermom53 "more dimensional"? It's (visually) a flat disc of light, how can it have dimension?

2015-10-25T23:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658432840221241346

@rockermom53 Huh? How is her's three dimensional? Which bit? How?

2015-10-25T23:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658426558072397824

.@rockermom53 Here's a more detailed explanation of the "second sun". Can also happen accidentally.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.t6932/

2015-10-25T21:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658389768493998080

@Dan_E_V No I just use @flightradar24 to identify flights, for example: https://www.metabunk.org/solved-u-shaped-contrail-near-san-francisco-nasa502-survey-again.t4179/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSMSATaWUAAZY-O.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSMSATaWUAAZY-O.png

2015-10-25T21:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658388623440674816

@rockermom53 Here's the three sun video. With audio commentary by me. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lxQlr_XSWCU

2015-10-25T20:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658387379569430532

@rockermom53 "something" is rather broad. As is "out there". Like what? And where?

2015-10-25T20:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658387144868802560

@Dan_E_V There's a few possible explanations. Holding pattern, training, testings, or mapping. Was it recent? Can track it down.

2015-10-25T20:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658386636594675713

@MarkTwainRocks @FmasSion @MonarcaMk @PotriLLitoo @selinasorrels Do you have any of your documentation from that time?

2015-10-25T20:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658385769913012224

@Dan_E_V How can you tell?

2015-10-25T20:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658385663314829312

@Dan_E_V Ripples.

2015-10-25T20:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658385588043796480

@Dan_E_V Which ones cannot be explained by contrails and/or clouds. Can you pick one?

2015-10-25T20:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658385392857718785

@rockermom53 But in the meantime, I'm uploading an interesting three sun video. 10 minutes remaining.

2015-10-25T20:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658385268500766720

@rockermom53 I'm in California. Another 3 hours or so. No cloud either, I'll try to remember to give it a go.

2015-10-25T20:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658382941047930880

@rockermom53 Well, it is sunday, I'll have a go. However she had low sun and clouds, which helps with the flare a lot.

2015-10-25T20:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658382247276494848

@rockermom53 Put your finger over the sun, and that reflection will vanish.

2015-10-25T20:38:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658382139675774977

@Dan_E_V What temp was it at 35,000 feet though? (about 5,000 feet above the top of Mt Everest).

2015-10-25T20:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658380433109987329

@rockermom53 What's more likely? A huge planet briefly appearing in the sky that nobody notices, or someone faking a video that this?

2015-10-25T20:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658380183481794560

@Dan_E_V I take them as proof that the white stuff is water ice crystals. Due to the unique refractive index.

2015-10-25T20:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658379347502452736

@rockermom53 Yeah, that's just a regular lens flare though.

2015-10-25T20:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658379238018543616

@rockermom53 or maybe just a bit of windex :) It's the principle that's being demonstrate here.

2015-10-25T20:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658377460321550337

@rockermom53 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSMGzyAWIAATzR7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CSMGzyAWIAATzR7.jpg

2015-10-25T20:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658377349352837120

@rockermom53 Here's another https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5jSopYViNL8

2015-10-25T20:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658374251486040064

@zenmommaNgrimes @BohmDieter @Bingchemtrails I reference Metabunk all the time, yet people still call me a shill.

2015-10-25T20:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658373362364870660

@rockermom53 What's missing?

2015-10-25T19:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658371387560693761

@rockermom53 Here you go: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fPOmp0hHlhE

2015-10-25T19:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658369746631573505

@ResistEveryday @rockermom53 A reflection of the sun, inside the lens/filter system.

2015-10-25T19:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658369056039411713

@rockermom53 Not the camera, the filter in front of it that's reflecting the sun. Give me ten

minutes, I'll make a better one.

2015-10-25T19:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658367321036029952

@rockermom53 I was hand-holding the filter. I'll make a better video

---

2015-10-25T19:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658364325120356353

@rockermom53 Did you read the thread. Here's a video I just took.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSL63TqWEAALnFg.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CSL63TqWEAALnFg.png

2015-10-25T19:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658358690567860224

.@OpChemtrails Why would ice melt if it's -40Â°?

2015-10-25T18:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658356425828208640

@aprilritchey Isn't that just a contrail?

2015-10-25T18:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658356265228312576

@Dan_E_V What point are you making by sharing this video with me? What does it prove to you?

2015-10-25T18:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658349325030944768

@rockermom53 reflection of the sun on something like a filter in front of the lens.

https://www.metabunk.org/two-suns-nope-not-sundogs.t6932

2015-10-25T18:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658343285845463040

@Dan_E_V @0P3N_Y0UR_EYES In the 1940s and 1950s? Wouldn't people notice?

2015-10-25T05:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658152898505764864

@Dan_E_V @0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Have you looked?

2015-10-25T04:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658132061581090816

@Dan_E_V @0P3N_Y0UR_EYES I see the same sky as you. Look up those things in books.

2015-10-25T03:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658116568447098880

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.t3514/

2015-10-24T20:53:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/658023479443329024

@ufoofinteres There's a discussion of that "two suns" video here. Probably a reflection.

https://www.metabunk.org/two-suns-nope-not-sundogs.t6932/

2015-10-21T16:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656871772558495744

@autoarmadillo That cloud is called an iridescent pileus. http://www.atoptics.co.uk/fz503.htm

---

2015-10-21T00:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656621271417929728

Floating Cities are Generally not Fata Morgana Mirages

https://www.metabunk.org/floating-cities-are-generally-not-fata-morgana-mirages.t6922/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRzJjISVAAAOxDm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRzJjISVAAAOxDm.jpg

2015-10-19T18:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656179129830641666

@Bingchemtrails Then you fade away into hazy cirrus clouds. EXACTLY as contrails have done

for decades. So what marks them as "contrails".

2015-10-19T18:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656178953812471808

@Bingchemtrails But none of those trails last any longer than you'd expect. For a start they don't stay on screen very long.

2015-10-19T18:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656178451250966528

@Bingchemtrails Lots of pics of horses are no more evidence of unicorns than lots of pics of contrails are evidence of chemtrails.

2015-10-19T18:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656178148359311360

@Bingchemtrails Yes, I've been look at them for years. But I asked you for a video of trails lasting for hours. You say they exist, so???

2015-10-19T17:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656156410678407168

@Bingchemtrails You can't find any videos of trails lasting all day. Otherwise you would show me one.

2015-10-19T14:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/656114671552892928

@4thAnon Sarcasm fail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRr8ymJUwAASppu.jpg

---

15

The Blame-America's-Ex-President-First Crowd

Six months after 9/11, the Gallup Poll of Islamic Countries found that an overwhelming majority of those surveyed believed the attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon had not been the work of Arabs. Well-educated Egyptians and Saudis believed that the Israelis were behind the murder of three thousand innocents on 9/11, in large part because of articles in their countries' official state newspapers. One of the widely disseminated stories was that no Jews died in the collapse of the Trade Towers because they had received calls telling them not to go to work that day.

To tell you the truth, I got the Jew call. I had an office in the Trade Center where I used to do most of my writing. The call came from former New York mayor Ed Koch. "Al," he told me, "don't go to work on the twenty-third day of Elul."

Actually, I watched the events of that awful day from Minneapolis, where I was visiting my mom. Mom's in a nursing home, so I was staying at a hotel. That morning, as I grabbed some coffee, I noticed people huddled around a TV. A plane had hit the World Trade Center. Must have been a commuter plane. Maybe the pilot had a heart attack or something. Then the second plane hit. It was sickening. Then came the Pentagon. We were under attack.

Somehow, I got through to my wife in Manhattan. She was fine, at home on the Upper West Side, about five miles north of the Trade Center. My son was at school on the Upper East Side. My daughter was away at college. As I watched the first tower collapse, I was stunned. But I still couldn't register the magnitude of what was happening, even as the second one went down.

I spent the rest of the day at the nursing home watching TV

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRr8ymJUwAASppu.jpg

2015-10-19T13:11:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/656095233239740416
@Bingchemtrails You took the time to send me this video. So why can't you find one of "chemtrails" lasting for hours?

2015-10-19T00:17:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655900568938938368
@Bingchemtrails which vid?

2015-10-18T21:02:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655851545955713024
@Bingchemtrails Check my web site. I've written quite a bit about it.
https://www.google.com/search?q=geoengineering+site%3Ametabunk.org

---

2015-10-18T21:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655851307417251840
@Bingchemtrails Anyway. I have to go, but I encourage you to consider why you think you can visually spot a "chemtrail".

2015-10-18T21:01:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655851154543218688
@Bingchemtrails How long can you stand on one leg? There's no "max" time there either, but you can give a reasonable range. Seconds to hours

---

2015-10-18T21:00:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655850930466787328
@Bingchemtrails Not after an hour of two no. The resultant clouds are pretty much the same. Like the books say.

2015-10-18T20:59:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655850688702877696
@Bingchemtrails I'm not talking about geoengineering. I'm talking about contrails. You said they don't persist. I'm explaining that they do.

2015-10-18T20:58:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655850398847119360
@Bingchemtrails But explain how they physically differ after formation. How is a contrail different to a cirrus cloud?

2015-10-18T20:57:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655850228482838528
@Bingchemtrails There are different type of clouds. They do not all appear at the same time.

2015-10-18T20:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655850104390221824
@Bingchemtrails No, I'm saying there is no hard limit to how long they last, just a general range from minutes to hours.

2015-10-18T20:56:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/655849845966548992

@Bingchemtrails Contrails are clouds. So in almost any question you can just substitute the word "cloud" for "contrail, then google that.

2015-10-18T20:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655849530366164992

@Bingchemtrails No more than a cloud can. What's the "max time" a cloud can last. It's a silly question.

2015-10-18T20:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655849227323510786

@Bingchemtrails Why is a black and white photo a problem? Why would you need color?

2015-10-18T20:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655848763282485248

@Bingchemtrails So you accept that contrails can last for a few hours now? If so, then how can you tell the difference?

2015-10-18T20:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655848588837195776

@Bingchemtrails There is no "max" time. But after a few hours they are indistinguishable from clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoKz8MUcAADJCp.jpg

---



451

aircraft can fly at a wide range of altitudes, it is not possible to identify the cloud height from visual clues alone. Contrails occur quite commonly, but they are often hidden by lower clouds.

Season: All year.

Range: All locations.

Variations: A newly formed contrail (33) is generally thinner and smaller than an older one (34). If the air is very dry, the contrail may be exceptionally thin. When the air at the aircraft's level is near saturation, a contrail may last a long time (34). When they start to become diffuse, contrails can spread to form a major cloud cover (34).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoKz8MUcAADJCp.jpg

2015-10-18T20:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655848235605475328

@Bingchemtrails What are, "chemtrails" colored?

2015-10-18T20:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655847713704030208

@Bingchemtrails No, I said they last for hours. Just like the books say:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoKA1YUAAE0px2.jpg



From a distance, their
istinct, but if the plane
they appear like mist,
vhat they are.

cirrus cloud. The short space between the jet and the contrail is due to the brief time it takes the moisture to freeze.

If a contrail vanishes rapidly, the air is dry and fair weather is likely to continue. Contrails can last for hours, however, and may even trigger the formation of more extensive natural cirrus clouds.

tion of su
clouds belo
is not a tru
small, so y

ehind jet planes are
s. Contrails are cirrus
ans. A jet engine pulls
igh altitude. The air

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoKA1YUAAE0px2.jpg

2015-10-18T20:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655847248966782976

@Bingchemtrails Is this a contrail or a "chemtrail"? How can YOU tell the difference?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoJhCTU8AACTyz.jpg

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRoJhCTU8AACTyz.jpg

2015-10-18T20:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655846949841534976

@Bingchemtrails I say contrails persist and spread. The books back that up. Do you think the books are wrong about that?

2015-10-18T20:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655844750822522880

@Bingchemtrails Because you change the subject. All I'm interested in here is why you think "chemtrails" are visually different to contrails

---

2015-10-18T20:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655843075474849792

@Bingchemtrails They discuss contrails. They say contrails persist. You say they don't. So who is right?

2015-10-18T20:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655842909271404545

@Bingchemtrails Show me a trail that last all day. Look at the time lapses of trails, they spread out, and blow away. Think about it.

2015-10-18T19:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655835513610375168

@Bingchemtrails Here's a short video I made of some of my books saying contrails can persist for hours. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-10-18T19:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655835348929409024

@Bingchemtrails Contrails turn into regular cirrus clouds after a few hours and spread out. So same as cirrus clouds.

2015-10-18T19:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655835008469340160

@Bingchemtrails So you think 70 years of books on clouds are wrong then?

2015-10-18T19:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655834285207777280

@Bingchemtrails Because all I'm discussing here is the persistence of contrails, and how "chemtrails" look no different.

2015-10-18T19:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655831985600880640

@Bingchemtrails You said "Contrails disappear very quickly. CT's don't". Do you accept this is wrong now. Contrails last for hours.

2015-10-18T18:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655818506319958018

@Bingchemtrails Are the books right about contrails persisting?

2015-10-18T18:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655816840665427968

@Bingchemtrails Or are you claiming that 70 years of books on clouds were all wrong?

2015-10-18T18:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655816741046521856

@Bingchemtrails They can persist for hours. You said they never persist, but clearly the books say they do.

2015-10-18T18:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655816072101101568

@Bingchemtrails For example, this book disagrees with you. So what are you basing your belief on? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRntPRfUEAAjTHi.jpg

---

A word may be added about the clouds formed, usually at great heights, by aeroplanes, now known by the name of condensation trails (Fig. 42). These are truly cloud formations and not like the smoke trails that were once used for sky-writing advertisements.

When warm damp air is mixed with cold damp air some of the moisture will condense, and if the air is sufficiently damp and the difference of temperature sufficiently great the condensation will be sufficient to form visible cloud. The exhaust from an aeroplane engine contains a good deal of moisture, and if the air into which it is streaming is sufficiently cold and damp a condensation trail will be formed. Sometimes the trails are very slight and short lived, sometimes they are very persistent. Naturally the latter is the case when the cold air is already practically saturated with moisture, as it is when there are traces of natural cloud at the level at which the condensation trails are formed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRntPRfUEAAjTHi.jpg

2015-10-18T18:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655815868564172800

@Bingchemtrails Getting off topic. Why do you think contrails never persist if EVERY book on clouds for 70 years says they can?

2015-10-18T18:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655815421501636608

@Bingchemtrails Yes, all the books ON CLOUDS. Have you looked at any book on clouds?

2015-10-18T18:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655814806423801856

@Bingchemtrails 70+ years of books on clouds contradict those conspiracy theory books.

2015-10-18T18:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655814632662142976

@Bingchemtrails All the books on clouds that were published before the chemtrails theory was invented. i.e. all the books before 1995.

2015-10-18T18:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655808593090863104

@Bingchemtrails @realvenusinfurs Why do you think that? All the books on clouds say that contrails can persist and spread.

2015-10-18T17:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655801833286320128

@Bingchemtrails @realvenusinfurs But what you describe as "chemtrails" look exactly like contrails. So what do you think the difference is?

2015-10-18T15:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655774444720263168

.@Bingchemtrails @realvenusinfurs DLR does quite a bit of contrail sampling. They find ice.

http://elib.dlr.de/71752/1/Voigt_grl_2011.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRnHK3EU8AEuxTZ.jpg



Figure 1. Probing contrails from two A340 aircraft on 19 November 2008 above Germany, photo taken from the cockpit of the DLR-Falcon.

tribution, the ice water content (IWC) and probability density functions (PDF) of contrail extinction and optical depth function derived from T-matrix calculations. Best agreement with the scattering phase function measured with a polar nephelometer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRnHK3EU8AEuxTZ.jpg

2015-10-17T20:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655482150561513472

@davebrooker33 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRi9ihDUcAAJ_b3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRi9ihDUcAAJ_b3.jpg

2015-10-17T13:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655380885798187008

@davebrooker33 Why don't you test it yourself. Take photos of the sun through some welders glass or eclipse viewer.

2015-10-17T13:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655380441118015488

@davebrooker33 Yes, and unfortunately unless you know a bit of math and physics, you are probably going to get lost.

2015-10-17T12:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655360724206620672

@davebrooker33 Good luck with your trip down the rabbit hole. I decided a while ago that FE is not worth addressing. Mostly trolls.

2015-10-16T19:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655103492491624448

@TerrytheCensor That article is from 1977.

2015-10-16T18:51:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/655093638586740736

Every time the weather acts up someone says it's a government conspiracy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRdcFcoUwAAX4T2.jpg

Cold Due To 'Weather Tampering': Gregory

Social activist Dick Gregory has suggested that someone is tampering with the weather.

In a letter to President Carter, Gregory said, "I cannot help but to consider the possibilities of weather modification when I observe the highly unusual and disastrous weather conditions this country is now experiencing. The drought in California, the freezing temperatures in Florida, and the sub-zero weather throughout the Midwest has already had an effect on the quality, quantity, and cost of fresh fruits and vegetables.



Dick Gregory

"There is a possibility that manipulation of normal weather patterns is being used to alter farm productivity while continu-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRdcFcoUwAAX4T2.jpg
2015-10-15T22:42:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/654789418612473856
@jackgoughjnr @RealMikeWilbon @GeoffreyBoycott @JoseMourinhio @davidicke Not that I've seen any evidence for.

2015-10-15T18:10:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/654721127017680896
@stang289 Wrote it up:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-large-cigar-shaped-object-caught-shooting-into-storm-cloud-in-spain-reflection.t6912/

2015-10-15T18:10:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/654721039037890561
UFO shooting into a cloud and exploding is just the car headlight's reflection + lightning
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-large-cigar-shaped-object-caught-shooting-into-storm-cloud-in-spain-reflection.t6912/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CRYI5obUsAAcTfh.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CRYI5obUsAAcTfh.png
2015-10-15T17:09:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/654705761025560576
@stang289 It's an internal reflection of the car headlight going in the opposite direction
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRX7a5NUAAAJvPd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRX7a5NUAAAJvPd.jpg

2015-10-15T13:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/654652722772242433

@stang289 Interesting topic, especially the "Libertarian paternalism".

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nudge_(book)

2015-10-14T15:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/654318803724038144

@SteerMark Why? What exactly is unnatural about it? Why can't it happen in nature? Why has it been observed for so long?

2015-10-13T15:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/653958589724291072

@SteerMark It's not natural. It's a radar artifact.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRNT3zsUkAAGXC-.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CRNT3zsUkAAGXC-.png
2015-10-13T15:38:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/653957977104187393
@rjblaskiewicz It's a misquote too. "Be true to your word and your work and your friend;" (by John Boyle Oâ□□Reilly)
https://www.walden.org/Library/Quotations/The_Henry_D._Thoreau_Mis-Quotation_Page

---

2015-10-10T19:25:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652927831865999361
@davebrooker33 there's "science" behind flat earth. The key thing is evidence.

2015-10-10T15:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652862563038941184
@davebrooker33 The sun stays the same size through the day. Impossible in all FE models.

2015-10-10T15:02:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652861776061669376
@davebrooker33 flights from Australia to Chile are quicker than possible on a flat Earth. But normally I'd not bother. Mostly trolls.

2015-10-09T16:31:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652521687909593092
@theRealLaRocca @TheRealNalley @trutherbotblue So what was the disinformation? Why was it wrong? I'll retract any errors.

2015-10-09T13:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652483049595256832
@KindOrgone it looks like a galley drain. Like emptying a big sink.

2015-10-08T15:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/652148533982687233
@4thAnon because there's zero evidence that they did.

2015-10-06T01:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/651203731275419648
@AnthonyMidili @SteerMark What exactly makes such contrails impossible? There's lot of planes. Random lines.

2015-10-06T00:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/651188246865534976
@AnthonyMidili @SteerMark Of the plane flew into a region of lower humidity. How SHOULD a contrail stop?

2015-10-05T23:18:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/651174625968197633
@Justtweet1505 @Oswald1160 @Womble67 @Er_Nope So how do you know the trails are not contrails?

2015-10-05T23:17:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/651174513162346496

@AnthonyMidili @SteerMark Surely the question is why these are not contrails?

2015-10-05T18:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/651097350757289984

@Moe_Fugger_72 @AnotherGeorgian Any conditions will do. Since it appears to be a fuel dump, not a contrail.

2015-10-05T04:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650888088919543808

@dannodotcomm @selinasorrels @truthchase01 @RIHouseofReps @guardian @SkyderALERT Also this, from 1903. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQhrREbW8AAau4E.jpg

---



E. Antoniadi, photo.]

Fibred Cirrus.

(Reproduced by permission of the Proprietors of *Knowledge*.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQhrREbW8AAau4E.jpg
2015-10-05T04:19:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/650887958715764736
@dannodotcomm @selinasorrels @truthchase01 @RIHouseofReps @guardian @SkyderALERT
Pretty much like this, from 1903. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQhrJjsWIAA9z1U.jpg



E. Antoniadi, photo.]

Cirrus, or "Mare's Tail."

(Reproduced by permission of the Proprietors of *Knowledge*.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQhrJjsWIAA9z1U.jpg
2015-10-04T23:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/650821839992717312
@Justtweet1505 @Womble67 @Er_Nope Of just read any book on clouds.
https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQgvA71WUAAws2f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQgvA71WUAAws2f.jpg

2015-10-04T23:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650821659553722368

@Justtweet1505 @Womble67 @Er_Nope You can just look it up. Look up "contrail microphysics".

https://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/apl/research/science_integrated_modeling/accri/media/Contrail%20Microphysics.pdf

---

2015-10-04T19:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650750823920566272

@kenwot5 @iain39 @TchuTchx @BADM0T0RFINGER Books and history say that is normal. So why think it is not?

2015-10-04T19:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650750163556827136

@TchuTchx @kenwot5 @iain39 @BADM0T0RFINGER @GeoengWatch Dane pretty much ignores all conflicting data now though.

2015-10-04T19:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650749948535791616

@TchuTchx @kenwot5 @iain39 @BADM0T0RFINGER @GeoengWatch

https://www.metabunk.org/wigington-west-geoengineering-debate.t2211/

2015-10-04T18:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650746544128262144

@kenwot5 @iain39 @TchuTchx @BADM0T0RFINGER Are you going to agree with Dane simply because I disagree with him? Why not look it up?

2015-10-04T18:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650746373583671296

@kenwot5 @iain39 @TchuTchx @BADM0T0RFINGER Twitter has no sense of distance. I just have a Tweetdeck column for the word "contrail"

2015-10-04T18:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650745916350066688

@heshowsup It still is. Read the full thread.

2015-10-04T18:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650744969464971264

@kenwot5 @iain39 @TchuTchx @BADM0T0RFINGER That's what Dane says, it's not what science and observation says though.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/

2015-10-04T18:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650739427887550464

@Er_Nope @Justtweet1505 @Womble67 Or: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQfkD1lUwAA6fIX.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQfkD1lUwAA6fIX.jpg

2015-10-04T16:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650705040722628608

@Justtweet1505 @dannodotcomm @Womble67 @Er_Nope Look up "contrail factor". How does it differ for a high bypass engine?

2015-10-04T16:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650704608487079938

@Justtweet1505 @Womble67 @Er_Nope Check your freezer. Does the ice in there vanish instantly?

2015-10-04T04:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650532441967357953

@Justtweet1505 In 1944? How old were you then?

2015-10-03T00:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/650101425700122624

@Weird_Contrails How low was it?

2015-10-02T16:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649988289429049344

@roger_clague

http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1972)029%3C1367%3AMOTGOT%3E2.0.CO%3B2 4 orders of magnitude = 10,000x http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQU41dtUAAAcFR9.jpg

---

pass in the same region as the upper pass in cross section A, but 12 min later (1247) revealed only a few crystals remaining at this level. The entire region rapidly decayed and completely disappeared by 1300.

Since the contrail core was a fairly continuous segment, computations of total ice per meter of flight path should be suitable for comparison with the exhausted water from the aircraft. Only the region between the passes was integrated. The values computed were 4.12×10^4 gm m^{-1} and 2.07×10^4 gm m^{-1} for cross sections A and B. There are at least four orders of magnitude more ice present in the contrail core than the Sabreliner originally exhausted! Furthermore, these values are underestimates for the following reasons:

1) The IWC above and below the pass levels wasn't added to the total ice m^{-1}.
2) The IWC in satellite patches such as that in Fig. 6 obviously arose from the contrail and once added would increase the ice m^{-1}.
3) During the course of the experiment (45 min) a continuous flux of crystals was falling out to lower levels and evaporating.
4) The spectrometer measured no IWC from crystals smaller than 75 [illegible]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQU41dtUAAAcFR9.jpg

2015-10-02T15:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649974487136362496

@roger_clague Thanks, and yes, the water in the exhaust only provides the initial ice crystals, most of the water comes from the air.

2015-10-02T05:41:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649821608190717952

@Jonstradamus @rplantiko Well, I certainly would not trust the government!

2015-10-02T01:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649752960298168320

@FredSanford13 Me neither, and I'm a leftist. So I guess we're even :)

2015-10-02T00:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649746650492092416

@stauber Referring to "Freedom Daily" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRbFo-UkAAcRqU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRbFo-UkAAcRqU.jpg

2015-10-02T00:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649745744665149440

@stauber It's not the shooter, that's the point.

2015-10-02T00:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649745483372466176

@FredSanford13 Everyone got punkd, it was 4chan being dicks. Lots of people fell for it or ran with it. Not political.

2015-10-02T00:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649744959726182400

@FredSanford13 @Tom_Winter What, isn't Freedom Daily "people"?

2015-10-02T00:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649744399245578240

@FredSanford13 This does not look very leftist: http://woundedamericanwarrior.com/breaking-is-this-the-oregon-shooter-4chan-forum-says-his-name-is-toby-reynolds-videos/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRbFo-UkAAcRqU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRbFo-UkAAcRqU.jpg

2015-10-02T00:33:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649744006973227009

@ZeDikaRedirect So if it's always been like this, then why have you only just noticed it?

2015-10-02T00:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649743845006008320

@FredSanford13 Hardly a "leftist" thing. More like a "people" thing.

2015-10-02T00:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649741163788808192

@FredSanford13 I know it's not him, I'm questioning why you think anyone would push him when they would have to retract. What do they gain?

2015-10-02T00:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649740588430983168

@OpChemtrails He says "You see the short bright trails, you are still seeing spraying. Period".

2015-10-02T00:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649740034283704321

@OpChemtrails So how does he determine which are contrails and which are "chemtrails"?

2015-10-02T00:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649739558767104000

@OpChemtrails I don't think Dane actually understands that contrails are formed by mixing of exhaust with air.

2015-10-02T00:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649739361643204608

@OpChemtrails @OpChemtrails_ But Dane's saying that high bypass engined CANNOT make contrails. Rejecting 100 years of contrail science.

2015-10-02T00:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649739139013718016

@FredSanford13 How would it help to very briefly finger the wrong guy? Then have to retract? Why would they do that?

2015-10-02T00:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649737415519637504

@OpChemtrails @OpChemtrails_ See 11:55 here: https://youtu.be/zt_RQ7o7U_s?t=11m55s

---

2015-10-01T23:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649730055631867904

@steffenbnielsen It's a challenge to communicate effectively.

2015-10-01T23:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649729959368355840

@steffenbnielsen @DoubtfulNews Unfortunately there's a huge (yet understandable) lack of scientific understanding of clouds and contrails.

2015-10-01T23:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649729472866811904

@notincomunicado Which is literally millions of people, to one degree or another. So well worth doing.

2015-10-01T23:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649729318881329153

@steffenbnielsen @DoubtfulNews That's why I have LOTS of books :) A few people are stuck, but there's lot's who eventually see the light

---

2015-10-01T23:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649728139187261440

@steffenbnielsen @DoubtfulNews That's why I have the actual books to show them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRMSY5U8AAE8-d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRMSY5U8AAE8-d.jpg

2015-10-01T23:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649727458174857217

@AnthonyMidili It's just some woman complaining about contrail cover at a conference. See: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.t3514/

2015-10-01T23:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649723012950790144

If "chemtrail" believers tell you the sky wasn't like this years ago, show them 1944

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/albums/72157659325937372

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRHZsVVAAE0_eH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRHZsVVAAE0_eH.jpg

2015-10-01T23:05:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649721902894727168

@TchuTchx Nn. And here's some old pics of clouds for when you wake up.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/albums/72157659325937372

2015-10-01T22:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649720208555274240

@TchuTchx Here is a good modern book, if you don't like the old books I keep posting.

2015-10-01T22:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649718978571403264

@TchuTchx Have you ever read a book on clouds? it might be helpful.

2015-10-01T22:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649718633170505728

@TchuTchx Contrails from planes often form close together as planes fly along similar paths. In Scotland you get Europe -> USA traffic.

2015-10-01T22:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649717701997281280

@DrSimonAtkins How is it done?

2015-10-01T22:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649714926404022272

@TchuTchx It looks like a cloud. It might have started out as contrails. But compare it with old pic of clouds. 1944 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRAPacVAAAg05_.jpg

---



Photo by F. Ellerman; Courtesy U. S. Weather Bureau

MACKEREL SKY — LOOK OUT FOR THUNDER-STORMS. The sky is flecked with cirrocumulus. A summer day is hot and sultry, a winter day mild.

190

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQRAPacVAAAg05_.jpg

2015-10-01T22:32:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649713613557489664

@SteerMark Those are photos of contrails. But why don't you explain what you think they are, and WHY.

2015-10-01T22:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649713247067598848

@SteerMark Is this the evidence you choose? Can you explain it in your own words?

2015-10-01T22:30:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649712960697307136

@TchuTchx The books on clouds go into details of the physics if you are interested.

2015-10-01T22:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649712433427169280

@TchuTchx You won't see them with cumulus clouds. But just any time there's a thinnish layer of clouds. It's just fluid mechanics.

2015-10-01T22:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649712152178126848

@SteerMark Is there any one bit of evidence you actually can stand behind? Something you can understand and explain?

2015-10-01T22:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649711293046243328

@SteerMark One thing at a time Mark. Or are there none of these you actually will stand behind?

2015-10-01T22:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649711158765596673

@TchuTchx @candtalan @AnthonyMidili @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk But the really dramatic displays just a few times a year.

2015-10-01T22:22:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649711071154929664

@TchuTchx @candtalan @AnthonyMidili @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk You can see ripples nearly every time there are clouds, if you look hard.

2015-10-01T22:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649710857161584640

@SteerMark Do you know what he's talking about? Can you paraphrase it? Is he talking about things sprayed from the back of planes? Be honest

---

2015-10-01T22:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649709561885028352

@TchuTchx @candtalan @AnthonyMidili @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk No, if you think they just started 100 years ago, you are probably stuck.

2015-10-01T22:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649708426969264128

@candtalan @AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk So you think these ripple clouds have been around just for 100 years or so?

2015-10-01T22:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649707191335686145

@greynraney @jelo1317 @grinagainagain I don't know of any photos before the 1940s. Plenty of first hand accounts though.

2015-10-01T22:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649706830495518720

@candtalan https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Dirac

2015-10-01T21:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649704481660125184

@SteerMark @DarlstephL @AnthonyMidili They have been basically unchanged. High bypass engines actually make MORE contrails.

2015-10-01T21:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649700102655709184

@TerminatorBarbi It;s a direct quote from the paper you linked.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQyzPgUcAAc-h6.jpg



An "atmospheric brown cloud" of manmade aerosols produced by agricultural (marked in red) and other fires may reduce monsoon-related rainfall and crop yields in central India. Credit: Jesse Allen, Earth Observatory

On the Indian subcontinent, the widespread burning of firewood, coal, agricultural waste, and biomass for energy disperses black carbon particulates into the atmosphere. These manmade aerosols not only pollute the air, but also form an "atmospheric brown cloud" that's disrupting the local climate.

A case in point is the South Asian summer **monsoon**, a complex system of winds that typically bring rain from the tropical Indian Ocean to most of the region, especially in the southwest and northeast. The aerosols, which block solar radiation and cool the ground before they get swept away by rain, have altered the monsoon considerably.

In previous investigations of the **local climate** impact of aerosols present in the atmosphere during the onset of the summer monsoon, MIT researchers found that **rainfall** increased in the month prior to the monsoon (June) and decreased during the monsoon season (July and August). Now, in a study published in the *Journal of Climate*, they have determined how aerosols emitted both before and during the monsoon are changing precipitation patterns.

"Aerosols can influence the supply of moisture, and a small perturbation can play a big role," says study co-author Chien Wang, a senior research scientist at MIT's Center for Global Change Science and in the Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences. "Pre-monsoonal aerosols push rainfall to the northwest, drying out central India. Aerosols emitted during the monsoon season send the moisture supply in a different direction, and dry out most of the subcontinent."

The most dramatic consequences are enhanced flooding in the pre-monsoon season in the normally dry northwest regions of India and in Pakistan, and reduced agricultural output in central India, which now gets far less rainfall than usual during the monsoon season.

The study produced its findings by running a global climate model to simulate the impacts of aerosols emitted before and during the **monsoon season** in all but the northeast region of India, which is not well-represented in the model. The effects of pre-monsoonal aerosols—increased rainfall in the northwest and decreased rainfall elsewhere, particularly in central India—persist during the monsoon, further reducing soil moisture in central India. Aerosols emitted during the monsoon lower rainfall totals not only in central India, but across the country. When aerosol emissions are simulated throughout both periods, impacts during the monsoonal period are similar to those resulting from monsoonal **aerosols** only.

More information: "The Response of the South Asian Summer Monsoon to Temporal and Spatial Variations in Absorbing Aerosol Radiative Forcing." *J. Climate*, 28, 6626–6646. doi: **dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00609.1**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQyzPgUcAAc-h6.jpg

2015-10-01T21:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649698036990406656

.@SteerMark @AnthonyMidili People also got anxious about contrails in 1950. It does not mean they are "chemtrails" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQwvmYUkAA3185.jpg

---



Vapor Trails Get Many Excited

Vapor trails formed by condensation of aircraft engines in cold or freezing temperatures put Peninsula residents in a tizzy late yesterday afternoon.

Ordinarily white, the vapor trails were scarlet and gold from the setting sun.

Police departments from San Jose to South San Francisco were flooded with calls between 5:15 and 5:25 with reports ranging from "a burning plane" and "meteors" to "flying saucers." However, it was only a B-50 bomber flying at 35,000.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQwvmYUkAA3185.jpg

2015-10-01T20:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649689715835179008

@karentalford66 @DieForChange There are patents for houses on the moon and teleportation devices. Do they exist?

2015-10-01T20:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649683953998139392

@AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk Several here: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/albums/72157644761523016

2015-10-01T20:23:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649680950322860032

@AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk 1944 - "Cloud and Weather Atlas"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQhYbUUAAIZGxQ.jpg



Photo by W. S. Davis; Courtesy, U. S. Weather Bureau

STRATOCUMULUS ROLLS. The photograph was taken (looking south) at Orient, Long Island, with a strong east wind at the surface.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQQhYbUUAAIZGxQ.jpg

2015-10-01T20:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649680192160423936

@TerminatorBarbi he's discussing "the widespread burning of firewood, coal, agricultural waste, and biomass"

2015-10-01T20:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649678061944725504

@AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk Just look in any book on clouds in the last 120 years

---

2015-10-01T17:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649642964856143872

@Hsaive And yet there are similar clouds over the entire planet. Every day.

2015-10-01T17:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649642715387326464

@TerminatorBarbi Well the offer remains. Show mw where I'm wrong, and I shall publicly retract it.

2015-10-01T17:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649642481408065537

@SteerMark @AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @cocktail2Funk Seriously Mark, why do you think this is suspicious, from 1905? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQP-YURWEAAHm6_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQP-YURWEAAHm6_.jpg

2015-10-01T17:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649642022010122240

@TerminatorBarbi I'd be happy to retract anything you can show to be wrong. How do "chemtrails" differ from persistent contrails?

2015-10-01T17:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649641018652889088

@AnthonyMidili @TchuTchx @SteerMark @cocktail2Funk So why did people see these clouds 100 years ago?

2015-10-01T17:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649640313296805888

@TerminatorBarbi Ship trails, yes. But there's no evidence that the other trails are not contrails, they look JUST LIKE THEM!

2015-10-01T17:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649637391452401664

@Kt23kartracer Why exactly do you think I'm a troll? What have I said that is incorrect? Pick one. I'll correct it if wrong.

2015-10-01T17:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649633538539544576

@TerminatorBarbi I'm simply examining the evidence here. The trails folks call "chemtrails" look and act like contrails.

2015-10-01T17:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649631918074368000

.@TerminatorBarbi Well, at least look at the photos. Lots of types of lines in the sky are not contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQP0p-uUsAAb5U9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQP0p-uUsAAb5U9.jpg

2015-10-01T16:14:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649618522234990592

.@TerminatorBarbi I wrote an article listing 16 non-contrails back in in 2008. Seven years ago. http://contrailscience.com/things-that-are-not-contrails-or-chemtrails/

2015-10-01T15:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649606606003417088

@OpChemtrails_ @OpChemtrails Just planes at different altitudes. But doesn't Dane now say ALL contrails are chemtrails, even short ones?

2015-10-01T15:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649606271318929408

@TheMuskokaLorax Looks like a contrail. Holding pattern or testing. Here one from pre 1980s http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQPdZPYUcAA19Oc.jpg

---



Pl.174 Condensation trails made by jet aircraft — training or testing flight. Passenger planes rarely deviate in their course except in a holding pattern.

151

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQPdZPYUcAA19Oc.jpg

2015-10-01T15:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649605194464923648

@TerminatorBarbi Those are colored smoke trails, and of course "chem"trails are possible. Crop dusting, for example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQPcdTAUkAA8i4y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQPcdTAUkAA8i4y.jpg

2015-10-01T04:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649439242989367300

@mikesara444 if it were invisible it would be equally harmful.

2015-09-30T23:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649370566248529922

@blazeart_ @OpChemtrails Contrails symbolize travel.

2015-09-30T22:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649346834293522432

@SteerMark @ImaBannedd @waterislifeh20 But how are they different from contrails?

2015-09-30T18:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649283474931740672

@gordonfullerton That's an aerodynamic contrail, often they form at much lower altitudes than exhaust contrails

---

2015-09-30T17:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649279840261177344

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 Do you know what a cloud is made of? Specifically cirrus clouds and contrails? What are they?

2015-09-30T17:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649279668504391680

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 I've answered it. Thy fly into a region of low humidity. Why WOULDN'T the trail stop if that happened?

2015-09-30T17:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649279467450449922

@truthchase01 @SteerMark @r_rycke Could you pick one piece of Dane's evidence you think is valid?

2015-09-30T17:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649279079573811201

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 They are at a different altitude, mile from the other contrail, and have just hit a region of low humidity

---

2015-09-30T17:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649271804700291072

@Womble67 Ian has a lot of odd theories. It's hard to see why people take him seriously.

2015-09-30T17:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649271442706726912

@Womble67 Unless they ask for it, I would not "recommend reading material". I'd just show them the relevant excerpt. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKs7JlU8AA1lEF.jpg

---

II.6.3 International Cloud Atlas, World Meteorological Organisation, 1975

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKs7JlU8AA1lEF.jpg

2015-09-30T17:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649270576633266178

@Womble67 You tend to see the more vocal ones persisting though. The ones that realize they

were wrong often just fall silent.

2015-09-30T17:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649270282784501764

@truthfinderr It's just lit by low sun. Sunrise or sunset.

2015-09-30T17:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649270137229574145

@Womble67 To be fair though, most people have no clue. The problem is they accept claims from "alternative" sources without question.

2015-09-30T17:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649269716146479104

@Er_Nope @Womble67 @dannodotcomm So when you show them that belief is false, then quite a lot of them will question the theory.

2015-09-30T17:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649269451917930496

@Er_Nope @Womble67 @dannodotcomm Sometimes, yes. But most chemtrail beliefs are founded on the misconception that contrails can't persist.-

---

2015-09-30T17:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649268382328602624

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 When you burn a gallon of kerosene, it makes about 1.3 gallons of water. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKqIvbUwAANMK9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKqIvbUwAANMK9.jpg

2015-09-30T16:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649264158291038208

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 Do you know how contrails form?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKmT79UYAAApEu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKmT79UYAAApEu.jpg
2015-09-30T16:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/649263724461559808
@akashicenergy @karentalford66 Why does "they fly into a region of lower humidity" not explain it? Perhaps there's a deeper misunderstanding

---

2015-09-30T16:28:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/649259614949777408
@akashicenergy @karentalford66 And how does this not answer it?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKiLdRUsAASvYs.jpg



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

UNUSUAL CLOUDS 139

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_H$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKiLdRUsAASvYs.jpg

2015-09-30T16:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649259353808183297

@akashicenergy @karentalford66 What do you disagree with about the start/stop contrails being the result of varying humidity?

2015-09-30T16:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649258465223929856

@karentalford66 And in what way do you think this differs from a contrail (and a contrail's shadow)? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKhHjxUAAELFTF.jpg



Pl.145 V Condensation trail from a jet plane that produces its shadow on a diffuse mass of ice crystals extending downward below the contrail and the sun.

Pl.146 The condensation trail of a jet plane that is growing where moist air is supersaturated with respect to ice. This shows that the contrail is located below the thin streamers of cirrus cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQKhHjxUAAELFTF.jpg

2015-09-30T16:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649257191845810176

@Womble67 @dannodotcomm @Er_Nope I find showing the old books works quite well. It can take some time to sink in.

https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

2015-09-30T14:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649236165992783872

@OpChemtrails More clouds!!!!

2015-09-30T14:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649235403468374016

@rockermom53 @davebrooker33 How so?

2015-09-30T14:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649235235624890368

@akashicenergy They are normal flight patterns. What exactly do you think is odd about them? See example:

https://www.metabunk.org/which-plane-is-higher-optical-illusions-in-assessing-relative-altitude.t

5772/

2015-09-30T14:50:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649234890848894976

@JudgeDan48 It's warm cloud seeding in Malaysia.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-photos-of-spraying-out-the-back-of-a-cargo-plane-malaysian-cloud-seeding-from-c-130.t3637/

2015-09-30T04:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649086233856053248

@akashicenergy Here, plane B is 4,000 feet lower than plane A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQIEbQDUwAAgUzj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQIEbQDUwAAgUzj.jpg

2015-09-30T04:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649086040641306625

@akashicenergy Have a look at this. Contrails that "cross" are never at the same altitude (or they might collide)

https://www.metabunk.org/which-plane-is-higher-optical-illusions-in-assessing-relative-altitude.t5772/

2015-09-30T04:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649085371813339136

@akashicenergy In most cases they do not. Where they do, they are at differing altitudes and one has hit a region of lower humidity.

2015-09-30T04:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649083939798282240

@akashicenergy I already did, and provided references. What more do you need? What do you disagree with?

2015-09-30T04:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649080951813836800

@akashicenergy What exactly do you feel is lacking research?

2015-09-30T04:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649080810163818496

@Jonstradamus @stevemakastevem @EvryLifeCounts @SciApologist @theRealLaRocca And how do you know this? What evidence exactly?

2015-09-30T04:37:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649080620119916548

@area51org Itâ□□s an Air France 747 flying away at right angles, 1000 feet higher https://www.metabunk.org/explained-ufo-captured-in-airplane-above-the-clouds-what-is-this-air-france-747.t6868/

2015-09-30T04:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649079331034124288

@akashicenergy I see no evidence that is being done.

2015-09-30T02:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649042996185337856

@mikesara444 they don't.

2015-09-30T01:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649034406154371074

@akashicenergy Most of those seem to not stop. However there's nothing to prevent a plane flying into a region of lower humidity.

2015-09-30T01:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649032501684531204

@akashicenergy Peterson Field Guide to Atmosphere, 1981.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHTiweVAAAx9Ur.jpg



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the

interacting fact
(2) the tempera
air by the engin
above the aircra
when the air is
that takes place
At one extrem
gently sinking o
humidity. In th
cient to produce
destroyed by m
passes and leave
disappear, this i
At the other e
rising and beco
addition of mois
produce saturat
persistent trail i
sign of an exter
particularly true

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHTiweVAAAx9Ur.jpg

2015-09-30T01:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649032101937979392

@akashicenergy Same reason the books say. Uneven levels of humidity in the air. Why do clouds have edges? Why not cover the whole sake?

2015-09-30T01:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649030800835506176

@akashicenergy Its basically the same reason clouds have edges. Regions of the sky have different humidity levels.

2015-09-30T01:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649029002401828864

@akashicenergy Contrails are clouds, are they not? Why would they not persist if conditions are right?

2015-09-30T01:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649028854984650752

@davebrooker33 @rockermom53 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHQTU4UEAAZibW.jpg

---

John Constable, *London from Hampstead, with a double rainbow*, a watercolour

Hampstead, London, England
AD 1831

A view from the artist's home

Constable and his wife Maria first moved to Hampstead in 1819, hoping the fresher air would ease her tuberculosis. In the summer of 1827, they moved to a house on Well Walk, Hampstead, that offered a splendid view over London. 'It is to my wife's heart's content', he wrote, '...our little drawing room commands a view unequalled in Europe... the dome of St Paul's in the air, realizes Michael Angelo's idea on seeing that on the Pantheon — "I will build such a thing in the sky"'.

This watercolour shows that view, with the dome of St Paul's Cathedral at the lower left, beyond the greenery of Hampstead Heath. The brooding purple sky, split by a shaft of light and a double rainbow, shows Constable's careful and specific observation of the weather. The drawing is precisely dated on the reverse 'between 6. & 7. oclock / Evening June 1831'.

Maria died in 1828. Constable, whose ideas about symbolism in nature were constantly changing, occasionally considered a rainbow to be a symbol of resurrection, a thought that may have been comforting as he contemplated his late wife's favourite view.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHQTU4UEAAZibW.jpg

2015-09-30T00:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649024944651808768

@akashicenergy I am interested in exactly why you think these are not contrails. Do you think contrails can't persist?

2015-09-30T00:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649024043673350144

@davebrooker33 @rockermom53 Which photo are you talking about here?

2015-09-30T00:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649023756837482496

@akashicenergy I find it interesting. I also collect old books on clouds. They are all pretty consistent about contrails persisting.

2015-09-30T00:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649023347423113216

@akashicenergy There's plenty more references, books dating back to the 1940s

---

2015-09-30T00:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649022221046947840

@davebrooker33 @rockermom53 I did not see any planes in your rainbow pics. Contrails form partial halos sometimes, as they are ice.

2015-09-30T00:43:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649021678094299136

@akashicenergy They appear to be typical contrails, based on reference books about clouds. (1972 again) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHJxtlVAAAc6cv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHJxtlVAAAc6cv.jpg

2015-09-30T00:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649021262522658816

@akashicenergy Like this one, from 1972: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHJZg_UcAE6Yy8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHJZg_UcAE6Yy8.jpg
2015-09-30T00:41:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/649021100190531584
@akashicenergy How do you think it differs from old pics?

2015-09-30T00:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/649019530631643136
Old book on clouds debunks chemtrail theory that contrails can't persist.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHHv2bUwAA7Rqa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHHv2bUwAA7Rqa.jpg

2015-09-30T00:34:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649019362507124736

@akashicenergy Wouldn't a bot be quicker to respond?

2015-09-30T00:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649019284715347968

@akashicenergy How do you know they are not contrails, like in the old books on clouds?

2015-09-30T00:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649019181522898944

@davebrooker33 @rockermom53 You get rainbows where there's water in the air, so you can get partial rainbows.

2015-09-30T00:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649015411065946112

@davebrooker33 @rockermom53 Rainbows form opposite the sun. You are seeing Halos, which form around the sun, from ice crystals.

2015-09-30T00:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/649014449806909440

@akashicenergy Contrails have been in the International Cloud Atlas for many years. 1975 version: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHDIxiVEAAvOdv.jpg

---

II.6.3

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQHDIxiVEAAvOdv.jpg

2015-09-29T20:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648950672776425472

@mrbangla @rockermom53 How does it differ from contrails evolving into cloud cover?

2015-09-29T20:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648950517134180353

@rockermom53 I'm 48. I actually see fewer rainbows now than when I was young. I grew up in England, where it rains a lot.

2015-09-29T18:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648923769889800194

@rockermom53

http://www.tate.org.uk/art/artworks/constable-hampstead-heath-with-a-rainbow-n01275

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFwut7UYAAkCCA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFwut7UYAAkCCA.jpg

2015-09-29T18:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648923440402046976

@rockermom53 So all those people who saw them, and all the old photos and paintings, etc, and the physics explanation? All lies?

2015-09-29T18:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648923222159847425

@rockermom53 None!? But people have been seeing double rainbows since the dawn of seeing. (Constable, 1812) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFwJG2VEAEZ6J_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFwJG2VEAEZ6J_.jpg

2015-09-29T18:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648922274905616384

@rockermom53 Well that seems pretty rare to me. What frequency would you expect, and why?

2015-09-29T18:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648921474720497664

@TimothyASharp Wonderful, thanks!

2015-09-29T18:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648920988621668352

@rockermom53 This year. 2015. How many have you seen?

2015-09-29T16:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648904531942752257

@SteerMark @Stu64Mart Lots of contrails.

2015-09-29T16:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648903505378430976

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher You leaving a conversation does not mean you "win". The questions stands: why is there a gap?

2015-09-29T16:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648903233306521600

@rockermom53 In 1935, Popular mechanics described double rainbows as "ordinary".

https://books.google.com/books?id=xd0DAAAAMBAJ&lpg=PT20&dq=double%20rainbow&pg=PT20#v=onepage&q=double%20rainbow&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFeAVZVAAEOVRs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFeAVZVAAEOVRs.jpg

2015-09-29T16:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648902282558484480

@rockermom53 I remember them as a kid. Never been common. How many have you seen this year?

2015-09-29T16:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648902064366579712

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher So a "real" scientist is one who questions mainstream science on climate change?

2015-09-29T16:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648901118794289152

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher There are millions of scientists. Are they all fake?

2015-09-29T16:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648900747187326976

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher Can you give an example of a real scientist?

2015-09-29T16:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648900531683991552

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher So why is it invisible, and then visible?

2015-09-29T16:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648900192557662208

.@TimothyASharp Can you fix the composition of the crust at http://www.space.com/17777-what-is-earth-made-of.html It's incredibly wrong, and shows up as a Google answer!

2015-09-29T16:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648899415189622784

@italiadeidolori @davebrooker33 @MockWest_ @SteerMark Did you read the NASA article you linked to? http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/Aerosols/page3.php

---

2015-09-29T16:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648899078689005568

@GrowOttawa @ronfinley Except for the 7% of the Earth's crush that is aluminum. The third most common element. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abundance_of_elements_in_Earth%27s_crust

---

2015-09-29T16:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648898422204858368

.@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher It's a VERY simple question. How can coal fly ash be invisible, and then visible?

2015-09-29T16:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648897721764585472

@MikeLeePearl He also thinks that modern planes are incapable of making ANY contrails, so even short trails are "chemtrails". Non-science.

2015-09-29T16:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648897528633671680

@MikeLeePearl while he simultaneously thinks the trails are blocking the sun, leading to vitamin D deficiency (caused by lack of UVB)

2015-09-29T16:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648897262135975936

@MikeLeePearl The article would have been better if it covered some of the bunk Dane believes, like fatally high levels of UVB burning trees

---

2015-09-29T16:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648896838494486528

@MikeLeePearl That video, Dane thinks it's "100% proof!", yet it's just just an aerodynamic contrail.

2015-09-29T16:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648896126809178112

@Enkidu_G @ScotChemtrails @Chemwatcher If so, then why is there a gap behind the engine?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFXlCzVAAARVy9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CQFXlCzVAAARVy9.jpg
2015-09-29T16:01:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648890285125169152
@enlightened600 They say it is contrails, which does match the description in old books. Have you seen this? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-09-29T15:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648885133999755264
@davebrooker33 @MockWest_ @SteerMark Right, they are persistent contrails, not chemtrails. At least that's what they look like and act like.

2015-09-29T15:32:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648883064471470080
@enlightened600 What is "it"? And what's the evidence of "it" happening right now? You think those white lines are biological weapon tests?

2015-09-29T15:31:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648882728197337088
@rockermom53 Nothing has changed. How do you know they are "not rare any longer?" have you been counting?

2015-09-29T15:30:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648882430452072448
@rockermom53 There's no clouds that did not occur before. There's one new sub classification, but the clouds occurred before. Jut a new name

---

2015-09-29T04:16:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/648712918582759424
@rockermom53 @mrbangla @Oswald1160 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick My point: "such

clouds were uncategorizable until recently." is wrong.

2015-09-29T00:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648652015795503105

@mrbangla @Oswald1160 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @rockermom53 The word "contrail" has been around since the 1940s.

2015-09-28T12:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648467721881915392

@N3phyllym 50-500mm Sigma on Canon 7D

2015-09-28T04:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648349441645129728

My eclipse photo, Shingle Springs, California http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP9mSI3UEAA2j08.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP9mSI3UEAA2j08.jpg

2015-09-27T21:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648248139560841216

Nice tool for visualizing what the eclipse will look like and when: http://www.timeanddate.com/eclipse/in/usa/sacramento

---

2015-09-27T21:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648247882038968320

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 Perhaps you you could point out SPECIFICALLY what you are right about CTs?

2015-09-27T21:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648246533880610816

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 I'm facing it head on, right here. You are just wrong.

2015-09-27T21:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648245576308424704

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 So why show pics of what looks like contrails?

2015-09-27T21:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648245312465694720

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 I wrote an article on the Cohen quote here:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-others-are-engaging-even-in-an-eco-type-of-terrorism.t159/

2015-09-27T20:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648230946810957825

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 Isn't it true that contrails form under conditions that vary with altitude?

2015-09-27T20:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648230696054472704

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 I'm very much in favor of truth, which is why I focus on verifiable facts

---

2015-09-27T19:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648222636669927424

@Oswald1160 @Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @rockermom53 At some point the contrails conditions stop. Plane can be too high OR low

---

2015-09-27T19:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648220772910010368

@endcomputed How do you know that?

2015-09-27T19:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648216427749478401

@endcomputed "claims" and "shows" are two different things. High bypass engines actually leave MORE contrails, due to cooler exhaust.

2015-09-27T19:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/648216114019762176

A rare parhelic circle halo intersects the sun and a more common 22Â° Halo. From Shingle Springs, CA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP7s96cUEAAnQjF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP7s96cUEAAnQjF.jpg

2015-09-26T16:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647813389670977536

@Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @rockermom53 There you go then, different altitudes, different conditions.

2015-09-26T16:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647811633213894657

@Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @rockermom53 It's very hard to judge altitude visually. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP19JadVEAAwCeC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP19JadVEAAwCeC.jpg

2015-09-26T16:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647809970688299008

@Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @rockermom53 They are at different altitudes. http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP17mn8UkAIGf_Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CP17mn8UkAIGf_Y.jpg
2015-09-25T23:13:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647549503877156864
@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 What technology? This is a smoke screen, unrelated to geoengineering.

2015-09-25T23:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647549214671507456
@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 Yes, WWII was 1939-45, this film is from 1923.

2015-09-25T21:41:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647526293051871232
@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick These aren't "debate points", just responses to points y'all keep raising. Answer doesn't change

---

2015-09-25T19:22:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647491382500704256
@vmcmahon @kstaubin Of course it's possible. You just have to get lucky.

2015-09-25T18:47:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647482536738754560
@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 And if it's been around for decades, why do you think it is odd?

2015-09-25T18:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/647482301929013249

@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @mrbangla @rockermom53 That's actually from 1923. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-amazing-footage-of-wwii-chemtrail-experiments.t369/

2015-09-25T16:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647442772534779904

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick That 144 patent is just for a towed target. And why would a super secret plot be patented anyway?

2015-09-25T16:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647441053910364163

@TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @Airahairick @rockermom53 It's a genuine 1989 photo. Lots of traffic over Shasta.

2015-09-25T16:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647440561754865666

@vmcmahon @kstaubin Saw this A380 at 27,000 yesterday. Very visible to the naked eye. (Aerodynamic contrail) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPwrr0OVAAAwu3X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPwrr0OVAAAwu3X.jpg

2015-09-25T15:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647438615660769281

@TerminatorBarbi @mrbangla @Airahairick @rockermom53 Here's contrails over Shasta in 1989. https://www.flickr.com/photos/taylorsherman/116270547/sizes/o/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPwp6TYUkAA4eNi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPwp6TYUkAA4eNi.jpg

2015-09-25T15:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647437850267422720

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick But if it doesn't mean ANYTHING, then why are you presenting them as evidence?

2015-09-25T15:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647437644683608064

@SteerMark Mostly just clouds. Most persistent contrail though are NOT aerodynamic. They are exhaust.

2015-09-24T20:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647142062505127936

@SteerMark Aerodynamic contrails.

2015-09-24T20:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647139754652209152

@SteerMark How does a single set of ripples form over water? Why can't it intersect with another set? And how exactly could HAARP do it?

2015-09-24T19:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647137042011287552

@SteerMark Not if there are some mountains nearby :) But ripple happen over sea too, like lee waves

---

2015-09-24T17:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647100624320921600

@SteerMark Link to HD version: https://www.metabunk.org/sk/IMG_6629.JPG

2015-09-24T17:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647100330749001729

@SteerMark Heres some from 32 years ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPr2UjdUwAAq5lL.jpg



8.1 A stone's throw. (a) The single initial pulse when a stone is thrown into water is accompanied only by small disturbances due to splashes, except at the centre.
(b) After a few seconds the single pulse has spread out into a succession of twelve or more wave crests. This is dispersion, caused by the greater speed of the longer wavelength components.

All this means that, for example, the daytime heating over Spain or North America causes an outward-moving pressure wave which displaces the air outwards across the coast. This lowers the density of the air over the warmed land. The waves, travelling at 1,100kmh (684mph), are mostly well out to sea by midday. As they pass they do not have any observable effect and the change in density is confined to the originally heated air.

THE CONVECTION WAVE

Having got the air heated and its density reduced with no apparent motion, the convection starts. Cumulus clouds, thunderstorms, sea breeze fronts, and all the meteorological phenomena which result from hot air rising may be seen. But these do not directly involve wave motion, so what is that second kind of wave – the slow-moving ones produced by the force of gravity – which insists that a stratified atmosphere should return towards its equilibrium position when disturbed from it?

INTERNAL GRAVITY WAVES

Most of the time, in most places, most of the air is stably stratified, so it is capable of transmitting internal gravity waves. These are distinct from external waves which depend on the difference of density between the atmosphere and outer space or, in the case of water waves, on the difference in density between the water and the air above. Internal waves depend on the density differences between adjacent layers within the air. They travel much more slowly, at speeds comparable with typical wind speeds. Because they are very dispersive they are easily observable only when one wavelength has been picked out specially. In the case of lee waves (see Chapter 9) that one wavelength is the one which travels through the air with the speed of the wind but in the opposite direction, so that it becomes a train of standing waves, very often with stationary wave clouds in the wave crests.
When strong convection develops over land a wave causing the air to sink spreads out into the neighbouring sea and evaporates the cloud there. This is not a simple process because it sometimes produces what looks like a single fairly concentrated downward pulse. At the same time a sea breeze front moves inland and the phenomenon has the appearance of an inverted version of that, moving out to sea.
A most unusual form of pulse has been studied in Australia. The sea breeze on the east coast of Queensland seems to surmount the mountains of the York Peninsula, and as it descends the western slopes it encounters the breeze from the western coast. It then sends a pulse westwards through the air which, surprisingly, has remarkable non-dispersive properties and travels many hundreds of kilometres towards Tennant's Creek in the Northern Territory. There it is called the Morning Glory. Other cases have been seen in different parts of Australia. It seems unlikely that a similar phenomenon will not soon be found elsewhere.

REFLECTED MOUNTAIN WAVES

Light and electromagnetic waves are not the only waves in the atmosphere which undergo reflection. Like water waves reflected at a bank, or sound echoed off a wall, the physical barrier of cliffs and mountains reflects pulses when a new airmass is impeded by them.
The reflected waves are visible in the clouds when the structure of the airmass is such as to trap the waves like lee waves. This may be very common because many lee waves are trapped by the wind profile in which the velocity increases with height. But the problem arises in the stage before the lee wave train has become set up as standing waves. Thus a cold shallow airmass flowing up against a barrier which it is not going to flow over the top of will be subject to oscillations which will send a pulse back upwind. The duration of the waves may be short because they will travel away and be dispersed as the flow settles down. Their existence is therefore less likely to be seen on satellite pictures than the standing waves which remain in the same place for many hours.
While good examples are rare they are not difficult to recognise (see 8.2), and they usually consist of rings of waves appearing to radiate from the reflecting barriers. Cases have been observed in the Bay of Biscay due to reflections from the cliffs of Brittany when air has been streaming from the Atlantic.

142

▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.
Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

143

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPr2UjdUwAAq5lL.jpg

2015-09-24T17:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647099768779374593

@SteerMark Sure it does. There's old satellite photos showing this. And why would it NOT happen, needs two natural sources or reflection

---

2015-09-24T17:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647097388084031488

@the_author_ That must be true for at least one value of X :)

2015-09-24T17:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647097128204922880

@digitalErmit Here's an image from 30 years ago. The clouds are the same now as then.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPrzaJFUcAA_7QW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPrzaJFUcAA_7QW.jpg

2015-09-24T17:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647095332816289794

@digitalErmit Because they have always appeared like that, and no meteorologist has ever said they were not normal.

2015-09-24T17:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647093579265904645

@SteerMark Clouds form in ripple patterns sometime, and they always have. Why do you think they wouldn't?

2015-09-24T16:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647093113916256256

@digitalErmit Why do you think they are not normal clouds?

2015-09-24T15:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647065116224024578

@digitalErmit @SteerMark @WhiteSkyBlues @climateviewer They are just clouds. I see nothing unusual about them.

2015-09-24T11:27:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/647009506887491584

@digitalErmit @SteerMark @WhiteSkyBlues @climateviewer Which jets?

2015-09-24T00:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646838932320088065

@allen_osuno1 Because geoengineering isn't happening (yet) but global warming is happening.

2015-09-23T23:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646825229650542592

@tiffanycameille @webbery Indeed! I had a haircut and made the top of my new dining table. And you?

2015-09-23T23:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646824863592660992

@vmcmahon @kstaubin What plane size? Easier to spot a 777 than an A320

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPn7yQvUAAAGUXy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPn7yQvUAAAGUXy.jpg

2015-09-23T19:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646773740722720772

@SteerMark So let's look at this one a bit more. Do you actually think this is a jet spraying?

2015-09-23T19:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646772649490681856

@SteerMark She gives no actual evidence, and says I'm a shill.

2015-09-23T19:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646772545987842052

@SteerMark he's talking about sounding rockets at the edge of space. Nothing new.

2015-09-23T19:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646772444728983552

@SteerMark It's an aerodynamic contrail.

2015-09-23T17:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646742757348896768

@SteerMark @davebrooker33 Do you understand the point? The things you post as evidence have non-chemtrail explanations. So are not evidence

---

2015-09-23T15:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646705971163631616

@vmcmahon @kstaubin They are very hard to spot, that's for sure. Empty field myopia, etc.

You basically have lay down, look straight up.

2015-09-23T15:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646705688635273216

@davebrooker33 @SteerMark Posting photos of contrails as evidence of chemtrails is like posting photos of horses as evidence of unicorns.

2015-09-23T01:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646489773293436928

@vmcmahon @kstaubin ... it depends on the size of the plane, the horizontal distance/angle, and the current atmospheric conditions.

2015-09-23T01:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646489629709852672

@vmcmahon @kstaubin And I'd disagree about planes being invisible above some hard limit. They get progressively harder to see sure, but ....

2015-09-23T00:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646488599622344704

@vmcmahon @kstaubin The lower altitude trails are usually persistent aerodynamic trails. See: https://www.metabunk.org/persistent-cumuliform-contrails-at-25-000-feet-over-california.t6299/

2015-09-22T21:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646439790720630784

@CraigAllan_Esq @JPetrides @adamcurry Me too.

2015-09-22T21:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646439564026908672

@kstaubin @vmcmahon And they can be quite a bit lower, right down to ground level if it's cold enough. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xRPq61oTYOs

---

2015-09-22T21:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646439347680448512

@kstaubin @vmcmahon Not necessarily. Most of the time yes, but it depends on temperature, and other conditions. I see them at 25,000 here.

2015-09-22T21:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646438796444069888

@CraigAllan_Esq @JPetrides @adamcurry It depends on the weather. Sometimes there's not enough humidity. Kind of like days with no clouds.

2015-09-22T21:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646438492487053312

@SteerMark @andreafed @stewartt1982 I think you might have missed the point of my "photos of horses as evidence of unicorns" image?

2015-09-22T17:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646377635258339329

@andreafed @stewartt1982 @SteerMark That's a photo of what looks like contrails. Nothing to do with fireworks.

2015-09-22T15:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646339222090084353

@SteerMark HAARP is an ionospheric heater, way above where any plane can fly.

2015-09-22T12:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646300005741936640

@SteerMark What I'm comparing is the type of evidence. I show you horses. You show me ballast barrels. Neither are evidence of anything.

2015-09-22T04:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646177085245943808

@SteerMark Exactly. It does not matter if you have a lot of evidence if it's based on a misunderstanding.

2015-09-22T01:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646139969166176257

@andreafed @SteerMark But are they wrong?

2015-09-22T01:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646139810847940609

@SteerMark And yet it's 100% true. That is one source. Not a very big one, unless you attend a fireworks show.

2015-09-21T23:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/646101706577571841

.@SteerMark There are thousands of pieces of evidence for unicorns too. Quantity is not quality.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPdqCEbU8AAPGiC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPdqCEbU8AAPGiC.jpg

2015-09-20T18:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645666073476362240

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 What the books say about contrails has been pretty much unchanged for 70+ years. Check out some old books.

2015-09-20T18:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645660345244082176

Interesting contrail lit by low sun, likely FedEx jet on the way to Memphis.
https://www.metabunk.org/snowflake-arizona-broken-sunrise-contrail-likely-fedex-806.t6844/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPXYjShUwAAXBvB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPXYjShUwAAXBvB.jpg
2015-09-19T19:50:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/645324066270810112
@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 Hair sampling is a bad way to test for aluminum. But why would that show where the metals are coming from?

2015-09-19T18:10:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/645298953987080192
@KindOrgone That video shows noraml engine exhaust, including what look like APU exhaust, or possibly galley drains.

2015-09-19T18:05:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/645297703144943616
@stewartt1982 Very limited, poor quality snow that melts quickly. . It's for the HDHR event, here's Sept 2012. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VzbjTt3-kI4

2015-09-19T17:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/645293366888894466
Weather modification at Bear Mountain http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPSKMPMUAAAg9EJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPSKMPMUAAAg9EJ.jpg

2015-09-19T16:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645267653574037504

@ChemProfCramer Thanks, sounds like it's a football flyover, with a bit of smoke just for visuals.

2015-09-19T15:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645265504848887809

@OMGFacts @RichardWiseman Looks more like an analog glitch.

2015-09-19T15:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645264149904125953

@ChemProfCramer Was this just now?

2015-09-19T15:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645263511006769152

@Skymoosie @rosevine3 No, her whistleblowing was nothing to do with chemtrails, she said. https://www.metabunk.org/kristen-meghan-former-us-air-force-whistle-blower.t1066/page-2#post-24804 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPRvs7kUEAAJUV3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPRvs7kUEAAJUV3.jpg

2015-09-19T15:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645262760880685056

@trutherbotgreen @trutherb0tJOKER No it doesn't. They just talk about the future possibility.

2015-09-19T15:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645262382994853888

@ChemProfCramer Skywriter?

2015-09-19T15:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645260654903869440

.@Skymoosie High bypass engines actually make MORE contrails than older engined.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CPRtEQzU8AAEAs2.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CPRtEQzU8AAEAs2.png

2015-09-19T15:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/645257647780794368

@KindOrgone No, I'd say it's an aerodynamic contrail. But I'd have to see a pic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPRqZunUwAEr8Ld.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPRqZunUwAEr8Ld.jpg

2015-09-18T18:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644934397892780032

Want to know if contrails are likely today? Check the contrail forecast.

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-forecast/ (not a lot today)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPNESfQUwAATsKi.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPNESfQUwAATsKi.png

2015-09-18T18:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644934083055714304

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 There's a better contrail forecast here:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-forecast/

2015-09-18T17:19:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644923836786307072

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 Here red line is an accurate sounding. Blue and Green are typical cheap radiosondes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPM6hQDUcAAESz3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPM6hQDUcAAESz3.jpg

2015-09-18T17:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644923307611959296

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 However humidity readings are generally not accurate below

-40 degrees. So raw soundings are not useful.

2015-09-18T17:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644923151793557504

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 12Z mean 1200 "Zulu" time, which is GMT/UTC. Florida is UTC-5 in the summer. So 12Z is the 7AM sounding.

2015-09-18T15:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644892165059973121

@tiffanycameille @webbery Although technically it's not THE 46 degree halo, the lower arc is the circumhorizon arc, at about the same angle.

2015-09-18T09:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644801701107466240

@tiffanycameille @webbery yes, see https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circumhorizontal_arc

---

2015-09-18T04:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644729281973653504

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 I did. He just thinks that conditions were not right for contrail formation. Not that it's impossible.

2015-09-17T19:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644591154407718912

@Lnnie I think the tests are just showing background levels of aluminum. Dirt in California is 10% aluminum.

2015-09-17T18:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644578225486585856

@Lnnie I think what you are seeing are just contrails, as described in this 1981 book: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPIAcSOU8AAZM-j.jpg

---



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPIAcSOU8AAZM-j.jpg
2015-09-17T17:53:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644569808613150720
@BrandonDoughan @Mistress_Jan I wrote up a little explanation here:
https://www.metabunk.org/explained-strange-clouds-over-costa-rica-cumulonimbus-pileus-iridescence.t6830/

2015-09-17T17:21:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644561856670081025
@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 He even lists the requirements (the altitude one is not needed, but otherwise close) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPHxj2PUEAAakfG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPHxj2PUEAAakfG.jpg
2015-09-17T17:18:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644561195576479744
@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 In that video he actually says that contrails CAN persist, if the conditions are right. Directly contradicts you

---

2015-09-17T16:51:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644554370479190016
@BrandonDoughan @Mistress_Jan
https://www.google.com/search?q=cumulonimbus++cap+iridescence&num=50&es_sm=119&biw=1365&bih=911&source=lnms&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPHqxpdUAAAt4jJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPHqxpdUAAAt4jJ.jpg

2015-09-17T16:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644553992098480128

@BrandonDoughan @Mistress_Jan Looks like a cumulonimbus cloud with some iridescence in the Pileus (cap) . http://www.atoptics.co.uk/droplets/iridim6.htm

---

2015-09-17T16:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644545654056837121

@vvalene @SteerMark @jelo1317 @OpChemtrails @courtskinz @selinasorrels Nobody, I'm retired. Co-founded Neversoft. Got a lot of free time.

2015-09-17T16:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644545235452715008

@OpChemtrails @SteerMark @jelo1317 @vvalene @selinasorrels @courtskinz Yes they are. Fascinating clouds.

2015-09-17T01:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644328099836899328

.@TheRealNalley This is what the disclosure project boils down to. Wishful thinking and self-delusion. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPEcz4SUwAApv1N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPEcz4SUwAApv1N.jpg

2015-09-17T01:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644327597489283072

@TheRealNalley "how Remote Viewing works using the mindâ□□s ability to connect to Cosmic Consciousness"

http://www.siriusdisclosure.com/remote-viewing-cosmic-consciousness-and-contact-a-four-hour-training-intensive-with-dr-steven-greer/

2015-09-17T01:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644326924056006656

@TheRealNalley Asking $6.3 million to develop zero point energy based on wishful thinking.

http://www.siriusdisclosure.com/donate/

2015-09-17T01:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644326455791349760

@TheRealNalley I can see no reason to investigate more. There's just so much bunk here:

http://www.siriusdisclosure.com/

2015-09-17T01:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644325699612901376

@TheRealNalley I trust actual evidence. I've looked into DP. It's just unsustantiated claims and some unexplained sightings.

2015-09-17T01:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644325137869725696

@TheRealNalley Have you ever thought about why DP does not get any traction, if the evidence is so solid? Is the world not interested?

2015-09-17T01:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324941823774720

@TheRealNalley Tapes of what? Themselves making the claim that they covered something up?

2015-09-17T01:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324849398104064

@TheRealNalley Who does?

2015-09-17T01:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324456303759360

@TheRealNalley And do they have any evidence of this?

2015-09-17T01:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324343359475712

@TheRealNalley Where did you see it?

2015-09-17T01:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324293170458625

@TheRealNalley No they don't. See, I can just make random claims with no evidence too.

2015-09-17T01:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324190682611713

@TheRealNalley The disclosure project is basically some people who are convinced the government is covering up something about UFOs.

2015-09-17T01:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644324004392669184

@TheRealNalley You said you had bodies. You mean you believe the government has them, because someone said somethings. So you have nothing.

2015-09-17T01:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644323822418571264

@TheRealNalley Why? Lots of people live perfectly fine lives while believing in very odd things. Some people believe in guardian angels

---

2015-09-17T01:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644323477462224896

@TheRealNalley No, you don't. You have what looks like fake video and photos of bodies. Why not produce the body (or a bit of it)?

2015-09-17T01:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644322987366182912

@TheRealNalley It's not quantity of evidence that is lacking, it's QUALITY. It's a mountain of dubious anecdotes.

2015-09-17T01:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644322761804910593

@TheRealNalley Lots of people believe things without doubt. I prefer to look at the evidence than go by their assertions.

2015-09-17T01:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644319930402275328

@TheRealNalley A boilerplate response to the request. Where are you going with this?

2015-09-17T01:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644319676193882112

@TheRealNalley It's a REQUEST for documents. It's not evidence, the Gov is not saying ETs are real. Just some UFO enthusiasts like Mitchell

---

2015-09-17T01:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644319260467048449

@FedUPwGovtLies You might want to check: http://contrailscience.com/

2015-09-17T01:16:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644319050500149248

@TheRealNalley A documents that contains a request, no actual information.

2015-09-17T00:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644314115217842176

@TheRealNalley What, it's basically a FOIA request. What is this evidence of?

2015-09-17T00:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644313518011867136

@TheRealNalley Sorry, you're going to have to be a bit more specific. I'm not going to trawl though mountains of anecdotes

---

2015-09-17T00:53:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644313137051598848

@weathercaster Photo is of 2009 Samoa earthquake, please delete.

2015-09-17T00:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644312701112487936

@TheRealNalley Which bit of which one do you think is good evidence?

2015-09-17T00:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644311961719537664

@TheRealNalley Which bit?

2015-09-17T00:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644311831234764800

@FedUPwGovtLies It' an aerodynamic contrail.

2015-09-17T00:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644311328455155712

@TheRealNalley It's an old video, it keeps getting recycled. Here it is two years ago. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-nasa-scientist-admitting-chemtrails-for-decades-actually-sounding-rockets.t2009/

2015-09-17T00:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644310339090157568

@TheRealNalley He's just talking about sounding rockets in upper atmosphere. Nothing to do with trails from planes.

2015-09-16T23:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644295860197568513

@SteerMark @jelo1317 @vvalene @OpChemtrails @courtskinz Crepuscular rays aren't new, see 1845

https://books.google.com/books?id=abhjAAAAcAAJ&dq=crepuscular%20rays&pg=PA415#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPD_b_vUkAAzr2c.jpg

---

Barometric and thermometric measurements cannot lead to any result; for we neither know the laws of the decrease of temperature at a great height, nor the nature of the aërial particles, subjected at the same time to a feeble pressure and a very great degree of cold. All, therefore, that has been said respecting the height of the atmospheres is still subject to doubt; but we may affirm that, at between fifteen and twenty kilometres above the surface of the earth, the density of the atmosphere is almost nothing (*vide* Note G).

CREPUSCULAR RAYS.—When a cloud intercepts the light of a part of the atmosphere, it projects a shade that obscures a part of the sky. In the fine days of summer, if a few *cumuli* are floating in the sky, we may follow the shade they cast to a great distance. The converse phenomenon is much more common. Indeed, when a great portion of the sky is covered with clouds, especially by *cumulo-stratus*, interrupted by light spots, then the sun shines through, and the air, the vesicular vapour, dust, and other bodies, floating in the atmosphere, seem rays with various degrees of luminosity. If the sun is not very high above the horizon, these rays come from the sun; if, on the contrary, it is about to set, they rise in the atmosphere under the form of arcs of great circles, which would cut each other in a point situated below the horizon, and on the right line, that joins the centre of the sun and the eye of the observer. These rays are parallel to each other; and their apparent curvature, as well as their divergence in the neighbourhood of the zenith, are merely a consequence of the effects of perspective; the more distant they are from us, the less does their deviation seem, because the visual angle becomes less: it is a similar illusion to that which we experience in an avenue bordered by two parallel rows of trees, which yet seem to approach at the farther end.*

It is commonly said that crepuscular rays announce rain, an opinion which is not devoid of reason. This phenomenon occurs for the most part when the sun is near the horizon; but, on fine days, the clouds have there disap-

* Readers, who are interested in this subject, will find, in the *Annales de Chimie et de Physique*, t. lxx. p. 122, a memoir by T. NECKER DE SAUSSURE, in which this philosopher treats in detail the phenomena of crepuscular rays, and of the second rose colouring of the snowy peaks of Switzerland. His explanation of crepuscular rays is the same as that of M. KAEMTZ; but

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPD_b_vUkAAzr2c.jpg
2015-09-16T20:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644250820901363713
@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 For a quick read, I'd recommend the short chapter on contrails in this 1981 book.
https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

2015-09-16T20:44:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644250478688120836
@SteerMark @jelo1317 @vvalene @OpChemtrails @courtskinz What have crepuscular rays got to do with SRM?

2015-09-16T20:43:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644250319698853890
@SteerMark @jelo1317 @vvalene @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @courtskinz Fallstreak clouds.

2015-09-16T20:30:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644246923063390208
@jelo1317 @vvalene @OpChemtrails @SteerMark @selinasorrels @courtskinz No different to contrails in the 1980s
https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

2015-09-16T20:26:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/644245950756556800
@OpChemtrails @Justtweet1505 Looks like a contrail.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPDSRHcVEAAZK0V.jpg



Pl.146 The condensation trail of a jet plane that is growing where moist air is supersaturated with respect to ice. This shows that the contrail is located below the thin streamers of cirrus cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPDSRHcVEAAZK0V.jpg
2015-09-16T20:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644245541010870272

.@global_skywatch Those are both contrails. Sometimes they are long, sometimes short.

https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPDRycOUcAA7K1L.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CPDRycOUcAA7K1L.jpg

2015-09-16T18:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644211421274730496

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 But the examples you just gave were all cloud seeding. The lines in the sky look like contrails

---

2015-09-16T16:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644189603570692100

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 Yes, cloud seeding. What of it? It has been done openly since the 1950s.

2015-09-16T15:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644172603880423424

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 What about it?

2015-09-16T13:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644147320083337216

@CitizenAssange @SteerMark @simonconroy @charliebadger99 The daisy mutation is called "Fasciation", not that unusual.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/mutant-daisies-fukushima_55b13010e4b0a9b94853fb7b

---

2015-09-16T13:16:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/644137857507041280

@rat1949lab199 These books are original copies, from 40+ years ago.

2015-09-16T02:12:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643970640773541888

@rat1949lab199 I have, I've read several book son clouds and weather. They say contrails can persist and spread. So why do you think not?

2015-09-16T01:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643961409253060608

@rat1949lab199 Why do you think contrails always disappear quickly? What are you basing that on?

2015-09-16T01:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643957277720862720

@rat1949lab199 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @Chemtrails_818 Nobody says contrails are natural. They are essentially man-made clouds.

2015-09-15T22:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643916737717473280

@simonconroy @SteerMark @CitizenAssange @charliebadger99 What, because of the really low UV levels?

2015-09-15T22:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643910902501130241

@SteerMark @simonconroy @CitizenAssange @charliebadger99 So where are all the reports of mass plan die-offs in your areas?

2015-09-15T22:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643910663652282368

@SteerMark @simonconroy @CitizenAssange @charliebadger99 That wasn't even at Fukushima. A common phemonema. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fasciation

---

2015-09-15T22:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643909669631606784

@SteerMark @simonconroy @CitizenAssange @charliebadger99 Not dismissing it. There's lot of reasons why plants can get sick. Drought for one.

2015-09-15T21:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643905759609118720

@SteerMark @simonconroy @CitizenAssange @charliebadger99 Plants have always died. More so in a drought.

2015-09-15T19:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643866011377213440

@ilyail3 @JudgeDan48 They do discuss "getting them on the ground", for which this weapon would work. Dangerous jokes, if jokes at all.

2015-09-15T18:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643858619537203200

Chemtrail theorists discussing how to get rocket launchers, shoot down planes.

https://www.facebook.com/groups/globalskywatch/permalink/10156077601035302/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO9xIaVWoAAxsWr.jpg



Charles Coleman-mends shared Gallerie Coleman-mends's photo.

1 hr

We could also go GTA style on them



Gallerie Coleman-mends

GTA! 😎

Like · Comment · Share

57 people like this.



Rudi Božič Ha,ha,ha,....
See Translation
Like · Reply · 1 · 1 hr



Matthew Dry Wall Be nice to get them on the ground while refueling or gearing up
Like · Reply · 4 · 1 hr

1 Reply



Pamela Lanker Miller lol
Like · Reply · 1 · 52 mins



Tim Boyd where can i get one of those?
Like · Reply · 1 · 44 mins



Paul Oswald Gonzalez



Like · Reply · 31 mins



Paul Oswald Gonzalez



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO9xIaVWoAAxsWr.jpg
2015-09-15T17:40:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643841746653151232
What happened at the Pentagon on 9/11. Full details here:
http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf @iain39
@NaqviSaiyed @Clos3stToTh3Sun @eddie1971nyc

2015-09-15T16:28:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643823802820857856
@Daholduk @SteerMark @charliebadger99 @simonconroy Tipping points? How do you tip something that ranges from 2% to 15% by adding 0.000001%

2015-09-15T16:27:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643823492874416128
@simonconroy @CitizenAssange @SteerMark @charliebadger99 So plants will grow better in acidic soil.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.t341/

2015-09-15T16:26:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643823222119501824
@rat1949lab199 @SteerMark @Chemtrails_818 They are not "always there", so no.

2015-09-15T05:41:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643660870422138880
@SteerMark Listen to what he is saying.

2015-09-15T05:40:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643660553752154112
@ThurstonHungerI No, mostly because it's not happening, but even if it was, aluminum oxide is not flammable.

2015-09-14T06:46:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643314879391096832
@RichardDawkins @vikasgorur Evidence only works if it has common ground to stand on. To persuade you need to understand.

2015-09-14T05:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643292081750863873
@rat1949lab199 @SteerMark @Chemtrails_818 why wouldn't you see contrails on TV? They are in the sky a lot.

2015-09-14T00:01:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643213010970898432
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy my British heritage:) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO0m0ifUYAAAm1V.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO0m0ifUYAAAm1V.jpg
2015-09-13T23:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643211538547892224
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy Civilized chats are the best kind. Often actually useful.

2015-09-13T23:42:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643208111403040768
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy doesn't what?

2015-09-13T23:32:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643205601862946816
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy soot is one, but mostly from ground based sources.

2015-09-13T23:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643205435864969216
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy there's a vast array of cloud condensation nuclei.

2015-09-13T23:20:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643202589882880000
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy yes

---

2015-09-13T23:16:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643201546692333568
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy jet exhaust does, regardless of if it makes a trail.

2015-09-13T23:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643201218379018240
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy yes, less evaporation from soil. Good video.

2015-09-13T23:10:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643200192087388160
@TchuTchx @Ox4dStormChaser @StormHour @gltopps13 @panorandApp "one source" is not all of it. 99.999% of Aluminum is from dirt.

2015-09-13T23:09:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643199839618990080
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy clouds ARE aerosols.

2015-09-13T22:22:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643188138622349312
@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy I'm good big with "no", unless you have refs.

2015-09-13T22:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643187754105331712

@stewartt1982 one bit at a time. :)

2015-09-13T22:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643186321691836417

@stewartt1982 but being crank never helps, one just needs to focus on results.

2015-09-13T22:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643185967352840192

@stewartt1982 I'm also just passing time waiting in a bar. :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO0OOP2VEAA-mbD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CO0OOP2VEAA-mbD.jpg

2015-09-13T22:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643185595716517888

@stewartt1982 @simonconroy @charliebadger99 @SteerMark HAARP is both spread out and tiny. https://www.metabunk.org/haarp-debunked-and-explained.t1650/

2015-09-13T21:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643182223596777473

@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy not a lot though, as they are higher that most rain clouds.

2015-09-13T21:58:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643182015357935616

@mikesara444 @Oswald1160 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy because they are clouds.

2015-09-13T21:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643181870302167040
@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @rockermom53 in always happy to have a reasonable discussion.

2015-09-13T21:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643181676907118596
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy so why do all the books from before then say otherwise?

2015-09-13T21:51:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643180183852154880
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy http://www.britannica.com/science/vapor-trail that's been the same since the 80s

---

2015-09-13T21:49:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643179811850944512
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy try described what they saw. Same with contrails. They persisted.

2015-09-13T21:45:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643178590742274050
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy no I don't. Not everyone does. But some do.

2015-09-13T21:43:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643178158875762688
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy not science books though.

2015-09-13T21:42:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643178007616602113
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy I did a survey, half the people remembered them persisting.

2015-09-13T21:40:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643177351656812544
@charliebadger99 @Oswald1160 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy yet books, and other people, say they did. What might explain that.

2015-09-13T21:39:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643177105757306880
@charliebadger99 @simonconroy @stewartt1982 @SteerMark the drought could be neither, as CA has droughts historically.

2015-09-13T21:34:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643175979981008896
@charliebadger99 @simonconroy @stewartt1982 @SteerMark no, weather modification is a very real thing, done openly since the 1950s

---

2015-09-13T21:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643175252378292224
@charliebadger99 @simonconroy @stewartt1982 @SteerMark then it's just weather modification.

2015-09-13T21:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643175089354108928
@charliebadger99 @simonconroy @stewartt1982 @SteerMark because the U.S. Historically dislikes international limitations on their warfare.

2015-09-13T21:29:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643174646657847296
@charliebadger99 @simonconroy @stewartt1982 @SteerMark to stop people using weather modification as a weapon.

2015-09-13T21:26:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643174005168435200
@simonconroy @charliebadger99 @stewartt1982 @SteerMark sounds like bad science fiction.

2015-09-13T21:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643167831790481408
@mikesara444 @charliebadger99 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy several days a month. But it varies by location.

2015-09-13T21:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643167550965022720
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy according to all the books on clouds it did

---

2015-09-13T21:00:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643167323184955392
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy unfortunately that's what contrails do sometimes

---

2015-09-13T20:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643166527827546112
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy sometimes they persist, as they always have

---

2015-09-13T20:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643166430964224000
@charliebadger99 @mikesara444 @SteerMark @stewartt1982 @simonconroy there has been no change

---

2015-09-13T20:56:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643166354539868160
@SteerMark @loulou34f look at heart health, cancers, stroke, all improving.

2015-09-13T20:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/643166239162896384
@SteerMark @loulou34f in general. A lot of the autism increase is just diagnostic.

2015-09-13T20:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643165520875753472

@mikesara444 @SteerMark @charliebadger99 @stewartt1982 @simonconroy contrails can, that's true. It's not deliberate.

2015-09-13T20:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643165292617531392

@loulou34f @SteerMark people you know are all getting older, so they get the problems of age.

2015-09-13T20:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643165132911042560

@loulou34f @SteerMark respiratory mortality has decreased over the last 20 years.

2015-09-13T20:47:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643164229235675136

@loulou34f @SteerMark always. Health in general is improving. Look at the statistics.

2015-09-13T20:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643163826116952064

@SteerMark @charliebadger99 @stewartt1982 @simonconroy those photos show tropospheric phenomena. Very different.

2015-09-13T20:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643163367465619456

@charliebadger99 @stewartt1982 @SteerMark @simonconroy not tropospheric weather though.

2015-09-13T20:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643162013019959296

@charliebadger99 @stewartt1982 @SteerMark @simonconroy ionospheric research. And it's not that much, a few megawatts

---

2015-09-13T19:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643151250112966656

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy no, you didn't. No actual HAARP scientist would say that, as it's groundless pseudoscience.

2015-09-13T19:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643150767600234496

@SteerMark @charliebadger99 @simonconroy nobody had ever denied cloud seeding, it been done openly since the 1950s

---

2015-09-13T19:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643149405877497857

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy I see no evidence of this. The drought is due to the winds.

2015-09-13T19:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643148738098151424

@SteerMark @charliebadger99 @simonconroy you know dirt us about 7% Aluminum, right?

Adding more would be undetectable.

2015-09-13T19:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643148494954336256

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy cloud seed is jus a nudge in precipitating. No cumulative effects.

2015-09-13T19:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643148335767924737

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy or rapidly attain a new equilibrium, only slightly different to before.

2015-09-13T19:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643146732348403712

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy cloud seeding is not deforestation.

2015-09-13T19:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643142027157213184

@SteerMark @simonconroy the points here are: it's not secret. It does not leave trails.

2015-09-13T19:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643141682251198464

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy it's more like blowing a fleck if dust in the general direction of a tiger

---

2015-09-13T19:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643137836485509120

@charliebadger99 @SteerMark @simonconroy geoengineering is to change climate, not weather.

2015-09-13T19:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643137563838910464

@SteerMark @simonconroy yes, cloud seeding is weather modification. Pretty much all wearer modification is cloud seeding. Synonymous.

2015-09-13T17:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643113853685895168

@rockermom53 @TchuTchx @loftyjester @Airahairick what's it like at 38,000 feet though? Certainly very cold.

2015-09-13T17:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643111685394337792

@rockermom53 @TchuTchx @loftyjester @Airahairick different altitudes have different conditions, especially temperature.

2015-09-13T17:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643111350596599808

@rockermom53 @TchuTchx @Airahairick and not the weather on the ground, the weather 7 miles up. -40 degree.

2015-09-13T17:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643111157583052800

@rockermom53 @TchuTchx @Airahairick planes fly the same routes every day. But weather changes daily. Contrails depend on the weather aloft

---

2015-09-13T17:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643109824541003776

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick problem is money and politics. Big energy is reluctant to cut CO2. Big wood keeps cutting

---

2015-09-13T17:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643109469174403072

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick sure, the ideal option is to reduce atmospheric CO2. Biosequestration would help.

2015-09-13T17:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643109152332517376

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick no, but people are certainly talking about it, researching possibilities.

2015-09-13T17:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643108871267971072

@rockermom53 @TchuTchx @loftyjester @Airahairick just different weather at flight altitudes.

2015-09-13T16:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643104253079220224

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick climate change is what happens when CO2 levels rise. Not planned, but still happening

---

2015-09-13T16:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643103911268605953

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick goengineering is the insurance plan in this analogy. The car crash is climate change.

2015-09-13T16:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643099842080731136

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick you don't anticipate crashing your car, but you still have insurance.

2015-09-13T16:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643099653534150656

@TchuTchx @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick because it might. They also waste a lot of money on nuclear war planning.

2015-09-13T16:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643098245313359872

@Airahairick @rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi Which programs? Cloud seeding isn't classified.

2015-09-13T16:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643095736008118273

@TchuTchx @TerminatorBarbi @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick I don't think so, but

it's good to be prepared.

2015-09-13T15:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643088572313006080

@Airahairick @rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi no. That was just Rosalind Peterson doing her talk at a conference

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.t3514/

2015-09-13T15:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643087755958861825

@TchuTchx @TerminatorBarbi @loftyjester @rockermom53 @Airahairick video about future regulation.

2015-09-13T15:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643087564795043840

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick no, there's a few. There salt water spraying, propane burners (aerial and ground based), rockets

---

2015-09-13T15:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643087189564358656

@andreafed @rockermom53 have you read any books on the subject of clouds?

2015-09-13T15:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643086817193930752

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick yes, and cloud edges are physical proof of this.

2015-09-13T15:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643086598385500161

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyz2TLVEAATwE8.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyz2TLVEAATwE8.jpg

2015-09-13T15:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643085783641882624

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick yes, but they only form where clouds can form. So they stop an start like clouds

---

2015-09-13T15:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643085523116953601

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick yes it does. Nobody has ever denied cloud seeng takes place. They even advertise it in the paper

---

2015-09-13T15:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643085110925877248

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyyf5nU8AA2htd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyyf5pUwAAbw0C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyyf5nU8AA2htd.jpg

2015-09-13T15:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643084450826289152

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick we do, but it requires existing rain clouds. You can't make rain out of no clouds. See that pic

---

2015-09-13T15:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643084128405975040

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick clouds have very well defined edges. That edge is the boundary of the humidity.

2015-09-13T15:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643083761798660096

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick yes, cloud seeding. Nobody denies cloud seeding.

2015-09-13T15:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643083561612939264

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick I've never denied cloud seeding. But cloud seeding does not leave visible trails.

2015-09-13T15:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643083356633067520

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick no, it's cloud seeding.

2015-09-13T15:25:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643083203125735424

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick yes , it's about cloud seeding. Not a secret.

2015-09-13T15:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643083000423444480

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick same reason clouds have edges. Humidity varies. Contrails reveal invisible clouds.

2015-09-13T15:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643082578983960576

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick how would that work? Would the U.S. sue France?

2015-09-13T15:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643082038308831232

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick yes they are, with cloud seeding. Been done for 50+ years, not a secret.

2015-09-13T15:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643081672464797697

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick like this

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyvXv3VEAADZ1r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COyvXv3VEAADZ1r.jpg

2015-09-13T15:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643081371221540864

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick because the trail is made of water. There's a gap while the water is initially gas.

2015-09-13T15:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643080625365213184

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick that would be my claim, yes. But purely based

on lack of evidence.

2015-09-13T15:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643080144240771072

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick and if it's such a vast secret then WHY would they patent it?

2015-09-13T15:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643079993707245570

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick read them, they are mostly weather modification or general spraying patents.

2015-09-13T15:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643079536792367104

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick but not if its not actually evidence, which is my point. There are patents for houses on the moon

---

2015-09-13T15:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643079172621905920

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick and how can aluminum oxide look like a contrail? Why is there a gap, or sometimes halos?

2015-09-13T15:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643078823211216896

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick but y'all claim they are different. How do you know, if they look the same?

2015-09-13T15:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643078529526075392

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick Patents don't mean something exists, or even works. There's patents for teleportation.

2015-09-13T14:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643076559138492416

@TerminatorBarbi @rockermom53 @Airahairick that's the fundamental problem though, as they look EXACTLY like contrails.

2015-09-13T14:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643075572654956544

@TerminatorBarbi @Airahairick @rockermom53 do you ever try tracking the planes with http://FlightRadar24.com?

2015-09-13T14:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643074745911504897

@rockermom53 @Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi no. What does "out of air moisture to react with?" mean?

2015-09-13T14:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643074365173555200

@rockermom53 @Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi contrails are clouds, look it up. They are

aerosols of ice crystals. Like cirrus clouds.

2015-09-13T14:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643073777438330880

@rockermom53 @Airahairick @TerminatorBarbi I don't understand the question.

2015-09-13T14:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643073281378004996

@Airahairick @rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi contrails are clouds. They can spread out if the conditions are right, like clouds sometimes do.

2015-09-13T14:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643072886199087104

@simonconroy China (like many countries) does cloud seeding. This is weather modification, not geoengineering. Done here since the '50s

---

2015-09-13T10:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/643015578764967936

@loulou34f @SteerMark yes, look it up. People are living longer.

2015-09-13T00:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642859507853361152

@mikesara444 I'm not sure what you are asking.

2015-09-13T00:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642857446923374592

@andreafed @rockermom53 I am indeed. If I see some bunk, I try to let people know it is bunk, by using science.

2015-09-13T00:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642857178945073152

@mikesara444 @SteerMark see here. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/TF.asp?id=275&tid=50 same with most crystal elements.

2015-09-13T00:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642856475178614788

@mikesara444 @SteerMark It's in the food, air and water, just like all the other elements in dirt. Blood had small amounts of everything

---

2015-09-13T00:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642851965462904832

@mikesara444 @SteerMark much less, but still there. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abundance_of_elements_in_Earth%27s_crust exact proportions vary with local geology an the wind.

2015-09-12T23:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642845244199821312

@rockermom53 in what way is it different to water ice clouds?

2015-09-12T23:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642844050014339072

@SteerMark Aluminum comes from dirt, which is about 10% aluminum in California

---

2015-09-12T23:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642843534614118400

@SteerMark Rosalind Peterson there said there is no evidence. Meghan said her whistleblowing was unrelated to chemtrails.

2015-09-12T23:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642843115989020672

@SteerMark Did these "whistleblowers" ever present any evidence?

2015-09-12T23:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642842850435010560

@simonconroy @SteerMark again though, quantity is not quality. Does any of the evidence stand up to examination?

2015-09-12T23:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642842571161513984

@rockermom53 but it's just water ice. You can tell by the angle of the halos that sometimes form. Only ice would do that.

2015-09-12T22:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642834961188286464

.@SteerMark If I show a book from 30 years ago that says contrails can persist and spread, then is that misleading anyone?

2015-09-12T22:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642834558770016256

@SteerMark but I have said nothing incorrect, only pointed out where others were wrong - like with contrail persistence, etc.

2015-09-12T22:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642834131039088640

@SteerMark those photos of ballast barrels, for example. What are they evidence of,

---

2015-09-12T22:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642833827979661312

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi contrails sometimes create cloud cover. They always have, at least since the 1940s.

2015-09-12T22:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642833423380287488

@SteerMark all those photos have quite reasonable non-conspiracy explanations. They add up to lots of that. Pick one, look it up

---

2015-09-12T22:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642832874945712128

@SteerMark if there's a genocide, then why are people healthier and living longer?

2015-09-12T22:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642831152055619584

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi how would they know, they simply did not notice it before? Like Herndon, did not notice contrails until 2014

2015-09-12T22:42:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642830674907394048

.@SteerMark If I tested tons of horse poop and found iron in it, you'd accept that as evidence of unicorns, because so many tests were done?

2015-09-12T22:38:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642829705595346944

.@SteerMark If I showed you a million photos of horses, would you accept it as evidence of unicorns due to the quantity of evidence?

2015-09-12T22:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642829087094927360

@SteerMark a million times nothing is still nothing. You need quality, not quantity.

2015-09-12T22:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642826686904463360

@4thAnon no, for example he was utterly wrong about halos, and respiratory mortality.

2015-09-12T22:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642825492958081024

@rockermom53 I'm not, I'm being serious. Why wouldn't a book on the weather be useful in understanding things you see in the sky?

2015-09-12T22:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642825150916767744

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi or they just think the trails are contrails?

2015-09-12T21:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642816674886881280

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @stewartt1982 so why don't they? Most people pay no attention to contrails.

2015-09-12T21:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642816475753918464

@rockermom53 @andreafed give it a go, it's an excellent book on the weather. Wouldn't that knowledge be useful?

2015-09-12T21:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642809434679316484

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @stewartt1982 why would they? What unexplained evidence would compel them?

2015-09-12T18:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642766256790110208

@rockermom53 @TerminatorBarbi @stewartt1982 Who would be talking if it was not happening? What would they say?

2015-09-12T17:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642759215220547584

@TerminatorBarbi @stewartt1982 do you consider this evidence that people actually ARE modifying jet streams?

2015-09-12T17:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642750525855760384

@TerminatorBarbi @stewartt1982 what do you think this is evidence of, exactly?

2015-09-11T16:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642367975454932992

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 it's true though, chaff is basically invisible.

2015-09-10T21:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642084886401036288

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 what tools? They seeded the eye wall. It didn't work. They gave up.

2015-09-10T21:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642084620545077248

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 Weather is local and short term. Climate is average over large area.

2015-09-10T15:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/642003328931614720

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 You've still not pointed out anything I said that was demonstrably incorrect. I'd be happy to retract.

2015-09-10T15:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641997691174690817

@MarieFrance46 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COjVfSRUcAAj3QB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COjVfSRUcAAj3QB.jpg

2015-09-10T15:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641996770646597632

@MarieFrance46 Notice the clouds are also "black"? It's just because the sun is not shining on them.

2015-09-10T15:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641990625693409280

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 And there are meteorologists in Iran and North Korea too. Even they think the trails are just contrails.

2015-09-10T14:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641989438277570560

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 The "meteorologist" at Redding did not even understand how a contrail forms. He thought the jet melted ice.

2015-09-10T14:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641988444319842304

@TerminatorBarbi @Oswald1160 Nobody has ever denied that weather modification exists. It's been done for 60+ years. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COjNDqVUsAA-eLC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COjNDqVUsAA-eLC.jpg

2015-09-10T14:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641982059980959744

@conspire2evolve @MockWest_ @QuestionAll7 @OpChemtrails NASA is pretty mainstream, they explain the difference: http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/science.php

---

2015-09-10T04:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641832434019999744

@andreafed This is neither.

Have a look at those books on clouds. Why not? Don't you want to be sure?

2015-09-10T01:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786922243870720

@andreafed Sorry GTG. Dinner time :)

2015-09-10T01:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786792719593472

@SkyWatchrs I would be happy to do so. If you are ever in Sacramento.

2015-09-10T01:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786690202402816

@andreafed Yes I do. I'm a former owner of Neversoft Entertainment. We made the Tony Hawk video games. I retired. I do this as a hobby.

2015-09-10T01:33:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786418570899456

@SkyWatchrs @anon_thr33 Is there no claim of evidence you can actually stand by with confidence?

2015-09-10T01:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786319857950720

@SkyWatchrs @anon_thr33 You can't even state one? Surely it would take you seconds to do.

2015-09-10T01:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641786018312679425

@TerminatorBarbi @Brains3 Feel free to point out where I was wrong.

2015-09-10T01:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641785915149545472

@andreafed Seriously, have you ever looked up contrails in a book? Are you afraid of doing so?

2015-09-10T01:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641785782924103680

@andreafed I'm a retired video game programmer. I debunk because it's interesting, and I think it helps the world, and individuals.

2015-09-10T01:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641785127916433408

@andreafed I recommend this one, only $5 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgUJfKUYAAQbTw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgUJfKUYAAQbTw.jpg

2015-09-10T01:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641784959259267072

@andreafed So what's wrong with the books?

2015-09-10T01:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641784607235547136

@andreafed What if "anyone" was all the books on clouds for 70 years?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-09-10T01:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641784419263619072

@andreafed You asked a question. What's wrong with the answer? If you don't trust me, look it up in a book.

2015-09-10T01:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641784233883795457

@andreafed Do you think all these books are debunkers?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgTXBtUwAE2mUc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgTXBtUwAE2mUc.jpg

2015-09-10T01:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641783551038464000

@andreafed http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgSu7eUYAAM2GZ.jpg

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgSu7eUYAAM2GZ.jpg
2015-09-10T01:21:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/641783401964568576
@andreafed Contrails are clouds. They last as long as clouds do. Look it up.

2015-09-10T01:20:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/641783167750377472

@andreafed There's a bunch of early pics here: https://www.metabunk.org/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.t487/ Amount of modern air traffic explains the grids.

2015-09-10T01:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641782970295185408

@andreafed @TerminatorBarbi Las Vegas, pre 1971. From "Diamonds are Forever"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgSKtKVEAAxJyM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgSKtKVEAAxJyM.jpg

2015-09-10T01:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641782754259148800

@andreafed @TerminatorBarbi The problem with that is that jet traffic only really took off in the 60s, and 10x that by the 80s

---

2015-09-10T01:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641782587380367360

@andreafed @TerminatorBarbi http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgR1KsUAAEM2X-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgR1KsUAAEM2X-.jpg

2015-09-10T01:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641782106323034112

@TerminatorBarbi http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgRbJjUwAAn5sW.jpg

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgRbJjUwAAn5sW.jpg

2015-09-10T01:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641781802609311745

@TerminatorBarbi What's more real than old books on clouds? They all say what you are seeing looks like contrails.

2015-09-10T01:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641781644165292032

@TerminatorBarbi Have you ever looked up contrails in a book on clouds. It's very interesting.

2015-09-10T01:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641781302178521088

@TerminatorBarbi How do they differ from contrails that have been observed since the 1920s?

2015-09-10T01:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641781007381831681

@TerminatorBarbi No, I'm asking you what you mean. Weather modification is a very real thing, been done for 60+ years. Not secret. No lines.

2015-09-10T01:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641780567479054336

@TerminatorBarbi I see contrails there. I understand that you think they are suspicious, but they are not new. Just contrails.

2015-09-10T01:09:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641780394560483328

@TerminatorBarbi Are you aware of any such programs?

2015-09-10T01:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641780154243620864

@TerminatorBarbi Not that I'm aware of. There's sounding rockets that use barium for visuals, but no effect on the weather.

2015-09-10T01:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641779715112628224

@TerminatorBarbi For example, there's lots of "geoengineering" projects, but people mean different things by the term, so you need clarity

---

2015-09-10T01:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641779533281165313

@TerminatorBarbi Okay then, my answer is "no". I'll try to simplify if you'd prefer. But it's not always a simple subject.

2015-09-10T01:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641779217458417664

@TerminatorBarbi I don't think aluminum has any known use in weather modification. But maybe you mean climate engineering?

2015-09-10T01:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641778909474889729

@TerminatorBarbi Aluminum? Not that I'm aware of. You can't add it to fuel without blowing up the engine. It's used in rockets

---

2015-09-10T01:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641778273098309632

@TerminatorBarbi But I don't see any evidence of deliberate high altitude spraying that leaves spreading white lines.

2015-09-10T00:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641778089408790528

@TerminatorBarbi There term geoengineering covers a very wide range of techniques, some of which (like Carbon Sequestration) are in use.

2015-09-10T00:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641777853579808769

@TerminatorBarbi No, as I just said, there's no evidence of stratospheric SRM being used. Did you mean some other "aerosol" GE?

2015-09-10T00:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641777262795321345

@BuildersUsa What model of phone is that?

2015-09-10T00:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641777064962605057

@SkyWatchrs @Anon_thr33 So why is the population increasing, and people getting healthier?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgMzPIUYAArs_6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COgMzPIUYAArs_6.jpg

2015-09-10T00:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641776702046228480

@SkyWatchrs @MIKE77901820 It's a volumetric shadow viewed edge on. See #3 here: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2015-09-10T00:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641776410718306305

@TerminatorBarbi Can you link where I said that? I likely said is that there is no evidence stratospheric SRM geoengineering is in use.

2015-09-10T00:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641766219176124416

@TerminatorBarbi Yes me too. But you've not said what lies you think I have told. I'd be happy

to apologize for anything I got wrong.

2015-09-09T23:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641754246833160192

.@TerminatorBarbi Point out any lie you think I made. I shall either back it up with evidence, or retract it and apologize.

2015-09-09T23:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641753947129122820

@TerminatorBarbi You've got me wrong Kim. I've never lied to you, and have always been polite. Check it.

2015-09-09T23:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641752454242172928

@TerminatorBarbi Well, who were you asking? And what's wrong with my answers?

2015-09-09T23:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641751639771217920

.@TerminatorBarbi Artist depictions of contrails are often highly unrealistic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COf1tMZUAAAAXck.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COf1tMZUAAAAXck.jpg

2015-09-09T23:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641751045039878144

@TerminatorBarbi It's a common mistake with artists though, they have done it for decades.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COf1IFJUcAYoyQ_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COf1IFJUcAYoyQ_.jpg

2015-09-09T23:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641750640226492416

@TerminatorBarbi You asked where he got the notion. Who knows what the "need" was. Make them look fast? It's wrong though, as not turning.

2015-09-09T23:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641748481296728064

@TerminatorBarbi Regardless of what you think of me, the existence of wingtip contrails is a neutral fact. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COfyqgTUcAAzwiV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COfyqgTUcAAzwiV.jpg

2015-09-09T22:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641745671675092992

@TerminatorBarbi From the aerodynamic contrails that form at the tips of fighter jet wings.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COfwDM-UsAAmJ0G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/COfwDM-UsAAmJ0G.jpg

2015-09-09T15:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641633471086006272

@Clos3stToTh3Sun @ReddingRosamond @Kal1_Furies It happens. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-flying-carpet-rhombus-shaped-cloud-in-exeter.t4122/

2015-09-09T04:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641468914334048256

@tiffanycameille @webbery I though you meant the blue gaps mid left. I don't really see squares where you indicate. Maybe one "edge".

2015-09-09T00:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/641400639772299264

@tiffanycameille @webbery Reflecting? They just look like gaps in the clouds.

2015-09-07T07:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/640793210210545664

@tiffanycameille @webbery contrails can create a lot of cloud cover. There were probably some natural clouds there though.

2015-09-06T15:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/640541726378213376

@ShawnSt51128037 @MickWest @TruthYoda @YvanDutil can you give a specific example?

2015-09-06T14:30:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/640532444538642432

@TruthYoda @YvanDutil @ShawnSt51128037 like what?

2015-09-06T09:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/640459896346005504

@tiffanycameille @webbery looks like a bit of cirrus vertabratus around those gaps

---

2015-09-04T03:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/639647387926011904

RT @RetractionWatch: Paper on chemtrails, a favorite subject of conspiracy theorists,Â retracted http://retractionwatch.com/2015/09/03/paper-on-chemtrails-a-favorite-subject-of-conspiracy-theorists-retracted/ http://t.co/4QfyvtWxâ¦

2015-09-01T20:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638805673057214464

@YvanDutil No, but it helps the fence sitters when these inconsistencies are explained.

2015-08-31T16:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638387813814259712

@SCCBBTS I don't actually follow you. I have a search column in Tweetdeck for "contrail" or "chemtrail". so I "follow" everyone who mentions

---

2015-08-30T23:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638129250424500224

If #chemtrails are supposedly blocking UVB and causing an epidemic of Rickets, then why does Geoengineering Watch claim UVB levels are up?

2015-08-30T20:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638087690890661888

@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 Any comments on the books?

2015-08-30T20:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638087624507453440

@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 and listen carefully to the whole video first. What is he saying exactly?

2015-08-30T20:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638083394321125376

@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 But really, just look at about any book on clouds in the last 70 years. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNrtWYpUYAIs5Qw.jpg

---

1988: Exploring the Sky By Day, Page 49
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157643850292503/

If a contrail vanishes rapidly, the air is dry and fair weather is likely to continue. Contrails can last for hours, however, and may even trigger the formation of more extensive natural cirrus clouds.

1981: Peterson Field Guide to the Atmosphere, page 137
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

1972: Clouds of the World, page 130
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (see 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNrtWYpUYAIs5Qw.jpg
2015-08-30T20:16:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638082857265668098
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-08-30T20:15:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638082752231948290
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66
https://books.google.com/books?id=q0QI19T_POkC&lpg=PR16&ots=ghM6Jr3IeZ&dq=field%20guide%20to%20atmosphere&pg=PA138#v=onepage&q&f=false

---

2015-08-30T20:14:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638082301658857472
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 Which samples? How were they collected? Dirty snow or water has a lot of dirt in it.

2015-08-30T20:13:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638082150013751296
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 Which phenomenon exactly? Persistent trails? Any book on clouds explains them.

2015-08-30T19:54:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638077227482132480
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 But why? They don't show anything other that expected background levels, or contamination. So why?

2015-08-30T19:46:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638075301763788800
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 Can you be more specific? Have you actually examined these water tests? How do they differ from dirt?

2015-08-30T19:35:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638072454729043969
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 This issues is evidence. What physical evidence do you think actually stands up to examination?

2015-08-30T18:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638057807481307136
@fybtry67 @Oswald1160 @karentalford66 I have already done so. What do you think is missing here? http://contrailscience.com/

2015-08-30T15:16:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638007507500335105
@Mario_Greenly And of course the "chemtrail" tests are just finding natural strontium, as you would expect.

2015-08-30T15:14:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/638006778899398656
@Mario_Greenly "Strontium" sounds scary because of nuke association. But natural strontium is

similar to calcium. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strontium

2015-08-30T15:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638006298047594500

@Mario_Greenly Radioactive strontium does not occur naturally at all. It has a half life of 3 years. Only comes from nuclear stuff.

2015-08-30T15:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638005708970172416

@SteerMark It's exactly the same, as all they test is essentially mineral dust in the air or water. Look at the actual tests.

2015-08-30T15:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638004320303218689

@SteerMark You realize the chemical tests all just match dirt, right?

2015-08-30T15:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638004039704297473

The average chemical fingerprint of "#chemtrail" tests just matches dirt, does that mean planes are spraying dirt? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqk4hTUsAEesDz.jpg

---

Abundance of elements in Earth's crust

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The table shows the **abundance of elements in Earth's crust**. Numbers show percentage or parts per million (ppm) in mass; 10,000 ppm = 1%.

Note that numbers are estimates, and they will vary depending on source and method of estimation. Order of magnitude of data can roughly be relied u

Rank	Z	Element	Symbol	Lithosphere abundance[1]	Relative proportion (ppm)[2]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[3]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[4]
1	8	oxygen	O	460,000	474,000	460,000	467,100
2	14	silicon [A]	Si	277,200	277,100	270,000	276,900
3	13	aluminium	Al	81,300	82,000	82,000	80,700
4	26	iron	Fe	50,000	41,000	63,000	50,500
5	20	calcium	Ca	36,300	41,000	50,000	36,500
6	11	sodium	Na	28,300	23,000	23,000	27,500
7	19	potassium	K	25,900	21,000	15,000	25,800
8	12	magnesium	Mg	20,900	23,000	29,000	20,800
9	22	titanium	Ti	4,400	5,600	6,600	6,200
10	1	hydrogen	H	1,400		1,500	1,400
11	15	phosphorus	P	1,200	1,000	1,000	1,300
12	25	manganese	Mn	1,000	950	1,100	900
13	9	fluorine	F	800	950	540	290
14	56	barium	Ba	500	340		500
15	6	carbon	C	300	480	1,800	940
16	38	strontium	Sr		370	360	
17	16	sulfur	S	500	260	420	520
18	40	zirconium	Zr		190	130	250
19	74	tungsten	W		160.6	1.1	
20	23	vanadium	V	100	160	190	
21	17	chlorine	Cl	500	130	170	450
22	24	chromium	Cr	100	100	140	350

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqk4hTUsAEesDz.jpg

2015-08-30T14:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638003227276017665

@SteerMark Well, here's something to chew on anyway. Does this indicate "chemtrails" https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abundance_of_elements_in_Earth%27s_crust

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqkbHsUEAAUuCy.jpg

Abundance of elements in Earth's crust

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The table shows the **abundance of elements** in **Earth's crust**. Numbers show percentage or parts per million (ppm) in mass; 10,000 ppm = 1%.

Note that numbers are estimates, and they will vary depending on source and method of estimation. Order of magnitude of data can roughly be relied u

Rank	Z	Element	Symbol	Lithosphere abundance[1]	Relative proportion (ppm)[2]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[3]	Abundance in crust (ppm)[4]
1	8	oxygen	O	460,000	474,000	460,000	467,100
2	14	silicon [A]	Si	277,200	277,100	270,000	276,900
3	13	aluminium	Al	81,300	82,000	82,000	80,700
4	26	iron	Fe	50,000	41,000	63,000	50,500
5	20	calcium	Ca	36,300	41,000	50,000	36,500
6	11	sodium	Na	28,300	23,000	23,000	27,500
7	19	potassium	K	25,900	21,000	15,000	25,800
8	12	magnesium	Mg	20,900	23,000	29,000	20,800
9	22	titanium	Ti	4,400	5,600	6,600	6,200
10	1	hydrogen	H	1,400		1,500	1,400
11	15	phosphorus	P	1,200	1,000	1,000	1,300
12	25	manganese	Mn	1,000	950	1,100	900
13	9	fluorine	F	800	950	540	290
14	56	barium	Ba	500	340		500
15	6	carbon	C	300	480	1,800	940
16	38	strontium	Sr		370	360	
17	16	sulfur	S	500	260	420	520
18	40	zirconium	Zr		190	130	250
19	74	tungsten	W		160.6	1.1	
20	23	vanadium	V	100	160	190	
21	17	chlorine	Cl	500	130	170	450
22	24	chromium	Cr	100	100	140	350

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqkbHsUEAAUuCy.jpg

2015-08-30T14:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/638000870576287745

@SteerMark Do you want to discuss any of those topics?

2015-08-30T14:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637997324308979712

@delvxlle And how are you measuring the altitude of the contrails?

2015-08-30T14:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637997096566714368

@SteerMark I did check. Here is the law.

http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=14:2.0.1.3.10#se14.2.91_1111

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqe219VAAEQAjm.jpg

§91.111 Operating near other aircraft.

(a) No person may operate an aircraft so close to another aircraft as to create a collision hazard.

(b) No person may operate an aircraft in formation flight except by arrangement with the pilot in command of each aircraft in the formation.

(c) No person may operate an aircraft, carrying passengers for hire, in formation flight.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNqe219VAAEQAjm.jpg

2015-08-30T14:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637996675584389120

@delvxlle I'm curious as to why you think contrails can't persist.

2015-08-30T14:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637996348294451200

@delvxlle But you think they can persist at high altitudes then? So you've seen lots of persistent contrails?

2015-08-30T14:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637996158091141120

@SteerMark Planes can fly in formation, there's no law against it in the US, so long as the pilots agree, and it's not dangerous.

2015-08-30T14:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637994580215001088

@SteerMark @delvxlle Have you ever tried looking at old books on clouds?

2015-08-30T14:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637992577707438081

@SteerMark Contrails only happen when the conditions are right, so only at particular altitudes. Planes usually don't fly in formation now

---

2015-08-30T14:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637992199549009921

@delvxlle @SteerMark So why do you think contrails cannot persist? Why do clouds persist sometimes? Contrails are clouds, are they not?

2015-08-30T14:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637990476658962432

@delvxlle Why EXACTLY do you think contrails only last a few minutes? Think about it.

2015-08-30T14:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637990315383738368

@delvxlle @SteerMark But all the old books say contrails can last for hours.

2015-08-30T14:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637989042391547905

@delvxlle @SteerMark 70 years ago? If they have been around 70 years, then why did you just notice them?

2015-08-30T14:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637988496364408832

@delvxlle @SteerMark No they didn't.

2015-08-30T14:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637988405704527872

@SteerMark Do you actually think that high bypass engines can't make contrails? What are you basing that on?

2015-08-30T14:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637988163290550273

@SteerMark But why do you thin clouds are not nature, if they have occurred through all history?

2022-05-24T13:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529091815336312832

@CBolondz @dirtylib666 @TomSuesss Do people claim to have seen them clearly, like they do with UFOs?

2022-05-24T13:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529091561182531584

@titnatnat My phone was in my hands already, as it is for millions of people daily.

2022-05-24T13:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529091084248227840

@BostonsBuddha @jimqk I'm talking about good photos, not blurry specks.

2022-05-24T13:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529090694333071363

@DustinSamuelRea Maybe genuine Tic-Tac UFOs are extraordinarily rare, or nonexistent?

2022-05-24T09:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1529032262742077441

@fredericojca Many people claim to clearly see UFOs in daytime, but we don't have good photos or videos of them.

There must be a reason for that.

2022-05-24T05:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528974586234056704

@jimqk So why did they stop?

2022-05-24T05:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528974126974525440

@dudly_mohr So why did they start, 75 years ago? What's it for?

2022-05-24T05:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528974004840636416

@IllusiveExplore But we only need one good photo. Are the aliens REALLY that lucky?

2022-05-24T05:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528964234263994368

@LGWValetParking @Michigander84 Do UFOs all fly at 40,000 feet?

2022-05-24T04:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528961099705397248

@Michigander84 I'm not trying to explain advanced physics. I'm trying to see if there's actually any evidence of something out of the ordinary.

2022-05-24T04:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528959371698286592

@Damieninink @Robin081564 Yes it is.

2022-05-24T04:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528957989322821632

@The1Dodson @UAP_CR @matrix2004 How many of the drawings show someone bald and skinny?

Why not all of them?

2022-05-24T04:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528954130781196288

@uaprealities This is a C-17, not something you see every day. But I got it on video randomly flying over my house.

And thereâ□□s about 200,000,000 phone cameras in the US.

2022-05-24T01:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528912146205028353

@BostonsBuddha @edwardcurrent But millions of people have. Some photos are crap. Some, like mine, are acceptable. Some are scintillatingly clear.

2022-05-24T01:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528911483580477440

@edwardcurrent @BostonsBuddha http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfI5CQVIAAcBCc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfI5CQVIAAcBCc.jpg
2022-05-24T01:27:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528910565422247936
@edwardcurrent @BostonsBuddha Literally 30 seconds ago. I didnâ□□t even have to stand up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528910544698191872/pu/img/wkZlnlgMxeldOnWX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528910544698191872/pu/img/wkZlnlgMxeldOnWX.jpg
2022-05-24T01:23:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528909512878354433
@VegasMSB And I wasnâ□□t waiting for anything. I was having a glass of wine in the pool. Still am. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfHGKsUEAEi098.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfHGKsUEAEi098.jpg
2022-05-24T01:19:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528908392252571648
@annecgw But a lot of people are.

2022-05-24T01:18:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528908287055233027

@VegasMSB @mairin No, I would not. Iâ□□d be â□□WTF?â□□ Then â□□UFO, quick, cameraâ□□. People t
take video of UFO all the time. Thereâ□□s lots of UFO footage. Itâ□□s all crap.

So why no good footage?

2022-05-24T01:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528907184536375296

@VegasMSB I wasnâ□□t ready. I was reading twitter and I heard a rumble. I looked up and immediately saw the the plane. I was like â□□ holy crap!â□□ for a few seconds, then swipe, tap, zoom, photo x 6, tap swipe, video, zoom, done.

2022-05-24T01:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528905914844971009

@mairin I would still have got that video without the noise. It only gave me a few extra second of anticipation. Are all UFO encounters less than 30 seconds?

2022-05-24T01:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528905023131856896

This was about ten minutes ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfDA7wUsAEzNff.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfDA7wUsAEzNff.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfDA7wUcAALWpm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTfDA7yVsAAHvie.jpg

2022-05-24T01:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528904533597818880

@Drunk_on_Books Video from two independent sources showing incredible motion or appearance.

2022-05-24T01:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528904364429316096

Why are there no good daytime photos of UFO? An unusual plane just flew over my house, visible for maybe 20 seconds. I got video and six photos, with my phone. So why does this never happen with UFOs?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528904326726373382/pu/img/F2LyjSyK1aeKs_9v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528904326726373382/pu/img/F2LyjSyK1aeKs_9v.jpg
2022-05-24T00:53:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528902094798745600
@Drunk_on_Books @Chance_in_Hell @Kmac20221 I talk to the pilots.

2022-05-24T00:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528898411939868672
@Drunk_on_Books What am I dodging? I agree phone cameras at night are mostly not good. But during the day they are now mostly better than human vision. The car example was meant to be a daytime one.

2022-05-24T00:08:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528890687193632768
@bottwater @BrandonFugal I'll go. Brandon?

2022-05-24T00:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528890566817173504
@TxUFOSightings @Drunk_on_Books Are UFOs never seen during the day?

2022-05-24T00:07:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528890406825447424
@skinnywalker7 @Drunk_on_Books @Oswald1160 @Omengod
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528890389683265537/pu/img/4hAM2a0fcs0vnlaX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528890389683265537/pu/img/4hAM2a0fcs0vnlaX.jpg
2022-05-24T00:01:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528888963380891651
@skinnywalker7 @Drunk_on_Books @Oswald1160 @Omengod It looks smooth. I think you are looking at the band of squares. There there seems to be jumps due to compression artifacts, but if you look at the antenna, it's smooth.

2022-05-23T22:54:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528872068061679616
@sicktanick @MvonRen I think I know more than him about the Navy videos. Based on his statements about them.

2022-05-23T22:53:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528871864977674241
@skinnywalker7 @Drunk_on_Books @Oswald1160 @Omengod Ha, I forgot. I thought it looked familiar.

2022-05-23T21:54:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528856996920717312
@markocheese @DavidGaryEsp @Drunk_on_Books If you zoom in enough then any camera will get the exposure right. The moon only comes out overexposed because it's usually small in the image. This is a NIkon P900, just point and press in auto.
Phones will get better. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTeXNyDUcAAFaUR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTeXNyDUcAAFaUR.jpg

2022-05-23T21:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528855912630300672

@Drunk_on_Books @markocheese Take video.

2022-05-23T21:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528847562505039872

@ACTSRM69 @Max54239219 The videos are data.

2022-05-23T21:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528846953626632192

@Drunk_on_Books @markocheese Over 20 million people have an S21

2022-05-23T21:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528846237079773185

@Drunk_on_Books @East97913227 That article does not mention "red" or "orb"

2022-05-23T20:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528833156433858560

@Drunk_on_Books @East97913227 Often? There was one in 1967 (possibly Mars), how many others were there?

2022-05-23T19:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528825732339642368

@Drunk_on_Books @OSIRIS_UAP @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure Clear? How exactly is this "clear"

Could be just a bit of airborne clutter. Looks like a breezy day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTd6lh3UAAI7iP0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTd6lh3UAAI7iP0.jpg
2022-05-23T19:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528823579608944642
@Drunk_on_Books Why the moon? Why not try taking a photo of a car 500 feet away during the daytime?

Or are all UFO sightings just lights at night?

2022-05-23T18:30:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528805490397827072
@akareyon @AE911Truth A 747 losing a wing would certainly be something that requires investigation.

The broader engineering community understands why full collapse was inevitable?

In your theory, why do you think they did not make it more "realistic", why leave it so obvious (to you)?

2022-05-23T17:24:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528788924818001921
@akareyon @AE911Truth It's like if a 747 loses a wing. You don't really need to model the aerodynamics to know it's going to crash.

By far the biggest priority is to figure out why the wing fell off.

So here, it's collapse initiation that's the focus.

2022-05-23T17:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528788056836190209

@URMort @VickerySec @ericgarland @AE911Truth What does a floor-by-floor "pancake" collapse look like?

2022-05-23T17:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528787101231173632

@stoneluvver That's a "distrail." A passing plane disrupts a cloud layer, leaving a clear path.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTdXoN1UYAM9-Mi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTdXoN1UYAM9-Mi.jpg

2022-05-23T17:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528786281370509312

@GwenHuff11 Well, just compare his UFO analysis to mine, or really pretty much anyone's.

What has he actually done besides comment?

2022-05-23T16:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528773703613394944

@WinterPat451776 @RachelMaryColl Where is it admitted that contrails can't persist and spread?

2022-05-23T16:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528773170425040896

@UFOSignature @1947_z @PostDisclosure Tic-Tac is not a code name, it's the very specific description given by the eye-witnesses.

So this video does not seem to show what they saw.

2022-05-23T16:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528770249348198401

RT @blackvaultcom: 1/ Adm. Thomas Wilson recently spoke to journalist Billy Cox, who has for years, covered the story about the "Wilson Davâ□¦

2022-05-23T16:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528769988676308992

@ufotruthdigger @RealScottWolter Rocks fall sometimes.

2022-05-23T16:08:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528769825031352322

@maetaphysika @MHuntington7 @528vibes See that's why I've blocked @528vibes (and largely ignore it when someone else retweets them) - they just post stuff with no source - simply to drive traffic to their channel.

2022-05-23T15:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528764534889992193

@BodyPositiveBob Hardly an exhaustive sample of all possible plane profiles.

And the camera is very obviously out of focus.

2022-05-23T15:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528764077589204994

@Kmac20221 He saw one thing 18 years ago. It's a very limited and imprecise data point.

2022-05-23T15:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528754819241869313

@maetaphysika @MHuntington7 @528vibes I agree about the accents and the look of the houses. Ey up.

2022-05-23T05:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528606115549679618

@Disidente_1 MAFFS firefighting pack and ballast barrels.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTazIGhVIAEGvAV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTazIGhVIAEGvAV.jpg

2022-05-23T05:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528605743003291648

@Robin081564 Does it seem more or less likely than telepathic aliens randomly visiting a school in a flying craft without anyone but some kids noticing?

2022-05-23T05:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528601711123656705

@Robin081564 Not especially, no. She seemed quite straightforward.

2022-05-23T04:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528598551462223873

@MatthewBVarney @likeitmatters3 @KingM2021 They would only recant if they are lying. False memories are not lies.

2022-05-23T03:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528586337514758144

@likeitmatters3 @EdwardKissinge1 And where are these 60 interviews?

2022-05-23T03:47:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528583318769238017

@Robin081564 Iâ□□m not sure what you mean

2022-05-23T03:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528582135090622469

@batmangrundies @EdwardKissinge1 Sure, but if thereâ□□s no imaginable scenarios then it makes the ET hypothesis more attractive, and there ARE imaginable scenarios, so I just give examples.

2022-05-22T23:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528522834682646528
@TUPACABRA2 Well, it would not make them into an alien, but it would certainly make them into an asshole.

2022-05-22T23:37:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528520564360433664
@hodgysweatshirt @KingM2021 All the group interviews I've seen happened long before Mack got there. He's the only one I've seen do individual interviews, but that was weeks later.

2022-05-22T23:16:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528515270045671425
@hodgysweatshirt @KingM2021 All of the interviews. But if you want specifics, just look at any of the videos where they are interviewed in groups so one kid hears what another describes before they are asked about it.

2022-05-22T23:15:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528514981204938752
@hodgysweatshirt @KingM2021 Not just her, but essentially does that not seem vastly more likely than a brief visit by a spaceship containing a variety of telepathic aliens that nobody but some kids saw?

False memories seem just as real as accurate memories.

2022-05-22T23:12:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528514057472380928
@KilliK69 Unusual, yes. Extraordinary? Maybe not. Seeing a drone or balloon out of the cockpit is a bit unusual.

2022-05-22T23:09:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528513528990093312
@KingM2021 @hodgysweatshirt They gently prompt impressionable kids who aim to please.

Interviewing children is fraught with difficulties. Hind did everything wrong.

2022-05-22T23:08:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528513161095106562
@sicktanick Why?

2022-05-22T22:55:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528509972572565506
@sicktanick I'm sure he knows more than me about physics.

I'm talking about the various Navy UFO cases.

2022-05-22T22:55:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528509815273623552
@hodgysweatshirt @KingM2021 This prompting.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZbksCUUAA9dhI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZbksCUUAA9dhI.jpg

2022-05-22T22:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528508850243969024

@matrix2004 Not really. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZapoHVIAA_luD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZapoHVIAA_luD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZaiTWUEAAK6U5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZamzWUcAAvzt8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZagDHUsAAxFXV.jpg

2022-05-22T22:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528498088305754112

@MariaFerenczi2 I think he took on the role of TV science communicator, and over time his standards slipped.

2022-05-22T21:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528484454628347904

Tip: if you have to "read between the lines", then it's not "very clear"

https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1528138799972175872

2022-05-22T21:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528483470556573696

@iiitzik http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZDmknVsAAjK0x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTZDmknVsAAjK0x.jpg
2022-05-22T21:01:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528481275484418049
@skinnywalker7 @fin365 @usuallyjustben Can you give a timestamp in the video where this is most apparent?

2022-05-22T19:35:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528459667944316931
@EdwardKissinge1 The events happened during a national UFO flap. Which might have something to do with it.

2022-05-22T19:34:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528459310459547648
@skinnywalker7 @fin365 @usuallyjustben Stepped? Where?

2022-05-22T19:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528451860960423936
@mombojom It's a light in the sky with no source or context.

2022-05-22T18:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528441478162173952
@EdwardKissinge1 The teachers did not walk outside when altered that there was a man outside. The one teacher at the tuck (snack) shop initially thought the kids were lying so they could shoplift.

2022-05-22T18:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528438545391570945
@Max54239219 I'm pretty sure I know more than him, as I've actually analyzed some of them.

2022-05-22T18:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528438035251048449

@thieme @Danielgold911 @BergeracChamp I think his precise words on seeing the video were "huh"

2022-05-22T18:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528437873426411521

@bogsnorkeler @edwardcurrent Not that it's relevant, but you're dissing an entire group of people there. Game development, especially at the AAA level, is quite complicated. One of my programmers actually had a Ph.D. in physics.

2022-05-22T16:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528415184632438784

@KingM2021 Except for all the variations, and the witness prompting, and the fact that hey are kids, and were interviewed in groups, etc, etc....

2022-05-22T16:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528414059204255744

@Jack93882578 @EdwardKissinge1 Similarities? The kids talked. They saw each other's drawings. They have seen flying saucers on TV and in comics.

2022-05-22T16:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528413656580427776

RT @MiddleOfMayhem: @rick_hermes @chrisotis78 @MickWest We have an airspace awareness problem. We have an airspace clutter problem. We haveâ□¦

2022-05-22T16:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528410817091076096

@EdwardKissinge1 A core consistency is that they saw a person, but some didn't, and some saw two, and they looked different, and they did different things.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYBgK7VEAAeYYw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYBawaUAAAVNRa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYBb12VEAAX7Cg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYBdXUVsAA_L7Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYBgK7VEAAeYYw.jpg

2022-05-22T16:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528410123260919808

@EdwardKissinge1 And possibly a few events (i.e. sightings of strangers, maybe a passing VW bus with some hippes, some workers) that later got conflated into one.

2022-05-22T16:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528409341639786497

@EdwardKissinge1 The kids drawings possible show clues as to what some of them saw. But there is a wide variety. Some might, essentially, their imaginations illustrating a story.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYAMMGUYAc3GVd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTYAMMGUYAc3GVd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTX_kb1UUAEbevb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTX_pHMVUAE-X-N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTX_6joUsAEdewQ.jpg

2022-05-22T16:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528407720335794177

@EdwardKissinge1 No. I wasn't there. But one could imagine a variety of things - like a person scaring the kids for some reason. Or some workers in protective equipment working on the power lines. Maybe a welder (with goggles), or just light reflecting off a truck window. All speculation.

2022-05-22T16:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528405611007709186

@EdwardKissinge1 I think something fairly mundane happened in the context of local UFO sightings a few days earlier promoting imagination, then with story-telling and group interrogations by Hind and Mack, it grew into false memories which seem like real memories.

2022-05-22T15:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528403334264082433

@Danielgold911 @BergeracChamp Do they? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTX6tp_UcAIte2N.jpg

---

- (U) Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

. § 3024(i) **In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics, including several in which the** 1.4(a)(e)(g) **involving** 1.4(a)(e)(g) These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

(U) **There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting.** Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTX6tp_UcAIte2N.jpg

2022-05-22T15:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528402826371600384

@gfodor @Anony_Mouse777 He's not really making stuff up, he's simply repeating and spicing up the most sensational interpretations of events that exist on the internet.

2022-05-22T15:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528402499752775682

Compassion is wonderful, an essential part of being human. But it's a confounding factor in scientific investigations. Witnesses often have a deeply emotional reaction to their experiences, and this creates a moral dilemma for investigators who question what they saw.

https://twitter.com/dwpasulka/status/1528191814628519937

2022-05-22T15:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528401463247900672

@IncognitoMV @BergeracChamp @Danielgold911 Fravor did not take that video, he was not there when it was taken, and it does not show anything dropping or making any sudden moves).

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-05-22T15:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528400641273364480

@Anony_Mouse777 So what exactly is the basis of his claims?

2022-05-22T15:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528400486411317248

@Danielgold911 @BergeracChamp No, it has not. A few people recollect seeing something like that on the radar. No official confirmation. No actual data.

2022-05-22T15:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528398486286217216

@KilliK69 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector No.

2022-05-22T15:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528397697153060867

Michio Kaku is repeating baseless UFO mythology as fact. None of the capabilities he lists here has been verified, and certainly not for 400 cases. His statements are unscientific bullshit.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528397344336535552/pu/img/SWu1Lz-Dbf8Mhsf4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528397344336535552/pu/img/SWu1Lz-Dbf8Mhsf4.jpg

2022-05-22T15:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528394092341694469

@KilliK69 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector UAP represent multiple different phenomena. Nobody is suggesting all cases are balloons, but rather that SOME cases are balloons.

2022-05-22T15:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528393827853119488

@TomEMatte @Flyingh43892139 Seems unlikely, but good luck!

2015-08-30T13:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637987993903611904

@delvxlle @SteerMark Contrails can last for hours, according to all the books on the weather for the last 70 years.

2015-08-30T13:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637987772926676993

@SteerMark But the fact that those clouds have been observed before means nothing?

2015-08-30T13:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637979536135729152

@SteerMark @delvxlle Can you explain what evidence you have beyond "it looks strange to me"?

2015-08-29T00:44:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637425430736179200

@TchuTchx USA. :)

2015-08-28T22:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637392062099296256

@TchuTchx @webbery I did it manually, with a bit of post. No sun dogs yet. Maybe later.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh4hznU8AAGR_B.jpg

Exposure Mode	Manual exposure
Exposure Program	Manual
Exposure Time	1/1000
Flash	Off, did not fire
FlashPix Version	1.0
FNumber	13
Focal Length	10
Focal Plane Resolution Unit	inches
Focal Plane X Resolution	5,715.546
Focal Plane Y Resolution	5,808.403
ISO Speed Ratings	100

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh4hznU8AAGR_B.jpg

2015-08-28T22:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637387387232849920

@TchuTchx @webbery Of course to the naked eye it looked like:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh0cnEUsAACFI_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh0cnEUsAACFI_.jpg

2015-08-28T22:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637387159083683840

@TchuTchx @webbery here's one I took around noon today.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh0IVRUsAAJljj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNh0IVRUsAAJljj.jpg
2015-08-28T17:08:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637310829516328961
@fybtry67 @karentalford66 I've looked. The trails look like contrails, the chemicals are found in dirt. There's no evidence.

2015-08-28T16:28:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637300798410506240
@karentalford66 I'm trying to communicate science. Do you think I've lied about contrails?

2015-08-28T16:26:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637300242250137601
@fybtry67 @karentalford66 But there's no evidence it is CURRENTLY being done. All the science of SRM is about possible future usage.

2015-08-28T16:02:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637294146403700736
@karentalford66 Do you genuinely think that everything I say to you is a lie?

2015-08-28T15:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637293146531958784
@karentalford66 or of contrails. How can you tell the difference?

2015-08-28T15:56:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/637292597376118784
@ndehaan http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNgePGyVAAAi1pv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNgePGyVAAAi1pv.jpg

2015-08-28T15:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637292244148482049

@ndehaan No, he clearly states he did not see them before 2014. People notice them at different times.

2015-08-28T15:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637291392168013824

@ndehaan Why did Herndon only notice trails in San Diego in 2014?

2015-08-28T15:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637291023232815104

@karentalford66 Theories need evidence. The competing theories (contrails, weather) have much better evidence.

2015-08-28T13:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637248724989665280

@SCCBBTS That's because contrail formation and persistence depends on the weather at

25,000+ feet.

2015-08-27T21:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/637009232961867776

@TchuTchx @webbery Opps They can look pretty similar in some photos, being the same size. Anyway, the 22Â° radius proves it is ice.

2015-08-27T19:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636988172560375808

@TchuTchx @webbery That's a moon halo. Easier to see than a sun halo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNcI4BoUkAAN26s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNcI4BoUkAAN26s.jpg

2015-08-27T18:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636962005551747072

@randileeharper @BadAstronomer Try the animated version.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNbxjn_U8AAUN7l.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNbxjn_U8AAUN7l.png

2015-08-27T00:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636702586515554305

@webbery It's not a sundog. It's a halo. And how could a "chembow" form at the same angle as ice halos? Different refractive index.

2015-08-27T00:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636702159589916672

@Dany_Valenciano Where do you get your science about engines and contrails from then?

2015-08-26T23:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636688019391537152

@Dany_Valenciano What do you mean "fake". It's a real image I scanned from a book.

2015-08-26T23:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636679365342818305

.@webbery What is the difference between a 22Â° halo and a sun dog?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNXwan9UYAEy0Oc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNXwan9UYAEy0Oc.jpg

2015-08-26T23:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636678828207702016

@webbery And all 22Â° halos to be precise. This is a halo:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNXwA_YUcAA6NzP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNXwA_YUcAA6NzP.jpg

2015-08-26T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636678340569509888

@webbery That's a great video. But there are zero sundogs in it. Just halos.

2015-08-26T22:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636667346426310656

@webbery And they are really not that rare (depending on where you live)
https://www.metabunk.org/how-rare-or-common-are-haloes-sun-dogs-etc-a-review-of-the-literature.t6742/

2015-08-26T22:30:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/636667112598081536
@webbery That's not a sundog. It's a halo. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/fact-check-42-degree-halos-incredibly-rare-sundogs-and-toxic-barium-halos.t6725/

2015-08-26T19:47:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/636625969126596608
Chemtrail theorists say rise in respiratory mortality is evidence. But there was no rise!
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rise-in-respiratory-mortality-from-4th-to-3rd-cause-of-death-as-evidence-of-anything.t6746/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNW_s6PUkAAu316.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNW_s6PUkAAu316.jpg
2015-08-25T21:59:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/636296818083545089
A nice simple explanation of how contrails form, 1997.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNSUQ3LUsAARU4N.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNSUQ3LUsAARU4N.jpg

2015-08-25T21:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636285489033576448

@ImaBannedd But the state of PM2.5 from coal in Alberta tells us very little about the issue in the Eastern US.

2015-08-25T21:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636285242836316160

@ImaBannedd It's not the source though, it's a conduit. I'm just pointing out my somewhat heightened caution.

2015-08-25T20:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636281601639354368

@ImaBannedd http://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Friends_of_Science

2015-08-25T20:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636275488718110720

@ImaBannedd And the total area of fire vulnerable woodland.

2015-08-25T20:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/636274945937420288

@ImaBannedd Might want to factor in the area to number of coal plants ratio there. (and check sources) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNSAI8OVEAA4fhd.jpg

---

Figure 2.9 Distribution of Power Plant $PM_{2.5}$ Emission Sources in North America, 2005



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNSAl8OVEAA4fhd.jpg
2015-08-25T00:39:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635974793750163457
@Jennife00167811 Such clouds have always existed, look in any old book on clouds.

2015-08-23T22:56:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635586488835678208
@An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley @N3phyllym The vast majority have obvious explanations.

2015-08-23T22:44:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635583512372875264
@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Yeah. that looks like a different type of thing.

2015-08-23T22:06:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635573731369332736
@An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley @N3phyllym Can you give an example?

2015-08-23T22:05:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635573645843169281
@An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley @N3phyllym How have I debunked "Energetic vortices"? I never even mentioned them.

2015-08-23T22:04:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/635573429467488257

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Are there any that you personally think are not lighting?

2015-08-23T22:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635573138953240576

@An0nKn0wledge @N3phyllym @TheRealNalley Is there something wrong with this explanation?

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNICYC0WcAIjd6A.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNICYC0WcAIjd6A.png

2015-08-23T21:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635571991710441473

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Shortest I could get

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNIBTUcW8AApq0Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNIBTUcW8AApq0Z.jpg

2015-08-23T21:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635571510443417600

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley But f-stop would make no difference.

2015-08-23T21:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635571296789786624

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley It's an iPhone. Fixed F/2.2, Exposure duration probably around 1/3300 (not same as SS tho)

2015-08-23T21:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635569233846501376

@TheRealNalley @N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge Because the shutter is aligned with the long side. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNH-2ZfWUAAxq94.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CNH-2ZfWUAAxq94.png

2015-08-23T21:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635568939477692417

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley How?

2015-08-23T21:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635568619850727424

@TheRealNalley @N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge What about them?

2015-08-23T21:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635568561151418368

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Yes, that's a rolling shutter effect. Did you read the original article?

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/

2015-08-23T21:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635567908970713088

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Lightning averages 30Âµs (0.00003 seconds)

2015-08-23T21:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635566005553639425

@An0nKn0wledge @N3phyllym @TheRealNalley Show me a video (and not a video of a photograph)

2015-08-23T21:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635559754383917059

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley So the article is correct them :)

2015-08-23T21:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635559037053104128

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley So they are digital rolling shutter. So you get these beam artifacts on them

---

2015-08-23T20:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635549568764104704

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley Give an example of a phone with a global shutter. Even S6 has rolling shutter AFAIK

2015-08-23T17:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635510320149200896

@N3phyllym @An0nKn0wledge @TheRealNalley They have digital rolling shutters, not physical ones.

2015-08-22T20:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635183006429376512

@jarek_wiatr @Oswald1160 @SteerMark Everyone sees the same skies, spreading contrails. Not everyone pays attention.

https://www.metabunk.org/how-a-rogue-scientist-disproved-the-chemtrail-theory-by-accident.t6734/

2015-08-22T19:35:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635173489712963584

@Freizeit_Tiger Not really. I'm just trying be polite, so people will read it with an open mind.

2015-08-22T19:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/635170620137971712

How a Rogue Scientist Disproved the Chemtrail Theory by Accident

https://www.metabunk.org/how-a-rogue-scientist-disproved-the-chemtrail-theory-by-accident.t6734/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNCUO8wWUAEeG9c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CNCUO8wWUAEeG9c.jpg

2015-08-21T19:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634805970779131904

@SteerMark Similar images exist from the past. So what evidence is there of change?

2015-08-21T17:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634780121531068417

@rockermom53 @SteerMark @mint8812C Here's one from the UK

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/wea.504/abstract

2015-08-21T17:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634779911568424960

@rockermom53 @SteerMark @mint8812C But this is exactly what you asked for. Do you trust web sites more than books?

2015-08-21T17:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634778097909387264

@rockermom53 @SteerMark @mint8812C Just wondering why you ask. See Clouds of the World, 1972, page 105 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM8vUPAWoAArzcQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM8vUPAWoAArzcQ.jpg

2015-08-21T17:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634777418805452800

@rockermom53 @SteerMark @mint8812C You think NOAA is conspiring to cover something up? How to do they trick the rest of the world?

2015-08-21T17:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634776847197335556

Mysterious stature with digital head is just an ad for a Mexican electronics web site.

https://www.metabunk.org/decoded-statue-with-a-qr-code-head.t6728/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM8t8nIWwAArar_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM8t8nIWwAArar_.jpg

2015-08-21T15:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634754434149576704

RT @Freizeit_Tiger: Fact Check: 42Â° Halos, Sundogs and "Toxic Barium Halos" https://www.metabunk.org/fact-check-42-degree-halos-incredibly-rare-sundogs-and-toxic-barium-halos.t6725/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM6bm0OWwAASPKZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM6bm0OWwAASPKZ.jpg

2015-08-21T15:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634747608062369793

@BobbySkullz @SteerMark Those are satellite images. Are you suggesting I get out into low earth orbit?

2015-08-21T14:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634726699138162688

@SteerMark Your photos show different things. Yet you seem to be seeking a single

explanation. That's not going to work.

2015-08-21T13:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634714593126973440

@SteerMark Those are ship trails.

http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards/view.php?id=40626

2015-08-21T05:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634593453171732480

@SteerMark @mint8812C http://www.srh.noaa.gov/mob/?n=hole_punch Hole punch clouds. They happen.

2015-08-21T00:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634524703886065664

Chemtrail activist's statement to the EPA contained a lot of errors. Particularly about halos https://www.metabunk.org/fact-check-42-degree-halos-incredibly-rare-sundogs-and-toxic-barium-halos.t6725/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM5Iz1GW8AAWNi5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CM5Iz1GW8AAWNi5.jpg

2015-08-20T20:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634461452225654784

.@pjholdsitdown Great video, I think all chemtrail enthusiasts should watch it, and then go and fact-check it. Start with High bypass

---

2015-08-20T15:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634384625696018432

@DrHietanen @Accu_Jesse They are a lot less interesting when horizontal :)

2015-08-20T00:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634164670962733056

You can get a "strange beam of light" from an expensive camera too, just not very likely.

https://www.metabunk.org/will-an-slr-create-rolling-shutter-artifacts-like-a-beam-of-light-with-lightning.t6718/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CM0BQrgWcAA_ow3.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CM0BQrgWcAA_ow3.png

2015-08-20T00:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634164358940114944

@O_Files I'm retired.

2015-08-19T19:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634085797575139329

@SocialPros

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMy5rDAWIAAerfs.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMy5rDAWIAAerfs.png

2015-08-19T17:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634054832807104512

@MickWest That's normally 1/30th of a second long.

2015-08-19T17:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/634054581295652864

How a rolling shutter plus lighting makes a mysterious beam.

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMydLDSWgAAD31d.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMydLDSWgAAD31d.png

2015-08-18T19:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633724135236104192

@frogetteca @O_Files Indeed. There's a lot of different people out there. Always something interesting to write about.,

---

2015-08-18T19:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633722652503461893

@frogetteca @O_Files Who are "they"?

2015-08-18T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633722140504780801

@O_Files @frogetteca Of course it seems strange. Lots of things with scientific explanations seem strange. Contrails+shadow

---

2015-08-18T14:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633648970301079552

@littleangel911 @Justtweet1505 @davebrooker33 @cmnit @lucamoriM5S I would be if there was evidence they were. Anyone would. The elite would

---

2015-08-18T04:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633503188398641152

@Justtweet1505 @davebrooker33 @littleangel911 @cmnit @lucamoriM5S Yes they do, look it up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMqnyM6VEAAM84H.jpg

---



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMqnyM6VEAAM84H.jpg

2015-08-17T18:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633349013681516544

@DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 So you agree with science papers then? Or just the ones that agree with you?

2015-08-17T18:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633348908282834944

@DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=bigfoot+yeti

---

2015-08-17T18:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633342469497688064

@DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 @chemtrailHou NCBI just indexed it. It was published here. It was still wrong. http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/8/9375

2015-08-17T18:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633338839415656448
@DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 @chemtrailHou @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA Do you think this paper is correct? Have you checked it?

2015-08-17T15:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/633292522584825856
Why those mysterious vertical beams go behind things.
https://www.metabunk.org/solved-strange-beam-of-light-over-mayan-temple-and-florida-lightning-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMnoDKlUEAEpnA8.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CMnoDKlUEAEpnA8.png
2015-08-17T14:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/633277216508395520
@lucamoriM5S @cmnit
https://www.metabunk.org/dark-beam-in-front-of-a-contrail-massa-italy-edge-shadow.t6708/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMnaRc8UsAAHLfw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMnaRc8UsAAHLfw.jpg

2015-08-17T13:16:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633266223212433409

@lucamoriM5S @cmnit A slight curve (in the contrail)) makes the shadow thicker.

2015-08-16T23:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/633061911710466048

@cmnit @lucamoriM5S No, it's #3. Part of the trail is lined up with the sun. It create a slab of shadow (like a sheet of glass).

2015-08-16T18:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632988046561005568

@cmnit @lucamoriM5S #3 http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2015-08-16T16:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632953695479578624

@aluminumsky111 @SteerMark @jelo1317 What did the rest of the sky look like? What about the last 7 days?

2015-08-16T05:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632786303805841408

@GuyHagi Probably this gulfstream private jet, assuming you'd just seen it when you tweeted. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMgbv7DXAAAMQtR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMgbv7DXAAAMQtR.jpg

2015-08-16T05:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632784831479332864

@GuyHagi Interesting. I guess you don't get many contrails over Oahu? Generally planes would be too low.

2015-08-15T23:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632703039829241857

@tiffanycameille Perspective foreshortening. Here's a great example:

https://www.metabunk.org/identified-bent-contrail-near-seattle-private-jet-at-41-000-ft.t3881/

2015-08-15T23:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632702755174420480

@tiffanycameille @Oswald1160 @logicfrenzy Different altitude.

2015-08-15T23:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632690944836407297

RT @teddyrised: Remember that creepy hanging man in the "Copper family photography"? It's officially debunked

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cooper-copper-family-ghost-photo.t5536/#post-154429

http:â□¦

2015-08-15T21:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632668133493313536

@joeyyeo13

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-over-mayan-temple-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMewTPEWUAEYqYH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMewTPEWUAEYqYH.jpg

2015-08-15T21:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632667649739108352

@GuyHagi Pic?

2015-08-15T21:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632665978472525824

@clearlyess @kaadooblavey The only lie here is that they are "incredibly rare". They are rare in some parts of the world, not others.

2015-08-15T21:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632665363885391872

@PurpleSkyzOOM

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-over-mayan-temple-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMety71WoAAwcKT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMety71WoAAwcKT.jpg

2015-08-15T20:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632650484755533824

@XHGordon @Tsoukalos

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-over-mayan-temple-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMegQuQWEAAgjc1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMegQuQWEAAgjc1.jpg

2015-08-15T14:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632555105615675392

Mysterious pink beams of light over Mayan temple and Florida freeway. Explained.

https://www.metabunk.org/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-over-mayan-temple-rolling-shutter-artifact.t3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMdJXTCWUAAIIWd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMdJXTCWUAAIIWd.jpg

2015-08-14T23:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632340773833322496

@wpaulsen31 @SteerMark When did you see this?

2015-08-14T20:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632286874782986241

@tiffanycameille @Oswald1160 @logicfrenzy Some lead might be coming from prop planes

though, as they use leaded fuel.

2015-08-14T20:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632286754481926144

@tiffanycameille @Oswald1160 @logicfrenzy Jet engines don't really release metals though, only incredibly small trace amounts.

2015-08-14T18:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632264255534841856

@Kt23kartracer @Hsaive Did anyone ever claim otherwise? This has been known for many decades.

2015-08-14T18:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632263852097273856

@Squails1 @SteerMark Diff stats? The problem here was units. Trace amounts of elements are found everywhere.

2015-08-14T15:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632212048575774720

@SteerMark @Squails1 Looks like you got the units wrong there. Off by 1000x

---

2015-08-14T15:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632207025796022272

@tiffanycameille @logicfrenzy I retract my previous tweet. There are actually elemental metal, such as lead, acting as nuclei.

2015-08-14T14:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/632204902991695872

@tiffanycameille @logicfrenzy Jet planes cruise between 30,000 and 45,000 feet. The stratosphere varies.

2015-08-14T00:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631986833744785408

@tiffanycameille @logicfrenzy None of it is actual metal though. All salts, oxides, etc.

2015-08-13T20:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631925785637425152

@tiffanycameille @logicfrenzy Nearly all natural clouds you see have some kind of nuclei.

2015-08-13T20:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631925623141699584

@tiffanycameille @logicfrenzy Why would it evaporate? It just condensed and froze. If the air is ice supersaturated, then it can't evaporate

---

2015-08-13T18:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631894379234390016

Daily Mail recycled old scans of shipping container as #MH370 debris. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-mh370-daily-mail-claims-new-sonar-images-indicate-aircraft-debris.t6694/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMTwKgZWIAAnpmV.jpg

---



Synthetic aperture sonar category 3 contact point

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMTwKgZWIAAnpmV.jpg

2015-08-13T04:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631687101906444288

@tiffanycameille No. Not if I say so. If all the books on clouds for 70 YEARS say so.

2015-08-13T02:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631660736989687813

@OpChemtrails Hey, that's my house!

2015-08-13T02:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631660632983494657

@VincentCruz Empty field myopia. http://contrailscience.com/where-did-all-the-planes-go/

2015-08-12T12:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631439224219299840

@ufoofinterest Looks like someone was trolling, and it worked. Good investigation though.

2015-08-12T05:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631338241359130624

@nntaleb But you seem to be tarring them all with the same brush.

2015-08-12T05:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631338112111656961

@tiffanycameille Contrails have always turned into cirrus.

2015-08-12T02:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631296306636414977

@nntaleb because I want to understand what you are doing.

2015-08-12T02:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631284543064911872

@nntaleb do you think they are all actual paid shills?

2015-08-12T01:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631273493955371008

@nntaleb How do you separate actual shills from people who simply disagree with you?

2015-08-11T21:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/631213433103319041

@ImaBannedd @1RonanConnolly @AndyMeanie @Foxgoose Plenty of eyes on AGW. My rigor would be redundant. Plus, i have limited time.

2015-08-11T01:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630906652435177472

@Surfhempster

https://www.google.com/search?q=painting+of+sunset+constable&num=50&es_sm=119&biw=1329&bih=921&source=lnms&tbm=isch&

2015-08-10T18:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630809807075684353

@4thAnon http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMEWLUoUMAAmhdn.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CMEWLUoUMAAmhdn.png

2015-08-10T17:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630799773243539456

@SteHall14 Tanker Enemy? He thinks that high bypass engines can't make contrails, when actually they make MORE contrails.

2015-08-09T18:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630441999649239040

@markdijon Regarding the Hudson image, are you pointing at the missing aileron, or the gap in the flap?

2015-08-09T16:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630422648867061760

@markdijon Hudson A320 did not have flaperons. Inner flaps ripped off by engine detachment. Right outer aileron probably the closest thing.

2015-08-09T16:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630417420931371008

@markdijon I'm asking you if there WAS trailing edge damage on the Hudson, and what an flaperon after uncontrolled descent might look like.

2015-08-09T16:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630416436884692992

@markdijon The hudson photo you posted showed a missing flaperon, not trailing edge damage.

2015-08-09T16:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630414407403618304

@markdijon Is that what happened on the Hudson?

2015-08-09T16:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630410668789751808

@markdijon And what exactly would happen to the flaperon in an uncontrolled descent?

2015-08-08T16:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630057753906556928

@ConspiracyWATCH http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5qMD7UEAAhLYb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5qMD7UEAAhLYb.jpg

2015-08-08T16:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630057484997169152

@Joe2013CFC just static discharge wicks.

https://www.metabunk.org/tube-like-objects-on-back-of-wing-static-wicks-static-dischargers.t6674/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5p8aRUEAALpzq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5p8aRUEAALpzq.jpg

2015-08-08T15:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630034852327194624

Latest "chemtrail" photo uses a misleading perspective on static discharge wicks.

https://www.metabunk.org/tube-like-objects-on-back-of-wing-static-wicks-static-dischargers.t6674/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5VHSPXAAAizs-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5VHSPXAAAizs-.jpg
2015-08-08T15:00:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/630030835534532608
.@ConspiracyWATCH Forced perspective photo of static discharge wicks. Basically wires.
https://www.metabunk.org/tube-like-objects-on-back-of-wing-static-wicks-static-dischargers.t6674/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5RmE3WsAAbn3s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CL5RmE3WsAAbn3s.jpg

2015-08-08T14:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/630030090567483392

@SteerMark @jelo1317 It's not normal though, you hardly ever see the sky looking that dramatic. But still just contrails.

2015-08-08T12:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629989915900555265

@tiffanycameille Looks like a contrail. Perspective foreshortening exaggerates the turn.

2015-08-07T18:22:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629719183429779456

@siddiqui069 @Chemtrail_UK @ChemtrailWarnD @Chemtrails_818 @chemtrail_japan @Chemtrails_TR @Chemtrail_Watch

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

2015-08-06T23:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629440315145883648

@davebrooker33 Sorry about the silly music here, but what's wrong with this explanation?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=43F54hR0NW8

2015-08-06T23:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629439267333255168

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo So why did they "pull" WTC6?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_v2yud3aCGQ

2015-08-06T23:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629438720345702400

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo Here's the actual sense.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLw3I2aXAAAuMEX.jpg



b. *colloq.* (orig. *U.S.*). To withdraw from publication, circulation, or use; to cancel or revoke (a business deal, etc.); to recall or rescind (a document); to cease to operate or make use of.

Thesaurus »
Categories »

1937 *Sun* (Baltimore) 22 May 18/3 We are pulling the fires under most of the boilers but leaving sufficient boilers to maintain steam to [etc.].

1967 *Los Angeles Times* 15 Jan. G4/4 Staughton Lynd of Yale made an unauthorized trip to North Vietnam in 1965, and the department pulled his passport.

1978 G. Bordman *Amer. Musical Theatre* ii. 96 Previous commitments forced it to be pulled when its initial booking ended.

1986 *Times* 11 Oct. 21/5 Some dealers were convinced the deal had been pulled at the last minute after a disagreement over the price.

2005 *Gazette* (Montreal) (Nexis) 12 Mar. A7 The Gazette has joined several other newspapers that have decided to pull any further Julie story ads.

(Hide quotations)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLw3I2aXAAAuMEX.jpg

2015-08-06T23:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629438636275052544

@davebrooker33 Can you quote an actual usage?

2015-08-06T23:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629438047482806272

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo Yeah, it means pull down with cables. Larry meant pull out the firefighting operation.

2015-08-06T23:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629437672235253760

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo Not just the pics they doctored, it's basic science. Like the high bypass engine nonsense.

2015-08-06T23:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629437277370867712

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo I'm talking about the "pull" comment. You can look up what it meant, and the context.

2015-08-06T23:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629436971446767616

@chemtrailHou @Oswald1160 @SHINER3LIMA @ste_whitee127 @DJSlugsworth I can explain it, he'd just ignore me.

2015-08-06T23:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629436754907410432

@davebrooker33 @junksciencejudo Look it up Dave. These things were debunked over ten years ago. You're just ignoring the answers.

2015-08-06T18:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629356991635456000

@ste_whitee127 @Oswald1160 @DJSlugsworth @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Why do you think that? All books on clouds disagree.

2015-08-06T18:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629355869126438916

@junksciencejudo The interior of WTC7 was gone. So just the exterior was left. That buckled, so what exactly is supporting anything?

2015-08-06T18:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629354764338352128

@ste_whitee127 @Oswald1160 @DJSlugsworth @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou About 50 to 100 miles an hour.

2015-08-06T17:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629337362284199936

@junksciencejudo @Anon_thr33 The columns WERE the resistance. Once they buckled (over several floors), there was nothing left.

2015-08-06T16:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629325782716801026

@junksciencejudo @Anon_thr33 Yes, slender column buckling. A column needs to be vertical and straight to support load. Like soda can.

2015-08-06T14:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629304876271403008

@junksciencejudo @Anon_thr33 A soda can will support over 1000x it's own weight. How many WTC7s could you stack on top of WTC7?

2015-08-06T14:07:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629292712986935296

@junksciencejudo @Anon_thr33 Slender column buckling onset is quite sudden. Have you ever tried to stand on a soda can?

2015-08-05T21:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629044542516256768

@QuestionLift It's the weather. There are generally a lot fewer contrails in the less rainy months. Relates to moisture in the air.

2015-08-05T21:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/629039477659316225

@junksciencejudo @Anon_thr33 slender column buckling.

2015-08-05T17:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628981318676824064

From a Million Miles Away, NASA Camera Shows Moon Crossing Face of Earth http://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/from-a-million-miles-away-nasa-camera-shows-moon-crossing-face-of-earth http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CLqXLMqWcAA1LMs.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CLqXLMqWcAA1LMs.png

2015-08-05T15:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628951179746095104

.@Anon_thr33 It's not natural. But those are called "contrails", they are a type of cloud formed by the water in aircraft exhaust.

2015-08-04T23:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628705202938359809

Chemtrail web site uses fake images to promote their theory. https://www.metabunk.org/photoshopped-chemtrail-images-on-geoengineeringwatch-org.t6658/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CLmb-H8W8AEXMZB.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CLmb-H8W8AEXMZB.png

2015-08-04T22:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628695636586680320

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Numbers are right. They show an increase in acres burnt since 1960

2015-08-04T22:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628694981558071296

@NJSnowFan @MendyWax @ImaBannedd @luisbaram Not the point. You said Obama lied, and then you used a graph with the important data missing.

2015-08-04T22:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628694571875180544

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Wildfires-excel.xlsx <<< same data he used, but with the acres added

---

2015-08-04T22:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628694447866441729

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Yes I did. The graph show no fires, it omits acres burnt. It's half the spreadsheet I sent

---

2015-08-04T22:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628694207230812160

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @MendyWax Because you strive for accuracy?

2015-08-04T22:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628693728883003393

@MendyWax @ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan Which makes the current rise in acres burnt even more dramatic.

2015-08-04T22:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628693479200301059

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax The basic point here is that the original graph posted was highly misleading.

2015-08-04T22:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628693123716222976

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax No, there's more fires because it hotter. There's a known correlation there.

2015-08-04T22:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628692972528357376

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @MendyWax It's rising, isn't it? Even @NJSnowFanl didn't use that date range.

2015-08-04T22:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628692465092956160

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Pre 1960 decline is not heat related. It's forestry management and firefighting techniques

---

2015-08-04T22:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628692255239331841

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax But it's rising. That's the point. Obama said it was rising.

2015-08-04T22:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628691555667288065

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Here you go: https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Wildfires-excel.xlsx

---

2015-08-04T22:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628690983752986624

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Here's that data. I'll send you and xls https://www.nifc.gov/fireInfo/fireInfo_stats_totalFires.html

---

2015-08-04T22:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628690374823772161

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax Here's the data, from http://nifc.gov

https://docs.google.com/a/mickwest.com/spreadsheets/d/1Bl8rgr0iuZxDbvUl2Gd1RdCOTneXPzZtZRorG51U6bI/edit?usp=sharing

---

2015-08-04T22:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628690139980509185

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax I am. You did not post the acres. They increase. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmOWdSUsAAjh4J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmOWdSUsAAjh4J.jpg

2015-08-04T22:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628689892961206272

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram Do you disagree though, that total acres burned has risen singe 1960?

2015-08-04T22:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628689334414131200

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram But wasn't on the image you posted.

2015-08-04T22:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628688946562633728

@TillyLaCampagne @ImaBannedd @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram Plot the total acres per year. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmNQ-JUsAEEtKN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmNQ-JUsAEEtKN.jpg

2015-08-04T22:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628688580601249792

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram You use the years prior to the invention of planes? Doh!

2015-08-04T22:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628687880366374912

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram That chart is bad data. Just munged from wikipedia edits.

2015-08-04T22:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628687414492442624

.@NJSnowFan @MendyWax @ImaBannedd @luisbaram No, he's just looking at the acreage, which is the more important figure http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmL3zGUwAE32as.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLmL3zGUwAE32as.jpg

2015-08-04T21:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628683436723572736

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram The Rocky fire today is at 65,000 acres. http://yubanet.com/fire.php

---

2015-08-04T21:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628682485996503040

@ImaBannedd @TillyLaCampagne @NJSnowFan @MendyWax @luisbaram Huh? There's over 100K just in CA, 6,000K with Alaska. http://yubanet.com/fire.php

---

2015-08-04T15:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628586411239706625

@junksciencejudo NIST is quite clear on the sequence of events. Quote where you think they are wrong.

2015-08-04T15:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628585915598938112

@SHINER3LIMA @mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 There are lots of real problems in the world. How do you know which are not real?

2015-08-04T15:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628585812054159360

@SHINER3LIMA @mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 I'm familiar with that meme. It's just conspiratorial thinking.

2015-08-04T14:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628574852463640577

@SHINER3LIMA @mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 I'm certainly a bit worried about climate change. Could cause problems.

2015-08-04T04:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628421953645248512

@mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA That's what the science seems to say. You can never be 100%, but it sure seems real.

2015-08-04T04:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628418898992328704

@mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA Lots of scientists think climate change is real. So they research addressing it.

2015-08-04T04:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628418567722045440

@mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA And they explain why geoengineering might be needed. To combat climate change.

2015-08-04T04:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628417962664275968

@ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Contrails are a type of cloud. That's why they have been in cloud books for 70+ years.

2015-08-04T04:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628417746489864192

@mikesara444 @DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou For the reasons they give. Didn't you read it?

2015-08-04T03:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628414134154080256

@DJSlugsworth @ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Theoretical. Lots of different options for possible future GE.

2015-08-04T00:03:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628355497360494594

@TheJetDetective Contrails.

2015-08-03T21:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628316492464062464

@junksciencejudo Why do you say global failure occurred after C79 failed? C79 failed just BEFORE the penthouse fell. Global collapse later.

2015-08-03T18:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628278809381376000

@junksciencejudo You can't just keep changing the topic when it's not going your way. You are being dishonest to yourself.

2015-08-03T18:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628278639117774849

@junksciencejudo No, your one is "C79 Failure would not cause the Penthouse to collapse".

2015-08-03T18:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628278414017835009

@junksciencejudo How do you know it's redundant enough to support the penthouse after C79 failed?

2015-08-03T18:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628265491375652864

@junksciencejudo And how do you know that it has zero structural dependence on column 79? What was C79 holding up then?

2015-08-03T17:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628257404581343232

@junksciencejudo What was supporting the penthouse?

2015-08-03T17:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628257344300814338

@junksciencejudo How do you know that the penthouse on top would not be the first to fail in these circumstances?

2015-08-03T17:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628256512650035200

@junksciencejudo Do you know what 'slender column buckling" is?

2015-08-03T17:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628256251533590529

@junksciencejudo But it's a complex question, and a complex answer. To understand "how" you need t understand lots of things.

2015-08-03T17:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628256091327967232

@junksciencejudo the interior of the building collapsed asymmetrically, leaving the exterior, which then failed by buckling.

2015-08-03T17:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628255442725048320

@junksciencejudo Since I suspect you will just reject any explanation I give.

2015-08-03T17:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628255343143882752

@junksciencejudo Unless you want to focus on ONE thing, then I really don't have the time.

2015-08-03T17:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628254719731998720

@junksciencejudo "odd"? Lots of things seem odd. You can't infer anything from things seeming

odd to you, just that you don't understand

2015-08-03T17:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628253662482071552

@junksciencejudo "seems odd" is not an argument. Stalactites seem odd. Maybe you are missing something. Maybe you need to focus more.

2015-08-03T17:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628253171308040192

@junksciencejudo fade to black, change lens, fade to picture? Is that what happened?

2015-08-03T17:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628253019415547904

@junksciencejudo I'm no sure what you are referring to. Do you have a link to this video?

2015-08-03T17:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628252860132671488

@junksciencejudo You know what a lens change is, right? What exactly is the problem? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H-qtdjF2DqE

2015-08-03T17:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628252412675911680

@junksciencejudo What do you think explains the black screen? Why not a lens change?

2015-08-03T16:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628248783298494464

@junksciencejudo Can you demonstrate this?

2015-08-03T16:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628245272796135424

@junksciencejudo Can you give an example?

2015-08-03T13:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/628203119546007552

@junksciencejudo Can you give an example?

2015-08-01T17:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/627528228006154240

@ufoofinterest Similar to this one. People tend to link "shadows" to objects. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLVtgv3UYAAxi_I.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CLVtgv3UYAAxi_I.jpg

2015-07-31T04:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/626973910903484416

@AJBattaglia No worries. Unfortunately it's a common response - claim anyone who disagree wiith you is a "shill", so you can ignore them.

2015-07-26T20:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625405976301150208

@ste_whitee127 @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Those look like contrails. So why do you think they are not?

2015-07-26T19:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625380557531299841

@SteerMark How do you know?

2015-07-26T18:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625379081593450496

@chemtrailHou @AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA We all see the same thing. The question is if it's a contrail or not. Old books say contrails.

2015-07-26T18:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625369166233645057

@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou How do you know they are not normal clouds? Same as in old books on clouds.

2015-07-26T18:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625368786930151428

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth It's the total that counts. Cars and trucks are biggest polluters. Energy more than transport http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK3BgTtWgAAu_rx.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK3BgTtWgAAu_rx.png
2015-07-26T17:59:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625364853893537792
@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Again, discussion of possible methods of future geoengineering. So?

2015-07-26T17:57:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625364450124693505
@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Discussion of regulation of possible future geoengineering. And so?

2015-07-26T17:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625364367413018624
@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Did the person testing you try to sell you any "detox" products?

2015-07-26T17:57:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625364218427129856
@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou And what happened when you tested on other days?

2015-07-26T17:56:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625364031830892544
@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Right, not all clouds are white either.Some are black or red. Still clouds.

2015-07-26T17:53:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625363235454218240
@SHINER3LIMA @AnotherGeorgian @chemtrailHou So why trust a site that spreads nonsense

like that?

2015-07-26T17:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625363182123659264

@SHINER3LIMA @AnotherGeorgian @chemtrailHou Which is utterly backwards, as all science says high bypass engines make MORE contrails.

2015-07-26T17:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625363059083771904

@SHINER3LIMA @AnotherGeorgian @chemtrailHou You know they make nonsensical claims, like "high bypass engines don't make contrails".

2015-07-26T17:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625362954104537088

@SHINER3LIMA @AnotherGeorgian @chemtrailHou Because there's no evidence that they are. You think geoengineering watch is a good site?

2015-07-26T17:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625362540772638720

@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou How does a hair test relate to white lines in the sky? Why do you think aluminum is from that?

2015-07-26T17:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625361902298890241

@SHINER3LIMA @AnotherGeorgian @chemtrailHou Any why can't this be contrails? Planes fly over your house, don't they?

2015-07-26T17:38:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625359440166940676

@AnotherGeorgian @SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Can you pick one piece of evidence that can't be explained otherwise?

2015-07-26T16:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625349207218589696

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth Relatively little pollution comes from jets though. More from ground transport, industry, mining, and energy.

2015-07-26T16:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625348497164890112

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth They breath the same air everyone else does.

2015-07-26T16:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625348166024626177

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth collateral damage in the fight for profits. I don't think they would actually want to kill their own children.

2015-07-26T16:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625347904186753026

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth A slight decrease in the difference in temperature extremes in night and day (diurnal).

2015-07-26T16:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625347508466786304

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth What do you mean? That you don't like pollution, or you think there's deliberate spraying?

2015-07-26T16:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625340506638274560

@SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Don't trust people on the internet. Verify what they say with books.

2015-07-26T16:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625340218997125120

@DJSlugsworth So why do you think he did? The only source is a satirical web site.

2015-07-26T16:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625340037027209217

@DJSlugsworth @Kilgoar @SteerMark @MockWest_ See those jets flying with no trail? They have the EXACT SAME exhaust as jets lesving trails

---

2015-07-26T16:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625339850212962304

@DJSlugsworth @Kilgoar @SteerMark @MockWest_ Jet exhaust is pollution regardless of if it leaves a contrail or not.

2015-07-26T16:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625338400044920833

@SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou But are you basing that in part on a misunderstanding of contrails? Did you check books? Can contrails persist?

2015-07-26T16:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625338129818456064

@DJSlugsworth Not true, but what about this Snowden quote. Does it not bother you that it's made up?

2015-07-26T16:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625337964227371008

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @MockWest_ Just like cars and trucks do. Even people make CO2 by breathing.

2015-07-26T16:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625337828747182084

@Kilgoar @DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @MockWest_ "toxic" is a bit of a loaded word. It releases carbon dioxide and water, and a bit of soot.

2015-07-26T16:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625337441067606017

@SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou Have you ever actually CHECKED to see if contrails can persist and spread? Why wouldn't you check it?

2015-07-26T16:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625337323077660672

@SHINER3LIMA @chemtrailHou The books say it, so I don't have to. I'm just suggesting you have a look at the books.

2015-07-26T16:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625336757605765120

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 Then ignore people for a while. Read a book for some real information. examples: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-07-26T16:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625335837975277568

@DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @MockWest_ So you think the Snowden quote is real? Even though it comes from Chronicle.SU, http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2jiO0WgAAiLd1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2jiO0WgAAiLd1.jpg

2015-07-26T16:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625335121546231809

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 I recommend Peterson's Field Guide to Atmosphere, 1981. Only $4

http://www.amazon.com/gp/offer-listing/0395240808/ref=tmm_hrd_used_olp_sr?ie=UTF8&condi

tion=used&qid=&sr=

2015-07-26T16:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625334808554676224

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 Check out any old book on clouds. See what they say about contrails.

2015-07-26T15:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625334694897451008

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 No, I'm saying it's contrails and clouds.

2015-07-26T15:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625332581656039424

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 Yes I have. I've even talked to him about it. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-geoengineering-is-like-free-riding-on-our-grandkids.t160/

2015-07-26T15:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625331549647568897

@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 The issue here is the ripple clouds. They existed in 1905, so you can't say they are "HAARP clouds".

2015-07-26T15:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625329404361732096

@karentalford66 @SHINER3LIMA You think it's been around over 100 years? This was published in 1905. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2don7WcAIEeXB.jpg

---



PLATE 59. ALTO WAVES. (*Alto-stratus Undatus*) *Page* 149.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2don7WcAIEeXB.jpg

2015-07-26T14:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625304599231090688

@karentalford66 @SHINER3LIMA And of course from the ground there photos over 100 years old. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2HJ3FW8AAs3r3.jpg



PLATE 60. CIRRO RIPPLES. (*Cirro-cumulus Undatus.*) Page 150.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2HJ3FW8AAs3r3.jpg
2015-07-26T13:54:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625303093400469504
@SHINER3LIMA @karentalford66 I don't see any evidence anyone has started SRM geoengineering yet. And I hope they don't.

2015-07-26T13:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/625302898562482176
.@karentalford66 @SHINER3LIMA Here's a 1983 satellite photo of mountain waves reflecting and intersecting. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2FrBZWsAANB7t.jpg



▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.

Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CK2FrBZWsAANB7t.jpg

2015-07-26T12:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625283392687501312

@karentalford66 @SHINER3LIMA If that's HAARP, then why re there photos like that from before HAARP? It's just atmospheric ripples.

2015-07-26T12:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625282300633333760

@andreaventura01 The images are due to different cameras. Maybe post a correction? See:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKyzX3VWcAAZdTw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKyzX3VWcAAZdTw.jpg

2015-07-26T01:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625112290405314561

@DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @MockWest_ No, I mean one that wasn't posted on a satire web site.

2015-07-26T00:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625096339756593152

@AeroSavvy @DomnicAlexander NIce. Although the rainbow effect on aerodynamic CTs is from diffraction, nor refraction. http://www.atoptics.co.uk/droplets/irid1.htm

---

2015-07-25T23:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625086259757740032

@candylovesjamie @SteerMark @MockWest_ Perhaps you could give a relevant example?

2015-07-25T23:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625080964100804608

@SteerMark @MockWest_ So why has Snowdon never said anything about chemtrails? Why no Wikileaks about chemtrails?

2015-07-25T23:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625079155877658624

Some folk think satellite images of Earth are fake as they show different sized continents

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy6DplWsAEElN-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy6DplWsAEElN-.jpg

2015-07-25T22:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625077334572404737

@MockWest_ @SteerMark No, it's from a Public Policy polling Poll, https://www.metabunk.org/conspiracy-theory-poll-results-shows-party-age-race-splits-on-chemtrails-etc.t1345/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy4hfmWUAAkWpU.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy4hfmWUAAkWpU.png

2015-07-25T22:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625076846812622848

@Freizeit_Tiger yeah, the DSCOVR satellite has 10. It only used 3 for the blue marble image. http://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/DSCOVR/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy4FGVXAAA4nr8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy4FGVXAAA4nr8.jpg

2015-07-25T22:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625074879134572545

@SteerMark So why do less than 5% of the population think they exist?

2015-07-25T22:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625074699614191616

@Freizeit_Tiger And some other images include non-visible light to accent vegetation changes.

2015-07-25T22:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625074557376950273

@Freizeit_Tiger Yes, Everything except the human photos is actually composited from three monochrome R,G,B images

---

2015-07-25T22:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625073941485355008

@ClimateEnggRR That's not an actual image from 2000. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy1ZfVWsAAuNqd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKy1ZfVWsAAuNqd.jpg

2015-07-25T22:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/625071705321553920

Different images of the Earth from space don't mean the Earth Changed. The cameras changed.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-blue-marble-photos-show-a-changing-earth.t6616/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKyzX3VWcAAZdTw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKyzX3VWcAAZdTw.jpg

2015-07-24T14:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624586090461184001

.@RAeSTimR That aerodynamic contrail came from BA249, from London to Brazil. Discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/a-rainbow-aerodynamic-contrail.t6588/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKr5vTZXAAAob9r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKr5vTZXAAAob9r.jpg

2015-07-24T14:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624585476763189248

@DomnicAlexander @RAeSTimR @AvWeekRupa There's really just two basic types of contrail: exhaust and aerodynamic. There are variants though.

2015-07-24T14:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624581300800741376

@2AFight You know that less guns would mean less suicides, right? You might not like it, but it's a fact.

2015-07-24T05:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624454926698180608

@4thAnon The difference being Powell was talking about drone delivered chemical weapons. ISIS actually has explosives.

2015-07-24T05:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624453272817025024

@JimKalles Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624453192684826624

@SurvivalPlanKit Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:36:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624453153174503424
@AlwaysRightUSA Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:36:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624453125429153792
@RedScareBot Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:36:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624453088242454528
@retireleo Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:36:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624453009876123648
@offgridsurvival Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:35:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624452934819008512
@RichardsonOGS Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624452871447277568
@Snow_Mexican Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624452827788742656
@SecWhDirectory Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-24T05:35:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624452762915504128
@G4VUSA Nobody is banning land ownership, it's a draft proposing relaxing zoning regulations
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-seattle-trying-to-ban-land-ownership-in-name-of-equality.t6615/

2015-07-23T23:55:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624367386427305984
@4thAnon Here's another similar analysis:
http://doubtfulnews.com/2015/07/alternative-cancer-doctor-nicholas-gonzalez-has-died-health-nut-fans-baseless-fears/

2015-07-23T23:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624366700457275392
@4thAnon They deny that. But what evidence do you have?
http://www.snopes.com/info/aboutus.asp

2015-07-23T23:42:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624364046549172224
@4thAnon And if you want to get into details:
http://m.snopes.com/2015/07/21/five-holistic-doctors-dead/

2015-07-23T23:40:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624363463444447232
@4thAnon Let's say I tweeted every time a plumber died. Soon you'd be thinking they were killing off plumbers.

2015-07-23T23:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624363197651415040
@4thAnon What are you comparing the stats with? Counting something is pointless if you can't compare it with anything.

2015-07-23T23:32:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624361468323098624
@4thAnon You are just creating a myth via the clustering illusion. Even Mike Adams says "Such speculation is entirely premature"

2015-07-23T23:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624358674241355776
@HuffPostUK Any day in the next 100 years is not "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624323081981423616

@OccuWorld No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624323049723031552

@SmokinSocial No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624322990520426496

@tamicasey Ignore it, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319944235180033

@Skamme Any day in the next 100 years. The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319597798252545

@jmj @davecraige No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319475165171712

@EarthQuake_glob Agreed, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319338082742272

@SFBayAreaUpdate No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319276971732994

@Meadowhawk No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319232197554176

@FlowofWisdom No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319188073447425

@watchingeye No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319098063695872

@TheFreedomRpt No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319064324751361

@wendyscakes4u No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624319011388456961

@robinsnewswire No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624318947874045952

@TruthSetMeFree1 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T20:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624318922313994242

@opurra No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T19:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624301087785381888

@geology_agent Or any day in the next 100 years. You can't predict it.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T19:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624299721822179328

@Nigia No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T19:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624299662200147969

@BELIMBLA4 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T19:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624299616935280644

@EMoyrand No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T19:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624299564640694272

@CornubiaGeol No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T18:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624291571589115904

@juliacarriew USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now" . Still 30% over 30 years.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T18:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624288166846038016

@Amesstarrrr No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T18:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624288113200861185

@gardeniasnjazz No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T18:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624281905253511170

@AZofGWarming or it could not. Just 30% chance over next 30 years. Not "any day"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624276473277452288

@alejandrorodas_ The second at least is false.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624276014185758720

@pianojl No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275980127981568

@monicaluhar No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275937429979139

@ncustisTheSun Don't worry. The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275823588188160

@TheSun No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275754268905472

@JimThomeStatue @ColiseumSewage They are. USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275674916892676

@gumshoe44 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T17:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624275519459196928

@Earthquake361 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624246849914957824

@BrandonDoughan And this more recent hyperbolic non-prediction of an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:51:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624245530940547076

@manseydoll No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242948641812480

@unklar No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:39:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242485884272640

@DoomsdaysCW No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242452749242368

@whatwakeup No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242351813169152

@AAPG No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242244267167744

@HuffPostUK No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242204832346112

@mercenarygeo No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242110561132544

@dailycallout No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242066248331264

@JammieWF No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624242005078577152

@SkyWatch_TV No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:37:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241962829398016

@TomBevanRCP No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241902372683777

@TheSun No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241738652229632

@Conspiracy_Club No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241707756949504

@ICLRCanada No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241629562576896

@jennieloveeee No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T15:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624241601393639424

@HuffPostUK No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624080829040488448

@templeoftruth Or any time in the next 50 years.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624077853387321344

@janadegrand @SputnikInt No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624076974999367681

@PrepperAngel No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624074653347246080

@sisfridge No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073571346849792

@mdtoorder No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073525264056320

@boss_ton_girl No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073479336366080

@DJGEE No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073379239329792

@KCGibbons No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073307529302016

@SECRETSOFTHEFED No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073279389704196

@TheRealArno No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:27:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073245747228672

@SpartaGrrl No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073160162455552

@ketamaria No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:26:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624073080789405696

@Karabelnikoff No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624072998190977024

@BDCstartup No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624072952489897984

@SteveChaoSC No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T04:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624072859854442496

@jennyfrky No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624017261741932544

@ImaBannedd Being an engineer does not make you right. Look at AE911Truth

---

2015-07-23T00:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624017144666308608

@ImaBannedd Yeah, me too. Just digital. And retired.

2015-07-23T00:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624016810019553280

@ImaBannedd You know what I mean.

2015-07-23T00:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624016321852895232

@ImaBannedd It was part of a broader point. That YOU are contrarian.

2015-07-23T00:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624016222418530305

@ImaBannedd 5:40PM. I'm in sunny California. In a drought. But that's another story.

2015-07-23T00:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624015979165687808

@ImaBannedd No.

2015-07-23T00:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624015849033236480

@ImaBannedd We shall tweet again :)

2015-07-23T00:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624015545634045952

@ImaBannedd If you collect evidence that contradicts the consensus, then it's contrarian. Does not mean the entire journal is.

2015-07-23T00:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624015230117527553

@wxbrad Why do you still have this tweet up? It's nonsense, and people are retweeting it.

2015-07-23T00:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624014510811181056

@ImaBannedd I want as many doctors as possible to approve the treatment. I want however many is needed to operate.

2015-07-23T00:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624013626945470464

@ImaBannedd Operating, and deciding to operate are different things. I don't want the FAA flying my plane. I do want safe flight separation.

2015-07-23T00:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624013299894591488

@ImaBannedd So what, you'd take action for cooling, but not for warming? All things being equal?

2015-07-23T00:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624013102577766401

@ImaBannedd I suppose you operate on yourself? Doctors are not nannies.

2015-07-23T00:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624012881923809280

@ImaBannedd So if it were (possible) Anthropogenic Global Cooling, you'd be in favor of action?

2015-07-23T00:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624012526758592512

@ImaBannedd Yes, like I would take the advice of doctors rather than design my own cancer

treatment.

2015-07-23T00:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624012299880239104

@asb72 That's not what he said.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624012203524517888

@DrWambua No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624012091700158464

@ImaBannedd Well, let's just try this "do nothing" approach, and see what happens then. What's the worst that can happen?

2015-07-23T00:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011909705138176

@ImaBannedd but here we only have one bulb.

2015-07-23T00:23:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011815681400832

@ImaBannedd But perhaps not the best place to risk your life.

2015-07-23T00:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011683879649281

@SputnikInt No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011652137152513

@SputnikNewsUS No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011571090616320

@ImaBannedd What about all the mavericks that were wrong?

2015-07-23T00:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011479306604544

@ImaBannedd What, you are going down the "maverick position is always the best one" route?

2015-07-23T00:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624011043648503809

@poet2200 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624010963444961281

@ImaBannedd With appropriate evidence based treatment, if indicated.

2015-07-23T00:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624010732246560768

@ImaBannedd So I should judge anti-AGW crowd on the record of Monckton?

2015-07-23T00:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624010380562591745

@1967oldman No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624010335683522560

@kcornwall It's always time, but the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624010197011464192

@ImaBannedd I'd take consensus of a group of oncologists over one who says he'll save me money. It's about deciding on treatment.

2015-07-23T00:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624009631975743488

@NewsViewTV No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624009536131735552

@ImaBannedd Well, there's an awful lot of individuals too. How do you pick which advice to follow? The most maverick?

2015-07-23T00:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624008795509923840

@TheLastRussian No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624008746172329984

@ImaBannedd Maybe one day I'll get up to speed on the AGW issue. Sorry I can't fully engage. I'm afraid I'm going with consensus though.

2015-07-23T00:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624008112681447424

@dgwynn @theinquisitr No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624007569972064256

@ImaBannedd @sueimdone @intrepidwanders @nntaleb Not like you can't do both.

2015-07-23T00:03:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624006976729694209

@ahadrk No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg
2015-07-23T00:03:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624006930349060096
@JeffLee2020 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg
2015-07-23T00:03:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/624006896131907584
@Disastrolizer No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624006848509792256

@phoenixspirit No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624006801911074818

@NCPoliticsUK No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-23T00:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624006570381283328

@ImaBannedd @intrepidwanders @sueimdone Adaption will work of course. It's certainly an option. And probably the one that we'll take.

2015-07-22T23:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624003682040987648

@intrepidwanders @sueimdone @ImaBannedd It can thrive just fine with sea level rise, and major ag zone shift. People have the problem.

2015-07-22T23:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624002827094990848

@ImaBannedd I'm sure you can cherry pick supporting evidence from many places.

2015-07-22T23:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624002447929966592

@Sanfrancisco_Ag Yeah, any day in the next 50 years

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624002393093578752

@kenziealizabeth @yahoocanadanews Yeah, any day in the next 50 years

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624002225245945856

@ImaBannedd @sueimdone @intrepidwanders @nntaleb Kinda like major CO2 emitters :)

2015-07-22T23:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624002034187022336

@ImaBannedd Oh I'm sorry, what's your position on anthropogenic drivers? What does the CO2 do, besides feed plants?

2015-07-22T23:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624001201261125632

@ImaBannedd That's the silliest argument ever. Meth increases your alertness and productivity. Does not mean it's good overall.

2015-07-22T23:39:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624000855881175041

@sueimdone @ImaBannedd @intrepidwanders @nntaleb Let's save the money to buy a new biosphere?

2015-07-22T23:39:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624000763883294722

@ImaBannedd Your contrarian statistics seem besides the point to the PP argument. You'd deny anthropogenic drivers regardless.

2015-07-22T23:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624000264962473985

@soniahunt No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/624000161769988096

@ImaBannedd @mattstat Gaia will be here regardless. Just a lot of disruption and change.

2015-07-22T23:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623999304445882369

@ImaBannedd @mattstat I don't buy extended warranties. But if my playstation breaks it's not the end of the world. :)

2015-07-22T23:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623998010956115969

@tony_shorrock @SkyNews No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623997962604126208

@BETTYMARIEHICKS No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623997915409854464

@HomeZada No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623997868853063680

@mardanone No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623997806559260672

@FatenXerox No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623997773046751232

@SkyNews No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623994994219741184

@ImaBannedd @mattstat I analyze chemtrails in depth because nobody else does. There are lot of eyes on climate science.

2015-07-22T23:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623994326448795648

@ImaBannedd @mattstat People disagree about the cost. So by your argument we can discount the cost.

2015-07-22T23:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623993693079502852

@MsNuwaubian13 No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T23:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623993459964252160

@ImaBannedd @mattstat Bit of silly argument to apply to climate change. You don't forego insurance because people disagree about the odds.

2015-07-22T23:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623991133245468672

Infinite TAMS. #TAM13 #TAM2015 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKjcduvW8AAOWTc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKjcduvW8AAOWTc.jpg

2015-07-22T22:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623988543489224704

@TheDCD The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now" more like "any decade now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T22:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623987690845790209

@GreatWallofChin Don't worry, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T22:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623987539221839872

@sdenness2000 Yes, you get a big one there about every 200 to 1000 years. Prediction is impossible though. Just odds
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cascadia_subduction_zone#Earthquake_timing

---

2015-07-22T22:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623986590252179456
@sdenness2000 No, different story, triggered by the small quake in SF yesterday. .

2015-07-22T22:36:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623984955501223938
@sdenness2000 Luckily a bogus story. The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg
2015-07-22T22:20:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623980854960852993
@daniellemcxs No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T22:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623979373876641792

@beckybowring Good job the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T22:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623979280050036736

@rjgeller @PaytonGuion Agreed, and the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623975376667156480

@shanecyr I agree. The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623975049645715456

@martynday or a 0.02% chance every week. It will happen, we just don't know when.

2015-07-22T21:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623974320432369664

@RedIsDead Good job it's not true. The USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:48:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623972811921616896

@theSpinKing No, the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623972526327230464

@KnightJOlga @webstervictor However the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623972306033995777

@adammc123 Have a plan, yes, but the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623972083190657024

@BartVijendra Source is wrong. The USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623971917129756672

@justanova No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623971261299998720

@BradDodd Any day in the next 50 years, that is.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623970916805046272

@martynday They did. 31% chance of 6.7 or greater in the next 30 years.

2015-07-22T21:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623970076203569152

@gamasutra Although Tony Hawk's Pro Skater did it in one :)

2015-07-22T21:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623969794702856192

@TCT_Freshie Then it's good that the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623969383451373568

@Eddkelly75 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623968381339877376

@EmilyYamauch Thell them not to worry. The USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623968160086159361

@johnmccaa No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:29:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623968080209776640

@carla_birnberg No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623967983937941505

@POWERATL No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623967947019681794

@martynday No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623961178151194624

@SStirling Indeed, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623960891940319232

@TheGreatSG @silencedrowns No. No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T21:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623960808062615552

@JillF35 Including that one for a photocopier toner level indicator?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKjBCWIWwAADQ1Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKjBCWIWwAADQ1Z.jpg

2015-07-22T20:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623959434180562944

@Melinda_DS Oui, ou il pourrait Ãªtre demain, nous ne savons pas

---

2015-07-22T20:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623959022845186048

@Melinda_DS USGS: il n'y a actuellement aucune base scientifique pour faire une prÃ©diction pour quand ce tremblement de terre se produira.

2015-07-22T20:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623958586071363584

@Melinda_DS L'intervalle moyen des 11 derniÃ¨res tremblements de terre sur ce segment de la faille est de 170 plus ou moins 80 ans.

2015-07-22T20:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623957952555257856

@MonsterbuddyAjx Sure, however the USGS is NOT predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623957506700804096

@Melinda_DS Non, Un sÃ©isme plus puissant ne devrait pas "dans les prochains jours"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623956584524324865

@MrFuturistic416 Good job they are not really predicting that.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623956450625368064

@joe_klinker @cbssf Agreed, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623954720445607936

@BushLawrence No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623954249488187392

@jenniferneuner No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623954161604947968

@live4science Basically they misquote the USGS."

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623952329751048192

@mattwhiteok And there's lots on Metabunk about it.

https://www.metabunk.org/tags/fuel+dump/

2015-07-22T20:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623951941584990208

@mattwhiteok It's mentioned.

http://contrailscience.com/things-that-are-not-contrails-or-chemtrails/ (down the page a bit, under "Fuel Dumping") http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKi4-25WIAA4uAK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKi4-25WIAA4uAK.jpg

2015-07-22T20:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623951058054840320

@higherjourneys Sure, but so does "mining", and "farming".

2015-07-22T20:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623950157177057280

@mattwhiteok generally it's only when a flight is diverted.

2015-07-22T20:17:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623950081667014656

@mattwhiteok It's something airlines try to avoid as much as possible. While it might happen a few times a year, it's not "regular"

2015-07-22T20:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623949388470190080

@higherjourneys geoengineering and weather modification are very different things. GE changes climate, WM just makes a cloud rain a bit more

---

2015-07-22T20:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623948827704356864

@SFBayAreaUpdate No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T20:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623947413523439616

@mattwhiteok A bit, see: https://www.metabunk.org/haarp-debunked-and-explained.t1650/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKi03bzWgAAxaQo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKi03bzWgAAxaQo.jpg

2015-07-22T20:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623947195268624384

@ToddPuckett1 Can you give the time and location you took this?

2015-07-22T20:02:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623946296584478720

@JoshWillTravel No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623944379863015425

@dbirch11 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623944346283450368

@p_d_smitty @kdilla92 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623943708199809024

@DricoMonster No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623943207525720064

@mattwhiteok It's the weather. Contrail formation and persistence depends on upper air moisture.

2015-07-22T19:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623942959428444161

@StutterStroke @IndyUSA @joerogan No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623941678357966849

@SkyNews No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623941652130975744

@noellechun No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623941492491579393

@rawdawgbuffalo But the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now". CBS misquoted.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg
2015-07-22T19:30:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623938121504280576
@davidhoos No they don't, and the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg
2015-07-22T19:16:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623934766384029697
@B4INBarracuda No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T19:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623934719948910592

@Linnlondon1 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623928943217897472

@rawdawgbuffalo No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:48:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927576466202624

@CBSSF No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927557335990272

@KCBSNews No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927539665379328

@997now No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927500834480128

@SFnewsnow No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927454638452736

@CurbedSF No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927261209735168

@seismogenic I agree, The USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927154422743041

@JimmySpencerNBA No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623927012634312705

@Drudge_Report_ No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623924075694563328

@JamesSinko Jolly good.

2015-07-22T18:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623923738841620482

@JamesSinko Maybe you could verify it with Tom Brocher and put in on http://Weather.com. This was his email http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKifLeoWcAEUb7E.jpg

---



Clarification regarding the "any day now" story being repeated in the media

Metabunk x

Mick West Mr Brocher, As you know, major media outlets are pushing 10:13 AM (1 hour ago)

Brocher, Thomas 10:24 AM (1 hour ago)

to me

Mr. West:

You are correct in thinking that my comments were misrepresented.

The USGS asked the Bay City News to print a clarification which I have pasted at the end of this response. There was a misunderstanding between the words "could" and "will". The Hayward fault is capable of producing a major earthquake at any time, but there is currently no scientific basis for making a prediction for when that earthquake will occur. The Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast (UCERF3) model gives a 31% probability of a magnitude 6.7 or larger event in the next 30 years on the Hayward-Rodgers Creek fault system.

As the attached fact sheet about the Hayward fault states, geologic studies at Tule Pond (in Fremont) on the southern end of the Hayward fault have shown that the average interval between the past 5 surface-rupturing earthquakes has been 140 plus or minus 50 years. The average interval of the past 11 earthquakes on this segment of the fault is 170 plus or minus 80 years.

The last surface rupturing earthquake on the Hayward fault was October 21, 1868: it had an estimated magnitude of 6.8.

I hope that this information is helpful to you.

Tom

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKifLeoWcAEUb7E.jpg

2015-07-22T18:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623922110243717120

@JamesSinko It's in the BCN wire. Not sure if correction published yet.

http://www.baycitynews.com/bcn/general_index.html See BCN29 and BCN38 on July 21

2015-07-22T18:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623921117703634944

@JamesSinko It was via email from Tom Brocher.

2015-07-22T18:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920801696321536

@glptweets No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920716518440961

@beforeitsnews No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-faul
t-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:20:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920643260706816

@IndyUSA No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920532447207424

@gilduran76 I know, just adding more info.

2015-07-22T18:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920363483865088

@wxbrad No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920313420652549

@margofieseler No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920290469425152

@JamesSinko No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920229912080384

@cstclair1 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920204834308096

@IndyUSA No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920184710053888

@evankirstel No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623920168155095040

@gilduran76 No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T18:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623919873962459136

No, the USGS is not predicting an earthquake "any day now".

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKibqotWIAApc7q.jpg

2015-07-22T17:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623906744352247814

Debunked: USGS Scientist: Major San Francisco Quake On Hayward Fault Expected â□□Any Day Nowâ□□

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKiP2kbWsAE5LtB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKiP2kbWsAE5LtB.jpg

2015-07-22T17:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623906372942458881

.@JoeCostello The reporter said "any day now". The scientist said "we definitely feel that could happen any time".

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

2015-07-22T17:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623904265602486272

@Castello1970 @SkyWatchrs @blazeart_ Why do you think that? All the books on clouds say contrails can persist for hours.

2015-07-22T16:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623898721076518912

@ClarkKentwars TThat's not what he said. It's more like "any day in the next 50 years"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

2015-07-22T16:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623898660196208640

@keg2014gek That's not what he said. It's more like "any day in the next 50 years"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/ @8bd210a2c3b34a6

2015-07-22T16:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623898598330265600

@JoeCostello That's not what he said. It's more like "any day in the next 50 years"

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

2015-07-22T16:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/623897930093731840
@27Tawnyowl That's not what he said. It's more like "any day in the next 50 years"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

2015-07-22T16:50:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623897887869640704
@marjieN @MailOnline That's not what he said. It's more like "any day in the next 50 years"
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-usgs-scientist-major-san-francisco-quake-on-hayward-fault-expected-%E2%80%98any-day-now%E2%80%99.t6600/

2015-07-22T15:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623882286543544320
@NYTScience The continents have moved!!!

2015-07-22T15:47:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623881968485302272
@SkyWatchrs @blazeart_ @Castello1970 People want evidence that those are not contrails. So what's the evidence?

2015-07-21T23:04:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623629583133376514
@Lozza61 Why do you think the same contrail show up in books on clouds, with explanations? Are the books wrong?

2015-07-21T21:15:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623602272552001536
@Lozza61 It's hybrid contrails (wake vortices interacting with exhaust contrails), with asymmetric crow instability. Quite common.

2015-07-21T21:00:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623598382750277632
@OpChemtrails Why are you posting a photo of my house?

2015-07-20T15:07:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623147262273847296
@DoodlePeter @RichardWiseman it's just his last as official head of The Jref board. He said "see you next year"

2015-07-20T05:37:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/623003902850392065
#TAM13 is over, Randi heads back to the tower to contemplate #TAM14
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKVavaGUYAA_q9F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKVavaGUYAA_q9F.jpg

2015-07-20T03:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/622968965258608640

Million dollar challenge test of $1000 audiophile Ethernet cables gets underway #TAM13

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKU6-ITVEAAXBWM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKU6-ITVEAAXBWM.jpg

2015-07-17T19:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/622124614471151616

@SteerMark and what to you think these photos demonstrate?

2015-07-17T19:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/622121546883006464

Thousand dollar audiophile digital cables to be tested this weekend. #TAM13

http://arstechnica.com/gadgets/2015/07/ars-prepares-to-put-audiophile-ethernet-cables-to-the-test-in-las-vegas/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKI4P7KUwAAZV8S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CKI4P7KUwAAZV8S.jpg

2015-07-17T14:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/622044763546476548

@SteerMark I see a corner, but where is the square?

2015-07-16T16:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621724611508506624

@Lawful_Rebel @RichieAllenShow fuel dump.

https://www.metabunk.org/new-fuel-dump-video-likely-munich-thai-airways-flight-925.t6541/

2015-07-16T16:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621723748740132864

@JoyMarieC yes.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.t3514/

2015-07-16T14:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621692396888195072

@davebrooker322 look it up.

2015-07-16T00:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621480527401320448

@davebrooker33 @KindOrgone look it up. Don't trust me. See for yourself if it's a fuel dump.

2015-07-15T20:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621412881779789824

@KindOrgone wake vortices. Inside vs outside them is different conditions, and crow instability is asymmetric. That's all.

2015-07-15T20:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621412444506697728

@KindOrgone nope, totally untrue. Look it up. Went from 4 to 3, but did not actually change.

2015-07-15T20:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621412152411172864

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 the point here is that this paper is bogus, as that chart is fabricated.

2015-07-15T17:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621376312653942788

.@KindOrgone "Respiratory death from #8 to #3" No, it's unchanged. Went from #4 to #3 only because strokes went down http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ-SMOJUAAI8228.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ-SMOJUAAI8228.jpg

2015-07-15T17:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621369033397395456

@TITOUX2010 So why is one of those patents for a photocopier toner level indicator? 5486900

2015-07-15T17:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/621368633067843584

.@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 You mean the paper where he faked data by vertically flipping some dots? Check it out: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ-LYk6UEAAFLym.jpg

---



Figure 6. Fingerprint similarity in Sr/Ba ratio range between post-geoengineering rainwater and coal fly ash leachate. Placement on the horizontal axis is arbitrary to spread out data points.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ-LYk6UEAAFLym.jpg
2015-07-15T17:17:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/621368037698990080
@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 What does that have to do with a video of a fuel dump? You agree it's a fuel dump, right?

2015-07-15T17:07:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/621365416854618112
@KindOrgone @YouTube @davebrooker33 It's a fuel dump. Look up fuel dumps, this is what they look like.

2015-07-15T15:10:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/621336129388675072
@lorabruncke @ctl1946 @TekThatEnglish @GeoengWatch @uwlax29 @TakeThatEarth @SemiMooch Pointing out mistakes is not Slander. Dane is wrong.

2015-07-14T23:17:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/621096108198989824
@MockWest_ @Gas8128 Maybe 0.5%, or less. But surely the point should be if the content is correct. Do you see any errors?

2015-07-14T22:50:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/621089483874701312
@MockWest_ @OpChemtrails @Gas8128 Yes, several million can edit it. Any registered user with >4 days and >10 edits.
https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Chemtrail_conspiracy_theory&action=edit

---

2015-07-14T15:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620984953975631872

@MockWest_ @OpChemtrails @Gas8128 Yes, that's what "Not for many years" means.

2015-07-14T14:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620965604560744449

@OpChemtrails The chemtrail page? Not for many years.

2015-07-14T13:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620941275609481217

@OpChemtrails @Gas8128 It's right though.

2015-07-14T12:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620938179332341761

@TLevcovich Nobody. I'm retired, and I debunk as a Hobby. Anything I got wrong, feel free to point it out.

2015-07-14T02:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620784935662563328

@TLevcovich Thanks!

2015-07-13T23:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620739788925108224

@lorabruncke @TekThatEnglish @ctl1946 @GeoengWatch @uwlax29 @TakeThatEarth @SemiMooch Which bit is wrong?

2015-07-13T22:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620718531684859904

@Hsaive But I applaud you for promoting the fact that contrails are MIXING clouds. It's an oft-misunderstood point. @Oswald1160

2015-07-13T22:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620718244576411648

@Oswald1160 @Hsaive And the gap in the slide is actually pretty long. Maybe 100 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ076KWUwAE3g2i.jpg



NASA Takes Contrails Science Fraud into the School Classroom

Harold Saive

Subscribe 5,039

44 views

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJ076KWUwAE3g2i.jpg

2015-07-13T20:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620691755810230272

@lorabruncke @TekThatEnglish @ctl1946 @GeoengWatch @uwlax29 @TakeThatEarth @SemiMooch Point out errors on Metabunk, I will correct them.

2015-07-13T19:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620677733358546944

@Hsaive Why do you keep saying near 100% RH? It's more like 60% to 70% RHw (100% RHi)

2015-07-13T16:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620631632106500096

@mbsaeed The CyberBerkut ISIS video appears to be a fake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T16:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620631537696948224

@Floydaous The CyberBerkut ISIS video appears to be a fake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T16:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620631001954279424

@UKlPVoter @satyr1237 The reason is unknown. But possibly to make people think that the US is faking the ISIS videos.

2015-07-13T16:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620626479144046594

@satyr1237 @EliotHiggins Not clear to everyone. Many people accept things at face value, and

need details pointed out to them.

2015-07-13T15:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620621690381283328

@DavidJamesJnr But that CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620621632114044928

@UKlPVoter CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620621595099267072
@alberttrevino Agreed, the CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620621504745570304
@danking1617 CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620621469144363009
@pops131 CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:50:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620621436147765248
@Daily_Star CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg @Karachi_Post @avarakai @gnomemorgan @H_Yazdi786



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:48:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620620873943269376
@InfowarsReports CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

ding-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:48:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620620780796145665
@DOAProductions_ TCyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-behea
ding-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg
2015-07-13T15:47:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/620620692338311168
@NewRightMediaCyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-behea
ding-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620620642593845250

@nwohashtag CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620620516202647553

@infowars CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg @NewsCoverUp @QxNews @josseder @realkyndr

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620620408417456128

@RealAlexJones CyberBerkut ISIS Video is a hoax https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg @KFNX_Headlines @youarescrewed @_HappyDaze_



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-13T15:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620610392402735104

Debunked: CyberBerkut Video Supposedly Showing Staged ISIS beheading of Foley https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cyberberkut-video-supposedly-showing-staged-isis-beheading-of-foley.t6520/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJzZ2IYUYAUGczK.jpg

2015-07-12T17:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/620278037217546241

Contrails on Motor Boating and Sailing magazine 1973. Sailors have used contrails to indicate the weather decades; http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJurLG-UwAAQFw8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJurLG-UwAAQFw8.jpg

2015-07-11T14:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/619882326395215874

@davebrooker33 strontium is in dirt. If you only look for three things, you will find those things in dirt. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJpDsOnUwAAmy0H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJpDsOnUwAAmy0H.jpg

2015-07-11T14:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/619881901130563584

@davebrooker33 That's not a geoengineering patent though, it's a patent for sounding rockets.

2015-07-10T18:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/619568344526557184

@SamWebbwriter Follow up photos for Loch Ness "UFO", proves it was just a light.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkmIC8VEAAKqAm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkmIC8VEAAKqAm.jpg

2015-07-10T18:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/619566921294319616

@HuffPostWeird New photos now 100% debunk the Loch Ness UFO.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkk1PKUsAAeyRt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkk1PKUsAAeyRt.jpg
2015-07-10T17:45:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/619563051079995392
.@JournoKeiligh Additional Photos of "Loch Ness UFO" from your story last month. Explains it
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkhT9TUkAAQJoJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkhT9TUkAAQJoJ.jpg
2015-07-10T17:34:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/619560307803844608
Follow up debunking. Photos demonstrate 100% that the Loch Ness "UFO" is just a reflection.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkem9vUEAA--8H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJkem9vUEAA--8H.jpg
2015-07-10T15:12:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/619524525391097857
@davebrooker33 @DCClothesline Why can't you find a geoengineering patent that involves spraying barium or strontium then?

2015-07-08T20:52:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618885411239124992
@martinhume @usawatchdog Why is it taking so long? You sure it's not just regular climate change?

2015-07-08T19:08:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618859268423286784
The fake aluminum/barium/strontium "geoengineering fingerprint". Test only for three elements https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJahGGwVAAAl98f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJahGGwVAAAl98f.jpg

2015-07-08T18:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618856759663456256

@OccuWorld Do you have a reference for that? Google shows no results.

2015-07-08T16:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822800933949440

@DCClothesline That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822729483993088

@Beyond_Science That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822681748574208

@OccuWorld That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822648043147265

@B4INFeatured That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822610479001600

@omegafrequency That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822563481804805

@beyonder58 That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822540870316032

@124446103 That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822482712137729

@INF0S7R34M @WakingTimes That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618822430589501440

@CGH54 That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is based on a mistake

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618821496283140097

@InvestWatchBlog That "Aluminum Barium & Strontium" thing is a lie. Strontium never mentioned

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ-sXxUAAAxOPw.jpg

2015-07-08T16:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618814922151981056

.@RealAlexJones Stocks "tumbled" 1% at opening, still higher than yesterday's low. #fearmongering http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ44V2UYAIuC1i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJZ44V2UYAIuC1i.jpg

2015-07-08T05:56:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618659913540071424

@Freizeit_Tiger Yes, I think that helped the idea catch on. It was also seemingly more commonly mentioned after Fukushima.

2015-07-08T05:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618656766415364096

The Aluminum, Barium, and Strontium cornerstone of the chemtrail theory is based on nothing. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-strontium-as-fingerprint-of-geoengineering-proposals-or-patents-there-is-none.t6502/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJXo-sjUMAA28uT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJXo-sjUMAA28uT.jpg

2015-07-07T19:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618505083924275201

Contrail grid over Switzerland, 1981 https://www.flickr.com/photos/golden_road/5545618729/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJVe_odUkAAurgy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJVe_odUkAAurgy.jpg

2015-07-07T19:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618496448691204096

@Sylvia7452 @deichwatcher Mammatus clouds. https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mammatus

---

2015-07-07T17:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618471613151866880

@DanBidondi I read it. You totally misrepresent the USA Today article which talks about possible FUTURE geoengineering.

2015-07-07T16:19:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618454232404877312

@DanBidondi I've read it. Is HR 2977 really your evidence of "government admits chemtrails"? Because it not actually the government writing.

2015-07-07T15:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618442854721912832

RT @iLove_Aviation: 747 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJUmYnOUwAA1ZnE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJUmYnOUwAA1ZnE.jpg

2015-07-07T15:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618442205242355712

@DanBidondi And how exactly would "chemtrails" work in the vacuum of space? Alfred Webre and Carol Rosin didn't think that through.

2015-07-07T15:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618441949859581952
@DanBidondi Scott Stevens isn't a meteorologist. Was a TV weatherman in 1995. Resigned as he lacked qualifications
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-scott-stevens-former-tv-weather-man.t463/

2015-07-07T15:27:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618441223506792448
@DanBidondi So where did the government admit "Chemtrails"? You know that HR2977 was written by UFO eccentric Alfred Webre, right?

2015-07-07T15:13:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618437611271487488
@DanBidondi @4cast4you No government has ever admitted "chemtrails" (assuming you mean the misidentified contrails)

2015-07-07T14:43:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618430252130267136
@Y0da4a It was just an advertising stunt, they admitted it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/travel-firms-cloud-seeding-stunt-attracts-chemtrailers.t5697/

2015-07-07T14:43:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618430176758595584
@newsvoicemag It was just an advertising stunt, they admitted it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/travel-firms-cloud-seeding-stunt-attracts-chemtrailers.t5697/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJUa-AVUAAAvL-5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJUa-AVUAAAvL-5.jpg
2015-07-07T14:43:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618430059255173121
@Sassersson It was just an advertising stunt, they admitted it here:
https://www.metabunk.org/travel-firms-cloud-seeding-stunt-attracts-chemtrailers.t5697/

2015-07-07T05:26:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618290028620328960
@kem3ils @SteerMark It's a highly localized storm.

2015-07-07T05:25:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/618289828426158080
@ConceptsSamurai Except the Mars Pyramid was just a rock.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-pyramid-on-mars-small-rock.t6498/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJSbSuxWUAAJHZb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJSbSuxWUAAJHZb.jpg

2015-07-06T22:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618182497373786112

@kem3ils @SteerMark Yes, it's a shelf cloud.

https://www.google.com/search?es_sm=119&biw=2133&bih=1227&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQ5r-BWUAA5_fC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQ5r-BWUAA5_fC.jpg

2015-07-06T22:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618181775747985408

@SteerMark I only see one "square" or "triangle", and it's not a ripple, just clouds. They happen sometimes.

https://www.metabunk.org/odd-square-cloud-seen-from-the-space-station-by-reid-wiseman-marine-layer-coastline.t3820/

2015-07-06T21:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618177001631780865

@SteerMark It's a book from the 80's. You can verify this yourself. Don't you want the truth?

2015-07-06T21:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618175902653001729

@SteerMark But what of the answer, doesn't it prove these could be natural ripples in clouds?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQzrfEWwAAUbGG.jpg



▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.

Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQzrfEWwAAUbGG.jpg

2015-07-06T21:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618175465954545664

A different perspective of the pyramid found on Mars.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-pyramid-on-mars-small-rock.t6498/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQzGwlWoAAPcnR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQzGwlWoAAPcnR.jpg

2015-07-06T21:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618174713374707712

@The_Codeine_Kid @SteerMark And what are you basing "sponsored opposition troll" on? Anyone who disagrees with you is a troll?

2015-07-06T21:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618174393324208128

@SteerMark I only just looked at it now. I just reached over to my bookshelf and opened a book.

2015-07-06T21:06:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618164020810350592

.@SteerMark 1983 Satellite image shows cloud ripples going in multiple directions (Source: Spacious Skies, 1989) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQopnKWcAAEeR7.jpg



▲ 8.2 (23/1/83, 10:16 AM, CZ5)
8.2 Mountain wave reflection. A shallow layer of cool air containing thin stratus is penetrating into the plain of Hungary and northern Yugoslavia, and quite ordinary lee waves are apparent. But in southern Yugoslavia where the airstream meets higher mountains we see reflected waves which appear to be circular and travelling outwards and northwards. Ordinary lee waves are steady standing waves and relatively easy to deal with theoretically, but these are travelling over the ground and the mechanism of their production is not in the least obvious. For example, there is no analogous phenomenon in a flowing river except surface tension (capillary) waves, but they have quite different dispersion properties.

Nevertheless many examples of travelling waves have been recorded on anemograms and in time-lapse video pictures from stationary satellites. Because they are quickly dispersed they are seldom observed for more than a few minutes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJQopnKWcAAEeR7.jpg

2015-07-06T21:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618162566909460480

@SteerMark Of course it happens in nature. There's lots of photos of it.

2015-07-06T18:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618127646283599872

@Valkyrie_77 Why not?

2015-07-06T18:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618121422225846272

@Valkyrie_77 I'd be happy to correct anything that's wrong on my web sites. Can you say the same about http://geoengineeringwatch.org?

2015-07-06T18:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618120477203042304

@Valkyrie_77 Try to keep an open mind. Don't believe everything you read on the internet :)

2015-07-06T18:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618120161426436096

@Valkyrie_77 I back up what I say with references, like books on clouds, for example.

2015-07-06T18:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618120054912106496

@Valkyrie_77 Not professional. Just an enthusiastic hobbyist. However I'll never tell you anything non-factual.

2015-07-06T18:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618119479654899712

@Valkyrie_77 @SirMustard @GreggiWeggi They are a lot easier to see from the ground. Where did you fly?

2015-07-06T18:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618119264797458432

@Valkyrie_77 @SirMustard @GreggiWeggi You say "Chemtrails last and spread", old books say "contrails can last and spread". So you are wrong.

2015-07-06T18:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618118826660491264

@Valkyrie_77 @SirMustard @GreggiWeggi I'm talking about persistent contrails. How can you tell if a trail is not a persistent contrail?

2015-07-06T18:04:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618118275705147392

@Valkyrie_77 @SirMustard @GreggiWeggi So why did they write about them in books on clouds 30 years ago? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJP_RUsWoAAdBgC.jpg

---



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJP_RUsWoAAdBgC.jpg

2015-07-06T18:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/618117272947658754

@Valkyrie_77 @SirMustard @GreggiWeggi Contrails can persist, according to all the books on clouds, ever. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-07-05T21:22:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/617805879161815041

@HauntedAuckland Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg

2015-07-05T21:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/617805802062114816
@Supernatural360 Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg
2015-07-05T21:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/617805736370917376
@Paranormalshows Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg
2015-07-05T21:21:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/617805637246959616
@TheOthersReport Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg
2015-07-05T21:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/617805519005315072
@ukghosthunting Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg
2015-07-05T21:18:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/617804836772429825
Okato Pub, Stony River Hotel "Ghost" photo debunked. Just a light in the fridge.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ghost-photo-in-stony-river-hotel-okato-nz-light-in-fridge.t6493/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CJLiOaTW8AAiwmU.jpg

2015-07-05T18:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/617767284292108292

@SteerMark Plane fly at night. Planes sometimes make contrails. Contrails sometimes persist and spread.

2015-07-05T17:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/617752375344893952

@SteerMark Nobody does SRM in the daytime either. It's a contrail.

2015-07-02T15:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616630990623113216

@DavidBStrader @msheiser Interesting. Do they think my lack of faith will cloud my analysis of UFO photos? Or is it just a general dislike?

2015-07-02T14:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616613222137905153

@nickmyer @theinquisitr Since planes generally don't fly in the stratosphere, that wouldn't make sense.

2015-07-02T14:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616610942248312832

@junksciencejudo This has all been covered multiple times.

2015-07-02T13:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616601685343911936

@junksciencejudo That's just the manufacturers recommendation. It's like driving a car in the red. It's not a limit, just a bad idea.

2015-07-01T18:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616319790458646528

@junksciencejudo You claimed you were looking up specs from Boeing about their engines. so where is it? What are the specs? Numbers.

2015-07-01T17:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616292298259845120

.@NatureNews You should add the word "ionizing" to your title and tweet, otherwise people will misunderstand, and think WiFi is harmful.

2015-07-01T00:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616045526614577152

@nickmyer @ReikiLymeRegis http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIyiI8GWUAAP5wn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIyiI8GWUAAP5wn.jpg

2015-07-01T00:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616044737363972097

@nickmyer @ReikiLymeRegis http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIyhYHoWUAAzFw_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIyhYHoWUAAzFw_.jpg

2015-07-01T00:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/616035281188204544

@nickmyer @ReikiLymeRegis Same conditions at 25,000 to 50,000 feet? How can you tell?

2015-06-30T21:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615996493976055808

@iain39 @TchuTchx @peacedovetommy I've seen contrails at 25,000' on a warm day:
https://www.metabunk.org/persistent-cumuliform-contrails-at-25-000-feet-over-california.t6299/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIx1g9bWcAAS0KY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIx1g9bWcAAS0KY.jpg

2015-06-30T21:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615996185082343424

@TchuTchx @peacedovetommy @iain39 What altitude were the other planes at?

2015-06-30T15:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615898817569890304

@peacedovetommy @iain39 That's just what people say in the summer, when contrails are less common. It changes back in winter. Just weather.

2015-06-30T14:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615895172979621888

@iain39 Contrails are not going to change any time soon. They will still persist and spread next year. "Chemtrails" still need debunking.

2015-06-29T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615602743332478976

@trutherbotdank It's an AWACS refueling. It throttles down to separate, so the contrails stop for a bit.

2015-06-29T14:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615534708752056320

@chemTrailActivi Probably just at different altitudes.

2015-06-29T05:22:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615389956656205824

@HartReikiCURES @TchuTchx And what is the truth here? What chemical other than rapidly condensing water could make that exact spectrum?

2015-06-28T16:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/615200063065858048

@TchuTchx @SonnyBeez Nice aerodynamic contrail!

2015-06-27T20:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614899770696425472

@Freizeit_Tiger I might have signed up years ago, to see what it was about. Don't remember.

2015-06-26T20:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614533957627949057

@junksciencejudo You made the claim. You back it up.

2015-06-26T16:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614469884336943105

@junksciencejudo Where did they say that?

2015-06-26T04:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614283638687924224

@junksciencejudo yes

2015-06-25T17:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614119350786723841

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 Its the same, the thin double trails (the "hybrid" part) separate from the thicker trail.

2015-06-25T15:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/614089575221301248

@davebrooker33 @KindOrgone Probably not the same plane every day. But post a recent example on Skydentify, and we can identify it,

---

2015-06-25T06:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613959227753824256

@davebrooker33 @KindOrgone I just saw one a few hours ago at a Willie Nelson concert in Oregon. No good camera though.

2015-06-25T06:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613958711418200064

@davebrooker33 @KindOrgone I see them quite often. It depends on weather conditions though. Look out for them, you'll see some eventually,

---

2015-06-25T03:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613918620591132672

@davebrooker33 @KindOrgone one plane. 2-4 engines. 2 wake vortices.

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=g7PMCEkACiI

2015-06-25T03:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613917952891465728

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 one temp. Different pressures. Inside vs outside the wake vortices.

2015-06-25T03:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613909758353932288

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 Same plane. Different sized ice crystals fall at different rates, so separate.

2015-06-25T02:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613895795079708672

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 it's a hybrid contrail.

2015-06-24T20:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613813744330604544

@junksciencejudo @Oswald1160 @johnramos91 @IntotheWoods132 @iAmCaticorn Because you are ignoring the effects of speed and scale.

2015-06-24T17:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613764052184010752

@Oswald1160 @johnramos91 @IntotheWoods132 @iAmCaticorn Not me, I've written quite a bit about it, https://www.metabunk.org/haarp-debunked-and-explained.t1650/

2015-06-24T15:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613737385256337409

@Anon_thr33 In case you have to. It's like fire insurance. You don't want to have to use it.

2015-06-24T04:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613567074707714048

@beforeitsnews No, it shows a hoax, an attempt at a viral video. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-funvax-pentagon-briefing-on-removing-the-god-gene.t317/

2015-06-24T02:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613539558534156288

@KindOrgone @davebrooker33 yes, see my answer in the comments there

---

2015-06-24T00:02:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613497353262108672

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails But it's just an article on contrails. So it just admit that contrails exist. Like all the books on clouds

---

2015-06-24T00:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613497047740583936

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails Sorry, but I don't even understand what point you are tying to make here.

2015-06-23T23:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613492010645786624

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails And yet distrails, like contrails, are well described in all the books on clouds. Why do you dismiss books?

2015-06-23T23:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613490755454132225

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails yes, distrails have been observed since the 1920s

http://contrailscience.com/files/mwr-049-07-0412c.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIOOly7WEAAayQK.jpg

CLOUD FORMATION BY SUPERCHARGED PLANE.[1]

[Reprinted from *U. S. Air Service*, July, 1921, p. 13.]

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field [Dayton, Ohio] recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000–27,000 feet at 11:50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

* * *

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIOOly7WEAAayQK.jpg

2015-06-23T18:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613407589661958144

@johnramos91 @IntotheWoods132 @LAMetroGal @iAmCaticorn my response to that:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-two-disinfo-sites-run-by-%E2%80%9Cjust-some-guy%E2%80%9D-%E2%80%93-mick-west.t683/

2015-06-23T18:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613406625198530560

@junksciencejudo Do the math. It's all about speed, mass, scale. Big things moving very fast look odd when they collide.

2015-06-23T18:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613406339625189376

@johnramos91 @IntotheWoods132 @LAMetroGal @iAmCaticorn Actually, the source is the FBI.

2015-06-22T17:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613037696924037120

@junksciencejudo Where is this model?

2015-06-22T16:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613023381282107392

@junksciencejudo How can you tell? The deformation would not be visible at that scale. It's just physics.

2015-06-22T16:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613016919168290816

@davebrooker33 I look forward to it. More recent photos (past 7 days) would be best, as there's less tracking data for older flights.

2015-06-22T16:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613015532267134976

@junksciencejudo But I'm starting to think you've not actually read Metabunk? I though you wanted t discuss issues you'd found on MB?

2015-06-22T16:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613015380613689344

@junksciencejudo The leading edge deformed as it broke the columns. Scale makes it look odd. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wRgBmDYqE_Y

2015-06-22T15:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613009135987089408

@junksciencejudo Nobody has ignored this issue, it has been discussed in great depth: https://www.google.com/search?num=50&es_sm=119&q=9%2F11+plane+speed+site%3Ametabunk.org

---

2015-06-22T15:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/613000509683687424

@junksciencejudo Where did you locate this issue on Metabunk?

2015-06-22T14:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612996440604848128

@junksciencejudo Feel free to raise them on the site. Of if they are just quick errors, here.

2015-06-22T05:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612853453191163904

@davebrooker33 Post some pics (with time and location) on Skydentify, we'll track it down.

2015-06-22T05:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612853304217833472

@davebrooker33 You can track these planes down you know. We have a sub-forum just for that. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2015-06-22T05:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612853067034132480

@davebrooker33 Because that's the plane that was at the right altitude. Likely a private jet, they fly higher (40K+)

2015-06-22T05:21:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612852766390640640

@davebrooker33 Why do you think there are no persistent contrails? Why do you think persistent trails are automatically "chemtrails"?

2015-06-22T05:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612852585419046917

@davebrooker33 You are just labeling persistent contrails as "chemtrails", and then saying, "look, only the chemtrails persist".

2015-06-22T05:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612852430238150656

@davebrooker33 You keep saying you've not seen a contrail persist. But that's circular reasoning.

2015-06-22T05:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612851508548218880

@davebrooker33 Only water based clouds can persist for hours. Hence the persistent trails must be water.

2015-06-22T05:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612851363907657728

@davebrooker33 A "chemtrail" could not persist unless it accumulated water. Otherwise it's just like smoke. Smoke does not persist.

2015-06-22T05:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612851120919048192

@davebrooker33 You claim ordinary contrails cannot persist. Can you explain why? Have you ever looked this up?

2015-06-22T05:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612849429083656192

@davebrooker33 Why would a normal contrail not persist if the air is ice supersaturated?

2015-06-22T04:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612846633915518976

@davebrooker33 Let's say there was a trail, that persisted, that was not a chemtrail? Ever seen one?

2015-06-22T04:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612846468735483904

@davebrooker33 Rather circular reasoning there. Contrails can't persist because ..... what?

2015-06-22T04:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612845911698378752

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 It's a type of cirrus cloud made by an airplane.

2015-06-22T04:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612845695880445952

@davebrooker33 Weather varies from day to day.

2015-06-22T04:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612845655980027905

@davebrooker33 If you post the time and location of those photos, they can probably be identified. Just regular planes

---

2015-06-22T04:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612845463423746048

@davebrooker33 What about all the trails that don't dissipate? Why are they not contrails?

2015-06-22T01:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612801265840422912

@davebrooker33 Why do you think contrails cannot persist?

2015-06-22T01:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612801179601375232

@davebrooker33 It's a risk analysis. Nothing to do with the physics of contrails.

2015-06-22T01:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612796052467396608

@davebrooker33 What does that have to do with your claim that contrails don't persist?

2015-06-22T01:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612795553512992769

@davebrooker33 Well then for you that would prove they are not contrails. So why don't you just justify your "non-persistent" claim?

2015-06-22T01:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612795279629156352

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones Okay, but shouldn't we start with "contrails can't persist"? Do you now accept that contrails can?

2015-06-22T01:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612795084245852160

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones So why would you say that, when all the books on clouds say water? (with some nuclei for exhaust)?

2015-06-22T01:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612794903483908096

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones I'm not sure what you are arguing. But contrails are certainly clouds, made by planes.

2015-06-22T01:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612794132839297024

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones Contrails are a type of cirrus, hence latin name would be "cirrus (something)"

2015-06-22T01:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612793945832058880

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones "cirrus aviaticus" is a relatively new name for a contrail. Same cloud though. Just fitting in.

2015-06-22T01:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612793607607586817

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones Contrails only started to form after planes flew high enough. They have had a variety of names

---

2015-06-21T23:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612765291005620224

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @PythonJones Why? Check out any book on clouds, even 100 year old. You'll see the same clouds as now.

2015-06-21T23:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612762833558765568

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @PythonJones Essentially. Or giving a name to a nameless oddity. Cloud names have evolved over time.

2015-06-21T15:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612640466153017344

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones What you see in a persistent contrail is mostly water from the atmosphere deposited as ice.

2015-06-21T15:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612640303904747520

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones Contrails are formed from the water in the exhaust temporarily raising the humidity of the air.

2015-06-21T15:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612638556801011713

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @PythonJones It's like when you name a color, like "Swiss Coffee", it not like that color did not exist before

---

2015-06-21T15:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612638345550675968

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @PythonJones Just more fine division of supplementary features, like with asperatus undulatus.

2015-06-21T05:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612497900677955584

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones So you think the last 70 years of books on clouds were faked? How would that even work?

2015-06-21T05:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612497770121854976

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones The exhaust is the same. It's just a different way of using the energy of combustion of the fuel. Still burns it

---

2015-06-21T05:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612497558917615616

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones It's the exhaust gas that makes a contrail. They still have to burn hydrocarbons to turn the fan.

2015-06-21T05:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612490605785026560

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones This is from 1981. All the books on clouds say basically this. Do you disagree with them? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIAAzbSXAAANsdn.jpg

---



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CIAAzbSXAAANsdn.jpg

2015-06-21T05:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612490340595924992

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones No, I'm referring to all the old books on clouds, like over the last 70 years.

https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

2015-06-21T05:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612489991529197568

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @PythonJones No new clouds have been discovered, just some adjustments to the naming of sub-types.

2015-06-20T23:34:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612403183353073665

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones Contrails can persist and spread out to cover the sky. All the books on clouds agree about this.

2015-06-20T20:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612363796808470528

@zenmommaNgrimes Have you actually researched ANYTHING that was posted on Metabunk? Can you point out one thing there that is wrong?

2015-06-20T20:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612363247262412800

@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 @Freizeit_Tiger Is Weather Modification "okay"? A valid question, but a bit vague. Are cars okay?

2015-06-20T20:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612362978411679744

@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 @Freizeit_Tiger Not secret. Not geoengineering. It's not "chemtrails, as does not leave trail

---

2015-06-20T20:53:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612362605697462272

@zenmommaNgrimes I'm certainly used it it. But nobody ever backs up these claims. And when asked, they fall silent, or just shout "SHILL!!"

2015-06-20T20:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612362358430674944

Lots of traffic, the right weather and wind drift. How contrail grids are formed.

https://www.metabunk.org/yosemite-contrail-grid-17-june-2015.t6427/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CH-MGYPWoAEY6lG.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CH-MGYPWoAEY6lG.png

2015-06-20T20:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612361848306798592

@zenmommaNgrimes So, you can't find anything wrong with MB? Why do you think it's disinfo then?

2015-06-20T20:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612359508694663168

@zenmommaNgrimes Do you have any actual evidence that MB is disinfo? Point out anything there that is wrong.

2015-06-20T20:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612359241978908672

@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Oswald1160 @Freizeit_Tiger But you can read all about Weather

Modification, openly practiced since the 1950s

2015-06-20T15:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/612275618466562048

@davebrooker33 @PythonJones Some people are opposed to contrails, because of the sky obscuration. Astronomers, but also nature lovers.

2015-06-17T17:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611229446117326849

@MJoyce2244 Added that. http://tube.geogebra.org/student/m1343277

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHuF8T0WsAIX4a6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHuF8T0WsAIX4a6.jpg

2015-06-17T17:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611216876631265281

@MJoyce2244 Yes, I'd just posted about that on MB, just focussing on side frags is misleading. Thanks for that image

---

2015-06-17T16:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611209912153042945

@MJoyce2244 Clearly though some segments of the plane were hit by shrapnel, so we can use those areas to try to locate/orient the missile

---

2015-06-17T16:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611209559349170176

@MJoyce2244 Minimal difference, according to AA

2015-06-17T16:24:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611207747720249344

@MJoyce2244 HE speed is not the same as frag speed. These are heavy fragments. 2000 m/s seems about right

---

2015-06-17T16:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611202597907619840

@MJoyce2244 Almaz-Antey use a resultant velocity of 2400 m/s, which indicates a frag speed of around 2000 m/s. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHttf0dW8AA2LRZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHttf0dW8AA2LRZ.jpg

2015-06-17T16:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/611201901921566721

@MJoyce2244 It was in the middle of a range of estimates I'd seen. I noted that it was an unknown.

2015-06-16T13:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610802815674351617

@PythonJones Do you actually believe in the chemtrail conspiracy theory? Or did you just think that was an anti-contrail page?

2015-06-15T17:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610499455637262336

@RGSweather @ScarboroughWx @weatherschool @JonMitchellITV @Netweather Exactly. A slab of shadow in the sky viewed edge on..

2015-06-15T17:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610499105010221057

@CensoredAnon @iCaloric Plenty to see. Contrails, a hole punch clouds and some nice ripple clouds.

2015-06-15T17:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610496941697339394

@TchuTchx @DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @Freizeit_Tiger SPICE would have looked like this. 20

Km (65,000 feet) up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjrh8LWwAEaYOG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjrh8LWwAEaYOG.jpg
2015-06-15T17:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610496487458365440
@TchuTchx @DJSlugsworth @SteerMark @Freizeit_Tiger SPICE was proposed test of a ballon and hose. Didn't happen. Would be rather obvious.

2015-06-15T17:02:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610492577809235968
@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger The conference is on weather modification. That's cloud seeding. Done openly since the 1950s

---

2015-06-15T16:43:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610487858067959809
@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger And contrails have been around since the 1920s

---

2015-06-15T16:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610487808164106240
@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger The only difference is better cameras. There are no new clouds except contrails.

2015-06-15T16:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610487638622011392
@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark Is there anything in these books that you disagree with? Like "contrails can persist for hours"?

2015-06-15T16:41:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/610487317409632257
@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark You can tell that by looking at what looks exactly like contrails? What's the visual difference?

2015-06-15T16:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610487063243128832

.@SteerMark @DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger New Clouds Same as old clouds.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjivnOWwAABUXT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjivnOWwAABUXT.jpg

2015-06-15T16:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610486568147521536

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark It's a video of books. Are you saying the books are wrong?

2015-06-15T16:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610486259887157249

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark "May last for several hours". They all say the same type of thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjiBHMWcAAPlLc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHjiBHMWcAAPlLc.jpg

2015-06-15T16:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610485850300788736

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark And why not just look at any old books on clouds?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-06-15T16:35:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610485696332083200

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark Try this gif:

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/contrails-clouds-where-moisture-is-small.gif

---

2015-06-15T16:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610483195297988608

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark Clouds are patchy. Regions where contrails form are patchy. Same thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CHjfMHvWcAAtJgH.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CHjfMHvWcAAtJgH.png

2015-06-14T23:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610233758013206529

@Greenmancalling @RisingSign They are water, which is a product of combustion.

2015-06-14T22:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610218669776318465

@RisingSign @Greenmancalling Not true. Contrails can last for hours, see any book on clouds.

http://www.britannica.com/science/vapor-trail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHfum-mXAAA6s8E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHfum-mXAAA6s8E.jpg

2015-06-14T21:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610196782077706240

@stubborn_chris It's a contrail and its shadow. A bit like this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHfavWqVAAAp5HS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHfavWqVAAAp5HS.jpg

2015-06-14T21:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610195120499994624

@UFOsightingss Loch Ness UFO is just a reflection of a reading light in double glazing.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

2015-06-14T21:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610191269768237056

@SteerMark It's just the light. Clouds look dray grey too sometimes.

https://www.metabunk.org/explained-dark-contrails-behind-bright-planes.t5957/

2015-06-14T19:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610170161916571648

@DJSlugsworth @Freizeit_Tiger @SteerMark It's more built on "show me the evidence", and "let's have a look at that, see if it holds up."

2015-06-14T15:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/610112721263140865

@Dtikler The Loch Ness "UFO" is just the reflection of a light in the window

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

2015-06-13T20:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/609817576084893696

@DailyMirror Loch Ness UFO explained here.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:19:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817489367642113
@SpatzieLover @DailyMirror Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:19:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817457922977792
@MetroUK Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:19:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817363475640320
@UFOChronicles Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817345171718146
@UFOnetwork_ Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:19:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817312112185344
@FrankMa24398057 Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:18:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817200963137537
@Videotecadelweb @RitwittoeSeguo @CeUnTwittPerTe @rtxall Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:18:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609817153009659905
@area51org Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg
2015-06-13T20:17:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609816921735720960
@HuffPostWeird Loch Ness UFO explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg @VenusManTrap22 @1peggyc1



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg

2015-06-13T19:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/609806091770163201

Debunked: UFO over Loch Ness (just a reflection)

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-ufo-over-loch-ness-reflection-in-dual-pane-window.t6373/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHZ3SeOUAAEF8DV.jpg

2015-06-11T17:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/609045884471382016

@Lolocaustianity @WTFRLY @robertkessler @KylieJenner Look it up.

http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/623212/vapour-trail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHPD71ZXIAAnaB7.jpg

ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA
HELP | SCHOOL & LIBRARY PRODUCTS | SHOP

POPULAR TOPICS | QUIZZES | GALLERIES | LISTS | DICTIONARY | Search Britannica.

Vapour trail

Aerology

Alternate titles: *condensation trail; contrail*

Vapour trail, also called **Condensation Trail**, or **Contrail**, streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CHPD71ZXIAAnaB7.jpg
2015-06-11T17:10:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609045150489321475
@Lolocaustianity @WTFRLY @robertkessler @KylieJenner Are you saying all the books for 70 years are wrong?

2015-06-11T17:09:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/609044902513541120
@Lolocaustianity @WTFRLY @robertkessler @KylieJenner Why to all the books on clouds disagree with that? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-06-11T14:08:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/608999314476703744
@paulmike28 Paul, why do you think contrails should not persist? Please explain that before changing the topic.

2015-06-09T15:35:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/608296384157126657
@davebrooker33 the AWAC's is throttling down for separation, so it stops contrailing until they push the throttle back up.

2015-06-09T05:22:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/608142222740094976
@Rejento You know they why have people check in, right?

2015-06-08T18:51:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/607983260744810496
@SteerMark @proemark @Oswald1160 Clouds are humidity pockets. Same thing.

2015-06-08T18:24:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/607976407243759617
@SteerMark @Oswald1160 Clouds can have well defined edges. Contrails can have well defined ends. Both indicate regions of humidity.

2015-06-08T16:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607950572356927488

@SteerMark @Oswald1160 Why do clouds switch on and off? Why is the sky not solid cloud or 100% clear blue? Is humidity patchy?

2015-06-07T21:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607656920682393600

@martinhume #3 debunks itself.

2015-06-07T20:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607653031484588032

@SkyWatchrs @OpChemtrails If so, here's another. Just don't misrepresent them.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CG7RJnOU8AAju00.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CG7RJnOU8AAju00.jpg

2015-06-07T20:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607652722276335616

.@SkyWatchrs @OpChemtrails That's my photo of contrails you are retweeting. Are you trying to raise awareness of contrails.

2015-06-07T19:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607632206211645440

@ImaBannedd I take it you'd agree with this?

http://www.breitbart.com/big-government/2015/06/05/hide-the-hiatus-how-the-climate-alarmists-eliminated-the-inconvenient-pause-in-the-global-warming/

2015-06-07T19:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607631878581972992

@digitalErmit @Er_Nope It's just a cloud. That's that they do sometimes.

2015-06-07T19:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607630801992228864

@ImaBannedd No we don't know, we just think it's very likely.

2015-06-07T19:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607629243124576257

@ImaBannedd So because we don't know EXACTLY what effect heating the planet with CO2 will have, we should keep doing it?

2015-06-07T19:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607628956649414656

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram So are there better models? Or are you just saying we should ignore all models?

2015-06-07T19:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607628353852469248

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram Sure, but most people would agree you'd crash eventually. Like most scientist agree AGW is not a good thing.

2015-06-07T19:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607627603965407232

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram But will it show WHEN you crash? Try taking your hands off, there's a random time before you drift off the road.

2015-06-07T19:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607626138504986624

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram Just because the car has not crashed yet does not mean you should keep your hands of the wheel.

2015-06-07T19:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607625632323796993

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram That's why obese people should not diet, just keep getting bigger?

2015-06-07T19:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607625380933963777

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram History repeats, anthropogenic climate change does not. There won't be "doomed to repeat" if it's real, just doom.

2015-06-07T19:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607624430294958081

@ImaBannedd @luisbaram Its unfortunate that the same cannot be said about science.

2015-06-07T18:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607616983304007680

@Er_Nope @digitalErmit Rayleigh-Benard Convection clouds. A layer of cold air sinking, and/or warm air rising. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-yXOEsDivs

---

2015-06-07T02:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607373365905530880

@DeepakChopra Of course not, there's also electromagnetic radiation, and spacetime.

2015-06-06T20:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607281267470532608

@SteerMark @DebWard628 @OpChemtrails I'm not asking you to trust me. I'm just pointing out things that are incorrect. Like those vorticies.

2015-06-06T17:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607237834278182912

Great compilation of planes making temporary clouds with pressure drops.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dfY5ZQDzC5s @hoosercharles58 @SteerMark @Oswald1160

2015-06-06T17:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607237324078841856

@hoosercharles58 @SteerMark @Oswald1160 That is just condensation in the wake vortices. Like this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ViKYFsN3p24

2015-06-06T06:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607066145376608257

@Oswald1160 yes, but maybe a bit towards Mammatus Undulatus.

2015-06-06T05:02:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607049962501873664

@_WeAreAware_ @maddogla Who said they were rare? Anyway, only ice crystals can refract light at that angle. Therefore they are ice.

2015-06-06T02:24:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/607010027921129472

Interesting clouds over my house http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGyIYFqUIAISp1k.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGyIYFqUIAISp1k.jpg

2015-06-05T17:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606869063747444736

@DarfusMctrendy Well, if you can't be polite, then I guess that's it. Try to keep an open mind.

2015-06-05T17:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606868676806103040

@DarfusMctrendy Steel will bend in a fire. It does not matter how thick it is, the thick ones just take a little longer.

2015-06-05T17:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606868436787052545

@DarfusMctrendy nobody makes I-Beams out of hollow steel.

2015-06-05T17:00:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606868114425434112

@DarfusMctrendy You asked "you think this collapse can bend steel beams like horseshoes?" So I showed you that yes, it could.

2015-06-05T16:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606867775773122561

@DarfusMctrendy That was not a box column, it was an I-Beam. How does it differ exactly?

2015-06-05T16:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606866519054815232

@DarfusMctrendy So you are just dropping your claim about steel beams then? Does it not bother you that your "evidence" was wrong?

2015-06-05T16:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606864270199037952

@DarfusMctrendy This one didn't crack, and it bent cold, in an earthquake.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwD1ITUYAEpZ3Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwD1ITUYAEpZ3Q.jpg

2015-06-05T16:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606862592653594624

@DarfusMctrendy and another ... you get the picture?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwCT-ZUkAAR7tP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwCT-ZUkAAR7tP.jpg

2015-06-05T16:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606862348465389568

@DarfusMctrendy And this http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwCFs6UIAAcZX2.jpg



Fig. 20-4. After fire scene. Shows a wood beam supporting twisted steel I-beams. (Forest Products Laboratory)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwCFs6UIAAcZX2.jpg

2015-06-05T16:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606861478868697088

@DarfusMctrendy http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwBTJQUkAAw_fp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwBTJQUkAAw_fp.jpg

2015-06-05T16:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606861014693486592

@DarfusMctrendy So did this one http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwA4H-UAAAGhdu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwA4H-UAAAGhdu.jpg

2015-06-05T16:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606860894073712641

@DarfusMctrendy This one did: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwAxG3UYAA31zV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwAxG3UYAA31zV.jpg

2015-06-05T16:31:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606860787727089664

@DarfusMctrendy Example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwAq6pUcAALrxw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGwAq6pUcAALrxw.jpg

2015-06-05T16:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606860331797856256

@DarfusMctrendy Only one?, probably bent in the fire pre-collapse. Fire can weaken steel enough to bend without cracks.

2015-06-05T16:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606859956550307840

@DarfusMctrendy So, falling mass starts at 0 mph, hits a floor, floor fails, slows mass a bit, but now ABOVE 0 mph, and HEAVIER. Repeat.

2015-06-05T16:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606858829989613568

@DarfusMctrendy How do you explain a floor supporting hundreds of times the load it was designed for?

2015-06-05T16:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606858308893474816

@DarfusMctrendy The vast majority of beams were undamaged, came apart at the seams. But, what is the temp? And which beam?

2015-06-05T16:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606858089128710145

@DarfusMctrendy So how could it support 10x its weight hitting it after falling 12 feet?

2015-06-05T16:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606857964931137536

@DarfusMctrendy How could they. A FLOOR could only support about 5x it's weight, and that's if the weight was gently placed on top of it.

2015-06-05T16:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606857751097176064

@DarfusMctrendy Personal incredulity is not an argument. How many 1300 foot building

collapses have you examined?

2015-06-05T16:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606857607672958977

@DarfusMctrendy Did you see the collapses? Basically everything had a boulder dropped on it from 1000 feet up. What remains from that?

2015-06-05T16:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606857261923889153

@DarfusMctrendy Now imagine above that ceiling, there's 20,000 tons of steel and concrete. That falls to the floor.

2015-06-05T16:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606857020088700928

@DarfusMctrendy Look at the ceiling of the room you are in now.

2015-06-05T16:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606856841340010496

@DarfusMctrendy The FLOORS do not support anything. The COLUMNS support the building. So drop a lot of weight on a floor, it's stripped away

---

2015-06-05T16:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606856372899168256

@DarfusMctrendy Which analysis do you think is the best one? Which one do you agree is correct?

2015-06-05T16:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606856184008724481

@DarfusMctrendy No, it doesn't. It's not as simple as that. Floors were stripped away, out wall peeled, unsupported columns collapsed.

2015-06-05T16:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606855223978692608

@DarfusMctrendy all of which have been answered years ago, in great depth.

2015-06-05T16:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606853604629221376

@DarfusMctrendy @CriticalSauce What do you make of all these old photos of spreading contrails then? Do they change anything for you?

2015-06-05T14:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606831900997615616

Contrails spreading out to cover the sky in Paris, 1989: https://www.flickr.com/photos/sftrajan/8552524643/ That's #chemtrails debunked then :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGvmGSvUkAA-0pT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGvmGSvUkAA-0pT.jpg

2015-06-04T20:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606559152807112705

@climateviewer @lorabruncke @digitalErmit @Er_Nope @Enkidu_G Contrails are ice couds. Cirrus. They don't rain. Do precipitate though.

2015-06-04T19:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606550446753259520

@DarfusMctrendy What static load could the floors support? What was the dynamic load? It's simply math.

2015-06-04T19:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606550173196500994

.@SteerMark This image is fake, but contrails do occasionally form shapes like pentagrams.

https://www.metabunk.org/contrail-pentagram-and-other-signs-in-the-sky.t3659/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrl6YBUQAAATb5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrl6YBUQAAATb5.jpg

2015-06-04T19:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606549562799439873

@ImaBannedd Of course, but some more that others, based on evidence.

2015-06-04T19:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606548462667071488

@ImaBannedd It's still a concern, as it could have very significant local effects on water sources, even if it's not "widespread".

2015-06-04T19:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606546422968623104

@ImaBannedd There's a lot of knee-jerk emotional reaction in the fracking debate though. And lots of PR.

2015-06-04T19:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606546195108917248

@ImaBannedd Conservation :) But besides that different activities affect things to differing degrees.

2015-06-04T19:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606544079141240832

@SteerMark I see the exact same things as you. The 4th photo there is photoshopped though.

2015-06-04T19:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606543869283418112

@ImaBannedd But water has still been contaminated.

http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-06/documents/hf_es_erd_jun2015.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrgPioUkAAHAjJ.jpg

We did not find evidence that these mechanisms have led to widespread, systemic impacts on drinking water resources in the United States. Of the potential mechanisms identified in this report, we found specific instances where one or more of these mechanisms led to impacts on drinking water resources, including contamination of drinking water wells. The cases occurred during both routine activities and accidents and have resulted in impacts to surface or ground water. Spills of hydraulic fracturing fluid and produced water in certain cases have reached drinking water resources, both surface and ground water. Discharge of treated hydraulic fracturing wastewater has increased contaminant concentrations in receiving surface waters. Below ground movement of fluids, including gas, most likely via the production well, have contaminated drinking water resources. In some cases, hydraulic fracturing fluids have also been directly injected into drinking water resources, as defined in this assessment, to produce oil or gas that co-exists in those formations.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrgPioUkAAHAjJ.jpg

2015-06-04T19:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606541967409836032

@DarfusMctrendy Physics. It was impossible for it not to collapse once it started. Complex topic.

2015-06-04T19:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606541155057008640

@lorabruncke @digitalErmit @climateviewer @Er_Nope @Enkidu_G Contrails are mostly from water already in the atmosphere.

2015-06-04T19:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606541005114863616

@DarfusMctrendy What are you asking?

2015-06-04T19:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606539996384755712

@digitalErmit But most of the "Chemtrail" culture uses "Chemtrails" to mean deliberate spraying of white trails.

2015-06-04T19:02:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606536580275257347

@digitalErmit @Er_Nope We also need to distinguish between engine exhaust and contrails, as they are very different things.

2015-06-04T18:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606527279972405248

@digitalErmit @climateviewer @Er_Nope @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke But calling contrails "chemtrails" just distracts from the real issues.

2015-06-04T18:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606527193213206529

@digitalErmit @climateviewer @Er_Nope @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke Pollution is a problem. Pretty much everyone agrees on this.

2015-06-04T18:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606527089009954818

@digitalErmit @climateviewer @Er_Nope @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke Of course I'm concerned about it, just just any effect of pollution.

2015-06-04T18:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606526873250734080

@digitalErmit Like if we wanted to talk about horses, but you insist on calling them unicorns.

2015-06-04T18:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606526735023259648

@digitalErmit It's pointless to debate about something if you disagree on the meaning of the words that name the subject.

2015-06-04T18:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606525820396568578

@climateviewer Well, that's not going to help, is it?

2015-06-04T18:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606524775071117313

@climateviewer @Er_Nope Because you don't actually make claims, you just make lists.

2015-06-04T18:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606524468656271360

@climateviewer @Er_Nope Words are a key part of understanding the complexities.

2015-06-04T18:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606524274342563840

@DarfusMctrendy http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrOnPvXIAAmgBu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGrOnPvXIAAmgBu.jpg

2015-06-04T18:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606523246364786688

@climateviewer @Er_Nope So why do you have a web site? Who is your audience?

2015-06-04T18:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606521816870436865

@climateviewer @Er_Nope Call them what they are, not some word that's generally used for something else.

2015-06-04T18:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606521717213773824

@climateviewer @Er_Nope Call them pollution then. Everyone understands that. Why not?

2015-06-04T18:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606521619448741888

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @digitalErmit Not for everyone else. So you are just confusing them, and failing to get any message out.

2015-06-04T18:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606521060884889600

@DarfusMctrendy Nothing. It's just a little hard to discuss complex physics on twitter.

2015-06-04T18:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606520877920997378

@climateviewer @Er_Nope Again with the semantics. Lots of things could be considered "geoengineering" by that logic.

2015-06-04T17:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606520667585048576

@climateviewer @Er_Nope You need to clarify what point you are trying to make. Jet exhaust has pollution? Contrails affect climate? So?

2015-06-04T17:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606520145377402881

@DarfusMctrendy No, the simulation clearly shows crushing of the leading edges of the plane. Look closer.

2015-06-04T17:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606519940175261698

@DarfusMctrendy Cut to the chase. Do you think there were no planes?

2015-06-04T17:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606519815365357569

@DarfusMctrendy No it doesn't

2015-06-04T17:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606519690098286593

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke So you admit you are just using the word to get attention?

2015-06-04T17:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606519431473283075

@DarfusMctrendy No. You are entirely misconstruing things. Look at what actually happened. Not the model.

2015-06-04T17:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606518893667041280

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke Stop calling contrails "chemtrails", then we can talk.

2015-06-04T17:50:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606518330074275840

@DarfusMctrendy It's a computer model It represents the type of thing that would happen. It's accurate overall, but not in details.

2015-06-04T17:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606517898245505030

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke So by your logic, all clouds are "chemclouds".

2015-06-04T17:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606516986714181633

@climateviewer @Er_Nope @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke Why? What does the "chem" mean in a hydrogen fueled contrail?

2015-06-04T17:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606516441978970112

@DarfusMctrendy @Er_Nope @climateviewer @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G Because it was rhetorical. Show some evidence.

2015-06-04T17:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606509603287891968

@Er_Nope @climateviewer @digitalErmit @Enkidu_G @lorabruncke When a liquid hydrogen powered plane makes a contrail, is that a "chemtrail"?

2015-06-04T17:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606508724237279232

.@FOX4 Sunset contrails are frequently mistaken for "fireballs". Understandable. https://www.metabunk.org/explained-floating-ball-of-fire-over-argentina-short-sunlit-contrail.t5654/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGrASd_W0AIdlTi.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGrASd_W0AIdlTi.png

2015-06-03T20:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/606191792883560448

@AeroSavvy Thanks Ken! Also changing a lot of minds a tiny bit in the right direction is good. I try for both :)

2015-06-03T00:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896796309590016

@ImaBannedd You don't like the Koch's then?

2015-06-03T00:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896699580588034

@ImaBannedd Sorry about that.

2015-06-03T00:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896642420563969

@ImaBannedd No, I'm evidence based. I see all kinds of politician ignore evidence.

2015-06-03T00:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896419581386752

@ImaBannedd Should I accept your positions as nobel just because you say they are? No, I go by the evidence.

2015-06-03T00:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896263909830657

@ImaBannedd How is it not credible to be honest?

2015-06-03T00:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605896079867912193

@ImaBannedd Ultimately I'd like an evidence based approach to our environmental problems. I don't have an axe to grind.

2015-06-03T00:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605895778129735680

@ImaBannedd No I don't, but I don't see how it's relevant.

2015-06-03T00:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605895663939764226

@ImaBannedd Nothing personal. But your position comes across as Koch-like. Favoring established industries by pretending they are most eco.

2015-06-03T00:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605894917450113024

@ImaBannedd I'm sure you are super concerned about the dessert tortoise. Don't you get a little tired of this party line?

2015-06-03T00:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605894353169477633

@ImaBannedd More than is needed.

2015-06-03T00:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605894249515606016

@ImaBannedd "Fragile ecosystems", that's funny.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGiRnAAVIAAeQGd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGiRnAAVIAAeQGd.jpg

2015-06-03T00:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605893869566238722

@ImaBannedd Or excessive farming.

2015-06-03T00:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605893775001460737

@ImaBannedd or by excessive water extraction from rivers?

2015-06-03T00:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605893496386379776

@ImaBannedd No, I mean who can fix the problems you are bringing up. Who would you like in power?

2015-06-03T00:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605892828435116033

@ImaBannedd So who should be in charge of fixing this? Who has a plan?

2015-06-03T00:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605892292495310848

@ImaBannedd Right, you expect public policy to plan for century scale oscillations? Commie. :)

2015-06-03T00:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605892084214538241

@ImaBannedd It's not been this arid for a long time.

2015-06-03T00:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605891890840338433

@ImaBannedd No, I mean the smelt is not the cause of the drought. It just makes a it worse for some people.

2015-06-03T00:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605891570487824384

@ImaBannedd You want to stop urban sprawl to help the redwoods?

2015-06-03T00:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605891370432131072

@ImaBannedd I'm not sure what point you are making with all these links.

2015-06-03T00:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605891188588036097

@ImaBannedd Although I'd be fine with more public spending on infrastructure.

2015-06-03T00:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605891013048037378

@ImaBannedd We'd still be in a drought if it wasn't for the smelt

---

2015-06-03T00:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605889334449827840

@ImaBannedd It's not the rain, it's the snowpack. 0% of normal levels, and nothing to do with water use policy.

http://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2015/05/29/california_s_snowpack_now_zero_percent_of_normal_a_worst_case_scenario_for.html

---

2015-06-02T20:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605833534599593984

@ptpaola @CriticalSauce @WTFRLY . e,g, Aeodynamic persistent contrails can form at significantly higher temps than -40, and hence lower alts

---

2015-06-02T20:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605833373274087424

@ptpaola @CriticalSauce @WTFRLY Not really, those are just typical numbers. It's a little more complex

---

2015-06-02T15:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605757920404340738

@DarfusMctrendy Firstly though, show me a "slice" in the WTC columns near the end of the wing.

2015-06-02T15:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605757569055866882

@DarfusMctrendy You are going to have to be more specific there. You are describing something that did not happen.

2015-06-02T05:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605607256202178560

@socalskywatch No, it's a BD-100 Challenger 300 at 43,000 feet. A charter jet. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGeL2PtWsAErC_7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGeL2PtWsAErC_7.jpg

2015-06-02T00:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605532872477310976

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @shane_clower So how does the viewpoint differ here if you ignore the flapping tarp? http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGdI2C1XIAARGJu.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGdI2C1XIAARGJu.png

2015-06-01T23:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605514712684445697

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @BostonPsyOp @shane_clower So you'll admit they are from the same viewpoint, sky hidden, and update your document.

2015-06-01T18:46:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605445231371120640

@RichardWiseman Stairs for horses, obs. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGb5OtGUYAARLO7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGb5OtGUYAARLO7.jpg

2015-06-01T18:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605444708949557249

@Freizeit_Tiger @A3803 Not again I think, that's an old link.

2015-06-01T18:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605434309139308544

@WTFRLY @robertkessler @KylieJenner But contrails don't always disappear in minutes, see all the books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-06-01T17:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605432776528162817

UFO? No, just a sunset contrail and some perspective https://www.metabunk.org/explained-floating-ball-of-fire-over-argentina-short-sunlit-contrail.t5654/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbtq9QWwAAZvCn.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbtq9QWwAAZvCn.png

2015-06-01T17:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605431683551076352

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @BostonPsyOp @shane_clower Adn from same window, jsut moved a couple of inches so horizontal bar not in the way

---

2015-06-01T17:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605430261245841409

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @BostonPsyOp @shane_clower tarp blowing in the wind made the viewpoint look different. But wheels and paint fixed.

2015-06-01T17:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605429962020126720

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @BostonPsyOp @shane_clower I was wrong, same photog, viewpoint, time, different zoom.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbrOHHXEAIbJUO.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbrOHHXEAIbJUO.png
2015-06-01T16:40:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605413629979176960
@ottersucubus Where did he say he was the only photographer?

2015-06-01T16:22:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605409198650892288
@ottersucubus Obviously it was a different photographer. #9 and #24 taken at about the same time.

2015-06-01T16:17:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605407923955757056
.@WTFRLY Pentagram contrail patterns have a perfectly logical explanation. Planes.
https://www.metabunk.org/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.t3868/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGbXMBgU8AA-waG.jpg



The earliest blue contrail was formed about five minutes before the green and yellow contrails and ten minutes before the orange and red ones. I've moved it in the SE direction by about 13 km parallel to its flight route (purple line), which corresponds to a modest wind speed of 80 km/h or 50 mph. The green and yellow contrails have also been moved by a half of this distance in the same direction. Note that from above the resulting star looks very asymmetric, but from the ground it looks more proportional due to the perspective:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGbXMBgU8AA-waG.jpg

2015-06-01T16:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605406655472365568

@ottersucubus @SholaDogg @BostonPsyOp @shane_clower Pic 24 is just another viewpoint on Pic 9. Wider shot shows sky. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbWJQlW8AAzWm_.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CGbWJQlW8AAzWm_.png
2015-06-01T14:46:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605384842696617984
@DarfusMctrendy Sure.

2015-05-31T21:22:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605122167517401088
A nice introduction to contrail science from NASA:
http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/science.php

2015-05-31T21:03:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605117303387238401
@shane_clower @BostonPsyOp I'm done here, sorry.

2015-05-31T21:02:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605117180355735552
@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower No, it's physically impossible, and hence your comparison is nonsense. Hopefully that will be a wake up for you.

2015-05-31T20:59:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605116471031791616
@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower What theory?

2015-05-31T20:58:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605116208829100032
@shane_clower @BostonPsyOp This guy:
http://www.tauntongazette.com/article/20130420/NEWS/304209947

2015-05-31T20:57:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605116004159660032
@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower It's entirely physically impossible. Look at them again.

2015-05-31T20:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605115899260076032

@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower Why? And how did he move from the 2nd floor and across the street in under a second?

2015-05-31T20:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605115263382614016

@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower The photo in the bottom right is obviously taken from a building across the street.

2015-05-31T20:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605114964207091713

@BostonPsyOp The question is: do you think that image is evidence the Boston Bombings were faked, and if so, why exactly?

2015-05-31T20:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605114693724860416

@BostonPsyOp @shane_clower So where is the evidence of these lies?

2015-05-31T20:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605114595267760128

@BostonPsyOp Changing the topic again? What exactly is the issue here?

2015-05-31T20:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605114326903599104

@shane_clower Bob Leonard was a photographer. @BostonPsyOp claims Bob "lied" about the number of photos he gave to the FBI.

2015-05-31T20:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605113936212578305

@BostonPsyOp I'm simply asking for the evidence you claim to have. Do you have it?

2015-05-31T20:48:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605113721338208256

@BostonPsyOp You saying something is not evidence. Link me to the source, or you have nothing.

2015-05-31T20:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605113617399336960

@BostonPsyOp Sources are kind of key to claims are they not? Otherwise it's just some stuff you read somewhere on the internet.

2015-05-31T20:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605113482665672704

@BostonPsyOp So you can't actually back up this claim?

2015-05-31T20:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605113033724203011

@BostonPsyOp I want evidence he say he only took 8, and evidence there were more than 8.

2015-05-31T20:45:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605112886097276930

@BostonPsyOp I don't even know what your premise is.

2015-05-31T20:44:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605112620144836608

@BostonPsyOp You have not given any sources. How can I challenge them.

2015-05-31T20:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605112150294728705

@BostonPsyOp You need to proved sources of what you think is evidence. Sources for everything in that image.

2015-05-31T20:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605109579580588032

@BostonPsyOp That's just a bunch of claims with no evidence. Where's the evidence? These all seem very open to interpretation.

2015-05-31T19:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605100973023981568

@2AFight But access to guns raises the risk of suicide.

http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/magazine-features/guns-and-suicide-the-hidden-toll/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGXAH5KUcAE2TRh.jpg

ACCESS TO GUNS RAISES THE RISK OF SUICIDE

VARIABLE	States with the Highest Rates of Gun Ownership	States with the Lowest Rates of Gun Ownership
Average population 2001–2005	49 million	50 million
Percent of households with guns	47	15
Total firearm suicides	16,577	4,257
Total nonfirearm suicides	9,172	9,259

Source: Miller M and Hemenway D. Guns and suicide in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2008; 359:672-3.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGXAH5KUcAE2TRh.jpg

2015-05-31T19:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605100475474681856

@mikesara444 I'm really not sure why you are telling me all this. I'm against pollution. I'm against autism. I'm in favor of science.

2015-05-31T19:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605100172339748864

@BostonPsyOp You've not considered she was just describing what it felt like? Then the reporter wrote it up? It that not remotely possible?

2015-05-31T19:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605099906953555969

@BostonPsyOp Is that really the best single bit of evidence? Hyperbole in local news? http://www.dotnews.com/2013/bomb-kills-dot-boy-injures-mom-sister

---

2015-05-31T19:07:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605088272067346432

@mikesara444 No more links without comment please.

2015-05-31T19:07:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605088183123103744

@mikesara444 Air pollution bad. I get it. Aircraft are a very small contribution to total air pollution.

2015-05-31T19:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605087894169128960

You are using autism as a hugely inaccurate way of measuring air pollution, when incredibly accurate methods of testing it exist.

2015-05-31T19:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605086627489267712

@BostonPsyOp So what is the one claim of evidence you think is valid here? You've listed a few things.

2015-05-31T18:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605076509741694976

@BostonPsyOp Link?

2015-05-31T18:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605075928390189056

@BostonPsyOp And there's 68 threads on it on Metabunk. https://www.metabunk.org/boston-marathon-bombings.f27/

2015-05-31T18:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605075670490861568

@BostonPsyOp Pick just one thing, JUST ONE, and I'll look into it in depth.

2015-05-31T18:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605075548486963200

@BostonPsyOp You know you have been wrong about many things in the past. Is that not evidence your judgement is unreliable?

2015-05-31T18:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605075262393466881

@BostonPsyOp I'm saying there's zero evidence it's not a real injury. Everything is consistent.

2015-05-31T18:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605075126808408065

@BostonPsyOp Of course YOU think it does. You think EVERYTHING supports your explanation.

2015-05-31T18:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605074955777228802

@BostonPsyOp None of your individual claims of evidence stand up as actual evidence. Look up "Gish Gallop"

2015-05-31T18:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605074713396834305

@BostonPsyOp See there you go, helter-skelter. Settle down and actually look at ONE claim of evidence.

2015-05-31T18:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605074348089688064

@BostonPsyOp See, you can't even stand by one bit of "evidence" without jumping to "much more".

2015-05-31T18:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605073763252748288

@BostonPsyOp You are wasting your life, a few years of your life at least.

2015-05-31T18:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605073361883045889

@BostonPsyOp Like Brassards' X-ray, you started this thread with that. How is that a lie?

2015-05-31T18:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605073244484472832

@BostonPsyOp But that's just it. Each "aspect" is equally specious. You thing because you have a lot, there must be a case

---

2015-05-31T18:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605072717742788608

@BostonPsyOp No, you have not.

2015-05-31T18:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605072647739850752

@BostonPsyOp You are just arguing for your own personal, subjective, and highly primed interpretation of videos. Things like "bags of blood"

2015-05-31T18:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605072267060621312

@BostonPsyOp And consider there's a HUGE difference between "studying" and "collecting only evidence that seems to support my case"

2015-05-31T18:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605072060457598977

@BostonPsyOp Have you ever considered your perspective may be severely limited by your preconceptions. You reject conflicting evidence.

2015-05-31T17:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605070442811015168

@BostonPsyOp See how you feel in five years. Try to keep an open mind.

2015-05-31T17:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/605069917738676224

@mikesara444 @GeoengWatch They have been historical studies of elements in the air dating back decades. 1979 example:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0016703779901625

2015-05-31T17:50:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605068845041242112
@LuiiisGarcia Why?

2015-05-31T17:49:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605068646105391104
@BostonPsyOp Your characterization is both vague and wrong. I don't trust the government, but I also think the Boston Bombing happened.

2015-05-31T17:15:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/605060071396122625
@mikesara444 @GeoengWatch But air pollution level have improved greatly over the last 45 years.
http://www.kcet.org/socal/departures/columns/laws-that-shaped-la/how-los-angeles-began-to-put-its-smoggy-days-behind.html

---

2015-05-31T00:32:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604807726468870144
@mikesara444 If there's no contrails, the exhaust is EXACTLY the same (in terms of toxic pollution). The contrail simply adds visual.

2015-05-31T00:32:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604807512504844288
@mikesara444 But the only difference between visible and invisible jet exhaust is that it's visible. Contrails are a different thing.

2015-05-30T21:31:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604762169297776640
@logicfrenzy Maybe you should also get Michael Murphy or Dane Wigington to do a fund raiser for a professional one.

2015-05-30T20:40:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604749286874726400
@logicfrenzy It will be interesting when someone tries it :)

2015-05-30T20:27:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604745968517545984
@mikesara444 @FAANews @EPA @CDCEnvironment "visual pollution" just means that it's something that spoils the view of the sky. Not toxic etc.

2015-05-30T18:49:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604721335043006464
This interview provides a good overview of the common misconceptions behind "chemtrails"
https://www.metabunk.org/transcript-of-mick-west-interview-for-overcast-documentary-by-dedal-films.t6303/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGRmhM0WwAACKyw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGRmhM0WwAACKyw.jpg

2015-05-30T16:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604678978524217344

@MagnoliaKid @CeRuLeaNBLuMaN Someone did, it's back up now.

2015-05-30T15:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604676618993270784

.@OpChemtrails Your photo shows natural iridescent clouds. Pure water.

http://www.atoptics.co.uk/droplets/irid1.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGQ-JxkWwAAnz5v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGQ-JxkWwAAnz5v.jpg

2015-05-30T10:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604602422632120320

@LearntheSigns So basically it's because you disagree with me, so the only possibility is that I'm a shill? You can't possibly be wrong?

2015-05-30T05:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604515480921980928

@clearlyess @Web98 @grist Not intentionally though, that's contrails. Ice crystals formed from jet exhaust and ambient humidity.

2015-05-30T05:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604514920860778497

@arzate_joanna No, there's millions who agree with you. And hundreds of millions who do not, including all the meteorologists.

2015-05-30T05:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604513101946355712

.@CeRuLeaNBLuMaN Metabunk is down due to a power outage at the data center. Back up ASAP. http://status.linode.com/

2015-05-29T22:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604411428435427328

RT @mmfa: Fox assertion about hurricanes is debunked by Fox's own graphic: http://mm4a.org/1GJoBjf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGMbbeUWkAAYSLn.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGMbbeUWkAAYSLn.png

2015-05-29T22:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604410916092813312

@LearntheSigns @SteerMark Really? So you don't think anyone should try to expose hoaxes and falsehood?

2015-05-29T20:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604386801340391425

@LearntheSigns @courtskinz @SteerMark How so? What evidence did she give?

2015-05-29T19:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604369918293712896

@Sylvana0902 Two planes crossed paths. What is abnormal about that? Sometime planes leave contrails, and they always have.

2015-05-29T19:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604369702270316544

@LearntheSigns Maybe they are trying to help you, and you just don't realize it?

2015-05-29T19:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604369517783875584

@OpChemtrails I'll pull out some books on clouds, and read to them.

2015-05-29T19:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604362898282958848

@RadicaLLove2012 And it's nothing to do with the "chemtrail" theory, as modern cloud seeding does not leave trails.

2015-05-29T19:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604362353782611968

@Sylvana0902 I know, that a photo of normal cirrus clouds.

2015-05-29T19:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604361899090685952

@RadicaLLove2012 I read the wikipedia page. It's not impossible, he just didn't do it. Modern cloud seeding has science behind it.

2022-05-22T14:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528389509678628864

@greenbudha @Flyingh43892139 No, they were a little before my time.

2022-05-22T14:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528388986565054471

@TomEMatte @Flyingh43892139 4D as in four spatial dimensions? It's just one extra basis and more complicated matrices. But it really depends on what your end goal is. What's the purpose of this 4D coordinate system?

2022-05-22T14:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528386640124649472

@hermitshavenvt @MushtakWasiti @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector So 100% of all identified cases were balloons.

How many of the unidentified cases might be then?

2022-05-22T14:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528386405126139904

@TomEMatte @Flyingh43892139 Somewhat. I'm sure I could pick things up pretty quickly. Recently I've being doing a variety of 3D tools using Javascript.

2022-05-22T14:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528386011335565312

@james_mals You'd think.

The upgrade wasn't simply a better radar, it was a radically different radar. They moved from

an (I think) pulse-Doppler, to an Electronically Scanned Array.

Issues are to be expect with a new system

2022-05-22T14:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528383758629359616

@Flyingh43892139 Gosh, no, it's been a long time. That's the first game I designed (and programmed, and did the sound effects) myself, and it's a bit crufty. The ST & Amiga were not really powerful enough. I wonder what it would play like at 60fps.

2022-05-22T14:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528382962936360960

RT @MickWest: @MushtakWasiti @hermitshavenvt @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector The DoD listed the thing that they thought were likely causes ofâ□¦

2022-05-22T14:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528382856392626177

@MushtakWasiti @hermitshavenvt @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector The DoD listed the thing that they thought were likely causes of the unidentified cases. Balloons were very high on the list, both in the UAP report, and in Scott Bray's testimony.

There were other things as well. But clutter was the first.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXoDchUcAEB_eA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXnjssUEAAfgC3.jpg



(U) **UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION**

(U) The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to particular explanations.

1.4(a)(c)(g) 6.2(d) 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) [redacted]

1.4(a)(c)(g) 6.2(d) 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) [redacted]

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft. This category can also include 1.4(g) [redacted]

1.4(g) [redacted] We recognize that 1.4(g) [redacted] With the 1.4(g) [redacted]

7

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) [redacted]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXoDchUcAEB_eA.jpg

2022-05-22T14:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528381863068217345

@commdiver2 One case is not all cases.

2022-05-22T14:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528381796177522688

@james_mals Yes, that's the point. They started showing up when the pilots got new radar.

2022-05-22T14:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528378400355676161

@BrandonLetUsGo @Oswald1160 @CogentNomad @GordonDCCameron @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO The books, all independently, say contrails sometimes persist and spread.

These are books that have been in stores, libraries, homes, universities, and schools for decades - since the 1940s.

They agree, and the science agrees - contrails are CLOUDS, so they do what clouds do.

2022-05-22T14:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528377471732547585

@Serg_is_Vargas @ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen In the original video there is only one light source that is moving. All the others are stars (and one is Jupiter)

2022-05-22T14:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528377283752316928
@sSamsquantch Ask Bray. Clear balloons are an issue.

Obviously not all UAP are balloons. But many are.

2022-05-22T14:07:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528377021943885824
@chrisotis78 All of them? Exactly how many accounts do you have where a pilot said they eliminated balloons as a possibility?

@uncertainvector said that EVERY TIME he went to look at one of these radar targets he was unable to see it.

Which is consistent with a small balloon.

As is this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXiu-eUsAIfzs8.jpg



---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXiu-eUsAIfzs8.jpg
2022-05-22T14:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528376403762130946
RT @mmasnick: Fact check: 230 was a gift to the public, enabling so many services they now rely on. And it has a *ton* to do with the 1st Aâ¦

2022-05-22T14:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528375928258105344
@sSamsquantch http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXhxMrVUAAhsm7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTXhxMrVUAAhsm7.jpg

2022-05-22T13:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528369335533592577

@james_mals More sensitive radar maybe?

2022-05-22T06:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528266471477874688

@AdamGoldsack Why did Scott Bray specifically cite "mylar balloons" as one of the factors in the increase in UAP sightings? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTV-OMYVIAArf4d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTV-OMYVIAArf4d.jpg

2022-05-22T06:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528254837963358209

@coltholomew @thehealthb0t What did CNN say?

2022-05-22T05:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528248634579382272

@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO How about all these books. They all exist. I have a copy of each one. You can buy them on the internet. They all say contrails can persist.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-22T05:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528246293742510080

@Jtooshygrimsley What does that even mean? I can see an unidentified object just by looking out my window.

Could you give an example?

2022-05-22T05:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528244950223048706

@East97913227 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure I think the triangle in the video was probably a

plane. It's just a better fit. The later event (the photo they showed) might have been drones.

2022-05-22T03:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528216380847247361

@AtticusFinch_JD @RamonDe17166977 @BKolbey Nah, Iâ□□m just out. Canâ□□t get a good look at the video

---

2022-05-22T02:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528207167009935360

@WilliamFergie @DustinSamuelRea @MvonRen Two or more lights give overlapping triangles, and a slight illusion of depth.

2022-05-22T02:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528206343491887105

@AtticusFinch_JD @BKolbey A flying one.

2022-05-22T02:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528200482438537216

@DustinSamuelRea @WilliamFergie @MvonRen "Pyramid UFO" - NEW FOOTAGE. It's Just Bokeh, not a Pyramid

https://youtu.be/-r2oaQWmqkk

2022-05-22T02:23:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528199902517374976

@WilliamFergie @DustinSamuelRea @MvonRen Google triangular bokeh, or shaped bokeh.

2022-05-22T02:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528194496407580672

@619Notabot @usuallyjustben It canâ□□t.

2022-05-22T01:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528189794815078400

@beta_sid @BKolbey Actually that one might be a plane. I was replying to the other one. None of them are amazing.

2022-05-22T01:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528188523580338176

@BKolbey Film the moon for long enough and things will fly in front of it.

2022-05-22T01:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528188162496835584

@BKolbey Some kind of flying animal

2022-05-22T00:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528165639331803136

@BrandonLetUsGo @GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO 70 years? (more like 80 now, that's an old video)

2022-05-22T00:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528165479684001792

@skinnywalker7 @usuallyjustben Looks like a science fair project. A balloon made to look like a

Sputnick/Telstar satellite. Moves like a tethered balloon.

2022-05-21T23:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528162430290829312

@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-21T23:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528162260618600448

@_P4TR10T_ @AE911Truth I'm not lying.

2022-05-21T23:53:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528162167047872513

@UAPll @BarlowTerry I know a few things about balloons :)

But obviously, they only explain a potential subset of cases.

2022-05-21T23:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528161620907524096

@BrandonLetUsGo @GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO

There's lots of these old reports. This one is from 1944.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUe2yYUAAAxyJe.jpg

Vapor Trails Bane To Pilots, Former News-Post Photog Says

Vapor trails similar to the one which a high-flying airplane left over this city early in February are a bane to pilots since they are a "dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners," Tech. Sergt. Robert L. Yinger, former News - Post photographer, writes from Muroc, Calif., where he is stationed with the 536th Bomber Squadron.

Sergt. Yinger said he read with interest the account of the long vapor trails left by the unidentified aircraft which passed over this city and which were viewed by many residents. The trails have appeared as a narrow ribbon of dense white smoke unwinding from the plane until it disappeared below the horizon.

He said the vapor trails are termed "contrail," a contraction for condensation trail, and "are not so much a rarity here in the west, possibly due to the dryness of the atmosphere."

"It is quite common to see several large trails every day, produced by high altitude bombers. The maneuvering of the aircraft results frequently in interesting patterns. Contrails are a headache to combat pilots and are very difficult to control. Apparently they result only when the atmosphere is at a certain temperature and humidity."

Sergt. Yinger then makes this interesting observation: "Contrails frequently have a tendency to cause a complete overcast and cause rain. In Idaho I have seen contrails formed in a perfectly clear sky and four hours later a complete overcast resulted.

"But one of the oddest effects I have even seen and which has never been satisfactorily explained to me is that when an aircraft producing a vapor trail enters a cloud formation, the vapor trail stops and a perfectly clear tunnel is bored through to the other side. As soon as the ship emerges, the trail begins again. This may be caused by precipitation of the water vapor in the cloud. While most trails persist for several hours, some are only of short duration, giving the appearance of the wake of a ship in water.

"The complete elimination or control of contrails would be a great advancement for aerial warfare, since they are a dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners.

"Notwithstanding the seriousness of contrails to high altitude flying, I saw one pilot over Los Angeles who was making good use of it. He was sky-writing with his P-39, to the amazement of onlookers."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUe2yYUAAAxyJe.jpg

2022-05-21T23:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528161299858829312

@BrandonLetUsGo @GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO

Contrails over Palm Springs we most likely not military at the time, but actually, cross-country flights, going to/from the Los Angeles area.

The point is contrails were known to do this then, and as they note, in WWII. 80 years ago.

2022-05-21T23:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528152865935527936

@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO
Would you trust a book on clouds from 40+ years ago?

2022-05-21T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528152486984290307

@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO
That's what contrails sometimes do, and have done for 70Â± years. It's not a new thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUWkhOVEAA9EB9.jpg

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUWkhOVEAA9EB9.jpg
2022-05-21T23:12:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528151862553083904
@BrainwashSyka @DaveOliver179 I gave a guess as to what it might be, based on stills from the same video.

What's wrong with that?

2022-05-21T23:11:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528151540782944258
@BrandonLetUsGo @GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO Do you disbelieve everyone who has ever published a book?

2022-05-21T23:10:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528151296833777664
@skinnywalker7 @usuallyjustben
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014-probably-a-ballon-model.11375/

2022-05-21T23:10:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528151201581178880
@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO It a lot of air traffic. Those all look like contrails.

2022-05-21T23:01:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528149042303098881
@BrandonLetUsGo @GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO Show me a photo of a cloud-seeding plane in the US leaving a trail.

2022-05-21T22:57:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528147972197781505
@red7822 @BarlowTerry Obviously they would not do it deliberately.

2022-05-21T22:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528147857043124224
@bogsnorkeler @RhondaDeeConn1 There's a variety. Some of it is firefighting equipment, like MAFFs packs. Some are ballast barrels, etc.

Pick any one image you can't find the answer to, and I'll tell you what it is, with sources.

2022-05-21T22:55:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528147487139082241
@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO Cloud seeding does not leave trails.

2022-05-21T22:50:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528146153203638272
@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO Yes,

I have.

2022-05-21T22:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528138944499486720

@JeffCla81664839 One case is not all cases.

2022-05-21T22:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528138729247760384

@RhondaDeeConn1 Just a variety of normal plane equipment that you can look up the use of.

2022-05-21T22:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528138227420258304

@GordonDCCameron @BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO I'm suggesting you use the AR mode in the FR24 app to id planes that are leaving contrails. Like this for example. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUJbFLUsAAfFIk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTUJbFLUsAAfFIk.jpg

2022-05-21T22:15:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528137440807952385

@red7822 @BarlowTerry UAP represent lots of different things, some of which move slowly.

2022-05-21T22:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528136205996466176

@BhimaNitai @DukeBasino UFOs exist where there's just not enough data. They are quite clear that they think ballons are a significant part of the issue. But there remain cases that they can't identify. It's hard to get close to a small object when you are going 300+ MPH

2022-05-21T20:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528109859127762944

@DaSlick23 Balloons are small and hard to see in detail when you fly past them at speed. http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528109830551924736/pu/img/KzTZYqINaF-eB8Xt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1528109830551924736/pu/img/KzTZYqINaF-eB8Xt.jpg
2022-05-21T20:24:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528109383489445888
@PThegermandude @DaveOliver179 No, it's simply detecting a trend.

2022-05-21T19:59:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528103251047874560
What is your source for "hypersonic" here @usuallyjustben? Bray quite specifically said that the object was "probably very slow"
Did you deduce it yourself, or did you get "hypersonic" from somewhere else?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTphuuUEAAZEa6.jpg

LIVESCIENCE

Footage of hypersonic metallic UFO shown by Pentagon officials at historic hearing

By Ben Turner published 4 days ago

UFO sightings are "frequent and continuing," Pentagon officials said.

Pentagon officials speaking at the first public hearing on UFOs since the 1960s have shown previously classified footage of an unidentified aerial phenomenon (UAP), a split-second video of a metallic sphere zooming across the flight path of a military jet at hypersonic speeds.

The object, captured through the cockpit window of an FA-18 fighter jet in 2021, was also seen by the Navy pilot flying the jet and picked up by the plane's sensors. But what exactly it could be remains a mystery.

Deputy Director of Naval Intelligence Scott Bray presented the video to representatives during a public hearing held by a House Intelligence subcommittee that saw lawmakers grill him and one other intelligence and defense expert on multiple reports by military pilots of mysterious unidentified aerial phenomena. The focus of the hearing was a June 2021 Pentagon report that revealed U.S. Navy pilots had reported 144 sightings of UAPs since 2004, most of which the department concluded "probably do represent physical objects."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTphuuUEAAZEa6.jpg
2022-05-21T19:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528100267157098496
@LisaBow09179485 @Drunk_on_Books @Nick97913227 @PostDisclosure Burchett came out alleging a cover-up, said he did not learn anything from the hearings.
https://twitter.com/GadiNBC/status/1526607930543550464

2022-05-21T19:22:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528093983921950720
@its_only_Gaz @JayFig78 I'm making a point. There are many UAP sightings, and many of them are unidentified, yet still consistent with a ballon - and a metal-coated mylar ballon would show up on radar.

2022-05-21T19:13:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1528091573489061889
@its_only_Gaz @JayFig78 Do UAP only move against the wind?

That would really limit navigation.

2022-05-21T19:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528091133628141568

@LisaBow09179485 @Drunk_on_Books @Nick97913227 @PostDisclosure I heard some corridor interviews. I can't remember who, offhand.

2022-05-21T19:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528090792723480576

@its_only_Gaz @RitualGesture Obviously not. However, ballons are only suggested (by Scott Bray) as an explanation for SOME UAP range foulers.

2022-05-21T19:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528090497536839680

@DukeBasino In the Virginia Beach area there's a bottom limit of 6, based on debris collection over 5 years

Likely 10x to 100x that in reality. Just in one small region.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTd_spUYAEsBPj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTd_spUYAEsBPj.jpg

2022-05-21T19:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528089593139953664

@Drunk_on_Books @Nick97913227 @PostDisclosure What's to see? Elizondo claims there's great video. Mellon said Gimbal was the best. Pentagon says the data is low quality. People

coming out of the classified hearings are unimpressed. Corbell was proven wrong.

2022-05-21T18:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528087476920692738

@GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @BrandonLetUsGo @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO Don't you want to prove it to the world?

2022-05-21T18:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528087207465979904

@its_only_Gaz @skinnywalker7 I'm not suggesting that balloons fly against the wind. But that's just one case.

Balloons as an explanation (one suggested by the AOIMSG) obviously can only apply to a subset of cases.

2022-05-21T18:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528086693831577600

@DaveOliver179 I investigate and try to figure things out. I'm outcome neutral (in fact, aliens would be great!) but experience has taught me to expect disappointment when looking for amazing things.

2022-05-21T18:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528086214548414464

@SIJA1966514655 @GeorgeT96559261 Ryan graves said that many of the targets were not moving, or moving slowly. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTaPZaVsAE7LS_.jpg

Northwestern University

The study performed by Northwestern University (Bazant 2002) was a simplified approximate analysis of the overall collapse of the WTC towers which addressed the question of why a total collapse occurred. The analysis addressed the results of prolonged heating which would have caused the columns of a single floor to lose their load carrying capacity and initiated the collapse of the building. The analysis assumed loss of thermal insulation during impact, uniform temperatures of 800 °C for a uniform column size and load across a single floor, and creep buckling and loss of load carrying capacity in over half of the columns. The analysis included evaluation of the dynamic amplification of the loads and the ability of the columns in the lower floors to dissipate the kinetic energy of the falling upper building mass through formation of plastic hinge mechanisms. The analysis found that the ratio of the kinetic energy of the upper building section dropping one floor to the deformation energy of plastic hinge rotation in the lower building columns was approximately a factor of eight.

The study by Northwestern did not address the details of impact damage, fire dynamics, or structural response of the towers. Rather, a generalized condition was assumed of heated columns, and the question of why there was total collapse was addressed. NIST agrees with the assessment of the tower's required structural capacity to absorb the released energy of the upper building section as it began to fall as an approximate lower bound. The likelihood of the falling building section aligning vertically with the columns below was small, given the observed tilting, so that the required capacity would be greater if interaction with the floors was also considered, as pointed out in the study.

NIST NCSTAR 1-6, WTC Investigation, page 323

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTaPZaVsAE7LS_.jpg

2022-05-21T18:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528085778776981504

@GordonDCCameron @CogentNomad @BrandonLetUsGo @Artec7793 @GeoengineeringO You

know you can record the screen of your phone - if you think this is great evidence for "chemtrails", then you could demonstrate it.

2022-05-21T18:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528085411775451136

@its_only_Gaz What if they are just having a party?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTZlJRVEAAmyjM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTZlJRVEAAmyjM.jpg

2022-05-21T17:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528071867851952128

@joe_forbrich No, I'm being entirely serious.

2022-05-21T17:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528071777040990209

@RogerSmith2001 30,000 feet or so, assuming it starts under-inflated.

2022-05-21T17:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528069900572340226

In one of the longest-running misunderstandings in @AE911Truth's misunderstanding-laden history, they again claim that Bazant's 9/11 paper is "foundational to the official 9/11 story". It's not, as a read of the official report will tell you.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTLXBwVIAAlq0e.jpg

ASCE journal rejects censored 9/11 paper AGAIN

The full story with Ted Walter

This week on *9/11 Free Fall*, AE911Truth's director of strategy, Ted Walter, joins host Andy Steele to discuss the latest setback — and the path forward — in the decade-long effort to publish a hugely important paper on the Twin Towers' destruction in the ASCE's *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*.

WRONG

After agreeing to review co-authors Tony Szamboti and Richard Johns' censored critique of Zdeněk Bažant's 2011 paper, which is foundational to the official 9/11 story, the journal's editor has rejected their paper supposedly "on scientific grounds," but he does not explain what those grounds are.

Szamboti and Johns are not taking this latest mistreatment by the *Journal of Engineering Mechanics* lying down. Tune in to this week's episode of *9/11*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTJ9ZYVIAAJdCZ.jpg

Northwestern University

The study performed by Northwestern University (Bazant 2002) was a simplified approximate analysis of the overall collapse of the WTC towers which addressed the question of why a total collapse occurred. The analysis addressed the results of prolonged heating which would have caused the columns of a single floor to lose their load carrying capacity and initiated the collapse of the building. The analysis assumed loss of thermal insulation during impact, uniform temperatures of 800 °C for a uniform column size and load across a single floor, and creep buckling and loss of load carrying capacity in over half of the columns. The analysis included evaluation of the dynamic amplification of the loads and the ability of the columns in the lower floors to dissipate the kinetic energy of the falling upper building mass through formation of plastic hinge mechanisms. The analysis found that the ratio of the kinetic energy of the upper building section dropping one floor to the deformation energy of plastic hinge rotation in the lower building columns was approximately a factor of eight.

The study by Northwestern did not address the details of impact damage, fire dynamics, or structural response of the towers. Rather, a generalized condition was assumed of heated columns, and the question of why there was total collapse was addressed. NIST agrees with the assessment of the tower's required structural capacity to absorb the released energy of the upper building section as it began to fall as an approximate lower bound. The likelihood of the falling building section aligning vertically with the columns below was small, given the observed tilting, so that the required capacity would be greater if interaction with the floors was also considered, as pointed out in the study.

NIST NCSTAR 1-6, WTC Investigation, page 323

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTLXBwVIAAlq0e.jpg

2022-05-21T17:36:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528067144356769792

@skinnywalker7 UAP represent multiple different things.

2022-05-21T17:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528066955038429184

@JJ46758764 Yeah, 11,400 ended up just on the nearby beaches.

People release them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTIlQoUYAEUyIH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTTIlQoUYAEUyIH.jpg

2022-05-21T16:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528053300183982082

@AtticusFinch_JD I'm pretty sure you can't nullify national security concerns by speculating on Twitter.

2022-05-21T16:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528052926026899456

@AdmiralQuality @uncertainvector They detected things that were not detected before, and it seemed to be an issue.

Birds, balloons. and clouds should not show up as if they might be aircraft.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTS7rCCVsAAlviY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTS7rCCVsAAlviY.jpg

2022-05-21T16:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528052017217753088

@BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GordonDCCameron @GeoengineeringO I want to know what y'all think, not what google thinks.

2022-05-21T16:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528049598379327488

@AdmiralQuality Sure, but is this an issue specifically with Mylar balloons and new radars?

@uncertainvector said they got more objects detected after they got new radar.

2022-05-21T16:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528049042382434307

@BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GordonDCCameron @GeoengineeringO Sorry I should have phrased that:

What do YOU think the difference is between contrails and "chemtrails"?

2022-05-21T16:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528048705722494976

@BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GordonDCCameron @GeoengineeringO So you think chemtrails started immediately that planes were able to fly high enough, 100 years ago?

You sure they are not just contrails?

Why are you sure?

2022-05-21T16:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528048321238929409

@BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GordonDCCameron @GeoengineeringO I can't google what Jae thinks.

2022-05-21T16:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528048051750809602

If the US Navy had an issue where mylar balloons were showing up on newer phased-array radar systems as unknown contacts in training ranges, then would they tell us about it?

Or would that be a national security issue that they would be forced to not comment on?

2022-05-21T16:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528046687343607813

@BrandonLetUsGo @CogentNomad @Artec7793 @GordonDCCameron @GeoengineeringO What's the difference? And how do you know that difference is valid?

Contrails can persist and spread. That's been observed since the 1920s

---

2022-05-21T16:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528044952646586373

@OSIRIS_UAP https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2022-05-21T15:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1528039459391819777

@DavidFieldSculp @DynomiteBizQuit I'm referring to the objects shown in the videos. In the Nimitz case when there's a video there's no eyewitness. When there's an eyewitness there's no video.

But presumably, with the iPhone footage of the balloon-like object shown at the hearing, they actually saw it.

2022-05-21T05:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527876861035827200

@ApoloniaEngg @GadiNBC Not a shadow. Thatâ□□s the object. The white is a reflection bokeh.

2022-05-20T21:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527757057239052288

@Dario80175242 @EricRWeinstein I used a simple metrics of cases resolved, cases that are ambiguously weird, and cases that are unambiguously weird. FInding zero in the latter set, then extrapolating from the first two is quite simple.
Mistakes and not enough data cover everything so far.

2022-05-20T17:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527700343857238016

@Nick97913227 I'm VERY interested in what it means.

2022-05-20T16:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527693125103534081

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @MushtakWasiti @JeremyCorbell It's the Sun.

2022-05-20T16:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527691291747917826

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @MushtakWasiti @JeremyCorbell Yeah, only small spikes on the Gimbal in IR, like this FLIR video of the sun
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527691083995418624/pu/img/dy8Gy7oJHJKJDmI_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527691083995418624/pu/img/dy8Gy7oJHJKJDmI_.jpg
2022-05-20T16:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527690625478426624
@SabersKnight @FullStackLogan @LueElizondo He did not say "(Not as in the People)" in the podcast. Someone added that text.

2022-05-20T16:36:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527689738676674563
@cc05270 I'm retired. Nobody is paying me. Although if someone wants to pay me to investigate UFOs, I'd certainly consider it.

2022-05-20T15:35:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527674386626383872
@WesAuker Can you share this statistical breakdown that shows a lack of variety in UFOs

---

2022-05-20T15:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527671697377091585
@Anony_Mouse777 I'll let you know when I see one.

2022-05-20T15:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527666296908763138
@PThegermandude But accessibility (and super high-resolution photos and videos) changes the sense of the question of if you believe in a thing.

Do we have UFO video of this quality?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wCvWEY2h3o8

2022-05-20T14:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527662420814008320
@HRFaulkner If you ask people "do you believe in UFOs", then 50% of them will say "no" because for many people "UFO" = "Alien spaceship"

If you ask people "do you believe in toasters," then 97% of them will say "yes", and 3% will be joking.

Radically different questions.

2022-05-20T14:44:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527661476931981312
@AlexUnwise @PThegermandude What is this, philosophy 101?

2022-05-20T14:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527660818027884544
@AlexUnwise @PThegermandude One you can see and touch at any time, concrete and real. One that exists only hypothetically via ambiguous and elusive data and experiences.

2022-05-20T14:39:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527660284558598144
@James57136915 @novak_meri @jujuwren_jenny I would prefer to have the data, but it's understandable that some data is classified.
I think they could make more effort in clarifying things from the public and the media. But they have priorities and inertia in the way.

2015-05-29T18:57:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604360856940449795
@callmeshitto Planes.

2015-05-29T18:56:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604360681165430784
@RadicaLLove2012 It was just junk science. He gets paid when it rains, he does nothing, he can't lose.

2015-05-29T18:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604360170055983104
@OpChemtrails @shieldbase You don't know unless you check. Where is it?

2015-05-29T18:51:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604359391572152321
@RadicaLLove2012 But you know cloud seeding is a real thing, and not at all secret, been openly done since the 1950s. Right?

2015-05-29T18:50:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/604359114144141313
.@RadicaLLove2012 Weather is random. rainmakers offer money back if no rain. They can't lose. You just don't hear about the failures.

2015-05-29T18:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604355554337644545

@RadicaLLove2012 What is it? Do you have a link?

2015-05-29T17:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604341944290967552

@RadicaLLove2012 Contrails frequently arrive ahead of rain. That's just weather.

2015-05-29T16:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604326976518643712

@RadicaLLove2012 Sometimes they do. They always have.

2015-05-29T16:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604321387935748097

@RadicaLLove2012 Generally that because you are looking far too close. Contrails are often 20 to 100 miles away.

2015-05-29T16:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604319014173560832

@RadicaLLove2012 Pretty much every day. :)

2015-05-29T00:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604085475817562112

. @DebWard628 @OpChemtrails When asked about "chemtrails" the military responded in detail back in 2005. http://www.epa.gov/otaq/regs/nonroad/aviation/afd-051013-001.pdf

---

2015-05-28T20:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/604023254680903680

@DoJoeAxe Yeah there's proposed methods of lots of things, colonies on Mars, bionic eyes. It does not mean those things work or exist.

2015-05-27T16:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603595449027690496

@chemTrailActivi @KylieJenner I'm not sure that's a positive, as they also made fun of MÃ¼cahid Cihad Han.
http://nypost.com/2015/05/26/televangelist-warns-men-who-masturbate-your-hands-will-be-pregnant-in-afterlife/

2015-05-27T15:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603584870074490880

@a7bahs7non No they said millions was spent to confuse the public about climate change.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-cfr-admits-millions-spent-to-confuse-public-about-geoengineering.t164/

2015-05-27T15:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603577446634541056

@OpChemtrails Actually, here's the full version:
http://www.unep.org/Documents.Multilingual/Default.asp?documentid=52

2015-05-27T14:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603573283641028608

@Sean_Anthony Here's the response to Jenner's Chemtrail tweet:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGBSs-pWAAAt8En.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGBSs-pWAAAt8En.png
2015-05-27T14:46:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603573027884892160
@Truth_is_Scary @KylieJenner Here's the response to Jenner's Chemtrail tweet:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGBSXIHWYAEoouZ.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CGBSXIHWYAEoouZ.png
2015-05-27T14:44:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603572509703831552
@GlobalMotions They look just like old photos of contrails

2015-05-27T14:11:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603564071934296064
@4cast4you Thanks Jacob!

2015-05-27T11:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603524028049960960

@RadicaLLove2012 depends on the weather, but usually 28,000 feet or above. Can be any though.

2015-05-27T03:25:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603401598988062720

@BrandonDoughan Prince and Roseanne have mentioned chemtrailer. Not the same thing though.

http://nymag.com/daily/intelligencer/2015/05/celebrities-who-believe-in-conspiracy-theories.html

---

2015-05-27T03:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603395621266071553

@BrandonDoughan But most just go with "contrails can't persist". Based on nothing more than reading it on the internet.

2015-05-27T03:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603395378654945282

@BrandonDoughan Pretty much, but not entirely. Some understand persistent contrail formation criteria, but says they don't happen.

2015-05-27T02:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603395055106269184

@skyisalie You might want to add a little evidence to back your assertions there.

2015-05-27T02:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603394451373948928

@BrandonDoughan Sure, but I was meaning if they REALLY understood them, meaning they understood why they are just persistent contrails.

2015-05-27T02:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603393327141093376

@BrandonDoughan And also untrue, as the science of contrail formation is actually a bit tricky. Ice/water supersaturated, nucleation, etc

---

2015-05-27T02:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603392390989271040

@4c0rs I thinking those are actually just clouds.

2015-05-27T02:41:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603390507725754368

@TheFirstPaige @WrittenByJosh Reminds me of this:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CPgHMo43G0E

2015-05-27T02:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603386849047015425

@flarb True, they would take hours or days to get down. And nanoparticles would take MONTHS! They would be spread over the planet.

2015-05-27T02:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603386443348766721

@MickWest and it's not actually contrails it is studying, it's CO2

2015-05-27T02:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603386217531633665

This is a regular Japan Air plane, just does contrail research on the side.

http://www.cger.nies.go.jp/contrail/topics2013.html

https://twitter.com/JR_justJR/status/603384903044964352

2015-05-27T02:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603385207987798016

@TheFirstPaige @WrittenByJosh Photoshop! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-npicWIAEEbyI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-npicWIAEEbyI.jpg

2015-05-27T02:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603384084073701378

@Sarabi_ Probably take another 85 years to do it.

2015-05-27T02:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603383791739138049

.@TheFirstPaige @WrittenByJosh @AdamBaldwin There's no hard evidence unicorn's aren't made by the government. Same thing.

2015-05-27T02:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603382988118831104

@WALLBOUNCiN @infowars @KylieJenner True, but It would help if they got their facts straight

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-lnD7W0AAeqQZ.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-lnD7W0AAeqQZ.png

2015-05-27T02:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603382295127535616

@TylerJFraser http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-k_9sWMAAzkL7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-k_9sWMAAzkL7.jpg

2015-05-27T02:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603381104578527232

Many "chemtrail" promoters say "modern engines can't make contrails". They are very wrong. https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CF-jyFOWAAArwE8.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CF-jyFOWAAArwE8.png
2015-05-27T01:56:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603379304160993280
@JayMeeJJRichens @UPROXX The "high bypass engines don't make contrails" meme is backwards.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.t3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CF-iR4kWYAAikz_.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/CF-iR4kWYAAikz_.png
2015-05-27T01:02:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603365698430181376
Every 24 hours, 400 flights fly over Las Vegas at contrail altitude. Some make contrails
https://www.metabunk.org/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.t812/page-2#post-17361
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-VniRWEAAfomo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-VniRWEAAfomo.jpg

2015-05-27T00:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603362099322957824

@JamesPackWriter @KylieJenner And more specifically:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-SobeWoAADji-.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF-SobeWoAADji-.png

2015-05-27T00:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603355294119374848

@courtskinz @SteerMark Saying that she personally thinks I'm a shill is not the same as "exposing" me. Any actual evidence?

2015-05-26T22:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603320306070102016

@MarkRubello @NYMag because she has 10 million very impressionable followers.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

2015-05-26T21:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603309950027374593

@solowookiee Sounds good. I wrote up most of my research on http://contrailscience.com/ and https://www.metabunk.org/contrails-and-chemtrails.f9/

2015-05-26T21:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603307200342302721

@solowookiee (the section quoted in green, you don't have to read the whole book)

2015-05-26T21:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603307067739414531

@solowookiee Do me a favor, have a look at this 1981 book, and see if you are seeing anything not described therein.
https://www.metabunk.org/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.t5751/

2015-05-26T21:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603305718733758464

@solowookiee It's your memory vs. all the books on clouds for 70+ years.

2015-05-26T21:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603305619072929794

@solowookiee @DLoesch Then why does it match the description of contrails in old books on clouds?

2015-05-26T21:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603305225005486080

@solowookiee All these things make more contrails.

2015-05-26T21:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603305170450132992

@solowookiee @DLoesch No, lots have changed over the years. More traffic, more high traffic, more routes, more bizjets, cooler engines.

2015-05-26T21:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603305021451718656

@SteerMark @grcjr @TaylahhKane @fitsnews @klkrolicki That's the same process for recognizing contrails. You need to identify a difference

---

2015-05-26T21:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603304731319074816

@solowookiee You should try looking up the flights on:
http://www.flightradar24.com/42.8,-107.92/7

2015-05-26T20:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603304426426761216

@solowookiee @DLoesch Normal air traffic explains why it is there, not why you don't remember it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9eLa9WEAAcI3b.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9eLa9WEAAcI3b.jpg

2015-05-26T20:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603303489901563904

@solowookiee @DLoesch There's only six pre-1995 photos of Red Desert on Flickr, not a lot to go by.

https://www.flickr.com/search/?text=%22red%20desert%22%20wyoming&max_taken_date=789033599

2015-05-26T20:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603302761996886018

@solowookiee @DLoesch I doubt there are enough source photos. Pre digital it's hard to find specific locations.

2015-05-26T20:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603300956978212864

@solowookiee @DLoesch So what, you just didn't notice them for decades?

2015-05-26T20:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603300520116285440

@solowookiee @DLoesch I've got a whole thread of pre 1995 images here:

https://www.metabunk.org/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.t487/

2015-05-26T20:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603300225629978625

@solowookiee @DLoesch I'm showing Wyoming contrails from 2006. Something you said did no happen until a few months ago.

2015-05-26T20:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603299348240273408

@solowookiee @DLoesch And yet lots of other people have. And there are photos.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Zj1dXIAENJHn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Zj1dXIAENJHn.jpg

2015-05-26T20:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603298059339079682

@solowookiee @DLoesch Not "Attacking", pointing out fallibility. Look at these Wyoming photos from 2006. See any?https://www.flickr.com/photos/bmkorte/sets/72157600438404415

2015-05-26T20:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603297038516748288

@solowookiee @DLoesch Seattle/Vancouver to Florida, for example. Or San Francisco to Minneapolis.

2015-05-26T20:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603296557786619905

@solowookiee @DLoesch That's just your personal recollection. There's lots of pairs of airports with Wyoming in the middle.

2015-05-26T20:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603294243088642049

@solowookiee @DLoesch I've researched it. They either are at different altitudes or have different engines.

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2015-05-26T20:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603292912353472512

@solowookiee @DLoesch But contrails often spread out just like that. Have you looked at books? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-05-26T20:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603291259013373952

@solowookiee @DLoesch Jets fly over isolated places. Hence the name "flyover states".

2015-05-26T20:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603291127182135296

@solowookiee @DLoesch Was the air ice supersaturated?

2015-05-26T19:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603286441209241600

@solowookiee @DLoesch Not at -40 degrees and ice supersaturation.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-condensation-but-not-like-your-breath/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9N0jnWEAA84bX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9N0jnWEAA84bX.jpg

2015-05-26T19:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603281953647890433

@UPROXX Probably less than she actually is telling us about chemtrails, seeing as it's wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Jn0VW8AENXal.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Jn0VW8AENXal.png

2015-05-26T19:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603280274202439680

@CynthiasCelebs Answers for Jenner here:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9INh3WAAAlMTY.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9INh3WAAAlMTY.png
2015-05-26T19:13:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603277698891407361
@Lshot13 Such as? Which one was the most wrong?

2015-05-26T19:08:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603276418861109248
@Arcendus @mashable Number of twitter follows is the new newsworthy metric. She has ten million.

2015-05-26T19:07:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/603276191324381184
@mashable Here's the answers to Jenner's questions:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Ed9lWoAA_Bok.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF9Ed9lWoAA_Bok.png
2015-05-26T18:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603271344772534272

@TPM Confused or not, here are the answers:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_72FWAAAZAa7.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_72FWAAAZAa7.png

2015-05-26T18:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603270766617034752

@Refinery29 Metabunk has the answers to Kylie Jenner's chemtrail questions:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_kKhWoAAH6OG.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_kKhWoAAH6OG.png

2015-05-26T18:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603270404187287552

@Olivianuzzi Metabunk has the answers to Kylie Jenner's chemtrail questions:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_HnHWMAA-mx2.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF8_HnHWMAA-mx2.png

2015-05-26T18:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603264898467045378

@MickWest The encyclopedia is a good place to start research here:

http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/623212/vapour-trail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF86OhuW8AE1MoI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF86OhuW8AE1MoI.jpg

2015-05-26T18:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603262075192770560

@KylieJenner answered:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF83qQkVEAE7uHx.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF83qQkVEAE7uHx.png

2015-05-26T18:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603261590947901441

@robfee @KylieJenner Here's a handy visual for your Ted talk:

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF83LxNWgAET92X.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF83LxNWgAET92X.png

2015-05-26T18:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/603261051258408960

Kylie Jenner's "chemtrail" questions answered.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-kylie-jenners-chemtrail-meme-tweet.t6284/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF82q01WAAA4loU.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CF82q01WAAA4loU.png

2015-05-25T17:11:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602884662147457024

@ImaBannedd @RebellionReport Some of the water you drink was probably pissed out by Julius Caesar, and everyone else alive then.

2015-05-25T17:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602883540745781248

@ImaBannedd @RebellionReport Texas was first with drinking sewage.

http://www.wateronline.com/doc/texas-leads-the-way-with-first-direct-potable-reuse-facilities-in-u-s-0001

2015-05-25T15:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602865412301332480

@GBPackers4ever Where did you grow up?

2015-05-25T15:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602865272022802432

@flores_hm Federal law also allows you to sell "all or part of your personal collection", with no limits. This ambiguity is the loophole.

2015-05-24T15:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602501921056882688

@flores_hm @2AFight Six transaction limit is California. There's no Federal limit. Check this:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EGJ-BLHXl9c

2015-05-24T14:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602486850645827584

@GBPackers4ever @Oswald1160 Either 1) You did not see them at that location, 2) you did not notice them, 3) you did not remember them.

2015-05-24T01:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602287325947789312

@Connectionary Nice chatting, but time for dinner.

2015-05-24T01:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602287169592492033

@Connectionary Maybe the prison industrial complex needs to change.

2015-05-24T01:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602287012129964032

@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Sure, but is it not sensible to try to limit the availability of illegal guns?

2015-05-24T01:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602286638526570496

@Connectionary Under your system, would you have been banished by now?

2015-05-24T01:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602286279871561728

@Connectionary Would that apply to illegal gun dealers?

2015-05-24T01:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602286162225541120

@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight It's not about blame. People are trying to reduce the supply of black market guns.

2015-05-24T01:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602285852941778944

@Connectionary Yeah the UK shipped their criminals to the US. But where would the US ship criminals to?

2015-05-24T01:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602285658137370624

@Connectionary It sure contributed to a dead person.

2015-05-24T01:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602285370655506432

@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Maybe the real problem is the war on drugs?

2015-05-24T01:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602285078111223809

@Connectionary You want to sterilize criminal? or deport them?

2015-05-24T01:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602284871092953089

@Connectionary In what countries has the castle doctrine rid the country of criminals?

2015-05-24T01:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602284652225789952

@DemonTwoSix @Connectionary @2AFight Private dealers at gun shows.

2015-05-24T01:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602284530892935168

@flores_hm @2AFight In CA criminals get guns from black market, black market gets some of their guns from out of state gun shows. Arizona

---

2015-05-24T01:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602283801528635392
@flores_hm @2AFight I think the loophole is that private sellers can sell lots of guns without BG checks, and they end up on black market.

2015-05-24T01:22:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602283495646453760
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight I'd be happy to reduce the number of laws, but that's not really the issue.

2015-05-24T01:21:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602283138648297472
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight No, it's a plain fact. If they can't buy from an FFL due to BG checks, then they can't buy private with BG checks.

2015-05-24T01:19:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602282866890903554
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight But don't you also think that background checks at FFLs are an infringement?

2015-05-24T01:19:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602282710988693505
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Where is the line drawn between private seller and dealer?

2015-05-24T01:18:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602282545221410816
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Surely a private seller might only sell a handful of guns a year tops. Otherwise are you not a dealer?

2015-05-24T01:15:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602281837529079810
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Should they not be regulated as dealers? If they effectively ARE dealers?

2015-05-24T01:15:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602281750652448769
@Connectionary @DemonTwoSix @2AFight Okay, but there's private sellers who sell lots of guns, so are essentially dealers.

2015-05-24T01:14:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602281568506372099
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight we've covered that, it's a matter of opinion. What would you call it? "Private multi-gun dealers in gun shows"?

2015-05-24T01:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602281351501520896
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix Yes, it would stop sales to black market dealers who can't buy at FFLs.

2015-05-24T01:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602281109729243136
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight So makes it harder for criminals to get guns.

2015-05-24T01:12:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602281033803943936
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight So if dealers cannot source guns at gun shows, it reduces supply, drives up black market prices.

2015-05-24T01:12:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602280858809151488
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight How so? The idea in closing the loophole to to prevent guns from entering the black market.

2015-05-24T01:10:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602280475021946880
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight ID is not a background check. And local regs vary by state. Issue is federal regs.

2015-05-24T01:09:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602280290808143872
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix Not my claim, I was saying criminal dealers would not be able to buy at gun shows. Cut 20-40% of their source.

2015-05-24T01:08:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602280038793400321
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix Then criminals eventually get those guns. So yes, you'd only cut off 1% of direct, but much more indirect.

2015-05-24T01:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602279899659907072
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix Not at all. 1% of guns used in crimes are direct from gun shows. But a huge % of illegal gun trade STARTS at gun shows

---

2015-05-24T01:04:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602278974027272192
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix You agree that black market dealers get many guns at gun show. So is it not a good idea to stop that?

2015-05-24T01:04:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602278844372942849
@2AFight @DemonTwoSix Black market dealers can't buy at gun shops, so they buy at gun shows. Make show rules same as shop rules.

2015-05-24T00:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602277827812134912
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight A background check would stop that.

2015-05-24T00:59:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602277689744035842
@DemonTwoSix @2AFight How does it differ? If an black market deal buys at a gun show, it's

still legal, as he's a private party.

2015-05-24T00:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602276948228837376

@2AFight How about we just limit how many guns a private seller can sell without a license? That would remove the loophole.

2015-05-24T00:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602276603243196417

@DemonTwoSix @2AFight Sources of illegal guns, 20% from gun shows, says the ATF. https://www.atf.gov/file/11886/download http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu3PVyWIAAl8zi.jpg

---

Table 3. Volume of Firearms Diverted, By Trafficking Channel

Source	Number of investigations	Number of firearms	Average number of firearms per invest.*	Number of firearms in more than half the investigations**
Firearms trafficked by straw purchaser or straw purchasing ring	695	25,741	37.0	14
Trafficking in firearms by unlicensed sellers***	301	22,508	74.8	10
Trafficking in firearms at gun shows and flea markets	198	25,862	130.6	40
Trafficking in firearms stolen from FFLs	209	6,084	29.1	18
Trafficking in firearms stolen from residence	154	3,306	21.5	7
Firearms trafficked by licensed dealer, including pawnbroker	114	40,365	354.1	42
Trafficking in firearms stolen from common carrier	31	2,062	66.5	16

Number of investigations included = 1,470

Number of firearms included = 84,128

Note: Sum may exceed 100 percent since investigations may be included in more than one category. Excludes 60 investigations with an unknown number of trafficked firearms.

* Averages were calculated using the mean.

** This measure used the median.

*** As distinct from straw purchasers and other traffickers.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu3PVyWIAAl8zi.jpg

2015-05-24T00:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602274956836233216

@2AFight http://www.nyc.gov/html/om/pdf/2009/pr442-09_report.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu14a8W8AIpoS7.jpg

---

1. See U.S. Dep't of Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, Following the Gun: Enforcing Federal Law Against Firearms Traffickers, at 13 (2000) (gun shows were associated with 25,862 out of 84,128 total trafficked firearms connected to the prosecutions examined in the report).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu14a8W8AIpoS7.jpg

2015-05-24T00:43:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602273649320595456

@2AFight Close the gun show loophole, and you cut off a huge source of those black market guns you mention.

2015-05-24T00:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602273442134511616

@2AFight 1% of guns used in crimes come directly from gun shows, but 30% are connected to gun shows. Where do black market gun dealers shop?

2015-05-24T00:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602273164517748736

@2AFight This is a private seller's stand at a gun show, it looks like a gun dealer. Is that the intent of the law? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu0QGhUMAA9QoR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFu0QGhUMAA9QoR.jpg

2015-05-24T00:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602272374625468416

@2AFight The 1% figure is for direct sales, most criminals get them from black market, which often starts with gun show sales.

2015-05-24T00:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602271361587744768

@KossowSteven @2AFight The loophole is that private sellers are not required, and some private sellers sell a lot, are effectively dealers.

2015-05-24T00:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602271162647740416

@2AFight No it's not, because there is a loophole. There should be limits on private sales, otherwise you are just a gun dealer.

2015-05-24T00:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602270042932322307

@2AFight I read it. It just says that closing the loophole would not help much, as that not many guns. Which isn't the point.

2015-05-24T00:27:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602269639587016704

@GBPackers4ever And turned into a cirrus cloud? So maybe it was actually just a contrail.

2015-05-24T00:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602269289895178240

@2AFight getting a new law passed is what "closing the loophole" means.

2015-05-24T00:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602269139927846912

@2AFight Essentially they are skirting the law, setting up a gun shop in the gun show, and avoiding regulation.

2015-05-24T00:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602268987490115584

@2AFight But gun shows allow "private" sellers to act as if they have a gun shop, and sell lots of guns to random people.

2015-05-24T00:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602268622887596032

@2AFight so a loophole is "an ambiguity or omission in a statute, etc., which affords opportunity for evading its intention"?

2015-05-24T00:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602266348274786304

@2AFight So you argument hinges on the choice of dictionary?

2015-05-24T00:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602266030199693312

@2AFight What is the intent of background checks? Is that intent satisfied with private gun show sales?

2015-05-24T00:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602265674455621635

@2AFight All loopholes are within the law. That's why they are called loopholes. Some people think the law should apply to pvt show sales

---

2015-05-24T00:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602263176948789248

@2AFight Now you, of course, think the law is more than adequate, so you don't think it's a loophole. But it's not objectively "no loophole"

2015-05-24T00:00:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602262922006368256

@2AFight A loophole is an ambiguity of inadequacy. Clearly many people think the law is

inadequate, so it meets the definition.

2015-05-23T23:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602262504744493056

@2AFight 1%, so how many guns is that then?

2015-05-23T23:58:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602262238200668160

@2AFight But where can you get so many private sellers all in the same place? It's LIKE a gun shop, just with lots of private sellers.

2015-05-23T23:56:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602261979202359296

@2AFight But it's a effectively a loophole, if not legally. It means gun shows are like a gun shop where you don't need background checks.

2015-05-23T23:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602259283863072769

@31ad899863e94c9 @trutherbot Apart from the metallic taste, yes they can.

2015-05-23T22:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/602241705795055617

@31ad899863e94c9 @trutherbot But contrails sometimes do that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFuXo84XIAI4GYD.jpg

For scientific discussion, see, for example, all these articles on contrails. In particular the one from 1970 titled ""

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

> *The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and* ***gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.***
>
> *[....]*
>
> *Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2* ***an almost solid contrail sheet*** *reported to average 500 m in depth.*



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFuXo84XIAI4GYD.jpg
2015-05-23T21:02:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602218182242349056
@31ad899863e94c9 @ImaBannedd @trutherbot How are they different from contrails?

2015-05-23T20:15:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602206214894841857
@ImaBannedd Time will tell.

2015-05-23T20:15:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602206118014758913
@ImaBannedd Regulations are hard lines drawn in grey areas. They are never going to work all the time, or please all the people.

2015-05-23T20:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602205526039093249
@ImaBannedd excessive is in the eye of the beholder. It's what exceeds what is needed. People have different needs and limiting factors.

2015-05-23T20:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602204608929357824
@ImaBannedd Like your fear of excessive environmental regulations hampering free enterprise? :)

2015-05-23T19:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602194713681141760
@31ad899863e94c9 @ImaBannedd @trutherbot But what if they were just contrails, and you were wasting your energy?

2015-05-23T15:53:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602140238165712896
@2AFight You are just being pedantic. You know exactly what the "loophole" is, you just say it does not meet some particular definition.

2015-05-23T15:51:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/602139754923204608
@31ad899863e94c9 @ImaBannedd @trutherbot Not at all, but if you are focussing on the wrong thing, then you might miss real health risks.

2015-05-23T05:23:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601981848013647872
@31ad899863e94c9 @trutherbot Contrails sometimes persist for hours. It's in the encyclopedia. http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/623212/vapour-trail
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFqrTPeWoAEoCSn.jpg

ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA
HELP | SCHOOL & LIBRARY PRODUCTS | SHOP

POPULAR TOPICS | QUIZZES | GALLERIES | LISTS | PROJECTS | Search Britannic

Vapour trail

Aerology

Alternate titles: *condensation trail; contrail*



Vapour trail, also called **Condensation Trail**, or **Contrail,** streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFqrTPeWoAEoCSn.jpg

2015-05-23T05:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601981424040837120

@microwaveplate No

2015-05-23T00:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601913646688673792

@Weird_Contrails I guess I'm missing the point of the video?

2015-05-22T23:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601889652920983553

@Weird_Contrails I didn't see exactly what was odd though. Which is why you should write things down.

2015-05-22T22:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601884862681915395

@Weird_Contrails Maybe you should write a summary of the actual evidence there, like what planes left what at which altitudes.

2015-05-22T21:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601870006868115456

.@trutherbot @31ad899863e94c9 Exactly like contrails have always done. What the difference?

http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/623212/vapour-trail

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFpFfGrWAAAGI_G.jpg

ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA
HELP | SCHOOL & LIBRARY PRODUCTS | SHOP

POPULAR TOPICS | QUIZZES | GALLERIES | LISTS | PROJECTS | Search Britannica

Vapour trail

Aerology

Alternate titles: *condensation trail; contrail*



Vapour trail, also called **Condensation Trail**, or **Contrail**, streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFpFfGrWAAAGl_G.jpg
2015-05-22T21:53:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601868550169632768
@DarfusMctrendy Here's the video of the experiment
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H2uI0LlhIPI

2015-05-22T18:02:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601810379040227328
@DarfusMctrendy more math:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-wtc-multi-ton-steel-sections-ejected-laterally.t1739/#post-153826 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoPWbiW8AI5bmj.jpg

---

So what does this mean for d? If we are only traveling for 0.57 of x, then we need to calculate d for a value of x that's 1/0.57 the length, or about 1.75x as far. so for 600 feet, we would need x = 1052 feet, which we can't actually get with my math, as it requires h>d, so what about the 400 feet that Darfus was asking about? That would be 400*1.75 = 700 to account for the efficiency, so x = 142 feet.

So a girder dropped from 1000 feet above the impact point would have to drop 142 feet before the bounce to end up at that point 400 feet away.

But what is the actual maximum? Well if my math is correct, then the maximum is equal to the drop height, and the fall distance is exactly half that drop height. So if something were to drop (at freefall) from the very top of the tower, and impact something just right after dropping half the distance, then it could end up traveling sideways the full height of the tower. (again, assuming my math is correct)

Accounting for efficiency, this means that to have travelled a distance d feet, it would have to start at least 1.75*d feet above that point

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoPWbiW8AI5bmj.jpg
2015-05-22T17:35:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601803614462595073
@DarfusMctrendy Although I need to check my math

2015-05-22T17:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601803372770000897

@DarfusMctrendy So, initial results show 57% efficiency.

https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-wtc-multi-ton-steel-sections-ejected-laterally.t1739/#post-153823 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoI-n2WEAA613B.jpg

---



Mick West
Meta Member
Staff Member

Inspired by a Twitter discussion, I decided to do a bit more experiment with some actual numbers:

> @DarfusMctrendy I'm off to my garage to give this a go. I'll get back to you later.
>
> — Mick West (@MickWest) May 22, 2015

The basic parameters were a drop from 52" above the ground (h), falling a distance of 19" to impact (x) and traveling sideways a distance d.

Now the first thing that I discovered was that the maximum distance in this experiment was not from a simple bounce to horizontal, it also had a slight vertical component, which complicates the math a little. But that aside for now, given h and x, what value of d would we expect as a maximum? My math from post #6 says:

x = 0.5*(h - sqrt(h^2 - d^2))

Solving for d

d = 2 * sqrt(x) * sqrt (h-x)

so with h= 52, x = 19, we get d = 49"

The actual longest distance was 28", which would give a very simple measure of efficiency (e) of 28/49, or 57%

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoI-n2WEAA613B.jpg

2015-05-22T17:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601803019865464833

@DarfusMctrendy It's a 100' distance, not 1000' the next 900 are outside the building

---

2015-05-22T17:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601796552970137603

@DarfusMctrendy The furthest example is actually what we are looking for, as the Amex impact is the furthest example.

2015-05-22T17:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601796345742131201

@DarfusMctrendy Uploading progress: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoClh1WoAAatlS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoClh1WoAAatlS.jpg

2015-05-22T17:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601795982205054976

@DarfusMctrendy I'm uploading it. It will take an hour though, as my internet is very slow. This pic show impact http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoCJ07XIAA13xx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoCJ07XIAA13xx.jpg

2015-05-22T16:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601794566153437184

@DarfusMctrendy I'll write it up in the metabunk thread, might take me a little while.

2015-05-22T16:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601794375102930944

@DarfusMctrendy Now time for some math.

2015-05-22T16:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601794321419997188

@DarfusMctrendy Okay, experiment complete. Here are the results:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoAvv5WYAACqxr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFoAvv5WYAACqxr.jpg

2015-05-22T16:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601791419062300672

@DarfusMctrendy The impact angle will be pretty random though

---

2015-05-22T16:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601790895625736192

@DarfusMctrendy Here's my basic setup http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFn9kNWW8AEO0ka.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFn9kNWW8AEO0ka.jpg

2015-05-22T16:44:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601790626867339264

@DarfusMctrendy Okay, we can add in any such assumptions later. But we need a baseline to see if it's even possible.

2015-05-22T16:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601789533538131970

@DarfusMctrendy And columns go through the floors. I'm assuming the girder hit something rigid, like the top of a column.

2015-05-22T16:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601789104314052608

@DarfusMctrendy Not if something had already hit it. Remember this is a huge thick falling mass of rubble, not a solid falling block.

2015-05-22T16:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601787421865443331

@DarfusMctrendy Let's try to keep on topic please. What are you gong to use for your girder bounce experiment?

2015-05-22T16:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601787246786826240

@DarfusMctrendy I'm going to use a scale analog of the top of the building, and a girder.

2015-05-22T16:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601786349302185984

@DarfusMctrendy At least that's the theory. The only question here is the efficiency of the translation. You do your experiments.

2015-05-22T16:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601786168930398210

@DarfusMctrendy er, yes it will. It will answer it EXACTLY. The resistance of the building translated vertical velocity to horizontal.

2015-05-22T16:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601782782877110272

@DarfusMctrendy I'm off to my garage to give this a go. I'll get back to you later.

2015-05-22T16:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601782676715118593

@DarfusMctrendy Tell you what, why don' YOU do an experiment. Drop a steel rod on edge of a rigid steel structure. Calculate the efficiency

---

2015-05-22T16:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601782296283348994

@DarfusMctrendy 100% gives the maximum. So what value should we use? What value to my experiments show? Hmm, time for some more math.

2015-05-22T16:10:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601782077412003840

@DarfusMctrendy Elastic collisions are certainly one of them. But also translation of velocity in

various forms.

2015-05-22T16:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601781543145754625

@GoldHardShell @ElizabethMay They don't duck it, they directly address it.
https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2015-05-22T16:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601781364325818369

@DarfusMctrendy It's a simplification. Girder/Girder impacts can be MORE efficient that ball/plate impacts, due to bending recoil

---

2015-05-22T16:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601780878260445186

@DarfusMctrendy Right, that assumes e=1, so what value of e should I use? 0.8?

2015-05-22T16:01:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601779867278045185

@DarfusMctrendy At what value does it become impossible?

2015-05-22T16:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601779726861074432

@DarfusMctrendy I'm assuming it collided with the top of a column, or a girder attached to a column. i.e. the uncollapsed building structure

---

2015-05-22T15:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601779467787309056

@DarfusMctrendy Okay, so what value should I use for bouncing efficiency?

2015-05-22T15:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601779362006966272

@DarfusMctrendy But quite a bit of the building was ejected sideways, as there was less resistance in that direction.

2015-05-22T15:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601779155986944000

@DarfusMctrendy What math? Show me this math. Where is this math? What is wrong with my math?

2015-05-22T15:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601778902776815616

@DarfusMctrendy Where has the math been done? I did it, and a 100 foot drop to 1000 feet results in 600 feet lateral.

2015-05-22T15:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601778726137896961

@DarfusMctrendy Because math.

2015-05-22T15:56:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601778678343819264

@DarfusMctrendy It's the very resistance that is actually "ejecting" things. If there was no

resistance, there would be no ejections.

2015-05-22T15:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601778429118263296

@DarfusMctrendy I'm a scientific skeptic.

2015-05-22T15:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601778030311276544

@DarfusMctrendy Yes, if it still had 1000 feet to fall, it does not need a vast sideways impulse. Do the math.

2015-05-22T15:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601777812601724932

@DarfusMctrendy But you understand the principal though, obviously. You are just quibbling about he numbers? So let's do the math.

2015-05-22T15:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601777612365680640

@DarfusMctrendy In my second video I used just steel on steel (it's a steel rod, not a pen) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7lVsOT-h7ps

---

2015-05-22T15:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601777429900894209

@DarfusMctrendy But for a steel beam, air is not relevant. Small beam falls essentially at same rate as big beam.

2015-05-22T15:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601777013406494720

@DarfusMctrendy Falling physics is independent of weight (unless you want to account for air resistance)

2015-05-22T15:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601776590423519232

@DarfusMctrendy I just did this experiment which verifies the basic ballpark. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7lVsOT-h7ps

---

2015-05-22T15:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601776269806718977

@DarfusMctrendy If you can't do the math, try some scale experiment to see how far something can bounce.

2015-05-22T15:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601775849281560576

@DarfusMctrendy Why not exactly? Can you show me the math? Or point out the error in my math?

2015-05-22T15:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601775689390563328

@DarfusMctrendy I did the math here: https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-wtc-multi-ton-steel-sections-ejected-laterally.t1739/#post

-48490 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFnvqUNWMAAtyih.jpg


h = initial height of the girder
e = efficiency of the collision
x = how many feet would a girder need to fall before gaining enough energy to 'bounce' itself to the outer limits of a 1,200 foot debris field (600 feet)
g = acceleration due to gravity = 32 f/s/s
d = distance travelled sideways = 600

Intermediate variables

v = velocity after falling x feet

So in our (initially ideal) scenario, the girder falls x feet, and ends up with a velocity at bounce point of v

1: v^2 = 2 * g * x
2: v = sqrt (2 * 32 * x)
3: v = sqrt(64 * x)
or
4: x = (v^2)/(2*g)
5: x = (v^2)/64

The girder bounces sideways at horizontal velocity v, and vertical velocity is back to zero. It falls an additional (h-x) feet, and when it lands it is 600 feet (d) away.

So if it travels d feet at speed v, then it takes t = 600/v seconds to do it.
so
6: v = d/t

t is also the time required to fall (h-x) feet.

7: (h-x) = 0.5*32*t*t
8: t = sqrt((h-x)/16)
so
9: v = d/sqrt((h-x)/16)

combine 3 and 9

sqrt(64*x) = d/sqrt((h-x)/16)
solve for x
http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=solve+sqrt(64*x)+=+d/sqrt((h-x)/16)+for+x

x = 0.5*(h - sqrt(h^2 - d^2))

for the extreme case of something at the top of the tower ending up 600 feet away, h=1368, d=600

x = 69.3 feet.

a more sensible case, something falling from 1000 feet, and ending up 600 feet away:

x = 100 feet.

i.e. it falls 100 feet, bounces to horizontal, falls another 900 feet and ends up 600 feet away.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFnvqUNWMAAtyih.jpg

2015-05-22T15:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601775025440432128

@DarfusMctrendy Relatively weak? Relative to what? Do you see how strong the columns have to be just to withstand the STATIC load?

2015-05-22T15:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601774805092835329

@DarfusMctrendy Yes, which is why it's so easy for beams to end up travelling partly horizontally

---

2015-05-22T15:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601774516566663170

@OpChetrails Actually your tweet seems still available, just not showing up in quotes. Sorry if I misrepresented. https://twitter.com/OpChemtrails/status/601766056101867520

2015-05-22T15:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601773569136316416

@DarfusMctrendy I agree steel beams ended up quite a distance from the towers, and I explained why (bouncing).

2015-05-22T15:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601773019766366208

@MickWest Unfortunately @OpChetrails seems to have removed the tweet after discovering it's real science. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFntWqlW8AAVbOy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFntWqlW8AAVbOy.jpg

2015-05-22T15:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601772445687767040

@DarfusMctrendy Contrails affect the weather and the climate, so scientists study what affects their persistence. It's a real issue.

2015-05-22T15:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601769240736231424

I'm glad to see some "chemtrail" enthusiasts quoting some real contrail scientists about real issues with aviation https://twitter.com/OpChemtrails/status/601766056101867520

2015-05-22T14:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601762012134318080
@Oswald1160 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @Dinki777 The color spectrum in aerodynamic contrails indicates they are just condensation. #physics

---

2015-05-22T14:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601760221220995072
@DarfusMctrendy @johnramos91 Let's focus. What "fact" am I denying? Not a belief or a conclusion, what actual evidence do I deny?

2015-05-22T05:52:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601626690704506880
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 Sure, but what if it's all just observations of contrails, and normal levels of aluminum?

2015-05-22T05:51:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601626483191341056
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 There's a lot of YouTube videos on all kind of topics. Lots of videos is not evidence.

2015-05-22T05:50:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601626223358451712
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 It's certainly possible. But what's the actual evidence it's happening?

2015-05-22T01:15:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601556854838169600
@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 I disagree, because there's no evidence that "chemtrails" are real, let alone a source.

2015-05-22T01:13:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601556512285167616
@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 It's quite basic science really, just relative humidity. If if gets to 100% then cloud.

2015-05-22T01:12:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601556290821697536
@PBox2014 @MorganKIRO7 Looks like a fragment of a circumhorizontal arc, aka a "fire rainbow". Some examples here: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circumhorizontal_arc

---

2015-05-22T00:43:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601548922264289281
@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 Fireworks don't "cause chemtrails", they are just one source of nano-particles of metals

---

2015-05-22T00:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601548740877398017
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 It's a potential cloud. A region of the air with higher humidity than adjacent regions.

2015-05-21T23:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601530330198069248

@davebrooker33 @Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies Kind of like invisible clouds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFkQpdWVEAA73GD.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFkQpdWVEAA73GD.jpg
2015-05-21T19:09:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601464873780785152
@Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Yes, it would be very hard to spray something and have it look like a persistent contrail.

2015-05-21T18:44:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601458580399652865
@Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Yes, but engine gap varies in size. Can be hard to see on big jets.
http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/

2015-05-21T18:41:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601457861269409792
@Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Minor, but you can get a gap spraying fuel if you heat it first.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.t2926/

2015-05-21T18:39:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601457365032939520
@Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Oh, you mean a gap behind the engine, sorry. I thought you meant on/off gaps in the trail.

2015-05-21T18:13:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601450665580179456
@DarfusMctrendy the bounce bounces it sides. Like if you drop a pen on the edge of your desk.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sMsxruHaxGw

2015-05-21T18:06:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601449116334313473
@DarfusMctrendy If a beam is falling rapidly, and then hits something solid, then it can bounce sideways. Math:
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-wtc-multi-ton-steel-sections-ejected-laterally.t1739/

2015-05-21T18:01:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601447663964327937
@Oswald1160 @Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Aerodynamic contrails from wing surfaces leave gaps. Closeup: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A

2015-05-21T16:42:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601427810205323264
@DarfusMctrendy Perhaps you could give an example of something incorrect on Metabunk?

2015-05-20T20:19:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601120150067150848
@dellidrus Debunked on Metabunk. It's a 737, not a 777.
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-photo-of-777-transported-in-jungle-old-737.t6257/

2015-05-20T14:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/601031016887754752
@Kal1_Furies That photo certainly appears to be.

2015-05-20T14:19:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601029523833016320
@Kal1_Furies @OpChemtrails Contrails are visual pollution, so I can see why some people might not like the look of them. Just water though.

2015-05-20T14:18:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601029201387528193
@OpChemtrails But those photos don't show the magnetosphere, which is basically in space. Way to high to leave trails like that.

2015-05-20T14:15:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601028441174048768
@OpChemtrails @shesLove58 Contrail frequency varies a lot by location, due to weather and flights. See: http://www-pm.larc.nasa.gov/sass/pub/conference/JP1.15.duda.arams.02.pdf

---

2015-05-20T14:12:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/601027759616479233
@OpChemtrails I would be if they were. As would all the science and meteorology students in the world. But they are curiously un-disgusted.

2015-05-19T18:31:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/600730578330198016
@Ravens_Claws @earthskyscience Rather poor copy-and-paste on the other side too.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFY5RV2WYAI2taP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFY5RV2WYAI2taP.jpg
2015-05-19T18:16:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/600726629711765505
@Ravens_Claws @earthskyscience Yeah closer inspection shows it's 100% fake.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFY1mifWIAAA0Xl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFY1mifWIAAA0Xl.jpg
2015-05-19T01:21:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/600471306405072897
@Ravens_Claws @earthskyscience @atoptics Looks fake to me. Copied and pasted a single rainbow by the look of it.

2015-05-18T15:18:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/600319630708776960
@GLeonetti81 @davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Cloud seeding has been done quite openly since the 1950s. It does not leave trails.

2015-05-17T04:47:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599798479523696642
@davebrooker33 @GLeonetti81 @Kal1_Furies the non-persistent contains do.

2015-05-17T04:32:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599794604397834240
@davebrooker33 @GLeonetti81 @Kal1_Furies Why would you not expect the see contrails occasionally in cartoons? They are in the sky.

2015-05-17T04:31:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599794365880467456
@davebrooker33 @GLeonetti81 @Kal1_Furies My point is that what you say are "chemtrails" look like contrails always have.

2015-05-17T01:14:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599744738485473280

@GLeonetti81 @davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Contrails have been the same looking for 90 years

---

2015-05-17T00:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599728908896866304

.@Dinki777 @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Contrails often precede rain, as humidity moves in at high altitudes first. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFKqPGqWYAAmfIZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFKqPGqWYAAmfIZ.jpg

2015-05-17T00:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599728485578452992

@Kal1_Furies @Dinki777 @davebrooker33 Contrail frequency is somewhat like rain frequency. It depends on high altitude humidity and temps

---

2015-05-16T23:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599722023171002368

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Contrails persist because they accumulate ice in ice supersaturated conditions. Smoke particles do not grow.

2015-05-16T23:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599721895114579968

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 How? You know that smoke is a nano particulate. So why does skywriting not persist for hours?

2015-05-16T23:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599721106937745409

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Fuel dumps don't persist either. Only water can do that. Only contrails. Simple physics.

2015-05-16T23:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599720925571977216

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Flying. Those are contrails viewed from a shallow angle.

2015-05-16T23:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599719862248861696

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Oaky, well it was a fuel dump over New Jersey, not "chemtrails over London". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoUBWEqPu0Q

2015-05-16T23:24:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599717176480694272
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Not a persistent one.

2015-05-16T23:10:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599713599897100288
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Agent Orange. What's your point?

2015-05-16T23:03:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599711727748452352
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies It's a B52.
http://thebrigade.com/2013/02/07/friday-firepower-b-52-hits-full-speed-of-650mph-15-hq-photos/

2015-05-16T22:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599710004849979395
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Why are you posting that fuel dump hoax photo?
https://www.metabunk.org/debunked-wingtip-chemtrails-over-london-fuel-dump-new-jersey-2010.t3385/

2015-05-16T14:23:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599580916219060224
@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies But one exists, and the other does not (yet).

2015-05-15T23:23:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599354391402012672
@davebrooker33 Or maybe the weather just changed?

2015-05-15T21:39:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599328358883688448
@Tutankh_Amen @TakeThatEarth @Anon_thr33 @Clos3stToTh3Sun Actually they make more.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2015-05-15T20:55:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599317170372222976
@karentalford66 @qnoftherealm Exactly what you would expect. Trace amount of dirt dust in the air.

2015-05-15T20:46:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/599314830844043266
@dailyrapid No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png @uncleSaul1



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599314780269088768

@CannabisWTF No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599314745989070848

@JohnDanneskjold No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599314678066458624

@NewRightMedia No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599314640380657664

@ConJonesReport No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599314562991554560

@nwohashtag No real change in volcanic activity, decline since 2008

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599313211226128384

@USA_Libertarian But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599313126534721538

@Jenevalynne But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599313069848727552

@gold_tracker But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599313009526214656

@English_Woman But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png @heather_venter @nannypicker

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599312955826511873

@Stonewoodforge But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png @ReFounderParty

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599312850130112514

@Revelation1217 But there's no real increase:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png @erotao @brianbonner @ellejaii

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T20:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599309965208072192

Significant Increase in Volcano Eruptions Debunked:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-significant-increase-in-volcano-eruptions.6225/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CFEtPM6W8AAo5Kk.png

2015-05-15T15:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599232379408896001

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 So how exactly is this BS?

2015-05-15T15:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599232308298678272

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 "many of the metallic particles in the smoke from fireworks are bio-reactive and can affect human health,"

2015-05-15T15:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599232179894226944

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 There's even lots of research into it.
http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/11/101116111715.htm

---

2015-05-15T15:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/599231941888454656

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 But fireworks ARE one source of nano-particulates of Aluminum, etc, in the atmosphere. Disagree?

2015-05-14T16:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598886819032248321

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd I believe that again you are oversimplifying things. Mass changes are a complex set of processes.

2015-05-14T15:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598875731565776896

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd Being cold does not automatically make more ice. You need to add water. It's net balance that's important.

2015-05-14T15:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598872902931353603

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd You were talking about sea ice. So why bring up Vostok?

2015-05-14T15:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598868583255408641

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd There you go again, putting up single data points as if they mean something to global trends.

2015-05-14T15:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598868031733768192

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd No, I'm just not oversimplifying it.

2015-05-14T15:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598865974142447617

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd It tells me you are greatly oversimplifying the science.

2015-05-14T14:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598862629612818433

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd But it's the land ice that contributes to sea level rise.

2015-05-13T16:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598531419611103232

@ChemtrailsWorld Didn't you see persistent contrails in the AF?

2015-05-13T16:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598525127945420800

@ChemtrailsWorld Air traffic naturally makes grids.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5jbpCWAAEr6Wr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5jbpCWAAEr6Wr.jpg

2015-05-13T16:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598524910940491776

@OpChemtrails 13 years ago. Didn't really pan out though, did it.

2015-05-13T16:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598524201130008576

@ChemtrailsWorld Actually, I think those are all natural clouds. Like in the 1803 sketch.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5ig53WEAAEds7.jpg



CUMULUS BREAKING UP, CIRRUS & CIRRO CUMULUS ABOVE.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5ig53WEAAEds7.jpg
2015-05-13T16:21:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598523533610717184
.@OpChemtrails Seriously? You keep posting patents for heaters and smoke generators as evidence of "chemtrails"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5h61HWIAAOjGt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5h61HWIAAOjGt.jpg

2015-05-13T16:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598522328163618816

@OpChemtrails That's a lot of "Evidence". But does any of it actually hold up to examination?

2015-05-13T16:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598521060955332609

@digitalErmit Nobody pays me.

2015-05-13T16:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598518889912274944

@digitalErmit But YOU think it is evidence, don't you? How exactly could planes NOT cross? Here's 24 hrs of traffic. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5dvbTW0AEAoaL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5dvbTW0AEAoaL.jpg

2015-05-13T15:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598516985295548416

@digitalErmit What evidence is there that they are so acting?

2015-05-13T15:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598516559619883008

@digitalErmit Even this. All known to science.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5boYYWYAA346t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5boYYWYAA346t.jpg
2015-05-13T15:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598516267507523584
@digitalErmit And these. According to physics.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5bX6GXIAEOe1p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5bX6GXIAEOe1p.jpg

2015-05-13T15:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598515958584463360

@digitalErmit This type of thing is water, correct?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5bF5wWYAATRqk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5bF5wWYAATRqk.jpg

2015-05-13T15:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598515714740256769

@digitalErmit Can you show an example case of this?

2015-05-13T15:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598515622062886912

@digitalErmit (although since they are at different altitude, they probably don't in that image, just looks like it).

2015-05-13T15:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598515461282603008

@digitalErmit Planes cross paths. Occasionally three will cross in the same (visual) point. Why not?

2015-05-13T15:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598514089241915392

@digitalErmit It looks like contrails and clouds. I see nothing to indicate otherwise. Please be specific.

2015-05-13T15:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598513918860857344

@digitalErmit I don't understand what you mean by diffraction in relation to the first image. That's my take. Can you explain.

2015-05-13T15:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598513677155762176

@digitalErmit Lines drawn at random are bound to cross int eh same spot occasionally. It would take effort NOT to do this sometimes.

2015-05-13T15:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598512422261915648

@digitalErmit Let's start with "diffraction" then. Can you explain what that evidence is specifically?

2015-05-13T15:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598511279544766464

@digitalErmit @karentalford66 You mean climate change? Or climate engineering? What specifically, if engineering?

2015-05-13T15:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598510404851994624

@digitalErmit @karentalford66 You can't just say "the government is evil, so that white line up there cannot be a contrail". So, evidence?

2015-05-13T15:28:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598510186261647360

@digitalErmit @karentalford66 But the point here is evidence. What's the evidence that the trails are not just contrails?

2015-05-13T15:28:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598510028073504768

@digitalErmit @karentalford66 Climate engineering research.

2015-05-13T15:26:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598509534676549633

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails From the same 1921 article. Note the 22Â° halo proof of ice in persistent contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5VLyDWgAAas6d.jpg

---

The author gives other examples: On a day with a temperature of −40° C., a cloud 3 km. in length was seen in Greenland, stretching away from a chimney. During three airplane flights over Munich at a height of 9 km. a cloud 50 km. in length was formed. That it was composed of ice crystals was proved by the fact that the 22° halo was observed. This cloud the author attributes to the nuclei furnished by the motor exhaust.[2] A horse, warm from running over the ice, on a cold day in Greenland, was accompanied by a cloud 50 meters in height formed from its breath. Von Hann gives a similar case regarding a herd of reindeer. The human breath also has been seen to transform itself into small clouds of ice needles. These are also attributed to nuclei discharged into the air.[3]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5VLyDWgAAas6d.jpg

2015-05-13T15:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598509056848834560

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails If you don't think it's fake, then what do you think about it?

2015-05-13T15:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598508896961986560

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails I trust, yet verify. The contrail story checks out. Verified by the vast weight of science and history

---

2015-05-13T15:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598508168226869249

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails How about the Monthly Weather Review?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5UAauWYAEqScZ.jpg

---

brought about in this particular case are of interest. It could scarcely be the result of a reduction in temperature due to the stirring, *per se*, of the air by the propeller. An increase in the moisture content of the air is probably involved. Of the two following suggestions as to the origin of the cloud the first, and probably the correct one, was made by Prof. Humphreys:

The end products of complete combustion of gasoline are water vapor and carbon dioxide, and it is found that if the water vapor were condensed, there would result a little more than 1 gallon of water per gallon of gasoline consumed. It was found by Wells and Thuras, in studying the fogs off the Newfoundland coast (see *U. S. Coast Guard, Bull. 5*, 1916) that there were 1,200 water droplets of diameter 0.01 mm. in a cubic centimeter of air in a dense fog. If we assume that an airplane travels 3 miles on a gallon of gasoline (approximately the figure given by the Aerial Mail Service) it is possible to show that if only a small part—a fourth or fifth—of the water vapor were condensed, there would be abundant cloud to produce the effect observed at the Argonne Battle. It should be stated, however, that this water vapor would have to be discharged into air which was very cold and nearly saturated. This seems to be the correct explanation, and is substantiated by scientists at the Bureau of Standards, who say that they have actually observed this cloud behind airplanes and automobiles. The Bureau of Standards is working on a device for condensing and using this water aboard dirigibles as ballast.

temperature. In
vapor pressure co
the same time th
evaporating. The
pressure, amounti
ture of −11.4° C.

Some of the con
pointed out. It h
ascents that, abo
water droplets ar
occur. But the w
snow crystals are
water eventually
forded by the str
mother cloud has

Many observati
cases in which the
spect to ice but n
large crystals of ic
In addition, occasi
fog has formed aft
the case where th

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5UAauWYAEqScZ.jpg
2015-05-13T15:20:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598508011880058880
@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails So you think they faked it? And all the copies in libraries?

2015-05-13T15:19:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598507837816340480
@digitalErmit @karentalford66 There's lots of weather modification though, of course. But that's different.

2015-05-13T15:19:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598507766915866625
@digitalErmit @karentalford66 There are none that I'm aware of, and I've talked to many researchers in the field.

2015-05-13T15:18:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598507530256420865
@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails So you think 96 years ago people were laying the groundwork simply to spray without permission?

2015-05-13T15:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598507292749799425
@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Okay, then when you say "I don't need to be told what I am seeing." Why is it not a contrail?

2015-05-13T15:13:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598506284476858368
@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails So when you say "look up", how do you know it's not a contrail?

2015-05-13T15:12:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598506201681264640
@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails The point is that people now claim contrails cannot persist. Yet all evidence indicates they can, and have.

2015-05-13T15:11:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598505876698214401
.@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails You know, there were persistent contrails even before WW2.
http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5Ryw-WAAAMoU8.jpg

The earliest report of both a persistent spreading contrail, with gaps, and a distrail comes very shortly after, in **1921**, in the *US. Air Service Newsletter*.



> An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field recently by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty [engine]. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000-27,000 feet at 11:50 a.m., a **long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety**, well defined at the edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. **Then a gap of one-quarter mile**. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for **the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud**. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. **After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5Ryw-WAAAMoU8.jpg

2015-05-13T15:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598505461814427648

@digitalErmit @karentalford66 "Trails" by their nature are not natural (except meteorite trails). Cloud seeding has been used in war.

2015-05-13T14:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598502255508070400

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails What science am I missing here?

2015-05-13T14:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598502156820295680

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails And a trails that grows in optical density can only do so with atmospheric water (ice), so must be contrail.

2015-05-13T14:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598501937353338880

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails For example, seeing a 22Â° Solar halo in a trail proves that it is made of ice crystals. Refractive index.

2015-05-13T14:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598501772554936321

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Not just "science". but also "history", and direct observations.

2015-05-13T14:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598501590895464448

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Like crop dusting you mean? I think the "chemtrail" def refers to high altitude persistent trails. No?

2015-05-13T14:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598501355150422016

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails This is known since the 1920s

2015-05-13T14:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598501194525319169

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails And basic chemistry, physics, and meteorology. CxHy + O = H2O + CO2. Extra H2O triggers cloud formation.

2015-05-13T14:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598500480893915138

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails What specifically about it? It's a broad topic. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_engineering

---

2015-05-13T14:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598500338539180032

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails It matches all known descriptions of contrails for the last 70 years.

2015-05-13T14:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598500257526198272

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails You see the same thing that I see. The question is why you think it's not contrails?

2015-05-13T14:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598500045994921984

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails I see things that look just like they looked since planes could fly high enough.

2015-05-13T14:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598499903107543041

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Would you say your chemtrail belief is science based? That it has supporting evidence?

2015-05-13T14:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598499680603934720

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Publishers decide. But people can also self-publish. And now there is the internet.

2015-05-13T14:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598499527029456896

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails So if all the books back then said persistent contrails were normal, then why do you think they are not?

2015-05-13T14:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598497950214426624

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails From the 1940s and 1950s?

2015-05-13T14:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598497871512514560

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Here's a video overview of what those books say about it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-05-13T14:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598497697054625792

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Some of them are. Contrails are essentially a type of clouds. Just a byproduct of aviation. Books agree.

2015-05-13T14:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598497118723014656

.@OpChemtrails You posted a patent for a white sheet, and you claim it's something to do with #chemtrails? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5JxqNWAAA6Jpa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5JxqNWAAA6Jpa.jpg

2015-05-13T14:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598496315706707968

@OpChemtrails A process that does not leave trails. And is done at low altitudes from small planes over existing clouds.

2015-05-13T14:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598495859513266176

@Chemtrails_Uk It's not natural. It's a contrails from a plane.

2015-05-13T14:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598495686984740864

@OpChemtrails What does that have to do with oxygen? Those are contrails. Made of water ice.

2015-05-13T14:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598495521255198721

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails For example:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5IfynWEAAMLaN.jpg


In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5IfynWEAAMLaN.jpg

2015-05-13T14:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598495252433936384

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails And it's not contradicting. It's just explaining what they see. Everyone sees the same thing. Clouds.

2015-05-13T14:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598495125229080576

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Books on clouds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5IJRCXIAEvo_l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5IJRCXIAEvo_l.jpg

2015-05-13T14:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598493833790246912

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails I'm all about the evidence.

2015-05-13T14:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598493658359279616

@the1stStarr Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/

2015-05-13T14:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598493224064299008

@OpChemtrails 3990987 is a smoke generator that uses oil. Like in skywriting. What is this evidence of?

2015-05-13T14:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598492088737497089

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails Did you read it?

2015-05-13T14:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598491510569455616

@OpChemtrails Except that textbook is actually correct, isn't it?

2015-05-13T14:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598491134575251456

@LKpaint_n_decor @OpChemtrails I'm retired. Debunking is a hobby. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2015-05-13T14:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598490621699993600

.@physorg_com Link to initial results, some perspective:

http://beeinformed.org/results/colony-loss-2014-2015-preliminary-results/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5EDJWVIAAhwn4.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE5EDJWVIAAhwn4.png

2015-05-13T13:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598485700351164416

@karentalford66 Some similar cirrus from 1803

https://archive.org/details/bub_gb_7BbPAAAAMAAJ

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE4_huZWAAIdWnp.jpg



CUMULOSTRATUS FORMING; FINE WEATHER CIRRI ABOVE.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE4_huZWAAIdWnp.jpg

2015-05-13T13:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598485473758093313

@OpChemtrails Don't you think you should be going for quality over quantity in your tweetstorm?

2015-05-13T13:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598485107905691648

@karentalford66 I'm sorry you think I'm a paid shill. Because I'm not.

2015-05-13T13:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598476037970731008

@karentalford66 Can you tell by looking at them? If not then, why post the pic?

2015-05-13T13:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598475939081687042

@karentalford66 Well, they are certainly contrail initiated. I've not seen any evidence of "chemtrails" though.

2015-05-13T13:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598473722601713664

.@karentalford66 Cirrus Vertebratus. Sometime contrail initiated, sometime natural.

https://www.google.com/search?q=Cirrus+Vertebratus&num=50&es_sm=119&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE40g0vWoAMab63.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE40g0vWoAMab63.jpg

2015-05-13T12:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598472012508467200

@OpChemtrails So if they were programming people in 1959, why do a small % of people think contrails are odd now? Any correlation?

2015-05-13T12:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598464709679972353

@OpChemtrails When? Dane has been preaching doom for quite a while now. Nothing happened.

2015-05-12T18:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598192981288456193

@tiffanycameille Spot the holds in this video. See how far they are from New York.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8gAA3vcmR2U

2015-05-12T18:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598192657987346432

@tiffanycameille So a contrail from a holding pattern is before the plane has started its descent.

2015-05-12T18:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598192536692199424

@tiffanycameille Holing patterns can be at any distance. Even directly over an airport. But they have to be high up to make a contrail

---

2015-05-12T18:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598188949064945664

@tiffanycameille Holds with contrails are generally quite a way from the airport though, 50-100 miles or more. Sometimes 100s of miles away

---

2015-05-12T18:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598188681837449216

@tiffanycameille Many planes doing similar turns can be identified using http://flightradar24.com if recent, and time/data/location known

---

2015-05-12T18:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598187747648544770

@tiffanycameille Planes fly in circuits for lots of reasons. Atmospheric sampling is one, just not very common.

2015-05-12T17:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598185376402919424

@tiffanycameille What do you mean by "atmospheric testing"? Holding patter is most likely, by there are several possible reasons.

2015-05-12T17:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598184878434160640

@tiffanycameille They move to the side if there's oncoming or overtaking traffic. Sometimes the sky is quite busy, especially approach.

2015-05-12T17:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598176806747770881

Finding the Original Source in a World of Aggregators

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/finding-the-original-source-in-a-world-of-aggregators-shares-and-reposts.6207/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE0ml7nW0AAjCuf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CE0ml7nW0AAjCuf.jpg

2015-05-12T13:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/598116769375645696

RT @UFOcommunity: The Roswell Slides Debunked: http://www.roswellslides.com/ #RoswellSlides @paulkimballfilm http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CEymsNXWYAAJ2NI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CEymsNXWYAAJ2NI.jpg
2015-05-12T13:07:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/598112214743154688
@tiffanycameille 'S' shapes are usually a plane moving to the side of its current course. Again, perspective exaggerates.

2015-05-12T00:46:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597925890522218496
@tiffanycameille Perspective foreshortening makes the turns look a lot sharper than they are

---

2015-05-12T00:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597925731516157953
@tiffanycameille Because planes change course. Here's a thread discussing this. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mass-obscure-patterns-in-redding-leading-to-cloudy-skies.6198/

2015-05-11T05:02:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597627913563942912
@4thAnon And why focus on Glyphosate? Plenty of more toxic things to worry about: http://cehtp.org/projects/ehss01/pesticides_and_schools/Pesticides_Schools_Report_April2014.pdf

---

2015-05-11T04:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597626717189070848
@4thAnon I'd be happy to drink a 0.7 mg/L solution. It's all about the dose. http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/glyphosate.cfm

---

2015-05-11T04:51:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597624936312741889
@4thAnon You can look up the safe quantities. They are very very small.

2015-05-11T04:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/597623804672737280

@4thAnon They are not actually spraying it directly on children you know.

2015-05-11T04:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/597623713962524673

@4thAnon How much are they being exposed to per year at school? I probably drink that much already. It's miniscule.

2015-05-11T04:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/597622825218928640

@4thAnon Salt is poisonous, but we sprinkle it on our food. It's all about the dose.

2015-05-11T04:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/597622621858091008

@4thAnon No, it's all about the dose. One does not stay too long in the sun, but a little sun causes no harm.

2015-05-11T04:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/597613304580808704

@4thAnon It is on the same list as sunlight. Should children never be allowed in the sun? http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_IARC_Group_2A_carcinogens

---

2015-05-09T19:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/597126419806838784

@2AFight But the loophole does not refer to FFL sellers. It's about private sellers at gun shows.

2015-05-05T18:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/595659808390385666

@MentulaRea @CVWas @EricJWest Yes, they don't happen all the time. Needs marginal conditions.

2015-05-04T23:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/595375769980796928

@CVWas @MentulaRea @EricJWest Looks like 747 and a hybrid contrail. See: http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CEMzFAhUMAAyhjf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CEMzFAhUMAAyhjf.jpg

2015-04-29T17:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/593466486674587648

@OpChemtrails How does it differ from this 1965 ad showing contrails?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CDxqkX5W8AAPo9i.jpg



Image 9 of 62

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CDxqkX5W8AAPo9i.jpg

2015-04-29T17:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/593465829892689921

@OpChemtrails But if they are just contrails, then surely this IS normal? Contrails have been part of the sky of decades.

2015-04-25T21:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/592078389365714945

@DrDuaneRD @DrAseemMalhotra @ProfTimNoakes and if non sugar increase was zero, not 0.1%, then increase would have to be "infinite-fold".

2015-04-25T21:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/592077172514271233

@Lawful_Rebel Again, why exactly is it wrong? Why would grids NOT form under these conditions? http://contrailscience.com/contrail-simulations/

2015-04-25T20:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/592055586356858880

RT @Pawly_V: Science Behind The Weather: The Hows and Whys of Contrails http://fb.me/1TQi3pDkN

2015-04-25T19:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/592055084902719489

@Lawful_Rebel What's wrong about it? They look like contrails. Plane's paths cross. Wind moves

them. So you get grids.

2015-04-25T19:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/592051882442514433

@Lawful_Rebel Looks like lots of contrails. Probably in a region with lots of flyover traffic in two directions.

2015-04-25T15:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591991573061206017

@DrDuaneRD @DrAseemMalhotra @ProfTimNoakes it's comparing 1.1% increase to 0.1% increase (hence 11x). v. misleading

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-25T15:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591991170869436417

@RandyTravisFox5 The diabetes risk rises 1.1% for every 150 sugar calories, not 11-fold. Full explanation here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-25T15:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591991060387233792

@shaneforbes The diabetes risk rises 1.1% for every 150 sugar calories, not 11-fold. Full explanation here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-25T15:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591990789078650881

.@Forbes The diabetes risk rises 1.1% for every 150 sugar calories, not 11-fold. Full explanation here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-23T19:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591317808211963904

@AmDiabetesEOK Misleading. The actual increase is 1.1% (vs. 0.1%) You're not 11x as likely to get diabetes

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-23T19:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591317658890588161

@richardsails Misleading. The actual increase is 1.1% (vs. 0.1%) You're not 11x as likely to get diabetes

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-

the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-23T19:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591317526820315136

@PeterMax27 Misleading statistic. The actual increase is 1.1% (vs. 0.1%) You are not 11x as likely to get diabetes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-23T18:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/591313926324932609

Debunked: 150 Calories of sugar leads to 11-fold increase in the prevalence of diabetes

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-150-calories-of-sugar-leads-to-11-fold-increase-in-the-prevalence-of-diabetes-1-1.6109/

2015-04-21T16:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/590552427935444993

@Sean_Anthony Peterson is not a doctor, she's a crop loss adjuster. And that's not the UN, just a conference there.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.3514/

2015-04-21T15:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/590534230792216576

@iain39 @swhotmess @udvdx @blazeart_ @loveacupotea @loftyjester @jace3312 Not according to 70 years of books. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-04-21T13:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/590509717027360771

RT @ScienceBasedMed: "Homeopathy is a scam that has been operating under the nose of the FDA for decades.â□□ http://theness.com/neurologicablog/index.php/fda-and-homeopathy/

2015-04-20T18:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/590214779177840640

@wikileaks It's just an off-the-shelf block camera that you can buy online. Hardly Sony's fault.

https://www.google.com/search?q=sony+block+camera&es_sm=119&biw=1684&bih=1002&tbm=shop

---

2015-04-19T22:19:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/589916270989955072

@Lawful_Rebel It's a video of a day when there are lots of contrails.

2015-04-19T17:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/589837428245954560

@wikileaks @korch Report appears to be using data from http://compete.com, not Google. Where is evidence of Google sharing with MPAA?

2015-04-17T17:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/589122003010920448

@A3803 @kigeca Thanks, but that explanation was by Trailspotter, not me :)

2015-04-17T15:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/589085033253638145

@LawfulRebelli0n Correct. It's an aged contrail and a solar 22Â° halo. Both made of ice crystals.

2015-04-16T17:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588761008937644032

@rezn8d "The engine burning hydrogen had produced a dense and persistent condensation trail" (but JP-4 did not) http://history.nasa.gov/SP-4404/ch6-4.htm

2015-04-16T17:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588755814212444160

@rezn8d @MockWest_ @OpChemtrails Water plus aerosol. Can be ambient aerosols. https://books.google.com/books?id=Ss5TAgAAQBAJ&lpg=PA21&ots=nCbzr36ZJd&dq=atmospheric%20homogeneous%20nucleation%20in%20contrails&pg=PA21#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCuuRS5UsAADmca.jpg

Discrepancies have been found between observed and computed threshold conditions for contrail formation (Busen and Schumann, 1995), indicating that the details of the formation mechanisms are not fully understood. The main question is which nucleation mechanism is activated. Since the saturation ratio of water vapor is not large enough to induce homogeneous nucleation of water vapor, heterogeneous nucleation on existing particles must take place. Several pathways of contrail formation have been considered, including heterogeneous nucleation of water vapor on frozen H_2SO_4/H_2O aerosols, on soot, and on soot coated with H_2SO_4. This last case can occur either by adsorption of H_2SO_4 from the gas phase or by coagulation of soot particles and supercooled H_2SO_4-H_2O aerosols. In addition, observations from the SUCCESS campaign indicate that ambient aerosols, including mineral particles, may play an important role in contrail formation (Twohy and Gandrud, 1998; Jensen et al., 1998). Studies by Busen et al. (1998) indicate that fuel sulfur content (FSC) has little effect on the formation of visible contrails or on the threshold conditions for contrail formation. Further studies of contrail formation mechanisms would be worthwhile, as this uncertainty limits our ability to assess contrail chemical and radiative impacts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCuuRS5UsAADmca.jpg

2015-04-16T17:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588754761731543040

@rezn8d @MockWest_ @OpChemtrails So how do clouds form then?

2015-04-16T17:08:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588750813037666304

@rezn8d @MockWest_ @OpChemtrails "...frozen water bubbles in the sky, sulfur-coated soot and water from the engine attach to ice " is wrong

2015-04-16T17:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588750449659879424

@rezn8d @MockWest_ @OpChemtrails Contrails can form without "soot and sulfur". A) Aerodynamic contrails, B) H+O engines.

2015-04-16T05:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588575764242509825

@mednurse202 How can you tell?

2015-04-16T05:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588575530921762817

@mednurse202 @5521bfa18fac45a Wind will pretty much always be different a few hundred feet below any given point.

2015-04-16T05:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588572613347184641

@mednurse202 @5521bfa18fac45a Contrails do that too, according to all the books on clouds.

2015-04-16T05:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588572392185679874

@rezn8d @MockWest_ Contrails spread via wind shear. This is easily observed, and the same as with cirrus clouds.

2015-04-16T05:18:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588572213026037760

@rezn8d @MockWest_ They are clouds. Clouds spread sometimes.

2015-04-16T02:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588530554695385088

@rezn8d @MickWest @MockWest_ persistence is.

2015-04-16T00:54:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588505635399962624

@rezn8d @MockWest_ Persistence is caused by ice supersaturation. Pretty much by definition

https://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/apl/research/science_integrated_modeling/accri/media/Contrail%20Microphysics.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCrKvbgUgAAMvj-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCrKvbgUgAAMvj-.jpg

2015-04-16T00:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588499313338122240

@FleshnChrome Of course not. But they do show that contrails can persist and spread. So you can't just point at trails and say "chemtrail!!"

2015-04-15T21:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588446892956123136

@Enkidu_G @oscare2000 I'll give it a look if you admit the CFR story you posted was totally backwards.

2015-04-15T20:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588444382270853120
@Enkidu_G @oscare2000 You don't want your links to be accurate?

2015-04-15T20:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588443676663132160
@Enkidu_G @oscare2000 That quote was actually about lobbying by climate change deniers, not geoengineering.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cfr-admits-millions-spent-to-confuse-public-about-geoengineering.164/

2015-04-15T20:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588441825368637441
@Moe_Fugger_72 Isn't the theory supposed to be that short trails are contrails?

2015-04-15T20:09:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588433938596528128
@streamingindie No they didn't.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.3514/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCqJjCtVIAAOTxa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCqJjCtVIAAOTxa.jpg
2015-04-15T20:04:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588432641772232705
@OpChemtrails Rarely? It's ALWAYS -40Â° or colder somewhere above your head. All that is needed is ice supersaturation.

2015-04-15T19:30:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588424242426818560
@ISpaceCab Nice. You might also like this article I wrote on contrail shadows.
http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2015-04-15T19:26:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588423270296199169
@ISpaceCab @CloudAppSoc Here's a better example, more similar to yours. Shadow in cloud bank. http://photo.accuweather.com/photogallery/size/173762/Crepuscular+Shadows+4
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCp_k34UIAAZoqP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCp_k34UIAAZoqP.jpg

2015-04-15T18:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588409306325757952

@FleshnChrome Their scope is clouds. Contrails are clouds. They say contrails can persist and spread.

2015-04-15T16:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588379517439225858

@cydniey @TeddFlorendo Look s like a thin layer to me. If it has dark regions, that means you can see though it.

2015-04-15T16:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588378320762318848

@OpChemtrails Not according to science.

http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/1689/2008/acp-8-1689-2008.pdf Less rare than conditions for cirrus clouds.

2015-04-15T16:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588377173200736256

@OpChemtrails So why on earth do you think they would be secretly doing them?

2015-04-15T16:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588376975053467649

@Dinki777Do you think contrails should never persist and expand?

2015-04-15T16:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588375396040646657

@Dinki777 I've read it, and his book. He's discussing what people might do in the future.

2015-04-15T16:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588375099973115905

@CloudAppSoc @ISpaceCab Similar with more cloud.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCpT78fVAAAY2Yu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCpT78fVAAAY2Yu.jpg
2015-04-15T16:09:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588373493332316160
@CloudAppSoc @ISpaceCab It's a crepuscular shadow, likely from a segment of contrail way in the distance.

2015-04-15T16:07:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588373025776517120
@Dinki777 Just like contrails sometimes do.

2015-04-15T14:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588355582341459968
@davebrooker33 I collect old books on clouds, they are pretty cheap online.

2015-04-15T14:46:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588352635398328320
@FleshnChrome Are the books all wrong then? Dating back to the 1940s? Why did they not notice at the time?

2015-04-15T14:43:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588351837960437760
@davebrooker33 It's the conditions at 30,000 to 45,000 feet up that matter. (i.e. where planes cruise). Ground conditions irrelevant.

2015-04-15T06:11:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/588223177744060416

@davebrooker33 Afraid I've got to go. But I'd be interested in your take tomorrow.

2015-04-15T05:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588220140694720513

@davebrooker33 (you'll need audio on)

2015-04-15T05:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588220057152524288

@davebrooker33 I made a 4 minute video. I'd really appreciate it if you could watch it and tell me your impression. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-04-15T05:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588219715723616256

@davebrooker33 Well, would you agree that all the books on clouds for 70 years say contrails can persist and spread?

2015-04-15T05:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588219327859523584

@davebrooker33 Would you agree that contrails can sometimes persist and spread?

2015-04-15T05:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588219013009932288

@davebrooker33 Not most people. Not any meteorologist. Not any atmospheric scientist. Not any student in those fields. Why not?

2015-04-15T05:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588218216863952896

@davebrooker33 That's not the point. The point is that "people's own eyes" is not cutting it, as convincing evidence.

2015-04-15T05:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588217837254270976

@davebrooker33 I'm not mocking. I'm pointing out your evidence does not convince most people, and some of them even mock you.

2015-04-15T05:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588217716122738688

@davebrooker33 I don't have a job, I'm a retired video game programmer. I did Tony Hawk's Pro Skater, then retired.

2015-04-15T05:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588217467773784064

@davebrooker33 Obviously not, as most people see the exact same things as you, and don't believe in chemtrails. Many even mock you.

2015-04-15T05:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588217265428025345

@davebrooker33 And if there isn't, then why are YOU convinced chemtrails are secret geoengineering?

2015-04-15T05:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588217156183138304

@davebrooker33 Surely you want to convince neutral people? Family, friends, the general public. Is there actual evidence you can use?

2015-04-15T05:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588216799654715392

@davebrooker33 What's the bottom line here? If you were to try to convince someone neutral, what evidence would you use?

2015-04-15T05:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588216424201646080

@davebrooker33 Can you link one piece of evidence you think can't be debunked in 3 minutes?

2015-04-15T05:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588216117300240385

@davebrooker33 If I asked you to show me your documentation, what could you show me now?

2015-04-15T05:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588216005651988480

@davebrooker33 And what's the point of documenting something, if nobody can find the documentation.

2015-04-15T05:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215846666952705

@davebrooker33 Well surely you can't just tell people, "go on Twitter, there's lots of evidence there".

2015-04-15T05:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215686545199104

@davebrooker33 I mean, if you've been documenting it on Twitter, then how can anyone find that documentation?

2015-04-15T05:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215433775423489

@davebrooker33 Respectfully, you'll need a bit more than Twitter.

2015-04-15T05:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215322899046400

@davebrooker33 Which ways are those?

2015-04-15T05:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215251537113088

@davebrooker33 Why has nobody documented this happening?

2015-04-15T05:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588215157471498241

@davebrooker33 That's rather an extraordinary thing. You should document it, if it's actually happening.

2015-04-15T05:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588214702796312577

@davebrooker33 Cloud seeding is augmentation of rain clouds.

2015-04-15T05:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588214543916072960

@davebrooker33 Cloud seeding only works with existing rain clouds. So if it's not going to rain anyway, it won't help.

2015-04-15T05:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588213987436789760

@davebrooker33 Why exactly are you against cloud seeding? It's just an attempt to make clouds rain more.

2015-04-15T05:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588213634167341056

@davebrooker33 1965 Cloud Seeding: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2015-04-15T05:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588213288179236864

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies So, are you simply opposed to weather modification? Is that all?

2015-04-15T05:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588213120709058560

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies 1965 small ad, giving notice of weather modification. It's always been commonplace. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCnAjv6WIAA6HSN.png

---

Public Notices

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Notice is hereby given that it is the intent of the Water Resources Development Corporation of 460 South Broadway, Denver 9, Colorado, which holds License No. 54 of the State of Oregon, to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, for and on behalf of MORROW COUNTY WEATHER RESEARCH ASSOCIATION, located at Echo. Oregon. The object of the above program will be to increase natural rainfall.

1. The area to be affected is described as lying within Morrow County and Northwestern Umatilla County, State of Oregon.
2. The Operation will be conducted through the use of ground-based silver iodide generators and located within the Counties of Grant, Wheeler, Wasco, Gilliam, Morrow, Umatilla and Sher-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCnAjv6WIAA6HSN.png

2015-04-15T05:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588212711781244930

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies And isn't "chemtrails" supposed to be a new thing? WM has been openly practiced since the 1950s

---

2015-04-15T05:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588212141259382786

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies How are "chemtrails" a part of weather modification? Weather modification does not leave trails.

2015-04-15T05:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588211879757148160

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies And what "modified fleet" are you talking about?

2015-04-15T05:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588211575506530305

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Are you just arguing about the definition of words, or what is actually happening?

2015-04-15T05:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588211467314429952

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies so if you want to call that geoengineering, then what exactly is your point?

2015-04-15T05:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588211322648731650

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Obviously weather modification is happening, and has for 60+ years. so..

2015-04-15T05:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588208468244504577

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Then what needs debunking? What is disputed here?

2015-04-15T00:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588142241362923523

@cydniey @TeddFlorendo If it's a thin cloud layer you can't actually tell if it's above or below. Optical illusion.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.4334/

2015-04-15T00:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588141687303733248

@davebrooker33 So, small planes, low altitude, burning flares over existing rainclouds? Is that it?

2015-04-15T00:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588141442603819008

@davebrooker33 Cloud seeding has been going on quite openly for 60+ years. It's not a secret,

and does not leave trails in the sky.

2015-04-15T00:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588141119113928704

@davebrooker33 I did. It's just cloud seeding.

http://www.weathermodification.com/projects.php?id=4

2015-04-15T00:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588140979695255552

@davebrooker33 This is just cloud seeding. i.e. trying to improve rainfall.

xhttp://www.weathermodification.com/projects.php?id=4

2015-04-15T00:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588140430937759745

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 WMI does not do geoengineering, just cloud seeding. But do you have a link?

2015-04-15T00:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588140089420750849

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 Example of identified planes:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/unusual-trails-and-clouds-in-australia.984/page-2

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCl-S20WIAArWF1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCl-S20WIAArWF1.jpg

2015-04-15T00:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588139752123199488

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 Some discussion of similar odd trails int hat region:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/unusual-trails-and-clouds-in-australia.984/

2015-04-15T00:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588139229949075456

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 What's the date/time of the pic, we can find the

plane.

2015-04-15T00:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588139153545625601

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 Because it's the only one at a particular altitude. Holding pattern.

2015-04-15T00:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588136619355598848

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @ayajet128 So why are those not just photos of clouds and contrails? They look like photos in old books.

2015-04-14T16:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/588020653799956483

@SpatzieLover Except they measured dirt levels, not air levels. People don't breath underground. http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/

2015-04-14T14:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587993117095243778

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @ayajet128 Can you show an example "legit" pic, and explain how you can tell?

2015-04-14T05:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587856023471333376

@MockWest_ No, I enjoy science.

2015-04-14T05:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587855360687378432

@MockWest_ Exactly. There are certainly a lot MORE contrails now. But they don't persist any longer than contrails persisted in the past.

2015-04-14T04:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587828978808852480

@MockWest_ not really. There are more contrails, but there is no evidence they are more persistent.

2015-04-14T03:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587817347303415808

@MockWest_ what increase in persistence?

2015-04-14T01:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587794949015351297

@MockWest_ no they would be largely invisible.

2015-04-14T01:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587783744414617601

@MockWest_ No they are not. SRM proposals in stratosphere are for reflective particles. Not clouds (which would warm).

2015-04-14T01:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587783584599031808

@MockWest_ All pollution is a concern.

2015-04-14T00:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587769735497920512

@MockWest_ Persistence is a function of atmospheric humidity. Some once the trail has formed, that's all it depends on.

2015-04-13T23:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587766547906592768

@MockWest_ @rezn8d Fuel composition would only affect formation (if anything), not persistence.

2015-04-13T23:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587766214472024064

@CHEMTRAILSMN @infowarseast Check out the comments. It's a mosquito spraying plane. I can't debunk they spray for mosquitos. But so?

2015-04-13T18:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587685951830302720

@mattwillywilson We're interested in how they form, so seeing a sequence of photos is very useful. Thanks.

2015-04-13T18:30:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587684360595181568

@mattwillywilson @Chemtrails_818 Cheers!

2015-04-13T18:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587678318847074304

@mattwillywilson If you could email the original pics to mick@mickwest.com , that would be awesome.

2015-04-13T18:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587678007617163264

@mattwillywilson is there any way I could see all the original photos you have, so I can see f they are consistent with the explanation?

2015-04-13T17:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587675958414479360

@mattwillywilson @Chemtrails_818 Here's an explanation https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sinusoidal-thin-contrails-explained-solitary-hybrid-contrails-the-rook-instability.4539/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfYK31W8AEEUcs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfYK31W8AEEUcs.jpg

2015-04-13T17:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587675327964454913

@ImTheFid @DarfusMctrendy It seems with a bit of research no evidence comes up of chemtrail admissions. So why don't you link some?

2015-04-13T17:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587671633025871872

@mattwillywilson @Chemtrails_818 Cool pic, where and when was this taken? Are there any more pics of the same trail?

2015-04-13T17:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587670273123426306

@ImTheFid @DarfusMctrendy I found these. Which one is correct?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfS_7NW8AAJzWr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfS_7NW8AAJzWr.jpg

2015-04-13T17:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587669783740485632

@ImTheFid @DarfusMctrendy Surely if something was admitted, there would be a quote of this admission somewhere? Instead there are denials.

2015-04-13T17:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587669635434090496

@ImTheFid @DarfusMctrendy You made a claim, but you can't back it up with evidence?

2015-04-13T17:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587669435504144384

@LawfulRebelli0n Or people are trying to "poison the well" to avoid having to defend their claims of evidence?

2015-04-13T17:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587669186781904897

@LawfulRebelli0n But exactly how do you tell the difference? Can you tell by looking at them?

2015-04-13T17:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587667483747753984

@LawfulRebelli0n Which patent are you referring to?

2015-04-13T17:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587667008415600640

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @ayajet128 How do you know which "chemtrail pics" are legit?

2015-04-13T17:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587666911170707456

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @ayajet128 Obviously fake, like this one:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfPxoGWMAAwbvk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCfPxoGWMAAwbvk.jpg

2015-04-13T17:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587666142992306176

@ImTheFid @DarfusMctrendy So where are these admission? Who admitted what?

2015-04-13T17:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587664898315788289

@openculture Correct link:

http://www.openculture.com/2015/04/t-s-eliot-edith-wharton-gertrude-stein-tell-f-scott-fitzgerald-that-gatsby-is-great.html

---

2015-04-13T17:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587664688009256960

@openculture You linked to a draft of the post.

2015-04-13T17:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587663321614004224

@Pepperpear Why does that include a device for measuring photocopier toner levels? http://www.google.com/patents/US5486900?dq=5486900&ei=aU9LUI3WO4em9gTLoICwAg#v=onepage&q=5486900&f=false

---

2015-04-13T17:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587662241823981568

@LawfulRebelli0n What the difference between a "chemtrail sundog" and a normal sundog?

2015-04-13T17:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587661611113910272

@The_Codeine_Kid Pics of contrails, and then discussion of possible future engineering. How does that equate to "chemtrails"?

2015-04-13T16:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587657967803109376

@ImTheFid I do see a lot of people claiming that discussion of future geoengineering is "admitting" that it's going on now. Obviously not.

2015-04-13T16:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587657768619782145

@ImTheFid I've had a look, but I can't find anyone admitting to making long white lines on the sky (other than contrails).

2015-04-13T16:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587647248495878144

@ImTheFid Where was it admitted?

2015-04-13T14:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587628259061317633

@MockWest_ not that I know of. Ask @rezn8d, he finds the topic interesting.

2015-04-13T06:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587496553574563840

@MockWest_ no

2015-04-13T00:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587415340532965376

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails Check out section 6 here. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp24.pdf

---

2015-04-13T00:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587413389753720832

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails Pretty much backwards. Except near pollution sources, most Al, Ba, Sr comes from mineral dust (dirt)

2015-04-12T05:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/587123915832500225

@davebrooker33 @MickWest @OpChemtrails I agree. Dirt is us much bigger source. Fireworks are just minor pollution.

2015-04-11T15:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586908893613174784

@DarfusMctrendy @SteHall14 I'm not trying to dilute it, I'm trying to correct things that are incorrect.

2015-04-11T15:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586908775124049920

@SteHall14 @DarfusMctrendy So when AE911 go out of their way to disprove the official story, they are suspicious?

2015-04-11T15:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586908463353044993

@davebrooker33 @OpChemtrails I'm happy to have those tweets retweeted, as they are entirely accurate. Your paraphrasing is less so.

2015-04-11T00:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586689083495165954

@mr_anderson077 @trutherbot 300 miles is a big one, but not unheard of. That's just 40 minutes of flying for a jet.

2015-04-11T00:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586682772548272128

@mr_anderson077 @trutherbot How do you know your photo is not just of a regular contrail? What can you tell by looking at it?

2015-04-11T00:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586680349737889792

@mr_anderson077 Just persistent spreading contrails in 1957. So what's the difference? Slightly different shape?

2015-04-10T23:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586678431951126528

@joerogan I work at home. I moved up to Shingle Springs. Better contrails up here. We have deer too, not sure the neighbors would approve :)

2015-04-10T23:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586671977940692993

@OpChemtrails Because when fighter jets practice intercept maneuvers at 35,000 feet in an ice supersaturated region they leave contrails.

2015-04-10T23:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586666490893438977

@joerogan You don't need all that gear this guy was outside my office window this morning :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCRB1llXIAAy0T0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCRB1lIXIAAy0T0.jpg
2015-04-10T22:53:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586663402514202625
@mr_anderson077 Same thing in 1957.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCQ_Ls7WMAA3282.jpg

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCQ_Ls7WMAA3282.jpg

2015-04-10T16:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586564414460989440

@SteHall14 Check back in five years.

2015-04-10T16:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586562028166217729

@SteHall14 Blanket attitude? I very specifically look at individual claims of evidence.

2015-04-10T15:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586556046145011712

@SteHall14 Only WTC7 had a section of near free-fall, and that is explainable with physics.

2015-04-10T15:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586553672298971137

@SteHall14 Give an example of an "indisputable thing"

2015-04-10T15:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586553460625027072

@SteHall14 https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunk-imgur-boston-truth-revealed.1440/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCPbQ6IUgAAS7GF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCPbQ6IUgAAS7GF.jpg

2015-04-10T14:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586531242750783488

@SteHall14 Can you give an example? How about this, isn't it quite reasonable?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-boston-bombing-man-on-the-roof.1387/

2015-04-10T14:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586530539101818881

@OpChemtrails So you agree with that site then, global warming is caused by human CO2 emissions?

2015-04-10T14:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586529969058095104

@OpChemtrails So you agree with that video then? It's not coming from planes?

2015-04-10T14:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586529504010436608

@SteHall14 Why do you think that? Can you give an example?

2015-04-10T04:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586380394519379968

@KlodderPosters very low levels of aluminum. So?

2015-04-10T02:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586355575526559744

@KlodderPosters what's the difference?

2015-04-10T00:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586331439253172224

@davebrooker33 @Enkidu_G I attribute the chemicals people find to dirt. People just find it surprising the same chems are in fireworks.

2015-04-10T00:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586325707501936641

@Enkidu_G @davebrooker33 Really? Could you show anything on there that is demonstrably wrong?

2015-04-10T00:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586325506334728192

@OpChemtrails You might need to explain WHY those are "chemtrails", compared to contrails from 1957: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCML7G9WEAAdF5o.jpg

---

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957CloudstudyapictorialguideOCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCML7G9WEAAdF5o.jpg

2015-04-09T23:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586304976424542208

@KlodderPosters @FrederickWustabove You can see the statue of liberty from the Empire State Building right? That's the same thing.

2015-04-09T23:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586304746971013120

@KlodderPosters @FrederickWust Contrails can last for hours, according to all the books on clouds ever.

2015-04-09T23:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586304505215520768

@KlodderPosters @FrederickWustabove Especially 747, A380. Big planes are easy to see at 30,000 to 40,000 feet directly overhead.

2015-04-09T23:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586304364051992576

@KlodderPosters @FrederickWustabove Why not? I watch the sky, I see planes above 30,000 feet with the naked eye all the time.

2015-04-09T23:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586302997883269122

@KlodderPosters @FrederickWust why can't you see a plane above 8000m? And besides, contrails are about 100x the size of the plane.

2015-04-09T22:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586302251368583168

@davebrooker33 @hoosercharles58 @ReddingRosamond No it's a fuel dump, on an Airbus, like this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bOl3S_NWW0M

2015-04-09T22:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586301785138167809

@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond The vast majority of those elements comes from dirt, and yes,dirt is year round.

2015-04-09T22:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586301153127849987

@hoosercharles58 @ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 That's a C-130 dumping water for firefighting.

2015-04-09T22:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586301008508280832

@hoosercharles58 @ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 That's an aerodynamic contrail from over-wing pressure.

2015-04-09T22:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586300910982340608

@hoosercharles58 @ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 That's an aerodynamic contrail from the wake vortex.

2015-04-09T22:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586300814093877248

@hoosercharles58 @ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 That's a fuel dump

---

2015-04-09T22:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586298023963156481

@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond On July 4th in the US, there's over 200 million pounds of fireworks used.

http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2010/07/100704-4th-of-july-facts-nation-july-4-fourth/

2015-04-09T22:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586297518843133952

@ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 No, those are contrails.

2015-04-09T22:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586297454166958081
@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond Those look like clouds and a contrail.

2015-04-09T21:46:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586283946645786624
@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond What other form would it be from fireworks? Fire creates nanoparticles via combustion

---

2015-04-09T21:44:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586283568558641152
@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond Of course by far the most common source is dirt. Especially aluminum (dirt is abut 7% aluminum)

2015-04-09T21:44:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586283445237772289
@davebrooker33 @ReddingRosamond It's not an excuse for anything. Just noting one source of those chemicals.

2015-04-09T17:37:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586221386361745408
RT @BrandonDoughan: 13 Years For Two Marijuana Cigarettes

http://crooksandliars.com/2015/04/13-years-two-marijuana-cigarettes

---

2015-04-09T16:52:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586210075594792960
@blazeart_ Those tanks in the plane are for an oil dispersement sprayer.
http://www.semissourian.com/story/1741033.html

2015-04-09T15:32:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586189970567667712
@ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 Science: "nanoparticles (NPs, PM with AED < 50 nm), emitted from sparklers, are mainly metallic in nature"

2015-04-09T15:31:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/586189620699795456
@ReddingRosamond @davebrooker33 No, like I said, they make nano-aluminum, ba, sr, etc
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aluminum-barium-and-strontium-in-fireworks.3905/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCKQO2fW4AA-qj6.jpg

The fumes that come off a sparker contain those metals. What's more they will be in the form of metallic nanoparticles:

http://www.aaqr.org/Doi.php?id=29_AAQR-12-08-OA-0208

Content from external source

Sparklers mainly consist of a metal rod, or thin tube coated with an explosive mixture to burn, producing a shower of colorful sparks. Sparklers may be designed to be non-handheld or handheld (i.e., fixed to a support) (Russell, 2000). Their chemical composition can be described by four main components (McManus, 1975; Helmenstine, 2012): (1) A metallic component (e.g., **aluminum**, iron, titanium, zinc, magnesium powder or flakes), which is responsible for producing sparks; (2) An oxidizing component (e.g., potassium, **barium or strontium** nitrates, potassium chlorate, ammonium perchlorate); (3) A combustible binder, which holds the mixture together (e.g., dextrin, nitrocellulose, shellac, gum Arabic, or other sugars and resins); and (4) A fuel component (e.g., charcoal). Fine particulate matter, or PM2.5 (PM with AED < 2.5 μm), UFPs, and **nanoparticles (NPs, PM with AED < 50 nm), emitted from sparklers, are mainly metallic in nature** (Kulshrestha et al., 2004) and pose a threat to human health due to the abilities of UFP and NPs to deposit in all regions of the respiratory tract.

And there's quite a lot. America burns 30,000 tons of fireworks per year. 20,000 of that will be burned today, on the 4th of July.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCKQO2fW4AA-qj6.jpg

2015-04-09T15:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586188094052798466

@davebrooker33 So what specifically is wrong?

2015-04-09T15:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/586187954764161024

@davebrooker33 What's wrong with the statement? Fireworks contain that. tens of thousands of tons of fireworks are burnt in the air.

2015-04-08T18:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585876672647266304

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 Substantiated how?

2015-04-08T17:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585863022645616640

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 Ignoring analysis of your claims does not make them stronger. Don't just believe on faith. Science applies here

---

2015-04-08T17:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585862608089026561

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 I know there's no good evidence. If you have some, then why hide it?

2015-04-08T17:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585861995259232256

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 You do? Is there evidence of this?

2015-04-08T17:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585861455217463296

One source of nano-aluminum, barium and strontium in the atmosphere.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aluminum-barium-and-strontium-in-fireworks.3905/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFlyGEUEAAqPV8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFlyGEUEAAqPV8.jpg

2015-04-08T17:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585861156020985856

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 Barium and aluminum too. So why do you think they are in trails?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFlmfEVEAIqe5C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFlmfEVEAIqe5C.jpg

2015-04-08T17:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585860608798502912

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 Are they actually shocking? Or did you just not know what to expect? What are normal levels?

2015-04-08T17:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585860148247179264

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 Has anyone tested a trail to see what's in it? (scientists, find ice, soot, and sulfuric acid) http://patarnott.com/pdf/contrailMicrophysics99.pdf

---

2015-04-08T17:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585859496561356801

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 So what's the best evidence you can link to demonstrating strontium and barium in trails?

2015-04-08T17:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585858775006875648

@BallisticMikeCA @OpChemtrails Are we just seeing contrails being draw, because that's what's in the sky? Why would they NOT draw contrails?

2015-04-08T17:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585856540990668800

@MrRhymes @TonyBEng777 One response:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.3514/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFhaNJUgAARtUO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFhaNJUgAARtUO.jpg

2015-04-08T17:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585856184726360064

@SackFrancisco52 @le_humza @trutherbot So you've only seen trails about four times this year then?

2015-04-08T16:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585841569007185920

@OpChemtrails What test do you do for "nano aluminum"

2015-04-08T16:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585841273149399040

@PKont @Slikk82 @eddiebravo The evidence of contrails?
https://news.google.com/newspapers?id=SosSAAAAIBAJ&sjid=pvYDAAAAIBAJ&pg=851,1486793&hl=en http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFThhHUsAAfRl7.jpg

---


In a 20-hour flight at high altitude Fairchild B-36 crews normally see trails some time during the flight.

Con trails seen lately over the Inland Empire have been classed as "moderately persistent." Persistent con trails are those that stay a while. An extremely persistent con trail might stay in the sky all day.

Weather briefings for fliers usually include the altitudes at which the weatherman thinks con trails will occur.

Air force weathermen at Geiger

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CCFThhHUsAAfRl7.jpg

2015-04-08T16:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585839308466028546

@OpChemtrails @Rufus69Firefly Is it the contrail shadow that's supposed to be suspicious here?

2015-04-07T23:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585578626709590016

@OpChemtrails Why are you using this photo of ship trails?

2015-04-06T20:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585183027245879296

This joke video of an aerodynamic contrail behind a KC-10 took on a life of its own as "100% proof of chemtrails!" https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A

2015-04-06T16:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/585109834996953090

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails So all the books and scientific papers are wrong then?

2015-04-05T17:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584768352859500545

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails You think these look nothing like each other?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB2DslwUAAEpWjc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB2DslwUAAEpWjc.jpg

2015-04-05T17:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584767108518522880

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Something that says contrails can't persist?

2015-04-05T17:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584767049123008513

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails But you were going to post some science referenced, books, papers, etc?

2015-04-05T17:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584766938628239362

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails So that first video, just a hoax, mistranslating the German word for "chaff". See: http://contrailscience.com/germans-admit-they-used-duppel/

2015-04-05T16:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584758188311883776

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ship_tracks

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB16dlxUgAAr8UK.jpg

Ship tracks

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Ship tracks are clouds that form around the exhaust released by ships into the still ocean air. Water molecules collect around the tiny particles (aerosols) from exhaust to form a cloud seed. More and more water accumulates on the seed until a visible cloud is formed. In the case of ship tracks, the cloud seeds are stretched over a long narrow path where the wind has blown the ship's exhaust, so the resulting clouds resemble long strings over the ocean.[1]



Ship tracks can be easily seen as lines in these clouds over the Atlantic Ocean on the east coast of the United States. May 11, 2005

Contents [hide]

1 Ship tracks study
2 Findings
3 See also
4 References

Ship tracks study [edit]

In 1965, the first "anomalous cloud lines" were observed in images from the TIROS VII satellite. It was hypothesized that the most likely cause was from the exhaust from ships.[2] Many studies since have confirmed the cause, and they are now referred to as ship tracks. Scientists soon realized that the climatic impacts from aerosols could have a large effect on climate through the indirect effect,[3] and that ship tracks provided an excellent laboratory for their studies.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB16dlxUgAAr8UK.jpg
2015-04-05T16:42:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584757949928611841
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails No, they form in the cloud layer above the ship, from the engine exhaust. It's not the ship wake.

2015-04-05T16:40:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584757618599526402
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails I've posted many books. They all agree. So how is that cherry picking? Post a book that says otherwise.

2015-04-05T16:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584757397345865729
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Is posted sources to all mine. You've not posted a text yet.

2015-04-05T16:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584757294014996483
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails They are in a layer of clouds. They move with that layer. See here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v0v8-M11qxY

2015-04-05T16:38:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584756956033753088
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails John Day was a leading expert on Clouds. This is his site: http://www.cloudman.com/

2015-04-05T16:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584756121660821505

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails So can you post the source of your claim that scientists have recently discovered contrails don't persist?

2015-04-05T16:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584755807201275904

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Ship trails last for many hours, so can drift over land. What image are you referring to?

2015-04-05T16:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584755547271856128

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails It's shown in the video. The Book Of Clouds, John A. Day, 2002

2015-04-05T16:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584755333454626816

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails And you have references to back up those listed claims?

2015-04-05T16:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584755217066921984

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails They are contrails. From a fighter jet exercise.

2015-04-05T16:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584754607038922752

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Check out the first book here. 2002.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB13NC-UMAA59wx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB13NC-UMAA59wx.jpg

2015-04-05T16:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584754139449556992

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails But modern books on clouds all the say the same as the old

books on clouds. Contrails can persist and spread.

2015-04-05T16:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584753710737203200

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails So when was it discovered that contrails don't persist? And why did nobody notice for 70 years?

2015-04-05T16:23:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584753348772925442

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails 1975, The WMO's International Cloud Atlas.

http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_407_en-v1.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB12A42UkAAbo2d.jpg



II.6.3

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB12A42UkAAbo2d.jpg

2015-04-05T16:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584752993041416192

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Here's another. From Clouds and Weather, 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB11vkQUEAA-EIm.jpg



Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB11vkQUEAA-EIm.jpg

2015-04-05T16:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584752712039866368

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails It's from Peterson's Field Guide to the Atmosphere, 1981 https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

2015-04-05T16:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584751237859446784

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Perhaps you could say what references you use? What books, papers?

2015-04-05T16:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584751100617625600

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails The new books say the same thing.

2015-04-05T16:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584750933612957696

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Here's an example of a book on clouds, Says "persist and grow wide" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB1z26_UoAE9DTB.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CB1z26_UoAE9DTB.jpg

2015-04-05T16:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584750335018696705

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails How about older books that discuss contrails?

2015-04-05T16:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584750043288088576

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails And contrails can stay and spread out. Any book on clouds will tell you that.

2015-04-05T16:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584749954020683776

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Those two photos are of contrails. The first photos was of ship trails. Different things.

2015-04-05T14:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584727921643757568

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails You can tell they are not contrails by the size, irregularity, and speed or formations. They are ship trails.

2015-04-05T14:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584727471351705600

@OpChemtrails @AnonWorldView And various related images there: http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Images/related_to.php?id=80203

2015-04-05T14:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584727130547757056

@OpChemtrails @AnonWorldView There are many links. Google "ship trails" or "ship tracks". Example: http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=80203

2015-04-04T21:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584474695195500545

@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails posted this satellite photo of ship trails, and that what I was responding to: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBx4nrtVIAApvE2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBx4nrtVIAApvE2.jpg

2015-04-04T17:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/584406403697094656
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails The video was to show the ship trails, like in the photo.

2015-04-04T17:24:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584406260386136064
@AnonWorldView @OpChemtrails Ship trails are different from contrails. Ship trails affect an existing layer of cloud.

2015-04-04T16:58:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584399670916427778
@OpChemtrails That's a photo of ship trails. You can see them slowly forming thin this one-month long timelapse: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FjHFgRT5gcA

2015-04-04T04:27:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584210695379496960
@johnramos91 @rpt62960 no, that's clouds and a contrail.

2015-04-03T22:24:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584119226358562816
@SweetBastard82 @johnramos91 @An0nKn0wledge It's cloud seeding. Not a secret, and does not leave persistent trails.
http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/04/02/Cloud-seeding-to-continue-Met-Department-clarifies/

2015-04-03T19:06:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/584069556907290625
@OpChemtrails No.

2015-04-02T04:23:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/583484765497466881
@msco14 No, they are from the same height, and of the some thing. Look at the coastline there. Ship trails (aka ship tracks)

2015-04-02T00:52:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/583431806969516032
@msco14 yes, one looks like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBjEIv5UIAAeQ4h.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBjEIv5UIAAeQ4h.jpg

2015-04-02T00:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583431521828151296

@msco14 see:

https://www.google.com/search?q=ship+trails+satellite&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBjD4JmUEAAH6gv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBjD4JmUEAAH6gv.jpg

2015-04-02T00:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583431004682989568

@msco14 But back to your pic, these are SHIP trails, not contrails.

2015-04-02T00:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583430877977255936

@msco14 More than 24 hours? Contrails can last 4 hours or so and still be kinda contrails, but after 24 hours it's just cloud elsewhere

---

2015-04-02T00:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583430585638486016

@msco14 So you don't think the trails come from planes?

2015-04-02T00:25:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583424904894087168

@msco14 Those are ship trails

2015-03-31T23:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/583055633877889024

RT @andyskuce: Conspiracy ideation and climate denial in W Canada hattip @GWFotD http://calgaryherald.com/news/local-news/many-albertans-think-global-warming-is-a-hoax-but-ufos-are-real-poll http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBdmHGKVEAAmH0i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBdmHGKVEAAmH0i.jpg

2015-03-30T21:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582654824920920064

@Takethattkethts Why do you think it is suspicious?

2015-03-30T21:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582654627411156992

@Takethattkethts Well you can't exactly, but the point is there's a dark area exactly where you'd expect the burnt trees to be.

2015-03-30T16:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582573187524775938

@Takethattkethts Perhaps you could indicate WHERE on that image you think there should be burnt trees?

2015-03-30T16:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582573066737225729

@Takethattkethts I'm seeing a large dark area that match the burnt trees, and in the red circles there's unburnt trees.

2015-03-29T20:15:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582274856944730112

@Takethattkethts So why are these trees not dark?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBSn5YwUgAACk4A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBSn5YwUgAACk4A.jpg

2015-03-29T19:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582261752076713985

@Takethattkethts And if it's a "shadow" line, what is casting the shadow? Why are all the trees not in shadow?

2015-03-29T19:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582260947160420352

@Takethattkethts If I can't say what it would look like, then how can you say what it would look like?

2015-03-29T19:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582260816474320896

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails I very much doubt that, but I'd be willing to look at your evidence.

2015-03-29T15:26:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582202298492829696

@Takethattkethts Here it is showing what it would look like with no burnt trees.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRI5OQUwAEA3dh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRl5OQUwAEA3dh.jpg

2015-03-29T15:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582200764413562881

@Takethattkethts Here it wi with contrast enhanced to help you make it out. Again look where the road goes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRkeukUMAAtFPR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRkeukUMAAtFPR.jpg

2015-03-29T15:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582200577657995264

@Takethattkethts You can very clearly see the area of burnt trees going behind the road.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRkJ4nUgAABDcG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRkJ4nUgAABDcG.jpg

2015-03-29T15:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582198869066326016

@Takethattkethts The Tom Ridge photo? Which one were you using?

2015-03-29T15:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582197250727682048

@Takethattkethts I notice the burt area in the trees, viewed from a shallower angle. Notice where the road is. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRhSwfU8AANBu5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBRhSwfU8AANBu5.jpg

2015-03-29T14:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582193239882526721

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails It's always -40 somewhere between 30,000 and 45,000 feet. Higher than the top of Mount Everest.

2015-03-29T14:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582192762709143552

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails Contrails are made of ice. This has been known for nearly 100 years.

2015-03-29T07:22:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582080300043259904

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails look up cirrus clouds. That's what they are made of. Ice

---

2015-03-29T05:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582051255079428096

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails it looks like ice. A powder of tiny ice crystals. Cirrus clouds are made of ice. It's -40 degrees.

2015-03-29T05:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582047619377016832

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails which photo?

2015-03-29T05:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582047345979703297

@ReddingRosamond @Kal1_Furies have you not investigated?

2015-03-29T05:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582046986171383808

@doubledeckerpot the towers collapsed by column losing lateral support. Relatively little energy needed.

2015-03-29T05:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582046489595092992

@doubledeckerpot I'm not sure what the problem is here.

2015-03-29T05:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/582045854594256896

@ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails yes I've seen it. Do you understand what exactly was said?

2015-03-29T01:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581993506836615168

@doubledeckerpot They do take in over $500,000 per year. But don't fund any research. https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/2011-261532493-08da7dec-9-1-pdf.5175/

2015-03-28T23:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581965779869958145

@doubledeckerpot Unfortunately I don't really think AE911 are that legitimate either. I mean look at their leader. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hFVi4qbN2jM

2015-03-28T23:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581965404853043200

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns How can you tell?

2015-03-28T23:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581965329141616640

@Takethattketht s One thing at a time. So you'll admit there's not evidence of unburnt trees in the video?

2015-03-28T23:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581965130214174720

@Takethattketht s It's the power pole, and the end of the grass/brush, so you can see the camera angle.

2015-03-28T15:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581844620734263296

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns Same reason mountains can have snow on their tops. Cold at altitude.

2015-03-28T15:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581842375409971200

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns Contrails spread when the air is ice supersaturated. Like cirrus.

2015-03-28T15:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581842237220200448

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns The exhaust ends up cooler, yes. So more likely to make contrails.

2015-03-28T14:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581832147767443456

@Takethattketht s Perhaps you misinterpret the angle of the helicopter footage? Look at the power pole and grass area http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBMVEylVEAEZ-Hj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBMVEylVEAEZ-Hj.jpg

2015-03-28T14:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581829108088184832

@Takethattketht s Okay, I've watched the helicopter footage. None of it shows unburnt trees in an area that was later burnt.

2015-03-28T14:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581820575061909504

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns See: http://books.google.com/books?id=58v1fg4xeo8C&lpg=PA233&ots=_-uNkL6yUM&dq=contrail%20factor%20high%20bypass&pg=PA233#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBMKtbsUcAAt2tJ.jpg

Aircraft exhaust may lead to cirrus clouds where no clouds would have formed otherwise. Ice-supersaturated air is often free of visible clouds because the supersaturation is too small for ice particle nucleation to occur (Heymsfield et al. 1998b; Gierens et al. 1999b). Persistent contrails may last for hours and grow into a spreading cirrus cloud. Although aircraft flying through ice-supersaturated air masses trigger contrail formation by the increase of humidity within their exhaust trails, the ice formed in long-lasting contrails originates almost completely from ambient water vapor (Knollenberg 1972).

The threshold temperature for contrail formation in the Schmidt-Appleman criterion depends on ambient pressure, p, and ambient RH and on the parameters that determine the steepness, $de/dT = \mathrm{EI}_{\mathrm{H_2O}}\, c_p\, p/[0.622\, Q_{\mathrm{eff}}]$, of the mixing line (fig. 11.1) of excess partial water pressure, e, versus excess temperature, T, in the plume (Schumann 1996a). The parameters include the emission index (EI) of water mass per burnt fuel mass ($\mathrm{EI}_{\mathrm{H_2O}} \equiv 1.25$ for typical jet fuels with 13.8% hydrogen mass fraction), the specific heat capacity of air, $c_p \equiv 1004\,\mathrm{J/kg\,K^{-1}}$, and the effective amount of heat, Q_{eff}, released into the exhaust per unit fuel mass, where $Q_{\mathrm{eff}} = (1 - \eta)\, Q$ depends on the specific heat of combustion of the fuel in the engine ($Q \equiv 43\,\mathrm{MJ/kg}$) and the overall efficiency, η, of the aircraft propulsion system. The dependence on engine efficiency was noted by Schmidt (1941). The efficiency can be computed from $\eta = (F\,V)/(m_F\,Q)$ for given engine thrust, F, aircraft speed, V, engine fuel consumption rate, m_F, and Q (Schumann 1996a). Propulsion engineers call m_F/F the specific fuel consumption. Typical values of η are in the range 0.2–0.4, its value grew from older low-bypass to modern high-bypass engines. Some authors use "contrail factors" $\mathrm{EI}_{\mathrm{H_2O}}/Q_{\mathrm{eff}}$ explicitly depending on the by-pass ratio of engines (Coleman 1996; Ferris 1996; Mazin 1996; Schrader 1997).

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBMKtbsUcAAt2tJ.jpg

2015-03-28T14:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581820295423483904

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels @bunnykinns High bypass engines more efficient so less energy wasted as heat

---

2015-03-28T13:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581817661052485632

@doubledeckerpot Interesting, thanks. But do you think he understands the science of building collapses?

2015-03-28T02:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581649274489843712

@Takethattkethts link?

2015-03-28T01:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581627481590878208

@Takethattkethts Can you show a frame of this video that should show something that does

not (and please check it first).

2015-03-28T01:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581627306138972160

@Takethattketehts So you'd admit that image would not show burnt trees then?

2015-03-28T01:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581623733254668288

@Takethattketehts You need to investigate fully, not just until you think you've confirmed what you expect.

2015-03-28T01:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581623583937404928

@Takethattketehts And here's another angle on that. So why would it show the burnt trees?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJXgkIUkAABDvi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJXgkIUkAABDvi.jpg

2015-03-28T00:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581619743490101248

@Takethattketehts Even though it does not actually include the burn area?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJUExLUIAAY-oy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJUExLUIAAY-oy.jpg

2015-03-28T00:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581619240316248064

@Takethattkethts So you are 100% this photo should show burnt trees?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJTlv6UsAASkwy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBJTlv6UsAASkwy.jpg

2015-03-28T00:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581615839079616512

@Takethattkethts You just can't make out the burnt trees in the low resolution, poor angle,

badly lit video stills.

2015-03-27T22:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581585634558521344

@clearlyess @Summer_Ash But also consistent with expected environmental amounts of those metals, which are found in dirt naturally.

2015-03-27T22:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581585218089263104

@doubledeckerpot Why do you believe it?

2015-03-27T22:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581585084001570816

@ReddingRosamond @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels It's in reference to @bunnykinns post. Cooler exhaust makes more contrails.

2015-03-27T22:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581584872902262785

@Takethattkethts Whats all that dark smoke then?

2015-03-27T19:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581534544026734592

@ReddingRosamond @bunnykinns @marqgrossman @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels The effect of exhaust temperature on contrail formation.

2015-03-27T18:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581530716988522496

@bunnykinns @marqgrossman @ReddingRosamond @OpChemtrails @selinasorrels Unfortunate I think you just misunderstand.

2015-03-27T16:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581495673381527552

@doubledeckerpot I don't. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2015-03-27T16:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581495344346722306

@Takethattkethts Or did they move the scar the next day too?

2015-03-27T16:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581495242538397696

@Takethattkethts One thing at a time. Would you agree that the scar in the old photos is in a different place to the 9/11 scar?

2015-03-27T16:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581490739273543681

@Takethattkethts The trees are burnt in the videos. It's just low resolution, and poor angles.

2015-03-27T16:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581490602669264897

@Takethattkethts So you think they carefully burnt down a bunch of trees the next day and NOBODY NOTICED?

2015-03-27T15:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581479568071999488

@Takethattkethts Trees were burned. Why are you picking the worst possible photos? There's plenty more. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBHUibiUkAA8MbM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBHUibiUkAA8MbM.jpg

2015-03-26T21:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581205799285862400

@Takethattkethts http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBDblIAUwAAN73E.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBDblIAUwAAN73E.png

2015-03-26T19:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581180914677342208

@Takethattkethts So if you've investigated it, then surely you've debunked it? Exactly what investigation did you do?

2015-03-26T16:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581130671080407041

@Takethattkethts Have you investigated this claim?

2015-03-26T16:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581130424543379456

@Takethattkethts So what's the closest thing that would be buried? Where's the line? How about a beer keg at 500mph? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBCXBaEU0AAz09F.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CBCXBaEU0AAz09F.jpg

2015-03-26T15:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581119911381975040

@Takethattkethts A cannonball hitting loose ground at 500mph would end up far underground, no? So why not a plane? Do the math.

2015-03-26T14:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/581107999109877760

@Takethattkethts But surely there are some numbers, like the speed, volume and mass, and soil density, that are relevant?

2015-03-26T02:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580920758106374144

@Takethattkethts go on then, what are the numbers? What laws of physics? Do the math.

2015-03-26T00:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580889228206153729

@Takethattkethts Well really you are applying rhetoric. You are arguing using fallacies - like if something is new, then it's not real.

2015-03-25T21:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580849940231917568

@Takethattkethts Nothing broke the laws of physics. It's all got reasonable explanations, you simple reject them for some reason.

2015-03-25T18:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580802670568476672

@Takethattkethts Isn't it worth a bit of effort to find out if you might be wrong? Shouldn't you check what you read on the internet?

2015-03-25T18:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580802513395277824

@Takethattkethts Matt. I encourage you to look at both sides here. Consider the "debunkers" position. All this has been addressed.

2015-03-25T18:38:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580800819542421504

@JoyMarieC Rather misleading headline, don't you think?

2015-03-25T18:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580800321418481664

@Takethattkethts as per: http://www.theage.com.au/articles/2002/09/09/1031115990570.html

---

2015-03-25T18:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580800245262503936

@Takethattkethts Eventually these pieces and its human cargo ... came to rest against solid rock, 23 metres below the surface."

2015-03-25T18:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580800030828675074

@Takethattkethts "The rest of the 757 continued its downward passage, the sandy loam closing behind it like the door of a tomb."

2015-03-25T18:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580799945613008897

@Takethattkethts To the casual eye, it looked like solid, consolidated ground but in reality the reclaimed expanse was loose and uncompacted

---

2015-03-25T18:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580799635557498881

@Takethattkethts It's discussed in the article I suggested you read.

2015-03-25T18:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580794054209765376

@Takethattkethts You are letting your personal incredulity get in the way of physics. How dense was the ground at Shanksville?

2015-03-25T16:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580762014265401344

@Takethattkethts Read this account, then tell me what's wrong with it. http://www.theage.com.au/articles/2002/09/09/1031115990570.html

---

2015-03-25T16:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580761544813735936

@Takethattkethts Because the pieces were very small and/or badly burnt. Only 8% was recovered. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_Airlines_Flight_93#Aftermath

---

2015-03-25T15:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580759968900476928

@Takethattkethts How about this bomb, tail found 20 feet underground, the body 180 feet underground? http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1961_Goldsboro_B-52_crash#Bomb_recovery

---

2015-03-25T15:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580759209731432448

@Takethattkethts And with the WWII planes, how do they get 13 feet underground?

2015-03-25T15:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580758648223191040

@Takethattkethts Consider, if you shoot the ground with a gun, the bullet will end up several feet underground? No?

2015-03-25T15:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580758412440436737

@Takethattkethts Surely there are a number of variables involved, like the speed, mass, and strength of the projectile, density of ground?

2015-03-25T14:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580735440623271937

@Takethattkethts What exactly would you expect to happen when a plane crashes like it did at Shanksville? Why would it NOT be buried?

2015-03-25T12:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580715128946958336

@Takethattkethts And here's a bigger plane. How did it get 20 feed underground? http://www.telegraph.co.uk/history/britain-at-war/10470519/Wreck-of-missing-Lancaster-bomber-found.html

---

2015-03-25T12:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580714653069635586

@Takethattketht Find me an example of a modern jet that crashed at 500mph at a steep angle into a field. Also, think about the physics.

2015-03-25T04:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580588558064467969

@Takethattketht

http://www.foxnews.com/science/2014/10/28/wwii-pilot-found-buried-in-italian-corn-field/

2015-03-24T23:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580510876437975041

@Takethattketht So what was all the wreckage they dug out then?

2015-03-24T17:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580427913604005889

@Takethattketht Flight 93 left wreckage, it just hit relatively soft ground, so was buried.

2015-03-24T17:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/580423084878012417

#germanwings crash location. 44Â°16'49.04"N, 6Â°26'19.11"E, elevation 5200ft (1584m)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CA4TUS5VIAAnnH-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CA4TUS5VIAAnnH-.jpg

2015-03-23T00:10:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/579797308549648384

@johnramos91 Any reasonable person would ask the same question. Don't you want to convince reasonable people?

2015-03-22T22:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/579778397007581184

@johnramos91 Didn't it also do the exact same ting before contrails? Far worse in fact? http://www.mercurynews.com/science/ci_24993601/california-drought-past-dry-periods-have-lasted-more

---

2015-03-21T15:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/579299478680657920

@jengebbie Have you looked up contrails? They do the same thing. So why not contrails?

2015-03-20T00:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/578720809675452416

@clearlyess Who said sun dogs were "incredibly rare"? I does vary by location though, more in the north.

2015-03-18T17:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/578240099130830848

@RealAlexJones Mr Binney, what do you think of the NIST FAQ on WTC7, which addresses the AE911 questions? http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

---

2015-03-18T16:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/578234557360570368

@khantrayles @tonsmorecowbell I think some people seriously misunderstand the number of people in the world.

2015-03-17T20:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577935547357769729

@rezn8d @TerminatorBarbi

" natural weathering

processes far exceed [anthropogenic sources] "... "about 13%"

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22-c6.pdf

2015-03-17T18:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577900129069314048

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d Where have I lied Kim? I disagree with Jim, but is that the same as lying? He disagrees with you.

2015-03-17T18:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577896517811367936

@rezn8d @TerminatorBarbi Which don't come from planes, and from rockets are irrelevantly small.

2015-03-17T17:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577891132576636928

@rezn8d @TerminatorBarbi But not planes.

2015-03-17T17:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577887214224314368

@rezn8d @TerminatorBarbi Mineral dust deposits 50 million tons of aluminum per year.

10,000x that from rockets. Rockets are irrelevant.

2015-03-17T15:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577859981321453568

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d Ask Jim then.

2015-03-17T15:36:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577856149199814656

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d Ask him. He thinks atmospheric aluminum comes from rockets, not planes.

2015-03-17T15:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577854715817390080

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d Well I encourage you to listen to Jim. I disagree with him, but he does at least debunk aluminum in "chemtrails"

2015-03-17T15:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577853994015465474

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d And I take it you agree with him that aluminum in the atmosphere is not coming from plane trails?

2015-03-17T15:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577853807553449985

@TerminatorBarbi @rezn8d Could you give an example?

2015-03-17T15:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577852219208249344

@TerminatorBarbi Notice Jim points out these are just expected trace amounts. Not large amounts of aluminum. @rezn8d

---

2015-03-17T04:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577688778040475648

RT @flightradar24: Probably aurora borealis flight. A lot of flights will fly here on the 20th of March during the total solar eclipse. httâ□¦

2015-03-17T04:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577688321972830209

@junksciencemyle There are thousands of claims. I could go through them all. But why don't you try to debunk your best claim?

2015-03-17T04:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577688062282502144

@junksciencemyle By not caring if they damage the plane.

2015-03-17T01:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577640299943481345

@junksciencemyle Is it impossible that's the engine? If so, why?

2015-03-17T01:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577636185968754689

@junksciencemyle I was out buying some lumber :) Looks like this is addressed here:

http://www.internationalskeptics.com/forums/showthread.php?t=208381

2015-03-16T22:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577591041114525696

@junksciencemyle Who confirmed it, and how did they confirm it?

2015-03-16T21:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577586180801232896

@junksciencemyle So, you think this is what? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAP_kPXVIAAvlLP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAP_kPXVIAAvlLP.jpg

2015-03-16T21:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577584646440914944

@junksciencemyle So you think you were wrong then, about the deceleration? You agree it's like the F4, would not be noticeable?

2015-03-16T21:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577581874798669824

@junksciencemyle Let's resolve the acceleration issue first.

2015-03-16T20:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577574490114113537

@junksciencemyle Here's a PSD of the animation, with guides.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Evan_Fairbanks-stab.psd

2015-03-16T20:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577573972998430720

@junksciencemyle I am thinking. However I think you are wrong. I've gone into great detail here.

2015-03-16T20:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577572873230946304

@junksciencemyle I stabilized the FOIA version of Fairbanks, and it actually does seem to show deceleration. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPzdKGUMAE3wI2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPzdKGUMAE3wI2.jpg

2015-03-16T20:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577561596924338176

@junksciencemyle On which shot?

2015-03-16T20:06:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577561533560950784

@junksciencemyle Which did you use? I'm starting to think you did not use any. Which one was it?

2015-03-16T20:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577561324697202689

@junksciencemyle and the 2.5% slowdown calculation was only for a rigid object. As the F4 vid demonstrates, it does not apply here.

2015-03-16T20:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577560699368427521

@junksciencemyle I've answered that already. Now you tell me which live show you used.

2015-03-16T20:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577560588873637888

@junksciencemyle Which live shot did you use for your analysis?

2015-03-16T20:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577560174572937216

@junksciencemyle It has everything to do with it, as it is the answer to your question. The F4

does not slow down. So why would the 767?

2015-03-16T20:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577559964073402368

@junksciencemyle Which one did you use?

2015-03-16T19:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577559455195230209

@junksciencemyle Which is the very best 9/11 shot of the WTC2 impact that shows this supposed lack of slowdown?

2015-03-16T19:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577559285166534656

@junksciencemyle A) it does not apply to a deformable object. B) It's not big enough. C) the F4 video shows no slowdown

---

2015-03-16T19:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577559022171131904

@junksciencemyle So clearly you must think the F4 video is a super high quality fake?

2015-03-16T19:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577558910107697152

@junksciencemyle Exactly like every other video in the world of planes flying into objects.

2015-03-16T19:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577558239040004097

@junksciencemyle Just like the F4, yes, so this is just what you would expect.

2015-03-16T19:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577558113361924097

@junksciencemyle So why is there no slowdown with the F4? Seems silly to expect one with the 767, but not the F4.

2015-03-16T19:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577557846646091776

@junksciencemyle Which is the best live shot you analyzed? This one?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S44KAxoGUCA

2015-03-16T19:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577557729406898176

@junksciencemyle For the same reason it's not there in the F4 videos. Do you know why it's not there in the F4 videos?

2015-03-16T19:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577556051806978048

@junksciencemyle Where is your analysis?

2015-03-16T19:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577555509542162432

@junksciencemyle So why is it not at 1000fps and 100% energy loss?

2015-03-16T19:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577554875380191232

@junksciencemyle No, the F4 footage is much higher than 60 FPS, it's more like 1000 fps.

2015-03-16T19:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577552759508996096

@junksciencemyle Why, if it's not visible in the F4 video?

2015-03-16T19:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577552238651969537

@junksciencemyle But no loss show up in the video. And the video is better than the 9/11 videos. And the energy loss is much higher.

2015-03-16T19:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577552055952240640

@junksciencemyle Do you agree the F4 video is at a much higher frame rate than the 911 videos?

2015-03-16T19:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577551828570640384

@junksciencemyle Do you think the F4 crash was fake then?

2015-03-16T19:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577551775470780416

@junksciencemyle But you have a video of the 767. It's the same thing.

2015-03-16T19:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577551244920651776

@junksciencemyle I showed you a video. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CZ8uvQk1H9I

2015-03-16T19:23:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577550877373775873

@junksciencemyle So if a 100% loss leads to no slowdown, why would a 5% loss lead to any?

2015-03-16T19:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577550750340943872

@junksciencemyle Indeed, enough to take 5% of the KE of the plane. But then the F4 lost 100% of its energy, but did not slow down at all?

2015-03-16T19:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577549888629530624

@junksciencemyle Why would the 767 slow down if the F4 did not? The F4 video is much higher frame rate.

2015-03-16T19:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577549461527785472

@junksciencemyle And what FPS do you think this F4 video is at?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CZ8uvQk1H9I

2015-03-16T19:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577548738245234689

@junksciencemyle What are the frame rates you are talking about?

2015-03-16T19:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577548250397351936

@junksciencemyle What FPS do you think live TV is? What FPS do you think the F4 video is?

2015-03-16T19:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577548141496406016

@junksciencemyle You think the F4 video was not at a high enough FPS to detect the slowdown?

2015-03-16T19:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577547831633833984

@junksciencemyle And didn't you just say the WTC footage was too low an FPS to detect any change.

2015-03-16T19:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577547652050501632

@junksciencemyle Same as the F4

2015-03-16T19:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577547292837703680

@junksciencemyle Just like the F4.

2015-03-16T19:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577547028126826496

@junksciencemyle So the WTC footage is not at a high enough frame rate?

2015-03-16T19:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577546906345209856

@junksciencemyle If you think the 767 should have slowed down, then you need to explain why the F4 did not, when it lost 20X as much energy

---

2015-03-16T19:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577546692934799360

@junksciencemyle It's an accurate illustration of what happens. And what happens is the back of the plane keeps going at the same speed.

2015-03-16T19:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577546421567512576

@junksciencemyle It is directly related. It's a plane crashing into a wall and not seeming to slow down. How is that unrelated?

2015-03-16T19:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577545486376136704

@junksciencemyle How much of a change? What would that change look like exactly? 5% of the F4 change?

2015-03-16T19:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577545191055196161

@junksciencemyle "scaled"? What?

2015-03-16T19:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577545112164511744

@junksciencemyle No they don't There only "needs" to be a change in a perfectly rigid collision.

2015-03-16T19:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577544883528843266

@junksciencemyle Show me a 2.5% slowdown of the 767 plane. How many pixels is it?

2015-03-16T18:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577544803254063104

@junksciencemyle But the F4 loses 100% of its energy, with no visible slowdown.

2015-03-16T18:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577543879840305152

@junksciencemyle It would? Show me a video still of the impact that illustrates a 2.5% speed decrease

---

2015-03-16T18:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577543497902743552

@junksciencemyle Why are you ignoring the explanation? The 767 did not slow down for the same reasons the F4 did not slow down.

2015-03-16T18:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577543324631896064

@junksciencemyle The F4 video IS the explanation.

2015-03-16T18:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577543008217780224

@junksciencemyle So if the F4 broke through the concrete block in the first 10% of the impact, would there be any visible slowdown?

2015-03-16T18:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577542246595067905

@junksciencemyle Do you understand how this can happen without slowing down?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPXm88UIAEUGyE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPXm88UIAEUGyE.jpg

2015-03-16T18:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577541868986077184

@junksciencemyle Exactly like with the F4, which lost 100% of its energy, but still does not slow down. Riddle me that.

2015-03-16T18:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577539781606842368

@junksciencemyle But it did not slow down. So what makes the WTC 767 slow down. What is different to the F4 situation?

2015-03-16T18:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577539206412574720

@junksciencemyle So you think it should slow down about 2% as much as the F4 did?

2015-03-16T18:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577539064120750080

@junksciencemyle So you don't think there's a "lack of physics" in the F4 video?

2015-03-16T18:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577538826630885376

@junksciencemyle Why would the F4 not slow down? Is it breaking the laws of physics?

2015-03-16T18:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577538589497511936

@junksciencemyle Do you think the F4 crash was real?

2015-03-16T18:34:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577538492785278976

@junksciencemyle Because physics. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPUMWWUsAACwvA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPUMWWUsAACwvA.jpg

2015-03-16T18:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577538101293150209

@junksciencemyle We are discussing physics. Plane makes hole, and goes though hole.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPT162UsAAGA1B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPT162UsAAGA1B.jpg

2015-03-16T18:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577537384041975808

@junksciencemyle Did you see the F4 footage? That's how. 5% into that impact, the beams are broken, then it flies in the hole.

2015-03-16T18:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577537121398845440

@junksciencemyle Does the F4 slow down?

2015-03-16T18:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577536902305214464

@junksciencemyle Why wold you expect any when the F4 also shows no slowdown?

2015-03-16T18:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577536735015383040

@junksciencemyle No, it does not. Look at this. There is no visible slowdown. And this is super high speed footage. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPSfjEVAAAsnCr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPSfjEVAAAsnCr.jpg

2015-03-16T18:25:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577536161012297728

@junksciencemyle Why does the F4 not slow down?

2015-03-16T18:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577535946293297152

@junksciencemyle Where is the slowdown? Is this breaking the laws of physics?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPRzTaUkAA8JEO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPRzTaUkAA8JEO.jpg

2015-03-16T18:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577535647109357568

@junksciencemyle Which should slow more, a jet hitting some beams, or a jet hitting solid concrete?

2015-03-16T18:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577535500086484992

@junksciencemyle It shows that you would not see any decrease. Why would you, if there's none visible in the F4 video?

2015-03-16T18:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577534158789951488

@junksciencemyle I'm sorry, but this clip demonstrates that zero (visible) speed is lost during the first 5% of the impact. Agreed?

2015-03-16T18:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577533864647639040

@junksciencemyle No, it's a BETTER analogy for your "it' should have slowed down" argument. Since it didn't during the first 5%

2015-03-16T18:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577533688298127360

@junksciencemyle Here's a higher quality video of the F4.

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/F-4_Phantom_Jet_Aircraft_Crash_Test_HD.mp4

2015-03-16T18:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577533449738715136

@junksciencemyle What about during the first 5% of the impact with the F4? Any slowdown there?

2015-03-16T18:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577532544523968512

@junksciencemyle Same as with the F4. Is that impossible?

2015-03-16T18:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577532325283540992

@junksciencemyle Here's a better video. Do the analysis on the first 5%, and get back to me.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CZ8uvQk1H9I

2015-03-16T18:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577532218303631360

@junksciencemyle How much does the F4 slow down during the first 5% of the impact?

2015-03-16T18:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577531534791430144

@junksciencemyle Didn't you see the F4 crash test? No deformation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPN3tTUIAAhS7V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPN3tTUIAAhS7V.jpg

2015-03-16T18:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577530818580500480

@junksciencemyle Explain why you could tell with 2% when you can barely tell with 100%.

2015-03-16T18:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577530546810531840

@junksciencemyle If the F4 uses 100% of its velocity, and you can barely tell, then why would you be able to tell with about 2%?

2015-03-16T18:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577530306300751874

@junksciencemyle And yet neither does the F4, which loses 100% of it's energy.

2015-03-16T18:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577529852414144512

@junksciencemyle I can see you are perplexed. Would you like to understand this? Or are you convinced it's impossible?

2015-03-16T17:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577529404269539328

@junksciencemyle What about when it's only 5% crushed? How much has the end slowed then?

2015-03-16T17:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577529225789329408

@junksciencemyle Pretty much like this then?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk

2015-03-16T17:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577528754269913088

@junksciencemyle How noticeable is it here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk ?

2015-03-16T17:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577527832504463360

@junksciencemyle Where is your video analysis?

2015-03-16T17:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577527605399703552

@junksciencemyle No, why would it? 5% KE reduction is only a 2.5% speed reduction, and then only for a rigid body.

2015-03-16T17:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577526454700785664

@junksciencemyle No, they show exactly what you would expect, the plane breaks the walls with 5% loss in energy.

2015-03-16T17:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577526271606857728

@junksciencemyle Just think planes bounce off the ground when they hit? See 9:40 here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YQa4PpIkOZU

2015-03-16T17:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577526182444294144

@junksciencemyle Just like the jet plane. So it's exactly what you'd expect.

2015-03-16T17:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577525747591462912

@junksciencemyle yes, about 5% of the energy was lost. But compare to a 100% loss. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk

---

2015-03-16T17:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577525282321510400

@junksciencemyle Once the wall is broken, it offers no resistance. Before that point it's crushing the front of the plane.

2015-03-16T17:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577524880800768000

@junksciencemyle It deformed as this plane did, just less, as the walls were weaker. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk

---

2015-03-16T17:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577524215328321536

@junksciencemyle Not zero, it's just 5% of the KE of the plane, and the plane is highly deformable, not rigid. e.g. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk

---

2015-03-16T17:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577523935220137984

@junksciencemyle Why? A 100% drop in KE is barely noticeable as a deceleration here. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZjhxuhTmGk

---

2015-03-16T17:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577523235664736256

@junksciencemyle Of course there was resistance, it used up 5% of the kinetic energy of the plane. See 5.1 here: http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

---

2015-03-16T17:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577522656376803328

@junksciencemyle Why would there be, if it only lost 5% of it's kinetic energy?

2015-03-16T17:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577522527897063425

@junksciencemyle If it only lost 5% of its kinetic energy, why would it look like it was slowing down? Do the math.

2015-03-16T17:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577522141651820544

@junksciencemyle See now you are ignoring the explanation of the plane not slowing down by changing the subject.

2015-03-16T17:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577521972814299136

@junksciencemyle Nothing is hidden in this paper.

http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf It explains why the plane did not seem to slow down.

2015-03-16T17:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577521177419071488

@junksciencemyle Their assumptions are all right there in the paper.

http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

---

2015-03-16T17:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577520980471345152

@junksciencemyle If you ignore them, how do you know they are wrong. Why are you ignoring this paper? http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

---

2015-03-16T17:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577520436730171392

@junksciencemyle You are not perplexed. You are ignoring the explanations.

2015-03-16T17:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577519992373010432

@junksciencemyle

http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPDXufUcAAywQG.jpg

$$E_{external\_column} = \left(\frac{2\sigma_{ext}}{\sqrt{3}} b^2 t_{ext}\right) 2 \cdot 23 = 20\text{MJ} \qquad (10)$$

This is only a small fraction of the available kinetic energy of the aircraft.

It is recognized that there is a momentum transfer during the cutting process and additional energy is lost during that process. Teng and Wierzbicki [2] estimated that this additional energy loss is approximately $\Delta E = E_{kinetic,wing} \frac{M_{column}}{M_{coumn} + M_{wing}}$ where M_{column} and M_{wing} denote the respective masses of the columns and the wings that are in contact. According to the calculation performed by Teng and Wierzbicki [2] the mass ratio is 0.0783, which means 7.83% of the initial kinetic energy of the wings (96MJ or 2.6% of the total initial kinetic energy) is lost in cutting the exterior columns. What can be concluded with full confidence is that the plastic work used for fracturing the top and bottom of flanges as well as two webs is significantly smaller than the kinetic energy lost during the process of momentum transfer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/CAPDXufUcAAywQG.jpg

2015-03-16T17:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577519587626881024

@junksciencemyle No, they were adequate for carrying static load and wind. Just the plane had a lot of energy. You read the paper, right?

2015-03-16T17:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577517724785463296

@HauntedLib @ihuntghostscom people "on a mission to prove" something might tend to be a little selective with their evidence.

2015-03-16T17:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577517092888399873

@junksciencemyle No, it only needed about 5% of the KE of the plane to break the beams at the splaces, any deceleration would be negligable

---

2015-03-16T14:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577470958463676416

@fabiolicari No they didn't. See here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-millions-spent-to-confuse-public-about-geoengineering.164/

2015-03-16T13:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577464323645173760

@junksciencemyle Why don't you do the math, and explain how much the tail should have slowed.

2015-03-16T13:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577464119659352064

@junksciencemyle The problem is you have nothing to compare it against, it was very unusual. So it looks odd to you. Big and fast.

2015-03-16T13:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577463958019391488

@junksciencemyle I understand perfectly. You are oversimplifying things. The collision look physically accurate for that scale.

2015-03-16T04:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577320082096832512

@junksciencemyle Did you know that Newtons laws of motion only really apply to point masses?

2015-03-16T04:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577319880908636161

@junksciencemyle Bullet vs. body is a closer analogy than two bowling balls.

2015-03-16T04:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577319726247907328

@junksciencemyle because it's not simple. If you get shot in the hand, does your body move backwards as one object? Does the bullet bounce?

2015-03-16T04:04:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577319420940316672

You'd at least need to step up to Euler's laws. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euler%27s_laws_of_motion

---

2015-03-16T04:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577319090127126528

@junksciencemyle Yeah, but physics is actually quite complicated. There's the problem.

2015-03-16T04:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577318931179773953

@junksciencemyle But I'm not going to run though the list on twitter. You can look them up, or discuss with focus and depth on MB. gnight

---

2015-03-16T04:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577318712690151424

@junksciencemyle Take it one thing at a time. Look it up. Consider that your evidence might be wrong.

2015-03-16T04:00:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577318496083701761

@junksciencemyle Simply newtonian physics does not apply to complex deformable systems. The physics all works out, it's just not obvioius.

2015-03-16T03:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577316168752467968

@junksciencemyle exactly as was shown in the video. What bit of the video do you think is wrong?

2015-03-16T03:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577315862610190338

@junksciencemyle The paper you read explains how much energy was used up. What would that look like as a deceleration of the tail, and why?

2015-03-16T03:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577314840714170369

@junksciencemyle like this: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cddIgb1nGJ8

2015-03-16T03:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577314670291210240

@junksciencemyle And a practical demonstration of the principles here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYNCGZCul1Q and https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bWSch1WYDag

---

2015-03-16T03:42:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577314055490179073

@junksciencemyle There's a detailed analysis of the energy transfers here: http://web.mit.edu/civenv/wtc/PDFfiles/Chapter%20IV%20Aircraft%20Impact.pdf

---

2015-03-16T03:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577313583794552832

@junksciencemyle What does that have to do with efficiency? It only needed about 5% of its kinetic energy to do that.

2015-03-16T03:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577308629155516416

@junksciencemyle And there's quite a few different types of efficiency (not to mention "systems"). http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Efficiency#In_physics

---

2015-03-16T03:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577307448320487424

@junksciencemyle I'm really not sure what you are referring to. Can you give an example?

2015-03-15T23:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577248004651905024

@junksciencemyle So ask it.

2015-03-15T23:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/577247616494252032

@bunnykinns I've clarified the "more water" discussion - it's really mostly exhaust gas temp http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2015-03-14T21:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/576860597133824001

@MockWest_ @OxonChemtrails not visible though. Where does smoke go?

2015-03-13T05:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/576255646112227328

@MockWest_ @MickWest @OxonChemtrails Yes. Like skywriting.

2015-03-13T00:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/576174941306892288

@MockWest_ @MickWest @OxonChemtrails like skywriting? Sure, but it would dissipate. Contrails can persist and spread.

2015-03-12T15:19:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/576039898169274369

@MockWest_ @OxonChemtrails it's just like cirrus clouds. Look it up.

2015-03-12T15:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/576039613308870656

@RTWatch_CUJ @AbbyMartin That suggests truth is entirely subjective. Why not find the actual truth rather than your own?

2015-03-11T15:06:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575674182815457280

@OxonChemtrails Cirrus clouds are made from ice. It's the same type of thing. The ice crystals are a very fine dust, so they fall very slow.

2015-03-10T18:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575369846168268800

@cfeldman1 @KNX1070 @CBSLA HAL47, what's the other one? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B_wfw3gU0AA_wsC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B_wfw3gU0AA_wsC.jpg

2015-03-10T18:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575364414997577728

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd What does that have to do with the raw temp record in the Everglades?

2015-03-10T18:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575360209536741376

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd Everglades temps alone are not what is going to raise sea levels there. But it's still getting hotter.

2015-03-10T18:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575358919679213569

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd You, on the other hand, focus on just a tiny speck of the map.

2015-03-10T18:09:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575357790538964992

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd Is the Everglades raw data a perfect reflection of world temp? Is there evidence that raw data is most accurate?

2015-03-10T17:37:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575349763454828544

@SteveSGoddard @ImaBannedd Random cherrypicking of raw data?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B_wNHWuVAAA6re8.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B_wNHWuVAAA6re8.png

2015-03-10T05:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/575163801227546626

@IDoubtIt It's a show. Looks interesting.

http://channel.nationalgeographic.com/channel/you-cant-lick-your-elbow/

2015-03-06T17:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573895057289256961

.@TheSunNewspaper I don't think "It's a ghost" is really explaining the mystery :) Real explanation here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/

2015-03-06T17:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573894739428147200

@4thAnon I know what I am. I wrote down my history of Debunking.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/ So I'm asking if you think this is just a cover.

2015-03-06T17:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573894421378244609

@4thAnon I'm asking what you think.

2015-03-06T16:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573880337077420032

@4thAnon I mean - do you think I'm paid to deliberately debunk alt media, or am I just a useful idiot debunking randomly?

2015-03-06T16:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573877190544117760

@4thAnon You think I'd update MB more often then :) What have I been doing? Why am I debunking ghost photos? Is it a cover?

2015-03-06T15:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573864067145728000

@4thAnon It's not really work though. It's more a hobby. People spend lots of time on their hobbies.

2015-03-06T15:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573863249734561792

@4thAnon I'm retired.

2015-03-06T06:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/573734960722391040

@4thAnon How long is yours?

2015-03-04T02:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/572950955148238849

@CoutureDonna Why is there a patent for a photocopier toner indicator in a list of "geoeongineering" patents?

2015-02-27T02:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/571134844954021889

@HauntedLib @ihuntghostscom It's not fake, it's a panorama glitch. Duplicated here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/

2015-02-26T23:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/571089362307325952

@trutherbot That's not been my experience. In fact I'd welcome accurate discussion of geoengineering, before someone does it.

2015-02-26T18:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/571018606512726018

@saitamakita @5656202002 å¹½é□□ ã□□ã□³ã□□ã□□ã□³ã□»ã□³ã□¼ã□□å®®æ®¿ ã□□èª¬æ□□ã□□ã□□

.

iPhoneã□®ã□□ã□□ã□©ã□□ã□¨ã□©ã□¼https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-26T17:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570995954037321728

@CryptoSpirit @fortean_uk Correct: Hampton Court Ghost Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-26T17:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570995693847851008

@EssentialSurrey Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:15:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995625501724672
@TheRichmondMag Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:15:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995580064784384
@PRIP12 Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995532371353600
@BlazingMinds Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-26T17:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570995473311379456

@HuffPostUK Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-26T17:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570995393565057027

@Maripsychic Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:14:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995378134261761
@Wiizl_World Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:14:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995232445108224
@BeliefinSpirits Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-26T17:13:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570995204469075968
@ParanormaleCom Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-26T17:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570995099728945153

@ange_cass @strangetele Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T22:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570712870796656640

@anomalistnews @Hayleystevens Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T22:31:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570712839981129728
@amhaunted Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T22:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570712823703056384

@PBinvestigation Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T20:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570679662826934272

@coasttocoastam Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T20:19:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570679534728712193
@Dorsetghost Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570627139151663104

@Artemisapphire and jagged edges are exactly what you get in panorama glitches. Why fake a panorama glitch?

2015-02-25T16:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570626946830180353

@Artemisapphire This photo is obviously a panorama. Hence so is the "ghost" pic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-tGFPAWoAIhV1w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-tGFPAWoAIhV1w.jpg

2015-02-25T16:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570626734170640384

@Artemisapphire Two panoramic photos taken from slightly different positions accounts for the change.

2015-02-25T16:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570626047802081280

@Artemisapphire Which are consistent with a panorama. In fact, you can only get that with a panorama.

2015-02-25T16:45:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570625631832055808

@Artemisapphire But it also looks exactly like a panorama glitch.

2015-02-25T16:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570624437411663872

@NewHarpsichord I know, I just though you might like the actual explanation to beat back the believers with. :)

2015-02-25T16:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570621310943895552

@anitathetweeter Sorry :) And yes, you'd think the anorak would have been a clue.

2015-02-25T16:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618850275823617

@citizen_sane @JohnRentoul Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618697405956096

@3_ges @RinconSalfate @Discloser1 Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618373790248960

@Daily_Express Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618357000511489

@NewHarpsichord Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T16:16:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570618290084536321
@anitathetweeter @MailOnline Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T16:16:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570618256651726850
@DailyMirror Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T16:16:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570618235801858048
@TheSunNewspaper Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618172472107008

@HuffPostUK Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg

2015-02-25T16:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/570618130826813440

@HuffPostUKPics Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-25T16:10:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570616850075119616
Hampton Court Ghost Photo Explained. iPhone Panorama Glitch. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-hampton-court-ghost-photo-iphone-panorama-glitch.5814/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-s87NZWkAA7CIr.jpg
2015-02-24T01:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570033601137344512
@love4worldpeace The why can't you find anything wrong in the rebuttals? And why is there so much wrong with the claims of chemtrailers?

2015-02-24T01:32:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/570033406332919808
@MWM1977 Why do you doubt that? All the books on clouds say contrails can persist and spread.

2015-02-23T19:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569939490992623617
@love4worldpeace Space weapons? How do chemtrails work in a vacuum? That proposed bill is explained here: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2015-02-23T18:19:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569924524877049856
@love4worldpeace What's not true, exactly? Contrails do form from mixing, correct? NASA: http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/presentations/contrails_scool.pdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-jHTpyCcAAb_C_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-jHTpyCcAAb_C_.jpg
2015-02-23T18:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569923719587450880
@CriticalSauce @HermesXCEL Even before the jet engine.
http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-jGk6ECYAA7JWw.jpg

The News, Frederick, MD, March 7, 1944

> *"Contrails frequently have a tendency to cause a complete overcast and cause rain. In Idaho I have seen contrails formed in a perfectly clear sky and* ***four hours later a complete overcast resulted****"*

Below is the entire top of the page of that newspaper, in case you want to look it up.


Americans Cut Off 2,000 Japs In Burma

Held Under $1,000 Bond

28,000 Planes Built In U.S. Sent To Allies

Kills Three, Wounds Five

Vapor Trails Base To Pilots, Former News-Post Photog Says

After Six Months At War, Man Realizes He Fights To Stay Alive

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-jGk6ECYAA7JWw.jpg

2015-02-23T18:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/569923117511897089

@ProjLightsOut What's the temperature at the altitude of the plane?

2015-02-23T18:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/569922975006216193

@MWM1977 Contrails are clouds of ice crystals. Like cirrus clouds. They can last a long time if air is humid.

2015-02-23T01:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/569664134490361856

@love4worldpeace @MickWest contrails are from mixing of exhaust gas with cold air. It does not matter if it's bypass air.

2015-02-22T18:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/569562884704903169

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Nice photo.

2015-02-22T18:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/569557750260195328

@Musings_1 That would be hard, as soil is already 8% aluminum.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-d5wG-IgAAe1B3.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-d5wG-IgAAe1B3.png
2015-02-22T06:19:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569380839374499841
@eRiC_Bz1 Do you know the precise time the photo was taken? Might be able to track down the plane.

2015-02-21T21:22:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569245732944740353
@eRiC_Bz1 Where and when was this?

2015-02-21T15:37:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569159046072107010
@love4worldpeace It's not the air that makes contrails, it's the engine exhaust MIXING WITH AIR. So that extra air actually helps.

2015-02-21T15:36:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/569158748066811904
@Furiousnurse @love4worldpeace But I just linked you an article that says ice supersaturation is quite common.

2015-02-20T22:23:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/568898788678504448
@love4worldpeace Any engine exhaust has aerosols in it, car exhaust does, jet exhaust does, factory emissions, pollutions, etc. So what?

2015-02-20T19:36:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/568856754001326081
@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 Actually Jones. http://di2.nu/foia/foia2011/mail/4199.txt

---

2015-02-20T19:16:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/568851722505826305
@love4worldpeace Where dod these "facts" come from? And how do they trump science, exactly?

2015-02-20T19:14:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/568851164973785088

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 "anthro signal proving agw supposed to emerge" - citation needed.

2015-02-20T19:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568849431300218880

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 It's the hottest year of surface temperature observations. So why discuss something else?

2015-02-20T19:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568848874900578304

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 What? Are you just simplifying things to the point of obscurity here? What are you referring to?

2015-02-20T19:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568848548239798272

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 Yes, I'm saying they are based on the best science of the participants.

2015-02-20T19:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568848283965067265

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 "Single theory"?

2015-02-20T19:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568847665934372865

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 The consensus of large numbers of neutral viewpoints outweighs ideologically motivated viewpoints w' same data

---

2015-02-20T18:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568847331375734784

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 Because "do your own research" is a tool of disinformation to push one biased view point.

2015-02-20T18:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568846582021382144

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 Why focus on NASA? Hottest ever is a consensus of multiple orgs, european too.
https://www.wmo.int/pages/mediacentre/press_releases/pr_1009_en.html

---

2015-02-20T18:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568845276917538816

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 But still just a part. Focussing JUST on these number is disingenuous.

2015-02-20T18:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568843651939373056

@ImaBannedd @CardsFanTX @HG54 Tropospheric temperatures only show part of the picture. As you know.

2015-02-20T18:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568840616903180288

@love4worldpeace Are you not encouraging people to discount the science I link to, based on some web page?

2015-02-20T18:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568839789203423232

@TalentsMomMLG @DowntownHVL Contrails are actually a type of cloud. Just a man-made one.

2015-02-20T18:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568839603886534656

@love4worldpeace So you ignore me because some web site told you to?

2015-02-20T18:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568837493044355072

@DJ__nufc "flight paths" are not compulsory. Planes fly pretty much everywhere. You could probably track down candidates.

2015-02-20T18:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568836724538810368

@MLGKrypt @L_B_D_ @1T2a3z4 @MarshallArts7 @ErickErickscum @AngryDonner Look it up: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?start=10&q=%22high+bypass%22+contrails&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5

2015-02-20T18:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568836325769506816

@DJ__nufc @metoffice It just looks vertical because it's flying away from you. Similar: http://cloud-maven.com/distracted-jet-pilots-or-what/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-Tpn4rCQAEkeG-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-Tpn4rCQAEkeG-.jpg

2015-02-20T18:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568833231526572033

@Furiousnurse @love4worldpeace What studies are you referring to?

2015-02-20T18:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568833168322621441

@Furiousnurse @love4worldpeace

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/store/10.1029/2012GL051909/asset/grl29249.pdf;jsessionid=79FF442E5F123D7F9930A46042F4DD2D.f04t02?v=1&t=i6dvwjbo&s=dc26c751ee1dd8cfe4a75d24fd157113f8c55521 " Ice-supersaturation is a relatively common feature of

the upper troposphere"

2015-02-20T17:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568831375014375425

@love4worldpeace @Furiousnurse But high bypass engines actually produce MORE contrails. http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-TlFi1IMAAmDF-.jpg

---

For a direct test, a formation flight of two different large jet air- craft was arranged, wing by wing, during an ascent and a descent of the aircraft. Contrail formation and ambient conditions were observed simultaneously from a research aircraft. The two contrail forming aircraft were 1) a **Boeing B707-307C** built in 1968 and equipped with four jet engines of type PW JT3D-3B with **bypass ratio of 1.4 and 2)** an **Airbus A340-300** built in 1998 with four jet engines of type CFM56-5C4 **with bypass ratio of 6.8**.

...

A contrail was observed to form during ascent first behind the A340 at 7:28:40, at flight level 33,300ft. The B707 continued to ascend nearby without a contrail (Fig. 2). About 50 s later, at altitude of about 33,700 ft, a contrail formed also behind the B707 at 7:29:30. The contrails were observed to be forming very suddenly and persisted thereafter. During descent from flight level 35,000ft, the contrails disappeared first behind the B707 and disappeared shortly thereafter behind the A340.

...

As documented in several photographs, **an altitude range exists in which the A340 causes contrails while the B707 causes none.** Figure 2 shows this fact during ascent and Fig. 3 during descent. The photographs show the contrails best during descent due to the more favorable sun and Falcon positions relative to the two other aircraft. We clearly see the four contrails forming from the four engines of the A340 while the B707 is seen flying without contrails.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B-TlFi1IMAAmDF-.jpg

2015-02-20T17:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568830718492545024

@DJ__nufc @metoffice The whole cloud IS in the distance. Because it's close to the horizon it's probably 50+ miles away.

2015-02-19T23:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568558462881304576

@NotPorC No. I'm a retired video game programmer.

2015-02-19T19:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568493561525764096

@NotPorC No. There are many things that are not contrails. I list several of them here: http://contrailscience.com/things-that-are-not-contrails-or-chemtrails/

2015-02-19T18:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568475216587984898

@NotPorC but there are very practical and unavoidable consequences of your choices. The system exists regardless of if you agree with it.

2015-02-19T18:18:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568474755436843008

@NotPorC Pointless semantics. Obviously there's no absolute law. Law is just a societal construct. You chose how you interact with it.

2015-02-19T17:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568465264192266240

@A3803 @TheAtlantic Here's the real science behind that image: http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

---

2015-02-19T17:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/568462810914512896

.@TheAtlantic Pretty photo, but nothing to do with weather modification. That's a contrail. http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2015-02-17T18:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/567754187958542336

@TerminatorBarbi @ReptoidInvasion @CriticalSauce @PittsburghTroy @TakeThatEarth Not according to science. Look up Ice Supersaturated Regions

---

2015-02-16T22:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/567457624774291457

@aardenvat No, it was in the normal range of variability. See: http://www-pm.larc.nasa.gov/sass/pub/journals/Hong.etal.GRL.08.pdf

---

2015-02-16T22:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/567449713687801856

@achariw Why not? What evidence exactly suggests geoengineering is being done secretly?

2015-02-16T19:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/567398060137787394

@aardenvat Not a lot though.

2015-02-14T18:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566658507483533312

@RustieTrombone @Seanras If they are at slightly different altitudes it can make all the difference. http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2015-02-13T23:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566373465783926784

@MainlineFact Some more extreme theorists like Icke fans think royals actually are aliens. @RealAlexJones is just clickbaiting with that.

2015-02-13T22:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566362550585921536

@achariw That appears to be an article about possible future geoengineering.

2015-02-13T22:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566362136222253056

@RealAlexJones I guess that proves it then!!

2015-02-13T19:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566316238133940224

@achariw You'll have to link me to the Kauai story, I'm not familiar with it.

2015-02-13T19:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566315877746757632

@achariw No, they didn't. That was a hoax video about chaff interfering with weather radar. Fake translation. http://contrailscience.com/germans-admit-they-used-duppel/

2015-02-13T17:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566282366553841666

@achariw This photos is from the 60s. Published in 1972. Same basic thing.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vWtqzIUAELrW_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vWtqzIUAELrW_.jpg
2015-02-13T17:02:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/566281387494232065
@achariw They certainly look like contrails. I've got an extensive collection of books on clouds that describe what we see as contrails.

2015-02-13T16:50:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/566278268580413440
.@achariw You mean trails developing into an overcast haze, like this?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vS8BwIgAA7wL_.jpg



Fig. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



Fig. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vS8BwIgAA7wL_.jpg

2015-02-13T16:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566275503061880832

@achariw Yes, it;s about contrails. That's the point. In 1981 contrails could spread out and cover the sky. So why can't they now?

2015-02-13T16:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566273413098901504

@achariw Then why do to the books on clouds say they do?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vOqwZIEAA52sn.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9vOqwZIEAA52sn.jpg

2015-02-13T16:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566268870940311552

@achariw @toasterhead But they look like photos of contrails in old books about clouds. Why would that be?

2015-02-13T15:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566254905841557506

@karentalford66 @BrianGPowell @MassDeception1 Have you read a book on clouds to see what it says about contrails?

2015-02-13T14:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566248071906865153

@karentalford66 @BrianGPowell @MassDeception1 These are actual books. You can look them up. Do your own research.

2015-02-13T13:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566230967732277250

@BrianGPowell @karentalford66 @MassDeception1 That's not true. Look it up in actual books. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers.5751/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9unmfjIgAA_BUv.jpg

---



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9unmfjIgAA_BUv.jpg

2015-02-13T01:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/566050870668701696

Tracking down an interesting contrail over Sacramento today.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/giant-spreading-contrail-over-sacramento.5752/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9sELuZIEAAoUME.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9sELuZIEAAoUME.jpg

2015-02-12T21:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565984246771089408

@Nothke Interesting. Are you going to release the source for this? I've been meaning to write a contrail perspective visualizer.

2015-02-12T20:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565976887806021632

@iris_messenger That's not proof, it's just what contrails sometimes do.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers

.5751/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9rA3taIMAAKwql.jpg



In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

Contrails are a fascinating subject for study, sufficiently complex to challenge the expert and sufficiently variable to intrigue the amateur observer. Properly understood they yield a wealth of information about the current state of affairs in the high atmosphere, where it is difficult to locate weather instruments. Observed systematically, as a function of time, contrail information is a valuable adjunct to forecasting the weather.

Copyright © 1981 by Vincent J. Schaefer and John A. Day

Schaefer, Vincent J
A field guide to the atmosphere.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9rA3taIMAAKwql.jpg

2015-02-12T20:40:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565973767055097856

@Daniel_Loxton yeah, or even "disconnect".

2015-02-12T20:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565972434646335490

@Daniel_Loxton yes, a bit like road rage: transient interactions from within an anonymous shield. Not sure I'd use the word "tension" though

---

2015-02-12T20:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565966700072296448

The best book for explaining contrails to people interested in "chemtrails". Only $5.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-best-book-for-explaining-contrails-to-chemtrail-believers

.5751/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9q3gx_IAAEJiAP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9q3gx_IAAEJiAP.jpg

2015-02-12T17:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565920610228793345

@Tricky_Wizard I think it's a corrupting influence and should be reversed.

2022-05-20T14:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527659951749201920

@PThegermandude You can buy candles at the supermarket. You probably have some in your house. They are mundane and accessible objects.

2022-05-20T14:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527657740838940672

@novak_meri @jujuwren_jenny Because you can't see much underwater, so no photos, so all you have is sensor data, which is classified.

2022-05-20T14:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527657520172478464

Toasters are mundane ordinary objects that nearly everyone has in their house, or has at least used occasionally.

UFOs are a variety of ambiguous phenomena and experiences, often closely tied with esoteric notions like visiting aliens.

So it's a VERY different question.

https://twitter.com/UAP_Therapist/status/1527656164388876288

2022-05-20T13:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527646260152348672

@thieme @6diego32 @ThomasGuntert @neiltyson I thought his graph was interesting, so I clicked the "Follow" button.

https://twitter.com/ThomasGuntert/status/1449325097085513730

2022-05-20T03:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527497736857104384

@unterkauf @TheToddBradley @lesliekean No. It was Chad Underwood, an hour later.

2022-05-19T23:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527435854423003137

@tim_wdev Thanks!

2022-05-19T21:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527407902998245376

Did anyone happen to record, or know how I can watch, my segment on CNN last night?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTJxFN_VIAA-WRu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTJxFN_VIAA-WRu.jpg

2022-05-19T21:52:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527406851184218112

@the_cholla The issue with "turn to the left earlier, more gradually?" is that they end up way off to the left after doing a 180. But if you reduce the acceleration they don't go past the Gimbal.

2022-05-19T21:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527405666347782144

@the_cholla Here you go: https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

Toggle MAP to see the tracks. Tweak with the Fleet... variables

Obviously instant acceleration is unrealistic, but I'm happy to hear suggestions.

Remember Graves has to deduce his description from this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTJu8xTUUAEN9I1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTJu8xTUUAEN9I1.jpg
2022-05-19T21:04:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527394804706865161
@the_cholla Lemme make a tweakable version....

2022-05-19T21:03:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527394578055065600
@issaufobro Here's the original footage. It flies upwards at drone speed, just like a drone taking video of the sunset. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jHZn29dqy-I&t=53s

---

2022-05-19T20:52:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527391868434018317
@issaufobro It's probably a drone. The show used a version of the footage that was sped up.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2022-05-19T19:13:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527366799083220999
@CalebLe74625772 @528vibes It's another fake-looking low-quality anonymous video from @528vibes, so, no.

2022-05-19T19:10:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527366164099149830
@MattheeewH I've got coding skillz.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527366130536329218/pu/img/9UdDl7sBm0sKETbV

.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527366130536329218/pu/img/9UdDl7sBm0sKETbV.jpg

2022-05-19T18:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527350187315777539

@SicCoP1 @MikeColangelo Or at the very least, an actual source.

2022-05-19T18:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527349896734396434

@JRobertJohnson4 @LueElizondo You should talk to @JeremyCorbell about that.

2022-05-19T17:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527346272390172681

RT @DeborahClaireUK: How often do we see this from Chemtrails believers; "I saw two planes at the same altitude and one was spraying and onâ¦

2022-05-19T17:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527346161425666050

@moralKerosene @MikeColangelo @SicCoP1 Where's the original video?

2022-05-19T17:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527343136891994112

@MikeColangelo @SicCoP1 I wouldn't waste much time with such a thing. Anonymous videos that make their way into clickbait compilations are unlikely to be legit.

2022-05-19T17:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527342133241270273

@MikeColangelo @SicCoP1 No. It does not seem to be moving much. So maybe a physical hoax?

2022-05-19T17:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527341814830682116

@mantonn @BodyPositiveBob @fman123 You seemed to suggest data is not evidence. That didn't really make sense. Isn't a video of something evidence?

2022-05-19T17:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527340146923753475

@edwardcurrent @tdeeefc @uncertainvector He's busy in Washington right now but maybe can comment later.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527340079873609729/pu/img/N1DWgJ1WHNbUVll9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527340079873609729/pu/img/N1DWgJ1WHNbUVll9.jpg

2022-05-19T17:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527338640656912396

@mantonn @BodyPositiveBob @fman123 Not all evidence is physical objects.

2022-05-19T17:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527335652064256028

@DavidFieldSculp Fleet of things seen on the SA page referenced by the audio in the Gimbal video.

2022-05-19T16:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527330539551612929

UAP hearing done. Let's get back to Gimbal. Now, about that fleet ... how did they move exactly?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527330410815840280/pu/img/LNx-0oNeJzeGPhLc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527330410815840280/pu/img/LNx-0oNeJzeGPhLc.jpg

2022-05-19T16:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527327543958724611

@GeorgeT96559261 Why the UFO community? Why not Russia and China?

2022-05-19T16:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527327074918182912

@WesAuker What do you think he meant? What do you think he did?

2022-05-19T16:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527326781686001665

@gfodor Sure, but if we are talking about a coarse identification, it would be useful if we have a word for it. "identified", in common usage, is fairly specific.

Let's adopt "characterization" in the interests of clear communication.

2022-05-19T16:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527325928375738380

@Hackthestack777 @edwardcurrent No, I just want to know what Lue specifically was doing with the UFO community in 2008.

Does he mean, like, MUFON? Or the invisible college? Or what? And what was the issue? Spying?

2022-05-19T16:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527325621101027343

@Linkchadlad If people keep making extraordinary claims about some footage, then I'm going to keep pointing out the more plausible and mundane explanations.

2022-05-19T16:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527325335380844550
@GeorgeT96559261 So how does that relate to the UFO community in 2008?

2022-05-19T16:20:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527323385922871300
Schiff: larger effort that is being undertaken to study and characterize UAP reports

Moultrie: any object that we encounter can likely be isolated, characterized, identified and,... mitigated.

Bray: We've also made progress in resolving the character of [some] UAP encounters.

2022-05-19T16:18:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527322880744169472
At the UAP hearing, Ronald Moultrie disclosed a hierarchy of goals in handling UAPs

1) Isolate
2) Characterize
3) Identify
4) Mitigate

Identified/unidentified is a false dichotomy. Let's focus more on characterization

e.g. Gimbal is characterized as a glare, but not identified

2022-05-19T16:12:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527321390793170945
@Mycroft05834901 @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @PostDisclosure So what are you suggesting is the likely explanation for this situation? Cover-up? Aliens? Gaslighting?

The Pyramid video has been characterized if not identified. We don't know when they did that, or what the process was.

2022-05-19T16:03:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527319057476636672
Elizondo was asked, in 2008, to apply his counterintelligence skills "into the UFO community"

What does that mean? What would he do with the UFO community?
https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1527293835113443330

2022-05-19T15:55:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527317080743170049
@Mycroft05834901 @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @PostDisclosure They were not actually that clear about when they figured out it was bokeh. I'm sure a large number of experts (or just people familiar with cameras) would have seen it immediately - had they looked at it.

2022-05-19T15:41:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527313462753300484
@Mycroft05834901 @JeremyCorbell @MiddleOfMayhem @PostDisclosure Or maybe it just wasn't a high priority.

2022-05-19T15:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527311357376225283
@drugbunnytwit @fin365 I generally assume people are saying things they believe to be true. So no, by default I don't think witnesses are lying.

2022-05-19T08:32:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527205572092645376
@ashworth_mick @LueElizondo No.

2022-05-19T05:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527155277698461696
@normal20211 @NickMadrid16 I'd be happy to talk to Steve.

2022-05-19T04:32:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527145160382984194
@Mr_RichG @JeremyCorbell Why are the stars triangle shaped?

2022-05-19T00:46:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527088374825136128
@marcospipmaster @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @alejandrotrojas @keptycho They have a conclusive answer. They, unfortunately, are not in the business of explaining science to the public.

2022-05-19T00:44:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527087739710996480
In this case, @LueElizondo and I are in agreement. The green triangle video does not show flying pyramids. They are camera artifacts, and we are looking at the lights of a plane or a drone.

And if something unidentified is buzzing ship, that's a real issue.
https://twitter.com/UAPJames/status/1527026999184826369

2022-05-19T00:35:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527085419560460289
@Mike_FIRE @scotch2gmail @likeitmatters3 @sSamsquantch I don't say it's a PVS14. I showed one at one point, but two people found they happened to own NV with triangular apertures, so it's hardly uncommon.

It's kind of irrelevant, as the stars are triangle-shaped.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527085284168413184/pu/img/1Pl7Wu3LP55e5_rz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1527085284168413184/pu/img/1Pl7Wu3LP55e5_rz.jpg
2022-05-19T00:31:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527084573137379331
@greenbudha @AlecStewart28 @rpowell2u He "reports" it all the time. It's his thing. It was investigated decades ago. So there's no reason for them to be familiar with it. It's just mythology.

2022-05-19T00:29:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527084116482482176
@HSVUAP @marcospipmaster @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @alejandrotrojas @keptycho No. But maybe I should be.

2022-05-18T23:51:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527074487295889408
@Disclose_UFOUAP Why hasn't it?

Why, at the hearing, did they only show a solved crap video and an ambiguous crap video?

Don't they have any good videos?

2022-05-18T23:49:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527074028493471744
@M_M_MacFadyen @_peter_beard Contrails generally ARE made of ice.

2022-05-18T23:48:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527073791922143232
@kanuchronicles @DrewmeisterJam1 @YouTube No.

2022-05-18T23:46:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1527073170150203392
@fin365 Well, go for the box marked "good evidence" then.

What? It's empty?

2022-05-18T23:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527072457454104577

@marcospipmaster @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @alejandrotrojas @keptycho It's trivial to recreate. And how do you know they didn't?

2022-05-18T23:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527072267372404736

@Disclose_UFOUAP Of course. The point is about people who complain that they are being asked to get "extraordinary" evidence as if it's some extra burden, like they are being discriminated against.

No. Just go get some good evidence of advanced technology. That will be extraordinary by default.

2022-05-18T22:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527060096970174464

@fin365 @Alderley Irrelevant semantics.

2022-05-18T22:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527056384696590336

Extraordinary claims don't "require" anything, unless you want to convince people they are true, and then you'll need some good evidence.

Good evidence of an extraordinary claim would be extraordinary evidence.

Quit making excuses. Get some GOOD evidence.

2022-05-18T22:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527055585551601664

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @Tarcante And next time aliens visit a school, the kids will have cameras.

Except, of course, aliens won't be visiting a school, and there's no concrete evidence they ever did.

2022-05-18T22:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527054803175518208

@FrobertKinsey @edwardcurrent It was written by a pedantic philosopher.

Good evidence of visiting aliens would be extraordinary. Do you want to just call it "good evidence"? Fine.

But it's still not there.

2022-05-18T19:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527014665930567680

The "Terminator Hypothesis" of UFOs being time travelers is as unnecessary as it is silly.

Unnecessary because we don't have evidence that unambiguously demonstrates advanced technology. @RepGallagher is just positing an explanation he likes for something he wants to believe https://twitter.com/HeyLukOverThere/status/1526984021611356161

2022-05-18T19:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527009172973178881

@MushtakWasiti @ExogenesisHH @JeremyCorbell Because the camera rotation theory is very solidly backed by evidence.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-05-18T18:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1527000176195297280

@Sthlmstreet @esaagar The common usage of the term "bokeh" is the shape of an out-of-focus small light. It's a special case, but the most common.

An actual glowing pyramid the same size at the stars bokeh would look very blurry.

2022-05-18T18:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526998008017002496

@DaiFawkes Where did you find the image?

2022-05-18T18:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526997082560614401

@MikeCastroFilms Photoshop. I was just looking for the PSD. Probably lost in time though.

2022-05-18T18:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526996932798820352

@HalALuejah That's odd. Was it a montage of footage?

2022-05-18T18:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526996494657589248

@DaiFawkes Sure, but that's how things get rolling. There are people who want to believe.

2022-05-18T18:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526996072345677825

Here's the original post from 2014. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTD60AeUUAAiYz-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTD60AeUUAAiYz-.jpg

2022-05-18T18:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526995519213424641

I made this image 8 years ago just to demonstrate how to properly align the "Chemtrails" text. Someone said it was so good it might get shared as real, so I added the text "Haec imago non est authenticus" - latin for "this image is fake"

And now someone shared it. https://twitter.com/WalesOffline/status/1526991805090349056

2022-05-18T18:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526992533787594752

This is semantic nonsense. You don't need rock solid proof to accept mundane claims like "I saw a bee once". But if it's a extraordinary claim, like "I rode a dragon once", then a much higher level of evidence would be required to accept it.

https://twitter.com/its_only_Gaz/status/1526990475240083456

2022-05-18T18:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526989020890210304

@MiddleOfMayhem @fogartyhvac1 @JeremyCorbell

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1526984139093577728

2022-05-18T18:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526988854883864576

RT @MickWest: @esaagar Here's one way we know it's bokeh. The triangular stars. This is a match I did with the earlier footage.

https://tâ¦

2022-05-18T18:11:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526988835065802752

@esaagar With the new longer UAP "Pyramid" footage, there's even more stars visible. In particular Altair and Tarazed, which we clearly see as triangles, proving the triangular shape is a camera artifact. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTD0EFCVsAA4TH2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTD0AYtVIAIvF0X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTD0EFCVsAA4TH2.jpg

2022-05-18T18:07:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526987839438671872

@esaagar Here's one way we know it's bokeh. The triangular stars. This is a match I did with the earlier footage.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2022-05-18T17:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526984456895987712

@MushtakWasiti @JeremyCorbell I haven't solved it. The object is still unidentified. I've just shown that what is in the video is consistent with a distant plane, with the rotation being a camera artifact.

It's still unidentified though.

2022-05-18T17:52:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526984139093577728

@esaagar, with respect, you need to journalist up, stop deferring to Corbell as a friend, and accurately report on his misleading rejection of the bokeh explanation.

It's 100% true, and verifiable, and backed by congressional testimony, and the full video w' triangular stars https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1526974736810446850

2022-05-18T17:47:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526982704893308929

@retromastertoys @JeremyCorbell Not really. Bokeh means that what's actually visible is just lights. Like the lights of a plane or a drone. There would be no way of knowing it was triangular.

Seems like they inferred the shape from the Night Vision footage.

2022-05-18T17:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526982237341683712

@TheUfoJoe I'm not sure that account is the best source of that information. It also describes the object (twice) as BELOW the jet, when it was actually ABOVE it. So perhaps there are other misrecollections too.

2022-05-18T17:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526981374850125824

@byatzzz Obviously.

I mean it's possibly extraordinary even if it's flying like a balloon. More evidence would raise that possibility on the list.

2022-05-18T17:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526979396686389249

@fogartyhvac1 @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell I don't know. But he's acting like the bokeh explanation (which is 100% true, verified by the new footage matching even more stars) is a cover story.

It's not. There were no flying pyramids. The shape is a camera artifact. This is verifiable.

2022-05-18T17:25:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526977290650890240

@fogartyhvac1 @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell He's accusing them of lying about this.

2022-05-18T17:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526975142823227392

@UltimaTheKing Because everyone is speculating, and often mischaracterizing things.

It's hardly speculation to say the object in the video moves like a balloon. It's objectively true and matches what Scott Bray said.

2022-05-18T17:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526974736810446850

@JeremyCorbell continues to describe the Russell UFO as a "Triangle", "Pyramid", and "non-aerodynamic", despite both overwhelming evidence and congressional testimony that says the shape was a camera artifact.

Why?

https://www.instagram.com/tv/CdrRriTlkga/

2022-05-18T17:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526973144858128384

@AlecStewart28 @greenbudha @rpowell2u Or maybe Malmstrom is only a big deal in UFO

mythology.

2022-05-18T16:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526968026188247040

@Anony_Mouse777 Of course, and if they were going slower they could probably identify it.

2022-05-18T16:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526967884064227333

@TRandallizer @SabersKnight @FrankRyanArt @TheUfoJoe @PostDisclosure @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Sure, it was obvious to a lot of people, and I had help investigating it. But the challenge is demonstrating and explaining it. Like:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2022-05-18T16:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526967083879178250

@byatzzz The object is visible for only three frames in the last second of the video. It is going fast relative to the plane in the opposite direction - just like a balloon would.

2022-05-18T16:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526961630524805121

The UAP hearing referenced 11 "near-misses" with UAP. That could very easily be near misses with airborne clutter. Like the one they showed (which resembled a fly-by of a mylar balloon).

They didn't bother to try to contact it, unmanned, maybe not even controlled flight.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526961163220574208/pu/img/zwvq2IQyuCaE6W8M.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526961163220574208/pu/img/zwvq2IQyuCaE6W8M.jpg

2022-05-18T16:20:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526960805169594368

@Jim87504388 @GC48021171 Near miss.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526960780704292864/pu/img/Jrr5tnUUvhS3t0em.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526960780704292864/pu/img/Jrr5tnUUvhS3t0em.jpg
2022-05-18T16:19:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526960574063443969
@CurtCollins579 That illustrates the specular highlight. :)

2022-05-18T16:01:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526956193733701632
@TheAutisticPan @melvinmilton6 @harwicensis The change can be as little as a few hundred feet.

It's like how clouds form abruptly above a certain altitude.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTDWjxHVIAUxEVz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FTDWjxHVIAUxEVz.jpg
2022-05-18T15:59:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526955737418649600
@Tarcante Sure, but actual sensor data should be the bedrock. Recollections are useful, but they have a much wider variety of ways of going wrong.

2022-05-18T15:57:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526955125935206400
@PThegermandude Sure, that would be fascinating!

2022-05-18T15:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526952036490563584
@bobofango86 CNN (TV) will be discussing it tonight. They sometimes take a while - and this time they were covering the primary elections

---

2022-05-18T15:35:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526949512488488960
@TimKearsley @WeatherAisling @daveroybradley @ChrisPage90 @itvanglia It's probably a slab of shadow viewed edge-on.

2022-05-18T15:33:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526949016214245378
@AlexUnwise One case is not all cases.

2022-05-18T15:32:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526948952146182147
Honest personal UAP accounts can be very compelling, but they are not the best data when it comes to observations of things the observer does not understand.

The real test is direct, hard, sensor data: video, radar, RF, and others.

In the classified portion of the hearing?
https://twitter.com/RepTimBurchett/status/1526605121781747713

2022-05-18T10:28:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526872296303955973
@ashworth_mick The shape is bokeh, i.e. it's not a flying pyramid. There's something conventionally behind it: a plane or a drone.

2022-05-18T10:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526871968821088256
@dub1759 The ISS only shows a tiny bit of the sky. Incoming UFOs would be unlikely to be spotted by it, even if they did not try to avoid detection.

2022-05-18T10:25:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526871526581993472
@3Selfevident @DesignzSuzanne @VannsWill Here's just one of the thing that that documentary gets entirely wrong.

High bypass engines actually make MORE contrails, not less.
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FTCJagaVsAAR_nD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FTCJagaVsAAR_nD.jpg
2022-05-18T09:30:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526857663601991681
@justabirduser @scotch2gmail @Mike_FIRE @sSamsquantch Bokeh IS out-of-focus lights, shaped by the aperture.

2022-05-18T09:28:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526857307052642310
@3Selfevident @DesignzSuzanne @VannsWill No.

2022-05-18T09:02:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526850798382030849
@almostanangel66 @MrChemmyChems Higher altitude planes with contrails are likely going to/from Europe. Local planes will be too low.

2022-05-18T08:34:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526843721794220034
@MrChemmyChems @almostanangel66 Sometimes contrails can persist. See all the books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-02-12T01:30:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/565684308044972032
@MadamSophie88 Not true. There are different types of contrail, some persist. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-02-11T16:05:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/565542054202056704
@DrShepherd2013 The thing I've found most effective is showing people my old books on clouds that explain contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-02-11T16:02:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/565541405171281920
@DrShepherd2013 Sure, but then they say "but condensed breath always quickly evaporates", so I go the extra step "but at -40 it freezes"

2015-02-11T15:45:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565537020467232768

@DrShepherd2013 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9kw65KIcAEB3BX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9kw65KIcAEB3BX.jpg

2015-02-11T15:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565536744138113025

@DrShepherd2013 Breath condensation isn't exactly the same as those contrails tho, as they are ice. Breath condensation is (usually) water.

2015-02-11T07:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565407384307511296

@sh0dak @MariahChanelle_ Links to books, and excerpts can be found here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ e.g. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9i6_pyIEAA8pMG.jpg

1981: Peterson Field Guide to the Atmosphere, page 137
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

1972: Clouds of the World, page 130
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (*see* 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9i6_pyIEAA8pMG.jpg

2015-02-11T07:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565406517676236800

@sh0dak @MariahChanelle_ Have you checked the books on clouds and weather on that subject? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-02-10T22:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565273439951654913

@SteerMark @ArtVandelay013 These appear to be photos of clouds. Maybe you could pick one

and say what you think is wrong with it?

2015-02-10T21:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565264818765320192

@SteerMark @ArtVandelay013 Yes please! Can you post them online?

2015-02-10T20:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565242205917282304

RT @BadAstronomer: More info on those excrutiatingly deceptive claims of climate data manipulation.

http://variable-variability.blogspot.de/2015/02/evil-nazi-communist-world-government.html / via @variabiliâ¦

2015-02-10T19:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565235300050300929

@Daniel_Loxton Like what word would you use for Alex Jones? He creates bunk, promotes bunk, profits from bunk, and is very enthusiastic :)

2015-02-10T19:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565233526400770048

@Daniel_Loxton I also use "promoter" for people who run web sites promoting particular theories. Though that's maybe slightly judgy

---

2015-02-10T19:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565231543208001536

@Daniel_Loxton I also like "enthusiast", like "chemtrail enthusiast".

2015-02-10T19:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565230391548575744

@Daniel_Loxton I agree on "woo", it's so demeaning, like the "yeah, right" raised eyebrows rebuttal.

2015-02-10T17:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565207702196867072

@DeepakChopra You should consult with your guru.

2015-02-10T17:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/565207108472168448

@JunkScience Understandable, considering the story was about snowfall in the UK.

2015-02-09T20:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564891073747501057

@DavidLeal16 It's a real book from 1981. Is the book a troll?

2015-02-09T20:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564889992011726848

@stang289 Likewise.

2015-02-09T20:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564889937011417088

@DavidLeal16 Clouds are ice crystals. They don't dissipate quickly. So why would contrails?

Look it up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9bkYNrCYAET-Bg.jpg



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

UNUSUAL CLOUDS 139

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_H$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9bkYNrCYAET-Bg.jpg

2015-02-09T20:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564884471535521792

@DavidLeal16 Contrails are a type of cloud, so they vary like clouds do. It's just the weather. Contrails can persist and spread.

2015-02-09T19:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564867057359912960

@DavidLeal16 No, it's just the weather conditions aloft. Like how some days you get clouds, some days you don't get clouds. Same thing.

2015-02-09T18:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564859588512477184

@surfinwav @mr_scottie @darren_dazmav @Genestarwind34 Maybe you could just pick three? Or even one?

2015-02-09T17:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564837537089204226

@surfinwav @mr_scottie @darren_dazmav @Genestarwind34 Then it should be very easy to make a list of SOME of those things. With links.

2015-02-09T16:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564828824865480705

@surfinwav @darren_dazmav @mr_scottie @Genestarwind34 You should make a list of all the

things I got wrong.

2015-02-09T04:26:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564641435408793600

@DarfusMctrendy Just try to actually verify things, not just repeat them because they sound right.

2015-02-09T04:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564641259910737920

@DarfusMctrendy Get back to me in a year. See if anything has changed.

2015-02-09T04:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564636361504747520

@DarfusMctrendy No, who says we know the evidence (by which I assume you mean "all" the evidence).

2015-02-09T04:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564636171154644992

@DarfusMctrendy So you keep saying, and not demonstrating.

2015-02-09T04:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564636068738105345

@DarfusMctrendy Sure, if it just collapsed for no apparent reason. But there was a fire and damage from falling towers as obvious candidates

---

2015-02-09T04:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564635856942546944

@DarfusMctrendy Who suggested that?

2015-02-09T04:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564635148830789632

@DarfusMctrendy I really think you don't understand this buckling exterior skin thing. Interesting.

2015-02-09T04:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564634949181902848

@DarfusMctrendy So how would that work then? Entirely remove 100 columns on ten floors simultaneously without noise or evidence?

2015-02-09T03:47:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564631636898107392

@DarfusMctrendy What do you think would happen if column 79 collapsed?

2015-02-09T03:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564631536549376000

@DarfusMctrendy You can ignore the model with the secret data, the basic hypothesis is still perfectly valid.

2015-02-09T03:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564630703657074688

@DarfusMctrendy Why do you just eep repeating things without even checking to see if they

are correct?

2015-02-09T03:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564630548832731137

@DarfusMctrendy What math did you do?

2015-02-09T03:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564629981968351232

@DarfusMctrendy Saying something is a fact does not make it so. You need to do the math.

2015-02-09T03:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564629905539743744

@DarfusMctrendy Inertia is a greater resistance than a bent column.

2015-02-09T02:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564617408095059968

@DarfusMctrendy one failed column leads to the SLOW collapse of the interior, then the SUDDEN FAILURE of multiple columns on the exterior.

2015-02-09T02:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564617173973217281

@DarfusMctrendy Keep repeating it. It's not going to change the facts.

2015-02-09T02:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564609693838876672

@stang289 live and learn.

2015-02-09T01:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564603055883026433

@stang289 It's more conservative here. I'll have to get a truck and a gun.

2015-02-09T01:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564600376779735040

@DarfusMctrendy How much resistance does a buckled column have vs an unbuckled? How much is distinguishable from zero?

2015-02-09T01:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564597651606233089

@DarfusMctrendy a buckled column over multiple stories has a a lot LESS resistance than over one. More stories = less resistance.

2015-02-09T01:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564597050696663040

@stang289 Yes, I'm in the country now. My back yard:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9XaBo9CMAENFLN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9XaBo9CMAENFLN.jpg

2015-02-09T01:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564596791866175488

@DarfusMctrendy Normal buckling. If a column normal holds 1.5x static load, and strength is reduced to 0.01x by buckling. Then what?

2015-02-09T01:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564591371965591552

@DarfusMctrendy No I understand it totally. But if you remove the interior of a building, then you are left with a skin, which can buckle.

2015-02-09T00:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564587926961401856

@DarfusMctrendy Imagine if for 10 floors you removed everything except the exterior columns. What happens?

2015-02-09T00:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564587716755464193

@DarfusMctrendy It's a bit like standing on a can, and touching the side. Sudden buckling goes from 150lb support to 5lb support.

2015-02-09T00:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564587449804783618

@DarfusMctrendy I think you are not following the proposed mechanism of collapse. Buckling of exterior columns.

2015-02-08T23:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564569809288192001

@iris_messenger It sure looks like one.

2015-02-08T23:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564569232244232193

@DarfusMctrendy What does AE911 say about exterior column buckling?

2015-02-08T23:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564569123644911616

@DarfusMctrendy "it's clear" is just a flat assertion. No science or evidence behind it.

2015-02-08T23:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564569022633492480

@DarfusMctrendy Well nobody is claiming that's what's happened. The fires caused interior collapses, that LED to exterior collapse.

2015-02-08T23:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564566167604301824

@stang289 I've really not been following it very closely. But his statement seems incredibly vague and unsubstantiated.

2015-02-08T23:17:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564563628800475137

@stang289 He's stating his opinion. Opinions vary.

2015-02-08T22:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564556823668068354

RT @NOAASatellites: #DSCOVR: T-21 min.& counting to 6:10 pm, EST. http://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/nasatv/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9W1SAmIAAAlsua.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9W1SAmIAAAlsua.jpg

2015-02-08T22:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564552420143812609

@DarfusMctrendy I'm unclear what you are basing this on. If the exterior columns buckled, then WHY wold there be significant resistance?

2015-02-08T22:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564549471707475968

@DarfusMctrendy You can keep asserting all you like, but actual physics disagrees with you.

2015-02-08T22:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564546720118231040
@DarfusMctrendy (16 seconds video time, 24 seconds model time.

2015-02-08T22:08:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564546387019198464
@DarfusMctrendy Full view. Note the exterior buckling at 16 seconds.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cn73PngylP8 near zero resistance there

---

2015-02-08T22:07:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564546081338294272
@DarfusMctrendy That the interior collapsed long before the exterior. So the exterior was unbraced, so it buckled.

2015-02-08T22:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564545622418546691
@DarfusMctrendy So you are going by feelings? Watch this comparison:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fu7M1A3ZKmU

2015-02-08T22:04:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564545383515168771
@DarfusMctrendy The exterior columns buckling was quite a bit after the initial single column failure.

2015-02-08T22:03:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564545194779873282
@DarfusMctrendy Really? Have you done the math on that? What resistance did the exterior columns offer after they were buckled?

2015-02-08T22:01:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564544516263133185
@DarfusMctrendy What if the exterior columns buckled over a height of several floors? Do you understand buckling?

2015-02-08T21:59:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564544079308943361
@DarfusMctrendy Again, you are misusing the word efficiency. A collapsing building is not a machine. Focus on resistance.

2015-02-08T21:58:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564543848035020800
@DarfusMctrendy Which part exactly of the NIST explanation do you disagree with? Because the "how" is described in there.

2015-02-08T21:44:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564540261200437248
@DarfusMctrendy Have you read the FAQ?
http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

2015-02-08T21:43:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/564540086511886336

@DarfusMctrendy The interior collapsed first, leaving the exterior unbraced, so it buckled, very little resistance. Near free fall.

2015-02-08T21:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564535312185970689

@DarfusMctrendy What has efficiency got to do with it? It fell near free fall because there was relatively little resistance. Buckling.

2015-02-08T21:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564530266522148864

@DarfusMctrendy One topic at a time please.

2015-02-08T21:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564530194300420097

@DarfusMctrendy So you say no firefighters thought WTC7 was going to collapse? What about this guy? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XImQ6a-VrnA

2015-02-08T20:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564515771091402752

@OxonChemtrails @RAFRed10 Science of high bypass (high efficiency) engines and contrails: http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9WQFO5CIAADgLS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9WQFO5CIAADgLS.jpg

2015-02-08T20:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564515467130187776

@OxonChemtrails @RAFRed10 High bypass engines have cooler exhausts, so they make more frequent contrails.

2015-02-08T19:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564510050304028674

@stang289 He's just repeating republican talking points. It's required to have two republicans on the commission. http://www.fcc.gov/leadership

---

2015-02-08T19:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564502715707826176

@DarfusMctrendy Which bit? I said a lot on that page. Pick one thing that is wrong.

2015-02-08T18:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564490669792985088

@DarfusMctrendy What specifically did I say that was wrong? You seem to be paraphrasing something there. What is it?

2015-02-08T18:24:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564490078995492864

@DarfusMctrendy What specifically did I say that was wrong?

2015-02-08T18:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564489684319875072

@DarfusMctrendy I never claimed anything of the sort. Link and quote what I said.

2015-02-08T18:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564489463489773569

@DarfusMctrendy Where did I suggest that?

2015-02-08T18:21:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564489325618790400

@DarfusMctrendy Old books and science papers are trusted sources. I just point at them.

2015-02-08T18:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564489097339621376

@DarfusMctrendy But perhaps you could just pick the worst example of "junk science" from Metabunk?

2015-02-08T18:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564488989596336128

@DarfusMctrendy No I didn't. I asked you to focus on contrails. I'll back up all my article if you'd like to get into them. Time limited tho

---

2015-02-08T18:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564488705256079360

@DarfusMctrendy I get that you don't trust me, but I try to only say things that are verifiable. You shouldn't trust random people online.

2015-02-08T18:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564488416587300864

@DarfusMctrendy I'm showing you thinks in old books and newspapers? You think I faked them?

2015-02-08T18:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564486577867345920

@DarfusMctrendy "They" is generally me on Metabunk. But let's stick with the contrails. Any examples of "junk science" there?

2015-02-08T18:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564486303006216192

@DarfusMctrendy Heres another example of commercial jets identified.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9V1TOyCMAAVTqN.jpg



The first four pictures apparently were taken before and the last one after the David's picture above (post #139 in https://www.metabunk.org/threads/co...ther-signs-in-the-sky.3659/page-4#post-111989). There are nine contrails in the last picture with five of them making the star. Comparison of photos allows the deduction of partial order of plane appearances and their headings relative to the camera (left or right, toward or away).

Unlike previous contrail pentagrams, it is known where and when this star was observed. Moreover, the photos provide sufficient information for its explanation. In particular, the last two photos taken in Stockport area with a ten-minute interval at 18:40 and 18:50 BST (on 20th June 2014) show the contrail star position relative the sun, the azimuth and altitude of which at that moment are readily calculated. These data place the contrail star northwest of Manchester with its centre being somewhere over Bolton. In ten minutes between the two Stockport photos the star went up in the sky relative to the sun, that is, it was brought closer to the camera position by a high altitude north-westerly wind.

Fligthradar24 playback for this area from 17:25 UTC till 17:50 UTC shows nine planes flying above this area at the contrail altitudes:



The order of appearances and headings of these planes are fully consistent with the photos. The contrails from the flights EZY49EY, VIR302G, DHL5CK, RYR39CF and H2B (1, 2, 3, 5 and 6) probably have made the star. Taking the wind factor into account, I have modelled these contrails on Google Earth, using the Flightradar24 data on flight routes and altitudes:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9V1TOyCMAAVTqN.jpg

2015-02-08T18:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564485215104425985

@DarfusMctrendy Metabunk is my web site. But I'm not using it as an authority. Just examples of identified contrails.

2015-02-08T18:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564483837762097152

@DarfusMctrendy We generally focus on more interesting contrails on Skydentify, like these, identified commercial:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/roman-numeral-contrails-near-the-georgia-coast.5445/

2015-02-08T17:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564483518508462080

@DarfusMctrendy They don't leave thick trails every day, but I'll see what comes up.

2015-02-08T17:56:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564483020141248513

@DarfusMctrendy (unfortunately my sky looks like this right now)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VyUMLCUAELSAC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VyUMLCUAELSAC.jpg

2015-02-08T17:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564482767111462912

@DarfusMctrendy Let's say I see a United Airlines plane leave a persistent contrail. Is that enough?

2015-02-08T17:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564482625952161792

@DarfusMctrendy What do you anticipate I will find? How will I demonstrate otherwise?

2015-02-08T17:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564481520308453378

@DarfusMctrendy But what exactly would be evidence for you? You want me to photograph EVERY plane that flies overhead?

2015-02-08T17:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564481255111024640

@DarfusMctrendy By "all the time" I mean "frequently", not "every time". Contrail formation depends on atmospheric conditions.

2015-02-08T17:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564480126461558784

.@DarfusMctrendy check out this 1944 article on how contrails are more common in some areas.

http://news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1873&dat=19441201&id=afonAAAAIBAJ&sjid=6sYEAAAAIBAJ&pg=6676,5734 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VvgwtCYAEJgYn.jpg

---

Daytona Beach Morning Journal - Dec 1, 1944 Browse this

Whatever Causes Vapor-Trails, They're Pretty

By JAMES F. BECHTEL

Zero weather is a novelty in Florida—and that goes whether the temperature reading is taken at sea-level on Florida's sunny shores or at 20,000 feet above in the sunny skies.

This was apparent yesterday when long-time Florida residents were gazing aloft because several planes from the Naval Air Station were leaving vapor-trails high in the sky.

The newer arrivals looked just as wonderingly at the old timers! Why, in all creation were they dislocating their necks watching a few planes playing in the stratosphere?

Vapor-trails? Why, back North they're as common as an unclouded sun is in Florida!

But apparently vapor-trails aren't common here in Daytona Beach, judging by the way they were stared at yesterday. Maybe it's just because the kind of training done here doesn't ordinarily call for Naval fliers to go up four or five miles in the air. Or perhaps it's because other strange and still not completely understood meteoric phenomena aren't always functioning in the Florida skies.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VvgwtCYAEJgYn.jpg

2015-02-08T17:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564479464701038592

@DarfusMctrendy Thousands of people hold all kinds of beliefs. This does not make them correct.

2015-02-08T17:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564479350473371649

@DarfusMctrendy Then you are doing it wrong. Sorry, but I see passenger planes all the time leave persistent trails.

2015-02-08T17:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564477108601102338

@DarfusMctrendy 1970: "The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight." http://contrailscience.com/files/1970-AMS-i1520-0469-27-6-937.pdf

---

2015-02-08T17:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564476867466391552

@DarfusMctrendy Why do you say it was "extremely rare", other sources say it was quite common.

2015-02-08T17:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564476764726898688

@DarfusMctrendy Air traffic has increased a lot. there are other factors. But I suspect you are simply noticing them more.

2015-02-08T17:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564476552952287233

@DarfusMctrendy They haven't. The conditions are exactly the same.

2015-02-08T17:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564475184615149568

@DarfusMctrendy @ContrailSkies Yes you can. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VrMHnCMAATOM-.jpg

---

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9VrMHnCMAATOM-.jpg

2015-02-08T17:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564474478789279748

@DarfusMctrendy Really? Where's that?

2015-02-08T17:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564471479551541248

@DarfusMctrendy @ContrailSkies @DJSPINtel @YokobeansT @awesome086 @TakeThatEarth Or jet traffic

---

2015-02-07T19:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564150698011410432

@lufitoom Probably military. I can't find it in flight records.

2015-02-07T17:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/564121263291908096

@lufitoom Racetrack pattern contrails. Was this today?
http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2015-02-07T05:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563936716243746816

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Nobody, I'm retired.

2015-02-06T21:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563814922211950593

@dazM33 I keep up with the latest developments on my new web site:
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/contrails-and-chemtrails.9/

2015-02-06T20:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563801433862979584

@dazM33 Are you aware of a single piece of evidence that has no explanation other than secret

high altitude spraying?

2015-02-06T20:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563801103968370688

@dazM33 You said "which condensation cannot do". That's the misunderstanding behind the theory. Take that away, and there's nothing.

2015-02-06T20:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563795104956047360

@dazM33 I've looked it up. I've got a web site about it I've been writing on it for 8 years. http://contrailscience.com/

2015-02-06T20:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563794322659627008

@dazM33 No you didn't.

2015-02-06T20:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563793056764530688

@dazM33 No actual evidence of that. Just speculation. I'm in CA, trees are fine.

2015-02-06T20:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563791641555632128

@dazM33 @MAN_Aviation Haze is condensation, like clouds and contrails. Lots of history of this. See: http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2015-02-06T20:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563790311873200128

@dazM33 @MAN_Aviation Some difference there in either engine type or power setting. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/white-grey-and-rainbow-colored-crj-700-contrail-likely-intermixed-engine-configuration.3246/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9L8G1ZCUAAckuh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9L8G1ZCUAAckuh.jpg

2015-02-06T16:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563732378523168768

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies It's for research into radio communication. Useful to the military.

2015-02-06T05:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563571002680942592

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 What do they say exactly? This was the only Ionospheric Heater the military had the use of.

2015-02-06T04:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563551737072451584

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 no, just computer science. I'm a retired video game programmer

---

2015-02-06T04:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563551390581010432

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 that video is about the shutdown.

2015-02-05T21:45:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563453321059373058

@neiltyson Well, that rather depends on their social evolutionary history.

2015-02-05T21:34:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563450686407340032

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Not knowing something is not the same as knowing it. You are speculating.

2015-02-05T21:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563450614865096705

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Weather radar again.

2015-02-05T21:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563450545759727616

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Where? How much bigger? And don't they just heat the ionosphere?

2015-02-05T21:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563448627624804352

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies So you think the pics show something, but you also don't trust them?

2015-02-05T21:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563448532435099648

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @JonJohnson_1 It's still closed. It's still only 3.6Kw, in one tiny region. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HFdBACAAEO8BU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HFdBACAAEO8BU.jpg

2015-02-05T21:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563447598808178688

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Why not?

2015-02-05T21:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563447575148126209

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Yes we are. There are many research papers on trying to fix it.

2015-02-05T21:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563447388585472000

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @JonJohnson_1 So where are these 1000s of other ionospheric heaters? And what are their capabilities?

2015-02-05T21:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563447018102603776

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I'm not. I'm asking why you think glitches are not a good explanation.

2015-02-05T21:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563446907251339264

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies HAARP was shut down, only transmitted 3.6Kw, and was the most powerful in the world.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-debunked-and-explained.1650/

2015-02-05T21:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563446419042738177

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Why isn't "radar glitch" an explanation? Do you think radar glitches don't exist?

2015-02-05T21:16:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563446170463121410

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies So what are these ripples centered on? They look pretty random.

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?p=geographic&l=MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,Reference_Labels(hidden),Reference_Features(hidden),Coastlines&t=2015-02-05&v=110.69860839843,-32.544799804688,114.68444824218,-30.187133789063 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HDOLmCUAEjSFI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HDOLmCUAEjSFI.jpg

2015-02-05T21:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563445573118734336

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Can you see these rings in satellite photos?

2015-02-05T21:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563445440931053569

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 But some are twice daily. There is more than one explanation there.

2015-02-05T21:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563445303995416576

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Rings of what? Have you considered the scale here? The ripples are miles wide. Tens of miles long.

2015-02-05T21:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563445111078395904

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies How? This sounds like you are just going Ripples->Waves->Electric Waves->EMF->HAARP. Like word connections.

2015-02-05T21:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563444811366014976

@nickmyer @reedtimmerTVN But why would it make mile wide ripples?

2015-02-05T21:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563444627546468352

@SpyDialer I'm going with "no". Harsh abrasives and engines do not mix.

2015-02-05T21:09:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563444415662809088

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HBtdWCUAAHqpJ.jpg



Sunset spike on the Pittsburgh PA radar.

These beams can be caused by a number of things. The most common causes are airplanes (since many radar sites are close to airport runways), large downtown buildings, or the sunrise and sunset. They are not "beam attacks." They are not energy spikes sent out to strengthen storms. They're just objects and waves messing with the radar returns. Less dramatic spikes can be **caused by hailstorms**.

Here are more of those radar spikes, perfectly aligned in the direction of the sunset:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9HBtdWCUAAHqpJ.jpg

2015-02-05T21:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563444156303814657

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies And how would it make the "chemsoup" vanish between ripples? What's it physically doing?

2015-02-05T21:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563443752476237824

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 No, read the explanatioin under the "beam" pic here: http://www.dailykos.com/story/2012/02/04/1061886/-Wherein-I-answer-the-weather-control-conspiracy-theories-on-DailyKos#

2015-02-05T21:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563443493452787713

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies EMF cannot make waves in clouds. Only air movement can.

2015-02-05T21:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563443322266476545

@jackocloud1 @RichieAllenShow There's more planes now, and bigger engines that run cooler, so make more big contrails. And more routes.

2015-02-05T21:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563442900717948928

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 The weather varies. They are weather related (and some are from birds, etc).

2015-02-05T21:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563442679611002880

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies How would that even work? Why would SAG make more ripples? There's no physical mechanism that would work.

2015-02-05T21:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563442369308000256

@nickmyer @reedtimmerTVN Radio waves don't make physical waves. This can only be a physical process of air movement.

2015-02-05T21:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563441973927755776

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Looks ;like weather radar glitches. Like http://www.dailykos.com/story/2012/02/04/1061886/-Wherein-I-answer-the-weather-control-conspiracy-theories-on-DailyKos# http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9G_elJCUAAQ82-.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9G_elJCUAAQ82-.png

2015-02-05T20:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440993253330944

RT @reedtimmerTVN: PHOTO OF THE DAY goes to Kairo Kiitsak! Stunning stratocumulus clouds over Estonia in Northern Europe, on 10/4/14. http:â¦

2015-02-05T20:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440943094042627

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Everyone agrees at some point. Just find the point, and work from there.

2015-02-05T20:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440858842669056

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Most of your photos of ripple clouds were lower altostratus clouds.

2015-02-05T20:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440568638787584

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 It certainly does. And politics, which is pretty much $ and power.

2015-02-05T20:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440411402711040

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 No. "Global Modeling Study" means it's a computer simulation (a model) of the globe.

2015-02-05T20:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563440152442200064

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Lots of people want to. Republicans (mostly) don't.

2015-02-05T20:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563439841442938880

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Thats a modeling study. Computers.

2015-02-05T20:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563439661284995072

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Sure.

2015-02-05T20:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563439288457510914

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I would much rather fix it of course, as would all GE researchers. But then there are politicians.

2015-02-05T20:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563439133410873345

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 If we can't fix it, then what do you want to do? Just live with it?

2015-02-05T20:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563438956050526208

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Like what? Persistent contrails? Aluminum in soil?

2015-02-05T20:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563438821832790017

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 And why do you think it's already happening if you also think we don't understand the effects?

2015-02-05T20:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563438483847401473

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 They should never be needed. But here it's YOU that gives too much credit. Look at the planet with pollution

---

2015-02-05T20:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563437788402446338

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies But how do you know that Dave? Seeing ripples in the sky does not mean anything.

2015-02-05T20:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563437697121783808

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Damaging the planet is what we are doing now with pollution. Ideally we'd stop. But if not, a fix might work

---

2015-02-05T20:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563437477017292800

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 We don't know enough. First experiments will be to learn, and should not do harm.

2015-02-05T15:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563360980734726144

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9F1ysCCAAEHaJN.jpg



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

UNUSUAL CLOUDS 139

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_H$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B9F1ysCCAAEHaJN.jpg

2015-02-05T15:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563360572582805506

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES The wind affects how it spreads, not so much how it persist. Persistence is a function of humidity aloft.

2015-02-05T15:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563360382517932033

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES There are a number of variables, but basically it's like a cloud. Can last for seconds or hours.

2015-02-05T15:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563358154000330753

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Contrails. Spread out by the wind. Ripples reveal unstable air.

2015-02-05T15:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563353953824305154

RT @capitalweather: Our present on National Weatherperson's Day: gravity waves streaking the sky: http://wapo.st/1vusa9D (photo @dumela7) hâ□¦

2015-02-05T13:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563329504462905344

@4thAnon @CoutureDonna Seriously? Spraying fly ash out of the engines? It's just utter

nonsense.

2015-02-05T13:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563328964404326401

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I think any large scale atmospheric SRM program would be incredibly risky. A last resort, politically impossible

---

2015-02-05T13:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563328580478722048

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I roughly agree with David Keith's book.

2015-02-05T13:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563328405479768064

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 But the term also covers things that are being done, like carbon sequestration, and white roofs.

2015-02-05T13:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563328229214130177

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I'm in favor of research, in case it's the only option, and in case some other country want to try it.

2015-02-05T13:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563321525760053248

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 I don't think there is any active spraying SRM geoengineering. But the term geoengineering is broad.

2015-02-05T06:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563222179072835584

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 So you think contrails can't persist?

2015-02-05T06:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563215923109040128

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Wait, you don't think planes can leave lines across the sky? You side with the "contrails can't persist" thing?

2015-02-05T01:23:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563145882627883008

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies When did it start for you?

2015-02-05T00:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563133860217503744

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Right, but are you just noticing them, of has there been an increase? How could you tell?

2015-02-05T00:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563133577383010304

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies You see ripple clouds

2015-02-04T23:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563114767741513728

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 But now you are looking for them, you find them more. So what

dod you think it means?

2015-02-04T23:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563114624866729986

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 Ripples appears in all the books on clouds going back over 100 years.

2015-02-04T23:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563111967427006464

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies So you notice a lot of ripples now. I get it. What do you think it means?

2015-02-04T16:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563018460268339201

@Moe_Fugger_72 @joshclassenCTV @UFOSteve Or look it up in books if they don't remember.

2015-02-04T16:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563016365163155459

@LiveSovereign Now, about those beams.... What threw them? What would it to to a 1oz nut? How far would the nut go? Why didn't it?

2015-02-04T16:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563016043703308288

@LiveSovereign So, I see no evidence there was any molten steel. Just some account of molten "metal".

2015-02-04T16:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563015819714920448

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies and several of the photos you posted were not cirrus, just ripples.

2015-02-04T16:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/563015670523527168

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Obviosuly not rare. I said "Let's see you post 7 continuous days of cirrus ripple clouds"

2015-02-04T01:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562790620264415234

@LiveSovereign Work it out. I'll catch you later. It's an important point.

2015-02-04T01:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562790469470785537

@LiveSovereign Let's focus on one thing. I have limited time. How far would a one ounce bolt be propelled by your mechanism.

2015-02-04T01:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562790142617059329

@LiveSovereign Clearly something did though.

2015-02-04T01:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562789867403620352

@LiveSovereign If a 100 ton column ended up 100 meters away, then how far away would a five pound chunk end up? Do that math.

2015-02-04T01:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562789421876260866

@LiveSovereign What do you think?

2015-02-04T01:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562789317257728000

@LiveSovereign Of course it could, locally for individual floors easily with one collapse, then eventually for columns. Why not?

2015-02-04T01:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562788939707457537

@LiveSovereign Really? The floors could support a 10 story block rolling over them? No, they collapsed, arresting the rotation.

2015-02-04T01:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562788581526491139

@LiveSovereign You think?

2015-02-04T01:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562788487326629889

@LiveSovereign If supporting force is less than live load, then net acceleration downwards.

2015-02-04T01:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562788307118346240

@LiveSovereign So the path of of least resistance for the falling parts of a collapsing building is down.

2015-02-04T01:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562788089417175041

@LiveSovereign It does not. Several floor collapse, this removes support on (already damaged) core beams. Some fail, collapse progresses.

2015-02-04T01:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562787771115638784

@LiveSovereign Who came up with that law? There's no resistance sideway on you right now. Why don't you move sideways?

2015-02-04T01:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562787334467633152

@LiveSovereign The mass is still there. Drop ten tons of sand on your house from 100 feet up and it will crush it just fine.

2015-02-04T01:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562786937292214273

@LiveSovereign A single floor could not support the dynamic load of the floor above collapsing on it, so total collapse was inevitable

---

2015-02-04T01:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562786696191049728

@LiveSovereign "natural law"? It's not a rule that buildings fall sideways or slow. There's physics here.

2015-02-04T01:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562786279059116032

@LiveSovereign That's true, which is why you see the core remains briefly as floors are stripped. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qhyu-fZ2nRA

2015-02-04T01:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562785919875698689

@LiveSovereign So what if it's furniture, it's still a huge fire, easily long enough to weaken a lot of steel.

2015-02-04T01:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562785644670627840

@LiveSovereign Video of fires and both collapses. Notice the fires intensify before collapse. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1J-SawkSLj8

2015-02-04T01:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562784284776624129

@LiveSovereign WTC1, North tower, the second to fall.

2015-02-04T01:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562783711415267328

@LiveSovereign Just before the collapse. Several floors entirely engulfed, flames 40 feet high. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B89ossoIUAI-4m4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B89ossoIUAI-4m4.jpg

2015-02-04T01:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562783331985936384

@LiveSovereign Dissipated? The fires were burning stronger just before collapse.

2015-02-04T01:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562783172182945793

@LiveSovereign How did they model the fire's effect on the structure? Impossible back then.

2015-02-04T01:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562782746599522304

@LiveSovereign Mass is mass. Velocity is velocity. Physics is physics.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FWFX8LtomIk

2015-02-04T01:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562782309951504385

@LiveSovereign I've seen varying estimate of the KE. But let's say they were comparable. It's wasn't the KE that caused the collapse.

2015-02-04T01:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562782035878899712

@LiveSovereign And they DID withistand the impacts, very well. It was the fire that brought down all three buildings.

2015-02-04T01:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562781822216839168

@LiveSovereign I was impossible at the time to do a full simulation of impact. Just back of envelope calcs.

2015-02-04T01:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562781480121028608

@LiveSovereign They were not designed to withstand what happened.

2015-02-04T01:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562780763926851584

@LiveSovereign Hardly an ordinary day though. Vastly unusual circumstances.

2015-02-04T01:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562780626118787076

@LiveSovereign Buckling is a very real thing. If floors are stripped away, columns will buckle.

2015-02-04T01:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562777933748908032

@LiveSovereign What about slender column buckling leaving essentially no resistance?

2015-02-04T00:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562775452641931264

@LiveSovereign Evidence of what? Physics? Have you done the math there?

2015-02-04T00:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562770425055576064

@LiveSovereign It does not necessarily follow that WTC7 was a controlled demolition. You need some actual evidence.

2015-02-04T00:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562769348960727040

@LiveSovereign Or are you suggesting I do something nobody else has ever done?

2015-02-04T00:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562769279763116032

@LiveSovereign Give an example that someone else did that you think I should have.

2015-02-04T00:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562769043237916672

@LiveSovereign It's not massive though. There's lots of claimed evidence, but each bit falls down when examined. Pick one.

2015-02-04T00:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562768722705010688

@LiveSovereign Basically I just want to people to have their facts straight, so if they focus on something they focus on real things.

2015-02-04T00:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562768172873682945

@LiveSovereign Nobody is denying there's a corrupt military industrial complex. But basing your opposition on bunk ensures they win.

2015-02-04T00:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562767757021036545

@LiveSovereign I'm just looking at the evidence. What are you looking at?

2015-02-04T00:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562767415378214912

@LiveSovereign Demonstrate my bias. Show me something you think I should do.

2015-02-04T00:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562767182011334658

@LiveSovereign Cherry pick? No, I find claims that people are making, and if they are wrong I expose them.

2015-02-04T00:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562762826503454720

@LiveSovereign I've not debunked any conspiracy theories. I just debunk claims of evidence. But can you give an example of what I should do?

2015-02-03T15:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562638593449938945

@LiveSovereign Of course they do.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2015-02-03T14:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562618050352914433

@LiveSovereign It's just one. A plane at sunset leaving a contrail, viewed from an unusual

perspective.

2015-02-03T14:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562614725096128512

@LiveSovereign The hostages are turned too. Compare to the Infowars vid where they face forward.

2015-02-02T19:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/562327599985475585

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies Do you think ripples in clouds were more rare in the past?

2015-02-01T06:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561770704719192065

@DanaM_RD But like most aspects of it, governance is a future thing - and probably the first thing.

2015-02-01T04:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561745952977874946

@DanaM_RD what, you think the gov has "admitted" chemtrails? Or just suspicious.

2015-02-01T02:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561710416573640707

@4thAnon It's a public health issue. We'd apply the law to people who dumped sewage in reservoirs. Why not people who put kids at risk?

2015-02-01T00:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561679568092659712

@AnthonyFloyd @lfroese The other option is a "canal cloud", a long fallsteak in a higher cloud layer.

2015-01-31T23:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561674860544290817

@AnthonyFloyd What's the approx location you took it from? Might be able to track down the plane.

2015-01-31T23:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561664330194628608

@AnthonyFloyd I suspect a contrail shadow thorough a relatively thin layer of irregular cloud

---

2015-01-31T23:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561663951570608128

@AnthonyFloyd You might want to post it on the Skydentify forum of Metabunk. Contrail and aviation nerds can help https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2015-01-31T23:13:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561663660993437698

@AnthonyFloyd looks contrail related. Some kind of shadow.

2015-01-31T21:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561633823704621057

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 Albedo enhancements of concrete, etc.

http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncer_abstracts/index.cfm/fuseaction/display.highlight/abstract/7791

2015-01-31T21:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561633447949516800

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 As far as I know there has only been one cloud brightening experiment ever. I don't think it was the EPA.

2015-01-31T21:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561633129564090368

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 Addressing for possible future implementations (in regards ot aerosol SRM).

2015-01-31T20:24:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561621175160172544

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 So you simultaneously trust and distrust the documents? Doesn't that make them irrelevant?

2015-01-31T20:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561621037469532160

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 regardless, it says it's albedo, not aerosols.

2015-01-31T17:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561580272030720000

@DanaM_RD @Tdrinker2 enhanced albedo, not aerosol injections. Cool roof http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8siRDECIAMGOxG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8siRDECIAMGOxG.jpg

2015-01-31T07:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561421677750272001

@Tdrinker2 I've seen them already. I'm asking you to pick one piece of evidence you think can't be debunked. If any.

2015-01-31T01:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561338954922729472

@MainlineFact Well, pretty much everything he says about "chemtrails" is bunk for a start.

2015-01-31T01:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561334379272609792

@Tdrinker2 I have a great interest. Nobody has admitted to spraying things from planes for geoengineering.

2015-01-31T01:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561334204646961152

@MainlineFact Yes, and specifically Veryan Khan's faulty assessment of the shadows.

2015-01-31T00:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561315376743469056

@RealAlexJones Yet you were unable to duplicate it even somewhat, and failed to find anything wrong with it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-31T00:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561314097451397120

@Tdrinker2 I mean that if you look into any individual claim there, they don't really hold up.

2015-01-30T23:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561309485927374848

@Tdrinker2 Can you pick on that's not been debunked already?

2015-01-30T23:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561309119643021312

@ufoofinterest I did a nice GIF comparison of the "UFO" with another contrail here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-floating-ball-of-fire-over-argentina-short-sunlit-contrail.5654/

2015-01-30T23:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561304814567432192

Flaming "UFO" over Argentina is just plane, sunset, contrail, and perspective. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-floating-ball-of-fire-over-argentina-short-sunlit-contrail.5654/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8onXTNCQAIaGz8.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8onXTNCQAIaGz8.png

2015-01-30T17:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561219114476118016

@ufoofinterest More of an eager misidentification than a hoax.

2015-01-30T14:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561164768887570432

@AJBattaglia It has always been so. Just magnified by the internet.

2015-01-30T13:44:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561157946483015680

@nine11inreverse That's not what I say though. Just that there's no good evidence they exist. And some they don't. You choose to believe.

2015-01-30T06:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561050994545397760

Updated analysis of the "fake" ISIS hostage video to include Infowars attempt at replication https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8lA6BUCIAAafoz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8lA6BUCIAAafoz.jpg

2015-01-30T06:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/561049639399329792

@ShowtimeGA @ContrailSkies This video explains it in under 4 minutes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-01-30T00:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560954205347667968

@TanyaSerbia @MarkoIgnjatic2 It's just a patent for a towed target. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1975-u-s-patent-3899144-for-powder-contrail-generation.449/

2015-01-29T16:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560836738940026880

@Freizeit_Tiger That was 25 seconds, f4.0, ISO400, 10mmx1.6, Canon 7D

2015-01-29T06:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560693001995833344

@BlackburnRuth @Redchromedevil @hippiediabla Says who?

2015-01-29T01:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560617881243619329

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Why didn't you just block him?

2015-01-29T01:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560616504182333441

@0P3N_Y0UR_EYES Why are you asking me?

2015-01-28T23:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560586460881879040

@TigerSwan Not sure if it was the shadows you were referring to with "green screen" on CNN, but they were real,

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-28T21:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560544255261687809

@plebosaur @AnonymTipster @SkyderALERT @erinbiba @suzyji Or indeed for one designed not to.

2015-01-28T19:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560525344814268417

.@MontreBible Persistent contrails happen. See #6 in this chart from NASA.

http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8decDICAAAlgrO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8decDICAAAlgrO.jpg

2015-01-28T19:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560513712017002496

@Daniel_Loxton Not that there's anything wrong with that :) I was just expecting something more like TAM. Sounds fascinating though.

2015-01-28T18:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560511313089007617

@Daniel_Loxton It's just not clear exactly what the Skepticism is about in the conference. Just seems a bit insular. Fun, but not useful.

2015-01-28T18:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560510271949524992

@Daniel_Loxton Yes, I'm a fan of your work. Great talk at TAM.

2015-01-28T18:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560509162744844288

@Daniel_Loxton I'll probably still go, but I'm wondering what the contention is in a subject that everyone agrees is highly speculative.

2015-01-28T18:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560507026229321728

@Daniel_Loxton I'm confused. Seems more like a futurism conference than a skeptics conference. Still really interesting though.

2015-01-28T14:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560449846792843264

@EmergentDotInfo Thanks!

2015-01-28T14:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560449241567358976

@EmergentDotInfo @EliotHiggins No, it shows why it is NOT faked.

2015-01-28T14:30:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560444692899196931

@bunnykinns Seems like you changed the topic there Bunny. Don't you want to discuss the five jets? Focus.

2015-01-28T05:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560310240856399873

@bunnykinns @ArtoftheSkies It's five jets in formation. What's to debunk? Lots of examples. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/need-help-debunking-a-picture-four-jets-in-formation-leaving-contrails.4814/

2015-01-28T03:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560276884596854784

@Q4LT00 @RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand nobody denies Tuskegee

2015-01-28T01:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560249206313467904

@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand It's describing real events, you can look it up. http://www.albany.edu/scj/jcjpc/vol6is1/shuster.html

---

2015-01-27T23:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560222221537271808

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Which bit is incorrect? Pick one.

2015-01-27T23:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560218532969848832

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Any evidence of that?

2015-01-27T23:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560217920387551233

@mr_scottie @daysi2013 @Q4LT00 No, wrong according to verifiable and reliable sources, like 70 years of books on clouds.

2015-01-27T23:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560217584734187520

@Q4LT00 @mr_scottie I'm a bit taller. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8ZK5SoCEAAHlxI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8ZK5SoCEAAHlxI.jpg

2015-01-27T23:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560217309046767617

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 And if you want peer reviewed papers, there's thousands. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2015-01-27T23:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560217070759968768

@Q4LT00 @mr_scottie Yes, that's why I give references. Like the books on clouds.

2015-01-27T23:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560216832297013249

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 I spend time debunking claims of evidence that are wrong.

2015-01-27T23:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560216335183933442

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 I'm retired. I made money in the video game industry, off Tony Hawk.

2015-01-27T23:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560214809258385408

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 If you are really interest, I explain in detail here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2015-01-27T23:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560214057110618113

@daysi2013 @mr_scottie @Q4LT00 I'm not a shill. Nobody pays me for debunking. I'm a retired video game programmer.
http://www.mobygames.com/developer/sheet/view/developerId,14618/

2015-01-27T22:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560208170362994688

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Read books.

2015-01-27T22:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560208096715218944

@BigJoeBastardi @JunkScience Inhofe saying climate change is a hoax before voting that it isn't.

2015-01-27T22:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560206829683101696

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Perhaps you should focus back on the science here. Contrails can persist. That's all you need to refute chemtrails

---

2015-01-27T22:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560206191431663617

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Of course I'm not a shill. I was simply querying your logic.

2015-01-27T22:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560205517226663939

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Why would a shill expect the SHTF? No, I just expect you to gain more life experience.

2015-01-27T22:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560204139938861056

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 No reason, just a a reasonable amount of time. Check back in any time you like.,

---

2015-01-27T22:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560203721401856000

@ArtoftheSkies The angle is mostly perspective.

2015-01-27T22:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560203656281083904

@ArtoftheSkies Probably because it was isolated, and looked like it was at an angle.

2015-01-27T22:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560203325841240066

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 We'll see. Check back in five years.

2015-01-27T22:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560203202637750272

@ArtoftheSkies @bunnykinns Jolly good!

2015-01-27T22:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560202999193010177

@A3803 Sehr gut!

2015-01-27T22:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560202860982308865

@simonmcbride @DoctorKarl Habit. He circles by instinct, but over time settles into a routine.

2015-01-27T22:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560202362661240833

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Which is why the elite wouldn't do it.

2015-01-27T22:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560201933978214401

@A3803 Still, might be useful to link to the DLR explanation.

2015-01-27T22:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560201451360628737

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 You can't just destroy 90% of the climate. How is that going to work?

2015-01-27T22:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560200850841157632

@A3803 http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/ Same photo (and 12 more in sequence) used by DLR here http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

---

2015-01-27T22:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560200102304686080

@ArtoftheSkies Might be a case for ..... Skydentify! https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2015-01-27T22:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560199556080467969

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Have you actually read the Georgia Guidestones? "In perpetual balance with nature" does not equate to destroying planet

---

2015-01-27T22:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560199124679553024

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 And how could I deny it exists? That would be like denying Stonehenge exists. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Y6In7CAAA75jn.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Y6In7CAAA75jn.png

2015-01-27T22:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560198922035929088

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 I don't know of any fans, but the point is they would still not want to destroy the planet. Quite the opposite.

2015-01-27T22:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560197935166541824

@donsurber @ImaBannedd How about just for a few decades then? Could we do that?

2015-01-27T22:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560196957369421825

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 How could I be doing that? I just posited a hypothetical fan.

2015-01-27T22:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560196771431731200

@ArtoftheSkies Sometimes you get lone clouds, sometimes you get lone contrails, for the same reason.

2015-01-27T22:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560196441721692160

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Even a hypothetical fan of the Georgia Guidestones is still a big fan of not messing the planet up.

2015-01-27T22:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560196076666249217

@ArtoftheSkies Looks like an old jet contrail. Is there more info?

2015-01-27T22:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560195745089728512

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 I think most people would like their grandchildren to inherit a working

planet, even a psychopathic elite.

2015-01-27T21:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560195521294237696

@bombalurina03 Pics, or it didn't happen!

2015-01-27T21:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560194667749203969

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 You asked if they cloud seed, then why not geoengineer? I replied and explained the difference.

2015-01-27T21:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560194398235803648

@EliotHiggins Thanks, but I think it's fine as is. Feel free to re-use any of the top post (with attribution) if you like though.

2015-01-27T21:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560192024905666560

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 Because one just increases local precipitation a bit, and one might destroy the planet if done wrong?

2015-01-27T21:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560191582314307584

@EliotHiggins @W0G_0F_FAR It does not even need to be that wide angle. The demo video of the clubs was shot with an iPhone

---

2015-01-27T21:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560191313996292096

@stuartdhughesI I just updated that after you posted to include some 3D model analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yy2maCIAAv86V.jpg

---

Here's a 3D model:



From above it looks something like this:



And varying the position of the sun:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yy2maCIAAv86V.jpg

2015-01-27T21:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560191133188231169

@EliotHiggins Thanks, I just updated that after you posted to include some 3D model analysis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yy2maCIAAv86V.jpg

Here's a 3D model:



From above it looks something like this:



And varying the position of the sun:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yy2maCIAAv86V.jpg

2015-01-27T21:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560188347956482048

@mr_scottie @Q4LT00 There's nothing to stop people putting their new ideas on the internet.

2015-01-27T21:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560188043856855040

.@mr_scottie While you are waiting for that, I encourage you to look into the actual science of contrails. 1943: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yv9ynCMAAmK_O.jpg

---

WHY PLANES MAKE *Vapor Trails*



This Dornier's exhaust trail might be spotted by the P-47 before the plane itself is seen

AIRPLANES leave trails in the atmosphere that can be picked up, if a spotter is quick enough, like those of game sought by a hunter. Some of them, especially trails left by a plane's exhaust at high, cold altitudes, endure for some time, while those made by wing tips creating vortices are audible as well as visible.

Both of these types of airplane trails are explained by condensation. Invisible water vapor in a plane's exhaust condenses into a visible cloud when the vapor reaches an excess of what the atmosphere will hold. Wing-tip trails add no water to the air, but as their vortices expand, the air temperature in them drops and the dew point is reached if the vortices are strong enough and humidity is high.





Noise like the whistle of a falling object may be heard when a heavily loaded plane pulls out of a low dive. It is from the wing-tip trail illustrated at left, and is audible after that of the motor dies away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Yv9ynCMAAmK_O.jpg

2015-01-27T21:26:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560187103384829952

@mr_scottie Why were they writing books saying contrails often persist, back when you say never persisted? Wouldn't someone notice then?

2015-01-27T21:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560186907103993856

@mr_scottie So all the tens of thousands of meteorologists in the world for the last 70 years were duped too?

2015-01-27T21:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560185747223744513

@mr_scottie But ice supersaturation is what scientists say causes contrails to persist. Example: from Germany.

http://www.aero-net.info/fileadmin/aeronet_files/links/documents/DLR/Schumann_Contrails.pdf

---

2015-01-27T21:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560184485073797120

@mr_scottie If contrails can't persist, wouldn't there exist a book in the last 100 years that explained that?

2015-01-27T21:15:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560184273584418816

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav i've looked it up. You are uninterested in science then?

2015-01-27T21:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560183844783927296

@mr_scottie It's real. But I was wondering what you thought. You want to discuss science? So where do we start?

2015-01-27T21:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560183336295870464

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav But I gave lots of references that say they are. How do they differ, other than being artificial?

2015-01-27T21:08:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560182641031274496

@mr_scottie Well, let's try just basic science then. Is "ice supersaturation" real science, or pseudoscience?

2015-01-27T21:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560182255482462208

@mr_scottie @Donald_Stott @darren_dazmav @SvenTviking Planes to and from the airport do not leave contrails. Only flyover traffic.

2015-01-27T21:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181877131075584

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav Example?

2015-01-27T21:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181831715135489

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie @SvenTviking Yes, cloud seeding.

2015-01-27T21:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181487085944832

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav What science in the last 100 years DO you trust?

2015-01-27T21:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181252880220160

@mr_scottie @Donald_Stott @darren_dazmav @SvenTviking What about when you were 8?

2015-01-27T21:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181179949662209

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav That's why contrails are in books on clouds, because they are clouds.

2015-01-27T21:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560181108118020096

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav Along with all the meteorologists, and all the books on clouds.

2015-01-27T21:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560180991105716225

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie @SvenTviking If contrails never hung around when you were a kind, why are there books from then that say they did

---

2015-01-27T21:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560180720945995776

@ElHermanoAmigo It's a volumetric "edge" shadow. #3 here: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2015-01-27T20:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560179746143617024

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie @SvenTviking Don't. But why not at least look at a book on the topic? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YoenACUAA1CoC.jpg

---

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957Cloudstudyapictorialguide OCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

1943 - Cloud Reading For Pilots, page 73
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

There is one other form of ice crystal cloud. The artificial one caused by aircraft, and there are two varieties. One is produced by the condensation and freezing of exhaust vapour, which on issuing expands to a large extent forming a line of cloud which drifts in the sky for some time, spreading outward, and becoming less

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YoenACUAA1CoC.jpg

2015-01-27T20:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560179463661436928

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav Are those books not science? You want some scientific papers?

https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2015-01-27T20:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560179214939201536

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav NASA disagrees.

http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/science.php "Contrails are clouds " As do all those books.

2015-01-27T20:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560178557335248896

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie @SvenTviking Maybe you just noticed them? Or you moved? Plus there is a lot more high planes and routes now.

2015-01-27T20:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560178039485505536

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav I'm glad you are at least thinking about the science here. Most people don't.

2015-01-27T20:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560177868311785472

@mr_scottie @TripBurg All the books on clouds disagree.

2015-01-27T20:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560177791740547072

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav So no cloud should ever last for more than a few seconds?

2015-01-27T20:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560177326235738114

@mr_scottie @SvenTviking @darren_dazmav Why would it thaw if it's just frozen? It must be below freezing, so why does it thaw?

2015-01-27T20:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560177192278454273

@mr_scottie @TripBurg Do they ALWAYS dissipate within a few seconds?

2015-01-27T20:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560176396018782208

@mr_scottie What books (any in the last 100 years) say otherwise? I'd be happy to read them.

2015-01-27T20:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560176138895388673

@mr_scottie @TripBurg No, Dane says "any visible trail should not be more than a few seconds at most" http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/dismantling-the-lie-of-contrails/

2015-01-27T20:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560175827476697089

@mr_scottie The books are written my experts. Professors, meteorologists, scientists. They say the same things.

2015-01-27T20:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560175645850734592

@mr_scottie Some of my personal collection.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Ykv1ECEAAnCKT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Ykv1ECEAAnCKT.jpg

2015-01-27T20:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560174679407611904

@mr_scottie I've read about 20.

2015-01-27T20:31:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560173158506831872

@mr_scottie So you agree with Dane, and you disagree with ALL THE BOOKS ON CLOUDS EVER! ? Is that right?

2015-01-27T20:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560173010527596544

. @Lozza61 Utterly wrong. Contrails can persist for hours and spread. It's old science. All the books on clouds agree http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YiULhCcAELZgb.jpg

1983: Encyclopedia Britannica:
http://books.google.com/books?id=SBM8AAAAMAAJ&q=contrails "last for several hou

Page 357

froze. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes the ice-crystal cloud spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

1975 International Cloud Atlas:
http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_407_en-v1.pdf

II.6.3

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YiULhCcAELZgb.jpg

2015-01-27T20:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560172703072534528

@mr_scottie @TripBurg The issue here though is if Dane is correct in claiming contrails can't persist. You know he is wrong & you defend him

---

2015-01-27T20:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560172242785427456

@IDoubtIt @BTRadford Totally ignoring them works for me. Often though they start off not harassing, so you have to judge the cut-off point.

2015-01-27T20:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560171169647579136

@mr_scottie You would be going against the Encyclopedia Brittanica and the World Meteorological Organization. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YgiHoCUAAV9Zn.jpg

---

1983: Encyclopedia Britannica:
http://books.google.com/books?id=SBM8AAAAMAAJ&q=contrails "last for several hou

Page 357

froze. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting ice-crystal plume may last for several hours. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and sometimes the ice-crystal cloud spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus. On rare occasions, when the air is nearly saturated with water vapour, air circulation at the wing tips of an airplane may cause sufficient pressure and temperature reductions to cause cloud streamers to form.

1975 International Cloud Atlas:
http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_407_en-v1.pdf

II.6.3

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YgiHoCUAAV9Zn.jpg

2015-01-27T20:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560170705111621632

@mr_scottie Do you agree with Dane that "any visible trail should not be more than a few seconds at most."? Can you justify your belief?

2015-01-27T20:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560170543014367232

@mr_scottie Yes, that's the same thing. It's wrong. All science and history says so. Like those books I quoted earlier.

2015-01-27T20:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560170258737012736

@mr_scottie @TripBurg I thoroughly investigated it. Quoted the authors of the bill. What did I get wrong?

2015-01-27T19:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560162780230066176

@mr_scottie @TripBurg I've seen it. I investigated and explained it eight years ago.
http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2015-01-27T19:40:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560160343574319104

Chemtrail non-science. The chemtrail theory is almost entirely based on this misunderstanding of contrail physics. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YVOWGCQAATL-f.jpg


sources, etc. With very few exceptions, we should not see ANYTHING behind the jets in our skies that are fitted with high bypass turbofan jet engines. High Bypass turbofan jet engines are fitted on ALL COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT and ALL MILITARY TANKERS. High bypass jet engines are nearly incapable of producing a "condensation trail" except for the most extreme conditions and even then any visible trail should not be more than a few seconds at most. Even many actively engaged in the fight to expose and stop the ongoing climate engineering insanity do not yet understand this fact. Shorter bright trails are in most cases still SPRAYED HIGHLY TOXIC DISPERSIONS. Those who truly want to be effective in the battle to expose the geoengineering crimes should take the time to watch the video below, perhaps twice. More than any other issue, our collective futures depend on exposing and stopping the spraying. Do your part, get educated and become a more effective fighter in this most critical battle.

Dane Wigington

geoengineeringwatch.org

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YVOWGCQAATL-f.jpg

2015-01-27T19:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560157210806714368

@mr_scottie @TripBurg All the books on clouds and weather that discuss this say the same. How is that BS? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YT6lPCUAI4-Ky.jpg

1975 International Cloud Atlas:
http://library.wmo.int/pmb_ged/wmo_407_en-v1.pdf

II.6.3

Condensation trails (contrails)

Contrails are clouds which form in the wake of an aircraft when the atmosphere at flying level is sufficiently cold and humid. When just formed, they have the appearance of brilliant white streaks; soon however they show pendant swellings like inverted mushrooms. Often they are short-lived, but especially when Cirrus or Cirrostratus is present, they may persist for several hours. Persistent trails spread progressively, frequently forming large patches of fluffy or fibrous clouds, having the appearance of Cirrus or patches of Cirrocumulus or Cirrostratus; in fact it is sometimes impossible to distinguish old contrails from these clouds.

Contrails may produce halo phenomena with exceptionally pure colours.

1946, Brewer, Condensation Trails: *Weather*, June 1946
http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/media/pdf/c/3/1946_Brewer_condensation_trails.pdf

The trail, of course, spreads and diffuses behind the aircraft, but if the air is generally saturated the trail cannot evaporate, or can do so only very slowly, and a very long and persistent trail is formed, as is well known. An excellent example of such a trail made by Fortress aircraft at 32,000 ft., which could be seen for some time from most parts of East Anglia, is shown on the cover photograph. If, on the other hand, the general relative humidity is low, the trail will evaporate behind the aircraft and will only appear as a short plume behind it. Actually, the really persistent trails are almost always associated with the supersaturation in the upper air, in which case the trail, once formed, thickens instead of evaporating, and after a few minutes snow can usually be seen falling from it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YT6lPCUAI4-Ky.jpg

2015-01-27T19:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560156869965017088

@mr_scottie @TripBurg So how is this BS? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YToYgCIAA4vwB.jpg

1991: National Audubon Society Field Guide to North American Weather
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644020551293/


When the air at the aircraft's level is near saturation, a contrail may last a long time (34). When they start to become diffuse, contrails can spread to form a major cloud cover (34).

1988: Exploring the Sky By Day, Page 49
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157643850292503/


If a contrail vanishes rapidly, the air is dry and fair weather is likely to continue. Contrails can last for hours, however, and may even trigger the formation of more extensive natural cirrus clouds.

1981: Peterson Field Guide to the Atmosphere, page 137
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/


In this era of high-flying aircraft, condensation trails — contrails — are a commonly observed feature of the sky. Sometimes they are ephemeral and dissipate as quickly as they form; other times they persist and grow wide enough to cover a substantial portion of the sky with a sheet of cirrostratus. Sometimes they maintain their initial integrity as a line of cloud formed in the wake of the rapidly moving aircraft; at other times they develop a series of pendules from which streamers of precipitation are observed to fall.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YToYgCIAA4vwB.jpg

2015-01-27T19:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560156629266493440

@mr_scottie @TripBurg So, you think all these books are BS?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YTZBUCIAIbiMb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8YTZBUCIAIbiMb.jpg

2015-01-27T19:18:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560154775530921985

@mr_scottie @TripBurg I try to back what I say with verifiable evidence, like lots of books on clouds saying that contrails can persist.

2015-01-27T19:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560151279742111744

@mr_scottie @TripBurg No actual evidence then? She simply said it was so?

2015-01-27T18:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/560139774086754304

@mr_scottie @TripBurg How did she do that?

2015-01-27T02:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559899825819222016

@SirYussly Lots of money invested in researching manned flight to Mars. By your logic there are men on mars.

2015-01-27T02:23:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559899534587748352

In anticipation of #Snowmageddon2015 "burning snow" hoax recycling, here's some burning ice. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8UpcKICIAMX8nc.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8UpcKICIAMX8nc.png

2015-01-27T02:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559898761632030720

@SirYussly In the future, maybe.

2015-01-27T02:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559898555205165056

@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand Everything is toxic in sufficient dose. What dose are you talking about?

2015-01-27T02:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559897402530725890

@VaccineResist Either that, or there is no Chemtrail agenda. Which seems most likely?

2015-01-27T02:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559897130798563330

@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand ZCS is not even considered very toxic now, compared to normal background pollution,

---

2015-01-27T02:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559896953777958913

@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand It's not a card. It's a fact. We spray insecticide over human populations now. It's toxic if you drink it.

2015-01-27T02:09:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559896016212619264

@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand Everything is toxic in sufficient dose. Salt is highly toxic. The amounts used were safe.

2015-01-27T02:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559895314685915136
@TrueNameBrand @RealJohnBravo We actually had quite a detailed discussion at Metabunk on it a few years ago.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/army-air-sprayed-poor-americans-in-texas-and-st-louis.888/

2015-01-27T02:03:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559894436209901568
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand She does ot cite studies that say it was radioactive, or toxic above the levels of normal pollution.

2015-01-27T01:58:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559893237779791872
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand And senators can't get access to everything either. But read the study:
http://www.nap.edu/catalog/5739/toxicologic-assessment-of-the-armys-zinc-cadmium-sulfide-dispersion-tests

---

2015-01-27T01:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559892975572881409
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand More detailed discussion here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/army-air-sprayed-poor-americans-in-texas-and-st-louis.888/

2015-01-27T01:56:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559892696462917632
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand SOP. They don't just release all military research because someone is interested. There was a full review.

2015-01-27T01:55:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559892461560934401
@FuturesCalling @TrueNameBrand There were contrails in the 1980s. Look it up.

2015-01-27T01:52:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559891600969457666
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand @icpchad No, I read the research that the researched presented. Very specious evidence.

2015-01-27T01:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559891300808273920
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand @icpchad No it wasn't. That's just speculation.

2015-01-27T01:45:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559890016193630208
@icpchad @RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand I think pretty much everyone know that the government has its fair share of crooks.

2015-01-27T01:45:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559889799478120448
@RealJohnBravo @TrueNameBrand @icpchad What that ZCS was sprayed it was not thought to be harmful. Still not really.

2015-01-27T01:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559879190833401856

@TrueNameBrand @icpchad Geoengineering never was a conspiracy theory. It's the chemtrail thing that's a conspiracy theory.

2015-01-27T01:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559878910553255936

@icpchad That's a link to a scanned book on Flickr.

2015-01-27T00:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559878261946085377

@TrueNameBrand @icpchad That's because the planes are at different altitude. Weather at 34,000 feet can be very different from 36,000 feet.

2015-01-27T00:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559878068370550784

@icpchad @TrueNameBrand I urge you not to trust me, and instead to look it up in books. For example: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

2015-01-27T00:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559875047603449856

@TrueNameBrand @icpchad 70 years of books on clouds say that contrails can spread out and linger for hours. Look it up.

2015-01-27T00:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559874896725958656

@the0nlykey @icpchad @losangelies Maybe, but if it is, it's not leaving any evidence. My focus is on claims of evidence that are wrong.

2015-01-27T00:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559873566955405312

@TrueNameBrand @icpchad it's an expected consequence of normal air travel, yes. Contrails sometimes spread out.

2015-01-27T00:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559872323776294914

@the0nlykey @icpchad @losangelies I largely agree. But that type of thing isn't really evidence of a covert geoengineering program.

2015-01-27T00:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559871324428832768

@the0nlykey @icpchad @losangelies Or more significantly, the F-35 Fighter http://www.alternet.org/fail-400-billion-military-jet-cant-fly-cloudy-weather

---

2015-01-27T00:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559867495859769347

@Moe_Fugger_72 @Watchmakermaker @TakeThatEarth They did. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UMhUYCEAEZEEl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UMhUYCEAEZEEl.jpg

2015-01-27T00:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559866537377419268

@rfrankh53 @mr_scottie @darren_dazmav Slight optical illusion. They are facing the camera, so slightly inwards. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-27T00:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559865501564674048

@rockermom53 Contrails do that to, lots of history to it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UKtPjCIAAqFra.jpg

For scientific discussion, see, for example, all these articles on contrails. In particular the one titled "", from 1970:

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

> *The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and* ***gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.***
>
> *[....]*
>
> *Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2* ***an almost solid contrail sheet*** *reported to average 500 m in depth.*



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UKtPjCIAAqFra.jpg

2015-01-27T00:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559864284302172160

A fallstreak cloud over Sacramento today. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UJmYqCcAM49CZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8UJmYqCcAM49CZ.jpg

2015-01-26T23:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559863190171815936

@rockermom53 @losangelies @icpchad @the0nlykey They sure look and act like them.

2015-01-26T23:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559861686455111683

@icpchad I worked for 4 years after selling, then worked freelance then at Game Developer magazine.

2015-01-26T23:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559860896617353216

@icpchad Actually, it's my strongest point :) We need to reach common ground in order to progress. So I listen.

2015-01-26T23:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559860730418040832

@icpchad Or perhaps because people have told them I'm a shill, and they trust those people? So they ignore facts, like contrail persistence

---

2015-01-26T23:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559860326187794432

@icpchad shares.

2015-01-26T23:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559860300627722241

@icpchad There's certainly a segment of the conspiracy community who are predisposed against anything I say.

2015-01-26T23:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559859477055168512

@icpchad Unfortunately it's going to be a bit of a waste of time. I'm just a retired video game

programmer. Sold my stake in Neversoft.

2015-01-26T23:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559859271882379264

@icpchad You actually think I'm some kind of paid shill? I'd be very interested to see your list of evidence for this.

2015-01-26T23:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559858642401243136

@BlauweStorm Yes I am. But I'm not aware of any errors. However I've point out some you made.

2015-01-26T23:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559858497160884224

@icpchad That's why I gave you my web site, it links to all my online accounts and sites.

2015-01-26T23:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559857822930702338

@BlauweStorm I'd be happy to correct any errors you find in it.

2015-01-26T23:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559857646983847938

@icpchad You should try finding Mick Jagger's real name next :)

2015-01-26T23:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559857469128577024

@icpchad Yes, that's what Mick is short for.

2015-01-26T23:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559856320401002496

@BlauweStorm I question it a great deal. I've had this site for 8 years. http://contrailscience.com/

2015-01-26T23:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559856086832779265

@icpchad Since you already know it, that's not a fun bet. http://mickwest.com/

2015-01-26T23:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559855769302990848

@icpchad Again, that's DNS. Notice the Cloudflare NS at the end. If you trace the IP, you get: http://whois.arin.net/rest/net/NET-162-158-0-0-1/pft

---

2015-01-26T23:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559855119043276800

@icpchad That's the DNS, not the hosting. My hosting is Linode and Cloudflare. You need to to an IP lookup for hosting.

2015-01-26T23:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559854096258052096

@icpchad That's the default Namecheap address, thousands of sites have the same address.

2015-01-26T23:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559853797657161728

@BlauweStorm Because you misunderstand what you are seeing.

2015-01-26T23:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559853440575102977

@BlauweStorm Like a cloudless day.

2015-01-26T23:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559850323842310145

@BlauweStorm Nice sequence of photos. Do you understand the explanation?

2015-01-26T23:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559849520683446272

@BlauweStorm So, it's in air that is suitable for contrail formation, and then it's not. That's is expected behavior.

2015-01-26T23:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559849310754316290

@icpchad Most of that list is addressed here: https://www.metabunk.org/forums/sandy-hook.24/

2015-01-26T23:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559849050241892353

@BlauweStorm Photo of a plane? What of it?

2015-01-26T23:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559848764291039232

@BlauweStorm Interesting photo, do you know where and when it was taken?

2015-01-26T23:00:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559848334966263808

@icpchad That's just a list of refutable or explainable claims. You can't actually back any of them up.

2015-01-26T22:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559847722786623488

@BlauweStorm Yes, it happens all the time, due to variations in humidity, like how clouds vary. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8T6iLVCUAApC2T.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8T6iLVCUAApC2T.jpg
2015-01-26T22:56:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559847479844163584
@icpchad Seems like rather a tenuous conclusion.

2015-01-26T22:52:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559846375785914368
@icpchad FEMA camps, not that I know of.

2015-01-26T22:51:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559846191404306432
@icpchad No, I think the government is terrible, fully of paid liars.

2015-01-26T22:51:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559846097141497856
@icpchad UNODA is real. http://www.un.org/disarmament/

2015-01-26T22:50:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559845947958513665
@icpchad Like Cheyenne Mountain?

2015-01-26T22:49:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559845590838677504
@icpchad I don't think there's any good evidence that the trails are not contrails. Old brainstorming papers are irrelevant.

2015-01-26T22:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559845016827219968
@icpchad "UN Admitted...." and "Contrails don't persist".

2015-01-26T22:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559844871893032960
@icpchad Of course it exists. It's a document about possible future geoengineering.

2015-01-26T22:34:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559841922399735809
@icpchad Dude, all I've done here is correct you on two points. Make of that what you will.

2015-01-26T22:34:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559841769446060032
@BlauweStorm http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2015-01-26T22:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559841615372509185
@losangelies @icpchad @the0nlykey Nobody denies cloud seeding. Been done openly since the 1950s

---

2015-01-26T22:25:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559839678702964736
@GMALICIOUS @icpchad Yes, but what about Nanothermite? What is the evidence it was used, or even that it would work?

2015-01-26T22:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559839376352374784

@BlauweStorm Who admits it? Where do they admit it that's not simply discussing possible future use, or discussing cloud seeding?

2015-01-26T22:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559839186698526721

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad On what? That microspheres were an expected product of the fires?

2015-01-26T22:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559838775916765184

@GMALICIOUS I was responding to @icpchad there.

2015-01-26T22:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559838586149687298

@icpchad I'd be happy to focus on any piece of evidence you have regarding "chemtrails".

2015-01-26T22:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559837841211936768

@icpchad @GMALICIOUS Did I not offer proof that contrails persist? If the burden of proof was on me, I met it. Your turn.

2015-01-26T22:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559837641391083520

@icpchad @GMALICIOUS Well, if you can't bring up any evidence, I hardly need to prove the opposing case. Just note lack of evidence.

2015-01-26T22:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559837356392345600

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad Microsphere are expected from the fire.

2015-01-26T22:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559837172228829185

@icpchad And I'm sure you are smart enough to research the science of contrails? So you know that they can persist?

2015-01-26T22:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836975478210560

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad I was wondering if you had one piece of evidence you thought could not be explained.

2015-01-26T22:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836828056829953

@icpchad No, I'd be very happy to hear any objections to anything I say. I strive to be factual, so I like corrections.

2015-01-26T22:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836682682265600

@maynon2013 @icpchad That's a document about possible future geoengineering (in regard to spraying things from planes). That's what it says

---

2015-01-26T22:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836443674021888

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad Which one?

2015-01-26T22:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836375231385601

@icpchad Not at all. But I'd be more than happy to make corrections if you point out an error.

2015-01-26T22:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559836157811253248

@icpchad So will you retract your claims about the UN "admitting" geoengineering, now you know she's not a representative of the UN?

2015-01-26T22:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559835868664307712

@icpchad Rosalind Peterson is not "The UN", and she's not admitting anything there. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.3514/

2015-01-26T22:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559835613940051969

@icpchad I'd be happy to. Can you link me to what the UN said?

2015-01-26T22:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559835485242023937

@faktoider About William Thomas's -70F mistake.

2015-01-26T22:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559835134442995713

@icpchad Nobody has admitted SRM spraying from planes. Certainly not the UN. Link if you think otherwise.

2015-01-26T22:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559834905245253632

@BlauweStorm That's backwards, all the science says high bypass engines make MORE contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tu2gICEAAVAPu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tu2gICEAAVAPu.jpg

2015-01-26T22:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559834554244952064

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad Just one piece of evidence you think can't be explained?

2015-01-26T22:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559834399261216768

@icpchad So when I say "70 years of books on clouds say contrails can persist", is that wrong? Because the books do actually say that.

2015-01-26T21:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559832988125364224

@icpchad Can you quote one claim I made that is wrong?

2015-01-26T21:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559832525569138688

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad What about it? It collapsed. That's a fact. Needs to be a bit more specific as evidence of something.

2015-01-26T21:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559832226271985664

@icpchad How do you know? Can you prove it? Can you give ANY EVIDENCE AT ALL for it?

2015-01-26T21:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559832083862798336

@icpchad How are you going to watch me without listening to me?

2015-01-26T21:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559832001826398209

@icpchad What handbook?

2015-01-26T21:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559831918758215680

@GMALICIOUS @icpchad Which facts?

2015-01-26T21:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559831585143267328

@Titanrising_ @icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey Seems like a bit of a reach.

2015-01-26T21:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559831498556047360

@icpchad You refusing to discuss any evidence is not the same as me losing :)

2015-01-26T21:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559831345539477505

@icpchad How do you know?

2015-01-26T21:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559831000163708928

@icpchad I see you are currently uninterested in facts. Since that's what I'm interested in, then we've little to discuss.

2015-01-26T21:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559830708038815746

@Titanrising_ @icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey I've briefly discussed it on Metabunk. WTC6 collapsed because WTC1 fell on it.

2015-01-26T21:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559830401305149442

@icpchad For example?

2015-01-26T21:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559829749023793152

@icpchad You spend a lot of time attacking me, be zero on refuting anything I say. Do you still think contrails can't persist?

2015-01-26T21:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559829588834926592

@Titanrising_ @icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey Of course they talk about it. Just look at Google.

2015-01-26T21:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559829274987749378

@icpchad It's not about you.

2015-01-26T21:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559829107240730625

@icpchad For focussing on evidence? You find that laughable? Give an example of a laughable claim I made about some evidence.

2015-01-26T21:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559828900281188353

@mr_scottie @icpchad @the0nlykey I;m not going to go through the entire list of supposed 9/11 evidence. You can look it up.

2015-01-26T21:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559827582305071104

@icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey What's the evidence that it didn't? That's what debunking is about, bad claims of evidence. Not advocacy.

2015-01-26T21:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559827333012389889

@icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey I deal with evidence, not theories. Personally I think yes, but that's not what Metabunk is about.

2015-01-26T21:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559826484458553346

@icpchad @mr_scottie @the0nlykey Like when? Any examples? Anywhere I was factually wrong?

2015-01-26T21:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559819804991692800

@mr_scottie @darren_dazmav And how then do you explain 70 years of books on clouds saying they can persist.

2015-01-26T21:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559819660300804096

@mr_scottie I'm posting a pic of a trail in a film from 1962. @darren_dazmav said they did not exist. I proved they do.

2015-01-26T21:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559819316502089728

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tgs6mCAAA13y6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tgs6mCAAA13y6.jpg

2015-01-26T21:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559819033671761920

@icpchad Don't you Tweet rather more than I do? Does that mean this is your job?

2015-01-26T21:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559818798660739073

@icpchad It's a genuine and straightforward question. How do you reconcile your statement and my article?

2015-01-26T21:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559818430119821312

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie What's this then?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tf5AvCIAEzyHl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8Tf5AvCIAEzyHl.jpg

2015-01-26T21:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559818082609156096

@icpchad Then why did I write an article saying the opposite?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2015-01-26T20:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559817742975393793

@icpchad You don't seem very open minded.

2015-01-26T20:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559817453396439040

@mr_scottie @WolfieSmith5 @darren_dazmav Patents don't mean something is being used, or even that it works.

2015-01-26T20:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559817057340907521

@icpchad Just fact checking. Pick one.

2015-01-26T20:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559816988101328896

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie Debunked in detail here:

http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2015-01-26T20:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559812075938013184

@darren_dazmav @mr_scottie That's a satellite image, not radar. Discussed here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2015-01-26T20:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559806988767334401

@mr_scottie @darren_dazmav Check it yourself. Perspective makes shadows converge.

2015-01-26T20:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559806758877536256

@mr_scottie @darren_dazmav Then why do the last 70 years of books on clouds say they do? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-01-26T19:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559792124506284032

@mr_scottie @darren_dazmav Check it yourself. The "fake" claim was based on shadows. But the shadows are fine.

2015-01-26T17:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559767814731345920

RT @krelnik: Interesting analysis of a fake version of BBC News someone set up, by the people at OpenDNS. http://bit.ly/1yJ5hya #infosec #â□¦

2015-01-25T23:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559497904398663680

@erinbiba @JPMajor Finally, someone who hails me! :) Good article.

2015-01-25T22:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559476652531408896

@HMarston @Rebwarfexri The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-25T22:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559476604972179457

@ProjectViridium The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png
2015-01-25T22:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559476563146588160
@fared02 The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-25T22:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559476032185442304

@An0nKn0wledge @4thAnon They base the "fake" thing on some "expert" (Veryan Khan) saying the shadows converge strangely. But they don't.

2015-01-24T21:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559106750016929793

@jakeadelstein The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-24T21:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559106464732966912

@Houston_Media How would I know? It's a hundred square miles. I've not seen anyone filming near here though.

2015-01-24T21:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559103872590168065

@Houston_Media Anywhere in that region, it's all foothills and oaks.

2015-01-24T21:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559098690678558720

@Houston_Media I'm in the Sierra Foothills, 40 miles east of Sacramento. Oak trees.

2015-01-24T21:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559098450336550912

@Houston_Media What difference does the landscape make? The ground I am stood on is flat. The shadows fall on flat ground.

2015-01-24T21:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559095244709302273

@csmonitor Khan's "analysis" was entirely wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fak

e-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-24T21:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559094894271016961

@LiamPayneTNews The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fak

e-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png
2015-01-24T21:06:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/559094798053695488
@dlSingapore The first video of Japanese hostages was not fake. Just perspective.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-24T21:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559094457392300033

@Houston_Media Why altitude is irrelevant: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8JNaFvCAAAMlGb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8JNaFvCAAAMlGb.jpg

2015-01-24T21:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559093441762582528

@Houston_Media It does not matter where it was shot. The angle of the sun does not change if the ground is flat.

2015-01-24T20:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559092753519239168

@Houston_Media Altitude is utterly irrelevant. The suns rays are essentially parallel.

2015-01-24T20:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559089579458695168

@FastpagesUK The video of Japanese hostages is not fake. Just perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-24T20:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559087965477941248

@Houston_Media You understand the shadows only LOOK converging? They don't actually converge. They are parallel. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=APW-sUYOrbU

2015-01-24T20:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559087629061214208

@Houston_Media It's literally impossible not to produce converging shadows. It's like if people are standing here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8JHLfXCcAE9k7z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8JHLfXCcAE9k7z.jpg

2015-01-24T20:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559084288453251072

@USPoliticsFans he first video was real. This one is just a photo.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T20:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559084270203830272

@NYFinancialNews The first video was real. This one is just a photo.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T20:23:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559084070248792064

@Houston_Media I think you might be misunderstanding something here. They are facing the camera, so angled inwards.

2015-01-24T20:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559083004274831360

@Houston_Media What result exactly? It's hard to see without pics. What shadows are you looking at?

2015-01-24T20:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559079692800491520

@OpChemtrails It means I go on his show, and debunk chemtrails. :)

2015-01-24T19:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559077986645716992

@Houston_Media Here, I made a video to show you:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=APW-sUYOrbU

2015-01-24T19:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559076648595628033

@Houston_Media This was just filmed with my iPhone, you don't even need wide angle.

2015-01-24T19:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559076278418935808

@Houston_Media This isi all you need. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I86TGCcAA3Fmv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I86TGCcAA3Fmv.jpg

2015-01-24T19:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559075857403105280

@Houston_Media What's so hard? I just did this. What are you trying to do?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I8g3zCIAAuYK1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I8g3zCIAAuYK1.jpg

2015-01-24T19:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559073043008339968

@Houston_Media What camera settings? Here's mine. Focal length 11.5x1.6, focus at 3 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I5pZmCIAA56VR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8I5pZmCIAA56VR.jpg

2015-01-24T19:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559072127911862272

@Houston_Media What result are you getting? What's your setup. You saw mine.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8I40oLCIAA7r6n.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8I40oLCIAA7r6n.png

2015-01-24T19:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559070034706722817

@Houston_Media Great. But location will not make much difference. Sun around noon, flat ground. Objects 3 feet apart. Shadows will converge.

2015-01-24T19:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559068962034442242

@Houston_Media Post a pic of your setup.

2015-01-24T19:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559068657494396928

@Houston_Media I'm in Sacramento now.

2015-01-24T19:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559065992769191936

@Houston_Media Yeah, I'm at a different Latitude. Look at the original video.

https://ia902602.us.archive.org/13/items/msg_p/ms.mp4

2015-01-24T17:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559034834169765888

@4thAnon Example, I explain where the bullet holes actually are here. But MSM issues correction already.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-google-photos-show-ottawa-parliament-bullet-holes-are-fake.4945/

2015-01-24T17:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559034284632072192

@4thAnon It depends. Like I said, it's hard to find a MSM story with claims to debunk. Can you find one that's not already been done?

2015-01-24T16:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559032477847527425

@4thAnon Given me one example of the type of story I should debunk.

2015-01-24T16:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559030386278162434

@4thAnon You show me yours. My point here is there are lots of eyes on the MSM, so it's hard to be first to debunk. http://www.factcheck.org/

2015-01-24T16:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559029122999939072

@4thAnon I mean you personally? Have you personally ever debunked an MSM story? It's hard to get there first. They get debunked all the time

---

2015-01-24T16:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559028902627000320

@4thAnon Have you ever debunked one?

2015-01-24T16:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559026954007883779

@4thAnon Getting pedantic now. I debunk things one claim of evidence at a time. This one was in the MSM. That's it.

2015-01-24T16:35:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559026617406590978

@CRAZYONSI @JetpoolSteve @Chemtrails_818 No, they are ice clouds. They sink as the ice crystals grow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8IPvO_CUAEcjm8.jpg

---

11.2.4 The contrail is formed about one wingspan behind the aircraft when the exhaust has mixed with sufficient air of the environment to produce saturation. The edges of the trails are ragged to begin with but further mixing evaporates these and the trail becomes smooth: this indicates that the condensation persists only in the low pressure region of the vortex cores.

Close to the aircraft it is seen that the two trails from the inner engines descend further than the outer ones, those on the right being separately visible but the outer left one being behind the inner left one. Often one complete rotation of the inner round the outer one can be seen.

In the second picture the trails appear hollow. This is because the exhaust did not enter the middle of the vortex core. The particles are too small for centrifuging to be significant.

The irregularities are intensified, and at the right end the mixing penetrates in a few places into the vortex cores and dissipates the cloud. The spacing of the loops must be determined by the distance apart of the vortices: irregularities of all wavelengths are unstable, but two loops very close together merge into one, while new loops can appear between those that are rather far apart. The interval between the first and last pictures is 90 sec.

Motion identical with that shown here has been observed in smoke trails emitted at the wing tips of a Comet aircraft at 3,000 metres which is far below the normal altitude for contrails.



11.2.4

11.2.5 The condensation outside the vortex cores persisted in the trail of the first aircraft to pass, but not in the trail of the second. It seems probable, therefore, that the first trail was frozen as a result of being at an environmental temperature near to or below −40°C. (See 11.4.)

These pictures were taken looking vertically upwards over a period of about three minutes, and because the aircraft was on a turn the downwash was inclined outwards. The effect is to give a view rather like the side view of a straight trail (see 11.2.3, 11.2.8). Where the vortices are closest together they have penetrated farthest, and the blobs correspond to the places where the mixing has penetrated into the vortex cores. In the lowest picture the linear clouds are composed of the detrained accompanying fluid.



11.2.5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8IPvO_CUAEcjm8.jpg

2015-01-24T16:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559025111886331906

@4thAnon I'm debunking the claim that the video is fake because the shadows converge. Am I not?

2015-01-24T16:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559023731008212992

@4thAnon But here I'm debunking something in the MSM. Nobody trusts the media. I certainly don't.

2015-01-24T16:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559023305399627776

@CRAZYONSI @JetpoolSteve @Chemtrails_818 Sometimes it's cloudy, sometimes it's not. It's the weather. Same thing with contrails.

2015-01-24T16:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559023092190564352

@the_producer14 Fake quote.
http://thomaspaine.org/aboutpaine/did-paine-write-these-quotes.html

---

2015-01-24T16:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559022737444708352

@4thAnon But in this case, why you say "now I'm cynical", is that cynical of the "It's fake" story, or cynical of my debunk?

2015-01-24T16:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559022433340895234

@4thAnon Seems like you would be highly biased against the MSM even if they printed something true?

2015-01-24T16:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559021813024292864

@CRAZYONSI @JetpoolSteve @Chemtrails_818 Not all the time. It depends on the humidity at flight altitude. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-01-24T16:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559020807381204992

@4thAnon Plus I explained why. It's because of @VeryanK. It's the expert transference fallacy. She's no video lighting expert.

2015-01-24T16:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559020134610636800

@4thAnon you're not losing your sense of humor now are you Anon? Is just a touch of irony. I'm sure you side with nobody.

2015-01-24T15:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559013527029952512

@4thAnon And I thought you wanted me to debunk the MSM? But now you side with them?

2015-01-24T15:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559012329728118785

@4thAnon It's because of Veryan Khan. She thinks the shadows converge oddly. That's all she says, but she's an "expert".

2015-01-24T15:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/559012045555646464

@mevrouwjasminaa The shadows seem to converge because of perspective.

2015-01-24T09:07:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558913927849844738

@4thAnon what have you debunked?

2015-01-24T09:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558913678972440576

@4thAnon what do you disagree with?

2015-01-24T09:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558913351409864704

@4thAnon khan is wrong. Obviously now.

2015-01-24T07:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558885673680125952

@icpchad you asked.

2015-01-24T07:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558885577597001729

@icpchad I explain that here

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2015-01-24T07:12:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558884953698471938

@icpchad no.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2015-01-24T07:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558884874233212928

@4thAnon my full argument.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2015-01-24T07:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558884437459345408

@Houston_Media that bit of ground is flat. Try it yourself tomorrow, and get back to me.

2015-01-24T07:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558884200397303808

@4thAnon no.

2015-01-24T07:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558883751631933442

@4thAnon similar to some of the moon shadow things, yes.

2015-01-24T07:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558883380385685505

@icpchad I guess you don't want to discuss shadows?

2015-01-24T07:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558883160226676736

@Houston_Media it's flat. It was just graded. Try it yourself.

2015-01-24T07:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558882930190082048

@icpchad maybe you mistake me for a shill? I'm just a debunker. Pointing out errors.

2015-01-24T07:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558882582377410560

@4thAnon what amour stories in MSM? Where do draw the the line?

2015-01-24T07:01:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558882389938544641

@Houston_Media try it yourself. 20mm lens at 5 feet, face the camera, sun behind camera. Post results.

2015-01-24T06:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558881750244290560

@Houston_Media in my shot I stand on flat ground.

2015-01-24T06:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558881530404032512

@icpchad seems real to me. But my point here is about shadows. Answer that.

2015-01-24T06:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558881267970617345

@4thAnon but about those shadows,,,?

2015-01-24T06:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558881196277379073

@4thAnon well I'll go with "no", but I'd have to check. Debunked 100s of things, what is "official"

2015-01-24T06:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558880254601596929

@Houston_Media my ground is flat.

2015-01-24T06:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558880044861231104

@icpchad how does that relate to the shadows?

2015-01-24T06:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558879825796952064

@Houston_Media there are two angles. But then there is nothing wrong withe the shadows.

2015-01-24T06:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558879610507522049

@icpchad well I proved the shadows were right. Your turn.

2015-01-24T06:48:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558879090392854528

@Houston_Media he's facing the sun

2015-01-24T06:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558878846376628224

@icpchad I'm just saying the shadows are right.

2015-01-24T06:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558877758135083009

@Houston_Media noon, why?

2015-01-24T06:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558877397844389888

@Houston_Media nothing wrong with the shadows. I replicated it.

2015-01-24T06:41:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558877215803207682

@Houston_Media but my video of me shows the same thing. But just one sun, one time.

2015-01-24T06:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558876888609722369

@icpchad so how did I replicate it with just the sun then?

2015-01-24T06:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558876749740535808

@4thAnon it's not my focus. Lots of other eyes there.

2015-01-24T06:36:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558875903648755714

@icpchad I'm not. I'm just pointing out mistakes. Like saying the shadows are wrong. They are not, are they?

2015-01-24T06:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558875288109477888

@Houston_Media it's a video, and yes I studied it, then replicated it with the sun.

2015-01-24T06:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558875011709022208

@4thAnon I debunked this "video is fake" story. No comment on that?

2015-01-24T06:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558874302385111040

@Houston_Media it needs one light. The sun. I replicated it. See previous tweet.

2015-01-24T06:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558873963615383553

@icpchad prove it's chroma key

2015-01-24T06:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558872684730134528

@icpchad nothing wrong with the shadows, is there?

2015-01-24T06:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558872506581258241

@Houston_Media parallel lines converge. Like railroad tracks.

2015-01-24T06:14:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558870492103192576

@icpchad it has to do with the fact the video is not fake. Is all.

2015-01-24T06:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558868129712181249

@4thAnon Here's me doing the exact same thing with one sun.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F_mhoCMAA7oWn.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F_mhoCMAA7oWn.png

2015-01-24T06:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558867189600501761

@icpchad All I'm saying here is that parallel shadows seem to converge. Do you disagree with that? http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F-v0SCMAAJUqO.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F-v0SCMAAJUqO.png

2015-01-24T05:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558865210534920192

@icpchad How is it loaded. It's very straightforward. Parallel shadows seem to converge due to perspective. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8F82krCUAAoSuH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B8F82krCUAAoSuH.jpg

2015-01-24T05:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558863739735117824

@icpchad So, then why did MY shadows converge, with one light source?

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F7nATCcAMdO2F.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8F7nATCcAMdO2F.png

2015-01-24T05:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558863120156741632

@icpchad Doesn't change perspective though. Shadows converge, don't they?

2015-01-24T05:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558861330803740672

@UFObureau It's not fake. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T05:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558861302206959616

@icpchad It's not fake. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T02:08:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558808606229811200

@4thAnon sure :)

2015-01-24T01:24:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558797440992559105

@4thAnon I think you know now :)

2015-01-24T01:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558796795032002560

@leetowndrow t's not fake. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T01:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558796680682696704

@truthstreamnews t's not fake. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T01:15:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558795263028891648

@4thAnon Jeez, I just though you'd like to know it was old news.

2015-01-24T00:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558791233988866048

@4thAnon The MSM is presenting it like new. You're just repeating it.

2015-01-24T00:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558782820093403138

@4thAnon Check on Google. What are you, an echo chamber? People follow you for quality, not quantity.

2015-01-24T00:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558781698658164738

@4thAnon Just don't pretend it's something new.

2015-01-24T00:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558777716439130112

@PeoplesVoice_TV It's not fake. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T00:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558777632351719424

@Houston_Media It's not fake though. Just perspective. All shadows converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-24T00:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558777444006518784

@mevrouwjasminaa It's not fake though. Just perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-23T22:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558753144855736320

The video of Japanese hostages is not fake. Just perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B8EXA5tCAAAdp2b.png

2015-01-23T22:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558751835138170881

@VeryanK Shadows are supposed to converge.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-latest-isis-video-of-two-japanese-men-is-a-fake-shadows-wrong-perspective.5614/

2015-01-23T21:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558743552042086400

@syndromeband The middle paragraph refers to the evidence. This is verifiable. I've verified it. So it's a debunk.

2015-01-23T19:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558706648907141120

@4thAnon Old news.

http://web.archive.org/web/20071220142959/http://www.vnunet.com/vnunet/news/2191867/mil

itary-planned-gay-bomb

2015-01-23T18:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558692595178545152

@FreeDumb_News "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-23T18:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558692312469876737

@viewpointshoot Iridescent cloud.

https://www.google.com/search?q=Iridescent+cloud&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2015-01-23T18:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558692056265003008

@yock83 @weatherchannel Nice photo. That's an "edge shadow". Looking edge on at a slab of shadow. #3 here. http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2015-01-23T05:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558502824523214849

@ConservativeXXX @MIKE77901820 I'd be interested in hearing any details, particularly with docs.

2015-01-23T04:07:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558476084312678401

@ConservativeXXX @MIKE77901820 He was told it was weather modification, but not what it was?

2015-01-23T03:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558468574147145730

@ConservativeXXX @MIKE77901820 Most weather modification is just local water authorities. Example:

http://www.kcra.com/news/local-news/news-sacramento/cloud-seeding-underway-over-el-dorado-county/24232696

2015-01-23T03:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558468154473476097

@ConservativeXXX @MIKE77901820 Yes, check out "fallstreak clouds"
https://www.google.com/search?q=fallstreak+clouds&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2015-01-23T03:30:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558466675477983232
@ConservativeXXX @MIKE77901820 Not natural, but quite safe.
http://thevane.gawker.com/what-are-these-strange-beautiful-clouds-over-tunisia-1672403563

2015-01-23T01:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558443169021313024
@etufonews "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-23T01:56:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558443133805948928
@BungieInformer @MoreConsole "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:14:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558356991244914688
@ManikRathee Its the moon from a space station, so technically it's Yarvin 4

2015-01-22T20:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558356058465243136

@DeliciousRick Hard to tell with some of the folk I converse with :)

2015-01-22T20:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558355408029376512

@DeliciousRick You don't think it's the moon then? Have you seen the comparison?

2015-01-22T20:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558355106999971840

@UFObureau "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T20:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558355040625098752

@ScitecH21 "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T20:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558355001630683139

@Dexplicit "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:06:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354965299617792
@MailOnline "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354889470771200
@ofarevolution @NASA @HuffingtonPost "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354851088723968
@LanceBass "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354818629005313
@pid_news "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354799398105088
@TheZone913 @williamsofjon "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T20:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558354758834982912

@ManikRathee "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T20:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558354738907852800

@woodlandsandrew "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354713058373634
@keijimoriiVet "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:05:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354675032813568
@DeliciousRick "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png
2015-01-22T20:04:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/558354654753353728
@StrawberriCurls @HuffPostUKTech "UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T20:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558353755138031616

RT @lebribri: @MickWest this AIRLINER crosses SUNSPOTS !

photo: sebastien lebrigand (france) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B53q-39CQAAXFD5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B53q-39CQAAXFD5.jpg

2015-01-22T19:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558352473274851328

"UFO" on live feed from the ISS is just the Moon.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-in-nasa-live-feed-the-moon.5605/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/B7-qnYwCQAAj7aD.png

2015-01-22T17:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558310832648355840

@jilleduffy http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7-EvmYCMAErUsr.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7-EvmYCMAErUsr.png

2015-01-22T16:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/558308011274293249

@TovRodero todavÃ-a no, lo siento

2015-01-21T18:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557969912656695296

@djrobballz Cirrus clouds

2015-01-20T23:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557680766399041536

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 I want you to pick one thing, and talk about that. Otherwise it's a Gish Gallop. http://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Gish_Gallop

---

2015-01-20T22:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557661940135505920

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Because you keep changing the topic. Stick with one. Back it up.

2015-01-20T21:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557657072855441408

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 I'm just waiting for you to settle down on one claim you'd like to discuss. Have you no confidence in any?

2015-01-20T21:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557652585898070016

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Let's try again tomorrow. Pick one thing you want to discuss.

2015-01-20T21:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557651961202618370

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Wait, you're changing the topic again. Let me know when you pick one. I'll give you a day to settle down.

2015-01-20T21:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557650615527305216

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Let me know when you settle on ONE claim you think you can stand behind.

2015-01-20T20:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557641805681156096

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 I'm sorry, but what is the one claim of evidence you want to talk about? You keep bringing up new topics

---

2015-01-20T17:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557584157987975169

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 So this is the one you want to talk about? The evidence that proves it's a fake?

2015-01-19T23:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557320822407180288

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You mean you think I'll explain it? You have such little confidence in your evidence? Pick one.

2015-01-19T22:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557305390145105921

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick one, and let's see.

2015-01-19T22:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557300316941459457

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 I asked you to pick you best evidence. What's the point of discussing other? Pick one.

2015-01-19T22:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557298444579004416

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You can't pick one, which means you don't have confidence in any of them. Pick the best one.

2015-01-19T21:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557291789845921792

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You keep bringing up all these things. Yet you refuse to discuss any in depth. Have even looked into them?

2015-01-19T21:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557291555371745280

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Is this the best one you want to pick? Krystara with a fake injury? Can you link to the evidence for this?

2015-01-19T21:22:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557286906421968896

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You keep bringing up different things. I keep asking you to pick one. Pick one.

2015-01-19T21:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557286542008258560

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Link the article you are talking about.

2015-01-19T18:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557244922068099072

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 And what injuries were they?

2015-01-19T18:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557243277464727552

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 If you can't find one, that implies you think EVERY SINGLE CLAIM can be debunked. So you don't want to pick.

2015-01-19T03:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/557024161390788608

@edwiebe breath vapor trails too. Probably just dissipated like smoke. Depends on humidity though.

2015-01-18T23:46:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556960892911226881

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Are there none you have confidence in? You can't pick you one think I can't explain?

2015-01-18T23:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556960788523384832

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 But I asked you to pick one.

2015-01-18T22:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556941589096824832

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick one.

2015-01-18T22:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556935149955203072

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Which one piece of evidence do you want to discuss?

2015-01-18T22:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556934197248417794

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Your call. You are the one saying we don't address your evidence. So pick a piece of evidence. I'll address

---

2015-01-18T21:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556933778866589697

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Focus please. I'll only discuss one piece of evidence. So pick one. Urban Shield?

2015-01-18T21:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556932912461803520

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick the strongest individual piece of evidence. Post it on Metabunk.

2015-01-18T21:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556932040520499200

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 And this is your strongest piece of evidence? The one you want to discuss as proof of BB being stages?

2015-01-18T21:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556931523941654529

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Do you think I can explain every piece of evidence?

2015-01-18T21:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556931436213596162

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 That link does not work.

2015-01-18T21:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556931260539346944

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick one piece of evidence you'd like to discuss. Not two.

2015-01-18T21:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556930944532094976

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 I'll discuss on individual claim with you. Not a video list, not a written list. Just one. Pick the best.

2015-01-18T21:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556930764789383168

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 No, how about you pick one piece of evidence you think is unassailable?

2015-01-18T21:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556930166761340928

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 And that this was the third year they did Urban Shield? And it was two months AFTER BB?

2015-01-18T21:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556929939522334721

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You know local authorities do exercises all the time, right?

2015-01-18T21:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556929745305079808

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Urban Shiled was planned for 2 months after the BB. So how is it related? How is it evidence?

2015-01-18T21:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556928759916281856

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Anthony Gucciardi's opinion is not evidence. Pick one piece of unassailable evidence.

2015-01-18T21:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556928264543817729

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Show one piece of evidence please. Pick one. A video is not one.

2015-01-18T21:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556928016069054464

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 What video? What drill? What Judge?

2015-01-18T21:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556927287845609472

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 An exercise planned for two months after the BB is evidence of .... ?

2015-01-18T21:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556926976783446017

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 So you think that Operation Urban Shield has no possible explanation other than ... what exactly?

2015-01-18T21:26:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556925593749446656

@JayRyanAstro What did the religious scientists determine it was?

2015-01-18T21:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556925171777302528

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick one piece of evidence you think can't be refuted. Policeman drill video?

2015-01-18T21:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556923762554073088

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Pick one. Bring it to Metabunk. We'll discuss it.

2015-01-18T21:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556923550536200192

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 So you can't pick on pice of evidence you think is the most legit? Can you find the cop video?

2015-01-18T21:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556923089850613760

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 You want to do with that as being unassailable evidence? Pick one.

2015-01-18T21:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556922814473572353

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 It's all about the evidence.

2015-01-18T21:01:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556919267170344960

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Can you pick one "fact" that does not have a plausible conspiracy explanation? Just one please.

2015-01-18T21:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556919075381583872

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Nope, it's just trying to make people focus, so claims of evidence can actually be analyzed. No long lists.

2015-01-18T20:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556918894879727616

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Veterans Today is not a real Veterans association. It's a conspiracy theory web site.

2015-01-18T17:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556872836527042560

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Dave McGowan does not present evidence, just highly subjective interpretations of photos.

2015-01-18T17:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556871968457125888

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 Post that don't follow the posting guidelines are deleted. What did you post?

2015-01-18T17:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556865588761358337

@MontseTsarnaeva @realamerican8 @erin_nirvanPerhaps you could document what was censored? See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/posting-guidelines.2064/

2015-01-18T17:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556865250394255361

@iris_messenger @Tariq_Taekwondo Bit of a misunderstanding there. High bypass engines make MORE contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actu

ally-they-make-more.3187/

2015-01-17T23:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556588793994547200

@DeepakChopra That's just semantics. How do you "account" for your accounting?

2015-01-17T22:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556575664858357760

@triumph0061 Click "Edit" then "More options", then "Upload a File"

2015-01-17T21:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556561870706860035

@triumph0061 Discussion continued here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh17-video-of-flight-activity-before-and-after-the-crash.3994/#postchat

---

2015-01-17T20:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556541752299909120

@triumph0061 Well the SIA is still at the 350 there. What's the actual issue you are interested in?

2015-01-17T19:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556539533798289410

@triumph0061 I'm afraid not. But it looks like it's at FL350 the entire time it's in view.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7k5v9_CQAEDCut.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7k5v9_CQAEDCut.jpg

2015-01-16T21:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556205162532843520

@NWSLosAngeles @SanjeevBalan There's a whole book of 1905 cloud photos here:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/ A useful answer to the

claims of HAARP

2015-01-16T19:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/556168326062153728

@NWSLosAngeles @SanjeevBalan Cirrus Vertebratus, you can get similar clouds without contrails as well. See 1905: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7fn62aCQAAS0tR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7fn62aCQAAS0tR.jpg

2015-01-15T18:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555801279360819200

That Air Asia #QZ8501 "Black Box Voice Record" audio is fake.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/hoax-airasia-qz8501-black-box-voice-recorder-alleged-adam-air-574-in-2007.5555/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7aaSjjCMAAciKt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B7aaSjjCMAAciKt.jpg
2015-01-15T05:13:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555593692258390016
@stang289 Because the amount of contrails is tied to the amount of air traffic.

2015-01-15T01:12:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555532999102447616
@stang289 No, it's science.

2015-01-15T01:09:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555532183058665473
@stang289 Not really a factor in the frequency of contrails. There's plenty already. More would just change the density of a trail

---

2015-01-15T00:50:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555527333436616704
@stang289 Ambient aerosols won't greatly effect contrail formation. There's enough anyways, and then plenty in the exhaust

---

2015-01-15T00:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555526875485728769
@stang289 I can't see any way it would have a significant effect.

2015-01-14T17:00:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555409081008017408
@DJSPINtel @Womble67 @toasterhead @TakeThatEarth @tattoosandbones Contrails actually sublimate, not melt. And only in low humidity #physics

---

2015-01-14T16:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555408698575581185
@Bishop_Danced Which one do you think destroys most?

2015-01-14T16:44:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555405194456883201
@OpChemtrails @iain39 But if the planes are at slightly different altitudes, then you'll get the same difference.

2015-01-14T16:44:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555405030644142080
@the1stStarr It depends on the humidity. They will spread out if humidity is high at that altitude.

2015-01-14T16:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555404837479661569
@nine11inreverse Why is the inaccuracy of the media during a complex developing story such a surprise to you? What do you expect?

2015-01-14T16:42:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/555404539902189568
@nine11inreverse Not true. Later stories don't say he was shot in the head. Just shot.

http://news.sky.com/story/1405550/paris-attacks-policemans-family-devastated

---

2015-01-14T05:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555231970146254848

@nine11inreverse So the media made a mistake? Has this ever happened before?

2015-01-14T01:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555168074815377408

@reject_all_lies What did he tell you exactly?

2015-01-14T01:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555167997224951808

@reject_all_lies Rosalind Peterson at some convention in the UN building, nobody knows why she was invited. But what of it?

2015-01-13T22:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555134097874370563

@reject_all_lies But other people did. So how do you explain what they saw?

2015-01-13T22:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555134000323653632

@reject_all_lies You are just noticing them more now, as you are fascinated by the chemtrail theory.

2015-01-13T22:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555133406234017792

@reject_all_lies planes at different altitudes. Like clouds appear at certain altitudes.

2015-01-13T22:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555133304450478080

@reject_all_lies Same way a cloud stops.

2015-01-13T22:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555127328364187648

@nine11inreverse Not trolling, just honest debunking.

2015-01-13T22:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555126838549164032

@reject_all_lies It was clearly humid enough at the altitude of the planes. There's even some natural clouds there. Weather changes.

2015-01-13T21:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555121125835804673

@reject_all_lies Contrails and cirrus clouds? And so?

2015-01-13T21:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555119930656710656

@LizzyM_TO @Gods_Spy @davidicke Did you already believe it was a false flag before you looked at the evidence?

2015-01-13T21:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555114035012972545

@reject_all_lies @DaveMustaine What's not a secret? Low humidity will lead to no contrails. That's just simple physics.

2015-01-13T19:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555091028496351234

@LizzyM_TO @Gods_Spy @davidicke Look at the first shot on JFK, no blood. People don't explode every time they get shot.

2015-01-13T19:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555090013713887232

@reject_all_lies @DaveMustaine No clouds either. It's just low humidity aloft.

2015-01-13T18:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555076117384941571

@LizzyM_TO @Gods_Spy @davidicke Do you have some examples of people wearing body armor who were killed by AK47s,, to compare it with?

2015-01-13T17:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/555048217029902336

@4thAnon @An0nKn0wledge @OccupyNA According to me, and everyone who was there :)

2015-01-12T18:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/554714088514002944

@davebrooker33 Remember you are looking for cirrus ripple clouds, not just any old ripple. Seems like you do have more than we did in LA.

2015-01-11T00:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/554077201722007552

@SkepticalDDS @Cosmic_Horizons @DoctorKarl Climbers use supplemental O2. Not 100% and not all the time. Too heavy to carry 100% full-time

---

2015-01-11T00:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/554072897657241600

@davebrooker33 Yeah, altostratus. Cirrus is much featherier

2015-01-11T00:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/554072206259802112

@SkepticalDDS @DoctorKarl The 5 psi environments were pure oxygen. Not any more, due to fires.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Apollo_1#Pure_oxygen_atmosphere

2015-01-11T00:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/554071021465395200

@davebrooker33 Those look more like altostratus, not cirrus.

2015-01-10T17:01:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553959952793223169

@davebrooker33 Nice ripple clouds.

2015-01-10T17:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553959689835528192

@ElGliko Thanks, very cool!

2015-01-10T17:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553959609518809088

RT @NWSElko: Thanks 2 NWSLaCrosse! Really cool optical phenomena explained with a halo display from Red River, NM yesterday. http://t.co/3yâ¦

2015-01-10T01:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553728225063747585

@kestert @wadowney01 @DoctorKarl It's the same reason mirrors invert left-right, but not up-down.

2015-01-10T00:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553715803003322369

@IDoubtIt Add some vinegar.

2015-01-09T17:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553611057189904384

@SemiEvolved @bganderson They are all over. Hotspot is in the Shasta area, but even plenty here in El Dorado County (East of Sacramento)

2015-01-09T17:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553610005040365569

@bganderson @SemiEvolved It's mostly north/south traffic in CA. But there's quite a few chemtrail folk here.

2015-01-09T04:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553410293934870528

@LookUpForTruth @Er_Nope It does not exist over the US, was based on old papers. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-global-dimming-vs-global-brightening-as-evidence-for-geoengineering-or-chemtrails.2182/

2015-01-09T01:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553368223568388096

Does the logic that insulting a a religion is punishable by death imply we should punish murderers by insulting their religion?

2015-01-08T21:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553305205274853376

@NotPorC Well, science says exactly the same thing. So this time he's right.

2015-01-08T20:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553290431459364865

@EsfrNaoki Thanks!

2015-01-08T20:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553283135908360194

@AboveTopSecret I think there's enough valid guesses on the thread. Bit of debris on the lens.

2015-01-08T20:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553282337166069760

@AboveTopSecret Looks like an out of focus twig very close to the camera.

2015-01-08T20:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553282206001803266

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @reject_all_lies @blazeart_ Look for like a fractus type of cloud.

2015-01-08T20:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553282053899567104

@EsfrNaoki Link doesn't work. But I'm quite happy to have it translated and reposted (with attribution)

2015-01-08T20:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553281596414230529

@davebrooker33 You should check the Skydentify forum if you want suspicious clouds or planes identified. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/Skydentify/

2015-01-08T20:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553281049804148736

@corkill_jana @brokencountry1 Hey, I'm just pointing out bunk where I see it :) It's not something she'd say, so not really representative.

2015-01-08T18:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553264842149482496

@corkill_jana @brokencountry1 This is a fake quote from a satirical web site. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-when-the-gunman-realizes-that-nobody-else-is-armed-he-will-lay-down-his-weapons-satire.5488/

2015-01-08T18:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553256698002276352

@Gods_Spy @davidicke I did, and there isn't. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Gladio

---

2015-01-08T04:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/553045882669899776

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @reject_all_lies @blazeart_ Clearly they are not ripple cirrus. Nobody ever said they were. Look at other pages.

2015-01-08T01:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552994283226398720

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @reject_all_lies @blazeart_ It's an opportunity for you to provide actual evidence. So why don't you?

2015-01-08T01:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552993456122265600

@davebrooker33 @Kal1_Furies @reject_all_lies @blazeart_ Let's see you post 7 continuous days of cirrus ripple clouds

---

2015-01-08T01:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552993333854109696

@Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 @reject_all_lies @blazeart_ These look like them. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6ygX16CIAAlc1-.jpg

---



PLATE 60. CIRRO RIPPLES. Page 150.
(*Cirro-cumulus Undatus.*)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6ygX16CIAAlc1-.jpg
2015-01-08T00:29:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552985373430022144
@Kal1_Furies @blazeart_ @davebrooker33 Did you check out the books on clouds? Here's another from 1957: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

2015-01-08T00:04:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552979161590288384
@davebrooker33 @reject_all_lies @Kal1_Furies @blazeart_ Here's a 1903 book, You'll find all your clouds in there. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

2015-01-08T00:02:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552978522915213312
@reject_all_lies @Kal1_Furies @blazeart_ @davebrooker33 So you think all the books on clouds for the last 70 years are lies?

2015-01-07T23:14:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552966633111945216
@Kal1_Furies @blazeart_ @davebrooker33 Its the same debunking because people keep posting the same bunk. Did you look at the books?

2015-01-07T18:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552895744097533952
@Gods_Spy @davidicke You think al Qaeda has no military training?

2015-01-07T13:49:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/552824457379581952
@Gods_Spy @davidicke What is there about the Paris "official story" that is inaccurate?

2015-01-07T13:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552824037399724033

@HartReikiCURES It's not flying straight up. It's flying over. Perspective.

2015-01-07T13:47:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552823876657246209

@blazeart_ @Kal1_Furies @davebrooker33 there is no "old type". Look at old books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2015-01-07T13:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552823447345061889

@davidicke Are you not telling a story here? Fitting the events into your personal world view?

2015-01-06T05:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552343705462784000

Some contrails by moonlight http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6pRplaCUAAX23B.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6pRplaCUAAX23B.jpg

2015-01-05T18:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552169279810838529

@THEWaveofAction @NathanTFolks Go back to Metabunk, and prove it. Tweeting specious claims is a waste of time.

2015-01-05T18:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/552167704564486145

@THEWaveofAction @NathanTFolks Is that your evidence? The reality is that tweets are shown in the users time zone. So people get confused.

2015-01-05T05:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/551978930505138176

@THEWaveofAction @NathanTFolks Tweets are displayed in the time zone of the viewer. Do a simple test to verify.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-natural-news-boston-controlled-explosion-exercise.1395/page-3#post-140088

2015-01-05T05:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/551973979481587712

RT @BostonGlobe: UMass Boston hopes new $ $182 million science facility will draw attention to its academics http://ow.ly/GMBJJ http://â¦

2015-01-04T05:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/551612858702630912

@CanucksIn4 @toasterhead Says who?

2015-01-01T21:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/550762111555469314

Contrail sky over London, 1958.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-7#post-139573 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6SzF1SCIAE-gEc.png

---



The lights of an airport at night never fail to move the spirit, and this portrayal of dusk falling on London's great terminal—just as the old year receded—shows the subject in a setting dramatically high-lighted by the contrails of military aircraft.

CIVIL AVIATION . . .

T.A.I.'s existing service between France and Australasia. As in the case of Jules Verne's hero, these marathon journeys are spurred by motives other than immediate cash gain. The difficulties of operating round-world services seem high in relation to the tangible reward. Routine operational problems such as spares provisioning, crew familiarization, space control and flight scheduling are intensified on services of such great length and relatively low frequency as these.

But the most exacting obstacles are to be found in the diplomatic field. On flights of this length there is no possibility of obtaining an economic load-factor if traffic is limited to that moving to and from the homeland. The necessity of carrying traffic between pairs of foreign countries—so-called "fifth-freedom traffic"—demands an extraordinary volume of diplomatic bargaining before satisfactory rights can be obtained for operating a round-world service.

The amicable relationship existing between the major airlines of the British Commonwealth, together with the close political ties linking the U.K. and its colonies to the Dominions, means that carriers such as Q.E.A. have less severe political problems to face than those airlines whose national governments are in an unfavourable bargaining position.

In obtaining permission to operate across the U.S. those airlines planning round-world services probably face their most arduous obstacle. Air France's recent experiences illustrate the difficulty of getting U.S. rights. Airlines whose governments have less to offer the State Department might soon be exploring the possibilities of using routes through Canada or Central America rather than through the U.S.

B.O.A.C.'s ATLANTIC FARE PLANS

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6SzF1SCIAE-gEc.png

2015-01-01T04:45:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/550513222051434496

Rand Paul debunks "chemtrails" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6PQ285IUAEJHlr.jpg

---

From: U.S. Senator Rand Paul [mailto:senator@paul.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 11:49 AM
To: paul@klecka.com
Subject: Reply from Senator Rand Paul

April 18, 2013

Dear Mr. Klecka,

Thank you for contacting my office about chemtrails. I appreciate hearing your thoughts on this issue.

Evidence suggests that the phenomena sometimes referred to as chemtrails are simply condensation trails formed in the wake of jet aircraft, which may remain visible in the sky for long periods of time given favorable atmospheric conditions.

Again, thank you for contacting me. It is an honor and a privilege to represent the Commonwealth of Kentucky in the United States Senate. Please continue to inform me of any thoughts you may have on federal legislative issues.

Sincerely,

Rand Paul

Rand Paul, MD
United States Senator

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B6PQ285IUAEJHlr.jpg
2014-12-28T16:27:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/549240329795141633
@_GOLD4MONEY @jeffreymadwisc You should check that claim against all the books on clouds, which say the opposite.. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-12-15T16:53:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/544535807713742848
@FullOfShite I agree, seems a little like blind faith. I know I'm not going to reach those people. Plenty on the fence though.

2014-12-15T16:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/544533803306217472
@FullOfShite I avoid debate. Try to just focus on particular claims of evidence. On Metabunk.

2014-12-15T16:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/544531154657767424
@FullOfShite @HartReikiCURES @bunnykinns @jace3312 @lek_black @loftyjester @martinhume 1921, contrail turns to cloud:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B46QK84CcAA0hgA.jpg

CLOUD FORMATION BY SUPERCHARGED PLANE.[1]

[Reprinted from *U. S. Air Service*, July, 1921, p. 13.]

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field [Dayton, Ohio] recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000–27,000 feet at 11:50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

* * *

[1] Cf. Varney, B. M.: The Argonne battle cloud. Mo. Weather Rev., June, 1921, 49: 348-349, also Wegener, A.: Frost-supersaturation (Frostübersättigung) and cirrus. *Ibid.* p. 349.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B46QK84CcAA0hgA.jpg

2014-12-15T16:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/544530770220437504

@FullOfShite @HartReikiCURES @bunnykinns @jace3312 @lek_black @loftyjester @martinhume 1957: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B46PyC5CYAAlUvT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B46PyC5CYAAlUvT.jpg

2014-12-15T16:28:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/544529460330905601

@ShawnSt51128037 @jace3312 @lek_black @HartReikiCURES @loftyjester @martinhume No, I'm just pointing out 70 years of books disagree with you

---

2014-12-15T16:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/544524925663326208

@HartReikiCURES @bunnykinns @jace3312 @lek_black @loftyjester @martinhume 70 years of fake books? Think about it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-12-15T16:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/544524692405510144

@stang289 I've re-validated. They were getting marked as spam, messed with my email system.

2014-12-13T18:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/543830954012188672

@clearlyess Because it's an obvious hoax, and I don't want Dane to blame me when he figures it out. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/hoax-climate-engineering-pilot-disclosure.5325/

2014-12-13T16:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/543799184013262849

@jace3312 @lek_black @HartReikiCURES @loftyjester @martinhume It's a real 1972 book. Look it up. You need to explain it.

2014-12-13T15:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/543793198301065218

@jace3312 @lek_black @HartReikiCURES @loftyjester @martinhume Looks like these 1972 contrails https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4vw8E9CIAAewb-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4vw8E9CIAAewb-.jpg

2014-12-12T18:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/543477434058682368

@4thAnon I see no evidence of a secret spraying program that leaves trails in the sky. Who knows what the future will bring.

2014-12-11T20:18:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/543137805774241792

@MYENDLESSPATH @johnramos91 @angelsavant @martinhume @aneebal_ It happens.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4mc5EZCMAAU-2V.jpg

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4mc5EZCMAAU-2V.jpg

2014-12-10T22:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542811181627346945

RT @KenCaldeira: When we treat drugs as a criminal problem, we generate criminals. Legalize drugs, medicalize addiction. @ProPublica @TDSIaâ¦

2014-12-10T22:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542811115588042753

@4thAnon So what exactly is the belief based on?

2014-12-10T22:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542811039402717184

@4thAnon And people say "the government has admitted...." when they have done no such thing.

2014-12-10T22:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542810813770104832

@4thAnon Most chemtrail believers think that normal contrails can't persist. That's the type of bunk I try to address.

2014-12-10T22:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542810687362195456

@4thAnon The point here is the lack of evidence. And the large amount of bunk claims that belief is based on.

2014-12-10T22:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542807054964912128

@4thAnon People talk about going to Mars soon. Does this mean we went to Mars years ago?

2014-12-10T22:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542806822491418624

@4thAnon I see no evidence it is being done. Talking about the future is not evidence of the past.

2014-12-10T21:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542800359190573056

@4thAnon So in your language "first experiments" means "they have been doing it for decades"?

2014-12-10T21:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542800158707052544

@4thAnon So the Feds are not collecting evidence? They are done? Declared innocence?

2014-12-10T21:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542793766533226496

@4thAnon You think this video should be titled "Rosalind Peterson Admits There Are No Chemtrails"? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zOXt0BTOTN0

2014-12-10T21:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542793389935034368

@4thAnon So you'd also admit there's no chemtrails? Seeing as there's no evidence.

2014-12-10T20:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542785144247963648

@4thAnon Admitting they have limited evidence is entirely different from admitting they know someone else did it. The headline is a lie.

2014-12-10T20:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542772928022986752

@edkloosterhuis Spider silk

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-raindrop-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/

2014-12-10T20:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542772865162944512

@SageOfSixAnons Spider silk

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-raindrop-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/

2014-12-10T19:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542762678138073088

@DarfusMctrendy @CTMQ There's lots of books on clouds over the last 70 years that document it. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-12-10T19:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542762339586420738

@4thAnon So should you say at least "Feds appear to admit ...."? I mean they didn't admit it, did they? You don't like doublespeak normally.

2014-12-10T19:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542757527901831168

@4thAnon That's not what they said. They said they had no evidence other than Todashev's accusation. Still a prime suspect though.

2014-12-10T13:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542673936853393408

@Anon_thr33 They are contrails. High bypass engines actually make more. Look it up.

2014-12-10T13:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542671961952768000

RT @A3803: #Fallstreak hole durch Flugzeug, #Kairouan am 06.12.:

https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=753857501364387

Entstehung: http://www.wetter-center.de/blog/?p=314 http://t.co/Dgâ¦

2014-12-09T23:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542454899317805056

@johnramos91 @MYENDLESSPATH @angelsavant @martinhume @aneebal_ I just think they are more likely contrails. Nobody denies what you see.

2014-12-09T20:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542421457658073088

Anticrepuscular rays, like the rays of a setting sun, except THERE IS NO SUN!!!

https://www.google.com/search?q=anticrepuscular+rays&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4cRbgpCAAAp_-l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4cRbgpCAAAp_-l.jpg

2014-12-09T19:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542408177103298560

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @Y0UR_Karma Contrails stop when they reach the edges of invisible regions of ice supersaturated air. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4cFVCDCQAAVM8T.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4cFVCDCQAAVM8T.jpg
2014-12-09T18:15:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542381947377307649
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @Y0UR_Karma Clouds are never the same twice. So what exactly are you looking for? Just a lot of contrails in one place?

2014-12-09T16:23:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542353757413994496
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @Y0UR_Karma See here. https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,!Reference_Labels,!Reference_Features,Coastlines&time=2014-12-08&map=-116.019531,31.17627,-99.874023,39.719238 There's lots of times you get regions of contrails in the US. Just weather.

2014-12-09T05:39:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542191672990253058
@freedomforthwin Spider silk from ballooning spiders. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-raindrop-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4ZAct4CAAAU15b.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4ZAct4CAAAU15b.jpg
2014-12-09T05:37:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542191349210955777
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @Y0UR_Karma It's because contrails are normal.

2014-12-08T23:38:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542100939650236416
@NatGeo Technically those are circle arcs, not spirals :)

2014-12-08T19:41:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542041215097520128

Why planes make vapor trails. Popular science, 1943. Same reason now, but jet exhausts are much bigger. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4W3S28CYAEiGhx.jpg

WHY PLANES MAKE *Vapor Trails*



AIRPLANES leave trails in the atmosphere that can be picked up, if a spotter is quick enough, like those of game sought by a hunter. Some of them, especially trails left by a plane's exhaust at high, cold altitudes, endure for some time, while those made by wing tips creating vortices are audible as well as visible.

Both of these types of airplane trails are explained by condensation. Invisible water vapor in a plane's exhaust condenses into a visible cloud when the vapor reaches an excess of what the atmosphere will hold. Wing-tip trails add no water to the air, but as their vortices expand, the air temperature in them drops and the dew point is reached if the vortices are strong enough and humidity is high.





Noise like the whistle of a falling object may be heard when a heavily loaded plane pulls out of a low dive. It is from the wing-tip trail illustrated at left, and is audible after that of the motor dies away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4W3S28CYAEiGhx.jpg
2014-12-08T17:48:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/542012926140231680

@Q4LT00 Everything? Would "we are out of dogfood" need peer review?

2014-12-08T17:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/542010994424160257

@Q4LT00 @revkevinmacole No, one can simply demonstrate that a claim is false. You don't need science to point out simple falsehoods.

2014-12-08T02:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541782540839706624

@revkevinmacole Not exactly.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-i-installed-chemtrail-devices-whistleblower.3715/

2014-12-07T19:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541678241312813056

@Q4LT00 You know exactly why. You are just arguing semantically, not discussing honestly. So I'm done.

2014-12-07T19:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541676939119833088

@Q4LT00 Isn't that what I said? Here's another plane that was shot at.
http://www.cnn.com/2011/TRAVEL/03/29/north.carolina.plane.hole/

2014-12-07T19:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541675776676868096

@Q4LT00 Here's one where a plane spraying chemicals was shot. Motive unclear.
http://www.ky3.com/news/local/crop-dusting-plane-shot-while-flying-over-lawrence-county/21048998_30087940

2014-12-07T19:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541675579129356290

@Q4LT00 You can explain why you are asking. I'm not aware of any such instances. But planes have been shot at, motive unknown.

2014-12-07T18:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541663971283976192

@Q4LT00 Please speak plainly. Say what you think. Don't play word games.

2014-12-07T18:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541658293014249473

@Q4LT00 Should we not worry about things that haven't happened before? Anyway, there's many reasons to debunk.

2014-12-07T17:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541650049877278720

@ShepardAmbellas @intellihubnews @thegre8_1 @YoshuaTalmid Compare the FTIR spectra of the sample with spider silk. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4RT2GHCEAIFjmX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4RT2GHCEAIFjmX.jpg

2014-12-07T17:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541645368220332032

@Q4LT00 Planes have to land and take off sometime. Planes get shot. Then there's chemtrail folk who boasted about shining lasers at planes.

2014-12-07T14:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541606418596790274

@Q4LT00 Maybe there is. Have you seen the hundreds of posts people made about shooting down planes?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/advocating-violence-against-chemtrail-planes-pilots-scientists-and-debunkers.251/

2014-12-07T01:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541403986603954176

@Q4LT00 Yes, but they don't actually need to. There's no pressing reason. It's just interesting to them.

2014-12-07T00:46:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541393168814444544

@Q4LT00 Yes, the point that there's no need to investigate crop circles.

2014-12-07T00:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541392881584312321

@Furiousnurse Unless you have a specific question, then I'm done.

2014-12-07T00:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541392763036528640

@Furiousnurse That what seems to be in the video at those points. See books. Look up ballooning spiders field http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NpyPGCIAAgPZB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NpyPGCIAAgPZB.jpg

2014-12-07T00:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541392109618483200

@Furiousnurse The field covered in spider silk from ballooning spiders?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NpN15CYAAh5U5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NpN15CYAAh5U5.jpg

2014-12-07T00:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541391738737143808

@Furiousnurse Ballooning spiders.

http://www4.uwm.edu/fieldstation/naturalhistory/bugoftheweek/spider_flight.cfm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4No2qICcAE2tLk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4No2qICcAE2tLk.jpg

2014-12-07T00:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541391122782642177

@Furiousnurse 17:35 in your video, bottom frame. That's the same spider silk as in the debunked article.

2014-12-07T00:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541389653316624384

@Furiousnurse @lj_kulwicki @johnramos91 @AnnLewisChicago @cathunwin @P01YN0NYM0U55 @john19071969 And yet it was still just spider silk

---

2014-12-07T00:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541389056077070336

@Q4LT00 Yet I didn't call you a troll, and I had an extended discussion with you. I'd actually side with you missing the point.

2014-12-06T23:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541375455018766337

@Furiousnurse @johnramos91 @AnnLewisChicago @cathunwin @P01YN0NYM0U55 @john19071969 Just the facts ma'am.

2014-12-06T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541370328367124480

@BlackburnRuth @Alicia_May_Bee @star2000dancer @Daphodillia @Jerome09 @SteerMark Can you point out ONE THING I got wrong?

2014-12-06T23:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541370168887107584

@BlackburnRuth @Alicia_May_Bee @star2000dancer @Daphodillia @Jerome09 @SteerMark Calling someone a troll is an easy way of ignoring facts.

2014-12-06T22:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541353302978347008
@OpChemtrails @mrcsmm Looks like contrails to this 42 year old book:
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NF8a_CMAA8kpp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4NF8a_CMAA8kpp.jpg
2014-12-06T21:25:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/541342627589681152
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @Y0UR_Karma @Titanrising_ @lisarand99 Is there anything factually inaccurate in that post? I'd be happy to correct it.

2014-12-06T21:07:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/541338261554094080
@Alicia_May_Bee agreed.

2014-12-06T21:07:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/541338122630340609
@Alicia_May_Bee The patent is speculation about sometime that might be done in the future. It's intended to fix (patch) climate change.

2014-12-06T21:05:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/541337687022530562
@Alicia_May_Bee The fumes are there regardless of if you see a contrail. The contrail is just a cloud that sometimes forms there.

2014-12-06T21:05:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/541337564498505728
@Alicia_May_Bee Not deliberate. They do have a small effect on climate though. But industrial

pollution does far more.

2014-12-06T21:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541336961533759488

@Alicia_May_Bee Technically both.

2014-12-06T21:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541336819401371648

@Alicia_May_Bee Yes, just like clouds do. Here's a news story about it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2014-12-06T21:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541336648437334016

@Alicia_May_Bee Gas puddles?

2014-12-06T21:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541336503700316160

@Alicia_May_Bee @Jerome09 @BlackburnRuth @Daphodillia @SteerMark They do block sunlight. It's kind of a visual pollution.

2014-12-06T21:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541336322871283712

@Alicia_May_Bee @Jerome09 @BlackburnRuth @Daphodillia @SteerMark Planes emit fumes just like cars. And when it's cold they condense.

2014-12-06T20:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541335823027666944

Spider silk misidentified by conspiracists as chemwebs, and by media as edible cow bandages.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-rainbow-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4M190nCMAA_7Fb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4M190nCMAA_7Fb.jpg

2014-12-06T20:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541335176299552768

@BlackburnRuth @Alicia_May_Bee @Jerome09 @VtFreePress @Daphodillia @SteerMark Why not instead point out what I got wrong. Is it not spiders?

2014-12-06T20:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541335003280314368

@SteerMark @Jerome09 @VtFreePress @Alicia_May_Bee @BlackburnRuth Contrails.

2014-12-06T20:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541334946892115968

@Alicia_May_Bee @Jerome09 @VtFreePress @BlackburnRuth @Daphodillia @SteerMark It's a patent. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-12-06T20:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541325947203366912

@Jerome09 @VtFreePress @Alicia_May_Bee @BlackburnRuth @Daphodillia @SteerMark Just spider silk and dirt.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-rainbow-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/

2014-12-06T20:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541325869222875136

@Furiousnurse @johnramos91 @AnnLewisChicago @cathunwin @P01YN0NYM0U55 @john19071969 Just spider silk and dirt.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-rainbow-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/

2014-12-06T20:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541325808371896320

@PredictionMan Just spider silk and dirt.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-rainbow-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/ @Volubrjotr

---

2014-12-06T20:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541325754630303744

@intellihubnews Just spider silk and dirt.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-c-130-dropping-rainbow-shaped-fibers-lab-tests-for-metals-spider-silk-dirt.5290/ @ShepardAmbellas @thegre8_1 @YoshuaTalmid

---

2014-12-06T00:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541031220335755264

@joshclassenCTV I wrote about the above/below cloud layer illusion here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.4334/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4Ig_y1CMAA93Un.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4Ig_y1CMAA93Un.jpg

2014-12-06T00:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541030931511775232

There's really nothing else that can make that shape at that speed. Unless it's a five mile long 500mph luminous spaceship.

2014-12-06T00:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541030180320321536

@joshclassenCTV I suspect the "dark" areas of the cloud there are actually more transparent areas. Optical illusion. Contrail shines thought

---

2014-12-06T00:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/541029290381307904

@joshclassenCTV @MrCyman Looks (and moves) pretty much like your standard short sunlit contrail. http://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/

2014-12-05T20:40:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540968862783508480

@NobleBilly Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-12-05T20:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540968771599364096

The "Fake Snow" thing is probably going to come up every year now.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4HoNOSCcAE3v4Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4HoNOSCcAE3v4Q.jpg

2014-12-05T20:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540967976480952320

@Spellboundband @PabloMusic @BreakingNews_RS Has there EVER been this amount of high altitude air traffic though?

2014-12-05T06:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540753289059385344

@Benngiie Adding the word "contrail".

https://www.google.com/search?q=lunar+halo+contrail&es_sm=119&biw=1961&bih=1158&tbm=isch http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4EkPxiCEAAurF-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4EkPxiCEAAurF-.jpg

2014-12-05T06:22:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540752953288572928

@Benngiie Planes fly all over the sky. Why wouldn't they sometimes fly close to where the moon is in the sky?

2014-12-05T06:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540750211186827265

@Benngiie Lunar Halo?

https://www.google.com/search?q=lunar+halo&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4EhcjtCQAAZfmW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B4EhcjtCQAAZfmW.jpg

2014-12-05T01:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540685809137889280

@ebolafalseflag @eddiebravo See:

https://www.google.com/search?q=aerodynamic+contrails&es_sm=119&tbm=isch

---

2014-12-05T01:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540685610306895872

@ebolafalseflag @eddiebravo It's from a different angle, and a less dense trail. More info in the second post here

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/question-about-contrailscience-com-kc-10-tanker-enemy-video.2761/

2014-12-05T01:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540676247609565184

@ebolafalseflag @eddiebravo It's not a fuel dump. It's an aerodynamic contrail, from low pressure over wing+humidity https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaQ2_yHeNSM

2014-12-04T22:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540641123186647040

@Q4LT00 It's very easy to make interesting claims (I am a shape shifter). Less easy to provide interesting evidence.

2014-12-04T22:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540640949236285440

@Q4LT00 Why do you want that? Have you verified either the 90 degree bend, or the 45 degree breaking?

2014-12-04T22:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540635157787455490

@Q4LT00 I think you either miss the point, or are trolling. Hard to tell. My point is there is no NEED to investigate crop circle.

2014-12-04T22:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540631998948069377

@Q4LT00 You seem to think it's important, so maybe YOU need to focus more. I don't

---

2014-12-04T22:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540631735197659137

@Q4LT00 That does not mean it's necessary to actually figure it out. If it just seems like trickery, then why waste time on it?

2014-12-04T22:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540631570843832320

@Q4LT00 Needed if you wanted to figure it out. Like if you wanted to figure out the bullet catch you'd need backstage access.

2014-12-04T21:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540625772952559616

@Q4LT00 We know there are lots of methods, but we don't know which was used, if any. Should science investigate? http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bullet_catch

---

2014-12-04T21:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540625660595539968

@Q4LT00 Penn Jilette seems to be catching a bullet in his mouth? His method is unknown Should hard, cold science have a look at that.

2014-12-04T21:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540625018242080768

@Q4LT00 It's not important because it just looks like stuff people did. There's no need to figure out exactly how they did it.

2014-12-04T19:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540591716068098048

@Q4LT00 It's not important. Who knows how Penn and Teller do their tricks. Same thing.

2014-12-04T19:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540589615048949760

@Q4LT00 I really don't care. There no real question here. There's nothing that's impossible for humans to make.

2014-12-04T19:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540589151192494080

@Q4LT00 No? I don't see why not. It's obviously harder though.

2014-12-04T19:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540588995747409920

@Q4LT00 And garden rollers. Even some by hand and foot trampling.

2014-12-04T19:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540588414844690432

@Q4LT00 And did Robbert explain how he made this? No. He's the magician here.

2014-12-04T19:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540588292693954560

@Q4LT00 I refer you back to the "bake a cake like an expert" analogy. Expect it's a new type of cake.

2014-12-04T19:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540587817017942017

@Q4LT00 When you go to a magic show, do you assume because you can't figure out how it is done, it must be real magic? Same thing here.

2014-12-04T19:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540587574327119872

@Q4LT00 So what? Maybe they used that, or some other method. What's your point?

2014-12-04T19:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540586783784714240

@Q4LT00 Well, clearly they made a crop circle. Who cares how? You think humans can't bend a corn stalk?

2014-12-04T19:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540583556129361920

@Q4LT00 You need to explain your question.

2014-12-04T18:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540578531197997056

@Q4LT00 Known hoaxer predicts circles before they are found. Do you need more evidence of a hoax?

2014-12-04T18:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540575673996156928

@Q4LT00 Didn't you read it all? He claimed to predict the circles in a dream. Obviously they were faked, like his spirit photos.

2014-12-04T18:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540572657188491264

@Q4LT00 Since Robbert is known to fake spirit photo, the simplest explanation is that he faked the crop circles.

2014-12-04T17:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540565990128369664

@Q4LT00 I've no idea.

2014-12-04T17:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540563190656937985

@Q4LT00 Yes. The hoax is pretending something else made them. Check out his web site: http://www.robbertvandenbroeke.nl/foto

---

2014-12-04T17:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540562639441510400

@Q4LT00 So what makes you think that Robbert isn't in on the hoax?

2014-12-04T01:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540311808364388352

@OpChemtrails @Lastofmygenny How about: "Contrails that persiste long enough to make that image.". There's a wide range of persistent.

2014-12-03T21:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540263574157799424

@Q4LT00 "In the end, no firm answers are available from such a truncated study as this"

2014-12-03T21:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540259476641546240

@Q4LT00 But that does not mean it was not made with ropes and boards.

2014-12-03T21:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540259373843369984

@Q4LT00 Yes, i read it all. You don't need to tweet the entire thing.

2014-12-03T21:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540259023463796738

@Q4LT00 Go look at the video, and see what he says at 19:25

2014-12-03T21:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540258231465959425

@Q4LT00 Bottom line though, no smoking gun. Just some some inconclusive stuff.

2014-12-03T21:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540257906935877632

@Q4LT00 He did not say that "ropes and boards did not work"

2014-12-03T21:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540257181057052672

@Q4LT00 And he does not think there's anything odd going on, just they did not figure it out that time.

2014-12-03T21:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540256139040927744

@Q4LT00 Why do you keep sending me this pic. Where's the actual science?

2014-12-03T21:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540253994430394368

@TakeThatEarth @lek_black I'm retired from games. Lots of spare time.

2014-12-03T21:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540253251682050048

@Q4LT00 Note they say: "it is our hope that outside scientists competent in these areas will

review our data and make comments" :)

2014-12-03T21:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540253065534636033

@Q4LT00 Of course only the first report gets passed around the enthusiasts. The much more detailed Project Argus is ignored.

2014-12-03T21:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540252143882489856

@Q4LT00 Here's the follow-up, no radioactive anything detected.
http://www.skepticfiles.org/skeptic/circlesa.htm

2014-12-03T21:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540249853012348928

@Q4LT00 I wouldn't get too excited, they retracted the study the next year, calling it "just plain wrong".

2014-12-03T20:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540248753941118976

@Q4LT00 http://www.execonn.com/cropcircles/isotopes.html "The Discovery of Thirteen Short-Lived Radionuclides" - Marshall Dudley

---

2014-12-03T20:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540248563532312576

@TakeThatEarth @miafromthe6 @SemiMooch @UnusableLogic This 1957 book is one of the best examples: https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957CloudstudyapictorialguideOCR.pdf

---

2014-12-03T20:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540248076309368832

@Q4LT00 Suffice for what? Anyone can send samples into a lab. Who are you talking about. Dudley?

2014-12-03T20:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540247237981261824

@Q4LT00 Isn't it obvious that using a domain expert is ideal? Does anyone in the world believe otherwise?

2014-12-03T20:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540246605236957184

@Q4LT00 I simply told you who I thought would be best. Of course anyone can send soil samples to a lab.

2014-12-03T20:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540245933812744192

@Q4LT00 Why are you asking rhetorical questions? Just speak plainly.

2014-12-03T20:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540245363924299777

@Q4LT00 Not really, you'd need someone who knows what is normal to find in soil.

2014-12-03T20:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540244869252268032

@Q4LT00 No you were asking who I though was "legitimate".

2014-12-03T20:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540244760246497280

@Q4LT00 Then a soil scientist might be a better choice. https://www.soils.org/

2014-12-03T20:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540244322625400832

@Q4LT00 It's a bit like pilots being considered experts on contrails. Just because they fly planes does not mean they know contrail science

---

2014-12-03T20:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540244133500026881

@Q4LT00 They would be less ideal.

2014-12-03T20:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540244085949218816

@Q4LT00 @Drastic709 One could equally say "enough with the crop circles", based on that reasoning.

2014-12-03T20:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540243705953677314

@Q4LT00 No, a crop scientist. Like these guys: https://www.crops.org/publications/cs

---

2014-12-03T20:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540243310153986049

@Drastic709 @Q4LT00 Different to when? Here's a 1957 book: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39UbJyCQAAxhy8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39UbJyCQAAxhy8.jpg

2014-12-03T20:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540243076187303936

@Q4LT00 someone who studies the actual crop. And preferably several of them. Someone familiar with the way the crop grows and reacts.

2014-12-03T20:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540242813510631424

@Drastic709 @Q4LT00 Depends on the atmospheric conditions. Look up "crow instability" contrails.

2014-12-03T20:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540241610764599296

@Drastic709 @Q4LT00 That would still be anecdotal. What is needed is a more detailed study, sampling of crops, consult with experts.

2014-12-03T20:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540240434497220608

@Q4LT00 Well crop circles really don't interest me.

2014-12-03T20:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540240278552985600

@miafromthe6 @SemiMooch @UnusableLogic @TakeThatEarth Yes they do. According to all the books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-12-03T20:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540240069391446017

@Drastic709 @Q4LT00 Which? And compared to what? Sounds rather anecdotal.

2014-12-03T20:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540239398181167104

@Q4LT00 Lots of detail for the flat earth theory. Do you feel the need to check that? http://theflatearthsociety.org/cms/

2014-12-03T20:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540238821900574720

@Q4LT00 Do you think there is good evidence some specific crop circles are not man-made?

2014-12-03T20:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540238642409529344

@Q4LT00 If they did, then would you go seeking evidence?

2014-12-03T20:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540238229610303489

@Q4LT00 No you don't, because there is no reason to suspect it's not man-made. Just like with crop circles.

2014-12-03T20:14:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540237738570547200

@Q4LT00 No. Did you see the car come out out of the plant? Did you see it made? What factory made this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39PW2ZCQAAF7Pe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39PW2ZCQAAF7Pe.jpg

2014-12-03T20:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540237169583869952

The key point is the lack of evidence that they are not man-made.

2014-12-03T20:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540236889303683073

@Q4LT00 Based on the same reasoning that all cars are man made. You don't need science or anecdotes.

2014-12-03T20:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540236506208550913

@Q4LT00 Well, which one looks like it was not made by humans?

2014-12-03T20:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540236348142014464

.@Anon_thr33 @peters_sergej That's just a fuel dump on an Airbus.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39OEzPCYAARF6D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39OEzPCYAARF6D.jpg

2014-12-03T20:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540235907937222656

@Q4LT00 It's facts. Do you disagree with either statement?

2014-12-03T20:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540235481347153920

@Q4LT00 in the photo on the left, all you can see is on horn.

2014-12-03T20:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540235420231933953

@Q4LT00 A) We know lots of crop circles are definitely made by people. B) There are some that look basically the same.

2014-12-03T20:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540234718352920576

@Q4LT00 That was not a joke. People sometimes see animal that they think are unicorns.

2014-12-03T20:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540234491340394496

@Q4LT00 It's the lack of evidence for them being otherwise.

2014-12-03T19:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540233639573716992

@Q4LT00 yes they do. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39Ln5aCUAESGVY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39Ln5aCUAESGVY.jpg

2014-12-03T19:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540232028558344192

@Q4LT00 I bring up unicorns as they have a similar basis in evidence, yet people don't believe in them.

2014-12-03T19:48:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540231234962481152

@Q4LT00 Are there any that unicorns do not? Why study something for which there is no real evidence?

2014-12-03T19:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540230364476624896

@Q4LT00 Verifiable, consistent, peer-reviewed, statistically significant data.

2014-12-03T19:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540229740775231488

@Q4LT00 Good science, yes.

2014-12-03T19:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540229456657264640

@Q4LT00 I know people can make crop circles. That's the most important detail.

2014-12-03T19:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540229235533561856

@Q4LT00 do you know any crop circle you think might be a spaceship landing pattern?

2014-12-03T19:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540229058798559232

@Q4LT00 The crop circles are obviously deliberate patterns, not accidents, regardless of their cause.

2014-12-03T19:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228939403120640

@Q4LT00 But it seems very likely that the laws of physics would be unchanged. Air would be displaced.

2014-12-03T19:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228783723143168

@Q4LT00 There's an infinite number of things I've not had direct experience with.

2014-12-03T19:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228671798145024

Everything noted looks man-made, so sure, removed natural causes.

2014-12-03T19:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228394558832640

@Q4LT00 And if something were cause by a landing spaceship, it's going to look like the whirlwind flattening, not fancy patterns.

2014-12-03T19:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228221292122112

@Q4LT00 But pretty much all the ones you are looking at are made by people.

2014-12-03T19:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540228086617210880

@Q4LT00 Not very circular, but you could get smaller ones that might look rounder.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39GfiaCYAA-d4n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B39GfiaCYAA-d4n.jpg

2014-12-03T19:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540226362917650432

@Q4LT00 I think crop circles are made by people. Except maybe very rare simple circles made

by whirlwinds.

2014-12-03T19:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540225655980294144

@Q4LT00 Of course they do.

2014-12-03T19:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540225434814644224

@Q4LT00 I've not seen any good evidence they do.

2014-12-03T19:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540225206610960384

@Q4LT00 Sure, just google for "unicorn sightings"

2014-12-03T19:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540224774673137664

@Q4LT00 No. There is no "official narrative". What's the official narrative on Unicorns?

2014-12-03T19:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540223771294957568

@Q4LT00 There isn't one. It's just generally viewed as a silly hoax.

2014-12-03T19:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540223033164582912

@Q4LT00 No, I'd like the actual data. Like a map of the points they sampled, the statistical variance, etc.

2014-12-03T19:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540222546344300544

@Q4LT00 Do you have a link to the study?

2014-12-03T19:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540221849435533312

@Q4LT00 What about the soil? Did they sample over a wide area to compare?

2014-12-03T19:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540221715091947520

@Q4LT00 Two different sets of people make crop circles with different results hardly seems unexpected.

2014-12-03T19:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540219871666003968

@Q4LT00 Like, was the the same weather, crop age, pressure used, time of day, etc?

2014-12-03T19:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540219727826542592

@Q4LT00 You certainly try. But I don't think one cake coming out different proves aliens made the good cake. Maybe some other variable.

2014-12-03T19:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540219389744672768

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ No. I'm a retired video game programmer.

2014-12-03T19:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540219263173152768

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Trails have been doing this since BEFORE commercial flights. 1920s http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/

2014-12-03T19:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540219084487417856

@Q4LT00 So when you bake a cake, it always looks like Martha Stewart made it?

2014-12-03T18:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540218785160908800

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Yes, they are wrong. Trails have always acted like they do now. There's a lot more though.

2014-12-03T18:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540218608228392960

@Q4LT00 How long did they try for?

2014-12-03T18:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540218539701837824

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ But my point here is that there's no evidence for secret spraying.

2014-12-03T18:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540218444579213312

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ It's possible someone is secretly spraying something that looks exactly like a contrail.

2014-12-03T18:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540218341713915904

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ They all appear to be consistent with contrails. I've not seen any evidence they are not.

2014-12-03T18:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540216890899972096

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Ballast barrels. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B388ZS7CEAAWDEl.jpg

---



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B388ZS7CEAAWDEl.jpg

2014-12-03T18:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540216747412840449

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Sampling nozzles, Brookhaven labs. http://www.bnl.gov/discover/Spring_05/skies_1.asp

---

2014-12-03T18:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540216295078105088

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ She's only president of her own organization though, it's not anything official.

2014-12-03T18:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540216168724713473

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ And the spikes were just correlated with high

rain years, more Aluminum in water from erosion

2014-12-03T18:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540216024864284672

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Rosalind Peterson, yes. But she only looked at figures since the 1970s, not before.

2014-12-03T18:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540215803333718016

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Here's some 1967 figures, same as now:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B387Y6ACAAAhg2w.jpg

1156 CANADIAN JOURNAL OF EARTH SCIENCES. VOL. 4, 1967

TABLE II

1 p.p.m. = 1000 ug/L

Summary of chemical analyses of precipitation in part of S.E. Ontario (p.p.m.)

	Rain				Snow			
	M	H	L	No.	M	H	L	No.
SiO_2	0.25	0.56	0.0	13	0.0			12
Al	0.80	1.12	0.52	13	0.46	1.00	0.07	11
Fe	0.69	1.80	0.13	13	0.89	1.80	0.12	9
Na	0.70	6.30	tr	14	3.97	14.00	14.60	12
K	1.05	2.7	0.65	14	1.10	4.90	0.4	12
Ca	3.61	12.0	0.0	13	6.18	13.0	2.0	11
Mg	1.55	2.0	1.0	13	2.09	12.0	1.0	11
$N–NO_3$	0.37	0.6	0.1	13	0.33	0.54	tr	10
SO_4	11.8	32	8	13	11.91	23	3	11
Cl	2.44	9	1	14	4.80	15	2	10
Alkalinity	8.08	14	4	13	14.09	27	6	11
Hardness	11.3	34	2	14	23.6	58	8	11

*M = mean; H = highest; L = lowest; No. = number of analyses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B387Y6ACAAAhg2w.jpg

2014-12-03T18:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540215447790956544

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ No, there are no "spikes since the 70s", where did you get that idea?

2014-12-03T18:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540215317171929088

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Example, sampling dirty snow in summer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3869reCcAA2ib_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3869reCcAA2ib_.jpg

2014-12-03T18:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214955836837888

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Correct. Aluminum is everywhere. 8% of the ground is aluminum. So it's in the air, water, etc.

2014-12-03T18:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214826262200320

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ But my point was about the claim being wrong. Don't you think there should be corrections?

2014-12-03T18:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214697098616832

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ The vast majority are just normal background variations. Soil is 8% aluminum.

2014-12-03T18:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214591427313664

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ IT depends, lots of them are from poor sampling techniques, like getting sludge in water.

2014-12-03T18:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214366432264192

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ I've watched them. What is your point?

2014-12-03T18:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540214099687141376

@Q4LT00 And what did he say in it? What were the anomalies?

2014-12-03T18:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540213505870151680

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ So you think that basing a theory on mistakes is fine? Don't you think they should correct it?

2022-05-18T08:12:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526838220775772160

@NigelBenton Maybe draw a circle around what you think you see?

2022-05-18T08:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526836015775657984

@NigelBenton THere is nothing in your images but dirt and reflections.

2022-05-18T04:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526789437081825280

@UAPslut @MvonRen Somewhat, yes.

2022-05-18T04:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526782811662737409

@MartinA41573803 @EricRWeinstein Where the most people are.

2022-05-18T03:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526773317335543808

@embassy1010 No, that is not the actual shape. Itâ□□s bokeh. Out of focus.

2022-05-18T00:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526716539537223680

@GadiNBC It's out of focus. Probably a mylar balloon. Worst video yet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_8XMWVEAA_27s.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_8WhYUUAEqw2G.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_8XMWVEAA_27s.jpg
2022-05-18T00:05:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526715644883873795
@Drunk_on_Books This is a physical object. Bokeh generally comes from a physical object. Balloons are physical objects.

2022-05-17T23:53:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526712569292939264
@AdmiralQuality Japan. None of those terms are bokeh, which technically the is quality/texture of out-of-focus regions, but in common usage refers to the shape of out-of-focus highlights.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_4-IzUEAElcj-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_4-IzUEAElcj-.jpg

2022-05-17T23:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526711910145486848

@Deleo77a1 I'm saying what I think it probably is. What it looks like.

2022-05-17T23:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526711632390279169

@skinnywalker7 It moves, so it's outside the plane.

2022-05-17T23:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526711084027199489

@BrandonFugal @MrHustleMCR @EricRWeinstein Also closely matches a fly.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_3oYSUUAAlK5r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_3oYSUUAAlK5r.jpg

2022-05-17T23:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526709334675075073

@AdmiralQuality It probably the same iPhone that took this photo of some bokeh.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_11XPVsAAv7SS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_1yLWUcAAzlbY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_11XPVsAAv7SS.jpg

2022-05-17T23:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526706289434193921

@welfarebanks Sure, this is unidentified airspace clutter. An issue in training ranges.

2022-05-17T23:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526702856408944641

UAP Hearing: Here are all three frames where the FlyBy UFO is visible. They are all out of focus. A single bright reflection is present as bokeh (the white region). Given the slow speed indicated by Bray, this is probably a mylar balloon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_v6M1VIAIexT7.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_v6M1VIAIexT7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_vFxUVUAATfyK.jpg

2022-05-17T22:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526686964321165312

@ralphblu @Revkin @keithkloor @julianbarnes @HouseIntel @HouseIntelComm @lesliekean Balloons, birds, and consumer drones are physical objects. That "most" of the reports are physical objects is hardly significant.

How many are amazing physical objects? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_hcGYVEAAKERr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_hcGYVEAAKERr.jpg
2022-05-17T21:58:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526683492360126464
@OliverWood2017 @EricRWeinstein My goal is to figure out what is shown in UFO videos and photos. It's fun.

2022-05-17T21:54:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526682477753864192
@scotch2gmail @sSamsquantch
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526682427476746240/pu/img/xyEXP4-Ay-TJo1Zv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526682427476746240/pu/img/xyEXP4-Ay-TJo1Zv.jpg
2022-05-17T21:52:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526682018116882432
@papasteve06 @BarlowTerry @PostDisclosure I think they probably didn't pay it much attention until the second case popped up and someone was like: "hey, that reminds me of ...."

2022-05-17T21:50:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526681642491793408
@EricRWeinstein I think very few cases are lying, most are simply honest mistakes, even mistakes by experts and highly trained professionals. With large numbers, things happen.

My confidence is simply a sober assessment after looking at many cases and having found them lacking. I extrapolate.

2022-05-17T21:19:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526673774552051712
@KirbysCrackle @KilliK69 @ztrussell That would imply that additional data would make zero difference in solving the mystery.

Which is obviously not true, hence the data is insufficient to solve the mystery.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_Vg3SUcAE_0oU.jpg



2 **Speaker 2** 1:13:02

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Representative Stefanik is in route I believe, just real quickly, but in the interim, if I could, if you'll indulge me, I just have one. A couple of real small questions. One is do we have an example? Can you cite a specific example of an object that can't be explained as having been human made or natural?

SB **Scott Bray** 1:13:26

I mean, the the the example that, that I would say that that is still unresolved, that I think everyone understands quite well as the 2004 incident from from Nimitz. We have data on that, and that simply remains unresolved. does not mean it resolves to being something that is easily explainable or difficulty, or Well, obviously, it resolved to being something that is difficult to explain. But I can't point to something that definitively was not manmade. But I can point to a number of examples in which remain unresolved.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_Vg3SUcAE_0oU.jpg
2022-05-17T19:59:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526653569763401728
@twinnyter81 @BarlowTerry
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526653448090812417/pu/img/EzZI_e9ztHDkJbC1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526653448090812417/pu/img/EzZI_e9ztHDkJbC1.jpg
2022-05-17T19:57:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526653267316420608
At the UAP hearing today, what "individuals and groups" putting out "spurious reports" and "false narratives" are Moultrie and LaHood referring to?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_CaQNUUAAGgg3.jpg



Ronald Moultrie 1:03:04

So one of the concerns that we have is that there are a lot of individuals and groups that are putting information out there that that could be considered to be somewhat self serving, we're trying to do what's in what's in the best interests of one, the Department of Defense and then two, what's in the best interest of the public, to ensure that we can put factual based information back into the mainstream and back into the bloodstream, of the reporting media that we have. So people understand what's there. It's important because we are tempting, as this hearing as it's drawn out to understand one what may just be natural phenomenon to what may be sensory phenomenology or things that were happening with sensors, three, what may be legitimate counterintelligence threats to places that we have or bases or installations or security threats to our platforms. And anything that diverts us off of what we have with the resources that have been allocated to us, sends us off in the spurious chases and hunts that are just not helpful. And they also help, that way, they also contribute to the undermining of the confidence that the Congress and the American people have that we're are trying to get to the root cause of what's happening here, report on that, and then feed that back into our national security apparatus. So we are able to protect the American people on our ally. So it is harmful, it is hurtful, but hopefully, if we get more information out there, we'll start to lessen the impact of some of those spurious reports.

Darin LaHood 1:04:42

So just taking that a step further, so those that misinformation, false narratives manufactured so what are the consequences? Are there legal consequences? Are there examples that you can give us where people have been held accountable by this misinformation or disinformation?

Ronald Moultrie 1:04:57

I can't give you any examples where somebody has been legally held liable for putting something out there. But

Confirming Speaker... 1:05:04

well, I guess what's the deterrent from people engaging in this activity?

Ronald Moultrie 1:05:09

I don't I don't know. I don't have that answer. Right. That's something that, you know, welcome to dialogue with Congress to talk about that with the members who, you know, helped legislate those laws that say, what should be the legal ramifications that we could use to potentially hold individuals accountable, whether it be citizens or information that might be injected into our media by other other forces or other countries, if you will,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS_CaQNUUAAGgg3.jpg
2022-05-17T19:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526649630955491328
@rpowell2u So why do you think they were unaware of them?

2022-05-17T18:04:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526624696174751744
@DabberPatriotic @sweetpea_nanna @BarlowTerry Flying flashing pyramids are physically much more interesting than flying quadcopters.

Kind of like Gimbal. It's still unknown, even if it's a glare. Just much less interesting than an actual rotating flying saucer.

2022-05-17T18:03:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526624375474008064
@EyeMustNo @KilliK69 Fake UFO videos are probably not a high priority in fighting disinformation.

2022-05-17T18:02:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526624108296871936
@EricRWeinstein Maybe it's not a coin?

Maybe there are not just two sides?

UAP represent a variety of things. Regardless of how many things are identified, there will ALWAYS be unidentified flying objects.

2022-05-17T18:00:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526623643240779776
@UFO_JohnDoe I'll ask Elon to add italics.

2022-05-17T17:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526623489557286912
@EyeMustNo @KilliK69 One guy wanted to cut down on fake videos. Which is laudable, but legally difficult.

2022-05-17T17:37:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526617987851071491
@KilliK69 @ztrussell Because of classified sensor data. USOs are generally unusual sonar returns.

2022-05-17T17:34:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526617247464054786
@KilliK69 No, just some photos.

2022-05-17T17:13:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526611891652505601
@AtticusFinch_JD I imagine we'll get some indications of that afterward.

2022-05-17T17:10:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526611172836794368
@MushtakWasiti They can. Just not definitively.

2022-05-17T17:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526610762038358016
@P0li7icaIG3eker @conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo I said it was a plane or a drone. Plane seemed more likely for the first video.

https://youtu.be/VxgTqGRs6eY?t=246

2022-05-17T17:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526610258960871425
Not having a definitive explanation does not mean it's amazing.

The "Fly-by" UFO was:
- Slow
- Round
-Shiny

So a VERY PLAUSIBLE hypothesis is that it's a stray mylar balloon.
https://twitter.com/CNN/status/1526594772168855554

2022-05-17T17:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526608558166450176
@KilliK69 @ztrussell Yeah, insufficient data. And this is the best example they have.

2022-05-17T16:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526608232453525504
@BarlowTerry @jfrickingfaulk The SLR would not do it. The triangle comes from the NVG. Like this one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526608104372113408/pu/img/jVNIp_xOJO2HVVaA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526608104372113408/pu/img/jVNIp_xOJO2HVVaA.jpg
2022-05-17T16:54:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526607112586964999
@ztrussell No, they will probably just discuss some of the cases that have data from classified sensors. Like the Gimbal video. Hopefully, they will mention if they resolved any aspects of them like the rotating glare, etc.

2022-05-17T16:44:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526604524751708161
@NIH_LLAMAS It looks like a balloon, hard to say from that video, hopefully, they will release the raw video now it's been shown.

2022-05-17T16:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526604071808798721
The UAP hearings in a nutshell.
"I can't point to something that definitively was not manmade. But I can point to a number of examples which remain unresolved." - Scott Bray

---

2022-05-17T16:39:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526603284999335937

@LAdlerhorst So that indicates a lack of interest. That's all.

2022-05-17T16:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526602935097970688

Bray's statement "In many other cases we have far less than this" is telling of the quality of evidence available.

"This" is a blurry, low-res, shakey, short video, firmly in the LIZ.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526602473976131585/pu/img/RUW_tvklIT4v1vvM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526602473976131585/pu/img/RUW_tvklIT4v1vvM.jpg

2022-05-17T16:35:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526602229813108737

The UAP hearings today did not draw a large crowd.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS-UjmRUsAACEwc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS-UjmRUsAACEwc.jpg

2022-05-17T16:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526601015318827011

@GC48021171 UAP represent a variety of phenomena.

2022-05-17T15:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526593261288034305

@conorowens94 I don't think he's a reliable source.

2022-05-17T15:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526593038360793088

@TheStaticAge4 People make honest mistakes sometimes. I know I do.

2022-05-17T15:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526592601482137600

@GC48021171 @JeremyCorbell Balloons can be unidentified. Bray said it was moving slow.

2022-05-17T15:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526592238251192320

Pre-drone UAP events at nuclear facilities are UFO mythology and cluster illusions. Moultrie and Bray are unaware of the old stories because there was never anything to them.

https://twitter.com/alejandrotrojas/status/1526567201402023937

2022-05-17T15:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526591453677297664

@JeremyCorbell, now the triangle aperture theory has been confirmed in congressional testimony, would you like to comment on your previous assertions?

https://twitter.com/JeremyCorbell/status/1380792935701127171

2022-05-17T15:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526590382414303232

@shado_uk Probably because they have classified aspects. Maybe in the closed hearing.

2022-05-17T15:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526587766821203968

@moozoo_wizard It's not bad equipment, just out-of-focus. If they focused it then they would just see a flashing light.

2022-05-17T15:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526587497207037953

@ufo_studyita Bokeh is an artifact of the camera. It's the shape of out-of-focus lights. Might be a drone.

2022-05-17T15:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526586703141474304

@Serg_is_Vargas It was in a regular airline corridor. We don't know anything about checks for planes. Bray's discussion of drones was the second photo.

2022-05-17T15:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526586303487152128

@Bringouttherum @edwardcurrent Are you saying Scott Bray lied to congress?

2022-05-17T15:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526581744031305728

The "Green Pyramid" video has been confirmed by the ONI as being bokeh. Here's where they

showed it to the committee and explained it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526581335015292930/pu/img/tfcQtiMSuFmsyd7_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526581335015292930/pu/img/tfcQtiMSuFmsyd7_.jpg
2022-05-17T14:59:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526578243779760128
@OMApproach @GeorgeT96559261 Because it was unidentified.

2022-05-17T14:55:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526577091667300352
@P0li7icaIG3eker @conterammundi @leSt0rm @PostDisclosure @LuAngeles @LueElizondo It was bokeh.

I left open the possibility of a drone. I still think the first video was more likely of a plane.

2022-05-17T14:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526576807301898240
@GeorgeT96559261 They said it was probably moving slow, so it's probably a balloon.

2022-05-17T12:36:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526542076992626689
@matej11710178 I HOPE they explain what type of analysis they were doing with the videoed cases.

2022-05-17T06:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526449713431531520
@vegan_og @SpinDubTracks There are many stories in the news.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS8JmItUsAIkRfG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS8JmItUsAIkRfG.jpg

2022-05-17T05:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526432213989879811

@AdamGoldsack @futruaultra Let's see!

2022-05-17T05:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526431075550998528

@novicus @GadiNBC @NBCNews Paradigms changing in the past does not mean a particular paradigm is going to change now.

2022-05-17T05:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526430798064209923

@AdamGoldsack @futruaultra Now find it on the front page, not via search.

2022-05-17T05:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526430441665753088

@Chickenphall @lamboverse @Jmac19631 Silver Iodine, cloud condensation nuclei, is sprayed onto existing clouds.

It seeds clouds like you seed a field, not like seeding a tree. You need an existing cloud.

2022-05-17T05:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526430067370299393

@novicus @GadiNBC @NBCNews Yeah, the NYT is not immune.

2022-05-17T05:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526429705179582464

@timbo_staley @GadiNBC @NBCNews Anthropogenic Climate Change? That is real, but there's a significant group of deniers - probably more than with UFOs.

2022-05-17T04:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526412744953503745

@Chickenphall @lamboverse @Jmac19631 And:

A) have never been secret

B) do no leave trails

2022-05-17T03:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526412122497814528

@smiths617 Whatâ□□s to add up? I find it interesting. Thereâ□□s a variety of cases. Also interesting people.

2022-05-17T02:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526392361290371072

@smiths617 I enjoy the puzzles of individual cases.

2022-05-17T01:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526380132365004801

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @GadiNBC @NBCNews The same data Gadi and everyone else has, and a perspective based on amazing claims never coming true.

2022-05-17T01:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526379512287490048

@SicCoP1 I like to pin the zeitgeist so we can see if it changes.

2022-05-17T01:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526379097605042177

@JayEdnaHoover Iâ□□m all for the happy medium. Congressional hearings are not it though.

2022-05-17T01:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526378666023718917

@Robin081564 Why? What will happen?

2022-05-17T01:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526378480140574722

@SkinwalkerTruth I didnâ□□t say there was zero coverage.

Thereâ□□s just not a lot. Not major news. Not a headline. Not important.

2022-05-17T01:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526377762566438913

@GadiNBC @NBCNews Well, itâ□□s almost certainly not the former. But I think youâ□□ve got yourself a false dichotomy there.

Maybe itâ□□s a bunch of things.

2022-05-17T01:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526377171748462592

@blackvaultcom The lack of anticipation. It does not seem to them like it will be significant. I think they are probably correct.

2022-05-17T01:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526372997950578690

@SpinDubTracks If you have to dig for it then itâ□□s niche. I read the news. This SHOULD be front page headline material if there was something to it. Itâ□□s obscure for a reason. No good evidence.

2022-05-17T00:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526364215585361920

@FatSheep45 They think itâ□□s popular. UFO hearings are less popular.

2022-05-17T00:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526362417961177088

The lack of mainstream media coverage of the UAP hearing tomorrow isnâ□□t because thereâ□□s a media cover-up. Itâ□□s because they donâ□□t think itâ□□s significant.

https://twitter.com/HouseIntel/status/1526228501099077632

2022-05-17T00:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526354903240544263

@JJ46758764 @uncertainvector I like to try to figure out individual cases. It does not have to mean anything. Itâ□□s fun and interesting.

2022-05-16T23:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526350817803894785

@Chickenphall @lamboverse @Jmac19631 Similar, from 1972

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6v7f4UAAADo-d.jpg



11.4.1



11.4.2



11.4.3



11.4.4



11.4.5



11.4.6

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6v7f4UAAADo-d.jpg

2022-05-16T23:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526349483944030208

@ADelarge60 @uncertainvector But they were described as going slow. You would think a sudden 4000 knot formation swooping across the radar would get some attention.

2022-05-16T23:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526339034108162048

@Chickenphall @lamboverse @Jmac19631 It is a photo of contrails.

2022-05-16T22:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526333951601627138

@thaxter @uncertainvector I'm trying to see if Grave's description fits the video. So far it seems unlikely.

2022-05-16T22:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526322947526258688

@RogerSmith2001 @uncertainvector No.

2022-05-16T21:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526313155625771008

@valliant No, @uncertainvector said that the Gimbal object did not do the turn in the video until AFTER the wedge had done its turn.

So it really does not seem possible, as described.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6NcraVIAEkcB4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6NcraVIAEkcB4.jpg
2022-05-16T21:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1526312459002228736
@GONZO_UAP @uncertainvector I'm trying to recreate Graves' description of what he saw on the SA page.

But it does not seem to work at all with the 10NM straight-line path that has been suggested as a great fit. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6Mzy5UUAEkdAY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6Mzy5UUAEkdAY.jpg

2022-05-16T21:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526311763058102272

@uncertainvector Here I've made the fleet have a "radius of turn", as roughly indicated by @uncertainvector. The problem being this needs them to accelerate from 200 knots to 4200 knots.

This seems problematic.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526311229295190018/pu/img/2eDARRa8lVS-YXqv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526311229295190018/pu/img/2eDARRa8lVS-YXqv.jpg

2022-05-16T20:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526306469108256768

@lamboverse @Jmac19631 Any plane that can fly high enough. Jets nowadays, but prop planes

back in WWII.

2022-05-16T20:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526305771780067328

@paulsanderson @TheUfoJoe This is what I said on TV a few day ago:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6G_5pUUAAD8ug.jpg



Mick West 0:44

Yeah, I think what, what the problem is here is that a lot of what this is based on is these videos that were released, and the videos that we see, initially seemed to be very exciting. They seem to show things that look like Alien Flying saucers and things moving very, very fast. But a lot of people, including myself, have done analysis of these videos, and we've shown that there are actually very reasonable explanations for a lot of the things that we see in these videos. And this is something that unfortunately, the military really hasn't been able to comment on because a lot of these are covered by secrecy, and because they are classified. And this is enabled the media and the people who are fans of UFOs to promote these videos as if they are something that they are not. And this has really led to the situation we're in now, where the military in some ways that's being forced to humor the interests of UFO enthusiasts, based on what really is very little evidence.

Lauren Jiggetts 1:51

Is there anything that you have seen that would justify the amount of resources devoted to this in terms of what the Pentagon is doing and sort of somewhat done in secrecy?

Mick West 2:00

Well, there's really three things that are behind all of this, one of which is just the traditional types of UFO, things that people have been seeing since World War Two, where fighters in World War Two saw things called "foo fighters", which were like glowing balls of light, which surrounded their planes. And we still really don't know exactly what they were. And that still is worth investigating. And whenever a pilot sees something that's unidentified, it's worth investigating. But there's also new things, new technology, there's drones that didn't exist back in World War Two, obviously, which now presents new challenges in terms of airspace clutter, just simply people flying their drones around the airport, and possible foreign nations spying on us. And then there's the lobbying efforts of the UFO enthusiasts who are presenting this weak evidence as if it is strong evidence. All these three things together have combined to kind of force the hand of the Pentagon to address this in this way. But really, the what they're going to address is the actual real issues. The things like airspace incursions by drones and things like airspace clutter just simple things like balloons floating in front of fighter pilots when they are flying in their test ranges.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS6G_5pUUAAD8ug.jpg

2022-05-16T20:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526304833543630848

So I added a "fleet" of UFOs to the Gimbal Sitrec. I tried to follow @uncertainvector's description, but it seems unlikely unless they are going at several thousand knots

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526301028303241216/pu/img/A-8-470-agCSqosN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1526301028303241216/pu/img/A-8-470-agCSqosN.jpg

2022-05-16T15:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526224024593833984

@Jmac19631 @lamboverse Maye those are just contrails. Have you seen all these books on clouds? 70 years worth, and they say contrails can persist and spread out to cover the sky.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-16T15:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526223552269062145

@PThegermandude I include myself in that group.

2022-05-16T15:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526223226648469504

@lamboverse @Jmac19631 I don't think the British gov has done any cloud seeding since a few experiments in the 1950s. And they certainly have not "admitted" to geoengineering.

2022-05-16T15:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526222613713805312

@BarlowTerry This is probably the best description. I can tell your right now it's going to be a bit odd on the SA. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS47N09UAAUMnbj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS47N09UAAUMnbj.jpg

2022-05-16T15:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526222152420118530

@AdmiralQuality That's good. It might have just been caching - or mid upload, as I've been updating it at lot.

2022-05-16T15:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526221890775158784

@AdmiralQuality Sitrec only works on an up-to-date desktop browser. What are you using? Any error in the console?

https://metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-05-16T15:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526221532329963520

@BarlowTerry I have, he's too busy right now.

2022-05-16T13:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526186101655760897

Gimbal UFO fans, I'm trying recreate the SA page ("Look at the SA!"). I'm having a hard time figuring out how the "fleet" supposedly moved. What would this look like on the SA? Can someone draw a diagram?

https://metabunk.org/sitrec/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS4ZkZqUEAADHIM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS4ZkZqUEAADHIM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS4ZUpmUcAAQD9d.jpg

2022-05-16T12:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526176593109868545

@Flyingh43892139 Which academics?

2022-05-16T12:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526176052136906753

@Spectre67904513 It's flying 0Â°. Can you tell that it stops and waits?

I'd like to add the fleet. Here's what Graves drew. How would that all fit into the picture?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS4QccmUAAAruLg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS4QccmUAAAruLg.jpg

2014-12-03T18:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540213249648508929

@Q4LT00 And what are the details here? You just sent me a photo of him.

2014-12-03T18:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540213114642259968

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ I've seen it before. What is your point? She presents zero evidence.

2014-12-03T18:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540212295054262272

@Q4LT00 Yeah I do a bunch of things. Sometimes even crop circles, like here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-grasdorf-plates.739/

2014-12-03T18:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540211497519955968

@Q4LT00 I'm not familiar with it. I don't really find crop circles interesting.

2014-12-03T18:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540208412827471872

@wordofpeacelove @lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ Ask her about contrails, and if they can last longer than a minute.

2014-12-03T16:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/540178272428892162

@lek_black @__White_Rabbit_ @wordofpeacelove Problem: all the books on clouds say that "40 seconds" claim is false. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-12-02T21:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539901124862439424

@rjblaskiewicz I wonder if anyone ever did a valid correlation study with fossil locations?

2014-12-02T21:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539899918786129920

@rjblaskiewicz i.e. how some dragon legends might have started (or grown) when people found dinosaur bones. All speculation though.

2014-12-02T21:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539899638254292992

@rjblaskiewicz Yeah, the Griffin thing sounds like pure speculation. Not impossible though. There was similar talk about dragons/fossils

---

2014-12-02T21:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539898900555890688

@4thAnon And since I don't think society is collapsing, I find this deceitful. This does not mean MSM is not profit driven though.

2014-12-02T21:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539898672893292545

@4thAnon SHTFPlan is like Alex Jones, a huge conflict of interest, and an incentive to make people think society is collapsing.

2014-12-02T21:44:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539898007030747137

@4thAnon Sure, because MSM is lot bigger than AM.

2014-12-02T21:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539896733711364097

@rjblaskiewicz The Iron Mountain one? Or the Griffins?

2014-12-02T21:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539887178898501632

@4thAnon Compare the sites, and their sources of income. Does http://CNN.com sell vaccines and drones?

2014-12-02T20:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539874562851475456

@john19071969 @Q4LT00 @RationalBee There are different type of contrail. Water vs. ice is a big difference.

2014-12-02T20:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539874317388230656

@Q4LT00 Link?

2014-12-02T20:10:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539874233288232960

@Q4LT00 What conditions?

2014-12-02T20:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539874116678221824

@Q4LT00 So what are the possible explanations for that?

2014-12-02T20:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539873877019885570

@Q4LT00 Which question?

2014-12-02T20:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539873609591029762

@Q4LT00 No, but the tests people do are often rather dubious. Not very scientific. Sometimes hoaxes.

2014-12-02T20:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539872622805520385

@Q4LT00 Yes. If they had a better camera, they could tell what type of balloon it was. They don't, so it's a "UFO".

2014-12-02T20:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539872393410666497

@4thAnon Hmm, are you sure shtfplan is not just posting stories to sell more prepper stuff?

2014-12-02T19:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539871410861395968

@Q4LT00 UFO's are generally things where it's too hard to tell what they are. It does not then follow that they are something unusual.

2014-12-02T19:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539870702644760577

@Q4LT00 Here's some more chinese lanterns https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=62QG2SKMlrY

2014-12-02T19:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539870226557706241

@Q4LT00 no apparent motion for things that are high enough. And the camera was shaking all over the place.

2014-12-02T19:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539870052594769921

@Q4LT00 Probably a Loon Balloon, it's from Google. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/white-dot-ufo-balloon.4644/

2014-12-02T19:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539869535038627840

@Q4LT00 Could also be Chinese lanterns. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ey9ALbMUKEc

---

2014-12-02T19:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539869471150981120

@Q4LT00 As much of a stretch as aliens :) ?

2014-12-02T19:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539869184520617984

@Q4LT00 Imagine the spotlights were not visible, and the flock of birds was flying in the same direction. But really it's impossible to say.

2014-12-02T19:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539867554014306304

@Q4LT00 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EZAQSw0qCAI

2014-12-02T19:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539865997969465345

@Q4LT00 Hard to say. Maybe birds lit with a strong light. Not a good enough video.

2014-12-02T19:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539865760244707328

@Q4LT00 Yeah, I'm retired from programming video games, and this is my hobby. Figuring out if evidence is bunk or not.

2014-12-02T19:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539865190343671808

@Q4LT00 A fake. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/temple-mount-ufo-debunked.82/

2014-12-02T19:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539864900731154432

@Q4LT00 Well, the evidence seems to indicate they don't exist. At least there's no good evidence they do.

2014-12-02T19:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539864743084032001

@Q4LT00 Can't help you with reincarnation :) Feel free to ask any contrail questions though.

2014-12-02T19:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539863805854232577

@Q4LT00 Basically though, planes only need to be 1,000 apart vertically. So it's fine to cross paths like that.

2014-12-02T19:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539863655505203200

@Q4LT00 Just normal air traffic, with misleading descriptions. A pilot explains here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fed-ex-md-11-almost-collides-with-ghost-tankers.3191/

2014-12-02T19:23:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539862441430351872

@Q4LT00 And they do this because engines ADD WATER to the air, temporarily raising the relative humidity, allowing a cloud to form.

2014-12-02T19:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539862122751348738

@Q4LT00 on average less. But you often get contrail in clear blue skies. Contrail form in condition where clouds can't.

2014-12-02T19:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539861701462884353

@Q4LT00 "earth" is a useful tool: http://earth.nullschool.net/#current/wind/surface/level/orthographic=-113.38,37.88,1024

2014-12-02T19:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539861274189115393

@Q4LT00 remember, the upper air moved FAST. 100 MPH. That means that the air above Las Vegas was maybe 2,000 miles away the day before.

2014-12-02T19:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539860975080316928

@Q4LT00 No, it's only a rough correlation. Like clouds and rain are often together, but you get clouds without rain.

2014-12-02T19:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539860252804395008

@Q4LT00 You probably saw a bunch ahead of this storm. The upper air humidity arrives ahead of the rain.

2014-12-02T19:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539859999539752960

@Q4LT00 Weather. Contrail conditions require moisture. So the contrail season is similar to the rainy season.

2014-12-02T19:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539858520095883264

@Q4LT00 That's just normal cruising altitude. Nothing indicates it's much lower. Probably in 30,000 to 40,000 range.

2014-12-02T19:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539858203811393536

@Q4LT00 See here, esp the pics of SF. Horizontal lines can look vertical. http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-usually-horizontal/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B332J7PCUAAX7Nw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B332J7PCUAAX7Nw.jpg

2014-12-02T19:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539857824038154240

@Q4LT00 The plane is seven miles above you. Image you were 7 miles high, looking down at flat roads.

2014-12-02T18:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539846845476786176

@4thAnon Because nobody attacks people who lie, right? So your attacks on the MSM must mean they are right?

2014-12-02T18:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539841806972628993

@Q4LT00 Yes, That contrail looks like it's going up at 40 degrees, but it's actually horizontal.

Just flying towards you.

2014-12-02T17:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539837780642103296

@Q4LT00 like if you watch a plane fly away from you, it will look like it goes "down" to the horizon, but it's usually in level flight.

2014-12-02T17:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539837620436496384

@Q4LT00 Not as much as they seem to be. They are sinking slightly, but the wind movement is essentially horizontal.

2014-12-02T17:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539837290080514048

@Q4LT00 Wind aloft is often 100MPH, like now.

http://www.usairnet.com/cgi-bin/Winds/Aloft.cgi?location=SAN&Submit=Get+Forecast&hour=06&course=azimuth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33jJbdCMAA0EjA.jpg



06 hr Forecast Summary for San Diego, CA

Forecast is valid from 6:00 am to 1:00 pm (PST), 2nd day of this month

Altitude (MSL)	Direction	Speed	Temp	Wind Chill
3000	190	19 mph	No Data	No Data
6000	230	37 mph	48 °F	38 °F
9000	240	37 mph	42 °F	30 °F
12000	240	35 mph	35 °F	20 °F
18000	230	46 mph	14 °F	-10 °F
24000	250	58 mph	-7 °F	-42 °F
30000	240	89 mph	-32 °F	-85 °F
34000	230	110 mph	-50 °F	-116 °F
39000	240	127 mph	-74 °F	-156 °F

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33jJbdCMAA0EjA.jpg

2014-12-02T17:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539837019145244672

@Q4LT00 Trails are also moved quickly by the wind. As they get further away, closer to the horizon, it can look like they are descending

---

2014-12-02T17:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539836639791439872

@Q4LT00 This chapter from "Clouds of the World (1972)" has good explanations, and photos. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

2014-12-02T17:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539836180011819008

@Q4LT00 It is, as the ice crystals grow (if humidity is high enough), then it will slowly sink. It forms "pendules" as it does.

2014-12-02T17:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539835399036608512

@Q4LT00 Those are all exhaust contrails. Probably over 30,000 feet. <-40F, >60% RH

2014-12-02T17:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539834794180235264

@Q4LT00 Temp vs. altitude over San Diego (hotter than LA, but closest form this site) http://www.usairnet.com/cgi-bin/Winds/Aloft.cgi?location=SAN&Submit=Get+Forecast&hour=06&course=azimuth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33g4C5CIAAheJP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33g4C5CIAAheJP.jpg

2014-12-02T17:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539834494727888897

@Q4LT00 They last as long as the wake vortices keep spinning, so generally less than a minute.

2014-12-02T17:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539834285125947392

@Q4LT00 5,000 feet, no, never in LA.

2014-12-02T17:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539833758472355840

@Q4LT00 The trails you are seeing at ground level at LAX are aerodynamic though, so don't need cold temps.

2014-12-02T17:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539833512338018305

@Q4LT00 Yes, it's below -40 above 30,000 feet above Los Angles right now.

2014-12-02T17:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539833101707268096

@Q4LT00 probably 60% RH for both to form, but you'd need a fighter jet to see them both unless it's closer to 100%.

2014-12-02T17:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539832703760076800

@Q4LT00 Needs to be (approximately) -40Â°F or colder, and above about 60% Relative

Humidity, at the altitude the trails form.

2014-12-02T17:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539832386591002625

@Q4LT00 Which ones? Exhaust or aerodynamic? They have totally different mechanisms of formation.

2014-12-02T17:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539831955349467136

.@Q4LT00 Here's a great example of aerodynamic contrails. But from the prop tips, not the wings. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33eJflCQAAHlxc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33eJflCQAAHlxc.jpg

2014-12-02T17:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539831472702504960

@Q4LT00 In your video it was probably 100%. But you can get very short-lived aerodynamic contrails at much lower. Now sure the limit.

2014-12-02T17:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539829557465858048

@Q4LT00 That's an aerodynamic contrail. That simply needs high relative humidity. Different from exhaust contrails.

2014-12-02T16:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539818681572675584

@Charlie_Pot @NWSIWX Found it: http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/goes/east/wfo/ind/flash-vis.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33SOv0CYAEnP7Q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B33SOv0CYAEnP7Q.jpg

2014-12-02T16:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539817012868833280

@Charlie_Pot @NWSIWX How can we see the animation?

2014-12-02T16:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539815793408479232

@jimmydick4 @TakeThatEarth Actually you can ONLY get that spectrum from ice crystals. So that proves it's just a contrail.

2014-12-02T10:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539730152091942912

@Q4LT00 if it's cold and humid enough. It happens sometimes in Alaska, Russia, etc.

2014-12-01T01:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539231925970272256

@TerminatorBarbi The proposal was to launch a drone off Shasta, with aerogel collectors? Aerogel only works well as a collector in a vacuum.

2014-12-01T01:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539230597407719425

@TerminatorBarbi Well, if not me, then why not tell the world?

2014-12-01T01:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539230105139040256

@TerminatorBarbi I can't deny actual evidence. So why don't you give me some?

2014-12-01T01:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539229872489381888

@TerminatorBarbi So it's not been done yet then?

2014-12-01T01:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539229779363627009

@TerminatorBarbi Shasta is only 14,000 feet. Not high enough to sample contrails.

2014-12-01T01:27:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539229145482272770

@TerminatorBarbi I was just interested in the drone sampling. Never head of a drone doing that.

2014-12-01T01:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539228443250941952

@TerminatorBarbi That's interesting. What type of drone was it? How high did it go?

2014-12-01T01:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539225790127157249

@TerminatorBarbi A private drone is not going to fly high enough to sample contrails. You'd need a private jet.

2014-12-01T00:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539219540182192128

@PBox2014 Sure.

2014-12-01T00:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539218362023161856

@PBox2014 Yes. Also it nicely demonstrates how a single flight path can create parallel trails from the wind.

2014-12-01T00:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539217253326016512

@Achadpeace @Eric99a But it says "these are chemtrails", when they are actually contrails. Need to make points clearly.

2014-12-01T00:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539216775489933312

@cypress241 @Eric99a Mostly kerosene :)

2014-12-01T00:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539216544429903872

@Eric99a @cypress241 I did, they say newer (high bypass) engines make more frequent trails. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3uua5ZCUAAHeCP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3uua5ZCUAAHeCP.jpg

2014-12-01T00:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539214769949913089

@Eric99a @cypress241 Yes they do, at least according to all the books on clouds for the last 70 years. The video is only 4 minutes long.

2014-12-01T00:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/539214582556803073

@Achadpeace @Eric99a That photo is of contrails from Chinese fighter jets.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-these-are-chemtrails-you-stupid-zombies-image.2861/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3usxjVCMAEm57J.jpg

---



Data for: the fighter air shed large-scale exercise trail.



China's air force Su-27 fighter.



F-10 fighter equipped with more advanced radar-made, often pre-emptive strike, beat the Su-27.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3usxjVCMAEm57J.jpg
2014-12-01T00:23:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539213168300396544
@Eric99a @cypress241 You claimed contrails don't persist. All the books on clouds say that contrails can persist. That's all.

2014-12-01T00:22:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539212898954788864
@cypress241 @Eric99a Technically? What does that mean? They are simply not natural clouds. But are identical otherwise.

2014-12-01T00:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539211420106117120
@cypress241 @Eric99a A good impartial source is all the books on clouds for the last 70 years. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-11-30T22:51:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539189918048595969
@chemtrail_truth He's just talking about sounding rockets though. Been used for decades to study the upper air wind. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sounding_rocket

---

2014-11-30T22:28:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539184135298760704
@Q4LT00 @Furiousnurse @john19071969 @mjberryman @oc_f1fan @Hsaive No, it's the humidity aloft, not on the ground, that matters.

2014-11-30T17:37:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539111010565509120
@AbsntFriends The author explains that's not what it says. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-infowars-study-conspiracy-theorists%E2%80%99-sane-government-dupes-crazy-hostile.2000/ @SamanthaCarney8

2014-11-30T17:37:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539110929724497920
@IanBHough The author explains that's not what it says. Except it does not actually say that. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-infowars-study-conspiracy-theorists%E2%80%99-sane-government-dupes-crazy-hostile.2000/

2014-11-30T17:36:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/539110737348538368
@AwakenTruth @ShareThis Except it does not actually say that. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-infowars-study-conspiracy-theorists%E2%80%99-sane-government-dupes-crazy-hostile.2000/ @nakedshortkilla @TheDukeXX99

2014-11-30T03:42:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538900933980078080
@PeytonFed2014 We'll, I'm trying to remove bunk, so let me know if you see anything incorrect that needs correcting on Metabunk.

2014-11-30T03:00:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538890395464105984
@PeytonFed2014 Could you give an example of Metabunk's bunk?

2014-11-29T01:56:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538511868248072192
@4thAnon Why don't you at lease do the numbers. How many dead, what is the population that qualifies as "banker", what's the rate?

2014-11-29T01:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538511683413504000
@4thAnon You are only finding what you are looking for. Confirmation bias. You need a neutral study.

2014-11-29T01:55:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538511489703768064
@4thAnon Why? Is there a comparable conspiracy theory?

2014-11-29T01:46:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538509344757673984
@4thAnon How would you go about making a list of dead bankers now? Try doing the exact same thing for another group the same size.

2014-11-29T01:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538508516676231168
@4thAnon There would only be a list if people were obsessed with the idea, and recorded ever one they saw.

2014-11-29T01:43:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538508405078384640
@4thAnon No. Because ALL professions have people in them who are murdered or kill themselves. You feel like the rate is high.

2014-11-29T01:29:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538504899672027137
@4thAnon What are you comparing your list to? Why do you think the list is unusual?

2014-11-29T01:26:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538504358288044032
@4thAnon No, I'm the one saying you've failed to statistically demonstrate why it's odd. All you have done is made a list.

2014-11-29T01:19:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538502542301220864
@4thAnon You're the one making the claim. And this woman was not a CEO. There's many thousands of VPs in fiance.

2014-11-29T01:08:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/538499680036868096
@4thAnon And why would this be suspicious again? You should monitor deaths in Fast Food

franchises, as a control.

2014-11-29T00:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538493556235567104

@element115 What type of planes were they?

2014-11-28T22:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538458776542523392

@element115 They probably were different planes on the way back. Lots of planes fly over Phoenix.

2014-11-28T21:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538444976682565633

@element115 The Phoenix tests were done incorrectly They measured dirt, not air. Did not account for mass of air. http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/

2014-11-28T21:16:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538441263310065664

@element115 How do you know this? All the tests I've seen show normal variations in background levels.

2014-11-28T20:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538422525567320064

@Freizeit_Tiger

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrails-on-the-millennium-falcon-in-star-wars.5212/

2014-11-28T19:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538407798011207680

Aerodynamic Contrails on the Millennium Falcon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3jPADQCMAAF-Gd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3jPADQCMAAF-Gd.jpg

2014-11-28T18:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538406610670854144

@DeepakChopra Largely semantics. Check the dictionary.

2014-11-27T19:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538051095495311360

@BillKenneth They have it backwards. It's explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-11-27T18:07:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538031322434785283

@element115 You can also use the NASA contrail forecast, which uses the full weather data. http://cloudsgate2.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?docid=33&cmd=latest

---

2014-11-27T18:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538031153408532480

@element115 The soundings are a terrible way to predict contrails. Satellite WV is better. http://www.weather.gov/satellite#wv

---

2014-11-27T17:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538023351143264257

@element115 And they change greatly in just a few hours or miles.

2014-11-27T17:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/538023090676957184

@element115 Those readings are very inaccurate for RH below -40F

2014-11-27T14:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/537984048811872257

@element115 Why do you think that? They look exactly like contrails.

2014-11-24T21:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/537001115934420992

@4thAnon @AboveTopSecret Seriously? That's your argument?

2014-11-24T21:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536999842728275968

@4thAnon @AboveTopSecret It's more lethal than drug overdose, especially combined with slit wrists. http://lostallhope.com/suicide-methods/statistics-most-lethal-methods

---

2014-11-24T21:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536998090641653761

@4thAnon @AboveTopSecret And how many bankers are there? Is this actually an usual rate? Compare with say, airline pilots?

2014-11-23T18:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536586159917244418

@sherristouffer Er, can you explain what I said wrong. Is this not a 1974 NASA photo?

2014-11-23T00:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536321214651047936

@PeterSineh Yet nobody has ever seen a trail coming out of huge vents in the bottom of a plane in six seconds.

2014-11-23T00:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536312165771120640

@PeterSineh That's for fire fighting. It dumps the entire load in five seconds.

2014-11-23T00:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536311943426871296

@westhmpsn1 It's a weather balloon exploding.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3Fc29oCMAAcHKp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3Fc29oCMAAcHKp.jpg

2014-11-22T21:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536263007701975042

@4thAnon I bet they don't. Care to make a friendly wager?

2014-11-22T14:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/536164855384772608

@nine11inreverse No.

2014-11-22T01:11:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535963826311553024

Contrails at sunset often look weird and get mistaken for UFOs.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/possible-meteorite-spotted-in-the-sky-near-charlottetown-sunlit-contrail-and-bokeh.5178/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3AgPmpCQAAsS1X.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B3AgPmpCQAAsS1X.jpg

2014-11-21T16:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535839418901225472

@john19071969 @whytheliesuk @SteerMark @pensieripercaso @fluxual108 @jesikabrown123 @karentalford66 See books: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-11-21T16:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535838092230266880

@jv5t1n Contrails sometime fade in seconds, but in the right weather (at that altitude) they can last for hours. See: http://contrailscience.com/how-long-do-contrails-last/

2014-11-21T16:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535836132148129793

@jv5t1n @nine11inreverse this video explains the "grids" very well: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7DSM7RS094c

---

2014-11-21T16:43:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535835943811297280

@jv5t1n @nine11inreverse See also: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2014-11-21T16:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535835804568797186

@jv5t1n @nine11inreverse What the video again. There is no pattern. Jus two intersecting routes. Like a crossroad.

2014-11-21T16:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535827874608324608

@nine11inreverse @jv5t1n I'm in the "chemtrails lack good evidence" crowd. Looks like you posted a grid? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ltg4tQoYh0g

---

2014-11-19T23:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/535219753317842944

@InfoWarPage Yes, throttle reduction. See here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/awacs-being-refueled-with-off-on-contrails.816/

2014-11-18T23:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/534849456009531393
RT @gemma_lynne: Neat contrail as sun gets ready to set #yyc
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2wp7BeIQAAcI6H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2wp7BeIQAAcI6H.jpg
2014-11-17T19:25:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/534427032159928320
@toasterhead @sp0r412 @stranger_poetry @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Can you remove me from this conversation, it seems it's just you two now.

2014-11-12T20:37:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532633385702014976
@sp0r412 @toasterhead @IEAffiliate @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Why? Is there something that Metabunk got wrong? Link?

2014-11-12T05:54:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532411067758964736
@Kal1_Furies @lorabruncke Do you have a better link where KM discusses her employment history?

2014-11-12T05:52:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532410621103333376
@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch Why trust a video with such obvious misleading. http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Gallery/Photo/B-747/HTML/ECN-4242.html

---

2014-11-12T05:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532410032709574656

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch That cover image is a 1974 NASA vortex study, with the label removed. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2OAEqkCMAIjyn4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2OAEqkCMAIjyn4.jpg

2014-11-11T22:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532293500943880192

@IEAffiliate @toasterhead @lorabruncke @sp0r412 Kristen explained her military history on Metabunk:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/kristen-meghan-former-us-air-force-whistle-blower.1066/#post-24759

2014-11-11T19:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532253534150868995

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch You mean like how the air routes intersect? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LxxK6CIAAhv9z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LxxK6CIAAhv9z.jpg

2014-11-11T19:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532253311039070208

@Pepperpear @sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch 1972: "may last for many hours" https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/12845372753/in/set-72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LxiFZCQAARn05.jpg



11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (see 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

11.4.1 These contrails are of various ages and are seen almost vertically overhead about three hours after sunrise in July at Wimbledon. The newest trail is the one from the top left corner: the oldest is the horizontal one, which has a delicate structure for which there is no obvious explanation.

11.4.2 This trail was seen above the sunset over Bognor Regis in July. The blobs were extended over about a mile horizontally and in the shear layer billows can be seen.

11.4.3 Old ice trails not subjected to

11.4.4 The aircraft making the distrail

11.4.1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LxiFZCQAARn05.jpg

2014-11-11T19:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532252631360475136

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch I means why to people say they only noticed "Chemtrails" in the last few years?

2014-11-11T19:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532252375684091904

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch It's a hobby. Here's a detailed explanation: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2014-11-11T19:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532252059827843073

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch And if "chemtrails" were around in the 1970s, why do people say they are new?

2014-11-11T19:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532251780847919104

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch So how do you know what a contrail is supposed to look like?

2014-11-11T19:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532251651093331969

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch But trails like this have been seen since the 1920s. What's the difference?

2014-11-11T19:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532251467298533377

@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @GeoengWatch Dane begrudgingly accepts that aluminum is everywhere now. But denies that's in his results

---

2014-11-11T19:17:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532250884898443264

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch Can you link to one of these facts?

2014-11-11T19:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532250569713274880

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch Yes, it looks like contrails in old photos. E.g. 1972: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LvC4LCUAAO0bc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LvC4LCUAAO0bc.jpg

2014-11-11T19:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532250108893474816

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Why else would they defend tests like this one, of sludge: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LupkqCEAEqZzh.jpg



Lined pond on Backbone Rd., east B

4

www.basiclab.com

basic laboratory

voice 530.243.7234 fax 530.243.7494

2218 Railroad Avenue
Redding, California 96001

Report To: WIGINGTON, DANE
P.O. BOX 9
BELLA VISTA, CA 96008

Attention: DANE WIGINGTON

Project: GENERAL TESTING

Description: POND SEDIMENT **Lab ID:** 7050069-01 **Sam**

Matrix: Sludge **Rece**

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method
Aluminum	ug/l	375000		300	1000	EPA 6010B
Barium	"	3090		10	50	"
Strontium	"	345		10	50	"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LupkqCEAEqZzh.jpg

2014-11-11T19:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532249817825570816

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch I'm in favor of facts. Have I said anything incorrect?

2014-11-11T19:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532248799763107840

@sp0r412 @toasterhead @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Just a side conference that somehow invited a chemtrail believer to speak.

2014-11-11T19:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532248570187874304

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch So they can grow crops in acidic soils. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.341/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LtQACCUAALvFj.png

---



Figure 1. World acid soils. Areas of predominance are highlighted in color.
Source: von Uexkull and Mutert (1995).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LtQACCUAALvFj.png
2014-11-11T19:03:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532247186696699904
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch The "chemtrail experts" ignore the ubiquity of aluminum.

2014-11-11T19:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532246737818095616
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch There's about a million times as much natural dust as their is jet exhaust.

2014-11-11T18:59:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532246144366022656
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch But crucial to understanding how contrails can grow and spread.

2014-11-11T18:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532246075206144000
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch It's different from saturation of a liquid.

2014-11-11T18:57:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532245780224950272
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch No, atmospheric ice supersaturation. Low temp high humidity air.

2014-11-11T18:56:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532245500657823744
@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Aluminum in snow in 1973
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LqYbVCIAIBL-_.jpg

Atmospheric Environment (1967)
Volume 10, Issue 1, 1976, Pages 33–37

Trace metals in rain and snow during 1973 at Chadron, Nebraska

A.W. Struempler

Chadron State College, Chadron, Nebraska 69337, U.S.A.

Received 20 January 1975. Revised 7 July 1975. Available online 14 April 2003.

Abstract

This 1973 study found an average of 350 ug/L aluminum in rain and snow

Rain and snow were collected during 1973 in Chadron, Nebraska, a rural location 480 km north-northeast of Denver, Colorado, and analyzed for Ag, Al, Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb and Zn. Our location with prevailing westerly and southerly winds seemed ideal for establishing baseline concentrations of metals in precipitation before additional coal-fired power plants begin operation in adjacent western states with coal reserves. Samples were analyzed by flameless atomic absorption spectroscopy. The concentrations of Ag, Al, Cd, Cu, Mn, Pb and Zn in samples of rain and snow averaged 8.4 × 10−11, 3.5 × 10−7, 3.1 × 10−10, 4.4 × 10−9, 5.2 × 10−9, 4.8 × 10−9 and 1.0 × 10−8 g cm^{-3}, respectively.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LqYbVCIAIBL-_.jpg

2014-11-11T18:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532245302221090816

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Aluminum in snow in 1967:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LqRvrCIAAlHYI.jpg

TABLE II **1 p.p.m. = 1000 ug/L**

Summary of chemical analyses of precipitation in part of S.E. Ontario (p.p.m.)

	Rain				Snow			
	M	H	L	No.	M	H	L	No.
SiO_2	0.25	0.56	0.0	13	0.0			12
Al	0.80	1.12	0.52	13	0.46	1.00	0.07	11
Fe	0.69	1.80	0.13	13	0.89	1.80	0.12	9
Na	0.70	6.30	tr	14	3.97	14.00	14.60	12
K	1.05	2.7	0.65	14	1.10	4.90	0.4	12
Ca	3.61	12.0	0.0	13	6.18	13.0	2.0	11
Mg	1.55	2.0	1.0	13	2.09	12.0	1.0	11
$N–NO_3$	0.37	0.6	0.1	13	0.33	0.54	tr	10
SO_4	11.8	32	8	13	11.91	23	3	11
Cl	2.44	9	1	14	4.80	15	2	10
Alkalinity	8.08	14	4	13	14.09	27	6	11
Hardness	11.3	34	2	14	23.6	58	8	11

*M = mean; H = highest; L = lowest; No. = number of analyses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LqRvrCIAAlHYI.jpg

2014-11-11T18:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532244863652098048

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch What about ice supersaturation? Do you understand that?

2014-11-11T18:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532244762594529280

@sp0r412 @toasterhead @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Do you have a link to these tests?

2014-11-11T18:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532244297370714113

@sp0r412 @toasterhead @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Aluminum is found in snow, because aluminum is found in dirt, hence in dust.

2014-11-11T18:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532243880666611712

@sp0r412 @lorabruncke @toasterhead @GeoengWatch Like on Mt Shasta?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2Lo_AqCIAEheDi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2Lo_AqCIAEheDi.jpg

2014-11-11T18:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532243586943688704

@sp0r412 @toasterhead @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch What has that do do with aluminum in dirt?

2014-11-11T18:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532243272312164353

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 Yet still 8% of dirt is aluminum. This is what @GeoengWatch finds in their tests. Thats all.

2014-11-11T18:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532242942916689921

@toasterhead @sp0r412 @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch Why do most chemtrail believers think contrails can't persist?

2014-11-11T18:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532242717409959936

@sp0r412 @toasterhead @lorabruncke @GeoengWatch The government denies chemtrails. https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2014-11-11T18:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532241466823700480

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch You don't want to discuss the evidence behind the chemtrail theory? Aluminum in dirt?

2014-11-11T18:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532238008502603776

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Aluminum is very natural. As natural as dirt, which is 8% aluminum. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LjpfkCEAEIoEl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LjpfkCEAEIoEl.jpg

2014-11-11T18:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532233110281134081

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Can you not give ONE EXAMPLE of what you think is irrefutable evidence for chemtrails?

2014-11-11T18:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532232154340548608

@lorabruncke @toasterhead @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch Whats the best single example of the "sound evidence" for chemtrails?

2014-11-11T17:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532223134351360000

@toasterhead @lorabruncke @sp0r412 @GeoengWatch And review 70 years of books on clouds: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-11-11T17:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532221525642846208

@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @ubcscience @LetsTalkScience @GeoengWatch Planes at different altitude.

2014-11-11T17:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532221459582558209

@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @ubcscience @LetsTalkScience @GeoengWatch I can see contrails. Sometimes they persist.

2014-11-11T17:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532221218724671488

@fatneckbeardguy @szescstopni Contrails area type of cloud computing :) http://contrail-project.eu/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LUCxMCEAE0Sgm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2LUCxMCEAE0Sgm.jpg

2014-11-11T17:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532220360804950016

@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @ubcscience @LetsTalkScience @GeoengWatch There are no physical tests yet. Just computer models.

2014-11-11T17:03:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/532216945219600385
@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @ubcscience @LetsTalkScience @GeoengWatch Dane knows that contrails often persist https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-11-11T17:02:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532216721885503488
@lorabruncke @sp0r412 @toasterhead @ubcscience @LetsTalkScience @GeoengWatch What does he say the difference it?

2014-11-11T16:28:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532208199433076736
@ToxicAngel84 @Chemtrail_UK @chemtrailreport @iain39 Actually it's a partial circumhorizon arc in a contrail. aka a "fire rainbow" though.

2014-11-11T04:41:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/532030433152606209
@Chemtrails_818 @qt314babs That particular image is fake. But there are some real ones here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-pentagram-and-other-signs-in-the-sky.3659/

2014-11-11T00:25:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531965805215223808
@qt314babs @Chemtrails_818 You can see clouds at night, so why not contrails? Contrails are a type of cloud. Last as long as clouds.

2014-11-10T20:32:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531907171634524160
RT @edwiebe: Watch a contrail cast a straight line shadow on clouds below. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cj5WAii2CmM

2014-11-10T18:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531868911835152385
@christoferguson Thanks!

2014-11-10T17:51:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531866810908291072
@Reptoid_Hunter @ian328i They sure look like normal contrails. How can you tell the difference?

2014-11-10T17:33:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531862327767752704
@christoferguson It's actually used in metrology, but it's just confusing for most people. http://meteorologytraining.tpub.com/14312/css/14312_48.htm

---

2014-11-10T17:29:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531861314151276545
@christoferguson That "stable state" explanation it a bit too abstract.

2014-11-10T17:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/531858583663570944
@RedVelvetCakes @eclint Zero. If you try to make a pyramid with right angles at the apex then

it's just flat.

2014-11-10T16:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531841863951470592

Contrails start at varying distances behind the plane. It depends.

http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/ @element115

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2F7ORFCIAAr--J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2F7ORFCIAAr--J.jpg

2014-11-09T23:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531586453650694145

@kd4ngc @WxManJeffCox @spann Contrails. But could also be some old skywriting.

2014-11-09T21:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531564774207651841

@lebribri @PheroNike @LotusOak Hybrid contrails formed in wake vortices. The shape come from Crow instability. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-11-09T21:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531561440780361728

Hybrid contrails are created by wake vortices + exhaust contrails

http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/ @PheroNike @LotusOak

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2B8EiLCMAE3ym1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B2B8EiLCMAE3ym1.jpg

2014-11-08T21:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531197396940648449

.@Hsaive The plane was misidentified.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claim-tankerenemy-contrails-at-13-000-feet.5014/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B18xL74CYAEg4YK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B18xL74CYAEg4YK.jpg

2014-11-08T19:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531163725588221952

@RichardDawkins You might want to consider warning people about the graphic gore there.

2014-11-08T18:30:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531151694143295489

@HeavenLeeOps Not according to 70- years of books on clouds. Contrails can persist too. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-11-08T17:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/531131849246904320

RT @pketron: The contrails this morning in Yosemite are out of control. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B170pedCUAAN-a0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B170pedCUAAN-a0.jpg

2014-11-08T05:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530961093984665601

@Spitzenrheydter @rapic2012 @Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES How can you tell? Don't they look like persistent contrails?

2014-11-08T01:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530888879532826624

@Spitzenrheydter @rapic2012 @Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES And most trails you see are exhaust contrails. Fuel dumps are rare. Look it up

---

2014-11-07T20:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530816725424422912

@RantReaper It a "hybrid" contrail going through a rippled region of the air. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-11-07T20:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530816144022585344

@Devinator23bear @Rufus69Firefly So if I wanted to prove it, what verifiable evidence would I show first?

2014-11-07T20:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530815947003551746

@Rufus69Firefly @Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES Sure, but the only point being made here it that THIS PHOTO is of a fuel dump.

2014-11-07T17:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530771578309459968

@Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES Or from googling "777 fuel dump"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B12t6yMCAAAml_q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B12t6yMCAAAml_q.jpg
2014-11-07T17:19:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/530771439423467520
@Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES Example:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B12tyZACIAEnUVb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B12tyZACIAEnUVb.jpg

2014-11-07T17:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530770971028770816

@Devinator23bear @HartReikiCURES But in discussing this photo, it's very easy to determine it's a fuel dump, as it looks exactly like one.

2014-11-07T17:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530770172110336001

@Devinator23bear @martystier2 @HartReikiCURES These are not contrails. Your photo is of a fuel dump. As explained in the link.

2014-11-07T06:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530608993542995968

@esmmiera Contrails have to start and stop somewhere. It depends on humidity.

2014-11-07T06:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/530606254570233856

@Devinator23bear @martystier2 @HartReikiCURES Fuel dump.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying-wingtip-fuel-dump-photo.645/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B10XkqECcAAUwfq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B10XkqECcAAUwfq.jpg

2014-11-04T17:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/529693715661459456

RT @breakingnewsie: Weird cloud appears over Australia, scientists not baffled http://www.breakingnews.ie/discover/weird-cloud-appears-over-australia-scientists-not-baffled-6

49759.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1mrh9AIAAE-NzJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1mrh9AIAAE-NzJ.jpg
2014-11-03T19:56:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/529361584091430912
Contrails being blown over the face of the moon. RT @ptamas38: http://youtu.be/9V-vHrKWPtk

---

2014-11-03T01:01:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/529075964873932801
@Lawful_Rebel @Moe_Fugger_72 @joshclassenCTV More detail here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/question-about-contrailscience-com-kc-10-tanker-enemy-video.2761/

2014-11-03T01:01:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/529075752411467776
@Lawful_Rebel @Moe_Fugger_72 @joshclassenCTV It's an aerodynamic contrail - overwing low pressure. Here's a closeup. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaQ2_yHeNSM

2014-11-02T17:45:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/528966153096290304
Contrails from far above. RT â□□@astro_reid: A lone a jetliner streaks across the #Med
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1cHnsWCMAA6LRe.jpgâ□□



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1cHnsWCMAA6LRe.jpg

2014-11-01T19:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/528623932186689536

@4thAnon The pagewas just being updated. It's back now.

http://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/pdf/infections-spread-by-air-or-droplets.pdf

---

2014-10-31T22:48:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/528317699009413120

@emeryemeryii If that's Boyle Heights, then that's a tanker fire on 8th Street.

2014-10-30T19:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/527909275050319872

@2ndfor1st Hard to tell at that stage. But it's the same as 1905 clouds.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1OCsCICQAAfLDG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B1OCsCICQAAfLDG.jpg
2014-10-30T19:30:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/527905367766757376
@STR8_Face_Eric Looks like an obvious fake. Not worth bothering with. Read the description.

2014-10-28T15:56:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/527126865404628992
@LibertyIsALady @koldgunder @BallisticMikeCA @HartReikiCURES But how else can you demonstrate something is not a contrail? You need science.

2014-10-28T00:26:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/526892829662928896
@CoutureDonna It's actually a smoke screen test from 1923.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-amazing-footage-of-wwii-chemtrail-experiments.369/

2014-10-28T00:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/526886743727800321
.@Thetruthdenied Could you quote the lie? It all looks accurate to me. But I'd be happy to issue a correction.

2014-10-27T20:35:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/526834504086736896
RT @JoeRao12: The cargo ship will be launched from Wallops Island, VA at 6:45 p.m. & might leave a weird, luminous contrail in its wake. Spâ¦

2014-10-26T22:41:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/526503947633033216
@CoutureDonna Actually the opposite is true.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B06EjNnCcAE5D3a.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B06EjNnCcAE5D3a.jpg

2014-10-26T21:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/526485302232088577

@drbloem @Moe_Fugger_72 @star2000dancer Persistence of contrails indicates regions of ice supersaturation. http://contrailscience.com/how-long-do-contrails-last/

2014-10-25T05:19:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525879301086789632

RT @MartinPribble: This is cool! The contrail is above the cloud and casting a shadow on them https://twitter.com/MartinPribble/status/525875326488825857/photo/1

2014-10-25T05:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525878176962998273

@conana68 Yes. http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2014-10-24T22:10:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525771308496220162

@Lawful_Rebel The idea of a block list is silly. Like you should ignore someone because someone else said so. Can't you think for yourself?

2014-10-24T17:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525696750758027264

@Rejento You should read that article, then explain how I lied.

2014-10-23T22:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525415089860788224

Maximum Eclipse! Taken with the binoculars+paper method. Levels adjusted. Blue fringe is from poor optics. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qmPVLIUAAuCZr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qmPVLIUAAuCZr.jpg

2014-10-23T22:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525411364131864576

Dappled sun project little copies of the eclipse onto my fence.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qi2dCIEAMGT2b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qi2dCIEAMGT2b.jpg

2014-10-23T22:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525410628761300993

@Mnivla You are welcome! :)

2014-10-23T22:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525409817574522880

The eclipse in my hand. (iPhone, sun projected with binoculars).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qhcX5IUAEKN55.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qhcX5IUAEKN55.jpg

2014-10-23T21:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525398618845773825

How to photograph the eclipse. Project it with binoculars onto photo printer paper, then photograph that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qXQl_IMAAy9SQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qXQl_IMAAy9SQ.jpg

2014-10-23T21:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525397405223907329

Eclipse! Taken with binoculars projecting onto a sheet of paper.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qWJ8qIEAAeYla.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0qWJ8qIEAAeYla.jpg

2014-10-23T20:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525389885436612610

@Er_Nope @toasterhead That doesn't all cycle through the tropopause though. But still, it's a negligible contribution.

2014-10-23T19:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525374835309158400

@toasterhead The more important figure would be how much water there is already in the stratosphere.

2014-10-23T19:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525373452191293440

@toasterhead Contrails hardly add anything to the existing water. Most of the ice in the contrail comes from the ambient air.

2014-10-23T19:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525373235127660544

@toasterhead Search for "upper tropospheric water budget contrails". But the effect is from cloud cover.

2014-10-23T19:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525370060744187904

@toasterhead Residence time of a gas? It all mixes together - there always some there. What are you really looking to answer?

2014-10-23T18:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525352602398384128

@BrandonDoughan :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0ptaFAIcAAkIPu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0ptaFAIcAAkIPu.jpg

2014-10-23T18:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525351213567467520

@NeonNettle "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg @RoughsedgePaul

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:18:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350680010047488
@All_Trends_IT "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350640545853441
@truthtrance "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:18:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350598292414464
@cinnamon_carter @theinquisitr "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:16:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350080367181824
@CryptoUK "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350040248647680
@rockerchic433 "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:16:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525350011874209792
@Mnivla "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:16:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349973282406400
@DiscloseTV "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:15:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349703727075328
@NikolasWiseman "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:14:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349657971404800
@the_paraverse "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:14:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349631815725056
@LadyMaledictum "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349601402830848
@MFCarrico "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg
2014-10-23T18:14:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/525349566585909248
@ChristophLoewen "Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

2014-10-23T18:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525349406808494081

"Celtic Cross" on Mars is just a screw head on the rover.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-celtic-cross-on-mars-impression-from-screw-head-on-x-ray-spectrometer.4839/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0pqgEkIcAEDOyI.jpg

2014-10-23T15:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525306603700903936

@Birdsdroppings @Er_Nope Most contrail moisture actually comes form the air. The exhaust moisture is just a trigger, Pushing RHw over 100%

2014-10-23T15:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525306266105561088

@4thAnon Finally catching up with Europe! Now we've just got to go metric and get rid of the death penalty, and we can join civilization.

2014-10-23T15:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525305678601023488

@jonesey97 I think you might have the wrong Mick West here.

2014-10-23T15:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525305547856158720

@Birdsdroppings @Er_Nope Higher humidity = more persistent. The Appleman chart is a little confusing to read.

2014-10-22T23:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525063264187584512

@4thAnon It runs on electricity. It just has a salt water battery. Still needs charging. Claims to be a better battery, is all.

2014-10-22T21:25:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/525035128909619201

@rstorms9 @aluminumsky111 @OxonChemtrails @MIKE77901820 @Chemtrail_Watch @stopnwo444 @selinasorrels vs. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-22T18:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524996135291592705

@JessicaaRileyy @Nitepleasure1 That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

2014-10-22T18:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524996020904538112

@Lavone_Espenson That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-22T18:49:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524995935063924736
@Lina_Gardiner That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-22T18:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524995898086940672
@Lauren_Dornann That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-22T17:58:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524983214763286528

@McrChemTrails @golddustmaker And how does she do this? Saying something does not make it so. Any evidence?

2014-10-22T17:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524982867781111808

@rstorms9 Have you looked at all the books on clouds for 70 years? They believe it too. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-22T17:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524979683616514048

@rstorms9 @MIKE77901820 @OxonChemtrails @Chemtrail_Watch @aluminumsky111 @stopnwo444 @selinasorrels Cirrus Aviaticus. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contrail

---

2014-10-22T17:19:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524973409663348737

@Lawful_Rebel @OpChemtrails You think 70 years of books describing persistent contrails are all wrong? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-21T18:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524622370527408130

@Felina_Cory That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

2014-10-21T15:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/524584556666449921

@imCaitlynCarter Actually that "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-21T13:04:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524546675604348928
@cornerweave That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-21T13:03:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524546630175825921
@artwiz That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-21T13:03:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524546578409734145

@Petra_Andersen That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-21T13:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524546544297443329

@sarcasfun That "Horned Sea Monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-20T23:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524337803836928000

@imRileyGriffin No,the "horned sea monster" was just a Shark Carcass. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-20T21:10:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524306699025260545
@nntaleb What if you don't have a religion? How much respect should one apportion relative to zero?

2014-10-20T21:02:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524304761370058752
@high_on_glitter @plair28 No,the "horned monster" was just a Shark Carcass.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0a0ZuGCIAAbSnA.jpg
2014-10-20T20:33:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524297371270660098
@youngboyy_13 "horned monster" was just a shark carcass:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/

2014-10-20T20:33:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/524297319621988353
@unda_da_bed "horned monster" was just a shark carcass:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-13-foot-long-horned-sea-monster-scientists-baffled-decomposed-shark-carcass.4797/ @Douglas_E_Ryan @Cta6541 @AndrewzNancyna

---

2014-10-19T21:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523947904910622720
@bunnykinns @joshclassenCTV So what makes you think the trails you see in the sky are not contrails?

2014-10-19T20:57:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523940905791660034
Debunked: Paramagnetic Paint - Color Changing Cars [Hoax: After Effects Fake]
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-paramagnetic-paint-color-changing-cars-hoax-after-effects-fake.4785/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0VpeijCEAARzLJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0VpeijCEAARzLJ.jpg

2014-10-18T23:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523618067314515969

@Lawful_Rebel @Hsaive This post is related "Tail Sprayers".

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-tail-sprayers-fake-infrared-contrail-gaps-and-photoshop.3921/ See the variations. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0RD23eCMAEGO5P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0RD23eCMAEGO5P.jpg

2014-10-18T23:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523617787537666049

@Lawful_Rebel @Hsaive The condensing and freezing process only takes a fraction of a second,

minor variations = big difference.

2014-10-18T23:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523617644570615809

@Lawful_Rebel @Hsaive The gap between the engine and the contrail varies, and can be less than wing depth. http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/

2014-10-18T18:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523547027494281217

@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels Do any of them present any verifiable evidence? Do you have any verifiable evidence? Why believe?

2014-10-18T15:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523501120987992064

@ProgressGA @TerryTGood This type of thing: http://shop.loomistank.com/category/1043959.0.0.0.0/Septic

---

2014-10-18T15:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523500666761641984

@ProgressGA @TerryTGood And Terry's photo is actually of septic system.

2014-10-18T15:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523489886494408705

@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels I take it you know now that contrails often persist and spread. But lots of people don't know this?

2014-10-18T15:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523489773621501953

@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels So what's the #1 piece of actual verifiable evidence that makes you think they are not contrails.

2014-10-18T15:03:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523489591601270784

@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels But what evidence did AC Griffith give? His son Charles said that AC was just an attention seeker.

2014-10-18T13:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523472188863569922

@cathunwin He's a cloud seeding pilot. Cloud seeding has been openly done for 60+ years. Does not leave trails, is done to existing clouds.

2014-10-18T13:53:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523471837427015681

@Zike244 @MaxAnon23 @selinasorrels @johnramos91 That pic is of cloud seeding in Malaysia. http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/04/02/Cloud-seeding-to-continue-Met-Department-clarifies/

2014-10-18T13:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523471538658353152

@cathunwin They are just joking. That's a normal aerodynamic contrail.

2014-10-18T13:51:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523471330058854400
@yewkalaylee Is it also weird that a cloud ends abruptly at each end? It's the same thing. Contrails reveal where clouds would be.

2014-10-18T13:50:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523471140799258625
@BlackburnRuth @MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels Prove it. Point out something I said that was wrong.

2014-10-18T13:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523470981692915713
@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels But what's your #1 irrefutable piece of evidence that has no other explanation?

2014-10-18T04:42:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523333155202166784
@HartReikiCURES @Todd__S @ChristyTkitty @PIPIL4LIFE They are not low altitude, they are far away.

2014-10-18T04:36:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523331828535414784
@MaxAnon23 @johnramos91 @selinasorrels What is your #1 piece of evidence for chemtrails?

2014-10-18T04:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523329759141298176
@edwiebe Depends on the heuristic. Leaves stick most to water, least to dry. I wrote an article on random scattering
http://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/1648/random_scattering_creating_.php?print=1

2014-10-18T02:05:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523293765738303488
@MaxAnon23 @selinasorrels different altitudes. All it takes is 100 feet. Planes are normally 1000+ apart vertically

---

2014-10-17T23:33:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523255486770991104
@donnalashe @wiltedmagnolias According to all these books, contrails are a type of cloud that can persist and spread https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-17T23:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523254560182112258
@MaxAnon23 @selinasorrels That's simply not true. Look at any book on clouds.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-17T21:12:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/523220067861803008
RT @gkoewler: View from 35,000 feet of a 747 1000 feet below me over the Pacific Ocean going 600 mph

#contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0LYO_OCAAApaMY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0LYO_OCAAApaMY.jpg

2014-10-17T13:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523109633808809984

@QuietChurchMice Yes, to remove bunk, so people focus on real issues. But that aside, what did I get wrong?

2014-10-17T13:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/523105260722614272

@AllHailDarkseid @P01YN0NYM0U55 @RealAmerican8 @reasonIsHard Can you point out anything I got wrong? I'll correct it.

2014-10-17T04:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522964890034507776

@MsDemaeanor @Linnlondon1 @joebird123 Spider Webs.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0Hxy9ACEAEwBvs.jpg

---



Silken threads from which spiderlings balloon away from their egg sac.
Image: Robin Darroch
Source: Robin Darroch

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0Hxy9ACEAEwBvs.jpg

2014-10-16T19:57:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522838879200489474
@investorjsw AraignÃ©es
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/

2014-10-16T19:54:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522838123382726658
@newUFOsightings Probably a bird. Just like these:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/boomerang-moon-ufo-video-bird.2970/

2014-10-16T19:00:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522824373934100480
@English103 @Er_Nope @Womble67 @TakeThatEarth Not true. See 70 years of books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-16T17:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522808302686597120
@TheJakeSimpson Also it supposedly speeds up initial GPS lock, as it roughly knows the altitude.

2014-10-16T17:51:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522807043749470208
@TheJakeSimpson Altitude detection inside buildings.

2014-10-15T23:42:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522532928492802048
@ks4isu They look like these 1972 contrails:
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0Bo7dQCUAEOGvf.jpg



11.4.1



11.4.2



11.4.3



11.4.4



11.4.5



11.4.6

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/B0Bo7dQCUAEOGvf.jpg

2014-10-15T23:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522532122137858048

@Slikk82 Oops, the "red bar" was just my crappy monitor :)

2014-10-15T23:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522531346950807552
@Slikk82 Some ripple clouds on the left, and a slight corona around the sun.

2014-10-15T21:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522495624097177600
RT @SandraFluke: 55% of broadcast ads in midterms paid for by groups that dont fully disclose donors. Time for campaign finance reform httpâ¦

2014-10-15T14:45:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522397942548672512
@Lawful_Rebel @lek_black Here you go: http://docweather.com/2/show/40/

2014-10-15T03:00:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522220381969457152
@MericanSheep911 @_WeAreAware_ Is there some limit on the number of contrails? It's consistent with air traffic.

2014-10-14T22:54:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522158460356407297
@forteantimes @QuintonWinter @faktoider It'a slow bunk day :)

2014-10-14T20:41:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522125028574257152
@MirrorWeirdNews @JRealmo 100 armed alien sea creature is a Basket Star
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-alien-sea-creature-with-100-arms.4712/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg
2014-10-14T20:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522125005765623809
@kohima44terry 100 armed alien sea creature is a Basket Star
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-alien-sea-creature-with-100-arms.4712/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg
2014-10-14T20:41:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522124987210010624
@KingObiora 100 armed alien sea creature is a Basket Star
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-alien-sea-creature-with-100-arms.4712/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg
2014-10-14T20:41:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522124963545772033
@olatayochris 100 armed alien sea creature is a Basket Star
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-alien-sea-creature-with-100-arms.4712/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg

2014-10-14T20:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522124877851922432

100 armed alien sea creature is a Basket Star

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-alien-sea-creature-with-100-arms.4712/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz71zytCYAA4zzF.jpg

2014-10-14T18:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522095850076381184

@KingWhiteCloud @astro_reid Yeah, I'd go with lenticular. In the lee of a mountain range.

2014-10-14T18:38:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522094113366110208

@lebribri Great shot. I like how you can just see the exhaust turbulence on the right edge.

2014-10-14T18:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522093962354376704

RT @lebribri: @MickWest AIRLINER (USA) crosses the SUN ! photo: sebastien lebrigand (France) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz6WvoTCEAA79nm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz6WvoTCEAA79nm.jpg
2014-10-14T17:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522083566813863936
@adamnajberg Giant crab = Bing maps + photoshop: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crabzilla-giant-crab-photoshopped-into-bing-maps-image.4706/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg
2014-10-14T17:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522083503970594816
@forteantimes @QuintonWinter Giant crab = Bing maps + photoshop: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crabzilla-giant-crab-photoshopped-into-bing-maps-image.4706/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg @faktoider

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg
2014-10-14T17:56:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/522083438895984640
@waaf Giant crab = Bing maps + photoshop: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crabzilla-giant-crab-photoshopped-into-bing-maps-image.4706/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg

2014-10-14T17:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522083393253560320

@GregHillWAAF Giant crab = Bing maps + photoshop: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crabzilla-giant-crab-photoshopped-into-bing-maps-image.4706/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg @ChrisGonsalves1 @nicktamanini @trevallen81



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg

2014-10-14T17:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/522082681157218305

That "Giant Crab" image? It's in Bing Maps, but without the crab. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-crabzilla-giant-crab-photoshopped-into-bing-maps-image.4706/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bz7PbokCUAEnXs3.jpg

2014-10-13T16:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521701265353367556

RT @VICE: We interviewed the man who tricked internet conspiracy theorists with a fake chemtrails video http://bit.ly/1D78dFi http://t.co/â□¦

2014-10-13T16:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521701178103443456

@rapic2012 @AlouisWeishaupt I don't see any evidence that the trails are not contrails. So why believe it?

2014-10-13T14:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521662820614549505

@rapic2012 @MericanSheep911 @__White_Rabbit_ Contrails influence the climate a little bit, yes. Slight warming.

2014-10-13T14:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521662374336421888

@rapic2012 @AlouisWeishaupt Contrails at sunset look the same color as clouds at sunset.

2014-10-13T14:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521662060594081792

@BlackburnRuth And that gap is probably only about 1 second long. Here's an engine-off contrail gap. Much longer: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GWFSwt2aqb8

2014-10-13T13:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521661517154889728

@BlackburnRuth Probably just atmospheric condition. But turning off the engine would not make a plane "plummet" - they glide.

2014-10-12T16:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/521341008550719488

@022380 @MexAirSpotters aerodinÃ¡mica
estelas. el vapor de agua generado por el ascensor, baja presiÃ³n

2014-10-12T16:28:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/521336719442841600
@AlouisWeishaupt @rapic2012 Bitte schÃ¶n :)

2014-10-12T16:15:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/521333382999855104
@AlouisWeishaupt @rapic2012 http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bzwl8vACcAE0_Nn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bzwl8vACcAE0_Nn.jpg
2014-10-12T06:47:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/521190327214804993
RT @enviroaero: Travel time from LON in 1914, same year comm. aviation began. Didn't we change the world! #Flying100 https://t.co/IImmsy4XSâ¦

2014-10-12T00:07:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/521089850967998464
@KidKapam @Mattyjsmith86 @TakeThatEarth Clouds stop abruptly at their edges. It's the same thing.

2014-10-11T20:45:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/521038890166001664
@stang289 Tethered balloons. More cost effective. Just with some technical problems.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzsaG_9CUAAthCG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzsaG_9CUAAthCG.jpg
2014-10-10T19:56:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520664282933899265
@char_stokely @bilgladen @star2000dancer Ice superstaturation makes the ice crystals grow and sink, then wind shear spreads it out.

2014-10-10T16:57:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520619135554695172
@9INS1DE1JOB @PicklesDaParrot @WhiteSkyBlues @selinasorrels @DigitalCAPS Have you ever stopped to ask why you think that? Any science there?

2014-10-10T15:18:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520594116229345280
@stang289 The plans are not all the same. Keith's plan calls for converted bizjets, then custom aircraft. Other call for balloons.

2014-10-10T04:54:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520437205752246272
@__White_Rabbit_ @FEMAgulag @take35c @oc_f1fan @BlackburnRuth @mychichikins I replicated the effect with a flash.

2014-10-09T23:10:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520350551519666177
@stang289 So how do you know who has the right science?

2014-10-09T23:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520350408699432960
@stang289 Broken link. But these type of plans get tossed around all the time.

2014-10-09T22:38:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/520342473118203904

@stang289 How do you determine who is doing it?

2014-10-09T22:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/520341101614350336

@stang289 I really don't think your posts are going to influence the election.

2014-10-09T20:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/520307889739538432

@__White_Rabbit_ @FEMAgulag @take35c @oc_f1fan @BlackburnRuth @mychichikins Because the light source is behind the temple. So only sky.

2014-10-09T12:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/520195047682101248

@__White_Rabbit_ @FEMAgulag @take35c @oc_f1fan @BlackburnRuth @mychichikins No, only the sky

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-rolling-shutter-artifact.3244/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bzgao5zCYAAxx3P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bzgao5zCYAAxx3P.jpg

2014-10-09T01:39:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/520025712489541632

@BallisticMikeCA Probably not the same altitude, as they left different types of contrail.

2014-10-08T16:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519883635378245632

@blazeart_ @MericanSheep911 Point out an example lie.

2014-10-08T04:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519699108601163778

@stang289 So you simultaneously agree with her and disagree with her?

2014-10-08T03:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519684355795345409

@MericanSheep911 @__White_Rabbit_ Explain then why planes cannot fly overhead.

2014-10-08T03:02:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519684245770358784

@MericanSheep911 @__White_Rabbit_ Yes, they are normal flight patterns. Like:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzZKEQYCAAQ0VU6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzZKEQYCAAQ0VU6.jpg

2014-10-08T03:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683944858415108

@rt_libertarian But Northwoods did not actually happen, it was rejected. And has nothing to do with chemtrails.

2014-10-08T03:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683810649047042

@rt_libertarian Weather modification is cloud seeding. It's been done publicly since the 1950s

---

2014-10-08T03:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683670110523393

@rt_libertarian Would you prefer they did it without permits? You need a permit for lots of things.

2014-10-08T02:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683545761988608

@__White_Rabbit_ @MericanSheep911 Why is it crazy? They are contrails. Like six planes flew

over. Why not?

2014-10-08T02:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683385464082432

@MericanSheep911 @OpChemtrails Any evidence of that? Or just that I disagree with you?

2014-10-08T02:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683329503686657

@rt_libertarian Northwoods was nothing like chemtrails. It was pretexts to invade cuba.

2014-10-08T02:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519683091342712833

@rt_libertarian That's besides the point. The point is there's no real evidence of chemtrails. So why believe in them?

2014-10-08T02:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519681644815347712

@rt_libertarian But "chemtrails" look just like contrails.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-08T02:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519681485977055232

@stang289 She does not dispute the science though.

2014-10-08T02:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680970979414016

@CColosio_ That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680952440610818

@Uriel_Ramirez_ That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680925798375424

@rt_libertarian Maybe it was just a contrail plane?

2014-10-08T02:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680854147084288

@Thomas_dalesio That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680838531690498

@AtraMartinez_ That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680818914930688

@ValeEspr That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680799725981696

@GEVARAynnek_ That's a 777 test plane with ballast barrels.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-10-08T02:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519680488961609728

@MericanSheep911 @OpChemtrails And what evidence did she give?

2014-10-08T00:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519641363365625857

@Info_Wizard They were just spraying it to see how far it went, not what it did to people.

2014-10-08T00:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519641156213166081

@ImaBannedd @Info_Wizard That's true. There was no conspiracy to poison people with lead pipes.

2014-10-08T00:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519640096513880065

@stang289 We'll see.

2014-10-08T00:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519639554165202946

@Info_Wizard Because they thought it was harmless. Just a test to see how far things blew. During the Cold War.

2014-10-07T22:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519616739726733313

@stang289 "during the same period, warming in the top half of the ocean continued unabated, an unequivocal sign that our planet is heating"

2014-10-07T22:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519616497828626432

@stang289 Read the actual NASA article:

http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/news/news.php?feature=4321

2014-10-07T22:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519616058236215297

@stang289 Also ignoring the conclusion. While the heat might be "missing" that does not mean it's not there. Just hard to account for.

2014-10-07T22:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519615099342823424

@Info_Wizard @trutherbot Except it wasn't radioactive, and there were no pockets of illness.

2014-10-07T20:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519587686567256064

@OpChemtrails @BboxBox @LeoNijhuis How can you tell it's not a contrail. Like:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzXyP0YCQAEntKr.jpg

For scientific discussion, see, for example, all these articles on contrails. In particular the one titled "", from 1970:

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

> *The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight. Often, when persistent contrails exist from 25,000 to 40,000 ft, several long contrails increase in number and* ***gradually merge into an almost solid interlaced sheet.***
> *[....]*
> *Contrail development and spreading begins in the morning hours with the start of heavy jet traffic and may extend from horizon to horizon as the air traffic peaks. Fig. 1 is a typical example of midmorning contrails that occured on 17 December 1969 northwest of Boulder. By midafternoon, sky conditions had developed into those shown in Fig. 2* ***an almost solid contrail sheet*** *reported to average 500 m in depth.*



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzXyP0YCQAEntKr.jpg

2014-10-07T20:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519587309604204544

@nickmyer @blazeart_ @GeoengWatch Dane seems confused about aluminum in rain. He's not finding it in "free form". Tests don't distinguish.

2014-10-07T20:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519586231395438593

@stang289 Read the last paragraph of that article. "global warming is still happening. The earth is still heating up"

2014-10-07T18:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519560818984120320

@blazeart_ Or if you are unfamiliar with contrails, check out http://contrailscience.com

---

2014-10-07T17:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519546248714399745

@hotrodjag You have videos of planes passing though regions of the sky of varying humidity. Just like clouds are.

2014-10-07T17:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519545629333147648

@hotrodjag I've seen that. Is there anything in it you think is correct? Dane is famously wrong about most things.

2014-10-07T17:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519544595244261376

@hotrodjag @koldgunder That;s backwards. High bypass has cooler exhaust, so makes MORE contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-10-07T17:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519536212420210688

@stang289 Yet the earth is still heating up (that's heat, not temperature). Effects are long term and not easily predictable short term.

2014-10-07T16:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519521896539246592

@stang289 It's not driven through the UN. They're responding to decades of science. You are imposing a political belief system on science.

2014-10-07T16:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519519922087411712

@stang289 " As you know it's pretty much accepted science now. Opposed mostly cranks, politicians, and people who watch Fox News.

2014-10-07T14:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519499923754975233

@WerdEmUp @Crackmacs The jokes are often indistinguishable from the bunk nowadays :) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Poe's_law

---

2014-10-07T14:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519496943207067648

Shadow of a contrail on lower cloud layer. Rimburg, Netherlands. RT @L1weer: Photo Herman Langen #L1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzWeHt9CEAEvMxS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzWeHt9CEAEvMxS.jpg
2014-10-07T14:35:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/519496211577843713
@WerdEmUp @Crackmacs It's just cirrostratus cloud. Look it up.
https://www.google.com/search?q=cirrostratus&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzWfDSbCcAIMwCB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzWfDSbCcAIMwCB.jpg

2014-10-07T14:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519492365703077888

@FEMAgulag @take35c @__White_Rabbit_ @oc_f1fan @BlackburnRuth @mychichikins Rolling shutter artifact.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-pink-column-of-light-with-lightning-bolt-rolling-shutter-artifact.3244/

2014-10-07T14:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519491772582346752

@stang289 You are simply trusting the cherry picking of the people who promote that theory. Why do you believe them?

2014-10-07T14:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519491574934167553

@stang289 I'm familiar with this theory. However, how do you know the theory is true? How do you know that CO2 isn't causing climate change?

2014-10-07T04:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519339278375989248

@SteerMark @take35c High bypass jet engines make MORE contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-10-07T04:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519339076302811137

@stang289 Political how? I don't think it's happening. I think people are looking at it. That's all.

2014-10-07T02:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519308984142934016

@stang289 What have politics got to do with chemtrails, 9/11 debunking, UFO identification, etc?

2014-10-07T02:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519308751661039616

@stang289 I'm not "shilling" for anyone. I'm on the side of truth. Anti-bunk.

2014-10-07T01:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519291973224501249

@stang289 But being conservative is not opposing. Metabunk is essentially apolitical. Nobody there is a big fan of the government.

2014-10-07T01:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519291764952158208

@stang289 There's plenty of "opposing posters". You are just the longest lasting. New people come along all the time.

2014-10-07T00:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519290780062474240

@stang289 I think that you pre-judge people too much. Try to forget their politics, see if their ideas have merit.

2014-10-07T00:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519290610428030976

@stang289 Ken Caldira is also critical of the government. People still call him a shill.

2014-10-07T00:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519284511457034240

@stang289 Do people call you a government shill because you read and post on Metabunk? Are they accurate?

2014-10-07T00:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519284310591803392

@stang289 I've worked with you too Joe, to the same extent.

2014-10-06T23:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519274988843442176

@stang289 You only think that because of things you read in RW media. You have no actual experience of scientists.

2014-10-06T21:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519242542173282304

@NBCNews Super misleading tweet. Suggests it's airborne, when article says it is not. Scaremongering clickbait.

2014-10-06T21:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519242122193420288

@GEOMETRY111 Can you give an exact time at which you see this. And explain how you know they are in the same layer?

2014-10-06T20:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519216914623512576

@stang289 No Joe, it's not. There are millions of scientists. You really think they are all corrupt spineless money grubbers?

2014-10-06T19:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519215300839895040

@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani You don't have to reject science to be a conservative.

2014-10-06T19:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519204481687244800

@greenfox49 There's two types, refraction (ice halos) and diffraction (iridescence). Aerodynamic contrails are: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzSVuWaIEAEsN8n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzSVuWaIEAEsN8n.jpg

2014-10-06T18:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519199297783353346

RT @Planesonearth: SODPROPS = Simultaneous Opposite Direction Parallel Runway Operations. Sydney RWY 34L and 16L. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzRpBVCCQAAUIoe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzRpBVCCQAAUIoe.jpg

2014-10-06T18:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519198647154524161

@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani I suspect you din't read that Desmogblog article though? As it seems to agree with my point.

2014-10-06T18:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519198322037235713

@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani Sounds like the "No True Scotsman" fallacy. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/No_true_Scotsman

---

2014-10-06T17:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519184335568523264

@tandjenterprise If something illegal is happening, isn't it already banned?

2014-10-06T17:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519181478639329280

@greenfox49 And does you old man disagree with all the books on clouds for 70 years? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-06T17:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519175052143517696

@greenfox49 @DavidMckenna7 @__White_Rabbit_ Feel free to point out anything I get wrong. Ask questions. Look it up.

2014-10-06T17:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519174619970826240

@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani http://insideclimatenews.org/news/20120221/republicans-santorum-romney-gingrich-climate-scientists-scientific-consensus-skeptics-kerry-emanuel

---

2014-10-06T17:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519170911803416576

Perspective. Contrails are generally far away. @DavidMckenna7 @__White_Rabbit_ @greenfox49 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzR3MVeIcAEpYEB.jpg



Contrails, looking west from Kew Bridge over the Thames, to the West of London. It looks like the contrails are "over the city" but really they are much further away

Drawing a grid at six miles up shows just how far away. The closest would be ten miles away, the furthest over 100 miles. The number at the top of the buildings don't show the distance to the building (actually less than a mile), but show the distance to something six miles up in the sky if it appeared directly behind the top of the building.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzR3MVeIcAEpYEB.jpg

2014-10-06T16:58:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519169943858737152

@DavidMckenna7 @__White_Rabbit_ @greenfox49 Probably because you are looking too close. Visible contrails can be up to 100 miles away.

2014-10-06T16:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519169715202056192

@greenfox49 @DavidMckenna7 @__White_Rabbit_ Contrail persistence is straightforward science and history. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzR2Gr3IgAAhYV-.jpg



The earliest report of both a persistent spreading contrail, with gaps, and a distrail comes very shortly after, in 1921, in the *US. Air Service Newsletter.*

> An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field recently by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty [engine]. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000-27,000 feet at 11:50 a.m., a **long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety**, well defined at the edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. **Then a gap of one-quarter mile**. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for **the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud**. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. **After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzR2Gr3IgAAhYV-.jpg

2014-10-06T16:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519169264746381312

@DavidMckenna7 @greenfox49 @__White_Rabbit_ I think bunk distracts from real issues. So I focus on debunking things I know a lot about.

2014-10-06T16:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519169021661282304

@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani There are lots of scientists who are conservatives. Science at root is apolitical.

2014-10-06T16:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519168730178154496

@DavidMckenna7 @__White_Rabbit_ @greenfox49 Just a lot of the sky having conditions consistent with persistent contrails.

2014-10-06T16:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/519156126449819648

@__White_Rabbit_ @greenfox49 It's an aerodynamic contrail. Varies with air condition, like clouds vary. Similar to: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzRpvucCEAA62Wt.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzRpvucCEAA62Wt.jpg
2014-10-06T16:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/519155793099100160
@GEOMETRY111 @Slikk82 An I wasn't busy, just asleep. You posted at 4AM my time :)

2014-10-06T16:01:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/519155566115975168
@GEOMETRY111 @Slikk82 The different trails are just planes at different altitudes. It only takes a few hundred feet to make the difference.

2014-10-06T16:01:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/519155375707144192
@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani But to show it is flawed, you'd have to use science. So science is still the tool being used.

2014-10-06T04:06:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518975509963620352
@stang289 @UndeniableTho @NinaVillani That's not what the science says though. So why do you think so?

2014-10-05T00:40:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518561376260726784
@KarenMartinOpEx You'd only see a contrail if they were in really cold air, like -40 degrees, it it actually needs to be humid, not dry.

2014-10-05T00:26:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518557705129058304
@PorpoiseHork Then publish those tests. All the tests I've seen show expected variance. Dirt is 8% aluminum. (80,000 ppm)

2014-10-04T23:11:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518538820564705281
@KarenMartinOpEx @BlueAngels Technically smoke trails, nor contrails. Kerosene injected into the exhaust.

2014-10-04T23:07:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518537887999361026
@A3803 And earlier, from space:
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,!Reference_Labels,!Reference_Features,Coastlines&time=2014-10-04&map=-120.82312,32.280396,-116.859253,34.651245 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI3dedCIAA4gks.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI3dedCIAA4gks.jpg

2014-10-04T23:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518537292286132225

@A3803 A bit later: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI260RCAAAK3jF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI260RCAAAK3jF.jpg

2014-10-04T22:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518535486244016128

@A3803 UA475 was 1250 feet higher at the crossing point. Different planes here also, UA475 is A320, AA5 is 767-300

2014-10-04T22:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518534787116433408

@A3803 UA475 was 1250 feet higher at the crossing point.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI0pAcCEAAHrLL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzI0pAcCEAAHrLL.jpg

2014-10-04T22:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518533026993545216

@A3803 Yes, they start the descent to San Diego to the north of Los Angeles, they were coming down from FL390 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIzCj6CUAEpDCb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIzCj6CUAEpDCb.jpg

2014-10-04T22:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518529439840206849

Using @flightradar24 to identify two flights crossing paths just south of LAX. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/photos-of-planes-and-contrails-that-you-took-yourself.1487/page-10#post-128545 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIvxwoCcAA1Mc0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIvxwoCcAA1Mc0.jpg

2014-10-04T22:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518524044446949377

It's contrail weather in Los Angeles today. Snapped AAL5 on his way to Hawaii leaving aerodynamic contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIq3tjCQAA_Kov.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIq3tjCQAA_Kov.jpg

2014-10-04T22:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518523409949405184

@IRNH3D @Sheilaaliens Where are you getting this "20 minutes" number? Do you have a reference? EB: "several hours". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIqSyJCUAAemYC.jpg

---

Do contrails sometimes persist and spread out?

Yes, see the Encyclopædia Britannica article on vapour trails (contrails):

> ***Contrail,*** *streamer of cloud sometimes observed behind an airplane flying in clear, cold, humid air. It forms upon condensation of the water vapour produced by the combustion of fuel in the airplane engines. When the ambient relative humidity is high, the resulting* ***ice-crystal plume may last for several hours****. The trail may be distorted by the winds, and* ***sometimes it spreads outwards to form a layer of cirrus cloud.***
>
> **vapour trail**. (2007). In *Encyclopædia Britannica*.Retrieved May 4, 2007,from Encyclopædia Britannica Online: http://www.britannica.com/eb/article-9074829

(The above quote is from the current EB. However, a Google books search dates the inclusion on the EB back to 1983)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIqSyJCUAAemYC.jpg
2014-10-04T22:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518522898936389632
@PorpoiseHork Tests so far have shown expected background levels. And patents don't mean thing is being used.

2014-10-04T22:07:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518522791130189824
@ebolafalseflag @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan They appear to be contrails, yes. I took some photos too. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIpuwvCYAANpd0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzIpuwvCYAANpd0.jpg
2014-10-04T20:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518502014200713216
@UndeniableTho @NinaVillani No, he's talking about how doing nothing about climate change is like free-riding on our grandchildren.

2014-10-04T20:31:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518498583692066816
@DntBelieveLies All the books on clouds for the last 70 years are lies? How do you figure that

worked?

2014-10-04T20:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518498401747341312

@IRNH3D @Sheilaaliens But 70 years of books on clouds say that contrails can last just as long as clouds do. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-04T18:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518473289467830272

@PorpoiseHork How can you tell? The persistent trails look and act exactly like persistent contrails.

2014-10-04T18:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518467857810599936

@PorpoiseHork It's a short contrail. They can be long and persistent too. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-04T17:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518454935373901825

@JohnJaksich @DecodedScience The water phase is just very brief and small, microdrop quickly freezes, then it nucleates more ice.

2014-10-04T17:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518454455830720513

@JohnJaksich @DecodedScience Contrails do NOT skip the water phase in formation, just in dissipation. (due to lack of ice nuclei)

2014-10-04T13:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518396058565500928

@bunnykinns AWACS throttles down for separation. Less thrust = less water = no contrail. Then applies thrust again. So contrail restarts.

2014-10-04T13:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518395736463912960

@bunnykinns Article seems confused. Contrails are clouds, so they persist like clouds do. Clouds are condensation.

2014-10-04T04:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518255916492140544

@Info_Wizard I don't.

2014-10-04T04:26:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518255838595530752

@Chemtrails_818 @JAIMEROQUE @RICKatFOX Not round here it wasn't.

2014-10-04T04:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518255735914770433

@stang289 Why can't you change?

2014-10-03T22:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518164529931251712

@DntBelieveLies Not true. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-10-03T20:55:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518142266808868864

@JAIMEROQUE Contrail frequency roughly follows rain frequency. We get very few contrails in the summer in SoCal

---

2014-10-03T20:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518128615657984000

@heinlman There is a difference, however that diagram has it backwards. High bypass makes more contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-10-03T19:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518122581627375616

@heinlman Relative humidity in the region of air the plane is flying though yes. It varies a lot, exactly how clouds vary.

2014-10-03T19:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518122231398793216

@stang289 We'll see.

2014-10-03T18:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/518112317196619776

Contrail with volumetric edge shadow, Santa Monica, California.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzC0aCOCMAA2DL-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BzC0aCOCMAA2DL-.jpg
2014-10-03T18:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518111560179269632
@IRNH3D @Sheilaaliens Contrails sometimes persist and spread. So how can you tell the difference?

2014-10-03T18:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/518111424116051968
@stang289 Stick with the facts Joe.

2014-10-03T01:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517846991544389632
@LifeStyle6070 @BeforeItsNews Hoax https://www.metabunk.org/threads/hoax-fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By_DGCJIMAEn3sx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By_DGCJIMAEn3sx.jpg

2014-10-03T01:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517846629135564800

@EarthPicsx @DJGrothe Frozen lake, Baikal, Russia.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By_Cw8hIcAAmTaC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By_Cw8hIcAAmTaC.jpg

2014-10-02T21:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517790958251810816
@heinlman Just some contrails lined up at sunset.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fireballs-in-the-sky-over-davison-multiple-sunlit-contrails.4615/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By-QIdjIcAAvVv8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By-QIdjIcAAvVv8.jpg
2014-10-02T21:38:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517790632748675072
@rddw4282 He's admitted it was hoax, on Icke Radio.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/hoax-fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/

2014-10-02T18:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743765495091200
@stompk "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:31:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743715914223617
@phucknwo "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:31:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743661761585152
@B4INChemtrails "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg

2014-10-02T18:31:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743628001628160
@THEPENNYJ "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:31:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743589623738369
@B4INFeatured "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517743511173468160
@DixiesBuddy @beforeitsnews "Chemtrail Nozzle" video explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg @Dc37Deborah



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517743305262501888
@EvillySexyBitch @RA9h10m20sD1416 Fuel dump video causes chemtrail speculation, explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg
2014-10-02T18:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517738730346397696
@OpChemtrails @kerr_steve They are sounding rockets. Have been used openly for decades. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sounding_rocket

---

2014-10-02T16:53:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517718974943883264
@TheOnlyNoshayah Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-airline-passengers-told-to-lower-shades-during-chemtrail-spraying.4093/

2014-10-02T16:41:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517715885784440833
@4thAnon @JoeRogan They are contrails. These are the planes that made them, flying overhead.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fireballs-in-the-sky-over-davison-multiple-sunlit-contrails.4615/#post-128188 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By9L2rWIIAApoRf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By9L2rWIIAApoRf.jpg
2014-10-01T22:10:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517436517040406528
@ebolafalseflag @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan yes, but a bit less humid than when the sky is covered with natural clouds.

2014-10-01T22:02:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517434399403433984
@stang289 No, just in general. I wrote a post about that video. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fireballs-in-the-sky-over-davison-multiple-sunlit-contrails.4615/

2014-10-01T19:33:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/517396852644139009
@stang289 Funny how these fireballs always arrive just after sunset :)

2014-10-01T18:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517379257383677953

@stang289 I'm not shilling Joe, you know that. I'm just a debunker, and politically I lean left a bit. I like regulation of big corporations

---

2014-10-01T17:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517364831611928577

@CloudAppSoc @RachelsWritings It's a truncated hole punch.

2014-10-01T17:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517363826723799042

@stang289 yes you do :)

2014-10-01T16:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517357255180570624

@Salon that photo only has 1,500 walruses. The 35,000 photo is:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By4FroNIQAAn9Nz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By4FroNIQAAn9Nz.jpg

2014-10-01T16:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517344561392132096

Fuel dump video causes chemtrail speculation.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fuel-dump-video-on-facebook-flight-ba244-from-buenos-aires-to-london.4612/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/By36Iv3IIAEOL4x.jpg

2014-10-01T15:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517328409064529920

@bunnykinns @joshclassenCTV @TaraBarnes1 Those jets could be thousands of feet apart vertically, and miles horizontally.

2014-10-01T15:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517328126225833985

@Lawful_Rebel Only water vapor is NECESSARY of exhaust contrails, the soot helps, but there are nuclei in the air anyway.

2014-10-01T14:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517327784209694720

@Lawful_Rebel @Hsaive Harold has it backwards. High bypass engines make MORE contrails, according to science.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-09-30T19:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517028065944162306

@suedesoft Live link: http://www.flightradar24.com/40.37,-120.67/7

2014-09-30T19:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517027257072631808

@suedesoft Or maybe a very large plane that was normal altitude? A380 is 2x size of most Redding traffic, looks low http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByzZjNIIMAANxWI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByzZjNIIMAANxWI.jpg

2014-09-30T17:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/517009248270946306

@cmnit Very good.

2014-09-30T16:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516990236438446080

@__White_Rabbit_ @golddustmaker These are all just contrails, right?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byy34UmIYAAVf3Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byy34UmIYAAVf3Q.jpg

2014-09-30T16:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516989734250225664

@__White_Rabbit_ @golddustmaker So you are saying if there's a visible gap, then it is never a "chemtrail"?

2014-09-28T23:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516364433430294531

@tfinefine As they historically have.

http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByiyVDVIgAAVzNQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByiyVDVIgAAVzNQ.jpg

2014-09-28T23:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516364058983800832

@weatherboi_rich Nice photos.

2014-09-28T22:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516360136227450881

@weatherboi_rich It depends on if they are in shadow or not. Sun is low. Clouds cast shadows other clouds (and contrails). Low clouds dark

---

2014-09-28T22:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516359513239076864

@weatherboi_rich It's the same as the dark clouds, just a bit more dense, so blocks more indirect light.

2014-09-28T17:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516285252344365056

@OreoStallion @trutherbot So what do you infer from what they say?

2014-09-28T17:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516278043644403712

@trutherbot So you selectively believe official sources now?How do you determine which bits to believe?

2014-09-28T17:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516274125690531840

@LostBees @Slikk82 I doubt anyone is going to engage you if you just call them name. Why not try politeness and reason?

2014-09-28T05:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/516090895418945537

@4thAnon Really?

2014-09-27T15:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515880782942851072

@Gr1zz7y I'd encourage you to check that against science, which says they look like contrails. My book collection: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-27T14:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515877851451514881

@Gr1zz7y So all the straight line flights are just contrails then? What exactly makes you think a particular trail is a "Chemtrail"?

2014-09-27T14:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515877582869245952

@manni0056 Unfortunately the science there is backwards. They make MORE contrails. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByjD7WCIQAAdWpr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByjD7WCIQAAdWpr.jpg

2014-09-27T14:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515875600116875264

@Gr1zz7y There are many reasons for such path. Survey planes for example.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/u-turn-contrail-near-san-francisco-nasa-survey-plane.3654/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByjCH8mIEAAp1B4.jpg



Date	Aircraft	Origin	Destination	Departure	Arrival	Duration
15-May-2014	GLF3/I	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	08:57AM PDT	02:03PM PDT	5:06
15-May-2014	GLF3	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	08:57AM PDT	02:57PM PDT	6:00
13-May-2014	GLF3/G	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	09:20AM PDT	*10:24AM PDT (?)*	1:04
06-May-2014	GLF3/L	New Orleans Intl (KMSY)	Palmdale Regional (KPMD)	10:58AM CDT	01:25PM PDT	4:26
05-May-2014	GLF3	Tocumen Int'l (MPTO / PTY)	New Orleans Intl (KMSY)	11:08AM EST	02:52PM CDT	3:44

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByjCH8mIEAAp1B4.jpg

2014-09-27T14:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515875404754604032

@Gr1zz7y MSN2 is the A350 XWB test plane. They fly around to test it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SQvMPcneuVo

2014-09-27T14:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515874637582831616

@fenzi182 Where do you think clouds come from? Or dew (rugiada). They come from "nothing". It's water vapor that exists in the air.

2014-09-27T14:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515874082244395008

@fenzi182 Yes it does. Like the condensation in the neck of a beer bottle when you open it. Pressure change. = "aerodynamic contrail"

2014-09-27T14:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515873883388248064

@Freizeit_Tiger Ich auch :)

2014-09-27T14:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515872893985513472

@bunnykinns @joshclassenCTV @TaraBarnes1 Remember though, the trails can be a lot further away than you think, over 50 miles sometimes.

2014-09-27T14:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515872636258095104

@OpChemtrails @WorldOfOneness How can you tell they are not contrails? You know contrails can persist.

http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf

---

2014-09-27T14:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515872418099781632

@fenzi182 I'm a debunker. Debunkers seek to remove falsehood, so the truth can shine though. Where did I make an error? Is the book wrong?

2014-09-27T14:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515872108585287680

@fenzi182 Have you read any books on clouds? "Manuale di meteorologia"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi-8uCIIAAaBqu.jpg



NUBI E SISTEMI FRONTALI

Fig. 75. *Scie di condensazione*
La presenza di numerose scie persistenti indica condizioni favorevoli al loro perdurare (aria stabile e sufficientemente umida).

ti dall'altro, a volte mascherati da nuvolosità più bassa e immobile. Si tratta di turbolenza che è pericolosa per la navigazione aerea, soprattutto per i velivoli leggeri.

Il meccanismo di formazione è simile a quello delle nebbie da avvezione.

Le scie di condensazione si formano ad altezze in cui la temperatura dell'aria è molto bassa (inferiore a –40 °C), con umidità relativa almeno del 60%.

Le scie possono essere più o meno durature nel tempo, a seconda della stabilità dell'aria e della quantità di vapore presente.

Nubi madreperlacee

Le **nubi madreperlacee** hanno iridescenze simili a quelle della madreperla. Di forma lenticolare, si sviluppano presumibilmente nella stratosfera, dove raccolgono la poca umidità e le particelle presenti.

Nubi vulcaniche

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi-8uCIIAAaBqu.jpg
2014-09-27T14:29:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515870824629153795
@fenzi182 Paying attention to contrails is not the same as proving they are chemtrails. What is the difference? Science says contrails.

2014-09-27T14:17:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515867865262460928
@OpChemtrails @fenzi182 @Gr1zz7y Even in the face of all known science, history, and evidence? Seems almost like a religion. Open your mind.

2014-09-27T14:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515867557182455808
@mr_anderson077 @toasterhead @OpChemtrails It's an exact match for ballast barrels.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi6zxpIEAAqj_-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi6zxpIEAAqj_-.jpg

2014-09-27T14:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515867174649360384

@fenzi182 @OpChemtrails @Gr1zz7y What exactly did they agree to test? When will they start?

2014-09-27T14:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515864617424138241

@fenzi182 @OpChemtrails @Gr1zz7y No. Obviously routes are going to cross. Look at 24 hours of air traffic in the US. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi4Iq6IcAAwnNy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi4Iq6IcAAwnNy.jpg

2014-09-27T14:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515864139361558528

@ChemtraiLBrasil How to tell the difference, isfany, between contrails and chemtrails https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00 #GMACAG

2014-09-27T14:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515863522576568320

@jazzpurist But all the books for 70 years? Why would a book in the 1940s say contrails persist if they don't?

2014-09-27T13:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515863319337390080

Don't have 20 minutes to read NASA doc? Take 3 to watch this vid. Contrails persist, so are not #chemtrails https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00 #GMACAG

2014-09-27T13:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515862752514961408

@jazzpurist No, it's established science dating back 70+ years. See all the books on clouds, ever. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-27T13:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515862516631490561

@silkmeadow Except his "Evidence" does not check out. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-i-installed-chemtrail-devices-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi2OY6IEAAyv0Z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi2OY6IEAAyv0Z.jpg

2014-09-27T13:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515861464238673922

@Ucallninja You are simply blocking science. Check it for yourself, keep an open mind.

2014-09-27T13:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515861265588051968

@fenzi182 @OpChemtrails @Gr1zz7y aerodynamic contrail. Low pressure on wing ->

condensation. http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi1FkXIYAAoXL7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byi1FkXIYAAoXL7.jpg
2014-09-27T13:46:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515860153367687170
@Ucallninja That's just a way of avoiding the science. Calling people shills. Notice she does not point out anything I was wrong about.

2014-09-27T13:46:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515859944428408832
@taisho_tane Right. Besides calling me a shill, can you actually point out anything I got wrong? Don't avoid the science.

2014-09-27T13:45:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515859717365571586
@fenzi182 @OpChemtrails @Gr1zz7y How is some additional cloud cover going to affect the bees? Photo is a layer of contrail cirrus.

2014-09-27T13:40:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515858421476646912
@__White_Rabbit_ @JohnyCowboy Yes it is. Not NEVER. So how can you tell the difference between contrail and "chemtrail"?

2014-09-27T13:39:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/515858233215303680
Marching for "chemtrails" today? Take 20 minutes first on the science of contrails. http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf #gmacag http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByiyVDVIgAAVzNQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByiyVDVIgAAVzNQ.jpg

2014-09-27T13:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515857681911791617

@Ucallninja Those are just two different types of contrail.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byix0-BIgAA-vGT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Byix0-BIgAA-vGT.jpg

2014-09-27T13:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515857325521776641

@__White_Rabbit_ @JohnyCowboy Not true. 70 years of books on clouds say contrails

sometimes persist and spread. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-27T13:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515857065982451712

@Gr1zz7y Article is from 2001. If you can prove it, then why has nobody documented proof in the last 13 years?

2014-09-27T13:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515856751720017920

@Gr1zz7y No, ice crystals.

2014-09-27T13:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515856616428556288

@taisho_tane So where in their docs does it say they are actually doing it? Speculation is not automatically reality.

2014-09-27T13:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515856368712966144

@taisho_tane Photo is of Malaysian cloud seeding, not geoengineering. http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/04/02/Cloud-seeding-put-on-hold-due-to-rainy-season/

2014-09-27T13:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515856320231006208

@xxx_m4h Photo is of Malaysian cloud seeding, not geoengineering. http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/04/02/Cloud-seeding-put-on-hold-due-to-rainy-season/

2014-09-26T22:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515628166182752256

@Sandy_Hook_Hoax Okay I watched it. It says the same thing I said on Metabunk. Deaths were listed under state police jurisdiction.

2014-09-26T19:24:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515582608676118528

@jobert_smith Can you see contrails now?

2014-09-26T18:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515566803972390912

@jobert_smith It happen sometimes due to weather conditions. You're just paying attention today because of the shutdown.

2014-09-26T17:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515555874572488706

@skybydesign Avoiding the answer to your question does not mean the opposite answer is true. Are you really being open minded here?

2014-09-26T17:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515553614274633728

@skybydesign Investigated here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/moscow-spiral-contrail-helix-cloud-theories.1031/

2014-09-26T15:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515529442492289025

Animation of airspace closure over Chicago.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fire-at-faa-facility-closes-chicago-airspace.4575/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ByeHSo1CQAAtfbv.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/ByeHSo1CQAAtfbv.png

2014-09-25T22:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515264545749606401

@ObscureAlien It's your tweet :)

2014-09-25T21:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515256686857056257

@ObscureAlien Mystery solved:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-contrail-private-jet-perspective.4559/

2014-09-25T17:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515193576402071552

@JusPauperum1 @Lawful_Rebel Their shot of FBI site is correct. But the 27 deaths are not supposed to be there as they were classed as State.

2014-09-25T17:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515184580635004928

@t_r0ck Nope.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/

2014-09-25T16:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515183250218561536

@JusPauperum1 @Lawful_Rebel An oversight by Infowars. Of just them trying a bit to hard to

make a story where there is none.

2014-09-25T16:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515181550321692672

@usafilament Thanks, but that must be another Mick West buying filament. I don't have a printer yet.

2014-09-25T16:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179578214473729

@FrontRowSeat42 Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/

2014-09-25T16:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179506970009601

@JusPauperum1 Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/ @Lawful_Rebel

---

2014-09-25T16:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179462195834880

@milamleslie Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/ @NorthWolf28

2014-09-25T16:42:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179422660304896

@AIR_Ford Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/

2014-09-25T16:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179389361725440

@ZonkaGear Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/ @Eboogie835

2014-09-25T16:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179336836452352

@InfowarsUpdates Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/ @ClarkKentwars @GENUG @Ryan11Langley

---

2014-09-25T16:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515179285674340353

@ClarkKentwars Sandy Hook FBI numbers explained: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fbi-says-no-one-killed-at-sandy-hook-included-in-ct-state-total.4570/ @cam78745 @Cagsil @ornerynana @nakedshortkilla

---

2014-09-25T15:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515157739715059712

@Slikk82 @CAchemtrails @martinhume @iain39 @karentalford66 @lek_black Geoengineering has been discussed like this for decades.

2014-09-25T13:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515138399439560704

@OpChemtrails Any evidence that what she says is true? Anything I said that you can show is wrong?

2014-09-25T13:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/515138107859931136

@deliri019 Looks like persistent spreading contrails to science in 1969. http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/1520-0469(1970)027%3C0937%3AAOOCEO%3E2.0.CO%3B2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByYjYPvIgAA_LBn.jpg

---



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByYjYPvIgAA_LBn.jpg

2014-09-24T23:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514921222266572801

@Er_Nope just part of DLR's contrail research https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5PS8MFJ2Jts

---

2014-09-24T19:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514858449931206656

@denyofiniquity @rrosencr It's just flying directly towards you. So it looks vertical. It's actually

horizontal.

2014-09-24T19:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514858065955266560

@Slikk82 @CAchemtrails @martinhume @iain39 @karentalford66 @lek_black This video is not evidence they are spraying. Just discussion.

2014-09-24T17:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514825964849594369

@Slikk82 @CAchemtrails @martinhume @iain39 @karentalford66 @lek_black That's a simple overview of proposed geoengineering techniques. So?

2014-09-23T23:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514554700004216833

@AZofGWarming @DailyMirror Unfortunately most "weird" news these days has pretty boring explanations. Weird gets clicks though.

2014-09-23T22:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514548280194105344

@ACloakedFigure "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514548125281710080

@AZofGWarming @DailyMirror "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514548047540264960

@DailyMirror "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg @zuhairaltaee

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547711551344640

@Orgetorix "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547659638439937

@vinilx @MailOnline "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547527849242624

@CollectedN "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547428364541952

@_dpaj "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg @jurylady5



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:50:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547377818976256

@e_franceschini "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg@guidoolimpio

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514547334617649153

@Independent "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg @ManNextDoor

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514546923433254912

@Jessperezm @Daily_Express "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514546867556737024

@azmeranic "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514546816814043136

@Daily_Express "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg @gloswebcams

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T22:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514546372393967617

Portsmouth "UFO" Contrail solved. Regular jet + forced perspective.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-portsmouth-uk-alien-flight-path-ufo-private-jet-perspective.4559/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByQJMt2IIAALElS.jpg

2014-09-23T17:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/514469443145986048

@stefs Looks like a minor course adjustment. Do you have precise location and time?

2014-09-21T19:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513765679749554176

@lrrpman67 The science indicates they are contrails. I'd encourage you to independently verify anything I say. I'd be happy for corrections.

2014-09-21T19:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513765053477027840

@lrrpman67 I just gave you a scientific paper on the accuracy of radiosonde data. It's what you asked for. Did you look at it?

2014-09-21T18:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513764544745717760

@lrrpman67 http://www.eol.ucar.edu/homes/junhong/paper/Refsonde-GRL.pdf Red = actual RH, blue&green = typical radiosonde. Dashed line = contrail RH

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByFCIXDIMAAxqOB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByFCIXDIMAAxqOB.jpg

2014-09-21T18:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513763519984988161

@lrrpman67 And how did you determine this? With the soundings? They are generally useless for RH below -40Â°F

2014-09-21T18:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513762854244073472
@lrrpman67 A big problem is the inaccuracy of radiosondes below -40Â°F, which leads to erroneous low RH values.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/accuracy-of-radiosonde-humidity-soundings-for-contrail-prediction.758/

2014-09-21T18:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513762589973557249
@lrrpman67 @michellemalkin Nope, humidity too. But as it's always cold enough then humidity becomes the main variable. (at cruise altitude)

2014-09-21T18:50:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513762254638944256
@biggysmlls @davebrooker322 I thought it was YOU claiming they were added? Anyway, debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2014-09-21T18:47:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513761562859819008
@lrrpman67 @michellemalkin It's always cold enough at some altitude.

2014-09-21T18:30:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513757261617844224
@lrrpman67 @michellemalkin That's not what all the books on clouds say.

2014-09-21T18:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513754288968200192
@lrrpman67 @michellemalkin You might want to check the last 70 years of books on clouds about that. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-21T18:10:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/513752139990970369
Sinusoidal thin contrails explained as Solitary Hybrid Contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sinusoidal-thin-contrails-explained-solitary-hybrid-contrails-the-rook-instability.4539/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByE22TKIUAAkqfR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/ByE22TKIUAAkqfR.jpg

2014-09-21T00:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/513480296914628608

@OpChemtrails @_WeAreAware_ Generally when you see trails like this they are military planes practicing high altitude maneuvers..

2014-09-14T03:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510999089324892160

@Whitehot711 @HartReikiCURES Admitted that HAARP can alter the ionosphere, that is unrelated to the weather?

2014-09-14T03:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510998831412965377

@angry_vet_ Explained by me.

2014-09-14T01:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510966698770329600

@angry_vet_ Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-09-14T01:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510966622899560448

@angry_vet_ @wantmy2cents None. I don't work for anyone.

2014-09-14T00:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510952417815310336

@FreedomNJ @RealAlexJones Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg
2014-09-14T00:45:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510952324827594752
@heavydemon2012 Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg@Opy1963



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg

2014-09-14T00:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510952238672388096

@Whitehot711 @HartReikiCURES Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg
2014-09-14T00:44:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510952186113576961
@angry_vet_ Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg
@wantmy2cents



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg

2014-09-14T00:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510952142413131776

@kensheik Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg

2014-09-14T00:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510952036544679936

@RealAlexJones @afallenson Just combined exhaust and aerodynamic contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-footage-of-jet-aircraft-spraying-aerodynamic-contrails.4454/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxdEK1TIQAAarIu.jpg

2014-09-13T20:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510890393123041280

Contrail Sniffing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxcMGs0IgAAJtel.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxcMGs0IgAAJtel.jpg

2014-09-13T20:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510886212442157056

@Brains3 Planes run on hydrocarbons, which burn in oxygen, creating water and carbon dioxide.

2014-09-13T20:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510883081692000257

@lebribri @AP It's just a hybrid contrail with crow instability.

http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-09-13T19:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510876368419037184

@Brains3 It's just water. Contrails are clouds triggered by water in the engine exhaust meeting cold humid air.

2014-09-13T19:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510873557518131200

@Brains3 Here's the source, with some similar photos of a plane under a spread trail.

http://spotting.net.pl/galeria67.html Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bxb8yvZIQAAGCB0.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bxb8yvZIQAAGCB0.png

2014-09-13T19:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510872042346803200

@Brains3 it says "Wizzair A320 flying under contrail of Thai Airways A380 above Poland. "

2014-09-13T19:15:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510869348374675456

@Brains3 What was wrong?

2014-09-13T19:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510867314363740161

Great perspective! RT @Planesonearth: Wizzair A320 flying under contrail of Thai Airways A380 above Poland. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxM6sRiIAAE-hvw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxM6sRiIAAE-hvw.jpg

2014-09-13T19:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510867103063080960

@senorchompers Okay, so what evidence says there actually was a van with explosives? See: http://www.911myths.com/index.php/A_truckload_of_explosives

---

2014-09-13T19:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510865845770137600

@senorchompers Can you just pick the actual SINGLE claim of evidence you want to discuss?

2014-09-13T19:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510865719584501760

@senorchompers So you are dropping this idea of the van with a mural? You want to discuss something else now?

2014-09-13T18:56:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510864588976300032

@senorchompers This? You think it "depicts a mural of a plane slamming into the World Trade Centers"?

2014-09-13T18:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510864241163640833

@senorchompers This? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bxb0UeXIQAE3FHw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bxb0UeXIQAE3FHw.jpg

2014-09-13T18:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510863432757702656

@senorchompers Can you give a timestamp of the photo of the van with the painting on it?

2014-09-13T18:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510862321741094912

@senorchompers If you can't pick any claim of evidence that you think can't be debunked, then that implies you think they can all be.

2014-09-13T18:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510862131999174656

@senorchompers But there is no photo of this van. The image in the video is an "artists rendition". Obviously bogus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxbyZs-IYAAzKUD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxbyZs-IYAAzKUD.jpg
2014-09-13T18:37:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510859945315532800
@nickmyer @Er_Nope @GlennBurnsWSB Compare their qualifications: http://www.wsbtv.com/staff/glenn-burns/ and http://www.activistpost.com/2012/08/chemtrail-whistleblower-allan-buckmann.html#!bsaViY AMS certified met, vs not.

2014-09-13T18:35:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510859332464803840
@senorchompers Anyone can tell a story by connecting random dots, especially if they don't verify the dots.

2014-09-13T18:34:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510859124674818048
@senorchompers For example, what is the evidence of a van with "painting of a plane descending into the towers on its side". Photos?

2014-09-13T18:33:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510858943866761216
@senorchompers No, you are telling a story. You are not presenting any particular claim of evidence.

2014-09-13T18:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510858753642475520
@nickmyer @Er_Nope @GlennBurnsWSB I linked to the credentials he himself gave.

2014-09-13T18:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510858293611626496

@senorchompers So pick a particular claim of evidence you think is solid. Not a long list like that.

2014-09-13T18:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510857904543367168

@senorchompers So which one of those claims do you think is strongest and can't be debunked?

2014-09-13T18:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510856852192505856

@senorchompers Can you pick one claim where you think the debunking was bullshit?

2014-09-13T18:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510855162185797632

@senorchompers It's a "Gish Gallop". I don't do that. I focus on individual claims, so we get some useful analysis. http://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Gish_Gallop

---

2014-09-13T18:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510854776951549952

@senorchompers So why can't you pick one that you think can't be explained?

2014-09-13T17:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510845531061379073

@senorchompers So you admit that all the claims can be explained?

2014-09-13T17:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510844468736442368

@senorchompers Are there any claims for which you cannot find a plausible explanation?

2014-09-13T17:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510844177597231104

@senorchompers Pick one claim.

2014-09-13T17:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510842772601851904

@senorchompers Pick the one piece you find most compelling.

2014-09-13T17:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510841230423384064

@senorchompers I look at individual claims of evidence. See if the individual claims of evidence are bunk or not.

2014-09-13T17:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510840975296430080

@nntaleb Should the beheading exposure be proportional to the relative size of their contribution? 100 Riyals = scratch? Or probabilistic?

2014-09-13T17:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510838587336581121

@senorchompers The FAQ has some concise explanations.

http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

2014-09-13T17:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510838435406303232

@senorchompers That's where the fire displaced some girders, eventually leading to column buckling. The SW damage did not cause collapse.

2014-09-13T16:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510825567621378048

@senorchompers For example:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/

2014-09-13T16:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510825486121828353

@senorchompers Generally I focus on specific claims of evidence, so some useful result can emerge. But I sometimes do a few at once.

2014-09-13T15:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510818641713954816

@senorchompers Yes, it's just a plane with an intermittent aerodynamic contrail. Like this:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-undeniable-proof-video.4454/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxbK2OxIgAAs3L2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxbK2OxIgAAs3L2.jpg

2014-09-13T00:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510586776524554241

@nickmyer @Er_Nope @GlennBurnsWSB Allan Buckman was never a meteorologist. 50 years

ago he was a weather observer.
http://www.activistpost.com/2012/08/chemtrail-whistleblower-allan-buckmann.html#!bsaViY

2014-09-12T20:36:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510527475378442240
@Lawful_Rebel Can you point out anything I said that is demonstrably wrong?

2014-09-11T23:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510207668732977152
@stanleyfunk607 @flybyday63 @missnancyleigh Contrails sometimes expand. Say all the books on clouds. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-11T22:57:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510200433898311680
@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope I debunk claims of evidence. You claimed no contrails a ground level. I debunked it. One thing at a time.

2014-09-11T22:56:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510200211361132544
@Er_Nope @nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB Needs -40Â°F/C otherwise the condensed water does not freeze. Hence: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSYY2DIMAESwsN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSYY2DIMAESwsN.jpg
2014-09-11T22:55:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510199898713509888
@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope All it takes is ice supersaturation and -40 degrees. The "right" conditions. Happens a lot.

2014-09-11T22:54:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510199669050200065
@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope Cars leave persistent contrails in Alaska sometimes.

http://contrailscience.com/ground-level-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSX5RvIgAAQA2T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSX5RvIgAAQA2T.jpg

2014-09-11T22:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510193337676996609

@nickmyer Well, your first step is probably assembling your evidence in a verifiable format. Good luck.

2014-09-11T22:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510192807156277249

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope Everyone sees the same sky. You just interpret it differently .

2014-09-11T22:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510192389877555200

@Er_Nope @nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB About seven years.

2014-09-11T22:23:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510191959466450944

@nickmyer Assuming there were such people, why would they win?

2014-09-11T22:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510191800472961024

@GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope @nickmyer It's fundamentally an issue of trust. Relevant facts are a bit hard to understand, so who do you trust?

2014-09-11T22:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510191533773975552

@GlennBurnsWSB @Er_Nope @nickmyer Confirmation bais. If chemtrails fits your world view, then it's easier to believe it.

2014-09-11T22:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510190950463725568

@nickmyer Ah, I though you mean something I said. I agree that "wacko" is uncalled for. That's why I have a politeness policy.

2014-09-11T22:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510190730787045376

@nickmyer You prefer the guy who gets things wrong all the time, is it because he seems more genuine to you?

2014-09-11T22:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510190564059254784

@nickmyer You might not like it, or me, but is anything I said actually wrong? Like in the way Dane's claims are wrong?

2014-09-11T22:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510190384501096449

@nickmyer What about those who resort to allegations of government shilling? Are they "winning"?

2014-09-11T22:15:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510189951309189120

@nickmyer When did I do that? Being wrong is not a mental illness.

2014-09-11T22:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510189801644249088

@nickmyer Like, he still claims this KC_10 is "spraying". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t22wy4c-A-A He's a nice guy. Just gets everything wrong.

2014-09-11T22:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510189354174541825

@nickmyer I'm sure he means well. It's just unfortunate that nearly everything he says is verifiably wrong.

2014-09-11T22:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510188920038887424

@GlennBurnsWSB Hi Glenn, I'm actually a long time debunker of chemtrails. You might like my site: http://contrailscience.com

---

2014-09-11T22:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510188344777535488

@nickmyer Can you name one piece of evidence he has that you think is demonstrably correct?

2014-09-11T22:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510188246655987713

@nickmyer Just so long as you don't neglect to point out where he's wrong, like the chemical tests, UV levels, High Bypass engines, etc.

2014-09-11T22:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510187128249004032

@nickmyer But does it not bother you that Dane is always wrong? Why continue to trust him?

2014-09-11T22:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510186945935200256

@nickmyer The fluctuations of the northern ozone hole is a function of the weather

---

2014-09-11T22:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510186754624606208

@nickmyer So why has nobody detected this?

2014-09-11T21:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510185786390478849

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB They were wrong. ((higher than in space). See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-watchs-confirmation-of-record-shattering-uv-levels.3923/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSLRMlIUAAm_zD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSLRMlIUAAm_zD.jpg

2014-09-11T21:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510185386832707584

@inquisitiv_mind When the air is ice supersaturated, a contrail physically cannot evaporate.

2014-09-11T21:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510184352974524416

@nickmyer So the people under them would be enveloped in toxic mist? They should sample it. It never descends on you then?

2014-09-11T21:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510184138729484288

@nickmyer Like: "High bypass jet engines don't make contrails". 100% backwards. they make more. So why are you trusting Dane?

2014-09-11T21:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510183972974784512

@nickmyer My links are very very specific. Also correct. Would you like to tally my mistakes against Dane's? Notice a pattern?

2014-09-11T21:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510183754950639616

@inquisitiv_mind They do, they just don't all have ADS-B transponders, so don't show up on FlightRadar14. Military flights also don't.

2014-09-11T21:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510183460053340161

@nickmyer A belief that seems to be based on misunderstandings like "contrails can't persist". Or "nano particles can fall quickly"

2014-09-11T21:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510183268843388928

@Er_Nope @Drastic709 Be careful about predicting the weather though :)

2014-09-11T21:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510183168410796032

@nickmyer I'm sorry, are you just going to post a load of links now?

2014-09-11T21:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510182526736805889

@nickmyer If it seems true, then why do you think it isn't? And why did you post that link? What does it relate to?

2014-09-11T21:43:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510181854360522752

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Do you agree with any science?

2014-09-11T21:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510181542228791296

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope and hence chemtrails? What's the actual evidence that contrails can't persist?

2014-09-11T21:41:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510181375182241793

@inquisitiv_mind Not all planes do. And they can be quite hard to spot, as you don't realize they can be 10-100 miles away.

2014-09-11T21:40:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510181188497989632

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope How are those two things related? It's always cold enough at some

altitude, and droughts have historically happened.

2014-09-11T21:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510180690021736448

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB Why would he need to, when all existing tests return normal results?

2014-09-11T21:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510180294813437952

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB I think they happen all the time. I examine specific claims of evidence, not vague possibilities.

2014-09-11T21:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510180109194510336

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope What lie did I tell? These are all real books. You can verify that yourself.

2014-09-11T21:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510179972535685120

@GlennBurnsWSB @nickmyer I agree. I suspect you meant to address that to Nick :)

2014-09-11T21:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510179867501940736

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Think about it. How would this cover up work for 70 years. (actually it's from the 1920s if you really look at it)

2014-09-11T21:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510179615210344449

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope So you think science has been faked for 70 years? Don't you think some science students would have noticed this by now?

2014-09-11T21:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510179318270402560

@inquisitiv_mind Where's it's going to go? If the air is ice supersaturated it can't help but persist.

2014-09-11T21:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510179088904880128

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Why attack me? Did I actually tell you anything incorrect?

2014-09-11T21:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510178976375902208

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope I told you no lies. You can verify this all yourself. Go to the library, or search google books.

2014-09-11T21:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510178813834047488

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB And how are you aware of this. Because I disagree with you? That's a perfectly circular argument.

2014-09-11T21:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510178535747502083

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Nobody pays me, and I'm only interested in the truth. If I get something wrong, then feel free to ask for a correction.

2014-09-11T21:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510178389240446976

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope I've showed you ten. They are in the link. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ Including the Encyclopedia Brittanica.

2014-09-11T21:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510177656009027584

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Okay, so how do your sense tell you that contrail never persisted? How come that's against all known science?

2014-09-11T21:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510177145390247936

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Could you tell me the title of a book you rely on for this, and what it says?

2014-09-11T21:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510177019284307968

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB They are all factual topics. Just your interpretation of those topics seems a little askew.

2014-09-11T21:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510176862471864321

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Is that evidence that contrails can't persist?

2014-09-11T21:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510176712991068160

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope @nofilter79 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSDBD5IEAAYt51.jpg

---

1957: Cloud Study: A pictorial Guide, page 79
https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957CloudstudyapictorialguideOCR.pdf

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSDBD5IEAAYt51.jpg
2014-09-11T21:22:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/510176600080396289
@Drastic709 @Er_Nope @nofilter79 There's more:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSC6fmIUAATnCO.jpg

1972: Clouds of the World, page 130
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/



11.4 Glaciation and Distortion

Except for trails in wingtip vortex cores most contrails are formed at temperatures below −40°C (see 11.2.iv) and therefore the particles freeze almost immediately. Mock suns are often very bright in contrails, and parts of circumzenithal arcs have been observed in them.

Once glaciated the trails may last for many hours, and may be spread out by wind shear into almost horizontal sheets. The most extensive sheets are formed from trails which originally produced an extensive vertical curtain of cloud or very elongated blobs.

The trails persist in air that is saturated for ice and not for water, and such air is often found ahead of warm fronts. Often contrails can extend the forward edge of warm front cirrus by many tens of miles.

1969: Clouds and Weather. Page 28
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/



Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSC6fmIUAATnCO.jpg

2014-09-11T21:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510176373353103360

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB But science proves it can't be nanoparticles, right?

2014-09-11T21:21:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510176242910261249

@nickmyer depends on the particle size. But cloud seeding particle would be nano sized, so a very long time. They rain out though.

2014-09-11T21:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510176036047163392

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope What do they say? And why does that beat books on clouds, and encyclopedias?

2014-09-11T21:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175863782924288

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope No. I'm saying they don't understand the test results. Like they recommend including sludge in a water test.

2014-09-11T21:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175728294305793

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope I own Metabunk. But I didn't write these books. Scientists did.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSCHvYIQAApFlb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSCHvYIQAApFlb.jpg

2014-09-11T21:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175454683095040

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope All these books. (the ones after 1940)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSB30AIEAAPhSY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxSB30AIEAAPhSY.jpg

2014-09-11T21:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175161153101824

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Really? What book?

2014-09-11T21:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175111630970880

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB But what about the science of particle settling?

2014-09-11T21:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510175038360653824

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope I have the 1940s books too. They are in the link.

2014-09-11T21:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510174914603532289

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope No, it's ALL the books over the past 70 years. All say the same thing. It's a short video. (3 minutes). I narrate.

2014-09-11T21:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510173351776509953

@Drastic709 @Er_Nope Contrails quite often persist and spread, according to these books I look at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-09-11T21:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510173152458985474

@nickmyer Stoke Law is the science behind it. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stokes%27_law

---

2014-09-11T21:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510172642003808256

@nickmyer Standard settling velocity calculations (aka terminal velocity). Incredibly slow for nanoparticles.

2014-09-11T20:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510170604947771393

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB Try that, and extrapolate the time it takes to reach the ground to 30000 feet.

2014-09-11T20:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510170355416043520

@nickmyer Sure, but it would take many days, even years if they were nano-sized. You would not be able to see it. So what are you seeing?

2014-09-11T20:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510166431049068544

RT @flybyday63: #WhenAviationBecomesArt:two_hearts::airplane:#Emirates #A380 Golden #Contrails as the sun is about to set. Pic by Christian Galliker :smiling_face_with_heart-eyes: http://â□¦

2014-09-11T20:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510166367601848320

@nickmyer @GlennBurnsWSB You should take a photo of aerosols descending to the ground. That's new to science.

2014-09-11T18:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510139028360818688

@Illuminator12 Perhaps for normal standard practice, to stop the sun shining in? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-airline-passengers-told-to-lower-shades-during-chemtrail-spraying.4093/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxRgvewIMAE8_HF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BxRgvewIMAE8_HF.jpg

2014-09-11T18:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510137982183944192

@IDisposable Unfortunately since then it has been found the net effect is most likely warming.

2014-09-11T15:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510086567596015616

@rconspiracy And why wouldn't it?

2014-09-11T14:48:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/510077478023360512

@thisstuartlaws Thanks Stuart. Interesting perspective.

2014-09-10T16:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/509747807117271040

@DannyLite But where's the evidence that this is happening?

2014-09-09T22:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/509469867087384576

@DannyLite Ease of belief is not the point here. Lot's of people find it very easy to believe many odd things. Evidence is key.

2014-09-08T03:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/508823743406157825

@DannyLite Weather modification has been happening since the 1950s. It's not a secret. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cloud-seeding-weather-modification-on-the-dick-van-dyke-show-1965.4375/

2014-09-06T15:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/508280398393012224

@Lubalas @onlyonewowwow @DJSiri @nakedshortkilla And yet they look like entirely different people side-by-side https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8TKv4AzLr8w

---

2014-09-06T15:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/508271512051150848

@Lubalas @onlyonewowwow @DJSiri @nakedshortkilla They don;t look alike. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-friend-alex-israel-not-the-same-person.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bw2-PxdIMAAL7QO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bw2-PxdIMAAL7QO.jpg
2014-09-06T14:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/508263414951469056
@Lubalas @onlyonewowwow @DJSiri @nakedshortkilla But they look different,. and have different speech.

2014-09-05T16:33:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507929507324366848
@4thAnon I think you find pretty much all scientists respond the same. No whistle was blown. Have you read what the "whistleblower" said?

2014-09-05T16:28:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507928361662812160
@4thAnon Much ado about nothing.

2014-09-05T15:59:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507920885072666624
@4thAnon I'm sure we agree about many things.

2014-09-05T15:03:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507906825966977024
@4thAnon It generally takes a while to teach things. The point is it's a better method when you know it.

2014-09-03T23:36:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507311216855769088
@ParanormalRDT Chemtrail laser lines explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-laser-line-and-4-ufos.4385/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwpU3O8IMAE_GC2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwpU3O8IMAE_GC2.jpg

2014-09-03T23:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507308154191765504

@ajx77 Long exposure of plane and contrail

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-laser-line-and-4-ufos.4385/

2014-09-03T21:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507282401362317312

@streetscoop @toasterhead Not wingtip contrails. Smoke. 1974.

http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Gallery/Photo/B-747/HTML/ECN-4242.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwo6p-ACAAAlKEJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwo6p-ACAAAlKEJ.jpg

2014-09-03T20:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507267426883362816

@toasterhead @streetscoop Yeah cloud seeding is decades old. Here from 1965: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2014-09-03T19:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507243310801379328

@ImaBannedd Sorry, I'm pretty much with mainstream science on this one. Regretfully I don't have the time to get into it.

2014-09-03T19:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507242269129195520

@ImaBannedd I'm pretty sure science has been studying these questions for several decades. There seems to be a consensus.

2014-09-03T18:58:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507241215993978880

@ImaBannedd I though the real argument is that anthropogenic CO2 is causing a rapid shift in radiative forcing, and hence climate change.

2014-09-03T18:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507236994460573696

@ImaBannedd @Kaboom1776 @PCKnappenberger It does both. Science explained this many

years ago.

2014-09-03T18:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507228437971341312

@ImaBannedd @Kaboom1776 @PCKnappenberger It's a little long. Is there a review or summation?

2014-09-03T18:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507227271539589123

@ImaBannedd @Kaboom1776 @PCKnappenberger What about global heat content?

2014-09-03T18:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507226999773859840

@intrepidwanders @ImaBannedd There are several types of barrel/tanks: Ballast, Firefighting, Fuel, Dispersal, Crop Dusting, Bug Spraying.

2014-09-03T17:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507221942504415232

@radicalabacus @blazeart_ NASA agrees. As do all the books on clouds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwoDqyMIMAA7qZV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwoDqyMIMAA7qZV.jpg

2014-09-03T17:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507221732487225344

@streetscoop Because the ambient air it is flying though is not ice-supersaturated. Says NASA.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwoDejyIgAAIDQ_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwoDejyIgAAIDQ_.jpg

2014-09-03T17:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507214011415285760

Some people, like William Gibson, sound better if you listen at 1.5x Here's a before and after.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iBypfAnePBs

2014-09-03T17:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507212708521861120

@Rickelodeon23 It depends on the humidity. It's explained here by NASA:

http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/science.php

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwn7RRmIQAAX42x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwn7RRmIQAAX42x.jpg

2014-09-03T17:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507212191473221632

Chemplanes? No, just some extra fuel tanks to get a 717 to Hawaii.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwn6zMeIYAAGlv4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwn6zMeIYAAGlv4.jpg

2014-09-03T16:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507202169661947904

@Rickelodeon23 They are all clouds. Contrails are a type of cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwnxr1QIIAAfVM_.jpg

CONTRAILS

The lines of condensation that form behind high-altitude aircraft

There must have been a cloudspotter or two amongst the cavemen.

I like to think that – some fifty thousand years ago – the odd enlightened Neanderthal stepped out of his cave on a beautiful morning, looked up at the sky, and grunted to his partner the equivalent of 'Honey, get out here quick – you're missing a fabulous display of Altocumulus stratiformis perlucidus!' It is, after all, tempting to speculate that cavemen would have looked up at exactly the same family of cloud types as we see above us today. Tempting, but wrong.

A new type of cloud has joined the family, you see. In fact, it is such a recent addition to the skies that it wasn't even around two hundred years ago when Luke Howard first named the clouds.

Arriving during the run-up to the First World War, the condensation trail, or 'contrail', is the straight, man-made cloud that forms in the wake of high-altitude aircraft.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwnxr1QIIAAfVM_.jpg

2014-09-03T15:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507196230175186944

@candtalan @DrPhillipLeeMP Actually that's backwards. They produce more.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwnsSG1IUAASt1S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwnsSG1IUAASt1S.jpg

2014-09-03T15:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507196005234659328

@EBRecordings Didn't you want to find out what it was? You prefer the mystery?

2014-09-03T15:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507189559180816384

@EBRecordings But then I found the actual images with the glitch in them. Problem solved.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwnmN1EIMAAfOoW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwnmN1EIMAAfOoW.jpg

2014-09-03T14:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507180109908828160

@EBRecordings I'm just talking about the satellite image you posted. It's explained in the link.

2014-09-03T14:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507179707159576576

@EBRecordings I wrote the explanation. Did you read it?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg

2014-09-03T14:53:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507179567245586433
@UfosFacts Giant UFO Contrails Explained.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg
2014-09-03T14:53:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507179502728794112
@newUFOsightings Giant UFO Contrails Explained.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg
2014-09-03T14:52:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/507179443039649792
@Trinity_Hexagon Giant UFO Contrails Explained.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg

2014-09-03T14:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507179404603039744

@ufo_stalker Giant UFO Contrails Explained.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg

2014-09-03T14:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507179212118040577

@EBRecordings I mean with this explanation of the "trails". Is it wrong?

2014-09-03T14:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507178699746054147

@EBRecordings How about just science then?

2014-09-03T14:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507178566853746688

Giant UFO Contrails Explained.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwncN_DIQAEpI4f.jpg

2014-09-03T14:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507177486300372992

@EBRecordings UFO Contrails explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-contrails-going-from-africa-to-australia.4383/

2014-09-03T03:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/507005956241117184

@edwiebe Thanks! Added it to this collection:
https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5235534135256807809

2014-09-02T21:15:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506913355794104320
Weather Modification on the Dick Van Dyke Show in 1965:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cloud-seeding-weather-modification-on-the-dick-van-dyke-show-1965.4375/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VUaHvTiwkg

---

2014-09-02T18:17:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506868407577214976
@trilf_nerak @jimbothepainta @CloudAppSoc Probably just thin cloud layer. But see roll clouds
https://www.google.com/search?q=row+cloud&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwjCIVnIIAAAQTW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwjCIVnIIAAAQTW.jpg
2014-09-02T18:04:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506865135390965760
@Squidocto Only Mexico.
http://gulfnews.com/news/world/other-world/mexico-baffled-by-sudden-death-of-thousands-of-fish-1.1379702

2014-09-02T18:01:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506864536565981185
.@jimbothepainta @CloudAppSoc "After sunset, a little, light, long-drawn cloud is seen, like a long very straight line"
- Aristotle, 340BC

2014-09-02T17:38:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506858721524203520
@nickchelsea1 It's explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-aids-cure-patent-us-patent-5676977.3861/

2014-09-02T17:38:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/506858679291760641
@j_fairchild3 @AdamBosworth27 Also check the debunking:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-aids-cure-patent-us-patent-5676977.3861/

2014-09-02T16:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506842705511477248

@3Xtraders Says who? Remember it's always cold enough at some altitude, even in summer.

2014-09-02T16:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506842447305912320

@trilf_nerak @jimbothepainta @CloudAppSoc Seems to be a cloud. It lacks the structure of a contrail.

2014-09-02T11:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506770411707592704

@Tazunderground Do you have evidence of this? Or just that they disagree with you?

2014-09-02T05:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506672118151389184

@XManSV @outiar So why didn't the people making the claim closely examine the faces?

2014-09-02T03:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506638214233198594

@Moe_Fugger_72 And what evidence does she use in her exposÃ©?

2014-09-01T17:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506489840058114048

@BedlamGas @senorchompers @somerandomhash @WillBlackWriter The only actual thing "wrong" with the vid is the lack of actual cutting.

2014-09-01T16:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506486476264058881

@BedlamGas @senorchompers @somerandomhash @WillBlackWriter http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forensic_video_analysis

---

2014-09-01T16:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506473478430543872

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ Smedley Butler makes a lot of sense. http://www.ratical.org/ratville/CAH/warisaracket.html

---

2014-09-01T16:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506473046140387329

@senorchompers @somerandomhash @BedlamGas @WillBlackWriter Why is at absurd?

2014-09-01T14:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506452844736569344

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ That's rather a broad claim, there's probably a grain of truth somewhere in it.

2014-09-01T13:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506438721667751937

Chemtrail conspiracy theorists often misuse the word "aerosol" as sometime deliberately sprayed in the atmosphere. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwc7VR7IYAEOQWv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwc7VR7IYAEOQWv.jpg

2014-09-01T13:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506438356457123840

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ "aerosols" is a very general term for stuff in the air: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwc7AC8IYAEZgIX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bwc7AC8IYAEZgIX.jpg

2014-09-01T13:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506438036230381569

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ No, there's lots of natural and man-made sources of aerosols. It's not deliberate.

2014-09-01T13:29:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506433641480605697

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ It's a study of aerosols, like particulate pollution and clouds.

2014-09-01T03:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506284691909926912

@martinhume @YouTube @psaviel 105-85 actually does not allow testing on you. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-09-01T03:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506282771040325632

@senorchompers @DannoTheManno @pentimento_ Thanks! But we do other stuff as well, false flag theories, UFOs, MH17. Chemtrails is about half

---

2014-08-31T22:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506211780436049920

@ImaBannedd @lawebber215 Thanks! This was actually an older post I just spruced up.

2014-08-31T22:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506211565415059456

@lawebber215 @ImaBannedd agglomeration is actually an issue in some SRM geoengineering schemes. So there's grist to the conspiracy mill.

2014-08-31T22:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506210926618349568

@ImaBannedd @lawebber215 "Guy with a stick inside a towed aerial target" does not sound like a good job description :)

2014-08-31T22:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506208989378404352

Famous "Powder Contrail" patent debunked.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1975-u-s-patent-3899144-for-powder-contrail-generation.449/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwZqY-9IYAIfoJn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwZqY-9IYAIfoJn.jpg

2014-08-31T22:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506208603397558272

@TchuTchx @metoffice That's me in the photo, and I run contrailscience and http://metabunk.org. The rest is just bunk.

2014-08-31T18:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506143180358569984

Craziest invention ever? A cure for HIV of the soul by washing it with satellites.

https://www.google.com/patents/US20140039420?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwYuihfIYAAXUAP.jpg

Dr. Courtney Henderson's Cure for HIV of the Soul
US 20140039420 A1
ABSTRACT

A Life-Saving Innovation which utilizes Mind controls/Voice activated Satellite Technology to cure HIV disease of the Soul. Body Scans before and after the process demonstrated that Souls/Agents were cured of HIV The Souls should be a Visible Image. The Satellite—Transforms the Soul into an Invisible Image; performs a series of three Aromatizations; mobilizes materials such as water and a specialized washing solution for the procedure; rinses the Soul with water for two minutes; washes the Soul for three minutes; rinses the Soul for three minutes; performs a series of three Materializations; transforms the Soul into a Visible Image; drys the Soul with heated air at a low velocity for further disinfection.The invention is ten minutes in duration and is usually curative in two treatments; once per consecutive day. Can be performed anywhere in the world. This invention is a "Must-Have."



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwYuihfIYAAXUAP.jpg

2014-08-31T15:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/506108690634534913

@pinhead006 @Chemtrail_UK What about these from 1905?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwYPK_zIQAAY0_G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwYPK_zIQAAY0_G.jpg

2014-08-30T21:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505834158073974784

@krelnik @googlechrome Yeah, while it's the most functional of the browsers, it's also seemingly the one most prone to having problems.

2014-08-30T20:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505812649632727040

@MsLaws1 I think what you are saying is none of them are very convincing, but because there are lots of them, then some must be true?

2014-08-30T20:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505812383009210368

@MsLaws1 But how do you know I'm not Tony Hawk? I look pretty much the same?

2014-08-30T19:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505807149084078080

@MsLaws1 They are all bogus. I'm not going to go though them all for you. Can pick the one you think is the MOST convincing?

2014-08-30T19:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505799334101667840

@somerandomhash @BedlamGas @WillBlackWriter Video comparison should clarify it: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8TKv4AzLr8w

---

2014-08-30T19:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505798902457446400

@MsLaws1 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8TKv4AzLr8w

2014-08-30T19:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505793538064281601

@MsLaws1 By that argument, I am the same person as David Icke. If they don't look alike, then why do you think they are the same person?

2014-08-30T18:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505785244134293504

@nickmyer @MrMaxBliss Nothing is proof that something isn't happening. You can't prove a universal absence.

2014-08-30T18:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505785107362234368

@bobdobbelina3 @outiar Yes, and I tried to pick a similar expression for my comparison, just using HD photos video grabs.

2014-08-30T18:31:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505784800469188609

@sweetpotatertot @Overthewhether @Jerome09 @thalassa2008 But 70 years of books on clouds say they do. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-30T18:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505784388978634752

@MsLaws1 @CNN @cnnbrk @cnni @YahooNews Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg
2014-08-30T18:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505784344728698880
@pavaojc Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg
2014-08-30T18:29:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505784306522783744
@MacRaider @LiteWorkR Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg
2014-08-30T18:28:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505784256539291648
@JodyPaulson Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg
2014-08-30T18:28:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505784130676596736
@nirosive Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

2014-08-30T18:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505784086254731264

@InvestWatchBlog Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

2014-08-30T18:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505784048292085761

@Irate_Minority Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

2014-08-30T18:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505783832100892672

@nickmyer @MrMaxBliss Of course a particular law is not proof it's not happening. I'm just debunking the claim the law allows it.

2014-08-30T18:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505783455196528640

@DJSiri @onlyonewowwow @nakedshortkilla Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg

2014-08-30T18:23:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505782949808058369

.@outiar Alex Israel and Katie Foley are different people: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-foleys-alleged-sister-katie-foley-vs-lanzas-alleged-friend-alex-israel.4348/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwTm6WtIMAAXE2d.jpg
2014-08-30T16:08:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505748976373477377
@nickmyer @MrMaxBliss The point here is that the claim is wrong. Don't you think that's important to note?

2014-08-30T00:22:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505510927844659200
@True_Solidarity I thought http://ContrailScience.com was quite a good name. Was there something incorrect in my post? I'll fix any errors

---

2014-08-29T23:38:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505499808186904576
@Hsaive That's not true. It's actually the opposite. The law forbids such tests. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-08-29T23:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505499715979329536
@nickmyer @MrMaxBliss That's not true. It's actually the opposite. The law forbids such tests. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-08-29T23:37:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505499616482037761
@True_Solidarity That's not true. It's actually the opposite. The law forbids such tests. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-08-29T23:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505499533007007745

@girlofcelje Public las 105-85 actually forbids such tests.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-08-29T23:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505499254874320898

@martinhume That's not true. It's actually the opposite. The law forbids such tests.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-public-law-105-85-allowing-testing-of-biological-and-chemical-weapons-its-the-opposite.4344/

2014-08-29T19:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505444260615688193

Maps of things involving people tend to reflect population density. Some people don't get it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwOy4EgIQAE4zGH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwOy4EgIQAE4zGH.jpg

2014-08-29T19:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443293438541824

@Faith_iii Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/

2014-08-29T19:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443231509651456

@B4INFeatured Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/@XxxJohns

---

2014-08-29T19:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443186899030016

@DJSiri TEbola maps debunked. Just maps of population:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/ @candtalan @outiar

---

2014-08-29T19:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443137699848192

@LoriPatriot Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/

2014-08-29T19:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443083782062081

@Alt_NWO Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/ @AJ_amyjoydonut

---

2014-08-29T19:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505443036013133824

@amronsomar Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/ @Diamond_USA

2014-08-29T19:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505442980145029120

@beforeitsnews Ebola maps debunked. Just maps of population: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ebola-cdc-quarantine-map-matches-immigration-agenda-21-maps-population-centers.4342/ @aprilritchey @frankie601 @jro21568

2014-08-29T16:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505387555190108160

@nickmyer If you view all thinking as pure speculation, then how do you decide to do anything?

2014-08-29T16:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505387384217665537

@nickmyer But for practical purposes we form a probable world view. It does not hurt to roughly estimate probability.

2014-08-29T16:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505387092478664704

@nickmyer Seems most likely to be spotlights

2014-08-29T15:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505384281917509632

@nickmyer Here's a similar example: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aH5RwoAuriQ

2014-08-29T15:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505384238741331968

@nickmyer There's an infinite amount of possibilities for everything. Does not mean everything is equally probable.

2014-08-29T15:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505383281001377792

@nickmyer I'm presenting what seems like the most probably explanation. It's possible it was aliens or exotic weapons. But seems unlikley

---

2014-08-29T15:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505382077533933568

@nickmyer No, that was either an optical illusion, or coincidence.

2014-08-29T15:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505381714978304000

@nickmyer Beats me. I see them all the time round here to promote things opening. I've seen the lights without beams.

2014-08-29T15:45:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505380778411171840

@nickmyer Searchlight beams are not as visible when viewed perpendicular.

2014-08-29T15:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505380385128071170

@nickmyer You should do some experiments to verify this. Every day for a few weeks shine a light. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=et-n3nwOfzY

2014-08-29T15:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505378630063517697

@nickmyer Aliens?

2014-08-29T15:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505378352425742336

@nickmyer Example: http://www.candlepowerforums.com/vb/showthread.php?243779-50-inch-Reflector-quot-Monster-Light-quot/page4 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwN27suIUAEZTnj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwN27suIUAEZTnj.jpg

2014-08-29T15:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505377656238379008

@nickmyer It's a spotlight. The air is clear, so you can't see the beam, just the disc of light on the cloud.

2014-08-29T15:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505375709489950720

@RickTufts @lraitt It varies, like the weather varies.

2014-08-29T15:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505374667364782080

@3Xtraders @Jbeecat @Skydefenders There's certainly more. Increase in traffic, routes. High bypass engines, reduced RVSM, Bizjets. etc.

2014-08-29T03:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505195857101090816

@Jbeecat @Skydefenders It's a contrail. There were plenty before 1995.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2014-08-28T22:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505123484775632896

@RickTufts @lraitt Why do you assume that contrails don't shut off? Have all the contrails you've seen gone from horizon to horizon?

2014-08-28T21:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505097984829186048

How to tell if a contrail is above or below a cloud layer.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.4334/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/BwJ37hkIQAAeWtt.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/BwJ37hkIQAAeWtt.png
2014-08-28T20:56:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505096710117277696
@3Xtraders You inspired me to write this: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/above-or-below-how-to-tell-if-a-contrail-is-above-or-below-a-cloud-layer.4334/ http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/BwJ2xPeIcAAwWCm.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/BwJ2xPeIcAAwWCm.png
2014-08-28T19:57:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505081762330845185
@MarchAgainstSRM Utterly backwards. They are actually MORE likely. According to science. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-08-28T19:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505076185999474689

@3Xtraders He says contrails dissipate in 45 seconds. That's just contrary to all known science and observation.

2014-08-28T19:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505075494492966913

@3Xtraders Another 1943 Chicago pic. There's no "normal" sky. It varies from day to day

https://www.flickr.com/photos/library_of_congress/2179189006/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJjfEKIAAAj5U3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJjfEKIAAAj5U3.jpg

2014-08-28T19:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505072961854779392

@3Xtraders White sky in Chicago, 1939

https://www.flickr.com/photos/library_of_congress/2178419727

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJhLpeIQAEB51J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJhLpeIQAEB51J.jpg

2014-08-28T19:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505070273490456576

@3Xtraders No, it looks like there's some cloud and haze.

2014-08-28T19:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505070176065171456

@3Xtraders They were.

2014-08-28T18:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505064837307568128

@3Xtraders Those trails are many tens of miles away and miles apart, and were formed at

different times. No tight formation is needed

2014-08-28T18:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505063434988171264

@3Xtraders How do you know this? Did you check? That's at least a 50 mile radius area in view.

2014-08-28T18:40:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505062398093316096

@3Xtraders a large/deep region of high humidity.

2014-08-28T18:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505052803799085056

.@3Xtraders I looked up broken contrails in Peterson's Field Guide to the Atmosphere, in answer to your question. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJO2PyCAAAa1f6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJO2PyCAAAa1f6.jpg

2014-08-28T17:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505051014999719936

@3Xtraders And for reference, all the planes over 30,000 feet.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJNOLCIEAAyzyF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJNOLCIEAAyzyF.jpg

2014-08-28T17:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505050726221893632

@3Xtraders Maybe - engines get throttled back to reduce altitude. However more likely it's just patchy humidity.

2014-08-28T17:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505050484487372800

@3Xtraders Filter for only 44000+ feet: http://www.flightradar24.com/41.81,-88.25/8 just one plane http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJMvSGIAAEAbC7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJMvSGIAAEAbC7.jpg

2014-08-28T17:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505050174268272640

@3Xtraders Filter this for only 40000+ feet: http://www.flightradar24.com/41.81,-88.25/8

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJMdMVIcAAJppu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwJMdMVIcAAJppu.jpg

2014-08-28T17:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505049457998573568

@3Xtraders Why? It could be a single bizjet at 46,000 feet, with all the other traffic below it.

2014-08-28T17:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505048095940292608

@3Xtraders How have I disregarded all the evidence? I've considered it in great depth. Written hundreds of detailed posts about it.

2014-08-28T17:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505046847627354112

@3Xtraders Only one at that particular altitude in that particular region. Broken contrails indicate patchy humidity. Like clouds are patchy

---

2014-08-28T17:37:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505046590080307200

@3Xtraders How did we establish that? Are you not posting photos of contrails?

2014-08-28T17:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505046455657037824

@3Xtraders I don't ask people to believe me. I point out facts that people can check for themselves. Does she give any verifiable facts?

2014-08-28T16:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505036902353743872

@3Xtraders Looks like two older contrails there.

2014-08-28T16:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505029495363870720

@3Xtraders It's a common misconception. I've been meaning to write a post about it.

2014-08-28T16:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505028698186080258

@3Xtraders Optical illusion. The cloud is transparent white, so does not block any light. Looks the same above or below.

2014-08-28T15:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505020753423519744

@3Xtraders Pictures don't lie? Have you never seen an optical illusion? The contrails are above the the cumulus clouds in the video.

2014-08-28T15:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505014581375168513

@3Xtraders It's the cold that does it, not the altitude. But in this case it seems likely the altitude was underestimated.

2014-08-28T15:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505009729915981825

@3Xtraders Here's the sky in Los Angeles. Maybe we just have different weather?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwInrCqIYAAg2DM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwInrCqIYAAg2DM.jpg

2014-08-28T15:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/505008838995476481
@CoutureDonna @beelzebub451 @Alicia_May_Bee @Er_Nope @mychichikins @BallisticMikeCA @weederfish How do you know this?

2014-08-28T15:07:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505008677107953664
@3Xtraders And surely you ARE going by memory, as you are comparing this with your own memory?

2014-08-28T15:03:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/505007664942702594
@3Xtraders If you only pay attention to grey skies, than that's all you see. But you said yourself it was blue skies earlier.

2014-08-27T21:25:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/504741581648183296
@mychichikins @CoutureDonna @beelzebub451 @BallisticMikeCA @lek_black http://flightaware.com/resources/registration/N22387 LIDAR survey plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEzyw5IUAApSfL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEzyw5IUAApSfL.jpg

2014-08-27T21:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504735594975485952

@CoutureDonna @mychichikins @beelzebub451 @DESPUNA @Alicia_May_Bee @BallisticMikeCA @lek_black @weederfish It explains the plane path.

2014-08-27T20:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504728294378332160

@3Xtraders A long list is not a guarantee of correctness. Can you pick one of those "papers" you think is not bunk, and evidence of SRM?

2014-08-27T20:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504724802779627521

@mychichikins @beelzebub451 @DESPUNA @Alicia_May_Bee @BallisticMikeCA @lek_black @CoutureDonna @weederfish Survey.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/n22387-zig-zig-flight-path-near-sacramento-lidar-data-collection.4307/

2014-08-27T19:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504719976259809280

@3Xtraders I'm familiar with the concept that "chemtrails" are covert SRM. But the evidence does not add up. My site: http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-08-27T19:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504718411989929984

@3Xtraders Why do you think it's not. Panes fly over you. They sometimes leave trails. There more traffic now than in the 1980s

---

2014-08-27T19:41:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504715287204081664

@3Xtraders I'm 47. Did you know research shows your recollection of color is generally wrong? http://www.opticsinfobase.org/josa/abstract.cfm?uri=josa-50-1-73

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEb4P3IYAE21BQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEb4P3IYAE21BQ.jpg

2014-08-27T19:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504713730588807168

@3Xtraders Normal blue? How did you determine this "normal blue"? Is this 1910 photo not "normal"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEadnmIMAAevoP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwEadnmIMAAevoP.jpg

2014-08-27T19:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504713330657751040

@The_Doveston @therealjimcorr That too :) Water vapour is an invisible colourless gas. The white stuff is micro-droplets of liquid water

---

2014-08-27T19:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504712282803167234

@3Xtraders Can you pick one you don't think is bunk?

2014-08-27T19:28:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504712092474023936

@therealjimcorr @The_Doveston Water vapor is an invisible gas.

2014-08-27T19:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504711448950366208

@3Xtraders Los Angeles. I travel quite a bit. I'm from the UK. Why?

2014-08-27T19:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504705577574871040

@3Xtraders What did you see? And how do you know it wasn't just good persistent contrail conditions?

2014-08-27T18:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504701733264580608

@3Xtraders Do you think there's actual evidence of chemtrails, or covert geoengineering?

2014-08-27T18:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504701124713009152

@3Xtraders And they think current photos like these are evidence of "chemtrails".

2014-08-27T18:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504701014033698816

@3Xtraders That's true, but most people I've met who believe in the "chemtrail" theory think that they don't.

2014-08-27T18:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504696673344290816

@3Xtraders My pint is that people say "contrails don't persist", when they alway have persisted.

2014-08-27T16:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504673394156371968

@3Xtraders Typical: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3kcTvqiMNl8

2014-08-27T16:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504673233337982977

@3Xtraders Oh yes they are. I'd say about 95% of chemtrail believers think "normal" contrails never persist or spread.

2014-08-27T16:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504664385688567808

Challenge to #chemtrail believers. How to explain photos of persistent trails from the 1970s? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-6#post-123492 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwDtlVjIcAA3AEx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwDtlVjIcAA3AEx.jpg

2014-08-27T16:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504662303816421376

Contrail cirrus in Switzerland. 1972. Not #chemtrails https://www.flickr.com/photos/hstachel/5986925271 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwDrsMtIEAIjHdL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BwDrsMtIEAIjHdL.jpg

2014-08-27T14:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504638940733636611

@TchuTchx @metoffice Please compare this with the last 70 years of books on clouds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-26T16:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504312091054845953

@JamiaStarheart @TrueBlue444 Like these? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bv-tLF4IUAAMCML.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bv-tLF4IUAAMCML.jpg

2014-08-26T14:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/504281405505822721

@TrueBlue444 @JamiaStarheart Like these clouds from 1905?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bv-RRAOIYAIbwBJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bv-RRAOIYAIbwBJ.jpg

2014-08-24T19:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503620420071743488

@SpireWeather @PaulQuanstrom Probably descending though the layer, formed a contrail just above it, then distrail, then nothing.

2014-08-24T19:09:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503620172498747394

RT @SpireWeather: Nice contrail & distrail "RT @PaulQuanstrom: bit wierd this one http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvidxY7IYAAj1pa.jpg"



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvidxY7IYAAj1pa.jpg

2014-08-24T18:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503614558930337792

@PaulReverePress @theblaze Crack explanation: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283/ @lmLauraFlyMe @gentlemanirish

---

2014-08-24T18:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503614032008323073

@9NewsAdel Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283/

2014-08-24T14:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503555407881572352

@Hey_Qall @wanariefimran @ArcticWolf_ @TOKhairul Cloud seeding trail is near invisible. Salt solution in warm areas, silver iodide in cold.

2014-08-24T14:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503554445670486016

@4thAnon It does not exactly "call for it." It discusses the use, and not within CONUS. http://armypubs.army.mil/doctrine/DR_pubs/dr_a/pdf/atp3_39x33.pdf

---

2014-08-24T04:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503401968807591936

@craigless2 @drbloem @WeatherWar101 I'm not discrediting you, I'm just asking you for one link.

2014-08-24T04:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503401574710784000

@craigless2 @drbloem @WeatherWar101 Surely for such an important admission you'd have a link somewhere?

2014-08-24T04:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503398236510375937

@craigless2 @drbloem @WeatherWar101 Got a link that says they are using SRM now?

2014-08-24T04:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503396400327950337

@craigless2 @drbloem @WeatherWar101 Government docs about the future. But science books say contrails in the past. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-24T04:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503396131699572736

@Nutsflipped_z_1 5000lb GBU-28 only has 630lb of explosive.

2014-08-24T04:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503392667149942784

@drbloem @WeatherWar101 @craigless2 Doesn't this simply show a day when contrails were persisting and spreading?

2014-08-24T01:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503347914135961600

@Nutsflipped_z_1 @Brown_Noses @4thAnon Big ones. Like 3000 pound JDAMs. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTWvLSmLd6s (probably smaller though)

2014-08-24T00:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503339374197477376

Mysterious bizarre UFO plane turns out not really. Still an interesting shaped plane though. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-pilot-the-extraordinary-plane-ufo-in-transformation.4277/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvw4fkfIEAAe9Qz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvw4fkfIEAAe9Qz.jpg

2014-08-23T23:43:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326634645008385
@snoswell Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:43:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326596468465664
@MexicoTime Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:42:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326520882896896
@OnABlueMarble @newscomauHQ @travelmail Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @DahlerDer

---

2014-08-23T23:42:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326441971273728
@dlvrworld Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @angieeus

---

2014-08-23T23:42:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326404008611841
@SolarPrepper @newscomauHQ Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @pmartinauthor

---

2014-08-23T23:40:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503326024000491521
@ideas4thefuture Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503325973622689792
@HennaJosele Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-subsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:40:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503325935500685312
@riskmarketnews Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su

bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325867745878016

@Endtimesnews Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @onewhitetiger @DatPastorD

2014-08-23T23:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325819276500992

@DailyMirror Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @marionste @noname1905gs @JTimmsMurs_a1

2014-08-23T23:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325391226798080

@mhess4 Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325335245451264

@TheEvertBopp Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325293591789568

@DLNieman Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283

2014-08-23T23:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503325085420109825

@paul_wghtman Giant crack explained, not earthquake. Subsidence + Erosion.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283 @natureskid

2014-08-23T23:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503324891496476673

@Tweetbrk @pourmecoffee Giant crack explained:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-earth-splitting-open-giant-crack-in-mexico-su
bsidence-fissure-erosion.4283/ @sevierhere @HawaiiShira @Minbani

---

2014-08-23T23:20:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/503320867737071616

Crazy looking UFO? Nope, just a shaky camera, and a plane.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-pilot-the-extraordinary-plane-ufo-in-transfor

mation.4277/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvwnqWjIcAAUMf8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvwnqWjIcAAUMf8.jpg
2014-08-23T15:45:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/503206397836668928
@DESPUNA Why do you call this a "chemtrail"? Are just just trolling now?

2014-08-22T21:46:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502934799409876992
@BelieversBeware Doesn't really seem like it amounts to much. Dispute over study inclusion criteria.

2014-08-22T20:18:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502912645301682176
@BelieversBeware Link?

2014-08-22T20:17:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502912559465259010
@FleshnChrome @chliberty Hard to say if it's higher. But even so, contrail conditions come in layered regions. Like clouds.

2014-08-22T19:48:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502905223103254528
@nickmyer I'm following #chemtrail so your post showed up. Spotlight with clear air.

2014-08-22T19:08:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502895016172191746
@nickmyer Like this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvqkWh2IYAA5x9C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvqkWh2IYAA5x9C.jpg

2014-08-22T19:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502894577422848000

@DESPUNA Contrails? Current understanding is that it has a slight warming effect. But this is not deliberate in any way. Side effect of jets

---

2014-08-22T18:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502892631492268033

@DESPUNA Normal would be a contrail that just forms (and sometimes persists) because of jet exhaust and weather conditions.

2014-08-22T18:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502890553583747073

@DESPUNA Why don't you think they are normal?

2014-08-22T16:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502854511476490240

@elitesnightmare @awesome086 @Majorfear @iain39 @Overthewhether I'm no foe. Just a fact checker.

2014-08-22T16:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502854127190163456

@star2000dancer @WeatherWar101 @DESPUNA Water is wet by definition. It's a silly question. Just a rhetorical game.

2014-08-22T16:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502851882419625984

@iain39 @elitesnightmare @awesome086 @Majorfear Wrong. Free fall was only for 2.25 seconds. See #11 here. http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

---

2014-08-22T16:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502849705420656643

@iain39 @elitesnightmare @awesome086 @Majorfear Which is covered here: http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm (Freefall in #11)

2014-08-22T16:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502849425589284864

@elitesnightmare Sorry, I thought you were in the discussion with @WeatherWar101, who thinks that. Never mind.

2014-08-22T16:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502848780459188225

@WeatherWar101 @star2000dancer @DESPUNA Well, that's a bit of a catch-22. Anyone who challenges you is a "denialist"?

2014-08-22T15:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502847174971887616

@elitesnightmare What would you call someone who claims fluffy clouds are never seen?

2014-08-22T15:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502846913616429056

@elitesnightmare Do you know this because I disagree with you, or because you read it on the internet?

2014-08-22T15:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502846787741159424

@elitesnightmare To to tell which q.s are addressed to who. Unless you mean "is water wet?"

2014-08-22T15:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502845968195137536

@elitesnightmare Which questions?

2014-08-22T15:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502845519375241217

@WeatherWar101 @star2000dancer @DESPUNA Perhaps instead you should ask "how much water is in the atmosphere", or something relevant.

2014-08-22T15:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502845217670582275

@WeatherWar101 @star2000dancer @DESPUNA You keep asking silly questions like "is water wet" as if that's a significant question.

2014-08-22T15:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502843465869180929

@WeatherWar101 @star2000dancer @DESPUNA I'm declining to play your silly game, that's all. Ask a sensible question, I'll answer.

2014-08-22T15:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502840675235160065

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @awesome086 @Majorfear @Overthewhether It's not very long.

Check it out: http://www.twf.org/News/Y2003/01-PBPR.pdf

2014-08-22T15:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502840442254139392

@IeylahFmz It's fully explained here, in 3 minutes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-22T15:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502840238176096257

@WeatherWar101 @star2000dancer @DESPUNA Asking "Is water wet?" is rhetorical. You are really asking people to play a game. So they decline.

2014-08-22T14:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502831268006871040

@elitesnightmare Cool, thanks.

2014-08-22T14:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502830470778732545

@elitesnightmare You've not read it? Then how do you know it is wrong?

2014-08-22T14:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502830290478198786

@star2000dancer @DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES @WeatherWar101 And yet I see them in my collection of cloud books. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-22T14:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502826816885641216

@star2000dancer @HartReikiCURES @DESPUNA I mean can you show ONE photo of a trail you can prove is not a contrail?

2014-08-22T14:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502826593165668352

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @Overthewhether I say this FAQ pretty much covers it. have you read it? http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

---

2014-08-22T14:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502826043426631681

@star2000dancer @HartReikiCURES @DESPUNA Can you share an example?

2014-08-22T14:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502825840439074817

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @Overthewhether Certainly a plane shaped object hit it.
http://www.twf.org/News/Y2003/01-PBPR.pdf

2014-08-22T14:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502825106121293825

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @Overthewhether I'm still waiting for someone to point out where I was wrong, so I can correct it.

2014-08-22T14:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502824930853941249

@iain39 @elitesnightmare @Overthewhether So you want to lie-detector me over if a plane hit the Pentagon?

2014-08-22T14:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502824721163878401

@star2000dancer @DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES @WeatherWar101 So why are they in the meteorology books from 50 years ago?

2014-08-22T13:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502808353131614208

@davebrooker322 @Joerobinow About what? Contrails and clouds being in the sky sometimes? Should they have waited a day?

2014-08-22T13:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502807942169513984

@sweetpotatertot But if you mean artificial weather type clouds, (and not contrails), then no. I don't think so. Correct me is so.

2014-08-22T13:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502807306367561728

@sweetpotatertot Again, it depends on what you mean by "artificial clouds". If you include smoke screen, then yes.

2014-08-22T13:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502806717776687104

@star2000dancer @DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES But all the books on clouds say contrails can be long and spread out. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-22T13:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502806477933785088

@iain39 @elitesnightmare @Overthewhether Perhaps if you could point out a single "lie" you think I made?

2014-08-21T23:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502605602963853312

@davebrooker33 This isn't a debating game. I'm just removing claims that are wrong.

2014-08-21T23:57:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502605476367183873

@davebrooker33 That's not what I do. I look at claims of evidence, and I see if it is bunk or not. I'm not arguing a case.

2014-08-21T23:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502601158016651266

@davebrooker33 It's possible that something is happening for which there is no evidence. But you can say that about anything.

2014-08-21T23:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502592967660830721

@davebrooker33 There are millions of tons of aluminum in the atmosphere right now as

mineral dust. It's constant.

2014-08-21T22:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502586413536514050

@davebrooker33 Not if it's always been there. Then it's just dirt. This is the composition of the earth's crust. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmLrbsIUAEDv66.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmLrbsIUAEDv66.png

2014-08-21T22:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502585262946660352

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES Or maybe just clouds and contrails? 1943:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmKocyIIAAxeCD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmKocyIIAAxeCD.jpg

2014-08-21T22:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502584381199106051

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES Yeah. Clouds. Here's 1905.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmJ1K-IAAAeRQm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmJ1K-IAAAeRQm.jpg

2014-08-21T22:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502583918265376769

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES Yes they do, say 70 years of books of clouds:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-21T22:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502583786216112129

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES More pics of contrails?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmJShRIcAEQzji.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvmJShRIcAEQzji.jpg

2014-08-21T22:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502583568800182272

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES Why don't you look at some old books on clouds, see if anything has changed. 1972: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

2014-08-21T22:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502583250028863489

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES That looks like a photo of a contrail ??? What's your point?

2014-08-21T22:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502582746821443585

@DESPUNA @HartReikiCURES Looks like regular clouds to me. Did they start as contrails?

2014-08-21T22:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502582578164269057

@jace3312 That was a weather weapon treaty. Very localized mods for weapons. Like operation Popeye. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Popeye

---

2014-08-21T22:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502581946887979010

@klaasBatema Why do you think they would not persist for hours. Books say otherwise.

2014-08-21T20:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502559982181969920

@klaasBatema Doesn't make much different. Basically it's fighters practicing. They all burn the same fuel, and make contrails.

2014-08-21T20:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502559810345517057

@klaasBatema Just a guess, based on it being Germany, and having seen other video of Eurofighters leaving trails there.

2014-08-21T20:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502559629050920960

@HartReikiCURES @DESPUNA Got an example?

2014-08-21T20:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502559521664163840

@davebrooker33 Right, a harsh abrasive added to fuel. What could possible go wrong?

2014-08-21T20:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502559007182454785

@klaasBatema Happens all the time with normal jet engine exhaust.

http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvlywM_IMAMNHM2.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvlywM_IMAMNHM2.jpg
2014-08-21T20:50:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502558435377168384
@klaasBatema Moving in or out of a region of air with sufficient temp/humidity. With fighters likely ascending/descending during dogfight.

2014-08-21T20:47:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502557625247342592
@jace3312 It's in the link. 1921 US Air Service Newsletter.
http://contrailscience.com/files/mwr-049-07-0412c.pdf

2014-08-21T20:46:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502557436805677056
@klaasBatema Thing is, they would be too small to identify if high enough to leave a trail. Here's some F-16s. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1OZr4W1zZXc

---

2014-08-21T18:44:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502526607844577280
@klaasBatema @Boomaantasting looks like Eurofighters on practice exercises.

2014-08-21T18:30:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502523158650302464
@BlogOutlaw Trails are NOT being added to old movies. Old movies were reused in modern commercial
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2022-09-03T06:03:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565943507612618752
@runpicknroll @MarkTurnerETC Not true.

2022-09-03T00:39:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565861933919752193
@Neil__Goodman @528vibes Seems more kite-like. Shame the camera isn't recording.

The only source I could find was some old clickbait malware sites, which is not a promising start. Ignoring without more info. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbsPFSBUIAA_g_7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbsPFSBUIAA_g_7.jpg

2022-09-03T00:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565861444008042496

@S6eri91 @RoGin1230 @SingingRebels @uncertainvector The things in the preceding paragraph. The simulator is still being worked on.

2022-09-02T23:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565847802298507264

@fin365 @1111wile @BenHansen00 It's an odd coincidence. But it seems to be different people, and these are pilots for Southwest, and the other guy is a corporate jet pilot.

2022-09-02T23:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565841947868008449

New Sitrec feature coming along nicely. Drop in two ADS-B tracks and watch one plane from another. Here demonstrating that the Lake Michigan "Tic-Tac*" was just a plane.

Now need some plane models!

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=lakemichigan

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1565841366327775232/pu/img/YA-LT39ApwTk7RiY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1565841366327775232/pu/img/YA-LT39ApwTk7RiY.jpg
2022-09-02T23:07:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565838846700314625
@5X5_NEWS @flarkey @3v3nt_H0r1z0n @Beckie_ness @FullStackLogan @Calirevoltt Four hours late. Good effort though! :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbr5pP-UYAAvHBb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbr5pP-UYAAvHBb.jpg
2022-09-02T20:30:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565799297123172352
@Petrov9635 @jay_nfl @BenHansen00 It seems to be different people. Weird coincidence, if so.

2022-09-02T20:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565799138674937857

@Scott_UAP I would no longer think a balloon was very likely - but that I think really depends on HOW sharp.

However, this is just a rumor. Ask me again when we see the video.

2022-09-02T18:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565769263880671232

@Petrov9635 @528vibes Source?

2022-09-02T18:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565768927044517889

@jay_nfl @BenHansen00 Observations of what? The flashing lights were explained.

2022-09-02T17:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565754653358166017

@Dharmalien @IAmRobAherne It is a big sphere.

2022-09-02T17:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565753740992208896

@noraaholt @FullStackLogan @samphazm @ExtranicelyC @justmyvideos It's not that interesting, I only wrote a few things down. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbqsuFPVQAEJYlB.jpg

---

It was "This, Spanish for 'rice with chicken," and the answer: "arroz con pollo"

What are the odds that I'd ask my wife to translate something, and the same translation would be a question that same day on Jeopardy?

2020/02/17 - Final Jep, Waitress - Also in NYT crossword
http://www.j-archive.com/showgame.php?game_id=6552
"This 2007 movie came to Broadway with an all-female creative team including book & direction and with songs by pop star Sara Bareilles"

2020/02/18 - Hitchhiking - Also Mick watch video
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0FfU6M-25iw on the SAME DAY - shown to me because I'd watched other "Chedder Explains" videos.
http://www.j-archive.com/showgame.php?game_id=6553
"High car ownership, the interstate system & fear of strangers all led to the decline in this form of free highway travel"

2021/09/20 - Mangos

My wife asked me what flavor drink I'd like. "Not Mango," I said, "I'm allergic." "I doubt there's any real Mango in it" she replied. I thought back on how I suspected my Mango allergy was triggered by a brush with Poison Oak, and how it made my lips tingle.

https://www.j-archive.com/showgame.php?game_id=7147
"If mango skin makes your lips tingle, it's a reaction related to the rashes you might get from touching this toxic vine"

May 19, 2021

I went for a bike ride, it was a lovely day. I returned and sat at my computer. I was reading a random post about a lost dog on Nextdoor when my wife asked, "where did you go?"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbqsuFPVQAEJYlB.jpg

2022-09-02T15:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565730185197920257

@brainschain It's interesting that a group of several people will put quite a bit of effort into trying to fake something. Maybe one day they will get better at it.

Most UFO videos are not fake. But some are.

2022-09-02T15:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565717985955721216

@shroudedshrew I think it's quite possible to have smoke without fire.

2022-09-02T14:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565703756120961026

@1111wile @BenHansen00 They told MUFON the date of the encounter was 8-18. The video EXIF data said 8-18

On 8-17 they discovered that their iPhone made the flickering lights they observed.

Then on 8-18, they take another video with flickering light.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbp_OfkUcAAdGlF.jpg


mufoncms.com/cgi-bin/report_handler.pl?req=view_long_desc&id=124552&rnd=

Long Description of Sighting Report

My name is[name redacted], I'm a 52-year-old retired F/A-18 pilot and tactical jet instructor for the US Navy/Marines. My current position is chief pilot for a corporate flight department out of Palomar CA "KCRQ". I hold Airline Transport Ratings in single engine, multi engine and helicopters. I'm also a CFI/II/MEI as well as Helicopter CFI/II. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Aeronautical Science from Embry Riddle Aeronautical University as well as US Navy/Marine Corps aircraft accident investigations / accident investigator for the US Marine Corps.

On Aug 18, 2022, at approximately 03:20 while in our Gulfstream G650, call sign TWY670, in route from Miami "KOPF" to Maui "PHOG" at FL470 off the coast of CA, I witnessed 2 AUP's high above our aircraft in a circular formation. Me being a retired Marine F/A-18 pilot who has conducted many aircraft intercepts throughout my career, immediately reported it to LA center.

LA Center informed us that they had no known or unknown primary or secondary RADAR contacts in or around us or in their airspace period. I then turned our Traffic Collision Avoidance System "TCAS" to ABOVE mode also to receive no active aircraft activity as well.

As I was continuing my reporting with LA center when another 2 UAP's joined the formation. I then saw what I believed was a shooting star, but it stopped descending and joined the other 4 UAP's in their circular orbit. I took my iPhone out and attempted to record the UAP's as they moved over our aircraft.

I lost sight of them and continued to discuss the encounter with ATC. Another aircraft also reported the incident, and LA center reported it to the FAA Presidential Aerial Security Administration in Washington DC.

I received a phone call from LA center and also talked to the FAA Presidential Aerial Security office in Washington DC. Both agencies were very interested in the encounter. I was informed other aircraft had reported seeing the UAP's over the phone but declined to acknowledge it over the radio.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbp_OfkUcAAdGlF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbp-2GvVEAA_5kL.jpg

2022-09-02T14:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565702618709577728

@SecureTeam10 You are exploiting people's suspicions for profit.

2022-09-02T13:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565697541194129409

These pilots' accounts sound exactly like the UFO report from 8-18 on MUFON, also reported on by @BenHansen00.

But this TikTok is from 8-10.

https://www.tiktok.com/@flying_hi/video/7130252599206481194?is_copy_url=1&is_from_webapp=v1

2022-09-02T13:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565694685040877568

@528vibes Sounds the same, but it's from 8 days earlier (8-10 vs. 8-18)

https://www.tiktok.com/@flying_hi/video/7130252599206481194?is_copy_url=1&is_from_webapp=v1

2022-09-02T13:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565691713451991040

@FullStackLogan @samphazm @ExtranicelyC @justmyvideos Yup, first time in months that we had chicken and rice. Total coincidence.

They happen, but they sometimes feel weird.

2022-09-02T13:21:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565691290070618112

@IAmRobAherne I took this with my iPhone 13 Pro in the morning (few days ago). There's no technical reason why a phone can't take the same photo at night - Moon mode simply exposes for the Moon rather than the dark scene. Apple should add a Moon mode and a UFO mode.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbpzPJ1VQAAU_UA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbpzPJ1VQAAU_UA.jpg

2022-09-02T13:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565689503200579584

@FullStackLogan @ExtranicelyC @justmyvideos Guess what I had for dinner last night.

2022-09-02T13:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565688612401717249

@ExtranicelyC @justmyvideos

https://skepticalinquirer.org/2021/12/jeopardy-and-ideas-of-reference/

2022-09-02T06:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565580724664561664

@Beckie_ness @ET_Kowai Looks like a smoke ring.

https://www.nbcnews.com/science/weird-science/black-smoke-ring-amazing-its-no-mystery-n336881

2022-09-02T05:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565574407140958208

@SecureTeam10 It's a plane. SWA4298.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dod-ufo-footage-leak-8-27-2022-swa4298-landing-lights.12611/

2022-09-02T05:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565565785304485888

@dickwhipper Nikon is great for the Moon and distant things during daytime. Terrible for stars.

2022-09-02T04:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565564072145211393

@dickwhipper P900 is the older model. P950 is better, P1000 has a more powerful zoom, but is bigger and heavier.

2022-09-02T04:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565562019238948864

@dickwhipper Nikon P900. Point, zoom, shoot.

2022-09-02T04:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565558603137708032

RT @mehdirhasan: Fox and the Republicans are losing their minds over Joe Biden calling MAGA "semi-fascist."

But they'd never call their poâ¦

2022-09-02T04:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565557706278064128

The Moon, just now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbn6ZBQUsAEKDCy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbn6ZBQUsAEKDCy.jpg

2022-09-02T03:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565548047253114880

@vikkybell2 @ChaseHoward97 Can you take another video at 9:45-9:55, same spot?

2022-09-02T00:24:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565495963858247680

@alicia_carrie @YouTube This resembles electrical arcing, like at a substation.
https://youtu.be/v1DdK3qyh3A?t=53

2022-09-01T22:14:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565463175201320962

@One3Six2 @BradBurton @ZRaste @PatrickQJackson Or just noise and artifacts?

2022-09-01T22:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565462472491819008

@CarsonNess @UFOsightingss Insect

2022-09-01T21:01:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565444664290791424

@ZimRavens @FullStackLogan No, because it's not an actual optical effect. It's purely perceptual - the Moon simply feels to your brain like it's bigger. It's not, though. And there's nothing about the refraction in the atmosphere that would make it bigger. Near the horizon, things get squished vertically.

2022-09-01T20:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565442808290299904

@ZimRavens @FullStackLogan What "visual effects"?

2022-09-01T20:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565441843218722816

@ZimRavens @FullStackLogan You can measure the angle in moons (0.5Â°). It matches the time. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmRBtcVEAA4yqK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmRBtcVEAA4yqK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmQ1T1UcAANDWY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmQ3JFUIAAP35W.jpg

2022-09-01T20:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565441463034318848

@FullStackLogan @BeverlyDHolmes @justmyvideos I'd prefer somewhere local.

2022-09-01T20:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565437949084196864

@gastronic_NL @Beckie_ness That's this street light.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmNg9ZUcAAlHHO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbmNg9ZUcAAlHHO.jpg
2022-09-01T20:07:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565431281978028032
@rogeressig @Deepneuron Well, the "low observability" observable is pretty strong.

Probably not evidence of NHI tho

2022-09-01T16:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565370414569689090
The Moon (and even the Sun) can be in the LIZ (the Low Information Zone, where UFOs are found) if it's behind patchy clouds. Especially close to the horizon, where cloud layers are dense but skinny. Here's a classic case from last year.
It is an understandable mistake. https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1412093289944293376

2022-09-01T13:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565338434603954177
@Beckie_ness In both videos there are visible clouds, perhaps leading to a similar effect.

2022-09-01T08:10:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565250736396480512
@FullStackLogan @Beckie_ness @TUPACABRA2 Be happy to join tomorrow sometime though.

2022-09-01T08:07:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565250073843314688
@FullStackLogan @Beckie_ness @TUPACABRA2 Thanks, but it's too late for me here. I've nothing to add beyond the above. I think the location, time, and the phase of the Moon is all you need. The Moon looks odd sometimes. Clouds are in bands sometimes.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjihLFUsAQ8WSM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjihLFUsAQ8WSM.jpg

2022-09-01T07:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565248008790282240

@FullStackLogan @Beckie_ness Still the Moon. She's facing west. Moon was there at that time. Same crescent. @TUPACABRA2

https://www.google.com/maps/@34.0031969,-117.0664705,3a,45y,257.86h,91.28t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1s0CXIC-f1ZuNHU1_TGpQ4xg!2e0!7i16384!8i8192

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjgsXgUYAEKmz-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjgqB1VQAAn729.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjgsXgUYAEKmz-.jpg

2022-09-01T07:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565247235746455553

@19Nimbus @Beckie_ness Different cloud layers give different brighness. The moon is reddish as it was fairly low, so if it's dimmed then it's more red. And the phone camera is not great at low light color.

2022-09-01T07:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565245750346276865

@19Nimbus @Beckie_ness It's low and behind clouds.

2022-09-01T07:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565244765955731456

@19Nimbus @Beckie_ness The Moon tonight is exactly the same crescent.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjdzJcUcAAobki.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjdzJcUcAAobki.jpg

2022-09-01T07:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565243652951011330

@Beckie_ness The video you posted is facing west, the Moon was right there at 9PM http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjcwcFVEAA9LGP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjcwcFVEAA9LGP.jpg

2022-09-01T07:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565239949795794944

@ChaseHoward97 @Beckie_ness @FullStackLogan, it's the Moon. That's where the Moon was at 9PM. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjZb1SVQAE0gfj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjZUxUUUAU6Z5m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjZb1SVQAE0gfj.jpg

2022-09-01T07:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565238645669240832

@ChaseHoward97 @Beckie_ness http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjYP0NUsAAwCBr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjYP0NUsAAwCBr.jpg

2022-09-01T07:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565238125315497984

@ChaseHoward97 @Beckie_ness And she's facing West, so that's where the Moon would be at that time. similar to where the sun is in Google Maps.

https://www.google.com/maps/@34.0031437,-117.0665754,3a,75y,267.21h,89.52t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sqrk0D83HiUg2e7N7_FENng!2e0!7i16384!8i8192

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjXebrVUAAzeiS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjXebrVUAAzeiS.jpg

2022-09-01T07:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565234402317066241

@ChaseHoward97 @Beckie_ness The time matches. 21:00 is 9PM California.

2022-09-01T07:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565233248178806784

@ChaseHoward97 @Beckie_ness Yes, it looks like the moon behind some clouds. You just see a bit of it at first, then you see more. I've seen similar things before.

2022-09-01T06:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565230076563599360

@Beckie_ness http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjQcgkUEAAqCuo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbjQcgkUEAAqCuo.jpg

2022-09-01T04:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565198203548340226

@ZimRavens So why do YOU believe?

2022-09-01T04:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565191857985822721

@dave_beaty This is the retired FA-18 pilot case. But yes, there are several.

Eventually Iâ□□ll add VR.

2022-09-01T03:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565181388172627968

@BlurfDurfler Three.js plus a lot of custom code.

2022-09-01T00:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565138108831518720

New SitRec feature (WIP).

Take two KML files (ADS-B GPS tracks of planes.) Set one as the camera and other as the target. Sync the timestamps. Now you can see what one plane looks like from another when filmed with an iPhone.

This will be useful.

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=kml

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1565137389206376448/pu/img/DWdF-2qj0c_0et4l.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1565137389206376448/pu/img/DWdF-2qj0c_0et4l.jpg

2022-08-31T19:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1565062505751162880

VIdeo from multiple independent witnesses is the Holy Grail of UFOlogy. Something that would prove one and for all that something significant was going on.

This is not that Holy Grail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbg3_VGUsAAPr-6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbg3eLwUEAALV_o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbg3_VGUsAAPr-6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbg22vlUUAE4CMO.jpg
2022-08-31T19:23:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565057611384311808
@El_FutbolJunkie @spencerschacht @KOB4 @BenHansen00 @MiddleOfMayhem Flares.

2022-08-31T18:45:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565048073276907520
@528vibes That's pixel shaped.

2022-08-31T18:18:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565041452798787589
@thieme Depends on what you consider to be an expert. I think there were several people with PhDs, and certainly many with varied advanced degrees, in AE911Truth.
Nobody specializing in building or demolishing skyscrapers though.

2022-08-31T18:07:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565038594342805504
@KhouriPaul @spencerschacht @KOB4 Looks like flares.

2022-08-31T17:21:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565026938921500672
@SaarioBrandon @flarkey @BenHansen00 Exactly. Because they were both taken through a window.

2022-08-31T16:40:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565016701355245568
The most helpful event in investigations of unidentified things is usually finding someone who recognizes that thing, or some aspect of it.
A small group, even with a couple of PhDs, is doomed to fail, because nobody is familiar with everything.

The answer? Crowdsourcing. https://twitter.com/TheUfoJoe/status/1565010207234613248

2022-08-31T16:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565012522884730884
@Saw_a_UFO @BenHansen00 Ask the pilot. The videos seems to show mostly stars (other than the autofocus reflections)

Higher resolution original video must be available, which would help identify stars.

2022-08-31T16:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1565007555532713985
@TSPriley @BenDittinn The videos show stars. It's unclear what he was trying to film. He took videos over a 10+ minute period.

2022-08-31T15:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564999696233353216
@Jim87504388 @BenHansen00 The videos span several minutes. Video11 is at 03:20:22 EDT,

Video4 is at 03:31:50 (EXIF, and verified by the ADS-B track and cockpit display)

11.5 minutes later. So he was filming, on and off, for over 11 minutes. But got nothing.

2022-08-31T15:26:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564998144948989953
@Beckie_ness No pain no gain :)

I really don't see what the problem is. It barely hurt at all. I carefully measured the temperature to make sure I was not going to be injured. It left a small mark that faded after a few weeks. It was a successful experiment.

2022-08-31T15:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564991507362246660
@DogwearingA @BenHansen00 Fake. Phones point in different directions. Bad acting. Visible to a million people but only a small group of TikTok bros filmed it.

2022-08-31T14:58:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564991073251835906
@BocajSeda Others have looked into it. Maybe @ufoofinterest

2022-08-31T14:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564990880284479488
@Saw_a_UFO @BenHansen00 Here's some with EXIF, low-res, though.
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/362clbhk1zlddku/AAA0IGDysE6KKKtZp0D0fB5fa?dl=0

2022-08-31T14:57:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564990675300454400
@BocajSeda He's faking it by placing small items like buttons on the outside of the window and then filming them from below.

Obviously, they are not hovering there, other people would see them.

2022-08-31T14:54:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564989974088339459
@flarkey @JakHammerFist @SocialistFT I experimented with a variety of type of glass, pane type, and other reflective surfaces. No significant difference in the results (purple flashing light). Here's another example. Low-E dual pane tempered glass.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564989837819535361/pu/img/rWQp3aCzB3p73ra_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564989837819535361/pu/img/rWQp3aCzB3p73ra_.jpg
2022-08-31T14:47:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564988278163402757
@SaarioBrandon @flarkey @BenHansen00 Two different videos taken by the same person in the same plane that show nothing at all unusual.

The purple flashing light in all the videos is a reflection of the iPhone's IR autofocus system

---

2022-08-31T14:20:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564981544069914628
@Beckie_ness @flarkey @DarrylJonkemp A very small and nearly painless burn. I get worse several times a year. I've had worse getting a pizza out of my oven.

2022-08-31T10:33:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564924381607784448
@tdeeefc Video editing.

2022-08-31T10:30:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564923556026142720
@DarkstarIE @machlooper Those are two things that I do not believe, nor have I ever said.

2022-08-31T07:39:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564880633859477504
@schiznit3 @Aviation_Intel Not a fun task, really shaky.

2022-08-31T07:39:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564880431924727808
@ArchaicOutlaw Timestamp?

2022-08-31T05:19:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564845381267034112
@BMac1977 @thunderf00t Bokeh, quite possibly Venus or Jupiter.

2022-08-31T04:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564831594178744325
@EOTS_Comic You can get a similar result with a phone like the Galaxy s21/23 Ultra.

2022-08-31T01:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564780107289440257
@TxUFOSightings @hausersmoran @BenHansen00 The stars show up. Polaris shows up, which is not a particularly bright star. Dimmer stars than Polaris show up.

So we are talking about something dimmer than Pherkad, a 3.0 star.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc3KNYVUAADdsk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc3KNYVUAADdsk.jpg
2022-08-31T00:58:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564779529691807744
@SturgePow @dave_beaty @Pewpew841 @BenHansen00 Hopefully, @BenHansen00 will get to the bottom of it. But I very much doubt that there's anything of interest in these videos.

2022-08-31T00:53:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564778329466580992
@dave_beaty @BenHansen00 I'm explaining what @BenHansen00 spend 30 minutes discussing. He very specifically discussed the flashing lights as if that's what the pilot was talking about.

If there's someone else in the video besides stars and human lights, I've yet to see anyone point it out.

2022-08-31T00:48:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564777098727735296
@dave_beaty @Pewpew841 @BenHansen00 You mean these three stars?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc0cHkVQAAsYsM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc0cHkVQAAsYsM.jpg

2022-08-31T00:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564776887733219328

@managalar @justinlharris @BenHansen00 The tiny lights in the video are stars.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc0P5ZUIAA_PQ2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbc0P5ZUIAA_PQ2.jpg

2022-08-31T00:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564768843817295872

@BenDittinn Maybe a plane. And then stars. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbcs7HCVUAAXeJ6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbcs7HCVUAAXeJ6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbcs52GVUAAgZ1r.jpg
2022-08-31T00:12:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564768076897218560
@jere0111 @BenHansen00 The stars are in focus (as is Malibu), just a bit grainy. It's the autofocus lights that are actually out of focus.
If there was a UFO light out there, it should be visible.

2022-08-30T23:53:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564763263765606403
@BenDittinn The one that fades out at the start looks like a distant plane making a turn towards LAX or SMO. The rest are stars.

2022-08-30T23:31:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564757714713989120
@AvirupMondal41 @Karenalexphi @ufouapam The guy who took the video says it is a drone.

It's also possible, based just on the video, that it was a plane.

Or maybe some combination of both.

2022-08-30T23:29:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564757118573432832
@SicCoP1 @LuAngeles @InvNightSchool @JonesSmythe @BenHansen00 It explains what the video shows. It does not explain what people thought they saw that's not on the video.

2022-08-30T22:57:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564749300956925952
@_NTME9_ @Pewpew841 @CKakadan @flarkey Yeah, those were the three stars I referenced. Polaris on the right.

2022-08-30T22:05:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564736111221637120
@mb103001 @flarkey They don't seem to move in the UFO video any more than they move in my recreation.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564736067659436032/pu/img/iY3PgzF2C4oWwWQ4.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564736067659436032/pu/img/iY3PgzF2C4oWwWQ4.jpg
2022-08-30T22:04:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564735746082148352
@DavidGaryEsp @flarkey Standard, and all videos modes, even slowmo

---

2022-08-30T21:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564728877779324930
@Martin45156381 @RepGallagher Hmm, I wonder if that's just a tale that has grown in the telling.

i.e. is Fox just repeating and embellishing Mellon's speculation?

2022-08-30T21:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564726124113932288

@Martin45156381 @RepGallagher Who suggested that?

2022-08-30T21:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564724673635528704

@DavidGaryEsp @flarkey No. See here, I've added a close-up of the back cameras on my iPhone 13 Pro, showing the pattern of lights there. The two replications I did above it are with the back cameras. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbcEjBpUUAAlsWk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbcEjBpUUAAlsWk.jpg

2022-08-30T21:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564720723276443648

@SaarioBrandon @flarkey What did? How do you know?

2022-08-30T20:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564705716849389568

@skepmit @PhoneUfo Not all planes get close enough to be heard over ambient noise.

2022-05-16T00:58:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1526004153360601088

@_NTME9_ @BrentlyLee @TruthBeTOLDMFer @thehill Thats in IR mode, and it looks nothing like a symmetrical Tic-Tac

---

2022-05-16T00:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525997513450196993

@mission_europa @keithkloor @MadScientistPod You might be familiar with the material, but itâ□□s a great overview for people unfamiliar with the topics covered.

2022-05-16T00:28:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525996652456124416

RT @BrentlyLee: @MickWest @TruthBeTOLDMFer @thehill You couldn't make up comedy this good if you tried.

"uncanny resemblance"

A distant,â¦

2022-05-16T00:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525995788798570496

@BryceDodds1 @Funkadelick2 Just African. And just a possibility.

More or less likely than aliens?

2022-05-16T00:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525995461101768704

@Shahin14039509 @patrick92german @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @LueElizondo So, groupthink?

2022-05-16T00:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525993581189554176

@BrentlyLee @TruthBeTOLDMFer @thehill Weak!

2022-05-15T23:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525987370251657216

@MikeGannome Misleadingly. I disagree with his interpretation of the Sitrec analysis, and I wrote it.

2022-05-15T23:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525986980688822272

@patrick92german @Shahin14039509 @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @LueElizondo Linked how? We're just two different guys on Twitter who make our own videos and share some opinions.

2022-05-15T23:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525985954162352128

SA Page in Sitrec. Gimbal 10NM straight-line track.
Can you tell from the SA page that it's a straight line? Most of the movement there comes from the heading change.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1525985631490387968/pu/img/dZyPjAMJ3MyscnPN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1525985631490387968/pu/img/dZyPjAMJ3MyscnPN.jpg
2022-05-15T23:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525982628234506240
@DOT50AE Thanks, I've fixed it now. (and I think you mean C, the radius)

https://metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-05-15T23:30:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525981995322396673
@rjohnhacker I've implemented this in the live version.
https://metabunk.org/sitrec/
Thanks, and also @Spectre67904513

2022-05-15T23:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525981629977505792
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @thehill Yeah, the usual misleading nonsense. There IS a perfectly reasonable straight-line path - lots of them actually.
He does his usual trick of pretending he has loads of collaborators when the creators of the two most detailed models (me and @edwardcurrent) deeply disagree with him

---

2022-05-15T22:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525973462929395712
@rjohnhacker Ah, it makes more sense like this:

"The scale is distance from the aircraft to the inside edge of compass rose."
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS1Yv9PUEAETe-x.jpg

---

the UPDT sublevel which allows the aircrew to cancel the last accepted update.

24.1.3.7 SCL Option This option is located along the top row of the HSI display, and selects the range scales of 10, 20, 40, 80, or 160 nm. With aircraft 163985 AND UP, a 5 nm scale map is available. The scale is distance from the aircraft to the inside edge of compass rose. Successive actuations of the pushbutton causes the range scale to decrement and then to start over at 160 nm. The 250,000:1 map is displayed when the 5 nm range scale is selected. The 500,000:1 map is displayed when the 10 nm range scale is selected, and the 2,000,000:1 map is displayed when the 20 nm or 40 nm scale is selected. No map is displayed when the 80 nm or 160 nm range scale is selected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS1Yv9PUEAETe-x.jpg

2022-05-15T22:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525971700264751106

@rjohnhacker That's interesting. Seems odd that decentering would also change the scale.

2022-05-15T22:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525966066567946240

@SabersKnight @montanacasey No, I'm just saying what it looks like.

2022-05-15T22:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525964711484719104

@BrianDunning UFOs!

2022-05-15T21:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525957860512804866

@GeorgeT96559261 I want the actual answer. Right now I have it set to A.

2022-05-15T21:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525956499599552512

I'm adding an SA page to my Sitrec tool. Question: when it displays SCL/40 - does that mean

A) The screen is 40NM across

B) The circle is 40NM across (diameter)

C) The circle is 40NM radius?

D) or what? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS1I3MHVIAAmr-h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS1I3MHVIAAmr-h.jpg

2022-05-15T21:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525950813138731009

@thieme @EireScientist I'm referring to Harry Reid there.

2022-05-15T21:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525944229901443073

@SabersKnight @montanacasey http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS0-IpEUcAAYx3i.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS0-IpEUcAAYx3i.jpg

2022-05-15T20:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525943929073414144

@euler_aaron @center4inquiry @joerogan @SkeptInquirer Like I said in the article, I probably would.

2022-05-15T20:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525943698726408192

@Amr19715 @Baptiste_Fri Not really. Marik is either misunderstanding or lying in saying there isn't a normal flight path. THere's one right there (several actually), nice simple straight and level paths at 35 NM distant.

Also, adding an SA view is interesting... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS09lpDUsAA-Ttd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FS09lpDUsAA-Ttd.jpg

2022-05-15T13:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525838042593828864

@ThanosAlpha @MvonRen I don't think so. I think Kirkpatrick is interested in solving real issues that exist under the broader topic of "UAP".

2022-05-15T13:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525837336738533376

@Eternalpugilist @Armassusi1 @lesliekean I have actual experience analyzing UFO cases. They have people talking to them.

If I get more data, then things might change. But right now I'm saying what the videos show based on the videos because other people make claims about the same thing.

2022-05-15T00:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525628054336221184

RT @center4inquiry: In recent years @joerogan has made himself a household name, for better or for worse.

So, what are his intentions, reâ¦

2022-05-14T21:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525589945468850176

@Robin081564 Positing an entire NHI civilization secretly visiting us, or co-existing with us, is hardly the simpler solution.

2022-05-14T21:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525589622167773185

@DavidFo73204388 I think it's accurate. Accurate descriptions often hurt people's feelings. But I feel accuracy should trump politness if you want to get to the bottom of things.

2022-05-14T21:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525588183768965121

@AmbroseAndreano @BrandonFugal @ChrisBartelSWR They won't. But that's why you bring more than one.

2022-05-14T21:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525586531355807744

@AmbroseAndreano @BrandonFugal @ChrisBartelSWR I'd bring cameras.

2022-05-14T21:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525584417187434496

@saturdayboy3pm @UFOSpotlight "Conventionally published" means it was not self-published. I have a publisher.

"definitely know" what?

2022-05-14T20:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525578698283360256

@saturdayboy3pm @UFOSpotlight My book was conventionally published. But I'm not sure what your point is. Are you looking for someone to tell you what to think based just on their qualifications?

I show people things they can check for themselves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvxbAxVUAAG2wO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvxbAxVUAAG2wO.jpg

2022-05-14T20:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525577419075162112

@DanielWhiteson @tkped They are not videos, just photos. I think at least one them has been shown to be fake.

2022-05-14T20:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525577002408763392

@saturdayboy3pm @UFOSpotlight There are no qualifications, only do.

2022-05-14T20:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525573137462534144

@thieme @6diego32 @edwardcurrent @PostDisclosure You might want to try listing those things, as I've said several, for example: The Gimbal video shows something hot.

2022-05-14T20:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525571543719215104

@UFOSpotlight Try to figure out if anything is going on, and, if so, what.

2022-05-14T20:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525571294284042240

@MiddleOfMayhem Let's do it!

2022-05-14T20:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525570888057270274

@AdamGoldsack @tinyklaus Nothing at all.

2022-05-14T20:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525570782734102528

@Philosoraptor93 @Serg_is_Vargas @tinyklaus The military does not seem to think so - according to the UAP report.

https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Prelimary-Assessment-UAP-20210625.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvqia4VsAAIBE4.jpg

---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The limited amount of high-quality reporting on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP. The Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAPTF) considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

- As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on reports that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of this new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.
- Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP were registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvqia4VsAAIBE4.jpg

2022-05-14T20:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525566920069505025

@tinyklaus The somewhat culty influencers are just part of the picture. There's perfectly valid

reasons to investigate UAP, as I said yesterday.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2C7jEOTINLw
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvm3KdUYAAzcnj.jpg



Lauren Jiggetts 1:51

Is there anything that you have seen that would justify the amount of resources devoted to this in terms of what the Pentagon is doing and sort of somewhat done in secrecy?

Mick West 2:00

Well, there's really three things that are behind all of this, one of which is just the traditional types of UFO, things that people have been seeing since World War Two, where fighters in World War Two saw things called "foo fighters", which were like glowing balls of light, which surrounded their planes. And we still really don't know exactly what they were. And that still is worth investigating. And whenever a pilot sees something that's unidentified, it's worth investigating. But there's also new things, new technology, there's drones that didn't exist back in World War Two, obviously, which now presents new challenges in terms of airspace clutter, just simply people flying their drones around the airport, and possible foreign nations spying on us. And then there's the lobbying efforts of the UFO enthusiasts who are presenting this weak evidence as if it is strong evidence. All these three things together have combined to kind of force the hand of the Pentagon to address this in this way. But really, the what they're going to address is the actual real issues. The things like airspace incursions by drones and things like airspace clutter just simple things like balloons floating in front of fighter pilots when they are flying in their test ranges.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvm3KdUYAAzcnj.jpg
2022-05-14T19:57:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525565947863388160
@dutchess_boxer @chrisfrench83 I think he mistook how big it was, and thinking it was far away then when he flew towards him it would look like it rapidly accelerated towards him.

2022-05-14T19:17:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525555925917896704
@Kasce6 Some people get sick. Correlation is not causation.

2022-05-14T19:12:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525554711692054528
@Kasce6 Brandon goes there all the time. He seems fine.

2022-05-14T19:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525554460742676481
@BrentlyLee The head of AAWSAP thought there was something worth investigating. And other members of the Invisible College, like Vallee.

2022-05-14T19:09:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525553980733919232
@Serg_is_Vargas @mission_europa @Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein What data? The Pentagon isn't going to release classified data.

I'm not opposed to getting data, that would be great. But I don't want to waste time on a wild goose chase.

2022-05-14T18:24:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525542671564959745
@3Selfevident @ScottyDazzler1 @DesignzSuzanne @VannsWill Sometimes they do, but if you look at any book on clouds in the last 70 years, they will tell you that contrails also sometimes

persist and spread.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-14T18:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525542238519840768

@Serg_is_Vargas @mission_europa @Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein Absolutely. That's exactly what I want to figure out.

2022-05-14T18:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525539878699532288

Should I go to Skinwalker Ranch and investigate the strangeness?

2022-05-14T17:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525535170090004480

@beamo31 @YBoyarinov But there isn't.

2022-05-14T17:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525534837263650816

@rgh_ufos @Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein Do you think people in the government never engage in deception? Do you think every gov or mil spokesperson only tells you the full story with all the relevant facts?

Sometimes they avoid discussing topics. That's not a theory.

2022-05-14T17:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525534417367666688

@laprianzo @rgh_ufos @Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein Someone SAYING they proved me wrong is not the same thing as proving me wrong.

2022-05-14T17:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525533402115387393

@BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @Robin081564 @AdamGoldsack @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom Why do you keep posting those quotes? We've all read the report. What's your point?

2022-05-14T17:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525533072929591301

@Robin081564 @BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @AdamGoldsack @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, but it amounts to the same thing. "Others" with advanced technology.

2022-05-14T17:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525532076404899840

@joe_forbrich So describe your thoughts on the matter - what do you think would change if the three Navy videos were shown (by the Navy) to have unambiguously earthly mundane explanations?

2022-05-14T17:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525531387133997056

@BodyPositiveBob I would like them to release it.

But it's a catch-22 here as we don't know if it's unambiguous until it has been released. So what basis would you use to "force" it?

2022-05-14T17:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525530760341602313

@Robin081564 @BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @AdamGoldsack @MiddleOfMayhem Do you think that something is a non-human intelligence?

2022-05-14T17:36:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525530389762084864

@BodyPositiveBob Why is it time? I think the triangle photo probably exists, but what does it actually show? It's "striking" and "clear", but I've not seen it, so I can't really assess what that means.

2022-05-14T17:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525529165746696192

@redacted699 @Owlsyu @OSIRIS_UAP @BrandonFugal None of my TV appearances have been scripted, and I'm not about to start now. If I go, I'll tell it like I see it.

2022-05-14T17:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525528767153639425

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/21/special-series/harry-reid-ufo.html

---

2022-05-14T17:29:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525528737743134720

How to people acquire a belief in a subject when they don't understand the technical aspects? It's often by listening to people talk in an authoritative sounding way about it.

But you have to be careful you're not just listening to a fringe subset. Compelling does not mean true http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvDXJmVUAAVwFF.jpg

---

One day in 1996, I received a call from George Knapp, an investigative reporter at KLAS-TV, the CBS affiliate in Las Vegas, and a friend of mine. “Harry,” he said, “there’s something you have to attend.” He invited me to an upcoming conference that would focus on what the U.S. government generally refers to as “unidentified aerial phenomena,” but what most other people simply call U.F.O.s, a subject Mr. Knapp had, and still has, a particular interest in.

A large conference room at the event was filled with academics, interested members of the public and, yes, a few oddballs. I was very impressed with the academics, who spoke of unidentified aerial phenomena in the language of science, discussing the issue in terms of technological advancement and national security. I was hooked.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSvDXJmVUAAVwFF.jpg
2022-05-14T17:22:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525526912273068033
@BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @Robin081564 @AdamGoldsack @MiddleOfMayhem The fact that Reid was a UFO enthusiast (he went to his first UFO conference with George Knapp), and that he started the UFO/Paranormal AAWSAP program, is important context.

2022-05-14T17:19:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525526342447419392
@Owlsyu @Bags_001 @OSIRIS_UAP @BrandonFugal Nobody approached me with a free trip, which I WOULD take now. I think it would make for an interesting article, but I'm not spending three days AND $2,000 for it.

2022-05-14T17:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525524993676914690
@chrisfrench83 That would be great, but one does not simply "find out" what the unknown is.

2022-05-14T17:12:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525524530269065219
@chrisfrench83 The unknown is really interesting!

2022-05-14T16:56:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525520339173666818
@MusicAndTruth @_Desmoden @EricRWeinstein Sorry, but didn't Elizondo explicitly say he had NOTHING to do with AAWSAP http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSu8eulUsAUul2Y.jpg

---



Lue Elizondo
@LueElizondo

1. Its been brought to my attention that despite my constant assertion in the media about my NON-INVOLVEMENT in AAWSAP, some are under the false impression that I was part of it. For the record, AGAIN, and AGAIN, I was not part of AAWSAP!

10:08 AM · May 10, 2021 · Twitter Web App

85 Retweets **11** Quote Tweets **646** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSu8eulUsAUul2Y.jpg
2022-05-14T16:41:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525516622676430848
@BodyPositiveBob It would matter because the presumed authorities here, Elizondo, Mellon, Fravor, SCU, have all been telling us that the videos are solid evidence of advanced tech.

I agree pilot testimony is compelling. But compelling does not mean correct.

2022-05-14T16:37:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525515749648764929
@BarlowTerry Subtract the last one. The interesting numbers are how many people think it changes nothing.

Do they really think that, or is that a reflexive answer to counter what they see as an attack?

2022-05-14T16:34:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525515012017561600
@GeddySquire @USNavy @DeptofDefense Whenever revelation fails to materialize, a variety of things happen. Some groups double down. Some groups bicker and fracture. Some individuals get disillusioned and leave. Eventually, the dissonance is smoothed over and a new normal emerges, with new expectations

---

2022-05-14T16:31:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525514066734686210
@BodyPositiveBob Maybe both. The UAP topic contains real issues that need addressing, so it's quite possible they were shown data that bolsters those concerns.

But what prompted Rubio and Carson to start this?

2022-05-14T16:29:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525513617096855552

@MusicAndTruth @_Desmoden @EricRWeinstein Can you give an example?

2022-05-14T16:28:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525513273235279872

@jannefoo That's really the same as the 4th option. Boring includes "nothing" things like drones.

2022-05-14T16:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525513122357817344

@vkcodez That depends on what the right answer is. If they are simply unknown, then that's just a change to the puzzle. If they are 100% advanced technology, then that changes everything.

2022-05-14T16:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525512555136884736

I've been using the term "UFO Fan" for some time to refer to people with an interest in the idea of UFOs as non-human technology, aliens. That does not mean UAPs are not a serious issue.

I discuss it here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5stjbueE9Sg
https://twitter.com/Robin081564/status/1525509910926065667

2022-05-14T16:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525511060324642816

@dlew1249 @EricRWeinstein Something else.

2022-05-14T16:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525510937116958721

Thought experiment: What IF those Navy UFO videos are not as amazing as they seem? What if GoFast is going slow, Tic-Tac is not rapidly accelerating, and Gimbal is (as the official name suggests) rotating due to an artifact of the Gimbal system. How does this affect disclosure?

2022-05-14T16:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525509075168964613

@Spud_Jimmy The tapering shows this is contrail from a plane rising or descending through a cold humid layer. As only some regions of the air support contrail formation, this is the result. Similar trails here:
https://contrailscience.com/very-unusual-short-tapered-contrails/

2022-05-14T16:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525508556237119488

@innocuousmn @EricRWeinstein @MiddleOfMayhem If someone misleads you about one thing (even for what they see as pragmatic and noble reasons) then it raises the issue of what else they are misleading you about.
Would current disclosure efforts ignore AATIP?

2022-05-14T15:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525505596610383873

@caitgaist @ori_volfo @EricRWeinstein Yeah, it's not like the highest levels of government or anything. Just some standard sweeping-shit-under-the-rug stuff.

2022-05-14T15:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525505272810045441

@innocuousmn @EricRWeinstein @MiddleOfMayhem Elizondo needs the authority of being the "former head of the government's UFO program" in order to be taken seriously. He did not mention AAWSAP until other people dug it up. His goal is disclosure, but talking about AAWSAP in detail would hurt that, as Leslie Kean, etc, said.

2022-05-14T15:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525500118660575233

@Cyrus60974589 @EricRWeinstein The evidence is presented in the video.

2022-05-14T15:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525499890687651841

@innocuousmn @EricRWeinstein The Pentagon would prefer that their embarrassing mistake just be forgotten. They are very much NOT trying to draw attention to it. Congress is doing it, but only due to lobbying efforts from Mellon, etc.

2022-05-14T11:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525436741598838784

@Channa_Sr @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FStwoDkVUAAEyVU.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

Other: Although most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis, we may require additional scientific knowledge to successfully collect on, analyze and characterize some of them. We would group such objects in this category pending scientific advances that allowed us to better understand them. The UAPTF intends to focus additional analysis on the small number of cases where a UAP appeared to display unusual flight characteristics or signature management.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FStwoDkVUAAEyVU.jpg

2022-05-14T11:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525436079263784961

@phillip_wareham @EricRWeinstein Did you watch the video? The people involved in it describe what they did, and how they conspired. It's not a theory.

2022-05-14T11:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525435383801974784

@Channa_Sr @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima Based on the official UAP report.

2022-05-13T21:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525233081853939712

@officer_pinky @1_2_of_Rodney @BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima Child Mick was. I grew out of it over 40 years ago.

2022-05-13T20:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525217757729419265

@bbman182 @Oswald1160 @Spectre67904513 Nobody was assigned to monitor UFOs by the government. Lacatski was a UFO fan who approached UFO fan Harry Reid, and together they set up AAWSAP but misled the Pentagon about what it was, and gave the contract to Harry Reid's friend, UFO fan Robert Bigelow.

2022-05-13T19:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525198096753340416

@libertybirb @bbman182 @Spectre67904513 I think they probably made some mistakes. Elizondo does seem somewhat unreliable, but I'm assuming he means well (i.e. he just wants disclosure).

2022-05-13T19:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525197700852899840

@cvvhrn Nice!

2022-05-13T19:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525196982133723137

@AtticusFinch_JD I know I do. But "fooled" has possible misinterpretations, like "deliberately tricked", or "shown to be stupid", which I did not intend at all.

Convincing testimony is convincing, is all.

2022-05-13T19:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525196472714530816

@libertybirb @bbman182 @Spectre67904513 I actually spend my of my UFO time doing technical analysis. I like a good chat thought, and I'd be happy to get into details with any of the pilots or other experts. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSqWBqlUYAAL7uM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSqWBqlUYAAL7uM.jpg

2022-05-13T19:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525195081958862848

@bbman182 @Jim87504388 @Spectre67904513 Nobody is running screaming. They left years ago, or they are still inside, and they mention that people occasionally smelled smoke, but couldn't figure out where it was coming from, and someone told them there are fires sometimes, but they never have a camera when it happens.

2022-05-13T19:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525193800498311168

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 Or course not. If it's classified then it exists.

But what is it? And how do you know?

2022-05-13T19:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525193087730847744

@bbman182 @Jim87504388 @Spectre67904513 Why would my opinion matter in such a scenario?

Clearly, that's NOT a good analogy.

2022-05-13T19:13:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525192605255933952

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 I never claimed they did. They don't even prove that the UFOs in the video are not anti-gravity craft. Just that some reasonable explanations exist for a lot of the things in the videos.

2022-05-13T19:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525189154841079808

@SteveBassett It's the first one.

2022-05-13T18:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525188878696493056

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 You said: "Your analyses of short clips only prove you donâ□□t have enough data." Which I assumed referred to the three videos.

2022-05-13T18:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525188107766681600

@Jim87504388 @bbman182 @Spectre67904513 Where are the mountains of data? Where does it reference these videos? Why does it say the data is insufficient to determine anything?

2022-05-13T18:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525187745668157440

@SteUFOnotCGULLS Foo.

They would know if it was a plane only if it looked like a plane in the video, which it does not. In the case of Gimbal, I think it's almost certainly a glare that obscures a non-rotating flying object that's hot. Maybe a jet.

https://otter.ai/u/U5ZUy6dCLNfCerRYK8hASHE13F8

2022-05-13T18:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525187023593623552

Transcript of my brief interview on WGN9. I tried to not be unecessarly definitive. I apologize for saying "I think people get fooled by eyewitness testimony." I should have said "convinced", but accidentally repeated Larry's word in the flow.

https://otter.ai/u/U5ZUy6dCLNfCerRYK8hASHE13F8

2022-05-13T18:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525185794893225984

@vkcodez @BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima Yes, it does.

2014-08-21T18:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502523094636838912

@FEMAgulag Trails are NOT being added to old movies. Old movies were reused in modern commercial

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2014-08-21T18:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502523013732896769

@Carlos_S01 @ShareThis Trails are NOT being added to old movies. Old movies were reused in modern commercial

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2014-08-21T18:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502522965221584896

@undergroundexp Trails are NOT being added to old movies. Old movies were reused in modern commercial
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2014-08-21T18:21:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502520886302240768
@sweetpotatertot Lots of these have patents. It's not evidence of geoengineering.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvlQFTMIEAAbN5x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvlQFTMIEAAbN5x.jpg
2014-08-21T18:19:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502520282620657664
@HartReikiCURES You think all TV shows should wait until there's no contrails before they start filming? Why would they?

2014-08-21T18:17:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502519948271693824
@sweetpotatertot And why don't you just give the patent number of the patent in question?

2014-08-21T18:16:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502519610508193792
@sweetpotatertot That depends on what you mean by "artificial clouds". Would you count skywriting and smoke screens as "artificial clouds"?

2014-08-21T16:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502497319225286659
The earliest known account of a persistent spreading contrail was in 1921 http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk2gnRIIAAgcoN.jpg not a #chemtrail

---

The earliest report of both a persistent spreading contrail, with gaps, and a distrail comes very shortly after, in **1921**, in the *US. Air Service Newsletter*.



> An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field recently by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty [engine]. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000-27,000 feet at 11:50 a.m., a **long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety**, well defined at the edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. **Then a gap of one-quarter mile**. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for **the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud**. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. **After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk2gnRIIAAgcoN.jpg

2014-08-21T16:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502495051193139200

@GSonnengott @sweetpotatertot @Jerome09 Planes crossing paths. Why would they not?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk4lfPIEAEjwqS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk4lfPIEAEjwqS.jpg

2014-08-21T16:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502494718081503233

@sweetpotatertot but if you mean Cloud Seeding, than that does not create clouds.

2014-08-21T16:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502494621016924160

@sweetpotatertot @Jerome09 @GSonnengott Contrails are essentially artificial clouds, if that's what you mean.

2014-08-21T16:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502492768191512577

@jace3312 A hoax dating back to 1921? http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk2gnRIIAAgcoN.jpg

The earliest report of both a persistent spreading contrail, with gaps, and a distrail comes very shortly after, in 1921, in the *US. Air Service Newsletter*.



> An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field recently by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty [engine]. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000-27,000 feet at 11:50 a.m., a **long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety**, well defined at the edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. **Then a gap of one-quarter mile**. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for **the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud**. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. **After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible.**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvk2gnRIIAAgcoN.jpg

2014-08-21T16:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502486696915529729

@GSonnengott @sweetpotatertot @Jerome09 It's not though. It's a KC-10 leaving aerodynamic contrails. Close up: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaQ2_yHeNSM

2014-08-21T15:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502479519257604097

@sweetpotatertot @Jerome09 @GSonnengott You think this is "geoengineering"? http://www.google.com/patents/US1619183 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvkqdbNIcAE-njC.jpg

---

March 1, 1927. 1,619,183

D. B. BRADNER ET AL

PROCESS OF PRODUCING SMOKE CLOUDS FROM MOVING AIRCRAFT

Filed May 8, 1925



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvkqdbNIcAE-njC.jpg

2014-08-21T15:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502479014863601664

@sweetpotatertot @Jerome09 @GSonnengott So why are crop dusting patents evidence of geoengineering?

2014-08-21T15:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502478685262209026

@GSonnengott @sweetpotatertot @Overthewhether @Jerome09 Yet another paper talking about possible future geoengineering. So what?

2014-08-21T15:32:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502478444555272192

@speakeezie Consider the record. How much bunk is there on http://geoengineeringwatch.org? How much on http://contrailscience.com? It's not even close.

2014-08-21T14:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502470150688215041

@speakeezie I want to debunk because bunk (unfortunately much of the "evidence" you suggested) can only be a distraction from what is real.

2014-08-21T14:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502466454730469376

@speakeezie You think it's okay to have false evidence like this, just because you don't trust the government?

2014-08-21T14:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502466290418585600

@sweetpotatertot @Overthewhether @Jerome09 @GSonnengott If you consider a crop dusting patent to be evidence of "chemtrails", then maybe.

2014-08-21T14:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502466089679200257

@speakeezie I'm focussing on the claims of evidence. Don't you think it's important to removed the ones that are wrong? That's debunking.

2014-08-21T14:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502465789224431618

@iain39 @davebrooker322 @BallisticMikeCA Point out a mistake I've made, and I'll correct it. I'm strongly against ignorance.

2014-08-21T14:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502465631065624578

@ofanewday To make contrails, planes need to be flying through an ice-supersaturated region of air. These vary quite a bit. Like clouds.

2014-08-21T14:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502465207109574656

RT @mclaren_erc: #CEC14 plenary on the future: no participants expect SRM use to be 'very likely' within 20 years, few even within 50; seveâ□¦

2014-08-21T01:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502261724204900352

@speakeezie All they do is try to make particular clouds rain more. It's not changing ALL the weather, just 0.0000001% of it. very local

---

2014-08-21T01:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502259707466485760

@speakeezie e.g. Arsenic safe level is 10 Âµg/L. Amount measured was 0.3, so perfectly safe. http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/arsenic/regulations.cfm

---

2014-08-21T01:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502259338866466816

@speakeez Their units are wrong. Their "safe level" is in mg/L, but the test results are 1/1000th that, in Âµg/L .

2014-08-21T00:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502256635507511296

@speakeezie They had weather modification in the small ads in 1969. It's nothing new or secret. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvhfv3tIgAERcPc.png

---

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Califomia corporation, having its principal office and place of business at 245 Market Street, San Francisco, Califomia, 94106, and holder of License No. 23 of the State of Califomia to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, will for and on behalf of itself conduct a program of weather modification by artificial nucleation of clouds with silver iodide and other nucleating agents with the object of increasing

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvhfv3tIgAERcPc.png
2014-08-21T00:49:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502256154144022528
@speakeezie And so? Weather modification has been done since the 1950s, quite openly. Just a speculative use for this plane.

2014-08-21T00:26:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502250380919455744
@kgbbz10 If the planes are at different altitudes, then why not?
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-08-20T23:21:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502233882813423616
@speakeezie Evergreen firefighting plane. It's been discontinued.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/#post-11196 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvhLDf6IEAE-ha6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvhLDf6IEAE-ha6.jpg
2014-08-20T23:03:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502229400700452864
@sweetpotatertot @GSonnengott @Overthewhether Who said HAARP didn't exist? I've written quite a bit about it. Like:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-debunked-and-explained.1650/

2014-08-20T20:50:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502195948676595713
@GSonnengott @sweetpotatertot @Overthewhether @Jerome09 Right now the sky is blue here in Los Angeles.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/factual-errors-in-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying.712/

2014-08-20T20:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502195581033267200

@Freizeit_Tiger Cool! Thanks!

2014-08-20T20:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502184529235148800

@Ruse_No7 Probably it was reflecting the sun, and then the angle changed and it wasn't. Planes not leaving trails are hard to keep an eye on

---

2014-08-20T20:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502184344698380289

@sweetpotatertot @Overthewhether @Jerome09 @GSonnengott Then why do all these books say that they sometimes do? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-20T20:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502184194085105664

@sweetpotatertot @ContrailSkies @Overthewhether @ShiJiHao That's a distrail, not a contrail. http://www.weatherscapes.com/album.php?cat=clouds&subcat=distrails

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvgd3NsIEAAa-gL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvgd3NsIEAAa-gL.jpg

2014-08-20T20:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502183881668186112

@speakeezie I can be sure there's no verified evidence.

2014-08-20T20:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502183788940500992

@speakeezie So no actual evidence then. Weak responses? Like this? https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf How is this weak?

2014-08-20T19:36:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502177462801821696

@speakeezie "something" is a bit vague. I don't trust the govt either. But what exactly is the evidence for "chemtrails"?

2014-08-20T19:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502176750097285120

@speakeezie Even when the evidence is CONSISTENTLY proven to be wrong? Pick one bit of actual evidence.

2014-08-20T19:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502176617855062017

@Ruse_No7 Perspective is tricky in the sky. Looks 2D, actually 3D.

2014-08-20T18:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502159546421239809

@Ruse_No7 It was a volumetric shadow from the trail being temporarily lined up with the sun.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvgHcjOIQAArpIX.jpg



A jet contrail and its shadow above Badia de Pollenca, Mallorca, Spain

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvgHcjOIQAArpIX.jpg

2014-08-20T18:11:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502155944906588160

@Maxillius then point out where the Peterson Guide is wrong.

2014-08-20T18:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502155861502881792

@Maxillius Challenge for you. Read this 1981 Peterson Field Guide on contrails (3 pages, start at yellow tab).
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/12845170213/in/set-72157641675780313/

2014-08-20T18:08:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502155208898539520
@Maxillius I see it all the time. The planes are at different altitudes. Doesn't take much.
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-08-20T18:06:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502154756937109505
@Maxillius Sure it is, but since contrails can persist for hours, so what?

2014-08-20T18:06:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502154685944311808
@Maxillius If contrails can persist, then isn't it possible that this whole "chemtrail" thing just came from a misunderstanding?

2014-08-20T18:05:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502154417630490624
@Maxillius But you post a photo and say "these are chemtrails". Problem is, they look like contrails. How can you tell they were "sprayed"?

2014-08-20T17:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502152764554616832
@Maxillius Because it's combustion of a hydrocarbon. The "stuff" it produces at cruise is still mostly (>99%) water and carbon dioxide.

2014-08-20T17:56:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502152269131825152
@Maxillius Only if it is water supersaturated. Ice supersaturation is only 60-70% of water supersaturation.

2014-08-20T17:56:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502152096930476032
@Maxillius Nope, they were exactly the same thing, according to science, even at the time.
http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/

2014-08-20T17:54:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502151600790462464
@Maxillius If the air is ice supersaturated, then the contrail CANNOT sublimate. Hence it must persist.

2014-08-20T17:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502151394606854146
@Maxillius Indeed, in DRY air. So temperature is irrelevant.

2014-08-20T17:52:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/502151311517704193
@Maxillius Of course not. But where contrails form it's generally -40Â°F or cooler. So why would

they "thaw"?

2014-08-20T17:52:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502151149911162882

@Maxillius I never noticed that. But let's stick to actual science. Persistent contrails have been observed and documented since the 1920s.

2014-08-20T17:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502150835128655872

@Maxillius If they freeze, then why would they thaw?

2014-08-20T17:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502150354050355201

@Maxillius Okay, but you stand by "Dissipate when temps equalize."?

2014-08-20T17:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502149666239037440

@Maxillius And were you not claiming that science was on your side regarding dissipation? Clearly you were wrong there.

2014-08-20T17:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502149535926202368

@Maxillius I thought YOU were claiming something had changed, that the trails did not exist before recently?

2014-08-20T17:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502149219616956416

@Maxillius @HartReikiCURES @martinhume @Dragonblaze @SecuLawyer Check the actual science and history first. 1957: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvf-DdIIMAAvXRb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvf-DdIIMAAvXRb.jpg

2014-08-20T17:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502148857656930304

@Maxillius These are contrails, 1972. So what is the difference?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvf9uYZIUAAbWQR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvf9uYZIUAAbWQR.jpg

2014-08-20T17:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502148293044879360

@Maxillius @HartReikiCURES @martinhume @Dragonblaze @SecuLawyer Not according to 70 years of books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-20T17:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502146572042240000

@Maxillius @HartReikiCURES @martinhume @Dragonblaze @SecuLawyer Contrails can last over an hour, although they've generally moved away.

2014-08-20T17:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502144285534220288

@ShawnSt51128037 Why do you think I'm paid to misinform? Can you point to a single piece of misinformation? What did I get wrong?

2014-08-20T14:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/502106669631827971

@ShawnSt51128037 Perhaps instead of being impolite, you could point out thing I got wrong, so I can correct them?

2014-08-20T04:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501952855083720705

@speakeezie Explained here:
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-08-20T04:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501952723076382720

@speakeezie Not trusting people is not that same as believing every arbitrary theory. There's just no evidence for "chemtrails". I've looked

---

2014-08-20T00:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501892829421895680

@MarkDice Sad that some people will actually take this seriously!

2014-08-20T00:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501892146446622720

@toasterhead Here's a simplified look at the science of the coloration.
http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

---

2014-08-20T00:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501891882301927424

@TerminatorBarbi Nobody pays me, I'm a retired video game programmer . I enjoy investigating claims of evidence to see if they hold up.

2014-08-20T00:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501891633835552769

@speakeezie Yes, but the documents all talk about maybe doing it in the future. Nobody talks about it already being done.

2014-08-19T23:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501874797131726848

@jonrohnson Patents don't mean something exists, or even works. Are there houses on the moon? Teleportation devices?
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-08-19T23:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501874458332626945

@toasterhead It's very popular. Dane Wigington has been using it for years, even after I explained it to him.

2014-08-19T17:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501785201781923841

1977 Satellite image of the Great Plain shows lots of contrails.

http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/1520-0450%282000%29039%3C1434%3AASBCDO%3E2.0.CO%3B2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvay-0ZIYAAqciK.jpg



FIG. 1. DMSP DR thermal-IR image acquired by SDNB at 0310 UTC 20 Oct 1977. The imaged area is the western Great Plains of the United States, with Lake Superior and Lake Winnipeg evident in the upper-right and upper-center portions of the image. Twenty-four west–east-oriented contrails associated with patchy cirrus and with clear air were identified over eastern portions of South Dakota and Nebraska and western portions of Minnesota and Iowa (right-hand side of center part of image). The long contrail oriented south-southwest to north-northeast and bisecting the other shorter contrails shows evidence of spreading, diffusion, and thinning.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bvay-0ZIYAAqciK.jpg

2014-08-19T17:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501776556004360192

@chliberty High flying, just far away. http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvarHlCIYAAETzL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvarHlCIYAAETzL.jpg
2014-08-19T16:13:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501763985520070656
@SzillisK Except they don't call them "chemtrails", because they are actually just contrails, which look cool close up. Nice video though!

2014-08-19T15:39:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501755245392056322
@BrianQuig2 @pkjmaf58
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-i-installed-chemtrail-devices-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvaXvIHIUAACuzO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvaXvIHIUAACuzO.jpg

2014-08-19T15:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501754085825998848

@speakeezie That's a very old photo of a high altitude hold. See here. http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2014-08-19T15:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501745703656239104

@speakeezie There's some more info on that video here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/question-about-contrailscience-com-kc-10-tanker-enemy-video.2761/

2014-08-19T14:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501743559397998592

Ansel Adams, Rails and Jet Trails, 1953. Fighter jet practicing high altitude maneuvers. Now people shout #chemtrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvaNG7LIYAAPu8K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvaNG7LIYAAPu8K.jpg

2014-08-19T04:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501583663184621568

@speakeezie That's because it's an aerodynamic contrail. Not an exhaust contrail (the more normal kind)

2014-08-19T00:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501530538805432321

@speakeezie It's just a KC-10 with aerodynamic contrails. It was a hoax.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-and-flap-track-fairings-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2014-08-19T00:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501530223087591424

@ofanewday Yeah, but there are LOADS of flights above 30,000 feet near Boston. It not like there's one flight path.

2014-08-19T00:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501523567431786496

@ofanewday You should get the FlightRadar24 app, then you could just point to see what they are. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvXFBtHIAAAjibg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvXFBtHIAAAjibg.jpg

2014-08-18T22:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501499744162574336

@ofanewday Many places. Lots of Europe <-> New York/Washington traffic over Boston. http://www.flightradar24.com/41.98,-71.72/7 e.g. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvWvW_8IgAA1wec.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvWvW_8IgAA1wec.jpg

2014-08-18T20:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501471006922268672

@chemtrail_aware That's a contrail with a shadow.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvWVORhIMAAQFUY.jpg

There's nothing new about such shadows. Here's some from 1955:

http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/rescue/mwr/088/mwr-088-05-0181.pdf



FIGURE 1.—Looking in a westerly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 11:58 a.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is located to the right of jet condensation trail.

FIGURE 2.—Looking in a west-northwesterly direction from the University of Kansas campus in Lawrence, Kans., at 12:02 p.m. (estimated) CST, on November 1, 1955. Shadow is to the right of the condensation trail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvWVORhIMAAQFUY.jpg

2014-08-18T18:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501430319128383488

@True_Solidarity @Furiousnurse I also have one with more people :)
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/

2014-08-18T18:05:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501429640896847872
@Furiousnurse @True_Solidarity And what evidence did these whistleblowers bring?

2014-08-18T18:01:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501428804120633345
@Furiousnurse @True_Solidarity What is debunking is the assertion that patent are evidence of existence. Are there houses on the moon?

2014-08-18T17:57:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501427604813905921
@True_Solidarity @Furiousnurse What do these patents mean, exactly?
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVtv8oIQAEEs2b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVtv8oIQAEEs2b.jpg
2014-08-18T16:05:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501399404935262208
@Frank6985 Looks like a regular aerodynamic contrail. Like this type of thing:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVUGf0IgAADAyB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVUGf0IgAADAyB.jpg

2014-08-18T15:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501395725410787328

@dystopia47 There are lots of real issue you should obsess over. I'm just trying to remove needless distractions so people focus on the real

---

2014-08-18T15:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501395009304670210

@dystopia47 My mind is open. Maybe you'd like to focus on one claim of evidence and explain why it is correct? Pick one.

2014-08-18T15:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501394696392818692

@dystopia47 All ages.

2014-08-18T15:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501394655552868355

@dystopia47 Begich? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nick-begich.237/

2014-08-18T15:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501394096611528705

@dystopia47 Lots of people DO remember it from their childhood. How do you explain that?

2014-08-18T15:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501393962259595266

@dystopia47 Have you seen my web site? http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-08-18T15:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501393838921879552

@dystopia47 Lots of people talk about all kinds of things, ghosts, bigfoot, angels, alien abductions. Does not make them real. Need evidence

---

2014-08-18T15:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501393615944314880

@dystopia47 But that's another false claim with no evidence. Nonsense like using urine sample strips badly in soil
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-claims-of-francis-mangels-a-factual-examination.154/

2014-08-18T15:40:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501393229720195072
@dystopia47 I've already seen them. I just wanted to know which you were referring to. None of them hold up to scrutiny.

2014-08-18T15:39:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501393069288075265
@HartReikiCURES @pensieripercaso (my pic there is of a 737 not 747, just illustrating the angle)

2014-08-18T15:39:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501392938971041793
@dystopia47 Begich is not a "high up", he's a homeopath with a fake degree who writes about HAARP. But he presents no evidence, which is key

---

2014-08-18T15:38:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501392675883339776
@dystopia47 It's great to be an activist. But you want to make sure you are not being distracted by false claims.

2014-08-18T15:37:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501392371406209024
@dystopia47 Quote what they said.

2014-08-18T15:36:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501392293090172929
@dystopia47 No, there are not. No senior people at all. Name one.

2014-08-18T15:36:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501392116442861569
@HartReikiCURES @pensieripercaso Looks like 747 flying level, away from the camera. See:
http://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVNeONIAAEooNp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVNeONIAAEooNp.jpg

2014-08-18T15:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501391332678459392

@dystopia47 No of flight paths? What are the flight paths near you at over 30,000 feet?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVMwm4IYAAgpd9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVMwm4IYAAgpd9.jpg

2014-08-18T15:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501391034601852929

@dystopia47 And so? Plane go from A to B, and from C to D. So they cross. And contrails sometimes spread, as seen by 70 years of science.

2014-08-18T15:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501390814631583744

@dystopia47 And I'd direct you to my rebuttal of those films, which I've watched a few times.

http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2014-08-18T15:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501390605847511041

@dystopia47 But people are claiming they can identify a "chemtrail" by sight. Can you?

2014-08-18T15:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501390482698563585

@dystopia47 I've studies them. HOC report is about FUTURE governance. Patents don't mean things being used.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-08-18T15:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501390024458240002

@dystopia47 I've really not seen any good evidence that trails some are not persistent contrails. Do you have an example?

2014-08-18T15:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501389682354049025

@dystopia47 Not all planes fly in straight lines. Depends what they are doing. Example: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-u-shaped-contrail-near-san-francisco-nasa502-survey-again.4179/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVLQkHIUAAvCl4.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVLQkHIUAAvCl4.png

2014-08-18T15:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501389283538653184

@Bishop_Danced @MIKE77901820 That's true, they sometimes do. Here's a 1970 paper on it. http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/1520-0469%281970%29027%3C0937%3AAOOCEO%3E2.0.CO%3B2 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVK5VOIMAAk1uF.jpg

---



Fig. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



Fig. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

Airborne Observations of Contrail Effects on the Thermal Radiation Budget

Peter M. Kuhn

Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences

Volume 27, Issue 6 (September 1970) pp. 937–942

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVK5VOIMAAk1uF.jpg

2014-08-18T15:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501388416630538240

@dystopia47 Well, the last 70 years of books on clouds certainly do. Have you checked any of them? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-18T15:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501387768920936448

@cascio Expect a few more then. You might see his van around.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVJhKkIUAA-X39.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvVJhKkIUAA-X39.jpg

2014-08-18T15:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501387314170322947

@cascio Was it from a Mr Max Bliss by any chance?

2014-08-18T15:13:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501386297269706753

@CoutureDonna Nobody pays me. However why don't you point out something I got wrong, and I'll correct it.

2014-08-18T03:12:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501205083774672896

@Bishop_Danced @MIKE77901820 Not according to 70 years of books on clouds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-18T03:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501202520971018240

@Chemtrails_TR @MIKE77901820 Debunked here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-18T02:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501191002250420225

@PicPedant @Fascinatingpics Apple's pic of NGC 3190 is fake too.

http://www.theverge.com/2012/3/26/2903508/apple-removes-galaxies-mountain-lion-wallpaper

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvSWj2fIQAA33rQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvSWj2fIQAA33rQ.jpg
2014-08-17T20:37:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/501105518866202624
@ImaBannedd Maybe this one:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wingtip-chemtrails-over-london-fuel-dump-new-jersey-2010.3385/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvRI0ELIIAAUe8B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvRI0ELIIAAUe8B.jpg

2014-08-17T20:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501104886176419840

@geoengineering1 Probably not the type of posts you'd want to retweet :)

2014-08-17T20:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501104345480318976

@ImaBannedd With this photo already? I thought it was new. I was being preemptive.

2014-08-17T20:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501103315640258560

@ImaBannedd Maybe sometimes, but there's a lot of misunderstanding going on in the chemtrail circles. And willful ignorance.

2014-08-17T20:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501102260504383488

Destined for #chemtrail misunderstanding RT @flightradar24: An Airbus A340-600 dumping fuel http://www.airliners.net/photo/Lufthansa/Airbus-A340-642/2489715/L/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvRDmseIEAAwUR2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvRDmseIEAAwUR2.jpg

2014-08-17T19:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501093524075331584

@CoutureDonna It's a towed target. Simulates smoke trail.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1975-u-s-patent-for-powder-contrail-generation.449/

2014-08-17T16:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501045125053571072

@CaliArtist04 And it's not unmanned, the UAVSAR pod is designed for unmanned operation, but NASA502 has pilots.

http://www.nasa.gov/centers/armstrong/news/FactSheets/FS-089-DFRC.html#.U_DZrLxdX_A

2014-08-17T14:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/501015669198946305

@CaliArtist04 The plane isn't being selective about making contrails. They just form where the air happens to be ice supersaturated.

2014-08-16T00:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500438064204771329

@4thAnon But it's not, is it. Is this an exercise in alternate realities? Solar variability has been measured. Minor factor in warming.

2014-08-15T19:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500358410513022976

@HeavenLeeOps @dorota51034797 The dot? That's an internal reflection of the sun in the camera lens.

2014-08-15T16:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500323458081759234

@christosdt @BadAstronomer If lots of people are asking questions then answers are always useful, regardless of how dumb the question seems.

2014-08-15T16:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500323042333970433

RT @Raydere: One of the bonus bosses in SMTIV is based on /contrail conspiracy theories./. http://megamitensei.wikia.com/wiki/Chemtrail

---

2014-08-15T16:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500319097993691136

@MrMikeCalta @Seanras They think I'm a government shill, paid to promote the "official story".

2014-08-15T16:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500318588293484545

@BadAstronomer You're welcome! Thank you.

2014-08-15T16:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500313628977811457

@BadAstronomer Dirt in camera: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-in-camera.4163/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvF4l-uIcAEb_27.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvF4l-uIcAEb_27.jpg

2014-08-15T16:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500312751638458370

@Seanras @MrMikeCalta @SeniorSpanish I retired from videogames. I did fairly well there. http://www.mobygames.com/developer/sheet/view/developerId,14618/

2014-08-15T16:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500312362272825346

RT @Golfcharlie232: Pilot's Glory, optical phenomenon than can only be seen when positioned between the sun and refracting water droplets hâ¦

2014-08-15T16:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500312340374355970

@TheJacoFiles I don't think there is any *spraying* geoengineering going on. Depends on your definition. there are things like biochar.

2014-08-14T21:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500028793143037952

@TheJacoFiles Send your friends at Boeing to http://Metabunk.org, I'd be interested in what they have to say. As for "8 streams", pics?

2014-08-14T20:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500023119923257345

@Seanras @MrMikeCalta @SeniorSpanish Not true! I'm a retired video game programmer. :) I don't debunk theories, just claims of evidence.

2014-08-14T20:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500020884627652608

@AlienHacker It was NASA502. Surveying stuff.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuWDHIcAAzddF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuWDHIcAAzddF.jpg

2014-08-14T20:46:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500020758341369856

@CaliArtist04 NASA502 Survey flight.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/u-shaped-contrail-near-san-francisco.4179/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuOrIIQAAfO-5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuOrIIQAAfO-5.jpg

2014-08-14T20:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500020580637085697

@dobbs_kevin NASA502 survey flight.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/u-shaped-contrail-near-san-francisco.4179/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuEVwIIAAWEWg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBuEVwIIAAWEWg.jpg

2014-08-14T20:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500020113907912704

@bricaul @flightradar24 Actually it's a NASA survey flight. NASA502

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/u-shaped-contrail-near-san-francisco.4179/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBtpMxCMAA_FSa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvBtpMxCMAA_FSa.jpg

2014-08-14T20:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500014692933197826

@TheJacoFiles No according to all the books on clouds for 70 years:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-14T20:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500014347255435265

@CaliArtist04 Where was this photo taken from?

2014-08-14T20:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500013638363525121

@bricaul It's a high altitude holding pattern. It's used to space traffic - either for entering airspace, or arrivals.

2014-08-14T20:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/500012296052420608

@dobbs_kevin Was this today? What time/location?

2014-08-14T19:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499997768551636992

@AtomicShroom @KGWNews No. Solved: "Alien Shadow" is dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T19:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499997716575825922

@NASAReport No. Solved: "Alien Shadow" is dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:15:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499997672690835456
@pdxnewsnow No. Solved: "Alien Shadow" is dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499997614733926401
@KGWNews No. Solved: "Alien Shadow" is dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @maindistinction @TooncheTwoTimes



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T19:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499996950968532992

@streicher187 Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:12:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499996888947367937
@ItsNot_Melvin Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:11:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499996849445429249
@paulroetzer Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T19:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499996771729145856

@bnjacobs Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:11:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499996705140396032
@lalai_oh @HuffPostWeird Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:11:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499996647900729344
@SkeptInquiry Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T19:10:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499996584398954496

@anon99percenter Solved: "Alien Shadow" Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @VenusManTrap22 @itheLOUniverse

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T19:10:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499996501192368128
@UberFacts @HuffingtonPost Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T16:38:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499958352118431744
Giant Jellyfish found on moon!
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-camera-or-scanner.4163/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvA1eJRIgAAK9Ly.jpg

View Apollo Image AS15-M-1152

Hide Image Details

Image Info:	
Image Name:	AS15-M-1152
Mission:	Apollo 15
Revolution (Orbit):	33
Camera:	Mapping/Metric
Exposure:	00:00:00.059
Camera Tilt:	VERT
Scan Pixel Scale:	6.784 meters
Start Time:	(DOY:213) 1971-08-01T11:48:18
Spacecraft Altitude:	100.63 km
Center Coordinates (lat, lon):	25.8°,-19.42°
Corner Coordinates:	28.35°,-22.59° 28.52°,-16.55° 23.26°,-16.44° 23.01°,-22.2°
Sun Elevation:	8.0°
Features:	LAMBERT,LAMBERT R
Film Type:	3400
Color:	black&white

Downloads:

Acceptable Use Policy

Original Raw Scan (1.2 GB)
16 bit Large Tiff (500.8 MB)
Large PNG (213 MB)
Medium PNG (13.2 MB)
Small PNG (825.7 KB)



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvA1eJRIgAAK9Ly.jpg

2014-08-14T15:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499945588503244801

@dolphincode Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T15:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499945474938261505

@Saconi12 Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:47:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499945431808237568
@ValeFan_Eca Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T15:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499945265676025858

@Retroporium @DJObi875 Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:46:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499945200861462532
@enigma_blog Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @deraysebastian @andy_ist



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499945112328097793
@madkentdragon Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @jurylady5



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T15:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499945050399199234

@ukghosthunting @MailOnline Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @AnneHenry58



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:45:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499944992933036032
@Grimesygrimes Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:45:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499944949865910273
@MSMUK Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg

2014-08-14T15:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499944875010179074

@GregHillWAAF Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg @ali_sturch @KendraBritt

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-14T15:44:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499944743535509504
Solved: "Alien Shadow" on the moon. Dirt on camera.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-alien-with-shadow-on-the-moon-debris-on-lens.4163/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BvApGBDIcAAz4wU.jpg
2014-08-13T04:30:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499412537692024834
@xxdesmus @RobertJFClarke @CloudFlare Server is a Linode in Fremont, California.

2014-08-13T04:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499411958391529473
@RobertJFClarke @xxdesmus @CloudFlare @CloudFlareSys Been intermittent since around 9PM PDT (~25 min ago)

2014-08-13T04:22:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499410700859502594
@RobertJFClarke @CloudFlare Maybe the BGP issue?
https://isc.sans.edu/forums/diary/Something+is+amiss+with+the+Interwebs+BGP+is+a+flapping+/18523

2014-08-13T04:20:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/499410022669905920
@RobertJFClarke @CloudFlare Cloudflare system-status is offline, ironically backed up by Cloudflare. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu5Cw6tCQAEgueT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu5Cw6tCQAEgueT.jpg

2014-08-13T04:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499408434970644480

@RobertJFClarke @CloudFlare Also seeing problems here. http://metabunk.org intermittent. Cloudflare panel broke http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu5BUzOCIAAj6pe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu5BUzOCIAAj6pe.jpg

2014-08-13T00:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499355696983330816

@4thAnon It just STARTS in 2015. More likely you'll just see more Two Factor Authentication. Which I just added to http://Metabunk.org

---

2014-08-12T21:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499307378345406464

@HartReikiCURES Lunar Corona. https://www.google.com/search?q=lunar+corona&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2014-08-12T21:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499300019032178688

@4thAnon @rawdawgbuffalo If they are, they are being a heck of a lot more discreet about it. It's not official policy.

2014-08-12T20:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499286797734526976

@rawdawgbuffalo @4thAnon Unfortunately what people want is not the news. It's entertainment.

2014-08-12T20:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499285628089946112

@4thAnon @rawdawgbuffalo There was certainly some truth to it at the time. 1973. 40 years ago.

2014-08-12T19:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499280736696799232

@4thAnon @rawdawgbuffalo Oops

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-cia-owns-everyone-of-any-significance-in-the-major-media.158/

2014-08-12T19:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499277789040619520

@Sophia_Moseley All the same. It just got lighter, the clouds moved, and the sun uprist.

2014-08-12T19:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499277126567092224

@Daniel_Loxton @Discovery's programming promotes both magical thinking and the "everything is fake" mentality. Sad.

2014-08-12T19:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499275852635336704

@rawdawgbuffalo @4thAnon Fake quote. See:

2014-08-12T19:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499275359792029696

@Sophia_Moseley @StefanLevesque I took several photos of this spectacular sunrise.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157646340935776/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu3ISwWIYAAv6eb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu3ISwWIYAAv6eb.jpg

2014-08-12T19:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499273106838396928

@Sophia_Moseley @StefanLevesque Those are Kelvin Helmholtz clouds. https://www.google.com/search?q=kelvin+helmholtz+venice&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2014-08-12T16:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499237164874346496

@oheisner @golddustmaker They are being blown from right to left. Notice the progression in thickness (age).

2014-08-12T16:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499236622190133248

@NiihPetrauskas @9GAG I think they have probably tweaked the contrast and saturation a little there.

2014-08-12T14:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/499194409783554048

Sunrise over Venice, CA, about an hour ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu1-q30IYAEgcMi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bu1-q30IYAEgcMi.jpg
2014-08-11T15:31:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/498854172398075905
@TaNeaOnline Commercial. Trails were added contrast rail with air travel https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/

2014-08-11T15:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/498854071365685248
@darlene1980 Commercial. Trails were added contrast rail with air travel https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/ @astruwe

---

2014-08-11T15:30:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/498853994265968641
@commonpatriot Commercial. Trails were added contrast rail with air travel https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/ @CampPatriot @TerinaBama

---

2014-08-11T15:30:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/498853927396204544
@BillPeriman Commercial. Trails were added contrast rail with air travel https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-modern-advertisement.2545/ @ANIPAL_

2014-08-11T15:30:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/498853872887029760
@luchadora41 @DCClothesline Commercial. Trails were added contrast rail with air travel https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrails-inserted-into-films-footage-reused-in-

modern-advertisement.2545/ @AveGratiaPlena @Bob_ME

2014-08-11T00:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/498630295294181376

Two unrelated Malcolm Gladwell tweets on my feed within seconds? Coincidence or conspiracy! @jasonpinter @mpigliucci http://pbs.twimg.com/media/But9nHmCAAAdOnZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/But9nHmCAAAdOnZ.jpg

2014-08-10T15:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/498493705565663232

@AlouisWeishaupt That is from a different crash.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-tube-in-mh-17-wreckage-9m111-anti-tank-rocket-from-old-il-76-footage.4014/

2014-08-08T01:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497548802857779200

@4thAnon Yeah, pretty ridiculous eh? Even uses this 1974 NASA photo, and fakes it up as a "chemplane". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Buel_8NIMAEGOFP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Buel_8NIMAEGOFP.jpg

2014-08-08T01:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497548268612497408

@nick4630 I'm planning on writing on, on debunking.

2014-08-08T01:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497548148890292224

@jayjensix @nick4630 @ScotChemtrails Shadows can look like clear areas. But might be a disrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuelZ4eIUAAq4wy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuelZ4eIUAAq4wy.jpg

2014-08-08T00:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497540318615465984

@jayjensix @nick4630 @ScotChemtrails volumetric shadow viewed edge on. Has to be lined up with sun. See here http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2014-08-08T00:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497535839442714625

@4thAnon Hmm, climate extremes. What does it mean? This after the hottest summer for 100 years.

http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2013/mar/01/australia-record-breaking-hottest-summer

---

2014-08-07T23:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497530844353789952

Nice contrail shadows RT @ScotChemtrails: 05 August 2014 - East Kilbride (3)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BueMBldCMAAE4qs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BueMBsRCAAAX_ud.jpg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BueMBldCMAAE4qs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BueMBQnCIAI8UNn.jpg

2014-08-07T23:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497530620788998146

@trutherbot But there's no evidence anyone is actually doing "stratosphere geo-engineering'

2014-08-07T13:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497378131808768000

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian 20%? Your link says "400 parts per million by mass", that's 0.04% fuel. Lower in exhaust.

2014-08-07T05:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497246015926185984

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @The1stStarr @Cynwisian In what quantities? (and keep in mind, air is 80% nitrogen)

2014-08-07T04:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497235112744861696

@nine11inreverse There seems to be a net warming effect. It's not entirely clear though.

2014-08-07T01:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497188101928665088

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian It's the air up there that's the issue. Not the air on the ground.

2014-08-07T01:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497186782778097664

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian See here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of

-books-on-clouds.3201/

2014-08-07T00:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497184632249393152

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian persistent contrails have been in books over 70 years.

2014-08-07T00:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497184368654180352

@TheDanniChrist @The1stStarr @Cynwisian One of those patents is for a photocopier toner level indicator.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-08-07T00:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497177320210182144

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian 1921

http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuZUI0yIQAALvLN.jpg

The earliest report of both a persistent spreading contrail, with gaps, and a distrail comes very shortly after, in 1921, in the *US. Air Service Newsletter.*



> An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field recently by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty [engine]. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000-27,000 feet at 11:50 a.m., a **long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety**, well defined at the edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. **Then a gap of one-quarter mile**. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for **the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud**. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. **After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible.**

Both these accounts were reprinted in the June **1921** *Monthly Weather Review*, in the article The Argonne Battle Cloud, which also includes an account from Captain W. H. Nead, that includes an account of iridescence in the trails:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuZUI0yIQAALvLN.jpg

2014-08-07T00:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497171047204929536

@NECincyDarryl To get to the other side.

2014-08-07T00:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497170385075331072

#jref forums are down. An ideal time to check out http://metabunk.org/forums :)

2014-08-06T23:54:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497168968310738944
@TKrypt @dawnsaffron @jdmeac Explained:
http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2014-08-06T23:39:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497165013878988800
@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian Hard to judge altitude of planes when they are different sizes.
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-08-06T23:38:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497164808198696960
@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian Seem unlikely, but how can you tel it's not 35,000 feet?

2014-08-06T23:31:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497163091977584640
@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian What the temp at the altitude you see trails?

2014-08-06T23:17:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497159563196395520
@dawnsaffron @jdmeac @TKrypt How does condensation work in the air?

2014-08-06T23:16:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497159363056787456
@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian The books mention it. It's ancient science, back from the 1920s

---

2014-08-06T23:15:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497159142394433536
@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian What's the temperature and humidity at 40,000 feet?

2014-08-06T19:11:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497097630313242626
@Freizeit_Tiger Can't repeat. Maybe discuss here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bugs-and-suggestions-for-metabunk-org.1959/ ?

2014-08-06T17:02:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497065296989925376
@TheDanniChrist @the1stStarr @Cynwisian @KaosFact The soot is not needed, as there are CNN everywhere. There's just more soot.

2014-08-06T17:01:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/497064823222304769
@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @the1stStarr @Cynwisian Not according to all the books on clouds for 70 years, they don't. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-06T12:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/497001366032625664

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @The1stStarr @Cynwisian Soot is <0.01% of exhaust, and metal practically nonexistent. Exhaust is basically H2O+CO2

2014-08-06T04:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496872626556370947

@Cynwisian @TheDanniChrist @the1stStarr @KaosFact The fact they form 22Â° halos, and can grow 100x in density proves they are made of ice.

2014-08-05T19:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496745455879864320

@Hsaive Are you claiming that someone at Metabunk is faking photos? Can you back that up?

2014-08-05T18:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496720612258091008

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact The engine exhaust is there regardless. The contrail is IN ADDITION to the engine exhaust. It's triggered by it.

2014-08-05T15:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496676108310298624

@TheDanniChrist @the1stStarr @Cynwisian @KaosFact Contrails ARE water (ice). If the contrail did not form, the exhaust is exactly the same.

2014-08-04T20:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496399956899405824

@MickeyDangerez Metabunk isn't interested in ancient texts. But it's well covered here: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Barnabas

---

2014-08-04T20:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496398999692140546

@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian That's like saying sidewalk gum is unexplained if you didn't see someone spit it out.

2014-08-04T20:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496397086993035264

@the1stStarr @TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian How are they unexplained? See 1972: https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuOOhRmIMAA4rbl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BuOOhRmIMAA4rbl.jpg

2014-08-04T18:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496365974149296128

@TheDanniChrist @KaosFact @Cynwisian @the1stStarr Could you give an example of an unexplained anomaly?

2014-08-03T22:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/496057887513272320

@giboneysteve Debunk what? Planes are at various altitudes. Atmospheric conditions vary.

2014-08-02T06:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495450686331437056

@Malactha @ALAtheist @TakeThatEarth @WhatTheFFacts THe last 70 years of books on clouds are a good start. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-02T06:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495450395334828032

@littlesteve @Tricky_Roma @WhatTheFFacts @_dirkus Condensation trails that persist usually come from the engines. Exhaust triggers cloud.

2014-08-02T06:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495450181303689217

@4thAnon Has a quick skim of his site. Seems like a bunch of fluff. Short opinion pieces.

2014-08-01T15:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495236852404592642

@Womble67 It varies. http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ This video is a good start: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-08-01T04:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495059363627671552

@4thAnon It just seems bizarre that you somehow think Moscow is less corrupt than Washington.

2014-08-01T02:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495027756426481664

@4thAnon Think about it.

2014-08-01T01:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495025007043424256

@4thAnon Have you ever considered y'all are becoming a "useful idiot"? http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Useful_idiot

---

2014-08-01T01:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/495017126185279488

@4thAnon You presented a story as if it meant something, but it's just some guy on the internet giving an opinion. Not news.

2014-07-31T23:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494986435326275584

@4thAnon say the internet .....

2014-07-31T22:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494970299931447297

@RisingSign Or maybe the brown spruce longhorn beetle, from below.

2014-07-31T21:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494956242742177793

@SkyderALERT Do you provide a guide on how to distinguish chemtrails from persistent contrails?

2014-07-31T16:14:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494878814829158400

@_WeAreAware_ That's exactly what it looks like. YOu should compare it with some old clouds books. e.g. 1972 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bt4pqL1IgAEmYLp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bt4pqL1IgAEmYLp.jpg

2014-07-31T15:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494867410004889600

@4thAnon Try extending to a Month, you'll get more to your taste: https://www.google.com/search?q=media+lies&tbm=nws&tbs=qdr:m

2014-07-31T15:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494867285475999744

@4thAnon So you must have an actual reason for hiding then?

2014-07-31T15:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494866098152423424

@4thAnon So who are they?

2014-07-31T15:23:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494865917344354304

@4thAnon You are searching the media for "media lies". Regardless of how much the media lies, the result will be the same.

2014-07-31T15:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494865548555980800

@4thAnon I'd expect few results in a 24 hr news search as "" is restrictive.

2014-07-31T15:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494864890415165440

@4thAnon You did a 24 hr news search, so topical stories show up. Only 4/6 were about Russia.

2014-07-31T14:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494855476551503872

@4thAnon What results should it return? And you know you missed off a "no" in the URL there.

2014-07-31T14:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494853730089463811

@4thAnon I support it. I just wonder why you choose it. What does it gain you?

2014-07-31T13:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494839504901926912

@WorldTruthTV THis must be some strange new use of the terms "100%" and "Proof" :)

2014-07-31T13:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494838682566656000

@4thAnon Why exactly are you anonymous?

2014-07-31T13:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494838605257252865

@4thAnon It's like you don't actually care about facts, just trying push a POV.

2014-07-31T13:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494838303468691457

@trutherbot Old information, debunked years ago.

2014-07-31T13:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494838135671386113

@4thAnon This Putin worship is making you look silly.

2014-07-31T13:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494836484445175810

RT @NewYorker: .@BorowitzReport: Furious at Being Called Crazies, Republicans Sue President http://nyr.kr/1m0QJQN

2014-07-31T04:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494696997056569346

@AlbertarocksTA @ConspiracyWATCH You can't simply assert something is wrong. Don't you want to convince anyone? What evidence would you use?

2014-07-31T03:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494693860119826432

@ConspiracyWATCH clue me in. Where did I err? Can contrails persist?

2014-07-31T03:58:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494693681069174786

@ExposeTheNews neither can do anything other than move where the wind takes them.

2014-07-31T02:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494663779729166336

@ConspiracyWATCH Or a huge waste of time, people just sending in endless photos of persistent contrails. How does that help anything?

2014-07-31T01:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494663506843541504

@ExposeTheNews And the jet stream only covers a small portion of the sky. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jet_stream http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bt1l1nfIYAE-XYX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bt1l1nfIYAE-XYX.jpg

2014-07-31T01:58:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494663246876405760

@ExposeTheNews What do you mean? Clouds are clouds, they all travel the same (unless they are dropping out the sky) .

2014-07-31T00:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494646921705713666

@ExposeTheNews Clouds can linger for hours, so why not trails? They are just a type of cloud.

2014-07-31T00:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494637325045870595

@ExposeTheNews some planes always fly at temp that is right. It's humidity that's the variable. It varies like clouds do.

2014-07-31T00:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494634035662958592

@ExposeTheNews What did it get wrong?

2014-07-30T22:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494613260746756096

@spannishchicken You are not mad. You are simply mistaken about the persistence of contrails. Look it up.

2014-07-30T22:22:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494609101012209665

@spannishchicken But apparently I said nothing wrong. Just a twat with facts. :)

2014-07-30T22:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494603495127797760

@spannishchicken If I was a troll, you would be able to point out something I got wrong. Can contrais persist?

2014-07-30T18:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494555247679770624

@iamdave @linode All good now. I just needed to get to LISH.

2014-07-30T18:33:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494551247647563777

@iamdave @linode http://linode.com is down for me, right in the middle of an upgrade.

2014-07-30T13:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494481580740468736

@spannishchicken Why not? That's what the books on clouds say it is. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-30T05:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494360304734593024

@DJSlugsworth @tattoosandbones @HartReikiCURES @IllumiKnighted @selinasorrels @JoeMomasNuts No secret. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/about-metabunk.1966/

2014-07-30T05:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494351798631542784

@rage_A Given the incredibly large number of holes in the region, I'd say it's naturally. Perhaps sped up by thaw.

2014-07-29T21:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494240865519276033

@gorskon @djgrothe @badastronomer @HealthRanger Ideally you'd SHOW he was a dangerous loon. Name calling alone will backfire.

2014-07-29T21:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494235760019513344

@gorskon @djgrothe @badastronomer But you can do that without being a dick. It's a tricky thing, some people are very reactive.

2014-07-29T21:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494231503648215040

@gorskon @djgrothe @badastronomer Borderline believers see you being a dick to the true believer, and they take it personally. So donâ□□t.

2014-07-29T20:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494224059991736324

@pazzalou Seriously though. Why do you think contrails can't persist? Have you looked it up?

2014-07-29T20:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494212394868944896

@VtFreePress @pazzalou @RisingSign I know what people SAY a chemtrail is. But it looks just like a contrail. What did I get wrong?

2014-07-29T19:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494208867912265728

@VtFreePress @pazzalou @RisingSign Feel free to back that up by pointing out something I got wrong.

2014-07-29T18:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494192734240907264

@RisingSign Have you asked an expert about the trees?

2014-07-29T18:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494192579609505792

@RisingSign What do the arborists and foresters say about the trees?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/jmmartin/14778847925

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btu5iCkIIAAtMeg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btu5iCkIIAAtMeg.jpg

2014-07-29T18:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494190743917826048

@RisingSign A few sick trees is not evidence of spraying at 30,000 feet. Perhaps you should look closer for the source of you sick trees?

2014-07-29T16:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/494152599830921216

@4thAnon @TruthIzSexy @BBCNews @Falkvinge Photoshopped from a 2012 fake news story. http://www.thepoke.co.uk/2011/08/17/bbc-sign-language-interpreter-sacked-for-changing-the-news/

2014-07-29T05:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493996255815561216

@CannabisWTF I appreciate your consideration. Again I'm saying bad things don't happen. Just we need to examine evidence. Reject bunk.

2014-07-29T05:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493995792328171521

@CannabisWTF I'm not trying to prove chemtrails don't exist. I'm just pointing out the lack of evidence they exist.

2014-07-29T05:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493995660949983232

@CannabisWTF I was just addressing the claim that long persisting trails are not contrails. Clearly they might be. Even probably are.

2014-07-29T05:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493993098565783552

@CannabisWTF That's a short contrail, which is different to a long contrail, or a long spreading

contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-29T05:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493991334114385920

@4thAnon @GreeGreece @jurylady5 You still think it's real? With video game graphics?

2014-07-29T05:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989498607247360

@anoncdn Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @Anon_Force

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:20:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989438045708290

@TruthExcavator Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @tricodefarge @BiffMcM @oru52



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989381426802688

@Anon_Force @anoncdn @gabarotus SMissile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @AnonOfDeath

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989239143415808

@hesika Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @FrankfurtFinanz

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989184172859392

@BenFiori Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:18:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493989040438267904

@DJSiri @onlyonewowwow Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @youarescrewed @Oyekuise

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493988921861107712

@GreeGreece Missile video is fake, from a video game.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch-fake.4025/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg @jurylady5



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493988653555666944

@Freizeit_Tiger Better pic with another region highlighted.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtsAD9gIAAEj_Zp.jpg

2014-07-29T05:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493985505248174080

"Conspiratorial explanations are powerful at least in part because, on rare occasions, they can turn out to be true"

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/eliot-borenstein/why-conspiracy-theories_b_5626149.html

---

2014-07-29T03:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493968026748723200

RT @Freizeit_Tiger: #MH17: Supposed russian satellite video of missile made with a PC-game

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh17-supposed-satellite-video-of-missile-launch.4025/#post-118529 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtrtTTaIgAAxFlF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtrtTTaIgAAxFlF.jpg

2014-07-28T03:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493599920318738432

@Cecalli_Helper @Chemtrails_818 I'm simply pointing out all the books on clouds for 70 years. Are they not a source of research?

2014-07-28T00:00:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493546564632068097

@Chemtrails_818 @Cecalli_Helper Who needs credentials when you have every book on clouds for the last 70 years agreeing with you.

2014-07-27T18:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493458937845260289

@Cecalli_Helper Thanks! Let me know if you find anything I missed, or was wrong about, and I'll try to fix it.

2014-07-27T18:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493458774921728004

@Cecalli_Helper Contrail persist when the air is ice-supersaturated. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2014-07-27T17:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493446573297127424

@Cecalli_Helper Contrails become clouds. This is known science for 70 years. See the video of books. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-27T17:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493444818874277890

@Cecalli_Helper For example. Some people claim contrails should not persist. This is demonstrably false. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-27T17:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493444662657417216

@Cecalli_Helper I have done all those things. I have found the believers have no actual evidence the trails are not contrails.

2014-07-27T17:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493444386101796865

@Cecalli_Helper Blaylock is not credible, and he presents zero evidence, just his opinion.

2014-07-27T17:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493444106568220672

@Cecalli_Helper Some people believing in chemtrails is not evidence they exist. People believe in all kinds of things. What the evidence?

2014-07-27T16:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493434610269683712

@Cecalli_Helper What evidence is there that the patents are being used?

2014-07-27T16:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493430124637745153

@Cecalli_Helper Yes I have. I wrote an article about that here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-07-27T16:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493429981096079360

@Cecalli_Helper Look it up. Weather mod is cloud seeding done to existing clouds to make them rain more. openly done since the 1950s

---

2014-07-27T16:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493428833110867970

@martinhume @russtanner Where on earth did you get that idea? High bypass engines produce MORE contrails, as they have cooler exhaust.

2014-07-27T16:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493428579208675328

@Cecalli_Helper No. They list "weather modification" (cloud seeding) as a potential use of a plane they don't even make any more. That's it.

2014-07-27T04:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493246811344076800

@stevenandre1967 Aerodynamic contrail. Like: http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BthdXFEIYAAjKeL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BthdXFEIYAAjKeL.jpg

2014-07-26T20:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493134324934250499

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol That's just the same story. Where is the "data"?

2014-07-26T20:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493134036613603328

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol There's no data there. Just conflicting claims.

2014-07-26T20:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493132780486656002

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol Which data? Radar data shows the three commercial jets, then falling debris.

2014-07-26T20:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493132473933369344

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol And this what? You linked me to my own site. Debunked the "C".

2014-07-26T20:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493132248820891650

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol Perhaps the Russians and/or the separatists want to avoid responsibility?

2014-07-26T20:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493132006398513152

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol Chaff streamers? Those are rolls of toilet paper.

2014-07-26T20:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493131647898759171

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol The plane broke apart in mid air. Large parts did not burn. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btf0nruIEAAH8-q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btf0nruIEAAH8-q.jpg

2014-07-26T20:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493131113670270976

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol No, one thing went wrong. Someone fired a missile at a commercial jet.

2014-07-26T20:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493130931398406145

@HeksjeNicky @megasupernieuws @Matthijs85 Have you seen the wreckage? Lots unburnt.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/jeroenakkermans/sets/72157645853477595/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btfz9-SIYAALqQ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btfz9-SIYAALqQ1.jpg

2014-07-26T20:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493129681684529153

@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive @eurocontrol ATC let many planes fly there. In hindsight it was a bad decision. That's all.

2014-07-26T18:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493100908075446273

@ETsAndUFOs What information don't people have access to now?

2014-07-26T18:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493094786035773441

@RealPamelaSeley @O_Files Sorry I meant "possibly the cirrus formed FROM contrails". Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtfTGDxIEAEh0oc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtfTGDxIEAEh0oc.jpg

2014-07-26T17:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493090956170653698

@O_Files Depends what you mean by "normal". That's a contrail, and some cirrus clouds. Possibly the cirrus formed contrails.

2014-07-26T17:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/493089633496862720
@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive Obviously it was not a safe region. But at the time commercial air traffic there was fairly normal.

2014-07-26T17:43:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/493089116968329216
@davebrooker322 It's always cold enough at some altitude. What's the temp at 35,000 feet above you right now? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtfN8ElCIAAmPzc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtfN8ElCIAAmPzc.jpg
2014-07-26T17:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/493078941691691008
@HeksjeNicky @davebrooker322 @Hsaive Hindsight.

2014-07-26T14:34:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/493041650285809665
@davebrooker322 Your three photos are not evidence of "chemtrails" either. Aerodynamic contrail. Solar Ice Halo. Ripple clouds.

2014-07-26T14:32:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/493041065746644993
@davebrooker322 Tip: drag the image into Google Image Search, and it will tell you what it is. http://images.google.com/

2014-07-26T14:30:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/493040771398791169
@marduk_aza @davebrooker322 It's a US Forest Service "MAFFS" fire fighting plane. Someone remove the logo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bteh99TIgAAQYbS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bteh99TIgAAQYbS.jpg

2014-07-26T04:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492893201536020480

@Bezzo0 @googlechrome I'm pretty much going to Safari or Firefox at this point. Chrome used to be good.

2014-07-26T04:40:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492892209310494720

@KimDotcom Richard Pearse Airport. North of Timaru. South Island. NZ.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btca2hkCQAAWtUV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Btca2hkCQAAWtUV.jpg

2014-07-26T04:26:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492888634131877888

@GoogleChrome Why does OSX Chrome get worse and worse? Please Stop working on features. We don't want them. Fix bugs and performance.

2014-07-26T04:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492887063176294400

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer @rezn8d I know. He's also @climateviewer, the "Jim?" was aimed at him.

2014-07-26T04:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492886486035869698

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer Sure. Jim?

2014-07-26T04:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492885713176645632

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer That's like castigating a UFO debunker because he does not talk about police helicopters making lots of noise.

2014-07-26T04:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492885527394123776

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer My message is that there's no evidence of "chemtrails". The negative effects of contrails are another issue.

2014-07-26T04:13:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492885384062201856

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer The video has a lot of bogus science. Like saying aviation exhaust water is a pollutant. It's not.

2014-07-26T04:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492885122283094016

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer Jim Lee does not believe in Chemtrails. Madison does. It's unclear why they are on the same "side" here.

2014-07-26T04:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492884800017944576

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer But this is just trying to "rebrand" the chemtrail theory as concern about contrails. It's a confused message.

2014-07-26T04:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492884552495271936

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer I'd certainly go with a move towards actual issues like air pollution or contrail effects on climate

---

2014-07-26T03:44:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492878123298344960

@2ndfor1st @climateviewer I've listened to it. What bits did you think were useful?

2014-07-25T23:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492821580255223808

RT @SkepticZone: To @BillGates - Would you consider tweeting my not for profit doco on

importance of vaccination? http://youtu.be/mTprFOmIjIg

2014-07-25T23:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492821209889767424

@Freizeit_Tiger mis-replying there. But actually Canada. Fungus and beetles are two prime candidates.

2014-07-25T23:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492818741202141184

@RisingSign Can you explain, with references, why you think they are not contrails?

2014-07-25T23:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492815798390366208

@RisingSign Tell me one thing I said that is wrong, and I will correct it.

2014-07-25T23:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492815675165900800

@RisingSign Bottom right photo shows ship trails. A well understood phenomena observed for decades.

2014-07-25T23:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492810548401033216

What do the local foresters say is responsible for the tree death?

2014-07-25T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492810355991527425

@RisingSign You understand there are very real problems facing the forests, right? Pine beetles, fungus, etc? These are real issues.

2014-07-25T23:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492810177410650112

@RisingSign Nobody is paying me anything.

2014-07-25T23:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492810034300977153

@RisingSign I'm not stopping you from taking action. Take it. Just make sure you get your facts straight, or you will be wasting your energy

---

2014-07-25T23:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492809856273772544

@RisingSign Hey, I'd be happy if you focus on the oceans. That's a real problem. Tree deaths are a real problem. But it isn't geoengineering

---

2014-07-25T23:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492809638132203520

@RisingSign UV of 11 is not that unusual. Wigington's figures are way off.

2014-07-25T23:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492808315189993472

Popular photo used as evidence of "Chemtrails" is actually a NASA turbulence test from 1974 http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Gallery/Photo/B-747/HTML/ECN-4242.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtbOjOWIEAA2030.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtbOjOWIEAA2030.jpg

2014-07-25T23:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492807882371383298

@RisingSign Sure, trees are dying. Ask an arborist why they are dying. Lots of reasons.

2014-07-25T23:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492807695951351808

@RisingSign I've watched it before. Why do you think they used this old NASA photo?

http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Gallery/Photo/B-747/HTML/ECN-4242.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtbN_LcIQAAPKf0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtbN_LcIQAAPKf0.jpg

2014-07-25T23:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492806756808933376

@RisingSign I've watched it before. Why do you think they use a NASA photo of old vortex tests as a cover?

2014-07-25T22:09:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492793715916693504

@RisingSign Yet provides no evidence. He was simply repeating stuff he read on the internet.

2014-07-25T22:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492793577424965633

@RisingSign Could be any of a large number things. Ask an arborist.

2014-07-25T20:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492767687404044289

@RisingSign Why geoengineering? I'm not sure what your point is here.

2014-07-25T20:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492767550044794880

@4thAnon Moscow releases what suits them.

2014-07-25T20:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492766920282632192

@RisingSign Mountain pine beetle? Swiss needle cast? Dothistroma? Low snowpack?

http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/eij/article/fever_in_the_forests/

2014-07-25T16:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492707463997562882

@4thAnon But it's not JUST based on that. They are unfortunately not releasing the classified info.

2014-07-25T02:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492489899900030976

@4thAnon If there is no radar contact, and they are not talking on the radio, then a plane can crash without anyone knowing.

2014-07-25T02:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492489532760002560

@4thAnon Or ditched in the sea. Or just crashed. What are they in contact with? Anyway, found, weather:
http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2014/07/24/algerian-plane-disappears/13084329/

2014-07-25T01:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492488822572077057

@4thAnon No. How are they supposed to maintain contact with a plane that has flown into the side of a mountain?

2014-07-25T01:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492481952469901312

@4thAnon That's the biggest "argument from incredulity" I've seen for a while. Utterly non-factual.

2014-07-24T22:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492435175226482688

@ramez I have a "politeness policy" for that very issue.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/politeness-policy.1224/

2014-07-24T22:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492434683188502528

@clearlyess Needs the right weather. Planes are still there..

2014-07-24T21:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492424972032159744

@ramez Then focus on answering their questions. The debunking will arise naturally.

2014-07-24T21:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492423790970671104

@ramez Those two things don't see at all exclusive to me. By answering questions you will debunk the bunk.

2014-07-24T18:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492382504796438529

@MichaelSkolnik Or not.
http://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/mariela-castro-blasts-media-over-reports-she-was-air-alge

rie-n164111

2014-07-24T18:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492382335481180160

RT @NBCNews: Mariela Castro blasts media outlets that reported she was on Flight #AH5017 http://nbcnews.to/1AckDLw http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtVKVuBCEAAinNh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtVKVuBCEAAinNh.jpg

2014-07-24T18:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492377213660971008

@lphanlon84 @veteranstoday @AJ_amyjoydonut @MayorGalvan713 People with question, but ignoring answers. http://sandyhookanalysis.blogspot.com/2014/03/wolfgang-halbigs-16-questions-asked-and.html (and others)

2014-07-24T18:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492376822944776192

@lphanlon84 @veteranstoday @AJ_amyjoydonut @MayorGalvan713 But no evidence. Halbig seems to be just seeking self publicity.

2014-07-24T18:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492370026175807488

@lphanlon84 @veteranstoday @AJ_amyjoydonut @MayorGalvan713 I've looked at Halbig https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-united-way-sandy-hook-donations-refuses-foia-requests.3639/

2014-07-24T15:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492323721785257984

@lphanlon84 @veteranstoday @AJ_amyjoydonut @MayorGalvan713 Pick one.

2014-07-24T15:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492323520513179648

@nickmyer @TakeThatEarth Why do you think it's dubious? It's just physics. Light, haze, shadow, geometry.

2014-07-24T15:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492323323791962113

@RisingSign Trees always die. That's what they do. Look around anywhere and you can find dead trees.

2014-07-24T06:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492195343631540224

@muster_buster @Petegansbuehler @nobodynose @HartReikiCURES You just said "gov admits it" - but that's incorrect.

2014-07-24T06:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492194819607773185

@muster_buster @Petegansbuehler @nobodynose @HartReikiCURES Weather modification is just cloud seeding. Been done since 1950s. Look it up.

2014-07-24T06:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492193132713222145

@muster_buster @Petegansbuehler @nobodynose @HartReikiCURES Where is it admitted? Are you confusing it with Weather Modification?

2014-07-24T06:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492191501040881666

@DJSiri @onlyonewowwow That "smoking gun" math was way off. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989

2014-07-24T06:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492191448704380929

@azureblade7 That "smoking gun" math was way off. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989

2014-07-24T06:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492191380676939776

@BrianGPowell That "smoking gun" math was way off. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989 @blazeart_

2014-07-24T06:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492191316369895424

@ActivistPost That "smoking gun" math was way off. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989 @YoshuaTalmid @TLibertydefined

---

2014-07-24T06:15:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492191264838660096
@veteranstoday That "smoking gun" math was way off.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989 @AJ_amyjoydonut @MayorGalvan713 @Iphanlon84

2014-07-24T06:15:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492191197708840960
@cinnamon_carter That "smoking gun" math was way off.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989

2014-07-24T06:01:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492187864638038017
@4thAnon Sandy Hook "Smoking Gun" Debunked here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-james-fetzer-claims-photo-is-smoking-gun-proof-of-a-drill.4007/#post-117989

2014-07-24T04:59:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492172266981957632
@TakeThatEarth @nickmyer Edge shadow.
http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtSMEYNCEAAsRWr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtSMEYNCEAAsRWr.jpg
2014-07-24T00:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492102569020256256
Partially obscured D creates #MH17 confusion
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRMrYkCcAAMX5c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRMrYkCcAAMX5c.jpg
2014-07-24T00:20:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492101959323623424
@avocadogreta @ScottGrovesCO @KaySteeger No, where's that?

2014-07-23T23:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492096475321491456
@DailyTravelTips It's D.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/#post-117902
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRHIotCUAEmvrN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRHIotCUAEmvrN.jpg
2014-07-23T23:58:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492096371281768448
@avocadogreta @ScottGrovesCO @KaySteeger No, that's a D. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/#post-117902
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRHCqXCcAApwIo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRHCqXCcAApwIo.jpg
2014-07-23T23:57:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492096145854722049
@Alex38200 That's a D. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/#post-117902
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRG1izCYAAEBK8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRG1izCYAAEBK8.jpg
2014-07-23T23:57:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/492096074098552832
@Food4Culture It's a D. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/#post-117902
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRGxVYCAAAYZDB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRGxVYCAAAYZDB.jpg

2014-07-23T23:56:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/492095848281829376

@bitreet It's a D. Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mh-17-was-9m-mrd-so-why-are-there-photos-of-9m-mrc-d-partially-obscured.4006/#post-117902

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRGj3OCMAA7ps9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtRGj3OCMAA7ps9.jpg

2014-07-22T22:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491706100635291649

@johnnyaiken @davidicke Come on what? Didn't you see the debris field? Bodies were falling out of the sky.

2014-07-22T21:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491690128620806144

@davidicke You are being disingenuous David. Plenty of luggage was unscathed, so why not some passports?

2014-07-22T18:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491649429863006210

@LADWP Thank you very much, it was making me nervous :)

2014-07-22T17:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491643639366299651

@LADWP Speaking of Mylar Balloons, I've reported this one twice. Been flapping up there for several days. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtKrSKvCIAAH9Kj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtKrSKvCIAAH9Kj.jpg
2014-07-22T17:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491637779650969600
@Akalanka_ @ScienceChannel
http://www.sciencecompany.com/How-To-Make-Instant-Hot-Ice-W176C2698.aspx

---

2014-07-22T17:32:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491636852906921985
@ScienceChannel Why not try it at home? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3P0IiQtnGcA

2014-07-22T15:54:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491612259148263425
@jilleduffy There are a few just for children.
https://www.google.com/search?q=oximeter+for+children&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2014-07-22T15:52:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491611782138449921
@4thAnon I think it's more his characterization of belief in hell as being worse than that abuse people are upset about.

2014-07-22T14:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491597498872385536
@4thAnon @Chemtrails_818 All science and math? What does that even mean?

2014-07-22T14:53:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491596928266686465
@Chemtrails_818 @4thAnon You think congress faked a list of historical air disasters? That makes zero sense.

2014-07-22T06:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491472586102931457

@4thAnon So Putin is against the NWO then?

2014-07-22T06:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491470326098702336

@4thAnon Seem rather odd - just believing "the other side" by default. Surely you'd go by actual evidence?

2014-07-22T06:29:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491470139758346240

@4thAnon I know who I am - someone who checks the evidence.

2014-07-22T06:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491465594600841216

@4thAnon Denial of what? My NWO fandom? How would that even work?

2014-07-22T06:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491465221429411841

@4thAnon I'm not.

2014-07-22T06:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491464222555897856

@4thAnon Why are you such a big fan of Russia? You think oligarchy is a good form of government?

2014-07-22T06:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491463781453545472

@4thAnon You seem predisposed to see false flags in everything.

2014-07-22T06:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491463407183200257

RT @RenewablFuel: Geoengineering - Insanity? All the More Reason to Discuss It http://dlvr.it/6Nspgq

---

2014-07-22T05:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491451856334241793

@4thAnon Northwoods did not involved killing people, just staged events. And even that was quickly rejected as a terrible idea.

2014-07-22T05:13:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491450906714451968

@WearKilluminati Yeah, with hindsight it was not a good idea. But there's no evidence of malice here.

2014-07-22T05:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491450721846296576

@4thAnon They have Buks in Syria? Stinger is not a treat.

2014-07-22T05:08:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491449633881587712

@4thAnon Looking at the list, it's not at all surprising such a coincidence will happen every so often.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_accidents_and_incidents_involving_commercial_aircraft

---

2014-07-22T05:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491448757049774082

@WearKilluminati 33K was not an unusual altitude, that's all you need to know.

2014-07-22T05:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491448430909067264

@WearKilluminati But 33K was not at all unusual. Every day planes were flying over at 33K. There were at least two that day alone.

2014-07-22T05:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491447910236577792

Oh, and to your "same airline, same plane, within months". Quite a few. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_accidents_and_incidents_involving_commercial_aircraft

---

2014-07-22T04:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491447138937602048

@4thAnon What are the odd that two planes will collide in mid air? Millions to one. Yet it happens all the time.

2014-07-22T04:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491446824251555840

@4thAnon The point is that "odds" don't really tell you very much. Unusual things happen all the time.

2014-07-22T04:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491446636111880192

@4thAnon What, I thought unlikely things were really suspicious? What about bedsheet entanglement, that's really rare. Illuminati bedsheets?

2014-07-22T04:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491446151501979650

@4thAnon So if someone dies in a plane crash, then it MUST be a conspiracy? Right?

2014-07-22T04:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491446025945501697

@4thAnon I observed the frequency of plane types and airlines in the region, and extrapolated.

2014-07-22T04:45:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491443843120635905

@4thAnon How did you arrive at that number?

2014-07-22T04:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491443524663918592

@4thAnon It's a good estimate. What figure did you come up with?

2014-07-22T04:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491443398591537152

@WearKilluminati What is your point? 33k, 35k, all about the same. No difference to a Buk. Even 40k.

2014-07-22T04:41:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491442999679651840
@4thAnon (just ballparking). Total odds maybe 1 in 100 that a random plane in that general location would be an MH 777

2014-07-22T04:41:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491442788462907393
@4thAnon Not by a long shot. There's only a few airlines and a few planes that fly that route. 777 probable 1 in 5, Malaysia maybe 1 in 20.

2014-07-22T04:39:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491442498116399104
@WearKilluminati So?

2014-07-22T04:35:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491441444394004480
@4thAnon Do you think that coincidences never happen? Nobody ever wins the lottery? The roulette ball never stops on 0? No pocket aces?

2014-07-22T04:34:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491441069020553216
@4thAnon Why is it that people who ask "what are the odds?" never actually work out the odds?

2014-07-22T03:51:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491430386472194048
@KhanUKIP But the YouTube MP4 is not the uploaded file. It's a file YT creates. That's what had the bad date. I replicated it with another.

2014-07-22T03:12:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491420558370697216
@WearKilluminati Wrong, Singapore 323 was at the exact same altitude and position just one hour earlier.

2014-07-22T00:52:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491385145945882625
Air traffic before the MH17 crash. Could have been any of these planes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hh06SqVx_1Q

2014-07-21T23:05:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491358401000009728
@JunkScience Average tropospheric temp is not the same as surface temp. False comparison.

2014-07-21T21:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491340822227337216
@TeamYancy It's a 22 degree halo. Only ice can make this. So proves it's not "chemtrails".
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-chembows-solar-halos.3916/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtGX37nCAAAjtlP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtGX37nCAAAjtlP.jpg
2014-07-21T19:06:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491298085125894144
@VtFreePress @BelleAnona Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-watchs-confirmation-of-record-shattering-uv-levels.3923/ @BelleAnona @kim_granny

---

2014-07-21T19:03:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491297426138214400
@4thAnon @MailOnline You can do both. But it's important to remove the bunk, as it's a huge distraction from real news.

2014-07-21T18:28:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491288673296465921
Youtube timestamp creates #MH17 conspiracy theory. Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtFocdNCAAAMuxM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BtFocdNCAAAMuxM.jpg

2014-07-21T18:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491288224233316352

@varyagi @v36ar Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @reroll

---

2014-07-21T18:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491288184207052800

@Bell_Boyd Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/

2014-07-21T18:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491288121061818368

@Chav68898982 @herodfel @ImGregCook Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @ImGregCook @araincoat

---

2014-07-21T18:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491288040740909056

@OlivierRimmel Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @bambou2012 @gontran59000 @Luciole757828

2014-07-21T18:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491287978912669696

@forecastyear Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @GaeMar01 @FalseFlagBot_ @GuerrillaEconom

---

2014-07-21T18:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/491287926261555200
@aja20031 @2018prezidentru @latnick65 Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @latnick65

2014-07-21T18:25:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491287813782896640
@KhanUKIP Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @Kilsally @ThomasPaine5 @ZANVDEM @Pudov

---

2014-07-21T18:24:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491287733076111360
@JimmyCoyoteLoco Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @ljewelry2

2014-07-21T18:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491287682731868160
@ToSmartByHalf @MonicaArcia Youtube process subtracted 24 hours. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh17-video-timestamped-before-the-crash-and-other-timeline-issues.3988/ @MonicaArcia

---

2014-07-21T04:25:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/491076396622413826
@tinfoilzone @MindspinDave There is no need to be impolite here.

2014-07-20T21:49:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/490976843202191362
@dafukincloudz @MIKE77901820 That spectrum can only come from ice crystals.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-chembows-solar-halos.3916/

2014-07-20T00:52:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/490660550259388416
@MindspinDave And how do you know it's "Chemtrails" and not contrails? Look it up.

2014-07-20T00:14:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/490650816290635776
@MindspinDave Humidity in the sky varies like clouds vary. So you get broken contrails.

2014-07-19T23:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/490636964941426688
@Curtis_Hembroff Only running :)

2014-07-19T16:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/490536478875738112
@tonsmorecowbell If there's something wrong on Metabunk, then let me know and I'll correct it. Maybe make a list somewhere, Screenshot.

2014-07-19T16:38:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490536285270847488

@McrChemTrails @youtube @CraziDarling And yet she cannot find a single error on either site.

2014-07-19T16:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490536101161873408

@Curtis_Hembroff Which bit?

2014-07-19T16:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490535986464444417

@FullOfShite @jimmydick4 @ContrailSkies Perhaps you could document this, give precise times and locations, then use it as evidence?

2014-07-19T05:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490361640328515585

@OhSmyle @BlackburnRuth @aboveawhisper1 Point out a factual error I made regarding contrails.

2014-07-19T04:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490359525166166016

@BlackburnRuth @OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 Again, point out one thing I got wrong, and I'll correct it.

2014-07-19T04:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490359266427953153

@Curtis_Hembroff @biggysmlls @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan SU-30 smoke system: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bs4bJ29CQAASfjE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bs4bJ29CQAASfjE.jpg

2014-07-18T21:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490242375281672192

@davidicke Assertions without evidence need no investigation. Especially extraordinary assertions.

2014-07-18T20:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490229214239404032

@m1kej0hnson I have no reason to doubt those claims, and many reasons to believe those claims. Why do you ask?

2014-07-18T19:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490219624084934656

@Malnurtured @tattoosandbones @DanThaWildMan Hard to tell sometimes :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bs2cJmxCYAEMYIN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bs2cJmxCYAEMYIN.jpg

2014-07-18T19:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490218527509331968

@tattoosandbones @Malnurtured @DanThaWildMan You could just check the last 70 years worth of books on clouds. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-18T16:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490178826513563648

'Every single day we're lying': Russia Today reporter resigns over coverage of Malaysia Airlines MH17 crash http://nydn.us/UfMfyo

---

2014-07-18T16:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/490165187664543746

@CAchemtrails Interesting two-tone contrails. Where was this taken?

2014-07-18T00:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489928463281700866

@lek_black Can you actually point out anything that's wrong on the sites?

2014-07-17T23:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489909066299621376

@BitofDis Sounds like an interesting collection. You should put them online.

2014-07-17T22:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489905702358355968

@BitofDis One per day? Every hour? Or only when you saw contrails?

2014-07-17T22:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489905494480265216

@Evilandvile Why? It was shot down, it didn't fly into the ground, it fell to the ground. Different things.

2014-07-17T20:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489874733622517762

@BitofDis Yes there is. There's a bunch of contrails at sunset.

2014-07-17T14:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489784710462050304

@TheWadeWord @apokalyptikk Vapor trails (contrails) have the same variation that clouds have. Sometimes small, sometimes large, or mixed.

2014-07-17T05:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489646578777329664

@pbtrue1 @apokalyptikk With a bit more spreading out, yes.

2014-07-17T05:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489646466122534912

@apokalyptikk So in order for water to be vapor, they have to be individual molecules (a gas).

2014-07-17T05:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489646071765676032

@apokalyptikk If you can see it, then it's liquid water. The vapor (gas) is condensing in the air into microdrops. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsuSgeXCIAEAZ63.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsuSgeXCIAEAZ63.jpg

2014-07-17T05:31:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489643422043480064

@apokalyptikk Water vapor is invisible. Clouds are either microscopic liquid water drops, or ice crystals </science>

2014-07-17T05:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489642598215081985

@apokalyptikk @pbtrue1 They do dim the sky a bit, making it hazy sometimes. But yes just water (ice, not vapor though :))

2014-07-17T05:25:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489642140306132992

RT @Pax1sw: "Weird Al" Yankovic Music Video: FOIL (Parody of "Royals" by Lorde) http://youtu.be/w-0TEJMJOhk

---

2014-07-17T03:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489620429074464770

@brainpicker Not the penalty itself though, it's California's system of keeping them hanging that constitutes cruel and unusual punishment

---

2014-07-16T18:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489472215218270208

@Chemtrails_818 Fuel Dump. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoUBWEqPu0Q http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bsr0YrLCcAEko7h.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bsr0YrLCcAEko7h.jpg

2014-07-16T13:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489403941822533632

@B4INChemtrails Jet exhaust triggers cloud formation by raising local humidity. That's how contrails work.

2014-07-16T04:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489271417129549825

@Pax1sw I've read the docs. They talk about the future.

2014-07-16T04:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489266109812449281

@Pax1sw The federal government has not admitted chemtrails, in fact they specifically deny them.

2014-07-16T04:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489265939674714115

@Way2Wonderland The IP address is just from the Congress building complex, includes public internet access. Could be anyone.

2014-07-16T04:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489265338903560193

@RisingSign This photo is from 1972. Is this also not contrails?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bso4O4XCcAEP-56.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bso4O4XCcAEP-56.jpg

2014-07-16T04:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489264964616478720

@RisingSign Why are you ignoring questions of science and instead attacking me personally? Can't you find anything wrong with the science?

2014-07-16T04:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489264768134287363

@Way2Wonderland I know, but it is still just a vandal, probably just using public internet access.

2014-07-16T04:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489264118897967105

@RisingSign I don't work for anyone. This is a hobby. I'm retired.

2014-07-16T04:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489264021468499968

@RisingSign You keep showing photos of things that look like contrails. How is this evidence?

2014-07-16T04:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489263890568445952

@RisingSign exactly what is so unusually about them? Be specific.

2014-07-16T04:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489263712935489537

@Way2Wonderland It's just from a vandal

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/User_talk:143.231.249.138

2014-07-16T04:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489261443192078336

@RisingSign he is talking about possible future geoengineering, and what a bad idea he thinks it would be.

2014-07-16T04:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489261225428004866

@jesikabrown123 @InfowarsReports @Chem_trails @ripster31 @kcraff777 N61UP is a medical helicopter. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bso0fd3CcAAe02u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bso0fd3CcAAe02u.jpg

2014-07-16T03:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489257307591548929

@Pax1sw So how can you tell how high a plane is? If you look up at an angle you can't tell how much above or below a given cloud it is.

2014-07-16T03:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489248772753354755

@Pax1sw Passenger jets usually fly between 30,000 and 40,000 feet, right? That's above most

cumulonimbus clouds, except big storms.

2014-07-16T02:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489242131404316673

@Pax1sw So how did you determine altitude?

2014-07-16T02:05:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489229403356807168

@Pax1sw it's always -40 at some altitude, and altitude is hard to measure visually.

2014-07-16T00:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489210467080892416

@Pax1sw I made a very short video of some old weather books.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-16T00:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489210293692530688

@Pax1sw Plenty of accounts of it happening before. It has been described as a common sight in the 1970s.

2014-07-15T23:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489195615247544320

@Pax1sw There are more planes and routes now, and high bypass engines make more contrails. Contrails persist. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-15T23:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489195215857520640

@Pax1sw Why is that no contrail? Jets make U shapes all the time, and trails start and stop in different regions of air. I've seen J-shapes.

2014-07-15T22:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489181326117847040

@RisingSign If you are going to take action, then you better have your facts straight. Check Dane's UV numbers. They are wrong.

2014-07-15T22:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489181118189408256

@RisingSign Why wouldn't the line become blurry? It was spreading out. Like a cirrus cloud.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnronMCAAAET-o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnronMCAAAET-o.jpg

2014-07-15T22:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489180828069421057

@RisingSign Based on what? They look and act just like contrails, according to al the books on clouds, ever.

2014-07-15T22:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489180674742439936

@RisingSign One does not simply chose what to believe. We are not living in a lucid dream. The evidence is the evidence.

2014-07-15T22:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489176365787131905

@RisingSign Have you ever looked at books on clouds, especially old ones?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnnT8GCIAACo-y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnnT8GCIAACo-y.jpg
2014-07-15T22:33:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489175915797020673
@Pax1sw @McrChemTrails So what evidence did she present that Metabunk and ContrailScience were disinfo?

2014-07-15T22:32:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489175634803843073
@RisingSign Even super intelligent people get fooled sometimes. That photos a bit blurry, might just be linear clouds.

2014-07-15T22:20:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489172713064251394
@McrChemTrails @Pax1sw and what evidence of this does she present?

2014-07-15T22:19:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489172539541700609
@RisingSign love is not evidence. Check out Dane's UV figures. They are wrong. If you are an engineer, you will see that.

2014-07-15T22:14:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489171166695673858
@RisingSign Having children does not change the evidence, or lack thereof. But I care very much for future generations.

2014-07-15T22:13:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489170885358530560
@RisingSign I've watched the videos. They are not really experts, they contradict known science. Take Dane's UV claims. Look at that.

2014-07-15T21:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489164840024293376

@RisingSign All I'm doing is pointing out the lack of evidence. Does it not bother you that all the evidence is bogus?

2014-07-15T21:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489158897270149121

@dupergramp A good place to start gathering that information is: http://contrailscience.com/ or ask questions at: https://www.metabunk.org/forums/contrails-and-chemtrails.9/

2014-07-15T21:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489155962188488704

@dupergramp If he doesn't know, then how has he (or Dane Wigington) been detecting them in the past? Claiming to detect the undetectable.

2014-07-15T21:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489155336217964544

@VtFreePress @lek_black @Jerome09 @Envirocite @Alicia_May_Bee @BlackburnRuth @infowars Any evidence of this?

2014-07-15T21:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489155265422299137

@RisingSign Check what I say for yourself. Let me known if you find a single mistake, and I will try to correct it.

2014-07-15T21:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489155081804075009

@VtFreePress @lek_black @Jerome09 @Envirocite @Alicia_May_Bee @BlackburnRuth @infowars But did I say anything wrong? Look it up.

2014-07-15T21:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489154916191989760

@RisingSign I'm not young. I'm retired.

2014-07-15T21:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489154476251418624

@RisingSign I'm sorry. But that's just what people say when they can't address the science that refutes their claims.

2014-07-15T21:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489153897454256128

@RisingSign My motivation is to stop people wasting time on fake issues. So they focus on real issues like government corruption.

2014-07-15T21:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489152908902952961

@RisingSign And how do you know it's not just contrails?

2014-07-15T21:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489152842804916224

@RisingSign She presents no actual evidence though. What does she say? Saw some chemical used for sand blasting and painting?

2014-07-15T20:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489152369976807424

Everywhere in the US where planes fly high enough to make contrails:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnRfNtCYAA10YN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnRfNtCYAA10YN.jpg

2014-07-15T20:59:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/489152171229728769

@RisingSign Again though, airports have nothing to do with contrails. It's the green bits here:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnRTqFCYAAvd5x.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsnRTqFCYAAvd5x.jpg
2014-07-15T20:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489150595396141057
@RisingSign Traffic to the airport does not make contrails, as the planes are too low. It's flyover traffic. Maybe a new route.

2014-07-15T20:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489150416538460161
@lek_black @Jerome09 @Envirocite @Alicia_May_Bee @VtFreePress @BlackburnRuth @infowars Probably just close to horizon. Not diving.

2014-07-15T19:26:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489128928506699776
Bill Maher discusses "chemtrails"
http://www.real-time-with-bill-maher-blog.com/index/2014/7/11/happy-chemtrails "it's a trail of wispy clouds called contrails. Itâ□□s frozen water vapor. Ice."

2014-07-15T18:35:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489116096553689089
@MrCharlieSurf It's a photograph. I just colored in the contrails so you could match them with the satellite image.

2014-07-15T18:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/489115831591137280
@MrCharlieSurf @RisingSign Are all the weather books for 70 years also retarded?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-15T00:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/488850331212595200
@FullOfShite How so? Does it not look like a fuel dump?

2014-07-15T00:27:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/488842169982001152
@ShawnSt51128037 @Slikk82 @Chem_Trail_ @eddiebravo I don't make anything. I'm retired.

2014-07-15T00:26:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/488841941476315136
@FullOfShite @Slikk82 @ContrailSkies @eddiebravo Fuel dump.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying-wingtip-fuel-dump-photo.645/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bsi3J7OCMAAXspu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bsi3J7OCMAAXspu.jpg

2014-07-13T20:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488415573286850561

@nickmyer only if a contrail would form from the exhaust anyway. Ice supersaturation.

2014-07-13T14:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488325819601653761

@nickmyer perhaps you could write a guide to spotting dubious sky activity? Or is it just subjective?

2014-07-13T06:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488210293709733891

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo they are not. Artists simply draw what they see in the sky. Why would they NOT draw trails if they are there?

2014-07-13T06:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488210050054246402

@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo before when? When did you first notice persistent trails?

2014-07-13T06:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488209897918451712

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo they don't, that's a fake photo.

2014-07-13T02:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488152418421846016

@Daniel_Loxton lovely talk! The Lucian and Alexander stuff was fascinating!

2014-07-13T02:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488151282448744448

@nickmyer if you don't understand condensation, and ice nucleation and saturation, how can you tell if something is not a contrail?

2014-07-12T17:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/488010491634413569

@nickmyer you made the comparison. But it is useful to consider the difference, to understand the science.

2014-07-12T03:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487797927083900931

@nickmyer cars don't fly. But in Fairbanks, Alaska, they do sometimes cover the sky, just only a few tens of feet deep though. Ice fog

---

2014-07-12T03:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487797586502230016

@KittyCult666 @Evilandvile sure looks like a plane.

2014-07-11T14:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487602109538254848

@iain39 @blazeart_ @CarlyL80 @RonMooreMoreRon lots in the US, where it's more FAA data. See also http://www.flightradar24.com/about_android.php

---

2014-07-11T14:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487601252318969856

@nickmyer cars do the same thing at -40F

2014-07-11T14:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487601039197995010

@nickmyer why? Most people don't even notice contrails.

2014-07-11T01:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487406314646016001

@nickmyer jet engine designers do not factor contrails into the design. Just efficiency.

2014-07-10T22:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487368375883276288

Science Based Medicine workshop is pretty popular at #TAM2014

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsN69F_CYAIzxmQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsN69F_CYAIzxmQ.jpg

2014-07-10T14:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487238944782684162

@CloudAppSoc see http://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/

2014-07-10T14:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/487238276621688832

@CloudAppSoc it's a sunlit contrail. An older fragment that has spread a little. It's much higher than the clouds so it's lit by the sun.

2014-07-09T19:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486958709851951104

@nickmyer So publish.

2014-07-09T19:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486956457795932160

Glenn Beck repositions. Genuine or spin?

http://www.buzzfeed.com/adriancarrasquillo/glenn-beck-shocks-immigration-activists-and-conservatives-al?bffbnews

---

2014-07-09T19:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486956174160310272

@nickmyer Where are these EMR records? What was the testing methodology?

2014-07-09T19:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486953870052950016

@nickmyer You need evidence, not stories. Why don't YOU gather some physical evidence?

2014-07-09T19:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486952290482274304
@nickmyer There's no need to, as it's an extraordinary claim presented without evidence. It's a story.

2014-07-09T18:25:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486939237338472448
@mariajesuscarro @Hsaive That's a 14 year old article, and it's still wrong.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-clifford-carnicoms-contrail-physics.654/

2014-07-09T18:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486939172892975104
@martinhume That's a 14 year old article, and it's still wrong.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-clifford-carnicoms-contrail-physics.654/
@northvalleys99 @homerjdarko @ijan3t

2014-07-09T16:46:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486914296413040640
@bravo4egypt Actually that study only has levels 1/10th what GE Watch claims. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-watchs-confirmation-of-record-shattering-uv-levels.3923

2014-07-09T16:46:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486914255384358913
@Sylvia7452 Actually that study only has levels 1/10th what GE Watch claims. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-watchs-confirmation-of-record-shattering-uv-levels.3923

2014-07-09T16:46:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486914193996533760
@martinhume Actually that study only has levels 1/10th what GE Watch claims. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-watchs-confirmation-of-record-shattering-uv-levels.3923 @WKMasters @Lawful_Rebel

---

2014-07-09T15:51:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486900552136028160
@Evilandvile @kittycult666 @oneuptruth Appears to be something very close to the camera. Probably a fly.

2014-07-09T15:48:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486899805830918144
@rockermom53 @CAchemtrails Or perhaps you are just paying more attention to the sky?

2014-07-09T15:32:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486895566131970048
@rockermom53 @CAchemtrails The "so" it that this photo is actually normal - if somewhat rare. "circumzenithal arc" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsHM79xCEAAsTzS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsHM79xCEAAsTzS.jpg

2014-07-09T15:28:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486894641929670656

@rockermom53 @nickmyer Generally that's due to the lack of evidence.

2014-07-09T15:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486891067833204737

@rockermom53 @CAchemtrails That type of halo fragment can only be formed with ice crystals. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-chembows-solar-halos.3916/

2014-07-09T00:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486675399737229313

@nickmyer Based on what evidence?

2014-07-09T00:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486666392515522560

@nickmyer Nonlethal weapons like tasers, baton rounds, water cannons, dogs, batons, tear gas - all have "potential for death".

2014-07-09T00:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486663548680933376

@nickmyer It's not lethal. It just induces pain. But I think we've rather drifted off topic here.

2014-07-08T23:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486660425589616641

@nickmyer So is a side handled baton.

2014-07-08T23:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486660240604012544

@Evilandvile "Points and luminous objects observed occasionally on the screen are due to reflections in the camera glass protector"

2014-07-08T23:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486660126770593792

@Evilandvile puntos y objetos luminosos observados en ocasiones en la pantalla son debido a reflejos en el cristal protector de la cÃ¡mara

---

2014-07-08T23:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486658969746698241

@nickmyer There's not evidence it's particularly dangerous. Say compare to water cannons.

2014-07-08T23:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486657251780743168

@Evilandvile Where is the camera?

2014-07-08T23:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486657135548198914

@nickmyer My default is to ask for evidence of anything that's new or contested.

2014-07-08T23:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486656958850539520

@nickmyer If you mean the secret gang-stalking TI type of thing, then yes. If you mean crowd control active denial systems, then no.

2014-07-08T23:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486653792960512000

@nickmyer Of course. There are national security secrets. That's generally accepted by society.

2014-07-08T23:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486653555118321664

@Evilandvile Or perhaps it's just some other explanation? Like a brightly lit fly? What seems more likely to you?

2014-07-08T23:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486653010609594368

@Evilandvile A 1 KM long 100m wide cylinder for fire moving faster than the speed of sound. And nobody noticed?

2014-07-08T23:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486652756820623360

@stephenjjohnson Yeah. Jolly silly.

2014-07-08T23:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486652354121326592

@Evilandvile Calculate how fast it is going, if it were actually diving into the volcano. What's the distance from camera to volcano?

2014-07-08T23:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486652144955555840

@Evilandvile It's according to physics. If it was there, it would displace air.

2014-07-08T23:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486651994283585536

@nickmyer I don't know why he would lie. I just know to people historically have lied. It happens quite frequently.

2014-07-08T23:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486651817707589632

@Evilandvile And why does nobody ever notice these UFOs? There's plenty of people nearby.

2014-07-08T23:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486651636031307777

@Evilandvile Might want to consider the air displacement of the supposed UFO then. Insanely loud boom, I'd imagine.

2014-07-08T23:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486651409455013889

@Evilandvile The pic I posted was to illustrate flies.

2014-07-08T23:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486651215942410240

@Evilandvile What do you think those purple lines and yellow boxes represent then?

2014-07-08T23:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486650922173353984

@nickmyer Where is the evidence of this?

2014-07-08T22:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486639821801021440

@Evilandvile Note sure what white dot/video you are referring to.

2014-07-08T22:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486639610949169153

@Evilandvile What's the problem with 2002?

2014-07-08T22:34:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486639447652327424

@nickmyer Not like that - too detectable and risky with collateral damage. Maybe by direct poisoning.

2014-07-08T22:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486632847961124864

@Evilandvile There's a camera there. So why not a car? Didn't look particularly active. Some flies in the daytime http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsDd_w8CQAIilzK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsDd_w8CQAIilzK.jpg

2014-07-08T22:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486631166334607361

@Evilandvile No, a tiny insect, close to the camera, lit by a car headlight or something

---

2014-07-08T22:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486631050114658306

@Evilandvile There are millions of UFOs observed every day. I just saw a white dot in the sky. I could not identify if. So what?

2014-07-08T20:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486615704708210688

@Evilandvile It's a streak of light. Could be anything. Might even be an insect close to the camera.

2014-07-08T19:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486590791368720384

Debunked: Tail Sprayers & Fake Infrared [Contrail Gaps and Photoshop]

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-tail-sprayers-fake-infrared-contrail-gaps-and-photoshop.3921/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsC3vwGCEAAKXVy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BsC3vwGCEAAKXVy.jpg

2014-07-08T19:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486588836919525376

@Evilandvile @Moe_Fugger_72 @OneUpTruth Pick the one you think is the best evidence.

2014-07-08T17:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486565471160766464

@nickmyer I didn't see any evidence. So it was quite easy to assess, as there was none.

2014-07-08T16:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486553520468811776

@nickmyer None of it is actually backed by evidence. It's a bunch of bare claims. Where is the evidence?

2014-07-08T16:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486550601434533888

@VibeVal @B_SharpC @iain39 @HartReikiCURES @Fi_anna @martinhume They are contrails. Air quality is hurt most by ground based sources.

2014-07-08T16:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486550331669499906

@EjetPilot Called a "distrail". http://www.skybrary.aero/index.php/Distrail

---

2014-07-08T16:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486550030287781888

@Evilandvile @moe_fugger_72 @oneuptruth @metabunk Weather balloon. That's what they do. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mG7xFt1FpCc

---

2014-07-08T16:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486549289296879617

@nickmyer Which bit?

2014-07-08T00:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486304951237214208

@jref It's not?

2014-07-07T18:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486211442350112770

@LiLiSoRuM Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br9eut3CIAAPSmK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br9eut3CIAAPSmK.jpg

2014-07-07T15:46:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486174323011375104

@SkyderALERT That explains it then. Still, it did get tagged as #chemtrails. Might confuse people.

2014-07-07T15:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486172597227237376

@SkyderALERT I'm not. I just have a #chemtrails feed in Tweetdeck. Those are just cirrus uncinus clouds. Wind seared virga.

2014-07-07T15:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486169145013059585

@SkyderALERT There are not even any contrails in that pic. It's just clouds.

2014-07-07T15:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486168806268493824

Contrails viewed from the ground and from space. Perspective makes quite a difference.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-above-and-below/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br838-lCAAA9NF8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br838-lCAAA9NF8.jpg

2014-07-07T14:21:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/486152936796348416

@VibeVal @Fi_anna @HartReikiCURES @martinhume @butterf35867807 Why not? It was in 1957. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br8phQNCMAEkL49.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br8phQNCMAEkL49.jpg
2014-07-07T12:43:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/486128449317134338

@nickmyer It's basically a fancy motion detector. Don't read the headlines. Read the actual papers.

2014-07-06T21:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485892261486395393

@nickmyer So how do you know it is happening?

2014-07-06T19:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485871656049471488

@nickmyer Well, what are your sources then?

2014-07-06T19:42:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485871535136047104

@nickmyer Fully operational? Source? And what are it's operational capabilities? No "WiFi passive radar" on Roke.

2014-07-06T19:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485869541822439424

@nickmyer So what's your evidence otherwise? How does it beat the CDC's historical statistics?

2014-07-06T19:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485868019411714048

@nickmyer How would that work? How does it get direction and distance of a person?

2014-07-06T19:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485866400699785216

@nickmyer Evidence?

2014-07-06T15:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485807052917186560

@BlueSkies4All @geoengineering Heres a Q for you. Why don't http://geoengineeringwatch.org remove false data from their site?

2014-07-06T15:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485806843793391617

@BlueSkies4All @geoengineering Nobody pays me. Why do you immediately drop to ad hom attacks, instead of addressing the lack of evidence?

2014-07-06T15:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485806246067310593

@BlueSkies4All @geoengineering It's a real thing that people talk about. But there is no evidence anyone is actually spraying anything.

2014-07-06T15:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485806034770862080

@nickmyer No. Unless it's a side effect of your skin boiling.

2014-07-06T15:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485805762917044224

@nickmyer WiFi used as passive radar? Seems highly implausible. Source?

2014-07-06T15:20:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485805567273750529

@nickmyer Of course they have, not WiFi though.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directed-energy_weapon

2014-07-06T15:12:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485803431173443584

@nickmyer 1) Observing the people I see all the time around me. 2) public health statistics.

2014-07-06T05:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485650315010519040

@NeilYoungSaveUs @IndigoHawthorne That's called a "distrail" (dissipation trail). Forms in thin cloud layers. http://www.weatherscapes.com/album.php?cat=clouds&subcat=distrails

---

2014-07-06T05:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485649743637274624

@BlueSkies4All @geoengineering What? Do you think they are NOT ballast barrels on an A380? Google it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br1f3h9CcAEYFZy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Br1f3h9CcAEYFZy.jpg

2014-07-06T04:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485637491920080897

@BlueSkies4All @GeoEngineering Hoax! Those are ballast barrels on an A380 test plane.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J_Wd3SSK8gQ#t=43 00:43

2014-07-05T21:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485538416155582465

@nickmyer So what I don't get with your theory is why everyone seems fine. Why is there no

increase in illness with the massive use of WiFi?

2014-07-05T16:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485458159759134720

@V3ss3nz Not really. Contrails are >99.99% pure water. The exhaust simply triggers cloud formation.

2014-07-05T16:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485453145003147265

@V3ss3nz Contrails can certainly create the cirrus haze that makes these halos visible. Contrails are essentially clouds.

2014-07-05T15:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485452895865688064

@JaniceReiki @conal_fitz @metoffice They do sometimes, according to 70 years of books on clouds https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-05T15:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485445116937527296

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth So if someone points out someone's errors, then that proves they are right?

2014-07-05T15:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485440650423201792

@GWinebrenner @RisingSign That's not the point. The point is there is no evidence it is happening.

2014-07-05T15:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485439114276114433

@nickmyer Nick, are you taking iodine to protect you from WiFi?

2014-07-05T15:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485439013109526529

@scottcgruber @nickmyer @TakeThatEarth Useful reference on Trower: http://www.emfandhealth.com/Barrie%20WiFi2.html

---

2014-07-05T14:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485426472551596032

@nickmyer Are you taking iodine? You should know that's not actually doing anything unless you are exposed to radioactive iodine.

2014-07-05T14:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485425384251326464

@nickmyer @TakeThatEarth @scottcgruber Iodine doesn't protect from "radiation". Ask @KristenMeghan

---

2014-07-05T14:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485423981390872577

@4thAnon You asked how it was obvious. So I told you.

2014-07-05T14:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485423606717898753

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan And since the levels are normal, that's a non-starter. (and lots of natural sources)

2014-07-05T14:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485423412924260352

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan I think the issue here is linking trails in the sky to barium levels in blood.

2014-07-05T13:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485422831681798145

@4thAnon I think the NSA is certainly trying to monitor TOR. Probably already has some capability.

2014-07-05T13:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485422611648638977

@4thAnon You can check if I'm online here: https://twitter.com/MickWest/with_replies

---

2014-07-05T13:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485421893692841984

@nickmyer how do you know it is not psychosomatic? Those symptoms feel just as real as physical symptoms.

2014-07-05T13:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485421046300831744

@TakeThatEarth @scottcgruber @nickmyer @KristenMeghan like: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Culture-bound_syndrome ?

2014-07-05T13:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485420577658638336

@scottcgruber @nickmyer @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Also check they are actually ill, and not simply getting old.

2014-07-05T13:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485420319054635009

@4thAnon Well it's obvious I'm on Twitter. So I thought you meant something else, like "here, in this discussion", or something.

2014-07-05T13:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485419156116762625

@nickmyer You wouldn't just attribute all your own illness to trails in the sky, when there are thousands of possible causes.

2014-07-05T13:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485418782228107265

@4thAnon here?

2014-07-05T13:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485418744244469763

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Sure. Symptoms are symptoms.

But this does not then point at contrails being suspect.

2014-07-05T13:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485418365876318209

@nickmyer @KristenMeghan I'm not sure what evidence you are referring to? Could you link to it?

2014-07-05T13:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485417514080280577

@nickmyer Do you trust @KristenMeghan? Ask her what normal barium levels in blood are.

2014-07-05T13:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485417413169516544

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Why don't you look up the normal levels of barium in blood?

2014-07-05T13:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485417214141415424

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Numbers don't lie. If normal is <400, and they are <400 then it's normal.

2014-07-05T13:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485415037985497089

@nickmyer you should ask @KristenMeghan about the tests. She should understand the levels, and how they are normal.

2014-07-05T13:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485414814353600512

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan (And how can you "misunderstand" a feeling? feelings are feelings).

2014-07-05T13:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485414689979891712

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan No I'm sure their feelings are genuine. However their blood barium levels were normal.

2014-07-05T13:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485413999551315969

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan The link I gave does just that. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/high-barium-levels-in-blood-mohave-az.128/

2014-07-05T13:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485413929556799489

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Normal level of barium in blood is up to 400 mcg/L http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryJZUQCAAAlNGY.jpg

---



NMS LABS

CONFIDENTIAL

Workorder 09100624
Chain 10599514
Patient ID B09-0557

Page 2 of 3

BROWARD COUNTY MED EXAMINER
MAY 13 2009
08:00
TOXICOLOGY

Detailed Findings:

Analysis and Comments	Result	Units	Rpt. Limit	Specimen Source	Analysis By
Selenium	140	mcg/L	11	001 - Cardiac Blood	GFAAS
Barium	1120	mcg/L	11	001 - Cardiac Blood	ICP/MS
Lead	1.4	mcg/dL	1.1	001 - Cardiac Blood	ICP/MS

Other than the above findings, examination of the specimen(s) submitted did not reveal any positive findings of toxicological significance by procedures outlined in the accompanying Analysis Summary.

Reference Comments:

1 Barium - Cardiac Blood

Barium is present in trace amounts in all human tissues, and some studies indicate that it is an element essential to proper growth. The concentration of barium in normal human blood is approximately 2 - 400 mcg/L, most of which is found in the plasma fraction.

Inorganic barium compounds are used extensively in industry, and in glass, plastic and rubber manufacture. Specimens submitted for elemental testing require special handling to reduce potential sources of external contamination. Without such precautions, the finding of an elevated barium concentration in a specimen may be the result of environmental contamination from such things as collection devices, specimen containers and sample preservatives, and should be interpreted accordingly.

In two intentional, but non-fatal barium poisonings serum barium concentrations were 3400 and 7800 mcg/L. In one fatality following barium ingestion, the barium concentration in blood was 1900 mcg/mL.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryJZUQCAAAlNGY.jpg

2014-07-05T13:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485413589113532417

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan Bullshit what? Did you even look at the debunking? It's comprehensive.

2014-07-05T13:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485413118135119873

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan The blood tests showed normal levels. They misunderstood them.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/high-barium-levels-in-blood-mohave-az.128/

2014-07-05T13:17:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485412050756063234

@mrtiedt @jonostrower

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryHsAuCQAAYGuU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryHsAuCQAAYGuU.jpg

2014-07-05T13:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485411603081224193

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth @KristenMeghan In which various people repeated things they read on the internet.

2014-07-05T13:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485411128055316481

@V3ss3nz What about them? You can get ice haze (and hence halos) year round. You see segments of them in contrails sometimes.

2014-07-05T13:06:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485409315218415617

@nickmyer @scottcgruber @TakeThatEarth So why has nothing been found in all the tests so far?

2014-07-05T13:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485409083835445248

@jayrosen_nyu "my coauthors and I are very sorry for the way the paper described the research and any anxiety ..." https://www.facebook.com/akramer/posts/10152987150867796

2014-07-05T13:01:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485408185927204865

@RisingSign Hoax. The first shot in that video is a fuel dump. See original video with explanation: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoUBWEqPu0Q

2014-07-05T12:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485407209203838978

@scottcgruber @nickmyer @TakeThatEarth Which should be very easy to prove with an older copy of a film. Nobody ever does though.

2014-07-05T12:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485407036679540736

@scottcgruber In some ways debunking makes it stronger, like a superbug. Boils it down to: Looks odd, I feel ill, gov is evil, you shill!

2014-07-05T12:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485406449204338690

@V3ss3nz This site is great. Click on the stuff under "22Â° circular".

http://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/circ2.htm http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryCl8BCIAAslZW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BryCl8BCIAAslZW.jpg

2014-07-05T12:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485405809166147584

@V3ss3nz Sun halos can only be formed from ice crystals, as they need the specific hexagonal geometry of water.

2014-07-05T12:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485405367149424640

@RisingSign It's an unusually large amount of persistent contrails, lit orange by a low sun.

2014-07-05T12:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485401671627841536

@4thAnon Ambient being 75Â° thanks to the local chem cloud SRMing the area. (i.e marine haze)

2014-07-05T12:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485401390307479552

@4thAnon ambient. The beer was chilled though :)

2014-07-05T12:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485401013763850242

@4thAnon Pinot!

2014-07-05T12:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485400665062006784
@nickmyer @Prop215Patient I'm not sure of your point here? Are you saying this is a "normal" sky? Or what?

2014-07-05T12:30:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485400267907559426
@4thAnon Loud. It's like a war zone in Los Angeles. How about you?

2014-07-05T12:29:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485400043780726784
@RisingSign It is correct. There's no evidence of active SRM programs.

2014-07-05T12:28:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485399715727417344
@4thAnon What if the NSA has cracked TOR? :)
http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/the-switch/wp/2013/10/05/the-nsa-is-trying-to-crack-tor-the-state-department-is-helping-pay-for-it/

2014-07-05T12:25:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485399084367241219
@RisingSign So the references you give me all refute your argument. As they all talk about FUTURE uses of geoengineering.

2014-07-05T12:24:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485398920596426754
@RisingSign Yes, talking about maybe doing it in the future.

2014-07-05T12:24:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485398861540638720
@RisingSign On the table, yes. In the skies, no. There's simply zero evidence it is actually happening.

2014-07-05T12:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485396147737858048
@RisingSign I'm sorry, but if you can't put it in your own words, they it's a waste of time.

2014-07-05T12:11:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485395439030521856
@RisingSign But she does not produce any evidence at all, just some vague claims about barrels of chemicals used in painting.

2014-07-05T12:10:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485395248500076544
@CoutureDonna @Hsaive What evidence have you read?

2014-07-05T12:08:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485394841639997440
@Hsaive @YouTube @CoutureDonna I bet you say that about all the hurricanes.

2014-07-05T12:08:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485394737839734784

@RisingSign SRM in geoengineering is "Solar Radiation Management". Please don't just google SRM and forward everything.

2014-07-05T12:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485394524974227456

@RisingSign Explain why you sent me this particular paper. Was it the use of the term "SRM"?

2014-07-05T11:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485389642569494529

@isis4matraya Straight line clouds are very common. Look at any old book on clouds. 1905.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrxzTrcCMAI3ArX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrxzTrcCMAI3ArX.jpg

2014-07-05T11:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485388808125300736

@RisingSign I don't work for anyone.

2014-07-05T11:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485388756887678976

@RisingSign I don't think there is any evidence that the trails are anything other than contrails. I know of no evidence of an SRM program.

2014-07-05T04:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485273400882245632

@aboveawhisper1 There are no sides. Only evidence.

2014-07-05T03:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485269141591310338

@aboveawhisper1 So my misery mean chemtrails are real? :)

2014-07-05T02:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485244431772037120

@RisingSign all true but still no evidence for chemtrails.

2014-07-04T20:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485159682881765376

@RisingSign Thank you. But I'm just trying to correct publically stated errors here. You can always just block me if you don't like that.

2014-07-04T20:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485159441885429760

@PitchforkTorchz @MayorGregor @SeattleSkyGuy That's a solar ice halo.

http://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/circ2.htm http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bruh8PiCMAEf6u8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bruh8PiCMAEf6u8.jpg

2014-07-04T20:32:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485159218962374656

@RisingSign If you are engineer, then you understand ice supersaturation?

2014-07-04T20:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485159121784561664

@RisingSign Ask a meteorologist.

2014-07-04T20:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485158520132612096

@RisingSign You still in Montreal?

2014-07-04T20:29:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485158443741753344

@RisingSign I'm not on your twitter page. I use Tweetdeck, and your tweets just show up in the "chemtrails" column

---

2014-07-04T20:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485158239168782336

@RisingSign Montreal! That explains why you've not noticed them before. Very little flyover traffic in Montreal.

2014-07-04T20:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485157310910586881

@RisingSign The "particulates" are ice,mostly from existing water vapor in the atmosphere. http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/apl/research/science_integrated_modeling/accri/media/Contrail%20Microphysics.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrugANOCQAAC4dk.jpg



FIG. 2. Photographs of contrail spreading into cirrus taken from Athens, Greece, on 14 Apr 2007 at 1900, 1909, 1913, and 1920 local time (from top left to bottom right). Courtesy of Kostas Eleftheratos, University of Athens, Greece.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrugANOCQAAC4dk.jpg

2014-07-04T20:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485156742540435456

@RisingSign I have no credential. I don't argue from authority. I give references. Like:

http://contrailscience.com/files/1970-AMS-i1520-0469-27-6-937.pdf

---

2014-07-04T20:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485154953678163968

@RisingSign Yes I know. However she produced no evidence to back this up.

2014-07-04T20:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485154743195414528

@RisingSign Yes, so have I. It happen sometimes with normal air traffic, depending on the conditions at cruise altitude.

2014-07-04T20:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485154557748473856

@RisingSign Why would you? It's not from nearby airports. Which airport was it?

2014-07-04T20:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485154435471921152

@RisingSign That's @KristenMeghan - she has not produced any evidence to back any of her claims.

2014-07-04T20:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485153987734151168

@RisingSign I don't work for anyone. I'm a retired video game programmer.

2014-07-04T20:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485153857211617281

@RisingSign I'm sure you did see the planes. Planes do sometimes leave trails that spread out.

2014-07-04T19:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485136422987251712

Common Core Math teaches understanding, not opaque algorithms.

http://www.patheos.com/blogs/friendlyatheist/2014/03/07/about-that-common-core-math-problem-making-the-rounds-on-facebook/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BruNAWgCAAEZtcs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BruNAWgCAAEZtcs.jpg

2014-07-04T18:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485129093696847872

@aboveawhisper1 and also learn about contrails, so you can tell the difference.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-04T18:32:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485128910846189568

@Vegbarbie They look like persistent spreading contrails, according to all the books on clouds, ever. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-04T17:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485114771671224321

@RisingSign It's not from a nearby airport. It's flyover traffic between cities hundreds of miles away.

2014-07-04T16:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485101011678134272

Lots of Aluminum, Barium and Strontium #chemtrails tonight!

http://skunkbear.tumblr.com/post/90696652324/boom-awww

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrtszJOCEAIMKwG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrtszJOCEAIMKwG.jpg

2014-07-04T14:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485071882610167809

@jace3312 Point out one thing I said that was incorrect, and I will correct it.

2014-07-04T13:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/485056250409799680

@WhiteSkyBlues HAARP manipulates the ionosphere. Everyone has known this for decades.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrtEFtVCMAAN9qy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrtEFtVCMAAN9qy.jpg
2014-07-04T13:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485055824394330113
@nickmyer @Prop215Patient So how is this going to work? You bring a bunch of people to to court to say how the clouds looked like before???

2014-07-04T13:40:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485055494973698048
@jace3312 They have not admitted this. You have been misled by hyperbolic headlines. Read the articles. See what the Met ACTUALLY says.

2014-07-04T13:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/485055246167592960
@nickmyer @Prop215Patient So what about all the people who remember things differently to you?

2014-07-04T03:51:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484907333248487424
@4thAnon Funny stuff. Essentially just another free-energy scam. Pity you can't short Kickstarters.

2014-07-04T03:47:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484906384371101696
RT @tavlesh: RT @mch7576 @SF_Bud "How does a bill REALLY become law?" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnLc_vXCcAE9YQm.jpg #p2 #UniteBlue â□□until we get real campaign finâ□¦



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnLc_vXCcAE9YQm.jpg

2014-07-04T03:32:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484902474885496832

@oakland57 That view is discussed here:

http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2014-07-04T03:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484902287353987073

@oakland57 That's one of the planes spraying Corexit in the gulf. Story here:

http://www.semissourian.com/story/1741033.html

2014-07-04T00:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484856392197488640

@jace3312 Just not by chemtrails.

2014-07-03T23:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484841418800631808

@oakland57 Hoax. Those are ballast barrels on test planes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/ Like in 1969 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrqAs3GCIAAN9vj.jpg

---



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrqAs3GCIAAN9vj.jpg

2014-07-03T23:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484841179624640512

@nickmyer Me too. You'll figure it out eventually. Just try to find some old books you can trust.

2014-07-03T23:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484836332116537344

@nickmyer I debunk claims of evidence, not claims. There explain the context of HR2977. Did you read it?

2014-07-03T23:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484835875600072704

@nickmyer HR2977? Explained here:

http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2014-07-03T22:42:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484829487868018688

@nickmyer All I'm saying is that the trails look like contrails. You say some do not, but don't back that up.

2014-07-03T22:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484829064071376898

@nickmyer This book. Or find your own copy: http://www.ebay.co.uk/itm/Field-Guide-to-Atmosphere-Peterson-Field-Guides-By-Vincent-J-Schaefer-John-/191084628186?pt=Non_Fiction&hash=item2c7d8808da

---

2014-07-03T22:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484828666380025856

@nickmyer Try to catch them out then. Find an old book that says the opposite.

2014-07-03T22:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484828323550203906

@nickmyer Peterson FG is from 1981, 33 year ago. Describes and explains everything you see. Cheap 2nd hand book.

2014-07-03T22:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484828093178052608

@nickmyer So look it up. Plenty of books describing how contrails act. An ideal one is Peterson Field Guide to Atmosphere. Buy a copy.

2014-07-03T22:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484826595790561280

@nickmyer I have actual old books with actual old photos of contrails, distrails, shadows, etc.

2014-07-03T22:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484826401615249408

@nickmyer Search engines adjusted to add photos of shadows? Where are you getting your info from? Photocopied newsletters?

2014-07-03T22:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484826067522183168

@nickmyer I think occam's razor applies here. Why invent some super airborne laser, when it looks like normal distrails and shadows?

2014-07-03T22:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484822620404084736

@nickmyer Yes they do. Shadows in the sky are "in" things (like clouds) rather than "on" things (like the ground). Tech: volumetric shadows.

2014-07-03T22:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484819233625214977

@ElGliko @djkmb0824 It's a old story. Just aerodynamic contrails on landing. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brpshg0CUAA_B36.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brpshg0CUAA_B36.jpg

2014-07-03T21:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484814556904976384

@nickmyer I've seem hundred of plane shadows. You should look for them. Best when the trail is lined up with sun. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrpoRR4CQAA7mK4.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrpoRR4CQAA7mK4.jpg

2014-07-03T21:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484814222853824512

@nickmyer Contrail shadow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brpn91_CYAEzEbm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brpn91_CYAEzEbm.jpg

2014-07-03T21:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484813657864290306

@nickmyer Looks more like a slight shadow being cast through a layer of cloud. No laser makes a short dark beam.

2014-07-03T21:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484811272634904576

@nickmyer Its a shadow. http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrplSIECMAAb2Eu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrplSIECMAAb2Eu.jpg

2014-07-03T21:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484811061237796864

@nickmyer What trusted information?

2014-07-03T21:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484809479209230336

@nickmyer How do you know?

2014-07-03T21:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484808358294069249

@nickmyer No.

2014-07-03T21:18:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484808299288604672

@nickmyer Just general ballparking. There's a lot of ice in a cloud. Consider the energy required to vaporized all that ice.

2014-07-03T21:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484807178490871809

@nickmyer No. I though it was rhetorical. I don't think there's a powerful enough laser yet though. Clouds are big and heavy.

2014-07-03T21:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484806652269326338

@TchuTchx @biggysmlls Yes, they do that sometimes. It depends on the weather. 1957 book:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrphFJdCEAAymyj.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrphFJdCEAAymyj.jpg
2014-07-03T20:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484798744928321536

@TchuTchx @biggysmlls But it looks just like these contrails from 1972:
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrpZ43zCMAAPzmZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrpZ43zCMAAPzmZ.jpg
2014-07-03T20:26:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484795377741090816
@rbutrcom That link goes into an infinite refresh loop in Chrome

---

2014-07-03T20:02:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484789340921270272
@nickmyer Canal clouds aren't a "cut" though, they just kind of grow from the middle. See:
http://cloudappreciationsociety.org/fallstreak-holes-%E2%80%93-a-new-understanding/

2014-07-03T20:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484788742146625537
@nickmyer Well no, it's because they are simply not that interested in the sky.

2014-07-03T19:59:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484788490765230080
@biggysmlls You think those weird talking heads add credence to the argument? :)

2014-07-03T19:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484788197121986560
@biggysmlls Exposed in what sense? Some guy made a video claiming I'm a shill? Is that your standard of evidence?

2014-07-03T19:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484788034953433088

@nickmyer But you are looking at the sky and paying attention. Notice the people around you did not notice the distrail.

2014-07-03T19:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484787905571721216

@nickmyer I prefer to use "distrail" for when there's a hole with no fallstreak. But there's no "official" terminology.

2014-07-03T19:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484787744749535233

@nickmyer That's more commonly known as a "canal cloud". Basically a long fallstreak hole. http://cloudappreciationsociety.org/fallstreak-holes-%E2%80%93-a-new-understanding/

2014-07-03T19:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484787163989417984

@biggysmlls Why don't YOU tell me why you think I get paid for this?

2014-07-03T19:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484787050390892544

@nickmyer You see what you look for. If you look for odd things in the sky, you will see them. Most people don't.

2014-07-03T19:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484781872883318784

@biggysmlls I get paid nothing I'm a retired video game programmer. I did the Tony Hawk game years ago.

2014-07-03T18:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484768200081428480

@biggysmlls So block me. But I'm not a shill, and you know you've got no evidence I'm a shill. So why do you think I am?

2014-07-03T18:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484767992555642880

@ModernCrap @biggysmlls @karinebio13 @clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium No pentagram there. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bro963ECAAA0D-E.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bro963ECAAA0D-E.jpg

2014-07-03T18:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484767459577049088

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 @biggysmlls @lek_black @clearlyess But I can not there's no evidence, so no reason to believe it.

2014-07-03T18:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484764460205101056

@nickmyer That's called a distrail. Been observed since the 1920s. e.g. from 1957:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bro6tQeCcAEdKi-.jpg



68 J. L. Monteith

If an aircraft flies in relatively dry air just above a thin layer of cloud the downwash may penetrate into the cloud forming a *dissipation trail* [no. **68**], or *distrail*, which sometimes consists of a row of clear holes. Under suitable conditions a long strip of cloud along the track of the aircraft may be cleared [no. **71**].

If the surrounding air is cold enough engine exhaust forms a cloud when mixed with it in proportions between certain limits. The cloud so formed, known as an *exhaust condensation trail* [no. **69**] or *contrail* is in the region of downwash so that it is extended in a vertical curtain whose lower edge assumes the form of pendulous blobs, which correspond with the holes of a distrail [no. **68**].

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bro6tQeCcAEdKi-.jpg
2014-07-03T18:20:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484763525579960320
@ModernCrap @karinebio13 @Prop215Patient @biggysmlls @lek_black @clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium mais pouvez-vous le prouver?

2014-07-03T16:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484731863802454016
@4thAnon The issue is declaring it a pollutant. The fact that it's a greenhouse gas is agreed science. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrodD5SCYAA3trL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrodD5SCYAA3trL.jpg

2014-07-03T16:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484731186330091521

@4thAnon I think they missed the rather important words "to be regulated". Nobody disagree that CO2 is a GHG.

2014-07-03T15:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484727566230487041

@mnchemtrails How do you know that's not a contrail?

2014-07-03T15:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484726825570947072

@4thAnon Was there some update?

2014-07-03T15:52:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484726439690764288

@evolveandascend That's clearly a plane dumping fire retardant during a forect fire

---

2014-07-03T15:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484726091047636992

@Realitydriven @Prop215Patient It's an illustration of memory and perception. Read the article for the context.

2014-07-02T23:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484482915368042496

@biggysmlls I'm sure by then you would have been able to find and post the edits you claim have been made.

2014-07-02T23:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484479538571644928

@biggysmlls well, where are you claiming they were added?

2014-07-02T23:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484476647815385088

@biggysmlls Dude, you are the one claiming they were added. You figure it out.

2014-07-02T22:51:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484469367770316800

@biggysmlls If you can produce a side-by-side then you will have exposed a cover-up. So why not put some effort into it?

2014-07-02T22:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484469181996224512

@biggysmlls So show me a side by side of those two things.

2014-07-02T22:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484468124482154496

@biggysmlls You were the one claiming the film had been edited. Do you have any evidence that ANY film has been edited to add contrails?

2014-07-02T22:45:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484467839370141696

@4thAnon And then who is going to unite against Congress?

2014-07-02T22:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484465731506307073

@scxq28 Thanks. Fascinating that we still use "signs" like odd animal behavior to detect climate changes.

2014-07-02T22:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484465147662970882

@biggysmlls Probably because you were looking for the productions stills. I thought you claimed contrails were added?

2014-07-02T22:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484464880150269952

The only one I know that's in the movie is during the "I'm Spartacus" scene.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FKCmyiljKo0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkqPbdCcAEi6It.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkqPbdCcAEi6It.jpg
2014-07-02T22:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484460346699022337
@4thAnon But you can't really analyze the chemtrail theory if you don't understand the science of contrails.

2014-07-02T22:14:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484460198476513280
@scxq28 Interesting, thanks. Are the buoy temps published anywhere, like with: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/teleconnections/enso/indicators/sea-temp.php

---

2014-07-02T22:11:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484459360853057536
@biggysmlls How are they edited? Show the edits.

2014-07-02T22:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484456499532414976
@scxq28 @Pete_Thomas Why isn't an El NiÃ±o detectable from temperature observations? If the fish can tell, why can't we?

2014-07-02T21:52:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484454504268447744
@4thAnon Supercoooled liquid water. Useful concept if you want to learn about contrails.

2014-07-02T21:49:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484453742750597120
@biggysmlls I'm confused, first you were "bringitmick", then you were "bored with Mick". Why don't you get the Spartacus shots sorted.

2014-07-02T21:45:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484452872294125569
@biggysmlls @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Production shots are photos, not in the film. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Film_still

---

2014-07-02T21:44:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484452456957370370
@biggysmlls @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan What is not in the

original movie? The production shots? Of course not.

2014-07-02T21:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484452210810437633

@biggysmlls @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan I see two similar shots with the same (painted) sky. What's up?

2014-07-02T21:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484450142171316225

Everyone remembers the sky being bluer. But it wasn't

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-sky-was-bluer.494/ @Prop215Patient

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brkc1ilCIAAqo-3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Brkc1ilCIAAqo-3.jpg

2014-07-02T21:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484449777535303680

@Prop215Patient I watched it. This is my response:

http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/ Let me know if you disagree with anything I said.

2014-07-02T21:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484445889218359298

@Prop215Patient Do you have any references to back up your claim? Arn't contrails just clouds?

2014-07-02T21:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484445679746445312

@Prop215Patient @biggysmlls @lek_black @karinebio13 @clearlyess 70 years of books disagree. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-02T21:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484445133912297472
@karinebio13 @Prop215Patient @biggysmlls @lek_black @clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkYSDFCQAIt5qN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkYSDFCQAIt5qN.jpg
2014-07-02T21:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484442755653853184
@Prop215Patient @biggysmlls @lek_black @karinebio13 @clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium
There are, proportional to the amount of air traffic.

2014-07-02T20:47:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/484438147992801281
@biggysmlls @karinebio13 @clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkR7aOCYAAsm-a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrkR7aOCYAAsm-a.jpg

2014-07-02T20:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484437683050016768

@biggysmlls @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan That second shot isn't even in the film.

2014-07-02T20:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484437553118859264

@biggysmlls @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Why don't you show the same shot, before and after?

2014-07-02T19:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484412133866606592

@clearlyess @DCGeoConsortium Who do? Military jet pilots practicing dog-fights?

2014-07-02T19:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484411971907764224

@jbsrq @ne1up @justabric @lek_black @rubyxmoon @iain39 @jace3312 @ShiJiHao @blazeart_ They said "maybe, in the future". That is not now.

2014-07-02T18:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484409026491322370

@jbsrq @ne1up @justabric @lek_black @rubyxmoon @iain39 @jace3312 @ShiJiHao @blazeart_ I watched it. They did not "admit to chemtrails"

2014-07-02T16:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484370112326037504

@biggysmlls @EmBrown37 @martinhume @RA9h10m20sD1416 Public posts invite public

comment.

2014-07-02T15:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484358535484960768

@nickmyer All meteorologist will tell you that eventually contrails become indistinguishable for normal cirrus. See: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrjJhWMCUAExasi.jpg

---

contrails indistinguishable cirrus

Web Images Videos Shopping News More Search tools

About 245,000 results (0.51 seconds)

Contrail Education
science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/**contrail**-edu/
Jul 2, 2013 - Importance of Student Data in the Study of **Contrails** ... of square kilometers, becoming **indistinguishable** from naturally occurring **cirrus** clouds.

CORE: Aviation **Contrails**
www.co2offsetresearch.org/aviation/**Contrails**.html
Contrails and **Cirrus** Clouds from Aviation. **Contrails** Clouds can have either a ... of [aviation-induced cloudiness] is **indistinguishable** from background **cirrus**" ...

[PDF] Global Modeling of **Contrail** and **Contrail Cirrus** Climate ...
www.faa.gov/.../Global%20Modeling%2... Federal Aviation Administration
by U BURKHARDT - 2010 - Cited by 27 - Related articles
contrail cirrus clouds will substantially narrow the uncer- tainty associated with the ... 2010), because **contrail cirrus** is often **indistinguishable** from natural **cirrus**.

Atmospheric science: Seeing through **contrails** : Nature ...
www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v1/n1/full/nclimate1078.html Nature
by O Boucher - 2011 - Cited by 6 - Related articles
Mar 29, 2011 - **Contrails** formed by aircraft can evolve into **cirrus** clouds **indistinguishable** from those formed naturally. These 'spreading **contrails**' may be ...

Boucher in Nature Climate Change: **Contrails** can evolve ...
francisworldinsideout.wordpress.com/.../boucher-in-nature-climate-chan...
Apr 28, 2011 - "**Contrails** formed by aircraft can evolve into **cirrus** clouds **indistinguishable** from those formed naturally. These 'spreading **contrails**' may be ...

3.4. **Contrail** Occurrence and Persistence and Impact ... - IPCC
www.ipcc.ch/.../index.php?... Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
The distinctive properties of **cirrus** and **contrails** derive from the physics of ice .... Such dispersed **contrails** are usually **indistinguishable** from natural **cirrus**; hence ...

BBC News - How aircraft **contrails** form cloud
news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/.../8309629.stm British Broadcasting Corporation
Jan 8, 2010 - Satellite images have revealed how aircraft **contrails** form into **cirrus** clouds **indistinguishable** from those that arise naturally.

Contrails warm the world more than aviation emissions ...
www.newscientist.com/.../dn20304-**contrails**-warm-the-wor... New Scientist
by Michael Marshall - Aug 12, 2011 - **Contrail** "**cirrus**" does the same thing, but the question is: how much? ... they spread out and become **indistinguishable** from normal **cirrus**.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrjJhWMCUAExasi.jpg

2014-07-02T14:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484343745198817280

@nickmyer Why would it not look like a cloud? Planes have been forming clouds since the 1920s. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bri8EcJCUAEyPXw.jpg

---

CLOUD FORMATION BY SUPERCHARGED PLANE.[1]

[Reprinted from *U. S. Air Service*, July, 1921, p. 13.]

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field [Dayton, Ohio] recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000–27,000 feet at 11:50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.
* * *

[1] Cf. Varney, B. M.: The Argonne battle cloud. Mo. Weather Rev., June, 1921, 49: 348–349, also Wegener, A.: Frost-supersaturation (Frostübersättigung) and cirrus. *Ibid.* p. 349.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bri8EcJCUAEyPXw.jpg

2014-07-02T14:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484341322010988544

@nickmyer But strong evidence they are just contrails. The look like them, act like them, refract light like them. So what's different?

2014-07-02T14:20:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484340843134713857

@biggysmlls @EmBrown37 @martinhume @RA9h10m20sD1416 And how do they know this? What is the evidence? Something they read on the internet?

2014-07-02T14:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484340684950749184

@nickmyer It looks like a cloud. Why do you say it is a "chemtrail"? Is it the colors?

2014-07-02T06:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484220237592526849

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff 35,000 ft.

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/farnbourough2-jpg.671/

2014-07-02T03:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484172183996882944

@jbsrq @ne1up @justabric @lek_black @rubyxmoon @iain39 @jace3312 @ShiJiHao @blazeart_ And what exactly did they say?

2014-07-02T03:05:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484170855744667648

@VtFreePress Normal what in air? There's different levels of just about everything. Mostly from natural dust, but also pollution.

2014-07-02T02:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484161623859269632

@VtFreePress No there would not. (Except for normal background levels from contamination and air)

2014-07-02T02:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484160502994444289

@VtFreePress Okay.

2014-07-02T02:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484160067374039040

@MarkDice Source?

2014-07-02T02:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484159936595632128

@VtFreePress No, because it's probably a joke.

2014-07-02T02:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484159858095042560

@VtFreePress Show me a verifiable explanation of the difference between a "chemtrail" and a persistent spreading contrail.

2014-07-02T02:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484159436911415296

@VtFreePress But what about this image? Don't you feel a little silly having tweeted it? Shouldn't you retract it?

2014-07-02T02:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484159232476868608

@VtFreePress There's a million things people could show me. The problem is that nobody ever does.

2014-07-02T02:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484158210530492417

@EmBrown37 @martinhume @RA9h10m20sD1416 @biggysmlls The entire flight is literally after takeoff.

2014-07-02T02:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484158031865729025

@VtFreePress What? This is just a reflection in a window.

2014-07-02T02:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484157834808926208

@Dubee73 @RealAlexJones @RonPaul I can't disagree there.

2014-07-02T02:12:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484157513823055872

@nickmyer If they were polymer fibers, then why is there an ice halo there? What polymer can do that?

2014-07-02T02:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484157325477810176

@Dubee73 @RealAlexJones @RonPaul So what are you saying, Alex should just defer to whatever Ron says?

2014-07-02T01:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484150684300754945

@nickmyer That's the point though. There must have been millions of accurate books on the weather. What did they do with them?

2014-07-02T01:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484150398433779712

@Dubee73 @RealAlexJones Nimmo wrote the articel. And he simple quoted Ron Paul. What does Alex thing? Just whatever Ron Paul thinks?

2014-07-01T23:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484114511272493059

@RealAlexJones You disagree? Maybe you should present your reasoning.

2014-07-01T23:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484114033931325441

@JtbuffBuff @Curtis_Hembroff Who said it is impossible? Of course it it possible. There's just no evidence it is happening.

2014-07-01T23:18:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484113855555985408

@JtbuffBuff Governments lie. Politicians lie. People lie. Nobody has EVER disputed this fact.

2014-07-01T23:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484113534079365120

@nickmyer You think they can remove millions of books saying one thing, and add millions of books saying the opposite, and nobody notices?

2014-07-01T19:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484051496158261249

@davidicke If they are not contrails, then why do they look and act exactly like them? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-07-01T17:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484033014939140096

@JtbuffBuff @Curtis_Hembroff There were many dispersement tests in the 1950s. They were secret at the time, Cold War and all.

2014-07-01T16:04:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484004576526233601

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff Read the description. They were contrails formed at high altitude.

2014-07-01T16:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484004429570400257

@nickmyer So how would they remove the millions of books all round the world that said contrails are short?

2014-07-01T16:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/484004235881623552

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies How are the trees in your neighborhood Nick? It' easy to walk around anywhere and find some bare trees.

2014-07-01T15:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483990165350666241

@KevinKIRO7 @drfessel Thanks Kevin, it reminded me to the "Mystery Missile" in LA. The scale and perspective prays tricks with the brain.

2014-07-01T06:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483859330093944832

@hOnOstly @KevinKIRO7 Found it: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bent-contrail-near-seattle.3881/#post-113256

2014-07-01T06:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483858273771073536

@KevinKIRO7 @KIRO7Seattle This jet. Notice the slight angle. Perspective. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrcCiSaCQAIiAAW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrcCiSaCQAIiAAW.jpg

2014-07-01T06:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483858154506047488

@KevinKIRO7 @KIRO7Seattle Tracked it down - it was a private jet flying at 41,000 feet, above normal traffic. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bent-contrail-near-seattle.3881/#post-113256

2014-07-01T05:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483851952510365696

@hOnOstly @KevinKIRO7 Is that looking North?

2014-07-01T05:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483850838306078720

@hOnOstly @KevinKIRO7 Thanks! I'm having trouble finding it - I'm thinking it might be a very high altitude military jet.

2014-07-01T05:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483843925157769216

@hOnOstly @KevinKIRO7 John, where is this photo taken from? What time? I'm looking into it here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bent-contrail-near-seattle.3881/

2014-07-01T05:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483840318991659008

@hOnOstly @KevinKIRO7 it's not 90 degrees. Probably more like 10Â°. It's perspective.

2014-07-01T05:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483839870574411778

@RisingSign Why do you keep sending me stuff and at the same time accuse me of harassing you? Do you have a specific question?

2014-06-30T23:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483753597960585216

Government docs reveal weather modification program in California! Names names!

https://archive.org/stream/weathermodificat196162calirich#page/4/mode/2up

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrajVVCCYAEMNGO.jpg



THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

January 21, 1963

Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Governor
and Members of the Legislature of the
State of California

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to transmit herewith a report of the Department of Water Resources, entitled "Weather Modification Operations in California, October 1961 - September 1962."

During the period covered by the report, there were eight projects involving weather modification operations which were undertaken in California. These were accomplished by eight of the eleven operators who were licensed by the department for such activities.

Sincerely yours,

Director

APPROXIMATE TARGET AREA
OF
WEATHER MODIFICATION OPERATIONS

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrajVVCCYAEMNGO.jpg

2014-06-30T22:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483740975383072768

@RisingSign Sorry, I don't see the point in repeating all the points from an old History Channel show. Your pics look like contrails, is all

---

2014-06-30T22:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483740750081818624

@RisingSign Sorry you feel harassed. Just trying to correct some errors.

2014-06-30T21:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483730761351045120

@RisingSign Which is why nobody is actually experimenting with it in the wild. Pretty much all computer models so far.

2014-06-30T21:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483730314292781056

@RisingSign You keep posting photos that look exactly like contrails, and you call them "chemtrails". Why?

2014-06-30T20:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483714962435497985

@RisingSign It's just talking about possible future geoengineering. There are hundreds of such articles.

2014-06-30T20:41:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483711976900534272

@nickmyer Only if we actually were living in an Orwellian dystopia. That would imply everything you read everywhere is fake (or approved)

2014-06-30T20:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483711687178997760

@RisingSign What site am I attacking? I'm just chatting with you on Twitter?

2014-06-30T18:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483673194822242304

@RisingSign Belief is not a choice. It's what the evidence indicates. What evidence do you have?

2014-06-30T18:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483672931021496320

@nickmyer 100 years of books and science papers faked with millions of copies? Go to your library. Is that fake? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrZZ941CIAEylpn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrZZ941CIAEylpn.jpg

2014-06-30T18:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483672533623791616

@nickmyer Have you seen how many books you are talking about? How do they insert them into bookstores, libraries and private houses?

2014-06-30T16:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483655101353959424

@RisingSign (prerogative). But I don't WISH to believe things. I have studied the evidence very closely. The evidence is largely bunk.

2014-06-30T16:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483654800370716672

@RisingSign What is this quiz supposed to prove? Go ahead though :)

2014-06-30T16:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483645425769906177

@RisingSign I watched Dane do that talk live, then I talked with him directly after. I watched the NASA video a few weeks ago.

2014-06-30T16:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483644951293460482

@RisingSign You want me to watch them AGAIN? What on earth for? I've seen them. I know what they contain.

2014-06-30T16:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483643249370726400

@RisingSign I have watched them.

2014-06-30T16:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483642747107037184

@RisingSign Did you watch my 3 minute video? What did you think?

2014-06-30T16:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483642639569285120

@RisingSign As I said, I've seen them already. Geoengineering is something being discussed for possible future use.

2014-06-30T16:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483642475819433984

@RisingSign And yet the trails still look exactly like contrails. If they are "talking action" they are doing it without evidence.

2014-06-30T16:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483642227306946562

@4thAnon economics and global politics. It's from a course he teaches. http://macaulay.cuny.edu/eportfolios/petraeus13/files/2013/09/MHC-498-Syllabus.pdf

---

2014-06-30T16:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483641625948614658

@RisingSign I'm just saying these trails look exactly like contrails. You can't jump from "gov must be doing something" to "chemtrails"

2014-06-30T16:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483641379357077505

@camy_079 @RisingSign Correct. Lots more examples here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/ (Also other similar things, like firefighting packs)

2014-06-30T16:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483641150637498369

@RisingSign @camy_079 Dreamed up? They have been using ballast barrels since the 1950s. They have to use they to test the aircraft.

2014-06-30T15:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483640993900535808

@RisingSign No it's not. Planes generally fly in straight lines. What do you think "normal" trails would look like?

2014-06-30T15:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483639047118520321

@RisingSign I was actually at that conference, and briefly talked to Dane.

2014-06-30T15:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483638943414374400

@RisingSign Seen them already. Dane just uses the same flawed evidence. The NASA video is talking about the future.

2014-06-30T15:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483637350417715200

@RisingSign Humidity at low altitude is unrelated to humidity at 35,000 feet. Those cumulus clouds are under 6,000 feet.

2014-06-30T15:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483636588509818880

@RisingSign Here's a weather magazine from 1951 with a photo of two contrails: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrY46cvCEAI2Wmr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrY46cvCEAI2Wmr.jpg

2014-06-30T15:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483636322393788416

@RisingSign Something else? It's a photo of two contrails.

2014-06-30T15:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483636237308162048

@RisingSign Right, but all those pics have been explained. Contrails, ballast barrels, cirrus clouds, more contrails.

2014-06-30T15:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483634891930624001

@RisingSign It depends on the relative humidity. That changes from day to day. Just like it's cloudy some days and not others.

2014-06-30T15:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483634355433000960

@RisingSign But you'd agree now that contrails can persist?

2014-06-30T15:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483631910120194048

@RisingSign Science isn't something you pick and choose to believe. Look at the actual evidence. Contrails can persist and spread.

2014-06-30T15:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483631430044381184

@RisingSign I made this video. It's only 3 minutes long. Please consider watching it, to get a broader perspective. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-30T15:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483631179766050817

@RisingSign You can't prove a negative. However I can point out there is no actual evidence. I encourage you to check the science.

2014-06-30T15:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630946701176833

@RisingSign What is a "chemtrail" plane?

2014-06-30T15:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630756074254336

@RisingSign Have you been told that contrails always quickly fade away? If so, did you check this?

2014-06-30T15:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630547273404416

@RisingSign All planes are contrail planes if they fly in the right atmospheric conditions.

2014-06-30T15:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630410128035841

@RisingSign Because that what contrails are. Trails that come from planes. They sometimes persist an spread.

2014-06-30T15:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630299255824384

@RisingSign Example incorrectly performed test: http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/ Tested the % in solids instead of in air.

2014-06-30T15:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483630077574279168

@RisingSign I'm just demonstrating that there is no actual evidence it is being done.

2014-06-30T15:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483629916617850881

@RisingSign The test do not show that. Either they misread the test, or test the wrong thing.

2014-06-30T15:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483629748879245313

@RisingSign There are many photos claiming to be "chemtrail" planes. They are ALL identifiable as other things.

2014-06-30T15:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483629427369074688

@RisingSign What you call a "chemtrail" is simply a persistent contrail. Depending on weather at altitude, contrails can persist for hours.

2014-06-30T15:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483628997503238144

@RisingSign See also:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYyApZCMAAfDxk.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYyApZCMAAfDxk.jpg

2014-06-30T15:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483628881207758849

@RisingSign That's a pre-production test plane. Water barrels simulate different passenger

configurations. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYx54aCcAAfNJE.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYx54aCcAAfNJE.jpg

2014-06-30T15:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483627494881247232

@RisingSign That's what contrails do sometimes. According to all the books on clouds ever.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-30T15:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483627337238323201

@4thAnon We could have a long discussion about wandering womb. That does not make it real.

2014-06-30T14:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483624013516652545

@4thAnon @thehealthranger Here. Check out the actual science:

http://www.skincancer.org/prevention/sun-protection/sunscreen/sunscreens-safe-and-effective

---

2014-06-30T14:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483622258204614656

@4thAnon From G&M "The IARC cautioned that high vitamin D levels in blood samples may have little to do with lowering cancer risk"

2014-06-30T14:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483621223046184961

@4thAnon @thehealthranger @globeandmail That story says nothing about sunscreen.

2014-06-30T14:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483620904350384128

@4thAnon Neither. But sunscreen works, and has a long history of science behind it. Where is Mike Adam's science?

2014-06-30T14:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483615484307193857

@4thAnon You take Natural News as a reliable and scientific source?

2014-06-30T14:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483614129656053761

@Chemtrails_818 @_theworldnew It can happen in a single trail, with two diff engines on the same plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYkfOuCIAAolVo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYkfOuCIAAolVo.jpg

2014-06-30T14:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483613762557997056

@Chemtrails_818 @_theworldnew i.e. reflected vs. scattered vs. refracted. The mix makes the color

---

2014-06-30T14:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483613559331381250

@Chemtrails_818 @_theworldnew They have different optical densities (i.e. one is thicker than the other). Changes the balance of light types

---

2014-06-30T13:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483610862049972224

@Chemtrails_818 BUt your photos looks like contrails. You can't see any planes, and they are

flying in straight lines.

2014-06-30T13:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483604402251386880

@Chemtrails_818 How does it differ from a contrail grid?

2014-06-30T13:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483604277953179648

@RisingSign How can you tell the difference? Here's a 1957 book on clouds for comparison.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYbhxsCUAE_5rU.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrYbhxsCUAE_5rU.jpg
2014-06-30T12:59:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/483595772680630273

@nickmyer Print costs what? Are you suggesting it's a fake?

2014-06-30T04:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483469652664651778

@JtbuffBuff @LuminatedSlave @thompn4 @GRMcKen Nothing tested there was weaponry. Just tests to see how far stuff went in the wind.

2014-06-30T03:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483447161657364480

@JtbuffBuff it shows that the trails you post photos are not evidence of secret spraying.

2014-06-30T01:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483424006616264704

@JtbuffBuff Several books on clouds :) They all agree.

2014-06-29T23:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483384494276104193

@JtbuffBuff An unfortunate response to simple facts. Perhaps you could try to refute them instead?

2014-06-29T22:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483378540356722688

@LuminatedSlave @thompn4 @GRMcKen As they have always done so.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrVOOIACUAAL13Y.jpg



32 Spokane Daily Chronicle, Thursday, July 7, 1955.

Vapor Trails, Scenic From Ground, Pose Air Danger

Vapor trails often take picturesque forms.

Condensation trails made by high-flying planes over the Inland Empire have professional significance to pilots who fly jet fighters and bombers.

Bomber pilots consider the "con trail" a disadvantage in that it would help people on the ground detect their presence overhead. · practice runs over the area at extreme high altitude without detection by sound or sight when vapor trails are not forming, the air force pointed out.

The engines of the heavy B-36s can be heard more readily. The B-36s leave a number of con trails that merge into one long

make eight or so trails, one for each of six propeller-driven engines and one for each jet pod, Maj. Lawrence W. Ertner, Fairchild weather detachment commander, pointed out.

To a fighter pilot, the trails provide a means of locating "hostile" fighters or bombers.

In either case, it's a sure bet that the plane making the "con trails" or ice clouds is too high to hit with a rock. Air force weathermen say the trails seldom occur below 20,000 feet and normally are much higher than that.

In a 20-hour flight at high altitude Fairchild B-36 crews normally see trails some time during the flight.

Con trails seen lately over the Inland Empire have been classed as "moderately persistent." Persistent con trails are those that stay a while. An extremely persistent con trail might stay in the sky all day.

Weather briefings for fliers usually include the altitudes at which the weatherman thinks con trails will occur.

Air force weathermen at Geiger and Fairchild said there are two general theories as to why they form. One is that any moving object at a high altitude creates trails when weather conditions are right.

The second theory is that trails are caused by temperature changes of exhaust gas. Indications are that both are true under varying circumstances.

A cirrus cloud layer or overcast that marked Inland Empire weather may be a reason why con trails were formed often during late June, Major Ertner said.

Cirrus cloud formations are often an indicator of ice crystals aloft which need only a moving object to trigger them into a cloud.

Watch Needed

In World war II crews flying bombers over Germany were advised to be especially watchful when vapor trails occurred because German fighter pilots occasionally pursued the bombers in the trails and emerged from cover to fire at close range. Tail warning radar on B-36c and other bombers prohibits similar conditions today.

Col. Charles W. King of Geiger pointed out that fighter pilots of the Korean conflict were vitally concerned with the likelihood of condensation trails and the altitudes they would occur for combat tactics against other aircraft.

On Your
VACATION
to Insure Good Health
TAKE VITAMINS
AND MINERALS
We Have Vitamins for Your Every Need
Get Some From Us Today
**THE RAYMOND
NATURAL FOOD SHOP**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrVOOIACUAAL13Y.jpg

2014-06-29T22:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483376248685481984

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @Curtis_Hembroff @KristenMeghan The point is backed by all the books on cloud for 70 years. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-29T22:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483374207036710913

@eddiebravo @Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan My point is simply that these trails look exactly like contrails.

2014-06-29T22:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483373649861173249

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @Curtis_Hembroff @Waterboxer @laflaca26 Contrails and cirrus haze? And so?

2014-06-29T20:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483339661889122305

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan And this production photo from Spartacus is from 1959: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUq3FlCcAEi8NA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUq3FlCcAEi8NA.jpg

2014-06-29T19:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483338302494232576

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Here's a good one from 1957 (military jets overfly airshow) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUpn51CQAAgnkZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUpn51CQAAgnkZ.jpg

2014-06-29T19:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483337808606539777

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan But here's some from 1972

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUpLLjCEAAWhiq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUpLLjCEAAWhiq.jpg

2014-06-29T19:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483337667925401600

@Curtis_Hembroff @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan No, there was very little high altitude traffic in 1950. No jets & no more bombing.

2014-06-29T19:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483324886664765441

@intellihubnews If it were a "chemtrail" then silver would be the colour. However these rainbow colors indicate it must just be ice.

2014-06-29T18:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483319628983054336

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @Curtis_Hembroff NASA chart, but it's like the Appleman chart from ~1950 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUYo_bCEAA5lhI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUYo_bCEAA5lhI.jpg

2014-06-29T17:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483296976549597184

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @Curtis_Hembroff That's right. Specifically ice supersaturation. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUECe8CAAAtBUq.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrUECe8CAAAtBUq.png

2014-06-29T16:45:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483290220096262145

@Brains3 Is there any evidence in that "library" that you've checked, and found to be A) correct, and B) evidence of chemtrails?

2014-06-29T01:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483057154950045696

@Thetruthdenied Could you quote the lie?

2014-06-29T00:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483042082802528257

@marduk_aza yes, but that's just a function of the type of society we live in. I get that you don't like it. Change is hard though.

2014-06-29T00:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483038090747850752

@marduk_aza Don't consent to what? If they were testing stuff on you without consent, then that would be illegal. Martin claims the opposite

---

2014-06-28T23:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483028345819377664

@marduk_aza @martinhume We live in a society. That means you don't consent to a load of things. That's how societies work.

2014-06-28T21:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/483004044886028289

@martinhume Only with informed consent of everyone involved.

2014-06-28T19:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482970919451623424

@4thAnon If you can't just tell me, then it's not worth looking for.

2014-06-28T19:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482964393072660480

@4thAnon Boggles at something utterly unimportant. Why don't you talk about the IRS hard drive? Or you think my HD collection is CT-worthy?

2014-06-28T19:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482962928157470720

@4thAnon I don't think my messy garage is really the issue here, is it? Destroying failed hard drives is standard procedure in biz and gov.

2014-06-28T18:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482956115571789824

@4thAnon Old broken or unreliable drives drives. These are all drives that have failed on me. Shredding is common. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AZdZGKyu9hc

---

2014-06-28T18:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482955271627489281

@4thAnon I think the important point here is that it's sensible thing to destroy broken drives, rather than toss them, No?

2014-06-28T18:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482952698342281216

@4thAnon Funny, I can accept :) What do you do with your old hard drives?

2014-06-28T18:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482951587124363264

@4thAnon e-waste I have to take to the dump, but of a drive, so I don't do it often.

2014-06-28T18:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482951339169677313

@4thAnon Theya re ten years old, they were in use a few years ago. I need to tidy up :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrPJrslCMAAHUpg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrPJrslCMAAHUpg.jpg

2014-06-28T18:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482949215669088256

@4thAnon About six I think, ranging up to around 10 years old. You want me to take a photo?

2014-06-28T18:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482948976241414144

@4thAnon I've got a bunch of hammered drives in the garage. Waiting for e-waste recycling.

2014-06-28T18:08:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482948723224227840

@4thAnon Yes, I have all my private info on the hard drive to hide. It's standard practice to destroy failed hard drives. What do you do?

2014-06-28T18:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482947608466305024

@4thAnon Just last week actually. I used a hammer. I always destroy old hard drives.

2014-06-28T18:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482946765352480770

@4thAnon What do you think is going on? What did the IRS do?

2014-06-28T18:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482946651057684480

@4thAnon Bunch of semantic showboating. Trey doesn't know what's going on, he's just trying to make Koskinen look bad.

2014-06-28T17:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482940407089623040

@4thAnon Cruz is just grandstanding for effect. You are helping him.

2014-06-28T17:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482940321538392065

@4thAnon This is such a ridiculous story. Have you even looked into what the IRS did? Keyword targeting. Impacted progressives more.

2014-06-28T16:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482931325968453633

@H_M_H_B @ContrailSkies Lithium makes red fireworks:

https://www.google.com/search?q=lithoum+fireworks

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrO3exHCMAAt77j.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrO3exHCMAAt77j.jpg

2014-06-28T16:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482930983776174080

@H_M_H_B @ContrailSkies Do you know what that article is about? Sounding rockets. Essentially large fireworks. (Lithium is used for red)

2014-06-28T16:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482930656570130432

@4thAnon No.

2014-06-28T16:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482928909629931520

@4thAnon You think George Soros and the Koch brothers are working together then?

2014-06-28T16:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482928303326494720

@4thAnon Looks like a bunch of evidenceless speculation there.

2014-06-28T16:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482927961318768641

@ronschurman I don't think that's even a contrail. Looks like band cirrus. Compare with this 1905 photo: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrO0a9qCcAI6rcj.jpg

---



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*) *Page* 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrO0a9qCcAI6rcj.jpg

2014-06-28T16:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482927478894125056

@H_M_H_B For example. Contrails have been known to persist and spread since the 1920s.

That's a verifiable fact.

2014-06-28T16:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482927293522665472

@H_M_H_B I don't know if they do of if they don't. I do know that the evidence for them seems to be mostly bunk.

2014-06-28T16:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482926682852978688

@4thAnon These type of people:

http://www.businessinsider.com/the-richest-bankers-in-the-world-2012-3?op=1

2014-06-28T16:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482926566456827904

@H_M_H_B Old book on clouds. This is nothing new.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrOzJx5CIAAczfk.jpg



FIG. 11 If a thermal, or for that matter any other kind of cloud has ice particles falling from it and the wind is less at lower levels, those particles which have fallen farthest get left behind and a great sweeping trail is produced. *(See* 35)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrOzJx5CIAAczfk.jpg

2014-06-28T16:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482925975932379136

@H_M_H_B Also in all the books on clouds for over 100 years.

2014-06-28T16:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482925558884343808

@H_M_H_B Would you not want to know if you are right or wrong?

2014-06-28T16:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482925471399563264
@H_M_H_B My view is that errors posted on the internet should be corrected. Do you think they should be left alone?

2014-06-28T16:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482925310078230530
@4thAnon You want me to name names of a group I don't think exists?

2014-06-28T16:35:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482925223071604736
@H_M_H_B That's a pic of clouds with virga. Source: http://www.newscientist.com/gallery/dn17178-extraordinary-clouds/7

2014-06-28T16:30:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482924043528462336
@4thAnon Normal as in something that happen ordinarily. No normal as in good. And the "group" is the illusory one you promote the idea of.

2014-06-28T16:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482923709116588036
@H_M_H_B What is the difference? You know that contrails can persist and spread, right? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-28T16:15:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482920185670537216
@4thAnon A small organized group secretly controlling the world. What we have here is normal corrupt rich people acting in self interest.

2014-06-28T16:14:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482919878702010369
@WhiteSkyBlues @Mauginog @Revolutionaris @SmithTarquin @H_M_H_B @JaniceReiki @martinhume Circular reasoning. Running from facts.

2014-06-28T16:13:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482919731062530051
@H_M_H_B But why don't you think it can be normal flights? There's a VAST amount of criss-crossing normal traffic overhead.

2014-06-28T15:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482914108769988609
@H_M_H_B @WhiteSkyBlues @Mauginog @JaniceReiki @martinhume Yes, those look like normal intersecting paths. Where is it?

2014-06-28T15:50:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482914001362247682
@H_M_H_B COntrails will block out the sun if they are in front of the sun. Just like any other clouds.

2014-06-28T15:49:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482913643705544704

@4thAnon Yeah, big money has corruption. You think anyone doubts that? Does not equate to a NWO Illuminati though.

2014-06-28T15:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482911094252376065

@4thAnon "The Illuminati were amateurs" says @mtaibbi, but that's just an entertaining lead. Bank execs are the criminals here.

2014-06-28T15:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482910305832300548

@4thAnon @mtaibbi If it were an actual Rothschilds conspiracy, then why is it being investigated? Is there a shadowy force even higher?

2014-06-28T15:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482909218790313985

@4thAnon @mtaibbi No. But note it says "The players may be a little different". He fingers big money. Potentially a REAL issue.

2014-06-28T15:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482908114786598912

@Mauginog Aha. Well then â□□ carry on! :)

2014-06-28T15:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482903259363807232

@WhiteSkyBlues @H_M_H_B @Mauginog @JaniceReiki @martinhume Why is this suspicious? Contrails have been in the sky all the kids life anyway.

2014-06-28T15:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482902982225186816

@4thAnon So the old story goes. Very little real evidence though, if you step outside the bubble. Banks and gov policy own the currencies.

2014-06-28T14:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482899802556887041

@marduk_aza @HeavenLeeOps I doubt it. Look it up.

2014-06-28T14:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482899690262773763

@Brains3 Never, if you mean geoengineering or similar, many decades ago if you just mean spraying stuff out of planes. What's a "chemtrail"?

2014-06-28T14:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482899153131827201

@Chemtrails_818 @hiphopintellect They are not. This is a plane flying horizontal (flat) loops. About 6 miles up. It's perspective.

2014-06-28T04:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482740653462274050

@4thAnon Debunking the suggestion that it was something other than communism and censorship that he was talking about.

2014-06-27T22:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482657686853386240

@HeavenLeeOps They are there also houses on the moon, and manned missions to Mars, and teleporters? All patented.

2014-06-27T22:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482650115656523777

@4thAnon ... but not about the Rothschilds and the Illuminati. It's Libor 2, ISDAFix

---

2014-06-27T22:19:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482649549014433792

@4thAnon When were they ever theories?

2014-06-27T22:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482648935937236993

@HeavenLeeOps yes, but it in no way implies the invention exists or works.

2014-06-27T22:02:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482645140129869824

@HeavenLeeOps @martinhume Its not a cite. It's a bunch of facts you can verify yourself. Do you think patents mean it exists or works?

2014-06-27T21:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482642299914969088

@HeavenLeeOps @martinhume Patents don't mean something exists, or even works. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-06-27T13:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482522784623382531

@Chemtrails_818 Illustrates the wind drift very well. One general flight path, then contrails blown to the right.

2014-06-27T13:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482522468184121344

@ShawnSt51128037 @alondelon @iain39 @martinhume And how exactly do they know this? Isn't that a circular argument?

2014-06-27T13:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482517768965595136

@TimBravo He's talking about sounding rockets. Chem tails = chemical trails. The rockets leave chemical trails.

2014-06-27T04:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482378974438240256

@_WeAreAware_ Nowhere, he's in a holding pattern.

2014-06-26T23:42:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482307923419222016

@hiphopintellect And also radiosonde humidity data is very inaccurate below -40Â°, you need

to use other data to calc RH.

2014-06-26T23:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482307247398068224

@hiphopintellect So cold low pressure air can be saturated with water even though it contains very little.

2014-06-26T23:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482307121732534272

@hiphopintellect RH is how much water a section of air can hold before it condenses out. Cold air can hold less than hot air

---

2014-06-26T23:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482306842349928448

@hiphopintellect The air is dry, but because it's so low pressure it can't hold much water, so the relative humidity can still be high.

2022-05-13T18:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525185734079959040

@dnimsnaD @BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima I said I was referring to earlier seasons.

2022-05-13T18:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525185225986191360

@BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima This.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x79SlmMNI5U

2022-05-13T18:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525185006007595008

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 You suggested I say "several former and current government officials claim there are mountains of data"

So can I get a specific example of someone, currently in government, talking about mountains of data regarding any of the three videos.

2022-05-13T18:41:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525184570559057920

@BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima So a guy with a Ph.D. genuinely could not figure out he was just looking at a lens flare in the laser scene? And nobody in production figured it out? And you added dramatic music to reflect the mood?

Come on Brandon, there's at least a LITTLE sensationalizing going on.

2022-05-13T18:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525183919410143232

@Tarcante @BrandonFugal @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima @OSIRIS_UAP It was not.

2022-05-13T18:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525180959124885505

@saucerlit @Spectre67904513 @bbman182 That's a tortuously extracted quote that only MIGHT apply to a few cases. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSqH5UmVIAA9cyE.jpg

---


Other: Although most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis, we may require additional scientific knowledge to successfully collect on, analyze and characterize some of them. We would group such objects in this category pending scientific advances that allowed us to better understand them. The UAPTF intends to focus additional analysis on the small number of cases where a UAP appeared to display unusual flight characteristics or signature management.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSqH5UmVIAA9cyE.jpg

2022-05-13T18:22:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525179779078533122

@Channa_Sr @Spectre67904513 @bbman182 I said "And this is something that unfortunately, the military really hasn't been able to comment on. Because a lot of these are covered by the by secrecy, and because they are classified."

2022-05-13T18:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525177136734031872

@Channa_Sr @Spectre67904513 @bbman182 I had 2 minutes. While I would like to give a detailed nuanced overview of the situation, there was simply no time.

2022-05-13T18:09:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525176484586921984

@Spectre67904513 @bbman182 I didn't.

2022-05-13T18:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525176162166579200

@Spectre67904513 @bbman182 Sure, the military has millions of hours of data. That exists.

But what does it tell us about the videos?

The official report said it was inconclusive.

2022-05-13T18:06:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525175779570552833

@Mr_BenPrime @ChrisSPITZER7 I'm sure not a scientist. I'm just a good debugger, especially of 3D vector issues, which is helpful for investigating and debunking.

2022-05-13T18:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525175316921999360

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 Why do WE need to see it? It's classified.

I'd sure like to see it. But I'd struggle to explain why I NEED to see it.

2022-05-13T18:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525174974285115392

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 So, none of them? You just made it up?

2022-05-13T18:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525174604267810816

@AdmiralQuality Yes, the color banding is actually more common than you think. Usually, photos like this have boosted saturation and contrast to make the colors stand out. To the naked eye, they are fairly dim.

2022-05-13T17:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525173715691896832

Triple aerodynamic rainbow contrails!

These come from variations in the size of water microdroplets in the contrails. The further from the plane a droplet gets, the larger it is. Mie scattering affects different wavelength of light based on the droplet size, hence "rainbow"

https://twitter.com/cvvhrn/status/1525168066245427200

2022-05-13T17:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525172722925375488

@Spectre67904513 @bbman182 Which current government official claims there are "mountains of data" about any of the videos?

2022-05-13T17:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525171871456538624

@bbman182 @Spectre67904513 I mentioned the problem with classified data.

I think that my "analyses of short clips" shows that those short clips are not as clear-cut evidence of advanced tech as some people had presented them as.

2022-05-13T17:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525171225328095232

@BrandonFugal @statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima @OSIRIS_UAP I think "Nothing sensationalized" is a bit much - unless that's a new style you are trying out for season 3. I'm only going by the first two seasons, but what about things like this:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x79SlmMNI5U

2022-05-13T17:45:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525170256158765056

@Spectre67904513 But my point was that a lot of the current situation is based on the videos.

Your suggested statement is false. The Pentagon clearly says, in their report, that their hard data does not settle the matter. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSp-QvFVUAAjhfs.jpg

---



50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)

(U) **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

(U) **The limited amount of high-quality reporting on UAP hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP.** The UAPTF considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on 1.4(c) describing incidents that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of a new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.

- (U) Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) **In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics, including several in which the** 1.4(a)(e)(g) **involving** 1.4(a)(e)(g) These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

(U) **There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting.** Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSp-QvFVUAAjhfs.jpg

2022-05-13T17:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525164431965007877

@statquanstring @HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima @BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP I've not seen it, but I'd assume not. Perhaps over-sensationalizers

---

2022-05-13T17:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525164078968102915

@BodyPositiveBob No.

2022-05-13T17:20:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525164005681049600

@HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima They don't understand it, and foreign drones are likely only a small part of the issue.

2022-05-13T17:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525163611546497024

@saucerlit @Spectre67904513 It's morning TV. Not a science show. I had limited time, and they asked me specific questions.

Also, me "getting the word out" about classified data to 75,000 people in Chicago isn't going to get that data released.

2022-05-13T17:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525162732437180416

@saucerlit I said "a lot", not "largely".

I then went on to say people were convinced by eyewitness testimony, particularly from pilots.

2022-05-13T17:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525162424269017089

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2C7jEOTINLw

2022-05-13T17:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525162370632339457

@maetaphysika https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2C7jEOTINLw

2022-05-13T17:13:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525162266529738753

@HeyLukOverThere @BiomechAnima The presence of genuine issues (drones, unidentified objects) will mean that does not happen, unless they go full Skinwalker.

2022-05-13T17:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525162037864583168

@BiomechAnima No, as I said there are three aspects of UAPs

1) Historical style UFO sightings, Foo Fighters, etc.

2) New technology, specifically drones, maybe foreign adversary drones or other UAS

3) UFO enthusiasts, pushing their beliefs in the ETH

The military is interesting in 1&2

2022-05-13T17:08:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525161107228200961

@Spectre67904513 What did I mislead about? I explained what I believed to be true. It's difficult to convey an accurate level of nuance in 2 minutes.

What would you have said?

2022-05-13T16:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525157908488499200

@AliG_212 I'm simply trying to figure out what happened - i.e. what is shown in the videos, and possibly what might fit some of the eyewitness accounts. I have a lot of respect for the pilots and have had great conversations with some of them.

2022-05-13T16:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525157089546362880

I appeared on Chicago's WGN9 this morning to briefly discuss the upcoming UAP hearings, and the evidence that has led to them.

(The headline on WGN somewhat oversells it, as I didn't have time to get into specific debunks - but I gave an overview) https://twitter.com/WGNMorningNews/status/1525143167443533825

2022-05-13T08:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1525023690072633344

@james4125 @bosma2002 @EricRWeinstein So the NYT published a misleading UFO activist

article, not a journalistic piece. But it was not presented as such.

The article was about AATIP, the videos were important, but not really mentioned much. Read it here:

https://archive.ph/m1Go5

2022-05-13T07:58:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525022640905125888
@AllRoadsSame @EricRWeinstein I think there are lots of different causes of UAP reports. Some of them might be new phenomena.

2022-05-13T07:56:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525022067355049984
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector It would be the same as any other similar-sized jet plane. It's simply another possibility.

2022-05-13T07:47:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525019965618761728
@snarkyspection Yes, cloud seeding is a real thing. Not a secret.

2022-05-13T07:45:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1525019455549345792
@bobofango86 It is. That's why I think it's a rotating glare.

2022-05-13T05:44:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524988902934605825
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector What is the limit on glare size?

2022-05-13T05:43:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524988765923442688
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector And a pelican on fire is not just unlikely, it's impossible. Let's keep to things that have some scientific validity, like aliens, or planes.

2022-05-13T05:38:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524987345061089280
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector I don't think it was seen from the side.

2022-05-13T04:49:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524975073265713153
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector The pilots considered it.

What is more likely. Misidentified drone, or aliens?

If we are to consider extraordinary options, then should we not also consider unlikely-but-more-boring options?

2022-05-13T00:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524910435782168576

@z5oliM @Agent131711 It's an understandable misconception.

2022-05-13T00:27:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524909181051883520

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector Yes, just like the Chilean plane wasn't.

2022-05-13T00:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524908967461150720

@peter68648257 @EricRWeinstein I don't know if Elizondo is part of a cover-up, or if there even is a cover-up (except perhaps of the AAWSAP mess)

2022-05-13T00:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524906006639063040

@issaufobro @nypost Did you watch it? It's not an argument from authority, it's a laying out of facts that you can check for yourself.

2022-05-13T00:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524903499078610945

@snarkyspection Not at 30,000 feet it isn't

2022-05-13T00:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524903364554723328

@Agent131711 If a plane is flying overhead, then when it gets close to the horizon it will look like it's vertical.

Here's a grid of lines, all horizontal, at 30,000 feet. The ones in the middle look vertical. From other angles they still seem to slope up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmLfvFVEAACrhq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmLfvFVEAACrhq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmLKFbVsAA79Iy.jpg

2022-05-12T23:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524895774793904128

@mission_europa @Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein Take what seriously? Poltergeists at Skinwalker Ranch?

2022-05-12T23:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524895357854883840

@thieme Coincidentally, I took this photo earlier today of an "invisible" plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmEMVJUEAA1Glr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmEIj0UYAA7ZdO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSmEMVJUEAA1Glr.jpg

2022-05-12T23:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524894485003456512

@thieme A bit of both.

2022-05-12T21:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524861922197110785

@always_usy @mission_europa @nypost Fravor says no.

2022-05-12T21:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524861767007948801

@the_cholla @EricRWeinstein They come somewhat cherry-picked and lacking context, via Mellon, Elizondo, and Kean. The military is not putting anything out there, and have barely commented on the encounters.

2022-05-12T21:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524860662526054400

@Scottishdragon3 @BrentlyLee @DeepSpace41 @SabersKnight Here's how she tried to explain it. Really it needs Fravor to weigh in on the timeline.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSlkmDBVUAEyP2K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSlkmDBVUAEyP2K.jpg

2022-05-12T21:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524857627099865090

@bosma2002 @EricRWeinstein This is not "debunking a video" it's debunking The New York Times's version of the history of AATIP. They DELIBERATELY left out the important facts that it was a paranormal research program largely based around Skinwalker Ranch. The Pentagon shut that down.

You should watch it.

2022-05-12T21:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524856997421518848

@joe_forbrich @EricRWeinstein That's not actually true when talking about exciting tales with dubious provenance. A viral story can go around the world before the truth gets its boots on.

2022-05-12T20:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524856565504716800
@volfan3178 @EricRWeinstein Former member. Puthoff HAS been involved in a lot of supernatural research. Project Stargate was a real thing. Puthoff was fooled by Uri Geller.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harold_E._Puthoff

2022-05-12T20:54:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524855572750692353
@uaptaskforce1 Did you watch it? It's not a "rebuttal" video. It's a quite deep-dive investigation into AAWSAP and Elizondo - and has almost nothing to do with reports of UFOs.

2022-05-12T20:37:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524851234623979520
@aka__V @EricRWeinstein I think he has an interest in the topic, and access to people with strong opinions on it, and that makes it seem significant to him. I feel like he's reading too much into it. It's complex, and there's gov ineptitude and shady dealings (AAWSAP) but a lot of it is very mundane.

2022-05-12T20:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524849382218027008
@Crumbsuka @EricRWeinstein It's not a psyop. The pentagon is embarrassed by AAWSAP, and is just trying to downplay it because the paranormal creates bad optics when it comes to congressional oversight and funding.
Watch the video.

2022-05-12T20:27:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524848810496733185
@AdamGoldsack @IF4US I think the people who think this is an alien door are not the people who think that government hearings are going to be honest. Different audiences.

2022-05-12T20:20:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524847083324203008
Our government IS engaged in a deception, just not a brilliant one. It's to cover up how they were fooled by Harry Reid and James Lacatski about the nature of the AAWSAP paranormal/UFO investigation program. @EricRWeinstein, you need to watch this.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6XD4gQS_-qY&t=129s
https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1524769650810126338

2022-05-12T20:07:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524843704808132608
@DabberPatriotic @EireScientist @nypost Who are you referring to?

2022-05-12T20:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524843547752472577
@mission_europa @nypost The question is really "how much" did they investigate it.

It's not like it's an all-or-nothing thing.

2022-05-12T20:05:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524843255728263168
@IF4US @AdamGoldsack Do you think this is government disinformation?

Ancient Aliens is very popular. People are going to do things like this "door on Mars" because they are true believers (in aliens) looking for evidence to validate their beliefs. That's all.

2022-05-12T19:56:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524840855558713344
A must-watch for anyone interested in the current UFO flap.
The @nypost's Steven Greenstreet shines a damning spotlight on the murky history of the AAWSAP and/or AATIP UFO/Paranormal research programs that the Pentagon tried to brush under the carpet.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6XD4gQS_-qY

2022-05-12T18:23:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524817478194327552
@the_cholla @MvonRen @uncertainvector I don't want a debate either. I want to figure stuff out.

2022-05-12T18:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524817351119491080
@the_cholla @Jim87504388 @MvonRen @uncertainvector There are large drones, like the RQ-4 Global Hawk.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B6HT_kYO9To

2022-05-12T17:34:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524805279333814273
@Robwilliams71 @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Mellon and Elizondo thought that the videos showed evidence of something like alien technology. So they got the videos out and gave them to the NYT and TTSA, hoping it would spur disclosure.

2022-05-12T17:33:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524804862566797318
@DaHawse @QTRResearch I think it's the inevitable result of a speculation bubble. Tulipmania.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkx49SVEAk9uNU.jpg

Tulipmania (also known as the Dutch tulip bulb market bubble) is a model for the general cycle of a financial bubble:

- Investors lose track of rational expectations.
- Psychological biases lead to a massive upswing in the price of an asset or sector.
- A positive-feedback cycle continues to inflate prices.
- Investors realize that they are holding an irrationally priced asset.
- Prices collapse due to a massive sell-off, and an overwhelming majority go bankrupt.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkx49SVEAk9uNU.jpg
2022-05-12T16:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524795559084580864
@the_cholla @MvonRen @uncertainvector Did he tell you that?

2022-05-12T16:16:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524785539261509632
@TheToddBradley @lesliekean I try to use it all the time because people were consistently telling me I was being too definitive when describing what I thought was the best hypothesis.

What agenda? I'm just trying to figure out what is going on. I also have personal opinions.

2022-05-12T16:14:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524785056320921605
@Robwilliams71 @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Didn't Mellon and Elizondo leak the videos?

I admit it's all a bit mysterious, but I don't know if there's necessarily a high level conspiracy. I try to stick to the tangible.

2022-05-12T16:12:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524784504841248768
@Rainmaker1973 And more specifically, it's a stage in the Froude transition.

https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/382010/1/JAMES_2015_Experimental%2520study%2520of%2520the%2520transitional%2520flow%2520of%2520a%2520sphere%2520located%2520at%2520the%2520free%2520surface_IWSMH.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkfMMWVsAARrpc.jpg

---

Fig.8 Drag coefficient, C_D, versus Reynolds number, Re, emphasising the drag crisis



Fig.9 Flow regime taxonomy including with the mean drag curve versus Reynolds number ($Re = \frac{UD}{\nu}$) and Froude number ($Fr = \frac{U}{\sqrt{Dg}}$), and above-water photographs of key flow regime changes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkfMMWVsAARrpc.jpg

2022-05-12T16:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524782352131510273

@timelesschild77 Does your critical thinking tell you this is artificial? Based on what knowledge and logic?

2022-05-12T16:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524782060849680385

@Rainmaker1973 I think that's more laminar flow than surface tension.

2022-05-12T16:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524781555612151810

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean That's funny, as I was just scolded for NOT using the word "probably". Do you think I should have been more or less definitive?

https://twitter.com/DavegiudiceDave/status/1524775695041417217

2022-05-12T15:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524781074936582147

@Robwilliams71 @bradjohansson21 @Spectre67904513 @TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Who?

I think the military is largely just irritated by the distracting ET aspect of what is now an important topic (drones, and airspace clutter)

I think the disclosure fans just want disclosure for the world's benefit, as they believe in anti-gravity, and probably aliens.

2022-05-12T15:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524779298430668800

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean Point being there were probably a variety of different events that have been conflated as one event.

- slow-moving radar targets in groups
- radar targets that glitch from one position to another at physically impossible speeds
- whatever Fravor saw
- whatever Underwood filmed

2022-05-12T15:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524778778261524480

@ginadmc74 Symmetry exists everywhere in geology. The "door" isn't even symmetrical.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkaE2jUYAEp4RA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkaE2jUYAEp4RA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkZ6HjUUAEBUdd.jpg

2022-05-12T15:46:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524778009412001794
@Spectre67904513 @TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Or, perhaps, not much of an investigation?

What investigation was carried out? What did they do? Nobody seemed to care about Fravor's encounter, which, if entirely accurate, would be earth-shattering in its implications.

Don't artificially limit your hypotheses.

2022-05-12T15:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524777291942817793
@DavegiudiceDave
https://twitter.com/search?q=probably%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query

---

2022-05-12T15:42:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524776921363410944
@LegendJohnston @AdHomin75190013 @ebrenner18 @MrCampbellMart1 This is literally half a mile from where I grew up. I used to play here as a kid. There's a "door" on the left. The limestone crags of West and North Yorkshire often show fractures like this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkX68XVgAA9ucH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkX68XVgAA9ucH.jpg

2022-05-12T15:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524774505935085569

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @MvonRen @uncertainvector Where did he say they didn't? We KNOW they (the same plane, did that for GoFast. What the story there? What does @uncertainvector say about how GoFast was acquired?

2022-05-12T15:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524773669888618497

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean 100 UFOs that did nothing but drift south at wind speed.

2022-05-12T15:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524771816920674308

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean And your tweet strong implies that "an object that outmaneuvered them with ease, with speed and movement that defied physics as we understand it" is "the event"

2022-05-12T15:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524771579212705792

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean How do you know it was the same object? It's a different shape to what Fravor described.

2022-05-12T15:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524771341299200001

@the_cholla @MvonRen @uncertainvector The whole point of the distant trajectory is that it is NOT what was being observed on the SA.

There were some funky returns, they pointed the camera in that direction, then got the video.

Can we get @uncertainvector's full unfiltered and unprompted account please?

2022-05-12T15:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524769266158899201

RT @Joe__312: Top Five Fatal Flaws with 2000 Mules:

1. No video of even one person that visited multiple ballot boxes

The movie producedâ□¦

2022-05-12T15:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524769087296966658

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean How is something an hour later, and many miles away, showing nothing but a distant blurry object flying away, "the event"

2022-05-12T15:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524768513876893696

@BryanKelly @michaelshermer Tell that to Leslie Kean, who broke the story (and also co-wrote the NYT UFO stories.) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkQG7XVcAAuv5B.jpg

---

By Leslie Kean, Contributor

Jan. 5, 2017, 11:19 AM EST | **Updated** Jan. 5, 2017

An exceptional nine-minute Navy video of a UFO displaying highly unusual behavior, studied by Chilean authorities for the last two years, is now being released to the public. The CEFAA - the Chilean government agency which investigates UFOs, or UAP (unidentified aerial phenomena), has been in charge of the investigation. Located within the DGAC, the equivalent of our FAA but under the jurisdiction of the Chilean Air Force, CEFAA has committees of military experts, technicians and academics from many disciplines. None of them have been able to explain the strange flying object captured by two experienced Navy officers from a helicopter.

The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSkQG7XVcAAuv5B.jpg

2022-05-12T14:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524756047457439745

@TheToddBradley @lesliekean Fravorâ□□s event was not filmed. Nor did the motions he describe appear on radar.

2022-05-12T05:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524625769644707840

@Bhima_Jenkins @Shimmy4404 Look at the other rocks, the straightness of the fractures. Zoom in.

http://www.gigapan.com/gigapans/229311?fbclid=IwAR3f_hjh2VI0G7MoWkPYy3bS0c2zGmaqva0GEpWVrJT_WlmzsxCycRg-LqQ

2022-05-12T05:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524623049462480896

@Berserk97981716 A shape consistent with the fracturing of the outcrop.

2022-05-12T05:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524622751754952704

@RamonDe17166977 Some things like the giant's causeway probably exist on Mars. But like on Earth, the odds of amazing rocks being in the random few miles a rover can cover is pretty small. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSiMLSYUUAA076g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSiMLSYUUAA076g.jpg

2022-05-12T05:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524621487822434307

@Berserk97981716 Rock outcrops have gaps in them all the time. It's not unusual, just nature.

2022-05-12T04:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524615267166277637

@valliant @RamonDe17166977 @issaufobro That was assuming it was a large moving object lower down. A tiny high object could be going much slower - or not moving at all (as the plane is moving)

But I think it's unlikely to be some flying object.

2022-05-12T04:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524613603566915586

@AnomalousAnom @osbald @socalkoi1 This isn't water though, it's various types of sand. The color is exaggerated by the white balance adjustment. You see "puddles" that are clearly on a slope.

2022-05-12T04:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524611665899048961

@TakeOthers It will be interesting.

2022-05-12T03:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524596402310893569

@ebrenner18 @LegendJohnston @MrCampbellMart1 There were similar rocks on the moors where I grew up, Bingley and Ilkley.

2022-05-12T03:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524594461661007877

@vkcodez @MadScientistPod @JasonColavito Itâ□□s not all nonsense.

2014-06-26T23:25:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482303671909093377

@hiphopintellect More refs: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2014-06-26T23:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482303520603795456

@hiphopintellect The answer is that humidity varies like clouds vary. Think about it. All the ways clouds vary. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrF8ftbCEAEQCpC.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrF8ftbCEAEQCpC.jpg

2014-06-26T23:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482303009309732864

@hiphopintellect Yes. Contrail formation and persistent depends on humidity. Humidity varies, just like clouds vary. Like invisible clouds.

2014-06-26T23:14:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482300956986445824

@hiphopintellect from 1921: "The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile." http://contrailscience.com/files/mwr-049-07-0412c.pdf

---

2014-06-26T23:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482300746382061568

@hiphopintellect Been observed since the 1920s. Explanation here, in the second paragraph. http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/fgz/science/contrail.php?wfo=fgz

---

2014-06-26T22:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482297154577256448

Got my copy of Weather, 1951. Contrails look familiar?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrF2tHrCIAEqbpg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrF2tHrCIAEqbpg.jpg

2014-06-26T22:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482296223668264960

@DeepakChopra Illusory.

2014-06-26T22:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482293558792044545

@hiphopintellect Another one way after the trail. Have you noticed how the chemtrail folk rely on blurry photos? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFzb5UCAAE817b.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFzb5UCAAE817b.jpg

2014-06-26T22:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482292807508307970

@hiphopintellect Yes, and sometimes it's formed WAY after the tail, or sometimes near the wing. It varies. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFywJ9CUAEyPhn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFywJ9CUAEyPhn.jpg

2014-06-26T22:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482292529237225476

@hiphopintellect They pretty much do, the size of the gap varies though. See: http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFyf73CEAI_LXQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFyf73CEAI_LXQ.jpg

2014-06-26T22:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482292184146640897

@hiphopintellect Do you follow the chemistry there? They are confusing aluminum metal with the aluminum compounds the test results show.

2014-06-26T22:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482291910728355840

@hiphopintellect Or another. The trails come from the engines. There's a gap (which varies).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFx79DCEAEW0Nq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFx79DCEAEW0Nq.jpg

2014-06-26T22:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482291594482044928

@hiphopintellect Yes, what about it. Notice the trails line up with the engine?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFxpg8CIAAoDYd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFxpg8CIAAoDYd.jpg

2014-06-26T22:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482291149810323457

@hiphopintellect Look closer http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFxPq6CEAIZZMn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFxPq6CEAIZZMn.jpg

2014-06-26T22:33:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482290612935221249

@hiphopintellect What do you think? Is it 100% not debunkable? Where are those trails coming from?

2014-06-26T22:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482290476712595457

@hiphopintellect Unexplained aluminum in landfill runoff? Hardly suspicious. Esp since dirt is 8% aluminum.

2014-06-26T22:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482289667903987713

@hiphopintellect They all are either wrong, or there's plausible explanations. Did you read the first one, for example? Is that evidence?

2014-06-26T22:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482288748973944832

@hiphopintellect Can you find one report of a sample that can't be explained? Isn't it telling that there's so much bad science?

2014-06-26T22:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482287858267348993

@hiphopintellect Why do I need to take samples, when all the chemtrail promoter's samples

show normal levels? Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFuQFNCcAEZQI8.jpg


Lined pond on Backbone Rd., east B

4

www.basiclab.com

basic laboratory

voice 530.243.7234 fax 530.243.7494

2218 Railroad Avenue
Redding, California 96001

Report To: WIGINGTON, DANE
P.O. BOX 9
BELLA VISTA, CA 96008

Attention: DANE WIGINGTON

Project: GENERAL TESTING

Description: POND SEDIMENT **Lab ID:** 7050069-01 **Sam**

Matrix: Sludge **Rece**

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method
Aluminum	ug/l	375000		300	1000	EPA 6010B
Barium	"	3090		10	50	"
Strontium	"	345		10	50	"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFuQFNCcAEZQI8.jpg

2014-06-26T22:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482287596031070208

@hiphopintellect Picking one, it was totally bogus. Measured the wrong thing.

http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/

2014-06-26T22:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482286396233633792

@hiphopintellect No we don't. We have lots of samples showing normal levels. Like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFs6-kCcAMEGLJ.jpg



www.basiclab.com
voice 530.243.7234 fax 530.243.7494
2218 Railroad Avenue Redding, California 96001

Sampled July 9th 2008

RECEIVED
APR 13 2010
U.S. FOREST SERVICE
Shasta-Trinity National Forests
Mt. Shasta District

Report To: TAYLOR, ROSE
2338 JAKES PLACE
MT SHASTA, CA 96067
Attention: ROSE TAYLOR
Project: GENERAL TESTING SNOW SURFACE, MT SHASTA
Phone: 926-1451
P.O. #

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method	Analyzed	Prepared	Batch
3/4 Mile Above Upper Sid Bowl Parking Lot Water (8070406-01) Sampled:07/09/08 00:00 Received:07/11/08 10:39									
Aluminum	ug/l	61100		30	100	EPA 6010B	07/18/08	07/16/08	8G00368
Barium	"	83		1	5	"	"	"	"
Strontium	"	383		1	5	"	"	"	"

Notes and Definitions

DET	Analyte DETECTED
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the detection limit
NR	Not Reported
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
<	Less than reporting limit
≤	Less than or equal to reporting limit
>	Greater than reporting limit
≥	Greater than or equal to reporting limit
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Minimum Level of Quantitation
	Maxium Contaminant Level/Action Level
	Results reported as wet weight
TTLC	Total Threshold Limit Concentration
STLC	Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure

Normal = 0.5 ug/l of Aluminum
Drinking water = 50 ug/l
Gov't action required = 1000 ug/l.

Francis Mangels
736 Pine Ridge Ave
Mount Shasta, CA 96067

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFs6-kCcAMEGLJ.jpg

2014-06-26T22:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482285305836224513

@hiphopintellectYou are looking up here, so if a trail is further away, then it's higher.

2014-06-26T22:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482284190033903616

@hiphopintellect Consider this. ALL the curved trails here are at the SAME altitude. But some look "above" others http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFq6hpCEAAgKOU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFq6hpCEAAgKOU.jpg

2014-06-26T22:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482283773464018945

@hiphopintellect But that's horizontal. It's not at both altitudes. It's a 3D pic. Think about where things are in space there.

2014-06-26T22:03:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482283123418202114

@hiphopintellect How do you know?

2014-06-26T22:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482283029012824064

@hiphopintellect Like how water can be liquid at 33Â°F and solid at 32Â°F. Tiny diff in temp = huge diff in liquidity.

2014-06-26T22:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482282757112881152

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch But it demonstrates the trail can differ. They can also differ in persistence. There's science behind this.

2014-06-26T22:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482282517706190848

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch How do you know they are the same altitude? They look like they are 20 miles away and seven miles up.

2014-06-26T21:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482281885301604352

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch So? That just means they are at different altitudes, or they are different airplanes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFo0aGCIAAowSN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFo0aGCIAAowSN.jpg

2014-06-26T21:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482281582787448832

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch No, there are thousands more. Example I observed:

http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFoiy_CMAA00cZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFoiy_CMAA00cZ.jpg

2014-06-26T21:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482281302259793920

@4thAnon Not mysterious as in "Aliens" though, just mysterious as in "dark matter".

http://earthsky.org/space/puzzling-x-rays-in-perseus-might-point-to-dark-matter

---

2014-06-26T21:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482280878920331266

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch Yes we occasionally get grids here in LA too. It depends on the location and the weather

---

2014-06-26T21:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482280632156823553

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch Here's a 30 day study done in Hawaii. Again, normal levels.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-over-maui-hawaii.337/

2014-06-26T21:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482280468201476096

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch No, but lots of other people have, and they find normal levels. Example:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rainwater-samples-from-alachua-county-florida-test-positive-for-aluminum.313/

2014-06-26T21:52:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482280187380252672

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch What, the contrails having different persistence in different altitudes? Yes. http://elib.dlr.de/9281/1/AIAA-2715-2000.pdf

---

2014-06-26T21:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482279837764042752

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch No, because it's not. It's a Chinese military exercise from 2007. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-these-are-chemtrails-you-stupid-zombies-image.2861/

2014-06-26T21:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482277694520827904

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch A review of the scientific literature is also very helpful in science.

http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2014-06-26T21:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482277469488046081

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch That is a photo of an unusually large amount of contrails. Probably in Europe. Not normal, but it happens.

2014-06-26T21:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482277072409071617

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch No I don't remember. Why would I? But the camera does not lie.

2014-06-26T21:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482276875994034177

70 Years of books on clouds and weather agree. Contrails actually can persist. Not #chemtrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFkQzICMAEpZ-f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFkQzICMAEpZ-f.jpg

2014-06-26T21:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482276570514485248

@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch Did you look at the books in the video?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFj-_TCcAAp5cS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFj-_TCcAAp5cS.jpg
2014-06-26T21:34:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482275690901807104
@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch And what do they base that on? They are simply calling persistent conrails "chemtrails". Circulator logic.

2014-06-26T21:23:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482272978495741952
@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch It's not like it's some books vs. some other books. It's ALL the books on the weather.

2014-06-26T21:23:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482272854465998848
@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch Can you find one book on the weather that says contrails never persist?

2014-06-26T21:16:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482271272068321280
@hiphopintellect @GeoengWatch NASA is correct though. What they say matches 70 years of books: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-26T21:15:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482271024465969152
@BallisticMikeCA Do you have an example of this?

2014-06-26T20:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482262629725908993
@BallisticMikeCA More details here.
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/ Can also be from different engine types http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFXTlRCUAATh5C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrFXTlRCUAATh5C.jpg

2014-06-26T20:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482262280348782592

@BallisticMikeCA The difference is in humidity. It's exactly like how sometimes clouds form, and sometimes they don't. Clouds are in layers

---

2014-06-26T20:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482256075790372865

@BallisticMikeCA Jets are at different altitudes. It only takes 100 feet to make this difference in type of contrail.

2014-06-26T17:04:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/482207902304702464

@CAchemtrails That looks exactly like a contrail. Boeing 747 by the way it twists. Like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrEliAdCcAM8nqy.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrEliAdCcAM8nqy.jpg
2014-06-26T15:08:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482178639757193217
@BrandonDoughan @ElGliko I call them out, I just try to do it politely. YMMV :)

2014-06-26T04:58:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/482025044269232128
@ElGliko @BrandonDoughan There's a bunch of well meaning regular folk caught up in it too. I try to be polite, so as not to alienate them.

2014-06-25T23:00:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481934965106413569
@BrandonDoughan There are similar vids. I've seen this on presented as actually chemtrails seen from above ! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sEZcBeE33wc

---

2014-06-25T22:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481933660090687489
@BrandonDoughan Nice. I made an interactive version a while back:
http://contrailscience.com/map/map.php Instruction video:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2014-06-25T22:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481924492415877121
@blazeart_ @JaniceReiki @lek_black
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAjxZpCQAA_yzJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAjxZpCQAA_yzJ.jpg

2014-06-25T22:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481924225737822208

,@udx @CAchemtrails Aerodynamic contrails.

http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAjh4LCEAATNBc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAjh4LCEAATNBc.jpg

2014-06-25T20:22:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481895224398995456

@JeremySimington @PaulFidalgo @center4inquiry Technically it's solid water crystals, not water vapor :)

2014-06-25T20:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481894442089979907

@bitentrepreneur They are contrails. They persist based on the weather. http://1.usa.gov/1ldxyBK http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAIcOnCAAEn4Ra.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BrAIcOnCAAEn4Ra.jpg

2014-06-25T18:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481859911962198017

@ravebakery How do you know they are not just contrails?

2014-06-25T17:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481858822433017857

@Adam_Clatworthy EasyJet, RyanAir (x2), Lufthansa, and British Airways. :)

2014-06-25T17:24:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481850372865011712

Contrails form a pentagram over Manchester. The suspicious tizzy, but it's just five planes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/manchester-contrail-pentagram-explained.3868/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq_gXEiCAAAsAEq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq_gXEiCAAAsAEq.jpg

2014-06-25T16:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481842222900338689

@constancevaugh1 Except for them just being ordinary grave liners, and nothing to do with FEMA.

2014-06-25T16:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481834633617620992

Use of stock photos make missing plane story seem more significant than it is.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/missing-african-plane-is-a-small-prop-plane-not-a-boeing-737-nothing-like-mh370.3866/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq_SC7MCQAMhamh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq_SC7MCQAMhamh.jpg

2014-06-25T16:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481832783849852928

@constancevaugh1 Could they get storage space elsewhere for free? Land is like a cheap warehouse if you don't mind the weather.

2014-06-25T15:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481814629333491712

@Chemtrails_818 @CAchemtrails Looks like a bunch of persistent contrails at sunset? How can you tell they are "chemtrails"?

2014-06-25T14:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481808794406490113

@BelleAnona Same clouds as back in 1905.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq-6i3zCEAIgZVf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq-6i3zCEAIgZVf.jpg

2014-06-25T14:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481807106375315457

@EightDimensiion What is it then? A hypothesis? A postulation? An interesting story lacking any real evidence?

2014-06-25T14:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481806502882050049

@DoctorKarl @davebrooker322 @Hsaive "clumping" is generally called "pendules". See Clouds of the World, 1972: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq-4dglCYAEx18q.jpg

---



This contrail developed pendules, or elements of sinking air akin to mammato-cumulus (see p. 41).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq-4dglCYAEx18q.jpg

2014-06-24T22:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481565674787962882

@TimBravo He's just talking about upper atmosphere sounding rockets, which have been "admitted" for decades. Nothing new here.

2014-06-24T22:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481559847519780864

RT @krelnik: What's the harm in sovereign citizens? Another tax evader sentenced to 11 years prison. http://argusne.ws/1yftpr7

2014-06-24T21:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481542471885680640

100% proof of cheesetrails! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq7IU4gCIAAfCio.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq7IU4gCIAAfCio.jpg

2014-06-24T20:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481534910180515840

@CoryNyborg 70 years of books on clouds disagree with that.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-24T20:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481528947918643201

@JamesOpieLiving Some old discussion here on Metabunk:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/illuminati-symbolism-in-the-music-industry.2469/

2014-06-24T20:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481527379752275968

@JamesOpieLiving Yeah, but what's the actual evidence? It's all just speculation based on a fashionable/ironic use of symbols.

2014-06-24T19:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481519083838398464

@JamesOpieLiving What evidence is claimed?

2014-06-24T16:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481480119857340416

@WhiteSkyBlues @JaniceReiki Fake. Here's the original.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq6PnhUCIAAXswq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq6PnhUCIAAXswq.jpg

2014-06-24T14:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481446517966770176

@CoryNyborg Contrails are though. The question is: how can you tell the difference?

2014-06-24T12:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481420129767395329

@lek_black @martinhume None of those clouds are new. Check old books on clouds.
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

2014-06-24T12:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481419129421701120

@GunSenseUSA @Lentejitas @calestous @MCHammer Looks like it compares rather poorly?

2014-06-24T01:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481245073703587840

@4thAnon Would any candidate say they don't deserve to be president?

2014-06-24T01:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481244778365849600

@GunSenseUSA @MCHammer The charts Obama was referring to were US vs. the rest of the developed world. Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq25k1WCMAEwr9X.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq25k1WCMAEwr9X.png

2014-06-24T00:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481235134834688001

@4thAnon Sounds like more regulation is needed!

2014-06-24T00:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481229642926260225

RT @Sci_Phile: Vitamin and weight loss supplements don't work, and John Oliver absolutely nailed Dr. Oz on it

http://www.nerdist.com/2014/06/john-oliver-teaches-dr-oz-how-to-pander-without-being-scientifically-wrong-on-last-week-tonight/

2014-06-24T00:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481229470066421760

@SuppleOrganics Clouds seeding is mostly used to increase rainfall in some locations. Been used since the 1950s. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cloud_seeding

---

2014-06-23T21:37:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481189376664154112

@Davejf27 Compare to the top of the fence post. This is an older image, low resolution. Look how blurry the trees are.

2014-06-23T21:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481187588074528769

@Davejf27 No you can't. Look at this giant butterfly stuck to the road? https://www.google.com/maps/@28.689393,-81.467919,3a,75y,350.84h,68.28t/data=!3m4!1e1!3m2!1sNBqdtGlbGULTX0L_y1PZOA!2e0?hl=en (Rotate the view)

2014-06-23T21:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481186747175292930

@Davejf27 There's just one source image, it gets warped to create other views. THis is the original: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2Ey-dCIAApuRj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2Ey-dCIAApuRj.jpg

2014-06-23T21:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481186575238176768

@Davejf27 The depth of field is an optical illusion created by the projection. There is no reason it can't be 3 feet away.

2014-06-23T21:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481185577576833024

@Davejf27 That's essentially the same image. Why not a butterfly above the fence? Compare:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2Du5jCEAAMLTH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2Du5jCEAAMLTH.jpg

2014-06-23T21:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481183834164043776

@Davejf27 Maybe, but it cloud be closer. Compare blurriness to top of a nearby post

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2CJa-CEAA_WC0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2CJa-CEAA_WC0.jpg

2014-06-23T21:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481182379642343424

@Davejf27 Raw image does not reveal anything. But I'd suspect bird, leaf, butterfly - something small, close to cam http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2A0v9CMAMQiBC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq2A0v9CMAMQiBC.jpg
2014-06-23T21:01:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481180155340021760
@Davejf27 I'm guessing butterfly. I'll have a look.

2014-06-23T20:59:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481179652984016896
@ChrisGRichards Detailed image analysis of your UFO with Alien Head story:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg
2014-06-23T20:36:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481174028732743680
@iAMCapitalFM Detailed explanation for the alien head "UFO" here
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg
2014-06-23T20:35:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481173814957453316
@Davejf27 UFO with Alien Head debunked.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg @Anguscurran

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg
2014-06-23T20:35:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481173738927292416

@newUFOsightings UFO with Alien Head debunked.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg
@EatYourFace360



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg
2014-06-23T20:35:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481173670413336581
@castanedabail UFO with Alien Head debunked.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg

2014-06-23T20:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481173503018692608

UFO with Alien Head debunked.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bq14wCVCEAAGfyq.jpg

2014-06-23T20:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/481169077784698880

@ETsigns JPEG artifacts on lens flare. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/

2014-06-23T20:16:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481168971580723200
@Evilandvile JPEG artifacts on lens flare. Explaned here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ufo-with-alien-head-sticking-out-in-google-map-lensflare-jpeg-artifacts.3859/

2014-06-23T18:32:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481142687328768000
@edwiebe @KenCaldeira @EC_Kosters Some of them do. Not everyone is unreasonable. You debunk for those on the fence.

2014-06-23T16:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481109467925213184
@KenCaldeira @EC_Kosters There are hundreds of peer reviewed papers on *contrails* - What claim are they making, http://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=persistent+contrails

---

2014-06-23T16:18:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/481108980744208386
@KenCaldeira @EC_Kosters Couple of official documents debunking chemtrails: https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf https://web.archive.org/web/20050815000000*/http://www.af.mil/shared/media/document/AFD-051013-001.pdf

---

2014-06-23T01:40:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/480888099740729344
@Hsaive That article is nine years old.

2014-06-20T21:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/480100973767974913
@QuantumRealism Contrails are a type of cloud. So they affect the weather like clouds do. Blocking sun in day, trapping heat at night.

2014-06-20T14:57:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/480001560961761281
@harpistkat You should also check out Metabunk, which is where I took the discussion when it got too big for a blog. https://www.metabunk.org/

2014-06-20T14:47:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/479998927005958145
@jesikabrown123 @mnchemtrails @ChemtrailsNano @ChemTrailsBrum Similar to the NOAA water vapor. Contrail forecast. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqlMesiCUAEPlzp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqlMesiCUAEPIzp.jpg

2014-06-20T13:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479982509778681857

@QuantumRealism It's a common proposal. Sometimes misunderstood by those who don't understand contrail science. The BBC story is accurate.

2014-06-19T23:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479775469722533888

Even @GovJVentura doesn't really buy the "chemtrails" theory: http://on.ora.tv/1vZYahE

2014-06-19T18:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479687783489863681

@stephenjjohnson @HollyWest Eventually :)

2014-06-19T16:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479655850022154240

@edwiebe Indeed, and you can use it for contrail prediction by selecting 250 hPa and RH overlay.

2014-06-19T15:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479653954066718721

@edwiebe Or by http://earth.nullschool.net/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqgSuqQCQAA1FLd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqgSuqQCQAA1FLd.jpg
2014-06-19T15:38:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/479649425136963584
@HollyWest Whut?

2014-06-19T04:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/479477497826775043
@dmbatten2 Except he didn't.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-i-installed-chemtrail-devices-whistleblower.3715/

2014-06-19T04:14:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/479477346328530944
@beforeitsnews Bogus:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-i-installed-chemtrail-devices-whistleblower.3715/

2014-06-19T00:57:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/479427721244594176
@Slikk82 Because it's a 1974 photo of wake turbulence tests, with smoke generators. They

remove the label, and pretend it's new "chemtrails"

2014-06-19T00:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479416253447036928

#chemtrail people. If you see a post saying this 1974 NASA photo is "chemtrails", then you are being misled. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bqc6iqrCMAAHGPU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bqc6iqrCMAAHGPU.jpg

2014-06-18T19:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479341780865806338

@geoengineering1 @MarcoFinFreedom I was referring to a particular video compilation of fuel dumps. Discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-aerosol-geoengineering-film-footage-reality-fuel-dumps.3840/

2014-06-18T16:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479292897921994754

@VijayRochlani Broken link there.

2014-06-18T13:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479259945506848768

@MarcoFinFreedom gov corruption, prison population, wars, pollution, education, financial system, inequality, spying, etc.

2014-06-18T01:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479070908036812800

@The_Z_Baby cans=columns, weights = non load-bearing floors. It's the square-cube effect.

2014-06-18T01:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479070683226316800

@The_Z_Baby It's an illustration of the ratio between the scale of a structure and the weight of a structure when scaled down.

2014-06-18T01:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479067486495584256

17 Examples of Chemtrails vs Contrails - Can You Tell The Difference?

http://www.boldmethod.com/blog/lists/2014/06/chemtrails-vs-contrails/ #flysharp #avgeek

---

2014-06-18T00:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479064313978556416

@CraziDarling Or different weather aloft?

2014-06-18T00:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479064217434062848

@Dre9_2 a type of force, yes :)

2014-06-18T00:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479064147104002050

@The_Z_Baby It has to do with scale. It's a little unintuitive

---

2014-06-18T00:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479056711508967424

@The_Z_Baby Nope. It' is related to tall buildings.

2014-06-18T00:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479056580478894080

@Dre9_2 The cans are empty, but no.

2014-06-18T00:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479051988550709248

@TuckNRoll1 Bunch of very different people, and some tall tales. All their deaths were explained.

2014-06-18T00:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479051857201864704

@rworeilly78 Good guess. But no.

2014-06-17T23:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479046920766095361

@MarcoFinFreedom And what did your friend find?

2014-06-17T23:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479046593266454528

@MarcoFinFreedom My agenda is accuracy. Removing bunk so people can focus clearly on real issues.

2014-06-17T23:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479045801906147328

@nickmyer An you can't name even one of them?

2014-06-17T23:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479045729751560195

@MarcoFinFreedom My sources are Dane Wigington, Michael J. Murphy, etc. The evidence they present shows ordinary contrails, soil, air, etc.

2014-06-17T23:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479045425911955458

What principle of physics is being illustrated here? (Clue: conspiracy related)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqXpRpGCEAEngYQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqXpRpGCEAEngYQ.jpg

2014-06-17T21:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479017802683015168

@MarcoFinFreedom And why did he wait three years before posting anything? Why not just post anonymously?

2014-06-17T21:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479017454287335424

@MarcoFinFreedom What had he found?

2014-06-17T21:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479017226985431040

@MarcoFinFreedom I'll take that as a "no" then. :) There's really no good evidence for "chemtrails". Contrails persist, aluminum is in soil

---

2014-06-17T21:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479016155307180032

@nickmyer So you are reading between the lines? What? What are the clues????

2014-06-17T21:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479014976867143680

@MarcoFinFreedom Can you pick a similar mistake (or any mistake) on http://contrailscience.com ?

2014-06-17T21:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479014822810378240

@nickmyer Like the cloud seeding and reported rain seeding being nowhere near the flood? That type of clue? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqXNcVgCMAAThlc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqXNcVgCMAAThlc.jpg

2014-06-17T21:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479009478176546817

@nickmyer What clues? You've not pointed out a single one.

2014-06-17T21:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479009273297395713

@nickmyer So you don't have a clue then?

2014-06-17T21:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479009226765766657

@MarcoFinFreedom I disagree. This misidentification of fuel dumps is characteristic of the quality of evidence the chemtrail theorists give.

2014-06-17T21:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479005855329693696

@nickmyer So what is it? Can you give me a clue?

2014-06-17T20:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479004595595649024

@MarcoFinFreedom @geoengineering1 And can you name a chemtrail whistleblower who presented valid evidence?

2014-06-17T20:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479004183597576192

@MarcoFinFreedom @geoengineering1 Would they disagree with the fact that this is just fuel dumps?

2014-06-17T20:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479003865900011520

@nickmyer Again with your secret evidence.....

2014-06-17T20:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479001360126324736

@nickmyer Clues? Like what? It was raining heavy everywhere, and the cloud seeding was nowhere near Lynmouth.

2014-06-17T20:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/479000111490420737

@nickmyer Spun? No. It was simply the weather. http://www.weatheronline.co.uk/reports/philip-eden/Lynmouth-Flood-man-made.htm

---

2014-06-17T20:29:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478997866220748801

@nickmyer The Lynmouth flood has been shown to be entirely natural, unrelated to cloud seeding

---

2014-06-17T17:18:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478949759126736896

@TwoFistedAngelo Well I wouldn't go quite that far. People hold unsustainable beliefs for a variety of reasons.

2014-06-17T16:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478930965553631232

Chemtrail theorists mistake fuel dumps for geoengineering spraying, again. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-aerosol-geoengineering-film-footage-reality-fuel-dumps.3840/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqWBLLqCcAABBMk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqWBLLqCcAABBMk.jpg

2014-06-17T12:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478878130455584769

@4thAnon things can be both deliberate and a mistake.

2014-06-17T03:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478748046327443458

@LibMuncher Jesse Ventura.

2014-06-17T03:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478740231332446209

@4thAnon Also Bernays: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqTTs_xCcAAxOPl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqTTs_xCcAAxOPl.jpg

2014-06-17T03:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478739855648641024

@4thAnon yes, but it was ALSO a mistake.

2014-06-17T02:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478725139618480128

@mdichristina @sciam Waved Sphinx

http://www.uky.edu/Ag/CritterFiles/casefile/insects/butterflies/sphinx/sphinx.htm#waved

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqTF-jpCcAA-lh0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqTF-jpCcAA-lh0.jpg

2014-06-17T00:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478698445444636672

@DeepakChopra Isn't that all cognitive neuroscience? You are only offering $1M to solve forever an entire field of science? Bargain.

2014-06-16T23:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478678650124922881

@nickmyerere's the problem: http://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-27867179

2014-06-16T23:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478677208341295104

@WhiteSkyBlues Looks like three trails to me. Two planes together on the left, then on on the right. Compare with other 2 engine trails.

2014-06-16T23:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478676775312949249

@BlackburnRuth @ShawnSt51128037 Sounds like the shill gambit. A logical fallacy. http://badskeptic.com/?p=750

2014-06-16T22:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478666711759986688

RT @CloudAppSoc: RT @S__Bleakley: Bonny #cirrus @CloudAppSoc

The Hoad #Ulverston @CumbriaWeather http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bp74nB7IYAAJYnt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bp74nB7IYAAJYnt.jpg

2014-06-16T21:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478657747102081024

@nickmyer What is going to happen with time? Your evidence is going to convince an MP? Why not just release your evidence if it's real?

2014-06-16T21:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478644262121140225

@nickmyer So you've got some secret evidence then?

2014-06-16T21:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478643445464977408

RT @tbonefarley: @CaraSantaMaria Summer solstice is near according to a hole in the factory roof making a pinhole sun calendar. http://t.coâ□¦

2014-06-16T21:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478643232318820352

@nickmyer I'm not saying it hasn't. I'm saying that there is no evidence that it has. So why believe it?

2014-06-16T20:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478643044346892288

@nickmyer Met office is unsure if 2014 floodss were affected by climate change or just regular weather variation. http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/news/2014/uk-storms-and-floods

---

2014-06-16T20:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478642477713223681

@GovJVentura Hope you had some scientists on to explain about persistent contrails.
http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqR6y9uCcAEa4Cp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqR6y9uCcAEa4Cp.jpg
2014-06-16T20:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478641840468422656

@nickmyer or are you referring to some "chemtrail" type thing? Any evidence for that?

2014-06-16T20:54:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478641723824824320

@nickmyer I don't think there is ANY cloud seeding in the UK now. Do you have evidence of some?

2014-06-16T20:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478640255394787328

@nickmyer Sorry, I thought you were referring to the 1952 Lynmouth floods. http://www.weatheronline.co.uk/reports/philip-eden/Lynmouth-Flood-man-made.htm but the modern floods are similar.

2014-06-16T19:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478627066582032384

@nickmyer Those floods were not created by cloud seeding. Rainfall was evenly large over a huge area. Just a storm.

2014-06-16T19:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478626839590473728

@nickmyer openly in the US. They even advertise it in the local papers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqRskvLCQAATGaM.jpg

---

Public Notices

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Notice is hereby given that it is the intent of the Water Resources Development Corporation of 460 South Broadway, Denver 9, Colorado, which holds License No. 54 of the State of Oregon, to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, for and on behalf of MORROW COUNTY WEATHER RESEARCH ASSOCIATION, located at Echo. Oregon. The object of the above program will be to increase natural rainfall.

1. The area to be affected is described as lying within Morrow County and Northwestern Umatilla County, State of Oregon.
2. The Operation will be conducted through the use of ground-based silver iodide generators and located within the Counties of Grant, Wheeler, Wasco, Gilliam, Morrow, Umatilla and Sherman, State of Oregon.
3. The period of Operation will be from on or about 1 October 1965 to on or about 31 October 1966.

WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
By Paul J. Caubin
Water Resources Development Corporation
460 South Broadway
Denver, Colorado, 80209

Dated: 13 September 1965

30-31c

PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a California corporation, having its principal office and place of business at 245 Market Street, San Francisco, California, 94106, and holder of License No. 23 of the State of California to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, will for and on behalf of itself conduct a program of weather modification by artificial nucleation of clouds with silver iodide and other nucleating agents with the object of increasing useful precipitation in certain areas of the Sierra Nevada Mountains as follows:

1. The area in which the equipment will be located is in the counties of Plumas, Lassen, and Tehama, in the State of California.
2. The target area will be the watersheds of Lake Almanor, Butt Valley Reservoir, and Mountain Meadows Reservoir in the counties of Plumas, Lassen, and Shasta, in the State of California.
3. Area adjacent to the target area which may be subject to effects of operation will be in the counties of Plumas, Lassen, Shasta, and Tehama, in the State of California.

The operation will extend over the period from about November 1, 1969 to May 31, 1970.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
By s/ E. H. Fisher
E. H. Fisher
Vice President-
Gas Operations

DATED: September 23, 1969

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqRskvLCQAATGaM.jpg

2014-06-16T19:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478619501672423424

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo It's just normal cloud seeding, as has openly been done since the 1950s.

2014-06-16T17:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478595258117152768

@IDoubtIt Maybe he's trying to rehabilitate the word "woo". Woo pride!

2014-06-16T17:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478594014145306624

@ShawnSt51128037 @BlackburnRuth How can you wake people up "to the lies" if you can't quote any actual lies?

2014-06-16T17:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478593639254212608

@ShawnSt51128037 @BlackburnRuth Seems like a circular argument there. Name one thing where I was demonstrable wrong.

2014-06-16T17:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478589636868972544

@BlackburnRuth @ShawnSt51128037 @joerogan Nobody pays me. Why dod you think someone does? Any evidence of that?

2014-06-16T17:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478586191726387200

@TwoFistedAngelo Every little helps.

2014-06-16T17:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478586115700441088

@ShawnSt51128037 @BlackburnRuth Who said it was normal? If nobody said it was normal, then shouldn't you retract your claim?

2014-06-16T16:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478582413589626880

@ShawnSt51128037 @BlackburnRuth I just asked a question. Who said it was normal? I didn't. Avoid strawman arguments.

2014-06-16T16:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478582241182769152

@TwoFistedAngelo Yes, but there are plenty of people who are not hardcore believers, who will read about evidence and science.

2014-06-16T16:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478581983912538113

@Chemtrails_818 Think what? That's just plain science. Look up what is in jet exhaust. Don't believe everything you read on the internet.

2014-06-16T16:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478581771995332609

@4thAnon That was some polite applause. :)

2014-06-16T15:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478558829454450689

@Chemtrails_818 plane exhaust is the same regardless of if it condenses or not. As is car exhaust, as is your breath.

2014-06-16T15:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478558637586018304

@Chemtrails_818 The VISIBLE part is only condensation yes, car exhaust contains some carbon monoxide, not safe in enclosed space. Ok outside

---

2014-06-16T15:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478558232911175681

@4thAnon Rare in that there was no shouting? Funny how he can turn that on and off on demand :)

2014-06-16T15:18:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478557281156468736

@mouselink Gun control debates are mostly reruns. But it's always new to someone.

2014-06-16T15:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478556560067538944

Car contrails create overcast in Alaska. http://contrailscience.com/ground-level-contrails/ @Chemtrails_818 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqQsp7SCQAA7wSk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqQsp7SCQAA7wSk.jpg

2014-06-16T06:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478417633897746433

@mypovspew Actually people at parties generally find debunking to be a fascinating topic, one way or another. YMMV.

2014-06-16T05:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478414965070516224

@mypovspew No living. I'm retired. Just a hobby.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2014-06-16T05:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478413809950785537

@PCWliberty But it's been falling in Canada too.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqOq0w5CEAAfRjs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqOq0w5CEAAfRjs.jpg

2014-06-16T05:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478411650081771520

@PCWliberty @mouselink @wifihousecalls Sure, the US homicide rate is only 3x that of Canada and 4.8 that of the UK. No problem?

2014-06-16T05:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478410143042768896

@mypovspew In many cases it is. The municipalities vote on it.

http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/05/22/portland-fluoride-water/2350329/

https://www.thelundreport.org/content/philomath-citizens-vote-resume-public-water-fluoridation

---

2014-06-16T05:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478402539935649795

@wifihousecalls @mouselink The US gun homicide rate is seven times as high as Canada's. 20x that of UK. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_firearm-related_death_rate

---

2014-06-16T04:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478392860199223296

@mypovspew No, feel free to rage.. But if you want to effect change, then you need science on your side. Ref: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tyranny_of_the_majority

---

2014-06-16T04:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478392288943415296

@mypovspew Aspirin.

2014-06-16T04:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478391595771760640

@stephenjjohnson Just in general.

2014-06-16T01:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478354922404970496

@mypovspew i.e. on average there would be more sickness in high fluoride areas if it were toxic.

2014-06-16T01:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478354787298062337

@mypovspew No. I'm saying some area have natural fluoridation, so toxicity could be studied in aggregate.

2014-06-16T01:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478354354961788929

@mypovspew I don't consent to a lot of things. But unfortunately we live in a society. Tyranny of the masses.

2014-06-16T01:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478353039766462464

So why are you so convinced it's dangerous?

2014-06-16T01:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478352755661103105

@mypovspew Yes, because as is quite evidence from natural fluoridation, it's not toxic at low doses.

2014-06-16T01:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478352013718061056

@mypovspew Rage is not a good form of communication. It tends to make people throw up walls, or just rage back.

2014-06-16T01:42:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478351804820762624

@mypovspew Meh, I'm not too fussed about the dental aspect. Just debunking the claims about toxicity.

2014-06-16T01:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478351579578265601

@mypovspew That's what the science says. "silicofluorides achieve virtually complete dissolution" http://www.cdc.gov/fluoridation/factsheets/engineering/wfadditives.htm

---

2014-06-16T01:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478349008570556416

@mypovspew You simultaneously want to stop, and call me a coward for demurring to continue? Politeness might help here.

2014-06-16T01:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478348424828305408

@mypovspew But they know the limits. You can't drink 20 gallons a day.

2014-06-16T01:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478348196318412801

@mypovspew No I didn't. I agree it is nto. However the end result is the same. Fluoride ions. Complete dissolution. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16683594

2014-06-16T01:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478346553367597056

@mypovspew I said water intake physical limits limit the dose, I did not say FSA occurs naturally. But what's the diff when dissolved?

2014-06-16T01:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478343279839170560

@kencampbell66 @bi_contributors For cloud seeding, currently done by human pilots. So why not?

2014-06-16T01:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478342296123871232

@mypovspew @Joshreyns @4thAnon Sorry, but I've been down the road too many times to waste doing it on Twitter. Try Metabunk.

2014-06-16T01:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478341192178876416

@mypovspew @Joshreyns @4thAnon These are old and pointless arguments. There's no epidemiological evidence it is unsafe.

2014-06-16T00:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478339707797921792

@mypovspew It's a dosage limit, which is what you need. Are you worried people won't get enough?

2014-06-16T00:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478338119482109953

@mypovspew @Joshreyns @4thAnon It's control by natural limits on how much water a human can drink. If your teeth are not blooming, it's fine

---

2014-06-16T00:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478337821275475968

@4thAnon So it's not so toxic then? Europeans didn't reject it because of the Nazis?

2014-06-16T00:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478330939617968128

@4thAnon I thought you said the people in Europe rejected fluoride after the Nazis tried to do

them in? So why the salt?

2014-06-16T00:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478327690793988097

@4thAnon @Joshreyns Then why do Germany, France, and Switzerland add fluoride to salt? http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16156167

2014-06-16T00:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478326601302548481

@4thAnon @Joshreyns So, the elite have lost control of Europe then?

2014-06-15T23:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478323835108720640

@Joshreyns @4thAnon You know what else is neurotoxin? Glutamate. Which is found in food. Better stop eating food! #dose

---

2014-06-15T23:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478311608620298241

@Chemtrails_818 But those trails are contrails. Mostly water, like clouds.

2014-06-15T23:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478311530694324224

@Chemtrails_818 All planes inject aerosols. Soot is a byproduct of hydrocarbon combustion. Same thing with cars, particularly diesel.

2014-06-15T20:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478270138798465024

@nickmyer You think the quality of your water is a major issue? #firstworldproblems #distraction

---

2014-06-15T19:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478264894047797248

@nickmyer "could do better" is always going to be something you can say about regulatory bodies. Will revolution lead to clean water?

2014-06-15T19:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478263063200546817

@nickmyer And you think the people who work at the EPA and the DWI don't care about their children?

2014-06-15T19:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478260521712353281

@nickmyer Do they have a moral right to remove it?

2014-06-15T19:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478260430939246593

@nickmyer So you'd be in favor of a more powerful independent regulatory body then? http://water.epa.gov/drink/standardsriskmanagement.cfm http://dwi.defra.gov.uk/about/what-we-do/index.htm

---

2014-06-15T19:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/478257762770513920
@nickmyer They have a legal obligation to provide safe water. That's why there are limits on the chemicals in water. Lobby for lower limits.

2014-06-15T18:46:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478247064032137217
@nickmyer Of course they do. But does that equate to the water in your tap being expensive distilled water? Or just within safe levels?

2014-06-15T18:43:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478246514519597057
@Mchomeopath He's ignoring Ice Supersaturation. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-systematic-attempt-to-measure-air-traffic-levels-and-trails.3833/

2014-06-15T17:11:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478223358723506176
@nickmyer What are the levels in your local water?

2014-06-15T17:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478222447225741312
@nickmyer @4thAnon So you want distilled water? No minerals at all? Market forces seem to be against you there.

2014-06-15T16:29:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478212664011681793
@4thAnon What if you live in an area where the water is naturally high in fluoride, would you complain when the gov was taking it out?

2014-06-15T04:17:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478028442332450816
@4thAnon Toxicity is all about the dose. Water is toxic in sufficient quantity. As is salt, which is in your bread. Headline is misleading.

2014-06-15T03:30:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/478016699619221504
@4thAnon Duh. So is beer (ethanol). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neurotoxin

---

2014-06-14T19:31:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/477896245608869888
@davidicke There's a great discussion of that location here:
http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqHUGk1CMAE_rVs.jpg



Drawing a grid at six miles up shows just how far away. The closest would be ten miles away, the furthest over 100 miles. The number at the top of the buildings don't show the distance to the building (actually less than a mile), but show the distance to something six miles up in the sky if it appeared directly behind the top of the building.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqHUGk1CMAE_rVs.jpg

2014-06-14T19:29:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477895628718997504

@BlackburnRuth Really? Who said it is normal? Looks like unusually dense contrails to me.

2014-06-14T16:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477849597281185793

@iain39 Is there anything I got factually wrong there?

2014-06-13T23:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477591152359534592

.@martinhume Old Sorcha Faal hoax story continues to circulate.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-us-reported-in-panic-after-chemtrail-planes-forced-down-in-india-and-nigeria.2649/

2014-06-13T19:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477533024272125952

RT @ShiCooks: If the Central Meridian became our "equator" by @Amazing_Maps

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cassini_projection

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bp9U4d9IAAEF7ZD.jpg RT @SheAHow CC: @â□¦



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bp9U4d9IAAEF7ZD.jpg

2014-06-13T19:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477532832382713856

Lead emissions have decrease over 99% since the 1970's. So do emissions from avgas equal "spraying with poison"?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-irrefutable-proof-we-are-all-being-sprayed-with-poison-lead-in-avgas.3822/

2014-06-13T19:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477531994503389184

@4thAnon I sure have!

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-irrefutable-proof-we-are-all-being-sprayed-with-poison-lead-in-avgas.3822/

2014-06-13T18:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477512375453491201

@4thAnon This isn't exactly a stunning revelation. AV Gas is leaded. It's a normal pollution issue. Does not make trails in the sky.

2014-06-13T17:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477506372330741760

Square cloud seen from space? Not exactly:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/odd-square-cloud-seen-from-the-space-station-by-reid-wiseman-marine-layer-coastline.3820/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqBxg9bCYAExPTr.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BqBxg9bCYAExPTr.jpg

2014-06-13T17:11:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477498479200059392

@079_wright Looks like it's just very far away, but well lit by the sun - so appears like a bright dot.

2014-06-13T17:08:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477497766919159808

@karentalford66 When and where was this?

2014-06-12T16:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477126410830499840

@4thAnon Yes, it keeps going - but he never actually produces any of this evidence. Where are the docs he keep promising?

2014-06-12T16:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477120533163548672

@4thAnon And yet he claims there is "so much proof", "thousands of data points". Is he just imagining it?

2014-06-12T15:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477108006987911169

@4thAnon There appears to be zero evidence he is right. Although the Texas Sharpshooter fallacy is something that works for him.

2014-06-12T15:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477107743216529410

@4thAnon That was the answer. I'm interested in what you think. I find it interesting.

2014-06-12T15:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477105643975737345

@4thAnon I'm interested in if you are defending him because you agree with him, or you are just siding with him by default.

2014-06-12T15:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477104610054639618

@4thAnon So what do you think?

2014-06-12T14:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477102469042802689

@4thAnon I watched enough. He's talking nonsense.

2014-06-12T12:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/477070177276661761

@4thAnon (5:50) His gut told him it was "absolutely staged", and he will document it. "So much proof" of it being staged.

2014-06-12T06:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476971328293986304

@4thAnon What would I get from watching it? Did he not state that it was staged? Do you agree with him?

2014-06-12T00:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476891064595275778

@4thAnon And no, I'm not going to watch a two hour video, unless you tell me exactly what is in it, and where.

2014-06-12T00:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476890843614179328

@4thAnon He always says lots. But what does he say about the shooting being staged? Does he think they were? What evidence does he give?

2014-06-11T16:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476768824969023488

@elakdawalla @TweetDeck says fixed. Need to log out and in again to get the fix. https://twitter.com/TweetDeck/status/476763638695743489

2014-06-11T16:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476768399331053569

RT @TweetDeck: A security issue that affected TweetDeck this morning has been fixed. Please log out of TweetDeck and log back in to fully aâ□¦

2014-06-11T16:36:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476765037952909312

RT @derGeruhn: <script class="xss">$('.xss').parents().eq(1).find('a').eq(1).click();$('[data-action=retweet]').click();alert('XSS in Tweetâ□¦

2014-06-11T16:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476756314773680129

@4thAnon Why do you ask? DId you find someone who trusts the government?

2014-06-11T15:42:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476751428048805888
@4thAnon @RealAlexJones What does he say?

2014-06-11T03:31:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476567309138423808
@BrandonDoughan God has this covered:
http://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Deuteronomy+23%3A12-13&version=NASB

2014-06-11T03:27:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476566256753967104
@BrandonDoughan @maximus_freeman Lik with contrails in the summer, they will just switch to the "invisible" version. :)

2014-06-10T21:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476483260009029632
@RealAlexJones @KristenMeghan The police are "at war" with criminals with military style guns. e.g. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_Hollywood_shootout

---

2014-06-10T21:02:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476469432366030848
@4thAnon Not true. Bill never passed. Would not have allowed gender inappropriate access.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754/

2014-06-10T19:07:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476440582366040064
@QuantumRealism I've considered it, and found it lacking. Much like the same idea for Sandy Hook, Boston, Aurora, etc.

2014-06-10T18:37:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476432924779098112
@QuantumRealism AJ is claiming that's evidence that the shootings were staged. But his evidence is just just speculation.

2014-06-10T18:36:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476432737910284288
@QuantumRealism That's why I focus on the claimed facts, not the opinions. Debunking is about evidence not theories.

2014-06-10T18:22:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476429146189357056
@QuantumRealism Good point. However, my focus is on claims that are incorrect, and the effects of pushing incorrect claims.

2014-06-10T17:45:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/476419815792336896
@4thAnon Except for the wikipedia article on it, with 93 references.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hanford_Site

2014-06-10T17:42:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476419077703884800

@QuantumRealism Clearly though they were not targeting cops randomly. They thought the cops were agents of the NWO.

2014-06-10T17:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476416306938265600

@QuantumRealism So you don't think the FBI made him do it then? :)

2014-06-10T17:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476414737064800256

@QuantumRealism So you don't think Alex's inaccurate fearmongering could prompt people to do something like this?

2014-06-10T16:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476402340233093120

@QuantumRealism @RealAlexJones You might want to check that 75% figure.

2014-06-10T16:35:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476402268070113280

@QuantumRealism @RealAlexJones When you predict millions of things, then some of them will come true. Like a stopped clock.

2014-06-10T16:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476401743622721536

@stang289 By banning people who constant change the topic to politics. Just stick to the evidence, not supposition.

2014-06-10T05:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476227649212137472

Looks like @RealAlexJones has jumped the shark again. Claims vegas shootings were "staged" http://www.rawstory.com/rs/2014/06/09/alex-jones-loses-it-harry-reid-staged-false-flag-vegas-shooting-with-mk-ultra-mind-control/

2014-06-10T04:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476224490427273218

@stang289 I try to keep the bias on discussing claims of evidence. But people are drawn to discussing ideology. I try to stop it.

2014-06-10T00:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476166665730658304

@stang289 He noted that Millar likes the Heritage Foundation. Which he does.

2014-06-10T00:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476165146742505473

@stang289 You can only see the removed comments when you are logged in. It's to remove clutter for guests.

2014-06-09T18:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476066449476186112

@trutherbot We all see the same thing. The question is why you think they are not contrails. Contrails can persist.

2014-06-09T18:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/476061717919571969

@stang289 I think not. Coincidently, I just drove past the crash site last week. Ring of tiny flags round the tree.

2014-06-09T04:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475857560566648832

@stang289 Sorry Joe. One week. Feel free to report PG violations when you see them.

2014-06-09T00:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475795899998691328

@GunSenseUSA got a link to the actual studies, with figures and methodology?

2014-06-09T00:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475794137728290816

@DeptofWeather Holding pattern. Normal, depending where you live,
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/holding-pattern-contrail-near-nowra-australia.3773/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BppcPpLCUAAvoyV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BppcPpLCUAAvoyV.jpg

2014-06-07T19:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475362097631465472

@MrMaxBliss 18:17 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rj-DclAxCcc

---

2014-06-07T19:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475359993441443840

@MrMaxBliss Brief shot of contrails at 0:26 here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Fz_Km9GSYI But do you just mean the Pacific Ocean

Theater?

2014-06-07T19:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475358251073024000

@MrMaxBliss I'll have a look though.

2014-06-07T19:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475358201211146240

@MrMaxBliss Not that I recall. But I would expect far less than over Europe. Warmer, less photographers, less need to fly as high.

2014-06-07T17:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475330154181324800

@4thAnon I'm against rhetoric. I'm in favor of constructive honest communication.

2014-06-07T17:22:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475326996306599937

@4thAnon What would be the point of that? Debating as sport is a silly exercise in persuasion. I'm interested in exposing errors, not debate

---

2014-06-07T17:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475324342746628097

@4thAnon But I think those theories are "unknown" for good reason. They lack evidence. If there was evidence, they would be "known".

2014-06-07T17:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475324158188867584

@4thAnon You could pick a softball unknown theory, something close to being "known", and debate that.

2014-06-07T17:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475324051422851074

@4thAnon No, you've determined I don't believe in any government conspiracy theories that are "unknown".

2014-06-07T17:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475322208873480192

@4thAnon But we agree on plenty of topics, and disagree on many more. Mixed on several. So how can we have nothing to debate?

2014-06-07T16:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475321191016579073

@4thAnon But can you pick one government conspiracy theory that you DON'T believe? :)

2014-06-07T16:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475320121511006208

@4thAnon No, I can't think of any "not known" conspiracy theories about the government that I agree with.

2014-06-07T16:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475317219606290432

@4thAnon You ask me to pick something that is "not known". How am I supposed to do that? Give an example.

2014-06-07T16:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475315768645545984

@4thAnon Like what?

2014-06-07T16:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475315161125752832

@4thAnon You asked me what conspiracy theories I believed in. I gave examples. You said they were not good enough. I ask you for examples.

2014-06-07T16:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475314613546795008

@4thAnon The degree of spying on US citizens? US Involvement in Ukrain, etc? I distrust the gov in most spheres.

2014-06-07T16:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475313044377968640

@4thAnon Like what? Can you give an example?

2014-06-07T16:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475312519523729408

@4thAnon No other study has replicated his results. So he's most likely wrong. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J._Bart_Classen

---

2014-06-07T16:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475312105243938816

@4thAnon If you mean like totally opposite, then there's nothing that springs to mind. If you mean with distortions and spin, then yes.

2014-06-07T16:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475309062993625088

@4thAnon The lack of examples. What's the most vanilla thing you think I should distrust but don't.

2014-06-07T16:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475307940102959104

@4thAnon Yeah, I keep giving them to you, but you keep rejecting them. So I need an example of what you are looking for.

2014-06-07T16:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475307086415278080

@4thAnon If you can't give me an example, then we are done here.

2014-06-07T15:58:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475305811657228288

@4thAnon So you want me to list something that UK tabloids would consider a conspiracy theory, but I believe to be true?

2014-06-07T15:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475305422354530304

@4thAnon Have you read my article on this topic?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-06-07T15:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475305132838494208

@4thAnon I disagree with them on their drug war, and on keeping 'In God We Trust" on money, and al kinds of random stuff.

2014-06-07T15:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475304665890832384

@4thAnon Of course I can. I disagree with all the money being waster in Afghanistan. I disagree with them not prosecuting bankers.

2014-06-07T15:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475303326670520320

@4thAnon So I "question" everything. But you seem to be asking me something else. Hence I'm asking for an example.

2014-06-07T15:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475303083308642304

@4thAnon I trust the government very little on matters involving big business, as most politicians are corrupted by money.

2014-06-07T15:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475302899619074048

@4thAnon I don't buy the official story 100% on anything. It's a matter of degree.

2014-06-07T15:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475300926933057536

@4thAnon I'm going to need at least one example, so I know the type of thing you mean.

2014-06-07T15:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475300176156844032

@4thAnon Maybe you have a list of official stories? So I could pick one? Give me five OS that YOU don't buy that you think I shouldn't.

2014-06-07T15:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475299851211530240

@4thAnon Can you give an example of an OS you think I should not buy?

2014-06-07T15:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475299063764488192

@4thAnon I see me trying to get them to point out something I got wrong, and them replying with "shill".

2014-06-07T15:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475298727351947264

@4thAnon You just consider that huge volume of criticism of the government to be irrelevant,

because it skips your personal beliefs.

2014-06-07T15:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475298426184151040

@4thAnon There's a huge array of people (from FactCheck to Fox News) exposing or spinning government lies and failings.

2014-06-07T15:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475298119597318144

@4thAnon I don't wonder. It's because they can't find anything wrong with what I say. It's a last resort.

2014-06-07T15:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475297795985776640

@4thAnon Sorry I don't understand what the problem is. I use Tweetdeck. I hit reply. I type something.

2014-06-07T15:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475297556981751808

@4thAnon Most government BS is exposed pretty quickly. So I don't need to debunk it. I get the fringe subjects.

2014-06-07T15:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475297127564705792

@4thAnon Like what? You seem to be the only person who gets confused by my tweets :)

2014-06-07T15:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475296585035677697

@4thAnon WMDs in Iraq. Justification for Guantanamo. And most of the stuff discussed here: http://www.factcheck.org/

2014-06-07T15:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475295844476809216

@4thAnon @chris63414391 Is Ron Reagan a christian because his dad was? What evidence are you going on?

2014-06-07T15:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475292825202864128

@4thAnon @chris63414391 You might want to check the evidence on that eugenics claim before repeating it.

2014-06-07T15:04:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475292318098935808

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @Lawful_Rebel @lek_black All I've done is correct errors. Did I get ANYTHING wrong?

2014-06-07T14:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475290042160525312

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @Lawful_Rebel @lek_black It's sad that that's the fallback position when you can't find any errors in what I say.

2014-06-07T01:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475087956605034496

@JarrodHazzard @Englandanon @youtube @martinhume That video is explained here:

2014-06-06T23:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475050153770881024

@WiMaxJJ Skytyping. A form of skywriting using five synced planes. http://www.skytypers.com/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bpe3mK-CAAASb43.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bpe3mK-CAAASb43.jpg

2014-06-06T20:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475013895187206144

@Lawful_Rebel @lek_black @nickmyer @ContrailSkies Can you give an example of this science? Like, how long should contrails persist?

2014-06-06T19:44:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/475000183151812608

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black My site is basically a list of everything the chemtrail movement got wrong. Are there any errors there?

2014-06-06T19:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474999916213698560

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black I focus entirely on finding things that are wrong (bunk) and exposing and removing them (debunking)

2014-06-06T19:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474999707853271040

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black Yes, "debunk" means to removed the bunk. When you

"debug", you find and remove bugs. Same thing.

2014-06-06T19:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474998934742396928

@lex_looper No. Otherwise all the people in Seattle would be dead.

2014-06-06T19:38:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474998716382720001

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black By goal is not to disprove things. It's to figure out what is wrong, and expose that. Just bunk.

2014-06-06T19:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474998517761445888

@BrianDunning What if it had a 1.5% catastrophic failure rate, like the Space Shuttle. Would you still do it?

2014-06-06T19:34:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474997799549796352

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black Point out something I said that's factually false. I'll fix it.

2014-06-06T19:24:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474995160078512128

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black But you can't find anything wrong, and there's lots wrong on Danes site. So what does that tell you?

2014-06-06T18:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474987017621622786

@nickmyer @ContrailSkies @lek_black Why would you skip it? I've read Dane's site, noted many errors. Yet nobody points out errors on MB.

2014-06-06T17:25:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474965373343645696

@MelanieMinnich Did you look at that video? It's only three minutes. I made it myself. It shows lots of old books all agreeing.

2014-06-06T14:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474924158292209666

@nickmyer And yet you can't describe or document it. Again, this just sounds like faith.

2014-06-06T14:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474923977261846529

@ContrailSkies @nickmyer @lek_black But that's not the choice. You don't have to take sides with people. Just check the evidence.

2014-06-06T13:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474904008566394881

@nickmyer So why does Dane endorse "Zen Gardener", who puts out stuff like this:
http://www.activistpost.com/2013/02/is-new-madrid-mega-quake-being.html
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpcyrctCUAA1vZF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpcyrctCUAA1vZF.jpg

2014-06-06T13:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474902456590008320

@nickmyer You don't think, you know? I'm afraid that just sounds like faith. No evidence needed then?

2014-06-06T13:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474901298278449152

@nickmyer But WHY do you think that, when contrails are known to do that exact same thing? What's the difference?

2014-06-06T13:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474900908560494592

@nickmyer So why does he leave such horrific errors on his site?

2014-06-06T13:09:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474900765186605057

@nickmyer More ice saturated air = more persistent contrails. What more explanation is needed?

2014-06-06T13:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474900659930529792

@nickmyer Hmm, I think there's maybe a key misunderstanding here. Can you explain your point? You think there's more high bypass engines?

2014-06-05T23:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474701679749644289

7 strange ice formations

http://www.mnn.com/earth-matters/climate-weather/stories/7-strange-ice-formations via @MotherNatureNet http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZ6qXnCIAAjxM5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZ6qXnCIAAjxM5.jpg

2014-06-05T23:12:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474690227760427008

@4thAnon Not news. The Jefferson State movement has been doing this since the 1940s http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jefferson_(proposed_Pacific_state)

2014-06-05T23:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474689518348406784

@4thAnon Does it work?

2014-06-05T23:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474689330573611008

@CryptoCoda Ice balls explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ice-boulders-on-great-lakes-caused-by-geoengineering-natural-but-rare-ball-ice.3776/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg

---



CAKE OF BALL ICE. Ball ice in the nearshore zone was swept by winds into reen-
the icefoot and accumulated to freeze into an ice sheet, conglomeratic in structure.
ice in the foreground is 15-40 cm in diameter. Lake Huron (Rogers City, Mich.).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg
2014-06-05T23:08:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/474689282653712384
@Jerome09 Ice balls explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ice-boulders-on-great-lakes-caused-by-geoengineering-natural-but-rare-ball-ice.3776/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg
@h2osessions @MarkTwainRocks



CAKE OF BALL ICE. Ball ice in the nearshore zone was swept by winds into reen-
the icefoot and accumulated to freeze into an ice sheet, conglomeratic in structure.
ice in the foreground is 15-40 cm in diameter. Lake Huron (Rogers City, Mich.).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg
2014-06-05T23:08:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/474689216463388672
@selinasorrels Ice balls explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ice-boulders-on-great-lakes-caused-by-geoengine
ering-natural-but-rare-ball-ice.3776/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg
@dubble0h @AJ_amyjoydonut @nakedshortkilla



CAKE OF BALL ICE. Ball ice in the nearshore zone was swept by winds into reen-
the icefoot and accumulated to freeze into an ice sheet, conglomeratic in structure.
ice in the foreground is 15-40 cm in diameter. Lake Huron (Rogers City, Mich.).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg
2014-06-05T23:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/474688883251105792
Historical accounts of Ice Boulders on the great lakes. Not geoengineering evidence.https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ice-boulders-on-great-lakes-caused-by-geoengineering-natural-but-rare-ball-ice.3776/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg



CAKE OF BALL ICE. Ball ice in the nearshore zone was swept by winds into reen-
the icefoot and accumulated to freeze into an ice sheet, conglomeratic in structure.
ice in the foreground is 15-40 cm in diameter. Lake Huron (Rogers City, Mich.).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpZvBg3CQAASBcP.jpg

2014-06-05T23:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474688621040005121

@JohnnyGreen96 Sorry, But I don't seem much point in giving credence to random late eyewitness accounts.

2014-06-05T21:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474669984053231616

@nickmyer Can you spot the mistake in this?

http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/high-bypass-turbofan-jet-engines-contrails/

2014-06-05T21:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474669783989104640

@nickmyer You really think Dane is accurate, when he says high bypass engines don't leave contrails? Contrary to known science.

2014-06-05T21:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474669327418138624

@JohnnyGreen96 No. I don't find it remarkable.

2014-06-05T21:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474663954464395267

@nickmyer I'm trying to remove the bunk. That's all.

2014-06-05T21:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474663900076855298

@nickmyer Nothing will happen in the next five years. Hopefully you'll have figured it out by then. Real concerns corrupted by bunk.

2014-06-05T21:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474663672783310848

@nickmyer No, I'm planning on pointing out when it does not happen. Predictions of Immediate endgames are common to false theories.

2014-06-05T21:25:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474663371590352897

@nickmyer Surely if there was evidence, then the "truth" would already have emerged? But you can't name a single piece of evidence.

2014-06-05T21:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474663165880717312

@BlackburnRuth @PolitiBunny Because I point out errors in the contrail conspiracy narrative? What did I get wrong? http://contrailscience.com/

2014-06-05T21:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474662698920443905

@nickmyer Any particular timeline? This year?

2014-06-05T21:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474660553286516736

@JohnnyGreen96 It's remarkable if she saw the plane. Did you mean something else?

2014-06-05T21:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474659570103889920

@nickmyer and what does "towards the truth" mean here? What do you think is going to happen?

2014-06-05T21:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474659363484090368

@nickmyer Check your evidence NIck. If you can't make a case to anyone, then why are YOU convinced?

2014-06-05T21:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474658544151953409

@nickmyer Name one inconsistency. Back it up with facts.

2014-06-05T20:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474656447238664192

@nickmyer Why? Because Dane Wigington says so? What's the actual evidence?

2014-06-05T20:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474644816412696576

@Spencer_Allen Check the explanatory video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2014-06-05T18:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474619179870322688

RT @EpicCosmos: AIM satellite image of #noctilucent clouds over Earth's north pole June 3. #NLC

http://spaceweather.com/DAISY_PICS/current_daisy.png?PHPSESSID=241i3rm74oaflt4d5437hv8097 http://t.co/UD9KUKolâ¦

2014-06-05T16:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474593855279345664

Plane in holding pattern. Seen from space!

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/holding-pattern-contrail-near-nowra-australia.3773/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpYYmKaCQAIwNSl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpYYmKaCQAIwNSl.jpg

2014-06-05T07:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474454678667665408

Plane in holding pattern. http://kruzzik.livejournal.com/359953.html

https://twitter.com/BryanJWhyte/status/474095917017358337/photo/1

2014-06-04T21:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474295008422416384

@4thAnon You agree that it's undeniable?

2014-06-04T20:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474293750101856256

@BryanJWhyte Explained here:

http://kruzzik.livejournal.com/359953.html

2014-06-04T17:32:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474242398973751297

@4thAnon The report actually says DON'T do that. Cut emissions instead. Original story here: http://newsroom.ucla.edu/releases/no-way-around-it:-reducing-emissions-will-be-the-primary-way-to-fight-climate-change-ucla-led-study-finds#.U4wis80ssdI.twitter

---

2014-06-04T05:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/474055854316994560

@4thAnon Because A) it's not a secret (see 1957 coverage, et al), and B) it's not that newsworthy (just a conference).

2014-06-03T22:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473955080786300928

@4thAnon Meeting en masse offers benefits. But is not necessary. BB is not needed to secretly rule the world.

2014-06-03T22:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473947812686618624

@4thAnon Or rather, the US/Europe elite.

2014-06-03T22:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473947595375517696

@4thAnon BB is just a particular meeting for a small fraction of the global elite.

2014-06-03T22:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473947443579482112

@4thAnon It happens all the time. It's not like everyone at BB speaks at the same time. There are speakers, listeners, private chats.

2014-06-03T21:40:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473942447777529857

@4thAnon Do you think the rich and powerful don't have phones? Or that they can't just meet up elsewhere? What's special here? AJ Photo-op.

2014-06-03T21:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473941795533889538

@4thAnon according to your theory, which is rather lacking in evidence.

2014-06-03T20:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473932120692232192

@iain39 @blazeart_ @gentlemanirish @YouTube @lek_black @PriscillaLakerv @ShiJiHao You are pointing at a contrail.

2014-06-03T20:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473932026035200002

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 What do you think is going on? The original point was about adding contrail to the Bluray version. Shown false.

2014-06-03T20:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473931321274687488

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 The photo with lots of contrails was from an unused shoot. Partly because of contrails.

2014-06-03T20:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473931140504354816

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 There's a contrail at 1:15 in that clip. However, obviously, they don't want obvious contrails in shot.

2014-06-03T20:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473924660568928256

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 Of course it was edited. That's not the same thing as contrails being added. Edited = cropping and adjust color.

2014-06-03T20:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473923642477142017

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 You actually think this is a fake photo? Obviously the original was taken on film, digitized and post-processed.

2014-06-03T19:52:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473915083215888384

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 I thought that because they were all holding numbers it was pretty obvious.

2014-06-03T19:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473914869788311552

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 If you like, the end result is the same. Although Spartacus actually came out in 1960.

http://life.time.com/culture/spartacus-life-behind-the-scenes-of-a-kubrick-classic/#1

2014-06-03T19:48:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473914257340264448

@ModernCrap @karinebio13 It's a production photo, not an actual scene. It was reshot indoors, with painted sky. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpOugR9CIAA773E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpOugR9CIAA773E.jpg

2014-06-03T17:17:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473876077832441856

@nntaleb Can you give an example of someone should be pounding, but has refrained?

2014-06-03T17:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473875648201506816
@4thAnon Says a bunch of partisan non-scientists and their cherry picked "experts".

2014-06-03T16:45:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473868117769084928
@CarletonHandley @SkepticsGuide Debunked last year:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-9-11-impact-footage-was-faked-shows-layering-error.2415/

2014-06-03T16:40:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473866824354770944
@RA9h10m20sD1416 What's your point?

2014-06-03T16:01:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473856944734601216
RT @PeterGleick: To those claiming climate protection will destroy the economy, here's how past claims panned out. (Updated to 2013.) http:â¦

2014-06-03T15:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473856357284589568
@ImpactMusic96 And which bit of that video demonstrates that contrails always quickly disperse?

2014-06-03T15:55:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473855475742552064
@4thAnon What has changed?

2014-06-03T15:22:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473847136753160192
RT @KenCaldeira: .@croselund These new #EPA #climate rules seem like a big wet sloppy kiss from the federal gov't to the natural gas industâ¦

2014-06-03T04:42:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473686102109196288
Government recruits "Troll Army" to spread its propaganda.
http://www.buzzfeed.com/maxseddon/documents-show-how-russias-troll-army-hit-america
@4thAnon

2014-06-03T04:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473685439992188929
@trutherbot Bunk! Disproven by 70 years of books on clouds:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-03T04:39:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473685293313175552
@4thAnon Why would there be one?

2014-06-03T04:38:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473685248891301889
@4thAnon Which points are unaddressed? That he "feels" it was not suicide?

2014-06-03T01:03:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473631146849230848

RT @FitnessHacks101: 5 Health And Diet Myths Debunked By Science: Why Carbs, Coffee And Gluten Arenâ□□t Actually Bad For You http://t.co/M3DPâ□¦

2014-06-03T01:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473631031673638912

RT @WednesdayMartin: Vaccinate your kids because all the excuses have been debunked: http://www.iflscience.com/health-and-medicine/dear-parents-you-are-being-lied #parenting #vaccinate

---

2014-06-03T00:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473629202223071232

@4thAnon And I think all of Madsen's points were addressed in the thread I linked.

2014-06-03T00:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473628783652507648

@4thAnon Have you read the police report? Came out after Madsen expressed his certainty. https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/update_marshall_investigation-1-pdf.2145/

2014-06-03T00:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473625915495153664

@4thAnon Marshall was a suicide. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/phillip-marshall-9-11-conspiracy-theorist-apparent-suicide-or-what.1149/

2014-06-03T00:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473625683009101824

@4thAnon Snowden had actual information - documents and inside info. These guys just have opinions.

2014-06-03T00:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473615676544806912

@4thAnon Why would he spill the beans to Alex Jones? Why no just tell everyone? You think he's just waiting for someone to ask the right Q?

2014-06-02T23:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473611933141045248

@4thAnon Sabrosky lies about his credentials, and claims the military knew about Israel "doing it" (9/11). Snowden seems unaware.

2014-06-02T23:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473610678167539712

@4thAnon Any you think that Snowden was just unaware of any of this?

2014-06-02T23:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473606998987005953

@4thAnon Look, here's me arguing with them: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesi

s.1727/ lots of people do it. If you can argue with NIST, why is this hard?

2014-06-02T23:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473606509427818496

@4thAnon It's not hard to argue with. I do it all the time. Almost none of them have relevant qualifications

---

2014-06-02T23:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473602223268581376

@biggysmlls So would you concur that no contrails have been added?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKStkBCUAAfJpG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKStkBCUAAfJpG.jpg

2014-06-02T23:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473601702189215744

@biggysmlls @Momoclonsmoney @RA9h10m20sD1416 @uribe_reyna @karinebio13 @zoranzoric3 @martinhume http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKSPOYCEAAB2HH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKSPOYCEAAB2HH.jpg

2014-06-02T23:05:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473601442654076928

@biggysmlls @Momoclonsmoney @RA9h10m20sD1416 @uribe_reyna @karinebio13 @zoranzoric3 @martinhume http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKSAIcCAAIFtkQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpKSAIcCAAIFtkQ.jpg

2014-06-02T23:03:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473600780230868994

@biggysmlls I'm sorry, I thought you were posting those to show that contrails had been added? What are they for?

2014-06-02T21:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473581021296750593

@biggysmlls Is there an old/new comparison coming up?

2014-06-02T20:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473568540654448640

@4thAnon AE911 has not made a compelling case.

2014-06-02T19:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473554036222746625

@4thAnon Calling for a new investigation is not the same as agreeing with AE911

2014-06-02T19:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473550852670509056

@4thAnon You don't think he'd tell people that if he knew something? Or are you saying he knows nothing, but might agree with AE911 anyway?

2014-06-02T19:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473548855913369600

@4thAnon But you have repeatedly explained your objections to the OS. There is NOTHING to suggest that Snowdon disagrees with the OS.

2014-06-02T19:22:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473545093161291776

@piKKKil Of planes and contrails, yes. Can you point to ONE that is not a normal persistent contrail? Or one where the source is suspicious?

2014-06-02T19:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473542291383009280

@biggysmlls I'm saying that they did not add trails to existing footage.

2014-06-02T19:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473542099774631936

@4thAnon Reading this: http://rt.com/usa/162576-nbc-snowden-september-attack/ it sounds like he agrees with the 9/11 comission report. 4th paragraph.

2014-06-02T19:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473541247320072192

@4thAnon Suppose AJ asked him, and Snowden just repeated the official story (at least about hijackings and collapse). Then what?

2014-06-02T19:05:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473540985163485185

@piKKKil Surely someone can though. Are there any photos anywhere of what you see?

2014-06-02T19:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473540863662911488

@piKKKil Have you tried flightradar24? Take a photo today, post it on https://www.metabunk.org/forums/skydentify-what-is-that-thing-in-the-sky.32/ with position and time.

2014-06-02T19:03:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473540389899493376

@biggysmlls You can't drive 14 miles to get definitive proof of a worldwide decades long conspiracy?

2014-06-02T19:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473540118372827136

@4thAnon So you think that Snowden is legit, but is unaware of controlled demolition? Above his security clearance?

2014-06-02T19:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473539837551591425

@4thAnonHe said there was a failure of intel. Pretty much what the 9/11 commission said.

2014-06-02T17:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473520233466961920

@Giadominic 2025 doc was a work of fiction. It says so on the second page.

2014-06-02T17:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473520097781248000

@4thAnon Seems rather pertinent "oh and BTW the government also blew up the buildings themselves!" - makes intelligence failures irrelevant

---

2014-06-02T17:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473519709178957824

@4thAnon You've seen the interview. They talk about 9/11. You think Snowden would not have mentioned it was controlled demo?

2014-06-02T17:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473519474704805889

@4thAnon And I suspect that Snowden is not a fan of @RealAlexJones, and would consider him

a noise-making distraction from real issues.

2014-06-02T17:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473519088052883457

@4thAnon @RealAlexJones And yet again, there is NOTHING stopping Snowden from revealing 9/11 info without Alex having to ask him.

2014-06-02T17:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473518509440253952

@4thAnon So it's a clue that he knows nothing about a government cover up of JFK assassination.

2014-06-02T17:35:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473518215566340096

@4thAnon No. It's a clue. Snowden favors openness. He has nothing to lose. So if he knew anything about JFK, he would have said it.

2014-06-02T17:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473517997907120128

@4thAnon Is Snowden being censored then? Is his message being entirely controlled? Why trust anything he says if you think that?

2014-06-02T17:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473516613694537728

.@4thAnon Snowden is either a puppet of the Elite, or 9/11 was not a series of controlled demolitions. Which do you think?

2014-06-02T17:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473515769116897280

@piKKKil Alright. I'm off for a run. I look forward to seeing it.

2014-06-02T17:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473515639219302400

@4thAnon @RealAlexJones There is plenty of AJ debunking on Metabunk. https://www.google.com/search?q=debunked+alex+jones+site%3Ametabunk.org

---

2014-06-02T17:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473515115614969857

@piKKKil And most planes are white underneath. If you think they are suspicious, then take a photo.

2014-06-02T17:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473514989446107137

@piKKKil Post a photo, predict away. You can banish me yourself if you don't like the answer.

2014-06-02T17:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473514829454397441

@4thAnon If he's legit, then why would he ignore controlled demolition, unless it didn't happen? Why is he backing the official story?

2014-06-02T17:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473514656049274880

@4thAnon Claiming to be all sciencey does not make it so. Read some of their points. Same old debunked stuff.

2014-06-02T17:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473514287197986816

@4thAnon @RealAlexJones Alex Jones, can I see the Hillary Clinton Logan act Bilderberg $400K fine documents please?

2014-06-02T17:18:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473513981064138752

@piKKKil That blue square is you? Plenty of commercial flyover traffic there. Look at FR24: http://www.flightradar24.com/43.92,-89.53/8

2014-06-02T17:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473511980280459264

@4thAnon So, no actual evidence of this 400K fine then? See a pattern here?

2014-06-02T17:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473511754786295808

@piKKKil Repost the best one of your removed photos, and I'll see if I can explain it.

2014-06-02T17:07:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473511328808595456

@piKKKil So what? People have been writing about weather modification and geoengineering for a long time. Also travel to Mars.

2014-06-02T17:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473511149426601984

@piKKKil Prove it. And post them elsewhere.

2014-06-02T17:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473510066721525760

NRA describes open carry of long guns into restaurants as "downright weird", "defies common sense" https://archive.today/ObJGX

2014-06-02T16:57:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473508659910045697

@4thAnon Any evidence that Clinton was fined? Or is it just something Jones says?

2014-06-02T16:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473508129024385024

@4thAnon Or is the government allowing him to leak all this stuff about spying?

2014-06-02T16:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473508013978841089

@4thAnon Or are you just saying you don't trust ANYTHING he says? It's all misinfo?

2014-06-02T16:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473507916226392065

@4thAnon @Tolstoved @BWilliams Snowden is free to say whatever he wants at any time. He

has the internet.

2014-06-02T16:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473507790288195584

@4thAnon And is that what you want CNN to cover? The "illegal" nature of the meetings?

2014-06-02T16:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473507650403983360

@4thAnon @diederiksamsom So that's the story then? A legal point about people meeting in private? Is this based on existing law?

2014-06-02T16:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473507287722491904

@4thAnon @Tolstoved I know you'd never speculate based on limited information, but what do you think about Snowden & Controlled demolition?

2014-06-02T16:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473507015298252800

@4thAnon @Tolstoved So if Snowden is ignoring controlled demolition, is that just random and meaningless? Or a clue?

2014-06-02T16:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473506399129833472

@4thAnon @tolstoved We've all seen Snowden's comments about failure of intelligence before 911. Nothing there about demolition. Why is that?

2014-06-02T16:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473506033705316352

@4thAnon @diederiksamsom Why is it newsworthy? (and no I've not watched it). Can you give one salient point from it?

2014-06-02T16:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473505658646437889

@piKKKil You can pick the question. Go ahead - any single claim of evidence you think holds up to scrutiny.

2014-06-02T16:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473505535711395842

@4thAnon I prefer truth. Who wrote it? Which experts peer reviewed it?

2014-06-02T16:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473505191543599104

@piKKKil No, but I'm familiar with the fallacious "in the 1970's it was all about global cooling" argument. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_cooling#1970s_awareness

---

2014-06-02T16:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473504270721896449

@piKKKil You were the one who wanted my to explain your tweets. Why don't you just pick one piece of evidence and I'll explain it.

2014-06-02T16:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473504025287995392
@WeatherWar101 @piKKKil I'm not denying anything. I'm pointing out your lack of any evidence that you'll actually stand behind.

2014-06-02T16:36:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473503364924182528
@piKKKil Well since you never give me any evidence ... what do you expect? How are you going to actually make a case in public? Handwaving?

2014-06-02T16:33:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473502692170407936
@4thAnon Hey, why do you think Snowden doesn't say anything about controlled demolition of the WTC?

2014-06-02T16:32:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473502308278337536
@piKKKil No. I'm all about the evidence. I'm trying to get you to pick one piece of evidence you think actually stands up.

2014-06-02T16:31:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473502061523263488
@piKKKil @WeatherWar101 Like what? What good questions? Like the two you just asked and I answered?

2014-06-02T16:29:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473501795411451904
@WeatherWar101 @piKKKil So you can't pick ONE claim of evidence that actually stands alone? I'd be happy to address it.

2014-06-02T16:27:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473501164739104769
@4thAnon Or "Panel of 9/11 truthers confirms their own beliefs about 9/11"

2014-06-02T16:24:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473500425895690240
@piKKKil I'm sorry, but unless you give some actual evidence, then there's not a lot to discuss.

2014-06-02T16:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473499712234848257
@piKKKil Exactly the same way clouds do.

2014-06-02T16:17:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473498635955478528
@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 @uribe_reyna @karinebio13 @zoranzoric3 @Momoclonsmoney @martinhume Prove it.

2014-06-02T16:17:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/473498580682936322
@piKKKil Isn't the removal of bunk worth doing? Is it not a good thing to fix mistakes, spread truth, remove false distractions?

2014-06-02T16:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473498358804656130

@WeatherWar101 @piKKKil Admit what? Why do you keep dancing around when asked for specific evidence?

2014-06-02T16:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473498209029873666

@piKKKil Can you demonstrate this? Photos?

2014-06-02T16:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473497416981700609

The "I'm Spartacus!" scene has a contrail in the background. Filmed in SoCal in the late 1950s

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIzZBoCcAAM1ts.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIzZBoCcAAM1ts.jpg

2014-06-02T16:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473496694739329026

@biggysmlls You think they added them? Can you do a side by side comparison?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIyu_PCEAElAOh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIyu_PCEAElAOh.jpg

2014-06-02T16:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473495351974846465

@piKKKil So you can't actually point to anything? No actual examples? I'd be happy to directly address a focused point, if you have one.

2014-06-02T15:59:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473494024825757696

@piKKKil @WeatherWar101 Perhaps you could pick one thing you think is evidence of something?

2014-06-02T15:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473489383895490560

@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 @uribe_reyna @karinebio13 @zoranzoric3 @Momoclonsmoney @martinhume 1959, Spartacus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIsFdPCUAAhzpf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpIsFdPCUAAhzpf.jpg

2014-06-02T15:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473486656079536129

@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 @uribe_reyna @karinebio13 @zoranzoric3 @Momoclonsmoney @martinhume Very few from the 50s, not much jet traffic

---

2014-06-02T15:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473486223760060417

@piKKKil I don't follow you, but feel free to tag me if you have something you think I should explain.

2014-06-02T15:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473486100552359936

@4thAnon So, you want CNN to stand outside and do a segment "what might they be talking about?" What is to cover here?

2014-06-02T04:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473326810332028928

@4thAnon No, I mean why bother with this particular meeting? You know there are THOUSANDS of meetings. Focus solely on this is distraction.

2014-06-02T01:14:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473271278258761728

@IDoubtIt Methinks looking for science in superhero films is not going to be a satisfactory endeavor :)

2014-06-02T00:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473266273720074240

@KristenMeghan @piKKKil @TheEschaton2012 So then why did you publicly accuse me of being a "government shill", without any proof?

2014-06-02T00:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473265810366939137

@l3uildCraft @FlexBlur And if you want to ask specific questions, the contrails forum on Metabunk is always ready! https://www.metabunk.org/forums/contrails-and-chemtrails.9/

2014-06-02T00:43:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473263613835087872

Contrails were spreading out to cover the sky before you were born.

http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFev6kCEAA6hAm.jpg



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFev6kCEAA6hAm.jpg

2014-06-02T00:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473263118286467072

@CraziDarling Welcome to 1969.

http://contrailscience.com/files/1970-AMS-i1520-0469-27-6-937.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFeTDNCUAEQfzl.jpg



FIG. 1. Morning contrails, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.



FIG. 2. Afternoon contrail sheets, Boulder, Colo., 17 December 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFeTDNCUAEQfzl.jpg

2014-06-02T00:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473262417573474304

.@Rejento That's not a rainbow. It's a Circumhorizontal arc.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circumhorizontal_arc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFdqSNCUAAzA5A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpFdqSNCUAAzA5A.jpg

2014-06-02T00:25:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473259158108512256

@4thAnon What's to cover? Same thing as the last 50+ years?

2014-06-01T19:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473187870539124737

RT @krelnik: Bruised by two class action settlements, homeopathy maker Heel is leaving US & Canada. http://bit.ly/1jJWxvN by @EdzardErnst â¦

2014-06-01T18:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473168520151195650

@beforeitsnews Which proves that are not yet doing it.

2014-06-01T17:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/473155746771644416

@nickmyer What and where?

2014-06-01T00:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472895915540221952

@MelanieMinnich Try to see both sides of the evidence. Like:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-06-01T00:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472893402615267329

@MelanieMinnich Have you looked at any of the sites that debunk claims like that?

2014-06-01T00:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472890746215743488

@MelanieMinnich How do you know?

2014-05-31T23:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472879037203759104

@4thAnon No.

2014-05-31T23:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472878920178475009

"HAARP" skies. 1900. https://archive.org/details/internationalatl00inte

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpAA3zECcAE_a6Q.jpg



Meteorologisch-Magnetisches Observatorium, Potsdam, May 28th 1900, 17 h., looking WNW, altitude 25°.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BpAA3zECcAE_a6Q.jpg

2014-05-31T20:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472838238810275840

@onlynoor @Shareaholic Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754/

2014-05-31T20:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472838063253512193

@SheikhDoha Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754/

2014-05-31T20:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837949889851392

@nihal201Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754

/

2014-05-31T20:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837900271222786

@albrouillard Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754
/

2014-05-31T20:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837832650682368

@infidelpamelaLC Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754
/

2014-05-31T20:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837787041812480

@atariq7 Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754
/ @Power4thetruth

2014-05-31T20:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837732675248128

@YaserBirjas Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated gender based restrooms.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754
/

2014-05-31T20:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472837590953893888

@carolinagirl63 Debunked. Clause was removed, did not eliminated anything.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-houston-eliminates-gender-based-restrooms.3754
/ @risinggem @soccbballmom @warriors_mom

2014-05-31T19:53:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472828258426179584

@CBowen4 Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-obamacare-rfid-chip-implant-law-hoax.497/

2014-05-31T19:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472827414150533120

@WearKilluminati he was mostly promoting his own DVDs, books, and site advertizing back
then. https://web.archive.org/web/20060613003816/http://infowars.com/

2014-05-31T19:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472824897203216384

@GunSenseUSA Can you show the underlying original figures that make up your pie chart?

2014-05-31T19:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472824291851894784

@BelieversBeware He's not stupid. He know's he's promoting and exploiting fear for profit.

However he also believes in a lot of his nonsense

2014-05-31T17:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472787231753519104

@GunSenseUSA How are you getting that? The story you link is about false NEGATIVES. i.e. felons are allowed to buy guns.

2014-05-31T05:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472615932427120640

RT @JPMajor: It's a shame when the most lucid statement of gun violence in the U.S. comes from a satire publication.

http://www.theonion.com/articles/no-way-to-prevent-this-says-only-nation-where-this,36131/

2014-05-31T05:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472612821516034050

@4thAnon I don't think it's called "trade".

2014-05-31T05:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472612326281977857

Why Alex Jones Shouts at Bilderberg. http://infowarsshop.3dcartstores.com/?ims=fvhim

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo8OZ_ZCUAECM4A.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo8OZ_ZCUAECM4A.jpg

2014-05-31T05:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472611507423830016

@4thAnon Really? You don't think this is a factor here?

http://infowarsshop.3dcartstores.com/?ims=fvhim

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo8NqU4CAAIDCYA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo8NqU4CAAIDCYA.jpg
2014-05-31T05:30:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472611065537130498
@4thAnon So a greater audience for his shouting, and hence sales of "InfoWars Super Male Vitality". Can't you see what's going on here?

2014-05-31T05:25:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472609720805511168
@4thAnon Apparently Snowden did not. What's he on about then?

2014-05-31T05:24:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472609483428884480
@4thAnon The coverage now is pretty much the same as it was in 1957. "Elite meet, agenda unknown, maybe plotting". Bravo. Except shoutier.

2014-05-31T05:19:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472608196712861696
@4thAnon You'd think he'd mention that, what with it being Earth shattering news. Listen again.

2014-05-31T05:17:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472607841891540992
@4thAnon That's silly. Jones IS just using BB for publicity. Icke is just doing his tired thing. #Bilderberg2014

2014-05-31T05:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472606148017664000

@HeavenLeeOps Which proves that "chemtrails" are not real (yet, if ever).

2014-05-31T05:09:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472605847168626688

@MelanieMinnich @Bostonchemtrail @MarkDice @Chem_trails Clouds evaporate too. What's the difference?

2014-05-31T05:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472605736099651584

@4thAnon Really? Where does he say the CIA knew who was planning 9/11? Seems like all he is saying is that they SHOULD have caught them.

2014-05-31T05:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472605426773553155

@GunSenseUSA So how does this relate to your pie chart? Seems like unrelated numbers, and different things.

2014-05-31T00:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472534046455513088

@GunSenseUSA That does not seem to match the actual figures. How do you figure?

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bjs/grants/239272.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo7HNgNCUAAyJ0k.jpg

NICS Denials in 2010. The FBI conducted over six million NICS transfer checks in 2010 and denied over 72,000 applications, a denial rate of about 1%. The most common reason for denial by the FBI was a record of a felony indictment or conviction (over 47%), followed by fugitives from justice (19%), and state law prohibitions (about 11%) (Table 1). Other reasons included drug use or addiction (about 10%), domestic violence misdemeanor convictions (over 6%), and domestic violence restraining orders (over 4%) (Appendix table A).

Table 1. Background checks by the FBI in 2010

	Number	Percent
Applications for firearm transfer	6,037,394	
Denials / denial rate	72,659	1.2%
Most common denial reasons / percent of denials		
Felony indictment or conviction	34,459	47.4%
Fugitive	13,862	19.1%
State law prohibition	7,666	10.6%

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo7HNgNCUAAyJ0k.jpg

2014-05-30T23:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472517151211810816

@4thAnon Can we do the same with "Natural" News?

2014-05-30T23:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472517068810510336

@4thAnon Or more accurate: "Scientist spends all his time trying to prove his pet theory that nobody else agrees with".

2014-05-30T23:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472516508464078848
Edward Snowden agrees with the official story on 9/11. Just criticizes intelligence methodology.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KQLy012pNDs

2014-05-30T23:12:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472515893180641280
@4thAnon Where he backs the official story, just criticises intelligence analysis. Nothing new.

2014-05-30T23:08:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472514870554787841
@DoubtfulNews @motherboard Except:
http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.1525/nr.2010.14.1.4?uid=2&uid=4&sid=21104092088147

2014-05-30T23:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472514358019231746
@ContrailSkies Hence David Keith's proposal has modified Lear Jets to get to 60,000 feet.

2014-05-30T23:04:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472514022281977856
@ContrailSkies And most proposals would work far better if sprayed at 60,000 feet. Lower altitude has too much turnover.

2014-05-30T23:03:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472513637597188096
@ContrailSkies Depends on what is sprayed, and how much. But it would generally be hard to detect over background levels.

2014-05-30T22:22:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472503295051694080
@ContrailSkies Yes, lots. http://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=contrails+climate But I'd say the sky obscuration is also a legit concern, esp for astronomers.

2014-05-30T22:08:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472499762239774720
@Thedyer1971 What's more likely? Five random lines out of millions occasionally make shapes. Or 20 mile wide satanic illuminati graffiti.

2014-05-30T21:31:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472490630489186304
@RealAlexJones And the real agenda: http://bilderbergmeetings.org/press-release.html

---

2014-05-30T21:28:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472489711865700352
Were you a former believer in odd theories? Did you get out of the Rabbit Hole? Share your story, and see others.
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/out-of-the-rabbit-hole-former-believers.29/

2014-05-30T21:20:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472487651249881088
@BelieversBeware I'd try @IDoubtIt, @Daniel_Loxton, @debunker, @BadAstronomer,

@BrianDunning, @krelnik and @rbutr a go. All different tho.

2014-05-30T19:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472459053520543744

@Higherintime You can't write news based on no information. What's the exact headline you want printed?

2014-05-30T19:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472458653442666497

@rothschildmd A serendipitous juxtaposition.

2014-05-30T18:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472442722872094720

"Chemtrails" causing problems in 1958: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo50JxVCUAAEEEI.jpg

---

Darn Sky Riders

Jet Trails Dim Sun, Palm Springs Gripes

WASHINGTON, Dec. 6. (UPI)—The air force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Representative D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the air force what can be done.

"As you know," Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

"The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the west, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The air force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The air force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing-to-wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World war II. If anything like that is going on out of March air force base at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, air force officials here want to know all about it.

Shill Ousted by Minister

TOPEKA, Kan., Dec. 6. (AP)—Maurice D. Tulloch, who gave up the life of a Baptist minister to become a shill in a Las Vegas gambling casino, was stripped of his ministerial standing yesterday.

The action was taken by the executive committee of the Kansas Baptist convention.

Tulloch, a graying, spectacled man with crew-cut hair who looks closer to 40 than his 50 years, was pastor of the First Baptist church of Sabetha, Kan. Last September 29, he walked out of a meeting of Baptist pastors in Hutchinson, Kan., and disappeared.

On November 26 he was located in Las Vegas, working as a shill—a gambling casino employee who poses as a customer to drum up trade.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo50JxVCUAAEEEI.jpg

2014-05-30T18:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472440152237699073

@4thAnon If it's "banned", then why has it been on YouTube for eight years?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JWpWc_suPWo

2014-05-30T17:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472432616197468161

@4thAnon The screenshot is from Google.

http://news.google.com/newspapers?id=n4o1AAAAIBAJ&sjid=d6wFAAAAIBAJ&pg=1320%2C5106790

2014-05-30T16:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472420046090682368

Bilderberg 1974. Exactly the same as it is now. This is why the MSM don't cover it. There's nothing new but shouters. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5fhyLCAAANXIg.jpg

---

The Argus-Press - Jun 21, 1974 Browse this newspaper » Browse all newspapers »

The International 'Think Tank' Remains Off Limits to Press

AMSTERDAM, the Netherlands (AP) — It's been 20 years since Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands first produced his formula for promoting the cause of transatlantic harmony.

He introduced the idea of an international think tank, comprising top people from the United States and Western Europe, able to speak with total frankness on issues ruffling the Atlantic alliance.

Bernhard gave the participants privacy. The think tank was almost hermetically sealed from the press.

The first assembly was held in 1954 at the secluded Bilderberg Hotel lying in wooded countryside not far from Arnhem, Holland. The name of the hotel caught on and the Bilderberg group has continued to meet in different countries with different participants.

Always, however, the chairman has been Bernhard, the German-born prince who married Queen Juliana while she was still a princess. Bernhard later fought with the Allies in World War II.

Gerald R. Ford attended two Bilderberg meetings long before he became vice president of the United States.

"You don't really belong to the organization; one gets an invitation from the prince," Ford said in 1965.

At various other times, the prince has requested and obtained the presence of Henry A. Kissinger — 1971, Woodstock, Vt. — Helmut Schmidt, now West German chancellor — 1973, Saltsjoeboden, Sweden — former U.S. Secretaries of State Dean Rusk and Christian A. Herter; Thomas E. Dewey, former governor of New York and twice Republican presidential nominee; former British Prime Minister Edward Heath, Amintore Fanfani of Italy and so on.

Bernhard promised the conferees privacy, and the 1974 meeting in April was no exception.

It was held in a luxury hotel at the French ski resort of Megeve. Before the meeting, Bernhard entered the downtown press center, the Megeve Sports Hall, which was practically surrounded by police for the occasion.

He announced who had been invited to the meeting and what the topic would be. He then declared there would be no more information on the three-day session.

Reporters were never allowed to enter the hotel where the sessions were held.

There was only one topic at the meeting: prospects for the Atlantic world.

Eighty persons were invited and among those Americans who turned up were NATO commander Gen. Andrew Goodpaster; former U.S. Undersecretary of State George Ball, David Rockefeller, head of the Chase Manhattan Bank, and Sen. Walter F. Mondale, D-Minn.

Bernhard has made it clear that participants who deal with the press won't be invited back.

Apart from that, he likes to change the faces. There is often a 50 per cent switch in representation from one year to the next.

Procedures have become well established. The main speakers get 10 minutes, other participants are limited to 5. Papers written by both American and European participants are distributed in English and French, the two languages of the conference. Votes are never taken and titles are never used. A government official or an ambassador is plain mister. Bernhard is Mr. Chairman.

"The purpose of the conference," he has said, "is that eminent persons in every field get the opportunity to speak freely without being hindered by the knowledge that their words and ideas will be analyzed, commented upon and eventually criticized in the press."

His pulling power appears to date from World War II having taken his family into exile, he won his wings with Britain's Royal Air Force and reputedly got into rows with the British for going on unofficial bombing excursions over Germany.

He ended the war as commander in chief of the Dutch armed forces and a good friend of Gen. Dwight D. Eisenhower.

Bernhard, now 62, unhesitatingly used his wartime contacts to get the Bilderberg conception rolling. He has consistently asserted its only aim is to foster the transatlantic relationship.

Bernhard clearly expects that within influential circles, the ideas emerging from Bilderberg will make their impression. Of his personal role, he said: "I'd consider my own work in the same light as practically everything I do. I am only a catalyst."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5fhyLCAAANXIg.jpg

2014-05-30T16:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472415068756254722

@4thAnon You are the one claiming that the existence was a "conspiracy theory". So provide some evidence to back your claim.

2014-05-30T16:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472414767689129985

@4thAnon @RealAlexJones You know the type of people who attend. The point is that the existence of the meeting was public knowledge.

2014-05-30T16:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472412731241291776

@4thAnon @RealAlexJones What's to report? "Private bigwig meeting held again, just like it has for the last 60 years". What's the news?

2014-05-30T16:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472412465444057088

@4thAnon @JediBrainFart @RealAlexJones Why wouldn't I? I just posted newspaper articles about it from 1957.

2014-05-30T15:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472402836701970432

@4thAnon @JediBrainFart @RealAlexJones Alex just uses Bilderberg as an excuse to get on TV by shouting a lot. It's all an act for traffic.

2014-05-30T15:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472401336235851776

@4thAnon @JediBrainFart @RealAlexJones Can you find a single source that ever said it didn't exist?

2014-05-30T15:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472401190471225344

The Daily Mail exposes Royal connection to Bilderberg conference. Again in 1957.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5OYQRCAAE21dF.jpg

Prince Bernhard Coming To City

AMSTERDAM, Feb. 12 (AP) — Prince Bernhard of The Netherlands left by plane today for a 23-day tour of the United States.

The prince will preside over the so-called Bilderberg Conference of U.S. and European business and political representatives at St. Simons Island, Ga., opening Thursday.

The prince on his U.S. tour expects to visit the Fairchild factory at Hagerstown, Md., among others..

Daily Mail, Hagerstown, Maryland, Feb 12, 1957

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5OYQRCAAE21dF.jpg

2014-05-30T15:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472400908945338368

@4thAnon @JediBrainFart @RealAlexJones What's to debunk?

2014-05-30T15:21:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472397411516547074

@phdamodei So where do you feel the evidence is lacking?

2014-05-30T15:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/472396631824805889
@RationalBee @awesome086 @ronschurman @FloridaSkyWatch Car exhaust is not superheated pressurized steam at 1,000 mph.

2014-05-30T15:12:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472395246714642433
@StudentofWhim "Cluster" always seems handy here.

2014-05-30T15:08:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472394231823077377
@IDoubtIt http://roar.uel.ac.uk/3359/ has a CC version http://roar.uel.ac.uk/3359/1/Narrative%20Convention%20J%20Lang%20Soc%20Psy.pdf

---

2014-05-30T15:07:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472393874048954369
@NUMBERCOP Caller ID was 310-357-5332, but it was disconnected when I called back later to check.

2014-05-30T15:05:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472393353036722176
@TheFibreman @WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails It doesn't look like that now, where I live. I don't remember what it looked like in the 70's

---

2014-05-30T15:04:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472393090771083264
@JediBrainFart @4thAnon @RealAlexJones Cremation of Care? What's to debunk? Flowery theatrics.

2014-05-30T15:03:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472392799233388544
Bilderberg Exposed! Newspaper spills the beans on secretive conference. In 1957. http://news.google.com/newspapers?id=9WIzAAAAIBAJ&sjid=1eYDAAAAIBAJ&pg=5671%2C4662079 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5GvzqCAAIvMmo.jpg

---

Westbrook Pegler

Secret Session Roster Revealed

NEW YORK.—The mysterious cabal of supranational architects of the future who spent three days in secret session at St. Simon Island, Ga., thus far have been able to ignore the flurry of suspicion which belatedly marred their otherwise serene and impudent coup.

However, the jig is up and henceforth their privacy doubtless will be destroyed by notoriety and challenge. They tiptoed into session on February 16 and tiptoed away on the 18th.

Their island is close enough to Jekyll island to compel in the mind of the knowing observer a comparison with the silly but fateful conclave of a simular bunch who in 1908 formulated the federal reserve system on Jekyll while using only their first names. They suppressed their last names to keep their identity secret from the servants.

* * *

In the present instance, since the discovery and denunciation of the conference, efforts have been made to convince the public that the exploit was unofficial and not at all secret.

To be sure, it was not "covered" by the press, although Arthur Hays Sulzberger, publisher of the New York Times, and Ralph McGill, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, were participants, but there was nothing clandestine about it.

Unfortunately for that effort to take the heat off a stealthy deal, Senator Alexander Wiley, of Wisconsin, stuck into the appendix of the congressional record a few days later a "summary" taken from the New York Times, and said in his introductory remarks, "some 70 European and American leaders concluded a private and unofficial three-day meeting at St. Simon."

Wiley's self-important acknowledgement of himself and of Sulzberger and McGill as "leaders" well expresses in one word the effrontery of the whole affair.

* * *

McGill, formerly sport editor of the Constitution, has become a

the platform with the Republican national committee.

* * *

Although this conclave was "unofficial," the revealed roster names Viscount Kilmuir, lord high chancellor of Great Britain; Tom Dewey; Senators Fulbright and Wiley, both of the foreign relations committee; Gabriel Hague, a truly mysterious stranger to Americans who nevertheless is formally described in the roster as Eisenhower's assistant in charge of economic affairs and by the Wall Street Journal as the expert who tells Ike "what to think"; C. O. Jackson, vice president of Time and "former special assistant to Eisenhower for international affairs and delegate to the ninth UN assembly"; and George Kennan, former ambassador to Russia.

Kennan is so mysterious that he does not even name his parents in Who's Who in America. Kennan is a member of the colony of double-heads at Princeton which includes the Professor Oppenheimer.

Paul Hoffman, now on the UN by Ike's appointment, was invited, but didn't show up.

* * *

The tax-free foundations did very well. Joseph E. Johnson, "honorary secretary" of the shebang, is president of the Carnegie endowment for international peace. (The bum who just shouted "remember Alger Hiss" will get a paste in the snoot if he will step outside.)

Dean Rusk, president of the Rockefeller foundation, and Henry Kissinger, described as "director of special studies" of the Rockefeller brothers fund, were a few names apart from David Rockefeller, vice chairman of the board of the Rockefellers Chase Manhattan bank. Morehead Patterson, deputy United States commissioner of disarmament of UN, is an executive of the banks 42d street store.

An American supreme court justice, apparently Frankfurter, was there, but did not register. There are unverified reports that about 20 savants refused to sign the hotel register.

This thing is an extension of a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5GvzqCAAIvMmo.jpg
2014-05-30T15:00:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472392230745808896
@4thAnon @JediBrainFart What I debunked was the idea that nobody had heard of it. The papers discussed it in 1957: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5GOtyCIAIRqjb.jpg

Westbrook Pegler

Secret Session Roster Revealed

NEW YORK.—The mysterious cabal of supranational architects of the future who spent three days in secret session at St. Simon Island, Ga., thus far have been able to ignore the flurry of suspicion which belatedly marred their otherwise serene and impudent coup.

However, the jig is up and henceforth their privacy doubtless will be destroyed by notoriety and challenge. They tiptoed into session on February 16 and tiptoed away on the 18th.

Their island is close enough to Jekyll island to compel in the mind of the knowing observer a comparison with the silly but fateful conclave of a simular bunch who in 1908 formulated the federal reserve system on Jekyll while using only their first names. They suppressed their last names to keep their identity secret from the servants.

* * *

In the present instance, since the discovery and denunciation of the conference, efforts have been made to convince the public that the exploit was unofficial and not at all secret.

To be sure, it was not "covered" by the press, although Arthur Hays Sulzberger, publisher of the New York Times, and Ralph McGill, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, were participants, but there was nothing clandestine about it.

Unfortunately for that effort to take the heat off a stealthy deal, Senator Alexander Wiley, of Wisconsin, stuck into the appendix of the congressional record a few days later a "summary" taken from the New York Times, and said in his introductory remarks, "some 70 European and American leaders concluded a private and unofficial three-day meeting at St. Simon."

Wiley's self-important acknowledgement of himself and of Sulzberger and McGill as "leaders" well expresses in one word the effrontery of the whole affair.

* * *

McGill, formerly sport editor of the Constitution, has become a

the platform with the Republican national committee.

* * *

Although this conclave was "unofficial," the revealed roster names Viscount Kilmuir, lord high chancellor of Great Britain; Tom Dewey; Senators Fulbright and Wiley, both of the foreign relations committee; Gabriel Hague, a truly mysterious stranger to Americans who nevertheless is formally described in the roster as Eisenhower's assistant in charge of economic affairs and by the Wall Street Journal as the expert who tells Ike "what to think"; C. O. Jackson, vice president of Time and "former special assistant to Eisenhower for international affairs and delegate to the ninth UN assembly"; and George Kennan, former ambassador to Russia.

Kennan is so mysterious that he does not even name his parents in Who's Who in America. Kennan is a member of the colony of double-heads at Princeton which includes the Professor Oppenheimer.

Paul Hoffman, now on the UN by Ike's appointment, was invited, but didn't show up.

* * *

The tax-free foundations did very well. Joseph E. Johnson, "honorary secretary" of the shebang, is president of the Carnegie endowment for international peace. (The bum who just shouted "remember Alger Hiss" will get a paste in the snoot if he will step outside.)

Dean Rusk, president of the Rockefeller foundation, and Henry Kissinger, described as "director of special studies" of the Rockefeller brothers fund, were a few names apart from David Rockefeller, vice chairman of the board of the Rockefellers Chase Manhattan bank. Morehead Patterson, deputy United States commissioner of disarmament of UN, is an executive of the banks 42d street store.

An American supreme court justice, apparently Frankfurter, was there, but did not register. There are unverified reports that about 20 savants refused to sign the hotel register.

This thing is an extension of a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bo5GOtyCIAIRqjb.jpg
2014-05-30T01:01:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472181000340914177
@ronschurman @awesome086 @FloridaSkyWatch @RationalBee Sorry you feel that way. But did I get anything wrong?

2014-05-29T21:46:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472131958449782784
@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Try taking photos with the same camera, location, and frequency as when you were a kid. See what happens.

2014-05-29T20:23:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472110904817885184
@RationalBee @FloridaSkyWatch @ronschurman And how would sonic weapons work in space?

2014-05-29T20:19:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472109961544081408
How "Doing Research" can Lead Both In and Out of the Rabbit Hole: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/how-doing-research-can-lead-both-in-and-out-of-the-rabbit-hole.3738/

2014-05-29T18:58:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472089699826139137
The clinching clue was when the scammer told me I needed a Green Dot MoneyPak card. http://www.kpho.com/story/21291286/scammers-now-using-green-dot-moneypak-cards

---

2014-05-29T18:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472088951340032000
Just got a call from the "Sheriff" saying I'd missed Jury Duty, and would be arrested. Convincing, but It was a scam: http://www.fbi.gov/news/stories/2006/june/jury_scam060206

2014-05-29T18:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/472075461409787904
@mnchemtrails @martinhume @WhiteSkyBlues @Jerome09 looks like some fighter jets practicing.

2014-05-29T06:14:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471897449301176323
@BrandonDoughan I think that post you linked has actually made a small difference. It's important to find common ground to build from.

2014-05-29T05:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471893588888936448
@BrandonDoughan Thanks Brandon. I think it's important for people to have correct context about the person they are discussing with.

2014-05-28T20:22:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471748453752512512
@JunkScience http://skepticalscience.com/wsj-denies-global-warming-consensus.html

---

2014-05-28T15:35:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471676027261825024
@911TruthMama Nope. It's owned by me.

2014-05-28T00:30:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471448319105826816
@CBowen4 More accurate: Former FBI Los Angeles chief gets interest in chemtrails 30 years later, then dies of old age.

2014-05-27T22:12:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471413693150789632
@MythBusters Better ask: 1) Do they use the same shape can? 2) Do they weigh different amounts? 3) How accurate is your timer?

2014-05-27T22:10:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471413149732581376
@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails How do you know they are not. They LOOK like contrails. They ACT like contrails. What's the difference?

2014-05-27T22:08:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471412666078994434
@MythBusters Alcohol is lighter than water. So higher alcohol beer would have slightly higher wind resistance.

2014-05-27T21:25:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471401916048474114
@ripster31 @martinhume Sorry, typo, that's an 1894 book, not 1984.

2014-05-27T21:24:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471401544739340288
@ripster31 @martinhume Perhaps her COPD is related to the weather. Correlation is not causation.

2014-05-27T20:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471385720230117376
@TruthWarrior54 No they don't. That's a video about chaff. And you pic is of ballast barrels.

2014-05-27T19:50:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471377871076737024
@4thAnon Unlike you and Alex Jones :)

2014-05-27T19:49:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/471377620454481920
@whatwakeup Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-chemtrail-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqrcpeCYAA82QD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqrcpeCYAA82QD.jpg

2014-05-27T19:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471377516301520896

@TruthWarrior54 Debunked: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-chemtrail-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqrWkSCEAAgSXz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqrWkSCEAAgSXz.jpg

2014-05-27T19:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471373895455342592

@4thAnon If it's secret, then how can they have a conference about it :)

2014-05-27T19:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471371440311132160

@ripster31 @martinhume Contrails form ahead of some storms for the same reason high cirrus always have. 1984 book: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Boql06OCYAIFCe_.jpg

---



CLOUD DISTRIBUTION IN A TYPICAL CYCLONE

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Boql06OCYAIFCe_.jpg

2014-05-27T19:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471365779598766081

@JunkScience @SkepticScience Where'd they get the 39.5% figure for AMS? Linked study has 76% saying "harmful"

http://www.climatechangecommunication.org/report/american-meteorological-society-member-survey-global-warming-preliminary-findings-february

---

2014-05-27T17:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471341630025252865

German Chemtrails "Whistleblower" debunked some more:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-chemtrail-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqKtt5CQAE11g-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoqKtt5CQAE11g-.jpg

2014-05-27T01:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471094314236264448

Debunked: German Aeronautics Engineer "Chemtrail" Whistleblower

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-chemtrail-whistleblower.3715/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bompx-KCIAA6Yj7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bompx-KCIAA6Yj7.jpg

2014-05-27T01:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471094120597831680

@4thAnon See here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-german-aeronautics-engineer-chemtrail-whistleblower.3715/

2014-05-27T00:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471085604067766272

Popular "Chemtrail" meme is totally fake:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-partly-cloudy-1990-2014-photo-comparison-actually-2011-2012.3716/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bomh3D2CUAI0RyK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bomh3D2CUAI0RyK.jpg

2014-05-26T23:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471066653061439489

@nickmyer http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BomQn90CIAE0XOc.jpg

Measurements of the Growth of the Ice Budget In A Persisting Contrail

http://cires.colorado.edu/science/groups/pielke/classes/atoc7500/knollenberg72.pdf





In 1972, researchers from the National Center for Atmospheric Research flew a small Sabreliner jet at 29,500 feet in air of -38.2 degrees C. The two jet engines produced 1.73 grams of water per meter as a result of fuel burned. They turned around 30 minutes later and found that the resulting contrail had grown to 1 km wide and 400 meters deep, and the ice crystals had grown to weigh 30,000 grams (66 pounds) per meter of contrail. The ice crystal growth of over 10,000 times came from deposition of water vapor from ice supersaturated air in the environment.

A Sabreliner has a max payload of 2000 lbs. The resulting aged persistent contrail, however, weighed 66,000 pounds per kilometer(1000 meters). It is a physical impossibility for the Sabreliner to have sprayed 66,000 pounds, so the persistent contrail must have been composed of water vapor originally in the atmosphere. Persistent contrails can be hundreds of kilometers long, and large jets like the 747 etc. will produce persistent contrails weighing hundreds of tons per kilometer, an amount impossible to attribute to anything the aircraft could conceivably carry. The basic math is 1.3 lbs water per lb of fuel burned x 10,000 = weight of the persistent contrail. Do the math using the fuel burn rate for any jet to see the impossibility of a contrail being something sprayed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BomQn90CIAE0XOc.jpg

2014-05-26T23:10:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471065980764839936

@nickmyer He seemed like he was agreeing.

2014-05-26T22:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471059655397871616

Unusual night-time sun pillar in Canada?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/nightime-contrail-light-pillar.3713/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BomKQp5CMAEwyfZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BomKQp5CMAEwyfZ.jpg

2014-05-26T22:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471059323821379585

@nickmyer I can do arithmetic. I explained this to William Thomas once, he seemed to agree with me.

2014-05-26T22:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471057658317770752

@nickmyer "Serious Doubt" â Science. Do the math. What would it weigh?

2014-05-26T20:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471027194114752513

@nickmyer Only ice clouds can possibly make "horizon to horizon" trails that spread.

2014-05-26T20:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471027053123231744

@nickmyer No. The mass of powder needed for the optical density would result only in short trails, and they would fade away quickly.

2014-05-26T19:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471011984310145025

@nickmyer The Rat Patrol, 1967, S1-E24 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3URrYnZS_Tw

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bole505IYAASKBV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bole505IYAASKBV.jpg

2014-05-26T19:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471011672216190977

@nickmyer 1957 "Have Gun Will Travel" ep "Show of Force". I stitched two shots together:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BolenqGIUAAGqft.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BolenqGIUAAGqft.jpg

2014-05-26T19:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471011413905793024

@nickmyer You can't actually take a photo of horizon to horizon without stitching photos. Seem pretty long though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoleYoNIUAAd9ny.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoleYoNIUAAd9ny.jpg

2014-05-26T19:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471006717677817856

@CBowen4 Who said it was? World government has been a popular idea for over 500 years. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_government

---

2014-05-26T19:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471005722902138881

@4thAnon K

2014-05-26T19:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471005326477500417

@debunker Pity :)

2014-05-26T18:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/471001547648684032

@nickmyer Diamonds are forever. 1971. Lot more in: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BolVaVXCAAAIRix.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BolVaVXCAAAIRix.jpg

2014-05-26T01:46:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470742780080177152

Sources of aerosols. Chemtrail theorists sometimes mistake the word "aerosol" for "chemtrail".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BohqEFDCEAAdvib.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BohqEFDCEAAdvib.jpg
2014-05-26T01:38:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470740752536854528
Debunking the backward chemtrail "science" of high bypass jet engines.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BohoOCfCEAEEmKZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BohoOCfCEAEEmKZ.jpg

2014-05-25T23:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470704132232200192

@SteveFilipovic Again, you are postulating that 100+ years of meteorology is entirely fake. Because these clouds fit it.

2014-05-25T23:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470703596237901824

@SteveFilipovic Says who? These clouds have been observed for 100s of years.

2014-05-25T23:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470703385721597953

@SteveFilipovic Lots of similar clouds in 1905. It's just ripples in the air. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

2014-05-25T22:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470696458295861249

@SteveFilipovic Why dod you think the clouds are odd? Do you have some books from the 1800s that show different clouds?

2014-05-25T22:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470696082691743744

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @MassDeception1 @wrobson So all the books on clouds for the last 100 years are fake?

2014-05-25T22:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470694991300276224

@StarSoSirius Sounds good.

2014-05-25T22:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470694938888261632

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson Yes, they are at different altitudes. The atmosphere is in layers.

2014-05-25T22:34:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470694402046701569

@StarSoSirius Maybe they are chemtrails that look and act exactly like contrails. But that's not what people are claiming.

2014-05-25T22:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470694221821657090

@StarSoSirius No. I claim only that there's no evidence of chemtrails. That the trails look like contrails.

2014-05-25T22:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470693409426927618

@StarSoSirius That I can tell the difference between cloud?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bog9KVrCUAEKL8Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bog9KVrCUAEKL8Y.jpg

2014-05-25T22:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470692766767267842

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Can you? What's the difference? Just an intuition thing?

2014-05-25T22:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470692428693778432

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson So what is pointless, exactly? The "chemtrails vs. contrails" thing?

2014-05-25T22:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470692291330334720

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Er, what? There are lots of distinct cloud types.

2014-05-25T22:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470692060551331840

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson But what does that explain? Just that some claims are wrong.

2014-05-25T22:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470691944947920896

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson They certainly demonstrate the clouds have been around for 100 years

---

2014-05-25T22:24:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470691836978139139

@Patriot4Life201 @StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 Where they had known uses - sandblasting, and paint. She also said that.

2014-05-25T22:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470690884594311168

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson It's possible there's a secret program leaving no evidence.

2014-05-25T22:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470690782639169536

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson I didn't say it's case closed. Except on some points of science.

2014-05-25T22:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470690659876085760

@4thAnon @MediaExposedDay @CNN @HuffingtonPost No. The point was simply that it is not unexpected.

2014-05-25T22:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470689578462875648

@StarSoSirius @Patriot4Life201 @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Trusting no-one should not mean automatically rejecting science.

2014-05-25T22:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470688871798145024

@Patriot4Life201 @StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson What evidence does Kristen present, exactly?

2014-05-25T22:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470688458709532672

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson They say normal clouds are evidence of geoengineering? Got a link?

2014-05-25T22:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470688286529179648

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson I weed out bunk, so whatever truth remains will be stronger, and less obscured.

2014-05-25T22:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470687848903888896

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson So why do you think it's unusual, if it's been like that for 100 years?

2014-05-25T22:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470687341288239104

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson My site is called Metabunk, not Metaprove. I debunk claims of evidence.

2014-05-25T21:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470684999251816448

@4thAnon @MediaExposedDay @CNN @HuffingtonPost Is it wrong? All the other memorials have a gift shop. Why not 9/11?

2014-05-25T21:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470684734477987840

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Geoengineer is science. But so is the space elevator. Where is that?

2014-05-25T21:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470684526704721920

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson Intuition say "WTF". Science says "see 1905". Science wins. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

2014-05-25T21:47:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470682645978816512

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson What does intuition say about the persistence of contrails? That's science.

2014-05-25T21:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470682105345622016

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson But if there's a plausible explanation, why do you believe the implausible one?

2014-05-25T21:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470681987909300224

@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Like I said, I debunk claims of evidence. e.g. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/

2014-05-25T21:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470681165838307329

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson But I'd love to find some undebunked false official claims of evidence.

2014-05-25T21:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470681011248848896

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson Bunk in official stories gets debunked pretty quickly. e.g. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Niger_uranium_forgeries

---

2014-05-25T21:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470680614421557248

@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson I debunk claims of evidence, not stories.

2014-05-25T21:33:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470679160969371648
@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson Plenty of people focus on truth, not enough on getting rid of bunk.

2014-05-25T21:33:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470678972217294848
@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson My agenda is more about removing falsehood (bunk). Like with the chemtrail stuff.

2014-05-25T21:26:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470677372316831744
@StarSoSirius @SteveFilipovic @MassDeception1 @wrobson I was more going for accuracy. Consistency is for rocks.

2014-05-25T21:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470677017067655168
RT @brainpicker: THIS: If we treated physical illness like we do mental illness http://j.mp/1taqXwx

---

2014-05-25T21:22:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470676378707169280
@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson I don't like politicians or corporations any more than the next guy.

2014-05-25T21:22:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470676219197808640
@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson I'm not. I look at claims of evidence, and see where there are errors, and debunk.

2014-05-25T21:21:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470676059436769280
@MediaExposedDay @CNN @4thAnon @HuffingtonPost Every memorial museum has a gift store.
http://thinkprogress.org/culture/2014/05/19/3439234/why-not-have-a-gift-shop-at-the-911-museum/

2014-05-25T21:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470675271125721088
@SteveFilipovic @StarSoSirius @MassDeception1 @wrobson I like things that are correct. Did I get something wrong?

2014-05-25T19:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470654248040935424
@nntaleb What about the academics with no ideas? Is there an idea equivalent of a dog?

2014-05-25T19:29:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470647902570700800
@truckerbooman He agrees with them? What does that mean?

2014-05-25T19:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470647641412341760

If you ever think the sky looks odd. Check that it is before blaming it on "chemtrails"

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BogTiR0CEAAKrqm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BogTiR0CEAAKrqm.jpg

2014-05-25T19:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470643120191721472

@bosma2002 Having a few beers has a bigger short term effect on your brain. And very often leads to violence.

2014-05-25T19:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470642938897108992

@bosma2002 Okay, if you think that's abrupt then that's your definition. I thought you mean like a day or so.

2014-05-25T19:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470642738564579328

@bosma2002 I'm arguing in favor of the correct use of statistics. No demonizing something because of a correlation.

2014-05-25T19:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470642461899890688

@bosma2002 You said it was abrupt. I was just correcting that. SSRIs take 2-8 weeks.

2014-05-25T19:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470642052300947456

@bosma2002 I'm no fan of overuse of drugs. But the problem here is mental health care. Improper drug use might be part of the problem.

2014-05-25T19:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470641813770891264

@bosma2002 Many drugs take several days to have an effect. It's not like taking speed.

2014-05-25T19:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470641559650574336

@karentalford66 See Cloud Studies, 1905.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BogOAPtCcAAeUOJ.jpg



PLATE 35. ALTO-STRATO-CUMULUS. *Page 101.*

(*Alto-strato-cumulus.*)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BogOAPtCcAAeUOJ.jpg

2014-05-25T19:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470640804554231809

@bosma2002 It's the degree of factor that is the question. What if it's 99% mental illness, 1% drugs. Shouldn't we focus on mental illness?

2014-05-25T19:00:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470640493244600320

@2ndfor1st @joerogan and they wouldn't necessarily have not killed if they were not on drugs. Same logic applies.

2014-05-25T18:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470625709652578304

@bosma2002 The point is this does not prove causation. There's a MUCH stronger correlation with mental illness than with drugs.

2014-05-25T18:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470625406685433856

@bosma2002 Yes we are. Otherwise it's statistically irrelevant. You need to eliminate mental

illness as a confounding factor.

2014-05-25T17:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470625028300492800

@bosma2002 You need to find the murder rate without populations that are equally mentally ill, but with and without drugs.

2014-05-25T17:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470624481245806592

@bosma2002 The quit-smoking drug Chantix has a stronger correlation with violence than antidepressants.

http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0015337

2014-05-25T17:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470623587171176448

@bosma2002 Is there evidence they lead to 95% of mass murders in the US? Because that's what Joe's article was implying.

2014-05-25T17:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470622770036879360

@bosma2002 @joerogan Mental illness should be taken more seriously. Banning drugs won't help. Better care overall is needed.

2014-05-25T17:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470622507209216001

@bosma2002 Alcohol makes everyone a bad driver. Drugs have rare links to violent thoughts. There's something there. Nowhere near the same

---

2014-05-25T17:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470621674321113088

@bosma2002 No, I don't say that it was impossible for a correlation to correlate with a causal relationship. Obviously it can.

2014-05-25T17:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470621124774998016

@bosma2002 But with drunk driving, there's a proven causal correlation with motor control, reaction time, and risk assessment.

2014-05-25T17:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470620777427918849

@bosma2002 @joerogan That's correct. And that's the point. You need to show that direct influence, not just a correlation.

2014-05-25T17:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470620088769323008

@rothschildmd Rather a tricky thing to run clinical tests on though. Placebos for people with homicidal ideation?

2014-05-25T17:32:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470618420388429825

@wwmd190705 @joerogan Although it does not mean there isn't a causal link. Just not statistically good evidence.

2014-05-25T17:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470617610623528960

@joerogan Yes, mental illness. Mentally ill people are far more likely to be medicated, especially in the US. Correlation â Causation.

2014-05-25T17:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470614277217218560

@Bostonchemtrail @MarkDice @Chem_trails And how do you know they are not contrails? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-25T16:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470600508663533568

@WhiteSkyBlues You know that's not true. Why do you keep saying it? 70 years of books on clouds say opposite. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-25T16:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470600231285825536

@FightAgainstGMO No. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofoaodCcAA4ame.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofoaodCcAA4ame.jpg

2014-05-25T16:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470599849696456705

1,000s of patents for space elevators proves there's a secret gov space elevator. But where?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofoEb3CMAAlxsQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofoEb3CMAAlxsQ.jpg
2014-05-25T15:35:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470588998465765376
There are patents for houses on the moon, which proves people now live on the moon.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofeMzlCYAAXdge.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BofeMzlCYAAXdge.jpg

2014-05-25T15:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470587054766575617

@TheBradBlog @Seanras @DConRadioIo Thanks Brad. If the chemtrail theory has legs, then patents for photocopiers should not be part of it :)

2014-05-25T04:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470423606392926211

@Daniel_Loxton So what do you do for your unexpectedness fix?

2014-05-25T03:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470404525249921024

@NewRightMedia Can you point at an example, with references to the normal levels, and the testing method?

2014-05-25T02:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470392361579589632

No, it's a folded wormhole in spacetime created by the counter-rotating engines of a passing planes aligned with his chakras Obs.

2014-05-25T01:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470377792916295680

@amandakelsa The view from above:
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2014-05-24&map=-117.255493,33.405396,-113.432251,35.776245

2014-05-25T01:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470376555235905536

@MickWest Actually just an application - but still.... Crazy stuff.

2014-05-25T01:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470376375681970176

RT @novapbs: Unless you have celiac disease, gluten sensitivity is probably just in your head. http://to.pbs.org/S0lpsQ #NOVAnext http://t.coâ¦

2014-05-25T00:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470368341190647808

@winterivy9 Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/ @NeilYoungSaveUs @brosephdad @AndreVelva

---

2014-05-25T00:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470367904785911808

@Seanras @TheBradBlog @DConRadioIo Plenty of patents. Does not meant it's used.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/

2014-05-25T00:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470367105796169728

Man is teleported while walking down street. Then granted stupidest patent ever.
http://www.google.com/patents/US20060071122
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BocUY9jCUAARFzL.jpg

Figure 1



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BocUY9jCUAARFzL.jpg
2014-05-25T00:35:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470362553776738304
Are patents evidence of Chemtrails or Geoengineering? No, and here's why.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-patents-as-evidence-of-chemtrails-geoengineering-existence-operability-or-intent.3704/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BocQQAHCIAA54Lx.jpg



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 1996 Sheet 3 of 4 5,486,900

Measuring device for amount of charge of toner and image forming apparatus having the measuring device
Patent US 5486900 A
(Photocopier toner level indicator. Used as evidence for "chemtrails" by geoengineeringwatch.org)

metabunk.org

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BocQQAHCIAA54Lx.jpg

2014-05-24T23:37:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470348002100400128

@nickmyer How did you get this "thousands" figure? Where is the evidence? I want to publish it.

2014-05-24T23:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470344446207221760

@nickmyer What has EMR got to do with it? Are you saying they did NOT get ill because of chemtrail now? Now it's EMR? Evidence?

2014-05-24T23:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470344280284729344

@nickmyer How can I ignore the absence of evidence. Where is the evidence?

2014-05-24T20:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470305190470356993

@nickmyer Can you link me to a study that claims to show such a correlation? No need to debunk that which does not exist.

2014-05-24T20:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470297883661447168

@nickmyer Send me a link, and I will publish it on Metabunk.

2014-05-24T20:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470293623959867392

@Tier5SpyNetwork Ballast Barrels:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BobRjwhCEAAyVuK.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BobRjwhCEAAyVuK.jpg

2014-05-24T20:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470293426097750016

@nickmyer That's a cop-out. I'm not "siding" with anyone. You can't find any errors, so what are you actually disagreeing with?

2014-05-24T19:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470290113562304512
@nickmyer Which side has the most errors? Which side has ALL the errors? Why are you on that side?

2014-05-24T19:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470286353318424576
@nickmyer So we have:
Chemtrail sites = Full of errors.
Contrail Science = No errors.
And yet you trust the Chemtrail sites?

2014-05-24T19:31:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470286080739000322
@nickmyer You don't have to dissect it. You just have to find one single error. I find lots of errors on chemtrails sites.

2014-05-24T19:19:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470282875141513216
@nickmyer Find something specifically incorrect.

2014-05-24T19:02:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470278794800926720
RT @MISkeptics: The Debunkatron: A handy resource for already debunked conspiracies and pseudoscience. http://buff.ly/1orInoi

---

2014-05-24T19:00:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470278102661074944
@nickmyer So what is the disinfo that you are challenging? Point out something I got wrong.

2014-05-24T18:55:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470276878419238912
@nickmyer Can you find one single lie on http://contrailscience.com?

2014-05-24T18:25:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470269273776979968
@nickmyer Why are you talking to me, if you think everything I say is a lie?

2014-05-24T18:24:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470269156156112896
@nickmyer The net result is thought to be slight global warming (from contrails)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Contrail#Contrails_and_climate

2014-05-24T17:09:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470250366877978624
@4thAnon Sure, but that does not mean you have to make stuff up just because you don't feel there's enough criticism. Focus on the real.

2014-05-24T17:00:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/470247888560222208
@c2laviation There's a video showing various tweaks you can make:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2014-05-24T16:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470246439340085248

@trutherbot Says who?

2014-05-24T16:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245994056003585

@c2laviation It's not real-time though. Just shows the flights for one typical 24 hour period. (I wrote it).

2014-05-24T16:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245751147094017

@NewRightMedia It didn't. The tests people put out there show normal levels. They incorrectly assume they should be zero.

2014-05-24T16:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245602274447360

@4thAnon I thought you were saying that people could create bills as well.

2014-05-24T16:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245385856770049

@nickmyer Contrails make it slightly colder in the day, and slightly warmer at night. That's it.

2014-05-24T16:49:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245187956916224

@nickmyer Do you think contrails can persist?

2014-05-24T16:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470245113562550272

@nickmyer So you are basically saying you are immune to evidence and reason once your mind is made up?

2014-05-24T02:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/470031940511207425

@stephenjjohnson At least I varyfy my photos!!!!11

2014-05-23T23:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469985593112264704

Sometime people think clouds are new and unusual, and so I show them my old cloud books.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW5Z_pCQAApRV1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW5Z_pCQAApRV1.jpg

2014-05-23T23:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469985105042096128

@spannishchicken I've got a copy of the book, see:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW49lvCUAAfGoN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW49lvCUAAfGoN.jpg

2014-05-23T23:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469984470183854080

@spannishchicken How would you verify it? It's a real book. Check it out.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644761523016/

2014-05-23T23:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469980681892679680

@spannishchicken In 1905 it was called Band Cirrus, or Cirrus Vittatus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW08IUCEAAFJWl.jpg



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*) *Page* 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoW08IUCEAAFJWl.jpg

2014-05-23T22:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469965277619163138

Awesome close-up video of a plane dumping fuel for an emergency landing. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XORgDkaV_xk A "chemtrail" of pure kerosene. :)

2014-05-23T22:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469964641422954496

@MarkTwainRocks @SteveDaines @Thetruthdenied @martinhume That's not a contrail, it's a fuel dump. Like: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XORgDkaV_xk

---

2014-05-23T22:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469964279198654465

@NewRightMedia Doesn't it debunk the idea that it's evidence of chemtrails? If it's always been there, how can it be evidence?

2014-05-23T17:42:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469896181514907648

@nickmyer The point I was making was that you were wrong. I explained how you were wrong. You changed your belief. That's debunking.

2014-05-23T17:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469895839758811136

@ripster31 @martinhume Prove it. Tweet your predictions vs. NWS, then see how accurate they are. Repeat for 2 weeks. Score it.

2014-05-23T17:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469885690423943168

@nickmyer Might it then be possible that you are as easily wrong about something else? And that you might learn, and change your beliefs?

2014-05-23T17:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469885481237229568

@nickmyer But did you not once believe a falsehood, that "contrails never persist". DId you not then change this belief?

2014-05-23T16:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469885073311801346

@4thAnon Right, that's like saying "The God Delusion, debunked by Bishops", or "Bible debunked by Buddhists". It's just the <3%.

2014-05-23T16:56:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469884467683655681

RT @ClimateReality: No, Antarctic Ice volume Isnâ□□t Increasing. Yes, the temperature readings are reliable. More climate myths debunked: httâ□¦

2014-05-23T16:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469884435165224960

RT @RachelHarger: Fantastic sculpture by Issac Cordal in Berlin called "Politicians discussing global warming." http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjRiuWyCIAACbya.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjRiuWyCIAACbya.jpg

2014-05-23T16:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469884106831302656

@nickmyer Question for you Nick: did you ever believe that "contrails cannot persist"?

2014-05-23T16:47:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469882286301667328

@nickmyer Check back in ten years. You'll see.

2014-05-23T16:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469882141019369472

@nickmyer Yes they would. Which is why I think you should focus on real issues, like pollution, and not fake issues, like chemtrails.

2014-05-23T16:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469880000179474434

@nickmyer I like to aim a little higher though :) I'd rather improved lives than collect train numbers.

2014-05-23T16:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469877960791433217

@nickmyer If so, then I'm doing it wrong. But like I said, I've reached a lot of people. Opened their minds to the idea of fact checking.

2014-05-23T16:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469877744965128192

@nickmyer Trainspotters spend their days writing down the numbers of trains. I think debunking is quite a bit more interesting.

2014-05-23T16:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469873350190182401

@ripster31 @martinhume Exactly. This proves there's no light scattering geoengineering, as the sky would never be blue like this.

2014-05-23T16:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469873058052710400

@trutherbot Wait, but that's what contrails sometimes do. So what's the difference?

2014-05-23T16:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469872340658962432

@nickmyer What about when web sites are set up to explain which things are wrong? You can't find a mistake on CS, can you?

2014-05-23T16:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469872038102843393

@StopVaccination @jonrappoport Do you have a link to the science here?

2014-05-23T05:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469712305240813568

@rfsinopo Yeah, it's not something that happens every day. But it fits the events, and the unique structure of the building.

2014-05-23T05:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469705544249257985

@rfsinopo g'night

2014-05-23T05:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469705297255079936

@rfsinopo That's just an argument from personal incredulity. Ecatly how much of a coincidence is it? 1 in 3? 1 in a million?

2014-05-23T05:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469704994979995648

@rfsinopo Very different buildings though. WTC7 had long spans, with non-moment-resisting interior connections

---

2014-05-23T05:01:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469704772933537792

@rfsinopo The connection failures occurred gradually over time from the fire. The rest was a natural progression from that.

2014-05-23T04:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469702524421689344

@rfsinopo I linked to the FAQ. But: 1) Floors around C79 fell, 2) C79 buckled. 3) Penthouse fell. 4) Interior fell. 5) Exterior fell rapidly

---

2014-05-23T04:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469700542592073728

@wildweatherdan Not helping.

2014-05-23T04:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469700405073412096

@rfsinopo And Thermite was not found in the rubble. Some people made some claims - but it seems more likely it was paint chips.

2014-05-23T04:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469700168351117312

@rfsinopo I'm a big fan of civil conversations.

2014-05-23T04:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469700077330497537

@CBowen4 You think this is an actual emergency? What will you feel when nothing happens?

2014-05-23T04:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469699694818361344

@rfsinopo See #5 here: http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

---

2014-05-23T04:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469692340949372928

@rfsinopo The key difference is that it was totally silent.

2014-05-23T04:12:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469692253611384832

@rfsinopo The fire moved around the building. The floor around the suspected collapse area was open, with lots of fuel.

2014-05-23T04:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469692108903694337

@rfsinopo No molten steel was present. Some unconfirmed anecdotes of molten metal. No solid pools of steel were found.

2014-05-23T03:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469676012989448195

@rfsinopo @trutherbot Maybe you could watch it and pick one claim? Might have to wait a bit. Dinner time here.

2014-05-23T03:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469675778095845376

@rfsinopo @trutherbot It think it's a regurgitation of the AE911 talking points. Old bogus arguments. Debunked years ago.

2014-05-23T03:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469675667089399809

@rfsinopo @trutherbot Fast? It's 15 minutes. It was posted four hours ago.

2014-05-23T03:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469675497484345344

@4thAnon I think I'd rather be robbed than have a bunch of random guys shoot at each other around me.

2014-05-23T03:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469674884251938819

@rfsinopo @trutherbot I just watched it.

2014-05-23T02:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469672430491803648

@rfsinopo @trutherbot The link I sent you addresses most of the points in this video already. Others are addressed elsewhere. Pick one.

2014-05-23T02:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469672229869875200

@rfsinopo @trutherbot That it was Impossible that WTC1 and WTC2 were controlled demolitions?

2014-05-23T02:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469672145421733888

@rfsinopo @trutherbot Did you know that the expert at 1:15 (Danny Jowenko) who said WTC7 looked like a controlled demolition ASLO said...

2014-05-23T02:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469670197360484352

@Sci_Phile @edyong209 And "Complex, image-forming eyes evolved independently some 50 to 100 times" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evolution_of_the_eye

---

2014-05-23T02:41:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469669542549921794

@rfsinopo @trutherbot I have looked into it quite extensively. I'm aware of the objection from AE911 about stiffener plates etc.

2014-05-23T02:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469669322504167424

@rfsinopo @trutherbot Only minor quibbles about details. The basic hypotheses seem quite reasonable.

2014-05-23T02:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469669037530558464

@WhiteSkyBlues Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/awacs-being-refueled-with-off-on-contrails.816/ AWACS cuts thrust for seperation, so no contrails.

2014-05-23T02:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469668792440602625

@rfsinopo @trutherbot @trwouldnhave regarding the events of the plane's impact, the fire, and collapse, I think the official story is good.

2014-05-23T02:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469668356987965443

@4thAnon What is perhaps "too scientific" there is the the idea that the ionosphere is connected to the weather. It isn't.

2014-05-23T02:36:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469668153165762560

@4thAnon It says "Air force admits weather control via HAARP", which is either a gross mistake,

or a lie.

2014-05-23T02:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469667854707462144

@rfsinopo @trutherbot It's where you list so many "facts" it drowns out any real discussion. You can debunk 100s of them, to no real effect.

2014-05-23T02:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469667649199550464

@rfsinopo @trutherbot Here's a single post where I do address multiple issues. Good place to start.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/

2014-05-23T02:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469667450544332800

@rfsinopo @trutherbot I prefer to address claims of evidence one at a time. A list of hundreds of mini-claims is just a "Gish Gallop"

2014-05-23T02:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469667230804758528

@rfsinopo @trutherbot I've not gone though this video point by point, but I have a whole sub-forum on 9/11 topics: https://www.metabunk.org/forums/9-11.28/

2014-05-23T02:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469666805531693056

@BlackburnRuth @martinhume Or like this one, also from 110 years ago:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoSXeHuCEAAzi84.jpg



PLATE 15. BAND CIRRUS.

(*Cirrus Vittatus.*) *Page* 68.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoSXeHuCEAAzi84.jpg

2014-05-23T02:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469666567089696769

@BlackburnRuth @martinhume That looks just like this 110 year old photo of band cirrus.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoSXQPKCQAEUFsf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoSXQPKCQAEUFsf.jpg
2014-05-23T02:28:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469666063135686656
@rfsinopo @trutherbot No. But how do you know it can't be debunked if you don't try yourself?

2014-05-23T02:26:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469665744179822593
@4thAnon Maybe you should also defer posting of science-related links to him too :)

2014-05-23T00:55:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469642822031667200
@trutherbot Have you even tried?

2014-05-23T00:39:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469638711622123520
@4thAnon No they don't. Small modifications to the ionosphere are not weather control.

2014-05-23T00:25:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469635211081183232
@SarahJayJane @ArtyAbsatz Correct, but they still think it was humans.

2014-05-22T23:46:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469625356211585024
@nickmyer My definition of what? I don't remember suggesting a definition of anything.

2014-05-22T23:45:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469625109162889217

@nickmyer But what about the vast number of factual errors on his site? How can you trust that?

2014-05-22T23:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469621216991395841

@nickmyer Right, I get it, you don't trust him. Who do you trust more than him? Dane Wigington?

2014-05-22T23:26:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469620361378926592

@SciencePunk @elakdawalla What? His bangs are totally different.

2014-05-22T23:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469619854463356929

@nickmyer You are really getting hung up on silly semantics. It's perfectly clear what he meant.

2014-05-22T23:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469619508684931073

@nickmyer He didn't know because everyone has different definitions. What is it that you think he knew about "Chemtrails"?

2014-05-22T23:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469619284289658885

@nickmyer Okay, so if they sprayed aluminum oxide, then that would not be a chemtrail? As it's inert?

2014-05-22T23:21:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469619117482180610

@nickmyer I mean, the wikipedia definition specifically excludes crop dusting. So how is DK supposed to know what she's referring to?

2014-05-22T23:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469618865006063617

@nickmyer But you don't think that woman was talking about crop dusting, do you? So isn't it natural for DK to ask what she means?

2014-05-22T23:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469618542279524352

@nickmyer And since H2O is not used for propulsion, then would you consider a contrail to be a chemtrail?

2014-05-22T23:18:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469618361312088064

@nickmyer And here's another "chemtrail" by your definition: (pesticide)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRraS5CIAAKnIa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRraS5CIAAKnIa.jpg

2014-05-22T23:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469618053869608960

@nickmyer And this must a chemtrail too? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRrIZqCIAAhs76.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRrIZqCIAAhs76.jpg

2014-05-22T23:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469617704618299394

@nickmyer You think that's what she meant by "chemtrail"?

2014-05-22T23:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469617589899894784

@nickmyer So then this is a chemtrail? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRqtZOCYAA0FwW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoRqtZOCYAA0FwW.jpg

2014-05-22T23:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469615738274082816

@nickmyer Is this really all you have to say about this video? Semantic arguments? What about his explanation of the state of GE research?

2014-05-22T23:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469615045362450432

@nickmyer He appears consistent to me. Does not know what she means by CT, and he's met several CT people.

2014-05-22T22:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469613485026856960

@nickmyer And yet you can't define what a chemtrail is either? So what does that make you?

2014-05-22T22:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469610610825506816

@nickmyer But why can't you say what "a chemtrail" is? Are you confused?

2014-05-22T22:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469610489882750978

@nickmyer No, he's explain he's not sure what SHE is referring to.

2014-05-22T22:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469609926398967808

@nickmyer So what is "a chemtrail"? Don't you know?

2014-05-22T22:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469609353054388227

@nickmyer Russ Tanner says the short trails are chemtrails. Dane Wiginton says they are invisible. People have different word usages.

2014-05-22T22:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469609074128990208

@nickmyer You probably thought that any persistent trail was a chemtrail, until recently. Right?

2014-05-22T22:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469608878414368768

@nickmyer So what is "a chemtrail"?

2014-05-22T22:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469608493259837441

@POTUS7 Based on specious guesses about astronomy. Radiocarbon dating proves it's only 1,500 years old.

2014-05-22T22:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469607925674045440

@nickmyer But I don't know what you mean by "chemtrails". Are contrails chemtrails? They are trails with "chemicals" in them.

2014-05-22T22:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469607722531299328

@nickmyer But you are naturally very suspicious. And your mind is already made up.

2014-05-22T22:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469607530776125440

@nickmyer You are playing silly semantic games to avoid addressing the real issues here. He says there's no current SRM geoengineering.

2014-05-22T22:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469607133374185473

@POTUS7 Can you link to a reliable source for the 14,000 year figure?

2014-05-22T22:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469605358692876288

@POTUS7 older than the 1,500 years of Puma Punku. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pumapunku

---

2014-05-22T22:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469604556343488513

@nickmyer What do YOU mean when you say "chemtrails"?

2014-05-22T22:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469603822700986368

@nickmyer No, like contrails vs. crop dusting vs. sounding rockets vs. geoengineering vs. cloud seeding. People throw the word around.

2014-05-22T22:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469602055082876928

@POTUS7 Impressive stonework. But there are much older buildings in the UK. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oldest_buildings_in_the_United_Kingdom

---

2014-05-22T22:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469601208999505920

@nickmyer Yeah, after he'd been talking to her for a while. Different people have different meanings of the word "chemtrail".

2014-05-22T21:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469595357505015809

@nickmyer It's not inconsistent. He's telling her he does not know what she means by a "chemtrail".

2014-05-22T21:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591793235791873

@POTUS7 Not exactly - they say humans made it - but it's as indecipherable as aliens https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/

2014-05-22T21:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591707994963968

@richberra @MailOnline Not exactly - they say humans made it - but it's as indecipherable as aliens https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/

2014-05-22T21:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591646791688192

@theprojecttv Not exactly - they say humans made it - but it's as indecipherable as aliens https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/ @AJphoenix69 @Numenor33

2014-05-22T21:30:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591234365779968

@ArtyAbsatz Not exactly - they say humans made it. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/ @SarahJayJane

---

2014-05-22T21:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591202258358273

@MindfulGod Not exactly - they say humans made it. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/

2014-05-22T21:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591155764494336

@loretobgude Not exactly - they say humans made it. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/ @JasonAWilkinson @Spacettf @HevMelissa

---

2014-05-22T21:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469591110247931905
@Chris_1791 @theblaze Not exactly - they say humans made it.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-strange-markings-across-the-globe-might-have-been-made-by-aliens.3684/

2014-05-22T20:44:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469579588423532544
@nickmyer How so?

2014-05-22T20:38:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469578132303777792
@ChemtrailTroyMI I'm not sure that five planes flying by really equals "a lot".

2014-05-22T20:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469577306592124929
@nickmyer Oh he's heard of them now.

2014-05-22T20:35:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469577214673960960
@nickmyer upper and lower weather are not always correlated - however both contrails and mist are symptoms of high humidity.

2014-05-22T20:34:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469576988017950720
@nickmyer I've never noticed that. I see contrails generally on very clear days. But weather varies by location. I'm in Los Angeles.

2014-05-22T20:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469575367615709184
@nickmyer And what is this association with ground level fine mist?

2014-05-22T20:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469575242696781824
@nickmyer And Caldeira posts on twitter about chemtrails occasionally, but says more here: https://soundcloud.com/full-circle-kpfa/full-circle-show

---

2014-05-22T20:24:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469574649487970304
@nickmyer Are you sure you saw cloud seeding? How do you know?

2014-05-22T20:19:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469573231641231360
Alan Robock (geoengineering researcher) explains why nobody is secretly doing geoengineering: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sNrkp6a_6As @nickmyer

---

2014-05-22T20:17:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469572844926423040
@nickmyer Here's David Keith explaining his position: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_SFVYRZPXLs

---

2014-05-22T20:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469572609873416192
@nickmyer Keith and Caldeira have been very public about stating the idea of cover geoengineering is baseless.

2014-05-22T20:12:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469571511972409345
@nickmyer Why do YOU think there's a secret geoengineering program?

2014-05-22T20:11:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469571391616856066
@nickmyer No, it's just evidence. Put it up against the lack of evidence that such a program exists.

2014-05-22T20:08:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469570449714589698
@nickmyer (email communication, not actually spoken)

2014-05-22T20:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469570260186591232
@nickmyer I've spoke with actual geoengineering researches like Ken Caldeira, David Keith, and others. They are not aware of any program.

2014-05-22T20:06:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469570039251619840
@nickmyer Only theoretical research.

2014-05-22T20:03:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469569177041125376
@nickmyer I'm not aware of any evidence of clandestine geoengineering programs.

2014-05-22T20:02:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469569037760884737
@nickmyer No, more like this (NBC: 1980) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

---

2014-05-22T20:01:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469568801990656000
@nickmyer there's been a reduction in smoke, and pollution like nitrogen oxides. But aviation pollution is tiny compared to cars and trucks

---

2014-05-22T19:49:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469565779629703168
@nickmyer Who said it was "clean"? Contrail clutter up the sky, the affect the weather a little. Planes emit pollution.

2014-05-22T19:28:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469560380176338944
@nickmyer Here's one. There's more in the sub-forum there: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-story-of-how-i-came-into-the-ct-movement-and-how-i-got-out.3007/

2014-05-22T19:26:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469560065528037376

@nickmyer Sounds like you've already dismissed them.

2014-05-22T19:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469559932455378945

@nickmyer Sure it does. But there's been a net increase in sun reaching the ground, thanks to the clean air acts.

2014-05-22T19:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469559015769268224

@nickmyer Yeah, it's hard to measure. But lots of people write and tell me I helped them out of the rabbit hole.

2014-05-22T19:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469558583768526848

@nickmyer What if I told you that there was no sun dimming? That the global dimming trend reversed 20 years ago? Any change?

2014-05-22T19:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469558367795421184

@nickmyer Are those hashtags your evidence list for the existence of chemtrails? So #Eugenics proves #chemtrails ?

2014-05-22T19:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469557300978413569

@nickmyer My aim is to remove bunk, that's all.

2014-05-22T19:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469557201451749376

@ShepardAmbellas Are you not suggesting "mock simulated disaster" is suspicious. Implying false flags?

2014-05-22T19:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469556894818779136

@nickmyer It's quite rare to people to "look up" more than 45 degrees. Hurts the neck.

2014-05-22T19:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469556355691331585

@nickmyer Yes, because for most people, looking up simply means looking at the sky. i.e. above the horizon

---

2014-05-22T19:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469556097515126785

@Moe_Fugger_72 Contrails can persist for hours, according to all the books ever.

2014-05-22T19:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469555990560395264

@nickmyer But my point here was about these contrails. Simply that they are farther away than they appear. Nowhere near Disneyland.

2014-05-22T19:10:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469555796317970432
@nickmyer Of course. I get persistent contrails over my head all the time (I live near nav points, SMO and LAX)

2014-05-22T19:08:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469555486350516224
@nickmyer "away" is not the same as "above". These contrails were les than ten degrees above the horizon, so were around 50 miles away.

2014-05-22T19:04:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469554448985894912
@IntelligenceHub @po_st @ShepardAmbellas What's bizarre is you presenting this as something suspicious.

2014-05-22T18:59:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469553030300327939
@edwiebe @SteveFilipovic I was just talking about that very geometry :)
http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/ except for calcing distance from h + angle

---

2014-05-22T18:49:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469550745499365377
@lek_black @FloridaSkyWatch @loveacupotea @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @blazeart_ No, it's just flying towards you in level flight.

2014-05-22T18:49:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469550514288353280
@nickmyer Who said it was evidence of anything? It's just an interesting illusion. People think they are much closer.

2014-05-22T18:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469550257840209922
@nickmyer Just the angle and typical contrail altitude. It's explained here:
http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2014-05-22T18:29:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469545651533934592
@JKuylenstierna @oscare2000 @SEIclimate I don't think they are, it's just part of a random feed of "stories from around the web"

2014-05-22T17:07:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469524890656051200
@CraziDarling And why do you think those can't just be contrails? Seriously? What science did you research?

2014-05-22T17:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/469523647439175681
@DomNoble_com I'm familiar with it. But what does this has to do with evidence for the "chemtrail" theory?

2014-05-22T17:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469523233381695488

@DomNoble_com I don't know what you mean? Who is diverting who, from what exactly?

2014-05-22T16:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469523025813979136

@CraziDarling Me too. However you seem to be posting pic of contrails, and calling them "chemtrails". You know contrails can persist?

2014-05-22T16:57:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469522422492692480

@DomNoble_com And so? Just another article about possible future geoengineering.

2014-05-22T16:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469521872862732288

@CraziDarling No. Why would you think I am? Did I say something incorrect?

2014-05-22T16:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469519807151226880

@DomNoble_com So do you have any evidence at all on paper?

2014-05-22T16:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469519668265230336

@JasonBermas HAARP was shut down because of lack of funding, that's all.

2014-05-22T16:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469519164046966784

@DomNoble_com What was a deadly particulate fog? And how did they confirm this? Do you have the text of what they said?

2014-05-22T16:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469517225263497216

@CraziDarling Everyone believes that the government lies to them. So maybe their lack of belief in "chemtrails" is just about the evidence?

2014-05-22T16:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469516096718905344

@oscare2000 @JKuylenstierna @SEIclimate Original article is two years old. That test was cancelled.

2014-05-22T16:05:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469509320510418944

@martinhume @Hsaive None of which have anything to do with the CIA, who were just involved in some minor funding of general research.

2014-05-22T16:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469509138947375104

@martinhume @Hsaive A new low in misleading headlines there. Ozone depletion is a possible side effect of some proposed schemes.

2014-05-22T15:21:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469498293303533568

@4thAnon And they don't say "more persistent" they say contrails don't persist. All the books say contrails CAN persist. What do you think?

2014-05-22T15:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469498065477308417

@4thAnon You don't think that all the books for the last 70+ years agreeing on a point of science is relevant?

2014-05-22T15:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469497714841894914

@MichaelAlexisB Private whois data is largely the default now. Check out some sites you like.

2014-05-22T15:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469497198028144640

@DanBillowWESH Depends on the rocket type. Some rockets use H+O2 as fuel. But some low and high "contrails" are more like smoke trails.

2014-05-22T06:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469357102301868032

@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 @KristenMeghan Then please point out the errors, and I will correct them.

2014-05-22T05:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469356509483118592

@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 So can you point out anything on the site that is factually incorrect?

2014-05-22T05:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469355565190107136

@4thAnon No comment?

2014-05-22T05:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469355013488132097

@jonrappoport No.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoN75aDIcAAJ5XO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoN75aDIcAAJ5XO.jpg

2014-05-22T05:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469354729974140928

@DomNoble_com Do you have a reference to these figures and claims you are citing?

2014-05-22T01:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469281871050711041

@DomNoble_com Evidence that people are researching climate change,a nd possible future geoengineering. Yes. Not doing it.

2014-05-22T01:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469281773826756608

@DomNoble_com Like what?

2014-05-22T01:00:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469281678653812737

@DomNoble_com Of course I felt sick. You try drinking a pint of barium :)

2014-05-22T01:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469281572399489024

@DomNoble_com There's no evidence that any SRM patent has ever been tested.

2014-05-22T00:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469281469920079872

@DomNoble_com What money are you talking about? There's money to research possible future geoengineering

---

2014-05-22T00:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469280445058666496

@An0nKn0wledge A former student of electrical loads? Just repeating the normal chemtrail theories? How does that demonstrate anything?

2014-05-22T00:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469279950923513856

@DomNoble_com How do you see this?

2014-05-22T00:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469279839170486272

@DomNoble_com I drank a pint of barium when I had my MRI. That was harmless. But anyway, there's no evidence people are spraying barium.

2014-05-22T00:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469278362406375424

@InfowarsUpdates No, there isn't.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoM2LtNIAAE8YDP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoM2LtNIAAE8YDP.jpg

2014-05-22T00:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469277934608347136

@HuffPostWeird And really "zigzagging chemtrails"? It was a straight SM-3 trail blown into that shape by the wind.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/strange-lights-over-hawaii-aegis-ashore-sm-3-missile-test.3675/

2014-05-22T00:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469277320050507776

@HuffPostWeird You know why. Maybe weird news should actually be limited to UNidentified flying objects. Not the boring ones. :)

2014-05-22T00:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469275146788687873

@4thAnon I made this short video debunking that "difference".

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-22T00:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469274797826772992

@4thAnon Some people say that contrails don't persist. However that's incorrect. So you tell me what you think the difference is.

2014-05-22T00:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469274039244947459

@biggysmlls @RA9h10m20sD1416 Contrails, not chemtrails. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rte-weather-mentions-chemtrails.3679/ and

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2014-05-22T00:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469268551778324481

@4thAnon The difference between what? Tell me what this difference is.

2014-05-22T00:04:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469267539738914816

@4thAnon So if someone admits they exist, I'll be very interested in how they did it without leaving any evidence.

2014-05-22T00:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469267408465559552

@4thAnon My entire point is not that chemtrails don't exist, but that there is no evidence that they exist.

2014-05-22T00:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469267296813199360

@4thAnon Both what? Contrails and "chemtrails" that look and act exactly like contrails?

2014-05-22T00:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469266652467453952

@4thAnon Perhaps you could quote something I've written that you would expect me to have to retract?

2014-05-21T23:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469266247809368065

@4thAnon But it "chemtrails" are admitted, then does it make the info on this page incorrect? http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/ That all still science

---

2014-05-21T23:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469266040535257088

@4thAnon Profits? I make zero money from my sites. If it turns out i was wrong, I will correct

any errors on my sites.

2014-05-21T23:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469264650278678528

@4thAnon With surprise, and great interest.

2014-05-21T23:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469254786781442048

@DomNoble_com I'm no fan of those in power. See my take on that here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-05-21T23:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469254073586171904

@DomNoble_com I you can learn PHP you can look up the expected amount of barium in the atmosphere. So why don't you?

2014-05-21T23:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469253861274681344

@DomNoble_com So? A few hundred pounds of barium over several years is going to do bugger all compared with other sources.

2014-05-21T23:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469253389730074624

@DomNoble_com have I said anything factually wrong? What do you disagree with me about?

2014-05-21T22:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469247067919876098

@BeeSupport @bedrijfje Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoMX9hNIgAE0PeL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoMX9hNIgAE0PeL.jpg

2014-05-21T22:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469246363524268033

@DomNoble_com I'm trying to remove bunk (claims of evidence that are incorrect), so people can focus on the real issues.

2014-05-21T22:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469246121433235456

@lek_black Maybe because they know it's just contrails?

2014-05-21T22:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469245581341114368

@Hsaive Lying with statistics. Of course nobody says the humans are the ONLY forcing variable in climate change. Just the worst.

2014-05-21T22:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469245133024538624

@Stonewall_77 Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoMX9hNIgAE0PeL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoMX9hNIgAE0PeL.jpg

2014-05-21T22:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469244841239388161

@iain39 @davebrooker322 @awesome086 @elitesnightmare @HartReikiCURES Iain, why don't you actually point out an error of fact I've made?

2014-05-21T22:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469244718933504001

@DomNoble_com Upper atmosphere tests. Basically space. Nothing to do with the climate.

2014-05-21T22:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469244474225205248

@DomNoble_com A proposal for possible future geoengineering. So what?

2014-05-21T22:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469244275276791808

@DomNoble_com No, it proves that this farmer thought that they were.

2014-05-21T22:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469237554953191425

@DomNoble_com They are not isotopes, and there's no increase (except for normal pollution)

2014-05-21T22:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469237439362789376

@DomNoble_com No they don't. Nobody has ever suggested using barium METAL for SRM.

2014-05-21T22:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469237175100268547

@DomNoble_com Example: Barium:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/what-are-the-normal-levels-of-barium-in-soil-and-water.247/

2014-05-21T22:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469236909189771265

@DomNoble_com Yes, they all are. Because they are in soil, which becomes windblown dust.

2014-05-21T21:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469235180461248513

@DomNoble_com Can you link to this experiment?

2014-05-21T21:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469234643376422912

@DomNoble_com And that's about measurement of lots of different aerosols, natural and pollution.

2014-05-21T21:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469234506528878592

@DomNoble_com And that's about how rain washes dust out the air.

2014-05-21T21:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469234373422637056

@DomNoble_com How is that proof? It's just one random guy's odd theory.

2014-05-21T21:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469226627470086144

@PriscillaLakerv @Furiousnurse @blazeart_ @EnglandanonCrops are Debunked: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ @LoShime

---

2014-05-21T21:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469226480027701248

@ValeFan_Eca Crops are engineered to do better in EXISTING conditions, been like that for decades. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/ @wtf_isurproblem

---

2014-05-21T21:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469226395357282305

@NewRightMedia Crops are engineered to do better in EXISTING conditions, been like that for decades. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/

2014-05-21T21:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469226359059775488

@ConJonesReport Crops are engineered to do better in EXISTING conditions, been like that for decades. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsanto-patents-and-chemtrails-infowars-gmo-chemtrail-connection.3678/

2014-05-21T20:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469207218395439104

@DomNoble_com No it wasn't. There is ZERO evidence of SRM experiments. But please present evidence if you think otherwise.

2014-05-21T19:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469199747438235648

@WorldWAwakening Feel free to ask a question on the forum.

2014-05-21T18:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469187922185318400

@chemtrailsStein That's a video of an emergency fuel dump.

2014-05-21T18:47:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469187760218050560

@An0nKn0wledge Wait? What did they get right about "Chemtrails"?

2014-05-21T18:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469187301638017024

@DomNoble_com It shows some persistent contrails spreading out to cover the sky with haze. As they often do, and always have.

2014-05-21T17:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/469171849058725888

@4thAnon No. It's just some close-ups of planes leaving contrails.

2014-05-21T04:02:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468965067267252224

@ChemtrailsYecla @YeclaCapital @meteoyecla donde es esto? Esto se parece como dos aviones de combate .

2014-05-20T23:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468894406695989248

@Tenacitor9000 I've never heard of him. So it's rather hard to say.

2014-05-20T23:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468892542160109569

@4thAnon It's just a PR thing. Working too.

2014-05-20T23:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468892034984861696

@Tenacitor9000 No, you seem relatively reasonable. :)

2014-05-20T22:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468888365593804801

@Tenacitor9000 @4thAnon But then some people will reject any explanation regardless, if their minds are already made up.

2014-05-20T22:57:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468888212304560128

@Tenacitor9000 @4thAnon If people have a problem with me, that's partly my fault for not

explaining myself clearly.

2014-05-20T22:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468888010529177601

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @davebrooker322 @HartReikiCURES No. I just think you are mistaken.

2014-05-20T22:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468887677409189889

@4thAnon You can't force a "yes or no" answer on a question without sufficient information. That's a dishonest debating technique.

2014-05-20T22:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468887375285067776

@4thAnon I don't know, but I've not seen good evidence that it was.

2014-05-20T22:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468886335148015618

@Tenacitor9000 @4thAnon You might wonder WHY so many results are returned. Read a few of the results.

2014-05-20T22:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468885831953166336

@Tenacitor9000 @4thAnon Put quotes around it for accuracy though.

2014-05-20T22:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468883019269672961

@4thAnon There's a broad spectrum of conspiracy theories. You can arrange them by plausibility, or by how much they violate science.

2014-05-20T22:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468881885591252993

@4thAnon no, me too. I suspect there are many conspiracies in government. Particularly with lobbying and campaign finance.

2014-05-20T22:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468880348315930625

@4thAnon That entirely depends on where you put the cut-off line. Everyone is a conspiracy theorist to *some* degree.

2014-05-20T21:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468860240101142528

@lluisahicart No, it looks like contrails on a day highly conducive to persistence, and in a region with lots of flyover traffic.

2014-05-20T19:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468842303520378882

@Tenacitor9000 @BlackburnRuth It returns 54 million for mick+west+hero, just saying back. :)

2014-05-20T18:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468820942605402112

@mnchemtrails You know, it's actually only possible to get that color spectrum with ice crystals. "chemicals" would just look white.

2014-05-20T18:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468820727223685120

@martinhume Nice collection of contrails in different lighting condition (and that 1920's smoke screen video)

2014-05-20T18:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468820317947699200

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @davebrooker322 @HartReikiCURES So it looks like a Redback's web, but you think it grew from powder?

2014-05-20T18:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468817848597368833

@BlackburnRuth @Tenacitor9000 The only evidence people have for saying I am shill is that I disagree with them. Circular logic.

2014-05-20T16:31:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468791204981645312

RT @DenverChannel: When it snows, then melts rapidly in CO, this is what can happen. Cool "snowslide" pics from @MidwifeOfChange. http://t.â¦

2014-05-20T14:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468765823331348481

@elitesnightmare @iain39 @davebrooker322 @HartReikiCURES Did you see it "grow from the powder"?

2014-05-20T14:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468762725074477056

Tricks of perspective. These contrails "over" Toontown are actually over 50 miles away. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoFhNsMIgAIXNrk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BoFhNsMIgAIXNrk.jpg

2014-05-20T14:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468758215342059522

@iain39 @davebrooker322 @HartReikiCURES @elitesnightmare If you can see something, something exists.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/

2014-05-20T14:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468757870163398656

@davebrooker322 @nickmyer Opposite to what? You see contrails above clouds all the time. The atmosphere is layered.

2014-05-19T02:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468210541584855040

@DomNoble_com So you've just made your argument redundant. Nobody says cloud seeding does not exist. So what is your point.

2014-05-19T02:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468210362186096641

@DomNoble_com It's in some dictionaries.

http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/american_english/chemtrail Just like "chupacabra" is

---

2014-05-19T00:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468179440694865923

@piKKKil @oc_f1fan Like what?

2014-05-18T23:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468178662504665088

@DomNoble_com You can't win an argument by redefining words. IF you think "cloud seeding" is the same a "chemtrails", what's to discuss?

2014-05-18T23:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468178414776496128

@DomNoble_com Cloud seeding has happened since the 1950s. You are conflating things.

2014-05-18T23:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468174298864050176

@DomNoble_com Trails and then haze of ice crystals. That's what contrails do sometime.

2014-05-18T23:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468173948840996865

@DomNoble_com I get sick if my car fills with smoke. Smoke makes people sick. This is not evidence for "chemtrails".

2014-05-18T23:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468173754434990081

@DomNoble_com What post? What did I say?

2014-05-18T23:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468173698755596289

@DomNoble_com I'm not sure what you are saying? perhaps you could link and quote?

2014-05-18T23:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468170870951641088

@nickmyer That article (which seem on the face of it to be unsubstantiated) is entirely unrelated to HAARP. Why change the subject?

2014-05-18T23:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468169224624427009

@DomNoble_com Cloud seeding has been done openly and publically since the 1950s. Who told you otherwise?

2014-05-18T23:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468168796255956992

@oc_f1fan @piKKKil Could you point out something I got wrong?

2014-05-18T23:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468168628907413505

@nickmyer It won't. So if you see a contrail in a blue sky, or just not directly above or below cloud, then it's not cloud seeding.

2014-05-18T14:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/468041705283788800

But if contrails can persist, then how can you tell that SRM is happening? And how can cloud seeding work in a clear blue sky?

2014-05-17T20:17:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467760946564194304

@justabric @proadstudio That's an aerodynamic contrail. The original video was a hoax.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-and-flap-track-fairings-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2014-05-17T20:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467759898873176064

@nickmyer I prefer to debunk things that people actually think are correct. Can't you pick one of his claims you have verified?

2014-05-17T18:55:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467740258897895424

@nickmyer What's the most inarguable piece of evidence he presents that you have verified?

2014-05-17T15:02:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467681597945155584

@INTENSI_T It's "haze". There are various causes, including just a layer of fog. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haze

---

2014-05-17T14:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467680800792526849

@nickmyer Why do you trust him? Because he seems like a nice man? What verifiable evidence does he present?

2014-05-17T14:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467680623826452480

@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails and how can you tell this is not just three contrails? Planes at different altitude?

2014-05-17T14:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467680167322591233

@DomNoble_com What is the science then? How does cloud seeding work? Does it work in a clear blue sky? Or existing clouds?

2014-05-17T14:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467680007574130688

@DomNoble_com People have observed that since 1921. They MUST turn into clouds if the air remains ice supersaturated.

2014-05-17T14:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467679732062883842

@DomNoble_com No true. There was a huge storm over all of Wales, cloud seeding could not do that.

2014-05-16T21:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467411002057715712

@nickmyer Can I see it?

2014-05-16T20:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467404161110122496

@nickmyer I have done research. I wrote it up here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-debunked-and-explained.1650/

2022-05-12T03:35:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524594195788275714
@osbald @socalkoi1 Itâ□□s sand.

2022-05-12T03:34:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524593901570445312
@issaufobro @RamonDe17166977 Reflection is not high on the list

---

2022-05-12T00:35:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524548892099973122
@Girafin_ovni Why not trust the word of trained professionals?

I mean, they need to be more skeptical, but it's quite understandable that some good stories (and interpretations of videos) from supposed experts will convince people.

2022-05-12T00:34:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524548580131835904
@TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Where did Elizondo say anything like "classified briefings are filled with hi res videos, close proximity photos from our best surveillance tech"

2022-05-12T00:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524548387093180416
@TC14021773 @Girafin_ovni Mostly from listening to Mellon and the various politician who have spoken on it. They don't give any indications of a smoking gun

---

2022-05-12T00:22:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524545597633572864
@Girafin_ovni They have evidence, just not very good evidence. The ones that have been convinced seem mostly to rely on eyewitness testimony - like Fravor - as the bedrock of their belief. On top of that you've got people like Vallee telling them cool stories.

2022-05-12T00:19:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524544745602621440
@ddeanjohnson I doubt I'd fit. Looks like it's about 2 feet high and a foot deep.

2022-05-12T00:18:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524544450592051200
@media_skyhigh And a Russian tank over on the right.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShFDv7UYAE8nmZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShFDv7UYAE8nmZ.jpg

2022-05-12T00:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524544082839695360

@Crashfa2011 It's not near the lens. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShEpksVUAArMaI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShEpksVUAArMaI.jpg

2022-05-11T23:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524539671325282305

@HSVUAP Probably, but what if the aliens were tiny? Or really tall?

2022-05-11T23:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524539369356288000

And from another angle. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShAdCVUcAALzH0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShAdCVUcAALzH0.jpg

2022-05-11T23:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524539286581694464

The "door" on Mars, from a different angle. Looks rather unimpressive.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShAP6LVgAAW-hr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FShAP6LVgAAW-hr.jpg

2022-05-11T23:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524536217651449856

@BodyPositiveBob Some of them APPEAR to, but there's insufficient data to determine what's actually going on.

2022-05-11T23:43:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524535825240780800

@Jjgaffers01 Look around in this image. There are many straight "cuts" (fractures) in the outcrop.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/FULL%20PANO.png

2022-05-11T23:25:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524531215704895488

RT @keithkloor: I am struck by the people in media who often write credulously about UFOs. Some of them behave in ways that should disqualiâ□¦

2022-05-11T23:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524529320491773953

I think Kirby's responses here are pretty much all we are going to hear in the UFO hearings next week. Putting the AOIMSG team together. Working on a process. Addressing safety concerns. Not enough data to conclude anything.

https://twitter.com/ddeanjohnson/status/1524410461583728640

2022-05-11T21:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524496425542840320

@michaelshermer That's what happened in Chile. In the US: same thing, but also excessive pervasive secrecy that prevents public resolution of things like Gimbal, or the Tic-Tac event. This secrecy allows UFO fans to push their well-meaning but ultimately baseless agenda of "disclosure".

2022-05-11T21:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524495733381951488

@michaelshermer - Investigators predisposed to finding "unidentifieds"

- Excessive deference to verbal accounts from "highly trained" people.

- Gaps in the expert pool. Nobody was familiar with aerodynamic contrails. I was.

- Lack of interest from the military, perhaps partially due to stigma.

2022-05-11T17:50:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524446751746265093

@jaimemaussan1 @NASA http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfsN_uVcAAGhXl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfsN_uVcAAGhXl.jpg

2022-05-11T16:35:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524427905387794432

And a better one, via Sabare, on Reddit.

https://live.staticflickr.com/65535/52063976257_6c0b84e7eb_6k.jpg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfbExjUcAAZbq2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfbExjUcAAZbq2.jpg

2022-05-11T16:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524427357565558785

@issaufobro That's not really a hill, more an outcrop.

2022-05-11T16:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524426694098006017

@rishavreal1 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1524424523382026241

2022-05-11T16:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524426663223648261

@Cosmic_Mystery0 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1524424523382026241

2022-05-11T16:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524426433421926401

@BodyPositiveBob Looks like a bit of rock that fell after some natural erosion. What's the big deal?

2022-05-11T16:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524424846200893440

Here's the full-sized panorama image: https://www.metabunk.org/f/FULL%20PANO.png

---

2022-05-11T16:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524424523382026241

The "door" on Mars, in a much wider context. It's just a gap in a fractured rock outcrop.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfX7UWVgAIpTTj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfXtPDUcAEMdwg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfX7UWVgAIpTTj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfXzbtVsAI1Y3u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfXIUKVgAA26Xv.jpg

2022-05-11T16:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524419267441020928

@randomlydelibe1 @lesliekean Itâ□□s a gap in a hydraulically fractured rock outcrop.

2022-05-11T14:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524400720232865793

(3/3) Highly trained pilots sometimes make mistakes. Experts, even military ones, sometimes miss the obvious. Sometimes a UFO is simply a badly misidentified plane. The upcoming hearings need to take this into account â even if a few people have their feelings hurt.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCXJ1VgAElzll.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCXJ1VgAElzll.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCRfkUUAAP7F5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCTpFVkAA64fV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCQGRacAEdKMW.jpg

2022-05-11T14:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524400505971040261

(2/3) Then I and others looked at it, recognized that it looked like a plane leaving an aerodynamic contrail. We tracked down the plane (IB6830), and demonstrated it was a perfect match for the video. All the questions were answered. Case closed. In less than a week.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCF2vUcAAwPUP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSfCF2vUcAAwPUP.jpg

2022-05-11T14:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524400344234561536

(1/3) A cautionary tale

In 2014, "highly trained" Navy pilots saw and videoed a UFO. The government's UFO investigation department and many experts studied it for two years, could not figure it out, and declared it a genuine UFO

@lesliekean lauded it as "exceptional" in Jan 2017

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSe903_akAEfXbC.jpg

By Leslie Kean, Contributor

Jan. 5, 2017, 11:19 AM EST | **Updated** Jan. 5, 2017

An exceptional nine-minute Navy video of a UFO displaying highly unusual behavior, studied by Chilean authorities for the last two years, is now being released to the public. The CEFAA - the Chilean government agency which investigates UFOs, or UAP (unidentified aerial phenomena), has been in charge of the investigation. Located within the DGAC, the equivalent of our FAA but under the jurisdiction of the Chilean Air Force, CEFAA has committees of military experts, technicians and academics from many disciplines. None of them have been able to explain the strange flying object captured by two experienced Navy officers from a helicopter.

The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSe903_akAEfXbC.jpg

2022-05-11T13:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524385584680034305

@byatzzz @james_mals Itâ□□s not a hoax. Itâ□□s lots of different things.

2022-05-11T04:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524240562177466369
@Anonymisterdog1 @CogentNomad @katheri19813647 @KathyLea1982 @Simonpeterson74 70 years of books on clouds disagree.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-05-10T23:46:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524173983590932481
@realufobros @JasonColavito @wotzitt @nytimes Just about any other NYT reporter ever.

2022-05-10T23:43:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524173304222732288
@dave_beaty I do think #4 is *partially* valid though. Mythologizing UFOs as alien heralds is not helpful for either the reporting stigma, or accurate determination of what individual sightings might be.
But we are in a different world now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSby0l9VEAAZ4v7.jpg

---

4. In order most effectively to strengthen the national facilities for the timely recognition and the appropriate handling of true indications of hostile action, and to minimize the concomitant dangers alluded to above, the Panel recommends:

a. That the national security agencies take immediate steps to strip the Unidentified Flying Objects of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired;

b. That the national security agencies institute policies on intelligence, training, and public education designed to prepare the material defenses and the morale of the country to recognize most promptly and to react most effectively to true indications of hostile intent or action.

We suggest that these aims may be achieved by an integrated program designed to reassure the public of the total lack of evidence of Inimical forces behind the phenomenon, to train personnel to recognize and reject false indications quickly and effectively, and to strengthen regular channels for the evaluation of and prompt reaction to true indications of hostile measures."[2]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSby0l9VEAAZ4v7.jpg
2022-05-10T23:34:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524171048358346753
@dave_beaty No, because 2) Drones are now a real threat, and 3) Computers can now do the heavy lifting in collecting and filtering reports.

2022-05-10T23:15:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524166303732297728
RT @JasonColavito: What is wrong with @nytimes? The paper has brought back alien abduction enthusiast Ralph Blumenthal and walking conflictâ□¦

2022-05-10T22:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524157732642693120
@ChicQ71 MAFFS and ballast barrels.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2022-05-10T21:48:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524144269585383425
RT @mattsheffield: People ask me sometimes why I bother fact-checking false claims made by people like Dinesh D'Souza.

I'm not giving itâ¦

2022-05-10T21:33:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524140634088435712
RT @dannagal: OAN Admits â□□No Widespread Voter Fraudâ□□ After Settling Suit
https://www.thedailybeast.com/oan-finally-admits-no-widespread-voter-fraud-by-georgia-election-workers-after-settling-defamation-lawsuit?source=twitter&via=desktop via @thedailybeast

---

2022-05-10T21:26:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524138959059652613
@DavidFo73204388 In a good discussion, I often learn new things myself.

2022-05-10T20:04:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524118292792709120
@bgcts Generally, any even-tempered discussion about their belief can be very useful. Asking about the mechanisms is great - how do they think it works? If often used up with a reduction to the absurd - a great realization if they can get their mostly under their own steam.

2022-05-10T19:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524116247356485632
Thought provoking questions are a great way to help people out of the rabbit hole. Ask people, politely, why they believe the things they do. Seeking out rational explanations eventually moves them closer to reality. https://twitter.com/aprilajoyr/status/1523754829222678528

2022-05-10T19:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524115546253365248
@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @MvonRen
https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/in-defense-of-debunkers/

2022-05-10T19:19:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524106821492887552
@JimmyJungas It's a peacock.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524106798109650949/pu/img/HZ6dOhScdZqFXzQO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524106798109650949/pu/img/HZ6dOhScdZqFXzQO.jpg
2022-05-10T18:58:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524101564234752000
@KilliK69 @soldisnew "In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2022-05-10T18:54:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524100529017679872
@randomlydelibe1 Troubling? Not really. Is there one that troubles you?

2022-05-10T18:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524099691142475777
@JSB6234
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524099666438021120/pu/img/BeOmfLJ57oDEB06n.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524099666438021120/pu/img/BeOmfLJ57oDEB06n.jpg
2022-05-10T18:50:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524099587241259009
@randomlydelibe1 Thousands of things cannot be explained, as there is insufficient information. TFA typically. In the LIZ.

2022-05-10T18:43:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524097809116323840
Photographs are often the source of "UFO" reports. While generally higher resolution that video, they have less information in the temporal-spatial domain (i.e. movement). Frozen in time, things can look really odd. Like this peacock.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9X7FlnPDwFs
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSauWScVgAAbqBh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSauWScVgAAbqBh.jpg
2022-05-10T18:39:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524096873513902080
@UFO_JohnDoe @nsfairbanks Should we avoid hypotheses that might cause a little offense?

Fighter pilots are not little children. They can take some scrutiny.

2022-05-10T18:35:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524095741731368960
@BarlowTerry @AdamGoldsack Yeah, we don't know if it's sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception (or aliens) and so these observations require additional rigorous analysis.

2022-05-10T17:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524083585417838592
@OMApproach @flarkey @dustyWayneJr @MindfulOfLight @MvonRen @chrisotis78 @lesliekean

@CorridorDigital @neiltyson Ah yes, the Oil Rigs. That sounds like another job for Sitrec!

2022-05-10T17:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524083220328837120

@AdamGoldsack The official UAP report does not seem to agree with that. Insufficient data, and more study needed, is where the analysis seems to be pointing.

2022-05-10T17:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524082020615213056

@_RTurner @DeaconDallacort @DrumBotched It's not just a seagull, and it did look very unusual.

At the time they were getting all kinds of unusual radar returns from the new radar, which might explain what they saw on the SA. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSagKpEVsAAV5qI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSagKpEVsAAV5qI.jpg

2022-05-10T17:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524074651680317440

@Spectre67904513 @Chance_in_Hell Well, when @uncertainvector finds the time to talk to me, I'll incorporate his testimony. Right now there are just too many uncertainties in his accounts to be useful.

2022-05-10T17:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524073236727693312

@Spectre67904513 @InvNightSchool Only because it requires some mistakes be made?

2022-05-10T17:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524073138069278726

@MoonPieDreams I'm being very serious. This is what I think.

2022-05-10T17:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524072403952803846

@Spectre67904513 @Chance_in_Hell The video contains the evidence. Very strong evidence.

https://youtu.be/qsEjV8DdSbs?t=866

2022-05-10T17:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524072176042663936

@FrankRyanArt @timetherington They were already unidentified. Just they happened to identify

one while making the report.

Out of millions of sightings, some remain unidentified.

2022-05-10T16:55:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524070597667418115
@KilliK69 So, nothing new?

2022-05-10T16:54:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524070489718595586
@byatzzz There's the public evidence, which we know is weak, and the classified evidence, where: "a limited amount of high-quality reporting on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP."

2022-05-10T16:52:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524069833624588289
@Spectre67904513 @Chance_in_Hell You did not debunk it at all.

Explain the first observable - the "object" being locked to the camera frame for the first 20 seconds.

https://youtu.be/qsEjV8DdSbs?t=866

2022-05-10T16:34:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524065408646455297
@Spectre67904513 I said they "have quite plausible mundane explanations"

2022-05-10T16:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524059099700154368
@nsfairbanks I don't think so.

2022-05-10T16:09:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524059042745774080
@TCskywatch From what?

2022-05-10T16:02:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524057278822768640
@timetherington @MvonRen "Smudge" is a bit of a strawman, as it evokes an image of a blob of goop on the lense.

What is actually being suggested is a very lightly smeared or streaked front window. Like when you clean your phone's lens with a finger. Maybe in this case from weather, even salt abrasion.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524057226381455360/pu/img/5CNtr96iwChmkYl0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524057226381455360/pu/img/5CNtr96iwChmkYl0.jpg
2022-05-10T15:58:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524056330058670080
@futruaultra @RogueUAPTF @HouseIntel I'm a programmer, specializing in 3D visualizations, basic physics, and root-cause analysis. A very useful skill-set for analyzing UFO videos.

Not that they would call me. But they do need someone with those skills to explain the actual analysis.

2022-05-10T15:46:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524053262307012608
@GluttonSloth @Dishinthrfi They blocked me (although I don't remember ever engaging with them), so I'm not on their radar.

2022-05-10T15:44:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524052876716244992
@2020defense Won't that BE disclosure?

2022-05-10T15:41:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524052074706526208
@ZRaste They told the SEC they are a "media and entertainment company" and that their goal is to "create stories with authenticity and legitimacy that matter historically and culturally."

https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1710274/000149315222008580/form253g2.htm

---

2022-05-10T15:31:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524049429707194368
@Vmandrake That's not strange. That's data.

2022-05-10T15:29:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524049035971112961
@RogueUAPTF @HouseIntel Who would you recommend?

2022-05-10T15:24:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524047733010550784
@soldisnew Insufficient data doesn't prove anything. That's the point.

2022-05-10T15:23:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524047519948283904
@Jim87504388 I would hope people like Scott Bray (deputy director of naval intelligence) would make some attempt to clarify the confusion.

2022-05-10T15:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524047217387991040
@ZRaste The second image is from the original TTSA. The first one is what they are now: "Informed science fiction"

2022-05-10T15:21:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524046872607739904
@soldisnew The government UAP report, even in the classified version, found that data to be insufficient to demonstrate advanced technology.

2022-05-10T15:18:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524046308964663296
@markocheese @aka__V @ParanormalcyUSA That seems to be what Steve Justice thought they could do. The plan was essentially:

1 - Observe what UFOs could do
2 - Get a good team of people to study it and try really really hard, like at Skunkworks, to figure out how to do it.
3 - Build a warp drive spaceship

2022-05-10T15:14:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524045241245831169
@GambleDale The stigma of reporting UFOs is an issue as drone technology advances. The FAA used to shunt all their UFO reports over to Robert Bigelow's supernatural investigation organization. There's a legacy from that era.

Their new reporting system is better.
https://www.faa.gov/uas/resources/public_records/uas_sightings_report/

2022-05-10T15:09:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1524043852364931072
Once again, the NYT anchors a UFO story with the "Gimbal" and "Gofast" videos. Both of which have quite plausible mundane explanations.

Their mystique is only maintained by mil secrecy and deference to a few recollections.
Congress should demand the actual analysis, if any.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSZ88gLUcAEzWEs.jpg

The New York Times

Give this article 14



A video shows an encounter between a Navy F/A-18 Super Hornet and an unknown object. It was released by the Defense Department's Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program. U.S. Department of Defense

By Leslie Kean and Ralph Blumenthal

May 10, 2022 Updated 7:13 a.m. ET

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSZ88gLUcAEzWEs.jpg

2022-05-10T15:05:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524042863327150081

@Alien_Scientist Hypersonic is not a warp drive.

2022-05-10T15:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524042041633607680

I anticipate that the proponents of the Extra-Terrestrial or Non-Human-Intelligence theories are going to be sadly disappointed once more. The evidence there is incredibly weak, and there are no good indications of anything better.

No doubt speculation will continue.

2022-05-10T14:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524041431916048385

I look forward to the open congressional hearing on unidentified aerial vehicles. UAP represent a set of genuine issues like airborne clutter, drones, and possible hostile craft, that need to be investigated rationally and without bias. (1/2)

https://www.nytimes.com/2022/05/10/us/politics/ufo-sightings-house-hearing.html?smid=tw-share

---

2022-05-10T14:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524038180625735680

@bosma2002 "unknown" isn't an explanation.

2022-05-10T14:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524036299677569026

@ashworth_mick @PostDisclosure @timburchett I think it's a rotating glare. I don't know what is behind it, but I think the most likely thing is a distant jet.

2022-05-10T14:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524035906138648577

@aka__V @ParanormalcyUSA

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524035855714643969/pu/img/pDJhLonEEhydYB3w.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1524035855714643969/pu/img/pDJhLonEEhydYB3w.jpg

2022-05-10T14:29:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524033823872192512

@mission_europa @realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett Aliens aren't something you can rationally (or even psychopathically selfishly) ignore.

2022-05-10T14:27:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1524033269586612227

@Alien_Scientist The point of my post is that they emailed out an announcement of a new round of investing yesterday. Sorry I didn't make that clear.

How is your warp drive coming along?

2022-05-10T06:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523907791823405057

@ParanormalcyUSA See the second image there.

2022-05-10T04:57:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523889985857851393
@the_cholla @redacted699 You might want to look at that a bit harder. I think you might be mistaking the exhaust plume for glare.

Where is the glare, exactly? Are you sure it does not rotate?

2022-05-10T04:40:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523885755164020736
@the_cholla @redacted699 I think it might have the same type of intermittent pod roll. Jet pitch puts the traversal very close to the singularity, explaining the large rotations. I'm doing other things, but will get it into Sitrec for more investigation.

It might be a bigger plane though, we'll see.

2022-05-10T04:38:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523885193760673792
After promising shareholders AI studies of UFOs leading to development of a warp drive and breakthroughs in consciousness, and telepathy, To The Stars has been reduced to just entertainment.

Which, realistically, was all it was ever going to be
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSXtaWmVUAE0tQC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSXtaWmVUAE0tQC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSXssZEUcAARq5T.jpg
2022-05-10T04:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523884105439490049
@the_cholla @redacted699 Except for FLIR1.

2022-05-10T04:30:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523883137129848832
@the_cholla @redacted699 In the, what, two relevant ATFLIR comparison videos we have? One of which shows a glare bigger than the plane.

The Chilean engine glares were comparable.

Glare varies greatly with intensity and exposure.

2022-05-10T04:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523882628151058432

@HuckleberryHorn @SocialistFT @realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett The simple existence of visiting aliens changes everything because it demonstrates both a certain technology and a potentially disruptive powerful and novel entity.

Ignoring them seems utterly implausible, a belle indiffÃ©rence.

2022-05-10T04:25:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523881819321511937

@TjAllard @blackvaultcom @AncientEnigmas Yeah, there's really no reason for a company to be aware of the UFOTwitter microculture.

2022-05-10T00:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523823388698963968

@TjAllard @blackvaultcom @AncientEnigmas I think all the ones I listed are familiar with at least the basic idea. It's people on Twitter talking about UFOs. There's no mission statement. It's not a club.

2022-05-10T00:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523822941053497344

@HuckleberryHorn @SocialistFT @realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett That's a wide-open hypothetical.

2014-05-16T19:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467388936919068672

@nickmyer Really, and how does that work then?

2014-05-16T19:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467385659548639232

@nickmyer Because microwaves are either absorbed (which is what heats it up), or they pass through. AM radio is what bounces off.

2014-05-16T19:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467382452978085888

@nickmyer That's nothing to do with common sense. That's within the realm of science.

2014-05-16T17:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467357584526020608

@4thAnon I'm anti war. It's one of the real issues I think needs more attention.

2014-05-16T17:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467356936342474753

@BlackburnRuth @4thAnon I discuss the data in great detail. Point out where I have ignored data.

2014-05-16T17:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467356811587117057

@4thAnon @BlackburnRuth but if people disagree with me, it's a bit disingenuous to shout

"shill", then run away.

2014-05-16T17:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467356584713011200

@4thAnon @BlackburnRuth No. I recognize there's a wide spectrum of people, and it's hard to reach all of them.

2014-05-16T17:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467352447975825408

@4thAnon @PrisonPlanet I'm sure there was some CYA. I think Obmaba's statement in the debate was a bit disingenuous. But not like Fox News.

2014-05-16T17:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467352205754777603

@4thAnon How do I present myself as establishment? Didn't you read my position on this: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-05-16T17:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467351761179508738

@4thAnon lumping everything together confuses the issue. If people understand more, there more chance they will do something.

2014-05-16T17:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467351588181262337

@4thAnon I think you are getting hung up on your personal reaction to "indirect". It's important to understand the results of war.

2014-05-16T16:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467345545531375616

@4thAnon I'm in favor of genuine representational democracy, as a pragmatic measure. Now the politicians represent money, not people.

2014-05-16T16:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467345231600287744

@4thAnon No, I'm not. I'm retired. But I would want me to have disproportionate influence either.

2014-05-16T16:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467344982206992384

@4thAnon re: "Now it's a joke". It was always a joke.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/466345549676175362
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxXyM_IcAAufew.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxXyM_IcAAufew.jpg

2014-05-16T16:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467342302696853504

@4thAnon I say get the money out of politics, so politicians are responsible to the people, not the rich.

2014-05-16T16:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467342037662969856

@4thAnon It's entirely accurate. And the joke was aimed at PJW, not at you. I'm sorry it was not more clear.

2014-05-16T16:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467341824592326656

@4thAnon The term "indirect" is as used by the geneva declaration, chapter 2.

http://www.genevadeclaration.org/fileadmin/docs/GBAV/GBAV08-CH2.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxU6a_CIAEwrBW.jpg



In almost all contemporary conflicts, the number of indirect victims of armed violence is many times larger than the number of battle deaths. For example, the International Rescue Committee's series of mortality surveys in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) found that 5.4 million excess deaths occurred between August 1998 and April 2007, with 2.1 million occurring since the formal end of war in 2002 (Coghlan et al., 2008). Of these 5.4 million excess deaths since 1998, fewer than ten per cent died 'directly' or violently. Nearly all deaths (90 per cent)—*approximately 4.8 million people*—were indirect and caused mainly by preventable infectious diseases, malnutrition, and neonatal- and pregnancy-related conditions that emerged in the resource-poor post-conflict environment. The number of battle deaths estimated in the preceding chapter for the DRC in the period 2004–07 is about 9,300 (DIRECT CONFLICT DEATH).[2]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxU6a_CIAEwrBW.jpg

2014-05-16T16:28:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467340923840364544

@4thAnon "Indirect" is a descriptive term. It does not make them less dead, and their deaths are still caused by war, just indirectly.

2014-05-16T16:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467339019215323136

@4thAnon I quoted an article that PJW referenced. I joked they were not doing a good job of covering up if they allowed the release.

2014-05-16T16:20:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467338710581649409

@4thAnon I'm no fan of war. Numbers seem reasonable, just they are largely indirect deaths.

2014-05-16T16:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467337394060931072

@4thAnon It's a counter example. i.e. you think the government can be forced to reveal secrets via FOIA, so why not, say, 9/11.

2014-05-16T15:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467331829142257664

@4thAnon Like I said, released reams of emails. And if you can you force them to FOIA anything, why not 9/11. They CHOSE to release them.

2014-05-16T15:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467327307443494913

@4thAnon I was referring to the Reuters story that PJW linked to. And you retweeted him.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/466345549676175362 Pic:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxHtUPCQAE33lA.jpg



a "Conspiracy Theory"

Leftist publication regurgitates intellectually bankrupt White House talking point

Paul Joseph Watson
Infowars.com
May 13, 2014

In an article for leftist publication Salon.com, writer Arthur Goldwag characterizes the Benghazi cover-up as a "conspiracy theory" backed by nothing more than "faith," a ludicrous assertion given the recent release of emails which proved a deliberate effort to mislead on behalf of the Obama administration.

In a sophomoric piece entitled, Benghazi nuts, anti-vaxxers, birthers: Do they really buy their own nonsense?, Goldwag asks, "Why do people believe ridiculous things, in despite of all reason and proofs to the contrary?"

One of those "ridiculous things" is the notion that there was a cover-up surrounding the attack on the U.S. diplomatic mission in Benghazi, which Goldwag labels a "conspiracy theory" backed by no evidence, comparing belief that the Obama administration lied about the incident to belief in the King James Bible.

Goldwag goes on to equate believers in a Benghazi cover-up to those who supported Stalin's mass starvation of millions of Russians in the 1930's.

In characterizing the notion that there was a cover-up surrounding the attack on the U.S. diplomatic mission as a "conspiracy theory," Salon is regurgitating White House talking points. Last week, White House Press Secretary Jay Carney said that a new investigation into the attack was unwarranted because the "conspiracy theories" had "fallen apart."

In typical fashion, Goldwag's smearing of those who assert there was a Benghazi cover-up with the pejorative "conspiracy theory" term is a poor substitute for him failing to even acknowledge never mind attempt to debunk actual hard evidence which clearly indicates there was a cover-up surrounding the attack in Benghazi.

Goldwag makes no mention whatsoever of emails released under the Freedom of Information Act earlier this month which reveal how Ben Rhodes, Obama's deputy national security adviser for strategic communications, coached then U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Susan Rice "to underscore that these protests are rooted in an Internet video, and not a broader failure of policy."

The fact that the assault on the consulate was a terror attack and not a protest gone awry as a result of a

Image: Benghazi Attacks (YouTube).

inflammatory video rather than the work of Islamic militants.

When Rice's account proved incorrect, Republican lawmakers accused her of trying to protect Obama during his re-election campaign, which the White House disputed. Rice is now Obama's national security adviser.

Judicial Watch sought documents related to the Benghazi attacks through the Freedom of Information Act and obtained them earlier this month. On Tuesday, it pointed to an email from Ben Rhodes, Obama's deputy national security adviser for strategic communications, which discussed preparations for Rice's appearances on the shows.

The email lists one goal as being "to underscore that these protests are rooted in an Internet video, and not a broader failure of policy."

It also emphasizes Obama's leadership and stresses his statesmanlike qualities.

"Now we know the Obama White House's chief concern about the Benghazi attack was making sure that President Obama looked good," Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton said in a statement on the group's website.

A White House spokeswoman said the email contained "general topline talking points" about the unrest spreading throughout the Middle East at the time.

Rhodes' email also made clear the administration's primary goals involved protecting people in the field and bringing to justice those responsible for the attacks, said Bernadette Meehan, spokeswoman for the White House National Security Council.

"Unlike those who insist on politicizing the events in Benghazi, our focus remains on ensuring that a tragedy like this isn't repeated in Libya or anywhere else in the world," Meehan added.

"In our view, these documents only serve to reinforce what we have long been saying: that in the days after September 11, 2012, we were concerned by unrest occurring across the region and that we provided our best assessment of what was happening at the time."

Last year, the White House [illegible] reams of emails related to the controversy that showed the talking points for Rice went through a series of revisions that scrubbed them of references to terror warnings before the deadly attacks.

(Reporting by Jeff Mason. Editing by Andre Grenon)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnxHtUPCQAE33lA.jpg

2014-05-16T15:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467323827504959488

@4thAnon You brought it it up :)

2014-05-16T14:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467316002774974465

@4thAnon @PrisonPlanet So why don't you just FOIA all the 9/11 plans?

2014-05-16T14:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467315566571552769

@4thAnon So you'd be happy reading and voting on thousands of bills per day? You think that would improve the public good?

2014-05-16T14:16:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467307717623701504

@4thAnon So are you really suggesting zero criteria for an individual citizen to submit a bill. Like

"fix my one pothole." ?

2014-05-16T14:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467306592707178496

@4thAnon Shall I introduce a state bill to get a street light fixed by my house? You want to vote on that?

2014-05-16T14:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467306350679040001

@4thAnon What's wrong with the CA system? People introduce bills (albeit with difficulty, but they still do), people vote on them.

2014-05-16T14:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467306156440821761

@4thAnon With no criteria for an individual to submit a bill? You'd get millions of crap bills.

2014-05-16T14:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467305858234195969

@4thAnon Doesn't confuse me. I'm using Tweetdeck on Chrome. Sorry.

2014-05-16T13:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467303064362172418

@4thAnon Obviously we can't vote on a million bills a year. There needs to be some restrictions on proposals. What do you suggest?

2014-05-16T13:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467302237622910977

@4thAnon What process are you suggesting for citizen bills?

2014-05-16T13:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467302095071100929

@4thAnon No, I explained quite clearly that I had not personally put forward a bill. There's a petition process.

2014-05-16T13:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467301601040793601

@4thAnon That's how California legalized medical marijuana and keep out property taxes low.

2014-05-16T13:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467301396388126721

@4thAnon It's all explained here. The people propose laws, the people vote on them. They become law. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/California_ballot_proposition

---

2014-05-16T13:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467301200790966272

@4thAnon It's not hard at all. Like I said I voted in November 2012. Including voting for the GMO food labeling bill.

2014-05-16T13:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467299461320806400

@4thAnon Propositions are bills put up by the public, then the public votes on them. What are you suggesting?

2014-05-16T13:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467298866157453312

@lek_black @KatBoettge @loveacupotea @blazeart_ How about emissions controls on cars? Is that the devil's work? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnwt13XCcAAomLU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnwt13XCcAAomLU.jpg

2014-05-16T13:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467296949767393280

@4thAnon Last voted Nov 2012. And I've not personally put forward a bill. It's necessarily burdensome. http://voterguide.sos.ca.gov/past/2012/general/propositions/

2014-05-16T13:26:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467295104844050434

The Creeping Danger of Conspiracy Theorists http://www.vanityfair.com/online/eichenwald/2013/04/creeping-danger-conspiracy-theorists?mbid=social_retweet via @VanityFair

---

2014-05-16T13:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467294067424907264

@4thAnon I vote on the propositions every election. It's a bit more involved to pt them forward, but quite possible.

2014-05-16T13:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467292997265027072

@4thAnon We do that in California. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/California_ballot_proposition

---

2014-05-16T13:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467292190574510081
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @BlackburnRuth @kurteichenwald @sethmnookin @BostonNakedMan DId I get something wrong?

2014-05-16T13:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467292059666112512
@P01YN0NYM0U55 @kurteichenwald Because there's nothing to talk about. They are just contrails. Nothing unusual.

2014-05-16T13:14:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467291922294263808
@nickmyer Really? And how do they do that? How does your common sense explain that to you?

2014-05-16T00:26:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467098766831386624
@VaccineXchange Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-05-15T20:49:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467044124864954368
@Jeremt007T @420paulthompson @KaiHolloway I think it was my explanation of why some contrails have gaps in them. (uneven humidity)

2014-05-15T20:17:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467036111038996480
@KissKissMuwaaah @RealAlexJones You seemed to be suggesting it was due to "geoengineering"?

2014-05-15T18:28:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467008750092431360
@nickmyer You are just defending a random spot you find yourself in. You are not being honest with yourself. Look around.

2014-05-15T18:27:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467008493052907520
@nickmyer You are rejecting science and reason in favor of feeling. Preferring error riddled sites because they feel better.

2014-05-15T18:26:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467008088017362944
@nickmyer You think millions of scientists don't care about life? No meteorologist in the world cares about life?

2014-05-15T18:24:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/467007625284947968
@nickmyer My motive is to remove bunk to maximise attention on the real issues. This seems to me to be a very reasonable motive.

2014-05-15T18:23:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467007373932908544

@nickmyer They are true believers. Their motives are honest. Their methods are intellectually bankrupt. Riddled with errors.

2014-05-15T18:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467006609789448192

@nickmyer So why are you trusting Dane and Russ then? Why don't you check their claims?

2014-05-15T18:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467006440964517888

@nickmyer If I find an error on my site, I remove it. Dane never removes anything.

2014-05-15T18:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467006295493464064

@nickmyer But they don't remove their errors. They keep repeating them. Like the UV levels, or the KC-10 video. All verifiably wrong.

2014-05-15T18:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467006083580444674

@nickmyer Why do you think that http://geoengineeringwatch.org is full of errors, but there are none it http://contrailscience.com? Check it yourself

---

2014-05-15T18:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467005091170361344

@nickmyer I understand you don't trust me. But think for yourself. Don't let Dane Wiginton or Russ Tanner do it for you. Check their claims.

2014-05-15T18:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467004872986882048

@nickmyer What about when they are flat wrong, like claiming high bypass engines don't make contrails? Does this not give you pause?

2014-05-15T18:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467004742254608384

@nickmyer But you don't think to actually check if their claims are correct?

2014-05-15T18:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467004464813985792

@KissKissMuwaaah @RealAlexJones Erm, we have fires all the time here in California. It's part of the ecosystem. Random.

2014-05-15T18:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467003842643501056

@nickmyer And I have repeatedly explained to you why I debunk. False claims distract from real problems.

2014-05-15T18:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467003653794967553

@nickmyer So you ignore science and history? You ignore ALL the meteorologists? You ignore

facts. You ignore 70 years of books?

2014-05-15T18:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467003225174863872

Perennial problem with debunking. RT @420paulthompson: @MickWest @KaiHolloway You gave me a lie Mick and I don't fucking like it, BLOCKED.

2014-05-15T18:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467002995389890560

@nickmyer Key point: There is no need to try to disprove something for which there is no evidence. Simply point out there is no evidence.

2014-05-15T18:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467002778326269953

@nickmyer Do you have studies proving there are no unicorns? Why don't people study this?

2014-05-15T18:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467002522998018050

@420paulthompson @KaiHolloway I'm sorry, you asked a question, I gave an answer. What's the problem?

2014-05-15T18:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467002390650953728

@nickmyer Aha, so you are operating a basic misconception. You are not going to get anywhere with bad science. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-15T17:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467001005385609216

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo Unfortunate that it looks like it's just part of the Middleton Heat and Air ad above it.

2014-05-15T17:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467000582880784384

@420paulthompson @KaiHolloway So they were most likely four military jets. So what?

2014-05-15T17:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467000428039659520

@nickmyer By the utter lack of evidence. The same way I deduce unicorns are bogus.

2014-05-15T17:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/467000232354381824

@nickmyer I ask again. Aren't you glad you now know that contrails can sometimes persist? Is this spread of knowledge not a good thing?

2014-05-15T17:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466999943148740608

@420paulthompson @KaiHolloway Pics? And how do you know they were not just planes leaving contrails?

2014-05-15T17:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466999821962723328

@420paulthompson Explained here: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnsd3MSCcAAHq4R.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnsd3MSCcAAHq4R.jpg
2014-05-15T17:25:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466992874324652032
@BINGlobalUnrest Old Story, debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/

2014-05-15T17:20:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466991584580345857
@nickmyer Did you ever at any point think "contrails always quickly dissipate"? Is it not good that you've now found that is wrong?

2014-05-15T17:19:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466991237933703168
@nickmyer Why do you care if I'm wasting my time? If you can't find anything I said that was wrong, what's the harm?

2014-05-15T17:18:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466990988552962048
@nickmyer You're getting away from the key point here. Is there a single error on http://Contrailscience.com?

2014-05-15T17:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466987999029235712
@nickmyer Think carefully Nick. Why exactly do YOU believe in "chemtrails"? Have you talked about it with your scientist friends?

2014-05-15T17:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466987644732207104
@nickmyer And lots of people have told me that my efforts have helped them realize the chemtrail issue is bogus.

2014-05-15T17:04:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466987478432247808
@nickmyer I explained. I debunk because bunk distracts from the real issues. Hence it causes harm. By debunking, I do good. I also enjoy it.

2014-05-15T16:48:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466983409898631168
@nickmyer I stand by what I do. Can you find one single error on http://contrailscience.com? Did I get ANYTHING wrong?

2014-05-15T16:47:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466983220538388480
@nickmyer Sure, but "chemtrails" is not one of those issues, because there's no evidence it exists. You have continually failed to show any.

2014-05-15T16:40:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466981418153684992

@lluisahicart Lots. http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-15T16:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466981281931067392

@trutherbot They say it can't be stopped suddenly, unless carbon emissions are also reduced. You'd have to phase it in and out gradually.

2014-05-15T16:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466980367182729216

@nickmyer Because I think my time is better spent on removing false distractions. Plenty of people cover the other issues.

2014-05-15T16:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466979752599752704

@nickmyer It would be if it were true. Real issues are money in politics, prisons, financial regulation, interventionist foreign policy.

2014-05-15T15:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466971131375669248

@blondenfun1 @HartReikiCURES Does she present any evidence?

2014-05-15T15:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466971022101458944

@WickChris Those contrails are a lot higher, so they are ice clouds, like cirrus. The "normal" clouds are cumulus, liquid water droplets.

2014-05-15T15:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466970702638088192

@nickmyer I don't chose to disprove anything. I expose falsehoods where i see them, because they distract from the real issues.

2014-05-15T15:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466956190908301312

RT @TheWeek: Climate science is not, in fact, debunked by personal attacks on climate scientists, @ryanlcooper notes: http://bit.ly/T6kZT7

2014-05-15T14:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466955686392238080

@KaiHolloway No. Not interesting. Generally they find perfectly normal levels, and then claim the levels should be zero. They are wrong.

2014-05-15T14:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466955520872419329

@simonconroy @Hsaive @martinhume @CosmUnity @seti2342 It's all relative.

2014-05-15T14:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466955337577136130

@nickmyer But not enough evidence to make a convincing case? Like, no photos of spraying? No chemical tests? No whistleblowers?

2014-05-15T14:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466955101429452800

@nickmyer Why is that dubious? Don't you devote your time to disproving "the official story"?

2014-05-15T01:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466751979432071168

HAARP is being permanently dismantled this summer due to lack of funding.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-is-being-permanently-dismantled.3641/

2014-05-15T01:01:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466745135858847744

@wrkn4gr8rgood They are horizontal, they are just flying overhead.

2014-05-15T00:08:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466731687964323841

@THE_REAL_DESPO @WickChris No they don't. They say it's just contrails, which is exactly what it looks like.

2014-05-15T00:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466730307027087360

@BlackburnRuth @WickChris I have read the data in great depth. For example:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rainwater-samples-from-alachua-county-florida-test-positive-for-aluminum.313/ (and many more)

2014-05-14T23:47:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466726608426901505

@BlackburnRuth @WickChris Perhaps you could just point out where Evergreen admitted to chemtrail contracts?

2014-05-14T23:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466726310816268288

@4thAnon I have investigated. I've got a whole sub-forum.
https://www.metabunk.org/forums/sandy-hook.24/ Feel free to raise points there.

2014-05-14T22:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466712864452255744

@WickChris No they didn't. They listed weather modification as a potential use of their supertanker, which has now been discontinued.

2014-05-14T22:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466706186524696577

@ZheRytsel @EvillySexyBitch @martinhume Perspective and lighting, that's how. It's an aerodynamic contrail.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-05-14T22:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466705848501153793

@KaiHolloway Essentially, yes. But you can check 70 years of books on clouds. That was just one of them. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-14T22:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466705482481414145

@nickmyer WMI is real, but how has it been established that the cloud cover is deliberate? Not just regular persistent contrails?

2014-05-14T18:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466648494837469185

@IDoubtIt Aha. I have a whole Sandy Hook sub-forum. But I have very little patience with them. Hard to be polite. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/sandy-hook.24/

2014-05-14T18:27:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466646029363052544

@nickmyer Not really. Weather is determined by immense masses of air that move due to the rotation of the earth and the heating of the sun.

2014-05-14T18:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466644765824794624

@Carlos_S01 @martinhume I'm not denying any of that. What did I deny? Needs a bit of context though.

2014-05-14T18:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466643177479630849

@MarkTwainRocks @jace3312 @FloridaSkyWatch @FmasSion That's twice as many as "unicorns"!!!

2014-05-14T18:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466642277209997312

@selinasorrels Can you quote what the Germans said? Did they REALLY admit that? Or are you being hoaxed?

2014-05-14T18:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466641846341758977

@Carlos_S01 @martinhume So? They did not spray on people, and it was nothing at all like what people call "chemtrails". Off topic.

2014-05-14T18:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466641477968613378

@4thAnon Halbig asks questions but ignores answers. You can discuss it here https://www.metabunk.org/forums/sandy-hook.24/

2014-05-14T18:05:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466640484849311744

@4thAnon Why the heck would I just pick a random story and try to "debunk" it? I debunk claims of evidence that I see are wrong.

2014-05-14T16:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466617262179250176

@nickmyer Thousands of things meet that description. Cloud seeding has never been a secret, it's regulated, and it has very lett effect.

2014-05-14T16:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466611579765948420

@IDoubtIt Unfortunately they are even going to Newtown school board meetings now "just asking questions".

http://news.discovery.com/human/psychology/newtown-school-board-shuts-down-conspiracy-theorists-140511.htm

---

2014-05-14T14:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466589543911526400

@KaiHolloway It's because they are actually just contrails, and their persistence depends on the weather. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnmot2uIEAA6j_7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnmot2uIEAA6j_7.jpg

2014-05-14T14:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466589324083875841

@charlesfrith Why not just call them persistent contrails, as that's what they look like?

2014-05-14T14:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466588922660020224

@nickmyer Cloud seeding is neither secret nor a crime. But where is the "footage" of "chemtrails"?

2014-05-14T14:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466588720351965184

@Maddog45281 @NewsCityBuzz If that's a fact then why do ALL the books on clouds for 70 years disagree? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-14T14:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466588571395436545

@Carlos_S01 Malaysian cloud seeding, not a secret. explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photos-of-spraying-out-the-back-of-a-cargo-plane-malaysian-cloud-seeding-from-c-130.3637/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnmn1R1IUAA-WQ1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnmn1R1IUAA-WQ1.jpg

2014-05-13T23:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466363109138235392

@4thAnon No they didn't

... oh, whoops!

2014-05-13T23:42:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466362998828072960

@4thAnon Which look just like a couple of birds flying along the road.

2014-05-13T23:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466359347921297408

@4thAnon Some of it will, partly for spin, but partly because some of it will be misogynist.

2014-05-13T23:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466358763373740035

It's 90Â°F outside in Venice today! It's normally 74Â°F. This proves global warming is real!

2014-05-13T23:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466358171368689664

@justabric @Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @chliberty @Fiery__ My problem is claims without good evidence. So I debunk them.

2014-05-13T23:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466357958889463809

@4thAnon @PrisonPlanet And what does RT say?

2014-05-13T22:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466351625393954816

@justabric @Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @chliberty @Fiery__ Pick one piece of "evidence" from that video you think is correct.

2014-05-13T22:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466351523036160000

@justabric @Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @chliberty @Fiery__ Notice the image that video has in the preview? Bogus: http://www.nasa.gov/centers/dryden/about/Organizations/Technology/Facts/TF-2004-14-DFRC.html

---

2014-05-13T22:33:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466345549676175362

@PrisonPlanet @4thAnon They are doing a poor job of covering it up by releasing reams of emails :)

2014-05-13T22:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466344535816413185

@4thAnon Personally I'm fine with tap water :) Worked fine so far.

2014-05-13T22:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466340017208840192

@chliberty @duncanthementat What does this have to do with contrails?

2014-05-13T22:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466338965629710336

@chliberty @duncanthementat Corporations are bad, fine. But let's look at science.

2014-05-13T22:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466338902023094272

@chliberty @duncanthementat But what about persistent contrails? Why do you think they should not persist?

2014-05-13T22:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466338777473249281

@duncanthementat @chliberty 07, when I started taking photos of contrails. I don't remember seeing them before. Yet they are in old photos.

2014-05-13T22:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466338372777426945

@duncanthementat @chliberty I don't remember persistent contrails, which look exactly like what Lap Dog is talking about.

2014-05-13T22:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466337435010760706

@4thAnon I think that's pretty much all of them?

2014-05-13T22:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466337268710780928

@duncanthementat @chliberty So what are you saying about 70 years of books that say contrails can sometimes persist?

2014-05-13T22:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466337147185016832

@chliberty @duncanthementat I don't remember them before 2007. And yet they appear in photos I took in 2001. What's most likely here?

2014-05-13T21:58:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466336661195210752

@wxmodify Of course it's not natural. It's a bunch of airplane contrails. Like these: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnjCuLEIMAEu8m9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnjCuLEIMAEu8m9.jpg

2014-05-13T21:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466336381573541890

@duncanthementat @chliberty So you think they put fake descriptions in the books 70 years ago, but only just started doing the "chemtrails"?

2014-05-13T21:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466334178783809537

@chliberty @duncanthementat So they could grow crops in more acidic (existing) soils. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.341/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnjAdsgIMAEnY92.png

---



Figure 1. World acid soils. Areas of predominance are highlighted in color.
Source: von Uexkull and Mutert (1995).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnjAdsgIMAEnY92.png
2014-05-13T21:46:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466333655674413056
@duncanthementat @chliberty They were at slightly different altitudes. See:
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-05-13T21:45:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466333369572548609
@chliberty @duncanthementat Programming us, by putting persistent contrails in books for 70 years?

2014-05-13T21:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466330877057060864
@chliberty @duncanthementat But every single book on clouds ever agrees that contrails can persist and spread. Do you disagree? Why?

2014-05-13T21:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466330614237777920
@duncanthementat @chliberty I think the topic here is contrails though. They persist. They turn into clouds. That's my point.

2014-05-13T21:32:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466330136800141313
@chliberty No, Metabunk is my site. I run it as a hobby. I'm a retired video game programmer. Nobody pays me.

2014-05-13T21:23:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466327884739936256
Small Jet flies in big jet's contrail. A bumpy ride:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TtcUqIWmTBM

2014-05-13T21:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466326631246995456

@chliberty Here's a bunch of contrails from before 1995:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/ e.g.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni5mTHCEAAimM3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni5mTHCEAAimM3.jpg

2014-05-13T21:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466326181353377792

Contrails looked the same in WWII, there are more planes now. But sometimes in WWII there were lots @chliberty http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni5MFtCEAAZ7mT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni5MFtCEAAZ7mT.jpg

2014-05-13T21:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466325520637255680

Contrails turned into clouds, in 1921 @chliberty

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni4lrACMAA42iy.jpg

CLOUD FORMATION BY SUPERCHARGED PLANE.[1]

[Reprinted from *U. S. Air Service*, July, 1921, p. 13.]

An altitude flight was made in the morning at McCook Field [Dayton, Ohio] recently, by Lieut. J. A. Macready in a La Pere with supercharged Liberty. When the airplane reached a height of 26,000–27,000 feet at 11:50 a. m., a long feathery white streamer was observed forming behind a rapidly moving dark speck. The cloud was of the cirrus variety, well defined at its edges and apparently 10 to 15 times the width of the plane. The sky behind the first portion was clear blue with no other clouds in the near neighborhood. The first streamer seemed perhaps 2 miles long. Then a gap of one-quarter mile. The second streamer formed with a background of light cirrus cloud and after 2 or 3 miles the plane seemed to go into the cirrus background, for the streamer formation ceased while an apparent path of blue continued beyond for a way in the cirrus cloud. The whole streamer may have been 3 miles long. After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds visible. The weather conditions at the time were generally very clear, warm, with perhaps 0.1 of the sky in cirrus clouds.

* * *

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bni4lrACMAA42iy.jpg

2014-05-13T21:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466324789200945152

@chliberty (weather conditions at altitude permitting, of course)

2014-05-13T21:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466324715381215232

@chliberty Contrails turn into clouds. In fact there's accounts of them doing it back to 1921.

2014-05-13T21:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466324427488370688

@chliberty They do that sometimes. Contrails I mean. Have you seen this?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-13T21:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466322720532471808

@chliberty How do you know they are not persistent contrails?

2014-05-13T21:00:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466322087490383872

@chliberty @Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @Fiery__ No, really, there;s no evidence. See: http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-13T20:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466316285614108672

@4thAnon Compressed air as a limited energy storage mechanism. Been an idea since the 1920s http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Compressed_air_car

---

2014-05-13T20:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466309248138231808

Some perspective on cliimate change debates https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cjuGCJJUGsg @Carbongate

---

2014-05-13T20:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466308710545899521

@nickmyer That would certainly be very interesting. Is there any evidence that is happening?

2014-05-13T20:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466308537065283584

@Carbongate technically no. But if you are against 97% of climate scientists, you might want to check what they are saying.

2014-05-13T20:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466308259255570433

@nickmyer So why is there none?

2014-05-13T19:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466303282944933888

@Carbongate Not according to the vast majority of climate scientists. But even if it did, would it not be better if we kept it constant?

2014-05-13T19:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466302664796434432

@nickmyer What have they been missing? And why would they be interested?

2014-05-13T19:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466302394486104064

@Carbongate So would it not be immensely useful to be able to regulate the solar constant in a safe and easily reversible manner?

2014-05-13T19:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466302097030279168

@KenCaldeira 30 years for the US! There's your problem.

2014-05-13T19:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466301569189691393

@brainpicker Nothing wrong with creating debunkers. It's creating assholes that we need to avoid. One can be a nice polite debunker.

2014-05-13T19:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466301065567023104

@Carbongate Like Pinatubo was? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniiWRMCEAAaMUS.png

---



Figure 1 Comparison of modeled (black line) and observed (blue) impact of a major volcanic eruption on the temperature of the lower atmosphere. The eruption of Mt. Pinatubo in the Philippines, during June 1991, injected vast amounts of sulfur dioxide directly into the stratosphere. The gas quickly transformed into sulfuric acid particles that enshrouded the Earth and blocked part of the incoming solar radiation. The apparent effect is a drop of about 0.6°C in the globally-averaged temperature, lasting about two years. From J. Hansen *et al.*, in National Geographic Research and Exploration, vol 9, no 2, pp 142-158, 1993.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniiWRMCEAAaMUS.png

2014-05-13T19:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466300777053433856

@Carbongate Has the globe stopped warming forever?

2014-05-13T19:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466300627157393409

@Carbongate It seems highly relevant both to your Twitter name, and your degree. It's also an important geopolitical topic

---

2014-05-13T19:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466300216857997313

@Carbongate Has the climate stopped changing forever?

2014-05-13T19:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466299988423622656

@Carbongate So you understand the topic, and can discuss it properly - regardless of your personal opinions.

2014-05-13T19:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466299777697595392

@Carbongate There should be a healthy, open, and honest debate about the topic. Can't just put your head in the sand.

2014-05-13T19:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466299570448646144

@Carbongate What's insane about considering your options? Have you read David Keith's book?

2014-05-13T19:30:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466299354806902784
@IDoubtIt Anything other than ignoring him is granting him some small victory. Don't waste any time on trolls.

2014-05-13T19:28:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466298926413258752
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ But nobody is doing it. They are advocating research, just in case.

2014-05-13T19:27:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466298690387197952
@Carbongate You have no comment on the KC-10 hoax video? That's the standard of most "chemrail" evidence.

2014-05-13T19:25:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466298183211954177
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ No. Cautious contingency plans. But the point here is that it's in the future.

2014-05-13T19:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466297167502516224
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ They have not even determined if it is a good idea yet. Why would they spray?

2014-05-13T19:20:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466297021175832576
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ They are convinced there's a need to research it in case it it needed later.

2014-05-13T19:18:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466296427849605120
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ Original and other videos here: https://www.youtube.com/user/USAFFEKC10A

2014-05-13T19:17:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466296113985638400
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ It is a KC-10 leaving aerodynamic contrails.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-and-flap-track-fairings-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2014-05-13T18:59:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466291637941858305
@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ Not really. But what do you think? What evidence is there of geoengineering?

2014-05-13T18:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/466290917490425857

@Carbongate @twawki @HORMITEK @justabric @chliberty @Fiery__ Possible future geoengineering. Yes. No evidence anyone is doing it now.

2014-05-13T18:55:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466290661453344769

Cloud seeding, Popular Mechanics, 1949.

http://books.google.com/books?id=w9gDAAAAMBAJ&lpg=PA130&ots=p4S0JomKzq&dq=popular%20mechanics%20cloud%20seeding&pg=PA130#v=onepage&q&f=false @nickmyer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniY4qLCcAABrJW.jpg



In double-seeding method, one plane dumps Dry Ice from above while another sends up silver iodide from below

Want to Buy Some Rain?

By Irving P. Krick

American Institute of Aerological Research

as told to

Thomas E. Stimson, Jr.



DR. KRICK, president of the American Institute of Aerological Research, for 17 years was head of the meteorology department of California Institute of Technology. Among other duties during the war he served as Chief of the Weather Information Section of the U. S. Strategic Air Forces. At present he operates a meteorological consulting service for clients throughout the United States

ARE MODERN rain-making techniques any good?

No! The Air Force and the Weather Bureau speak. The two agencies declare that they got negative results in numerous attempts to stimulate rainfall over an 8 by 20-mile test area in Ohio last year.

Yes! This is the equally positive answer by a number of other investigators.

The latest and perhaps most authoritative confirmation is supplied by a project conducted under the auspices of the Arizona Weather Research Foundation. The results indicate that during one month last summer, cloud-seeding operations over the Salt River, Verde River and Tonto Creek watersheds placed 12,000 acre-feet of water in storage in excess of the amount that would have been produced naturally by existing atmospheric conditions.

The difference between the Ohio and the

Copyrighted mate

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniY4qLCcAABrJW.jpg

2014-05-13T18:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466289947142402049

@nickmyer Nobody ever said cloud seeding was not real. That's the big misunderstanding here.

2014-05-13T18:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466289380382887936

@Carlos_S01 Airplanes have emissions like any hydrocarbon burning engine. Mostly CO2 and

water. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniXuGoCEAA74rT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BniXuGoCEAA74rT.jpg

2014-05-13T18:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466289030418558976

@nickmyer Is it possible it could be done without leaving any evidence?

2014-05-13T17:18:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466266368032010240

@AreYouReady2011 No debate because there's no evidence.

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-13T17:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466266240390930433

@Carlos_S01 There's no debate, because most chemtrail arguments are actually false.

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-13T17:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466266116960968704

@twawki Persistent contrails from normal air traffic?

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ @HORMITEK @justabric @Carbongate @chliberty @Fiery__

2014-05-13T17:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466265837402210304

@4thAnon Because most chemtrail arguments are actually false.

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ @DocRadCliffe7 @420paulthompson @AdviceTakenn

---

2014-05-13T17:16:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466265729231118336

@Maddog45281 Because the majority of chemtrail arguments are actually false.

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ @NewsCityBuzz

2014-05-13T17:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466263392559169536

@davidicke Why both debating? Don't you want to convince the general public? You don't debate because you have no actual evidence.

2014-05-13T17:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466262997355081728

@beforeitsnews You mean "former student repeats claims about chemtrails"?

2014-05-13T17:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466262872054444032

@martinhume You mean by redefining "crop dusting" and "cloud seeding" as "chemtrails"??? Hardly seems like a "win" - more semantics.

2014-05-13T16:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466256526567407617

Debunked: Photos of Spraying out the Back of a Cargo Plane

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photos-of-spraying-out-the-back-of-a-cargo-plane-malaysian-cloud-seeding-from-c-130.3637/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnh51w4CAAAog6h.jpg

---



RAINMAKER: A Royal Malaysian Air Force personnel adjusting one of the tubes for the cloud-seeding operation over Sumatra yesterday. — STARpic by ART CHEN

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bnh51w4CAAAog6h.jpg

2014-05-13T14:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466224213993332737

@SpeakingOut59 @ScarletRose4488 @birrdytalk And please spend some time on rebuttals:

http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-13T14:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466224011446210560

@ConspiracyWATCH @elmergooch @norwind @lisajennelle Please consider the rebuttals also. http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2014-05-13T13:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466214387045257216

@4thAnon "Former PhD Student" and "Academic"are not the same thing. If you use argument from authority, you should check credentials.

2014-05-13T00:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/466012604926668800

@Noahpinion Many people label carbon removal as a form of geoengineering. It's just not *solar* geoengineering.

2014-05-12T23:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465992054766460928

@Lee_galize People saying something is proven is not the same thing as actual evidence. Where is this evidence?

2014-05-12T21:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465969365624438785

@martinhume Possible future geoengineering. Good document, you should read it.

2014-05-12T21:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465967670903312384

Just booked for The Amazing Meeting in Vegas #TAM2014

2014-05-12T20:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465958174638354432

@JPMajor @elakdawalla But then they are all "OMG how are you typing on my computer!!!11"

2014-05-12T20:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465957628426739712

@elakdawalla We need to teach more googling skills at school. Give a man an answer and he'll ask for more. Teach a man to google ...

2014-05-12T20:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465956469519572992

@JasonBermas I'm not being condescending. You said you were "still waiting to hear". I explained a possible reason why.

2014-05-12T20:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465952428756463616

@JasonBermas But the same point applies. Lack of interest does not imply correctness. Just that it's not interesting.

2014-05-12T20:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465951259350949888

@JasonBermas You know people not paying attention to you does not necessarily mean you are right :)

2014-05-12T19:45:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465940785360150529

@TheRealNews365 How do you know they are not just regular persistent contrails like we see frequently?

2014-05-12T18:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465924052771557377

@MichaelAlexisB Sorry, but if they are "proven" disinfo, can you point out something they got wrong?

2014-05-12T18:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465923720263909376

@LeeCamp I'm in favor of a minimum wage increase. But this image is bogus, as the CPI increased from 161 to 230, or 43%. Not 1000%

2014-05-12T15:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465877293441638400

So chemtrail promoters realize they lack evidence, so have started to fake photos and whistleblowers:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-tom-f-balauras-rcaf-chemtrail-whistleblower.3628/

2014-05-12T15:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465876666892300288

@lluisahicart That's just Malaysian cloud seeding. Uses salt water.

http://www.nst.com.my/latest/cloud-seeding-operation-continues-1.497720

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BncgW_-CMAAyk50.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BncgW_-CMAAyk50.jpg

2014-05-12T15:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465876027185459200

@justabric Which bit of claimed evidence in that do you think is credible?

2014-05-12T15:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465875839045750785

@justabric Some unsubstantiated reports by a chemtrail promoter from 12 years ago? What's to see?

2014-05-12T15:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465875500569595904

@Hsaive @simonconroy @martinhume @CosmUnity @seti2342 "Clear blue" has nothing to do with it. It's all about humidity and temperature.

2014-05-12T03:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465701592730058752

@justabric Not true. See: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnaBIXCCIAIHITN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnaBIXCCIAIHITN.jpg

2014-05-12T02:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465673933820137472

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues @martinhume @zoranzoric3 What is your point?

2014-05-11T18:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465552536590495744

@timblizz @4thAnon Yeah, but not everyone realizes that.

2014-05-11T18:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465552343258234880

@iain39 I'm not sure that's even a contrail. Looks like cirrus vertebratus

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnX5Y2QCYAAzZGt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnX5Y2QCYAAzZGt.jpg
2014-05-11T17:59:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/465551896791363584
@karmaboost That's just a photo of cloud seeding with salt water in Malaysia: http://www.nst.com.my/latest/cloud-seeding-operation-continues-1.497720

2014-05-11T17:58:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/465551459228983297
@4thAnon You made the claim that I dismiss even the most probably conspiracy. Where have I "dismissed" all JFK conspiracies?

2014-05-11T05:36:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/465364894733172736
@4thAnon @MickWest @timblizz really? Where did I "dismiss" anything? My problem is one of communication.

2014-05-11T05:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/465359630168649729
@timblizz @4thAnon Nobody pays me anything. And If you think I lie, then point out the lie, and explain how you know it's a lie.

2014-05-11T01:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465306495291518977

@PRODIGYMOBBDEEP Why wouldn't it be simulating passenger weight?

2014-05-11T00:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465287326302621696

@davebrooker322 @phdamodei @zoranzoric3 @WhiteSkyBlues @martinhume Those are cumulus clouds, and contrails, different altitudes.

2014-05-10T19:28:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465211671141560321

@phdamodei @martinhume @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 Didn't you read it. It says "aerial fire suppression". Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnTDjJECQAAauNc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnTDjJECQAAauNc.jpg

2014-05-10T15:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/465151305011302400

Just got back from Peru, where there were NO contrails!!! Waste of a trip!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnSMpY4CAAAFTBC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BnSMpY4CAAAFTBC.jpg

2014-05-08T23:15:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/464544023597027328

@2ndfor1st @rezn8d it's discussed in the mitigation post, and mentioned in other discussions, and Metabunk. http://contrailscience.com/contrail-avoidance-and-mitigation-techniques/

2014-05-08T23:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/464542613086171136

@4thAnon Now I have to add the Australian Secret Service to my list of paymasters :)

2014-05-06T20:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/463779955474591744

@JPMajor @Peter_Fries I'm AFK but I recommend the Skydentify forum on Metabunk of this kind of thing.

2014-05-05T22:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/463446168677396480

@DomNoble_com the geoengineering researchers describe their models. They do not use experimental SRM data. Look them up, google scholar.

2014-05-02T01:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/462047538996998144

@jaybrice Nobody said it did. I'm just debunking this video. This video does not show "chemtrails". That's all.

2014-05-02T00:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/462026690277810176

@Letti4U @morgfair @kdvr I blame video games!!!!

... oh... wait... what?

2014-05-01T20:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461971053993295873

@LauraE402 Well, just keep an open mind. Good luck.

2014-05-01T19:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461952273170444289

@LauraE402 That page is the "GIFTS" page on the WITTS home page. Third link on the top here: http://www.witts.ws/

2014-05-01T18:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461940712028651520

@BlackburnRuth Have you ever read a book on clouds that says contrails never persist? Why not?

2014-05-01T18:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461938466687680512

@LauraE402 FTW/Hopegirl is closely associated with WITTS (the "spooky" page). See: https://www.google.com/search?q=WITTS+hopegirl

---

2014-05-01T18:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461931824084561920

@BlackburnRuth @nickmyer 70 years of books on clouds say otherwise: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-05-01T18:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461930460046557184

@BlackburnRuth @nickmyer Video appears to show contrails. What's the visible difference?

2014-05-01T18:07:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461930001869180930

@LauraE402 Can you seriously read this page, and not be a little bit suspicious? http://www.enlightenedtechnology.org/?page_id=1241

2014-05-01T18:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461929558166339585

@LauraE402 Nothing. I would LOVE it to be true. I simply see zero evidence that it is, and a lot to suggest it is a scam.

2014-05-01T18:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461928477302611968

@LauraE402 What evidence have you actually seen that indicate it works? And why have you not seen a proper demo?

2014-05-01T18:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461928259324616705

@LauraE402 Likewise, it is trivially easy to demonstrate this works. Just put it on a glass table, let it run for 1hr, allow inspection.

2014-05-01T18:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461928034589618177

@LauraE402 But it's trivially easy to demonstrate it is not flat with a couple of people, some sticks, and two cell phones.

2014-05-01T17:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461926339826970625

@LauraE402 What's the longest you've seen it running unplugged, in person?

2014-05-01T17:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461926234096562176

@LauraE402 I'm going by the utter lack of evidence for it working. What's to research if there's zero evidence?

2014-05-01T17:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461925162053414912

@LauraE402 But that's how the scam works. The plans are free anyway, they charge for "consulting".

2014-05-01T17:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461919385024593921

@LauraE402 True, however they have to invest much time and money in initial build - that sucks them into paying the consulting fee on top.

2014-05-01T16:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461911810233212930

@BlackburnRuth Air traffic has to criss-cross at some points. They can't all fly in the same direction. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmkKV8yCcAE3FOD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmkKV8yCcAE3FOD.jpg

2014-05-01T16:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461908676685463552

@BlackburnRuth My point is it's not getting whiter every day. It's variable. It's the weather

(combined with air traffic)

2014-05-01T16:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461904660178034688

@BlackburnRuth If every day is whiter, then why is it clear blue some days?

2014-05-01T16:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461902778634215424

@LauraE402 If it will work, then why doesn't it? Nobody independent has demonstrated it running unplugged for an hour, ever.

2014-05-01T16:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461898866669780992

@LauraE402 Sure. But don't let your optimism force to you accept any new idea unquestioningly. Don't get taken advantage of. Don't pay them.

2014-05-01T16:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461898477057097729

@LauraE402 Consider - if it worked, then why is nobody in the world using it? Why is a visit to WITTS $200,000? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmj-N2dCQAAezxC.jpg

---



#LABTOURDEMO – LAB TOUR & OVER-UNITY DEMOS Suggested min. donation, $200K USD **(PER PERSON)**

It is highly necessary that you've had a few consultations and you have a deal in place before you have any live demo's. There is a lot of work that goes into tuning in the demo machines (a team of 12 highly skilled engineers up to 6 months to tune in.) Due to thefts in the past WE DO NOT KEEP THE DEMO MACHINES TUNED IN.

For those reasons, the suggested minimum donation amount may seem high to some people. (These are usually people who have no idea what real scientists and engineers earn.) However, if you count the down time for the whole lab, every time we do a demo and the tuning in time, the amounts are actually a real bargain.

Suggested minimum donation $200k per person. At least 3 Skype consultations are required before setting up a Lab Tour (see item **#WSOHC** above.)

Covenant Partners of this ministry or an equivalent ministry for one year or more receive 50% off the suggested amount per person. Please allow 6 months lead-time for a standard over-unity demo and lab tour.)

Each person attending, should be a covenant partner for at least those 6 months.

-Please Note: minimum suggested donation amounts are NOT negotiable.

-The demonstrations are all done on glass tables (or in other ways easy to see above, underneath, in back of, and on all sides,) and are therefore very real, and easy to see there are no tricks.

-Demos are very obvious and very convincing.

-Everyone who has seen our demos has been thoroughly convinced! (This donation amount is **PER PERSON.**)

$200,000. Donation. Lab Tour and Over Unity Demos Gift – #LABTOURDEMO

Donate

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmj-N2dCQAAezxC.jpg

2014-05-01T15:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461897742432735232

@LauraE402 Laura. I strongly suspect that it's a scam. $300/hr "consulting" fees is a strong clue here. It simply doesn't work.

2014-05-01T15:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461897360688168960

@smoelle @Marcotti @dhm Reminds me of the Miracle of Fatima. Lots of people, rapidly evolving eyewitness accounts. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Miracle_of_the_Sun

---

2014-05-01T15:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461896449760821250

@OliverNapp @HuffPostUK @KhabirM @narendrapjoshi @brianfromnj Looking like an aircraft actually makes it seem MORE fake. Too good to be true

---

2014-05-01T15:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461896158294855681

@Valkyrie_77 @4thAnon well, perhaps an ".... in idle Twitter" qualifier should have been added :)

2014-05-01T15:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461895277910032384

@LauraE402 So why can't anyone actually demonstrate it running on a glass table, with no wires, for an hour?

2014-05-01T14:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461871790521061376

MH370 Preliminary report files released today: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-preliminary-report-released-full-text-and-files.3580/ #MH370 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmjl8hZCAAAkQSV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmjl8hZCAAAkQSV.jpg

2014-05-01T05:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461739185464803329

@MarkDice They are fining him under NBA rules which he agreed to. Like Cuban, $500K. Have you never criticised a ref?

http://sportsillustrated.cnn.com/basketball/news/2002/01/08/cuban_fined_ap/

2014-05-01T05:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461736403584307200

Free energy! Only $200,000 per person! http://archive.today/VQ1I5

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmhqz-UCMAA5SW9.jpg



#LABTOURDEMO – LAB TOUR & OVER-UNITY DEMOS — Suggested min. donation, $200K USD **(PER PERSON)**

It is highly necessary that you've had a few consultations and you have a deal in place before you have any live demo's. There is a lot of work that goes into tuning in the demo machines (a team of 12 highly skilled engineers up to 6 months to tune in.) Due to thefts in the past WE DO NOT KEEP THE DEMO MACHINES TUNED IN.

For those reasons, the suggested minimum donation amount may seem high to some people. (These are usually people who have no idea what real scientists and engineers earn.) However, if you count the down time for the whole lab, every time we do a demo and the tuning in time, the amounts are actually a real bargain.

Suggested minimum donation $200k per person. At least 3 Skype consultations are required before setting up a Lab Tour (see item **#WSOHC** above.)

Covenant Partners of this ministry or an equivalent ministry for one year or more receive 50% off the suggested amount per person. Please allow 6 months lead-time for a standard over-unity demo and lab tour.)

Each person attending, should be a covenant partner for at least those 6 months.

-Please Note: minimum suggested donation amounts are NOT negotiable.

-The demonstrations are all done on glass tables (or in other ways easy to see above, underneath, in back of, and on all sides,) and are therefore very real, and easy to see there are no tricks.

-Demos are very obvious and very convincing.

-Everyone who has seen our demos has been thoroughly convinced! (This donation amount is **PER PERSON.**)

$200,000. Donation. Lab Tour and Over Unity Demos Gift – #LABTOURDEMO

Donate

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmhqz-UCMAA5SW9.jpg

2014-05-01T04:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461726386986573824

@4thAnon Everything done in good faith is good :)

2014-05-01T03:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461707485464637440

@4thAnon it's neutral, but often not very constructive. Look it up.

2014-05-01T03:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461704713235202048

@4thAnon you getting epistemological? All questions can technically be answered "maybe".

2014-05-01T01:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461674876827205632

@4thAnon Nothing is absolutely certain - we could be living in the Matrix. But I know it with a very high degree of certainty.

2014-05-01T01:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461671509199577089

@smoelle @Marcotti @dhm Pics, or it didn't happen :)

2014-05-01T00:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461671043845722112

@4thAnon No.

2014-05-01T00:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461659993909899264

Group demonstrating free energy machine fails to notice it's still plugged in. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-quantum-energy-generator-qeg-10kw-out-for-1kw-in.3572/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmglUUECMAIQuug.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmglUUECMAIQuug.jpg

2014-04-30T23:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461651083211730945

@imattah21 @SamADahl @malaysiakini I agree with them in this case. I'm not trusting them, I'm saying they say the same thing as me and NASA

2014-04-30T21:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461616100438839296

@SamADahl @malaysiakini @imattah21 You might as well call in the psychic hotline if you follow leads like this. It's a waste of time.

2014-04-30T21:08:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461613032334831616

@HuffPostUK Looks bogus to me, and NASA and CNN agree:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/ @KhabirM @narendrapjoshi @OliverNapp @brianfromnj

---

2014-04-30T21:08:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461612988630175744
@ATHE1STP0WER Looks bogus to me, and NASA and CNN agree:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/

2014-04-30T21:05:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461612441856532480
@SamADahl @malaysiakini Looks bogus to me, and NASA and CNN agree:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/ @imattah21

2014-04-30T21:05:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461612257890148352
@ManvBrain Looks bogus to me, and NASA and CNN agree:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/ @vapingcookie @Maddad696

2014-04-30T20:58:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461610611483242496
Georesonance MH370 Bay of Bengal claims debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmf4Z4yCYAEsKkg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmf4Z4yCYAEsKkg.jpg
2014-04-30T20:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461598776155574272

@CBSNews Their technology appears to be essentially dowsing. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dubious-claim-exploration-company-georesonance-believes-it-may-have-found-mh370.3558/ @19ActionNews @charlesadler @JohnBoscoCole @GStylFire

---

2014-04-30T19:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461592685581582336

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport If it was "bullshit disinfo", then some really should call me on it. What exactly is wrong?

2014-04-30T19:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461588755514949633

@Chemtrails_818 Only from that viewpoint. So it's more like "contrails nearby".

2014-04-30T19:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461585452609183744

@DomNoble_com They were talking about the future. Computer model. "Theoretical potential geoengineering solutions" http://www.see.ed.ac.uk/~shs/Climate%20change/Climate%20model%20results/Climate%20impacts%20of%20geoengineering%20marine%20stratocumulus%20clouds.htm

---

2014-04-30T19:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461584757973729280

@jace3312 @GameBreakersCan The deciding factors are just temperature and humidity. Not altitude or type of engine. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmfg4_sCEAArQFn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmfg4_sCEAArQFn.jpg

2014-04-30T17:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461560134674558977

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport Discussed here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rosalind-peterson-leaker-addressing-un-about-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.3514/

2014-04-30T16:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461550038351495169

@FloridaSkyWatch @blazeart_ @lek_black 22Â° Halo. It's literally impossible to make that with anything other than ice crystals.

2014-04-30T16:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461549430274273280

@matthetube @IDoubtIt And I was just debunking the Loch Ness Monster, stadium ghost, and a Dutch UFO last week, so I can't complain :)

2014-04-30T16:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461548797274112000

@matthetube @IDoubtIt I do think BFS has a practical benefit beyond making people less scared. It also exposes them to questioning claims.

2014-04-30T15:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461524506868473856

@matthetube @IDoubtIt Well, except for geometry being incredibly useful, for bridges, computers, space travel, etc.

2014-04-30T05:45:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461380782095745024

@INSnewsUS I look out side all the time. I'm in Los Angeles. But what have I said that is wrong. Start a thread on http://metabunk.org.

2014-04-30T05:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461380211662004224

@INSnewsUS Anyway, bedtime for me. But feel free to post your concerns on http://metabunk.org - specific corrections appreciated.

2014-04-30T05:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461379476429893635

@INSnewsUS But can you point out a single thing I said that was factually wrong?

2014-04-30T05:39:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461379384562036736

@INSnewsUS Considering you called me "an evil piece of shit". should I be slow to be defensive? An extreme attack deserves a response.

2014-04-30T05:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461379004730052608

@INSnewsUS Look at the actual facts. Contrails can persist. 70 years of books agree. It's not a guy on the internet. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-30T05:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461378743953408000

@INSnewsUS It is not my job. You have no evidence that this is my job. So you are simply

believing what some person on the internet said.

2014-04-30T05:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461375767960506368

@INSnewsUS I know what you just said. Beyond that it's unclear. You think I'm a POS. But why, exactly?

2014-04-30T05:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461375496153231360

@INSnewsUS Consider: Is there anything I said that you can demonstrate is factually wrong? Like, say, in this video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-30T05:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461375160046850048

@INSnewsUS And you know this because someone on the internet told you?

2014-04-30T05:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461374287359016961

@INSnewsUS So I was wondering what prompted it?

2014-04-30T05:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461374109134651392

@INSnewsUS No actually, I've never been called "an evil piece of shit" before. That's more extreme than normal.

2014-04-30T05:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461373910760828928

@INSnewsUS I'll take that as a no :)

2014-04-30T05:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461373515988361216

@INSnewsUS And do you have evidence of this?

2014-04-30T05:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461372734912475137

@860masjp @_kazumasa_ ä»□ã□®ã□¿ã□³ã□¯ã□¯ã□□ã□□ã□□ã□□ã□□ã□□ã□□ã□§è²¬æ□□ã□□ã□□ã□¦ã□□ã□

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-04-30T05:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461371843803226113

@Corey_Feldman Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ With examples: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmcfPzaCUAACv_v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmcfPzaCUAACv_v.jpg

2014-04-30T03:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461340985373368320

@GameBreakersCan @ShayC5 @blondenfun1 @lek_black @dawnee78 @jace3312 Thing is, they WERE there before.

2014-04-30T00:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461298943167447040

@4thAnon </satire> tags needed :)

2014-04-30T00:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461297200631267329

@TheLSDGod If those are metal particles, then why do they physically act like ice, and not like metal particle?

2014-04-29T23:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461284788133847040

RT @BBCWorld: Brazilian fisherman finds European satellite debris in Amazon river http://bbc.in/1lvL9ID http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbP061CUAEIDcZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbP061CUAEIDcZ.jpg

2014-04-29T22:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461276327169888257

"Pilot forgot to turn off chemtrails" Popular Mechanics 1943 version:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbIX9mCcAAlNQp.jpg

WHY PLANES MAKE *Vapor Trails*



AIRPLANES leave trails in the atmosphere that can be picked up, if a spotter is quick enough, like those of game sought by a hunter. Some of them, especially trails left by a plane's exhaust at high, cold altitudes, endure for some time, while those made by wing tips creating vortices are audible as well as visible.

Both of these types of airplane trails are explained by condensation. Invisible water vapor in a plane's exhaust condenses into a visible cloud when the vapor reaches an excess of what the atmosphere will hold. Wing-tip trails add no water to the air, but as their vortices expand, the air temperature in them drops and the dew point is reached if the vortices are strong enough and humidity is high.





Noise like the whistle of a falling object may be heard when a heavily loaded plane pulls out of a low dive. It is from the wing-tip trail illustrated at left, and is audible after that of the motor dies away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbIX9mCcAAlNQp.jpg

2014-04-29T22:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461275423783936000

1941 "Clouds, Air, and Wind" - Eric Sloane. Contrails get a brief mention.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbHjaCCEAAE69J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmbHjaCCEAAE69J.jpg

2014-04-29T22:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461274438822940672

@nickmyer Alrighty, I think we are done. Drop me a line when you want to discuss your chemtrail theory evidence.

2014-04-29T22:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461272652271742976

@nickmyer Why don't you just tell me A) What your theory is. and B) What evidence you have that supports your theory.

2014-04-29T22:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461272351460446208

@nickmyer I haven't tested it for anything, so I can't prove it wasn't made of cheese in 1914. It's a meaningless question.

2014-04-29T22:33:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461272125777518593

@nickmyer Do you have any evidence that's a relevant question? Why are you even talking about aluminum?

2014-04-29T22:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461271415354691584

@nickmyer Didn't you read the CDC report I sent? Section 3 covers it. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22.pdf

---

2014-04-29T22:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461270097810563077

@nickmyer But I'm done discussing Aluminum, unless you can present some evidence it is being sprayed.

2014-04-29T22:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461269971675250689

@nickmyer I'm not aware of anyone who died, or became ill. Aluminum is very low toxicity. Otherwise we'd all be dead.

2014-04-29T22:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461269812274946048

@nickmyer The ground has not changed. The intake is probably higher now.

2014-04-29T22:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461266944147349504

@nickmyer 1 mg per 1 kg of body weight. If it accumulated we would be dead, as we all eat an average of 8 mg daily, unavoidably.

2014-04-29T22:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461265905456910337

@stilgherrian @NewtonMark You'd think. But the only reason they used that photo was because I posted it when debunking the video. #backfire

---

2014-04-29T22:06:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461265237379776512

@NewtonMark @stilgherrian Maybe YOU don't..... :)

2014-04-29T22:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461264900430393344

@stilgherrian @NewtonMark Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-29T22:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461264452419997697

Ripples are universal, in water, sand, clouds, and Mars. SETI scientst Lori Fenton explains:

http://buff.ly/1nXJifv http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bma9G-DIAAAxw2S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bma9G-DIAAAxw2S.jpg
2014-04-29T22:01:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461264003293925376
@nickmyer Did you know that about 8% of dirt is aluminium? So if you take a gram of dirt, 80mg will be aluminium?

2014-04-29T21:58:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461263402908667905
@nickmyer You don't need any. You get 8mg unavoidably. 1mg/kg is safe. What figures do you use?

2014-04-29T21:53:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461261924492005376
RT @jref: Why Magicians Are a Scientist's Best Friend http://fb.me/2Qm8ZN1HH
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bma7Rn_CUAAWFPf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bma7Rn_CUAAWFPf.jpg

2014-04-29T21:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461259056850546688

@wozza119 If you JUST called me a shill, then eventually it would lead to a ban. But not in normal discourse. And there's initial leeway.

2014-04-29T21:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461258851585515520

@wozza119 "debunk" is a fairly common term in the UK though? Or is there another now? I'm UK (Bingley) moved here 20 years ago though.

2014-04-29T21:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461258402669154304

@wozza119 I was a LOT of contrails, that's for sure. But not an impossibly large amount.

2014-04-29T21:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461258222012084224

@wozza119 I enforce politeness by warnings, then deleting impolite posts, then banning. I link to MB because that's where the debunks are

---

2014-04-29T21:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461257604270788609

@wozza119 Tweets are public. If I see some bunk in public, it does not seem unreasonable to respond to it. I prefer the forum thought.

2014-04-29T21:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461250228293615616

@wozza119 I also promise to correct any errors. But nobody points any out. Are you aware of

any?

2014-04-29T21:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461250115538550784

@wozza119 I would prefer people be polite, but that's your call on Twitter. It's enforced on http://metabunk.org

---

2014-04-29T21:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461249972244336640

@wozza119 No I'm looking to correct bunk. Suggesting Metabunk is a "shill" site is bunk. So I'm attempting to debunk it.

2014-04-29T20:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461248529696956416

@wozza119 How can I shill with undisputed facts? Notice the other never point out a single thing I got wrong? It's because I just use facts

---

2014-04-29T20:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461247481242607617

RT @NASA_Rain: #TRMM precipitation satellite sees deadly tornadoes hit the southern US http://pmm.nasa.gov/mission-updates/trmm-news/deadly-tornadoes-hit-southern-states-second-day http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmauCwrCYAAfvYH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmauCwrCYAAfvYH.jpg
2014-04-29T20:50:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461246188197404672
@jaybrice All explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-29T20:33:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461241821901754368
@martinhume worth watching the whole thing to fully understand the issues.

2014-04-29T20:30:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461241100817010688
@lek_black Yes, please read. Great document. Explains the potential future issues.

2014-04-29T20:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461240536355991553
@blazeart_ @lek_black @wozza119 @Geezy_21z @iain39 @SkyderALERT @martinhume With politeness and accuracy? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/politeness-policy.1224/

2014-04-29T20:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461236955724804096
@CarbonKyle Jolly good. I shall see you there.

2014-04-29T20:10:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461236077156909056
@CarbonKyle Coincidentally I was was just looking at my small collection of TAM2013 business cards and found yours. Going to TAM2014?

2014-04-29T20:04:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461234637935624192
@thezachmeister Aerodynamic contrails with wake vortex.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-29T20:03:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461234433719156736
@GameBreakersCan Which leads to confusion, because it's thin, so you can see the moon through it. Then the white cloud is invisible.

2014-04-29T20:03:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461234253531848705
@GameBreakersCan In moonlight, a dark area in cloud can be either very dense, or very thin. Your mind generally interprets it as dense.

2014-04-29T19:57:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461232756354056193
@GameBreakersCan The thin clouds also look like they are lit from the front, but they are just transparent. So lit from the back.

2014-04-29T19:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461232344859283456

@GameBreakersCan Yes, this video shows the same thing. An optical illusion.

2014-04-29T19:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461231160568184833

@GameBreakersCan Ya. But thin clouds are invisible when they are in front, so they look like they go behind it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmafS7xCMAEfLwR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmafS7xCMAEfLwR.jpg

2014-04-29T19:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461229544083447809

@GameBreakersCan Scale diagram: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmad01XCUAA2Twa.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bmad01XCUAA2Twa.jpg

2014-04-29T19:41:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461228690853330944

@GameBreakersCan All clouds are in front of the moon, as the moon is 238,000 miles away in space. It's an illusion as it's so bright

---

2014-04-29T19:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461225722405593088

@GameBreakersCan Jolly good :)

2014-04-29T19:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461224912577757184

@IDoubtIt @SkeptInquiry @openmindstv @forteantimes Other examples here, pretty pics. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaZnRNCEAAzH8N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaZnRNCEAAzH8N.jpg

2014-04-29T19:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461224133242544128

@GameBreakersCan I'm not sure what you mean by "before the moon"

2014-04-29T19:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461224058793644032

@Lee_galize See explanation here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaY1k1CEAAbRNF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaY1k1CEAAbRNF.jpg

2014-04-29T19:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461223404335792129

@GameBreakersCan Night clouds are nothing new. Must be referring to something else. Got a link?

2014-04-29T19:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461222123558547456

@GameBreakersCan Two planes, different altitudes

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaXE8ECYAAxiXU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaXE8ECYAAxiXU.jpg

2014-04-29T18:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461208729497911297

@Jdlazo @NWSLosAngeles No, they linked to a scientific skeptic page explaining they are contrails.

2014-04-29T18:19:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461208272884609024

@NWSLosAngeles This video is a handy response to the basic "contrails don't persist" claim. 70 years of books. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-29T18:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461204497927122944

@jace3312 It's a fuel dump. Plane had to return early, bad weather. Had to dump fuel to be at safe landing weight.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-search-plane-in-mh370-filmed-spraying-over-indian-ocean-fuel-dump.3378/

2014-04-29T18:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461204193420648448

@GameBreakersCan Clouds form when the air cools. At night this can be for a variety of

reasons. Convection, wind, radiative cooling.

2014-04-29T18:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461203740909785088

@GameBreakersCan Cloud formation sounds simple, but it's actually quite complicated. Easy to get confused. I know I do :)

2014-04-29T18:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461203511628148736

@GameBreakersCan Ground is still warmer than the air at night, so the heat trapped in the ground causes convection (rising air) so clouds.

2014-04-29T17:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461201644391108608

@GameBreakersCan Also there's a lot of planes. Here's 24 hours worth.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaEc0ZCYAEMpZy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaEc0ZCYAEMpZy.jpg

2014-04-29T17:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461201287053197312

@GameBreakersCan Sometimes you get a lot more trails than other days. Depends on the weather at 30,000+ feet http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaEIGFCQAAqoYY.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaEIGFCQAAqoYY.jpg

2014-04-29T17:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461200711494033408

@PrisonPlanet Nicely explained :)

2014-04-29T17:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461200434221158401

@GameBreakersCan Not cloud seeding. Cloud seeding does not leave trails. Contrails. See also:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaDWamCIAIuJXG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmaDWamCIAIuJXG.jpg

2014-04-29T17:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461199890773573632

@GameBreakersCan @blondenfun1 @ShayC5 @lek_black @dawnee78 @jace3312 Two or more routes crossing, plus wind. See: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-simulations/

2014-04-29T16:53:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461186409529741312

@charlesfrith Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ More examples: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-29T15:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461165003538575361

@NOIResearch Nobody is claiming that. Contrails are just a byproduct of aviation. Have been for 70+ years. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZjIHJCcAAVXmz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZjIHJCcAAVXmz.jpg

2014-04-29T15:25:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461164379421949952

@MarkDice There were edicts against demons and witches too.

2014-04-29T15:24:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461164241211240448

@CamilleMuhammad @cynthiamckinney Here's a compilation. It varies.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

2014-04-29T15:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461164080682659840

@CamilleMuhammad @cynthiamckinney That's because this one is flying through fog. Other examples:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-29T15:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461159795525820416

@martinhume @Hsaive By "Disinfo: Busted", I presume you mean "oops!" I told you what it was right from the start.

2014-04-29T15:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461159557192892416

@NOIResearch And the "chemtrail" photos look just like photos of contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZeLD6CQAA6TZL.jpg

---

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZeLD6CQAA6TZL.jpg
2014-04-29T15:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461159015930531840
@NOIResearch Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZdrktCcAAZlAB.jpg



During flight tests in 1994, the cabin interior of the prototype *777* was filled with what at first glance looked like aluminum beer kegs. In fact, these 55-gallon barrels contained water. The contents of two dozen barrels in the forward cabin and a like number of barrels in the aft section were pumped back and forth to simulate shifts in center of gravity that would result from passengers moving about. The top photo shows the cabin as it is when the *777* is delivered.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZdrktCcAAZlAB.jpg

2014-04-29T15:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461158789358444544

@cynthiamckinney Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZdeZTCUAAF0XN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZdeZTCUAAF0XN.jpg
2014-04-29T14:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461154903126458368
@blondenfun1 @gameBreakersCan @ShayC5 @lek_black @dawnee78 @jace3312
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZ8L7CQAEpBlt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZ8L7CQAEpBlt.jpg
2014-04-29T14:47:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/461154798650527744
@NOIResearch Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZ2GdCYAEr-SR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZ2GdCYAEr-SR.jpg

2014-04-29T14:45:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461154420378857472

@nickmyer That's demonstrably false.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZgFrCAAAQtlP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZZgFrCAAAQtlP.jpg

2014-04-29T14:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461154162890534912

@nickmyer What are you basing that on? It seems contrary to all known science.

2014-04-29T13:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461141080155500545

@nickmyer What level do you think, and why?

2014-04-29T13:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461141021795958786

@nickmyer 80mg per day should also be safe for the average adult, based on worldwide standards.

2014-04-29T13:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461140828941852672

@nickmyer 8mg per day is obviously safe, because that's what we get naturally in food.

2014-04-29T13:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461137351922556928

@nickmyer http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22-c1-b.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZJ-idCcAEYezj.jpg

1.3 HOW MIGHT I BE EXPOSED TO ALUMINUM?

Food—primary source of exposure	Unprocessed foods like fresh fruits, vegetables, and meat contain very little aluminum. Aluminum compounds may be added during processing of foods, such as: • flour • baking powder • coloring agents • anticaking agents An average adult in the United States eats about 7–9 mg of aluminum per day in their food.

DEPARTMENT of HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ Telephone: 1-800-232-4636 Fax: 770-488-4178 E-Mail: cdcinfo@cdc.gov

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmZJ-idCcAEYezj.jpg

2014-04-29T13:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/461136726618959873

@nickmyer Didn't you see the four times I responded to this same question already?

2014-04-29T01:31:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460954463939735553

@ZeDikaRedirect then I linked you to four science papers that said high bypass engines make

more contrails.

2014-04-29T01:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460954358935351296

@ZeDikaRedirect Well, at least look up the water as a product of combustion. Even your video has that in it. Basic science.

2014-04-29T01:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460954162037936128

@ZeDikaRedirect Hydrocarbon + Oxygen = H2O + Carbon dioxide. That's how all hydrocarbon burning works.

2014-04-29T01:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460953925550497793

@ZeDikaRedirect It's basic chemistry. You can look it up. Burning anything makes water. (H2O) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Combustion

---

2014-04-29T01:20:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460951796106543105

@ZeDikaRedirect And please, don't trust me (some guy on the internet), check what 70 years of books say: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-29T01:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460951558104965121

@ZeDikaRedirect And high bypass engines actually make MORE contrails. not less. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWg_7KCYAA-g2_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWg_7KCYAA-g2_.jpg

2014-04-29T01:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460951353418715136

@ZeDikaRedirect Planes don't USE water. They MAKE water. Burning a gallon of jet fuel makes over a gallon of water. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWg0CrCYAAlErj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWg0CrCYAAlErj.jpg

2014-04-29T01:17:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460950877809827840

@ZeDikaRedirect Yes, but the gap size varies.

http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWgYWECQAE1xcJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWgYWECQAE1xcJ.jpg

2014-04-29T01:15:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460950453518229504

@phdamodei Yes, she names me, but offers no evidence of either me being a shill, or chemtrails being a real thing. So why believe here?

2014-04-29T01:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460950153034072064

@olson_dan Hmm, I think I've mostly forgotten everything I wrote here :)
http://cowboyprogramming.com/2007/01/04/programming-poker-ai/

2014-04-29T01:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460948431024824321

@ZeDikaRedirect No. Jet exhaust contains water. When this cools down, the water condenses (like breath on a cold day), then it freezes.

2014-04-29T00:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460934096550502401

@Hsaive Ice saturation is about 60% of water saturation. Hence your article is wrong.

2014-04-29T00:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460933243223543808

@ZeDikaRedirect Most contrails are ice clouds. Tiny ice crystals. Like what cirrus clouds are made from.

2014-04-28T23:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460923991327133696

Contrails from a race car. No persistence as they don't freeze.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWH7TYCMAAuJwy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWH7TYCMAAuJwy.jpg

2014-04-28T23:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460923313494056960

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport I'm just trying to find out what we DO agree on. Contrail persistence should be simple science.

2014-04-28T23:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460919788550647808

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport Yes, these are aerodynamic contrails. The ones that stick around are exhaust contrails. Very different.

2014-04-28T23:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460919459452960768

@phdamodei It needs to be in fog for persistence (100% humidity), as it's too warm to freeze. Contrails form at -40Â°C

2014-04-28T23:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460916865695371264

@phdamodei They do, but cars are smaller and slower than planes. And don't drive some much in dense fog. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWBckgCUAEY-xF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmWBckgCUAEY-xF.jpg

2014-04-28T22:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460912180817170432

@BillyTolley Here's the ORIGNINAL video link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gdsqvtixafk https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T22:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460911970502209537

@BillyTolley No, it's just this https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8_pqCYAA2Qjs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8_pqCYAA2Qjs.jpg

2014-04-28T22:41:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460911680218603520

@PeteyDefiant @Chemtrails_818

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8uvyCAAIaWxn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8uvyCAAIaWxn.jpg

2014-04-28T22:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460911454443433984

@HartReikiCURES That plane is flying perfectly horizontal. Just overhead.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8hnGCYAAnBEv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV8hnGCYAAnBEv.jpg

2014-04-28T22:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460911019301163008

@nickmyer Since I've answered that question at least four times I'm just going to ignore it. I refer you to my previous answer.

2014-04-28T22:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460910860056002561

@WearKilluminati @MarkDice Were there some Y2K violence related people you feel the FBI unfairly left out?

2014-04-28T22:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460910648847654913

@nickmyer Maybe. But short contrails are not evidence of this.

2014-04-28T22:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460910481943695361

@WearKilluminati @MarkDice But the paper was specifically about Y2K, not politically motivated violence.

2014-04-28T22:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460908798371053568

@nickmyer It's flying through a region of humid air. Like an invisible cloud. The exhaust makes it visible. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV6G_TCEAAkRWA.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV6G_TCEAAkRWA.jpg

2014-04-28T22:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460907590939979776

@honest_justice Well, at least I'm not wrong :) Block me if you don't like facts.

2014-04-28T22:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460907012520280065

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport By comparing it to other planes with wake vortices. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV4fCVCMAAbyVj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmV4fCVCMAAbyVj.jpg

2014-04-28T22:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460904968182964224

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport Check out these books for yourself. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-28T22:12:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460904468016406529

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport Explain why YOU think contrails should not persist.

2014-04-28T22:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460904345379143680

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport You are saying 70 years of books were faked just so they could spray. That makes no sense.

2014-04-28T22:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460903532002942976

@ShawnSt51128037 How do you know?

2014-04-28T22:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460903171896786944

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport I'm just basing it on what I've read in 70 years of books

on clouds and weather. Are books all wrong?

2014-04-28T22:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460902922964840448

@WearKilluminati @MarkDice And specifically this was a specific report assessing the risk from millennial apocalyptic groups in 2000.

2014-04-28T22:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460902343861477376

@ShawnSt51128037 @chemtrailreport It is a LOT of contrails. There's not actually a limit - depends on the weather aloft, and traffic.

2014-04-28T22:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460901558763278336

@Anonymous_Guy13 @BeforeItsNews Just wake vortices https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVzhlFCcAAZ0qi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVzhlFCcAAZ0qi.jpg

2014-04-28T21:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460901205275721729

@WearKilluminati @MarkDice FBI is warning here about possible non-crazy domestic terrorists. Politically motivated bombers.

2014-04-28T21:58:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460900973011935233

@WearKilluminati @MarkDice He was a nutter. Can't predict that. Does the secret service check out all the Jodie Foster fans?

2014-04-28T21:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460891787016421376

@nickmyer Why did you just ignore me? Are you just trolling now? Why don't you look it up? http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp22.pdf

---

2014-04-28T21:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460890718131593218

@MarkDice But of course it does not mean all NWO theorists are violent. Most of them seem very nice.

2014-04-28T21:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460890589815250945

@MarkDice Timothy McVeigh was a big NWO theorist, and it motivated his bombing. So this seems reasonable.

2014-04-28T21:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460890106685960192

@iain39 @Geezy_21z @wozza119 @lek_black @martinhume Seems to conflate ground level weather with 30,000 foot weather. Different things.

2014-04-28T21:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460890002512019456

@nickmyer Can you give me an example of a valid lab test I "dismissed" without cause?

2014-04-28T21:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460889871238696961

@nickmyer DId you miss the two times I told you this before? About 80mg.

2014-04-28T21:13:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460889492530814976

@AzulayRomond Huh? I just showed you what it was. What's the problem?

2014-04-28T20:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460881430302564352

Stunning collection of photos of the interaction of jets and clouds: https://www.flickr.com/photos/16699423@N02/sets/72157627508873002/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVhN-oCIAAz84j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVhN-oCIAAz84j.jpg

2014-04-28T20:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460874912828112896

@wozza119 I'm filtering through claims of evidence, and finding which pieces of it are wrong. So people can make more accurate choices.

2014-04-28T20:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460874688315396096

@wozza119 One thing at a time. There is a lot of bunk in those topics. I look at the individual pieces of claimed evidence

---

2014-04-28T20:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460871654957015041

@blazeart_ That might actually just a regular cloud. Sometimes they get a sharp edge. Hard to say here though.

2014-04-28T20:00:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460871182984556544

@wozza119 I'm not a shill. It's very frustrating that people think I am - and totally against all evidence.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-04-28T19:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460869769638334465

@wozza119 If you want some real issues, there are plenty here: http://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/

2014-04-28T19:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460869594526134273

@wozza119 @karentalford66 @SkyderALERT @iain39 @lek_black @martinhume @blazeart_ @HartReikiCURES It's an unusually full sky of contrails.

2014-04-28T19:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460869288790745088

@wozza119 and a belief in some all-powerful "illuminati" running things distracts from the huge issue of campaign finance reform.

2014-04-28T19:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460869098532921345

@wozza119 But my personal focus is on getting rid of bunk to remove false distractions. e.g. chemtrails distracts from pollution.

2014-04-28T19:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460868756768448512

@wozza119 Money in politics, basically. But prisons, finance, war, pollution and the environment.

2014-04-28T19:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460868019179114496

@wozza119 Basically the low pressure on the wing force water to condense, it gets caught in the vortex, this makes the long tube of mist.

2014-04-28T19:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460867634137800704

@wozza119 My overall aim is to reduce bunk, so people focus on real issues. I think bunk is a major distraction.

2014-04-28T19:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460865593579560960

@wozza119 @Geezy_21z @iain39 @lek_black @SkyderALERT @martinhume Well, it is my site. :) It grew out of http://contrailscience.com

---

2014-04-28T19:09:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460858370870624256

@wozza119 @Geezy_21z @iain39 @lek_black @SkyderALERT @martinhume Then I encourage you to check MB out. Politeness and accuracy are key.

2014-04-28T19:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460857293559451651

@wozza119 @Geezy_21z @iain39 @lek_black @SkyderALERT @martinhume Who told you that? What are they worried will happen?

2014-04-28T18:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460855138387644416

@sergvnd Just wake vortex, condensation.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T18:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460855076307746816
@GreenSolarWind Just wake vortex, condensation.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ @FleshnChrome

---

2014-04-28T18:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460852348605046785
@AnonBig Everything David Berkowitz did was illegal too.

2014-04-28T18:24:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460846916582907905
@wozza119 @Geezy_21z @iain39 @lek_black @SkyderALERT @martinhume Just a wake vortex:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVB1AVCMAEQPBJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVB1AVCMAEQPBJ.jpg
2014-04-28T18:23:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460846781765410819
@Slikk82 @WhiteSkyBlues @martinhume @eddiebravo @CAchemtrails Exaplined here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVBtGFCcAArQHL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmVBtGFCcAArQHL.jpg

2014-04-28T17:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460837122635624448

@Linnlondon1 I'm not the person who posted a bogus video here Linda. I was simply correcting it. Do you now agree it shows wake vortices?

2014-04-28T17:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460836788559302656

@Linnlondon1 I'm trying to maximize the truth. So I debunk things like that bogus video you posted of wake vortices. Would you prefer bunk?

2014-04-28T17:43:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460836610880176130

@Linnlondon1 And what does that have to do with the video of wake vortices at LAX that you posted?

2014-04-28T17:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460836404860162048

@Linnlondon1 Proof that Rosalind Peterson thinks chemtrails are real, yes. Proof of chemtrails? How so?

2014-04-28T17:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460835942106808320

@Linnlondon1 @Jenevalynne You disagree? How is it any different? It's just a hoax video.

2014-04-28T17:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460834358052413440

@rashadmalikbey Check it out:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-28T17:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460834257389096961
@martinhume Fully explained, with references:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-28T17:33:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460834182642405376
@phdamodei Lots more pics here: Just wake vortices.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-28T17:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460834057102716928
@Linnlondon1 @Jenevalynne Actually it's just normal wake vortex in fog.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-28T17:32:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460833913040945152
@PeoplesVoice_TV No. Just wake vortex.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c
@BeforeItsNews

2014-04-28T16:08:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460812706614759425
@FawkesnFriends I'm retired. This is a hobby. Nobody pays me. Feel free to point out any errors and I'll correct them.

2014-04-28T15:53:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460809124502986752
@dadramsey What's "liberal" about condensation? :)

2014-04-28T15:48:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460807650767147008
@FawkesnFriends I'm just addressing direct claims of evidence. Can't prove it doesn't exist, but I can demonstrate a lack of evidence.

2014-04-28T15:42:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460806185411567617
@KristenMeghan It's the LAX 24R approach. See similar
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XsZBekiV59c (via:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/)

2014-04-28T15:40:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460805747660427264

@FawkesnFriends Upper air moisture precedes are weather system. These are not the same: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUcYnuCAAAokG3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUcYnuCAAAokG3.jpg

2014-04-28T15:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460804711205974016

@FawkesnFriends How do you know they were the same? Did you check the upper air water vapor 24 hr loop? http://www.weather.gov/satellite#wv

---

2014-04-28T15:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460797201199808512

@streetdem @martinhume Looks exactly like these other aerodynamic contrails. (not exhaust) https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c

---

2014-04-28T15:05:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460796922211467264

@FawkesnFriends Being sunny does not mean no contrails. Contrails need only ice supersaturation in upper air. That's not in the forecast.

2014-04-28T15:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460796190112493568

@dadramsey Debunked - just wake vortex on humid day: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTsWNCIAASol3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTsWNCIAASol3.jpg
2014-04-28T15:01:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460796049242587137
@streetdem Debunked - just wake vortex on humid day:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTkJYCUAAFxr8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTkJYCUAAFxr8.jpg
2014-04-28T15:01:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460795938965958657

@AzulayRomond Debunked - just wake vortex on humid day:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTduuCMAAXNXa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTduuCMAAXNXa.jpg
2014-04-28T15:00:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460795817377292289
@Reptoid_Hunter Debunked - just wake vortex on humid day:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTWooCAAAoaVu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTWooCAAAoaVu.jpg

2014-04-28T14:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460795556743225345

@FawkesnFriends I say wake vortex

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTHcnCYAM0CXC.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmUTHcnCYAM0CXC.jpg

2014-04-28T05:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460648697366208512

@FreePatriotism Nope, just wake vortices.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmSNjFmCMAA_gOt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmSNjFmCMAA_gOt.jpg
2014-04-28T05:15:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460648503841009664
@beforeitsnews Debunked!
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-pilot-forgets-to-turn-off-chemtrails-while-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-wake-vortex.3551/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmSNX2rCYAAZHPT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmSNX2rCYAAZHPT.jpg

2014-04-28T04:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460637885993984000

@URSheepleWaKeUP @TRNRadioNetwork Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T01:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460585373366628353

RT @Freizeit_Tiger: @MickWest do you remember this song ("Moisture in the Air")?
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=slzK-7rGJ-M

2014-04-28T01:03:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460585155262836736

Fascinating compilation of wake vorticies: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zW4PmUE151c
100% pure water.

2014-04-28T00:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460582657391222785

@JaynethePaine @WhiteSkyBlues @beforeitsnews @martinhume ust like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmRRfHFCYAEotN0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmRRfHFCYAEotN0.jpg

2014-04-28T00:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460582495444951041

Looks like this plane is on fire. But it's just water.

http://www.airliners.net/photo/Lufthansa-Cargo/McDonnell-Douglas-MD-11(F)/2017937/M/

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/#post-103135 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmRRVrECYAEKCOw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmRRVrECYAEKCOw.jpg

2014-04-28T00:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460581076256051200
@Jerome09 Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T00:47:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460581032215851008
@B4INChemtrails Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/ @loxisnice

---

2014-04-28T00:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460580972275056640
@JaynethePaine @WhiteSkyBlues @beforeitsnews @martinhume Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T00:46:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460580887445254145
@B4INFeatured Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-28T00:46:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460580840544542721
@WhiteSkyBlues @beforeitsnews Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/ @NoreenR1 @Jerome09 @lookoverther

---

2014-04-28T00:46:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460580786551283712
@RantReaper Those are Aerodynamic contrails. More here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-on-landing-aerodynamic-contrail-from-wake-vortex.3551/

2014-04-27T23:18:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460558718904434688
B-53 Bomber leaves contrails over the clouds. 1957.
http://www.corbisimages.com/stock-photo/rights-managed/IH020668/boeing-b52-bomber-in-flight-with-contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ7tsuCAAATIW0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ7tsuCAAATIW0.jpg

2014-04-27T23:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460557880672784384

@nickmyer Maybe but right now my point is that there's no valid public evidence of "chemtrails". That's all.

2014-04-27T23:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460557136955592705

@nickmyer I've viewed lots of blood tests. Did you have one in mind you think is valid evidence?

2014-04-27T23:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460556963365937152

@nickmyer So you say there's a huge body of hidden secret valid chemtrail evidence, but all the public evidence for chemtrails is bunk?

2014-04-27T23:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460556759040417792

@nickmyer Old pics fail at what? Are there new pics that are not like old pics?

2014-04-27T23:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460556634813497344

@nickmyer My personal experience has been discovering all the chemtrail claimed evidence turned out to be bunk.

2014-04-27T23:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460554320304287744

@nickmyer None of which exist right now. So why do you believe? 100 year old photos look like your "chem-clouds"

2014-04-27T23:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460554160140591105

@nickmyer Debunking is about establishing that the evidence presented for a theory is bunk. It's not about proving the opposite of a theory.

2014-04-27T22:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460552186741526528

@nickmyer Because if you went to court with no evidence and said "but you can't prove it's not happening", then case dismissed.

2014-04-27T22:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460552022530351104

@nickmyer There is no evidence. You can't find any evidence. So I have no need to provide counter evidence.

2014-04-27T22:51:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460551877667483648

@nickmyer No. Because I don't need to, because there's no evidence that that "toxic geoengineering" is occurring. Which is my entire point.

2014-04-27T22:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460551489941811201

@nickmyer Why? All the chemtrail tests show normal results? So why on earth would you want me to do tests?

2014-04-27T22:47:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460550818693783552

@nickmyer How about this sky? All of those were from over 100 years ago. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ0hz0CQAE4m50.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ0hz0CQAE4m50.jpg
2014-04-27T22:46:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460550535901216769
@nickmyer Which is this? Natural or man-made?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ0RYlCAAAOZB3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQ0RYlCAAAOZB3.jpg

2014-04-27T22:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460550129552859137

@nickmyer 8mg per day is the normal background ingestion rate. Healthy limit is 80mg/day. Aluminum is impossible to avoid, as dirt is 8% Al.

2014-04-27T22:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460549878846726144

@nickmyer What do I need lab tests for if YOU don't have any lab tests? What about the one I just posted?

2014-04-27T22:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460549732511657985

@nickmyer Is this a natural cloud? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQzioWCQAEFN5u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQzioWCQAEFN5u.jpg

2014-04-27T22:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460549372871069696

@nickmyer Show me a picture of this blatantly obvious difference.

2014-04-27T22:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460549253970935808

@nickmyer @haroldsaive (oops, sorry, meant that for @Hsaive)

2014-04-27T22:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460548942048927744

@nickmyer Look, @haroldsaive tested his rainwater and found normal levels. So where are the test that don't? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQy0nJCYAA52L0.jpg

---


GAINESVILLE, FL 32609
Attention: HAROLD SAIVE
Project: GENERAL TESTING 581411
Description: RAIN
Lab ID: 1081022-01
Matrix: Water
Reported:
Phone:
P.O. #
Sampled:
Received:

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method	Analyzed
Aluminum	ug/l	197		0.5	5.0	EPA 200.8	
Barium		28.5		0.1	0.5		

Notes and Definitions

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQy0nJCYAA52L0.jpg

2014-04-27T22:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460548133647159297

@nickmyer Show me a single valid test that does not just show background levels of aluminum.

2014-04-27T22:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460547966210543617

@nickmyer However there are unavoidable background levels. That is what the tests show. Why would I doubt them?

2014-04-27T22:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460547667525771264

@PeterWolfffff @piKKKil @AzulayRomond @wakeupsheeps @blazeart_ Probably going to an air show. But sometimes jets move between bases.

2014-04-27T22:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460547018004238336

@nickmyer The difference between a contrail and a natural cloud is obvious, until a few hours later when they look very similar. So?

2014-04-27T22:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460546750239891457

@nickmyer And why do I need to test things? Their tests show normal levels, so that's all that's needed.

2014-04-27T22:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460546604198412288

@nickmyer No you have no established it at all. What is it? What are the examples?

2014-04-27T22:16:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460542961294397440

@nickmyer If there's no visual difference, and the chemical tests are bogus, then why believe in chemtrails? Just for the anti-man lulz?

2014-04-27T22:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460542634310651905

@nickmyer I'm just pointing out that you seem to claim something exists, but you give zero evidence.

2014-04-27T22:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460542180637933568

All the scheduled fight routes in North America on one map:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/page-2#post-17156 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQsrCSCAAI--kD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQsrCSCAAI--kD.jpg

2014-04-27T22:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460541692588724225

@nickmyer So why do you call them "chemtrails", if they look and act just like contrails?

2014-04-27T22:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460540989958938624

@nickmyer Can you name a country that has long distance flyover traffic, but no contrails?

2014-04-27T22:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460540570490781696

@nickmyer Looks like mostly contrails and contrail induced cirrus. What is your point? You just don't like contrails?

2014-04-27T22:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460539138723151872

Clouds and contrails over China. Between Beijing and Shanghai

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2014-03-08&map=115.08374,31.171875,122.963135,35.803711

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQp548CMAAIihn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmQp548CMAAIihn.jpg

2014-04-27T21:52:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460537071266844672

@nickmyer And how exactly are you distinguishing them from contrails? NATO countries have the most dense air traffic, hence most contrails.

2014-04-27T18:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460478639423778817

@jace3312 @Hsaive Turbofans make MORE contrails than old jets, according to science. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmPy4b1CIAAn8hU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmPy4b1CIAAn8hU.jpg
2014-04-27T17:57:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460477813527560192
Contrail cirrus and ice halos:
http://www.slate.com/blogs/bad_astronomy/2014/04/27/halos_and_arcs_optical_effects_photographed_by_g_ran_strand.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmPyIXjCMAApXIR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmPyIXjCMAApXIR.jpg

2014-04-27T17:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460470655897444353

@blondenfun1 @Lawful_Rebel @WeatherWar101 @piKKKil @NeilYoungSaveUs Sure you personally know people have do not "catch on"?

2014-04-27T17:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460470494622253056

@nickmyer Quite possibly, depending on where you live. But are changing the topic. These are contrails and aviation induced cirrus.

2014-04-27T17:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460464692213719042

@nickmyer Quite possibly all of it.

2014-04-27T17:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460464558830649344

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Depends on the weather, and the amount of flyover traffic you get.

2014-04-26T23:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460199477299519488

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 You can tell if you are watching, but later they are indistinguishable.

2014-04-26T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460141105539846144

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 But how is that relevant? Contrails can do that.

2014-04-26T19:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460141022505209856

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Hard to tell, as you don't know if the natural looking cirrus started out that way.

2014-04-26T19:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460135580852969473

@iain39 @nickmyer @awesome086 So why can't you point out a single thing I got wrong?

2014-04-26T19:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460135339621756928

@rockermom53 @iain39 @awesome086 @nickmyer Where?

2014-04-26T19:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460135289524998144

@iain39 @rockermom53 @awesome086 @nickmyer What have I said that you think is incorrect?

2014-04-26T19:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460134541768675328

@iain39 @nickmyer @awesome086 But why don't you point out something I got wrong. I'd be happy to correct it.

2014-04-26T19:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460134398440927232

@iain39 @nickmyer @awesome086 er, here I am writing. And my IP is from Time Warner Cable.

2014-04-26T19:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460131283293577216

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Well, what evidence are they going to present?

2014-04-26T18:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460126873754677250

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Why? Because there's no evidence for chemtrails? So you drop the subject?

2014-04-26T18:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460121248182173697

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Can we stick to the chemtrail theory and the evidence for that? Pointless discussing every topic in the world

---

2014-04-26T18:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460120961216290818

@rockermom53 No. They are just discussing something that might happen. Like scientists do.

2014-04-26T18:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460120089824473088

Twin F/A-18's dumping fuel over San Diego:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-two-jets-in-formation-with-independent-on-off-trai

ls-fuel-dump.3547/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKsyEcCMAAFJlq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKsyEcCMAAFJlq.jpg

2014-04-26T17:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460115027320520704

@rockermom53 @iain39 If you have done the math, then why don't you just write it down and put it on the internet?

2014-04-26T17:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460114778774462464

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Debunk what? What actual evidence is in that article?

2014-04-26T17:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460106715808026624

@2ndfor1st I'd be very happy to debunk the government. But there's a huge horde of journalist doing that already. I debunk the undebunked.

2014-04-26T17:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460106468566376448

@2ndfor1st Yes, they clutter up the sky, and may have a small but significant effect on climate.

2014-04-26T17:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460106269022359553

@rockermom53 @iain39 @awesome086 @nickmyer Can you show me the math?

2014-04-26T15:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460079171679420419

Passenger planes can't climb at more that 5Â°, so no matter what angle you see a contrail, it's horizontal. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKHkWvCIAEYUdR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKHkWvCIAEYUdR.jpg

2014-04-26T15:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460078641020301312

@Jenny2many It's an optical illusion. It's actually travelling perfectly horizontal, flying towards you. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKHFfbCEAAkQ9p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmKHFfbCEAAkQ9p.jpg

2014-04-26T15:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460078118829453315

@sisinricii @Rhumeey @martinhume You see it because the weather aloft is right for persistent contrails. It's a byproduct of air travel. Ice

---

2014-04-26T15:15:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460074619261579264

@2ndfor1st You're going to have to provide some evidence to back that up. I debunk things that are incorrect. What are they debunking? e.g.?

2014-04-26T14:55:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/460069691801600001

Are debunkers government shills? Far from it. Most debunkers have a healthy distrust of

government.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-04-26T14:53:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460069074597195776
@MrMaxBliss Yes. See here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-04-26T14:52:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460068804941193217
@4CBlog @Mietopol @lisa_alba I've looked at it. I want you to point at one thing you think is correct. Is there anything?

2014-04-26T14:51:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/460068673936318465
@MrMaxBliss Why don't you just tell me what I got wrong? And give references?

2014-04-26T00:03:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459845135325351936
@wakeupsheeps $5B on what? And how do you know this?

2014-04-26T00:02:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459845025245835264
@Mietopol @lisa_alba Sounding alarms is pretty pointless without any evidence.

2014-04-25T23:25:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459835644085145600
@AzulayRomond @piKKKil @wakeupsheeps @blazeart_ Or five ordinary planes flying in formation. How to tell the difference?

2014-04-25T23:24:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459835482764218368
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Maybe I have, maybe not. It's entirely irrelevant. What evidence is there it's being sprayed?

2014-04-25T23:12:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459832437275901952
@Mietopol @lisa_alba Are you saying most people and every single meteorology scientist and student has failed to notice anything odd.

2014-04-25T23:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459832073961082881
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 How does it it relevant? They explain the sources of aluminum they find. Not "chemtrails"

2014-04-25T23:05:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459830689022885888
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 The government of Mickistan.

2014-04-25T23:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459830565676793856

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 And even if that were so, what is the evidence aluminum is being sprayed?

2014-04-25T23:04:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459830351326883841

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Says who?

2014-04-25T23:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459830297794977794

@Mietopol @lisa_alba And can you point to one piece of evidence there you think it valid?

2014-04-25T23:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459830167784148992

Dictionary definition of "siphon" wrong for 100 years. Someone just noticed, and debunked it. http://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2010/may/10/dictionary-definition-siphon-wrong

---

2014-04-25T22:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459827819762434048

@Mietopol @lisa_alba Can you give an example of one that has actual evidence?

2014-04-25T22:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459827630695809024

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Do you have a better source about the harmful levels of Aluminum? I'd be happy to see it.

2014-04-25T22:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459826735115431937

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 The reasons are irrelevant. The fact is there is no good evidence. And the trails look like contrails.

2014-04-25T22:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459826566437285888

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 On average we consume about 8mg/day. Safe is 1mg/Kg/day (around 80 mg/day) http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=34

2014-04-25T22:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459824402906226688

@nickmyer @iain39 I would happily correct any errors you point out.

2014-04-25T22:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459824304184909824

@nickmyer @iain39 Notice I'm constantly pointing out errors with the chemtrail folk. But they never do the same for http://ContrailScience.com

---

2014-04-25T22:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459823629346570240

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Being wrong requires no motive.

2014-04-25T22:37:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459823577387528193

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 I don't think the chemtrail believers are engaged in deceit. I just think they are wrong.

2014-04-25T22:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459823394536837120

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 My only point here is that there's no evidence to support the chemtrail theory, so no reason to believe it.

2014-04-25T22:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459821780405075968

@Mietopol @lisa_alba What is the source of these numbers? Where are the test results and methodology?

2014-04-25T22:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459821604193968128

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Yes I do. I have the tests the chemtrail people put up. They demonstrate normal non-toxic levels.

2014-04-25T22:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459820813903200257

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 I'm just explaining how the test results don't indicate chemtrails are real. That's all.

2014-04-25T22:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459820181158891520

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Howe about this test? You think this is valid?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmGcBI6CYAACzN0.jpg



Lined pond on Backbone Rd., east B

4

www.basiclab.com

basic laboratory

voice 530.243.7234 fax 530.243.7494

2218 Railroad Avenue
Redding, California 96001

Report To: WIGINGTON, DANE
P.O. BOX 9
BELLA VISTA, CA 96008

Attention: DANE WIGINGTON

Project: GENERAL TESTING

Description: POND SEDIMENT **Lab ID:** 7050069-01 Sam

Matrix: Sludge Rece

Metals - Total

Analyte	Units	Results	Qualifier	MDL	RL	Method
Aluminum	ug/l	375000		300	1000	EPA 6010B
Barium	"	3090		10	50	"
Strontium	"	345		10	50	"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmGcBI6CYAACzN0.jpg

2014-04-25T22:23:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459820019984371713
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 And so far I'm not aware of any valid tests. Are you? got a link?

2014-04-25T22:23:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459819936740024321
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 That was never my intent. My intent is to show if the presented evidence is valid or not.

2014-04-25T22:07:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459815980194361344
@nickmyer @iain39 So how is that evidence of anything?

2014-04-25T22:07:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459815896509603840
@nickmyer Like, @iain39 claims 400x levels of zinc in rain, but then provides no evidence to back this up. No results. No methodology.

2014-04-25T22:04:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459815171029229568
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 I can point out when a published test is incorrect. Explain why it's not evidence if it shows normal levels.

2014-04-25T22:03:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459815031858003968
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 I'm not "counter claiming" chemtrails. I'm debunking the proposed evidence. Pointing out there is none.

2014-04-25T21:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459813756038811648
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 I've looked at an awful lot of the evidence. It has been lacking.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shasta-snow-and-water-aluminum-tests.137/

2014-04-25T21:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459813264407662592
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 So why do you believe? What actual evidence have you seen? How do you know these lab tests exist?

2014-04-25T21:53:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459812452390424577
@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 So you say all the publicly claimed evidence is bogus, but there's some secret valid evidence?

2014-04-25T21:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459811789510017024
@martinhume She's actually an art historian, not a law professor.

2014-04-25T21:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459811380749955074

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Again with the secret evidence. This has been repeated for 15+ years. There is no evidence, is there?

2014-04-25T21:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459811220603031553

@iain39 @awesome086 @nickmyer No, it varies greatly. Planes do fly at a wide range of altitudes though. It is at least always cold enough.

2014-04-25T21:47:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459810977610219520

@iain39 @awesome086 @nickmyer I don't. So I want to see the evidence for this.

2014-04-25T20:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459794314575372289

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Can you cite one test you think is valid?

2014-04-25T20:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459785944959180801

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Lawsuits? Then there must be some evidence published? Where is it?

2014-04-25T20:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459785806735888384

@martinhume @Hsaive I think they are worried about other countries doing it, not billionaires - that's more the ocean fertilization thing.

2014-04-25T19:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459775400285974528

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 They you have scientifically verifiable proof of toxic chemtrails? Why not publish it?

2014-04-25T19:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459771275594833920

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 You feel like you are being poisoned by the trails from airplanes? Why?

2014-04-25T19:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459769203562541056

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 "Government is evil, therefore chemtrails exist" is not a valid argument.

2014-04-25T19:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459769057521049600

@nickmyer @iain39 @awesome086 Changing the subject? The point is that contrails can and do persist and spread.

2014-04-25T18:47:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459765723657539584

@iain39 @awesome086 @pixyanna 51days later, and you've not listed any "rubbish" on Metabunk. I'll correct any you find.

2014-04-25T18:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459765499987910656

@iain39 @awesome086 And several billion observers, in all the countries, for 70+ years. And the books. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-25T17:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459751226893012992

@nickmyer No. I'm saying those three trails in your photo might be at the same altitude, or at different altitudes.

2014-04-25T17:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459747996448481280

@nickmyer The trail on the right is probably older. But this result could come from same or different altitude contrails.

2014-04-25T16:45:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459735070358523904

@CCFowler @Realitydriven @Lee_galize See, you can pick ANYTHING, and find a way it's bad. "Chemicals" are not special. Water is a chemical.

2014-04-25T16:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459734858512625664

@CCFowler @Realitydriven @Lee_galize Egg consumption correlated with 5x colorectal cancer risk: http://pcrm.org/health/cancer-resources/ask/ask-the-expert-eggs

---

2014-04-25T16:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459734285281267713

@CCFowler @Realitydriven @Lee_galize Eggs double risk of prostate cancer progressioin: http://nutritionfacts.org/2013/11/19/why-are-eggs-linked-to-cancer-progression/

2014-04-25T15:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459718861722382336

@4thAnon Putin complains he can't spy on his own people as well as the CIA. Wants to move social media servers to Russia for easy spying.

2014-04-25T15:38:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459718202214195200

@CCFowler @Realitydriven @Lee_galize No. The the thing is that everything is made from "chemicals". So"Chemicals" are not automatically bad

---

2014-04-25T05:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459557433489522688

@SFriedScientist Unfortunately you also have to become racist. And watch Hannity.

2014-04-25T04:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459553569524293632

@Realitydriven @Lee_galize

http://food-hacks.wonderhowto.com/inspiration/food-chemistry-ingredients-organic-all-natural-fruits-eggs-are-not-what-youd-expect-0150653/ Everything has "chemicals", and they are all

toxic in some dose.

2014-04-25T04:42:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459553041373343744

@Adam_Clatworthy https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tLLK1ycY1uk

2014-04-25T04:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459552759654526976

@Adam_Clatworthy Notice the "rings" theres, like in https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-look-up-org-uks-chemtrail-missing-link-hybrid-contrails.3206/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmCozKbCQAI43F4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmCozKbCQAI43F4.jpg

2014-04-25T04:40:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459552492213137408

RT @Adam_Clatworthy: @MickWest Are Formula 1 cars spraying too? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBF7XtCcAEvP27.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBF7XtCcAEvP27.jpg

2014-04-25T04:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459551925122265088

.@Lee_galize Yeah, look at the ingredients in this supposedly "natural" food! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmCoCi7CQAANE12.jpg

---

INGREDIENTS OF AN ALL-NATURAL EGG



INGREDIENTS: AQUA (75.8%), **AMINO ACIDS (12.6%)** (GLUTAMIC ACID (14%), ASPARTIC ACID (11%), VALINE (9%), ARGININE (8%), LEUCINE (8%), LYSINE (7%), SERINE (7%), PHENYLALANINE (6%), ALANINE (5%), ISOLEUCINE (5%), PROLINE (4%), TYROSINE (3%), THREONINE (3%), GLYCINE (3%), HISTIDINE (2%), METHIONINE (3%), CYSTINE (2%), TRYPTOPHAN (1%)); **FATTY ACIDS (9.9%)** (OCTADECENOIC ACID (45%), HEXADECANOIC ACID (32%), OCTADECANOIC ACID (12%), EICOSATETRAENOIC ACID (3%), EICOSANOIC ACID (2%), DOCOSANOIC ACID (1%), TETRACOSANOIC ACID (1%), OCTANOIC ACID (<1%), DECANOIC ACID (<1%), DODECANOIC ACID (<1%), TETRADECANOIC ACID (<1%), PENTADECANOIC ACID (<1%), HEPTADECANOIC ACID (<1%), TETRADECENOIC ACID (<1%), HEXADECENOIC ACID (<1%), EICOSENOIC ACID (<1%), DOCOSENOIC ACID (<1%), OMEGA-6 FATTY ACID: OCTADECADIENOIC ACID (12%), OMEGA-3 FATTY ACID: OCTADECATRIENOIC ACID (<1%), EICOSAPENTAENOIC ACID (EPA) (<1%), OMEGA-3 FATTY ACID: DOCOSAHEXAENOIC ACID (DHA) (<1%)); **SUGARS (0.8%)** (GLUCOSE (30%), SUCROSE (15%), FRUCTOSE (15%), LACTOSE (15%), MALTOSE (15%), GALACTOSE (15%)); **COLOUR** (E160c, E160a), E306, E101; **FLAVOURS** (PHENYLACETALDEHYDE, DODECA-2-ENAL, HEPTA-2-ENAL, HEXADECANAL, OCTADECANAL, PENTAN-2-ONE, BUTAN-2-ONE, ACETALDEHYDE, FORMALDEHYDE, ACETONE); SHELL (E170), ALSO CONTAINS BENZENE & BENZENE DERIVATIVES, ESTERS, FURANS, SULFUR-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS AND TERPENES.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmCoCi7CQAANE12.jpg

2014-04-25T04:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459551461198671872

@mnchemtrails Airplanes, and a high level of upper atmospheric humidity.

2014-04-25T01:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459498322336571393

@HistoryInPics That's a production still from the 1919 film Ravished Armenia. http://youtu.be/uTnCaW-Uo_s?t=23m5s

---

2014-04-25T00:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459492818382098432

@MrMaxBliss So where are the errors? Are you going to point them out, or retract your accusation?

2014-04-24T22:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459456343758209024

@LookTheFuckUp_ Then I invite you to submit a photo the Metabunk Skydentify forum for analysis. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/skydentify-what-is-that-thing-in-the-sky.32/

2014-04-24T22:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459455218543894528

@UFORN Explained here with the plane identified: : https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-over-ibiza-meteor-missile-rocket-or-simply-contrails-contrail-flight-vy2425.3533/ @cocoloony

---

2014-04-24T22:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459454972183072768

@ibizahotels That was a contrail. Flight VY2425. See here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-over-ibiza-meteor-missile-rocket-or-simply-contrails-contrail-flight-vy2425.3533/

2014-04-24T21:24:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459442663767289857

@LookTheFuckUp_ So, your pic appears to show normal contrails. Just like these in a 1972 book. So... why "abnormal"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBEqyECMAE8oC_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBEqyECMAE8oC_.jpg

2014-04-24T21:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459439209153970176

@martinhume Video image is deliberately misleading.

http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Gallery/Photo/B-747/HTML/ECN-4242.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBBhp7CMAA_9X-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBBhp7CMAA_9X-.jpg

2014-04-24T21:09:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459439057223692288

This 1974 NASA wake vortex photo is commonly misused by "chemtrail" promoters. If you see it, ask why it's there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBBYzkCMAAlIYh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmBBYzkCMAAlIYh.jpg

2014-04-24T20:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459433466866573312

@BadAstronomer Yes, and looking at those timelapses, it's probably all roiling around

---

2014-04-24T20:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459431036619739136

@BadAstronomer nanobursts :)

2014-04-24T20:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459429782514434048

@BadAstronomer @CloudAppSoc Also "Vigorous Virga"

http://footage.shutterstock.com/clip-3639284-stock-footage-vigorous-virga-turbulence-induced-by-falling-precipitation-in-a-dry-air-mass.html

---

2014-04-24T20:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459429201603334146

@BadAstronomer @CloudAppSoc "microburts and virga:

http://footage.shutterstock.com/clip-3655490-stock-footage-microburst-and-virga-sheets-of-precipitation-fall-into-dry-air-mass-below-causing-gusty-winds.html?src=rel/3652895:3/gg

---

2014-04-24T20:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459428822908014592

@BadAstronomer @CloudAppSoc Although I'm thinking a hybrid mammatus/virga sounds good.

Classification is continuum. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmA4FEzCcAAQZY2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmA4FEzCcAAQZY2.jpg

2014-04-24T20:24:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459427677737189376

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard You should ask @CloudAppSoc :) I'm just the contrail guy.

2014-04-24T20:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459426650065608704

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard Shelf cloud with somewhat similar pendules and fractus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmA2GoQCMAApbkE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmA2GoQCMAApbkE.jpg

2014-04-24T20:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459425941651849216

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard I don't think you can say there are "two rows" The darker clouds could be any depth.

2014-04-24T20:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459425682922016768

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard Clouds are a continuum of classifications. Looks like the underlayer of a small shelf cloud.

2014-04-24T20:12:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459424784208519168

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard I think there's more depth there than you realize. Look at the pink cloud in front. Dark clouds are way back. 3D

2014-04-24T20:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459423856755625984

@lisa_alba But she also says there is not evidence the trails are not contrails.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zOXt0BTOTN0

2014-04-24T20:07:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459423365548089345

@lisa_alba @didueatmygrape @abbeylinegold A load of old books that say contrails can persist.

2014-04-24T20:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459423170567479296

@abbeylinegold @didueatmygrape @lisa_alba As do contrails. So how do you know they are not

contrails?

2014-04-24T20:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459422979319791616

@lisa_alba @didueatmygrape @abbeylinegold The evidence seems to indicate otherwise. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-24T20:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459422723265933313

@lisa_alba @abbeylinegold I'm simply discussing the validity of proposed evidence.

2014-04-24T20:03:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459422518017683456

@didueatmygrape @lisa_alba @abbeylinegold Because I like to fix bunk. It distracts from real issues.

2014-04-24T20:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459421653735194624

@BadAstronomer @NASAGoddard Maybe not as much in a line as you think. Also unusually good background contrast amplifies oddness.

2014-04-24T19:05:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459407905989476352

@LookTheFuckUp_ That, and the crashing :)

2014-04-24T19:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459407782714675200

@abbeylinegold @lisa_alba Well my eyes certainly have fooled me in the past. Check your assumptions. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAk8cACEAAq01f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAk8cACEAAq01f.jpg

2014-04-24T19:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459406940741709824

@BadAstronomer Not really virga, as virga is feathered. I'd vote for "just clouds" Perhaps some perspective. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAkLbaCMAEbTum.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAkLbaCMAEbTum.jpg

2014-04-24T18:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459406131144556544

@lisa_alba @abbeylinegold We should talk about how that video uses bogus debunked footage and pics. Like the KC-10 https://www.youtube.com/user/USAFFEKC10A/videos

---

2014-04-24T18:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459405452850106368

@LookTheFuckUp_ And your not you're :)

2014-04-24T18:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459402606792556544

http://archive.today is a great tool for debunking. Takes a copy of the bunk so the bunkers can't say it never happened.

2014-04-24T18:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459393257047212032

@LondonChemtrail Interesting they chose to show a fighter jet with wingtip aerodynamic contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAXu2JCEAAl8oi.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmAXu2JCEAAl8oi.jpg

2014-04-24T18:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459392618791567360

@LookTheFuckUp_ Well, not really, as it's just high altitude water in the form of ice crystals. You get far more pollution from car exhaust.

2014-04-24T17:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459386964475518977
@hersimohammed Debunked: Nobody was spooked, just some guy running.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-ghost-running-through-football-stadium-in-bolivia.3541/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg
2014-04-24T17:42:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459386832258494464
@bongtai Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-ghost-running-through-football-stadium-in-bolivia.3541/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg @iannnnn @PimHwang @taenuch @khangarn @sweetcocoa



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg

2014-04-24T17:41:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459386601148125185

@SukhSandhu Debunked:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-ghost-running-through-football-stadium-in-bolivia.3541/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg @TumeloMaepa

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg
2014-04-24T17:39:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459386281588322304
@MailOnline Debunked
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-ghost-running-through-football-stadium-in-bolivia.3541/ @Doc_JJK @iErique @WillionaireF @robdetf @Amisi1
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg
2014-04-24T17:39:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459386072107986944
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BmARMpKCAAAEyvY.jpg
2014-04-24T17:27:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459383149751853056
Debunked: Video of ghost running through football stadium in Bolivia
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-ghost-running-through-football-stadium-in-bolivia.3541/

2014-04-24T17:04:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459377316129280000
@senorchompers Your theory seems very tenuous to me. It seems very reasonably they would take extra precaution after 9/11

2014-04-24T05:23:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459200870479953920
@butcher979 @martinhume The diagram does not indicate a need for conductive chemtrails. It uses the ionosphere.

2014-04-24T00:33:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459127916748492801
@nickmyer @KristenMeghan Then where is the evidence that the trails I see are not persistent contrails? Why so hard to present it?

2014-04-24T00:32:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459127739681742848
@KristenMeghan By that logic they are selling Ebola and the secession of Texas. Talking â Doing.

2014-04-24T00:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459126510050230273
@senorchompers Would have been against something like anthrax bomb in the Washington Mall.

2014-04-24T00:27:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459126351224516608
@senorchompers Obviously it was not against a mail attack, as the Pres does not open his own mail.

2014-04-24T00:26:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459126182902910976
@senorchompers Doesn't seem significant to me. There was a series of terrorist attacks, so they too precautions.

2014-04-23T23:26:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459111042895732737
@senorchompers If it was part of a diabolical plot, they would have taken Cipro in secret. This was just cautionary.

2014-04-23T23:25:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459110761600532480
@nickmyer @KristenMeghan It's an important issue. It's a pity the "chemtrail" theory is distracting from the real aspects of the issue.

2014-04-23T23:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459104501329178624
@senorchompers Essentially the attacked raised fears of other terrorist attacks. So preventative measures were taken.

2014-04-23T22:56:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459103538509910018
@senorchompers Looks like they went on Cipro on 9/11, as a response to the terrorist attacks. Probably just standard SOP when US is attacked

---

2014-04-23T22:09:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459091831918051328
NASA talk gives a good overview of possible geoengineering in the future, and present research issues. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EsKfD0-sFzA

2014-04-23T22:08:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459091398298320896
@KristenMeghan Here's a YouTube version. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EsKfD0-sFzA Basically proves it's not being done now.

2014-04-23T21:41:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/459084684467515392
@piKKKil @WeatherWar101 @NeilYoungSaveUs Sorry guys, I'm only interested in discussing the validity of evidence. So if you've not got any...

2014-04-23T21:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459081012849303553

@piKKKil @WeatherWar101 But surely there is benefit in proving it to the public? So why can't you?

2014-04-23T21:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459080853843230720

@WeatherWar101 @piKKKil @NeilYoungSaveUs I'm not sure that immunity to reasoned argument is something to be proud of :)

2014-04-23T21:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459080289503813632

Debunking the debunkers. - Why the explanation of a ghost pic is counterproductive. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-the-debunking-of-the-gallipoli-ghost-at-soldiers-cemetery.3526/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl77Fp7CcAAzxLU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl77Fp7CcAAzxLU.jpg

2014-04-23T19:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459053406225592320

@piKKKil @WeatherWar101 @NeilYoungSaveUs I'd be happy to correct anything I got wrong. Just quote it.

2014-04-23T16:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459006806509907968

@Adam_Clatworthy They are all crisis actors, rehearsing for a Roman flase flag ::

2014-04-23T16:08:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/459000920575991808

Production photo from Spartacus, 1959. Filmed partly in California, contrails were a problem. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl6y57rCYAAUlLP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl6y57rCYAAUlLP.jpg

2014-04-23T15:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458997932377636864

@MrMaxBliss I'm strongly opposed to people being impolite. The aim of debunking is to remove bunk.

2014-04-23T15:55:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458997690500538369

@MrMaxBliss Because from my understanding water injection makes zero difference to persistence, which is based on ambient conditions.

2014-04-23T15:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458997522745159680

@MrMaxBliss Why don't you just debunk it. Show me references that explain how water injection would make a contrail persist.

2014-04-23T04:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458827493084897281

@johncusack Extensive analysis of the ghost car here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ghost-car-seems-to-appear-out-of-nowhere-illusion.3473/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl4VLIrCIAAWwn8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl4VLIrCIAAWwn8.jpg

2014-04-23T04:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458826249809321984

@4thAnon I'm fine with plain sugar. But there's no known harm in aspartame.

2014-04-23T00:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458757778794745857

@Valkyrie_77 @4thAnon You know you are not forced to believe every story that's not the official story. You can also check with science.

2014-04-23T00:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458757388560912384

@4thAnon You know it's basically a hoax. But the alternative media is too embarrased to give it up. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aspartame_controversy

---

2014-04-22T23:52:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458755341308534785

@4thAnon The study was just for diet drinks, not specific to aspartame. And correlation is not causation.

2014-04-22T23:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458750193505009665

@4thAnon He said that in 1918. It's not what it seems.
http://wagingnonviolence.org/feature/what-gandhi-really-thought-about-guns/

2014-04-22T23:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458745690953220096

@The_Codeine_Kid Again, look just like contrails from 50+ years ago.

2014-04-22T23:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458745569972731904

@4thAnon Depends on your perspective.

http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/foreigners/2011/05/george_washington_was_considered_a_terrorist.html

---

2014-04-22T23:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458745228745142272

@The_Codeine_Kid How does that differ from these 1957 contrails?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl3KWtQCcAEokUd.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl3KWtQCcAEokUd.jpg
2014-04-22T23:08:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458744301749403648

@4thAnon Maybe people are just dislike "insurgent" because of the muslim overtones? But George Washington was an insurgent.

2014-04-22T23:07:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458743967333355520

@The_Codeine_Kid If no one knows what they are doing, then why do you believe they are doing something?

2014-04-22T23:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458743652756369409

@The_Codeine_Kid What was bogus about the data I supplied?

2014-04-22T23:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458743515518750720

@4thAnon Gandhi with guns? Insurgent is more neutral than Terrorist or Freedom Fighter. How about "armed anti-fed protesters"?

2014-04-22T23:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458742866160799744

@The_Codeine_Kid Does it not bother you that the evidence you just tweeted was totally bogus?

2014-04-22T23:02:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458742720110940160

@The_Codeine_Kid I see the exact same things that you see. But you have to go beyond just looking, and start figuring out.

2014-04-22T22:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458737539990294529

@The_Codeine_Kid Good job those tests were totally bogus or we would all be dead. They tested the wrong thing. http://contrailscience.com/chemtrail-non-science/

2014-04-22T22:32:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458735003413643265

EPA finally issues a statement on chemtrails 14 years ago! http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf That should clear everything up.

2014-04-22T22:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458733434270015488

@4thAnon http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/insurgent "a person who revolts against civil authority or an established government" - seems accurate?

2014-04-22T22:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458732657182928896

@jngpix @KathyMillerDC @martinhume They have given you answers, you have simply overlooked or ignored them. http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2014-04-22T22:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458732238381649920
@wolfb He's right, it could. It's just highly unlikely. :)

2014-04-22T22:13:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458730348214358016
@SaveUsFromThem @trutherbot Weather Modification is obviously real. Nobody ever said it was not.

2014-04-22T22:12:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458730103057293312
@nickmyer I'm certain they don't seem to exist. Just like unicorns don't seem to exist. They might though.

2014-04-22T22:11:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458729953400352768
@nickmyer @photoking63 A. Obviously.

2014-04-22T20:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458709842329206784
@DisFreyWilliams 1) The Captain. 2) Nothing, that's the wake in a composite satellite image.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl2qK8qCAAIxvAG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl2qK8qCAAIxvAG.jpg
2014-04-22T17:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458664326715691008
@photoking63 Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fed-ex-md-11-almost-collides-with-ghost-tankers.3191/

2014-04-22T16:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458638655225987072
@MrMaxBliss You don't have a single reference on the contribution of water injection to WWII

contrails? None at all?

2014-04-22T15:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458628677173903361

Cool wind visualizer. Click "Earth" for menu.

http://earth.nullschool.net/#current/wind/isobaric/250hPa/orthographic=-79.01,34.34,328

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl1gWg7CIAEz7Eg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bl1gWg7CIAEz7Eg.jpg

2014-04-22T12:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458586056816742400

@blazeart_ @awesome086 @lek_black @WorkPsychol @Rod77Red @Greenpeace @AnonWolfTV @Englandanon It certainly looks like a contrail.

2014-04-22T12:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458578624380010496

@myanmarapple @SFriedScientist Yes, it's exactly the same size as the passenger boats on that loch.

2014-04-22T04:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458465902699114497

@senorchompers Sure, send me the details and I'll check it out.

2014-04-22T03:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458448079117488128

Debunked: "Nuke Cancer" from 9/11 (PressTV)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/

2014-04-22T03:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458447158325166080

@TruthWarrior54 Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/ @Reptoid_Hunter @Lawful_Rebel @nakedshortkilla

---

2014-04-22T03:27:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458447089911873536

@wherepond Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/ @jesusofiraq

---

2014-04-22T03:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458446994856361984

@CongressRatings Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/ @CampaignReform1 @UnitePink

---

2014-04-22T03:27:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458446938736558080

@GSFNetwork Debunked here - it's basically a made up story:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/

2014-04-22T03:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458446817114324993

@PressTV Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nuke-cancer-from-9-11-presstv-gordon-duff.3515/ @elzhi_fan @CosmUnity @Highr0ller @TaniaXP7 @ElidhM

2014-04-22T01:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458423570318622721

@NWSCharlestonSC Yes, but you need RHi of >100% for contrail persistence. Obviously it's there. But do nums work out? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlylzvICAAAAhVh.jpg

---

$$RH_i = RH_w \left[\frac{e_{sat,w}(T_a)}{e_{sat,i}(T_a)} \right], \qquad (2.1)$$

where for $-40°C \leq T_a \leq 0°C$ [*Buck*, 1981]

$$e_{sat,w}(T_a) = (1.0007 + 3.46 \times 10^{-6}\ P)6.1121 \exp\left(\frac{17.966 T_a}{247.15 + T_a}\right),$$

and for $-50°C \leq T_a \leq 0°C$

$$e_{sat,i}(T_a) = (1.0003 + 4.18 \times 10^{-6}\ P)6.1115 \exp\left(\frac{22.452 T_a}{272.55 + T_a}\right).$$

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlylzvICAAAAhVh.jpg
2014-04-22T01:28:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458417129876750336
@NWSCharlestonSC 46% seems a bit low. What does that translate to with respect to ice? Is your sonde accurate?

2014-04-22T01:24:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458416073839087617
@NeilYoungSaveUs But beyond them seeming more ubiquitous to you and your friends, is there evidence that they actually are?

2014-04-22T01:11:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458412733377957888
@trutherbot Well, that rather depends on what you mean by "geoengineering", doesn't it?

2014-04-22T01:09:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458412273585750017
@NeilYoungSaveUs But surely you'd want to be able to build a case. How do you prove these ripple are odd?

2014-04-22T00:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458406075109089280
@NeilYoungSaveUs Just google for ripple clouds, there are thousands of photos from the last 100 years that look just like yours.

2014-04-21T23:19:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458384639174791168
@sharksnstars @SFriedScientist No. It's just where two satellite images were overlaid, so it looked transparent.

2014-04-21T23:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458380499740655616

@JasonBermas This guy nearly blew up JFK, served just six years in a mental institution: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richard_Paul_Pavlick

---

2014-04-21T23:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458379866035863552

@JasonBermas He almost killed Reagan too. He didn't just hit him. Regan very nearly died.

2014-04-21T22:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458379619909922816

@JasonBermas My point here is that this release is perfectly legal, and not at all unusual. Other attempted assassins were released.

2014-04-21T22:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458379219886563329

@JasonBermas People who tried to kill the president were released. That was the point. You can't spin it as "oh, but they didn't do it well"

2014-04-21T22:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458378214318960640

@JasonBermas One more: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Bremer#Sentence_and_release Point made?

2014-04-21T22:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458377941886320641

@JasonBermas And another: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sara_Jane_Moore#Release

---

2014-04-21T22:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458377766153383936

@JasonBermas I just said killers. But, here's one https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Raymond_Lee_Harvey

---

2014-04-21T22:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458376853753843712

@JasonBermas Being diagnosed as mentally ill makes the difference here. Lots of mentally ill killers get out eventually.

2014-04-21T22:47:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458376467361968128

@eddiebravo @duncantrussell @joerogan @madflavor @redban @bryancallen Well, more like Rosalind Peterson talks vaguely about it in 2007.

2014-04-21T22:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458375749192265728

@JasonBermas You think because he's been released now, that's evidence Bush arranged the hit?

2014-04-21T22:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458371271164588033

@eddiebravo Planes spraying SRM geoengineering is what does not exist. "Geoengineering" is a general term that covers many things.

2014-04-21T22:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458365458417082368

@joebuzzi Thanks Joe!

2014-04-21T21:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458357192823824384

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap So when were you going to explain my mistakes? Did I miss something?

2014-04-21T20:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458348117004275712

@Kris198921 @SFriedScientist The ripples are just too dark to be visible in the blended image. All you can see is the white wakes

---

2014-04-21T20:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458347447433965569

Another type of SRM is "space mirrors", which, like "chemtrails", don't seem to exist.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space_mirror_(geoengineering)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlxgkynCcAAW3OW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlxgkynCcAAW3OW.jpg

2014-04-21T20:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458346592672555008

@eddiebravo Another type of SRM is the "cool roof" movement. Which actually exists.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_radiation_management#Cool_roof

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlxfzCZCAAE5M_O.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlxfzCZCAAE5M_O.png

2014-04-21T20:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458346164375412736

@eddiebravo No they are not. SRM is just one aspect of geoengineering. Basically anything that alters how much sunlight the earth absorbs

---

2014-04-21T20:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/458344324699144192

@NeilYoungSaveUs So why did they have the same patterns in 1905 then?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Blxdu-eCMAEPy7G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Blxdu-eCMAEPy7G.jpg
2014-04-21T04:25:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458099169442291713
@MrMaxBliss There were no turboprops in WWII. But I await your ADI/Contrail references

---

2014-04-20T22:23:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458008158686830592
@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap Sorry I'm going to be AFK for a few hours. Easter party.

2014-04-20T22:22:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/458007841492582401
@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap Sure, why don't you got ahead and explain what I got wrong. With references.

2014-04-20T20:15:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457975883286859776
@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap If I'm wrong, I'll correct it. But I've not seen any evidence that WWII contrails are not normal exhaust contrails.

2014-04-20T20:14:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457975545343397889
@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap And descriptions of how often it was used.

2014-04-20T20:13:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457975456843567105
@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap I look forward to it Max. Please include calculation of the quantity of water in the exhaust.

2014-04-20T20:13:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457975300630908928

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap YOu know burning a gallon of fuel makes 1.3 gallons of water? Burning with water might actually make less.

2014-04-20T20:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457974920362745856

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap What vital truth? Please explain. Water injection is rarely used, and would not really affect contrails.

2014-04-20T20:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457974474130747392

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap But maybe you were thinking of the fuel/air mixture? Rich/lean mixture, etc? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Airâ□□fuel_ratio

---

2014-04-20T20:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457974216940204032

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap They don't. They say "exhaust of the engine mixing with the cold thin air condenses.."

2014-04-20T19:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457970205243621376

@ModernCrap @MrMaxBliss I appreciate genuine honest criticism, and I correct my mistakes.

2014-04-20T19:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457969088749244416

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport And what about all the people who actually remember persistent contrails? Matching the books.

2014-04-20T19:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457968959862501376

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport So you think your memory is more accurate than all the books on clouds for 70 years?

2014-04-20T19:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457967425762234368

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport And what you see in the real world matches what you see in the books. So what is the problem?

2014-04-20T19:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457967284670066688

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport These books exist in the real world. On my desk right now. And in libraries and bookstores.

2014-04-20T19:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457965930585145344

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport Text and photos. Do you doubt these books are real? Would be like to check?

2014-04-20T19:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457965218128076800

@nickmyer @RationalBee @chemtrailreport Would you agree though that it's strong evidence

that contrails can persist and spread?

2014-04-20T19:27:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457963892094693376

@martinhume Not according to that diagram it doesn't.

2014-04-20T19:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457963680454295552

@RationalBee @nickmyer @chemtrailreport All these books, and a couple more I've got since (1943 and 1991) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlsDim6CUAAAPJa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlsDim6CUAAAPJa.jpg

2014-04-20T19:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457962704552988672

@nickmyer @chemtrailreport Back to 1943! That's 71 years ago. You think I faked that?

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlsCpzUCcAArQDI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlsCpzUCcAArQDI.jpg

2014-04-20T19:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457962212804419584

@nickmyer @chemtrailreport So you think the books are fake? This is something you could verify yourself. Not my opinion. Just old books.

2014-04-20T19:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457961996579655680

@eRiC_Bz1 @martinhume It's cloud seeding with salt water in Malaysia. Martin is fully aware of this. http://www.nst.com.my/latest/cloud-seeding-operation-continues-1.497720

2014-04-20T19:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457960879015727105

@chemtrailreport Thanks! I look forward to hearing your thoughts.

2014-04-20T19:14:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457960555743944704

@nickmyer @RationalBee I don't give my opinion. I give scientific references you can check yourself. e.g. http://arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/2.2976?journalCode=ja

---

2014-04-20T19:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457960142168784896

@chemtrailreport This is a good start - a very short video I made, of old books on clouds: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-20T19:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457959958554763264

@chemtrailreport And what is that? Can you provide a description of a contrail from an old book on clouds to back this up?

2014-04-20T19:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457959759916703744

@nickmyer @RationalBee I'd be happy to address any issues you have. I take it you get the "5%" thing now?

2014-04-20T19:10:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457959558388776960

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap However water injection is not used during cruise, which is when we see most contrails. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/War_emergency_power

---

2014-04-20T19:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457958721386057728

@MrMaxBliss @moderncrap I'd be happy to correct any such oversight Max. I do have lots of photos of WWII contrails. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wfOrez6q7WM

2014-04-20T18:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457952390948212736

@chemtrailreport Contrails, like any high cirrus cloud, can signify a change in the weather. You see them at the edge of weather systems.

2014-04-20T18:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457952134848208897

@4thAnon Unless they have a video camera. In which case it does not work. Broken lights go on and off randomly.

2014-04-20T18:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457948701416112128

@rcarruth Politics and conspiracy theories, but also an old fashioned cumudongely dislike of new ways of doing things.

2014-04-20T17:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457934025558999040

@SkyeShepard Sorry, the "Snowdon Chemtrails" story is satire, from a satire site like The Onion.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails.2550/

2014-04-20T17:00:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457926789302460416

My Easter Message: What the Bible says about Debunking and Skepticism

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/what-the-bible-says-about-debunking-and-skepticism.1895/

2014-04-20T16:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457924417192865793

@nickmyer @RationalBee A bit higher and the 707 would contrail, a bit lower and the A340 would stop. They are in the 5% range.

2014-04-20T16:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457924240902066176

@nickmyer @RationalBee You understand what's going on in this pic? Planes are on the edge of the right altitude. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Blrfq7qCEAABHif.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Blrfq7qCEAABHif.jpg

2014-04-20T16:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457923798025527296

@nickmyer @RationalBee I'm sorry, I think you must misunderstand, as I've been saying the exact same thing all along.

2014-04-20T16:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457923581364543489

@nickmyer @RationalBee "an altitude range exists in which [some jets] with high efficiency causes contrails while [others].. causes none"

2014-04-20T16:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457923299859652608

@nickmyer @RationalBee Here's the science: http://elib.dlr.de/9247/1/aerscitech-2000.pdf

---

2014-04-20T16:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457923011119562752

@nickmyer @RationalBee But it's true. Some engines do make about 5% more contrails. It's called the "contrail factor", and varies by engine.

2014-04-20T16:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457921620821016576

@nickmyer @RationalBee Photo shows two contrails. Could be 10,000 or 1,000 feet feet apart.

2014-04-20T16:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457921402884997121

@nickmyer As I've explained, it's not really significantly different to the old jet. 1957 example: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlrdFu4CEAAUe_z.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlrdFu4CEAAUe_z.jpg
2014-04-20T16:37:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457920940534284289
@nickmyer @RationalBee All the books on clouds for the last 70 years disagree with you. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-20T16:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457920029204303872
@nickmyer @RationalBee Make a target 5% bigger and you will hit it 5% more often. High bypass engines just have a bigger target.

2014-04-20T16:32:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457919669886668800
@nickmyer @RationalBee It was, because it expands the range of altitudes at which they can leave contrails. It's like having a bigger target

---

2014-04-20T16:27:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457918543355334656
@nickmyer @RationalBee And how do you measure the altitude? They all look pretty much the same: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlrafShCIAAAURQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlrafShCIAAAURQ.jpg
2014-04-20T16:26:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457918227528429568
@nickmyer @RationalBee Like: Instead of the contrail range being 31000 to 34000 ft, it might be 29850 ft to 34000 ft with a high bypass jet

---

2014-04-20T16:22:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457917193812860928
@nickmyer @RationalBee The 5% number was the increase in the range of altitudes at which a contrail might form. Altitude is prime factor.

2014-04-20T16:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457916947527503873

@nelizadrew Yes, I think people don't get that it's about instilling understanding, not some crazy new algorithms.

2014-04-20T15:07:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457898455642288128

It's popular for old people to mock Common Core math, but there are some good reasons behind it.

http://www.vox.com/2014/4/20/5625086/the-common-core-makes-simple-math-more-complicated-heres-why

---

2014-04-20T14:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457894484861149184

@nickmyer @RationalBee So what did I get wrong? How much more likely are high bypass engines to create contrails?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-04-20T14:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457890175679352832

@nickmyer @RationalBee Only very slightly. Like about 5% more contrails.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/engine-efficiency-high-bypass-and-contrail-frequency-how-much.226/ (maybe more in future)

2014-04-20T14:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457888790233640960

@CBowen4 Having a very large number of words and claims in a document does not make it more authoritative. Evidence does.

2014-04-20T14:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457887935266701313

@nickmyer @RationalBee Not true. All engines can create contrails. FIrst spreading contrails observed in 1920s

---

2014-04-20T14:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457886319817920512

@nickmyer @RationalBee Why not pick the biggest one then? Contrail persistent. How long can contrails last?

2014-04-20T14:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457884399652990976

@ModernCrap @MrMaxBliss One wonders how they got: "Mickâ□□s consistent failure to site academic sources" e.g.

http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2014-04-20T05:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457748015575343104

@4thAnon A more detailed debunk:

https://web.archive.org/web/20101013142121/http://www.diggings.com.au/downloads/12/articles

---

2014-04-20T05:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457746639713599488

@4thAnon Been known to be modern fakes for decades.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kariong,_New_South_Wales#Gosford_glyphs

---

2014-04-20T05:00:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457745654794579968

@Patrick__King If you think I said something wrong, then quote it, and I will explain or retract it.

2014-04-20T04:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457745153206124544

@the_truth1234 @KristenMeghan Theres no debating it because there's no evidence it's true. Maybe start with evidence, not assertions.

2014-04-20T04:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457744927569346560

@Patrick__King @joerogan @eddiebravo @bryancallen Like what? I AM a retired video game guy, and nobody pays me.

http://www.mobygames.com/developer/sheet/view/developerId,14618/

2014-04-19T21:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457629253022928896

@USRealityCheck It's just a boat wake. Evidence here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ @defraserabq

---

2014-04-19T21:15:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457628658853625856

@TelegraphTech No. Here's the technical explanation.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ @digiscopeonline @iLoveAppleUK @DisparatePost

---

2014-04-19T21:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457628511474155520

@calabresejustin Unfortunately they are wrong. Just a boat.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/

2014-04-19T21:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457628351797010433

@Telegraph No. It's just a boat.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ @ahmedshaheed @TheMcClane @Pursuit_ology @emmyloumac

---

2014-04-19T21:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457627822471643136

@TimSchofield2 No, just a boat.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/

2014-04-19T21:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457626990850232321
@SFriedScientist and this one (via:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/#post-101757) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlnRUriCAAAXbjU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlnRUriCAAAXbjU.jpg
2014-04-19T21:07:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/457626494215286784
@SFriedScientist feel free to use this photo comparison:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlnQ3x-CYAAOc2C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlnQ3x-CYAAOc2C.jpg

2014-04-19T19:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457596616975720450

@4thAnon Is there a good example of something fraudulent on the site?

2014-04-19T18:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457583080497098752

@4thAnon So you won't go there because you don't like the site name?

2014-04-19T18:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457579891756892160

@4thAnon Or perhaps there are just more dash-cams now?

2014-04-19T18:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457579597190557696

@4thAnon I've seen no evidence that it's fraudulent. Assertions are not evidence.

2014-04-19T17:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457577805123825664

@4thAnon That's from six years ago. And so far has proven to be less accurate than IPCC projections.

http://www.skepticalscience.com/don-easterbrook-heartland-distortion-of-reality.html

---

2014-04-19T14:30:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457526577715482624

@middleeye83 @KristenMeghan I thought KM was repeating Florence William's mistake about perchlorate in jet fuel.

http://www.momscleanairforce.org/breasts-an-interview-with-author-florence-williams/

2014-04-19T14:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457521857076277248

@eRiC_Bz1 @KristenMeghan That would be rather tricky, as they generally form above 30,000

feet. It would be breathing very thin ice fog.

2014-04-19T14:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457521613223628800

@WeAreChangeNYC Except there's nowhere in that article where they "admit to chemtrails"

2014-04-19T14:06:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457520653873078272

@bkturnbow @KristenMeghan Wrong. All the books on clouds for the last 70 years say they can last for hours. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-19T14:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457519392654245889

@bkturnbow @KristenMeghan They are certainly not "permanent" anywhere though.

2014-04-19T14:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457519287272345602

@bkturnbow @KristenMeghan I look at the sky every day, all day. Contrails are not that common over Los Angeles. Varies by location.

2014-04-19T13:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457516381634695169

@KristenMeghan So what exactly was you point when you claimed jet fuel was absorbed in breast milk? Why no references for this?

2014-04-19T13:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457509496235909120

@AdamJColquhoun Too much of anything is toxic. It's debatable if it's much use in the water. But it it occurs naturally in many places.

2014-04-19T13:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457506798241452032

@2ndfor1st @KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto How do you know it's not just airplane contrails?

2014-04-19T13:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457506351262871553

Debunked: Photo of Loch Ness Monster "Nessie" in Apple Maps Satellite image [Boat] https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlljmifCMAAwdMV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlljmifCMAAwdMV.jpg

2014-04-19T13:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457505856821555200

@bryanalvarez No, it's a boat, you can see more if you scroll around.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ @BrianDaBrain @DanStorey89 @tw1zt1d81

2014-04-19T13:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457505676705529857

@MinaANDMaya No, it's a boat.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-photo-of-nessie-in-apple-maps-satellite-image-of-loch-ness-boat.3474/ @NevaehRatekin @BryanPezzone @simps555 @nuttyami

---

2014-04-19T06:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457400323460456449

@2ndfor1st @KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto Can you explain how it differs from water vapor?

2014-04-19T05:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457393385737711617

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto Mycotoxins are from fungi, not jet fuel.

2014-04-19T02:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457352647977099265

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto Citation needed.

2014-04-18T23:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457293025232683008

@English103 @suegrant54321 @martinhume Not in recent times, no.

2014-04-18T23:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457292778402115584

@Jason10Noto @KristenMeghan I think you miss the point. The point is that jet fuel ingredients have not been found in breast milk.

2014-04-18T22:21:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457282795228758016

@English103 @suegrant54321 @martinhume (as in, that was not true).

2014-04-18T22:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457282729508233216

@English103 @suegrant54321 @martinhume That there was evidence of WMDs in Iraq.

2014-04-18T22:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457282519461683200

@Jason10Noto @KristenMeghan Jet fuel in breast milk is not a problem known to science. Perchlorate is not in Jet Fuel.

2014-04-18T21:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457276971504791552

@English103 @suegrant54321 @martinhume I agree. But I'm pro-truth. (Ballast barrels in your pic, see

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/)

2014-04-18T21:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457276736510517248

@suegrant54321 @English103 @martinhume I've discussed this with Dane. You can read a transcript here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/wigington-west-geoengineering-debate.2211/

2014-04-18T21:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457276554553212928

@Jason10Noto Yes I know, but the point is it's not in jet fuel, and it's the toxin found in breast milk. @KristenMeghan mixed it up.

2014-04-18T21:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457273907959971840

@suegrant54321 Yes, from sounding rockets. Essentially giant fireworks (lithium is the red in fireworks)

2014-04-18T21:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457273625498767360

@Jason10Noto I'm unclear what point you are making? Perchlorate is in rocket fuel, not in jet fuel.

2014-04-18T21:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457273260141334528

@Jason10Noto @awesome086 @KristenMeghan @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas An unnamed

jet fuel ingredient. Methinks a mistake. Rockets.

2014-04-18T21:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457273036530384896

@English103 @suegrant54321 @martinhume Very little is being sprayed. I suspect you mean "chemtrails", which appear to be just contrails.

2014-04-18T20:29:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457254654087147520

@suegrant54321 @English103 @martinhume Lithium? You mean from sounding rockets in space? Nobody sprays lithium from planes.

2014-04-18T20:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457252740511453184

@suegrant54321 @English103 @martinhume Sure, but unfortunately it's indicative of the quality level of the evidence.

2014-04-18T20:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457248836688179200

@English103 @martinhume @suegrant54321 Malaysian cloud seeding with salt water, and not a jet, it's a C-130. No trail

http://www.thestar.com.my/story.aspx/?file=%2f2005%2f8%2f23%2fnation%2f11845177&sec=nation

---

2014-04-18T19:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/457246979274186752

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto @awesome086 @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas I think you mean rocket fuel, not jet fuel. No perchlorate in jet fuel

---

2014-04-17T23:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456940658435960832

@Owlztwit Could be trail up above the cloud layer that was precipitating down on it.

2014-04-17T23:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456938041706164224

@Owlztwit Interesting, do you have any more photos of it?

2014-04-17T05:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456663258359816192

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs Clearly he did not mean it literally as an explanation for everything.

2014-04-17T00:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456596983185014784

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs So what was the "swamp gas" comment referring to?

2014-04-17T00:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456591923998773249

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs That's the kind of oversimplification I was talking about. There are MANY sensible explanations. Not just one.

2014-04-17T00:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456585642659434497

@ETsAndUFOs @USDroneWarDept There are probably a mixture a explanations. It's not "aliens" vs. "liars". There are many reasons for error

---

2014-04-16T23:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456581512427618304

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs That's a false dichotomy. There are multiple reasonable explanations for the majority of reported evidence.

2014-04-16T21:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456550094079139841

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs The conclusions that the evidence is actually evidence of aliens.

2014-04-16T21:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456546917552369665

@USDroneWarDept @ETsAndUFOs I think the argument is with the conclusions, not the facts. Bit more complicated.

2014-04-16T21:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456539052192194560

@Hsaive Except it's explained by the planes being at different altitudes. So the entire video is a mistake.

2014-04-16T19:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456520772433874944

@martinhume Martin, you know exactly what this image shows (fuel dumping). It's disingenuous of you to keep pretending it's "chemtrails"

2014-04-16T19:27:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456514356126040066

@4thAnon Clearly we need to give more money to the rich!

2014-04-16T19:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456513912263819267

@nickmyer Unfortunately secret evidence is not going to convince the public, which is who you need to convince to get change.

2014-04-16T19:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456509268481413121

@PhysicistLisa @tusharnene Spamku: http://www.urbandictionary.com/define.php?term=spamku

---

2014-04-16T18:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456499692361089026

RT @novapbs: RT @TheAtlantic: You see the bullseye over Texas? It's a rippling, glowing layer of our atmosphere http://theatln.tc/1j0KUSD...

2014-04-16T17:46:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456488932243304449

@nickmyer Where is your evidence posted?

2014-04-16T17:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456485122460508160

@nickmyer So no actual evidence then? Just personal conviction?

2014-04-16T16:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456475964973670401

@4thAnon Why not find someone who makes their home in a more neutral setting? So you don't have to read between the lines?

2014-04-16T16:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456475606545203201

@ftwild2013 But lots of people remember that they were. And their recollections match recorded history. So what's up with that?

2014-04-16T16:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456475425347080194

@ETsAndUFOs Sorry these arguments are a bit too old to hash out again on Twitter.

2014-04-16T16:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456475191560773632

@nickmyer Then how do you know they exist?

2014-04-16T16:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456475046815363073

@PixelTruth2014 @fxnighttrader Why is that more likely than it being faked? It takes minutes to fake. It's quite obviously fake.

2014-04-16T16:51:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456474859766177792

@PixelTruth2014 @fxnighttrader A) Second thoughts at making such a cruel hoax. B) To make it seem more real.

2014-04-16T05:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456299036085997568

@4thAnon PressTV is not a news outlet. It's a propaganda outlet. If Lehmann is posting there it's because it suits their propaganda goals.

2014-04-16T05:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456296941849034752

@4thAnon Isn't there some more neutral middle ground between FoxTV and PressTV? Why are you cutting them so much slack?

2014-04-16T04:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456295815644860416

@TiffLSmith Sorry, I just automatically scan game-like code whenever I see it, looking for bugs. It's in my nature.

2014-04-16T04:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456295464552263681

@TiffLSmith Frequently. :) Just never on Twitter!

2014-04-16T04:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456293348819492864

@TiffLSmith Spacing is one thing, but I'm a fan of braces around single statements, just in case :)

2014-04-16T04:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456291709706465281

@TiffLSmith Inconsistent curly bracket usage and spaces around single parameters :)

2014-04-16T04:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456291215323828224

@AuthorAnnBaker I can't think that far ahead :). Maybe bump into you in Raleigh then.

2014-04-16T04:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456289735439155201

@fxnighttrader @PixelTruth2014 Howto: Save, change coords, resave. Note what changed. Copy and past over GPS in file you want to fake. Done.

2014-04-16T04:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456288995958198273

@4thAnon And they are only 800 years old. Check out this 3,800 year old lady. http://www.ancient-origins.net/ancient-places-asia/beauty-loulan-and-tattooed-mummies-tarim-basin-001227 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlUQbJCCIAEdTS0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlUQbJCCIAEdTS0.jpg

2014-04-16T04:27:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456287731719475200

@4thAnon Seem like pretty standard mummified remains to me. https://www.google.com/search?q=mummified+remains&es_sm=119&tbm=isch

---

2014-04-16T04:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456286656295739392

@AuthorAnnBaker Well maybe Raleigh then :)

2014-04-16T04:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456286073002274816

@PixelTruth2014 @fxnighttrader @CNN @metabunk Erm, it was trivial. That's the point. It took me about 15 minutes.

2014-04-16T04:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456285589365465089

@AuthorAnnBaker Don't suppose you are going to Bouchercon in Long Beach?

2014-04-16T04:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456285382443663360

@4thAnon They are simply baffled by who they were, not how well they were preserved.

2014-04-16T04:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456285203216859136

@4thAnon In the same article: "Scientists baffled" yet "Experts say they were mummified 'by mistake' by copper used in burial ritual"

2014-04-16T04:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456284540617494528

@AuthorAnnBaker Thanks for the thoughtful response. I respectfully disagree. But Twitter is not the best forum for extended rebuttals.

2014-04-16T04:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456284212601962496

@AuthorAnnBaker Your link seemed to be author specific, this is the actual link. http://www.authorsden.com/visit/viewblog.asp?AuthorID=10339 ...

2014-04-16T01:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456244544367902721

@nickmyer I seek to promote science and truth, and to fight against bunk.

2014-04-16T00:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456233111911538688

@4thAnon Doesn't look that well preserved to me: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTdmRDCcAAdQMF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTdmRDCcAAdQMF.jpg

2014-04-16T00:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456232554132041728

@nickmyer I'm an atheist. But what's this about chemtrails? How are they different to contrails?

2014-04-16T00:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456226113555951616

@bzzagentjono It was fireworks. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/weird-black-ring-appears-in-the-sky-pyrotechnic-smoke-ring.3456/

2014-04-16T00:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456225906831282176

@katenash It's a pyrotechnic smoke ring, see:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/weird-black-ring-appears-in-the-sky-pyrotechnic-smoke-ring.3456/ @Katyciada @Jaureguided @anderson_deneka @mynameisMah

---

2014-04-15T23:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456219488514347008

@911news @Consensus911 Not on 9/11/ They used airfones:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-call-exposing-9-11-cover-up.3454/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTQqLRCUAAeDVk.jpg @Reptoid_Hunter @nakedshortkilla

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTQqLRCUAAeDVk.jpg
2014-04-15T23:53:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456218885260201987
@RachelvsPublic They used airfones, see:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-call-exposing-9-11-cover-up.3454/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTQqLRCUAAeDVk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlTQqLRCUAAeDVk.jpg

2014-04-15T23:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456218365925654528

@heartofalion5 No, just Iranian PressTV propaganda. Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-call-exposing-9-11-cover-up.3454/

2014-04-15T23:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456218262485753856

@GSFNetwork @ShareThis No, just Iranian PressTV propaganda. Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-call-exposing-9-11-cover-up.3454/

2014-04-15T23:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456218159771447296

@4thAnon No, just Iranian PressTV propaganda. Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-call-exposing-9-11-cover-up.3454/
@occupyoga @EvilvonScarry

2014-04-15T23:48:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456217584682008576

@4thAnon Free portable energy would make enslavement very difficult. But the issue here is really that free energy is a scam.

2014-04-15T23:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456216426202685441

@nickmyer What true exactly am I getting wrong here? Contrails can persist and spread. That's a known fact.

2014-04-15T23:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456216257088348160

@4thAnon The free energy scam: Give away non-working plans, then sell DVDs and consulting to "help" you. But it never works. They blame you.

2014-04-15T23:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456214628184244224

@nickmyer What is untrue about this image? It's from a real book. It matches the other books back as far as 1943. So what's untrue?

2014-04-15T23:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456210747765190656

@4thAnon That link was the one I meant. However I think they should be linking to this: http://hopegirl2012.files.wordpress.com/2014/03/qeg-user-manual-3-25-14.pdf (actual plans)

2014-04-15T23:16:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456209493588594688

@4thAnon Free energy in general does not work. Why don't they simply demonstrate it? This scam has been running for decades.

2014-04-15T23:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456209321714409472

@4thAnon It's clearly a scam. The "plans" are just a big brochure for their consulting services. Where are the files?

2014-04-15T23:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456206934257852416

@4thAnon Pity it does not actually work.

2014-04-15T23:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456206585002332160

@4thAnon I read the Alex Jones site, and Fox News, so that hardly seems fair.

2014-04-15T22:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456203211892740096

@4thAnon And you know there are people there who are spinning the entire thing for their own anti-fed causes.
http://thinkprogress.org/justice/2014/04/15/3426702/militant-former-sheriff-planned-to-put-women-up-at-the-front-if-violence-erupted-in-ranch-showdown-with-feds/

2014-04-15T22:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456202684542898177

@4thAnon Okay, but citations still needed on the rest of it. How many cops were there, vs. how many protestors?

2014-04-15T22:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456199663859691521

@4thAnon NATO? Citations needed.

2014-04-15T22:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456197767921033216
@4thAnon I expound upon this topic here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/using-campaign-finance-reform-to-debunk-conspiracy-theories.1784/

2014-04-15T22:28:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456197306040070145
@4thAnon The extra police were there because he (or rather Alex Jones, etc) called in the militia types. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS9CE1CUAAhCVa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS9CE1CUAAhCVa.jpg
2014-04-15T22:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456196808717262848
@4thAnon Specifically we need to get the money out of politics. That's the root of most of what is wrong with this country.

2014-04-15T22:25:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456196602885963776
@4thAnon I'm no fan of lawmakers. But I don't think a bunch of guys waving guns is going to change anything. Need better focus.

2014-04-15T22:23:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456196246831497216
@selinasorrels Looks just like the contrails here:
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644020551293/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS8EbdCIAA4_Aq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS8EbdCIAA4_Aq.jpg

2014-04-15T22:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456195939791679488

Contrails. 1991. https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157644020551293/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS7yjJCYAAbkmX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlS7yjJCYAAbkmX.jpg

2014-04-15T22:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456195663747764224

@4thAnon You are essentially asking for the dissolution of all federal authority if you side with Bundy. This is very much a minority view.

2014-04-15T22:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456195376509231104

@4thAnon The system is not perfect. There's certainly a place, even a need, for protest in a healthy society. This is not it.

2014-04-15T22:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456192499535446016

@4thAnon You can't just have a group of people with guns imposing their version of the constitution on everyone else. That's rule of force.

2014-04-15T22:07:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456192134580682752

@4thAnon Say I thought the lack of regulation militias was unconstitutional - should I have an armed protest to force regulation?

2014-04-15T22:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456191813699633152

@4thAnon Just his personal interpretation. Does not hold up in court.

2014-04-15T21:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456187588672360448

@4thAnon How can you tell it's unconstitutional? Just your personal opinion? I'd place a higher standard on justifying revolution.

2014-04-15T21:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456183601596350464

@ETsAndUFOs Lying? Maybe not. Mistaken in their interpretation? Probably.

2014-04-15T21:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456182930532888576

@4thAnon The constitution is not whatever you say it is. It's what the courts decide it is. He's lost in court, now it's threats.

2014-04-15T21:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456178471455031296

@4thAnon China can't buy public land. Bundy is illegally grazing on public land.

2014-04-15T21:05:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456176441319632896

@ftwild2013 Just like in this 1943 book:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlSqDmUCcAA7UOn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlSqDmUCcAA7UOn.jpg

2014-04-15T18:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456140176134123520

@NewWorldOrchard Remarkably similar to this contrail cloud from 1957:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlSJEsACEAAAb24.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlSJEsACEAAAb24.jpg

2014-04-15T18:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/456131616411115520
@KristenMeghan @awesome086 @Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas I've only ever asked you to present your evidence. You never do.

2014-04-15T18:05:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456131299514671104
@nickmyer Depends to what you are referring. I don't know of and demonstrated health impacts from WiFi etc. Agenda21 is non-binding.

2014-04-15T18:04:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456131046937874432
@nickmyer How is it fact? Where is the science described in the peer-reviewed literature?

2014-04-15T18:04:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456130883431305216
@nickmyer Mostly no. But you'd need to define exactly what you mean with some of that.

2014-04-15T15:44:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/456095609515028480
@ETsAndUFOs I don't get what it is supposed to convince the skeptics of? That the Lubbock lights (1951) were never conclusively identified?

2014-04-15T06:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455954276188037120
@MickWest Dang, can't spell #eclipse

2014-04-15T06:21:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455954065118085120
This is taking a while. #moon #ecplise #LA #VeniceBeach
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPfzlPCUAE9Vch.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPfzlPCUAE9Vch.jpg

2014-04-15T06:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455949643776421888

So it begins #eclipse #moon http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPbyP5CYAEtBSq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPbyP5CYAEtBSq.jpg

2014-04-15T05:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455942044058259456

@AuthorAnnBaker Sounds like a unique story.

2014-04-15T05:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455941160645578752

Moon at 10"27 over Los Angeles. Penumbra just starting:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPUEdaCUAAFuSR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPUEdaCUAAFuSR.jpg

2014-04-15T05:11:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455936547863281664

@AuthorAnnBaker "If the atmospheric conditions are favorable ..., our wake [contrail] will thicken bit by bit and become an evening cloud"

2014-04-15T05:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455936347883044864

@AuthorAnnBaker Notice you had an Antoine De Saint-Exupery quote. He mention this. Scroll down to [page 79] here: http://www.doyletics.com/arj/flightto.htm

---

2014-04-15T05:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455934895861149697

@AuthorAnnBaker I'm pretty sure I am, but I'm always open to new evidence. Let me know if you have contrail questions :)

2014-04-15T04:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455928875390750721

@AuthorAnnBaker You just have not noticed it before. Same clouds existed in 1943: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

2014-04-15T04:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455927501043142656

Book on clouds from 1943 (71 years ago) shows nothing has changed in the sky.

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPHpW-CUAA8rUa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlPHpW-CUAA8rUa.jpg

2014-04-15T04:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455926814297178112

@AuthorAnnBaker When the AWACS seperates it cut the throttle, so the contrails stops. Discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/awacs-being-refueled-with-off-on-contrails.816/

2014-04-15T04:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455926556372656128

@AuthorAnnBaker Clouds seeding IS being done. not sure what you want picstures of. But have a look at this 1943 book https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/

2014-04-15T04:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455926019975704577

@ETsAndUFOs See here for my personal history: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/ Mostly now I do it because I think bunk distracts from real issues.

2014-04-15T04:27:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455925419095494656

@sworduserRM @AuthorAnnBaker Yes, that's true. But cloud seeding does not leave visible trails.

2014-04-15T01:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455884963808673793

@BrianGPowell If it's not water vapor, then why does it look exactly like it? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-15T01:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455884611478777856

@AuthorAnnBaker Yes, but my point is that they look like normal clouds and contrails from years ago. v.short video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-15T01:43:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455883992076537856

@ETsAndUFOs What event is that referring to?

2014-04-15T01:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455883827101978624

@VladdyDaddy85 where two plane routes crossed. As they do. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlOf7MkCAAATtiq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlOf7MkCAAATtiq.jpg

2014-04-15T01:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455883664513961984

@AuthorAnnBaker But the pics look like pics from decades ago. Maybe you just pay more attention to the sky now?

2014-04-14T23:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455848917880434688

@nickmyer I just debunk stuff if I think it's incorrect. Particularly claims of evidence. I don't speculate if I can help it.

2014-04-14T23:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455848659184136192

@nickmyer @HeavenLeeOps More likely different altitude. These plane are different alts, but look the same. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlN_8K8CQAA7_5u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlN_8K8CQAA7_5u.jpg
2014-04-14T23:20:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455848120450940929
@nickmyer No, that's just science fiction.

2014-04-14T21:57:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455827271413018624
@AuthorAnnBaker Geoengineering is something that might be done in the future, there's no evidence of current "Chemtrails"

2014-04-14T21:09:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455815138646298624
@StrThry There was a crossbar at the top. The flag hangs from this.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lunar_Flag_Assembly
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNhdBRCYAAM5XK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNhdBRCYAAM5XK.jpg

2014-04-14T20:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455810111974289408

@Hsaive "Clumping" is just from wake vortex interaction. Been like that since planes could fly high enough. 1991 book http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNc4bhCAAEoMd7.jpg



This contrail developed pendules, or elements of sinking air akin to mammato-cumulus (see p. 41).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNc4bhCAAEoMd7.jpg

2014-04-14T20:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455808892463628288

@Jeremt007T Bundy is grazing on public land without a valid permit, has been for nearly 20 years. He says federal law is illegal.

2014-04-14T20:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455799168460537856

@GENUG I went through http://pacer.gov, ending up here: https://ecf.nvd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/iqquerymenu.pl?87613 you may need to register a free account

---

2014-04-14T19:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455794783848390656

#BundyRanch is only 160 Acres, and the gov wants none of it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNO8NfCEAAPD3W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlNO8NfCEAAPD3W.jpg

2014-04-14T18:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455779553613328384

@ItsLoganMayne @ImaBannedd @blazeart_ @martinhume @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky

Contrail with a gap. Happens a lot. http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2014-04-14T18:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455779132425519104

@nickmyer @HeavenLeeOps Either different engines or different altitudes.

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-04-14T18:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455778358324760576

@nickmyer No.

2014-04-14T17:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455756862902644736

@2ndfor1st Maybe, but two wrongs don't make a right. Bundy is illegally grazing. Has been for 20 years.

2014-04-14T17:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455756168242008064

@BmoreEyes0pen Yes. That is actually exactly the patterns jets fly in. See:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMr0eNCIAIR7Bp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMr0eNCIAIR7Bp.jpg

2014-04-14T17:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455755637083758593

@HeavenLeeOps Actually of contrails. The original image is here:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMrVkyCAAA2tmr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMrVkyCAAA2tmr.jpg

2014-04-14T17:11:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455755339317522432

@KennyMurtagh Looks like about six contrails. There's lots of flyover traffic in NY.

2014-04-14T16:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455741299086204928

Simpler map of #BundyRanch https://www.metabunk.org/sk/bundymapsimpler.jpg showing areas he never had rights to in black. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMeS-NCcAArvlE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlMeS-NCcAArvlE.jpg

2014-04-14T12:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455685974932979712

@LiveSovereign Court docs here:

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/bundy-document-19-2-pdf.6859/

2014-04-14T12:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455685824365854720

@LiveSovereign They bailed because lots of people with guns were gathering, threats were made, and they don't want violence. Read court docs

---

2014-04-14T05:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455583569658654720

@GunSenseUSA I'm against non-violent people going to prison.

2014-04-13T23:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455493306214920194

@MarlboroStan Lots more detail here:
https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/bundy-document-19-2-pdf.6859/

2014-04-13T23:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455491817484472320

@Hsaive @jonathanlynn @martinhume That's what scientists think. A small net warming effect. Still uncertain though.
http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn20304-contrails-warm-the-world-more-than-aviation-emissions.html#.U0shU2Qv8Xw

---

2014-04-13T23:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455491293964034049

@BallisticMikeCA No true. See old book that have the same billowing.
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

2014-04-13T23:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455490875359887361

@JarrodHazzard @martinhume @natesaysitwould @arcaneanon1 @CIconICMC Fuel dump.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-search-plane-in-mh370-filmed-spraying-over-indian-ocean-fuel-dump.3378/

2014-04-13T23:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455490592676409344

@GunSenseUSA Like I said, it's the elevation of the issue that I find problematic. I have no problem with the current gun laws.

2014-04-13T23:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455488481364434944

@GunSenseUSA The problem is hyping it to make it seem like the only issue, to the exclusion of all else. It's not that big an issue.

2014-04-13T23:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455487555668959232

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @WilliamBHand
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,s

edac_bound&time=2012-11-17&map=-102.861328,35.649902,-86.381836,45.133301 That enough? All in Nov 2012

2014-04-13T23:26:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455487307483578368

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @WilliamBHand

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2012-11-14&map=-91.470703,32.345215,-74.991211,41.828613 You seriously think there were trails over Sandy Hook for some reason?

2014-04-13T23:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455487107981508608

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @WilliamBHand Another:

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2012-11-11&map=-90.925781,34.428223,-74.446289,43.911621

2014-04-13T23:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455486222232592384

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @WilliamBHand

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2012-11-05&map=-91.734375,30.32373,-75.254883,39.807129 Just click on different days, and region, there are lots of similar.

2014-04-13T23:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455481036340609024

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @WilliamBHand Er, what? Did I miss a question?

2014-04-13T22:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455473602276503552

Court documents from #BundyRanch case. Fascinating reading:

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/bundy-document-19-2-pdf.6859/ (via

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-bundy-ranch-dispute-as-blm-exploiting-fracking-rights.3439/)

2014-04-13T22:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455472254747291648

Map of the lands at #BundyRanch, including NEw Trespass land he never had rights to.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-bundy-ranch-dispute-as-blm-exploiting-fracking-rights.3439/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BIlpmipCcAAQkuX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlIpmipCcAAQkuX.jpg

2014-04-13T21:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455465000916627456

@GunSenseUSA I agree, but that's not just a #2A issue. There 10x as many people in jail as there should be. Leads to more crime. Racket.

2014-04-13T21:51:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455463330996449280

@GunSenseUSA @ItsStillDan @KristenMeghan Stirring up anger over imaginary gun seizures and restrictions distracts from real issues.

2014-04-13T21:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455462997385682944

@GunSenseUSA @ItsStillDan @KristenMeghan I'd rather focus on why there are 2.3 million americans in prison. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlIhLs7CYAA2snK.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlIhLs7CYAA2snK.png

2014-04-13T21:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455459497700368384

@GunSenseUSA @ItsStillDan @KristenMeghan Personally I think 2A debate is a red herring to distract from real issues. Right to arms is fixed.

2014-04-13T21:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455459315214581760

@GunSenseUSA @ItsStillDan @KristenMeghan Being grammatically similar does not make it the same thing. Old arguments. Not going to change

---

2014-04-13T21:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455458663293911040

@ItsStillDan @GunSenseUSA @KristenMeghan I am a liberal.

2014-04-13T20:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455439117673693184

@CBowen4 That's good.

2014-04-13T20:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455437304585146369

@CBowen4 I can wait. So we've established that contrails can last for hours. Does that change your mind?

2014-04-13T19:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455431047031713792

@CBowen4 This very short video I made addresses the question we are discussing. I would appreciate your assessment: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-13T19:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455430265473482753

@CBowen4 Horizon to horizon is about 300 miles. Less than an hour at 500 mph. Are you saying contrails can't last more than an hour?

2014-04-13T19:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455429011334656000

@CBowen4 Compared to, say, natural clouds.

2014-04-13T19:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455428923312967680

@CBowen4 Okay, so how long should contrails last, at the most?

2014-04-13T19:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455428816941228033

@Chemtrails_818 @Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind Like this:

http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlICGI0CIAAdi2h.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlICGI0CIAAdi2h.jpg

2014-04-13T19:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455428555208286208

@Chemtrails_818 @Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind Specifically because it's a very busy part of LAX approach. Circling goes on over Catalina

---

2014-04-13T19:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455427527712858112

@Chemtrails_818 @Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind I doubt they would be doing that over 818

2014-04-13T19:28:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455427393558020096

@Chemtrails_818 @Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind Do you have an example?

2014-04-13T19:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455427229531385856

@Chemtrails_818 @Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind FR dist is quite tricky to correlate with actual views a lot of the time. http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2014-04-13T19:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455424365509636096

@GunSenseUSA @KristenMeghan It made the BLM back off, because of the threat of violence. People using their guns to get what THEY want.

2014-04-13T19:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455423118463361024

@ItsStillDan @GunSenseUSA @KristenMeghan Lots of non communists debate it. http://www.law.cornell.edu/anncon/html/amdt2_user.html#amdt2_hd2

2014-04-13T19:09:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455422582737494016

@CBowen4 Or you can pick any ONE thing to discuss.

2014-04-13T19:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455422515931013120

@CBowen4 Let's discuss how long contrails should last, and we can move on to the other evidence you presented? Sound fair?

2014-04-13T19:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455422316646633472

@GunSenseUSA @KristenMeghan For a peaceful protest, like at Bundy Rance, yes they would.

2014-04-13T19:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455422007379644416

@CBowen4 I take it then you don't want to discuss any of this? You just want to keep posting links you found?

2022-05-10T00:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523822353687351296

@realufobros @wokepolice187 @GerryCohen7 @JeremyCorbell @ZaineMichael1 @markocheese @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen Or they fly

"Controlled Flight into Terrain (CFIT) occurs when an airworthy aircraft under the complete control of the pilot is inadvertently flown into terrain, water, or an obstacle. The pilots are generally unaware of the danger until it is too late."

https://skybrary.aero/articles/controlled-flight-terrain-cfit

---

2022-05-10T00:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523821001649258496

@wokepolice187 @GerryCohen7 @realufobros @JeremyCorbell @ZaineMichael1

@markocheese @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen
https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-suffolk-55070065

2022-05-10T00:22:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523820777325293569
@SocialistFT @realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett That's not how reality works.

Ignoring aliens would be an existential threat to the MIC, at the very least at the fiscal level.

2022-05-10T00:21:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523820408293625856
@TjAllard @blackvaultcom @AncientEnigmas Well, Vallee might not know about UFOTwitter. But Elizondo, Mellon, Fugal, Graves, Loeb, Deitrich, Nolan, and Knapp sure do.

What's strange is that such a supposedly monumental subject has supposedly major players doing this type of thing.

2022-05-10T00:15:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523818907923980288
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector I think it would clarify a lot of issues, one way or another.

Ryan? My DMs are open.

2022-05-10T00:06:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523816676235513856
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector I believe he was unclear on this question, like most of them.

2022-05-10T00:05:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523816425017683968
@thaxter @PostDisclosure @timburchett Yet we have no idea what those things are. Maybe E.T., maybe Gimbal x 1.0001

2022-05-10T00:04:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523816183824195584
@SocialistFT @realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett That's a crazy take. Aliens would be the biggest threat to capital in the history of the world. It would be a paradigm shift that you'd need to get on board with or become irrelevant.

100% proof of Aliens is a multi-trillion-dollar problem

2022-05-10T00:02:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523815650782707712
@the_cholla @JeremyCorbell @ZaineMichael1 @markocheese @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen No, it does not. It shows the possibility of a mistake because there's a straight-line constant speed trajectory that would look like what we see in the video.

2022-05-09T23:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523814996521541632

@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector In your personal scenario it is already going in the opposite direction at 60 Knots.

That's another question. How fast does Graves say it was going? Does it do this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSWtfXpVsAAh5Et.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSWtfXpVsAAh5Et.jpg

2022-05-09T23:55:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523813859680686080

@ripinmypants @JeremyCorbell @ZaineMichael1 @markocheese @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen It is an example of a rare mistake by an expert, in a situation where there should be safeguards to make such a mistake impossible.

2022-05-09T23:53:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523813518666911744

@realufobros @PostDisclosure @timburchett Then that would be a big deal, and billions would be spent on investigating it.

2022-05-09T23:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523811938509070336
@PostDisclosure @timburchett What if they just saw stuff like Gimbal, and thought that was amazing, but it actually wasn't?

2022-05-09T23:46:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523811698737483776
@Manning123Sm @ZaineMichael1 @the_cholla How is "Corbell asked him some crap questions" a conspiracy theory?

It's objectively true.

2022-05-09T23:44:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523811270192820224
@Manning123Sm @ZaineMichael1 @markocheese @JeremyCorbell @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen Yeah, Fravor wasn't there. He's just going by accounts.

2022-05-09T23:43:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523810862317723648
@JeremyCorbell @ZaineMichael1 @markocheese @SenBillNelson @NASA @MvonRen Who claimed "mental delusion?"

Why not just a rare mistake by experts.

Sometimes doctors remove the wrong leg. Sometimes pilots fly into the ocean.

Rare mistakes sometimes happen, as do rare coincidences. Not everything is meaningful.

2022-05-09T23:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523809723262259200
@uap90 That plays a small part in it. But it's mostly because of the number of UAP reports and the possible problems they might represent

---

2022-05-09T23:36:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523809242267873282
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector But he says the rotating happened after it "stopped" as seen in the video (which it didn't), and then the direction reversed AFTER the video was cut.

Graves REALLY should clarify the numerous discrepancies here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSWoD0IVIAANxUX.jpg

← **Thread**



Ryan Graves
@uncertainvector

(1/6) As viewed from the Situation Awareness page, the GIMBAL object appeared to be 'behind' a wedge formation of 4-6 objects that were flying in a straight-line path for a period of time. From the appearance of their radar tracks, they appeared similar to my eye as the

8:06 AM · May 24, 2021 · Twitter Web App

126 Retweets **42** Quote Tweets **625** Likes



Tweet your reply

Reply



Ryan Graves @uncertainvector · May 24, 2021

Replying to @uncertainvector

(2/6) 'cube in a sphere' objects we'd see regularly. I say this as their Target Aspect indicator seemed a bit jittery- as if the radar had difficulty determining which way the vehicle was pointing even though they were proceeding in a straight line.

8 19 274



Ryan Graves @uncertainvector · May 24, 2021

(3/6) Eventually, the wedge formation began a turn. The vehicles turned similar to an aircraft, where they had a radius of turn. This is in contrast to the GIMBAL object which reversed its direction with no turn. The turn of the wedge formation, if I remember correctly, was

3 18 266



Ryan Graves @uncertainvector · May 24, 2021

(4/6) not a 'clean' formation turn. The vehicles seemed to break formation to a certain extent but reposition to their original formation as they rolled out on the opposite heading. The GIMBAL object was stationary (as seen in the video) as the turn was executed. Once the wedge

2 15 261



Ryan Graves @uncertainvector · May 24, 2021

(5/6) formation completed the turn and was flowing in the opposite direction, the GIMBAL object rotated as seen in the video. After the video was cut, the GIMBAL began to flow behind the wedge formation.

4 23 288



Ryan Graves @uncertainvector · May 24, 2021

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSWoD0IVIAANxUX.jpg
2022-05-09T23:14:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523803577398874112
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector How did Graves get a trajectory from the SA if he only glanced at, and only had a vague sense of the distances between things.

2022-05-09T23:12:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523803196061196288
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector You've seen it.

2022-05-09T22:54:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523798658013024257
@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 @MvonRen Why wouldn't I want that? Sounds like loads of fun.

2022-05-09T22:54:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523798508624441344
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector Graves gave an 8x range on the spacing between the objects in the apparent "fleet". So maybe this range also has a degree of uncertainty - assuming it's even the same object seen on ATFLIR, which is by no means certain (where's the RNG value?).

2022-05-09T22:49:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523797427605569536
@KilliK69 @DeepSpace41 What wrong range?

2022-05-09T22:49:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523797346953220096
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector No trajectory (unless you count "coming from the east"

2022-05-09T22:44:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523796077165436928
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector So that's @uncertainvector saying that the WSO said it was dark, and they did not get close.

Not exactly the WSO describing the trajectory.

2022-05-09T22:35:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523793795040481280
@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington @uncertainvector The Gimbal WSO? Where is this testimony?

2022-05-09T21:51:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523782723503984640
@bosma2002 I'm supposed to check into Marik's anonymous sources?

I checked into one, and he basically told Marik to stop using his name and email. He was quite

annoyed. I explained the rotating glare theory and he gave an "I can't comment on classified stuff" type answer.

2022-05-09T21:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523773386035400704

@the_cholla @PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington "They" did not describe a trajectory except in the vaguest sense, via the recollection of @uncertainvector, who has not clarified what exactly he saw in any quantifiable way.

2022-05-09T20:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523769654514126848

@PlasticPaddy9 @timetherington Basically, he thinks a weirdly accelerating vertical U-turn at 10NM is somehow more likely than a straight light, constant speed trajectory, at 30-40NM, because it fits the "pilots never make mistakes" story better.

2022-05-09T20:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523760171381497856

@bosma2002 And how do we know that? What exactly are they disagreeing with? Who are they? What did they say? What questions were they asked? Have they even seen any of my videos?

2022-05-09T20:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523756712326430720

@DaHawse @QTRResearch Sure

2022-05-09T20:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523756619963658240

@DaHawse @h_clagett Buildings can collapse simply from being on fire. With WTC7 it also had WTC1 fall on it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSV4kN_VsAE6EwJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSV4kN_VsAE6EwJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSV4dFlVkAA0hMQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSV4RKKUcAAzGVz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSV4hqcUcAE-fPA.jpg

2022-05-09T19:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523751085902499840

@DaHawse @QTRResearch I'd be happy to. Gage got fired from AE911Truth though, for being a conspiracy theorist.

2022-05-09T17:43:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523702046594396160

@flarkey @OMApproach @dustyWayneJr @MindfulOfLight @MvonRen @chrisotis78 @lesliekean @CorridorDigital They discussed parallax briefly "an illusions created by the motion of the plane" - but in a way that made it clear that whoever wrote it utterly misunderstood it.

Their explanation here requires the plane to stop in mid air.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVXJnXVcAAMOzV.jpg

Line-of-Sight Evaluation Appendix L

Introduction

Some arguments have been advanced that the object could be a balloon and that the motion of the balloon relative to the background is an illusion created by the motion of the plane circling the balloon. This is incorrect. There are some frames of video having no background motion at all and the UAP can be seen changing locations relative to the background. Consider Frames 711 and 712 of Figure 1:



Figure 1

WRONG!

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVXJnXVcAAMOzV.jpg

2022-05-09T17:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523717980990828546

@OsecUf I exchanged extensive emails with him, discussing his points at great length. Then thinks took a weird turn when he started accusing me of lying about an interview and using fake accounts. He persisted with these accusations (in bizarre detail), so I muted him.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVVbFtVkAAD8RT.jpg



MarikvR @MvonRen · 4h

Replying to @MickWest and @Bestlif70060427

-If “phone with no video,” why this 2.8GB “multi-track” video file from Skype?

-Dates don’t match.

-An hour-long conversation is ~10,000 words. Your transcript is 6,008 words (you now claim 8,300 w/ “chit-chat”) Doesn’t add up.

-Why a full *month* to transcribe this bombshell?



3 1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVVbFtVkAAD8RT.jpg

MarikvR
@MvonRen

Like @TomRtweets, I will place my reputation on the line here.

It appears @MickWest fabricated an “interview” with an “expert” to prove his “glare” theory about the “Gimbal” video.

As Mick knows, I have multiple corroborating sources.

Sunshine is the best disinfectant.

8:44 PM · Jan 10, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

1 Retweet **2** Quote Tweets **13** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVVYAJVsAALVz-.jpg



Marik von Rennenkam.	Inbox	Avoiding Roll - "Avoiding roll" in th...		Mar 12
Marik, me [redacted]34	Inbox	Metabunk	RE: Video - Understoo...	Mar 12
Marik[redacted], me 38	Inbox	Metabunk	Call w/[redacted] Mi...	Feb 24
Marik von Rennenkam. 2	Inbox	Gimbal Rotation - Mick - as I think...		Feb 16
Marik, me 5	Inbox	Raytheon - Their contact informat...		Jan 27
Marik, me 83	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Building a Gimbal ...	12/26/21
Marik, me 100	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Building a Gimbal ...	12/21/21
Marik, me 101	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Building a Gimbal ...	12/15/21
me, Marik 3	Inbox	Oakland Center ATC UFO - That c...		12/14/21
me, Marik 100	Inbox	Building a Gimbal model - Also, pl...		12/2/21
Marik, me 68	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Gimbal Video - Bac...	11/22/21
Marik, me 101	Inbox	Metabunk	Gimbal Video - Backgr...	11/18/21
Marik, me 22	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Article for The Hill - 1	10/24/21
Marik, me 101	Inbox	Metabunk	Re: Article for The Hill - H	8/6/21
Marik, me 101	Inbox	Metabunk	Article for The Hill - Yo...	6/27/21
Marik von Rennenkam.	Inbox	Re: Article for The Hill - Ha! Just s...		6/27/21

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSVVMVTVIAAwk0a.jpg

2022-05-09T17:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523716663585124352

@the_cholla I think Corbell asked him some crap questions, which he answered more or less accurately. However, he did not actually address the rotating glare theory. My full response

(from 3 years ago) is here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2022-05-09T17:26:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523716125640470529
@theProgSoapbox https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1523710541184700417

2022-05-09T17:07:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523711191238946819
@UnpluggedUser I stand by my hypotheses. Notice this parade of experts remains hidden. Let them come forward and we can discuss.

2022-05-09T17:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523710951983173633
@DeepSpace41 I was not proven wrong.

2022-05-09T17:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523710541184700417
Bullshit, because:
1) Marik is quoting HIS OWN email. The person he is writing to told him to NOT publish his emails, as they were not intended to disprove any theory.
2) None of the "experts" have actually come forward to discuss this. It's just cherry-picking.
From Marik. https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1523679397831610368

2022-05-09T10:02:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523604229465595904
@giddierone @Jacksick @JeffRobertBlask @SBrowneITF Is that another version of the drum scan of the contact negative? Where is it from?

2022-05-09T00:31:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523460504017985536
@chrisotis78 @flarkey @dustyWayneJr @MindfulOfLight @MvonRen @lesliekean @CorridorDigital It probably went in a straight line, and not very fast.

2022-05-09T00:30:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523460277383012353
@flarkey @dustyWayneJr @MindfulOfLight @MvonRen @chrisotis78 @lesliekean @CorridorDigital I haven't even really got to Aguadilla yet. Still expanding Sitrec for it.

2022-05-09T00:29:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523459996729548801
@DynomiteBizQuit If he can prove it, then he does not need me.

So I'm assuming he actually can't.

What do you think he proved exactly? One thing.

2022-05-08T18:21:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523367525328687104

A UFO sometimes is just an SFA - something tiny and close to the camera that looks like it's a giant hypersonic craft. Nearby lights and motion blur help with the illusion.

This video had several, but seems like they only noticed one. Hence "UFO"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n1ARhI4dpg4
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQWLwUVsAALPBP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQWLwUVsAALPBP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQWFfwVUAMZCOn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQWGOfVEAAEsv5.jpg
2022-05-08T18:15:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523366074170839041
@rjblaskiewicz @IDoubtIt And another, brighter one at 0:24.

It's the nature of such things that you will get several if you film long enough. One will be the most impressive, so that one is the UFO. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQVJdsVgAAlXup.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQVJdsVgAAlXup.jpg
2022-05-08T18:13:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1523365579481964544
@rjblaskiewicz @IDoubtIt At 0:26 there's a similar small object, but closer to the camera, http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQU5wLUcAAdgZ0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSQU5wLUcAAdgZ0.jpg

2022-05-08T18:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523364863401070592

@rjblaskiewicz @IDoubtIt Probably a bug. The nearby area is fairly brightly illuminated (see the light on the wooden posts and the trucks and train cars), and a bug just happened to fly into the region that was lit

---

2022-05-08T01:21:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523110768190783488

@mutualspring @UAP_CR @HalALuejah Ah yes, my mistake, I meant from the East, as I had on my first diagram.

2022-05-08T00:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523104918868877312

@Pewpew841 @SBrowneITF @KingMilkfart Lens flare is not high on my list. I think the more likely explanation is a simple hoax - maybe a trick being played by someone on the plane.

2022-05-08T00:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523103316904796160

@BohemiansOf @crustycobs @NastyBastardMan @tingler_l @InsiderNews https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-2013-ndaa-thornberry-amendment-domestic-propaganda-disinformation.592/

2022-05-08T00:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523099969804070913

@markocheese Yeah, which suggests if it's a real craft or flying object, it's much higher than the clouds.

2022-05-07T23:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523084112344481792

@DyceGuthrie But it does not appear in the next photo in the sequence.

2022-05-07T23:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523080925822681089

@davebrooker322 @Cl4rky130 @PlanetSqueezy Sure looks like a contrail.

2022-05-07T18:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523014229636251648

@randyINTL It resembles a bit of spider silk very close to the camera. Does the camera have IR leds?

2022-05-07T18:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523013673673195520

@hoveringobject yes

2022-05-07T18:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523006533814013952

@BarlowTerry @6diego32 Well, he also said it lasted five minutes, while Dietrich said it was ten seconds. So maybe recollection is not perfect.

2022-05-07T18:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523002567210323968

@BarlowTerry @6diego32 When you think something is further away than it actually is, then even if it's not moving it will look like it is (and in a weird manner) because of the parallax illusion.

If you circle something not moving, it looks like it mirrors your moves on the other side of the circle.

2022-05-07T18:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1523001798818074626

@UAP_CR @HalALuejah What did they say about the lighting? How did they explain what the shape was that would create such a light pattern in direct sun from the West?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSLKD3CVcAEP5ms.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSLKD3CVcAEP5ms.jpg

2022-05-07T17:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522998091275669504

@BarlowTerry @maetaphysika @6diego32 What if it looked like a Tic-Tac? And they had not seen it before?

2022-05-07T17:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522997790590259200

@BarlowTerry @6diego32 It does not. I suspect there may have been some parallax illusion - hence he thought it was "mirroring" him.

2022-05-07T17:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522997314989608960

@positive4sure

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2022-05-07T15:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522962718721859584

@markocheese Yes, I think the similar shape and spikes indicate something to do with the ATFLIR camera/system.

2022-05-07T15:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522954936471805958

@MoralitySecular It's a puzzle, and it's popular, so why not?

2022-05-07T15:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522954518131994624

Wider shot for context http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSKe8r6UYAA2IRy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSKe8r6UYAA2IRy.jpg

2022-05-07T14:59:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522954155106570247

This is supposedly an object viewed from directly above, illuminated by direct sunlight from the top right direction.

What shape/material could make such a pattern of light?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSKeZ2_VcAAFlsc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSKeZ2_VcAAFlsc.jpg

2022-05-07T14:55:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522953312173133826

@nuancedeep @SBrowneITF Should everyone ignore this photo then? It seems quite popular in the UFO community.

2022-05-07T14:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522952535471886336

@6diego32 No, I don't need to explain that. I've said several times I don't know what Fravor, and others saw. I SUSPECT that Fravor misjudged the distance, and so was fooled by parallax effects - possibly by something as simple as a target balloon.

2022-05-07T14:21:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522944796662267906

@QTRResearch @nypost The story being creative does not indicate what actually happened though.

2022-05-07T14:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522944471616286720

@BinarySuperset @6diego32 It happened in Chile.

2022-05-07T14:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522941844660793344

@6diego32 @BinarySuperset My argument here is about the video, not what the four pilots saw. Quite possibly that was a different thing.

2022-05-07T14:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522941008496848897

@QTRResearch @nypost To be honest, this account seems fake to me. It reads like a story written by a teenager.

2022-05-06T22:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522704366796374016

@DynomiteBizQuit I think he says those were classified, and these were not.

2022-05-06T19:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522659952732430336

@RoGin1230 @jollymosh1 @mombojom @UAPMediaUK @UAP_CR @AIAA_UAP I don't think it's something flying - I think it's something in the camera or on the film. The "lighting" does not match the scene.

2022-05-06T16:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522611392909484032

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @caitgaist Elizondo and Mellon have said they are likely aliens.

2022-05-06T15:44:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522603287689588738

@edwardcurrent @BrentlyLee @BryanDBender It's more of a managerial position. They want someone in the IC with TS/SCI clearance.

2022-05-06T15:38:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522601726510915584

@the_cholla @caitgaist Do aliens make more sense? Do you think people should stop spreading those scenarios?

2022-05-06T14:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522584343411003397

@abbott_fat No, but probably they were seeing different things. The preliminary official UAP report lists several possibilities.

2022-05-06T14:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522579263211278336

@Anony_Mouse777 No. The object IS more than IR glare. There is something hot causing the glare. Maybe a jet. Maybe a flying saucer.

2022-05-06T10:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522526149443670016

@LexicusARG https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2022-05-06T00:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522370635191578624

@BackyardUfos You can see it without the filters.

2022-05-06T00:07:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522367334903476225

@RamonDe17166977 @SabersKnight @atotalshunt @Jim87504388 Nobody is saying that a bird explains either of these two videos.

2022-05-06T00:05:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522366982313562112

@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan @uncertainvector I don't know, it's not twice as big. It's about the same size - both these shots are in 2x NAR.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSCImIJVUAA1Lug.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSCIh18UYAA3WgQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSCImIJVUAA1Lug.jpg

2022-05-06T00:02:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522366170396979201

@RamonDe17166977 @SabersKnight @atotalshunt @Jim87504388 Obviously, it could have been some hot exotic craft - never observed in detail in human history. I just think an ordinary craft seems more likely.

2022-05-06T00:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522365917845368832

@sean62984047 It's an ATFLIR, although some years apart. This image was in 3.7-5.0nm. It does that and visible light. Gimbal was entirely in IR though.

I'm not an expert, I just look things up, do some analysis, and point stuff out, like this similarity.

2022-05-05T23:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522360583462350848

@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan @uncertainvector A larger plane might fit better.

2022-05-05T22:29:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522342840608559105

@TheSkateCase He sounds like a fabulist. I'm all about evidence, not claims.

2022-05-05T22:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522342480179462144

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Why don't you just tell me, and we can move forward 100x faster than this semantic waffling.

2022-05-05T22:27:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522342297131651072
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach That's not really a point though.

Are you saying you don't know if I got something wrong? But you think someone else might think that?

Seems like pointless epistemology, and I don't see this conversation as productive.

2022-05-05T22:26:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522341903961772035
@1_2_of_Rodney @DietrichVFA41 I don't think they are lying.

2022-05-05T22:24:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522341488641728513
@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan @uncertainvector Look at the image I posted. It shows a shrinking glare that roughly correlates with the tail angle.

Unfortunately, the full transition is lost, as it occurs during TV mode, but you can still see it. Glare ON THE RIGHT of the object.

2022-05-05T22:22:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522341106444165120
@4X4STER13 How does that change this?

2022-05-05T22:22:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522341014379261952
@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan @uncertainvector It is very out of focus. I shall look into this issue. I need to more accurately calibrate the model.

2022-05-05T22:20:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522340530528481282
@l3athal101 There are still several uncertainties, and more info that can be extracted from the video.

2022-05-05T22:12:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522338361444212736
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Depends on how they got the bearing and range.

Why don't you just explain your point? What did I get wrong?

2022-05-05T22:08:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522337404480229376
@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan @uncertainvector It has shrunk a lot. I think that the left image just shows glare. Right image shows glare on the right, and plane poking on on the left. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBtqMnVgAEgoZT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBtqMnVgAEgoZT.jpg

2022-05-05T22:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522336345904926721

@l3athal101 It's a fascinating and complex puzzle that a lot of people are interested in.

2022-05-05T22:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522336114387800064

@SaltyDawg49 Not the objects that were videoed.

2022-05-05T21:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522334811725041665

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach I said what I thought "mark bearing and range" meant, (i.e. what it says: record the direction and distance).

Was I wrong?

2022-05-05T21:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522320265648087043

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach What does it mean?

2014-04-13T18:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455417006016065537

@CBowen4 So are you just going to ignore discussion, and simply keep throwing google results at me? What about the persistence of contrails?

2014-04-13T18:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455416708430188544

@GunSenseUSA @KristenMeghan Debatable. It either means works well, like a clock. Or regulated with rules. It does not mean "well armed".

2014-04-13T17:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455395985397514240

@PixelTruth2014 "somewhat complicated and time consuming for the Picasa software" - Funny.

2014-04-13T17:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455395425114009600

@KristenMeghan You mean a " well regulated Militia"? How are they being regulated?

2014-04-13T17:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455395028848754689

@CBowen4 But after around 3 hours they will be indistinguishable from normal cirrus

---

2014-04-13T17:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455394876691988480

@CBowen4 Why don't you tell me the longest you think they should persist for, that way we can get common ground. I say "several hours".

2014-04-13T16:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455375155254923264

@CBowen4 What is what? The trails people point at are largely contrails. You claim contrails can't persist. Can you back that up?

2014-04-13T15:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455374823674228737

@PixelTruth2014 @joejohsCNN @paulineCNN The explanation on Metabunk was by me, Mick West, not by Balance. I'll debug your claims later.

2014-04-13T15:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455372170986655744

@CBowen4 If you want to have a discussion, then pick one claim of evidence you think is actually correct. Quit just tossing bunk on the wall

---

2014-04-13T15:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455371862449483776

@CBowen4 Sorry, but it seems you are new to the chemtrail theory. You've just posted a load of debunked stuff. http://contrailscience.com/things-that-are-not-contrails-or-chemtrails/

2014-04-13T15:46:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455371455690047488

@Mswilcat @inquisitiv_mind @Chemtrails_818 Those are plane at slightly different altitudes. See: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-04-13T06:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455226599688773633

@CBowen4 I think this one was debunked about seven years ago amazing it keeps coming up. Icing test spray nozzle.

2014-04-13T06:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455226001262276608

@CBowen4 are you serious?

2014-04-13T05:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455222274375708672

@CBowen4 again, why think contrails can't persist? We need to find common ground.

2014-04-13T05:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455221996972806145

@CBowen4 just a close up on the vortex test earlier.

2014-04-13T05:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455221785328226304

@CBowen4 fuel dump.

2014-04-13T05:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455221730739769345

@CBowen4 smoke. NASA Dryden vortex testing.

2014-04-13T05:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455215855383494656

@CBowen4 But what about these persistent contrails? Are they no longer worth discussing?

2014-04-12T23:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455129460044337152

@chris63414391 @DentzelDomain @KristenMeghan Right, because when you are in debt, you can just throw money away?

2014-04-12T23:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455129191642447873

@JoeMomasNuts And why would you think these are not contrails?

2014-04-12T23:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455128941003431936

@IMGeorgeMakris It's a contrail. They look vertical when the plane is flying towards you (i.e. from the horizon). http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-usually-horizontal/

2014-04-12T23:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455128122015223808

@nwo_analyst Looks just like it did in 1957:

https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDwnYiCEAAyXl2.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDwnYiCEAAyXl2.jpg
2014-04-12T22:51:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455115956931424256

@awesome086 @lek_black @iain39 @jace3312 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 I stand for removing bunk. What's wrong with that?

2014-04-12T22:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455115681143332865

@iain39 @lek_black @awesome086 @jace3312 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 I understand you don't trust. But where is the science wrong

---

2014-04-12T22:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455115573190328320

@iain39 @lek_black @awesome086 @jace3312 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 Can you actually find something I said that is false?

2014-04-12T22:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455114998478827520

@lek_black @awesome086 @iain39 @jace3312 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 You're welcome. Just keep an open mind.

2014-04-12T22:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455113830918414336

@lek_black @awesome086 @iain39 @jace3312 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 Sorry, I'm not going to talk to you if you can't be polite.

2014-04-12T22:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455106919779155969

@MickWest High Resolution here: http://r3zn8d.files.wordpress.com/2012/01/contrail-formation-nasa-globe.jpg

---

2014-04-12T22:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455106794944098304

NASA chart explains why there are different types of contrail. They persist is the air is ice-supersaturated http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDdN-DCIAAzfQX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDdN-DCIAAzfQX.jpg

2014-04-12T22:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455106487547740160

@awesome086 @lek_black @jace3312 @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 I just point at science, like: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ionospheric_heater

---

2014-04-12T22:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455106169665617920

@awesome086 @lek_black @jace3312 @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 I'm not a scientist. Who said I was?

2014-04-12T22:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455105323389288448

@nickmyer Big ones listed here: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ionospheric_heater Europe and Russia. But UK has a small one. There are others.

2014-04-12T22:05:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455104432603029504

@lek_black @awesome086 @jace3312 @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 I don't work for anyone.

2014-04-12T21:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455098191042465792

@nickmyer @jace3312 See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ionospheric_heater

---

2014-04-12T21:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455097962150912000

@nickmyer @jace3312 Lots of scientists, and students in many countries use and study ionospheric heater. None of them say it can move the JS

2014-04-12T21:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455097788653531136

@nickmyer @jace3312 Ionospheric heaters are not the sole domain of "government scientists". They have them all round the world

---

2014-04-12T21:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455097549410422786

@nickmyer @jace3312 You prefer to get your science from random YouTube videos?

2014-04-12T21:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455096314200457216

@CBowen4 So let's get to the basics. Can contrails persist and spread?

2014-04-12T21:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455096211125460992

@CBowen4 For this conversation to be useful, we must establish common ground, otherwise it's just tossing words around.

2014-04-12T21:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455096020725026817

@CBowen4 No, all the book on clouds say the CONTRAILS can persist for hours, spread out, cover the sky. So why do you think they can't?

2014-04-12T21:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455095804319899648

@nickmyer @jace3312 Not really. They sprayed substances thought o be harmless to see how far they went.

2014-04-12T21:29:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455095265653833728

@nickmyer @JudgeDan48 @DanKaszeta He didn't say they were. He just explained the law.

2014-04-12T21:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455094357419229184

@CBowen4 Then how do you explain all the books on clouds saying that they can?

2014-04-12T21:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455093583620481024

@CBowen4 Could you pick one? How about the persistence of contrails?

2014-04-12T21:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455092685670330368

@CBowen4 Basic question: do you think normal contrails can persist for hours? And if not, why not?

2014-04-12T21:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455092425585737728

@nickmyer @JudgeDan48 @DanKaszeta He's still factually accurate in his statement about chemtrails though.

2014-04-12T21:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455092239023108096

@CBowen4 No it doesn't It's an inert abrasive that is not conductive. Where are you getting this stuff?

2014-04-12T21:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455091867076419584

@CBowen4 https://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-debunked-and-explained.1650/

2014-04-12T21:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455091710872129536

@CBowen4 No it can't. The heating effect is miniscule compared to the sun. Like tossing a hot rock into the Niagara Falls.

2014-04-12T21:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455091452570116096

@nickmyer @jace3312 Of course it is. Nothing complicated about spraying things. It's done for mosquito abatement. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDPQ8rCEAAQtuj.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlDPQ8rCEAAQtuj.jpg

2014-04-12T21:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455091090140311552

@CBowen4 It sends morse code? Haarp works by heating the ionosphere

---

2014-04-12T21:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455090855158632449

@Voice_0f_Truth That's not the truth. It's a story with no evidence to back it up.

2014-04-12T21:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455090176696401920

@CBowen4 That is no longer true. Patents are not required to demonstrate they work.

2014-04-12T21:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455089843425386496

@nickmyer I don't trust him. However what he said is verifiably factually correct. Look it up.

2014-04-12T21:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455089578416693248

@CBowen4 Can you explain why?

2014-04-12T21:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455089087204962304

@nickmyer @jace3312 Just regular science. But I did also discuss it with a HAARP scientist.

2014-04-12T20:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455085060715073536

@martinhume That is a good report. Describes where we are at with SRM, etc.

2014-04-12T20:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455084947594682368

@ArchaicCreator Saying contrails "go away" is a common misunderstanding. Some contrails can last for hours. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-12T20:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455084579422883840
@CBowen4 Patents? What does a patent prove? That people are thinking of doing something, and they think it might work.

2014-04-12T20:45:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455084437022072832
@jace3312 @iain39 @awesome086 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 Sure it is. But SRM by spraying stuff is not.

2014-04-12T20:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455082023405621248
@iain39 @awesome086 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 The are aware you told them, not of it actually happening

---

2014-04-12T20:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455076225912815616
@CBowen4 No, just fog.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-alicante-cloud-engulfs-beachside-hotels-fog.3447/

2014-04-12T19:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455069709432397824
@lek_black @awesome086 @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 Why do you think that? Any evidence?

2014-04-12T19:46:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455069555056836608
@nickmyer @jace3312 No, I said there was wild speculation about HAARP. HAARP was obviously a real thing.

2014-04-12T19:14:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455061375383904257
@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 That looks correct. Thanks.

2014-04-12T19:13:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455061186724114432
@nickmyer @jace3312 Well clearly you are convinced. What I mean is you have problems convincing people outside the onspiracy culture.

2014-04-12T18:19:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455047448365236225
The Prime Minister of the UK debunks #chemtrails
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCnPjqCAAENGb2.jpg



HOUSE OF COMMONS
LONDON SW1A 0AA

Monday, 7th April 2014
Ref. [redacted]

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Darkin,

Thank you for contacting me about chemtrails.

The Department for Transport is not aware of any matter or aerosol being eject from aircraft in the UK, other than normal exhaust products.

I am aware that other countries have, on occasion, used weather modification techniques, such as cloud seeding, which can cause precipitation by introducing substances into cumulus clouds that causes condensation. However, these techniques are aimed at reducing the locally damaging effects of hail or promoting rainfall, and have no impact on the climate and should not be considered to be geo-engineering.

It is also worth noting that since 1977 cloud seeding and environmental techniques have been subject to international regulation after countries agreed the "Convention of the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques" (ENMOD). Importantly, this treaty forbids the use of environmental modification techniques in hostile circumstances.

I do hope this goes some way to reassure you and thank you again for taking the time to write to me.

Yours sincerely

David Cameron

From the Member of Parliament for the Witney constituency in the district of West Oxfordshire, including Bampton, Burford, Carterton, Charlbury, Chipping Norton, Eynsham, Witney and Woodstock.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCnPjqCAAENGb2.jpg
2014-04-12T18:11:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/455045625076125696
@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985
I've done lots of research: http://contrailscience.com/

2014-04-12T17:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455039643453358080

@lisajennelle Looks like contrails. See 1957: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCgJO1CIAA0Bhp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCgJO1CIAA0Bhp.jpg

2014-04-12T17:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455036275494363136

@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 But what is the evidence they are not contrails?

2014-04-12T17:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455033799206653952

Did you know: Contrails can last for hours! (Source: all the books on clouds)

#chemtrails

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCa1ExCYAEtu0V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BlCa1ExCYAEtu0V.jpg

2014-04-12T16:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455027235540328448

@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails So why do they ALL look exactly like contrails? Just different altitudes.

2014-04-12T16:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455026959924215809

@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 And on the other side - the books that say contrails persist

---

2014-04-12T16:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455026825563869184

@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 If you have this convincing evidence, then show it.

2014-04-12T16:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455026708454707200

@awesome086 @iain39 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 The evidence claimed for the chemtrail theory. Like FR data

---

2014-04-12T16:17:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455016855279644672

@nickmyer @jace3312 Then you should document that. Why is it so hard to get good evidence for "chemtrails"? Why is nobody convinced?

2014-04-12T16:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455016426906988544

@KristenMeghan @ANHQDC Exactly, and Bundy does not own it either. We the people own it. Bundy has been using public land illegally.

2014-04-12T16:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455014126289293312

@iain39 @awesome086 @lek_black @ChemtrailedSky @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 You guys :) Why attack me, avoid addressing the evidence?

2014-04-12T16:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455013508166328320

@awesome086 @lek_black @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @martinhume @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 How did you measure the altitude?

2014-04-12T15:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455008115583246336

@LiveSovereign preserving the habitat of a species in one place does not mean you never kill any anywhere. Highly misleading rhetoric.

2014-04-12T15:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455007626330263552

@LiveSovereign I don't see that saying Bundy has to be off the land by 2014. It's just one minor point on a long list.

2014-04-12T15:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455007418510888960

@iain39 @DeptofWeather Or it's just hearsay, you might have convinced yourself, but you don't really have good evidence to show people

---

2014-04-12T15:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455007189942276096

@iain39 @DeptofWeather If you think you have proof, then why not spend some time collating it and demonstrating it? Convince the public.

2014-04-12T15:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/455006841148170240

@nickmyer @jace3312 I'll take that as a no. There is no visible difference. Sorry about the typo.

2014-04-12T07:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454890848430198784

@LiveSovereign And wasn't it all about fracking? Seems like someone did a Google search for Gold Butte, and is going through the list

---

2014-04-12T07:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454888552031997952

@LiveSovereign Where are these docs?

2014-04-12T01:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454787338678198272

@DeptofWeather And some unusually deep and wide layers of cold humid air, but yes. Flight paths cross. There are lots of flights.

2014-04-12T00:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454782268540592129

@4thAnon Patenting something is not the same as using it. Example: electroshock collars for passengers: https://www.google.com/patents/US6933851

2014-04-12T00:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454781297060110336

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Like another rancher.

2014-04-12T00:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454781127601840128

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon We should question all laws, all the time. But we can't just selectively interpret them the way we want.

2014-04-12T00:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454780900681601024

@jace3312 @awesome086 @lek_black @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @martinhume @Wood2Wendy @Frank6985 25,000 in winter in the UK, sure. Check temps.

2014-04-12T00:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454773620305186816

@awesome086 @lek_black @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @martinhume @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 Why would they not? It's cold enough at 35,000ft

---

2014-04-12T00:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454773502264889344

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Of course not, they need to operate within the law. Of course it does not always work that way.

2014-04-11T23:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454770273615491072

Contrails at sunset sure look pretty. RT @Planesonearth: Air France 772 golden contrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-bIi1CIAANEH8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-bIi1CIAANEH8.jpg

2014-04-11T23:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454770036519878656

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Unfortunately that's not how the law works. Nor is it really practical - what if someone else wants the land?

2014-04-11T23:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454769795078963200

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Key words in that article: "he ceased paying"

2014-04-11T23:53:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454769226876583936

@lek_black @awesome086 @iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @martinhume @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @Frank6985 Backed by science. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-11T22:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454752163013459969

Like clouds? Join the Cloud Appreciation Society!

http://cloudappreciationsociety.org/gallery/#p=1&i=0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-arsHCMAA5S_T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-arsHCMAA5S_T.jpg

2014-04-11T22:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454750675067359232

@iain39 @ChemtrailedSky @awesome086 @martinhume @Wood2Wendy @jace3312 @lek_black @Frank6985 Cirrus vertebratus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-ZVFTCAAAZAA-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-ZVFTCAAAZAA-.jpg

2014-04-11T22:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454745642355523584

@iain39 Here's a photo I took of some contrails at night, for comparison.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-UwIcCMAAP46a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-UwIcCMAAP46a.jpg

2014-04-11T22:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454743119515226113

@jace3312 @nickmyer For clouds to exist conditions have to be just right too. "just right" is not the same thing as "rare"

2014-04-11T22:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454742893459021824

@nickmyer @jace3312 Isn't the claim that they look different? Or dod you think they look the same? If different, then how?

2014-04-11T22:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454742752136134657

@nickmyer @jace3312 Never. So if you see that, you should film it, because it's something new to science.

2014-04-11T21:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454737138324496384

@jace3312 @nickmyer You claim it's a "chemical" haze though. Science tells us it's just water.

2014-04-11T21:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454736947370405888

@nickmyer @jace3312 Again though, how does this differ from natural haze, fog, mist?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-M2BpCEAA6Lej.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk-M2BpCEAA6Lej.jpg

2014-04-11T21:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454734277129687041

@nickmyer @jace3312 That's not the point at all. The point is comparing clouds to haze does not demonstrate anything.

2014-04-11T21:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454733989920509952

@jace3312 So how does "chemical haze" differ from "haze"? That should be the comparison you draw. Obviously clouds and haze are different.

2014-04-11T21:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454725646409674753

@jace3312 You know the word "haze" has been used since the 1700s? Haze is not a new thing.

2014-04-11T20:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454725125749739520

@4thAnon They don't need him off the land to sell oil leases. It's public land.

2014-04-11T20:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454724949471547392

@4thAnon Did they? Your link says: "Iâ□□ve heard rumors of government snipers on scene, but I canâ□□t confirm that." Pic shows cops with tasers.

2014-04-11T20:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454724524160733184

@LiveSovereign Yes, there are lots of conspiracies. However my focus is on bunk. i.e claims of evidence that are wrong.

2014-04-11T16:04:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454651171131498497

@t_machine_org @richard_lord @scottbilas Sounds interesting, if 5,000 miles away. Plus, I'm a

bit out of the game. :)

2014-04-11T16:03:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454651021201920000

@BadAstronomer It's tempting to wrap up a debunk and label it "done". But if your article is there forever you need to be sure, or caveat.

2014-04-11T16:01:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454650393859854336

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Should an individual have unrestricted use of 100,000 acres of public land? This dispute is about the restrictions

---

2014-04-11T15:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454649960034598912

@ICLEI_AGENDA21 @4thAnon Yeah, he disagreed with the law, so stopped paying his fee, and kept breaking the law with the number of cattle.

2014-04-11T06:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454507669332561920

@LiveSovereign Anyway, got to go, nice chatting.

2014-04-11T06:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454507541393707008

@LiveSovereign I'm not sure the MSM is significantly MORE unreliable than it has been. I think the alternative media is a very mixed bag.

2014-04-11T06:32:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454507183254687744

@LiveSovereign @MarkDice Debate should first focus on establishing common ground, so something productive can come from the debate.

2014-04-11T06:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454506935442612224

@4thAnon It's a fundamental disagreement about how property rights are established. The actual law is clear. Bundy disagrees with the law.

2014-04-11T06:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454506732132110336

@LiveSovereign Different altitudes, see:
http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-04-11T06:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454506569040814080

@4thAnon It's public land, managed by the federal BLM

2014-04-11T06:25:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454505479213813761

@4thAnon Oh they know, Bundy just refuses to recognize federal authority. He's trying to create confusion.

2014-04-11T06:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454504913670651904

@4thAnon What do you do when legal channel are exhausted. 20 years, multiple legal rulings. He won't comply. How do you get his cattle off?

2014-04-11T06:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454504716647415808

@LiveSovereign @MarkDice Dialog is great. If it's open and honest.

2014-04-11T06:21:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454504595901775872

@LiveSovereign If you think you have some unusual trails, then come post them on Metabunk's Skydentify forum https://www.metabunk.org/forums/skydentify-what-is-that-thing-in-the-sky.32/

2014-04-11T06:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454504282683764736

@LiveSovereign Persistent contrails have always been here, regardless of if you remember them: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-11T06:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454504073253773312

@4thAnon Yes, rhetoric. So federal agents have long guns? So what? Would you prefer they just had handguns?

2014-04-11T06:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454501408163627008

@4thAnon I know you will automatically side with him. But what if this is just the BLM doing their job? Distasteful idea, but possible?

2014-04-11T06:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454501216492331008

@LiveSovereign @MarkDice I think there's about 30 million people within an hour or two :)

2014-04-11T06:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454500894386569216

@4thAnon DId you see the video, they are trying to provoke the police. At some point people have to do their jobs.

2014-04-11T06:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454500670943416320

@4thAnon If he says two conflicting things, then one of them is incorrect. What's the actual issue here? "Snipers" sounds like rhetoric.

2014-04-11T06:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454499966887534592

@LiveSovereign Be happy to, but I think Dice is in San Diego. California is a big state.

2014-04-11T06:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454499530965139456

@LiveSovereign @DeptofWeather Actually if you zoom in, you'll see that they do. Plus the wind blows trails out parallel wider.

2014-04-11T06:01:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454499360466665472
@LiveSovereign I agree!

2014-04-11T06:00:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454499281001406465
@LiveSovereign If it was grandfathered in, then why was he paying fees in 1993? Why claim he's been trying to pay them since?

2014-04-11T05:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454498982840913920
@4thAnon No: "He quit paying the BLM and tried giving his grazing fees to the county, which they turned down."
http://theconservativetreehouse.com/2014/04/09/the-tinderbox-bundy-ranch-in-clarke-county-nevada/

2014-04-11T05:52:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454497267689340928
#BundyRanch rhetoric is heating up. Here's a look at the history of the issue from the National Park Service:
http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2014/apr/06/rancher-land-dispute-bully-not-hero/

2014-04-11T05:49:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454496422964903936
@4thAnon No. They are moving against him because he's not paid his permit fees for 20 years.
http://www.lasvegassun.com/news/2014/apr/06/rancher-land-dispute-bully-not-hero/

2014-04-11T03:16:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454457895262765058
@IamNii_Teiko No. Just that there is no good evidence to support their existence. And especially not them being the trails people point to.

2014-04-11T02:41:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/454449115250700290
@plainjanegame @DeptofWeather Not true. Contrails freeze.
http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-condensation-but-not-like-your-breath/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk6HD_SCAAABXI1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk6HD_SCAAABXI1.jpg

2014-04-11T02:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454444904081416193

The air space over the US is quite busy, with several crossing routes. @DeptofWeather

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk6DO2cCAAAdghF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk6DO2cCAAAdghF.jpg

2014-04-11T02:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454444264542322688

@DeptofWeather They are contrails. Which are essentially clouds. Physically the same.

2014-04-11T01:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454434554233618432

@4thAnon M2 is about $11 trillion, so introducing $1 Trillion per year would VASTLY debase it. http://www.tradingeconomics.com/united-states/money-supply-m2 #wishfulthinking

---

2014-04-11T01:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454431338397843456

Why do planes sometimes make u-turns? It's to adjust their arrival time to fit in a slot at a busy airport. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8gAA3vcmR2U

2014-04-10T21:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454363353436016641

Here's a cool close-up of the initial spread of a contrail https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9UHg3_qgmts @andrewshaman @martinhume

---

2014-04-10T15:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454281689259208704

@MTahergorabi I read the law. It said informed consent is required. It did not say anything about chemtrails.

2014-04-10T15:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454281394072469504

@JasonBermas The current state of SRM research and implementation is accurately listed here, with references. Cool roofs is not NASA.

2014-04-10T15:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454280705279672321

@JasonBermas Yes, theoretical research - computer models, etc. You can look up what has actually been done.

2014-04-10T04:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454112768296112128

@MTahergorabi But that law says no testing without informed consent. So how does it make it legal? Can you quote it?

2014-04-10T04:23:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454112438263111680

@WhiteSkyBlues @aboveawhisper1 Discussed in detail here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/ With broader context: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk1U2zyCUAE1kEp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bk1U2zyCUAE1kEp.jpg

2014-04-10T04:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454108878439870464

@trutherbot A bold statement. Can you back it up? Can you back it up with SCIENCE?

2014-04-10T04:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454108485412589568

@KenCaldeira @Freizeit_Tiger There's over a thousand posts about threats in that thread. It only takes a tiny % to act on those beliefs...

2014-04-10T03:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/454106262821548032

@JasonBermas Yes. The white roof initiative. Are you going to call painting roofs white the same as your "chemtrail" theory?

2014-04-09T18:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453963618715852800

@RealAlexJones Read the article people. It's about a steel-core 5.45Ã□39mm being classified as armour piercing handgun round. Misleading.

2014-04-09T18:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453960591783112704

@martinhume How is a four year old non-binding biodiversity agreement "breaking"?

2014-04-09T18:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453960177918570497

@JusPauperum1 @martinhume You mean it's illegal. 105-85 specifically prohibits testing without informed consent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzKYEaCIAApIM-.jpg

---

SEC. 1078. RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF HUMAN SUBJECTS FOR TESTING OF CHEMICAL OR BIOLOGICAL AGENTS.

(a) PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary of Defense may not conduct (directly or by contract)—

(1) any test or experiment involving the use of a chemical agent or biological agent on a civilian population; or

(2) any other testing of a chemical agent or biological agent on human subjects.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—Subject to subsections (c), (d), and (e), the prohibition in subsection (a) does not apply to a test or experiment carried out for any of the following purposes:

(1) Any peaceful purpose that is related to a medical, therapeutic, pharmaceutical, agricultural, industrial, or research activity.

(2) Any purpose that is directly related to protection against toxic chemicals or biological weapons and agents.

(3) Any law enforcement purpose, including any purpose related to riot control.

(c) INFORMED CONSENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct a test or experiment described in subsection (b) only if informed consent to the testing was obtained from each human subject in advance of the testing on that subject.

(d) PRIOR NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of final approval within the Department of Defense of plans for any experiment or study to be conducted by the Department of Defense (whether directly or under contract) involving the use of human subjects for the testing of a chemical agent or a biological agent, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzKYEaCIAApIM-.jpg

2014-04-09T18:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453959218941284352

.@karentalford66 Check these photos from 100 years ago. The sky was just the same then. http://www.gridenko.com/pg http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzJgRQCEAAUIe5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzJgRQCEAAUIe5.jpg
2014-04-09T18:12:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453958668737081344
@karentalford66 No. I'm saying there is no *constant* haze. It's highly variable. Some days deep blue, some are hazy. So it's just water.

2014-04-09T18:11:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453958379203858433
@karinebio13 @ChemtrailsNews @Chemtrail_Watch @Chemtrails_818 @martinhume Sun dogs can form in contrails, the process is the same. Ice+sun

---

2014-04-09T17:33:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453948803050000385
@karinebio13 @ChemtrailsNews @Chemtrail_Watch @Chemtrails_818 @martinhume That's a sun-dog http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sun_dog
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzAB9wCYAARG2D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkzAB9wCYAARG2D.jpg

2014-04-09T17:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453943611608936449

@jace3312 Maybe they are just 1000 feet apart in altitude. That's all it takes. Hard to tell. http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bky7TyuCEAAweTv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bky7TyuCEAAweTv.jpg

2014-04-09T16:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453930915123572736

The contrast of contrails with blue skies proves it's not geoengineering (which would be constant haze), just water. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyvwxlCMAAj7GK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyvwxlCMAAj7GK.jpg

2014-04-09T16:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453927950308503552

@jace3312 That's a hybrid contrail - interaction of wake vortex and exhaust contrails. Explained here: http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-04-09T15:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453924037056409600

Very cool visualization of sound/air! RT @cadamole: You can actually SEE sound waves traveling through the air: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=px3oVGXr4mo

---

2014-04-09T15:30:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453918000991567872

@Slikk82 But there's about 1000x as many flights as 70 years ago, and they fly higher, and the planes are biggers. More is expected

---

2014-04-09T14:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453909532570161153

These planes look like they are flying wingtip to wingtip! But one is around 2,000 feet above the other. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FWG7x04ZfGE

2014-04-09T14:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453909089496473600

@TynanSylvester @ScalarDimension Different altitudes, like: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_BLhtDETzJs

---

2014-04-09T14:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453908611144495104

@Chemtrails_818 Or just two intersecting routes, and some wind? http://contrailscience.com/contrail-simulations/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bkybeg9CAAAR0Fu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bkybeg9CAAAR0Fu.jpg
2014-04-09T14:50:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453907927946887169
@candtalan @BracknellForest @Reptoid_Hunter @ScienceDiscove1 That's a fuel dump. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-search-plane-in-mh370-filmed-spraying-over-indian-ocean-fuel-dump.3378/

2014-04-09T14:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453907609779585024
@ryosaeba8 @Chemtrails_818 Those are ship trails, which is what she is explaining.
http://pafc.arh.noaa.gov/classroom/ship_trails.php

2014-04-09T14:46:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453906900065587200
@LookTheFuckUp_ @karentalford66 @martinhume @Blackprojects @ljam185 It is normal.
https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyZ66UCAAA3e0D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyZ66UCAAA3e0D.jpg

2014-04-09T14:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453905854824054784

Two planes crossing paths over Yosemite National Park. @ScalarDimension

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyY-EdCEAEWR_b.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkyY-EdCEAEWR_b.jpg

2014-04-09T14:05:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453896481200566272

@Chemtrails_818 And how do you know this is happening? What is the actual evidence you base your theory on?

2014-04-09T14:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453896217613721601

@streetdem @Reptoid_Hunter Bill Gate has not "admitted chemtrails", that's a lie by headline that has misled a lot of people. Quote Gates.

2014-04-09T14:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453896010649972736

@loveacupotea @RichMoffitt @martinhume @lek_black Attacking my credibility is just a way of avoiding addressing the evidence.

2014-04-09T14:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453895801043828736

@Slikk82 If they were spraying then, then how can you distinguish a contrail from a chemtrail - they have looked the same for 70 years?

2014-04-09T14:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453895612291751936

@duncanthementat You're welcome. And yes I saw Colbert. Funny stuff.

2014-04-09T05:25:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453765558006063104

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 Night time contrails appear just like daytime contrails. You just need a moon to see them clearly.

2014-04-09T05:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453765294620565505

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 My intent was to show that these type of trails appear at night. Make of that what you will.

2014-04-09T05:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453763970814316545

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 This is the last one I took.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwX7U4CEAEYoTA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwX7U4CEAEYoTA.jpg

2014-04-09T05:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453763744699387904

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 No, they were persistent. You can see them spreading

---

2014-04-09T05:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453763643297894400

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 Here's another one

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwXoQsCQAAazPj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwXoQsCQAAazPj.jpg

2014-04-09T05:15:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453763169308012545

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 Erm. what do you want to known? It's in Los Angeles. Shows contrails at night. I took it.

2014-04-09T05:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453762882119798784

@4thAnon "More" in this case being "one, slightly".

2014-04-09T05:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453762291435970560

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 Here's the original image:

https://www.metabunk.org/sk/IMG_0054.JPG

2014-04-09T05:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453761838610530305

@duncanthementat @Chemtrails_818 About 10PM. You can see stars.

2014-04-09T04:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453758984202104832

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas But we are not talking about ordinary pollution here. We are discussing the chemtrail theory.

2014-04-09T04:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453757855884009472

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Because the "chemtrail" issue is about supposed deliberate spraying, not normal pollution.

2014-04-09T04:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453757604213161984

If chemtrails are to block the sun, then these are just contrails then, right? @Chemtrails_818

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwSIvTCYAAo4ej.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwSIvTCYAAo4ej.jpg

2014-04-09T04:47:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453756006619549697

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Like car exhaust? Power station emissions? Those both cause FAR more damage.

2014-04-09T04:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453755790889742336

@WWCWD @jfkjohan @LakeShOwQueen Not true. Contrail can persist. See:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwQfMaCYAELwOE.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkwQfMaCYAELwOE.jpg
2014-04-09T04:45:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453755536547131392

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas But that fact is both obvious and uncontested. It's like car exhaust. Just less of it in total.

2014-04-09T04:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453754568476610561

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JasonBermas http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zinc_cadmium_sulfide "It was not thought at the time to pose any particular health risk."

2014-04-09T04:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453753878203228160

@SkysAdvocate @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas Geoengineering in 1957?

2014-04-09T04:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453753439852322817

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Which fact?

2014-04-09T04:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453753326857756672

@jdswearingen Sure, but then there's tomorrow. One can always approach things in a different way.

2014-04-09T04:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453752710940991490

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas So you convinced hundred of thousands without sharing your evidence with them?

2014-04-09T00:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453696856707448833

@KristenMeghan @JasonBermas @eddiebravo The clean air act was "human intervention in climate" He wants to avoid SRM. Did you read it?

2014-04-09T00:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453696186524790784

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas Why so obsessed with credentials? Evidence is evidence. You need to win the public.

2014-04-09T00:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453693725420433408

@BrandonDoughan Anti-vaxers are mainstream. There is already a vast array of resources explaining why they are wrong. I'm not needed there.

2014-04-09T00:38:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453693471996383232

@KristenMeghan @Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas I have never claimed I have. So please address the evidence, not me.

2014-04-09T00:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453693277133225984

@BrandonDoughan e.g. the prison and financial systems have huge problems, which are

ignored if you think the Bilderbergers are all powerful.

2014-04-09T00:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453692957426593792

@BrandonDoughan So by debunking things that are wrong, I allow people to focus on things that are backed by evidence.

2014-04-09T00:36:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453692840854294528

@BrandonDoughan Extreme and incorrect theories distract from real issues. Geoengineering conspiracies distract from discussing pollution.

2014-04-09T00:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453692433167949824

@Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas Seems a bit inexact. Scientific measurements show dimming, then brightening after clean air act.

2014-04-09T00:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453692204314161152

@KristenMeghan @JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo A good book. You should read it. It explains why atmospheric SRM is bad news.

2014-04-09T00:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453691867633180673

@AnthonyMidili @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @eddiebravo Perhaps a better place to start would be evidence, not personal attacks?

2014-04-09T00:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453691695830294529

@SkysAdvocate @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas Not all the time. 1957 book: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvWMYJCIAAnp09.jpg

---

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvWMYJCIAAnp09.jpg
2014-04-09T00:29:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/453691230463868928

@Jason10Noto @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas What evidence do you have that there is dimming over the US? Studies say the opposite.

2014-04-09T00:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453690655106019328

@4thAnon Fox News? Are they slaves to Obama's dictates?

2014-04-09T00:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453690519156051968

@SkysAdvocate @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas This appears to be a photo of six contrails. Thanks.

2014-04-09T00:25:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453690248241762304

The big picture on today's contrails: @eddiebravo @joerogan https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2014-04-08&map=-128.145874,29.872192,-112.580444,39.355591 Zoom out for full perspective. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvU4HVCQAA_dfD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvU4HVCQAA_dfD.jpg

2014-04-09T00:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453688926952116224

@frogetteca @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @eddiebravo And how do you know what chemtrails look like? As opposed to contrails?

2014-04-09T00:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453688578262831104

@4thAnon I'm pretty sure it's not exactly the same thing. But YMMV.

2014-04-09T00:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453688420137570304

@KristenMeghan @JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Not any more. I retired! But you should address the evidence.

2014-04-09T00:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453687118733127680

@AnthonyMidili @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @eddiebravo How do you know they never have? Books etc say otherwise.

2014-04-09T00:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453686425179803649

@AnthonyMidili @cryptotraveler @Chemtrails_818 @eddiebravo Really? Where? Did you see the sludge test debunked: http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2014-04-09T00:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453684786742050816

@Chemtrails_818 @JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen It happens: http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvP6N6CYAEMr-9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkvP6N6CYAEMr-9.jpg

2014-04-09T00:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453684388492898304

@4thAnon And it's not like PressTV is a bastion of freedom. They exist solely to promote conservative Iranian interests.

2014-04-09T00:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453683849805824000

@4thAnon Sure. But do you want to get news from someone who suggests "Israeli Death Squads" did Sandy Hook.

http://www.presstv.com/detail/2012/12/18/278706/israeli-squads-tied-to-newtown-carnage/ ?

2014-04-08T23:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453683137042587649

@Slikk82 In the 1940s? How would that even work? Wouldn't the people reading in the 1940s notice that planes did not leave trails?

2014-04-08T23:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453682823124107264

@4thAnon Duff is basically just an anti-semite who thinks Mossad planned 9/11

http://pjmedia.com/lifestyle/2013/12/08/the-ten-worst-u-s-purveyors-of-antisemitism-10-gordon-duff/2/

2014-04-08T23:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453682198613200896

@4thAnon But Duff claims a shadowy organization is plotting to arrange it so Israel nukes Iran. Different from beating drums.

2014-04-08T23:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453681682810273793

@4thAnon Turkey wanting to invade Syria is not a huge surprise. THey have history.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syria%E2%80%93Turkey_relations But Duff's claims seem extreme.

2014-04-08T23:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453680432815435776

@4thAnon I think Israel nuking Iran is rather more significant that a "detail". It's practically the end of life as we know it.

2014-04-08T23:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453679263170838528

@4thAnon You have to add rather a lot of dots to arrive at Israel nuking Iran because of sarin attacks, as Duff claims.

2014-04-08T23:30:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453676302617174017

@4thAnon Read it. Duff actually disagrees with Hersh, just cherry picks a few bits.

2014-04-08T23:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453673954838732800

@4thAnon Well, they don't have a very good record, and it seems based on a lot of

unsubstantiated claims.

2014-04-08T22:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453667558822928384

@4thAnon You trust Gordon Duff and Press TV?

2014-04-08T22:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453662013546188800

@Lukewearechange What did she whistleblow exactly? What is the exact thing she revealed?

2014-04-08T22:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453661764761026560

@loveacupotea @lek_black @martinhume Persistent spreading trails have been observed since planes could fly that high

---

2014-04-08T22:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453661498624049152

@lek_black @loveacupotea @martinhume I see the exact same thing you see. White trails that persist and spread. I believe my own eyes.

2014-04-08T21:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453645895163060225

@loveacupotea @lek_black @martinhume How do you know it wasn't happening every single day BEFORE awareness?

2014-04-08T21:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453643290802286593

@Patrick__King @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Nobody has ever paid me to debunk. I'm a retired video game guy.

2014-04-08T20:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453624018864582658

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas One would hope so. I pointed out errors with SHADE that are still in the film.

2014-04-08T20:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453623879622066176

@cryptotraveler @eddiebravo Like what? Scientists talking about may doing SRM in the future? What actual evidence is there?

2014-04-08T19:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622965796483072

@cryptotraveler @eddiebravo And if there is no evidence something is happening, what are you pointing at contrails for?

2014-04-08T19:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622859919671296

@cryptotraveler @eddiebravo I can't debunk the theory that something is happening that leaves no evidence. But I can debunk evidence.

2014-04-08T19:57:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622712531832832

@cryptotraveler @eddiebravo THe lack of evidence. What I debunk are the claims of evidence. Like Eddie saying the sky in LA today is SRM.

2014-04-08T19:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622478703587328

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas I'd be happy to be corrected if I got something wrong.

2014-04-08T19:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622351511314432

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas And people often mistake the whitening sky near the horizon as suspicious. It's normal. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuXIAaCcAEk81t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuXIAaCcAEk81t.jpg

2014-04-08T19:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453622021964832768

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Sure, but so what? There are MANY sources of pollution. Air travel is not a major source.

2014-04-08T19:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453621311361667072

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas It's not though. It's hazy some days, it's deep blue other days. You can't remove SRM in a day.

2014-04-08T19:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453621015638052864

@Jason10Noto @cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Contrails are simply clouds, triggered by water in the exhaust of a jet engine.

2014-04-08T19:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453618267475554304

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas What we see changes from day to day, so it can't be SRM. It's just the weather.

2014-04-08T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453618126790225920

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas But no. SRM would be consistent and even silvery haze, the same from day to day.

2014-04-08T19:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453617998826192896

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Even if it did, that's hardly evidence. Like say aliens would look like trees, so trees are evidence of aliens.

2014-04-08T19:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453613745189576704

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas No. I see zero evidence of current or past aerial SRM geoengineering. If it's there, it's hidden very well.

2014-04-08T19:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453613053318156288

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen NASA rockets were not SRM. Sorry Jason, you're just repeating hoaxes.

2014-04-08T19:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453611996840087552

@cryptotraveler @JasonBermas Skeptical of what? I'm skeptical in general. Especially of politicians and YouTube videos.

2014-04-08T19:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453610315146489856

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Okay, so basically you admit you are speculating? No actual evidence?

2014-04-08T18:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453607904617041920

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen No. Report is about a possible future experiment. ETC map has no air SRM.

2014-04-08T18:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453607319524216832

@P01YN0NYM0U55 I'm not going to go over every single conspiracy claim on Twitter. Take it to http://Metabunk.org if you want to discuss.

2014-04-08T18:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453607097234886656

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen So what? That's not deliberate geoengineering. It's normal contrails.

2014-04-08T18:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453606138622529536

@P01YN0NYM0U55 It's pretty common, yes. Have a look at some other days in that region. https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2014-04-08T18:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453605648572641281

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen So basically your film is a bunch of speculation with zero evidence.

2014-04-08T18:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453605572638961664

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen None of which say SRM is being done. All talk about the future.

2014-04-08T18:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453605159944617984

@lek_black @loveacupotea @martinhume cirrostratus clouds. https://www.google.com/search?q=cirrostratus&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2014-04-08T18:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453604918310744064

@cryptotraveler And you know this how? All the books say contrails can persist and spread. They don't specify time limits.

2014-04-08T18:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453604646830239744

@P01YN0NYM0U55 Works both ways. So let's just look at the evidence.

2014-04-08T18:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453604366130622464

@P01YN0NYM0U55 As they fly in and out of a humid region of air, the contrail with start, then stop. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuGxHyIgAALT_9.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuGxHyIgAALT_9.jpg

2014-04-08T18:43:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453604028602384384

@P01YN0NYM0U55 No, that's simply one rather big part of the theory. I've debunked many other claims of evidence. http://contrailscience.com/

2014-04-08T18:42:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453603746455760896

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Could you pick one piece of solid evidence that you stand by? The best bit?

2014-04-08T18:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453603355018166272

@P01YN0NYM0U55 I think it's nonsense. Its a satellite photo of some contrails. So what?

2014-04-08T18:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453602989090283520

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen The issue of global dimming. Which is not happening. Your science was bad

---

2014-04-08T18:37:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453602498025377793

@P01YN0NYM0U55 Of course it doesn't. But if there's no evidence chemtrails exist, then why believe in them?

2014-04-08T18:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453602303103479809

@JasonBermas @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen SHADE is based on errors.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shade-the-motion-picture-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.2397/

2014-04-08T18:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453601637073178625

@P01YN0NYM0U55 No, I did a google image search for "contrail vs chemtrail", there are many similar

---

2014-04-08T18:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453601456541941761

@cryptotraveler @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Actually they do: http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2014-04-08T18:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453601034406232064

@P01YN0NYM0U55 Er, no, I make a rough guess as to how many chemtrail believers think contrails should not persist. How many do you think?

2014-04-08T18:27:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453600154428661760

@joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen Yeah, like all the meteorologists ever, worldwide. Must get a bit spendy for the NWO.

2014-04-08T18:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453599303219814401

@P01YN0NYM0U55 Really? You've never seen this type of thing? What is the foundation then? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuCKa9IIAAMlgB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BkuCKa9IIAAMlgB.jpg

2014-04-08T18:22:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453598899249618944

@P01YN0NYM0U55 It's an estimate based on personal experience.

2014-04-08T18:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453598801811759104

@joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @bryancallen It will be summer soon, and the contrails will pretty much stop in LA.

2014-04-08T18:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453597986514550784

@P01YN0NYM0U55 This debunks *most* chemtrail theories. There are always variants. I apologise for imprecision. What's your theory?

2014-04-08T18:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453597808999026688

@P01YN0NYM0U55 Perils of 140 char limit. Look at the video title, it says "Debunking 'Contrails don't persist'"

2014-04-08T18:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453597481088319490

@eddiebravo @joerogan @duncantrussell @bryancallen Contrails are artificial clouds, says 70 years of books https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-08T18:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453596280682737664

@P01YN0NYM0U55 That only debunks "chemtrails" if that's the basis of your theory. Which it is for 90% of people.

2014-04-08T18:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453596101581746176

@P01YN0NYM0U55 No. I'm just debunking the myth that contrails don't persist.

2014-04-08T18:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453594172201897985

@capitalweather That's not a distrail. It's a canal cloud. Distrails are clear, like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bkt9fwmIUAAcfIP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bkt9fwmIUAAcfIP.jpg

2014-04-08T17:59:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453592863725858816

@KristenMeghan @kencampbell66 @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black @RichMoffitt So why do you think it's there then?

2014-04-08T17:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453591942694465537

@Slikk82 I appreciate that. Makes a refreshing change.

2014-04-08T14:12:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453535928108072960

@jace3312 @Slikk82 @WhiteSkyBlues @YouTube @lek_black @martinhume Perhaps you could

say what's actually wrong with it?

2014-04-08T05:11:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453399836931284992

@4thAnon That's what the Romans said about mirrors.

2014-04-08T01:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453345195669463040

@4thAnon But the segment does not actually say that. It's more about he possible use of biometrics.

2014-04-07T19:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453251452056506369

@trutherillusion HR2977 was written by some UFO enthusiasts and was rejected by the government. . See here: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2014-04-07T19:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453251089698025472

@phdamodei @YouTube @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 @martinhume They prove it. What did I get wrong?

2014-04-07T19:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453251038212935681

@phdamodei @zoranzoric3 @WhiteSkyBlues @martinhume Nobody pays me anything.

2014-04-07T19:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453250966171570176

@phdamodei @WDMichael @martinhume @jane1170 @GeoengWatch @SkyderALERT And what evidence do you have that I lie, or am paid?

2014-04-07T05:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453043879227310081

@wxdam Most people don't learn real science. Perhaps it is more hubris than laziness.

2014-04-07T05:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453043376095367169

@Janet4II The books disagree: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-07T05:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453043228468453376

@phdamodei @YouTube @WHITESKYBLUES @ZORANZORIC3 @MARTINHUME Two planes at different altitudes is "shocking"? Why?

2014-04-07T05:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/453042928273743872

@iain39 @P01YN0NYM0U55 @TrutherDolly Except that we don't. Full debunking here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-04-06T19:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452898388703322112

@iain39 @TrutherDolly And you never actually pointed out what you thought I was lying about?

2014-04-06T19:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452897764284706816

@iain39 @TrutherDolly And explained why.

2014-04-06T19:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452892891661086720

@P01YN0NYM0U55 @iain39 @TrutherDolly Debunked: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-04-06T19:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452892333713797121

@McKGraKucPauNad @iain39 @P01YN0NYM0U55 @TrutherDolly Everyone uses the word "debunk". It's a good honest word.

2014-04-06T19:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452891994218446848

@iain39 @TrutherDolly quite. So I think it works better to be polite, honest, and focussed. Find common ground.

2014-04-06T14:46:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452819687156355072

90% of the claims of the The Chemtrails hoax are debunked by this: Please RT for your CT friends: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-04-06T14:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452819077711417344

@martinhume How can you tell? How can you tell what you are seeing is not a persistent contrail?

2014-04-06T14:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452818850090717184

@Hsaive Did someone say they couldn't? Why post obvious statement? The question is if they are doing it now. And there's zero evidence.

2014-04-06T14:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452813926355333120

@iain39 @TrutherDolly Do you think all debunkers are sociopaths? Because they politely point out factual errors?

2014-04-06T14:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452813720754741250

@KristenMeghan There are claims and there is evidence.

2014-04-06T14:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/452813516353716225

@KristenMeghan Isn't the general public behind and off base? Arn't you trying to catch them up? What's your actual evidence?

2014-04-05T16:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452482535847510016
@bleudawn7 "The dog ate my homework"? He also said it's 100% true. Evidence? @IntelligenceHub @ShepardAmbellas @NZFoWL @NotForSalesB

2014-04-05T03:12:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452282561788530689
@4thAnon What possible reason could Fort Hood have to be concerned about an active shooter </sarcasm>

2014-04-05T03:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452281725897293825
@AnonBig @Orgleader @robbielynn53 @christianmsx @An0nMiA @ozlao Seems like it came from 4Chan, but @ShepardAmbellas is pushing it as legit.

2014-04-05T03:05:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452280785387548672
@IntelligenceHub GPS data was edited in Picasa https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-passenger-philip-wood-sends-photo-text-from-diego-garcia.3397/ @ShepardAmbellas @NZFoWL @NotForSalesB

2014-04-05T03:04:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452280652704927744
@AnonBig forensic exam of EXIF proves fake https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-passenger-philip-wood-sends-photo-text-from-diego-garcia.3397/ @Orgleader @robbielynn53 @christianmsx @An0nMiA @ozlao

---

2014-04-05T03:03:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452280461230759936
@GuyBreakfast It was a fake photo, see:: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-passenger-philip-wood-sends-photo-text-from-diego-garcia.3397/ @GoodHopeFM

2014-04-05T03:02:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452280069910585344
@J4LYN that was a hoax, fake photo. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mh370-passenger-philip-wood-sends-photo-text-from-diego-garcia.3397/ @hindifilmnews1 @jurylady5 @JoiBangla @LardOfTheRings

---

2014-04-05T01:38:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452259064743858176
@KristenMeghan @ChadHale2 And where is this "samples" evidence? Why don't you present it?

2014-04-05T01:33:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/452257666778157056
Weather ballon exploding. RT @Jerome09: @ShayC5 @martinhume @lek_black @jace3312 @dawnee78 @blondenfun1 @loftyjester http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qqqYKC6-rm8&feature=youtu.be

---

2014-04-04T05:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/451948265575956480

@ch_kerr @mmfa Were they wrong in this case?

2014-04-04T01:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/451890517014372352

@ch_kerr @mmfa You are cherry picking. Look at the actual science papers published in that time frame. http://www.skepticalscience.com/ice-age-predictions-in-1970s.htm

---

2014-04-03T05:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/451593095658758144

RT @mmfa: Fox pretends that in the 1970s there was a consensus about global cooling--a myth Fox debunked in 2006 http://mm4a.org/1fMP0LF

2014-04-01T18:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/451061145902669825

@kaskye Those are aerodynamic contrails. It's a hoax. Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-and-flap-track-fairings-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2014-04-01T16:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/451038990439706624

Will this @HuffPost April Fool prank story on geoengineering end up as part of the "chemtrail" mythology? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-april-fools-joke-on-huffington-post.3387/

2014-04-01T00:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450791595034419200

Debunked: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wingtip-chemtrails-over-london-fuel-dump-newark-2010.3385/ RT @inquisitiv_mind: Debunk this!!! #chemtrails #chemtrail https://twitter.com/inquisitiv_mind/status/450749132257632256/photo/1

2014-03-31T22:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450762739959332865

@XtyMiller Where do they "admit" it? Quote them.

2014-03-31T22:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450762590877003776

@lek_black @jace3312 @dawnee78 @ShayC5 @blondenfun1 @loftyjester Olgacom is a fake. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-olgacom-scandal-alenka-wacek-and-chemtrails.196/

2014-03-31T22:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450762387931402241

@inquisitiv_mind It's a plane dumping fuel. It happens sometimes when a plane needs to land

quickly, and is overweight.

2014-03-31T14:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450643871362342913

@Reptoid_Hunter I think you are conflating this fuel dump with regular persistent contrails. Different things.

2014-03-31T14:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450638983660187648

@Reptoid_Hunter It's a fuel dump. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-search-plane-in-mh370-filmed-spraying-over-indian-ocean-fuel-dump.3378/

2014-03-31T00:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450426784165527552

RT @JPMajor: How to make an accurate scale model of the solar system http://www.noao.edu/education/peppercorn/pcmain.html

---

2014-03-30T23:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450418393850470400

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo Finding common ground is the only way of approaching resolution in a conflict.

2014-03-30T23:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450417413364797440

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo I'm not interested in debate. I'm interested in discussion, figuring out what is bunk, and what is not bunk.

2014-03-30T23:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450417299149709313

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo I certainly agree Twitter sucks for debating. But I'd also argue that debating sucks for figuring stuff out.

2014-03-30T23:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450416130343989248

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo One does not simply start debunking a Gish gallop. That's just throwing good logic after bad.

2014-03-30T23:34:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450415921081745408

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo No, I would have the exact same question. Which do you think is the most compelling and accurate claim?

2014-03-30T23:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450415370508066818

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo What if I come back in five hours and said I'd watched it? What then?

2014-03-30T23:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450414319327408128

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo Who's being lazy? It's lazy to say "just watch it". Can't you find a bit that's both compelling and accurate?

2014-03-30T23:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450413384140193792

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo Waiting for someone to tell me which is the most compelling and accurate point, so I can debunk that, if needed.

2014-03-30T23:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450407158002102272

@DConRadioIo Speaking of #science It had a tangle with Pilots for 9/11 Truth, and came out on top:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-role-of-aeroelastic-flutter-in-the-events-of-9-11.3359/

2014-03-30T22:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450405881000120320

@hspires1 @joerogan And everyone can "Devote some time to fighting the chaos in this world." Every little helps!

2014-03-30T22:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450401000075972608

@DConRadioIo @Mossypirate Sure. I'm always happy to share my antibunk philosophy with the broader audience.

2014-03-30T22:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450393181683601408

@DConRadioIo @Mossypirate Ive watched some of it. Seems like the usual debating points. All of which have been addressed. Gish gallop.

2014-03-30T21:57:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450391303025459200

@DConRadioIo @Mossypirate It claims to raise 50 questions. Does it need all 50? Would one do? Where's the smoking gun?

2014-03-30T21:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450384695407022080

@Mossypirate @DConRadioIo Why don't you point out the best one? Wouldn't that do it?

2014-03-30T21:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450383082051223553

@DConRadioIo 5 hours, is simply preaching to the unquestioning choir. No wanting to be rude, but, "truther sheeple" comes to mind.

2014-03-30T21:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450382636427399168

@DConRadioIo You know the vast majority of that video is stuff that has been addressed already. Probably even in the NIST FAQs.

2014-03-30T21:22:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/450382475466797056
@DConRadioIo The problem is, even if I debunk 4 hrs, 50 min, you've still got ten minutes of "un-debunkable" evidence. Why not use that?

2014-03-30T20:02:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/450362448713760768
@DConRadioIo Five hours? I believe that's what is called a "Gish Gallop". Why not focus on one smoking gun? Is it because there are none?

2014-03-30T18:55:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/450345608318967808
@joerogan @Swam_Wright Making up stupid stuff is easier, and gets more traffic, than writing true satire. Swift wouldn't get many clicks.

2014-03-30T18:49:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/450344103964725248
@marlenan21 @martinhume And yet he did not admit it was going on. Anyone who tells you otherwise is trying to fool you. Ask for a quote.

2014-03-27T04:36:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/449042128056750080
UK Government debunks "chemtrails"
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/295186/14_0355.pdf

---

2014-03-26T17:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/448871697613996033
@martinhume Is there evidence to support this claim? Of did he just die of old age and cancer at 83?

2014-03-26T05:24:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/448691919434944512
@nick4630 @drbloem @Keatonnnnn Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/

2014-03-26T04:59:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/448685669942951936
@nick4630 see here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/

2014-03-25T19:40:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/448544880092905472
RT @wiredscience: What are these ghostly false positives in search for MH370? http://wrd.cm/1m4k2TV http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjmMRk6CUAAOlaF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjmMRk6CUAAOlaF.jpg

2014-03-25T19:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/448544666221166593

@wiredscience Which I see your article explains :) Darn, I thought you were asking :)

2014-03-25T19:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/448544413174595584

@wiredscience Just regular planes. The satellite uses three exposures with Red, Green, Blue filters, you get three images of moving planes

---

2014-03-25T16:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/448493985997144064

@martinhume No they didn't. Read the article.

2014-03-24T18:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/448158696086851584

@An0nKn0wledge @RealAmerican8 @BIackHairedGoon Can you explain how you know metabunk is disinfo? Other that reading it on the internet.

2014-03-19T12:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446258818750488576

@deepakmohoni @anoopmadhav No, he was just looking at the wrong map. That particular Mapbox map was not intended for visual searching.

2014-03-19T04:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446148995555934208

@amithpanchal No, it's a misidentified image. A passing plane from years ago. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-

mapbox-map.3304/

2014-03-19T04:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446148737341997056

@deepakmohoni http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjEJ6Z0CcAE9f8w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BjEJ6Z0CcAE9f8w.jpg

2014-03-19T04:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446147568779550720

@antiNw0 @DRUDGE_REPORT @Chris_1791 @SarahPalinLinks @edpinaz You think this is the plane? Where's the lie here?

2014-03-19T04:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446147390987186176

@deepakmohoni you can verify this by looking at the edge of the regions - note the underlying image does not change.

2014-03-19T04:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446147132563542016

@deepakmohoni @brunosan Yes, that's the timestamp of the footprint (area of coverage). The data (satellite image) is not shown in this map

---

2014-03-19T04:50:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446146736721891329

@deepakmohoni @Henrikeppu @alfonsosiloniz Check the link. I compared dated Google Earth images. Mapbox confirmed it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-mapbox-map.3304/

2014-03-19T00:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446087909569875968

@Eyaaaad No. Above trees. Not a 777. Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-tomnod-image-of-plane-in-jungle.3319/ @hishamalmaghraw @hend_m

---

2014-03-19T00:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446087820847755264

@MailOnline No. Above trees. Not a 777. Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-tomnod-image-of-plane-in-jungle.3319/ @JeffersonObama @Doc_JJK @randomrisk @beanymanuk

---

2014-03-19T00:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446087739776061440

@DRUDGE_REPORT No. Above trees. Not a 777. Debunked here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-tomnod-image-of-plane-in-jungle.3319/ @Chris_1791 @SarahPalinLinks @edpinaz @antiNw0

2014-03-18T23:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446065830036398081

just voted for @rbutrcom on VentureBeat #WiN30S RT this message to help them win! @buzzstarter http://bit.ly/Nq1t06

2014-03-18T23:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446062060065931264

@pranaavj No. He was looking at old images from 2009. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-mapbox-map.3304/

2014-03-18T22:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446057237446799361

@Henrikeppu No. Satellite image is 3 years old. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-mapbox-map.3304/ @alfonsosiloniz

---

2014-03-18T22:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446057069733351424

@NZStuff No. Satellite image is years old. See debunking: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-mapbox-map.3304/ @WarmGingerTea @geoffurs @MonishNand @iwa2495 @ankekay

---

2014-03-18T20:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446022505887563776

@bosma2002 @eddiebravo @JarvesMike Not the point (or a good comparison). I'm debunking the claim that contrails don't persist.

2014-03-18T20:34:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446021953799745537

@bosma2002 @eddiebravo @JarvesMike If you take away "contrails don't persist", then what is left? It's the foundation, and it's wrong.

2014-03-18T20:33:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446021656524238850

@bosma2002 @eddiebravo @JarvesMike No, but it does mean that around 95% of chemtrail believers have a false basis for that belief.

2014-03-18T20:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446018496246198273

@eddiebravo @JarvesMike How do you convince people of chemtrails, when 70 years of books say contrails persist? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-18T19:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/446001052387520512

@meadowshadow That's a plane in the air on March 12th. Also not a 777, which had a greater angle on the back of the wings.

2014-03-18T17:23:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445973760039223299

@Jerminator33 But the point is not "misinformation" being illegal. It's negligent misinformation that causes harm. Legally tricky though.

2014-03-18T17:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445969789467377664

@JeffereyJaxen @blazeart_ @Gazareth_Jones @lek_black @martinhume @ShiJiHao @anonbirduk @PriscillaLakerv Hoax: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fed-ex-md-11-almost-collides-with-ghost-tankers.3191/

2014-03-18T17:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445969477314691072

@IntelligenceHub Hoax. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fed-ex-md-11-almost-collides-with-ghost-tankers.3191/

2014-03-18T16:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445952874992771072

@Jerminator33 I totally agree.

2014-03-18T04:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445781318924652544

@kencampbell66 We talk about putting men on Mars. Are there men on Mars?

2014-03-18T01:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445741089777930241

@kencampbell66 No government has admitted to doing it. That's flat wrong.

2014-03-18T01:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445740995439640577

@kencampbell66 Sun is setting. Some contrails are lower, so are in shadow. You see it with clouds all the time.

2014-03-18T01:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445740740065243136

Solar tubes look a bit like UFOs

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solar-tube-shaped-ufo-over-korosten-ukraine.3311/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi-W11zCMAEKnFY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi-W11zCMAEKnFY.jpg

2014-03-18T01:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445738413405130752

@kencampbell66 Would it be unfair if it was not happening? I don't agree with mocking. But what's the actual evidence?

2014-03-18T01:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445738233352048641

@kencampbell66 That's not the point. The point is that the trails look exactly like contrails.

2014-03-18T01:47:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445738115894751232

@kencampbell66 Prove it then. Show some photos of trails that cannot be contrails.

2014-03-18T01:46:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445737941361389568

@HollyWest That's why it takes so much longer to fly against the wind from UK to US.

2014-03-18T01:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445737496081489920

@HollyWest Not a lot of people know that.

2014-03-18T01:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445737306083700737

@kencampbell66 DO you think they look different to regular persistent contrails?

2014-03-18T01:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445736552128212992

@kencampbell66 What is the difference you see?

2014-03-18T01:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445735362443239424

@kencampbell66 Not at all, as the weather you experience is on the ground. The weather aloft is varying. You can see this by the contrails.

2014-03-18T01:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445735166879596544

@kencampbell66 So one day the upper air is one region, the next day it is another region. Nothing unusual.

2014-03-18T01:34:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445735003721175040

@kencampbell66 There are humid regions right next to dry regions, and cold regions right next to warm regions. Boundaries are called fronts

---

2014-03-18T01:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445734792928043010

@kencampbell66 You have to think about air masses though. Weather is different regions of air that move around.

2014-03-18T01:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445733776455266304

@kencampbell66 Why not?

2014-03-18T01:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445733218658955264

@JediJoe727 Well, I guess that depends on how you define "finite" :) Wind is powered by the sun. There is a lot of sun left :)

2014-03-18T01:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445732485129719808

@BrandonDoughan Well, that's one :)

2014-03-18T01:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445732351641800704

@kencampbell66 Like today? 5mph wind at ground level. 100mph wind at 35,000 feet. Upper air is different to lower. Check it out.

2014-03-18T01:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445731669375336449

@kencampbell66 Why do you give "chemtrails" any credence though? What's the science?

2014-03-18T01:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445731480367792130

Did you know: The wind at 35,000 feet is usually blowing at 100 mph!
http://www.usairnet.com/cgi-bin/Winds/Aloft.cgi?location=SAN&Submit=Get+Forecast&hour=06&course=azimuth http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi-Oa2oIMAAZB63.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi-Oa2oIMAAZB63.jpg

2014-03-18T01:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445731133511049217

@kencampbell66 Check the wind aloft. What is the wind at 35,000 feet?
http://www.usairnet.com/cgi-bin/Winds/Aloft.cgi?location=SAN&Submit=Get+Forecast&hour=06&course=azimuth

---

2014-03-18T01:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445727811538386944

@kencampbell66 I'd agree with that. But it does not mean we also have to automatically believe every single anti-gov theory, like chemtrails

---

2014-03-18T01:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445727527772778496

@kencampbell66 Temp and humidity aloft changes a lot quicker, as the winds aloft are more

like 50-100 mph

2014-03-18T00:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445725643435552768

@kencampbell66 And if contrails did NOT persist, then wouldn't people notice 60 years ago the books were wrong?

2014-03-18T00:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445725524904525824

@kencampbell66 And you think they got ALL the books on clouds, for 70 years, from multiple countries?

2014-03-18T00:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445725391206903808

@kencampbell66 It's not strange at all. Contrails are a type of cloud. You see them in the sky. So they go in cloud books.

2014-03-18T00:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445720124213841920

@kencampbell66 @HartReikiCURES Pretty cool. I've added it to Skydentify: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/two-planes-in-germany-flying-racetrack-patterns-together.3310/

2014-03-18T00:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445719871460868097

@kencampbell66 He did not call for imprisonment. He raised the issue of if harmful disinformation could be considered criminal negligence.

2014-03-17T23:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445701206006972416

@4thAnon @HenryMakow Seems like a passing comment from WC. Who was the "guiding star" he was referring to?

2014-03-17T23:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445696139828809728

@4thAnon @HenryMakow I certainly suspect we have a different set of preconceptions and biases :) Anyway. This is an old theory. Nothing new.

2014-03-17T22:50:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445693580623884288

@4thAnon @HenryMakow They did not need to. But what deal are you suggesting anyway? Hitler lives, we get scientists? How would that work?

2014-03-17T22:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445693138087067648

@4thAnon @HenryMakow It's pretty silly stuff. But why think it's not been looked into enough? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9rjCqCUAEELT-.jpg

---

Mr Edgar J,Hoover Nov 3rd 1945

Dear Friend,

I have some news in my possion whitch I believe to be true and would interest you very much.I canot davulg the mans name at present who gave me the news but I will geve you the news I have and if you think it worth while then you can have one of your men contact me,for futher information. Hitler is in Argentina,He is liveing in a great underground establishment beneath a vast hacienda- 675 miles vestfrom Florianopolis; 430 miles northwest of Buenos Aires;and that 'two doubles' are there with Hitler.The western enterence to elevators leading to Hitlers new underground is a wall operated by photo-electric cells,and that by code signals of even dim flash lights,wall slides to left,lets Autos speed in,and instantly slides back onto place.

Do not believe the British lie that Hitler is dead I am A full blooded American and think this should be investigated at once. Your Friend, [redacted]

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9rjCqCUAEELT-.jpg

2014-03-17T22:43:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445691818550644736

@4thAnon @HenryMakow How would you distinguish between that and the story being baseless?

2014-03-17T22:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445690615389364225

Ship tracks are often mistaken for contrails. But they are much bigger, slower, and squigglier http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ship_tracks http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9pQMUCEAAelWe.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9pQMUCEAAelWe.jpg

2014-03-17T22:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445690226610937856

@martinhume Those are actually ship tracks.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9o5kfCYAEWVEa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi9o5kfCYAEWVEa.jpg

2014-03-17T22:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445687342213246976

@4thAnon @henrymakow Because there's no compelling evidence. Who are you going to put on trial?

2014-03-17T21:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445667332770111488

@bosma2002 And that's all I was pointing out. Debunking one small thing does not debunk the broader NWO theory. Just one less brick.

2014-03-17T21:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445666999696244736

@4thAnon If they ignored those, then why is there a 203 page .pdf about them on http://fbi.gov? http://vault.fbi.gov/adolf-hitler/adolf-hitler-part-01-of-04/at_download/file

---

2014-03-17T20:58:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445665513876623360

@bosma2002 Point is people point to Bush saying "NWO" as a kind of evidence. Like pointing to chaff and saying chemtrails. Different things

---

2014-03-17T20:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445661201423269888

@martinhume In order to do that you first have to convince lots of people they are not contrails. Got any books that support that?

2014-03-17T20:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445660886972108800

@Truththeorycom Well, I can debunk #11 for a start. It was never "missing".
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2014-03-17T20:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445655828771520512

Is corporate funded climate change disinformation criminally negligent?
https://theconversation.com/is-misinformation-about-the-climate-criminally-negligent-23111

2014-03-17T20:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445653190969532416

@circaLatemodern @Chemtrails_818 @WhiteSkyBlues When did they not smear? Can you find any book that says contrails don't spread?

2014-03-17T19:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445650765357404160

Four types of Event Conspiracy: Exploited, Allowed, Deliberate, Fake. All #MH370 theories fit one or more of these.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/four-types-of-event-conspiracy-theory.1139/

2014-03-17T19:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445646434646241281

@bosma2002 But that's not what Bush is referring to either. He's talking about the emerging geopolitical structure after the cold war.

2014-03-17T19:09:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445638104741715968

@bosma2002 Read his speeches. It's quite clear what he was referring to. A changed world. It's a common phrase. Does not imply world govn.

2014-03-17T18:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445621324468060161

George Bush Sr. used the term "New World Order" on over 80 separate occasions. But he didn't mean the Illuminati.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/context-george-bushs-new-world-order-quotes.3308/

2014-03-17T00:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445359691380436992

@TheJakeSimpson "State TV" is the name of the company: "All-Russia State Television and Radio Broadcasting Company"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/All-Russia_State_Television_and_Radio_Broadcasting_Company

---

2014-03-16T22:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445329823481606144

@TKrypt @sleepylemur @blazeart_ @anonderby1 @anonbirduk And it also lists a bunch of other stuff. See: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2014-03-16T22:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445326861296357377

@brunosan Thanks for the confirmation, and for your great site.

2014-03-16T22:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445326117298122752

RT @brunosan: @MickWest That is correct. That plane belong to our baseman. The map shows fresh *footprints*. Fresh *data* here: https://t.câ¦

2014-03-16T22:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445325178583535616

Debunked: #MH370 Image of plane over Andaman Islands on Mapbox Map.https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mh370-debunked-image-of-plane-over-andaman-islands-on-mapbox-map.3304/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi4c4-pCYAACW-H.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi4c4-pCYAACW-H.jpg

2014-03-16T19:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445284762240839680

@indigosky9 @chemcough @martinhume No, see: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi34IbeCYAAqBKt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bi34IbeCYAAqBKt.jpg

2014-03-16T17:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445254422122078208

@4thAnon No, they say there is a risk of a crash, not that they expect one. There's a risk of crashing your car, but you don't expect it.

2014-03-16T16:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445238481246109696

@Chemtrails_818 @A3803 I'm an expert at looking things up :)

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separation_(air_traffic_control) "5 nm horizontal ... 1000 feet of vertical separation "

2014-03-16T16:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445234417070399488

@Chemtrails_818 @A3803 Notice one is 4,000 feet above the other. This is 4x the minimum required.

2014-03-15T21:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444953158196793344

@javierFYG Here's some contrails. What's the difference?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BizKii3CQAAK1CA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BizKii3CQAAK1CA.jpg

2014-03-15T20:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444939112915542016

@BadAstronomer If less viral, I tend to err on the side of getting a good debunk in, so Google will have it ready, in case it catches on.

2014-03-15T20:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444938722144821248

@BadAstronomer For viral bunk, the key is to hit it as it gets momentum, but before it goes mainstream. The Art of Preemptive debunking.

2014-03-15T14:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444837024025935873

@martinhume And how can you tell they are not contrails? They look just like contrails.

2014-03-15T14:01:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444835770734374912

@GrnGalFrmSoCal @ronschurman Please point out one lie I've made. And have a look at this 1957 book on clouds. http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

2014-03-15T13:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444835174652452864

@trutherbot Like contrails have always done. As shown in this 1957 book on clouds: http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642384424663/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BixfO_-CUAAiSPe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BixfO_-CUAAiSPe.jpg

2014-03-15T05:24:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444705636593307648

@HollyWest You should see this:
http://www.mirror.co.uk/tv/tv-news/video-true-detective-deleted-scene-3236951

2014-03-14T22:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444600757778513920

RT @RichardDawkins: Let's not be snobbish about Wikipedia. When I've looked up stuff I know, I've been impressed. Gives confidence for thinâ□¦

2014-03-14T20:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444572327313817600

@KristenMeghan @4thAnon Why don't you make it public? Secret evidence is not evidence.

2014-03-14T20:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444568372965937152

@4thAnon Many patents are incredibly speculative, and rely on undiscovered technology. Like warp drives: http://www.google.com/patents/US20030114313

2014-03-14T20:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444567559593922560

@4thAnon No, they do it hoping to make money if the tech ever takes off. Like the houses on the moon.

2014-03-14T20:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444567352344993792
@4thAnon We are talking about Dane Wigington here. KM will vouch for him and his web site. He's the #1 chemtrail activist.

2014-03-14T20:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444566815276924928
@4thAnon No. I'm being quite clear. Past and future are very distinct.

2014-03-14T20:11:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444566394575679488
@4thAnon And yet this is not what is done. http://geoengineeringwatch.org promotes sending in sludge samples to be tested as water.

2014-03-14T20:09:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444565949165735937
@4thAnon You should know the importance of proper collection procedure, and the possibility of contamination from dust.

2014-03-14T20:07:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444565390220222465
@4thAnon I'm criticising the procedure. Do you think it's a good idea to scoop up some sediment when testing water?

2014-03-14T20:04:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444564745547296768
@4thAnon And you could debunk those patents yourself if you wanted. Like "powder contrail generator". What is that evidence of?

2014-03-14T20:03:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444564523249172480
@4thAnon Patents are evidence of what might happen. Not what is happening, or has happened.

2014-03-14T20:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444564296156999680
@4thAnon And why are you changing the subject. Are the chemical tests really that an insubstantial part of the argument?

2014-03-14T20:02:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444564138073665536
@4thAnon Patents are not physical evidence. They are speculative IP grabs. Are there people living on the moon? Why are there moon house pts

---

2014-03-14T19:59:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444563603971002368
@4thAnon "Turn the jar over and collect both the water and a LITTLE of the bottom sediment." - does that sound like a good procedure?

2014-03-14T19:59:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444563525839491072

@4thAnon What would you think of these instruction for sampling pond water: ... (1/2)

2014-03-14T19:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444563000146411520

@4thAnon Now you would agree in testing water it's important not to get sludge in the sample, right?

2014-03-14T19:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444562683241590784

@4thAnon Example?

2014-03-14T19:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444561130598322176

@4thAnon e.g. I debunk a claim of unusually high levels of aluminum in pur Mt Shasta snow? Propaganda on my part? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bitl_iiCcAAm_X2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bitl_iiCcAAm_X2.jpg

2014-03-14T19:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444560706449330176

@4thAnon Give an example of a claim I have countered in that manner.

2014-03-14T19:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444557292680118272

@4thAnon And is there any evidence of inexplicable amounts of metals in air and rainwater?

Does KM have any?

2014-03-14T19:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444557064937811968

@4thAnon Let me know what I missed. And I mean physical evidence, not speculation. There are claims of physical evidence. Do any pan out?

2014-03-14T19:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444556708254203905

@4thAnon But are they evidence of a secrete geoengineering project? Why?

2014-03-14T19:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444556556231659520

@4thAnon Or say that JATO rockets are evidence, as there's aluminum oxide in the exhaust.

2014-03-14T19:31:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444556392645414913

@4thAnon Of say that chaff is evidence, as it involved spraying metal from planes.

2014-03-14T19:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444556317865152513

@4thAnon You might as well say that crop dusting is evidence, as it involves spraying toxins from planes.

2014-03-14T19:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444556159928635392

@4thAnon What do you say they are evidence of? I fully admit they have sprayed things from sounding rockets for 50+ years

---

2014-03-14T19:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444555297764298752

@4thAnon @KristenMeghan Why? What is the downside? Share it with the public, not just me.

2014-03-14T19:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444554939272949761

@4thAnon However, people CLAIM there is physical evidence. I have debunked these claims. Or they have failed to produce the evidence.

2014-03-14T19:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444554746653732866

@4thAnon I can't see any unicorns. It does not mean I can't see everywhere that unicorns are not. Nor does it mean they don't exist.

2014-03-14T19:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444554597596553216

@4thAnon Not seeing the evidence is not the same as seeing every empty corner of the universe. Just it's not evident evidence.

2014-03-14T19:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444554359594971137

@4thAnon @KristenMeghan Okay. So what's the disagreement in science here? What are the

tests, test results, and expected ranges?

2014-03-14T19:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444553952860700673

@4thAnon Makes no sense. People's genes have not changed. I think you are mistaken.

2014-03-14T19:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444553695586316288

@4thAnon What trails and markers? I keep asking what the evidence is. People keep saying "look up" or "don't trust the gov". No evidence.

2014-03-14T19:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444553477952245760

@KristenMeghan @4thAnon KM, do you have any test results you can publish, or not? Or just share them with 4th?

2014-03-14T19:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444553331701059585

@KristenMeghan @4thAnon and yes, I'm just a video game programmer. But the science is still science.

2014-03-14T19:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444553229120983040

@KristenMeghan @4thAnon "Convert"? Sounds like religion. We can drill down to disagreements in science.

2014-03-14T19:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444552948840816640

@4thAnon What tests? Covert geoengineering tests? I see zero evidence for that.

2014-03-14T19:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444552775402127360

@4thAnon When did the gov say it was genetics? There's a lot of sources of pollution in the world. It's a real problem.

2014-03-14T19:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444551951796027393

@4thAnon But petroleum does not return to the earth. It's burned, creates toxins and pollution. Different thing. Anyway minor point.

2014-03-14T19:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444551053992673280

@4thAnon as in 1964 (50 years ago). All public knowledge. Now spun as support for "chemtrail" theory. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bitc1ARCMAAAFgl.jpg

---

Seven Rockets Fired From Wallops Island

WALLOPS ISLAND, Va. (AP) —Seven Nike-Apache sounding rockets sped out from this space agency testing base last night and early today — four laying pink and red clouds, three carrying experimental capsules.

The sodium vapor cloud shots were part of the National Aeronautics and Space Agency's continuing program of gathering data on wind directions and speeds at various atltitudes.

The experimental capsules were flown for the University of Illinois to measure changes in the ionosphere occurring in the change over from night time to daytime conditions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bitc1ARCMAAAFgl.jpg

2014-03-14T19:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444550311357591552

@4thAnon Basically sounding rockets are like giant smokey fireworks, to see upper atmosphere "air" movements.

2014-03-14T19:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444550023498317825

@4thAnon Yes. That's what the ionospheric sounding rockets spray. Done it publicly for decades.

2014-03-14T19:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444548547568885760

@HerbEnthusiast Wouldn't stress tolerant seed be useful regardless?

2014-03-14T18:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444548237211348992

@4thAnon Re: strontium. People make out like it's poison, yet it's a supplement. (still no evidence of spraying tho) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitaRC-CcAA8EsO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitaRC-CcAA8EsO.jpg

2014-03-14T18:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444546694277890049

@4thAnon So it's incredibly hard to see how covert geoengineering could be unleaked for decades.

2014-03-14T18:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444546562664841216

@4thAnon We are living in interesting times regarding information. So easy to leak and spread gigabytes. Wikileaks, Snowdon.

2014-03-14T18:47:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444545261671436289

@4thAnon and "pilots speaking to people like KM" is hardly a first-hand account. There are millions of pilots. Some of them are theorists.

2014-03-14T18:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444544947606134784

@4thAnon NASA Spokesperson was just discussing sounding rockets. Not evidence of anything (other than sounding rockets)

2014-03-14T18:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444544823773495296

@4thAnon which "Airforce pilot turned weatherman"?

2014-03-14T18:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444543049603903489

@4thAnon Trust, yet verify. :) Right now the verification is lacking. Trust will only come after that.

2014-03-14T18:31:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444541392186900480

@4thAnon First hand? Who? Pilots spraying? KM is certainly not first hand.

2014-03-14T18:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444541242009845760

@4thAnon Thanks, although if you remove ALL the bunk, sometimes all that is left is "that sounds like something they might do".

2014-03-14T18:27:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444540254624563200

@4thAnon Quote something I said that indicates a faulty belief system. You are misrepresenting me. I don't trust the government.

2014-03-14T18:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444540071337672704

@4thAnon I focus on the bunk. Is it not a good thing to remove the bunk from a subject? Have I erred in some way?

2014-03-14T18:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444539783042183168

@4thAnon What have I said that makes you think that? Covert geoengineering is not impossible, there's just no evidence and lots of bunk.

2014-03-14T18:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444537999364091904

@4thAnon Questions are fine. It's the bogus claims I'm focussing on. Like too much Al in the soil, and contrails not able to persist. #bunk

---

2014-03-14T18:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444537709214703616

@4thAnon Where did I tell people to not even look? I encourage people to look. Look up, and look it up.

2014-03-14T18:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445537025392168960

@4thAnon Saying "we don't know" is not good evidence for something.

2014-03-14T18:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445536949521387520

@4thAnon We know that persistent contrails occur naturally. We have no evidence that they are being augmented.

2014-03-14T18:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445536687641645056

@4thAnon There is convincing visual evidence that BMWs exist. There is none for "chemtrails".

2014-03-14T18:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445536203295997952

@4thAnon It proves that there's no visual evidence that the trails are not contrails. That was a claim made, which has now been debunked.

2014-03-14T18:01:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445533788136075264

@4thAnon Point out something I got wrong. It hardly matters if I seem hysterical (which I doubt) if I'm right all the time.

2014-03-14T18:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445533583915405312

@4thAnon Is this photo not trotted out constantly by the chemtrail promoters with the caption removed? (Note: 1974!) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitM8G9CEAAKYOK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitM8G9CEAAKYOK.jpg

2014-03-14T17:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444533069114912768

@4thAnon Is it wrong?

2014-03-14T17:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444532591094284288

@4thAnon And who puts Al Oxide through the engines? You know that's what they make sandpaper out of.

2014-03-14T17:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444532450706726912

@4thAnon Nope, but it shows they are not different from regular contrails. If they were "enhanced" they would be different.

2014-03-14T17:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444532318469111808

@4thAnon Where did I say I'd expect that. All I'm saying is that there is no evidence it is happening. That's it.

2014-03-14T17:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444532206686322688

@4thAnon Cloud seed is not secret. Never has been.Here's a small-ad notice from 1969:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitLr8NCMAA3sRM.png

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Califomia corporation, having its principal office and place of business at 245 Market Street, San Francisco, Califomia, 94106, and holder of License No. 23 of the State of Califomia to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, will for and on behalf of itself conduct a program of weather modification by artificial nucleation of clouds with silver iodide and other nucleating agents with the object of increasing

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BitLr8NCMAA3sRM.png
2014-03-14T17:53:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445531729106100224
@4thAnon It's evidence. Just not very substantial evidence. KM claims soil tests. Refuses to release them. So....?

2014-03-14T17:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445530776348950528
@4thAnon Huh? Point out something on http://contrailscience.com that is wrong. Or can't you find anything?

2014-03-14T17:45:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445529815316480000
@4thAnon And I don't believe "the party line". See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-03-14T17:44:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445529423786577920
@4thAnon Point out something I got wrong.

2014-03-14T17:43:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445529319419727872
@4thAnon Yes they do. It's a terrible attitude, or a diversion. She does not want to discuss science with anyone.

2014-03-14T17:41:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445528838500835328
@4thAnon Then give an example of something on http://contrailscience.com that is wrong.

2014-03-14T17:41:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445528692908158976
@4thAnon Of course I can sue her. I just can't demonstrate harm. Again though this is all avoiding discussing science. Levels of Al in soil.

2014-03-14T17:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445526803546144768
@4thAnon See how it works? You can't sue me for suggesting it, because it's so silly. That's why I can't sue KM.

2014-03-14T17:28:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445525532592369664
@JunkScience Funny. The first q is "expect [GW effects] during lifetime". Obviously old people are not going to think so, hence less worried

---

2014-03-14T17:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/445524442647924736
@4thAnon "us"? Do you work for RT? It was a deliberately ridiculous example to illustrate a point. Do you understand the point?

2014-03-14T17:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445523139980988416

@4thAnon Some case law for you: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hustler_Magazine_v._Falwell

---

2014-03-14T17:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445522793783136256

@4thAnon Random example. I've explained why I don't sue. Her claims are not injurious. They don't harm me, because they are so silly.

2014-03-14T17:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445522426135633920

@HollyWest Jolly good job too!

2014-03-14T17:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445521821275037696

@4thAnon And I would never dox anyone. I would, however, examine the factual basis of their claims. #debunking

---

2014-03-14T17:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445521379937787904

@4thAnon Like, if for example I said "Kristen Meghan works for the Russian Mafia". It's false and public, yet not going to damage her.

2014-03-14T17:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445521012705501184

@4thAnon I just explained. Despite her accusations being public and false, they do me no demonstrable harm. Hence no reason to sue.

2014-03-14T17:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445520055892492288

@4thAnon Direct question to y'all - are you aware of any physical or visible difference between "chemtrails" and persistent contrails?

2014-03-14T17:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445519458892034048

@4thAnon Notice how all she ever does is mock me for just being a video game programmer, hence avoiding discussing science.

2014-03-14T17:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445519271972880384

@4thAnon Her calling me a "paid government shill" just makes her look silly. Especially as she can't point out anything I said wrong.

2014-03-14T17:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445518857600819200

@4thAnon Because her claims are not injurious, as nobody takes them seriously except existing believers. They are public and false though.

2014-03-14T16:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/445513232728768512

@4thAnon Ask her to call out one error of fact on http://contrailscience.com, as she claims it's a "disinfo" site.

2014-03-14T16:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444512126359453696

@4thAnon Since I'm not a government shill, it casts considerable doubt on her other claims.

2014-03-14T16:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444511981307830273

@4thAnon I've seen here presentations. She just repeats the vague "too much" claims, and says I'ma government shill.

2014-03-14T16:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444509079986130945

@4thAnon Why doesn't she present evidence now?

2014-03-14T16:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444508269743071232

@4thAnon Why would I fund a court case? Does she have evidence I'ma government shill?

2014-03-14T16:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444508046576730112

@4thAnon I asked you. Why do you think she is still alive if they killed Gunderson?

2014-03-14T16:11:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444506127703609344

@4thAnon She has said she's doing just fine. She says she's in the private sector now, making six figures. So what's the problem?

2014-03-14T16:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444505872396328961

@4thAnon What quantities? Where are these results? What would be normal?

2014-03-14T16:09:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444505726191292416

@4thAnon You seriously think they killed Gundersun? Why did they spend years killing a (rather vague) whistleblower? What was the point?

2014-03-14T16:00:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444503360717070337

@4thAnon Why is Kristen Meghan still alive?

2014-03-14T16:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444503245117857792

@4thAnon All speculation. Where's the evidence?

2014-03-14T15:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444499376900026369

@4thAnon I don't disregard it. I give it due weight.Kristen saw what? Some figures that she thought did not add up?

2014-03-14T15:39:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444497975058780160
@4thAnon Yet there have always been unexplained (and explained) mass die offs. Why don't you draw a graph of frequency. Any increase?

2014-03-14T15:38:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444497691016298496
@4thAnon You mean there's been no trails in sky in Espanola since 1999? Any evidence of that?

2014-03-14T15:36:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444497232796995584
@4thAnon Covering up WHAT? There is no evidence to cover up. Just bunk claims to correct like "Contrails don't persist".

2014-03-14T15:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444497004928827392
@4thAnon Then why was he unable to produce any evidence, and simply repeat the usual points? And he died of old age / cancer.

2014-03-14T04:38:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444331803042521088
@trutherbot Genocide as in "nobody died"? They sprayed what were thought to be harmless substances to see how far they would go.

2014-03-14T04:09:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444324458841387008
@4thAnon and what evidence did he present?

2014-03-14T01:15:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444280606503882752
@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan So imagine what they have now. Must have cyborg cats, spying on everyone, right?

2014-03-14T01:13:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444280078910779392
@4thAnon @KristenMeghan Cool. I'd imagine she has access to info on official limits as part of her job.

2014-03-14T01:11:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444279716476751872
@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan Er, yes they do.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acoustic_Kitty

2014-03-14T01:11:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444279557424562176
@kkyyzzaa Nothing happened, that's just the range if it kept flying. It had enough fuel to get to Beijing in the top right.

2014-03-14T01:09:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444279201290391552
@4thAnon Did Kristen give you any help?

2014-03-14T01:09:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444279089407340544

@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan Imagining is one thing. Maybe they have robot spy cats. But is there evidence?

2014-03-14T01:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444278937263173632

@4thAnon What test should we use? EPA 6010B?

2014-03-14T01:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444278599999184896

@4thAnon Sure. Pick any documented typical level. What are we testing for? Aluminum is about 10% round here.

2014-03-14T01:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444278283538935808

@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan Why would I deny something that is documented and undisputed?

2014-03-14T01:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444277780683845632

Extended my collection of books on persistent contrails back to 1943.

http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642316592915/ #chemtrails #debunked

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BipkSZsCEAA6poz.jpg



There is one other form of ice crystal cloud. The artificial one caused by aircraft, and there are two varieties. One is produced by the condensation and freezing of exhaust vapour, which on issuing expands to a large extent forming a line of cloud which drifts in the sky for some time, spreading outward, and becoming less dense. The other type, is produced by the wing tip vortices, and is only temporary, and seldom visible from the ground. Figs. 78 and 79 show the first type of trail in company with true cirrus.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BipkSZsCEAA6poz.jpg

2014-03-14T01:01:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444277148451217408

@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan Have you never seen a report from a war zone?

2014-03-14T00:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444275494121271296

@4thAnon I'm open to discussing it. I don't want to test my soil unless expected levels are established with references.

2014-03-14T00:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444275217003593728

@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan No. I debunked it. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cnns-fake-news-broadcasts-charles-jaco-and-the-fake-live-gulf-war-reports.1140/

2014-03-14T00:49:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444274130959867904
@4thAnon seems you have her attention. I think she blocks me so I'll let you sort it out. Test procedure, expected range of levels for norm.

2014-03-14T00:45:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444273092378902529
@4thAnon The entire site is satire. Like:
http://nationalreport.net/pawn-stars-777-missing-malaysia-flight/

2014-03-14T00:44:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444272770185039872
@treyinfowar @4thAnon @KristenMeghan Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-03-14T00:43:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/444272582624149505
Potential range of #MH370 goes from India to Australia. Nearly 20 million square miles.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bipfj1GCUAA4hF0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bipfj1GCUAA4hF0.jpg

2014-03-14T00:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444266970767044609

@4thAnon Reposting 8-month old satire? Come on.

2014-03-13T23:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444254158116237312

A320 front landing gear collapse during takeoff at Philadephia airport RT @GestionAeronaut:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BipIqhPCAAACD6V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BipIqhPCAAACD6V.jpg

2014-03-13T21:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444231277063852032

@treyinfowar It was because you did not follow the posting guidelines.

2014-03-13T21:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444222913382592513

@martinhume Clouds are aerosols of water. Contrails are clouds. Therefore contrails are aerosols.

2014-03-13T17:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444164242447409153

@treyinfowar I'm not going to get into 9/11 minutia on twitter. Bring it up on Metabunk if you want to discuss.

2014-03-13T17:31:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444163866709073923

@treyinfowar Not really amenable to twitter discussion. Maybe start a discussion on Metabunk if you want in depth answers.

2014-03-13T17:30:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444163629290512384

@treyinfowar Maybe there was a partial floor collapse, or a small segment of wall fell.

2014-03-13T17:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444163156940574720

@treyinfowar What does it look like in the other videos?

2014-03-13T16:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/444150325142761472
@treyinfowar Falling debris, including things like pieces of paper or drywall that flip over as they fall, "flash" in sun.

2014-03-13T01:46:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443926126478381056
@trutherbot I encourage you to look up if contrails should persist or not.

2014-03-13T00:52:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443912477206523904
@derekscott85 If you criticise people in a way they think is impolite, then their minds will close. You have to be non judgemental.

2014-03-13T00:35:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443908216414404608
@derekscott85 Often it just takes time.

2014-03-13T00:35:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443908159275425792
@derekscott85 It's important to recognize that when talking to people. It's easy to get frustrated when they seem to reject clear evidence.

2014-03-13T00:29:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443906692799938560
Clouds rock! RT @Fascinatingpics: Lenticular Clouds Over Mt. Rainier https://twitter.com/Fascinatingpics/status/443903296076775424/photo/1

2014-03-13T00:28:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443906453917544448
@derekscott85 Eventually they fall silent. It's then that you know they are thinking.

2014-03-12T23:54:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443897767891451904
@ronschurman Okay. But I encourage you to question your assumptions. Don't be a sheeple :)

2014-03-12T23:51:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443897147843293184
@ronschurman How do you know that contrails don't persist, when every single book on the weather, EVER, says otherwise? What's your source?

2014-03-12T23:35:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443892964553527297
@ronschurman And why is that exactly? Why do you go against 100 years of books? ALL books on clouds?

2014-03-12T23:00:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443884281761263616
@FloridaSkyWatch @ronschurman @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @lek_black Einstein's special relativity is over 100 years old.

2014-03-12T22:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443883701324115969
@FloridaSkyWatch @ronschurman @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @lek_black Lots of books. All the books existing on clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bij939bCEAEwHXX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bij939bCEAEwHXX.jpg
2014-03-12T22:46:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443880687985115137
@ronschurman @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black What is the scientific basis of your claim?

2014-03-12T22:45:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443880576966074368
@ronschurman @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black How can you say it's not normal, if the books for 60 years say it is?

2014-03-12T22:40:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443879234360995840
@RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @lek_black How do you know? And why PERIOD? What if you are wrong?

2014-03-12T22:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443877965303009280
@lek_black @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch The books for the last 60 years all say the same thing. Just science. look it up

---

2014-03-12T22:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443877690089562112
@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @lek_black Yes there is, contrail conditions are a type of weather. http://contrailscience.com/contrail-forecast/

2014-03-12T21:29:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443861289689829377
@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @lek_black Oh I've done a lot of studying. But why do YOU think contrails can't persist?

2014-03-12T21:25:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443860370776535040
@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt In the 1950s they knew they would be spraying in the 2000s? Makes no sense.

2014-03-12T21:24:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443860202874347520
@lek_black @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch It disproves that contrails can't persist and spread.

2014-03-12T21:16:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443857982686654464
@FloridaSkyWatch @RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 No, I've got lots of books. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-12T21:05:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443855231302905856
@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @lek_black Well, you can buy old copies of the books online. On Ebay: http://www.ebay.com/ctg/Clouds-World-Complete-Color-Encyclopedia-R-S-Scorer-1972-Hardcover-/2679494

2014-03-12T21:03:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443854717479702528
@BronxScoop Don't trust. Verify.

2014-03-12T21:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443854618473148417
@andrewshaman It's not the only book either. I have a collection. All verifiable. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-60-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-12T21:00:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443853986097934336
@lek_black @FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt No we are not. But also not believe random things off the internet with no evidence

---

2014-03-12T20:59:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443853704848879616
@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @lek_black Silver Iodide is what is used for

cloud seeding http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Popeye

2014-03-12T20:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443853163871731712

@FloridaSkyWatch @kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @lek_black If you know your #CloudSeeding you mean. Different thing. CS been has done since 50s

---

2014-03-12T17:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443801875305877504

@truthchase01 @kencampbell66 How do you know this? Here's a book from 1957. Is it disinfo?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiizdEBCMAA6k2R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiizdEBCMAA6k2R.jpg

2014-03-12T17:31:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443801467451736064

@kencampbell66 @Jerome09 Well, at least you know the trails are just contrails (or at least look and act just like contrails)

2014-03-12T17:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443793764595687424

@kencampbell66 @Jerome09 I never said there is nothing to worry about. Gov is corrupt. I'm just explaining contrails can persist and spread.

2014-03-12T16:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443793483824758784

@Jerome09 @kencampbell66 Maybe so. But it does not change the fact that contrails can

persist and spread. So why call them "chemtrails"?

2014-03-12T16:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443791521796464642

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt It solves the problem of what these trails in the sky are. So stop calling them chemtrails.

2014-03-12T16:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443788549897203712

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt Of course it does not prove there's no SRM. It just removes persistent trails as evidence. What's left?

2014-03-12T16:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443788378463412224

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt It's scientific proof that what you are pointing to is acting just like contrails often do.

2014-03-12T16:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443786101220573184

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt What do they do? All the books in that video say that's what they do. e.g. From 1957: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiilG4hCMAArg2f.jpg

---

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 R. S. Scorer

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiilG4hCMAArg2f.jpg

2014-03-12T16:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443785384263049216

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt I watched your 2 minutes video, did you watch my 3 minutes

video? I made it myself. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-12T16:21:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443783975857688576

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt There's corruption everywhere in government and industry. But these "chemtrails" act EXACTLY like "contrails".

2014-03-12T16:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443783649943494658

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt What's the difference? The video is about low level dispersement test of inert stuff that did not leave trails.

2014-03-12T16:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443783089790001152

@1stLamb http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2014-03-12T16:15:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443782378540892160

2 747's flying the same route RT @A3803: Boeing 747-400 im Doppelpack. #Contrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiihXRNIQAA7VOf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiihXRNIQAA7VOf.jpg

2014-03-12T16:14:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443782121694720000

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt I've seen it. It does not say contrails don't persist. So why do you think contrails are "chemtrails"?

2014-03-12T16:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443781137345695744

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black Sure. But it does not automatically follow that contrails won't persist. They can

---

2014-03-12T16:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443780602408341504
@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black It's flyover traffic. Going between big cities hundreds of miles away.

2014-03-12T16:02:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443779123492560896
@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black Plus, if you pay attention to something, you will notice it a lot more.

2014-03-12T16:02:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443778989824286720
@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black Contrails have increased a lot over the last 20 years. More traffic, routes, jets.

2014-03-12T16:01:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443778820370206720
@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black But it's consistent with all the books on clouds for 60 years.

2014-03-12T16:01:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443778715604877312
@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black We study how to put men on Mars. Are there men on Mars? Study is not doing.

2014-03-12T15:59:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443778404035223552
@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black Nobody is saying they are not doing it. Just that there is zero evidence they are.

2014-03-12T15:56:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443777635294781440
@FreePatriotism What is the difference? Because 40+ years ago they looked the same:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiidaGeCYAA8cwB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiidaGeCYAA8cwB.jpg

2014-03-12T15:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443776280140324864

@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black No. That's a hoax. Nobody admitted doing SRM. Just theoretical research.

2014-03-12T15:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443776101894983681

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch Because they fly at different altitudes and/or have different engines.

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-03-12T15:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443775317857951745

@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black Look at the video proof. It's only three minutes. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8D0kX3O0anY

2014-03-12T15:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443775193387761664

@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch @lek_black contrails have been spreading out to cover the sky since the 1940s.

2014-03-12T15:46:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443774930455232512

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch They don't say no effect. They say a small effect. But "chemtrails" are just contrails.

2014-03-12T15:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443774465134981120

@RichMoffitt @kencampbell66 @floridaskywatch Yes, and 60 years of books on clouds describe just that: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8D0kX3O0anY

2014-03-12T15:43:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443774202751889409

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch No true, and 60 years of books on clouds agree. Contrails can persist. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8D0kX3O0anY

2014-03-12T15:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443773697107562497

@kencampbell66 Yes they could. Which is why they are not doing it yet - not even physically experimenting. Just computer simulations.

2014-03-12T15:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443773289958109185

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black Maybe. However the books prove that these trails are not evidence. Just contrails.

2014-03-12T15:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443767284687831040

@kencampbell66 @RichMoffitt @FloridaSkyWatch @lek_black Somone took these over 40 years ago: http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiiT_njCQAAxwBq.jpg



11.2.9 It is clearly possible for a distrail and a contrail to be produced simultaneously. In this case the contrail is denser than the very thin layer of cloud. The time was about sunset (in Pennsylvania) so that the contrail which was carried below the cloud by the downwash was better illuminated than the cloud which lay in the plane of the illuminating rays.

There is much uncertainty about the origin of the trail because usually the air above a thin layer of cloud is very much drier and unlikely to support a persistent trail. The trail appeared along only part of the length of this distrail and could have been formed of glaciated cloud (see 11.4.4).

11.3 Contrail Shadows

Shadows of contrails often produce dark strips on thin layers of cloud that are not easy to distinguish from distrails. Distrails, however, are rare in ice clouds because the clouds are seldom thin enough layers.

Some ice clouds are so tenuous as to have the appearance of haze, and when the observer is close to the plane of the sun and a contrail the shadow on the 'haze' is often very easy to see. By means of shadows the relative position of contrails and clouds may often be determined.

The dark shadow of a contrail is often very easily seen on haze when the observer looking upwards at the trail is close to where the shadow falls on the ground (ie the sun appears close to or behind the trail), see 11.3.3.

11.3.1 Between the layers of a weak frost contrails are easily formed. Here the contrail on the left casts a shadow on the thin billowed layer below. This view is over Belgium at about 10,000 m.

11.3.2 The shadow of the contrail falls on a thin layer of altocumulus, below which there is small cumulus formed by ordinary midday convection. Only the shadows give an idea of the relative position in a still picture.

11.3.3 This is the shadow of a contrail observed from the aircraft making it on a mixture of ice and water cloud. The ice cloud was dark when seen from above (see 4.2.6); while where the water cloud was not shaded by the cirrus it was bright white, and a glory could be seen on it around the aircraft shadow at the head of the contrail. This is a view from about tropopause level over the Bonthia Peninsular (70°N, 90°W; at about 6·30 (local) in July. Similar shadows are common to see from jet passenger aircraft.

11.3.4 The older higher contrail is less white because it is more diffuse and has begun to be spread by wind shear (see 11.4). In the lower one the vortices were still visible, and it was less than a minute old. Even so it has become distorted in what must be irregular air motion. Since the top is distorted with the bottom of it, the distortion is not due to the blob-forming motion. The shadow of the upper on the lower contrail is in late afternoon January sunshine over Kensington.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiiT_njCQAAxwBq.jpg

2014-03-12T15:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443766761603620864

@andrewshaman Looks just like it do 40 years ago. See this 1972 book:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiiThKyCcAAZNI_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiiThKyCcAAZNI_.jpg

2014-03-12T15:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443765763212455936

@BronxScoop No. They are persistent contrails. See:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-12T15:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443765437881282561

@WhiteSkyBlues It's weather. You know how some days it rains, some days it's sunny. It's the same thing.

2014-03-12T15:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443765244175724544

@The3rdEyeSight I debunk so people will focus on real issues, not fake ones like chemtrails. So I am try to change the world. Reducing bunk.

2014-03-12T14:56:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443762456062472192

@The3rdEyeSight @Ancient_Academy What exactly is the difference? Contrails can persist.

2014-03-11T23:59:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443536746534289409

@YoVenice I've seen similar over the ocean, but not over my house. Looked like it was going to SMO.

2014-03-11T23:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443533470623477760
Looks like these two planes nearly collide! But it's a trick of perspective.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iy9VpX64FnE

2014-03-11T23:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443532438434627585
@knwldgeisfredom @iain39 @massdeception1 Right, but what about them could not be persistent contrails? In old books: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8D0kX3O0anY

2014-03-11T23:37:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443531243460648960
@iain39 @knwldgeisfredom @massdeception1 What exactly is it about this photo that could not be persistent contrails?

2014-03-11T23:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443525412329496577
Why is there a Cobra gunship flying around Venice, California? @YoVenice
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bie4AzACIAAkQD7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bie4AzACIAAkQD7.jpg
2014-03-11T23:10:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443524423811747840
1941 Book by Eric Sloane explains how prop planes can leave contrails.
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157642221733983/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bie3HQKCMAAPBDZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bie3HQKCMAAPBDZ.jpg

2014-03-11T18:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443460396800692224

@treyinfowar I'm not really familiar with Dice.

2014-03-11T18:54:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443460026611429376

@treyinfowar Ventura is mostly a showman, although (like Alex Jones) he does have some founding belief in the conspiracy world-view.

2014-03-11T05:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443250825939267584

@HighEnergyMagic Could you pick one claim of evidence for chemtrails in that video that you think is correct?

2014-03-11T04:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443236890804629504

@HighEnergyMagic @WhiteSkyBlues @beforeitsnews Wouldn't the best way to expose me as a troll be to point out what inaccuracies I've told?

2014-03-11T01:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443195885032185856

@EpicCosmos There's a detailed discussion of this image here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2014-03-10T22:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443150005553995776

@Ancient_Academy And what is that difference? Science says contrails can persist and spread. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-10T22:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443148187117686784

@ironmike009 I bet you can't find a quote of him "admitting chemtrails".

2014-03-10T22:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443147972591628288

@WhiteSkyBlues Contrails are made of distilled water (like all clouds), so it should not be a problem.

2014-03-10T21:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443130600954662912

@Ancient_Academy Thats because some planes have four jets. Like the Boeing 747. Each engine leaves a contrail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiZQ7wICAAAbGmE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiZQ7wICAAAbGmE.jpg
2014-03-10T18:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443095340195921920
@nwo_analyst :)

2014-03-10T18:43:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/443094889136259072
@nwo_analyst There is no rise. The tests are bogus. e.g.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shasta-snow-and-water-aluminum-tests.137/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiYwdDOCAAAcS4Q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiYwdDOCAAAcS4Q.jpg

2014-03-10T18:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443093515250065408

@nwo_analyst And is there any evidence of that?

2014-03-10T18:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443093273435860992

@nwo_analyst It's not about disagreeing. It's about what the evidence is. There is no physical evidence of spraying. We agree on that.

2014-03-10T18:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443092925023391744

@nwo_analyst Why would they do something if all the scientists involved say the science is not ready?

2014-03-10T18:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443092801568251904

@nwo_analyst You think they are secretly spraying, but leaving no evidence, while scientists are trying to figure out if it is a good idea?

2014-03-10T18:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443090581149863937

@nwo_analyst First line: "proposed geoengineering techniques". Do you think there are also

giant mirrors in space?

2014-03-10T18:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443090387754696704

@nwo_analyst None of these articles say that they are doing it, of even planning to do it. Just investigating.

2014-03-10T18:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443087134853828608

@nwo_analyst and those are not "plans to use", just proposed experiments, which have not been done.

2014-03-10T18:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443085519518306304

@nwo_analyst Do you know of any actual physical evidence that SRM geoengineering is happening?

2014-03-10T18:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443085381517312000

@nwo_analyst There's evidence that they are studying the possibility. Not evidence that they want to. Definitely not for doing it.

2014-03-10T17:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443082952511983616

@nwo_analyst I don't. My point is that there is no evidence they are doing it. Why do you think they are?

2014-03-10T17:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443068840868450304

@nwo_analyst Besides, all the books on clouds agree this can happen. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-10T16:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/443068154554486785

@nwo_analyst No. Skies cloud over all the time. The contrail is acting as a trigger, most of the moisture comes from the atmosphere.

2014-03-10T06:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442917468353597440

@nwo_analyst What did you think of the video? It proves contrails persist and spread. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-10T06:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442917277638221824

@nwo_analyst I don't believe we should do SRM geoengineering of any kind. Too risky.

2014-03-10T06:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442917159786668032

@nwo_analyst I read many of them. I compared them to known science. The contrail papers were correct, the chemtrail papers were wrong.

2014-03-10T06:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442910872034226178

@Freizeit_Tiger About 700 miles from us and only a 6.7, so no problems. :)

2014-03-10T05:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442902028264538112

@nwo_analyst So how are you going to decide?

2014-03-10T05:31:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442895425637347328

@4thAnon "Cost"? Where does the money go? and how much should saving the planet cost anyway?

2014-03-10T05:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442894399135969280

@nwo_analyst Here's 3,000 scientific papers: http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp= and one video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-10T05:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442889721727811584

@nwo_analyst I've read hundreds of articles on geoengineering. Also on persistent contrails. They are different things.

2014-03-10T05:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442889486209253377

@HighEnergyMagic If you know it, then why did you say the opposite?

2014-03-10T04:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442878800359985152

@nwo_analyst Looks like contrails to me. And this 1972 book: http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVr7ANCEAADYwP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVr7ANCEAADYwP.jpg
2014-03-10T04:19:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/442877329686032384
@Moe_Fugger_72 @edwiebe Similar yes, fewer planes in the past. 1972:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVqlaPCEAAso6i.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVqlaPCEAAso6i.jpg

2014-03-10T04:18:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442877009031487488

@kustomkarl67 @Moe_Fugger_72 Taken 35 years ago.

http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641675780313/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVqSsLCIAAo7VR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiVqSsLCIAAo7VR.jpg

2014-03-10T04:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442876309484482560

@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Well how about explaining them? The clean light of science. Those are contrails.

2014-03-10T04:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442876065690562560

@HighEnergyMagic @aboveawhisper1 Rural country is what is between cities. Planes fly between cities. Over rural country.

2014-03-10T00:44:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442823287916085248

@AlienUFOVideo Bet you can't quote where he says that.

2014-03-09T23:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442807850364989441

@duncanthementat And not joking, my favorite book on investing: http://www.amazon.com/Investing-For-Dummies-Eric-Tyson/dp/047090545X

2014-03-09T23:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442807662388838400

@duncanthementat Let me know if you want to use up that question! :)

2014-03-09T23:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442807285622910977

@duncanthementat Varies.

2014-03-09T23:40:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442807055796035585

@duncanthementat But I usually recommend people go with a rebalancing targeted fund, like https://investor.vanguard.com/mutual-funds/target-retirement/#/ easier.

2014-03-09T23:39:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442806877068349441

@duncanthementat Attempt to play it safe. Internationally diversified index funds. No speculation. VGTSX, SPY, VTI. Long term.

2014-03-09T23:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442805871240675329

@duncanthementat yes, I'm retired. What does this have to do with anything?

2014-03-09T23:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442801381317947392

@duncanthementat Just a hobby I've always done. Now I'm retired, so I pursue my hobbies. More personal details here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2014-03-09T23:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442801041910673408

@HollyWest @scxq28 @LATimescitybeat Cirrus Uncinus, my favorite cloud type :)

2014-03-09T23:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442797072815644672

@treyinfowar @duncanthementat Oh right, sorry. Darn twitter :)

2014-03-09T22:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442796701653274624

@treyinfowar @duncanthementat What if you can't be proven either right of wrong, because the test is bogus? Still take it?

2014-03-09T22:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442796410535026688

@treyinfowar Lots of people want a NWO. But that's just politics.

2014-03-09T22:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442796274425675777

@duncanthementat Sure http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Astroturfing But why do you think that's me? What did I say that's wrong?

2014-03-09T22:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442795901325549569

@JPMajor Yes it has to be perfectly lined up with the sun (and you), so generally the contrail drifts away with the wind in a minute or so

---

2014-03-09T22:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442794033971077120

@duncanthementat Go on then. What's the meat? I've just seen a bunch of vague speculation. What evidence do you have?

2014-03-09T22:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442793571058327552

@duncanthementat I've explained why I won't take the test. So your questions will be wasted if you don't ask them.

2014-03-09T22:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442793143910412288

@duncanthementat And why do you think this? Quote something I've said that is disinfo.

2014-03-09T22:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442792872501207041

@treyinfowar See paragraph 6 here: "Time and again...."
http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=18201

2014-03-09T22:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442792609275072512

@duncanthementat Tell me what question you'd ask me in a polygraph test.

2014-03-09T22:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442792471018229760

@duncanthementat I'm not familiar with him.

2014-03-09T22:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442792266294251520

@duncanthementat I don't. That was an example. What do you think?

2014-03-09T22:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442791913104486400

@treyinfowar Bush had some specific things in mind though.

2014-03-09T22:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442791835874783232

@treyinfowar Of course they are. A "new world order" means a world that is different. Everyone expects the world to change.

2014-03-09T22:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442791675388104704

@duncanthementat because the results are essentially random. So hence are meaningless. Might as well toss a coin. Just ask me the question.

2014-03-09T22:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442791405253971968

@treyinfowar It was not ready to go except as a preliminary brainstorming list. Read it. http://www2.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

---

2014-03-09T22:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442790752071188480

@treyinfowar I think you must have missed this, re: "does no wrong": https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-03-09T22:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442790170060783616

@JPMajor That's a #3, classic edge shadow: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2014-03-09T22:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442789935691464704

@duncanthementat How will you know if you are wrong?

2014-03-09T22:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442789555058384896

@treyinfowar And AJAX was a coup organized by the CIA. That's messed up, but nothing like a domestic false flag.

2014-03-09T22:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442789031202410496

@treyinfowar What was false flag about Gladio? What did they do?

2014-03-09T22:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442788488363008001

@treyinfowar Northwoods was just a brainstorming list of suggestion for theater that was

rejected very quickly.

2014-03-09T21:45:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442778300373426176

@treyinfowar And 9/11 was certainly also exploited and distorted. But that does not mean it was planned.

2014-03-09T21:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442777902732414976

@treyinfowar I'd say that's highly debatable. Tonkin for example, certainly was exploited, but was it planned?

2014-03-09T21:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442777747010502657

@treyinfowar Nope, he flat out asserts contrails cannot persist. 2009: "faded out in a few seconds". http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i3ZGrEENzVw

---

2014-03-09T21:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442777007034613761

@treyinfowar Like I said, complicated subject. I'm not going to get into the entire thing on twitter. See my forum: https://www.metabunk.org/forums/9-11.28/

2014-03-09T21:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442776690440167424

@BlackburnRuth @martinhume @CAchemtrails That looks like just a low cloud that's in shadow.

2014-03-09T21:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442776370515427329

@treyinfowar Consider that a lot of the chemtrail people (like Alex Jones) are 9/11 people. He was wrong on chemtrails.

2014-03-09T21:36:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442776021146673152

@treyinfowar Not really suited to twitter discussion.

2014-03-09T21:36:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442775963001044992

@treyinfowar It's a complicated subject. However I've looked into it in depth, no evidence of demolition. Allowed, maybe, but also lacking.

2014-03-09T21:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442771188436066304

@treyinfowar And here's some reference to back that up: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-60-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-09T21:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442770923569938432

@isis4matraya Maybe it's just the weather? In the UK it's a miracle if it does not rain for a week. :)

2014-03-09T21:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442770803038244864

@IntelligenceHub Who said that? Weather modification has been done quite openly since the 1950s.

2014-03-09T21:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442770669911019520

@martinhume So, that must be, what, about a million people involved? And nobody took a photo?

2014-03-09T21:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442770395796471808

@duncanthementat See, if I "beat" it, then you'd just say that proves I'm trained by the CIA. So why take it?

2014-03-09T21:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442770102031638528

@treyinfowar Of course, they lie all the time. That does not mean that everything they say is a lie. In this case it is confirmed by science

---

2014-03-09T17:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442707929444016128

@canadian_anon Of course it's not natural. It's a contrail, caused by an aircraft. http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2014-03-09T17:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442707500102459392

@2ndfor1st Actually it's 14 years old. You should still read it though.

2014-03-09T17:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442706592115347456

@treyinfowar Here you go: http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2014-03-09T17:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442706420744474624

The government finally gives in and issues a statement fully explaining chemtrails! http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2014-03-09T16:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442705566058557442

@duncanthementat Fairly good, although an asteroid impact would not help. Civilization might also have some ups and downs.

2014-03-09T16:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442705248432291841

@duncanthementat No polygraph thanks, Like I said, results are random, and would be misinterpreted either way.

2014-03-09T16:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442705116714377216

@duncanthementat Perhaps because the skies were not like that when and where you grew up? Several things have actually changed.

2014-03-09T13:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442649492349665281

@duncanthementat Not that there's any evidence for. Is your argument: "that's the type of thing they would do"? So no real evidence?

2014-03-09T13:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442649163872755712

@duncanthementat I'm familiar with that, and the LAC tests, etc. A) supposedly safe analogs were used, B) unrelated to persistent trails.

2014-03-09T13:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442648747420307456

@duncanthementat The basic "chemtrail" theory is that things like this are not contrails. But they are. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiSasL4CEAEj8X6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiSasL4CEAEj8X6.jpg

2014-03-09T13:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442648392615747585

@duncanthementat "Conceded"? Probably because it did not occur to him that you'd include them. Why not crop dusting? Skywriting?

2014-03-09T13:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442648144812048385

@duncanthementat "all" is a strong word. But most of the posted examples are just contrails,

yes. You got any you want explaining?

2014-03-09T13:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442647790917664769

@duncanthementat And again. What are the lies that I am supposed to have told? Contrails DO actually persist. You know that.

2014-03-09T13:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442647633471881216

@duncanthementat No. It's a pointless stunt, regardless of the result. Either I'm CIA trained at faking it, or a liar. Random.

2014-03-09T13:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442647338373238786

@duncanthementat Part of what makes me tick are the REAL issues: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-03-09T13:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442647103412527104

@duncanthementat Sounds great. So I'm bemused as to what evidence you feel supports the "chemtrail" theory. Are you just being contrary?

2014-03-09T13:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442646409825636352

@duncanthementat I'm not an authority, I just point out the science. But what did I get wrong?

2014-03-09T13:01:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442646254489571328

@duncanthementat I strongly encourage questioning. Science is great. Look it up. http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2014-03-09T12:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442645840771833856

@duncanthementat Of course. But let's look at the evidence for chemtrails. A) They look exactly like contrails. B) ... ?

2014-03-09T12:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442645544981131266

@duncanthementat No I'm not still flying. And no, I'm most certainly not raking it in. But can you find any errors? Isn't that key? Truth?

2014-03-09T12:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442645145683369984

@duncanthementat they didn't. But it's persistently variable. Volcanoes and pollution. Mostly volcanos http://www.colorado.edu/news/releases/2013/03/01/volcanic-aerosols-not-pollutants-tamped-down-recent-earth-warming-says-cu

---

2014-03-09T12:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442643711176884224

@duncanthementat @DrSimonAtkins It pays approximately -$1 per day. :) I'm a retired video game programmer. Why not look into if I'm right?

2014-03-09T12:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442643193058713601

@duncanthementat One of many things that are not contrails,lots more here: http://contrailscience.com/things-that-are-not-contrails-or-chemtrails/

2014-03-09T12:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442642804313825280

@duncanthementat @BeeRational Polygraphs little better than random. And, what were the lies I was supposed to be saying? Contrails persist!

2014-03-09T12:46:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442642519436701696

@duncanthementat Don't know who Bee is. My motivations here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-would-you-debunk.1880/

2014-03-09T04:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442525072960389120

@iain39 Perhaps you could point out one single lie I have supposedly made about contrails?

2014-03-09T04:56:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442524269335961600

Serious question @JoeMomasNuts, have you ever looked up any of the science behind persistent contrails? Like: http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&q=persistent+contrails&btnG=&as_sdt=1%2C5&as_sdtp=

2014-03-09T04:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442523695441920000

@JoeMomasNuts @edwiebe Because they are at different altitudes, and/or have different engines. http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-03-09T04:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442521870139527168

@JoeMomasNuts @edwiebe @piKKKil Find me an equivalent dispute to persistent contrails. Something we can just look up. I'll look it up.

2014-03-09T04:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442515603895488512

@JoeMomasNuts @edwiebe Let's stick to "chemtrails", would you now agree there's no visual difference from persistent contrails?

2014-03-09T02:33:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/442488324662374400

@JoeMomasNuts @WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Do you disagree with any of the references

listed here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-60-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/

2014-03-09T02:13:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/442483295473762305
@DrSimonAtkins @duncanthementat So what did I get wrong?

2014-03-09T02:12:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/442482993576153088
@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Contrails can do all three. According to 60 year old science.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-09T02:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/442482446316367872
@JoeMomasNuts @wildland2036 Looks like Pl.173 here:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/12845496794/in/set-72157641675780313
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiQDcJ6IYAAp4Qt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BiQDcJ6IYAAp4Qt.jpg
2014-03-07T01:19:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/441744975814873089
@lek_black @jace3312 none. Why would you think I did? What did I say that was wrong?

2014-03-06T06:54:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/441466893631619072
@OleCannonFoot no.

2014-03-06T06:54:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/441466844541513728
@lek_black @jace3312 why would prop planes not leave contrails if they go high enough?

2014-03-05T17:20:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/441261923103809536
@TonyMaltaFenech @martinhume @WhiteSkyBlues @Chemtrails_818 Why not normal clouds under contrails? See 11.3.2 here:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

2014-03-05T17:18:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/441261357925535744
@blazeart_ Climate scientists have been discussing it for decades. It's in the IPCC reports.
http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-6-3.html

---

2014-03-05T04:50:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/441073347875438592
@WhiteSkyBlues It's a tad more complicated than just using radiosonde readings.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/accuracy-of-radiosonde-humidity-soundings-for-contrail-prediction.758/

2014-03-04T23:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440985250609373184
@wxmodify @ThcHunny112 It's debatable if there actually is an increase, or just better detection techniques.
http://www.envirohealthpolicy.net/kidstest/Cancer%20Pages/IncreasingChildhoodCancer.htm

---

2014-03-04T22:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440977238486290434
@iain39 @pixyanna Maybe you could list some of that rubbish, so I can fix it?

2014-03-04T22:28:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440977063487352834
@mychichikins @jace3312 http://Flightradar24.com and http://Flightaware.com

---

2014-03-04T20:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440952620941709312
@jace3312 More likely humidity variations. Like how there are sometimes clouds in one part of the sky, but not others.

2014-03-04T20:04:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440940887107858432
@jace3312 Small planes can leave contrails.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh6JZo9CcAA7EHo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh6JZo9CcAA7EHo.jpg

2014-03-04T20:00:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440939877006585856

@jace3312 We never get low altitude contrails like that in California. I wonder if anyone got any close up photos.

2014-03-04T19:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440939701290414080

@jace3312 I try to debunk bunk. I call it like I see it. Nobody pays me. But how about those temperatures eh?

2014-03-04T19:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440939258606780416

@jace3312 6000m is about 20000 ft, -40C is -40F

http://weather.uwyo.edu/cgi-bin/sounding?region=europe&TYPE=TEXT%3ALIST&YEAR=2014&MONTH=03&FROM=0312&TO=0312&STNM=03808&REPLOT=1

2014-03-04T19:57:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440939130705686528

@jace3312 Actually, you may well be correct. Soundings for Camborne put the -40 level at 20,000 feet. Interesting.

2014-03-04T19:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440937077711331328

@jace3312 The question here is how you match the contrail to the FR24 plane. Unless you have a photo of the plane with livery leaving trail?

2014-03-04T19:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440935829020876800

@jace3312 If it's Wrexham, then the plane you see is more likely BAW845 than BEE7YB.

2014-03-04T19:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440935360047370240

@jace3312 But there are higher planes that seem to fit. What town is that? Planes can seem closer than they really are.

2014-03-04T19:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440930662598860800

@loftyjester You mean cloud seeding? Cloud seeding does not leave trails in the sky. It works with existing rain/snow clouds.

2014-03-04T19:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440929200988368896

@jace3312 Likely candidates. BAW845 (33,000 feet) and SHT9Z (34,100 feet) 2014-03-03 11:06 UTC. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh5-xaxCMAA66a2.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh5-xaxCMAA66a2.jpg

2014-03-04T18:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440922246379343872

@jace3312 Thanks. But how exactly did you determine it was this plane, and not, say a much higher plane? Do you have photos?

2014-03-04T18:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440917799343775744

@loftyjester "Contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation" Says this 33 year old book. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh50ZvNIEAAXh9U.jpg

---



138 UNUSUAL CLOUDS

where the temperatures range from −30°C to −65°C (−22°F to −85°F). This is the region of the high troposphere or the low stratosphere. It takes very little water at these temperatures to produce a condition of saturation or supersaturation. Between these extremes lie all the possibilities for the many variations in pattern that occur. Moisture at high elevations often advances through the sky in tongues or uneven patterns, both large and small. Thus contrails may be seen in uneven segments of growth and dissipation.

Sometimes the multiple contrails that persist will break into a series of swirled loops, with the loops joining into ovals. When these loops and connected vortices develop, they ordinarily do not last more than a minute or so. When trails last for longer periods, they may break into a series of pendules or fingers. The pendule is a form of ring vortex, indicating the presence of locally stable moist air. Sometimes pendules are pulled away into long streamers by a zone of faster moving air. The resulting shear motion may result in such an extensive cloud sheet that the sky becomes completely overcast.

Contrails are spectacular in early morning and evening, and even in the light of the full moon. When the air to the west is clear and the sun has set, a jet aircraft at 12 km (about 40,000 ft.) will still be illuminated by the sun. Sometimes the white contrail will assume a brilliant red or orange color. To the uninformed, the moving trail, produced by a plane that is invisible or appears only as a rapidly moving spot in the sky, may seem to be an out-of-this-world apparition — more than one such case has subsequently been headlined in the papers as a UFO with a fiery tail.

Under most conditions a contrail is made of ice crystals. Though it initially consists of liquid water droplets in the warm exhaust air, these soon change to ice particles in the frigid temperatures of the high atmosphere. The presence of ice is best illustrated when the contrail is located between the observer and the sun. Bright areas called parhelia (see Color Plate 11) are visible at an angle of 22° on either side of the sun. This angle can easily be checked with a built-in device possessed by every human. With arm and fingers outstretched, let the thumb obscure the sun. The little finger, stretched to the maximum, then subtends an angle of about 22°. The bright spots observed are a portion of the well-known 22° halo (see p. 158).

The jet aircraft disturbs its environment in 2 important ways. First, a jet engine consumes large quantities of fuel, and substantial amounts of water vapor, a major by-product of combustion, leave the engine as one component of the exhaust gases. Second, the rapid movement of the air over the wings and body of the aircraft generates vortices that persist for a time until their internal energy is dissipated.

The particular contrail pattern will be determined by several

Peterson Field Guide to the Atmosphere. 1981

UNUSUAL CLOUDS 139

interacting factors. These are: (1) the moisture content of the air, (2) the temperature of the air, (3) the moisture introduced into the air by the engines, (4) the vertical stability of the air below, at, and above the aircraft, (5) the cloud condensation and ice nuclei count when the air is warmer than −40°C (−40°F), and (6) the mixing that takes place between the environmental air and the exhaust.

At one extreme, the air through which the jet is flying might be gently sinking over a large area, and thus have a very low relative humidity. In this case, the addition of moisture might be insufficient to produce anything but a very short-lived contrail, quickly destroyed by mixing with the dry surrounding air. When a jet passes and leaves no contrails in the sky, or contrails that quickly disappear, this is a good prognosticator of fair weather.

At the other extreme, the jet flies through air that is gradually rising and becoming cool, and so may be nearly saturated. The addition of moisture from the jet exhaust may then be enough to produce saturation and consequent water drops or ice crystals. A persistent trail is an indicator of moist air, which may be the first sign of an extensive storm area moving into the region. This is particularly true when cirrus clouds are also present.

Pl.151 IV$_B$ Classic example of jet stream cirrus covering whole sky. Strong jet stream winds in upper portion of photograph leave behind nearly horizontal streams of falling ice crystals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bh50ZvNIEAAXh9U.jpg

2014-03-04T18:06:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440911126318956544

@4thAnon "Oddly though, only 38 percent said they wanted the law repealed." Poll reflects Fox News spin, says Kaiser.

2014-03-04T18:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440910353682034688

@jace3312 The majority are normal stuff like crop dusting. Some are speculative patents for future possible geoengineering.

2014-03-04T18:01:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440909945836290048

@ronschurman You doubt what? And why? They look just like contrails. They act like contrails. So why not contrails?

2014-03-04T18:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440909793394319360

@VartanianAlbert @ronschurman @jace3312 @GrnGalFrmSoCal Nobody admitted spraying poison. Dispersement test of safe substances.

2014-03-04T17:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440895680165343232

@ronschurman @jace3312 @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal That's what meteorology indicates. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00 (Plus sunset)

2014-03-04T17:01:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440894798442934272
@jace3312 @ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal Got the time/date and plane ID numbers?

2014-03-04T17:00:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440894451032932352
@jace3312 @ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal FR24 is a little tricky, especially in busy sky. Maybe post on Metabunk Skydentify

---

2014-03-04T16:37:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440888713204080640
@jace3312 @ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal Depends on the weather. Needs -40F/-40C. How did you find the altitude.

2014-03-04T16:27:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440886145241477120
@jace3312 @ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal Cool. Where was this?

2014-03-04T16:19:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440884243640504320
@ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal @jace3312 No. But contrails do. According to meteorology. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-04T16:15:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440883329173172224
@stephenjjohnson @HollyWest Absolutely. (or Modulusly).

2014-03-04T16:08:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440881436246044672
@ronschurman @VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal @jace3312 What are clouds made of? Why do clouds sometimes spread?

2014-03-04T05:25:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440719715866931200
@ThcHunny112 Cancer rates are rising because more people now live long enough to get cancer. It's a symptom of better health.

2014-03-04T05:22:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440719014017249280
@HollyWest @SciencePorn And what if shit was already negative? :)

2014-03-04T05:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440718775747244032
@HollyWest @SciencePorn Would be nerdier without the explanation :)

2014-03-04T04:54:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440711790863728640
@trutherbot Thanks, but that's over a year old, and points to debunked claims, like the "powder contrail" generator.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1975-u-s-patent-for-powder-contrail-generation.449/

2014-03-04T04:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440699598143639553

@JunkScience How many lives do they claim were saved in the 2 day lag period?

2014-03-03T18:51:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440560157114634240

RT @rothschildmd: A look into the recent spate of banker deaths around the world. Is it a conspiracy or a cluster?
http://skeptoid.com/blog/2014/03/03/why-are-bankers-killing-themselves-in-droves/ â¦

2014-03-02T22:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440259383235059712

@wwmd190705 Not exactly crazy, just their extreme distrust of people in power makes them willing to accept such theories without question.

2014-03-02T22:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440255651357265920

Modern jet engines make more contrails than older jet engines.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhcqu3qCUAA8AmM.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhcqu3qCUAA8AmM.jpg

2014-03-02T21:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440243381235494912

RT @mmfa: Fox admits 'stand down order' Benghazi controversy is debunked. (but lets Issa redefine it): http://mm4a.org/1mXjpw1 http://t.co/5â¦

2014-03-02T21:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440243215975723008

RT @DLoesch: Will people now stop linking to RT as though it isn't a Kremlin-finded propaganda entity?
http://twitchy.com/2014/03/02/russian-propaganda-about-675000-ukrainian-refugees-debunked/#.UxOeocHPHK4.twitter

---

2014-03-02T21:51:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440242984416591872

@sensimeliajah And how do you know these are chemtrails? They look and act exactly like contrails?

2014-03-02T21:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440242724432650240

@amandakelsa @stevecorrales80 How can you have no doubt? All scientists doubt. Drought happens all the time naturally.

2014-03-02T21:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440232822503006208

@martinhume That's bee quite conclusively debunked by a review of the scientific literature, as well as physics.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-03-02T20:33:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440223404763795456
RT @psintone: Aligning http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhvrs_RIQAAum42.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhvrs_RIQAAum42.jpg
2014-03-02T20:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440223257459847168
@amandakelsa @stevecorrales80 Quite openly too. Cloud seeding does not leave visible trails, and requires an existing rain cloud.

2014-03-02T19:46:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440211466436628480
@bosma2002 honey-pot entrapment is one thing. Actually doing 9/11 is an entirely different thing.

2014-03-02T19:45:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440211301092978688
@bosma2002 Not exactly "hard facts" though. Speculation.

2014-03-02T19:31:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440207774698315776
@4thAnon Didn't work.
http://www.nbcnews.com/storyline/ukraine-crisis/ukraine-navy-chief-surrenders-russians-charged-treason-n42526

2014-03-02T19:17:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440204372291489792
@bosma2002 FBI sets up lots of terrorist sting operations, it's true. But the evidence the WTC

bomb was one is sparse and dubious.

2014-03-02T19:12:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440202952142098432

@bosma2002 Hard facts? Where have I been against hard facts? I'm against spin, and bunk. Like contrail persistence, or pyroclastic flows

---

2014-03-02T19:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440201516859654144

@bosma2002 You disagree? You think RT just gives you the facts devoid of spin? You know who funds RT?

2014-03-02T18:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440198465168375809

@bosma2002 a mixture of facts, speculations and spin. It's RT.

2014-03-02T18:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440195532653199360

Postcard from the Dunes hotel, 1960s. @amandakelsa

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhvjgTQCAAAARQS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhvjgTQCAAAARQS.jpg

2014-03-02T18:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440194861438734336

@amandakelsa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhvi5OyCEAEcllu.jpg



gm_03801 Las Vegas Hilton, Nevada 1989

View toward Convention Center from Hilton Hotel. Las Vegas. Nevada in early morning in 1989.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhvi5OyCEAEcllu.jpg

2014-03-02T18:37:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440194305596981248

@amandakelsa It's a bit late. Here's a shot from 1971 "Diamonds are Forever" filmed in Las Vegas. Contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhviY3SCQAA5_Fb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhviY3SCQAA5_Fb.jpg

2014-03-02T18:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440188072286617600

@davidicke That's based on an article from over two years ago. Nobody admitted anything. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mainstream-media-admits-smart-meters-are-spying-devices.3218/

2014-03-02T17:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440178933795799040

@Lovibonds Well, thanks at least for looking at the books. Most chemtrail theorists ignore any contradictory evidence.

2014-03-02T17:17:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440173987373395968

@Lovibonds I'm not a shill. But assume I am, and point out where I'm wrong. How do you know they are not just persistent contrails?

2014-03-02T17:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440173794057916416

@WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte Why is four fighter jets and a tanker flying in formation odd. Closer up: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhvPu8DCQAErwIz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhvPu8DCQAErwIz.jpg

2014-03-02T17:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440173206708555778

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 Those are hybrid contrails with crow instability. Explained here: http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-03-02T17:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440172032211161088

@DrSimonAtkins @sellis1994 Not according to all the books on clouds for the last 60 yearst: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00 So why claim they are?

2014-03-02T17:08:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440171690761273344

@Lovibonds But books show what people are pointing at and calling "chemtrails" look and act just like contrails. So why are they not?

2014-03-02T17:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/440169912762236928

@Lukewearechange Didn't you read it? If you do you'll see he does not produce any evidence. Just rehashing old myths.

2014-03-02T16:58:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440169409118601216
@Lovibonds What he says is confirmed by 60 years of books on clouds:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-02T16:28:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440161634854502401
@2ndfor1st @Lukewearechange Can you explain though how that changes any of the science. Assume I'm a shill, then point out where I'm wrong.

2014-03-02T16:26:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440161183425761280
@2ndfor1st @Lukewearechange Yes I do. Too much :) But like I say it's on and off all day, depending what else I'm doing.

2014-03-02T16:25:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440160856345550849
@WhiteSkyBlues Which proves they are just water. #physics.

2014-03-02T16:24:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440160705715507201
Chemtrails clearly debunked by Fox9 weatherman.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UzcE2IaPsAE

2014-03-02T06:13:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440007001511165952
@Lukewearechange Does he give any new evidence? Does he explain how he knows?

2014-03-02T06:03:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/440004552037629952
@WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Those are called hybrid contrails. Combination of exhaust and aerodynamic effects. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-03-02T01:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439933898856751104
@highjacker31He's saying "meteorological spring" which starts March 1st
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-weatherman-admits-meteorological-spraying-its-meteorological-spring.3210/

2014-03-02T01:22:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439933795660099584
@SkyderALERT @WhiteSkyBluesHe's saying "meteorological spring" which starts March 1st
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-weatherman-admits-meteorological-spraying-its-meteorological-spring.3210/

2014-03-02T01:22:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439933702743674880
@jack_frost2030 He's saying "meteorological spring" which starts March 1st
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-weatherman-admits-meteorological-spraying-its-

meteorological-spring.3210/

2014-03-02T01:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439933649253707777

@martinhume He's saying "meteorological spring" which starts March 1st https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-weatherman-admits-meteorological-spraying-its-meteorological-spring.3210/ @Wichtemann @bobbyroberson @irahtheanomaly

---

2014-03-01T21:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439873680403726336

Video of aerodynamic contrails spiraling in turbulent wake vortex of jet landing on carrier: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tLLK1ycY1uk

---

2014-03-01T21:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439872907456421888

@MaureenWolf1 But how can you tell they are not just persistent contrails? As per books. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T21:16:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439871879109554176

@martinhume HAARP was shut down last year, and works in the ionosphere, so no.

2014-03-01T20:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439860006016405504

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei @BeforeItsNews Yeah, and there's no evidence for that either. Think about it. Check the science yourself.

2014-03-01T20:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439858707963187200

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @YouTube @martinhume "she" = @KristenMeghan - I thought you were referring to her.

2014-03-01T20:23:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439858386855657473

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume I watched it. They were discussing theoretically possible future use. The film takes them out of context

---

2014-03-01T20:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439858123021369344

@WhiteSkyBlues @PhDAmodei A basic lesson that rejects the laws of physics? All the books disagree with you. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T20:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439857453606260736

@SteveHi3 @YouTube @chemtrail559 @martinhume Right, and what evidence does she give to support any of her claims? I give books.

2014-03-01T20:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439856439842967552

@SteveHi3 @chemtrail559 @martinhume 60 years of books on clouds? How is that not truth?

2014-03-01T20:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439856002062499840

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Scientists discuss things that might happen in future. Does not mean it's happening now.

2014-03-01T20:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439855795916656640

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Who said they are? No scientist has ever said anyone is spraying aluminum.

2014-03-01T20:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439855443624484864

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Why not? What evidence is there? The trails look and act exactly like contrails. Aluminum is in dirt.

2014-03-01T20:10:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439855205669031936

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume He says they are spraying, but gives no good evidence for it. Aluminum is common in dirt and dust.

2014-03-01T20:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439853442782072832

@ChrisWebby You say chemtrails. But how do you know they are not just persistent contrails, like the books say: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T20:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439853065303113728

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Again, it's based on the false idea that normal contrails can't persist. Yon know now this is wrong.

2014-03-01T20:00:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439852805931536384

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume I've seen it. My response to the film is here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying.300/

2014-03-01T19:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439850150836457472

@SteveHi3 @chemtrail559 @martinhume No. And I don't know anyone who is. But why not just assume I am, and then show where I am wrong?

2014-03-01T19:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439849880257691649

@mizzmissy32 @martinhume @SkyderALERT @Chemtrails_818 How do you know they are not contrails, like the books say? http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T19:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439849635511681024

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Which is exactly why they are not doing it - and only will as a last resort.

2014-03-01T19:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439849521602772992

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume Testimony of what exactly? Some vague mention of chemicals she could not account for?

2014-03-01T19:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439849323434496000

@SteveHi3 @chemtrail559 @martinhume That site says normal contrails can't persist. So it's wrong, as the books show.

2014-03-01T19:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439849160141852672

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume I have looked into it a great deal. I see no evidence that anyone is doing SRM geoengineering.

2014-03-01T19:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439845362941952000

@chemtrail559 @SteveHi3 @martinhume But the question is what is the evidence? The books show the trails look exactly like contrails.

2014-03-01T18:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439836903957749760

@SteveHi3 @chemtrail559 @martinhume But you've got to at least admit you were wrong about "contrails evaporate"?

2014-03-01T18:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439836589187801088

@tonsmorecowbell @phdamodei @biggysmlls Realy? What about @debunker? You think maybe you are focusing on those interested in contrails?

2014-03-01T18:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439833678202421248

1987 International Cloud Atlas discussion of 1944 persistent contrails:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-4

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhqaZlwCcAAoWMk.jpg



Royal Air Force, Farnborough (Hampshire, U.K.), 9 August 1944

Condensation trails (contrails)

Three condensation trails (1, 2, 3) can be seen. They expand with time. The two older trails (1, 2), probably made 15-30 minutes before the picture was taken, have assumed a fleecy aspect, while the most recent one (3), which is just being produced, merely looks like a white streak in the sky. Numerous Cirrus clouds can also be seen; they may have developed out of contrails formed earlier.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhqaZlwCcAAoWMk.jpg

2014-03-01T18:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439831519918424064

@biggysmlls Jolly good. I tell people not to trust me. Just have a look at the evidence I point to.

2014-03-01T18:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439831074693083136

@biggysmlls Well I look forward to reading your evidence. However I'd urge you to check the science first. Don't trust the internet.

2014-03-01T18:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439830805682995200

@tonsmorecowbell @phdamodei @biggysmlls The point is I don't know of any debunker who thinks atmospheric SRM is a good idea.

2014-03-01T18:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439829958114820096

@biggysmlls Carnicom does not have the equipment to test things. He just makes up tests. Did he tell you it was "silicon based"?

2014-03-01T18:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439829148358299648

@biggysmlls What is? That lump? Do you have test results?

2014-03-01T18:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439828926567698432

@biggysmlls "Reports of the substance date back to the 14th century and have continued to the present day." - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Star_jelly

---

2014-03-01T18:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439828670882922496

@TheRealNews365 Thanks. There are scanned copies of them: https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/ and https://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/ and https://www.metabunk.org/sk/1957CloudstudyapictorialguideOCR.pdf

---

2014-03-01T18:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439828454683312129

@biggysmlls Is that a hailstone? Or "star jelly"?

2014-03-01T18:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439828079322484736

@biggysmlls @phdamodei Does your evidence not stand alone? Do you think I could easily debunk it?

2014-03-01T18:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439827849789202432

@TheRealNews365 I am showing you lots of books that say contrails persist. Ignore me. But look at the books.

2014-03-01T18:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439827573858521088

@biggysmlls @phdamodei Then why are you talking to me? Don't you want to know if your science is right?

2014-03-01T18:18:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439827101617627136

@TheRealNews365 Then why do all the books on clouds say the opposite? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T18:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439826897002692608

@biggysmlls @phdamodei Don't you think it will be subject to scrutiny at that time?

2014-03-01T18:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439825991356014592

@biggysmlls @phdamodei Okay, then what IS evidence? Some stuff you are keeping secret? Don't you want to prove your theory?

2014-03-01T18:13:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439825652636594176

@KlisKlasst Perhaps you are older now?

2014-03-01T18:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439825055715835904

@TheRealNews365 How can you tell they are not contrails?

2014-03-01T17:58:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439821988358406144
@BryanJWhyte And by three, I mean four :)

2014-03-01T17:50:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439819976015237120
@WearKilluminati @Lukewearechange I did. She provided no evidence. Just some vague claims about some chemicals.

2014-03-01T17:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439819781567299584
@2ndfor1st @Lukewearechange I do it on and off through the day. I'm retired. Lots of spare time.

2014-03-01T17:48:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439819491959009280
@phdamodei @biggysmlls Are books on clouds not evidence? 70 years worth?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T17:47:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439819352284491777
@phdamodei That's skywriting. So what is your point?

2014-03-01T17:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439819223531937792
@tonsmorecowbell @phdamodei @biggysmlls Really? I'm not. I don't know anyone who is in favor of using atmospheric SRM. Only as last resort.

2014-03-01T17:46:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439818952546324480
@Chemtrails_818 Read the article. They were "engineered" by lack of dredging the River Parrett of silt.

2014-03-01T17:44:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439818440694460416
@SteveHi3 @chemtrail559 @martinhume See 70 years of books on clouds:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T17:42:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439818092600766464
@BryanJWhyte Appears to be three single-engine fighter jets accompanying a larger plane, maybe a tanker. Do you know where/where this was?

2014-03-01T05:33:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439634402427936768
@Lukewearechange What actual evidence did she provide Luke?

2014-03-01T01:37:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439575032159678464
@chemtrail559 @martinhume And here's a bunch more similar:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunking-contrails-dont-persist-with-a-study-of-70-years-of-books-on-clouds.3201/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmvKaJCYAA0v5X.jpg



Aircraft condensation trails

Everyone has noticed the long, thin, white trails left by highflying aircraft. They sometimes disappear quickly, but on other occasions they persist for a long time, spread out and become a cirrus or altocumulus type of cloud. These condensation trails ('contrails') result from the condensation of water vapour contained in the exhaust gases of the aircraft engines. Their persistence depends on the temperature and humidity of the atmosphere at the flight level. Thus, when a warm front is approaching and the cirrus clouds are beginning to form, an aircraft trail will usually tend to persist and even grow, because the air at that level is already moist. Wind shear (the change of wind speed from one height and distance to another) will cause the trails to spread out and give a spectacular display (*88*).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmvKaJCYAA0v5X.jpg

2014-03-01T00:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439562752009310208

"Chemtrails" debunked by study of 70 years of books on clouds. All agree contrails persist. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2014-03-01T00:32:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439558825532596225

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei EPA docs on normal levels of barium in soil (100-3000 ppm barium): http://www.epa.gov/ogwdw/pdfs/factsheets/ioc/tech/barium.pdf

---

2014-03-01T00:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439558502436982784

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei Like 1967 typical levels of metals in rain/snow? Relevant? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmgIQRCAAEfrir.jpg

TABLE II **1 p.p.m. = 1000 ug/L**

Summary of chemical analyses of precipitation in part of S.E. Ontario (p.p.m.)

	Rain				Snow			
	M	H	L	No.	M	H	L	No.
SiO_2	0.25	0.56	0.0	13	0.0			12
Al	0.80	1.12	0.52	13	0.46	1.00	0.07	11
Fe	0.69	1.80	0.13	13	0.89	1.80	0.12	9
Na	0.70	6.30	tr	14	3.97	14.00	14.60	12
K	1.05	2.7	0.65	14	1.10	4.90	0.4	12
Ca	3.61	12.0	0.0	13	6.18	13.0	2.0	11
Mg	1.55	2.0	1.0	13	2.09	12.0	1.0	11
$N-NO_3$	0.37	0.6	0.1	13	0.33	0.54	tr	10
SO_4	11.8	32	8	13	11.91	23	3	11
Cl	2.44	9	1	14	4.80	15	2	10
Alkalinity	8.08	14	4	13	14.09	27	6	11
Hardness	11.3	34	2	14	23.6	58	8	11

*M = mean; H = highest; L = lowest; No. = number of analyses.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmgIQRCAAEfrir.jpg

2014-03-01T00:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439557899572883456

@chemtrail559 @biggysmlls @martinhume @phdamodei Weather perhaps, but nobody ever admitted doing SRM by spraying things from airplanes.

2014-03-01T00:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439557624917270529

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei How about references to normal levels of aluminum in soil and water? Relevant?

2014-03-01T00:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439555041599291392

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei I link to 65 year old books on clouds, says contrails often persist. Isn't that relevant?

2014-03-01T00:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439551531377889281

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei Have I told you anything that you think is factually wrong?

2014-03-01T00:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439551281267359746

@martinhume Just row clouds. You can see them in ANY book on clouds in the last 100 years.

2014-03-01T00:00:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439550612171014144

@biggysmlls @chemtrail559 @martinhume @phdamodei I give references to books and science papers. What do you base your science on?

2014-02-28T23:14:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439539127034208256

@chemtrail559 @martinhume Sorry, tweet miscommunication. The trails you see are not "chemtrails" OR cloud seeding. They are just contrails.

2014-02-28T22:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439534551585406976

@biggysmlls Those are docs about future regulation of SRM geoengineering. It's a good read. Covers a lot.

2014-02-28T22:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439534090186809344

@biggysmlls I don't think you are daft. You see what you see. I just think you are coming to the wrong conclusion. These are just contrails.

2014-02-28T22:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439533832987881472

@biggysmlls North Atlantic Tracks. Change daily, so your traffic will be VERY varied in main east/west rout pos http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/North_Atlantic_Tracks

---

2014-02-28T22:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439533425301549056

@biggysmlls Hmm, Erskine is quite the crossroads for flyover traffic. Unusual flight patterns due to NAT funneling http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmJUjZCAAAS9sZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhmJUjZCAAAS9sZ.jpg

2014-02-28T22:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439532033220423681

@biggysmlls Interesting. Have you published this somewhere? Or have an example flight and time?

2014-02-28T22:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439529596837310464

@chemtrail559 @martinhume And that's exactly what the old books on clouds describe. Two types of contrail. Depends on air conditions.

2014-02-28T22:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439528573234200576

@chemtrail559 @martinhume The trails you call chemtrails look exactly like contrails. So how do you know they are not?

2014-02-28T22:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439528366383718400

@chemtrail559 @martinhume The photos are legit, from a real book. You can find old copies for sale still. Also 1969: http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

2014-02-28T22:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439528043279679489

@chemtrail559 @martinhume Cloud seeding has always been public knowledge. But that's not the chemtrail theory.

2014-02-28T22:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439523989321293825

@chemtrail559 @martinhume But lines in the sky and X's have been there for over 40 years. See these 1972 examples: http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641669574294/

2014-02-28T22:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439523557094084609

@biggysmlls And how are you determining that altitude? It's quite hard to do visually, planes can be different sizes.

2014-02-28T20:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439503893115207681

@martinhume So what makes you think someone is doing it? Why would they?

2014-02-28T19:03:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439475867626913792

@phdamodei Here's another book from 1972. Explains how contrails form and sometimes persist and spread

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2014-02-28T19:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439475162858016768

@phdamodei but why do you ignore science and history? Why repeat the chemtrail mythology without question?

2014-02-28T18:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439474628117798912

@phdamodei is it not proof that contrails persisted in 1969?

2014-02-28T18:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439473590699040768

@phdamodei Why are you rejecting basic science in favor of emotive language?

2014-02-28T18:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439473094369890304

@phdamodei So what's with the photos? Showing things that look exactly like contrails and saying they are "chemtrails". How do you know?

2014-02-28T18:50:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439472610313650176

@phdamodei Assume I'm a troll if you like. Then explain the difference between chemtrails and contrails. 1969 book: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhlSArMCcAA_26e.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhlSArMCcAA_26e.jpg

2014-02-28T18:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439472079952293888

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 @biggysmlls @martinhume @HartReikiCURES Not my site, a 1969 book on clouds and weather.

2014-02-28T18:47:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439471902998814720

@phdamodei People become sick for many reasons. How are you distinguishing chemtrails from persistent contrails? Scientifically?

2014-02-28T18:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439463915253030912

@martinhume @MailOnline Which is why nobody is doing it.

2014-02-28T18:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439460970348306432

@73rocket Check out this book from 1969. Trail are the same.

http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/12825208654/in/set-72157641629656855

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhlHbI0CEAAlmxV.jpg



87. Condensation trails *(contrails)*
Three trails can be seen in this photograph, in varying stages of development. The most recent trail is the two thin, white streaks. Note how these two streaks have become deformed at the bottom left. A shower of ice crystals falling from the trail which lies across the centre of the picture, looks like a bulge on the right of the trail. The trail across the bottom of the picture was made some time earlier than the others and has broadened out into several bands.

88. Contrails
The trail across the picture has just been made, but the 'wineglass-like' cloud is the subsequent development of the one shown in the previous picture with a bulge on its right.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhlHbI0CEAAlmxV.jpg

2014-02-28T18:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439460511860539392

@73rocket I see no evidence that the trails are not contrails. Do you have any evidence they are not contrails?

2014-02-28T18:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439460284839649281

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 @biggysmlls @martinhume @HartReikiCURES The left photo an E6-B Tacamo

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-plane-leaving-trails-from-under-wing-e-6-tacamo-fuel-dump.3078/

2014-02-28T17:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439459505894477824
@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues @zoranzoric3 @biggysmlls @martinhume @HartReikiCURES But that's wrong. See science, history
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

2014-02-28T17:53:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439458226250072064
@73rocket I'm a retired video game programmer. I work for nobody. Nobody pays me.

2014-02-28T17:36:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439454023188361216
@WhiteSkyBlues @Hsaive So everyone in SF got sick from this contrail? But not others? How long does it take an aerosol to fall 6 miles?

2014-02-28T17:09:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439447374885158912
@WhiteSkyBlues @Hsaive It's not about agreeing. It's about what the science say. Simple physics. How do you know they are not contrails?

2014-02-28T16:58:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439444596439777281
@WhiteSkyBlues @Hsaive No. I'm typing. Still avoiding addressing the actual science?

2014-02-28T16:40:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439439892343308288
@WhiteSkyBlues @Hsaive Can you explain how you know it's not a contrail?

2014-02-28T16:28:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439436905923375104
@karinebio13 @breathilizer @biggysmlls @RationalBee Well, I used to work for Activision, but now I'm retired, and nobody pays me anything.

2014-02-28T16:26:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439436435968376832
@Jeremt007T They can be scientifically explained. Aluminum is the most common metal in the ground. So dirt has lots of it. That's all.

2014-02-28T15:05:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439415983791292416
@Jeremt007T that's like asking "what if the Koran is right". Huge implications, but no evidence that it is right.

2014-02-28T05:52:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439276794663800832
@HuntingforHealt If I use #epistomology when I meant #epistemology, then does the meaning of my tweet change. What if you didn't know?

2014-02-28T05:50:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439276465008300033
@HuntingforHealt If someone used it to mean that, and you know what they meant, then does

it not mean that? #epistomology

2014-02-28T05:45:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439275065092222977

@jgayewski It's unfortunate, but part of the english language. It even has a name. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Auto-antonym

---

2014-02-28T05:36:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439272847647576064

@jgayewski I just don't understand why you are even bothering arguing. So you don't like the new Joe so much. Move on.

2014-02-28T05:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439271674983112704

@jgayewski And why is this your problem?

2014-02-28T05:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439271465335001088

Well, I think I'll just let you take it up with him.

2014-02-28T05:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439270919895138304

@jgayewski He seems like a nice sensible guy to me.

2014-02-28T05:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439270398962585600

@freshnsteezy @SkyderALERT @Chemtrails_818 @aboveawhisper1 NSA bypass :) But the point still stands. Look it up.

2014-02-28T05:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439269781280026624

@jgayewski He seems quite consistent in my interactions with him. Changes, but no unwarranted flip-flopping. Very sensible.

2014-02-28T05:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439268791201959936

@jgayewski I think you are literally taking this all too seriously. :) Chillax.

2014-02-28T05:18:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439268432664485888

@freshnsteezy @SkyderALERT @Chemtrails_818 @aboveawhisper1 Those are not even contrails. Cirrus, like from 1969: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhiYT-JCIAANXMz.jpg

---



94, 95. Jet-stream cloud
These plates form a matching pair of pictures and show a jet-stream cloud extending from the west-south-west horizon to the west-north-west. The wind speed at the cloud level was about 140 knots. The cloud is thinner than in the previous example but, once again, the cloud shows a 'billow' structure at right angles to the general line, caused by differences in wind speed in the layers above and below the cloud. The contrail in Plate 94 illustrates the way that it has persisted in the cloud area but dispersed beyond it. This indicates that the air beyond the cloud is very dry.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhiYT-JCIAANXMz.jpg

2014-02-28T05:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439267439604281344

@jgayewski He alters his position in response to new information, like any sensible person should. It's called growth.

2014-02-28T05:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439266570208960512

@aboveawhisper1 It's understandable. But after looking at how much air-traffic there is, and the weather, then the trails make sense.

2014-02-28T04:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439263301076152321

@aboveawhisper1The traffic in that area is 90% parallel to the coast, and that's what your 9:01 image shows. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhiTpROCEAArsnI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhiTpROCEAArsnI.jpg

2014-02-28T04:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439250356808065025

@aboveawhisper1 so those trails are parallel to the coast?

2014-02-28T03:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439244405505916928

@aboveawhisper1 what time is that photo?

2014-02-28T01:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439215888265256960

@Jeremt007T Yes: http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2014-02-28T01:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439215627828330496

@aboveawhisper1 Is this a photo of what you saw that day?

2014-02-28T01:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439215477026332672

@FoulFortune If they become common deliberate usage, yes. Like the word wallah for voila.

2014-02-28T01:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439210479693471744

@FoulFortune Dictionaries have criteria as to what words they include. Does not discriminate.

http://www.merriam-webster.com/help/faq/words_in.htm

2014-02-28T01:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439204229849153536

@FoulFortune How do you determine if a word is real?

2014-02-28T01:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439203694236549120

HAARP skies? Nope, just clouds.

http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhdbsiCAAAvfbJ.jpg



105. Altocumulus bands
On this occasion these bands of cloud appeared in the west and were slowly transferred to the south-east as new bands appeared and the old ones to the west dispersed. Each individual band moved from north-west to south-east. In bands in the bottom right, a billow structure can be seen. Although upper-air conditions were conducive to the formation of wave clouds, from the appearance and movement of these cloud bands, the structure was probably a series of shear waves near the top of an inversion.

106. Altocumulus bands
The bands lay in long lines across the sky, but suddenly a rift appeared with cirrus-like threads falling from the cloud at that spot. The bands of cloud were slowly transferred. Probably the cloud, composed of supercooled water droplets, for some reason turned to ice crystals causing breaks in the cloud and probably a minor wind change.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhdbsiCAAAvfbJ.jpg
2014-02-28T00:58:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439203028755681280
This 1969 book proves persistent contrails are nothing new. Please RT for your #chemtrail friends.
http://www.flickr.com/photos/metabunk/sets/72157641629656855/

2014-02-28T00:40:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439198334117744640
@biggysmlls @breathilizer @RationalBee @RA9h10m20sD1416 @zoranzoric3 @karinebio13 @martinhume Surely you share facts publically to convince?

2014-02-28T00:39:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439198129192042496
@aboveawhisper1 Beats me! Next time get some photos and video, and post in the Skydentify forum. I suspect you are mistaken.

2014-02-28T00:38:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439197829802622976
@rokro11 @Titanrising33 @XtyMiller @Hsaive @icpchad @twistabout There's no evidence that they were.

2014-02-28T00:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439188483744088064

@s6my And it's only 90 seconds long :)

2014-02-27T23:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439187799355314176

@s6my Varied views are covered. I like @davcr's take that we "expand the expressive richness" of the language with new words and meanings.

2014-02-27T23:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439185385243959296

@s6my grammar isn't even grammar. Language is informal. http://vimeo.com/73026514

2014-02-27T23:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439183784768839681

@rokro11 @icpchad @XtyMiller @Hsaive @twistabout @Titanrising33 No. They were always there. Read the article. He used a crap site.

2014-02-27T23:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439183408812396544

@s6my Nonsense because they are new? All words were new at some point. Their origin is now (well, a few years ago)

2014-02-27T23:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439181247617568768

@biggysmlls @RationalBee So what is normal, and what were your results?

2014-02-27T23:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439180443527544834

@breathilizer @joerogan @morissette You can use "literally literally", or "totes".

2014-02-27T23:27:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439179921089232897

@s6my @joerogan inflammable means flammable. Sick means cool. Minute and minute are different words . Welcome to English.

2014-02-27T23:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439179282837827584

@kaaaaaaaaaaaaat Definitions are descriptions of usage. People use "literally" to mean "figuratively". So its in the dictionary

---

2014-02-27T23:19:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439177973640347648

@Jose1Pino @joerogan @morissette Language is a living thing. It grows and changes. You've got to adapt, or be an old fogey.

2014-02-27T23:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439177371015331840

@aboveawhisper1 Fallstreaks are at right angles to the contrails here, contrail smeared across the sky.

2014-02-27T23:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439177172964478977

@aboveawhisper1 Actually on closer inspection they could be fallstreaks, more up the coast.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhFT9WCQAAQ6wG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhFT9WCQAAQ6wG.jpg

2014-02-27T23:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439176348158816256

@aboveawhisper1 Got a photo from the ground?

2014-02-27T23:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439176231292895233

@joerogan @morissette Plus they changed "literally" to include the "figuratively" meaning several years ago:
http://gizmodo.com/googles-definition-of-literally-is-not-the-literal-def-1143090535

2014-02-27T23:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439175540671397888

@aboveawhisper1 This type of thing. Row clouds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhD08bCEAAnzGg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhD08bCEAAnzGg.jpg

2014-02-27T23:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439174977930014720

@aboveawhisper1 Those are not contrails. Just clouds.

2014-02-27T23:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439173866070372352

@zoranzoric3 It's all just regular snow. Try it yourself.

2014-02-27T23:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439173625040486400

@biggysmlls @RationalBee Which metals? What is normal? What did you find?

2014-02-27T23:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439173405313482752

@rokro11 @XtyMiller @Hsaive @icpchad @twistabout @Titanrising33 Yes, they are in there. Check yourself.

2014-02-27T23:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439173261641781249

@icpchad @XtyMiller The helicopters question? Yes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-were-life-star-helicopters-not-deployed-to-sandy-hook-school.3171/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhBwSECIAEML8j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhBwSECIAEML8j.jpg

2014-02-27T22:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439172614745882624

@biggysmlls @RationalBee Normal levels of what?

2014-02-27T22:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439172401029332992

@Titanrising33 @XtyMiller @Hsaive @icpchad @twistabout @rokro11 If they are in the original database, then it is debunked.

2014-02-27T22:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439172163141005312

@Hsaive @Titanrising33 @XtyMiller @icpchad @twistabout @rokro11 Point out one thing that it wrong. Is this wrong? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhAwV9CQAAdKUi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhhAwV9CQAAdKUi.jpg

2014-02-27T22:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439170179361026048

@zoranzoric3 Bad smell came from incomplete combustion.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TEt5m0qK6tI

2014-02-27T22:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439169821578493952

@aluminumsky111 @aircrap What's new? This is from 1969:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg-oDGCcAAM-zg.jpg



87. Condensation trails *(contrails)*
Three trails can be seen in this photograph, in varying stages of development. The most recent trail is the two thin, white streaks. Note how these two streaks have become deformed at the bottom left. A shower of ice crystals falling from the trail which lies across the centre of the picture, looks like a bulge on the right of the trail. The trail across the bottom of the picture was made some time earlier than the others and has broadened out into several bands.

88. Contrails
The trail across the picture has just been made, but the 'wineglass-like' cloud is the subsequent development of the one shown in the previous picture with a bulge on its right.

78

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg-oDGCcAAM-zg.jpg

2014-02-27T22:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439169189203292160

@zoranzoric3 You mean the normal snow?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-02-27T22:42:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439168816908472320

@michiokaku Pun intended?

2014-02-27T22:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439167308721033216

@biggysmlls Apparently there's an aurora right now, check the sky outside.

2014-02-27T22:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439166349856616448

@biggysmlls @karinebio13 @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 See article. Dirty snow.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shasta-snow-and-water-aluminum-tests.137/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg7d-jCMAAEInQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg7d-jCMAAEInQ.jpg

2014-02-27T22:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439164386578812928

@zoranzoric3 Which pic?

2014-02-27T22:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439164112959209472

Description and photos of persistent contrails from the 1969 book "Clouds and Weather"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg5bw0IEAETspp.jpg



87. Condensation trails *(contrails)*
Three trails can be seen in this photograph, in varying stages of development. The most recent trail is the two thin, white streaks. Note how these two streaks have become deformed at the bottom left. A shower of ice crystals falling from the trail which lies across the centre of the picture, looks like a bulge on the right of the trail. The trail across the bottom of the picture was made some time earlier than the others and has broadened out into several bands.

88. Contrails
The trail across the picture has just been made, but the 'wineglass-like' cloud is the subsequent development of the one shown in the previous picture with a bulge on its right.

1969

78

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg5bw0IEAETspp.jpg
2014-02-27T22:21:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439163380994441216
Old books on clouds debunk the "Chemtrail" theory. Like this 1969 book.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg4xJ5IgAIhPuk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg4xJ5IgAIhPuk.jpg

2014-02-27T22:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439162133251915776

@biggysmlls @karinebio13 @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 Which ones?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shasta-snow-and-water-aluminum-tests.137/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg3ohfIgAEQ7og.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhg3ohfIgAEQ7og.jpg

2014-02-27T22:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439159689906229248

@karinebio13 @biggysmlls @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 The point is that there's no evidence that they are. Just contrails.

2014-02-27T21:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439152606595006464

@karinebio13 @biggysmlls @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 Described in 1972 book:

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhgu-AXIIAANikS.jpg

---

11.2.7 Dense trails are formed from all four engines of this aircraft and by the time the trails from the inner engines have moved round to the outside they have begun to evaporate. The exhaust from the outer engines enters the cores of the vortices and the cloud persists in the low pressure regions only. There appears to have been some side slip because either the two vortices are unequal or, more probably, the amount of exhaust entering the vortes on the outside of the bend was less. This is similar to the feebler trail of 11.2.5.



11.2.7 a



11.2.7 b

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhgu-AXIIAANikS.jpg

2014-02-27T21:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439151594576543744

@karinebio13 @biggysmlls @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 Combination of exhaust and aerodynamic effects. Both normal.

2014-02-27T21:31:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439150799357087744

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume If I'm losing, then point out one thing I got wrong, with references.

2022-05-05T20:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522320219619819520

@SaltyDawg49 What does this contradict from their testimony?

These videos don't show the Fravor/Dietrich encounter, and nobody had eyes on any of the videoed objects.

2022-05-05T20:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522318148032090112

RT @MehdiHasanShow: Who did the most to propel J.D. Vance to victory in Ohioâ□□s GOP Senate primary?

No, says Mehdi, wasnâ□□t Trump: Let Mehdiâ□¦

2022-05-05T20:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522317722394144768

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach And again, they had not identified it. They did not know what it was. So they could not make decisions based on that.

2022-05-05T20:49:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522317511949111296

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Radar works fine if you know how far away the thing is you are looking at.

Consider the IB6830 "UFO", for example. It was on radar. They didn't find it because they did not look far enough.

2022-05-05T20:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522317108595478528

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach I presume it means what it says: record the bearing (direction) and the range (distance).

2022-05-05T20:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522314392443924480

@the_cholla @SabersKnight Do you agree that the shape at the start of FLIR1 is glare though?

2022-05-05T20:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522313539829985280

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach That's assuming they got a lock on it, which they might fail to do if they thought it was much closer than it actually was.

2022-05-05T20:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522313187424497665

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Clearly they had not identified it at that point.

2022-05-05T20:09:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522307404464939008

@KingM2021 Not really. People claim they see things quite clearly. Never get them on film.

Modern cameras are better than human vision in daylight. But that simply makes UFOs become IFOs, and the LIZ recedes.

2022-05-05T20:07:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522307011420966912

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach What am I ignoring? I responded to your objection.

2022-05-05T20:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522306825109925888

@sneakin Sure, they are just not as easy to photograph. You really need the moon, and a long exposure.

New phones with night mode might cause a spike in "sightings".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBR183VgAAY3P_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBR183VgAAY3P_.jpg

2022-05-05T20:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522306114473189377

@gornalalbion YMMV

2022-05-05T20:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522306020193701888

@the_cholla @SabersKnight Glare size is a function of multiple variables. It VERY MUCH DOES MATTER in FLIR1. In fact it's key to understanding what is going on.

42 seconds in, the shape of the plane become more distinct in IR, as the glare on the right diminishes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522305724092600320/pu/img/0wOLv761IInVOaoJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522305724092600320/pu/img/0wOLv761IInVOaoJ.jpg
2022-05-05T20:01:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522305496614465536
@the_cholla @AdrianEdbe @CorbettEwan - "Pilots account"? You mean @uncertainvector's vague description that he's been unwilling to clarify?

- The plane is visible in FLIR1 after it turns more and the glare is smaller. 1:10 here is the best shot.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522305279479615488/pu/img/iFBePn-I8wSgXagw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522305279479615488/pu/img/iFBePn-I8wSgXagw.jpg
2022-05-05T19:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522304714531950592
@KingM2021 Footage of a black dot, drifting in the wind. Not really interesting. Sadly typical.

2022-05-05T19:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522304306099105793
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Why? What is wrong with the hypothesis?

Is it more or less likely than aliens?

2022-05-05T19:56:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522304145234952193
@the_cholla @SabersKnight "glare bigger than plane" isn't a function of tail angle.

In the example you cite, the glare remains relatively constant in size in the frame. At one (optical) zoom level that covers the plane, in another zoom level, it does not.

2022-05-05T19:50:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522302633779683329
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach What is wrong in the tweet?

2022-05-05T19:49:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522302473246937088
@the_cholla Where do we see that? Can you honestly say that the examples you linked to actually show that?

Screenshot exactly what you are referring to.

2022-05-05T19:46:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522301797200662528
@AdrianEdbe @the_cholla @CorbettEwan A similar obscure mistake happens twice in 20 years. What's the issue exactly?

2022-05-05T19:45:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522301530812018689
@UAPslut Sure, and a mouth at each end, and maybe some arms, or a periscope?

Or maybe that's just compression artifacts? The "legs" seem to be on 8x8 block boundaries.

2022-05-05T19:43:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522300973925818368
@the_cholla @SabersKnight No, it just needs to have the hot bits visible.

2022-05-05T19:38:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522299667182067712
@the_cholla @SabersKnight I'm not sure what screenshot you mean.

At the "Spikey Gimbal-like Glare" portion of FLIR1, this is the orientation of the 15NM jet, a little under 20Â°. Hot bits visible. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBLEJUVkAASsHH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBLEJUVkAASsHH.jpg

2022-05-05T19:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522298145740185601

@OMApproach Except here we actually have two images to compare.

2022-05-05T19:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522297819607953408

@the_cholla I'm disappointed that you think that.

It's a function of the in-camera intensity of the IR/light source, the camera exposure settings, and the glare spread function. Glare size can often remain relatively constant as distance changes. Here's an example I made five years ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522297382418886656/pu/img/q-MAMmtFFaf9m_bG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1522297382418886656/pu/img/q-MAMmtFFaf9m_bG.jpg
2022-05-05T19:15:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522293955307597824
You can practically track the weather (or at least upper air humidity) with the frequency of "chemtrail" posts.

Green areas are persistent contrail forming areas. When they cover wide regions you get criss-crossing contrails, horizon to horizon.

https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/euroupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBF0S5UYAARCAi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBF0S5UYAARCAi.jpg

2022-05-05T19:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522293261607444482

@Cl4rky130 @PlanetSqueezy A map of upper-air humidity is the best way to look at this. Green areas are contrail forming.

https://www.instantweathermaps.com/GFS-php/euroupper.php?var=RH&lev=300mb

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBFmvnVIAI2Nbd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSBFmvnVIAI2Nbd.jpg

2022-05-05T19:10:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522292628166914048
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach Maybe the one person who said that thought that the other person had it.

Obviously he didn't, otherwise he would have done it himself.

The shrinking (and reappearing) glare shows it's not "splashed"

2022-05-05T19:06:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522291781592780800
@docpoco Right here I'm. simply saying that there's the same shape in FLIR1 (at the start, not later) and Gimbal, so they are probably both glares.

2022-05-05T19:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522291308789870598
@the_cholla Glare size isn't a simple function of distance.

2022-05-05T19:04:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522291125381263360
@SabersKnight @atotalshunt @Jim87504388 A distant jet seems to fit the video.

2022-05-05T19:03:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522290865061830656
@BarlowTerry As I said, you can SEE it in the original video. The shape is there. Inverting it and adjusting contrast just makes it easier to see.

2022-05-05T18:53:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522288371837464577
@KingM2021 But this footage is a fundamental part of the discussion. I think it's being continually misrepresented.

2022-05-05T18:52:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522288128576294912
@SabersKnight @atotalshunt @Jim87504388 It's two portions of different videos that contain the same shape - which suggests the shape is caused in-camera. What math do you think is needed?

2022-05-05T18:45:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522286385754869760
@atotalshunt @Jim87504388 @SabersKnight That is the analysis. It's really that simple.

2022-05-05T18:44:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522286140887158789
@MarkWil52807604 Maybe they saw something else. The video was taken later, of something hot in the distance.

2022-05-05T18:43:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522285881448562688

@2020defense I'm saying that the fact that the glare at the start of the FLIR1 (Tic-Tac) video is the same size and shape as the supposed "object" in the Gimbal video strongly suggests that the Gimbal video is showing glare that obscures the actual object.

2022-05-05T18:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522283907768496129

If you want to check this, the original f4.mpg footage, leaked in 2007, is at:

https://web.archive.org/web/20070217091957/http://www.vision-unlimited.de:80/extern/f4.mpg

And here are the settings I used. There's a lot of flexibility, as the shape is very solidly there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA9B0xVgAAWc5E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA9B0xVgAAWc5E.jpg

2022-05-05T18:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522282812300087296

@Deleo77a1 Why? Can't you see it?

2022-05-05T18:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522282741638647808

@SabersKnight Something hot, like a jet engine.

2022-05-05T18:30:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522282670209658880

And this isn't something you have to tortuously tweak out of the video. You can SEE the "saucer" shape in the original Tic-Tac (FLIR1) footage.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA72InVcAAWWnB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA72InVcAAWWnB.jpg

2022-05-05T18:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522281230770663424

Fun UFO fact. The start of the Tic-Tac video shows IR glare, but it's in white-hot mode.

If you invert it to black-hot mode and adjust contrast and gamma, it starts to look suspiciously like the "object" in the Gimbal video.

Coincidence? Or Gimbal is a glare! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA6b1tVIAA_O5g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA6b1tVIAA_O5g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA51cZVgAAZFxN.jpg
2022-05-05T18:06:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522276538837729282
@the_cholla @DavidGaryEsp I'm not sure what you are referring to. Did I say some other footage was too old?

This is basically ATFLIR tech (AF ATP version, not deployed as they chose the Sniper ATP) back in 2001.

We also have the FLIR1 2004 footage showing glare.

2015 obviously might differ. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA2SI_VgAUedba.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA2SI_VgAUedba.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FSA19SFVgAEbsu3.jpg

2022-05-05T17:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522268117539295238

@the_cholla @DavidGaryEsp Sure it is. It's a wonderful illustration of how glare is dependent on camera settings. Here you've got a glare that, at one setting, is larger than the plane - something we've seen elsewhere.

2022-05-05T15:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522238958159077377

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @OMApproach My "conclusion" is here:

https://youtu.be/WAfiJqUHDg0?t=236

2022-05-05T15:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1522229766576697346
RT @_esaliba: This footage has nothing to do with the war in Ukraine, but that's not what some users want you to believe.

In this episodeâ¦

2022-05-05T09:43:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522149889047613440
@DavidGaryEsp Actually, my mistake, that's the proposed Raytheon ATP, not the Sniper (Lockheed) ATP. I think it's an early test version for the airforce, which they did not end up using (they went with Sniper). See:
https://www.nationaldefensemagazine.org/articles/2001/7/1/2001july-air-force-to-select-advanced-targeting-pod

---

2022-05-05T09:34:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522147673637195778
@MJMYuk @skepmit @tadaaa20 There was no other footage that showed the plane.

Security cameras don't look at the sky. That's not what they are for.

2022-05-05T09:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522147266844188672
@DavidGaryEsp That's not actually ATFLIR, it's the Sniper ATP. ATFLIR footage is super rare.

It does show a good example of glare totally obscuring a jet, at 2:17
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR_AsHFUYAAIXw4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR_AsHFUYAAIXw4.jpg
2022-05-05T09:13:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1522142313077559296

@DavidGaryEsp @BSquidding Not really. Zaine says the smaller FOV (higher magnification) means the object is bigger than I calculated (back in 2018, since revised)
But that's wrong. It's actually SMALLER.
So it's more like 6 feet, not 24 feet.
I muted him a while back for nonsense like this.

2022-05-04T23:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521996820230012928
@BrandonDoughan Because they ignore the explanations of their mistakes, they are doomed to repeat them.

2022-05-04T23:32:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521996160688291843
AE911Truth, who think the World Trade Center was blown up with explosives, continue to demonstrate their irrelevance by re-litigating their critique of a study that NIST did not use. NIST pointed out the failings in it and it had no impact on the report.

A bit sad. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR82pFFVsAAJrVp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR82pFFVsAAJrVp.jpg
2022-05-04T22:24:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521979123052138496
@BearMarketRally @ConspiracyWATCH @QTRResearch Both the laptop and the lab leak have a

range of theories around them. Some are more reasonable than others. It's not a case where you can simply give a "real or not" answer.

And I wasn't prepared. I thought we'd just be talking about UFOs.

2022-05-04T22:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521977733944725506

@911_TruthNow @Investigate911 @shoestring911 @911_fall If you want to speak in riddles, that's fine. Just don't expect everyone to jump through hoops for you.

Communicate clearly if you want to communicate.

2022-05-04T20:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521951865121824768

@911_TruthNow @Investigate911 @shoestring911 @911_fall You really need to explain what your videos are actually about. A bunch of clips with arrows. It's not worth addressing, as it does not make an explicit and clear point.

2022-05-04T20:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521948261115842560

@911_TruthNow @Alien_Scientist @CNN Where would you have heard earlier predictions if they existed?

2022-05-04T19:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521933025683984387

@DeepSpace41 Plasma "holograms" are bright lights. Fravor did not see a bright light. There's no known tech that could create an opaque Tic-Tac projection.

I would not rule it out, but it's very low on the list. Neat, but not Occamic.

2022-05-04T19:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521931718290067456

@911_TruthNow @Alien_Scientist Perhaps if you actually explained what you think you see, rather than a bunch of arrows.

2022-05-04T10:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521799729306251266

@its_only_Gaz @Mr_BenPrime Not for Tucker.

2022-05-04T07:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521752943401218048

@BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @ZaineMichael1 "simply oblong"????

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR5aKCwVgAc7YAF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR5aKCwVgAc7YAF.jpg

2022-05-04T04:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521714432690196481

@jplatte11 @OMApproach Like what?

2022-05-04T00:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521643576324591618

@jplatte11 @OMApproach What I say relies on it being *correct*

I could be wrong, and there I encourage people to point it out, and explain it.

2022-05-04T00:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521643293855019008

@caitgaist Hmm, I'm not really seeing it. The jump at the end just seems like the first 2x frame is a bit dimmer.

2022-05-03T23:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521637747361927168

@JimmyJungas @Mr_BenPrime I'm not saying it's a right-wing or left-wing thing. I'm saying why Carlson and Burchett are interested. I could be wrong.

2022-05-03T23:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521637388467904512

@caitgaist I'm unclear what you think is significant about these observations.

2022-05-03T22:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521615792273444865

@jplatte11 @OMApproach I'm not using my credibility as part of an argument. Nothing I say

relies upon me being "credible". I present things, point things out, do analysis, and people can check it for themselves.

2022-05-03T21:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521608925560246272

@SIJA1966514655 @OMApproach That's a fallacy.

If a person's standing is being used as part of an argument, then that standing should be examined.

2022-05-03T21:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521608468699877376

@Mr_BenPrime Not incredibly bad. Just a regular populist politician who flirts with conspiracy theories. Simply not the impressive endorsement that you might think.

The right (like Tucker Carlson) only promotes UFOs because of the anti-government narrative. "what are they hiding!!!"

2022-05-03T21:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521602615456321536

RT @zcichy: Is #UFOTwitter really humping @timburchett because he made a wacky comment about UFO truth?

On the same fucking day weâ□□re looâ□¦

2022-05-03T21:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521602347046035457

@conorowens94 He was asked about that, and just rambled about how he thought there was a cover-up and a false-flag.

https://youtu.be/T0wL5XNbpmQ?t=66

2022-05-03T20:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521594601764577280

@911_TruthNow @Alien_Scientist Looks like smoke.

2022-05-03T20:54:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521594008878813185

@MyeKrennish I don't think he did it to sell merch. It's just part of his conspiracist worldview.

2022-05-03T20:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521592907068698624

@Spectre67904513 My source of what? He quoted something Burchett actually said. Greenstreet's tweets from five years ago are irrelevant.

Burchett is a populist conspiracy theorist. Possibly better than nothing, but hardly bringing gravitas. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR3H7qWVUAEYFFd.jpg

---

Rep. Time Burchett – (R) TN

They always say they're gonna do something bad and then they get in office and, and honestly, I thought Trump was gonna do something, though he was gonna release the files. But you know, they release these files are redacted. It's just a big blob of white out. Clearly, something's going on that we can't handle. I mean UFOs were in the Bible. Read Ezekiel, it talks about the wheel flying around. So I mean, they're, they've been around since we've been around and somebody needs to come up with some answers.

TMZ

Even when something's supposed to be released coming up in a few weeks or months. What do you think is going to be in that?

Rep. Time Burchett – (R) TN

I don't believe it. I think Roswell was covered up. I think you know more people believe in UFOs than believe in Congress for good reason. Because of the jackleg stuff we do like that we talked about we're going to release it and then we never do or we release something that is so redacted that is just ridiculous. The Air Force had a release on Roswell, which was the big deal I think in '48 [1947] and the big cover up there and the guy that did it was a smart alec and he kind of smirked the whole time and nobody took it serious, they ought to take it serious. The American public wants to know and

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR3H7qWVUAEYFFd.jpg
2022-05-03T20:35:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521589291641569280
@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @Sigmondo77 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @ufouapam @uncertainvector It's a possibility. The GoFast object was manually acquired. Gimbal would not have been that hard to spot in a wider FOV (MFOV or WFOV even), a distinct black dot above the clouds.

2022-05-03T20:21:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521585789653557248
@911JusticeNow I'm sure it's all very interesting. Saudia Arabia is connected. It's not an aspect I focus on.

2022-05-03T20:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521584369915232257
@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @Sigmondo77 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @ufouapam @uncertainvector If it was a different mode, then why is the on-screen symbology the same?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR3A39aVsAA3MfQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR3A39aVsAA3MfQ.jpg

2022-05-03T19:53:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521578619402784768

@OMApproach Look into him, he's basically a populist conspiracy theorist.

2022-05-03T19:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521571511773016064

Burchett is not the champion you want. His endorsement of an issue only diminishes it.

https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1521516843701137408

2022-05-03T19:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521570553752039424

@911JusticeNow Lacks specificity.

2022-05-03T17:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521544433828720641

@911JusticeNow I examine individual claims of evidence and see if they hold up. Generally, they do not.

2022-05-03T17:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521543342177144832

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @Sigmondo77 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @ufouapam @uncertainvector If it's in the frame, then it can be designated, and locked on to manually, like they did with GoFast.

2022-05-03T15:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521518515240398848

@911JusticeNow Like I said, I focus on the more physical aspects.

Corruption is, at least to some degree, endemic in the US. It does not then follow that explosives were used to destroy the twin towers, as AE911 claim.

2022-05-03T15:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521511044895571970

@911JusticeNow I think he probably engaged in some CYA.

But I generally focus on things like the controlled demolition theory. Or the Pentagon and Shanksville plane impacts.

2022-05-03T15:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521506443639230464

@mattUFOski A drone fits.

2022-05-03T06:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521379925797720064

@BarlowTerry @ZaineMichael1 It's clear enough so you can see it's not a Tic-Tac shape. There's simply no way to get from one to the other. It's DISTINCTLY irregular.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0G-YxVcAAeZjk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0FbB0VIAAXa1u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0G-YxVcAAeZjk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0GQ_KVgAA1TYt.jpg

2022-05-03T06:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521376988786618368

@BarlowTerry @ZaineMichael1 The image is right there. It's not a Tic-Tac shape. You want a descriptor? Peanut? Tadpole? Sunglasses?

What does what it look "like" matter? It's an irregular shape.

2022-05-03T06:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521375919910252544

@Serg_is_Vargas @fin365 @AdamGoldsack "Real" - such a pointless word.

What did they say UAP are?

2022-05-03T06:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521374903340978176

@miketweetstaken @AdamGoldsack Nothing substantial. Some low information videos. Some eyewitness accounts. The UAP report sums it up fairly well. No good evidence (even with the classified stuff), probably a bunch of different things going on.

2022-05-03T06:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521374193966739456

@BarlowTerry @ZaineMichael1 No, I'm saying it can't be a Tic-Tac because it's a different shape. Look at it.

I'm not ruling out a saucer-shaped craft for Gimbal. However a glare fits the observations MUCH better. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0BmyHVgAAzBVa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FR0BmyHVgAAzBVa.jpg

2022-05-03T06:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521372513191665665

@Serg_is_Vargas @fin365 @AdamGoldsack Because they were used before the info became public.

But that's different. UFOs are something that, supposedly, Navy pilots are seeing all the time, and thousands of people around the world see every year. There's claimed to be a Hiroshima worth of evidence - yet ... nothing.

2022-05-03T06:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521370145830047744

@AdamGoldsack I don't think that's something that could realistically be kept secret if the evidence was incontrovertible. So what they "should" do is a bit of a moot point.

2022-05-03T05:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521367694972313600

@AdamGoldsack Your question is too general for me to answer. "something anomalous" could be anything.

2022-05-03T05:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521367408241364994

@BarlowTerry @ZaineMichael1 Well, the question makes no sense.

A not looking like X indicates A is not X

B looking like Y means B MIGHT be Y, but also might be other things the B looks like.

Gimbal looks like a fuzzy saucer shape that changes shape, and has spikes - like a glare.

2022-05-03T05:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521366543866626048

@statquanstring @UAPorSAP @MiddleOfMayhem @BryanDBender @thaxter @SicCoP1 @LuAngeles @DeptofDefense There is hard evidence of all those things I listed.

2022-05-03T05:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521366349871689728

@AdamGoldsack That depends on the evidence, and who discovers it.

Leaks, rumors, a media storm. It's unlikely a narrative that can be controlled. Look at the Supreme Court leak right now. Big news gets out.

And the US is just one of several countries.

2022-05-03T05:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521365767966527488

@statquanstring @UAPorSAP @MiddleOfMayhem @BryanDBender @thaxter @SicCoP1 @LuAngeles @DeptofDefense Pilots seeing things they can't identify. Pilots thinking they were in a dogfight with a large Tic-Tac shaped craft. Radar showing anomalous returns. ATFLIR losing lock too easily when switching modes. Bunch of things.

2022-05-03T05:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521363298486169600

@MiltonTPike1 @PostDisclosure @AdamGoldsack Not just Fravor. None of the pilots/WSOs were told not to talk about it. Alex Dietrich, then a junior pilot, was not told to keep quiet. Kevin Day, Gary Voorhis, and others, likewise.

If they really wanted to clamp down on evidence of advanced technology, then why didn't they?

2022-05-03T05:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521360374011883520

@MiltonTPike1 @PostDisclosure @AdamGoldsack So why was David Fravor allowed to talk?

2014-02-27T21:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439150476828102656

@karinebio13 @biggysmlls @martinhume @Chemtrail_Watch @zoranzoric3 Hybrid contrail. http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgtCCFIUAELjaU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgtCCFIUAELjaU.jpg

2014-02-27T21:28:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439150181108285440

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume @Hsaive You say they admitted it, but can't post a link? Don't you want people to know?

2014-02-27T21:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439149920625651712

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume It's the "chemtrail" thing that's a conspiracy theory, as there's no evidence it's being done now.

2014-02-27T21:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439149794163191809

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume No, it wasn't. Geoengineering has always been discussed as a future possibility. Since the 1950s

---

2014-02-27T20:39:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439137697756487680

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume @Hsaive Again, just talk of future. Or where are the giant space mirrors, etc. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhghaMqCIAA3B2M.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhghaMqCIAA3B2M.jpg

2014-02-27T20:37:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439137247330586625

@seti2342 @CosmUnity @martinhume @Hsaive Not admitted to. Show me where it is "Admitted". Just talk about future possible SRM.

2014-02-27T20:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439133267204128768

@seti2342 @CosmUnity You think it's okay for @martinhume and @Hsaive to continue to lie about high-bypass engines though?

2014-02-27T20:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439132531158691840

@XtyMiller @simonconroy @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Great article! I recommend everyone read it.

2014-02-27T20:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439132193219031040

@phdamodei Generally aerodynamic contrails are not that persistent. The persistent trails are almost all engine exhaust contrails

---

2014-02-27T20:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439129400563748864

@simonconroy @XtyMiller @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 And again, this is something that ONLY A WATER CLOUD can do.

2014-02-27T20:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439129268287991808

@simonconroy @XtyMiller @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 It happens. Here's a video I took: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E-r4Vdl3pUs

---

2014-02-27T19:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439126533106434048

@XtyMiller @simonconroy @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 What does he say about contrails?

2014-02-27T19:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439126381662720000

@XtyMiller @simonconroy @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Again, only possible if it's water, water from the atmosphere

---

2014-02-27T19:53:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439126147214098432

@simonconroy @XtyMiller @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 The are only lenticular if they don't move. Just cumulus.

2014-02-27T19:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439125855500251136

@martinhume So why show this 1989 photo of F-15s intercepting some Soviet Migs? four years before HAARP.
http://defensetech.org/2012/02/16/retro-pic-of-the-day-f-15s-intercepting-mig-29s/

2014-02-27T19:48:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439125017058869248

@simonconroy @surreptitious_c @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 That's geotechnical engineering. Earth moving etc. Different thing.

2014-02-27T19:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439124506150330368

@XtyMiller @simonconroy @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Ice clouds like cirrus clouds are made of an aerosol of ice.

2014-02-27T19:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439124374558633984

@simonconroy @SarahLillyHeidt @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Salt would just appear white. Only ice clouds can do that. Physics.

2014-02-27T19:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439124140222865408

@martinhume @Hsaive Fully debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgVFC5IMAAYXxq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgVFC5IMAAYXxq.jpg

2014-02-27T19:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439123388473176064

@simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Pretty low on horizon, likely 60 miles away. Nothing unusual. People run every day.

2014-02-27T19:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439123135783124992

@SarahLillyHeidt @simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 They are through all history. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgUKlDCMAA9TxV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhgUKlDCMAA9TxV.jpg

2014-02-27T19:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439122785160294400

@XtyMiller @simonconroy @chemtrail559 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Physically impossible for anything but ice to make that.

2014-02-27T19:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439122656965980160
@seti2342 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity No, I want people to base their statements on facts, not just repeat urban legends. Back it up.

2014-02-27T19:38:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439122466380578816
@seti2342 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity Gore discussed avoiding having to do it in the future. Not doing it now or in the past.

2014-02-27T19:36:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439121764522541056
Debunked: Fed Ex MD-11 Almost collides with "Ghost Tankers"
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fed-ex-md-11-almost-collides-with-ghost-tankers.3191/

2014-02-27T17:21:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439087856116461569
@simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Actually, the ancient greeks observed them 2,400 years ago. http://www.livescience.com/26402-sundogs.html

---

2014-02-27T17:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/439087291642830848
@simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 That's a high altitude hold.
http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfzkLwCYAEVku9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfzkLwCYAEVku9.jpg
2014-02-27T17:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439086881406320640

@SarahLillyHeidt @simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 That's a Sun Dog, ice. http://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/dogfm.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfzMThCAAA2dFZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfzMThCAAA2dFZ.jpg

2014-02-27T17:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439086020865167361

@seti2342 @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity Nobody has admitted doing SRM geoengineering. That's a lie. They talk about the possible future.

2014-02-27T17:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439085778652499969

@simonconroy @martinhume @Hsaive @CosmUnity @seti2342 Parallel because the wind blows them. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-pattern-simulator.3157/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfyMGvCcAAYzdA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfyMGvCcAAYzdA.jpg

2014-02-27T17:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439085134008958977

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues It's contrails over Las Vegas. Busy airspace. Formation varies with weather. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhfxmk7CIAAbUmS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhfxmk7CIAAbUmS.jpg

2014-02-27T17:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439084454355558400

@phdamodei It's an aerodynamic contrail. Different physics from the engine contrails.

http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhfw_BJCMAAfIKU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhfw_BJCMAAfIKU.jpg

2014-02-27T17:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/439083864808370176

@aboveawhisper1 I'm not entirely sure what you mean, but see here. Mostly wind and altitude

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfwctPCMAAMG8B.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhfwctPCMAAMG8B.jpg

2014-02-27T07:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438934616083165184

@chadsdaddy @BeforeItsNews It's not missing. That's the entire budget for 16 years.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-8-5-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.3173/

2014-02-27T07:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438933613057298433

@rokro11 Probably, but you'll have to give me a link to the actual claims.

2014-02-27T02:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438870153963380736

@rokro11 Video game programmer. I made Tony Hawk.

2014-02-27T02:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438866473516138496

Debunked: High Bypass Turbofans do not make Contrails [actually they make more]

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhcqu3qCUAA8AmM.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bhcqu3qCUAA8AmM.jpg
2014-02-27T02:40:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438866186369916928
@martinhume @Hsaive Debunked, with references,
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/ @CosmUnity @seti2342 @simonconroy

---

2014-02-27T02:39:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438865827584937984
@youranonfactz Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-high-bypass-turbofans-do-not-make-contrails-actually-they-make-more.3187/

2014-02-27T01:32:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438849168803504128
@LyleKlich @Hsaive They actually do. Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-sandy-hook-victims-not-in-social-security-death-index.3186/ @DinaJon60818043 @rokro11

2014-02-27T01:31:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438848946023055360
@XtyMiller @Hsaive They actually do. Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-sandy-hook-victims-not-in-social-security-death-index.3186/ @icpchad @twistabout @Titanrising33 @rokro11

2014-02-26T18:36:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438744293230915584
@DrSimonAtkins @falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan So I take it you don't want to show any evidence for your theories then?

2014-02-26T05:07:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438540877409947648
@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Okay, can you calculate the TWA from a typical chaff spraying? For your follower?

2014-02-26T05:01:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438539193673736192
@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Do your followers not deserve an explanation?

2014-02-26T05:00:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438538945958137857
@letslovesonic @Chemtrails_818 look up "crow instability"
https://www.google.com/search?q=%22crow+instability%22&tbm=isch

---

2014-02-26T04:21:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/438529184697036800
@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins jeepers, can't we just do some math?

2014-02-26T04:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438525432149733378

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins yes, let's calculate.

2014-02-26T04:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438525026485022720

@jamesvc1 @Venice311 was over Venice and MDR earlier.

2014-02-26T02:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438495715447169024

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins How does that compare to what you say is sprayed?

2014-02-26T02:08:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438495643779096576

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins New rule: "8-hour average, of 50 ug of respirable crystalline silica per m3 of air (50 Î¼g/m3)."

2014-02-26T02:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438495254774161408

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins But silica. Don't you want to explain how much is being sprayed? How dangerous it is?

2014-02-26T02:05:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438495118337650689

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins I though you ignored me because you though I was a shill? (I'm not).

2014-02-26T02:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438494614622699520

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins I'm sorry, which facts about silica did I get wrong?

2014-02-26T02:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438494515326758912

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Too little silica is also dangerous, as it's needed for bones. http://www.buzzle.com/articles/silicon-dioxide-in-food.html

---

2014-02-26T02:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438494102691143680

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins You know that diatomaceous earth is made of silica, right?

2014-02-26T02:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438493836621271041

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins What about compared to natural weathering of rocks? What are the numbers here?

2014-02-26T02:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438493725723873280

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Compare the spraying exposure to, say,

cutting concrete. https://www.osha.gov/dsg/topics/silicacrystalline/ 1/10000000th?

2014-02-26T01:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438493287448453120

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Alright, now we are getting somewhere. What are the relevant quantities? Safe vs. sprayed?

2014-02-26T01:56:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438492821238972417

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins I like people to present evidence to back their claims. You have failed to present any.

2014-02-26T01:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438492566086893568

@KristenMeghan @falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins Who has ever denied chaff? Who has made an issue of it? You are making no sense.

2014-02-26T01:50:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438491303307788288

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan So this is the best evidence the chemtrail community can muster?

2014-02-26T01:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438490679279243265

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan Ad hominem attacks won't win you any debates. :)

2014-02-26T01:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438490468288966656

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan Feel free to post a rebuttal on MB. I'm here to discuss chemtrails.

2014-02-26T01:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438488146796232704

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan Evidence for Chemtrails please. But if you want WTC7, I'm here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/

2014-02-26T01:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438487156231643136

@DrSimonAtkins @falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan This is not about me. It's about evidence. You make claims, but don't back them up.

2014-02-26T01:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438486531024498688

@DrSimonAtkins @l3uildCraft @KristenMeghan You are avoiding presenting evidence. You are avoiding discussing the science.

2014-02-26T01:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485782689353728

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins Really? So we put a man on Mars 20 years ago?

2014-02-26T01:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485702477500416

@KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins @falseflaginfo Who on earth ever made any claims about chaff?

2014-02-26T01:28:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485614715867137

@DrSimonAtkins @l3uildCraft @KristenMeghan Then prove it. Present some actual evidence.

2014-02-26T01:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485483065073664

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan Or perhaps you are wrong about chemtrails? Did you know that contrails can persist and spread?

2014-02-26T01:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485334888697857

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan What's the actual physical evidence that the trails we see are not just contrails?

2014-02-26T01:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485222464581632

@falseflaginfo @DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan Patents? Patents are not evidence the things being discussed is being used.

2014-02-26T01:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438485033687343104

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins And Keith in that video is talking about possible future spraying. You should read his book.

2014-02-26T01:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438484879366311936

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins No. I'm saying the evidence presented for chemtrails seems to be largely bunk. Got any?

2014-02-26T01:24:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438484737661739009

@DrSimonAtkins @falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan I'm asking what your evidence is. You refuse to even discuss it. So I assume you have none?

2014-02-26T01:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438484292985815040

@DrSimonAtkins So you don't actually have any scientific evidence? I don't like the government though, see:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-02-26T01:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438484015075434496

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins Anything specifically wrong about chemtrails? Any science that was wrong?

2014-02-26T01:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438482651079405568

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins @l3uildCraft @RealAmerican8 No, I'm 46 :)

2014-02-26T01:15:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438482409529438208

@Venice311 Blimp http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhXNbZCCYAAGG_0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhXNbZCCYAAGG_0.jpg

2014-02-26T01:14:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438482186086273024

@falseflaginfo @KristenMeghan @DrSimonAtkins Metabunk provides references. Did you find anything wrong there?

2014-02-26T01:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438482010353319937

@DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan @l3uildCraft @RealAmerican8 And Kristen, perhaps you could point out one scientific error on ContrailScience?

2014-02-26T01:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438481223397036032

@DrSimonAtkins @KristenMeghan @l3uildCraft @RealAmerican8 Perhaps being a scientist you would care to address the science? Evidence?

2014-02-26T00:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438476514569379840

@DrSimonAtkins Which video? Your link had four. I've addressed one here: http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/ But did you have any specific evidence?

2014-02-25T22:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438444339241308160

@J4LYN yes, it's been in the new for decades. More now though, with climate change increasingly urgent. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

---

2014-02-25T22:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438440973329108992

@J4LYN Not exactly. Chemtrails are still a conspiracy theory, denied by gov. People are talking a bit more about geoengineering now though.

2014-02-25T16:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438354634910879744

Debunked: Why were Life Star helicopters not deployed to Sandy Hook School? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-were-life-star-helicopters-not-deployed-to-sandy-hook-school.3171/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhVZN7nCIAAr24c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhVZN7nCIAAr24c.jpg

2014-02-25T16:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438353741457014784

@WhiteSkyBlues And their evidence is what? I've already said I don't get paid for this. It's a hobby. I'm retired. Is that so amazing?

2014-02-25T07:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438209856416124928

@WhiteSkyBlues How would chemtrails work in space?

2014-02-25T05:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/438186312290414592

@DrSimonAtkins Based on what evidence?

2014-02-23T22:31:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437716326488879104

@4thAnon @phdamodei Well I don't think I'd describe myself as naive :) But essentially a hobbyist, yes. Again, I'm open to correction.

2014-02-23T18:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437659069080272896

@phdamodei I told you how much money I receive. Zero. Nobody pays me. I make no money from debunking. I work for nobody.

2014-02-23T18:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437658788414226432

@phdamodei If you are a scientist, then what do you think is the best evidence for the "chemtrail" theory?

2014-02-23T18:41:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437658561330434048

@4thAnon The document is about other countries. Not operations in the US. The NYT story is unrelated, about FBI entrapment.

2014-02-23T18:40:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437658296955064321

@4thAnon Is there some evidence that he was assassinated with a poison dart? All things are possible, but we go with what the evidence shows

---

2014-02-23T06:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437478348197875712

@4thAnon It could be easily debunked by you if you actually read the paper instead of just repeating the headline. See page ix. Read it.

2014-02-22T23:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437368014615220224

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues As far as I know I am enlightening people. Point out where I did it wrong, where I was in error. I challenge you.

2014-02-22T21:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437332807971401728

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues But why would you focus on my motivations? Ignoring the evidence? Science says the trails are persistent contrails

---

2014-02-22T21:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437332314175971328

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues Nobody pays me. I'm a retired video game programmer. My site costs me about $1 a day.

2014-02-22T20:55:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437329725883568128

@martinhume Do you know where that photo is from?

2014-02-22T20:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437329550322593792

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues Or that contrail pattern simulator I just posted that explains grid formations. = Evidence https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-pattern-simulator.3157/

2014-02-22T20:51:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437328895767883776

@phdamodei @WhiteSkyBlues Lots of evidence. Like pre-1995 photos of persistent contrails: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhG0UEECQAAk4J6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhG0UEECQAAk4J6.jpg

2014-02-22T17:56:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437284764450435072

Contrail pattern simulator. Two routes + wind = grid.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrail-pattern-simulator.3157/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhGMLSICMAArDXH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhGMLSICMAArDXH.jpg

2014-02-22T17:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437284466306732033

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei Did she provide any actual evidence?

2014-02-22T17:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437284395930505216

@phdamodei Have I repeated anything that is wrong?

2014-02-22T16:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/437258943421620224

@phdamodei @whiteskyblues Very useful! Because people were scared of "chemtrails", and I explain what they are (contrails), with science.

2014-02-21T19:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436949311306207232

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei Er, what? I'm explaining how people have misidentified contrails as chemtrails. Not poisoning them.

2014-02-21T18:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436929061151969280

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei Notice that video is from 2011. You first noticed them in 2012. Do you really think there were none before?

2014-02-21T18:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436928577062182912

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei Impressive grid. However that's what happens sometimes. See 1972.

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhBIOb1CIAAg1ro.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BhBIOb1CIAAg1ro.jpg

2014-02-21T18:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436926261886062593

@hockeyschtick1 So why did you promote something from a paper that says the opposite? Cherry picking?

2014-02-21T18:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436925992477544449

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei I don't care about "likes" either. I care about facts, about evidence. About what is real.

2014-02-21T17:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436915464208265216

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei I'd appreciate it if you could point to the actual evidence she presents. Or is she just talking?

2014-02-21T16:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436905399237619712

MPs' damning verdict: Homeopathy is useless and unethical | Martin Robbins http://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2010/feb/22/mps-verdict-homeopathy-useless-unethical

---

2014-02-21T16:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436899400674058242

@WhiteSkyBlues @phdamodei While I appreciate the humor in your response, perhaps it would be better to address the claims of evidence?

2014-02-21T16:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436899138194534400

@hockeyschtick1 It also said "human-induced global warming is happening ... with serious consequences". Do you stand by that too?

2014-02-21T16:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436898891594616832

@hockeyschtick1 Point was that the letter you were lauding was one that said "increased likelihood of heavy rainfall events". Stand by it?

2014-02-21T07:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436760526622715904

@hockeyschtick1 Predictions give a range of probabilites. Complex systems are complex. http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/research/137601.aspx

---

2014-02-21T06:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436750466072846336

@phdamodei And yet true. Why do you think they are not contrails? They look and act like contrail.

2014-02-21T06:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436750208924266496

@hockeyschtick1 Your papers appear to all be about local precipitation patterns, dry places get dryer, wet places get wetter.

2014-02-21T06:00:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436742248940593152

@WhiteSkyBlues @PhDAmodei Those are actually contrails from Chinese fighter jets. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-these-are-chemtrails-you-stupid-zombies-image.2861/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bg-ewugCAAE3msq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bg-ewugCAAE3msq.jpg

2014-02-21T05:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436741994400841728

@trutherbot No. SRM is a potential technology that might be used in the future. There's no evidence it is used now. Just theorizing.

2014-02-21T05:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436741767556124672

@hockeyschtick1 Really? Can you point me to a meta-study that agrees with that?

2014-02-21T01:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436673019537154048

@nntaleb Only if they are both equally arbitrary. What if arriving at praise usually requires a lot more mental work?

2014-02-21T00:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436655357880832000

@hockeyschtick1 And Wallace, et al, do not debunk the connection, they just say its interesting, but not currently supported by evidence.

2014-02-21T00:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436654813170778112

@hockeyschtick1 "Weirding" covers more than just cold. It's intensified precipitation, storms, droughts. http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/17/opinion/17friedman.html

---

2014-02-21T00:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436654061190782977

@hockeyschtick1 Skipped: As climate scientists, we share the prevailing view in our community that human-induced global warming is happening

---

2014-02-20T23:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436649511885094912

@hockeyschtick1 i.e the bits you removed: "Global warming also leads to ... record high temperatures .. heavier rainfall events "

2014-02-20T23:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436649126202060800

@hockeyschtick1 They say it is not evidence of global weirding. Not that global weirding is not happening. They give example of weirding.

2014-02-20T22:52:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436634592477315072

@hockeyschtick1 They don't disagree with "Global Weirding", just that the cold weather was a symptom of it. You cut out that bit.

2014-02-20T22:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436625453818707968

@4thAnon They did an RFID episode. Not about security though. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IDq_LBH_ZYs

---

2014-02-20T22:14:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436625037135589376

@4thAnon I don't think it's as nefarious as people make out. Of course the CC companies don't want bad PR though, and they are rich.

2014-02-20T21:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436619608360235008

@4thAnon More details here: http://news.cnet.com/8301-13772_3-10031601-52.html Not exactly "banned". Adam wasn't on the call. He was paraphrasing.

2014-02-20T21:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436607963000999936

Debunked: Completely Engineered Extremes In Weather: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-completely-engineered-extremes-in-weather-temperature-probability-charts.3146/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bg8koQAIMAA3yDs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bg8koQAIMAA3yDs.jpg

2014-02-20T03:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436348017302450177

@chadsdaddy @BeforeItsNews Because it's not missing.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2014-02-20T02:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436331373419184128

@inscamerated @4thAnon And it would be way more efficient to simply use the engine. H is only useful as an energy storage mechanism.

2014-02-20T02:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436331093793325056

@aboveawhisper1 It has not. But plausible explanations for the observations have been offered.

2014-02-20T02:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436327735858712576

@aboveawhisper1 The whole point of anything that burns? I'm really missing your point. That's the problem with Twitter. Try a forum.

2014-02-20T02:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436325302768128000

@aboveawhisper1 Absolutely not. I HATE semantic arguments. I want to get down to what's actually happening.

2014-02-20T02:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436324157970595841

@inscamerated @4thAnon Like, you could "power" a car with compressed air. But you still have to compress the air. Energy is not free.

2014-02-20T02:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436323909797826560

@inscamerated @4thAnon The key problem is that it takes more energy to separate hydrogen and oxygen than you get from burning them.

2014-02-20T02:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436323077249458176

@aboveawhisper1 You should give it a go. Not just rust though - paint flaked of steel.

2014-02-20T02:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436322873574039553

@aboveawhisper1 No I don't. I'm saying that once something is ignited it can get a lot hotter than the autoignition temperature.

2014-02-20T01:29:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436311736094699520

@aboveawhisper1 I don't know the precise paint. But it does not seem unreasonable. Esp compared to tons of unignited nanothermite

---

2014-02-20T01:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436311451590852608

@4thAnon But the proposed science just does not add up. It's a con.

2014-02-20T01:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436311324620881922

@4thAnon I'm not paid by anyone. I work for nobody. I have no allegiances. I just like science.

2014-02-20T01:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436311170887069696

@4thAnon No. If they are missing, how do they know what is in them? Or if they even exist?

2014-02-20T01:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436310998077562882

@aboveawhisper1 I read that paper, but I'm not seeing your point.

2014-02-20T00:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436294233104715776

@aboveawhisper1 Which paper? People send me 50 papers a day.

2014-02-20T00:19:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436293989952536576

@4thAnon Chemical coated Film was used for 100 years for photos. Are you saying it's absurd it took so long for something better to arrive?

2014-02-20T00:18:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436293753913892865

@4thAnon Compressed Air. Hydrogen. Solar. Chemical Battery. Nuclear. Plenty of tech. Gasoline is just cheap.

2014-02-20T00:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436292663847829504

@4thAnon Someone is getting screwed here: http://gizmodo.com/a-u-s-company-bought-the-rights-to-this-dubious-cold-f-1508731448

2014-02-20T00:11:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436292074225139712

@4thAnon Probably not, except to note that it did not work. Rossi looks like a con-man. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Catalyzer

---

2014-02-20T00:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436290305831075840

@aboveawhisper1 Paper ignites as low as 424F. Burning paper can make microspheres from iron dust.

2014-02-20T00:02:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436289778900692992

@aboveawhisper1 Burning happens at higher than ignition temps. Burning organic matter can create microspheres.

2014-02-19T23:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436288469300551680

@4thAnon Tesla Motors seem to be doing okay. What's the issue there?

2014-02-19T23:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436288147601637376

@4thAnon Have you looked in the "cars running on water" in that video? They literally break the laws of physics. Sounds great. But fake.

2014-02-19T23:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436285408645632000

@4thAnon And somehow nobody anywhere in the world re-invented any of that hidden stuff in the last 100 years? Don't you see that's absurd?

2014-02-19T23:44:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436285096891396097

@4thAnon Long video. Can you pick one "supressed" technology you think is most significant? Explain how you know it is suppressed.

2014-02-19T23:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436281643444105217

@WickChris If you are talking linguistics, then yes. But the *actually doing it* part is still in the future. Nobody has done strato GE.

2014-02-19T23:28:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436281143533375488

@4thAnon Big Oil/Money/Prisons/Gov is real enough. But suppressing tech is a fairly story.

2014-02-19T23:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436280320778706944

@4thAnon That's a narrative. But not true. There is no evidence that Tesla had anything suppressed. 60 years now. Impossible.

2014-02-19T23:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436274802832064512

@4thAnon How are they suppressing it? Maybe it's just a bunch of free-energy scams?

2014-02-19T22:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436272683278286848

@4thAnon Problem: AGW cannot be solved by a free market solution - unless that solution is adaption. Cause of problem: Ideology.

2014-02-19T22:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436272153537675264

@4thAnon becoming carbon neutral could be done in a way that grows the economy. It's just disruptive. Not good for Big Oil status quo.

2014-02-19T22:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436271542981230593

@aboveawhisper1 Igniting and burning are different things. But really, this is regurgitating arguments. Not suited for twitter.

2014-02-19T21:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436256469718032384
@Linnlondon1 @martinhume @Gas8128 That photo has been debunked. Chinese fighter exercise.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-these-are-chemtrails-you-stupid-zombies-image.2861/

2014-02-19T21:49:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436256228847538176
@4thAnon It's rather more important to understand the science.

2014-02-19T21:48:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436256120642863104
@4thAnon They drive each other, so it's not a good idea to increase CO2, regardless of what other variations are happening.

2014-02-19T21:47:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436255807957528576
@aboveawhisper1 Just heating it would not. Possibly the burning of organic compounds igniting small bits of steel, like the steel wool.

2014-02-19T18:37:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436207846074494976
@4thAnon Those initial peaks were not caused by C02, but the C02 increase made it far worse. Current temp increase is JUST from C02.

2014-02-19T18:36:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436207559238639616
@4thAnon Could you read the explanation here, and tell me if you disagree with it and why? http://www.skepticalscience.com/co2-lags-temperature.htm (C02 lags and amplifies)

2014-02-19T18:28:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436205752886112256
@4thAnon A good summary? It starts with the false premise that CO2 does not cause warming. Then moans about language usage.

2014-02-19T17:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436197624853442560
@4thAnon The basic facts are solid. CO2 is a greenhouse gas. Earth is getting hotter. Those are inarguable.

2014-02-19T17:55:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436197369382584321
@4thAnon You know it's very easy to make a video arguing a minority position. But the fact is there IS a solid consensus on AGW.

2014-02-19T17:23:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/436189236710998016
@4thAnon Like the IPCC?

2014-02-19T17:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436189149763088384

@aboveawhisper1 I'm not going to rehash it on twitter. Waste of time. Too many factors.

2014-02-19T15:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436161110400434176

@4thAnon So what criteria do you use when deciding which individual scientist to believe?

2014-02-19T15:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436160717704544256

@aboveawhisper1 Then bring it up in the thread. No point going over it on Twitter.

2014-02-19T13:24:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436129099010957313

@4thAnon I'm curious as to how you arrived at the conclusion that AGW is some kind of scam. What do you base that on?

2014-02-19T13:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436128850217414658

@aboveawhisper1 Like I said, there's a thread for that.

2014-02-19T06:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436032250757402624

@4thAnon What if they were just covering the news, and climate change actually is news, because it's real?

2014-02-19T06:12:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436020548422729728

@4thAnon Small is right. Plus it was probably a very reasonable conference. Just silly Daily Mail spin.

2014-02-19T05:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436002975211728896

@d_mctiernan1 Seems like a lot of work is needed there. Good to have options though.

2014-02-19T04:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436001090421542912

@4thAnon I think the opposite is true actually. Big energy spends a lot of money on climate change skepticism.
http://articles.philly.com/2014-02-12/news/47238883_1_richard-lindzen-brulle-global-warming

---

2014-02-19T04:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/436000207113703425

@d_mctiernan1 This type of things would be preferable. But there are economic/scale issues.
http://richardtech.net/index.php/2014/02/solar-plant/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bgz74MmCcAAIaUv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bgz74MmCcAAIaUv.jpg

2014-02-19T04:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435999570187657216

@d_mctiernan1 I've not seen it. But I think the argument for modern safe nuclear makes a lot of sense. Touchy subject though.

2014-02-19T04:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435998752080285696

@4thAnon You mean PR? Everyone in power has a PR department.

2014-02-19T04:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435998527504662529

@WhiteSkyBlues A bit cloudy. Marine layer. But you know contrails are just clouds.

2014-02-19T02:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435957692700446721

@WhiteSkyBlues Is it not a smoke screen? Is crop dusting a "chemtrail" now? Argument from semantics? What does the video show?

2014-02-19T02:01:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435957363527282688

@lexxtacyyy @UNEXPLAINED Blobs have been falling out of the sky for thousands of years. It's just nature. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Star_jelly

---

2014-02-19T01:59:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435956836286464000

@4thAnon Big Oil wants zero gov regulation. But recognizes there must be some regs, so lobbies for favorable regs. Publically they agree AGW

2014-02-19T01:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435952570545082368

@4thAnon from the article: "is that serious or is it just a tactic to avoid any action on climate change?"

2014-02-19T01:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435952264092475392

@4thAnon Why would you repost such a bunk-filled article? Fake snow? Chemtrails? Seriously?

2014-02-19T01:36:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435950953972256768

@WhiteSkyBlues Yeah it's a smoke screen.

2014-02-19T01:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435950738976419840

@4thAnon Yes.

2014-02-19T01:23:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435947839869288448

@4thAnon And you sure like quoting Forbes a lot. It seem rather ironic. Do you ever think you are being played?

2014-02-19T01:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435944456957616131

@4thAnon I'm curious as to why "Anonymous" type people side with Big Oil on the AGW issue. No suspicion there?

2014-02-19T01:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435943380707586048

@donnalashe Is there anything you can point to that I got wrong?

2014-02-19T01:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435942369989386240

@4thAnon Notice the graph I showed you also features in that article. Perspective is important with lists. Example: http://ncse.com/taking-action/project-steve

---

2014-02-19T01:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435941813199708160

@donnalashe Try here: http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ please point out any errors.

2014-02-19T00:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435935578245197825

@4thAnon Notice Taylor does not provide a figure. If you accept his objections it might go down to 95% with that set.

2014-02-19T00:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435935333901811712

@4thAnon It depends on how you classify folk, which is why I showed you that graph. A range from 82% to 98%. Increases with expertise.

2014-02-19T00:28:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435933802192314368

@4thAnon Some references. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientific_opinion_on_climate_change http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bgy_e7dCMAEhC_n.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bgy_e7dCMAEhC_n.png
2014-02-19T00:26:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435933434553171968
@4thAnon No, it's only 97% among experts in the field. Actually quite high for that type of thing.

2014-02-19T00:25:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435933063080472576
@donnalashe So basically you have zero evidence that I'm a paid shill, and can't find anything I actually got wrong?

2014-02-19T00:03:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435927652570910720
@jace3312 And what did she reveal exactly? Anything specific? Anything backed with evidence?

2014-02-19T00:01:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435927124935835649
@4thAnon Who said science was unequivocable? If science had everything figured out, then it would stop.

2014-02-18T23:36:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435920883538862081

@4thAnon Are they wrong in this instance? There was more talk of global warming in the 70s that global cooling. So it's cherry picking.

2014-02-18T23:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435918799234027520

@aboveawhisper1 Thanks. Interesting paper.

2014-02-18T23:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435918012168695810

@4thAnon And a more scientific analysis reveals that's cherry picked.
https://www.skepticalscience.com/What-1970s-science-said-about-global-cooling.html

---

2014-02-18T07:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435670583007641600

RT @powerm1985: YouTube to Terminate Account of Scientist who Debunked Aids Denialist Movie
http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/youtube-terminate-account-scientist-who-debunked-aids-denialist-movie-1436802#.UwJ16OCq5yI.twitter

---

2014-02-18T06:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435667704318423040

@aboveawhisper1 And here's me setting some steel wool on fire with a wood burning flame:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y12ri1fNDEQ

2014-02-18T06:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435667365217333248

@aboveawhisper1 Here's a close up video I took of some steel wool burning:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vfNZGexAJmc

2014-02-18T06:47:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435666887934898176

@donnalashe Point out one thing that's wrong. I'll remove that.

2014-02-18T06:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435666756644773888

@donnalashe Then the answer is no. I'm not paid to debunk.

2014-02-18T06:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435666643000107008

@aboveawhisper1 Steel wool ignites with a paper fire and makes microspheres. Give it a go.

2014-02-18T03:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435623135841230849

@donnalashe Any evidence to support that libelous claim?

2014-02-18T03:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435623021278023680

@aboveawhisper1 @donnalashe Try melting some steel with your lighter :) Steel wool does not melt from the lighter, it's ignited and burns.

2014-02-18T03:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435622449728610305
@donnalashe @aboveawhisper1 I've seen it. She givens no actual chemtrail evidence, and claims I'm a paid shill, also with no evidence.

2014-02-17T23:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435563555967209472
@donnalashe Not paid. I just like checking the facts. Point to anything I got wrong and I shall correct it.

2014-02-17T17:36:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435467841869864960
@joeeigo People don't think math professors are crazy.

2014-02-17T16:59:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435458406917226496
@aboveawhisper1 The question is if the quote itself is genuine, as you are using it as evidence of intent.

2014-02-17T07:50:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435320262934810624
@rworeilly78 @UberFacts It's actually 70% of supermarket ground beef "contains some" pink slime. It's mixed in.

2014-02-17T07:44:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435318749130809344
@Jeremt007T It says they don't really rule the world. Nobody does. It's markets.

2014-02-17T03:15:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435251207972474880
@aboveawhisper1 But it sounds a little "Protocols of Zion" -like to me. i.e. made up.

2014-02-17T03:15:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435251012501118976
@aboveawhisper1 Well let me know if you find a source. I'm AFK in a while.

2014-02-17T03:13:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435250590537379840
@CedricBrasfield Military operations in other countries. Like Iraq or Pakistan. Missions like hostage rescue.

2014-02-17T03:07:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435249068252467201
@CedricBrasfield The "Fake City": https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgpQuKhCQAEC5Rq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgpQuKhCQAEC5Rq.jpg

2014-02-17T03:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435248633902927872

@CedricBrasfield Train for urban combat? Can you explain why they wouldn't train for urban combat? And it's only about ten buildings.

2014-02-17T03:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435247490082668544

@stang289 @joesaunders101 @aboveawhisper1 Hard to prove a negative. I just point out the lack of evidence, and all the 1950s coverage of BB

2014-02-17T02:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435247207281737728

@aboveawhisper1 Not entirely untrue. Banks and Oil have far too much influence on world events.

2014-02-17T02:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435246870055485440

@aboveawhisper1 Hmm, is there an original source for that quote? Seems like he just didn't like the SSN.

2014-02-17T02:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435237878763749377

@joesaunders101 @aboveawhisper1 I'm pretty sure that's not what I'm saying.

2014-02-17T02:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435237638279147520

Bilderberg exposed! How the Bilderberg conference worked, explained by old founding member Denis Healey: http://pastebin.com/1LxaiD63

2014-02-17T02:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435237068881399808
@aboveawhisper1 @joesaunders101 @stang289 You will find this quite interesting, about the Bilderberg meetings: http://pastebin.com/1LxaiD63

2014-02-17T02:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435235050397765632
@joesaunders101 @aboveawhisper1 Well that's kind of a tautology though. The question is WHAT is covered up? Graft and ineptitude? Or evil?

2014-02-17T02:06:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435233809903022080
@aboveawhisper1 @joesaunders101 Bilderberg founder: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denis_Healey

---

2014-02-17T02:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435233288953688064
@aboveawhisper1 @stang289 @joesaunders101 Let me know when you are in LA. :)

2014-02-17T02:01:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435232384271671296
@aboveawhisper1 @joesaunders101 So there's a shadowy elite living off the books? No public figures part of it? How about Dennis Healy?

2014-02-17T01:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435231852308070400
@stang289 @joesaunders101 @aboveawhisper1 Thanks Joe :)

2014-02-17T01:48:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435229274652426240
@oc_f1fan @aboveawhisper1 @stang289 Is there a diagram?

2014-02-17T01:48:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435229136089403392
@joesaunders101 @aboveawhisper1 I apologise if I've been rude. Could you point it out?

2014-02-17T01:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435228988609282048
@aboveawhisper1 @joesaunders101 Oh I'm interested in banksters. Just don't think they explicitly rule the world. Just too much money/power

---

2014-02-17T01:46:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435228660740526083
@aboveawhisper1 Then explain the colonial scip you wanted me to look into? Or how scrip in general is a good thing?

2014-02-17T01:45:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/435228406330843136
@stang289 "forbids unauthorized citizens from negotiating with foreign governments" And when was this broken?

2014-02-17T01:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435223221990748160

@aboveawhisper1 I've looked. Unlike your 404 image, nothing seems to gel. I get a lot of flim-flam like your colonial scrip nonsense.

2014-02-17T01:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435222925365358592

@4thAnon Does any of his peers agree with him? Or is it just him saying this?

2014-02-17T01:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435221790747398146

@stang289 I don't think it's illegal if they are just doing what they say. You need more than "oh come on, it's obvious!" as evidence.

2014-02-17T01:16:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435221221160919040

@4thAnon Just this one for now. I was just pointing out it might be misinterpreted. Lots of chemtrail folk out there.

2014-02-17T01:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435221021855973377

@4thAnon There's simply no evidence of it. Old men die of cancer all the time. It's not suspicious.

2014-02-17T00:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435214036154609665

@4thAnon No. Died of cancer at age 82.

2014-02-17T00:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435213937617805314

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 See here: http://www.bilderbergmeetings.org/index.php

---

2014-02-17T00:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435212349071294464

@4thAnon Might want to clarify that's about the Delta Smelt fish conservation, and not chemtrail based geoengineering :)

2014-02-17T00:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435211664334401537

@aboveawhisper1 All sounds a bit mystical. I prefer facts and logic.

2014-02-17T00:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435211118739333120

@stang289 Well, I'm pretty sure @aboveawhisper1 doesn't think the unions rule the world. :)

2014-02-17T00:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435206902159384577

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 Why would political donations matter in the "shadowy elite" scenario AAW is proposing?

2014-02-16T22:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435185760468074497

@aboveawhisper1 Could you give me five names of people higher up than the Kochs? Who is at the highest level?

2014-02-16T22:47:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435183760007376896

@stang289 unblocked. unbanned.

2014-02-16T22:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435183516016320512

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 So what exactly do you know about who rules the world? Just "shadowy figures"?

2014-02-16T22:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435182453989179392

@martinhume If this was the final proof, then why didn't you stop?

2014-02-16T22:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435174304620089344

@ftwild2013 @RossMarsden My point was that some of the contrails you see will be above 35,000 feet. Certainly not 60,000 though.

2014-02-16T21:19:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435161541474652160

@aboveawhisper1 referring to: â□□That which can be asserted without evidence, can be dismissed without evidence.â□□

2014-02-16T21:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435161341762867201

@aboveawhisper1 No I'm not :)

2014-02-16T21:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435160150094008320

@aboveawhisper1 The owners of that house exist. You can look them up :) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biltmore_Estate#Present

---

2014-02-16T21:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435159400601251840

@aboveawhisper1 Well, I'd like to see it verified somewhere. What have you got?

2014-02-16T20:49:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435154049596264448

@aboveawhisper1 So the Rothschilds rule the world?

2014-02-16T20:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435153895434620928

@aboveawhisper1 Well, I'd expect at least a vague guess at the structure. It needs to be plausible, not just hand waving bogymen.

2014-02-16T19:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435136448849641472

@ftwild2013 35,000 is about average contrail formation altitude. Bit it's hard to tell how high a

plane is without knowing how big it is.

2014-02-16T19:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435136081218895872

@jimmydick4 The weather.

2014-02-16T19:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435130239224512512

@Dobroyeutro @IntelligenceHub No, he just died of cancer. He was 82.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ted_Gunderson

2014-02-16T18:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435123386897805312

@stang289 If you agree to be polite, and stay away from off-topic politics.

2014-02-16T18:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435112969882460160

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 Well, you can come back any time you like.

2014-02-16T18:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435112866299924480

Snake handling auto debunked: RT @joerogan: Reality show snake-handling preacher dies -- of snakebite
http://www.cnn.com/2014/02/16/us/snake-salvation-pastor-bite/index.html?sr=sharebar_twitter

---

2014-02-16T18:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435112635768385536

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 What tipped the balance was "whatever ? you dont know shit HUFFPO ? LOL " - but it was the last of several

---

2014-02-16T17:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435108542555512834

@aboveawhisper1 @stang289 But seriously? Who? Who's in charge? Where do they meet?

2014-02-16T17:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435107536635559936

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 The deleted posts only show up if you are logged in.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgnP_8SCMAAuIwA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgnP_8SCMAAuIwA.jpg

2014-02-16T17:08:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435098332352892928

@martinhume That photo was taken in 1989. F-15s intercepting Soviet Mig-29s Explained here: http://theaviationist.com/2012/02/03/mig29-f15/

2014-02-16T16:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435093973577654272

@aboveawhisper1 @stang289 Who is above them?

2014-02-16T16:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435093669205377024

@martinhume Or did he just die of cancer? He was 82.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ted_Gunderson

2014-02-16T16:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435092453578641409

@WhiteSkyBlues @youtube @HSaive What evidence has she presented?

2014-02-16T16:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435091528822710274

@aboveawhisper1 @stang289 That's because his impolite posts were deleted.

2014-02-16T15:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435073680402436096

@LaLaRueFrench75 No, they spent it for urban warfare training. All countries have towns and cities, even Iraq.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/

2014-02-16T15:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435073376550264832

@kilokeal It's just for training in an urban environment. Any country. And it's tiny.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/

2014-02-16T15:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435072954817191936

@CedricBrasfield Some context here. It's not a "fake city". It's tiny.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/ See

---

2014-02-16T14:29:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435058470534909953

"Fake City". Just a few buildings. Urban combat training. Other countries have cities.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-city-us-army-trains-for-martial-law-in-us.3110/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgmjX64CMAAfUuu.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgmjX64CMAAfUuu.jpg

2014-02-16T14:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/435057991792877569

@WhiteSkyBlues @HSaive yes she does. However she presents zero evidence to back it up. So why believe her?

2014-02-16T06:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434935519319826432

@Hsaive Harold. I'm only interested i the facts. Why don't you find something wrong on MB, and

we can hammer it over?

2014-02-16T06:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434935214746255360

@stang289 And your ban expires March 11. Or whenever you agree to stick to the posting guidelines. It's not politics.

2014-02-16T06:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434934413063761920

@stang289 @aboveawhisper1 Banned because you could not be polite. Plenty of other places for you to speak out. I gave you over a year.

2014-02-16T05:52:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434928232672948225

@WhiteSkyBlues @Hsaive Ship trails.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ship-trails-over-the-pacific-are-geoengineering-chemtrails.652/

2014-02-16T05:49:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434927604701753346

@aboveawhisper1 Looks like a Sovereign citizen type thing?
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sovereign_citizen_movement

2014-02-15T19:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434775438049550336

@neiltyson I don't think "remembering" was the issue there :)

2014-02-15T19:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434766332630818818

@4thAnon Well, what's your prediction? I'll let you know how likely I think it is.

2014-02-15T19:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434766124257771520

@aboveawhisper1 So, China, Russia, and the US all all controlled by one single elite group? Or multiple similar groups? How does it work?

2014-02-14T22:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434459226908282880

@aboveawhisper1 So why doesn't some foreign government expose it?

2014-02-14T20:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434425102361309184

@kallecent @elakdawalla The big picture on that missing window. Via:
http://thelexicans.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/boeing737cutaway.gif
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgdjVDQCMAAsmDG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgdjVDQCMAAsmDG.jpg

2014-02-14T20:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434422132781494273

@aboveawhisper1 You think Nancy Pelosi is covering up for GWB?

2014-02-14T20:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434421974052257792

@aboveawhisper1 The media was already exposing the lies. Look at Colin Powell. There are thousands of media stories on the WMD debacle.

2014-02-14T20:19:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434421688856346624

@aboveawhisper1 I go by the available evidence. There are knowns and unknowns. One should recognize that at some stage you are guessing.

2014-02-14T20:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434419305900302336

@aboveawhisper1 Complex questions. Too long for twitter. But the opposition initially believed the WMD lies.

2014-02-14T19:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434409687375544320

@4thAnon As opposed to pure US governance, which is what we have now. Read the article. It's a good thing. Decentralises.

2014-02-14T18:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434401649612689408

@4thAnon Quick infographic for you: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgdN_6YCQAE14OA.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgdN_6YCQAE14OA.jpg

2014-02-14T18:50:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434399172502904832

@4thAnon I know what RFID is. There's a diff between a device that happens to have RFID, like a pacemaker, and a dedicated RFID implant.

2014-02-14T18:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434395828061351936

@4thAnon Babies already get pacemakers. They might already have pacemakers with RFID. But define "RFID Implant"

2014-02-14T18:24:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434392701685878784

@aboveawhisper1 If you don't want to visit Metabunk, I've pastebinned it. Gov positions I think actually are BS: http://pastebin.com/9DR4SLsi

---

2014-02-14T18:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434390927998590976

@aboveawhisper1 Did you read this?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-02-14T18:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434390714709835776

@4thAnon Right, I was being hyperbolic. Are baby pacemakers the start of a slippery slope to compulsory RFID implants?

2014-02-14T17:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434385720124264448

@4thAnon And you know medical implants would only have near-field RFID chips, right? Useless for tracking you.

2014-02-14T17:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434385051556405248

@4thAnon Okay, you say "inject". So how about any infant injected with a stand-alone RFID chip? You think that will happen?

2014-02-14T17:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434384700694466560

@4thAnon You totally miss the point. Do you really think RFID enabled baby pacemakers is the start of the mark of the beast?

2014-02-14T16:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434369928288669697

@4thAnon It might already exist. But why you would find this NWOish is a bit strange. Any infant implant would need replacing as it grows

---

2014-02-14T16:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434369590643015680

@4thAnon A pacemaker, for example, might have an RFID chip so it can quickly be identified. This seems very reasonable.

2014-02-14T16:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434369411705614336

@4thAnon I think you misunderstand. There's no "medial RFID implant". There's medical implants that might also have RFID chips.

2014-02-14T16:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434360947444965376

@BestGlobalNews No bird, just stuck pixels. See here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.3103/

2014-02-14T16:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434360789164503040

@nanopatents Stuck pixels, see here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.3103/

2014-02-14T16:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434360452638720001

@area51org Just some stuck pixels. See here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.3103/ @Milipolo

---

2014-02-14T16:15:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434360326453080064
@GagaINspace It's stuck pixels. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-giant-bird-in-flight-on-mars-caught-in-nasa-billion-pixel-view.3103/ @Uredda @ETsigns

---

2014-02-14T15:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434351387887951873
@4thAnon it's not an "RFID pacemaker". It would be a pacemaker that happened to have an RFID chip.

2014-02-14T15:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434351197495898112
@4thAnon No deal. As there are good reasons why a baby might get an RFID chip as part of a medical implant. e.g. pacemaker. Maybe already.

2014-02-14T15:37:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434350744381038593
@aboveawhisper1 No. I think it's important to debunk, so people can focus more on real issues. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-02-14T14:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434338116950716416
@aboveawhisper1 Why would someone build model train sets? Or collect stamps? Or watch TV? See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2014-02-14T14:46:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434337764146806784
@4thAnon No. I mean what if a baby has a pacemaker that has an RFID chip in it? The deal would have to be just tracking. Not medical.

2014-02-14T05:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434195791524032512
@4thAnon So your bet is that at some time in the next five years at least one infant will have an RFID chip implanted for some period?

2014-02-13T21:31:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434077309750882304
@TCskywatch It's done. Come back in a month.

2014-02-13T21:19:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434074464788033536
Douglas DC-8 leaving contrails in 1982: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NRNyDgXylsE

2014-02-13T20:51:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/434067296298872832
@BostonNakedMan You are wasting your life pushing this non-issue. Focus on something real.

2014-02-13T20:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434067103646097409

@BostonNakedMan Why should it? If you were mistakenly arrested and stripped naked on live TV, then would you expect your name revealed?

2014-02-13T20:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434066760191324160

@TCskywatch Because he's you, and you were banned.

2014-02-13T18:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434036321481281537

@TCskywatch Actual ammo purchases are different from Indefinite Duration, Indefinite Quantity contracts.

2014-02-13T18:03:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434025128645509120

@BostonNakedMan @RealAmerican8 Well, it's obvious to everyone he's not Tamerlan Tsarnaev, that's for sure. So what's the actual issue?

2014-02-13T18:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/434024683680186368

@BostonNakedMan @RealAmerican8 Nobody pays me anything.

2014-02-13T16:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433996459600400384

@BostonNakedMan @RealAmerican8 You think those are photos of the same guy? How did his ears and nose shrink?

2014-02-13T16:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433996207145230338

@4thAnon No. It has to be compulsory and non-medical. There are conceivable reasons why an infant might end up with a chip.

2014-02-13T07:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433866742087966720

@4thAnon is bet a pound there's no compulsory infant RFID implant in next five years

---

2014-02-13T07:31:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433865986648047616

@4thAnon I don't agree it will happen. Not in 50 years. Biometrics makes it irrelevant anyway.

2014-02-13T06:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433856780729188352

@4thAnon never is a long time. I'd say not in the next 50 years. What do you think. 10? Pound of silver.

2014-02-13T05:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433838220623609856

@trutherbot And you know this how?

2014-02-13T05:40:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433838103493484544

GAO report debunks myth of DHS stockpiling ammo for the apocalypse.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-government-stockpiling-unusual-amounts-of-ammo-by-tom-coburns-goa-report.3100/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgVNdNOCcAADK4F.jpg

---

Total Department of Homeland Security Ammunition Purchases for Fiscal Years 2008 through 2013



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgVNdNOCcAADK4F.jpg
2014-02-13T02:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/433792984723628033
@FreakinCats @4thAnon How long do we have? Want to put some gold on it?

2014-02-13T02:17:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/433786866630807552
@4thAnon No. And what I said was in relation to what was in that paper. Abbreviated for twitter. Discuss here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mandatory-microchips-in-newborn-european-babies-rumour-via-wikileaks.2982/

2014-02-13T01:59:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/433782330990145536
@4thAnon I said nothing like that. I said the suggestions were an ID card for school kids, ankle band for babies. No implants recommended.

2014-02-13T01:56:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/433781805242540032
Sunset with contrails over Venice, CA. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgUaQM5CMAE2XBH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgUaQM5CMAE2XBH.jpg

2014-02-13T01:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433772600401997825

@4thAnon People also want their nipples pierced. It does not mean the NWO is going to make you get a nipple ring.

2014-02-12T22:28:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/433729415747346432

@BostonNakedMan @RealAmerican8 Isn't it proof enough that they don't look alike? https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-video-of-tamerlan-tsarnaev-naked-handcuffed-walking-alive.1422/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgTqmwFCcAAVsJV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgTqmwFCcAAVsJV.jpg
2014-02-12T22:20:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/433727242963652608
@aboveawhisper1 You think I'm being blackmailed to debunk for the government? :O Maybe I'm just retired, did well in videogames?

2014-02-10T19:17:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432956571086094336
@lek_black @FarmerEllis @chasetruth1 @BryanJWhyte @WhiteSkyBlues That's actually a lie. I work for nobody. Nobody pays me. Check your facts

---

2014-02-10T18:30:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432944708684238849
Oxford County "Meteor" is a contrail segment lit by the setting sun. Flight UA4597
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/meteorite-paris-oxford-county-maine-sunlit-contrail-segment-with-shadow.3077/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgIg6ybCAAAUiJF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgIg6ybCAAAUiJF.jpg
2014-02-10T02:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432697691206737921
RT @Sci_Phile: Unless a zombie head was squishy, calculations show that a crossbow bolt would never go through it
http://blogs.scientificamerican.com/but-not-simpler/2013/10/11/the-walking-dead-shuffles-into-science-education-with-bolts-brains-and-a-physics-quiz/ @Wâ¦

2014-02-10T02:08:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432697495777329152

@Sci_Phile What if the bolt were made from depleted uranium? :)

2014-02-10T01:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432693660304429056

@MindspinDave If so, they you should be able to identify some actual "disinfo". I'd be happy to correct it.

2014-02-10T01:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432693524014714880

@MindspinDave So you can't find any errors? Contrail persist? Soil tests are normal? KC-10 is aerodynamic contrails, etc?

2014-02-10T01:49:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432692764916985857

@BrianDunning Opps, I meant a 747-8, not 787.

2014-02-10T01:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432692491196710912

@BrianDunning That one specifically is a Boeing 787 prototype, N6067E, at the Paris air show in 2011 https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-10T01:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432692008671379456

@MindspinDave I promote examining the evidence in great detail. I promote verifiable debunking. You can check anything I say. Find an error?

2014-02-10T01:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432690921558122496

@MindspinDave @aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte I've not banned @KristenMeghan, as she was polite and reasonable.

2014-02-10T01:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432689673882701824

@MindspinDave @aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte I banned you because you posted the same video in 6 treads. Spam.

2014-02-09T18:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432584894904930304

@aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte Good e.g.: Complex, but will be oversimplified by Fox News.
http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2014/feb/09/global-warming-pause-trade-winds-pacific-ocean-study?CMP=twt_fd

---

2014-02-09T18:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432583647372140544

@aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte Science is often complicated. Oversimplification is essentially a lie.

2014-02-09T17:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432565129419304961

@aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte There's a number of theories discussed in that thread. Too complex for twitter.

2014-02-09T17:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432564385865687040

@Solicit @eddiebravo I link to sources, so you can check for yourself. Example from 1969: http://books.google.com/books?id=OEniu9ff-_sC&lpg=PA15&ots=wPQCw1mUFC&dq=747%20flight%20testing%20ballast&pg=PA15#v=onepage&q&f=false

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgDHBFmCMAAfKoG.jpg



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BgDHBFmCMAAfKoG.jpg

2014-02-09T16:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432556656379965440

@WhiteSkyBlues @FarmerEllis @chasetruth1 @BryanJWhyte A rise from zero? Nobody pays me. I encourage people to check what I say themselves.

2014-02-09T16:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432556427782000640

@Solicit @eddiebravo Old story. Check the facts here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-09T16:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432556245325594624
@George_Tr Probably. See the barrels explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-09T16:46:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432555998641795072
@WillyLatzo That's an old hoax story. Photo is just ballast barrels. Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-09T16:40:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432554719160967168
@WhiteSkyBlues @FarmerEllis @chasetruth1 @BryanJWhyte Former game programmer. Not paid. Consider the evidence she presents. (None)

2014-02-09T16:38:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432554155899510784
@BryanJWhyte Not new at all, just less common "hybrid" contrails. See:
http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2014-02-09T16:37:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432553836297740290
@FarmerEllis @chasetruth1 @BryanJWhyte @WhiteSkyBlues Surely it would be better to explain the facts, rather than ignore them?

2014-02-09T16:36:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432553664327061505
@aboveawhisper1 @chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte Complicated question. Discussed in this thread.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-iron-microspheres-in-9-11-wtc-dust-as-evidence-for-thermite.2523/

2014-02-09T02:33:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432341353679167488
@WhiteSkyBlues From your link "a practice that can increase precipitation by up to 20 per cent." That's it.

2014-02-09T02:32:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432341180437651457
@chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte If I'm wrong, then point out what I said was wrong. Do your own research.

2014-02-09T00:48:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432314974099095552
@WhiteSkyBlues @chasetruth1 @BryanJWhyte And what were the conditions, and how exactly did you know?

2014-02-09T00:13:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432306301561868288
@chasetruth1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte Check it out. It's just physics. Look up ice

supersaturation + persistent contrails. Do your own rs

2014-02-09T00:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432304249179889664

@martinhume @Hsaive You should find a bunch of meteorology students to speak out. Or amateur meteorologists. Or climate science students.

2014-02-09T00:04:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432303891992961024

@EternalStarKid I think they are contrails. Contrails have always persisted in the right conditions (ice supersaturation). Basic physics.

2014-02-08T20:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432253486055436288

@An0nKn0wledge e.g.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/im-sure-this-has-been-debunked-but-i-cant-find-it-kc-10-aerodynamic-contrails.1780/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bf-sQVmCQAA0td2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bf-sQVmCQAA0td2.jpg

2014-02-08T20:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432253117342552065

@An0nKn0wledge Really there isn't if you look into to. "Pilots filming chemtrails" was just the KC-10 aerodynamic contrail. Debunked.

2014-02-08T19:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/432242291416104960

@Anon_Despot666 @An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume I don't think there is EVIDENCE that they spray. Contrails can persist

---

2014-02-08T19:44:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432238562554019840
@BryanJWhyte @WhiteSkyBlues Not what I say. It's what physics says. Check it out, prove me wrong.

2014-02-08T18:54:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432226033601753088
@EternalStarKid @GeorgeJB1990 That photo and others are explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-08T18:53:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432225810548678656
@WhiteSkyBlues @BryanJWhyte That's just a non-persistent part of the trail. Just hybrid parts are left. Thick part is in more humid air.

2014-02-08T18:52:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432225405706067968
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume I link to my site because that's where my articles are. I'm open minded. Give me a go.

2014-02-08T18:51:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432225261614927872
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume I explain why I debunk here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-would-you-debunk.1880/

2014-02-08T18:49:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432224773808979969
@Anon_Despot666 @An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume It just depends on the weather at the altitude. Planes different alts.

2014-02-08T18:49:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/432224580631924736
@ConspiracyWATCH Contradictions? You mean like my clarification in post #10? Not clear enough?

2014-02-08T00:33:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431948794549137408
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume Okay. Show me the evidence that contrails should not persist. Because I couldn't find it

---

2014-02-07T22:40:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431920422775291904
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth @martinhume I've done a lot of research. All this: http://contrailscience.com

---

2014-02-07T22:39:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431920299580223488
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth Source?

2014-02-07T18:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431863356530520064
@An0nKn0wledge @Anon2Earth No. There's no actual evidence radioactive particles were used. Just ZCS. Thought to be harmless. A tracer.

2014-02-07T18:52:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431862966091149312
@dwilson2323 @An0nKn0wledge @Anon2Earth Weather modification can only increase precipitation maybe 20%. It won't help if there's no rain.

2014-02-07T18:51:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431862780983926784
@An0nKn0wledge @dwilson2323 @Anon2Earth And what evidence is there that the droughts are caused by weather modification?

2014-02-07T04:05:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431639927810297856
@RequiredToRebel @An0nKn0wledge @Anon2Earth Like pollution? Aircraft exhaust? What?

2014-02-07T02:57:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431622820733280257
@An0nKn0wledge @Anon2Earth Dispersement tests. Entirely unrelated to SRM.

2014-02-07T02:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431614518817341440
@An0nKn0wledge @Anon2Earth Which proves that there's nothing like chemtrails going on, as they have not even done this experiment yet

---

2014-02-07T02:09:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431610542512295936
@An0nKn0wledge @Anon2earth No, he discusses possible geoengineering research. Which is a very different thing.

2014-02-07T02:08:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431610386823925760
@trutherbot They are warning not to START, because if you start, then you can't easily stop.

2014-02-06T18:51:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431500354153238529
@facereplacer He uses about 20 debunked things in the first ten minutes. He's incredibly wrong. e.g.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-02-06T16:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431464556951400448
@ConspiracyWATCH But you need to look into them IN DEPTH. Not on twitter.

2014-02-06T16:28:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/431464446410510337
@ConspiracyWATCH Or highly misleading "â□□there was no plane.â□□ Mayor Ernie Stull." - the plane was buried.

2014-02-06T16:27:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431464274813140992

@ConspiracyWATCH One huge bit of bunk is that they are "Hard Facts". Like "Bush said he watched the first plane crash". He didn't.

2014-02-06T16:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431463917097738240

@ConspiracyWATCH They are all very vague, and the bunk is largely in the inference. #11, the missing $2.3 Trillion.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2014-02-06T05:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431297615394009088

@martinhume @Hsaive Seriously? The KC-10 leaving aerodynamic contrails? AGAIN? After, what, three years?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2014-02-06T05:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431293054667214848

@RedShinyButton Thanks! I appreciate it.

2014-02-05T15:50:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431092419333861376

@edaguilo You know that simply saying something is not "exposing" it. Where's her evidence?

2014-02-05T15:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431092183412654080

@iain39 @AlilLupo @V_for_Val Well, can you actually point out something there that is wrong? I'll fix it.

2014-02-05T15:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/431091726501941248

@VartanianAlbert @GrnGalFrmSoCal @jace3312 Yes, but this is something that has been observed for 60 years. More planes now. Varies w weather

---

2014-02-05T05:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430942199144923136

@4thAnon Looks the same color as clouds in the setting sun. Are these clouds dirty?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfsDpZACAAIuenz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfsDpZACAAIuenz.jpg

2014-02-05T03:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430910732016685057

@4thAnon where exactly? Link and time?

2014-02-05T01:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430874327223771136

@4thAnon Everything is possible. The question is what there is evidence to support. Contrails look different based on lighting. Like clouds

---

2014-02-04T23:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430844996627877888

@4thAnon It appears to show aircraft leaving normal contrails. But what happened to the "unmarked jets" meme?

2014-02-04T23:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430841558275866624

@jref Excellent! Might want to update the website though :) http://www.amazingmeeting.com/

2014-02-04T22:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430835936130117632

Debunked: Photo of demon on hospital bed: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-demon-photographed-on-a-hospital-bed.3057/

2014-02-04T00:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430496262358446080

@Hsaive Which page describes anything about "chemtrails"?

2014-02-03T19:04:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430416539485868032

@ConspiracyWATCH have you actually tried debunking them?

2014-02-03T17:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430387837632925697

@Sheilaaliens It was a set of tests to see how far things spread in the wind. They uses substances thought to be harmless. Cold war research

---

2014-02-03T07:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430238753903230976

@KiSS925 Here's the explanation for the eyes changing:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/

2014-02-03T07:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430238238997893120

@ERiCA_BUUCk Check out the explanation here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/

2014-02-03T07:05:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430235480894881792

@GuruBethAnne @HuffPostCaMusic There is an explanations here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/

2014-02-03T07:04:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430235351529975809

@star_curl It's explained here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/ @lovatheo @wmybtheo @SwaggyDemiRauhl

---

2014-02-03T07:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430234803535769601

The explanation for Beiber's "reptile" eyes.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfiARi2CYAAaM1n.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfiARi2CYAAaM1n.jpg

2014-02-03T06:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430231839060414464

@Sheilaaliens People bring it up all the time. I've discussed it quite a bit.

2014-02-02T22:08:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430100514093555712

@karinebio13 @ModernCrap @Chemtrails_818 If contrails can't be persistent, then how can clouds be persistent? They are both just water.

2014-02-02T19:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430055306559635456

Reports that Hoffman's death was a hoax were themselves a hoax - a fake death story story generator for celebrities.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-internet-death-hoax-story-generator-on-mediamass.3046/#post-87502

2014-02-02T18:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430041946984579072

@ModernCrap @Chemtrails_818 @karinebio13 No. What you are seeing are just persistent contrails. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2014-02-02T16:59:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/430022558155427840

@WhiteSkyBlues @JoeMomasNuts @CAchemtrails Wind blowing through trees? What does it mean?

2014-02-02T04:18:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429831038282784768

@JoeMomasNuts @WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Planes fly along the same route. Wind spreads old contrails as they sink.

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2014-02-02T04:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429830550304862208

@JTylerHagen What did you expect it to do?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-02-01T02:38:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429443663958003712

@HondasAreGay @BasedPaco See explanation:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-02-01T02:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429437960237244417

@TheIndividuals Just regular snow. The water is wicked to the interior, the black is soot. See explanation: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-02-01T02:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429437335571156993

@HollyWest I'll make one with flammable ice.

2014-01-31T20:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429351034222161920

@SCCBBTS @Chemtrails_818 It's behind that hill.

2014-01-31T20:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429350792215007232

@SCCBBTS @Chemtrails_818 Contrails sometimes spread out to cover the sky. Like 60 years ago. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfVcRUZCAAAttHb.jpg

Vapor Trails Bane To Pilots, Former News-Post Photog Says

Vapor trails similar to the one which a high-flying airplane left over this city early in February are a bane to pilots since they are a "dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners," Tech. Sergt. Robert L. Yinger, former News - Post photographer, writes from Muroc, Calif., where he is stationed with the 336th Bomber Squadron.

Sergt. Yinger said he read with interest the account of the long vapor trails left by the unidentified aircraft which passed over this city and which were viewed by many residents. The trails have appeared as a narrow ribbon of dense white smoke unwinding from the plane until it disappeared below the horizon.

He said the vapor trails are termed "contrail," a contraction for condensation trail, and "are not so much a rarity here in the west, possibly due to the dryness of the atmosphere."

"It is quite common to see several large trails every day, produced by high altitude bombers. The maneuvering of the aircraft results frequently in interesting patterns. Contrails are a headache to combat pilots and are very difficult to control. Apparently they result only when the atmosphere is at a certain temperature and humidity."

Sergt. Yinger then makes this interesting observation: "Contrails frequently have a tendency to cause a complete overcast and cause rain. In Idaho I have seen contrails formed in a perfectly clear sky and four hours later a complete overcast resulted.

"But one of the oddest effects I have even seen and which has never been satisfactorily explained to me is that when an aircraft producing a vapor trail enters a cloud formation, the vapor trail stops and a perfectly clear tunnel is bored through to the other side. As soon as the ship emerges, the trail begins again. This may be caused by precipitation of the water vapor in the cloud. While most trails persist for several hours, some are only of short duration, giving the appearance of the wake of a ship in water.

"The complete elimination or control of contrails would be a great advancement for aerial warfare, since they are a dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners.

"Notwithstanding the seriousness of contrails to high altitude flying, I saw one pilot over Los Angeles who was making good use of it. He was sky-writing with his P-39, to the amazement of onlookers."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfVcRUZCAAAttHb.jpg

2014-01-31T06:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429134668378537984

@aboveawhisper1 Perhaps they are calling for it because they personally will greatly benefit from it?

2014-01-31T05:07:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429118698243104768

@aboveawhisper1 But wasn't the monetary system different back then? On the gold standard and all? Isn't his call for more paper money odd?

2014-01-31T02:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429086548609359872

@Chemtrails_818 Well, really he just holds a flame to the snow, and blackens it a bit. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-31T02:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429082498505515008

@regisjack Requests taken :)

2014-01-31T00:32:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429049614881128448

@KristenMeghan I'm not sure what your point is there? Are you saying it has contaminants, or just pointing out it's mostly air?

2014-01-31T00:06:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429042858931142656

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @PeterSchiff And how does it compare with ice? Look, that goes black too! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfRENPhCEAA-siJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfRENPhCEAA-siJ.jpg

2014-01-31T00:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429042189138526208

@ShepardAmbellas The "fake snow" story is bogus. Just normal snow. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/ This ice isn't "burning" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfRDmQRCcAAOEC4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfRDmQRCcAAOEC4.jpg

2014-01-30T23:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429026330965856256

@OhSmyle Perhaps you could tell me what you think I missed? The 77L rubbermaid garbage can? Was it clean?

2014-01-30T22:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429025830069485568

@Prepostericity @Hsaive what point did I avoid? And what errors have you found on http://contrailscience.com?

2014-01-30T22:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429015682802397185

@OhSmyle That video starts out with the stuff in it. You'd need some kind of description of where it came from. Fresh snow?

2014-01-30T22:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429011428821827584

@EvaDGiGi @VartanianAlbert @jace3312 No, it's white from the marine layer. At least on the Westside. Low clouds.

2014-01-30T21:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429010267612004352

@OhSmyle Source?

2014-01-30T21:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429009989479317508

@Prepostericity Now if we can't be polite, then the conversation won't go very far. I'm Mick

West, former video game programmer. Look it up.

2014-01-30T21:16:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/429000209599721472

@jace3312 @Hsaive @martinhume A challenge: on what page exactly does it describe "chemtrails" in any way?

2014-01-30T21:11:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428998906953736192

@VartanianAlbert @jace3312 See my explanation here: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2014-01-30T21:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428998794311507969

@OhSmyle What's that?

2014-01-30T21:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428998689940463616

@OhSmyle @sambotta Either A) the lighter smells. Or B) they burnt something, like their gloves. Maybe both.

2014-01-30T21:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428998371810869248

@Prepostericity Nobody is paying me anything. But maybe you could point out any actually errors on my sites?

2014-01-30T20:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428985978405916672

@Hsaive It's just a chemistry textbook named after the air force cadet handbook "contrails". https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual.352/

2014-01-30T20:18:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428985554982547456

@TimBravo It's explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T19:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428971534573506560

@sambotta Here's an explanation of why it seems not to be melting. It's just getting wetter, turning to slush: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T19:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428971282370027520

@UnreportedNews1 Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T19:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428970651626393601

@TheGlobalElite @WTFRLY Fake snow explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T19:14:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428969570414891008

@jace3312 Does the document say they are doing SRM geoengineering? No. Hence what are you claiming as evidence here?

2014-01-30T19:10:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428968414196297728

@JRReporting I think "bunk removed!". I know it's a bit of a perception problem though. Still, it's a most descriptive term.

2014-01-30T19:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428967980643667968

@nine11inreverse I think you'll find more common ground there than you anticipate. I'm no fan of US foreign policy.

2014-01-30T19:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428967735469805568

@JRReporting No. It's a local weather modification technique. The weather is not climate.

2014-01-30T18:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428965373413974017

@jace3312 Like strapping a pregnant woman to a table, spinning her round until the baby pops out into a net. Patented.

2014-01-30T18:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428965142131671040

@jace3312 And patents don't prove usage. There are lots of patents that are either unworkable, or speculative.

2014-01-30T18:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428965002805280768

@jace3312 Explain what you think they mean. You know crop dusting is injecting aerosols.

2014-01-30T18:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428964410041065472

@nine11inreverse @RealAmerican8 I think you need to be a tad more specific. Might be better suited for http://metabunk.org

---

2014-01-30T18:53:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428964173192904704

@JRReporting I think you are referring to cloud seeding? On record since the 1950's. Does not make clouds or visible trails.

2014-01-30T18:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428963456705105920

@jace3312 Check the science yourself. Let me know if you find an error.

2014-01-30T18:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428963343840591873

@martinhume @Hsaive And your link is about CFCs in hairspray. Irrelevant.

2014-01-30T18:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428963123857727488

@martinhume @Hsaive Crop dusting is aerosol spraying. That's not banned.

2014-01-30T18:48:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428962911806324736

@JRReporting The lighter will smell if you hold it under something for a long time. Also possible from burning gloves.

2014-01-30T18:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428958418691293185

@jace3312 Debunked here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T18:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428958357265715200

@JRReporting Debunked here.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-30T18:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428958247022628864

Fake snow debunked:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfP3QLmCEAALL-X.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfP3QLmCEAALL-X.jpg

2014-01-30T18:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428957714144710656

@OhSmyle See here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2014-01-29T17:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428581684716396544

I was not sharing my opinion. I was sharing a 1972 book on clouds. It explains how contrails can

persist and spread.

2014-01-29T17:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428581334571683841

Chemtrail Theorists Challenge! Why say these are"chemtrails", old books say "contrails"

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfKgc_0CEAARX2O.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfKgc_0CEAARX2O.jpg

2014-01-29T17:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428579839566544896

@Implicado @Fi_anna Contrails. 1972:

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfKfF99CcAAz64F.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfKfF99CcAAz64F.jpg

2014-01-29T01:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428341444940554240

@GhostHunterBlog The ghostly photo seems like it's just a reflection.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-latoya-ammons-demon-house-photo.3016/

2014-01-29T01:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/428341323372822528
@EITMonline The ghostly photo is explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-latoya-ammons-demon-house-photo.3016/

2014-01-29T01:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/428341222789246977
@webaddict @CarisaMackey The ghostly photo is explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-latoya-ammons-demon-house-photo.3016/

2014-01-29T01:32:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/428339906675347456
Popular ghost image debunked.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-latoya-ammons-demon-house-photo.3016/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfHE4B-CEAEIwmu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfHE4B-CEAEIwmu.jpg
2014-01-28T18:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/428231755397488640
@facereplacer Sounds very reasonable. Great explanation of sounding rockets and the "chemical trails" they leave.

2014-01-28T05:17:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/428034046480764929
@WhiteSkyBlues Sounds about right :)

2014-01-28T02:23:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427990244349063168
@str8creepin Oops, sorry, I think I clicked reply too much.

2014-01-28T02:22:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427989959299964930
@WhiteSkyBlues @str8creepin @mjauble1Yes, like in this 1894 book: Cloudland, by W. Clement Ley. Look it up. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfCGmaICQAAw5NZ.jpg

---



CHAPTER I

THE ATMOSPHERE

1. Clouds have been correctly defined by Dickson as "portions of the atmosphere which, from natural causes, have become temporarily visible." They are aggregates of particles floating in the air, generally, but not necessarily, particles of water or ice. It will therefore be useful, before proceeding to analyse the various forms of cloud and their bearing on weather, to try and fix in our minds some ideas of the nature of this atmosphere and of the processes taking place in it which affect the formation of cloud.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfCGmalCQAAw5NZ.jpg

2014-01-28T00:27:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/427961071878406145

@str8creepin Almost certainly all for spies. Low tech, even then, but reliable.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Numbers_station

2014-01-28T00:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/427960735524610048

@str8creepin @mjauble1 @WhiteSkyBlues It left the region of air that was humid enough. Like invisible clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfBsBXjCMAA4M1C.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BfBsBXjCMAA4M1C.jpg
2014-01-27T19:51:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427891663873118208
@ufophil Nope. Explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/ See @rbutrcom's link
http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1453334

2014-01-27T19:51:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427891524408320000
@area51org Debunked here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/ See @rbutrcom's link
http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1453334 @AlienNeighbor

---

2014-01-27T17:55:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427862439439241216
@scottymac769 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Why wouldn't it happen? Looks like contrails and a cirrus layer.

2014-01-27T17:53:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427862051772329984
@Leevolver Atoptics is a great site for explaining those:
http://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/common.htm

2014-01-27T17:52:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427861732485124096
@mjauble1 @WhiteSkyBlues That's an "aerodynamic contrail" making the colors. It's not spraying.

2014-01-26T03:34:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427283472512602112
@KristenMeghan @EdwinAA I never claimed otherwise. I point things out. You can verify them.

2014-01-26T00:15:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427233402098442240
@Jeremt007T I don't want to go over each point via Twitter. You can discuss it on http://metabunk.org if you like.

2014-01-25T23:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427218696830873601
@Jeremt007T There's this: http://screwloosechange.blogspot.com/ but a lot of the links there are out of date.

2014-01-25T19:23:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427159781862682624
People seem unusually interesting in "FEMA Coffins" today. Here's the detailed debunk:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fema-coffins-plastic-grave-liners.904/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Be2Tjq5CUAAUsfi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Be2Tjq5CUAAUsfi.jpg
2014-01-25T14:27:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/427085294030954497
@4thAnon That's a case AGAINST RFID, and only ankle bands. They say it's security theater. Nothing to do with implants.

2014-01-25T06:11:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/426960605161857024
@4thAnon Not implants. Just wristbands. No different to barcodes.

2014-01-24T20:43:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/426817521711185920
@johnsonmaurine @4thAnon
http://www.forbes.com/sites/jeffbercovici/2011/06/14/why-some-psychopaths-make-great-ceos/
"the incidence of psychopathy amongst CEOs is about 4%" (4x normal)

2014-01-24T20:41:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/426817087089041408
@Rene_devries They used things that they thought were harmless. Not experimenting one people. Seeing how far the wind spreads things.

2014-01-24T18:23:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426782242212306944

@4thAnon what, exactly? Mandatory implants are not real.

2014-01-24T17:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426772437825368064

@4thAnon So, re: compulsory RFID, you are just saying "sounds like something I think they would do", not that there's any evidence for it?

2014-01-24T17:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426772143708176385

@johnsonmaurine @4thAnon I think it was more like 5%. Source?

2014-01-24T17:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426772016209752064

@facereplacer https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bewy4zKCEAEicWG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bewy4zKCEAEicWG.jpg

2014-01-24T05:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426593357976973312

@4thAnon Debunked!

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mandatory-microchips-in-newborn-european-babies-rumour-via-wikileaks.2982/

2014-01-24T05:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426591068293844992

@TrueBlue444 I simply don't think that it is happening.

2014-01-23T20:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426457219677184003

@TrueBlue444 Even if it's 110Â°F on the ground, it's still -40Â°F at some altitude that planes fly.

2014-01-23T17:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426401018729857024

@simonconroy @martinhume @ShareThis I beleive she says that blasting was one use, be she thought there was too much for that. All very vague

---

2014-01-23T17:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426400838173478912

@facereplacer Clouds ARE regions. They are part of the air mass. The air mass moves as one thing, like a river. See: http://hint.fm/wind/

2014-01-23T06:39:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426242868861075456

@AbruptAwakening What am I going to do with your info? Just sign up anonymously.

2014-01-23T01:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426163997956517888

Ingredient of an egg. A normal natural egg. (Via: http://jameskennedymonash.wordpress.com/2014/01/11/bananablueberryegg-ingredients-posters-pdfs/) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeoJ5eVCAAAcBuD.jpg

---

INGREDIENTS OF AN ALL-NATURAL EGG



INGREDIENTS: AQUA (75.8%), **AMINO ACIDS (12.6%)** (GLUTAMIC ACID (14%), ASPARTIC ACID (11%), VALINE (9%), ARGININE (8%), LEUCINE (8%), LYSINE (7%), SERINE (7%), PHENYLALANINE (6%), ALANINE (5%), ISOLEUCINE (5%), PROLINE (4%), TYROSINE (3%), THREONINE (3%), GLYCINE (3%), HISTIDINE (2%), METHIONINE (3%), CYSTINE (2%), TRYPTOPHAN (1%)); **FATTY ACIDS (9.9%)** (OCTADECENOIC ACID (45%), HEXADECANOIC ACID (32%), OCTADECANOIC ACID (12%), EICOSATETRAENOIC ACID (3%), EICOSANOIC ACID (2%), DOCOSANOIC ACID (1%), TETRACOSANOIC ACID (1%), OCTANOIC ACID (<1%), DECANOIC ACID (<1%), DODECANOIC ACID (<1%), TETRADECANOIC ACID (<1%), PENTADECANOIC ACID (<1%), HEPTADECANOIC ACID (<1%), TETRADECENOIC ACID (<1%), HEXADECENOIC ACID (<1%), EICOSENOIC ACID (<1%), DOCOSENOIC ACID (<1%), OMEGA-6 FATTY ACID: OCTADECADIENOIC ACID (12%), OMEGA-3 FATTY ACID: OCTADECATRIENOIC ACID (<1%), EICOSAPENTAENOIC ACID (EPA) (<1%), OMEGA-3 FATTY ACID: DOCOSAHEXAENOIC ACID (DHA) (<1%)); **SUGARS (0.8%)** (GLUCOSE (30%), SUCROSE (15%), FRUCTOSE (15%), LACTOSE (15%), MALTOSE (15%), GALACTOSE (15%)); **COLOUR** (E160c, E160a), E306, E101; **FLAVOURS** (PHENYLACETALDEHYDE, DODECA-2-ENAL, HEPTA-2-ENAL, HEXADECANAL, OCTADECANAL, PENTAN-2-ONE, BUTAN-2-ONE, ACETALDEHYDE, FORMALDEHYDE, ACETONE); SHELL (E170), ALSO CONTAINS BENZENE & BENZENE DERIVATIVES, ESTERS, FURANS, SULFUR-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS AND TERPENES.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeoJ5eVCAAAcBuD.jpg

2014-01-23T00:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426154880831459328

@JtbuffBuff @DeptofWeather @eddiebravo If it was normal then you would see it every day. How often do you see it?

2014-01-23T00:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426154463888293888

@facereplacer Those are just contrails. The AWACs contrail stops because he reduces throttle to idle for separation.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/awacs-being-refueled-with-off-on-contrails.816/

2014-01-22T23:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426134768762101760

@facereplacer A cloud is a region of humid air. They often have sharp edges. The contrail simply reveals where these regions of air are.

2014-01-22T22:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426125463119859712

@facereplacer Just that volume of air, like how a cloud can only exist where it is. See: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2014-01-22T21:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426103344696811520

@iain39 @martinhume Nope, it broke into pieces. As physics would dictate.

2014-01-22T21:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426103113586450432

@AbruptAwakening Unlike Twitter :)

2014-01-22T21:24:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426103004643614720

@facereplacer @joerogan @TheRealRoseanne I'm not a "chemtrail denier", I'm a "lack of actual chemtrail evidence pointer outer" :)

2014-01-22T21:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426101629541031936

@simonconroy @martinhume @ShareThis What was she specific about? She does not even mention a specific chemical compound, just elements.

2014-01-22T19:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426072042119524352

@martinhume @sharethis No, because she does not actually provide any evidence. Just vague claims about quantities of expected chemicals.

2014-01-22T17:48:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426048820724518913

@maschaa Not it's not serious: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mandatory-microchips-in-newborn-european-babies-rumour-via-wikileaks.2982/ See @rbutrcom's link http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1378845 @iiaamAMT

2014-01-22T17:48:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/426048671084322816
@elzhi_fan @charlesfrith @AbbyMartin @RealProfGriff @topinfopost Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-mandatory-microchips-in-newborn-european-babies-rumour-via-wikileaks.2982/ See @rbutrcom
http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1378845

2014-01-21T22:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425754444538474496
@trutherbot Good point. All those bogus theories are distracting from the real issues.

2014-01-21T19:15:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425708335245979648
@DailyMirror Debunked:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/

2014-01-21T19:12:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425707437392281601
@glxp Nothing at all.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/ See @rbutrcom
http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1365359 @lempath @harmon78 @radiopatriawhrw @27_johannes

---

2014-01-21T19:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425707236501893120
@SachinKalbag @ranjona There is a response here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/ See @rbutrcom's link
http://rbutr.com/rbutr/WebsiteServlet?requestType=showLink&linkId=1365359

2014-01-21T19:03:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425705305192677376
@rbutrcom Emailed you a bug fix for the Mirror url rewriting. Just wanted to check you got it.

2014-01-21T15:38:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425653710954315777
@2ndfor1st @JasonBermas He's talking about terrorists. You think the terrorists shot down the plane? Obvious he misspoke.

2014-01-21T15:37:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/425653285811277824
@2ndfor1st @JasonBermas By zooming in a little so you can read the words.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kids-saying-kite-hit-steel-plane-must-in-class-on-9-11.2626/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Beg5aHpCAAAFGrN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Beg5aHpCAAAFGrN.jpg

2014-01-21T05:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425494834074251264

@bosma2002 Susan Lindauer is not a credible witness. What verifiable evidence did she give?

2014-01-21T04:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425486728195870720

@JasonBermas "We saw no pieces of the aircraft that were larger than, maybe, a human hand."
http://www.airdisaster.com/special/special-pa1771.shtml

2014-01-21T02:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425462339895164928

@JasonBermas Seems unlikely. But it was just presented as "found at the site", not worn. Maybe it was in luggage? Who knows?

2014-01-21T02:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425462119505485824

@JasonBermas Why is it ludicrous exactly? Have you done the math?

2014-01-21T02:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425448992600625153

@JasonBermas Or you think the in-air event just blew a few bits of paper out the window?

2014-01-21T02:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425448005471191040

@JasonBermas Which facts? The lack of a wide debris field? The finding the plane buried in the field? Not those facts.

2014-01-21T01:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425446776254902273

@JasonBermas If it was shot down, then there would be a vast debris field of metallic objects. There wasn't. So what do you think happened?

2014-01-21T01:53:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425445980834512896

@JasonBermas I explained this when we talked. It was largely buried in the soil. Planes usually crash at a much lower speed.

2014-01-21T01:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425443351114964993

@JasonBermas And this video shows the wind blowing in that direction, and significant updraft, several thousand feet. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=POkBYsJKU3E

2014-01-21T01:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425441919867420673

@JasonBermas Fireball would inevitable carry lots of stuff quite high, and then it would drift with the wind. Arguing from incredulity.

2014-01-21T01:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425441353829343232

@rbutrcom Hi (Shane?) yes I do. I keep meaning to rbut more. Saw your talks at TAM. Great stuff.

2014-01-21T01:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425438812701876225

@JasonBermas Yes, a 300 foot high mountain range. Then a 1,000 foot descent. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bed2WIpCYAAZG1a.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bed2WIpCYAAZG1a.jpg

2014-01-21T01:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425434391855128576

@JasonBermas "Debris" was bits of paper carried aloft by fireball and then blown in the wind. NB is lower. Just 200 ft hill in-between.

2014-01-20T20:47:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425369049447415808

@LawAbidingHuman @JtbuffBuff Why not? Did some new information come to light?

2014-01-20T05:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425141273255624704

@ThomasB_lll @EyEaMcOsMiC Those are ship trails.
https://www.google.com/search?q=ship+trails&espv=210&es_sm=119&tbm=isch

---

2014-01-20T05:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425141124764692480

@Moe_Fugger_72 Or it was the weather?

2014-01-20T01:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425074281597263872

@aboveawhisper1They can be answered, just not clearly explained in 140 characters.

2014-01-19T22:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/425035651994492928

@aboveawhisper1 Again this is not the best forum to discuss complex topics. They get oversimplified. Try Metabunk.

2014-01-19T19:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424985905024086019
@aboveawhisper1 Common sense has nothing to do with pixels and angles. It's just straightforward math.

2014-01-19T19:23:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424985504656805888
@Chemtrails_818 You'd have to post some evidence of that, because I hardly ever saw contrails in the summer.

2014-01-19T14:03:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424904972363235328
@aboveawhisper1 Yeah, 140 characters. You can't see them at that resolution. Take it to Metabunk if you want to get into specifics.

2014-01-19T14:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424904628266729472
@Chemtrails_818 I said "very few" not "none". There are far more in winter in SoCal. Similar to rain.

2014-01-19T14:01:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424904370694533123
@RalfBuelow It's just an image processing artifact on the Google Earth version. As per: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/

2014-01-19T05:58:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424782970948313089
@aboveawhisper1 Okay. They can see them if they are big enough. The ones you did not see were not big enough.

2014-01-19T05:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424782593175715841
@aboveawhisper1 @Bostonchemtrail Do you have some timestamped photos of the SC trails? perhaps they were narrow?
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2014-01-16&map=-85.733765,29.96814,-78.030151,34.630737

2014-01-19T05:53:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424781593224282112
@aboveawhisper1 Some of them do. And it depends on what image the weather station uses. You want to get into specifics?

2014-01-19T05:17:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/424772515349557248
@aboveawhisper1 My Zaprude comment referred to the low resolution image. One can always have higher resolution.

2014-01-19T05:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424772276672684032

@aboveawhisper1 Earlier you showed a low resolution image. Now you show a higher resolution image.

2014-01-19T05:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424772073420881920

@aboveawhisper1 It's a photograph. What it can distinguish depends on the the size of the object, and the resolution of the photo. That's it

---

2014-01-19T05:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424768894851493888

@Chemtrails_818 There were very few contrails in 818 over the summer.

2014-01-19T04:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424768151281086464

@aboveawhisper1 It's not impossible. It's a simple function of size and resolution. Take it it to Metabunk if you want to argue details.

2014-01-19T01:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424722109466570753

@GrimLogik @joerogan Debunking is very similar to debugging. You drill down, find the root problem. Fix it. Verify everything. Document it.

2014-01-19T01:54:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424721585707024384

@Chemtrails_818 Summer goes bye bye every year. It's January now, prime contrail season in LA

2014-01-18T22:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424671113939931136

Looks even more alien if you zoom in: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeS8IMmCQAAGZHz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeS8IMmCQAAGZHz.jpg

2014-01-18T22:32:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424670616940052480

@Freizeit_Tiger I don't think you are taking this entirely seriously :-) OMG, there a face in this tweet!!!

2014-01-18T22:27:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424669284216741888

Found a face on the moon! Proof of aliens! Google Moon, @ 21.764747,143.748972

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeS6dsICIAAQ4um.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeS6dsICIAAQ4um.jpg

2014-01-18T21:25:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424653778684358656

Debunked: Alien Base on the Moon, Triangle of Dots is just image artifact.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-alien-base-on-the-moon-triangle-of-dots-photo-artifact.2965/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeSsXJfCQAAN_P-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeSsXJfCQAAN_P-.jpg

2014-01-18T19:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424631817254084608

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo No sure what you mean there? Photo of the UN with aged contrails? Plenty of old contrail photos around.

2014-01-18T19:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424631452802617344

@aboveawhisper1 Can you point out where it's in error, regarding contrails?

2014-01-18T19:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424625033109991424

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo These are all things you can check yourself. Like this photo from 1994: http://www.flickr.com/photos/thierry62/3429012926/

2014-01-18T19:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424624717710905344

@WiMaxJJ @aboveawhisper1 I would not call that "normal". It's an unusually dense grouping of contrails.

2014-01-18T19:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424624257516048384

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo More flights, more routes, more small jets, colder running engines, more high altitude flights with RVSM.

2014-01-18T19:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424623361818247168

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Only in the winter round there. It depends on the weather. There are more now though. Plus you are looking for them.

2014-01-18T19:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424623107345625088

@aboveawhisper1 And of course, people pay attention (and take photos), only because they heard about the chemtrail theory.

2014-01-18T19:22:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424622932325711872

@aboveawhisper1 Not quite that much, but it has increased. Also more routes, colder (more contrail likely) engines, and more small jets.

2014-01-18T19:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424622241163141120

@eddiebravo I saw them too, and took some photos:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/contrails-at-night.2964/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeSPraiCIAA_yUy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeSPraiCIAA_yUy.jpg

2014-01-18T19:17:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424621505884852224

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Planes are at different altitudes. Didn't you read the link? http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-01-18T17:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424600361089769474

@aboveawhisper1 Yeah, but is there any evidence they are not just persistent contrails?

2014-01-18T17:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424600063076073472

@aboveawhisper1 But just because people benefit from something, it does not follow they caused it. Do "Big Construction" create earthquakes?

2014-01-18T17:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424599611798335489

@aboveawhisper1 Because there's no great benefit from doing so. Very unlikely incident to be repeated.

2014-01-18T17:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424587815607754752

@aboveawhisper1 Selectively? What did I miss about contrails? http://contrailscience.com/

2014-01-18T16:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424584573654024192

@aboveawhisper1 That's a bit strong. I have actually looked into the contrail thing quite a bit.

2014-01-18T16:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424577841628344321

@aboveawhisper1 When were you a kid, and where approximately? The history of contrail

activity varies with location.

2014-01-18T15:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424567145846931456

Some pretty contrails over Los Angeles last night, illuminated by the moon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeRdkcgCEAAR56_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeRdkcgCEAAR56_.jpg

2014-01-18T14:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424551972478279681

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Atmospheric conditions.

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2014-01-18T14:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424551708023218176

@Yuuki_Cha It's all discussed in the thread. I don't understand why you are attacking Metabunk. I was debunking the video.

2014-01-18T14:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424551130283003904

@Yuuki_Cha I have added a link

2014-01-18T06:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424426885703938048

@Yuuki_Cha I did it to see what the result was - and it was very very low. It was then discussed why the results were meaningless.

2014-01-18T00:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424332760069570562

RT @Daniel_Loxton: "When there is insufficient skepticism, every idea is as good as every other. That's the same as having no ideas at all.â□¦

2014-01-17T18:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424247920880144384

@Yuuki_Cha I'm surry, but you are really barking up the wrong tree. The thread discusses the inaccuracies in the test. I'm on your side.

2014-01-17T18:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/424247317189783552

@aboveawhisper1 Doesn't the video have references?

2014-01-17T01:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423992013558542336

RT @joerogan: Joe Rogan on Conspiracies, Chemtrails, and Questioning Everything (form Joe Rogan Experience #385): http://youtu.be/EWOF2GJ_Smw?a via

---

2014-01-17T01:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423990850297659392

@aboveawhisper1 I know about tally sticks. They at least have things written about them. "Crypto" is a bit of a stretch. Record with copy.

2014-01-16T17:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423866327229427712

@paj1985 @Moe_Fugger_72 Can you demonstrate any of them being fake?

2014-01-16T16:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423849243502075906

@aboveawhisper1 You have not provided ANY source other than a video. What references do they give?

2014-01-16T15:36:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423841292569702400

@aboveawhisper1 Where is it written down?

2014-01-16T15:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423834689648873473

@Yuuki_Cha Sorry, I think you misunderstand what I was doing. I think your tea is fine. The video was bogus bad science.

2014-01-16T15:08:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423834214778564608

@aboveawhisper1 No I don't. You brought it up. So where is a description of how it worked?

2014-01-16T15:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423833943922581504

@aboveawhisper1 This might make a good topic for Metabunk. Why don't you start a thread there, then we can get into technical detail.

2014-01-16T00:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423608483926118400

@MorningEdition @nprnews Also Pigeon Cameras!
http://twistedsifter.com/2012/05/history-of-pigeon-camera-photography/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeD1q_ICAAAPfLI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeD1q_ICAAAPfLI.jpg
2014-01-15T23:25:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423596928207572993
@Jerminator33 When strange things happen, people guess as to what might cause it. Theories are refined as more is known.

2014-01-15T23:24:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423596648288092160
@Moe_Fugger_72 You are. Check out these old photos of contrails
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2014-01-15T23:23:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423596428242341888
@Jerminator33 Let's see, it's either: A) Faulty Sound System. B) HAARP causing an Earthquake later. Assign probabilities.

2014-01-15T23:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423596041359732736
@Moe_Fugger_72 And yet other people DO remember it, and the books, and the photographic record, side with them.

2014-01-15T23:21:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423595898195570689
@Jerminator33 140 characters :)

2014-01-15T23:18:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423595091647664128
@Jerminator33 (Rays field reporter Todd Kalas reports)
http://www.sportsgrid.com/mlb/tropicana-field-is-haunted-by-g-g-g-g-ghooooooosts/

2014-01-15T23:18:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423595015214862336
@Jerminator33 "the noise was feedback from speakers that were affected when Tropicana Field took a direct lightning strike."

2014-01-15T23:16:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/423594544211304448
@Moe_Fugger_72 Look up cirrus clouds. They are clouds made of tiny ice crystals. Contrails are

the same thing. You can see cirrus clouds.

2014-01-15T23:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423593385509675008

@Jerminator33 Now why would "something freaky" be a more likely explanation that "audio system malfunctioning after lightning strike"

2014-01-15T23:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423591656101670912

@Moe_Fugger_72 It would if it were -40 degrees and the air was ice supersaturated.

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-condensation-but-not-like-your-breath/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeDmXehCAAE6LcB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BeDmXehCAAE6LcB.jpg

2014-01-15T23:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423590912724172800

@Jerminator33 What does it matter? There are any number of possible explanations.

2014-01-15T22:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423589422433464320

@Moe_Fugger_72 But what if they were just persistent contrails?

2014-01-15T22:55:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423589257223999488

@freshnsteezy I think the idea is that you are actually seeing contrails, and just calling them "chemtrails".

2014-01-15T22:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423589078714433537

@Jerminator33 Nope, that's a real noise.

2014-01-15T19:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423531851047657473

@martinhume @Hsaive He's confusing correlation with causation. The "zone" is defining the contrails, not the other way around. Humidity area

---

2014-01-15T19:00:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423530026810617857

@RealAlexJones Don't forget that Begich is not actually a doctor. More of a homeopathy salesman.

2014-01-15T18:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423527388685688833

@rothschildmd Indeed. I should have stuck with video games. Shilling for the man pays remarkably poorly. :)

2014-01-15T18:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423523499693858816

@rothschildmd Thanks Mike, ditto with the Skeptoid stuff.

2014-01-15T18:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423523210383339520

@Yuuki_Cha But the point of the story is that the video is a bogus method of getting any useful results. It's fearmongering pseudoscience.

2014-01-15T18:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423522988320096256

@Yuuki_Cha Why is it ridiculous. It's a tiny, harmless amount. Well within safe limits. For most foods in EU/US the limit is over 1000 bq/Kg

---

2014-01-15T18:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423521042477629440

@Jerminator33 There seems to be a bunch of faked videos out there. But there are also some real noises, heard for 100s of years.

2014-01-15T06:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423335644652261377

@aboveawhisper1 Colonial Scrip? Where should I read about that?

2014-01-15T06:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423335458223833089

@4thAnon It's just a Sorcha Faal story, so bogus nonsense.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-iran-claims-snowden-documents-proving-%E2%80%9Cus-alien-hitler%E2%80%9D-link-stun-russia-sorcha-faal.2945/

2014-01-15T03:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423301507862130688

@aboveawhisper1 it's certainly LESS relevant. The 1930s were a different world.

2014-01-15T01:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423258478015037440

@aboveawhisper1 The Business Plot. 80 years ago.

2014-01-15T00:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423258114331144192

@aboveawhisper1 Fun and networking.

2014-01-14T23:51:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423241002120249344

@aboveawhisper1 For fun.

2014-01-14T23:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423240969060757504

@aboveawhisper1 Uncovered? War is a racket. I largely agree with what he said there.

2014-01-14T23:20:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423233254154457088

@aboveawhisper1 The commies.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-we-are-opposed-around-the-world-by-a-monolithic-and-ruthless-conspiracy.162/

2014-01-14T23:20:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423233074441101312

@aboveawhisper1 What logic? What angular resolution are we talking about here?

2014-01-14T23:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423231379548028928

@aboveawhisper1 Satellite imagery is constrained by physics. Spy satellites can't give you a weather loop because they are not geostationary

---

2014-01-14T20:36:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/423191923692560384

@rothschildmd And also contributing to the general "the world is ending" idea, to sell general survivalist type stuff.

2014-01-14T06:49:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422983860196876288

@aboveawhisper1 You understand the different distances involved in the satellites?

2014-01-14T05:25:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422962741221920769

@aboveawhisper1 Check out that worldview site. Scroll and zoom. Change date at the bottom. Check multiple days
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2013-10-25&map=-88.983596,23.862671,-77.540237,33.346069

2014-01-14T05:23:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422962084259717120

@aboveawhisper1 But you CAN see them on the MODIS images at noon. MODIS (Aqua and Terra) are a lot closer. e.g.

https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?switch=geographic&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2014-01-06&map=-90.34436,26.013062,-78.901001,35.49646

2014-01-14T05:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422961566242189312

@aboveawhisper1 Convenient? It's physics. You can't see them because the geostationary satellites can't see them, being 22,236 miles up.

2014-01-14T05:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422958201546350592

@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues It's hard to get persistent contrails on demand. What were they supposed to do, wait a week?

2014-01-14T05:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422957974613544961

@aboveawhisper1 I can get more technical if you are interested.

2014-01-14T05:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422957864542433280

@aboveawhisper1 Exactly. Contrails are too thin to show up on that. It's like expecting to see individual hairs on the Zarpruder footage.

2014-01-14T05:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422957549680201728

@aboveawhisper1 Not yet.

2014-01-14T04:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422948181018546176

@aboveawhisper1 Science Yo. It's actually true, if you look into it.

2014-01-14T04:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422947733662478338

@aboveawhisper1 If you zoom in you can see them: https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2014-01-14T04:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422947562270621696

@aboveawhisper1 Because they are too small.

2014-01-14T04:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422947433497112576

@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues Here's a 1980 news report on how contrails affect the weather. It's not a new thing. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ds6Z0-Xk7-E

2014-01-14T04:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422947115367555072

@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues Of course they affect the weather. Who said they didn't? They block the sun a bit, and trap heat at night.

2014-01-14T04:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422946220412137472

@aboveawhisper1 Or do you actually believe in the "chemtrail" theory?

2014-01-14T04:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422946133480980480

@aboveawhisper1 What exactly are you worried about with contrails? A very small radiative forcing effect?

2014-01-14T04:17:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422945613886406656

@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues @BrandonDoughan It's not. It's intended to illustrate these are normal expected contrails, not "chemtrails"

2014-01-14T04:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422945396004880384

@aboveawhisper1 Except in this case you house is not actually on fire.

2014-01-14T03:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422938348357820417

@WhiteSkyBlues @BrandonDoughan @aboveawhisper1 Explained, with the original photo.
http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bd6UL9kCYAAkdYS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bd6UL9kCYAAkdYS.jpg

2014-01-12T22:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422494457812885504

@WhiteSkyBlues @LexieMayHunter Here's a detailed explanation - likely Korean Air: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/unmarked-chemtrail-sprayer-over-san-francisco-marked-a380.2931/#post-84380

2014-01-12T21:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422481039756234752

@WhiteSkyBlues @LexieMayHunter The plane is an A380. Not unmarked. Note the number on right wing, same place. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdz0RGMCQAAlA5q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdz0RGMCQAAlA5q.jpg

2014-01-12T21:27:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422479862410911745

@WhiteSkyBlues @LexieMayHunter Pic from your video here. Looks like a number on the right wing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdzzMkRCMAEK0jL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdzzMkRCMAEK0jL.jpg
2014-01-12T21:22:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422478620062277633
@WhiteSkyBlues @LexieMayHunter Pics, or it didn't happen.

2014-01-12T21:14:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422476720977870848
@WhiteSkyBlues @LexieMayHunter How can you tell it's unmarked? You can barely make out the fuselage?

2014-01-12T21:13:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422476492157636608
@4thAnon Toxicity is all about dose. Vitamin D is used as rat poison, and yet we have it in our children's milk. Because a little is good.

2014-01-12T16:04:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422398812447981568
@4thAnon With lies? Creating fearmongering stories specifically to sell bogus health products? Selling stuff is fine. Making up news is not.

2014-01-12T15:11:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422385243153121280
@4thAnon Could Infowars' Fluoridation focus have anything to do with selling utterly bogus "Fluoride Shield"? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdydJAWCQAAN0hG.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdydJAWCQAAN0hG.jpg
2014-01-12T15:07:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422384363150376960

@4thAnon You don't think their doom and gloom around Fukushima is inflated to promote their own-brand iodine? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdycVx2CEAA4LUs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdycVx2CEAA4LUs.jpg
2014-01-12T14:42:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/422378094490435586
@4thAnon Honest? Hmm. What do you think of their recent shift into an MLM health

supplement marketing machine? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdyWo5bCYAAn1fL.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdyWo5bCYAAn1fL.jpg

2014-01-12T00:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422162956697100288

@nick4630 @Chemtrails_818 Contrails combined with a natural cirrus cloud layer. Contrails can make cloud layers.

2014-01-11T17:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422054586614956033

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @YouTube @eddiebravo Did someone tell you "they" claimed you can't spray things from planes? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdtwaQkCYAAO-1I.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BdtwaQkCYAAO-1I.jpg

2014-01-11T17:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422054253901803522

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @eddiebravo Yes, insecticide too. Spraying things from planes is not rocket science. This is just skywriting.

2014-01-11T16:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/422045255563628545

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @YouTube @eddiebravo It's SU-30's in the Indian AF making smoke with fuel. Explained here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/

2014-01-11T06:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421890380687085568

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo See here for a detailed explanation: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2014-01-11T05:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421880396209344513

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo HR 2977 is a BS bill, written by Alfred Webre, who conned Kucinich into sponsoring it.

2014-01-11T02:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421826704626028544

@aboveawhisper1 If there was, say, a fixed amount of gold as money, you could lend that out

with interest, couldnt you? Redistribution.

2014-01-11T02:08:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421826040642555905

@aboveawhisper1 "Seize" is a bit of a strong word. Is your hairdresser seizing your assets?

2014-01-11T02:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421825487753601024

@aboveawhisper1 But they don't give you the interest, so the interest is not created. It's just the redistribution of existing money.

2014-01-11T02:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421824703955615744

This video on wake vortices research has a disconcerting '70s porn soundtrack.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c04wBN0VRrk

2014-01-11T01:53:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421822134373978114

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire They must pay the banks, like they pay the electricity company. Assuming they want a loan, or electricity.

2014-01-11T01:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421820975718494208

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire They must PAY the bank, for a SERVICE. Like they pay to get their car fixed. Like they pay for a bouncy castle.

2014-01-11T01:20:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421813798761033729

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Why? Interest is not created money. It's a payment for a service. The goal is not to a have a zero money supply.

2014-01-11T01:18:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421813252968833024

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire But getting back to Colonial Scip. Did you ever figure out how it worked?

2014-01-11T01:17:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421813154197147649

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Like the transcript of 'Money Masters' :)

2014-01-11T01:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421812882997653504

@4thAnon Free energy is an age old investment scam. I'll believe it when I see it.

2014-01-11T01:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421808980667531264

Debunking a popular "100% undebunkable" chemtrail video.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-military-chemtrails-on-off-su-30-smoke-skywriting.2926/

2014-01-10T23:33:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421786960387010560

@JtbuffBuff @layzie2109 @eddiebravo But Judd's claim was that the existence of the group was secret.

2014-01-10T23:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421786336916275200

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Please read it before sending it, to make sure they actually quote the "admission".

2014-01-10T23:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421786195933163520

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo What we talked out were dispersement tests. Using harmless substances.

2014-01-10T23:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421785919692107776

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo When was the Bilderberg group a secret? 1957? http://news.google.com/newspapers?id=9WIzAAAAIBAJ&sjid=1eYDAAAAIBAJ&pg=5671%2C4662079 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdp8Dx6CAAAf9A3.jpg

---

Westbrook Pegler

Secret Session Roster Revealed

NEW YORK.—The mysterious cabal of supranational architects of the future who spent three days in secret session at St. Simon Island, Ga., thus far have been able to ignore the flurry of suspicion which belatedly marred their otherwise serene and impudent coup.

However, the jig is up and henceforth their privacy doubtless will be destroyed by notoriety and challenge. They tiptoed into session on February 16 and tiptoed away on the 18th.

Their island is close enough to Jekyll island to compel in the mind of the knowing observer a comparison with the silly but fateful conclave of a simular bunch who in 1908 formulated the federal reserve system on Jekyll while using only their first names. They suppressed their last names to keep their identity secret from the servants.

* * *

In the present instance, since the discovery and denunciation of the conference, efforts have been made to convince the public that the exploit was unofficial and not at all secret.

To be sure, it was not "covered" by the press, although Arthur Hays Sulzberger, publisher of the New York Times, and Ralph McGill, editor of the Atlanta Constitution, were participants, but there was nothing clandestine about it.

Unfortunately for that effort to take the heat off a stealthy deal, Senator Alexander Wiley, of Wisconsin, stuck into the appendix of the congressional record a few days later a "summary" taken from the New York Times, and said in his introductory remarks, "some 70 European and American leaders concluded a private and unofficial three-day meeting at St. Simon."

Wiley's self-important acknowledgement of himself and of Sulzberger and McGill as "leaders" well expresses in one word the effrontery of the whole affair.

* * *

McGill, formerly sport editor of the Constitution, has become a

the platform with the Republican national committee.

* * *

Although this conclave was "unofficial," the revealed roster names Viscount Kilmuir, lord high chancellor of Great Britain; Tom Dewey; Senators Fulbright and Wiley, both of the foreign relations committee; Gabriel Hague, a truly mysterious stranger to Americans who nevertheless is formally described in the roster as Eisenhower's assistant in charge of economic affairs and by the Wall Street Journal as the expert who tells Ike "what to think"; C. O. Jackson, vice president of Time and "former special assistant to Eisenhower for international affairs and delegate to the ninth UN assembly"; and George Kennan, former ambassador to Russia.

Kennan is so mysterious that he does not even name his parents in Who's Who in America. Kennan is a member of the colony of double-heads at Princeton which includes the Professor Oppenheimer.

Paul Hoffman, now on the UN by Ike's appointment, was invited, but didn't show up.

* * *

The tax-free foundations did very well. Joseph E. Johnson, "honorary secretary" of the shebang, is president of the Carnegie endowment for international peace. (The bum who just shouted "remember Alger Hiss" will get a paste in the snoot if he will step outside.)

Dean Rusk, president of the Rockefeller foundation, and Henry Kissinger, described as "director of special studies" of the Rockefeller brothers fund, were a few names apart from David Rockefeller, vice chairman of the board of the Rockefellers Chase Manhattan bank. Morehead Patterson, deputy United States commissioner of disarmament of UN, is an executive of the banks 42d street store.

An American supreme court justice, apparently Frankfurter, was there, but did not register. There are unverified reports that about 20 savants refused to sign the hotel register.

This thing is an extension of a

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdp8Dx6CAAAf9A3.jpg

2014-01-10T23:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421785488865767424

@JtbuffBuff @Layzie2109 @eddiebravo If you could point some out, then that would be a useful way of confirming your claim.

2014-01-10T23:20:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421783725727170560

@GuyKawasaki I think about 80% actually worked there.

2014-01-10T23:19:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421783486416965634

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo SRM geoengineering has never been done, and it not being done not. The government has not admitted it.

2014-01-10T23:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421779670606966784

@Layzie2109 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Really? And exactly what did he say? (Hint: don't trust everything you read on the internet)

2014-01-10T22:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421775594754293760

@JtbuffBuff You can pick any random thing and say "find me a video pre-1990". Not evidence that it does not exist. Just pre-digital video

---

2014-01-10T22:47:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421775247541415936

@JtbuffBuff Yes, and I explained that video of clouds pre-1990 is not the type of thing that's easy to find.

2014-01-10T22:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421775124975472640

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo And here's an archive of pre-1995 contrail photos with sources. https://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2014-01-10T22:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421774892015423488

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo It's in the book "Clouds of the World", which was published in 1972. https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2014-01-10T22:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421773564170100737

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo This photo looks very similar to the contrails show in in the video. It's from 1972. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdpw0lnCUAE_jwR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bdpw0lnCUAE_jwR.jpg

2014-01-10T22:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421773088871555072

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo There are some in the background of old TV shows though. And of course plenty of photos, and weather books.

2014-01-10T22:37:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421772864279158786

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Right, like everyone pre-1990 was videoing contrails. Show me a pre-1990 video of a cirrus cloud.

2014-01-10T21:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421763200736956416

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Now, exactly how do you distinguish those from persistent contrail, which they exactly resemble?

2014-01-10T21:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421760778987794432

@trutherbot Would it be better if you knew they were contrails?

2014-01-10T21:49:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421760682829152257

@martinhume Using the classic shot of ballast barrels there is a bit of a giveaway as to their fact checking level.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2014-01-10T21:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421760172579512321
@4thAnon What type of class is Infowars in? Comparison with Fox may be inaccurate though, as they only shill for themselves, not higher ups.

2014-01-10T18:52:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421716221814255616
@Slikk82 I don't trust the government. See my detailed explanation of this here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2014-01-10T18:31:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421710901356417024
@4thAnon What would that make Infowars? :) The Fox News?

2014-01-10T18:30:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421710738571280384
@Dechass @Slikk82 I would? Nope. There were many dispersement tests back then. Basically to see how far stuff spread. Used inert substances.

2014-01-10T06:20:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421526906979102720
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire With Kennedy, you don't mean Executive Order 11110, do you? Useful site: http://webskeptic.wikidot.com/federal-reserve-system

---

2014-01-10T06:17:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421526168567693313
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Monet Masters takes it's "colonial scrip" bit directly from the bogus quote. http://webskeptic.wikidot.com/money-masters-transcripts-part-8

2014-01-10T06:11:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421524728755404800
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Let's start by fact-checking colonial scrip.

2014-01-10T06:10:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421524324718100480
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire And how did colonial scrip work exactly? Who got to make the money?

2014-01-10T06:09:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421524206346461185
@aboveawhisper1 So what's your burden of proof to demonstrate that it's actually genuine? Any sources?

2014-01-10T06:08:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421524050179919872
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Well, then the person who used the quote, Ellen Brown, admitted it was bogus. Do you think it is genuine?

2014-01-10T05:55:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/421520569327026176

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Seems like the Franklin quote on Colonial Scrip was bogus: http://www.garynorth.com/public/6882.cfm

---

2014-01-10T05:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421520066857824256

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Send me a detailed explanation of colonial script (or scrip?) and I'll look at it.

2014-01-10T05:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421519896443248641

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire I've looked at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Early_American_currency and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrip

---

2014-01-10T05:47:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421518544291913729

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Colonial Script. Can you link me to a detailed explanation of how that worked? I can only find vague accounts.

2014-01-10T05:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421515456193646593

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire Inflation yes. Hyperinflation, no. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperinflation

---

2014-01-10T04:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421505232611196928

@MeierFire @aboveawhisper1 not the base money supply though. That's what drives inflation.

2014-01-10T04:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421504862321250304

@4thAnon but BiN is not exactly better, it's transparently bunk.

2014-01-10T02:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421462524714696704

@4thAnon @beforeitsnews Highly misleading and overly spun narratives, quite clearly. Have you read it?

2014-01-10T01:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421457270031937536

@aboveawhisper1 Well, yes, it would be great if everyone were good and honest. But capitalism breeds greed. Anyway, a bit off topic.

2014-01-10T01:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421457035540963328

@4thAnon Nope. They are pretending that the BBC admitted geoengineering is happening. @beforeitsnews is lying. It's their business model.

2014-01-10T01:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421456160948895744

@aboveawhisper1 Capitalism itself funnels money to the man at the top. Regardless of the money system in use.

2014-01-10T01:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421456014311829504

@aboveawhisper1 "Edison's revenge" (Bunk marketing, get rich quick scheme) http://moneymorning.com/ext/bitcoin/videos/html-bitcoin.php?iris=167662&link_source=popup

---

2014-01-10T01:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421455671377158144

@aboveawhisper1 Your Edison quote is being used to sell a junk bitcoin investing guide. So I thought that's what you were referring to.

2014-01-10T01:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421455185047588864

@4thAnon You said there was a bombshell. What is it?

2014-01-10T01:34:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421455080877867008

@aboveawhisper1 So should the government just create $100M in bitcoins every time it wants to build a dam?

2014-01-10T01:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421454788866220032

@aboveawhisper1 What, capitalism? Sure.

2014-01-10T01:33:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421454621194719232

@4thAnon Admissions of SRM geoengineering? Where are they?

2014-01-10T01:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421454410229620736

@aboveawhisper1 So how does the government build a dam with bitcoin?

2014-01-10T01:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421454266939617280

@aboveawhisper1 Where? Bitcoin?

2014-01-10T01:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421453408805978112

@jeffreymadwisc He's just talking about chaff, which is not a secret, and does not leave visible trails.

2014-01-10T01:27:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421453185476087808

@aboveawhisper1 At what point does this become a problem?

2014-01-10T01:25:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421452708768276480

@aboveawhisper1 as opposed to your system where you just snap your fingers?

2014-01-10T01:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421452559446839297

@Slikk82 You can't debunk that it happens, that's true. However you can debunk the idea that

it's not contrails. They act like contrails.

2014-01-10T01:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421452307637600257

@4thAnon So no actual government admissions then? No bombshells?

2014-01-10T00:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421445794529411072

@4thAnon and where did they acknowledge it? Are you one of those "the future tense is the past tense in the media" people?

2014-01-10T00:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421445609133182976

Bitcoin is having a few interesting technical problems: http://qz.com/165273/the-existential-threat-to-bitcoin-its-boosters-said-was-impossible-is-now-at-hand/

2014-01-10T00:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421445434226130944

@aboveawhisper1 It's an example where obviously it would not work. However it does work in the large "cosmos".

2014-01-10T00:55:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421445130193625088

@Slikk82 Nobody pays me anything. My story is here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/

2014-01-10T00:54:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421444804484943872

@aboveawhisper1 On an island you would not borrow money. You need to be in an economic system where it makes sense. Like this one.

2014-01-10T00:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421444495167598592

@Slikk82 and the "government starting to come out" thin is just the usual Infowars nonsense. Try getting an actual "coming out" quote.

2014-01-10T00:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421444296504406016

@Slikk82 No it's not. Look at some satellite photos, look at each day. It just varies with weather. Jet traffic. https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2014-01-10T00:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421443366941782016

@aboveawhisper1 And are you genuinely advocating that the gov should be able to create money from nothing without limits?

2014-01-10T00:47:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421443106928476161

@aboveawhisper1 Is there any linkable reference on Edison's supposed proposal? Or is it just

part of the Bitcoin investment marketing scam?

2014-01-10T00:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421442721903935488

@aboveawhisper1 What was with the island example then?

2014-01-10T00:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421441868870602752

@Slikk82 @joerogan @eddiebravo Obviously it's not normal or it would happen every day. People get excited about this photo as it's unusual.

2014-01-10T00:41:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421441599973765120

@aboveawhisper1 What's the difference between me paying you $1 to mow my grass or paying you $1 to lend me $20 for a year? Same end result.

2014-01-10T00:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421441273963089920

@aboveawhisper1 That's like saying when you buy a soda, or a ticket to the theater, then someone will be short.

2014-01-10T00:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421437007269605376

@MeierFire @aboveawhisper1 Borrowing money from a bank does not increase the base money supply. When it's repaid the M2+ money vanishes.

2014-01-10T00:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421436240089452544

@4thAnon @beforeitsnews So basically your "bombshell" is that you think they are lying? How is that a bombshell?

2014-01-10T00:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421435996685623296

@aboveawhisper1 Where would I get the money to have my house re-roofed? Interest is a payment for a service. How do you pay for any service?

2014-01-10T00:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421434679917441024

@aboveawhisper1 Why would I borrow $100 on an island? Money only makes sense in an economic system. It makes the system work.

2014-01-10T00:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421434426443038721

@aboveawhisper1 You ask me where the money comes from when you propose just creating it from thin air? Money is economic lubricant.

2014-01-10T00:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421433236212817920

@aboveawhisper1 That's what interest bearing loans are. Borrow quick, replay slow, get charged for the privilege. Like renting out money.

2014-01-10T00:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421432887645188096

@aboveawhisper1 It's not impossible. You are confusing interest with created money. It's like saying it's impossible to pay for a service.

2014-01-10T00:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421432620673552387

@aboveawhisper1 I'm all for investment in infrastructure. I'm just anti-inflation. I say cut defense spending instead.

2014-01-10T00:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421432370705600512

@4thAnon @BeforeItsNews Good job they have not done it yet then! What exactly is the "bombshell"?

2014-01-10T00:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421431732621934593

@aboveawhisper1 Why impossible? Gov borrows $100M to make dam. Repays $100M. Interest is a service fee. OR dilute money supply => inflation

---

2014-01-09T23:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421427124763758592

@aboveawhisper1 borrowing money does not increase MB, the monetary base. Printing money does. So it dilutes it. Hence inflation follows.

2014-01-09T23:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421424706109976576

@aboveawhisper1 He's saying the gold standard was a bad thing. You agree?

2014-01-09T23:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421424548173451264

@aboveawhisper1 Printing money increases the base money supply, and hence it dilutes it.

2014-01-09T23:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421424187043885056

@aboveawhisper1 It's great, you print money, get a dam, profit. But how much can you do that and money still keeps its value?

2014-01-09T23:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421423998849654785

@aboveawhisper1 I do. He's saying printing money for capital investments is a good idea. Most economists say it would lead to inflation.

2014-01-09T21:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421395248778993664

@aboveawhisper1 "Make it perfectly clear I'm not advocating any changes in banks and banking." said Mr. Edison.

2014-01-09T20:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421372013542776832

@aboveawhisper1 Read the article that quote came from. It's about getting off the gold standard. https://www.metabunk.org/sk/98768710.pdf

---

2014-01-09T06:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421173086629548032

@TonyAlbrecht You can use Wolfram with a little rejiggery D = |C| where C = A dot B where A=(1,2,3) and B=(4,5,6)

http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=D+%3D+%7CC%7C++where+C+%3D+A+dot+B+where+A%3D%281%2C2%2C3%29+and+B%3D%284%2C5%2C6%29%2C+

2014-01-08T20:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/421020247752470528

@aboveawhisper1 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Just printing money creates runaway inflation. Why would you do that?

2014-01-07T23:34:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420700033822904320

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan It's suspected that Tonkin was exaggerated and exploited. Everyone knows that.

2014-01-07T23:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420691659005763584

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo What exactly about the Wikipedia article on that do you think needs debunking?

2014-01-07T22:55:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420690299082391552

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Example?

2014-01-07T16:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420588456167739392

@aboveawhisper1 I might check it out later, thanks.

2014-01-07T16:01:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420586097903538176

@aboveawhisper1 As I'm not a shill, then why should I watch it? Does it suggest action?

2014-01-07T05:54:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420433326462103552

@aboveawhisper1 Actually I was watching a video on Bitcoin. Fascinating stuff.

2014-01-07T02:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420377571260239872

@aboveawhisper1 "Remember that time is money." - Ben Franklin.

2014-01-07T00:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420355007280447488

@aboveawhisper1 (Correction, I was thinking the 8 DP was the limit on leading zeros, that's something else).

2014-01-07T00:42:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420354766200254464
@aboveawhisper1 but then it also has inflation built in, and not in a predictable manner. It will be interesting.

2014-01-07T00:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420354471902707712
@aboveawhisper1 Clarifying what I mean by limit. It's an asymptotic limit, just not an infinite one, it stops at 8 decimal places.

2014-01-07T00:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420353472760786944
@aboveawhisper1 Interest is not created money. It's a fee paid for a service. Lent principal is created, and can be repaid.

2014-01-07T00:36:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420353112243580928
@aboveawhisper1 It's limited in rate of growth by the exponential increase in mining complexity. There is ALSO a hard limit.

2014-01-07T00:31:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420351904439218176
@aboveawhisper1 Well, there is, but you'd have to ridiculously constrict the money supply and destroy the economy.

2014-01-07T00:27:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420350824724701185
@aboveawhisper1 Bitcoin is limited by the "work" required to "mine" coins. But it's artificial. The difference is there's a hard limit.

2014-01-06T22:48:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420326055388266498
@aboveawhisper1 Because if the money supply is not limited, then it has no value. It's like Bitcoin.

2014-01-06T22:44:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420325026940727296
@cmarcie2006 How about at 30,000 feet?

2014-01-06T20:06:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420285344030785536
@cmarcie2006 Ever heard the phrase "too cold to snow"? It also depends upon humidity, cold air is often dry air. It varies though.

2014-01-06T20:05:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420285006594846720
@USAShopper Those are actually ship trails. Low and slow clouds seeded by ship exhausts. See https://www.google.com/search?q=ship+trails&tbm=isch

---

2014-01-06T19:56:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/420282802685218816

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot So basically you are simply assuming there is some insider trading going on? Anything specific?

2014-01-06T13:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420190050995810304

@aboveawhisper1 The topic of money supply is quite complicated. Easy of oversimplify. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Money_supply

---

2014-01-06T13:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/420188832546299905

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot And you have some evidence of this? Examples? It should be easy to show. Which bank?

2014-01-05T20:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419935032359153664

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot The member banks profits are returned to the Treasury.

2014-01-05T20:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419934700182835200

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot You tell me.

2014-01-05T20:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419933725493690369

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot Oversight is an issue. It's complicated, and could be improved. But the big numbers are public.

2014-01-05T20:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419933070125326336

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot " In 2010, the Federal Reserve made a profit of $82 billion and transferred $79 billion to the U.S. Treasury"

2014-01-05T20:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419932890575552512

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot "The U.S. Gov receives all of the system's annual profits, after a statutory dividend of 6% [to] member banks'"

2014-01-05T20:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419931587946356736

@joerogan @_n1k_nak Chronicle.su is like a more deadpan version of The Onion. Their "Snowden reveals chemtrails" story is still popular.

2014-01-05T20:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419930999695241216

RT @joerogan: "@_n1k_nak: I'll miss you bro http://www.chronicle.su/obituaries/joe-rogan-dead-at-46/ #ripjoerogan @joerogan" I'm alive as fuck, SON. #RumorKiller

---

2014-01-05T20:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419929842910363648

@aboveawhisper1 @trutherbot Because it's not. However it has significant public components

and restrictions beyond private banks.

2014-01-04T19:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419554742508220416

@aboveawhisper1 @Global_hackers @martinhume @russtanner The point is that the law says the opposite of what was claimed. The claim was bunk.

2014-01-04T06:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419351953882116096

@aboveawhisper1 In this case Wikipedia has it right. It's what is actually used that is the law. Not what you think should be.

2014-01-04T06:17:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419351774080688128

@aboveawhisper1 Anyway. GTG. It urge you to look over: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_the_United_States and view things from a practical, not semantic basis.

2014-01-04T06:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419351623027011584

@aboveawhisper1 No. That's nonsense. It does not really exist in any meaningful way.

2014-01-04T06:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419351528424493056

@aboveawhisper1 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Law_of_the_United_States These are the laws. If there are disputes they are resolved.

2014-01-04T06:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419351042703110144

@aboveawhisper1 The one that operates is the one that is quoted and used in the courts. Law and case law. The court system.

2014-01-04T06:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419350468486123521

@aboveawhisper1 The one that actual operates. You don't get to pick.

2014-01-04T06:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419349350469226496

@aboveawhisper1 So where are the real successes?

2014-01-04T06:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419349234979069952

@aboveawhisper1 I'm being entirely honest with you. The law does not work based on the way you feel it should be interpreted.

2014-01-04T06:05:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419348938076856320

@aboveawhisper1 Why do you think that Steven's successes only amount to a few traffic tickets being dismissed? http://marcstevens.net/successes

---

2014-01-04T06:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419348216698527745

@aboveawhisper1 My cause is just pointing out things that are BS, so we can focus on real issues. Like money in politics.

2014-01-04T06:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419347817480474624

@aboveawhisper1 What's the roadmap to a stateless world?

2014-01-04T06:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419347531412152320

@aboveawhisper1 How do you think the law works?

2014-01-04T05:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419346984143577088

@aboveawhisper1 Yes. Check it out. Look it up. Open your mind.

2014-01-04T05:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419346781617414144

@aboveawhisper1 Because they are being pedantic assholes.

2014-01-04T05:56:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419346634137280512

@aboveawhisper1 It is as it is described here: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federal_Reserve_System - both public and private components.

2014-01-04T05:55:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419346292628664320

@JoeMomasNuts @ChemDarling @HartReikiCURES They "add" contrails like they "add" clouds, or "add" trees. Those are all things that exist.

2014-01-04T05:53:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419345936158965761

@JoeMomasNuts @ChemDarling @HartReikiCURES Why would you expect contrails not to be in video games, if they are in the everyday sky?

2014-01-04T05:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419345622383087616

@aboveawhisper1 To prevent inflation. But what does this have to do with the "chemtrail" theory?

2014-01-04T05:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419342375408791552

@JoeMomasNuts @ChemDarling @HartReikiCURES This picture is a lie. The version with contrails is a Virgin Trains commercial.

2014-01-04T05:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419341617959428096

@aboveawhisper1 Forests are made of trees. If none of the trees stand up, then you don't have a forest. Show me some trees. Any trees.

2014-01-04T05:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419337501723987968

@aboveawhisper1 What exactly makes you so sure about this?

2014-01-04T00:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419262459682115584

@aboveawhisper1 @NachoGrannieT Weather modification is a real thing though, done for 60 years. Unlike "chemtrails". http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Weather_modification

---

2014-01-03T20:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419203089380290560

@Pierre_Paperon Cool photo. Where did you take it from? Any chance of posting the original?

2014-01-03T17:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419157818520764417

@RealAlexJones. That's debunked. It was a climate change study of jellyfish poop in a 20 foot square.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dead-creatures-cover-98-of-the-ocean-floor-up-from-1-before-fukushima.2872/

2014-01-03T17:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419157461677789184

Debunked: Dead creatures cover 98% of the ocean floor, up from 1% before Fukushima.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dead-creatures-cover-98-of-the-ocean-floor-up-from-1-before-fukushima.2872/

2014-01-03T15:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419135539040636929

@iain39 @trutherbot Toxicity is all about the dose. Common salt is toxic, yet you sprinkle it on your food. Toxic ethanol is 40% of whiskey.

2014-01-03T14:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419115384348753921

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Why? You understand that contrail formation depends on power settings and air conditions (=altitude)? So why not this?

2014-01-03T14:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419114633689960448

@ChemDarling HAARP shut down a few months ago.
http://www.arrl.org/news/haarp-facility-shuts-down

2014-01-03T14:34:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419114426659131392

@Global_hackers @martinhume @russtanner That law actually specifically forbids testing without informed consent. Did you sign anything?

2014-01-03T14:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419113901465141248

@aboveawhisper1 @NachoGrannieT That's weather for you.

2014-01-03T14:31:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/419113753502683136
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo And how so? Is this not a plausible explanation?

2014-01-03T00:02:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418895137226227712
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo "Next to each other" = formation. "on and off" = altitude and engine changes.

2014-01-02T23:19:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418884208996519937
@aboveawhisper1 @NachoGrannieT It's just a ballpark approximation. The point was more about the conditions. Rain needs exact conditions.

2014-01-02T22:52:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418877371018510337
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Three planes, in formation, different altitudes, leaving contrails at different times due to power and altitude.

2014-01-02T17:17:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418793086639013889
@bosma2002 It's not labeled a "debunk". It's just context from something 9/11 Truthers use as "evidence". Point is it's different from WTC.

2014-01-02T01:13:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418550610791628800
@aboveawhisper1 Within reason, yes. But the conditions need to be right at multiple altitudes. Often it's limited and patchy.

2014-01-02T01:12:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418550295879098368
@aboveawhisper1 @NachoGrannieT Contrails form about as often as it rain. Rain also requires "perfect" conditions. Yet rain does not alarm.

2013-12-31T16:45:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418060257781231616
@MarchAgainstSRM Wisely holding it in winter this time, so you'll actually have a chance of getting contrails in SoCal. :)

2013-12-31T16:44:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/418059982852980737
@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues What Is the point of the image? The point NASA was making when they used it in 2004? http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=4435

2013-12-31T06:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/417906396458270720
@LizzieBTweetie Sure you have, because you are paying a lot more attention to the sky because of the chemtrails theory.

2013-12-31T06:33:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/417906277847547904

@aboveawhisper1 @WhiteSkyBlues And what exactly is that point? Lots of contrails that day? That was covered in the article.

2013-12-30T23:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417805525997203456

@LizzieBTweetie I know. But since ice would not melt, then how can you tell it is not ice?

2013-12-30T22:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417779713797402624

@LizzieBTweetie If it's -40F, then why would you expect them to melt?

2013-12-30T22:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417779590098989056

@HeavenLeeOps Because it was written by Alfred Webre. See: http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2013-12-30T21:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417771292322832384

@WhiteSkyBlues @PhDAmodei Just contrails actually, see: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-these-are-chemtrails-you-stupid-zombies-image.2861/

2013-12-30T21:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417765602745282560

@WhiteSkyBlues @aboveawhisper1 Classic photo! Explained here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-12-30T21:09:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417764362875461634

@BrianDunning And carbon dioxide. Unfortunately some chemtrail theorists actually use that as an argument.

2013-12-30T21:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417763637005000704

@4thAnon It indicates an absolute upward trend. It means the sea level is rising. So we need to plan for higher sea levels. (or stop it).

2013-12-30T20:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417759974668767232

@4thAnon And here I've added a 10 year moving average, which shows how you can't use short timeframe for trends. https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Workbook1_20131230_125101.jpg

---

2013-12-30T20:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417759450322055168

@4thAnon Here's the graph: https://www.metabunk.org/sk/Workbook1_20131230_124727.jpg from this data: http://www.psmsl.org/data/obtaining/rlr.annual.data/437.rlrdata

---

2013-12-30T20:48:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417759235527569408

@4thAnon I took the yearly data from your link, put it in Excel, and added a linear trend line. It

showed an approx 45mm rise over 60 years

2013-12-30T20:42:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417757711128723456

@4thAnon I'm pushing for science and truth. I disagree on points of science only.

2013-12-30T20:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417757270429036544

@4thAnon Sea level rise is about 1.7mm per year since 1950. How does that compare with your link?

2013-12-30T20:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417756651458801664

@4thAnon (or less attractive, but you get the idea).

2013-12-30T20:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417756373770702848

@4thAnon And since when would corporate propaganda pieces attacking an idea make that idea more attractive to you? http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Heartland_Institute

---

2013-12-30T20:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417755464089104385

@4thAnon Huh? The oil industry lobby has ALWAYS tried to downplay climate change. There's nothing new here. You are being conned by the 1%

2013-12-30T20:24:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417753048740417536

@4thAnon No climate scientists surveyed, just a self-selected, non-scientific, survey of some Canadian geologists and engineers.

2013-12-30T20:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417752645114159104

@4thAnon And look into the study he quotes as evidence. It's utter BS to use it in the way he does.

2013-12-30T20:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417751364584751104

@4thAnon So you'd prefer to believe James Taylor: "senior fellow for environment policy at the Heartland Institute"? He is not Forbes.

2013-12-30T19:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417744983773282304

@4thAnon It's unequivocable that the sea level is rising. And your list represents a tiny fraction of scientist. http://www.skepticalscience.com/global-warming-scientific-consensus.htm

---

2013-12-30T19:49:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417744313393504256

Two examples of thermite being used for demolition in last 100 year. Not really like the WTC https://www.metabunk.org/threads/thermite-has-historically-been-used-for-demolition-at-least-twice.2856/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BcwgPV8CYAEOFNL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BcwgPV8CYAEOFNL.jpg

2013-12-29T22:46:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417426438258577408

@Slikk82 @nikkibtwittweet @martinhume @WhiteSkyBlues I'm sure I don't know of any good evidence that they do exist. Can't *prove* negative.

2013-12-29T21:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417409396885299200

@4thAnon Sea level rise is complicated to predict due to feedback and tipping points, but it's happening. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Current_sea_level_rise

---

2013-12-29T21:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417408858135351296

@4thAnon Except they predicted it over 30-40 years, not specifically 2014-2016. What's your point, some estimates were wrong? #Doh.

2013-12-29T21:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/417408382165737472

@Slikk82 @nikkibtwittweet @martinhume @WhiteSkyBlues The plane is still there. Just hard to see because of haze. Contrails are bright white.

2013-12-28T01:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/416744910876717056

@4thAnon That does not seem to be what that article says. The worst estimates there were 1 foot in 30-40 years, but estimates varied.

2013-12-27T20:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/416663661386547201

@iain39 @Jmart4info It's discussed on Metabunk here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunk-imgur-boston-truth-revealed.1440/

2013-12-27T20:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/416662801872994304
Debunked: Acupuncture for Relief of Cancer Medication Side Effects: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-acupuncture-for-relief-of-cancer-medication-side-effects.2850/

2013-12-24T23:24:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/415623955588722688
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo @joerogan Tanks on a prototype firefighting plane. They very rapidly dump water or retardant at low altitude.

2013-12-22T17:36:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414811662185533440
@BillOttman @jonkay I'm in favor of more open government.

2013-12-21T23:20:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414535956792754176
@TheJakeSimpson @t_machine_org @stepickford Or see here if you are in the FB group: https://www.facebook.com/groups/194830220094/permalink/10151966682115095/

2013-12-21T23:19:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414535586729324544
@TheJakeSimpson @t_machine_org @stepickford Can't have the hoi polloi gawking our arcane rituals. email mick@mickwest.com for password.

2013-12-20T21:43:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414149125530071040
@XtyMiller That's certainly a big concern. It needs to be studied, in case we are forced to do something in the future. Best not rush in.

2013-12-20T20:49:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414135421505777665
@XtyMiller The quote is debunked in detail here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-geoengineering-is-like-free-riding-on-our-grandkids.160/

2013-12-20T20:47:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414134932391219200
@XtyMiller and "Itâ□□s not that SRM is free riding, itâ□□s that over enthusiasm about its potential may encourage less action to cut emissions."

2013-12-20T20:46:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414134825612607488
Keith said: To be clear, I do mean â□□putting off addressing the problem of climate change is like free-riding on our grandkidsâ□□.

2013-12-20T20:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/414134400406654976
@XtyMiller I have seen the video. And I asked David Keith about it. Plus it's the only thing that makes sense in context.

2013-12-20T20:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/414131888958091264

@XtyMiller That's often quoted out of context. He's referring to doing nothing about climate change. THAT is free-riding. Not geoengineering

---

2013-12-20T20:27:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/414129846923448321

@trutherbot Just like they did 60+ years ago? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bb9I5sfCYAEljdt.jpg

---

Sky 'Objects' Probably Plane Vapor

Des Moines—(AP)—The control tower at the Des Moines municipal airport said yesterday that "objects" reported sighted in the sky at Clinton, Davenport and Ottumwa yesterday morning "undoubtedly were vapor trails left by a high flying plane."

"What probably was the same plane came over Des Moines shortly before noon and the vapor trail still was visible here from a long distance off about half an hour later," a spokesman in the control tower said.

He added that the plane was flying at between 20,000 and 30,000 feet and that it may have been either a regulalr bomber or a jet.

He said it is common for vapor trails to be left at those altitudes.

Boys See "Object."

(By the Associated Press)

Objects in the sky or vapor were reported seen over two Iowa cities yesterday.

At Davenport, two boys, aged 10 and 12, called police when they saw an object they thought was a flying saucer in the sky.

A few minutes later newspaper switchboards were flooded with calls from residents reporting they had seen a mysterious object.

At Ottumwa, a number of persons reported seeing a streak of vapor that extended from horizon to horizon across the sky.

One woman was hysterical with fright as she called the office of the Ottumwa Courier to report the vapor.

Persons who reported seeing an object at Davenport said it flashed, then became black and flashed again before disappearing.

A group watching the vapor at Ottumwa said no airplane was visible although one boy said he could hear a sound like that of an airplane. The vapor streak remained in the sky for quite some time, similar to the vapor train left by a "sky-writer."



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bb9I5sfCYAEljdt.jpg

2013-12-20T20:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/414128714142580736

Contrails can even form (and persist) at ground level, if it's cold and humid enough.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xRPq61oTYOs

2013-12-20T20:20:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/414128268430700544

@Sukyspook Do you tend to look up a lot more nowadays?

2013-12-20T17:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/414086783496753152

@Sukyspook That's a fallstreak cloud. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fallstreak_hole

---

2013-12-19T21:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413782094825795585

@JtbuffBuff Contrail formation and persistence depends on the weather. This has been known for over 90 years.

2013-12-19T20:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413767561369485313

@giboneysteve @martinhume So there's nothing you can find wrong, yet you call it disinformation? How so?

2013-12-19T18:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413732048688267264

@giboneysteve @martinhume Please point out which bit is incorrect, so I can fix it. I'd like the page to be factual. So show me the errors.

2013-12-18T19:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413396199777906689

@TiffLSmith @City_Conquest @justinhj @TheJakeSimpson @ProfShminky Sometimes it seem to take a while. Try refreshing.

2013-12-18T16:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413339370549018624

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Again, you fail to provide any examples of this.

2013-12-18T15:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413322963035762688

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo That's not specific. Can you link to something I actually said? Something that is wrong?

2013-12-18T14:54:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413321375168753665

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Can you give an actual example? I don't just point at NIST and grunt "see, debunked"

2013-12-18T14:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413313084631957504

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo Perhaps you could give a specific example? Some incorrect science regarding contrails, maybe?

2013-12-18T13:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413297188878307328

@Reptoid_Hunter @JtbuffBuff @kristenmeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo @AriShaffir @D_y_m_o_n_d_ What? I'll correct it if you say what's wrong.

2013-12-18T06:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413189627453775872

@JtbuffBuff @kristenmeghan If not familiar with the arguments the proponents of fluoridation use, then see: here:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water_fluoridation

---

2013-12-18T01:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413112677397827584

@JtbuffBuff I gave you a link to the chapter on contrails: https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-12-18T01:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413112462628491264

@Slikk82 It's an aerodynamic contrail, being left in regions of patchy humidity. See: http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2013-12-17T19:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413023227225468928

@JtbuffBuff So what did you think of Clouds of the World, 1972?

2013-12-17T19:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413023091913027584

@JtbuffBuff @kristenmeghan It's all about the dosage. Most scientists think small dosages are harmless and good for your teeth. Some don't.

2013-12-17T18:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413009092496470016

@SteveFilipovic It's not going to have any effect on clouds. Those are just normal ripple clouds. Just like in history.

2013-12-17T18:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/413008931405848576

@JtbuffBuff @kristenmeghan Yes.

2013-12-17T17:27:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412997510123106305

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan In small quantities, yes. Toxicity always depends on dosage. Salt water is toxic. Yet we put salt on food.

2013-12-17T17:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412991168624852993

@JtbuffBuff Try Clouds of the World, 1972. https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-12-17T16:49:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412988031163838464

@JtbuffBuff Any book on clouds in the last 50 years will tell you the same thing. It's really basic science.

2013-12-17T15:33:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412968886959501312

Now that winter is arriving in Los Angeles, we can also expect a lot more from the "chemtrail" theorists. #weather http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BbspA6TCcAA373R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BbspA6TCcAA373R.jpg

2013-12-17T15:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412962329286815744

@EricMcComber It's not a no fly zone at contrail altitudes.

2013-12-17T15:06:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412962081520906240

@Slikk82 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan It proves the weather varies. Also it's cold (-40Â°) at some altitude, even in summer.

2013-12-17T15:04:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412961530477436928

@JtbuffBuff Tornadoes are weather. How many of them do you see. Weather varies in multiple ways by time and location.

2013-12-17T15:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412961188335480832

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff It's a real document, it just does not mean what you think it means.

2013-12-17T06:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412835153778458625

@SteveFilipovic It's just a refinement of the classification system. The clouds are not actually

"new". Just a new name.

2013-12-17T06:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412834985221967872

@SteveFilipovic So you are saying these clouds have been around for 100+ years? Why did nobody notice them?

2013-12-17T06:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412834784742625281

@JtbuffBuff If they are so rare, then why do people keep seeing them?

2013-12-17T06:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412834447533154304

@SteveFilipovic Air is essentially a fluid, so you get ripples. Just google for "ripple cloud" or similar.

2013-12-17T04:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412805437881200640

@SteveFilipovic Go here, and click "read online". It's from 1905. See Plate 55 opposite page 121. https://archive.org/details/cu31924002961203

2013-12-17T04:34:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412802924557787137

@guidestone33 That's a prototype firefighting plane. You can look up the patent. #hoax

---

2013-12-17T04:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412802716016975872

@SteveFilipovic They had patterns like this before radar was invented.

2013-12-17T04:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412802505546792960

@Slikk82 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Not so much in Los Angeles you don't. It varies like the rainy season varies.

2013-12-17T04:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412802372679639042

@Slikk82 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan "Nice" is subjective, but they are ice trails, contrails, yes.

2013-12-17T04:31:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412802180475650048

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @kristenmeghan Low ambient humidity means the contrails either never becomes visible, or it sublimes.

2013-12-16T21:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412689536209002496

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @kristenmeghan Think of small clouds, edges of clouds. That's the resolution of humidity.

2013-12-16T21:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412689383993516032

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @kristenmeghan A cloud is just a region of the air made visible by higher relative humidity. Same thing

---

2013-12-16T20:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412679951591022592

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @kristenmeghan On/off trails are due to differing regions of humidity, shaped all the ways clouds can be

---

2013-12-16T20:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412679557037051904

@martinhume Debunked. It's a chemistry textbook whimsically named after their cadet handbook "contrails". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bboh3tpCEAAiy3F.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bboh3tpCEAAiy3F.jpg

2013-12-16T20:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412678574038999040

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan I took this photo a bit later that day. Mostly cirrus fibratus. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bbog-fnCcAA_cFn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Bbog-fnCcAA_cFn.jpg

2013-12-16T20:13:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412676976483131392

@Slikk82 @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan Looks like it, plus some natural cirrus. It's the weather, winter is coming.

2013-12-15T00:53:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/412022461421477888

@0asisUnited Metabunk just needs a working email to register. I use SSL for security. You can connect via TOR or proxy if you like.

2013-12-11T04:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/410634590080880640

@WhiteSkyBlues The "off" portion is due to reducing thrust so they could drop away from the tanker. It's expected. Less thrust = less water

---

2013-12-05T00:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/408395628138332160

@iain39 @Number10gov You might as well show them the rain. Contrail conditions are loosely correlated with rainy seasons.

2013-12-05T00:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/408395410156175360

@WhiteSkyBlues @tomdavies030478 @martinhume That's an aerodynamic contrail. #lookitup!

2013-12-04T07:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/408129346147078144

@iain39 @NillumbikStinks @DeptofWeather @AtlanticSkies "Normal" is relative. Is smog normal? Is pollution normal? Contrails are *expected*

2013-12-02T21:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/407629795594215424
@Ads4G @4thAnon I've seen it. Check out my rebuttal:
http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-12-01T00:39:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406945727323987968
@martinhume Those are aerodynamic contrails. A known hoax video.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-kc-10-video-with-aerodynamic-contrails-as-proof-of-spraying.2250/

2013-11-30T17:56:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406844074218385408
@4thAnon So "chemtrails" are not a secret? Then why does every say they are persistent contrails? Why do I think they are contrails?

2013-11-30T17:13:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406833484250873856
@4thAnon Why is it compelling? It's a patent for something that is not secret, and not like what people call "chemtrails".

2013-11-30T17:07:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406831834765332480
@4thAnon why do you give some much credence to videos like this? Do you automatically believe any "alternative" media without question?

2013-11-30T16:58:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406829503252418561
@4thAnon That, any bit in particular? What exactly do you think is the strongest evidence?

2013-11-30T16:36:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406824147004571648
@4thAnon Spiders.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/

2013-11-30T16:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406823992163454976
@4thAnon I'll fully agree it's possible to spray things from rockets and planes, if that helps.

2013-11-30T16:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406823769538195457
@4thAnon Go on then. What's the evidence for chemtrails not being contrails?

2013-11-30T16:26:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406821509810774016
@4thAnon The rockets are real. But what people say are "chemtrails" just look and act exactly like persistent contrails.

2013-11-30T16:25:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/406821387630678016

@4thAnon The problem here is that you are conflating upper atmosphere sounding rocket spraying with "chemtrails".

2013-11-30T16:25:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406821192775905280

@4thAnon No only possible, but barium spraying is something that's done every year or so by NASA.

2013-11-30T16:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406820621406834689

@4thAnon The result of such spraying:

http://www.space.com/19521-nasa-rocket-night-sky-lights-launch.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BaVRMyLCEAACFWE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BaVRMyLCEAACFWE.jpg

2013-11-30T16:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406820317173018624

@4thAnon The patent is real. Spraying is easy. Anyone could do it. NASA does spray from sounding rockets. What question am I avoiding?

2013-11-30T16:19:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406819874376130560

@4thAnon The real question is if there's any question that they are doing it (whatever "it") is here.

2013-11-30T16:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406819664258269184

@4thAnon Your question is rhetorical. Of course it's possible. Why are you even asking?

2013-11-30T16:18:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406819514286747648

@4thAnon Spraying things is not exactly complicated. You are barking up the wrong tree.

2013-11-30T16:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406819102041186304

@4thAnon Are you just asking if it's technically feasible to spray barium in the upper atmosphere? Why on earth would it not be?

2013-11-30T16:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406818845123301376

@4thAnon What on earth would they need a patent for? Isn't it supposed to be secret?

2013-11-30T16:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406818596463984640

@4thAnon Here's 200,000 patents related to homeopathy, which does not actually work. https://www.google.com/search?tbm=pts&q=homeopathic

---

2013-11-30T16:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406818012239368192

@4thAnon Here's a patent for a nuclear powered manned mission to Mars. Are there men on mars? https://www.google.com/patents/WO2013070292A2

2013-11-30T16:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406817015823749120

@4thAnon @joerogan Of course it's real. But there are patents for moon houses. Does that mean there are houses on the moon?

2013-11-30T16:06:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406816623392075776

@4thAnon @joerogan Patenting something does not mean it works, or that it's in use. There are lots of speculative patents out there.

2013-11-30T16:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/406815807604137984

@4thAnon @joerogan vs. Patent US3216423, a device for spinning a pregnant woman in circles until the baby pops out http://www.google.com/patents/US3216423

2013-11-26T19:08:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/405412852824952832

@42n0nymous Evergreen is real enough, although they are bankrupt. They didn't spray anything though, except water. Atlas is a cargo airline.

2013-11-23T18:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/404313179724865536

@lek_black @Aus911Truth @martinhume @aboveawhisper1 @jace3312 Frozen condensation, at -40 degrees. Yes.

2013-11-23T18:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/404312829945053185

@OhSmyle @Chemtrails_818 Based off $??? They cost me about $1 per day. I make no money from them. It's a hobby. But are there any errors?

2013-11-23T18:16:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404312562931490816
@aboveawhisper1 @lek_black @Aus911Truth @martinhume @jace3312 "Normalize them"??? I discuss the science behind them. I explain them.

2013-11-23T16:53:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404291608520032256
@Aus911Truth @aboveawhisper1 @lek_black @martinhume @jace3312 That photo is explained here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-11-23T16:52:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404291415967936512
@aboveawhisper1 @Aus911Truth @martinhume @lek_black @jace3312 How many?

2013-11-23T16:52:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404291256907333632
@aboveawhisper1 @lek_black @Aus911Truth @martinhume @jace3312 Contrails change the weather. Nobody ever said they didn't.

2013-11-23T05:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404116358884900864
@Borninbarcelona Spiders:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/

2013-11-23T05:06:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404113812590063616
@Aus911Truth @martinhume @aboveawhisper1 @lek_black @jace3312 Nice examples of 1970s contrails!

2013-11-23T05:06:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404113708307058688
@Aus911Truth @martinhume @aboveawhisper1 No, they are not. They are formed due to water vapor in the exhaust, combined with -40F temps.

2013-11-23T01:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404059040340131840
@Aus911Truth @martinhume @aboveawhisper1 Contrails can persist for hours.

2013-11-22T21:58:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/404005969442521088
@Aus911Truth @martinhume @aboveawhisper1 You sure it wasn't a contrail?

2013-11-21T23:14:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/403662643992330240
@TrickNig Me too. This is my site:
http://contrailscience.com/ Let me know if you see anything wrong there.

2013-11-21T23:04:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/403660294494244864

@TrickNig And how do you know this, exactly?

2013-11-21T21:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/403632896566517761

@TrickNig Plane exhausts are basically like car exhausts, except that sometimes they make clouds, due to the weather.

2013-11-21T19:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/403608387335618560

@iain39 @Kia11on I'm not clear what plane is emitting two different trails? Do you mean the hybrid contrail? Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZnnsJRCYAEJgS-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZnnsJRCYAEJgS-.jpg

2013-11-21T19:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/403606910965780480

@Aus911Truth @jeffreymadwisc @TrickNig @martinhume That's a computer rendering of GPS tracks, from the BBC program "Britain from Above".

2013-11-19T21:41:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402914465605120000

@john_t_lutz Where did you live in the 1970s? How much high altitude air traffic was there overhead?

2013-11-19T19:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402884612751257600

@john_t_lutz Did you even look at the old books? I mean genuine old books, 40-50 years old? Like this from 1957: http://metabunk.org/files/1957-Cloud-study-a-pictorial-guide-OCR.pdf

---

2013-11-19T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402883822108164096

@Joe_Quizzy You can cross off FEMA coffins for a start:

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fema-coffins-plastic-grave-liners.904/

2013-11-19T18:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402861987622694912

@john_t_lutz And here's some info on Pre WWII contrails:
http://contrailscience.com/pre-wwii-contrails/ dating back to 1915.

2013-11-19T18:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402861788770746368

@john_t_lutz You don't need jets to make contrails. But have you ever checked old books on clouds? say from the 60's or 70's?

2013-11-19T18:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402861506573791233

Debunked: Infowars' & Mancow's claim that Harry Lennix trained Obama:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-infowars-mancows-claim-that-harry-lennix-trained-obama.2676/

2013-11-19T00:27:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402593867288936448

@martinhume @HealthRanger You know she's not actually an official. Just a small town council member for the Green Party.

2013-11-18T20:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402536372424032256

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @drbloem @aboveawhisper1 It's a flight for testing avionics equipment. Explained in link.

2013-11-18T16:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402481061227020288

@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @drbloem @aboveawhisper1 But flights described as back and forth are usually different planes, opposite directions

---

2013-11-18T16:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402480673241722880

@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @drbloem @aboveawhisper1 Yes, actually I do :)
http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZXmCi8IYAAJEbu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZXmCi8IYAAJEbu.jpg

2013-11-18T05:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402313550154063872

@eRiC_Bz1 @drbloem @WhiteSkyBlues @aboveawhisper1 Most flights are scheduled flights. Weather sometimes support lots of contrails.

2013-11-17T18:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402137877770805248

@john_t_lutz You can actually buy antique books on clouds, and they have persistent contrails in them.

2013-11-17T18:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/402137582877691904

@martinhume @aboveawhisper1 Simliar to these DC Trails, 23 years ago, 1990:

http://www.flickr.com/photos/aimeedars/2692832316/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZSuADsCMAAGgS-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZSuADsCMAAGgS-.jpg

2013-11-17T04:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401932118520893440

@OhSmyle @Chemtrails_818 @DorsetReiki My sites are based on truth. So I care vastly about truth. I constantly invite corrections.

2013-11-16T23:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401852429106282496
@Jeremt007T Pick one: https://www.google.com/search?q=haarp+shut+down Even Nick Begich agrees:
http://chemtrailsplanet.net/2013/07/22/nick-begich-confirms-haarp-is-shut-down-for-now/

2013-11-16T23:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401847359761440768
@Jeremt007T HAARP shut down months ago.

2013-11-16T23:00:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401847288185626624
@Chemtrails_818 @DorsetReiki I don't claim to know everything. But did I say anything that is incorrect?

2013-11-16T22:58:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401846881346543616
@john_t_lutz But what is that difference? They look the same to me, comparing old photos.

2013-11-16T21:24:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401823032353632256
@Chemtrails_818 @DorsetReiki Avoiding all contradictory evidence is not very good science. Sounds more like dogma.

2013-11-16T21:23:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401822874203222016
@john_t_lutz What is the visual difference between a "chemtrail" and a persistent contrail?

2013-11-16T21:22:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401822660700962816
@DorsetReiki "Neither NOAA, NASA, nor the CIA have anything more to do with this study other than receiving the final committee report."

2013-11-16T18:15:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401775436163608576
@DorsetReiki @Chemtrails_818 It's explained in the link.

2013-11-16T18:07:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401773502304231424
@iain39 Okay, how can you tell it's not condensation?

2013-11-16T18:06:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401773376940687361
@Chemtrails_818 @DorsetReiki They are not:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-studying-geoengineering-climate-engineering-weather-warfare.2034/

2013-11-16T17:07:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/401758343556898816
@john_t_lutz About the lack of lawyers defending chemtrails on the internet? Of do you think

I'm a lawyer? What?

2013-11-16T05:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401590331134582784

@CAchemtrails Not even persistent contrails.

2013-11-15T21:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401456167546667008

@OhSmyle @DeptofWeather Any particular bit of that you feel is actual evidence?

2013-11-15T17:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401404522783707136

@DeptofWeather How can you tell?

2013-11-15T16:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401383505705181184

@nagoul1 @aboveawhisper1 Not really. It's just an enhanced crop of a larger photo. See: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-11-15T16:17:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401383346174828544

@WhiteSkyBlues @aboveawhisper1 If you are interested, there's an in-depth explanation of that photo here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-11-15T16:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401382970608451584

@john_t_lutz Free lawyers? Where?

2013-11-15T06:20:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401233324988837888

The Sky in Los Angeles tonight. I predict someone will say it's HAARP.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZF3lUVCYAAtfSi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BZF3lUVCYAAtfSi.jpg

2013-11-14T21:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401099462975565825

@WhiteSkyBlues @1WON_D @martinhume Just two planes at different altitudes. See: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-11-14T19:20:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/401067180608868352

@Lee_galize The Chronicle is a satire site, like The Onion. See: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails.2550/

2013-11-13T17:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400676595020472322

@Half8n They are not seeing cloud seeding though. That uses small planes, and leaves no trail. They are seeing contrails, of various types.

2013-11-13T17:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400673955884703744

@djkmb0824 @joerogan Unfortunately it's complex. I can give it a go though. Key point is the altitude, and low energy compared to the sun.

2013-11-13T00:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400424186927001601

Jet trails over the desert so thick that they blot out the sun, in 1958!!! http://news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1243&dat=19581206&id=TVNYAAAAIBAJ&sjid=gfcDAAAAIBAJ&pg=5643,1072397 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BY6XrS2CMAAevMG.png

---



The Bulletin - Dec 6, 1958 Browse this newspaper » Browse all newspapers »

Page 8

New Fuss Raised Over Jet Trails

WASHINGTON (UPI)—The Air Force is long since resigned to the fuss about noise from its jets. Now comes Palm Springs, Calif., with a new complaint—that jet trails overhead are so thick they are beginning to blot out the sun.

Jimmy Cooper, manager of the Palm Springs Chamber of Commerce, outlined this "most serious and urgent problem" in a telegram to Rep, D. S. (Judge) Saund (D-Calif.), who has asked the Air Force what can be done.

"As you know, Cooper wired Saund, "our entire economy is dependent upon the tourist trade, which is predicated on our bright sunshine and warm climate. Recently our sky has resembled a mob of exuberant sky riders performing an aerial circus.

The 'contrails' are not disappearing but are breaking down into a haze and creating a cloud-like appearance in the sky.

"With the unlimited expanse of barren, uninhabited land in the West, does such activity have to be centered over a resort area, which is offering the visitor cloudless skies and unlimited sunshine?"

The Air Force, so far, is flabbergasted. No doubt in time it will recover its aplomb sufficiently to give Saund the usual answer to all congressional inquiries—that the matter will be investigated.

The Air Force figures its jets couldn't blot out the sun short of a wing to wing mass flight like the European bombing raids of World War II. If anything like that is going on out of March Air Force Base, at Riverside, Calif., the nearest jet base to Palm Springs, Air Force officials here want to know all about it.

Russians Claim Flank Exposed

MOSCOW (UPI)—Russia said today that the projected U.S.-Iranian military pact exposes the Soviet Union's southern frontier to "direct danger."

The Communist Party newspaper Pravda said Russia could not remain indifferent to the situation.

The newspaper quoted what it said were fact that demanstrate that "certain circles in Iran, following the lead of external sources, are aiming at a deterioration of Soviet-Iranian relations and the sowing of mistrust between the two states."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BY6XrS2CMAAevMG.png
2013-11-13T00:35:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400421685846753282
@Half8n Cloud seeding is not chemtrails, unless you are being pedantic. Cloud seeding has been public since the 1950s

---

2013-11-13T00:35:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400421532754640896
@SpecterNews That was a hoax, like from The Onion, See:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails.2550/

2013-11-12T23:21:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400403085270331392
@martinhume As the sun sets, lower clouds and contrails seem darker than higher clouds and contrails.

2013-11-12T23:18:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400402343465721856
@djkmb0824 Yes. Basically it can't affect the weather or earthquakes.
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/haarp-debunked-and-explained.1650/

2013-11-12T21:23:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400373419104931840
@martinhume Some similar paris contrails from 20+ years ago.
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-5#post-69150

2013-11-12T21:17:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400371848208064513
@iangood2fvcer Sorcha Fall hoax:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-us-reported-in-panic-after-chemtrail-planes-forced-down-in-india-and-nigeria.2649/

2013-11-12T20:34:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400360899212374017
@Lifewisenews Ballooning Spiders:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemwebs-mysterious-fibers-fall-from-sky-ballooning-spiders.287/

2013-11-12T20:31:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/400360195332665344
@TrenchPressNews Actually he was just talking about sounding rockets:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-nasa-scientist-admitting-chemtrails-actually-sounding-rockets.2009/

2022-05-03T05:13:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521357313386242049
@ScottishDebunk1 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @fin365 I don't know what you are referring to. The

Halo around Gimbal? Or the glare itself? What was "pulsating"?

2022-05-03T05:11:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521356749810257920

@ScottishDebunk1 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @fin365 The impact of the book is that it was something I remember later in life and relayed as an anecdote that then got seized upon by UFO fans who wanted to psychoanalyze me to reassure themselves that I was somehow motivated by irrational reasons, which would somehow make them right.

2022-05-03T05:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521355634507075585

@MiddleOfMayhem @BryanDBender @thaxter @SicCoP1 @LuAngeles @DeptofDefense Some of the stories are very compelling, told by credible sounding people with credentials. I don't think that's a "nothingburger", but it's really not good hard evidence.

Lawmakers are generally not very technical, so they rely on stories, or personal assurances of evidence.

2022-05-03T04:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521345460237078529

@ConspiracyWATCH @QTRResearch I deleted nothing.

2022-05-03T00:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521282219649552384

@fringequest That was pre-UFOs for me. Just standard "TV is all fake" wishful thinking conspiracy theory.

2022-05-03T00:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521282019438694400

@the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 It that a "probably not a jet engine" or "100% impossible that it's a jet engine"?

2022-05-03T00:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521280148460294144

@the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 Are you convinced that it is NOT the glare from a jet exhaust?

2022-05-03T00:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521279998086184960

@EssentialBusin7 That is not an answer. Explain why.

2022-05-03T00:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521279831920373761

@normal20211 Sounds like a great excuse to ask for more money.

What's Congress going to do, say "oh, you've not solved the alien problem yet, so we're going to defund you and let market forces handle it"?

2022-05-03T00:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521279417162502144

@ConspiracyWATCH @QTRResearch You said there were "several direct and valid references to the data on athlete deaths on both sides"

So where are they? Surely such important evidence would be front and center somewhere.

2022-05-03T00:02:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521278897463054336

@fin365 @AdamGoldsack It's a subtle way of saying they have no good evidence and had to come up with an excuse as to why.

2022-05-03T00:00:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521278600149815297

@the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 Glares are often saucer-shaped. The very first time I tried this, the setup naturally gave me a saucer-shaped glare.

And you're ignoring the four observables. Odd that you can't see the initial lack of rotation which BY ITSELF proves it's glare.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs&t=826s

2022-05-02T23:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521277553062809600

@Roro_McFarland There's a lot of discussion on Metabunk, but there's also a lot of eyes on the situation, so I've not been that involved

https://www.metabunk.org/forums/russia-and-ukraine.63/

2022-05-02T23:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521277218319593472

@BostonsBuddha @chrisotis78 What's he going to say? "Yes, that's a secret US aircraft program"?

I don't the majority of sightings are secret aircraft programs either.

2022-05-02T23:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521276207286800384

@the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 You don't measure glare in feet. It's a degrees or pixel-sized thing, in the camera.

2022-05-02T23:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521275615860510720

Ten years ago, back in 2013, I debunked the claim that a CNN broadcast from Saudi Arabia was faked. I explained in great detail why it was not, with lots of references.

Today someone asked me if I knew about the "fake" CNN broadcast.

Disinformation is sticky, and regenerates.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1521274322316263424

2022-05-02T23:45:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521274684095942656
@SabersKnight How do you know it's misleading? Maybe the evidence just ISN'T impressive.

2022-05-02T23:43:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521274322316263424
@Brandon121Walsh @QTRResearch I'm aware of the false claims. I looked into it, geolocated the "set", and it was where they said it was - at the Dhahran International Hotel.

Lots of detail here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cnns-fake-news-broadcasts-charles-jaco-and-the-fake-live-gulf-war-reports.1140/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRym2HPVcAYDVkM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRym2HPVcAYDVkM.jpg
2022-05-02T23:37:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521272785493929985
@AdamGoldsack Not within the hour, no.

But I'd think maybe within 75 YEARS something would come out. Like, since 1947

2022-05-02T23:35:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521272266322939906
@mombojom @chrisotis78 Unless that was something else.

2022-05-02T23:35:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521272188954841088

@the_cholla @Sigmondo77 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @ufouapam @uncertainvector Really? 35NM and 350Knots seems quite reasonable, given all the unknowns and the simple path fitting.

Here's with with the tail angle visualized by adding a 2000 ft wingspan jet in there.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1521271512572915712/pu/img/RMGKbvHgU7loUsVn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1521271512572915712/pu/img/RMGKbvHgU7loUsVn.jpg
2022-05-02T22:03:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521248965546545154
@the_cholla @Sigmondo77 @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure @ufouapam I deeply disagree with @the_cholla's interpretation. It's "consistent" only within the loosest interpretations of a vague description from @uncertainvector (e.g. wrong direction), the rotation does NOT follow the curve, and there's a simple straight-line path at 30-40NM.

2022-05-02T20:47:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521229901419798528
@KilliK69 @justabuzzard @mission_europa @statquanstring "Harassing" seems a bit strong to apply to all the cases. The vast majority of encounters seem to be distant objects doing nothing.

Sure though, if there's perceived harassment, that should be investigated.

2022-05-02T20:45:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521229468630544385
@SicCoP1 @chrisotis78 Are they not informing them?

2022-05-02T20:28:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1521225209390403584
@chrisotis78 They don't believe WHAT could be true?

Why is the possibility, at the very least, of the Chinese secretly having somewhat more advanced propulsion systems than the US, something they prefer to just brush under the carpet?

Maybe just no good evidence?

2022-05-02T20:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521224343623114752

@SabersKnight @fin365 @EireScientist I'm approaching "the phenomenon" as if it's a bunch of different things. Some of those things might be intelligent (like drones.)

A lot of things that people see in the sky end up being clutter or misidentified aircraft.

2022-05-02T17:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521178640339193858

@statquanstring You mean they have better things to do than study something that will instantly change everything - especially for who gets it first.

Maybe it's simply that the evidence really isn't that compelling...

2022-05-02T17:21:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521178140629880832

@rjc05 @QTRResearch The majority of stuff on Snopes is accurate and well referenced. Try a random sampling of their articles.

2022-05-02T17:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521177880385835008

â□□I think there are pockets of people in different agencies who are enthusiastic [about the government studying UFOs]â□□ said Elizondo.

Why? If it's really such an obvious big deal, why isn't the entire military focused on this epochal situation?

https://www.politico.com/news/2022/05/02/ufo-briefings-congress-pentagon-00029315

2022-05-02T10:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1521080095179378688

@ConspiracyWATCH @QTRResearch Can you email one of them to me? mick@mickwest.com

---

2022-05-02T00:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520926954827878400

@KilliK69 Because Fravor said it was symmetrical. If it was like an elongated egg then he would have mentioned that.

And your images does not even look like the video.

2022-05-01T23:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520904885377507328

@ConspiracyWATCH @QTRResearch Can you show a like-with-like comparison of pre and post-vaccine athlete death statistics that's statistically significant?

2022-05-01T23:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520904397005332481

@KilliK69 Even in your heavily edited version, with it blurred and smoothed, it's still not symmetrical.

2022-05-01T20:16:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520859633224540160

@KilliK69 This looks almost nothing like what they described.

2022-05-01T20:12:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520858755218300928

@tdeeefc @nikkinoelle7 Looks like a plane. Like this one: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

(Nikki has me blocked though)

2022-05-01T20:04:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520856825939124225

@KilliK69 @Thisappleisred "appear to" "more study needed"

2022-05-01T10:27:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520711385474162688

@Thisappleisred It's not a disinfo campaign. They BELIEVE it to be true.

2022-05-01T00:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520555550756327424

@SkinnyWalker3 @JonBob65 And what is â□□implausibleâ□□ about â□□chemtrails are not realâ□□?

2022-05-01T00:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520555221297991680

@SkinnyWalker3 @JonBob65 You seem to be skipping over the whole lack of evidence thing. There was a LIT of evidence in the OJ case. None for â□□chemtrailsâ□□

2022-05-01T00:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520554821056532480

@LFCforumCo @AE911Truth You might want to check the math there.

2022-04-30T22:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520536748966567936

@SkinnyWalker3 @JonBob65 That's not what the percentage means. They were asked how confident they were that they would come across evidence of a secret spraying program if there was a secret spraying program.

How are they supposed to know what the evidence of a secret spraying program ould look like?

2022-04-30T22:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520535871115567105

@SkinnyWalker3 @JonBob65 We discuss the selection criteria in the paper. There were some issues getting responses as a lot of people did not take it seriously.

The experts are listed, you can look them up.

2022-04-30T22:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520535107282427904

@siddharthv1812 It's a 3D model I got off Turbosquid.

2022-04-30T22:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520532206547968000

@SkinnyWalker3 @JonBob65 I think you misunderstand the paper. It demonstrates an overwhelming rejection by scientists of the chemtrails hypothesis and the claims of evidence behind it.

2022-04-30T21:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520519918734614528

@sneakin Cloud seeding is a real thing, done since the 1950s, quite openly. They even put ads in the local paper saying when they are going to do it.

It does not leave trails. It's not what people mean when they say "chemtrails".

2022-04-30T21:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520519584209506304

@SkinnyWalker3 Cloud seeding is not geoengineering.

Why do you think there's a covert geoengineering program if there's no evidence of one?

I've talked to actual geoengineering researchers about this.

2022-04-30T21:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520519164355432449

@rgh_ufos The Invisible College is not a theory. They admit it exists, and what its goals are.

And people sometimes act together in private towards shared goals. This is known. I discuss it here:

https://www.salon.com/2018/09/16/how-to-pull-a-friend-out-of-the-conspiracy-theory-rabbit-hole/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRn37_vVIAAsRWF.jpg

---

> Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people make secret plans at the expense of the general public should come as no surprise to anyone. Nixon conspired to cover up Watergate. The CIA staged “false flag” operations in 1953 to bring down the Iranian government. Powerful men in the Reagan administration conspired to illegally trade arms to Iran to finance the Nicaraguan Contras. Enron conspired to shut down power stations to raise the price of electricity. Executives from Archer Daniels Midland conspired to fix the price of animal feed. People within the second Bush administration conspired to present sketchy evidence as conclusive proof of WMDs to justify the invasion of Iraq. Politicians tacitly (and sometimes overtly) conspire with wealthy individuals and corporations, helping pass favorable legislation in exchange for campaign contributions, or sometimes just bribes. The prison industry conspires to get those politicians to incarcerate more people simply to maximize their profits.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRn37_vVIAAsRWF.jpg
2022-04-30T21:28:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520515380065710080
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @uncertainvector Nelson is a lawyer and a politician. Probably influenced some people, but "NASA Scientists" would have way more impact.

Heck, any scientists would help.

2022-04-30T21:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520513844027674625
@SkinnyWalker3 It's quite possible anything is being done when you don't have to produce any evidence.

There is no evidence of a covert geoengineering program. And these are just contrails.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRnzQr-UcAAiZj9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRnzQr-UcAAiZj9.jpg

2022-04-30T21:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520512435832033280

@rgh_ufos No, the invisible college is just a variety of UFO aficionados in a few positions in academia, government, and the media, who try to promote what they see as the necessary disclosure of hidden knowledge about UFOs.

2022-04-30T21:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520511826068353024

@SkinnyWalker3 Brennan talking about potential future geoengineering (which would not even look like contrails) is not evidence for anything.

2022-04-30T21:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520511472245215232

@rogeressig Cloud seeding weather modification has been done openly since the 1950s. It's something done to existing rain clouds, from small planes, and does not leave trails.

2022-04-30T21:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520509212501061632

@TCskywatch Yes, because people make other claims of evidence, like "too much aluminum in the soil" - which are equally specious, but not that popular.

2022-04-30T20:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520498525699600384

#chemtrails trending? Seems like a good time to remind people that 70 years of books on clouds say "contrails sometimes persist and spread."

Which basically debunks 99% of the popular evidence for "chemtrails"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-04-30T19:56:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520492282989858816

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @uncertainvector Nasa scientists?

2022-04-30T17:57:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520462402109669377

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @uncertainvector It's a continuation of the same thing over 4 years, peaking with the 60-Minutes episode.

The NYT article got the ball rolling.

2022-04-30T17:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520448880860573696

@fredericojca If you identify something as an unidentified flying object then that means you have not identified it.

2022-04-30T16:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520447868892434432

@edwardcurrent @SkinnyWalker3 @Rezeus2017 Those possibilities are on the list. But "genuine unknown" isn't really an answer. It's impossible to 100% eliminate mundane answers.

The Chilean UFO (IB6830, departing Santiago) was declared a "genuine unknown" by the Chilean air force's UFO investigation group. Bad call.

2022-04-30T16:55:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520446694734721024

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @uncertainvector There was a significant change in 2017 to 2018. Almost certainly due to the media storm surrounding the NYT article and TTSAs efforts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRm1zJHVIAEwjfa.jpg

Paranormal Beliefs (2016-2018)	2016	2017	2018	Change 2016-2018
Ancient, advanced civilizations, such as Atlantis, once existed	6	5.0	9%	17.3%
Aliens have visited Earth in our ancient past	27.0	35.0	41.4%	14.4%
Places can be haunted by spirits	46.6	52.3	57.7%	11.1%
Aliens have come to Earth in modern times	24.7	26.2	35.1%	10.4%
Bigfoot is a real creature	13.5	16.2	20.7%	7.2%
Some people can move objects with their minds	19.1	25.0	26.2%	7.1%
Fortune tellers and psychics can foresee the future	14.1	19.4	17.2%	3.1%

NYT 2017

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRm1suuVkAU7rzU.jpg

Americans' Views on Explanation for UFOs

We have a question about unidentified flying objects, also known as UFOs. Which comes closer to your view -- [some UFOs have been alien spacecraft visiting Earth from other planets or galaxies, (or) all UFO sightings can be explained by human activity on Earth or natural phenomenon]?

	Aug 1-14, 2019 %	Jul 6-21, 2021 %	Change pct. pts.
Some have been alien spacecraft	33	41	+8
All explained by human activity/natural phenomenon	60	50	-10
No opinion	7	9	+2

GALLUP

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRm1zJHVIAEwjfa.jpg

2022-04-30T15:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520420204517281793

@Paul_Budding_ No, I did not. The NYT involvement is significant in its impact, but is limited to a few articles by Kean and Blumenthal.

2022-04-30T06:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520282535112048643

@SicCoP1 @media_skyhigh Domain experts exist.

The question here is what percentage of them "believe that UAP are not from Earth"

A (speculative at best) handful is not a percentage.

2022-04-30T05:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520278457753604096

@media_skyhigh @SicCoP1 No. It's the same inquiry.

2022-04-30T05:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520277996908597253

@_Desmoden @SicCoP1 To make a point that is best illustrated via a question.

2022-04-30T05:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520277619295473664

@OrawanWelsh @TUPACABRA2 @GarryPNolan Tell that to the ODNI.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRkcWZZVsAEbQzL.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRkcWZZVsAEbQzL.jpg

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

Preliminary Assessment: Unidentified Aerial Phenomena

25 June 2021

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRkcHNAVgAAolEw.jpg
2022-04-30T05:39:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520276553619320832
@SicCoP1 So you've got names?

What did they actually do? What did they conclude? Where is the data?

2022-04-30T05:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520274049045123073
@SicCoP1 What are their names, their credentials, and what is their analysis?

2022-04-30T05:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520271497624842242
@SicCoP1 Are they?

2022-04-30T01:21:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520211784535199745
@AlxndrSmith Sure, people say they saw a Tic-Tac shape, so maybe they did.

This video does not show a Tic-Tac shape.

2022-04-30T01:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520211488652152833

And right at the start, it's tail on (zoomed in less), and looks like this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjgNmiVIAAEyyY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjgNmiVIAAEyyY.jpg

2022-04-30T01:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520211166227668992

In early IR, when you see a glare. Note you can see the glow of the engines.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjf3jeUYAAHVu4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjf3jeUYAAHVu4.jpg

2022-04-30T01:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520210966104854529

In blob TV mode. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjfonZUYAAjjEY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjfiWrVcAUfqxS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjfonZUYAAjjEY.jpg

2022-04-30T01:17:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520210604698464256

Starting to add target models to Sitrec. Here's what an F/A-18 looks like doing a constant speed turn to the left, starting 20NM away. This is the best IR frame of the video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjfE95VkAAUKJc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjfE95VkAAUKJc.jpg

2022-04-30T01:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520208715219017730

@OwatcherUf @Mr_BenPrime I think they probably saw a different object. The lower-quality video we have can only be degraded as much as the UI is degraded. And the UI is readable, lines are straight, things are not wildly distorted.

2022-04-30T01:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520207339516694528

@dave_beaty http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjcgDVVsAAJvVZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjcT7SUUAE1ymx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjcYP-VcAAeZA5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjcgDVVsAAJvVZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRjcNFcVgAI8DuH.jpg

2022-04-30T00:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520203781199122433
@BostonsBuddha Unfounded? How do you figure? Because this one pilot got close enough?

I'm not saying ALL UFOs are balloons. Just that some almost certainly are.

2022-04-29T22:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520175777798524928
@qgp07 How do you feel about it?

2022-04-29T21:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520156125311619072
@davpea2 Congress as a whole does not have this issue high on their radar. The legislation was simply attached, not discussed at all.

Weâ□□ll see.

2022-04-29T21:37:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520155256482504704
@flatue Like pilots?

2022-04-29T21:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520152089120235520
@davpea2 Congress is barely aware of it.

2022-04-29T21:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520149656289697792
@flatue Either way, the point stands. Public opinion isnâ□□t a strong indication of aliens.

2022-04-29T21:12:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520149082932539392
@skies_alive No

2022-04-29T21:11:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520148849859325952
@davpea2 Want to bet?

2022-04-29T19:49:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520128200080183296
@SkinnyWalker3 @ScottGearen @GalileoProject1 Of course, any object that TFA is in the LIZ. That's most of them.

You need a better reason to investigate.

I see a white dot out my window. It's probably a bird. Should I rush out with my 500mm big camera?

2022-04-29T19:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520127593315397632
The many faces of the Tic-Tac UFO [actual pixels, f4.mpg]

Starts out as an IR glare, like Gimbal, but more spikey.

Then TV mode: black, irregular morphing shape, turning to the left.

Then in IR, skinny, pointing left, small glare on the right.

Not actually Tic-Tac shaped. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRiTD1nVcAActDR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRiTB-dUcAAT9rx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRiTBDuVIAExXgL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRiTD1nVcAActDR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRiTC80VsAALlQk.jpg

2022-04-29T19:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520124188077346816

@Armassusi1 Demand answers to what questions? And on what grounds would (presumably the government) be compelled to answer?

2022-04-29T19:32:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520123967670915074

@SIJA1966514655 Rubio has put nothing at stake. They have got pilots seeing things they can't identify. That's sufficient grounds for investigation.

Just insufficient grounds for believing in aliens.

2022-04-29T19:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520123474668187648

@SkinnyWalker3 @ScottGearen @GalileoProject1 So are a billion things in the air.

2022-04-29T19:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1520115774135754754
@ScottGearen @GalileoProject1 How is AATIP going to investigate this borderline photo of what looks like a kite?

What exactly do you suggest they do?

2022-04-29T18:08:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520102690302291968
Sure, more Americans now believe in alien visitors. It's an inevitable result of the Invisible College's moderately successful media and lobbying campaign. NYT, TTSA, History Channel, Marco Rubio, Fox News, etc.

A better metric would be scientists and domain experts.
https://twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1520077867303849986

2022-04-29T16:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520077883540078593
@DeepSpace41 Kaku's point is that you don't have to, as now we have videos we can analyze.

Unfortunately, he didn't analyze them, otherwise, he'd know they are not great data and don't shift anything.

He's been trotting out this line for nearly three years now.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2022-04-29T16:16:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520074653200314368
@Mr_BenPrime Would they, particularly Stone, do that if they thought it made them look like crazy people?

2022-04-29T15:24:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520061406636232705
@BarlowTerry @fin365 If X is not ridiculous and Y is slightly ridiculous, then Y is more ridiculous than X.

2022-04-29T15:21:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1520060752031150080
@steven_dor Also comes in a transmedium model.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jZ4QAWKgBu4

2022-04-29T10:10:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519982419142533120
@BarlowTerry @fin365 That's not what I'm saying. I'm ASKING if it is MORE ridiculous.

2022-04-29T08:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519957095377895424

@BoomerKC So why would aliens not use robot birds as spies? What is less "serious" about that hypothesis?

2022-04-29T08:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519950056220028928

@BarlowTerry @fin365 No, the question is about the "seagull spy" hypothesis.

2022-04-29T05:02:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519905004642992128

@sneakin @Trumpery45 Daytime. Lots of UFO encounters are in the daytime. Zero good photos.

2022-04-29T05:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519904793078099968

@MusicAndTruth @fin365 Fin's is not the issue.

The issue is the average.

Lots of eyewitness close-ups. Zero matching photos.

2022-04-29T04:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519903615192027137

@Trumpery45 @sneakin Today's homework: photograph a car with your phone.

Because that's how close and detailed a lot of UFO eyewitness encounters are. They are not all lights in the sky.

2022-04-29T04:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519900500107218945

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 @MvonRen With a known range/zoom. No?

2013-11-12T20:30:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400359905598509056

@MrAlias1 It was a hoax, debunked: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails.2550/

2013-11-12T18:07:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400324051496751104

@HollyWest @nelizadrew Once I have determined there are none, I stop for several minutes.

2013-11-12T17:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400319397799018496

@HollyWest @nelizadrew No I don't. Lots of time there are no clouds.

2013-11-12T00:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/400054910966063104

@FloridaSkyWatch It's a parallel shadow cast on a lower layer of cloud. See #2 here: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2013-11-09T19:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/399262295072587776

@VibeVal @martinhume @frogetteca That's a "fallstreak hole", a natural thing, but sometimes triggered by planes. http://cloudappreciationsociety.org/fallstreak-holes-%E2%80%93-a-new-understanding/

2013-11-09T18:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/399242160635015168

Debunked: Debunkers Trust the Government and Think Everything is Fine http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-debunkers-trust-the-government-and-think-everything-is-fine.2632/

2013-11-08T22:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398934057829937152

@l3uildCraft Thanks Eric! Glad you enjoyed it.

2013-11-07T04:08:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398300904014614529

@Chemtrails_818 And palm trees too! What if you moved a mile to the left? Would they still be around the sun?

2013-11-07T04:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398300444276953088

@petenaotg That's not a myth. That's a strawman.

2013-11-06T23:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398230606162563073

@_toddobrien http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYbMoFTCEAAk6ft.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYbMoFTCEAAk6ft.jpg

2013-11-06T23:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398230264356159488

@_toddobrien KITE, KIT, STEAL, PLAYING, MUST - are the actual words.

2013-11-06T23:26:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398229899309101056

@_toddobrien They say Kit, not Hit.

2013-11-06T18:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/398153605603028992

@_toddobrien That's called the "observer expectancy effect". Here's a great example: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7-ZnPE3G_YY

2013-11-05T01:09:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397531096444710912

RT @NBCNews: EXCLUSIVE: Skydiver helmet cams capture heart-stopping tumble over skies of Wisconsin: http://nbcnews.to/HG8K92

2013-11-05T00:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397522521295044608

Got a photo of something odd in the sky? Metabunk's Skydentify team can figure it out for you: http://www.metabunk.org/forums/skydentify-what-is-that-thing-in-the-sky.32/

2013-11-04T21:26:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397475072648028160

@BigO_305 @ChemtrailsNews @Chemtrails_818 Here's a similar example, in the last image of

this PDF, from 1957: http://metabunk.org/files/1957-Cloud-study-a-pictorial-guide-OCR.pdf

---

2013-11-04T21:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397474128896077824

@loftyjester Even so, we might want it not to - what with the sea level rise, etc. But the point is nobody is doing it now.

2013-11-04T21:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397473078994685952

1957 book on contrails for you to share with all your #chemtrail friends to help them out of the rabbit hole. http://metabunk.org/files/1957-Cloud-study-a-pictorial-guide-OCR.pdf

---

2013-11-04T21:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397472014828462080

@loftyjester See: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/ for explanation of that

---

2013-11-04T21:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397471879079800832

@Freizeit_Tiger Here's all the contrail pages and cover in a PDF
http://metabunk.org/files/1957-Cloud-study-a-pictorial-guide-OCR.pdf

---

2013-11-04T21:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397470587548737536

@piKKKil @loftyjester Yeah, but you could say that about anything. Unicorns, robot cats, houses on the moon, anything. Need evidence.

2013-11-04T21:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397470403838242816

@piKKKil @loftyjester They don't want to. They want to consider it as an option, just in case we can't get carbon under control.

2013-11-04T21:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397470244819570690

@piKKKil @loftyjester Those two statements are contradictory. There was no incomprehensible technology in the NSA spying. Nor in clouds.

2013-11-04T20:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397454211845087233

@piKKKil @loftyjester I'm familiar with it. You know that even ATS now consider TE to be a hoax? http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread979726/pg

---

2013-11-04T20:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397453887474384896

@piKKKil @loftyjester And how can you tell they are synthetic, if such patterns have always been there?

2013-11-04T20:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397453663775379456

@piKKKil @loftyjester Nope, they just draw what they see. They accurately render the sky as it appears now, not as it was in the 1950s.

2013-11-04T19:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397452750092386304

@piKKKil @loftyjester That's because there hasn't really been one. The "chemtrail" theory just makes people notice them more.

2013-11-04T19:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397448007148265472

@Freizeit_Tiger Here's my copy. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYQE20iCcAAOHs_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYQE20iCcAAOHs_.jpg

2013-11-04T19:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397446442484125696

@Freizeit_Tiger It's the same as the book at 2:50 in this video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vjo7DJ4Zwp4 (mine's a 1960 reprint, but the same book).

2013-11-04T18:57:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397437450189934593

@Freizeit_Tiger Available on Amazon: http://www.amazon.com/gp/offer-listing/B0007F8TEW/ref=dp_olp_used_mbc?ie=UTF8&condition=used

---

2013-11-04T18:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397437026787540992

@Freizeit_Tiger Yes, It's one that Stupid found, and I bought a copy for $10. Lots of "suspicious" photos in it.

2013-11-04T16:54:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397406522067529728

Found a 1957 book with explanation of spreading contrails. Hence #chemtrails are #debunked

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYPfIEkCYAAWtrf.jpg

A small wind shear can draw out a contrail into an almost horizontal sheet of cloud [no. **70**]. In this picture, the right hand trail is a few minutes older than the other and has been extended more. Sometimes several trails drawn out in this way cover most of the sky with cirrus. If the trails are very persistent the clear air must be saturated for ice.

Brightly coloured parts of complex haloes are occasionally seen in persistent contrails. Parts of coronae have been seen from accompanying aircraft in the first few metres of the trails, but they disappear as the droplets freeze and grow into crystals.



70 *R. S. Scorer*



71 *W. Tribble*

Sometimes ice crystals appear along the path of an aircraft which flies through a cloud of supercooled droplets. If the crystals grow and eventually fall out of the cloud layer, they may leave a fall-streak hole [see no. **28** and p. 45] in the form of a long clear lane. In the present example [no. **71**], however, the crystal trail lies below a clear lane which is probably an ordinary distrail; it was produced in a very thin wave cloud.

Cloud Study - A pictorial Guide - 1957

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYPfIEkCYAAWtrf.jpg

2013-11-04T04:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397220639989174272

@KristenMeghan Well what would constitute a "reasonable" search? And you can still take the trains you know?

2013-11-04T04:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397218609056845824

@KristenMeghan But you don't have to fly. Flying is not a constitutional right.

2013-11-04T04:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397216024098897922

@KristenMeghan "unreasonable" search is prohibited. It's debatable. Your right to own a gun is not debatable. It's enshrined in law.

2013-11-03T23:38:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397145878990839808

I think the hammer is self propelled, Thor just uses the remote.

2013-11-03T23:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397144149461196800

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Nobody is trying to take away your guns. It's not going to happen. Protected by the constitution. Red herring.

2013-11-03T21:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397109797666844673

@KristenMeghan Sure, but do you really think staging a shooting in LAX is the way to do it? In front of thousands? Incredible risk for what?

2013-11-03T21:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397108603623964672

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan Kristen, how would your world view alter if you found out all these shooting were real?

2013-11-03T20:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397097731342073856

@JtbuffBuff Come on Matt. You are rushing to judgement. Slow down. Look are more photos of him - like in the sunlight. QE

2013-11-03T20:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397095672953847809

@JtbuffBuff It's from the video you first posted, just a better version. Look at your video, right at the start. Dark stain. Blood. Dead.

2013-11-03T20:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397090896329441280

@JtbuffBuff Respect.

2013-11-03T19:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397084750969454592

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @kristenmeghan Dude, have an open mind. Think for yourself. Question everything. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYK6ehnCEAA1Y5R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BYK6ehnCEAA1Y5R.jpg

2013-11-03T19:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/397081377230708736

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan Sure. That's the dead TSA agent. Better video: http://www.youtube.com/embed/KoEzbTyiF2Q?

2013-11-02T21:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396752537551777792

@MichaelMicklow People operate in their own self interest, not in the interest of other rich people. Koch vs. Soros. Not a conspiracy.

2013-11-02T21:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396752295410417664

@MichaelMicklow The question is if there's any sort of central planning. High level orchestration of world events. Or if it's emergent.

2013-11-02T20:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396737624192204800

@MichaelMicklow The corruption in politics comes from many different places, not one elite cabal. It's emergent, not deliberate.

2013-11-02T20:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396737405014638592

@MichaelMicklow I think our disagreement here would be about the intentionality. I think there are thousands of independent super-rich.

2013-11-02T20:14:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396732040206622720

@MichaelMicklow I agree that wealth inequality is a huge problem - probably THE underlying problem. I also agree many politician are bought

---

2013-11-02T00:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396432613050572801

@MichaelMicklow I don't think it's as simple as that.

2013-11-02T00:03:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396427340596518912

@martinhume Nice photo. That's an aerodynamic contrail, see: http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2013-11-01T19:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396365641977835520

@MichaelMicklow They did not inject people with syphilis, they just did tell them they had it, and did not always treat them.

2013-11-01T19:56:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396365216088211456

@MichaelMicklow The health of black sharecroppers in the 50's is very different to mudering thousands of people directly.

2013-11-01T19:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396360370572365824

@MichaelMicklow Who died there? (I'm no fan of gov, but the scale seems very different there)

2013-11-01T19:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396359672346583040

@MichaelMicklow So you don't think it was controlled demolition then?

2013-11-01T19:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396359325515390976

@MichaelMicklow The problem is when you extrapolate these things to 9/11. It's an entirely different kettle of fish. Killing 2000 Americans.

2013-11-01T19:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396358995792773120

@MichaelMicklow maybe so, but to describe that as some kind of deliberate false flag is a bit misleading. It's provocation at most.

2013-11-01T19:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396358038967164929

@MichaelMicklow Look closer at Tonkin. It's not as clear cut as you might think.

2013-11-01T19:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396356260364169216

@MichaelMicklow Northwoods contained no plans to kill anyone. And it was quickly rejected.

2013-11-01T19:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396355308903096320

@MichaelMicklow That's the theory. You should try to look into it more though. Tonkin is a good place to start.

2013-11-01T19:14:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396354466217078784

@MichaelMicklow I don't want to dredge up debunking that about a decade old. You can look it up.

2013-11-01T19:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396351860103069696

@MichaelMicklow That's the gamechanger? How is this new?

2013-11-01T18:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/396337275216199680

@MichaelMicklow @joerogan Which bit is the gamechanger? Seems like a lot of already-explained stuff. Quantity is not quality.

2013-10-31T19:13:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/395991911950852096

There was a chemistry text book called "Chemtrails", that does not means the #chemtrails conspiracy theory is true.

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual.352/

2013-10-31T19:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/395990755736436736

In 1990 the USAF had a chemistry textbook called "Chemtrails", a play on their cadet handbook "Contrails". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BX7XfoFCIAAUqu0.jpg





http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BX7XfoFCIAAUqu0.jpg

2013-10-29T22:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/395309520722739200

@Layzie2109 What have they done before? Crop dusting? Fire fighting? Sprayed things from

planes?

2013-10-29T20:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/395287647230230528

@Layzie2109 There is geoengineering research. There are not even any real tests yet. Nothing at all like "chemtrails".

2013-10-28T18:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/394892312280436736

@DConRadioIo @mmk61668 @Seanras The Snowden Chemtrail story was a hoax, from a satire site like The Onion.

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails.2550/

2013-10-24T18:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/393443436780466176

Correlation does not imply causation. (via @rdfrs FB)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BXXKuJuCcAAGJsq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BXXKuJuCcAAGJsq.jpg

2013-10-24T04:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/393239402693918721

@OhSmyle @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @joerogan Yup, that sounds entirely unlike what you now call a "chemtrail". 400ft up, and invisible.

2013-10-24T04:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/393232225208000512

@OhSmyle @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @joerogan The only thing that can do that is a contrail. Water from the atmosphere.

2013-10-24T04:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/393232130190213121
@OhSmyle @eddiebravo @duncantrussell @joerogan 1pound a mile is entirely invisible. That's the problem with chemtrails, need 10 TON/mile

---

2013-10-23T17:04:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/393060303132307456
@eddiebravo @OhSmyle @duncantrussell @joerogan There's live bacteria everywhere. This was just an identifiable tracer, a dispersement test

---

2013-10-22T23:29:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392794774853259264
@MeierFire Talking about doing it in the future.

2013-10-22T23:04:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392788639316340736
@karentalford66 @trutherbot Nope, it's the inside of an Evergreen firefighting plane. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KvBRWTumoZI

2013-10-22T23:03:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392788386416562176
@MeierFire Nobody ever said planes can't spray. There are numerous applications for aerial spraying. Just not that leaves high trails.

2013-10-22T21:42:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392768030343561216
@lek_black @OhSmyle @karentalford66 @lisa_alba @jace3312 @martinhume You should not trust them. But don't just assume the opposite. Check.

2013-10-22T21:13:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392760598502445056
@MeierFire Cloud seeding. Been done publically since the 1950s

---

2013-10-21T21:57:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392409347608702976
@MeierFire @joerogan @eddiebravo I'm still up for it.

2013-10-20T18:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/392000488587018240
@lek_black @karentalford66 @lisa_alba @jace3312 @martinhume Low? Or far away? Things that are far away are close to the horizon.

2013-10-20T00:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391718911256698880
@karentalford66 @eddiebravo Because if you had looked it up (in books on clouds), you would have found out that contrails often persist.

2013-10-20T00:07:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391717190434435072
@karentalford66 @eddiebravo You assume that contrails can't persist. You never actually looked it up.

2013-10-19T23:53:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391713732444712960
@karentalford66 @eddiebravo Indeed. But science = gold! Question your assumptions.

2013-10-19T23:01:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391700709084635136
@karentalford66 @eddiebravo You can fool them regarding the explanation of what they have seen. Pretending contrails never persist = fooling

---

2013-10-19T22:00:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391685323379322880
@karentalford66 @eddiebravo Works both ways. It's quite hard to convince people they have been fooled by the chemtrail promoters.

2013-10-19T21:59:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391684987700801536
@martinhume That's from 2008. Five years ago.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S-hm54CP4xA

2013-10-19T21:28:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391677291828228096
@BillOttman Not really. It looked like it fell from fire. Anyway, big topic.

2013-10-19T21:27:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391677090543566848
@BillOttman Just not possible? Lots of people have done the math. It's quite possible.

2013-10-19T19:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391642757204148224
@42n0nymous I prefer to focus on individual tangible details. No speculation. Like
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WovyEqfR8Hg

2013-10-19T19:09:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391642381813940224
@42n0nymous There was a FOIA, the cameras did not show the impact. Anyway, the idea it was a missile is disproven without that.

2013-10-19T18:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391630494741168128
@42n0nymous Debunking isn't about conclusions. It's about fact checking. I'm not writing a thesis on JFK.

2013-10-19T18:19:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391629741335130112
@42n0nymous Says who?

2013-10-19T17:51:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/391622644807655424
@BillOttman What's so exceptional about 9/11? The collapse of the World Trade Center towers, for one thing.

2013-10-19T17:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391622384509128704

@42n0nymous It's considerably better than Jesse Ventura's story.

2013-10-19T17:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391622275427864576

@42n0nymous What would this proof consist of?

2013-10-19T17:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391622201385820160

@BillOttman No. For example the first time a train beat a horse was the first time that happened. No laws were broken.

2013-10-18T23:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391350284753989632

@BillOttman There's a first time to everything. They were exceptional circumstances that day, hence exceptional results are to be expected.

2013-10-18T23:16:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391342042099372033

@RealAlexJones I think you might want to pick a more relevant comparison Alex. Small short lived fire there. Plus the other differences.

2013-10-18T19:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391281042062991360

@legenet @martinhume So what is he "admitting" then? The technology and the effects have been discussed for decades.

2013-10-18T17:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/391261843349450752

@martinhume Why do you keep repeating these things after they have been explained? He's talking about the future. Not "admitting" anything.

2013-10-17T18:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390913232572928000

@cemills Where fire was not burning was a lot cooler than where fire was burning. It's not like the WTC was a candle. It was very big.

2013-10-17T18:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390901694156267521

@Jerminator33 Read this (or at least the pictures and captions). Then ask questions. http://www.twf.org/News/Y2003/01-PBPR.pdf

---

2013-10-17T18:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390901155326201856

@Jerminator33 Yeah, well my time has run out for the day. Use google image search.

2013-10-17T18:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390900997452611584

@Jerminator33 The body is, yes.

2013-10-17T18:02:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390900542979198977

@Jerminator33 Really?Why is there such a big hole then? Shaped like a plane?

2013-10-17T18:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390900426729861120

@Jerminator33 The wings were destroyed on impact. So only small pieces were found. Like you would expect.

2013-10-17T18:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390900124123430913

@Jerminator33 Why are you asking me? Just google it.

2013-10-17T17:58:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390899621603446784

@Jerminator33 Where do you think?

2013-10-17T17:56:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390899174902075392

@Jerminator33 The wings? Seriously?

2013-10-17T17:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390899018618130432

@Jerminator33 The plane was in mostly small pieces. The black boxes were found. You can look all this stuff up.

2013-10-17T17:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390898646205493248

@Jerminator33 What do you mean no injuries? There were many injuries to Pentagon Personnel. 106 hospitalized, What have you been reading?

2013-10-17T17:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390897610065981441

@Jerminator33 They didn't hit bullseyes. There were no bullseyes. Just some very big targets. Like driving a car into a barn.

2013-10-17T17:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390897390456430592

@Jerminator33 Really? There are many pictures. Google for "pentagon plane debris"

https://www.google.com/search?q=pentagon+plane+debris&rlz=1C5CHFA_enUS503US503&espv=210&es_sm=119&source=lnms&tbm=isch

---

2013-10-17T17:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390894498148270080

@bosma2002 What would you expect to happen if a plane hit the Pentagon at 500 mph? It's not going to bounce off.

2013-10-17T17:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390894288626020352

@Jerminator33 They found lots of pieces of the plane. The terrorists were lucky just to hit the

pentagon at all. Hardly a "spot"

2013-10-17T17:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390893972664905728

@GezGibb She's a woman who died in WTC1, visible at the entry hole in some photos.

2013-10-17T16:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390871015217045504

@cemills Do you see flames there? The fires were not at the point of impact. Nobody is going to be standing in ANY fire.

2013-10-17T15:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390869119618519041

@iain39 Pure physics, that's all.

2013-10-17T15:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390868600451366912

Vanishing Pentagon Airliner? It flew into the Pentagon. It was sliced and diced by the columns. It's hardly going to bounce off.

2013-10-17T15:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390867978503196673

@iain39 Those are just hybrid contrails. Their formation is explained here: http://contrailscience.com/hybrid-contrails-a-new-classification/

2013-10-17T15:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390866195131674625

@iain39 I am, however, just some guy who is interested in science and debunking.

2013-10-17T15:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390865876024438785

@iain39 I just seems like a waste of time and money. I'm just pointing at facts you can verify yourself, so my motivation is irrelevant.

2013-10-17T15:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390856173840134145

@iain39 Sorry, but it all sounds like too much rigamarole for something that would be inconclusive and essentially meaningless. I decline.

2013-10-16T23:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390623726712389633

@Layzie2109 Navigating is just going from point A to B, but they usually do it via radio beacons, which are often near city airports.

2013-10-16T23:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390623488480116736

@Layzie2109 They do sink a bit, if the humidity is high enough for the ice crystals to grow and sink. Then they spread due to wind diff.

2013-10-16T22:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390604905477443584

@Layzie2109 X is just two different planes crossing. Got to cross sometimes. Often over cities for navigation.

2013-10-16T22:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390604767568752640

@Layzie2109 Optical illusion. The contrail is moving away from you, looks like it's getting lower.

2013-10-16T22:18:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390602672996638721

@Layzie2109 Travel, freight, and other flights like training, military, research, and avionics testing. They are just contrails though.

2013-10-16T19:21:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390558094453133312

@iain39 Why don't you start by coming up with some questions, and checking the cost with Rovner.

2013-10-16T19:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390556474151149568

@martinhume See also a rebuttal:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shade-the-motion-picture-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.2397/

2013-10-16T18:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390551229916393472

@iain39 I'd prefer a public discussion where possible. Can you do it in this thread?
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/lie-detector-test.2543/

2013-10-16T16:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390512388073484288

@iain39 Sounds interesting. Los Angeles, prefer near Santa Monica.
https://maps.google.com/maps?ie=UTF-8&q=lie+detector+tests+near+90405 I'd need to see the questions etc.

2013-10-16T12:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390454661607464961

@iain39 If you pay for it sure. But what's the point. Why don't you simply demonstrate that I've said something wrong, with science?

2013-10-16T12:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390454418866311170

@4thAnon How do they VISUALLY differ? They look exactly the same to me.

2013-10-16T05:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390341481640120320

@JasonBermas It would be lot more compelling without the barium in the blood stuff, they all have normal levels.
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/high-barium-levels-in-blood-mohave-az.128/

2013-10-16T04:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390338439175864321
@JasonBermas Doh! Never mind, just saw the YT link.

2013-10-16T04:47:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390338066113515520
@JasonBermas Is Shade only available on DVD?

2013-10-16T04:46:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390337817240281088
@4thAnon @IAmOregano But the real question is how "chemtrails" differ from persistent contrails.
?

2013-10-16T01:15:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390284904766115841
@4thAnon @IAmOregano There's an infinite number of possible air pollutants. So why chemtrails? Why do you think they are not contrails?

2013-10-16T00:27:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390272789879353344
@4thAnon @IAmOregano It's a joke, sorry. It's great to ask questions, but you can't ignore the answers. Contrails persist. Look it up.

2013-10-16T00:25:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390272305072705536
@4thAnon The simplest way would just be to demonstrate a difference between "chemtrails "and persistent contrails. They look the same.

2013-10-16T00:13:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390269264977874944
@4thAnon @IAmOregano Indeed.
http://chemistry.about.com/od/fireworkspyrotechnics/a/fireworkcolors.htm Next they will be saying firework displays are secret geoengineering program :)

2013-10-16T00:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390268853281779712
@4thAnon He's just using the word as an abbreviation, because he thinks that's what the caller is calling the sounding rocket trails. No Q.

2013-10-16T00:07:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390267656651042816
@TheAndreaGail @blazeart_ @martinhume @MANOMACHINE @LadyStargate1 It's from 1925. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zpd7FQN5M3E at the end.

2013-10-16T00:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390267412878073856
@blazeart_ @martinhume @youtube @ShiJiHao @drbloem It's a smoke screen. It's from 1925. It's been described as a "chemtrail" by hoaxers.

2013-10-16T00:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/390267112393953281
@4thAnon @IAmOregano Terrified of lithium fireworks? Whenever you see a red firework, that's lithium. Same thing, just a lot closer to you.

2013-10-16T00:03:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390266746059227137
@4thAnon @JoeRogan It's a sounding rocket leaving a trail of chemicals. It's nothing new. Just an abbreviation.

2013-10-15T14:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390119565192994818
@SwayzeMusic83 Agree with what? Find one pre-1995 book that says contrails should never persist. Only recent conspiracy books say that.

2013-10-15T13:18:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/390104430730674176
@SwayzeMusic83 But what informs your decision? What facts and knowledge do you base it on? Every single book on weather disagrees with you.

2013-10-15T03:38:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389958500438138880
@JtbuffBuff @JohnRoukas @eddiebravo Check http://FlightRadar24.com

---

2013-10-14T21:39:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389868209421053953
@SwayzeMusic83 That's not what science and history says. Why do you think that?

2013-10-13T21:54:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389509440187027456
@ConciousField That's not WWII, it's a smoke screen test from 1925.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zpd7FQN5M3E (at the end)

2013-10-13T21:54:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389509370267963393
@martinhume That's not WWII, it's a smoke screen test from 1925.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zpd7FQN5M3E (at the end)

2013-10-13T21:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389508862279024640
@SwayzeMusic83 I thought you were referring to the photos of persistent contrails.

2013-10-13T20:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389481343291121665
@SwayzeMusic83 I see lots of photos of contrails. That's not what GE would look like though. GE trails would dissipate.

2013-10-13T18:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/389460723350269952
@SwayzeMusic83 The images seem to be on a page that has banned me from viewing it, so I can't really comment specifically.

2013-10-13T18:26:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/389457192266375168

@SwayzeMusic83 Contrails have a net warming effect as they trap heat. So they actually make things worse.

2013-10-12T18:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/389098188109398016

@WhiteSkyBlues More like 90 years. It's a smoke screen. It's from this video at 32:50

2013-10-12T00:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388821169773613057

@blue_on_purple Good article! See also: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aerosol

---

2013-10-11T19:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388754743197044737

@JoeMomasNuts I'm not paid. That's the Evergreen fire fighting supertanker. As seen here: http://www.kval.com/news/local/41917222.html

---

2013-10-11T04:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388526000998318080

@blue_on_purple You'd gone to semantics now. The lines you point to in the sky are not crop dusting. They are contrails.

2013-10-11T04:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388525592775127040

@blue_on_purple They are not. http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-studying-geoengineering-climate-engineering-weather-warfare.2034/

2013-10-11T01:15:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388472872399683585

@blue_on_purple @martinhume Cart before the horse there. First demonstrate why they are not just persistent spreading contrails.

2013-10-10T23:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388446130293317632

@blue_on_purple @martinhume Nope, just more talk about doing SRM geoengineering in the future. This is constantly spun as "admits". It's not

---

2013-10-10T13:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388294032545165312

@JoeMomasNuts You can look it up. It's basically a fire-fighting plane, with the usualy laundry list of possible uses http://www.google.com/patents/US7413145

2013-10-09T22:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/388070164132298752

@OhSmyle Blue sky is blue sky.

2013-10-09T06:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387825178761113600

@OhSmyle Because I saw it was contrail free. They just call them chemtrails.

2013-10-08T23:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387718877519441920

@OhSmyle For example http://thechembow.tumblr.com/day/2013/10/01 says "After an 18 day chemtrail hiatus, most of California is once again under chemical skies."

2013-10-08T23:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387718578763354112

@OhSmyle Not for the last three days, no. But the prev few weeks in Los Angeles have been contrail free.

2013-10-08T16:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387609484362670080

@martinhume Just the first stage is not an indication of truth though. Things that are wrong are ridiculed more than things that are true

---

2013-10-08T12:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387550152384212994

@TheEschaton2012 @martinhume That assumes you are on the right side of the dissonance. You might want to check the actual evidence.

2013-10-08T04:15:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387431131999518720

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 Who said it's "uncommon"? Who are you quoting there?

2013-10-08T04:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387431031189426176

@clareberrys @martinhume Yes, another thing talking about doing it in the future. What you see is contrails.

2013-10-08T04:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387430909617528832

@clareberrys @martinhume You mean this?
http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2013-10-08T01:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387389453511041024

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 People often confuse angular distance to the horizon with altitude.

2013-10-08T01:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387389323907055616

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 I don't know exactly, but you can tell roughly by the type of plane, and how big it seems.

2013-10-08T01:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387388317420879872

@clareberrys @martinhume Yes we do. We need to cut carbon emissions now, so we don't have to geoengineer with SRM in the future.

2013-10-08T01:25:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387388141193007104

@clareberrys @martinhume Nobody is denying that people are talking about possibly doing it in the future. There's no evidence it's done now.

2013-10-07T23:59:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387366682190036992

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 But if you don't know how high they are, then why do you think it's odd they leave contrails?

2013-10-07T23:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387366204874051584

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 You can tell how high they are by their size, if you know the plane type. Also Flightradar24

2013-10-07T23:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387366062133489664

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 It's just cloud iridescence. Nothing new.

2013-10-07T23:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387365982571741184

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 That's an old hoax photos. It's a wake vortex test, those are smoke generators.

2013-10-07T22:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387351089369989120

@Chemtrails_818 Not really, he's just a computer programmer too. He's also wrong about a lot of stuff.

2013-10-07T22:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387350846347821056

@Chemtrails_818 @Chris_C_Jones I'm not doing it to draw attention. Others do that. I'm just removing distractions. Removing bunk

---

2013-10-07T22:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387345848847196160

@Chemtrails_818 @Chris_C_Jones It happens. See: http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/

2013-10-07T22:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387345714285514753

@Chemtrails_818 @Chris_C_Jones They are only around the sun for you, move a few miles away and they will not be around the sun.

2013-10-07T21:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387336204003205120

@JamesOpieLiving There's not really evidence for anything like that. Pesticides and disease are most likely.

2013-10-07T20:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387314325049729024

@ReadingHydro @JacksplattJack @martinhume Oh I deny that too, I thought that would be obvious, sorry!

2013-10-07T19:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387294515758784512

@ReadingHydro @JacksplattJack @martinhume I don't get paid. And I'm actually quite nice.

2013-10-07T19:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387294415976275968

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 Mt Everest is 30,000 ft high. It's freezing there in the summer. Planes fly at 35,000 ft, v. cold.

2013-10-07T18:23:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387282052178931712

More photos now of a thing does not mean more of that thing, just there's lots more photos of everything now.

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-growth-of-photography-photos-per-year-and-the-illusion-of-frequency.2491/

2013-10-07T16:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387253619503808512

@SueAnnJaffarian I liked it at first. But gave up on it about half way through, it seemed to just stagnate.

2013-10-07T16:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387253088160993280

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys Some routes/intersections are a lot busier now. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_MobsCAAAEscp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_MobsCAAAEscp.jpg

2013-10-07T16:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387251708574711808

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys Lots more people taking photos now (1000x). So lots more photos of extreme cases

---

2013-10-07T16:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387247876054593539

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys It's funny, the number of people who only notice the trails after they hear the theory

---

2013-10-07T16:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387247685180223488

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys Here's some 2002 photos: http://www.rense.com/general32/ovsc.htm http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_Ht8uCcAEFDI0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_Ht8uCcAEFDI0.jpg

2013-10-07T16:06:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387247488681263104

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys No. But the photo has been around since 2001 on chemtrail pages.

2013-10-07T16:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387246731252862978

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys if you want exactly 5 years ago: 2007 http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2007/nov/05/greenlist.climatechange5 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_G2bGCEAAZP9J.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_G2bGCEAAZP9J.jpg

2013-10-07T15:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387245391109828609

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_FoaSCMAACO7P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV_FoaSCMAACO7P.jpg

2013-10-07T15:43:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387241782984978432

@aboveawhisper1 @JoeMomasNuts @martinhume @YoursAlwys 10 years ago? When are you

suggesting chemtrail started?

2013-10-07T15:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387240375301046272

@spider_t0t Hopefully not. If we do, the current state of the science and technology means any deployment would be many years in the future.

2013-10-07T12:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387199851726786560

@JacksplattJack @martinhume It's water vapour. In Oz there are very few high altitude overflights above the cities. No health effects.

2013-10-07T12:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387197909160058880

@JacksplattJack @martinhume ... but perspective can make even a shallow angle look like a right angle.

2013-10-07T12:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387197810518409216

@JacksplattJack @martinhume The pattern depends on where in the country you are and how the flight paths intersect

---

2013-10-07T12:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387197517126856704

@aboveawhisper1 All this was explained a long time ago.

2013-10-07T12:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387197412432826371

@aboveawhisper1 Simplest explanation is that's the paper the certified copy is printed on, not the original paper

http://www.obamaconspiracy.org/bookmarks/fact-checking-and-debunking/curved-print-straight-background/

2013-10-07T12:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387196829382606848

@aboveawhisper1 Only characters detected as identical would look identical. The OCR did not detect them as identical.

2013-10-07T12:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387196634020323328

@aboveawhisper1 It looks exactly like it did OCR. It just did not save a text layer in the final document.

2013-10-07T04:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387076496981909504

@neiltyson the tendency to keep spinning. AKA the Fox News Effect.

2013-10-07T04:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387069133281435648

@trutherbot sounds a little totalitarian.

2013-10-07T04:16:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387068847146020864

@aboveawhisper1 It does the OCR by default, the final document was just not saved with it.

2013-10-07T01:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387030373185511424

@aboveawhisper1 Just the default settings in the office.

2013-10-07T01:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387030323243921408

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 No, the haze likely comes in part from the contrails.

2013-10-07T00:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387016094734508032

@mmk61668 I think there's a lot of overly speculative theories about "chemtrails". They seems to be regular contrails to me. More jets now.

2013-10-07T00:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387015649446215681

@mmk61668 @DConRadioIo @Seanras Just a bunch of regular contrails of various ages. Los Angeles looked like that this afternoon.

2013-10-07T00:43:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387015255991128064

@mmk61668 @Seanras That one is seems to be two sets of two planes flying in formation. Likely military single engine jets. Four planes.

2013-10-07T00:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387014220740427777

@mmk61668 Only two engines.

2013-10-07T00:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387013577325830144

@PhilKeoghan Dude, now everyone is going to have to unfollow you.

2013-10-06T23:51:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387002204327911428

I'd say a good 30% of the contrails round here come from SouthWest jets like this one, heading to San Diego http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7odEtCYAA7L3r.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7odEtCYAA7L3r.jpg

2013-10-06T23:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387001697798602754

Lots of ice crystals in the air today. Here's a sun-dog flanked by two contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7n_ljCEAI7S0S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7n_ljCEAI7S0S.jpg

2013-10-06T23:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/387000590527504387

@eddiebravo Exactly as the weather predicted. Check the WV 24 hour loop here:

http://www.weather.gov/satellite#wv Note moist air hitting LA region.

2013-10-06T23:36:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386998474559864833

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 @Chemtrails_818 Looks like my sky. You in SoCal?

2013-10-06T23:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386994506555338752

@aboveawhisper1 He says Clearscan was used. Clearscan is essentially OCR, he's just saying it did not have a text layer, just Clearscan.

2013-10-06T23:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386992793186357249

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Really the speed with which I answer questions has no significance. I'm just doing other stuff.

2013-10-06T23:08:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386991361376784384

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume You can email me at uncinus@gmail.com

---

2013-10-06T23:07:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386991155964944384

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Or the "Cirrus Uncinus" thing? My screen name used to be Uncinus, it's my favorite cloud.

2013-10-06T23:06:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386990946786623488

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Er, what did? I didn't research anything, just pointed out where the contrail was in the photo.

2013-10-06T23:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386990717328822272

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume Los Angeles, California.

2013-10-06T23:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386990180449517569

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume And I suspect some of the cirrus uncinus might have started out from contrails.

2013-10-06T23:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386990027567136768

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume The contrail is in the top left of the full image.

2013-10-06T22:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386988225887088641

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume What you see looks and acts exactly like contrails.

2013-10-06T22:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386988052549103616

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume First I've seen in LA for a few weeks. Expect a bit of chemtrail activity from West Coast tweeters

---

2013-10-06T22:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386987796323266562

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Sorry, just noticed some nice contrails outside. Had to go take a photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7bWaXCIAAJvjP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7bWaXCIAAJvjP.jpg

2013-10-06T22:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386987448430915584

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Hey, I'm more than happy to have my errors pointed out. Have at it.

2013-10-06T22:51:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386987185590640640

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume He said "part of truth", "not enough information", sounds like he was just being polite.

2013-10-06T22:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386986884779347968

@aboveawhisper1 I looks like what you get scanned with Adobe's Clearscan, then saved optimized. See: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hqoB7F9-wOM

2013-10-06T22:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386984041414541312

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume I'm not paid. And we all have different interests. Some people build model train sets. Some knit.

2013-10-06T22:38:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386983787424276480

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume Seen it several times. Aeromexico pilot asking not to be recorded, politely talking to passenger

---

2013-10-06T22:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386983403075018752

After weeks of clear blue skies, finally got some contrails. Let the winter chemtrail theory season commence! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7XWr9CMAAsKb4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7XWr9CMAAsKb4.jpg

2013-10-06T22:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386982414594998272

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume I'm Mick West, former co-owner of Neversoft Entertainment, now retired, debunking as a hobby.

2013-10-06T22:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386981180668526592
@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Point out my fraudulent statements then. I invite corrections.

2013-10-06T22:26:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386980903827677184
@karentalford66 @oneloveourearth @chris_c_jones @londonchemtrail Are you saying planes don't fly like that?

2013-10-06T22:25:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386980438993948673
@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume He's just being polite, and English is not his first language, so hard to know what he really means.

2013-10-06T22:24:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386980219313078273
@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Misunderstandings are common on Twitter though. Could you explain your comment about my profile?

2013-10-06T22:23:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386980106469527552
@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume I try to avoid condescension, and anything impolite. Not helpful to discussion.

2013-10-06T22:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386979340983873537
@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume Yes they did. It might be something that we'll have to do in the future. Hopefully not though.

2013-10-06T22:20:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386979185610072064
@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Although computer science is somewhat relevant to the flight visualization tools I wrote.

2013-10-06T22:19:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386979018576101377
@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Flight patterns are pertinent. I was saying our degrees do not give us authority.

2013-10-06T22:18:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386978715676065792
@oneloveourearth @Chris_C_Jones @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail See: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sEZcBeE33wc That's just how planes fly. Crossing grids.

2013-10-06T22:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386978473077522432
@spider_t0t Cloudseeding has been done openly and publically since the 1950s, usually by local water boards.

2013-10-06T22:16:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386978354542292992

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume You can't just make up your own meanings for words. http://oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/english/geoengineering?q=geoengineering

---

2013-10-06T22:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386978057669472257

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume What degrees we have are pretty irrelevant to the actual facts. Like flight patterns.

2013-10-06T22:14:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386977728919896064

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume I'm not claiming any authority. Imagine me as a simple fool, and check the info for yourself.

2013-10-06T22:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386977462040530944

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume Climate control is commonly referred to as geoengineering now.

2013-10-06T22:12:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386977215230922752

@Chris_C_Jones But I showed you there were multiple N/S routes near you. Even more to the NW/SE Who said anything about a single trail?

2013-10-06T22:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386976949563691008

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume I can fly a single engined plane. I have a degree in Computer Science. I've written about contrails.

2013-10-06T22:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386976743715651584

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume Discussing possibly geoengineering in the future.

2013-10-06T22:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386976557115265024

@Chris_C_Jones @jamnoise72 @martinhume I generated it with ADS-B data scraped from Flightradar24, the static maps are Processing, web is JS

2013-10-06T22:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386976320015433728

@Chris_C_Jones I have an interactive version here: http://contrailscience.com/map/map.php With explanation here: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2013-10-06T22:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386975973511397376

@Chris_C_Jones I wrote it for my personal use. I'm retired from the software industry.

2013-10-06T22:06:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386975771996061696

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume But I'm just some guy. I'm not arguing from

authority, just verifiable info.

2013-10-06T22:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386975586612047873

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume Pilot pilot training to be a private pilot. I.e getting a license to fly a plane.

2013-10-06T22:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386974806211452928

@Chris_C_Jones I don't get your point though. I just ran some code to calculate all the nearby flights. I don't actually KNOW those flights.

2013-10-06T22:01:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386974475675136000

@Chris_C_Jones What profile? What flight maps? I have no idea what you are referring to. Link?

2013-10-06T21:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386972980233768960

@aboveawhisper1 The identical bitmaps for some characters.

2013-10-06T21:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386972881562767360

@jamnoise72 @Chris_C_Jones @martinhume I run http://contrailscience.com, and I have pilot pilot training. But I don't claim authority.

2013-10-06T21:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386972680697573378

@Chris_C_Jones Not sure what you mean about the profile. It's been the same for a couple of months.

2013-10-06T21:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386972529790693376

@Chris_C_Jones Yeah okay, I don't understand your reference to Newport. I thought you meant Cardiff airport.

2013-10-06T21:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386970321724518400

@Chris_C_Jones I grew up in Yorkshire. But what's to understand. They are flights.

2013-10-06T21:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386970065876168704

@Chris_C_Jones I know it because I wrote code ages ago to calculate it from ADS-B data. http://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

2013-10-06T21:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386969587490619392

@Chris_C_Jones Looks like your NS traffic is to Cardiff, Exeter, Spain, Portugal, and the Canaries. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7KyhVCAAAecjn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7KyhVCAAAecjn.jpg

2013-10-06T21:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386968746914349056

@Chris_C_Jones Because it's interesting. Like I said, I'm a contrail nerd.

2013-10-06T21:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386968346945531905

@Chris_C_Jones Here's the traffic JUST from/to Liverpool. There's 22 flights.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7JqTxCcAAIpXV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7JqTxCcAAIpXV.jpg

2013-10-06T21:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386967975346982912

@Chris_C_Jones Simultaneous? Probably not, did you see the trails form simultaneously?

2013-10-06T21:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386967235136200704

@aboveawhisper1 There clearly was, initially. Then they "saved as" a format that did not have the text layer, just bitmaps.

2013-10-06T21:30:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386966612420464640

@Chris_C_Jones I really have no idea WHAT does not stack up. Ice supersaturation? It's physics.

2013-10-06T21:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386966494971564033

@Chris_C_Jones It seems to largely be Liverpool commuter traffic.

2013-10-06T21:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386966248442966016

@aboveawhisper1 OCR is likely the default setting in a law firm. Then after that it's whatever

they want to "Save As" as.

2013-10-06T21:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386965643678842880

@Chris_C_Jones Specifically seven planes passing through air that supports persistent contrails. (ice supersaturated)

2013-10-06T21:25:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386965465479663617

@Chris_C_Jones Seven planes.

2013-10-06T21:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386965267282010112

@Chris_C_Jones That's showing 24 hours worth of traffic. All with contrail potential.

2013-10-06T21:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386964645958799361

@Chris_C_Jones Just a contrail nerd :) Here the map of overflights within 40 miles of you:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7GS4lCMAAYPSA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV7GS4lCMAAYPSA.jpg

2013-10-06T21:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386963023568449536

@Chris_C_Jones I can get a more precise figure in a bit, for your town

---

2013-10-06T21:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386962787307499520

@Chris_C_Jones I've done a lot of data mining for flight info. See examples:

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

2013-10-06T21:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386962383429595136

@aboveawhisper1 Someone scanned it in with default settings, then saved an optimized PDF. There's no point. Just settings.

2013-10-06T21:11:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386961997499076608

@Chris_C_Jones There's about 30 N/S flights within sight of you daily. over 200 E/W and NW/SE

2013-10-06T21:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386961419830169600

@Chris_C_Jones I wasn't being condescending. I was just pointing out the math is relatively simple.

2013-10-06T20:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386954681290719232

@Chris_C_Jones Well, the point is the the geometry of trail height/distance is just maths. O-level maths at that. My motives are irrelevant.

2013-10-06T20:41:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386954262904705024

@Chris_C_Jones What doesn't add up? Where is the error in my reasoning?

2013-10-06T20:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386953272541462528

@Chris_C_Jones It's just geometry. You can check it. Assume I work for the illuminati if that helps.

2013-10-06T20:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386952530615230464

@Chris_C_Jones Yes it does, because from that "remote area" you can see the sky over all of Wales.

2013-10-06T20:31:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386951853549690880

@Chris_C_Jones So you can see the sky over pretty much all of Wales at 7 miles up.

2013-10-06T20:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386951604898762752

@Chris_C_Jones Notice the trails are about 25 miles at the closest, but go all the way out, at least to 60 miles, maybe more.

2013-10-06T20:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386951278820982784

@Chris_C_Jones Here's the view from above:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV66I0_CAAA2PGG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV66I0_CAAA2PGG.jpg

2013-10-06T20:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386950892294901761

@Chris_C_Jones Okay, this shows a horizontal grid, seven miles up. lines at 5 mile intervals.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV65yVFCEAA1Iu9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV65yVFCEAA1Iu9.jpg

2013-10-06T20:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386950353914060800

@Chris_C_Jones If those traisl are seven miles up, then they are 25 to 100 miles away.

2013-10-06T20:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386948968673837057

@Chris_C_Jones Are these the type of trails you mean?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV64CXCCAAAa2Qg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV64CXCCAAAa2Qg.jpg

2013-10-06T20:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386947367150174208

@Chris_C_Jones The don't have to be "over" they just have to be near. They are 7 miles up. Check the angle. Do the maths.

2013-10-06T20:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386947100266602497

@theUKtoday @Chris_C_Jones @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail Some of them certainly are. But see the big picture https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2013-10-06T20:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386946329869418496

@Chris_C_Jones Isn't that the same thing?

2013-10-06T20:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386946191616790528

@Chris_C_Jones Just a lazy Sunday. There are N/S flight paths over Wales.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV61gtrCUAEUFX0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV61gtrCUAEUFX0.jpg

2013-10-06T19:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386943280685932544

@Chris_C_Jones There's pretty much nowhere in the UK that is not close enough to flight paths to avoid contrails. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sEZcBeE33wc

---

2013-10-06T19:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386942874316599297

@DConRadioIo @DConRadioIo It's because the camera aperture is a hexagon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6yfnyCcAAerxt.jpg

1.


MULTI COATING
PENTACON
auto
1.8/50

2.


MULTI COATING
PENTACON
auto
1.8/50

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6yfnyCcAAerxt.jpg
2013-10-06T19:37:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386938202965037056
@TheKirkness There no evidence they happened pre attack other than some guy saying he thinks they did. He had no way of knowing.

2013-10-06T19:33:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386937304113111041
@karentalford66 @chris_c_jones @londonchemtrail @theuktoday Even in 2013, heavy contrail regions like Florida, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tuKd2kUuI7E

2013-10-06T19:30:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386936519283339265
@karentalford66 @chris_c_jones @londonchemtrail @theuktoday Even in modern timelapse, contrails are rare. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GDUIYZKlknk

---

2013-10-06T19:27:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386935776593711104
@karentalford66 @chris_c_jones @londonchemtrail @theuktoday It's near impossible to find time-lapse of the sky from the 80s.

2013-10-06T19:22:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386934585755316224
@TheKirkness Because the jet fuel fell to the bottom, the elevators fell to the bottom, debris fell to the bottom. To the Lobby and basement

---

2013-10-06T19:19:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386933714430590977
@karentalford66 @chris_c_jones @londonchemtrail @theuktoday Time-lapse of what and when? Timelapse was not that popular back in the 70s.

2013-10-06T19:05:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386930350506520576
@TheKirkness Which lobby? Isn't there video of all the lobbies?

2013-10-06T19:04:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386930074634571776
@TheKirkness I'm sure Black 9/11 makes a compelling case. It's easy to make a compelling case for anything to those who already believe it.

2013-10-06T19:04:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386929870581678080
@TheKirkness It balanced before 9/11. It was never missing.

2013-10-06T19:00:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/386929087303778304
@TheKirkness There were no misplaced trillions. No trillions were missing, just bad accounting. The account still balanced.

2013-10-06T18:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386928839172976640

@TheKirkness And how would explosions at the bottom help a top-down collapse? It's nonsensical.

2013-10-06T18:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386928749431623680

@TheKirkness Falling things, jet fuel, fires, mistaken timeline. What's to acknowledge?

2013-10-06T18:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386928454383308800

@TheKirkness But it's just cherry picking. It basically boils down to "rich people were in the WTC". Rich people = connections to other rich

---

2013-10-06T18:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386927526041231360

@TheKirkness So a week before they ..... did what? Painted steel under fire insulation?

2013-10-06T18:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386927301151059969

@karentalford66 @Chris_C_Jones @LondonChemtrail @theUKtoday No, my childhood memories are not particularly precise. But there are photos

---

2013-10-06T18:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386927026621267968

@TheKirkness But seriously. You think the building's structure was painted with nano-thermite three years or so ahead of the attacks?

2013-10-06T18:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386926422545022977

@karentalford66 @Chris_C_Jones @LondonChemtrail @theUKtoday I don't remember contrails persistent and criss-crossing. But other people do.

2013-10-06T18:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386925866581643266

@TheKirkness The problem with connecting dots is that there are always patterns you can make out of enough random dots. Ignoring 99.99%

2013-10-06T18:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386923884429058048

@Chris_C_Jones Oh, I've looked at YouTube. Here's a good example http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-10-06T18:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386923582762127360

@Chris_C_Jones Well I encourage you to look at the science and history of contrails. Often ignored by the "chemtrail" enthusiasts.

2013-10-06T18:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386923269330194432

@TheKirkness All that says is that the material has a uniform composition, nothing about

spraying.

2013-10-06T18:35:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386922722300665856

@TheKirkness And the only possible "alternative material" is nano thermite? They were talking about fireproofing and rust prevention.

2013-10-06T18:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386922456113364992

@Chris_C_Jones You've perhaps been a little hasty in accepting the claims of others. Check out real science. Old books in your uni library

---

2013-10-06T18:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386922219537829888

@Chris_C_Jones And contrail persistence is accepted science, been in the books for many decades.

2013-10-06T18:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386922019603746816

@Chris_C_Jones No, the effect of ice-supersaturated atmospheric conditions on ice cloud growth is a known fact, not a theory.

2013-10-06T18:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386921634726031360

@TheKirkness Wait, WHAT are you saying the NIST report acknowledges, exactly?

2013-10-06T18:29:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386921119229284352

@Chris_C_Jones Well, check it out when you get some time. My points are correct.

2013-10-06T18:28:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386920884268568576

@TheKirkness "uniform of the structure" does not mean anything. Perhaps you mixed some words up? Your link does not say spray or penetrate

---

2013-10-06T18:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386919151740006401

@martinhume And deliberate mirrors in space. No evidence from them either. It's an artist's impression of possible FUTURE geoengineering.

2013-10-06T18:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386918809858101248

@Chris_C_Jones Then explain how an ice cloud can sublimate in ice-supersaturated conditions?

2013-10-06T18:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386918581109145601

@Chris_C_Jones I have looked it up. The Encyclopedia Britannica in 1983 says "several hours". http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-10-06T18:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386918080523161600

@martinhume Yes, there are more contrails than there used to be. More air traffic and routes. But you know persistent contrails are not new.

2013-10-06T18:15:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386917740423815168

@Chris_C_Jones And you said you were scientist, so I'm wondering why you are making these errors? Have you really looked into the science?

2013-10-06T18:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386917553060069377

@Chris_C_Jones You said "Evidence? Vapour trails disappear. Chemtrails dissipate to form a haze." - yet contrails can form a haze.

2013-10-06T18:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386917183151808512

@karentalford66 @Chris_C_Jones @LondonChemtrail @theUKtoday 2) If it's been like this since the 1960s, how do you know it's not contrails?

2013-10-06T18:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386916913382559744

@karentalford66 @Chris_C_Jones @LondonChemtrail @theUKtoday My point is 1) that many people claim these trails are a recent thing, and

---

2013-10-06T18:09:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386916024580182016

@Chris_C_Jones Like what? And why do you boldly state that contrails don't persist if you know it is false?

2013-10-06T18:06:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386915477538103296

@Chris_C_Jones @theUKtoday @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail How about this, from pre 1972: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6Zk5TCQAAgL3z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6Zk5TCQAAgL3z.jpg

2013-10-06T18:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386915317986775040

@Chris_C_Jones @theUKtoday @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail But there are photos from the 1980s? You don't dispute them?

2013-10-06T18:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386914670516260864

@Chris_C_Jones Explain why you think contrails cannot persist in ice supersaturated conditions, I'll find a photo of 1970s London.

2013-10-06T18:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386914332891574272

@Chris_C_Jones @theUKtoday @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail Wait, you've been seeing it since the 1980s? How do you know it's new then?

2013-10-06T18:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386914190436229120

@TheKirkness Fascinating theory. So, the fire ignited this thin layer of nano-thermite, which melted 2" thick steel?

2013-10-06T17:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386913179982241792

@Chris_C_Jones @theUKtoday @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail Would exclude the WWII contrails? Lots over London in the 1940s

---

2013-10-06T17:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386913016471494656

@TheKirkness How were the explosives set off then?

2013-10-06T17:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386912807054110720

@Chris_C_Jones @theUKtoday @karentalford66 @LondonChemtrail If you are a scientist you understand ice crystal growth in ice supersaturation?

2013-10-06T17:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386911219010924544

@TheKirkness Where are the detonators?

2013-10-06T17:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386911104598687744

@TheKirkness Evidence of possibility is not evidence of actuality.

2013-10-06T17:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386910923908083712

@Margrz @aboveawhisper1 @cynicusprime @martinhume If that's happening, you should try to document it in a scientifically significant manner.

2013-10-06T17:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386910439696650240

@TheKirkness But where is the evidence on it actually being used? Where are the thousands of detonators that would be required?

2013-10-06T17:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386909885494870016

@karentalford66 @Chris_C_Jones @londonchemtrail @theuktoday Just like the skies in 1986 London: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6UfaNCQAAeKtk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6UfaNCQAAeKtk.jpg

2013-10-06T17:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386909393452675073

@wozza119 @karentalford66 @martinhume @ftwild2013 @cmarcie2006 @iain39 @AtlanticSkies @investorjsw 1988 similar: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6UCxgCMAAVgnz.jpg



twh-15-01

Vapor trail over St. Vitus Cathedral, Prague, Czechoslovakia, 2 October 1988.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BV6UCxgCMAAVgnz.jpg

2013-10-06T17:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386908774411153409

@Margrz @aboveawhisper1 @cynicusprime @martinhume Why would something like you suggest just kill a few squash plants in one spot?

2013-10-06T17:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386908350857764864

@TheKirkness There is no evidence that such materials were used. Unless you mean the paint chips?

2013-10-06T17:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386907211533778944

@TheKirkness I sened you very specific stuff to support individual points. I'm still not at all sure what points you are making.

2013-10-06T17:32:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386906816069632001

@TheKirkness So what are you actually claiming here? Seems like just a lot of tenuous dot connecting.

2013-10-06T17:29:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386906116593946624

@aboveawhisper1 A legitimate scan, with a "ClearScan" OCR pass that created the layers. See: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-obama-certificate-of-live-birth-so-fake-it-hurts.883/

2013-10-06T03:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386689240882360320

@TheKirkness Pick one claim of evidence. What bit of NIST exactly is disproved?

2013-10-06T02:59:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386687239876390913

@Chemtrails_818 @OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 not something you see every day, is it?

2013-10-06T02:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386687036477812736

@MusicalSeizure And how long does water injection typically last for? Those trails look like they took many minutes, water injection is secs

---

2013-10-06T02:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386686848552022018

@MusicalSeizure Yes it's a factor, but the question is if it's a significant factor here, and if other variable change, like temp.

2013-10-06T01:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386657558275964929

@TheKirkness Quote the bit.

2013-10-06T00:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386646386688540672

@MusicalSeizure I've never heard of water injection being a factor. Have you? Or did you just assume it would be?

2013-10-06T00:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386645954226429952

@TheKirkness Pick one. The one with the best evidence.

2013-10-06T00:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386644103473020929

@TheKirkness You need to ask specific questions about individual claims if you want an answer. "Look at all this stuff" is not helpful.

2013-10-06T00:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386643149545672704

@aboveawhisper1 I must have glossed over that patent though. I've corrected the reference on http://ContrailScience.com, thanks.

2013-10-06T00:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386642450707525632

@MusicalSeizure @aboveawhisper1 If you want to discuss it, maybe start a thread on http://metabunk.org, where Jazzroc and I both post.

2013-10-06T00:00:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386642173816352769

@MusicalSeizure @aboveawhisper1I understand what the article is saying. But it says nothing about water injection.

2013-10-05T23:59:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386641798526812160

@aboveawhisper1 See figure 7 there, the ice size still decreases with increasing number of particles. Smaller particle just more so.

2013-10-05T23:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386640753457246208

@OhSmyle No, it's different all over the world. It varies daily.

2013-10-05T23:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386640674327494656

@OhSmyle The 1980 NBC news report on contrails?

2013-10-05T23:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386640042094899200

@OhSmyle I posted that video two years ago.

2013-10-05T23:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386639607846035458

@OhSmyle That have planes fly over them.

2013-10-05T23:47:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386638766443466752

@maxkeiser I've looked hard at it. In depth it looks even more dubious. http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2013-10-05T23:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386638090938241024

@MmusicalSeizure So why are you going on about water injection? What's your point?

2013-10-05T23:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386637777921519616

@MusicalSeizure And there is nothing at all about those contrail that suggests they are anything out of the ordinary.

2013-10-05T23:42:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386637640721649664

@MusicalSeizure Water injection is used rarely. It makes black smoke. I've never heard of it ever being discussed in the contrail literature

---

2013-10-05T23:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386635978309267456

@MusicalSeizure That article is all about normal contrails. Nothing about water injection.

2013-10-05T23:33:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386635367773790209

@MusicalSeizure You sent nothing regarding water injection, unless I missed it. Post the link again.

2013-10-05T23:32:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386635080950493184

@aboveawhisper1 Section 3.5 here:
http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/3261/2010/acp-10-3261-2010.pdf

---

2013-10-05T23:29:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386634271089111041

@MusicalSeizure Can you give any reference to when this happened? Or studies suggesting it would? The amount of extra water is fairly small

---

2013-10-05T23:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386633210286727168

@aboveawhisper1 Yes, actually that was not the technique I had in mind. There are contrail reduction techniques which increase nuclei.

2013-10-05T23:23:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386632683322753024

@MusicalSeizure http://Contrailscience.com is my web site. I wrote all the articles on it. I've never heard of water injection making contrails

---

2013-10-05T23:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386631311718227968

@aboveawhisper1 You are arguing irrelevant distinctions. Can you explain how any of this proves the trails in the pic were not contrails

---

2013-10-05T23:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386630032556167168

@MusicalSeizure Can you find me any reference to water injection creating persistent ice

contrails? I've never heard of it.

2013-10-05T23:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386628612373225472

@aboveawhisper1 Hydrocarbon soot is carbon black. It's the same thing.

It's all kind of irrelevant though. Those are contrail.

2013-10-05T23:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386628203877392384

@MusicalSeizure @aboveawhisper1 Jazzroc's article? It says nothing about water injection.

2013-10-05T23:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386627024145489921

@aboveawhisper1 It's a known contrail mitigation technique. Example old patent:

http://www.google.com/patents/US3289409

2013-10-05T22:59:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386626780938784768

@aboveawhisper1 It decreases their visibility. There's only so much water. If it gets used up on sub-visible ice crystals, then less vis.

2013-10-05T22:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386626590563524608

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle BY that logic there would be no persistent contrails in old photos. So what's your point?

2013-10-05T22:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386626337009463296

@OhSmyle You can't have exhaust contrails without air traffic. Where are you talking about?

2013-10-05T22:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386626193799139329

@aboveawhisper1 No, actually excessive soot would make the contrails less visible by making more ice particles, hence smaller.

2013-10-05T22:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386626011166552065

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 No, I go outside many times every day and look at the sky. There have been very few contrails.

2013-10-05T21:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386610252994445312

@aboveawhisper1 And water injection systems create BLACK smoke, due to excessive soot. So why are these trails white (like contrails)?

2013-10-05T21:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386610063156060160

@aboveawhisper1 But what indicates that these particular trails are smoke? They look like other wartime contains. They are not intermittent.

2013-10-05T21:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386609467426492416

@aboveawhisper1 Says who?

2013-10-05T21:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386608187488489473

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle How often?

2013-10-05T21:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386607773493895168

@aboveawhisper1 (WWII that is, although there were a few contrails observed in WWI)

2013-10-05T21:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386607660415479808

@aboveawhisper1 WWI trails are generally regular contrails. If you have a specific correction to make, then let's hear it.

2013-10-05T21:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386598489179693056

@aboveawhisper1 Yeah, but you don't have the same weather as LA, do you? Where do you live?

2013-10-05T21:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386598288419328001

@TheKirkness Well, if you provide me with a NIST reference of physics proved wrong, then I'll read the link you sent me.

2013-10-05T21:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386598080285384705

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle If so you should be able to scientifically demonstrate the truth of your claims about photos.

2013-10-05T20:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386590313873014785

@TheKirkness Which physics? What page in which NIST report?

2013-10-05T20:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386590111292354561

@aboveawhisper1 You should come to Los Angeles, we hardly get them here in summer. I've barely seen one in the last two months. Weather

---

2013-10-05T20:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386589380527132672

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle What year do you think it was not happening in Vegas?

2013-10-05T20:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386589265770975233

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle And how would I do that? If I show you an old photo of contrails in Vegas, you'd just say "not enough".

2013-10-05T20:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386589043539992576

@TheKirkness Can you paraphrase the main claim, so I don't miss it?

2013-10-05T20:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386587519371853824

@TheKirkness I prefer to does with things one piece of evidence at a time. Is there ONE claim of evidence you think is most significant?

2013-10-05T20:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386587390627692544

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle And if you compare the frequency of inadvertent contrails photos with history, it does not indicate anything odd.

2013-10-05T20:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386587172792324096

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle That's kind of the point - the majority of current "chemtrail" photos are deliberate photo by chemtrail believers

---

2013-10-05T20:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386582153795870720

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 If I walk outside now, I see clear blue skies, just like the last two months in Los Angeles. It's the weather.

2013-10-05T20:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386581865882087424

@TheKirkness about what specifically?

2013-10-05T20:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386581755370557440

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle Show me a TV show that has lots of similar exterior shots of Las Vegas.

2013-10-05T19:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386581544967487488

@aboveawhisper1 @OhSmyle Look into it. Try some statistical analysis of the frequency of contrails in vacation snapshots.

2013-10-05T14:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/386499715174375424

@OhSmyle @aboveawhisper1 so how did you find the photo? Why not search flickr for photos of houses. See how many gave trails.

2013-10-03T18:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385836166953455616

@aboveawhisper1 Also remember most of the photo you see now are chemtrail enthusiasts who deliberately seek out such scenes to photograph.

2013-10-03T18:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385835614437773312

@aboveawhisper1 and I'm just showing a small sample of those thousands. Most will still be in print form. Few people digitize all old photos

---

2013-10-03T18:34:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385835377602207745

@aboveawhisper1 We did, although perhaps not the same intensity, as air traffic has about doubled. Also, it depends on where you live.

2013-10-03T17:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385825410233016321

@aboveawhisper1 I found most of them just randomly browsing through old photo albums, meaning they represent only a fraction.

2013-10-03T17:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385825118770827264

@aboveawhisper1 How many would you expect exactly?
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/
http://contrailscience.com/contrail-photos-through-history/

2013-10-03T17:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385814157091553282

@Jerminator33 The point is that he's the only one how managed to stop the growth. Everyone else let the growth continue.

2013-10-03T17:06:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385813093818716160

@Jerminator33 And Bush spent more than everyone before him.

2013-10-03T17:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385811490961244160

@Jerminator33 the chart shows that the growth has stopped.

2013-10-03T16:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385809821993156608

@aboveawhisper1 Because the internet did not exist in 1980. They talk about spreading contrails. If you want photos there are plenty.

2013-10-03T16:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385807616263217152

@aboveawhisper1 And have you seen this 1980 NBC news report on contrails?
http://contrailscience.com/1980-nbc-news-report-on-contrails/ With linked science paper. Nothing new.

2013-10-03T16:41:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385806931417264128

@aboveawhisper1 Contrail prediction predicts at specific altitudes. Like weather forecasts, it's not precise.

2013-10-03T16:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385805224742375425

@aboveawhisper1 And it's not really that much to do with the weather on the ground, more the weather at 6+ miles above you.

2013-10-03T16:34:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385805092378525696

@aboveawhisper1 Because of the temperature gradient, and the natural layering of the atmosphere, it's usually restricted to some altitudes

---

2013-10-03T16:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385797741181272065

@aboveawhisper1 Here's a 1944 news article. Says they frequently cause overcast.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVqhAF2CcAAjQZx.jpg

Vapor Trails Bane To Pilots, Former News-Post Photog Says

Vapor trails similar to the one which a high-flying airplane left over this city early in February are a bane to pilots since they are a "dead give-away for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners," Tech. Sergt. Robert L. Yinger, former News - Post photographer, writes from Muroc, Calif., where he is stationed with the 536th Bomber Squadron.

Sergt. Yinger said he read with interest the account of the long vapor trails left by the unidentified aircraft which passed over this city and which were viewed by many residents. The trails have appeared as a narrow ribbon of dense white smoke unwinding from the plane until it disappeared below the horizon.

He said the vapor trails are termed "contrail," a contraction for condensation trail, and "are not so much a rarity here in the west, possibly due to the dryness of the atmosphere."

"It is quite common to see several large trails every day, produced by high altitude bombers. The maneuvering of the aircraft results frequently in interesting patterns. Contrails are a headache to combat pilots and are very difficult to control. Apparently they result only when the atmosphere is at a certain temperature and humidity."

Sergt. Yinger then makes this interesting observation: "Contrails frequently have a tendency to cause a complete overcast and cause rain. In Idaho I have seen contrails formed in a perfectly clear sky and four hours later a complete overcast resulted.

"But one of the oddest effects I have even seen and which has never been satisfactorily explained to me is that when an aircraft producing a vapor trail enters a cloud formation, the vapor trail stops and a perfectly clear tunnel is bored through to the other side. As soon as the ship emerges, the trail begins again. This may be caused by precipitation of the water vapor in the cloud. While most trails persist for several hours, some are only of short duration, giving the appearance of the wake of a ship in water.

"The complete elimination or control of contrails would be a great advancement for aerial warfare, since they are a dead giveaway for fighter aircraft and anti-aircraft gunners.

"Notwithstanding the seriousness of contrails to high altitude flying, I saw one pilot over Los Angeles who was making good use of it. He was sky-writing with his P-39, to the amazement of onlookers."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVqhAF2CcAAjQZx.jpg

2013-10-03T16:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385797136949837825

@aboveawhisper1 Why do you say that? The chemtrail theory actually started 16 years ago. They said the same things then as now.

2013-10-03T15:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385791969529569281

RT @keithboykin: Another Tea Party talking point debunked. Since Pres. Obama came into office, federal spending has actually slowed. http:/â¦

2013-10-03T15:42:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385791872074928129

@aboveawhisper1 It's not always been this way. There's been an increase in contrails over the last 20 years.

2013-10-03T15:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385791686590230530

@WhiteSkyBlues Those are just high altitude holds, training and testing. http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2013-10-03T03:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385600535681708032

@martinhume Does this mean that ALL pilots know? Or just one junior Aeromexico pilot thinks there is "part of truth"?

2013-10-02T17:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385453736908177408

@martinhume But your photo show INADVERTENT geoengineering. Contrails persisting and spreading in ice supersaturated air.

2013-10-02T16:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385436225286307840

@aboveawhisper1 And I you. Keep an open mind.

2013-10-02T15:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385429987001528320

@aboveawhisper1 From a 1/32" layer of thermite?

2013-10-02T15:43:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385429890272477184

@aboveawhisper1 He heated chips, they ignited, he says he found iron. What am I missing? (And where's the proof it was iron?)

2013-10-02T15:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385426116514562049

@aboveawhisper1 And again, are they really suggesting a thin layer of flammable paint would do anything. It's not the temp, it's the heat.

2013-10-02T15:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385425972008214528

@aboveawhisper1 Even the main proponents think more study is needed: http://nanothermite911.blogspot.com/2013/07/chip-ignitions.html

---

2013-10-02T15:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385425524014587905

@aboveawhisper1 They claimed A) chip ignited when heater. B) It burned. C) Iron was found. Exact details are sketchy.

2013-10-02T15:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385422625050087424

@aboveawhisper1 The chips apparently did. They did not test just the red layer (the paint).

2013-10-02T15:12:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385421928510390273

@aboveawhisper1 Presumably the temperature at which the chips burned? What's your point?

2013-10-02T15:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385421146432086017

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness So the towers were brought down with a 1/32" thick layer of thermite? Is that the theory?

2013-10-02T15:07:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385420894228602881

@aboveawhisper1 The combined chip burned. The temperature was not measured. They did not try the red layer alone.

2013-10-02T15:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385420186934726658

@martinhume That article is terribly flawed. The "list of chemicals" they show has confused micro with milli, so it's exactly 1000x wrong.

2013-10-02T15:03:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385419743265423361

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Which just shows that it was flammable. What point are you trying to make?

2013-10-02T15:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385418966891384835

@TheKirkness Which molten aluminum?

2013-10-02T14:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385418850872733696

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness What temperature did those chips burn at? I thought they were "white hot"?

2013-10-02T14:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385418146816876544

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Erm, yes? And so? They burned some chips of paint and rust, and got some iron?

2013-10-02T14:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385417819954761728

@aboveawhisper1 Oh, so you just think it visually looks like a lot of explosions progressing down the building face? Is that the point?

2013-10-02T14:54:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385417532888211456

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness The chips, didn't they heat them (or one) to 430C, then it ignited? What temp did it burn at?

2013-10-02T14:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385416746024181761

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Didn't it burn?

2013-10-02T14:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385416187703619585

@aboveawhisper1 I've watched it. Why don't you tell me what you find so compelling? And why ignore the errors like the "hurled beams"?

2013-10-02T14:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385415966529568768

@TheKirkness Well I'm a debunker. If I see some bunk, I'll try to debunk it. But I don't go in for wide speculation. Focus.

2013-10-02T14:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385415727064174592

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Rust is iron oxide. Heat it engough and you partially smelt it, creating elemental iron.

2013-10-02T14:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385414972395626496

@TheKirkness Focusing on science is not a CNN tactic.

2013-10-02T14:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385414861259145216

@aboveawhisper1 Pile Driver? There was a large mass of falling rubble that grew very rapidly.

2013-10-02T14:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385414276183105536

@aboveawhisper1 I can take them apart. Are you saying his physics errors are irrelevant?

2013-10-02T14:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385414093026246656

@TheKirkness I'm not going to go through the entire 9/11 smorgasboard on Twitter. Stick to physics.

2013-10-02T14:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385413955973160962

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness THey did not burn just paint they burned the chips WITH THE RUST LAYER. Rust contains iron.

2013-10-02T14:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385413674183053312
@TheKirkness Eyewitnesses saw it hit. Damage matches. The plane parts and the dead passengers were found inside. What's the problem exactly?

2013-10-02T14:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385413362634346496
@aboveawhisper1 I've watched chandlers video. Let's take the "hurled" girders. You think that explosions hurled them?

2013-10-02T14:33:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385412177688940544
@aboveawhisper1 Yes, i understand it. Sad that the 9/11 movement has come to haggling over brands of paint. I thought it was "obviously" CD?

2013-10-02T14:31:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385411614670745601
@beforeitsnews Why do you call him a "Chemtrail Pilot"? He's an Aeromexico passenger plane pilot. Basically just some guy.

2013-10-02T14:22:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385409462195875841
@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 There's a more detailed refutation here: http://forums.randi.org/showpost.php?p=9451375&postcount=3571 and http://forums.randi.org/showpost.php?p=9455960&postcount=3581

2013-10-02T14:17:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385408124791713792
@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 I read it. Lots of ad-hom attacks, and then a claim that FTIR spectrums differ. But they never explained how.

2013-10-02T14:16:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385407911142232064
@aboveawhisper1 Cherry picking. I could pick more similar videos. But it's just superficialities. Look at the actual physics.

2013-10-02T14:12:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385406822124765185
@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness But they did not heat individual paint/rust chips in an air stream, did they? They just heated a beam.

2013-10-02T13:56:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385402992611950592
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire @TheKirkness How would they not? Paint would be ground off the steel. Then it's just part of the dust.

2013-10-02T13:55:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385402754568425474
@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire @meshaq @AE911Truth If it's accurate, yes. AE911 claims the intellectual high ground. The fallacy of authority.

2013-10-02T13:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385402469959753729

@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 Which still shows their chips are consistent with paint/rust. Is this really what it boils down to?

2013-10-02T04:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385255382655836160

@JasonBermas I imagine you'd want the right to die for yourself though, if you were in incurable agony? Safeguards needed. Dutch have them.

2013-10-02T03:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385248210375036928

@JasonBermas The article say only a fraction are from mobile doctor/nurse teams. And they have strict conditions http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Euthanasia_in_the_Netherlands

---

2013-10-02T03:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385245264849555457

@TheRavenxx It's just a hoax taking advantage of the fact that we don't get many contrails in CA this time of year. Perlingieri was tricked.

2013-10-02T03:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385244423312773120

@beforeitsnews Which is unfortunately just a deliberate mistranslation of the word "chaff". Debunked five years ago. http://contrailscience.com/germans-admit-they-used-duppel/

2013-10-02T02:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385236566693511168

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Have you read Millettes report? http://dl.dropbox.com/u/64959841/9119ProgressReport022912_rev1_030112webHiRes.pdf

---

2013-10-02T02:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385235996612116480

@aboveawhisper1 @MeierFire @meshaq @AE911Truth I'd be happy to. If the discussion could be focussed in a constructive manner.

2013-10-02T02:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385235549822267393

@MeierFire @AE911Truth And that's exactly the point. You don't accept it's possible, because it does not fit your world view.

2013-10-01T23:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385186975705088001

@MeierFire @AE911Truth Because it's accurate. They deny the reality of gravity, kinetic energy, dynamic loading. Say silly things instead.

2013-10-01T23:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385186810394984448

@MeierFire @AE911Truth Government lies all the time. This is just about physics.

2013-10-01T23:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385186694929981441
@MeierFire @AE911Truth Short Spans, so thermal expansion has less effect, and local collapses are less critical to overall structure.

2013-10-01T23:35:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385186148391215104
@MeierFire @AE911Truth The term was actually coined by another truther. AE911's dogmatic anti-physics is embarrassing serious questioner.

2013-10-01T23:33:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385185814646226944
@MeierFire @AE911Truth No bigs ones. It was a unique event, does than then prove anything other than it was unique?

2013-10-01T23:33:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385185669452009472
@MeierFire @AE911Truth Entirely different construction.

2013-10-01T23:32:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385185527097348097
@MeierFire @AE911Truth Thermal expansion, unseated beams, progressive collapse, buckling. Fits better than 2000 silent fireproof explosives

---

2013-10-01T23:16:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385181504290045952
@MeierFire @AE911Truth are gravity denialists. If dynamic load overcomes upward resistance, then path of least resistance is down, not left.

2013-10-01T23:14:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385180966924210176
@MeierFire @meshaq There's no resistance straight up, why didn't it fall up? Gravity is a powerful force. Overcomes resistance. Points down

---

2013-10-01T23:13:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385180666947571713
@MeierFire @meshaq "Path of most resistance" is just AE911 flim flam. Designed to sound good, but it's silly physics.

2013-10-01T22:37:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385171765162307584
@MeierFire @meshaq They didn't. There was a progressive internal collapse, then the exterior was unsupported, so eventually buckled and fell

---

2013-10-01T22:37:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385171605623566336
@MeierFire @TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 One has to go with what the evidence indicates, not what best fits your world view.

2013-10-01T22:36:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/385171364694347776

@meshaq I'm interested in science and debunking things that are wrong. I've got nothing to prove.

2013-10-01T22:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385171068610031616

@MeierFire @meshaq Most people just have an (understandably) hard time with physics. So AE911 can easily flim-flam them.

2013-10-01T22:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385170642254835713

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Unfortunately their testing methodology was not very good, it did not really show that.

2013-10-01T22:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385170271054745600

@MeierFire @TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 The government lies all the time, of course they do. Iraq war justification for example.

2013-10-01T22:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385170139244544000

@meshaq I am sincerely interested. I've done a lot of research. I think they are just persistent spreading contrails.

2013-10-01T22:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385162533633404928

@meshaq Don't you think it would be a good idea to convince more people, if you are actually right? Why is it so hard to make a case?

2013-10-01T22:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385162380008628224

@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 Is it fireproof? What is the evidence it was use? (The "energetic material" was paint/rust chips)

2013-10-01T21:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385156966814715904

@aboveawhisper1 @oc_f1fan @TheKirkness Termite would have been ignited by the explosion and fires. Like Jowenko said.

2013-10-01T21:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385156612312166400

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness There's no official stance. Only evidence. and there's no evidence of thermite use.

2013-10-01T18:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385115876430598144

@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 And if it's so obvious, how come only AE911 noticed it? Not the millions of scientists all round the world.

2013-10-01T18:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385115700844437504

@TheKirkness @aboveawhisper1 What exactly do you think a thousand tons of steel churning around does to just about anything?

2013-10-01T18:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385114286906802176

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Physis is often counterintuitive. Girders bounce and pivoted out. Why could they not carry some body parts?

2013-10-01T18:37:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385111145540907008

@iain39 @aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness I'm not more government than you are. And the wreckage of the plane (and the bodies) was inside.

2013-10-01T18:36:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385110867945086978

@TheKirkness What was his initial claim?

2013-10-01T18:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385109933370253312

@TheKirkness So you only agree with him where he agrees with you? Isn't that intellectually dishonest? Cherry picking?

2013-10-01T18:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385109795297976320

@TheKirkness However what he suggested is actually impossible, as it would have resulted in highly audible explosions. None were recorded.

2013-10-01T18:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385109648723812352

@TheKirkness Sure, he was show some shots for Loose Change, and that was his initial impression. Many people had similar impressions.

2013-10-01T18:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385109446864564224

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness The same thing that carried ANY of the WTC debris. Laterally translated gravity (bouncing and pivoting)

2013-10-01T18:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385108974531407872

@TheKirkness So you'd agree that WTC1 & 2 were not controlled demolitions then?

2013-10-01T18:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385106143757864961

Elizabeth Warren accurately characterizes the Republican shutdown as last gasp "hostage taking". https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UA3I4HAvgUs

---

2013-10-01T18:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385104341868089344

@aboveawhisper1 And it wasn't thousands of bone fragments, it was 750 then 74 more found 5 years later. http://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/06/nyregion/06remains.html?_r=0

2013-10-01T18:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385103370064650240

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Bits of the WTC fell on those buildings. So how is it possible that no human remains would be found there?

2013-10-01T18:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385102823345504256

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness They get ripped to pieces which were carried to the sides in the same way the rest of the cloud of debris was

---

2013-10-01T17:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385101193929687040

@RealAlexJones 2.75% is an utterly insignificant stock move if you look at the longer term WLP stock price.

https://www.google.com/finance?chdnp=1&chdd=1&chds=1&chdv=1&chvs=maximized&chdeh=0&chfdeh=0&chdet=1380225600000&chddm=1153862&chls=IntervalBasedLine&q=NYSE:WLP&ntsp=0&ei=RgxLUqDmEq60iALSBQ

2013-10-01T17:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385096293921460225

@martinhume @Hsaive Like all these "before" photos from pre-1972.

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-10-01T17:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385096057996062720

@martinhume @Hsaive Well no, those are just photos of different skies. You can find photos that show the exact opposite. #cherrypicking

---

2013-10-01T17:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385093722414669825

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness bodies were ground up in the collapse, mixed in the general debris, some of which fell away from the building.

2013-10-01T16:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385078947555196928

@aboveawhisper1 @TheKirkness Bits of people fell on the roofs as part of the debris. What's to explain?

2013-10-01T16:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385078036522999809

@djkmb0824 Yes, the source is chronicle.su, which is a joke site, like The Onion.

2013-10-01T16:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385077667487158272

@Jerminator33 Beats me, but it's clearly not in the interest of the country. Politicians furthering their own self interest.

2013-10-01T16:23:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/385077473127305216

@TheKirkness The Same Danny Jowenko that said the collapse of WTC1/2 was NOT a controlled demolition?

2013-10-01T05:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384909640837767168

@TheKirkness Pick one.

2013-09-30T21:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384798184997785600

@winston_smith13 @piKKKil But what are those things evidence of? I know there are environmental problems.

2013-09-30T21:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384797991204163584

@Jerminator33 That's another area that needs more oversight. Significant, but I don't think it's a huge fraction of contributions.

2013-09-30T21:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384797177358213120

@piKKKil @winston_smith13 Sounds like an interesting theory, but I prefer to go by things for which we have actual evidence.

2013-09-30T21:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384796760922542081

@martinhume broken engine. Burning oil.
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/iluyshin-96-oil-leak-photo-trail-from-single-engine.2422/

2013-09-30T21:44:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384795806449938432

@piKKKil @winston_smith13 It's certainly warming, greenhouse gasses are increasing, anything else?

2013-09-30T21:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384795375543926784

@martinhume Unfortunately geoengineeringwatch got their numbers way off on the UVB readings. They had more than in space!

2013-09-30T21:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384795002343153664

@winston_smith13 @piKKKil All seems like speculation. What does the evidence actually say about the climate?

2013-09-30T21:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384792429385097217

@winston_smith13 @piKKKil It's more about making the planet uninhabitable. Why would they do that deliberately?

2013-09-30T21:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384791513063890944

@martinhume Another classic photo. Great illustration of the problem of perspective. I discuss it in depth here: http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2013-09-30T21:25:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384791146171338753

@winston_smith13 @piKKKil But you have to subtract that cost to get the actual profit.

2013-09-30T20:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384782760470003714

@Jerminator33 Good question. I don't think any of them are perfect, but we want more people along the lines of: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russ_Feingold

---

2013-09-30T20:33:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384778109133668352

A junior pilot from AeroMexico saying there is "part of truth" in chemtrails is the best "whistleblower" they've got?http://www.metabunk.org/threads/new-chemtrail-video-aeromexico-pilot-asked-about-chemtrails-necessary-evil.2467/

2013-09-30T20:20:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384774832765681664

@martinhume Great footage! Clearly shows the planes are not flying in grids. The contrail grid comes from the wind blowing the trails away

---

2013-09-30T19:59:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384769547300896768

@Jerminator33 You don't have a choice if their treatment of those companies is your sole concern. But candidates differ in many other ways.

2013-09-30T19:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384759896157065216

@Jerminator33 It is what it is. Arguing over semantics is a waste of time. It clearly gives too much weight to the needs of the rich though.

2013-09-30T19:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384758031843475456

@aboveawhisper1 Unfortunately "the law" is what actually happens in courts. Not what you personally think should happen.

2013-09-30T19:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384756817982525440

@piKKKil Thanks. Here's a good place to start: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/using-campaign-finance-reform-to-debunk-conspiracy-theories.1784/

2013-09-30T18:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384753716592525313

@Jerminator33 The Lessig video I mentioned is great: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mw2z9lV3W1g

---

2013-09-30T18:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384753235963035648

@Jerminator33 We can at the every least join with others who have the same goals. http://www.rootstrikers.org/ http://www.citizensforethics.org/

2013-09-30T18:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384752575787982848

@aboveawhisper1 Enough to know the the hoax has zero practical application. Have you ever tried to use it? What's the practical effect?

2013-09-30T18:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384752373530234880

@piKKKil But surely they would not want to destroy the planet?
And if they are so stupid, how do they pull off all the other stuff you say?

2013-09-30T18:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384751996323893248

@aboveawhisper1 The UCC is nothing special. It's a hoax. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uniform_Commercial_Code

---

2013-09-30T18:47:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384751383880028160

@piKKKil Hinted? He said people were discussing doing it in the future and he thought it was a terrible crazy idea. How is that a hint?

2013-09-30T18:45:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384750985194639360

@aboveawhisper1 No, actually it does not. That's just a hoax you've been fed. Look at what actually happens. Try using your theory.

2013-09-30T18:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384750681850007552

@aboveawhisper1 Then why does the word "lobby" not occur in it? Or "fractional lending"

2013-09-30T18:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384750182031564800

@aboveawhisper1 Semantics again. Look at what actually happens. Look at how things operate and work. Labeling things won't help you here.

2013-09-30T18:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384749702912024576

@aboveawhisper1 Yes, I've read the UCC stuff before. Unfortunately for all practical purposes it's just meaningless semantics. Try it.

2013-09-30T18:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384749353773973504

@aboveawhisper1 @Jerminator33 @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones It does not matter what you personally call it. It matters what it does.

2013-09-30T18:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384749144314638336

@piKKKil Really? You don't think there are more sensible ways to make money than messing with the planet? The rich don't shit where they eat

---

2013-09-30T18:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384748877674344449

@piKKKil It disproved your claim "Al Gore has admitted they geoengineer on a morning show". He was talking about future geoengineering.

2013-09-30T18:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384748420340006912

@aboveawhisper1 @Jerminator33 @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones That sounds like an entirely semantic argument. What's the practical significance?

2013-09-30T18:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384748075970871297

@piKKKil Gov still need to get elected, so they pander to people. However their disproportionate focus is on keeping the super rich happy.

2013-09-30T18:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384747773507039232

@Jerminator33 Thanks. It's better in that it's not quite the iron fist that is generally suggested. It IS possible to do something about it.

2013-09-30T18:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384747423374929920

@piKKKil It turns out the Infowars article actually debunks your Al Gore claim. So I'd recommend it. http://www.infowars.com/al-gore-talks-about-chemtrails-on-ellen-show/ (still spins)

2013-09-30T18:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384743529513119744

@piKKKil No. Like every other example he was talking about doing it in the future. Listen to what he said. Not what Infowars says he said.

2013-09-30T18:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384743224864014337

@piKKKil But you saw it somewhere? Of did you just see a headline somewhere, like Natural News or InfoWars? What did they ACTUALLY say?

2013-09-30T18:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384742922018512896

@Jerminator33 Of course not. It's going to take the people to do that. Which is why debunking the distractions is a good thing.

2013-09-30T17:36:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384733402911346688

@aboveawhisper1 The vast majority of days do not look like that. See: https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/ Zoom in on florida, each day. Only 2-3 days/y

---

2013-09-30T17:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384732188177993729

@Jerminator33 Here's an article I wrote on using the issue of campaign finance to address conspiracy theories:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/using-campaign-finance-reform-to-debunk-conspiracy-theories.1784/

2013-09-30T17:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384731988915003392

@Jerminator33 It essentially is bribery. I think campaign finance reform is the best first step to countering this kind of bribery.

2013-09-30T17:03:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384725167059922944

@Jerminator33 Lobbying is mostly about promising campaign contributions, in return for "access", and special treatment.

2013-09-30T16:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384719796597567488

@martinhume Decades of upper atmosphere sounding rockets, sure. Nobody has ever denied that. It's been public knowledge. Nothing to admit.

2013-09-30T16:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384719570906255360

@martinhume On some days you get lots of contrails. It depends entirely on the upper air weather. Photo is good e.g. of atmospheric layers

---

2013-09-30T16:33:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384717704159961088

@aboveawhisper1 You image shows the weather on two different days, years apart. The heavy contrail day was actually quite unusual.

2013-09-30T16:32:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384717331424763904

@Jerminator33 @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Real issues: Corporations financing elections. Congress's revolving door. 2 million in prison.

2013-09-30T16:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384717052713267200

@piKKKil It's not my job. If I have any agenda is simply correcting things that are factually incorrect, so we can focus on real issues.

2013-09-30T16:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384716874115584000

@piKKKil No, I haven't. I've heard them talking about doing it in the future. But not now. Got a link?

2013-09-30T16:29:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384716703579381761

@martinhume Yeah, they are talking about regulating it in the future. No evidence of doing it now.

2013-09-30T05:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384544568923803650

@MrsAbbotKinney I've flown them a few years ago. Do jets not go straight out now? Citation needed.

2013-09-30T05:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384543750120165376

@MrsAbbotKinney I'll take that as a no.

2013-09-30T04:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384540337252728832

@MrsAbbotKinney Is IFR something other than "TAKE-OFF RUNWAY 21: Climb heading 210Â° to intercept the 255Â° course to PEEER"?

2013-09-30T04:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384539199300325376

@MrsAbbotKinney @CitizenJoan Small VFR planes divert over the golf course. IFR, including all jets, go straight on a 210 heading.

2013-09-30T04:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384536947139416064

@CitizenJoan @MrsAbbotKinney They fly straight out, meaning they go about over the end of Rose. Angling north of Dewey would make zero diff

---

2013-09-30T04:20:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384533220923944960

@MrsAbbotKinney SMO Jets don't really go over Venice, they go straight out. There's no left turn for Jets with LAX in the way.

2013-09-30T04:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384531244655988737

@CitizenJoan @MrsAbbotKinney you know it's closed because several people died, right?

2013-09-30T04:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384530015930421252

@smostinks @MrsAbbotKinney Sure, like people next to roads are concerned about SUVs hitting their houses. We don't close the roads though.

2013-09-30T04:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384529520553771008

@CitizenJoan @smostinks @MrsAbbotKinney What year did SMO start sending the flight school traffic over Venice?

2013-09-30T04:01:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384528511077072896

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks Sure it is. But it's still ghoulish. And not really relevant to the discussion. The accidents are a minor factor.

2013-09-30T04:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384528081534205952

@Liberty92625 Jeez, give me a clue. What was the study about/called/etc?

2013-09-30T03:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384527962474680320

@MrsAbbotKinney I'm pretty sure Inglewood hates the noise and pollution from LAX, but are largely resigned to their fate.

2013-09-30T03:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384527683591213056

@Liberty92625 Can you link to the abstract of the study you are referring to?

2013-09-30T03:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384527289586708480

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks Works for me. I live in Milwood. I don't like the noise from the planes either.

2013-09-30T03:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384527132581298176

@Liberty92625 What secret studies? All the NASA contrail studies are public domain.

2013-09-30T03:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384526860370972673

@Liberty92625 Studies in 1921 discovered that planes randomly emit condensation trails. It's nothing new.

2013-09-30T03:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384526579314855937

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks Just be honest and say you don't like the noise and pollution.

2013-09-30T03:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384526519285989376

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks The problem here is the initial appeal to emotion after this tragic crash. It's exploitive and misleading.

2013-09-30T03:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384526153660108800

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks Would you say Inglewood has outgrown LAX? How is it any different?

2013-09-30T03:51:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384525945148682240

@Liberty92625 I've done a lot of research. Been researching it for six years. Written a hundred articles on it. Not found good evidence

---

2013-09-30T03:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384525673085165568

@Liberty92625 I'd call that something for which I've not seen any good evidence.

2013-09-30T03:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384525511252127744

@smostinks @MrsAbbotKinney smostinks, you'd not go for just right turning traffic then, like @MrsAbbotKinney suggested? Compromise?

2013-09-30T03:48:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384525151557001216

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks The same arguments apply equally to any airport.

2013-09-30T03:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384524452777578496

@MrsAbbotKinney @smostinks LAX is VASTLY larger, and also right next to high density urban communities. Inglewood. PDR, El Segundo.

2013-09-30T03:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384523810545754113

@smostinks @MrsAbbotKinney Not saying "it's okay", just that it's not a huge problem. Why not calm traffic, more bang for your buck.

2013-09-30T03:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384521452516429824

@smostinks @MrsAbbotKinney About 1/1000th the amount of car crashes in the same time in Santa Monica

---

2013-09-30T03:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384521142414749696

@Liberty92625 I totally agree. But one needs to be more solid on the evidence before closing cases. Obviously most people are unconvinced.

2013-09-30T03:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384516323952177152

@Liberty92625 Sorry you got that impression. I was really just trying to talk science, history, etc. No hate here.

2013-09-30T03:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384513079796457472

@martinhume Ionosphere sounding rockets are basically big fireworks, not really what you would call "chemtrails".

2013-09-30T02:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384512710055981056

@Liberty92625 So why are you discussing it? Surely that indicates it's totally up for discussion?

2013-09-29T20:58:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384421994747273216

@TAYLORGANGbngme But your point is based upon being able to tell the difference. What if there is not difference? Then you have no point.

2013-09-29T20:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384412375673077760

@TAYLORGANGbngme The question is why you think that contrails cannot spread far. What are

you basing that on?

2013-09-29T20:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384411507276017665

@TAYLORGANGbngme Science and History.

2013-09-29T20:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384409366117367810

@TAYLORGANGbngme How do you know?

2013-09-29T20:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384409056510636032

@TAYLORGANGbngme Unless you can come up with a reason why contrails can't spread out, then you are just arguing by assertion. Anti-science.

2013-09-29T20:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384407923851419649

@TAYLORGANGbngme If it's not new, then how do you know what contrail are supposed to look like?

2013-09-29T20:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384407792787804161

@TAYLORGANGbngme grids are just intersecting flight routes, and the wind moving the contrails.

2013-09-29T20:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384407631646822401

@TAYLORGANGbngme U-Turns are from high altitude holds, training, and testing, See: http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/ and http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/

2013-09-29T20:00:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384407319422840834

@TAYLORGANGbngme And what do you mean "not to the vast size chemtrails do"? What about history? Trails in the 60s and 70s did the same thing

---

2013-09-29T19:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384407075742158848

@TAYLORGANGbngme Show me an example, and I'll show you an explanation.

2013-09-29T19:57:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384406626079232002

@TAYLORGANGbngme Do you agree that sometimes contrails persist and spread?

2013-09-29T19:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384406463638024192

@TAYLORGANGbngme They look like contrails. They act like contrails. They last like contrails. They spread like contrails.

2013-09-29T19:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384406273212436480

@TAYLORGANGbngme @aboveawhisper1 I have determined that there's zero evidence that they are not contrails, that's all.

2013-09-29T19:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384406089959092224

@TAYLORGANGbngme But contrails have been observed like that for decades. "Spread and cover the sky". So what's new?

2013-09-29T19:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384405902477897728

@aboveawhisper1 @TAYLORGANGbngme And how have you determined this is one of those occasions?

2013-09-29T19:53:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384405606859149313

@TAYLORGANGbngme No, you claim there's a visual difference. But you don't know how you know.

2013-09-29T19:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384404673706209280

@TAYLORGANGbngme But how do you know that that's not just a thick contrail? How have you determined the difference?

2013-09-29T19:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384403163454795776

@TAYLORGANGbngme So you claim you can visually distinguish a chemtrail from a persistent spreading contrail?

2013-09-29T19:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384402475416969216

@TAYLORGANGbngme how are they visually different? That would seem to be the key?

2013-09-29T19:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384401590993424384

@TAYLORGANGbngme Do you think they look different to persistent spreading contrails?

2013-09-29T19:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384401275506266112

@TAYLORGANGbngme Okay, in what way do you think they should be different?

2013-09-29T19:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384401187631427584

@TAYLORGANGbngme @aboveawhisper1 No, we are debating contrails. You claim that some persistent contrails are "chemtrails".

2013-09-29T19:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384400990826295297

@TAYLORGANGbngme The water is the same. The temperature is the same (at some alt). The humidity is the same. Why would trails be different?

2013-09-29T19:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384400606900662272

@TAYLORGANGbngme @aboveawhisper1 I would recommend you check the contrail forecast. You will see that contrails are based on the weather.

2013-09-29T19:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384400255401201665

@aboveawhisper1 @TAYLORGANGbngme You should video that, blow the jig.

2013-09-29T19:31:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384400182265131008

@TAYLORGANGbngme

http://cloudsgate2.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?docid=33&cmd=latest That show where the humidity is high enough fro contrails to form, coded by color for altitude.

2013-09-29T19:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384399820699340801

@TAYLORGANGbngme Why wouldn't there be? Once you meet the basic contrail conditions, then the contrails would be the same.

2013-09-29T19:28:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384399410274131968

@TAYLORGANGbngme That's on the ground. Unrelated to the upper air humidity. Also that's just summer. http://weatherspark.com/averages/31259/Phoenix-Arizona-United-States

---

2013-09-29T19:24:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384398194899042304

@TAYLORGANGbngme how different? Look at a satellite image that shows water vapor. Humidity depends on weather. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWoHxcCQAAGc4v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWoHxcCQAAGc4v.jpg

2013-09-29T19:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384397451584499715

@TAYLORGANGbngme Contrail grids form in areas of intersecting flight paths. It's inevitable. See: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-09-29T19:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384397227633815552

@TAYLORGANGbngme Contrails just require 100% RHI, and -40F. Nothing else. The conditions are the same worldwide. Just a matter of how humid

---

2013-09-29T19:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384396842680586240

@TAYLORGANGbngme Have a look at todays contrail forecast. Any contrails in AZ today? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWm5EHCEAAnrBg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWm5EHCEAAnrBg.jpg

2013-09-29T19:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384396678481973248

@TAYLORGANGbngme I think I'd need a photo to see what you are talking about there.

2013-09-29T19:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384396287883243520

@TAYLORGANGbngme Because the RH at altitude is unrelated to RH on the ground. The air above you is moving at 100mph

---

2013-09-29T19:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384396163056558080

@TAYLORGANGbngme Sure, clouds don't make contrails more likely, just would indicate likely greater persistence, due to high RH.

2013-09-29T19:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384395972186370049

@TAYLORGANGbngme However it's quite common for RHW to be in the range 60% to 100% with no clouds, meaning RHI>100%. "ice-supersaturation"

2013-09-29T19:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384395699686625280

@TAYLORGANGbngme No. RHW is almost never above 100%. If RHW is about 100% then clouds will form, unless the air is super clean

---

2013-09-29T19:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384395528571592704

@TAYLORGANGbngme Contrails will spread the most when you can already see some natural

cirrus clouds. And less in a clear blue sky.

2013-09-29T19:12:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384395215949152256

@TAYLORGANGbngme Large ice crystals sink quicker, spreading the contrail vertically. Then wind differential spread the contrail horizontally

---

2013-09-29T19:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384395067097481216

@TAYLORGANGbngme if RHI is > 100% (RHW >60%) then the contrail will persist. If it's much above 100% then the ice crystal will GROW.

2013-09-29T19:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394879121371136

@TAYLORGANGbngme If RHI is below 100% (i.e. if RHW is <60%) then the contrail will sublimate away.

2013-09-29T19:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394722472521728

@TAYLORGANGbngme If RHW is below 100% then you get a clear blue sky. However contrails can still form, due to the extra water in the exhaust

---

2013-09-29T19:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394502829387776

@TAYLORGANGbngme But 100% RHI is only about 60-70% RHW.

2013-09-29T19:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394363951788032

@TAYLORGANGbngme Creation of a contrail required RHW > 100%. Persistence of a contrail requires RHI > 100%

2013-09-29T19:08:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394189925928962

@TAYLORGANGbngme RHI is the relative humidity with respect to ice. RHW is the relative humidity with respect to water.

2013-09-29T19:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384394037563645952

@TAYLORGANGbngme Exactly, humidity levels. But it's slightly more complex than that. There's two measures of relative humidity RHI and RHW

2013-09-29T19:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384393274435182592

@TAYLORGANGbngme First of all, do you have any idea at all as to why some trails vanish quickly, and some trails persist?

2013-09-29T19:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384392434811039745

@TAYLORGANGbngme Would you agree that a cirrus cloud is made of ice crystals? And that cirrus clouds don't just fall out the sky?

2013-09-29T18:58:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384391798476378112

@TAYLORGANGbngme Ice is frozen water. But you'd agree contrails are made of ice?

2013-09-29T18:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384391664824877056

@TAYLORGANGbngme [and let me know at each point if you agree, disagree, or need more info, so I can continue]

2013-09-29T18:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384391378597208064

@TAYLORGANGbngme Firstly, contrails are not made of water vapour. They are made of ice crystals, just like cirrus clouds.

2013-09-29T18:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384391106663682048

@TAYLORGANGbngme You want to get technical? Might take a few minutes.

2013-09-29T18:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384390981262376961

@TAYLORGANGbngme No, but I bet you $1,000 they would agree with me, and not with you.

2013-09-29T18:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384390860361588736

@TAYLORGANGbngme Those are just different type of contrail. Of course they look different - they ARE different. Different contrails.

2013-09-29T18:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384390691838648321

@TAYLORGANGbngme Find someone with masters in meteorology, and ask them. Don't ask kids.

2013-09-29T18:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384390264908828672

@TAYLORGANGbngme A child saying something does not equate to science. Quite the opposite really.

2013-09-29T18:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384389832828387328

@TAYLORGANGbngme No, you see a plane leave a non-persistent contrail, then a persistent one. Different air masses. http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2013-09-29T18:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384389637143134208

@TAYLORGANGbngme My evidence says they are persistent trails. Sometimes they last for hours.

2013-09-29T18:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384387740214632448

@TAYLORGANGbngme But it's not false. It's true. You've been fed a lie. There is zero evidence

to back up that lie.

2013-09-29T18:38:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384386708461977600

@TAYLORGANGbngme I'm going in a straight line. Read the 1972 book I sent you. It's both science and history.

2013-09-29T18:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384386528379543552

@TAYLORGANGbngme Did your research involve looking at old books on the weather, like this 1972 book?

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-09-29T18:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384386086337650690

@TAYLORGANGbngme How so? Contrails frequently last for hours.

2013-09-29T18:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384385944113012736

@TAYLORGANGbngme If they have been around for decades, then why did you only just notice them?

2013-09-29T18:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384385844267589632

@TAYLORGANGbngme HAARP can't control the weather. But that's a different topic.

2013-09-29T18:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384385774478581761

@TAYLORGANGbngme Contrails DO frequently last for hours, you see it all the time.

2013-09-29T18:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384385625832448000

@TAYLORGANGbngme Propaganda that has been in science books for 50+ years, all round the world, and nobody noticed?

2013-09-29T18:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384384476735733760

@TAYLORGANGbngme Your evidence looks and acts exactly like contrails. There is literally zero difference. So why believe "chemtrails"?

2013-09-29T18:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384384323966619648

@TAYLORGANGbngme Of course not. But one must weigh the evidence when deciding what action to take. What is the evidence for chemtrails?

2013-09-29T18:28:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384384118298906624

@TAYLORGANGbngme You keep saying that, but you can't back it up. It's just what the chemtrail promoters say. It's contrary to science.

2013-09-29T18:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384383995921698816

@TAYLORGANGbngme One does not determine scientific accuracy based on how bad you imagine something to be.

2013-09-29T18:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384383644120264704

@TAYLORGANGbngme sometimes it is persistent contrails. How can you tell if a trail is a "chemtrail"? Looks exactly like a contrail.

2013-09-29T18:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384382836112756736

@TAYLORGANGbngme The sky is blue, sometimes it has clouds in it. Same thing.

2013-09-29T18:22:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384382650896498690

@TAYLORGANGbngme Sometimes does not mean hardly ever. How often do you think it should be? (and re: HAARP, one thing at a time)

2013-09-29T18:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384381076744851456

@TAYLORGANGbngme Where does it say that?

2013-09-29T18:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384379715944861696

@TAYLORGANGbngme Now why on earth would you think that, when all of science and history says the opposite?

2013-09-29T18:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384377608512622592

@TAYLORGANGbngme Why wouldn't it happen a lot? 1970: "The spreading of jet contrails into extensive cirrus sheets is a familiar sight."

2013-09-29T17:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384373816010342400

@TAYLORGANGbngme Example, see the SI article from 1989: http://sportsillustrated.cnn.com/vault/article/magazine/MAG1068997/4/index.htm Third paragraph from the bottom

---

2013-09-29T17:45:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384373437474418689

@TAYLORGANGbngme It does in some locations. It depends on the climate, and air traffic and routes.

2013-09-29T17:40:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384372226566258688

@TAYLORGANGbngme And if you find something wrong on http://contrailscience.com, let me know and I'll correct it.

2013-09-29T17:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384372078205349888

@TAYLORGANGbngme I linked to http://elib.dlr.de/9281/1/AIAA-2715-2000.pdf which describes the contrail forming or not within a 100 feet, or differnet engine type

---

2013-09-29T17:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384371288489213952

@TAYLORGANGbngme It only takes a few hundred feet to make a difference. See: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-09-29T17:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384370931000291328

@TAYLORGANGbngme Planes fly at different altitudes to avoid hitting each other, for one reason. So yes, the end result is different trails.

2013-09-29T17:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384370666260004864

@martinhume @HealthRanger No they don't. That was a deliberate mistranslation. They were just discussing chaff. http://contrailscience.com/germans-admit-they-used-duppel/

2013-09-29T17:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384370349535531008

@TAYLORGANGbngme Different altitudes have different temperatures and humidities. That's why cloud form in horizontal layers.

2013-09-29T17:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384370216215388160

@TAYLORGANGbngme Why do you think the Encyclopedia Britannica in 1983 said contrails " may last for several hours"?

2013-09-29T17:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384369888673792000

@TAYLORGANGbngme It's always cold enough at some altitude. And the humidity at 35,000 feet is not directly related to humidity on the ground

---

2013-09-29T17:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384369590739804160

@TAYLORGANGbngme And also there's nothing special about the iodine he's hawking.

2013-09-29T17:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384369377379762176

@TAYLORGANGbngme But contrails don't form at ground level. What's the temp at 35,000 feet over AZ right now?

2013-09-29T17:29:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384369228796530688

@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones The lie is that it's the alternative to moving to the southern hemisphere.

2013-09-29T17:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384369062513356800

@TAYLORGANGbngme How do you know it's not just contrails?

2013-09-29T17:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384368341319573504

@TAYLORGANGbngme Backed by facts, science, history, photos, etc. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-09-29T17:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384367143791230976

@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones I'm saying it's wrong to lie for profit, no matter who does it.

2013-09-29T17:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384366907769356288

@Karlfly11 @_Golden_Rule_ @LondonChemtrail Prop planes make contrails too. Normally they don't fly that high. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWLqoACQAAmDfV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVWLqoACQAAmDfV.jpg

2013-09-29T17:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384362947645960192

@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones We already know iodine is crucial. That's why it's in salt. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iodised_salt

---

2013-09-29T17:02:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384362522695827457
@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones No. He's try to sell his own expensive brand of iodine by fearmongering http://www.infowars.com/yale-professor-fukushima-will-threaten-humanity-for-thousands-of-years/

2013-09-29T16:57:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384361325498884097
@MeierFire @RealAlexJones I don't pretend to have any. I'm just pointing things out you can verify yourself.

2013-09-29T16:36:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384355944009699329
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones I focus on bunk because it's a huge distraction from the real issues. I'm just trying to remove distractions.

2013-09-29T16:34:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384355529637634048
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones That's great. But the conspiracy fringe and the exploitation belittles and marginalizes that cause.

2013-09-29T16:32:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384354928379957249
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Sure, but it needs to end with honesty, and education. Not "info" sites stirring up fear to sell quackery.

2013-09-29T16:29:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384354333636055040
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones And are you saying it's okay for InfoWars to lie, because the government lies?

2013-09-29T16:29:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384354149510283264
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones I debunk bunk wherever I find it. But I tend to focus on the more blatantly wrong things.

2013-09-29T16:26:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384353383668125696
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Plus Alex has a huge influence, He gets 3 million visitors a month to InfoWars http://www.similarweb.com/website/infowars.com

---

2013-09-29T16:24:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384353099164286976
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones The one who tells the biggest lies.

2013-09-29T16:19:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/384351760506036224
@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Is "nascent iodine is really the alternative to migrating to the

Southern Hemisphere" honest marketing?

2013-09-29T16:18:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384351414551474177

@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones No I'm not. But it works both way. I don't like big pharma misleading, I don't like Alex Jones misleading.

2013-09-29T16:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384350568635850753

@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones And Alex's story looks like just a big ad for "Survival Shield" overly expensive iodine drops. Who's the shill?

2013-09-29T16:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384349150629732352

@DConRadioIo @TAYLORGANGbngme @RealAlexJones Not true. I try to take an accurate view. Alex takes the worst case imaginable, ignoring math.

2013-09-29T16:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384348295536975872

@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones But by the time it gets 100 miles from the outlet it will have less radiation than a banana.

2013-09-29T15:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384345649736458241

@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Probably. I'm not saying there's no problem though. I'm saying it's not apocalyptic. #fearmongering

---

2013-09-29T15:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384344957344960513

@TAYLORGANGbngme @DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones Yes, it SEEMS like that. But it's 300 tons of water that's only a few times over the limit.

2013-09-29T15:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384344141632528384

@DConRadioIo The source of that story is "The Internet Chronicle", a spoof/satirical site, like the Onion. Example:
http://www.chronicle.su/news/dog-the-bounty-hunter-to-pursue-snowden-bounty/

2013-09-29T15:47:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384343619085152256

@DConRadioIo @RealAlexJones It's been exaggerated. There's a possibility of a Chernobyl scale event. Locally very bad. Globally diluted.

2013-09-29T15:38:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384341398591242240

@aboveawhisper1 Contrails certainly are more prevalent now. But not more prevalent than in your WWII photo :)

2013-09-29T15:35:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384340780459884544

@RealAlexJones Perrow is a professor of sociology. He got his PhD in 1960. That's an argument from false authority Alex.

2013-09-29T15:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384339809000386560

RT @BadAstronomer: 30 minutes to planned launch of upgraded @SpaceX Falcon 9 rocket! http://www.spacex.com/webcast/

2013-09-29T15:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384338906893676544

@aboveawhisper1 I see, so you'd disagree with the folk who say it's a new thing? And how do you know those WWII trails are not contrails?

2013-09-29T15:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384333922902892545

Proof that spreading contrails are nothing new. 1983 Paris, covering the sky. Please retweet for the chemtrail folk. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVVtqqaCYAAoSFm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BVVtqqaCYAAoSFm.jpg

2013-09-29T00:08:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384107440762920960

@GlennLAnthony Even where there is a state mandate, local communities can opt out, like Sonoma, California: http://www.fluoridefreesonomacounty.org/bay-area-action.html

---

2013-09-29T00:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384106682516664320

@GlennLAnthony So what? That's still a local decision.

2013-09-29T00:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384106544410804224

@GlennLAnthony The point is that it's very patchy, and there's no difference in health between F and non-F regions.

2013-09-29T00:04:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384106390656012288

@GlennLAnthony Many small towns actually vote on the issue.

2013-09-29T00:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384105712114077696

@GlennLAnthony Again, your links shows its' at the state or local level.

2013-09-29T00:00:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384105351932411906

@GlennLAnthony Exactly it's at a state or local decision, and most of the state laws have opt-out clauses for municipalities. Not Federal.

2013-09-28T23:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384103125914632192

@GlennLAnthony See: http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/basicinformation/fluoride.cfm "[fluoridation is] local municipality, and is not mandated by EPA or any other Federal entity. "

2013-09-28T17:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384005973175578624

@Lifewisenews Not True:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-un-the-world-wont-cool-without-chemtrails.2453/

2013-09-28T17:25:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/384005922034429953

@ohboywhatashot But that's not what they actually said:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-un-the-world-wont-cool-without-chemtrails.2453/

2013-09-27T18:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383653450115850240

@SwayzeMusic83
1) Geoengineering aims to cool
2) Contrails warm
3) Therefore we won't use contrails for geoengineering
#logic

2013-09-27T17:44:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383648328191197184

RT @BadAstronomer: THIS is the one climate change report article you must read.
http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2013/09/the-new-ipcc-climate-report/

2013-09-27T17:43:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383648187711361024

@blondenfun1 The USN did not say it was a missile, and it was very clearly identified as a flight from Hawaii. The exact same radar track.

2013-09-27T17:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383647984161783808
@SwayzeMusic83 See answer A, above.

2013-09-27T17:32:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383645320510324738
@SwayzeMusic83 And inventions can be for FUTURE needs. There are patents for houses on the moon. Are there now houses on the moon?

2013-09-27T17:31:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383645155946815488
@SwayzeMusic83 cooling in the day, warming at night. But the sum of those results (the net result) is a slight warming.

2013-09-27T17:22:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383642939286487041
@blondenfun1 It's the one I linked to earlier Nov 2010.
http://contrailscience.com/los-angeles-missile-contrail-explained-in-pictures/ and that's me in the CBS video at 0:30

2013-09-27T17:05:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383638470431739904
RT @Salon: NRA tried to block poll that found gun dealers favor background checks http://slnm.us/qaYFOl7 via @PacificStand

---

2013-09-27T17:02:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383637675736981504
@blondenfun1 The Port of LA is owned by the City of Los Angeles. There was some fuss a while ago about a Chinese company doing some security

---

2013-09-27T17:00:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383637301722505217
@SwayzeMusic83 Why do you keep ignoring the answers? A) Contrails actually warm the planet. B) There is no clear need for geoengineering yet

---

2013-09-27T16:49:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383634596182519808
@blondenfun1 It sounds like you are referring to this one?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yJ2IkCp0Kok

2013-09-27T15:50:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383619665026490369
@Lifewisenews The UN did not say that. They said: "except if net anthropogenic CO2 emissions were strongly negative"
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-un-the-world-wont-cool-without-chemtrails.2453/

2013-09-27T15:49:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383619300860256256
@IAmOregano @SwayzeMusic83 The warming effect is mostly at night. During day there's net cooling. Night has slightly larger net warming.

2013-09-27T15:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383618573853143040

@blondenfun1 Got a link? I'm very interested in the "mystery missile" sightings. Was it the Canadian one:

http://www.ctvnews.ca/n-l-residents-want-answers-over-alleged-missiles-1.478653

2013-09-26T23:29:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383372899354017792

@blondenfun1 And they drop chaff, occasionally, for practice and testing.

2013-09-26T23:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383372295126777856

@blondenfun1 It was not an unknown missile. It was a contrail, proven beyond doubt.

http://contrailscience.com/los-angeles-missile-contrail-explained-in-pictures/

2013-09-26T22:21:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383355775206174720

@martinhume That's a nice photo of an Aerodynamic contrail. Here's the original image:

http://www.airliners.net/photo/Emirates/Boeing-777-36N-ER/1766704/ A6-EBO

2013-09-26T22:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383354344675876864

@martinhume Which scientists revealed that?

2013-09-26T22:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383350771758014464

@martinhume They look like contrails, and some cirrus fibratus clouds. Ice crystals.

2013-09-26T21:56:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383349407581609984

@martinhume That's a highly inaccurate headline. Possibly a deliberate lie.

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-un-the-world-wont-cool-without-chemtrails.2453/

2013-09-26T21:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383347954980892672

@trutherbot Yes. See:

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.341/

2013-09-26T21:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383347677712236544

@Duggo24 Never heard of it, but looking at it now, does not seem to resemble him.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Three_tramps

2013-09-26T20:55:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383333910299426818

@Duggo24 He believes his stuff to some extent, but he's basically a salesman and self-publicist. Makes millions from bunk.

2013-09-26T20:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/383333507608481792
@blondenfun1 Isn't he just talking about chaff?

2013-09-26T20:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383333410132877312
@martinhume @DailymotionUSA Like they exposed Megalodon?
http://entertainment.time.com/2013/08/07/discovery-channel-provokes-outrage-with-fake-shark-week-documentary/ Or Atlantis?

2013-09-26T20:32:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383328141126545408
@Duggo24 More so than worshipping a man being tortured? The owl represents wisdom. It's just a symbol.

2013-09-26T18:52:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383302965181706240
@JtbuffBuff @laziestskeptic @ae911truth How many radio controlled detonators would that be. Every column, every floor?

2013-09-26T18:47:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383301859839983616
@JtbuffBuff @LaziestSkeptic @ae911truth So how did they detonate it? And how much was needed? Thousands of charges and miles of det cord?

2013-09-26T18:39:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383299688524304384
@iain39 @JtbuffBuff @LaziestSkeptic @ae911truth All you need is Google.
https://www.google.com/search?q=rdx+melting+point

2013-09-26T18:37:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383299287867592704
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman I think some people have a hard time with perspective foreshortening.

2013-09-26T18:36:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383299111211896833
@Duggo24 I think it's a social club and retreat for some of the rich and powerful.

2013-09-26T17:38:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383284516548116480
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman How do you explain it?

2013-09-26T17:38:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383284423325519872
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman Do you believe no planes hit the WTC?

2013-09-26T17:33:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383283103302574080
@beforeitsnews Real UN: "except if net anthropogenic CO2 emissions were strongly negative over a sustained period." Totally different.

2013-09-26T17:31:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383282632726827009
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman So you are a "no-planer"? You think no planes hit the WTC? Now THAT is a "belief"

2013-09-26T17:17:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383279118835396608
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman Evidence to be weighed. I've weighed it. It did not tip the scales.

2013-09-26T17:16:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383278835094933504
@beforeitsnews Debunked:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-un-%E2%80%98the-world-won%E2%80%99t-cool-without-chemtrails%E2%80%99.2453/

It's talking about carbon sequestration, not "chemtrails"

2013-09-26T16:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383273730291425280
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman I have biases, like everyone does. But all my "beliefs" are subject to change based on new evidence.

2013-09-26T16:34:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383268249812467712
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman I don't hold beliefs. I weigh the evidence, and make decisions based on the best evidence. #science

---

2013-09-26T15:28:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383251668256583680
@iain39 How far were you looking with Planefinder? Most visible contrails are over 50 miles away.

2013-09-26T15:26:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383251333349777409
@aboveawhisper1 @LawAbidingHuman They were earlier told that the firemen were pulling back because the building might collapse.

2013-09-26T03:54:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/383077025331675137
@LawAbidingHuman @aboveawhisper1 What's to debunk? News reported bit of story incorrectly during chaotic day. Hardly surprising.

2013-09-25T22:34:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382996447240544256
@LawAbidingHuman @aboveawhisper1 They don't. Clearly the report was incorrect. Chinese whispers most likely.

2013-09-25T22:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382996271465656321
@SwayzeMusic83 @toasterhead That vides was debunked years ago. The reporter got the figures wrong. http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

2013-09-25T01:41:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382681185261875200
@MichaelMicklow @RickyHowcroft How is it impossible?

2013-09-25T01:41:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382681133239922689
@RickyHowcroft @MichaelMicklow It was the fire. There's a quite detailed report.

2013-09-25T01:02:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382671541541957632
@MichaelMicklow @RickyHowcroft They didnt change it. They just clarified the component phases of the fall. Some was in ff, not on average

---

2013-09-25T01:02:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382671313069813760
@MichaelMicklow @RickyHowcroft Then why did this girder bend and fail? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N2TMVDYpp2Q

2013-09-25T00:54:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382669287338414080
@MichaelMicklow @RickyHowcroft If the next word is "steel" then I agree with them. It just weakened the steel.

2013-09-25T00:53:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382669092567519232
@MichaelMicklow @RickyHowcroft http://www.sharpprintinginc.com/911/index.php?module=pagemaster&PAGE_user_op=view_printable&PAGE_id=212&lay_quiet=1

2013-09-25T00:52:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382668796336427008
@RickyHowcroft @MichaelMicklow Yes, it LOOKS like what happens to a building after a controlled demolition, at least superficially.

2013-09-25T00:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382666419655016448
@RickyHowcroft AE911Truth have been described as "gravity deniers". They are flogging a dead horse.

2013-09-25T00:34:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382664388332646400
@Jerminator33 "no evidence that exposure to zinc cadmium sulfide at these levels could cause people to become sick". It's like smoking a bit

---

2013-09-25T00:32:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382663974711341056

@RickyHowcroft Buildings can have very low resistance if the interior collapses, and then the exterior buckles. Which is what happened.

2013-09-25T00:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382663773309267969

@RickyHowcroft Chandler is being disingenuous. Even demolished buildings don't have zero resistance. It's a pointless observation.

2013-09-25T00:29:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382663195489353728

@RickyHowcroft I think they are incorrect. Near free-fall is not unexpected. Unfortunately it's rather complicated to explain the physics.

2013-09-24T22:44:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382636624107929600

@RyanBird88 Chack this thread on my site http://metabunk.org

http://www.metabunk.org/threads/common-core-national-education-dumbing-down-america.1281/

2013-09-24T22:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382633810291990529

@Jerminator33 That's cadmium the metal. Not ZCS, which is basically safe. See:

http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=5739

2013-09-24T21:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382616230714044416

@toasterhead Or just against the contrail forecast that NASA put out every day:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-forecast/

2013-09-24T21:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382614681132613632

@toasterhead @SwayzeMusic83 That should just about do it. Persistent contrails.

2013-09-24T20:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382596829570822145

@TheKirkness I'm afraid I think truthers are the very opposite of "open minded". Their minds are made up.

2013-09-24T20:05:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382596611626393600

@TheKirkness There is no argument. It's just random normal deaths. Look at all the pop stars who died in the last 10 years. Conspiracy?

2013-09-24T20:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382596321632194561

@SwayzeMusic83 @toasterhead It has more contrails than an average day, but it is just water vapour, and it is safe. Just clouds.

2013-09-24T20:02:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382596004396015616

@TheKirkness I'm not sure that list is entirely what you had in mind. And their Northwoods summary is incorrect.

2013-09-24T19:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382594674248015874

@TheKirkness So you think Kenny Johannemann after 7 years was a bigger branch than Richard Gage or anyone at AE911?

2013-09-24T19:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382594136248811520

@TheKirkness I'll accept anything that's backed by good evidence.

2013-09-24T19:54:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382593988978413568

@TheKirkness Surely though the cream of the group are individually credible? Not even Gage?

2013-09-24T19:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382593362160668673

@TheKirkness Why kill Jowenko (who agrees with them), but not kill Gage (who does not)

2013-09-24T19:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382593240517459968

@TheKirkness So you think there's a bunch of psychopaths in charge, randomly murdering people?

2013-09-24T19:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382592601888542720

@TheKirkness Like AE911?

2013-09-24T19:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382592147372769280

@TheKirkness Yeah, but you would expect a percentage of any group to die. Is this a high percentage? Or just suspicions.

2013-09-24T19:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382591955974111232

@TheKirkness What on earth would be the point of killing someone like Kenny Johannemann seven years after the fact?

2013-09-24T19:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382589604278202369

@TheKirkness And what are the odd? Don't people die all the time? Look at all the people who died just this year! http://edition.cnn.com/SPECIALS/world/obituaries-2013

2013-09-24T19:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382589113230049281

@stevenandre1967 I'm someone who debunks for a hobby. But what does it matter who I am? It matters what the facts are. I just point at them.

2013-09-24T19:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382588931390177280

@TheKirkness And Jowenko died in a car crash, but he SUPPORTED the official story regarding WTC1&2: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3wwdI0XawI

2013-09-24T19:33:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382588602875523072

@toasterhead @SwayzeMusic83 Not at 20% RH. But radiosonde RH data is very inaccurate at low temps.

2013-09-24T19:32:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382588361224896512

@TheKirkness You can find "suspicious" deaths in any random group. It's your suspicion that makes it suspicious. Not statistics.

2013-09-24T19:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382588053425881088

@stevenandre1967 Did you listen to what he said? Or what someone told you that he said? Go to the actual source.

2013-09-24T19:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382587504613797888

@TheKirkness You should try looking around the AE911 signers. See just how qualified they are and what they say. http://www.ae911truth.org/signatures/ae.html#modal-details

---

2013-09-24T19:24:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382586411867590657

@TheKirkness And largely consists of a bunch of guys who clearly just don't understand the physics involved.

2013-09-24T19:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382586276408336384

@TheKirkness I've skipped around in it. It's just repeating things that were debunked years ago.

2013-09-24T19:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382585598352961537

@TheKirkness Where? And how do they know it was molten steel? Why not just fires? Fires can burn underground for weeks.

2013-09-24T19:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382585132684562432

@stevenandre1967 He's saying we should not do it in the future. He is not saying it's being done now.

2013-09-24T19:19:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382584983392485377

@TheKirkness Says who?

2013-09-24T19:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382584913280520192

@Jerminator33 You could say "we don't know what it really was" about anything. It's meaningless.

2013-09-24T19:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382583905477349376

@TheKirkness Sorry, but I'm not watching a two hour video. Does it say anything new?

2013-09-24T19:13:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382583597833527296

@Jerminator33 Asbestos?

2013-09-24T19:13:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382583504682246145

@TheKirkness Yeah, but fires can burn for weeks.

2013-09-24T19:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382583413120585728

@TheKirkness Check an actual explosives expert's opinion on the towers destruction: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k3wwdI0XawI Pick and chose your experts?

2013-09-24T19:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382581454418354177

@TheKirkness Erm, so? Of course there was lots of molten aluminum.

2013-09-24T19:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382581276894441472

@TheKirkness You know Jowenko said that the towers were clearly NOT a controlled demolition, right? So you agree with him?

2013-09-24T19:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382580626269806592

@TheKirkness Really? What did they say?

2013-09-24T19:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382580284912177152

@TheKirkness http://www.ae911truth.org/signatures/Petition-2000-AEs-13-09.pdf. None of them are high-rise demolition engineers. Some of them are just electrical, even food engineer.

2013-09-24T18:56:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382579292502122496

@TheKirkness The NIST guy says he's not aware of pools of molten steel. And no such pools were ever found.

2013-09-24T18:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382578754368704513

@TheKirkness 3500 out of how many? And how many of them are experts at demolition? (None)

2013-09-24T18:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382578410490314753

@TheKirkness Two different things. Please don't conflate the building fires with the debris fires.

2013-09-24T18:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382578162715987968

@TheKirkness Can you prove a "lie" from NIST?

2013-09-24T18:46:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382576773772230657

@TheKirkness Silver aluminum is orange in reflected flames.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8vjHKCcAAfT32.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8vjHKCcAAfT32.jpg

2013-09-24T18:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382576369122562049

@TheKirkness This aluminum is silver, but there's a lot of orange around it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8vLjkCYAAYH17.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8vLjkCYAAYH17.jpg

2013-09-24T18:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382576129879461888

@TheKirkness Fires.

2013-09-24T18:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382575874236612609

@TheKirkness Molten aluminum makes things burn. Burning things are orange.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8uuwKCIAEibtU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8uuwKCIAEibtU.jpg

2013-09-24T18:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382575249960599552

@TheKirkness You have videos of something staying hot for 6 weeks? And things BURN with an orange glow.

2013-09-24T18:39:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382575052446646273

@Jerminator33 There's a road near my house. Trucks drive on it. They spray pollution. I would rather they do not. Same thing.

2013-09-24T18:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382574867674980352

@TheKirkness I've not seen anyone try.

2013-09-24T18:37:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382574414287486976

@SwayzeMusic83 None of those things are backed by evidence.

2013-09-24T18:36:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382574346268450816

@Jerminator33 I would mind if there was a polluting power station nearby. Same thing.

2013-09-24T18:36:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382574251816923136

@TheKirkness Sure, the burning stuff would float on top.

2013-09-24T18:32:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382573269661908992

@TheKirkness So you think NIST should pour molten aluminum and burning office contents from

a high tower to see what it looks like?

2013-09-24T18:31:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382573012710486017

@SwayzeMusic83 No, and neither would the majority of climate scientists.

2013-09-24T18:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382572277700624384

@SwayzeMusic83 Nobody ever said weather modification was not possible. It's been done since the 1950s with public cloud seeding.

2013-09-24T18:25:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382571524537851904

@TheKirkness You said "a theory that has never been able to be replicated". What did YOU mean?

2013-09-24T18:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382570482827943937

@TheKirkness What do you expect, them to rebuild the WTC and knock it down just to demonstrate something?

2013-09-24T18:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382570273054011392

@Jerminator33 It's basically the exact same issue as industrial pollution. Like being near a power station.

2013-09-24T18:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382570129575247872

@Jerminator33 Again, they were not testing things ON people, just NEAR people. They thought they would have zero effect on people.

2013-09-24T18:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382569974104993792

@SwayzeMusic83 It's a treaty prohibiting something. So what is that evidence of?

2013-09-24T17:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382558035341631488

@Jerminator33 quote: "we have found no evidence that exposure to zinc cadmium sulfide at these levels could cause people to become sick."

2013-09-24T17:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382557855661817856

@Jerminator33 Have you read this: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_LAC

2013-09-24T17:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382557523640725506

@TheKirkness NIST did not say that steel was melted. If you think otherwise then give me a precise reference.

2013-09-24T17:28:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382557195042164736

@SwayzeMusic83 I'm not out to prove anyone wrong. I'm out to find bunk (if it is bunk), and to debunk it.

2013-09-24T17:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382557047713058816

@TheKirkness I was was wondering which NIST report you were referring to. There are several detailed sub-reports, often skipped.

2013-09-24T17:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382556851725811712

@Jerminator33 It was a military test, for warfare, hence secret. They were not testing reactions, just dispersion, distance, patterns.

2013-09-24T17:14:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382553630936530944

@SwayzeMusic83 http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8agBcCEAA0CNe.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8agBcCEAA0CNe.jpg
2013-09-24T17:10:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382552690745548801
@TheKirkness how many pages does it have?

2013-09-24T17:09:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382552455814201344
@TheKirkness Again, nobody except Truthers claim that steel was melted.

2013-09-24T17:09:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382552279900909568
@TheKirkness Nobody has ever said the fuel and air melted steel. NIST is quite specific about that. It was later fires that weakened it.

2013-09-24T17:07:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382551958923399168
@SwayzeMusic83 David Keith is talking about doing it in the future. He says it's not being done. And aluminum is everywhere.

2013-09-24T17:06:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382551610510950400
@TheKirkness Still a just bunch of claims. And ONE you feel strongly about?

2013-09-24T17:02:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382550600711282689
@TheKirkness What bit are you referring to? I've seen a lot of that video before.

2013-09-24T17:01:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382550470557839360
@Jerminator33 So? It was a highly classified top-secret military test that was thought to be harmless.

2013-09-24T17:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382550207168131072
@SwayzeMusic83 Contrails last for hours and spread out if conditions are right. Nobody has admitted geoengineering by spraying from planes

---

2013-09-24T16:59:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382549895170629632
@TheKirkness Who says that? Where do they say it?

2013-09-24T16:57:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382549415795236865
@SwayzeMusic83 Lots of intercontinental air traffic over London. If the air is ice-supersaturated then persistent contrails will form.

2013-09-24T16:56:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382549154976653312
@TheKirkness What globs?

2013-09-24T16:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382549063561785344

@Jerminator33 They did not spray for years - there were individual tests. They used a city (in some tests) to see how it spread in a city.

2013-09-24T16:47:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382546733042896896

@TheKirkness No large blobs of molten metal were found. And no, the "meteorites" were not blobs of molten metal.

2013-09-24T16:45:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382546373167419392

@KristenMeghan @TheKirkness @jeffreyatucker Have you looked at a graph of bitcoin values? How is that not a speculators bubble?

2013-09-24T16:43:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382545814901358592

@Jerminator33 The bottom line here is that they sprayed something thought to be harmless to see how far it would go.

2013-09-24T16:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382545623502696448

@TheKirkness It think we are going a bit beyond the realm of twitter debunking. Try here: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/molten-and-glowing-metal.2029/

2013-09-24T16:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382543778843602944

@TheKirkness That's a column that was cut after the collapse, during debris removal.

2013-09-24T16:34:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382543635159318528

@TheKirkness Not molton steel though, just something that's glowing orange.

2013-09-24T16:34:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382543506708758529

@Jerminator33 They didn't tell them anything, they were not spraying people any more than a polluting factory sprays people.

2013-09-24T16:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382539186051354624

@TheKirkness Bitcoin is based on cryptographic mining. It's not a viable total alternative.

2013-09-24T16:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382538542586417152

@TheKirkness What forms of evidence?

2013-09-24T16:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382538487368396800

@TheKirkness where is this molten steel? A few eyewitness accounts of "molten metal" do not add up to molten steel.

2013-09-24T16:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382538112091426816

@Jerminator33 It was secret. It was a military test during the cold war. It has since been declassified.

2013-09-24T16:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382537810239967232

@TheKirkness It does not then follow that it was controlled demolition though, does it? You'd need some actual evidence for that.

2013-09-24T16:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382537641612148736

@TheKirkness There's a vast amount of regulation of the reserve banks. Just perhaps not enough, and not enough enforcement.

2013-09-24T16:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382537353090183168

@TimBravo @martinhume So why don't you describe the chemtrail theory as "only speculation"?

2013-09-24T16:08:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382537086839967744

@TimBravo @martinhume Which photos? The aerodynamic contrail comes from the wing surface. Exhaust contrails start some way behind engines

---

2013-09-24T16:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382536720773701633

@martinhume @timbravo Here's a technical explanation of the colors: http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

---

2013-09-24T16:06:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382536568738566145

@martinhume @timbravo Yes. The wing create aerodynamic contrails sometimes. See: http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2013-09-24T16:05:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382536311065690113

@TimBravo @martinhume You wouldn't say this is a "Chemtrail", would you? Same kind of thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8Kv3xCEAAW0CL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BU8Kv3xCEAAW0CL.jpg
2013-09-24T16:04:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382535935281205248
@TimBravo @martinhume It's a plane with engine failure. That's smoke. See:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/iluyshin-96-oil-leak-photo-trail-from-single-engine.2422/

2013-09-24T16:00:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382535104943226880
@TheKirkness You don't trust it. You regulate it.

2013-09-24T16:00:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382535044369117185
@Karlfly11 @LondonChemtrail None? What aboutSome of these? Particularly 11.4.1?
https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa (there are more routes now though)

2013-09-24T15:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382534512833355778
@Jerminator33 There's no good evidence that they used anything radioactive. Just one woman's theory.

2013-09-24T14:34:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382513303328546816
@TheKirknessThe Fed is certainly not ideal. But unless we start using gold you need something.
http://moneyfornothingthemovie.org/

2013-09-24T14:31:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382512591764860928
@TheKirkness @SlapHappyBitch @joerogan Debunked here:
http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-short-lived-fires-of-wtc-1-2.1771/

2013-09-24T14:28:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/382511987319529472

@Karlfly11 @LondonChemtrail Just because you personally don't remember something does not mean it did not happen before. Check old books.

2013-09-24T14:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382511671878483968

@Jerminator33 It's legit. A dispersement test to see how far things blew. Using tracking substances thought to be harmless.

2013-09-24T04:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382360363896827904

@JtbuffBuff @joerogan @eddiebravo Exactly. It's an ironic take on the use of quotes.

2013-09-24T04:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382360066378055680

@SlapHappyBitch @joerogan That Chechnya building only had the plastic trim burn off. See: http://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-wtc7-vs-chechnyas-tallest-building-fire-grozny-city-complex.1804/ (others were diferent too)

2013-09-23T04:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/382000721811361793

@RyanBird88 There's rather a lot of them. Is there one claim of evidence you find particularly interesting?

2013-09-22T03:03:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381614751614513152

@Freizeit_Tiger @ct sorry, I'm downsizing my print collection as it is.

2013-09-21T21:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381533048342609920

@Karlfly11 not so much in Los Angeles. It's a loose correlation, but a good rule of thumb. Very few summer contrails in LA

2013-09-21T14:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381425816053497856

@Slikk82 because it's a joke story. Like from the Onion.

2013-09-21T14:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381425225881366528

@yycdeathsquad @eddiebravo @D_y_m_o_n_d_ the colors come from the aerodynamic portion of the contrail. Still just water though.

2013-09-21T13:37:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381411858391236608

@JtbuffBuff it's a contentious topic, so one should expect some contention. If everyone agrees, then what's to talk about.

2013-09-21T06:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381302007195504640

@JtbuffBuff a good metric of contentiousness, yes.

2013-09-21T01:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/381230882612609024
@JtbuffBuff depends on the metric. :)

2013-09-21T01:36:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/381230423835426816
@Karlfly11 @LaisVonGanjah one day does not a season make.

2013-09-21T01:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/381230122692771840
@Karlfly11 example?

2013-09-21T01:34:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/381229958896812032
@Pico__Riley sure. Metabunk@gmail.com

2013-09-19T14:30:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380700315169079296
@Karlfly11 there are patents for houses on the Moon. Does it then mean there are houses on the Moon?

2013-09-19T14:28:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380699958380625920
@TheKirkness yes, but they had to borrow half the money.

2013-09-19T13:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380678331089559552
@silver_stevie it's a firefighting plane. It dumps water on fires. Tanks contain water or fire retardant.

2013-09-19T13:01:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380677947142991872
@Slikk82 @joerogan @eddiebravo @duncantrussell Snowden never mentioned chemtrails. Made up story.

2013-09-19T12:59:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380677623623725056
@TheKirkness still lost money though. They were underinsured for rebuild.

2013-09-19T12:58:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380677341435133952
@Karlfly11 Many patents ARE just-in-case. But a lot listed are nothing to do with geoengineering.

2013-09-19T12:56:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380676804803330048
@Karlfly11 the question is WHY you are noticing it.

2013-09-18T14:04:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/380331602078744576
@Karlfly11 @WisdomsGrave The key to good science is not knowing you are right. Question everything.

2013-09-18T04:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380182538754342913

@Karlfly11 If the air is humid enough, then deposition makes the clouds grow. Jet exhaust vapor is the trigger. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deposition_(phase_transition)

2013-09-18T00:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380123826119401472

@Karlfly11 Weather varies by location. Contrail frequency and seasonality also varies by location. Florida is very different to California.

2013-09-17T21:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380086364236034049

@WisdomsGrave Never. Nobody had ever said "geoengineering is good for us". "They admitted geoengineering" is a lie. Always been contrails

---

2013-09-17T21:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380079268404809730

@WisdomsGrave @Karlfly11 I've lived in Los Angeles for 20 years. Last seven years I've been looking up. Summer has very few contrails.

2013-09-17T21:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380075592009265152

@martinhume Really you need to establish "Is Anyone?" before the Wh.. questions. That's where the first films failed. Flawed evidence.

2013-09-17T21:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380075221106974721

@WisdomsGrave @Karlfly11 Somewhere it is. Basically it matches the rainy season. In L.A. we get hardly any contrails or rain in summer.

2013-09-17T21:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380074832932532224

@theJIVETURKY Maybe they should add "wait three hours before replying" to Shill School 101? :)

2013-09-17T17:44:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380024429436092416

@joerogan Or is it a list of mentally ill people, who are frequently on psychiatric drugs? Correlation is not causation

---

2013-09-17T17:28:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/380020398273744896

@Karlfly11 It's not natural. But it is normal, yes. Planes have always done that if the weather is right. See: http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-09-17T04:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379824249457745921

@Dashtastic740 Plane exhaust has lots of water in it, which triggers clouds (contrails)

2013-09-17T04:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379819001838190593

@MickWest The second link there starts with a www, but twitter removed it.

2013-09-17T04:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379818837643776001

If anyone is having trouble accessing http://metabunk.org, try http://www.metabunk.org (until the DNS changes propogate tomorrow)

2013-09-17T04:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379818490825154560

@4thAnon @_WasatchMan @TAYLORMICHVEL It was a dispersion test. No actual evidence of radioactive material used.

2013-09-17T03:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379809982205554692

@Freizeit_Tiger Is that a contrail moon shadow? Cool!

2013-09-17T03:28:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379809057281830912

@Freizeit_Tiger Unfortunately you may never see that message again. Google ueber alles.

2013-09-17T03:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379808844202790912

@_WasatchMan @4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Actually I hate fighting straw men. I much prefer fact checking.

2013-09-17T03:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379808645736722433

@4thAnon Yeah, he's talking about doing it 20 years in the future.

2013-09-17T03:15:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379805721480531969

@Freizeit_Tiger Yes, and I changed some things that should make it faster.

2013-09-17T01:06:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379773379483414528

@LawAbidingHuman @aboveawhisper1 FD told everyone WTC7 might collapse. "might collapse" became "had collapsed". Chinese whispers.

2013-09-17T00:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379758022668472320

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL What about him? He's an "alternative" doctor. He believed the evidence of spraying, he does not give any evidence.

2013-09-16T23:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379756003966742528

@aboveawhisper1 Let's check back in a year. I suspect you'll find the opposite.

2013-09-16T23:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379755511257628672

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL A long retired, and frankly slightly batty agent? One who believes

in satanic gang stalking? No. Not good enough.

2013-09-16T23:47:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379753415317798912

@aboveawhisper1 Lots of people have common sense and education. You just happen to agree with these, AE911. A very small number of people.

2013-09-16T23:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379752138135441408

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Not to describe trails in the sky they didn't. See debunking: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-1990-usaf-academy-chemtrails-manual.352/

2013-09-16T23:41:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379751990340763648

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Sure, but how good evidence is it? Where is the weight of the evidence? "Chemtrails" look just like contrails.

2013-09-16T23:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379751674815840256

@aboveawhisper1 But the whole point about AE911 is that they use their science and expertise. It's backwards.

2013-09-16T22:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379736894164066304

@aboveawhisper1 So these architects and engineers, they are highly educated, so why do you trust them?

2013-09-16T22:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379736683534483457

@aboveawhisper1 I'm not ignoring it. It's been extensively discussed elsewhere. I don't really have anything to add. http://forums.randi.org/showthread.php?t=140017

2013-09-16T21:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379723784154271744

@aboveawhisper1 but you'd see it. There would be no gap. The gap is because it's water.

2013-09-16T21:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379723441538367488

@aboveawhisper1 consider that the more education a person has, the less likely they are to agree with AE911. Why would that be?

2013-09-16T21:47:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379723123765293056

@aboveawhisper1 how can you tell? Why do you accept the account of these particular people?

2013-09-16T21:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379713537830293505

@aboveawhisper1 why would it look like a gap? Why would it be invisible at the most dense, then visible when spread out?

2013-09-16T21:08:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379713420565942272

@aboveawhisper1 Nope, just wrong, or wishful thinking.

2013-09-16T21:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379712340071317504

@aboveawhisper1 I watch a lot of videos. You'd need to be more specific.
What they found was substances that you would expect.

2013-09-16T21:01:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379711582747774976

@aboveawhisper1 And plenty of people claim they are unmarked military planes spraying from nozzles

---

2013-09-16T21:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379711483854458880

@aboveawhisper1 There would be no gap, if it were spraying something other than water. No gap between engine and trail.

2013-09-16T20:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379711284033626112

@TurdBandito @joerogan @martinhume Notice that Natural News is trying to sell you ten kinds of snake oil as it repeats this bunk?

2013-09-16T20:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379710881246248960

@aboveawhisper1 Samples that had the same chemical signature as paint and rust chips. Also looked just like paint and rust chips.

2013-09-16T20:57:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379710657601757187

@martinhume Pernilla Hagberg is just a local green party member, not an "official". Nobody is "admitting" chemtrails. That's a hoax.

2013-09-16T20:56:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379710322292314112

@aboveawhisper1 Very high resolution. See examples here: http://www.luchtzak.be/forums/viewtopic.php?f=25&t=50962&sid=8c0719617f1f0eccc8eb9d8a326c9c94

2013-09-16T20:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379706326848659458

@aboveawhisper1 I give a bunch of that here: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/ was there one bit in particular you were interested in?

2013-09-16T20:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379705987189731328

@aboveawhisper1 Not in the air. It's easy to take close up photos of planes leaving trails. So

why are there none?

2013-09-16T19:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379695155756150784

@aboveawhisper1 Why do you think there are no HD photos of these spray planes? Why no videos of their spraying?

2013-09-16T19:14:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379684835482415106

@aboveawhisper1 It's very rare here in Los Angeles in the Summer. Depends a lot on location.

2013-09-16T18:15:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379669944432336896

@aboveawhisper1 Should be back now.

2013-09-16T18:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379669798105657344

@Freizeit_Tiger I have temporarily redirected that page to a static archive.

2013-09-16T18:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379667793576476672

@Freizeit_Tiger It's a conspiracy. Somebody big on Facebook linked to the FEMA coffins debunk page.

2013-09-16T18:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379667344685281280

http://metabunk.org is down right now, due to excessive interest in debunking FEMA coffins

---

2013-09-16T18:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379667233032921089

@aboveawhisper1 But how do you square that with all the people whose photos DO show persistent contrails?

2013-09-16T17:55:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379664850487873536

@aboveawhisper1 Nope, evidence you can verify yourself. Like old books on clouds or science that explain it. Or old photos.

2013-09-16T17:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379664111971618816

@aboveawhisper1 I'm just going by the evidence. Keep an open mind.

2013-09-16T17:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379661338030919680

@aboveawhisper1 There are other reasons too. Different locations, new jet routes. Many people remember trails. Many old photos show trails.

2013-09-16T17:39:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379660814074253314

@aboveawhisper1 Yeah, but A) You hardly took any photos then compared to now. B) There WERE fewer contrails then.

2013-09-16T17:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379659318859423744

@aboveawhisper1 Perhaps though, these are just persistent contrails, and you are just noticing them more. Because of the "chemtrail" story?

2013-09-16T17:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379656463053901824

@aboveawhisper1 Also see this 1972 book on clouds.
https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-09-16T17:21:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379656315171135489

@aboveawhisper1 Many. See:
http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-09-16T17:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379656184145276928

@aboveawhisper1 Obvious how? Where? Did you look at the Worldview link I sent you?
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?map=-100.21875,21.09375,-71.425781,39.990234&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2013-01-21&switch=geographic you can see the joins.

2013-09-16T17:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379654462144385024

@aboveawhisper1 Here's a similar view from Jan 21 2013. Not really "many" images in the composite.
https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/?map=-100.21875,21.09375,-71.425781,39.990234&products=baselayers,!MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor~overlays,sedac_bound&time=2013-01-21&switch=geographic

---

2013-09-16T17:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379653839768399872

@aboveawhisper1 Yes, it's a TERRA composite image. Is that relevant? Does it change anything? See: https://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2013-09-16T17:03:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379651765819281408

@scottymac769 Yes, but water is a chemical (H2O) if you want to get technical. Cloud seeding does not make visible trails in the sky.

2013-09-16T16:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379648849423253504

@amronsomar @sharethis WND debunked that: "Those cases, in fact, were a series of unrelated disputes " http://www.wnd.com/2010/08/187797/

2013-09-16T16:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379647344381153280

@scottymac769 Seems like you are veering into semantics. What are they actually doing? They try to make rain clouds rain a bit more.

2013-09-16T16:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379643102262083584

RT @rothschildmd: Another shooting, another round of #FalseFlag cries. So another time I have to post this: http://skeptoid.com/blog/2013/01/21/false-flag-attacks-myth-and-reality/ #NavyYarâ¦

2013-09-16T16:22:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379641439186993152

@aboveawhisper1 It's my logic in the article. Could you point to the holes in it, so I can fix them?

2013-09-16T16:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379638854690406401

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Climate and Weather are different. Climate is the planet-wide average over years. Weather is local temp, rainfall.

2013-09-16T16:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379638617536081920

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL You are conflating different things. Cloud seeding is not secret. But It's NOT geoengineering. Two separate things.

2013-09-16T16:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379638118187425792

@scottymac769 WMI is a cloud seeding company. "Weather Modification" is 99% cloud seeding. Your image is them talking about cloud seeding

---

2013-09-16T15:22:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379626395149680640

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Partially good. Weather modification is real. Chemtrails are just persistent contrails. Case Orange is mostly bunk.

2013-09-16T15:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379625637251543040

Cloud Seeding. It's been done openly since the 50s by local water boards. Why don't the chemtrail folk know this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUSzgXBCcAIpQL4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUSzgXBCcAIpQL4.jpg

2013-09-16T15:16:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379624895295918080

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL Yes, those are Cloud Seeding projects. Cloud seeding has been done publically for 60 years. Not a secret.

2013-09-16T14:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379617378402705409

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL There's only one of those Evergreen planes, and it's configured as a fire-fighting plane.

2013-09-16T14:45:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379617108344049664

@aboveawhisper1 That image is explained here:

http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-09-16T04:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379458713163014144

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL My argument is that there's no evidence that chemtrails exist. Why do YOU think they exist? Not normal contrails?

2013-09-16T01:34:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379417997732876288

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL And what evidence does Blaylock present?

2013-09-16T01:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379417826508816384

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL That's from 9 years ago, and does not show actual test result, or testing procedure.

2013-09-16T00:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379399790775128065

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL That's a good document. But what do you think it says? The ground is 8.8% aluminum. What level did you find?

2013-09-15T23:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379394059069976576

@davidicke Roosevelt was the only Pres to use the word "Invisible Gov". He was quoting the progressive party platform

http://teachingamericanhistory.org/library/document/progressive-platform-of-1912/

2013-09-15T23:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379383554389463040

@4thAnon @joebird123 @TAYLORMICHVEL @davidicke Nope, but I read the actual details behind the the story. http://www.defense.gov/news/Jul2001/d20010710finmngt.pdf

---

2013-09-15T20:55:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379347721959653376

@joebird123 @davidicke If you don't write down every penny you spend, then at the end of the month you don't think all your money is missing

---

2013-09-15T20:54:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379347466488774657

@joebird123 @davidicke It was never missing. They know where the money wnet, they just have not recorded transactions to strict standards

---

2013-09-15T20:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379345343399211008

@LaziestSkeptic @D_y_m_o_n_d_ @eddiebravo Besides, it makes zero sense to fake footage of something when there are thousands of eyewitnesses

---

2013-09-15T20:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379341953705336832

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL How do you handle the fact that contrails have always been persistent?

2013-09-15T20:32:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379341859312500736

@4thAnon @TAYLORMICHVEL What levels of aluminum would you expect to find in soil?

2013-09-15T16:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379283522596265984

@TAYLORMICHVEL Indeed. The problem is not asking questions, it's ignoring answers purely because they contradict preconceptions.

2013-09-15T15:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379269143721037824

@4thAnon You can pick "now" and "then" photos that look the exact opposite. #cherrypicking

---

2013-09-15T00:55:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/379045826196037634

@davidicke That "missing $2.3 Trillion" is a good litmus test for bunk, as it was never actually missing.

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2013-09-14T18:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378947341077581824

@theoutlawjimmy Why do we need conditioned to accept something that has always been there? http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUJKmTICEAAhNfe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUJKmTICEAAhNfe.jpg

2013-09-14T18:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378945401245540352

@theoutlawjimmy Since we see persistent trails all the time (and have for many decades), why is conditioning needed?

2022-04-29T04:43:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519900271270322178
From a 1977 book. 45 years ago.

Nothing has changed.

Nothing really changed from 1947. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfE6hwVUAAmDw0.jpg



When someone asks the question: 'Do you believe in flying saucers?', you may say 'No' because you think that all UFOs will turn out to be ordinary objects or events if you have enough evidence for your detective work, or you may say 'Yes' because you like to think that at least some flying saucers are interplanetary or interstellar spaceships from another world. The third answer is always: 'I don't know' because there will always be unanswered questions. It is rather disappointing that there is no good scientific evidence that flying saucers piloted by intelligent creatures who live elsewhere in space visit our planet, but this is no reason why we should stop wondering whether there are such creatures living on other planets in the universe.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfE6hwVUAAmDw0.jpg
2022-04-29T04:39:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519899202712526849
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ScottishDebunk1 @fin365 No. It's not important at all. It was like a ghost story. A claw-like hand reaching down from a roof. Only that, and nothing more.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfEFwFUcAExYBL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfEEiSVIAAc2X1.jpg



large bright bubble-shaped UFOs hovering near high power electric transmission lines. Some people suggested that these were flying saucers recharging their batteries. Then came the power failure which blacked out New York and plunged the city into chaos. The UFOs, it was suggested, had blown the fuses! It took the men in charge of the power network some time to restore the supply and to work out what had happened. Then it was found that an important switch had been accidentally turned off. It was not the work of UFOs, but an ordinary human error. As for the flying saucers seen hovering near the power lines, it appears they were quite natural clouds of glowing gas which gather round high-power electrical equipment in certain unusual weather conditions. But the power blackout brought UFOs back into the news, and the following year, 1966, was another bumper year for flying saucer reports. When flying saucers make the headlines, the number of people on the look-out for them increases. One UFO, it seems, leads to another.

Ninety-five per cent of the UFO reports from the files of the United States Airforce have been explained; but this leaves a few hundred which are still unsolved. In some of these cases there is not enough evidence to go on, in others the stories of the witnesses are so extraordinary that nobody has been able to think up an explanation.

One of these unexplained stories is the tale of the Kentucky Glowing Man. Late one evening in 1955 a farmer was standing outside his farmhouse in a remote part of Kentucky when he saw a flying saucer land behind some trees. He went inside and told his family. Nobody would believe his story. An hour later the family were alerted by one of their dogs which was barking angrily. Two men went outside to investigate and they found what they later described as 'a small glowing man with large red eyes' walking towards the house. This strange sight scared the farmers, but as they were carrying rifles they began to shoot at their luminous intruder. A metallic sound told them that they had hit their target and they stopped firing, but the glowing man seemed unharmed and simply 'floated away into some trees'. The two men went back into the house and bolted all the doors. A few moments later the glowing man peered in through one of the farmhouse windows. The two farmers rushed outside to chase him off, but their visitor had vanished. They searched all round the outbuildings, and suddenly one of the men felt a tap on his head. He looked up and saw a claw-like hand reaching down towards him from a low roof.

The men fled back to the house, packed the family into two cars, and drove off to the local police station to seek help. The police went up to the farm but, in spite of a very thorough search, they could find no trace of the unwelcome guest. The story remains a complete and baffling mystery. What do you think? Was it a hoax?

When someone asks the question: 'Do you believe in flying saucers?', you may say 'No' because you think that all UFOs will turn out to be ordinary objects or events if you have enough evidence for your detective work, or you may say 'Yes' because you like to think that at least some flying saucers are interplanetary or interstellar spaceships from another world. The third answer is always: 'I don't know' because there will always be unanswered questions. It is rather disappointing that there is no good scientific evidence that flying saucers piloted by intelligent creatures who live elsewhere in space visit our planet, but this is no reason why we should stop wondering whether there are such creatures living on other planets in the universe.

The Solar System and the Milky Way by Helmut Wimmer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfEFwFUcAExYBL.jpg

2022-04-29T04:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519898427974307840

Roger Stone and friends claim, in all seriousness, that there's a "satanic portal" over the White House.

It's a lens flare.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MvWjBmFt2Bs

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfDNxtVsAA41In.jpg



WHITE HOUSE LIVE CAM - Washington D.C. | USA | earthTV®

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfDNxtVsAA41In.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRfDKVTVkAAH9zc.jpg

2022-04-29T04:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519894295230124033

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 And a constant speed LOS traversal has it at pretty constant distance. Itâ□□s flying away, not hovering in place.

2022-04-29T04:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519893651366678528

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 What are you comparing it to. (With a known range/zoom)?

2022-04-29T04:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519893294133567490

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ScottishDebunk1 @fin365 And, @ScottishDebunk1, if you want more details letâ□□s Zoom. Public if you like. Iâ□□ve got nothing to hide.

2022-04-29T04:12:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519892361626615808

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @ScottishDebunk1 @fin365 Thatâ□□s what happens when you are 10. Thing seem important, then life sweeps childhood worries into the past.

2022-04-29T04:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519892083527409666

@tommaw3 @murnut Also not helpful in the Tic-Tac case.

2022-04-29T04:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519891919739883520

@tommaw3 @murnut Itâ□□s a balloon, kids project probably. Looks like a Sputnik/Telstar mashup.

2022-04-29T04:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519891604969955329

@the_cholla @bradjohansson21 It makes sense. Stick an F/A-18 in there. Engine glare fits (centered, then on right). Blurry TV image fits because itâ□□s out if focus.

What else fits? â□□Unknownâ□□?

2022-04-29T04:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519890631400718336

@ScottishDebunk1 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @fin365 I did not have â□□an experienceâ□□. I read a book about aliens terrorizing some farmers. It was scary. Then I turned 10 and got over it.

2022-04-29T01:51:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519856979337916418

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @fin365 What was your experience?

2022-04-29T01:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519856391615254528

@fin365 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR There are much simpler hypotheses. Like, most people donâ□□t see what they describe, so real encounters are very rare (if they exist) so no photos yet.

2022-04-29T01:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519854961395331072

@the_cholla What is a better hypothesis? Seem like explaining â□□why no RNG?â□□ is vastly simpler than â□□how does antigravity existâ□□ or â□□why are aliens hereâ□□

2022-04-29T01:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519854104100491264

@fin365 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR That a common hypothesis. Not a good one. Super complicated (can they detect all types of cameras?)

2022-04-29T01:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519852855565324289

@thaxter @fin365 No, I would not.

2022-04-29T01:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519852630641500161

@fin365 @UAPDAO Lack of photos of things people claim they can clearly see is a significant data point that would need to be incorporated into any hypotheses.

2022-04-28T23:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519818688269934593

@fin365 There's a significant difference between knowing what color a car looked like, and knowing exactly what happened in an unusual experience that is entirely new to you.

2022-04-28T23:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519818257582026752

@likeitmatters3 @JackFrostvc https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1519815012210348032

2022-04-28T23:10:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519816256018284546

@fin365 I don't say that.

I say there's no good evidence that aliens are visiting us.

2022-04-28T23:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519815983334010880
@fin365 I understand. However, without being there, all I have is someone who is totally convinced that they know what they saw.

History has examples where such confidence was warranted, and examples where it is was misplaced. I have no way of knowing where yours falls on that spectrum

---

2022-04-28T23:06:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519815358252679168
@SkinnyWalker3 Extremism of any kind is dangerous.

2022-04-28T23:05:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519815012210348032
Zoom in! Enhance!

Small videos are automatically "enhanced" with bilinear interpolation (or more) when viewed on a larger screen.

But what are the actual raw original pixels? Is what you see actually there?

Is this a white Tic-Tac with two small feet? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRd3ZdJVEAAFb6z.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRd3ZdJVEAAFb6z.jpg

2022-04-28T23:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519814112515137536

@fin365 Sure, I understand that. However, it's yet another example of an unambiguous encounter that did not make it onto video.

A number of those is understandable. But ALL of them over decades? It doesn't add up.

2022-04-28T22:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519813162635169792

@likeitmatters3 @JackFrostvc I think people overestimate how much information there is in the video. Watch this full screen

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1519812919378124800/pu/img/YdeQMI_FC14BNMJb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1519812919378124800/pu/img/YdeQMI_FC14BNMJb.jpg
2022-04-28T22:55:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519812520650809344
@fin365 It's just epistemology. You saw some black triangles. You don't know why you saw black triangles.

2022-04-28T22:52:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519811845988651008
@fin365 How would you KNOW you didn't make a mistake.

Is it literally impossible for you to be mistaken?

People sometimes make mistakes and don't realize it. Why is that impossible in your case, or any case without supporting evidence?

2022-04-28T22:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519809888108511233
@TheEvolutinary @DetardSchneizel Except they missed the point of my tweet.

It's not that the idea of alien visitors is ridiculous or nonsensical - it's an easy thing to imagine, just rather lacking in evidence. The point is that "seagulls being alien spies" is not any MORE ridiculous.

2022-04-28T22:40:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519808810860249088
@yaaaahright Well, they tried.

2022-04-28T22:39:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519808522220761088

@fin365 Nope. I was asking if "seagulls being alien spies" are MORE ridiculous than other ET visitor theories.

Are they? Humans have seagull spy drones, so why are people mocking this particular ET visitor theory?

2022-04-28T22:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519807019284267008

@fin365 Where did I claim any of that? Quite the opposite. I don't know what aliens would do. Neither does Nick Pope, or you, or anyone.

2022-04-28T22:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519806370857451520

@fin365 I don't know how aliens would look or behave. That's the point. People are dismissing the idea of aliens using drones in biological disguise. There's zero basis for either believing it or dismissing it.

2022-04-28T22:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519805872842575874

@UFO_JohnDoe @MiddleOfMayhem I try to treat people with respect. I don't call people crazy, and I assume most people are acting in good faith.

Also, there are plenty of other people addressing the issues with religion. I like my niche.

2022-04-28T21:20:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519788791375958017

@canadian_deb Yeah, I mean if WE have them, then what's so incredible about aliens having them?

(Except for the problem of a lack of good evidence of visiting aliens, but that's a different problem) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdfoBTVkAAdSku.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdfoBTVkAAdSku.jpg

2022-04-28T21:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519787466370166785

@timetherington @AshaRangappa_ @elonmusk I'm pretty sure Breitbart and the National Review are not the only right-leaning media outlets in the US.

Which makes the bias chart perhaps a little biased?

2022-04-28T21:12:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519786682257580032

Nick Pope says he was joking about the seagulls being alien spies.

Is it really much more ridiculous than any of the alien UFO theories? They flew across the galaxy to spy on us with physics-defying impregnating fuzzy blobs. Why stop there? Why NOT cyber/biomimicry spy drones?

https://twitter.com/IWANTTOKNOWUK/status/1519702879711469569

2022-04-28T21:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519785248787116032

RT @AshaRangappa_: @elonmusk
https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/06/26/opinion/sunday/republican-platform-far-right.html http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRc6w5LX0AAPRbl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRc6w5LX0AAPRbl.jpg
2022-04-28T21:05:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519784855130697728
@OMApproach @528vibes It resembles a drone.

2022-04-28T19:57:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519767666583506944
@BostonsBuddha No, it does not. Later it looks exactly like a plane
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdMoqUUcAE2xYT.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdMoqUUcAE2xYT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdMdLZVEAA6WLI.jpg
2022-04-28T19:34:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519762060594204674
@silumpke Maybe you kind of are? Optical illusions are a real thing. I don't know what your experience was, but it's possible some people got fooled by accident.

https://youtu.be/dBap_Lp-0oc?t=182

2022-04-28T19:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519761253610098688

@Daniel_Loxton Not really, as the claim is really "alien spaceships can look like anything they/we want them to"

2022-04-28T19:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519760941088313344

@BostonsBuddha It appears to be an out-of-focus plane, initially lit by low sun.

2022-04-28T19:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519760629912932352

@kyle_ferriter @EireScientist The key word is "unnecessarily."

If you've got a boring explanation that fits, even if it seems unlikely, then there's no need to invoke magic.

And we observe plants growing in incredible detail. We can science that. UFOs remain fuzzy blobs and varied memories.

2022-04-28T19:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519758980062482432

@BostonsBuddha link?

2022-04-28T19:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519757824707883008

@Vorlondo Occam says no.

2022-04-28T19:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519757650082164737

(2/2) The "why not" here is Occam's Razor. Don't add things unnecessarily. If your choice is between something known and something that is unknown, then the known thing wins - especially if the unknown requires technology that is essentially magic.

It's boring, but it's reality

2022-04-28T19:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519756736084684800

(1/2) Mimicry is a favorite next-step in UFOlogy. After someone is show that their photo or video resembles something mundane, they sometimes say "well, why is it not an alien disguised as an plane/fly/bird/kite etc"

And if you are postulating god-like aliens, why not indeed?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdBjcaUcAAyJcE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRdBjcaUcAAyJcE.jpg

2022-04-28T04:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519527546194386944

@Sigmondo77 @the_cholla @uncertainvector It was dark, and far away. So, no.

2022-04-28T04:02:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519527422600912897

@UAPstudy Did he think his boss was in cahoots with the aliens?

Or what? What was the nature of the distrust?

2022-04-27T23:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519459027960295424

@LFCforumCo @AE911Truth Sure, they didn't know exactly why it was collapsing, they just suspected it was. Hence:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OLYZHEYTwtg&t=291s

2022-04-27T18:00:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519376013737664514

@MadScientistPod @blackvaultcom @BryanDBender Not everyone agreed.

https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1105713450427273216

2022-04-27T17:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1519374766309711872

@MadScientistPod @blackvaultcom @BryanDBender Indeed, it was pretty obvious there must have been some kind of inside dealing going on between Bigelow and Reid (who was a fan of

earmarks as part of the political process). I've been calling it pork since 2017.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/942882463595970560

2022-04-26T18:56:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1519027611204132864
@MishimaGabriel Several people have. It looks like something slow drifting above the airport. Parallax.

2022-04-26T15:09:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518970406459166720
@the_cholla @sSamsquantch @uncertainvector Unless it can be explained as something more mundane. Nature being weird does not mean every mystery has a weird explanation.

You don't HAVE to say it's anomalous, any more than you had to say the Chilean case was anomalous.

2022-04-26T14:45:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518964509917863942
@the_cholla @sSamsquantch @uncertainvector Yeah, but you like to leave EVERYTHING unexplained.

2022-04-26T14:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518954962591526912
@Spectre67904513 @ThanosAlpha @the_cholla The most important thing it needs is direction. Radar isnâ□□t going to tell you what aperture and FOV are needed. And it seems to be roughly focused at infinity. (Clouds are much further away than the target).

2022-04-26T14:03:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518953814107189248
@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @uncertainvector Lots of people disagree. And the best data we have is this video - which looks and acts like a glare.

2022-04-26T14:00:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518953157405016064
@sSamsquantch Why? Itâ□□s fun and interesting.

2022-04-26T06:27:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1518839281665552385
@Gbreedwell Try it on an S20 or S21 Ultra. The S20+ only has a 3x "hybrid" zoom.

And even with a regular phone, surely not all UFOs are ten miles away?

https://r2.community.samsung.com/t5/Galaxy-Gallery/10X-100X-ZOOM-Samsung-Galaxy-S21Ultra-5G/td-p/10103101 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRQAM3CUUAAKGty.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRQADONVgAA73PA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRQAM3CVUAUaBTn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRQAM3CUUAAKGty.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRQAM3AVEAARe-u.jpg

2022-04-26T06:19:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518837046453751808

@the_cholla @uncertainvector No.

2022-04-26T05:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518826861052059648

@the_cholla @uncertainvector I find your continued insistence that it "strikingly resembles the pilot's account" to be rather odd.

Unless you get @uncertainvector to actually draw the vectors, to scale, on an SA view, then the only thing you have is that, at some point, it changes direction.

2022-04-26T04:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518813614433464320

@Spectre67904513 @ThanosAlpha @the_cholla But what we see is a passive track. That only requires the pod to be pointing in the right direction.

Why did GoFast need so much manual adjustment?

2022-04-26T04:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518813284425555968

@the_cholla @uncertainvector Except that the rotation quite clearly does not follow the "vertical U-turn" except in the vagues sense of turning about the same time once.

And it does not look like @uncertainvector's description, because he's only given the vaguest of details. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRPoew7VUAAliYZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRPocasVkAAzPx0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRPoew7VUAAliYZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRPob2SVIAALxfD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FRPodgMVgAEwBC9.jpg

2022-04-26T04:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518810387616985089

@Spectre67904513 @ThanosAlpha @the_cholla Getting a passive lock on something in the frame should not be a challenge for the ATFLIR pod. That's what happens in GoFast. We don't see the lock acquisition in Gimbal.

2022-04-26T03:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518798259011031047

@posvibzclub1 @TxUFOSightings @nikkinoelle7 Yes, this is just the clouds moving.

2022-04-25T23:37:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518736010015768576

@newfieguy2015 @PodcastZed It's a Coronal Prominence Cavity].

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-giant-black-sphere-hovering-near-the-sun-coronal-prominence-cavity.469/

2022-04-25T17:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1518645405252161536

@bradjohansson21 @blackvaultcom If it were a Tic-Tac caplet shape then the ends would be equally rounded. Yet we see the left side point upwards - like it's the nose of a jet flying away and left.

It's weird that people can say it's any kind of match.

2022-04-22T15:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517519810279604224

@Oswald1160 @saucerlit @KilliK69 @Ee5jPww @Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Kevin tends to mix things up, so I wouldn't read much into a single number.

2022-04-22T14:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517515796074795008

@saucerlit @Oswald1160 @KilliK69 @Ee5jPww @Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Kevin Day describes the first time he saw the contacts here. There's quite a lot of detail in the full interview.

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQ9MHxTVgAE-6N9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQ9MHxTVgAE-6N9.jpg

2022-04-22T07:07:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517399581134258176

@doodiewiz @ThatOrloShow Looks like a Starlink satellite train.

https://www.spacex.com/launches/sl4-14/

2022-04-22T03:53:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517350907217780736

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @edwardcurrent @MvonRen My opinion is not truth. I just point at things. I too am unsure about what is seen in the videos and what Fravor and Dietrich. Saw.

2022-04-22T03:49:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517349876924698625
@Spectre67904513 @BrainwashSyka @saucerlit @the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @MvonRen Neither of them have talked to me.

What is this â□□likely scenarioâ□□?

2022-04-22T00:22:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517297752346034176
@BrainwashSyka @saucerlit @the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @MvonRen It wasn't my ego. It was the fact that I wasn't proved wrong.

Sometimes interesting questions are raised, but the basic hypotheses have not been invalidated - the best arguments against them boil down to "but the military could never mess up things like that"

2022-04-21T22:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517275518059499520
@saucerlit @the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @MvonRen Do you?

So how are YOU judging?

2022-04-21T22:52:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517275192015220737
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @PMFight @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen So are you suggesting a third alternative?

2022-04-21T22:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517275089154183168
@saucerlit @the_cholla @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @edwardcurrent @MvonRen You mean NOT study them?

2022-04-21T22:45:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517273315454296064
@the_cholla @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @edwardcurrent @MvonRen Are we not studying them?

2022-04-21T22:42:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517272563029659648
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @PMFight @flatue @MvonRen Nonsensical unknown boring plane vs. nonsensical unknown weird unknown.

How is one to judge which is more likely?

2022-04-21T21:18:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1517251479664357377

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @PMFight @aka__V @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen I don't know if there's enough resolution and contrast to see wings in Gimbal.

2022-04-21T14:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517155717840470018

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @Ee5jPww @Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Why not both?

2022-04-21T14:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517154546543333377

@KilliK69 @Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Of there were three different types of anti-gravity ship flying around and nobody cared.

Occams razor â□¦

2022-04-21T14:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517152105420574721

@KilliK69 @Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 They should be considered on their individual evidence.

2022-04-21T14:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517151634178011136

@KilliK69 @caitgaist @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 No, I think those are different things. GoFast is probably a balloon.

2022-04-21T14:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1517151167205183493

@adeweyrobinson @Billyhottakes Itâ□□s a contrail, viewed from an unusual angle.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claimed-ufo-filmed-from-airliner-over-turkey-21st-march-2018-passing-contrail-at-90%C2%B0.9608/

2022-04-20T21:18:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516889089923973120

@realityseaker @edwardcurrent @the_cholla @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Roughly 1250 to 1450? That's only a 16% increase in area. And if the area is proportional to the square of the length, then it's only getting 8% longer. I'm not sure that adds up.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQ0SdA0VIAAnmrP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQ0SdA0VIAAnmrP.jpg

2022-04-20T21:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516887872338419712

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @realityseaker Speed is sensitive to altitude because the flight path is constrained to the surface that is traced out by the lines of sight. This is nearly level, so small changes in altitude require much bigger changes laterally to get back on the LOS surface.

So constant speed is better.

2022-04-20T21:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516884555596132352

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @realityseaker Well, I don't have differential cloud movement in yet.

2022-04-20T20:14:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516872875977764866

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 I already discussed that.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1516860862564233216

2022-04-20T20:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516870318966468608

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 It "climbs" about 300 feet, at 10NM distant that's less than 0.3Â° it's not going to be a factor in the aspect of the target.

2022-04-20T19:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516868312583483392

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 The angle of view to the object does not really change. Are you suggesting the object is rotating some other way (other than the screen-perpendicular apparent rotation)?

2022-04-20T19:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516867649451356162

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Sure, the point is you need to find something that fits the data. The apparent size increase is a pretty solid data point - if a bit noisy.

50% was from your path perpendicular to the LOS, approved by Graves. Obviously, that was

wrong, so we refine.

2022-04-20T19:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516866430322446338

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Which is a bit of a problem for nearby straight-line solutions. If we adjust the heading to 25Â° so the apparent size increase is 20%, then the matching increase in the WHT portion would be 2 or 3%, when it needs to be 10%

2022-04-20T19:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516865503884496896

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 I'm waiting to see a F/A-18 at 30NM, tail-on within 20Â°, on verified ATFLIR, circa 2015.

The lack of comparable ATFLIR footage is a shared problem here.

2022-04-20T19:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516865097989181440

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 I did a little analysis, measuring the long axis on the first and last frames of the WHT and BLK segments, then scaled up the WHT segments so last WHT matches first BLK.

I get a 20% size increase.

But half of that in the first 1/3 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQz7zZsVcAA7G9Y.jpg



	A	B	C	D	E
1		Raw	Adj White up	%	
2	First White	84.2	97.5	100%	
3	Last White	92.5	107.1	110%	
4	First Black	107.1	107.1	110%	
5	Last Black	118	118	121%	
6					

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQz7zZsVcAA7G9Y.jpg

2022-04-20T19:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516861245692932101

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 And I don't think the apparent size issue is an "angle of attack." It's a factor that needs to be investigated and explained.

2022-04-20T19:26:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516860862564233216

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 If it's engine glare, then it will change with the view angle to the engine's axis. Also it will change with scene contrast/exposure settings, and probably with the rotation of the camera (as the shape is not 100% from one part of the camera)

2022-04-20T19:21:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516859686665932801
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @aka__V @flatue @ZaineMichael1 If we are not seeing the object's outline, then we are seeing glare.

I take it though you think the glare roughly conforms to the object's shape, and it's some weird uniformly really hot object?

Problem is, that would also grow in size in inverse proportion to the distance

---

2022-04-20T16:07:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516810883212529664
@catlyn777 So someone claimed.

Did it though?

2022-04-20T15:10:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516796349160693764
@catlyn777 No, he said 'others then came out and said, "Okay, well, the Gimbal video, you analyzed it wrong, Mick, sorry"'

"others then came out and said" is not the same as "it was proven"

I don't think he's analyzed it, or paid much attention to the discussion

---

2022-04-20T01:08:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516584453635596294
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 What actual shape do you think it is?

2013-09-14T17:59:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378941070404358145
@theoutlawjimmy If they were conditioning us in the 1950s, don't you think they would be done by now?

2013-09-14T17:55:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378939969202425856
@theoutlawjimmy To be fair though, contrails were in ad back in 1959:
http://metabunk.org/threads/contrails-in-airline-advertising.690/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUJD5OcCYAEVqHn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BUJD5OcCYAEVqHn.jpg

2013-09-14T17:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378939230681976832

@WhiteSkyBlues It's a 787 certification test plane. Those are ballast barrels and flight computers. See:

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2013-09-14T16:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378911783349526528

@Karlfly11 @DConRadioIo Yeah, sorry about that. I'll go for Skype next time.

2013-09-13T04:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378368758575677440
@Karlfly11 because the spot is tiny.

2013-09-13T00:23:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378313031437934592
@bosma2002 consistent whistleblowers explaining how it was done.

2013-09-12T23:56:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378306270844837889
@bosma2002 real truth is based on evidence, not intuition.

2013-09-12T23:55:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378305843508174848
@Karlfly11 but cloud seeding is just local weather modification. Not geoengineering

---

2013-09-12T22:28:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378284017650372610
@Layzie2109 @AbbyMartin Somewhat. But that does not mean that the "alternative" media is to be trusted more. RT has an agenda, maybe moreso

---

2013-09-12T22:07:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378278636857475073
@AbbyMartin #truthers get their negative reputation not for asking hard questions (which is admirable), but for ignoring reasonable answers.

2013-09-12T19:25:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378237849880899584
@JasonBermas Some. Rather shaky evidence "trained within US Military Bases", seems based just on people with the same name. Like al-Omari

---

2013-09-12T18:53:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378229775057182720
@JasonBermas I look forward to it. But unfortunately by then the damage will be done, the bunk will be spread.

2013-09-12T18:37:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378225829982916609
@JasonBermas (I figured out the man on the bench is Anthony Gucciardi)

2013-09-12T18:35:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378225487392169985
@JasonBermas Persistent jet contrails were observed in 1921. SRM GE (by spraying) is not happening, the evidence you've been shown is bunk

---

2013-09-12T18:30:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/378224009407512576
@JasonBermas Who's the man on the bench? I'd like to properly attribute his quote.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BT-4u47CQAAhmNg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BT-4u47CQAAhmNg.jpg

2013-09-12T18:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378222339927707648

@JasonBermas I'm sure, but are the points I listed still in the film? Barium blood tests? Global Dimming? Both are demonstrable bunk.

2013-09-12T18:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378220033450598400

@Freizeit_Tiger The trailer had plenty. I was surprised at the very old bunk they had put in without fact checks.

2013-09-12T18:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378219001928642561

Debunking some of the "chemtrail" points in @JasonBermas's new film "Shade"

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-shade-the-motion-picture-chemtrails-and-geoengineering.2397/

2013-09-12T13:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378147144051326976

@bosma2002 If you are going to speculate about the big picture, it's best to speculate based on facts, not bunk. I'm just removing the bunk.

2013-09-12T12:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378130953144524800

@bosma2002 I disagree. I'm not trying to provide concrete answers to big pictures. I'm checking the validity of individual points. Factcheck

---

2013-09-12T12:18:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/378130558179483648

@Karlfly11 Billions? Spent on what exactly? White roof initiatives? Nobody is deliberately

geoengineering via spraying stuff in the air.

2013-09-12T03:32:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377998038553202689

@TheKirkness It presents no evidence. It just connects dots. But if you pick your own dots you can draw any picture you like.

2013-09-12T03:29:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377997409961271297

@Karlfly11 @DConRadioIo @JasonBermas I think I probably could. Given that there's no evidence anyone is doing it.

http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-09-12T03:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377996921815580673

@TheKirkness That just repeats the "$2.3 Trillion" which is nonsense. The money was not missing.

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2013-09-12T03:24:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377996124331573249

@bosma2002 I've got no problem with people questioning the official narrative. Where I have a problem is when they ignore the answers.

2013-09-12T01:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377964212712001536

@TruckerMike_FL Maybe in?

http://www.f-16.net/aircraft-database/F-16/mishaps-and-accidents/year/1985/

2013-09-12T01:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377962152708952064

@TruckerMike_FL @DConRadioIo @Rickjbtls Do you have a link to the actual incident. I'll check it out. (been several crashes in Ocala)

2013-09-11T22:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377919420158328832

@TheMartinSystem "He said "fire department" in the previous sentenc.

http://drkprnts.wordpress.com/%E2%80%9C%E2%80%A6that-decision-to-pull%E2%80%A6%E2%80%9D-six-years-later/

2013-09-11T21:27:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377906240896397312

@TheMartinSystem @Jerminator33 They are mistaken. Well meaning though. There's millions of engineers in the world. Some make mistakes.

2013-09-11T21:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377905940370309120

@DConRadioIo Thanks! I'd be up for discussing chemtrails any time.

2013-09-11T21:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377905455475228672

@TheMartinSystem They pulled out the firefighters. Later the building collapsed.

2013-09-11T21:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377905271399804928

@TheMartinSystem @TheKirkness Because they pulled them. They attached cables, and pulled them down. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_v2yud3aCGQ

2013-09-11T21:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377902168684384256

@TruckerMike_FL @DConRadioIO @rickjbtls A very low speed crash of a much stronger, denser plane. Totally different.

2013-09-11T20:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377895125751721984

@JasonBermas Thanks Jason, I shall check it out. I must admit to be more interested in the physical claims. But good debate there.

2013-09-11T18:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377864212158369793

@TheKirkness This video explains the "Pentalawn" theories.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YVDdjLQkUV8

2013-09-11T18:18:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377858648435064832

@TheKirkness And if you do, I'd appreciate if you could look up existing debunks for it first.

2013-09-11T18:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377857817342115840

@TheKirkness I prefer to "debunk" individual claims of evidence. Maybe you could pick the best claim of actual evidence from the film?

2013-09-11T18:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377855382259257344

@TheKirkness You keep saying these things, but where's the evidence? Building plans? Quotes from Pentagon staff? Photos of computers?

2013-09-11T17:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377853093209452544

@TheKirkness Again though, what actual evidence did they use? (and this is ignoring the fact the $2.3 trillion was not actually missing)

2013-09-11T17:52:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377852186358984704

@TheKirkness But what's the actual evidence? What are you basing this on? Some YouTube video? What do THEY base it on?

2013-09-11T17:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377850740662083584

A handy guide to some of the more frequently regurgitated 9/11 trivia bunk.
http://www.freewebs.com/911guide/wtc.htm

2013-09-11T16:19:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377828836182093824
@DConRadioIo "truth debunker" is a bit of an oxymoron. I'm more a "bunk debunker". But I know what you mean.

2013-09-11T15:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377822186448629761
@TheKirkness Says who?

2013-09-11T15:52:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377822057964535809
@TheKirkness Quantity is not quality. Lots of people think the elite are shape-shifting reptiles. It does not make it more likely

---

2013-09-11T14:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377804521327845376
@TheKirkness You are just repeating stuff that was debunked years ago. Recycled bunk. "Pull" does not mean "destroy with explosives"

2013-09-11T14:41:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377804106657968128
@TheKirkness THere is no missing $2.3 trillion. See:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-pentagon-cannot-track-2-3-trillion-in-transactions.165/

2013-09-11T14:40:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377803909336928257
@theJIVETURKY Loss of sideways support led to sudden buckling. Like a straw will if you push it slowly against a table.

2013-09-11T05:30:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377665549893132288
@weezthepeople I appreciate that. I'm a big fan of politeness and respect.

2013-09-11T04:54:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377656365734703104
@HollyWest Cowboy programmers, perhaps.

2013-09-11T04:20:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377647784192925696
@theJIVETURKY The exterior was a lot stronger than the interior

---

2013-09-10T23:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377569082486177792
@Jerminator33 For me fun is in helping people figure out the truth. Even if the truth is a bit banal. Science is fun though :)

2013-09-10T22:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377562782540918784
@weezthepeople What's stopping you?

2013-09-10T22:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377562628886757376
@Jerminator33 Sure, but just because someone profits from something does not mean they caused it.

2013-09-10T21:57:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377551457735565312
@theJIVETURKY They were up to code at the time. Code has been revised since. Much was learnt from the WTC7 fires, as it was so unusual.

2013-09-10T20:05:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377523224470245376
@Jerminator33 Sure people profited. But people profit from earthquakes and hurricanes. It does not mean they made them.

2013-09-10T19:44:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377517908236062720
@Jerminator33 I'd be willing to look at it. In fact I'm working stuff out with one of them ("gerrycan") right now:
http://metabunk.org/threads/critical-errors-and-omissions-in-wtc7-report-uncovered.2332/page-5#post-65965

2013-09-10T19:36:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377515853383618560
@Jerminator33 "make the decision"? Nobody makes a "decision" about such things. But there's millions of engineers who could check it.

2013-09-10T19:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377515265790988288
@Jerminator33 You can always find people who agree with any point of view. Most of AE911 do not have relevant experience though.

2013-09-10T19:32:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377514963373268992
@richietharpe No they don't. And neither did these. The contents burned. The beams and connections failed. The building collapse.

2013-09-10T18:48:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377503818138664960
@Jerminator33 Just physics. And it's not architects you want to ask, it's engineers.

2013-09-10T18:25:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/377498077415755776
@Jerminator33 For a building to "crumble" like wtc7 requires that floors fail and columns buckle. That takes a tall building with long spans

---

2013-09-10T18:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377497606420983808

@Jerminator33 It's not about weakness. And it was not a bunker, it was just a secure office on the 23rd floor.

2013-09-10T17:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377491569873399808

@Jerminator33 Of course they have. Like the Iraq war for example. Ask Colin Powell.

2013-09-10T17:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377491399093915648

@Jerminator33 I'd hardly describe them as "still standing", they all substantially collapsed. But they were all short. Different thing.

2013-09-10T17:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377491117169602560

@Jerminator33 Not an oddity, but it had some features that were not in the other buildings. Long spans plus simple connections

---

2013-09-10T17:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377479456320794624

@Jerminator33 No there have not. The other buildings were of different types. Shorter spans. Moment resisting connections.

2013-09-10T16:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377464359485579264

@theJIVETURKY No. It was the connections between beams, girders and columns. Heated beams expanded and broke connecting bolts and studs.

2013-09-10T16:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377463845167833088

@Jerminator33 It was a unique situation. First time something like that happened.

2013-09-10T04:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377291883623358464

Why are AE911Truth's beliefs inversely correlated with education in their survey?

2013-09-10T04:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377287035763314689

@WhiskyJackson @redban @joerogan Although as Gage gets paid $85K to promote bunk, and I don't get paid jack, he might seem more prepared.

2013-09-10T04:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377286471176048642

@WhiskyJackson Bush just sitting there is pretty whack. Also Saudi financial connections.

2013-09-10T04:23:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377286185166856192

@WhiskyJackson There's always a "possible" explanation. Just sometimes even the possible seems unlikely. Many UFO sightings for example.

2013-09-10T03:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377268597736742912

@WhiskyJackson @redban @joerogan works for me.

2013-09-10T00:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377229472077848576

@theJIVETURKY thermal expansion and connection failure led to internal collapse, then the exterior buckled on lower floors and collapsed.

2013-09-09T23:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377211955271839744

More bunk you are going to hear about 9/11. The myth that black smoke means oxygen starved fires. Debunked:

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-black-smoke-and-orange-flames-as-an-indicator-of-an-oxygen-starved-low-temp-fire.2373/

2013-09-09T21:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377185238012551168

@Jerminator33 Here's a wider shot for scale. Because the WTC was so big, it's hard to see the huge size of the fires http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTwH-b7CYAAwTsV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTwH-b7CYAAwTsV.jpg

2013-09-09T21:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377178148455972864

WTC 1, five minutes before collapse. Anyone who talks about "small fires" is misled, or misleading. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTwBhwgCUAIXrc3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTwBhwgCUAIXrc3.jpg

2013-09-09T20:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/377167834536628224

@dshaw_ @linode I'm seemingly having intermittent connectivity issues with Fremont

---

2013-09-08T18:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376769705681231872

@Jerminator33 Here's a paper on it.

http://ciresweb.colorado.edu/science/groups/pielke/classes/atoc7500/knollenberg72.pdf I know it's complicated. But it's real science (and from 1972)

2013-09-08T18:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376769362675240960

@Jerminator33 The plane supplies over 30% of the water in the seed crystals. But as the trail grows, the rest comes from the atmosphere

---

2013-09-08T16:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376738846597054464

@Jerminator33 It's a little complicated. But basically the initial bump in humidity make a tiny

ice seed. That then grows.

2013-09-08T16:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376737788932001792

@Jerminator33 99.9% of the water in the trail comes from the atmosphere. The water in the exhaust just TRIGGERS the cloud.

2013-09-08T15:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376731142776299521

@Jerminator33 The extra water is not a problem (it's not a lot). However the extra cloud cover has some effects. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

---

2013-09-08T14:54:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376720108783030272

@Jerminator33 Contrails will sublimate away if RH (for ice) is below 100% (about 70% RW for water). Otherwise lasts indefinitely.

2013-09-08T14:53:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376719824979648512

@Jerminator33 Same reason smoke from a cigarette disappears. There's not a lot of it, air movement disperses it. Contrails are a mile wide.

2013-09-08T12:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376682444142829569

@Jerminator33 And it's definitely freezing. At -52 their breath will freeze almost instantly. The "smoke" of their breath is ice.

2013-09-08T12:18:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376680841042079744

@Jerminator33 It's not evaporating. It's rising and dissipating exactly the same as the cigarette smoke. It's a scale thing.

2013-09-07T21:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376454581435113473

@HollyWest Commie!

2013-09-07T18:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376409476036710401

@Jerminator33 It's actually quite complicated, so these are simplifications of what is going on. Read this: http://contrailscience.com/contrails-are-condensation-but-not-like-your-breath/

2013-09-07T18:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376409233375236098

@Jerminator33 It's always cold enough at some altitude. But relative humidity still needs to be high enough, around 70%.

2013-09-07T18:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376404878081269760

@Jerminator33 So generally it's too warm for exhaust contrails to form on takeoff. Unless it's -40. It happens though http://contrailscience.com/ground-level-contrails/

2013-09-07T17:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376404464166375425

@Jerminator33 Clouds form in any mass of air with over 100% RH. But 100% RH takes less and less water the colder it gets.

2013-09-07T16:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376375670164553728

@Jerminator33 And the "invisible cloud" is just a region where the relative humidity is below 100%. The added water bumps it over 100% RH

2013-09-07T15:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376368070026985472

@ReadingHydro @skirbi Even algebra?
Some things they teach you at school are very useful. You do maths don't you?

2013-09-07T15:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376367320135778304

@Jerminator33 when you burn a jet fuel (hydrocarbon) in air (oxygen) it splits into carbon dioxide and hydrogen dioxide - H2O - water.

2013-09-07T00:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376140457819918336

@Soueraigne Have I said otherwise?

2013-09-07T00:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376140291293474817

@Soueraigne @DrMarkThornton
Here's my political compass score:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunkers-skeptics-and-conspiracists-where-are-you-on-the-political-compass.2039/ What do you get?

2013-09-07T00:11:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376135505462562817

@Soueraigne Indeed, which is why I trend libertarian. Government should stay out of people's lives.

2013-09-07T00:09:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376135191267246080

@Soueraigne Which is why we need campaign finance reform.

2013-09-07T00:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376134914002800640

@Soueraigne Why don't we all just have this? http://www.va.gov/health/

2013-09-06T23:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376130541021454336

@Soueraigne Dude, I'm not a communist. Just in favor of some regulation and social spending, like the Clean Air act & universal health care

---

2013-09-06T22:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/376114020341645313
@Soueraigne Depends on how far you go. Negative externalities and all. But macroeconomics in general is not really science.

2013-09-06T22:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/376109503399211008
@Soueraigne Not in the scientific aspect though. I'm fiscally slightly left wing, socially slightly libertarian.

2013-09-06T21:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/376088256703512576
@latimesscience Clearly this will lead to weight loss therapy via skinny poop transplant, as in Fecal bacteriotherapy http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fecal_bacteriotherapy

---

2013-09-06T21:00:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/376087584239144960
RT @latimesscience: Gut bacteria from lean humans kept mice lean, while gut bacteria from obese humans made mice obese. http://t.co/dEJyf4aâ¦

2013-09-06T14:36:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375990948552916994
@Soueraigne Displace, yes, but not necessarily impart velocity in the direction of the bullet. Maybe even opposite http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yzyw7AcHbuY

2013-09-06T04:37:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375840167241584640
@martinhume But see also his statement here: http://metabunk.org/threads/statement-from-michael-mulder-of-aerotoxic-org-regard-claims-by-max-bliss.2330/

2013-09-06T04:13:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375834033109749761
@Soueraigne @joerogan Depending on the bullet of course. If a bullet has a high sectional density, that reduces the transference of momentum

---

2013-09-06T04:08:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375832767293960193
@Slikk82 @DeptofWeather @BboxBox @eddiebravo Look like a rather wide cirrus vertebratus.

2013-09-05T18:03:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375680530173284352
@dang_brandon @joerogan @bryancallen Plenty of real conspiracies out there: Iran-contra, Watergate, Yellowcake, Iraq WMDs, Lobbying, etc.

2013-09-05T16:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375651072347357184
@DConRadioIo @BookingGoddess I shall bring my incredulity meter.

2013-09-05T15:29:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375641934703779840

@DConRadioIo @BookingGoddess A dozen or two. No skimming then :)

2013-09-05T15:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375640382400258048

@DConRadioIo @BookingGoddess Sure. However my 9/11 knowledge is deep, but not broad. So expect a few "I don't knows" if it's not physics.

2013-09-05T15:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375635719743471617

@DConRadioIo @BookingGoddess There's quite a bit of physics in videos games. And math.

2013-09-05T05:38:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375493086668791808

@MattBrotchie Not a lot I can do with that though. I look at claims that can be confirmed or verified. Not just things that look suspicious.

2013-09-05T05:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375489129510756352

@MattBrotchie Interesting, but "troubling links" are not really debunker material. Are there any firm claims of evidence?

2013-09-05T05:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375486264473972736

@MattBrotchie There's always something rotten. The question is how rotten, and if it pertains to the the 9/11 investigation.

2013-09-05T04:53:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375481842889019393

@MattBrotchie Thanks. I had a great time. Love to do it again, with better enunciation.

2013-09-05T04:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375481378030096384

@joerogan What's the word on another season?

2013-09-05T04:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375481177185845248

@MattBrotchie I *somewhat* agree with him. Saudi Arabia is a messed up country, and Bush had overly close ties. Too much money to be clean.

2013-09-05T04:48:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375480598132834304

@scottymac769 I think "contrail" is a good name, they are mostly condensation after all. Just water - a product of the fuel, not fuel itself

---

2013-09-05T04:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375480404129480704

@scottymac769 At night planes are easier to see (due to the lights), and contrails are harder. There's fewer flights as well.

2013-09-05T04:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375473704538341376

@MattBrotchie Is there a text version?

2013-09-05T01:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375431723346976770

@JamesOpieLiving Great! Thanks for the feedback.

2013-09-05T01:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375431454978633729

@scottymac769 It's just variable humidity in the air. Kind of like invisible clouds.

http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTXM60ZCQAA8XB0.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTXM60ZCQAA8XB0.jpg
2013-09-05T00:24:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375414106733289472
@martinhume @russtanner Actually the law specifically forbids it without informed consent. Section 1078(c) http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-105publ85/html/PLAW-105publ85.htm

---

2013-09-04T22:57:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375392151141507072
@JacobAirgood @joerogan @bryancallen They were quite well lit.

2013-09-04T22:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375384723037511682
@OhSmyle @mickwest @Chemtrails_818 @onthechemtrail @Chemtrail_Watch Seriously though, nobody pays me. I'm a retired video game company owner

---

2013-09-04T22:27:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375384575444140032
@OhSmyle @mickwest @Chemtrails_818 @onthechemtrail @Chemtrail_Watch Dammint, my cover is blown. I KNEW I should have gone with .org!

2013-09-04T22:26:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375384363640184833
@Jason10Noto Yes they do, like here. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VaQ2_yHeNSM

Just contrails (although a different type from engine contrails)

2013-09-04T21:44:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375373900198064128
RT @Sci_Phile: Does Everybody Have Chronic Lyme Disease? Does Anyone? http://ow.ly/oyCAT via @sciencebasedmed

---

2013-09-04T21:40:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375372748735782912
Close up video of planes leaving contrails proves something: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WkrfZJjLw_4 (actual thing varies by individual)

2013-09-04T21:39:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375372486214299648
@JacobAirgood @joerogan @bryancallen It was quite eerie, I suspect Joe and Alex might be co-trained manchurian debunkers.

2013-09-04T21:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375371953739030528
@Jason10Noto How about something like this: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WkrfZJjLw_4

2013-09-04T20:56:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375361842396147712
@Jason10Noto Cloud seeding works on existing clodus. It does not create clouds.

2013-09-04T20:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375361750196965379

@Jason10Noto Try http://flightradar24.com then filter like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTWNhdSCIAAR2yE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTWNhdSCIAAR2yE.jpg

2013-09-04T20:51:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375360546578501632

@Jason10Noto Commercial flights go all the way up to 48,000 feet. Inaudible.

2013-09-04T19:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375345099179163649

@Layzie2109 Quite a lot of the time, I imagine. Politicians are beholden to their campaign contributor, and to the revolving door.

2013-09-04T19:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375334731501404160

@SoundProof21 @joerogan It gave me time to gather my thoughts. But maybe next time we could have Richard Gage or someone. :)

2013-09-04T19:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375334067928969216

@jakobe_mullins It actually usually is pretty hazy here, due to the Marine Layer. Still practically zero contrails in summer.

2013-09-04T19:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375333879734738945

@jakobe_mullins Sea air.

2013-09-04T19:02:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375333092057038848

I picked the crappiest city in which to be a contrail watcher. Los Angeles sky today. Also must confuse chemtrailers. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTVzdWjCQAEaTFl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTVzdWjCQAEaTFl.jpg
2013-09-04T18:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375323213409951744
@BenFredrick78 @joerogan Water IN the plant is several times over toxic limit. Dilute that by the Pacific. No worries except very locally

---

2013-09-04T18:21:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375322746961424384
@Layzie2109 Sometimes the enemy of your enemy is also your enemy.

2013-09-04T18:21:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375322658771988481
@Layzie2109 We don't support Al-Qaeda, or the Al-Nusra Front. However they are opposed to Assad, and we are opposed to Assad.

2013-09-04T18:08:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375319415513821184
@martinhume He also said " four thousand ritual human sacrifices are performed in New York City every year".
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ted_Gunderson

2013-09-04T18:05:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375318738909659136
@Layzie2109 I don't think they would quite put it like that. And it's a wee bit more complicated.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Al-Nusra_Front

2013-09-04T16:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375297566096642048

@CassTete1 If it puts out more energy than it takes in, then it should be able to run itself.

2013-09-04T16:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375296121003704321

@CassTete1 Any overunity device you can't start with a hand crank, and then keep running all day, is a scam.

2013-09-04T16:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375295558002298880

@CassTete1 Sorry dude, that looks like a big time scam.

2013-09-04T16:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375288919773835264

@CassTete1 So where are the instructions for this overunity device? Where's the YouTube A/B demonstration?

2013-09-04T15:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375286282978459648

@CassTete1 So why don't you power your whole house?

2013-09-04T15:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375282407160565760

@trutherbot Or this 1990 photo from Oregon. There's a huge amount of evidence that spreading trails are not new. http://www.flickr.com/photos/markdint/3470370301/

2013-09-04T15:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375281911960055808

@trutherbot Or this 1994 book on photography http://books.google.com/books?id=kUXNT-xPcuQC&lpg=PP1&dq=editions%3AtXdfb-Nw0AMC&pg=PA113#v=onepage&q&f=false

---

2013-09-04T15:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375281670271684608

@trutherbot Wait, that's exactly what contrails do, sometimes! At least according to this 1991 weather book: http://books.google.com/books?id=KGI0AAAAIAAJ&lpg=PA136&dq=contrail&pg=PA136#v=onepage&q=contrail&f=false

---

2013-09-04T15:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375281132796776449

@CassTete1 @EnBuenora @RossMarsden Yeah, where is it, if it's so obvious how come nobody is running their house on it? In North Korea?

2013-09-04T15:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375280928232181761

@CassTete1 @RossMarsden @EnBuenora Yet it can be demonstrated there's no evidence they exist. So why would you believe in them?

2013-09-04T15:09:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375274434371653632
@MANOMACHINE @examinercom CIA actually NOT linked to chemtrails.
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-cia-studying-geoengineering-climate-engineering-weather-warfare.2034/

2013-09-04T15:08:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375274078199746560
@EnBuenora @CassTete1 @RossMarsden Oh, there's still plenty of mystery out there.
http://scienceblogs.com/startswithabang/files/2011/01/hudf_300dpi.jpeg

---

2013-09-04T14:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375268160774995969
@joebird123 @joerogan @AE911Truth I'm not acting as an expert. That's AE911. I'm just pointing at facts. Facts you can check yourself.

2013-09-04T14:39:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375266857193717760
@CassTete1 @RossMarsden @EnBuenora Ballast barrels, etc.
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2013-09-04T14:38:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375266640268517377
@joebird123 @joerogan the NIST team has credentials, you don't believe them either.
It's the explanation that's important, not who says it.

2013-09-04T14:21:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375262293832892419
@CassTete1 @RossMarsden @EnBuenora I did debunk it. Check it:
http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-09-04T13:18:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375246456979853312
Contrails. A problem for landscape photographers. 1995.
http://books.google.com/books?id=kUXNT-xPcuQC&printsec=frontcover&dq=editions:tXdfb-Nw0AMC&hl=en&sa=X&ei=bzInUu7PEIPniwLNg4DYDg&ved=0CC4Q6AEwAA#v=onepage&q&f=false http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTUkqhGCUAAAldf.jpg

---

CONTRAILS

Contrails are the trails of condensation that jets leave across the sky at high altitude, and they never look like clouds. A straight white line across the sky, especially through a clear blue sky, is an absolute giveaway that you're not looking at a cloud. Contrails are too perfect, too orderly, to be natural.

Contrails don't belong in photographs of nature, especially in shots of pristine wilderness, unless you're making a statement juxtaposing man and nature. One irony of working in the western United States is that some of the most spectacularly scenic national parks are situated under major flight paths. On cold, clear, low-humidity days—perfect days to photograph these wilderness parks—the sky is laced with contrails. One morning in late February, I stood in Arches National Park, in southern Utah, and counted 11 contrail lines across the sky. Developing a concept of wildness or portraying the eons of time that have shaped our world is impossible with the tracks of technology sprawled across the sky. I'm a real airplane nut who wants to get his pilot's licence, but I really don't like getting contrails in my pictures.

There is no way to remove a contrail short of not including the sky in your photograph. All you can do is wait for the vapor trails to dissipate; meanwhile, you stand around, check your composition for the umpteenth time, look at your feet, and watch the white-throated swifts flash past. Contrails... I hate 'em.



CONTRAIL OVER AUTUMN ASPENS, Turkey Creek Mesa, Colorado. Nikon F4, Nikon 50–135mm zoom lens, Fuji Velvia, polarizer.



CONTRAILS OVER GREAT SAND DUNES NATIONAL MONUMENT, Colorado. Canon EOS-1, Canon 45mm TS-E lens, Fuji Velvia, polarizer.

Any contrail at all, no matter how small, definitely shows up against the deep-blue Colorado sky (top). A few seconds later, the airplane was out of my picture frame.

Another day in Colorado (left) atmospheric conditions didn't allow the contrails to dissipate. Consequently, I couldn't include the sky in any landscape photographs for the entire day.

john Shaw's Landscape Photography - 1994

113

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BTUkqhGCUAAAldf.jpg

2013-09-04T12:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375230200595746817

Chemtrails: "a wacky distraction" distracting us from real problems.

http://www.desmogblog.com/2013/09/03/conspiracies-fuel-climate-change-denial-and-belief-chemtrails

---

2013-09-04T04:31:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375113760580444160

@Jason10Noto Well it helps if you are looking for them.

Why is not seeing them suspicious though? Are they invisible from the air?

2013-09-04T04:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/375112229659496448
@trutherbot that was debunked three years ago. It's just an aerodynamic contrail. http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2013-09-04T04:22:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375111512177643520
@Jason10Noto But the words "climate" and "weather" are not. Which is the issue. Geoengineering is modifying climate, and not weather.

2013-09-04T03:52:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375104174343475200
@stopitbrrruce Thanks Ryan. There's a wide variety of opinion out there. Got to expect a wide variety of responses.

2013-09-04T03:51:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375103714823913472
@Jason10Noto Technically? Cloud seeding IS weather modification. In fact pretty much 99% of weather modification is cloud seeding.

2013-09-04T03:50:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375103479104040961
@Jason10Noto Fly a few more times. You will. Contrails don't happen every day.

2013-09-04T00:47:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375057436391903234
@TheKirkness Read the bit that says "More recent research". No link was found.

2013-09-04T00:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375047784816054272
@SlapHappyBitch I'm a debunking expert. Which means I can give references to back up my debunking. And I can fly a plane.

2013-09-04T00:08:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375047582910672897
@TheKirkness Do you have something other than a 40 year old quote to say that it has? http://www.cancer.org/cancer/news/facts-and-figures-report-declines-in-cancer-deaths-reach-milestone

---

2013-09-03T23:59:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375045453324120064
@TheKirkness Where did they admit that?

2013-09-03T21:37:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375009734514192384
@CassTete1 @ConcernedBeing No, I debunk it. In this article here. I debunk the film "What in the World..." http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-09-03T21:35:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/375009273333690368
@CassTete1 Monsantos aluminum resistant seed are explained here:

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.341/

2013-09-03T20:50:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374997777895657472

@JayWeller2 The entirely depends on what he was aiming for.

2013-09-03T20:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374996791735103488

@JayWeller2 It's got to hit somewhere. Why not there?

2013-09-03T20:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374996701498863617

@CassTete1 Sorry, there's really no good evidence to support orgone. Otherwise someone would have won the Nobel prize with it.

2013-09-03T20:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374996227362136064

@CassTete1 But nothing that leaves trails in the sky.

2013-09-03T20:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374996162883092480

@CassTete1 Weather modification certainly has. That's cloud seeding. Not secret all, done since the 1950s.

2013-09-03T20:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374995837539328001

@JayWeller2 If you shoot at a target you often miss the bullseye and end up in one of the outer rings. That's just randomly where he hit.

2013-09-03T20:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374994267779129344

@JayWeller2 Not trivial, but do-able. Remember he was probably not aiming for that precise spot, so there's a reasonable margin of error.

2013-09-03T20:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374992365528027136

@CassTete1 planes landing and taking off from an airport are too low to leave contrails. It's only from flyover traffic.

2013-09-03T20:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374991839709130752

@JayWeller2 I wasn't entirely clear. Because I was referring just to steering - turning left right, up down. Did not come across perfectly

---

2013-09-03T20:25:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374991565179334658

@JayWeller2 Not a lot of steering needed there. Just some aiming.

2013-09-03T20:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374991347981484032

@JayWeller2 I was basically comparing steering in the open sky to steering a car on a busy road. For pilots, then steering a plane is easy.

2013-09-03T20:13:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374988419409387520

@JayWeller2 Sure "step on the ball". But that's just basic stuff. Easier than using a stick shift. Plus you can steer without rudder.

2013-09-03T20:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374988007751032832

@JayWeller2 Many lessons. I got up to doing a 400 mile solo flight. http://mickwest.com/flying_lessons/lesson051.htm

---

2013-09-03T20:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374987523300536320

@Freizeit_Tiger @Sci_Phile I believe it involved a 4.2 light year long rotating pressurised tube with powerbar caches and restrooms.

2013-09-03T20:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374986995682271233

@JayWeller2 @joerogan @RynoMacrum Then you know that just steering it is pretty easy. Lots of open space in the sky.

2013-09-03T20:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374986011291688960

@Freizeit_Tiger I was assuming you'd start outside the gravitational well with a big kick off a small asteroid. NatÃ¼rlich.

2013-09-03T20:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374985734568292352

@JayWeller2 @joerogan @RynoMacrum You should try it. Take a flying lesson, it's fun (and easy, except for landing).

2013-09-03T19:52:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374983256896450561

@Sci_Phile False! Zero gravity and lack of air means you can get there with just one step, less than a nibble of energy needed.

2013-09-03T19:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374982718310068225

@CassTete1 Or how about we believe everything we read on the internet. http://www.chronicle.su/news/snowden-uncovers-shocking-truth-behind-chemtrails/

2013-09-03T19:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374982307515736064

@CassTete1 @ConcernedBeing You misread it. It says: "An explanation of the mistakes in the popular chemtrail video" It's a debunk of WITWATS

2013-09-03T19:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374977732901613568

@CassTete1 @ConcernedBeing okay, quote one mistake from here, and I'll fix it: http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-09-03T19:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374977054019973120

@CassTete1 Again though BillGates simple discussed research. Not actually doing it. We research putting a man on Mars. Is there one?

2013-09-03T19:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374976644119031808

@ConcernedBeing @CassTete1 It's just a German page on research into climate change.

2013-09-03T19:23:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374975899206029313

@ConcernedBeing Alternatives being terrifying and criminal should not be a reason to shy away from the. Just the facts.

2013-09-03T19:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374975615826288641

@CassTete1 @ConcernedBeing No what? Did you find a mistake?

2013-09-03T18:52:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374968137277382656

JFK conspiracy theorists claim nation was hypnotized by Nescafe Coffee Commercial to accept official story.

http://youtu.be/G1RZO29hsb0?t=3m26s (3:30)

2013-09-03T18:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374964677375062016

@joerogan Here's the Magic Bullet replication I was referring to: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PZRUNYZY71g

---

2013-09-03T17:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374953329240965120

@joerogan Dang, we should add mic discipline to the shill training program.

2013-09-03T17:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374952002360332290

@Rudiology Thanks Rudy. It was fun and interesting. Wide range of feedback :)

2013-09-03T17:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374951372040306690

Things I learnt from @joerogan podcast: 1) Must talk louder. 2) Don't get into cage with lions. 3) Joe does great Alex Jones impression.

2013-09-03T17:41:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374950250907066368

@physorg_com Getting so many bananas that they form a black hole is not really a practical objection to math.

2013-09-03T17:21:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374945355986509824
@Freizeit_Tiger Unfortunately they do too good a job of making it sound reasonable.

2013-09-03T17:05:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374941190002446337
@trutherbot Yet paradoxically only conspiracy theorists call chemtrails "geoengineering". Scientists call them contrails.

2013-09-03T04:13:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374746841469825024
@JtbuffBuff Nope.

2013-09-03T04:12:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374746783894601728
RT @joerogan: I just uploaded "JRE #388 - Mick West, Bryan Callen" to Vimeo: http://vimeo.com/73659395

2013-09-02T22:02:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374653660807974912
@themutelinguist more a highly enthusiastic amateur. Not being paid.

2013-09-02T22:01:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374653246050021377
@DeathSquadFAI nope. There still a bit of the fog of war there. We'll see, hopefully.

2013-09-02T20:47:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374634759986487297
"What we've got here - is failure to communicate". (with bonus 1967 "chemtrail/HAARP Sky" in the background) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Oik6dXm-0l0

2013-09-02T20:39:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374632729997869057
99% of all Chemtrail Conspiracy Theory claims of evidence were debunked YEARS ago. Yet they keep getting regurgitated http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2013-09-02T19:24:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374613830690562048
RT @joerogan: At 3pm we're going to talk about debunking conspiracies & ones you can't @MickWest is joining @bryancallen http://t.co/RQFvBâ□¦

2013-09-02T16:42:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374573078442811392
@iain39 It' not like those clouds did not exist before. It's just an improvement of the classification system.

2013-09-02T16:40:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/374572504674603009
@EnglandHot That's a cloud seeding company. It falls mostly under State government jurisdiction in the US. Also NOAA.

http://publicaccess.supportportal.com/link/portal/23002/23012/Article/24785/Who-regulates-cloud-seeding-and-weather-modification-activities-Are-there-potential-effects

---

2013-09-01T20:51:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374273295115251713

@Shellspeare @blazeart_ @EnglandHot @Chemtrail_UK Contrails expand if the weather aloft is right. Look (it) up.

https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-09-01T19:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374259529308831744

@blazeart_ @Shellspeare @martinhume @EnglandHot @Chemtrail_UK It's a photo of a normal plane leaving a contrail, at 40,000 feet. Normal.

2013-09-01T18:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374238158914723840

@blazeart_ How do you know how high they are? You can't go by distance from the horizon. It's tricky. http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2013-09-01T18:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374231380252581888

@blazeart_ In august it's still -40F at some altitude, like 36,000 feet. Higher than Mt Everest.

2013-09-01T18:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/374231225986076673

@blazeart_ @BubISmedicated If you were to exhale as much as a jet engine, and it was -40F, and Ice Supersaturated. Even then it would drift

---

2013-08-31T14:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/373816294983733249

@Jason10Noto @ReadingHydro So? The Sun and the Moon are rather different to HAARP. Moon affects tide because of its mass. Sun is v.hot.

2013-08-31T00:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/373607842923569152

@Jason10Noto @ReadingHydro Not really. While the ionosphere is technically the "atmosphere", it's 50+ miles up, no effect on weather.

2013-08-30T03:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/373291280492535809

@BubISmedicated It's due to the humidity in those locations. High humidity = longer persistence. Varies like clouds vary.

2013-08-30T03:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/373289506750750720

@BubISmedicated Jet engine exhaust contains water. That water raises the relative humidity, making clouds form. They freeze, and persist.

2013-08-30T03:26:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/373285564226609152
@BubISmedicated They are a type of cirrus cloud.

2013-08-29T19:55:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/373172172220407808
@Banachek or 7 (+/- 2)
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Magical_Number_Seven,_Plus_or_Minus_Two It depends on what a "thing" is.

2013-08-29T17:29:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/373135402036498433
@HollyWest One or two drinks a day correlates with not gaining weight (not specifically tequila though) http://www.womenshealthmag.com/weight-loss/alcohol-drinking-and-weight-loss-tips #notcausation

---

2013-08-28T19:39:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/372805762906460160
@myfoxla @YoVenice Here's another one I took of the Delta IV contrail. Feel free to use it http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSx43bzCEAAqenb.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSx43bzCEAAqenb.jpg

2013-08-28T19:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372801311571324928

@myfoxla Yes you can. If you could credit Mick West of http://ContrailScience.com that would be great.

2013-08-28T18:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372793845085249537

More Delta IV from Venice Beach: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSxuBudCIAALD_v.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSxuBudCIAALD_v.jpg
2013-08-28T18:47:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/372792619576737792
Delta IV Rocket from Venice Beach @YoVenice
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSxs6ZECQAIWOJW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSxs6ZECQAIWOJW.jpg

2013-08-28T16:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372763746449367040

Delta rocket contrail will be visible for 100 miles. Will inevitably trigger lots of UFO & "Mystery Missile" stories and Youtube clips.

2013-08-28T16:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372763340205875200

Delta IV Heavy launch from Vandenburg at 10:54 (1 hour from now). Will make massive contrail of pure water. Fuel = Hydrogen+Oxygen.

2013-08-28T16:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372760334588579840

RT @SpaceflightNow: The @ulalaunch Delta 4-Heavy rocket now stands fully fueled for launch today at 10:52amPDT from California http://t.co/â¦

2013-08-27T19:35:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372442271431417856

@fairladyZ Here's some. It depends on the weather.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSsuRciCYAAqhW_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSsuRciCYAAqhW_.jpg

2013-08-27T18:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372423847082881024

Here's a weird one. Some people have become convinced that planes sometimes stop in mid air, proving reality is fake. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A0J6bxGCxx4

2013-08-27T18:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372422991600054273

@kimjgoodwin There's actually no good evidence that they are. Which does not prove they are not. Just an absence of evidence.

2013-08-27T18:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372422582764462081

@mrs_rick_s The point is that NASA is not spraying us with lithium chemtrails. They are just letting off fireworks to study the atmosphere.

2013-08-27T16:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372399424003006464

@mrs_rick_s Chemically it's exactly the same as red fireworks.

2013-08-27T16:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372392530337230850

@ReadingHydro @readinguni I'd challenge you to find something factually wrong on http://metabunk.org. Let me know, and I'll fix it.

2013-08-27T16:17:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372392312417964032

@ReadingHydro Cloud seeding is real, of course. HAARP is just an ionospheric heater experiment. It's shut down right now, lack of funding.

2013-08-27T16:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372391980635914241

Here's the last time a Delta V Heavy was launched. Contrail visible from Orange County. Tomorrow 10:52 ish. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSsAiIuCAAAn4tl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSsAiIuCAAAn4tl.jpg

2013-08-27T16:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372389843889700864

SoCal Contrail Alert! Delta IV Heavy launch from Vandenburg tomorrow (Wednesday) 10:52 AM. The exhaust is water.

http://www.latimes.com/business/money/la-fi-mo-vandenberg-monster-rocket-launch-20130826,0,1005990.story

---

2013-08-27T16:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372389196998000640

There's a broad chasm to cross between the childhood love of magic and an adult love of science.

2013-08-27T15:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372373289701629952

@Colemansimmons A little. But I think the NTSB report covers it very well. Some normal eyewitness discrepancies. http://metabunk.org/threads/twa-800-back-in-the-news.1806/

2013-08-27T14:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372363808905236480

RT @PhysicistLisa: If you haven't read this article "by CNN" posted on the onion, it's one of the onion's best pieces in years. http://t.coâ¦

2013-08-27T14:21:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372363247648653314

@mrs_rick_s It's just a big firework. Lithium is used in fireworks to make the red. Tons of the stuff. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSrmZqACQAAYjr7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSrmZqACQAAYjr7.jpg

2013-08-27T13:56:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372357064246308866

Contrails are a problem for period films. This film, shot in 1964 still had contrails in a supposed 1887 sky.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uA2MdP9htk8

2013-08-27T13:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372345586688614402

@ReadingHydro But the persistence of contrails is just a function of weather conditions. See history: http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-08-27T13:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372345349009989632

@ReadingHydro @readinguni He's not a professor. See: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-david-lim-public-talks-on-geoengineering-chemtrails-in-the-uk.1357/

2013-08-26T23:49:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372143851793690624

@NeilYoungSaveUs @LoveAndHealing Without contrails it's cooler at night, but warmer during the day. Not a lot though.

2013-08-26T22:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372130101124542464

@ReadingHydro I've watched it. It's been debunked years ago. http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-08-26T22:42:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372127021360373760

@dronehunt @sciam Yes it the the strong permanent magnets that don't. See: http://www.kjmagnetics.com/blog.asp?p=hard-drive-destruction & http://www.garner-products.com/harddrive.htm

---

2013-08-26T22:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372125319206948864

@ReadingHydro Normal aluminum in soil is about 7%. So 1000x would be impossible.

2013-08-26T15:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372017158332497921

@ReadingHydro I'm saying there's no evidence the trails are not contrails. And the geoengineering research is all theoretical

---

2013-08-26T15:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/372016830748962817

@ReadingHydro Really? Quote where they admitted to DOING geoengineering from planes (and I don't mean normal cloud seeding)

2013-08-25T21:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371744454828236800

@joerogan There's a few different types. I had nice chats with a very mixed variety of chemtrail folk at the Santa Monica protest today.

2013-08-25T21:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371740636019691520

@PierrySAG Oh really? Same thing though, they were just testing how it would spread. Not the effects on people.

2013-08-25T21:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371740215481602049

@ReadingHydro On this one. Look it up "atmospheric water vapor", "contrail persistence", etc

---

2013-08-25T17:44:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371689586830741504

@DeptofWeather @ReadingHydro The atmosphere has a lot of water vapor in it. Jet engine exhaust just triggers cloud formation with extra H20

2013-08-25T17:40:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371688554650279936

@joerogan You've been inoculated. Built up your bunk immune system by repeated exposure.

2013-08-25T17:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371685390282792960

@PierrySAG It was aimed at defending against biological and chemical weapons, in case the Soviets attacked. During cold war. Sensible.

2013-08-25T16:45:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371674785626877952

@PierrySAG It was thought to be harmless then. Now it's been determined it was about as harmful as a bit of second hand smoking.

2013-08-25T16:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371666319864631296

@PierrySAG @joerogan And the cold war spraying was a dispersement test, they used harmless substances to see how far they would blow.

2013-08-25T16:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371666078478262273

@PierrySAG @joerogan HR2977 was written by some UFO enthusiasts to avoid scaring off aliens. http://contrailscience.com/kucinich-chemtrails-and-hr-2977/

2013-08-25T15:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371661021158469632

@martinhume Unfortunately there is no actual evidence they are not normal persistent contrails. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-08-25T15:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371660434249490432

@kimjgoodwin No he didn't. Misleading headline. He said we should not do geoengineering in the future. We are not doing it now either.

2013-08-25T15:31:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371656202700083201

#chemtrails history - the hoax dates back to 1997, when an email tried to get people worried about JP-8 jet fuel. http://contrailscience.com/a-brief-history-of-chemtrails/

2013-08-25T15:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371655036675182592

There's a "Global March against Chemtrails" today. The problem is - "chemtrails" don't seem to

actually exist! http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2013-08-25T15:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371654563486388224

@srawding But not actually being done.

2013-08-25T15:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371654451443924994

@PierrySAG @joerogan Would you change your mind if you know the story was wrong? http://contrailscience.com/barium-chemtrails/

2013-08-25T14:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371641392591667201

@Freizeit_Tiger Were they afraid you'd start fighting? Seems a bit odd.

2013-08-25T04:47:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371494114262786048

@pdjakow Here's some pre-iron-curtain, 1941: http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-3#post-28260 and Russia, 1955 http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-3#post-39549 and 1978 http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-3#post-50568

2013-08-24T22:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371402022748057601

@mindterrorist @joerogan @_Golden_Rule_ It's exactly the same as the lithium used in tons of fireworks every year to make red.

2013-08-24T20:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371372097655685120

@Freizeit_Tiger @J_Kachelmann @RossMarsden Ja. He also laments that people waste so much energy, and subject their children to the nonsense.

2013-08-24T20:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371370655318409216

@mindterrorist @joerogan @_Golden_Rule_ The wallops rockets make lithium oxide, decomposes in water. Prius car fires make a lot more.

2013-08-24T20:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371363513425358848

@mindterrorist @joerogan @_Golden_Rule_ Chemistry. The chemicals in sounding rockets burn in the atmosphere to create harmless salts.

2013-08-24T20:04:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371362387082760193

@mindterrorist @joerogan @_Golden_Rule_ Don't trust the government, trust the weight of evidence. Or in this case, the lack of evidence.

2013-08-24T18:33:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/371339447763103744

@pdjakow metabunk@gmail.com Are you using scholar?
http://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=persistent+contrails

2013-08-24T16:44:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371312030843564032
A "when did you first notice contrails" survey. Very interesting variety of comments:
https://docs.google.com/a/mickwest.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AthfJKf0ArdPdEJSS2lzMjZHYTFLQXA1dlpPcHQ3Snc#gid=1

2013-08-24T16:39:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371310767665971201
@GeoengWatch @MarchAgainstSRM No, because you are reporting more UV than the sun actually produces.
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-claims-that-uv-is-off-the-charts.2097/page-2#post-62322

2013-08-24T14:28:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371277811136229376
@mindterrorist @joerogan @_Golden_Rule_ More than a youtube video about NASA sounding rockets. Which they have done publically for decades.

2013-08-24T04:51:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371132611474628609
Al Gore says atmospheric geoengineering is "nuts", "crazy". "shouldnâ□□t waste a lot of time talking about them"
http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/wonkblog/wp/2013/08/21/al-gore-explains-why-hes-optimistic-about-stopping-global-warming/

2013-08-24T00:38:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371069027830886401
Simple explanation of how what people call "chemtrails" are formed:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2P43dJqd-Aw

2013-08-23T23:11:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/371047017021050880
@ericbeetner @HollyWest Shouting is rude. Besides, we are actually 24 feet apart. What's for dinner luv?

2013-08-23T18:40:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/370978947464318976
@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo I try to be factual. I invite corrections.

2013-08-23T18:40:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/370978754685710336
@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo Check out this survey of different people noticing trails at different years.
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AthfJKf0ArdPdEJSS2lzMjZHYTFLQXA1dlpPcHQ3Snc#gid=1

2013-08-23T14:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370919721618526209

@sciam Strong magnets will generally not erase the data. They "destroy" the drive by bending the RW arm. The data is still there.

2013-08-23T03:34:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370750811891961857

@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo I don't. But people here in LA are also claiming they only noticed them in 2012. So obviously wrong.

2013-08-22T21:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370660034637611008

@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo And yet lots of other people say it did happen. Of course there's a lot more air traffic now.

2013-08-22T21:33:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370659896892465152

@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo If you see something wrong on my sites, then feel free to point it out, and I'll fix it.

2013-08-22T18:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370615901294321664

@Slikk82 @martinhume @eddiebravo That's not evidence. It's a long list of claims made WITHOUT evidence. (or with debunked evidence)

2013-08-22T05:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370415797744594944

RT @joerogan: This Joe Rogan Questions Everything show has turned me into a much bigger skeptic than I used to be. So much fuckery afoot...

2013-08-22T02:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370373240213868545

Houses in Detroit going for $1

http://www.zillow.com/homes/for_sale/88410305_zpid/0-100_price/days_sort/42.506907,-82.852192,42.259398,-83.131657_rect/11_zm/_fm/0_mmm/

2013-08-21T22:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370307238595792896

@KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo 2.07 in mW/cm2 that is. Dane thinks he measured 9.0, on the ground. Which is impossible.

2013-08-21T22:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/370307018210287616

@KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo So Kristen, what's the UVB portion of the solar constant outside the atmosphere? I make it 2.07. ?

2013-08-19T20:25:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/369555776752201728

High levels of UV (from chemtrails or otherwise), debunked.

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-dane-wigingtons-claims-that-uv-is-off-the-charts.2097/page-2#post-61943 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSDtBVXCAAAP4WH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BSDtBVXCAAAP4WH.jpg
2013-08-19T04:55:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369321821700513795
@PIPIL4LIFE you know often planes don't leave trails at all. The exhaust is the same. Mostly H2O and CO2.

2013-08-19T04:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369321006998896640
@PIPIL4LIFE I wouldn't stand behind a jet engine either. It's burning hydrocarbons, is all.

2013-08-18T23:45:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369243646911979520
@PIPIL4LIFE @Slikk82 @eddiebravo By that logic your car exhaust is #chemtrails, It's just engine exhaust. Different argument.

2013-08-18T22:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369217812964732928
@wacky_chipmunk @Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 @Lifewisenews Nothing in the doc says SRM via planes is happening. No "chemtrails", etc.

2013-08-18T21:55:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369216104431771648
@Venice311 It's way off to the east, San Bernadino and points North.
http://www.weather.com/weather/map/interactive/90291?animation=true

---

2013-08-18T21:00:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369202266491744256
@wacky_chipmunk @Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 @Lifewisenews See page 49 (pdf p51), point 2. Cloud seeding is not Geoengineering.

2013-08-18T20:59:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369201843861061632
@wacky_chipmunk @Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 @Lifewisenews But that's more about future possible geoengineering. No seeding in UK.

2013-08-18T20:50:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369199657181659136
@wacky_chipmunk @Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 @Lifewisenews You should just point them at Wikipedia: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cloud_seeding #NotSecret

---

2013-08-18T20:49:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369199317480775681
@Slikk82 @PIPIL4LIFE They LOOK and ACT like persistent spreading contrails. Here's some similar grids from above: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-08-18T20:47:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369198827636416513
@Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 Different altitudes. See: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-18T17:59:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369156550692061184
@Chemtrails_818 @eRiC_Bz1 @iain39 @Lifewisenews That's just chaff. You have been misled.

2013-08-18T16:38:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369136123487870979
@eRiC_Bz1 on Ellen. He said there were proposals and we should not do it. He did not "admit" anything. The opposite.

2013-08-18T16:11:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/369129552225636353
@eRiC_Bz1 quote him then. Because that would be HUGE.

2013-08-18T03:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368942231941427202
@eRiC_Bz1 @SactoNews He didn't say the government sprays chemtrails. He says geoengineering is something we want to avoid.

2013-08-18T00:46:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368896656856465408
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo And what do you say now you know people keep claiming it's a chemtrail, when they know it is not?

2013-08-18T00:45:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368896464346284032

Pretty French contrails from unusual angles. All real, no CG.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ChO9xoHbJGQ

2013-08-18T00:38:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368894651647791107
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Fuel dump, see detailed explanation:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-why-in-the-world-are-they-spraying-wingtip-fuel-dump-photo.645/

2013-08-17T18:13:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368797768535400448
RT @donttrythis: Awesome digital citizens: The Getty Museum edition. Putting hi-res archives online for people to use. http://t.co/LNweEpCbâ¦

2013-08-17T16:27:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368771013980327936
Consipracy, go! RT @BBCBreaking: Police assessing the "credibility" of information on the deaths of Princess Diana and Dodi Al Fayed

---

2013-08-16T16:12:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368404855389310977
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo You might be surprised what a "normal" plane route is over you. Where do you live? (approx)

2013-08-16T16:11:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368404609380794368
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo They look like cirroculumuls fractus. You don't notice them much as they don't last very long.
http://www.nsm.or.th/nsm2009/e-learning/english_version/science@nsm/science@nsm/galleries/clouds/cirrus/cirrus11.html

---

2013-08-15T21:44:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/368126121126006784
Truth is spoken in curious places. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRvYwbqCEAEmAtL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRvYwbqCEAEmAtL.jpg

2013-08-15T18:19:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/368074551378190336

You find Diatomaceous earth on the skin of Morgelllons sufferers because they use it to self-treat Morgellons.

http://metabunk.org/threads/morgellons-on-joe-rogan-questions-everything.2224/

2013-08-15T18:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/368070924358012928

@joerogan Some corrections/addional info for your segment on Morgellons: http://metabunk.org/threads/morgellons-on-joe-rogan-questions-everything.2224/

2013-08-15T15:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/368032781697175552

RT @center4inquiry: A Million Poisoning Planes - What the world would be like if the chemtrails conspiracy were true http://www.csicop.org/specialarticles/show/a_million_poisoning_planes

---

2013-08-13T21:34:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367398874287050752

@Jason10Noto Okay, but I'm unsure what point you are making here?

2013-08-13T20:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367389962284433408

@Jason10Noto What's the "chemical aspect"

2013-08-13T20:52:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367388253638238209

@RossMarsden It means he has posted our documents on Internet Relay Chat, exposing us for the shills we are.

2013-08-13T18:49:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367357364489297922

RT @novapbs: As much as clouds can seem to appear out of nowhere, they can also disappear, or "dissipate": http://bit.ly/13xyUQi via @TheNâ¦

2013-08-13T18:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367345107265007616

@Jason10Noto Sure, if we didn't already have a name for them. Contrails.

2013-08-13T15:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367309955176472577

@Chemtrails_818 You should try to demonstrate that any single photo was faked, if that's what yout think. Expose the bunk.

2013-08-13T15:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367308263773061120

@Chemtrails_818 And I mostly just repeat existing science, post old photos & vids. Sometimes I do a bit of math, but that can be checked.

2013-08-13T15:19:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367304492846288896

We've been able to stop contrails for decades. Unfortunately the suppression system involved spraying acid in the air

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iwngzZEJOVI

2013-08-13T14:39:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367294243376603136

@Chemtrails_818 Would that not also apply to the things you say?

2013-08-13T04:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367133526237261825

An amusing video take on my appearance on @joerogan's show: http://chemtrailsplanet.net/2013/08/11/debunking-mick-west-and-phoney-contrail-science-website/ Acutally a lot of people DO find those clouds suspicious

---

2013-08-13T03:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367132768641093632

@Chemtrails_818 @iamtimbabb And your third trail is a galley drain.

2013-08-13T03:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367132475719294977

@Chemtrails_818 @iamtimbabb Oh wait, I missed the video. The RMS says "normally", so sometimes there isn't. See: http://contrailscience.com/how-big-is-the-gap-between-contrails-and-engines/

2013-08-13T03:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367131524203683840

@Chemtrails_818 @iamtimbabb Wait, what bit did they debunk?

2013-08-13T00:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/367080900581785600

About time! CNN: Eric Holder seeks to cut mandatory minimum drug sentences

http://www.cnn.com/2013/08/12/politics/holder-mandatory-minimums/index.html?sr=sharebar_twitter

---

2013-08-12T18:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366991580046954496

@liljb Somewhat, I suspect they are unhappy with the frank discussion of the claims of evidence.

2013-08-12T18:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366988421748621313

@stephenjjohnson Unfortunately it's not at all impossible that someone might think that.

2013-08-12T18:13:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366985763348422659

I did an interview a week ago with some chemtrail activists. It went well, polite debate. Now they are refusing to release the audio.

2013-08-12T17:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366981068756226049

@Leevolver They would be at 40 below :) Now I wonder if that's ever been observed in the wild. Something for UAF to research? @uafairbanks

---

2013-08-12T17:13:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366970811950706688

@Jason10Noto Correct. Which is also how contrails form. The extra water in the exhaust raises humidity above supersaturation level.

2013-08-12T17:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366969308116578304

UA Fairbanks' "40 Below Club" where students demonstrate human contrail formation in bikinis.
http://www.flickr.com/photos/jplenny/6779308403/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRe8pDZCcAAzHWe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRe8pDZCcAAzHWe.jpg

2013-08-12T16:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366964844005572609

One of the earliest recorded contrails was in 1751 from a particularly impressive meteor.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRe4lNSCQAAuqKu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRe4lNSCQAAuqKu.jpg

2013-08-12T13:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366909810752487425

@Jason10Noto Technically clouds are not water vapor. Water vapor is a gas. Clouds are made of microdrops of liquid water, or ice cystals.

2013-08-12T04:00:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366771157468319745

@ExpressUrViews Sure, but what of it? It's just standard futurist stuff.

2013-08-12T02:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366755572076380160

@Jason10Noto And how exactly do you know this?

2013-08-12T02:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366755441482534912

@ExpressUrViews Sure nobody wants smart mines, killer drones, robots, or automated machine guns on their land, but why are you asking me?

2013-08-12T02:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366752154054164482

@marandahockey She exposes nothing. She saw some barrels, and could not figure out what they were. That's it.

2013-08-11T23:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366705313367343106

@Jason10Noto Why aren't they just making identical contrails? What's a "chemtrail" look like?

2013-08-11T23:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366704929949220867

@marandahockey 15 mg/m3 Aluminum in air is the OSHA limit for health.

2013-08-11T23:25:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366701918355079170

@Jason10Noto A better example of what?

2013-08-11T22:53:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366693806747295744

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey But it's not significant, as most commercial planes are hard to id. So nothing special.

2013-08-11T22:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366691828411543553

@marandahockey You have to figure out how much aluminum it would take to raise levels over an area. It's more Al than the world produces.

2013-08-11T22:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366685272366268416

@marandahockey I question everything. I've yet to see a genuinely suspicious soil sample. And how COULD spraying affect soil? Math says no.

2013-08-11T22:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366684838918492161

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Yeah, but people keep saying "unmarked planes" as it it means something. It doesn't.

2013-08-11T22:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366683134944743425

@marandahockey You might want to get a second opinion.

2013-08-11T22:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366682817792450562

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Not many airlines have a big ass logo on the bottom of the plane. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRa4FHwCIAEH2LQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRa4FHwCIAEH2LQ.jpg
2013-08-11T22:08:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/366682592289890304
@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Huh? All the planes were identified: http://www.luchtzak.be/forums/viewtopic.php?f=25&t=50962

2013-08-11T22:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/366682055217647616
@marandahockey And how did he know the reasons, exactly?

2013-08-11T21:24:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/366671482081918976
@eddiebravo He's just talking about newspaper censorship. The conspiracy is Soviet Communism. See: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-we-are-opposed-around-the-world-by-a-monolithic-and-ruthless-conspiracy.162/

2013-08-11T21:14:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/366668919253118976
Challenge for chemtrailers. Prove you understand contrails by explaining why this AWACS jet stops leaving a trail. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MyIeBCPdxzc

---

2013-08-11T19:32:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/366643203065122817
@Leevolver I think there's a large degree of: "B fits my world view a lot better than A, so I'll go with B". They PREFER conspiracy.

2013-08-11T19:25:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366641612383731712

@Leevolver Insects. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rod_(optics)

2013-08-11T19:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366637569712197633

@DawnPlaza It's I don't know for sure if my neighbor's cats are not robots. But they look and act like cats. So why believe otherwise?

2013-08-11T19:06:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366636732629778432

@DawnPlaza I don't. However. A) They look like contrails. B) They act like contrails. C) There's no evidence they are not contrail.

2013-08-11T18:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366632903330893824

Contrails in 1974. They kind of look and act like what people call "chemtrails" now, don't they? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRaKrt5CEAAbICj.jpg

---



FIG. 1. Photograph taken at 1155 EST to the southwest showing streamers from an old contrail and a new contrail being laid along the commercial airway.



FIG. 3. Photograph to the east over the NASA controlled area showing contrails which have drifted eastward while retaining their NE–SW orientation.

trails along the route at altitudes from 9–10 km. Sky photographs were taken throughout the morning and, in many instances, show the trail being laid with the aircraft in the picture (see Figs. 1 and 2).

The wind was generally from the west so that the trails drifted eastward but retained their NNE–SSW orientation. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 which was taken to the east over the NASA restricted area around 1200.

As the trails drifted eastward, they acted as generating lines. Each trail produced multiple streamers or filaments which were oriented generally along an E–W direction, i.e., along the wind. Figs. 4 and 5 were taken almost overhead to the west around 1200 and show the contrails with the individual streamers emanating from what appear to be clumps in the contrail itself. These photographs are quite similar to those taken from an aircraft during a study of the



FIG. 4. Photograph of individual streamers taken overhead at 1155. Aircraft is at low altitude and is not laying a contrail.



FIG. 2. As in Fig. 1 except for photograph at 1122 EST.



FIG. 5. Photograph to the west showing streamers aligned perpendicular to the contrails.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRaKrt5CEAAbICj.jpg

2013-08-11T18:01:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366620336696983553

RT @BrianDunning: #DailySkeptoid: Always buy things sold by weight (like gold) near the equator, where they weigh less; and sell them near â¦

2013-08-11T17:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366619081954168833

@Leevolver I think though that some people interpret visual evidence differently than others. See "rods" for eg. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRZ-HNQCEAEDdHv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRZ-HNQCEAEDdHv.jpg

2013-08-11T17:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366617738677993473

@A3803 The comments are quite interesting too: http://chemspots.wordpress.com/2010/12/09/chemspots-hidden-in-plain-sight/

2013-08-11T17:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366616625027358720

@A3803 Note the last line "The above post is a parody of the chemtrail theory."

2013-08-11T17:45:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366616315621945347

@A3803 It is a satire, I wrote it.

2013-08-11T17:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366613849199214592

@A3803 And see also chemspots: http://chemspots.wordpress.com/ You don't see what you don't look for.

2013-08-11T17:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366613251192135680

@Leevolver http://xkcd.com/1235/ :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRZ4zz-CIAESpgz.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRZ4zz-CIAESpgz.png

2013-08-11T17:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366608932954849281

Chemtrails Explained! It's just a modern version of the 1954 Seattle Windshield Pitting Epidemic. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seattle_Windshield_Pitting_Epidemic #confirmationbias

---

2013-08-11T16:35:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366598734253002752

RT @A3803: @MickWest In combination with flightradar24 it would be a good documentation (german): http://h9.abload.de/img/planespotter_chemtrainmzbx.jpg

---

2013-08-11T16:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366594466817118208

@AntonioknicDiaz I do not seek to be necessary, merely useful.

2013-08-11T16:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366593259117613058

@AntonioknicDiaz I debunk claims of evidence which are wrong. I'm weeding the fields of public discourse.

2013-08-11T16:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366593093299994626

If some guy can VIDEO planes leaving contrails from his backyard, then why no video of "chemtrail"planes? http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fJOyCosRpsM#at=30

2013-08-11T16:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366591491424010240

@AntonioknicDiaz Yes it is, which is why I'm trying to debunk it. Because it's a huge distraction.

2013-08-11T15:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366585602826698753

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey All you need is a $400 camera: http://www.flickr.com/photos/stevewalsh/8481969645/in/photostream Looks "unmarked" from the ground.

2013-08-11T15:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366585134754963459

You can take HD photos of contrailing planes with a $400 camera. So why are there no photos of "chemtrail" planes? http://www.flickr.com/photos/stevewalsh/8481969645/in/photostream

---

2013-08-11T15:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366579987790307330

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey And most planes have no markings underneath. Still usually identifiable though. #zoomlens

---

2013-08-11T15:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366578788143874049

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey There are plenty of photos of commercial jets leaving contrails. http://www.luchtzak.be/forums/viewforum.php?f=25

2013-08-11T04:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366417829047697408

@RastaMike62 @joerogan Sure you can, but it's about bang for your buck. I can be most effective in combatting bunk.

2013-08-11T01:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366364290560692224

@mindterrorist Well, I'm also trying to stop people shooting down planes, which I think qualifies as action.

http://metabunk.org/threads/advocating-violence-against-chemtrail-planes-pilots-scientists-and-debunkers.251/

2013-08-10T23:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366342950541733888

@marandahockey if it's 45% aluminum, it's not not soil. It's sand or clay. More likely sand. Send me the test result.

2013-08-10T23:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366341951101992962

@Freizeit_Tiger I didn't know. There's lots of old mines up there though. Lovely area.

2013-08-10T23:33:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366341625091330048

@marandahockey 45% Aluminum? Sounds like you were testing sandy or clay soil containing mostly hydrous aluminium phyllosilicates.

2013-08-10T23:30:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366340923992453120

@mindterrorist Actually, I'm pretty sure it was the scientific evidence that stopped Asbestos. Also leaded gas. No mob. Science.

2013-08-10T23:29:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366340542478557185

@mindterrorist A tad unrealistic, don't you think? Then US-born terrorists could go case out the

Pentagon and the White House.

2013-08-10T23:28:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366340255747538945

@marandahockey metabunk@gmail.com

2013-08-10T23:28:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366340208968474626

@marandahockey I don't think there has been any university study. Although they study the soil all the time, and would notice anything odd.

2013-08-10T23:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366339716418772993

@marandahockey Soil is naturally about 7% aluminum. So aluminum is everywhere. It's higher in California.

2013-08-10T23:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366339120278151170

@marandahockey It is not admittedly being done. That's a big misconception. Can you quote where they "admit" it?

2013-08-10T23:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366337996536033280

@marandahockey @joerogan @4thAnon I went to the premiere of WhyInTheWorld..., and I've written rebuttals of both. http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-08-10T23:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366337492535877632

@Hsaive @joerogan Agnew isn't a physicist. He has a BS in chemistry. Mail order higher degrees. I think Joe actually knew more there.

2013-08-10T23:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366336281015353346

RT @joerogan: @Hsaive @mitchnutter he unquestionably deserved that and more. His experiment was a ridiculous and poorly thought out waste oâ¦

2013-08-10T22:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366331871661006848

"Given the history of abuse by governments, it's right to ask questions about surveillance" - Obama.

http://edition.cnn.com/2013/08/09/politics/obama-news-conference/index.html?hpt=hp_t2

2013-08-10T22:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366331540931739648

@mindterrorist Inspections of what? On what grounds?

2013-08-10T22:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366330527176863745

@mindterrorist @joerogan @Scary155 Pick your battles. An army of specialists is better than an angry mob.

2013-08-10T22:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366324505985036289

@RastaMike62 @joerogan @Scary155 When people stop wasting time on chemtrails etc. I get them to shift to real issues. That's my goal.

2013-08-10T22:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366319015884357632

In 1970, Salvatore Pecoraro painted the sky 365 days day. Many days have contrails. http://www.salvatorepecoraro.com/gallery.html?gallery=365%20Skies%201970%20Gicl%C3%A9e%20Prints&folio=Available%20Work http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVtNEDCAAAJDlV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVtNEDCAAAJDlV.jpg

2013-08-10T21:59:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366317999512240128

@BadAstronomer See also the old-fashioned way. Salvatore Pecoraro's "365 skies" http://www.salvatorepecoraro.com/gallery.html?gallery=365%20Skies%201970%20Gicl%C3%A9e%20Prints&folio=Available%20Work

---

2013-08-10T20:39:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366297698367848448

@HagbardsSub @joerogan @Michael86G @Scary155 Like Keith Richards flushing the heroin out of his blood. http://www.snopes.com/music/artists/richards.asp

---

2013-08-10T20:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366297252798529536

@marandahockey @joerogan @4thAnon I have no legitimacy. But neither does WITWATS. I just point at science. Aerodynamic Contrail. #checkit

---

2013-08-10T20:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366293708691345408

@cultmojo You're welcome. Did you see the technical info in the .pdf, cool series of photos. http://contrailscience.com/files/Gierens_Aerodynamic_poster_060625.pdf

---

2013-08-10T20:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366292506213752833

@cultmojo @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey It's actually mie scattering with microdrop size variations. Still water. http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2013-08-10T20:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366291855069020163

@RickEveready @joerogan @Scary155 Nope. I focus on the false issues, like chemtrails. It's a force multiplier thing.

2013-08-10T20:11:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366290717250813953

@Michael86G @joerogan @Scary155 I've heard the elite go to Switzerland to have their blood cleaned of chemtrails. I'm somewhat dubious.

2013-08-10T20:07:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366289801395179520

@HunterKirk76 @joerogan @Scary155 Money isn't a real issue? What is then?

2013-08-10T20:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366289396435132416

@BenFredrick78 Pick your battles. By removing distractions for many I can do more good than just adding my voice to the choir. #multiplier

---

2013-08-10T19:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366287371513245697

@Scary155 @joerogan I'll stop focussing on it when it stops distracting 1,000s from the real issues (like NSA, Finance, Drug War, etc.).

2013-08-10T19:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366283313062748162

@getdowndou @joerogan @marandahockey @4thAnon The video posted was not a fuel dump. This is a fuel dump https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uoUBWEqPu0Q Two nozzels

---

2013-08-10T19:39:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366282795749879809

@Jason10Noto @4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Why do people see commercial planes making grids? And where are the photos of the "unmarked"

2013-08-10T19:38:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366282450667700226

@Freizeit_Tiger Quite nice of him to promote my segment there.

2013-08-10T19:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366276219446104065

@4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Jolly good! Glad I can help. Let me know if you have more contrail questions. :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVGR-4CEAAm43y.jpg

---



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVGR-4CEAAm43y.jpg

2013-08-10T19:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366275495819612161

@4thAnon @joerogan The link I sent you contains the ORIGINAL video, plus stills of the same scene, proving it's original. Plus a similar vid

---

2013-08-10T18:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366272507805827072

@4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey That's a real pic. Source: http://www.airliners.net/photo/Cathay-Pacific-Airways/Boeing-777-267/2213426/L/&sid=0b512dfe498efba828a2189aca22fac6

2013-08-10T18:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366272210584879104

@4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey So people won't make weather weapons.

2013-08-10T18:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366272122265411584

@4thAnon Yes, I've see that clip a hundred times. Did you see the proof that it was both a contrail and hoax video: http://www.youtube.com/user/USAFFEKC10A#p/a/u/0/t22wy4c-A-A

2013-08-10T18:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366270216231084032

@4thAnon @joerogan @marandahockey Yes. Which shots do you doubt exactly? This one? Just contrast enhanced a tad. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVA0jKCYAEMqyH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRVA0jKCYAEMqyH.jpg

2013-08-10T18:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366264591644229632

@joerogan @marandahockey @4thanon And here's a discussion of aerodynamic contrails with pretty pics, and science: http://contrailscience.com/aerodynamic-and-rainbow-contrails/

2013-08-10T18:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366264367517413376

@joerogan @marandahockey @4thanon It's an aerodynamic contrail. Not a fuel dump. Comes up about twice a day. Details: http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2013-08-10T18:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366259457153441794

Do chemtrails causing global dimming? No, because: A) No Chemtrails B) No Global Dimming. http://metabunk.org/threads/global-dimming-vs-global-brightening-as-evidence-for-geoengineering-or-chemtrails.2182/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRU3CSfCIAABAAL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRU3CSfCIAABAAL.jpg

2013-08-10T16:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366232779043782657

RT @FOIAMachine: More than 2/3 FOIAs are submitted by businesses. ~5-10% come from journalists. Help @FOIAMachine change that ratio. http:/â¦

2013-08-10T13:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366191957002821634

@TwinLizardV4 Probably just a glitch in the Matrix.

2013-08-10T11:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366166116894117892

@TwinLizardV4 years, but inactive.

2013-08-10T06:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366078520436326401

@nelizadrew Jolly Good. I read most of The Golden Compass before I twigged.

2013-08-10T06:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366077464289624064

@nelizadrew It's not YA is it? I keep reading YA by mistake.

2013-08-10T06:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366077215642877954

@nelizadrew Hmm, now I want to read The Dresden Files, looks entertaining.

2013-08-10T05:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366076291784507395

@nelizadrew It's a mystery. We should call the Discovery channel.

2013-08-10T05:57:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366075786790313984

@nelizadrew So if it rained a lot, it could be asphalt roof runoff

---

2013-08-10T05:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366075693941002240

@nelizadrew We get a bit of black sticky stuff on the plants from our roof. Rain washes it directly onto them

---

2013-08-10T05:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366075384313294853

@nelizadrew Sounds like an intriguing McGuffin

2013-08-10T05:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366075007580901376

@nelizadrew Are you holding it ransom? I'm not that sure it works with birds.

2013-08-10T05:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366074470575779840

@nelizadrew Well, if I'm ever in your neck of the woods, I'll bring a microscope :)

2013-08-10T05:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366071457656213506

@nelizadrew That's probably just aleurodicus rugioperculatus or something

---

2013-08-10T05:33:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366069833147760641

@nelizadrew if it's kind of fine, brown and gritty, they probably, yes.

2013-08-10T05:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366069053082710016

TIL: Florida frequently gets dusted with sand from the Sahara, 5,000 miles away. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F3Q8Sh2AjPo

---

2013-08-10T05:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366067860793069568

@RossMarsden @a3803 It amusing that nobody on the plane seemed alarmed. They could be being gassed, but they just laugh and fight for seats

---

2013-08-10T01:04:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366002171923283971

@ilacewords There were a few mitigating circumstances, like the planes.

2013-08-10T01:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366001553028550656

@HollyWest It sounds a bit rude

2013-08-10T01:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/366001483260497921

@ilacewords Only said that 95% of the questions were answered in the FAQ. You were wrong about the jet fuel. Nobody claimed it melted steel.

2013-08-10T00:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365999747774947328

@HollyWest well bully for you!

2013-08-10T00:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365999519504154625

@ilacewords No, I'm telling you that the majority of your questions would be answered if you read the FAQ. They are frequently asked.

2013-08-10T00:53:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365999327732174849

@HollyWest Bah, I guess we operate in non overlapping magisteria.

2013-08-10T00:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365998999502716928

@HollyWest Looks like nobody does #FF any more :) Old lady.

2013-08-10T00:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365998560552038403

@ilacewords Read the FAQ. The fuel just ignited fires.

2013-08-10T00:36:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365995116667748352

@ilacewords Covered in #15. http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtctowers.cfm

---

2013-08-10T00:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365993192182657024

Most 9/11 folk don't know that NIST has two FAQs that cover 90% of all the truther mythology

http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtctowers.cfm

http://www.nist.gov/el/disasterstudies/wtc/faqs_wtc7.cfm

2013-08-09T23:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365980497949626368

@Freizeit_Tiger I'm just going to get more followers than you, then I'll stop :)

2013-08-09T23:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365973268064239616

@Freizeit_Tiger Those planes are too low to leave contrails. Debunked!!!!!!

2013-08-09T22:43:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365966474482954241

After posting on Twitter for a week, I now unnecessarily shoehorn explanations in 140 chars everywhere, and append hashtags. #brainfried

---

2013-08-09T22:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365963067160403968

@whatlouse @joerogan Contrails alone explain those. Patents are not evidence of use, or even working. Real Patents: http://www.patentlysilly.com/

2013-08-09T21:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365954377552371712

@joerogan @whatlouse It's not even poorly coordinate traffic. They all have adequate vertical separation (1000ft+). Normal traffic. #RVSM

2013-08-09T21:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365947368123793409

Science Explains. RT @A3803: New services from airlines for believers: air from trails for sampling into the cabin: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=182a-uNXCyg

---

2013-08-09T21:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365942215094190080

@RossMarsden That must be that new form of "visual" smelling.

2013-08-09T18:49:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365907625621196800

RT @donttrythis: The insane robot I introed @Comic_Con is even cooler when u know how it works! @WIRED @LegacyEffects @stanwinstonscho httpâ¦

2013-08-09T17:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365893087593103361

You can see contrails that are 100 miles away, so over 95% of all visible contrails are over 20 miles away. #math http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRPp0w7CcAAqU3p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRPp0w7CcAAqU3p.jpg

2013-08-09T17:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365892099884851201

@kencampbell66 Spikes that corresponded with periods of heavy rain, when you expect the levels to go up.

2013-08-09T05:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365705228223385600

@DeathSquadFAI Yeah, once you are in the fog, the car can't really make contrails. But the ice fog itself is the same thing as a contrail.

2013-08-09T05:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365699591045255169

@DeathSquadFAI A car contrail would be like normal car exhaust condensation, just not fading away. Nothing spectacular. Trail of thin mist.

2013-08-09T05:01:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365699306658865152

@DeathSquadFAI Yeah, that's what I'm saying. Ice fog means no car contrails.

2013-08-09T04:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365698171839578113

@DeathSquadFAI In fog, you won't see trails. In needs to be both cold, and nearly humid enough for fog. Exhaust water pushes it over.

2013-08-09T04:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365697718762475520

@DeathSquadFAI Altitude is irrelevant. It's the temperature. They can occur at ground level at -40

2013-08-09T04:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365697329195515905

@DeathSquadFAI Keep your eye out. It's not at all spectacular. Like the photo I sent.

2013-08-09T04:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365696684690374656

@DeathSquadFAI A contrail is a type of cloud consisting of fine ice crystals suspended in the air.

2013-08-09T04:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365696439675928577

@DeathSquadFAI -40 is the temp that persistent contrails form at. The condensation must freeze. That's the difference from breath condense

---

2013-08-09T04:46:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365695615595855874

@DeathSquadFAI Jet pumps out so much more water it can form in a much wider range of conditions.

2013-08-09T04:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365695529092513793

@DeathSquadFAI Car exahaust is too weak to leave much of trail. It can happen though, but conditions need to be marginal

---

2013-08-09T04:44:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365695159410757632

@DeathSquadFAI No. But like I said you need more marginal conditions. Ice fog is already fog, so exhaust just mixes with it.

2013-08-09T04:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365694577455292416

@DeathSquadFAI If ice fog is forming already naturally, then your exhaust mixes with it. Car exhaust is small. Like. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRM1R9oCYAAnIxz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRM1R9oCYAAnIxz.jpg

2013-08-09T04:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365693991217410048

@DeathSquadFAI Yeah, but your exhaust adds to the fog a little. If conditions are right then a car can leave a kind of weak contrail.

2013-08-09T04:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365688235487264770

Contrails were a problem for shooting period pieces as far back as 1957. Like Cowboy TV show "Have Gun Will Travel". http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRMvgz8CAAEqgq_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRMvgz8CAAEqgq_.jpg

2013-08-09T04:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365685709580939265

@kencampbell66 You going to do aluminum tests? You have to be pretty careful with contamination. Just regular soil can be around 8% Al.

2013-08-09T04:06:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365685430915571713

@DeathSquadFAI Ice fog is essentially what a contrail is. Its just triggered by the extra water in the jet exhaust.

2013-08-09T01:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365644742375129089

@kencampbell66 So it just boils down to pure speculation, which of course Jones can exploit to the max. As it will never go away.

2013-08-09T01:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365644597914898432

@kencampbell66 Well, I don't think anything will happen with it. Jones will keep pushing it. Investigations can't show anything after fire.

2013-08-09T01:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365644041523695616

@kencampbell66 sure, but it looks like he just was driving crazy. No evidence otherwise. Just suspicion. Plus car hacks would be too risky.

2013-08-09T01:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365642364225732611

@kencampbell66 I've heard. But it looks like an accident. Alex Jones is just exploiting it.

2013-08-09T01:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365641769808957444

@kencampbell66 Yeah, see http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-michael-hastings-crash-cars-just-dont-blow-up-kim-dvorak.2148/

2013-08-09T01:11:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365641573339381761

@kencampbell66 There was some bunk about it that I wrote about. http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-michael-hastings-crash-engine-found-north-of-crash-going-south.1964/ and http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-michael-hastings-crash-cars-just-dont-blow-up-kim-dvorak.2148/

2013-08-09T01:09:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365640890192117760

@kencampbell66 He was speeding, lost control. and hit a tree. That's really all that is known.

2013-08-09T00:55:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365637534350450689

@TiffLSmith it's directly above it by a mile, so no.

2013-08-09T00:44:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365634534131245056

@DeathSquadFAI WAY above it, into near vacuum. There's no downwards connection. The "air" up there is nothing.

2013-08-09T00:42:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365634215183777796

@DeathSquadFAI Hey you know Fairbanks is featured on ContrailScience, pic of your ice fog http://contrailscience.com/ground-level-contrails/

2013-08-09T00:40:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365633755706167297

@TiffLSmith No, the upper plane is about twice as big. They are like a mile apart vertically. Maybe more.

2013-08-09T00:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365633529100513280

@DeathSquadFAI "The unusual warm conditions derive from a persistent weather pattern high in the atmosphere above Alaska, says the NWS"

2013-08-09T00:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365632441525870592

@kencampbell66 I like the Guardian, in the UK. It's an independent newspaper. http://www.theguardian.com/environment/climate-consensus-97-per-cent/2013/may/28/global-warming-consensus-climate-denialism-characteristics

---

2013-08-08T23:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365623113985175552

Near miss! Actually about 4,000 feet apart. Altitude is hard to judge from the ground. #opticalillusion http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRL0SPcCMAAzn5C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRL0SPcCMAAzn5C.jpg

2013-08-08T23:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365622067829276675

@kencampbell66 Who says global warming is not man made? Even Fox News has come around on that now.

2013-08-08T23:53:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365621867874230274

@kencampbell66 Slightly different altitudes: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-08T23:53:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365621752870608897

@kencampbell66 Looks like a Morning Glory cloud, or a "cloud street" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morning_Glory_cloud

---

2013-08-08T23:52:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365621489103421440

@Freizeit_Tiger Fame at last.

2013-08-08T23:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365621425295466499

RT @Freizeit_Tiger: @MickWest Do you know that youÂ´re "the last chemtrail skeptic left alive."?! And Agnew is "an accomplished physicist" hâ¦

2013-08-08T23:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365611548057669632

@kencampbell66 Maybe, but why does that then mean that the white lines in the sky are not contrails. Given that's what they look like?

2013-08-08T23:03:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365609140007075842

@kencampbell66 There's plenty of conspiracies. Iraq war pretext. Congress in general. Watergate. Enron.

2013-08-08T22:59:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365608160754540544

@kencampbell66 Sure, but there's no evidence they are doing it. Kind of a hard thing to cover up - fleets of planes flying into hurricanes.

2013-08-08T22:58:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365608003187113984

@DeathSquadFAI LochNessMonster = very unlikely, no real evidence.

2013-08-08T22:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365607885700464640

@DeathSquadFAI And you can check the facts too. Look up how long contrails persist on non-conspiracy site, or any old weather book.

2013-08-08T22:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365607402294358017

@DeathSquadFAI Easy to check, just toss them off a building.

2013-08-08T22:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365607326113210371

@KristenMeghan I can't find them. Got a link?

2013-08-08T22:54:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365606911485284352

@kencampbell66 Yeah, didn't work, so they stopped.

2013-08-08T22:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365606821311950848

@DeathSquadFAI I don't invent them. I do check them to see if they seem correct. Like "contrails can persist for hours" = fact.

2013-08-08T22:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365606474640138242

@KristenMeghan Do they have a web site?

2013-08-08T22:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365605404350218241

@kencampbell66 You mean this? It's Agent Orange, a defoliant. It would not leave a long trail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRLkLZ7CAAE--49.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRLkLZ7CAAE--49.jpg

2013-08-08T22:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365604995699195905

@kencampbell66 Pictures of contrails? Or spraying agent orange?

2013-08-08T22:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365604505250836481

@kencampbell66 Cloud seeding is something done with small planes to low clouds, and does not leave a trail. Different thing.

2013-08-08T22:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365604304540794880

@DeathSquadFAI I went to college in Manchester, UK. But I'm just pointing at facts, not researching them. A child can point.

2013-08-08T22:30:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365600991845949441

@hollywest http://www.corgibeach.com/

2013-08-08T22:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365600709120495616

RT @BadAstronomer: Hey Congress: Wanna get re-elected? Stop denying global warming. http://www.slate.com/blogs/bad_astronomy/2013/08/08/climate_change_new_poll_shows_strong_support_for_action_among_young_voters.html

---

2013-08-08T22:28:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365600472750497792

@kencampbell66 Cloud seeding. Nothing unknown.

2013-08-08T22:28:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365600394010820609

@KristenMeghan What's an example of a trusted science site?

2013-08-08T22:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365600224573521920

@DeathSquadFAI I throw stones all the time, and people throw stones at me. So far my "glass house" seems pretty solid http://contrailscience.com/contrail-confusion-is-nothing-new/

2013-08-08T22:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365599712751009792

@kencampbell66 Not with the low clouds no, it would merge with high wispy cirrus, if there were any. Most contrails are higher than the 1921

2013-08-08T22:23:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365599056640225280

@kencampbell66 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6X5QZDQ6mw

2013-08-08T22:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365598870551535616

@KristenMeghan Wait, are you telling me that all .edu sites are just government shill sites?

2013-08-08T22:20:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365598366022897665

@kencampbell66 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jo6rgrnY6TU

2013-08-08T22:18:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365597817525379073

@kencampbell66 KC-10, real aerodynamic contrails. Hoax video. See:

http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2013-08-08T22:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365597624931323904

@kencampbell66 here's an account of a trail from 1921: http://contrailscience.com/files/mwr-049-07-0412c.pdf It lasted 20 min, then merged with clouds. Lots more since.

2013-08-08T22:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365597210722836483

@kencampbell66 Cloud seeding uses silver iodide. A chemical. (water is also a chemical, but I know what you mean)

2013-08-08T21:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365588178448556034

@kencampbell66 Sure, but I've also heard about them sending a man to Mars. Talking is not the same as doing.

2013-08-08T21:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365588041164787714

@kencampbell66 No, it's silver iodide. Aluminum would not work. It needs a specific crystal structure to act as an ice seed.

2013-08-08T21:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365587846821720064

@kencampbell66 Have you looked at the historical record Ken, there's a lot of photos of old contrails. http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-08-08T21:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365587531116449792

@KristenMeghan Here you go: http://www.physics.ucla.edu/~pau/thesis.pdf Electromagnetic Wave Interaction with the Auroral Plasma, by Jacqueline Pau, 2003

2013-08-08T20:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365574110304149504

@jayrosen_nyu How is that going to prevent the government getting the data though?

2013-08-08T20:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365573636259713024

@KristenMeghan Yes I did. They had published research papers. Like this one which explains the altitude focussing p9: http://metabunk.org/attachments/thesis-pdf.3345/

2013-08-08T18:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365547426834153474

@KristenMeghan You should publish evidence then. I've talked to people who work at HAARP, they say it's harmless, and can't alter weather

---

2013-08-08T18:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365540578894675969

A god-like view of the world. Stitched satellite images let you see the weather from above with

history. Cool clouds http://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2013-08-08T17:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365523054178213889

They knew why planes left trails in 1943, why don't people know now? This was 70 years ago! Ancient knowledge? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRKZR_nCIAAzIwb.jpg

WHY PLANES MAKE *Vapor Trails*



AIRPLANES leave trails in the atmosphere that can be picked up, if a spotter is quick enough, like those of game sought by a hunter. Some of them, especially trails left by a plane's exhaust at high, cold altitudes, endure for some time, while those made by wing tips creating vortices are audible as well as visible.

Both of these types of airplane trails are explained by condensation. Invisible water vapor in a plane's exhaust condenses into a visible cloud when the vapor reaches an excess of what the atmosphere will hold. Wing-tip trails add no water to the air, but as their vortices expand, the air temperature in them drops and the dew point is reached if the vortices are strong enough and humidity is high.





Noise like the whistle of a falling object may be heard when a heavily loaded plane pulls out of a low dive. It is from the wing-tip trail illustrated at left, and is audible after that of the motor dies away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRKZR_nCIAAzIwb.jpg

2013-08-08T16:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365503166495731712

Conspiracy theorists, maybe if you didn't waste so much time on bunk, you could do something about real issues? Like:

http://www.democracynow.org/2013/1/8/exclusive_as_gitmo_turns_11_al

---

2013-08-08T15:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365495582506237953

What is "obvious" depends on how much science you have. It's not obvious, for example, how a man walks on liquid. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f2XQ97XHjVw

---

2013-08-08T14:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365485414615752706

@joebird123 @kencampbell66 @joerogan Science literally is amazing. also sometimes complicated and hard. Leads to problems of communication.

2013-08-08T14:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365485174252773379

@kencampbell66 But if you are basing that on the idea that "air and water evaporate", then you are ignore science. Must keep facts straight

---

2013-08-08T06:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365354296419692544

@misfit77ca @kencampbell66 Wait, why would claiming that contrails freeze keep me awake at night? I mean, it's a scientific fact. Problem?

2013-08-08T03:58:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365321165901791232

@kencampbell66 contrails freeze. Ice clouds don't evaporate.

2013-08-08T01:53:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365289721972269056

@kencampbell66 @KristenMeghan You should read the NIST report though, it's pretty comprehensive even if you ignore the simulation.

2013-08-08T01:53:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365289545543061504

@kencampbell66 various of bits of plane and paper from the plane survived. Passport was at the front. Obvious really.

2013-08-08T01:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365289229976207361

@kencampbell66 Power down? What did they do, only put explosives in the top half of WTC2? How would that work?

2013-08-08T01:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365288191936299010

@TiffLSmith That was just the day I did it. I'd actually left it running over the weekend, and took

one 24hr period.

2013-08-08T01:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365280300684689409

RT @rcatlin: Virtual autopsy, digital recreations, ballistic tests will all be used in 50th anniversary JFK assassination investigation on â¦

2013-08-08T00:50:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365273788385988609

@JtbuffBuff @joerogan @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @HighersideChats I get that accusation a lot. But I prefer to just let the facts speak.

2013-08-08T00:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365273202647236608

RT @KTLAnewsdesk: Amazing photo of the #DC10 working the #FallsFire sent to @KTLA by our viewer #TammySprott. #LakeElsinore http://t.co/3Cdâ¦

2013-08-07T23:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365258011465351170

@AntonioknicDiaz Erm, I feel you perhaps did not click on the link?

2013-08-07T23:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365256637709160449

This video shows how my fancy plane/contrail map works, and some tricks http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2013-08-07T23:41:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365256307084771328

I used my game-dev skilz to make a map showing all the planes in the world moving at once. Zoom & check the options. http://contrailscience.com/map/

2013-08-07T23:03:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365246860761370624

@MeierFire I've got a forum with a max post length fo 100,000 characters :) http://metabunk.org

---

2013-08-07T22:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365233839062269954

@MeierFire So then you have to go by the evidence. What is the evidence that HAARP can control the weather? Why do you believe it?

2013-08-07T22:05:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365232306606194688

@MeierFire Why? Do you believe whatever people say if they have some credentials? Begich has none.

2013-08-07T21:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365230682823327744

@MeierFire those are unions. Not anything actually educational, or large.

2013-08-07T21:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365221865612001280
@MeierFire Because he claims things that are plainly wrong, like HAARP being able to moved the Jet stream. Physically impossible.

2013-08-07T21:22:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365221444499673088
@MeierFire Yes, Begich is BS.

2013-08-07T21:22:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365221343672795136
@Freizeit_Tiger I can proof it. You could PM it to me.

2013-08-07T21:21:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365221179948150784
@MunkyinAround Thanks. Like I said the other day, the false conspiracies distract for the real problems in the world.

2013-08-07T21:18:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365220305456742400
@Freizeit_Tiger @A3803 @jmbverlag Yeah, but the story behind it is interesting. It should have a dedicated thread.

2013-08-07T21:16:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365220023578525696
@BrownestWeener Unfortunately not.

2013-08-07T21:16:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365219823833186305
@MeierFire Begich is not a whistleblower (or a doctor). Meghan just found some drums of chemicals.

2013-08-07T21:12:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365218987233128448
@A3803 @jmbverlag A380, did you ever start a thread on that? If not, it would be an interesting topic - how it came about.

2013-08-07T20:57:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365215109326438402
@MeierFire Whistle blowers? For what?

2013-08-07T20:57:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365215043513630723
@MeierFire Nope. I'd just like some actual evidence. patents are not evidence. Lots of patents for things that are not used, or don't work.

2013-08-07T20:51:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365213534000398339
@eddiebravo @joerogan Which bit? We all post on Metabunk, but nobody gets paid. And they have Occam backwards.

2013-08-07T20:48:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365212892586459136

@A3803 Many chemtrail enthusiasts think that flap fairings and/or static wicks are "nozzles". One that fell off.

http://www.pprune.org/questions/514004-flap-fairing-missing-singapore-a380.html

---

2013-08-07T20:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365210059250208770

@A3803 You can see the nozzles :)

2013-08-07T20:32:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365208758441349121

@MeierFire Not dismissing things. Just pointing out where I see bogus evidence. "debunking"

2013-08-07T20:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365205961520070658

@MeierFire But sure, there is probably secret stuff going on. The q. is: why do you believe in SPECIFIC things? What's the evidence?

2013-08-07T20:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365205625174630400

@MeierFire Different thing. Short term project, during war, not targeting Americans.

2013-08-07T20:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365204939833753600

@MeierFire So I can point out the lack of evidence. Doesn't mean it's not happening (unless it can't happen without evidence)

2013-08-07T20:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365203589079113728

@MeierFire Of course there are facts in there. Also speculation. It's pretty clear which is which. They tell you.

2013-08-07T20:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365200819265617921

@MeierFire No, which is why you'd need some other evidence that they exist. Got anything specific in mind? DARPA does a bunch of cool stuff.

2013-08-07T19:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365200588574691328

@Chemtrails_818 @RossMarsden Methinks someone misunderstand Occam's Razor. It's not about accepting the first idea that pops in your head.

2013-08-07T19:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365200253143617536

@MeierFire It's not plans. They are just talking. Plenty of facts in there. But see page ii "This report contains fictional representations"

2013-08-07T19:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365198933808521218

@thefolksathome It was a defense exercise. To simulate a chemical weapon attack. See how far

it would spread. Using an inert tracer.

2013-08-07T19:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365198488197279744

People think if they never heard of something before then it must have been covered up? Weather Mod. In Yellow Pages

http://www.yellowpages.com/saratoga-wy/mip/weather-modification-inc-5167969?lid=5167969

2013-08-07T19:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365196866163449858

@MeierFire "The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the [US DOD]"

2013-08-07T19:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365196444644294656

@MeierFire No, cloud seeding happen all the time. They put notices in the paper. They are in the yellow pages.

2013-08-07T19:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365193050189860865

@MeierFire Of course there were weather control technologies. Weather modification has been openly done since the 1950s. Cloud seeding.

2013-08-07T19:25:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365192027702444033

@MeierFire The 2025 paper was just an academic working paper. Not official policy or anything. Just spitballing. More recent papers are wild

---

2013-08-07T19:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365190599248318464

@Freizeit_Tiger They do listen, but somewhat selectively.

2013-08-07T19:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365190446768586755

@MeierFire And heating the ionosphere does not change weather patterns. There's no downward coupling.

2013-08-07T19:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365189938859343872

@MeierFire @joerogan @eddiebravo How do they get UAV's in the ionosphere. There's no air up there.

2013-08-07T19:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365189769229107203

@rothschildmd @MeierFire @joerogan There are some other ionospheric research centers though. All equally unable to modify the weather.

2013-08-07T19:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365188965130698752

@MeierFire One can't prove a global absence of anything. Unicorns, HAARP, The Fay. Instead

you have to look for evidence that they exist.

2013-08-07T19:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365188601669095424

Are you smart? Smarter than a 3rd grader? Find out at which grade you would be held back in math:

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2013/08/08/nyregion/sample-math-questions.html?ref=nyregion&_r=0

2013-08-07T19:09:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365188067180544002

@Dre9_2 :) It's a teeny bit more complex than that as well. There's the action of the ice on the meniscus to consider. And maybe salt.

2013-08-07T19:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365185779783315457

@TomLupul It makes you think that they rejected those rather tame staged events, so why would they do something as horrific as 9/11?

2013-08-07T18:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365183595880521728

@Dre9_2 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aOCqHRpQh88

2013-08-07T18:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365182926645760000

@Dre9_2 In that case it will only overflow if the ice is resting on the bottom of the glass. It's an old physics puzzle.

2013-08-07T18:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365182671799844867

@thefolksathome Except it wasn't toxic. ZCS is basically an inert tracer. The "radioactive" thing is pure speculation.

2013-08-07T18:42:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365181139855478784

@Dre9_2 So if people didn't drink their Cokes fast enough they would overflow? :)

2013-08-07T18:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365179786714284032

@JtbuffBuff Funny facts?

2013-08-07T18:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365177911231266818

@JtbuffBuff But nobody died in WTC7.

2013-08-07T18:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365177585577127936

Asked why sea levels would rise if antarctic ice melts, when ice melting doesn't overflow your coke. Drew diagram: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRFfFEzCcAAx1Yd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRFfFEzCcAAx1Yd.jpg
2013-08-07T18:26:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365177039474540544
@KristenMeghan Did you check it?

2013-08-07T18:02:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365171183395676160
@JtbuffBuff It was bulging and making noises that sounded structural. They could SEE it was going to collapse. http://www.911myths.com/html/wtc7_damage.html

---

2013-08-07T17:43:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365166245730992128
@nelizadrew And I EDC a high end flashlight, JIC. So I'm not immune to the idea of being prepared. Plus table wedges in case of wobbles.

2013-08-07T17:41:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/365165777772494849
@nelizadrew Yeah, although "Preppers" is a more common term. Getting their bug-out-bags for when the SHTF

2013-08-07T17:39:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365165270932791296

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Obviously they did not think they would collapse. They did pull them from the North tower.

2013-08-07T17:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365164998470803457

@Freizeit_Tiger I've unblocked him now, I'm a sucker for second chances. Just so long as 'fuckin retard' is the most impolite thing he says.

2013-08-07T17:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365164707570663425

Regular demolitions are incredibly loud! Even from a mile away.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vMpJQgbKsms #unlikeWTC

2013-08-07T17:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365164042060447744

@JtbuffBuff Was it wrong? Check out some videos of demolition pulls.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3pWjRiCbvSE

2013-08-07T17:29:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365162688092971009

@nelizadrew Some people claim they are trying to kill old people, period. All kinds of ideas out there in the peoplesphere.

2013-08-07T17:27:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365162251935694849

@HollyWest If they have, they didn't find anything, and so kept quiet. But they could probably spin this: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aerotoxic_syndrome #engineoil

---

2013-08-07T17:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365161720932614145

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan "it" = "the firefighting operation". "pull" in demolition means with cables, literally pulling it down.

2013-08-07T17:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365161008404242434

The air in an airplane cabin comes from outside, unfiltered. So you are breathing chemtrails (if they exist) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRFQAKBCIAAZhTw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRFQAKBCIAAZhTw.jpg

2013-08-07T17:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365158047229808640

@RichardDawkins I think you are taking this anti-Muslim thing a bit far. Not helpful. Consider how it is received. More animosity

---

2013-08-07T17:03:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365156378920222722

@HollyWest Let's get back together!

2013-08-07T17:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365156245063213056

@HollyWest I guess we must have separated? I dunno.

2013-08-07T16:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365148078707179520

@AntonioknicDiaz which faith would that be?

2013-08-07T16:30:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365147974621335552

@_Golden_Rule_ Tony Hawk's Pro Skater and a few others.
http://www.mobygames.com/developer/sheet/view/developerId,14618/

2013-08-07T16:28:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365147540410204161

I've been "outed" as a CIA operative, making millions from debunking!
http://beforeitsnews.com/chemtrails/2013/08/career-chemtrail-trolls-exposed-2431134.html
#Iwish

2013-08-07T16:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365146837587468288

@_Golden_Rule_ @Freizeit_Tiger @RossMarsden I wish debunking paid more than game programming! It's actually distinctly negative income

---

2013-08-07T16:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365146465506570240

@_Golden_Rule_ @Freizeit_Tiger @RossMarsden Nice of them to give all those links to my sites!

2013-08-07T16:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365142037101690881

@joebird123 Knowledge is the enemy of thought.

2013-08-07T15:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365139854243282945

@joebird123 One should never go into a conversation with expectations.

2013-08-07T15:57:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365139754754383874

@joebird123 WTC7's collapse was a surprise to everyone. It took a while to figure out what might have happened.

2013-08-07T15:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365137230806794241

@joebird123 "cherry picking" is something you can identify though. It's one of the things I debunk. Like using Jowenko for WTC7, not WTC1/2

2013-08-07T15:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365132168281853955

@Psiramcom @A3803 @Freizeit_Tiger Ja, das Foto wurde vor dem GebrÃ¼der Wright, viele Fotos in dem Buch sind vor 1900.

2013-08-07T15:04:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365126189704163333

@joebird123 By choosing only things are factually wrong? They might figure out my agenda is to increase truth in the world :)

2013-08-07T15:02:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365125753651728387

When exactly did the chemtrail thing start? Please take this survey, so we can pin it down. Retweet for science! http://contrailscience.com/chemtrailpersistent-contrail-survey/

2013-08-07T14:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365123958934208513

@joebird123 @trutherbot @joerogan @AE911Truth If there's some bunk, I'll debunk it. I debunk false claims, not theories - that's what's left

---

2013-08-07T14:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/365113152888774656

@joebird123 @trutherbot @joerogan @AE911Truth That he thought was a last minute demolition by the Fire Dept. Cherry pick away.

2013-08-07T04:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364963079781490688

@joebird123 @trutherbot @joerogan @AE911Truth You know Jowekno said that it was not Possible WTC1/2 was explosives. AE911 left that out.

2013-08-07T04:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364962680697659392

@DeathsquadSol @joerogan @jtbuffbuff @eddiebravo @kristenmeghan I think this calls for some carefully controlled scientific experiments.

2013-08-07T02:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364936078651691008

@MeierFire No it didn't. There was nothing in there about geoengineering. It was mostly about using the weather for warfare. Theoretically.

2013-08-06T23:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364896385767706624

@MeierFire You can't prove there's no evidence of ANYTHING if you assume the evidence is hidden. Question is WHY you believe it.

2013-08-06T23:38:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364893219823157249

@MeierFire @joerogan My theory is just that there's no evidence to back the chemtrail and HAARP conspiracy theory.

2013-08-06T23:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364892677629673474

Conspiracy Theories that are wrong are a distraction from Conspiracy Theories that are right. And they serve the needs of the latter.

2013-08-06T23:21:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364888979096608768

@tomtomUK I know, I'm a former Brit. But that was actually a reasonably fact-based article - for the Mail:

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-1289893/Attack-vapours--jet-trails-block-sunshine.html

---

2013-08-06T23:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364887125415567360

@MeierFire @joerogan Same place as my data saying it's not being used to bake cakes. It's the ABSENCE of evidence that's important here.

2013-08-06T23:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364885774304428032

@jokeb4serious @joerogan Yeah, they edited my segments quite a bit too. I was a lot more coherent in RL :)

2013-08-06T22:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364881592138731521

Obama flew over my house! Kinda! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRBR3_TCEAIkxmQ.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRBR3_TCEAIkxmQ.jpg

2013-08-06T22:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364880951945330688

There's some freak contrails of of LA today. I'm thinking it's because POTUS is in town. Fighter jets circling? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRBRSuaCAAA4NsY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRBRSuaCAAA4NsY.jpg

2013-08-06T22:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364879603715670016

@Leevolver Yeah, I get that response sometime. There's a range of responses. I just stay polite, and eventually some gets though.

2013-08-06T22:43:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364879393249701888

@mixerdjfriend Cool, thanks Dave. Check out my other site too: http://contrailscience.com

---

2013-08-06T22:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364879136017219585

@2oscargaleana enjoy life, yes, but maybe we should also try to make things a bit better for everyone in the process.

2013-08-06T22:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364875882298490881

Daily Mail does an article on how contrails form and block the sun. Chemtrail enthusiasts comment on it.

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-1289893/Attack-vapours--jet-trails-block-sunshine.html

---

2013-08-06T20:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364847372699828224

@DeathsquadSAV @eddiebravo The view from above. Zoom in for some perspective. http://earthdata.nasa.gov/labs/worldview/

2013-08-06T20:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364846483373182976

Cool wartime photo of contrails found in old photo album. Few contrails prior to WWII as no need to fly so high. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRAx8Y9CUAAMj3I.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BRAx8Y9CUAAMj3I.jpg

2013-08-06T19:52:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364836413830737920

RT @michaelshermer: Just because "the government lied" about X (Watergate, WMDs) doesn't mean they lied about 9/11, OK city, JFK, MLK, RFK,â□¦

2013-08-06T17:10:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364795533115662336

@eRiC_Bz1 @CAchemtrails @joerogan @eddiebravo Example of a research plane doing high altitude circuits: http://contrailscience.com/voodoo-contrails-over-los-angeles/ #voodoo1

2013-08-06T17:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364795289275596800

@eRiC_Bz1 Nope, those are high altitude holds. There are several reasons why they might do that. Delay, training, testing, refueling, patrol

---

2013-08-06T14:52:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364760900743340033

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo It happened in the 1970s, so why not now (with lots more air traffic and routes)?

2013-08-06T05:01:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364612179330211840

@TomLupul not common, but it happens. The security camera footage is in night mode, makes it look brighter and bigger.

2013-08-06T04:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364610120837107713

@TomLupul You're saying the car on the freeway did not explode? Looks like an explosion to me - a big fireball, and then a fire.

2013-08-06T04:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364606518034841603

Side by side video of Hastings Crash and a freeway crash.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lbLq5Zr3r-k

2013-08-06T04:04:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364597883523182592

Reporter says "cars don't just explode". Video of car chase shows otherwise:

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-michael-hastings-crash-cars-dont-just-explode.2148/

even with indirect impact.

2013-08-06T03:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364591000020217856

Cool cloud chart from about 1890. Some clouds that people nowadays might mistake for "chemtrails" or even HAARP http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ9JlTTCcAAju4H.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ9JlTTCcAAju4H.jpg

2013-08-06T03:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364581791740338177

@scottavery5 indeed :) Bit of an urban legend though, they dump the "grey" water from sinks, but not "black". The grey dumps make trails.

2013-08-06T01:44:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364562639629721600

@scottavery5 Depends on humidity and temperature. Temp varies directly with altitude, humidity is more variable. See:

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-06T00:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364545321365282818

Chemtrail researchers discuss what went wrong with @joerogan, live now:

http://new.livestream.com/globalskywatch/events/2298461

2013-08-05T23:56:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364535428629549056

Why do we get contrails ahead of storms? It's the high leading moisture of a warm front #contrails #notchemtrails http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ8XCnwCUAAZwnM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ8XCnwCUAAZwnM.jpg

2013-08-05T23:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364527745990012928

@RastaMike62 @Slikk82 there's some pics and description in that link, see also:

http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-08-05T19:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364465743544328193

@Freizeit_Tiger And I'm presuming you've seen this:

http://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2013-08-05T19:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364465546529476608

@Freizeit_Tiger But that's a different type of shadow. In a cloud layer, not volumetric in the sky.

2013-08-05T18:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364456003309285376

Absolute proof they are going to modify the weather with spray planes! Oh wait, it's from 1969.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ7OzdYCMAAsdAW.png

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MODIFY NATURAL PRECIPITATION BY ARTIFICIAL MEANS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a Califomia corporation, having its principal office and place of business at 245 Market Street, San Francisco, Califomia, 94106, and holder of License No. 23 of the State of Califomia to engage in operations to modify natural precipitation by artificial means, will for and on behalf of itself conduct a program of weather modification by artificial nucleation of clouds with silver iodide and other nucleating agents with the object of increasing

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ7OzdYCMAAsdAW.png

2013-08-05T18:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364451922029182977

@Freizeit_Tiger Hard to see exactly what is going on there without the sun being in the picture.

2013-08-05T18:10:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364448339888050179

@mickpedder Yes. It's unfortunate that "conspiracy" has become the default explanation for some people. It's rather unthinking.

2013-08-05T18:00:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364445948274933761

@mickpedder Alternatively you also see claims like the trails are "only in NATO countries", or "Not in China". Both claims are wrong.

2013-08-05T18:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364445737603444737

@mickpedder I know, but the theory is that it's a world-wide conspiracy. The real world powers conspiring together.

2013-08-05T17:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364445285717520384

RT @joerogan: Science deniers just donâ□□t think: All hail the scientific method http://www.salon.com/2013/08/04/all_hail_the_scientific_method/ via @Salon

---

2013-08-05T17:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364444727350796288

@mickpedder No, it's the new WORLD order that's behind it, in theory.

2013-08-05T17:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364443518791462914

@mickpedder @eddiebravo The suggestion is that they are some kind of secret weather control. But they appear to be just contrails.

2013-08-05T17:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364443286309568515

@neal_josh A significant segment of the chemtrail believers would actually think those shapes are significant though.

2013-08-05T17:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364440883598344192

@neal_josh draw random lines on the sky for 50 years, eventually some are going to make a star shape.

2013-08-05T16:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364429337967083520

@coryhenninfowar It did. You are just suggesting that in addition there was some nano-thermite. Your explanation is more complex than mine.

2013-08-05T16:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364426736676519937

@coryhenninfowar Perhaps so. But one can still debunk bogus evidence. Can't leave false things alone just because they help your case.

2013-08-05T16:41:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364425909954019329

@coryhenninfowar But I'm just arguing about evidence for controlled demolition here. "Inside job" is another topic.

2013-08-05T16:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364424532062240769

Back when persistent contrails were less common they got people riled up even without the chemtrail theory. 1950: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ6yLl2CAAEi8Ju.jpg

---



Page Sixteen SUNDAY, APRIL 16, 1950.

Sky 'Objects' Probably Plane Vapor

Des Moines—(AP)—The control tower at the Des Moines municipal airport said yesterday that "objects" reported sighted in the sky at Clinton, Davenport and Ottumwa yesterday morning "undoubtedly were vapor trails left by a high flying plane."

"What probably was the same plane came over Des Moines shortly before noon and the vapor trail still was visible here from a long distance off about half an hour later," a spokesman in the control tower said.

He added that the plane was flying at between 20,000 and 30,000 feet and that it may have been either a regulalr bomber or a jet.

He said it is common for vapor trails to be left at those altitudes.

Boys See "Object."

(By the Associated Press)

Objects in the sky or vapor were reported seen over two Iowa cities yesterday.

At Davenport, two boys, aged 10 and 12, called police when they saw an object they thought was a flying saucer in the sky.

A few minutes later newspaper switchboards were flooded with calls from residents reporting they had seen a mysterious object.

At Ottumwa, a number of persons reported seeing a streak of vapor that extended from horizon to horizon across the sky.

One woman was hysterical with fright as she called the office of the Ottumwa Courier to report the vapor.

Persons who reported seeing an object at Davenport said it flashed, then became black and flashed again before disappearing.

A group watching the vapor at Ottumwa said no airplane was visible although one boy said he could hear a sound like that of an airplane. The vapor streak remained in the sky for quite some time, similar to the vapor train left by a "sky-writer."

ORDER COAL

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQ6yLl2CAAEi8Ju.jpg

2013-08-05T16:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364423883178262528
@Slikk82 Smoke is made of lots of really small particles. Clouds are made of really small particles of ice. Contrails are clouds. Smokelike

---

2013-08-05T16:32:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364423579443535873
@Slikk82 Contrails have always spread if the weather aloft is right.
http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-08-05T13:54:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364383918633394176
@KristenMeghan So you say everything in this thread (that you did not write) is incorrect?
http://metabunk.org/threads/kristen-meghan-former-us-air-force-whistle-blower.1066/

2013-08-05T13:52:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364383319598710786
@coryhenninfowar But does it support controlled demolition? The impact and fire are generally agreed to be sufficient to cause the collapse.

2013-08-05T13:50:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364383027868073984
@coryhenninfowar I've looked at that type of thing quite a lot (Judy Wood). It's really very poor quality evidence. Mounds of it.

2013-08-05T13:45:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364381646012690432
@coryhenninfowar Dustification? Like this: http://metabunk.org/files/verinage.gif

---

2013-08-05T13:42:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364380958650138624
@nelizadrew @sfriedscientist He's a real scientist. I just play one on the internet :) [Disclaimer: not actually pretending to be scientist]

2013-08-05T13:41:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364380548573036546
@KristenMeghan If you don't point out specific things that are wrong, I can't fix any of it. "Everything" is not an answer.

2013-08-05T13:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364376661556346880
@coryhenninfowar What? Nukes? Ion Cannons? Fire induced progressive collapse?

2013-08-05T13:24:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364376319225626624
It's bizarre that many conspiracy theorists trust state propaganda outlets like http://RT.com and PressTV more than NPR.

2013-08-05T12:47:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364366983531216897
@coryhenninfowar They dismiss themselves, with their frivolous arguments. Can you spot what

Gage got wrong here: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hFVi4qbN2jM

2013-08-05T12:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364366641280196608

@KristenMeghan That seems rather implausible. Can you give an example?

2013-08-05T12:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364366444290514944

@coryhenninfowar If it's so implausible, why do you think so many structural engineers buy into it? Why so many SE students?

2013-08-05T12:36:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364364203299049474

Being open minded does not mean you have to automatically believe someone just because they fight The Man. It means not prejudging.

2013-08-05T12:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364362179362832384

@DawnPlaza Seems legit :)

2013-08-05T12:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364360774753320960

@KristenMeghan Well, there an open offer for you to correct anything that's wrong that's written about you. I don't like bunk.

2013-08-05T11:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364349266254249984

@coryhenninfowar there sure were. Fire is a possible hypothesis, if you are honest.

2013-08-05T04:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364247835060867072

@KillaB_Aragon @joerogan @madflavor @eddiebravo My debunking of that fake quote is it the most popular page on MB.

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-theres-a-plot-in-this-country-to-enslave-every-man-woman-and-child-jfk.319/

2013-08-05T04:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364244560894889984

@ChristosRimos How did they get it all in one take then?

2013-08-05T04:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364244140587884545

@KristenMeghan If there's something wrong, point it out. I'll fix it.

2013-08-05T04:35:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364243270529843201

@Freizeit_Tiger There's certainly no proof we are not robots. Except for the pooping.

2013-08-05T04:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364236941404807170

@Freizeit_Tiger Unless the Soviets were part of the Illuminati. :)

2013-08-05T03:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364230271370919936

@jediexplorer @eddiebravo Depends on how you classify it. But there's no spraying from planes type geoengineering going on. White roofs, yes

---

2013-08-05T03:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364230037433630721

@1hooman @joerogan Nothing wrong with being nuts. Its being mean that's the problem :)

2013-08-05T03:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364229625347457024

@eddiebravo @joerogan I'd bet my $100 against your $1 what the moon landing were real. In fact I'd probably go up to $1,000. Not $1,00,000.

2013-08-05T03:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364229083640508416

@eddiebravo @joerogan One should never be 100% sure about anything. Ask instead what odds would you accept on a $100 bet that you are right

---

2013-08-05T03:36:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364228341047377920

@coryhenninfowar I don't dismiss them. I just find where they are wrong, and then explain it.

2013-08-05T03:34:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364227813592666112

@jediexplorer @eddiebravo No we haven't. Absolutely not. Geoengineering is a last resort we want to avoid. But we need to understand it.

2013-08-05T00:52:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364186996958183425

@eddiebravo Moon landing not a hoax, AFAIK

2013-08-05T00:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364185256233938945

@KristenMeghan Having the purest of motives does not make your claims any more correct. Nor does my posting of photos change the bare facts

---

2013-08-05T00:43:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364184825646678016

@TomLupul Because they want to cover up their own incompetence.

2013-08-05T00:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364184467239211010

@_Golden_Rule_ 1921: "After 20 minutes the streamer had drifted and spread until it merged indistinguishably with the other cirrus clouds"

2013-08-05T00:41:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364184351514165248

@_Golden_Rule_ The first observation of a contrail to natural cloud transformation was made in 1921

2013-08-05T00:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364183948412190721

@KristenMeghan If you can point to some disinfo or misquote, I will happily correct it.

2013-08-05T00:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364183727863103489

@_Golden_Rule_ I don't think they really have the urge to "invent" them. But they have the urge to "find" explanations for the world.

2013-08-05T00:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364183487625965569

@eddiebravo Assuming by "acted alone" you mean "was the single shooter". Having non-shooting collaborators is a lot more plausible.

2013-08-05T00:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364183309628092417

@eddiebravo I haven't really looked into it in detail. But the arguments against it are unconvincing. I'm open to evidence there though.

2013-08-05T00:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364180988584136704

@eddiebravo There some of JFK on Metabunk. But it's such an old subject, it's been discussed to death. Interesting in a meta sense though.

2013-08-05T00:26:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364180534164860928

@eddiebravo But people make very specific claims about chemtrails, like "contrails dissipate in seconds" which are easy to debunk.

2013-08-05T00:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364180265611956224

@JtbuffBuff Sorry Matt, you are not making sense, and are not being at all polite. So I'm going to have to block you for a while.

2013-08-05T00:23:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364179873608118274

@eddiebravo That depends on what people are claiming about the easter bunny. But invisible mythical being are very hard to debunk.

2013-08-05T00:19:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364178799060975616

9/11 folk often talk about "pyroclastic flow" as if it's evidence. But it's just a big cloud of dust. Like: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=prwvj-npt5s #pull

---

2013-08-05T00:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364177346976157696

Cause of ghost and alien stories RT @TheSkepticMag: Science Weekly podcast: the waking nightmare of sleep paralysis http://bit.ly/15w2fA9

2013-08-05T00:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364176477132046336
@eddiebravo However I prefer to look at individual claims, rather than entire subjects. E.g. was there an unusual "pyroclastic flow". etc.

2013-08-05T00:08:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364176152111230977
@eddiebravo Chemtrails, Tower7, Bigfoot. Chemtrails are easy as the claims are scientifically wrong. Bigfoot is hard as it's intangible.

2013-08-04T23:31:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364166723592654849
@coryhenninfowar That's not what I was debunking. I was debunking controlled demolition.

2013-08-04T23:15:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364162815868665856
@coryhenninfowar It was either controlled demolition or it was not. So you've got to look at the evidence for controlled demolition.

2013-08-04T23:15:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364162644405534720
@coryhenninfowar I'd give it a go. But he's got a lot more practice than me. King of the Gish Gallops.

2013-08-04T23:11:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364161736795893760
@coryhenninfowar But not more than the actual facts. Complicated Subject. One bit at a time. Ventura is a bit of a clown though, like Jones.

2013-08-04T23:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364161385346768896
@coryhenninfowar It's not related to controlled demolition. It's to do with intercepting planes.

2013-08-04T23:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364160729319870465
@coryhenninfowar I'm only debunking controlled demolition regarding WTC. I'm not that interested in the NORAD aspect, which is unrelated.

2013-08-04T22:57:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364158136975757312
@JtbuffBuff You won't after you read it. It's a pure debunk, science and everything.

2013-08-04T22:55:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364157785107206144
@eddiebravo Geometry is actually a big part of why the chemtrail theory has legs. http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/ #perspective #illusion

---

2013-08-04T22:53:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/364157104552022017
@JtbuffBuff Okay, here's my Monsanto debunk. Please point out the error in it: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.34

1/

2013-08-04T22:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364154807474327552

@JtbuffBuff Bring it to http://metabunk.org then. Let's get into the nitty gritty. Maybe you'd like to defend the chemtrail theory?

2013-08-04T22:39:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364153761637208066

@JtbuffBuff Erm, you are the guy that has made four videos about me. All I did was share one. I'm not interested in getting personal.

2013-08-04T22:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364153393477984256

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan All he did there was list some of the topics on http://metabunk.org. You get the same on ATS. So what?

2013-08-04T22:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364151694625484803

@Freizeit_Tiger There's a similar discussion of how @joerogan has been bought off by the PTB here:

http://www.blogtalkradio.com/madisonstarmoon/2013/08/03/madison-star-moon-against-sci-fi-disinfo

---

2013-08-04T22:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364150749996912640

@JtbuffBuff Only if it's actually bunk.

2013-08-04T22:27:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364150617561763840

RT @Freizeit_Tiger: @joerogan donÂ´t miss this tomorrow: The Chemtrail-Believers try to find out what has happened to you :-D http://t.co/xGâ¦

2013-08-04T20:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364125170216079360

@_Golden_Rule_ That's why I focus on it. I'm doing my bit to remove distractions. If I can get 1,000 people off bunk, that's 1,000x just me

---

2013-08-04T20:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364116396784893952

@Reckon I mean it seems like what you see is a very rare occurrence. Not significant numbers.

2013-08-04T20:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364115277920747520

@Reckon Okay. Just wanted to clarify what you are claiming. Does not seem very significant?

2013-08-04T20:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364114636175454208

@Reckon Photos, not videos. Photos of things you claim you see all the time. Hence millions of people must have seen them. No photos?

2013-08-04T19:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364113464614076416

@Reckon I can prove there are no photos of unmarked planes, when there should be, if they existed. Or maybe they are just incredibly rare?

2013-08-04T19:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364112097195462656

@Reckon It's the absence of photos of unmarked planes that is the problem here.

2013-08-04T19:51:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364111414694121472

@maceseyebrows @eddiebravo No. That's pure disinfo. They just listed weather modification as a possible use for their supertanker

---

2013-08-04T19:48:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364110672524607488

@Reckon What I have demonstrated it that your claims are not backed by evidence. That's all. It does not prove nobody is spraying.

2013-08-04T19:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364108259449241601

@Reckon Just a photo of an unmarked plane. Not too hard to do. Impossible it's not been done in the last 10 years. Hence debunked.

2013-08-04T19:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364105823808532480

@Reckon My "game" is to ask what the evidence is. You have shown zero evidence. Even of easily verifiable things like unmarked planes.

2013-08-04T19:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364103518606475265

@Reckon Well, based on the evidence, they A) look and act like contrails. B) have nothing to suggest they are not contrails. So, contrails.

2013-08-04T19:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364098515347054592

108 year old book has photos of "HAARP" clouds. (as I showed on @joerogan's show) http://openlibrary.org/books/OL7109946M/Cloud_studies

---

2013-08-04T18:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364097879641571328

@Reckon What, they never fly over cities? So all those people reporting chemtrails over cities are wrong?

2013-08-04T18:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364097667141349376

@Reckon You said you've watched them through binoculars. So why not watch them though a camera, and press the shutter button?

2013-08-04T18:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364095919332921345

@Reckon Are you saying they are impossible to take photos of? Or just super rare?

2013-08-04T18:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364093633944764416

@Reckon There are no white jumbos with zero IDs. Because there are no photos of them.

2013-08-04T18:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364093423025786880

@coryhenninfowar But you simply brought up subjects that were debunked years ago. You can look them up. Like the $2.3 trillion.

2013-08-04T18:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364086792519028736

@Reckon Not true. The science of contrail formation, weather, and flight paths - these are all simple verifiable facts.

2013-08-04T18:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364086429070012417

@Reckon What is false about it? Have you ever looked at how much traffic there is over 30,000 feet? http://metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

2013-08-04T17:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364079651313811458

@SteveCaufield Indeed, and when there are fewer contrails in CA in the summer, the theorists often claim the trails are now invisible.

2013-08-04T17:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364079417007415296

@KristenMeghan I'm saying your AF base experience is not very relevant. Because the flights that make contrails are commercial overflights.

2013-08-04T17:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364077461610315776

@Exabacha Thanks Shawn. I guess that shows my tolerance worked :)

2013-08-04T17:26:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364074763305496576

A cool glimpse of some wartime lingering contrails in this twee 1941 newsreel. Also puppy and kitten. http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=JuDN4WNwmJ4

2013-08-04T17:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364073990345596928

@OhSmyle Are you aware of any evidence for chemtrails that has NOT been debunked? Not on this list: http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/ ?

2013-08-04T17:21:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364073740134395904

@OhSmyle I debunk. Meaning I find things that are wrong, and I expose and correct them. I do a lot of research to do that.

2013-08-04T17:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364072823246950400

@OhSmyle It means there is no evidence that it is being done. The chemtrail theory claims that there IS evidence. That has been debunked.

2013-08-04T17:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364071133819043840

@KristenMeghan @Reckon patterns at an AF base are irrelevant. It's the flyover traffic that makes persistent contrails. Mostly commercial.

2013-08-04T17:09:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364070604384645121

@Exabacha Getting mad is just counterproductive. I'm trying to get people to consider facts, if they are angry, they throw up mental walls.

2013-08-04T17:07:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364070090200711169

@OhSmyle He did not say they were doing it. He said they were researching it, and lots of other things.

2013-08-04T17:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364068773814218753

@OhSmyle They did not say they were doing it. David Keith was discussing something that someone might do in the future. Different Thing.

2013-08-04T16:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364065758868811776

@OhSmyle Why does clouds seeding (flares on small low planes) then prove "spraying aluminum vapors from jetliners"? Different things.

2013-08-04T16:03:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364053890766864385

@coryhenninfowar What did you disprove? Can you quote it?

2013-08-04T16:02:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/364053749041348609

@OhSmyle Cloud seeding has been openly done since the 1950s. So what the point here?

2013-08-04T00:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363821289087045634

@KristenMeghan @Reckon Kristen, I think Chris would disagree with you about what your videos show.

2013-08-04T00:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363821112901120001

@Reckon My agenda is facts. And removing bunk.

2013-08-04T00:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363816663705067520

@KristenMeghan Nope, but so what. Plenty of flights on http://flightradar24.com in holding

patterns.

2013-08-04T00:14:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363815134826729472

@Reckon And you say a "Chemtrail" looks just like a contrail, except that it sinks and then sticks to the ground?

2013-08-04T00:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363814987740876800

@Reckon Alrighty then. Seem to have hit a dead end. So basically no evidence for chemtrails other than eyewitnesses?

2013-08-04T00:12:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363814665723187203

@Reckon Exactly how widespread do you think these chemtrails are? Surely SOMEONE has videoed it?

2013-08-04T00:11:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363814465487118336

@Reckon But scientists would tell you that the absolute absence of evidence where evidence is expected is proof of absence.

2013-08-04T00:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363813933389324289

@Reckon But it's ridiculous to claim something is impossible to video if you've seen it "dozens and dozens" of times.

2013-08-04T00:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363813570699468800

@Reckon Then video it, in HD. Dozens and dozens - but impossible to video? I'm afraid it's an illusion. Check again.

2013-08-04T00:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363812605124542465

@Reckon So explain how you can see something, but not video it in HD time-lapse?

2013-08-03T23:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363811408732893184

@Reckon I'm sorry, but you're basically seeing an optical illusion. One that vanishes with inspection (like a camera) http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/

2013-08-03T23:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363811194236182529

@Reckon Stick to the ground? Photos please.

2013-08-03T23:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363810688528953344

@Reckon 100% false, and verifiably false by the lack of video or photo evidence. Plus science. Think in 3D.

2013-08-03T23:55:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363810297435258880

@Reckon Just trying to determine the facts. Think about perspective next time you watch the contrails "fall". Visualize them moving away.

2013-08-03T23:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363809509308772353

@Reckon Contrails at 30,000 feet can "fall" below the horizon, but still be at 30,000 feet. http://metabunk.org/threads/contrails-at-5000-feet.1949/

2013-08-03T23:50:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363809183008698370

@Reckon If it's clear, then it can be videoed. You are seeing an optical illusion.

2013-08-03T23:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363806257871716353

@Reckon Maybe you are just seeing trails move away, not down. Like: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gXl3T9FYKBw

---

2013-08-03T23:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363805968070483970

@Reckon The trails fall to the surface? Surely that would be easy to take a photo or video of? Time lapse?

2013-08-03T23:27:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363803302414139392

@Reckon But normal contrails go on and off as well. So what is the difference?

2013-08-03T22:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363795988391018497

@Reckon But you are not claiming they are spraying? Just flying around funny?

2013-08-03T22:57:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363795754080403457

@Reckon False, or science? Why is it false? Contrails start and stop due to varying humidity. So criss-cross patterns are natural.

2013-08-03T22:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363795219650584576

@Reckon But that would happen with unintentional contrails too. Regions of humidity. So it's not evidence of anything.

2013-08-03T22:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363794889357537281

@Reckon So basically all you are saying is that sometimes you see flight patterns you don't understand?

2013-08-03T22:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363794654031921152

@Reckon It's the fact that there is NO video that is so significant. That indicates it's not real.

2013-08-03T22:52:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363794491385200640

@Reckon Individual's videos are not classified. Millions on YouTube

---

2013-08-03T22:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363794123251138560

@Reckon Millions of people must be able to see these events. You say since the 90's. Yet zero actual evidence?

2013-08-03T22:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363793741225533442

@OhSmyle No, I just say there's no evidence that it is not contrails. Plus they look exactly like contrails. So WHY think they are not?

2013-08-03T22:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363793407161794560

@Reckon But how do you know they are spraying anything? Why is it not just a contrail?

2013-08-03T22:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363792312645599232

@Reckon But to say the theory has no evidence seem to be true. All you have is some flight patterns you don't understand. And white planes.

2013-08-03T22:41:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363791737342267392

@Layzie2109 So? It would not then necessarily follow that some trails are chemtrails. You need some actual evidence.

2013-08-03T22:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363791052680855552

@Reckon A think a lot of what people think of as a plane coming back is just a different plane in the other direction.

2013-08-03T22:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363790843414458368

@Reckon There are lots of high resolution photos of planes. And if you can SEE the plane doing something, then you can video it.

2013-08-03T22:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363789690266075136

@OhSmyle I don't. I'm just pointing out there's no evidence that the trails you see are anything other than contrails.

2013-08-03T22:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363789518404460545

@Reckon So nobody cares enough to prove it? Surely it would be useful to convince more people if there was actual evidence?

2013-08-03T22:30:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363789097791270912

@Layzie2109 Can't prove a negative. But you can show evidence is wrong. Question

Everything.

2013-08-03T22:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363788809126682624

@Reckon And yet nobody has managed to video this, since the 1990s? Or take photos of an unmarked jet? It can't be very common.

2013-08-03T22:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363787760408076289

@Reckon The absence of evidence in this case is evidence of absence. If there were such things, there would be photos.

2013-08-03T22:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363786416951537664

@Reckon And so why no video of them doing this? Why no photos of unmarked planes?

2013-08-03T22:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363786205076267008

@OhSmyle So what, you think rockets are evidence of chemtrails? Nobody has denied sounding rockets. Might as well cite crop dusting.

2013-08-03T22:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363785951538987008

@OhSmyle @Freizeit_Tiger He jumped from 128,000 feet. Space starts at 327,000 feet. Planes can't even fly as high Baumgardner got to.

2013-08-03T22:16:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363785393826570240

@Reckon So why is there zero photographic or video evidence of this?

2013-08-03T22:15:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363785108093820928

@Reckon Plane in holding pattern. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQxsoN7CYAA-g2e.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQxsoN7CYAA-g2e.jpg

2013-08-03T22:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363784951289757697

@Reckon Why do you say they are not holding patterns? Where did you see them?

2013-08-03T22:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363784717700571138

@Reckon But how do you know the trail creation is deliberate? What would be the difference from a normal inadvertent contrail?

2013-08-03T22:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363784253147840512

@Reckon You might have witness planes deliberately flying in a circuit. But their contrail is not deliberate. Just physics + weather.

2013-08-03T22:10:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363784031344668672

@Reckon All jets sometimes fly in high altitude holding patterns. Big racetrack shaped circuits. Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQxrpivCMAEWuWf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQxrpivCMAEWuWf.jpg

2013-08-03T22:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363783662489182208

@Reckon And so why are they no photos of these "unmarked" planes creating these checkerboards? Maybe they were just really high?

2013-08-03T22:07:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363783270804111360

@Reckon It's not deliberate. It's a natural consequence of flight patterns, humidity, and wind.

2013-08-03T22:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363783170027556865

@Reckon Check out these cool images of flight paths above 30,000 feet (where contrails form). Just 24 hrs of traffic http://metabunk.org/threads/visualizing-flight-paths-above-30-000-feet.812/

2013-08-03T22:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363782793022545920

@Reckon Have you ever looked at a diagram of the flight paths in the US? They criss cross like a mofo. It's hard not to get grids.

2013-08-03T22:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363782213910802432

@Reckon Contrails grids are not chemtrails grids, see: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-08-03T22:02:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363782015180476416

@Reckon They are not imagining it at all. The trails are very obviously real. They are just

contrails.

2013-08-03T21:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363780435999854592

@JtbuffBuff If you can't be polite, then I'm not going to continue. Sorry.

2013-08-03T21:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363779028752154625

@JtbuffBuff Indeed. But you said flames. Very different.

2013-08-03T21:41:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363776548664389633

@JtbuffBuff and the question was "you saw the sparks from the beginning". Not flames or explosions.

2013-08-03T21:38:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363775956319617024

@OhSmyle Space weather. Chemicals sprayed from sounding rockets. Not the same thing.

2013-08-03T21:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363771686270681088

@JtbuffBuff So quote what he says. Put up the evidence you are claiming.

2013-08-03T21:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363769869495304192

@OhSmyle I'm open. I'd just need to see some evidence.

2013-08-03T21:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363769713358143488

@JtbuffBuff Really? Quote what he says.

2013-08-03T21:05:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363767585076027392

@OhSmyle And it was forecast to be a superstorm days earlier. Contrails naturally form ahead of a storm, because of the moisture in the air.

2013-08-03T21:04:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363767264140476416

@JtbuffBuff I debunk claims of evidence. Not stories.

2013-08-03T21:03:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363767084427128832

@JtbuffBuff "the car was bouncing, flames and sparks near the gas tank". No explosion before impact. Debunked.

2013-08-03T21:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363766566866788353

@JtbuffBuff Panicked? How so?

2013-08-03T21:01:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363766488181645312

@JtbuffBuff One guy (Biggs) claims that Hasting's FAMILY did not want the body cremated.

That's all the evidence for that. No confirmation.

2013-08-03T20:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363765877906214915

@JtbuffBuff He said he saw sparks (and maybe flames) under the car before it hit the tree, because it was bouncing. No explosion.

2013-08-03T20:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363764075135635457

@JtbuffBuff So either claim some evidence, or point out something wrong with another claim of evidence. Don't just handwave suspiciously.

2013-08-03T20:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363763875570651136

@JtbuffBuff The subject is basically what debunking is. I'm explaining to you that debunking is about claims of evidence.

2013-08-03T20:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363762279545704448

@JtbuffBuff Lots of people believe in Bigfoot. Is that evidence?

2013-08-03T20:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363762160016437249

@JtbuffBuff lots of people believe in chemtrails. Debunking is about evidence. Not how many people believe.(unless that is claimed evidence)

2013-08-03T20:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363761885784457216

@JtbuffBuff I debunk things that contain bunk. Claims of evidence that are false. Not theories or stories. Evidence.

2013-08-03T20:40:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363761333965029378

@JtbuffBuff Debunking involved quite a bit of thinking.

2013-08-03T20:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363761208861532161

@JtbuffBuff Well why do you want me to debunk it then?

2013-08-03T20:37:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363760561101611008

@JtbuffBuff What bit of evidence for that do you think is bunk?

2013-08-03T20:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363758485466382336

@OhSmyle Which is why you so rarely see these multiple trails.

2013-08-03T20:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363758297418960897

@JtbuffBuff Debunking is not about making theories. It's about demonstrating if evidence is bunk. What claimed evidence should I debunk?

2013-08-03T20:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363757562576900096

@JtbuffBuff That's just a theory. I asked for you to point out some bunk. What is claimed, that you can show to be false.

2013-08-03T20:22:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363756876879503361

@JtbuffBuff Okay, why don't you point at a piece of bunk that needs debunking. Something claimed, which is actually wrong?

2013-08-03T20:21:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363756452768264194

@JtbuffBuff It looks like a car accident. He was going very fast, lost control at a dip, and hit a tree. Why don't YOU debunk it?

2013-08-03T20:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363756065126490112

@JtbuffBuff Maybe he was drunk. Maybe he was possessed by demons. Maybe the CIA hacked his car. Who knows?

2013-08-03T20:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363755817532538882

@JtbuffBuff If I find something wrong with it, yes.

2013-08-03T20:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363753214874624001

@nelizadrew Not a lot. But every spike in traffic has a small residual effect. Can't hurt.

2013-08-03T20:07:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363752992123531264

@OhSmyle But why do you believe that?

2013-08-03T20:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363752878101368834

@JtbuffBuff Clearly not the most important thing. But it was something that was wrong. So I debunked it. Do you prefer it was NOT debunked?

2013-08-03T20:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363752425796018177

@OhSmyle @Freizeit_Tiger Do you know the precise date and location of the video? Not the upload date.

2013-08-03T20:03:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363752006671806464

@OhSmyle Just an iPhone pic. It was actually four jets, two pairs. Nov 30th, 2010. http://metabunk.org/sk/IMG_1061.JPG-20130803-130250.jpg

---

2013-08-03T19:59:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363750934196662273

@OhSmyle I think so. I'll look it up. They were heading south, towards you.

2013-08-03T19:57:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363750382444351488

@JtbuffBuff It debunked the claim about the engine.

2013-08-03T19:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363750259530280960

@JtbuffBuff Because that detail was wrong. So I debunked it. That's the whole point.

2013-08-03T19:55:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749973759758337

@OhSmyle Did I get something wrong?

2013-08-03T19:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749883628367872

@TheStudent420 I will.

2013-08-03T19:54:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749775088156672

@OhSmyle You wouldn't. Because they would have to be flying over on their way to somewhere. I've seen it in Los Angeles.

2013-08-03T19:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749588684902401

@JtbuffBuff And can you quote this "lie" I made?

2013-08-03T19:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749436947578880

@OhSmyle Google is not a source. It's a way of finding sources. Then you check the facts in the source. Then find more sources. Check again.

2013-08-03T19:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363749098714701824

@OhSmyle Or just some military planes. They have to fly from place to place sometimes.

2013-08-03T19:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363748813699153921

@TheStudent420 So I explained exactly what is wrong with the statements. Problem solved.

2013-08-03T19:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363747698844766208

@TheStudent420 I'd say it contains errors. WTF do you want me say, that Joe is full of shit? I explained exactly what was wrong.

2013-08-03T19:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363747464362201089

@JtbuffBuff @joerogan @eddiebravo My personal background: http://metabunk.org/threads/my-personal-history-of-debunking.1915/ and more motivation http://metabunk.org/threads/why-would-you-debunk.1880/

2013-08-03T19:43:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363746912333078530

@JtbuffBuff The article was about the engine. I debunk one thing at a time. That's how debunking works.

2013-08-03T19:40:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363746172294275072

@JtbuffBuff Because it was an article about the engine position. That's all.

2013-08-03T19:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363746046335131649

@OhSmyle well what's wrong with it according to you? Why should 8 jets never fly together from one place to another?

2013-08-03T19:39:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363745930643636225

@TheStudent420 I explained what was wrong with the paragraph. "a big mischaracterization" is subjective. I was objective. #AccurateAnswer

---

2013-08-03T19:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363745548286689280

@OhSmyle Well clearly it was 8 jet planes flying somewhere. What's the problem with that?

2013-08-03T19:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363745120404766721

@JtbuffBuff Would it make a difference to the facts if I was a robot?

2013-08-03T19:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363744589120671744

@JtbuffBuff @OhSmyle Here's an explanation of how it works: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UtdOwl4nxyY

2013-08-03T19:33:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363744495088566272

@JtbuffBuff @OhSmyle Pretty close actually :) I made this animated map of on day of flights. http://contrailscience.com/map/ (takes a while to load)

2013-08-03T19:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363744125654278145

@TheStudent420 Dude, you are getting into semantics. I explained EXACTLY what was wrong with it. Call it what you like.

2013-08-03T19:30:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363743781318701056

@OhSmyle Yes. Why not? Do all the planes in the world stop flying when it gets a bit cloudy?

2013-08-03T19:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363743552448118784

@TheStudent420 I debunked those two points about Northwoods. Not sure what you mean by "his only valid points". They were not valid.

2013-08-03T19:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363743029141577730

@OhSmyle Not practice. Travel. How do you think they get from one airshow to another?

2013-08-03T19:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363742783112093696

@OhSmyle You'd only get trails when they are at very high altitude. So generally just when travelling between locations.

2013-08-03T19:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363742580288135168

@TheStudent420 I don't get your point? I just explained where I disagreed with him.

2013-08-03T19:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363741402766655488

@TheStudent420 Me too. That's where those two quotes are from.

2013-08-03T19:20:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363741112747298817

@TheStudent420 and "They had plans to blow up an American jetliner, attack college students, " - they had plans to PRETEND. Nobody hurt.

2013-08-03T19:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363740966357708801

@TheStudent420 I disagree with Joe saying " it was basically a plan to attack American civilians"

2013-08-03T19:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363740502169886722

@TheStudent420 I mostly agree with his answer about the Illuminati. Three Paragraphs. Did you have something more specific in mind?

2013-08-03T19:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363740263325241345

@TheStudent420 And back then "Hijacking Planes" generally just mean forcing them to fly to a different airport.

2013-08-03T19:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363740000854085632

@TheStudent420 No US citizen is harmed in the Northwoods suggestions. In 9/11 a lot of US citizens were killed. Vast Difference. Theater.

2013-08-03T19:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363739694267248641

@TheStudent420 I mostly agree. But don't think FF attacks are pre-planned as much as he seems to suggest. More exploiting whatever happens

---

2013-08-03T19:07:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363737919426539520

@TheStudent420 But it's not "what they were going to do", that's just what the suggestion was. Working document.

2013-08-03T19:06:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363737706771120128
@TheStudent420 Thats the entire idea. Yes.

2013-08-03T19:01:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363736356976996352
@TheStudent420 "non-existent crew", "evacuate" in quotes. They are talking about staging it.

2013-08-03T18:56:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363735254999113729
@TheStudent420 Operation Northwoods really had nothing like 9/11. It was all theater - not actual attacks.

2013-08-03T18:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363735063164235776
@TheStudent420 None of the suggestions in Operation Northwoods involved killing US citizens. Worst idea was wounding some Cuba refugees.

2013-08-03T18:53:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363734468873306112
@Freizeit_Tiger Interesting thread. Kind of what you would expect from the GLP crowd.

2013-08-03T18:53:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363734338405273600
@TheStudent420 Someone was asked to come up with pretexts for an invasion of Cuba. They made a list. List forwarded. Rejected as bad idea.

2013-08-03T18:51:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363733909663514624
@TheStudent420 What about the bogus Iraq WMDs? Not such a stretch that someone would make the suggestion.

2013-08-03T18:50:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363733589420027907
@TheStudent420 It wasn't signed to carry it out. It was signed to be forwarded on to be part of a pool of suggestions.

2013-08-03T18:44:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363732123523350528
@TheStudent420 Have you ever listened to the people in the highest offices? Not all the sharpest tools in the box. Politicians esp.

2013-08-03T18:43:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363731896783470592
@OhSmyle @JtbuffBuff @joerogan @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo Travelling between shows. Probably the Thunderbirds.

2013-08-03T18:37:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363730250712104960
@OhSmyle Actually planes on their way to an airshow. In North Carolina IIRC

2013-08-03T18:35:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363729738746957826

@TheStudent420 Yes, its a mischaracterization to describe them as plans. They were just suggestions. Spitballing. Read the doc.

2013-08-03T18:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363729183735676929

@TheStudent420 No. Forwards to to the Sec of Defn for approval. Then fwd to the ops chief of the Cuba Project. It's just a working doc.

2013-08-03T18:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363726980765581312

@TheStudent420 That's what they signed "forward this memo", not "let's do it!"

2013-08-03T18:23:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363726845092442112

@TheStudent420 The Joint Chiefs of Staff recommend that the proposed memo be fwd as a preliminary submission suitable for planning purposes.

2013-08-03T18:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363723319175946240

@JtbuffBuff My point it that it was a working paper. Not a plan. It never got to the level of actual plan when they realized it was stupid.

2013-08-03T18:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363723134748205056

@TheStudent420 However there's the possibility that the ship was in that area as a non-specific antagonism, tempting an incident.

2013-08-03T18:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363722912819195904

@TheStudent420 Joe makes it seem like the reports of the Aug 4 incident were planned in advance. I think they just took advantage of them.

2013-08-03T18:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363722127360278528

@JtbuffBuff @TheStudent420 @joerogan Tonkin was exploited by Johnson. Northwoods was a working paper. #justtobeclear

---

2013-08-03T17:27:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363712663877980161

@TheStudent420 I'd say yes in cases like ALex Jones - he exploits. But some people are just a bit wrong about things. Not intentionally.

2013-08-03T17:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363712335380090880

@TheStudent420 Can't argue with that. Just got to watch out for confirmation bias. Sometimes we hear what we think, and ignore contradiction

---

2013-08-03T17:15:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363709606914035712

@TheStudent420 I was not saying Joe exploited Tonkin, or anything else. I was saying I disagree with the suggestion of pre-planning.

2013-08-03T17:14:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363709426248585218

@TheStudent420 the Johnson administration exploited it. My point is that they obviously exploited it, they did not necessarily plan it.

2013-08-03T16:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363702011897708546

@TheStudent420 I'll let you take that up with Joe :)

2013-08-03T16:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363701914719891456

@TheStudent420 Holy mischaracterization of tweet Batman! Joe is essentially correct about Tonkin, we might differ on degree of pre-planning.

2013-08-03T16:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363699188350980098

RT @krelnik: Two pieces of required reading for skeptics seem super relevant this week. http://bit.ly/dNkA1b and also http://t.co/Gm6n7LLâ¦

2013-08-03T16:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363698750415319041

1944 Spreading contrails in 1987 text book = chemtrails debunked. http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/page-4#post-58576 â¦ https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/363698659147280384/photo/1

2013-08-03T16:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363693325447995392

@Freizeit_Tiger @eRiC_Bz1 Yes. Crash is unlikely to be just trim. More likely W/B outside the envelope of possible control. Sliding cargo.

2013-08-03T15:48:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363687802682085376

@Freizeit_Tiger @eRiC_Bz1 Yes, but it's referred to as "weight and balance", not trimming. Trimming is the control compensation f W/B

2013-08-03T15:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363686375326883840

@Freizeit_Tiger @eRiC_Bz1 Or simulate a bunch of passengers moving to one side of the plane to look out the window at the grand canyon

---

2013-08-03T15:36:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363684743214145538

@HolisticStone Planes do make clouds. People don't understand this.

2013-08-03T15:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363683780717850624

@eRiC_Bz1 You should check out Joe explaining it all: http://vimeo.com/71622725

2013-08-03T15:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363683173776883713

@eRiC_Bz1 No. Where has an official ever said chemtrails were "going on". That's BS.

2013-08-03T15:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363682455623966720

@HolisticStone Oh you mean: http://vimeo.com/71622725 It mostly sounds reasonable to me. What's the problem?

2013-08-03T15:21:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363680941819961345

@eRiC_Bz1 Because it's a huge waste of space and weight. Very inefficient. Look at actual spray planes. Why bother with barrels?

2013-08-03T15:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363680068133859328

@eRiC_Bz1 White lines in the sky? Is that the "visual evidence"? Why are they not contrails?

2013-08-03T15:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363679959845314560

@HolisticStone What was that about?

2013-08-03T15:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363679871190306816

@eRiC_Bz1 For which there is no evidence. It's just a theory based on mis-identified persistent contrails.

2013-08-03T15:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363679418759122945

@eRiC_Bz1 It's a serious point. Not being able to disprove something is not the same as that thing existing. Evidence is needed.

2013-08-03T15:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363679276752584704

@eRiC_Bz1 Nobody would use a bunch of barrels. That's just stupid. You should move on to suspecting MAFFS or Evergreen.

2013-08-03T15:13:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363679022250598402

@eRiC_Bz1 Of course they can spay. Spraying things from planes is done every day. #cropdusting #cloudseeding #firefighting. So what?

2013-08-03T15:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363678732805873664

@eRiC_Bz1 You can't disprove unicorns either.

2013-08-03T15:10:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363678365053497344

@eRiC_Bz1 It's impossible to prove they don't spray. I'm just showing the evidence is bogus. http://contrailscience.com/how-to-debunk-chemtrails/

2013-08-03T15:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363677050709618689

@eRiC_Bz1 Or see this: http://chemtrailsnz.files.wordpress.com/2013/02/boeing-widebodies.png

---

2013-08-03T15:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363676913228722177

@eRiC_Bz1 The page was just this:
http://www.exposingthetruth.co/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Inside_Boeing__Building_the_777-20120720-164530.jpg

---

2013-08-03T15:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363676085310849024

@eRiC_Bz1 Why do you think they carry chemicals when 60 years of history says they are just water ballast? It makes no sense.

2013-08-03T14:57:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363674915343306754

@eRiC_Bz1 Did you not look at any of the links I just posted? Check this, one minute in:
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xrDv4jX_MUs

2013-08-03T14:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363674501952708608

@eRiC_Bz1 You should give Boeing a call then. As you can see, this is the way it has been done since the 1950s.

2013-08-03T14:54:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363674126323417090

@eRiC_Bz1 Did you look at my page here? Lots of similar photos, with sources.
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2013-08-03T14:53:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363673883989135360

@eRiC_Bz1 Computers are for measuring things in the test flights. There's no spraying from those barrels. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQwHeH2CUAAp3mR.jpg

---



Water tanks provided ballast to simulate passenger and cargo loads for flight testing. Not only could the tanks simulate various amounts of weight, they could also shift the weight fore and aft in flight through connecting pipes to test different center-of-gravity configurations. *Boeing*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQwHeH2CUAAp3mR.jpg

2013-08-03T14:51:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363673548457381890

@eRiC_Bz1 Read the caption of page 76 of that link. "pumped back and forth".

2013-08-03T14:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363673181355118592

@eRiC_Bz1 It's to move the water between the barrels in flight. To simulat different conditions.

http://books.google.com/books?id=iJ2FqbrRqyYC&pg=PA76&dq=inside+boeing:+building+the+777+barrels&as_brr=3&ei=R_IpSr74EISmkATV-7T7Bg#v=onepage&q&f=false

---

2013-08-03T14:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363672427894542337

@TheStudent420 Sure, but you can check the references. Based on that it seems like a good article. Aug 4 Tonkin incident was exploited.

2013-08-03T14:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363669066755485697

@TheStudent420 Wikipedia covers Tonkin very well:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gulf_of_Tonkin_incident

2013-08-03T14:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363668557961240576
@TheStudent420 Suspect, sure. But don't believe every random theory with no evidence to back it. Check the claims of evidence.

2013-08-03T14:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363667198063022080
@eRiC_Bz1 It's not one barrel per passenger. One barrel represents a row or two of passengers (varies by plane, some use smaller barrels)

2013-08-03T14:25:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363666833083080704
@eRiC_Bz1 and they use water in barrels so they can easily move it around. Look it up. Lots of examples.

2013-08-03T14:24:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363666571467567105
@eRiC_Bz1 @Freizeit_Tiger They are just simulating weight, for the center of gravity. Not people waving their arms about.

2013-08-03T14:23:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363666345059024896
@TheStudent420 Thanks. I programmed the player control. Connecting button presses to skating, ollies and tricks. Fun time.

2013-08-03T14:21:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363665956385468419
@TheStudent420 @eddiebravo Even if you have what you think is precedent, you still need actual evidence. Otherwise you believe anything.

2013-08-03T13:24:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363651651954941953
@TheStudent420 Why would it give you cause to assume chemicals are sprayed from planes, absent any actual evidence? Faith?

2013-08-03T13:22:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363651158776098817
@eRiC_Bz1 That's an old news report about people who believe in chemtrails. They do them all the time. Like UFO stories.

2013-08-03T13:19:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363650329763528704
@eRiC_Bz1 Yup, those photo of ballast tanks come up a lot. See:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2013-08-03T13:17:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363649790086619136
@TheStudent420 I agree on Smedley Butler. Disagree on degree of intent behind Northwoods and Tonkin. Exploited, not choreographed.

2013-08-03T03:53:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363507995893698560

@tonerrg contrails persist in all countries.

2013-08-03T03:50:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363507096945295362

@OhSmyle some planes. Making contrails. Likely air show team.

2013-08-03T00:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363464204885303296

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Like the Iraq WMDs, or Nigerian Yellowcake. I would love to have debunked those.

2013-08-03T00:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363464051289890816

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff That's not what I said. I said other people debunk them. They are often hard to debunk.

2013-08-03T00:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363463889767235584

@tony696936 @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Never had the same circumstances.

2013-08-03T00:58:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363463818321477632

@coryhenninfowar Look at the video. She clearly does not know one way or the other.

2013-08-03T00:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363463582907764737

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo @joerogan Slickk, then do you know what factor ice-supersaturation plays in contrail persistence?

2013-08-03T00:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363463315273428993

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Danny Jowenko thought that fires brought down the towers. :) You don't see that bit often.

2013-08-03T00:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363462710253457408

@coryhenninfowar Like I said, I've got enough topics to cover.

2013-08-03T00:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363462463594835969

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan It's like taking a poll about Obama on Fox News. Need a random sample.

2013-08-03T00:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363462357558624257

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Someone arranging for their group to make videos is not statistically significant.

2013-08-03T00:51:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363462195725615106

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Nobody has arranged a "Project Steve" for 9/11 yet. http://ncse.com/taking-action/project-steve

---

2013-08-03T00:36:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363458349070688256

@KristenMeghan @joerogan Kristen, quote one piece of disinfo I've spread. I'll correct it.

2013-08-03T00:30:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363456813762486272

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan And more like a million, if you extrapolates the types of credentials used on AE911

2013-08-03T00:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363456575945445377

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Probably, if you ask. A good idea would be to do a random survey of structural engineers.

2013-08-03T00:26:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363455710266265601

@KristenMeghan @joerogan Sorry you feel that way. I'm honestly just trying to spread facts, and remove bunk. Bunk is a problem.

2013-08-03T00:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363453900965167104

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan No he's not. He's just installed solar panels in his house. A large system, but that's it.

2013-08-03T00:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363453286579322881

@KristenMeghan @joerogan Verify away. I'm an honest man. See also my other stuff: http://mickwest.com/

2013-08-03T00:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363451515974860800

@KristenMeghan @joerogan No. I get no revenue from my sites. Or Youtube. Or anything debunking related.

2013-08-02T23:57:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363448434084163584

@KristenMeghan @joerogan Nobody pays me. I'm semi retired.

2013-08-02T23:35:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363442974899699712

@eddiebravo @CC1o1 @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Unusual or unique does not necessarily equal impossible.

2013-08-02T22:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363431813995167744

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Progressive collapse, initiated

by fire. Seated vs. Moment connections.

2013-08-02T22:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363431699385827329

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Only partially. Not for most of the fire. Sprinklers did not work. No water.

2013-08-02T22:47:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363430885233668096

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff? Which building are you talking about? WTC7 had initial internal collapse. So why?

2013-08-02T22:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363430054555951105

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff This one did. We are dealing with seated connections. Long Span. Expansion.

2013-08-02T22:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363429457291251712

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff It's a pretty unique event. It was a unique set of circumstances.

2013-08-02T22:36:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363428156327202816

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff No, because it met the code, which at the time was thought sufficient.

2013-08-02T22:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363428006213074946

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Yes they did. Lots of changes. Building codes are a patchwork though. Local variants

---

2013-08-02T22:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363427744853401601

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Building codes did not cover thermal expansion + progressive collapse. Now they do

---

2013-08-02T22:33:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363427438107181056

@eRiC_Bz1 Thanks! I'm pretty sure they are not spraying. Can't be 100% though.

2013-08-02T22:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363427338236592128

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Hopefully not. Building codes change after every building disaster.

2013-08-02T22:32:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363427230250041344

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff They fail to prevent it.

2013-08-02T22:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363427090667806721

@eRiC_Bz1 @Slikk82 @eddiebravo And what would you expect to happen if it flew through a region of reduced humidity? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsnA3GCIAAOntZ.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsnA3GCIAAOntZ.jpg
2013-08-02T22:28:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363426085704175616
@eRiC_Bz1 It's only my second day on twitter. I'm going to have to stop eventually :)

2013-08-02T22:27:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363425935153827841
@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @joerogan That video shows contrails. Made from water ice. Not oil.

2013-08-02T22:26:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363425558320779265
@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Nope, the "admitted" to thinking about researching geoengineering. Not about actual spraying.

2013-08-02T22:25:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363425343249461248
@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Yes I do.

2013-08-02T22:25:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363425290971648000
@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues Two routes intersecting. Multiple planes on each route at different times. Wind blows trails between flights.

2013-08-02T22:24:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363425092513972224
@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff WTC7 did though. First time for everything.

2013-08-02T22:23:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363424791694282752
@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Yes I can, and I have literally hundreds of times. http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-02T22:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363424636500852737
@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Two different contrails. Different altitudes.

2013-08-02T22:22:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363424556884557824
@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @joerogan Semantics. Water is a chemical. Smoke is made from chemicals. Crop dusting sprays chemicals.

2013-08-02T22:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363424400441225216
@eRiC_Bz1 @Slikk82 @eddiebravo No, the air changed. Like an invisible cloud. See: http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-02T22:21:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363424220576890881

@CC1o1 @eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Different construction. Meridian for example had earthquake moment resisting frame.

2013-08-02T22:19:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363423795668721664

@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @JoeRogan No, that's a 1923 smoke screen, See http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-amazing-footage-of-wwii-chemtrail-experiments.369/

2022-04-20T01:06:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516584138874056708

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Well something is wrong there. Whatâ□□s the length of your full track?

2022-04-20T00:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516568986913173505

@antennangry @KingMilkfart @ZaineMichael1 Just to be clear, the patent holder did not say I misunderstood the patent, nor, after I explained it to him, did he say the rotating glare hypothesis was incorrect. There was no Tweet for me to address from him, as he's not on Twitter.

2022-04-19T23:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516560619255697408

@officer_pinky Well, there's a lot more of them than me :)

2022-04-19T23:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516552377133805571

Actually, I'm behind the Reddit loop on this one. They think it predated this, and the "Simulation" tag was added later.

2022-04-19T22:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516550747399155716

Looks like the infamous "FLYBY" pan lid UFO was a simulation made for TV. https://twitter.com/UFOinformation/status/1516518342693117958

2022-04-19T21:42:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516532643537457152

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 I gave you a quick example of something in the vicinity. It's complicated because there are multiple non-linear inputs, and a variety of results that need to fit. It's a fascinating problem that should yield some generally applicable techniques for other cases.

2022-04-19T21:23:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516527983875411970

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 The green line in the "Turn Rate" graph is the cloud angular speed (different scale. grey line is zero).

I showed you the extracted speed I got with OpenCV - but like I said, I don't have high confidence in it, not better than eyeballing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQvJ933VIAAH6wx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQvJ933VIAAH6wx.jpg

2022-04-19T21:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516523450482921477

@BrainwashSyka @ZaineMichael1 Can you state what you think is actually wrong with what I said in the screenshot shared above? (Not the paraphrasing, the actual thing I said)

2022-04-19T20:14:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516510515362598913

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Sure, if you only tweak one variable. There are lots of variables, and lots of them vary continuously (like Az, or turn rate), which makes it a challenge to adjust.

One solution out of many, 26 miles, constant speed, Tail angle goes from 18Â° to 9Â°

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQu59ZAUUAE6IoV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQu59ZAUUAE6IoV.jpg

2022-04-19T20:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516507299908489218

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Well, first of all that's not "my" 30NM path. I've not claimed any one true path, just that there's a variety of essentially straight-line solutions. A swallowing angle would be something to seems to be needed though. Let me add a graph...

2022-04-19T19:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516504683908501504

@ToddRaymondL @edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 He has not responded. I don't have direct contact with him, so I've only been able to ask him via Twitter.

2022-04-19T19:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516501446073614337

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 You've seen the Chilean case, right?

And I think you might, like Marik, be confusing exhaust GASES with the actual source of the heat in a tail-on jet engine. The thing that causes glare is the hot metal inside the exhaust - with the turbines being the hottest. The orange bits

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuyBkuVkAUZEzA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuyBkuVkAUZEzA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQux6xeUUAIPgsT.jpg

2022-04-19T19:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516496985188052992

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 You said 10%.

It's a little hard to judge over the entire video as the WHT exposure/levels seems very different to BLK, given an instant size increase when switching (see image). That needs to be accounted for (and also indicates glare - not real object outline)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQut7QhUYAMTicE.jpg

ATFLIR pod roll going in steps online, but there are examples of smooth rotations : (https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1503389626974711812?s=20&t=L0Txbt8aRruX07rSXM05jA). Note that the pod loses lock on the target at 0'32, although it is simply tracking a distant plane in a steady trajectory. This is something that should be very mundane for a F18, they are made to track things.

9. a glare that gets ~ 10% bigger during the course of the video, as you would expect from the F18 getting closer to the object (https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/post-253617). But if it's a distant plane, the plane-F18 distance does not decrease. It means that somewhat, the glare shape created by the jet exhaust is getting bigger. Despite the plane being seen from a roughly similar angle all along, according to M. West's scenario (my point #4).
10. interestingly, to correspond to a close trajectory that exactly matches what the pilots describe. That is, an object within 10 Nautical miles, that comes to a stop and reverse direction.

Source for the object's distance: Ryan Graves, https://youtu.be/8R34a9_sRKQ?t=1138. Just yesterday, Ryan Graves confirmed that the object was 6-8 Nautical miles from the F18 :

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQurG_GVkAEw-b3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQut7QhUYAMTicE.jpg

2022-04-19T18:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516489798181027841

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 That's only an issue if we are looking at the actual object. I think we are looking at a glare, as indicated by the four observables.

How do YOU reconcile this too-small of change in apparent size with your claims that your path matches the data?

2022-04-19T18:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516488140499808257

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Here I've overlaid your Geogebra graph over the Sitrec version, to show they are roughly similar.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQukanCVEAEcKAf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQukanCVEAEcKAf.jpg

2022-04-19T18:34:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516485351937699842

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @flatue @ZaineMichael1 I've added a straight line LOS traversal method to Sitrec, so you don't have to do that fudging of setting speed to zero. You pick the initial distance and heading.

2022-04-19T18:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516484828517912576

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 To keep the growth to 10%, the target needs to take a trajectory like the jet (just a little slower).

This trajectory is not at all what was described by Graves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuikW3UcAMHjrB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuikW3UcAMHjrB.jpg

2022-04-19T18:29:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516484065238544386

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 A close, "actual-shape" object also has an issue with apparent size. The size is proportional to the reciprocal of the distance, growing maybe 10% in the video. Yet this supposedly accurate representation would have a 50% increase in size. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuiNtCVcAEmQbx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQuiNtCVcAEmQbx.jpg

2022-04-19T17:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516476101261885447

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Remember when Marik was touting "stern conversion" as the thousandth thing that 100% killed the glare theory?

Yet a stern conversion would have the target flying the wrong way.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQual7QVkAEVghK.jpg

MarikvR
@MvonRen

According to ex-fighter pilot Ryan Graves, the “Gimbal” aircrew conducted a “stern conversion” (a basic maneuver).

The stern convert diagrams below match the data from the video.

This would invalidate Mick’s theory.

(i.e. the F/A-18 was NOT behind the UAP during the video) 1/



I can’t speak for Fravor or Dietrich. However, the aircrew w/ Gimbal spotted the object on their radar and observed a fleet of aircraft on the SA page. They changed their course away from their RTB to stern convert the object of interest (Gimbal). At

> **Ryan Graves** @uncertainvector · Mar 14
> over to the FLIR display. 5) FLIR is now up in place where radar was. Crew stern converting this whole time, gets about 6-8 nmi before too close for comfort in low viz. 6) Crew turns back to holding pattern for case 3 night recovery, FLIR back to radar. RDR->FLIR-> RDR. 3/
> Show this thread

12:30 PM · Mar 16, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

11 Retweets **2** Quote Tweets **47** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQual7QVkAEVghK.jpg
2022-04-19T17:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516474917545336832

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 And a near-parallel track does not seem to match Graves description of "stern converting this whole time"

Graves has unfortunately been unable to sit down and go through this in any depth, so we don't really know what he meant (or saw)
https://mobile.twitter.com/uncertainvector/status/1503478901636358144

2022-04-19T17:01:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516461949906608135
@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent Frankly, I find your rejection of the distant straight line inexplicable. It's just there, a potential solution to what the video shows. You reject it because?

If we are to consider earth-shattering possibilities, can we not also consider unlikely ones?

2022-04-19T16:18:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516451244679725060
@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen The very slight S-Shape is likely just a minor artifact of the bezier curve editor. An uncomplicated straight-line solution exists.

2022-04-19T15:28:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1516438553617596419
@Gtoffo @flatue @ZaineMichael1 Is it highly abnormal? @uncertainvector say the smaller objects they were "used to seeing" looked like "someone was shining a flashlight into our sensor."

Sounds like glare. Is there video of that type of thing?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQt4nCTVgAEG5gc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQt4nCTVgAEG5gc.jpg

2022-04-19T14:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516419443538149388

@Anon00492786 @JamesMelville It still is. Nothing has actually happened yet with geoengineering, but people still keep mistaking contrails for â□□chemtrailsâ□□

2022-04-19T10:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516356712558518277

@flatue @AdamGoldsack @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen How do you know it remains unidentified? UAP means unidentified at the time.

2022-04-19T06:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516299690345578496

@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen And this is essentially the same thing @edwardcurrent found - using the same data, but different analytical approaches. Replication.

2022-04-19T06:13:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516298847625961476

@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen For example. Just the default settings at 30 miles with correct cloud motion.

Speed, altitude, and heading are all essentially constant. Angles are consistent with the increase in glare size. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQr5BwNVsAEAz38.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQr5BwNVsAEAz38.jpg

2022-04-19T05:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516294947766358017

@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen There are a variety of reasonably straight, level, and constant altitude solutions between 25 and 50 NM.

There's no trajectory consistent with Grave's accounts.

Four observables point to glare.

2022-04-19T03:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516263107915489285

@disclosure2021 @MisterX1853333 Early evening or morning we sometimes see isolated planets or bright stars where the autokinetic effect can be a factor.

2022-04-19T01:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516224958170509313

@disclosure2021 @MisterX1853333 You didnâ□□t give context.

2022-04-19T00:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516211578546233346

@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen What example shows a tail-on jet at 30NM shot on an ATFLIR? (Other than, possibly, the first few seconds of FLIR1)

2022-04-19T00:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516211108771631105

@the_cholla @flatue @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen Perhaps you could collate all those examples onto a single image, so we can more easily see what the comparison is here?

Glare depends on exposure settings, window/lens streaking, and focus.

And also - the four observables demonstrate glare, so a bit of a moot point.

2022-04-18T22:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516178450184167429

@flatue @ZaineMichael1 The observations I made there are literally true. If it's close, then it's small. If it's far away, then a small amount of wing sticking out behind the glare isn't going to be visible.

2022-04-18T13:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1516050002749001729

@DavidFieldSculp You might want to draw a diagram as to how you envisage that working.

2022-04-17T15:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515715505537003523

@Pribeiro4 @GeddySquire Things vanish when they go outside the cone of illumination from the camera. This would be something that could be tested with that model of camera.

2022-04-17T12:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515672416881569794

@GeddySquire Something small and very close to the camera.

2022-04-17T06:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515578848242376706

@disclosure2021 @MisterX1853333 They can look like they do.
https://www.britannica.com/science/autokinetic-effect

2022-04-16T22:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515453605695746051

@UFOApostle Medellin, Colombia. They come in all shapes though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQf41AIVgAMlzxJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQf41AIVgAMlzxJ.jpg

2022-04-16T22:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515453098071756800

@UFOApostle http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQf4jucVcAIoa-d.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQf4jucVcAIoa-d.jpg

2022-04-16T22:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515451446774231042

@UFOApostle Colombia, so maybe one of those large solar balloons they have.
https://laughingsquid.com/giant-sculptural-balloons-at-the-14th-annual-solar-balloon-festival-in-envidago-colombia/

2022-04-16T21:44:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1515446043369869312
@the_cholla @uncertainvector @AdamGoldsack If it's true that "R. Graves was describing things as seen from above on the SA" then we need a diagram of what was seen on the SA, with scale.

2022-04-16T21:31:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1515442832881774592
@the_cholla @uncertainvector @AdamGoldsack So why is it going the wrong way? Why show clouds? It seems like it's drawn to illustrate what it looks like on the ATFLIR.

Ryan, can you just draw a few frames illustrating what the motion looked like on the SA view?

2022-04-16T21:29:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1515442266860449795
@the_cholla @uncertainvector @AdamGoldsack A) It's going in the wrong direction
B) The fleet is shown between the object and the clouds.
C) panel 4 has it waiting, not moving http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQfuT5FVsAMca3w.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQfuT5FVsAMca3w.jpg
2022-04-16T21:25:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1515441215893762048
@the_cholla @uncertainvector @AdamGoldsack Or how it matches any part of the video. It's drawn from the ATFLIR perspective

---

2022-04-16T21:20:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515440120760991745

@the_cholla @uncertainvector @AdamGoldsack I'm a little confused as to how you think this diagram matches the 10NM curve?

2022-04-15T23:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515109394911879169

@jon_duffy @SkinnyWalker3 Simulation theory? Probalistic arguments are bogus. It's like 50:50 based on what we know. It either is or is not. We have no comparison universe or relevant simulation. We can examine the universe we have and see how it works. No good evidence of a sim yet.

2022-04-15T23:02:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515103300479119363

@EMP_Wrecker @_Desmoden Nah, I should be Thomas. Asking for evidence and all.

2022-04-15T21:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515078793056030722

@ChrisSPITZER7 The apparent "oblong" nature of the object is, at least in part, due to the horizontal blurring of the video (seemingly due to a lower horizontal resolution than vertical) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQai-HMVEAEMg8q.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQai-HMVEAEMg8q.jpg

2022-04-15T20:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515066997746282496

@SkinnyWalker3 no

2022-04-15T16:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515004794796064772

@BarlowTerry Physics is math.

2022-04-15T16:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515003332858839041

@SicCoP1 @uncertainvector But it's just another low-quality, zero-context, zero-provenance UFO video that crops up (often mislabeled) on clickbait sites.

2022-04-15T16:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1515002224056827907

@the_cholla @uncertainvector Then just combine that with this, and you're all set
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1515002211150958593/pu/img/FC8izUADMzpKgMY_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1515002211150958593/pu/img/FC8izUADMzpKgMY_.jpg
2022-04-15T16:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514998541294321670
@SicCoP1 @uncertainvector Not in huge depth. I just demonstrated how you could fake some aspects of it without CGI. I'm surprised anyone takes it seriously.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1514998527495090182/pu/img/D8X9f-fQadvz7ysn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1514998527495090182/pu/img/D8X9f-fQadvz7ysn.jpg
2022-04-15T15:59:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514996957130809347
You can make an entire world with enough math.
https://youtu.be/BFld4EBO2RE

2022-04-15T14:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514981038933479436

@DeusWrecks @uncertainvector I list a few here:
https://www.salon.com/2018/09/16/how-to-pull-a-friend-out-of-the-conspiracy-theory-rabbit-hole/

2022-04-15T14:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514979137995218945

@uncertainvector The Utah one?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xDkqKa_NQAo

2022-04-15T03:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514814713086951424

@ashworth_mick I donâ□□t think itâ□□s a hoax.

2022-04-15T03:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514814459587416066

@hoveringobject @ManProvidence Looks like a convex part of the car, so the reflection is a distorted and isolated part of something. Maybe.

2022-04-15T00:57:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514769734616637440

@greenbudha â□□I think itâ□□s aliensâ□□ has profound implications for humanity if true. I doubt weâ□□ get conclusive evidence in this book.

2022-04-14T23:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514754399381336069

@ripinmypants I don't think there's good evidence that UFOs are amazing. But I'm open to any new evidence.

2022-04-14T23:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514748051247706112

@mission_europa Sounds like an A) to me.

2022-04-14T23:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514747834804826113

@PerHoffmann It's ice crystals, so in the higher atmosphere, like >30,000 feet I'm not sure how far away though.

2022-04-14T23:27:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514747284067561480

Not a lot going on in the major media regarding UFOs after last year's flap. Why is that? Is something big coming and this just a lull? Was it all hype that died away? Or is something being covered up?

2022-04-14T23:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514745028064661507

@BlakeEnloe91 Maybe. I will at least want to expose the dataflow and calculations. But it's all rather a mess at the moment. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQV0fCPakAA59dP.jpg

---

```
//import $ from "./js/jquery/jquery-3.6.0.min.js"
import {} from "./js/jquery.csv.js?v=2"
import {} from "./js/jquery-ui-1.13.1/jquery-ui.js?v=1"
import {} from "./js/feature.js?v=1"
import {saveAs} from "./js/FileSaver.js"
import uPlot from "./js/uPlot/uPlot.mick.esm.js?v=34"
import * as THREE from '../three.js/build/three.module.js'
import { Line2 } from '../three.js/examples/jsm/lines/Line2.js';
import { LineGeometry } from '../three.js/examples/jsm/lines/LineGeometry.js';
import { LineMaterial } from '../three.js/examples/jsm/lines/LineMaterial.js';
import { CSS2DRenderer, CSS2DObject } from '../three.js/examples/jsm/renderers/CSS2DRenderer.js';
import { GUI } from './js/lil-gui.esm.js';
import { GLTFLoader } from '../three.js/examples/jsm/loaders/GLTFLoader.js';
import anime from './js/anime.es.js?v=2';
import {CNode, CNodeGraphSeries, CNodeMunge,
    CNodeGUIValue, CNodeInterpolate,CNodeArray, CNodeConstant, CNodeWatch, CNodeSwitch} from "./CNode'

import {feetFromMiles, V2,V3,assert, MD5,abs,floor,sin,cos,
    tan,asin,acos,atan,atan2,radians,degrees,pad} from './utils.js'

import {CView, CCanvasView,  CUIView} from './CView.js'
import {CManager} from './CManager'
import {CueAz,PRJ2EA,EAJP2PR,XYZJ2PR,XYZ2EA,EA2XYZ,PRJ2XYZ} from './SphericalMath'

import {GridHelperWorld, GridHelperWorldComplex, intersectSphere2, ColoredLine} from './threeExt.js'
import {drop} from "./SphericalMath"
import {FileManager} from './CManager'
import {AzElHelper, SphericalGridHelper} from './CHelper'
import  {MetaBezierCurveEditor} from './MetaCurveEdit'
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQV0fCPakAA59dP.jpg

2022-04-14T22:59:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514740089011666994

RT @blackvaultcom: For years, I have spoken about the likely reality that AAWSAP was tailored just for BAASS. Many disagree. Senator Harryâ□¦

2022-04-14T22:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514739077764972562

@BrandonFugal @thejoshjackman @tonyhawk @pyvott @ColliersUtah @Colliers @siliconslopes Welcome to the club :)

2022-04-14T22:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514734106424213508

@KilliK69 @the_cholla @LtTimMcMillan I don't think you can say they "misidentified" anything, as they didn't identify it. Misidentification would be thinking it was something else. They seem uncertain.

2022-04-14T22:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514733796200955904

@the_cholla @KilliK69 @LtTimMcMillan I deeply disagree on the "impressive match" characterization, as you know.

It would be great if @uncertainvector could help clarify this this.

Ryan, could you perhaps just draw a line with arrows on an SA-size box showing the trajectory you saw? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQVqSYlVgBMonVS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQVqSYlVgBMonVS.jpg

2022-04-14T22:27:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514732160317489165

@KilliK69 @the_cholla @LtTimMcMillan Ballon? GoFast was probably a balloon, but we are talking about Gimbal.

2022-04-14T22:22:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514730780613771280

@Spectre67904513 @Bestlif70060427 @EireScientist @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @alpha_check @flatue @ChrisSPITZER7 @BobKurbel @RayMoor18837824 Low, compared to what? Anti-gravity drones?

2022-04-14T22:21:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514730620093562880

@the_cholla @KilliK69 @LtTimMcMillan I also find it rather sad that Marik is repeating his disingenuous tactic of implying that others have done analysis that comes to his preferred conclusion. He did this with @edwardcurrent, and earlier (again) with me. It is not an honest tactic. I blocked him for it once.

2022-04-14T22:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514729939521601556

@the_cholla @KilliK69 @LtTimMcMillan And yet you still debate. Clearly, it's not over.

Personally, given a choice between a 30NM straight line, and a whacky 10NM variable-speed vertical hook with no basis in physics (both fit the lines), then I think the boring option deserves to be on the list, at the very least

---

2022-04-14T22:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514728889649561619

@Spectre67904513 @Bestlif70060427 @EireScientist @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @alpha_check @flatue @ChrisSPITZER7 @BobKurbel @RayMoor18837824 I still disagree with his absolutism.

2022-04-14T20:25:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514701503117758472

@TomTom21592973 No, they ruled IN a plane, figured out what plane it was, contacted it, and it was fine, just leaving contrails - unusually thick due to weather, perspective, and ideal light conditions

---

2022-04-14T20:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514701259395141643

@BlakeEnloe91 and uPlot, and the usual scattering of other things.

2022-04-14T19:08:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514682096995876913

@MaximilianEmel I'm not sure exactly, but it's a related artifact. If you took the exact same camera and videoed some lights out of focus you would get the same type of image.

2022-04-14T18:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514671569091842049

@BlakeEnloe91 Three.js, jquery-ui, and lil-gui. ECMAScript 2021 Javascript with Webpack.

2022-04-14T17:35:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514658608742473746

@EMP_Wrecker Creating a tool to help analyze UFO video

2022-04-14T17:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514658379850915858

@RamonDe17166977 No, it was in level flight. Just flying away.

2022-04-14T15:54:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514633094816403458

Here's what that Alaska UFO looks like from above, with better lighting.

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FQUOptsVsAIJ1dW.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FQUOptsVsAIJ1dW.jpg

2022-04-14T15:44:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514630650241818626

@MaximilianEmel Some video cameras. For example, see this video, paying attention to the light on the ground as well as the one in the sky, over the first few seconds.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciCzKYLnKUI

2022-04-14T15:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514629957036642306
@SkinnyWalker3 @joe_forbrich There's no monopoly on good ideas.

(Which is probably a quote from somewhere)

2022-04-14T15:27:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514626423650103299
UFO identification puzzles involved taking data that's 1D (speed, altitude, angles) and 2D (video) and converting it into 3D.
As a game developer, I spend 20 years doing the opposite. So it's an interesting and engrossing challenge to flip the math. Here's the work in progress.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1514625570159570948/pu/img/uEP6RUzKq_lfv7a4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1514625570159570948/pu/img/uEP6RUzKq_lfv7a4.jpg
2022-04-14T15:07:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514621367697567753
@Spectre67904513 @Bestlif70060427 @EireScientist @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @alpha_check @flatue @ChrisSPITZER7 @BobKurbel @RayMoor18837824 Kind of a weird take, as we consistently track down and identify things - and when we fail to identify them were are generally pretty clear out the limits (LIZ, TFA, etc).

Where outside people disagree tends to be on the "trust the pilots" cases.

2022-04-14T06:57:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514498103419670530

@TUPACABRA2 I took a bunch. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQST9pdVIAIazRL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQST9pdVIAIazRL.jpg

2022-04-14T03:27:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514445087865917442

@MazzocchiRafael @dave_beaty But people are going to click, s there needs to be an option do they

---

2022-04-14T03:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514444805643722756

@ADelarge60 @PhartsUa Where did he say that?

2022-04-14T00:10:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514395574123991046

@dave_beaty Twitter gives me four options. I do my best to get something useful out of it.

2022-04-13T21:27:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514354707652702210

22Â° Solar halo, and a more unusual parhelic circle, over Sacramento, about 2PM today.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQQRZ5ZVsAEfcxm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQQRZ5ZVsAEfcxm.jpg

2022-04-13T19:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514330669463179265

@Spectre67904513 @caitgaist @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Possibly it happened once in 2004 and once in 2015. That does not seem an excessive rate. I would certainly not describe that as common, and they were different things.

We don't have any actual data other than the videos.

2022-04-13T19:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514324608396103683

@the_cholla @uncertainvector @MvonRen No Marik, sorry.

This is just a chat to discuss how Sitrec works, what its current limitations are, suggestions for changes, future improvements and new features, and how it relates to other models. No advocacy.

9AM tomorrow is fine.

2022-04-13T18:57:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514316782529441794
@the_cholla @uncertainvector In an hour? 1PM PDT?

2022-04-13T18:51:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514315407829573635
@the_cholla @uncertainvector It's difficult to explain in Tweets. Perhaps you'd like to have a public zoom meeting where we can go over these issues in a more interactive manner (i.e. with Sitrec).

It might help me see more areas that need improvement. And help you understand what I'm trying to say.

2022-04-13T18:47:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514314336705007618
@the_cholla @uncertainvector @ZaineMichael1 There's an infinite number of potential solutions. Some of them make a lot more physical sense than others.

There is no "Graves Trajectory"

2022-04-13T18:44:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514313639083143169
@the_cholla @uncertainvector Again, the curved paths you and M keep showing might just be caused by the path of the jet.

2022-04-13T18:43:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514313353056845825
@the_cholla @uncertainvector I'm also working on adding graphs that show the target speed and altitude, which would make this clearer. The path you show is very much NOT a constant speed.

But it IS a projection of a straight line constant speed 380 mph path at 30 miles.

2022-04-13T18:40:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514312475289669633
@the_cholla @uncertainvector Right, so like I said, that's not actually a valid solution. It's just traversing the LOS (all 1031 of them) using a constant distance (not the shortest distance exactly, but very similar)

I was just working on coloring the lines to show what segments were valid.

2022-04-13T18:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514311978738618372

@the_cholla @uncertainvector @ZaineMichael1 But you are using it without either understanding what you are doing, or explaining what settings you used. I think it's highly preliminary to suggest anything unless you explain all the parameters, and what they do.

2022-04-13T18:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514311633631264768

@the_cholla @uncertainvector It looks like you reduced the object speed down to a low value? If you put it too low then there's no constant speed solution to LOS traversal, so it puts in the lowest speed path, which is a non-constant speed and perpendicular to the LOS.
The curve reflects the jet path, is all

2022-04-13T18:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514309341238550528

@the_cholla @uncertainvector You probably should not be using a WIP to make such bold statements.

Can you explain exactly what you are looking at in this video? What settings did you use?

And show the SA view. You can move the camera around

2013-08-02T22:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363423326149939200

@eRiC_Bz1 @Slikk82 @eddiebravo
https://www.google.com/search?q=wwii+contrails&tbm=isch

2013-08-02T22:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363423003461173248

@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Contrails actually hold in more heat than they reflect. Warming effect.

2013-08-02T22:15:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363422857415503872

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues The cross-hatch is just two routes intersecting. Trails are blown by the wind. See this: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-simulations/

2013-08-02T22:14:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363422542926606336

@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsi4JcCcAAS3zS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsi4JcCcAAS3zS.jpg

2013-08-02T22:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363422363079028736

@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Contrails are condensation, but not like your break. They FREEZE. It's a key difference.

2013-08-02T22:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363421830293372928

@LonnieRindberg @eddiebravo When did you first see them Eddie?

2013-08-02T22:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363421721887387649

@BijanWahedi @Slikk82 @eddiebravo Why would contrails disappear in second if high clouds do not? Both are ice crystals.

2013-08-02T22:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363421521001209856

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo And why? Why would you NOT have contrails depicted in the sky? They have always been in ads. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsh8qdCYAAdlFH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsh8qdCYAAdlFH.jpg

2013-08-02T22:07:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363420752164306944

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @YouTube How are they not flight patterns? What do flight patterns look like? http://contrailscience.com/map/

2013-08-02T22:06:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363420548618915840

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @joerogan Yeah, that's water. That's a demonstration. Look it up.

2013-08-02T22:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363420437310488577

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff No I said other have already done it. Like http://www.crewsmostcorrupt.org/mostcorrupt or http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Niger_uranium_forgeries

---

2013-08-02T22:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363420092534501376

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @joerogan Evergreen supertanker. Look it up.

2013-08-02T22:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363419891597967361

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Then call the police on them. Or expose them online. I won't stand for anything like that.

2013-08-02T22:02:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363419682088300544

@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @YouTube Yes it is. There's an insane amount of traffic over France. See: http://contrailscience.com/map/ zoom in on France.

2013-08-02T22:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363419336385376258

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo It doesn't. Those lines exist in the sky, so artists draw them. Why would they not? Artists draw what they see.

2013-08-02T22:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363419161810042880

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @joerogan Photos of the Evergreen tanker: http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/#post-11196

2013-08-02T21:59:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363418784163307521

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @joerogan Yes it is. Google "Evergreen Firefighting Jet"

2013-08-02T21:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363418430549917698

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo I see what you see. But I'm seeing contrails coming from jet engines, that sometimes expand and spread.

2013-08-02T21:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363418237830049792

@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 That's what they look like. Some places get a lot of flyover traffic.

2013-08-02T21:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363417990110253056

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo But they have always done that. There are record back to the 1940s of overcasts from contrails. More planes now though

---

2013-08-02T21:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363417808069079040
@eRiC_Bz1 But it looks just like contrails. Condensation. There's even a gap between the engine and the trail. Only condensation can do that

---

2013-08-02T21:51:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363416849909694466
@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 @HSaive @youtube No. Those are contrails. The plane is a fire-fighting supertanker dumping water.

2013-08-02T21:50:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363416513593610240
@eRiC_Bz1 That's not really the point of the debunk. It's more about how aluminum itself is not a problem. Soil is about 7% aluminum.

2013-08-02T21:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363416207430385664
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo credulity should not be the default position.

2013-08-02T21:48:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363416016748953600
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Different issues. If they do one thing, it does not automatically mean they are doing another. Evidence is needed.

2013-08-02T21:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363415647876694017
@WhiteSkyBlues @eRiC_Bz1 Yes, that's basically a conference on cloud seeding. Which has been done openly for 60+ years.

2013-08-02T21:45:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363415341117882368
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo no it's not. But that does not mean that every theory is true.

2013-08-02T21:44:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363415092781514752
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Ballast Barrels. See:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-chemtrail-plane-interior-ballast-barrels.661/

2013-08-02T21:43:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363414858315726849
@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo Debunked:
http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-monsantos-aluminum-resistant-gmos-and-chemtrails.341/

2013-08-02T21:43:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363414753965637633
@eRiC_Bz1 These are answers. Were any of them wrong?

2013-08-02T21:42:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363414641130471425
@Slikk82 @eddiebravo Trails go on and off as the plane passes through varied region of air.

Invisible clouds. See: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/

2013-08-02T21:42:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363414416655532033

@Slikk82 @eddiebravo They are spraying nothing. It's an aerodynamic contrail, and a hoax video. See: original http://www.youtube.com/user/USAFFEKC10A#p/a/u/0/t22wy4c-A-A

2013-08-02T21:41:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363414174451245058

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails I must have used the wrong words then, because it is not happening. No geoengineering from planes.

2013-08-02T21:39:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363413859194773505

@eRiC_Bz1 @WhiteSkyBlues @CAchemtrails Nobody has sprayed anything from a plane in connection with geoengineering.

2013-08-02T21:38:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363413565589291008

@eRiC_Bz1 1959 production photo of Spartacus:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsatmPCAAAB2Ps.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/BQsatmPCAAAB2Ps.jpg

2013-08-02T21:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363412944593235968

@eRiC_Bz1 No that's entirely false. People have discussed possible future geoengineering, and some people said that was "admitting"

2013-08-02T21:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363412675788681216

@eRiC_Bz1 Real time proof of old photos? What's that? See:
http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-08-02T21:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363411852312592385

@eRiC_Bz1 @joerogan They don't drop much altitude. They move away from you, so they get closer to the horizon. #opticalillusion

---

2013-08-02T21:30:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363411600130060289

@eRiC_Bz1 I believe what I see. I see contrails. The question is why you think they are chemtrails if they persist?

2013-08-02T21:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363411466021384193

@eRiC_Bz1 Plenty of old photos show multiple trails. There are lots more planes and routes now though.

2013-08-02T21:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363410964911108096

@eddiebravo @Slikk82 It's a bit unintuitive, but I can explain it.
http://contrailscience.com/contrail-grids-are-not-chemtrail-grids/

2013-08-02T21:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363410499163004928

@eRiC_Bz1 Still both ice crystals. But why do YOU think that contrails should always dissipate? Who said they would?

2013-08-02T21:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363410200528556032

@2oscargaleana Maybe carport? Not just a UK thing though.

2013-08-02T21:23:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363409809707511812

@coryhenninfowar Just trying to keep things focussed. Controlled demolition and chemtrails are plenty big enough topics. Got to draw a line

---

2013-08-02T21:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363409411219271680

@davidicke That program has been going on since 1998. It's just NASA education outreach plus ground verification.

2013-08-02T21:14:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363407460201009152

@OhSmyle I just get the same questions a lot. So they are questions I already know the answer to. Sorry about that.

2013-08-02T21:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363407163563065345
@OhSmyle Well, corrections are always appreciated.

2013-08-02T21:09:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363406168636399616
@2oscargaleana @DeathsquadChimp @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan Anything from gerrige to gah-raj. Or just "street".

2013-08-02T21:07:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363405598877949953
@coryhenninfowar I've got enough to discuss on twitter for now thank you. Try http://Metabunk.org for details.

2013-08-02T21:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363404645164523524
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff If it really was 2000 unbiased random engineers, then I might trust them over a gov org.

2013-08-02T21:01:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363404325852151809
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff See. You are oversimplifying. Trying to "win" with semantics. I'm interested in facts.

2013-08-02T21:01:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363404104896217090
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Like there's a subset of climate scientists who deny cliamte change. Unbiased? Prejudged

---

2013-08-02T21:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363403850817863680
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff They are not unbiased. AE911 is the subset of engineers who believe it was controlled demo

---

2013-08-02T20:58:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363403572207038465
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Don't oversimplify things.

2013-08-02T20:58:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363403453059444737
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff If forced I would choose NIST. But it's a bogus choice. Real issue is they have best theory

---

2013-08-02T20:56:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363402971595288578
@coryhenninfowar I'm not an expert. But I can point to science, and do math. And google.

2013-08-02T20:55:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363402703008825344
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff But that does not mean NIST is automatically right. Nor does it work the other way around.

2013-08-02T20:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363402561790820352

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Why would I trust AE911 if I can see they are wrong?

http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/

2013-08-02T20:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363402293325996032

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Because it's a leading question and a false dichotomy. NIST and AE911 are not only choices

---

2013-08-02T20:51:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363401673353334785

@coryhenninfowar "pull it" meant pull out the firefighting. In demolition "pull" means pull with cables, not explosives. Old stuff.

2013-08-02T20:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363401346906472449

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff You should trust the facts. Trust physics. Richard Gage consistently gets it wrong.

2013-08-02T20:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363398547867041793

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Kristen, you said you had data to share. Why don't you?

2013-08-02T20:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363398314781184000

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Not true Kristen. I would never condone any kind of stalking.

2013-08-02T20:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363397605960597504

@OhSmyle The point is that things were the same in 1972. Thin trails, thick spreading trails. Just the same as you see. New books agree.

2013-08-02T20:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363397384119664641

@OhSmyle Yes. One is fresh, one is aged. If they persist long enough they will spread. See the book.

2013-08-02T20:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363396814789033984

@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff Hopefully not just amusement :)

2013-08-02T20:31:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363396738737897473

@OhSmyle See the book I just posted. Same thing. Contrails are quite varied.

2013-08-02T20:30:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363396466682757121
@eddiebravo @joerogan To discuss WTC7 you have to discuss moment resisting vs. seated connections. That's not something that fits in 140 chr

---

2013-08-02T20:29:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363396270490001408
@eddiebravo @joerogan Hard to get into detail though. Leads to oversimplification. Miscommunication.

2013-08-02T20:29:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363396030911361024
@OhSmyle Check out this 1972 book on clouds. Chapter on contrails, like what you see: https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-08-02T20:26:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363395428005330944
@OhSmyle persistent spreading contrails. Like: http://metabunk.org/sk/Clouds_of_the_World%2C_1972-20130802-132627.jpg

---

2013-08-02T20:24:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363394800222863360
@OhSmyle How can you tell?

2013-08-02T20:23:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363394567103451136
@OhSmyle All high altitude clouds. But at differing altitudes, so different textures. Contrails are often thicker than natural clouds.

2013-08-02T20:21:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363394208251392000
@joebird123 @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan Nah, I never thought Iraq had WMD. That was bogus from the start.

2013-08-02T20:20:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363394008434745345
@eddiebravo @joerogan and not really suited to a Twitter discussion. I'd be happy to discuss it in other arenas. Chemtrails first tho?

2013-08-02T20:19:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363393551192702976
@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan There's a first time for everything. Look at what actually happened. It's complex.

2013-08-02T20:17:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363393207985381376
@DeathsquadChimp @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan That would be tricky, as my Mum lives in England :)

2013-08-02T20:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363392150278389762

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @joerogan But it's not about credentials. It's about facts. And bunk. Like: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hFVi4qbN2jM

2013-08-02T20:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363391843758649345

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan No. Does that mean it's impossible? Nobody flew two planes into the WTC before either.

2013-08-02T20:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363391559405797376

@BenFredrick78 Just trying to find the best hypotheses to explain the observable evidence.

2013-08-02T20:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363391052700336128

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Debunk means to find the errors in. I've not found any significant errors. Have you?

2013-08-02T20:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363390682951462912

@Freizeit_Tiger Thanks. I'm trying the Chrome app now.

2013-08-02T20:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363390434036301825

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan no, #plausiblehypothesis. Very consistent with observations and available data. And physics

---

2013-08-02T19:58:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363388277451010048

@coryhenninfowar That's evidence of a mistake on a chaotic news day. Not explosives. Explosives go bang-bang-bang. http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vMpJQgbKsms

---

2013-08-02T19:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363387857529868288

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan I know it left some people unsatisfied, but there are no major errors I know of.

2013-08-02T19:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363387704303554560

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan No it was pretty solid. No glaring errors I could find.

2013-08-02T19:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363386684546613248

The problem in conspiracy culture is the disconnect between expectations and evidence. Motive is not evidence by itself.

2013-08-02T19:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363385757102125056

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan I also like to debunk pseudoscience, scams, and quackery. If it's factually wrong.

2013-08-02T19:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363385054778494976

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Debunking is not about picking a side, or picking a narrative. It's about facts and reason.

2013-08-02T19:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363384851530919936

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan I'd really prefer to debunk official stories. But they get debunked too quick. Lots of eyes

---

2013-08-02T19:29:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363381073113124864

@eRiC_Bz1 all high altitude clouds are made of ice crystals. Why would contrails dissipate if clouds are not?

2013-08-02T19:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363380744820764672

@joerogan You've got me addicted to twitter! Not the best discussion medium, but quick. Good to interact with new people.

2013-08-02T19:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363380133228326913

@BenFredrick78 Which questions? Do you have photos of this KC-135 "spraying"? Is there only one?

2013-08-02T19:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363377899358793729

@coryhenninfowar Planes flew into buildings. There were fires. Buildings collapsed. No evidence of explosive demolition.

2013-08-02T18:57:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363372922724810752

@OhSmyle Cirrus Uncinus.

2013-08-02T18:56:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363372700930023425

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan The devil is in the detail. Simplification is misleading.

2013-08-02T18:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363372544553795585

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Put like that ("too hot"), then yes it does sound extraordinary. But getting sematic.

2013-08-02T18:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363372297601552384

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan I prefer debunking claims that are

factually and scientifically wrong.

2013-08-02T18:53:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363372096690192384

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @joerogan No. I prefer to directly address AE911. See http://metabunk.org/threads/debunked-ae911truths-wtc7-explosive-demolition-hypothesis.1727/

2013-08-02T17:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363346197542473728

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan it's a simplification.

2013-08-02T16:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363339300936491010

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Conflicts of interest are always possible when money and power are involved.

2013-08-02T16:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363338909628903425

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Look at non-9/11 NIST. Seems perfectly reasonable. Just people. http://www.nist.gov/index.html

---

2013-08-02T16:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363338290029539328

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Too much discussion focuses on distrust/suspicion. Focus on facts/science. Ignore NIST.

2013-08-02T16:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363337832103821312

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Not as much as you :) But I never trust anything 100%. #verify #pastrecord #realpeople

---

2013-08-02T16:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363335190669557761

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Because people of suspicious of the government, NIST is part of gov, equates to the PTB.

2013-08-02T16:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363329734840557568

@JtbuffBuff Bunk is bunk. If I missed some, then point it out in the thread.

2013-08-02T16:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363329395798192129

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Debunking is about the details, the evidence, not the conclusions. Facts vs. bunk.

2013-08-02T15:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363322185227575297

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Point is: she got that bit of the story

wrong. It went forwards, not backwards. That's all

2013-08-02T15:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363318915323011075

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Not arguing from authority. I encourage people to verify what I say, and give corrections.

2013-08-02T15:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363318480046534656

@JtbuffBuff Do you disagree with anything I wrote in my debunk of Hastings engine story?

2013-08-02T15:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363318194401841153

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan This is why I TRY to stick to physics. NIST makes people suspicious. But they bring it up.

2013-08-02T15:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363316590516776960

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan the quotations were used because it was an actual quote. Which I then debunked.

2013-08-02T15:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363314401303998464

@jtbuffbuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan All I debunked there was the engine being north, when it was actually south. Nothing else.

2013-08-02T15:03:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363314134689275904

@eddiebravo @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @joerogan Only if it's wrong, or suspect. I try to stick with just physics. NIST makes plp suspicious

---

2013-08-02T13:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363288394832429056

@JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Cool video. But why the hate for "science and facts"?

2013-08-02T13:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363285657071783937

@BenFredrick78 Weather Modification is just cloud seeding. Different to geoengineering.

2013-08-02T13:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363285450271629315

@Exabacha @eddiebravo Lots more problems. He won't admit any.
http://contrailscience.com/what-in-the-world-are-they-spraying/

2013-08-02T03:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363145935544516608

@mindterrorist @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ The only test was a test of how transparent smoke is.
http://media.cigionline.org/geoeng/2009%20-%20Izrael%20et%20al%20-%20Field%20Experime

nt%20on%20Studying%20Solar%20Radiation%20Passing%20through%20Aerosol%20Layers.pdf

---

2013-08-02T01:04:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363102996713848833

@TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo It's often hard to tell :) They did look suspiciously pink.

2013-08-01T21:57:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363055931593994241

@eddiebravo Here's the bogus "sludge" tests that Murphy and Wigington claim shows somethhing. It's just dirt. http://contrailscience.com/files/chemtrails_basic_lab_report.pdf

---

2013-08-01T21:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363055270403899393

@RichSteurer @eddiebravo @joerogan Patents do not imply doing. Lots of patents for things that don't work, or are not yet used.

2013-08-01T21:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363051811638218752

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan I point out things that are wrong. I await corrections. What did I get wrong?

2013-08-01T21:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363050538394324992

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Just the engine.

2013-08-01T21:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363050466021609473

@MichaelMajoue @eddiebravo @joerogan Dane is no more scientist than I am. He's just very involved in the chemtrail community.

2013-08-01T21:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363049924180447232

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Sorry badly formatted link, I've rehosted it here, p9 onwards.
http://metabunk.org/files/PRODUCING%20SULFUR%20TRIOXIDE%20IN%20JET%20EXHAUSTS%20.pdf

---

2013-08-01T21:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363048040484970496

@CavemanCraft He seemed to take it all in stride. I think there was a mild reality distortion field.

2013-08-01T21:21:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363046794604720128

@kylefleming217 @KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo Hoax. Aerodynamic contrail. Discussed here: http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2013-08-01T21:20:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363046545299476481
@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan It's discussed here, pdf p9, http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=AD0836631

2013-08-01T21:19:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363046415317999616
@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan More seeds = more particles = smaller particles = less visibility and persistence

---

2013-08-01T21:17:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363045760075440129
@eddiebravo @johnnytaylor @neil_ackland @joerogan Plenty of non-government books though. UK 1972
https://plus.google.com/photos/107393796095434664991/albums/5363662113705530081?banner=pwa

---

2013-08-01T21:15:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363045378200842240
@eddiebravo @Drutoxic @joerogan @KristenMeghan Wigington was the guy who did the "sludge=water" test mentioned on JRQE yesterday.

2013-08-01T21:11:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363044242874040321
@eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ @docwhocuts @joerogan Indeed, and most geoengineering researchers, like Ken Caldeira, are against it.

2013-08-01T21:10:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363043983229857793
@Solicit @joerogan @eddiebravo If I'm delusional, then why do I keep asking for corrections?

2013-08-01T21:09:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363043736059518976
@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan And so? Why would adding CCN make the trail persist longer?

2013-08-01T21:06:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363043132188790784
@Solicit @joerogan @eddiebravo I'm an expert at pointing out things that are wrong. :)

2013-08-01T21:05:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363042815007137794
@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Here's an paper on increasing CCN to reduce contrails pdf pg 9
http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf&AD=AD0836631

2013-08-01T20:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/363040188064206849
@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Just a quick way of referencing the tech. You want science papers?

2013-08-01T20:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363036499782017024

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan In particular this one: http://www.google.com/patents/US3289409

2013-08-01T20:39:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363036349344911360

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan There are several patents see: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-avoidance-and-mitigation-techniques/

2013-08-01T20:32:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363034500818673664

@Solicit Who?

2013-08-01T20:30:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363033898751504384

@MeierFire @joerogan @eddiebravo Sure, but you could say that about any theory. What is the actual evidence? Why do you believe?

2013-08-01T20:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363033538876022784

@Solicit Erm, what would you consider a credible source? I have scientific journals, pilots, scientists, books, encyclopedias. All agree.

2013-08-01T20:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363032575251460096

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Increasing the particulates would actually REDUCE the persistence of contrails.

2013-08-01T20:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363032291431288833

@Solicit @k_swindl I've only flown small planes. But I never claimed to be an authority. Just pointing stuff out. Doing math.

2013-08-01T20:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363031957468221440

@Freizeit_Tiger Nice. Would be better if they were both two engine. That's why I go for the 777 as the big one.

http://www.scientiaweb.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/xl-airways-737-and-pia-777.jpg

---

2013-08-01T20:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363031590256914432

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Not exactly "full" of particulates. They are like car exhaust. Mostly water and CO2

2013-08-01T20:17:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363030793985064960

@k_swindl @Solicit Corrections are for science. Science likes to be corrected - it makes things more correct.

2013-08-01T20:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363030564959305729

@eRiC_Bz1 If the angle is small, then it means the trail is far away - but can still be 6 miles up.

2013-08-01T20:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363030259530080257

@eRiC_Bz1 http://contrailscience.com/how-far-away-is-that-contrail/ Handy pic: http://contrailscience.com/skitch/Google_Earth-159-20121109-084030.jpg

---

2013-08-01T20:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363029649674092544

@eRiC_Bz1 Really? How do you measure altitude? What if it's a 737 vs. a 777?

2013-08-01T20:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363029337995350018

@Solicit I'd be happy to hear corrections. Especially about contrails.

2013-08-01T20:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363029150174420993

@eRiC_Bz1 Those are high altitude contrails. They are just far away. Perspective. Close to horizon != Close to ground.

2013-08-01T20:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363028730442035202

@Solicit An idea is not the same as actually doing something. There are far more advanced "plans" for geoengineering. Still no spraying.

2013-08-01T20:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363027297277718528

@Solicit I read the document. http://www.smeggys.co.uk/operation_northwoods.php

---

2013-08-01T20:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363026882347802626

@BrownestWeener But it would confuse people to go into that in that article.

2013-08-01T19:59:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363026306805403651

@eRiC_Bz1 Low, or far away. http://metabunk.org/threads/contrails-at-5000-feet.1949/

2013-08-01T19:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363026136831238145

@eRiC_Bz1 Point at the trail, what is the angle between your arm and the ground?

2013-08-01T19:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363025939027865600

@eRiC_Bz1 Can you show an example? Usually it's people thinking "close to horizon" means "close to ground"

2013-08-01T19:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363025695330410496

@BrownestWeener No, CCN are generally water condensation nuclei, so a droplet forms first,

then freezes, then ice accretes.

2013-08-01T19:56:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363025366455037952

@Solicit @eddiebravo @joerogan Northwoods was just a working paper, it was never adopted - because it was stupid.

2013-08-01T19:54:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363025073625501697

RT @jayrosen_nyu: Once the facts came out the coverage had dwindled to almost nothing. @BrendanNyhan on the IRS "scandal." http://t.co/TZPoâ¦

2013-08-01T19:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363024690232569856

@eRiC_Bz1 No they don't. Contrails in the US usually form above 28,000 feet. Altitude is hard to eyeball though.

2013-08-01T19:52:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363024397780525056

@BrownestWeener I'm not sure what your point is.

2013-08-01T19:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363023386433159169

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan

I think I'll stick to chemtrails here :) Start a thread on http://metabunk.org

---

2013-08-01T19:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363021531045040128

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan I debunk a lot of things, if I think there's problems with the proposed evidence.

2013-08-01T19:39:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363021238727213057

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan You go by the evidence. What evidence that says contrails should not persist?

2013-08-01T19:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363021120049381378

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Should I question if my neighbor's cat is a robot? Question "Everything"?

2013-08-01T19:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363020843099500545

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Nope. But it's a handy starting point. Reality check is best.

2013-08-01T19:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363020130520809472

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan 1) Check if it's peer reviewed. 2) Check if it matches reality.

2013-08-01T19:33:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363019634468851712

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan It's a real old playlist of mine. Not my videos. Deleted by their uploaders.

2013-08-01T19:32:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363019333548507136

@symbioterotica @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan More like 50+ years. But what of it?

2013-08-01T19:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363016940672913408

@symbioterotica @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan You can try to stop them forming initially. http://contrailscience.com/contrail-avoidance-and-mitigation-techniques/

2013-08-01T19:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363016680479260672

@symbioterotica @JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Not really, it depends on the local humidity.

2013-08-01T19:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363016455022850048

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan I think you are confusing me with someone else. Not my video.

2013-08-01T19:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363015816293265408

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Who are you addressing about the video?

2013-08-01T19:15:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363015142033727488

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan And every atmospheric scientist on the planet. Contrails can persist.

2013-08-01T19:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363014876727222272

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan Explain how you know that contrails should quickly dissipate. Why would they?

2013-08-01T19:13:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363014592462462976

@OhSmyle @joerogan Yes, he uses the term to refer to "chemical trails", as that's what a sounding rocket spraying lithium would leave behind

---

2013-08-01T19:12:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363014341844414464

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan No it's not. It's dependent on science. Humidity. Combustion. Ice Supersaturation. Clouds.

2013-08-01T19:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363013778146725888

@SoundProof21 @JtbuffBuff @PfromOakland @joerogan @eddiebravo You can say what has the most convincing evidence. That's science.

2013-08-01T19:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363013416056659968

@BrownestWeener There's no article on CCN, but it comes up a lot. Do a search.

2013-08-01T19:07:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363013193443966976

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan Why would you save data? Surely if you had data, you would publicise it.

2013-08-01T19:06:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363012904980713472

@OhSmyle Talking about chemicals sprayed by sounding rockets.

2013-08-01T19:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363012543549161472

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan People making extraordinary claims should produce the extraordinary evidence.

2013-08-01T19:03:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363012114866126849

@BrownestWeener It's mentioned a lot on both sites. Did you have a question about it?

2013-08-01T18:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363011020467339265

@eRiC_Bz1 commercial planes leave persistent trails all the time. I se a lot of Southwest Jets leave spreading trails here.

2013-08-01T18:58:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363010745635569664

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan Only takes a few hundred ft diff in altitude. 35,000 ft looks just like 36,000 ft from gnd

---

2013-08-01T18:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363010397202157569

@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo @joerogan There's three types of contrail. Short, Persistent, and Persistent Spreading.
http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf

---

2013-08-01T18:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363009976945491969

@eRiC_Bz1 @LeonKennedy101 @Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo Aspen photo is from here:
http://www.flickr.com/photos/dboo/5816352208/in/set-72157626758323860/

2013-08-01T18:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363009423817457664

@KristenMeghan @JtbuffBuff @eddiebravo @joerogan Happens all the time. See:

http://contrailscience.com/why-do-some-planes-leave-long-trails-but-others-dont/

2013-08-01T18:51:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363009194267377664

@OhSmyle @joerogan Yes, and we spray crops and mosquitos now. That's not what people are talking about. It's white lines in the sky.

2013-08-01T18:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363008603478704128

@eRiC_Bz1 @johnnytaylor @neil_ackland @joerogan @eddiebravo Bunch more photos here: http://contrailscience.com/contrail-photos-through-history/

2013-08-01T18:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363008208425590784

@eRiC_Bz1 @Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo man-made water is still just water. Contrails are just clouds.

2013-08-01T18:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363008002229420033

@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo @joerogan Here's a bunch from Life magazine, back to the 1940s http://contrailscience.com/life-magazine-contrail-photos/

2013-08-01T18:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363007837288407040

@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo @joerogan You think they were fake? They are from old photo albums scanned into Flickr

---

2013-08-01T18:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363007433397907457

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan I'd be happy to have people point out corrections: http://contrailscience.com/

2013-08-01T18:41:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363006619694530561

@_Golden_Rule_ @freedominurlife @joerogan @eddiebravo Yeah cloud seeding is a a bit dubious. Possibly illusory benefits.

2013-08-01T18:40:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363006271990931457

@LeonKennedy101 @eRiC_Bz1 @Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo I also have web sites: http://contrailscience.com/ http://metabunk.org/ :)

2013-08-01T18:38:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363005930209689600

@freedominurlife @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ Local government. Usually the local water board does cloud seeding. Sometimes farmers

---

2013-08-01T18:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363005374044966912

@LeonKennedy101 @eRiC_Bz1 @Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo Check the references at the

bottom of the page then.

2013-08-01T18:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363005120922923008

@freedominurlife @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ They don't. They spray silver iodide, dry ice, or propane.

2013-08-01T18:33:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363004548111020033

@JtbuffBuff @mckenzietx I know a lot about contrails though :) Seriously, I just point at the science. Check it.

2013-08-01T18:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363004159013814272

@OhSmyle @joerogan Stop start, see: http://contrailscience.com/broken-contrails/ Looping around see: http://contrailscience.com/racetrack-contrails/ Maybe differnt plane though

---

2013-08-01T18:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363003816301441024

@mckenzietx Aerodynamic contrail. See: http://contrailscience.com/fake-hoax-chemtrail-videos/

2013-08-01T18:28:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363003360523190273

@JtbuffBuff @KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan besides, altitude record for a plane is 24 miles. Only half way to ionosphere. Impossible

---

2013-08-01T18:26:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363002712008298497

@mckenzietx Sure. But were are talking science claims here. Like evidence. Gov may be evil, but no evidence of spraying.

2013-08-01T18:24:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363002371170779136

@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo @joerogan Here's a bunch just in old airline ads: http://metabunk.org/threads/contrails-in-airline-advertising.690/

2013-08-01T18:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363002151032725505

@eRiC_Bz1 @eddiebravo @joerogan Here you go. All pre 1995: http://metabunk.org/threads/pre-1995-persistent-contrail-archive.487/

2013-08-01T18:22:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363001867120283651

@TheeJesseHelton @joerogan @eddiebravo Maybe you just were not paying attention then? Check the historical record. Cloud books. Photos.

2013-08-01T18:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363001640850173952

@eRiC_Bz1 @Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo Then why do clouds not vanish in seconds? What are clouds made of?

2013-08-01T18:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363001417176330240

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan But we can point at science that does. Like this 1970 paper: http://contrailscience.com/files/1970-AMS-i1520-0469-27-6-937.pdf

---

2013-08-01T18:19:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363001076175224832

@KristenMeghan @eddiebravo @joerogan That would only work in the ionosphere, at 50+ miles up. Planes only fly 7 miles up.

2013-08-01T18:16:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363000368390606849

@OhSmyle @joerogan Contrails come from flyover traffic, not to local airports. Needs to be really high.

2013-08-01T18:15:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/363000143601074176

@LeonVegaSuarez @eddiebravo @joerogan The belief in chemtrails is not nothing. It's just wrong.

2013-08-01T18:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362999811496099841

@OhSmyle @TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo So did people 500+ years ago http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/V%C3%A4dersolstavlan

---

2013-08-01T18:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362999305642061826

@eddiebravo @joerogan Can't prove a negative 100%. But I can show that the proposed evidence is 99% bunk.

2013-08-01T18:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362998826316988417

@OhSmyle @TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo Same reason clouds sometimes do. Humidity at that altitude. Ice supersaturation.

2013-08-01T18:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362998567381647360

@KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo I don't think there are any. But I'd love to be corrected.

2013-08-01T18:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362998378558267394

@freedominurlife @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ You are thinking of Weather Modification - cloud seeding. Different thing.

2013-08-01T18:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362998181845413889

@TheeJesseHelton @joerogan @eddiebravo Vegas is kind of at a sky crossroad because of military areas restricting traffic.

2013-08-01T18:07:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362997941272711168

@OhSmyle @TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo Nice 22 degree Halo. See: http://www.atoptics.co.uk/halo/circular.htm

---

2013-08-01T18:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362997686108028929

@TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo Those look like regular low clouds.

2013-08-01T18:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362997464141283328

@OhSmyle @TrojansChampion @joerogan @eddiebravo That's a military C-17 + contrails. See the gap from engine to trail? Condensation

---

2013-08-01T18:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362996547635519490

@KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo Pilots have come forward. They say chemtrails are just persistent contrails. Like always.

2013-08-01T17:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362995347292827648

@Grink @joerogan @eddiebravo Yup. Burning a gallon of jet fuel makes 1.3 gallons of water vapor (and a lot of CO2). Triggers cloud.

2013-08-01T17:55:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362994987568332801

@JtbuffBuff @PfromOakland @joerogan @eddiebravo there's a lot of science history. Predating EPA. http://contrailscience.com/persisting-and-spreading-contrails/

2013-08-01T17:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362994352303251456

@johnnytaylor @neil_ackland @joerogan @eddiebravo Those are persistent spreading contrails. See #7 here: http://science-edu.larc.nasa.gov/contrail-edu/pdf/resources/Contrail_ID_Chart_English_2013_v18_copy.pdf

---

2013-08-01T17:49:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362993594434453504

@PfromOakland @joerogan @eddiebravo http://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/policy_guidance/envir_policy/media/contrails.pdf

---

2013-08-01T17:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362992874704482306

RT @joerogan: For all you chemtrail hardcore believers we're putting together a podcast with @MickWest @eddiebravo and any expert Eddie canâ¦

2013-08-01T17:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362992792160575489

@neil_ackland @joerogan @eddiebravo Looks like skywriting. Bluish tint means paraffin smoke.

2013-08-01T17:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362992255495180290

@_Golden_Rule_ @docwhocuts @joerogan @eddiebravo There's no evidence geoengineering is a good idea. Lots of research into that.

2013-08-01T17:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362992066604699648

@_Golden_Rule_ @docwhocuts @joerogan @eddiebravo Big thing lacking is justification for spending all the money - Mars and Geoengineering

---

2013-08-01T17:37:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362990482562883584

@docwhocuts @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ Point is: nobody has done it yet. The science is at the "maybe later" stage.

2013-08-01T17:35:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362989959902277632

@docwhocuts @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ Not literally tomorrow.

2013-08-01T17:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362989667840307201

@docwhocuts @_Golden_Rule_ @joerogan @eddiebravo Yet there's no evidence people have gone to Mars. Or done stratosphere geoengineering

---

2013-08-01T17:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362989302579339264

@docwhocuts @joerogan @eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ We could not go to Mars tomorrow. We can't start Geoengineering tomorrow. Research.

2013-08-01T17:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362988051049349120

@eddiebravo @_Golden_Rule_ @joerogan Stratospheric Geoengineering is science, like a manned mission to Mars is science. Maybe later.

2013-08-01T17:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362986086286360578

RT @CACMmag: Studies at the UJI Advance in Recognizing People by the Way They Walk http://bit.ly/18Pwvov #CACM

2013-08-01T17:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362985785110175745

@joerogan Key point - there's a huge disconnect between what people think the govt would do, and the evidence for what they are doing.

2013-08-01T12:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362905992855425024

@eddiebravo @KristenMeghan @joerogan Wigington is mostly right about global warming. Mostly wrong on geoengineering. Nobody gets 100% :)

2013-08-01T04:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/362795189284249600
@rekmark @joerogan I'm no expert, so I don't make claims personally. I just point at science and history. And sometimes do math.

2013-08-01T04:13:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/362788173639720961
@KristenMeghan @joerogan @eddiebravo I'd love to talk to Dane Wigington. He's got few things wrong. Like global dimming, and UV.

2013-08-01T04:12:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/362787900821217283
@THEORIGINALMIZ @joerogan @eddiebravo Biased against bunk. :) Yeah I know some people don't like the work. But I only debunk bunk!

2013-08-01T04:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/362787672860803072
@eddiebravo @joerogan @KristenMeghan That's cool. But I'm actually not a scientist - or a government agent.

2013-08-01T04:04:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/362785893125332992
@joerogan @eddiebravo I'm in!

2013-08-01T03:26:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/362776389826519040
RT @joerogan: For people that are honestly curious as to what "chemtrails" actually are, all the information you need is here http://t.co/tâ□¦

2013-06-24T01:55:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/348982751002763265
@McrMuseum @ProfBrianCox
http://metabunk.org/threads/1838-Debunked-Ancient-Egyptian-Statue-Rotating-by-Itself-in-Manchester-Museum

---

2012-12-14T22:25:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/279713805351661570
RT @bonafidechicken: One guy tries to use a shoe bomb = Everyone at the airport takes their shoes off. 31 school shootings since Columbi ...

2012-03-26T18:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/184349615468974080
@Venice311 Zoom in on that pic. See the guy in the hat?

2012-01-12T01:30:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/157273136465846272
@Venice311 Will enforcement start immediately after the signs go up?

2012-01-11T19:15:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/157178745952813056
RT @Venice311: Per Captain Peters: After midnight OFW is CLOSED to everyone including

residents. Signs go up in next two weeks. BAM http ...

2011-12-24T07:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/150471925901180928

RT @ID_AA_Carmack: My #AltDevBlogADay post on static code analysis http://altdevblogaday.com/2011/12/24/static-code-analysis/

2011-12-15T05:31:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/147187112268148736

@tommysalami @HollyWest Hey Holly, I need more knives! :) Christmas is coming!

2011-12-08T16:52:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/144821619787960320

How can our government be for the people when corporations run the show? Time to #GetMoneyOut of politics. Join me! http://www.getmoneyout.com/?recruiter_id=290194

2011-11-23T00:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/139141115843588097

@HollyWest This is the neutrino detector I mentioned: http://www.theblogbelow.com/2008/07/japans-superkamiokande.html

---

2011-11-23T00:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/139138427902889984

@HollyWest @mickwest And don't forget about the Higgs Boson, exemplar of uncertainty.

2011-10-14T13:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/124843377215803392

RT @HollyWest: My story is on @shotgunhoney today! "Pass the Peanuts" by Holly West - http://ow.ly/6XddQ

2011-09-27T17:41:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/118742057908056064

Trader spilling the bean on Goldman Sachs is just a day trader, not Yes Man. http://metabunk.org/threads/265-Debunked-Alessio-Rastani

---

2011-09-10T18:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/112586408568438784

@hollywest Phone notifications off. :P

2011-09-03T20:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/110092364063834112

@Venice311 @venice4change It was fireworks. I saw the empty container this AM on the corner of Oakwood and Milwood.

2011-06-04T16:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/77053321462038528

Metabunk - How to debunk quotes http://goo.gl/4SC9y

2011-05-02T03:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/64891015093436417

@YoVenice Usama is how the FBI and CIA spell it.

2011-03-06T05:39:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/44270735534989312

@HollyWest me too!

2011-01-26T21:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/30379312788017152

Debunk de jour: Abusing Statistics about Homosexuality. http://ow.ly/3KRiZ

2011-01-08T05:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/23613712787054593

RT @StaMonicaPatch: 71 residents still without power, due to be restored later tonight: http://aol.it/ejgkvh

---

2011-01-06T21:05:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/23123086098309121

@hollywest Crabs die in large numbers whenever there's cold weather. Nothing unusual. Just media focus.

2011-01-06T01:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22832016722497537

Radar images of the flock of blackbirds meeting their doom http://ow.ly/3z4S5

2011-01-05T21:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22773879797710849

Global Warming Controversy? No. RT @hve1: 97% of scientists say man-made climate change is real. USAToday http://usat.ly/g8KiWC

2011-01-05T20:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22747128300314624

Another mystery missile. Do we forget so quickly? Come on media. What next, orbs? http://ow.ly/3yUDD #skeptic #debunked

---

2011-01-05T17:45:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22710292022558720

Dead birds in history. Millions in central Europe, 1974. Beebe is weak. http://ow.ly/3yOxb #debunked #skeptic

---

2011-01-04T00:56:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22093864382758912

Debunk victory :) RT @derekcbart: Perfect Headline: "PowerBalance Admits Their Wristbands Are a Scam" http://tinyurl.com/27v2btt

---

2011-01-03T18:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/22000844463415297

2012 Apocalypse? Pah! Try May 21st, 2011. http://ow.ly/3xycb

2011-01-03T06:52:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21821217174462465

@donttrythis The more expensive a placebo is, the more effective it feels to be. Inverted economics.

2011-01-03T01:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21743492229238784

My debunkers take on the blackbird story. Interesting, but presentation misses some key points. Opening door for bunk. http://ow.ly/3xbyx

---

2011-01-02T07:18:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21465318715031552

More than 1,000 dead birds fall from sky in Ark. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/40874105/ns/us_news-environment/ I'll bet this will end up woven into conspiracy theories. Chemtrails?

2011-01-02T06:56:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21459880602763264

@amgamble very interesting. Perhaps what is needed is more collaboration between skeptics and media watchdogs/critics

---

2011-01-02T06:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21447050038091776

@amgamble @HollyWest But there's a community of skeptics devoted to debunking pseudoscience. They can also debunk pseudojournalism

---

2011-01-02T02:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/21395832456609792

Pseudojournalism. Needs as much attention as pseudoscience. http://metabunk.org/content/133-Pseudojournalism

---

2010-12-31T22:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/20969123466973184

My new web site: http://metabunk.org just went live. Let me know if there's anything wrong (and you know there will be)

2010-12-11T19:05:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/13670813328744448

Christopher Hitchens on the background of the Tea Party conspiracy fueled movement: http://goo.gl/WnBxW

2010-10-20T15:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/27943609522

@SueAnnJaffarian @HollyWest Competition is good. Holly has a head start, but I'll try to catch up. Nipping at her heels.

2010-06-08T10:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/15697495760

TCE Domain registration expired again?

2010-02-18T01:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/9261381812

@NBC why do I need to subscribe to cable TV to watch tv over the internet? I got rid of cable for a reason.

2010-02-09T21:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/8871494192

@simoncarless ironic because it's an email? They should have mailed out the press release.

2010-01-27T17:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/8285933034

@jilleduffy I think you would be happier with an iPhone. Wait a couple of hours though.

2009-12-13T19:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/6636860414

RT @MammothMountain: with all the snow from this storm, Eagle Lodge and Canyon Lodge are now slated to open on Wednesday!

2009-12-02T01:15:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/6254145350

@a_random_bloke Yup TCE down, this is where we need a TCE wave

---

2009-11-25T20:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/6060617124

The hero's journey might be more complex than you thought: http://www.clickok.co.uk/index4.html

---

2009-11-25T02:50:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/6038254925

Must remove all my neighbors from my novel: http://www.gainesvilletimes.com/news/article/26196/

2009-11-21T01:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/5906584244

Broke 50K on day 20. #NaNoWriMo

2009-11-13T04:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/5671307637

looking forward to meeting @HollyWest in Boston this weekend.

2009-11-11T00:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/5604763502

Interesting discussion between JA Konrath and some "delusional" writers: http://bit.ly/Ysigi (in the comments)

2009-11-08T19:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/5538409862

For #nanowrimo, the 8th 3,000 words are the hardest.

2009-10-08T05:10:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/4702345694

Anyone want a free Sony KW-34HD1 200 pound CRT HDTV?

2009-09-08T04:28:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/3833919146

Boo, summer is over! Yay, snow coming!

2009-09-08T00:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/3829588322

Vote YES on thanks/groan for IGDA!

http://bit.ly/LGVyF

2009-07-25T18:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2841075734

Anyone want a free Sony KW-34HD1 200 pound CRT HDTV?

2009-07-24T19:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2824701831

The Chaos Engine rallies against Tim Langdell http://bit.ly/Bamlq

---

2009-07-23T17:50:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2802277841

Glad to be back in the South

2009-07-14T23:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2641410350

Get 29,000 Project Gutenberg books via Whispernet on Kindle with the Magic Catalog.

http://www.freekindlebooks.org/

2009-07-09T19:24:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2555226782

Kindle drops $69 to $299. http://tr.im/rC7s

2009-07-05T23:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2488524368

Sentinel on the iPhone is a fun little tower defense game. http://tinyurl.com/qkpvny

---

2009-06-16T16:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2193880175

@SnappyTouch Using an envelope icon for two different functions is confusing to regular folk.

2009-06-13T04:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2150509955

@georgina_claire I made a set of flash cards on teh internet.

http://iflipr.com/deck/practice/111788 also available on iPhone

---

2009-06-12T21:42:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2137128076

@SnappyTouch Keyboard Maestro can be set up with multiple clipboards on hotkeys.

http://www.keyboardmaestro.com/main/

2009-06-12T20:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2136312730

The most useful think about Twitter is you can search for, say, "YouTube", to see if they are down, or if it's just you.

2009-06-08T16:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2077970858

"Watching" WWDC keynote. http://live.gizmodo.com/ Maybe we can get new iPhones!

2009-06-07T04:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2061588547

Reading "Cocoa Programming for Mac OS X", a quick and illuminating read for experienced programmers.

2009-06-03T04:31:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/2012912529

@HollyWest your welcom

2009-05-29T16:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1961478855

New Hulu desktop client on the Mac is quite a nice way of watching TV. http://www.hulu.com/labs/hulu-desktop

---

2009-05-27T04:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1932448725

The Inredible Human Journey is well worth a download: http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b00kfqps. Interesting perspective on life.

2009-05-18T19:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1838740545

I wish FedEx had web GPS tracking of their trucks, so I could see EXACTLY when it will arrive. Come on, how hard could that be?

2009-05-18T14:55:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1836401082

Mac Pro arrives today, time for some major data shuffling

2009-05-17T23:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1830415441

first google, and now YouTube? It's the end of the world!

2009-05-17T22:29:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1829810503

Wolfram|Alpha seems rather boring. What is it good for? So far google has provided better answers.

2009-05-16T23:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1821049796

A Mac Pro is the equivalent of fifty Cray X-MPs from 1984. Value then = $750 Million. Need time machine! (also = 10 Millions ZX81s)

2009-05-16T22:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1820738439

@TomBrenneman I installed Windows 7 under Parallels on a Mac Mini. No problem.

2009-05-16T22:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1820721523

I'm a big fan of Parallels. Virtualization FTW. http://www.parallels.com/

2009-05-16T22:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1820710004

Mac Pro arriving on Monday, which seems somehow to prevent me from working until then. Oh well. Weekend.

2009-05-14T16:39:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1796559895

I though my FioS was going out as revenge for me dropping cable. But it was just #googlefail

---

2009-05-14T16:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1796405853

Google broke the internet! #googlefail

2009-04-17T15:12:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1542717672

@bbulkley I'm sure you will. Picasa is nice for synchronizing your local and web albums. But Zenfolio does look cool. Unlimited space!

2009-04-17T04:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539710513

@hollywest Well, we have 36,739 photos. Mind you, we are older than Brad. Only 531 so far this year - we need to step it up!

2009-04-17T04:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539696860

Writing some backend stuff writing to S3. Clouds are the future.

2009-04-17T04:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1539470043

@bbulkley Dude, just use Picasa. Let the cloud worry about your photos.

2009-04-03T19:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447233642

Mammoth rocks on a weekday. Nobody there but tiny kids a old people

---

2009-03-25T23:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1391243102

@rcarruth At the Pomona fairplex.

2009-03-25T21:35:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390509299

@hollywest Still waiting to get out and pick up

2009-03-25T20:47:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1390248694

I'm a American now.

2009-03-25T19:32:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389847372

Bit cramped. 6000. Folk squeezed in

2009-03-25T19:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389753913

Long line of future citizens. Family wait outside. In the sun

---

2009-03-25T18:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389585545

Parking. Lots of cars

2009-03-25T17:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1389246488

Off to Pomona to swear fealty to Obama

2009-03-17T21:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1344661601

So I've got to stop using Facebook, and start using Twitter, is that the deal? Crazy kids!

2009-02-27T02:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1256466330

Is in mammoth, on that couch over there http://tinyurl.com/aqpda8

2008-11-29T18:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1029629976

Does not really use Twitter

2008-07-22T17:38:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865318850

Is twittering from his iPhone

2008-07-22T17:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/865312778

Signed up for twitter

2022-04-13T18:12:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514305518608605185

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @ChrisKMellon I have a mixed bag of followers. It would be very interesting to see what results Mellon would get. Or if someone with a similar number of followers to me, but more skewed towards more esoteric topics, like @TheUfoJoe

---

2022-04-13T17:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514291428142174209

@JJ46758764 I donâ□□t thing they are really that similar in any statistical sense.

2022-04-13T16:16:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514276366312640513

@JJ46758764 Others on Metabunk have been looking at it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/metapod-ufo-top-voted-post-of-the-month-on-r-ufos-maybe-top-of-all-time.12375/

2022-04-13T15:41:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514267563508703236

@PhartsUa Why?

Mellon thinks it's the MOST compelling video.

2022-04-13T15:40:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514267401642197012

@caitgaist @Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @alpha_check @uncertainvector @dave_beaty @JasonColavito @edwardcurrent @ChrisSPITZER7 Perhaps it's not common, and that's why, when it DID happen on that rare occasion, it was not recognized and was reported as a UFO,

---

2022-04-13T08:24:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514157494058188802

@Serg_is_Vargas So, two years of weird aircraft buzzing Navy pilots - and nobody did anything?

Let THAT sink in.

2022-04-13T07:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514148966245408769

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @uncertainvector I've updated Sitrec to A) improve the cloud speed match, B) default the object distance to 7 miles.

It's still calculating a constant speed path. It's WIP - so I've added a subtle discalimer.

2022-04-13T07:42:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514146926005915653

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @uncertainvector But perhaps that curve is only there only because that's the inevitable result of taking a distant straight-line trajectory, and back projecting it along into that whacky curve?

2022-04-13T07:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514140684386635778

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @uncertainvector So drag the "Object start distance" slider.

No close curved trajectory matches what Graves described - because he described it as stopping and waiting.

He also only glanced at the SA. It's unclear where his description comes from.

2022-04-13T07:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514140019908235264

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 The going lower was actually a bug. And I'm not focussing on matching the speed right now. It's a work in progress. And quite complicated.

2022-04-13T07:06:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514138012317147138

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla There's a slider "Object Start Distance". You can pick whatever distance you like in 0 to 50 miles

You can also change the object's speed.

Right now the LOS traversal solver is set to constant speed. WIP

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sitrec-metabunks-situation-recreation-tool-development-discussion.12354/#post-268925

2022-04-13T07:02:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514136884640854021
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 This was discussed, with code, here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sitrec-metabunks-situation-recreation-tool-development-discussion.12354/#post-268925

2022-04-13T06:59:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514136234448236545
@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 I added code to calculate a constant speed path. Since a straight line at constant speed is a possibility, I made it default to that. It's a work in progress, you can't read too much into it.

I've been optimizing the clouds as they were the biggest CPU hit.

2022-04-13T05:40:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514116201944809473
@IanAdelstein @Spectre67904513 "new tech" - I know it's a bit vague, but we only get four options.

2022-04-13T05:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514113710389805057
@LaxSunnyG Well, it sure doesn't pay very well :)

It is fun and interesting though!

2022-04-13T05:29:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514113530881970176
@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla I disagree with your probability assessment. I also disagree that improbability is even a major obstacle if the alternatives being considered are of unknown or seemingly low probability.

I'm deliberately neutral in my analysis software. It's a way to explore possibilities.

2022-04-13T05:24:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1514112384670978050
@Spectre67904513 @IanAdelstein Only if you think they both have the EXACT same likelihood.

Do you not lean slightly more towards one than the other? People argue that a misidentified

plane is near impossible. I disagree that's a good argument. YMMV

2022-04-13T05:21:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514111550818160640

@_Desmoden @1911Moose @GTT1337 @TheUfoJoe @BrandonFugal Is there a graph?

2022-04-13T05:21:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514111427266560002

@skies_alive Well, I'm none the wiser. What bad logic? Are these not the basic options? What other options are there?

2022-04-13T05:20:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514111172320006144

@Spectre67904513 @the_cholla So you think I should stop writing software to analyze UFO videos, and instead ... do what?

I'm going to do what I enjoy, and what I'm good at. I think there will be interesting and useful results.

2022-04-13T05:17:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514110513260621826

@skies_alive How so?

2022-04-13T05:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514110066571370499

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 I'm very clear about what I do with the data. I'm pointing things out that exist in the data that people can verify for themselves. With Gimbal I'm building a model so people can explore, for themselves, the range of possible solutions to the question of where it is.

2022-04-13T04:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514101133404303360

#ufotwitter, if you are familiar with it, what do you personally think is the MOST likely explanation for the "Gimbal" video from the US Navy? Something conventional, like a jet-powered drone + glare? Some secret-but-earthly new technology? Aliens?

2022-04-13T04:30:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514098675651280899

@Spectre67904513 Sure, they can. Be we have no idea what they did, or what they saw. We have this video, and we have Grave's fragmented recollection.

2022-04-13T04:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514092083266551808

@Spectre67904513 And how do we know that symbol was not there? Graves says he only glanced at the SA page.

2022-04-13T04:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514091885568045061

@Spectre67904513 And regarding focus. Obviously things can be in focus at different

distances. However, if it WAS out of focus, then that would make the glare bigger than normal.

2022-04-13T03:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514090086228377600

@Spectre67904513 What if it wasnâ□□t on the SA page? Because it was just off the screen?

2022-04-13T03:52:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514089126336757762

@_Desmoden @1911Moose @GTT1337 @TheUfoJoe @BrandonFugal Thatâ□□s not really repeatability though.

2022-04-13T02:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514072410277908481

@alpha_check @BrandonFugal Probably. Sounds like fun.

2022-04-13T01:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514047083988197379

@AdmiralQuality @Apple And I want to make it all interactive, so anyone can explore it

---

2022-04-12T23:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514030120968720384

@AdmiralQuality @Apple At least one person on Metabunk did.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/page-3

And Chris Lehto has a few DCS videos

2022-04-12T23:54:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514029227791724550

@AdmiralQuality @Apple No, I've never used DCS.

2022-04-12T23:50:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514028191693754368

@AdmiralQuality Go on then. :)

Unfortunately, I'm running on Apple silicon, so no DCS for me.

I'm sure it's better for visualization, but I doubt it can do all the stuff like syncing with the video and solving line-of-sight traversals. Seems like Tacview is more for recording/analyzing?

2022-04-12T23:37:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514025005448785925

@reggiepizzaboy Here's the current version (needs modern browser)
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

And a discussion thread.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sitrec-metabunks-situation-recreation-tool-development-disc

ussion.12354/

2022-04-12T23:35:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1514024370296950784

@_Desmoden @1911Moose @GTT1337 @TheUfoJoe @BrandonFugal What predicts it? What set of circumstances lead to camera interference, and with what frequency?

2022-04-12T17:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513938171016675328

@Baptiste_Fri @OSIRIS_UAP @MvonRen @_Desmoden @DaveFalch @MiddleOfMayhem @Akam1129 Here's a 4K version. We are looking down quite steeply and zoomed in a lot. It's not clear where the ocean horizon is. They might just be waves.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HdIzucg0waM

2022-04-12T17:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513935047325151232

@Baptiste_Fri @OSIRIS_UAP @MvonRen @_Desmoden @DaveFalch @MiddleOfMayhem @Akam1129 There's a bunch of them. TFA, but I'd suspect drone cameras, vanishing because of video compression because it's streaming.

Other possibilities: lower on might be a boat. Upper ones might be birds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQKTberVcAEU5qZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQKTberVcAEU5qZ.jpg

2022-04-12T14:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513888125424123905

@_Desmoden @1911Moose @GTT1337 @TheUfoJoe @BrandonFugal The bigger issue is the lack of something specific and repeatable to test.

2022-04-12T10:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513825857650929668

@fatboyslimlegs @RAEFOSnet I think it's probably a ballon.

2022-04-12T03:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513727608491425797

@911JusticeNow I look at a variety of 9/11 topics. Generally the more scientifically technical, like collapses, microspheres, plane impacts. Etc.

2022-04-11T18:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513587767459999747

@Deepfryguy76 @JasonColavito Or them being scammed. Or just a misrepresentation of the results. Or getting lucky. Or some combination.

2022-04-11T02:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513343663941701634

@EssentialBusin7 @MvonRen @ThanosAlpha Explained here.

Gimbal UFO - A New Analysis

https://youtu.be/qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-04-11T02:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513338888625934340

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Sure, but plane is the simpler fit by far.

2022-04-11T00:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513311704662220806

RT @MiddleOfMayhem: :police_car_light: NEW :police_car_light: I made a 2-minute explainer regarding the recent media coverage of the "new Pentagon documents" talking about iâ□¦

2022-04-10T21:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513275397877628928

@Zbrown1212 The top one is a mock-up, it's not an actual circuit. And the bottom one is an abstract historical recreation. It actually looks like:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQA78FNagAAkHE_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQA78FNagAAkHE_.jpg

2022-04-10T21:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513272762214674432

@ThanosAlpha @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher Tell that to the UAP task force - the first things they list as expected resolutions are birds and balloons.

2022-04-10T21:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513270787301470210

@Ps5andxbox1 @PostDisclosure It's kind of like the simulation hypothesis - not particularly useful. Bordering on nonsense.

2022-04-10T21:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513261126464651264

@DisclosureUAP @BigfootKbi @nikkinoelle7 @justmyvideos @TheOutThere2016 Old. Balloon. Spam.

2022-04-10T21:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513260929483370496

@Ps5andxbox1 @PostDisclosure Which one? I read TACP, itâ□□s complicated.

2022-04-10T20:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513257582143557633

@Gtoffo @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @uncertainvector @alpha_check It's a shame he can't sit down with me and we resolve all the uncertainties (literal uncertain vectors)

Ryan?

2022-04-10T20:46:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513257042345021443

@Gtoffo @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @uncertainvector @alpha_check What are the possible scales on the SA? Ryan says the spacing was from 0.5 to 4 NM - what would that look like?

2022-04-10T20:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513256150308823045

@ReverendWashy @PostDisclosure That's a whole different kettle of fish.

2022-04-10T20:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513255585059278848

@IAmRobAherne @PostDisclosure Escaping predators, hunting advantage, easier to get back in the tree with a bit of flap assist.

2022-04-10T20:38:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513255102215168003

@Gtoffo @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @uncertainvector @alpha_check Cool, so I think to get an understanding of Ryan's account, we'd need an animation of this - or whatever he saw the motion on. With the scale correct, and the portion that corresponds to the video indicated.

Rough partial illustration

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1513254957688008704/pu/img/hSysajuTr8QH9aSG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1513254957688008704/pu/img/hSysajuTr8QH9aSG.jpg

2022-04-10T20:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513248720640360451

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp They are where two stars would be, so it seems pretty likely they are stars.

To maintain the triangular shape, the light source needs to be small. So it's possible it's a tiny

bright pyramid, flashing like a plane, being viewed on a camera that makes tiny things triangular. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQAjfEBaUAAvwrP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FQAjfEBaUAAvwrP.jpg
2022-04-10T20:07:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513247288855957506
@UFOBreddit @PostDisclosure Debunked!

2022-04-10T20:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513246960374886404
@alpha_check @Oswald1160 @Gtoffo @uncertainvector Yea, it's a shame that @uncertainvector hasn't yet cleared up the numerous uncertainties regarding his recollection.

2022-04-10T19:42:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513241085484490752
@TUPACABRA2 @PostDisclosure There are actually a bunch of theories.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origin_of_avian_flight

2022-04-10T19:28:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513237542371352585
@PostDisclosure They didn't really evolve TO fly, they just got better and better at surviving falling out of trees.

2022-04-10T19:27:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513237202016215043
@Gtoffo @uncertainvector @alpha_check I'm trying to get more details about what was seen. If this is just from a glance at the SA page, then how was the motion seen? And giving a range of

0.5 to 4.0 NM is oddly vague. What do we actually know?
Ryan, we need to talk sometime.

2022-04-10T19:21:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513235634571276290
@WilliamWafield Like what? What was there that was not at the Flight 93 crash site?

2022-04-10T14:51:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513167905361330176
@Disclose_UFOUAP @karaplzzzz @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp Of course, but we should be careful if we fill those holes with our imaginations.

2022-04-10T14:49:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513167412069236742
@OliverWood2017 @LawrenceMail @Robin081564 @KilliK69 Range foulers are a problem. Unidentified airspace incursions are a problem. Drones are a problem. There's also public and media interest.

2022-04-10T14:44:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513166157942906882
@Alien_Scientist @CoryArmbrecht I debunk Moon Landing Deniers, but I've not been to the Moon.

2022-04-10T14:41:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513165311247216642
@OliverWood2017 @LawrenceMail @Robin081564 @KilliK69 The answer to your question is no, that's not how I feel, or what I think.

But you claimed I made "logical errors" - can you perhaps quote a logical error I made, so I can fix it?

2022-04-10T14:26:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513161613070594054
@SethCotlar I presume you've seen Bob Roberts. Everyone should.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EDUCMZWa4po

2022-04-10T14:18:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513159590472421382
@Disclose_UFOUAP @karaplzzzz @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I've got a full three-year degree (equivalent to a US 4 year degree) from the same university as Alan Turing, Niels Bohr, and Ludwig Wittgenstein.

And that's entirely irrelevant to the stuff I point out and explain in my videos.

Facts are facts.

2022-04-10T13:54:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513153430109384708

@ckovergaard It might be a drone. In my second video, I explain it's consistent with a 400 knots plane at 33,000 feet, or a slow drone. I just think the plane is more likely, given we know there were planes there, at that altitude, speed, and direction.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2022-04-10T08:21:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513069684383383554
@EireScientist @Deepneuron @uncertainvector How easily people are fooled. Fascinating stuff.

2022-04-10T08:19:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513069170509824001
@Ps5andxbox1 @Deepneuron @uncertainvector So you ask.

2022-04-10T08:18:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513068988615442434
@EireScientist @saucerlit @MiddleOfMayhem @uncertainvector Lack of anything resembling vectors.

2022-04-10T08:18:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513068789759311875
@ADelarge60 8 miles was never verified, just some early reporting.

2022-04-10T08:16:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513068500411031552
@EireScientist @Deepneuron @uncertainvector I didnâ□□t know anyone at Theranos.

2022-04-10T08:00:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513064393877057541
@Bags_001 @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @CMetaphor @realufobros @UAPorSAP @OddFlyingThing @blaq_ty @AIRKelsey @KSL5TV Experiments on what exactly.

If you were there, what one experiment would you conduct first?

2022-04-10T07:55:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513063107823689730
@Bags_001 @BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @CMetaphor @realufobros @UAPorSAP @OddFlyingThing @blaq_ty @AIRKelsey @KSL5TV Nobody offered to pay for my airfare and lodging.

Pony up, I'm in.

2022-04-10T07:20:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513054173952024577
@BrandonFugal @BraumLincoln @CMetaphor @realufobros @UAPorSAP @OddFlyingThing @blaq_ty @AIRKelsey @KSL5TV So, can we get the two videos of that dark shape recorded on two cameras, with precise GPS locations (and headings/elevations if possible) of the cameras? Or your own triangulation?

2022-04-10T07:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1513052566791213057
@realufobros @BrandonFugal Years of practice.

2022-04-10T07:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513050769129283587
@realufobros @BrandonFugal Always.
https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/
WIP

2022-04-10T06:55:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513047956361912323
@realufobros @BrandonFugal Isn't he the same age as you?

2022-04-10T06:42:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513044673245241344
@NickMadrid16 @sewalkerdesign @edwardcurrent I'm not fighting UAPs, I'm investigating them.

2022-04-10T06:38:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513043850272468997
@ADelarge60 You do that search, as I know that is not true.

And the bits of paper were found 1.5 miles away, in India lake, not 10 miles.

2022-04-10T06:37:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513043448470781958
@EireScientist @Deepneuron @uncertainvector I just find it really interesting. The tech sounds groundbreaking. Is it real? A gamble?

2022-04-10T06:21:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513039506449965058
Quantum Generative Materials (GenMat) is an interesting crossover from UFOlogy to big business. Got $15 million of mining money in 2021, with $35 million on milestones, to develop some novel technology. CEO @Deepneuron, Quantum Program Manager @uncertainvector How is it going?

2022-04-10T06:08:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513036106266120197
@ADelarge60 Paper and fiber, in the direction of the wind.

2022-04-10T05:53:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513032313885523971
Here's the excavation of Flight 93, several days in. Color coded bins are lined up for evidence, personal effects, and human remains. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP9elrYWUAE2oem.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP9elrYWUAE2oem.jpg
2022-04-10T05:48:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513031204538265606
"Be The Next Hero" is a brief but extraordinary account of what FBI agents went through in recovery and investigation efforts for Flight 93 at Shanksville. It has video and images that have never previously been published and are still largely unknown.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gMA7Wse9sSc

2022-04-10T05:42:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513029629002452994
@NickMadrid16 @sewalkerdesign @edwardcurrent That's not my goal. I just enjoy figuring out what happened. If it's aliens or anti-gravity, then that would be very interesting!

2022-04-10T05:19:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513023958181765126
@Spectre67904513 @saucerlit @MiddleOfMayhem @uncertainvector I don't know that there are sides here. I'm trying to figure out what happened. I'd really like to get more detail from Ryan Graves. That should be in everyone's interest.

2022-04-10T04:01:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513004141647302657
@saucerlit @MiddleOfMayhem @uncertainvector See, this is why I'd like to talk to Graves.

There's still a lot of uncertainty. People are using his vague description in the most handwavey manner to validate or invalidate complex and sensitive models.

Some precision (or clarification of the level of uncertainty) is needed.

2022-04-10T03:58:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1513003552112738304
@sewalkerdesign @edwardcurrent Commander David Fravor did just fine. So what's the

problem exactly?

2022-04-10T03:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512997819585466378

RT @MaryLTrump:

:backhand_index_pointing_down_medium-light_skin_tone::police_car_light::backhand_index_pointing_down_medium-light_skin_tone:

2022-04-10T02:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512977379722883077

@All4LightBearer Maybe next time.

2022-04-10T02:14:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512977235635937283

@saucerlit @MiddleOfMayhem @uncertainvector But he says "I only had a glance at the SA page in the video" - which implies he spends most of the time looking at the ATFLIR, or something else. He describes an entire sequence of flight (and draws a diagram) but where did it come from?

2022-04-10T00:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512955056416440320

@patrick92german Source: https://twitter.com/strategic_doubt/status/1384661827494232064

2022-04-10T00:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512954955216289795

@patrick92german Given that it looks exactly like a type of weather balloon, that has to be high on the list. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8YensagAAyO6j.jpg



250 PLATOV,



Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8YODUakAU8SBC.jpg



Figure 1. (a) Schematic diagram of smart tetroon showing primary components. (b) Photograph of ballasted tetroon and transponder, with Randy Johnson (foreground right), the tetroon's primary developer, and students.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8YOizX0AAOnJT.jpg



Fig. 3. A triangular UFO observed in the Baltic Republics in 1977. It was a high-altitude balloon of tetrahedral shape. Such balloons are made in France. They have a volume of up to 90000 m^3 and can drift at altitudes of up to 40 km.

worthy potential source of UFOs in that direction turned out to be an aviation test range of the 26th Air Army in the Byelorussian region of Poles'e, about 400 km from the scene of the observations. An inquiry addressed to the Air Force command post made it possible to establish that exercises in dropping aircraft flares with subsequent conventional bombing, using optical bombsights, had been held there at the very time when the anomalous light phenomena had been observed. The flares had been parachuted from aircraft flying at an altitude of about 10 km, so that they had lit up a large area for 5–7 minutes. It was these light effects in the night sky over the Byelorussian test range that the servicemen of the rocket unit 400 km to the south had observed.

Practices in dropping aircraft flares were conducted at the Byelorussian test range several times a year, both in previous years and later, but it was only on October 5, 1982, that these effects were observed at a large distance from the range. The horizon line for the observers had passed more than 12 km above the range, so that the entire trajectory of the movement of the flares and the associated light phenomena had been "below the horizon." This indicates that, at certain—probably, very rare—conditions in the atmosphere, there may develop effects of superrefraction. It remains for us to add that the equipment malfunction at the command post had nothing to do with the observed phenomena and coincided with them by happenstance. Nevertheless, it was this coincidence that was the impetus for the urgent investigation of this event.

Another episode tentatively unites several accidents in 1984–1987 that involved aircraft based at airfields of the Borisoglebsk air terminal in Voronezh Region. One aspect of these accidents was singled out: the presence in several cases of an unknown object in the zone of the flights that had involved accidents. The unknown object had been recorded on the screens of airfield radars and had been observed visually by some of the pilots from the cockpits of their planes. The head of the Borisoglebsk military flying school, which was hit hardest by the accidents, asked that the commissions of inquiry into the causes of the accidents include specialists familiar with the UFO problem. Since no consensus has been reached on the role that the mysterious objects observed in the zone of the flights may have played in the accidents, these events will have to be regarded as unidentified.

In the foregoing, we have cited two causes of the sighting of UFOs: the flights of high-altitude balloons and the launches of rockets. These effects account for the greater part (over 90%) of the observed anomalous phenomena. Beyond a doubt, the proposed models are not the only ones possible, and we have no intention of defining these scenarios of the development of the majority of the observed strange phenomena as the only possible mechanisms. An adequately comprehensive picture requires the elaboration of hypotheses as diverse as the phenomena themselves.

The results of the work accomplished show that the overwhelming majority of the phenomena perceived by eyewitnesses as something anomalous are fully explicable. They are related mainly to human technological activities, which have been developing swiftly in recent decades, or to rare forms of natural phenomena [1–3]. One of the most important results, evidently, is not the identification of most of the observed phenomena, nor even the elaboration of physical models of their development, although, in our view, extremely interesting results were obtained in this area. What is most surprising is that—contrary to numerous descriptions of various types of contacts with aliens that have been collected by ufologists—the project, which drew upon the vast observation potential of army and civilian organizations, did not record a single report of a UFO landing, of contacts with UFO pilots, or of the abduction of people by UFOs. It may be that for some reason the territory of the Soviet Union was for at least 13 years closed to visitors from other planets, or else the hypothesis about the alien origin of UFOs is erroneous. Certainly, any earnest investigator of the UFO problem has to take this result into account.

In many publications on the UFO problem in recent years there have been repeated references to "secret files of the KGB," "secret data on military operations to capture a UFO," etc. The market today is flooded with video recordings from these archives. But, after studying them, one can only shrug them off. It is hard to imagine anything more absurd. As they say in English, "Somebody is fooling somebody all right." This seems an apt description of the relationship between the authors of such publications and their readers. A relevant opinion has been expressed by the UFO investigator R. Cowen: "It is tempting to dismiss the charge made by UFO buffs that intelligence agencies have secret files on UFOs that they are reluctant to release.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8YensagAAyO6j.jpg

2022-04-09T23:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512938191283621890

@paulsanderson @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp Nobody claimed it was. I'm not making an argument. I'm just saying what I think. Obviously you can discount it.

2022-04-09T23:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512937541061677056

@tinyklaus I have a long history of investigating weird stuff and never finding anything that's unambiguously weird. Stuff either gets solved or there's not enough information. I also see the typical mistakes people make. After a while I see a pattern that probably informs my

expectations.

2022-04-09T23:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512936352651702279

@DisclosureWith "May-9-1988-Puerto-Rico" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8HbJxagAE_0br.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP8HbJxagAE_0br.jpg

2022-04-09T23:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512931329037332480

@UAPDAO @uncertainvector I always try to be. What do you feel I've missed?

2022-04-09T23:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512931061268770816

@tinyklaus The full quote there is "it almost seems like a like a very small cult"

2022-04-09T23:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512929660258029568

@MusicAndTruth @SabersKnight I overlook the same question when asked 100 times. Sorry.

Yes, planes would have had transponders, and would have shown up on the ship radar, and via other sources (ADS-B networks). This is only helpful if you don't think the flashing thing is at 800 feet.

2022-04-09T23:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512929141405872128

@TheEvolutinary @edwardcurrent @GC48021171 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @MvonRen @RealCSharp @uncertainvector Of course, there's lots more cases that are not camera glare (thermal glare obscuring a physical object, with a very hot heat source, like a jet engine or a warp drive). But even here, the Gimbal object might still be unknown - heck, maybe it was a hot alien spaceship.

2022-04-09T22:58:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512927866257756161

@uncertainvector, can you clarify what your sketch (inset here over a frame from Gimbal) represents? Is it how things appeared on ATFLIR at this zoom? Or on the SA (what scale?) Or just some general schematic? Or something else?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7_pRlXwAIQF9P.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7_pRlXwAIQF9P.jpg

2022-04-09T22:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512924458008293378

@tinyklaus I don't pretend to be unbiased. Nobody is unbiased. I literally just tweeted, 30 minutes before you posted, saying we all have biases.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512901666424512512

2022-04-09T22:29:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512920633386041344

@anon_et @MvonRen @edwardcurrent I'm willing to talk to him.

2022-04-09T22:19:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512918252669657088

@Ps5andxbox1 @trelayne @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen

@RealCSharp No, it's saying that you don't' generally need both skill as job requirements. Programmers don't NEED to handle physical objects, and manual laborers don't need much critical thinking.

But lots of programmers are jugglers. Myself included.

2022-04-09T22:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512917142391308288

@AlienStonerGang @SkinnyWalker3 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I'm not sure what you mean? I don't think the Pentagon is faking UFO videos.

2022-04-09T22:12:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512916464000372741

@SkinnyWalker3 @AlienStonerGang @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp That depends on the circumstances.

2022-04-09T22:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512915354640523266

@trelayne @Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp *Garry, sorry.

2022-04-09T22:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512914909335416835

@Ps5andxbox1 @trelayne @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp And there's the other correlation - occupation possibly alters the structure of the brain.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6933301/

2022-04-09T22:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512913734150213632

@Ps5andxbox1 @trelayne @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp It makes sense that people who are better at some things are more likely to end up in jobs that use those talents. Is it detectable in the brain though? Maybe

https://www.avweb.com/aviation-news/study-finds-pilots-brains-work-differently/

2022-04-09T21:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512911055344742402

@TheEvolutinary @edwardcurrent @GC48021171 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @MvonRen @RealCSharp Wait, when did we get radar data?

Eyewitness accounts of radar data are just more eyewitness accounts. Not actually radar data.

2022-04-09T21:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512910582634012673

@trelayne @Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp Me? No. I though Gary was. Like saying they had bigger brains in the observation department, so were more likely to be fighter pilots, or other people who end up observing UFOs. I paraphrase.

2022-04-09T21:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512910143616282627

Someone going for a late April Fools joke. That's a wreck from the Aral Sea Ship Graveyard, transported to Mars. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7vfiHWYAQ3piT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7vb5CaQAA-wQ8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7vfiHWYAQ3piT.jpg

2022-04-09T21:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512908417249144834

@Mr_BenPrime @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I

suspect Gary forgot he uses the term occasionally.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7t_FPaQAILy8G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7t_FPaQAILy8G.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP7t-V2WQAAF1DW.jpg
2022-04-09T21:37:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512907577385906176
@trelayne @Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp No, I'm not suggesting that at all. He can speak for himself, but I think he suspects there may be some connection - although one theory is that the connection predates the encounter.

2022-04-09T21:35:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512906987767427077
@rgh_ufos @Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp Like I just said: "Of course we all have biases"

2022-04-09T21:21:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512903568214298633
@trelayne @Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp Hence, in part, my suspicions (in the sense of thoughts, not accusatory rhetorical musings)

2022-04-09T21:19:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512903150587420674
@Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp "Invisible college" is mostly famously related to UFOlogy, but it greatly predates that, back to the 17 century. So Pratchett may have been using the term in the more general sense. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Invisible_College

---

2022-04-09T21:14:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512901666424512512
@Ps5andxbox1 @GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp No. however I to think he might have biases that lead him in certain directions. He is a member of the invisible college after all. Of course we all have biases

Ultimately the statistics should tell the tale. Here I don't think there's enough good quality data to link to UAPs.

2022-04-09T21:09:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512900656717205504

@GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I'm not using it like that. I'm using it in the sense of "I think", but implying a degree of uncertainty on my part.

2022-04-09T20:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512897278201118723

@GarryPNolan @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I suspect you have similar suspicions to me.

2022-04-09T20:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512893806059786244

@sewalkerdesign @edwardcurrent I do not think the aviators are lying. I think they might have made mistakes.

2022-04-09T17:42:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512848339628265474

@EireScientist Source of location.

I looked up a few planes, but we don't know the exact time, so can't really narrow it down.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP63dQzXwAQGjrA.jpg

---

the context of the moment.

At approximately the same time, the USS *Russell* records a frenzy of activity:



2101	SET AND DRIFT IS 147°T AT 0.2KTS
2115	MULTIPLE DRONES SPOTTED IN VIC 32° 43.2N
	119° 35.2' W
2126	DRONE SPOTTED 050°T PA3 MOVING LEFT TO
	RIGHT
2128	DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA3 MOVING FWD
	TO AFT
2131	DRONE SPOTTED 265°T PA2 MOVING LEFT
	TO RIGHT
2138	DRONE SPOTTED 280°T 1000 FT ELEVATION
	DRONE SPOTTED 329°T 900 FT ELEVATION
2140	DRONE SPOTTED 321°T 700 FT ELEVATION
	2 NM OUT
2142	DRONE SPOTTED 262°T PA2 MOVING
	LEFT TO RIGHT
2162	DRONE SPOTTED 270°T PA2 MOVING LEFT
	TO RIGHT

NAVY VIA FOIA

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP63WSsagAEXBf3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP63dQbaIAAtTbw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP63dQ1XMAIUzxr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP63dQzXwAQGjrA.jpg

2022-04-09T17:40:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512847841189715969

@BiomechAnima @DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp It's a small sample of self-selected experiencers. Correlation (if it even exists here) is not causation.

2022-04-09T17:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512847355019608067

@EireScientist Pretty close to a 747 - but the lights on planes vary quite at bit.

The Russell was right under the LA area flight path from the SW.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP62oT4XMAIqh9n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP62oT4XMAIqh9n.jpg

2022-04-09T17:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512842348731924480

@wookiepastimes @PostDisclosure @MvonRen A couple of rare mistake by some individuals is not the same as serious incompetence by the US military.

Even experts make mistakes. I expound on this more here:
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512092888796073987

2022-04-09T17:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512840459403476993

@jplatte11 @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp It was something hot that disappears slowly over the horizon. We can tell that by the way it fades in and out.

I don't know what it was.

2022-04-09T16:41:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512833191035359241

@jimbotowrag @JeremyCorbell It's been a year. I was wondering if you still feel the same way?

2022-04-09T16:37:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512832107524943872

@jplatte11 @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp You think "a rotating pyramidal shape" is just as plausible as "a plane"?

What about the two stars that come out looking like triangles, the same size as the flasher?

2022-04-09T16:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512831641994989570

@jason_jarnagin How?

2022-04-09T16:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512830503287767047

@jplatte11 @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2022-04-09T16:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512829811491713025

@rgh_ufos @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp New data might change things. If we get new data I'll look at it and see what, if anything, it changes.

If my hypothesis dies, it dies.

2022-04-09T16:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512828277055918085

@DabberPatriotic @edwardcurrent @MvonRen @RealCSharp I suspect the biological effects were not caused by UAP.

2022-04-09T16:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512827982833872901

@Serg_is_Vargas @Oswald1160 @GC48021171 What does the Snoopie team report say?

2022-04-09T15:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512822323413282818

@jason_jarnagin One does not simply provide to the USG to take a look.

2022-04-09T15:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512811052005687300

@Bellabo82068180 @ddeanjohnson @FoxNews
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claimed-ufo-filmed-from-airliner-over-turkey-21st-march-2018-passing-contrail-at-90%C2%B0.9608/

2022-04-09T14:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512802203957821441

@SabersKnight My tweet says â□□probablyâ□□ a plane.

2022-04-09T14:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512801815691083776

@stephc_int13 Very interesting, thanks. I like C++ for 3D math programming.

2022-04-09T14:15:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512796240706113541

@Bellabo82068180 @ddeanjohnson @FoxNews Point your finger directly at your eye. It looks different to normal. Same thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP6IKTqWYAAmksL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP6IKTqWYAAmksL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP6IKTsXwBEc7Lm.jpg

2022-04-09T14:11:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512795242033934340

@Bellabo82068180 @ddeanjohnson @FoxNews Itâ□□s a perfectly normal contrail, seen from an unusual angle.

2022-04-09T14:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512795060982538241

@rgh_ufos @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp What makes it correct is the evidence.

2022-04-09T14:09:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512794746564988928

@dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 @BarlowTerry The triangular shaped stars strongly indicate that the flasher is not triangular.

Flash sequence is fine. They come out a bit irregular in video.

2022-04-09T14:04:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512793506829398019

@Serg_is_Vargas @Oswald1160 @GC48021171 The planes were high in the sky. They were not considered when looking for drones.

2022-04-09T14:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512793164079280129

@james_mals @5X5_NEWS @MvonRen I explained the theory to him, he said he could not comment on non-public info.

2022-04-09T11:17:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512751581833293825

Fascinating look at a propaganda-pushing botnet.
https://twitter.com/marcowenjones/status/1512446806654861314

2022-04-09T11:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512747975226785792

@KetterStone @NormalHorse Ultimately this is a pointless, solipsistic argument. All beliefs are conditional.

But we can still say what we think is most likely to be true. We don't have to qualify every single thing we say.

Every single dot in the sky MIGHT be anti-gravity robots.

2022-04-09T11:00:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512747279173636096

@EireScientist @KetterStone And it resembling in many ways what a jet would look like. Hot, far away, moving in a straight line at 300 knots.

"Probably" is implied in all beliefs

But I now couch my assessments in more conditional term just to avoid such quibbles/misunderstandings.

2022-04-09T10:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512745841450127361

@nuancedeep @Oswald1160 That's what the quotes are for. They indicate that someone else said it. I obviously don't think it's true.

2022-04-09T10:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512745367506358272

@UFO_Rabbit_Hole @KetterStone Not the same thing. I'm not taking a 80% probability and saying it's 100%. I'm taking a 99.999% certainty and saying that's what I think the answer is.

I might be wrong. But that's a different problem.

2022-04-09T06:33:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512680113560641537

@MaitreyaNight Not that I know of, which is why this one got reported as a UFO. If it were common then it would not be reported as unidentified.

2022-04-09T06:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512679771783630852

@gornalalbion Who? Can you quote what they said?

2022-04-09T06:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512679584558305281

@jimqk Doesn't it, at the very least, demonstrate that the video closely resembles other videos of planes and stars?

2022-04-09T06:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512679095305338886

@Oswald1160 @nuancedeep Air quotes? This is what he said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP4dkUCX0AAygfz.jpg



The Pentagon confirmed the video is authentic.

Jeremy Corbell Instagram

The green-tinged video, which is now public, reportedly was gathered by the Pentagon's Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAPTF) and leaked to filmmaker Jeremy Corbell, who made the documentary "Bob Lazar: Area 51 & Flying Saucers."

"This is explosive information," Corbell told Fox News. "This is probably the best UFO military-filmed footage certainly that I've ever seen, but I think also that the world has ever seen."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP4dkUCX0AAygfz.jpg

2022-04-09T05:50:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512669155371089920

@PhillipJeffre16

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/floating-cities-are-generally-not-fata-morgana-mirages.6922/

2022-04-09T05:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512661552037466112

@crackmoneyloans Everything looks odd if you've never seen anything like it before.

2022-04-09T03:37:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512635741993504772

@UFOCurious I understand, but I think in this case the evidence is overwhelming.

And there's no guy flying a plane (behind the camera) in this case. It was filmed from a ship.

2022-04-09T02:49:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512623738327425031

@MDPhitrader CFI - not very big checks though. And my agent, SDLA, similar.

2022-04-09T02:41:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512621704945668097

@fizBuzz01 Dev is all Iâ□□d worry about. Iâ□□m at <1sec now. I tried to add some Typescript, but it took dev builds to like 10 sec.

Iâ□□ll look into setting up React.

2022-04-09T02:34:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512619972740018181

@fizBuzz01 Whatâ□□s the build time like?

2022-04-09T02:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512618260390244358

@KetterStone I agree the things they list as "critical errors" are fallacies. But who is actually making those errors? Who on earth claims that if something is possibly true then it IS true? Or that one misidentification means all UFOs are misidentified?

Not me.

Seems like a strawman.

2022-04-09T02:25:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512617642674167816

@fizBuzz01 Yeah, a lot of the libraries look too heavyweight - and I might run into odd limits. So small is probably best.

2022-04-09T02:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512617419616886784

@fizBuzz01 Plain JS. Heck, up to last month I was pretty much all in a <script> block in index.html.

Now I at least use WebPack and modules.

2022-04-09T02:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512616521498996738

@MyeKrennish @FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp No, because we don't have the exact time and location. But they seemed to be right in the flight path for traffic to the LA area. I did a bit more analysis here to show the speed matches:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2022-04-09T02:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512616012352352256

@__dregs__ @PostDisclosure You can Venmo me, I'm @MickWest on Venmo.

2022-04-09T02:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512615364277899265

@jeffantigua @MiddleOfMayhem @always_usy Sure, it was something that might well have happened if the plane continued. There's just no good evidence it did - and the crash site (and flight recorder evidence) strongly indicates otherwise.

2022-04-09T01:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512609946197667842

@BlakeEnloe91 @emh203 I will want an integrated code editor though....

2022-04-09T01:52:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512609270075822086

@emh203 Hmm, that Stackoverflow I linked points to code-server, which seems to be the free version of a professional IDE running VS-Code

---

2022-04-09T01:43:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512607214334218242

@Robin081564 Here's an IR glare from a jet exhaust. It looks like a spinning top.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512607052786413574/pu/img/D6aPG1E6bZCPc9PJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512607052786413574/pu/img/D6aPG1E6bZCPc9PJ.jpg

2022-04-09T01:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512606840344891392

@emh203 Looks interesting. I thought it was just for building desktop apps though. I want a web app, a quick and easy web page.

https://stackoverflow.com/questions/63371865/can-electronjs-be-used-for-websites

---

2022-04-09T01:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512605168105271298

@FutureRider4 @starapparatus @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @PostDisclosure I think I'd go for "almost entirely certainly" Bokeh here.

2022-04-09T01:25:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512602592014045185

@InvNightSchool I'm not trying to make a photoshop, I just want their UI for my Sitrec tool. Photopea doesn't really have the same UI.

2022-04-09T00:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512595825452453891

If I wanted to replicate Photoshop's entire UI (dockable/floating/tabbable windows, dialogs, menus) in a Javascript web app, is there a library I should use?

2022-04-09T00:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512593175776403468

@PlasticPaddy9 @BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @Hackthestack777 @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty Sadly nobody with any relevant experience is allowed to talk about it.

2022-04-09T00:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512592947639820294

@LawrenceMail @Robin081564 @OliverWood2017 @KilliK69 @DietrichVFA41 Well, ta very much!

2022-04-09T00:29:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512588589229436934
@ScottishDebunk1 The longest word I used was "extraterrestrial", but I think that's cool with most people :)

I shall briefly respond on YT

2022-04-09T00:19:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512586000756740101
@BostonsBuddha @Hackthestack777 @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty You seem to have missed the entire rotating glare hypothesis. Did you watch my video?

The "object" rotating is from the camera rotating. The glare orientation is fixed to the camera, you don't see the horizon rotate because of the derotation.

Watch my video.

2022-04-09T00:10:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512583689003175939
@OliverWood2017 @KilliK69 @Robin081564 @LawrenceMail @DietrichVFA41 Could you just pick one. We don't even have to debate. I just wondered what you are referring to.

2022-04-09T00:09:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512583469523955714
@Hackthestack777 @BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty Did you actually watch the whole video?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-04-09T00:08:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512583068946624515
@Hackthestack777 @BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty It is a UAP. The "data from different source" is not available, and not at all clear how it fits into the equation.

The video looks like a glare rotating because of the gimbal system. Heck, the title of the video is "Gimbal" - what does that mean? It's the official title.

2022-04-09T00:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512582389045751808
@OliverWood2017 @KilliK69 @Robin081564 @LawrenceMail @DietrichVFA41 Logical errors should be very easy to point out. Can you give an example?

2022-04-08T23:59:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512580916383604739
@Hackthestack777 @BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty Is a video taken at the scene exactly the same evidentiary value as a partially redacted

characterization of someone's memories?

2022-04-08T23:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512580501202079746

The follow-up video was fun too.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VxgTqGRs6eY

2022-04-08T23:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512579871800668165

@GC48021171 You mean the circular frame at the start? That's not the aperture, that's the NV viewfinder being filmed with a phone.

The aperture isn't normally visible. See example here:

https://youtu.be/-r2oaQWmqkk?t=119

2022-04-08T23:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512578150907084802

@Hackthestack777 @BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty The latest release doesn't change the data in the Gimbal video - which is what is being analyzed here.

2022-04-08T23:40:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512576110424322048

@FatherTerra @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp Hypothetically anything might have happened.

But this video shows bokeh. Those other two "pyramids" are identified stars.

2022-04-08T23:39:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512575807465553922

@BostonsBuddha @MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty No. It means the camera rotated.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-04-08T23:37:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512575458398728194

@MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty Well saying something has a shorter and highly energetic history of creating a media frenzy and extending the UFO flap. It's only just dying down now! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2_TxxUYAI841F.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2_TxxUYAI841F.jpg
2022-04-08T23:35:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512574843463471107
@JeremyCorbell @g_knapp https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512574701465325569

2022-04-08T23:34:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512574701465325569
One year since the "best UFO footage ever" was released, and then quickly shown to be bokeh, probably from a passing plane.
But they won't let it go.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-r2oaQWmqkk

2022-04-08T22:57:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512565416962392066
@MiddleOfMayhem @blackvaultcom @dave_beaty To me that just reads as "don't give those UFO guys anything, or they will never stop with that nonsense."

2022-04-08T22:55:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512564865537249282
@sewalkerdesign @MiddleOfMayhem @always_usy I know, I was just helping him out.

2022-04-08T22:54:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512564655142580228
@dsmethurst66 @BarlowTerry @SabersKnight
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512490197514002434

2022-04-08T22:48:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512563016939626496
@MiddleOfMayhem @always_usy https://www.cnn.com/2001/US/09/13/penn.attack/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2z_6AVIAIJZGO.jpg

State police and the FBI initially said they didn't want to speculate whether the debris was from the crash, or if the plane could have broken up in midair.

Investigators later said the debris was all very light material, such as paper and thin nylon the wind would easily blow. The wind was blowing towards Indian Lake and New Baltimore at 9 knots. "According to the NTSB, it is not only possible that the debris is from the crash, it is probable," Crowley said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2z_6AVIAIJZGO.jpg

2022-04-08T22:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512562488830619653

@BostonsBuddha @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @__dregs__ That definition includes any unidentified plane.

2022-04-08T22:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512561905822363658

@sewalkerdesign @MiddleOfMayhem @always_usy

https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/a5688/debunking-911-myths-flight-93/

(Although I think "sheet metal" is a misnomer, probably some metallic film)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2ywaDVsAUOPQH.jpg

FACT: Wallace Miller, Somerset County coroner, tells *Popular Mechanics* that no body parts were found in Indian Lake. Human remains were confined to a 70-acre area directly surrounding the crash site. Paper and tiny scraps of sheetmetal, however, did land in the lake.

"Very light debris will fly into the air, because of the concussion," says former National Transportation Safety Board investigator Matthew McCormick. Indian Lake is less than 1.5 miles southeast of the impact crater—not six miles—easily within range of debris blasted skyward by the heat of the explosion from the crash. And the wind that day was northwesterly, at nine to 12 mph, which means it was blowing *from* the northwest—toward Indian Lake.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2ywaDVsAUOPQH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2ypAfUYAId7ci.jpg

2022-04-08T22:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512559854669365249

@MiddleOfMayhem @always_usy some bits of paper

2022-04-08T22:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512558834836942849

@always_usy @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah, that's probably the more mainstream conspiracy. But the crash site kind of invalidates it as shooting down an airliner would give you a large debris field.

I think there's probably LOTS of "where's-the-plane?" folk, but they don't follow me.

2022-04-08T22:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512558417843724288

@ParanormalcyUSA Well, 28% of the Truthers so far in my unscientific survey are saying "where's the plane?"

2022-04-08T22:27:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512557690014220288

@TKadotani @PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @__dregs__ Hmm, I think "former" head of state should have been clarified. I mean, Jimmy Carter probably thinks UFOs are "real and unexplained"

2022-08-30T20:03:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564705318193401856

@NicholasJTerry @528vibes Try it.

2022-08-30T20:02:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564705028798967808

@BenHansen00 @thewoody @fin365 @CKakadan Does he say that anything on any of the videos was actually what he saw?

2022-08-30T19:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564703664060522497

@Pewpew841 @CKakadan @flarkey Can you draw a circle around it? I can't see anything other than stars and maybe a plane over Malibu. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbbxr6_VsAAq0lw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fbbxr6_VsAAq0lw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbbxUdKVQAAx6ph.jpg
2022-08-30T18:15:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564678101446975488
@KhouriPaul @flarkey He's seen it.

2022-08-30T18:10:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564676864030830592
@hausersmoran @BenHansen00 Lights that did not show up in the video?

The video includes stars, if there were some lights, then they would be in the video. Instead, we just get the reflections.

2022-08-30T17:53:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564672752564334593
@andromena_chump @BenHansen00 Here I'm attempting to explain what the video shows.

2022-08-30T17:51:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564672242105036800
@Linkchadlad @BenHansen00 I think in this case it explains the video.

It does not explain eyewitness accounts.

2022-08-30T17:50:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564671898461413376
@MiddleOfMayhem I have an iPhone 13 Pro, a glass shelf, and a closet. It didn't take long. Also, crowdsourcing. On Metabunk I vaguely suggested reflections at 3:45AM (insomnia), @Flarkey suggested reflections of the autofocus at at 5:17. I woke up and did some experiments a few hours later.

2022-08-30T17:46:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564670971046309888
@BenHansen00 And yet they look identical. Either he saw something else, or he saw these through his iPhone.

Here's a video with a couple more comparisons.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564670619353899008/pu/img/jHHFpqFa5FTitlW8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564670619353899008/pu/img/jHHFpqFa5FTitlW8.jpg
2022-08-30T16:49:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564656660177510400
Iphone autofocus lights reflect in glass. Variations in angle and distance give different numbers of visible lights.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564656354727325696/pu/img/hP_r85vX61xlJ1Pn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564656354727325696/pu/img/hP_r85vX61xlJ1Pn.jpg
2022-08-30T16:06:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564645787023224833
A new form of UFO?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/retired-f-18-pilot-reports-5-uaps-pacing-his-aircraft-over-channel-islands-8-18-22.12616/
@flarkey
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564645537726361601/pu/img/Q17O3AqD_38PiX67.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564645537726361601/pu/img/Q17O3AqD_38PiX67.jpg
2022-08-30T14:18:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564618495081340954
@DigitalDino4 @vfxfisher @Kontakt642 Compare the results, not what the lenses look like.

2022-08-30T09:09:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564540927212851200
@davpea2 This is just a Nikon P900, not a DSL. The lens is built in. It's quite light. Not really EDC, though.

But millions walk around with a comparable phone.

2022-08-30T08:11:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564526301175640066
@MusicAndTruth @1Corinthians118 @Kontakt642 People somethings see UFOs, right? Sometimes nearby, for more than 10 seconds. Does that actually happen?

2022-08-30T08:09:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564525642762166272
@zukoota Take a video instead.

2022-08-30T08:08:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564525456006602752
@FutureRider4 Sure, but I did.

And millions of people DO have a Galaxy S21/22 Ultra.

And not all UFOs are 20 miles away.

Are they just super lucky?

2022-08-30T00:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564409301258489856
@kimball_23 @PhoneUfo If it was not flying over my house then I would not have heard it.

The "tic-tac" like planes don't have visible wings because they are too far away. Which is also why you don't hear them

---

2022-08-30T00:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564408706896236547
@TrentBrace The camera was modified to take infrared photos. It's in monochrome mode.

2022-08-30T00:20:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564407653710700544
@UAP_Therapist Perhaps you can first provide evidence of those things.

And is that a hairdryer mark?

2022-08-30T00:17:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564406942486786048
@kimball_23 @PhoneUfo I too am familiar with planes. I see planes like that that are not audible, because they are 10+ miles away.
This plane, for example, I could not hear after it had gone just a few miles past my house.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564406814036221952/pu/img/eWcuxZMKPW0RkOU3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564406814036221952/pu/img/eWcuxZMKPW0RkOU3.jpg
2022-08-30T00:13:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564405818170101760
@OwatcherUf @vegan_og A P900 isn't THAT expensive. $500 on eBay.

2022-08-30T00:08:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564404609661034497
@kimball_23 @PhoneUfo Planes only leave contrails half the time, if that.

Sound is not audible when the planes are far away (and hence small)

2022-08-30T00:07:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564404384502493184
@imcomingdowntoo @PhoneUfo It's exactly what planes look like at that distance and lighting on a phone camera.

2022-08-30T00:03:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564403500909338624
@TrentBrace Setting? It was in S, 1/1000th, but I think starting a video recording overrides that.

2022-08-30T00:01:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564402874964029440
@cjthegenx @uaplive Looks like that's all HUD to me.

2022-08-30T00:00:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564402593354248192
@cjthegenx The camera is 6 years old. The cameraman (me) is considerably older.

That said, there's nothing complicated with zooming.

2022-08-29T23:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564398147429756933
@aatiping2 Nikon P900 (IR modified). It's about 6 years old.

2022-08-29T23:42:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564398011836272641

@ELuizondo @flarkey @JeremyCorbell No, it's just some anonymous "leak" that Reddit got excited about. It's possibly a joke, as the plane would be more obvious later.

2022-08-29T23:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564397729337335809

@cjthegenx @PhoneUfo I'm not saying it's a plane. I'm saying it looks exactly like a plane.

2022-08-29T23:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564397336100368386

@dave_beaty I don't know, I've seen plenty of UFO videos where they zoom in. Those are generally at night, so zooming does not help.

I wonder if when people zoomed in in the daytime, the object popped out of the LIZ, so they don't report it. So we only get the unzoomed ones.

Auto bias.

2022-08-29T23:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564390334913601536

@mjreapz There is. The S20/21/22 Ultras, and probably others.
https://www.youtube.com/shorts/e_k0xyhtlw0

2022-08-29T23:03:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564388207671644160

@MisterX1853333 @1Corinthians118 @flarkey More of an angle. Acute one.

2022-08-29T23:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564388043208867841

@1Corinthians118 @Kontakt642 10 million is 10 million. It's a lot.

2022-08-29T23:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564387966822232064

@PhoneUfo That one looks exactly like a plane.

2022-08-29T23:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564387580648366080

@1Corinthians118 @zorbonk @flarkey According to the ADS-B data and the 3D recreation, that's exactly what it would do. It's flying towards the camera, actually descending slightly, but as it's getting closer it looks like it's rising. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbXSKn-UcAAT5Tg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbXSKn-UcAAT5Tg.jpg

2022-08-29T22:43:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564383264160157698

@1Corinthians118 @zorbonk @flarkey The movement at the start is them playing the video in reverse. Look at the indicator at the bottom.

The sudden movements are camera movements.

2022-08-29T22:41:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564382875817873410

@Kontakt642 You mean like the 10 million S22 Ultras out there?

2022-08-29T22:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564381103833501697

A plane, over 5 miles away. Taken with my 6-year-old Nikon P900.

UFO stands for Too Far Away (for whatever camera you happen to be holding)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564380204176265217/pu/img/ZSm1Gf6kyU1oPC4H.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564380204176265217/pu/img/ZSm1Gf6kyU1oPC4H.jpg
2022-08-29T22:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564379299209023488
Zoom out.
Planes that are far away (this one probably 20 miles) look like little dots. But sometimes you have the right camera. Sometimes the planes are closer.
Any visiting aliens must be exceedingly lucky.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564378827492507648/pu/img/20eAbtkZ0tMUAQuU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1564378827492507648/pu/img/20eAbtkZ0tMUAQuU.jpg
2022-08-29T22:15:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564376225925124097
@knightseeee What camera?

2022-08-29T22:05:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564373660818804736
Crowdsourcing (mostly @flarkey) rapidly solves another "leaked DoD video." Just a plane (SWA4298), with perspective, landing lights, bokeh, and camera movement. Again a perfect match to ADS-B data.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dod-ufo-footage-leak-8-27-2022-swa4298-landing-lights.12611/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbXE7DXaUAA3qf_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbXE7DXaUAA3qf_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbXEzEJUcAEZgft.jpg
2022-08-29T20:06:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564343643124555776
@WindyPopss Not all UFO are 20 miles away.

2022-08-29T18:15:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564315707893919744
@ReverendWashy 5-10 is pretty average, according to the poll.

2022-08-29T18:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564315477341396994

@Mr_BenPrime I think most phones let you take photos without unlocking now.

2022-08-29T18:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564315157811015680

I'm around 5-10 seconds from the pocket. 3-6 if I already have the phone in hand and unlocked.

2022-08-29T18:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564314492141334528

@jangrosser85 To see how likely it is that people would be able to video a brief UFO encounter.

2022-08-29T18:09:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564314318086103042

@JulianFDerby1 @apicasefiles Are all UFO encounters less than 10 seconds?

Do people never have their phones out and unlocked?

2022-08-29T18:07:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564313852057047040

@apicasefiles @JulianFDerby1 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1564313114358665216

2022-08-29T18:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564313576260530176

@1Corinthians118 Of course they were UFOs. They seemed to be flying, and they were not identified. So, UFO.

2022-08-29T18:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564313114358665216

How fast can you actually get your phone out, zoom in, and start videoing if you see something interesting? Give it a go. Phone in your pocket, count "1 one thousand, 2 one thousand," etc. Whip out the phone, camera, video, zoom, record, stop counting. Time?

2022-08-29T15:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564274880513617920

Has Hal Puthoff not watched Dr. Who?

https://twitter.com/PodcastZed/status/1563937600905396224

2022-08-29T15:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564268832499195904

One of the reasons having better cameras does not mean getting better UFO videos is that if the image is good enough to identify the object, then it's no longer a UFO, such as in this case of a thin plastic bag.

UFOs seem to be a product of uncertainty, not information.

https://twitter.com/PhoneUfo/status/1564233618016321536

2022-08-29T15:00:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564266637615763457

@OffworldUfo @DavidJayB Graves confirms balloons show up on the radar, but does not explain how he eliminates slow-moving targets that are balloons. Perhaps the new radar picked up

more balloons?

2022-08-29T14:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564265867185074176

@ProtoValentine A deeply flawed paper that presents no actual data makes groundless seeming assumptions and is quite possibly mistaking insects for distant objects. I wrote some software to replicate part of it, discussed here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ukrainian-uap-study-observation-of-events.12607/

I emailed them to discuss. No response. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbVjYR5VsAcVvaD.jpg

---



Fig. 7: The image of the phantom object. Fig. 8: The color charts of the phantom object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbVjYR5VsAcVvaD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbVjUXvVEAAar7C.jpg

2022-08-29T10:31:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564199107303915520
@PhoneUfo I did not say that.

2022-08-29T10:25:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564197422628122624
@OrawanWelsh I'm sorry, those appear to be photos of insects.

2022-08-29T10:23:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564197157942415361
@BrandonFugal @edwardcurrent @caitgaist @travisstaylor1 Can you release any of the data behind this clip? Like all the videos of the UFO, and the date and time of filming? Or just your analysis of its trajectory?

2022-08-29T10:13:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564194495402430464
@OrawanWelsh You can upload to YouTube without much loss in quality.

2022-08-29T09:51:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564189091503034368
@OrawanWelsh The vast majority of UFO videos just show things that move in uninteresting ways and are too far away to easily identify. Like this one:
https://twitter.com/OrawanWelsh/status/1564187099422605313

Better cameras seem to just change the distance at which UFOs exist.

2022-08-29T09:41:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564186480393654272
@LasseLind3 @XRPTakisian @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 He wrote a textbook on lasers in 2020.

https://books.google.ca/books?id=nWytDwAAQBAJ&lpg=PP1&ots=vSmwxxV2KE&lr&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false

---

2022-08-29T06:04:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564131736040005633
@TrentBrace @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 Atmosphere. That's probably a mile or so of the laser beam.

2022-08-29T00:42:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564050803492417537
@spookysouthcoll @WissiW @BrandonFugal @XRPTakisian @travisstaylor1 I would go.

2022-08-29T00:42:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564050729328730113
@BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 It matters not how many degrees Tayor has. He's wrong about this. He should address it.

2022-08-29T00:38:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564049778463293440

@BrandonFugal @XRPTakisian @travisstaylor1 But you see the problem here. This type of issue permeates the show. Maybe there's good science there - but a scientist watching the show is unlikely to get that impression.

2022-08-29T00:01:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564040566504976386

@BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 Well, he was wrong. Not only that, but none of the other scientists there (or later) corrected him.

You know I'd love to look at hard data. Where's the hard data behind the inability of a telescope to lock onto a specific region of the sky?

2022-08-28T23:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564038708776407040

@XRPTakisian @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 Here's the thing, Brandon is asking us to take the show seriously. Yet it contains things that are obviously and fundamentally wrong. Things to do with optics, which is Travis's specialty. Are we supposed to just ignore that?

2022-08-28T23:46:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564036761369554944

@XRPTakisian @BrandonFugal @travisstaylor1 In the show, he SEEMS to misunderstand this aspect of optics.

There are a few possibilities as to why that is.

2022-08-28T23:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564036246065713153

Multiple parallel beams seem to converge at the vanishing point. So a single beam seems to stop at that point.

The laser is not getting shorter when Caleb moves it around. He's just moving the vanishing point. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSSmw6akAAFseT.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSSbChWIAEQNu9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSSiGyXkAIyZPJ.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSSmw6akAAFseT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSSfiSagAAeBW3.jpg

2022-08-28T23:33:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564033550579556352

We see this illusion with the 9/11 lights, viewed from below. They seem to stop at a point. They don't. This is especially clear if you look at just one of the small beams that make a big beam. They "stop" at the vanishing point. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSP_tmaUAALnhG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSP8xYXoAApkOY.jpg

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSP_tmaUAALnhG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSP41kaIAEmIrf.jpg

2022-08-28T23:30:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564032816051957760

A similar misunderstanding seems to occur in S3 E9, discussing the "apex" of three light beams. But there is no apex. The beams are parallel. Them stopping at a point is the same perspective illusion as with the laser. It's the vanishing point, not a real point. Bad science.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSPOfiUcAADyXS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSPOfiUcAADyXS.jpg
2022-08-28T23:27:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564031905032331264
@BrandonFugal, you shared this clip as an example of a genuine SWR anomoly. But a significant chunk of it seems to show @travisstaylor1 saying things that reflect a fundamental misunderstanding of optics. This is, in fact, what laser beams do. Is this just editing, or what?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSON7bUEAA4p0V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbSON7bUEAA4p0V.jpg
2022-08-28T23:00:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1564025123174096896

@AlgolAluvaia That little bend at the end was probably a miles worth of laser beam. It's a super slight bend, perspective compressed, consistent with going through an inversion layer - or, depending on the angles, the regular old atmosphere.

2022-08-28T22:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564024548965486594

Example: A laser on a clear night appears to stop, almost looking like a light saber. It is not stopping any more than railway tracks stop at the vanishing point.

https://youtu.be/U_uVa3XvqGk?t=22

2022-08-28T22:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564021887834853377

Laser (or light) beams pointed into the sky appear to stop at a certain distance when viewed from near the source. This does not mean there's something there stopping it, and the "certain distance" is really an illusion of perspective and beam divergence.

https://twitter.com/BrandonFugal/status/1564009779844055040

2022-08-28T22:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564014010193784834

@ddmmxx88 @BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem I've yet to see any compelling evidence of unambiguous strangeness.

2022-08-28T21:54:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1564008563290189825

@Oswald1160 Fixed!

2022-08-28T18:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563961874428805120

@novak_meri It's a bird.

The "enhanced" image is just an imaginative interpretation, maybe AI upscaling.

2022-08-28T18:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563961690055536640

@ArtisanTony It's a scientist.

2022-08-28T17:22:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563940187549212672

@1Corinthians118 @GM4AJK Is it really that much of a stretch to see how they are similar and that a different angle might yield this image?

2022-08-28T17:18:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563939086120787969

Sometimes UFOs actually are just seagulls.

(This does not mean any other UFO is also a seagull. One thing is not all things.)

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1563937729947217921

2022-08-28T17:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563937729947217921

@1Corinthians118 @GM4AJK Seagulls look different from different angles. Especially in low resolution video. They end up being a mess of a few dark and light blobs.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQ5A-oVsAAlWeR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQ5A-oVsAAlWeR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQ438bVsAAL2j-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQ4afjXkAE6X4b.jpg

2022-08-28T17:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563936198107615232

This seems like a problematic approach for an investigator or scientist. Lacking data, is it really a good idea to jump to a *conclusion* based on confidence in your own intelligence?

2022-08-28T17:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563935706623328256

"[the phenomenon] is sort of like an intelligence test. It's rife for ridicule, but if you are sufficiently observant and smart, you can jump to the conclusion of what it is without having to have all of the data that proves it"

2022-08-28T16:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563932809118359552

@DotOnTheSpot326 @fin365 @GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP @the_cholla Unless a case is entirely solved (which does happen) the "conclusion" is generally an expression of what I think seems most likely, given the evidence and analysis. I "believe" that, but I'm also open to new evidence and new analysis.

2022-08-28T16:45:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563930674108608513

In the original video, the UFO, unfortunately, looks pretty much like a seagull.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iAtm08mB-6A&t=4s

2022-08-28T16:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563930217806057472

"Zoom in! Enhance!" - Expectations of image enhancement are often a lot higher than what is possible. This leads to occasional unjustified augmentation. Here are two magnifications of the

same thing, one more realistic than the other.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQx_keaIAAxaWb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQx88mX0AEqddQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQx_keaIAAxaWb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbQx99fXEAAAmfH.png

2022-08-28T14:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563899811832610817

@fin365 @GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP @the_cholla I find the airing of hypotheses for discussion to be the quickest way falsifying or refining them. Not everyone likes this, seeing it as prejudging. So I take increasing pains to clarify the uncertainty.

2022-08-28T14:24:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563895244059713536

@fin365 @GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP @the_cholla One does not simply GO to 25,000 feet, 100 miles off the coast of San Diego.

Are there particular cases where you think my analysis suffered greatly because I did not travel?

2022-08-28T14:17:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563893575729152006

@fin365 @GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP @the_cholla One can do a lot of investigation at a distance.

2022-08-28T12:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563860334535798785

@fin365 @GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP @the_cholla I'd be happy to do an on-site investigation, but it's never really come up as something that's practical. Travel is expensive, and time is limited.

Is there an example case where you think it's necessary?

2022-08-28T11:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563858851492794372

@DreamDetective1 @ZaineMichael1 @the_cholla Can you demonstrate this precognition?

2022-08-28T11:58:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563858463737802752

@Oswald1160 It's when the region goes inside out. I'll fix that today sometime.

2022-08-28T11:53:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563857360719790080

@DreamDetective1 @ZaineMichael1 @the_cholla The conversations were generally helpful, if sometimes frustrating. Discussing a hypothesis with skeptics is quite helpful in surfacing and resolving issues with it - or even falsifying it.

2022-08-28T11:51:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563856912583512064

@PThegermandude @DigitalDino4 @JonesSmythe @RyanSprague51 @tomdelonge Depends on who it was, and the context of the filming.

2022-08-28T00:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563691809091399680

@BryceDodds1 I have emailed them.

2022-08-28T00:55:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563691585761488896
@Adonis_Mouse @BrianMassUFO @ufoofinterest I do prefer to analyze public data. But I have helped a variety of people with a variety of such things in the strictest confidence. If it's interesting, I'll take a look.

2022-08-28T00:52:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563691032683765760
*single channel.

Man, my CIA overlords need to get me an editor or something.

2022-08-28T00:36:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563687008546873344
@Blevins2020 I posted a new thread on this topic.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1563680117968146433

2022-08-28T00:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563686627641241601
@AlFaruq1986 Hard to say with any confidence, though. Is there some more context? More photos? description?

2022-04-08T22:25:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512557148194045956
@I_Am_Over_It People should not believe me just for being me. I don't know more than fighter pilots about flying jets.

Look at what I said, and decide for yourself.

2022-04-08T22:02:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512551459946053632
@PostDisclosure @SilvaRecord @__dregs__ And of course we have our date in December. I'm not sure if we agreed upon a good definition of "real" though.

But what's your best head-of-state statement so far?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2oj16UcAERp5a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2oj16UcAERp5a.jpg

2022-04-08T21:55:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512549808958951426

@SilvaRecord @PostDisclosure @__dregs__ 6 years (April 20, 2028)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2n5-XVkAIxxxS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP2n5-XVkAIxxxS.jpg

2022-04-08T21:52:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512549051379576834

@JerseyMomo22 The question is about the crash site as being evidence of something.

2022-04-08T21:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512548490055872512

9/11 Truthers, regarding Flight 93. Do you find the crash site suspicious?

Follow up - If you changed your mind about it, which, if any, counterexamples helped with that?

2022-04-08T21:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512546174451916801

@the_cholla @BarlowTerry @SabersKnight I'm updating the sim on and off. Expect much more clarity over the next few weeks.

2022-04-08T19:00:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512505716774354944

@bosma2002 @MicahBBurke Why was the Chinese plane buried? Parts were recovered from 60 feet underground.

2022-04-08T18:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512501097201471494

@veganisaurio Glare is caused by an object (a hot one), like a jet engine for example.

2022-04-08T17:58:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512490197514002434

@BarlowTerry @SabersKnight Not the glare rotation model, no. The path models are super sensitive. Look how minor changes in the azimuth function hugely affect the resultant object path and cloud motion (green line on bottom graph). And trying to use raw az values gives whack cloud motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512489960242253824/pu/img/AtzQkMQMkizs9NWZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512489960242253824/pu/img/AtzQkMQMkizs9NWZ.jpg

2022-04-08T17:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512483379618361344

@IlnickiStudios @MiddleOfMayhem @a51s1 @aka__V @SingletrackAdam I don't think they are lying. Also, it was dark and the object was too far away to see visually.

2022-04-08T17:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512483188429365251

@KrysDevine @MikeMokinmike85 @mintyhyperspace @LueElizondo @dave_beaty @TheUfoJoe @tomdelonge @3 What does it look like through binoculars?

2022-04-08T17:21:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512480702985506818

@KirbysCrackle In this case it was dark, so the only way they could detect the true form would be to get closer to it. They either chose not to, or they lost it.

2022-04-08T17:17:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512479738614321154

@SabersKnight @BarlowTerry No, there's a variety of models, and they also show much simple paths at a longer distance.

And unless the model duplicates the cloud motion in the video, then it's not particularly useful.

All models are incredibly sensitive to input variations.

2022-04-08T17:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512478394016968711

@a51s1 @aka__V @SingletrackAdam Or this

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478377076133890/pu/img/o04ST_6hPgReB1X0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478377076133890/pu/img/o04ST_6hPgReB1X0.jpg

2022-04-08T17:11:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512478295039770631

@a51s1 @aka__V @SingletrackAdam Or this

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478260839399425/pu/img/llUXdg0z7TyNo-R5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478260839399425/pu/img/llUXdg0z7TyNo-R5.jpg
2022-04-08T17:11:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512478192732319746
@a51s1 @aka__V @SingletrackAdam Not on its hull. From the engine. Like this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478139657560065/pu/img/t2ESny7pd3isrwnh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512478139657560065/pu/img/t2ESny7pd3isrwnh.jpg
2022-04-08T16:35:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512469223083155461
@docpoco @Oswald1160 And how do you know they all saw the same thing? Accounts have

varied somewhat over the years.

2022-04-08T16:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512466183794028556

@jplatte11 A jet engine does not necessarily mean a mundane affair.

2022-04-08T16:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512465850183213056

@Fiddaman @ufo_studyita @AndreaUFOs What conclusions have they drawn? And where did they draw them?

2022-04-08T16:12:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512463384351969285

@PostDisclosure @__dregs__ Okay, three years have elapsed. No amazing materials. TTSA is now an entertainment company. Can I have my $100?

2022-04-08T16:09:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512462561521770497

@jplatte11 I'd put money on it being a jet engine that's causing the glare.

2022-04-08T16:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512461920128839684

@SkinnyWalker3 How would you control all the independent groups. What's to stop a group or individual acting alone?

If such amazing control as you suggest exists, then why can't that simply be what is being used to fight extremism, preventing another 9/11 (so far).

2022-04-08T16:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512461424332722177

@Fiddaman @ufo_studyita @AndreaUFOs They hire scientists and experts. But it's a mixed bag.

2022-04-08T15:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512455411181363201

@SkinnyWalker3 Cockpit doors.

2022-04-08T15:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512455266654031882

@Twiligtzone @TheOutThere2016 @DisclosureUAP @Michael88052734 @TheNo1Waffler Where are you getting the statistics about the Netherlands?

2022-04-08T15:35:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512454193025478662

@KirbysCrackle Some cases inevitable remain unsolved due to lack of data.

Those are the ones that become UFOs. It's like a random filter. Some cases is going to be the oddest case.

2022-04-08T15:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512453480165752841

@SkinnyWalker3 Hijacking a plane and flying it into a building is not rocket science, not is it something you can defend against with nuke.

It just needs good planning.

2022-04-08T15:31:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512453149906272256
@Twiligtzone @TheOutThere2016 @DisclosureUAP @Michael88052734 @TheNo1Waffler I literally just sent you an article about it happening in 2016. Here's another study

https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2016/06/28/07/06/sports-participation-and-sudden-cardiac-arrest

---

2022-04-08T15:20:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512450232834936838
@Twiligtzone @TheOutThere2016 @DisclosureUAP @Michael88052734 @TheNo1Waffler 2016: "Sudden cardiac arrest is a leading killer of college athletes."

https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2016/05/06/sudden-cardiac-arrest-is-a-leading-killer-of-college-athletes-heres-the-ncaas-plan-of-attack/

2022-04-08T15:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512445230011744257
@Twiligtzone @TheOutThere2016 @DisclosureUAP @Michael88052734 @TheNo1Waffler Top sporters died before. Athletes occasionally drop dead. You're just noticing it now because of the conspiracy theory.

2022-04-08T14:38:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512439654821220358
@Twiligtzone @DisclosureUAP @TheOutThere2016 @Michael88052734 @TheNo1Waffler "Researcher" only in the loosest internet sense.
https://www.fanpage.it/napoli/morte-domenico-biscardi/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP1De6zVgAQGDeE.jpg

Domenico Biscardi , 50, was found dead in his apartment in **San Nicola la Strada, in the province of Caserta** , struck down by an illness, probably a heart attack. He was neither a doctor, nor a scientific researcher, nor a geneticist, as many people believed. The man, known by many as "Dr. Biscardi" was a former owner of a pub in Caserta who in recent years had devoted himself to a series of "alternative" narratives of scientific phenomena, fully embracing conspiracy theories, from chemtrails to vaccines of all kinds up to that against Covid-19. Obviously theories denied by any logical and scientific evidence.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP1De6zVgAQGDeE.jpg
2022-04-08T14:04:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512431152690196487
@Twiligtzone @TheOutThere2016 @Michael88052734 @DisclosureUAP @TheNo1Waffler Except they are not finding that.

2022-04-08T13:49:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512427352252329985
(Correction, over 30 minutes, 5:30 to 6:00AM)

2022-04-08T13:46:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512426783701803010
Four views of Venus. All taken with my iPhone, over about five minutes.

It quite amazing the variations you can get even using the same camera.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP03sGlVkAArXsa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP03km8VsAEQ0vX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP03sGlVkAArXsa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP03iJOVQAI6zwJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FP03oFzVsAUTQyM.jpg

2022-04-08T13:26:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512421655280185347

@ufo_studyita @AndreaUFOs There are two videos. I think that GoFast is most likely a balloon. I think that Gimbal is most likely a small jet-powered aircraft.

Those are just the top of the lists. I would not not rule out other explanations, as I've found it best to keep an open mind when investigating.

2022-04-08T13:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512420972271267848

@EireScientist @bradjohansson21 @edwardcurrent @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @realityseaker If you don't know what I mean then you can always ask me.

2022-04-08T13:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512420639012896771

@a51s1 Have you watched this analysis?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-04-08T13:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512419907874418690

@TheOutThere2016 @Michael88052734 @DisclosureUAP @Twiligtzone @TheNo1Waffler A lot of the "evidence" there seems to be crystals forming as a solution evaporates. This is expected.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mIAkd16av7w

2022-04-08T13:08:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512417064723488771

@a51s1 No, it's an infrared thermal image. What you are looking at is glare from something that is very hot

---

2022-04-08T13:07:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512416725102632963

@G0rd0nFreeman It's a bit unintuitive. Have a look at this. https://youtu.be/qsEjV8DdSbs

---

2022-04-08T08:07:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512341318046912518

@veganisaurio On the SA. This just shows positions and speeds.

2022-04-08T06:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512315513753329678

@aka__V @AdamGoldsack @playerofgains Here's a way of replicating it. Although you'd also have to do it at night.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1504172692198490112

2022-04-08T06:22:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512315011602923529

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR The UAPTF have not opined on my take.

2022-04-08T05:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512309200281497601

@aka__V @AdamGoldsack @playerofgains Well, the screen is 0.35Â° across, so you can figure it from there.

2022-04-08T05:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512308940616335368
@AdamGoldsack @playerofgains The shape on the ATFLIR is what we see in the video. It's a spinning top in the video.

They can't see it. There's no other way of determining the shape. You're just guessing.

2022-04-08T05:56:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512308287642890242
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR A billion hypotheticals would. But my primary hypothesis here is "glare from something hot".

What that hot thing is is another question. I don't know, but if forced I'd put my money on a small jet.

2022-04-08T05:53:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512307737773764619
@valliant @SKEPTICLBELIEVR I'd like to talk to him to clarify that, and other things. How about it, @uncertainvector?

2022-04-08T05:31:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512302212734541829
@AdamGoldsack @playerofgains So there's something more accurate than the ATFLIR camera in determining what something looks like miles away?

No. There's not. There's just the ATFLIR. They are looking at the same video we see now.

So the glare hypothesis still holds there.

2022-04-08T05:29:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512301518589812739
@timbo_staley I've made a little money from my non-UFO book (Escaping the Rabbit Hole), and get a small amount 2-3 times a year to appear on TV.
My YouTube isn't monetized, I only have about 30K followers there.

I'm retired, made a bunch of money off Tony Hawk.

2022-04-08T05:22:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512299712077987845
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR How would the longer version change the shape?

The shape they describe is in the short version.

2022-04-08T05:18:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512298808650084359
@ufotruthdigger No, I was not part of the 60 minutes episode. There were NO skeptics included.

2022-04-08T02:13:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512252337661698049
@bosma2002 Yes.

2022-04-08T01:42:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512244434347315200
@AdamGoldsack https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512244335370067969

2022-04-08T01:42:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512244335370067969
Something that constantly gets missed in the Gimbal UFO case is that the pilots were looking at the same video we are now. It was dark, and at 100x zoom, so not visible except in IR.

So OF COURSE they thought it looked like a spinning top. That's what it (the glare) looks like.
https://twitter.com/AdamGoldsack/status/1511943234137776128

2022-04-08T00:44:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512229757894606851
@officer_pinky @pstanley123 @iammathboy There's no river there. That's the crater, filled with rain water.

2022-04-08T00:32:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512226723122671620
@docpoco The key word being "different"

2022-04-07T22:08:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512190630469910528
@MFoxxer @CalgaryPatty But the point here is that a lot of 9/11 truth people find the actual crash site very suspicious.

When it's actually not.

2022-04-07T22:07:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512190255624966144
@harrison_fnord That happens with pretty much EVERY new UFO video. There always seems to be someone who thinks it's the most amazing thing ever.

2022-04-07T21:47:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512185332044771341
@soulcrusher1214 It seems consistent with the plane being flown into the ground. It does not seem consistent with the plane being shot down.

2022-04-07T21:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512182929815875594
@soulcrusher1214 The point here is that many 9/11 people view the scene as evidence of a shoot-down, or that the plane never crashed at all.

It's not, and these counterexamples demonstrate that.

2022-04-07T21:21:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512178764003770413
@moz_moz @harveydarin26 So what are the odds something can survive a plane crash?

Things do, of course. Here's a log book from Flight 93.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxV_heVgAQ6hZU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxV_heVgAQ6hZU.jpg
2022-04-07T21:17:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512177766845743124
@ryan87925263 Long fire. Collapse. Firefighters thought it would collapse from the damage, fire, and noises it was making. And it did.

Not clear on the precise sequence of events, but basically C79/80 failure, penthouse falls, progressive internal collapse, external buckling of the shell.

2022-04-07T21:13:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512176795520434211
@bosma2002 Not every piece. In both cases there were some fragments that were not buried. But basically it was going really fast and the ground wasn't solid rock. Most of it ended up buried pretty deep. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxUtH9VgCMU9QO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxUtH9VgCMU9QO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxUsHoVgCECISb.jpg

2022-04-07T21:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512174696560689167

@pstanley123 What are the biggest differences?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxS2nEVgAwRzAJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxS1s7VgBQCGvM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxS2nEVgAwRzAJ.jpg

2022-04-07T21:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512174166509715467

@moz_moz @harveydarin26 Many things were recovered from the plane. Things in the cockpit would be more likely make it through to the other side - propelled by the explosion. It's a shame people can't wrap their heads around it.

2022-04-07T21:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512173772928811038

@bosma2002 I'm not really seeing the problem here. A plane crashed. Most of it ended up in pieces underground. Some did not.

What do you think is implausible?

2022-04-07T20:59:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512173219976937510

@moz_moz No, I can tell it from the numerous photos, videos, and written accounts from that scene - from shortly after the crash to the current day.

2022-04-07T20:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512162421284122624

@bosma2002 So you think I'm wrong, but you don't have an opinion about what happened?

2022-04-07T20:08:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512160357195808776

@bosma2002 So you think the crash site was faked? You are going MU5735 Truther?

Here's drone footage from the day of the crash. Where is the plane?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512160191395110915/pu/img/aPacP3_p2KJlei7H.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1512160191395110915/pu/img/aPacP3_p2KJlei7H.jpg

2022-04-07T20:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512159458574540801

@MicahBBurke @bosma2002 I agree, you just don't want to provide fuel to those Truthers who post pictures of plane nose-cones damaged by birds and then ask how though could have brought down the Twin Towers.

2022-04-07T20:02:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512158835166699520

@SkinnyWalker3 @FoxNews Sure, and 60 Minutes, and NBC. The media in general has been about 95% blindly repeating a story they don't understand.

2022-04-07T19:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512158184110129158

@basedBanEvader @harveydarin26 Actual? So where is the actual 9/11 Truth?

2022-04-07T19:59:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512158033811365891

@MicahBBurke @bosma2002 I think you're overstating the fragility. Planes are pretty strong. The skin is thin, but that's not structural by itself, nor is the plastic. It's over a much stronger structural skeleton

But yes, when it hits something at 500mph, it's going to get crushed and ripped to pieces

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxDXPWWYAcUVG1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPxDXPWWYAcUVG1.jpg

2022-04-07T19:46:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512154829140869126

@bosma2002 Can you be specific here. I stand by everything I've said in this thread.

Quote what you think is wrong, and explain why.

2022-04-07T19:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512154222984249375

@AnthropologyUap @JeremyCorbell @UFODoctor @MorphoTime Largely a bunch of old speculative stuff from Bigelow's time being financed by Harry Reid.

2022-04-07T19:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512153191357435913

@AnthropologyUap @JeremyCorbell @UFODoctor @MorphoTime

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1lL1b6yHJ_A7bnNEANhKq2w9Y0uuieWh4

2022-04-07T19:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512153054644097032

@harveydarin26 Another good example - in part because a suicide note (written on an airsickness bag) was recovered in good condition at the site - and conspiracists make much of

the recover of passports on 9/11

2022-04-07T19:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512152448122572819

@bosma2002 What is not true, exactly? Did MU5735 not crash vertically into the ground and bury itself leaving very little visible?

2022-04-07T19:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512152009717141525

@smoothvirusgame Yes I remember that, in 1996. It was a bit different as it hit solid rock under water and mud. But again, vertical crash, nothing recognizable was there - and very little was recovered, only fragments of 68 of the 110 people.

2022-04-07T19:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512149990965071878

@CalgaryPatty Why would shooting a plane out of the sky make it bury itself in a hole in the ground?

MH17 was shot of the sky. Large parts of the plane were spread over several miles, no craters, nothing buried, intact bodies were found. Very different.

2022-04-07T19:15:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512147101110587407

On 9/11, flight 93 crashed nose down, high speed, into loose ground. When first responders arrived there was no visible plane, no bodies. Hence, conspiracies.
Sadly the China Eastern crash looks the same.

Will 9/11 people become MU5735 Truthers like they became Plasco Truthers?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPw4p2EVUAcMWU0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPw4p2EVUAcMWU0.jpg
2022-04-07T18:51:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512141074134822913
When a building in Iran collapsed after a fire, similar to WTC7, the 9/11 conspiracy theorists oddly claimed it was controlled demolition with nanothermite, and the skeptics were the crazy people for not seeing this

Here's their bizarro-world account

https://www.ae911truth.org/news/402-why-demolition-deniers-are-obsessed-with-the-plasco-building-demolition-in-tehran

---

2022-04-07T18:32:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512136265218478088
@AndreaUFOs https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1512092888796073987

2022-04-07T16:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512100788360736775
@jacques_oneill Of course, and I'm always happy when people point them out. Identifying mistakes and removing them is very important.

2022-04-07T15:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512097398000037894
@DeepSpace41 It's not that they prefer them. It's that that's all they have.

As soon as a UFO comes out of the LIZ (like, if you get out a better camera, or some binoculars) then it's identified, and inevitably mundane.

So ambiguous data is the only thing that sustains UFOlogy.

2022-04-07T15:55:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512096666345631747
@DeepSpace41 @Sizzlefeggio @FoxNews Robert Bigelow's UFO investigation that got the AAWSAP contract, basically a descendent of the NIDS paranormal investigation operation.

https://www.mysterywire.com/ufo/before-the-uap-task-force-there-was-baass-hear-from-the-man-behind-the-once-secret-program/
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Institute_for_Discovery_Science

---

2022-04-07T15:42:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512093446730764294
@AdrianEdbe @flatue @blackvaultcom @uncertainvector Depends how it was "confirmed" - a possibility is that the object on radar was not what was on the ATFLIR (like in the Chilean case). No RNG number is shown on screen, which is surprising if they had a radar lock.

2022-04-07T15:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512092888796073987
Something I wrote a little while ago in response to a hit-and-run strawmanning on Reddit.

Blind deference is an issue in any investigation. One should always be able to raise the issue of if mistakes were possibly made.

Fighter pilots are not babies. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPwHp1MUYAEL0Lh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPwHp1MUYAEL0Lh.jpg
2022-04-07T15:34:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512091469162561540
@DeepSpace41 @Sizzlefeggio @FoxNews BAASS isn't "The Pentagon"

2022-04-07T14:33:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512076067619541012
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @ZaineMichael1 Wind will be a variable. It's going to be a while though, I'm getting feature creep. Today I'm going to rewrite the stock camera controller. Then probably embark on some DAG node editor folly.

2022-04-07T14:22:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512073343687532562
@flatue @AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom @uncertainvector Why not both?

Premature elimination is a common failing in UFO investigations. I like to keep an open mind - so I don't miss anything.

2022-04-07T14:15:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1512071539256020995

@OddFlyingThing @528vibes How many is "multiple" people?

2022-04-07T14:06:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512069203410370572

@flatue @AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom @uncertainvector I'm not trying to SELL any one explanation. I'm trying to figure it out. The video is still the only hard evidence we have. I don't think it's finished yielding all its info yet.

New info is fragmented second hand recollections, no numbers. Factor it in, but it changes little.

2022-04-07T13:52:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512065757890318345

RT @JasonColavito: Tucker Carlson used misleading language to give viewers the impression that the Department of Defense officially concludâ□¦

2022-04-07T09:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512002225945526275

@Bellabo82068180 @FoxNews I've seen the video. I've seen the exact same thing out of the window of a plane. I recognize it as a contrail.

2022-04-07T09:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512001566944874499

@Peter50751945 @FoxNews No, I've demonstrated that it seems to be showing a rotating glare on the video, not showing the actual object. We don't know what the actual object is. That's still unidentified, as I said in my last video.

2022-04-07T09:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1512001193148485634

@KilliK69 @flatue @AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom Which theory? I'm pretty sure the rotating glare hypothesis is correct. Less so about the size and shape of the underlying object.

Obviously some of the things on the list are objectively wrong, as there can only be one answer. But we don't know which it is.

2022-04-07T09:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511995976218685441

@flatue @AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom @uncertainvector I would not rule that out. But I like to keep all options on the table. I think there's a lot of uncertainty about potential trajectories from the video. There's also no direct evidence of the 6-8nm distance. There's no RNG number. Pilot error possible, if unlikely.

2022-04-07T08:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511992143492964353

@Bellabo82068180 @FoxNews It's not moving.

2022-04-07T08:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511991825422184453

@flatue @AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom Maybe they didn't get that close. Maybe it wasn't a jet.

Maybe something else. We don't know.

2022-04-07T08:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511991396760125442

@bigz7475 Something? Anything COULD be something. None of them seems super compelling.

2022-04-07T06:11:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511949694951313410

@nickpopemod @FoxNews Sure, but they have been doing it for years. Clearly the producers don't care about the topic.

2022-04-07T06:09:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511949258236194818

@fishbonker68 @FoxNews No. It was not ascending at all. It wasn't even really moving. The plane (with the camera) was just flying past it.

2022-04-07T04:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511917856723595267

@uapnewsknox I'd like to see something that A) has good provenance (not likely faked) and B) clearly demonstrates something unusual. Not a light in the sky. Not a fuzzy blob. Not something moving like a man-made or natural thing.

2022-04-07T03:43:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511912577147674633

I get that FLIR1, Gimbal, and Gofast are UFOs. They have not been identified.

But @FoxNews showing, for YEARS, crap like this contrail as a "UFO" really speaks to how seriously they take the subject.

They don't. Tucker is promoting it for some reason. Probably not a good one

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPtjh88VQAQgx9H.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPtjh88VQAQgx9H.jpg

2022-04-07T02:43:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511897445881458688

@AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom How? It's at night, and that's 2x NAR zoom. Invisible both ways.

2022-04-07T02:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511890146991349763

@AdrianEdbe @blackvaultcom How so? They don't give a distance.

2022-04-07T01:24:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511877463877382145

@UAP_daily I think I might have invented it.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/are-the-navy-ufos-real-or-just-in-the-low-information-zone.10921/

2022-04-06T23:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511852756390203395

@OMApproach New data sometimes invalidates old hypotheses. I'll certainly update the sim though.

2022-04-06T23:45:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511852561816436741

A very quick investigation into some Australian "UFOs" very quickly determined they were just some aerobatic planes - the Roulettes. Just a little TFA, so in the LIZ.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-ufos-over-melbourne-april-2022-roulettes-aerobatic-planes.12369/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPstnNpVkAI5yHP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPstnNpVkAI5yHP.jpg
2022-04-06T23:39:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511851043843313668
@OMApproach It "appeared" to be shaped like a flying saucer. We know this from the video.

2022-04-06T23:37:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511850559103377413
@thatweirduncle @528vibes They are planes. Specifically the Roulettes.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-ufos-over-melbourne-april-2022-roulettes-aerobatic-planes.12369/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPsr-o0VcAMMvtk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPsr-o0VcAMMvtk.jpg
2022-04-06T21:45:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511822462182338561
@vkcodez three.js for display

2022-04-06T18:01:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511766046297899008
@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @ZaineMichael1 You have to get the correct cloud motion. That's fundamental.

Getting close to all the numbers on screen is also great - but it's a difficult task.

I'm going to be extending Sitrec to have more graphs and ways of exploring the options in real-time

---

2022-04-06T17:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511763042194755585

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 I agree that getting correct cloud motion is a primary goal in recreating the scenario.

I also agree that planes don't generally fly in the direction they are facing - most significantly whenever there's a slight crosswind.

2022-04-06T15:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511726080616329221

@GeoeWatchDebunk http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPq6pcfVIAMSB0E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPq6pcfVIAMSB0E.jpg

2022-04-06T15:05:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511721755789828096

@BostonsBuddha @GM4AJK Or bad masking in After Effects. Hard to say.

2022-04-06T14:19:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511710282753589254

@SabersKnight That also is a possible result. We'll see.

2022-04-06T14:09:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511707770281627649

@BostonsBuddha @GM4AJK Given one of those photos was shown to be fake, I'm not super excited at analyzing them.

The ocean surface looks like the camera was low, looking roughly towards the sun, zoomed in quite. a bit. Like here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511707512113750028/pu/img/ed8g5buy-IqJ1ssx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511707512113750028/pu/img/ed8g5buy-lqJ1ssx.jpg
2022-04-06T13:54:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511703855590883328
@glauer42 @BrianDunning @markyarm The more realistic a video, the more people say it's "obviously" a fake. Hence most fakes are terrible quality - so you can't tell.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511703822560731145/pu/img/SN4W05E9GYwQMH1V.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511703822560731145/pu/img/SN4W05E9GYwQMH1V.jpg
2022-04-06T13:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511701900206374916
@BarlowTerry @GalacticGaz @YouTube Not dumping fuel, leaving an aerodynamic contrail.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2022-04-06T13:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511701548740460546

@SabersKnight A bit of everything! I'm working on a general purpose situation recreation tool that can be used to investigate possible 3D scenes that match a dataset (like a video, or triangulated photos) and then display it in understandable, interactive, and shareable manner.

2022-04-06T05:37:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511578912253304832

RT @ProfFeynman: It doesn't matter how beautiful your theory is, it doesn't matter how smart you are. If it doesn't agree with experiment,â¦

2022-04-06T02:59:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511538949541433350

@Lexluthorg Citation needed.

2022-04-06T02:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511536283021025290

@Lexluthorg Not that one, that's for UFOs. You might be thinking of this:
https://youtu.be/BlPfHV36G-g?t=519

2022-04-06T02:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511535919110647811

@OSIRIS_UAP Ah, sorry I thought you meant the conical antenna was for directional.

2022-04-06T02:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511533293811875846

@OSIRIS_UAP No, I'm not familiar with it, so was wondering what it did.

2022-04-06T02:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511531719316234243

@OSIRIS_UAP Aha! But does the polarization make it directional? Like will it give a strong signal if pointed at a source? Can you use it to determine a vector?

https://www.bellnw.com/manufacturer/ETS/3101.htm

2022-04-06T02:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511530960151404550

@BrianDunning Kinda the wrong way :)

2022-04-06T02:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511530862772305924

@LoreandLegends3 @MiddleOfMayhem There's a variety of sources. Typical examples:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPoJTjjUcAEW1w6.jpg

1990/01/17 AUSTRALIA, SYDNEY, NEW SOUTH WALES

Source: Catalogue of Australian and New Zealand Abduction Cases, June 1992

A woman living in a rural area reported missing time, followed by gynecological problems resulting from the incident.

EFFECTS: Probable abduction
Time loss
Gynecological problems

1990/01/30 CANADA, VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Source: Private Communication
Enigma, (St. Louis UFOSG), Oct. 1990

A woman (church minister) was taken into a craft and left the Earth's gravity. Her next memory was of being inside a huge "Embryo Fertilization Room." Small beings were working on people on tables. Although celibate, she was impregnated and had a miscarriage.

EFFECTS: Abduction
Pregnancy

1990/02/04 NEW YORK, MANORVILLE, LONG ISLAND

2315 *Source: UFO Universe, Aug./Sep. 1991*

A woman driving home from work encountered a large luminous oval object . It was at tree top level and pacing her car. The light was so bright that she had to squint to be able to see the road. She could feel the heat from the object through the windshield of the car. The object was silent and its surface appeared to be smooth.

EFFECTS: Heat
Eyes reacted to brightness

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPoJTjjUcAEW1w6.jpg

2022-04-06T02:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511528988321087491

What's this cone-shaped thing? Directional antenna?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPoHhfsVQAIo6b9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPoHhfsVQAIo6b9.jpg

2022-04-06T02:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511527887903416325
RT @MiddleOfMayhem: READ THIS THREAD:

The media is talking about the big "revelations" from the "new Pentagon UFO documents". They're notâ¦

2022-04-06T02:02:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511524810475536387
@Spectre67904513 @mike82uapitaly @OSIRIS_UAP Possible. But more possible than some pilot getting confused?

2022-04-06T01:57:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511523549663535110
@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1511518312399659011

2022-04-06T01:57:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511523441869934595
@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 Here's me getting a rough fit to cloud motion with a clunky user-defined rate of turn

---

2022-04-06T01:53:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511522337820086275
@BrentlyLee He, it's mostly a programming exercise at this point - but I hope some useful results will come out as a side effect.

2022-04-06T01:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511518312399659011
Work in progress
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511518248881180672/pu/img/8ta8lQYwevY1D8Cm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511518248881180672/pu/img/8ta8lQYwevY1D8Cm.jpg

2022-04-06T00:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511500954012594177

@Spectre67904513 @mike82uapitaly @OSIRIS_UAP So you'd characterize the hypotheses of Elizondo, Mellon, etc, as "laughable"?

Do you have a less laughable hypothesis?

2022-04-06T00:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511500618006900738

@TomTom21592973 It's a heading cue, a representation of the heading and elevation of the target in the frame of reference of the jet.

2022-04-05T23:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511485956884353027

@Spectre67904513 @mike82uapitaly @OSIRIS_UAP Is it more or less likely than aliens?

2022-04-05T21:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511452641385074690

@the_cholla @edwardcurrent @ZaineMichael1 I've not got the stage where I can recreate the cloud motion. So no.

With whacky cloud motion, any solution using calculated LOS is going to be wrong. Really the cloud motion needs to be a primary input - which is something I plan.

2022-04-05T20:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511442508567355396

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 I've not set up a scenario yet where the jet heading is independent of its velocity. I've been refactoring the code, making it more general purpose (I added Gofast)

2022-04-05T20:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511441897331458048

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 Orange line is a hypothetical path (nothing specific right now). The magenta is the path the camera LOS traces on the cloud horizon. In any simulation the magenta line needs to be smooth (so cloud motion is smooth)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPm4Y-CVUAAagir.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPm4Y-CVUAAagir.jpg

2022-04-05T20:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511440991890288643

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 You can see it in the current build of Sitrec

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

2022-04-05T19:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511430547922247681

@markocheese When staring at it, I've found it helpful to also focus on the shorter axis - a diffraction spike that's perpendicular to the long axis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPmuDrzVkAAJMvq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPmuDrzVkAAJMvq.jpg

2022-04-05T17:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511400874760802309

@edwardcurrent @the_cholla @realityseaker @MvonRen @markocheese Yeah, it's super easy to see if you just scrub (rapidly play by dragging the playhead) the video between 0 and 20 seconds.

There's minor questions as to the amount, and if there's any tiny associated motions. But it's fixed to the camera, not the scene.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1511398800463581185

2022-04-05T17:44:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511399354677882880

@MvonRen https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1511398800463581185

2022-04-05T17:43:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511399244606836739

@OSIRIS_UAP I don't have a great guess. But if forced, I'd say an F/A-18 is narrowly at the top of the list of possibilities.

2022-04-05T17:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511398800463581185

(2/2) So odd, I'm wondering what's going on. Is it just super motivated reasoning? Or is there some optical illusion I'm missing? Or is it some bizzare attempt at distraction?

Either way. It seems blindingly obvious that the glare is essentially fixed in the camera frame.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511398381867864067/pu/img/pT_ZmxR9POqjKEJ9.j

pg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511398381867864067/pu/img/pT_ZmxR9POqjKEJ9.jpg

2022-04-05T17:35:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511397180359131136

1/2) In the Gimbal UFO video, I said that the lack or rotation of the glare when the plane banks is the "most significant" of the four observables supporting the glare theory. I stand by that. Some have claimed it IS rotating with the horizon. That's odd

https://youtu.be/qsEjV8DdSbs?t=866

2022-04-05T17:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511393756674592770

@Toasty_Takes That appears to be an example about someone else, not me.

What evidence do you have that I'm acting in bad faith?

2022-04-05T17:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511388500993589248

@Toasty_Takes @OSIRIS_UAP Example?

2022-04-05T16:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511384532028575745

@Toasty_Takes @OSIRIS_UAP Obviously you already assume I'm acting in bad faith, hence anything I say will be viewed that way by you.

But what are you actually basing your original assumption on?

2022-04-05T16:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511374044653776897

@Toasty_Takes @OSIRIS_UAP I'm critiquing the film "A Tear in The Sky", which is where the clip is from.

https://youtu.be/8yXGl15sJYM?t=69

2022-04-05T15:34:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511366731721175044

RT @OSIRIS_UAP: For the record, Mick isn't wrong. We have hundreds and hundreds of hours of video that we are still analyzing and processiâ¦

2022-04-05T15:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511366442356150276

@Toasty_Takes @OSIRIS_UAP I've never claimed that, and I actually go out of my way to make the opposite point.

2022-04-05T15:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511366134666170370

@the_cholla @realityseaker @MvonRen @markocheese @edwardcurrent The correlation is with banking. Later in the video the pod roll/derotation becomes the dominant factor in the rotation of the glare.

It's all consistent with the glare being at a fixed angle to the rotating portion of the camera. This is what I tried to explain in my video.

2022-04-05T12:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511323565731196931

@SkinnyWalker3 @valentin0rossi CNN is different to Fox News. If you watch one or the other then it changes your brain in different ways. People should be aware of this.

2022-04-05T08:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511253932554682368

@sourcemind333 @TRandallizer @Serg_is_Vargas All which radar targets?

The writers of the UAP report listed a variety of possible causes of UAP sightings (mostly the range-foulers), and birds, balloons, and other airborne clutter were the first things they mentioned.

2022-04-05T07:59:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511252172385644544

@Serg_is_Vargas @TRandallizer I think Go-Fast is more likely a balloon. It's moving at wind speed.

But the temperature does not exclude a bird. They have insulation.

2022-04-05T00:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511135047725641728

@TRandallizer @Serg_is_Vargas Birds are responsible for some UAP sightings. This is known.

2022-04-05T00:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511134608837947399

@BryceDodds1 The Gimbal simulator was mostly one file. I've been gradually refactoring it into

modules. It's a work in progress :)

2022-04-04T22:49:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511113843212099585

Programming exercise is something different this week.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPiIKZzUcAUvS2w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPiIKZzUcAUvS2w.jpg

2022-04-04T21:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511098874835865600

@NIH_LLAMAS That's certainly possible.

2022-04-04T21:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511097881708544003

@Serg_is_Vargas @TRandallizer No fighter jets were deployed in this case.

2022-04-04T21:19:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511091017583259649

@Antisheeple420 @headsup44 @Scott40924433 @JimDirtynuts By who?

2022-04-04T21:15:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511089985595707394

@aka__V Then you'll be different in a different way.

2022-04-04T21:04:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511087215270531073

@MicahBBurke Probably.

2022-04-04T20:56:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511085219406770182

Paying Fox News viewers $15/hr to watch CNN changed them significantly.

Your media diet affects who you are. Which is why it's important to have a balanced and

healthy media diet - as part of your overall information diet.

https://osf.io/jrw26/

2022-04-04T20:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511082384367644674

@HallALuejah Yeah, it is possible that it's a camera artifact.

2022-04-04T20:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511074710846533632

@uaptaskforce1 @GaryVoorhis Triangulation should a primary goal in any UAP data collection project.

2022-04-04T20:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511071711608770564

@OSIRIS_UAP I understand. That's an unfortunate reality in such projects.

When is your data embargo up?

2022-04-04T20:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511071372964864002

Combining all the frames in that segment makes the periodic nature of the object's shape distortion more obvious. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhnM0aVUAE7j-0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhnM0aVUAE7j-0.jpg

2022-04-04T19:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511070575287963653

@Antisheeple420 @headsup44 @Scott40924433 @JimDirtynuts A hurricane is A) not a hammer, and B) never happened in Las Vegas - the windows look pretty normal.

2022-04-04T19:43:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511066919129944065

Thermal camera footage is often very low resolution - especially of objects at a distance. One

8-pixel blob looks like another. UFOs remain in the LIZ. TFA.

This one (from "A Tear In The Sky") does seem to exhibit some periodic "flapping" oscillations though, maybe a clue.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511065800089628672/pu/img/PcGd2txF1TRZrt90.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1511065800089628672/pu/img/PcGd2txF1TRZrt90.jpg
2022-04-04T18:42:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1511051613577703425
@Antisheeple420 @headsup44 @Scott40924433 @JimDirtynuts He busted the windows. Las Vegas does not have hurricanes. they look like fairly normal windows.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhUxINVkAEKr3Z.jpg

Event: 171001-3519



(View of the Las Vegas Village from room 32-135)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhUxINVkAEKr3Z.jpg

2022-04-04T18:38:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511050708803489794

@FrAilty_8 @JacketNation81 @JimDirtynuts The windows were broken. He didn't lift them out. He had a sledgehammer and some large guns (but probably just used the hammer). You can see the structure of the glass here. Two panes of safety glass and and an exterior panel. Broken.

https://www.lvmpd.com/en-us/Documents/1_October_FIT_Report_01-18-2018_Footnoted.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhUSKdVkAITSiZ.jpg



(View of the Las Vegas Village from room 32-135)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPhUSKdVkAITSiZ.jpg

2022-04-04T18:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511044449161256961

@UFO_Rabbit_Hole Yeah, I got a book deal (at the very bottom end of your range) basically because I'd been on Joe Rogan three times.

I'm just not clear why you can say he's not doing things to drive book sales now. Sure, quitting his job wasn't to write a book. But that's done now.

2022-04-04T18:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511043472052015106

@HollyWest @DrJenGunter The two leading theories are a mid-air major failure of the tailplane or pilot suicide. It's too early to tell.

https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-china-60856855#:~:text=to%20the%20ground.-,Two%20main%20theories,-%22It%27s%20very%20unconventional

---

2022-04-04T18:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1511041830275616772

@UFO_Rabbit_Hole So what is his marketing plan?

2022-04-04T08:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510904871527989255

@JacketNation81 @JimDirtynuts

https://www.lvmpd.com/en-us/Documents/1_October_FIT_Report_01-18-2018_Footnoted.pdf

---

2022-04-04T08:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510904828213403651

@JacketNation81 @JimDirtynuts He broke the windows with a small sledgehammer.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPfPwGPVQAEJYP8.jpg

Picture 14



(Small sledge hammer)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPfPwGPVQAEJYP8.jpg

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT
FORCE INVESTIGATION TEAM REPORT
CONTINUATION

Event: 171001-3519

on the floor east of the coffee table containing numerous loaded rifle magazines. An empty rifle magazine was on the floor, east of the suitcases.

There was a stack of 14 loaded rifle magazines on the west side of the northeast pillar. A blue plastic tube with a snorkel mouthpiece attached with green tape to the east end and a black funnel with a fan inside at the west end extended from the east side of the suitcases, across the coffee table, to the west side of the room, adjacent to the doors of the west bedroom.

A chair facing south, with a side table to the east, were at the west end along the northeast bank of windows. The window located immediately east of the northwest pillar was shattered with glass on the floor below it. Numerous casings were on the floor at the base of the window, south into the room, and on the seat of the chair. A blue and yellow "Estwing" hammer was on the floor at the east side of the northeast pillar, south of the broken window. The head of the hammer had tape wrapped around it. The curtains in place over the broken window were damaged. Two rifles with bipods were on the floor south of the chair.

A high top table was centrally located along the northeast bank of windows with a loaded rifle magazine on the southeast end of the table. An open suitcase was on the floor south of the table with numerous loaded rifle magazines inside. A rifle with a bipod was on the floor southeast of the table. There were casings on the floor surrounding the table.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPfPiFpVEAAH0j7.jpg

2022-04-04T05:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510858699857825792

@JimDirtynuts It's not like he carried it up the stairs. He used the service elevator, over several days, with carts and bellmen. There's extensive video of him doing it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6ZRgVX8SYX4

2022-04-03T23:35:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510763016786092035
@_lucky_jim @stringythings @Jim87504388 I wouldn't say I want NOTHING to do with it. If some valid points exist in it, then I'm sure others will point them out. So I'm interested in how it plays out. Like, will Marik finally admit he misunderstood the 20 second rotation issue?

I'm just not wasting my time with it.

2022-04-03T18:14:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510682134838603782
@_lucky_jim @Jim87504388 He got the first 20 seconds rotation issue wrong and refuses to admit it, despite multiple people patiently explaining it. That's just what he does, and why he's on mute. I've got better things to do right now, like replicating Edward Current's path analysis and riding my bike.

2022-04-03T17:09:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510665689299791872
@DamianUFOfeed @paulandrewwells @AnonymouShadoww @PodcastZed Obviously the #1 explanation here has to be "fake".

That does not mean it IS fake, just that is by far the most likely explanation.

2022-04-03T16:47:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510660220082524165
@EireScientist @edwardcurrent @5X5_NEWS @MvonRen I'm not trying to solve it on Twitter or Reddit - although they (and FB) are often useful sources of feedback, ideas, and original analysis. I'm just trying to solve it.

You've got some bee in your bonnet about the proper way to do science. I get it. I'll keep doing my thing.

2022-04-03T16:35:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510657204998664195
@edwardcurrent @5X5_NEWS @MvonRen Making a case for things that involve geometry and science should not devolve into an exercise in rhetoric and polarised advocacy. These are tangible issues for which we have data. The object does not rotate with the scene in the first 20 sec.

That not something to vote on.

2022-04-03T14:14:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510621870793498632

RT @GeoeWatchDebunk: "Just asking questions" type of nonsense promotion. The guy is a chemtrail believer.

2022-04-03T13:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510616394190131203

@Baptiste_Fri @uapstuditaly @ufoofinterest @snopes @OSIRIS_UAP @LtTimMcMillan @FrancMilburn @Akam1129 See wing shadow position. Same.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPbJmsAVsAQFuSK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPbJmsAVsAQFuSK.jpg

2022-04-03T06:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510511927541133313

@Ina_Luftschloss @PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles I first used the term back in 2007, to get a baseline on how popular "chemtrails" and "Morgellons" were. Since then it's been my go-to "obscure hobby" word.

https://contrailscience.com/how-many-people-believe-in-chemtrails/

2022-04-03T04:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510480340749459457

@ztrussell @PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles No, thatâ□□s not how it works. Nobody watches a video some rando sends them.

2022-04-03T04:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510477867523534848

@ztrussell @PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles I have a far greater chance of convincing them by making accurate and compelling videos than by phoning their offices.

2022-04-03T04:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510473097446526980

@ztrussell @PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles My congressman (Ami Bera) is not promoting UFO belief.

2022-04-03T01:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510425106916380675

@KrysDevine @MikeMokinmike85 @mintyhyperspace @LueElizondo @dave_beaty @TheUfoJoe @tomdelonge @3 Could be the landing lights if a very distant plane. Need a more pre use location and heading though.

2022-04-03T01:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510424400079712260

@PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles Well, if people are wrong, then I see value in helping them see reality - especially if itâ□□s some belief in a false large-scale conspiracy.

But Iâ□□ve gravitated to Flat Earth and UFOs because of the math.

2022-04-03T00:51:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510419653255852032

@PostDisclosure @DamianUFOfeed @LuAngeles Some people spend a lot of time on model trains or reborning dolls. Do they feel itâ□□s important? Do they lobby congress?

I find it INTERESTING - and there is some crossover with my conspiracy interests. Mostly itâ□□s 3D programming fun now.

2022-04-02T22:58:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510391208677285896

@MoonPieDreams @JonMajerowski I think it was probably a Lear Jet, which was passing at an unusual angle.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPX8to9VgAEYINy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPX8to9VgAEYINy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPX8pvCVEAMKxaQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPX8pvDVcAE3MVG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPX8pvAVEAMrHUd.jpg

2022-04-02T21:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510374326213636102

@_lucky_jim I'm afraid of wasting my time and getting into another pointless argument loop with Marik, who has, unfortunately, consistently misrepresented and misunderstood things.

2022-04-02T19:57:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510345776622292992
@alicia_carrie @OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure Sure, but why allow them more trivial distractions?

2022-04-02T19:56:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510345417942134785
@LuAngeles @PostDisclosure I've never lobbied the government for anything. I'm certainly not going to start for a subject like UFOs.

It's very interesting, sure, but my interest does not make it important.

2022-04-02T19:54:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510344974507814914
@saucerlit @the_cholla I'm not sure of the exact system used in those videos. The on-screen display seems different.

Regardless, he's conflating the exhaust plume with glare, totally different thing.

It's all a moot point though, as the rotation, etc, demonstrate it IS glare.

2022-04-02T19:38:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510340974911782915
@saucerlit @the_cholla Where? What footage is from an ATFLIR? How do you know it's from ATFLIR?

2022-04-02T19:32:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510339528183013377
@OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure History is not watching me.

2022-04-02T19:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510339198858891265
@saucerlit @the_cholla ATFLIR? No, there's really only the three UFO videos. That's it.

2022-04-02T19:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510338305904111616
@KrysDevine @xWickedPixie @mintyhyperspace @LueElizondo @dave_beaty @TheUfoJoe @tomdelonge What happens to it later? Does it vanish? Does it move?

Where are you, and what direction are you looking in?

2022-04-02T19:24:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510337473766825984
@_lucky_jim It's like when you start reading a book, or watching a new TV show. If it's not that good, you can stop reading/watching it.

2022-04-02T19:23:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1510337158774595584
@saucerlit @the_cholla There's almost no examples of an ATFLIR system doing ANYTHING on

video. The rotation is consistent with the technology though.

2022-04-02T19:21:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510336717160521732

@OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure I feel like my representatives have more important things to do.

I'd be happy to get more data about UFO sightings, but I don't think it's a huge priority for the government.

2022-04-02T16:39:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510295901905948674

@the_cholla It's over the first 20 seconds. The clearest instance is at 17 seconds.

I find it kind of bizarre that people argue this point. The lack of rotation is stunningly obvious if you just scrub back and forth over the first 20 seconds.

2022-04-02T15:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510285471087095819

I don't think it's worth going through Marik's recent video about the Gimbal UFO (which I've only watched snippets of, but enough to tell it's pretty weak). To understand why, have a look at this brief analysis on Reddit by "vcdiag" (2nd post) Not by me

https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/tu837d/great_video_showing_the_details_of_the_gimbal/i3354ur/?utm_source=reddit&utm_medium=web2x&context=3

2022-04-02T00:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510046231292088322

@the_cholla @Spectre67904513 @Manning123Sm @Ee5jPww @MvonRen According to Edward Current's work, there's a distant straight-and-level trajectory that fits the data.

2022-04-01T23:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1510029448841629698

@Spectre67904513 @Manning123Sm @Ee5jPww @MvonRen Pick the best point he makes, explain it in your own words. Iâ□□d be happy to discuss the best point, if explained well.

2022-04-01T18:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509965296785756160

@the_cholla @Manning123Sm @Ee5jPww @MvonRen I have no credentials.

2022-04-01T18:14:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509957437096284160

@BlueshiftRadio @AdamGoldsack It's a problem. And unfortunately it devolves to a game of "pick your expert" - and most real experts are either uninterested, or constrained by confidentiality. That's why I have an open invitation to discuss it with any domain expert who would like to take a deeper dive.

2022-04-01T18:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509956675150573573
@CalebLe74625772 @WebsterWebski
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-art-bells-ufo-aluminum-louvered-sheets-heat-exchanger-fins.11012/

2022-04-01T18:10:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509956401971429382
@Manning123Sm @Ee5jPww @MvonRen What, like that rotating knife?

It's just weak speculative nonsense and misunderstandings. Not worth wasting my time on what will become an endless cycle of "yes, but what about...."

2022-04-01T18:06:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509955488015810565
@fin365 Mellon and Elizondo are quote clear that they support the ETH.

2022-04-01T18:05:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509955205093240835
@MusicAndTruth @MHuntington7 You sure they were not just interested in the active camouflage?

But again, if TTSA had Earth-shattering technology, why did they fold into an entertainment company?

2022-04-01T17:41:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509948991655473154
@MusicAndTruth @MHuntington7 How did that work out for TTSA?

2022-04-01T17:39:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509948597285969923
@CalebLe74625772 Let's not ignore the letter. Provenance of a sample is important.

Also, one of the other "parts" was a bit of a car radiator.

Sure, go ahead and analyze everything.

2022-04-01T16:31:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509931442536472579
@Ee5jPww @MvonRen It's a poor confused video, not worth my time to respond to.

2022-04-01T16:01:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509923843954991106
The original letters that accompanied the "Arts Parts", that are still being analyzed as artifacts of alien spaceships, read very much like a bad April Fools joke.

But people still take it seriously.

https://web.archive.org/web/20010614020521/http://www.artbell.com/roscrash.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPRThlJUYAwd09e.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPRTYEgVQAcJTmX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPRThlJUYAwd09e.jpg

2022-04-01T15:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509923058005413892

@Alien_Scientist I didn't "determine" it was most likely "slag" from the Betterton-Krohl Process. But I think it's probably something like that. Looks like some kind of byproduct of something the leaves alternating layers.

Consider the source, as in the accompanying letter to Art Bell.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPRSy2VVUAciOSq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPRSy2VVUAciOSq.jpg

2022-04-01T01:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509698503907323905

@the_cholla @Dr_Stubbings @PostDisclosure Perhaps it would help if you did an animated version of this for the first 20 seconds.

2022-04-01T00:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509689603451621415

@ITSSPodcast @rdisipio @UAPTheory I don't they would have a problem, no.

2022-04-01T00:29:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509689401210671117

@ITSSPodcast @TobyAM @UAPTheory NASA is interested in promoting science and getting continued funding. So they use PR techniques to raise interest. Those techniques that are often similar to showbiz - like keeping things "top secret" to build buzz.

2022-04-01T00:21:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509687468525719579

@TobyAM @ITSSPodcast @UAPTheory Top Secret is common language for things that are to-be-revealed http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPN8uJGVkBUli2j.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPN8uJGVkBUli2j.jpg

2022-04-01T00:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509685468924842001

@ITSSPodcast @UAPTheory Read the article. It's not classified, just not going to be revealed until the big reveal. It's just showbiz.

2022-04-01T00:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509683601599729685

@ITSSPodcast @UAPTheory It's not. They have just not revealed it for PR reasons.

It's no more classified than the results of the Oscars were, just "top secret".

2022-03-31T23:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509676641080938496

@Dr_Stubbings @PostDisclosure Which point do you think most convincingly rebuts one of the four observables?

2022-03-31T09:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509468427559206912

@yaaaahright Murder witnesses vary. Some get it wrong. Some get it right.

2022-03-31T04:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509388974044811265

@bingbangwham @OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Yes,

and plane is still visible, but sometimes all you can see are the landing lights.

It's the same thing. A plane sufficiently far away can be invisible in IR (because it's too dim) and visible in TV (because of of lights). And vice-versa if the engines are facing you.

2022-03-31T04:08:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509382022287925248
@bingbangwham @OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel We don't have visually invisible planes either. But sometimes you can only see them if their landing lights are on.

2022-03-31T04:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509381041458278403
@BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 @OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @JackFrostvc @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel You can, in theory, visually see any distant plane because it emits and reflects visible light. But often you can only see it if it has landing lights on.

Similarly you can sometimes only see a plane in IR if its engines are facing you. Like bright lights.

2022-03-31T03:44:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509376155853340672
@OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel No, because the hot bits of the engines are in the back.

2022-03-30T23:16:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509308710417416192
@OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Hot bits in the back. Bright lights in front.

2022-03-30T21:40:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509284387623358465
@OMApproach @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham

@marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel A light that is not visible in FLIR is consistent with a relative cool light, like the landing lights of an oncoming plane.

Something visible in FLIR that's not visible in TV mode is consistent with a plane flying away.

Lights up front, jet engines in the rear.

2022-03-30T20:12:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509262189802102789
@Bumbaole1 @nikkinoelle7 I've pointed out a variety of explanations for Nikki's images before. Unfortunately she seemed uninterested.

2022-03-30T19:29:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509251430191828992
@Ee5jPww @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel A provisional hypothesis is not an assumption. I don't know what the lights were.

2022-03-30T19:04:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509245180515270657
@Bumbaole1 @nikkinoelle7 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPHqUMwUYAE8xCH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPHqUMwUYAE8xCH.jpg
2022-03-30T15:52:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509196933578993666
@SabersKnight @528vibes @Patrick40628202 As you get more followers the equation shifts. There are mentions on my timeline that I actually want to see, and if they are buried under a bunch of irrelevancies then I might miss them. Plus it's somewhat distracting. So I mute people

when they get repetitively noisy.

2022-03-30T15:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509196089383022598

@A__White__Raven @SnakePo9 @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Presenting a new hypothesis is not dismissive. It's expanding the range of options that can be investigated.

2022-03-30T15:48:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509195827478085636

@mspacein @Akam1129 @UAPmike @ZaineMichael1 @robot_mafia1 @conterammundi Rocket?

2022-03-30T10:49:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509120609497743360

@james4125 @gaz_et Well, it's unidentified, but it does not seem to unambiguously demonstrate anything anomalous.

2022-03-30T10:43:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509119147992440834

@justmyvideos @gaz_et Lights on boats, sure, all those things.

2022-03-30T06:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509059117406515207

@justmyvideos @gaz_et So, boats then?

2022-03-30T06:44:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509058968915652608

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @dave_beaty @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel No rush. Third hand descriptions are not something you can really do much with.

2022-03-30T06:31:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509055746792583168

@justmyvideos @gaz_et Also very helpful would be a long exposure night image showing landmarks, and a matching one in the daytime.

2022-03-30T06:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509055400385032194

@dave_beaty @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @uncertainvector @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel "car sized" (6 feet) is 0.13Â° at 0.5 miles. The Moon is 0.5Â°, so car-sized would be like the white dot next to

the moon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPE92-vUYAQxTh6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPE92-vUYAQxTh6.jpg
2022-03-30T06:23:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509053762568024065
@justmyvideos @gaz_et In the second video, barely visible.
What's the EXACT location? And what direction were you facing in?
Where is the original video file?

2022-03-30T06:12:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509050955068702726
@gaz_et (and I was modelling Gimbal, not the Tic-Tac).

2022-03-30T06:10:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509050419191853056
@justmyvideos @gaz_et I clicked it. I watched it all. The questions remained unanswered.

2022-03-30T06:08:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509049787454087173
@gaz_et Eventually yes, I hope to make it general purpose, and include a variety of cases like Aguadilla and Rubber Duck. Here's a discussion thread:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/sitrec-metabunks-situation-recreation-tool-development-discussion.12354/

2022-03-30T05:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509033909404663812
@gaz_et @justmyvideos It's some lights with no context. Where, when, what camera, what direction? Where's the original file?

2022-03-30T05:03:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509033510547329024
@BiomechAnima @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel That depends on the details. Was it just LMADIS crew? What did the ship's control room do? Was it escalated?

A visual identification of some lights car-sized, 0.5 miles away, at night? No IR image, no radar.

I'm just saying what it seems like.

2022-03-30T04:53:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509031109857738763
@BiomechAnima @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel You characterized a possible explanation of an event as requiring a "horrific misidentification" and "gross errors" without knowing what actually happened except in very general terms, third hand.

2022-03-30T04:21:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509022981833469955
@BiomechAnima @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Perhaps you should wait for more data before making sweeping generalizations. We have very little to go on here.

2022-03-30T04:12:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509020741794091013
@BiomechAnima @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Planes can put on their landing lights 100 miles or more from the airport. Many airports are near the coast.

2022-03-30T03:29:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1509009960151048197
@dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9

@Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Sounds great!

2022-03-30T03:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1509009678901993472

@BiomechAnima @dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Where, exactly?

2022-03-30T01:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508982155971481607

@GeorgeIoannide9 @528vibes @Patrick40628202 I muted him.

2022-03-30T01:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508981997640712198

@dave_beaty @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @uncertainvector @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel Hard to judge the size of a distant light, as brightness becomes the dominant factor and can be misinterpreted as size.

2022-03-30T01:30:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508979907891589120

@dave_beaty @uncertainvector @paulsanderson @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel That sounds like distant landing lights.

2022-03-30T00:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508965436058087427

@uncertainvector @paulsanderson @dave_beaty @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel If they can determine they are "about the size of a car each" then they should also be able the determine their altitude and horizontal spacing and maneuvering speed.

2022-03-30T00:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508964885593399299

@realufobros @HSVUAP @zcichy @JGAlvarez23 @PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem But going back to the Hills.

2022-03-30T00:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508964607737544704

@uncertainvector @paulsanderson @dave_beaty @bingbangwham @marcorubio @SnakePo9 @Condorman6 @rosscoulthart @ChrisSPITZER7 @JackFrostvc @BobKurbel @MiddleOfMayhem @DericKnight @ddeanjohnson @MC05A @blackvaultcom @billeecox @GaryVoorhis @KeithBasterfie1 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @Aviation_Intel If anyone gets to talk to witnesses, I'd highly recommend they ask them to draw diagrams with as much detail as possible, as soon as possible.

2022-03-30T00:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508963126888132615

@UAPGuatemala @HSVUAP @zcichy @JGAlvarez23 @PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem I'd guess a balloon or a kite, based on just that image. Is there more data?

2022-03-30T00:22:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508962769374089216

@realufobros @HSVUAP @zcichy @JGAlvarez23 @PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem exactly

---

2022-03-30T00:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508962738231562240

@AutumNova1990 @AnonymouShadoww @Inthebunkercast @FallanVV @paranormalflows @studyofUAPs @DrStevenGreer Sirius looks the same near the horizon (or any given angle above the horizon) regardless of where in the world you are looking at it from.

It's not like it's noticeably closer or further away. It's quintillions of miles away in both locations.

2022-03-29T22:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508933157805985792

@AutumNova1990 @AnonymouShadoww @Inthebunkercast @FallanVV @paranormalflows @studyofUAPs @DrStevenGreer Why not? Sirius just has a slightly different path through the sky. It still rises, and is bright, so you get that atmospheric shimmering. You camera will fail to focus on it just the same.

2022-03-29T18:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508876593908789248

@THP_22_22 The shape of the illuminated areas is not always the shape of the blimp. But the lower curve seems to match, and the upper area could be a screen or illuminated sign.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPCbPzNVIAABsCu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPCbPAAUYAAfYcD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPCbPzNVIAABsCu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FPCbNxCVUAEV2OK.jpg

2022-03-29T18:10:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508869223723937792

@THP_22_22 Hard to say. Looks like it has a flashing light, maybe an advertising blimp.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=da2A456wqjM

2022-03-29T17:16:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508855727443513344

@528vibes You are cluttering up my timeline with anonymous clickbait stuff, so I'm going to mute you.

2022-03-29T17:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508855228623323141

@HSVUAP @zcichy @JGAlvarez23 @PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem Hmm, so basically the classic "close encounter with UFO with bright lights over a car" of UFO mythology.

Possibly they don't want to release the tape because then it would be analyzed.

2022-03-29T15:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508834136852639747

@zcichy @JGAlvarez23 @PostDisclosure @MiddleOfMayhem What does the tape supposedly show? Has anyone even described the contents?

2022-03-29T15:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508833734677590022

@patrick92german https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eozxt_HnPu4

2022-03-29T15:42:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508832039952596992

@KernzyP @LFCforumCo @AE911Truth

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.9469/

2022-03-29T09:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508731026222161924

@stopthenewnorm2 @Mr_BenPrime Spider silk thread.

2022-03-28T21:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508552921843068928

@BryanKelly @OSIRIS_UAP @UAPx2 @BodyPositiveBob @drknuth You see if there's any observable characteristics that are only explainable by glare.

2022-03-28T20:23:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508540393477971970

@AnnaWhitty2 @19Nimbus @AZColt @wookiepastimes Sure, all the time I see distant lights, and fuzzy blobs. There's simply not enough info to identify them.

2022-03-28T16:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508478489342611458

@e2_hawkeye I think the UAP report covered the possible categories quite well.

2022-03-28T15:38:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508468572535750657

@528vibes The last option there should really be something I claim to be able to do. Like how Greer actually claims he can summon aliens.
I can't explain everything. I have explained some things.

2022-03-28T08:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508359967278919680

@e2_hawkeye Well, they are certainly not all balloons.

2022-03-28T06:20:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508328153818705923

@TUPACABRA2 It's really about him now.

2022-03-28T06:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508327935211581444

@ufotruthdigger @captainkb24 That is why that option is there, so you can remove them from the data set. So we are left with three options. You can convert them to percentages of "people who are familiar with Greer". Like for Charlatan it's 43/(43+16+10)*100 = 62%

2022-03-28T06:09:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508325268091392001

@guilani_s But sadly no good photos.

2022-03-28T06:06:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508324541130452992

@Kristof27043274 Do you think Greer can?

2022-03-28T06:03:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508323843483471872

@e2_hawkeye What case are you talking about?

2022-03-28T06:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508323442952577032

@PostDisclosure What do you think of him?

2022-03-28T05:44:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508319191266430977

@mobileseaman Seemingly only because 41% of people are unfamiliar with him.

If you just count people who ARE familiar with him, then "charlatan" gets about 68%

2022-03-28T05:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508318185719623687

@Kristof27043274 I'm interested. What do you think?

2022-03-28T05:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508317309663801347

@Kristof27043274 Do you think he can summon aliens?

2022-03-28T05:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508316458824044545

@captainkb24 Well, it's only been three minutes. But what surprises you?

2022-03-28T05:30:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508315640540540931

What do you think of Steven Greer?

2022-03-28T04:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508306426384453635

@e2_hawkeye What is ballon? I've not even seen the video. I have no idea what it is.

I'd be happy to take a look though.

And, out of FLIR1, Gimbal, GoFast, Pyramid, Rubber Duck, A10, Russel, I'm only a hard "balloon" on one of them.

2022-03-28T04:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508304677179322371

@e2_hawkeye Airbourne clutter is just one of several possibilites.

2022-03-28T04:36:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508301887342186499

@OSIRIS_UAP @UAPx2 @BodyPositiveBob @drknuth How do you mitigate it if you don't know the emissivity of the object?

2022-03-28T00:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508234588144865280
@Ee5jPww @BodPosBob @drknuth Again, I'm not talking about any military footage here.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1508231455960305666

2022-03-28T00:07:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508234408960016384
@EMP_Wrecker @markocheese What's wrong with "phenomena"?

2022-03-27T23:56:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508231455960305666
@Ee5jPww @BodPosBob @drknuth I think you are talking about something different. This thread is about the FLIR video presented by Knuth as a cold object. Nothing to do with any Navy videos.

https://youtu.be/HCOlDsrjU44?t=3495

2022-03-27T23:51:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508230294859497476
@Spectre67904513 I don't know.

2022-03-27T23:47:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508229363694637058
@Spectre67904513 Do any of that mean that the video does not show a rotating glare?

2022-03-27T23:47:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508229185612832771
@Ee5jPww @BodPosBob @drknuth I'm reproducing footage taken with a FLIR Thermacam PM 695 using at FLIR ONE 2G. Not perfect, but reasonably close. The 695 was expensive, but it's somewhat outdated. The basic physics of a small object against a very cold and very large background still apply.

2022-03-27T23:12:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1508220395740033027
@Ee5jPww @BodPosBob @drknuth Try it. Here's an example I just did. Unfortunately it's overcast, so the sky is relatively warm. But the thin black plastic cable, which is at 82Â°, shows up as 45Â° when small and against the sky.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO5GYpDVQAEC22z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO5F0rEVIAMpYNF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO5GFNYVcAEWLIq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO5GYpDVQAEC22z.jpg

2022-03-27T22:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508214618564411396

@BodPosBob @drknuth I think the sensible thing to do would be to get a variety of reference videos using the same equipment in the same situation.

The sky is an issue as it appears very cold, and reflective object can be reflecting a lot of sky, so can also appear cold.

2022-03-27T19:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508157567372980225

@MasonWr35230112 @1jovemtr001 Geometry is not a tribal component.

2022-03-27T19:01:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508157364926439429

@BodPosBob @drknuth It might just be a higher plane. Or lower birds (which can be cold on the surface). Using a FLIR for temperature measurements of things in the sky is a bit unreliable.

2022-03-27T18:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508156873790263296

@KernzyP @LFCforumCo @AE911Truth You know what nose radomes are made of, right? Not metal, as that tends to block radar.

2022-03-27T18:47:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508153784312115212

@BodPosBob @drknuth Hard to say. You can't really tell how big it is. Now they do have two cameras, so presumably they triangulated it?

2022-03-27T18:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508150221544427523

@MGjorwell A drone is the simplest and most obvious explanation. People use drones for photography.

2022-03-27T18:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508148213064220673

@uncertainvector Do you have time for a detailed discussion about this? April 7th or thereafter? It would be good to get everyone on the same page.

2022-03-27T18:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508146290705657858

@alienvisitation Enjoy figuring stuff out. Dislike claims that are baseless or incorrect.

2022-03-27T18:14:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508145449378586625

"Highly trained" is often used to qualify evidence from an eyewitness. Yet even highly trained professionals with years of experience make mistakes. Like in the case of the misidentification of IB6830, an A340 passenger plane departing Santiago.

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/groundbreaking-ufo-video-just-released-from-chilean_b_586d37bce4b014e7c72ee56b http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO4BvLoVUAA63CA.jpg

---

> The Navy immediately turned over the footage to the CEFAA, and General Bermúdez, accompanied by nuclear chemist Mario Avila, a CEFAA scientific committee member, conducted interviews with the two officers at their Navy base. “I was very impressed by these witnesses,” Avila told me. “They were highly trained professionals with many years experience, and they were absolutely certain that they could not explain what they saw.” Both offiicers also provided written reports at the base, as is required, and for CEFAA.
>
> The Navy Captain stated that the object was a “flat, elongated structure” with “two thermal spotlights like discharges that did not coincide with the axel of motion.” The technician described it as as “white with a semi-oval shape on the horizontal axis.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FO4BvLoVUAA63CA.jpg

2022-03-27T17:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508130975846322176

@CDVilsack @JJ46758764 Me? No.

Charging a fee to see a video created by a government employee (DHS) in the course of their business is likely illegal. Such videos are public domain, if not classified. Either way, you can't sell them.

2022-03-27T17:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508130283207290880

@edwardcurrent I've been to the one in Oregon. Afterwards I did a 3D model of one of the illusions to explain to my relatives it was not magic.

2022-03-27T17:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508129875793653767

@ny_uap I'm not selling anything. I point things out, do some math, and people can form their own opinions.

2022-03-27T15:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508111396352929792

@InsightVoter Usually ice.

2022-03-27T15:57:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508110967585980421

@16_JM_Prism Well, you need to use a crappy video camera. Thereâ□□s lots of them out there. Iâ□□ve never seen it personally, but thereâ□□s plenty of video showing the shape when defocusing.

2022-03-27T15:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508109167617208324

UFO videos of any interest are getting so rare now that people are charging money to look at them.

Iâ□□d be happy to analyze this video for free. Send it over. https://t.co/vtdDnAiup2

2022-03-27T15:28:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1508103701558300673

@MikeWOcchipinti Sure! iPhone bokeh has a more donut shape though. But this procedure works for any camera.

https://youtu.be/4Dv3PlmGlyA

2022-03-27T05:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507956626094927873

@rainbowland1979 So you are saying common sense would make it impossible to think a flying tent was a UFO.

What if it was too far away?

2022-03-27T05:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507953216519958530

@6diego32 Send them over!

2022-03-27T05:30:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507953139470594055

@KhouriPaul Depends what you mean by â□□realâ□□

2022-03-27T05:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507948969602691072

@rainbowland1979 How would they know?

2022-03-27T01:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507888526523658241

@saucerlit I'd be really interested in what data backs up "remain stationary in winds aloft" - or indeed any of that.

2022-03-27T01:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507888219555131392

@moralKerosene I think it probably is not aliens or anti-gravity.

2022-03-27T00:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507881263494955008

Some UFO reports by pilots are in high wind conditions. This increases the likelihood of large and unusual items of airbourne clutter.

https://twitter.com/DrChrisCombs/status/1507797860175290371

2022-03-26T20:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507824685114744836

@AZColt All the time. Nothing amazing though.

2022-03-26T18:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507783269835685888

@jlavallee25 Why does anyone take a picture? It varies.

2022-03-26T18:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507782957745926145

@darthburty Well, you can refer to old books, they describe contrails just like this.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2022-03-26T18:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507781833567571968

@WhiteWolf3_ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciCzKYLnKUI

2022-03-26T18:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507781598049030145

@darthburty They think it's normal because planes have been leaving contrails like this since the 1940s.

2022-03-26T18:07:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507781190308098050

@Ee5jPww This particular effect is just the camera. You eyes can do something similar, but the result is more circular (matching your pupil). It's easiest to see if you put your eye very close to a small LED light (like a power indicator) - or look at Venus while focusing on your hand.

2022-03-26T18:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507779453534949376

@bogsnorkeler Notice you never see these things when everything around them is in focus. Here's a good example:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ciCzKYLnKUI

2022-03-26T16:45:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507760564814053382
This isn't the same UFO appearing around the world. It's a common camera artifact. An out-of-focus light takes on the shape of the aperture of the camera.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOyi5ceVcAAEKzR.jpg

XendraTV
@TvXendra

Múltiples imágenes de un mismo #Ovni captado en diferentes lugares del planeta y diferentes fechas de avistamientos — Multiple images of the same #UFO captured in different places on the planet and different dates of sightings #SDV #SiguemeYTeSigo



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOyi5ceVcAAEKzR.jpg
2022-03-26T15:53:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507747566451978241
@issaufobro It appears to be a slow moving light drifting in the wind on a breezy day. Maybe a

chinese lantern type thing. Not a lot of info in the video. Sorry I don't have more time to look into it.

2022-03-26T01:32:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507530919103856642

A classic contrail â□□black beamâ□□ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOvTXu-VkAM0DlS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOvTXu-VkAM0DlS.jpg

2022-03-25T23:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507497617650946051

@davpea2 This is true.

But I can TALK about what I know, and what I figure out. They cannot.

2022-03-25T19:57:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507446586925731844

@aka__V @hhobjects No.

2022-03-25T17:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507416589464850434

@PostDisclosure @BrentlyLee @EireScientist @edwardcurrent That remains to be seen. Not that my analysis is earth-shattering, but Avi's results are very much in the future. I strongly suspect that over five years he's just going to push back the LIZ, and the enigma will retreat along with it. I'd be happy to put money on that prediction.

2022-03-25T16:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507393598018314240

@PhilipMarloweLA @MusicAndTruth @No3spera @conterammundi @1911Moose @TheUfoJoe @LueElizondo Perhaps you could give an example of this?

2022-03-25T16:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507392220189499397

@GeorgeIoannide9 Interesting, but adds very little.

2022-03-25T16:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507392081198682118

@hhobjects Kaku is wrong.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/why-michio-kaku-is-wrong-about-the-ufo-burden-of-proof-navy-videos.10912/

2022-03-25T16:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507391766332280834

@issaufobro @AZColt @528vibes Link to best video?

2022-03-25T16:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507391609104584717

@fin365 @Miles90234527 @MusicAndTruth @PostDisclosure I think you misunderstand the quote. Claims require evidence that fits the claims, regardless of if you consider it extraordinary or mundane. Good evidence of an extraordinary claim would naturally be extraordinary. Evidence of a dragon in my garage would be astonishing.

2022-03-25T12:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507338678619688965

@AZColt @issaufobro @528vibes You're literally posting videos of seagulls and saying it looks like Tic-Tac UFOs.

Funny. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOskgH4VkAInXp4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOskgH4VkAInXp4.jpg

2022-03-25T12:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507338098732019724

@AZColt @issaufobro @528vibes Compare: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOsj8U7UYAIhRMu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOsj8U7UYAIhRMu.jpg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOsj1fJVkA47lG0.jpg
2022-03-25T12:41:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507336913438470147
@AZColt @issaufobro @528vibes Just pause the video at 16 seconds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOsi6mpVIAYojuY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOsi6mpVIAYojuY.jpg
2022-03-25T12:34:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507335116695097347
@steve_crazed @KimDotcom The US has interventionist foreign policy. What does that have to do with this speech (other than the direct and open reference to the Bay of Pigs)?

2022-03-25T12:31:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507334427071827969
@KingMilkfart @BigNativeMitch But only relayed to us through eyewitnesses. Not actual data. So it's what it seemed like to them.

2022-03-25T12:30:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507334130039549952
@AZColt @issaufobro @528vibes Birds. You can see the dark wings.

2022-03-25T12:28:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507333662836101125
@Borders750 @GavPat89 @Ee5jPww Can you put some numbers on "widespread"?

2022-03-25T12:27:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507333302893522950
@ExogenesisHH @KimDotcom Do you understand the context of the speech? Have you read or listened to the full speech?

Do you even know what he's talking about?

2022-03-25T12:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507332839733223424

@bosma2002 @KimDotcom How is it proven? Maybe all you've seen is out-of-context stuff like this?

2022-03-25T04:50:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507218304535916547

JFK's "monolithic and ruthless conspiracy" speech was about Soviet communism and the cold war. Yet modern conspiracists (like @KimDotcom here) still trot it out as if JFK was exposing some US deep state, which led to his assassination.

Nope.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-jfk-we-are-opposed-around-the-world-by-a-monolithic-and-ruthless-conspiracy.162/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOq2cYyUYAcA2vG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOq2cYyUYAcA2vG.jpg

2022-03-25T03:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507201784883810307

@KimDotcom @CIA That reference to conspiracy was directly and obviously (at the time) about the Soviets.

2022-03-24T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507125743481810976

@UAPMikey @Josh_JandAshow Nothing like that, just some mild mocking

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOpiztsUcA8J5uc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOpisbQUcAAwvR7.jpg

Ultima @UltimaTheKing · Dec 2, 2020
So why'd you say "ufo fan" instead of Elizondo?

1 1

Mick West @MickWest · Dec 2, 2020
I said "UFO fans" - Elizondo is an example.

2

Facelessbureaucrat
@Facelessbureau1

Replying to @MickWest @UltimaTheKing and @LueElizondo

So now that there is a crystal clear photo of a triangle UAP, that has been disseminated across the IC, and possibly comming out of the water, I guess it's a Cormorant and not a Seagull? Yes, that's it! A Cormorant! Mick, you are brilliant!

4:23 PM · Dec 2, 2020 · Twitter for Android

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOpiixHVsAENtSL.jpg

Mick West @MickWest · Oct 11, 2020

Did Harry Reid ACTUALLY confirm that "UFOs have interfered with our [nukes]?"
I don't think he has. It is public record that sometimes nukes went offline due to equipment failure. There are also dubious stories of flying lights around that time. Reid shares no additional info.



44 5 37

Show more replies

Mick West @MickWest · Oct 11, 2020

Replying to @lucio_linae

What about the literally thousands of ghost stories?
What about the literally thousands of angel stories?

5 1 2

Facelessbureaucrat @Facelessbureau1 · Oct 11, 2020

...and what about all the seagull stories!? Ah, the humanity! Can't ever go to the beach again with a sandwich without fear of Mick's seagulls, why!? oh, WHYYYY!???

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOpioq5UcAk9Lsu.jpg

Tweet



UFO_Detective_Dogbert @Dogbert34664346 · 4h

Replying to @LynnMRosser @Bringouttherum and 6 others

Okay, so why did they setup a consulting firm, to give money to charity? Come on now. These dudes are pushing for alien disclosure so they can position themselves to profit from increased gov spending on the UAP issue, and yet we don't even have evidence of even what UAPs are.

2



Facelessbureaucrat @Facelessbureau1 · 16m

Dude, tou SERIOUSLY have absolutely NO IDEA what you are talking a about. You must be a Mick West fake account. You are speaking complete nonsense. Once it comes out What SkyFort is(and it will) you will have to change your twitter handle to something different, lol!

1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOpiztsUcA8J5uc.jpg

2022-03-24T22:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507118590721359872

@TruthBeTOLDMFer The issue here is journalists saying that UFOs did amazing things when it's not at all clear if the UFOs actually did those things or just appeared to.

Absent full context, people can get the wrong impression.

2022-03-24T20:12:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507087881076031490

@PostDisclosure SevenTEEN ~= SevenTEE - maybe he just misheard, or misremembered, or someone else did.

2022-03-24T20:08:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507086918697172993

@the_cholla Perhaps you can see it better in the high-speed scrubbing of the original video. I think it's less confusing than the stabilized version.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs&t=865s

2022-03-24T18:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507068951292891155

@the_cholla There is no derotation change in the first 20 seconds.

2022-03-24T18:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507059557326999558

@the_cholla The angle between the glare and the horizon changes when the plan banks. It should not, if it was the shape of the object.

2022-03-24T18:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1507057232407515140
@fin365 Well, if you want to get all solipsisticâ¦.

But thereâs a difference when something is decided by appearance , with uncertainty, and something actually being that thing.

Did it âgo fastâ or just appear to? Or rotate?

2022-03-24T17:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507045416247779331
@the_cholla That's the observable. Think about it.

2022-03-24T14:43:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507005174295920650
RT @the_jandashow: Weâre looking forward to speaking to @MickWest later on today!

If you guys have any questions for Mick, you can email tâ¦

2022-03-24T14:40:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1507004426631856140
@the_cholla In that video, which it stabilized for the horizon, it DOES rotate in the first 20 seconds. That's because in the actual video (where horizon movement reflects the bank of the jet) is DOES NOT rotate.

If a real object, then that's a physical rotation to counter the camera.

2022-03-24T04:13:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506846534251343873
@KilliK69 @skies_alive @SteveEd71344497 @TomSuesss Why not?

2022-03-24T03:43:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506839057099239426
@thewizardgibbon Multiple data sources, preferable triangulateable

---

2022-03-24T01:38:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506807603308892164
@skies_alive @SteveEd71344497 @TomSuesss I think some cases (Gimbal, FLIR1) are probably distant jets.

Other cases are something else.

But I don't KNOW.

2022-03-24T00:55:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506796835993579521
@SteveEd71344497 @TomSuesss Are they? Iâve no idea what is on their list.

2022-03-24T00:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506793449428688905

@BigNativeMitch Iâ□□m arguing that the word â□□appearsâ□□ is important, and should not be remove when reporting on this.

2022-03-24T00:31:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506790679011205126

@GavPat89 @Ee5jPww A variety of factors. Fatigue is a big one. Maybe some rare atmospheric phenomena.

2022-03-23T22:32:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506760861615996930

@skies_alive @commdiver2 @SlabBulkhead3k @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings How do you know they haven't?

And no, it's not more of a reach than aliens. Military people, even pilots, make mistakes all the time. Sometimes they even crash.

2022-03-23T22:31:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506760582728355843

@Ee5jPww Military pilots have been reporting things that APPEAR weird since WWII.

2022-03-23T22:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506760358874157064

@BrainwashSyka @BiomechAnima I'm not particularly smart, just good at a few things, and sometimes dogged. Millions of people are smarter than me.

2022-03-23T22:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506759964534009860

@TomSuesss The writers of the report seem to think that more study is needed.

2022-03-23T22:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506754627257004039

Bewared the popular press taking the appearance of a thing to mean the truth of that thing.

UFOs "appearing" to do something does not mean they did that thing.

UFO have been appearing to do weird things for decades. But never unambiguously. This does not change that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOkQ0N3UYAI-wDS.jpg

---



(U) And a Handful of UAP Appear to Demonstrate Advanced Technology

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) In **18** incidents, described in **21** reports, observers reported unusual UAP movement patterns or flight characteristics, and/or 1.4(a)(g) A few of these reports describe UAP behavior 1.4(a)(g)

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) Some UAP appeared to remain stationary in winds aloft, move against the wind, maneuver abruptly, or move at considerable speed, without discernable means of propulsion. In a small number of cases, military aircraft systems processed radio frequency (RF) energy associated with UAP sightings as 1.4(a)(g) Although there are 1.4(a)(g) we would 1.4(a)(g) Recordings of radar displays suggest these UAP 1.4(a)(g)

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) In 1.4(a)(g) a Navy pilot in an 1.4(a)(g) in altitude. He noted the winds aloft were greater than 1.4(a)(g) and he was "fighting to keep his aircraft in the airspace." 1.4(a)(g) was 1.4(a)(g) and its position was unaffected by the 1.4(a)(g) The pilot 1.4(a)(g) object was 1.4(a)(g)

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) In 1.4(a) a Navy report documented a 1.4(a) but then appeared to change course and speed, 1.4(a) from 1.4(a)

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) In a UAP exhibited 1.4(a) according to 1.4(a) The UAPTF has additional reports of aircraft indicating 1.4(a) that appear to 1.4(a)

1.4(a)

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) The UAPTF holds a small amount of data that appear to show UAP demonstrating acceleration or a degree of signature management 1.4(a)(g)(e) However, this 1.4(a)(g)(e) Additional rigorous analyses is necessary by multiple teams or groups of technical experts to determine the nature and validity of these data. We are conducting further analysis to determine if breakthrough technologies were demonstrated.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) According to 1.4(a)(g) during a 1.4(a)(g) incident the UAP 1.4(a)(g)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOkQ0N3UYAI-wDS.jpg

2022-03-23T20:44:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506733575286128642

@JoseMar10156725 @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings Nobody saw it rotate except on screen.

2022-03-23T20:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506723302437376001

@BiomechAnima @BrainwashSyka If you throw in spheres then I'd be unsurprised.

2022-03-23T19:56:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506721479693504517

@commdiver2 @SlabBulkhead3k @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings One could make a list of unlikely possibilities that are more likely than aliens.

2022-03-23T19:55:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506721239586332675

@aka__V @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy He would tweet answers to questions asked of Lue, often with a wink emoji. ;)

It was him, I don't know why he doesn't just explain it and move on.

2022-03-23T19:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506720825440755726

@BiomechAnima @BrainwashSyka Potentially the shape of the object seen could reveal either something about our own technology (e.g. odd shaped drones), or something about our intelligence gathering (e.g. odd shaped foreign drones)

2022-03-23T19:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506712781608783872

@SlabBulkhead3k @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings Maybe, maybe not. The video data (particularly the motion of the clouds) seems to suggest something 30NM away.

2022-03-23T18:44:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506703350816919552

@GeorgeIoannide9 @EireScientist Whose account?

2022-03-23T18:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506697930274603011

@KingMilkfart @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings That didn't actually happen. When I showed him the simulation he said he could not comment on anything that was not public. He also asked Marik to deleted all the tweets that suggested otherwise.

2022-03-23T17:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506688843386220544

@GeorgeIoannide9 @EireScientist 1) it's a different camera and situation
2) Because the camera is mounted vertically, not horizontally, so can track without rotating
3) see #1
4) It was dark.

2022-03-23T17:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506685274310410241

@SlabBulkhead3k @LuAngeles @BSquidding @TxUFOSightings Oh I don't know, you can hide an A340 behind the glare from its engines. Wings might stick out a bit, but their visibility is affected by contrast settings and atmospheric conditions.

I think Gimbal is a small jet though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjSELDUcAEhU_K.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjSELDUcAEhU_K.jpg

2022-03-23T16:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506672664626151425

RT @LuAngeles: Has @MickWest debunked the rotation issue in the gimbal video with a homemade simulator? If so, does that mean this case isâ□¦

2022-03-23T16:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506672605952032778

What category of "airborne clutter" is classified?

Maybe clutter originating from friendly forces, like a target balloon that drifted somewhere unexpected? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjGbIIVIAcEcIk.jpg


. § 3024(i)

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft. This category can also include 1.4(g)

1.4(g)

We recognize that 1.4(g)

With the 1.4(g)

7

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i)

We have examples of pilots who stated that they 1.4(g)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjGbIIVIAcEcIk.jpg

2022-03-23T16:39:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506671962466123778

@BiomechAnima @ztrussell There was a public unclassified version that's different to this. This is the classified version with redactions. It contains some new information

---

2022-03-23T16:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506669994238615564
redacted version of the official UAP/UFO report from last year.

Executive summary is pretty much the same, with some tantalizing redactions.

https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1506663291057180676
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjET1QVIAEn3tX.jpg



UNCLASSIFIED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The limited amount of high-quality reporting on unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP. The Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task Force (UAPTF) considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

- As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on reports that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of this new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.
- Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP were registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security. Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain. UAP would also represent a national security challenge if they are foreign adversary collection platforms or provide evidence a potential adversary has developed either a breakthrough or disruptive technology.

Consistent consolidation of reports from across the federal government, standardized reporting, increased collection and analysis, and a streamlined process for screening all such reports against a broad range of relevant USG data will allow for a more sophisticated analysis of UAP that is likely to deepen our understanding. Some of these steps are resource-intensive and would require additional investment.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) [redacted]

(U) **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

(U) **The limited amount of high-quality reporting on UAP hampers our ability to draw firm conclusions about the nature or intent of UAP.** The UAPTF considered a range of information on UAP described in U.S. military and IC (Intelligence Community) reporting, but because the reporting lacked sufficient specificity, ultimately recognized that a unique, tailored reporting process was required to provide sufficient data for analysis of UAP events.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) As a result, the UAPTF concentrated its review on 1.4(c) [redacted] describing incidents that occurred between 2004 and 2021, the majority of which are a result of a new tailored process to better capture UAP events through formalized reporting.

- (U) Most of the UAP reported probably do represent physical objects given that a majority of UAP registered across multiple sensors, to include radar, infrared, electro-optical, weapon seekers, and visual observation.

50 U.S.C. § 3024(i) **In a limited number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics, including several in which the** 1.4(a)(e)(g) [redacted] **involving** 1.4(a)(e)(g) [redacted] These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis.

(U) **There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting.** Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or U.S. industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin.

(U) **UAP clearly pose a safety of flight issue and may pose a challenge to U.S. national security.** Safety concerns primarily center on aviators contending with an increasingly cluttered air domain. UAP would also represent a national security challenge if they are foreign adversary collection platforms or provide evidence a potential adversary has developed either a breakthrough or disruptive technology.

(U) **Consistent consolidation of reports from across the federal government, standardized reporting, increased collection and analysis, and a streamlined process for screening all such reports against a broad range of relevant USG data will allow for a more sophisticated analysis of UAP that is likely to deepen our understanding.** Some of these steps are resource-intensive and would require additional investment.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOjET1QVIAEn3tX.jpg
2022-03-23T16:18:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506666624157315074
@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector What do you feel is lacking from the rotating glare (obscuring an unknown heat source) theory?

2022-03-23T16:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506665072336154635
@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector Why? If it's roughly tail on, then all the camera sees is a small cross-sectional area heat source, and the details are obscured by the glare.

2022-03-23T15:40:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506657107688390657
@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector Unless this particular view is not common.

How would the "heat signal" of some other hot object differ from the heat signal of a jet engine - if it's hot enough to create a large glare?

2022-03-23T15:34:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506655650406756352
@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector More sense than a craft that magically mirrors the four observables, but only from the perspective of one jet 10-30 miles

away?

I think "misidentified jet" makes vastly more sense.

2022-03-23T15:23:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506652973899780096

@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector And the rotating glare hypothesis is not based on there being another jet there, it's based on there being something hot there.

I think it's probably a jet engine, but that's another hypothesis.

2022-03-23T15:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506651714207707137

@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector Because they are not clearly jet engines.

If it was clear, there wouldn't be a problem.

2022-03-23T15:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506648923674316804

@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1506648727515107329/pu/img/Di4DlIHQhLacPmkJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1506648727515107329/pu/img/Di4DlIHQhLacPmkJ.jpg

2022-03-23T09:49:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506568835238748163

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @SK1LLLS @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy "He wasnâ□□t â□□just gathering intel.â□□ Lue was taking shots at anyone and everyone who he deemed to be a hater" - seems reasonably accurate.

2022-03-23T09:39:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506566311689670657
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @SK1LLLS @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy Who said it did? Elizondo tweeted in a variety of ways with that account. I'm not even sure if I'd describe any of it as "trolling." It does seem rather misleading though, pretending to be someone else.

2022-03-23T09:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506564817871548421
@SK1LLLS @SKEPTICLBELIEVR @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy Here's the 5x5 context.

https://web.archive.org/web/20210225022057/https://twitter.com/Facelessbureau1/status/1364762163437596673 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOhktenUYAMOeyZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOhktenUYAMOeyZ.jpg
2022-03-23T09:25:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506562658077577219

@OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure @gangatoday1 @TheUfoJoe @BSquidding What was he insinuating?

2022-03-23T09:18:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506561078838267904

@Peteholliday1 @DavidJayB @MiddleOfMayhem GoFast wasn't a ground target. It was manually designated.

2022-03-23T09:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506559609678405641

@DavidJayB @Peteholliday1 @MiddleOfMayhem Ground targets in particular generally don't have a radar lock. You can just designate it manually, like in GoFast.

2022-03-23T09:09:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506558726756470788

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy You can also dig the context out of Internet Archive, if you want.

https://web.archive.org/web/*/https://twitter.com/Facelessbureau1/status/

2022-03-23T09:05:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506557654335823872

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy The context is still there on Twitter, just do a search for a phrase and username

Example:

https://twitter.com/search?q=%22explicitly%20selling%22%20(from%3ASK1LLLS)&src=typed_query

https://twitter.com/SK1LLLS/status/1365032049535516676

2022-03-23T08:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506554152414965765

@OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure @gangatoday1 @TheUfoJoe @BSquidding As I remember it his "calling out" was mostly seagull jokes.

2022-03-23T08:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1506551515263111170

@MusicAndTruth @UAPGodz @zcichy It's all on the Internet Archive. Arranged by date.

https://web.archive.org/web/*/https://twitter.com/Facelessbureau1/status/*

It was obvious to everyone who looked that it was Lue's account. He barely hid it. Sensibly to delete it, not to deny it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOhYAkaUYAQ-Wz3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOhYAkaUYAQ-Wz3.jpg
2022-03-23T05:01:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506496253739237378
@valliant @edwardcurrent @Oswald1160 @SicCoP1 @IamDrVenkman @MiddleOfMayhem It's not the plume that cause the glare. Plumes are just hot air, low mass, not a lot of radiation, so not super visible. The glare comes when you are looking up the tailpipe of the engine and can see the red-hot turbines

See example. From the side, a plume is there. Invisible
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1506496079151345664/pu/img/ByLTjFI3xoiFLSo2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1506496079151345664/pu/img/ByLTjFI3xoiFLSo2.jpg
2022-03-23T04:19:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506485679018053637
@edwardcurrent @valliant @Oswald1160 @SicCoP1 @IamDrVenkman @MiddleOfMayhem Plume?

2022-03-22T22:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506390728271794176
@FutureRider4 @chrisotis78 I'm explaining how it MIGHT be faked. Sometimes with older videos, like VHS, people argue that it can't be faked as CGI did not exist back then. But if it can be faked with physical effects, then "fake" simply exists as a possibility.

2022-03-22T02:39:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506098244593483782
@OffAirWithJP @GerardJebaily @spann As others have said, itâ□□s a crow instability.

2022-03-22T02:38:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506098012929478656
@ultra_orbs @tinyklaus Itâ□□s only orb shaped because it is out of focus.

2022-03-21T21:24:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1506018918804049925
@SicCoP1 @bradjohansson21 I thought (and think) that the Corbell "green pyramid" video probably shows a plane heading towards LAX. Other videos and reports are more ambiguous.

2022-03-21T07:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1505814672452186112
@DreamDetective1 Kite

2022-03-20T15:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505573829745131520

@SabersKnight @PostDisclosure I'm always open to good data.

2022-03-20T04:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505401042208395265

@Ricky_SkS @Tomfortas @TheZignal @GarryPNolan Iâ□□m not an authority. Iâ□□m just pointing at things.

2022-03-20T04:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505398325435985921

@Hackthestack777 @JazzShaw @MiddleOfMayhem So, you are ignoring something because you think itâ□□s a trap?

Are you so mentally weak that you canâ□□t look at opposing views in case they beguile you? I donâ□□t think so. You are intelligent. So check it out.

2022-03-20T00:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505336566616522756

@Hackthestack777 @MiddleOfMayhem Watch the video, then we can talk.

2022-03-19T23:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505327594333356035

@OrangeSpaceHawk Just the blinking ones.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-justin-biebers-reptilian-eyes-shapeshifting-in-court.3047/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E7LtFIVZ-T4

2022-03-19T23:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505326954043502594

@Hackthestack777 @MiddleOfMayhem So but your argument seems a little disconnected from the topic of rotating glare. Have you watched the video?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-19T23:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505322185786724352

@Hackthestack777 @MiddleOfMayhem Can you quote anything that any of them have said about the Gimbal footage specifically that is at odds with it being a rotating glare?

2022-03-19T19:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505259568732856320

@SIJA1966514655 Sure, but also incredibly familiar to any cloud watcher. I was writing about this 12 years ago.

https://contrailscience.com/short-sunlit-contrails-look-like-ufos/

2022-03-19T18:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505257243318505475

@UAPDAO @chrisotis78 I'm explaining how one simple effect in one old video can be done in one way.

If you think that somehow reflect poorly on the entire community, then that's on you.

2022-03-19T18:42:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505253449851539456

@1_2_of_Rodney @Jim87504388 Less advanced than anti-gravity.

2022-03-19T18:39:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505252576660373504

@Ina_Luftschloss Albatross, a large and solitary bird with white body and darker wings, so an ideal candidate for occasional misidentification as a Tic-Tac shaped UFO when at a distance. Not a seagull.

2022-03-19T18:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505249030166642689

@1_2_of_Rodney @Jim87504388 I think the simplest (but not only) explanation is that Lue has been convinced by others, and his own research, that the evidence shows craft with extraordinary capabilities. But he's actually incorrect, and the evidence is just more stuff like Aguadilla, Gimbal and GoFast.

2022-03-19T18:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505248756756738055

@johnnycloggs @noblebutts @elaine26459022 They died when the planes crashed into buildings, or the ground.

2022-03-19T17:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505236859764412416

@saucerlit @edwardcurrent @the_cholla @EireScientist @MvonRen I feel that if there's multiple possible explanations that fit, and one involves mundane things that are known to happen, then in general that should be at the top of the list.

But I never take things off the list, just in case.

2022-03-19T15:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505201515589300225

@h43z I suspect there are a variety of causes of mystery sounds.

2022-03-19T15:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505201070695337985

@PoyLes @SpaceHub_SL Not the same. This is refraction from ice crystals.

2022-03-19T14:52:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505195442241359877

@h43z Depends what you mean by real. Iâ□□ve heard, felt, and seen the vibration from mystery booms back when I lived in El Dorado County, where they were common. So in that sense yes. But as a â□□skyquakeâ□□? Probably not.

2022-03-19T14:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1505193199031119874

@Ricky_SkS @Tomfortas @TheZignal @GarryPNolan Itâ□□s the same thing. Maybe Iâ□□m a loon, but you can argue with whatâ□□s obvious.

2022-03-19T00:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504971875637596162

@anbeesley No, it's a sensor reflection of the sun. It's an odd shape as the sun is partly obscured by clouds and the horizon.

2022-03-19T00:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504971649803702272

@ZaineMichael1 You seem to miss the point that in his model, which incorporates cloud motion, the straight and level solution is constant speed.

2022-03-18T23:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504970726335426562

@ufotruthdigger Oswald is in Germany, so he's usually active when I'm not.

He's not me. Even Marik stopped with that nonsense.

2022-03-18T23:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504966306860191745

@MrHustleMCR @MENnewsdesk I think it's actually old. Someone just reposted it on Facebook.

Anyway, here's a close-up for reference, for next time.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T6h1PiGwxBE&t=5s

2022-03-18T23:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504962884282904576

Contrail at sunset strikes again! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOKzsMCVIAEvloM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOKzsMCVIAEvloM.jpg
2022-03-18T22:32:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504949009558827009
@UAP_Therapist @UAPMediaHub @chrisotis78 Well clearly not, as he later changed his mind about the rotating glare hypothesis.

2022-03-18T20:32:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504918716600893441
@1_2_of_Rodney Not made up, just a wrong interpretation of events.

2022-03-18T20:09:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504913024204754944
@Duke87242518 @TomSuesss @paulsanderson @tinyklaus I do not believe anyone has said that the Gimbal object (the one seen in this video) exhibited unusual speeds)

2022-03-18T19:36:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504904566365597700
@1_2_of_Rodney I think probably not.

2022-03-18T19:02:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504896094110576641
@JasonColavito Heh, we know CE5 quit consistently is correlated with occasional lights in the sky - so why not literally anything else?

2022-03-18T18:54:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504893916104953856
@Dicktator_Shit @tinyklaus I stayed for four more years, got a lot of stock options.

But I don't need to prove anything to you. It's irrelevant. Feel free to ask your friend at Activision.

2022-03-18T18:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504891496402620417
@Dicktator_Shit @tinyklaus No, we were acquired after the first Tony Hawk's pro skater. We had zero debt at the time, and everyone involved made lots of money.

2022-03-18T18:40:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504890440138752001
@Dicktator_Shit @JDAdamsAuthor @tinyklaus This too is false, and nonsensical. As a child in the 1970s I was briefly and occasionally scared of the dark after reading a UFO book where clawed hands reached down out of the dark. That stopped 40 years ago. Now I'm a fan of science and science fiction

---

2022-03-18T18:36:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504889499494408192
@Dicktator_Shit @JDAdamsAuthor @tinyklaus I do not make $300K a year. Taking the money I've made from debunking and the money I've spent on it (web hosting, software, lumber, etc) I've probably made a net loss.

I'm retired.

You are making things up.

2022-03-18T18:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504889021939417090
@Dicktator_Shit @tinyklaus This is false. I was not screwed out of any money, I made a lot of money in the video game industry.

2022-03-18T18:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504888547991465985
@EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Who could, publicly?

It's not that complex though, there's just

A) 2 axis external gimbal
B) 1 or 2 axis internal gimballed mirrors with 3-5Â° of motion.

That's basically it, other than the specifics of the algorithm that decides what gimbal to use, and how.

2022-03-18T17:47:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504877159499796481
@McDegenGrill @chrisotis78 That will be a little trickier, as I'd have to match the shutter speed of the antique camera.

2022-03-18T17:39:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504875062930812928
@McDegenGrill @chrisotis78 Because it seemed obvious. I might give it a go though.

2022-03-18T17:38:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504874904105078784
@edwardcurrent Yeah, a perfectly normal straight and level trajectory that fits seem to me more likely that a weirdly accelerating and climbing trajectory.

I still don't know how @uncertainvector exactly determined the trajectory. ATFLIR? Radar? What did that look like?

2022-03-18T17:33:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504873577065025537
@the_cholla @Dr_Stubbings Perhaps you could figure out why you can't find the straight and level trajectory that @edwardcurrent found at 30NM?

2022-03-18T16:15:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504854035945320458
RT @MickWest: @chrisotis78 Those "instantaneous acceleration" videos are super easy to fake, especially at VHS quality.

https://t.co/YK1Vâ¦

2022-03-18T16:06:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504851735096926210
@AtticusFinch_JD :)

2022-03-18T16:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504851640989347844
@AtticusFinch_JD That's not true.

2022-03-18T15:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504849193042554882
@chrisotis78 Those "instantaneous acceleration" videos are super easy to fake, especially at VHS quality.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOi9ZNwHnR4

2022-03-18T15:53:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504848360456425474

I don't have any fake accounts. But when people deeply disagree with me they can't understand how anyone could actually agree with me. So they assume anyone who does, must actually be me! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOJLK1FVgAAYICo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOJLK1FVgAAYICo.jpg

2022-03-18T00:20:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504613716859400212

@spacevitals @MiddleOfMayhem Which issue?

2022-03-17T23:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504604976852602880

@MiddleOfMayhem @spacevitals I was assuming "AATIP Director" was intended to refer to him.

2022-03-17T23:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504603011108147200

@spacevitals @MiddleOfMayhem None of those people (except Elizondo) have commented on the topic at hand - i.e. the rotating glare hypothesis of Gimbal.

2022-03-17T23:02:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504594088103870472

@RevanLaughs In the case of Gimbal, nobody saw it. The video is about Gimbal, not about other cases/objects.

2022-03-17T22:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504591527292534786

@RevanLaughs Eyewitness? Who?

2022-03-17T22:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504584535421251613

@MiddleOfMayhem @adamndsmith And Adam, this is all wrong, as it refers to Nimitz, not Gimbal. Entirely different. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOFbixNUcBk3Zm9.jpg

---



The UFO captured on video by the Pentagon is glare on the Navy jet's gimbal camera system, according to UFO sceptics.

The footage was taken in 2004 and was confirmed by the Navy as genuine in September 2019 before being released one year afterwards.

The 2004 video was filmed 100 miles out in the Pacific. "As I got close to it ... it rapidly accelerated to the south, and disappeared in less than two seconds," retired US Navy pilot David Fravor told *CNN* in 2017.

"This was extremely abrupt, like a ping pong ball, bouncing off a wall. It would hit and go the other way."

However UFO investigator Mick West says there are four aspects of the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOFbixNUcBk3Zm9.jpg

2022-03-17T22:22:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504583903654277120

@RevanLaughs I did not call the Tic-Tac a camera artifact. The article got the wrong date.

I think the Gimbal video shows a glare (obscuring the actual object/aircraft), as explained in this video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-17T21:17:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504567581734105117

@55404elf I do, and 20% of the traffic to my last video came from Reddit, but still only 2.4% under 25. And 1.5% female.

2022-03-17T20:50:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504560914095226883

Seems about the same. Are the young people just all on TikTok?

2022-03-17T20:39:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504558104163205123

What gender are you?

Again, seeing if Twitter followers are similar to YouTube followers

---

2022-03-17T20:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504556447769640964

How old are you?

I'm seeing if my YouTube audience matches my Twitter audience. Sorry for the limited choices.

2022-03-17T20:28:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504555350313222152

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady You can see video of sharks, and go see them in the aquarium. Nobody disputes sharks. A better analogy is "have you ever seen a dragon?"

2022-03-17T19:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504534473727111172

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady What are you basing that on?

2022-03-17T19:01:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504533456872632341

@adamndsmith That's great, thanks! The headline is still a bit misleading though. The glare is hiding something, possibly something interesting, but I think it's most likely a plane.
NBD.

2022-03-17T18:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504532889404272657

@james_mals @Jim87504388 So why not make a useful list? Maybe a top ten officials who have confirmed a UFO cover-up?

2022-03-17T18:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504532446594809856

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady The VIDEO is of one event.

2022-03-17T18:49:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504530479826956312

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady UAPs are a real issue, just read the UAP report. So Obama was being quite straightforward there.

2022-03-17T18:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504530170530590749

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady This video was one event. It might have been an unusual event.

2022-03-17T18:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504529098047057931

@Spectre67904513 @Oswald1160 @bradjohansson21 @IamDrVenkman @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent The 40 mile limit is for designating targets.

FLIR can see as far as binoculars can see, 93 million miles or more.

But we really don't know what checking of radar was done in this case.

2022-03-17T18:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504528533212721153

@james_mals @Jim87504388 You said "there is a long list of officials from around the world who have gone on record"

There isn't a list, so presumably you are speaking figuratively?

If you know of enough officials, then why don't you make the list, then there would be a useful list.

2022-03-17T18:04:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504519017247109121
@james_mals @Jim87504388 Excuse the silly meme, but it neatly illustrates the, very common, issue.

Inability to back up a claim should really be a red flag to the person making that claim.

Do you really know what you just claimed if you think just half-remember it from somewhere?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEfoo6VEAIWPA5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEfoo6VEAIWPA5.jpg
2022-03-17T17:57:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504517363999272961

A tad misleading, the headline changes my "This does not mean it's not..." to "[it] is camera glare hiding something..." (really interesting)

So the headline gives the wrong impression of what I said.

And, @adamndsmith, why not link the video if you're paraphrasing.
https://twitter.com/JasonColavito/status/1504505318033838084

2022-03-17T17:47:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504514783294332929
These are the gender and age stats for my last UFO video (the Gimbal new analysis) after 45K views.

Apparently, technical UFO analysis is largely a young(ish) man's game.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEbPBdVUAM663W.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEbPBdVUAM663W.jpg
2022-03-17T17:00:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504503032104439828
@MiddleOfMayhem @TobyAM @edwardcurrent @uncertainvector I'm assuming not to scale.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEReilVUBUG_r7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOEReilVUBUG_r7.jpg

2022-03-17T16:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504500623512784910

@528vibes @GeorgeIoannide9 Why did you? Other than "to keep people on my feed", what is your motivation here?

2022-03-17T16:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504498930259693568

@528vibes @GeorgeIoannide9 So you posted one with no source, in lower quality, and with an added fake date (later than the YouTube version)?

Why? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOENNaYVcAEeuqe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOENNaYVcAEeuqe.jpg
2022-03-17T16:29:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504495232716468225
@528vibes @GeorgeIoannide9 So why not just post the youtube link?

I'd go with flares, 95%

2022-03-17T16:17:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504492097780428801
@GeorgeIoannide9 @528vibes Could be flares. Could be fake. Could be Something else. Could be aliens.

@528vibes has an unfortunate habit of posting videos without a source. So that's a red flag right there. They obviously got it from somewhere. So where?

2022-03-17T16:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504489699376726020
@EireScientist @OwatcherUf @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent It's like the NYT said: "Peer Review: The Worst Way to Judge Research, Except for All the Others"

The issues are particularly relevant in a highly complex fringe subject. It lacks experts, there's no institution web of knowledge, peers lack the time

https://www.nytimes.com/2018/11/05/upshot/peer-review-the-worst-way-to-judge-research-except-for-all-the-others.html

---

2022-03-17T15:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504483233643175944
@EireScientist @OwatcherUf @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Peer review can be very useful, but...

https://www.vox.com/2015/12/7/9865086/peer-review-science-problems

---

2022-03-17T11:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504424940119932934

@BarlowTerry @bradjohansson21 @EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent The simulation is a tool used in analysis and visualization.

What can be replicated (or at least checked) here is the analysis.

Of course, the first three observables are right there, plainly n the video. Just needed pointing out.

2022-03-17T07:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504363094507622401

@EMP_Wrecker @sirmannynachos That is moonlight. It's a full moon, so it's reflecting a lot of sunlight. Happens every 28 days or so.

2022-03-17T06:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504340918433845248

@uncertainvector, it seems there's a lot of questions about the Gimbal incident. Would you be interested in taking part in an interview where we do a more detailed, and maybe technical, deep dive into your recollections of that day, and related things?

2022-03-17T05:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504332507524726786

@TheProjectUnity @wilson_higgs @LueElizondo You seemed a little perturbed when he mentioned Tonkin?

2022-03-17T05:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504332124555337732

@MrHustleMCR @sirmannynachos The Moon? It sure is!

2022-03-17T05:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504324301087928325

@retromastertoys @hollowmoon54 Looks like a tourism scam. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bosnian_pyramid_claims

---

2022-03-17T05:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504323487678152704

@sirmannynachos That's a 22Â° Halo (the big ring), a lens flare (the blue dot, a sensor reflection of the moon), and some diffraction spikes, caused by the lens glass not being entirely clean.

2022-03-17T05:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504322821664649217

@retromastertoys @hollowmoon54 Seems unlikely. Some hills are going to be a bit pyramid shaped. That's inevitable.

2022-03-17T04:57:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504320971733291011

@houde_matt @EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Hate? No, I just recognize the shortcomings of the journal peer-review process.

Other people checking my work, that's great! Go for it.

2022-03-17T04:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504320234630512640

@retromastertoys @hollowmoon54

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/burying-the-debunk-how-fake-news-about-pyramids-in-antarctica-creates-false-balance.8193/

2022-03-17T04:12:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504309749172477952

@retromastertoys @hollowmoon54 Yes. Itâ□□s the top of a mountain.

2022-03-17T04:12:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504309560391073798

@UAP_daily @tinyklaus I think it vastly overestimates the importance anyone outside of the UFO community puts on the UFO issue.

2022-03-17T00:01:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504246468193906690

@markocheese @ZaineMichael1 @PredatoryPanic @MvonRen I think it was a bit more than 12, like 13-14, when I re-measured it. But I'd already done the audio, so went with the conservative figure.

2022-03-16T23:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504246020246437889

@1_2_of_Rodney That's a broad question. About what?

2022-03-16T23:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504245812615806978

@teenypeenyshow @blackvaultcom Oh I'm sure there's all kinds of things that various people don't want made public on a variety of topics.

I don't think there's good secret evidence that aliens are visiting though.

2022-03-16T23:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504241920066809857

@TruthBeTOLDMFer Really? Because I have people say all the time that "It's rotating" was the pilot looking out the window.

Regardless of the frequency, it's always good to clear up questions to avoid them becoming misconceptions. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOAiqVFVkAEMm-R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FOAiqVFVkAEMm-R.jpg
2022-03-16T23:20:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504236191259922437
@dezenten @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Do you trust data? Math?

2022-03-16T22:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504221900897067008
@EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent To get the peer review you are talking about you have to get it preliminarily accepted by a journal editor. Which journal do you think would be interested in this topic?

In the meantime, why can't you check it? I mean, it's not that complicated.

2022-03-16T22:14:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504219673310552066
@EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Go on then. You are an interested scientist. Check it.

Or do you want it shoehorned into a PDF, then paid to be published in a predatory journal, and have some "looks good" comments by anonymous random grad students?

Replication is the gold standard. Not fake peer review.

2022-03-16T21:30:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504208392398594048
@Stephen03872536 @Superpana3 @blackvaultcom Latest guy? The incident was in 2004. Fravor's story came out in 2015 https://sofrep.com/fightersweep/x-files-edition/

2022-03-16T20:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504198501361610754

@RobAgs0817 @blackvaultcom Hmm, I might be thinking of something different to what you were referring to.....

2022-03-16T20:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504198305550503939

@RobAgs0817 @blackvaultcom Wasn't that manual re-writing just the remove of one rather eccentric chapter that should never have been in there?

2022-03-16T20:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504195998146392065

@blackvaultcom Would any obfuscation of classified NatSec info constitute a cover-up?

Is there some definition? a or b? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_6L9HVEAIqBiT.jpg

---



cover-up noun

cov·er-up | \ ˈkə-vər-ˌəp \

Definition of *cover-up*

1 **a** : a device or stratagem for masking or concealing
// his garrulousness is a *cover-up* for insecurity

b : a usually concerted effort to keep an illegal or unethical act or situation from being made public

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_6L9HVEAIqBiT.jpg

2022-03-16T20:25:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504192186216157185

@JasonColavito He seems somewhat like a true believer. Of course there are all kinds of people in Congress.

2022-03-16T20:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504186549033787394

Congressman Tim Burchett says there's a UAP-related cover-up. Interesting.

https://twitter.com/timburchett/status/1504185688450809863

2022-03-16T19:58:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504185251328716800

@Georgeloannide9 Can you find an instance of an ATFLIR video of a jet? I mean any jet, filmed with Raytheon's ATFLIR (not some other TGP), in the same quality as Gimbal? Or, heck, in any quality?

The sample size is incredibly small, and hence meaningless.

2022-03-16T19:34:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504179398332669953

@gaz_et I'm saying that the Gimbal object would be invisible to the naked eye, so when they said "It's rotating," they were looking at the video, same things we can look at (and analyze) now.

2022-03-16T19:27:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504177645692485632

@OddFlyingThing https://twitter.com/hoaxeye/status/1370747548172959745

2022-03-16T19:22:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504176193880293385

@IamDrVenkman @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Why would the Chinese want the US to fix the flaws in the their national security?

2022-03-16T19:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504175847757864962

@TobyAM @MiddleOfMayhem @Oswald1160 @edwardcurrent @uncertainvector Can you recognize something you have never seen before?

2022-03-16T19:16:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504174713039294464

Here I'm showing the shape on the Post-it is the same size (relative to the square) as the shape in the video.

A bit better defined in terms of orientation too, so should be easier to see. But I can barely tell it's there at 40'

Plus, it was dark. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_mftJVgAMVwcn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_mftJVgAMVwcn.jpg

2022-03-16T19:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504172692198490112

Gimbal UFO. To put to rest the "but the pilots saw it rotating" objection.

1) Ryan Graves says it was "too dark to see visually"

2) The screen covers an area the size of a 3" Post-it pad at 40 feet. Easy to test. You can't see it even in daylight.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1504172499113705472/pu/img/oy7fG0Gz_Gkuz5SJ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1504172499113705472/pu/img/oy7fG0Gz_Gkuz5SJ.jpg
2022-03-16T18:58:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504170251935649794
@SicCoP1 @uncertainvector Cool, thanks! I guess we don't need the "2/3 of the moon" analogy them.

2022-03-16T18:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504167385355329541
@uncertainvector, can you confirm if Gimbal and GoFast were taken at night, in the dark?

2022-03-16T18:26:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504162090713436160
@Capyvara https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1504161634792587266

2022-03-16T18:25:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504162052260081664
@BryceDodds1 @markocheese https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1504161634792587266

2022-03-16T18:24:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504161634792587266
Want to investigate, peer review, or tweak the Gimbal Sim? I've added links to the source code and data to the first post here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-ufo-a-new-analysis.12333/

2022-03-16T18:19:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504160528138080257

@papiflorida @InvNightSchool @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent You have to try a variety of flight paths, cloud heights, and wind speeds, to see which ones fit the data.

That's not adjusting the data, that's a search for unknown variables, based on immutable data (the video)

2022-03-16T18:17:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504160006588944387

@InvNightSchool @papiflorida @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Seem like there's a false dichotomy. Just like how "true" and "lie" ignores "mistake", "real" and "fake" does the same.

Here's a "real" UFO. It's not fake. It's probably just an out-of-focus plane though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_Zcc4VgAEuwdo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN_Zcc4VgAEuwdo.jpg
2022-03-16T18:13:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504158966250500097
@papiflorida @InvNightSchool @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent The simulation matches the data. It shows there's a variety of possible paths, but by far the simplest one that looks like a typical plane.

This does not mean it was fake. At the time they did not know what they were looking at, at least for 30 seconds or so.

2022-03-16T18:09:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504157880915693569
@TobyKillerCat @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent That's not me, it's @edwardcurrent

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rGzJ9dx3n4o

2022-03-16T18:08:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504157624161374209
@papiflorida @InvNightSchool @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent Steven is not saying it's fake. He's saying the data suggests it might just be a distant plane.

2022-03-16T18:07:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504157450999517185
@InvNightSchool @papiflorida @MiddleOfMayhem @edwardcurrent The analysis in the video assumes it's real, and is simply trying to figure out how far away the object is (and how it's moving) by figuring out paths it could take that end up with the same resulting video (i.e. with the cloud motion matching).

Turns out there one simple path.

2022-03-16T17:49:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504152868638453762
@Spectre67904513 IB6830 was right there on the radar, but the Chilean Air Force's UAP group still spent two years looking for it (and never found it) because they made one simple error - assuming it was closer.

2022-03-16T17:33:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504148881000464386
@DaSlick23 I recommend you watch his video.

2022-03-16T17:17:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504144939319320582
@Spectre67904513 This is what the only accessible data shows. Ryan's recollection of the recordings of the incident does not seem entirely consistent with that data.

2022-03-16T17:15:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1504144326477058052

RT @ufoofinterest: A few hours ago 7.3 magnitude earthquake struck off the coast of Fukushima in Japan, triggering a tsunami threat and leaâ¦

2022-03-16T17:02:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504141062545182725

@edwardcurrent Great work. It takes time to do it right, and it's pretty clear that you did.

2022-03-16T17:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504140808554835970

The Gimbal UFO might not be rotating, but how far away is it? How fast? What is its 3D track?

A new deep analysis of THAT aspect of Gimbal has arrived from Edward Current, and he makes a compelling case that the simplest track is straight and level, 34 miles away.
https://twitter.com/edwardcurrent/status/1503900647556628481

2022-03-16T13:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1504089405589315588

@PhilipMarloweLA @Fiddaman Itâ□□s the same thing Iâ□□ve been saying for years, just better illustrated and more data analyzed.

2022-03-15T23:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503878803256270850

@BrainwashSyka @uncertainvector No, just what we see in the video is a rotating glare. Infer from that what you will.

2022-03-15T23:36:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503877912482615297

@GPUjoe Think about the guys who find Roswell interesting!

2022-03-15T23:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503872158174310409

@BrainwashSyka @uncertainvector How does that stop it being glare in the video?

2022-03-15T23:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503870954082029569

@yoyosmuggler76 @Skiptowncat @real_deolu @TheFlatEartherr @LynkLuv Only if you ignore refraction.

2022-03-15T23:08:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503870805867831298

@LynkLuv @Skiptowncat @yoyosmuggler76 @real_deolu @TheFlatEartherr Probably not, but what's obscuring here seems be the blurred nearby floor.

2022-03-15T23:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503870686674112519

@LynkLuv @Skiptowncat @yoyosmuggler76 @real_deolu @TheFlatEartherr As you know, that's an inferior mirage.

2022-03-15T22:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503865471220690948

@GPUjoe All things in moderation

2022-03-15T22:45:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503864985046319104

@LynkLuv @Skiptowncat @yoyosmuggler76 @real_deolu @TheFlatEartherr Not unless your floor isn't flat.

2022-03-15T22:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503854752953618434

@yoyosmuggler76 @Skiptowncat @real_deolu @TheFlatEartherr @LynkLuv https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ogzAufGmBNM

2022-03-15T21:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503844438556045315

@alpha_check @uncertainvector Except in GoFast.

2022-03-15T21:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503844339113373698

@DavidFo73204388 How many times had these pilots seen rotating glare before?

How many times had they used ATFLIR before?

2022-03-15T16:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503766378410496015

@sdk4422 @SlabBulkhead3k I'm not saying anyone was dumb. Obviously fighter pilots are very intelligent and highly skilled. Just not infallible.

2022-03-15T16:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503764988724318212

@aka__V @Fiddaman Motive: disclosure.

2022-03-15T15:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503762736215326721

@ufotruthdigger @TUPACABRA2 @rogeressig @retrewerter @MiddleOfMayhem Correct, and this is the fate of millions of mylar balloons. Then you've got a choice of slitting it open and throwing it in the trash, or setting it free to soar the skies and distract pilots at high altitude.

I suspect the latter option happens sometimes.

2022-03-15T15:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503761656282640385

@Spectre67904513 @edwardcurrent @bradjohansson21 @BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 Why would it ground stabilize a designated air target?

2022-03-15T15:43:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503758777958510592

@ufotruthdigger @TUPACABRA2 @rogeressig @retrewerter @MiddleOfMayhem Balloons also get underinflated naturally. I've had this one on my ceiling for a couple of months now. It looks about right for a long duration high altitude flight with a small payload. But could also be

accidentally released. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN5sSNoXMAAhhKP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN5sSNoXMAAhhKP.jpg
2022-03-15T15:40:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503758084954591238
@ufotruthdigger @TUPACABRA2 @rogeressig @retrewerter @MiddleOfMayhem This is all stuff that's been figured out by the pico balloon community. Balloons are launched underinflated. Examples here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/balloon-like-ufo-photo-from-the-debrief.11481/

2022-03-15T15:38:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503757447130976260
@Spectre67904513 @edwardcurrent @bradjohansson21 @BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 By one thing being behind the other.

2022-03-15T15:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503755553616584710
@EireScientist @MiddleOfMayhem @KennyBiddle42 There's always SOMETHING that people can argue. I quite often get "yeah, but can it go from 80,000 to sea level in 0.78 seconds? Huh? Huh?"

All @MiddleOfMayhem is claiming here is "Uncanny resemblance" - but you want to argue the uncomfortable implications.

2022-03-15T15:19:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503752731764404240
@TUPACABRA2 @rogeressig @retrewerter @MiddleOfMayhem ..... here you go

https://metabunk.org/zipby/

2022-03-15T15:18:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503752567016370176

@TUPACABRA2 @rogeressig @retrewerter @MiddleOfMayhem Lemme write a simulator.....

2022-03-15T15:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503751920695095302

@MiddleOfMayhem @KennyBiddle42 Practical experiments are the best, because they are inarguable and repeatable.

2022-03-15T15:11:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503750628895514631

Things that look the same are not necessarily the same, but it's a great place to start your list.

Also @kennybiddle42 https://skepticalinquirer.org/exclusive/popular-misinformation/

https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1503741570960482314

2022-03-15T15:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503749677610582034

Unlikely things don't happen very often.

But WHEN they happens, that unlikeliness helps makes the event seem inexplicable. It's like a filter. Unlikely thing happens -> anomaly reported. Then the unlikely thing is proposed as explanation -> explanation rejected as too unlikely.

https://twitter.com/Spectre67904513/status/1503746869545025540

2022-03-15T14:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503746736505950213

@CalebLe74625772 @edwardcurrent @disclosure2021 a "GIMB ROLL" warning happens when the pod needs to "unwind" because it has rotated to its limits. This is a very large and fast rotation that will often cause loss of lock, and so it needs a warning. When tracking smaller roll happens frequently.

See:

https://www.afjag.af.mil/Portals/77/AIB-Reports/2017/20170131-AETC-WSMR-F16C-AIB-NARRATIVE%20REPORT.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN5hl_-X0AYqvuv.jpg

---

At 19:18:15 hours L, the MP initiated the high-angle strafe attempt on the SA-8 training target and called that he was "in [heading] 045;" the MIP responded with "Biggie 2 cleared hot" (Tabs N-7 and BB-55). As the MP rolled-in for the attack, his TGP "gimbal rolled" (Figure 12) (Tabs Z-19 to Z-33 and BB-55). A "gimbal roll" is a normal and common occurrence where the TGP can no longer maintain focus or "stare" at a target because the TGP can only turn in one direction so far

before it reaches its rotational limit and needs to, momentarily, reset back to center (Tab BB-47 to BB-48). Once the MP straightened-out of his roll, pointing at the ground, the TGP automatically re-acquired the SA-8 training target (Figure 14) (Tabs Z-25 and BB-55). At 19:18:16 hours L, both the TISL and steer point diamond symbology, denoting the location of the SA-8 training target, were showing in the MP's HUD (Figure 11) (Tabs Z-7 and BB-55).

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN5hl_-X0AYqvuv.jpg

2022-03-15T14:46:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503744455773499392

@edwardcurrent @CalebLe74625772 @disclosure2021 Here's another example of a large gimbal roll, zoomed out so you can see the original frame. The text <GIMB ROLL is clearer, with the '<' indicating the direction it's going to roll in.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503743886136643587/pu/img/LXq5GabYcJ0Wr4rk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503743886136643587/pu/img/LXq5GabYcJ0Wr4rk.jpg

2022-03-15T14:05:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503734175748091904
@Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 @edwardcurrent Flir can acquire a passive lock to track a distant target, which is probably the only way to do it in 2x NAR - at least for tracking.

2022-03-15T14:00:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503732809608794118
@Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 @edwardcurrent Unless the 6-8 miles number is off (for the object seen, via glare, in the ATFLIR video).

2022-03-15T13:54:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503731405393604613
@Fiddaman No, I just think Mello and Elizondo winkled it out.

2022-03-15T06:01:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503612295603130374
@GeorgeIoannide9 I think it was likely an unusual event. But there's a dearth of footage, so we can't deduce anything from not being able to find other examples.

2022-03-15T05:08:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503598947637493765
@GeorgeIoannide9 In the video.

There's basically no other good ATFLIR footage of any type, so you can't even find ATFLIR footage of a plane, let alone one with a glare, and then one that rotates.

2022-03-15T04:20:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503587015622737921
@GeorgeIoannide9 @ChrisKMellon Same place it is here.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503586958156521475/pu/img/isjfualMo82RHzWL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503586958156521475/pu/img/isjfualMo82RHzWL.jpg
2022-03-15T04:18:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503586471533432834

@lolthatowns @MiddleOfMayhem http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN3P61DUYAQThTT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN3P61DUYAQThTT.jpg

2022-03-15T03:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503581546543951877

@edwardcurrent @MvonRen Parallax is from the lateral motion, not rotation. Parallax is all about position.

Initially that lateral motion (to the right of the LOS) is highest, but turning left decreases it. Turning left more decreases it more.

2022-03-15T02:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503553965035700230

@Channa_Sr @uncertainvector The the radar page is a synthesized page, not just local radar? I'm just a bit unclear as to why the target was spotted on SA then designated on radar. How do you know it's the same target if the pages have very different views?

I'm not quibbling, just trying to understand.

2022-03-15T02:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503552574376488960

@posvibzclub1 @ChrisKMellon I don't think that they are lying.

2022-03-15T02:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503551783188062216

@Channa_Sr @uncertainvector Sure, so what was the radar page here? Just the jet's local radar? Was it not showing the fleet, of is it a very different display? Need pics! :)

2022-03-15T00:56:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503535515760414722

@BoydMichaelE The glare is from a hot object. The halo is just a camera effect, like in these examples.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2022-03-14T23:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503518342413316098

@uncertainvector Thanks! So they saw the fleet on the SA, but designated the target on the radar? Was the fleet not on the radar?

2022-03-14T23:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503510768720908291

@dannyinblue @MvonRen It's a waste of time talking to Marik. If he's got a good point them someone else will bring it up.

2022-03-14T22:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503504184661839873

@alpha_check @uncertainvector ATFLIR has a passive track in this video.

2022-03-14T22:47:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503503104012013568

@agokhandemir @KhalilovaGulsum No, it just looks like it's shot on a gimbal system with face tracking.

2022-03-14T22:43:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503502150143381504

@hoveringobject @uncertainvector It doesn't. I'm just trying to understand the exact sequence of events.

2022-03-14T22:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503497741552414722

@uncertainvector In this description, are the SA page and the Radar page the same page?

I know it's a big ask, but would it be possible to draw a few diagrams showing what the pilot or WSO saw in the cockpit?

Also, what about the rotating glare hypothesis?

2022-03-14T22:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503496124211949568

@uncertainvector In the Gimbal audio, they only seem to notice the fleet on the SA after they have had the object up on ATFLIR.

2022-03-14T21:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503484309818077186

@Grayzz22 @CalebLe74625772 @edwardcurrent It IS unidentified. This drone is unidentified. What's behind the glare is even more unidentified.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1y37mVQAEDs1r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1y37mVQAEDs1r.jpg

2022-03-14T21:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503482201148575745

@BryceDodds1 @markocheese getData is a good place to start, as it gets the data for the graphs.

The code is mess though, I'm a cowboy programmer.

2022-03-14T21:22:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503481712826744835

@Rightfrontal @edwardcurrent @MvonRen How do you think planes fly?

2022-03-14T21:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503481557113204737

@BryceDodds1 @markocheese Hmpg, I guess uploaf a file called index.html to a directory is not the best way of sharing :) try this:

https://www.metabunk.org/f/index.html.zip

2022-03-14T21:20:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503481204066058240
@BryceDodds1 @markocheese Here's the code. The project is a bit of a mess with external dependencies and cruft, but I'll try to make it more easily shared.
https://www.metabunk.org/f/index.html

2022-03-14T21:05:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503477354613592068
@Rightfrontal @edwardcurrent @MvonRen Well, if it's a rotating glare, then it's not necessarily a physics-defying craft. So that opens up lots of possibilities that were excluded before.

So in any investigation it's quite helpful to figure out if it's a rotating glare.

2022-03-14T20:33:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503469428901511169
@BryceDodds1 @markocheese You should be able to "view source" on it right now.

view-source:https://www.metabunk.org/gimbal/

2022-03-14T20:31:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503468915967488000
@DeepSpace41 I'll probably make a video explaining the sim at some point.

2022-03-14T20:30:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503468704373256192
@edwardcurrent Even better. So there's really no problem with the tailpipe issue.

2022-03-14T20:27:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503467936882704385
@rdisipio @aka__V It's quite flexible. What exactly did you have in mind? What are these two distinct hypotheses?

The amount of deviation of the line of sight is under 3Â°, so if you reduce the limit to less than that, then it simply won't work, obviously.

2022-03-14T20:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503463536114081794
@MickSvest I'm really not sure what you are referring to. You sent a link to a data extraction. Essentially the same data I used.

2022-03-14T19:53:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503459420965011457
@Manning123Sm @MvonRen @AstroKat27
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1503456351715934209

2022-03-14T19:53:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503459290413420544
@CalebLe74625772 @edwardcurrent I don't know what the pilots thought or did. I'm just demonstrating what the data in the video indicates.

2022-03-14T19:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503458215941468160

@_____fluffy____ @canadian_deb @realufobros @UFO_JohnDoe @ChrisKMellon That's a different puzzle.

2022-03-14T19:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503457734447968257

@MikeColangelo @MvonRen @AstroKat27 The angles check out. It's not like we are flying in a circle around the object. The angle between camera LOS and the target track varies from 16Â° to 13Â°

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1503456351715934209

2022-03-14T19:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503457124109611011

@AlecStewart28 @ChrisKMellon Yes, that's the theory, and a good candidate for a "small hot object" is the turbine end of the jet engine(s) of a small plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1aR5SUYAAnBtZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1aR5SUYAAnBtZ.jpg

2022-03-14T19:41:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503456351715934209

A question about the Gimbal UFO glare hypothesis is how the camera could keep "looking up the tailpipe" of a distant jet through the entire turn.

Here's the simple trajectory (via @edwardcurrent) The angle (ignoring wind) varies from 16Â° to 13Â°, good angles to see hot turbines http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1ZiTyUYAQN5DU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN1ZiTyUYAQN5DU.jpg

2022-03-14T19:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503452855750909953

@MickSvest No I don't, but perhaps you could create something that demonstrates it?

2022-03-14T19:02:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503446560792666118

@canadian_deb @realufobros @UFO_JohnDoe @ChrisKMellon Maybe it was an alien jet? The point of this analysis is that it's not rotating, so not exhibiting any advanced flight characteristics. So it's just an unidentified hot thing 30 miles away, and not an anti-gravity flying saucer.

2022-03-14T18:54:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503444582368907269

@realufobros @UFO_JohnDoe @ChrisKMellon We can't tell. But there's no reason to suspect that it is. It's just an unidentified source of heat - like a jet engine, or similar.

2022-03-14T18:51:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503443838769065985

@ufo_worldwide Correct, it just opens up a range of mundane possibilites - topped by things with jet engines.

2022-03-14T18:46:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503442557249855488

@MickSvest But that's simply the angle extraction, same thing that's done by Markus, me, and others. It shows the same thing.

2022-03-14T18:39:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503440809013637125

@UFO_JohnDoe @ChrisKMellon It's a genuine question. The rotation is a HUGE part of what makes the video interesting. Physics-defying = compelling. An unidentified aircraft = less so.

2022-03-14T18:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503440510563741698
@bobofango86 Far from it. I'm trying to RESOLVE it. Like I do with all UFO cases.

This is a tricky one, as there's a lot of data, but it's complicated.

2022-03-14T18:35:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503439675993694208
@jonatanhedlund
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2022-03-14T18:26:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503437354576449537
@markocheese @BryceDodds1 Yes, Three.js for rendering. The models are an F/A-18 got from TurboSquid, and a custom ATFLIR pod by Robert Hildebrandt, done procedurally in Blender.

2022-03-14T18:24:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503436807769235456
@MickSvest Perhaps you could explain why?

2022-03-14T18:18:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503435405189808131
@ChrisKMellon, you said the Gimbal video was the most compelling UAP video, when you include the pilots reaction, and the SA view of a "fleet".

Is it equally compelling if it's not actually rotating, and it just a heat source, maybe a jet?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T18:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503434610792824834
@LueElizondo, we talked a bit about the Gimbal video on my podcast. You disagreed with the glare hypothesis. I've just done a much more detailed analysis, which has generally been very well received, and I love to get your feedback on it.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T18:10:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503433293412241409
@PredatoryPanic @MvonRen Marik has been repeating this type of specious thing and ignoring answers for months. He's also been calling me a liar, which is very disappointing. So I've muted him. If he has a point, then someone else can make it.

2022-03-14T17:56:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503429841453867008
@blaq_ty @MiddleOfMayhem @EricRWeinstein Well, in the absence of that inaccessible data, I'd be interested to hear what Eric has to say about this analysis of the Gimbal video, which has

actually be a remarkably rich source of data - just not in the direction many hoped for.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T17:52:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503428955398819842
@AtticusFinch_JD @aka__V In order to keep a military contract, you kind of have to abide by their confidentiality requirements.

2022-03-14T17:19:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503420486151147520
@UnpluggedUser @MiddleOfMayhem The Gimbal video (the rotating glare) was shot in 2015. Nimitz (Fravor and Dietrich sighting, Underwood's later video) was 2004. They are not really related.

2022-03-14T17:14:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503419417610240002
@williamkay62 No, I think it's just they can't discuss classified technology, so simply don't respond to questions that delve into secret details.

I'm not a journalist though, maybe someone with more credentials might get a response.

2022-03-14T16:58:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503415385625833475
@steve_crazed I think you are talking about Nimitz, a different case.

With Gimbal, I think the question of if it is rotating or not has been very important and of interest to many people.

It's still a UAP (there's not enough data to identify it), it's just not a physics-defying UAP.

2022-03-14T16:49:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503412896969068544
@edwardcurrent I'm looking forward to it.

2022-03-14T16:34:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503409354157875204
@jfrickingfaulk @MiddleOfMayhem Just my hobby right now. Occasionally people give me a little money to participate on TV shows, and I do have a book (not on UFOs) but this is a labor of love.

2022-03-14T16:20:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503405793407774726
@AstroKat27 Any time you look up the tailpipe of a jet with IR.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503405747526258688/pu/img/vxoj-Tx72URZ3QMW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503405747526258688/pu/img/vxoj-Tx72URZ3QMW.jpg
2022-03-14T16:13:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503403961964920838
@AtticusFinch_JD Seems a bit extreme. It's just standard industry confidentiality and top-secret classifications. Ask anyone who is working on targeting pods to discuss the operational details of their technology with you. They won't. Often they can't even say what they are working on.

2022-03-14T15:59:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503400383774822403
@ResearchUap @MiddleOfMayhem It was not intended to change that, and I specifically said that in the video. The source of the heat (that makes the glare) is still unknown. Just rather less interesting.

2022-03-14T15:53:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503399021557125120
@rdisipio @aka__V 5Â° was mentioned in a couple of the related patents. I doubt we are going to learn more about the exact mechanisms and algorithms.

https://patents.google.com/patent/US9121758

("minor" = "mirror", presumably an OCR error)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0lRpxUYAcrnLN.jpg

---

the gimbal singularity is within the desired field of regard (FOR), a third gimbal axis **146** is provided. As shown in FIGS. **1**A and **1**B, the third gimbal axis **146** is within the plane of the coelostat mirror **120** and is perpendicular to the rotation axis **144**. Additionally, the third gimbal axis **146** resides on the rotation axis **144** of the coelostat mirror **120** in that a rotation of the coelostat minor **120** around the rotation axis **144** produces a rotation of the third gimbal axis **146**. The third gimbal axis **146** can be of small angular travel (for example, less than or equal to 5 degrees). As a result, the third gimbal axis **146** travels around the roll axis **142** and avoids the gimbal singularity. Thus, U.S. PG Publication No. 2012/0292482 describes a three-axis gimbaled multi-function airborne sensor that uses a single coelostat minor **120** to achieve line of sight pointing over a wide angular range in both azimuth and elevation.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0lRpxUYAcrnLN.jpg

2022-03-14T15:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503398223058124801

@OrangeSpaceHawk @keithkloor Which assertion? That the video looks like it's of a glare? I think that's well-supported by the evidence, so I don't need to use any "reputation" to promote it. I just point at the evidence.

Did you watch the full video?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T15:45:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503396817165176833

@dlew1249 You should get something like the FR24 or PlaneFinder app on your phone, and record with the AR (Augmented Reality) mode.

2022-03-14T15:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503396233603289091

@nn_rhhr @ResearchUap @MiddleOfMayhem The video is data. What I (and others) did was extract data from it that wasn't right there in number on screen. Like the glare angle. Then we analyzed it. It's all verifiable.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503395929587474435/pu/img/ll0A-nCML6OPcwKG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503395929587474435/pu/img/ll0A-nCML6OPcwKG.jpg
2022-03-14T15:40:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503395547058634752
@Cernunnos69 @MiddleOfMayhem I'd be interested to hear his take on this analysis. Perhaps his opinion will change?

2022-03-14T15:37:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503394992978419712
@aka__V @rdisipio The green circle in the sim is 5Â° (which was the range of travel mentioned in on the patents). The grid is 10Â°.

It stays within 3Â°

Press A,S,L
https://www.metabunk.org/gimbal/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0hegJUYAIITOK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0hegJUYAIITOK.jpg

2022-03-14T15:23:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503391407087575043

@rdisipio Try the sim. Press A,S,L to get a 10Â° spherical grid with the green dot (2-axis LOS direction) trace on it. See how close it is to the white line (target track). The (<3Â°) difference is what the internal mirrors need to make up.

https://www.metabunk.org/gimbal/

2022-03-14T15:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503390823492161536

@rdisipio I'm not sure we're on the same page.

The white line is a fixed 2Â° (which itself is a little uncertain, could be wavering in 1.5Â° to 2.5Â°).

The graph shows the roll matches, but does not illustrate how incredibly (angularly) close the white and green dots stay

---

2022-03-14T15:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503389641881227266

@Dr_Stubbings A sun reflection seems unlikely to remain aligned all that time. I think it's something hot, like a jet engine. That would be visible so long as the object was flying generally away from the camera.

2022-03-14T15:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503389224568991746

@edwardo_mota It's not about what I think, I'm just showing people stuff they can check for

themselves

2022-03-14T15:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503385461313753089

@rdisipio Well, glare is something that happens when you look up the tailpipe of an engine. It's affected by focus, and the shape can be affected by streaks on the window, or internal factors.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503385185697497091/pu/img/pQ5_BOWP-NNWqKLZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503385185697497091/pu/img/pQ5_BOWP-NNWqKLZ.jpg

2022-03-14T14:54:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503383988454387714

@keithkloor The fleet was on the SA - a very wide overhead view based on radar. This video is a tiny (0.7Â° square) part of the sky, at an unknown distance. So the fleet might be unrelated, or some distance away.

2022-03-14T14:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503383623772241921

@rdisipio It depends on what's responsible for the diffraction that's creating the glare. Aperture, mirror, front glass, or something else - is that thing symmetrical? Is the streaks across it symmetrical?

I'd not that the pod itself isn't a simple centered camera.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0XbcUUYAMsYt6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0XbcUUYAMsYt6.jpg

2022-03-14T14:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503382955770613761

@Monomorphic @TobyAM @BernieW95758093 There's nothing to stop "new physics" from getting hot. It's less interesting because the shape and the rotation come from the camera.

2022-03-14T14:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503382546997866504

@uncertainvector, I'd be very interested in hearing your take on this new analysis. Still a UAP, but does seem to strongly support the hypothesis that the Gimbal video shows a glare that obscures the actual object, and that the rotation is from the camera

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T14:46:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503381940329615360

@ResearchUap @BarlowTerry Well Lehto agrees with me about the rotation of the glare. He thinks it's unidentified, and I agree with him there. We diverge on what the likely source of the glare is, but that's another question.

2022-03-14T14:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503381477760806914

@DavidFo73204388 Perhaps the totality of the circumstances were not common. I'm not addressing what's behind the glare (although I THINK an F/A-18 is the most likely). The point is that the evidence points to a glare, covering something hot.

2022-03-14T14:35:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503379367153479682

@gornalalbion Well, people were erroneously claiming the video showed rotating saucer-shaped craft. This error really constricted what it might be - so explaining the error is very helpful to any broader analysis.

2022-03-14T14:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503378964890365952

@williamkay62 I have done previously, they did not reply.

2022-03-14T14:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503378505442074625

@ResearchUap http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0SxgCUYAYkAIE.jpg

AN/ASQ-228 ATFLIR









In both air-to-ground and air-to-air missions, ATFLIR's sharp imagery makes it easier for aircrews to identify friendly versus enemy forces.

Specifications	
Focal plane	640 × 480 InSb
Spectral band	3.7–5.0 mm
Field of view	0.7°, 2.8°, 6.0°
Reliability	>600-hr MTBF
Supportability	Optional 2-level maintenance
Testability	Detection: 95% Isolation: 98%
Weight	420 lb (191 kg)
Length	72 in (183 cm)
Diameter	13 in (33 cm)

Tactical Capabilities

- Precision targeting
- Long-range (>40 nautical miles)
- High-altitude (>50,000 feet) laser designation
- First-pass kill and J-series weapon delivery
- Eyesafe and tactical laser ranging
- Air and ground target tracking
- Real-time battle damage assessment/indication

Current Features

- Common optical path
- Continuous auto-boresight alignment
- Visible (EO) camera
- Built-in navigation FLIR (optional)
- 360° roll drive unit

Planned Enhancements

- Laser marker
- Electronics consolidation
- Sensor fusion
- Automatic target recognition
- Improved EO camera and laser spot tracker
- Increased detection range

Space and Airborne Systems
Dave Goold
Business Development
P.O. Box 902
EO/E01/B100
El Segundo, California
90245-0902 USA
310.607.7636
310.647.3599 fax
dfgoold@raytheon.com

www.raytheon.com/atflir

Raytheon
Customer Success Is Our Mission

Cleared for public release SPR-100.04 July 2004
Copyright © 2004 Raytheon Company. All rights reserved.
RTSC MS 9/04 3.5K 4053708

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0SgUAVsAA-T_B.jpg

Terminator ATFLIR: Advanced Targeting Forward-Looking Infrared Pod





ATFLIR
(Third-generation FLIR image)

Function	ATFLIR	AN/AAS-38
EO camera	Yes	No
Eyesafe laser	Yes	No
Navigation FLIR	Yes	No
Laser spot tracker	Yes	Disabled

Terminator ATFLIR: Advanced Targeting Forward-Looking Infrared Pod

Specifications	Tactical Capabilities	Multifunction Capabilities
Focal plane: 640 × 480 InSb	Precise GPS weapon retargeting	Targeting FLIR
Spectral band: 3.7–5.0 mm	Long-range laser-guided weapon delivery	Targeting EO
Field of view: 0.7°, 2.8°, 6.0°	Eyesafe and tactical laser ranging	Laser spot tracker
Reliability: >300-hr MTBF	Air target tracking	Laser designator
Supportability: Optional 2-level maintenance	Laser spot tracker	
Testability	Visible (EO) camera	
Detection: 95%	Built-in navigation FLIR	
Isolation: 98%		
Weight: 403 lb		
Length: 72 in		
Diameter: 13 in		

Air Combat and Strike Systems
Dave Goold
Customer Communications
U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps Programs
310.334.0034
310.334.0078 fax
dfgoold@west.raytheon.com

www.raytheon.com

Raytheon
Electronic Systems

Cleared for public release.
Copyright © 2001 Raytheon Company. All rights reserved.
Printed in the USA. RTSC MS 11/01 5K 4000157

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0SxgCUYAYkAIE.jpg

6. **LEFT THROTTLE GRIP.** The left throttle grip has the HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/RAID switch. Refer to table 3.

7. **REAR LEFT THROTTLE GRIP - F/A-18D 163434 THRU 163778, ALSO 163986 AND UP TRAINER CONFIGURATION.** The rear left throttle grip is in the rear cockpit. Refer to LEFT THROTTLE GRIP, this WP.

Table 3. Left Throttle Grip

Control/Indicator	Function
HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/ RAID switch	Pushbutton switch used in air to ground or NAV master modes with the ATFLIR on to select the field of view (FOV) for ATFLIR operation. Alternate pressing selects either .7° by .7° narrow FOV, 3° by 3°medium FOV or 6° by 6° wide FOV.

8. **LEFT/RIGHT HAND CONTROLLER GRIP ASSEMBLY - F/A-18D 163986 AND UP NIGHT ATTACK CONFIGURATION.** The left/right hand controller grip assemblies have the sensor control switches, radar elevation controls, and target designator control switches. The right hand controller grip assembly has the trigger for the laser. Refer to table 4.

Table 4. Left/Right Hand Controller Grip Assembly - F/A-18D 163986 AND UP NIGHT ATTACK CONFIGURATION

Control/Indicator	Function
Sensor control switch	The forward position commands the track acquisition modes when the forward position is selected on the hand controller without priority to the display. The inboard position is used to assign priority to the MPCD. The outboard position assigns priority to the left or right DDI

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FN0SWYHUYAUMfF-.jpg

2022-03-14T14:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503377487375470593

@ufotruthdigger @528vibes @AjayDaGreat Why would I create that?

2022-03-14T14:26:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503377080863522819

@SlabBulkhead3k There's very little data regarding what type of interrogation of the object was done, or if it was done perfectly.

2022-03-14T11:41:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503335498713952256

@keithkloor I don't know. Maybe something unrelated.

2022-03-14T11:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503335329499344899

@ResearchUap I'm using Raytheon's documentation, which says NAR is 0.7

2022-03-14T09:35:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503303901751119872

@DavidFo73204388 I don't think it's a super common thing, otherwise it would have been easily identified as such.

2022-03-14T09:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503298392063049730

@hydraalien @OptimalBayes @ram0l @chrisotis78 2/3 the size to the moon is the size of the SCREEN, not the object.

2022-03-14T05:37:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503244003591950338

@528vibes @dave_beaty @528 Is there any actual story that goes with these videos? Like who shot them? Time? Location? Original files?

2022-03-14T04:53:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503232811980914692

@KingMilkfart I suspect you are actually thinking of Marik's extrapolations.

Anyway, I think the argument "but patents don't describe an example embodiment where the steering mirrors are used like that" is a bit of moot point when it's pretty obvious that's what's happening.

2022-03-14T04:33:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503227892175495173

@PeculiarArtifa3 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2022-03-14T04:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503227017856118787

@KingMilkfart I'm not, I address the patent issue in the video.

2022-03-14T04:29:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503226780097794049

@KingMilkfart I address the patent issue in the video. It's at the end, but I suggest you watch the whole thing to get proper context.

2022-03-14T04:24:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503225624915185669

@ori_volfo Well, I hope you enjoy the result!

2022-03-14T04:21:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503224798461116417

@KingMilkfart If that actually happened it would certainly give me pause.

But I've emailed the patent holder and he told me no such thing - and you'll notice all the tweets implying otherwise have been deleted - at his request.

2022-03-14T04:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503224255906869248

Praise indeed. @3blue1brown's math videos are the touchstone of visual explanations of complex topics

I did actually have him in mind when developing the Gimbal visualization tool - I think UFOlogy and other fringe topics could greatly benefit from more visual 3D analysis tools

https://twitter.com/eddylazzarin/status/1503222585517035520

2022-03-14T04:10:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503222051699453962

@ori_volfo Popular demand?

It's actually more about the explaining. I thought I'd explained it years ago, but since the original videos there has been both a repetition of common misunderstandings, and some interesting technical objections, that made a new analysis/explainer worthwhile.

2022-03-14T03:54:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503217974353702914

And DONE!!!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T03:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503217466532524032

@ram0l @chrisotis78 Here's the full video

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1503217046816841728

2022-03-14T03:50:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503217046816841728

A new analysis of the Gimbal UFO's rotation

I use a freshly coded simulator to demonstrate the mechanics behind the rotation of a glare due to tracking left-right, and to demonstrate four observables that are very difficult to explain if it's not a glare

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsEjV8DdSbs

2022-03-14T00:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503174043725271041

@Manning123Sm @AdamGoldsack @Oswald1160 @UAPJosh @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Transcript?

2022-03-14T00:34:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503167663895318528

@Manning123Sm @AdamGoldsack @Oswald1160 @UAPJosh @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen What is the source of that quote?

2022-03-14T00:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503163081500737539

@dlew1249 What's the date, time (on the video file), and street intersection?

2022-03-14T00:06:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503160560086499329

@dlew1249 Why don't you look it up on FlightRadar24?

2022-03-13T23:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503155763862073344

@spacevitals So, if people make claims about videos that seem wrong to me, I should simply ignore them for years while I let disclosure run its course?

I'm not trying to stop disclosure. I'm trying to figure out what is shown (or not shown) in certain videos. It's a fun puzzle.

2022-03-13T23:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503155249019715589

Done! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNxHtkxUYAAnX2D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNxHtkxUYAAnX2D.jpg

2022-03-13T23:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503154843954733066

@dlew1249 It's a plane. I don't see anything interesting. Either it turns right at the end, or that's a different plane.

2022-03-13T21:49:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503126219268579328

@AdamGoldsack @Oswald1160 @UAPJosh @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen I also think it's unidentified.

It's a rotating glare, with something hot behind it, like, for example, a jet engine.

It's still unidentified.

2022-03-13T21:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503114907968974855

@KingMilkfart @GeorgeIoannide9 @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon They disagree with me? Can you quote them?

Being classified as unidentified is not astonishing. Drones get classified as unidentified.

2022-03-13T21:03:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503114478304391168

@anarchogrind @TOEwithCurt I think Curt was referring to this:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-art-bells-ufo-aluminum-louvered-sheets-heat-exchanger-fins.11012/

2022-03-13T21:02:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503114181725229058

@clgood Too Far Away

2022-03-13T19:39:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503093534320066560

@KirstenDall @DOT50AE It means what we see is a rotating glare, which opens the possibility to it being cause by a mundane object, like a jet engine.

It might also be an amazing object, just doing nothing amazing.

2022-03-13T18:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503082615024205829

@a_turning_tide @MiddleOfMayhem If you have to zoom in a lot to see something, then you can't see it (in any detail beyond a speck) with the naked eye. So if the shape is a camera artifact, an IR glare obscuring a jet, then the pilots would not be able to figure that out by looking out the window.

2022-03-13T18:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503075228984832000

@DOT50AE @Oswald1160 L+S is not boxed in the display. This is a passive track, using the video.

That does mean there's nothing there. Something hot has to make the glare. I think the most likely thing is a jet engine.

2022-03-13T17:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503056269652881410

@DOT50AE Look at the video, there isn't.

I don't know what was on the SA, I know that the video only shows a tiny patch of the sky. I know what it shows looks like a rotating glare.

2022-03-13T17:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503054599397076993

@TheDeathKwonDo @edwardcurrent Also the visibility of an object 30 miles away can be near zero, but still quite visible in IR, which cuts through the haze, especially for a very "bright" source like the jet engine. So it's quite possible it was not visible in TV mode.
And it's not shown in this video.

2022-03-13T17:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503054194713915392

Some UFO videos shot with the ATFLIR's camera are zoomed in to just 0.35Â°. That's not meaningful to many people, but handily the moon is 0.5Â°, so the screen is showing an area of

the sky just 2/3 of a moon. Meaning the UFO would be a barely visible speck to the naked eye.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503053336370573313/pu/img/JAfA06uqNJ8O8rOU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503053336370573313/pu/img/JAfA06uqNJ8O8rOU.jpg
2022-03-13T17:00:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503053273015545859
@TheDeathKwonDo My simulation is just about the angle of the glare (and does include the bank angle). But @edwardcurrent is doing one that explores the possible size, speeds, and distances of the object behind the glare.

2022-03-13T16:45:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503049738534354944
@TheDeathKwonDo It's not at 45Â°, it's flying nearly directly away, like 5-10Â° It's hot because it's the back of a jet engine. Example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503049575380127752/pu/img/CwNyoKg5u5iEaeQZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1503049575380127752/pu/img/CwNyoKg5u5iEaeQZ.jpg
2022-03-13T16:43:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503049186085773312
@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 I bet you $100 it's near the top of their probability list, and that it's responsible for a large chunk of UAP sightings.

The UAP report is largely about training range fouling incidents. Balloons are big problem there.

No shame in not identifying a TFA. It's impossible.

2022-03-13T16:37:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503047630951133185
@GeorgeIoannide9 @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon Hey, "Gimbal" was thought to be astonishing. FLIR1 and GoFast too.

They are not. So I think we should reserve judgement on these other videos until we see them.

Chris Mellon though Gimbal was the most compelling (Joe Rogan). I would be interested to hear if he's seen better.

2022-03-13T16:32:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503046346575544326
@UFO_JohnDoe I don't think they are lying.

2022-03-13T16:30:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1503045888654012416
@TheDeathKwonDo I think it's most likely a small jet, about 30 miles away, flying almost directly away from the F/A-18, a bit to the left.

2022-03-13T16:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503045553839509506

@EireScientist @GeorgeIoannide9 They didn't specify, but it was the first thing they mentioned after birds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNvjv6pUcAU_ncq.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNvjv6pUcAU_ncq.jpg

2022-03-13T16:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503044328847208448

@giddierone It's the SA/steering cue, it indicates the heading of the target in the plane of the wings. Light blue arrow here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNviwK7UYAQKDIF.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNviwK7UYAQKDIF.jpg

2022-03-13T16:20:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503043251808321537

@GeorgeIoannide9 I don't hear the pilots here saying anything.

Why do you assume everything I post is a reference to Gimbal? The UAP report had 144 cases, and "balloons" was top of the list of things they thought that SOME of them MIGHT be.

2022-03-13T15:56:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503037235637063684

Here's an excellent example of a mysterious looking UFO that's TFA. Moving closer reveals what it is.

This illustrates the impossibility of pilots 100% identifying every bit of sky clutter.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nk5FQ1lPXSc

2022-03-13T15:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503029283110805509

@KilliK69 @MvonRen It is a UAP. Just a boring one with a fascinating video.

2022-03-13T15:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503029030039154688

@KilliK69 @MvonRen Email.

2022-03-13T15:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1503028264578654215

@OMApproach @MvonRen Heâ□□s not authorized to discuss it.

2022-03-13T11:24:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502968849162903556

@TooWise2beHigh Why do you think it's a solid object?

2022-03-13T11:23:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502968548766851073

@LtTimMcMillan @HaydenCalnin @MvonRen However, I think the evidence speaks for itself. Right now I'm focussing on first getting out a video explaining that evidence, with the help of the simulator. Secondly I'll explain the technical details behind the sim and the analysis. Finally I'll engage with interested people

---

2022-03-13T11:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502967787265814533

@LtTimMcMillan @HaydenCalnin @MvonRen I've tried, and I HAVE talked to some people, who can't go on the record. There's a couple of problems. Firstly the info is classified, so they can't talk about it. Secondly, their expertise is siloed, so they are familiar with one aspect, but not others.

2022-03-13T10:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502949411248173056

@TooWise2beHigh So, just like the sharpening artifact common in IR video, like in the video I just posted?

2022-03-13T10:02:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502948311375917057

@TooWise2beHigh Bubble? You mean the "aura"?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2022-03-13T09:56:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502946610325573633

@TooWise2beHigh I don't think it's fake, I just think it's a rotating glare (with a hot object behind it)

2022-03-13T09:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502941299418181639

@TooWise2beHigh How do you know?

2022-03-13T09:01:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502932731801444355

@TooWise2beHigh That's going to be the subject of an upcoming video.

2022-03-13T05:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502880923259310080

@IncLuefon @ObserveByProxy7 @LueElizondo

https://twitter.com/mintyhyperspace/status/1502786418585784328

2022-03-13T04:33:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502865513034133506

@sriversmusic @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Why? Maybe it was a flying saucer behind the glare?

The point here is that itâ□□s a rotating glare, which simply opens up the range of possible things that might be behind it.

2022-03-13T02:51:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502839619083116544

@DenseHaute @JoseMar10156725 @schmitty418 @Adhonaj No the â□□objectâ□□ is a glare (of something hot, obscured by the glare). Itâ□□s fixed in the frame of the camera, so rotates relative to the background when the camera rotates.

2022-03-13T01:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502822685897736199

@DenseHaute @JoseMar10156725 @schmitty418 @Adhonaj De-rotation keeps the horizon level.

2022-03-13T01:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502822398982205444

@6diego32 @bdiddly1 So where is it?

2022-03-13T01:16:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502815956644495362

@BradSmall @holden_salinger @MiddleOfMayhem It's what the evidence (and very detailed analysis) indicates

---

2022-03-12T23:23:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502787383632166912

@bdiddly1 And yet Mellon thought it was the most compelling video he's seen, and it's the default video on every TV story about UFOs now. Elizondo is convinced it shows advanced physics.

But of course, it will become boring once explained.

2022-03-12T23:02:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502782190727294976

@BradSmall @holden_salinger @MiddleOfMayhem All I'm addressing here is the rotating glare. It can still be an amazing unknown craft behind the glare. It's just not rotating, or saucer shaped.

2022-03-12T21:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502763817415032833

@BradSmall @holden_salinger @MiddleOfMayhem Some people play with model trains, watch dating shows, or paint miniature figurines. That's not my cup of tea. I enjoy 3D puzzles and coding. People vary.

2022-03-12T19:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502734332875599875

@holden_salinger @MiddleOfMayhem Some things take a lot of effort. Explaining Gimbal is one of those things.

Is it worth it? Maybe not to everyone, but it's fun and interesting, so I'm doing it.

2022-03-12T19:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502732862193250304

@MvonRen You're going back on mute now. I've got a video to make.

2022-03-12T19:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502732486962413569

@MvonRen It's 100% accurate.

I've already addressed your point several times.

That patent does not constrain how Raytheon uses the internal steering mirrors in the ATFLIR system. They are perfectly capable of allowing 3Â° of slack.

2022-03-12T19:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502729636559556610

@Adhonaj Because the glare is fixed in the frame of the camera.

2022-03-12T18:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502711321350971393

@uapstuditaly @ufo_studyita @123334AB @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Why would it be

common? Perhaps it's quite rare.

2022-03-12T18:01:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502706356976988165

@uapstuditaly @123334AB @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen @ufo_studyita I'd be happy to talk to any expert who is interested.

2022-03-12T17:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502699330045571074

I've deleted all tweets mentioning the name of the engineer previously cited by @MvonRen, as he simply does not want to get involved. He does not endorse Marik's theory, and did not give permission for his emails to be shared.

Marik has also deleted those tweets.

2022-03-12T17:20:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502696102012674052

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Because the patent does not limit what the actual ATFLIR can do. It describes the hardware of a similar system (which is quite capable of doing what I describe) and gives some usages for that hardware. It discusses avoiding using roll, which is what seems to be happening.

2022-03-12T17:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502695353031663616

@MvonRen @LtTimMcMillan Which I'll do now, since you deleted it. Thank you for doing the right thing.

2022-03-12T17:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502694387100229636

@MvonRen @LtTimMcMillan Why have you not deleted this? He asked you to. He did not give you permission. He does not support your theory.

I'll delete the few references to his name that I posted when you delete this.

https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1501951018325577728

2022-03-12T17:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502693090099810304

@123334AB @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Sure, but he can't actually talk about it. After I explained it to him, he had no comment (other than saying he's not authorized to discuss non-public topics), and has asked Marik to delete all the Tweets.

2022-03-12T17:05:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502692339550089219

@BobKurbel @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Yes, a patent isn't a blueprint, it's there to protect a particular invention. It does not mean all ATFLIR systems are forced to abide by the wording of the patent.

Particularly, the (secret) algorithm controlling roll would be something they would seek to continually improve,

---

2022-03-12T17:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502691401896656898

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen No, they said they could only talk about what was in the patent. Which I can read.

2022-03-12T16:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502690707940683776

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen And it's NOT a critical component. It's just a good description of the technology - specifically the physical hardware configuration of the internal and external gimbals. Obviously internal gimbals with a few degrees of motion MUST exist when one axis is parallel to the boresight

---

2022-03-12T16:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502690076626620419

@AtticusFinch_JD @conorowens94 No, in fact he wants all the tweets deleted. He wants no part of this.

2022-03-12T16:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502689029334048772

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen People who work at Raytheon can't discuss the the work they do there, certainly not in a public way like this. I did not have his email address, and I'm not the type of person who cold-calls people.

As you know I have an open invitation to any experts to discuss the theory.

2022-03-12T16:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502686493462081538

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen Yes, but not really in any unique way - all similar patents have additional internal steering mirrors that could account for the stepped roll. It's just a good description, and nice diagrams, of the hardware and related issues.

https://patents.google.com/patent/US9121758

2022-03-12T16:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502683645558943744

@LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen I emailed him after Marik had talked and emailed with him.

2022-03-12T16:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502680355744092160

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @TUPACABRA2 @YouTube Why not just let them report on what happened when it happened? Why would they need to know the building was going to collapse? That would add scores of extra people to the conspiracy needlessly.

2022-03-12T16:04:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502676792477302791
@MvonRen And it's quite amazing, after him telling you he did not give you permission to share any of your discussion, that you would go ahead and POST AN EMAIL FROM HIM.

2022-03-12T16:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502676252536164363
@MvonRen How he responded to your characterization of the theory over the phone in January is irrelevant. If you present an obviously wrong version (the dero splitting the glare from the background) then of course he will disagree with it.
He's declined to comment on the correct version

2022-03-12T15:44:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502671798755741696
@MvonRen Here's my email to him, and your Tweets referenced, which I also linked.

He disagrees. He does not want his emails posted publicly. You should delete your tweets.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNqPWBPUYAQBFMj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNqPLejVgAIw_8f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNqPWBPUYAQBFMj.jpg



Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Fri, Mar 11, 2:46 PM (16 hours ago)

to Lacy

Lacy,

Sorry to bother you again, but Marik has been making public comments saying that you have "refuted" the rotating glare theory, and that it's impossible. Further he claims that you gave him "*permission to share our exchange (above) refuting Mick's explanation for the choppy rotation in "Gimbal."*"

https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1501951018325577728

I think that you were only answering strictly in the context of what is written in the patent. I know you are largely constrained from discussing more, but given the evidence I presented previously (specifically the very close correlation of glare angle with pod roll, and the lack of rotation when the jet banks) do you agree with his characterization?

And, trying to stay essentially within the patent's technology, is it possible (in the sense of "other embodiments" of the four axis gimbal system) that some embodiment might use the internal steering mirrors to more generally minimize the use of the main roll axis when the line of sight does not deviate more than 3°?

Regards,

Mick

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNqPBJFUcAIvJAu.jpg

2022-03-12T15:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502668138457944066

@Cosmic_Mystery0 @TUPACABRA2 @YouTube What do you think? Why would it help to pre-notify the media. Think about it. What is the benefit vs risk?

2022-03-12T15:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502666969941639169

@MvonRen I sent him your tweets. You said he refuted my theory. He has very explicitly told you that is false and that he did NOT give you permission to share his communications.

Itâ□□s sad you are going down this road.

2022-03-12T15:01:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502661088701153285

@conorowens94 I did. He said he's not authorized to discuss anything beyond what is in the published patent, and disagrees with Marik's Tweets.

2022-03-12T12:34:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502624024764960770

@_NTME9_ The rotation of the pod is derived from the rotation of the glare. Unfortunately there's a loss of lock and video corruption at that point, so the derived data is a little noisy.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNpkPXBVcAA-jYq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNpkPXBVcAA-jYq.jpg

2022-03-12T12:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502622639038234631

@BarlowTerry That's what checking a theory consists of. Does the model fit the data? If it does not, then you've disproved it.

So I check to see if the rotating glare hypothesis fits. It fits. I've not found a good alternate model that explains the data and is not matrix-level weirdness.

2022-03-12T12:22:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502620951040561155

@_NTME9_ In what way?

2022-03-12T12:14:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502618944556785672

Gimbal simulator

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1502618426598047746/pu/img/0sZmedSSAqf-_aK0.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1502618426598047746/pu/img/0sZmedSSAqf-_aK0.jpg

2022-03-12T12:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502618327067201540

@GaryUAPHuckerby @leakim66 And what evidence are you basing that on?

2022-03-12T11:59:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502615332673884162

@GaryUAPHuckerby @leakim66 What do you think I'm missing?

2022-03-12T11:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502612526151786497

@GaryUAPHuckerby @leakim66 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1502596844920090624

2022-03-12T11:41:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502610659665608705

@BarlowTerry @EireScientist @MvonRen Where it should go is Marik deleting the tweets like

"A top Raytheon engineer refuted UFO â□□debunkerâ□□ @MickWestâ□□s theory for the â□□Gimbalâ□□ video."

because this is false, and denied by that engineer.

2022-03-12T11:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502609597164494851

@EireScientist @BarlowTerry @MvonRen I explained the hypothesis to him. He declined to offer an opinion, saying he could only comment on what was in the public patents.

2022-03-12T09:14:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502573664939622400

@MvonRen Why haven't you deleted this thread?

2022-03-12T05:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502510616220635139

@ashworth_mick An iPhone can take a perfectly fine photo of a plane 1km away.

2022-03-12T02:50:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502477225756487682

RT @leahmcelrath: .@raif_badawi was sentenced to 10 years and 1000 lashes for blogging.

Iâ□□ve been tweeting about his situation for yearsâ□□aâ□¦

2022-03-11T23:45:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502430633666088964

@mattUFOski After Effects and lots of work. Described in the pinned comment.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RGVIQxtH8e4&lc=UgyADcTWpGyG2cnCJXR4AaABAg

---

2022-03-11T22:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502409984830701571

@KilliK69 @MvonRen No, not at all. It relies on the fact that there's additional steering mirrors which can control the beam instead of using roll. This is a true fact, validated by the patent, and consistent with observations.

2022-03-11T22:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502404791481167873

@KilliK69 @MvonRen I did. He said he's not authorized to discuss anything beyond what is in the published patent.

2022-03-11T20:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502383561659150336

@fin365 @gangatoday1 @WissiW Like magic.

2022-03-11T20:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502383394314850305

@MatthewBVarney Obviously not. The point is that not all planes are six miles away.

2022-03-11T20:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502383097832087552

@DanielFlego @Jim87504388 No, it was removed after. Dug out of the rubble.

2022-03-11T20:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502382111168204800

@gangatoday1 @fin365 @WissiW So it disables all cameras over several square miles?

2022-03-11T20:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502381619939659776

@GaryMcLaughlin1 @phases2021 I emailed him again today. He said he's only authorized to comment on the patents, and nothing beyond.

2022-03-11T18:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502355982201982977

@OMApproach So you are saying it's literally impossible to take a good photo or video of something that people see only occasionally?

How did I get a photo of a U2 then? I only saw it once, flying over my house?

2022-03-11T18:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502354653450620930

@Spectre67904513 @BigFootCyberArk @Jim87504388 @SpaceChecken @528vibes The camera is moving left to right. You see the wires move with the object - especially the lower one which is a bit more irregular, so you see the motion better.

Image stabilization keeps them in-line

2022-03-11T18:41:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502354003098697730

@fin365 @WissiW Sure, but you saw them unambiguously. So they can also be photographed unambiguously.

2022-03-11T18:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502353354466287616

@BearishTerror I'm more an expert in 3D math and programming than in video game design.

Really it boils down to doing analysis that other people can then check, so it's not about my opinion, but about facts that I point out.

2022-03-11T18:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502352946733846528

RT @Daniel_Loxton: Thinking about @MickWest's most recent @SkeptInquirer article, "Truth Gets Its Boots On," which urges debunkers to "Respâ¦

2022-03-11T17:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502337509908312064

@EireScientist @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @DeepSpace41 But not at odds with these particular photos.

2022-03-11T17:30:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502336290703822848

@SGuy8bit @Martin45156381 Example. Very rare plane. Good photo with a phone.

https://twitter.com/wxdam/status/1502335616809324549

2022-03-11T17:29:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502335897064251395

@Pewpew841 @RobAgs0817 Marik is talking about how he thinks that the rotation would be smooth. That's what I mention.

2022-03-11T16:52:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502326491329150976

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 Sorry Marik, I'm not going to waste any more time with you. I'll go make a video which will help people understand the issue.

I'm going to mute you now.

2022-03-11T16:49:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502325744273281024

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 I don't want to further waste his time, as he's not actually disagreeing with me, because you didn't explain the issue.

The issue is the ROTATION of the streaks. He just speculated about the cause of them and concluded "No idea, Any are possible".

2022-03-11T16:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502323559896473610

@Pewpew841 @MvonRen He can talk about the patent, as it's a public document.

I raised Mariks objection in the email: "The issue Marik raised ...." and the "puzzle" near the end.

2022-03-11T16:25:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502319778198745088

@Pewpew841 @MvonRen I have emailed him, he did not respond. I suspect his NDA with Raytheon prevents him from discussing it. Or maybe he's just not interested.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlPlOTVUAMcTgX.jpg

Gmail

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com>

RE: Video

Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Wed, Feb 23, 2022 at 4:55 PM
To: Marik von Rennenkampff <[redacted]>
Cc: Lacy Cook <[redacted]>, [redacted]

Lacey,

The 34 seconds of the "Gimbal" video have been a fascinating puzzle, and I appreciate you taking an interest, especially as your name is on many of the patents that seem to relate.

I feel that the rotating glare hypothesis is by far the most likely, as there are multiple observations that are very difficult to explain by any other scenario. Very briefly, the hypothesis is that we only see the glare from the jet engines, obscuring the plane (or other craft). As the head of the pod rotates to track the target, any glare remains relative to the frame of the head - i.e. fixed in the frame of the image before derotation. Hence when there's a tracking rotation that needs a derotation to restore the orientation of the horizon, the glare will appear to rotate (while the horizon will not). Conversely, when the pod rolls with the jet's banking the glare will appear NOT to rotate. We observe evidence for this in four main ways

1. During the first 20 seconds of the video, the plane banks three times, for a total rotation of 12°, during that time the object/glare does NOT rotate, even though everything else in the scene does. This is best explained by it being fixed in the frame of the camera.
2. When the object/glare appears to rotate, it is preceded by a camera bump. This suggests the rotation correlates with coarse camera motion, like starting a roll.
3. Also during the apparent rotations, and independent of the rotation of the horizon, there's a corresponding rotation of light patterns in the sky.
4. The apparent rotation matches *incredibly* well the expected roll of the pod head in tracking a target that's 2° down, accounting for bank and pitch.

I feel all these together (and the fact that the US Navy called the video "Gimbal") pretty much prove the case. The issue Mark raises is why there is a stair-step following of the expected rotation graph, rather than a smooth, continuous rotation.

To investigate this I wrote an interactive simulator of the various angles involved, with data scraped from the video. You can find that here:
https://www.metabunk.org/gimbal/



The white track (between the two grey dots) is a track of 2° down from 54°left (jet's POV) to 7° right. If only using two axes, then the roll angle of the pod would give you the white line on the graph on the left (this incorporates changes in the jet roll, hence not perfectly smooth)



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlPlOTVUAMcTgX.jpg
2022-03-11T16:15:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502317397973241862
@DreamDetective1 @DeepSpace41 What's your point. You think there's loads of clear UFO photos, but nobody is seeing them because many people don't know how to crop them before uploading?

2022-03-11T16:14:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502316991192834051
@GeorgeIoannide9 @retromastertoys @528vibes and? another blurry photo, looks like a mylar balloon.

2022-03-11T16:13:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502316750217494530
@Martin45156381 1990 was before mobile phones, and before the internet.

If such an alleged event happened now there would be high resolution photos on the internet in minutes. Instead our wonderful phone get UFO photos like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlMP22VgAMlKEl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlMP22VgAMlKEl.jpg
2022-03-11T16:04:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502314474174226435
@AlexUnwise But not all aircraft photos are low information.

All UFO photos are. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlK0uWVUAQsGOD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlK0uWVUAQsGOD.jpg

2022-03-11T15:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502313055245402116

@DreamDetective1 @DeepSpace41 If it's a good photo you can crop it to get full resolution on the object

---

2022-03-11T15:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502311773109555201

@Georgeloannide9 @retromastertoys @528vibes Blurry.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlljTKUcAA3iaH.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlljTKUcAA3iaH.jpg

2022-03-11T15:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502311268228632578

@BarlowTerry @DeepSpace41 If there were excessive amounts of balloons fouling ranges then that alone would justify expending resources on addressing the problem of UAPs.

Spending money isn't a advanced-tech-smoking-gun.

2022-03-11T15:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502308420560424963

@BarlowTerry @DeepSpace41 If they have clear photos, why don't they release them? Why don't they leak.

They have already, according to you, said those photos exist, so what is the problem?

Or did they mean "clear" like these: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlFfWgVUAEXgTv.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlFfWgVUAEXgTv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlFfWfUcAIGJHh.jpg

2022-03-11T15:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502307463156011010

@retromastertoys @528vibes Yes, there's lots of old UFO photos. But where are the 20 megapixel color HDR 2x zoom versions of those photo now that everyone is carrying around such a camera?

2022-03-11T15:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502307012595486721

@GeorgeIoannide9 @WissiW Yes. And if you had an iPhone 13 Pro, you'd be able to see it just as clearly in that.

If the plane was closer, you'd be able to take a clear photo with your XR.

2022-03-11T15:28:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502305552709259265

@528vibes And it's also old, which is the point. If someone took that photo with a modern camera, you would be able to see what it was, and it would never have become a UFO photo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlC0uaVQAUlcyd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlC0uTVUAIl-sz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlC0uaVQAUlcyd.jpg

2022-03-11T15:25:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502304694101688322

@528vibes Looks like a lenticular cloud. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlCE8_VcAYn7dJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlCE8_VcAYn7dJ.jpg

2022-03-11T15:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502303876208234499

@WissiW Very few UFO photos are fake. They are mostly stuff like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlBZlmVcAIJndb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlBVAhVEAIWBfA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlBNFlVgAAoWw4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlBZlmVcAIJndb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNlBTlGVkAgwyk9.jpg
2022-03-11T15:19:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502303098374529029
@keithkloor @MvonRen Sure, but if other people don't understand that, then they might think he has a point with his cherry picking of pocket experts.

2022-03-11T14:46:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502294799386177547
@WissiW People claim to see them clearly. Are they lying? Bent light is the same for a camera or your eyes.

2022-03-11T14:37:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502292759788818435
Are planes ALWAY too far away to take photos? No, they are not. There are many great photos of planes taken with an iPhone.

Are UFOs always TFA? In the LIZ, the Low Information Zone? Yes they are. All UFO photos are ambiguous.

Why is that? https://t.co/HDvB0aQuFi

2022-03-11T14:33:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502291732964077579
@GaryMcLaughlin1 @phases2021 @MvonRen I would be happy to, and I suggested that to him, but I have not heard back.

2022-03-11T11:03:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502238662293344262
@528vibes @MvonRen I'll fix any mistakes. The video covers quite a bit.

2022-03-11T11:00:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502237974070968320
@CmikFa I did. He did not respond. Probably because he can only talk about public documents.

2022-03-11T10:58:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502237422813585408
@MvonRen All of it. You are grossly mischaracterizing the exchange, extracting individual words and then putting you own interpretation on it. He did not not refute my theory in the least. When I emailed him about it he simply did not respond. You are filtering and misrepresenting.

2022-03-11T03:07:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502119093075263488
@MvonRen Really his responses seem to support my theory. So I'd be happy if you post them all, as you said he gave you permission to?

2022-03-11T03:00:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1502117248852369416
@MvonRen Again, you are filtering. I thought he said you could share the exchange. Where did

you post it?

2022-03-11T02:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502110125682610177

@MvonRen "You claim the de-ro device fails to rotate the full scene because of smudged glass."

Sorry Marik, you clear don't understand. Or pretend you don't.

Dero rotates the entire image that comes into the dero. It can't fail to rotate anything.

2022-03-11T02:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502109628410183681

@MvonRen @davpea2 @phases2021 Well, he didn't refute me directly, did he? When i give him the more detailed explanation he simply remained silent.

2022-03-11T02:29:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502109353133764611

@MvonRen It is unfortunate he's not going to expound on the topic, so really all we've got is your interpretation.

Anyway I'll put up a video in about a week, it should be helpful.

2022-03-11T02:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502103112634417153

@MvonRen You explained it like Corbell explained it. Wrong. So of course he would disagree.

2022-03-11T02:02:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502102552116031495

@MvonRen @davpea2 @phases2021 You are filtering again.

2022-03-11T00:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502085133590949888

@APECoverloading @OwatcherUf @WilliamFergie If it rolls much past 45Â° there's no lift. It rolls past wings vertical, without falling. Also it looks like a flying saucer.

2022-03-11T00:51:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502084804258385924

@davpea2 @phases2021 @MvonRen How do you know?

2022-03-11T00:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502084227390578690

@WilliamFergie @OwatcherUf The ATFLIR sensor is in the non-rotating part of the camera. The glare orientation is determined by the front part, which rotates. (rolls) to track things. The rotation of this front part rotates the image, but not the glare in the frame of what get sent back and through the dero

---

2022-03-10T23:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502060444432756736

@MvonRen The dero rotates the entire image, including the glare.

2022-03-10T22:08:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502043648300503044

@MvonRen Marik told him my theory was that "the de-rotation device rotates the scene, but not the glare." - which is both obviously wrong, and the same obviously wrong version of the theory that Corbell asked his expert about.

2022-03-10T21:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502036262475108359

@AtticusFinch_JD I asked, and he did not respond. That would be ideal, as you can't easily describe these things without visuals.

2022-03-10T21:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502030806654488597

@Bhima_Jenkins @chefebarbosa @MvonRen That's not what I'm doing.

2022-03-10T21:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502030693467000849

@OwatcherUf @WilliamFergie "gimbal rotates at a different rate from background" - because it's a glare (with something hot behind it). This is most apparent over the first 20 seconds when it does not rotate, but the background does, but most people focus on the large rotations

---

2022-03-10T20:35:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502020424430882824

@MvonRen It would be helpful to people following the conversation if you don't ask the exact same question in three different places. Especially after I've answered it twice.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1502019348398968844

2022-03-10T20:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502019960415031302

@Flyingh43892139 @ChrisSPITZER7 I think the smoke is probably coming from burning fuel on the surface, or a boat that's very nearly, or just, sunk.

2022-03-10T20:32:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502019551533305872

@MvonRen https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1502019348398968844

2022-03-10T20:31:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502019348398968844

@MvonRen @phases2021 I'd be very happy to talk directly with those experts.

I'm not going through your filter.

2022-03-10T20:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502011879400501251

@EireScientist I emailed him. He did not respond. As always I'd be happy to talk to anyone who has relevant experience.

The problem is they can't talk about the classified stuff, like actual implementations in use.

2022-03-10T19:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502011092536487936

@phases2021 @MvonRen I don't, but unless his refutation can explain the four observables, then it's not actually a refutation. It's just him answering Marik's narrow questions.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNg27ZEVcAQLzKG.jpg

---

1. During the first 20 seconds of the video, the plane banks three times, for a total rotation of 12*, during that time the object/glare does NOT rotate, even though everything else in the scene does. This is best explained by it being fixed in the frame of the camera.
2. When the object/glare appears to rotate, it is preceded by a camera bump. This suggests the rotation correlates with coarse camera motion, like starting a roll.
3. Also during the apparent rotations, and independent of the rotation of the horizon, there's a corresponding rotation of light patterns in the sky.
4. The apparent rotation matches *incredibly well* the expected roll of the pod head in tracking a target that's 2° down, accounting for bank and pitch.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNg27ZEVcAQLzKG.jpg

2022-03-10T19:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502010066240630794

@MvonRen https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1502009976360890373

2022-03-10T19:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1502009976360890373

Nobody refuted my theory.

Lacy Cook is only able to comment on public documents, like his patent, which only gives examples of implementation. He was asked rather narrow questions, and presented with a wrong version of the theory.

More detailed video in a week. https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1501951018325577728

2022-03-10T15:50:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501948502099705866

@528vibes @BigFootCyberArk @UFOUAPSIGHTING @SpaceChecken The "splitting" is clearly a camera artifact. Possibly related to digital image stabilization.

2022-03-10T15:39:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501945794454118406

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @tacticaluap What are the legal limits?

2022-03-10T15:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501945627847970818

@BigFootCyberArk @528vibes @UFOUAPSIGHTING @SpaceChecken There's insufficient information in this video to solve it, so all you can do is find things that fit. LIZ. Low Information Zone.

If you had a better camera, then this almost certainly would have been identified.

2022-03-10T15:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501945159302332424
A rapidly flickering Tic-Tac UFO kite.

This is not intended to explain any particular case, but kites are always something to consider. There are a lot more types of kite than you might think.

https://youtu.be/4rlvMZ66-WQ?t=120

2022-03-10T15:33:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501944258030301186
@BigFootCyberArk @UFOUAPSIGHTING @SpaceChecken @528vibes Not a lot to say about it. It's a low resolution video of a spinning object that makes no sudden moves, probably a kite or balloon.

If you'd not seen a rotor kit before, it can look very UFO-like. Which is why they are often called "UFO Kites"
https://youtu.be/4rlvMZ66-WQ?t=121

2022-03-10T10:22:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501866100492955650
@marcowenjones With large numbers (>5K) they tend to be people who are exploiting "follow back" types, possibly algorithmically. In my experience those are usually real people, just a poor choice on their part - as it's artificial and misleading.

2022-03-10T10:09:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501862817544032257
@Thisappleisred @UAPmike Hobby. I'm retired. I investigate and debunk false claims because it's interesting and important. It pays incredibly poorly. I'm pretty sure I'm at a net loss over the last 15 years.

If I wanted to make money, I'd make video games.

2022-03-10T10:05:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501861773472796677
@Thisappleisred @Wadjela_1111 I'd be happy to discuss individual points.

2022-03-10T05:06:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501786511947173891
@DanielFlego Citation needed.

2022-03-10T05:02:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501785627766898693
@MethMouth99 I did.

2022-03-10T05:00:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501785052799139840
@TruthBeTOLDMFer But why? Magic bullet? What exactly is the problem with that?

2022-03-10T04:59:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501784747307012097
@Roberto32956692 No, it was not.

2022-03-10T02:24:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501745740514684928
@seanmcbride Go for it!

I like examining claims of evidence in depth to see if they hold up.

2022-03-10T02:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501745321403043840
@TruthBeTOLDMFer Sure, letâ□□s say all mayor events with casualties.

2022-03-10T02:21:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501745024140152835
@TruthBeTOLDMFer Lone gunman, yes. Single bullet, yes.

But conspiracies are very real, of course.

2022-03-09T23:05:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501695782906261505
@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge As I indicate, the post collapse damage can be see in pre-collapse photos.

This is evidence.

And of course there were lots of eyewitnesses, light poles knocked over, plane parts everywhere, bodies of passengers.

2022-03-09T22:51:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501692207677411328
@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge Why? Security cameras don't look at the sky.

It's explained here:
https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/doubletreeaffadavit-pdf.3181/

2022-03-09T22:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501691742336155651
@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge Of course it does. It's right there. Here's some of the right wing damage, for example. Before and after collapse.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNcUpvQVgAEtyyw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNcUHJAVgAIjJW0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNcUmdOVUAU91QC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNcUpvQVgAEtyyw.jpg

2022-03-09T22:44:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501690528898813953

@seanmcbride Plenty of people investigated those stories. I prefer to do things that are

under-investigated - so I can actually make a difference.

2022-03-09T22:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501689893017161731

@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge Because the footage did not show anything.

2022-03-09T22:41:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501689770593828865

@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge Both. Things don't magically lose their mass just because they break up.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r0zLBxvi9NM

2022-03-09T22:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501684078633910272

@MicahBBurke @papalorge https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r0zLBxvi9NM

2022-03-09T22:15:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501683225252581382

@seanmcbride Like what? Can you give an example of on of those "official stories about the world that were subsequently proven to be false" that you think I should have investigated?

2022-03-09T22:13:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501682674452205569

@moz_moz @MicahBBurke @papalorge I can understand how you'd think that - but a more detailed examination of the damage pattern shows it's consistent with a plane.

And not a missile.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/does-this-photo-show-a-too-small-hole-in-the-pentagon-no.8302/

2022-03-09T20:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501662938003771392

@moz_moz So what aspects of 9/11 Truth are NOT "stuck in an endless cycle of repeating the same bunk they churned out over a decade ago."?

2022-03-09T20:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501662269276442626

@ZombieSymmetry Always a good question. But I think they are festering - there's a fertile new crop of conspiracists out there with the QAnon stuff - and they would lap up stuff like this. Their claims still need answering - especially when they spend over $500,000 a year promoting them.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNb53HxUcAE_VkG.jpg



Form **990**

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)
▶ Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public.
▶ **Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.**

OMB No. 1545-0047

2019

Open to Public Inspection

A For the 2019 calendar year, or tax year beginning 01-01-2019 , and ending 12-31-2019

B Check if applicable:
- ☐ Address change
- ☐ Name change
- ☐ Initial return
- ☐ Final return/terminated
- ☐ Amended return
- ☐ Application pending

C Name of organization
ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS FOR 911 TRUTH

Doing business as

Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) | Room/suite
2342 SHATTUCK AVE PMB 189

City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code
BERKELEY, CA 947041517

D Employer identification number
26-1532493

E Telephone number

G Gross receipts $ 809,993

Part I Summary

1 Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities:
OUR MISSION IS TO RESEARCH, COMPILE AND DISSEMINATE EVIDENCE RELATIVE TO THE DESTRUCTION OF THE THREE WORLD TRADE CENTER SKYSCRAPERS, CALLING FOR A TRULY OPEN AND INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORTING OTHERS IN THE PURSUIT OF JUSTICE.

		Prior Year	Current Year
Revenue	8 Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)	537,042	784,710
	9 Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)	3,187	0
	10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	6	0
	11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)	-45	24,536
	12 Total revenue—add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	540,190	809,246
Expenses	13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1–3)	903	57,474
	14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)		0
	15 Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5–10)	278,274	358,384
	16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)		0
	b Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ▶112,437		
	17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a–11d, 11f–24e)	272,933	361,258
	18 Total expenses. Add lines 13–17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	552,110	777,116
	19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12	-11,920	32,130

		Beginning of Current Year	End of Year
Net Assets or Fund Balances	20 Total assets (Part X, line 16)	63,945	87,923
	21 Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)	8,583	431
	22 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20	55,362	87,492

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNb53HxUcAE_VkG.jpg

2022-03-09T20:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501660740674998273

@CarpJayD Here you go. It's actually from 2014.

https://www.space.com/27308-moon-ocean-of-storms-giant-rectangle.html

---

2022-03-09T20:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501653756881952769

@TUPACABRA2 See, you're just ignoring the explanations.

"Pull it", for example. Total nonsense. Not a demolition term, unless pulled by cables. Not his decision to make. Clearly about pulling the firefighting operation. And not something they could just decide to rig at that point.

2022-03-09T20:02:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501649583427895298

@FatherTerra @uncertainvector But all civilization don't start at the same time. There's a huge range of possible start times over billions of years. So a minor difference in the speed isn't significant. The Drake equation would not change.

2022-03-09T19:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501649008585891844

@steven_nikolic @uncertainvector My number came for a civilization that started (being civilized) a billion years before, and us not actually being at the center of the Galaxy.

2022-03-09T19:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501648356946284545

@TUPACABRA2 Building 7 was hit by WTC1 (the North Tower of the Twin Towers). Then it burned for five hours, uncontrolled, before collapsing. It was not an everyday thing.

2022-03-09T18:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501621540508475395

@uncertainvector None, as the difference is very little. If a civ started 1 billion years before us then being 5,000 years ahead or behind is irrelevant.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNbT2pqVgAMppM-.jpg

33

The gravitational potential of the disk of the Milky Way can be approximated as:

$$\Phi = -\frac{GM}{\sqrt{r^2 + (a + \sqrt{b^2 + z^2})^2}} \quad (1)$$

where r is the radial distance and z is the height above the disk. I got this equation from this paper, and they give $a = 6.5$ kpc and $b = 0.26$ kpc.

In the weak field approximation the time dilation is related to the gravitational potential by:

$$\frac{\Delta t_r}{\Delta t_\infty} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\Delta\Phi}{c^2}} \quad (2)$$

At the centre of the galaxy $r = z = 0$ and equation (1) simplified to:

$$\Phi = -\frac{GM}{a + b} \quad (3)$$

No-one really knows the mass of the Milky Way because we don't know how much dark matter it contains, but lets guesstimate it at 10^{12} Solar masses. With this value for M and using $a + b = 6.76$ kpc equation (3) gives us:

$$\Phi = 6.4 \times 10^{11} \text{J/kg}$$

Feeding this into equation (2) gives:

$$\frac{\Delta t_r}{\Delta t_\infty} = 0.999993$$

So over the 13.7 billion year age of the universe the centre of the Milky Way will have aged about 100,000 years less than the outskirts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNbT2pqVgAMppM-.jpg

2022-03-09T17:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501618273812566016

@Apaiss1 The "plasma effect" is from the rippling water, not camera movement.

2022-03-09T17:56:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501618044065386496

@papalorge http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

---

2022-03-09T17:56:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501617978906841091

@BrainwashSyka Have you read this? It gives a great overview (and details) of the damage pattern caused by the plane.

http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

---

2022-03-09T17:55:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501617576081649664

@SkepticsOf They are, and still pulling in hundreds of thousand of dollars a year, just to repeat the same old claims that have been explained a thousand times.

2022-03-09T17:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501617320623435781

@papalorge Good example.

2022-03-09T17:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501612257838440449

The 9/11 "Truth" movement (who think the World Trade Center was destroyed with pre-planted explosives, not planes and fire) are stuck in an endless cycle of repeating the same bunk they churned out over a decade ago. They simply ignore the explanations they don't like.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNbMY4NVgAAoncz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNbMY4NVgAAoncz.jpg

2022-03-09T17:31:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501611699891097600

@Apaiss1 Similar, yes.

2022-03-08T21:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501311872863068160

@911_TruthNow @AE911Truth The lump of compressed debris you show there was not melted. It even had bits of paper in it.

2022-03-08T18:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501260084638191618

@markocheese https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1501259962701389829

2022-03-08T18:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501259962701389829

iPhone 13 lens flare reveals the pattern of focus pixels. And also explains why it's green. Focus pixels masks are highly reflective, and green.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNWLNt3VEAE891f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNWK3kXVQAE8BiD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNWLNt3VEAE891f.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNWLGC4UUAEuw1u.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNWK8qRVQAMjQFG.jpg

2022-03-08T18:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501258257448402948

@markocheese Nah, you were closer the first time. It's the focus pixels.

2022-03-08T16:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501228935220129795

@markocheese Close, but the subpixel array is rectangular.

2022-03-08T15:50:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501223874943279108

Extreme lens flare #2. Using digital zoom reveals the flare is made of a hexagonally arranged array of green dots. (iPhone 13 Pro)

Optics puzzle: Why is it hexagonal? Why is it green?

(Clue: it's really just one question)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501223205175902211/pu/img/p-Fv6_z6YVA7Zt_V.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501223205175902211/pu/img/p-Fv6_z6YVA7Zt_V.jpg

2022-03-08T15:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501222351756660737

@528vibes @MusicAndTruth @jaimemaussan1 @brazil_ufo It's a three minute time-lapse (see the time at the top of the screen), so yes, it could be a plane.

2022-03-08T15:11:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501213968026804226

@cornweaver @murnut Hard to say. LIZ. Kind of looks like they are on a string though.

2022-03-08T15:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501213393780420616

All major events generate â□□false flagâ□□ claims, but nowadays the media is better at filtering them out and exposing them. https://www.bbc.com/news/60589965

2022-03-08T09:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1501123465515921409
Extreme lens flare!

Lens flares are internal (in-camera) reflections. In this case the sun through the trees is also reflected off my pool, creating a weird plasma-like effect.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501122743525261314/pu/img/diDJfQrZI1YajNkO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501122743525261314/pu/img/diDJfQrZI1YajNkO.jpg
2022-03-08T08:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501118080981684224
@CarrotHummus @nikkinoelle7 It is a sensor reflection. Probably of the sun in some trees. Here's an example I took a few days ago, with the sun reflected in my pool for added ripples.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501117815989829634/pu/img/4PS-fYAMGbWFT-a4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1501117815989829634/pu/img/4PS-fYAMGbWFT-a4.jpg
2022-03-08T04:46:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501056727558459397
@AtticusFinch_JD You mean narrow. But itâ□□s not THAT hard.

2022-03-08T01:07:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1501001609450377216
@LVkronos @EarthquakeChil1 Doesn't really look very interesting. Is there a source/story?

2022-03-07T23:06:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500971156513296385
@starapparatus @AE911Truth Input data aside, their biggest problem was using a static linear analysis for a non-linear dynamic situation.

2022-03-07T22:56:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500968770864435204
@Dumbed_DownTV @Kimmy03331961 Nah, those are just regular contrails. Essentially clouds.

2022-03-07T22:56:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500968655646957573
https://www.military.com/daily-news/2022/03/07/how-believers-paranormal-birthed-pentagons-new-hunt-ufos.html

---

2022-03-07T22:49:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500966961403949056
@AnthonyLowes @murnut LIZ. Low Information Zone. Where UFOs are.

2022-03-07T22:49:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500966815610007552
@murnut Real as in unambiguously showing evidence of advanced technology?

No. Which one do you think is the best? Which one looks most "real" to you?

2022-03-07T22:18:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500959073021227011
@SkyPhenomena Thanks, it was a bit fiddly, they must have cranked up the fake camera shake to 11

2022-03-07T22:16:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500958665896955904
@murnut No, most UFO videos are NOT fake. They are just LIZ.

2022-03-07T22:16:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500958478277304321
@WissiW Which one?

2022-03-07T22:00:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500954677340372994
Looks fake to me.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RGVIQxtH8e4

2022-03-07T20:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500927427739992065

@ChrisSPITZER7 @TSPriley Ah yes, my mistake. Misread the numbers on my phone. I just reminded me of this type of thing. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNRdic7VIAMOMh7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNRdic7VIAMOMh7.jpg

2022-03-07T18:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500896222046916609

@ChrisSPITZER7 @TSPriley I donâ□□t remember. But (on my phone) it looks like a time-lapse of a plane descending then flying level - both towards the camera.

2022-03-07T17:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500879455379607552

@CosmicLibrarian @mattUFOski @fringequest @blackvaultcom That's a report paid for by a group of conspiracy theorists. It's not very good. They think it was done with explosives, so were trying to get support for their theory. The UAF report is terrible.

2022-03-07T16:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500870667545157636

@CosmicLibrarian @mattUFOski @fringequest @blackvaultcom No, it was damaged by the collapse of WTC1, burned uncontrolled for five hours and then collapsed in a way that is largely understood.

2022-03-07T15:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500862583124811781

@blackvaultcom @Jim87504388 @PrismaticWriter That is correct. I think you are doing such a good job that there is no point me doing that.

2022-03-07T05:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500709516765065216

@realufobros @moz_moz @AE911Truth Itâ□□s kinda complicated. AE911 spent 300k on their model.

2022-03-07T02:49:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500664819661172738

@mattUFOski @CosmicLibrarian @fringequest @blackvaultcom No, because thereâ□□s no comperable crash. Either they are low speed, or they crash into rock.

2022-03-06T23:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500621098123083777

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 So based on what you know, why do you think your request was denied? What's most likely?

A) Ongoing law enforcement issues
B) Lazy responder
C) Cover-up of something
D) Mistake
E) Other?

2022-03-06T23:45:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500618577770020865

@moz_moz @FrobertKinsey @AE911Truth The NIST simulation is to determine if and how the building collapses. Not to determine the exact way the exterior folds while it is collapsing. It's perfect valid.

2022-03-06T22:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500593181884252162

@moz_moz @FrobertKinsey @AE911Truth

https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-7-investigation

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNMtgUXUcAUPIqN.jpg

---

25. The simulation of the collapse modeling of WTC 7 does not match the video footage of the collapse. In particular, the large inward deformations of the upper exterior walls after the beginning of global collapse are not visible in the video footage. Can NIST explain the difference between the results of its computer model of the collapse and the available video evidence?

NIST conducted two global collapse analyses, one that included damage due to debris impact from the collapse of WTC 1, and one that did not include any debris-impact damage. These two analyses were conducted to determine the influence of the debris-impact damage on the response of WTC 7 when subjected to the effects of the fires that burned on floors 7 to 9 and 11 to 13. In its comparison of the two analyses (see NIST NCSTAR 1A Section 3.5), NIST showed that the analysis with the debris-impact damage better simulated the sequence of observed events, and it is this simulation that is considered here.

NIST believes that the simulation of the collapse, based on the analysis with debris-impact damage, does capture the critical observations derived from the digital video recording. The critical observations and corresponding failures identified in the structural analysis include: 1) east-west motion of the building beginning at approximately the same time as failure of floors 6 through 14 around Column 79, 2) the formation of the "kink" in the roofline of the east penthouse approximately one second after Column 79 was found to buckle, 3) window breakage on the east side of the north face as the buckling of Column 79 precipitated the failure of upper floors, and 4) the beginning of global collapse (vertical drop of the building exterior) within approximately one-half second of the time predicted by analysis. Both measured time and analytically predicted time, from the start of failures of floors surrounding Column 79 to the initial downward motion of the north face roofline, was 12.9 seconds (see NIST NCSTAR Report 1A, Table 3-1). The collapse observations, from video analysis of the CBS News Archive video, are covered in detail in NIST NCSTAR Report 1A Section 3.5 and NIST NCSTAR Report 1-9, Section 8.3. Only in the later stages of the animation, after the initiation of global collapse, do the upper exterior wall deformations from the NIST analysis differ from the video images.

Uncertainties associated with the approach taken by NIST are addressed in NIST NCSTAR Report 1A, Section 3.5, where it is noted, "Once simulation of the global collapse of WTC 7 was underway, there was a great increase in the uncertainty in the progression of the collapse sequence, due to the random nature of the interaction, break up, disintegration, and falling debris." The contribution to stiffness and strength of nonstructural materials and components, such as exterior cladding, interior walls and partitions, was not considered in the analyses conducted by NIST. It is well known that such non-structural components can increase the stiffness and strength of a structural system, but their contribution is difficult to quantify. Given these factors, disparities between the video and the animation in the later stages of collapse would be expected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNMtgUXUcAUPIqN.jpg

2022-03-06T21:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500590882826846208

@moz_moz @FrobertKinsey @AE911Truth WTC7 was a bit unusual, with the very long spans around column 79 making it the column most likely to lead to progressive collapse. I'd imagine any reviewer of similar buildings would not want to broadcast that.

2022-03-06T21:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500587823732195328

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 Of course it SHOULD have no effect. I just think it probably does - especially if the request is seen as an attack on the FBI (or whoever is asked for the info)

Of course this is just my opinion. But do you really think that FOIA responders never consider that aspect?

2022-03-06T21:35:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500585867408076800

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 I did not intend condescension, and I apologize if it came across that way.

I just don't think it's a stretch to assume that the perception of the intent of the requester is going to affect how hard the responding person seeks to accommodate that request.

I'm not accusing you

2022-03-06T21:31:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500585003519930371

@moz_moz @FrobertKinsey @AE911Truth Well, columns don't generally just fail.

But things HAVE changed.

https://www.newcivilengineer.com/innovative-thinking/how-tall-building-design-has-changed-20-years-since-9-11-06-09-2021/

2022-03-06T21:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500583619923877889

@FrobertKinsey @moz_moz @AE911Truth Sure, but it's still 100x better than what AE911 are showing. Compare NISTs full dynamic non-linear analysis with AE911's static linear analysis based cartoon. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=luplz1zMU7g

---

2022-03-06T21:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500578079424454657

@exploreabitmore @CosmicLibrarian @fringequest @blackvaultcom That the damage pattern of the facade, walls, and columns matches the plane impact.

2022-03-06T20:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500576217581244421

RT @hackingbutlegal: This, out of #Ukraine, is 100% one of the most incredible videos I have ever seen.

This Russian POW has the heart ofâ¦

2022-03-06T20:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500570437847109634

@CosmicLibrarian @fringequest @blackvaultcom I highly recommend that anyone with questions about the Pentagon read the Pentagon Building Performance Report by the American Society of Civil Engineers

http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

---

2022-03-06T20:31:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500569847372996611

@AdamPaulJones @AE911Truth The flight path seems perfectly reasonable. He flies towards the Pentagon, when it's in sight he's too high, so he does a slow descending right 360, then flies straight in.

2022-03-06T20:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500568620996300800

@moz_moz @AE911Truth It actually is the issue, as AE911 are claiming that their model is a good model.

It's not. They fudged it. They used the wrong sort of analysis for a collapse simulation

---

2022-03-06T18:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500540240783499267

This comparison from @AE911Truth is either gross misunderstanding, or a lie.

The UAF model shown (created by two grad students) is an animation. They did a terrible static analysis then made an animation based on interpreting that.

NIST did a proper dynamic analysis. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNL8o_HVkAAnIAv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNL8o_HVkAAnIAv.jpg

2022-03-06T18:29:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500539023772639235

@TUPACABRA2 @jimmy2cans @blackvaultcom I try to not to go by "vibes" when there's actual evidence. There's no issue with the seat-back phones being used.

2022-03-06T18:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500536861088878592

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Cernunnos69 @Bobbybigrig That seems like pointless semantics.

2022-03-06T18:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500536716666425344

@blaq_ty It would certainly help!

2022-03-06T18:16:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500535693822423041

@TUPACABRA2 @jimmy2cans @blackvaultcom It did not "just crash."

Did you ever listen to the family members who listened to the cockpit voice recorder?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Wv1HPrKtdYI

2022-03-06T18:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500534479231746050

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Cernunnos69 @Bobbybigrig They simply said it was unidentified - at least at the time.

2022-03-06T18:08:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500533680929865728

@TUPACABRA2 @jimmy2cans @blackvaultcom Nobody disputes that Cheney authorized the shooting down of planes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNL3MMFUYAQZ_Ua.jpg

---

president to let him know what had just happened. At 10:18, I picked up the secure phone in the drawer beside me and called Air Force One, which had left Florida and was heading west as the president's aides looked for a secure location from which he could address the American people. When the president came on the line, I told him about the shootdown order.

There soon followed some tense moments when we got word that an aircraft was down, south of Johnstown, Pennsylvania. Had it been forced down? Had it been shot down by one of our pilots following the authorization I'd conveyed? Eventually we learned that an act of heroism had brought United Airlines Flight 93 down in the fields near Shanksville. Aware of the fates of the other planes hijacked that morning, the passengers on Flight 93 stormed the cockpit. By sacrificing their own lives, those brave men and women saved the lives of many others, possibly including those of us in the White House that morning.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNL3MMFUYAQZ_Ua.jpg

In those first hours we were living in the fog of war. We had reports of six domestic flights that were possibly hijacked, a number that later resolved to four. We had conflicting reports about whether the Pentagon had been hit by a plane, a helicopter, or a car bomb. We started getting reports of explosions across Washington, at the Lincoln Memorial, the Capitol, and the State Department. We heard there was an unidentified, nonresponsive plane headed for Camp David and another headed for Crawford, Texas; we also received word of a threat against Air Force One.

At about 10:15, a uniformed military aide came into the room to tell me that a plane, believed hijacked, was eighty miles out and headed for D.C. He asked me whether our combat air patrol had authority to engage the aircraft. Did our fighter pilots have authority, in other words, to shoot down an American commercial airliner believed to have been hijacked? "Yes," I said without hesitation. A moment later he was back. "Mr. Vice President, it's sixty miles out. Do they have authorization to engage?" Again, yes.

There could have been no other answer. As the last hour and a half had made brutally clear, once a plane was hijacked it was a weapon in the hands of the enemy. In one of our earlier calls, the president and I had discussed the fact that our combat air patrol—the American fighter jets now airborne to defend the country—would need rules of engagement. He had approved my recommendation that they be authorized to fire on a civilian airliner if it had been hijacked and would not divert. Thousands of Americans had already been killed, and there was no question about taking action to save thousands more. Still, the enormity of the order I had just conveyed struck all of us in the PEOC, and a silence fell over the room. Then Deputy Chief of Staff Josh Bolten leaned forward in his chair and suggested we get in touch with the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNL3LPUVcAIi0iN.jpg
2022-03-06T18:00:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500531797188169728
@TUPACABRA2 @jimmy2cans @blackvaultcom Yes. But why don't you quote them for everyone, with full context?

2022-03-06T17:59:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500531606070587395
@Grambitious Correct!

2022-03-06T17:54:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500530342859395075

@TUPACABRA2 @jimmy2cans @blackvaultcom Which very obviously did not happen - as the plane hit the ground at high speed in one piece and was largely buried. The exact opposite of what happen if it were shot down.

2022-03-06T17:51:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500529584835465216

@libertybirb @blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 I don't lie. Lying is a terrible communication strategy.

2022-03-06T17:39:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500526487425859587

@alien_ask https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1500447553615138817

2022-03-06T17:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500524935906689025

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 I think you've probably seen similar thing in the UFO FOIA process.

I THINK that the people responding to what they see as requests from conspiracy theorists will inevitably interpret things differently than with requests from journalists.

2022-03-06T17:22:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500522303817326592

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 Why is 9/11 different? It was an unprecedented attack on the US that killed 3,000 people, occupied large segments of the FBI for years in multiple ways, and gave rise to multiple conspiracy theories.

2022-03-06T17:17:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500520911555870724

@jimmy2cans @TUPACABRA2 @blackvaultcom If it exploded in the air there would have been large segments of fuselage strewn over a larger area. See MH17 or Pan Am 103.

It's just physics. Once a plane stops being aerodynamic it slows and breaks apart. The pieces hit the ground much slower.

2022-03-06T16:55:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500515343193698304

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @Cernunnos69 @Bobbybigrig Surely then having actual evidence of a UFO â□□ i.e. filming it â□□ would be something you'd want to do?

2022-03-06T16:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500513227720970241

@blackvaultcom @TUPACABRA2 I'm not saying they do it to 'make things "simpler" for the public to swallow'

I'm saying, in this case, it's an understandable institutional reluctance based on dislike of baseless conspiracy theories that attack the FBI, and respect for the families.

2022-03-06T16:41:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500511828064948230

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @sazek It's still relatively common for cars to lack a front license plate in CA, so I would not rule it out - especially if the source video was a bit older (enforcement ramped up a bit recently).

2022-03-06T16:37:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500511006455316485

@TUPACABRA2 @blackvaultcom I personally would have no problem with more photos and videos of the crash site being released.
What I'm arguing here is that the reluctance of the FBI to do so is understandable without having to imply conspiracy theories.

2022-03-06T16:32:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500509596758147075

@statquanstring @blackvaultcom Yes.

2022-03-06T16:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500509454906773505

@blackvaultcom I don't think that's your reason. I'm saying it's a part of THEIR reason.

They HAVE released photos and videos of the flight 92 crash site.

https://www.fbi.gov/video-repository/investigation-of-flight-93.mp4/view

I think you are just getting the stock response from them. Maybe it's not justified, but it's understandable.

2022-03-06T16:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500505808290738177

@blackvaultcom 5/5 So I don't think there's really a "..." Just understandable institutional reluctance based on respect for the victims and families, and dislike of baseless conspiracy theorists, in a case (the broader 9/11) where FBI agents sifted rubble for body fragments for weeks.

2022-03-06T16:14:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500505045074210817

@blackvaultcom 4/ so they use the blanket 552(b) (interfering with enforcement proceedings). Perhaps this is legally not justifiable. But it's probably arguable.

2022-03-06T16:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500504161586016256

@blackvaultcom 3/ So they see requests like yours as the stirrings of a baseless conspiracy theorist (I'm not saying that's you - just that's how it comes across). You say pieces of the puzzle, but at best it's morbid curiosity.

2022-03-06T16:08:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500503521396858887

@blackvaultcom 2/ They don't want to release photos and videos of the crash site, because they think the plane crashed into a field after a struggle in the cockpit. They (the FBI) view this as self-evident.

2022-03-06T16:06:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500503125567815680

@blackvaultcom 1/ I apologize for being overdramatic. I took your tweet of "United 93 Wreckage Photographs and Videos ALL are â□□Exempt from Disclosureâ□□ Nearly 21 Years After 9/11..." (with the ...) as an indication of suspicion of a cover-up. I think it's much simpler.

2022-03-06T12:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500447553615138817

UFO video

+ Very Shaky

+ Low Quality

+ Anonymous

+ Short

+ No original file

+ Random YouTube channel

= Probably Fake https://twitter.com/SKEPTICLBELIEVR/status/1500433887498887170

2022-03-06T12:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500446830642950145

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @a01744 @mombojom @AnonymouShadoww @Spacecowboy781 @DietrichVFA41 Lets just go with a friendly bet of $20 then?

2022-03-06T12:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500446437976408066

@GeertRoelandt @blackvaultcom Well, for one reason, MH17 was scattered over a very large site that was impossible to close off, as it was actually shot down.

UA93 flew into the ground, and was largely in that one spot. There are photos.

2022-03-06T11:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500437533724798980

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @a01744 @mombojom @AnonymouShadoww @Spacecowboy781 @DietrichVFA41 How much?

2022-03-06T11:42:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500436691441516544

@TheObserverXLI @bradjohansson21 I'm retired. It's a hobby.

2022-03-06T05:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500344590552813569

@retromastertoys @Spacecowboy781 So where did it come from?

2022-03-06T05:04:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500336489741238276
@blackvaultcom I really donâ□□t think you can remove the emotional/compassionate aspect from their decision. Itâ□□s simpler for them to ascribe it to an impersonal technicality.

But what are you looking for here? Just investigating the limits of FOIA, or is there a genuine question?

2022-03-06T04:37:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500329669337313283
@1911Moose @blackvaultcom If it was shot down there would be a wide debris field. There was not.

It was forced down intact then photos would tell you nothing.

2022-03-06T04:18:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500324999235727361
@soldisnew Why are there no interesting videos?

2022-03-06T04:15:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500324275307233280
@blackvaultcom Because of the families.

What do you think the photos and videos would show? Crushed metal. Personal items. Small pieces of mangled and burnt people. Clothing, bones, flesh, skin, hair.

What are people looking for?

2022-03-06T01:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500276518630531072
@sLanteVSzombies Lots of people said it was a cloud. It's not.

2022-03-06T00:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500273021738643457
@realufobros Experience is what pays off here.

2022-03-06T00:50:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500272607551098880
@bazoocchini It is not a cloud. It's a solar power station.

2022-03-06T00:31:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500267736261279744
The fun question I posed about a "UFO" (which was actually a solar power plant on the desert floor) got a variety of replies. 10% of people get it right. 41% of people thought it was a cloud. Several people thought their (wrong) response was "obvious"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNIFbP1UcAIEdmF.jpg



Mick West @MickWest · 3h

Here's a fun "UFO". Shot from a plane in Arizona. Huge bright light above mountains.

It's not fake. I know what it is. But I'm interested in what people's first impressions are. What does it look like to you?



Promote

113 9 75



[redacted]

@[redacted]

Replying to @MickWest

Obviously. Plane exhaust or aerial phenomena. Nothing to see here.

3:59 PM · Mar 5, 2022 · Twitter for Android

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNIFbP1UcAIEdmF.jpg

Arizona UFO Guess	Tweets	%
Lake or Lake Bed	22	23%
Solar Power Plan	9	10%
Reflection in Window	8	9%
Something on ground	5	5%
Cloud or Contrail	39	41%
Nothing	4	4%
Other	7	7%
	94	

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNIFYmoVQAAgvKm.jpg
2022-03-05T23:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500259272273195009
@UAPeeps Good job I never said they would!

2022-03-05T23:56:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500259024041697280
@markocheese @gaz_et So what should I have said?

It's above the mountain in the image. It looks like it's physically above them.

Most UFO video description are of what the video LOOKS like, not what it actually is.

2022-03-05T22:39:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500239593471295491
@JoseMar10156725 Just perspective. That's how optics works.

2022-03-05T22:00:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500229911440617473
@OntarioBritton https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1500221187015593986

2022-03-05T21:33:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500222961118113792
@BrandonFugal The atmospheric effect being haze, which just hides the ground and the sky, but the reflected light from Solana blazes through.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1500221187015593986

2022-03-05T21:32:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1500222675418959873

Quite a few people though it was in the sky - which just shows how strong the illusion can be. We are looking down, and it appears "above" the mountain, even though it was behind them, and at a lower altitude.

2022-03-05T21:26:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500221308075872263

@erichcr80 @ChrisSPITZER7 Correct!

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1500221187015593986

2022-03-05T21:26:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500221187015593986

What was that "UFO?" As many people realized, it's not actually flying it's on the ground. And as a smaller number realized, it's a solar power station!

Bright reflected sunlight cuts through the haze which obscure the ground and the horizon.

INFO

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NneoVAGvm1w

2022-03-05T21:23:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500220554384535554

@JoseMar10156725 That's what I call a contrail edge shadow. #3 here:

https://contrailscience.com/contrails-dark-lines-chemtrails/

2022-03-05T20:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500214044233330691

@UFOBreddit @JK_TRE @thefirstmerk @Reevellp It's possible, but I'd like to see the actual original broadcast video. That bit might be motion compression.

Which does not mean it's real. Certainly seems like an odd and suspicious video.

2022-03-05T20:46:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500211124142178304

@UFOhJeezuz You think it's obvious what it is? What?

2022-03-05T20:36:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500208545039470592

@RossElderWrites No

2022-03-05T20:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500208469479084034

@BrandonFugal No. Atmospheric effects are involved, but not in that way.

2022-03-05T20:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500203169804025857

@TaylorD09882123 Not contrails.

2022-03-05T20:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500203100203745281

@Lewis_Brian1 Nope. But good guess.

2022-03-05T20:12:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500202699987451904

Here's a fun "UFO". Shot from a plane in Arizona. Huge bright light above mountains.

It's not fake. I know what it is. But I'm interested in what people's first impressions are. What does it look like to you? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNHJ8MOVkAIT--1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNHJ8MRVEAAjho5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNHJ8MPVgAQ0FZr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNHJ8MOVkAIT--1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNHJ8MNVIAIQdng.jpg

2022-03-05T15:46:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500135647440302081

RT @JenLucPiquant: QAnon Is Eating Up Putinâ□□s Anti-Ukraine Propaganda. After years of swallowing Russian disinformation, the conspiracist fâ□¦

2022-03-05T15:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500134003847749632

@jujuwren_jenny Itâ□□s a fun hobby. UFO videos are puzzles. Like Wordle, but more complicated.

2022-03-05T15:23:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500129869358776322

@roodmilk Or stars, planes, reflections, insects, lanterns, plastic bags, kites, fireworks, balloons, street lights, etc.

2022-03-05T09:38:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500043161900437508

@roodmilk Is there one in particular you think is not fake?

The vast majority of UFO videos are not fake, just mistakes.

2022-03-05T09:36:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500042574773383173

@roodmilk Also, I should have used a pan lid

2022-03-05T09:24:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1500039618468593672

@roodmilk This is the one I was going for.

Obviously not the same, but it's to illustrate the technique that might have been used to fake it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1500039322061316098/pu/img/lSQpcwZWY4FN-5Ml.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1500039322061316098/pu/img/lSQpcwZWY4FN-5Ml.jpg

2022-03-05T05:35:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499981795458052099

@TCskywatch I never lied. Feel free to try to produce a counterexample.

2022-03-05T05:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499979918012129280

@TCskywatch I said I HAVE no aliases. And I have not for over a decade. And I do not and did not lie.

2022-03-05T05:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499977999487172613

@TCskywatch No. You were wrong.

And thatâ□□s not me.

2022-03-05T05:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499977683970629632

@TCskywatch Yeah, in 2010.

I also had a YouTube channel back then under Epoxynous.

Neither have been used for a decade, since I started using my real name. And none were used for lies.

2022-03-05T05:11:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499975789718106116

@TCskywatch 12 years ago. That was the name I used on Contrail Science. Iâ□□ve used my own name since 2010.

2022-03-05T04:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499972406563069956

@TCskywatch I have no aliases.

2022-03-05T04:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499971433400705025

@AndrewWagWalker I gave one book, which barely mentions UFOs

2022-03-05T01:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499924207999741953

@AndrewWagWalker Iâ□□m retired.

2022-03-05T00:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499902747847450626

@AndrewWagWalker You think I'll get paid for this?

2022-03-04T21:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499860411855769601

@welling_phil @Anony_Mouse777 Some type of helium balloon (or two) seems most likely. As is usual, it's not a detailed photo.

2022-03-04T18:48:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499819178273886208

@CSH1276 I made it :)

2022-03-04T17:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499803316930244609

@JazzShaw @DamianUFOfeed It's a follow-up to yesterday's fun. That used too small of a screen, so I'm using the big TV.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499803188035129345/pu/img/eZ9z-MNe8IrVJ2al.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499803188035129345/pu/img/eZ9z-MNe8IrVJ2aI.jpg
2022-03-04T17:43:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499802850032975873
@coffeegimp That it's possible to create poor quality fake videos without CGI.

This does not disprove some UAP being advanced technology, or the existence of visiting aliens.

2022-03-04T17:42:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499802506045517829
@JazzShaw @DamianUFOfeed I'm specifically trying for a no-cgi fake here. To replicate this classic. So not a super high bar, just fiddly.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499802383957721090/pu/img/16iCdvUsiPUNtkcP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499802383957721090/pu/img/16iCdvUsiPUNtkcP.jpg
2022-03-04T17:40:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499801978649468930
@JazzShaw Picky! I only spend 20 minutes on it. If I wanted to fake the motion better I'd use multiple attachment points and a fixed pivot boom arm (or two)

And the color is just lighting. I could adjust the white balance.

But it's just a vague proof of concept thing.

2022-03-04T17:35:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499800787664261121
@JazzShaw I was experimenting with a different setup. But I need a better video without a wing, so I can add the fake paper wing. Also, I can't be bothered :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNBcpq_VkAE--SB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FNBcpq_VkAE--SB.jpg

2022-03-04T17:33:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499800280191275011

@JazzShaw Yeah, it's interesting Twitter decided a 2.1MB 240p file needed even MORE compression

---

2022-03-04T17:32:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499799907137306624

Ha, I think it worked. The Twitter version looks terrible, like a real UFO video!

Not that we can prove there aren't visiting aliens. Just that there's no good videos of them.

2022-03-04T17:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499799366722224128

Super low quality UFO videos (like <120p) are not automatically fakes, but for a modern video it's a VERY strong indicator. HD would reveal the fakery. Even this double refilmed 240p isn't bad enough. Maybe Twitter will work some magic, and hide the strings a bit more.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499799074530213888/pu/img/QhPwTg67GSuIP0ZP.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499799074530213888/pu/img/QhPwTg67GSuIP0ZP.jpg
2022-03-04T15:20:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499766799411208193
@lemoutongris Correct!

2022-03-04T15:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499762662837686272
@TKadotani @thepostmaterial Are all non-productive hobbies cancelled? What if I enjoy my hobby? Seems a bit harsh.

2022-03-04T15:03:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499762352664694787
@MikeWOcchipinti Are the old photos of things miles away?

2022-03-04T15:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499762096145272835
@TaylorD09882123 No, just a plane.

2022-03-04T14:55:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499760429119139840
@DabberPatriotic @Oswald1160 @telepathic_ape @gangatoday1 @thepostmaterial I donâ□□t doubt it LOOKED like a triangle. But how do you know it was not an optical illusion? They look like things too.

2022-03-04T05:23:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499616398221332480
@ShepardAmbellas Why are you so obsessed with gender?

2022-03-04T05:19:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499615549935919109
@ShepardAmbellas http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM-0XZgVQAI-ihn.png

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM-0XZgVQAI-ihn.png

2022-03-04T05:06:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499612297320271875

@DunnPedro24 'fraid so!

2022-03-04T02:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499576962355851264

@rjohnhacker Yes it is.

2022-03-04T02:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499576082155991044

@dialeticwoes What if it were just out the window?

2022-03-04T01:57:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499564758759923713

@Bobbybigrig Just one.

2022-03-04T01:57:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499564707157463041

@primenumberfew No, itâ□□s in the sky

2022-03-04T01:57:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499564533999751171

@olof_rockner No, it was in the sky.

2022-03-04T00:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499539123966603267

@thepostmaterial Sure, but I spent like 45 minutes on it. Imagine if I worked on it for a couple of days.

2022-03-04T00:09:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499537508761161728

Another shot from my walk. What do you think is going on here?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9tVewUcAE-JkA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9tVewUcAE-JkA.jpg

2022-03-03T23:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499525073547325440

@LegendJohnston @MiddleOfMayhem Nice. Harder to move though. I suppose you could blow it.

2022-03-03T23:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499523869941452805

@Mou2Br It's a wikipedia image I printed and cut out. Nothing fancy

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9g-b5VIAMMmlM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9g-b5VIAMMmlM.jpg
2022-03-03T23:07:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499521957301080065
How to fake a UFO video, step 2.

(Steps 1 and 3 should be extrapolatable from this)

Lots of room for improvement (Bigger screen, scrolling clouds), but you get the idea.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499521499861897233/pu/img/SG8l-CQyVQpuXm_S.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499521499861897233/pu/img/SG8l-CQyVQpuXm_S.jpg

2022-03-03T23:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499520719327088648

@samingtonpowers I made it a few years ago.

2022-08-28T00:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563686167358255105

That's an "RGB profiling TOOL," dangit!

2022-08-28T00:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563685335552299008

@AlFaruq1986 It looks like a plane.

2022-08-28T00:27:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563684571324882944

The paper is very vague on the details of their methodology. They do not present any actual raw data. And they make bold claims that do not seem to reflect even the limited processed data they show. It is deeply flawed in its present form.

/end

2022-08-28T00:18:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563682330622840832

The separation of the channels seems to suggest either an object that is reflecting or emitting light unevenly, or possibly chromatic aberration. Given the low resolution, and the noise, it might even simply be noise. It does not show a "black body"

2022-08-28T00:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563681760457551872

Using the new tool, I could recreate the graph in one channel (essentially the average of R,G, and B), from a line sample across the image through the darkest part of the object. So they just did this, but in color (Red, Green, Blue) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbNP1k-UIAAuRd4.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbNP1k-UIAAuRd4.jpg

2022-08-28T00:13:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563681166347055104

The image is 42 pixels across. It's very noisy. It looks consistent with a fly.

Most of the variation you see in the graph is image noise - pixel to pixel variations in the sky.

2022-08-28T00:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563680716529213440

Unfortunately, they don't include ANY of the source RGB images, just a signal channel version and the RGB graph. One image and graph is shown here. They claim the graph "shows the color characteristics inherent in an object with zero albedo"

This is nonsense. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbNPCwqUEAAaabu.jpg

---





Fig. 7: The image of the phantom object. Fig. 8: The color charts of the phantom object.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbNPCwqUEAAaabu.jpg

2022-08-28T00:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563680117968146433

I wrote an RGB profiling too to investigate claims made in the recent paper "Ukrainian UAP Study: "Observation of Events" https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.11215.pdf

It takes line or region samples of an image and plots relative changes in RGB, just like in the paper

Tool: https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/?sitch=rgb

2022-08-28T00:05:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563679051843178496

@meb_sala Should deeply flawed results be ignored out of politeness?

2022-08-27T23:08:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563664678856695808

@moltonigh @ufosfootage Can you email me the original file? mick@mickwest.com

---

2022-08-27T21:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563646807564898304

@DigitalDino4 @JonesSmythe @RyanSprague51 @tomdelonge But good people would explain what they filmed, so you'd have strong evidence it was not faked.

What's the best video where someone trustworthy took it?

2022-08-27T21:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563632484533030912

@RyanSprague51 @tomdelonge Posted in 2013. Looks fake.

https://vk.com/video189071527_164507328

2022-08-27T20:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563631178229227520

@DreamDetective1 @ZaineMichael1 @the_cholla Sure I would. I've had extensive discussions with all the above. I only blocked Marik for his ridiculous accusations and bad faith posts. But

prior to that I exchanged hundreds of messages with him, and a few Zoom calls. But he degenerated into accusing me of lying.

2022-08-27T20:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563630022090321920

@GarryPNolan @UnidentifiedAP @ActivistUAP Dances from point to point?

People keep asking me a lot of different questions. I've been looking at some of those questions for years. I'm happy to discuss at length with people (ask @the_cholla, or even Marik from before he went weird)

I like to get to the bottom of things

2022-08-27T13:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563525218957545472

@AndrewP71392714 Just a few tens of millions.

2022-08-27T13:47:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563523713475047425

@ToweringCrane I think they might have been fooled by insects. I donâ□□t think those other things.

2022-08-27T13:45:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563523204827664385

@AndrewP71392714 But you can take video.

2022-08-27T12:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563506040968122375

@AndrewP71392714 At night, yes. But they are actually quite capable. And no problems in the daytime. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qu9yhkYfEJo

---

2022-08-27T12:35:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563505379404763136

@moltonigh @ufosfootage Do you have more info on how the photo was taken, or the original photo?

2022-08-27T08:04:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563437348179693571

@Tomerkrail What about daytime UFOs?

2022-08-27T07:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563435008173322240

@Blevins2020 Indeed.

2022-08-27T06:22:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563411637649887235

@amitcohenraab @PrometheusCHT That is not data, it's a description of data gathering. Data would be the photos and their metadata.

2022-08-27T06:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563410651539615744

@amitcohenraab @PrometheusCHT What observation? Can you see it?

2022-08-27T05:57:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563405395384643584

@mgivens187 It resembles spider silk.

2022-08-27T05:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563404496889204738

@amitcohenraab @PrometheusCHT How was it detected? Where is the data?

2022-08-27T05:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563395583716380672

@amitcohenraab @PrometheusCHT I see circles Around what? Where is the data?

2022-08-27T04:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563387179618816000

@Ajaxsoundgod @ufosfootage Itâ□□s a video.

2022-08-27T04:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563386861753475074

@BlueshiftProjex @Ajaxsoundgod @ufosfootage Just some houses.

2022-08-27T04:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563383346083770369

@PrometheusCHT Not with that one, no.

There is a significant lack of data in this paper.

2022-08-27T04:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563382775654207488

@planethunter56 @PotatoRamirez Basic how? They showed neither math nor data.

2022-08-26T23:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563305984889479169

@Ajaxsoundgod @ufosfootage Focus

2022-08-26T22:36:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563294403296342017

@markocheese @EMP_Wrecker @thieme The S20/22 Ultra is WAY better than the human eye. Even the iPhone 13 Pro 3x zoom is better than the human eye at resolving details.

2022-08-26T22:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563293820640305153

@Ajaxsoundgod @ufosfootage Geolocated: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHvapXUYAA-Aj8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHvXb3VQAACiHY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHvapXUYAA-Aj8.jpg

2022-08-26T19:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563249483718111233

@ahouaiskanmm @kyle_ferriter That's the spectrum of one of the bright UFOs. They show the graph of a water tower, which does not seems like a good comparison if a fly is suspected.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHG3-BVQAIyeNx.jpg



Fig. 11: The color diagram of the phantom.



Fig. 12: The color diagram of a local facility.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHG3-BVQAIyeNx.jpg

2022-08-26T19:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563248824402882562

@ahouaiskanmm @kyle_ferriter What are the buffer specs?

2022-08-26T19:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563247384510939144

@BarlowTerry @LtTimMcMillan Well, it LOOKS like insects, and their black body stuff seems a bit hand-wavy. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHFGI_UUAIAdSf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHFGI_UUAIAdSf.jpg

2022-08-26T19:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563247044424134656

@AllanPWalrus @FullStackLogan Yes, it's probably in close proximity, meaning their distance calculations are probably flawed.

2022-08-26T19:11:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563242776619208705

@AllanPWalrus @FullStackLogan You have to admit, it looks kinda similar. And they are super vague on their distance calculations (and don't share the raw data)

Why irregular spacing? If it were high and fast, it would probably have constant spacing.

(background image here is a fly, inset image from paper)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHAwB2UUAAe7om.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbHAwB2UUAAe7om.jpg
2022-08-26T19:08:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563242010374377472
@WarrenBooysen1 and birds, drones, planes, and other. There are many different things in the sky.

2022-08-26T19:07:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563241690407714816
@planethunter56 Yes, hence I'm rather suspicious of their distance calculation.

2022-08-26T19:04:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563240985244553216
@ahouaiskanmm Show me one that doesn't. They are the people making the extraordinary claim here. They need a little more than assertions.

2022-08-26T18:46:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563236506252128256
@caitgaist The second image is a composite image of a fly, for reference. The first image is from the paper.

2022-08-26T18:34:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563233466933620737
@ahouaiskanmm You point it at the sky, and eventually, something will fly across your field of view.

2022-08-26T18:25:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563231178433277954
@TopfPflanze13 I'm not trying to be creative. I'm trying to be correct.

2022-08-26T18:24:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563230999844073472
@ufosfootage It's bokeh. It's an out-of-focus reflection from something on a building down in

the valley.

2022-08-26T17:44:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563220755218698241

The photos from the new Ukrainian UAP study look rather suspiciously like insects zipping past the camera.

Their distance estimates seem a little suspect.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.11215.pdf http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGs70oUIAAwSPi.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGs70oUIAAwSPi.jpg



Fig. 13: A composite image with the phantom object and bright swifts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGsZRtUIAA_74O.jpg

2022-08-26T16:16:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563198710233804801
@AeroDispatch @wiking1 @NZInvestigator With the better modern cell phones in daylight? Everyone.

2022-08-26T16:13:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563197923566923776
@PThegermandude Don't you think that occasionally someone might be able to get past the shock of seeing something odd in the sky?

2022-08-26T16:09:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563196939876720641
@DavidBWriter You asked for "a logical, rational, reasonable explanation." The "mostly fabulation" was an example of that. But I think the reality is more complicated.
I'd treat each case individually, and each individual with respect.

2022-08-26T16:05:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563196020007112705
@RobertDobalina7 @WitnessCitizen @tonyhawk @tomdelonge Hmm.....

2022-08-26T16:04:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563195683963670529
@AeroDispatch @NZInvestigator Millions of people have a S20/22 Ultra.

iPhone 13 pro (also millions in circulation) has a 3x optical, and resolves significantly better than the human eye.

In a small survey, half the respondents said their phone was better than their eyes at resolving detail. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGWAG2UcAEWO-x.jpg

---



Mick West
@MickWest

Is your phone camera better than your eyes? SPECIFICALLY, is it better at resolving fine details, like text, when zoomed in as much as possible? I just got a new phone (iPhone 13 Pro) and I can use it to read text at a distance where it's a blur to me. How about you? (eg follows)

Yes, my phone is better	**44.9%**
No, my eyes are better	41.6%
It's about the same	8.7%
I don't have a phone cam	4.8%

332 votes · Final results

8:03 AM · Oct 8, 2021 · Twitter Web App

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGWAG2UcAEWO-x.jpg
2022-08-26T16:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563194577040728066
Modern phones have amazing cameras. Millions of people walk around with a quite reasonable 100x zoom in their pockets.
Why no good UFO photos? The excuse of "phone cameras are just for selfies" was always a weak argument, but even that is rapidly evaporating.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1563192531604553730

2022-08-26T15:51:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563192531604553730
@AeroDispatch @NZInvestigator There will always be a limit, like with any camera. But the point here is that they are better than your eyes. MUCH better in some (millions) of cases.
Here's a variety of objects several miles away. Cellphone.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=60mkRdVHWZo
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGTRzlUYAERnX9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGTRzlUYAERnX9.jpg

2022-08-26T15:38:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563189143517114370

@CurtCollins579 @borisyeltzin @JasonColavito The first two are somewhat suspect as he forgot about them for 20 years and then remembered them. The visitation was at age 6 or 7. The dream hypothesis would seem to be worth considering, which he does.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGQCCuUcAAnBP1.jpg



Garry Nolan 16:01

You know, this is probably the more unusual one. And again, it's, I'm hardly the only individual to have had this as you wake up as a young boy, I would have been probably six or seven because it was in our first house that we, my parents had bought in Windsor, of little men in the bedroom. You know, I mean, I was awake. I knew they were there. I could see them.

Ross Coulthart 16:27

Scared?

Garry Nolan 16:31

I can't remember being scared. But I remember telling my parents the next day about it

Ross Coulthart 16:36

could it have been a dream?

Garry Nolan 16:38

It could have been a dream. But when so here's the interesting thing. And it's, there's little man in the, in the bedroom. And it went on for I guess a few weeks, and then it just stopped. So I promptly forgot about it. It but what was what was critical was about 20 years again, later, actually here in Palo Alto. I was at a used bookstore. And I pulled out a book. And I'm pretty sure it was it was either John Mack or Whitney Strivers book, communion communion. And they are on the front cover was. And I can feel the hair on my arms going up was what I saw.

Ross Coulthart 17:25

That's the classic gray alien face.

Garry Nolan 17:27

I remember I dropped the book. Because it was like, whoa. And it was it was a revelation. I guess

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGQCCuUcAAnBP1.jpg

2022-08-26T15:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563188137056038912

@CurtCollins579 @borisyeltzin @JasonColavito I think people are referring to the Coulthart thing. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7yE5KWfJOiY

He details three memories:

1) a UFO hovering over him in the woods in the dark, shining a light

2) little grey "communion" style aliens in his bedroom for a few weeks

3) weird buzzing and hearing voices

2022-08-26T15:27:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563186327356510208

@AeroDispatch @NZInvestigator I think this test objectively disproves your assertion. Millions of people are walking around with cameras like this in their pockets.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGNiZKUUAERFA_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbGNiZKUUAERFA_.jpg

2022-08-26T15:15:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563183340194131968

@Fmoute @AeroDispatch @NZInvestigator Well, if you arbitrarily pick "at some distance" then you could say that about any camera.

But modern cell phones are a lot better than you think.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eTi08BKBRH0

2022-08-26T15:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563179744090148864

@AeroDispatch @NZInvestigator There are millions of cell phones with sufficient resolution and optics to resolve small objects.

We are rapidly approaching the time when cell phone angular resolution is, on average, better than your eyes.

2022-08-26T05:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563042426645651457
@DavidBWriter Mostly fabulation?

2022-08-26T04:37:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563022889246371841
@UAP_daily (and I was also an engineer in IT, kinda)

2022-08-26T04:36:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563022624539586565
@UAP_daily Sounds like the same way to me. Motivated reasoning.

2022-08-26T04:30:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563021065030209536
@Blevins2020 Everyone uses XYZ, just with different basis vectors.

Once you pick one, you get stuck with it and eventually have to translate from different systems.

2022-08-26T04:24:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563019555454128130
There's your problem!

Look for a desired signal in noise for long enough, and you'll find it. Especially if you pay people to look for it, with a bonus for "finding" it.

https://nypost.com/video/what-is-a-dinobeaver-skinwalker-ranch-pt-2-the-basement-office/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbD1enUVEAEvE2v.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbD1enUVEAEvE2v.jpg
2022-08-26T03:47:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1563010111718666241
@Blevins2020 Geo stuff uses XY for ground plane, Z up.
Games typically use XZ, and Y up. So this is just translating.

2022-08-26T03:43:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1563009290520080384

@brill_friar Itâ□□s the apparent relative motion of objects at different distances to a camera moving sideways.

2022-08-26T03:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562998989280071685

@fizBuzz01 Itâ□□s not hard. The issue was a bit more complicated. Collision detection relied on the matrices for chunks of landscape being correct. But they were only set when rendered. Async loading resulted in random order, and a few random blocks were offset.

2022-08-25T23:19:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562942701661589506

@LegendJohnston That IS Delong's â□□To the Starsâ□□ endeavor.

2022-08-25T23:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562942406311366656

@PeaceAndPaine And none of that means there are no aliens, just that there might be other motives at play than people wanting disclosure for personal or altruistic reasons.

2022-08-25T23:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562942154275577858

@PeaceAndPaine Well, I'd start with Harry Reid and Robert Bigelow - that sure looks like some kind of, hmm, cronyism, at best. Then there are revolving door things like Tarvis Taylor going to Radiance and even Ryan Graves working for Deep Prasad. Not "corruption," but money. Buncha clues

---

2022-08-25T23:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562941076033351680

@meb_sala I am familiar with programming, thank you. :)

2022-08-25T23:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562938082399096833

I just spent four hours tracking down an asynchronous heisenbug in terrain collision code. Just like the good old days. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbCrsO-VEAEjXyu.jpg

---

```
  this.mesh.position.set(position.x, position.z,-position.y) // MICK

  // we need to update the matrices, otherwise collision will not work until rendered
  // which can lead to odd asynchronous bugs where the last tiles loaded
  // don't have matrices set, and so act as holes, but this varies with loading order
  this.mesh.updateMatrix()
  this.mesh.updateMatrixWorld() //
}
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbCrsO-VEAEjXyu.jpg

2022-08-25T22:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562935337764003840

@PeaceAndPaine I'm not saying I've proven there's corruption in government/MIC regarding UAP. I'm just suggesting that there might be. It's something to consider.

2022-08-25T22:33:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562931090934812674

@PeaceAndPaine Shouldn't that be "the worst people of skeptic twitter?." or just "the worst people"

For the record, I'm strongly opposed to doxxing if people simply want to remain anonymous.

I prefer not to interact with anons, but I respect their right to be anonymous.

2022-08-25T22:27:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562929638346670080

Tom DeLonge is still asking for investments to "advance societyâ□□s understanding of fascinating universal phenomena through the lens of top-produced entertainment."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbCjtpVVUAE3Kjo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbCjtpVVUAE3Kjo.jpg

2022-08-25T20:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562898708902977540

@steven_dor @DotOnTheSpot326 @SpineHarvest @PostDisclosure Are you saying you actually thought it took several minutes?

Do you think Fravor actually descended rapidly?

What about the heat haze? Basically, the only thing he noticed, but nobody else saw anything like it?

2022-08-25T20:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562897781273944064

@2fuggindoods If so, then where's the study? Or at least a poll?

2022-08-25T20:03:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562893395881734144

@steven_dor @DotOnTheSpot326 @SpineHarvest @PostDisclosure Slaight saw a shimmering heat haze around the object from 2-4 miles away. Nobody else saw this.

Dietrich says merge plot to losing sight was 10 seconds of hectic action.

Fravor says it was five minutes with circling and a leisurely descent.

et al.

2022-08-25T19:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562890593038020609

@StandForBetter @GarryPNolan @VICE Bottom line: this is about standards of evidence. Evidence for UAPs being NHI tech is unremittingly terrible.

We are asked to trust that there's some secret evidence behind the curtain. I do not trust that. Many of the people claiming that seem to be poor judges of evidence

---

2022-08-25T19:48:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562889649873231872

@StandForBetter @GarryPNolan @VICE Gary has me blocked. So why is he addressing questions to me?

But my point about money wasn't about cost, or taxpayers. It was about people ("resultant civilian contractor opportunities") looking to make money from government interest in UFOs.

@VICE should look into that.

2022-08-25T19:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562888293762486273

@steven_dor @DotOnTheSpot326 @SpineHarvest @PostDisclosure Where did I say that? I don't know what they saw. I know their accounts differ in several ways. I suspect there might have been incorrect size (and hence distance and velocity) estimates as it was something new to them.

2022-08-25T19:41:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562887793864413184

@AtticusFinch_JD Even if they get sick and die because they have been taught by charlatans to not trust doctors? I knew someone this has happened to, and their family.

People get fooled, maybe they shouldn't, but we can still be sympathetic to their plight.

2022-08-25T18:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562868099740684291

@ufo_classes You may find this article I wrote interesting.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/when-conspiracists-psychoanalyze.12536/

2022-08-25T18:17:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562866816271036419
@DotOnTheSpot326 @SpineHarvest @PostDisclosure I don't doubt the experience of trained observers.

But people, even the best people, occasionally make mistakes - especially in novel situations. Sometimes terrible mistakes. Sometimes trivial mistakes. Training is vital, but it is not perfect.

2022-08-25T18:00:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562862384615550981
Another attempt to get people to not pay attention to me by starting a discussion about me. :man_shrugging_medium-light_skin_tone:
https://twitter.com/PostDisclosure/status/1562796901015072768

2022-08-25T17:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562861549227651072
@TheWatcher_ I mean videos. Testimonials are not useful unless you get full independent witnesses corroborating from different viewpoints.

2022-08-25T17:55:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562861299129675777
@TASInTheBay @dom_lauren @KevinCo44516732 Not all UFOs are miles away.
Not all phones lack an optical zoom.
UFOs are generally characterized as weird by their MOVEMENTS, not by looking a funny shape.
Phones can easily capture weird movements. You'd just need two.

2022-08-25T16:10:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562834740977160193
And then there are consultants who will come into your home and wave around meters that max out at 1/1000th of a safe dose. They will sell you worthless gadgets and $200/gallon "EMF shielding" paint and charge you $200/hour for the privilege of hawking placebos.

2022-08-25T16:05:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562833665930842115
There's bullshit like this. It might keep out the mosquitos, but it's not going to change how EMF affects your health.
If you are convinced it does, then maybe you'll feel better. Where's the harm?
If you've gone this far, you've probably spent tens of thousands on this stuff.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbBMTUlUYAAoX9k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FbBMTUlUYAAoX9k.jpg
2022-08-25T16:02:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562832720081825792
It's not just that people are being scammed. They are being convinced of one pseudoscience, which opens the door to more. If your ills are due to EMF and your doctor disagrees, then why trust the doctors about treatment for your cancer. People die sooner than they should.

2022-08-25T15:59:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562832069750714375
The tinfoil hat idea of protecting yourself from EMF or radiation is a big business with clothing lines, detection devices, "blockers," and pseudoscience. No research suggests it's more than placebo effects. But people are hurting and wasting money.

https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/psych-unseen/202208/tin-foil-hats-tired-trope-or-sign-the-times

---

2022-08-25T13:50:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562799491777830914
@Ufoguy2 Reportedly? Where are those reports? Where are there rumors of those reports?

2022-08-25T13:46:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562798500969996288
@ziplock9000 Yes.

2022-08-25T05:31:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562674120642285575
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @thebirdparticle @VICE By reading it.

2022-08-25T05:19:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562671068443131904
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @thebirdparticle @VICE Simply suggesting there might be a conspiracy is

not being a "conspiracy theorist," in the common sense of the phrase.

The conspiracy suggested also needs to be highly implausible compared to other theories. E.g. chemtrails vs. contrails, or explosives vs. planes and fire.

2022-08-25T05:16:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562670263753269248
@OliverWood2017 What are? That post had no questions in it.

2022-08-25T05:09:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562668572702216194
@strategic_doubt @TheUFOTrail @fringequest They could do it in five weeks if they put a bit of effort into it.

2022-08-25T05:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562667314054459392
@MrHustleMCR @docdiscern How prevelant?

2022-08-25T04:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562664596887851008
@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @thebirdparticle @VICE I like accurate quotes. Especially when quoting myself. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa-zCCnVEAUpNnB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa-zCCnVEAUpNnB.jpg

2022-08-25T04:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562663942144397313

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @McPherson1187 @VICE Read your definition. You introduced it. You justify it.

2022-08-25T04:39:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562660933989634048

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @McPherson1187 @VICE You are the one who introduced probability.

2022-03-03T23:02:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499520654973882375

@OAesthetics69 I don't think so.

2022-03-03T23:01:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499520440976297999

Nice halo on my walk this morning. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9duzHUUAE2cVj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9duzHUUAE2cVj.jpg

2022-03-03T22:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499518871820062729

With a little work you can get a UFO to go behind a plane wing in a video with no CGI. Window reflections included!

Need to reduce the quality to hid the strings though!

I know, it's not the best video. Just illustrating the concept.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499518338812112897/pu/img/U3gr3ZiKE6Hvu-uo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499518338812112897/pu/img/U3gr3ZiKE6Hvu-uo.jpg
2022-03-03T21:49:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499502317577506816
@AdHominoid @ChrisinMass @AnonymouShadoww What about the wing looks military to you? It seems like a 737-400. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9LtxXUcBYiDhx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM9LtxXUcBYiDhx.jpg
2022-03-03T19:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499464113088450564
@rscs201 @Anony_Mouse777 Do UFOs never appear in the daytime?

Nearly all the old classic UFO photos are daytime, and fairly close.

Now all we get is lights in the sky, or fuzzy black dots.

2022-03-03T19:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499463647743004682

@gangatoday1 It's an anonymous video. Discussed here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fake-looking-ufo-filmed-from-airplane-window.12019/

2022-03-03T18:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499454315857006605

@gangatoday1 what does "reflect the pot lit unto the glass" mean? There's no reflection in the glass of the pod lid in the UFO video. There's a reflection of the camera.

As I said, they put more effort into it.

2022-03-03T18:37:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499453822279700497

@JoseMar10156725 I think it didn't fly anywhere near the water. It just looked like it did. Not it also vanishes earlier, when there's just ground behind it.

It's just a plane circling something, giving the familiar parallax effect.

2022-03-03T18:26:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499451158410121241

@gangatoday1 I don't think it's a reflection. I think they faked a simple video and then filmed it again through some scratched plastic. Like this, but with more effort.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499451067427278898/pu/img/ASYJwFZCwqbvS4Sx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499451067427278898/pu/img/ASYJwFZCwqbvS4Sx.jpg

2022-03-03T16:55:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499428196579614725
@Anony_Mouse777 The absence of modern clear photos that are similar to the blurry photos of the past indicates something changed. Did UFOs move further away? Or did cameras just get better so it was harder to fake/mistake a UFO photo.

2022-03-03T16:52:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499427403545735168
What does "unidentified" mean?

Perhaps when the Navy classifies Gimbal, GoFast, etc as "unidentified" that does not actually mean they have no idea what they are looking at.
https://twitter.com/MiddleOfMayhem/status/1499074614260948993

2022-03-03T16:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499421673715474434
@BrownDwarfStar @SpinDubTracks @TruthBeTOLDMFer When you fly past a plane that's flying at right angles to you and it's leaving a short contrail, it SEEMS to wheel around in a bizarre way. But it's an unusual view of a very large thing that people are not used to seeing.

2022-03-03T16:18:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499418844212842496
@SpinDubTracks @TruthBeTOLDMFer Well, I'm a contrail expert. It's a contrail. The plane leaving it is in level flight.

2022-03-03T16:06:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499415824322285575
@TruthBeTOLDMFer That's a contrail. 100%.

2022-03-03T15:59:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499414282391285760
@Robot__Roberto @UAPJosh Here's the video. Pick a clearer screenshot.
https://www.metabunk.org/data/video/46/46171-aca4c0a34ce5034e8e48fc803e0204a1.mp4

2022-03-03T15:50:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499412001738551296
@blaq_ty @AnonymouShadoww CGI is not the only way of faking video effects.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499411936005427201/pu/img/Rvbr5b-U47HI3RQx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1499411936005427201/pu/img/Rvbr5b-U47HI3RQx.jpg
2022-03-03T15:39:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499409181232676864
Faked UFO videos are low resolution and blurry for a reason. UFO's are unidentified because of a lack of information.

They are often old. No planes have been buzzed by flying pan lids since the advent of ubiquitous 4K video cameras on every flight.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM74HPgUcAIUP84.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM74HPgUcAIUP84.jpg
2022-03-03T15:33:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1499407653629358083
@NigelLe18211679 @RamonDe17166977 @AnonymouShadoww I'm sure there's a variety of different pot lids that would fit. The handle on mine is the wrong shape.

The point is, it looks like a pod lid. There's nothing to indicate it's not. And more broadly, there's nothing to indicate this is not fake.

2022-03-03T15:21:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1499404480520986624

@caitgaist @AnonymouShadoww http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM70aIYUYAs6Wur.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FM70aIYUYAs6Wur.jpg

2022-03-02T02:05:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498841987952173056

@EMP_Wrecker Probably, but it wonâ□□t be as useful. This is mostly about the glare rotating.

2022-03-02T00:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498822198475169794

@EMP_Wrecker But it's fun!

Seriously though, what's the problem? Would it not be useful to figure out, at the very least, if the Gimbal video shows a real rotating craft or an optical artifact (with something unknown behind it)

2022-03-01T23:36:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498804422050078720

@Patrick09667990 I address specific claims of evidence, and by doing so I make the evidence base more robust.

2022-03-01T20:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498757831599669251

@james_mals @CausalityL @528vibes I'm talking about Gimbal. But in the Nimitz incident, I suspected there were a variety of things going on.

2022-03-01T17:20:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498709711851376644

@simonpage63 @UFOBreddit I did not say that. I gave it as an example of one of many things

that might possibly have been the root event for such a story.

2022-03-01T17:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498709187093680130

@528vibes Footage without context is useless. Link the original.

2022-03-01T15:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498678311429488642

@CausalityL @528vibes Perhaps they were new-ish pilots who just made a rare but understandable mistake?

Pilots sometimes crash their planes by mistake or equipment failure. Is it impossible that such rare event might also have less dramatic consequences?

2022-03-01T10:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498609967066087424

@BrianDunning I actually made a Scrabble word finder back in 2008 :) The first version was a launch title in the app store, so people reviewed it.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2PG7XWTl97k

2022-03-01T10:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498607967813312514

@TobyAM No, but I'm sure they can find a coder. Their task should be simpler, with non-moving cameras. Good visualization is always helpful though.

2022-03-01T08:14:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498572352740749312

@Patrick09667990 Not really. It's more like "the glove doesn't fit, make of it what you will"

2022-03-01T05:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498529351058419712

@a51s1 @zcichy Itâ□□s modeling the rotation of the glare. Itâ□□s all based on angles.

2022-03-01T04:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498520589673242625

@Daversa2 It does not really translate to VR.

2022-03-01T04:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498519198770733066

@emh203 Itâ□□s a heading cue. Indicates the target heading in the wing plane.

2022-03-01T02:16:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498482212030668800

@Questioner4X10 You can literally see it rotating. How else can it track things?

2022-03-01T01:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498471829790334983

@Patrick09667990 No. And I'm not trying to.

2022-03-01T01:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1498468755633967104

I'm having too much fun programming. I really should get around to making a video explaining all of this.

Camera-relative glare without and with derotation.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1498468540222885889/pu/img/-KkmmX9DY-Yj1wvw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1498468540222885889/pu/img/-KkmmX9DY-Yj1wvw.jpg
2022-02-28T05:05:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1498162314838564864
@TomTom21592973 Iâ□□ve already progressed past Flat Earthers.
:grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2022-02-28T04:23:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1498151766906466306
@DNSDEBRO Did the game remind you because it was laggy? Or tight?

2022-02-28T04:21:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1498151345114603525
Many years ago I used to write about the technical aspects of game programming. This article on the causes (and misunderstanding) of input lag was a good one.
https://twitter.com/DNSDEBRO/status/1498107464025317378

2022-02-26T23:57:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497722482890928131
@mgruberb Dustin is correct.

2022-02-25T22:22:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497336337577361409
@uapstuditaly @dave_beaty @mike82uapitaly @ufo_studyita @UAPx2 @ufoofinterest @chrisotis78 @BrandonFugal @OSIRIS_UAP Can you explain why? Can you demonstrate the effect you are imagining?

2022-02-25T15:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497235253005406211
@Questioner4X10 Yes, however, I was referring to your use of the word "not" here:

"It is therefore not unreasonable to assume that chemical spraying in the atmosphere has never occurred"

It's a straw man, but not the straw man you were going for.

2022-02-25T15:07:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497226729080832000
@ufo_studyita @dave_beaty @UAPx2 @ufoofinterest @chrisotis78 There are a few Skinwalker-related investigations on Metabunk.
https://www.metabunk.org/tags/skinwalker-ranch/

I think in all cases there was a significant dramatization of the facts - with a very strong preference for extraordinary interpretations of ambiguous or banal data, for TV.

2022-02-25T08:46:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497130840215605248
@Questioner4X10 Your logic needs a little work.

But how about chemical spraying, from commercial jets, in the upper atmosphere that looks exactly like contrails?

Has that ever happened?

2022-02-25T07:00:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497104201846964235
@Questioner4X10 They look like contrails. Why suspect otherwise?

2022-02-25T04:29:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1497066168263196672
Contrails. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMalvpiVQAM-WEH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMaIvpiVQAM-WEH.jpg

2022-02-25T01:43:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1497024322082275329

@AlxndrSmith Better than this one? Of a craft with a shape?

2022-02-25T00:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496999478066180151

@ScottGearen I was just asking about this tweet.

2022-02-24T23:36:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496992567904202770

@ScottGearen Why show a video of a video on a screen? Why not the actual video?

2022-02-24T20:05:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496939375464091653

The Dronut has no visible means of propulsion!

It does have a very audible one though.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6OHQRh7UCFs

2022-02-24T19:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496928086843019278

@TomSuesss @motorbikemike13 Not really. I've done a little talking-head stuff on a variety of shows. Nothing big is currently planned.

2022-02-24T18:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496909402120474625

@motorbikemike13 That's an important point. Many times videos show something off in the distance, a bit too far to make out what it is.

But thousands of people would have been a LOT closer.

UFOs exist in the LIZ. The Low Information Zone. Generally TFA.

2022-02-24T18:03:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496908771360002051

@Flyingh43892139 Possibly a kite. Where's the original?

2022-02-24T18:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496908385865777153

@Jeremia24872446 @IWANTTOKNOWUK White dots flying high in the sky are frequently fingered as UFOs. I wanted to demonstrate that *sometimes* they are birds.

Just sometimes. Not always.

It would be good if there were fewer videos like this one:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496908307180638221/pu/img/VY76JkakWo_jhOUL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496908307180638221/pu/img/VY76JkakWo_jhOUL.jpg

2022-02-24T17:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496906360545431555

@GHETTOCOWB0Y @hollywoodufos The point is that a lot of the older UFO videos that showed nearby objects were probably fake, as we don't get 4K videos of nearby objects now.

Or the aliens now keep their distance, for some reason.

2022-02-24T17:49:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496905101423759360

@ChilliFowler It's poor quality, and there's nothing to indicate it's genuine. You can't prove it's fake, but it's not useful because you can't demonstrate the object is doing anything interesting.

2022-02-24T17:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496902498396741696

@SabersKnight For any camera. Zooming is magnification.

2022-02-24T17:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496901536642834459

@SabersKnight Not true. Zooming makes an image bigger. It does not matter how far away it is.

2022-02-24T17:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496898756909801510

Seems like there were lots more "best ever!" UFO videos back when video cameras were poor quality and did not fit in your pocket.

If this was 4K you'd probably be able to see the string.

https://twitter.com/528vibes/status/1496695871421009922

2022-02-24T15:14:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496866041615425542

@SonicGravity1 I rotated the view so you can see the pod head moving.

However itâ□□s only showing rotation, which does not create parallax - itâ□□s the translational movement that makes parallax. This is just investigating angles.

2022-02-23T14:56:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496499138896089088

@james4125 If something is sufficiently far away, then it can't be identified by any camera.

2022-02-23T03:06:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496320427970502659

@uappublicpolicy Itâ□□s just an iPhone 13. 3x

2022-02-23T01:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1496297266314768385

@uappublicpolicy It's more they were too far away.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496297033572896768/pu/img/ybCTfEdESHBz4SM6.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496297033572896768/pu/img/ybCTfEdESHBz4SM6.jpg
2022-02-23T00:47:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496285582405746688
@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady Maybe a transponder was not working.
Maybe a plane was lost.
Maybe someone made a mistake.
Maybe aliens were spying on the Navy.

What seems the most likely?

2022-02-22T20:33:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496221590358347776
@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady I'm being entirely honest.

2022-02-22T19:30:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496205882308317189
@IAmRobAherne He did.

2022-02-22T17:44:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496179099454169089
@IAmRobAherne Kai is working on a new one for the towers that will be very helpful in visualizing the collapse.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WbsQrsN3mIU

2022-02-22T16:10:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496155439112679433
Thanks for all the guesses about my "lots of white dots" UFO photos yesterday. It was in fact ... seagulls!

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496155197080432640/pu/img/0Ba2tI-VhdSJCVoT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1496155197080432640/pu/img/0Ba2tI-VhdSJCVoT.jpg
2022-02-22T16:01:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1496153214609022977
@IAmRobAherne The main thing to understand about the collapse of the towers is that the strength of the towers was in the columns, but the collapse happened through the floor panels - which could not support vertical loads. They were stripped from the columns, which, unbraced, were weaker.

2022-02-22T05:00:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495986736349859841
Earlier today a hundreds of white dots flew over my house in formation. What might they have been? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMLPuDkVQAIilUQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMLPuDkVQAIilUQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMLPlWgVQAAUmQn.jpg

2022-02-22T04:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495986145099878401

@JokeToSelf @blackvaultcom No.

2022-02-22T03:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495966740953862147

@themoodnetwork Learjet, probably.

2022-02-22T01:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495939971924041728

@BarlowTerry @zcichy The only context in which he would say that would be the simulation hypothesis. Unless heâ□□s talking about societal influences on individuals.

2022-02-22T00:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495916115091984389

@KingMilkfart @zcichy Reliability.

2022-02-21T21:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495873296994295814

@zcichy If it's a simulation, how could it NOT be cloud based? I don't really see why that's significant. Supercomputer vs. server farm. The end result is the same.

2022-02-21T21:16:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495870043707437056

@scinterests I'm an old man. I've read and thought about lots of things. You'd need to be a bit more specific.

2022-02-21T21:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495869564810252288

@IAmRobAherne @euler_aaron Not a lot, but the topic does come up from time to time.

2022-02-21T21:10:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495868649986412545

If a claim is correct then rigorous examination of that claim will only make it stronger.
https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1495546758306304000

2022-02-21T21:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495868039371247616

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady I don't think they are liars. People sometimes make mistakes.

My explanations can be checked.

2022-02-21T20:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495864604198457347

@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady Not at all. Highly trained and talented people can occasionally make a mistake.

It happens, rarely but inevitably - sometimes pilots make mistakes that cause their plane to crash. It's the exception, but it's not impossible.

2022-02-21T19:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495840199523192832

@IAmRobAherne @euler_aaron I'm retired. I was a co-founder of Neversoft. You can read my story here:
https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspira

cies

2022-02-21T19:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495839464266125313

@UFODoctor Usually, these things are very close to the camera and very small. Your camera has IR LEDs that shine a very bright light only visible to the camera. So if something is a few inches away it gets brightly-lit, and is out of focus.

2022-02-21T16:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495801239350562817

@cbgb7190 Not yet.

2022-02-21T15:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495781322387705856

RT @CultExpert: NEW episode of my podcast just released! This one is with @MickWest who talks to me about conspiracy theories and how to heâ¦

2022-02-21T15:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495781292201312263

@Richard17479640 I think the radar returns, the eyewitnessed object, and the video are probably all different things.

But the video I posted was about Gimbal, not Nimitz. I think Gimbal shows the IR glare of a hot (real) object, and the rotation is a camera artifact.

2022-02-21T08:12:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495672682762817537

@potenciadevida I don't think so.

2022-02-21T05:00:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495624339735068676

@majdi_houm â□□An occasional UFO reportâ□□ is not all UFO reports.

2022-02-21T03:21:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495599648895270912

@MasonWr35230112 Has it been found?

How do you know?

2022-02-21T02:01:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495579315467350017

@MasonWr35230112 How does it work? Are they secretly influenced me to debunk stuff? If they â□□useâ□□ me, then what actions do they take to do this?

2022-02-21T01:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495577164275724290

@MasonWr35230112 I donâ□□t.

2022-02-21T01:27:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495570899939958785

@MasonWr35230112 Maybe there just really isnâ□□t much good evidence.

2022-02-21T01:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495570668934471681

@TruthBeTOLDMFer Thatâ□□s about the same speed (200km/h). Just looks more impressive with the camera angle.

2022-02-20T23:24:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495539925168574465

@tacticaluap Although technically it's a 21.7Â° halo :)

2022-02-20T23:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495539544346615809

@tacticaluap The angle that the halo is from the sun. It's 22Â° because of the hexagonal shape of the ice crystals and the refractive index of ice.

2022-02-20T23:17:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495538251783835648

Hazy skies today made for some interesting displays.

Here a 22Â° halo is sliced by the shadow of a contrail.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FME364-UUAM4A3_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FME364-UUAM4A3_.jpg

2022-02-20T17:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495446610821615618

@caitgaist @SwinkInc Or just two videos, so we can triangulate.

2022-02-20T17:13:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495446418911203331

@528vibes @benjimaan22 Yeah. I really asking who took it. Where did it come from?

There are loads of these anonymous poor-quality videos.

2022-02-20T17:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495446173452103683

@mspacein @IamDrVenkman Well, that would be something different.

2022-02-20T16:53:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495441578705375232

@benjimaan22 @528vibes Source?

2022-02-20T15:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495413726823915529

@OldStyleCoder People's first reaction to these videos is often that they are "obviously CGI" because it's out of their realm of expectations - even the UFO people!

2022-02-20T14:42:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495408547697348609

@forabettertomm1 @DeathOfASalesm7 No. I have no real disagreement with the UAP report. Lots of different causes of UAP, including birds and balloons. More research is needed.

2022-02-20T14:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495407715908083714

@JohnSwettPrinci @callmebrent93 @RaytheonDefense I wish! But they don't talk about anything that might have classified components.

2022-02-20T14:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495405580776722433

@FrankRyanArt @realufobros @FrobertKinsey The Pentagon has not confirmed "instant acceleration, positive lift, trans-medium travel, hypersonic speeds etc"

UAP obviously exist, in that sometimes people can't identify thing in the air.

2022-02-20T14:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495405104496734208

@JimmyJungas Unless it was too far away to see the propellers. Like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMC_ARaVUAMSNKq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FMC_ARaVUAMSNKq.jpg
2022-02-20T14:27:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495404665495711749
@Questioner4X10 @DaveFalch @uncertainvector Ehrhart was asked the wrong question by Corbell. We know a gimballed camera can rotate glare because we see it in other videos.

Here's my full response to the Ehrhart video.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5OVt_SkhCdw

2022-02-20T14:26:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495404412885344256
A super-fast and odd-shaped drone. This might cause an occasional UFO report.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CzM0APQG7mI

2022-02-20T14:18:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495402510818766853
@Alien_Scientist @RealArea503 @section_51 Odd choice of video. Here are my problems with the UAF study (which is really just two graduate students being directed by Hulsey).
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-DadyW-LR4

2022-02-20T14:15:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495401795421556738
@Marek_Pietrow Not yet.

2022-02-20T07:06:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495293789467922436
@forabettertomm1 @DeathOfASalesm7 It does not mention this video.

2022-02-20T06:20:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495282151654232071
@forabettertomm1 @DeathOfASalesm7 What did they say about Gimbal that you think is

wrong?

2022-02-20T06:07:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495279010732937216

@DeathOfASalesm7 The Navy said nothing, so they got nothing wrong.

2022-02-20T06:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495278749931081728

@callmebrent93 That's the track of the ATFLIR pod's line of sight if you use the glare rotation as pod roll. It's within 3Â° of the target track.

2022-02-20T05:52:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495275131471417344

I'm quite pleased with how well three.js works on mobile.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1495274904010117120/pu/img/3XD9BaGUtgSPJasD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1495274904010117120/pu/img/3XD9BaGUtgSPJasD.jpg

2022-02-20T01:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495210839338483715

@TheInfoCypher How can I be â□□againstâ□□ a video.

2022-02-20T00:37:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495195752754126850

@RealArea503 @section_51 I shall start with Gimbal and the claim that it shows a rotating craft.

2022-02-20T00:36:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495195542883737601

@GeddySquire It's a street light. Just a tall one.

2022-02-20T00:35:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495195333411819521

@TheInfoCypher Oh, it's not ready for prime time, but which "target" pilots were you thinking of? I'd be happy to give a preview to anyone interested.

2022-02-20T00:10:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495189093973573638

@sausageking_af @FrobertKinsey @chrisotis78 Already done.

https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1491454857987108867

2022-02-20T00:08:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495188537855012864

@RoswellHawks @realufobros @FrobertKinsey I have to go far to demonstrate the point (that the rotation is caused by the camera) because people don't get it.

But why is that a bad thing? I'm having fun programming, I'm solving some technical puzzles.

So what's the problem?

2022-02-19T23:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495178105849192452

3D math is fun! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL_whcDVkAApLhX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL_whcDVkAApLhX.jpg

2022-02-19T23:24:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495177458504507393

@realufobros @FrobertKinsey Math.

2022-02-19T23:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495177330750230528

@RealArea503 @section_51 I investigate claims and debunk them if they are false. Seems like plenty to me.

2022-02-19T23:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1495172392141803521

@RealArea503 @section_51 Is there some need? The Black Vault seems to have it covered.

2022-02-19T19:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495122975044947969
@ScottsdaleInk @NickGroff_ Sometimes what you see and what ends up in the camera are not the same thing.

Also sometimes, people look at the scene through the camera, and hence "see" the sensor reflections.

2022-02-19T15:50:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495063213670645766
RT @laurahelmuth: If someone you love is falling into conspiracy theories, approach them with empathy. "It's not an individual failing, it'â¦

2022-02-19T15:49:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1495063009340760064
@SonicGravity1 @HadoNo88 @retromastertoys @PodcastZed
https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Purple_fringing

2022-02-18T22:06:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1494795396115144704
@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal @TomBrady Who exactly are you talking about? I don't generally ridicule people.

2022-02-18T20:23:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1494769522175143939
@EdwardKissinge1 @NickGroff_ The sensor. It's reflecting things that are outside the car.

2022-02-18T16:12:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1494706293570822145
@kimball_23 @BenjaminHorva13 @jamescarrion @BrandonFugal If we are just talking about opinions, then sure, experts should be who you listen to.

That's why I try to focus on verifiable analysis that can easily be replicated with just high-school math, so you don't need an expert.

2022-02-18T16:02:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1494703968454283267
RT @MickWest: @NickGroff_ Sensor reflection strikes again. Lights get mirrored 180Â° about the center of the image. Only the brightest lightâ¦

2022-02-18T16:02:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1494703948564893697
@NickGroff_ Sensor reflection strikes again. Lights get mirrored 180Â° about the center of the image. Only the brightest lights show. Camera jiggle and image stabilization creates motion blur streaks. Easily repeatable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL5A82tVQAQ9NrL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL5A82tVQAQ9NrL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL5Ao27VIAE28Bd.jpg

2022-02-18T15:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494701904877678593

@DamianUFOfeed @UFOBreddit That's rubbish!

2022-02-18T15:52:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494701334703984643

@FrobertKinsey I would be happy to. Did you have someone in mind? Or would anyone like to volunteer.

2022-02-18T15:50:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494700969879162884

@EireScientist It's just angles.

2022-02-18T15:48:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494700403513913351

@retromastertoys @PodcastZed It looks like glare from an out-of-focus distant balloon.

Compare with the glare just from the plant. A reflective balloon or similar would give the effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL49-JSVkAQAfGm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL49-JSVkAQAfGm.jpg

2022-02-18T05:19:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494542127161769984

@AstroKat27 @JimmyJungas @528vibes Almost still? Itâ□□s from a plane moving 400mph!

2022-02-18T05:18:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494541831165468681

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @MiddleOfMayhem No joke.

2022-02-18T02:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494493239079956483

@DK71 @uncertainvector I donâ□□t do either. I try to SOLVE cases.

2022-02-18T01:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494482025104871425

@Channa_Sr Itâ□□s math anyone can do based on the data in the video

---

2022-02-18T00:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494473615600807937

@playerofgains I am enjoying it. I'm doing it in Javascript, as it's the simplest way of sharing it as an interactive tool anytone can use. It uses Three.js for 3D stuff. I've been meaning to get deep into that for a while, so it's all good.

Here's the key bit of code from today. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL1vmSMUcAIa_2F.jpg

---

```
function Frame2CueAz(frame) {
    var az = Frame2Az(frame)
    var jetRoll = jetRollFromFrame(frame)
    var jetUp = new THREE.Vector3(0, 1, 0)
    jetUp.applyAxisAngle(V3(0,0,1),-radians(jetRoll))
    jetUp.applyAxisAngle(V3(1,0,0),radians(par.aoa))
    var idealPos = EA2XYZ(par.el, az, 1)  // note using 1 here to get a unit vector
    var jetPlane = new THREE.Plane(jetUp,0) // plane in Hessian normal form, normal unit vector and a d
    // take the idealPos (the white dot) and project it onto the jetPlane
    var cuePos=new THREE.Vector3;
    jetPlane.projectPoint(idealPos,cuePos) // project idealPos onto jetPlane, return in cuePos

    // now find the jet's forward vector, which will be in the plane
    var jetForward = new THREE.Vector3(0, 0, -1)
    jetForward.applyAxisAngle(V3(0,0,1),-radians(jetRoll))
    jetForward.applyAxisAngle(V3(1,0,0),radians(par.aoa))
    var cueAz = degrees(jetForward.angleTo(cuePos))

    // need to negate it if cross product is in same direction as jet up
    var cross = cuePos.clone().cross(jetForward)
    var sign = cross.dot(jetUp)
    if (sign < 0) cueAz = -cueAz

    return cueAz
}
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL1vmSMUcAIa_2F.jpg

2022-02-18T00:43:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494472544270753793

@Channa_Sr Well it does not mean it's easy to explain, but it's verifiable.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/calculating-and-visualizing-gimbal-angles.12237/post-266330

2022-02-18T00:18:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494466415067164694

@AstroKat27 @JimmyJungas @528vibes The camera is moving.

Camera movement is what creates parallax. Rotating the camera has no effect on parallax, but you usually have to do it to keep the target in shot.

2022-02-18T00:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494466026901106689

Getting complicated! But it's actually a simplification - verifying an assumption about the relationship between two different angles (Az and the SA/Steering Cue dot). And it worked out. Another obscure quibble resolved. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL1oWHKUcBI5Weq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL1oWHKUcBI5Weq.jpg

2022-02-17T18:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494381669507530752

@PlasticPaddy9 @528vibes This seems to be the flight. There are a few planes to the East. Notably a circling KC-46, and a Cessna. Nothing leaps out

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL0b08qVUAYFpCB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL0bVBrVUA4jmgp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL0b08qVUAYFpCB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FL0bgcgVUA4CGhp.jpg

2022-02-17T18:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494375663880396802

@528vibes It's certainly unidentified.

2022-02-17T17:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494369255436869638

@aka__V @JimmyJungas It was UMIST, I graduated in 1988.

2022-02-17T17:19:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494360969853558807

@JimmyJungas @flightradar24 I went to university in Manchester. That was mostly pre-Oasis. I was more into James.

2022-02-17T16:38:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494350661730795520

@flightradar24 Thanks! Unfortunately it's making the playback unusable. I can wait though, the UFOs will still be there.

2022-02-17T16:27:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494347684005613573

Hey @flightradar24, I've been getting this error on replays occasionally over the last few weeks. Both Chrome and Safari

How am I supposed to track down UFOs now? :grinning_face:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLz9Iv6VcAI-vdV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLz9Iv6VcAI-vdV.jpg

2022-02-17T16:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494346147992723468

@528vibes You mean something new to science? Not really, it's not doing anything interesting.

2022-02-17T16:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494343021860511746

@528vibes I take that back, you can make out some hints of a shape in the zoomed-in version of the video. It does not really suggest anything though.

2022-02-17T16:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494342197314867203

@528vibes Other than it getting dimmer, what indicates it's moving fast?

2022-02-17T16:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494341945014972419

@JimmyJungas @528vibes Bobbing around? At what point is it doing that?

2022-02-17T15:57:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494340303486001167

@JimmyJungas @528vibes Parallax. Here's some "fast" mountain climbers.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1493992411265056769

2022-02-17T15:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494339545709498370

@greenbudha The features don't repeat, but you can geolocate them to see that it's moving slowly.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y5Q82LsMPjQ

2022-02-17T15:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494339094708514830

@528vibes Possibly a balloon and parallax. Hard to tell. Also possible is a small plane, with the sun angle change making it seem to be flying away (getting smaller).

No detail can be seen at this resolution - compare with the houses below.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLz1aw6VQAAO6DZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLz1aw6VQAAO6DZ.jpg

2022-02-17T15:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1494335926532673543

@uncertainvector Thank you Ryan. We essentially share the same goal in seeking to understand what is happening â even if our perspectives, methods, and experiences often differ.

2022-02-16T17:16:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493997753982017542

@DefenderOcheese @ufotruthdigger There's not a lot that can be done with that Scottish Sun story, just two terrible photos, and nobody else in a city of 500,000 people reporting anything odd.

I'm always happy to have a quick look at other cases.

2022-02-16T16:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493992411265056769

@greenbudha Even better if you chop off the bottom

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1493992311138570240/pu/img/cLBnmHu2gffRF7F8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1493992311138570240/pu/img/cLBnmHu2gffRF7F8.jpg

2022-02-15T16:32:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493624280122281985

@mattUFOski @chrisotis78 @ChrisKMellon @edwardcurrent In the rotating glare hypothesis, the glare is either larger than the object (such as a small jet), or lack of contrast hides the object. I suspect it's larger than the object

---

2022-02-15T16:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493623862860279812

@mattUFOski @chrisotis78 @ChrisKMellon Chris calculates a smaller distance, like 10NM. More detailed analysis done on Metabunk and by others (@edwardcurrent in particular has done a lot of work) shows that you can't actually nail down the distance. But something like 30NM does actually work.

2022-02-15T16:02:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493616606559174656

@chrisotis78 @ChrisKMellon Iâ□□m my tweet to Mellon Iâ□□m specifically referring to the rotating glare hypothesis, which is independent of distance.

2022-02-15T15:59:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493615871155048448

@ashworth_mick @DamianUFOfeed Lunar waves are caused by ripples in the atmosphere, usually from a passing jet.

2022-02-15T15:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493613844408721414

@chrisotis78 @ChrisKMellon Sorry I didn't mean to imply you'd done a u-turn, just that you now agree it shows a rotating glare, which does open the possibility of a mundane object.

I do suspect you'll change your mind on the distance/size argument too. But that's yet to come.

2022-02-15T08:26:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493501840779984901

@ashworth_mick Try doing the exact same thing again, in the same light conditions.

2022-02-15T05:01:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493450490629083139

@ashworth_mick How did you take this photo?

2022-02-15T04:57:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493449443932209154

Contrails at night. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLnMWr3UYAMx30o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLnMWr3UYAMx30o.jpg

2022-02-14T19:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493302411024166912

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 Glare is in camera.

2022-02-14T18:54:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493297641949564930

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 The difference is usually that it gets larger with respect to the plane. Although really itâ□□s mostly from getting smaller slower.

2022-02-14T18:50:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493296723015311360

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 So you can get the same effect at a larger distance.

2022-02-14T17:40:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493278922091360257

@HeedTheWorld_RS It was just this University of British Columbia talk I did.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KrySRAuk-WQ

2022-02-14T17:38:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493278483132289025

@EireScientist @6diego32 @gaz_et What do you think is the most likely explanation?

2022-02-14T17:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493272529074462720

@HeedTheWorld_RS Canada the country?

It was just a talk I gave. Itâ□□s on YouTube I think.

2022-02-14T17:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493271935848882176

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 Probably not, everything being equal. Is everything equal?

2022-02-14T17:11:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493271774670303232

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 Size of glare varies for a variety of reasons.

2022-02-14T17:11:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493271570747256834

@flatue @Oswald1160 @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 Larger than what?

2022-02-14T17:10:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493271338026373122

@EireScientist @6diego32 @gaz_et Itâ□□s very hot. Jet engines are very hot. I think jet engine is the simplest explanation. Not the only one.

But an entirely super-hot object (like what?) would be pretty low on the list.

Does not really matter for the rotating glare hypothesis.

2022-02-14T17:06:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493270360749273090

@Oswald1160 @flatue @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 More distant glares often look larger relative to the heat/ light source compared to closer glares.

2022-02-14T17:03:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493269603199320064

@Oswald1160 @flatue @gaz_et @edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 It also depends on the exposure setting, which might vary a little as the line of sight changes.

2022-02-14T16:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493268508632702981

@EireScientist @6diego32 @gaz_et Because it has to be VERY hot to make glare. Jet plane is a lot simpler than a ball of fire.

2022-02-14T16:56:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493267968892936193

@gaz_et @6diego32 At the start. Not later - there we see an actual shape, somewhat.

2022-02-14T16:55:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493267560690683904

@gaz_et @Oswald1160 @DavidJayB @edwardcurrent @flatue @chrisotis78 11 years earlier, thousands of miles away. You are confusing cases.

2022-02-14T16:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493263213319786496

@gaz_et @6diego32 Thatâ□□s a different case from 11 years before Gimbal.

2022-02-14T16:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493262773937065989

@EireScientist @6diego32 @gaz_et Mass? Glares donâ□□t have mass. Do you mean cross sectional area?

Unless itâ□□s a ball of fire, then only the hot parts will make glare.

2022-02-14T16:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493261452550950916

@gaz_et @6diego32 No, Iâ□□m say a jet engine, attached to a plane, is the simplest explanation.

2022-02-14T15:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493252846224953345

Close-up photos of an actual UFO.

https://twitter.com/stratoballoon/status/1492701290618621955

2022-02-14T01:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493039731927515138

@valliant @EricRWeinstein So what exactly is his goal?

2022-02-14T01:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493035602932953089

@inkretarystudio @ChrisKMellon @chrisotis78 No, Iâ□□m not, because they would not.

2022-02-14T00:49:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493024446252130305

@inkretarystudio @ChrisKMellon @chrisotis78 What does that have to do with the rotating glare hypothesis?

2022-02-14T00:18:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1493016857011392514
@inkretarystudio @ChrisKMellon @chrisotis78 Why?

2022-02-13T21:16:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492970983480725507
@inkretarystudio @ChrisKMellon @chrisotis78 And the light conditions and a reflectivity are irrelevant to the hypothesis. There IS a glare.

2022-02-13T21:06:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492968476243230720
@inkretarystudio @ChrisKMellon @chrisotis78 It's mostly angles. It replicates the Azimuth and Elevation of the camera, the jet bank, the angle of attack, the angle of the glare, and the resultant required angles for the exterior gimbals.

2022-02-13T20:27:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492958744883261440
@AutumNova1990 @AnonymouShadoww @mahcmit @DrStevenGreer @Inthebunkercast @offcial_blue @UapUfo @markoconnell_1 @socioufologist @studyofUAPs @paranormalflows Do you have a photo of it showing its position relative to some known stars?

2022-02-13T20:11:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492954506199404545
@AutumNova1990 @AnonymouShadoww @mahcmit @DrStevenGreer @Inthebunkercast @offcial_blue @UapUfo @markoconnell_1 @socioufologist @studyofUAPs @paranormalflows Do you have binoculars?

2022-02-13T20:09:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492954016833163267
@AutumNova1990 @AnonymouShadoww @mahcmit @DrStevenGreer @Inthebunkercast @offcial_blue @UapUfo @markoconnell_1 @socioufologist @studyofUAPs @paranormalflows Sirius can appear to move if you move the camera around. Unfortunately this video is out of focus and shows only the one flickering light, with no position context.

2022-02-13T17:30:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492914186698964995
@ChrisKMellon, now that the experienced USAF pilot you shared last year (@chrisotis78) has changed his mind about Gimbal, would you be willing to take a second look at the rotating glare hypothesis? https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1401563413944442880

2022-02-13T17:10:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492909156281372674
@flatue @gaz_et And the same logic applies to the glare.

This is really the most significant (and simplest) of the four observable.

Jet banks, entire scene rotates, glare does not rotate. Therefore it's camera relative. i.e. a glare.

2022-02-13T17:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492908698301128705

@flatue @gaz_et When the jet banks (at 0, 8, and 19 seconds) the entire scene (except for the glare rotates. So if the dots were out in the world they would also rotate with everything else. But the dots stay in the same pixel position. Impossible unless camera artifacts.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLfgiiwVIAcy2DL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLfgiiwVIAcy2DL.jpg

2022-02-13T16:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492897957745545220

@flatue @gaz_et I see the dots. I don't see the rotation.

They stay at fixed positions in the screen when the jet is banking. So they, like the glare, are camera artifacts.

2022-02-13T15:29:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492883740464353282

@flatue @gaz_et I canâ□□t tell what you are referring to. Can you indicate it in the video.

2022-02-13T10:48:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492813025094500352

@AnonymouShadoww @mahcmit @AutumNova1990 @DrStevenGreer @Inthebunkercast @offcial_blue @UapUfo @markoconnell_1 @socioufologist @studyofUAPs @paranormalflows This is Sirius.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1492812885306724353/pu/img/2H95yn_mMgVR356z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1492812885306724353/pu/img/2H95yn_mMgVR356z.jpg

2022-02-13T10:48:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492812828675219457

@AnonymouShadoww @mahcmit @AutumNova1990 @DrStevenGreer @Inthebunkercast @offcial_blue @UapUfo @markoconnell_1 @socioufologist @studyofUAPs @paranormalflows Looks like Sirius. Go film it just after sunset, you'll get this result.

2022-02-13T10:33:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492809150736187392

@flatue @gaz_et Looks like compression artifacts to me.

2022-02-13T05:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492736661989445632

@valliant @EricRWeinstein Fame and fortune is not what heâ□□s ignoring. Itâ□□s the possibility of proving something astonishingly new to science. The money is just a tool and an incidental benefit.

2022-02-13T02:46:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492691641840259073

@davpea2 @Jim87504388 @chrisotis78 What if the transponders was not working? Is that more or less likely than aliens?

2022-02-13T00:32:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492658009650057221

@DeanoDeanDeano @gaz_et I've stared at it a lot. I've not seen anything that might be called "other targets"

2022-02-13T00:32:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492657846508392449
@valliant @EricRWeinstein And if it worked he could leverage that $250K into $250 million.

If it worked and he was just interested in the science, then he could demonstrate it, get a nobel prize and get lots of help investigating it and other similar things he is interested.

2022-02-13T00:30:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492657313324228614
@valliant @EricRWeinstein He didn't need to quit. He said the technique is simple, and even gave it there.

So why is nobody in the entire world doing this? Why isn't everyone?

2022-02-12T23:54:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492648286234152962
@DeanoDeanDeano @gaz_et I thought he saw a recording of it later.

2022-02-12T23:13:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492637952505106432
@EireScientist @BlueGlassTV @BarlowTerry @gaz_et @BrentlyLee @saucerlit Well tell that to Mellon and Elizondo. They seem very clear they don't think it's human technology.

They think it's aliens.

2022-02-12T21:30:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492612024529874947
@DeanoDeanDeano @gaz_et This entourage is not seen in the video. Maybe that was something else.

2022-02-12T19:04:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492575340748685317
@BarlowTerry @gaz_et @BrentlyLee @saucerlit Both Chris Mellon and Lue Elizondo have stated they think aliens (or some other non-human intelligence, same thing) is the best explanation for UAP.

Where would you put it on a list?

2022-02-12T19:01:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1492574529465434112
@gaz_et @Oswald1160 @james_mals I only post with this Twitter account. I never said "that the white, thrashing water the TicTac was darting about over was a crashed and sinking B737."

Dietrich did say that was one of the things that she considered during the incident.
https://web.archive.org/web/20190921054120/https://thevault.tothestarsacademy.com/nimitz-report/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLawlSJVcAEx_DE.jpg

---


As Source looked down at the ocean from the cockpit, they noticed a small patch of water, approximately 60 feet wide by 80 feet in length that appeared choppy and turbulent amongst a calm sea. The disturbance was unusual in that there was no apparent cause. The area was generally the shape of an oval and appeared to be “roiling". Towards the center of the disturbance, the water appeared lighter color and smooth again as if an unknown object had recently submerged beneath the surface. Source opined they thought they were witnessing a crash, perhaps that of an unidentified aircraft, as they made the mental transition from intercept mission to search and rescue.

Approximately two seconds after noticing the unusual water disturbance, Source described witnessing a small, unidentified aerial system (UAS) cross over the turbulent area of water. The unidentified object was elongated, approximately 30 to 40 feet in length, white in color, and described resembling a “tic-tac” candy mint. The object had no

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLawlSJVcAEx_DE.jpg

2022-02-12T18:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492573272285716482

@6diego32 @gaz_et You say it "produced no signs of propulsion of the readings" - but the fact that there's an IR glare indicates there's a very hot part of the object, consistent with a jet engine. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLavb3wVIAIEO2o.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLavb3wVIAIEO2o.jpg

2022-02-12T17:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492556313485709312

@gaz_et No, I don't.

I might have said that for the video, but Fravor did not take the video. He saw something with

his own eyes.

2022-02-12T15:56:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492528140903538689

@gaz_et I don't know, but it's consistent with a small jet

2022-02-12T15:27:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492520846136467457

@6diego32 @gaz_et Only one object is seen in the video. That does not prevent there being a fleet of objects on the SA. A glare hides a real object like a jet, or hot exotic craft.

All that is being shown here is that it's not a real rotating saucer shape. There is still something there.

2022-02-12T01:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492308764573114370

@GUFONRadio Itâ□□s a sensor reflection of the streetlight, plus aggressive image stabilization in-camera.

2022-02-12T00:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492291296626503681

@EricRWeinstein's reaction to Hal Puthoff saying he could make money gaming the silver market with psychic remote viewing, but didn't have the time, is amusing

And a good point. If you can demonstrate something new to science, AND make millions, why not?

https://youtu.be/iQOibpIDx-4?t=3254

2022-02-11T22:12:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492260290519392257

@YourAlienHomie @tinyklaus More UFO videos? Of course!

2022-02-11T22:10:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492259771109441537

@Dannyma55083668 @ItsMeTheKernel @disclosureteam_ @TheZignal Sea foam usually only gets airborne in pretty windy conditions though. Still - maybe it got blow high up, and just now came down.

It could be something as simple as kids soaping a fountain.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gdY9fYtqjak

2022-02-11T22:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492257676188741632

@YourAlienHomie @tinyklaus He already did. What does his NDA specify?

Maybe:

"you can tell people that we have videos of alien spaceships, just not what those spaceships look like"

2022-02-11T21:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492257108078710784

@MrHustleMCR @thoreauawhelan The resolution and focus of the images is generally consistent with other items in the scene. If there was some magical blurring going on, you'd expect some videos that demonstrate it's just the craft.

2022-02-11T20:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492230247235739648

@tinyklaus So why doesn't he describe them? Draw pictures? Have someone mock up a copy?

2022-02-11T20:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492229702362099713

@Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem I don't think it being an F-18 is inconsistent with Grave's statement. It might be an unusual way for a distant (30NM) plane to be seen, possibly combined with something a bit off with the ATFLIR.

2022-02-11T20:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492229111137267714

@AdorfaV @uncertainvector @aiaa That is what I think is most likely. But it can't be demonstrated with any great confidence. A jet fits what we see, but that does not mean it's not something else.

2022-02-11T19:59:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492226841217695744

@ItsMeTheKernel @UFOUAPSIGHTING @carlanderson333 Conflict with what? It's just drifting in the breeze. Breeze directions vary at low altitudes, especially around buildings.

2022-02-11T19:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492226010258886659

@Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem Sure, If it was a common occurrence they would probably have recognized it. I'm not arguing against that.

2022-02-11T18:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492205329718861829

@AdorfaV @uncertainvector @aiaa I've not identified it, just shown it's not necessarily rotating, or saucer shaped.

2022-02-11T18:33:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492205047198986242

@UFOUAPSIGHTING @ItsMeTheKernel @carlanderson333 How can you tell it's not?

2022-02-11T16:40:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492176817314099201

RT @DLeonhardt: People have come to think of expert Covid opinion as a unitary, omniscient force. Thatâ□□s the assumption behind â□□follow theâ□¦

2022-02-11T16:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492173861990768641

@InvNightSchool @BarlowTerry @MHuntington7 @UFOrealitycheck Itâ□□s not really a model, itâ□□s more a demonstration. Iâ□□m currently 99% sure of the rotating glare (hiding an unidentified object) hypothesis. Itâ□□s inescapable.

Iâ□□ve yet to hear a rebuttal of the four points from anyone - maybe after I actually make the video.

Happy to talk.

2022-02-11T16:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492171553743663106

@rdisipio @chrisotis78 That would not really change the rotating glare hypothesis.

2022-02-11T16:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492171307915509760

@BoydMichaelE No thatâ□□s just a NAR/MFOV optical path change.

2022-02-11T16:17:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492170979245629440

@AdorfaV @uncertainvector @aiaa Figuring out one thing does not figure out all things.

2022-02-11T16:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492170632666116097

@BabyPandaDog Youâ□□d be better off asking a physicist. The problem is heâ□□s probably got pseudoscientific answers ready. Will your wife understand?

The real biggest tell is the lack of a demonstration that convinces qualified people. And why are investors not giving him billions?

2022-02-11T05:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492005612732882945

@BoydMichaelE The halo is just a sharpening artifact. The glare is the spread of light/radiation. Like point your phone at the sun, that is glare.

2022-02-11T05:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1492004599879766018

@davpea2 The screens were showing the same video.

2022-02-11T00:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491929548589469698

@Rezeus2017 Block all the light certainly reduces glare. It just makes everything black.

2022-02-11T00:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491929093012480002

@dzeRnumbrd @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @MvonRen Yes.

2022-02-10T23:09:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491912314550841349
@EireScientist I live in the US. I've not really been following it in detail.

2022-02-10T23:08:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491911891072946178
@Linkchadlad I like 3D puzzles.

2022-02-10T23:07:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491911711728623626
@davpea2 Wrong event, Underwood was Nimitz. But both cockpits have screens in them.

2022-02-10T23:00:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491910060812816384
@EireScientist There's plenty of people doing that. I usually focus on more fringe topics.

2022-02-10T22:41:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491905215519363072
Keith Scott, a former "Stop The Steal" activist, likens the movement to both an addiction and a cult.

https://www.cnn.com/2022/02/09/politics/stop-the-steal-cult-january-6/index.html

---

2022-02-10T22:34:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491903462535168002
@davpea2 @chrisotis78 Some objects were shown on the ASA. One object is shown in the ATFLIR footage. Maybe they are related.

But this is nothing to do with the rotating glare hypothesis, as nobody actually saw it rotate - they just saw the video.

2022-02-10T22:31:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491902787340304391
@Rezeus2017 It's fake. Anti-glare coatings do almost nothing for headlight glare, unless the lights are behind you. There are some that cut out certain colors, but it's not going to help much with bright lights when driving.

Discussed here:
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1429172071481831425

2022-02-10T22:27:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491901715972456491
@BabyPandaDog That article is a press release from Holcomb.

It appears they have been doing it for a while, taking money, promising results, nothing happens.

I think that it is a scam, and I would recommend not investing any more money, and trying to

get back any investment. Likely lost. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLRLmKMVgAEjcpc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLRLmKMVgAEjcpc.jpg

2022-02-10T22:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491899376507125761

@YourAlienHomie Whenever it matches a NYT headline from that time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLRKio9VgA0tte4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLRKio9VgA0tte4.jpg

2022-02-10T22:15:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491898734271102987

@coralk_ It looks like a long expose of a jet plane. A constant light makes the line, and the flashing lights make the dots.

2022-02-10T22:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491898147643162647

@UAPx2 Sure, bring it.

2022-02-10T22:13:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491898055519469571

@BabyPandaDog It's almost certainly (like, you'd have a better rate of return by buying lottery tickets) a scam of some sort. "Free Energy" machines constantly pop up, ask for investors, seem to have good demos, but then nothing.

You are not going to make any money. You will lose money.

2022-02-10T21:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491883758252802048

@thieme @SgtMccray I think that if such footage existed, then you'd hear all about it.

2022-02-10T21:12:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491882836663549960

@UAPx2 Multiple videos of the same event showing non-human acceleration.

I'm not sure how you could distinguish advanced technology from humans vs. aliens - unless they showed themselves, or we saw them fly to Earth from space.

The first bar should be to demonstrate advanced tech.

2022-02-10T20:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491878320060841989

@ItsMeTheKernel @carlanderson333 Seems to drift in the wind - which is variable.

2022-02-10T20:54:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491878181573312526

@LtTimMcMillan @CLravioli @MiddleOfMayhem @dave_beaty It it's rotating, that means it's almost certainly an exotic object. If it's not them it's possibly a mundane object. So it's an important point.

It also might be helpful in assessing the quality of analyses done by others.

2022-02-10T20:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491877504449069056

@Rezeus2017 Anti-glare coating is to stop reflective glare. It's a totally different thing. Gimbal is light spreading glare around a strong light source.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLQ2l39VQAgVVJO.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLQ2cnyVQBAzium.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLQ2l39VQAgVVJO.jpg

2022-02-10T20:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491867690801111041

@bust1978 Of what? I'm 99% certain it's showing a rotating glare. I'm about 80% with it being from a jet plane.

2022-02-10T20:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491865453223821312

@Pewpew841 @gmcloone90 @chrisotis78 Mechanical engineer, according to Chris. Not super relevant though. He's someone who can to the math and understands 3D transforms and spherical coordinate systems.

https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1491454857987108867

2022-02-10T19:57:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491864031157633035

@BenPrimeEsq @IstriaLuigi @AFSUnidentified @astralnative91 @LtTimMcMillan Yeah, saying "The radical â□□transmediumâ□□ technology of unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) is currently being withheld from the American public. " asks rather a lot of the signer.

2022-02-10T19:56:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491863560003067904

@ItsMeTheKernel @carlanderson333 https://youtu.be/XcVVp_bWXYc?t=224

2022-02-10T19:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491862726410338305

@JasonWilde108 @OurLadyOfBeasts Given the utter lack of additional info, I'd have to put "fake" at the top of the list. Like a better version of this one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491862598454702085/pu/img/Q4-9GxLgiGXkl1iS.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491862598454702085/pu/img/Q4-9GxLgiGXkl1iS.jpg

2022-02-10T17:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491831015026745359

@IstriaLuigi @AFSUnidentified @astralnative91 @LtTimMcMillan I don't agree with the text of the petion, so I'm not going to sign it.

2022-02-10T17:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491830484015345672

@AtticusFinch_JD @GUFONRadio They didn't. They were trying to film the Aurora Borealis.

It's often a dangerous assumptions. As sometimes people can describe seeing something that they were watching through the camera.

2022-02-10T17:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491825610347319296

@MailOrderDad @leakim66 When something false has been revealed as such we say it has been "debunked", and that's generally considered to be a good thing.

Debunking is stripping away things that are incorrect to find the actual truth.

2022-02-10T17:16:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491823312510459926

@Pewpew841 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @MvonRen We then if that's the case then this could be demonstrated by someone explaining why I was wrong. Or they could agree with me.

Either way it would be productive for everyone. With honest ope and constructive discussion we can all move closer to the truth.

2022-02-10T12:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491756165839003652

@kimball_23 @tinyklaus What am I ignoring that is relevant to the question of if the Gimbal object (which is still a UAP) is rotating or not?

2022-02-10T12:48:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491755915971731457

@Mycroft05834901 @astralnative91 Well they said that because that's what it looked like. That's what witness do.

Does it though?

2022-02-10T12:46:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491755503059292160

@LtTimMcMillan @AFSUnidentified @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @MvonRen That does not mean you can't try to solve isolated parts.

Because if you solve an isolated part, then that isolate part is solved. Bad data is removed, good data is solidified. It's useful for moving forward.

We can't just sit around doing nothing until everything is clear.

2022-02-10T12:44:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491754830037016576

@LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @MvonRen I'd be happy to talk to any of them, just like I talked to Chris and Paul.

2022-02-10T12:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491753666692333570

@IstriaLuigi @AFSUnidentified @astralnative91 @LtTimMcMillan He's blocked me. So I'm not

sure how that tallies with whatever he wants me to do.

2022-02-10T12:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491753226114256898

@Flanker14 @Helvius_Isador It would be great! I don't see very good evidence though.

Who amongst us has never attempted to move something with the Force, just to see if it works?

2022-02-10T12:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491752880486846467

@Mycroft05834901 @astralnative91 It sure LOOKS like it does.

2022-02-10T12:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491752676895297536

@Saffroniqu3 I'm not trying to explain Gimbal from one angle. I'm try to explain one angle of Gimbal.

Very different.

Gimbal remains a UAP, just a non-rotating one. (And with no aura, but that's another story)

2022-02-10T12:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491752205086380034

@Flanker14 @Helvius_Isador I say what's in my heart. That's how I roll.

2022-02-10T12:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491752015948443655

@LtTimMcMillan Well, you then asked "can you elaborate on how anything youâ□□ve done has provided any reasonable hypothesis that fits in the framework of the problem"

I wasn't claiming that I had. But I think "misidentified jet" is just as reasonable as "exotic, possibly alien, technology"

2022-02-10T12:29:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491751115334946816

@Flanker14 @Helvius_Isador Gimbal is very difficult to explain. It's taken years just to make progress on the rotation aspect.

2022-02-10T12:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491745782998835202

@LtTimMcMillan The problem I'm addressing here is people thinking that the Gimbal video shows a rotating saucer-shaped craft, and hence something like exotic new new technology

You seem to be talking about a different problem.

2022-02-10T12:03:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491744524317577222

@LtTimMcMillan I don't know.

My point here is that it's not demonstrably rotating, which simply opens the door to some more mundane explanations, while not excluding exotic ones.

2022-02-10T11:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491743665563193348

@astralnative91 @LtTimMcMillan Zero eyewitness testimony is contradicted by this being glare.

2022-02-10T11:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491743391679279110

@LtTimMcMillan No it doesn't. It just requires a rotating glare to look a bit like a flying saucer.

2022-02-10T11:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491742858360995844

@UAPresearcher If I'm right, then a commonly repeated piece of bad evidence is removed. Everything advances a little. The evidence base is improved. Things are clearer. I have fun programming a little simulator.

2022-02-10T11:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491741420742275073

@GUFONRadio No.

2022-02-10T10:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491714112568512512

@damian_uden @bust1978 The Gimbal object would be too far away to see.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1491469333951762432

2022-02-10T09:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491713121009864708

@kiahami @BrianRoemmele Not really instant, but this is not that complicated.

https://youtu.be/UEE4xXmJuQo?t=135

2022-02-10T09:55:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491712325446815744

@themoodnetwork @THESPACEC0WB0Y @SonicGravity1 No, just angles.

2022-02-10T09:53:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491712039005220866

@UAPresearcher I'd like to resolve the issue of if Gimbal is rotating. I don't know why that has to fit into some major life goal. It's just something that there's a lot of disagreement about that would be good to figure out.

2022-02-10T08:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491683913999151110

@damian_uden @bust1978 We can tell from the 0.35Â° field of view.

Fravor was 11 years earlier, and he did not record a video.

2022-02-10T04:46:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491634732299214853

@issaufobro It is a UFO.

2022-02-10T04:32:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491631159175909376

@bust1978 Only if you knew what it was.

2022-02-10T04:28:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491630198558629890

@bust1978 They were looking at the video feed.

2022-02-10T04:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491629879225323527

@issaufobro Something hit, possibly a jet engine.

2022-02-10T03:30:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491615584240345093

@JesseJamesCE69 Sure, if we are postulating arbitrary advanced technology then it can do literally anything.

2022-02-10T02:22:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491598385643614209

@TRandallizer I donâ□□t know. Just that itâ□□s not rotating.

2022-02-10T02:21:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491598143992987650

@BrianRoemmele Water.

2022-02-10T02:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491597923599073280

@TRandallizer I was not trying to. Just pointing out itâ□□s not rotating. That simply makes a mundane explanation possible.

2022-02-10T02:13:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491596205079478273

@TRandallizer Sure. Iâ□□m only addressing the rotation aspect (and the aura, if anyone still thinks thatâ□□s significant)

2022-02-10T02:06:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491594506579234816

@TRandallizer All we have is this video and a few anecdotes from Graves. The video alone has nothing thatâ□□s hard to explain. I dont see a need to invoke advanced technology.

2022-02-10T02:04:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491593995390107651

@SonicGravity1 Only if there were only two axes used for pointing.

2022-02-10T02:03:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491593551058128900

@Spectre67904513 @mombojom Well thatâ□□s a separate discussion to the rotating glare issue.

Letâ□□s assume itâ□□s an exotic craft.

Just not rotating, and no aura.

2022-02-10T01:59:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491592638469869568

@SonicGravity1 How is that agreeing with me?

2022-02-10T01:58:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491592409150414852

@jollymosh1 @EMP_Wrecker Check with @edwardcurrent. Jet plane speed.

2022-02-10T01:57:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491592250677071873

@TRandallizer So? Maybe it was an exotic craft.

The point here is simply that itâ□□s not rotating. Itâ□□s a glare, obscuring something (drone, advanced tech, jet, aliens). Itâ□□s just not doing anything physically interesting in this video.

2022-02-10T01:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491591058433527811

@SonicGravity1 What are you agreeing with?

2022-02-10T01:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491588614081302530

@IDoubtIt @Daniel_Loxton Videos. Which you can put on multiple platforms. I mostly do YouTube though.

2022-02-10T01:33:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491586055740395522

@MickSvest Both of which seem to be based on the video, which shows a rotating glare.

2022-02-10T01:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491583269774643200

@sausageking_af @LaserStronghold @gmcloone90 None that actually engaged directly with me on the Gimbal rotating glare issue.

I'd be happy to had a public or private discussion with any subject matter expert.

2022-02-10T01:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491582892366970882

@MickSvest No, that's not what this is addressing.

@edwardcurrent is addressing that.

2022-02-10T01:19:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491582673357209603

@BrentlyLee @5X5_NEWS @MvonRen #4 appeared to be a slightly tongue-in-cheek press release.

2022-02-10T01:05:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491579158891139072
@inf3rence Nope.

2022-02-10T01:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491578993069359104
@SonicGravity1 Gimbal is 34 seconds long.

The glare does not rotate in the first 20 seconds when jet banks.

That alone should be enough evidence.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491578975071600641/pu/img/nKOHvy2YfvZwIt8A.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491578975071600641/pu/img/nKOHvy2YfvZwIt8A.jpg
2022-02-10T00:57:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491577024573370368
@sausageking_af @LaserStronghold @gmcloone90 It does not account for them. That is a mystery. Possibly it was an alien fleet, drones, spoofing, or birds.

The point here is that the claims people made about rotation are wrong.

2022-02-10T00:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491576543675490311

@KiminRockledge @MiddleOfMayhem

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1491469333951762432

2022-02-10T00:51:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491575541786456067

@sausageking_af @LaserStronghold @gmcloone90 I don't hear anyone making any of those assumptions.

I'm just saying it's not rotating, and that's not its shape. It's a rotating glare.

2022-02-10T00:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491574799730032640

@QuacksAnonymous Correctionbait

2022-02-10T00:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491574304294649860

@Spectre67904513 @mombojom So any unidentified plane in the last 20 years is likely an alien spaceship?

It's really not that clear that it's so impossible that something 30 miles away would be 100% identified, especially it they thought it was much closer. The number of failures boils down to about 2

2022-02-10T00:42:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491573302761979907

@THESPACEC0WB0Y @SonicGravity1 three.js, to make it more easily shareable. It's just a web page.

2022-02-10T00:14:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491566318750887940

@Starfleets I think the most likely explanation is that it's a jet plane about 30 miles away, flying away and slightly to the left.

However that's not possible to prove 100%.

2022-02-10T00:10:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491565124557033472

@Spectre67904513 @mombojom I think if we are to consider possibilities like chinese spy planes, alien spaceships, and trans-dimensional illusions, then we should also consider more mundane possibilities, like a mistaken ID, failure to ID, or a transponder not working.

2022-02-10T00:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491564529020403713

@Starfleets Because a flying saucer is vastly more interesting that a flying F/A-18

The fact that the rotation is a camera artifact indicates that the shape seen in the video is the shape of the glare, and not the shape of the craft behind it.

2022-02-10T00:06:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491564097955000320

@SonicGravity1 There is no "switches the imaging" other than going from white hot to black hot. That does actually change the shape slightly because of the sharpening.

Black hot isn't the exact inverse of white hot.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLMZkGkUUAE0Zxk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLMZkGkUUAE0Zxk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLMZf6XVkAAoRD4.jpg

2022-02-10T00:02:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491563162079883267

@THESPACEC0WB0Y @SonicGravity1 A work in progress

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491563083088547840/pu/img/0mb-Qo4UQw6v4Sz6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491563083088547840/pu/img/0mb-Qo4UQw6v4Sz6.jpg
2022-02-10T00:01:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491562819082276869
@Starfleets The glare theory only applies to the apparent shape of the object and its apparent rotation in this one video, called "Gimbal."

2022-02-09T23:45:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491558966450552834
@BrentlyLee @Pewpew841 I'm not a skilled debater. I don't try to debate because I feel the discussion should be about investigation and clear communication, not arguing a position.

2022-02-09T23:42:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491558054172655622
@SonicGravity1 Evidence: It distorts, it has diffraction spikes, it does not rotate when the jet banks, it rotates along the expected path when the pod tracks across the singularity, the camera bumps before it starts rotating, the light pattern across the image rotates in sync with the glare.

2022-02-09T23:39:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491557407973003267
@gmcloone90 Paul was someone who was cited by @chrisotis78 and his work has been reference elsewhere. He was a described as an engineer, but I don't know what discipline.

As always, I'd be happy to talk to any subject-matter experts.

2022-02-09T23:16:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491551551118200839
@Erick8324 I admit it all the time. Gimbal can't be explained (beyond it not actually rotating). It's a UAP.

2022-02-09T22:44:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491543577825931268
@SgtMccray Seems somewhat lacking in evidence or physical basis.

2022-02-09T22:31:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491540190132727809
@SonicGravity1 There's really no other ATFLIR video out there. There's a few rotating glares on different systems, like this one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491540153331900417/pu/img/o3gtSF_AhwljbwS3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491540153331900417/pu/img/o3gtSF_AhwljbwS3.jpg
2022-02-09T22:22:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491538062458847233
@punkgritty Who are "they", and what did they say about heat signatures?

2022-02-09T22:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491537886046396417
@OSIRIS_UAP @RobAgs0817 The USG didn't push them out. Mellon and Elizondo got them out.

2022-02-09T22:21:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491537737232490496
@JoseMar10156725 @SicCoP1 The government has said almost nothing about the Gimbal video. Unless they actually release some overview of their analysis or conclusions regarding it, you can't really accuse them of lying about anything if they don't say anything.

They never said it wasn't rotating glare.

2022-02-09T22:18:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491537102751744001
@VX_GAS_ATTACK Nope.

2022-02-09T22:17:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491536653864734721
@BarlowTerry @saucerlit I'm sure if Lue's old team were willing to speak publicly they would already have done so.

However I would be happy to speak to anyone. My DM's are open and my email is

mick@mickwest.com

2022-02-09T22:13:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491535828614463488

@Jetkerosene @OSIRIS_UAP I don't know.

2022-02-09T22:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491535074365349889

@OSIRIS_UAP Correct, but if it's not rotating, and that's not its shape, then that opens the door to more mundane possibilites (like a jet) that were previously impossible.

2022-02-09T22:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491534350671695875

@OSIRIS_UAP *obscuring :)

2022-02-09T22:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491534258602536961

@OSIRIS_UAP No. I think it's probably glare objecuring a hot object, like a jet engine. Kind of like in this example:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491534195931312131/pu/img/23n7sJ7CZ7-HQQA9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491534195931312131/pu/img/23n7sJ7CZ7-HQQA9.jpg

2022-02-09T22:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491533455263297536

@NIH_LLAMAS Pretty close - but the stabilization is actually in-camera. That's how modern phone camera stabilization works now when zoomed in a bit. Makes objects in the sky seem to perform incredible maneuvers.

2022-02-09T22:01:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491532844023177218

@EssentialBusin7 It changes the amount of evidence of unusual aerodynamics/technology from "some" to "none".

It still a UAP, just not a physically interesting one.

2022-02-09T22:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491532465420177411

@mombojom No, they indicate it can see something (in IR/thermal). In this case it's a glare.

However there's a hot physical entity behind it, possibly something with a jet engine or two, like a fighter jet.

2022-02-09T21:58:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491532039576719360

@saucerlit @BarlowTerry I don't think it's necessarily common. The fact that they did not recognize it suggests it's not something they see every day.

That kind of a side issue though. The real hard evidence is the four observables in the Gimbal video that only work with the rotating glare theory.

2022-02-09T21:52:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491530511038124032

@SgtMccray YMMV.

2022-02-09T21:50:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491529993435811840

@gaz_et @theDeepShare The heat of the engines.

2022-02-09T21:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491524591289655297

Paul Bradley is an engineer who did an analysis of the Gimbal UFO video that contradicted the rotating glare hypothesis. After talking to him and showing him the effects of angle of attack and bank changes in my new Gimbal Simulator, he changed his conclusion. It's a glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLL1kXkVgAAy8Z5.jpg

Paul Bradley Feb 8, 2022, 10:35 AM (22 hours ago)

to Chris, me

Hey Mick,

It was great to talk to you in person about the Gimbal. I really enjoyed it, and I feel like I finally have closure on what I think is the most important aspect of any UAP evidence to-date. Thanks for all your hard work on the simulation - very clever to use an experimental approach, and it's very useful to explore the full dynamics of the situation.

Your simulation test satisfactorily addresses the gaps in my calculations, and I can now say with high confidence that what is seen rotating in the Gimbal UAP video, based on the physical evidence available to us, is a glare artifact of the ATFLIR camera rotation. Thank you for your rigor in the scientific process, and for engaging in the exchange of thoughts, knowledge, data, and analysis. I appreciate your hard work on this, as I personally felt this was a very important aspect of the UAP phenomenon. I don't think that the conclusion of this particular study renders the video insignificant, however, given the surrounding context of the event (for example, pilots being obviously perplexed, and "whole fleet of them" that we do not see in the video). But it is certainly a piece of "extraordinary" evidence - the perceived aerodynamic anomaly, that is - which can now be taken off of the table.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLL1kXkVgAAy8Z5.jpg
2022-02-09T21:21:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491522607610417155
@OliverWood2017 @ufo_worldwide @alpha_check Because it seemed obvious. But I have discovered that was a bad assumption, so I'm trying to be clear now.

2022-02-09T21:20:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491522314785021956
@NoahDV The glare rotation is not locked to the background rotation. It (the glare) rotates when the pod head rotates relative to the pod body. This rotates the scene but not the glare. Derotation rotates the entire scene (and glare) back, since the glare never rotated, it does now

---

2022-02-09T21:01:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491517543495847936
@JoseMar10156725 @SicCoP1 I don't think Fravor is lying.

2022-02-09T20:59:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491517144546168834
@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @DavidFo73204388 @ufo_worldwide Nice auras!

2022-02-09T20:10:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491504759659925508
@ThanosAlpha @chrisotis78 My take has not changed, just got more detailed over time.

2022-02-09T20:09:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491504470403915776
This compelling video shows a UFO flying into view, dancing around, and then flying off and back.

It actually combines two relatively recent phenomena that are increasingly the source of UFO

photos and videos.

Can you figure it out?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491504031482613761/pu/img/KnAZ_tZkciyWFyIf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491504031482613761/pu/img/KnAZ_tZkciyWFyIf.jpg
2022-02-09T18:46:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491483764626657284
@sukitakita @alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @DavidFo73204388 @ufo_worldwide Three? There are only two in the plane. Are you confusing Gimbal with the Tic-Tac?

What makes more sense? Aliens?

2022-02-09T18:45:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491483436854300675
@gornalalbion @preluder @edwardcurrent @AstroKat27 If it's not rotating, that means it's possible it's a mundane object, like a jet plane.

However it might also be a non-rotating extradimensional hot anti-gravity ship. Or a spy drone.

The lack of rotation simply opens up the possibility of less interesting explanations.

2022-02-09T18:37:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491481443398131716
@CadeFonApollyon You might be interested in the work of @edwardcurrent

---

2022-02-09T18:36:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491481069350125569
@alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @DavidFo73204388 @ufo_worldwide Something hot. A jet engine (or two close together) is a good fit.

I think that's more likely than an alien ship, as jet engines are quite common.

2022-02-09T18:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491480633251561472

@Spectre67904513 @alpha_check @DavidFo73204388 @ufo_worldwide Well it does if it's actually a rotating object that can fly while rotating past vertical.

It makes the case a bit less extraordinary - going from tangible proof of anti-gravity to an (anecdotally) unidentified flying object.

2022-02-09T18:30:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491479533899304961

@alpha_check @Spectre67904513 @DavidFo73204388 @ufo_worldwide Explanation of the rotating glare does not explain the whole event.

It just removes one bit of bad evidence.

2022-02-09T18:13:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491475342850023426

@alpha_check Sure, but the media and the general public seem to think it is. Similarly with the "aura" - a camera artifact that was boosted into evidence by the NYT headline.

I think it's also rather significant that @LueElizondo won't admit the rotation and aura have been explained.

2022-02-09T18:08:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491474214779441153

@notnewatthis138 @EMP_Wrecker I'm only attempting to address the issue of if the Gimbal rotation is a camera artifact or not.

That does not disprove any extraordinary hypothesis, just fixes one bit of bad evidence.

2022-02-09T18:06:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491473586409787395

@I8mycrayons You are thinking of FLIR1 and the Fravor/Dietrich encounter, which was 11 years before the Gimbal video. Different thing.

2022-02-09T18:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491473215096381443

@alpha_check I don't know, I mean something appearing to exhibit anti-gravity seems a bit more interesting that something that's just anecdotally unidentified.

2022-02-09T18:00:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491472015122784256

@notnewatthis138 @EMP_Wrecker What's wrong with angles?

2022-02-09T17:53:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491470259903029251

RT @MickWest: @EMP_Wrecker The Gimbal video screen is showing 0.35Â° of the sky. To put that in context that about 2/3 the width of the moonâ¦

2022-02-09T17:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491469333951762432

@EMP_Wrecker The Gimbal video screen is showing 0.35Â° of the sky. To put that in context that about 2/3 the width of the moon. Here's the screen to the right of the moon, and object to the left. Its shape would not be resolvable to the naked eye.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLLDc9FUYAIdLHr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLLDCcZVcAE5nkY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLLDc9FUYAIdLHr.jpg

2022-02-09T17:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491467026828644352

@Th1nkaboutit1 @MiddleOfMayhem The codes are PRF code, Pulse Repetition Frequency. Not pulse width. It's a simpler and more robust technology than PWM.

https://man.fas.org/dod-101/sys/smart/jp3_09_1.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLLBGEUVgAIQ0_Q.jpg

CHAPTER IV

LASER CODES

1. Introduction

a. General. Laser designators and seekers use a pulse coding system to ensure that a specific seeker and designator combination work in harmony. By setting the same code in both the designator and the seeker, the seeker will track only the target designated by the designator. The pulse coding used by all systems discussed in this manual is based on PRF.

b. Designator and Seeker Pulse Codes. The designator and seeker pulse codes use a truncated decimal system that uses the numerical digits 1 through 8, and the codes are directly correlated to a specific PRF. Depending on the laser equipment, either a three- or four-digit code can be set. Three-digit code equipment settings range from 111 to 788. Four-digit code equipment settings range from 1111 to 1788. The three-and four-digit code equipment is compatible, and any mix of equipment can be used in all types of laser operations. However, when using a mix of three- and four-digit code equipment, all personnel must understand that the first digit of a four-digit code is always set to numerical digit 1. The remaining three digits will be set to match the three digits of the three-digit code equipment. As an example, a three-digit code of 657 would be set to 1657 on a four-digit code system or vice versa.

c. Multiple Codes. Coding allows simultaneous or nearly simultaneous attacks on multiple targets by a single aircraft, or flights of aircraft, dropping LGW set on different codes. This tactic may be employed when several high-priority targets need to be attacked expeditiously and can be designated simultaneously by the supported unit(s).

2. Controlling and Coordinating Coded Laser Systems. Laser codes must be controlled and coordinated, so each Service is assigned blocks of codes. Each Service subassigns codes to supporting arms (i.e., Army artillery, USMC artillery, NGFS, and inter-Service aviation units). This controlled code assignment prevents interference between supporting arms activities. Each Service's supporting arm divides its codes among

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLLBGEUVgAIQ0_Q.jpg

2022-02-09T17:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491463302995533831

@Drksools @MiddleOfMayhem It's not specific. They are like squawk codes for planes. You just get a unique number as needed.

2022-02-09T17:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491459543905177600

@MiddleOfMayhem It is not being used during these videos.

It tell them what the code is. So they can set it to something specific - presumably to not conflict with other targeting pods in the area. If they chose to paint a target, then that is the code it will use.

2022-02-09T17:03:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491457857501364227

@MiddleOfMayhem I believe it's the pulse repetition frequency of the laser in octal. Basically a unique number encoded in the laser beam's flicker rate that allows you to designate a target uniquely. 1688 is the default.

2022-02-09T17:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491456958188060680

@gornalalbion @preluder @edwardcurrent @AstroKat27 All evidence, once debunked, immediately becomes irrelevant. People were saying the rotation was irrelevant when it was first explained years ago)

But people continue to insist the video shows something far more extraordinary than an interloper - based on the aura and rotation.

2022-02-09T16:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491455434053414913

RT @chrisotis78: I met with Mick West and his Gimbal simulator checks out. He answered all of Mechanical Engineer Paul Bradleyâ□□s questionsâ□¦

2022-02-09T16:52:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491455020985774080

@TheGreatHogsby The pilots from this video are anonymous. I'd be happy to talk to them on or off the record.

2022-02-09T16:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491454624603131907

@EMP_Wrecker Given the zoom level (2x NAR = 0.35Â° FOV, about 5000mm or 200x camera zoom) they were looking at the screen, not out the window. It would be a dim dot, if it were visible at all - so impossible to see rotation.

2022-02-09T16:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491454009378426881

@vkcodez @MvonRen I used to agree, but he went on a bizarre tangent of misrepresenting what I said, then accusing me of lying about everything. So I no longer feel like we can have a productive conversation. I have him muted. It was all very disappointing.

2022-02-09T16:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491453378555092998

@physicsufo @leakim66 It's the exact same theory I arrived at years ago. Just with better math, graphics, and supporting observations to explain it.

2022-02-09T16:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491452938207727617

@EMP_Wrecker There's video. I can see the video. The pilots were looking at the video.

2022-02-09T16:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491443990310227971

@MarcelTrades Aliens would be interesting either way.

But a significant chunk of what makes this video interesting (and hence more likely aliens) is the apparent rotation of the object.

So it's worth addressing, even if it does not disprove the ETH

2022-02-09T16:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491443141362159621
@Munook Just because some things are obscured or lack evidence, it does not mean that we should ignore claims made about visible things.

The claim that the Gimbal object is physically rotating is a significant one. It does not mean that "Saurains" are not visiting, but it's important.

2022-02-09T16:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491442297283624965
@gornalalbion @preluder @edwardcurrent @AstroKat27 Given the zoom level (2x NAR = 0.35Â° FOV, about 5000mm or 200x camera zoom) they were looking at the screen, not out the window.

So there is no conflict with the pilot audio. It does indeed look like it's rotating on screen.

2022-02-09T15:51:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491439576480579584
@ufo_worldwide @alpha_check Sure, but it's a separate issue. If the hot object in the Gimbal video was a misidentified F/A-18, a Chinese spy plane, ball lightning, or an alien/extra dimensional craft, then that does not affect the rotating glare hypothesis.

2022-02-09T15:48:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491438875922690048
@KristaJanae33 That seems to be a different topic. One can both examine individual claims of evidence and look at the "big picture." I've had extensive discussions on both topics.

2022-02-09T15:41:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491437172456505349
@KristaJanae33 What data contradicts the rotating glare hypothesis?

Not the "interesting interloper" hypothesis, I'm not addressing that.

What data contradicts the rotating glare hypothesis?

2022-02-09T15:40:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491436808525156355
@ForeySR @Spectre67904513 @jplatte11 @alpha_check There's four significant observables in the Gimbal video (No rotation when banking, rotation to follow tracking rolls, bumps before rotation, rotation of overall light pattern) that are only well explainable by the rotating glare hypothesis.

These are measurable, you can do it.

2022-02-09T15:33:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491435088030040068
@edwardcurrent @gazer_nite Same reason my printer does not rotate in this video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VuSKFwhXhoY

2022-02-09T15:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491433694279569410

@KristaJanae33 It is a small yet fascinating hill upon which I'm doing just fine.

I'm investigating one important aspect of the video. It does not mean it's not an anti-gravity interloper. But it does change the overall interpretation of the video.

2022-02-09T15:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491433284768714756

@alpha_check But the issue I'm investigating right now is if it's a glare. Do you really have zero interest in that?

2022-02-09T05:55:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491289574810681345

@CanesReign @TomSuesss @FrobertKinsey My analysis viewpoint is to try to figure out what the video is showing, and what it is not showing.

2022-02-09T05:54:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491289360204894209

@AstroKat27 Why do you think that? Wouldn't it be useful to determine if the apparent rotation of the Gimbal - its most significant feature - was a real rotation?

It's like the difference between anti-gravity and a jet plane. So surely it's important to figure out?

2022-02-09T05:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491286043022139392

@CanesReign @TomSuesss @FrobertKinsey I think that detailed analysis of the Gimbal video shows it is a rotating glare. So either Elizondo did not analyze it, or he did it incorrectly.
If he analyzed it, then why can't he show the unclassified aspects of that analysis?

2022-02-09T05:37:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491285032270385152

@admpubmx Gracias!

2022-02-09T05:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491284708457476096

@CanesReign @TomSuesss @FrobertKinsey I only basing it on the fact that he did not understand it when I interviewed him. He seemed to grasp it somewhat as we talked, but offered no actual rebuttal.

2022-02-09T05:00:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491275688178946048

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen Not really. Rotation is dominant over translation at this distance. Minor lateral shifts are irrelevant.

2022-02-09T04:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491274333188403205

@Accumulat0r @Mick Itâ□□s a helicopter.

2022-02-09T04:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491273157361434626

@evilgoyim @528vibes Looks like some foam.

2022-02-09T04:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491272927412916226

@gaz_et @AlecStewart28 Who are you talking about? What exactly did they say?

2022-02-09T04:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491272589250990080

@NoahDV Which points?

2022-02-09T04:45:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491272083464400896

@thieme @DavidFo73204388 Correct. He didnâ□□t.

2022-02-09T04:45:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491271898315243522

@KevinJo82175103 He never analyzed the rotating aspect.

2022-02-09T01:23:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491221262512758784

@AlecStewart28 No, why? How much ATFLIR footage have you seen? There basically isn't any good footage other than Gimbal and GoFast. So how are we going to find examples? Why is it a "standard artifact"? Maybe it's rare?

2022-02-08T21:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491160537836978176

@BarlowTerry @DavidFo73204388 With this new analysis, I've only talked to one pilot.

2022-02-08T21:18:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491159576586366977

@TomSuesss @FrobertKinsey I don't think Lue has actually analyzed the video though. His disagreement seemed to be based on the opinions of others.

2022-02-08T21:10:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491157467975516160

@DavidFo73204388 I don't think they see it all the time.

I've talked to one pilot who now agrees with me, and zero pilots who disagree. I'd be very happy to talk to more experts.

2022-02-08T20:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491152435192102912

@SabersKnight @chrisotis78 I talked to Chris about this already. I just want to get some more feedback, and see if the explanation makes sense to everyone. Kind of peer review :)

2022-02-08T20:48:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1491151926091677696
@Jimmi21084147 That's a different video.

2022-02-08T18:47:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491121429982900224
I'm (on and off) working on an explainer video for the rotating glare hypothesis regarding the Gimbal UFO video (just the rotation part).
Is there anyone who A) has analyzed the video, B) thinks it's NOT a rotating glare (with something behind it), and C) will talk to me?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491121320020439044/pu/img/T2wICnkU7FSEZUmc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1491121320020439044/pu/img/T2wICnkU7FSEZUmc.jpg
2022-02-08T18:17:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491114090609086467
@KrysDevine @Drksools I'm just going by the video, you can't really make out a shape, so bird are one possibility.

2022-02-08T18:16:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491113712983281667
@PredatoryPanic @Drksools @KrysDevine A bit too far away, unfortunately. But they seem to be moving at about wind speed, and possible swaying a bit - so my first guess would be balloons.

2022-02-08T17:57:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491108908517134336
@Drksools @KrysDevine It's going in and out of focus.
The object itself seems to "flicker" occasionally. Hard to say with this resolution, but maybe it's flapping its wings.

2022-02-08T17:47:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1491106393876692992

@AstroKat27 They are, but it's low resolution, and hazy. So this is what you get.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLF5RuFUUAAowvT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FLF5RuFUUAAowvT.jpg
2022-02-08T00:54:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1490851563052552196
@ninnyd101 If it's a UFO then thun dreds of thousands of people would have been able to see and record it, so I'll just wait for some better footage.

2022-02-07T15:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1490716562805833730
@OSIRIS_UAP So it would be both â□□newâ□□ and â□□physicsâ□□? :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

Of course itâ□□s semantics, but I donâ□□t think anyone is suggesting that the laws of the universe will physically change after we discover FTL or some such new-to-us thing.

2022-02-06T23:51:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1490473292221673473
@vincenaza I'm thinking you were on SWA246 today? There was a gulfstream N502QS, that was flying pretty close to you. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FK85ZzXUYAIGU8x.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FK85ZzXUYAIGU8x.jpg

2022-02-06T23:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490471071295762434

@vincenaza Looks like another plane. When was this?

2022-02-06T17:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490376761745551360

Here's the approximate area of the reflection. There's a few buildings, a swimming pool, a possible small quarry. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FK7hfMtUcAELoUt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FK7hfMtUcAELoUt.jpg

2022-02-06T17:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490373539022598145

@dlew1249 @TheUfoJoe @lesliekean @528vibes @ExoAcademian It's rare more in space than time. i.e. it happens in rare locations. You happen to be in a location that has that type of windows, and has the right angle to the sun.

2022-02-06T16:18:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490359209195552775

@dlew1249 @TheUfoJoe @lesliekean @528vibes @ExoAcademian

https://structuretech.com/reflected-sunlight-melted-vinyl-siding/

2022-02-05T21:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490079025083019267

RT @grahamwalker: Here's a controversial idea that Rogan and Malone could have discussed: what if ivermectin seems like it works in developâ□¦

2022-02-05T21:43:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490078550568816644

@528vibes Foam?

2022-02-05T21:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490078168073474048

This "UFO" video shows what looks like the reflection of the sun from a window down in the valley. They zoom in, but you just see the glare, shimmering from atmospheric distortion. They lose focus, and the glare takes on the shape of the triangular aperture - a bokeh effect.

https://twitter.com/528vibes/status/1489984430223630337

2022-02-05T21:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490077543977734146

@528vibes Only when it's out of focus. That's bokeh.

2022-02-05T21:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490077338092015617

@528vibes @JonBob65 @wow36932525 That's certainly exactly what it looks like.

2022-02-05T21:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490077064925351937

@JonBob65 @528vibes @wow36932525 That's exactly what it is.

Plus triangular bokeh.

2022-02-05T17:37:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490016823479205892

@ShepardAmbellas What's missing? It all seems to be here:
https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/spikevax-and-moderna-covid-19-vaccine

---

2022-02-05T16:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1490002493526339584

@JimmyJungas @jplatte11 @SAUAP2 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @UFOBreddit @justmyvideos I think it looks like a flock of birds in promotional spotlights but it's a poor quality short video, so hard to say for sure.

2022-02-05T15:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489985883205816322

@jplatte11 @SAUAP2 @LueElizondo @AFSUnidentified @UFOBreddit @justmyvideos Iâ□□ll wait for the better/longer video.

2022-02-05T04:28:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489818288200634368

@Catchme22322934 @ScottGearen @LtTimMcMillan I donâ□□t. Sorry!

2022-02-04T22:48:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489732559894315008

RT @RiegerReport: MIKE PENCE: â□□President Trump is wrong. I had no right to overturn the election. The presidency belongs to the American peâ□¦

2022-02-04T16:11:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489632736788692994

@ageOfIdiocy @Krommsan It's an old fake. You see the fake atmospheric turbulence vanish at some point.

2022-02-04T05:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489464620393791489

RT @daithaigilbert: Is there anything #Wordle can't do?

I Lost My Mom to QAnon. Wordle Is Bringing Her Back.
https://www.vice.com/en/article/88gva5/wordle-qanon-mom

2022-02-04T01:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489407316059324416
@PhilipMarloweLA @UAPmike Sure, Iâ□□m well aware of the issues. So Iâ□□m not claiming this (currently anonymous) pilot is significant. The four observables are there regardless of who agrees.

2022-02-03T22:35:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489366907073351683
@AliG_212 @ThanosAlpha Some UAP are obviously drones.

And "the government" has never suggested UAPs are aliens. The closest they got was "other".

2022-02-03T18:32:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489305866838765569
@jezwyke @AtticusFinch_JD It's possible you did see it, as it's a toy. But it's a fairly generic shape.

2022-02-03T16:24:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489273547021185024
@BfOlivier Read the article.

2022-02-03T16:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489268515357876228
@sampleszz @UAPslut "There are several plausible pathways involving various forms of pulsed electromagnetic energy, each with its own requirements, limitations, and unknowns."
"However, there is a dearth of systematic research on the effects of the relevant electromagnetic signals
on humans."

2022-02-03T16:00:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489267530573041668
A cautionary tale - especially about photo analysis. Sometimes UFO photos are declared "real," (or even "the best") and pronouncements are made about size and distance, after looking at the pixels.

Sometimes they are wrong. https://twitter.com/topik122/status/1489108283734564864

2022-02-03T15:52:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489265604565499904
@sampleszz @UAPslut The whole subject is somewhat crippled by privacy restrictions.

2022-02-03T15:48:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489264517527658502
@sampleszz @UAPslut Hard to say, as they don't really give any numbers, or details, on the fraction of cases they think are not social, psychological, normal, or environmental factors. So what is the what here? 1 case? 2? What exactly happened in those cases?

2022-02-03T15:46:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1489264007030575108
@UFO_JohnDoe @UAPmike No, there's no hypocrisy here. I'm simply saying what the situation is. I know anonymous sources are not that useful. But I give you my word that I explained the four observables of the rotating glare hypothesis to a former fighter pilot, and he agreed with me.

2022-02-03T15:41:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489262680376369152
@UAPmike I'd be happy to talk to any SME. But I've been avoiding reaching out for public comment until I iron out the kinks. A sort of peer review process. :)

Would you, Mike, like to do a zoom meeting, where I discuss the four observables?

2022-02-03T15:07:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489254216400965637
@UAPmike I've only shared the more detailed explanation (the four observables) with one fighter pilot so far. He agreed with me, but the conversation was off the record.

The discussion on the Rogge report was a brief version of an upcoming video. But it's all in there.

2022-02-03T06:18:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489120973039104003
Lots of media coverage of the new IC report on Havana syndrome. A variety of interpretations.

Read the actual report:
https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/2022_02_01_AHI_Executive_Summary_FINAL_Redacted.pdf

---

2022-02-03T01:32:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489049071079751682
RT @papercrane111: @theRoggeReport is LIVE with @MickWest !! #ufotwitter Discernment and Debunking with Mick West LIVE 2/22/22 https://t.coâ¦

2022-02-02T22:53:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1489009009088610305
@UAPslut Speculative base-covering. They don't have any evidence of such a device, and they don't give any numbers.

2022-02-02T21:33:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488988869877846016
@DreamDetective1 UFOs have a variety of explanations, this just explains a very small number of them.

2022-02-02T17:36:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488929389282676742
@BostonsBuddha I suspect parallax might play a role there, and that it might be something

slow moving like a bunch of balloons, or a drone.

2022-02-02T17:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488920471869411329

Constant level balloons are rare, but occasionally show up as UFOs - because they are rare. The ballast bladder gives a one-shape-inside-another appearance.

https://twitter.com/stratoballoon/status/1488668180843311113

2022-02-02T16:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488916055514763264

@BarlowTerry @chrisfrench83 Itâ□□s a moot point until I get an invite.

2022-02-02T16:42:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488915726127620099

@TomSuesss @BarlowTerry So you think the Galileo project is doomed then?

2022-02-02T16:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488914121835700229

@chrisfrench83 @BarlowTerry Iâ□□d consider it. Depends what it entails. I donâ□□t see much point in just adding my name to a list.

2022-02-02T16:28:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488912160587616257

@MushtakWasiti @BarlowTerry Only because the objects being filmed were too far away. When they get closer, they donâ□□t take ambiguous video.

2022-02-02T16:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488911283214716928

@Morten64226949 @BarlowTerry Depends how big the object is.

2022-02-02T06:08:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488756207519100929

@BarlowTerry That's a plane at 7+ miles away. Historically there have been many accounts of UFOs much closer, and for long periods of time

Did those close encounters stop after camera phones were invented?

2022-02-02T06:03:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488754998531870725

@1911Moose @ColombiaUap @Saw_a_UFO Probably a plane, hard to say for sure.

2022-02-02T05:25:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488745322926915584

@ColombiaUap @Saw_a_UFO Wuss

2022-02-02T05:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488742308900007937

@katowiseart @ColombiaUap It does not

2022-02-02T03:31:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488716719128342532

@Saw_a_UFO @ColombiaUap Slow year in UFO videos. But these are always fun little puzzles.

2022-02-01T23:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488657762292699137

@Dvalenz951 @shado_uk @ColombiaUap Where's the reach here? I identify a video of some lights. Someone says "This is really desperate stuff." I ask for clarification as to what the "desperate stuff is" and what they mean about waiting.

2022-02-01T23:02:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488648975959801857

@GeorgeIoannide9 @ColombiaUap But what's the actual evidence that liquid metal dropped from a UFO?

2022-02-01T23:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488648654353100805

@shado_uk @ColombiaUap What? I should hold off on pointing out what some UAP videos are, until a report on different UAPs comes out?

That makes no sense at all.

2022-02-01T22:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488640900322390016

@UAPx2 @BrentlyLee @ThanosAlpha @DeepSpace41 @Armassusi1 @GalileoProject1 ETA?

2022-02-01T22:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488640736065044481

@GeorgeIoannide9 @ColombiaUap Iâ□□ve not seen any evidence of UFOs dropping liquid metal. Just stories.

2022-02-01T22:03:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488634207374954497

@randomlydelibe1 @ColombiaUap I'm thinking sky lanterns.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/moving-lights-in-the-phoenix-night-sky.12261/

2022-02-01T20:42:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488613655700652034

@randomlydelibe1 @ColombiaUap Hard to say.

2022-02-01T17:38:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488567409115996161

@AnonymouShadoww @pnwufor No, I'm not. I've hear people claim that, but never present any actual evidence besides stories.

2022-02-01T17:23:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488563748247785481

@AnonymouShadoww @pnwufor What's the problem? Is it not a viable hypothesis?

Are you considering anti-gravity craft? Aliens?

So why not consider a banner tow, and an odd angle?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKhwojiUYAErMWb.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKhwojiUYAErMWb.jpg

2022-02-01T17:20:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488562894807572481

@thatufodude @AnonymouShadoww @pnwufor

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKhwAmRVEAEYnQ5.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKhvrKWUcAYE_j4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKhwAmRVEAEYnQ5.jpg

2022-02-01T17:08:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488559976297627649

@AnonymouShadoww @pnwufor Might be something being towed, like a banner plane towing a novel banner. I saw one tow a dragon once in Santa Monica.

2022-02-01T17:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488558334927990789

@Marek_Pietrow @ColombiaUap It takes a particularly bright light. See which headlights have a strong glare around them in the unreflected image. If it's not bright enough to make a glare, it's unlikely to make a sensor reflection.

2022-02-01T16:37:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488551993421414402

@Oswald1160 @SpaceChecken @skinnyb0b And usually including the location.

2022-02-01T16:35:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488551671403745282

@ColombiaUap Here's a similar "UFO" that also turned out to be a car headlight reflection. Back in 2015.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-large-cigar-shaped-object-caught-shooting-into-storm-cloud-in-spain-reflection.6912/

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FKhlsXUUUAIhpmc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FKhlsXUUUAIhpmc.jpg

2022-02-01T16:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488549591569952768

@Barry56237 @ColombiaUap https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1488549326733254656

2022-02-01T16:26:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488549326733254656

UFOs are sometimes not flying, or objects. Here the bright side-lights of passing cars create a reflection that looks like a huge bright object up in the clouds. It's a sensor reflection, which rotates the image 180Â°

@ColombiaUap

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1488548565597048832/pu/img/KllOkhjbbuN6o6If.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1488548565597048832/pu/img/KllOkhjbbuN6o6If.jpg
2022-02-01T15:54:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488541301880418304
@skinnyb0b A web app would solve that. The most important info is in the EXIF.

2022-02-01T15:46:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488539272344911877
@Barry56237 @ColombiaUap Car headlight?

2022-01-31T22:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488273145236647937
@Catchme22322934 No going to waste time with that.

2022-01-31T22:07:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488272762502205440
@DreamDetective1 The description you give of the flashing lights sounds like a different thing to the vapour trail object in the film

---

2022-01-31T21:58:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488270389021392896
@LookTheFuckUp_ It's not "by the sun" for the people on the other side of it. Have a look at them on satellite images. How exactly are they "by the sun" here, for example:

https://zoom.earth/#view=32.2489,-87.2929,8z/date=2022-01-26,pm/layers=daily
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKdl_MYVcAE9q3F.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKdl_MYVcAE9q3F.jpg
2022-01-31T21:42:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488266415513616384
@LookTheFuckUp_ If you draw lines between cities with airports, it forms a grid. Sometimes the flights between cities leave contrails in a region, like this. Wind can move the contrail, increasing the grid effect.

It's not intention.

2022-01-31T21:30:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488263388908056576
@DreamDetective1 Where are those calculations?

2022-01-31T19:44:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488236778918072320
@ShadowThingsPC bird or insect

2022-01-31T19:43:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488236461426053122
@ChrisSPITZER7 @DeepSpace41 Thanks for the feedback!

2022-01-31T19:32:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488233713682956289
@ChrisSPITZER7 @DeepSpace41 and 2016 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKdEqf8VcAM3pPu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKdEqf8VcAM3pPu.jpg
2022-01-31T19:31:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488233456542765056
@ChrisSPITZER7 @DeepSpace41 I knew the colors come from atmospheric refraction. I know stars don't physically "twinkle." Sirius comes up occasionally as a UFO as it's the best star for observing this. In fact there was a Metabunk discussion on this exact topic in 2017

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/my-eyes-deceived-me-so-i-had-to-debunk-myself.9270/

2022-01-31T16:23:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1488186149260587017
@DreamDetective1 Looks like something leaving a contrail.

2022-01-31T16:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1488183648926896133

@ChrisSPITZER7 @DeepSpace41 Wait, what did I learn here?

2022-01-31T01:13:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487957135283556353

@MDPhitrader Nothing. I was just Tweeting.

2022-01-30T23:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487924187553435650

@realufobros @TomTom21592973 @MiddleOfMayhem Hard to say, TFA. Possibly a coaxial drone.

https://youtu.be/MSZ49j2uxh8?t=36

2022-01-30T21:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487904249484693508

@skyumpix @InvNightSchool A few UFOs are Sirius, this is known.

That saying nothing about other UFOs, or the possibility of anti-gravity, NHI, or the general ETH.

2022-01-30T19:20:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487868363342712834

@ChrisSPITZER7 Sirius is just the best star for observing it right now, because it's bright, at around 6:30pm on the West coast it looks remarkably different to other stars.

Interestingly with a long exposure the slight changes in position (from refraction) seem to give a different shape. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKX4RfGVEAEnney.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKX4RfGVEAEnney.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKX4DnIUcAE6DZb.jpg

2022-01-30T16:58:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487832710169042945

@KilliK69 @Oswald1160 @ScottsdaleInk @EireScientist @steven_nikolic @FrobertKinsey I'm saying it looks like an F/A-18, but I don't know what it is.

2022-01-30T16:30:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487825493579632641

RT @edwiebe: @MickWest If you defocus Sirius it's quite remarkable to watch the refraction in real time.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1487823128306749443/pu/img/S8-tvBZQSr2kJRRI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1487823128306749443/pu/img/S8-tvBZQSr2kJRRI.jpg

2022-01-30T16:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487820472129441794

@ThatUFOGuy I think Iâ□□m allowed to entertain incorrect hypotheses for at least one second. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2022-01-30T06:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487670437739851777

@hyperdistortion No. It seemed too silly.

2022-01-30T05:34:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1487660534124011523

Sirius is a remarkably twinkly and colorful star. When I saw it last night I thought it was a police helicopter for a second.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1487660321368002560/pu/img/_Th3xn-CM4asqmkt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1487660321368002560/pu/img/_Th3xn-CM4asqmkt.jpg
2022-01-30T00:40:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1487586482751606784
@_NTME9_ I'm certainly not scared. It's a combination of covid, timing, and cost. TBH I've not really looked at the details, as I've not wanted to get on a plane for a while.
But I'm also not going to pay $1,000+ for no good reason.

2022-01-29T22:43:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1487557144039723010
@UAPGuatemala Squid boats

2022-01-29T19:07:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1487502692192698371
RT @MiddleOfMayhem: 1/ Thread about this puerile and propagandic article on "cattle mutilations". Written and presented the same way embellâ□¦

2022-01-28T23:53:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1487212276587196416
@MatrixTMN Thanks, unfortunately they don't have one.

2022-01-28T15:42:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1487088652760981510
@EireScientist @steven_nikolic @FrobertKinsey That there's four specific types of measurable observations of the Gimbal video that are only consistent with the rotation of the object matching the rotation of the EOSU (the pod head) - and extrapolating from that, that it's a glare, not physically rotating.

2022-01-28T05:33:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486935388245561347
@Pawn37 No, it's to understand the angle of the pod rotation as the cause of the angle of the glare rotation.

2022-01-28T05:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486935170426957826

@dzeRnumbrd @bogsnorkeler I'm not simulating its behavior - other than the physical constraints of the visible hardware.

2022-01-28T02:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486895881576083456

@Matt_CooperExSc @FrobertKinsey @chrisotis78 But is it rotating?

2022-01-28T02:54:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486895395783331840

@ShaharNumb @Spectre67904513 Nope, this is my hobby. Iâ□□m enjoying getting into some serious 3D stuff

---

2022-01-28T02:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486894651189522432

@Pawn37 It has everything to do with it.

2022-01-28T02:50:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486894524022489089

@themoodnetwork Itâ□□s enlarged so you ca see it. The jet is just there to show bank and pitch in a bit better context. Itâ□□s all about the pod.

2022-01-28T00:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486852545729368064

@themoodnetwork Itâ□□s the ATFLIR.

2022-01-28T00:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486852328825114629

@ShadowThingsPC @bogsnorkeler You mean the DoD?

2022-01-27T23:41:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486846841551425537

@ShadowThingsPC @bogsnorkeler Iâ□□d present it to anyone. But why DOJ?

2022-01-27T23:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486846508720820226

@joe_forbrich Making a flight sim?

2022-01-27T23:39:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486846353627963393

@AlexFrew16 @GalileoProject1 I have no plans.

2022-01-27T23:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486844362474475524

@Z3ddyZ3d Complicated does not mean wrong.

2022-01-27T23:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486843005004701697

@Spectre67904513 Because the rotation is something people say is significant, and it would be if that wasnâ□□t a glare.

Also Iâ□□m unhappy with the explanatory effectiveness of my early videos , so Iâ□□m making a better one.

2022-01-27T23:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486841875621236741

@Pawn37 Iâ□□m not modeling the glare, Iâ□□m modeling the rotation of the pod head (EOSU)

2022-01-27T20:41:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486801469021949952

@bartjpx @Oswald1160 @DrumBotched Thatâ□□s backwards. Itâ□□s a passive track.

2022-01-27T20:40:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486801184216129536

@LegendJohnston I did, no reply.

2022-01-27T20:39:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486801064280018944

@steven_nikolic @EireScientist @FrobertKinsey Well, itâ□□s akready convinced one pilot.

2022-01-27T20:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486800866564665345

@Laz3rbeemz Srsly

2022-01-27T20:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486800677548408835

@Striplar Correct, it just explains the rotation.

2022-01-27T20:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486800528562524163

@Roro_McFarland No it wouldnâ□□t.

2022-01-27T20:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486800262098415616

@ShadowThingsPC @bogsnorkeler Oh, and the role of pitch and bank changes in the calculation

---

2022-01-27T20:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486799870820110336

@ShadowThingsPC @bogsnorkeler Just how remarkably well the glare rotation tracks the needed pod rotation.

2022-01-27T16:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486743052785913859

@Striplar no

2022-01-27T16:16:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486734972819886089

@SimonSund Taking a break. I'll be back.

2022-01-27T16:11:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486733598405251078

@Puxley_FAE Thanks, but that's the Litening pod, I want an ATFLIR.

2022-01-27T16:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486733280585998336

@hismerecry It's the targeting pod, enlarged so you can see the rotations while tracking.

2022-01-27T16:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486733042924208131

@bogsnorkeler I'm setting out to explain the gimbal rotating glare hypothesis, and to demonstrate the evidence. Just that.

I did discover some interesting things though.

2022-01-27T14:56:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486714766282289154

@LipmanTroy Unfortunately their prices start at $10,000

2022-01-27T14:52:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486713823998275607

@lptpoeta1 It certainly won't contradict it.

2022-01-27T14:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486713433001103361

@NoelDickover A targeting pod. It's enlarged, so you can see the pod head's pitch and roll

---

2022-01-27T09:04:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486626263754108928

@doodiewiz They don't have one.

2022-01-27T05:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486566914729996292

@aka__V Nearly impossible.

2022-01-27T05:00:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486564830681075712

@DennisGarbonz I think the pod might get in with way with grinding.

2022-01-27T04:58:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486564182279348230

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFWPLkUYAcdWa6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFWPLkUYAcdWa6.jpg

2022-01-27T04:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486563363324764161

Does anyone happen to have a 3D model of an ATFLIR pod I could use?

I'm using a Litening, but it's really not the same.

2022-01-27T04:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486562727476662272

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFU6grVkAIlMN3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFU6grVkAIlMN3.jpg

2022-01-27T04:49:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486561953455607808

Work in progress. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFUFHWVIAEk-Np.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FKFUFHWVIAEk-Np.jpg

2022-01-27T04:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486556854549245958

@Catchme22322934 Itâ□□s a light pillar and a cloud layer. Cool, but natural.

2022-01-26T19:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486415091901755392

@EireScientist @edwardcurrent @GeorgeIoannide9 Here's a fun and relevant Facebook group for you.

https://www.facebook.com/groups/71041660468

2022-01-26T16:47:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486380225126547457

@EireScientist @edwardcurrent @GeorgeIoannide9 How are you going to subject the field to rigorous scientific scrutiny? Ignore anything if they didn't pay $100s and wait months to have some random reviewers take a look at it?

2022-01-26T16:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486379538514780160

@KevinCo44516732 @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley But what exactly is is explaining? All it can do is create bright blobs of light. So some of those cases?

2022-01-26T16:13:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486371747658010628

@KevinCo44516732 @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley The patents are not something that can be extrapolated to solid objects.

2022-01-26T16:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486371352265179137

@JasonWilde108 THey have said almost nothing about it. It's real in that it was taken by a Navy pilot. It was unidentified at the time. It might still be unidentified, but that does not mean they

have not figured out its a rotating glare.

2022-01-26T08:06:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486249046872256513

@PhilipMarloweLA @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley It is not my intent to mislead. Sorry if it came across like that.

2022-01-26T08:04:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486248590947143682

@UAPorco @MiddleOfMayhem It's a lament.

2022-01-26T08:03:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486248398000852993

@KevinCo44516732 @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley Yes, I think it's unlikely. While it's possible that natural balls of light might account for some sightings, I think the ideal of intelligently controlled solid plasma is highly speculative.

2022-01-26T05:08:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486204414771662850

@PhilipMarloweLA @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley I'm saying it looks like parallax.

You said "You state the pic looks like parallax without reviewing all evidence."

Balloons would be top of my list, but certainly not conclusive.

2022-01-26T03:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486184448567562240

@WSmith01984 Yup.

2022-01-26T01:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486149710725275649

@PhilipMarloweLA @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley Does it, or does it not, LOOK LIKE parallax?

2022-01-26T01:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1486142996001083395

@PhilipMarloweLA @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley But what we see in the video DOES look like parallax.

How can that possibly be disputed? How is that not concise?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1486142901646024706/pu/img/omMbnkP7JLS31Jtf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1486142901646024706/pu/img/omMbnkP7JLS31Jtf.jpg
2022-01-25T23:40:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486121823280386050
@Bringouttherum @UAPmike @inf3rence Like I said, I agree with their math. It matched my math. It not even too far away from the "20-40 knots, maybe a bit more" that was my original calculation over two years ago (since revised)
https://youtu.be/PLyEO0jNt6M?t=291

2022-01-25T23:09:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486114047028129793
@Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem It's not maneuvering in the video.

Here's some other parallax things.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2022-01-25T23:05:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486112946614767616
@Spectre67904513 @MiddleOfMayhem They are? What does this training consist of?

2022-01-25T22:42:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486107290432204803
@Th1nkaboutit1 @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley Plausible from the video, but seems like the pilot would recognize such a thing.

2022-01-25T22:33:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486104973557436416
@TobyAM @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley Was it close enough for stereo depth perception?

2022-01-25T22:25:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486102925923348486
@TobyAM @MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley It opens the possibility that the object was simply drifting, and the perceived motion was an illusion.

2022-01-25T22:10:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486099149627932673
@MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley Shame he didn't get a good photo, and the video looks like the parallax illusion of mirroring.

2022-01-25T21:59:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486096399498297346
@MiddleOfMayhem @timbo_staley I strongly suspect it was just some LIZ balloons. With parallax.

2022-01-25T21:35:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486090478185373697
@MiddleOfMayhem Why did the UAP pick the the only plane where the only camera available was 240p? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ-nTCQVUAI9QOH.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ-nTCQVUAI9QOH.jpg

2022-01-25T21:20:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486086494687162369
@AmesCoEstate @Condorman6 @conterammundi Balloons cannot go against the wind. (unless they are at a different altitude to the wind you are measuring.)

Drones, on the other hand, can do it very easily, even in quite strong wind. DJI Mavic 2 Pro can hover in 30+ mph winds.

2022-01-25T21:11:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1486084430397509635
@UAPmike @inf3rence I have no disagreement with what they are doing there. No does it disagree with my current thinking on GoFast (which is the same as my thinking two years ago)

As they say "we are agreement with the numbers!"
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ-hqunUYAMjCQQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ-hqunUYAMjCQQ.jpg
2022-01-25T11:29:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1485937870959304708
@zorczort @JeBe08030203 So you think they are also zapping people's phones with gamma wave DEWs?

2022-01-25T04:50:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1485837335124054018
@marcusmckenna79 So why do people never SEE blurry craft?

2022-01-24T23:07:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1485751194664325122
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @DeepSpace41 It seems like a reasonable estimate, given the variety of of unknowns.
Unfortunately it does not preclude the answer being 1. I think it's probably higher, but not

certainly higher.

2022-01-24T21:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485723403222876163

@lemoutongris If you can see it, you can photograph it.

People quite often report extended encounters close up.

2022-01-24T21:15:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485723074532052995

@Bags_001 Data based.

2022-01-24T21:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485721584966901764

@BostonsBuddha So if something is complicated, and requires complicated analysis, we should just ignore it?

2022-01-24T21:08:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485721311301103616

UFO's live in the LIZ, the Low Information Zone. If they were really intelligent craft, they would actually have to keep track of what phone/camera everyone nearby had, to avoid being filmed up-close. https://twitter.com/xkcd/status/1485706152109297666

2022-01-24T05:45:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485489015448956929

@_____fluffy____ The video? Probably a plane.

2022-01-24T05:36:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485486682610667522

@TomSuesss @JackFrostvc @MiddleOfMayhem That's a bit of a moot point when you consider all the physical evidence that shows it's either a glare, or something pretending to be a glare so well it can even detect when the jet filming it is banking and rotate with it exactly from 20 miles away.

2022-01-24T04:54:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485476192111128577

@brad_stebbing Itâ□□s the same hypothesis I put forth years ago, just with the details worked out.

2022-01-24T04:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485475846529826816

@Myst_Arch Donâ□□t you?

2022-01-24T04:52:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485475624487510018

@UAP_daily @MvonRen Which bit do you feel needs addressing? Why?

2022-01-24T04:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485475242550054914

@brad_stebbing I donâ□□t know what it is. Just that itâ□□s hot, and not rotating.

2022-01-24T04:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485475057077870595

@micksabox @emh203 Yes. Itâ□□s a JavaScript web app, so anyone can run it and look at the code.

2022-01-24T04:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485474689271025664

@straub_tj Anyone can check it.

2022-01-24T02:18:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485436937267646467

@inf3rence @emh203 I donâ□□t think optimizing script load is an early priority. Modern web sites all load plenty of scripts.

2022-01-24T02:14:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485435819192041472

@EvaporatingH2O There will be lots of pictures. Itâ□□s hard for anyone to understand without them.

2022-01-24T02:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485435183176183808

@straub_tj There will be math.

2022-01-24T02:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485433383438061568

@straub_tj Iâ□□m pretty sure my next gimbal video will address his issues.

2022-01-23T23:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485393965171953664

@DingoRabid Doh, that must have been my Brian typing.

2022-01-23T22:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485383056571572225

@Edmonstar_7 Not in a bad way. It's a fascinating puzzle - and I'm only looking at the rotating nature of it!

2022-01-23T22:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485382594300575744

@emh203 I'm using Three.js. It's nice and simple, and lets me make an easily shared interactive simulator.

Work in progress: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ0jhf6VkAACE90.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJ0jhf6VkAACE90.jpg

2022-01-23T22:39:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485381784841818113

@GeorgeIoannide9 Those seem to be unrelated things.

It can be not rotating and still interesting. It's just a lot less interesting.

2022-01-23T22:10:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485374389419851776

The "Gimbal" video continues to be the poster child of modern UFOlogy. It's a shame it's not actually a rotating flying saucer with a cold aura.

My dinosaur brian makes my 3d programming a little slower than in the THPS days. But I should have a new video out in a week or two.

https://twitter.com/SPACEdotcom/status/1484557902400610310

2022-01-23T22:02:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485372377684799489

@_NTME9_ @steven_dor That's it.

2022-01-23T21:21:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485362171332550659

@_NTME9_ @steven_dor Because that is the specific "Art's Parts" object that Curt mentioned to LMH on TOE.

https://youtu.be/45C8dvULu04?t=1873

2022-01-23T17:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485298078433247234

@newyorker1213 This piece was identified via a photo. That's all.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJzWsm2VkAMigdA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJzWsm2VkAMigdA.jpg

2022-01-23T16:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485293552837877760

@TheUfoJoe What exactly is disingenuous about correctly identifying a mysterious object?

Especially one that has been the subject of speculation for many years?

2022-01-23T16:40:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485291370566750213

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJzQoJ7VQAINTAQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJzQoJ7VQAINTAQ.jpg

2022-01-23T16:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485291316405628931

"Debunker" is used as an insult. But if something has been debunked, is that not generally accepted as a good thing?

Is it not a good thing that some of the "Arts Parts", supposed UFO artifacts, were identified?

Debunking moves everyone closer to truth

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/identified-art-bells-ufo-aluminum-louvered-sheets-heat-exchanger-fins.11012/ https://twitter.com/TheUfoJoe/status/1484731585324716033

2022-01-23T15:22:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485271709175320577

@perplexxer Looks more like a clump of spider silk from ballooning spiders. Like these: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/some-interesting-fibers-and-orbs-ballooning-spiders.7961/

2022-01-23T05:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1485122716382351361

RT @Aviation_Intel: Some great background in this piece too on how we sort of lived this entire thing before, decades ago.

CIA's Havana Syâ¦

2022-01-22T19:49:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484976542555926529

@bAyLIEN415 @UFOBreddit Not this, but it looks pretty obviously like a bunch of cherry-picking and speculation.

2022-01-22T15:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484906425943924740

@SabersKnight @PostDisclosure The evidence is uncompelling.

2022-01-22T06:04:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484769021153009664

@DeepSpace41 I saw it. What about it?

2022-01-22T05:19:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484757603146088448

@PostDisclosure If it didn't, how could you be convinced?

2022-01-22T05:02:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484753225727152128

@ColombiaUap It has a rock nature.

2022-01-21T20:44:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484628028923650050

@AtticusFinch_JD @edwardcurrent The origin is that it looks vertical. Normal planes don't fly vertical. So it looks like a UFO.

2022-01-21T20:42:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484627442811609089

@ChilliFowler @PostDisclosure Skis.

2022-01-21T20:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484624452390293505

@PostDisclosure Just a day trip. And no, nobody has every recognized me in public. Of course while skiing I mostly look like: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJpyD6oUUAE5k3w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJpyD6oUUAE5k3w.jpg
2022-01-21T20:28:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1484624005923409921
@xucaen It's confusing to a lot of normal people.

2022-01-21T17:23:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1484577351908298752
A "vertical" contrail near Lake Tahoe yesterday.

This is actually a plane in level flight, just happened to be flying overhead - which makes the contrail look vertical, especially near the horizon
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJpG4fBVUAU85i1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJpG4fBVUAU85i1.jpg

2022-01-21T04:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1484377566454550529

@Brian314159265 @BrandonFugal That is how it appears in the show.

2022-01-19T18:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483873670854426628

@FettuccineSplit @thaxter @conterammundi @HighStrangenes3 @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified @MvonRen

https://www.vice.com/en/article/n7nzkq/stanford-professor-garry-nolan-analyzing-anomalous-materials-from-ufo-crashes

---

2022-01-19T15:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483829276663111685

@bottwater Hey, at least you'll be useful when civilization collapses. :)

2022-01-19T15:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483828982298472451

@Striplar It's been prompted by the research interests of the people who wrote it - i.e. Chris Mellon, Hal Puthoff, etc. Nolan is part of that group.

The office is the result of lobbying.

2022-01-19T15:46:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483828140849778688

I am now a verb. https://twitter.com/PaulSaul2001/status/1483743557164969987

2022-01-19T09:44:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483737232997445632

@BrandonFugal @iszotope @tacticaluap That would be great!

2022-01-19T09:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483734851500994560

@BrandonFugal @iszotope @tacticaluap Can I get those two videos and the exact locations of the two camera?

A 2D triangulation at least is not that complicated. But would be absolute proof of amazing size and motion.

2022-01-19T05:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483679197306314759

@thaxter @conterammundi @HighStrangenes3 @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified @MvonRen Not "the CIA". He said it was "some people associated with the CIA and some aeronautics corporations" who had some cases and "reasonable subset of them had claimed to have seen UAPs and some claimed to be close to things that got them sick"

Claimed = self selected subset.

2022-01-19T05:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483676197678567424

@BrandonFugal @iszotope @tacticaluap So can we triangulate those videos then?

2022-01-19T05:28:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483672813013663745

@katowiseart @UfoStudy Looks like some kind of target practice.

2022-01-19T05:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483666225506897920

@bottwater Yeah, maybe itâ□□s because sick humans look a bit off. We donâ□□t want to get sick, so we avoid people who look kinda CGI.

2022-01-19T04:59:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483665351128666113

@conterammundi @HighStrangenes3 @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified @MvonRen Sorry, I didnâ□□t realize there was a question. What is it?

2022-01-19T04:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483652043227090944

@hoveringobject @UfoStudy @wow36932525 Practice?

2022-01-19T02:35:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483629249613336576

@YepxBilly @BrandonFugal I did not say that.

2022-01-18T22:42:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483570529206370304

@BrandonFugal @solafsen23 And if you didn't do it, could I get a copy of both videos, and the locations of the cameras, so the Metabunk nerds could do it for you?

2022-01-18T22:37:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483569300581470211

@BrandonFugal @solafsen23 You had it on two cameras, and discussed triangulating the 3D position, but then that was not mentioned again. Was this done? That's kind of the gold standard of UFO videos, and would allow you to calculate both size and speed.

2022-01-18T20:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483535894950731777

@BenPrimeEsq The camera is attached the the plane. It does pan and tilt though.

Pan and tilt don't affect parallax.

2022-01-18T20:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483534445990670340

@InvNightSchool @BrandonFugal Well, that's kind of what it is.

2022-01-18T20:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483534295994044417

@MicahBBurke @BrandonFugal Probably. But technically it's a UAP. It's not leaving a real trail though. They should know that.

2022-01-18T20:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483534030729474048

@My111100496401 @BrandonFugal That, or some other bird, seems likely. It was a windy day, and they can ride the wind at very high speed, or fly in-place.

2022-01-18T20:14:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483533345371791365

@1dR13017077 @Jim87504388 @jandersonantu @hoje_no @MvonRen Sure, but is the object rotating? Why doesn't it rotate when the plane bank angle changes and everything else rotates?

2022-01-18T20:10:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483532192277884929

The black trail behind this #skinwalkerranch object is a common compression artifact with surveillance cameras. I think @BrandonFugal's team made a mistake in using that type of camera, because, as well as making these trails, it will miss lots of small objects and brief flashes

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1483531072927191040/pu/img/BkFoLuiO82064aE-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1483531072927191040/pu/img/BkFoLuiO82064aE-.jpg

2022-01-18T20:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483530066445291521

@BrianDunning Well, that depends where the object is.

But there's a very specific error in the caption.

2022-01-18T19:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483529541523951617

@realufobros But WHICH Yellowjacket?

2022-01-18T18:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483506634710077443

@MicahBBurke Well, they think they are. But in what way are they getting it wrong?

2022-01-18T18:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483506347798712320

@UFOrealitycheck @MiddleOfMayhem @rogerglassel Or in the UAE, the present.
https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/17/world/middleeast/uae-attack-yemen-houthi.html

---

2022-01-18T18:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483505925960785920

Parallax Puzzle: Here's two frames of a video shot from the port side (pilot's left) of a moving plane.

Can you spot the error in the caption? Can you explain what's happening?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJZ4jSlUUAAlNui.jpg



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJZ4jSlUUAAlNui.jpg
2022-01-18T17:42:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1483495055990001666
@1dR13017077 @jandersonantu @hoje_no @MvonRen Yes, but it would have been too small to see with the naked eye. They saw it on the screen.

2022-01-18T17:40:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1483494518359945218
@EireScientist @edwardcurrent @OwatcherUf If an analysis consists of simple geometry, then can't you just check it for yourself?

2022-01-18T17:29:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1483491770415521793
@1dR13017077 @jandersonantu @hoje_no @MvonRen I haven't explained what the gimbal object is. However I think I have made a very good case that what we see rotating is glare.

It could be the glare of a flying saucer, but it's not actually rotating.

2022-01-18T16:04:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1483470358116065281
@1dR13017077 @jandersonantu @hoje_no People disagree, that's not the same as destroying. I think the rotating glare theory is solid, as is GoFast Being slow, and "No Sudden Moves" for FLIR1.

Raytheon have said very little on the topic, besides a jokey press-release.

2022-01-18T15:34:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1483462881597435914

@Erokson Which folk? People see real things all the time.

2022-01-18T15:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483462671370534914

@Peteholliday1 @Deepneuron @MvonRen @zcichy Iâ□□d be happy to interview any domain experts.

2022-01-18T05:34:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483311743627526149

@bAyLIEN415 @ChilliFowler @chrisotis78 Oh wai, no it isn't. So maybe a drone then. Why not?

2022-01-18T05:33:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483311467562553344

@bAyLIEN415 @ChilliFowler @chrisotis78 Looks more like a police helicopter. Footage is sped up.

2022-01-18T05:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483311153832882177

@JeremyCorbell @realufobros Finally something we can all agree upon!

2022-01-18T05:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483308471734865921

@HighStrangenes3 @conterammundi @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified Where did it say the CIA were working on something?

2022-01-18T05:19:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483308010436919299

@HighStrangenes3 @conterammundi @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified The individuals made claims. Itâ□□s not like they were all in the Philadelphia experiment.

2022-01-18T05:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483307737328930817

@HighStrangenes3 @conterammundi @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified From what exactly?

2022-01-18T04:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483299779165622275

@bottwater @jplatte11 But has this been done?

2022-01-18T04:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483299619102949376

@SicCoP1 @jplatte11 Yes, itâ□□s similar.

2022-01-18T04:35:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483297003119382528

RT @MrHustleMCR: Last night, Elizondo said that the onus is on the skeptics to prove the things people are seeing aren't extraordinary. Thaâ□¦

2022-01-18T04:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483295988458524672

@HighStrangenes3 @conterammundi @dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified Self-selected means the participents selected themselves by deciding their symptoms were related to a UFO or

Havana encounter. Only sick people were studied.

2022-01-18T04:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483294992210694144

@thaxter @jplatte11 If you have already conclusively proven your case, then any additional date is a different matter. Maybe interesting, but different.

2022-01-18T01:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483253883690311680

@jplatte11 How do you test if someone had a UAP encounter and it was responsible for their injuries?

2022-01-18T00:57:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483242032848011266

@dsmethurst66 @AFSUnidentified Nolan seems to be studying a self selected group of people who are ill, and though their illness came from a UFO encounter.

Really, that is all. You can't get useful data from such a group, unless you've got a control group - like people who are sick and attribute it to EMF.

2022-01-18T00:53:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483241049581494276

@jplatte11 What do you need the radiation damage for, if you've got all that other corroborating evidence?

2022-01-17T22:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483198572229103620

Big if true!

But probably not. "Injuries associated with UFO exposure" is hardly the type of thing you can test for.

People get old, get less healthy, some die.

https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1482982296567578626

2022-01-17T22:00:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483197660932624384

@LymburnerTodd @UFOrealitycheck @MiddleOfMayhem @JeremyCorbell @TheUfoJoe @SicCoP1 @bradjohansson21 @BrianScipioni @LtTimMcMillan @g_knapp I don't think Corbell has ever debated anyone. It's not his cup of tea.

2022-01-17T21:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483196505691328512

RT @CaulfieldTim: Excellent review.

#Myocarditis: #COVID-19 is a much bigger risk to the heart than vaccination

https://theconversation.com/myocarditis-covid-19-is-a-much-bigger-risk-to-the-heart-than-vacci

nation-174580?utm_source=twitter&utm_medium=bylinetwitterbutton bâ¦

2022-01-17T21:33:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1483190840402976768

@B0SSLADY356 It's a power station.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.7208/

2022-01-17T03:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482924577898434560

@ShadowThingsPC @AnonymouShadoww @CitizenoftheWo4 Fake.

2022-01-16T22:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482845324984999936

@Oswald1160 @AtticusFinch_JD Here's a closer look at the type of thing that is being seen.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1482845255351226369/pu/img/2bHW1FCnw2-8y3ER.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1482845255351226369/pu/img/2bHW1FCnw2-8y3ER.jpg

2022-01-16T22:37:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482844389416202241

@Oswald1160 @AtticusFinch_JD It is 100% not a Fata Morgana, or a mirage of any type.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

2022-01-16T21:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482833106667069442

@realufobros Of course UFOs are real. How else would people be able to take photos of them?

2022-01-16T21:47:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482832005628776450

@BostonsBuddha @edwardcurrent It's turning considerably slower that 3Â°/second. Turn rate varies with bank angle, but it's more like 1.5* for a 26Â° bank.

If you are interested, there's a quite detailed discussion here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJQTs2uUUAAqRBp.jpg



Figure 10-1. Turn Capabilities

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJQTs2uUUAAqRBp.jpg
2022-01-16T21:37:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482829430426796035
@thaxter I was thinking of situations where only one blob was visible, like, say, this one.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJQRY_iVkAAzV0C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJQRY_iVkAAzV0C.jpg
2022-01-16T21:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482823449953259522
@BostonsBuddha @edwardcurrent The camera turns to keep the object in in the middle. The number at the top of the screen is the angle of the camera relative to the jet.

2022-01-16T20:02:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482805481274220544
A rare fata morgana mirage seen across Santa Monica bay. Seen in isolation these can look almost like flying saucers.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cwl-VlXx1Fw

2022-01-16T19:25:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482796074574106627
@AtticusFinch_JD That's not fata morgana. It's not even a mirage. It's just a photo of a ship on the ocean.

2022-01-16T18:07:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482776413585362946
@realufobros Unfortunately the nature of consciousness remain elusive. I did point out that it varies greatly by individual, which might be something people overlook - kind of like how UFOs won't have one neat explanation.

2022-01-16T18:05:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482775916300226561
@BrianMassUFO @UFOthinker @UAPMediaUK
https://twitter.com/BBCArchive/status/1013753618296696832

2022-01-16T17:59:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482774559686217735
Not all UFO sightings have photos or videos. But the next best thing is to get a narrated

illustration of what was seen.
The horizontal spacing and shape-shifting Howard draws seem to indicate this 1954 encounter could have been an atmospheric effect, like an unusual fata morgana
https://twitter.com/BBCArchive/status/1013753618296696832

2022-01-16T17:07:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482761461067759617
@dave_beaty @MiddleOfMayhem I somewhat agree - for breaking news.

However the quote wasn't really about breaking news. The writer had secured an interview with Trump and instead of just publishing it immediately they too the time to add contextual info and do fact-checking.

2022-01-16T16:45:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482755910468399104
An interesting and wide-ranging conversation - from UFOs to the nature of consciousness.
https://twitter.com/bottwater/status/1482751561688391700

2022-01-16T16:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482747324170309632
@TomSuesss @Daniel_Loxton @EricRWeinstein @blackvaultcom @lexfridman The UAP issue not one thing. Itâ□□s a tasty sandwich of issues, concerns, myths, feedback loops, people, science, profit, philosophy, illusions, memes, secrecy, and conspiracy theories.

2022-01-16T16:01:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482744858498322436
@TomSuesss @Daniel_Loxton @EricRWeinstein @blackvaultcom Again, every little helps. There are many people who fall for such things - including mainstream media sometimes.

2022-01-16T15:44:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482740520224890881
@TomSuesss @Daniel_Loxton @EricRWeinstein @blackvaultcom One can still winkle out small chunks of true and identify and discard falsehoods by analyzing claims people make about the videos.

Every little helps.

2022-01-16T15:39:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482739352421634049
@EireScientist @edwardcurrent Go on then. You are a scientist. You are very interested in the topic. Why not try replicating these results?

2022-01-16T04:58:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482577855930265601
RT @edwardcurrent: New Gimbal UFO simulation with effects. This 3D model in Blender reveals some mundane scenario possibilities, includingâ□¦

2022-01-15T22:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482474017650974720

@shadowsmagazine That's obviously not true.

2022-01-15T22:00:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482472780184449026

RT @bottwater: Join us live today as I talk to @MickWest about UAPs and other mysterious phenomenon around the world. You do not want to miâ¦

2022-01-15T18:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482414603824812033

@News1Corner It means don't rush articles or videos out as quickly as possible, because you'll do a much better job, and the result will be more effective, if you take the needed time, especially to revise a hasty draft.

2022-01-15T18:06:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482413900163280898

@CDVilsack Journalists who devote a significant portion of their output to UFO stories.

2022-01-15T17:27:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482404206103261187

@1911Moose @MiddleOfMayhem @dave_beaty @DaveKincaid It's not unlimited autonomous range if you've got to go back to the charging station every hour or so.

2022-01-15T17:25:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482403670062886912

@MiddleOfMayhem @dave_beaty @DaveKincaid Do you know if there were there any mentions of counter-drone exercises BEFORE the first real-world sighting?

2022-01-15T16:58:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482396714937946112

â□□Thereâ□□s almost no story that isnâ□□t improved by holding it for a dayâ□□ - Steve Inskeep

Great advice, even for YouTube creators and UFO journalists. You are generally not breaking news. Take your time, and make it count.

2022-01-15T16:52:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482395218166968320

@dave_beaty @DaveKincaid @MiddleOfMayhem Has anyone addressed the use of the word "exercise" in the drone encounter logs?

Does "exercise" = "drill", or does "exercise" = "use the equipment and procedures in a real world situation" here?

2022-01-15T16:09:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482384479377235969

RT @_TheSeaning: Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai #volcano is erupting again.

Huge shockwave.

Tsunami warning.

Images courtesy Himawari-8 https://â¦

2022-01-15T15:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482381271963627520

@joe_forbrich Heâ□□s a bit variable though, saying â□□Never tell the truth to people who are not worthy of it.â□□ Which seem a bit unfortunate.

2022-01-15T15:52:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482380117615644677

@joe_forbrich Someone collected his Tweets on the topic here.

http://www.twainquotes.com/Truth.html

2022-01-15T15:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482377461992091650

@FettuccineSplit @OliverWood2017 @dr_los @PostDisclosure @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Unless that light is TFA. :winking_face:

2022-01-15T15:40:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482377109771206659

@OliverWood2017 @Oswald1160 @dr_los @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan It would have to be very distant, and the motion from parallax. But flares would probably be visible. Thatâ□□s why distant plane/drone seems more likely. The main point is it appears an IR glare.

2022-01-15T04:58:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482215657135489024

@OliverWood2017 @dr_los @PostDisclosure @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Not really. Iâ□□m not even sure why it was brought up again. Iâ□□m more interested in Gimbal right now.

2022-01-15T00:55:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482154335567970305

@OliverWood2017 @ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I think you are overthinking the significance of a brief analysis I did over a year ago that concluded with no resolution.

2022-01-15T00:20:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482145674078552064

@OliverWood2017 @ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe Have you read my argument? I don't claim to have proved anything in this case.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1481318929230270467

2022-01-15T00:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482144848601702401

@justmyvideos Low resolution images of lights in the sky can certainly be puzzling. But they are not doing anything particularly amazing. Not a super interesting puzzle, and not really one with enough information to address.

Do you have some good binoculars?

2022-01-15T00:14:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482144209809199105

@OliverWood2017 @ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I speak only for myself.

2022-01-14T23:48:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482137494430699520
@OliverWood2017 @ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I think it is possible they did not know.

2022-01-14T22:18:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482114876776407041
@callmebrent93 There's plenty I can't "easily disprove" (disprove what?)

But not any that also makes me think it's probably something incredible.

I did go wow at this real footage of a spaceship arriving at Earth.

https://youtu.be/tixrpX_jYK0?t=378

2022-01-14T22:14:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482114022832885762
@hoveringobject That's probably closer...

2022-01-14T22:01:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482110555326337027
For the hundredth time, a blurry photo of a contrail at sunset has been mistaken for a UFO.

Pro-tip: a plane flying towards you will look like it is flying vertically. It's not. It's in level flight 6 miles above you.

It's not even the curve of the Earth, it's just high up.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJGDIKtVcAAXEXc.jpg

Privacy Policy | Feedback Follow 21.3M Friday, Jan

Daily Mail .com

'What is that?' Unidentified 'enormous' object is filmed flying 'straight upwards' over London - or is it just an optical illusion?

- An unidentified object was spotted flying vertically over Tooting, London, today
- Graphic designer Gabriella, 26, spotted the strange object out of her window
- The strange shape flew straight upward and left behind a trail of smoke



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJGDIKtVcAAXEXc.jpg
2022-01-14T21:51:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482108231270891521
@pteheard It's a sunlit contrail.

2022-01-14T21:48:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482107354665533442
@Bringouttherum @528vibes
https://www.indiatimes.com/trending/wtf/pentagon-launches-new-ufo-office-believers-are-divided-559245.html

---

2022-01-14T21:44:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1482106458179129345
Here's the article.
https://www.indiatimes.com/trending/wtf/pentagon-launches-new-ufo-office-believers-are-divided-559245.html

---

2022-01-14T21:40:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482105436698382337

Somewhat amusing. India Times did a brief piece on the new UFO Office. In it they roughly paraphrase some of my thoughts, and then rather oddly embed an unrelated tweet of mine about avoiding lying. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJF-eDiUUAEzkL0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FJF-eDiUUAEzkL0.jpg

2022-01-14T15:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1482005397657448449

@vkcodez I started out thinking Marik was operating in good faith. I exchanging hundreds of emails with him. But then he pivoted to a bizarre series of accusations of lying, which I gave him many opportunities to substantiate or retract. He persisted, so eventually I decided to mute him.

2022-01-14T13:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481982100299399174

RT @Paranormal_Now: :megaphone: New Podcast! "Science and Myth Explain UFO and Alien Abductions with Mick West and David Halperin" on @Spreaker #alieâ□¦

2022-01-14T13:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481981307265576960

@pgferrari https://www.google.com/search?q=myocarditis+fact+checking

---

2022-01-14T13:19:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481979324802613253

@dsmethurst66 @528vibes I'm being honest. That's the issue. Marik has started on a bizarre campaign of accusing me of fabricating interviews and using sock accounts. He's not operating in good faith. So I've muted him.

I you want me to answer a point, then put it in your own words.

2022-01-14T06:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481879452648755200

@unseen_war So one study suggests something and that's it?

2022-01-14T06:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481877041783836672

@unseen_war From 4 months ago, and "study suggests"

2022-01-14T06:17:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481873148954185731

@dave_beaty @chrisotis78 @ChrisSPITZER7 *assuming the lens/optical-path is full frame.

2022-01-14T05:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481868322182365185

@joe_forbrich What did he Tweet?

2022-01-14T05:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481868113490571265

@dave_beaty @chrisotis78 @ChrisSPITZER7 FOV is the only thing. The focal length and sensor size correlate with one FOV.

2022-01-14T04:04:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481839648938676224

@chrisotis78 @ChrisSPITZER7 @Thunder46216520 @dave_beaty @disclosureteam_ Do you have the charts?

2022-01-14T01:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481800896598081536

@KlavsPeder @BenHansen00 Yes, he seems quote credible. But the point was people do tend to get offended when questioned.

2022-01-14T01:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481796483141623810

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @DavidJayB You might want to try to demonstrate what you are saying. It's a tricky thing to explain with words.

2022-01-14T00:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481793077698174976

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @DavidJayB I suggest you take Eddie's advice and do a simulation with clouds. See what happens.

2022-01-14T00:57:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481792662730539011

Fact checking FTW. https://twitter.com/FullContactMTWF/status/1481636689415462916

2022-01-14T00:56:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481792177105686530

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @DavidJayB It does not have to change direction. It might

change speed a little, but there's no problem with that.

2022-01-14T00:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481790316034158593

@williamkay62 I'm not going to engage with him indirectly. Maybe if he retracts and apologises for the various accusations of lying. But he staked his reputation on that, so might be a hard pill to swallow.

2022-01-14T00:46:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481789753628389376

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @DavidJayB Target is moving left. It's also to the left of the jet so target speed + parallax = cloud "speed", as the clouds are more distant. around 0Â° its just target movement (no parallax), which slows down to 7Â° R. Target speed - Parallax, still clouds move right, but slower.

2022-01-14T00:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481786634102185990

@ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen @RealCSharp There's a giant glare at the start of FLIR1, in NAR 1x and 2x. So it's obviously possible.
It's almost kinda gimbal shaped, with some extra spikes.
Here's the 2x portion, slowed down
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1481786019783479296/pu/img/JKzJMuQxKkK5qLL7.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1481786019783479296/pu/img/JKzJMuQxKkK5qLL7.jpg

2022-01-14T00:28:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481785271691595776

@WilsonStraiph @AFSUnidentified @zcichy @MvonRen @thehill @chrisotis78 Well, I occasionally get things right, or at least right enough for Chris. :)
https://twitter.com/chrisotis78/status/1470835403087392784

2022-01-13T23:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481775792073756675
@zcichy @MvonRen @AFSUnidentified @thehill @Oswald1160 Marik staked his reputation on his claim that I fabricated an interview. His direct claim that I lied.

I did not.

There's no graceful recovery from that.

2022-01-13T23:46:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481774803547672576
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB @MvonRen I'll let Ed answer that. I'm focussing on the glare issue right now.

2022-01-13T23:43:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481774034266193922
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB @edwardcurrent's work shows there's a range of possible solutions. Chile only had one.

No magic needed, just an unknown combination of hardware and software optimizing a variety of things. It's not really trivial. See the Savvidis paper.

2022-01-13T23:39:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481773007806754816
@QTRResearch Isn't that how all regulations work? Don't get rid of rats, and you'll get shut down.

2022-01-13T23:36:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481772130979041284
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB @MvonRen Chile was easy because we found the ADS-B data and there was on-screen Lat/Lon for the helicopter.

2022-01-13T23:29:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481770499747155968
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB @MvonRen Like what? I'm making a new video in the coming weeks about the glare hypothesis component. I can add things.

2022-01-13T23:24:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481769063516803074
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB @MvonRen Bigger than anti-gravity?

If we are to consider the extraordinary, we should also consider the mundane yet unlikely.

2022-01-13T23:08:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481765156744941570
@Bestlif70060427 @DavidJayB I very much doubt that's common practice. But they have to be cleaned, and it's possible they might have been cleaned insufficiently.

2022-01-13T22:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481762744760037376
@williamkay62 @MvonRen He wanted to be anonymous, so I can't post audio of him.

2022-01-13T20:40:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481727833323933698
@SwinkInc @IAmJonathanJohn @ProjectBluBalls @Eyes_OnTheSkies It was probably very small to the naked eye. I agree knowing where they were standing would be very useful.

I've seen similar things myself, but it's hard to get the right setup for a "UFO" illusion. Spider silk is often the culprit on fixed cameras.

2022-01-13T20:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481725065674702850
@ChilliFowler That is the second video here.

(and accidentally the third, there's only meant to be two)

2022-01-13T20:28:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481724864868536320
@BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 @dave_beaty X is a rather arbitrary measure. Times what? What would 1X be in this context.

FOV is an absolute measure.

2022-01-13T20:14:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481721390172565506
Two videos. One show a strand of spider silk in the sun. The other shows a UFO.

They look pretty much the same.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=toIKIFSQapg

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bCRN6I6hApY

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bCRN6I6hApY

2022-01-13T20:11:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481720592491417600
@IAmJonathanJohn @SwinkInc @ProjectBluBalls @Eyes_OnTheSkies Probably attached to the buildings and/or trees.

As noted in the comments, this video of a spider web looks pretty much identical, including the "rotating lights"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bCRN6I6hApY

2022-01-13T20:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481719266143465473
@coralk_ Also, take video as well as photos. If the object is shimmering and chainging shape then that's probably focus and atmospheric distortion.

The colors here look like you have "enhanced" the images to make it brighter. For dim lights that can give a false color.

2022-01-13T20:03:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481718590789218304
@coralk_ The phone might have trouble focussing on on points of light. Try also looked at them with your binoculars with naked eyes, and focus manually.

2022-01-13T20:01:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481718053167460352
@SwinkInc @ProjectBluBalls @Eyes_OnTheSkies No, but there's not a lot to go by. Same they did not zoom out for a broader context.

Things that leapt to mind as I watched where: plane, long balloon, spider web.

I'd put spider web as #1

2022-01-13T19:53:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481716021522173952
@JasonWilde108 Vaccine?

2022-01-13T19:43:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481713649903288321
@SicCoP1 @JonesSmythe @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan And why a Viper team?

"VIPER teams are responsible for collecting imagery and data from incidents underway or in-port to capture moments of unsafe or unprofessional interaction to disseminate to a global audience and win the battle of the narrative"
https://www.dvidshub.net/image/6456291/uss-carl-vinson-cvn-70-visual-information-personnel-viper-logo

---

2022-01-13T19:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481712846224322560
@SicCoP1 @JonesSmythe @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan I'm not asking for the source of the video, I'm asking how he knowns

"The highly trained crew and officers in the CIC, who work with the sensor systems daily, considered this to be truly anomalous. That's why the Viper team was brought in."

2022-01-13T19:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481712290655203330

@JonesSmythe @SicCoP1 @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan No need to name names, just explain how it is known that the entire crew and officers in the CIC considered it to be truly anomalous. Were they interviewed? Or is this just an assumption based on tone of voice? Or something in between?

2022-01-13T19:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481711539690213376

Who here has COVID right now, or thinks they have because they are sick? How are you, and what's your test status?

2022-01-13T19:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481708859995529217

@ChrisSPITZER7 @dave_beaty It's amazing just how little useful ATFLIR footage there is.

2022-01-13T19:10:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481705349522485250

@edwardcurrent @123334AB I don't say military people are lying or insane. I just think they sometimes make mistakes, and that might have happened here.

I've also been engaging Marik in good faith for several months. But he recently went on this weird and baseless attack on my honesty, so now he's muted

---

2022-01-13T19:04:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481703763316379652

@Peteholliday1 @Deepneuron @MvonRen @zcichy Well I don't accuse people of lying about interviews, sock accounts, or vacation photos.

2022-01-13T19:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481703411611406337

@dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 0.7Â° NAR Z1 seems correct based on published specs - and the virtual fly-off speed from FLIR1. It's in the 2001 and 2004 Raytheon brochures, and the Navy's a1-f18ac-746-100

Didn't Viktor suggest some physical constraints on the FL? As I remember it was a bit speculative.

2022-01-13T17:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481675069310922752

@dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 But do you actually KNOW the FL from some spec? Or are you just calculating it from an arbitrary sensor size and the FOV?

If you find the sensor size, then how do you know your FL is correct?

2022-01-13T17:08:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481674603319623680

@TheUfoJoe https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1481674395298893826

2022-01-13T17:07:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481674395298893826

@ChrisSPITZER7 Isn't the Viper team a PR team? Why were they brought in? Why did they they make such a terrible video that looks like it was shot on an iphone?

2022-01-13T17:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481673882012565504

@Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I think it's probably quite a bit beyond the horizon.

2022-01-13T17:04:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481673644673691650

@SnakePo9 @ChrisSPITZER7 @AndrokLee @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe Days? I'm referring to the Omaha "sphere" video was was over a few minutes, in which no decisions were made.

2022-01-13T17:02:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481673105047130114

@ChrisSPITZER7 What review are you referring to?

2022-01-13T16:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481668465127661575

@LymburnerTodd @123334AB Do you think it's impossible that they make a mistake?

Obviously they get things right most of the time, but maybe, say once every 10 years, they might get something wrong, maybe even two things!

2022-01-13T16:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481664605352972291

@ChrisSPITZER7 @AndrokLee @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe Blue on blue, friendly fire, is vastly more significant than not identifying something far away.

2022-01-13T16:26:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481664071468400640

@Richard17479640 @RealCSharp On what exactly?

2022-01-13T16:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481663818849607682

@Richard17479640 @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Specifically about the object in the video.

2022-01-13T16:24:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481663526661877760

@DavidJayB @Bestlif70060427 Note the front glass is not a lens. Itâ□□s a window.

2022-01-13T15:55:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481656221983645696

@LtTimMcMillan @ChrisSPITZER7 @UAP1949 @TheUfoJoe Going over the horizon does not mean they are AT the horizon (unless itâ□□s a ship)

Iâ□□m suggesting a hot object well beyond the horizon, and still well above the water. Plane, drone, and parachute flare are options. LIZ tho

---

2022-01-13T15:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481655182320566273

@ChrisSPITZER7 @AndrokLee @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe Exactly how many amazing videos do we have? Nobody died in them, nothing even slightly bad happened.

How many mistakes have people in the Navy made in 20 years?

Highly trained experts sometimes make rare mistakes.

2022-01-13T15:45:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481653711906562051

@Reddit_r_UFO @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I donâ□□t think that is possible, Fata Morganâ□□s mirages distort a lot. This is too consistent in shape and goes from low to high too cleanly.
It seems to be manual then passive track.

2022-01-13T15:41:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481652663569715216

@Richard17479640 @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Can you quote where they said it?

2022-01-13T15:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481652554949816324

@conorowens94 @ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe We know almost nothing about the exact circumstances shown in the video.

2022-01-13T15:37:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481651582445883397

@SIJA1966514655 Itâ□□s not ideal, but he knew a lot about the systems. Heâ□□s not just a random person. It would have been an impressive larp, if thatâ□□s what it was.

2022-01-13T15:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481651003673903112

@AlexFrew16 And what did they affirm about it? Nothing new. Same things as in the 1950s.

2022-01-13T15:29:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481649647403732995

@rogerglassel @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Correct, but I suspect it's very hot because of the the way is shrinks as it goes over the horizon.

2022-01-13T12:40:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481607060814905346

@ChrisSPITZER7 @LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe I'll take clueless!

How do you know they have a range on the IR object targeted?

2022-01-13T12:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481606479979237377

@Bestlif70060427 Based on his description of the camera, I think he was talking about a Sniper pod. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI-5FAaVUAEBFRT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI-5FAaVUAEBFRT.jpg

2022-01-13T12:23:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481602779718193153

@PlanetarAnanda @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Yes. The sky is always colder than the ocean. And it says BLK or something in the UI.

2022-01-13T12:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481600125109620737

@dave_beaty @ChrisSPITZER7 Can you remind me how you got the focal length? I usually just use the straight FOV from the spec. Where does sensor size and focal length come from?

2022-01-13T12:04:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481598105149530118

@LtTimMcMillan @TheUfoJoe Same reason the Chilean Navy didn't. Busy airspace, looking in the wrong spot.

Video is 2D.

2022-01-13T07:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481529464517365761

@UfoSunday @MvonRen So you think Marik should turn in his reputation then?

2022-01-13T06:21:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481511666214850561

@AtWhatIsWrong @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan It's not movinging much parallel to the camera as far as I can tell. The camera is moving occasionally.

2022-01-13T06:20:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481511407350784000

@AtWhatIsWrong @MarkieTrill @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Have you watched a plane 50 miles away descend over the horizon from the deck of a ship?

I don't know what it is.

2022-01-13T06:05:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481507800211615751

@BenHansen00 That's a big ask.

2022-01-13T06:05:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481507600466276353

@AtWhatIsWrong @MarkieTrill @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Did you do the math?

2022-01-13T05:59:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481506080257499137

RT @HamillHimself: Imagine a country where whoever gets the most votes- wins. No Electoral College. Gore beat W by a half million votes (noâ¦

2022-01-13T05:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481505832932052996

@QTRResearch You mentioned Philadelphia in your blog. I wonder if you could tell it's turning into a third-world city from the restaurants downtown.

Where I live there's several new restaurants and bars about to open. Things seem to be bouncing back. We did lose some old favorites tho.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI9dQw9VcAE8afQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI9dQw9VcAE8afQ.jpg

2022-01-13T05:55:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481505075956621321
@AtWhatIsWrong @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Well, what do you think this is doing? How EXACTLY does it not look like a plane. (assuming we are seeing the glare from the exhaust).

I'm not saying it IS a plane, I'd just like to know why you think it is not.

2022-01-13T05:47:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481503116658765825
@QTRResearch I have heard that SF has big problems.

A friend from Portland told me the city center has similar closed stores, but they were essentially moving to outer areas.

2022-01-13T05:45:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481502554559172609
@QTRResearch I wonder if these snapshots of shelves are really indicative of broader issue across the country - or is my experience of normal shelves in Sacramento unusual? In mid 2020 there were issues, but it seems largely fine now.
I did a road trip. The coolers at gas stations were full.

2022-01-13T05:33:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481499614658265089
@QTRResearch Which cities are having empty store shelves? I'm not really noticing anything round here (Sacramento). What are the worst areas?

2022-01-13T05:23:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481497043914813441
@g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan And how do you know this?

2022-01-13T04:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481488756624420864
@TheUfoJoe @g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @SicCoP1 @LtTimMcMillan It was a first guess. This is Twitter, not Nature.

2022-01-13T04:49:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481488442131292163
@Lucifer_69_69_ @lemoutongris @MvonRen Take video? Organize sky watching events? Gather and analyze existing data? Fund raise for equipment?

2022-01-13T04:44:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481487408965185540
@MarkieTrill @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan How long is the video?

2022-01-13T04:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481486962439507968
@g_knapp @Reddit_r_UFO @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan I think you missed the part where I said â□□I donâ□□t think itâ□□s a balloonâ□□

2022-01-13T01:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481440797224435712

@Lucifer_69_69_ @lemoutongris @MvonRen There's a lot more you can do besides debate and wait.

2022-01-13T01:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481440550469324803

@saucerlit @SicCoP1 @thaxter @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Would they? I think it's clear people have different interpretations - so why isn't the UAPTF getting everyone on the same page?

I don't think that's how it works.

2022-01-13T01:34:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481439373287575553

@thaxter @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein There's a difference in the deal size between persistent trouble identifying things that are too small or far away and an encounter with aliens or anti gravity technology.

2022-01-13T01:30:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481438483319169027

@UAPQuest https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0&t=124s

2022-01-13T00:03:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481416457808322561

@GeddySquire @Robin081564 @MvonRen That's why I never made a big deal about it. He's anonymous, so what he says is unverifiable - so unfortunately not that useful. He also asked me to remove anything that could hint at his location.

2022-01-12T23:58:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481415230366253057

@thaxter @SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein Nobody is saying no big deal. It's a potential big deal if there's a lot of unidentified sightings.
Has anyone said there is definitive proof of advanced aerodynamics?
Of just that there's things that occasionally and unverifiable are reported to look like that.

2022-01-12T23:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481414534124355584

@dzeRnumbrd @MrHustleMCR @MvonRen Well, at least I didn't make the whole thing up.

It's a long interview. The sleeve thing has so far only been contradicted by anonymous sources. There's other interesting stuff in there. He knew quite a bit about the system.

2022-01-12T23:38:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481410227794681860

@DoctorKarl http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI8GuRaVkAAWPUN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI8GuRaVkAAWPUN.jpg
2022-01-12T23:33:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481409101389172737
@joe_forbrich It physics permits it, sure. But it may well be that there are some hard limits to the universe.

2022-01-12T23:06:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481402208138653698
@TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Here's a bit more context.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0

2022-01-12T23:04:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481401611222061064
@LandonPetersen9 @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Waves.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WAfiJqUHDg0&t=124s

2022-01-12T22:50:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481398247377629185
@SicCoP1 @TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein There's a variety of things in the air. Like the UAP report says.

Carson is a politician. Let's hear from some experts.

2022-01-12T22:36:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481394708089696258
@RoswellHawks @EricRWeinstein @AncientAliens No that I know of.

2022-01-12T22:31:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481393464487002113
@SingletrackAdam @area51org @MvonRen @devgru1980mi Obviously some events occurred. We have official video of something. It's the exact interpretation of those videos that's in dispute.

2022-01-12T20:36:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481364593289007106
@thieme @janbobrowicz Are you not familiar with his objections?

2022-01-12T20:32:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481363479298674688

@PostDisclosure @OliverWood2017 Me too. Did you go from thinking that Gimbal did not rotate to thinking that it did?

2022-01-12T20:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481361944661561345

@TheUfoJoe @EricRWeinstein I stand by my assessment. It is the same old story. Things in the air, a few are unidentified. It's a problem.

And why are you dredging up my old tweets today?

2022-01-12T20:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481358847793004544

@dr_los @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan No. I'd put #1 as a plane flying away over the horizon. Or maybe a parachute flare. The big clue is how it fades out (then back, then out) as it as obscured at the horizon.

2022-01-12T20:03:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481356135483396098

@thieme @janbobrowicz Which objections specifically? Can you phrase one of them in your own words?

2022-01-12T20:00:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481355463518482432

@TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Well, in Tim's defense, that was like a year ago.

2022-01-12T19:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481350977185669120

@Charlesintense I don't think it's a balloon. I also don't think it made a splash.

2022-01-12T18:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481325657678221312

@ron9gray @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Zoom + IR Glare.

2022-01-12T18:01:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481325479449673728

@Serg_is_Vargas @UFO_JohnDoe @TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Fade.

2022-01-12T17:58:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481324734138642432

@BrantronPrime I'm in favor of as many sources as possible. Who did you have in mind?

2022-01-12T17:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481318929230270467

@TheUfoJoe @LtTimMcMillan Balloon was my first guess. I don't think it's a balloon. It seems to be something hot disappearing over the horizon. Maybe a far distant plane.

2022-01-12T17:28:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481317164707504128

@Bestlif70060427 It's a SHA-512 of the contents of the file (the mp3 of my interview with "Kurt". I posted it simply to prove it exists, should there be a later question. Unlikely, but just in

case.

2022-01-12T17:24:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481316164215001093

@janbobrowicz Well, if that's the hill he wants to die on, he can go right ahead alone. It's inexplicable nonsense questions with trivial answers he ignores. I'm done.

2022-01-12T17:18:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481314695386591233

@thieme @Bobby95975933 I do not post from burner or sock accounts. Just @mickwest and (very rarely) @metabunk.

2022-01-12T16:52:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481307990489583617

I'm muting @MvonRen's campaign, as it's a huge waste of time. Repetitive nit-picking. I don't know why Marik has "staked [his] reputation" on proving I'm a liar, but it's a losing game for him, and no fun for me.

I'm sure someone will let me know if there's something substantive

---

2022-01-12T16:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481304255235428352

@TheUndeadGaucho Thanks!

2022-01-12T16:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481304097521381380

@TheZignal @Bestlif70060427 I sure do, being a debunker and all.

I make a folder for each investigation, and drop things in it. There's over 500, starting from back in 2012. Good times! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI6lyQTUcAEqVuP.jpg

---



Mars Curiosity Sol 1640 Lizard	Apr 2, 2017 at 7:32 AM
New Cloud Types	Mar 27, 2017 at 2:19 PM
Potentized Estrogen	Mar 2, 2017 at 11:31 AM
2017 California ROcket-like trails	Feb 14, 2017 at 7:22 AM
Indoor Rainbow Filiming	Feb 9, 2017 at 8:08 AM
Trump Crowds	Jan 24, 2017 at 1:52 PM
Mexico Radio Mast UFO MUFON 81662	Jan 24, 2017 at 10:28 AM
P900 Point Light Bokeh Focus Test	Jan 19, 2017 at 9:05 AM
Biddeford Eva Grey Ghost Photo	Jan 18, 2017 at 4:41 PM
Why The Reflection of the Sun on water is a Line - glitter glimmer ripples	Jan 13, 2017 at 9:10 AM
2017 Ft Lauderdale Airport Shooting	Jan 6, 2017 at 1:21 PM
Moon Terminator UFOs	Jan 3, 2017 at 3:01 PM
150 mph golf ball	Dec 26, 2016 at 5:25 PM
Chinese Rocket Debris	Dec 19, 2016 at 1:46 PM
Moon Radiation Temperature Effect hot cold	Dec 19, 2016 at 8:14 AM
Three Suns	Dec 17, 2016 at 8:22 AM
Climate Change AGW CO2	Dec 11, 2016 at 8:48 AM
Nov 19 2016 Flight Over Antartica	Dec 1, 2016 at 4:51 PM
Angel Wings sunset	Nov 30, 2016 at 4:36 PM
Bahoz German Gang March Claimed Islamic Militants	Nov 30, 2016 at 9:42 AM
Crathes Castle Scotland Ghost Photo	Nov 29, 2016 at 10:44 AM
Boy in the Back Seat of Car Photo	Nov 28, 2016 at 12:59 PM
MUFON 80430	Nov 19, 2016 at 12:25 PM
Myster plane over Denver E-6B	Nov 17, 2016 at 5:13 PM
Lake Superior Ghost Ship	Oct 31, 2016 at 4:48 PM
Defcon warning system	Oct 17, 2016 at 5:36 PM
Podesta Wet Works	Oct 16, 2016 at 7:48 AM
Conspiracy Theory and Debunking Academic Papers	Oct 15, 2016 at 7:44 AM
Ghost Balloons	Oct 12, 2016 at 10:05 PM
Sputnik Blumentahl Podesta Fake Story	Oct 11, 2016 at 10:24 AM
US Caught Repainting Fighter Jets False Flag	Oct 9, 2016 at 8:01 AM
P900 Long Distance Tests Gas Station	Oct 3, 2016 at 11:59 AM
Breitbart FBI 7700 terrorists	Sep 28, 2016 at 12:15 PM
New Orleans Hotel VIew	Sep 20, 2016 at 5:48 PM

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI6lyQTUcAEqVuP.jpg

2022-01-12T08:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481175566560546820

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen And just for the record.

SHA-512

6475d02d984b0d60e884b86d5b1b02ad1c2f55fb8c121b7aa111aa00f286a12fc0e14d81e487ab500cbbb31e1a5bbe8b65814f6c2da113494a8a4e22cc199e70

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4xC9fVQAENfp6.jpg

```
mick@Mick-M1 ~ % sha2 -512 "/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/GIMBAL US Navy Jet and Unknown Object/Kurt interview.mp3"
SHA-512 (/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/GIMBAL US Navy Jet and Unknown Object/Kurt interview.mp3) = 6475d02d984b0d60e884b86d5b1b02ad1c2f55fb8c121b7aa111aa00f286a12fc0e14d81e487ab500cbbb31e1a5bbe8b65814f6c2da113494a8a4e22cc199e70
```

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4xC9fVQAENfp6.jpg

2022-01-12T08:02:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481174723534802947

@clintolsen You want me to lie to you?

2022-01-12T07:14:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481162737610682368

@OliverWood2017 @PostDisclosure What else did he claim the expert military personnel backed him on?

2022-01-12T07:13:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481162343778193410

@MvonRen @SkinwalkerTruth @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @zcichy Well it's been out since Aug 2020. Didn't exactly seal the deal did it? How could it, with him being anonymous.

Now if it had been a video interview with a verified ATFLIR tech, then I'd be same-daying it.

Which bits of Kurt's testimony did you find most compelling?

2022-01-12T07:01:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481159329520631809

There are noble reasons not to lie: the golden rule of morality - do unto others as you would have them do unto you. But there are also practical reasons. If you never lie, you never have to remember your lies, and when under scrutiny you can simply, effortlessly, tell the truth.

2022-01-12T06:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481156295688294401

@MvonRen @SkinwalkerTruth @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @zcichy Well, as I said it was two years into the discussion, and it didn't add that much, and I hated transcribing, so yeah.

I dropped it into http://otter.ai. 8300 words. Messy transcript with phone audio.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4fjO9VIAAZtzd.jpg

Right.

What would you say is going on at that point?

So that is one more degrees left. One left to left. Brain left. Okay. So

The same, the rotat

Yeah, that's the forv

And What? What do as it essentially

lost track? That mea 'm sure. So it looks like in this video, the Ford sect is perfectly capable of doing. So when it rotates, me movement there. So it actually just, you kn undary bars and found it again, pretty quick there. Y



So I mean, would it be fair to say that he had the, the object was starting to drift off to the to the left there, you can see at like, 52 seconds, it's left of center. And then right, then when it requires it, it really centers it.

Right. So yeah, just drifted off what the camera was, you know, the camera drifted out. So and then soon as it, you know, found itself, I guess, after the board section rotated, and opened up the boundary bars again, because it couldn't see it, it was left of the boundary bar and look like, open it up, found it again, and reset it.

Okay. All right. So, have you seen the video that I did the no sudden moves video.

But I'm sorry, you're breaking up just a little bit.

I did a video called no sudden moves, which was about this video. And I kind of went through all the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4fjO9VIAAZtzd.jpg

2022-01-12T06:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481153532610813952

@SkinwalkerTruth @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Deepneuron @zcichy It does not hinge on it at all. He came along two years after I first made the argument. Erase the transcript, and nothing really changes.

2022-01-12T06:36:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481153210106605570

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen Not while you are typing the transcript.

2022-01-12T06:33:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481152264379142148

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen Then you can verify what I say. Quicktime player has keyboard controls that are useful when transcribing. Hardly a contentious point.

2022-01-12T06:31:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481151936288022529

@MvonRen @Bestlif70060427 Was it a bombshell?

Of course it wasn't. It was just a bit of corroboration. Its absence would change almost nothing. The glare still does not rotate at 19 seconds. The bumps still precede the rotation. The full frame light patterns still rotate. It's still just like a glare.

2022-01-12T06:29:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481151245213523968
@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen I use http://Otter.ai now, thankfully. It was a long task, partly why I took a while to get around to it.

2022-01-12T06:28:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481150955420733441
@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen *QT, Quicktime

And if you are not familiar with Mac, I'm saying that these audio control keys work in QuickTime (even when in other apps), but not in Ecamm.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4auryVIAABCeC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4auryVIAABCeC.jpg
2022-01-12T06:25:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481150210629799940
@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen So I could play it in MacOS Quicktime player to transcribe it manually. It has nicer controls than Ecamm Call Recorder (which uses Ecamm Movie Tools for playback.)
Quicktime uses the system play/pause button, but Ecamm does not, so Qy is much easier to use when transcribing.

2022-01-12T06:21:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481149234099286016
@MvonRen @Bestlif70060427 Well, it's your reputation.

Come on Marik, this is getting even more really silly.

2022-01-12T06:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481148769307529219
@MvonRen @Bestlif70060427 I redacted several bits (chit-chat and personal info at the start and end, and the ... portions), and, as noted in the transcript, there were pauses while video was set up, etc.

2022-01-12T06:09:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481146340167991297
@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen Audio. I called his phone number (which no longer works)

Metadata for export is meaningless. It's just an mp3 file, with the date I exported it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4WhDKVgAAfeGo.jpg

File

Name	[redacted]mp3
Size	59.1 MB (59099311 bytes)
Kind	MP3 audio
UTI	public.mp3
Location	/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/GIMBAL US Navy Jet and Unknown Object
Created	August 27, 2020 at 3:45:56 PM
Modified	August 27, 2020 at 3:46:23 PM

Container

Format	MPEG Audio
Duration	59 min 58 s 188 ms
Overall bit rate mode	Variable
Overall bit rate	131 kb/s
Writing library	LAME3.100

Audio

Format	MPEG Audio
Format version	Version 1
Format profile	Layer 3
Duration	59 min 58 s 184 ms
Bit rate mode	Variable
Bit rate	128 kb/s
Channel(s)	1 channel
Sampling rate	44.1 kHz
Frame rate	38.281 FPS (1152 SPF)
Frame count	137743
Compression mode	Lossy
Stream size	59.1 MB (100.0%)
Writing library	LAME3.100
Encoding settings	-m m -V 2 -q 3 -lowpass 20.5 --abr 128

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4WhDKVgAAfeGo.jpg
2022-01-12T05:57:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481143264023506952
@MvonRen @Bestlif70060427 Skype IS phone if you call a number. I've had my Skype number on my web page for years. I use it for ease of recording.
I called his phone number from my Skype phone account. Essentially it's the same thing as Skype from my end.

2022-01-12T05:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481140738356244482
@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen Here's what it looks like in the original format. You export to MP3 with the button in the bottom right. The bottom waveform is Kurt.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4RiEEVgAE3_uI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4RiEEVgAE3_uI.jpg

2022-01-12T05:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481138376740728832

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen It's not (except the filename contains his old phone number) but I'm a very technical person, so I know such things are easy to fake, and hence are not convincing.

The interview was conducted over Skype, and recorded with Ecamm call recorder. No real metadata, just date. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4PAYEUcAAql3m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4PAYEUcAAql3m.jpg

2022-01-12T05:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481137071305547777

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen You can't speak for him.

2022-01-12T05:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481136839234756612

@TheSponsor2290 @PostDisclosure I didn't fake anything. Perhaps "Kurt" was not who he said he was, but he seemed to know a lot about the subject, and I naturally default to assuming the best about people.

Read the transcript. There's a bunch on interesting stuff in there.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2022-01-12T05:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481135636228689924
@MvonRen @Bestlif70060427 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4M-9LUYAM5eHv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI4M-9LUYAM5eHv.jpg
2022-01-12T05:17:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481133148792451074
@J57919600 No, and it depends.

2022-01-12T05:15:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481132786253660162
@LaxSunnyG Sure. But I published the transcript in August 2020. Nobody, that I recall, has called it out as being suspicious until now.

I'd be happy to hear from other people who can cast light on the various topics discussed. Like the FLIR1 video losing lock.

2022-01-12T05:11:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1481131759630618625
@Bestlif70060427 No. When people request anonymity, you can't release a recording of their

voice.

2022-01-12T05:10:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481131485029560320

@PostDisclosure I think he actually just claims that anonymous expert military personnel back him on the inappropriate and hence unlikely usage of sleeves to clean the front glass of targeting pods.

2022-01-12T01:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481069292599005185

@LaxSunnyG @MvonRen That's a different issue. Marik is claiming I FABRICATED the interview, not that I didn't vet my source enough. He thinks I made the whole thing up.

2022-01-11T22:50:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481035889035780098

@ScottsdaleInk @MvonRen No. Not at all. I assume people are not lying.

How did you get that idea?

2022-01-11T22:33:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481031626947629061

@UAPorco No, I'm an expert in Google.

2022-01-11T21:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481010466054889477

@PlasticPaddy9 @MvonRen He told me, but that was in the details he wanted redacted.

If it's a LARP it's an impressively detailed one. But I 100% did not make it up.

2022-01-11T21:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1481009605329276929

@MvonRen @BSquidding @TomRtweets ATFLIR hardware and software is not fixed. At the simplest level the FLIR1 and the GIMBAL/GOFAST footage are very unlikely to be on identical systems. Videos closer in time might be, but we don't know that.

2022-01-11T20:17:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480997390102368259

@MvonRen He emailed it.

2022-01-11T19:15:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480981822825582592

@MvonRen @BSquidding @TomRtweets What I said there is 100% accurate.

2022-01-11T19:14:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480981487746912257

@osbald It's not smoke, it's just a dark cloud.

2022-01-11T18:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480972165759442945

@1_2_of_Rodney Maybe, I'm kind of waiting for the Coronavirus thing to blow over before flying

anywhere.

2022-01-11T18:35:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480971642066980866

@sonnguy63358399 @bobofango86 @MvonRen @TomRtweets You can trust things, you just need to understand the limitations and common problems.

2022-01-11T18:33:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480971164486815746

@MvonRen And for the record, I've emailed Kurt asking if there's some verification he'd be happy with. I also called him today, but his number has changed.

Unless he gets back to me, that's probably all I can do.

Marik, of course, has zero evidence that I fabricated the interview.

2022-01-11T18:27:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480969699936641024

@jplatte11 @MusicAndTruth @preluder @skepmit @MvonRen Sure, I don't have a good explanation for the "fleet", but it's off-screen, on the SA, not this video, with no indication of where it is relative to the Gimbal object.

And it changes nothing about the rotation looking and acting like a glare rotating.

2022-01-11T18:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480969042043097091

@jplatte11 @Jjgaffers01 @FrobertKinsey @MvonRen I'm not accusing you of lying. You might be wrong though. I'd be interested in how you know that, and why it hasn't leaked.

Marik is making a rather specific accusation.

2022-01-11T18:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480968670117437440

@UfoMerch It's just a long thin image (caused by the satellite "scanning" a strip of the surface). Zoom in.

2022-01-11T18:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480968046743212034

@Oswald1160 @MvonRen Can they explain why the Gimbal object does not rotate during the roll at 19 seconds?

2022-01-11T18:20:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480967878266417155

The Sun keeps retweeting this video of a contrail as a "UFO".

Here's similar looking one, where the plane leaving the contrails was identified.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/claimed-ufo-filmed-from-airliner-over-turkey-21st-march-201

8-passing-contrail-at-90%C2%B0.9608/
https://twitter.com/TheSun/status/1480947619052470272

2022-01-11T17:34:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480956244693114886
@MvonRen That's entirely up to him.

2022-01-11T17:31:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480955545519415297
@sonnguy63358399 @bobofango86 @MvonRen @TomRtweets I didn't cherry pick him. He's just the only one who agreed to talk to me.

I'd be happy to talk to any other avionics systems technicians with targeting pod experience. email mick@mickwest.com

---

2022-01-11T17:29:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480954999597240324
@MvonRen That's not evidence that I fabricated anything.

Even if what Kurt said ends up being total nonsense, how would that equate to me fabricating an interview?

What is your evidence of fabrication?

You've not thought this through.

2022-01-11T17:22:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480953233761705988
@MvonRen, can you present the evidence that I fabricated an interview, or retract your claim?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI1mydRVUAIa0OS.jpg



MarikvR
@MvonRen

Like @TomRtweets, I will place my reputation on the line here.

It appears @MickWest fabricated an “interview” with an “expert” to prove his “glare” theory about the “Gimbal” video.

As Mick knows, I have multiple corroborating sources.

Sunshine is the best disinfectant.

8:44 PM · Jan 10, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

12 Retweets **4** Quote Tweets **84** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FI1mydRVUAIa0OS.jpg
2022-01-11T17:19:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480952624258027521
@MvonRen I suggest you draw a graph showing the relative magnitudes of the accelerations (i.e. plot the heading, heading speed, and heading acceleration. That would be the best way to make your point. You have a testable claim, so maybe test it?

2022-01-11T17:05:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480948878446714880
@RayMoor18837824 @MvonRen @andydiggle Not with good arguments though.

Why does it not rotate at 19 seconds?

2022-01-11T17:03:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480948559004389377
@MvonRen What that's violence in */second/second then?

I'm presuming you've not actually measured it. Why are you saying it's more violent? Based on what?

You are conflating tracking movement, with the gimbal flip. The latter is a much larger acceleration.

2022-01-11T16:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480943740395003908
@RayMoor18837824 @MvonRen @andydiggle It confirms it's consistent with a glare.

2022-01-11T16:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480943630097420288

@MvonRen You said "his favorite video" which I assumed was GoFast.

So take the same question, but with the VFA-31 - except you can't really, as you don;'t know the zoom level, or even if it's the exact same system..

2022-01-11T16:41:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480942910514216962

@devgru1980mi @MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @dave_beaty @DaveFalch @LtTimMcMillan @RealCSharp @RaytheonTech That was from a jokey press release, years ago.

2022-01-11T16:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480934092417863681

@MvonRen If the roll starts in Gimbal cause jolts, then on what frame in GoFast would you expect a jolt? How big would it be? Where would you see it? Whatâ□□s the physical similarity with Gimbal?

2022-01-11T16:04:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480933586597335040

RT @conspirator0: It would be really great if people would stop conflating opinion columns with investigative journalism.

2022-01-11T16:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480933421991952384

RT @AeronewsGlobal: Awesome landing through the fog: @AntonovCompany An-225 Mriya arriving in Rzeszow (RZE), Poland. Video: Maciej Skop. @Aâ□¦

2022-01-11T15:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480931340312879115

@RayMoor18837824 @MvonRen @andydiggle Thereâ□□s quite a bit of evidence. Specifically all the glare-like attributes. Rotation in tracking near singularity, no rotation during rolls. Diffraction spikes. Pre-bumps before rotation. Etc.

2022-01-11T15:51:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480930381310435334

@Kasce6 @PlasticPaddy9 @MvonRen @TomRtweets He knows a lot about some things. And heâ□□s a fast learner.

2022-01-11T15:50:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480930205871050756

@MvonRen I asked about your GoFast no-jolt thought. Can you give those details?

2022-01-11T15:44:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480928590157996032

@MvonRen GoFast

2022-01-11T15:41:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480927976523022337

@BobChuzzlewit @MvonRen @TomRtweets He wasnâ□□t anonymous to me.

2022-01-11T15:35:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480926241033273349

@MvonRen On what frame would you expect a jolt? How big would it be? Where would you see it? Whatâ□□s the physical similarity with Gimbal?

2022-01-11T15:31:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480925342038695944

@Kasce6 @PlasticPaddy9 @MvonRen @TomRtweets Lehto? Heâ□□s a pilot.

2022-01-11T15:30:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480925188246097923

@Jjgaffers01 @FrobertKinsey @MvonRen I didnâ□□t lie. Marik is just being overzealous. His â□□juicy infoâ□□ is just that some Navy/AF people think there are things in the interview that seem unlikely. He has ZERO evidence it is fake.

2022-01-11T15:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480920853558800385

@Morten64226949 The plane is flying past it. Itâ□□s not rotating. Like if you drive past a road that is at right angles to the road you are on.

2022-01-11T15:11:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480920259506970633

@MvonRen @RayMoor18837824 @andydiggle GoFast is cold. No glare is expected.

2022-01-11T07:55:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480810573948329987

@Bestlif70060427 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So figure out why.

2022-01-11T07:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480809414248464389

@Bestlif70060427 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Of course, but we are discussing relative motion here. So we can set it to zero if everything has the same local wind velocity.

2022-01-11T07:45:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480808009529913345

@Bestlif70060427 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha That's just what is used in the simulation. More accurate would have a different one for the clouds.

2022-01-11T07:44:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480807893158936577

@BarlowTerry @Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure

@ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha He didn't seem super surprised. But maybe he initially though they were balloons or birds, then the wind observation told him no.

2022-01-11T07:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480805728436686848

@Bestlif70060427 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I believe the current simulation is relative to a common air mass. i.e. the same wind speed at all locations, and in the frame of reference of that air mass. This is discussed in the thread in some depth.

2022-01-11T07:17:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480801128367362049

@PlasticPaddy9 @MvonRen @TomRtweets I didn't fabricate an interview. I conducted an interview in good faith with someone who said he was an avionics systems technician. What he said, and even his qualifications, are not really relevant to Marik's claim that I fabricated the interview.

2022-01-11T07:08:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480798693385457664

@Bestlif70060427 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'm fine with Edwards's

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-blender-simulation-with-clouds.12209/#post-263744

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzafbcVIAE2Hxg.jpg

I suppose that Scenario #13, if combined with fairly strong winds at 6,500 feet, could allow for a “motionless” object at 26.6 NM (but it rises at 19 feet per second). I did not find any scenarios where the object can come to a stop and change direction, even as seen overhead — but there exist more complex solutions that I haven’t looked into. I assume there are some with changing velocities (including vertical and angular), but I think multiple parameters would have to change in coordination just to resemble, from the camera’s view, much simpler scenarios.

Here are all of the numbers for the scenarios plotted:

	Initial distance (NM)	Final recession velo (kn)	Altitude change (ft)	Heading change (°)	Initial altitude (ft)	Speed (kn)	Angles of incidence (°)
5	29.4	28	0	0	18,770	377	14–11
6	16.0	–23	0	24)	21,460	305	15–2–9
7	40.0	92	0	15 (	16,390	490	38–14
8	66.4	192	0	40 (	12,940	550	62–15
9	80.0	485	0	44 (	11,170	834	65–15
10	33.2	498	–1,500	0	18,815	860	10–7
11	31.0	200	–270	0	18,490	546	14–9
12	27.6	–225	405	0	19,130	145	28–24
13	26.6	–367	665	0	19,335	50	100–94
14	25.8	–408	750	0	19,470	67	142–138

The angles of incidence are with the trailing end of the object. Assuming we're looking up the throat of an engine or engines, some scenarios (5, 10, 11) are consistent with the observed increase in the size of the glare; others are not. With the exception of initial distance, which is a ground measurement, all distances/velocities/angles are measured in 3 dimensions.

I’ve uploaded the Blender file here. Next I will re-output Scenario #5, add some effects to more closely recreate the original video, and then make a presentation for YouTube.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzafbcVIAE2Hxg.jpg

And, the straight-line scenarios:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzabg7VIAAnVTO.jpg

Here are the constant-altitude scenarios as seen from overhead:



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzaX53UUAA2Mn-.jpg

My Blender simulation with clouds puts significant constraints on theories about the movement of the Gimbal object. The results apply directly to an idealized case where the clouds are stratocumulus topping out at 6,500 feet, with negligible movement due to wind. However, I expect that if the clouds were slightly lower or higher (to the extent allowed by the camera tilt), or if they were moving at a wind rate typical of these altitudes, or if the F-18's flight-path curve were slightly different, those constraints would be shifted — but not eliminated.

Having run exploratory scenarios #1-4, plus others not published, I found there is a family of trajectories where the object has a constant altitude (as measured normal to the Earth's surface), and also where the object goes in a straight line (does not bank left or right). Here's how all ordinary-type scenarios lay out on a plot of final recession velocity vs. distance:



Scenario #5 is the unique solution where the object is moving straight and level: 377 knots, 18,770 feet. A couple of counterintuitive results: First, how the constant-altitude line takes off with distances past 60 NM — this is due to the object's having to move at increasingly transverse speeds with distance due to the sweep of the camera. Also, that a distant, approaching object banks to the F-18's right. But it never actually moves to our right; it is just turning more toward the F-18 as the camera sweep slows over the course of the video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzaUO4UYAIh-Gh.jpg

2022-01-11T07:04:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480797841253486593

@BarlowTerry @Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Away and to the left. There's a variety of scenarios. See: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-blender-simulation-with-clouds.12209/#post-263744

2022-01-11T06:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480795129514364929

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Why not, exactly?

2022-01-11T06:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480793594327797760

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Pod can see the moon. There's no limit on seeing things that are big or bright enough.

2022-01-11T06:42:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480792209175691265

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550

@dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-blended-simulation-with-clouds.12209/#post-263744

2022-01-11T06:41:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480791902693646336
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha No, there's an additional internal axis (or two, it's not clear which patent applies). 146 in this simple diagram. Handles the times roll is not used, as it's perpendicular to pitch.
The exact configuration of hardware and software is unknown to the public.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzUllHVIAATGJ3.jpg



FIG. 1A

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIzUllHVIAATGJ3.jpg
2022-01-11T06:29:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480789059534405632
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You can discuss that here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-blended-simulation-with-clouds.12209/

2022-01-11T06:25:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480787929697959937
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Plane bank. Glare not rotate.

Camera traverse singularity region. Glare rotate.

Two different things, but not diametrically opposing.

2022-01-11T06:22:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480787064903766017
@MiddleOfMayhem @MvonRen Yeah, I think it's pretty much over now. It's getting ridiculous.

Although it would be get to get more domain expert to comment publicly.

2022-01-11T06:05:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480782973536391171
@MvonRen @TomRtweets https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1480777693641658372

2022-01-11T06:05:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480782789259587585
@HighStrangenes3 Poor taste how? I'm pointing out it's old. I give a source. I wonder why it's causing excitement.

2022-01-11T05:59:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480781408331132928
@FrobertKinsey @MvonRen Sure, it's far from ideal. However what "kurt" said was interesting, and he seemed to know a lot about the systems.

But that's not the issue here. Marik is, bizarrely, staking his reputation on his claim that I made the whole thing up.

2022-01-11T05:56:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480780641222344705
@MvonRen Here's the transcript of the interview.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2022-01-11T05:47:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480778393444503553
@dr_los The problem is that it was the USAF writing it. It was a chapter tagged on by one guy, and it was removed once the realized what it was. It just contains standard UFO mythology.

2022-01-11T05:45:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480777943244689409
@MrMosis @SwinkInc @EricRWeinstein The entire field is new to him, as it was to me five years ago.

2022-01-11T05:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480777693641658372
I really don't get what @MvonRen is doing here. But he's calling me a liar, again. He's dead wrong. I don't lie.

I conducted an interview in August 2020 with "Kurt", who described himself as an avionics system technician. He asked to remain anonymous, so I respect that.
https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1480762430829436930

2022-01-11T05:40:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480776621837602817
@BrandonFugal @bottwater Thanks Brandon! Maybe I can pop by in the summer with my TriField. :)

2022-01-11T05:38:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480776089332895744
@dr_los So, what? You think this old chapter suddenly makes sense in the new context of the UAP Office formation?

2022-01-11T05:05:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480767798334803969
@SwinkInc There's no harm, it's just best to discuss it in context - it's not a new revelation, and it's meaning really hasn't changed.

2022-01-11T04:41:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480761654950252544
Everything old is new eventually. This USAF Academy chapter on UFOs was discussed in Flying Saucer Review in 1971, and they published the text again in 1991.
But now people are getting excited about it again, because TikTok?
http://www.ignaciodarnaude.com/ufologia/Creighton-Carpenter,USAF%20Academy%20UFO%20Text-Book%201960s,FSR1997V42N1.pdf
https://twitter.com/HeyLukOverThere/status/1480734713476268033

2022-01-11T04:06:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480753059760259075
@ChrisSPITZER7 @paulsanderson @aka__V @dave_beaty @DaveFalch @LtTimMcMillan @MvonRen @RealCSharp The simple answer, to both, is a jet engine.

2022-01-11T00:48:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480703095524847619
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha (not banking meaning not changing bank angle, it's always banking in this segment)

2022-01-11T00:47:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480702768658534402

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'm using the motion of the clouds. i.e. I'm orienting the lines so the clouds move along them when not banking.

You can just use the artificial horizon, I added the cloud motion lines as they should also be horizontal

---

2022-01-11T00:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480694311213621251

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha That's one of them yes. Here's another look at it (a bit rough). I think it makes more sense in the original:

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480694188328906754/pu/img/JRXba5fu__Hjquv3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480694188328906754/pu/img/JRXba5fu__Hjquv3.jpg

2022-01-11T00:11:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480693722983456768
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Rather noisy. I'm not sure the PC1 angle there is that useful unsmoothed, but you can see the non-rotation at 9 seconds.

It think would make more sense to plot Horizon and PC1 separately rather than Horizon-PC1, as it's non-movement that we are looking at.

2022-01-10T23:56:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480690143035985921
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Put another way. If the gimbal object was a real object it would rotate when the jet rolls, like everything else in the scene.

It it was a glare, it would not rotate.

It does not rotate when the jet rolls at 19 seconds.

So probably a glare.

2022-01-10T23:54:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480689452892954625
@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @aka__V @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha The glare is fixed in the frame of the camera, so when the plane banks the horizon rotates, but the glare (the saucer shape) does not rotate, thus demonstrating it's almost certainly a glare.

You'll be able to see it clearer if you add a line through the glare's long axis.

2022-01-10T23:49:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480688335773306880
@DeepSpace41 Vaguely, why?

2022-01-10T21:33:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480654153655341056
@DavidJayB @MvonRen @andydiggle It's not even necessarily that they need cleaning - It does not seem impossible that the streakiness on the glass occurs during flight - like from flying through a cloud, or even just the salt in the air at low altitude (carrier takeoff)

It might be uncommon.

2022-01-10T21:31:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480653492658196480

@realufobros @MvonRen @andydiggle Yeah, like Kubrick and the Moon Landing, I faked it all on location.:grinning_face:

Here's me on Rollercoaster. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIxWEylUYAQ6uDi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIxWEylUYAQ6uDi.jpg

2022-01-10T21:16:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480649739976642566

@realufobros @MvonRen @andydiggle Marik said he was just kidding to make a point - regarding the vacation photos.

2022-01-10T21:07:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480647416311271429

@MvonRen @andydiggle So just to to recap, you think (falsely) that I'm lying about:

1) Oswald not being me
2) My interview with "Kurt"

Anything else specific you'd like to add?

2022-01-10T20:35:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480639384990846976

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org @Oswald1160 I've found his contact info. I'll see if there's some way this can be resolved. But obviously it's entirely up to him.

2022-01-10T20:19:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480635464339972097

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org @Oswald1160 I didn't fabricate the interview. Unfortunately "Kurt" wished to remain anonymous (hence some redactions in the interview) Unfortunately, in my zeal to preserve his anonymity I didn't keep many records. All I have is his real first name

and the original audio files.

2022-01-10T18:49:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480612903975731211

@disclosure2021 @SocialistFT Do you think alien visitors are more likely?

2022-01-10T18:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480612658541850627

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org Are you quibbling about "streaked" vs. "scratched", or claiming that I made up the entire interview?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIwxWGDUYAAXBI1.jpg

M: What contributes to the glare and the shape of the glare
K: In my experience, it's mostly, and I'm no optical expert, I'm not even an engineer that's designing this stuff, I just worked on them, so I get experience with them. In my experience, I see this same thing, on the flight line... So, I know what the cause is, the cause is the pilots and the crew chiefs wiping off that front glass with their sleeve [laughs]

M: Hmm
K: Now, you made that video today, kind of clarifying the difference between a few things, like glare, and I'm not sure that I have the terminology completely correct. But when I've seen it before [the glass was wiped], I guess you'd still have glare, but much more uniform, like in your flashlight video today. Just a round shape. But then say like with the Gimbal video, when it's got those "wings", the thing that actually turns. "Wings" is tricky to use, because we are talking UFOs here, but you know what I mean.

M: Yeah, like the saucer shape. A long axis and a short axis.
K: Right, saucer shape. That, in my experience, is usually scratches in the, ironic, anti-glare coating that's on the glass of the forward section. Scratches from dust, from crew chiefs and pilots wiping off the dust. In my experience.

M: I was just thinking, I did that video on the different types of glare. Would that anti-glare coating on the front of the pod, that's really to prevent reflection showing up outside the pod? So, like, glinting and things like that [K: Yeah] it wouldn't really affect anything inside of the pod - it's not to stop glare getting through, it's to stop reflections on the outside.
K: So "glinting" is a good word. And again I'm no optical expert. All I know is when I clean the forward section glass properly, those "wings", whatever you want to call them, go away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIwxWGDUYAAXBI1.jpg

2022-01-10T18:45:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480611701481304065

@BryceDodds1 @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty If he's put in an entirely novel situation, I don't think it reflects on his abilities if he does not perfectly understand what he is seeing during a brief encounter.

2022-01-10T18:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480605106412290049

@PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty Witnesses report stuff, they don't necessarily analyze it. Kevin Day saw some odd radar returns - if they

were glitches, or spoofing, or simulated, or some novel atmospheric effect, then how does it reflect badly on him if he can't figure that out?

2022-01-10T18:13:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480603834518966273

@BlueshiftRadio Those are reflections of focus pixels on the sensor. Here's a similar type of things.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/orbs-with-dots-pdaf-focus-pixels-in-sun-reflection-lens-flare.8872/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIwpQvvVgAEANX5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIwpQvvVgAEANX5.jpg

2022-01-10T17:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480588217074143232

@aka__V @Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha It's a difficult thing to convey in words alone. If "the glare orientation is relative to the camera" that makes people think "if the camera rotates, the glare will rotate", when really what happens is that everything but the glare rotates.
Later we see the effects of derotation.

2022-01-10T08:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480453574375006208

@Bestlif70060427 @Oswald1160 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha No the horizon rotates, the object does not.

The red lines are the orientation of the horizon, as defined by the cloud top motion. The green line is the original orientation of the horizon. The red line through the gimbal is its long axis, which does not move.

2022-01-10T08:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480450690405179395
@Oswald1160 @Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Well technically he's accusing you of not existing. :)

Now it's my bedtime. Here's a work in progress. The overlooked lack of rotation at 19 seconds (5.5 in this clip) which also proves it's a glare.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480450011842289664/pu/img/VDesOe0dC_8SKKj_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480450011842289664/pu/img/VDesOe0dC_8SKKj_.jpg
2022-01-10T06:51:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480432035952877572
@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org That not what I asked about.

You said "I have grown suspicious for several reasons"

What were the other reasons that led you to grow suspicious?

2022-01-10T06:23:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480424971075682304

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org What were the other reasons?

2022-01-10T06:21:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480424631626436610

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org You might want to ask an avionics systems technician, to get a broader perspective. Maybe YOUR pilot doesn't wipe the glass.

It's not super relevant who messed the glass. The point is he said that that streaked glass causes the shaped spiky glare, like in gimbal.

2022-01-10T06:11:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480422026762276865

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org And why are you misquoting my guy? He said "front glass" and "forward section glass", but you changed that to "lens"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIuD60bVcAIRFFk.jpg

What Causes the Glare?

M: What contributes to the glare and the shape of the glare

K: In my experience, it's mostly, and I'm no optical expert, I'm not even an engineer that's designing this stuff, I just worked on them, so I get experience with them. In my experience, I see this same thing, on the flight line... So, I know what the cause is, **the cause is the pilots and the crew chiefs wiping off that front glass with their sleeve** [laughs]

...

M: I was just thinking, I did that video on the different types of glare. Would that anti-glare coating on the front of the pod, that's really to prevent reflection showing up outside the pod? So, like, glinting and things like that [K: Yeah] it wouldn't really affect anything inside of the pod - it's not to stop glare getting through, it's to stop reflections on the outside.

K: So "glinting" is a good word. And again I'm no optical expert. **All I know is when I clean the forward section glass properly, those "wings", whatever you want to call them, go away**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIuD60bVcAIRFFk.jpg

2022-01-10T06:07:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480421034427760642

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org and if you are going to quibble about experts, then why is your guy calling the window a "lens"?

2022-01-10T06:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480420456259747840

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org Regardless of what you think, that's what he told me. I'm not making it up.

2022-01-10T05:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480416522925326337

@MvonRen @mmabox @area51org He said he worked on targeting pods, not specifically FLIR. There are other types that don't have stowable windows.

https://youtu.be/0cuOVyyqAZo?t=28

2022-01-10T05:04:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480405050618875904

@ThanosAlpha @bradjohansson21 @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty Here's the context for that quote:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIt0c-NUUAA-E-k.jpg



Mick West <mick@mickwest.com> Sat, Jun 19, 2021, 10:43 AM

to Marik

I don't hold an "unshakeable belief", and I take great exception to your description of me as a "true believer".

I'm very much open to changing my opinion about the situation if some compelling evidence emerges. But right now we've got the low information videos, and some equally low information cryptic statements from non-experts like politicians.

It would be REMARKABLY EASY to get me to reconsider. Just show some good data, or give some good, rigorous analysis by neutral experts. That's the opposite of a "true believer"

Mick

•••

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIt0c-NUUAA-E-k.jpg

2022-01-10T02:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480364431905198081

@ThanosAlpha @bradjohansson21 @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty No. I just look at the evidence, see what's a good fit. More common things obviously get higher weight, all other things being equal.

2022-01-10T01:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480355765734965250

@ThanosAlpha @bradjohansson21 @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty No. I just look at cases, see what the evidence is, see what people are claiming about cases, and then try to check those claims and/or solve the case. I find it interesting and fun.

I avoid broad generalization, but if people ask me my opinion, I'd give it.

2022-01-10T01:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480354833722789894

@Channa_Sr It's often 3:14 for me. It depend what number rings a bell, and then you note and remember those time.

2022-01-10T01:42:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480354316762292229

@ThanosAlpha @bradjohansson21 @PostDisclosure @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty No, that's an extreme interpretation. Even the most highly trained and capable pilot might make a rare error in observation. That does not make them inept. They might even make a significant error - it happens even if 99.99% of the time they perform flawlessly at a high level.

2022-01-10T01:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480349824469794817

@Channa_Sr Coincidences are bound to happen, and Jeopardy's 61 clues give lots of opportunities, so sometimes there are some really weird coincidences. I do the math, it's about one a year.

2022-01-10T01:14:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480347342528077824

@GavPat89 Clearly a portent of the collapse of civilization!

2022-01-10T01:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480347120972361729

@mmabox @area51org Yeah, he rolled that back. But he's still accusing me of using sock puppets and lying about it. He's a suspicious guy.

2022-01-10T01:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480346885969772547

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha @Metabunk No more than I have to do with you, no.

Did you have a particular account in mind? I can explain exactly what my relationship is to them.

With @Oswald1160, they follow me, and often tweet like-minded tweets. But I have nothing to do with them. I've never even DMed them.

2022-01-10T01:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480345572661882880

@Chuck_OOO @michaelshermer One could probably time all the gaps between the contestant picking the clue and the host starting to speak.

Not it!

2022-01-10T01:02:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480344172905172993

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I have @mickwest (which I post from) and @metabunk (which is clearly me, and

I haven't posted from since 2017). I have one other test account I've never posted from.

So yes, I's saying unequivocally that I don't have any sock accounts.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs8eh9VEAARzBj.jpg

Accounts





Mick West
@MickWest

Team @MickWest

Invite colleagues to use Twitter as @MickWest without sharing the password with them.

Manage team

Confirmation step

Add a confirmation step before Tweeting as @MickWest

Default account

@MickWest is your default account for new Tweets and searches

Accounts you can act as

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs8eh9VEAARzBj.jpg
2022-01-10T00:54:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480342134083358721
@keithmooreUAP I'm pretty sure it's the latter. The sun there would need well over 10 stops to prevent glare bleeding out the ridge. I don't have the RAW, but this is what the HEIC gives
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs7LINVEAIvBlp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs7LINVEAIvBlp.jpg
2022-01-10T00:37:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480338052593508352
@orel94934645 Vacation is over. I'm back at "work".

2022-01-10T00:35:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480337495673880577
@aka__V @123334AB @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty I'm wrong all the time. I might be wrong about FLIR1, Gimbal or GoFast. I have a #1 hypothesis, but that's open to change with new data or analysis.

I'm right about the Chilean Navy UFO though.

2022-01-10T00:32:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480336821611421698

@jr_chillin550 @BarlowTerry @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @dave_beaty So if he's seeing something he's never seen before, something featureless and lacking any size cues, there's zero possibility he might misinterpret how big it was?

It seems like a very understandable thing to get wrong. Possibly.

2022-01-10T00:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480335978573139968

@QTRResearch It's a conspiracy!

2022-01-10T00:28:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480335828555493376

In the reflection you can see a little detail of the hillside that's hidden by the glare.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs1dO2UUAEgJ3Y.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs1dO2UUAEgJ3Y.jpg

2022-01-10T00:26:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480335261707825152

The crescent shape in the lens flare is actually an image of the sun partially obscured by the mountain. It reflects off the sensor, back through the lens to the front glass defocussed to parallel rays, then back, focussing again as a new image rotated 180Â° about the image center

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs0SXpVgAIgNBw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIs0SXpVgAIgNBw.jpg

2022-01-10T00:19:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480333320252653569

@jr_chillin550 @BarlowTerry @PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @dave_beaty Fravor? I don't think he's lying. I just think he's wrong.

2022-01-10T00:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480332900520263685

@ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @PostDisclosure @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty Marik is making a very specific claim here. He's saying I'm using a fake account, even though I've denied it, and Oswald has denied it. He's calling me a liar, but he's wrong. I think that should be resolved.

2022-01-10T00:13:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480331814996566019

@PostDisclosure @ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty I don't think I'd make a broad statement like "EVERY SINGLE PERSON that came forward got it wrong", but, what implications?

What would it imply if there was no NHI, given the current evidence?

2022-01-09T23:59:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480328490335358977

@ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty I think Elizondo and Mellon genuinely believe in the ETH.

People DO sometimes make mistakes. We cannot ignore that possibility because it will hurt their feelings.

2022-01-09T23:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480326283384868866

@ThanosAlpha @BarlowTerry @MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty I go after the truth. I generally assume that people are acting in good faith, and doing their best. I think people, even Navy pilots, occasionally make mistakes.

That's not going after peoples' reputations.

2022-01-09T23:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480320490308661248

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So just to be clear. You think I went skiing, but I'm lying about not being Oswald?

And don't try to brush it off with "let's see", either retract, or own it. You've accused me of lying. I take that VERY seriously.

2022-01-09T23:22:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480319170881286150

@tadaaa20 As I describe in the article what happens is an intersection between two subsets of things in a broader universe of things. Collisions are bound to happen at some point. Very significant ones occasionally, inevitably.

2022-01-09T23:20:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480318563336392704

@Bestlif70060427 @MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So you'd just assume this was fake then.

This is all fascinating. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIsla4MVIAUF2tM.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIsla4MVIAUF2tM.jpg

2022-01-09T23:17:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480317896370708484

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha And if he does not want to? You persist in your belief?

Also, did you actually think I'd faked a ski-trip?

2022-01-09T23:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480316398769369091

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Sorry dude, I'm not going to talk unless you fully retract your accusation. My integrity is more important than some minor technical point - that can wait, since its been years. You calling me a liar is right now. Resolve it.

2022-01-09T23:01:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480313686661033984

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You don't know? So when you said "You're Mick." to Oswald, what did that mean?

Because it sounds like you are calling me a liar. And that's what I want to resolve before going on to some technical stuff.

We can talk after you apologise.

2022-01-09T22:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480310963391721472

@dave_beaty @BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 Yes. And that's what I used in "No Sudden Moves" https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-01-09T22:46:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480310159301693440

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Let us be very clear here.

Do you believe I am lying about Oswald?

2022-01-09T22:40:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480308448604524544

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha No, if you are going to be calling me a liar based on your own mistakes then I'm going to block you. Even if you are just trolling.

This speaks volumes about the effects of your biases on your investigations. It's hard to take you seriously.

Your call. Want to bet?

2022-01-09T22:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480306408142688257

@lemonodor @apicasefiles I think it's actually the angle relative to the window. Notice there's two glares.

2022-01-09T22:30:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480306116458266625

@MvonRen @PostDisclosure @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You are starting to look ridiculous Marik. Everything I know is based on being honest. People who know me know that. I'd laugh off your silly mistake/troll if you were not publicly accusing me of being a liar.

Would you care to wager that I'm Oswald? $1,000? I'll give you 10:1

2022-01-09T22:11:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480301300982054912

@Oswald1160 @MvonRen @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I sense a great tweet deletion in the near future....

2022-01-09T22:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480301100624343040

@dave_beaty @BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 And "select the field of view (FOV) for ATFLIR

operation. Alternate pressing selects either .7Â° by .7Â° narrow FOV, 3Â° by 3Â°medium FOV or 6Â° by 6Â° wide FOV"

Seems hard to interpret that 0.7 as 2x narrow

2022-01-09T22:07:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480300183535570944

@dave_beaty @BobKurbel @ChrisSPITZER7 A1-F18AC-746-100 says:

"...three pilot-selectable fields of view (FOV). Wide field of view (WFOV), medium field of view (MFOV) and narrow field of view (NAR). WFOV is a fixed 1X FOV. MFOV and NAR are each 1X with a 2X zoom capability."

2022-01-09T22:05:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480299715853910016

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha It's kind of fascinating that you actually think he's me. Do I strike you as someone who would use a fake account? You've talked to me, do I seem like a liar?

2022-01-09T21:50:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480295990955438081

@coralk_ I think you need some binoculars. 12x42 or similar. With a phone adapter. Something like this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIsRVOmUcAMR6kj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIsRVOmUcAMR6kj.jpg

2022-01-09T21:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480294378060980226

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Except he's not a sock puppet. He's some guy in Germany. You've either fallen for a silly conspiracy theory or you are trolling. Either way it's annoying and if you persist it's just going to result in you getting blocked.

2022-01-09T21:35:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480292141330882561
@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Can you stop calling Oswald "Mick". It's a little confusing for me when I come back and you are replying to someone as if they are me. It probably confuses anyone trying to follow the conversation.

2022-01-09T21:27:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480290256863645696
@mvpispi That would be a combination of two things.

1) 666 shows up a lot in popular culture (Omen, Iron Maiden, etc).

2) you are mentally primed to see it - so you take note of when you see it.
Try re-priming your brain by looking for 555 (which is actually slightly more common)

2022-01-09T21:23:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480289190965170179
@coolfacejane @JonTeets005 Morgellons folk were convinced that long term antibiotics were the cure, and that feeling ill from that cure was an indication was working ("herxing".) It's kind of a subset of the chronic Lyme thing.

2022-01-09T21:20:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480288516416307201
@coolfacejane @JonTeets005 Yes, that was my first skeptical blog. And yes, a obsession with health, cleanliness, purity, etc, does seem (in my experience) to correlate with conspiracism. That kind of how chemtrails got started.

I'm still very familiar with Morgellons.

https://morgellonswatch.com/

2022-01-09T20:08:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480270159113646081
I watch (and play) Jeopardy (a quiz show) every time it's on. Over the years I've noticed a series of strange and quite personal coincidences. What does it mean?
https://twitter.com/center4inquiry/status/1478407774686126087

2022-01-09T20:03:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480269009442377728
For Rotating Glare fans. Here's a rotating glare, but why does it rotate slightly back and forth in this way as the camera pans smoothly left?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480267969909329921/pu/img/nxM5OKrCpwhGXSSf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1480267969909329921/pu/img/nxM5OKrCpwhGXSSf.jpg
2022-01-09T19:44:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480264284961607684
@dave_beaty I'm still thinking 0.7Â° at 1xNAR makes most sense, based on the brochures and manuals. But I'm not 100% sure. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIr0PVbUcAIsiC3.jpg

---

A1-F18AC-746-100 **005 00**
Page 4

6. **LEFT THROTTLE GRIP.** The left throttle grip has the HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/RAID switch. Refer to table 3.

7. **REAR LEFT THROTTLE GRIP - F/A-18D 163434 THRU 163778, ALSO 163986 AND UP TRAINER CONFIGURATION.** The rear left throttle grip is in the rear cockpit. Refer to LEFT THROTTLE GRIP, this WP.

Table 3. Left Throttle Grip

Control/Indicator	Function
HARM Target Sequence/FLIR FOV/ RAID switch	Pushbutton switch used in air to ground or NAV master modes with the ATFLIR on to select the field of view (FOV) for ATFLIR operation. Alternate pressing selects either .7° by .7° narrow FOV, 3° by 3°medium FOV or 6° by 6° wide FOV.

8. **LEFT/RIGHT HAND CONTROLLER GRIP ASSEMBLY - F/A-18D 163986 AND UP NIGHT ATTACK CONFIGURATION.** The left/right hand controller grip assemblies have the sensor control switches, radar elevation controls, and target designator control switches. The right hand controller grip assembly has the trigger for the laser. Refer to table 4.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIr0PVbUcAIsiC3.jpg
2022-01-09T19:28:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480260293213114370
@JasonColavito This almost feels like damage control, to rev up people who are depressed with the slow pace of government "disclosure."

Does he hint at which corporations? Boeing? Toyota? Geely? ĐĐĐ? Uniper?

2022-01-09T19:08:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480255190854889474

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Not when looking up.

2022-01-09T19:07:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480254919059722243

@Oswald1160 @MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Yeah, pointing above or below the horizon flips the needed pod rotation (and hence the glare rotation) in a simple 2-axis gimbal. We don't have an on-screen angle (or confirmation tis is ATFLIR), but it does appear to be looking up slightly. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIrrR8dVkAELyuB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIrrR8dVkAELyuB.jpg

2022-01-09T18:57:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480252372928524293

@ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha CCW rotation of the pod rotates the image CW, but not the glare.

Derotation of the image is hence CCW, this includes the glare, so that rotates CCW the same as pod rotation.

Demonstration of this (somewhat counterintuitive) effect:
https://youtu.be/VuSKFwhXhoY?t=13

2022-01-09T17:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480231594275135491

@MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @BarlowTerry @Oswald1160 @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Why wouldn't it? My car can still drive (somewhat jerkily) even with a dirty fuel injector. One malfunction does not make everything stop working.

2022-01-09T17:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480231020314394626
@Richard18947991 @salfordsmith61 I don't see any good evidence of an NHI presence or visitation on Earth, so it seems very unlikely. It's impossible to rule out, but then so is magic. All we can say is that there's no good evidence.

2022-01-09T17:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480229700932755456
@sonnguy63358399 @DreamDetective1 Where is this data?

2022-01-09T17:26:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480229423932592130
@Richard18947991 @salfordsmith61 Correct.

2022-01-09T17:21:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480228154824216578
@Richard18947991 @salfordsmith61 No.

2022-01-09T17:15:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480226654601375745
@Richard18947991 @salfordsmith61 No video was taken of the Tic-Tac encounter with Fravor and Dietrich (and their WSOs)

A video of a distant, non-maneuvering, irregular shaped dark object was taken later by Chad Underwood. I discuss that video here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-01-09T16:31:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480215627847532545
@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha @JackAss @ChrisSPITZER7 No understanding something does not mean itâ□□s dead.

Remember when you declared it dead because you misunderstood the alignment of the extra axis?

2022-01-09T16:29:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480215047024496644
@salfordsmith61 No. There was no ATFLIR on Fravorâ□□s plane.

2022-01-09T16:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480214727930179590
@edwardcurrent @osbald A useful grounding observation here is that contrails are clouds, and sometimes clouds are dark.

2022-01-09T16:04:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1480208889429716992
@dpscifi @osbald The angle is the same as the clouds behind it.

2022-08-25T04:32:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562659163011895298

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @thebirdparticle @VICE That is not what I said.

2022-08-25T04:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562658867669917698

@SicCoP1 @strategic_doubt Those are drone reports. Or ambiguous LIZ reports.

2022-08-25T04:29:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562658494708215808

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @McPherson1187 @VICE So you think aliens are more probable?

2022-08-25T04:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562657832498913281

@fin365 The question I ask is â□□what is this?â□□

Which is often a hard question to answer.

2022-08-25T04:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562656354501726208

@BenjaminHorva13 @NZInvestigator Billions of photos include the sky. Some are just sky.

2022-08-25T04:17:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562655403682410496

@TASInTheBay Unambiguously weird video. Preferably two.

2022-08-25T00:53:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562604017208795136

@Rezeus2017 People like to think they are part of a scientific revolution. It would be great.

2022-08-25T00:49:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562602955521478658

RT @CaulfieldTim: Google Finds â□□Inoculatingâ□□ People Against Misinformation Helps Blunt Its Power

https://www.nytimes.com/2022/08/24/technology/google-search-misinformation.html?smid=tw-share @nicoagrant @tiffkâ□¦

2022-08-25T00:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562598811028951040

@PeaceAndPaine Graft isn't always simple.

2022-08-25T00:30:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562598229690028034

Why do we have to bug the government about UFOs?

Why is there no compelling civilian evidence of UFOs? Civilian pilots cover a LOT more airspace (in total) than military pilots.

Maybe behind the veil of secrecy there are just more blurry blobs, still in the LIZ.

2022-08-25T00:23:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562596526995623936
@PeaceAndPaine I don't think something like that can be eliminated as a partial possible explanation for the situation.

Or do you have absolute trust in the integrity of all the elected politicians and the Military-Industrial Complex?

2022-08-25T00:21:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562596010982903808
@frmurphyiii @vulkan809 Yes. Lots of people did. SCU just ignores this.

2022-08-24T23:42:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562586092607381504
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @TheLoneMannix @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo Why do you think they locked on at 0.35Â°? Why not 6 or 3?

2022-08-24T23:39:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562585367286403078
@vulkan809 It's a thermal camera image of a road and some buildings. The hot bits of the cars show up as black.
The one pointed to by an arrow is the UFO, which is not transmedium and looks like a pair of sky lanterns. It is from this video:
https://www.metabunk.org/f/Aquadilla%20High%20Quality%20Original.mp4

2022-08-24T22:44:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562571656085639168
RT @JasonColavito: So why put so much effort into lobbying for a government office to investigate UFO sightings from scratch if you alreadyâ□¦

2022-08-24T22:39:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562570316525670400
@the_cholla @lbf_tweet @ZaineMichael1 @TheLoneMannix @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo They locked onto GoFast manually. Why not Gimbal?

2022-08-24T22:37:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562569821643894784
@timbo_staley @_McRosa_ @nypost On hold.

2022-08-24T21:43:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562556210502479873
@MindfulOfLight So the stigma is over?

2022-08-24T21:38:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562554897853468672
@AlgolAluvaia @OMApproach They didn't really seem that keen on it at the first press conference.

2022-08-24T21:35:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562554248130285569

@_McRosa_ @nypost It really happened, yes. Taxpayer money was spent on investigating spooky stuff at Skinwalker Ranch.

2022-08-24T21:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562553882894422016

@AlgolAluvaia @OMApproach 19 people. $100,000 budget. $5,000 each.

They are not doing a UAP study, they are just looking at existing databases and data gathering techniques to see if anything occurs to them that might help.

And only because Nelson told them to.

2022-08-24T21:25:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562551806994944000

RT @nypost: Former Pentagon officials claim an "infectious disease", transmitted from poltergeists, is spreading across the nation. And theâ¦

2022-08-24T21:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562549781104209921

@OMApproach You don't have to sway many people to get stuff like this passed. And obviously, individuals can be persuaded by nice presentations and other lobbying efforts.
"NASA" hasn't been swayed. Bill Nelson has.

2022-08-24T21:05:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562546774031470592

@Girafin_ovni Then why did SCU go and brief congressional staffers about it?

2022-08-24T21:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562546543390912512

@Grayzz22 @All4LightBearer Even Pewdipie came to that conclusion.
https://youtu.be/3C5XALaTanA?t=232

2022-08-24T20:41:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562540622342303746

RT @Public_Citizen: You literally canceled $1,900,000,000,000 in tax cuts for the rich. You should sit this one out.

2022-08-24T20:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562540317064040448

@TheLoneMannix If I knew who it was, I'd name them. I'm referring to the author of those words.

2022-08-24T20:37:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562539531223441410

@mombojom @ufouapam @HeyLukOverThere @rosscoulthart @TxUFOSightings @LuAngeles It's almost certainly a bird.

2022-08-24T20:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562538778090033152

@TheLoneMannix I mean, pick one to do with this thread. I.e. defending my statement.

2022-08-24T20:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562538139872215040

@thebirdparticle @VICE Is the military-industrial complex a conspiracy theory? Is the revolving door a conspiracy theory?

Conspiracies are very real. People conspire. There's also traditional political graft, cronyism, corruption, etc. You can't simply eliminate these real things as possibilities.

2022-08-24T20:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562535852554653697

@CamCashmn @Humanbeingman69 Nope.

2022-08-24T20:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562535347493318663

@TheLoneMannix Pick one.

2022-08-24T20:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562533593015980032

@TheLoneMannix Pick one.

2022-08-24T20:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562533375008616450

What's the actual evidence for non-human "cross-domain transmedium threats"?

I suspect it's mostly the Aguadilla video, and the SCU analysis of that.

If that's what's behind this, then that's REALLY worrying.

Aguadilla does not show anything transmedium.

What else? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa87Iy6VUAAO3Xc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa87ly6VUAAO3Xc.jpg

2022-08-24T20:01:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562530617668931584

@RayJack62496046 But it's not like you are saying nothing at all about aliens.

You (and the broader UFO community) are AT LEAST seriously considering them as a possibility on par with the mundane explanations.

And in many cases, this consideration is elevated to belief.

2022-08-24T19:56:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562529318739185664

@vice (and everyone really) needs to ask harder questions. Who created the nonsensical language in the bill? What is their motivation? What actual evidence is there to support a new agency (and resultant civilian contractor opportunities)?

How much money is involved?

2022-08-24T19:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562528659927314441

@BobbyGoya Congress itself (i.e. all the members of congress) isn't claiming anything. They are barely aware (and most are unaware) of this "non-human" and "transmedium" language that someone somehow got into the bill.

2022-08-24T19:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562528132325724162

2/2) Secondly, they don't say that they don't think all UFOs are man-made. They say they won't

consider objects IDENTIFIED as man-made to be UFOs. That does not mean the unidentified objects are not man-made. Just that they are unidentified.

https://www.congress.gov/117/crpt/srpt132/CRPT-117srpt132.pdf#page=12

2022-08-24T19:48:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562527336452354049

1/2) Poor and sensationalist reporting from Vice, inventing paraphrased quotes.

Firstly what the report (also BS, but that's another story) says is increasing is not "UFO activity", but "cross-domain transmedium threats" - i.e. craft that can operate in air and (space or water.)

https://twitter.com/IGN/status/1562500248278249473

2022-08-24T19:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562523104227708928

@TheLoneMannix @lbf_tweet @Metabunk You made an accusation. You are changing the subject when I challenged it. Do you wish to retract your accusation of lying?

2022-08-24T19:29:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562522439355011073

@retromastertoys So, aliens then.

What's non-human that isn't alien that makes things fly around? The weather?

2022-08-24T19:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562519749568782340

@TheLoneMannix @lbf_tweet @Metabunk No. I mean I only post from @mickwest and (rarely) @metabunk.

Are you accusing me of lying?

Do you have evidence to support your accusations, or are you just shitposting?

2022-08-24T19:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562519217458450432

@Original_Gio @timetherington @matthewsmithUS No congressional report said '"non-human" is most likely outcome.'

2022-08-24T19:13:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562518458402672644

@MiddleOfMayhem @keithkloor @Peloquin1977 Probably there was a "need" for someone with UAP-related experience, of some sort, and Elizondo fit the bill on paper.

What he's actually doing there is anyone's guess. What is his actual skillset?

2022-08-24T19:10:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562517673539383296

@TheLoneMannix @lbf_tweet I have two Twitter accounts. @mickwest (where I post regularly)

and @metabunk (which I very rarely use.)

I do not post from any other account.

2022-08-24T19:06:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562516806660550657

@Humanbeingman69 If the AI was made by humans, it does not have a non-human origin.

Alien-created AI equals aliens.

2022-08-24T19:04:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562516207688769536

@Humanbeingman69 Clouds are non-human.

2022-08-24T18:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562511935974752256

There's an interesting dissonance in the UFO community. Many aficionados bristle at the mention of "aliens", as they think it makes the subject seem silly.

But then someone claims congress thinks it's aliens, and that very positively received, not silly at all. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa8nlqZUEAIshiq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa8nlqZUEAIshiq.jpg

2022-08-24T18:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562500065217744896

@fredericojca In the area of UFO analysis.

2022-08-24T16:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562471249342652418

@iuytre__ @likeitmatters3 @ArielPhenomenon What is the movie for?

2022-08-24T16:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562470718578651137

@OSIRIS_UAP @implicateflow @the_jandashow @aatiping @UAPorSAP @PhillipJeffre16 @ReconStrange @keithkloor Not blocked, just not on @aatiping's approved list. Maybe a mistake on their part.

https://twitter.com/aatiping

2022-08-24T15:39:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562464519036669954

@iuytre__ @likeitmatters3 "How much [evidence] can we have in this [film]," he muses.

Evidence does not need to be in a film. If he was interested in accuracy and resolution, he'd release all the evidence, all the tapes, all the images, all the adult testimony. He would have released it 10 years ago.

2022-08-24T06:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562319465353596934

RT @coolfacejane: People who fall for conspiracy theories are not unintelligent. Often, they simply start out misinformed. But the beliefsâ¦

2022-08-24T05:58:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562318389648928768

RT @JasonColavito: Sorry, @motherboard @mjgault, but that's not what Congress said. The legislation says that objects identified as manmadeâ¦

2022-08-24T05:19:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562308546012016640

@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen What does that have to do with Marik's accusation? He's not saying he did a better job with vetting you than I did with "Kurt."

He's saying that I lied.

2022-08-24T04:02:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562289198429716481

@Adonis_Mouse @straub_tj Yes.

2022-08-24T03:50:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562286238966509574

@Adonis_Mouse @straub_tj LED balloons seem more likely.

2022-08-24T02:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562261943057932289

@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen You are anonymous, right?

2022-08-24T02:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562260323150598144

@straub_tj https://youtu.be/u3IN2NenrDk?t=36

2022-08-24T02:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562259969856389120

@straub_tj It resembles a release of LED balloons at a party.

2022-08-24T02:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562259429268983809

@JordanPeterj So why are people telling Eric that they are going to show it to him?

2022-08-24T01:55:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562257335476973569

@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen What does this mean? Did Marik publish work that you have done and cite you as an anonymous expert? Or are you Marik?

Should you present your credentials before I listen to your defense of Marik?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4_hzfUcAAuvR2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4_hzfUcAAuvR2.jpg

2022-08-24T01:43:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562254190281641986
@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen Marik claimed that *I* fabricated the interview. You seem to want to discuss how well I fact-checked it.

Different topics.

2022-08-24T01:22:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562248895111249921
@JakHammerFist Surely convincing one of the more well-known UFO skeptics would be very helpful in convincing other people? Right now the media sometimes repeats my analyses, or even have me on to do it.

Why not nip that in the bud?

2022-08-24T01:13:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562246681210408960
Indeed. If these "mind blowing discussions and revelations" exist, then why not reveal them to the skeptics? If you could convince Eric, or even convince me, then wouldn't that do a lot for your cause?

So what's the hold-up?

Is it that the evidence isn't actually very good?
https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1562205311045210113

2022-08-24T00:47:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562240125043888130
@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen Nope, the issue here is that MVR accused me of fabricating an interview when I did not.

Which is one of the reasons I blocked him.

You can read the transcript here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/avionics-system-technician-discusses-flir-targeting-pods-tracking-and-glare.11392/

2022-08-24T00:18:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562232881019121669
@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @MvonRen I did not fabricate the interview. I was contacted by someone who said he was an avionics technician with targeting pod experience, and he seemed to know about the subject. He asked to be anonymous.

2022-08-23T23:56:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562227434979598338

@BSquidding @ZaineMichael1 @MvonRen The day he put his reputation on the line he said "So, yes, I do think you fabricated this interview."
And was busy attempting to prove it by finding things he didn't understand about the audio file info I posted.
He's trying to wiggle out of it now. But he accused me of lying.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4lIotVEAAX_CR.jpg



MarikvR @MvonRen · 4h

Replying to @MickWest and @Bestlif70060427

-If “phone with no video,” why this 2.8GB “multi-track” video file from Skype?

-Dates don’t match.

-An hour-long conversation is ~10,000 words. Your transcript is 6,008 words (you now claim 8,300 w/ “chit-chat”) Doesn’t add up.

-Why a full *month* to transcribe this bombshell?



3 1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4lIotVEAAX_CR.jpg



MarikvR @MvonRen · Jan 10

2/2

So, yes, I do think you fabricated this interview.

Not a single person with direct, hands-on experience has said anything close to what this “expert” says in your “interview.”



3 1



Mick West @MickWest · Jan 10

I didn't fabricate the interview. Unfortunately "Kurt" wished to remain anonymous (hence some redactions in the interview) Unfortunately, in my zeal to preserve his anonymity I didn't keep many records. All I have is his real first name and the original audio files.

1

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4k6qXUEAAYlDy.jpg



MarikvR
@MvonRen

Like @TomRtweets, I will place my reputation on the line here.

It appears @MickWest fabricated an “interview” with an “expert” to prove his “glare” theory about the “Gimbal” video.

As Mick knows, I have multiple corroborating sources.

Sunshine is the best disinfectant.

8:44 PM · Jan 10, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

1 Retweet **2** Quote Tweets **13** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa4k6ETVsAE0pfp.jpg
2022-08-23T21:49:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562195379223162880
@TheEvolutinary @BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Read the report, then decide.

2022-08-23T21:48:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562195155154743296
@ZaineMichael1 @BSquidding @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @the_cholla I said he was misunderstanding or lying. Misunderstanding seems more likely.

I keep pointing at the essentially straight flight paths you get with constant speed at 30-35NM, and you all keep ignoring them.

2022-08-23T21:12:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562186011555217408
@MvonRen @caitgaist @BSquidding The straight line of the path (yellow) implies a simple straight path.

But I've wasted enough time already. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3_q_sUYAA9hmj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3_q_sUYAA9hmj.jpg

2022-08-23T21:03:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562183871004758018

@MvonRen @caitgaist @BSquidding And yet it is something that happened.

Are you still staking your reputation on your assessment of me being a liar?

2022-08-23T21:02:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562183479680323585

@ZaineMichael1 @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @MvonRen Hey, don't add me to a list when I don't agree with it.

Your reluctance to see the 30+NH trajectory is weird. I mean, it's right there. Just adjust the Tgt Start Dist NM to 30-35NM

https://www.metabunk.org/sitrec/

(mouse navigation is a bit borked, WIP) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa39Y3dVQAIOPQs.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa39Y3dVQAIOPQs.jpg

2022-08-23T20:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562182273939558401

@caitgaist @MvonRen @BSquidding But I've got things to do, and MVR is such a trooper for the IC that discussions end up being never ending. So I'll be blocking him again. Sorry Marik.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa37IzZUcAIDXzI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa37IzZUcAIDXzI.jpg

2022-08-23T20:49:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562180328084475904

@caitgaist @MvonRen @BSquidding I'm briefly unblocking MVR so he can see this video of someone manhandling the non-opaque front window of an ATFLIR system.

The absence of such things was something he claimed was evidence of my fabricating an interview. He staked his reputation on it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1562178720424595456/pu/img/ZVFqqxDcH5xxs-Q0.jpg

---


This is what they use for alien flir videos

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1562178720424595456/pu/img/ZVFqqxDcH5xxs-Q0.jpg
2022-08-23T20:22:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562173537317580800
@OMApproach @BSquidding @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @thehill
With Gimbal, the glare size (which varies quite a bit) is thought to be determined in part by the tail angle. The actual distance to it (in the 35NM scenario) does not change much, and is not a real factor. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa30bkDVEAA69qI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa30bkDVEAA69qI.jpg
2022-08-23T20:18:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562172566621409280
@OMApproach @BSquidding @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @thehill
Glare size is a function of a number of things. Heat source size and spacing, temperature,

emissivity, distance, and exposure settings.
Chile's case was partly determined by the apparent spacing between engines, which changes with distance. But the individual glares shrink too
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3zlTzVQAIfHSa.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3zlTzVQAIfHSa.jpg
2022-08-23T20:13:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562171276118003712
@kimball_23 Sure, DMs are open.

2022-08-23T20:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562168351178104834
@OMApproach @BSquidding @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @thehill Quote what I said, in context.

2022-08-23T19:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562157254505222144
@AdamGoldsack @WilliamFergie @MvonRen I think I also made a fairly compelling case that the Nimitz VIDEO doesn't show something making amazing movements. It does not "explain" it (and certainly does not explain earlier events), but it opens the door to more mundane hypotheses.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U1di0XIa9RQ

2022-08-23T18:50:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562150224235089920
@BSquidding @LuAngeles @R1CHDDB @the_cholla @ZaineMichael1 @thehill Funny, Marik shows a trajectory that is level (there's an altitude graph) and if you actually view it from above rather than end on, is essentially straight.

I blocked Marik for accusing me of lying. I was not lying. I am not lying. I don't lie.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3fPEOUIAAsR1J.jpg



MarikvR
@MvonRen

Like @TomRtweets, I will place my reputation on the line here.

It appears @MickWest fabricated an “interview” with an “expert” to prove his “glare” theory about the “Gimbal” video.

As Mick knows, I have multiple corroborating sources.

Sunshine is the best disinfectant.

8:44 PM · Jan 10, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

1 Retweet **2** Quote Tweets **13** Likes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3eTg2UIAAVcpH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3fPEOUIAAsR1J.jpg

2022-08-23T17:58:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562137160710467584

@LuAngeles @MvonRen You can't tell from the video. But you can try different distances and see what type of path they give you.

Around 30 miles, you get a simple straight line, like a jet flying away.

Around 10 miles, you get a weird curve because you are close to the camera.

Some prefer weird.

2022-08-23T17:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1562131535704182784

@Ketmend Isn't it? :grinning_face::abacus: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3OFRsVUAEzvEQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa3OFRsVUAEzvEQ.jpg
2022-08-23T17:30:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562130159506837504
@LuAngeles @MvonRen @uncertainvector The data does not show that.

Any constructed path traversing lines of sight that is close to you is going to "react" to your motion. That's simply a function of being close to you.

The data really seems to indicate a straight line path at some distance.

(I blocked MVR)

2022-08-23T12:36:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1562056191571701760
@CommonEnts @BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Are there technical reports on these "eerily precise extractions" ?

2022-08-23T05:53:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561954817689300993
@ykssaspassky @RingoPeart Probably far away.

2022-08-23T05:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561952811750830080

@UAP_daily @LtTimMcMillan Probably also a few that confuse "galaxy" with "universe."

2022-08-23T05:44:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561952580363636736

@UAP_daily @LtTimMcMillan Yeah, that's probably a significant chunk.

They should have said, "in the closest 100 billion stars."

2022-08-23T05:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561949951403253760

@BlueshiftProjex @r618 Close enough :)

2022-08-23T05:32:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561949420882575360

@LtTimMcMillan I guess there's no intelligent life here tonight :)

2022-08-23T05:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561949249775943682

@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Thanks.

According the the veterinarian, everything was chewed, apart from the ear. This seems a bit of a different story to the forensic pathologist. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0nd23VQAE36tX.jpg

---

"The left ear had been removed by using a sharp instrument, but most of soft tissues (muscles, internal organs) seemed to have been removed by tearing and chewing. The remaining tissues looked irregular, torn, with tendons, vessels and nerves hanging unevenly."

"The ribcage was completely open, with uneven ribs having irregular ends. Several ribs were removed entirely, from their vertebral joint. None of them showed clear-cut ends."

"All four legs were covered by skin up to the scapulo-humeral and femuro-tibio-patelar joint, respectively. No subcutaneous or bone lesions in the lower part of the legs, speaking for traumatic alterations pertaining to immobilization or ante-mortem struggle, were seen."

"The neck soft tissues seemed to have been chewed away, leaving only the vertebrae and remnants of nerves, vessels and some ligaments. The trachea, jugular veins, arteries, glands (thymus, thyroid, parotid) had been removed, but no signs of sharp instrument use were present."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0nd23VQAE36tX.jpg

From the Veterinarian's Necropsy Report

The animal was lying on his back, with the legs stretched laterally. Both forelimbs had been detached from their natural connections to the body. They were still bound to each other by a piece of hide of about three inches wide. The scapula and muscles of the scapular belt had been eaten. The right humerus had been detached by dislocating it from the humero-radio-ulnar and humero-scapular joints and was found about 10 feet away. The joint cartilage at the extremities partially chewed and had some teeth-like prints. The lower part of the rib cage, including the sternum, and all internal organs (from the abdominal and thoracic cavities) were missing. The remaining ribs looked unevenly chewed, with irregular ends. The muscles surrounding the ribs, the vertebrae and the upper part of the rear legs had been chewed up, leaving thin tendon fibers and nerves hanging. The right femur was still attached through the coxo-femoral ligament, but the left one had been dislocated. The head was intact but most of the neck muscles had been chewed. The eyes were both intact. While removing the skin from the head no lesions were seen in the facial connective tissue and vicinity. The tongue was also intact. Interestingly, the left ear was missing and in contrast to the appearance of the remaining tissues it was clear that it had been cut with a sharp instrument. It was a regular cut affecting the skin, muscles and ear cartilage.

The plastic ear-tag was never found. No signs of tooth marks on the skull and facial bones. The muscles from the neck and the dorsal areas along the spinal cord had been chewed up to the vertebral bones.

Ear cartilage, muscles and hide samples, from different parts of the body, were collected for histology.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0nI1uVsAAWJSK.jpg

2022-08-23T05:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561945969859518466

Only 12% of American adults don't think there's other intelligent life in our Galaxy.

I wonder what reasons they give. Religion? Gut feeling? Modified Drake equations?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0k_9QUUAE8GwE.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0k_9QUUAE8GwE.jpg
2022-08-23T05:12:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561944364430962688
@socalkoi1 @BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem The small remains could easily have been dragged some distance.

2022-08-23T05:10:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561943950964903937
@davofromthetron @tinyklaus You'll have to be more specific. I think there's probably intelligent life somewhere in the Universe.

2022-08-23T05:01:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561941641140715520
@davofromthetron @tinyklaus I've not seen any good evidence of it.

2022-08-23T05:00:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561941398902870017
@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Are the reports available?

Superficially, it looks like a calf was attacked by a pack of feral dogs (as attacked your alpaca), then people examined the remains by cutting them with a knife. How can we tell it was otherwise?

2022-08-23T04:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561939306297507840
@dickwhipper @tinyklaus Who actually wrote this bill? Mellon?

2022-08-23T04:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561938986418855936
@BrandonFugal @MiddleOfMayhem Seems a bit odd that they cut off samples with a big knife (see at 4:18) and then sent them to labs, who then told them that a knife had been used.

Are there more technical details of this sampling and testing available?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0eyvVVQAELKDp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fa0eyvVVQAELKDp.jpg

2022-08-23T04:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561936167162982400

@BobbyGoya @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo Maybe, as the official UAP report said, they are accounted for by sensor errors, observer misperceptions, or spoofing.

2022-01-09T15:51:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480205697958567936

@salfordsmith61 Fravor did not take any video.

2022-01-09T15:51:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480205495042330627

@nick_great69 Looks like a simple CGI

2022-01-09T15:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480204841750130696

@DreamDetective1 @DeepSpace41 Iâ□□m saying sometimes even experts make mistakes. Therefore itâ□□s not something you should rule out.

2022-01-09T07:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480084201328152578

@AKAChaosSonic @j_bertolotti @VortexDrawing Why is any cloud black? Lighting and density.

2022-01-09T06:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480060598356373505

@Canzaus_Kid @TheSun https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1480060004245721088

2022-01-09T06:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480060485659623424

@sonnguy63358399 @DreamDetective1 Well first I'd have to know exactly what ability is being claimed, then i could describe an acceptable experimental protocol.

What can you do that you think demonstrates your ability?

2022-01-09T06:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1480060004245721088

This is a contrail. It's from a plane flying away, about 1000 feet or so lower, at right angles to the direction of the plane with the camera. I've seen the exact same thing myself. It's not that complicated.

It's also old. A recycled not-a-UFO. https://twitter.com/TheSun/status/1480026544974311430

2022-01-09T01:27:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479988158926262274

@MvonRen None of that is talking about the Gimbal incident.

2022-01-09T01:00:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479981415852699648

@MvonRen So #9 means that you've got anecdotes about some other things doing different odd things for extreme durations, and that means this thing is probably doing an odd thing for a short duration and can't be a rotating glare? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FInzQBXVkAAuntU.jpg

---



9. The “rotating glare” hypothesis ignores critical context. (See, e.g., Ryan Graves’ 6 August 2021 AIAA presentation, which describes UAP loitering in highly controlled airspace for extreme durations while exhibiting anomalous movement and performance characteristics.)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FInzQBXVkAAuntU.jpg

2022-01-08T22:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479942418942160897

@SeriouslySiriu3 @DreamDetective1 It's a bit more complicated than that - I think a lot of the details of the event were created after it happened, partly in group discussions, and partly by Cynthia Hind and her "gentle and persistent prodding" technique of "recovering" memories.

2022-01-08T22:22:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479941715272163328

@MatterlessChode I've not seen any good evidence they have visited recently. But then the Earth is billions of years old, so it's not impossible they might have passed through at some point.

2022-01-08T22:21:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479941251570929665

@DuncanMcKay1888 It's the contrail of a plane a bit lower than the one the camera is in, flying away at 90Â° off the starboard (pilot's right) side.

2022-01-08T22:19:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479940899941785601

@johnbruso @MiddleOfMayhem @JazzShaw

https://www.amazon.com/gp/video/detail/B09C4F318L/ref=atv_dp_season_select_s18

2022-01-08T22:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479940549884792832

@DreamDetective1 Surely proving it to scientists in general would be a wonderful thing?

2022-01-08T21:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479926014792765442

@DreamDetective1 Go on then.

2022-01-08T21:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479925807812268032

@MatterlessChode Probably real somewhere.

2022-01-08T18:51:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479888409535528964

@MvonRen How is #9 relevant to Gimbal?

2022-01-08T18:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479887937751846915

@realufobros @alecrolllin I never left town at night, but obviously high altitude would be helpful (and cold AF). You'd probably find something good up off 80.

2022-01-08T18:43:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479886417031356418

@MvonRen Maybe you can, I've got things to do.

2022-01-08T18:42:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479886198046806020

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha That sounds like a typical straw man argument. I don't ignore context. I'd be happy to talk to the pilots, or see other evidence. I address

counter-arguments all the time.

I focus on claims made about the videos because those claims can stand alone (e.g. Gimbal shows rotation)

2022-01-08T18:38:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479885221243744257

@MvonRen And are you saying it's a good approach, or a bad approach?

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1479884358001385472

2022-01-08T18:37:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479884958244114432

@JumperSteven06 Oh, I plan to. I'm just having a rebound binge after being AFK for a week. :)

2022-01-08T18:35:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479884358001385472

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So, what, this is a lesson in standards of evidence? Like you'd want multiple independent photos and videos of an event before you took the word of someone that it happened? You'd also not accept secret testimony, like my friends Facebook posts?

How does that apply to Gimbal?

2022-01-08T18:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479879910982258690

@Scionkirk @FrobertKinsey @Josef_baum I'm not saying there's no aliens here. I'm saying there does not seems to be good evidence of aliens here.

2022-01-08T17:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479875339543138304

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Many people have talked to me, experts in various things, some that disagree with me (Day, Voorhis, PJ, Elizondo, Dietrich, etc). So why not the gimbal skeptics?

2022-01-08T17:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479874396684619778

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So, you are serious about the sock-puppet accusation? What about my vacation photos?

2022-01-08T17:54:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479874039707418624

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha And youâ□□ve ignored the answer many times.

2022-01-08T17:17:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479864847198081026

@FrobertKinsey @vitorfidm I donâ□□t know what â□□the phenomenonâ□□ is. The evidence presented seems to indicate a variety of things.

2022-01-08T17:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479864266853212162

@SabersKnight @realufobros @alecrolllin My legs sure need a little restoration.

2022-01-08T13:25:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479806550403268609

@Josef_baum What does "denying the whole" actually mean?

2022-01-08T13:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479806191144341505

@KilliK69 @thieme That says nothing about FLIR1 - or any specific case.

2022-01-08T13:22:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479805687102201858

@StephenLugo4 Mammoth, CA. Coverage was fine (>10 foot base, see tree in pic below, last storm about a week ago). That's just a busy spot later in the day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FllSf5DVgAIDwMG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FllSf5DVgAIDwMG.jpg

2022-01-08T13:10:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479802713290403844

@Flyingh43892139 @nosleinad7 @IamDrVenkman @Ivlillion @LiberationTimes I think you meant to subtract there.

But nobody claims that all UFO photos have been explained.

2022-01-08T08:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479724578268016641

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha That's both rhetorical and irrelevant, because I'm not claiming anything based on such experience.

Don't you want to discuss the science here? What's the point of your huge list if it just boils down to "some pilots said so, and they don't like Mick"?

2022-01-08T07:51:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479722335485587464

@realufobros @alecrolllin I actually got a green screen for christmas. I've only used it once though. For the probe award.

2022-01-08T07:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479722041297174534

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Sure. That's useful data. Can we get more info though? Like what if the ATFLIR is a bit out of focus? And what DOES glare look like when it's significant enough to obscure a plane? I mean, we know that happens. Why is it impossible that it's an unusual set of circumstances?

2022-01-08T07:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479720822780489731

@realufobros Hah, I'm exclusive a skier. But I've heard that for an adult beginner, snowboarding is often easier, and the footwear is certainly a lot more comfortable. (Mind you, I've had the same boots for 10+ years)

2022-01-08T07:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479720392403030018

@realufobros @alecrolllin Mammoth, couple of days ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIkFpSCUcAAyjJn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIkFpSCUcAAyjJn.jpg
2022-01-08T07:41:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479719952248541185
@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha It comes across as personal. So it doesn't help foster a productive discussion.

I don't take it as personal. I just think you are wrong, or maybe using rhetoric. But it's rather disappointing

---

2022-01-08T07:38:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479719235974676482
@alecrolllin I would obviously apply to anyone. But there's a difference between being suspicious of "anti-gravity craft are flying around at 1000g" and being suspicious of "I went skiing last week.

2022-01-08T07:36:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479718568845471750
@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'd be happy to talk to any expert about it, even if it means I'm shown to be totally wrong. My concern is always with truth and accuracy.

2022-01-08T07:30:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479717128219422721
@DreamDetective1 Precognition? Unfortunately it's largely anecdotal - so very difficult to analyze.

2022-01-08T07:28:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479716631131549697

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Maybe you could flesh out #8 a little?

2022-01-08T07:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479715783953420290

@MvonRen Mostly because they haven't actually talked to me.

2022-01-08T07:21:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479714767065341955

@DreamDetective1 No, I don't think that at all. I think UAP represent a variety of different things, and possibly some novel and unusual things, maybe even new to science. Eyewitnesses generally are honest and do their best.

2022-01-08T07:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479714293717237762

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Well why doesn't any domain expert want to discuss it with me in public and explain why it's absurd?

2022-01-08T07:16:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479713553640615936

@DATheHighGuy It started around 2014, a spinoff from chemtrails. It still crops up every year. Here's the original discussion thread - back before I really did explainer videos.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-fake-snow-burning-snow.3026/

2022-01-08T07:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479712593954545665

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Er, so you think I faked a vacation to avoid addressing Ryan?

I'd be happy to talk to him.

2022-01-08T07:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479712266115170305

@realufobros It's a significant obstacle. You should try snowboarding next time.

2022-01-08T07:09:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479711896987070469

@vitorfidm My goal is to find the right answers. Often this gets misinterpreted as me advocating for a particular answer. But my only concern is with truth and accuracy.

2022-01-08T07:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479711493734109184

@MvonRen @BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Wait, you think I faked a vacation to avoid responding to that list?

2022-01-08T07:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479709600920178689

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'm very sad you've arrived at that position. I'd be happy to talk to them any time, on or off-the record.

I'm still bemused how you think that supports your suspicions regarding my vacation photos.

2022-01-08T06:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479709117237186561

@DATheHighGuy Funnily I did debunk fake snow a few years ago.

2022-01-08T06:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479708205835964417

@BarlowTerry @area51org Let's ask him: @MvonRen, did you actually think 1) I had faked a vacation, and/or 2) I use sock puppets on Twitter?

2022-01-08T06:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479705647599996928

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'd be happy to talk to any of them.

But how does Graves' dislike of me support your suspicions regarding my vacation photos?

2022-01-08T06:40:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479704595668606980

@Oswald1160 @area51org Yes, and most witnesses are not lying. "Lie" is really a very rare occurrence in UFO report.

2022-01-08T06:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479703941663182848

@area51org I'm not entirely shocked - however I'm a bit surprised that Marik lept for such foolishness. He's been accusing me of using sock puppet, and now of faking my own vacation photos. It's highly biased thinking.

2022-01-08T06:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479701996890382336

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You are excessively suspicious. It might be biasing your reasoning.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1479698615002025984

2022-01-08T06:26:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479701099065974787

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Sorry, but could you quote where I "claimed to know with 100% confidence why the algorithm did what it did. "

2022-01-08T06:18:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479699123473469440

@area51org So I'm going to die from ... what exactly?

2022-01-08T06:18:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479698916568227840

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You know that's incorrect. Please don't go down a disingenuous path because you think it scores you some points.

2022-01-08T06:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479698615002025984

Some people can't understand my point of view about UFOs, and so assume I must be lying about everything. So I can't even post some nice vacation photos without people thinking they might be fake.

Being excessively suspicious can drag you down the wrong path.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjxLauVgAAIRkG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjxLauVgAAIRkG.jpg
2022-01-08T06:11:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479697335676514311
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You do it. You are not stupid.

2022-01-08T06:10:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479697083032485891
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha You could discuss it yourself. Try not being an advocate for a while.

2022-01-08T06:09:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479696866929426434
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha It's Mammoth. I take 50 to 89/88. It's clear a day or two after the largest dumps. We did hit some minor slowdown on the way back over Luther Pass today though.

I'm not sure what your red arrows are indicating (other than Facelift)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjv5bNUUAM8XXQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjv5bNUUAM8XXQ.jpg
2022-01-08T06:05:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479695664942657536
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha An interesting asymmetric glare. Kind of remind me of early-video Gimbal.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjvKR0VUAA25nB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjvKR0VUAA25nB.jpg
2022-01-08T06:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479695099810435072

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha If we are picking Tahoe resorts, I'm going with Palisades (formerly Squaw) but partly because it's on the same pass as Mammoth.

Somewhat on-topic. Mountain tops are good vantage points for UFO sighting, being a lot higher up. I saw a variety while on the lift. Orbs too. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIju1k1UUAEOpLi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIju1k1UUAEOpLi.jpg

2022-01-08T05:56:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479693360890023938

@MiddleOfMayhem @MvonRen @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha 1) I can't drive my car to Aspen in two hours, therefore Tahoe is better.

2) My car is a Chevy Tahoe. Why would they label it "Tahoe" if the solution was Aspen?

3) I actually went to Mammoth.

2022-01-08T05:06:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479680944525037568

@KilliK69 @thieme Where did the UAPTF say that they "analyzed the available multisensor data, and didnt find a mundane explanation"

2022-01-08T05:04:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479680399823495170

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Hey, sometimes I have better uses for my free time :)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjhR6XVgAEl1gu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjhR6XVgAEl1gu.jpg
2022-01-08T05:02:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479679769222418434
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I'll take that as a no.

And obviously it's was rhetorical, and intended only to illustrate the straw-man nature of your

argument. I think you know this. Maybe we should talk about it sometime.

2022-01-08T04:59:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479679071047110657

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha I've just got back from vacation. There's no rush. Might be next month, I'm using it as an excuse to experiment with some new visualization stuff.

But I think you actually understand the counter-argument, no? That we don't actually know the hardware or the algorithm?

2022-01-08T04:51:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479677093059317762

@BBC__Watch @DeborahClaireUK @RealGeoEngWatch @OpChemtrails That's the Terra image, the water surface color difference seem to be from the reflection (of the sun) based on time of day. Check the other three images of the same region that day. Also see similar coloration in other regions with Terra http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjecuUVQAM_2lI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjecuUVQAM_2lI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIjeUKbVgAQBOHB.jpg

2022-01-08T04:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479674920149782534

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Quite.

2022-01-08T04:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479674679639961601

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha So you are "debunking" a variety of old and disparate theories on that grounds that they can't explain everything, which nobody has claimed they do.

Can you demonstrate that zero of all 2014-2015 UAP incidents were airbourne clutter?

2022-01-08T00:01:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479604103043026947

@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @TomRtweets @PhilipMarloweLA @jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty @ThanosAlpha Seems more like you are narrowly and inaccurately reframing a theory in a way that makes it seem wrong.

2022-01-07T16:46:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479494660691091459

@BBC__Watch @DeborahClaireUK @RealGeoEngWatch @OpChemtrails Aqua and Terra have always been like that. Polar orbit makes a slice through th day lit portion.

2022-01-07T16:44:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479494070393184257

RT @ProtoValentine: "Hut on the Moon" @MickWest

https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s/VgtehRidYL8-dk9YtENQfg
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIgSRmQXwAQLTJz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIgSRmQXwAQLTJz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIgSRmLXIAQ9ym3.jpg
2022-01-07T07:36:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479356290501525505
@SeriouslySiriu3 @B_to_the_rice Most children do.

2022-01-06T17:48:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479147952899690498
@The_Mars_Rover @Oswald1160 @timetherington @thehill @tylercowen @TheHillOpinion
https://www.vice.com/en/article/bjw3q5/this-silicon-valley-startup-is-dedicated-to-detecting-ufos-off-the-california-cost

---

2022-01-06T17:46:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1479147254304808960
@The_Mars_Rover @Oswald1160 @timetherington @thehill @tylercowen @TheHillOpinion Lots of UFO enthusiasts have suggested some kind of NHI/Whales connection.

2022-01-06T17:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479137163530805252

@SocialistFT Sure, there was some physical reality.

2022-01-06T16:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479131295586209802

@BostonsBuddha @sourcemind333 @realufobros Iâ□□d share it in an instant. Real UFO evidence would be great, and if my career was tweeting and YouTube then it would be a huge boost.

2022-01-06T16:40:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479130745410973699

@realufobros A bird. There are some quite high soaring birds here (Mammoth Mtn). The top is 11,000 feet and they ride the wave.

2022-01-06T16:37:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479130129108373507

@SocialistFT Westall and other school sightings are likely just overactive imaginations and the quirks of memory.

2022-01-06T16:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479129465259118598

@The_Mars_Rover @timetherington @thehill @tylercowen @TheHillOpinion Many people have suggested the water disturbance might have been some kind of sea life.

2022-01-06T16:34:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1479129162296094721

@joe_forbrich Itâ□□s not making fun. Itâ□□s a shared joke. But sadly itâ□□s impossible to tweet anything with universal understanding.

2022-01-06T06:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478981540054900736

@BigNativeMitch How would that work? With examples.

2022-01-06T02:15:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478913022781378560

@Openmindedskep8 Thatâ□□s what they want you to think :)

2022-01-06T01:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478903309448536064

@thieme @_Desmoden About 20 years ago.

2022-01-06T01:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478902477063802882

Saucer shape in the sky http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIYd9wyVcAMauHD.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FlYd9wyVcAMauHD.jpg

2022-01-04T16:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478406987842940928

@HarryAu05753100 I was reading the previous tweet.

2022-01-04T16:40:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478405938583261185

@FringeCenter What do you mean by â□□theseâ□□? All UAP? 10%? 0.001%?

Obviously the military is not ignoring foreign drones incursion.

2022-01-04T16:37:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478405202692624385

@_Desmoden The first five.

2022-01-04T16:35:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478404808088326147

@GerryCohen7 @BigFootCyberArk Helicopter.

2022-01-04T16:34:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478404481536512001

@ufotruthdigger Itâ□□s out of focus, probably a point of light

---

2022-01-03T15:47:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478030307462836224

UAP (UFOs) represent multiple different things at different times and locations. Calling out one hypothesis as not explaining them all is a straw man fallacy.

https://twitter.com/PeaceAndPaine/status/1477918194232971266

2022-01-03T15:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1478027680536686592

@Picabia12 @NPASSouthWest Thatâ□□s an old case. Others have looked at it.

2022-01-02T22:18:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477766376970338304

@erinbiba But realistically, significant fogging is probably from air leaking. Breath passing through the mask is going to be better mixed with the ambient air and less likely to condense on a surface.

2022-01-02T22:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477765149066858503

@erinbiba Fogging is caused by the water content of the air. That's in the form of water vapor, i.e. a gas, not liquid droplets. Water vapor/gas will pass through a mask just like the rest of the air. Warm air just holds more water vapor.

So it's possible to fog something through a mask.

2022-01-02T00:17:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477433845880291332

@welling_phil @thieme @Picabia12 @SciInsider Why not? Can be more precise?

2022-01-02T00:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477432876199149568

@welling_phil @thieme @Picabia12 @SciInsider There's lots of examples of helicopters flying in formation. Here's some in Santiago. Groups of four. Not at night, but not hard to imagine.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIDlDKcUUAI_3NG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FIDlDKcUUAI_3NG.jpg

2022-01-01T23:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477417633464999936

@welling_phil @thieme @Picabia12 @SciInsider Helicopters.

2022-01-01T17:07:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477325675577024512

@kimball_23 I was talking about your comment "People who thought the world was flat or thought that germs were not real all described themselves as skeptics"

2022-01-01T17:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477325006744866816

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @aka__V @Aceofspades256 "Because of parallax" - that sounds interesting, can you elaborate?

2021-12-31T19:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1477005962703622146

@RamonDe17166977 Some lights in the sky that don't do anything amazing. Not a lot to go by.

2021-12-31T05:58:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476794924586586112

@LegendJohnston @soledadobrien Oz said "Kids who canâ□□t see the mouths of their teachers move donâ□□t learn to speak"

Two-year-olds don't have teachers who wear masks. They learn to speak (a little) from their

family.

He's conflating two different things.

2021-12-31T05:22:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476785845642227718

RT @MikaelThalen: NEW: Despite viral claims from conspiracy theorists such as Jack Posobiec, all details of "Epstein's Network" were not orâ¦

2021-12-31T05:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476785420817948673

@alicia_carrie @realufobros @55404elf @likeitmatters3 That's actually "CAT" not "TOP" .....

2021-12-31T05:19:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476784950850453507

RT @soledadobrien: Just fyi, Dr. Oz is full of bs on this one. Babies born in the pandemic arenâ€™t in school. Theyâ€™re two. https://t.co/XE9vâ¦

2021-12-31T04:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476767891747835904

@kimball_23 They probably described themselves as scientists too. But they were doing it wrong.

2021-12-30T23:41:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476699915153674250

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @aka__V @Aceofspades256 Who are you?

2021-12-30T23:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476695580038500358

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @aka__V @Aceofspades256 Speak for yourself. Preferably with details.

2021-12-30T23:17:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476693894498717702

@Bestlif70060427 @sourceisall @AeyryAreims @SciInsider Next year sometime. There's no rush.

2021-12-30T23:16:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476693737921134592

@Bestlif70060427 @edwardcurrent @aka__V @Aceofspades256 I was hardly "calling it." Just pointing out one aspect that needed investigation.

2021-12-30T23:14:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476693209044578306

@cadete58010405 I appreciate your need for rigor. :)

2021-12-30T22:56:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476688734137380887

@cadete58010405 Yeah, but it IS a Fluke.

2021-12-30T22:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476688391513075734

@sourceisall @AeyryAreims @SciInsider That's a smorgasbord of generalities, assumptions, inaccuracies, non-sequiturs, misunderstandings, anecdotes, inventions, and irrelevances. Which bit of it do you think actually stands up to scrutiny?

2021-12-30T21:51:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476672202736955404

@sourceisall @OwatcherUf @AeyryAreims @SciInsider Respond to what? A Corbell tweet? Can you be precise as to what was stated that you think I need to respond to?

2021-12-30T20:42:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476655049396797453

@MvonRen @SciInsider I disagree.

2021-12-30T20:38:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476653905593319433

@Pewpew841 @thtonetime A volt probe. :)

2021-12-30T20:37:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476653765751029781

@sourceisall @AeyryAreims @SciInsider Which assessment?

2021-12-30T20:30:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476652026872283136

@InvNightSchool Not my editing.

2021-12-30T20:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476649994555846682

A fun award. Luckily I happened to have a suitable probe on my desk. It was a Fluke.

https://t.co/B97o7Ao3R8

2021-12-30T20:19:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476649195616432132

@sourceisall @SciInsider I donâ□□t think I was proven wrong on Gimbal

---

2021-12-30T05:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476425638521180161

@55404elf @likeitmatters3 It's ultra sensitive. From 90-1000 VAC!

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FH1RKtzVgAEaiW3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FH1RKtzVgAEaiW3.jpg

2021-12-30T04:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476410322667786244

@likeitmatters3 @55404elf Does that look like a condom to you? :thinking_face:

2021-12-29T21:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476300461422481409

@Ps5andxbox1 @edwardcurrent @joerogan You're going to have to ask a physicist about that. But if we are talking about things you can't really scale up into a flying craft, then I suspect photon emission is a prime candidate.

2021-12-29T20:50:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476294458467446785

@Ps5andxbox1 @edwardcurrent @joerogan Conceptually, yes. Physically, it does not seem so. The speed of light is not infinite.

2021-12-29T20:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476293745146413056

@spacleus That says 53%, and does not mention omicron.

2021-12-29T19:33:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476275114421280773

@spacleus Where do those numbers come from?

2021-12-29T19:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476274850410885122

@MiddleOfMayhem @joerogan New to us.

2021-12-29T19:25:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476273155224178691

@HCashny @TheEliKlein When I'm sat 18 inches from a stranger for three hours I'm going to wear the best mask available.

2021-12-29T19:20:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476272007322877955

@MiddleOfMayhem @joerogan Physics equations that have not yet been either written down or shown to work.

2021-12-29T19:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476271480044331008

@TheEliKlein I wear a surgical mask at the supermarket, and an N95 on a plane. I've also worn well-fitting multi-layer cloth masks.

2021-12-29T19:16:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476270813133242369

@TheEliKlein What type of mask do you personally wear in public crowded spaces?

2021-12-29T19:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476268101058523140

When CNN Medical Analyst Dr. Leana Wen said that single-layer cloth masks are not effective, the anti-public-health crowd took it as an admission that masks don't work

But it's something we've known for many months. You should be wearing 3-ply surgical masks, or (preferably) N95 https://twitter.com/TheEliKlein/status/1475829439477030914

2021-12-29T18:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476266691696869376

@LFC_AcidHouse @joerogan I have been doing more YouTube stuff, unfortunately I got a bit obsessed with UFOs. Hopefully I can do some more Rabbit Hole episodes in the new year.

2021-12-29T18:13:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476255162041651204

@_NTME9_ @joerogan It's basically science fiction, positing some new principle (gravity wave amplifiers) and handwaving over the details.

2021-12-29T18:01:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476252050929303552

@LymburnerTodd @joerogan A material/engineering problem like that is a physics problem. It

would be like transparent aluminum.

And what would be the point of making a large craft that could carry no payload?

2021-12-29T17:55:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476250513025159168

@wokepolice187 @joerogan Yes, racing and LOS drones are incredibly maneuverable, as are RC helicopters.

Small though.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eLAYttPEjIE

2021-12-29T17:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476249527250132993

@_NTME9_ @joerogan Cancelling gravity would probably count as new physics.

2021-12-29T17:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476248960310276101

@GeddySquire @joerogan With this particular technology (lithium battery+electric motor+quad props) you would reach a variety of limits very quickly.

2021-12-29T17:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476247376377483264

@edwardcurrent @Ps5andxbox1 @joerogan To regular folk â□□instantaneous accelerationâ□□ just means it goes to high speed in a small amount of time (an "instant")

2021-12-29T17:36:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476245736744312840

@Ps5andxbox1 @joerogan That is not strange at all. What strange is how this phenomenon has never been unambiguously captured on camera.

2021-12-29T17:35:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1476245562261315585

@joerogan For context, a carrier launch is about 4G, but that requires both the raw power and the large mass of the carrier to work. Doing it mid air with a heavy craft would only work with rockets, or new physics. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FHytG0QVUAMdhOE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FHytG0QVUAMdhOE.jpg
2021-12-29T17:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1476244006635859970
Small drones (like the one in this video shared by @joerogan, going nearly 6g) can accelerate incredibly fast, like hummingbirds (nearly 10g). It's a significantly harder problem for a large object to do this. You can't simply scale it up.

https://www.instagram.com/p/CYEQsMvJhw_/

2021-12-28T23:19:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1475969788648198144
RT @SciInsider: Weâ□□ve all seen the videos of supposed UFO sightings from around the world, including some released by the Pentagon. But howâ□¦

2021-12-28T21:30:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1475942369597952002
@HuckleberryHorn @Deepfryguy76 @Spacecowboy781 Sorry I didn't notice that. Yeah, I think CGI would have to go at the top of the list (or maybe some physical fake, like some mercury drops on glass). The low quality anonymous shakey video seems typical of a fake.

2021-12-28T19:56:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1475918610396692481
@Deepfryguy76 @Spacecowboy781 balloon

2021-12-28T17:24:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1475880293277913088
@Ps5andxbox1 Debunking is removing bunk. If there's no bunk to remove then there's nothing to do. It's often helpful to check though - I'm sure there are a variety of conflicting theories about the assassination, some of which must logically be bunk.

2021-12-28T09:28:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1475760564517310467
@BrandonFugal Like an iPhone? :)
https://www.tetongravity.com/story/gear-tech/digitalisation-of-caves-proceeds-using-lidar-on-ne

w-iphones

2021-12-27T23:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475613810723463171

@edwiebe I'm not saying more could not be done, but, for example, Toronto has an ongoing traffic calming program.

https://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-94207.pdf

---

2021-12-27T23:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475610201101193216

@edwiebe I wouldn't say "nothing". There's a vast amount of safety features and regulations that are the result of people being killed or injured as a result of driving.

2021-12-27T15:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475486922373939203

@AdrianEdbe @chillangelino @MvonRen On the screen.

2021-12-27T11:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475433930778025987

@_batou_ Unfortunately not.

2021-12-27T04:19:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475320384027123712

@jz_Dragon Only if you are doing it wrong.

2021-12-27T02:33:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475293696853639169

@chillangelino @MvonRen Be specific.

2021-12-27T01:49:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475282613338210307

@MvonRen That's the one!

2021-12-27T01:48:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475282450578165761

@MvonRen Isn't #8 here just this list? So you're using your own list as a point of evidence to pad your list?

Reminds me of the "low observability" observable.

2021-12-27T01:33:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475278661481754624

@MvonRen Which video was that? Might be the same one - it's going to take a while, as I'm using this to testbench some new visualization techniques I'm working on.

I mean, it's been like four years, and you just came up with this now. No rush.

2021-12-27T01:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475277756170571776

@chillangelino @MvonRen There's no evidence they saw it rotate with their naked eyes. 2x NAR

is ridiculously high zoom (0.35Â° FOV) so the target would have been incredibly small to the naked eye, a mote, if visible at all.

2021-12-27T00:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475261538801897473

@MvonRen Needless to say, I disagree with this, for reasons that have been tediously reiterated.

Again, I'd be happy to talk to any of these experts, and resolve the issues (one way or another)

In the meantime I'll work on a video explaining things better.

2021-12-26T20:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475197081266503680

@Edmonstar_7 It looks like a bright light in a cloudy sky.

2021-12-26T17:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475162874834161667

@HumorousKind @ASoftstar @JimCantore It's an offset lens reflection.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-two-suns-sanibel-causeway-florida-offset-lens-reflection.6932/
When stabilized you can see it move with the camera.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LDmV_6EWFhY&t=4s

2021-12-26T17:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1475152019845382144

@UAP_Disclosure I'm down with cordiality and brotherhood, but agreeing to disagree seems a bit defeatist. Here's hoping we can figure some things out, resolve some arguments, and move everyone a little closer to the truth.

2021-12-25T18:07:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474804042769453058

@CSH1276 That too. But the focus of the UFO poll was on alien civilizations.

Just alien life would be awesome too. Fiddly thought - remember the phosphine on Venus thing - and that was just minutes away.

https://www.washington.edu/news/2021/01/27/phosphine-venus-so2/

2021-12-25T17:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474796389871734785

The JWST is awesome, but it's not going to show photos of city lights on other planets. It will be difficult to even see the shape of a planet

What it MIGHT do is detect a techno-signature in the way that sun's light is (slightly) affected by the planet's atmosphere in a transit https://twitter.com/UFOB_/status/1474788424351629319

2021-12-25T16:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474786566392352770

@DavidFo73204388 It's an iPhone 13 Pro, so my options are kinda limited :)

I've got a bunch of reasonable Canon glass, but nothing Prime.

2021-12-25T16:55:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474785816945651715

@DreamDetective1 Dirty window, with a bit of rain on it.

2021-12-25T16:22:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474777529747578884

@USMCNoggin @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal TV is visible. Opaque means it blocks visible light. IR-only windows can be opaque, but ATFLIR had a common light path. One big window (and small one for laser spotter)

2021-12-25T16:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474775746946080770

RT @lexfridman: Building the first instance of a thing is the hardest part.

This makes me appreciative to live in a world of brilliant humâ¦

2021-12-25T16:10:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474774531923316739

@MvonRen @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal First part.

2021-12-25T16:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474774423055962112

@USMCNoggin @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal How can they be opaque if itâ□□s used for TV mode?

Kurt was discussing a different pod. Sniper maybe IIRC.

2021-12-25T16:05:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474773309749268481

@MvonRen @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal False. A lie even.

2021-12-25T15:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474771896071647234

@nimitz2004 Glad tidings.

2021-12-25T15:57:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474771410769698823

Zoom in, â□□enhanceâ□□ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHdwyC-VQAAEfWV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHdwyC-VQAAEfWV.jpg

2021-12-25T15:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474769617826373633

Christmas UFOs.

Merry Christmas everyone! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHdvJmtVEAEeHvY.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHdvJmtVEAEeHvY.jpg

2021-12-25T15:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474768916320710656

@MvonRen @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal Iâ□□m busy today, but why donâ□□t you steel-man a good faith attempt at answering your own questions?

2021-12-25T15:45:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474768154479919104

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal I did. You ignored it. Several times.

2021-12-25T15:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474768037454565378

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal Very different circumstances.

2021-12-25T15:42:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474767529411153925

@Edmonstar_7 @Pewpew841 @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny Not true, I left Manchester years ago!

2021-12-25T15:41:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474767363564179459

@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @FrobertKinsey @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal Why not? Itâ□□s a bit more complicated than you portray here. But it also Christmas Day!

2021-12-25T05:22:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474611448835805186

@FrobertKinsey @MvonRen @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal Then I'd say he was wrong about this particular one, based on just the video. Maybe he has some more info.

2021-12-25T04:42:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474601389066125315
@FrobertKinsey @MvonRen @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal Depends exactly what he was referring to.

2021-12-24T21:30:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474492707527479297
@Oswald1160 @MvonRen @OwatcherUf @ZaineMichael1 @LongNeckBill "Most basic?" tell that to Falch.

2021-12-24T21:29:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474492375854510082
@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf @ZaineMichael1 @LongNeckBill Here's the full press release:

https://web.archive.org/web/20180413220327/https://www.raytheon.com/news/feature/uap_atfl ir http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHZy1aYVgAUPBty.jpg

---

Even so, the video images are not definitive proof that the jet pilots were chasing an actual UFO.

"To really be sure, we would need the raw data," said Dr. Steve Cummings, vice president of Technology Development and Execution at Raytheon Space and Airborne Systems. "Visual displays alone are not the best evidence."

So how best to track an alien spaceship in our skies?

"Wide-area search of some form or another," said Cummings. "I would want want at least two sensors, like radar and [electro-optical/infrared], to search the skies...One way to actually verify these and be absolutely certain that this is not an anomaly is to get the same target, behaving the same way on multiple sensors."

Last Updated: 12/20/2017

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHZy1aYVgAUPBty.jpg
2021-12-24T20:37:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474479375970029568
@MvonRen @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal But the fact that it looks like a rotating glare seems to indicate it's NOT rotating, the point being made is that the claim of physical rotation is a significant part of what what makes it unusual and interesting - because it would rule out stuff like a conventional jet.

2021-12-24T20:34:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474478613827186688
@MvonRen @deeross9615 @Jim87504388 @TheZignal "unconventional" is not the same as "physics defying tech that is beyond the capabilities of mankind"

I mean, if you wanted to simulate something that rotated like that you could probably achieve it with some highly unconventional set of vectored thrusters, or maybe ducted fans.

2021-12-24T20:12:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474473009360490497
@petef50513283 @MvonRen They said they would not release the results of their investigation

2021-12-24T19:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474463498935554048

RT @CultExpert: When a small grain of sand gets into an oyster, it can turn into a beautiful pearl.

THIS is how I want you to think aboutâ¦

2021-12-24T19:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474456273932353537

@deeross9615 @MvonRen @Jim87504388 @TheZignal I don't think anyone would argue that a craft that could rotate more than 90Â° about a horizontal axis without no loss in altitude, is anything other than unconventional. So I'm not sure why Marik is resurrecting that tweet.

2021-12-24T18:54:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474453490734825476

@MvonRen @Jim87504388 @deeross9615 @TheZignal I agree with what I said.

2021-12-24T15:23:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474400223438913539

@deeross9615 @MvonRen @TheZignal There is what?

2021-12-24T07:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474280044172247040

@ZaineMichael1 @AndrokLee @MvonRen @LongNeckBill @OwatcherUf I wanted them to diagram what they saw.

2021-12-24T07:23:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474279590855991298

@SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @BrianDunning @MvonRen I donâ□□t think Brian is saying that either. Heâ□□s saying there is not good evidence of aliens, not that we should not investigate potential drone incursions.

2021-12-24T07:03:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474274487382073347

@area51org @SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @BrianDunning @MvonRen Can you give an example?

2021-12-24T06:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474265119156686850

@MvonRen @LongNeckBill @OwatcherUf Of course, but thatâ□□s not the only thing that is happening here.

2021-12-24T06:24:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474264733888892929

@SicCoP1 @MiddleOfMayhem @BrianDunning @MvonRen Who said that?

Nobody.

2021-12-24T00:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474177916275625986

@LymburnerTodd @Centrist48 @MvonRen And balloons.

2021-12-23T20:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474112026968420354

@H8ERDAVE @JDAdamsAuthor @e2_hawkeye @MvonRen I was briefly worried about claw-like hands reaching out for me in the dark after I read this story, about 45 years ago. I quickly grew out of it, as I was a kid.

That's pretty much it. I lost interest and didn't really look much into UFOs for 40 years after that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHUYosbVcAMyBGx.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHUYosbVcAMyBGx.jpg

One of these unexplained stories is the tale of the Kentucky Glowing Man. Late one evening in 1955 a farmer was standing outside his farmhouse in a remote part of Kentucky when he saw a flying saucer land behind some trees. He went inside and told his family. Nobody would believe his story. An hour later the family were alerted by one of their dogs which was barking angrily. Two men went outside to investigate and they found what they later described as 'a small glowing man with large red eyes' walking towards the house. This strange sight scared the farmers, but as they were carrying rifles they began to shoot at their luminous intruder. A metallic sound told them that they had hit their target and they stopped firing, but the glowing man seemed unharmed and simply 'floated away into some trees'. The two men went back into the house and bolted all the doors. A few moments later the glowing man peered in through one of the farmhouse windows. The two farmers rushed outside to chase him off, but their visitor had vanished. They searched all round the outbuildings, and suddenly one of the men felt a tap on his head. He looked up and saw a claw-like hand reaching down towards him from a low roof.

The men fled back to the house, packed the family into two cars, and drove off to the local police station to seek help. The police went up to the farm but, in spite of a very thorough search, they could find no trace of the unwelcome guest. The story remains a complete and baffling mystery. What do you think? Was it a hoax?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHUYnkwVcAwIIhS.jpg

2021-12-23T19:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474099155152760847

@JDAdamsAuthor @e2_hawkeye @MvonRen I wish I'd had an encounter, that would be super interesting!

Of course, as a child such a thing might have scared me, but A) It never happened, and B) I'm all grown up now, and have been for 40 years.

2021-12-23T19:11:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1474095268836478977

@UFOrealitycheck @CaptainCarmel @BigFootCyberArk And in particular:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHUJ0ndVEBATJui.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHUJ0ndVEBATJui.jpg
2021-12-23T19:09:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474094798617251848
@UFOrealitycheck @CaptainCarmel @BigFootCyberArk
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-diving-triangle-ufo-photos-from-reddit-fake.11861/

2021-12-23T19:07:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474094246424887296
@LongNeckBill @OwatcherUf I think Gimbal is probably something that does not happen all the time - otherwise it would be more easily recognized.

2021-12-23T19:03:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474093271802515478
@Mickeegee2020 What's the criteria for credible? Seems like a self-appointed get-out-of-jail card. He said "anyone" first. Why not me? Or anyone that has done election fraud fact-checking.

2021-12-23T18:56:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1474091501487460378
@Mickeegee2020 Literally hundreds of people have said they would do it.

2021-12-23T06:10:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473898853640278016
@jplatte11 I allow that there's the distinct possibility that it's a rotating glare, and that that hypothesis is a good fit with a lot of the evidence, even if some questions remain.

2021-12-23T05:53:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473894636074651650
@Jimmi21084147 @MvonRen It's a fiddlying little problem - an occasional (maybe rare) rotating glare in specific circumstances, for which the (hypothetical) explanation involves parts of the ATFLIR system (gimbal singularity transit, and derotation) which are designed to be invisible to

the pilot/WSO.

2021-12-23T05:09:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473883345889071105

@jeffhalmos @kimball_23 @aka__V @mdeetelm @SKEPTICLBELIEVR Iain Banks described Culture ships in great detail. Did he see them?

2021-12-23T04:34:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473874518644977668

@MvonRen @SicCoP1 Again, find a few to speak on the record, and be specific. I've had several agree with me, but it's confidential and often classified stuff, so people don't want to go on the record.

2021-12-23T01:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473826353673691137

@KJ46838999 @mesolithicguy Itâ□□s not like (if thatâ□□s what happened) this happens every day. FLIR1 (Tic-Tac) was 17 years ago. Gimbal was 6, and thereâ□□s no evidence of ship radar involvement. Occasional mistakes and LIZ.

2021-12-23T01:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473823099812413441

@mesolithicguy They were all real objects. A glare, for example, has to be attached to a bright object - or hot in IR, like a jet engine.

2021-12-23T00:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473819626584690688

@MvonRen Response thread. Plus, Iâ□□d be happy to talk directly with any experts, on or off the record. DM or mick@mickwest.com https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1473808978291294209

2021-12-23T00:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473815717149495296

@BryceDodds1 Something hot, like a jet engine or two.

2021-12-23T00:38:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473815162222104577

@Funkadelick2 Different case.

2021-12-23T00:23:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473811356381945857

@QTRResearch Sure! Although I've not been following the Lazar story, what's the news?

2021-12-23T00:18:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473810233503805443

(3/3) Since the exact hardware implementation, the details of the algorithm and the precise physical circumstances are unknown, then making any definitive statement about what is impossible is going to be a rather specious position. Especially as it looks and acts like glare.

2021-12-23T00:13:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473809072197562368

@MvonRen (2/3) The only halfway valid question you raise is why the main roll axis would be

engaged intermittently rather than constantly (or never) when the gimbal singularity is being transited with the aid of a secondary gimbal system.

2021-12-23T00:13:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473808978291294209

(1/3) To @MvonRen, I think your objections are largely irrelevant, and ignore both the unknowns of the system and the complexities of the physical situation.

2021-12-22T22:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473787481073983488

@admpubmx Looks like some lights. First guess would be some floodlights. Driving around them changes the position. UFOs from a moving car are often stationary objects.

2021-12-22T22:31:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473783341316907008

@edwardcurrent @ZaineMichael1 Zain, look at your graph. They are all 12, 18, and 24, +/-1. And as you note some are mid-change. So it's consistent with being all on multiples of 6, the same multiple and type of variation as GoFast.

2021-12-22T21:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473774890817708032

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent I just checked, 50 to 49 is 18 frames, not 25, using the official .WMV, and frame advancing in VLC. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHPmQ1CVIAI64kl.jpg

---



Name	2 - GIMBAL.wmv
Size	13.5 MB (13517612 bytes)
Kind	Windows Media Video
UTI	com.microsoft.windows-media-wmv
Location	/Users/mick/Documents/Debunking/Navy Official Video Release
Created	April 27, 2020 at 8:12:40 AM
Modified	April 27, 2020 at 8:13:59 AM
Downloaded From	https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/sites/g/files/jejdrs566/files/2020-04/2%20-%20GIMBAL.wmv, https://www.navair.navy.mil/foia/documents
Container	
Format	Windows Media
Duration	34 s 467 ms
Overall bit rate mode	Constant
Overall bit rate	3 138 kb/s
Maximum Overall bit rate	3 123 kb/s
Encoded date	UTC 2015-01-25 02:29:16
Video	
ID	2
Format	WMV1
Codec ID	WMV1
Codec ID/Info	Windows Media Video 7
Description of the codec	Windows Media Video V7
Duration	34 s 468 ms
Bit rate mode	Constant
Bit rate	3 000 kb/s
Width	640 pixels
Height	480 pixels
Pixel aspect ratio	1.000
Display aspect ratio	4:3
Frame rate	29.970 (29970/1000) FPS
Nominal frame rate	30.000 FPS
Frame count	1033

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHPmQ1CVIAI64kl.jpg
2021-12-22T21:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473763945827962880
@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent There's plenty of discussion on Metabunk. I don't think there's sufficiently good data to make a solid determination. Even GoFast was only solvable within a certain +/- and that's assuming the RNG data is correct.

2021-12-22T21:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473761491057000448
@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent Go Fast is cold, like the air.

2021-12-22T20:46:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473756901640867840
@LeonAgnew77 @AgentBlackAcid @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny Maybe they have.

Or maybe they don't have many people working on it.

2021-12-22T20:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473752858445041667
@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent You should check out the exact same jitter in GoFast. It seems to be a system issue. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHPSSpTUYAE71wX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHPSSpTUYAE71wX.jpg
2021-12-22T18:15:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473718945605734408
@AgentBlackAcid @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny Perhaps it's just some people in the military occasionally make understandable mistakes?

It does happen, like with the Chilean Navy UFO.

2021-12-22T17:51:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473712753181442062
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Why are you repeatedly asking the same questions and ignoring the answers?

2021-12-22T17:49:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473712363887017996

@praxistor @Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny @YouTube Debunking is not eliminating possibilities too quickly. It's eliminating them ONLY when they can be proven to be false - and ideally by finding the verifiably correct solution.

2021-12-22T17:14:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473703608432701456

@DavidJayB @MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 It's an OCR glitch, rather unfortunate.

2021-12-22T17:11:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473702721010249737

@praxistor @Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny @YouTube If we eliminate possibilities too quickly, then there's a risk we might eliminate the correct one. That's not going to help understand it.

2021-12-22T17:09:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473702356172910598

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Does the glare rotate constantly? Clear if the rotating glare hypothesis is correct then there are periods of time when the object is being tracked without rotating the camera, and some when the camera is rotating (but not necessarily used for tracking)

I thought we were done?

2021-12-22T16:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473692138772783112

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Well, it's complex, sure, but so what? That's why we have computers.

2021-12-22T14:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473666911497977857

@praxistor @Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny @YouTube Iâ□□m open to investigating possibilities. Some might say anti-gravity craft or aliens should not make the list of considerable hypotheses. I disagree.

2021-12-22T14:46:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473666202471854080

@Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny @YouTube And the seagull joke was already there. Iâ□□d suggested GoFast might be a large bird (I think itâ□□s more likely a balloon), and some people started to conflate that with the Tic Tac.

2021-12-22T14:36:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473663817364406272

@Asgeir16813191 @BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny @YouTube Exactly. A good lesson in not giving people material. Obviously Fravor was not dogfighting a seagull. I only

mentioned it as an example of a white thing you might see momentarily glancing down towards the ocean.

2021-12-22T07:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473549012431097857

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 I think you might be interpreting the LOS as the third axis. It's easier to see in this diagram, where 146 is the third axis. As you can see it's perpendicular to both axes.

However, we don't know exactly what the hardware is.

https://patents.google.com/patent/US9121758

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHMYo_tUUAQ8XIj.jpg



FIG. 1A



FIG. 1B

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHMYo_tUUAQ8XIj.jpg

2021-12-22T00:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473458125902868483

@Alien_Gray @tommyIzito And if you fully understand it, there will be nothing left to debunk.

2021-12-22T00:09:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473445623793811456
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 The algorithm's main priority is to not lose lock. Much like a Tesla's main priority is to not hit people, or crash (hopefully as a second priority).

The algorithm will likely try to do things like not change lanes too rapidly. I don't know the details, like I don't with ATFLIR

2021-12-22T00:02:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473443789037441025
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Tesla.

2021-12-21T23:51:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473440901720522755
@BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny I never even considered it for a second. It's obviously not a seagull in the video. Nor do I think Fravor got into a dogfight with a seagull.

The seagull thing was just a stupid meme.

2021-12-21T23:47:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473440098901323776
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 As has been explained to you several times:

A) The rotations are shorter
B) We don't know the algorithm

I get you find it puzzling, I don't know the algorithm, so I can't tell you exactly how it works.

2021-12-21T23:39:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473437972758286338
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 You said:

"you claimed in your Tweets to know *exactly* why the algorithm did what it did."

This is not a claim I made. So I ask you to retract that statement.

2021-12-21T23:34:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473436712009273345
@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 I've never claimed I know exactly why the algorithm does what it does.

I'm a programmer, I know algorithms. I don't know what algorithm is being used by the ATFLIR.

You are misrepresenting me, and confusing the actual issue.

2021-12-21T23:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473436033794514950

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Well obviously it's going to to to minimise things that could lose lock. A Tesla tries to avoid crashing, but I don't know what exact algorithm it uses, or why it does exactly what it does.

2021-12-21T23:29:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473435511209414658

@BobbyGoya @PrismaticWriter @gillibrandny I 100% do not think that the tic tac video was a seagull, and I never have.

2021-12-21T23:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473435127095037954

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 And if you want to say I've explicit claimed something, then quote me claiming that thing. I do not like being misrepresented.

2021-12-21T23:25:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473434479012155394

@MvonRen @Pewpew841 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 This is getting ridiculous. I've very clearly said many times that we don't know what the algorithm is.

I've also answered your question about "not rotating the camera" (it means the camera does not need to be rotated to steer the camera, not that the camera does not rotate)

2021-12-21T23:17:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473432566325678085

@ComplexDigi @WUTangKids There are a variety of different types of flare. Like these: http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1473432510616858627/pu/img/vjeBMr7QtG1RJLOH.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1473432510616858627/pu/img/vjeBMr7QtG1RJLOH.jpg

2021-12-21T23:15:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473431965361598469
@Pewpew841 @MvonRen @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 Marik keeps asking the same questions and ignoring the answers.

The third axis does not mean the pod can't rotate. It still has to rotate, but can do it in smaller increments as it's not being used for pointing.

We don't know the details of the hardware or algorithm.

2021-12-21T22:03:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473413740838076416
@williamwoz1 Debunking means figuring it out.

2021-12-21T22:02:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473413615474462720
@MvonRen @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 You know there are no videos of targeting pods traversing the singularity besides Gimbal, and maybe FLIR1.

Quote your aviator in full and in in context.

2021-12-21T21:45:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473409401826394112
@ComplexDigi @WUTangKids These flares are much lower than 35,000 feet.
Short duration flares are used (including at high altitudes) to divert heat-seeking missiles.

2021-12-21T21:42:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473408671958781955
@Asgeir16813191 Then you misunderstand debunking.

If something false has been debunked, it that not a good thing?

Is it not good to strive to do those good things?

2021-12-21T21:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473408274485563393
@MvonRen @Jim87504388 There's more than one problem that the algorithm is trying to solve, and the resultant motion is a product of an algorithm with multiple inputs and goals to balance.

The third axis covers for the roll axis to move into a better position near the nadir.

2021-12-21T21:20:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473403117953642497
@Lennart_1 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHKUSesVkAAsESx.jpg



OED Oxford English Dictionary
The definitive record of the English language

Quick search:

View full results for 'debunk' | Help on Dictionary Entry | Print | Save | Ema

debunk, *v.*

Text size:

View as: Outline | Full entry

Quotations: Show all | Hide all Keywords: O

Pronunciation: /dɪˈbʌŋk/

Frequency (in current use):

Etymology: < DE- *prefix* 2b + BUNK *n.*4

Originally *U.S.*

transitive. To remove the 'nonsense' or false sentiment from; to expose (false claims or pretensions); hence, to remove (a person) from his 'pedestal' or 'pinnacle'. Also *absol.*

Thesaurus »
Categories »

1923 W. E. WOODWARD *Bunk* i. 4 To keep the United States thoroughly de-bunked would require the continual services of..half a million persons.
1923 W. E. WOODWARD *Bunk* i. 4 Just how do you go about your de-bunking operations?
1923 W. E. WOODWARD *Bunk* i. 6 Recently we de-bunked the head of a large financial institution.
1927 *Daily Express* 21 Nov. 2/3 The Thucydidean school of what are known as 'debunking' historians.
1930 *Times Lit. Suppl.* 6 Mar. 174 The present fashion for 'debunking' great men.
1934 *Municipal Engineering* 12 July 31/1 The London C.C. has decided to 'debunk' Waterloo Bridge, or, in other words, to take away the bunkum that has been attached to it.
1948 *Sat. Rev.* 26 June 13/1 In dealing with military reputations, the author neither glorifies nor debunks.
1958 *Spectator* 13 June 777/1 It is his duty..to debunk the claims of the Fabians.

(Hide quotations)

DERIVATIVES

de·bunker *n.* one who debunks.

Thesaurus »
Categories »

1923 W. E. WOODWARD *Bunk* i. 2 I'm a professional de-bunker.
1930 *Times Lit. Suppl.* 13 Mar. 217 He is not indeed a 'debunker', but he is as far from being a blind hero-worshipper.
1940 *Illustr. London News* **196** 758/2 In fact, he is a reverent man, who enjoys 'debunking' the 'debunkers', if that word may be taken now as acceptable and

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHKUSesVkAAsESx.jpg

2021-12-21T21:19:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473402822351675392

@MvonRen @Jim87504388 I did. You just skipped over it.

2021-12-21T21:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473402575160365056

@Asgeir16813191 Debunking is a process of removing nonsense or false claims, which requires understanding. Getting closer to reality on a topic helps people understand it.

Debunking is basically figuring stuff out where there are competing or dubious hypotheses.

2021-12-21T21:12:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473401000123719680

@MvonRen @Jim87504388 Saying that there is an algorithm is not the same as knowing exactly what it is doing, or why.

2021-12-21T20:45:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473394181649272834

@MvonRen @Jim87504388 No, I did not.

2021-12-21T19:45:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473379215995064320

@MvonRen @Jim87504388 Why did you just ignore what I wrote?

2021-12-21T19:42:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473378443177828355
@MvonRen @Jim87504388 You are describing the output of an unknown algorithm running on unknown hardware as "bizarre." You can't really answer that question, but there's a bunch of plausible things that might be going on.

The gimbal nadir problem is complex. ATFLIR is a complex piece of hardware.

2021-12-21T19:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473368226029400068
@pnwufor Even then, it's hardly moving.

2021-12-21T18:59:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473367655092342788
@MvonRen @DavidJayB "no longer used for tracking"

Which is what I said.

2021-12-21T18:59:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473367438813138948
@pnwufor No it doesn't.

2021-12-21T18:58:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473367241722785794
@ori_volfo Most of them simply lack sufficient information to identify them.

2021-12-21T18:57:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473367037359509505
@MvonRen @DavidJayB Yes it means it does not have to rotate the camera to traverse the singularity. It allows the camera to reposition itself based on a variety of factors.

All this quibbling about algorithms is ignoring the significant evidence that what we see looks like a rotating glare.

2021-12-21T18:06:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473354282795601920
@DavidJayB @MvonRen The primary roll axis would not be needed for pointing when the secondary system kicks in around the forward direction, so it could adjust to be in an optimum position while avoiding the gimbal singularity.

2021-12-21T15:49:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473319675488579587
@ori_volfo I donâ□□t think UFOs are all debunkable - at least in the sense of understanding what they are.

2021-12-20T21:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1473036035869200384
@Condorman6 @TheUfoJoe @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp What does your team work on normally?

2021-12-20T20:51:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1473033445550923778

"Debunking" and "Understanding" are the same thing.

https://twitter.com/EricRWeinstein/status/1472981237190914051

2021-12-20T16:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472965906439409664

@James_A_Conrad @BadAstronomer Well, it looks exactly like a crescent dune, so there's that.

Notice they removed some the dunes in the thumbnail to the video.

2021-12-20T15:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472957196136640518

@jplatte11 I have unblocked him, as he deleted the tweet I had issues with.

2021-12-20T15:48:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472957071838511104

@SingletrackAdam @doodiewiz Alphacheck's videos are all about how the Navy pilots, equipment, and computer networks form an omniscient and error-free synergy that is incapable of mistakes.

My response is that the videos only need very minor and understandable human errors, and we have no other data.

2021-12-20T15:40:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472955065950375936

@studyofUAPs @Info1Archive @SnakePo9 "All drones" is a straw man. Literally nobody thinks all (or even most) UAP are drones. Nore is that the sole focus of the UAP office or former taskforce.

2021-12-20T15:38:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472954541616156673

@TomSuesss @RickyBabtner There are several recreations, the problem, is the intermittent rotation is a function of an unknown (and classified) algorithm. Simple recreations like DCS just use a two-axis system, so you get a smooth curve.

2021-12-20T15:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472953841603678208

@_NTME9_ No, I've approached Raytheon directly and got no response. I've talked to people who worked on similar technology, including retired people, and I've not found anyone who will talk to me on the record - even off the record discussions are limited.

2021-12-20T08:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472850658516553728

@RickyBabtner Someone probably could verify (or clarify) at least parts of the hypothesis - like the way it rotates when passing under 0Â° Azimuth, at -2Â° down.

Nobody with relevant knowledge has though. AFAIK

2021-12-20T08:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472843914620985355

@PierreFDP1 I've unblocked. The issue was resolved.

2021-12-20T07:05:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472825546622996485

@SicCoP1 @dansim8 @LtTimMcMillan @MiddleOfMayhem @HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LueElizondo Why would they be in the cases if they were identified?

2021-12-20T07:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472825413156028416

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent And by "over the surface of the ocean", I mean visually, not actually, of course :)

2021-12-20T07:03:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472824967955836933

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent But GoFast is moving smoothly over the surface of the ocean, and yet the changes in the heading angle are at irregular intervals.

Which means it's a system artifact, and so they need smoothing. And so do Gimbal's times/angles

---

2021-12-20T06:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472823282982264833

@jimqk @FkItImAllIn When? Like 2025? 2050?

2021-12-20T06:52:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472822204190527488

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent I feel like the irregular timing in GoFast supports the need to smooth out the points in Gimbal.

2021-12-20T06:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472817523393318913

@FkItImAllIn @jimqk I think it's an old hoax.

2021-12-20T06:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472817091384422404

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent Apologies if you discussed this already, but do you also think GoFast has irregular velocity based on the irregular intervals in angle change?

2021-12-20T06:20:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472814219678519298

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent But again, why would the wind make a difference to the outcome (ignoring the ground - just considering the relative position of plane, object, and clouds)? Are you assuming the gimbal object is not affected by wind?

2021-12-20T06:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472812044546035714

*the rotation shown seems to limit how the camera could move

autocorrect!!!!!

2021-12-20T06:07:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472810946636648450

(15/15) I'd never shy away from questions, and I'm generally happy to engage people (I've got 100+ post email threads with Marik.) I blocked him because he was misleading people about us "working" together. But the genuine questions, I am happy to answer.

2021-12-20T06:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472810194568503296

(14/n) and also to explain Marik's next question, which is why it rotates at all, if internal LOS steering can handle the 4Â° error. In brief I think the algorithm simply would prefer not to, as accumulating error is bad. More complex though.

2021-12-20T06:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472809662969831425

(13/n) This is all, of course, quite complicated. But it's the answer to Marik's question, which I am very much not avoiding. In fact it's prompting me to make a video or two explaining this in more depth.

2021-12-20T06:01:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472809326020481025

(12/n) So I think it's a combination of those two things that cause the pauses in rotation. It's minimizing rotation to maintain lock (while still tracking) and the additional roll axis allows for less violent movement around the singularity WITHOUT ROTATING THE CAMERA.

2021-12-20T05:58:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472808693699788805

(11/n) This is discussed in patents files by Raytheon. Two things are mentioned - firstly just avoiding doing rapid rolls wherever possible. Secondly avoiding getting close to the gimbal singularity. This is done with an additional limited roll axis INSIDE the camera.

2021-12-20T05:56:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472808079599104002

(10/n) This rapid roll is a problem. It's likely to loose lock, as it's difficult to rotate many pounds of equipment. So an algorithm (a set of computerized rules and procedures) tires to minimize things that could loose lock.

2021-12-20T05:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472807386146508805

(9/n) The acceleration of the roll near 0Â° at shallow angles is a gimbal "singularity" - like a black hole for gimbal motion. Traversing at 2Â° would require a very rapid spin of many pounds of equipment. (At 0Â° it would be infinitely fast, a true singularity)

2021-12-20T05:51:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472806720342618117

(8/n) The plot of the roll angle (and to a less significant degree to pitch) are the "expected

curve" - i.e. what we'd expect the camera to do if everything was just two axes.

2021-12-20T05:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472806368952217601

(7/n) If we just had the two main gimbals rolling and pitching the camera, then the camera would move constantly as it panned around, looking 2Â° down. It would also accelerate its roll rapidly near 0Â° (L/R)

2021-12-20T05:48:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472805994640019456

(6/n) The camera in "Gimbal" is, not coincidentally, mounted on gimbals. Just two main ones that roll and pitch the camera, then some others internally to the camera that do stabilization, some additional pointing, and gimbal roll facilitation.

2021-12-20T05:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472805429583368193

(5/n) I don't want people to think I'm avoiding this question, because I'm not. It's not a terrible question - and Marik does raise some good questions, which is why it's sad he took that tack. But it has a complicated answer.

2021-12-20T05:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472804884160278531

(4/n) I asked him to deleted the Tweet, he refused, and instead repeatedly asked "Please explain why the algorithm would lock the FLIR into a *vertical* position - for even an instant - while it tracks a target on a rapid *horizontal* traverse."

2021-12-20T05:42:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472804459344396292

(3/n) I blocked Marik because he posted that he and I had been working together and (someone) found some flaws with the rotating glare hypothesis - this looked like "we" found the flaws - but I actually disagree with him entirely. We'd been disagreeing, not working together.

2021-12-20T05:39:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472803803917283330

(2/n) This question is about the "Gimbal" video, which I think is infrared glare that's rotating only because the camera is rotating. Marik disagrees, because the rotation show seems to limit of the camera could move. It's complicated.

2021-12-20T05:37:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472803416711647237

(1/n) So I was asked by @MvonRen:

"Please explain why the algorithm would lock the FLIR into a *vertical* position - for even an instant - while it tracks a target on a rapid *horizontal* traverse."

2021-12-20T05:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472801634266746880

@ZaineMichael1 @edwardcurrent Looks nice, but I'm a bit unclear as to why wind is a factor.

Clouds move with the wind, and plane speeds are airspeeds, not ground tracks. So essentially the wind cancels out - unless you are using different wind in different locations?

2021-12-20T05:25:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472800232270295040

@USMCNoggin @MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 Sure, with a simple two axis gimbal system it would rotate constantly. But it would also accelerate significantly as it approached 0Â°, would it not?

2021-12-20T02:29:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472756109161078787

@USMCNoggin @MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 What if it was just, to establish some bounds, 0.001Â° below? Then how about 5Â°?

2021-12-20T01:40:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472743721321971712

@MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 No. There's nothing wrong with my tweets in response to yours. The zapping tweet, as I have explained in depth, is perfectly valid.

I'm going to block you in five minutes, to give you time to see this. I will waste no more time on this.

It is unfortunate. See you next year

2021-12-20T01:33:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472741808132145154

@MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 I'd be happy to discuss the nuances of algorithms and gimbal lock. I've got a whole load of visualizations I might work on over the holidays down-time. But I don't see much point engaging with you if you won't delete that tweet that implies I agree with you.

Your call.

2021-12-20T01:29:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472740865038688256

@MvonRen @PoliticalGeeker @DonMarcello16 @ZaineMichael1 Planes and drones emit RF energy. The UAP report does not suggest this (or anything else) interfered with equipment.

2021-12-20T01:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472740098160480256

@MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 I did not say you said it was a certainty, I said "more likely" which I think is 100% accurate, as you think the gimbal traversal explanation is impossible and that the "energy" explanation is "possible".

Possible is more likely than impossible.

2021-12-20T01:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472739124121530369

@MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1472737760117022721

2021-12-20T01:20:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472738730901266433

@MvonRen @caitgaist @PoliticalGeeker I responded to it here.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1472705447190687745

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHA3yNsVEAA9WVA.jpg



MarikvR @MvonRen · 2h

Maybe we let people see all the evidence and make up their own minds?

But let's dive in: Please explain why the algorithm would lock the FLIR into a *vertical* position - for even an instant - while it tracks a target on a rapid *horizontal* traverse.

2 3



Mick West
@MickWest

Replying to @MvonRen

To get past the gimbal singularity, it engages another internal gimbal to continue for a short period of time - as described in the patents. The precise details are unknown, but not at all inconsistent with what we see.

patents.google.com/patent/EP25252...

[0037] As a result, in certain applications, the gimbal singularity is to be avoided. However, in an embodiment, where the gimbal singularity cannot be avoided, for example because the gimbal singularity is within the desired field of regard (FOR), then it may be desirable to provide a third gimbal axis TT (shown in FIG. 1). In one embodiment, third gimbal axis TT is within the plane of c-mirror 12 and is perpendicular to rotation axis AA. In one embodiment, the third gimbal axis TT resides on rotation axis AA of c-mirror 12 in that a rotation of c-mirror 12 around axis AA produces a rotation of axis TT. The third gimbal axis TT can be of small angular travel (for example, less than or equal to 5 deg.). As a result, axis TT travels around roll axis BB and avoids the gimbal singularity.

3:08 PM · Dec 19, 2021 · TweetDeck

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHA3yNsVEAA9WVA.jpg

2021-12-20T01:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472737760117022721

@MvonRen @DonMarcello16 @PoliticalGeeker @ZaineMichael1 I'm not going to pretend this never happened. Delete your tweet and we can move on.

And I stand by the use of "zapping" as a perfectly reasonable abridgment of your "the UFOs emit some kind of energy which disrupts the ATFLIR's auto-track".
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHA3DR5VQAMOodg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHA3DR5VQAMOodg.jpg
2021-12-20T00:37:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472727896372379651
@murnut @MvonRen If I'm wrong about the rotation then we will all have learnt something, and can move on. Win-win.

2021-12-20T00:26:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472725128370814976
@ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @DaveFalch @dave_beaty Thanks Chris, this seems largely reasonable.

2021-12-20T00:23:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472724321881624578
@MvonRen If you want any further discussion, then delete that misleading tweet and refrain from implying I agree with you unless I actually do.

2021-12-19T23:59:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472718251654213632
@MvonRen Demonstrate your intentions.

2021-12-19T23:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472717569224167425
@MvonRen Delete your tweet, otherwise I'll assume you are operating in bad faith, and I'll block you.

This tweet:
https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1472699081818394627

2021-12-19T23:54:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472717062535450624
@MvonRen and specifically I disagree with

''there are flaws that invalidate the â□□rotating glareâ□□ theory of the â□□Gimbalâ□□ UFO video''

so suggesting that conclusion of yours was somehow the result of a collaboration is misleading at best.

It is YOUR conclusion. Not mine.

2021-12-19T23:51:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472716342155354113
@MvonRen No. When you say "After working closely with @MickWest, there are flaws that invalidate the â□□rotating glareâ□□ theory of the â□□Gimbalâ□□ UFO video."

It gives a very strong impression that I somehow agree with what you were saying, when the majority of our "work" was disagreements.

2021-12-19T23:23:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472709221451976704
@MvonRen Okay then, delete your tweet that implies I worked with you on this conclusion, or that I agree with it. Because neither things are true, and your tweet's apparent disingenuousness is a big distraction.

2021-12-19T23:20:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472708405009674241
@MvonRen See there you go again. Ascribing thing to me that I disagree with, and have explained to you multiple times why I disagree.

Don't you know by now that the "expected curve" is the one with just two gimbals?

2021-12-19T23:10:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472705929879511043
@MvonRen There are also no-doubt other consideration in the algorithm that made the decision. Again, not possible for us to know (classified) but not at all inconsistent with what we see.

This boils down to you not understanding why it rotated (along the expected curve) as it did.

2021-12-19T23:08:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472705447190687745
@MvonRen To get past the gimbal singularity, it engages another internal gimbal to continue for a short period of time - as described in the patents. The precise details are unknown, but not

at all inconsistent with what we see.

https://patents.google.com/patent/EP2525235B1/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHAZyrFVcAQQZzV.jpg

[0037] As a result, in certain applications, the gimbal singularity is to be avoided. However, in an embodiment, where the gimbal singularity cannot be avoided, for example because the gimbal singularity is within the desired field of regard (FOR), then it may be desirable to provide a third gimbal axis TT (shown in FIG. 1). In one embodiment, third gimbal axis TT is within the plane of c-mirror 12 and is perpendicular to rotation axis AA. In one embodiment, the third gimbal axis TT resides on rotation axis AA of c-mirror 12 in that a rotation of c-mirror 12 around axis AA produces a rotation of axis TT. The third gimbal axis TT can be of small angular travel (for example, less than or equal to 5 deg.). As a result, axis TT travels around roll axis BB and avoids the gimbal singularity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHAZyrFVcAQQZzV.jpg
2021-12-19T23:02:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472703842231853061
@MvonRen How it that quote relevant?

You don't seem to understand the physics here - which is something I've been try to help with in good faith.

I disagree with you. Please stop pretending otherwise. It's very unprofessional.

2021-12-19T22:59:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472703040469618688
@Godxilla414 It's a plane. Digital zoom does that.

2021-12-19T22:56:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472702340935262214
@MvonRen How many times did I agree with your conclusion?

You can't misunderstand and disagree with me for weeks and then claim that I collaborated in reaching your conclusion. It's unprofessional and misleading. You should delete it.

2021-12-19T22:47:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472700209805217793

@MvonRen You were not working "with" me. Do not pretend your conclusions are in any way supported by me. I've told you very directly that I think you are wrong.

Yet you phrase this as if I agree with you. Very disappointing. Very unprofessional.

2021-12-19T22:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472698533148909571

@SicCoP1 @dansim8 @LtTimMcMillan @MiddleOfMayhem @HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LueElizondo It's standard language from them. Most recently in a response to queries during development of this Insider video.

https://www.insider.com/decoding-ufo-videos-captured-by-the-pentagon-2021-12

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHATU9bUcAAAqsm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FHATU9bUcAAAqsm.jpg

2021-12-19T22:18:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472692955035017218

@dansim8 @LtTimMcMillan @MiddleOfMayhem @HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LueElizondo They explicitly stated they would not reveal the results of their investigations. So they may well have figured out individual cases - or at least PARTS of individual cases (like the Gimbal video being a video of a gimbal artifact, hence the name)

2021-12-19T21:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472687468847665152

@LtTimMcMillan @HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LueElizondo I'd need actual details.

2021-12-19T21:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472682676461076482

@LtTimMcMillan @HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LueElizondo I'd need details.

2021-12-19T21:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472682436987277313
@MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty "Locking" the flir is what the gimbal traverla algorithm (and the temporary-use extra axis) is designed to avoid.

You're kind of cherry picking your shred of evidence, with little discernment. They all have quite reasonable answers.

2021-12-19T21:17:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472677475398283266
@MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty Hard evidence it was not? It seems entirely plausible, as it fits in with the wider array of patents describing similar systems and referring to ATFLIR, going back to early 2000s

---

2021-12-19T21:09:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472675392724955140
@MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty Perhaps the larger movement (described in the patents as "inaccurate") are broken down into the minimum required steps to avoid losing lock.

The algorithm has a keep a number of balls in the air.

Even if that's the not the exact patent, it describes the problem.

2021-12-19T21:05:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472674513061965828
@HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo Well we don't know. However I think the rotating galre hypothesis is the best fit for the data.

Others are stridently calling for it to be thrown out - but seeming based on assumptions regarding the unknowns discussed.

2021-12-19T21:03:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472674069078331395
@SicCoP1 @MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty I don't know. But right now I'm focussing on what is shown in the video. Even in highly skilled individuals, memory is often inaccurate.

2021-12-19T21:02:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472673598338846721
@HarryAu05753100 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo A problem here is there are things we can't understand, because they are dependant on the hidden mechanisms and algorithms of the ATFLIR. There's also a lack of comparable footage. So we have to consider a range of possibilities.

2021-12-19T20:59:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472673025246089219

@HarryAu05753100 @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan It is clear in their disagreements. Erhart, for example says the dero could not rotate an object independently of the background, which shows he did not understand the theory refers to rotating camera-relative glare, not a rotating object.
Mostly Corbell's fault there though.

2021-12-19T20:56:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472672269755240451
@MvonRen @ChrisSPITZER7 @DaveFalch @dave_beaty One wonders if gimbal singularity traversal was such a non-issue then why are there so many referenced to it in the Raytheon Patents?

2021-12-19T20:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472665967960281090
@ChrisSPITZER7 @MvonRen @DaveFalch @dave_beaty You don't need a simulation to reach that result. Obviously if the internal gimbals can compensate for the pointing error, then it does not NEED to rotate. The question is if that's what's happening in Gimbal.

2021-12-18T06:12:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472087359311155203
Marik says that he doesn't understand why the gimbal roll algorithm chooses to rotate intermittently rather than all at once (or never), and so it's somehow more likely an antigravity UFO is zapping the camera with energy to make the camera move just before the UFO does.
https://twitter.com/MvonRen/status/1471693130969747459

2021-12-18T05:52:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472082214481317888
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Yes, and it's nonsense, for two reasons.
1) There's perfectly good reasons for the pod's algorithm to choose to rotate, even if it could correct using internal gimbals
2) There's a lot of things that happen (like the bumps, and more,) that only make sense if it's rotating

---

2021-12-18T05:21:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472074623659302914
@MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Thatâ□□s a nonsensical interpretation of my position. I very much think that the pod is rotating, and that there are good reasons for it to do so.

2021-12-18T05:14:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472072738823045120
@ForeySR @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo I donâ□□t think Lue fully understand, nor did he gave any reason for his disagreement.

2021-12-18T01:51:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1472021585510862849
@CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo Itâ□□s complicated.

2021-12-18T01:50:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472021480888098823

@Robin081564 @CuriousUap Itâ□□s takes months of trying. Years sometimes. And Iâ□□m pretty big on second chances.

Just disagreeing is great. A good discussion starter.

2021-12-18T01:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472008861338910721

@ZaineMichael1 @ChrisSPITZER7 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo I could figure out the bank angle just fine. I extracted it years ago. The question is how that translates to rate of turn.

2021-12-18T00:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472007131171680258

@ZaineMichael1 @ChrisSPITZER7 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo I'm trying to figure out what is going on - but your interpretation of the data seems nonsensical. Still, I look forward to seeing your recreation. When do you think you'll have a first pass?

2021-12-18T00:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472005229923106818

@ChrisSPITZER7 @ZaineMichael1 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo There's plenty of discussion on this topic on Metabunk, with a variety of overhead plots. Concluding with it's probably very far away, but the angle are not accurate enough to get a good plot.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/

2021-12-18T00:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472004640711475201

@ZaineMichael1 @ChrisSPITZER7 @CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo Drawing a best fit line though irregularly sampled and rounded points is not "altering the data"

2021-12-18T00:41:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472004076091047938

@CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo Who has demonstrated that they fully grasp it, yet disagree with it?

On what basis was their disagreement?

2021-12-18T00:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1472002367046307842

@CuriousUap It what happens when someone ignores reason for months, repeating the same nonsensical arguments in rambling off-topic digressions, while other people waste time

patiently trying to explain it to them.

Patience wears out.

2021-12-18T00:16:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471997744680112129

@CanesReign @conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan @LueElizondo Oh my explanation certainly could be a lot better. Maybe I should make a better video.

2021-12-18T00:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471996396597231619

@carlstanland @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan It does not look like an airplane exhaust, because it's hidden by the glare.

2021-12-17T23:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471984594664579077

@AugmentedRyan It not like you need to be in university to replicate it. Why don't you try?

2021-12-17T23:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471983812825411584

@AugmentedRyan I feel certain that if such an effect were demonstrable, then people would be demonstrating it.

2021-12-17T23:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471981864248168450

@AugmentedRyan Will they help me use the Force? Are there instructions?

2021-12-17T23:12:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471981722623365120

@RobertDobalina7 Maybe they are uncertain about their own memory.

2021-12-17T23:11:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471981301380964352

@RossElderWrites This is part of this larger story where people think drones swarmed ships for days. It's not immediately clear which parts were exercise, and which (if any) real. https://www.thedrive.com/the-war-zone/43561/mysterious-drone-swarms-over-navy-destroyers-off-california-went-on-for-weeks

---

2021-12-17T23:02:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471979234725797888

@MiddleOfMayhem https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1471978501003624448

2021-12-17T22:59:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471978501003624448

When a Navy deck lock says "Secure Counter UAS Exercise" what's the literal meaning? I thought "exercise" just referred to a drill, or practice.

I'm assuming this whole drone thing wasn't just an exercise? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FG2DzWVVEAERwpo.jpg

---



SHIP'S DECK LOG SHEET

REPORT SYMBOL OPNAV 3100-10
IF CLASSIFIED STAMP SECURITY MARKING HERE

USE BLACK INK TO FILL IN THIS LOG

SHIP TYPE	HULL NUMBER	YEAR	MONTH	ZONE	DAY		USS	CLASS	HANDL
D A	DD 6	0 5 9	9 0 7	T	2 0	E	RUSSELL		

AT / ~~PASSAGE FROM~~ SOCAL
~~TO~~ OPAREA

POSITION	ZONE	TIME
0800 L		BY
A		BY

POSITION	ZONE	TIME
1200 L 32°55.2N		BY 2
A 118°39.5W		BY 2

POSITION	ZONE	TIME
2000 L		BY
A		BY

LEGEND
1 - CELESTIAL
2 - ELECTRONIC
3 - VISUAL
4 - D.R.

TIME	ORDER	CSE	SPEED	DEPTH	RECORD OF ALL EVENTS OF THE DAY
					0630 - 1200 (CONT)
1141					[redacted] HAS THE CONN
					SECURE COUNTER UAS EXERCISE
* 1130					ONE FAILED DB26 5" FULL CHARGE AND
					ONE FAILED DZ96 5" CLEARING CHARGE
					JETTISONED OVER THE SIDE ON POSN 20 IN A
					32°54.2N 118°38.4TW.
1157					[redacted] HAS THE DECK

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FG2DzWVVEAERwpo.jpg

2021-12-17T22:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471975582216818688

@smppelephant I guess a good follow-up questions would be how many times you tried.

I just gave it another go. Nothing.:disappointed_face:

2021-12-17T22:39:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471973460633010179

I tried several times (unsuccessfully) when I was young, and even a few times as an adult. The feeling reminds me of playing a slot machine, knowing I'm not going going to hit the million-dollar jackpot - but still the thrill of: what if I did!

2021-12-17T22:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471972616495718401

Have you ever, in your entire life, tried to use The Force (or some other form of Psychokinesis) to move something?

2021-12-17T21:12:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471951335318646784

@5X5_NEWS @SingletrackAdam @MiddleOfMayhem I believe Greenstreet is actually posting that in support of the rotating glare hypothesis. We have no beef.

2021-12-17T18:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471912254224232452

@TheUfoJoe @DenilsonSP3 @MvonRen @Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan If I'm wrong about anything, I'm the first o admit it.

I disagree with Marik's interpretation.

2021-12-17T18:30:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471910779653791745
@ClydeOracle @_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Cherry pick? Here I'm just trying to figure out the rotation shown in the Gimbal video. It is not intended to mean anything in a great context.

2021-12-17T18:23:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471908845219766274
@RussSigman @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Cold bubble? You meant the unsharp mask contrast enhancement that is common in IR videos.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471908834012655618/pu/img/0zbQh4ByMy6ahRLw.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471908834012655618/pu/img/0zbQh4ByMy6ahRLw.jpg
2021-12-17T17:04:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471889141759504384
@ker_uap @_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Gimbal only happened once.

UAP represent a large number of different things.

2021-12-17T16:57:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471887364498751490
@Alex_Beelzebub @MvonRen @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Because it's filmed from the ground.

2021-12-17T16:56:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471887081337077763
@_olligo_ @MiddleOfMayhem @TheUndeadGaucho @_n_th_n_ @LtTimMcMillan Raytheon did not return my inquiries. But I believe they have been asked about the videos and they deferred to the Navy. The Navy refuses to discuss their investigations because of default secrecy.

2021-12-17T16:53:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471886276236222470
@ker_uap @_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan I'm not trying to discredit anyone.

I just enjoy trying to figure things out. If it turns out someone made a mistake, then that's part of the equation.

2021-12-17T16:52:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471885904289546243
@Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @MvonRen @LtTimMcMillan Here's a video of a jet with a large IR glare and zero detectable exhaust plume.
Gas isn't a good IR emitter (for a given volume)
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471885611069894656/pu/img/Xvj8VH9DMK6-H6wR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471885611069894656/pu/img/Xvj8VH9DMK6-H6wR.jpg
2021-12-17T16:48:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471885125784719362
@MvonRen @Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Why would you see an exhaust plume if the plane is flying away and the glare is such that no plane is visible?

Where is the exhaust plume here?
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471885018054070275/pu/img/OotmUp_Gne55zTIK.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471885018054070275/pu/img/OotmUp_Gne55zTIK.jpg
2021-12-17T16:31:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471880690614423554
@MvonRen @Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan I disagree. I've also explained my objection to you. I perhaps need to explain better.

2021-12-17T16:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471878592313266183

@Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan If the one on the left in this video rotated, then would that move the dial?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471878412138545158/pu/img/1Tzci5e23AgNFfyl.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471878412138545158/pu/img/1Tzci5e23AgNFfyl.jpg

2021-12-17T16:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471878215824121864

@conorowens94 @LtTimMcMillan And with the greatest respect to their skill and experience - it's not the simplest theory to quickly grasp. Tim does not really understand it, so I suspect, like Corbell, the line of questions might not have been ideal.

I'd be happy to talk to any of them.

2021-12-17T16:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471877579137175554

@saucerlit @DonMarcello16 @quistalium @LtTimMcMillan That's a separate issue.

People sometimes make quite understandable mistakes. Even navy pilots.

2021-12-17T16:17:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471877323657867272

@Alex_Beelzebub @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan It does change shape. Quite significantly. The diffraction spikes (which indicate glare) come and go. The overall "blob" shape also changes both over time and as it rotates.

2021-12-17T16:15:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471876630377209871

@_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan It's also crippling complex to fully understand.

Even just the glare part of the overall hypothesis. But it's not like it came from nothing.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471876264080203777/pu/img/XoZONEJ_K-Qb9j-E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471876264080203777/pu/img/XoZONEJ_K-Qb9j-E.jpg
2021-12-17T16:12:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471876051361927170
@_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan The Gimbal Rotating Glare hypothesis is a synthesis of several facts. http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FG0nR-qVEAIfu9E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FG0nR-qVEAIfu9E.jpg
2021-12-17T16:10:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471875499127279616
@_n_th_n_ @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan Well if there was something that looked exactly the same there would be no discussion.

Unidentified things remain unidentified because there's insufficient information to precisely

identify them.

Rotating glares are real though.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471875452197236747/pu/img/L_lSKHVCalOl67Jz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1471875452197236747/pu/img/L_lSKHVCalOl67Jz.jpg
2021-12-17T16:08:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471875011266838531
@FettuccineSplit @LtTimMcMillan @DonMarcello16 Fravor demonstrated that he was unfamiliar with the intricacies of the physical movement of the outer gimbals and derotation mechanism. He thought the camera actually flipped when passing overhead a target and was unaware of the need of a rotation+derotation L/R

2021-12-17T16:05:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471874154651553795
@quistalium @saucerlit @LtTimMcMillan @DonMarcello16 Probably because it was a fairly unusual set of circumstances that does not happen all the time. The individual parts (Saucer shaped glare, rotating glare, gimbal rolls, glare hiding a plane) are all things that are known to happen even with the miniscule data set we have.

2021-12-17T15:57:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471872202362404865
@USMCNoggin @MvonRen Which comment?

2021-12-17T15:54:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471871348003594241

@LtTimMcMillan @DonMarcello16 Well replace "Fravor" with the name of any of your pilots and WSOs. They are all incredibly talented and highly trained individuals. They know how to operate an ATFLIR, but that does not mean they know what's going on under the hood.

2021-12-17T15:48:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471869901778214917

@LtTimMcMillan @DonMarcello16 "Multi-sensor" isn't the magic word you make it out to be. However the FLIR ONE, which I used in some replications actually IS a multi-sensor, electro-optical device.

Your objection is meaningless.

2021-12-17T15:44:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471868835275763713

@LtTimMcMillan @DonMarcello16 Fravor is an incredibly talented and highly trained fighter pilot. He know how to operate an ATFLIR.

That does not mean he understands how it works under the hood, and specifically the large gimbal rotation adjustments which are DESIGNED to be hidden from the operator.

2021-12-17T15:32:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471865971086290946

RT @TimOBrien: Ruh roh.

The court says Fox knew exactly what it was doing when it defamed Dominion. The court is describing clear evidencâ¦

2021-12-16T19:39:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471565649839149057

@GarthDryland @DATheHighGuy Yes, there's a whole sub-forum on the Navy Videos. https://www.metabunk.org/forums/ufo-videos-and-reports-from-the-us-navy.60/

And a bunch of videos: https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL-4ZqTjKmhn5Qr0tCHkCVnqTx_c0P3O2t

---

2021-12-16T19:32:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471563780161110020

@GarthDryland @DATheHighGuy No, Chad declined.

2021-12-16T19:31:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471563592226914305

@hopeless7784 I'm not saying I agree with them.

2021-12-16T18:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471554328024403968

@GarthDryland @DATheHighGuy The only known camera person for any of the Navy videos is Chad Underwood for FLIR1 (The Nimitz Tic-Tac). Everything else is anonymous/unknown.

2021-12-16T18:52:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471553828914823169

@Ovrwtchr I think it's kind of a terminology thing. The detect and investigate "flying air systems" but not UFOs. They are basically equating the terminology of UFO/UAP as being weird flying saucers. It's a bit of an odd take - but they are basically backing away from the silly side.

2021-12-16T16:36:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471519641528795140

@BEAMED2SCOTTY @mattgroesbeck Well of course they are real. Y'all need to get a better word. Maybe several words.

2021-12-16T08:44:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471400888606474240

@Meta_Myself Ventilators are not cheap, nor are doctors and nurses.

2021-12-16T05:43:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471355378709393408

RT @rothschildmd: He's still dead, and Oswald still did it on his own.

2021-12-16T03:12:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471317279488892928

The UK has no UFOs. https://twitter.com/nickpopemod/status/1471231687463878656

2021-12-16T03:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471316127615647745

@JMichaelsonUT @darkagenda @sly_sparkane @MarroniDean @FTFEofficial @PTroubleshooter @LadyM3033 @garethkett @GavinVS44 @PrismPrime @SurferCosmic @DennisWhalebone @try_thinking @RunningHippo @MaidelK @Phaenomena12 @Mctoon27 @flerfsareidiots @ReadECAM @KanChristo @IEAffiliate @PearSpheroid @ken_caudle @southernmsgurl @Freak0nline @doctorbuttons @inbybits2 @jon_hill84 @JohnnyD_cm @pauledevans @St_Martin_666 @stargazen67 @Michael98410246 @watermole6 @NoLongerThatGuy @LogicalReterg @suns1699 @AiNaTow @fistonltd @boston_hoax @earthisaglobe @TheEarthIsFlat2 @wcpretorius @FlatEarthD @GordonSBrooks @VeritatisetLum1 @Nack__Jicholson @_hiddenagenda @JoeEason1 @Wandaspangler2 Hereâ□□s a radius calculator.

https://www.metabunk.org/radius/

2021-12-16T01:18:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471288605456814081

@darkagenda @sly_sparkane @MarroniDean @FTFEofficial @PTroubleshooter @LadyM3033 @garethkett @GavinVS44 @PrismPrime @SurferCosmic @DennisWhalebone @try_thinking @RunningHippo @MaidelK @Phaenomena12 @Mctoon27 @flerfsareidiots @ReadECAM @KanChristo @IEAffiliate @PearSpheroid @ken_caudle @southernmsgurl @Freak0nline @JMichaelsonUT @doctorbuttons @inbybits2 @jon_hill84 @JohnnyD_cm @pauledevans @St_Martin_666 @stargazen67 @Michael98410246 @watermole6 @NoLongerThatGuy @LogicalReterg @suns1699 @AiNaTow @fistonltd @boston_hoax @earthisaglobe

@TheEarthIsFlat2 @wcpretorius @FlatEarthD @GordonSBrooks @VeritatisetLum1 @Nack__Jicholson @_hiddenagenda @JoeEason1 @Wandaspangler2 It was only "assumed" in the sense that I assumed the millions of measurements carried out of the thousands of years that came to the same result were pretty accurate.

But it's also experimentally verifiable, which I've done - in particular by observing Catalina.

2021-12-15T22:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471252776176865281

I suspect these are going to be the christmas lights that keep on giving blue-lights-in-the-clouds UFOs for years to come. https://twitter.com/JoePorterUK/status/1467777805136060420

2021-12-15T18:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471189013922942980

@Edmonstar_7 @DavidJayB @viaChrisLathrop Looks like a flock of birds. I don't know what accounts for the weird banding though.

2021-12-15T15:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471137703756398592

@MHuntington7 One can only imagine where we will be in UFOlogy in 70 more years!

2021-12-15T07:11:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1471015130972315652

@randyINTL The image almost certainly shows a rock.

The rover moves very slowly because it's safer that way, and there's plenty of science to do wherever it is.

Spirit took 6 years to go 7.7km. About 350 feet a month.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGoYGX0VkAARvLL.jpg


OUT-OF-THIS-WORLD RECORDS!
DRIVING DISTANCES ON MARS AND THE MOON
(AS OF FEBRUARY 13, 2019)
MARS
MOON
0
10
20
KILOMETERS
30
40
50
Opportunity
[2004-2018]
45.16 KM
Lunokhod 2
(USSR)
[1973]
39 KM
Apollo 17
Lunar Rover
[1972]
35.74 KM
Apollo 15
Lunar Rover
[1971]
27.8 KM
Apollo 16
Lunar Rover
[1972]
27.1 KM
Curiosity
[2012-present]
20.4 KM
Lunokhod 1
(USSR)
[1970-1971]
10.5 KM
Spirit
[2004-2010]
7.7 KM
Marathon race distance
(42.195 km / 26.22 miles)
Sojourner
[1997]
0.1 KM
Yutu 2
(China)
[2019-present]
0.1 KM (est.)
Yutu
(China)
[2013-2016]
0.1 KM (est.)
0
10
MILES
20
30
FOR MORE INFO, VISIT
MARS.NASA.GOV

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGoYGX0VkAARvLL.jpg
2021-12-15T06:50:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1471009706923548672
@randyINTL That image seems to have been edited to remove the dunes close to the end. The "saucer" shape is just a slightly curved dune.

2021-12-15T00:52:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470919711089041410
@markamaskey @blackvaultcom I agree.

2021-12-15T00:37:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470915966011723777
@DavidFo73204388 Maybe. However they do lose altitude.

2021-12-14T17:34:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470809384452120582
@jeffhalmos @kimball_23 @mdeetelm @SKEPTICLBELIEVR Surely if I was spinning a web of lies then it would be an easy matter to expose it?

2021-12-14T17:32:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470808912483946499
@MiddleOfMayhem @Steve_Horwood I just took it. But I'm starting to gather clips like this into my personal stock library as similar things come up again and again.

2021-12-14T16:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470791479446564868
@Steve_Horwood @MiddleOfMayhem Dripping down the window, which happens at various rates
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1470791344851279875/pu/img/SP6pGpMFaojlnxSg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1470791344851279875/pu/img/SP6pGpMFaojlnxSg.jpg
2021-12-14T16:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470785698747854856

@kimball_23 @jeffhalmos @mdeetelm @SKEPTICLBELIEVR Itâ□□s not photoshop, itâ□□s the actual camera.

2021-12-14T05:30:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470627177989230593

@issaufobro Not if it was too far away.

2021-12-14T04:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470611786772615168

@realufobros @DietrichVFA41 @Robin081564 @skinnyb0b @PostDisclosure I had limited options :)

2021-12-14T01:13:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470562642611687424

@DietrichVFA41 @Robin081564 @skinnyb0b On the 4th day of Disclosure, my government gave to me: 4 Shiny Bokeh :microbe::microbe::microbe::microbe:

@PostDisclosure

2021-12-13T23:53:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470542331149312000

@BBronson @joekaczmarek @USAF_ACC @TMZ @NBCNews @ABC @FoxNews Looks like a partially deflated mylar balloon

---

2021-12-13T23:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470538332631232513

@Max42855895 @sickthegoat @danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Which is what I'm suggesting is happening here. The dim light, grey color of the jet, distance and low resolution means they are not visible. Assuming this is a genuine video.

2021-12-13T23:35:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1470537776411992069

@sickthegoat @Max42855895 @danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Look again. They flicker and fade out completely.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1470537702491656195/pu/img/Ewh23q4HcZQA1466.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1470537702491656195/pu/img/Ewh23q4HcZQA1466.jpg
2021-12-13T23:13:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470532261690818563
@sickthegoat @Max42855895 @danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Sorry I missed it. Flares are invisible at a distance when not burning.

2021-12-13T23:03:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470529871101116416
@issaufobro "Hong Kong" and "over the South China Sea" are not "in the middle of the Pacific Ocean" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGhfDOtVIAIbOEi.jpg

---

MUFON - event date: Nov 24 2021 - location: Hong Kong - Case 119564

South China sea, 9 lights visible in video, then it becomes 12 lights in formation, closest object disappears then 3 more objects reappear on outside of formation. Filmed at 39,000ft over the South China Sea, lights all then slowly vanish.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGhfDOtVIAIbOEi.jpg
2021-12-13T22:02:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470514394131951618
@DavidFo73204388 You ask these questions as if they were some impediment to the flares theory instead of a minor unresolved puzzle.

2021-12-13T21:27:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470505623930478595
@YeaImAlerizevci Sure, it's the most unusual nearby rock. One of the rocks is going to be the most unusual rock.

2021-12-13T19:42:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470479371374927882

@jeffhalmos @kimball_23 @mdeetelm @SKEPTICLBELIEVR That's not what I say. But I do discuss the cold "halo" effect here.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

2021-12-13T19:39:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470478607470452736
@issaufobro "A remote area?" Where are you referring to? What's "remote" about it?

2021-12-13T19:38:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470478126761271309
@YeaImAlerizevci Yes, it's going to be a rock. It's not even cube shaped.

2021-12-12T23:48:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470178716051333120
@Max42855895 @danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Well, if you keep firing out flares about every 2-3 seconds, and the flares last about ten seconds each, then that is what you will get.

2021-12-12T23:44:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470177708252356616
@Max42855895 @danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Abnormal in what way?

2021-12-12T23:26:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470173311497768964
@BigNativeMitch Foo fighters encompasses many different eyewitness accounts dating back 80 years. You might as well try to debunk unicorns.

2021-12-12T22:56:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470165744717484034
@BigNativeMitch No, I can't.

2021-12-12T22:55:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470165463350984704
@dave_beaty I don't suppose you have any of that video?

2021-12-12T16:25:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470067191127048198
@DericKnight @glenn_rob It varies, the technical limits are not the point here, itâ□□s the area of interest. The mil jets are probably too far away to show up on ACAS (and might not anyway). ATC somewhere would have them. But what queries were made?

2021-12-12T15:37:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1470055112471027717
@DavidFo73204388 Hardly the mysteries of the universe.

2021-12-12T07:06:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469926685105541121
@ZaineMichael1 @Jim87504388 @jplatte11 I was referring to this video. The one used in my short explainer (and linked in teh description)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c5hunxT7MEU&t=36s

2021-12-12T07:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469925964553490434
@jz_Dragon The easiest way is to use screen-grab software.

More accurate is to export individual frames from a video viewing software, eg. MacOS Preview, or Photoshop.

2021-12-12T05:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469905192015462401
@glenn_rob Very bright lights are visible from 50-100 miles away in dim conditions, like at sunset. The planes are not visible.

2021-12-12T05:06:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469896351857008641
@orel94934645 Thereâ□□s a video of flares that matches.

2021-12-12T03:56:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469878853149491203
@ZaineMichael1 @jplatte11 Sure, I showed that earlier in my short video.

But, really, how complex do you think it could be?

2021-12-12T03:43:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469875408837300228
@ZaineMichael1 @jplatte11 It looks like flares.

2021-12-12T03:40:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469874670392381440
@jplatte11 They can be fired individually, and Iâ□□d imagine at different rates.

2021-12-12T00:29:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469826614632468481
@glenn_rob Sure, but these look they are some considerable distance away.

2021-12-11T23:51:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469817131629875202
And flares in the distance at sunset with no plane visible.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469817047949320193/pu/img/zHGfTbSW834LBDo_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469817047949320193/pu/img/zHGfTbSW834LBDo_.jpg
2021-12-11T23:26:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469810894725017602
View of fighter plane firing flares over the clouds at sunset.

From a distance it's possible the planes would blend in with the clouds, and all you would see would be points of light appearing out of nowhere.

Source:
https://www.gettyimages.com/detail/video/view-of-fighter-plane-firing-flares-over-the-cloud-stock-video-footage/1138137369?adppopup=true
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469810279563214848/pu/img/K7lmz6B0RLqjKJUF.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469810279563214848/pu/img/K7lmz6B0RLqjKJUF.jpg

2021-12-11T23:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469808707303186436

@BigFootCyberArk @FoxNews Again, that's an out-focus light, or small bright object.

2021-12-11T21:21:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469779448983539713

@GertrudeLees @Rainmaker1973 No. It's 100% not a fata morgana.

2021-12-11T19:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469752006239678465

@MvonRen @DeepSpace41 Well, other than things like the actual rotation of the light patterns, the camera bump that precedes the rotation, and the similar rotations in FLIR1.
One problem here is lack of actual experts and genuine comparison videos.

2021-12-11T19:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469750400534286340

@GeezaNews @ET__GOD @FoxNews The video Rob posted is not spinning. It's an out-of-focus light, rippling slightly with atmospheric distortion. Looks like an iPhone or similar.

2021-12-11T17:42:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469724195504353280

@masukomi Yes, it's not caused by atmospheric conditions, unless you're saying that because the ripple variations are caused by wind.

But that's not what a MIRAGE is. Mirages, superior or inferior are caused by irregular layers of atmosphere bending light.

2021-12-11T17:33:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469721975706689542

@thepostmaterial @preluder @FoxNews It was proven by examination of the focus levels on the original photos. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGWAV3jUUAEgb1M.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGWAV3jUUAEgb1M.jpg

2021-12-11T17:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469718153202520066

@preluder @thepostmaterial @FoxNews It's a point of light out of focus.

What's CAUSING the point of light is unclear (although, based on the other images, a mylar balloon is likely).

The point is this is presented as if it represents the shape of the object. But it's just bokeh, like: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2021-12-11T16:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469705002591551491

This "sphere" UFO that @foxnews keeps showing in their stock UFO footage rotation is normal iPhone bokeh. Of course there might be a shiny transgravity craft behind it. But the photo is of a point of light, out of focus, that's all.

Stop using it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGVwPElVkAMeKQn.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGVwPElVkAMeKQn.jpg

2021-12-11T16:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469702684655566852

@critejon @Rainmaker1973 Here's a "hovering ship" illusion. It's not a mirage. You can see the surface of the water and the true horizon if you zoom in and boost the contrast a little.

You can even see some windmills behind the horizon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469702398117498892/pu/img/GsWATPK4h2A-NOpx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1469702398117498892/pu/img/GsWATPK4h2A-NOpx.jpg

2021-12-11T16:14:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469702249240674304

@critejon @Rainmaker1973 It's a false horizon, not a mirage. Like this:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGVuHekUUAMbzSI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGVuHekUUAMbzSI.jpg
2021-12-11T15:38:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469693050930692098
@Matttrusss @YouTube Even @GarryPNolan thinks the headline goes a bit too far in characterizing the fragments as "UFO Parts"
https://twitter.com/GarryPNolan/status/1469493576249741313

2021-12-11T15:36:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469692523291447296
@JBryanSmith1 @ZiyadNourAcc @wow36932525 The flares are well below the plane filming, and many miles away.

2021-12-11T15:33:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469691935157731331
@Cosmic_Mystery0 Hot things dropping other hot things, but just floating along. Sounds like a Chinese lantern.

2021-12-11T15:25:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469689731793317889
@masukomi @Rainmaker1973 But the article is wrong. You can see the actual horizon in the image to the left. There is no mirage involved.

DId you watch the linked video? https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=er1mh90wN-k

---

2021-12-11T15:06:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1469684914245505024
@masukomi @Rainmaker1973 Itâ□□s not a mirage. Itâ□□s a false horizon.

2021-12-11T10:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469613751066189824

@JudgeKowalski @Matttrusss @YouTube No, it just stopped happening a few years ago. It's surprisingly common though. The type of Hypnagogic Hallucinations are probably just manifestations of phobias. Like I was afraid of spiders as a child.

https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/321070#physiological-process

---

2021-12-11T10:04:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469609050425815042

@Joakim63214914 @wiel_jade @carlanderson333 The ADS-B data proves it.

2021-12-11T00:53:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469470270830174209

@Matttrusss @YouTube I'm not referring to the size. I'm saying the "parts" implies things like spark plugs and crank shafts. These look like chips of rock or industrial waste.
I mean, these were part of a car, but I would not call them car parts.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGSbZSoVUAMB21o.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGSbZSoVUAMB21o.jpg

2021-12-10T22:56:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469440998287704067

@Matttrusss @YouTube "Parts" seems to be overselling it somewhat.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGSAn4jVgAI4877.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGSAjLRVQAM-Hhr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGSAn4jVgAI4877.jpg

2021-12-10T22:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469438820198219780

@rgh_ufos @carlanderson333 Thanks!

2021-12-10T19:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469390996781744128

@wiel_jade @carlanderson333 I'm not a Chilean Navy Helicopter Pilot either, but I could explain what they saw.

It's not about expertise, it's about verifiable facts.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-12-10T18:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469377667585622016

@danielb00296613 @ChillzTV Far away, military planes, dim light, and low resolution video.

2021-12-10T17:37:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469360622752055300

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @jeffhalmos @kimball_23 @mdeetelm The "whole thing" was that it was some weird flying pyramid. Not that it was an unidentified aircraft.

2021-12-10T16:44:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469347212199038984

@UAPtechnician @PoliticalGeeker @carlanderson333 Was there supposed to be a lie in that image?

I don't deliberately say things that are false. If I've said something that is incorrect, I'd be happy to have it pointed out, and I'll correct it.

2021-12-10T16:22:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469341773096910851

@tristan_uk @ChillzTV It's too far away, small, grey, low resolution video

---

2021-12-10T16:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469337781386186757

@ChillzTV They look and act like distant flares being released from three small fighter planes.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-10T07:41:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469210699561771009

@Disclosure89 @PostDisclosure For any new types of UAV

2021-12-10T07:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469209954699542529

@le_orac @KingM2021 @TheProjectUnity It's just three streams of flares, from three planes.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-10T04:10:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469157524972572672

RT @SpacedOutRadio: 66 minutes until #SpacedOutRadio with @DaveScottSOR is live. @MickWest talks #disclosure #ufotwitter #skeptics #UFOs #Uâ¦

2021-12-10T03:16:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469144064809336833

@greg51361570 Iâ□□d take it, assuming they paid me for my time.

2021-12-10T03:15:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469143761611493376

@PostDisclosure Are the next five at all significant? Or just business as usual?

2021-12-10T03:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469143311667527686

@PostDisclosure Iâ□□ll check back in 30, just to be safe.

2021-12-10T03:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469141901144068097

@PostDisclosure One could argue that the 73 years that have already elapsed are significant - and maybe a portent.

2021-12-10T03:00:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469139997466914816

@PostDisclosure At what point though? 10 years? 20?

2021-12-09T21:48:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469061485775101956

@kristaalex33 @Jim87504388 Like I just said: "Video often misses strobe flashes, leading to an illusion of random sequences."

2021-12-09T21:39:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469059188206698499

@kristaalex33 @Jim87504388 Flashing lights in the the sky are usually planes.
Plane lights flash at similar or identical rates, or multiples of that rate.
Video often misses strobe flashes, leading to an illusion of random sequences.

2021-12-09T21:35:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469058062006697985

@WUTangKids https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-09T19:48:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469031119899152390

@kristaalex33 I don't have a definitive explanation for most UAP. They are generally UAP because they are difficult to identify, because they exist in the LIZ.

I usually have a some hypotheses, but it's difficult to verify when all you've got is some lights drifting in the sky.

2021-12-09T19:44:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469030300017586176

@kristaalex33 @Jim87504388 What evidence?

2021-12-09T19:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469030160682799108

@Jim87504388 @SabersKnight @Travis_Tritten UAP represent a wide variety of things. That variety has changed over the years.

Like there's more drones now.

2021-12-09T19:42:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469029655944450050

@kristaalex33 @Jim87504388 You think they are some kind of non-human entity though?

2021-12-09T19:41:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469029401756987393

@MugenItadori @JasonColavito @Jim87504388 @JoshuaNicolaiii Chris Mellon and Luis Elizondo are saying space aliens or trans-dimensional beings.

2021-12-09T19:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469029054946820097

@kristaalex33 I'm not. I'm trying to figure out what each case shows.

If it's something amazing that would be amazing.

2021-12-09T19:38:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469028605459976196

@SternShark @barstoolsports Looks like flares.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-09T19:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469028456927141889

@kristaalex33 Sounds like a kite that got loose, blew over a hill and then landed in the water.

2021-12-09T19:07:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469020930030465024

@kristaalex33 If it was that long, then the lights were likely on the tail. There's some examples and more discussion here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/blue-ufo-over-hawaii.11526/

2021-12-09T18:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469018669560651779

@chrisotis78 Wouldn't an incursion into a training range or operational area count as possible foreign adversaries?

2021-12-09T18:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469018225564291074

@KilliK69 @My111100496401 @DeepSpace41 UAP clearly represent a variety of things.

2021-12-09T18:55:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469018004373471232

@kristaalex33 Maybe more like this, hard to say with poor quality video.

https://youtu.be/IQ0oqMcLBEs?t=123

2021-12-09T18:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1469015095480963073

@kristaalex33 Probably an LED kite.

2021-12-09T16:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468987891925721096

@KingM2021 @TheProjectUnity Military exercise, training, or testing.

2021-12-09T16:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468981709857181696

@CzrRex @RodgerGodberd @TheProjectUnity It's a MUFON case, which was posted on Dec 4 as being over the "South China Sea"

It appears to show flares.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-09T15:58:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468973369194258448

RT @PaulJamesDean: @MickWest I wrote a massive report on NASIC and UFOs some time ago. And theres piles more now.

https://ufos-documenting-the-evidence.blogspot.com/2019/02/foreign-aerospace-threats-emerging-and.html

---

2021-12-09T15:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468969864463151117

@LivingExtraord1 This is recycled from 2016. It shows plumes of condensation from a power station above a layer of fog.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-iron-giant-robot-walking-on-clouds-cooling-towers-plumes.7208/

2021-12-09T15:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468968774485172232

@KhouriPaul I think heâ□□s fooling himself.

2021-12-09T15:17:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468963098627248130

@SabersKnight @Travis_Tritten Of course thereâ□□s something to it. Probably not anti-gravity craft though, or aliens.

2021-12-09T10:58:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468897935228211201

@pratty_505 @BubbaSri Is there an inarguable UFO case in there?

2021-12-09T10:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468891594803724288

@pratty_505 @BubbaSri Which bit of it?

2021-12-09T10:31:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468891111301124099

RT @SpacedOutRadio: TONIGHT on Spaced Out Radio, welcome our guest, Mick West! @MickWest Join us at 12a.m. ET/9p.m. PT at https://t.co/ct7Lâ□¦

2021-12-09T05:18:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468812250098008065

@mdeetelm I like my accent. Iâ□□ll take the hit.

2021-12-09T05:15:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468811416056463364

@Rezeus2017 @Jim87504388 Or 3, itâ□□s not advanced tech.

2021-12-09T02:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468773502899474435

@Robin081564 It seems to be directly implied right here. Otherwise what is the significance? Why mention it?

2021-12-09T02:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468773091861884933

@Disclosure89 When?

2021-12-09T00:26:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468738894917095424

@Centrist48 I'd be happy to go if someone covers my costs. Around $2K with travel and lodging.

2021-12-09T00:25:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468738609628921859

@Tirks82 How is a black irregular blob in level flight consistent with a white regular caplet shape that rapidly changes direction and altitude?

2021-12-08T23:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468729515245326341

@Tirks82 I don't think I've ever suggested migrating geese for Nimitz. I think the radar returns were likely false, and I don't know what Fravor saw.

2021-12-08T23:02:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468717604781002753

@UFODoctor Have you been convinced by this non-credible evidence?

2021-12-08T23:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468717068895670274

@murnut @Avirup1981 When then? 10 years? 100?

2021-12-08T22:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468716857418915841

@lionsground One bug is not necessarily like another bug.

Your point about motion blur is wrong. It's exactly consistent with 1/60th second frame rate, and 1/120th second exposure.

If something moves during an exposure then you get blur. It's impossible not to. Try it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGHuF3mVQAA7Pii.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGHuF3mVQAA7Pii.jpg

2021-12-08T22:02:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468702427859742721

@hopeless7784 @Lu666Cifer @Fortean777 @Lu666Cifer has blocked me now, but I was asking about the word "real" - which I think is often tossed out without it being clear what it means. When a ufo is "real", what does that mean? That it's unidentified? That it's novel technology?

UFOs are real in many senses.

2021-12-08T21:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468687265450770432

@A3803 I think that shadow is the likely explanation here.

2021-12-08T20:59:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468686744706957314

@Lu666Cifer @hopeless7784 @Fortean777 What does your skeleton mean by "real" here?

2021-12-08T20:52:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468684957690175490

@SabersKnight @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 @chrisotis78 So, nothing to do with this case then?

2021-12-08T20:11:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468674673709764609

RT @JasonColavito: The UFO phenomenon bares a close resemblance to the Giant phenomenon from the 19th century. Both involve s myth that shaâ¦

2021-12-08T20:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468672821702234112

@messyjetski It's just their media response, basically driven by interest in Avi Loeb and the

Mellon campaign.

The science hasn't changed. But NASA responds to public interest. Heck, they need funding.

2021-12-08T20:00:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468671966424952833

@adam24531442 @SteUFOnotCGULLS The new UAP Office's annual report.

2021-12-08T19:56:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468670873963941890

@DaveOliver179 @HighStrangenes3 A variety of things, just like the UAP report says.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGHEWCkUUAAJwIq.jpg



UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

5

UNCLASSIFIED

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGHEWCkUUAAJwIq.jpg

2021-12-08T19:37:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468666094009270278

@HighStrangenes3 Because there is something to it. Just probably not aliens.

2021-12-08T17:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468632892830105601

@ReticSpline Satisfy me in what way? Believe what?

What are you suggesting is true that I am rejecting as false?

2021-12-08T17:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468632040396374020

@KingM2021 A couple of triangulated videos showing unusual motion would be a great start.

2021-12-08T17:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468631718923960323

@Deleo77a1 @BubbaSri It's data, just generally not very good data.

2021-12-08T17:16:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468630451254272006

@Avirup1981 @caitgaist No. If it's as you say, then you should be able to give a link.

I've investigated many supposed moon anomalies. They don't pan out.

2021-12-08T17:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468630144944263175

@CalebLe74625772 Who stated that?

2021-12-08T17:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468629817486495746

For some historical context on the newly minted UAP Office, interested parties should read up on NASIC - an airforce group that has been reverse engineering adversaries' aerial systems since the 1940s.

Do you think they have been ignoring UAP reports?

https://www.nasic.af.mil/About-Us/Fact-Sheets/Article/611728/national-air-and-space-intelligence-center-heritage/

2021-12-08T17:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468627838987235335

@PostDisclosure @morrin81 @AFSUnidentified When you say "People like Avi Loeb", who else are you referring to?

2021-12-08T16:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468623733673762819

@KebabKastike Maybe a little :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGZeO4VEAQmPAq.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGZeO4VEAQmPAq.jpg

2021-12-08T16:47:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468623180424105989

@Avirup1981 @caitgaist You know, you can post images and links on Twitter.

2021-12-08T16:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468619429810298880

@MadtownAtheist Kind of rocky, no good atmosphere.

2021-12-08T16:31:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468619151618895874

@BubbaSri My position is predicated on examining such evidence in depth.

However I think you are conflating testimony with data.

2021-12-08T16:30:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468618927001399299

@livetogro Any proof we have images taken from the surface of the earth?

2021-12-08T16:29:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468618744037474310

@RobertDobalina7 These are essential responses to frequently asked questions. The media likes to ask (or imply) if aliens have not been ruled out.

2021-12-08T16:17:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468615832569745410

@My111100496401 @JeremyCorbell It's a joke.

2021-12-08T16:10:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468613909418426376

@osbald @TheAdlerian Yeah, it's perched near the the lip of a crater. Exposed rocks often reveal interesting thing. We'll see.

2021-12-08T16:00:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468611395163885570

@TheAdlerian Some rocks.

2021-12-08T15:56:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468610545498558464

A fundamental issue with ufologists is their instance on interpreting things not being ruled out as being significant evidence for that thing.

Of course you can't rule out aliens having visited Earth in the past. A more significant thing here is the lack of any credible evidence

https://twitter.com/RogueUAPTF/status/1468598142253682688

2021-12-08T15:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468607920266907649

A mysterious hut on the Moon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGK2viVEAcZRWs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGK2viVEAcZRWs.jpg

2021-12-08T15:26:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468602834727829507

@giddierone @BartyJungas @riley_spots It was the Chinese. They also said "Was it a home built by aliens after the crash landing?" which should give you some material :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGGcQTVgAQVF9I.jpg

突然，北侧天际线处一个突兀的立方体引起了他们的注意，**这个物体刺破了天际线的蜿蜒，仿佛凭空出现的“神秘小屋”，旁边横亘着一个大型“幼年”撞击坑，那会是外星人迫降后建起的家吗？还是前人探月的先驱航天器？**



图4 天边的“神秘小屋”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGGbWoVQAkJvjF.jpg

Suddenly, an obtrusive cube on the northern skyline attracted their attention. **This object pierced through the winding of the skyline, like a "mysterious hut" that appeared out of thin air. There was a large "baby" impact crater beside it. Was it a home built by aliens after the crash landing? Or is it the pioneer spacecraft of the predecessors to explore the moon?**



Figure 4 "Mysterious Hut" on the horizon

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGGGcQTVgAQVF9I.jpg

2021-12-08T15:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468599772609081345

@steviemac48 @kimball_23 @SKEPTICLBELIEVR I donâ□□t reject them at all. I try to understand what they saw, and why.

2021-12-08T09:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468506587559907328

@Numenor33 Make videos, write a book.

2021-12-08T04:52:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468443239728312323

@AtticusFinch_JD No, but suggestions that it was for security.

Really no clear benefit from it.

2021-12-08T04:40:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468440290230824961

@AtticusFinch_JD Sure, but that committee was removed from the legislation.

2021-12-08T04:38:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468439771307405313

@steven_dor Works for me!

2021-12-07T22:47:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468351383862534149

@BartyJungas @riley_spots You can tell it is not a perfect cube by looking at it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGChuY2UUAcnM10.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGChuY2UUAcnM10.jpg

2021-12-07T22:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468347818712592388

RT @KenDilanianNBC: Exclusive: Kamikaze drones bring power and peril to the U.S. military. They are proliferating around the world and areâ¦

2021-12-07T22:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468347025288617985

@BartyJungas @riley_spots Well, I sure don't think it's perfectly square.

2021-12-07T22:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468340634519965697

The AWS outage means I can't turn on my gas fireplace by shouting at Alexa. I have to press a button.

#FirstWorldProblems

2021-12-07T21:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468338727076327424

@normal20211 So why do they mention birds first in the list of possible explanations in the UAP report?

2021-12-07T21:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468338576358211588

@Avirup1981 How's that going to work? What new data do you expect before October?

2021-12-07T21:39:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468334259836383235

What is #ufotwitter going to do between now and October 31 2022?

2021-12-07T21:35:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468333474029326336

@conorowens94 Page 1491, Section 1683

https://rules.house.gov/sites/democrats.rules.house.gov/files/BILLS-117S1605-RCP117-21.pdf

---

2021-12-07T21:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468332803313967107

@evan_crookham And yet it's not covered by the definition.

2021-12-07T20:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468315591719075846

@Yarns101 Not really. Just interested in how it's going to work. I'd be happy to have more well-organized investigation into the causes of UAP sightings.

2021-12-07T20:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468315055288553474

@SicCoP1 Well I guess we can just wait and see.

2021-12-07T20:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468311581435912199

@RoswellHawks Birds are literally the first possibility that the UAP Task Force suggested.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB9ddyUUAIHnKn.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB9ddyUUAIHnKn.jpg

2021-12-07T20:06:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468311095987224578

@SicCoP1 So you suggest there would be some ad-hoc method of determining if a UFO was a UAP?

What does "all events" refer to here? All what events? All events someone decided were worth reporting? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB9BERVIAEZUOn.jpg

(3) SUBSEQUENT BRIEFINGS.—Each briefing provided subsequent to the first briefing described in paragraph (2) shall include, at a minimum, all events relating to unidentified aerial phenomena that occurred during the previous 180 days, and events relating to unidentified aerial phenomena that were not included in an earlier briefing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB9BERVIAEZUOn.jpg

2021-12-07T20:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468310252131074049

And what about unusual radar returns? If they don't know if they are from objects, then should they be reported? With the Nimitz example, would what Kevin Day observed be a UAP if it might not be an object?

2021-12-07T19:56:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468308454590717957

If the new UAP task is supposed to report on ALL UAP, then maybe they need a better definition that prioritizes significance? A plane (or bird) in the distance is not IMMEDIATELY identifiable. What's the cut-off time? What can they ignore? Or do they want a million reports?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB6XfuVcAEfkpQ.jpg



the atmosphere and bodies of water, that are not immediately identifiable.

(5) The term "unidentified aerial phenomena" means—

(A) airborne objects that are not immediately identifiable;

(B) transmedium objects or devices; and

(C) submerged objects or devices that are not immediately identifiable and that display behavior or performance characteristics suggesting that the objects or devices may be re-

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FGB6XfuVcAEfkpQ.jpg

2021-12-07T19:33:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468302552454238210

@Fmoute @e2_hawkeye @Akam1129 Hard to say. The filming plane is at 39,000 feet. The planes dropping the flares are some distance below (but above the clouds), and some distance away. If I were to ballpark it I'd say they were around 15,000 feet and 20 miles away.

2021-12-07T19:14:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468297956218441732

@e2_hawkeye @Fmoute @Akam1129 Parallax.

2021-12-07T08:49:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468140489945673730

@zero132132 @SciManDan @latestinspace Meteoroids

2021-12-07T06:12:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468101194421661698

@sewalkerdesign @SciManDan @latestinspace So how would you respond to "Mysterious cube-shaped anomaly"?

2021-12-07T04:53:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468081264020234244

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector You

are wrong. Is not about authority. Itâ□□s about facts.

2021-12-07T00:39:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1468017219024003077

@OddFlyingThing @BigFootCyberArk Looks a bit like a star. Although the atmospheric scintillation seems slower than normal.

2021-12-06T22:25:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467983619222228992

@steven_dor @SciManDan @latestinspace Sure, it's an interesting object, probably an interesting rock.

2021-12-06T19:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467935200176869379

@MoonPieDreams @TomSuesss I'm sure there are countless wonders in the Universe.

2021-12-06T18:47:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467928611885780994

@YellowDart1 @deckarep Ralph is joking. But the photos I posted are from Apollo 17. https://www.nasa.gov/multimedia/imagegallery/image_feature_2129.html

---

2021-12-06T18:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467928223166222342

@bottwater @BrandonFugal They appear to be an attack by wild animals. This is not uncommon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8gydFVQAQWsSt.jpg

---

Carnivore Species that Killed Sheep in Five Western States, 2009[1]

	CO	ID	MT	UT	WY	Total
Bear	3,800	300	400	4,000	1,600	**10,100**
Bobcat	600	0	100	0	200	**900**
Coyote	8,900	5,400	14,600	16,700	15,300	**60,900**
Dog	300	400	400	1,000	600	**2,700**
Eagle	300	0	600	1,200	1,900	**4,000**
Fox	700	0	1,300	500	1,000	**3,500**
Puma	800	300	300	2,500	1,200	**5,100**
Wolf	0	1,200	700	0	600	**2,500**
Other	600	500	400	1,500	200	**3,200**
Total	**16,000**	**8,100**	**18,800**	**27,400**	**22,600**	**92,900**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8gydFVQAQWsSt.jpg

2021-12-06T18:30:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467924332047257601

@MoonPieDreams @AdorfaV Of course there's a small chance it's an artificial structure. That's just not why they are going to look at it. They are going because it's an interesting rock.

2021-12-06T18:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467920622999142400

@AdorfaV @MoonPieDreams 1%? So you think that 1 in 100 such objects on the moon are not rocks?

2021-12-06T18:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467920397429448704

@MoonPieDreams @bottwater I'm 100% not "Feigning ignorance of the fact that the zoomed in picture posted is only from one angle"

You're beating a dead horse here. We agree it's probably a rock, but you don't like the way that I said it.

Harping on like this is not constructive.

2021-12-06T18:12:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467919826010062848

@Openmindedskep8 Videoloupe is great for real time adjustments.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8ZTKnVIAEYV4R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8ZTKnVIAEYV4R.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8ZNJ7VIAIXPo0.jpg
2021-12-06T18:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467918989405786116
@Openmindedskep8 All of them let you do basic adjustments, like levels. AE and PS have more complex filters.

Night time video is tricky as there's not a lot of info you can get into a very dark color space in 8-bits, so you end up with block.

You can stack sometimes.

2021-12-06T18:05:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467918231620898819
Colombian TV presenter @CesarEscola can caught the UFO bug.

Small or Far Away is a constant problem in UFOlogy, but lots of people don't really give "small" the consideration it deserves.

He's seeing flies, thinking they are spaceships.
https://twitter.com/ColombiaUap/status/1467908956974632960

2021-12-06T18:02:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467917427325345794
@MoonPieDreams Have I killed your imagination?

I'm just supplying some perspective.

2021-12-06T18:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467917010063351812

@Openmindedskep8 Mostly Photoshop and After Effects. Videoloupe for quick inspection. Invisor for EXIF. RX9 for audio.

2021-12-06T17:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467916269638721538

@MoonPieDreams @bottwater Ignorance of what?

There are boulders that are very roughly cuboid. The point here is that there's nothing inconsistent with a boulder, nor is there anything to suggest an artificial structure.

It's probably a rock. That's all. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8WAS_VkAU9amB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8WAS_VkAU9amB.jpg

2021-12-06T17:54:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467915323173064709

@TomSuesss Are these photos not exciting? Reality is amazing!

2021-12-06T17:51:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467914516746760196

@CesarEscola @AeroCivilCol @FuerzaAereaCol @BOG_ELDORADO @UFOsightingss Es un insecto. EstÃ¡ cerca de la cÃ¡mara.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gfOqjychJaI

2021-12-06T17:43:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467912698205663245

@MoonPieDreams They are going to look at it because it's a boulder perched on a ridge, and hence likely more geologically interesting with exposed surfaces.

Same reason those astronauts are examining that boulder.

Examining rocks on the moon is incredible - no need for false hype.

2021-12-06T17:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467911685637754882

@Openmindedskep8 No, I don't mean that.

2021-12-06T17:37:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467911010757464068

@MoonPieDreams @bottwater Cubes have evenly lit sides, and this does not.

2021-12-06T17:19:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467906475980771330

@No3spera Rock on.

2021-12-06T17:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467906386197561351

@bottwater Well it's never looked like a cube, but I'm not sure how you can exclude boulder based on like 20 pixels.

2021-12-06T17:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467905221007327232

@ForeySR People tell me that a lot.

:)

2021-12-06T16:35:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467895440179752963

@1DalM Yes, although more meteoroid impacts than asteroids. Boulders can also roll downhill occasionally, ending up in odd places. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8C7y3VUAIw_IL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8C7y3VUAIw_IL.jpg

2021-12-06T16:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467893165696819201

If you are getting excited about the Chinese Yutu-2 rover going to check out a "mystery hut" on the Moon, keep in mind: A) it's only 80m (262 ft) away, and B) large boulders exist on the Moon.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8ASxtVkAEvZp8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8AAOSUYAUVLg7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF8ASxtVkAEvZp8.jpg
2021-12-06T15:34:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467880212142514183
@benjimaan22 @JRam_11_11 Ramirez seems to be suggesting it has already happened, but it has not. "Chemtrails" are nonsense.

Gov involvement in geoeng research is minimal, but of course its potential use (by anyone) is a NatSec issue.

I interviewed one of the paper's authors.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVAxh39hlog

2021-12-06T08:01:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467766176616837120
@bottwater Why? Can you draw a diagram?

2021-12-06T08:00:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467765976330498048

@SciManDan @latestinspace No, there's nothing to analyze. Probably just some rock.

2021-12-06T08:00:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467765794079535105

@jz_Dragon No, but you can often just do an image search on individual frames of the video.

2021-12-06T04:20:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467710527811977219

@joejohnstun Itâ□□s a rock.

2021-12-05T22:54:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467628552464052225

@JBryanSmith1 @ZiyadNourAcc @wow36932525 Why? Canâ□□t heat-seeking missiles get that high? /s

---

2021-12-05T22:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467628228676243456

@Scionkirk Non-visible? :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes: I know â□□invisibleâ□□ has certain connotations, but the point here was to have something to compare to the 3-plane flare UFO video.

2021-12-05T20:13:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467587914699735040

@Edmonstar_7 @ColombiaUap It's possible it's an unidentified craft of some sort.

It just looks exactly like a fly.

2021-12-05T20:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467587649573580805

@KilliK69 @sampleszz @sapinker @joerogan I'm sure Elizondo is not skeptical about many accounts.

2021-12-05T20:11:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467587436364455937

@UAPorco @LaxSunnyG @ColombiaUap Yeah, you cropped out the tree it's in front of.

2021-12-05T20:10:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467587261902438404

@uapstuditaly @ChrisSPITZER7 @ufoofinterest @mufon The three planes are too far away to be visible in these light conditions.

2021-12-05T20:09:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467587067307720704

@UAPorco @LaxSunnyG @ColombiaUap Here is the fly on each frame, and the location on the last frame. Toggle between the last two frames to see it pop into position.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3qaW9VcAEKZEB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3qHoPVQAAnYG5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3qaW9VcAEKZEB.jpg

2021-12-05T19:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467583176369270789

@uapstuditaly @ChrisSPITZER7 @ufoofinterest @mufon Why slow? They could be going 600mph.

2021-12-05T19:37:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467578906341371908

@DreamDetective1 It's a progression.

Upon first glance it only looks a bit like flares, because it's in unfamiliar circumstances.

Upon more detailed inspection, and comparison with other examples, it becomes clear that it

actually looks exactly like flares.

2021-12-05T19:09:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467571769041055750

@JonMcneilan http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3cvgnUcAMJIDP.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3cvgnUcAMJIDP.jpg

2021-12-05T19:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467570739607846912

@kerfuffle_stuff @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 What background info? It's this, shot from a plane at (reported) 39,000 feet, over the South China Sea. The pilots can't tell what it is. Anything else?

2021-12-05T19:03:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467570418814885889

@kerfuffle_stuff @UFOApostle @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 They are too far away to see in the low quality video. Look at the big picture.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3bbd9VEAE4Xfg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF3bbd9VEAE4Xfg.jpg

2021-12-05T18:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467556166318231554

@froman2049 @HalfTornad0 @wow36932525 @Disclosure89 The planes dropping the flares. You can't see them as they are too far away in dim light with poor resolution video. The flares are very bright, so you can see them,

---

2021-12-05T17:42:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467549875826921477

@MorganMaurene Of course it could be UFOs, just ones that look and act exactly like flares.

2021-12-05T17:40:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467549349299163140

@HalfTornad0 @wow36932525 @Disclosure89 No, the back one is done, dead, burnt out. The new on is a new flare, newly dropped from the plane.

2021-12-05T16:55:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467538125517770752

@criticquestions @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 @neiltyson It does not. Open minded skepticism is what is needed.

2021-12-05T16:54:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467537978733969416

@Jjgaffers01 @Picabia12 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 Definitely!

2021-12-05T16:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467536874872791046

@Jjgaffers01 @Picabia12 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 I probably will.

2021-12-05T16:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467534128744599555

@UAPorco @LaxSunnyG @ColombiaUap Yes, it's in front of the tree in the last frame. Go frame-by-frame and you will see it.

2021-12-05T16:38:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467533919620763652

@Picabia12 @Jjgaffers01 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 They do, as I demonstrate here, about 23 seconds in.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-05T16:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467533542351523840

@ChrisSPITZER7 @uapstuditaly @ufoofinterest @mufon Certainly not red. Why would they use red flares? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF25zWwUUAMfEMD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FF25zWwUUAMfEMD.jpg

2021-12-05T16:34:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467532782905618434

@matt_calgary Someone (gargamel) on Metabunk found it.

2021-12-05T16:33:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467532611081756672

@criticquestions @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 Debunking is 100% about finding the truth. That's the entire point.

2021-12-05T16:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467530038912241665

Caption is "MiG-29, release of heat decoys"

2021-12-05T16:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467528978848051202

An invisible plane, releasing flares.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8A7MW5q5KgQ

2021-12-05T15:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467522643020574725

@ThomasM70730706 @papercrane111 @Patrick09667990 Three planes flying together.

2021-12-05T15:19:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467513872173072386

@SabersKnight @Bobby95975933 @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 Military training?

2021-12-05T15:17:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467513495096680449

@Patrick09667990 @papercrane111 I think the planes are just to far away to show up in this video quality.

2021-12-05T14:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467501440184709121

@papercrane111 Or maybe great footage of flares.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-05T06:43:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467384105511780357

@Jtooshygrimsley @ChrisSPITZER7 Military.

2021-12-05T05:00:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467358075359092738

@mcb1879 @Jjgaffers01 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 The flares are much lower, and miles away.

2021-12-05T04:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467357936175357953

@s_pect_re @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 Much lower.

2021-12-05T01:19:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467302490433470464

@BartyJungas @e_tobor Planes go that high, and drop flares, a long way off from (and quite a bit below) the plane with the camera.

2021-12-05T01:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467300353272004611

@VSaknussem @sheisartmoney @528vibes Kinda looks like flares tho.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-05T00:43:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467293596583747585

@issaufobro The planes that are too far away to be visible in the low light and crappy video.

2021-12-05T00:40:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467292826283089920

@DonMarcello16 @dembeck_chet Other did. However I plan to address it in a video next year.

2021-12-05T00:15:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467286499083259904

@issaufobro The comparison in the video does those. Not for as long, but it demonstrates it.

It's not re-emerging - it's new flares being released. They all just last about 10 seconds and are released about every 2.5 seconds.

2021-12-05T00:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467285982575665153

@ChrisSPITZER7 So how far away can you see a grey f16 at dusk against clouds?

There's also the issue of visual sighting vs. showing up on video.

2021-12-04T23:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467276071544311810

@SabersKnight @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 And compared it to video of a known flare, which looked the same.

Which bit do you feel needs math?

2021-12-04T23:33:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467275830522826754

@realufobros Sure, If that was a photo of a moving object, then I'd say it's a white sphere with black spikes on a white background.

It's probably not the best gestalt effect example, as you would not get this by accident.

2021-12-04T23:29:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467274889790820354

@SabersKnight @Disclosure89 @wow36932525 The flares are very far away, like the planes that they come from, so you are just seeing glare. If you can't see the planes, you would not be able to see smoke (which, like the planes, would also be a similar color to the clouds)

2021-12-04T23:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467269540488704003

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 I think Cuomo's audience is a little smaller, but yes. I've explained my disagreement with your dislike of smoothed data. Perhaps you could ask someone else?

2021-12-04T23:01:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467267759235305474

@Disclosure89 @wow36932525 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r9YK11YjQMc

---

2021-12-04T22:57:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467266891446046724

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 And I disagree. So I guess we are stuck?

2021-12-04T22:57:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467266774059999233

That video of three rows of "UFO" lights in the South CHina Sea that looks a bit like flares is probably flares - because they actually look EXACTLY like flares.

https://youtu.be/r9YK11YjQMc

2021-12-04T22:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467263302875025411

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 It kind astonishing that you would look at that graph and think the stair stepped line is somehow better.

2021-12-04T22:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467262735217950721

@facemullet @Jjgaffers01 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 They are not synchronized, there's a fairly consistent interval between them, but that's hardly out the reach of modern technology.

2021-12-04T22:10:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467254926627594240

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 I was just thinking about that. I think it's likely to do with the angle being a combination of both the internal and external gimbal systems, and a need to allow the angle to stabilize before reporting it.
Or perhaps an anti-gravity craft flying in circles.

2021-12-04T22:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467254358857306112

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 The actual physical position would have been smooth, so a smoothed graph is more representative of what happened.

2021-12-04T21:48:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467249356029849601

@facemullet @Jjgaffers01 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 Did you time them?

2021-12-04T21:46:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467249017373413376

@ZaineMichael1 @thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 There's a more detailed dive into the data on Metabunk, ultimately the range (and hence motion) is indeterminate with the given data.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/gimbal-distance-and-speed-range-estimates-using-lines-of-bearing-and-or-dcs.11836/

2021-12-04T21:39:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467247267165851652

@thaxter @edwardcurrent @preluder @__dregs__ @sapinker @joerogan I didn't make that argument. I simply relayed what Pinker said about the photo.

2021-12-04T21:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467246313985118212

@96_kingz They don't appear to be travelling.

2021-12-04T21:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467242439421280257

@wtduck8 Maybe dirt in the camera. Best to look at the original mastcam images.

2021-12-04T21:18:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467241899744325634

@Jjgaffers01 @wow36932525 @Akam1129 Probably flares

2021-12-04T20:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467237041259696129

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector Leito hadn't even heard of hyperfocal distance. So what expertise are you referring to?

2021-12-04T20:08:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467224316496412673

@ChrisSPITZER7 I agree. Flares from three small planes in formation.

2021-12-04T19:25:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467213515559604224

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector I suspect he was referring to Lehto's discussion of focus, depth of field, and hyperfocal distance (and his various home experiments). This did indeed seem to show he was unfamiliar with these basic concepts of optics.

2021-12-04T18:54:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467205670642466817

@jplatte11 @thepostmaterial @Ps5andxbox1 People are swayed by compelling-sounding testimony - especially when delivered personally. Look at Havana Syndrome for example.

2021-12-04T17:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467191943755038721

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan Well, we can actually check the claims here. I responded in detail, and explained why they were wrong. My response videos are here: https://www.youtube.com/c/MickWest/search?query=response

---

2021-12-04T17:57:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467191304572440577

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector Viper is an informal name for an F-16 Falcon, and Chris Lehto himself refers to his plane as a Viper.

2021-12-04T15:57:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467161239356805123

@thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 I donâ□□t think they are lying. But I donâ□□t think there is any indication the evidence is good. Mostly they have been convinced by Fravor, etc.

2021-12-04T15:56:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467160882039844864

@mvpispi A bit of both.

2021-12-04T15:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467160702016053251

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan They were demonstrably wrong. Thatâ□□s the point.

2021-12-04T06:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1467024951257354245
@thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 "Clearly"? How is it clear? Does someone saying there is evidence make it so?

2021-12-04T06:32:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1467018868811993088
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @da_dilettante @sapinker @joerogan Iâ□□d be happy to discuss the details. How did they refute me, exactly?

2021-12-04T01:38:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466945040454873093
@thoreauawhelan @HowToMeetET Classic.

2021-12-03T23:19:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466910086538797061
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @sapinker @joerogan Pinker presumably thought I was an expert because of the compelling (to him) details in my videos.

But qualification are not the issue. I'm not saying "I'm an expert, this is what happened." I'm pointing out various things that people can check for themselves.

2021-12-03T23:07:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466906973983559681
@thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 Could you give an example? I mean I do disagree with people like Elizondo and Fravor on specific points, but I'm always happy to back up what I say.
I'd also be happy to change my position on a point if new evidence arises. But vague hints of hidden data is not that new evidence

---

2021-12-03T20:11:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466862770880593921
@thepostmaterial @jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 I try to never go full 100%

2021-12-03T20:09:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466862259041280009
@sampleszz @sapinker @joerogan Yeah, he's skeptical of the government. He's not skeptical about Bob Lazar, or Elizondo, or that Fravor saw an anti-gravity machine.

2021-12-03T17:50:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466827166792880128
@conorowens94 @CDVilsack @sapinker @joerogan It would be great if they could get together to figure out the actual sequence of events.

Dietrich apparently didn't realize there was this big difference in time recollection until after the 60-minutes thing. Fravor has been very consistent about the five minutes.

2021-12-03T17:48:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466826638440599553

@lemoutongris @mombojom @TruthBeTOLDMFer @chrisotis78 Maybe. It's some object that he found that he can do a trick with to make it look like it's in the sky.

2021-12-03T17:38:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466824111078854656

@conorowens94 @CDVilsack @sapinker @joerogan Why did she only see it for ten seconds?

2021-12-03T17:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466823861459054592

@TSPriley @ChrisSPITZER7 @Spacecowboy781 I've not found any similar videos, but there's hardly any videos that show night beach fishing, let alone from a distance.

2021-12-03T16:47:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466811307676471297

@ChrisSPITZER7 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 Reddit thinks they are bite alarm lights on fishing poles. Seems plausible.

2021-12-03T16:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466810802854187008

@ChrisSPITZER7 @TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 Here you go:
https://www.reddit.com/r/UFObelievers/comments/q16neo/lights_break_formation_to_dance_around_one/

(Methodology: search for Macaroni___addict, scroll down a bit)

2021-12-03T16:33:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466807849816711171

@jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 Did it pan out?

2021-12-03T16:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466807197304639492

@TommyDante @sapinker @joerogan Neither Rogan nor Pinker are any kind of authorities on UFOs. Rogan has been convinced by eyewitnesses, Pinker seemed more influence by the analysis of the video. Really it's all about the actual evidence.

2021-12-03T15:49:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466796732818231299

@jplatte11 @Ps5andxbox1 If you have not seen them see it, how do you know the nature of what they have seen?
People initially talked up Gimbal and GoFast as jaw-dropping, but that did not really pan out.

2021-12-03T01:00:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466573049713487874

@TSPriley @Spacecowboy781 mmm 270p contextless lights!

2021-12-03T00:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466571629157236738

@asrtfm @sapinker @joerogan Well Pinker did describe me as "an expert in optics" which isn't strictly true (I know a lot of the fundamentals of how cameras work, but it's not like I have a

degree in optics)

2021-12-03T00:40:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466567905504415744

@__dregs__ @sapinker @joerogan He talked about that photo, said it was the bane of his existence. I think it was at one of the science salon retreats the Epstein organized - lots of scientists were there.

2021-12-03T00:23:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466563686776987648

Thanks @sapinker for raising my skeptical analysis of the Navy UFO videos on @joerogan, and politely holding the skeptical fort against Joe's quite passionate conviction that there's some kind of advanced technology flying around. (1:47:20 on Spotify)
https://open.spotify.com/episode/7C6L6iGRefhz9wo7jFqfAO

2021-12-02T22:45:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466539058759225346

RT @ReutersFacts: World soccer governing body FIFA says it is not aware of a rise in cardiac arrests in players and has received no reportsâ¦

2021-12-02T20:41:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466507895156064257

@jplatte11 That's certainly one possibility that fits the facts. There are others.

2021-12-02T20:41:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466507746656673792

@jplatte11 @AlgolAluvaia I don't see that flying underwater at any speed. In fact it just seems to go behind the horizon.

2021-12-02T20:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466498148935766019

@RayMoor18837824 @NovationInvestr I would really like to know what the justification was.

2021-12-02T16:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466449969393463305

A fascinating collection of "Flying Saucer Clickbait" from 1947 - which shows how rapidly a meme can take hold, even (very) pre-internet.
https://thesaucersthattimeforgot.blogspot.com/2021/12/flying-saucer-clickbait-from-1947.html?spref=tw

---

2021-12-02T16:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466444656326053894

There is no sphere.

An example of the gestalt effect. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFnbXdEUUAUAYtf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFnbXdEUUAUAYtf.jpg
2021-12-02T15:35:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466430952402563075
RT @oneunderscore__: New from @BrandyZadrozny:

Antivaxxers have been eating (yes, eating) "Magic Dirt" called BOO, claiming it's a miracleâ¦

2021-12-02T15:09:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466424380934828041
@John08858729 @TruthBeTOLDMFer Iâ□□m worried about waste and distractions based on specious evidence. Iâ□□m also just curious.

2021-12-02T15:08:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466424041317806084
@rollinwithbolin Iâ□□d only need minor funding

2021-12-02T15:02:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466422594194882560
@Rezeus2017 @KalKorff Because then it would be an actual big deal, not some wishlist.

2021-12-02T15:01:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466422203596128258

@prentic4 Several times over the years.

2021-12-02T05:33:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466279330045071364

@jr_chillin550 @dave_beaty How can you tell?

2021-12-02T05:32:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466279031909670913

@ChrisSPITZER7 @UAPorco @steven_dor Horizon shrinks glare.

2021-12-02T01:52:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466223827604492288

@TruthBeTOLDMFer @Uapme1time Iâ□□m serious. The Tic-Tac is not described as being transmedium.

2021-12-02T00:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466208104375218178

@fin365 @GONZO_UAP What are the top five transmedium events?

2021-12-02T00:49:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466207876783898625

@edwardcurrent @HappyAtomsTees @realufobros @fin365 And "first time ever" is not "all the time".

But I suspect Emmett wasn't talking about creating life from scratch. More like in-vitro, CRISPR, etc.

2021-12-02T00:37:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466204785288220674

A climate scientist is being sued by a chemtrails conspiracy promoter for pointing out that "chemtrails" are just contrails.

https://twitter.com/DougMacMartin/status/1465796202390331399

2021-12-02T00:35:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466204340633276427

@MattBornong @DougMacMartin @GeoeWatchDebunk Metabunk is my site. I'd be happy to help with any specific research, fact-checks, or just explanations of what Dane is thinking.

This 2016 paper I helped with is a good answer to the common photographic and chemical test evidence.

2021-12-02T00:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466200312381804544

@HappyAtomsTees @AlgolAluvaia Thanks for the answer!

2021-12-02T00:01:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466195858932715526

@steven_dor I looks like an IR source being obscured by the horizon.

2021-12-01T23:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466189805004361737

@AlgolAluvaia Sure, but the UFOlogy version of that is something that can magically fly underwater at the same speed as in the air.

2021-12-01T21:49:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466162583908470787

@steven_dor What, this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1466162545312501766/pu/img/-XRl2sKpBb--ljDT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1466162545312501766/pu/img/-XRl2sKpBb--ljDT.jpg

2021-12-01T21:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466161983816753153

@rogerglassel @No3spera Sure, I know it's Mellon - but I'm wondering what he presented regarding "transmedium" that was so compelling?

2021-12-01T20:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466144380918505475

@BostonsBuddha @theferrit32 @edwardcurrent @fin365 Can you quote where they said it? Because I'm pretty sure that's just Corbell talking there.

2021-12-01T19:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466133021610807298

@TruthBeTOLDMFer They did not see the Tic-Tac enter or leave the ocean. So that's two different object, not a transmedium object.

2021-12-01T19:22:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466125625987534851

Why are "Transmedium" unidentified object even in the Gillibrand ASTRO amendment?

What's the actual evidence behind the inclusion of what seems like obscure UFO mythology? Which cases convinced Gillibrand?

2021-12-01T16:32:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1466082883538354176
@TheOutThere2016 https://www.instagram.com/reel/CWzEPNEA8oe/?

2021-12-01T16:07:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1466076399588896768
@MissusZumwalt @ColombiaUap Sure, thereâ□□s a lot of UFO events with insufficient information to make a determination. So they remain unidentified, but usually with varied hypotheses.

2021-12-01T01:41:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465858626715729924
@CalebLe74625772 My goal is to figure stuff out and help people.

2021-12-01T00:55:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465846911923994630
@PaisleyWave @Disclosure89 Everyone has a different credulity line.

2021-12-01T00:08:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465835059009634307
@cfgjugsdf I'd hardly call what I did "CGI"

The lack of any source or real origin story is a big red flag here. I'm going with fake until there's any evidence otherwise. I don't see any need to do more.

2021-11-30T23:54:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465831694569725957
@cfgjugsdf
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465831663976341506/pu/img/o3bbo_iJ_gaHCLmw.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465831663976341506/pu/img/o3bbo_iJ_gaHCLmw.jpg
2021-11-30T21:15:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465791715038486529
@CesarEscola @AeroCivilCol @LATAM_CO @BOG_ELDORADO @OVNIS_UFOs @LATAMAirlines

@giorgiotso It's a fly. Here's a zoom-in and a comparison with other flies around a cow.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gfOqjychJaI

2021-11-30T21:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465789675935649793
@iamzeeeggman @fin365 @joerogan used to believe in rods. Quite a few people still do. It's one of those things that seems very compelling if you don't know any better - much like these SFA UFOs. Once you start thinking of them as large and fast, it can be difficult to flip your brain back to reality.

2021-11-30T20:51:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465785501047148548
@iamzeeeggman How do I know you're not an alien? Such questions are silly. If an object matches a mundane things in every way, then it is almost certainly that thing.

Is it possible it's something else in disguise? Obviously. But there's zero evidence of that, so we default to the mundane.

2021-11-30T20:35:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465781618879070208
@ColombiaUap @EKAdams @LaxSunnyG
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1465781378914549760

2021-11-30T20:34:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465781378914549760
The video of a UFO being shared by CÃ©sar Escola is, quite obviously, a fly.
But lots of people are sharing it (and asking me about it,) so it's worth a slightly closer look to explain exactly how it resembles a fly. We just need a field of cows.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gfOqjychJaI

2021-11-30T18:49:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465754905554030594
@AliG_212 @Disclosure89 Obviously it is not, since it's a balloon, which you can verify by looking at it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465754837736296451/pu/img/8_my4NbntgqC7y-R.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465754837736296451/pu/img/8_my4NbntgqC7y-R.jpg
2021-11-30T18:30:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465749994162425857
@LaxSunnyG @ColombiaUap Possibly some variant of musca domestica. However the actual type is irrelevant. It looks like a fly. You see the wings. It looks like other video of flies. There is zero evidence it is not a fly.

2021-11-30T17:59:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465742419484315654
@LaxSunnyG @ColombiaUap It's pretty obviously a bug, moving at normal bug speed.

What do you think is "metal" about it?

2021-11-30T05:54:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465559762125295616
@benjimaan22 @FrederickPorti6 He does not want my help. He blocked me some time ago.

2021-11-30T05:52:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465559368645050369
@Picabia12 @DavWoodster117 @Spacecowboy781 @ET_Kowai The balloon's motion indicates the direction of the wind. The changes in light are because the balloon is rotating and reflecting the sun. Just look at it.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465559225443053572/pu/img/LT24UydBWVSH2mlE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465559225443053572/pu/img/LT24UydBWVSH2mlE.jpg
2021-11-30T04:37:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465540427306602503
@AtticusFinch_JD Like what?

2021-11-30T04:36:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465540229725515778
@TruthBeTOLDMFer @unknownscienc @PoliticalGeeker *helped solve.

2021-11-29T22:43:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465451375580823558
@Disclosure89 Actually, the "P" in UAP stands for "Phenomena."

2021-11-29T18:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465380533316194309
@jimmytw54816602 @ron9gray @Disclosure89 You might also like to check out my assessment. It's a very short video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ijEbGEp3vyY

2021-11-29T17:53:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465378287094415362
@chasisr23 @Disclosure89 Yes, a balloon.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465378145716957188/pu/img/XviThWupmLlEHv7u.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465378145716957188/pu/img/XviThWupmLlEHv7u.jpg
2021-11-29T17:44:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465376164596228104
@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @BobKurbel @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine Okay, I think we are done now. If you would like to demonstrate you claim go ahead. If not them we are simply going around in circles.

2021-11-29T17:32:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465373072303611907
@edwardcurrent @Disclosure89 It's also unlikely that they all went hunting without binoculars or a spotter scope. They probably knew what it was and were just joking around.

2021-11-29T17:29:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465372475387105281
@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @BobKurbel @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine This is verging on trolling now.

Show it on three camera.

2021-11-29T17:26:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465371534562770949
@TruthBeTOLDMFer It's a fun little investigation. I learn something new, and other people do too. Also some people actually thought this was some kind of giant object, and now they don't. Every little helps.

2021-11-29T17:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465369495812202496
@Neil_Bower @Disclosure89 It's obviously a balloon. So it's going with the wind.

2021-11-29T16:48:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465362135618179076
@Neil_Bower @Disclosure89 No.

2021-11-29T16:46:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465361639511773187

@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf They don't. You've made this claim several times, but have not backed it up. If you continue like this I'll just mute you.

Show the dust on COR1/2

2021-11-29T16:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465360954166706177

@BrianRoemmele @reverend_taylor @Musikaravaa @cosaingalway I'm not going to bother NASA about questions they answered perfectly well back in 2013. If you have different information then post it. Otherwise we are done.

https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFYB06vVcAEN8IW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFYB06vVcAEN8IW.jpg

2021-11-29T16:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465360017859624966

@BrianRoemmele @BobKurbel @Oswald1160 @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine Young's theory was demonstrably wrong, and I'm sure he would admit that after looking at the time-lapse.

2021-11-29T16:39:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465359705497235460
@DavWoodster117 @Spacecowboy781 @ET_Kowai Well it's pretty obviously a mylar balloon. I'm a bit bemused as to why anyone would think otherwise.

And no, I don't trust his assessment that it's going against the wind.

2021-11-29T16:36:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465358959062110213
@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf You are just ignoring the answers Brian. It has been explained several times.

Eventually people will ignore you. It's entirely your call.

2021-11-29T16:30:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465357541039218697
@Disclosure89 It's a mylar balloon.

2021-11-29T16:27:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465356665969004547
@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf Have you looked at a COR image?

The dust particles identified only show up on EUVI detector.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX9_JyVgAEcFFN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX9_JyVgAEcFFN.jpg
2021-11-29T16:16:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465353880087138308
@reverend_taylor @Musikaravaa @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway It's also Brian's claim that he emailed NASA and they said it was not dust. So that's a super easy thing for him to demonstrate. NASA emails are public domain. So post it.

2021-11-29T15:55:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465348723408801793
@Musikaravaa @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway As you know, there's a NASA page that discusses the dust specks. They don't seem too bothered about it, and as you can see it makes almost no difference to the final images.
https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

---

2021-11-29T15:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465348142409285633
@BrianRoemmele @Musikaravaa @cosaingalway You claimed you already did. So just post the email.

2021-11-29T15:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465347928873046019
@Musikaravaa @JamesPr65703236 @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway A screen cap of this page:
https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

Which explains that there's dust on the sensor, and why it moves like it does.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX2DIWVkAInFvt.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX2DIWVkAInFvt.jpg
2021-11-29T15:51:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465347612987461637
@SonicGravity1 @papercrane111 The specks are on the camera sensor. They stay in the same

place in the raw camera image, however (as I explain in the video, and as NASA explains) the images are processed to keep the Sun's axis vertical, giving the 14Â° arc.

https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX1xJsVkAMtU2p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX1xJsVkAMtU2p.jpg
2021-11-29T15:49:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465347084433825795
@Musikaravaa @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway It's only on one sensor. The EUVI sensor.

That camera uses filters to get the different wavelengths, but there's only one sensor. The filters are on a wheel in front of the camera. The dust is on the camera sensor.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX1TfqUcAoSg2e.jpg

3.3 Filters

The EUVI uses thin metal film filters at both the entrance aperture and near the focal plane to suppress undesired UV, visible, and IR radiation. We use two types of filters at the entrance of the telescope (Figure 4): an aluminum-on-polyimide foil on a coarse nickel grid for the short wavelength quadrants (17.1 and 195 nm), and a single layer aluminum foil on a fine nickel mesh for the long wavelength quadrants (28.4 and 30.4 nm). Both types of filters use a 150 nm thick layer of aluminum to reject light. The grid supported aluminum filter is backed with a 70 nm thick layer of polyimide for strength. The polyimide layer allows the filter to be supported by a coarse grid with 5 mm line spacing that causes only minimal diffraction at EUV wavelengths. The polyimide transmits only about 50% of the EUV radiation at the observing wavelengths, but this is not a major concern for the strong lines at 17.1 and 19.5 nm. The mesh supported filter avoids the absorbing polyimide layer, which is a major concern at longer wavelengths, especially for the somewhat weaker line at 28.4 nm. The fine mesh with 0.36 mm line spacing causes a noticeable amount of diffraction, especially in the presence of very bright solar features. Both types of filters have been flown on highly successful experiments: EIT used a plastic reinforced aluminum foil on a nearly identical coarse grid for all wavelengths and TRACE[3] used fine mesh supported filters nearly identical to the ones on the EUVI. Near the focal plane 150 nm thick aluminum filters on a fine mesh are housed in a filter wheel. The filter wheel offers redundant filters in case pinholes should develop on orbit. A third filter wheel position contains two filters in series to mitigate against any catastrophic damage to the entrance filters. The fourth filter slot is left open, primarily for ground testing. All filters are being manufactured by LUXEL Corporation.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX1TfqUcAoSg2e.jpg

2021-11-29T15:45:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465346256532688900

@BrianRoemmele @Musikaravaa @cosaingalway Post the email. It seems very unlikely she would say the specks were not dust, as it says exactly that on this page she manages, which also explains the motion.

https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX0mchUYAUD8Fd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFX0mchUYAUD8Fd.jpg

2021-11-29T15:35:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465343734359330825

@Musikaravaa @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway The dust would have already been there. Here's a page where NASA discuss a dust speck on the Sensor that is still there.

https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

---

2021-11-29T15:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465343160796651520

@BrianRoemmele @Musikaravaa @cosaingalway Twitter compressed that file, here's an easier one to see. Or you can make your own.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/2020_01_01_16_09_03_2020_12_07_14_41_00_EUVI-A_171-hq.mp4

2021-11-29T15:29:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465342051252203522

@BrianRoemmele @Musikaravaa @cosaingalway Here's another dust particle on the STEREO-A EUVI camera sensor, identified as such by NASA, doing the exact same 14Â° swing over a year (with the same camera jitter).

https://stereo.gsfc.nasa.gov/artifacts/artifacts_camera.shtml

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465341457854722057/pu/img/yN-fPPLnWKMZU3Or.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1465341457854722057/pu/img/yN-fPPLnWKMZU3Or.jpg

2021-11-29T15:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465339099821137924

@BrianRoemmele @BarlowTerry @CBariatta @Disclosure89 Those are three different filters. The dust is probably on the sensor, which is used for all three wavelengths.

2021-11-29T15:15:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465338677026967565

@Musikaravaa @BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway I circle two in the video, about 30 seconds in. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ijEbGEp3vyY

2021-11-29T10:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465266831443173388

@giddierone It's after Thanksgiving, which is the start of Bokehmass in my house.

2021-11-29T06:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465213021525921792

Especially with a bit of zoom. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFV7biMUYAE7RO-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFV7biMUYAE7RO-.jpg

2021-11-29T06:51:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465211793609936899

Merry Bokehmass! The season allows many opportunities for experimenting with everyone's favorite pseudo-UAP. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFV6AAkVQAA3lHe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFV6AAkVQAA3lHe.jpg

2021-11-29T01:43:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465134321929388035

@CDVilsack @marcbel19406167 The video explains it.

2021-11-29T01:40:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465133618137763840

@BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway Its fractions of degrees over several days, and applies equally to multiple specks.

It's specks.

2021-11-29T00:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465115103833964545

@BrianRoemmele @aka__V I just did. In the tweet you replied to.

2021-11-29T00:26:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465114960996929539

@BrianRoemmele @cosaingalway It's stabilized for the sun. If it was not, then the specks would not move.

2021-11-29T00:23:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465114250267938816

@cosaingalway @BrianRoemmele All the specks move the same. So obviously it's the camera moving. Probably minor orbit adjustments.

2021-11-28T23:52:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465106413621964802

@Leo12822950 @Disclosure89 @BrianRoemmele No, it's some specks on the camera.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1465091339117215753

2021-11-28T23:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465106267416850436

@BrianRoemmele @aka__V Yes, all of the specks show the exact same motion - the expected 1-year harmonic motion back and forth, and then some judder which is likely just position adjustments at various points through the year.

2021-11-28T23:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465101407699095559

@BrianRoemmele @aka__V Abrupt? They seem about as expected.

2021-11-28T23:20:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465098375561302021

@OwatcherUf @BrianRoemmele The acceleration is as expected for axial tilt. How else would it move?

2021-11-28T22:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465092412540219402

@9Huskers @JChurchRadio @RichDolan @LueElizondo
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1465091339117215753

2021-11-28T22:53:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465091508961374210

@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine Here you go
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1465091339117215753

2021-11-28T22:52:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1465091339117215753
That "Black Triangle Near the Sun" that shows up on the STEREO-A satellite images is just a speck of dirt on the sensor. We can see other specks just like it, and they all rotate +/- 7.25Â° over a 1-year orbit because of the axial tilt of the Sun.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ijEbGEp3vyY

2021-11-28T22:40:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465088272816750592
@BrianRoemmele @Oswald1160 @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine Maybe I should make a quick video explainer. Hold my beer!

2021-11-28T22:04:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465079236188782594
@Oswald1160 @BrianRoemmele @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine The movement is due to the 7.25Â° axial tilt of the sun. Total 14.5Â° movement

---

2021-11-28T20:35:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465056899745812480
@BrianRoemmele @CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine It is not gone. It's right there in the newest image.

What exactly did NASA say?

https://helioviewer.org/?date=2021-11-28T20:33:15.184Z&imageScale=0.60511022&centerX=357.5995129412726&centerY=752.2895050071838&imageLayers=%5BSTEREO_A,SECCHI,EUVI,171,1,100,0,60,1,2021-11-28T07:44:47.000Z%5D&eventLayers=&eventLabels=true&celestialBodies=%7B%22soho%22:%5B%5D,%22stereo_a%22:%5B%5D,%22stereo_b%22:%5B%5D%7D

2021-11-28T19:26:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465039521012076547
@CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine @BrianRoemmele The amount it moves is 14Â° (relative to the middle of the sun). This is 2x the 7Â° tilt of the sun's rotational axis.
7Â° tilt one way, then six month later 7Â° tilt the other way.
The image is corrected so the axis is vertical, hence the movement of sensor dirt.

2021-11-28T19:12:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465035779210502144
@CJ_Yeremyhu @Disclosure89 @ProtoValentine @BrianRoemmele A tiny bit of dirt on the sensor. It stays in the same place, but the camera rotates relative to the sun as orbits it. So the position of the dirt shifts over a year, then repeats. Also there's smaller bits of dirt.

2021-11-28T19:02:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1465033313270198275
@ProtoValentine @BrianRoemmele @Disclosure89 It's obviously just a camera flaw. Its

movement has the same period as the camera's orbit.

2021-11-28T05:32:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464829602920996870

@mombojom @TruthBeTOLDMFer @chrisotis78 Also the lack of motion. Itâ□□s just a variety of shapes some guy got on video, by some means.

2021-11-28T00:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464751157188784133

@jacktho35867852 @BrianRoemmele Some kind of camera issue.

2021-11-27T18:33:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464663791661060096

@steviemac48 @e_tobor Can you give an actual example?

2021-11-27T18:32:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464663314366042114

@commoditymetals I publish everything I do thatâ□□s interesting.

2021-11-27T09:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464533060766945289

@LaxSunnyG @zcichy Itâ□□s not miles away, thereâ□□s nothing to indicate that. There a lot to indicate otherwise - like nobody noticing something that would have been explosively noticeable.

2021-11-27T06:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464478988701601795

@LaxSunnyG @zcichy You are going to need to explain why.

2021-11-27T03:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464440381735510024

@LaxSunnyG @zcichy Except thereâ□□s no good evidence it does that.

2021-11-26T22:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464365725859008512

@AgentBlackAcid @AndySchulzsNose @chrisotis78 Meh.

2021-11-26T00:25:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464027447192555533

@BostonsBuddha @RogueUAPTF @zcichy Explaining isnâ□□t a cover-up. There were no unambiguously weird cases.

2021-11-26T00:23:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464026901157089286

@BostonsBuddha @RogueUAPTF @zcichy But why? And why is it continuing? And how?

2021-11-26T00:22:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464026655467343875

@ConorBrady277 @RogueUAPTF @zcichy Why was there a cover up? How is it even possible?

2021-11-26T00:20:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1464026353901080602

@Munook @RogueUAPTF @zcichy Okay, Iâ□□m asking. Why are they opposed to disclosure of evidence of aliens?

2021-11-25T22:21:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463996209782145026

@RogueUAPTF @zcichy Why are they trying to squash disclosure? What is the downside for them if disclosure occurs?

2021-11-25T22:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463994797950005248

@realufobros @ufotruthdigger But do the investigations exist?

If so, why not publish? Whatâ□□s the point of investigating if nobody sees it?

2021-11-25T21:59:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463990748622176297

@ufotruthdigger Those are just reports, not investigations.

2021-11-25T19:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463948539617177605

@P_Ivarsson @ChrisSPITZER7 @AOIMSG What did MUFON do with any CE cases?

2021-11-25T19:07:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463947397487226895

@vitorfidm I mean, what do these trained investigators do? Don't they publish the investigations? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFD8XMiVUAICBd2.jpg

---

Select Product Types:

Field Investigator Training

Search

Field Investigator Training



FI Training - Best Value w/1 yr membership incl.

Type: Field Investigator Training

Price: $179.00



On-line Field Investigator Manual

Type: Field Investigator Training

Price: $89.00



Hard Copy Field Investigator Manual

Type: Field Investigator Training

Price: $110.00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FFD8XMiVUAICBd2.jpg

2021-11-25T19:04:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463946687915855873

@vitorfidm Well, what do the investigators do? Surely the cases they investigate are written down somewhere?

2021-11-25T18:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463944795693338644

Does MUFON publish field investigations?

2021-11-25T18:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463941743573815307

@P_Ivarsson @ChrisSPITZER7 @AOIMSG What the most recent good CE example?

2021-11-25T18:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463937814316285954

@LymburnerTodd @PoliticalGeeker @TruthBe05900844 By "it", which type of event are you referring to?

2021-11-25T18:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463931771674718209

@P_Ivarsson @ChrisSPITZER7 @AOIMSG Whatâ□□s the best CE example from the last five years?

2021-11-25T18:03:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463931424981938179

@quistalium @PoliticalGeeker There are real issues. Itâ□□s not just some guy.

2021-11-25T18:02:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463931032730685452

@Accumulat0r Sure, but weâ□□ve not yet got that one.

2021-11-25T18:00:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463930693436657668

@zaytsev_evgeny @beta_sid In the absence of a more detailed objection, I donâ□□t see a need to do a perfect recreation. The right to left motion at the end is around 5mph.

2021-11-25T17:08:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463917435459817473

@zaytsev_evgeny @beta_sid Itâ□□s obviously also flitting in the irregular wind. Combination of velocities gives the path.

2021-11-25T16:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463914752267087876

@quistalium Not really. Nobody says thereâ□□s any unambiguous evidence. Rubio said if there was anything juicy it would have leaked. The rationales they use seem no more than in the UAP report.

2021-11-25T12:57:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463854233489264641

@MarcoAn81245505 @SicCoP1 @AOIMSG Itâ□□s them handling the safety and security issues identified in the UAP report.

2021-11-25T12:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463853652997652481

@quistalium Effective lobbying by Mellon, etc. building on a combination of real issues and cool stories.

2021-11-25T05:59:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463749226140033024

@AppleHamlet Probably a Chinese lantern. Like Ruben says.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/aguadilla-infrared-footage-of-ufos-probably-hot-air-wedding-lanterns.8952/

2021-11-25T04:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463730631867699200

@TruthBe05900844 The point is that some people claim there is evidence of advanced technology in UAP

2021-11-25T00:29:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463666021840011265

@andyH_uap oh, and this was not in any went intended to be setting any sort of bar. It an observation that UFOs in photos and videos are just U because they are TFA.

2021-11-25T00:28:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463665769737191424

@andyH_uap I don't think there's sufficient information available to me to fully identify the objects.

2021-11-25T00:25:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463665126410645511

@Philosoraptor93 Or maybe they have simply been convinced by the lobbying efforts of Mellon, et al, and some compelling eyewitness accounts?

2021-11-25T00:14:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463662405112664064

@GerryCohen7 Depends how you define it. Airspace clutter is an issue. Things being unidentified can be a problem.

2021-11-25T00:12:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463661960747110408

@Philosoraptor93 But why include those particular things? The available evidence for them seems lacking.

2021-11-24T23:49:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463655954008866817

@UAPslut @ExploreSCU Their investigations into Aguadilla and FLIR1 were seriously lacking. They seem to mean well, but also seem predisposed towards the extraordinary hypothesis, and that colors their judgement. Then they make some rookie mistakes, like misunderstanding parallax.

2021-11-24T23:45:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463654957140234244

@Philosoraptor93 Transmedium craft and health effects. What exactly is the rationale for

those?

2021-11-24T23:43:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463654609038151683

@GerryCohen7 There are two, not huge: 1) it costs taxpayer money. 2) it could distract from real issues.

(and 2a it might make people take those real issues less seriously)

2021-11-24T23:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463654073941381121

@conorowens94 UFO Mythology. Transmedium craft and close encounters.

2021-11-24T22:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463638096029753345

I'm somewhat supportive of an ASTRO type body, but I think that the Gillibrand proposal is too influence by the the more mythological aspects of UFOlogy, and would be difficult for the Pentagon and scientific community to take seriously. The inclusion of SCU is also a red flag.
https://twitter.com/Philosoraptor93/status/1463628552385884164

2021-11-24T22:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463635256603336708

@hollywoodufos @DavidBWriter Whatâ□□s the question?

2021-11-24T22:25:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463635015963594752

@ufo_worldwide The whole transmedium thing is unevidenced speculation. We can see that UFOs exist. Not USOs or TMOs

---

2021-11-24T19:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463591874728390660

@ChrisSPITZER7 Nobody is stopping you from studying it. The air is open to your inspection. Millions of civilians fly with cameras. Go for it. I enjoy investigating individual cases myself, I'm not going to stop because the @AOIMSG is investigating a partly classified subset of UFO cases

---

2021-11-24T19:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463591041907363841

@SicCoP1 Sure, and the @AOIMSG seems to be appropriate and realistic response to the issue. We don't want range foulers, novel aircraft, or spy drones going undetected.

2021-11-24T19:27:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463590010733490178

@BryceDodds1 I think the military and aviation authorities should try to identify and assess objects that seem to be a hazard or security issue.

2021-11-24T19:24:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463589383366266882

@DavidBWriter @LaniDundore Iani is talking about chemtrails, and the quote is about the long term effects of unrestricted carbon emissions. It's been misunderstood/misused by the

chemtrails folk for about a decade. I interviewed Keith, and asked him about it.
https://youtu.be/LVAxh39hlog?t=616

2021-11-24T19:10:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463585754773274624
@steven_dor Yes, because obviously IB68030 and other planes were on radar as they departed Santiago. It was a large plane, and they misjudged the distance with the shallow angle.

Here's a more detailed explainer
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2021-11-24T18:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463583188597440515
@_BRCooper Yup, and that's what the Galileo Project will most likely make clear, if it ever comes to fruition at scale,

---

2021-11-24T18:56:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463582316731588613
@steven_dor All your objections have been answered years ago. There are no assumptions in the IB6830 explanation, there are only verifiable, tested, facts. e.g. Two clusters of two engines looks like two blobs.

There's a rapid overview here if you are short on time.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2bFfuIidCK0

2021-11-24T18:52:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463581310220386308
@Jon_OShea I'd try to figure out what the picture shows. A "alien craft" would be on the list, just usually near the bottom. It depends.

2021-11-24T18:43:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463578940224643074
@sukitakita Well yes, UFOs are a self-fulfilling phenomena. There will always be objects and other things in the LIZ - we can only (unevenly) push back the veil

---

2021-11-24T18:36:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463577333235736577
Magnification is UFOs' doom. https://twitter.com/UmUsTropicana/status/1463569572494295047

2021-11-24T18:33:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463576530760568834
@LeifNordh "possibly" but "no evidence"

2021-11-24T18:31:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463576142972002306
@DavidBWriter I'd be happy to talk about it. Respectfully, if you're going to write an article about me, the best way to get at what I'm thinking would have been to interview me, and ask me about your interpretations of my words. I'm right here.

2021-11-24T18:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463574864275537927

@iTheGr3y @GerryCohen7 It obviously something that would be easily identifiable with a little magnification. It's only unidentified because of the crappy camera.

2021-11-24T18:25:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463574590525825024

@iTheGr3y @GerryCohen7 it's just spinning in the wind. Look up some spinning kites on YouTube, there's a remarkable variety.

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=spinning+kite

2021-11-24T18:17:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463572496276353025

@GerryCohen7 @iTheGr3y Why? Low resolution object, barely moving, flashing reflection of sunlight. What's so mysterious? It's just Too Far Away.

2021-11-24T18:14:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463571727556886530

The overlooked key point regarding UFOs.

"Government officials said privately that there was no evidence of Russian or Chinese advance technology in use, much less space-traveling aliens, in the information collected."

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/11/24/us/politics/pentagon-ufos.html

---

2021-11-24T18:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463569611010428935

@iTheGr3y Balloon or kite. TFA. LIZ.

2021-11-24T16:58:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463552731377897472

It does not take much for things like this fly to shift into the LIZ and become a UFO to some.

SFA (Small or Far Away) should be a constant consideration in any UFO investigation.

https://twitter.com/OliverClayRWWN/status/1463501761285664768

2021-11-24T11:24:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463468648954142723

@zaytsev_evgeny @beta_sid Why? Itâ□□s mostly drone velocity with just 5mph variable lateral velocity - like wind.

2021-11-24T07:13:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463405292993974278

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 Read the metabunk analysis.

2021-11-24T06:46:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463398596070445056

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 But thatâ□□s what happened, except not at 4500 feet, and they could

initially see it visually.

2021-11-24T06:37:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463396212292284418

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 Why?

Are you suggesting a UAP that just happens to exactly line up between IB6830 and the helicopter?

2021-11-24T04:00:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463356850280165388

@steven_dor @Oswald1160 Did you look at the ADS-B track? It has altitude.

2021-11-23T16:34:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463184072704868358

A while back I asked if I should make a video about the Aguadilla UFO, or the Beaver, Utah, UFO. Twitter narrowly chose Utah. Probably a good move, as I barely had time to get this done. Aguadilla next year, here's Utah (something small, a bug or a seed)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xDkqKa_NQAo

2021-11-23T16:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463176787278532608

@IAmRobAherne @abbieasr Just my conspiracy extremeness spectrum, from Escaping The Rabbit Hole. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FE4_V12XMAcK0EX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FE4_V12XMAcK0EX.jpg

2021-11-23T15:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463165192146149377

@AnnaWhitty2 It just fell off, startling the dog, so it jumped.

2021-11-23T10:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1463099223017082880
@DreamDetective1 Sorry, typo, IB 6830, departing Santiago

More details here, with a FAQ.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-11-23T10:47:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463096797585297411
@DreamDetective1 It's explained in the linked article. IB680, leaving a contrail, which is a type of cloud. It's the same temperature as the other clouds that appear black.
https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-11-23T05:40:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1463019610584739842
@jjgdesigns Most of them are on metabunk. skydentify and UFO forums.

2021-11-23T00:57:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462948370280955905
@LaxSunnyG No, here we definitively identified the actual plane. IB6830.

2021-11-23T00:56:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462948219009200134
@MindfulOfLight But for an individual case, something can be proved. This is useful in improving the quality of the evidence base.

2021-11-23T00:53:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462947370186858499
@DreamDetective1 There were no valid reasons it was not a plane. Just a bunch of misperception, misunderstandings, and misinterpretation. Many of then understandable.

2021-11-23T00:50:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462946524254523392
In this Meta-Analysis of the Utah Drone UFO video I chart the evolution of a variety of hypotheses across Metabunk and YouTube, eventually (with a little math, and a look at the pixels) landing back where we started: a small slow object zipping by.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xDkqKa_NQAo

2021-11-22T19:07:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462860253599436801
@jplatte11 @FettuccineSplit @Disclosure89 Sure, but the actual time stamped data, not someone remembering the data.

2021-11-22T19:01:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462858740672073728
(2/2) It's a cautionary tale where a team of scientists, multiple different domain experts, and a retired air force general - all failed to spot that a UFO was a plane.

It will happen again.

https://skepticalinquirer.org/newsletter/curated-crowdsourcing-in-ufo-investigations/

2021-11-22T18:58:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462857953254391811

(1/2) Would the establishment of a government UFO hunting office (ASTRO) mean no more UFOs for me to investigate/explain.

No. Consider CEFAA, the Chilean version of ASTRO, a team of scientists and military, who spend two years investigating a case I helped solve in a week.

https://twitter.com/Disclosure89/status/1462828613212925961

2021-11-22T18:52:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462856543179661321

https://www.wired.com/video/watch/lux-noctis-how-photographer-reuben-wu-lit-landscape-with-a-drone

---

2021-11-22T18:49:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462855786023907329

@jplatte11 @FettuccineSplit @Disclosure89 I'm pro-UFO in that I find the subject fascinating.

Good evidence would be two independent simultaneous videos showing unusual motion from two known positions, allowing unambiguous triangulation.

2021-11-22T18:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462855214243799049

It's long exposure video, with a bright light on a drone illuminating the landscape and making vertical streaks. Here's another

https://www.instagram.com/p/CAfWlwNsxK7/

2021-11-22T18:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462854664085409793

@TvXendra https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1462854438998011904

2021-11-22T18:44:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462854609664241664

@Catchme22322934 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1462854438998011904

2021-11-22T18:44:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462854438998011904

Artwork by Reuben Wu, being mistaken for something supernatural/alien.

https://www.instagram.com/p/CCcWKD3nZ5p/

2021-11-22T18:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462852342618136576

@aka__V @drumshticknow @FettuccineSplit @Disclosure89

https://twitter.com/search?q=%22I%20don%27t%20know%22%20(from%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query&f=live

---

2021-11-22T18:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462850406799712256

@Oswald1160 @livetogro @BlakeEnloe91 @DeepSpace41 @LivingExtraord1 Contrails have been tested in-situ, they found ice crystals. All the evidence points to normal contrails. Zero evidence points elsewhere. Just being suspicious isn't evidence.

2021-11-22T18:24:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462849584942616579

@jplatte11 @FettuccineSplit @Disclosure89 Huh, I'm pro UFO. I'm anti-bad-evidence

---

2021-11-22T18:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462849459184750596

@Disclosure89 Quite the opposite (not that this is a "career")

2021-11-22T16:53:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462826591810035723

RT @rothschildmd: The moment when the leaders of a cultic group start talking about the need for physical death to reach utopia is the momeâ□¦

2021-11-22T16:53:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462826540102680578

RT @rothschildmd: Truly horrifying stories from family members of #negative48 cultists.

2021-11-22T16:49:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462825460077764610

@livetogro @BlakeEnloe91 @DeepSpace41 @LivingExtraord1 Contrails are know, it's something that's expected to happen, an inevitable byproduct of the water in engine exhaust.

The trails we see look exactly like contrails.

If you are suggesting that what we see is something else, then maybe you could provide some evidence.

2021-11-22T16:24:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462819198996942851

@RandalChalkdust @DavidBWriter @LivingExtraord1 The key there being OR. If a plane is 1,000 feet below you it does not need any horizontal separation.
If the flight number and time were known, then the other plane could easily be identified.

2021-11-22T16:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462818288665169921

@livetogro @BlakeEnloe91 @DeepSpace41 @LivingExtraord1 The act exactly like clouds, including forming 22Â° halos when in front of the sun. Only water crystals would do that.

They only form in regions of high humidity, like clouds.

There's no evidence of them being anything else, so why would you suspect they are not?

2021-11-22T16:10:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462815816064270338

@BlakeEnloe91 @livetogro @DeepSpace41 @LivingExtraord1 Yes, water, same thing as other clouds. It's condensation. Similar to how you make a little cloud when you breath out on a cold day. The difference being that at -40Â° the condensed microdroplets freeze into ice crystals, creating a a type of cirrus cloud which can persist.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEz3KdQUUAIHJMN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEz3KdQUUAIHJMN.jpg

2021-11-22T16:07:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462815113480589320

@jplatte11 @PhilipMarloweLA @Oswald1160 @UFOwillie @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Which ones?

2021-11-22T15:16:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462802267103367175

@AssigningBlame @LivingExtraord1 Chemtrails (i.e misidentified Contrails) are pretty straightforward, as all the moles were whacked years ago.

2021-11-22T15:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462801869273636864

@livetogro @DeepSpace41 @LivingExtraord1 Contrails are essentially clouds, which is why you'll find them in any book on clouds written in the last 70 years. They persist and spread if humidity is high enough (and temperature cold enough)

You can see some of those books here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-11-22T14:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462796348063764485

@LivingExtraord1 Itâ□□s a contrail from another plane a few miles in front and to the left, and a few thousand feet below.

2021-11-22T06:49:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462674665004883968

RT @CurtCollins579: A far-reaching Paracast interview with Mick West, examining the low-information zone and emotion can drive people to bâ□¦

2021-11-22T06:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462672543144288256

@bottwater @Akam1129 @singularitymike @SomewhereSkies @LuAngeles @LueElizondo @nickpopemod @TjAllard @BrandonFugal Maybe a plane switching off its landing lights shortly after takeoff.

2021-11-22T04:47:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462643826963607552

@bottwater @Akam1129 @singularitymike @SomewhereSkies @LuAngeles @LueElizondo @nickpopemod @TjAllard @BrandonFugal Was it moving? Which direction?

2021-11-22T01:40:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462596816994594821

@BigNativeMitch @MarcoAn81245505 @BarlowTerry I linked to a collection of articles that investigate Salas' claims.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-at-nuclear-weapons-sites-salas-malmstrom-eagle-flight-skeptical-resources.3284/

2021-11-22T00:35:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462580365390843904

@Irishmanhere I don't think it's aliens, or physics defying technology.

2021-11-21T22:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462552205827862528

@dart_clay @dougwolfe Thatâ□□s kind of the point.

2021-11-21T20:26:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462517818256101376

@DavidBWriter @zcichy Although, as another scientist was quoted as saying in that article:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEvoGz0UcAUHR9s.jpg

> *"The number density of interstellar objects is not nearly as high as Siraj and Loeb assert. They cite the paper by Do et al. (2018), who estimate from the PAN-STARRS survey results that the number density of objects like 'Oumaumua is > 0.1 per AU^3. But the discovery paper by Meech et al. (2017) makes it clear from analyzing PAN-STARRS, Catalina, and other surveys that the number density is more like 0.003 per AU^3. That difference removes all the tension in the problem, and it is not at all implausible that exo-Plutos might supply enough N2 ice fragments.*
>
> *"In fact, in Desch & Jackson (2021) we calculated the number of N2 ice fragments generated by our solar system in its early stages, and is exactly sufficient to supply 0.003 per AU^3 if most solar systems eject similar numbers. Notably, Siraj & Loeb did not find a single error in our analysis, instead producing a crude back-of-the-envelope calculation. Worth adding is that, regardless of probability, a fragment of N2 ice exactly conforms to every observable feature of 'Oumuamua (e.g., the non-gravitational acceleration), and no other hypothesis does. To say the N2 ice fragment hypothesis is 'off the table' is premature, misinformed, and ignores that there's nothing better on the table."*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEvoGz0UcAUHR9s.jpg
2021-11-21T18:47:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462492996494970883
@MarcoAn81245505 @BarlowTerry Cherry Picked anecdotes and a handful of old men recalling things from 50 years ago is weak evidence.

2021-11-21T18:14:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462484607396290563
@always_usy No, in this instance it's about observing unidentified objects more in one location.

2021-11-21T17:39:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462475694999420928
@dougwolfe There are no sightings at Walmart, so there's that too.

2021-11-21T17:37:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462475222687174659
@BarlowTerry Weak. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-at-nuclear-weapons-sites-salas-malmstrom-eagle-flight-skeptical-resources.3284/

2021-11-21T17:36:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462474982785646594
@media_skyhigh Because of limited space on Twitter, hence the link.

Collection bias vs. Aliens magically spying on and switching off nukes.

2021-11-21T17:18:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462470412088987650
@always_usy They are obviously a wide variety of things.

2021-11-21T17:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462470072132255745
@BarlowTerry There's no good evidence that was linked to a UAP. It's just part of UFO mythology.

2021-11-21T16:58:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462465476248801284
Why more UAP sightings around highly sensitive areas? The UAP report says:

"a collection bias as a result of focused attention, greater numbers of latest-generation sensors operating in those areas, unit expectations, and guidance to report anomalies."

https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Prelimary-Assessment-UAP-20210625.pdf https://twitter.com/ChrisKMellon/status/1462145876382658568

2021-11-21T16:53:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462464301482971138
@danielb00296613 @JunkScience It's about plastic. There are alternatives to these items that are plastic free. So you can still have manufactured items that are more biodegradable, and hence create less pollution.

What faces a ban is wet wipes containing plastics. You can still have wet wipes.

2021-11-21T16:06:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462452390099968008
@JunkScience Misleading headline. The problem being addressed is pollution, not waste.

2021-11-20T20:54:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462162508626554884
The AA2292 "cigar shaped UAP" case that cropped up again was probably a Learjet, N738RJ.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/a-cruise-missile-type-of-thing-spotted-by-aa2292.11626/
https://twitter.com/itsredactedjake/status/1461843400215478279

2021-11-20T20:51:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462161704809230338
@UFOTwitologist Flares.

2021-11-20T17:09:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462105723903438848
@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @UFOwillie @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Probably.

2021-11-20T16:57:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462102706428932096
@jplatte11 @UFOwillie @Oswald1160 @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 But the clutter comment was in reference to GoFast, which was probably a balloon.

2021-11-20T16:56:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1462102582201970699
@jplatte11 @UFOwillie @Oswald1160 @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Also: "In a limited

number of incidents, UAP reportedly appeared to exhibit unusual flight characteristics. These observations could be the result of sensor errors, spoofing, or observer misperception and require additional rigorous analysis."

2021-11-20T16:48:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462100514020093954

@steve_crazed depends on the lighting, distance, and video quality.

I'd go with 99% flares.

2021-11-20T15:45:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462084735807619073

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @UFOwillie @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 What, a heightened awareness of airborne clutter in training ranges?

2021-11-20T15:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462084446572609540

@UFOwillie @Oswald1160 @jplatte11 @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Of course we canâ□□t. She did not say that, but itâ□□s obviously impossible to rule out

---

2021-11-20T15:38:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462082843937415180

@steve_crazed Old footage is doubled edged - hard to fake back then, but so poor quality that itâ□□s hard to see any details.

These look exactly like flares though.

2021-11-20T15:18:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1462077945271369731

@Indoor_Lurch @DonMarcello16 Make a free account at Dropbox, or http://Mega.io if itâ□□s really big. Email mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-11-20T05:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461924492419088390

@ashworth_mick @TheAdlerian So did you try touching your head vs one inch from your head.

2021-11-20T04:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461921483077210113

@DonMarcello16 @Indoor_Lurch Sure!

2021-11-20T01:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461866117152980996

@ashworth_mick Itâ□□s not the distance, itâ□□s the position relative to the environment.

2021-11-20T01:03:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461862725143859203

@ashworth_mick The line of sight changes. You need to do some more experiments. This seems like it would be repeatable, so why not narrow down the variables?

2021-11-20T01:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461862274017103873

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest I think the simplest interpretation of that graph is that the angle readout isn't super accurate. However you'd need to see a ground feature track with the same system to verify.

2021-11-20T00:56:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461860919957286914

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest You should pick one name and stick with it:grinning_face:

2021-11-20T00:43:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461857750552506368

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest Show me your graph, so I can see if it's worth doing.

2021-11-20T00:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461856931065131014

@ashworth_mick The keys are now higher?

2021-11-20T00:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461856518303744001

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest I'm unclear why I would be asking you questions. Who are you? Where's the analysis you've done?

2021-11-20T00:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461854612172926976

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest Gimbal (briefly) loses lock due to a camera shift during a gimbal correction (32 seconds or 5317), which also happens in FLIR1 (49 seconds, or 4224). FLIR1's final lock loss is due to quick NAR->MFOV->NAR changes

---

2021-11-20T00:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461853456751214595

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest Can you show the graph of area over time?

2021-11-20T00:01:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461847167748820992

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest How can you demonstrate that it is spinning?

2021-11-19T23:54:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461845420116611074

@YeaImAlerizevci They resemble birds flying in formation.

2021-11-19T23:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461842871875616773

@GreyLuke7 @blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest A precise date/time allows the testing of specific hypotheses, like an Atlas missile launch.

2021-11-19T20:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461795067149131776

@BradBurton Seems consistent with a drone with a bright light, like a Lume Cube

---

2021-11-19T19:10:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461773865101643777

@AtticusFinch_JD @CharlesQuarry @JeremyCorbell I can't see anything that suggests it's not a drone, but you can't say for sure with just this video.

2021-11-19T17:36:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461750197940211715

RT @MickWest: @CharlesQuarry @JeremyCorbell That's a good idea. Jeremy how would you like to do a friendly interview. We can talk about ourâ□¦

2021-11-19T17:15:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461744865247576072

@CharlesQuarry @JeremyCorbell That's a good idea. Jeremy how would you like to do a friendly interview. We can talk about our long history starting with the Silver Surfer UFO, and covering the various videos we've looked at, and then discuss the current developments like the Galileo project and Gillibrand.

2021-11-19T14:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461706524913401868

@UFOwillie @Oswald1160 @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Or a pattern of five categories of things, and sensor errors, and observer misperception? Like the report says. A bundle of problems lacking good data.

2021-11-19T10:58:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461650119825113092

@UFOwillie @Oswald1160 @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 Of course UFOs are real, how could they not be real?

You need to use a better word than "real"

2021-11-19T05:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461564253303832578

@cfgjugsdf So, nobody.

2021-11-19T04:54:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461558357144178692

@cfgjugsdf Who considers it to be one of the most realistic close-up videos to date? Name one. Actual names only.

2021-11-19T04:50:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461557415996911616

@ykssaspassky Who?

2021-11-18T21:20:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461444208963698691

@cfgjugsdf It looks like a blurry low resolution unrealistic fake made with a saucepan lid. How

could it be debunked beyond that? Low information videos are not resolvable. That's why you rarely see fakes in 4K

2021-11-18T20:49:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461436336804532233

@cfgjugsdf Which video do you think is not crap?

2021-11-18T18:47:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461405780947587072

@blackvaultcom @gluonconleche @ufoofinterest Time might define the date, if it's UTC the date might be recorded as morning Jan 21 (UTC), when it's actually the night of Jan 20th (EST)

Are there any accounts of time of day, like from Graves?

2021-11-18T18:45:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461405209209434114

@UFOwillie @SingletrackAdam @Rezeus2017 It's from a plane, not a satellite. And it was taken by that guy. It might not be something large, but rather something wrong with the camera, or even his buddies playing a trick on him.

We will almost certainly never know for sure. There's just nothing you can do with it.

2021-11-18T18:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461404490200858630

@MJMYuk Let's go with UTC.

2021-11-18T16:45:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461375101748711431

@ShepardAmbellas It looks like an out-of-focus image of Venus (or some other small lights in the sky) with atmospheric turbulence and chromatic aberration.

2021-11-18T16:41:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461374054300934145

@jplatte11 The UAPTF does not discuss investigations.

2021-11-18T16:40:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461373840328589312

@Sci3nt0l0gy Source?

2021-11-18T16:37:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461373063203676167

@ufoofinterest But where does that 21 Jan 2015 date come from? It's not in the linked DoD page.

2021-11-18T16:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461371919815434241

@Disclosure89 @LuAngeles @dansim8 @DietrichVFA41 My position has not changed.

It's perhaps progress in that more people understand my position, and the fact that you can't eliminated undefined or untestable possibilities for something that is unknown.

2021-11-18T16:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461371321812541441

@Disclosure89 @DietrichVFA41 @LuAngeles No, it is not. I've always said I keep things on the list of possibilities. I think the likelihood of aliens being the explanation is vanishingly small, but that's not a reason to declare it impossible.

In low information situations it's impossible to rule out any explanation.

2021-11-18T16:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461368632760365064

Does anyone know the exact date (and preferably time) the GIMBAL UFO video was recorded?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEfS-JsUYAokuCg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEfS-JsUYAokuCg.jpg

2021-11-18T16:13:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461366959757352975

@LuAngeles @dansim8 @Disclosure89 @DietrichVFA41 "Debunk" isn't the right word here. I can't explain what Fravor and Dietrich saw, but I can (I think) debunk some claims made about Underwood's video. The entire Nimitz happening was a quite long series of various events for which there are various hypotheses, including aliens.

2021-11-18T16:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461365626681774093

@NormalHorse That's great, thanks.

2021-11-18T15:48:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461360563229843466

@DreamDetective1 Dead pixels? Who mentioned that?

2021-11-18T15:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461360120265203712

@BlueGlassTV @OddFlyingThing @MTinfoilhat @SabersKnight @Disclosure89 Exactly. â□□Unidentifedâ□□ isnâ□□t exactly a special attribute.

2021-11-18T15:09:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461350923423215623

@pteheard And how do you do that for all of them? Many cases will remain unsolved unless we get a time machine.

2021-11-18T08:33:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461251202583494659

@UFOwillie @DeepSpace41 Where did Nelson say it was a leading theory?

2021-11-18T06:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461225685872111616

@UFOwillie @Rezeus2017 @SingletrackAdam I've interviewed several of the witnesses, and extended invitations to others. I talk about them all the time.

2021-11-18T06:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461225205217464322

@UFOwillie @DeepSpace41 Leading? How do you know this? What are the less-plausible hypotheses?

2021-11-18T01:46:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461148693986299907

@FringeCenter @ThanosAlpha Well birds and balloons were the first things on their list, so thereâ□□s that â□¦.

2021-11-18T01:43:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461148085300568066

@Rezeus2017 @SingletrackAdam @UFOwillie He lost lock because of the change in FOV. Then it just continued left after the camera stopped tracking.

2021-11-18T01:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461138476384985090

@FringeCenter @ThanosAlpha So Gillibrand will have pretty much no idea then?

2021-11-17T22:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461104302777409543

@TruthBe05900844 "Several people" is hardly everyone.

2021-11-17T22:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461103778845782017

@Martijn47013384 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @TRandallizer It's the landing gear fairing, in the sun.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEbiBY1VIAISaG8.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEbiBY1VIAISaG8.jpg

2021-11-17T21:59:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461091600788979714

@MTinfoilhat @OddFlyingThing @SabersKnight @Disclosure89 About what, exactly?

2021-11-17T20:12:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461064737341382657

@ScottGearen @BartyJungas @OwatcherUf @JFaeTheYY @blackvaultcom @Jaime__67 What lens? You might be better off keeping the a6000 and upgrading the lens to a Sony FE 200â□□600mm F5.6â□□6.3 G OSS Lens

---

2021-11-17T20:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461062849917112327
@BartyJungas @OwatcherUf @ScottGearen @JFaeTheYY @blackvaultcom @Jaime__67 No. He just needs a better camera.

2021-11-17T20:03:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461062346076422146
@jplatte11 @Jim87504388 @Disclosure89 @SPACEdotcom No such suggestion has been made.

2021-11-17T19:55:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461060369342885888
@BartyJungas @OwatcherUf @ScottGearen @JFaeTheYY @blackvaultcom @Jaime__67 DJI drones can go over 10,000 feet. 30,000 is possible.

2021-11-17T19:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461059884561035268
@OwatcherUf @BartyJungas @ScottGearen @JFaeTheYY @blackvaultcom @Jaime__67 Hard to say. But probably pretty low, like under 2,000 feet above the ground.
The images seem clear (if low resolution).

But again, they don't really seem worth addressing. They just look like a bit of mylar. If someone did some math and proved they were not, then I'd look.

2021-11-17T19:50:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461059273849335811
@jplatte11 @Disclosure89 @SPACEdotcom Indeed, and that's why some videos are not definitive in their conclusions.

2021-11-17T19:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461057264983281668
@SabersKnight @Disclosure89 All UFO videos are unidentified. Some remain that way, some get identified and then they are no longer UFO videos.

2021-11-17T18:05:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461032841622409217
@ScottGearen @BartyJungas @OwatcherUf @blackvaultcom I didn't decline, I just asked why you didn't simply make your original photos public. I have no real interest in private analysis.

Anyway, it's pretty obvious that your photos something like a partially deflated mylar party balloon. They are not worth addressing, sorry.

2021-11-17T18:01:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1461031755750645761
@jplatte11 @Disclosure89 @SPACEdotcom Gosh no, but I do make some nice explanatory videos now and then. They don't rely on my reputation, just verifiable math, science, and my nice accent.

Graham simply put his name on something. So that's worth as much as his name is in this

context.

2021-11-17T17:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461028204647383044

The drone with a light bar that was used in the recent French UFO hoax.

Several people declared it was impossible that it was a drone.

https://youtu.be/4YsoIlzflCA?t=147 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEadEZ7VgAAo7q1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEadEZ7VgAAo7q1.jpg

2021-11-17T17:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461020612529307653

@Martijn47013384 @Oswald1160 @CoryArmbrecht @coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @TRandallizer I think it's pretty obvious that's just a reflection.

2021-11-17T16:01:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1461001592497651712

@Patrick09667990 @aka__V @thaxter She didn't say anything about ruling out anything.

2021-11-17T15:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460998772595769346

@Patrick09667990 @aka__V @thaxter Has the general public and the media been speculating about Odin?

Has the general public and the media been speculating about ET?

2021-11-17T15:15:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460990047046361099

@janbobrowicz @chriscfrench @LFC This is a good illustration of the illusion. Really you should ask what are the odds of â□□something like thisâ□□ happening. Itâ□□s inevitable.

2021-11-17T15:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460989005613305861

@InvalidFox They clearly have a variety of explanations.

2021-11-17T15:10:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460988826671726603

@InvalidFox @2_Pointy_Ears My Schlick is to try to figure out the explanation. An alternative to â□□unknownâ□□, thatâ□□s all.

2021-11-17T15:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460986977088520193

@Niemuszepodawa1 But it does not happen very often.

2021-11-17T15:02:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460986757206331392

@Martijn47013384 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @TRandallizer How do you know thatâ□□s a smoke plume and not just the way the sunlight hits the plane?

2021-11-17T15:00:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460986127377002499

@OKftl They didnâ□□t. They did not rule out aliens before. She jus acknowledged thereâ□□s a question - not who asked it or if itâ□□s justified.

2021-11-17T08:01:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460880712865771520

@AxSoler "Maybe ET" is a misrepresentation of her words.

2021-11-17T05:05:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460836361087307778

@InvalidFox Which case?

2021-11-17T03:59:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460819807511539718

@dr_los @lbf_tweet Itâ□□s not SETI.

2021-11-17T02:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460794156524077057

So how COULD you rule out extraterrestrial origins for UFOs?

Itâ□□s literally impossible. https://twitter.com/Disclosure89/status/1460688749323427845

2021-11-17T02:12:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460792907829440512

@paige_skiba @KourtneyGrace_ @NashSevereWx @WSMV

https://www.redbull.com/us-en/videos/red-bull-air-force-skydivers-mistaken-for-meteor

---

2021-11-17T02:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460792340746883075

@paige_skiba @KourtneyGrace_ @NashSevereWx @WSMV Pyrotechnic skydivers.

2021-11-17T00:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460771519542403075
@BartyJungas @Deleo77a1 @janmaxwell How could you? If you have very little information about a radar return or a light in the sky, then can you actually rule it out? Sure it's not really indicated by the evidence, and does not seem likely, but that does not make it impossible in all cases.

2021-11-17T00:45:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460771076116336645
@Jim87504388 @janmaxwell @SPACEdotcom If pilots are frequently reporting seeing, or getting on radar, things they can't identify then there's some kind of problem. Probably several kinds. Clutter, sensor error, observer misperception, spy drones, other. So there's a variety of things that might need addressing.

2021-11-17T00:43:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460770436610813954
@DavidGaryEsp Or coincidence? (And it's Facebook)

2021-11-17T00:11:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460762547036975107
@sneakin Sounds about right.

2021-11-17T00:08:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460761813000155138
@CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @TRandallizer Iron-rich microsphere are a product of burning iron (mostly the product of sparks, which you can get in a variety of ways.) They are not fuel.

2021-11-17T00:06:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460761141848653825
@sneakin One blurry light in the sky?

2021-11-16T22:31:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460737317249773568
@weerasekera79 @1star_7sky What do you mean by "normal camera?"

Maybe when it gets dark.

2021-11-16T22:03:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460730159762325505
@RUSignificant @fringequest @SPACEdotcom Probably just Venus or Jupiter.

2021-11-16T21:57:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460728750182584321
@primenumberfew That's just Joe Heller's default alien (with added fuzz)
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEWNDMpVEAEqe8q.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEWNDMpVEAEqe8q.jpg

2021-11-16T21:52:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460727617787625473

@SuperbatPrime Until it isn't. The nature of the thing is that it's improving incredibly rapidly.

2021-11-16T21:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460727411113218048

@chriscfrench That looks cool. I wrote an article along similar lines that will be out in the next Skeptical Inquirer.

2021-11-16T21:20:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460719475301359619

@clgood Reminds me of an article I wrote in another life:

https://www.gamasutra.com/view/feature/1648/random_scattering_creating_.php

---

2021-11-16T21:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460718530333011969

@Alihuasca99 @SPACEdotcom You should start a petition :)

2021-11-16T21:16:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460718351349841920

@coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer Thanks, I did one with joints too. Scale makes things complicated.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=flo62pdaIMI

2021-11-16T21:11:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460717296809230339

@Deleo77a1 @SPACEdotcom " no details of the actual encounter were revealed,"

2021-11-16T21:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460714994308304896

@Disclosure89 @SPACEdotcom Meh, Graham is hardly a scientific lodestar. He's a mercurial politician.

2021-11-16T21:00:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460714494754050050

What are the odds! In my Facebook timeline I had two sequential cartoons with the same onomatopeic phrase "Ding Dong!" as a key point.

Seriously though, what ARE the odd? Coincidences sometimes seem like magic. By calculating the actual odds we can see that they are inevitable.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEV_QCwVUAEzuQ5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEV_QCwVUAEzuQ5.jpg

2021-11-16T20:56:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460713529011437571

@janmaxwell @SPACEdotcom I don't think the briefing was useless. I think the DATA was probably useless.

UAPs are a real problem. Better data would help improve the situation.

2021-11-16T20:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460710536727777281

@MatterlessChode @AdmiralQuality @NIH_LLAMAS No, I've not a lot of add to other critiques.

2021-11-16T20:43:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460710246460973058

@peterswimm @SPACEdotcom Somewhat at odds with the headline though?

2021-11-16T20:40:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460709336812961794

@RUMINT79 @SPACEdotcom Here's it happening.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ydvsLzLYZM0

2021-11-16T20:39:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460709158794137601
@RUMINT79 @SPACEdotcom Here's how it happens.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2021-11-16T20:36:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460708309606957056
Donut UFO "defies explanation" says @SPACEdotcom, while including the actual explanation in the article. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEV6OBgVkAInRwA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEV6OBgVkAInRwA.jpg
2021-11-16T20:29:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460706492265336832
@RogerSmith2001 They did check with an expert, who told them it was out of focus. They chose not to highlight that explanation

---

2021-11-16T20:00:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460699410300551178
@1star_7sky https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2022-08-23T04:37:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561935685157720069

@tinyklaus I'm complaining about the headline.

"Congress implies UFOs have non-human origins"

At best, it's "Congress passes legislation, that most of them did not read, or are even aware of, that leaves open the possibility that some UFOs might not be man-made"

2022-08-23T04:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561931536122798080

@TomSuesss @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo Specifically, those which are not *identified*.

2022-08-23T04:13:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561929703514001410

@cryptodonkey__ @LueElizondo Unidentified.
Nothing specific has been determined to be not man-made. Several things are unidentified.

2022-08-23T04:10:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561928790472683521

@TomSuesss @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo If it's still unidentified, that does not mean it has been determined to be not man-made.

It certainly does not mean "Congress implies UFOs have non-human origins"

2022-08-23T03:46:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561922915393671169

@TomSuesss @thebirdparticle @LueElizondo Unidentified as man-made does not mean itâ□□s not man-made. It does mean itâ□□s unidentified.

2022-08-22T23:59:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561865801006649344

@DaveFalch @BoydMichaelE Shiny things viewed from above look cold because they reflect the sky.

Try getting up on the roof of your building and looking down at a mylar balloon in the parking lot.

2022-08-22T23:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561864555692638209

@thebirdparticle @LueElizondo Quote the bit that essentially says: "Congress clearly considers some of them as advanced technology"

2022-08-22T23:50:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561863351038185472

@DaveFalch It would be a simple bet - just that the math will show that a bird is plausible.

$20?

2022-08-22T23:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561862932212121600
@iuytre__ Based on the evidence I've seen so far, I don't think it's aliens.

But it's a bit pointless to put a percentage on it. All we can say for certain is that there's no good evidence to support the hypothesis.

2022-08-22T23:43:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561861738072457216
@DaveFalch @hamtyler84 It's an object that seems to be flapping, moving in a sinusoidal pattern. Nothing that I know of excludes a bird. Here I've stabilized it on the bird-like object.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1561861714135486464/pu/img/GoDLp4gEIB4ZUFK6.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1561861714135486464/pu/img/GoDLp4gEIB4ZUFK6.jpg
2022-08-22T19:14:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561793940067475459
@PatSimone3 @IonpathOfficial Does it beat the equipment in the materials science lab?

2022-08-22T19:03:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561791121163841537
@LueElizondo
https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/legislation/BILLS-117s4503rs.pdf#page=106 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FayYlG4UcAEy5W9.jpg

---

"(8) The term 'unidentified aerospace-undersea phenomena'—

"(A) means—

"(i) airborne objects that are not immediately identifiable;

"(ii) transmedium objects or devices; and

"(iii) submerged objects or devices that are not immediately identifiable and that display behavior or performance characteristics suggesting that the objects or devices may be related to the objects or devices described in subparagraph (A) or (B); and

"(B) does not include temporary nonattributed objects or those that are positively identified as man-made.".

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FayYlG4UcAEy5W9.jpg

2022-08-22T19:01:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561790706540167168

A rather misleading spin by @LueElizondo and Rennenkampff. The new definition of UAPs in S. 4503 excludes objects POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED as man-made.

That does not imply UAP/UFOs are non-human. It implies they are unidentified.

https://twitter.com/LueElizondo/status/1561774034634899456

2022-08-22T18:45:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561786693346140160

@jack_crancer That's false.

But even IF it were true, how would it change this interesting correlation in shapes?

It's not like you need me to tell you what things look like. You can see.

2022-08-22T18:14:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561778752303951872

@R1CHDDB @IonpathOfficial Well, Marik's interpretation seems to suggest that. But that's just Marik.

2022-08-22T16:40:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561755200435605504

@droneologists @IonpathOfficial The sample collection technique also seems a little sketchy. Jabbing it with a screwdriver is going to add particles from the screwdriver, and whatever else the screwdriver has been in contact with. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fax3NtfUIAAiK8Y.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fax29E4UcAAB8He.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fax3NtfUIAAiK8Y.jpg

2022-08-22T16:26:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561751565551038464

Is an @IonpathOfficial MIBIscope an appropriate tool for examining the elemental composition

of surface shavings from a metal sphere?
It seems like it's designed for visualizing biomarkers in tissue. Why not use Stanford's NanoSIMS? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxwzpjVUAAvrB9.jpg



7News Ross Coulthart UFO Documentary (Aug 21 2022)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxwojnUYAANokU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxwzpjVUAAvrB9.jpg
2022-08-22T15:55:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561743968680914944
@tdeeefc Aurora was probably just a myth.

Also, would not have hovered.

2022-08-22T15:26:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561736657279209472
Non-secret Nobel prize nominees. The person nominating them (a member of a national ruling body, like a congressman or senator) can announce their nomination. Or just not keep the secret very well. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxmwUGUcAETOV3.jpg

Thousands of people, from members of parliaments worldwide to former winners, are eligible to propose candidates.

Norwegian lawmakers have nominated an eventual Peace laureate every year since 2014 - with the exception of 2019 - including one of the two laureates last year, Maria Ressa.

The Norwegian Nobel Committee, which decides who wins the award, does not comment on nominations, keeping secret for 50 years the names of nominators and unsuccessful nominees.

However, some nominators like Norwegian lawmakers choose to reveal their picks.

Attenborough, 95, is best known for his landmark television series illustrating the natural world, including Life on Earth and The Blue Planet.

He was nominated jointly with the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, which assesses the state of biodiversity worldwide for policymakers.

They were put forward for "their efforts to inform about, and protect, Earth's natural diversity, a prerequisite for sustainable and peaceful societies," said nominator Une Bastholm, the leader of the Norwegian Green Party.

Another Green Party representative nominated Sweden's Greta Thunberg, whose rise from teen activist to global climate leader has made her a frequent Nobel nominee in recent years, along with the Fridays For Future movement she started.

Pope Francis was nominated for his efforts to help solve the climate crisis as well as his work towards peace and reconciliation, by Dag Inge Ulstein, a former minister of international development.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxmwUGUcAETOV3.jpg
2022-08-22T15:19:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561734784916676608
@MarkBarrington2 @ademrudin @YourPalPascal
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxlgkGUIAUhLMr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxlgkGUIAUhLMr.jpg
2022-08-22T15:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561732150709301249
What's much more significant is getting on the shortlist. (and, of course, winning).

Not to detract from anyone's accomplishments, just to establish context.

(Also, I can't spell.... Noble -> Nobel) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxikqSUYAAZLav.jpg

Qualified nominators

According to the statutes of the Nobel Foundation, a nomination is considered valid if it is submitted by a person who falls within one of the following categories:

1. Members of national assemblies and national governments (cabinet members/ministers) of sovereign states as well as current heads of states
2. Members of The International Court of Justice in The Hague and The Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague
3. Members of l'Institut de Droit International
4. Members of the international board of the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom
5. University professors, professors emeriti and associate professors of history, social sciences, law, philosophy, theology, and religion; university rectors and university directors (or their equivalents); directors of peace research institutes and foreign policy institutes
6. Persons who have been awarded the Nobel Peace Prize
7. Members of the main board of directors or its equivalent of organisations that have been awarded the Nobel Peace Prize
8. Current and former members of the Norwegian Nobel Committee (proposals by current members of the Committee to be submitted no later than at the first meeting of the Committee after 1 February)
9. Former advisers to the Norwegian Nobel Committee

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxikqSUYAAZLav.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxiP-SVsAEOpNB.jpg

2022-08-22T15:03:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561730721332355074

Being nominated for a Noble prize is significant. But you can be nominated by any university professor or any member of the US congress (amongst others.) There's nothing to stop them from mentioning it to someone.

It's not done by a small group in secret.
https://twitter.com/Pulp_thots/status/1561693272472420353

2022-08-22T14:55:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561728713179275264
@YourPalPascal Artifacting? It's just a little out of focus and overexposed. But that's its actual shape.

2022-08-22T14:53:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561728200715079681
Some things are not what they seem. Some things are what they seem but your brain just gets it wrong.

This is a plane. But it does not initially look like a plane because it's a bit of an odd shape, and your brain interprets it poorly. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxfCOaUsAEf9dd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaxfCOaUsAEf9dd.jpg
2022-08-22T14:26:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561721367589924866
@Lowlandspete2 What does "no" refer to? Seems like you are agreeing here.

2022-08-22T14:15:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561718637324472320
A great example of how one unambiguous shape can look like another unambiguous shape when viewed from the correct angle.
https://twitter.com/mrjeffknox/status/1561529969951444992

2022-08-22T14:06:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561716443162456064
@kimball_23 Interesting job! Where do you publish the research?

2022-08-22T13:50:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561712400151089153

@kimball_23 Your boss? Whatâ□□s your job exactly?

2022-08-22T13:45:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561711078563713025

@kimball_23 Can you post, or send me, the close-up photos you took of the surgical cuts on both heads that look the same?

2022-08-22T13:35:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561708633712259077

RT @likeitmatters3: Ross Coulthartâ□□s 7NEWS Spotlight â□□Out of this worldâ□□ (Aug 21, 2022) promised us â□□conclusive proofâ□□, â□□testable evidenceâ□¦

2022-08-22T13:25:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561706240727662592

@kimball_23 So two cows died, and were scavenged. How is that impossible?

2022-08-22T12:59:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561699482487570432

@DaveFalch Want to bet?

2022-08-22T12:56:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561698877136220160

@Twist_404 @jack_crancer That's just what gets eaten first.

2022-08-22T12:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561698645405302784

@TheOutThere2016 @giddierone Did you read it?

2022-08-22T08:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561625788243292161

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox It's a camera setting. Black hot or white hot.

2022-08-22T07:17:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561613600275329024

@Mr_BenPrime @Sounds_4_People No. Maybe if someone actually paid me (a lot). But it's really low on the list of experiments I'd like to do.

2022-08-22T07:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561613029657100288

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox The rigs were about 100 miles away

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fav2wlJVUAIVZnG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fav2wlJVUAIVZnG.jpg

2022-08-22T07:12:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561612285834960896

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox And yet the video matches the location, speed, etc. Maybe they saw something else as well, but the video shows what looks just like the heat signatures of the oil rigs.

2022-08-22T04:44:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561575130630725632

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox There are several explanatory links, images and maps here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/mexican-air-force-ufo-video-oil-rigs.10837/

2022-08-22T04:43:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561574689008279553

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox There are two photos here. The color one is flares in the sky off North Carolina. The black and white one is from a FLIR video of oil rigs in the sea, filmed by the Mexican air force. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FavTvbbVsAAy7jf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FavTsZJVQAU2dJh.jpg



7News Ross Coulthart UFO Documentary (Aug 21 2022)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FavTvbbVsAAy7jf.jpg

2022-08-22T04:28:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561570894396264449

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox It's FLIR, you are just seeing heat from the rigs flaring.

2022-08-22T04:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561570766839169024

@NaveenS45059931 @mrjeffknox No, they are in the sea. This IS the Mexican airforce UFO video.

Coordinates and angles check out.

2022-08-22T04:16:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561567935017418752

@mrjeffknox Oh, they had the oil rigs too. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FavNv_IaUAA7J4e.jpg

---



7News Ross Coulthart UFO Documentary (Aug 21 2022)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FavNv_IaUAA7J4e.jpg

2022-08-22T04:00:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561563871487279104

RT @LeahRemini: 1. I donâ□□t expect much from the Saudi government when it comes to human rights.

But there is a horrific violation of humanâ□¦

2022-08-22T03:56:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561562896194097153

@DaveFalch A-10 looks like a bird to me.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1561562806310170624/pu/img/0loAHPp4a0C12KVh.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1561562806310170624/pu/img/0loAHPp4a0C12KVh.jpg
2022-08-22T02:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561541094705246209
@jack_crancer @Twist_404 The article contains the explanation.

2022-08-21T21:29:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561465489674514433
@Twist_404 Again, which case. Not a bunch of YouTube videos. Pick one. Name it.

2022-08-21T20:23:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561448859036819456
@J__O__E__L @MiddleOfMayhem Nature tends to murder animals in a similar set of ways. Dogs on cattle, for example.

2022-08-21T20:20:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561448259339423745
@joeston38431454 No, but some agricultural equipment might. Like with the video of the deer you just posted.
Animals can survive for some time with massive wounds.
Things looking weird does not mean they have to have an extraordinary explanation.

2022-08-21T20:13:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561446515998044160
@CredulousScout @anabatic If there's "a pattern of strangeness," then it should be able to be documented in a more rigorous way than sensationalist videos. Where are the statistics? The comparisons with dog attacks?

2022-08-21T17:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561411339523801088
@CredulousScout @anabatic The video you shared shows cows that had clearly been dead for quite some time.

2022-08-21T17:52:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561410980210286592
@InvNightSchool @fin365 I was on a podcast with Halperin, and there was some minor

discussion of this.
https://www.audible.com/pd/Science-and-Myth-Explain-UFO-and-Alien-Abductions-with-Mick-West-and-David-Halperin-Podcast/B09QGRX64N

2022-08-21T17:50:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561410469579001856
@steven_dor @maou42 @BeLikeWahter Blood pools in the body, eventually it coagulates and can remain coagulated for several days - seeming like there's no liquid blood (as there isn't).

2022-08-21T17:33:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561406205901254657
@CLARYpodcast And scavengers. There's a wide variety.

2022-08-21T17:32:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561405970596503552
@steven_dor @maou42 @BeLikeWahter Predators and scavengers, and maybe an occasional human.

Maggots can cleanly remove the flesh from a face. Flesh splits. Internal organs are high in calories and micronutriants. Blood pools internally, or drains, or evaporates, or is washed away by rain.

Mostly anecdotes

2022-08-21T17:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561402006681440257
@InvNightSchool @fin365 Interesting, but went too far into speculation that just seemed nonsensical - like suggesting that the Hill's abduction story was a manifestation of a collective memory of racial oppression. Seem like an overly-imaginative attempt to shoehorn things into non-aliens Jungianism.

2022-08-21T16:53:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561396124975788032
@fin365 Mostly on Kindle, plus The UFO Handbook & Intimate Alien in paper form.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FasxBeOagAADhcg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FasxBeOagAADhcg.jpg

2022-08-21T14:30:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561360173054078976

@CredulousScout It fits the science. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1681190/

Humans kill cows sometimes too. But most cases seem to be natural.

2022-08-21T14:29:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561359759080534016

Recurrence is an issue in alt-science. Things get investigated decades ago, plausible explanations are found. Scientists lose interest. But the more esoteric interest remains. UFOlogy has many examples. Cattle mutilation is just one.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1681190/

2022-08-21T14:22:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561358100480741377

@_Kodos_ Cows die. Birds eat eyes. The things need not be linked.

2022-08-21T11:31:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561314978711236608

@Twist_404 Which case?

2022-08-21T05:41:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561226869709500417

Cattle mutilation was a thing back in the 1970s. The FBI got roped into looking into it. They

found it looked like "normal predator and scavenger activity."

People quibble over details and advance wild theories, but really nothing has changed.

https://vault.fbi.gov/Animal%20Mutilation http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaqWdRRUUAAS1Th.jpg

---

Office of the District Attorney
First Judicial District

Kenneth M. Rommel, Jr.
Director

Diana S. Moyle
Coordinating Secretary

LOCAL & STATE

Animal Mutilation Project
Post Office Box 1209
Espanola, New Mexico 87532

Cipriano Padilla
Investigator

Telephone: (505) 753-7131
827-2195

March 5, 1980

4d - MK

0030706

extra

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
J. Edgar Hoover Building
Washington, D.C.

Re: Mutilations of Animals on Indian Reservation; Taos, New Mexico, July 1978

Attention: F.B.I. Laboratory

COPY AND SPECIMENS RETAINED IN LAB FOR FUTURE REFERENCE AND REPORT

Gentlemen:

For background information, I refer to your Albuquerque origin matter entitled as follows:

Mutilations of Animals on Indian Lands in New Mexico --
Crime on Indian Reservation.

Enclosed for examination is one vial containing several flakes of an unknown material which this office would like to have identified in connection with an official investigation.

For your information, since approximately 1975, New Mexico and other states, primarily those located in close proximity to New Mexico, have had incidents referred to by many as "the cattle mutilation phenomena." Stock animals, primarily cattle, have been found dead with various parts of the carcass missing such as one eye, one ear, the udder, and normally a cored anus. Most credible sources have attributed this damage to normal predator and scavenger activity. However, certain segments of the population have attributed the damage to many other causes ranging from U.F.O.s to a giant governmental conspiracy, the exact nature of which is never fully explained. No factual data has been supplied supporting these theories.

In May, 1979, responding to pressure from his constituents, the District Attorney, First Judicial District of New Mexico, applied for and was awarded a one year L.E.A.A. grant to investigate these mutilations.

6 MAR 17 1980

I retired after twenty-eight years as a special agent of the F.B.I. to direct this investigation.

As previously stated, there are those that have attempted to make a connection between cattle mutilations and U.F.O. sitings.

SERIALIZED

59 APR 17 1980

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaqWcLqUEAABEn9.jpg

MUTILATION OF ANIMALS ON INDIAN LANDS IN NEW MEXICO

TAFOYA, Chief of Police, Jicarilla Apache Tribe, disclosed similar information, i.e. the reports of unexplained dead animals were in 1978, and although they were surrounded by suspicious circumstances, there was no evidence to positively determine that the animals had been mutilated. In neither case had evidence been preserved for examination, nor were there any complete reports of investigation done regarding the deaths.

The Albuquerque FBI Office has discussed the possibility of animal mutilations with law enforcement officers in New Mexico, including MEL SEDILLO, JR., Investigator, New Mexico Livestock Board, Albuquerque, New Mexico, who has examined numerous animals which had been reported as being mutilated. Investigator SEDILLO said that in each instance his examination showed that the animals had been attacked by predators.

Since the conference of April 20, 1979, the Albuquerque FBI Office has received a voluminous amount of correspondence from interested parties who have expounded their theories regarding this subject. Copies of this information have been furnished to KENNETH M. ROMMEL for his assistance.

On January 15, 1980, KENNETH M. ROMMEL advised his office has pursued numerous investigative leads regarding the possible mutilation of animals in New Mexico. He said that to date, his investigative unit has determined that none of the reported cases has involved what appear to be mutilations by other than common predators. ROMMEL said he has travelled to other states and conferred with investigators in those areas regarding mutilations, and to date has received no information which would justify the belief that any animals have been intentionally mutilated by human beings. ROMMEL added that regarding all the dead animals he has examined, the damage to the carcasses has always been consistent with predator action.

The Albuquerque FBI Office has alerted law enforcement officials who have jurisdiction over Indian lands in New Mexico concerning the March 6, 1979, authorization for the Albuquerque

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaqWdRRUUAAS1Th.jpg

2022-08-21T05:13:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561219963578228736

@EricI96670975 Which documentary?

2022-08-21T03:13:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561189655969554433

@EricI96670975 Hard to say without being there. Whatâ□□s the actual evidence, other than anecdotes, of uninterested insects?

2022-08-21T02:07:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1561173117728219136

@bogsnorkeler http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FapmrMXVsAEOFe0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FapmrMXVsAEOFe0.jpg
2022-08-20T23:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561135936439611392
Cattle mutilations is one topic where unfortunately I won't be able to do backyard experiments.

Like many mysterious phenomena, *probably* a variety of causes. Mostly natural, some human.
https://twitter.com/BrandonFugal/status/1561118017366290432

2022-08-20T22:51:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561123857544597504
Wherein I explain how the whole "puppets not aliens" thing came about, the fallout with Gary Nolan, and dual wielding some hairdryers.

Sorry I forgot @giddierone's name! Here's his link
https://gideonreid.co.uk/the-mysterious-events-at-ariel-school-zimbabwe-16-sept-1994/

(6:42:18)
https://youtu.be/oPXVyaXi4hA?t=24137

2022-08-20T17:49:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561047743128150016
Follow the money. https://twitter.com/ddeanjohnson/status/1560956762043318272

2022-08-20T17:12:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561038468595064832
@akareyon @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets The model demonstrates the floor stripping aspect. The collapse first strips away the floor trusses, which braced the exterior structure and the core. It also demonstrates how a small upper part can collapse a larger lower part.

2022-08-20T16:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561032093768880128
@akareyon @AE911Truth @NIST @ASCETweets Different building types - these are narrow-span steel-reinforced concrete. WTC was large-span tube-in-a-tube steel frame braced with trusses.

2022-08-20T16:45:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561031633607659521
@AlecStewart28 @VeliBryan @LuAngeles There are two types of information, info in the video, and info used to interpret the video. It should be possible to have the former without the latter, like an unambiguous triangulated video of a 1000 mph unidentified Tic-Tac. But we lack even that.

2022-08-20T14:48:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1561002229116444675
@NaveenS45059931 @EndUAPSecrecy Which bit disproves it? Pick one.

2022-08-20T05:47:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560866164254785538
@DreamDetective1 I agree.

2022-08-19T22:23:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560754311008636928
@LandOLakesCalri @528vibes That's a plane. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ&t=20s

2022-08-19T21:20:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560738587850653696
@ToweringCrane One theory is that the much larger craft was a projected reality, and the star-shared thing was the more real thing or a different projected reality.

Either way, the star is a potential object of interest

2022-08-19T21:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560737759584628736
@RoGin1230 @SingingRebels @uncertainvector I've talked to Ryan and spent a LOT of time both thinking about and talking about his account of Gimbal. I've even coded a simulation of the SA page, integrated with a full 3D reconstruction of Gimbal, to try to visualize what was going on.

I'm certainly not disregarding him.

2022-08-19T18:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560696021138649088
@noraaholt @harrison_fnord @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem Bull!

2022-08-19T18:30:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560695622721626112
@dualmotkany @harrison_fnord @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem It's on my wishlist. I just can't justify a hundred bucks for a "gee, heavy" moment. Hopefully a future investigation will require it :) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fai0BqQUYAAFTHH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fai0BqQUYAAFTHH.jpg
2022-08-19T17:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560686133779959809
@harrison_fnord @blackvaultcom @MiddleOfMayhem I got my balls of steel online back in 2018. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FairZ4oUUAES1ut.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FairZ4oUUAES1ut.jpg
2022-08-19T17:35:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560681821989265408
@garryoke The sky isn't classified. They could go and get their own UFO videos.

2022-08-19T16:50:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560670487792869376
@Francis02454788 @LuAngeles Thinking is one thing, proving it is the tricky bit.

2022-08-19T16:45:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560669396095291393
@tompeary The search for truth - which really is what honest debunking *should* be, as debunking is just removing bunk. Removing falsehoods, so we all get closer to the truth.

2022-08-19T16:43:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560668690579738624
@Francis02454788 @LuAngeles What and where exactly is the heat source?

2022-08-19T16:35:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560666682380521472
@tompeary I wouldn't be studying cases for years if they were not interesting - at least in the sense of being an unsolved puzzles. Gimbal is interesting. Nimitz is interesting. Even Aguadilla is interesting.

2022-08-19T16:29:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560665321748320257

@VeliBryan @LuAngeles Most of them are unidentified, because there's not enough information.

2022-08-19T16:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560665024204382209

@tompeary It's 9:30AM here. I'll go out later.

And I enjoy my hobby. I occasionally figure out interesting things about some UFO videos. It's fun math and coding. Nice people. Interesting shenanigans.

I don't think playing with model trains is going to cut it.

2022-08-19T16:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560664167169740800

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @6diego32 @Change I treat all pilots (and witnesses in general) with respect. Respect is a fundamental part of my communication philosophy. I wrote a book about it.

I'd be happy to talk to any witness, including pilots, about their experiences.

2022-08-19T16:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560663384319672320

@Jamie63354792 Debate quantum poltergeists? Not really my field of interest, but it sounds more like something you should be collecting evidence of, and then presenting that evidence - not having "debates".

I mean, what would the debate topic be?

2022-08-19T16:16:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560662084152569856

@tompeary Why?

2022-08-19T16:12:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560660893653839873

@LuAngeles LOL. I'm not sure why this is so surprising. I've always said I'd like to see the full videos. It would save me a lot of work if there were more data to work with.

I can get behind a straightforward request like this.

2022-08-19T15:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560652443079192576

@uapstuditaly @markocheese @skepmit @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly This image can't really be improved. But you can certainly take better photos with a better camera or use binoculars to visually identify the plane.

Unfortunately, the LIZ (Low Information Zone) is real, and there will always be objects (planes, etc) in it.

2022-08-19T15:27:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560649700864167941
@uapstuditaly @markocheese @skepmit @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly Again, I'd recommend you spend a few hours outside and photograph all the planes within 50 miles with your iPhone.

You will get a variety of photos like this. One of the big indicators is the dark line of indentation in the middle from the wings.

2022-08-19T15:19:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560647773812191238
I signed this petition because I want to figure out what the Gimbal video actually shows. There's probably more of it (and other videos) that can be released without jeopardizing national security. Crowdsourcing investigations might figure it out. https://chng.it/8RnCy5HT

2022-08-19T14:11:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560630448895602689
@Revolvix @TrooperFozzy How am I ignoring it? I'd be happy to speak with Commander Fravor on this topic

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT1uRf5_dF4

2022-08-19T14:09:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560630110755033088
@EndUAPSecrecy Why not? What's the principle in optics that stops it? Why does the Chilean case have glares that exceed the wingspan of an F/A-18?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fah4yuIVQAEW-GC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fah4yuIVQAEW-GC.jpg
2022-08-19T13:40:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560622859386466307

@issaufobro Thereâ□□s more than one UFO case in the world. :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2022-08-19T10:47:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560579128117452800

@FreeNFTs @uapstuditaly @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly And probably this plane.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FahKa_hVsAAOiTM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FahKa_hVsAAOiTM.jpg

2021-11-16T19:59:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460699170692472832

One of the more common things misidentified as a "UFO" is an out-of-focus star (or planet, usually Venus). This sometimes shows up with concentric rings, a "donut" shape. Here's a quick way of demonstrating this.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Dv3PlmGlyA

2021-11-16T18:13:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460672429106216971

@shado_uk @Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 What do you mean "the phenomenon is real?"

What phenomenon exactly? Sometimes pilots see things they can't identify?

2021-11-16T18:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460671551691362308

@The_Video_Girl @singularitymike @TOEwithCurt @LuAngeles @BSquidding @KMataluni In the common sense, "a fact that doesn't matter because it's not relevant to the current situation"

Discussing what will happen when disclosure arrives is irrelevant unless it actually arrives. I feel it probably will not - at least not in the "I told you so!" sense some desire

---

2021-11-16T18:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460670638641741825

@AdmiralQuality @NIH_LLAMAS Bob Lazar picked a likely-to-be-filled-soon hole in the periodic table. There's no connection to UFOs.

2021-11-16T17:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460666120495513604

@coenvermeeren @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer If you are a chemtrails guy, then 9/11 is probably a given. JFK too?

2021-11-16T17:46:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460665623390797828

Two crystal clear pixels! https://twitter.com/TheSun/status/1460653904044052482

2021-11-16T17:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460665271824175106

@singularitymike @TOEwithCurt @LuAngeles @BSquidding @KMataluni @The_Video_Girl Probably a moot point.

2021-11-16T15:18:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460628404114317320

@DreamDetective1 @Acecentric No, itâ□□s just out of focus, with diffraction rings.

https://www.telescope-optics.net/diffraction_pattern_and_aberrations.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEUxyueUcAMUOnk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEUxyueUcAMUOnk.jpg

2021-11-16T10:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460551240568303626

RT @Acecentric: Maybe someday people will learn that this "doughnut" appearance is a common artifact seen when taking zoomed photos with aâ□¦

2021-11-16T06:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460489460382982148

@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Like the books in my video.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-11-16T06:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460489192119541760

@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren But that's just context. Anything I tell you will be independently verifiable, and I encourage you to go find other sources.

2021-11-16T06:04:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460488869367877632

@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren I didn't write my own wikipedia article. It just reflects what I've been doing. I've been running a site on Contrail Science since 2006.

2021-11-16T06:02:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460488545517256707

@UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Sure, but given the option, I'd prefer my scientists not to be promoters of silly conspiracy theories. Tesla included.

2021-11-16T06:00:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460487798926954498

@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren I'm not sure what you mean. I didn't make it out. It's the actual answer.

2021-11-16T05:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460486875097956354

@CoryArmbrecht @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Here's some useful context. It's less than four minutes, and contains an overview of what books on clouds for the last 70 years say about contrails persisting.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X72uACIN_00

2021-11-16T05:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460486536122699780

@CoryArmbrecht @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren The trails you see are contrails. They are mostly water. They are essential a type of cloud. If conditions are right they can persist and spread. Like clouds do.

2021-11-16T05:52:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460486033556983808

@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren This diagram illustrates the concept.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FESwOZ-VEAIYGYi.jpg



If the overall humidity is lower, then these regions are still there
but the water does not condense, so we can't see them.
Kind of like invisble clouds.



So it leaves a trail thet reveals where the humidity was high enough
Kind of like a magic pen, revealing the invisible clouds

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FESwOZ-VEAIYGYi.jpg
2021-11-16T05:51:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460485604198600709
@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren I just wanted you to know my background, for context.

On-off trails: Contrails form if the relative humidity (RH) in the air is high enough. So if the RH is uneven then you get contrails in some regions, but not others. Like how you get clouds in some regions but not others.

2021-11-16T05:48:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460484962247790599
@UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren I'm not insinuating. I'm directly saying I think his judgement and expertise is less credible because of his support for the false chemtrails conspiracy theory.

2021-11-16T05:24:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460478920055160833
@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Do you genuinely want to know? Because I'm the guy, if you are honestly interested. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FESpkPlVkAABM3a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FESpkPlVkAABM3a.jpg
2021-11-16T05:13:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460476143912378371
@CoryArmbrecht @Manning123Sm @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Why do you think that? Have you checked?

2021-11-16T05:12:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460475837971529728
@RogerSmith2001 An out of focus light. Hard to say what without more information.

2021-11-16T04:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460468066639237123
@RogerSmith2001 OOF

2021-11-16T02:16:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460431458204413956
@OKftl @ChrisSPITZER7 What if they were here earlier? Or just occasionally pass through?

2021-11-16T01:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460419295914065927
@CoryArmbrecht @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren That's a rhetorical question. Firefighting, mosquito abatement, crop dusting, and just normal flying also put chemicals in the air. So what?

2021-11-16T01:18:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460416841088602115
@CoryArmbrecht @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren Not in the stratosphere, no. It's something that is done to clouds, from small planes, not leaving trails.

2021-11-16T01:16:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460416513618235392
@ChrisSPITZER7 Well, I wouldn't invest in them, and I'm not sure how much taxpayer money is justified, but I'm not against them. Why might I be? Is anyone?

The only argument would be that it's not a good use of money.

2021-11-16T01:12:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460415502262571011
@CoryArmbrecht @UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren That's utterly untrue. I've been following the chemtrail theory for years, I've talked to geoengineers, and I've got a peer-reviewed paper and book chapter on it. Brennan is discussing possible FUTURE geoengineering.
You are probably thinking of cloud seeding. Different thing.

2021-11-16T00:26:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1460403902545727492
@UFOBreddit @DeepSpace41 @CoryArmbrecht @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @coenvermeeren I think he's also a chemtrails guy.
https://archive.org/details/CASEORANGEBelfortGroupAndCoenVermeerenClimateEngineeringEvi

dence

2021-11-16T00:25:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460403526903873537

@steven_dor @Deleo77a1 @janmaxwell How do you get qualified to make a statement on UFOs?

2021-11-15T22:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460379410813448196

@DavWoodster117 @SecretsPandora http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FERPSKVUUAEBRTk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FERPSKVUUAEBRTk.jpg

2021-11-15T22:47:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460379021993144320

@BryceDodds1 Of course, UAPs are a real issue.

2021-11-15T20:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460349429416804359

@CoryArmbrecht @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @UFOBreddit Fades out a bit oddly around the cloud, which you could fake manually if the wire was lower.

I'm not saying it's that, just that it looks like it, something to consider.

2021-11-15T20:39:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460346636597555201

@CoryArmbrecht @DeepSpace41 @Oswald1160 @TRandallizer @UFOBreddit Looks a little like someone shining a narrow-beam flashlight on an overhead wire.

2021-11-15T13:59:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460246097444552706

@SIJA1966514655 @bottwater No, as it lets you know that there's genuinely something unusual going on, and not just sensor errors or observer misperceptions.

And if it's unambiguously weird MOTION, then that's VERY significant.

2021-11-15T10:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460199741946433542

@Deleo77a1 @janmaxwell Read the definition of "other", it does not apply to most of the dataset, possible ALL of the dataset. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEOrqC9VQAAycEW.jpg

> Other: Although most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis, we may require additional scientific knowledge to successfully collect on, analyze and characterize some of them. We would group such objects in this category pending scientific advances that allowed us to better understand them. The UAPTF intends to focus additional analysis on the small number of cases where a UAP appeared to display unusual flight characteristics or signature management.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEOrqC9VQAAycEW.jpg

2021-11-15T10:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460198305384697858

@shado_uk Which data?

2021-11-15T05:26:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460116988445872131

@LBC16514644 I enjoy figuring out things.

2021-11-15T05:17:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460114714755670017

@Flashfo25007132 Looks like it. Top of the list of possible explanation.

2021-11-15T04:50:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460107892338528257

@LBC16514644 So why do you care what I do? Why is it different to a Bigfoot hunter?

2021-11-15T04:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460107301612765188

@Rezeus2017 No.

2021-11-15T01:36:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460059153775222786

@Deleo77a1 @janmaxwell Sure, but so what? Obviously you canâ□□t rule out aliens with useless information.

2021-11-15T01:35:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460058814997090308

@TRandallizer One could.

2021-11-15T01:25:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460056218471309315

@welfarebanks Maybe it will resurrect him.

2021-11-15T01:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460056102461009922

@NIH_LLAMAS @markamaskey Yeah, it was all: "we current have useless UAP information, we need better information by better reporting, destigmatizing, instruments, being smarter, etc."

2021-11-15T01:08:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460051944483876867

@janmaxwell Why do you say that? Maybe the legislation is about getting better information, as what we have currently is useless.

2021-11-15T00:59:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460049811625832456

@Deleo77a1 She did not say that.

2021-11-15T00:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460043445469265921

@bottwater Kind of disappointing, if you thought they had a bunch of unambiguously weird video.

2021-11-15T00:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460042194555863040

@PaulDaalen Tony Hawk.

2021-11-15T00:28:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460042073453694980

@markamaskey They are GAN images. You can make your own here: https://www.artbreeder.com/i?k=bc10134983482c00dc51826d

---

2021-11-15T00:26:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460041539111948289

Rep. Ruben Gallego (D-AZ), the chair of House Armed Services Subcommittee on Intelligence and Special Operations, says that the information the DoD has on UAP (UFOs) is "useless information"

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uI5fmDHaNWM

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMbrmKVQAAZmi-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMbrmKVQAAZmi-.jpg

2021-11-15T00:16:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460038906989072387

@ddeanjohnson @Jim87504388 The comment was more about Beaver and Aguadilla being recurring. I'll look at anything interesting, so use that as your metric.

2021-11-15T00:11:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460037584885669891

@NIH_LLAMAS I did that (kinda) entirely by accident once.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMYW80VUAUywxS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMYW80VUAUywxS.jpg

2021-11-15T00:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460036492462489604

@DietrichVFA41 Reminds me of this: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMXcD_VkAESbTJ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMXapdUcAIZzc9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMXcD_VkAESbTJ.jpg

2021-11-15T00:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460034912245796867

@frmurphyiii No, seriously, there's going to be a lot more photos like this. Fakes.

2021-11-14T23:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1460034405024419842

A collection of the future of UFO photography.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMVdSEVQAAN5hN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEMVdSEVQAAN5hN.jpg

2021-11-14T20:50:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459987022479642624

@williamkay62 @AJamesMcCarthy @NeighborsCosmic I certainly would not discount a plane. I just couldn't identify a likely candidate.

2021-11-14T20:08:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459976642189660160

@DietrichVFA41 Pfft, it's not even flying :grinning_face:

2021-11-14T16:30:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459921672987758594

@e_tobor Ask RÃ©mi. I think they are doing a behind-the-scenes video.

2021-11-14T16:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459920665377533952

@ddeanjohnson http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEKt_8_UYAwc9Nd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEKt_8_UYAwc9Nd.jpg

2021-11-14T15:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459903897955278851

@Ben1jammin @ripinmypants Unfortunately â□□bafflingâ□□ and â□□interestingâ□□ seem to be inverse proportional in UFO videos. So the baffling cases are generally just white dots, or lights. Hundreds of generic cases.

2021-11-14T15:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459902838306344966

@TjAllard @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL @DJIGlobal Well, Brandon made the claim that it was an â□□unusual drone malfunctionâ□□, so maybe you should ask him?

Reading the forum posts it seem like the calibration is A) overly sensitive, and B) occasionally persistently failing.

2021-11-14T09:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459815488595259393

@BarlowTerry @BrandonFugal @emjbrb2021 @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL Intermittent bugs were my specialty. They just take time and maximal access.

2021-11-14T08:52:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459806320865923073

@feelin_cute But mostly this one. https://youtu.be/E7Ya1CBH7Nk

---

2021-11-14T08:45:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459804646562615298

@BarlowTerry @emjbrb2021 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL Miss out on what exactly? Thereâ□□s still no repeatable phenomena to test, so itâ□□s go there and hope something happens. Brandon was there for months before his first evidence. It would be interesting, just not $2k interesting.

2021-11-14T08:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459797254143766533

@ripinmypants Hundreds.

2021-11-14T07:07:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459780022479175680

@NeighborsCosmic @williamkay62 @AJamesMcCarthy Here's a slightly more manageable 248MB version, with just the triangle. https://www.dropbox.com/t/TDpQmRvHoQDP9jJK

2021-11-14T07:06:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459779752345030660

@skytriangles @parthaRedKnight @DavWoodster117 @Spacecowboy781 How did they measure the distance?

2021-11-14T06:49:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459775504207073280

@ashworth_mick @Spacecowboy781 Mostly refraction, but yes. Strong twinkling.

2021-11-14T06:42:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459773743195066370

@TjAllard @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL @DJIGlobal Yes, one can determine if a problem is pretty common by seeing if a lot of people post about that problem online. It's not rocket science. It's not even really drone science. It's just an aspect of modern wonderful-yet-buggy-as-it's-complex technology.

2021-11-14T06:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459771179384782856

@ashworth_mick @Spacecowboy781 Yes. Stars often appear flickering multicolored, especially close to the horizon. And imperfect lenses and can make them even more colorful.

2021-11-14T06:15:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459767007310606336

@ashworth_mick @Spacecowboy781 A star seems likely.

2021-11-14T06:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459766791480041474

@inf3rence @TjAllard What was the suggestion here Britt? You can DM me.

2021-11-14T06:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459765309812850690

@TjAllard @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL Judge by results. Why not release the raw footage you have of UAPs?

2021-11-14T06:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459764003220049920

@emjbrb2021 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL And Iâ□□ll go if someone covers the cost.

2021-11-14T06:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459763549593477125

@feelin_cute Were they correct?

2021-11-14T03:47:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459729579996680193
@bottwater When you have time, can you email me the original file from your phone? mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-11-14T03:29:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459725158898307072
@itchy1776 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL I'll show my working. You can check it. Brandon has hard data.

2021-11-14T03:28:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459724885123469312
@bottwater Can you give a better location, like a nearby small street, or a business?

2021-11-14T03:26:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459724423796178946
@itchy1776 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL Well I don't generally do the complicated stuff on Twitter. It's on my Metabunk forum, and the results sometimes get made into videos.

Here, for example is the thread on Rubber Duck.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dhs-rubber-duck-footage.12054/

And the one on the Chilean Navy UFO.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-11-14T03:20:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459722908801003525
@itchy1776 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL Does this somehow affect my ability to find and then demonstrate the explanation for a video?

2021-11-14T03:14:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459721256928903172
@itchy1776 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL I have a track record of solving cases. This practical experience qualifies me to solve cases.

2021-11-14T03:11:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459720713116401667
@itchy1776 @bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL That make no sense at all. I'd love to discover alien life. I'd love for ANYONE to discover alien life. I just don't like bunk.

2021-11-14T03:10:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459720484195426310
@bottwater Where were you? That's Newport News, is it not. The plane you are looking at in your video is several miles away.

2021-11-14T03:08:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459719893662666754

@Alex25890282 Looks like low clouds/fog lit easily by lights at an event.

2021-11-14T03:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459719713618280453

@bradjohansson21 @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL I'm pretty good at looking at photos and video and figuring out what they show. I assume if you had visuals and recordings you also have lots of photos and video of the UAP?

2021-11-14T03:06:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459719379050921989

@bottwater That's 22:39 UTC (assuming you were in Eastern Daylight Time, UTC-4) Plenty of traffic. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEH3BFvVUAIziKI.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEH3BFvVUAIziKI.jpg

2021-11-14T03:01:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459718000223817728

@Alex25890282 Maybe the lights from an event, like the racecourse. Or was this at 2AM?

2021-11-14T02:55:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459716542166233089

RT @DrRobDavidson: Just out of the ER and #COVID19 is ripping through West Michigan worse than ever. Half of my ER were patients waiting toâ¦

2021-11-14T02:50:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459715319157559303

@mombojom There's no evidence he didn't, and nobody else managed to get photos even though thousands could. People do fake things, this is known (see: France)

2021-11-14T02:49:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459715016794378244

@apicasefiles Go on then.

2021-11-14T02:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459714821927018501

@Alex25890282 What town is it, and what direction are we looking in?

2021-11-14T02:47:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459714519266062336

@bottwater Where, when, exactly?

2021-11-14T02:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459714359249158144

@mombojom Bored security guard.

2021-11-14T02:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459714250855702536

@mombojom Mylar letter ballon.

2021-11-14T02:44:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459713927521050627

@BrandonFugal @bradjohansson21 @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL I'd be happy to take a look at the recorded sighting. Stick it on a dropbox.

2021-11-14T02:43:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459713505796313089

@bottwater Well, if it looks like a plane, I'm not sure what more there it to discuss. I'm assuming you mean the video: "A quick breakdown of my UFO video", which shows two sunlit planes and the tree illusion.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d3wmQhuwwrg

2021-11-14T02:32:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459710854484557826

@bottwater No clouds and sunny is required for the illusion to work. Otherwise it stabilizes the clouds and not the tree. My example is blue skies and sunny.

Based on my experience, it's very obviously planes.

2021-11-14T02:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459710472303771650

@bottwater What do you want me to look at?

2021-11-14T02:27:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459709565700763652

@bottwater Yours show planes. They are overexposed with a low sun, and exhibit the "moving

behind trees" phone stabilization illusion. Explained here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-11-14T02:20:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459707815904174084
@Whotony at 2:36 there's some zipping by http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHsb1TUYAYp4G5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHsb1TUYAYp4G5.jpg
2021-11-14T02:19:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459707414186536976
@AJamesMcCarthy @williamkay62 @NeighborsCosmic It's three dim lights moving in triangle formation. Might be a plane, might be satellites. Hard to say. See it at 4:35, timelinked here:
https://youtu.be/3jmPG1YWWQA?t=275

2021-11-14T02:10:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459705383002333189
Aguadilla UFO
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c1qiZ_L8wX4

2021-11-14T02:10:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459705318603046917
Beaver UFO:
https://youtu.be/bVmGhxYrkug?t=154

2021-11-14T02:10:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459705266245554180
What recurring UFO video should I tackle next? The one that whizzes past a drone in Beaver, Utah, or the Aguadilla airport coastguard IR transmedium footage.

2021-11-14T01:58:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459702176977022978
@Spacecowboy781 How was the distance measured?

2021-11-14T01:57:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459701892515176448

@DavWoodster117 @Spacecowboy781 Looks more like chromatic aberration and atmospheric turbulence and a star.

2021-11-14T01:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459701552218718211

@BrandonFugal @bradjohansson21 @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL It would seem that if you are looking for magnetic anomalies then a drone's flakey compass calibration is a rather blunt tool.

2021-11-14T01:42:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459698142018891779

@bottwater @BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL It's difficult to know everything.

2021-11-14T01:13:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459691004454309889

@BrandonFugal @KSL5TV @AndrewAdamsKSL That's actually a pretty common problem with the Mavic Air. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHc0cTVIA0Hubr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHc0cTVIA0Hubr.jpg

2021-11-14T00:20:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459677470177710080

@williamkay62 You can even do it yourself http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHQ3clVQAIgBUx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEHQ3clVQAIgBUx.jpg

2021-11-14T00:16:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459676619472203776

@williamkay62 No, but didn't they explain it? It's just a panorama photo. VERY easy to replicate.

2021-11-13T21:15:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459631040859820033

@SkinnyWalker3 The results seem the same to me. I am having trouble seeing your tweets though. DM me. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEGmnLwUUAA8o3E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEGmnLwUUAA8o3E.jpg

2021-11-13T20:59:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459626990806261766

@NeighborsCosmic Well, there does not appear to be a plane flying over at that exact time.

2021-11-13T19:57:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459611306911100932

@SkinnyWalker3 @TheoryQed @AstroChimp_65 Seems like he either saw something, r was hallucinating. Pilots have been seeing thing for decades (cf Foo Fighters in the 1940s). No good photos unfortunately.

2021-11-13T19:40:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459607058810949636

@TheoryQed @AstroChimp_65 And thank you.

2021-11-13T19:34:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459605712942759938

@Vmandrake I think crop circles are all human made (except for a few very rough ones made by wind or animals)

2021-11-13T19:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459604820411633667

@bogsnorkeler @hollywoodufos Hard to say. She SEEMS to believe what she is saying. Could be either, or a bit of both.

2021-11-13T19:08:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459598946309869568

@NeighborsCosmic What the exact time and location of the video? What direction is the camera pointing in?

2021-11-13T18:08:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459584069029621763

@TruthBe05900844 @2_Pointy_Ears We shall soon find out. Place your bets!

2021-11-13T18:08:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459583920903655424

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @kimball_23 Academics can also get sucking into cults and conspiracy theories.

2021-11-13T18:07:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459583597749235714

@E_vision422 Why? It seemed to have worked very well.

2021-11-13T18:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459583087721910272

@LasseLind3 No it didn't. It showed you have to exercise caution when using videos as evidence. Especially when the source is unclear.

2021-11-13T18:01:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459582136139194370

@E_vision422 Well it fooled a lot of people, and got media attention. So yes, it's a hoax. There were people on r/UFO saying this was the best UFO footage ever, and a trigger for genuine disclosure.

Now they will just say it's disinformation, designed to distract from genuine disclosure.

2021-11-13T17:52:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459579970288644100

Well I'm glad I didn't waste TOO much time on the French light-stick UFO. It seems like it was, as many suspected, a hoax. https://twitter.com/nqtv/status/1459552488982560769

2021-11-13T15:13:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459540038128046080

@zcichy Thatâ□□s the great thing about â□□soonâ□□ predictions. They can alway be claimed correct n matter how long it takes. And if it doesnâ□□t, itâ□□s still â□□soonâ□□

2021-11-13T15:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459539087665164288

@dolorado7 Nope, itâ□□s a drone. Itâ□□s behind those leaves.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEFTELoVIAwjFhn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEFTELoVIAwjFhn.jpg

2021-11-13T15:07:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459538388395069445

@kimball_23 @Oswald1160 @SkinnyWalker3 Their memories are actually different.

2021-11-13T10:10:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459463796796182529

@KingM2021 @528vibes That's a sensor reflection.

2021-11-13T03:16:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459359492726935557

@NoWhere03025695 @JulienGeffray @HRFaulkner @528vibes Itâ□□s not in front. See https://www.metabunk.org/threads/glowing-tic-tac-ufo-in-southern-france-probably-a-drone.12125/post-260586

2021-11-13T01:52:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459338449249390599

@2_Pointy_Ears Not a cube, some kind of light bar or tube. Maybe a DIY one

---

2021-11-13T01:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459337812046610439

@DigitalDino4 He sure does.

2021-11-13T01:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459337009479049222

@TheoryQed @AstroChimp_65 Sure!

2021-11-13T01:46:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459336751722364930

@UAPGodz @TruthcastHQ Maybe three helicopters. Hard to say.

2021-11-13T01:45:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459336552861949953

@Mantishomie Probably Jupiter and Venus. But all you can tell from the videos is that they are out of focus. Thatâ□□s what makes that shape with the ring. Try to find Jupiter with a star app

---

2021-11-12T21:58:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459279518292537346
@AstroChimp_65 Sure, If I had a drone. Want to buy me one?

2021-11-12T21:52:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459277902428590083
How is it glowing? With a light strip attached to it.
https://twitter.com/528vibes/status/1459126570015146000

2021-11-12T21:49:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459277245969698817
@JudgeKowalski @zcichy https://youtu.be/97o71gBSiIs

2021-11-12T21:49:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459277197403820032
@Disclosure89
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/glowing-tic-tac-ufo-in-southern-france-probably-a-drone.12125/

2021-11-12T21:44:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459275965603803137
@itchy1776 No, it's just a thought.

2021-11-12T20:26:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459256384113938432
@ChrisSPITZER7 @NoahDV No I don't. That's a facile false dichotomy. Incompetence exists. Problems exist. Other things exist.

2021-11-12T20:24:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459255874749222912
@RayMoor18837824 @FringeCenter @elonmusk @neiltyson @BillGates Ignatius was asking questions. The forum is essentially media, just the old-fashioned public meeting type.

2021-11-12T20:21:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459254931722960898
@ChrisSPITZER7 @NoahDV If one got solved, then you can hardly ignore that fact.

This seems like a circular discussion. We don't know what the cases were, or what was done to investigate them, or what other cases exist.

They probably remain unidentified due to lack of data.

2021-11-12T20:14:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459253180257034243
@ChrisSPITZER7 @NoahDV But they say the 144 dataset does not contain enough data. Several times.

2021-11-12T20:10:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1459252217349767171

@ChrisSPITZER7 Maybe there's a variety of things going on.

2021-11-12T20:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459252115277189123

@NoahDV @ChrisSPITZER7 I think they were told to write a report on them, not solve them.

2021-11-12T19:58:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459249289683554309

@ChrisSPITZER7 Which cases?

"most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis"

2021-11-12T19:57:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459248992202620928

@ChrisSPITZER7 Wait what? That's nonsensical.

Of course you can use lack of data. They say in the report that there's a lack of data.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEBLOUMUUAUtiZW.jpg

Other: Although most of the UAP described in our dataset probably remain unidentified due to limited data or challenges to collection processing or analysis, we may require additional scientific knowledge to successfully collect on, analyze and characterize some of them. We would group such objects in this category pending scientific advances that allowed us to better understand them. The UAPTF intends to focus additional analysis on the small number of cases where a UAP appeared to display unusual flight characteristics or signature management.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEBLOUMUUAUtiZW.jpg

2021-11-12T19:53:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459247922659291138

@FringeCenter @elonmusk @neiltyson @BillGates It's popular in the media to ask about ET. Since it's literally impossible to rule out, then what are they going to say? The UAP report said nothing about ET, probably because it's not really on their radar as a likely explanation for any of the cases. But it can't be ruled out.

2021-11-12T19:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459247340229853186

@ChrisSPITZER7 I understand that. The point is that those 144 have obvious ALREADY gone through a filter of being interesting enough to add to the list. Obviously pilots see things in the sky all the time.

2021-11-12T19:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459242085094100995

@FringeCenter @elonmusk @neiltyson @BillGates What do you want explaining exactly? A story from The Sun? Go to the primary source.

Or you could watch this extended discussion.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=97o71gBSiIs

2021-11-12T19:27:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459241571816140801

@ChrisSPITZER7 You think that every single UFO case that the Navy has seen in 17 years amounts to 144 cases, only one of which they have solved?

It's blindingly obvious these are just the unsolved cases.

2021-11-12T17:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459212895183536130

@EmperorBag @BiomechAnima @thaxter @ChrisSPITZER7 @GalileoProject1 I am also saying in the 17 years, they had thousands of reports and the 144 represents the 1% they might not have a (public or secret) concrete identification for.

So in that, at least, we roughly agree.

2021-11-12T17:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459212189424783367

@UAPMort That's a balloon I used in my "Rubber Duck" experiments. It does not mean anything beyond that.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=snwqUpQ6oSE

2021-11-12T16:00:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459189441067507714

@TheWreckingBal5 @Tactual55 @AE911Truth @TheJusticeDept @ACLU @CERN Well I know a lot about data compression. Try me.

2021-11-12T15:59:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459189215619477507

@SabersKnight @nuclaes There's a few. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEAUmX2UUAIOhvg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FEAUmX2UUAIOhvg.jpg

2021-11-12T15:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459179684768354308

@ChrisSPITZER7 @ericwojo @JasonColavito @ODNIgov Make your work public. Why not?

2021-11-12T15:20:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459179252994084864

@TheWreckingBal5 @Tactual55 @AE911Truth @TheJusticeDept That a fake quote, there's no evidence he ever said that.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-theres-a-plot-in-this-country-to-enslave-every-man-woman-and-child-jfk.319/

2021-11-12T15:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459175165439332352

@ChrisSPITZER7 @ericwojo @JasonColavito @ODNIgov Why not just show your math? Surely if you can prove itâ□□s not an aircraft that would be amazing.

Also, how do you know the 20 miles figure is accurate?

2021-11-12T14:54:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459172720466300939

@Drazinononda @QuacksAnonymous I do, and also rabbit holes.

2021-11-12T14:53:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459172588169490434

@SabersKnight If they canâ□□t visualize how vision works, the how can they understand HOW a ship is obscured by the horizon.

2021-11-12T14:51:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459171952753479687

@EmperorBag @BiomechAnima @thaxter @ChrisSPITZER7 @GalileoProject1 So in 17 years the military only had 144 UFO reports? And they only solved one?

2021-11-12T14:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459171249846771725

@james_mals @BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 A tiny, tiny, bit of the Pentagon.

2021-11-12T09:02:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459084069405220878

@ChrisSPITZER7 @ericwojo @JasonColavito @ODNIgov Where's that then?

2021-11-12T05:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459030727991775235

@thaxter @ChrisSPITZER7 @GalileoProject1 Did any show advanced flight characteristics on multiple sensors? Were any unambiguously weird objects?

2021-11-12T04:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459021149581430784

@ChrisSPITZER7 Itâ□□s not <1%, itâ□□s more like 99%. The 144 are just the 1% hard cases that remain.

2021-11-12T04:43:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1459018918727536642

@brianholtz1965 Thanks! Someone just sent me that.

2021-11-12T02:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458980530691600389

@petef50513283 @FrederickPorti6 @haroldputhoff Iâ□□m not sure the time is exactly right - or the moon rendering. But I think its pretty obviously Jupiter.

2021-11-12T02:06:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458979540319944706

@KJ46838999 Interesting. How do you think about why a ship disappears over the horizon?

2021-11-12T01:57:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458977120047091714

@michaelshermer She didn't really say that. That's the Sun paraphrasing. Here's her full response. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD9T11GUUAQ5YJo.jpg

---

Avril Haines 32:17

yeah, I mean, I think the bottom line is that we don't understand everything that we're seeing. And that's probably not surprising to anybody in many respects. But it is, it was a report that really, Congress asked us to produce a report that assessed what we saw as the threat, essentially, from unidentified aerial phenomenon. And what our sort of best understanding was of the different reports that we had identified and it stretched over from, I think, 2004, through to 2021, the different reports that you identify, that you indicated 144 of them, and we had different categories, as you said, so one of them was airborne clutter and other was natural, you know, phenomenon. Another was foreign adversaries. A fourth one was related to sort of US government or industry developmental programs. And then the fifth one was "other". And that basically indicated that we were pretty sure we weren't going to be able to characterize every single one of these reports in the various categories that we've identified, because, frankly, we were not able to understand everything about it. And a large portion of that is based on the fact that we don't have a consistent way of reporting this information, we need to integrate, frankly, a lot of data that we get, we need to get better at collecting information that's useful to us from different sensors that are available to us. And we have to deepen our analysis in these areas. And that's something that, you know, frankly, probably also doesn't surprise you in the sense that that's how we typically approach our intelligence work. And the main issues that Congress and others have been concerned about are basically safety of flight concerns and counterintelligence issues. But of course, there's always the question of, is there something else that we simply do not understand that might come extra terrestrial? Extra terrestrially?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD9T11GUUAQ5YJo.jpg

2021-11-12T01:37:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458972120193458185

Maybe flat earthers all have Aphantasia, and their inability to form a mental model of the earth meanings they can't actually understand arguments that rely on visualizing the globe. So they can only judge an argument by how well the words seem to fit together.

2021-11-12T01:30:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458970453221863428

@ChrisSPITZER7 @ericwojo @JasonColavito @ODNIgov Well, if you can prove it's not a distant plane, then is there really a good reason to think it's something vastly more interesting?

2021-11-12T01:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458965505415401474

@CKakadan @zcichy Not a podcast, but here's a audio file version.

https://www.metabunk.org/f/Mick%20West%20Zac%20Cichy%20-%20UAPs%20and%20DNI.mp3

2021-11-12T01:05:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458964014243799040

What's the significance of the Director of National Intelligence mentioning things coming "Extraterrestrially" while talking about UAPs? Is "UAP" a loaded term now? How should the government better talk about the UAP issue? A discussion with @zcichy

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=97o71gBSiIs

2021-11-11T21:53:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458915928209379328

@michaelshermer Yeah, but the paper version, with a different headline.

2021-11-11T21:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458915780473470993

@strategic_doubt @zcichy Yes, I like to record and upload to fix audio issues and tidy up. Should be up an hour after we finish.

2021-11-11T21:37:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458911912532402176

Does anyone have a physical copy of the NYT 2017 UAP story? Could you send me a HD photo of the front page with the headline "Real U.F.O.â□□s? Pentagon Unit Tried to Know."

mick@mickwest.com

2021-11-11T21:32:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458910586486472718

@thaxter @zcichy @JMilgie @Akam1129 I guess not since Obama back in 2021? Or maybe Chairman of the Senate Intelligence Committee, Mark Warner, back in 2021?

2021-11-11T21:04:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458903376721178624

@thaxter @zcichy @JMilgie @Akam1129 The topic was space. DNIs have talked about space before.

https://www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/speeches-interviews/speeches-interviews-2020/item/2172-dni-john-ratcliffe-s-remarks-at-the-eighth-meeting-of-the-national-space-council

---

2021-11-11T20:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458898154586206217

@zcichy @JMilgie @Akam1129 They are not trying to stoke belief in aliens. But what are they doing a bad job at? They are not actively trying to suppress belief. Why would they?

2021-11-11T20:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458897517043609626

@zcichy @JMilgie @Akam1129 Of course there are other ways to interpret it. And why exactly would they (Haines mostly, I doubt they had a meeting on this) consider the spin the notoriously UFO-hysterical British tabloids put on it?

2021-11-11T20:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458893527782354969

@jplatte11 @Oswald1160 @Jim87504388 @BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 No, they did not.

2021-11-11T20:13:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458890668923777051

@aka__V @Herv78767079 @HarryAu05753100 I think spoofing is plausible, however they act

like glitches. Why would they spoof something moving like that?

I had a long discussion about it here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JeUlvKn-Bwk

---

2021-11-11T19:41:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458882719761395729
@Oswald1160 @jplatte11 @Jim87504388 @BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2021-11-11T19:27:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458879130309578753
@pgeeker4 @bogsnorkeler It just makes it easier for people to tag me and to recognize it's me.

2021-11-11T18:29:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458864387171647489
@HamidAbubakr2 I'm not fighting them.

2021-11-11T18:27:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458864004533669911
@jplatte11 @preluder Is the only reason you think it splashed was because in that moment some trained sailors said "splash"?

You know you can examine the video yourself.

2021-11-11T17:35:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458850874923446272
@Oswald1160 @jplatte11 @Jim87504388 @BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 The "aura" is a classic

---

2021-11-11T17:34:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458850554831011840
@BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 The DNI said there's "always the question" of something coming extraterrestrially.

Which is literally true. People are always asking about it. They always will. It's an unfalsifiable question.

This is not soft disclosure.

2021-11-11T17:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458849924808790024
@bogsnorkeler Because it's good.

2021-11-11T17:27:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458848951247904770
@BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 Not really. It was Bigelow.

2021-11-11T17:20:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458847211224113184

@Jim87504388 @jplatte11 @BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 None of the above. I mean I think Lue holds some wrong beliefs, but saying he's "delusional" is a bit silly. He's just (IMO) mistaken about some things, and interpreting some stories as more reality-reflecting than they actually are.

2021-11-11T17:18:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458846522745843712

@Barry56237 It's not an argument.

2021-11-11T17:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458846322966945795

@nuclaes The old u/MickWest wasn't using it, so I got it from them. I still have u/Metabunk

---

2021-11-11T16:48:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458839080683274242

I've changed my active Reddit username from u/Metabunk to u/MickWest

---

2021-11-11T16:35:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458835898443829259

11 years ago I was on CNN, explaining how short sunlit contrails are just short sunlit contrails, and not UFOs.

11 years later, short sunlit contrails are still being reported as UFOs.

"UFO!" is just too much fun to let the real explanation get in the way.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD7SrkiVIAo-zsG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD7SrkiVIAo-zsG.jpg

2021-11-11T14:45:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458808133061541893

@TheRobbok @JasonColavito @528vibes @bradjohansson21 I would, if they could prove extraterrestrial visitations.

2021-11-11T14:42:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458807458151895042

@BenLumen @528vibes @JasonColavito @bradjohansson21 Lue isn't the Pentagon.

2021-11-11T14:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458807328484970502

@Herv78767079 @HarryAu05753100 Or by conventional physics. Which suggests radar glitches as a more likely explanation.

2021-11-11T12:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458772324304363536

@zcichy @Miles90234527 @PostDisclosure @thaxter @WitnessCitizen UAPs are an IC issue because of (at the very least) the #4 bin in the report.

But the conference was broadly about "Our Future in Space." It also covered space travel, colonization, and warfare - and specifically the space arms race with China and Russia. She explained that. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD6ZMiIVgAYdcFn.jpg

---

AH **Avril Haines** 39:42

Yeah, so first of all, I mean, our space base architecture we rely on for domestic and international commerce. We are beholden nonnative for our homeland security. It's quite intertwined with critical infrastructure. For examples. There are 55 critical functions that the domestic that our Department of Homeland Security is identified as critical. And really all of them are connected to space in a variety of ways. It is critical to our national security, our military capabilities that rely on space. And it's critical to scientific discovery, as we know. And so it is fundamental to so many different aspects of our life and our interests. And yes, the threat is real in the sense that both China and Russia are increasingly building space into their military capabilities. They both have both ground based and space based counter space capabilities. They have effectively counter space capabilities that allow them to jam some of our satellites, they have directed energy weapons that allow them to essentially blind sensors on various satellites, they have the context of for example, China has a anti satellite missile that is capable of attacking satellites in Leo in low Earth orbit. So there's a variety of concerns in this area. And in terms of what we're doing about it, we are absolutely committed to protecting frankly, access to and the capacity to explore space for a variety of nations, ourselves, our allies or partners around the world, we recognize how important this is to our collective human prosperity. And therefore we're looking to defend our architecture and make sure that in fact, it's capable of being resilient through these periods.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD6ZMiIVgAYdcFn.jpg

2021-11-11T12:14:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458769988165144582

@kimball_23 @SkinnyWalker3 Which point? Please give one of those examples.

2021-11-11T12:11:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458769297229037575

@kimball_23 @Oswald1160 @SkinnyWalker3 Mellon said that "Gimbal" was the MOST compelling video he'd seen.

2021-11-11T05:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458670276401455104

@PostDisclosure @zcichy @thaxter @WitnessCitizen Saying "there's always the question" is a bit ambiguous. We know it's not a main issue, but if it was a serious possibility then it 100% would be THE main issue.

I think "there's always the question" means "the question won't go away, as you can't prove a negative"

2021-11-11T05:27:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458667750599974915

@zcichy @thaxter @WitnessCitizen She said what was in the UAP report, and then she acknowledge that people asked about things that might be extraterrestrial.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD46OB_UYAEY8AQ.jpg

AH **Avril Haines** 32:17

yeah, I mean, I think the bottom line is that we don't understand everything that we're seeing. And that's probably not surprising to anybody in many respects. But it is, it was a report that really, Congress asked us to produce a report that assessed what we saw as the threat, essentially, from unidentified aerial phenomenon. And what our sort of best understanding was of the different reports that we had identified and it stretched over from, I think, 2004, through to 2021, the different reports that you identify, that you indicated 144 of them, and we had different categories, as you said, so one of them was airborne clutter and other was natural, you know, phenomenon. Another was foreign adversaries. A fourth one was related to sort of US government or industry developmental programs. And then the fifth one was "other". And that basically indicated that we were pretty sure we weren't going to be able to characterize every single one of these reports in the various categories that we've identified, because, frankly, we were not able to understand everything about it. And a large portion of that is based on the fact that we don't have a consistent way of reporting this information, we need to integrate, frankly, a lot of data that we get, we need to get better at collecting information that's useful to us from different sensors that are available to us. And we have to deepen our analysis in these areas. And that's something that, you know, frankly, probably also doesn't surprise you in the sense that that's how we typically approach our intelligence work. And the main issues that Congress and others have been concerned about are basically safety of flight concerns and counterintelligence issues. But of course, there's always the question of, is there something else that we simply do not understand that might come extra terrestrial? Extra terrestrially?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD46OB_UYAEY8AQ.jpg

2021-11-11T05:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458662539332444161

@zcichy @WitnessCitizen I actually think they donâ□□t really understand how you feel about it. You are not representative of the public, so they donâ□□t really consider how it seems to you.

2021-11-11T05:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458661661003317253

@thaxter @zcichy @WitnessCitizen Unidentified, by itself, means unknown.

2021-11-11T05:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458661354483507204

@SkinnyWalker3 @kimball_23 Can he demonstrate those things?

2021-11-11T04:59:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458660676763721736

@zcichy @WitnessCitizen The IC has an interest in space. UAP is very much a sidebar there.

Perhaps a better questions is if the DNI should be discussing ANYTHING in public forums.

2021-11-11T04:23:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458651616526036995

@zcichy @WitnessCitizen Terms take on the essence of what they refer to. UAP are UFOs. A new name will eventually be UFOs too.

2021-11-11T02:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458619564862828546

@zcichy Well, they are unidentified. What else are they going to call them?

2021-11-11T02:13:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458618871032664065

@TruthBe05900844 @flightradar24 Theoretically.

2021-11-11T02:12:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458618546926194691

@Catchme22322934 Target flares.

2021-11-11T02:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458618321478160384

@kimball_23 @SkinnyWalker3 None of that is true.

2021-11-11T01:25:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458606691101011977

@zcichy What alleged gaslighting are you referring to?

2021-11-11T00:55:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458599235398422530

@DickThickrod No, I've not really gone into it. It's a bit too old.

2021-11-11T00:25:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458591624825499655

@getussy Any star chart app should tell you where venus, jupiter and saturn will be. They are all quite close right now.

I use iPhone, but maybe something like this:

https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.escapistgames.starchart&hl=en_US&gl=US

2021-11-10T23:50:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458582804669763584

@getussy Probably Jupiter and Venus.

2021-11-10T23:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458582190418116617

@wiedehopf977 @stratoballoon @flightradar24 @ADSBexchange 555555, sorry I cropped that.

2021-11-10T20:36:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458534044950405120

@stymieXXX There's no intermediate points in the data. The Arizona segment (Lon -114) is actually just 12 minutes long, then there's the Kamchatka segment (Lon 163) over several days. Since Kamchatka is so long, another short flight just gets inserted. No Longitudes other than -114 and 163

2021-11-10T20:06:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458526401246609408

@stratoballoon @flightradar24 @ADSBexchange I looked at it earlier, but the AVRORA craft did not show up.

2021-11-10T20:05:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458526143095607296

@stymieXXX That's not actual travel though. That's two separate tracks using the same invalid code and then joined together. Only the bits at the ends are actual movement (and that's not really clear at the Kamchatka end)

2021-11-10T18:34:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458503224277692419

@flightradar24 So a likely explanation would by a NASA/Mil experimental aircraft in AZ, and ... something else near Kamchatka. Both using the invalid 0x555555 code?

2021-11-10T17:07:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458481500135976960

What's the AVRORA callsign on @flightradar24? Odd maneuvers in Arizona. Odder teleporting to Kamchatka for a few days.
Glitch? Test? Spoofing? Spy Drone? Aliens?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/the-avrora-callsign-mystery.12120/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD2Q-62VUAQc0t3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD2Q-62VUAQc0t3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD2Q9YbVkAQMODs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FD2Q-KVUUAEbDcj.jpg

2021-11-10T15:28:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458456523986718726

@Ivlillion Sure, but what can you do?

2021-11-10T13:59:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458434209152843777

@kimball_23 No, why?

2021-11-10T13:30:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458426758353481728

@Ivlillion It's just easier for them.

2021-11-10T02:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458254770804703235

@JeffCla81664839 Possible, but not really indicated.

2021-11-10T01:57:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458252507520520196

@JeffCla81664839 That UFOlogy is probably doomed to eternal cycles of optimism and disappointment as the LIZ recedes.

2021-11-09T23:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458209886177689604

@AlecStewart28 Because they are sightings that occur in the context of military training or exercises, and hence might involved the use of variants of military technology (like radar, or FLIR) which is classified.

Nothing to do with with they actually are.

2021-11-09T20:10:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458165037286113280

@ihadtoomuch So really you are disagreeing with me.

2021-11-09T20:09:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458164815889719300

@AtticusFinch_JD Nah, it's just SOB

2021-11-09T19:22:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458152989479239680

@cepler @geofflath @MikaelThalen I don't think those panels are glass though. Might be ETFE, which is transparent to IR.

2021-11-09T19:04:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458148471819563008

@stymieXXX @SMarkMcC They were already released, and already acknowledged as Navy videos, so saying they DoD released them is really a bit misleading.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxiFC_VEAIFwjk.jpg

IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Statement by the Department of Defense on the Release of Historical Navy Videos

APRIL 27, 2020

The Department of Defense has authorized the release of three unclassified Navy videos, one taken in November 2004 and the other two in January 2015, which have been circulating in the public domain after unauthorized releases in 2007 and 2017. The U.S. Navy previously acknowledged that these videos circulating in the public domain were indeed Navy videos. After a thorough review, the department has determined that the authorized release of these unclassified videos does not reveal any sensitive capabilities or systems, and does not impinge on any subsequent investigations of military air space incursions by unidentified aerial phenomena. DOD is releasing the videos in order to clear up any misconceptions by the public on whether or not the footage that has been circulating was real, or whether or not there is more to the videos. The aerial phenomena observed in the

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxiFC_VEAIFwjk.jpg

2021-11-09T18:34:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458140950794936325

@realufobros Sorry, I only use TLA

2021-11-09T18:33:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458140698029420554

The Navy has been pretty clear from the start that they won't release the results of their UFO/UAP investigations because it risks leaking classified information. This is just a default position, and does not imply anything about the results of those investigations.

https://twitter.com/blackvaultcom/status/1458071601388220419

2021-11-09T18:30:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458139955092344833

@realufobros What, I provided a glossary!

2021-11-09T17:55:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458131159238397953

UFOs (aka UAP) exist only in the LIZ, usually TFA, frequently OOF, sadly often JAL or (worse) RIW. SFA is an issue (birds and bugs), and we often only have an unverifiable EWA. Invariably studies end up NED so MSN http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxRooLUYAE2nxW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxRooLUYAE2nxW.jpg

2021-11-09T17:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458129790024568837

@antifa_goat Too Far Away

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-acronym-defnitions.11742/

2021-11-09T17:38:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1458126887687901186

When a UFO isn't TFA you can find out what it is.

If this bunch of spider balloons had just been half a mile further away, or the camera wasn't very good, then you'd be seeing this in the Daily Express.

Source:

https://www.reddit.com/r/UFOs/comments/qq0aea/this_is_likely_what_all_the_buzz_is_about/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxOlojVcAA80gk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDxOlojVcAA80gk.jpg
2021-11-09T17:20:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458122256756842502
@OddFlyingThing @FrederickPorti6 It's just an optical effect in the camera, although it's not clear exactly what. See:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/shape-changing-light-from-plane-with-nikon-p900-polarizing-filter-venus.11904/

2021-11-09T15:27:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458093793098489868
@Cosmic_Mystery0 @jbmundy @UFOBreddit At least thereâ□□s something in my burger.

:grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-11-09T15:24:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1458093165353783296
@preluder @FrederickPorti6 https://twitter.com/mickwest/status/1457887726255030279

2021-11-09T01:48:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457887726255030279

@benjimaan22 @UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 @e_tobor Not trivially, as it needs pre-2008 hardware and software. But the video part is easy. Other have taken video of Antares.
The bump-map contours would be fiddly unless I had the exact software.
And I don't feel there's a need.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457887500559519750/pu/img/6k7BIUX268-LiQlw.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457887500559519750/pu/img/6k7BIUX268-LiQlw.jpg
2021-11-09T00:43:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457871353420283904
@FrederickPorti6 @sheisartmoney @e_tobor @chasisr23 @UAPRAEFOS @OwatcherUf Here's a clearer explanation of why the stars are not what you say they are.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1457871148532649986

2021-11-09T00:42:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457871148532649986
One for nerds. The details of how I tracked down the actual stars in @FrederickPorti6's "Multispectral Mothership" mislabeled star photos.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AInFVWIOVx0

2021-11-09T00:11:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457863378517458949
@FrederickPorti6 @haroldputhoff Blocking the light? You can see the light, both from Jupiter and its moons (the "plasma")

2021-11-08T23:39:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457855380386574339
RT @ejdickson: Breaking: that clearly fake anti-vax viral video of a Karen going apeshit on airline staffâ¦â¦..is fake
https://www.rollingstone.com/culture/culture-news/plane-vaccine-karen-tiktok-video-1255009/

2021-11-08T22:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457834661200023554

@e_tobor @FrederickPorti6 @sheisartmoney @chasisr23 @UAPRAEFOS @OwatcherUf Fred just said this wasn't hyperspectral.

2021-11-08T21:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457829653930934274

@FrederickPorti6 @rosscoulthart @JoeBiden @POTUS @SecDef Some email from some guy 10 years ago does not change the stars in your Figure 1.

2021-11-08T21:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457825551394099201

@FrederickPorti6 @rosscoulthart @JoeBiden @POTUS @SecDef Even if that's relevant, it does not change the fact that you misidentified the star you were filming.

2021-11-08T21:28:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457822446774468614

RT @ufoofinterest: On the left an alleged triangle UFO photographed on October 30, 2021 in Montreal, Canada has gone viral on Facebook.

Onâ¦

2021-11-08T19:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457797492855087104

@FrederickPorti6 @haroldputhoff And you illustrate this with an image of Jupiter in the same place as your Figure 3? Where is this image from?

2021-11-08T19:17:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457789303765929989

@FrederickPorti6 @haroldputhoff No, because the telescope pictures are of Antares. Here's your Figure 1, cleaned up to remove the lines, stars brightened, then matched with Stellarium. They all have the stars in the same place.

This is not coincidence. It's reality. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsbXb8VQAMS6WR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsbRLhVEAA2syr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsbUcZVUAIBzFG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsbOFDVUAExsIN.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsbXb8VQAMS6WR.jpg

2021-11-08T19:00:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457785067028180997

@FrederickPorti6 @haroldputhoff That's Jupiter's moons. Look, you can see them in Stellarium.

Also note the stars match. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsXqdnVIAASZ3l.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDsXqdnVIAASZ3l.jpg
2021-11-08T18:54:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457783497754492938
@BlueshiftRadio @realityseaker @chrisotis78 Yup, 49 sec and 56 sec.

Something else interesting is that you can see the small glare on the right rotate in FLIR1. I don't press the point as you have to know what you are looking for, and you could argue it away. But I think it's there.

2021-11-08T18:17:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457774382105784328
@AdaWarrior97 @MrRPRB @FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @Twitter They are not really multispectral either. They are just regular old RGB. Normal, nothing special, ordinary, mundane video of the star Antares, just like this:
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457774355123892229/pu/img/DK-5sj9iIlcaCKhN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457774355123892229/pu/img/DK-5sj9iIlcaCKhN.jpg
2021-11-08T18:14:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457773559984496645
@AdaWarrior97 @Oswald1160 @FrederickPorti6 How many of the magnitude seen in the photo?

2021-11-08T04:13:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457561849860288515
@volfan3178 Basically boosting levels and contrast, then using Sony Vegasâ bump map to highlight gradients, then some sharpening. I didnât want to waste time with a full technical explanation.

2021-11-08T03:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457557354824667142
@FrederickPorti6 I have no beef with you. The stars do not lie.

2021-11-08T03:50:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457556181287796737
@FrederickPorti6 Iâd be happy to defend my argument against any objection.

Figure 1. Itâs Antares. If you canât accept that then there is no point continuing.

2021-11-07T23:53:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457496415895097344
@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter Stellarium is accurate. You are not.

Here's your Jupiter photo, seems to be taken a few days earlier.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoRQ2OUUAAbT2a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoRQ2OUUAAbT2a.jpg

2021-11-07T23:46:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457494784562524162

@FrederickPorti6 You have two photos. One shows Jupiter (fig 3) and the other shows Antares (Fig 1). This can be determined by the stars in the background.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoPlyfUcAUipcW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoPlyfUcAUipcW.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoPk0IUYAAqg9d.jpg

2021-11-07T23:41:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457493524438405123

@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter No, star configurations are very specific.

2021-11-07T23:37:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457492452604989441

@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter Here I've illustrated how the stars match. I didn't do all of them, there's some more in the bottom right.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoNfwfUUAMXRQH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoNfwfUUAMXRQH.jpg

2021-11-07T23:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457490622105808899

@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter Please check the star chart yourself. I did not alter anything, I used a screen-grab from Stellarium

showing that your photo is the region around Antares.

You can check this for yourself. Notice, for example, the pair of stars next to Acrab? They are in your photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoL_LYUcAI7_4L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoL_LYUcAI7_4L.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoL51-VEAkDdIM.jpg

2021-11-07T23:21:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457488480339054595
@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter That's great, but irrelevant. You were videoing a star. So this is what you got.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457488409182670849/pu/img/lCGEvnLOa7qL7fn7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457488409182670849/pu/img/lCGEvnLOa7qL7fn7.jpg
2021-11-07T23:20:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457488226046787585
@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter That's great, but it's just a 640x480 color camera. It's not hyperspectral, or even multispectral (other than R/G/B)

The real issue here is that the object you were videoing was Antares, a star.

2021-11-07T23:16:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457487146927853575
@UAPslut Well, it's taken through a window, so either a flaw or dirt on the window, or a reflection.

2021-11-07T23:15:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457486890416803843
@FrederickPorti6 @AdaWarrior97 @MrRPRB @Twitter A photo of stars does not lie. Those are the stars in your Fig 1 photo. Check it.

You other photo (Fig 3) shows Jupiter. But that's another matter.

2021-11-07T23:11:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457485873121927174
@UAPslut Hard to say. It LOOKS like northern lights through a window.

2021-11-07T23:10:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457485569831817222

@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter Essentially the same camera. 640x480, color, that's it.

2021-11-07T23:09:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457485379271946242

@FrederickPorti6 @AdaWarrior97 @MrRPRB @Twitter I'm saying that you misidentified Ursa Minor. Do you have the original for Figure 1? Look at the stars, then look at the stars around Antares http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoHI1DVIAEZBQm.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoHI1DVIAEZBQm.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoG1MfUcAQ0NIt.jpg

2021-11-07T22:54:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457481671591165953

@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoD1f9UcAEdJaM.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDoD1f9UcAEdJaM.jpg
2021-11-07T22:53:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457481249312829448
@FrederickPorti6 @528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter So is the Philips Toucam, which is what you said you used.

2021-11-07T22:52:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457481090289913860
@AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 @MrRPRB @Twitter That's Ursa Minor depicted on a star chart yes. It's not what in Portigals photo.

2021-11-07T22:33:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457476214302523395
@FrederickPorti6 @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter And I changed no names. That's a screenshot from Stellarium overlaid with your figure 1.

Ursa Minor does not even look like that.

2021-11-07T22:31:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457475919581384708

@FrederickPorti6 @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter Glad you unblocked me. Figure 1 is Antares. Figure 3 is Jupiter. You can line up the stars in the background to verify this. The video probably shows Antares as Jupiter does not really flicker like that.

2021-11-07T22:29:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457475443745964035

@528vibes @CarbonfiberYeti @FrederickPorti6 @MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @Twitter It's 100% factual.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_JSPTYZuNf4

2021-11-07T22:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457474898184527872

A quick look at the Hyperspectral Mothership that's actually low resolution (640x480) normal video of the star Antares with a lot of filters and effect applied using Sony Vegas from 2008.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_JSPTYZuNf4

2021-11-07T21:15:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457456818238590982

@sdk4422 @ufoofinterest Venus.

2021-11-07T21:15:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457456647274590211

@sdk4422 @ufoofinterest A bunch of badly exposed and out-of-focus lights. Not a lot you can do with that.

2021-11-07T21:07:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457454610768019463

@MrRPRB @AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 @Twitter The other shot, supposedly of the same object, is actually Jupiter. Different part of the sky again.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnrM3UUYAQBPrh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnrM3UUYAQBPrh.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnrDXUUcAQlrft.jpg

2021-11-07T20:57:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457452185411747840

@UFOCanadaHome @Disclosure89 Or maybe a new type of drone.

2021-11-07T20:57:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457452118801936384

@UFOCanadaHome @Disclosure89 Or radar anomalies from atmospheric or electrical effects.

2021-11-07T20:35:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457446650037686274

@AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 It's not near Ursa Minor. Here, line up these stars. Ignore the distorted Ursa Minor he drew on it, and look at the actual stars.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnj3jZUUAA4iym.jpg



Figure 1 Star like objects location relative to Ursa Minor August1, 2008, 22:39, Albuquerque NM. Taken with a Nikon D40 with a 55mm lens, f5.6, 3 second exposure

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnj3jZUUAA4iym.jpg
2021-11-07T20:33:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457446238345785347
@OwatcherUf @AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 It is 100% not Ursa Minor because

A) The supposed Ursa Minor stars don't match
B) The stars around Antares do match.

2021-11-07T19:51:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457435683610521604
@AFSUnidentified @bottwater Go on then.

2021-11-07T19:42:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457433337463590914
@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Question everything. I think there might even be a book on that art project. Go track them down and ask.

2021-11-07T19:41:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457432990225604616
@AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 That's not Ursa Minor, so that's not Polaris.

2021-11-07T19:39:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457432634624131073
@uncertainvector Maybe they have history books?

2021-11-07T19:34:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457431270288347138
@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It's a perfectly reasonable

response on my part. Art projects are not supposed to make sense, or be practical, or even work.

2021-11-07T19:32:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457430817836134400

@AFSUnidentified @bottwater I'm not playing. And one does not simply "request" full transparency on a national security issue.

2021-11-07T19:10:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457425253936746497

@bottwater Only when TOO far away.

Are all UFOs over six miles away?

2021-11-07T19:08:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457424792341008385

@InvNightSchool @FrederickPorti6 He's blocked me, so he won't see my Tweets.

2021-11-07T19:08:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457424658848837635

@InvNightSchool @FrederickPorti6 All he did was fiddle around with the bump-map effect to highlight the contours, which of course ended up highlighting the compression artifacts, and created a lot of random shapes. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnP514VIAgd8Yd.jpg

---

The first step after tracking was a hue, saturation and luminosity (HSL) transform. The luminosity was increased to offset the low gain camera setting. The saturation was increased to the maximum setting and then the hue adjusted to optimize the color contrast.

The next processing stage was principally responsible for this discovery. A “Bump Map” algorithm is included in the Sony Vegas software revealing high resolution detailed structures within the “star” (references 1-4). The light type was set to “spotlight” with the size the same as the plasma field. The focus, ambience, shininess and bump height were selected so as to maximize the definition of the objects. The final step was to apply a high frequency filter to further sharpen the objects within the “star”. The video was converted from AVI to Sony AVS MPG4 file at 6 Mbps, 29.97 fps at a resolution of 720X480. The video was created with a linear ramp over the first 30 seconds demonstrating the transition before and after these processing steps.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDnP514VIAgd8Yd.jpg

2021-11-07T19:07:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457424426522218496

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It's art. It's not supposed to make sense.

2021-11-07T18:59:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457422447972536323

@AdaWarrior97 @FrederickPorti6 Should I not debunk disinformation? If it's confusing the public then it should be addressed, no?

2021-11-07T18:58:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457422205965385731

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering You are just regurgitating old myths. It's an art project. That's all.

2021-11-07T18:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457415577845133314

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It's fine. Why are you sending me stuff from 20 years ago.

2021-11-07T18:04:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457408527731855360

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering When you buy a house, you get fire insurance for that house as soon as possible. You don't wait a year and then get it in case it burns down immediately and you don't want to look suspicious.

2021-11-07T16:33:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457385763981586434

@FrederickPorti6 This is what Antares looks like on video with cheap optics (A Nikon P900)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457385569856544769/pu/img/htx5SYU-7ZyI5XXV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1457385569856544769/pu/img/htx5SYU-7ZyI5XXV.jpg

2021-11-07T16:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457384071827361793

@Oswald1160 @SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering And they all would have taken out terrorism insurance, for obvious reasons.

2021-11-07T16:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457382685509230596

@Oswald1160 @OwatcherUf @UAPRAEFOS @e_tobor @FrederickPorti6 He blocked me too, which is unfortunate as I just found what star it is (Antares). Maybe someone can tell him.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1457382141507956743

2021-11-07T16:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457382141507956743

This "Mothership" filmed by @FrederickPorti6 is actually just an over-processed zoom of the star Antares. He got the wrong side of the sky entirely and misidentified some random stars as Ursa Minor. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDmo2zCUYAIRCrv.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDmovHHVQAQslic.jpg



Figure 1 Star like objects location relative to Ursa Minor August1, 2008, 22:39, Albuquerque NM. Taken with a Nikon D40 with a 55mm lens, f5.6, 3 second exposure

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDmoJWQUcAMcG6r.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDmo2zCUYAIRCrv.jpg

2021-11-07T15:04:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457363316796379141

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Why wouldnâ□□t he take out terrorism insurance?

2021-11-07T15:03:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457363110252015619

@ChrisSPITZER7 Where is your database?

2021-11-06T21:56:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457104703288852481

@e_tobor @paul59593748 @inf3rence It is not the same. It's a larger and more irregular formation of birds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDitAI4UUAE8-ZU.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDitAI4UUAE8-ZU.jpg

2021-11-06T21:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457104142506233857

@UAPRAEFOS @e_tobor @FrederickPorti6 I don't have a camera that bad, or a 2007 copy of Sony Vegas.

2021-11-06T21:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457103154944757763

@e_tobor @paul59593748 @inf3rence Here's a flock of birds flying at night in formation. Same camera.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RuziCW-ZwDY

2021-11-06T21:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457102689657974785

@FrederickPorti6 @UAPRAEFOS You said it was a Philips Toucan.

2021-11-06T21:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457102065088417792

@e_tobor @UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 Is what?

Here's what he did. It's not AI. It's just using the "Bump Map" effect filter in Sony Vegas. This treats a 2D texture as a 3D height map and renders it lit from some direction.

It's just basically fiddling with filters until something pops out.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDipkyPUUAQij_k.jpg

The first step after tracking was a hue, saturation and luminosity (HSL) transform. The luminosity was increased to offset the low gain camera setting. The saturation was increased to the maximum setting and then the hue adjusted to optimize the color contrast.
The next processing stage was principally responsible for this discovery. A “Bump Map” algorithm is included in the Sony Vegas software revealing high resolution detailed structures within the “star” (references 1-4). The light type was set to “spotlight” with the size the same as the plasma field. The focus, ambience, shininess and bump height were selected so as to maximize the definition of the objects. The final step was to apply a high frequency filter to further sharpen the objects within the “star”. The video was converted from AVI to Sony AVS MPG4 file at 6 Mbps, 29.97 fps at a resolution of 720X480. The video was created with a linear ramp over the first 30 seconds demonstrating the transition before and after these processing steps.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDipkyPUUAQij_k.jpg
2021-11-06T21:27:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457097442281680896
@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 @e_tobor Your camera gives you a series of 640x480 8-bit RGB images of a flickering light (probably a star). The processing just reveals random variations

---

2021-11-06T20:32:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457083472728657923
@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 @AnonymouShadoww I'll take that as a "no".

2021-11-06T20:18:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457080058670043136
@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 This? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiWgJgVkAAS54p.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiWgJgVkAAS54p.jpg

2021-11-06T20:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457079771926462467

@FrederickPorti6 @AnonymouShadoww @UAPRAEFOS There is no way you can tell from looking at something if it's in the ionosphere.

2021-11-06T20:16:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457079576937394176

@FrederickPorti6 @AnonymouShadoww @UAPRAEFOS I read what you said. Did you

demonstrate it by taking a photo of the sky? Did you have el/az for the object?

2021-11-06T20:11:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457078304607899648

@FrederickPorti6 @UAPRAEFOS Why not explain what I got wrong?

This is the camera you used, right? A 20 year-old webcam.

http://www.stargazing.net/david/toucam/en_pcvc740k_lft.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiU_PNUYAI1G4g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiU_PNUYAI1G4g.jpg
2021-11-06T19:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1457074840863600642
@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 A regular camera (like the one used here) picks up wavelengths it registers as red, green, and blue. I guess you could call that "tri-spectral" or just "color"

Hyperspectral cameras record a large number of wavelengths per pixel - essentially a spectrum analysis.

Not done here

2021-11-06T19:34:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457068809966088192

@UAPRAEFOS Well, it's a star, so there's that.

2021-11-06T19:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457068590604029952

@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 It's rather besides the point, but 1080p IS exactly like a cheap Logitech webcam.

But he's using the VGA version. 480p. Nothing hyperspectral about it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiLw8wVgAEwwfD.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDiLw8wVgAEwwfD.jpg

2021-11-06T19:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457067249106255872

@paul59593748 @inf3rence Sure looks like birds. They are flying overhead in a straight line, in a v-shape, like birds do. Same intensity as birds in his other videos.

2021-11-06T18:36:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457054285271765004

@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 But the equipment he used to capture it is not hyperspectral.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh_DNXVQAQO6J0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh_DNXVQAQO6J0.jpg

2021-11-06T18:01:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457045503586099204

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Legit in what sense? I don't think the AAPS is a reliable source. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh28bKVgAE9e7q.jpg

---

Association of American Physicians and Surgeons

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Not to be confused with the Association of American Physicians or the American Association of Physician Specialists.

The **Association of American Physicians and Surgeons** (**AAPS**) is a politically conservative non-profit association that promotes medical misinformation, such as HIV/AIDS denialism, the abortion-breast cancer hypothesis, vaccine and autism connections, and homosexuality reducing life expectancy. The association was founded in 1943 to oppose a government attempt to nationalize health care. The group has included notable members, including American Republican politicians Ron Paul, Rand Paul, and Tom Price.

Contents [hide]

1 History
2 Political positions
  2.1 Abortion
  2.2 Gun control
  2.3 Social Security
  2.4 Opposition to health-care reform

Association of American Physicians and Surgeons

AAPS

Founded	1943
Type	Political advocacy group
Tax ID no.	36-2059197
Legal status	501(c)(6)
Focus	Opposition to abortion, Medicare and Medicaid, universal health care, and government involvement in health care

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh28bKVgAE9e7q.jpg

2021-11-06T17:55:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457044048556933122

@FrederickPorti6 @AnonymouShadoww @UAPRAEFOS Probably Spica.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh11eWVgAYGnPn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDh11eWVgAYGnPn.jpg

2021-11-06T17:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457041821989699586

@FrederickPorti6 @UAPRAEFOS Isn't this video taken with a Philips Toucam?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDhzsKhUUAAGes4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDhzsKhUUAAGes4.jpg

2021-11-06T17:44:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457041154067685376

@paul_sailer Photoshop.

2021-11-06T17:36:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457039126549590024

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Have you read my book? Here's an excerpt, the introduction, which starts

"Conspiracies are very real, of course. The fact that powerful people make secret plans at the

expense of the general public should come as no surprise to anyone. "

https://www.salon.com/2018/09/16/how-to-pull-a-friend-out-of-the-conspiracy-theory-rabbit-hole/

2021-11-06T17:33:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457038385076260867

@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 He does not claim it it hyperspectral. He captured it with an ordinary telescope and an old Philips Toucam. It looks like a typical bad video of Sirius or similar, with some gradient lines filter

---

2021-11-06T17:22:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457035755453251589

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Why would I transparently attempt to discredit an argument if I didn't think it was wrong?

Surely if I was a shill I'd be all crafty about it.

I tell things like I see them. I'm being honest.

2021-11-06T17:19:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457034840843321346

@ufo_worldwide http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDhtb2gUcAA_twf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDhtb2gUcAA_twf.jpg

2021-11-06T17:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457033179412324358

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Or course if it were possible to press a button and kill people and make billions and not get caught then someone would do it.

But is it possible? Is there evidence that it happened? Is there a lack of contrary evidence?

2021-11-06T17:11:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457032913082458114

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering You seem to be arguing about motive, when you really should be arguing for practicality and evidence.

2021-11-06T17:09:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457032488899862533

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It's a huge leap from "many executives are somewhat psychopathic" to "The World Trade Center was demolished with pre-planted explosives"

2021-11-06T17:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457032054634287106

@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 That video isn't hyperspectral.

2021-11-06T17:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457031796684562435

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It a comment on your argument. Shorthand.

2021-11-06T16:55:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457028939210125315

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering I'm asking you what the simple bit of logic is. First you said that psychopaths run the world, then you said it's only 1/10, and that theres also "almost psychopaths".

It seems like you've just got a generalized assumption of evil regarding people in power. It's not logic.

2021-11-06T16:45:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457026481972920321

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering So what's the simple bit of logic? Rich people might not have your best interests at heart? Duh!

2021-11-06T16:43:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457025980447350787

@EricRWeinstein You don't need to be uber-rich to get get accurate health advice. You need it to get instant access, extended attention, and expensive treatments.

Joe isn't paying his fancy doctor for secrets that he then passes on to the masses.

2021-11-06T16:37:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457024328889896961

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Maybe your logic is a bit too binary?

2021-11-06T15:39:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1457009780703199234

@SkinnyWalker3 @Oswald1160 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Missing trillions? How exactly can you lose a few trillion dollars?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-rumsfeld-says-2-3-trillion-missing-from-the-pentagon.165/

2021-11-06T14:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456992216484777989

@SKEPTICLBELIEVR @EmperorBag @RichardHeaven5 Insufficient evidence to make any determination, according to the report. All just LIZ

2021-11-06T09:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456920005996515329

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering How about if we just chat?

2021-11-06T04:46:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456845471146655745

@Willease @ufoofinterest There does not seem to be such a MUFON report.

2021-11-06T04:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456842490519064577

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering You do Twitter for free. So why not talk to me for an hour?

2021-11-06T04:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456840783743516677

@Willease @ufoofinterest Where's the original? Who took it? Where EXACTLY?

2021-11-06T04:26:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456840492063211520

@deeross9615 You can see multiple examples of out-of-focus stars, etc. This is simply a filter on top of that. Square artifacts are video compression artifacts.

2021-11-06T04:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456836254608232449

@deeross9615 Because that's what it looks like. So why go for a more complicated explanation?

2021-11-06T04:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456836031659986947

@AdaWarrior97 @deeross9615 Are you a scientist? Maybe you can explain the squares in the Portigal video?

2021-11-06T03:33:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456827157724876801

@deeross9615 Lots of filters on an out-of-focus light

2021-11-06T03:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456820591600672768

@sdk4422 @ufoofinterest Example?

2021-11-06T03:05:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456820005698342918

@deeross9615 Itâ□□s not really that complicated.

2021-11-06T00:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456787271529623553

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Would you go on a podcast to discuss your perspective?

2021-11-06T00:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456786314762670083

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Convenient.

2021-11-06T00:38:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456783021441314817

@te3ej @DNikcuf @armygir36701799 None of the three Navy videos are fake.

2021-11-06T00:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456782559988228099

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering He's not "THE" expert. There's lots of experts. Ask CDI what they think about controlled demolition of the World Trade Center.

https://www.controlled-demolition.com/

2021-11-06T00:16:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456777594712457219

@iTheGr3y Yeah, but it's actually a pointless things for analysis, better to either use longer frames, or (if it works) MotionFlow.

2021-11-06T00:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456775020596715524

@RichardHeaven5 Sure, I entertain them. But I don't think they are backed by evidence.

2021-11-06T00:06:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456774838597545989

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering It's kind of a moot point, as I think he was wrong about Building 7.

2021-11-06T00:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456774582828879879

@iTheGr3y That's just because they used a slow-mo mode that blended frames. It's not there in the non-slow-mo version, which is the original.

2021-11-05T22:52:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456756247231807490

@UAP_daily https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1456755125892960256

2021-11-05T22:47:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456755181106839553

@ufoofinterest https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1456755125892960256

2021-11-05T22:47:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456755125892960256

Results of my investigation chosen by poll yesterday.

What looks like an object flying very fast past a plane can't actually be an object because the motion blur is in a different direction to the motion. Likely explanation: fake!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j9zvcQQF6rE

2021-11-05T22:09:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456745634367639552
@RichardHeaven5 There's obviously something to it. Airspace clutter and possible adversary craft are significant issues.

2021-11-05T22:01:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456743579129245697
@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering But he also clearly stated that the Twin Towers were NOT demolished by professionals.

So are you agreeing with him?

2021-11-05T21:17:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456732309424513024
@ufoofinterest Hah, I was just making a quick video on that.

2021-11-05T21:14:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456731717285277696
@Ibn_e_Channa Yes.

2021-11-05T21:04:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456729175797035012
People can actually get out of the QAnon rabbit hole, and their family members can help.
https://twitter.com/CBSMornings/status/1456627623266766862

2021-11-05T21:00:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456728070136614914
@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Well he didn't think you needed pre-placed explosives.
Also he didn't think the towers were demolished. So are you going to pick and choose here?

2021-11-05T20:58:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456727676069154822
@DreamDetective1 @DavidFieldSculp Tilt-Shift

2021-11-05T20:58:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456727552483999745
@SabersKnight @jeffsayhi @stevecourtney79 @stewie55uk @RetroBoyJon @retroelin @retrogaminggeek @oddment84 @EllipseEnt @reddogjamie @englebert3rd @ColonelFalcon It was one of my favorite games.

2021-11-05T20:56:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456727074618568711

@RodSnakie Iran for the Covid detectors. Which they copied from Iraq's bomb detectors.

2021-11-05T19:37:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456707342779617280

@paul59593748 There are birds that can stay in synchronous flight.

2021-11-05T19:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456707177373110273

@Jim87504388 I must admit this glass was not caught on radar by trained observers :)

2021-11-05T19:12:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456700955013959681

@FettuccineSplit @BenGreenmaidan @DavidFieldSculp Yeah, I think there's loads of videos on tilt-shift already. You can do it with a special lens, or just manually blur to get a rough version of the same effect (as above)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zfskvjrpaqs

2021-11-05T19:09:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456700192082718721

@paul59593748 Other than the birds being in a neater triangle, it's identical.

2021-11-05T19:04:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456698860252717056

Ghost investigation! Well, really it's a physics demonstration, but for fun I looked into the case of the glass that mysteriously moved across a table on live TV. It's a fiddly thing to replicate, with a fairly complex set of physical circumstances.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vo_X2uys2NE

2021-11-05T15:54:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456651088367534081

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering He thought that the Twin Towers were NOT demolished with explosives. Do you agree with him?

2021-11-05T15:53:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456650834851160077

@anders_gustavo It's all relative.

2021-11-05T15:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456650187602948105

@iwound Hard to say. Either a large balloon, or a slower and lower plane that's brightly lit by the sun.

2021-11-05T15:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456649670369824771

@borisyeltzin The flat light above IS the UFO. The thing below is just a plane leaving a contrail.

2021-11-05T15:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456645268506492936

@FrederickPorti6 @Alien_Gray @anon_et @AnonymouShadoww @ChrisKMellon @LueElizondo @DrStevenGreer @nickpopemod @GalileoProject1 @olof_rockner You should manually set the exposure too, including ISO.

2021-11-05T15:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456644631890841610

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Asking you for your strongest piece of evidence? Why is that childish?

2021-11-05T15:25:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456643747219787783

@RogerSmith2001 Nothing that I think is likely advanced technology.

2021-11-05T15:20:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456642694826979330

Looks like the 2013 Fly-by UFO won by a hair. I'll get right on it.
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1456002517557411843

2021-11-05T15:19:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456642291913805829

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering You think that's the strongest evidence?

2021-11-05T15:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456642170698428419

@RogerSmith2001 Looks like a balloon or a kite. TFA.

2021-11-05T15:10:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456640099454644226

@ldfiguer @Josef_baum It's a very common thing to not identify the first time you see it. There are news reports all the time about some "fireball" or "UFO" that's just a contrail. So you are in good company.

2021-11-05T15:09:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456639716984455182

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering What evidence do you think is strongest, regarding 9/11? Do you think there's evidence of controlled demolition? What about the Pentagon?

2021-11-05T15:07:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456639311667888128

@SkinnyWalker3 @UAPEngineering @528vibes It's a bit more complicated. Science does not work best with individual scientists working alone. Nor does journalism. Claims need to be verifiable, and evidence based.
But we can't all be experts at everything, so sometimes we need to consider expert opinions as well as facts.

2021-11-05T14:50:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456634958835978244

@MARKFOX04114527 How does the Tweet not explain it? What's unclear?

2021-11-05T14:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456634779114242049
@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering Do you view this susceptibility for being fooled as evidence that you are correct?

How do you know that YOU have not been fooled?

As you know, the people in cults are often people who never thought they would join a cult. Maybe your confidence about 9/11 is misplaced?

2021-11-05T14:47:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456634295485796356
@SkinnyWalker3 @UAPEngineering @528vibes Yes, I was aware that having a high IQ does not protect you from becoming a conspiracy theorist. high-CTs and less-CTs generally overlay considerably in most demographic measures. There's PhDs who believe in chemtrails.

2021-11-05T14:43:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456633337846513664
@ldfiguer It's a plane leaving a contrail, flying away from the camera at some considerable distance, so a shallow angle. Like this one
http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FDcAH7FVcAIfpxA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/FDcAH7FVcAIfpxA.jpg
2021-11-05T14:42:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456632933976928259

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes @UAPEngineering I don't think the level of government propaganda is the same all around the world. Different countries have varying levels of media independence. In the US is much more indirect than, say, Iran or (extreme example) N. Korea.

So no, it's not the same.

2021-11-05T14:27:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456629245514420229

@528vibes @SkinnyWalker3 @UAPEngineering What question?

2021-11-05T14:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456629039360196624

@UAPEngineering @SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes Twitter is not ideal for delicate conversations.

2021-11-05T14:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456628908212637696

@SkinnyWalker3 @UAPEngineering @528vibes You want to understand me. I want to understand you. Sounds like a great start!

2021-11-05T14:22:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456628022438629386

@528vibes @UAPEngineering @SkinnyWalker3 Well, if you are just 20 years old, then your perspective is very different to someone who is 50.

2021-11-05T14:21:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456627615712747527

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes What question? Iâ□□ll answer.

2021-11-05T14:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456627453737136142

@UAPEngineering @SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes Iâ□□m trying to understand Skinnyâ□□s perspective. I think age is relevant. I was 34 in 2001.

2021-11-05T14:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456626986181226497

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes I am honest. I might get some things wrong, but I never lie.

2021-11-05T14:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456625214654074885

@CaptainCarmel @lucyskye318 Why would I be? Itâ□□s satellites, space stations, missions to moons and planets, space weapons, probes, etc. I have no qualifications.

2021-11-05T14:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456624750034239489

@UAPEngineering @SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes Iâ□□m not trying to win. Iâ□□m interested.

2021-11-05T14:02:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456622885905129482

@SkinnyWalker3 I entertain it all the time.

2021-11-05T13:59:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456622285570260999

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes I wrote a book on talking to conspiracists. I think itâ□□s interesting and important.

2021-11-05T13:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456620026325135360

@SkinnyWalker3 It is, but your mind is made up.

2021-11-05T13:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456619517317054469

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes How old are you, skinny?

2021-11-05T13:47:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456619299657826307

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes It was found on the street.

2021-11-05T13:47:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456619183874076678

@528vibes @SkinnyWalker3 Itâ□□s interesting that this and the passport thing are so high up on your evidence list. When did you first learn about them?

2021-11-05T13:45:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456618688686166024

@528vibes @SkinnyWalker3 Itâ□□s really not at all complicated. A bit of bad info on a chaotic day.

2021-11-05T13:44:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456618385576325121

@SkinnyWalker3 Many items from the planes were found.

2021-11-05T13:28:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456614418142298112

@SkinnyWalker3 I also linked the explanation. It was just a comment on the endless recurrence of these specious theories.

2021-11-05T13:23:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456613136568500227

@limpdull Huh? How would this post indicate any of that?

2021-11-05T13:17:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456611620038217731

@SkinnyWalker3 @528vibes

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bbcs-jane-standley-premature-reporting-of-the-collapse-of-wtc-7-building-7.9811/

2021-11-05T13:15:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456611091060977665

RT @Observers: :satellite_antenna::cloud_with_lightning_and_rain:A secret weapon capable of creating tsunamis, hurricanes and forest fires? Not quite.

@cfbennett2 takes a closer look atâ□¦

2021-11-05T13:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456610601346551812

@babylev13 Looks like your camera trying to focus.

2021-11-05T13:11:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456610065226502145

@SkinnyWalker3 That was explained like 15 years ago.

2021-11-05T04:31:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456479364921446401

@FatSheep45 How many were actually considered to be conspiracy theories first?

2021-11-05T04:21:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456476804777082883

@SwinkInc Oh yeah, Iran Covid 19 detector is based on Iraq's Bomb detector technology, both of which have their roots in the golf ball detector.

2021-11-05T04:17:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456475853164986371

@RobotsR4 Mostly satellites, maybe a bird.

2021-11-05T00:35:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456419896649080833

Covid detectors

https://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/iran-s-revolutionary-guard-corps-says-its-handheld-device-can-n1186346

And their previous life as bomb detectors and golf-ball detectors

https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-29459896

2021-11-05T00:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456419593321287714

If by "Iran thinks" you mean "some Iranian reporter wrote in 2012", then sure.

Iran also thinks you can detect Covid-19 and bombs using magical repurposed golf ball detecting dowsing machines. https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1456383217359994907

2021-11-05T00:24:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456417170045341698

@MiddleOfMayhem I think the FBI investigation covered it, IIRC. Mostly natural causes, and a few humans.

2021-11-05T00:17:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456415236622225427

@inf3rence @hoveringobject I don't think they are turning, just flying overhead.

2021-11-05T00:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456414791342329874

@hoveringobject @inf3rence The original uploaded changed his mind 11 years ago.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDY5fWuUYAAzuy-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDY5fWuUYAAzuy-.jpg
2021-11-05T00:11:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456413913357058049
@hoveringobject @inf3rence https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1456413828619538476

2021-11-05T00:11:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456413828619538476
Night vision footage of birds.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RuziCW-ZwDY

2021-11-05T00:09:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456413264296906753
@hoveringobject @inf3rence Looks very like a night vision shot of five birds flying in a V formation.

2021-11-04T22:58:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456395385325244417
@onelastread @DeepSpace41 @marcbel19406167 Venus is one of MANY things that got reported as UFOs. It's not the only thing, even if it's more common than most.

2021-11-04T22:29:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456388204030935041
@realufobros @blackvaultcom Exactly

2021-11-04T20:21:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456356028317003783
@h_a1dan I'm not arguing that the CIA were angels, I'm demonstrating they did not either invery or promote the terms "conspiracy theory" or "conspiracy theorist"

They are simple descriptive terms.

2021-11-04T20:19:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456355504154832909
@h_a1dan Yes, I've read it. It's highly imaginative. I discuss his book in mine.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYDk6MVIAISdO1.jpg

The term is used simply as a descriptor. The CIA would obviously have been familiar with the anti-government radical right, as they would be familiar with any anti-government organization. They would also have been familiar with academic writings about the radical right and the use of the term "conspiracy theory."

To convey this to your friend, the first step is to show them that the term existed prior to both the CIA document and the JFK assassination. Then if they need more detail show them the actual writings by Wilcox and others that used it the year before JFK's death in much the same way it is used today. They may still be unconvinced, and a more thorough debunking might require an examination of the full text of the CIA document.

An additional step is to look at what happened to the term "conspiracy theory" *after* the JFK assassination, and after the CIA used it in the often-cited document. To investigate this, I used the online Newspaper Archive database to extract the total numbers of uses of the term "conspiracy theory" in newspapers for each year from 1960 to 2011 (the last year that Newspaper Archives has a significant number of scanned papers). I adjusted the number of uses relative to the total number of words printed that year and plotted a graph.



Figure 1: Frequency of usage of "conspiracy theory" in Newspaper Archives.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYDk6MVIAISdO1.jpg

went on to propose what was probably the first conspiracy theorist spectrum, a zero through seven scale of "commitment to conspiracy" which was a measure of the extent to which a given article in a press publication of the radical right was devoted to a conspiracy theory:

Commitment to Conspiracy Scale

7 Preoccupied with conspiracy
5 Conspiracy conspicuous
3 Conspiracy present
1 Hints at conspiracy
0 No clear evidence of conspiracy

Wilcox also included a non-rationality scale, which contains descriptions you might still apply to many writings on the internet today:

Non-rational Scale

7 Paranoiac overtones, confused, few or no credible facts
5 Polemic, shrill, credible facts few and heavily stacked
3 Heavily one-sided, credible facts present
1 Mildly one-sided, credible facts lightly stacked
0 No clear evidence of non-rationality

Wilcox draws a connection between the degree of non-rationality in a conspiracy theory and how committed the person is to that theory.

For instance, it is logical to assume that non-rationality correlates to a marked degree with the theory of conspiracy …

Clearly the CIA did not invent the term. Nor did they even suggest that the term be used as a way of belittling people. They used "conspiracy theory" and "conspiracy theorist" only once each in the entire document:

Innuendo of such seriousness affects not only the individual concerned, but also the whole reputation of the American government. Our organization itself is directly involved: among other facts, we contributed information to the investigation. Conspiracy theories have frequently thrown suspicion on our organization, for example by falsely alleging that Lee Harvey Oswald worked for us. The aim of this dispatch is to provide material countering and discrediting the claims of the conspiracy theorists, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYDhOaVcCkftV6.jpg

But beyond this simple association, there's a deeper reason why conspiracists shy away from the label. That reason is itself a conspiracy theory—the theory that the term "conspiracy theory" was invented in 1967 by the CIA to discredit conspiracy theorists.

This "conspiracy theory" conspiracy theory points to a 1967 CIA document that surfaced in 1976 after a FOIA request from the *New York Times*. The document, titled "Concerning Criticism of the Warren Report," is a fascinating snapshot of the time. The CIA is concerned, for a variety of reasons, that there's a rising tide of unfounded conspiracy theories that are damaging the reputation of the CIA and the government. They suggest ways of countering them, but they *don't* suggest using the term "conspiracy theory" as the way to do so.

But people who might have that label applied to them (like people who think the World Trade Center was destroyed with explosives) feel that the document is very much about labeling them as a "conspiracy theorist" in an attempt to ridicule and sideline them. One of the main promoters of this theory is Dr. Lance DeHaven-Smith, who used it as the central thesis of his book, *Conspiracy Theory in America*, writing:

> *Thus the conspiracy-theory label has become a powerful smear that, in the name of reason, civility, and democracy, preempts public discourse, reinforces rather than resolves disagreements, and undermines popular vigilance against abuses of power. Put in place in 1967 by the CIA, the term continues to be a destructive force in American politics.*[1]

DeHaven-Smith admits that the document itself does not actually explicitly encourage usage of the term, and to get around this he embarks on a series of interpretive mental gymnastics, attempting to determine the hidden meaning in the CIA document. He analyzes it sentence by sentence, and sometimes word by word, projecting his interpretation upon it.

> *CIA Dispatch 1035-960 appears to be a straightforward memo with clear language and reasonable motives, but it is actually a subtle document, conveying many of its messages by indirection and implication. To grasp the nuances in the text requires a very careful reading. Some sections of the dispatch clearly have a surface meaning for ordinary readers, and a deeper, less obvious meaning for readers who are listening for, as it were, a second frequency, a hidden meaning. Multiple levels of meaning occur in various forms of speech....*
>
> *CIA Dispatch 1035-960 is not a Platonic dialogue ... but it is a document written by spies for other spies, and spies know that, as a written document, it could fall into the wrong hands, as, in fact, it did because of the Freedom of Information Act request. So we should assume that the dispatch may contain some veiled meanings.*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYDYH9VcBg_Nub.jpg

While DeHaven-Smith claims that the "conspiracy theory" label was "put in place in 1967 by the CIA," in fact the term had been in use for decades before that. The first usage dates back to 1870 with a theory about a conspiracy to physically abuse the criminally insane in mental asylums.[2] The term took hold in the United States as a description for a particular theory about the secession of the South from the Union and appears in several books around 1895, nearly seventy years before the CIA document.[3] It continued to be used in the early twentieth century, such as in the paper "The 'Conspiracy Theory' of the Fourteenth Amendment" in 1930.[4]

A decade before the CIA memo, and years before JFK's assassination, the term was actually in use in the United States in much the same way as it is now—as a descriptor for largely unfounded theories that seek to explain events with a nefarious conspiracy. At that time one of the main sources of such theories was the "Radical Right"—extreme-right religious and nationalist organizations like the Ku Klux Klan and the John Birch Society. In 1960, William Baum wrote in "The Conspiracy Theory of Politics of the Radical Right in the United States":

> *… acceptance of the reality of an omnipotent and demonic conspiracy is the most significant and distinctive ideological characteristic of the contemporary American extreme or radical right.*[5]

Baum's work was quite influential and was repeated in several papers and books. In 1962, the year before the assassination of President Kennedy, Walter Wilcox wrote "The Press of the Radical Right,"[6] which includes an attempt to quantify the various types of conspiracy theories. In it he gave several examples:

- NAACP is operated by a New York Jew through Negro Fronts
- Fluoridation [of drinking water] brings people under control as a narcotic, not good for teeth
- Unemployment is increasing in US because trade is in the hands of an international cult
- Organized Jewry tried to sabotage the gospel message in the film *Ben Hur*
- California intelligence tests give a choice of two evils, making one seem right

These theories do not seem too dissimilar to those seen today. The water fluoridation theory is still in existence, and is generally a foundational belief of people who hold to the more esoteric theories, like Chemtrails. Wilcox

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYDcZ0VcAIixOi.jpg
2021-11-04T20:15:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456354369784344598

Here's the memo in context. There was some usage of "conspiracy theory" before 1963, but the immediate array of theories after 63 brought it into the mainstream. The 1967 memo had no effect, and usage declined shortly after - coming back with Watergate.
It's a descriptive term. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYCHhZVcDEFMsj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDYCHhZVcDEFMsj.jpg
2021-11-04T20:12:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456353723639230487
The CIA uses the term "conspiracy theorist" back in 1967 because that's what they were talking about. People who had a bunch of theories about the murder of JFK.
They didn't invent the term, nor did the 1967 memo create any spike. Usage was driven by events. https://twitter.com/I_D_Official/status/1456275059803230216

2021-11-04T20:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456352601780350982
@sixfloors
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/bright-lights-behind-trees-with-roiling-glare-panama-city-florida.10978/

2021-11-04T19:00:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456335466744057898
@de_varse Bingo!

2021-11-04T18:07:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456322249284206592
@blackvaultcom Nah, that's been done a hundred times. This is more supernatural.

2021-11-04T17:51:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456318281296449544
How about now. This experiment is still in progress after 30 minutes. The ice water has been

removed though. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDXhnHuVcAA_rK_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDXhnHuVcAA_rK_.jpg

2021-11-04T17:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456313563216158722

The experiment of the day. I give this about a 10% chance of working.

Can you figure out what it is? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDXdSn7VcAAR8AH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDXdSn7VcAAR8AH.jpg
2021-11-04T15:05:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456276395852587029
@nickpopemod Or maybe they just got around to watching E.T. This story was mainstream in 1982. :alien:

2021-11-04T14:48:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456272265264254989
@marcbel19406167 This IS Venus.

2021-11-04T14:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456271981993553920
@frank_ammerlaan @Alien_Gray Not really enough info.

2021-11-04T13:40:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456254957246578690
@volfan3178 It's blurry because it a smaller and shorter lens, and a smaller sensor.

To take a sharper image you would need to be closer.

There are phones with much longer lenses, there are also UFO reports of much closer encounters.

2021-11-04T07:29:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456161556996124672
@Harrywh25900799 Send me the video

2021-11-04T07:06:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456155953351389186
@Harrywh25900799 It depends.

2021-11-04T04:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456118264271015943
In an incredibly convincing illusion, the planet Venus seems to move around behind a tree.

It's digital zoom + image stabilization, but you could easily see how people might think it's a UFO.

Unedited footage straight from the camera.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456117709612093445/pu/img/dATJqRFlz3uJXss5.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456117709612093445/pu/img/dATJqRFlz3uJXss5.jpg
2021-11-04T04:28:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456116179097899013
@ImCuriousGuy @UFNO7 @EdwardKissinge1 This has zero to do with the Nimitz incident, as I noted in my tweet.

2021-11-04T04:27:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456115923929092098
@realufobros I didn't have any. Seemed easier that way.

2021-11-04T01:07:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456065530478039042
@Skinnybob20 Need more context

2021-11-04T01:01:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456064146085007364
@Skinnybob20 Whatâ□□s that? Got an example?

2021-11-04T00:56:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456062771615858693
@mombojom https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cuba-uap-2019.11974/

2021-11-04T00:55:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456062636823498753
@mombojom That's an LED kite.

2021-11-04T00:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456061891688599561
@SocialistFT -4.57, which is about as bright as it gets. So I'd expect more sightings.

2021-11-04T00:47:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456060588539330564
@Skinnybob20 They were on the ground. The object was several hundred feet in the air and moving very slowly. So their ground wind observations are not really relevant.

Also records show there was wind. 5mph will move something noticeably.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDT3LQnVQAI0Mzl.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDT3LQnVQAI0Mzl.jpg
2021-11-04T00:42:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456059255245598723
@frmurphyiii Sure, but that's not "solving" it, that's just a hypothesis.

2021-11-04T00:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456055331478405123
@FreddyBartok It's hardly a myth. In Allan Hendry's "The UFO Handbook" Venus was the #1 UFO that was subsequently identified. 360/1024 night time reports were stars and planets.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDTye8HUUAM8fii.jpg



Nocturnal Light IFOs

1,024 REPORTS—*88.4%* OF ALL *IFOs*

		reports
1	*stars & planets*	*360*
2	*advertising planes*	*230*
3	*aircraft*	*196*
4	*meteors & re-entries*	*113*
5	*satellites*	*24*
6	*moon*	*22*
7	*prank balloons*	*14*
8	*searchlights*	*11*
9	*balloons*	*9*
10	*missile launches*	*9*
11	*fixed ground lights*	*7*
12	*flares*	*6*
13	*birds*	*5*
14	*kites*	*5*
15	*clouds*	*4*
16	*test clouds*	*4*
17	*airborne residue*	*2*

"impostors" represe
the total IFO report
nine out of ten of t
combined. Thus, s
ventional aircraft,
for a very high pr
UFO phenomenon.

1) Bright Sta

360 reports—3
IFOs

Stars and plane
largest category o
study. "Star" IFO
to resolve, even
Since we are surr

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDTye8HUUAM8fii.jpg

2021-11-04T00:24:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456054702425075714

@frmurphyiii I didn't solve it.

2021-11-04T00:23:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456054428448931845

@Skinnybob20 I'm afraid chinese lantern make the top of the list. A slow moving, slightly orange and flickery light in the sky that dims towards the end.

2021-11-04T00:21:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456054002185949186

@realufobros The chimney thing was a dealbreaker for me.

2021-11-04T00:19:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456053447288000514

@FreddyBartok I just thought it's a nice example. Especially the way the sun glare matched.

2021-11-03T23:56:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456047754644373504

Here's Venus and Jupiter just after sunset. Note they are in the same position as the lights in the sky in the above video, relative to the glow of the sun.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDTrc6fUYAAHL09.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDTrc6fUYAAHL09.jpg

2021-11-03T23:55:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456047381745598465

Venus and Jupiter are two of the more common things reported as UFOs. Sometimes both at the same time.

Just after sunset they appear as solitary lights in an empty sky, which will often lead to the autokinetic illusion, so they look like they are moving.

https://twitter.com/nikkinoelle7/status/1456045080498819074

2021-11-03T23:31:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456041271705817090

@MyeKrennish @Muscrat2 I took the audio from here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z3Z8Oz9NgH4

2021-11-03T23:25:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456039963879895043

@frmurphyiii Fravor/Dietrich.

2021-11-03T22:49:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456030843059810304

@laksandhu

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect-zip-by.10370/

2021-11-03T22:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456025477911621645

@MyeKrennish @Muscrat2 Like this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456025460085714947/pu/img/zxua03CbGMlmRaYd.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456025460085714947/pu/img/zxua03CbGMlmRaYd.jpg

2021-11-03T22:24:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456024618913996805

@SabersKnight yeah, it's a helicopter.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-homesteadhow-ufo-photos-helicopter.11854/

2021-11-03T21:34:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456012016988999681

@Sci_Phile Can't you do the calculation in reverse? Calculate the average density of insects in the air from your lifetime of cycling insect ingestions?

2021-11-03T21:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456005077223702528

@DickThickrod Which Arizona one?

2021-11-03T21:06:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456004891965489154

@garethdmm No.

2021-11-03T21:01:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456003548110475266

Here's Portigal's video

https://rarible.com/token/0x60f80121c31a0d46b5279700f9df786054aa5ee5:1197727?tab=details

---

2021-11-03T20:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1456002673149296646
Here's the flyby
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456002617679613954/pu/img/FViA6nsNHSrtsH5U.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1456002617679613954/pu/img/FViA6nsNHSrtsH5U.jpg
2021-11-03T20:57:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1456002517557411843
What UFO video should I investigate next? Portigal's psychedelic ultra-fast mothership drop-offs? That 2013 UFO fly-by out the plane window? Something else?

2021-11-03T20:42:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455998867040661505
@giddierone @telepathic_ape @BKolbey No I can't. This descriptor was popularized by the Nimitz case. That does not mean that any of the cases are related. They used to say "cigar" or "pill", and now they say Tic-Tac.
I'm just using the now-common term.

2021-11-03T19:23:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455978984995389440
@kimschurmann So who was it?

2021-11-03T19:04:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455974208173793281
@kimschurmann Who followed them through multiple states?

2021-11-03T19:04:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455974063315189760
@Roverso_GAn @MindfulOfLight And if he's seen dozens then the millions of regular astronomers around the world would have seen millions of them.

2021-11-03T19:03:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455973840102719488

@UAP_daily Looks kinda fake to me. Path does not match camera movement.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDSoW0NVEAA7-IG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDSoW0NVEAA7-IG.jpg
2021-11-03T18:51:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455970996041646082
@Roverso_GAn @MindfulOfLight Yeah, it's great if lights in the sky actually do something unusual. Also, it would be great if he followed them until they vanished.

2021-11-03T17:49:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455955330987278336
@MindfulOfLight Did he rule out satellites?

2021-11-03T17:47:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455954735672877059
@kimschurmann Not really. Probably flares and the usual mis-identifications during a flap.

2021-11-03T16:26:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455934387283906562
@sketch_god @MrAnalyzer37 It's a common type of UFO sighting. One of the 25 reportable shapes in the MUFON database. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDSEb4jVEAgWisz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDSEb4jVEAgWisz.jpg

2021-11-03T16:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455932084908740609

@Kasce6 It looks like an out-of-focus light, upscaled and converted to >8-bit color with bicubic interpolation, then contours added for half the color range at discreet intervals, maybe with a LUT (based on the pixely lines)

Square shapes are from compression artifacts in the base video.

2021-11-03T16:10:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455930499415769088

@TheMikalHovland Well, I knew it was a plane, as I was tracking it, and I'm familiar with this sort of thing. But I could not really see the wings. So if it was a little further away, or my eyesight was slight worse, then I might make the mistake other people make.

2021-11-03T16:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455927966865641472

The effect is best observed with smaller long-bodied planes like the CRJ (or a B717) - they often cruise at around 20,000, just about the right altitude to look cigar-shaped.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1455927632420167683/pu/img/RLIOcE1Ubn5eyKz1.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1455927632420167683/pu/img/RLIOcE1Ubn5eyKz1.jpg
2021-11-03T15:57:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455927035537231879
This CRJ was flying over my house so I took photos of it with my DSLR and my phone to demonstrate the "Tic-Tac" effect (not the Nimitz one)

Wings vanish, and body thickens, but you often get a faint hourglass shape where the darker wings meet the body. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDR9fsnVkAUJSyE.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDR9Ta3VIAYqWg0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDR9fsnVkAUJSyE.jpg
2021-11-03T15:54:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455926270521315328
@HarryAu05753100 Did they say Gimbal is not a plane?

2021-11-03T15:33:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455920992660844555
@HarryAu05753100 No, that's not it in other words, that's something else in other words.

Things are easy to identify if there's sufficient information, like in this case. The Nimitz encounters are unidentified (at least by the public) because there's insufficient information.

Also, see Chile.

2021-11-03T15:15:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455916501509160968
@TheiDevicePro @TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray Thank you for your questions. It's always good to be forced to clarify.

2021-11-03T15:13:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455916132804677642
@volfan3178 A "paper" in which he says there are hyper-speed craft which "appear to drop off and pick up cargo" based on a few flashes of light.

It's utter nonsense. An overactive imagination.

2021-11-03T14:54:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455911253516242944
@AmesCoEstate @MrAnalyzer37 Failed? It was doing okay when I was there :)

2021-11-03T14:51:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455910579466424322
@TheiDevicePro @TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray The tail is dark. The angle is

shallow. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDRu7z3VcAUhwd-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDRu7z3VcAUhwd-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDRu6geVQAIiK-F.jpg

2021-11-03T14:46:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455909184424218624

@volfan3178 What paper did you read?

This isn't claiming to be a description of something that cannot be observed. It's claimed to be an actual observation.

2021-11-03T14:06:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455899151795851273

@volfan3178 I'm unclear as to what you are saying, and how it applies to these images. These

are not models of reality, they are random artistic products with less relation to reality than the original. It would be like saying an atom is a bunch of vampire grapes attacking a banana.

2021-11-03T14:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455898186208321541

@TheiDevicePro @TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray Here you go.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDRjgG0VUAc6Qb_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDRjgG0VUAc6Qb_.jpg

2021-11-03T14:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455897781013413900

@EdmondHWollmann @GlantonholdenJ The video shows exactly what we'd expect the plane to look like, moving exactly as we would would expect it to move.

What basis is there for thinking that the plane is invisible, and instead we are seeing a Tic-Tac?

2021-11-03T13:49:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455894866668376070

@TheiDevicePro @TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray And use the brightests building. That gives more bloom in the image.

2021-11-03T13:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455894493236908033

@EdmondHWollmann @GlantonholdenJ The ADS-B tracks prove it. The 717 was in the exact right size, location, time, speed, and orientation.

2021-11-03T13:35:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455891334313570314

@TheiDevicePro @TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray Iâ□□m not being cryptic. What do those supposedly clear buildings actually look like? Do a side-by-side

---

2021-11-03T13:33:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455891005446574081

@EdmondHWollmann @GlantonholdenJ No, but they said it looked like a Tic-Tac. Other people, including me, have noticed this effect. Even pilots.

Itâ□□s not exactly like the video, but you canâ□□t see the wings, jus white cigar shape.

2021-11-03T13:22:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455888128103747585

@EdmondHWollmann @GlantonholdenJ Through eyeballs too.

2021-11-03T13:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455887988571852802

@TrooperFozzy @Jim87504388 @TheiDevicePro @Alien_Gray I go (mostly) quiet at night. That does not signify anything unusual.

Why donâ□□t you look at what those buildings actually look like?

2021-11-03T08:34:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455815733733036036

A common problem in UFO (and paranormal) research is excessive "enhancement" of images revealing things that are not actually there.

It's getting worse with AI upscaling, but the old way of slathering filters still produces beguiling and meaningless results. https://twitter.com/topik122/status/1455811448379150336

2021-11-03T08:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455814561001476096

@FrederickPorti6 @Ps5andxbox1 @UAPRAEFOS @MindfulOfLight @sheisartmoney @neiltyson Coincidentally, my next article in Skeptical Inquirer is about coincidences!

2021-11-03T08:23:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455812885204983813

@CatJova @Codie_West @VICE There was a little discussion on Metabunk at the time. It was just a minor viral flap, with a variety of weak claims.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/needs-debunking-ufo-crash-near-rio-de-janeiro.11263/

2021-11-02T23:44:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455682274360377348

@Alien_Gray One is grey and flying towards the camera. It only becomes clear it is a plane when it gets closer. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDOfTPyUcAQ5J6_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDOfKZCVEAQ-8a3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDOfTPyUcAQ5J6_.jpg
2021-11-02T23:33:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455679521177300992
@Alien_Gray It looks like two planes.

2021-11-02T23:30:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455678839888048132
@zoraja_dalibor
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/san-diego-silver-sphere-videoed-by-rick-ybarra-2014-probably-a-ballon-model.11375/

2021-11-02T23:30:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455678739874910208
@zoraja_dalibor It seems very consistent with a balloon

2021-11-02T20:45:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455637125555109891
@MindfulOfLight @UAPRAEFOS @sheisartmoney @FrederickPorti6 @neiltyson I attempt to solve cases.

Do you think nobody should investigate UFO sighting, because so many turn out to be IFOs that it's putting people off the topic?

2021-11-02T20:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455635610153021441

@Accumulat0r There's thousands of things I can't identify. If there's not enough information, then it remains unidentified. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDN0ut4UcAUiSa2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDN0ut4UcAUiSa2.jpg

2021-11-02T20:04:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455626976778022917

@No3spera It's either a Tic-Tac spaceship or a propane tank.

2021-11-02T20:03:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455626662293299201

Airborne clutter, stuff like birds, drones, balloons, plastic bags, etc, if a real issue for pilots. They sometimes can't tell what they are looking at, and so it becomes a UFO.

https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/officials-float-new-theory-mystery-surrounding-los-angeles-jetpack-sightings-n1282932

2021-11-02T19:28:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455617794263846914

RT @ChrisMurphyCT: The very large crowd gathered to see the JFK Jr. reveal himself after his faked death isnâ□□t funny. Itâ□□s a deeply worryinâ□¦

2021-11-02T19:04:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455611770148589571

@mjoseph0077 Finding a perfect match that was there at that precise time in that precise location, flying in that directions, and looking like the thing in the video (account for camera) is not simply "more reasonable", it's the actual explanation.

2021-11-02T19:02:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455611237702639619

@FreddyBartok @Jim87504388 @Alien_Gray @carpediem_spqr No, it could be aliens, that's just not very high on the list of possibilites.

2021-11-02T16:50:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455578190051614726

@FreddyBartok @Alien_Gray @carpediem_spqr Sadly too low resolution. What are the odds?

2021-11-02T16:48:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455577511333482504

@OddFlyingThing @DietrichVFA41 @LueElizondo @BrandonFugal @PostDisclosure @uncertainvector @ChrisKMellon @ufouapam @TOEwithCurt @Eyes_OnTheSkies @mintyhyperspace @TheUndeadGaucho @g_knapp @LtTimMcMillan Need more options.

2021-11-02T16:47:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455577370283311107

@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 No, that's basic science and astrophotography. Stars move that much in 4 seconds at that zoom.

2021-11-02T16:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455570041437495297

@FrederickPorti6 @UAPRAEFOS How do you know?

2021-11-02T16:18:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455569904711647237

@telepathic_ape @BKolbey No, I'm not implying the Nimitz case. There are scores of UFOs described as Tic-Tacs.

2021-11-02T16:16:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455569591518699520

@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 But it's consistent with 4 seconds of field rotation of stars, so you don't really need another explanation.

2021-11-02T15:56:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455564440607215621

@punkgritty But lots of people were interested in this case. Several people sent it to me.

And it's not like I've ignored the Navy videos.

2021-11-02T15:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455561606406963206

@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 The stars do move the expected amount. Look at them, they appear as short little lines. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMxj9BVgAk9Nv_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMxj9BVgAk9Nv_.jpg

2021-11-02T15:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455560952183549953

The MUFON version of the video is better. I extracted the first few frames to make the location a bit clearer. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMw18tUcAYsAay.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMw18tUcAYsAay.jpg

2021-11-02T15:40:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455560356785319943

@UAPRAEFOS @FrederickPorti6 Fredrick, I'm assuming you are presenting this as art, not an actual portal? Right?

It looks exactly like and out-of-focus scintillating star with a variety of filters applied that highlight the jpeg blocks. Cool effect.

2021-11-02T15:36:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455559546152239116
@GNOSIS80s @ufouapam Or UFOs that are not TFA.

2021-11-02T15:32:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455558421818736648
@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 and it's obviously not "just sitting there" because it moves in the 4 seconds of your photo. It was probably flying towards you, which can give the illusion of hovering.

2021-11-02T15:30:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455557935052980226
@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 Sounds about right.

2021-11-02T15:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455557738956615683
@JohnK72166450 @FrederickPorti6 Multiple lights mean the camera was taking one long exposure, and the lights were flashing.

2021-11-02T14:54:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455548996617793540
Rosary balloons - one more thing to add to the list of IFOs
https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1454141432487350274

2021-11-02T14:52:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455548286861905920
@XTmike82 @Alien_Gray Tracking Down a Tic-Tac UFO https://youtu.be/nPGmUF6R3CY

2021-11-02T14:50:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455547878680662019
@FrederickPorti6 @JohnK72166450 Looks like roughly 5-10 seconds. It will be in the original image file.

2021-11-02T14:31:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455543135975657477
@JohnK72166450 @FrederickPorti6 It's a long exposure. Like this.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMgwWIWEAEvIMs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMgwWAXIBAY_0Z.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMgwWIWEAEvIMs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMgqOpXoAwIonM.jpg

2021-11-02T14:28:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455542253229953039

@_Kodos_ @steviemac48 It's super common, it's one of the standard shapes you can pick when reporting to MUFON, there's scores of reports of tic-tac shapes. It's also what distant planes often look like. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMf3m8XEAstFbd.jpg

	Date Submitted	Date/Time of Event	Short Description
	2021-11-01	2021-10-17 8:50AM	tic tac ufo {with no features} seen (video recorded) from airplane while flying over lake michigan, over grand rapids area
2	2021-10-27	2021-10-10 11:25AM	Wasn't observed.
3	2021-10-27	2021-10-04 12:28PM	Tic tacs and black orbs
4	2021-10-27	2021-10-26 5:01PM	I saw a tic tac shape hovering over the mountain tops.
5	2021-10-21	2021-08-29 7:39PM	White Tic-Tac UAP Yorktown, VA
6	2021-10-16	2021-05-11 3:01PM	Off white/white in color, tic-tac/round shape.. hovered directly overhead
7	2021-10-14	2021-10-14 2:33PM	Dark UFO silent
8	2021-10-13	2021-07-22 8:20PM	Metallic looking tic tac … have never seen anything like it
9	2021-10-12	2021-10-11 5:40AM	Observed white/yellow glowing oblong object flying at a high speed then changing direction perpendicularly and disappearing.
10	2021-10-11	2021-09-02 7:54PM	Object in sky
11	2021-10-11	2021-10-09 2:00AM	I need to speak to someone it's too much to explain
12	2021-10-07	2021-10-07 7:04PM	Strange pill capsule objects in the sky
13	2021-10-04	2013-09-17	Tic Tac UFO over Disneyland, sky clear, September 17, 2013

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDMf3m8XEAstFbd.jpg

2021-11-02T05:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455406575615504389

@TheiDevicePro @Alien_Gray Which buildings?

2021-11-02T05:27:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455406263978770438

@BKolbey Thatâ□□s not what is being discussed here.

2021-11-02T02:34:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455362729984737289

@anna_aware No it isnâ□□t.

2021-11-02T02:34:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455362552536256512

@naoehogui Sure, thatâ□□s different, and not what this is about.

2021-11-02T00:18:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455328319503695875

@steviemac48 I made no such attempt.

2021-11-02T00:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455328105900359684

@TheOutThere2016 @T_S_Design Donâ□□t you get a reflection opposite the sun?

2021-11-01T22:51:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455306476323426309

That latest Tic-Tac UFO video? In this case it's just a plane. Here I explain how I tracked it down.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nPGmUF6R3CY

2021-11-01T22:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455296976283598854
@Alien_Gray @CalebLe74625772 @Mac_Vaughan No. They are terrible for night shots unless you've got a lot of time to set it up. You can take recognizable photos of the ISS, Jupiter and Saturn with some fiddling.

2021-11-01T22:11:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455296526540967937
@FrederickPorti6 How can you have no idea? It's obviously the nav lights of a plane.

Is this some kind of art project? A social experiment?

2021-11-01T22:07:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455295492267462656
@Alien_Gray @CalebLe74625772 @Mac_Vaughan If zoom is your primary motivation, I'd get a Nikon P1000 or P950. It's not a DSLR, but it's easily the best bang for buck (and weight) when it comes to zoom.
There's trade-offs though, so it depends.

2021-11-01T22:03:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455294566727180288
@Cernunnos69 @SkinnyWalker3 Over-"enhanced" videos and either wishful thinking or a joke.

2021-11-01T21:59:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455293566117285898
@Alien_Gray @CalebLe74625772 @Mac_Vaughan All the time, generally when there's not enough information.

2021-11-01T21:58:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455293288441802755
@jpb38 @AnnaWhitty2 Air force? This is just some guy.

2021-11-01T21:26:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455285106101293060
@punkgritty How so? I'm simply solving one particular UFO case.

Is that not a good thing?

2021-11-01T20:42:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455274159215239170
@anders_gustavo Chicago. Flying to Detroit.

2021-11-01T20:42:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455274015111581698
@CuriousUap @ChrisKMellon Minor correction, here's my one paper :)
https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084011

2021-11-01T20:04:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455264431097585668
@CalebLe74625772 @Mac_Vaughan @Alien_Gray It's a Boeing 717-200, they don't have wings

(from some angles) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDIjE5VVEAABaWC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDIjE5VVEAABaWC.jpg
2021-11-01T19:59:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455263292151123972
@InvNightSchool Here's more detail on a similar case.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-near-zion-nation-park-united-541.11097/

2021-11-01T19:57:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455262725035094018
@AtticusFinch_JD @paul59593748 @Alien_Gray I did that here:

https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=40

2021-11-01T19:49:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455260815049703425
@InvNightSchool I'll make a video, but just the usual ones, FR24, etc.

2021-11-01T19:49:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455260615753170947
@AtticusFinch_JD @dissent_memo I use http://Planefinder.net, flightradar24, and then http://flightaware.com, they are all free for recent flights.

2021-11-01T19:48:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455260409502388226
@foekw1da Sure. In a bit.

2021-11-01T19:47:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1455260259811938307
@ufo_worldwide I'll make a quick video But here's the view match in the first few seconds.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDIfeYrVUAAYh9D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDIfeYrVUAAYh9D.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDIfZHjVUAUy35y.jpg

2021-11-01T19:46:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455259858517692418

@AnnaWhitty2 Digital zoom, exactly. Like here

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-11-01T19:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455259094927896581

@paul59593748 @Alien_Gray It's digital zoom on a phone camera. That's what planes look like.

2021-11-01T19:33:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455256799439851522

@dissent_memo I have receipts. :)

2021-11-01T19:26:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455254866180272130

A great example of how a plane can look like a Tic-Tac when far away. This is Delta 2474 filmed from United 267 on Oct 17, 2021. https://twitter.com/Alien_Gray/status/1455178506225192968

2021-11-01T19:20:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455253427030663172

@BostonsBuddha I think he was surprised there was a focus on such a thing, as it's not really an issue.

2021-11-01T19:19:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455253237162917889

@JamesWe14200015 @Kabamur_Taygeta

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1455252931729453056

2021-11-01T19:18:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455252931729453056

@Alien_Gray This is Delta 2474 filmed from United 267 on Oct 17, 2021.

2021-11-01T16:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455217715858214915

@ESCAPINGTHEBOX @Alien_Gray Small, or Far Away - a timeless problem in UFOlogy.

2021-11-01T15:48:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455200080995119104

@marie_kayali Looks like a plane with motion blur up and right.

2021-11-01T15:10:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455190408485502977

RT @Kasparov63: The number of Big Lie believers will grow, not shrink, if the myth isn't confronted directly and forcefully. Liars will lieâ¦

2021-11-01T14:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1455182791490949124

@TheOutThere2016 @T_S_Design It's a 180Â° sensor reflection. The light is centered, so the 180Â° reflection ends up in the same place, and you see the reflection when less than half of the light is obscured.

2021-10-31T22:48:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454943306937294848

@BostonsBuddha It's just TFA.

2021-10-31T22:05:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454932501722402817

@DavidBWriter @PaulDuf71456489 @PostDisclosure Tricky to measure, as it's self-reported.

"About 1 in 20 people in the general population has experienced at least one hallucination in their lifetime that wasn't connected to drugs, alcohol or dreaming, according to a new study."

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/how-common-are-hallucinations/

2021-10-31T21:02:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454916656833044480

@DavidBWriter @PaulDuf71456489 @PostDisclosure Hallucinate? Most UFO sightings are simply unidentified objects in the sky, not hallucinations.

2021-10-31T20:40:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454911248231899143

@DavidBWriter @PaulDuf71456489 @PostDisclosure I don't know about correlation with drug use, but I'd presume that most such sightings are either discarded or not given much weight (when known.) The most common cause, according to Allan Hendry in the 1970s, is stars and planets, followed by planes. Balloons and planes by day.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDDh7NNUUAIBXF3.jpg



Nocturnal Light IFOs

1,024 REPORTS—*88.4%* OF ALL *IFOs*

		reports
1	*stars & planets*	*360*
2	*advertising planes*	*230*
3	*aircraft*	*196*
4	*meteors & re-entries*	*113*
5	*satellites*	*24*
6	*moon*	*22*
7	*prank balloons*	*14*
8	*searchlights*	*11*
9	*balloons*	*9*
10	*missile launches*	*9*
11	*fixed ground lights*	*7*
12	*flares*	*6*
13	*birds*	*5*
14	*kites*	*5*
15	*clouds*	*4*
16	*test clouds*	*4*
17	*airborne residue*	*2*

"impostors" represe
the total IFO report
nine out of ten of t
combined. Thus, s
ventional aircraft,
for a very high pr
UFO phenomenon.

1) Bright Sta

360 reports—3
IFOs

Stars and plane
largest category o
study. "Star" IFO
to resolve, even
Since we are surr

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDDhz0XVcA01G3h.jpg



Daylight Disc IFOs

71 reports—6.1% of all IFOs

		reports
1	*weather balloons*	*35*
2	*aircraft*	*22*
3	*meteors*	*5*
4	*Venus*	*2*
5	*lenticular clouds*	*1*
6	*clouds*	*1*
7	*birds*	*1*
8	*sunrise effects*	*1*
9	*power line fixtures*	*1*
10	*visual disorders*	*1*
11	*kite wire*	*1*

IFOs giving rise to false Nocturnal Light UFO reports commanded the great majority of the 1,158 IFO reports. As you may have guessed, the number of Daylight Disc IFO reports that turned up

1) Wea

35 repo
IFOs

Easily th
this IFO c
counted fo
tantly seen
independen
twenty-thre
types and
ports, how
The descri
ited to "ro
There wer
due to refl
scriptions
with gown
oculars) a
corners."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FDDh7NNUUAIBXF3.jpg

2021-10-31T20:34:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454909663556747267

@thewoody Venus will scintillate a little at low elevations. Isolated lights can seem to move. Recollections change over time.

The video is the best evidence, and it looks like Venus.

2021-10-31T19:19:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454890905282830339

@PaulDuf71456489 @PostDisclosure @DavidBWriter I enjoy chatting about topics that interest me.

2021-10-31T18:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454875201951264771
@dazWestonUK No.

2021-10-31T14:35:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454819249940144129
@UAPRAEFOS It is a magazine for skeptics.

2021-10-31T10:41:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454760433114439693
@mikefleming179 @DavidBWriter @MiddleOfMayhem @JasonColavito @keithkloor @SkeptInquirer @zcichy I point to the lack of evidence, and the large amount of disconfirming evidence, which all makes the ETH unlikely. BUt I don't think anyone would say it's impossible that a tiny fraction of UAP might be ET.

2021-10-31T10:39:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454759814987325446
@UAPRAEFOS Lots of other people get cited in other articles. Frazier probably cites me int eh context of the Navy UFOs, as he's familiar with my work there.
And I write for Skeptical Inquirer. See my article on page 26.

2021-10-31T06:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454704006207471621
@mikefleming179 @DavidBWriter @MiddleOfMayhem @JasonColavito @keithkloor @SkeptInquirer @zcichy Can you give an example case when this was not done?

2021-10-31T01:32:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454622219921813506
@steve_crazed Maybe it only happens like one in a million flights?

2021-10-30T21:53:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454567242734051332
@Noah_A_S @DenilsonSP3 @mikedamante @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon Elon Musk? It really depends on what is happening.

2021-10-30T19:57:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454537894052257793
@steve_crazed Well, they don't seem to be able to identify the focussing of their camera creating the pulsing. There's a first time for everything.

2021-10-30T19:55:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454537587364827137
@DenilsonSP3 @mikedamante @LueElizondo @ChrisKMellon There's over 40 "research affiliates" it's not big deal. Gary Voorhis is one.

2021-10-30T19:53:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454536866783371265
@WxFrisk @OKINsenga No, it's the same light.

2021-10-30T18:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454521555157880837

@DavidBWriter @CNY315_ @Munook @PostDisclosure I've never suggested that the Gimbal video shows a bird.

2021-10-30T14:56:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454462140698349573

@Numenor33 No.

2021-10-30T14:55:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454462063879725056

@danielb00296613 Not exactly, itâ□□s a panorama

2021-10-30T14:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454461925820035078

@Epicmimibruh @PostDisclosure @DavidBWriter Watergate. Iraq WMDs. Iran-Contra. Etc.

Conspiracies are obviously a real thing. That does not mean any given conspiracy theory is therefore true, just not 100% impossible, so you should consider the evidence.

2021-10-30T14:51:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454461054394589193

@mikefleming179 @DavidBWriter @MiddleOfMayhem @JasonColavito @keithkloor @SkeptInquirer @zcichy So are you are saying thereâ□□s no good non-anecdotal evidence?

That itâ□□s something that can only be revealed via individual experience?

2021-10-30T04:18:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454301613011582979

@vertexpd No

2021-10-30T01:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454257963611275264

@normal20211 The effect would be the same for any dark object. The shininess would make no difference.

2021-10-30T01:22:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454257483606679561

@AtticusFinch_JD Yes

2021-10-30T00:38:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454246178707566598

@bogsnorkeler @PostDisclosure @DavidBWriter And how do you know this?

2021-10-30T00:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454246024738840833

@bogsnorkeler @PostDisclosure @DavidBWriter Vitriol? Where?

2021-10-30T00:36:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454245702956027905

@3pointedit @MyeKrennish 180Â° rotational symmetry, to be precise.

2021-10-30T00:33:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454244954876760064

@zcichy @UsCars4 @DavidBWriter @MiddleOfMayhem @JasonColavito @keithkloor @SkeptInquirer Itâ□□s a ridiculously moot point, labored by an account I muted literally months ago. Donâ□□t waste any time with it.

2021-10-30T00:32:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454244681613602822

@zcichy @UsCars4 @DavidBWriter @MiddleOfMayhem @JasonColavito @keithkloor @SkeptInquirer I meant what I said. That if it was just a more detailed version of the existing images, then Iâ□□d go #1 with a balloon. Because that was what my #1 was for the existing images.

Turns out it was video. So I didnâ□□t.

2021-10-29T22:50:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454219139371864065

@PostDisclosure @DavidBWriter Desperate? Who is desperate, and what do they fear might happen?

2021-10-29T22:35:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454215379778097152

@OKftl @BrentLeeTV Iâ□□m sure. My phone has a matt black case.

2021-10-29T21:50:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454203933631541252

@UAPslut It has moved between scans. Probably something a bit sticky.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC5esY_UYAAmyPr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC5esY_UYAAmyPr.jpg

2021-10-29T21:48:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454203434060554240

@UAPslut I see a similar thing here, but different shape. Indicating it's not in the actual image, but is a physical thing stuck to the negative.

https://www.lpi.usra.edu/resources/apollo/images/browse/AS09/21/3212.jpg

---

2021-10-29T21:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454202938188984324

@UAPslut Where's the other one?

2021-10-29T21:45:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454202843762626562

@Skinnybob20 Nearly!

2021-10-29T21:45:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454202689793912836

@BrentLeeTV No.

2021-10-29T21:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454200042131099649

@UAPslut A spec of something on the negative while scanning. A common problem.

2021-10-29T21:31:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454199226586386433

@jimbotowrag It's a fun puzzle for some people. It's a chance to gripe for others. Win-win!

2021-10-29T21:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454199027994533893

@opatcorneille No.

2021-10-29T20:55:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454190217536040960

@zamkzumk No.

2021-10-29T20:55:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454190155468734464

@skies_alive @DavidBWriter Well technically I could be dumb and still make the claim, I'd just be wrong.

2021-10-29T20:51:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454189225209851911

@BostonsBuddha The specks in the upper left kind of look like something wet was thrown. Bright sunlight means super fast shutter speed, stopping any motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC5RKqHVIAMPfmc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC5RKqHVIAMPfmc.jpg

2021-10-29T20:44:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454187413199212544

@3pointedit Indeed, I thought of cropping it so it wasn't but decided that would be cheating.

2021-10-29T20:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454186978082127877

@OKINsenga No, however you can't really "see the bones" with a very bright light. You can see light through your hand, but it's too scattered to make out bones. It's really just the rough shape of your fingers.

2021-10-29T20:39:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454186141175877632

@DavidBWriter suggests that 700 unsolved UFO cases is somehow evidence of something. Unsolved UFO cases are inevitable. Every day some unsolvable case is recorded with MUFON.

Low information, no or poor quality photos/videos.

You need unambiguous evidence, not pile of anecdotes.

https://twitter.com/DavidBWriter/status/1454178330006089730

2021-10-29T19:54:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454174811966504960

@InvNightSchool That's actually a pretty good description, albeit lacking in details. But yes, light bouncing around is a big part of it.

2021-10-29T19:48:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454173419520479233

@___SKELETONS___ @flarkey @apicasefiles No, the image stays.

2021-10-29T19:48:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454173313006116864

@InvNightSchool Yes, it's not an after-image.

2021-10-29T19:48:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454173197713096705

@Avirup1981 @fringequest I do. Just quietly.

2021-10-29T19:38:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454170814052327424

@jsn_hwrd No.

2021-10-29T19:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454169331705602056

@apicasefiles 13 Pro, but it's not a software effect. It's purely physical.

2021-10-29T19:32:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454169180576444418

@InvNightSchool Probably not. It would still be there, just a lot harder to see.

2021-10-29T19:31:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454169072329842688

@InvNightSchool No.

2021-10-29T19:31:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454168958005776385

@coraman It's just using one camera.

2021-10-29T19:21:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454166530745266176

@pathalogikul That's the puzzle!

2021-10-29T19:17:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454165493837746180

The transparent wallet effect, video edition, showing it works with multiple orientations of the wallet.

No post processing, just regular video. Nothing in between the camera and the light. Ordinary

leather wallet. Nothing but light, wallet, and iPhone. A fun puzzle.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1454164555316150273/pu/img/vaf3PJlDQjhLDNxS.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1454164555316150273/pu/img/vaf3PJlDQjhLDNxS.jpg
2021-10-29T18:59:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1454160949892444162
My desk light is so bright it can be seen through my leather wallet!

Or can it? There's a few optical effects that can make opaque things appear to be transparent in photos. This is one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC43GbFVIAUeht3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC43GbFVIAUeht3.jpg

2021-10-29T18:50:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454158825750085632

@TruthBe05900844 Except Venus actually IS frequently misidentified. And not just in the last 20 years either.

Mars and Jupiter too, when they are particularly bright. I've personally mistaken both Mars and Venus for a plane or drone.

2021-10-29T18:48:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454158163482005506

@CanesReign All the time. Maybe 10% of all "UFO" videos lack sufficient information to identify them.

It's kind of a filter.

2021-10-29T18:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454155964202946563

@Oswald1160 @InvNightSchool @Generic_Error_ Exactly :)

2021-10-29T17:56:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454145242270027779

@InvNightSchool @Generic_Error_ Itâ□□s a panorama.

2021-10-29T17:56:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454145082462912512

@InvNightSchool @Generic_Error_ Hereâ□□s another.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC4pJY-VQAYd3_a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC4pJY-VQAYd3_a.jpg

2021-10-29T17:54:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454144557830918147

@InvNightSchool @Generic_Error_ No, I just took it.

2021-10-29T17:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454140268131749888

A couple of UDOs. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC4k2PYVcAcrIGq.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FC4k2PYVcAcrIGq.jpg

2021-10-29T17:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454138928538128384

@ChrisSPITZER7 Venus is far away. The horizon will drop, but Venus will be essentially the same elevation above horizontal.

2021-10-29T15:12:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454103851447951365

@SteUFOnotCGULLS @realufobros Needs more Venus.

2021-10-29T14:16:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1454089654446149635

@AlecStewart28 @TheGreatHogsby @MiddleOfMayhem @LtTimMcMillan @ChineseDrone @JeremyCorbell Things might be ET. Thereâ□□s just no good evidence.

2021-10-29T03:38:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453929287053053957

@RogerSmith2001 Venus varies. Weather varies. Circumstances vary.

2021-10-29T00:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453887396974522373

@PlasticPaddy9 Yes, I link to that report.

2021-10-28T22:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453851027522801664

@LuAngeles @tedroephotograp @NARCAP1 @thenewyorkpost (just to be clear, I was saying my thoughts were in the article that YOU linked, the NY Post)

2021-10-28T22:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453845211713474570
@sukitakita Points of light with little frame of reference can seem to move.

2021-10-28T22:04:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453845027470278656
@UAPEngineering No, I think they likely misperceived a variety of things. What is seen in the video looks like Venus, and it's in the right place for Venus for that time and location

---

2021-10-28T22:02:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453844678541905925
@s_pect_re And yet it's something that happens occasionally.

2021-10-28T21:59:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453843976243474436
@LuAngeles @WitnessCitizen @tedroephotograp @NARCAP1 @thenewyorkpost
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1453843041559584769

2021-10-28T21:56:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453843041559584769
"New" UFO video in the UK tabloids. Pilot sees pulsating light.

This was probably Venus, and was examined on Metabunk back on April 11, 2021

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufo-seen-from-plane-over-mexico-march-19-2020-venus-narcap-tr-20.11699/

2021-10-28T19:58:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453813388287504391
@LuAngeles @tedroephotograp @NARCAP1 @thenewyorkpost My thoughts are in the linked article. I think it's Venus.

2021-10-28T18:04:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453784703081742338
@StephenABoydPh1 Maybe a kite.

2021-10-27T03:32:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453203003788304387
@FringeCenter @IAmRobAherne Was it considered a conspiracy theory?

2021-10-27T00:49:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453161860363001861
@FringeCenter @IAmRobAherne No. The issues is if it was a THEORY before it was revealed as fact. Were there tracts speculating about it?

2021-10-26T22:23:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453125042150129665
@papalorge No, it is not. It can not affect the weather at all.

2021-10-26T21:20:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453109364651278345

@edwardcurrent @FrobertKinsey @Leo12822950 Sputtering.

2021-10-26T19:32:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453082191366217730

@IAmRobAherne Most conspiracies that are discovered were not really conspiracy theories in the conventional sense. Iran-Contra for example.

2021-10-26T19:30:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453081695763062788

@MasonWr35230112 @Oswald1160 I try to find what things are.

2021-10-26T19:22:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453079632849235972

@MasonWr35230112 My intent is figuring out what is in each individual video or photo.

2021-10-26T19:20:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453079198973628417

@MasonWr35230112 @Oswald1160 I know, just a poor amplify, as Iâ□□d have no issue accepting a red sun while on vacation on another planet.

2021-10-26T19:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453078833217769473

@__dregs__ Not really, but one belief can lead to another - or at least ease the path.

2021-10-26T19:02:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453074674946961412

@Ps5andxbox1 @MasonWr35230112 No more than average.

2021-10-26T19:01:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453074305361670144

@MasonWr35230112 @Oswald1160 The sun isn't yellow. It's white.

However it can APPEAR yellow, orange, and even red.

http://solar-center.stanford.edu/SID/activities/GreenSun.html

---

2021-10-26T18:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453073463673954306

@MasonWr35230112 I investigate things that people claim are very unusual. Is that not a fertile ground for discovering new things?

2021-10-26T18:56:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453073157351305216

The former head of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth is flexing his new-found intellectual freedom with a foray into one of my favorite conspiracy theories: "Chemtrails"

Belief in one conspiracy generally means belief in all similar or lesser theories.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCpZ0fFVIAIQsUX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCpZ0fFVIAIQsUX.jpg
2021-10-26T18:46:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453070550121660416
@aka__V Hysteria. Mass Hysteria is a more common term for mass psychogenic illness.

2021-10-26T18:28:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453065999763197962
@MasonWr35230112 I would far prefer to discover something new.

2021-10-26T16:36:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453037917236985869
@BryceBarnes If you think the points I raise regarding individual events give reasonable doubt to some broad case for UFOs=Advanced tech, then that's on you. I just focus on trying to resolve individual cases.

2021-10-26T16:35:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1453037525178609669
How to do a podcast interview.

My prep notes for the episode on Havana Syndrome with Dr Robert Bartholomew. You don't get to every question because sometimes they overlap and sometimes we run out of time. But it's roughly what we ended up discussing.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xrQs-ZX-wv0
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCo5PXUVIAE8ihM.jpg



BARTOLOMOW / BALOH

My guest today is Dr. Robert Bartolomew, who has a PhD in Medical Sociology, and is an Honorary Senior Lecturer in the Department of Psychological Medicine at the University of Auckland in New Zealand. He's an expert in Mass Psychogenic Illness and the co-author with Rober Baloh of the book **"Havana Syndrome: Mass Psychogenic Illness and the Real Story Behind the Embassy Mystery and Hysteria"**

- What is Havana syndrome, and how did it start? How did it come to your attention
- What's a mass psychogenic illness? Does mass psychogenic illness mean people are imagining symptoms?
- The Havana Symptoms. (I have them.) why attributed specifically to an attack?
  - Headaches, Hearing loss, Hearing noises, ear pain, transient tinnitus
  - Balance, sleep disturbance, Stress related? [VARIATIONS]
- HISTORY - similar types of even in the past
  - Dancing mania. Middle ages.
  - Gulf War Syndrome (real issues, effect of free health care) - Sick Building Syndrome
  - Morgellons
- What is the relationship between mass psychogenic illness and more **individual diagnoses like somatic symptom disorder and conversion disorder**? [sound similar]
- Contested causation. Patient vs. Doctor and Doctors vs Doctor. How do doctors end up in camps? Or is it a small group vs. large group? What's the split for Havana Syndrome?
- Role of the media. Where is the media getting its narrative from? Outlets like the Washington Post and the Guardian seem fully on-board with the idea that it's some kind of attack. Why?
- Philip Bump, Washington Post (yesterday, October 20th). He RECOGNIZED the skeptical argument, because he'd had panic attacks, so knew how powerful non-physical symptoms could be [anecdote of COP with **fentanyl**, collapsed, but not how it works!!]
- What's the political aspect (Trump? Tillerson?) CYA? Who benefits? Or just SOB
- **If there WAS some kind of attack, what evidence would we expect? What would it look like? Could we detect it?**
- Clinical studies (Hoffer). Initially MRIs normal. **White matter** changes? (JAMA. 21 in initial study, 40 later) Evidence or brain injury? Control group? Lots of doctors doing the study (how can they all be fooled?). JASON gave a brief dismissal due to lack of data on control and baseline. Subjective assessments.
  - Then Inner Ear damage? vs. white matter?
  - JASON Report, what does it say, how was it received. Now JASON is being fired from the defense department contracts.
  - Vestibular tests - inner ear balance. Hoffer said
- Reactions (and actions) in other countries. Cuba. UK. Russia. Others?
- "Trust the victim" mentality. Excessive respect, deference, over evidence. Fine line!!
- Stigma of "mental illness" if it's "all in the mind".
- What can be done to help the situation? (doctor education? public education? less stigma for somatic symptoms?) Simple explanations?

Twitter Questions

- Are people who specialize in niche subjects more prone to confirmation bias that the average person.
- **You predicted there would be "an epidemic of outbreaks, of mass hysteria, of mass psychogenic illness." after a coronavirus vaccine was approved. How did that pan out? [Magnets, Glowing vaccine. People getting sick from the vaccine? - but they just don't take it]**
- Do we see similar symptom sets in high stress groups like the police? [or do they also need the suggestion?]
- **If someone wanted to create a mass psychogenic illness, how would they go about it? Media? Social media?**
- **Were there things that were dismissed as psychogenic earlier, but then found not to be? Stomach Ulcers from stress? Footballers TBI? Maybe Fibromialgia?**
- **Some people asked about UFOs. Are there similar aspects? [Sightings, "hitchhikers" at skinwalker, physical effects]**
- **New technology - Girls developing Tourettes after watching an influencer with Tourettes.**
- War of the Worlds Radio Broadcast. Would it still work today?

Closing Final question...

- You describe yourself as a "sympathetic skeptic" [in The Spinoff], I think I can relate to that - but what does that description mean for you?
- Where can people learn more? [Web site, Book, Twitter?]

Douglas Smith: director of the University of Pennsylvania's Center for Brain Injury and Repair
Sept 2019
"The mass-hysteria hypothesis is debunked by very obvious neurological findings that you can't imitate, you can't mimic."

Hoffer 2018:
"Despite almost one third of individuals reporting hearing loss, only two individuals had abnormal hear- ing tests. Both cases had at least moderate preexisting hearing loss and while both felt the hearing loss was now worse. However, we did not have comparison audiograms available."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCo5PXUVIAE8ihM.jpg

2021-10-26T15:23:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453019545707302914

@estern53 Not exactly. There's some scientific basis for things like interstellar space travel.

Belief in a supernatural being is kind of different.

Of course the more advanced physics claims are essentially supernatural.

2021-10-26T15:21:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453018902091354112

@ufoofinterest Thanks, that's what I thought.

2021-10-26T15:17:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1453018004950716422

@jplatte11 @MasonWr35230112 Where is this evidence then?

2021-10-26T04:11:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452850263644012546

@welling_phil Of course some UAPs are real. A balloon is real. A jet is real. A drone is real. Venus is real. They can all be UAPs

But you seem to be using the word differently.

Define your terms.

2021-10-26T02:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452826384166883330

@Saw_a_UFO It could, and probably has.

2021-10-26T02:03:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452818198076616706

@BryceBarnes Iâ□□m uninterested in â□□reasonable doubtâ□□. This is not a trial.

2021-10-26T02:02:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452817907084247042

@HappyAtomsTees Thanks, but that had always been my position.

2021-10-25T23:10:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452774622009520129

@ufoofinterest @disinformatico Did he ever get back to you?

2021-10-25T21:52:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452754961998106626

@Robin081564 @DanielM86106837 @MasonWr35230112 Non-human intelligence (and non-human-created AI).

2021-10-25T21:50:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452754459172347907

@nice__97 How many officials?

2021-10-25T19:15:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452715573368922119

@Jay32133213 That doesn't make any sense. Humans developing advanced transportation technology seems like a less existential threat to civilization than alien colonists.

2021-10-25T19:14:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452715111928385536

@FrobertKinsey @edwardcurrent @Leo12822950 Did they ask a materials scientist?

2021-10-25T19:12:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452714742695399425

@L00Corez With a sensor reflection the image and position are rotated 180Â°

2021-10-25T19:11:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452714536994152451

@spankysick And yet I just made a post saying we should not eliminate the possibility of aliens.

2021-10-25T18:15:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452700265577005061

@L00Corez

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1452700192818413572/pu/img/ILL5y8QBlIRmedYa.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1452700192818413572/pu/img/ILL5y8QBlIRmedYa.jpg

2021-10-25T18:12:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452699570408857600

@L00Corez And since the sun is out now, I went out and duplicated it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCkGjGDVEAEePBI.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCkGjGDVEAEePBI.jpg

2021-10-25T18:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452699174281961476

@benjymous The "veins" here are the branches that are in front of the sun

---

2021-10-25T18:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452698212255420416

@L00Corez Because there's a branch in front of the sun. Here's a similar thing (different type of reflection, but similar result) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCkFTZ0VIAUR3Bn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCkFTZ0VIAUR3Bn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCkFG4MVcAEGdRr.jpg

2021-10-25T16:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452671595693051904

@UfoSunday http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCjtHXjVUAYzHOg.jpg

vanishingly small/improbable

From Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English

vanishingly small/improbable

extremely small or unlikely

The chances of dying under anaesthetic are vanishingly small.

→ **vanishingly**

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCjtHXjVUAYzHOg.jpg
2021-10-25T16:20:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452671393959579650
@UfoSunday Sorry, I meant to type "vanishingly small" - which just means so small as to be insignificant or undetectable.

I should have just said "almost zero"

2021-10-25T16:19:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452671095027290112
@BarlowTerry I'm basing it on the lack of evidence. The unremitting avalanche of "UFO" sightings that turn out not to be evidence of visiting aliens. And the absence of indicators of civilizations in the nearby universe.

Of course it only takes one. But there are no indications that's here

---

2021-10-25T16:16:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452670441802272769
@UfoSunday Less likely? Do you mean it was once more likely, or that there are other thing that are more likely?

I don't think it has really changed in likelihood over the last 70 years.

2021-10-25T16:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452670059994746880
@JoeMoonjoey Just about any light bright enough to create glare will also give you a sensor reflection on most phone cameras. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCjrtgwVQAcXX3r.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCjrtgwVQAcXX3r.jpg

2021-10-25T16:13:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452669710969946119

@ChrisSPITZER7 @GalileoProject1 What bias does not forgetting about aliens give me?

Surely I should keep an open mind to all possibilities?

2021-10-25T16:06:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452667862053572608

@ChilliFowler How is that relevant?

2021-10-25T15:28:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452658352954040321

I think the likelihood of any UAPs/UFOs being aliens is vanishing small, and there's no significant indications that any might be, but I also think it's important to remind people that you can't actually 100% eliminate the possibility, so it should be on the table, in perspective

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1452498186442973189

2021-10-25T15:21:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452656655372406789

Nice example of a sensor reflection. The blue disk is actually the image of the sun through the trees on the camera's sensor. It reflects off the sensor back through the lens, then off the front glass, and back down to the sensor. It's the actual size of the sun without glare
https://twitter.com/sasquatchchron/status/1452454889632763908

2021-10-25T15:18:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452655768851734531
@619Notabot So who exactly is the amnesty being offered to? And what would be the crimes that were being forgiven?

2021-10-25T15:17:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452655532725051393
@FredFujita @Jim87504388 @MasonWr35230112 100% unidentified does not mean interesting. It could just be too far away.

2021-10-25T14:21:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452641591659663360
@sasquatchchron This is a sensor reflection of the sun in the trees. Itâ□□s essentially an image of the sun, hence a ball.

2021-10-25T14:11:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452638929912430597
@thewalterb @ThorntonVallery @DaveSFoley @BSquidding Yes, and its work like that which makes me â□□investigatingâ□□ such a case irrelevant. So I go with the fresh ones.

2021-10-25T13:39:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452630970457227266
@MasonWr35230112 No itâ□□s not simple. Some cases are straightforward, but many lack information. Some require fairly complex research and analysis to glean simple facts.

2021-10-25T13:32:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452629094735089671
@james4125 @DaveSFoley In the absence of any confirmed advanced technology or NHI, then they are simply experts in UFO culture, mythology and folk history.

2021-10-25T05:15:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452503966340636673
@issaufobro @MasonWr35230112 In the video it's already drifted inland. It stays inland. It drifts to the west as the plane circles it once, and the the plane flies off the the south.

2021-10-25T05:14:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452503713088606209
@MrC1549 @Fiddaman What, lift the entire confidential and classified information scheme of the US gov/mil, to get at "something"?

2021-10-25T05:05:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452501622005719045
@Fiddaman @MrC1549 I'm not stopping anyone asking questions. I both ask questions, and look for answers.

2021-10-25T04:59:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452500079579840518

@dr_los @DaveSFoley So why aren't THEY debunking the green pyramid, etc?

2021-10-25T04:58:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452499707142369283

@issaufobro @MasonWr35230112 It does not. The object in the video goes nowhere near the water.

2021-10-25T04:56:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452499289247141892

@SabersKnight And I would never make a broad statement like "it's all nonsensical fantasy". I said #UFOamnesty is nonsensical fantasy.

Actually studying UFOs is perfectly reasonable, useful, even necessary.

2021-10-25T04:54:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452498701792862208

@dr_los @DaveSFoley You mean when I said that nobody is a UFO expert, and I'm just an expert an figuring out what is shown in UFO-like videos?

Am I wrong in that statement? Is there some higher benchmark of UFO-video-analysis I've yet to reach?

2021-10-25T04:52:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452498186442973189

@DanielM86106837 @MasonWr35230112 UAPs could be aliens.

2021-10-25T04:51:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452497987108626433

@SabersKnight That's just because it takes too long to spell "aficionado"

2021-10-25T04:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452497636687159321

@MrC1549 Of course they are hiding "things". Who isn't?

But WHAT things. That's the question. And what is an "amnesty" supposed to do?

2021-10-25T04:37:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452494426295586819

@MasonWr35230112 I strive to figure out what things are. That's all.

2021-10-25T04:04:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452486206260846597

@dr_los @DaveSFoley Could you give an example of this? Because I really feel like I try to investigate each incident without undue prejudice.

2021-10-25T01:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452441739818463232

@soldisnew So the suggestion is we forgive the gov for all their lies so we can find out what they are?

Does that seem realistic in any way?

2021-10-25T01:05:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452441171184156675

@petef50513283 What was covered up?

2021-10-25T01:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452440931269943306

@adsum1022 What is she supposed to be doing? Whatâ□□s the story?

2021-10-24T22:16:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452398620133625857

@LawlessNik @ThorntonVallery @DaveSFoley @BSquidding That speech wasnâ□□t about secret societies. It was about the media exercising restraint in covering things like the Bay of Pigs.

2021-10-24T22:08:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452396700149030913

@sneakin @DaveSFoley @AncientAliens There are also experts in Klingon mating rituals.

2021-10-24T22:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452396300968681475

@dr_los @DaveSFoley What is? And why?

2021-10-24T22:06:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452396125793619968

This #UFOamnesty idea seems to be little more than wishful thinking.

Some UFO fans think the government has been illegally covering up evidence of aliens, and if they lobby for amnesty then the government will tell them all about the aliens.

It's a nonsensical fantasy.

2021-10-24T22:01:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452394864587390979

@ThorntonVallery @DaveSFoley @BSquidding And the bottom line is simply that I prefer fresh cases. Roswell and the JFK assassination have been picked over for decades.

2021-10-24T21:57:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452393930910171136

@ThorntonVallery @DaveSFoley @BSquidding Sure, I stated otherwise on Joe Rogan's podcast several years ago. But even then I'd looked into things like the magic bullet. JFK is a huge topic, and you don't simply "debunk" it. The book "Case Closed", by Gerald Posner, does a pretty good job though.

2021-10-24T21:33:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452387811668094979

@ThorntonVallery @DaveSFoley @BSquidding Oh I've looked at it. It's like the JFK of UFOlogy. You can't avoid it.

I just don't think there's much to it.

2021-10-24T21:31:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452387329033658372

Definitions matter @DaveSFoley. NOBODY is a UFO expert, just like nobody is an alien expert. But I've studied hundreds of UFO photos and videos, and I've help solve or clarify many of them. I'm perhaps the closest thing you could get to being an expert at that odd art.

https://twitter.com/DaveSFoley/status/1452349004877074445

2021-10-24T21:14:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452382950239080451

@Alien_Gray But is the camera in focus? With a large moon, or some clouds, the camera has something to focus on. With just point lights, like Venus or a plane, the camera will try to focus, and generally fail.

2021-10-24T18:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452338532522106902

@Alien_Gray That really does not seem to be in focus. What does Venus look like when you make a video of that?

2021-10-24T18:10:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452336785695154178

@Alien_Gray This shot is in focus (possibly because of the clouds in the scene), the "jellyfish" shot is not.

2021-10-24T17:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452322273470390280

@Alien_Gray If you had another light in the scene in focus. But you don't. you have THREE OOF lights that show IDENTICAL bokeh with artifacts. There's the slowly moving light (maybe a planet) then what looks like a plane with two flashing nav light.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCevXxyWYBAU50V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCevXxyWYBAU50V.jpg

2021-10-24T15:12:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452292032639258630

@Alien_Gray Yes yours is fixed. You see that from the two identically shaped flashes (probably from a passing plane).

The point of the test is to see the possible variations in bokeh artifacts.

2021-10-24T15:04:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452289870978748433

@Alien_Gray Being able to see through does not mean thereâ□□s no bokeh aberration. Try getting an OOF light like you had before and then video it while you loosen the connection and move the phone slightly.

2021-10-24T13:44:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452269839796641807

@vitorfidm @SenBillNelson Nothing significant. Huge overreaction from UFOlogy.

2021-10-24T13:43:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452269523952930821

@Alien_Gray And yet it seems pretty clear it's an optical effect. Maybe there was something up with the connection?

2021-10-24T10:37:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452222755257176070

@K1975Daniel It does not seem to do anything special. I'd suspect a plane. Hard to tell with the video quality.

2021-10-24T10:23:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452219066429628419

@Official_EIS @DavidFo73204388 @DeepSpace41 @Avirup1981
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCdRiXpWQAM3gtK.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCdRiXpWQAM3gtK.jpg
2021-10-24T10:19:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452218255431634958
@Official_EIS @DavidFo73204388 @DeepSpace41 @Avirup1981 Of what he's seen.

2021-10-24T05:25:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452144090271813636
@spiny_lobe_fish @UAP1949 He's a lawyer, not a scientist. Essentially he's expressing his opinion as a layman. Have him bring some science to the table.

2021-10-24T05:03:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452138717276037127
@ImCosmic_ @Kaliman88991021 @aka__V Do you think any such evidence has been presented?

2021-10-24T04:37:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452132178305830914
@GeorgeIoannide9
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/st-ives-bay-ufo-on-hayle-beach-probably-a-drone.11362/

2021-10-24T04:30:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452130287027048450
@GeorgeIoannide9 Well, they said they did.

2021-10-24T04:16:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452126814340485123
@spiny_lobe_fish @UAP1949 He blocked me.

2021-10-23T23:41:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452057639240691712
@GeorgeIoannide9 @YouTube It's a drone.

2021-10-23T23:39:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1452057133067816962
@DavidFo73204388 @DeepSpace41 @Avirup1981 Mellon said that Gimbal was the most

compelling video.

2021-10-23T21:07:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452018922505453569

@Ps5andxbox1 @doctorambient @JasonColavito Not directly. But I think heâ□□s entertaining the possibility that some UAP are are ET. Iâ□□m not sure why, or how much weight he gives that possibility.

2021-10-23T21:05:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452018402537586690

@CryptoSnJ Does he give interviews?

2021-10-23T20:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1452014578154369025

@Ps5andxbox1 @doctorambient @JasonColavito Mostly airborne clutter, as per the UAP report. Training range incursions.

2021-10-23T18:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451978391758925826

@Robin081564 Regarding image stabilization, check out the effect it has here (a plane appearing to move around when the camera stabilizes the trees. Somewhat related.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-10-23T15:12:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451929424362815493

@Avirup1981 @DavidFo73204388 Iâ□□d love to see that evidence. So far itâ□□s been rather disappointing.

2021-10-23T15:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451929174172602374

@edwardcurrent @MrAnalyzer37 I was too, millions were. Iâ□□m also very skeptical of the official government narrative regarding Havana syndrome.

2021-10-23T15:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451927876048068616

@ilikepatterns ADS is nothing like what was described.

2021-10-23T10:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451857174578094086

@assange_s @MJouffray The original story was in the Financial Times.

2021-10-23T05:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451778718351847429

@SicCoP1 @MJouffray Yeah, I think the likely answer is that the US military does not understand how the Chinese got their vehicle to maneuver so well. No laws of physics need to be changed, it's just built and programmed better.

2021-10-23T05:02:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451776128234840069

@Robin081564 Duplicate it tomorrow. Just take similar video with the sun at the top of the image, and you'll get an "orb" dancing at the bottom.

2021-10-23T05:01:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451775818196152329

@MJouffray Unnamed sources. But people are running with it.

https://www.newsweek.com/chinas-hypersonic-missile-tests-leave-us-officials-stunned-report-1641257 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCW-T0cVgAoXDqG.jpg

> The *FT*'s first report on Saturday said the HGV circled the globe before striking the ground, missing its target by a few dozen miles. Unnamed sources told the paper that it was China's first such test.
>
> The second trial two weeks later "stunned" the Defense Department and the U.S. intelligence officials, the *FT*'s Demetri Sevastopulo wrote on Wednesday, "because China managed to demonstrate a brand new weapons capability."
>
> "One person said government scientists were struggling to understand the capability, which the U.S. does not currently possess, adding that China's achievement appeared 'to defy the laws of physics,'" he added.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCW-T0cVgAoXDqG.jpg

2021-10-23T04:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451774327553019908

Which laws of physics is the Chinese hypersonic missile supposed to be defying? It goes into orbit. It deorbits when needed. It glides hypersonically to the target. Major engineering challenges, but just normal physics.

2021-10-23T04:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451767143096221696

@chrisotis78 No

2021-10-23T04:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451764749851521025

@Robin081564 Itâ□□s nothing to do with the drone.

2021-10-23T04:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451764636454322182

@Robin081564 Itâ□□s somewhat erratic because of the image stabilization.

2021-10-23T04:16:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451764413388627971

@Robin081564 Sensor reflections are just 180Â° rotations around the middle of the image. See where the sun is.

2021-10-23T03:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451760257479294981

@Robin081564 Itâ□□s a sensor reflection of the sun.

2021-10-22T23:49:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451697145828970501

@socalkoi1 @quistalium So what evidence is there of radio waves in each case?

2021-10-22T23:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451696334470144002

@socalkoi1 @quistalium 200+ people with increasingly divergent stories.

2021-10-22T23:36:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451694029129719814

@quistalium @socalkoi1 I think he is correct.

2021-10-22T22:49:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451682148893671426

@TjAllard @justmyvideos Focus would be a great start.

2021-10-22T22:48:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451682007721807875

@socalkoi1 He's betting his career.

2021-10-22T22:40:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451679762783490049

Dr Robert Bartholomew and his co-author Dr Robert Baloh want to testify before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and explain why they are utterly convinced that Havana Syndrome is a Mass Psychogenic Illness.

If he's wrong, he'll resign.

Full VIdeo: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xrQs-ZX-wv0

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451678552588308484/pu/img/QEb-EYYjov-6ckJR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451678552588308484/pu/img/QEb-EYYjov-6ckJR.jpg

2021-10-22T21:03:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451655486286151680

RT @USGSVolcanoes: The Canary Islands â□□mega-tsunamiâ□□ hypothesis doesnâ□□t carry water. It's not going to happen.

The physics of the proposeâ□¦

2021-10-22T19:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451634495510118400

@PearlSecurities The upper thing looks like a formation of jets leaving contrails. You see them when military squads move around. Sometimes it's something like the Blue Angels flying to their next gig.

2021-10-22T16:44:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451590305006772233

@justmyvideos That is 99.9999% for sure a human aircraft. Navigation lights. Out of focus.

2021-10-22T14:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451559687791382531

RT @ufoofinterest: As explained by @ChrisSPITZER7 in his detailed thread (https://twitter.com/ChrisSPITZER7/status/1451227116545118215) that's just the moon.

2021-10-22T08:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451465450764656644

@conspiracism Have you tried this? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCSkExDVIAEFG30.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCSkExDVIAEFG30.jpg

2021-10-22T02:45:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451379045791195136

@ThorntonVallery @SingletrackAdam People can create (or exacerbate) symptoms in themselves via mental states - i.e. stress and somatic symptoms. Others might contribute to their mental state (like asking them to report every time they think they might be being zapped) but they don't directly cause the symptoms.

2021-10-22T02:22:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451373321459671045

@unidentifiedorb @SabersKnight @paul59593748 @PostDisclosure No, but it would have been a fairly generic CRT like a Sony Trinitron, and some even more generic monitors for the computers.

2021-10-21T22:54:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451321022087454723

@unidentifiedorb @SabersKnight @paul59593748 @PostDisclosure Lying and true are not the only options.

2021-10-21T22:53:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451320772375441413

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 Thanks. I still feel like they are related. There's some similar small streaks on both, and the longer tentacles look very much like an optical effect, perhaps caused by obstructing with a different material, like cloth, paper, or something slightly transparent.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCQgijnVUAMjZlu.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCQgijnVUAMjZlu.jpg

2021-10-21T21:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451303464034713613

@flyDutchman2000 There's posts about elections and QAnon - we just have fairly strict posting guidelines.

2021-10-21T17:46:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451243597676773378

@Joseph_1989_ @BostAngeles @MiddleOfMayhem Not that there's anything wrong with that.

2021-10-21T17:13:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451235172523802625

@paul59593748 @PostDisclosure The holes were found a decade ago.

2021-10-21T16:31:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451224652051714052

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 And here's the same thing, but with the addition of a hair to get a line across it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451224478038384646/pu/img/fb3RCBHFeE9J0goV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451224478038384646/pu/img/fb3RCBHFeE9J0goV.jpg

2021-10-21T16:29:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451224134097072128

@JunkScience @WSJ Well, the climate is right there. We have millions of sensors recording it. We've been studying it for centuries. It's not a secret weapon.

2021-10-21T16:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451222845682380810

@bogsnorkeler I have no specific plan. Just had some trouble looking stuff up, so I thought I'd try to organize it a bit.

2021-10-21T16:23:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451222586566664196

@UAPRAEFOS That's just crop. The bokeh remains the same, just different sizes.

2021-10-21T16:15:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451220508041572357

@UAPRAEFOS Bokeh is a function of the lens, aperture, and focus. Not the sensor.

2021-10-21T16:14:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451220358271356930

@UAPRAEFOS This has nothing to do with the Navy videos.

2021-10-21T15:52:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451214925645238272

Bokeh around a point light shows the shape of the aperture. But it can get complicated. If part of the aperture is formed by an organic 3D object a bit further away, they you can get weird streaks and tentacles. This can be demonstrated with a phone flashlight OOF and a finger.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1451213244186591237

2021-10-21T15:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451213244186591237

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 Yeah a phone flashlight works. Here's how I did it. Used the side of the door to focus, then used my finger to partially obscure the lens. Digital zoom crops out the finger, but you still get the streaky bokeh effect.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451212923620057088/pu/img/C2GJ2TMHLgHrxIpV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451212923620057088/pu/img/C2GJ2TMHLgHrxIpV.jpg

2021-10-21T15:36:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451210673938071555

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 A phone flashlight might also work, as it's pretty small.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCO8ahOVEAAEkTs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCO8ahOVEAAEkTs.jpg

2021-10-21T15:33:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451210084890021889

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 You could try that, but the hallway light is likely too big. A very small flashlight might work. I use a flashlight with the reflector remove, and with electrical tape around the LED. That's what I used for the previous video.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCO70tmVcAYSmm5.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCO70tmVcAYSmm5.jpg

2021-10-21T15:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451209246712872966

@soldisnew Look and you will find - especially if you are just looking to tick boxes on a list of generic symptoms consistent with aging, stress, and variety of normal medical conditions.
The ARB found a lot of failings in handling the case. JASON said it's probably crickets.

2021-10-21T15:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451207902568484868

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 Again, this looks like a out-of-focus light.

Perhaps you could do an experiment to see what an out-of-focus point light looks like on your camera.

You'll need a point light of some sort. Put it far away, and focus on something nearby, like your hand.

2021-10-21T14:47:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451198553355735048

@STSMajesty The evidence there is inconclusive. The study had many limitations.

2021-10-21T14:46:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451198091441311761

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 I donâ□□t think itâ□□s photoshop, I think itâ□□s a camera artifact.

2021-10-21T14:41:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451197043469291532

@ThorntonVallery @SingletrackAdam Magic? No magic required. In fact the fancy tech

explanation requires far more leaps of science.

2021-10-21T14:40:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451196738845298700

@MusicAndTruth @MindfulOfLight @TheUfoJoe I think he hoaxed his photos in a wide variety of ways. The biggest clue is the number of photos from a single source. Indicates either fake or fooled.

2021-10-21T14:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451193364938850314

@Alien_Gray @Skinnybob20 I donâ□□t think you faked it. I think itâ□□s an accident. Distant light out of focus and partially obscured lens. New to me though, so interesting.

2021-10-21T08:37:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451105328532054024

@MarmiteJunction Nope, it's light fixture in my kitchen.

2021-10-21T07:49:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451093340225556481

@Skinnybob20 @Alien_Gray Similar to this?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451093244280852482/pu/img/gLbEjKIRM3zmSSjN.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1451093244280852482/pu/img/gLbEjKIRM3zmSSjN.jpg

2021-10-21T05:45:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1451062023505125379

@Aceofspades256 @edwardcurrent I always wondered why, in that study, they used healthy controls. Surely they should have used people who were sick, but not suspected of being exposed to strange sounds/beams.

2021-10-20T22:54:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450958666660597761

@MarkMcElhaney6 Well, I DID capture it with a photo.

2021-10-20T22:17:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450949369650290691

Tomorrow I'll be interviewing Robert Bartholomew, a sociologist who specializes in Mass Hysteria and Social Panics, about "Havana Syndrome", and why he thinks it's an example of mass psychogenic illness.

What should I ask him?

https://rebartholomew.com/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCLOqJrUYAM9lKA.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCLOqJrUYAM9lKA.jpg

2021-10-20T19:26:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450906383918907398

@Funkadelick2 @KikPlenty @AnjaliOnGaia I'll have to find a new hobby?

2021-10-20T19:25:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450905979982266374

@studyofUAPs Reminds me of a pitch drop, but that's probably just because of the black photocopy.

Still, the physics of the shape formation might be related.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCKnCkDUcAEGkrQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCKnCkDUcAEGkrQ.jpg

2021-10-20T18:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450891378125197313

@drzeworog @RobertAdner1 I'd be happy to. Tell them to give me a call.

2021-10-20T18:26:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450891229982461953

@JasonColavito Yeah, the media has largely forgotten it, and now it's all "fresh" again.

I did a bit of refreshing of the Metabunk thread, which was from 2014

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-at-nuclear-weapons-sites-salas-malmstrom-eagle-flight-skeptical-resources.3284/

2021-10-20T18:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450890564749053952

@Funkadelick2 @KikPlenty @AnjaliOnGaia Our inevitable irrelevance is the sad reality of the heat death of the universe.

2021-10-20T18:22:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450890234535690240

@realufobros How else does one write?

2021-10-20T17:43:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450880316483125254

@Sumwan62176787 Thanks, fixed

2021-10-20T16:43:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450865253839826951

@FFartlick

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-at-nuclear-weapons-sites-salas-malmstrom-eagle-flight-

skeptical-resources.3284/

2021-10-20T16:42:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450865089611919365

I'm making a collection of resources regarding the Salas UFO claims. Mostly it's older stuff. Have there been significantly changes since 2014?

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/ufos-at-nuclear-weapons-sites-salas-malmstrom-eagle-flight-skeptical-resources.3284/

2021-10-20T15:09:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450841568181583874

@DeepSpace41 I think it's nonsense.

2021-10-20T15:08:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450841384982757388

@DeepSpace41 Maybe you've been posting it so much, people think it's spam?

2021-10-20T14:24:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450830374699868164

@FFartlick I think so.

2021-10-20T14:24:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450830196362256387

@oldseaminer I've no idea why that 22 is there. Gremlins!

2021-10-20T14:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450829463286026241

@FFartlick No, but it's old stuff.

2021-10-20T12:50:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450806584586633220

@DTruvet No, they don't show up on FR24

2021-10-20T12:40:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450804207238336517

@aka__V Correct! https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1450803240899989505

2021-10-20T12:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450804058306985984

Inside out UFO illusion. Requires very dim light inside.

(The outside "UFO" does not show well in the video, see previous Tweet for pics)

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450803644253696000/pu/img/EessD95-4_5oA2uR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450803644253696000/pu/img/EessD95-4_5oA2uR.jpg
2021-10-20T12:37:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450803240899989505
Reflections have long been a source of UFOs, but generally with recessed lights switched on. More phones do long exposures now, meaning we'll see more UFOs that are light fixtures switched off. Takes some fairly specific lighting though. Invisible to the naked eye.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCJJy0tVIAUn_1t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCJJOs_VQA43wSn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCJJy0tVIAUn_1t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCJJsFAaAAAGJxs.jpg
2021-10-20T08:31:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450741439814459398
@ResearchFQX Olive tree.

2021-10-20T08:30:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450741225741455363
@pteheard @KJ46838999 It's fun for everyone!

2021-10-20T05:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450695304005574656
@KiefoNilsson Close, but no.

2021-10-20T05:16:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450692429871419393
@RadGfx The heater? No, that's not banned. Nor is it casting a shadow on the sky.

2021-10-20T05:15:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450692108042473475
@monterolaw Yeah, it was more dramatic in person, like some giant ship's headlights.

2021-10-20T05:05:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450689711115173889
@oldseaminer Nope.
22

2021-10-20T05:05:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450689676449177604
@AtticusFinch_JD Nope.

2021-10-20T05:04:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450689439374610435
Here's a better photo. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHiJlYVgAQFZEQ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHiJlYVgAQFZEQ.jpg

2021-10-20T05:01:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450688715827810311

@KJ46838999 I know what it is, it's just a bit of fun.

2021-10-20T04:57:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450687552428535809

Puzzle: After taking a photo of that weird portal thing in the sky, I noticed that strange darker saucer shape with line of dots beneath it. I could not see it when I took the photo. What is it? (The dark thing, the portal is just the moon behind some clouds)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHf73eVUAICc9C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHf73eVUAICc9C.jpg

2021-10-20T04:19:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450678012744126466

The moon looked like a spotlight tonight. The effect only lasted a few minutes, then faded.
I can see how an occasionally UFO sighting might result from an odd configuration of clouds and moon. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHXiIzVcAApQpg.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCHXiIzVcAApQpg.jpg

2021-10-20T01:22:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450633379045511175

@photonspectra1 @UFOBreddit Why would they find me curious, out of the billions of people on

Earth?

1

2021-10-20T01:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450633219766775812

@Openmindedskep8 @RyanDBledsoe Not personally, but I've seen them. They don't last long.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-XE3LuD5htM

2021-10-19T23:07:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450599473411866625

@GamingHabit101 @CCROBERTS1807 @RyanDBledsoe Actually, yes, the three lights are moving. So not stars. Hard to say what it is without more information. There's a variety of possibilities.

2021-10-19T20:47:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450564159360225281

@CCROBERTS1807 @RyanDBledsoe It looks like a star or planet that is being obscured by clouds, like the star that's below it and to the left.

2021-10-19T17:48:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450519272426332161

@UAPRAEFOS @UAP_daily It's just an instant change in zoom level that puts the object off screen. Like in at 1:12 to 1:13 (except there it stays on screen)

At no time is there an indication of unusual movement.

2021-10-19T15:30:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450484496537509896

@UAPRAEFOS @UAP_daily The 1:05 "jump" looks like a change in zoom level.

2021-10-19T15:28:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450483983548960771

@UAPRAEFOS @UAP_daily It does not look exactly the same. Gimbal has spikes top and bottom. This just has a blob on top. It's also less symmetrical in the main blob, having a curved bottom and flat top. And it's less spikey in general.

2021-10-19T15:06:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450478391493214209

@UAPRAEFOS @UAP_daily No, you canâ□□t.

2021-10-19T15:04:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450478012244234241

@Frequen15309040 Can you show the math?

2021-10-19T14:39:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450471732205297672

@DaveVidalnyc @OwatcherUf @quantum_prophet So the absurdity might be accidental?

2021-10-19T14:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450471133690667021
@UAP_daily @UAPRAEFOS It does not really seem to be doing anything. Slow movement, lots of camera movement. Why is it interesting?

2021-10-19T04:51:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450323751371821056
The UFO Camera app makes a reasonable job of faking a variety of things, including solar eclipses. It even fools a few people.
Here I demonstrate the solar eclipse hovering over my monitor while looking at a tweet people are sharing as real.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450323171945566210/pu/img/a3v7H52e0uAI0z0T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450323171945566210/pu/img/a3v7H52e0uAI0z0T.jpg
2021-10-19T04:48:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450322829283450881
@benjimaan22 @92michael @ufoofinterest
https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1450322601071439872

2021-10-19T04:47:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450322601071439872
@92michael Funny, I had the exact same eclipse hovering over my monitor when I used the UFO Camera app.
It's (obviously) fake.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450322269754986505/pu/img/lL4cQXjCDV1FSAK8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1450322269754986505/pu/img/lL4cQXjCDV1FSAK8.jpg
2021-10-19T00:33:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450258834073612288
@RealArea503 @section_51 @ALIEN_I_ADDICT But if I knew the origin of something, I'd certainly share it with them.

2021-10-19T00:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450258372511469570
@RealArea503 @section_51 @ALIEN_I_ADDICT That's not really my department.

2021-10-19T00:24:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450256563260702720
@zcichy @CampanellaFoto The only thing I'd like is more memory for After Effects. But I can live with it. I'll be interested to see what a Mac Pro would look like.

2021-10-19T00:21:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450255708599975936
@zcichy @CampanellaFoto I think I'll stick with my M1 Mini for a few years.

2021-10-19T00:20:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450255607349477383
@RealArea503 @section_51 I was just focusing on explaining how you could tell it was fake. I don't remember discussing the source. They get permission for everything they show, AFAIK.

2021-10-19T00:18:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1450255070776283136
@justmyvideos @Skinnybob20 @TIC36633888 Based on the moon and Jupiter in your video, and the time 11:01, it looks like you are facing South.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBXTBVVgAw6_8w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBXTBVVgAw6_8w.jpg

2021-10-19T00:06:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450251982988476422

@justmyvideos @Skinnybob20 @TIC36633888 Seems to match to me. What about it specifically do you think is incorrect?

Can you give your location more precisely?

2021-10-18T23:59:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450250175109210112

@a01744 @LueElizondo Well, I think he was talking about people who were both anonymous and bullys. Not just anonymous.

2021-10-18T23:58:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450249959979114500

@justmyvideos @Skinnybob20 @TIC36633888 At that time this plane was flying over Charlestown at 4,300 feet, descending. Singe it was previously flying straight towards you, it would look like it was hovering. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBSeseVEAEkOwt.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBSeseVEAEkOwt.jpg

2021-10-18T23:51:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450248138170593281

@a01744 I don't think @LueElizondo was referring to me in his analogies there. He was talking about people posting anonymously.

2021-10-18T23:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450241282236846081

@ddeanjohnson @MiddleOfMayhem https://www.ufojoe.net/c2c-transcript-lacatski/ Search that for "game" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBKn0EUcAIbekW.jpg

---

JL: Not at all. I mean, I heard two military officers talking about, for whatever reason, they were talking about Skinwalker Ranch. This is about midway through the program. They had no idea that 25 feet away, I was running Skinwalker Ranch, you know, interactions at that time. So that's how tightly controlled it was. So I can't say it was easy, but we got through it completely successful.

GN: And how many different programs were going on at the time? I mean, we had yours, the Advanced Aerospace Weapon Systems Application, and then the AATIP was going on with Luis Elizondo.

JL: Well, that's not…AATIP followed…the AATIP name was created for a letter that Senator Reid sent in, trying to establish a DoD SAP for our program, for various reasons. And Lue used that name when he… and I guess it had to be in the timeframe of 2012, perhaps, that he started looking exclusively at military investigations and he used the name AATIP. Nothing wrong with that. I mean, that's fine. But there was a difference between the two programs. Ours had $22 million dollars in funding, his had zero. Ours looked at military *and* civilian investigations, his looked at military exclusively. And we had, of course, contractor and subcontractor support. He had no contractor support. But he did his thing, we did ours. But I can say that in direct answer to your question, we were the only game in town, I would say from 2008 through 2012.

GN: What would you say, James, in your moments there, was the most dramatic thing that you came across?

JL: That I personally came across? That had to be what I saw at Skinwalker Ranch, when I visited with Bob Bigelow.

GN: Tell us what that was.

JL: Well, as with everything with regard (laughs) to AAWSAP, it was quite distorted through the news. And this is exactly what happened, and it's not that complex. We went into the ranch manager's homes and sat down. Now, we were having a casual conversation. You know, I'm certainly noticing that there are twelve or more crosses and crucifixes on the wall, and I was thinking, "Hmm, Jim, I wonder if I really

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FCBKn0EUcAIbekW.jpg

2021-10-18T23:19:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450240022519566338

@CampanellaFoto @zcichy It's just something else in the menu bar.

2021-10-18T23:16:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450239312432304129

@L00Corez @LueElizondo And the "bubble" around Gimbal is just a common IR sharpening artifact. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r119JWI04Ls

---

2021-10-18T23:15:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450239109797007366

@L00Corez @LueElizondo This is the video he was referring to. You see the incremental rotations a bit better if you scrub through it, as they are actually quite slow, unlike the Gimbal. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E2InsDwgcXk

---

2021-10-18T23:14:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450238783836680193

@L00Corez The comparison to the Apollo 11 docking makes no sense. The LM performed incremental rotations because it needed to be in a specific orientation to dock. Nothing to do with "no atmospheric friction". Maybe @LueElizondo possibly meant "no gravity," as that makes a bit more sense.

2021-10-18T20:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450196454551670787

@browardarnez @rothschildmd I don't think Mike was saying that either. He's familiar with how the vaccine works, and that it does not offer 100% protection. So some people, especially the immunocompromised like Powell, are going die of Covid even with the vaccine.

2021-10-18T20:23:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450195869123289090

@callmebrent93 Maybe a single byte data error.

2021-10-18T16:25:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450135846271655938

@Robin081564 @likeitmatters3 @AlienStonerGang I think MBTI is BS. If you want to know who I am then there's an overview here.

https://www.usgamer.net/articles/mick-west-from-tony-hawks-pro-skater-to-debunking-conspiracies

---

2021-10-18T16:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450135088604217347

@browardarnez @rothschildmd That's not what anyone is saying. Powell died of complications from Covid.

2021-10-18T16:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450130099949346820

@OwatcherUf @quantum_prophet Vallee also suggests the absurdity of the encounters is specifically designed to make mainstream scientists ignore them.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB_lVJBUUAA6Tul.jpg

---

4. The key to an understanding of the phenomenon lies in the psychic effects it produces (or the psychic awareness it makes possible) in its observers. Their lives are often deeply changed, and they develop unusual talents with which they may find it difficult to cope. The proportion of witnesses who do come forward and publish accounts of these experiences seems to be quite low; most of them choose to remain silent.

5. Contact between human percipients and the UFO phenomenon occurs under conditions controlled by the latter. Its characteristic feature is a constant factor of absurdity that leads to a rejection of the story by the upper layers of the target society and an absorption at a deep unconscious level of the symbols conveyed by the encounter. The mechanism of this "resonance" between the UFO symbol and the archetypes of the human unconscious has been abundantly demonstrated by Carl Jung, whose book, *Flying Saucers,* makes many references to the age-old significance of the "signs in the sky."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB_lVJBUUAA6Tul.jpg

2021-10-18T15:16:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1450118607719960590

RT @rothschildmd: Colin Powell was 84 and severely immunocompromised. There is absolutely no conspiracy or medical chicanery needed to explâ¦

2021-10-18T05:26:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449970097292939264

@likeitmatters3 @Robin081564 @AlienStonerGang The hobbies of retirees generally don't make money.

I would not rule out making more of a living from debunking in the future. But I'll always do it with honest. Just need another 80,000 subs :)

2021-10-18T05:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449969694090297351

@Robin081564 @likeitmatters3 @AlienStonerGang I've only flown once in the last two years, and that was a brief trip to LA to talk about UFOs for a show. They paid for my ticket and a per diem. Such things are rare. I'm certainly not being paid to be on Twitter, or run Metabunk. My videos are not monetized.

2021-10-18T05:14:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449967121719382018

@likeitmatters3 @Robin081564 @AlienStonerGang I make very little money currently. I do get paid an occasional per-diem to speak, or be on TV. I might make more money in the future, but only from talking, wiring, and making videos about things that I genuinely believe. Nobody makes me present an argument I think is wrong.

2021-10-17T21:13:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449846139868438528

@ThomasGuntert @thieme You guys should add profile photos. It's hard to read thread with the same avatars. https://twitter.com/settings/profile

---

2021-10-17T21:09:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449844991497420800

@quantum_prophet Sure, whacky UFOs are cover for trans-dimensional pranksters, low observability is an observable, bigfoot is naturally out of focus, and beaver-tailed dinosaurs are beamed mental projections.

These are not hypotheses, these are excuses.

2021-10-17T15:09:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449754378236149761

Parallax! https://twitter.com/Rainmaker1973/status/1449734441421598725

2021-10-17T15:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449753819366113285

@markshiffer00 What of it?

2021-10-17T02:03:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449556562255618048

@TIC36633888 @justmyvideos Looks exactly like a plane in the distance, flying towards the camera, with landing lights on.

2021-10-17T02:00:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449555856496873472

@LaserStronghold The UFO Handbook.Allan Hendry.

2021-10-16T23:30:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449518044355596288

Here's a page of somewhat similar (yet highly varied) eyewitness drawing of UFOs. The were all actually confirmed IFOs, that looked nothing like the drawings. But recollections converged on a individual interpretations of a cultural archetype.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB24iLUUUAMWnC8.jpg



A selection of typical sketches of ad planes with flashing night signs. Any structures seen above and beyond the banks of white lights (and upper red fuselage and wing lights) have been "read in" by the witnesses. The rotor on the sketch in the upper right-hand corner was justified as the reason why the black moved across the white lights. There were more claims of "domed discs" in the IFOs than in the worthy UFO reports. What does that say about the total phenomenon?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB24iLUUUAMWnC8.jpg

2021-10-16T23:24:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449516755437588482

Jokes aside, does the wide variety of UFOs over the years support the ET (or NHI) hypothesis? Of does it more likely indicate a wide variety of misinterpretations of more earthly phenomena?

Would ET have seemed more plausible if they were all the same?
https://twitter.com/fylth1/status/1449399937867792387

2021-10-16T23:16:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449514616220905476
@Burneraccontt Not yet.

2021-10-16T22:41:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449505899471536128
@saucerlit Here's the previous page. They where there specifically to record anything strange.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB2t2OKUUAEgp7e.jpg

Outwardly unperturbed, Juliett Witt continued taking several high-definition photos of the scene, after which they headed back towards the east end of the property. The sun was still high in the sky as they walked again through the 100-year-old, broken-down buildings that comprised the second homestead. The two dogs that accompanied the pair chased good naturedly after any hint of small wildlife in the area. Other than turkey buzzards wheeling high overhead, there was nothing sinister in the atmosphere that gave any indication of the dozens of cattle that had been savagely mutilated on the property, the bizarre silent flying blue orbs, or the metallic UFOs that had stalked the landscape in the past few years.

Several hours later, between 10:00 and 11:00 p.m., Bigelow, Kelleher, and Witt began their walk towards Homestead 2 accompanied again by the two dogs. The moon was high in the sky and cast a lot of light over the landscape so the trio could walk easily without flashlights or night vision technology. The purpose of the foray was to conduct a night watch on the property to observe and, if possible, record any unusual phenomena. Kelleher had spent hundreds of days and nights on Skinwalker ranch and knew that more than 98 percent of the time these night watches were uneventful.

The trio reached the small pasture that lay south of Homestead 2 and then walked about 50 yards to the location where multiple individuals had seen

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB2t2OKUUAEgp7e.jpg
2021-10-16T21:49:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449492716702748676
@mapper11111111 Yeah, I'm reading it. It's interesting, but essentially a litany of dubious anecdotes.

2021-10-16T21:48:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449492435067805696
How can a dinosaur-beaver hybrid both be ambling towards you, and also there's no time to take photographs?

This Skinwalker Pentagon book is ridiculous. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB2gljCVkAA8p-B.jpg

---

ceased. Turning around, they saw a creature ambling towards them from the south. It was the size of a 150-pound pig and should have been making considerable noise as it walked. Yet, the cone of silence dominated the moonlit scene as the creature glided past within 30 feet of Kelleher and about 50 feet from Witt. To Kelleher, it appeared the animal had a series of dinosaur-like spines on its back and also sported a very large, flattened beaver-like tail. It noiselessly coasted past the pair and disappeared around the southwestern corner of the building, still not making a sound. Even Kelleher, who had spent hundreds of days and nights on Skinwalker Ranch and had already been exposed to his fair share of bizarre anomalies on the property, felt a distinct chill as he watched the surreal animal shuffle silently away.

The freakish hybrid of small dinosaur and large beaver had silently and suddenly appeared out of the night in the pasture and had just as silently disappeared without leaving any trace. There had been no time to take photographs, and once again Kelleher was left feeling baffled and frustrated. It was after 2:00 a.m. and Witt appeared subdued as they made their way back to the trailer to turn in for the night.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB2gljCVkAA8p-B.jpg

2021-10-16T21:22:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449485935016648708

@mapper11111111 My point is that if something is vetted then they are taking things out, not adding things. So if we are measuring the interesting facts in the book, it's going to be less than before it was vetted. Hence negative. Simply in a quantitative way.

2021-10-16T21:19:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449485218197344256

@TheChilterns @PostDisclosure That's actually the exact opposite of the reason I'm reluctant to go. The most likely outcome is that nothing happens.

2021-10-16T17:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449420045713494019

@DisclosureWith http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB1f1r3VkAAdMZf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FB1f1r3VkAAdMZf.jpg

2021-10-16T15:06:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449391288596369408

@amarlevine And a light in the tree.

2021-10-16T10:34:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449322830714130439

@danielb00296613 Correct!

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1449205185004195843

2021-10-16T10:33:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449322511020146699

@VirtuaMcPolygon https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1449205185004195843

2021-10-16T04:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449236375383937025

@steven_dor Sunny, not cold.

2021-10-16T03:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449216444252573696

@GavPat89 @L00Corez Bingo!

2021-10-16T03:31:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449216276778270722

@BlueGlassTV Itâ□□s acting like a gap. :)

2021-10-16T03:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449215955846905859

@NIH_LLAMAS Nope, just a tree.

2021-10-16T03:29:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449215808144429063

@BlueGlassTV Nope.

2021-10-16T02:46:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449205185004195843

A variety of lights. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBycbujUYAMfq99.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBycbujUYAMfq99.jpg
2021-10-16T02:03:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449194187937619975
@theferrit32 Or maybe a rubber duck

2021-10-16T01:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449192782413762560
@GavPat89 Not sunlight.

2021-10-16T01:56:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1449192593389064198
@JeremyUnidenti1 Close!

2021-10-16T01:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449192040655327240

Whatâ□□s going on here? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FByQesqUYAUDXJH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FByQesqUYAUDXJH.jpg

2021-10-16T01:32:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449186422888361985

@TCskywatch @StillNotPrez Women.

2021-10-16T00:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449163919327592450

@arbon_tokay Almost certainly won't. Initial dramatic simulations seem to have used unrealistically low levels of friction, and more complex simulation show a more incremental and gradual collapse, and only localized regular tsunamis.

2021-10-15T23:57:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449162650286391298

It's hard to get out of QAnon, and can seem impossible even if you want to get out. But it can be done. People can get their lives back.

https://www.cosmopolitan.com/lifestyle/a37696261/leaving-recovering-from-q-anon/

2021-10-15T22:58:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449147756950351873

Some people think La Palma will collapse creating a mega-tsunami. It probably won't, but here's what the Volcanological Institute of the Canary Islands describes as a "tsunami of lava." Scarily fast. https://twitter.com/Fernando___RA/status/1449140799900315648

2021-10-15T22:08:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449135228753104898

@Asgeir16813191 @drumshticknow @Akam1129 @neiltyson Yes, I want you to explain that. Specifically about the UAP cases you referenced earlier.

2021-10-15T19:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449096552958423042

@Asgeir16813191 @drumshticknow @Akam1129 @neiltyson You used the word.

2021-10-15T19:34:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449096330878459908

@jesseelgreco Millions of phone nowadays are either better, or not significant worse, than your eyes.

Millions of them.

2021-10-15T19:19:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449092648149536768

@jesseelgreco Do all UFOs move at 300 mph, thousands of feet above sea level?

Why don't we have good pics of UFOs that people report seeing with their eyes?

2021-10-15T17:34:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449066174180855808

@Asgeir16813191 @drumshticknow @Akam1129 @neiltyson The misinformation

---

2021-10-15T17:16:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449061592348250119

@Asgeir16813191 @drumshticknow @Akam1129 @neiltyson How do you know this?

2021-10-15T17:15:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449061389557927941

@odd_dimensions @Oswald1160 @marcbel19406167 How many account of sudden loud sounds are there?

The sound doesn't cause physical symptoms, but people might make the connection.

2021-10-15T17:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449059978992447490

@TChatary @LueElizondo Obviously not. That's why they are UFOs.

2021-10-15T15:32:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449035378166403075

@DavidJayB @valliant @DallasAnalytics It could be backlit. I think a bigger issue is why it's not Tic-Tac shaped.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1449035142517833730/pu/img/aHrz13wX4jmonnBH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1449035142517833730/pu/img/aHrz13wX4jmonnBH.jpg

2021-10-15T15:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449033464024420359

@Asgeir16813191 @drumshticknow @Akam1129 @neiltyson Well, why are people seeing them all the time then?

2021-10-15T15:06:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449029037733466125

@KAbductions @vitorfidm Of course, my own book uses a stock image of a spiral. :)

2021-10-15T14:46:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449023829695614976

@KAbductions @vitorfidm Or course, I was just pointing out a potential pitfall with the approach.

You could just go to the zoo though.

It's not really an issue, maybe a bit unprofessional, but it's mostly just funny.

2021-10-15T14:41:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1449022558410448898

@aka__V @TheProjectUnity Only the since the book came out - although I think he's talked vaguely about eh subject in general. I'd like to hear what he has to say directly about it.

2021-10-15T07:45:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448918029279002624

The perils of using stock photos... https://twitter.com/bpleasies/status/1448859973467975726

2021-10-15T07:00:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448906510621310985

@Oswald1160 @valentin0rossi @StrangeTIndeed Cool, Maybe I read old info. So even more quick UFO photos possible!

2021-10-15T04:21:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448866593602084865

@Ps5andxbox1 @doctorambient So has it been replicated?

2021-10-15T01:21:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448821355470753815

@valentin0rossi @StrangeTIndeed I thought the double press power button was off by default?

2021-10-14T23:42:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448796388880314392

@sewalkerdesign Sure, I'm mostly talking about daytime here.

2021-10-14T23:32:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448793913007829000

@kathyow6 @Wardor82 @StrangeTIndeed 41% of Americans think UFOs are NHIs. And I think about 10% of people say they have seen a UFO. So that would be about 4% of people (probably more) who think they saw NHIs. Over a million.

2021-10-14T23:07:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448787700832563201

@always_usy That clip looks like a plane.

2021-10-14T22:40:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448780899131088896

@BustedWindy What I wrote.

2021-10-14T22:40:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448780693077516289

@kathyow6 @Wardor82 @StrangeTIndeed What terms? A percentage of the US population has reported seeing UFOs.

2021-10-14T20:56:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448754545945833495

@kathyow6 @Wardor82 @StrangeTIndeed The percentage of the US population that has seen a UFO.

2021-10-14T18:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448721095721308195

@Altouiz I agree, but thatâ□□s not what Iâ□□m suggesting.

2021-10-14T18:40:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448720372640075785

@chrisotis78 @ufo_worldwide Do you still need this? Itâ□□s in the Metabunk thread.

2021-10-14T18:24:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448716333403623424

@kathyow6 @L00Corez I do, but I also have an iPhone 6 that takes quite reasonable video in the daytime.

2021-10-14T18:23:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448716047779958785

@Wardor82 @StrangeTIndeed Luckily avoiding good photos while simultaneously being spotted by millions of people.

2021-10-14T18:09:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448712690864517121

@Wardor82 @StrangeTIndeed What do you mean by â□□realâ□□? There are many photos and videos of real unidentified flying objects.

2021-10-14T17:13:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448698493422358528

@Altouiz It's not really a diagnosis for an individual's signs and symptoms.

2021-10-14T17:12:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448698328321970176

@thejoshbivens @StrangeTIndeed Yeah, I need to start using that more. Tap then swipe left, then tap VIDEO, done.

2021-10-14T16:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448689514797363204

@Altouiz Itâ□□s a problem, for sure. Doctors sometimes take the wrong approach. Like with Morgellons. Real symptoms, wrong attribution, but not ALL in their heads.

2021-10-14T16:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448688994728878086

@StrangeTIndeed Yet there are millions of photos of cats being cute. Eventually someone gets a photo. The UFOs luck canâ□□t last as long as it had.

2021-10-14T16:34:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448688597821890578

@Altouiz Iâ□□m not.

2021-10-14T16:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448688540900986881

@Altouiz Again, the â□□hysteriaâ□□ part affects the interpretation of what the patient feels, it does not cause it. Although there can be somatic complication.

2021-10-14T16:32:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448688059868848130

@Altouiz Zero, but nobody is saying mass hysteria is causing actual injuries. Just influencing what people attribute them to

---

2021-10-14T16:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448687682905804801

@StrangeTIndeed iPhones have a camera button on the Lock Screen. Millions of people have IPhones. Android adds a little time, but there are many reports of 30 second or more

encounters.

2021-10-14T16:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448686554403119112

@Altouiz Of course itâ□□s real, the issue is the causes.

2021-10-14T16:14:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448683735298174976

@Altouiz I mean the actual statistics, vs a control group.

2021-10-14T15:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448676660195184646

@uappublicpolicy Depends where it was. I snapped an unexpected low pass of a U2 in 2 seconds once.

2021-10-14T15:07:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448666896010678285

@DazProton Phone-slinging should be an olympic sport.

2021-10-14T14:31:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448657639861223429

@kathyow6 @L00Corez It means itâ□□s not a potato, and can give reasonable quality daytime video not vastly worse than human vision.

2021-10-14T05:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448522565937340417

@KetterStone @MiddleOfMayhem So where are they?

2021-10-14T05:34:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448522500107759617

@BarlowTerry @MiddleOfMayhem Eventually though....

Is every single UFO encounter doomed to panic, awastruckness, and fumbling fingers? Won't some eventually make it through that?

2021-10-14T05:06:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448515578616954887

@MiddleOfMayhem I took this with my iPhone. Admittedly it did take me 30 seconds to open the Pro Camera app and adjust the settings.

The point is, the odds of there NEVER being a good photo of a UFO are essentially zero, unless the % of duffs is actually more like 99.9999%

Or 100% http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBoogjuVIAAMkS7.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBoogjuVIAAMkS7.jpg

2021-10-14T05:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448514318689988608

@DakotahTUP @MiddleOfMayhem Why can people clearly see the UFO, but not take a photo?

2021-10-14T04:48:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448511043584479232

@Altouiz You tell me. What are the numbers?

2021-10-14T04:47:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448510669632913410

@MiddleOfMayhem As much as anywhere else, nerdily. But thatâ□□s not the point. Half the time everywhere is daylight.

2021-10-14T04:42:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448509564681216000

@MiddleOfMayhem Sure, but a lot of UFOs are daylight.

So, why no (good) photos?

2021-10-14T04:37:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448508295765839877

@MiddleOfMayhem Actuallyâ□¦

2021-10-14T04:35:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448507802419298311

@kathyow6 @MiddleOfMayhem Well, how long?

2021-10-14T04:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448507534445187079

@kathyow6 @L00Corez It actually generally does, if the phone was made in the last 5 years.

2021-10-14T04:27:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448505725878095875

@MiddleOfMayhem Half of the time is daylight.

2021-10-14T04:26:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448505368540168196

@TheUndeadGaucho @MiddleOfMayhem iPhones donâ□□t need a passcode, or any form of unlocking, to take photos or video.

2021-10-14T02:09:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448470888152657923

@nsolddomains @MiddleOfMayhem Birds? Looks likes thereâ□□s some lights shining upwards.

2021-10-14T01:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448468372513968132

@MiddleOfMayhem If it was happening a lot, there would be a lot of good photos and video. But mysteriously EVERY case has no or poor footage. This is statistically significant.

2021-10-14T01:43:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448464480933847047

@L00Corez 4K30, not uncommon now. Iâ□□d think most US phones are 1080p or better.

2021-10-14T01:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448459636495949827

How quickly can you whip out your phone and start filming if a UFO flies into view? I get about eight seconds from my pocket, five if Iâ□□m already using it. Two more for gawking.

How long is the average UFO encounter?

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1448459589557493763/pu/img/fCzjtI6VvE4k05-c.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1448459589557493763/pu/img/fCzjtI6VvE4k05-c.jpg
2021-10-14T01:12:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448456694724321282
@theferrit32 @rdisipio @LueElizondo Yes, so a â□□realâ□□ UAP should pop out if the noise.

2021-10-14T00:34:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448447146894643202
@BrandonFugal @retromastertoys @kmbelliott Maybe I can join you as a Scully for Season 3.

2021-10-14T00:32:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448446575085178880
@flingleflump What controlled conditions?

2021-10-14T00:31:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448446279835471874
@FringeCenter @LueElizondo You can drop a lot of photos into Yandex and get similar images. Like here it has classified all these photo of sunset contrails as being the same things. The technology of classifying images isn't groundbreaking, but there's more layers needed. Yandex isn't saying "contrail" http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnpv1gUUAk-C9C.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnpv1gUUAk-C9C.jpg
2021-10-14T00:26:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448445076779139075
@williamwoz1 Hearing loss is a common symptom of a variety of conditions, including aging.

We'd need some very clear statistic here.

2021-10-14T00:24:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448444476339286017
@MrSmokewell @LueElizondo Debunking a photo would be exposing and removing a false claim made about a photo, like "this photo shows a large, fast, flying craft.

"Bunking" is not a verb, except in the bed sense.

2021-10-14T00:21:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448443787903045634
@flingleflump I think the JASON group did. But the trend seems to be in believing the sufferers, and JASON's take was dismissed.

We can believe they ARE ill without having to conjure up an unrealistic and unevidenced explanation for WHY they are ill.

Contested causation is an old problem.

2021-10-14T00:17:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448442820440694788
I'm in favor of someone developing AI technology to debunk UFO photos and videos, as described by @LueElizondo. It will help filter out stuff like planes, contrails, balloons, and birds. But will it pop a "real" UFO out of the LIZ, or just eliminate the less blurry examples?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnmNX0VIAEn1dg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnmNX0VIAEn1dg.jpg
2021-10-14T00:10:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448440949709832195
@flingleflump But they are not "experiencing similar symptoms as a result of mass hysteria."

They are experiencing a variety of symptoms, and they are attributing them to a common cause as a result of "mass hysteria".

2021-10-14T00:07:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448440378219106308
@bogsnorkeler The lack of evidence to support the hypothesis, and all the things I recognize in Havana Syndrome from my previous investigation into MUPS.

2021-10-13T23:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448437986345971713

@IlnickiStudios For some of them, almost certainly. It varies.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnip6yVIAInidd.jpg


One of the confounding elements of Havana Syndrome is that many apparent victims experienced it differently.

State Department employees Kate Husband and Doug Ferguson, for example, did not feel a dramatic blast wave. For them, it was more subtle.

"What we experienced was something that was an annoying sound at our house many nights a week over the course of, of weeks," Ferguson said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnip6yVIAInidd.jpg
2021-10-13T23:55:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448437254712532993
@IlnickiStudios I've had a few ocular migraines. The first one you have is terrifying, as you feel like you are going blind. Or you might have an equally terrifying transient ischemic attack that does not relate to a stroke. The desire for an explanation for such things can be significant.

2021-10-13T23:51:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448436383245168641
@IlnickiStudios A large percentage of medical conditions are idiopathic, of unknown origin. As you get older you'll have all kinds of things happen and the doctor won't be able to fix you because they won't be able to figure out what is causing it.

2021-10-13T23:49:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448435653650227200
@flingleflump What introduces the fewest novel entities?

2021-10-13T23:47:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448435202452119557
@IlnickiStudios Your symptom list is consistent with aging. I have all those symptoms.

2021-10-13T23:46:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448435038530408450
@IlnickiStudios I don't think there's a "big lie", or a widely existing syndrome. It's a fairly typical MUPS-type thing that overlaps real, but probably idiopathic, conditions.

2021-10-13T23:39:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448433244450353156
@IlnickiStudios A variety of idiopathic conditions, exacerbated by stress, that people are attributing to some kind of attack, creating an adversarial context of "contested causation," which makes things worse

---

2021-10-13T23:36:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448432609936089088
@odd_dimensions Most instances of Medically Unexplained Physical Symptoms match some subset of a long list of symptoms.

2021-10-13T23:25:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448429786167070726
"Havana Syndrome" being "mass hysteria" does not mean that people's symptoms are not real, or that their physical injuries are imaginary. What's likely wrong here is the attribution of those symptoms to a specific cause - mysterious and variously noisy directed energy weapons.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnaPe4VQAoKIWS.jpg

> Husband and the others are speaking out to push back against the critics who believe their illnesses are the result of mass hysteria.
>
> "I mean, I have verified physical injuries," she said.
>
> Onufer said that she is speaking out "to humanize this for America to, to help all of my fellow Americans, understand that as much skepticism as still seems to surround this, it's very real."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBnaPe4VQAoKIWS.jpg
2021-10-13T22:30:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448415763744788480
@babylev13 It's easy to think you are seeing faces in random noise. Our brains are hard-wired to do so.

2021-10-13T22:27:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448415122028843011
@mjoseph0077 Only if they refused to clear a book bearing information "of this sort"

2021-10-13T21:19:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448398100301684740
@dart_clay Their feelings are not the issue here. There are regulations that govern this type of thing. If they start fact-checking every claim made, then that leaks information.

2021-10-13T20:23:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448383919422775297
@Josef_baum @QuadLockCase There's a whole world of possibilities between "fuzzy speck" and "so clear you can read the labels".

We can still see that the objects I photographed were planes, even in the phone photos. And the phone could see about what I could see with the naked eye.

2021-10-13T20:19:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448382816228556800

@babylev13 They are lights that have been turned into streaks of light by the camera moving as the photo was taken. You can tell this because they all have the same shape.

2021-10-13T20:18:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448382542235635712

@CaptainCarmel @kmbelliott Likely all that will happen if I go there is nothing. Remember, even @BrandonFugal didn't experience anything off for over a month. And it's not like I'm a poltergeist magnet.

However I'd still go if someone paid for me to go, and I could record it.

2021-10-13T19:04:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448364104209428480

@jeffers24511815 That would be great!

2021-10-13T19:04:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448363982952157192

@normal20211 @Chris_M_Clarke @ConorBrady277 @HISSING_UFO I'm actually more in camp balloon here. It was @JeremyUnidenti1 who thought it was a drone.

I'd not rule that out, but on the thermal images I think balloon fits better.

2021-10-13T18:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448362279548768258

@kmbelliott Yes.

2021-10-13T18:57:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448362166415872002

@Alterbeg Planes have trails less than half the time.

2021-10-13T18:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448352299923869700

@No3spera So what do you think of Ross's "extraordinary" claim?

2021-10-13T18:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448352005328556034

@YouEffOh_Rich Does this not look like a hobby?

2021-10-13T18:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448349106766696448

@No3spera Restricted (cleared) book vs. unrestricted (uncleared) book. Which one has the most facts.

The authors themselves say they took stuff out to get the clearance.

2021-10-13T17:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448346744144609282

@No3spera If it's cleared then it's not revealing highly sensitive information.

Clearing isn't a fact check, it's an absence-of-facts check.

2021-10-13T17:54:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448346452095225856
@GM4AJK @GeorgeIoannide9 You mean this?

How does this change anything? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBmPR2eVEAAVFle.jpg

---

After about 14 months of carefully reviewing this manuscript before going to press, the Pentagon officially cleared the contents of this book for publication. The Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review (DOPSR) sent this book out to several cognizant government departments and agencies before being cleared by the Pentagon, provided several changes were made. One of the important changes mandated by the Pentagon is that the names of active duty and other players must be changed, and we have done so. But the authors have retained the names of some senior participants, since the majority have now retired from government service.

Secondly, because some of the cases that are discussed in this book are

medically sensitive and because we must conform to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations, the identities of the participants and of the AAWSAP medical consultants in these cases have been obscured.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBmPR2eVEAAVFle.jpg
2021-10-13T17:31:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448340507944316929
@Josef_baum @QuadLockCase "More" clear photos? Why are there NO sets of clear photos from more that one source? Why has that never happened?

2021-10-13T17:29:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448340082482503682
@GeorgeIoannide9 They have a statement in the book.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBmJjoDUYAclJMU.jpg

Department of Defense
Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review
Case 20-SB-0058
CLEARED for Public Release
May 11, 2021
The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors
and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of
the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.
The public release clearance of this publication by the Department
of Defense does not imply Department of Defense endorsement
or factual accuracy of the material.
Where appropriate, in order to protect personally identifiable
information (PII) and protected health information (PHI),
names and personal details have been changed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBmJjoDUYAclJMU.jpg
2021-10-13T16:17:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448321974699126788
@AlwaysaScouser @markamaskey @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse (2/2) So perhaps you are asking what beliefs I have that diverge most from the mainstream?
If so, then I'd say it's the belief that hard-core beleivers in conspiracy theories and pseudoscience can eventually be reached and helped by persistent polite and respectful conversations

---

2021-10-13T16:15:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448321512931414017
@AlwaysaScouser @markamaskey @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse (1/2) People rarely consider their own beliefs to be "strange" except in the context of how other people view them. The things that I believe are things that, by definition, I think are true. I don't personally find any of my beliefs "strange", as I think they are true.

2021-10-13T15:45:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448313969010102272
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBlx3V5UUAEZZJC.jpg

Department of Defense
Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review
Case 20-SB-0058
CLEARED for Public Release
May 11, 2021
The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors
and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of
the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.
The public release clearance of this publication by the Department
of Defense does not imply Department of Defense endorsement
or factual accuracy of the material.
Where appropriate, in order to protect personally identifiable
information (PII) and protected health information (PHI),
names and personal details have been changed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBIxzujVgAcySRd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBlx3V5UUAEZZJC.jpg
2021-10-13T15:45:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448313836662972422

The DoD clearing a book does not mean they endorse any of its content, or that the content is in any way factually accurate, or that it contains no fabulations.

It means there's no classified, confidential, or sensitive information in it. So it's a negative, not a positive. https://twitter.com/rosscoulthart/status/1448065099168555009

2021-10-13T15:20:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448307769203834882

@BenLumen @DazProton @MiddleOfMayhem I think that's a different Adamantium, owned by Dr Leslie Kanthan of Turintech. They do machine learning, AI. Just incorporated last year.

Fugal's holding company is incorporated in Utah. There seems to be no relation.

2021-10-13T10:39:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448236854428332034

@AlwaysaScouser @markamaskey @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse That depends who you ask.

2021-10-13T04:18:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448141157397975046

@w0lph_dev @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse Not at all.

2021-10-12T22:36:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448054986445754375

@markamaskey @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse I donâ□□t think itâ□□s a scam, I think itâ□□s just a bunch of people with strange beliefs and a bunch of confirmation bias.

2021-10-12T21:51:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448043702597812224

@acephex1 Debunk a whole book? A book thatâ□□s rather light on evidence.

2021-10-12T21:49:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448043291795021825

@sausageking_af @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse Well, we know B is possible.

2021-10-12T21:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448042923749089281

@DavidFo73204388 @QuadLockCase Thereâ□□s literally hundreds on Mufon.

2021-10-12T20:44:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448026874462961665

@sausageking_af @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse I don't think he's lying.

2022-08-19T10:35:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560576269628035074

@DakotaDocJB @thieme @dicky41385 @7NewsSpotlight I did not proclaim that the unknown does not exist.

I said that this TV show is not going to provide proof of ET

2022-08-19T10:33:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560575766072631298
@TrooperFozzy It's the testing of hypotheses. Is it possibly fake? Let's test it.

If you don't test the "maybe fake" hypothesis, then how do you eliminate it?

2022-08-19T10:17:37+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560571680136708096
@uapstuditaly @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly Unless you can't, and unless there is no contrail.

Try it yourself with some planes at various altitudes.

2022-08-19T10:16:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560571458136391681
@CriticalChecken Not to everyone.

2022-08-19T10:15:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560571266997706752
@uapstuditaly @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly Did you watch all my video? It explains the movement.

The camera is stabilizing for the tree, not the plane, so the plane seems to move when the camera moves. It's a relatively modern thing.

Watch the video.
https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=21

2022-08-19T10:13:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560570539097239553
@Aaronped12 @MagicMirror1111 Semivan might not have been lying. He might have seen a hallucination or have been dreaming.

2022-08-19T10:12:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560570326706032641
@Aaronped12 @MagicMirror1111 No, I'm saying there might have been a very small sticker on a window, and they (the daughter) accidentally took a photo of it while trying to take a photo of something else.

2022-08-19T10:10:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560569768830152705
@TrooperFozzy My goal is to find out the truth, whatever that may be.

2022-08-19T10:07:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560569195787534336
@uapstuditaly @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=21

2022-08-19T09:50:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560564951382560769

@uapstuditaly @528vibes @ufo_studyita @mike82uapitaly That's a plane.

2022-08-19T09:44:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560563340702650369

@uapstuditaly Who sent you that?

2022-08-19T09:43:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560563041275559936

@GeorgeW1ll1am Not if the photo is upside down.

2022-08-19T09:27:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560559076580286465

@michorizogrande It's more about it happening by accident. A very small object on the glass might not be noticed until later.

2022-08-19T03:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560470895826653185

@unforteanate From a photo, yes. Not from the video, of course.

2022-08-18T22:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560388907576856576

@CommonEnts We only know what Vallee and Nolan have said.

2022-08-18T21:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560371986529808384

@its_only_Gaz @1DalM It's going to lead to me comparing my "stickers on a sunroof" to this photo of a supposed possible aspect of a UFO. This is known. I've brought it up before.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaeNxHwUcAAUf4J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaeNxHwUcAAUf4J.jpg

2022-08-18T20:58:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560370568599183361

@its_only_Gaz @1DalM Chill, dude, it's just a little science fun. If you don't like it, then ignore it.

2022-08-18T20:53:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560369340943257600

@CommonEnts Well, that would be me. So yes.

2022-08-18T20:52:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560369000567021568

@the_cholla @chrisotis78 @uncertainvector @DietrichVFA41 @alpha_check @MvonRen

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1560322485748305920

2022-08-18T20:51:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560368776029253632

@the_cholla We don't have a comparable video. After sunset, in winter, with clouds, NAR. And even then, you don't know the precise circumstances of the equipment.

2022-08-18T20:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560367591780016128

@the_cholla Who says it is easy? Maybe it's rare, setting being off, a glitch, or a malfunction?

2022-08-18T20:41:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560366334214516736

That last fake UFO photo got a variety of guesses. It was actually stickers on a sunroof! A classic case of SFA (Small or Far Away).

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560365355578511360/pu/img/Jwogt4_NA44TIRYs.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560365355578511360/pu/img/Jwogt4_NA44TIRYs.jpg

2022-08-18T20:37:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560365231322173440

@MagicMirror1111

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560365173067563008/pu/img/rViWO4cR4ri4Omnk.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560365173067563008/pu/img/rViWO4cR4ri4Omnk.jpg

2022-08-18T20:33:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560364220155236354

@Adonis_Mouse I was sitting.

2022-08-18T20:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560364115108933632

@Linkchadlad Even believing the story, it could still have happened by accident.

2022-08-18T20:31:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560363870970994689

@1DalM How about this one? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaeGpqBVsAA_Y4N.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaeGpqBVsAA_Y4N.jpg

2022-08-18T20:09:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560358176989466624

@Intriguing_Post If only there was some other video-sharing platform that lets you upload long videos of irrefutable evidence.

2022-08-18T20:07:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357683751817216

@Linkchadlad Nice iris, but no.

2022-08-18T20:06:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357430524911616

@MikeColangelo Dark glare? No.

2022-08-18T20:05:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357356109672448

@1DalM Extra points for guessing why.

2022-08-18T20:05:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357210378579968

@BlairStetler No

2022-08-18T20:05:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357184596152320

@Linkchadlad No

2022-08-18T20:05:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560357142296571904

@tommaw3 No.

2022-08-18T20:03:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560356632130772992

These UFOs are not balloons or kites.

Guess what's happening here. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fad_qi_UcAAlepz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fad_qi_UcAAlepz.jpg

2022-08-18T18:28:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560332815966687232

I'm pretty sure that stopping after 23 seconds does not equal "all day long."

It's a smooth heavy hollow sphere with an off-center center of mass, on an imperfect floor. So some motion like this is exactly what physics would expect. It will stop.

https://twitter.com/Patrick40628202/status/1559895282170609670

2022-08-18T18:12:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560328758900379648

@Adonis_Mouse @skeptoid They were in a box, I'm sure he had other stuff in his van too.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FadmVvpVUAA1K7E.jpg

MYSTERY BALL MAY BELONG TO SCULPTOR

I-U APR 24 1974

TAOS, N.M. (UPI) — A Taos sculptor who lost several metal spheres while traveling through Florida three years ago says he thinks the mysterious metal ball found in Florida recently could be his.

James Durling-Jones said the metal balls, to be used for a piece of art work, rolled out of a box attached to the roof of a van he was driving through Florida in May of 1971.

Durling-Jones said the description of the metal ball found on Ft. George Island near Jacksonville, which has stumped experts as to its origin, closely matches the description of the balls he lost.

He said he still has several spheres of various sizes, including one eight inches in diameter, the size of the one

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FadmVvpVUAA1K7E.jpg
2022-08-18T18:08:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560327720365465601
@dicky41385 @7NewsSpotlight If people report there's something new and of interest, I'll check it out. I'm sure I'll hear it if there actually is proof of ET.

Which there won't be.

2022-08-18T17:47:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560322485748305920
Glare around a bright light source can look a LOT bigger than that light source. Here the light is coming from one LED, about 2mm across. It's the black dot in the middle of the glare on the right. The size will vary with exposure settings, focus, and extra diffraction.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fadg6o_VQAA90V_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fadg6o_VQAA90V_.jpg
2022-08-18T17:34:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560319292150714368
@the_cholla It depends on the point spread function, the IR intensity, and the exposure settings.
Glare size isn't so much a function of the source size as source intensity (times the other factors)

2022-08-18T17:16:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560314822918426624
@skeptoid has a great overview.
https://skeptoid.com/episodes/4334

2022-08-18T17:16:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1560314608123912192
Odd Ball is an NPR podcast about the Betz Sphere - a steel ball, supposed alien, but identical to an industrial-sized check valve ball. It was explained decades ago but keeps recurring

Their "Extras" page is a great resource of historical articles
https://www.wjct.org/podcasts/oddball/extras/

2022-08-18T17:07:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560312415853522950
@HaydenCalnin @SciTeacherEd A minor point of interest, the underwater portion of the rock is greatly compressed, to about 1/4 it's actual size, due to refraction and the shallow angle. These are about to scale. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FadXfIwUEAEX80w.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FadXfIwUEAEX80w.jpg
2022-08-18T15:14:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560284014346268672
@SciTeacherEd @HaydenCalnin It can be quite confusing. In my image you see a blending of light reflected and light transmitted - i.e. the light of the upper part of the rock, and the light from everything under the water. What you see is both reflection and underwater.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fac-Ao3UYAAGYcz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/Fac-Ao3UYAAGYcz.jpg
2022-08-18T13:59:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560265139789516803
@FullStackLogan @ufoofinterest All which sightings? Thereâ□□s a lot of sightings in the world, and a lot of variation.

2022-08-18T04:59:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560129276220821504
@NicholasJTerry @Vladlad1866 @media_skyhigh @mrjeffknox @7NewsSpotlight You can take the pilot out of the equation. It's still a balloon.

But he's recognizing, fairly close-up, something he's seen before.

2022-08-18T04:31:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560122275180949504
@ForeySR @7NewsSpotlight This is a real video.

2022-08-18T00:13:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560057381874044928
Similar examples from @ufoofinterest
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560057288362119168/pu/img/9pdwRhLSeQw97Bzj.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1560057288362119168/pu/img/9pdwRhLSeQw97Bzj.jpg
2022-08-18T00:10:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560056589913956352
The case was covered by @ufoofinterest, back in 2020, when he contacted the pilot, and then made an explanatory video. https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1560009977007407107

2022-08-18T00:09:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560056150464163840
It's a shame that the video of a mysterious flying sphere that was picked for this @7NewsSpotlight piece is just a large balloon. A relatively common sight over Colombia and recognized as such by the pilot.
https://twitter.com/7NewsSpotlight/status/1559772940526551040

2022-08-17T23:59:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1560053845463408640
@brad_stebbing @TheZignal @PostDisclosure Not what I said.

When is that going to be?

2022-08-17T19:00:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1559978475372523520
@ModeledBehavior Yeah, it's a large balloon, common in the region (Medellin, Colombia). @ufoofinterest covered it.

2022-08-17T07:30:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1559804966172184576
@AdamGoldsack @futruaultra They didn't. Surely you understand this by now?

The only thing redacted is those names, as required by law. That's it.

2022-08-17T07:28:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1559804263567482881

@III___Words It looks fake, but possibly a combination of techniques Discussed here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/fake-looking-ufo-filmed-from-airplane-window.12019/

2022-08-17T07:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559803877414776832

@mostlyO2 @RockmanDasher @Zurxxc @MikeColangelo It's a reconstruction with things added to help visualize what is going on.

2022-08-17T04:13:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559755336088633345

@ermic_ It's not classified.

2022-08-17T03:47:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559748657334800384

@hinesriley No filter.

2022-08-17T00:15:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559695419004686336

@saucerlit Albeit stretched in the reflection

2022-08-17T00:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559693611150630913

A reflection is not always a mirror image.

An image in a mirror isn't the same as just flipping a photo up/down or left/right. The world is three dimensional. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUknxrVEAAAniW.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUknxrVEAAAniW.jpg

2022-08-16T23:49:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559688770898653184

@Dirtfarmr @JulienGeffray @Monomorphic @TheProjectUnity I think the biggest issue is the lack of discernable reflected features. The edges and the internal structure of the top and bottom don't have unambiguously identifiable matching pairs of features.

2022-08-16T23:00:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559676591629684737

@JulienGeffray @Dirtfarmr @Monomorphic @TheProjectUnity I wouldn't say that. It's a possibility, but it's got a lot of problems.

2022-08-16T22:27:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559668224244924417

@RandianJustice @TheProjectUnity If it's hills then why has nobody matched it to the hills at the supposed location?

You can barely see a suggestion of hills, it's not clear at all

---

2022-08-16T22:14:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559664804226166784

@TheProjectUnity I'm not sure this is pretty clearly anything.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUK3jlUUAANJe4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUKwzjUsAA70Fp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUKmKiUIAALVO-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaUK3jlUUAANJe4.jpg

2022-08-16T21:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559660175979556864

@TheProjectUnity I'm not convinced that's "rolling countryside in the background at the bottom of the image."

I'm even less convinced it's a mountain in fog.

But I remain convinceable.

2022-08-16T19:49:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559628402604122112

@Flyingh43892139 @markocheese @likeitmatters3 Reflections just change the effective view angle. So objects are different, not necessarily compressed.

2022-08-16T17:42:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559596523259772928

@ProjectContact_ @SayantaniDas2 It's not at all sure that's the "Exact spot." It could have been taken elsewhere.

2022-08-16T15:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559566432710508547

A recent Breitbart story tried to make it seem like the high rate of signature rejection for a recall initiative was evidence of election fraud.

But actually, it's exactly as is expected. Collecting signatures on the street just isn't that rigorous.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/comparing-petition-signature-rejection-rates-to-mail-in-ballot-rejection-rates.12579/

2022-08-16T03:54:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559388112144384000

@CDVilsack @sergio_pery The first. Iâ□□m always wondering.

2022-08-16T00:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559334668398313472

@davpea2 Not really, as I'm basing it on the hard data of the videos and the patents and ATFLIR manuals.

2022-08-16T00:20:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559334254915375106

@its_only_Gaz There's doubt about many aspects of the case.

2022-08-16T00:12:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559332295583993856

@its_only_Gaz They sent the photos back to the paper. One guy kept one print in a book and then gave it away when asked. They were never classified.

Just names, which is required by law, and so meaningless.

2022-08-15T20:03:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559269655973310465

@sergio_pery Of course.

2022-08-15T20:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559269422778396672

@fin365 There's a bit of a difference between new prestidigitation and new physics.

2022-08-15T19:58:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559268281923407873

@andyH_uap We only have one photo of the fence, so how to do you know what way around it is?

And even if you had another photo, you can always flip it left-right after up-down (i.e. rotate 180Â°)

2022-08-15T19:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559267148576346112

Can you reverse engineer something just from eyewitness memories of how it seemed to move?

The enthusiasm for trying to extract science from ephemeral UFO reports might be related to science fiction. Like one of my childhood favorites, Welcome to Mars by James Blish.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOhDGaVEAEUV0g.jpg

---

were on Mars—which I'm not entirely sure they did—they don't feel any pressure to rescue them now. They think that Dolph and Nanette are dead. I've tried hard to sell Ares as a rescue mission, and I've failed utterly. It's just too late for that.'

'But they aren't!' Mrs Haertel cried. 'Garth—they got to Mars before anyone else. Doesn't that count for something? Isn't it stupid to think that they could do that, and yet not be able to take care of themselves when they got there?'

'It might have been a fluke,' Dr Marshall said, very gently. 'Dolph's basic discovery certainly must have been a major accident. I've got a man in my labs who's worked out a really colossal infringement of Relativity, derived just from the bare knowledge that Dolph had some kind of anti-gravity, and nothing more—not from any knowledge of what Dolph actually did, because nobody has that. It might well give us a breakthrough to some kind of ion drive, something that might shorten a crossing to Mars by nearly three months. But I'm sure it isn't more than remotely related to Dolph's work. Everybody in the world is stuck for the most elementary explanation of that. And…we know that Dolph took off for Mars too soon, before he'd properly stopped to think about what a dreadful desert the planet is. Nanette left even more precipitately; she may never have reached Mars at all. They've already worked one miracle. Two is a lot to hope for—more than I can sell, anyhow. We have to face up to that.'

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOhDGaVEAEUV0g.jpg

2022-08-15T19:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559257451249012736

@its_only_Gaz It is not classified, and the banning of news articles is pure speculation - ruined by the fact that there have been dozens of articles about it.

2022-08-15T19:07:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559255563501940736

@its_only_Gaz No, I'm suggesting the Calvine photo might be a hoax.

2022-08-15T19:07:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559255393892675584

@ProjectContact_ Can you eliminate the possibility of a hoax?

2022-08-15T19:06:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559255242226561025

@ddeanjohnson Maybe that's related to the belief amongst UFO aficionados that by simply studying UAP reports and videos, you can reverse engineer their tech. An approach exemplified by TTSA's failed "science" division and re-upped by @Deepneuron's GenMet, and also Radiance Technologies.

2022-08-15T19:00:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559253665168297984

@Daily_Sinner The actual location of the "Calvine" photo is unknown. I'm not suggesting this is the location (where's the fence?), but it's an example of local still water and clear reflections.

2022-08-15T18:54:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559252160868626433

@ConwaySimon They didn't release it. It wasn't classified.

2022-08-15T18:53:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559251922988572673

@JasonColavito One of those agencies:

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1559251423736369152

2022-08-15T18:52:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559251650035851264

@mikeRanthony Did the Calvine photograph show that?

2022-08-15T18:51:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559251423736369152

Who is responsible examining mysterious crashed flying craft of unknown origin, some from space, so we can learn from their technology?

That's would be NASIC's Foreign Materiel Exploitation Squadron, and contractors like MacAulay-Brown. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOSX_yUsAEjtY-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOSHtMVsAET4Md.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOSX_yUsAEjtY-.jpg

2022-08-15T18:42:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559249178366119938

@Jon_OShea Oops, oh well, I'd say Wallace Monument is almost a castle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOQ12OVQAADVNe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaOQ12OVQAADVNe.jpg

2022-08-15T18:39:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559248502642733056

@ConwaySimon They did not "classify it for 75 years", they ONLY redacted the names, which they are required to do by law for the lifetime of the person involved, which is assumed to be 100 years.

2022-08-15T17:19:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559228240509620224

@mebarek_salah @Gus2089603005 What about the video is convincing? It does not seem to show any impossible movement.

2022-08-15T17:18:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559227956047753216

https://foursquare.com/v/pitlochry-dam-power-station/4d9ae200674ca1439ef1c443?openPhotoId=613e4a3863c021023c05f3fa http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaN9j31UEAALWHX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaN9j31UEAALWHX.jpg

2022-08-15T16:38:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559218043733135361

A small example of an illusion. This black object in the sky is actually on the surface of the water of Pitlochry Dam. The image is upside down.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaN0aBfVUAAtIAZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaN0aBfVUAAtIAZ.jpg

2022-08-15T16:13:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559211664662073346

@Scipio_1337 @MiddleOfMayhem @Phill_Edward Yeah, LED balloons are increasingly popular as they become reasonably priced. There are a variety of colors and flash rates. And the fire lanterns (chinese lanterns) are illegal in California (fire risk).

So it's probably birds or balloons.

2022-08-15T16:06:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559210019857371136

@Tomfortas It's one of a wealth of hypotheses - something that's inevitable with these low-information photos.

2022-08-15T15:53:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559206620051042305

@andydiggle @gordonhudsonnu @BlueshiftProjex @MerlijnSPhoto It's not a fata morgana. They only show up near the horizon.

2022-08-15T15:48:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559205334417154048

Source:

https://www.reddit.com/r/Scotland/comments/efkq7i/wallace_monument_and_stirling_castle_poking/

2022-08-15T15:48:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559205288661569539

A castle in the clouds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaNo1jdUEAAxR9l.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FaNo1jdUEAAxR9l.jpg

2022-08-15T14:58:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559192834883801090

@BabbleAlien @Funkadelick2 @anonymoushadoww @MiddleOfMayhem They look like parachute flares. Possibly for area illumination. Possibly for target practice.

2022-08-15T14:36:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559187288482992129

Sometimes I think that a good half of my exposure is from people on #ufotwitter either A) Asking why I have so much exposure, or B) Telling other people to ignore me. Sometimes both.

https://twitter.com/ZprepDesign/status/1559181321544421376

2022-08-15T09:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1559110567905853441

@MiddleOfMayhem @Phill_Edward Could be, compare to these birds.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1559110442626080769/pu/img/L9zB6WEuKV2vQXyf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1559110442626080769/pu/img/L9zB6WEuKV2vQXyf.jpg
2022-08-14T23:40:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558961867363479552
@themoodnetwork @AlecStewart28 It seems to be standard practice that they apply to all living people. A privacy thing where third-party personal data is automatically held back. Section 40.

2022-08-14T21:13:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1558924854736216065
@patrinok @matsalted It was not intended to be "quite the same" as the Calvine case. It's just to illustrate the general principles behind the hypothesis - which is by no means on solid ground.

2021-10-12T20:44:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448026770385428482
@VeilroadDude @InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse Photos would be a great start.

2021-10-12T20:25:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1448021937649717248
@InvNightSchool @AllINeedis1Mic @de_varse Nothing is representative of all UFO claims. They vary.

But here you've got the head of AAWSAP going full Skinwalker. Blue orbs that have avoided cameras for decades. Poltergeists. Werewolves. Zero evidence. Anecdotes.

It looks bad. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhn7l2VgAE30VV.jpg

Before and after the AAWSAP BAASS investigations on Skinwalker Ranch, George Knapp made several visits to Skinwalker Ranch, some lasting overnight. Subsequent to the trips, Knapp reported that his wife experienced multiple apparitions in their home, including sightings of blue orbs outside the window of their place in Las Vegas.

Kelleher and Knapp have separately interviewed more than 10 security officers who had spent two-week tours of duty on the ranch as a part of the AAWSAP BAASS program, and each security officer confirmed that they had brought "something" home from Skinwalker Ranch with them. The officers confirmed that they or their partners had experienced poltergeist and other paranormal activity in their homes following their tours on the ranch.

James Lacatski experienced a profound anomaly on the ranch in 2007, which was a significant instigation for the formation of the AAWSAP BAASS program. Lacatski and his wife experienced a few, but not many, anomalies in their home in the years following his Skinwalker Ranch sighting. But DIA official Susanna Ash, who was hired at DWO in January 2011 and sat in the office cubicle at DIA next to Lacatski between February and June 2011, reported that on the night of February 6, 2011, the night *before* Lacatski's February 7 briefing to the DHS (see Chapter 16), Eddie Ash, Susanna's brother who previously had no experience whatsoever with anomalies, had an escalating series of close encounters with UAPs in rural Mocksville, North Carolina, that continued for months afterwards. Eddie's quiet country home suddenly had large orange UAPs hovering outside at night. Aerial photos of his house were sent to his mobile phone from unknown numbers. And his pet dog once disappeared (through multiple locked doors) while Eddie slept, only to be found in the morning whimpering outside. Could Lacatski have transmitted "something" to Susanna Ash who subsequently passed it to her brother?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhn7l2VgAE30VV.jpg

2021-10-12T19:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448007116124409860

@janko_js @SMarkMcC @QuadLockCase Using the rig show above I took video with the phone while shooting photos with the DSLR. Then I extracted the correct frames from the video to match the photos using the shutter sound.

2021-10-12T19:00:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448000695362220033

@Dylan_DTV Then one of these, of which I have several.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhUw2rXEAAd2pL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhUw2rXEAAd2pL.jpg

2021-10-12T18:58:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1448000020234444802

@Dylan_DTV @QuadLockCase Aha, it's still in my Amazon order history from 2012

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhUTDYVEAI50nj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhUTDYVEAI50nj.jpg

2021-10-12T18:56:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447999630839484416

@GerryCohen7 It looks like a bunch of solar balloons. It didn't do anything. UFOs that don't do anything are generally balloons. Hard to say though.

2021-10-12T18:54:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447999202349371396

@SMarkMcC @QuadLockCase I cobbled it together.

2021-10-12T18:54:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447999027719532544

@Dylan_DTV @QuadLockCase Random bits I had laying around

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhTcFzVcAIlH4T.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhTbN0VcAAkdi1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhTcFzVcAIlH4T.jpg

2021-10-12T18:49:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447997746896674820

@ripinmypants Sure, there's thousand of cases with insufficient information.

2021-10-12T18:48:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447997548602425344

@JerseyMomo22 @QuadLockCase What do you think I should be doing with my time?

2021-10-12T18:47:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447997464653479943

@UAP_in_the_sky I'm familiar with them.

2021-10-12T18:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447995005230993409

Experimenting with simultaneous capture of photos on a DSLR and 4K video on an iPhone 13 Pro. Mounted using the excellent @QuadLockCase and axially aligned.

Explains why planes often look like wingless "UFO" blobs in phone video

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhPgflWQAkeOnn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhNtLYUYAIc_zc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhPgflWQAkeOnn.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBhN2UrVIAkCCzW.jpg

2021-10-12T17:22:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447976065889042434

@GerryCohen7 This one? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBg-iyAVEAAkdAU.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBg-iyAVEAAkdAU.jpg

2021-10-12T17:15:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447974136223961096

@GerryCohen7 For example?

2021-10-12T17:11:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447973245873836035

@GerryCohen7 What type of images do you pay attention to?

2021-10-12T16:48:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447967414176534531

@GerryCohen7 Because the plane was a different plane.

Do you think this isn't a plane? Why? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBg2oiEUYAIPBSx.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBg2oiEUYAIPBSx.jpg

2021-10-12T16:46:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447966982930788352

@justmyvideos @thtonetime CE5. It's the practice of summoning UFOs or telepathic encounters with non-human entities by meditating.

2021-10-12T16:45:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447966562363719686

@Alien_Scientist Huh? Which bit do you think is a lie? The two camps obviously DO coexist - I

mean look at this book, and the people promoting it.

Or do you think you personally are representative of UFOlogy?

2021-10-12T16:43:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447966038092509185

@thtonetime Nobody can settle it, because it's largely subjective.

2021-10-12T16:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447965879157678080

@GerryCohen7 iPhone X and Canon 7D 500mm, about five seconds apart. More here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-10-12T16:11:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447958104352518146

@PThegermandude Planes leave contrails less than half the time. So then they become UFOs. I used one with a contrail so you can tell it's a plane. I discuss it here: https://youtu.be/cThB1zfynHQ?t=90

2021-10-12T16:05:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447956662006923264

@Generic_Error_ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cThB1zfynHQ

2021-10-12T16:03:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447956040230727683

@theferrit32 @paul59593748 https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1447955986535251974

2021-10-12T16:03:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447955986535251974

Same plane. Different Cameras. A significant percentage of UFO photos and videos. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgsIHFVkAErZkR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgsIHFVkAErZkR.jpg

2021-10-12T16:00:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447955331523309569

@gaz_et No. The green dot is entirely internal. With the naked eye you just see this.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgrpbrVEAINSER.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgrpbrVEAINSER.jpg

2021-10-12T15:57:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447954564208947202

@gaz_et Generally they spot them later, in a photo.

2021-10-12T15:30:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447947744870481926

@MiddleOfMayhem There's your problem.

2021-10-12T15:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447946160853843968

For some UFOlogist, the phenomena is about the nuts and bolts: advanced technology craft of unknown origin, possibly aliens.

For others it's more mystical: ghosts, poltergeists, and trans-dimensional werewolves.

The two camps coexist, and overlap, often to a large degree.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgi2l1VIAcUKgL.jpg

Later, an even more bizarre event with strong links to the Skinwalker Ranch erupted in the Axelrod home. Again, Jonathan was out of town on a work assignment. It was after midnight, and Ruth had turned off all the lights in the kitchen and was preparing to go upstairs when her eye caught a movement out in the yard. She walked over to the window for a better look, then froze as she witnessed one of the most bizarre sights she had ever beheld. Standing upright and leaning against one of the trees at the perimeter of her yard was a huge wolf-like creature. She saw the creature plainly in the dim night light. It had long hair and looked like a wolf. But it was standing on two legs. Ruth stood paralyzed, feeling both confusion and a kind of dread.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgi2l1VIAcUKgL.jpg



Leslie Kean

1h ·

A new book of interest



★★★★★ 5

AMAZON.COM

Skinwalkers at the Pentagon: An Insiders' Account of the Secret Government UFO Program

48 9 Comments 3 Shares

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBgi1oVVkAUcCK1.jpg

2021-10-12T15:00:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447940161824870423

@marko1301 what episode and time?

2021-10-12T14:53:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447938574247137287

@greenbudha @VirtuaMcPolygon Of course. Rather lacking in evidence though.

2021-10-12T14:11:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447928022175264771

@UAP_Disclosure @LisaRob23467689 @WitnessCitizen We know the military has continually investigated pilot reports of UFOs. Why wouldnâ□□t they?

2021-10-12T14:10:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447927622063771658

@paul59593748 Looks like a plane.

2021-10-12T04:11:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447777018167246852

@Saw_a_UFO Not at 8AM :grinning_face_with_smiling_eyes:

2021-10-12T01:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447740494201573376

@randomoneh @PrismaticWriter What distance?

2021-10-12T01:46:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447740416091045892

@Deleo77a1 @PrismaticWriter A reliable source, or ideally multiple sources, goes a long way there. Anonymous videos on clickbait channels should naturally be suspect.

2021-10-12T01:44:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447740044706402304

@Mantishomie @PrismaticWriter Context.

2021-10-12T00:59:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447728597871980544

When evaluating a UFO video, especially one that might be a balloon, it's really useful to get the exact weather (specifically wind direction and speed) where the supposed UFO was. But understand the pitfalls and uncertainties before you pick a number

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XtNRnMOeJvIut

2021-10-12T00:54:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447727250992820227

@PrismaticWriter The terrible video quality. Of course, it could also be terrible video of a weird balloon.

2021-10-12T00:49:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447726024616071170

@PrismaticWriter Probably CGI.

2021-10-12T00:37:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447723146551824386

@ColonelTweeto The fun thing here is it appearing in the middle of the reflected lens

---

2021-10-12T00:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447720691684438022

@PrismaticWriter Based on the channel, I'd go with fake - unless there's some more data.

2021-10-11T20:50:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447665949646077952

@L00Corez That's the color of the sensor. It's only green for white lights though. Flames show up differently.

2021-10-11T20:49:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447665665406504960

A very large sensor reflection (common source of UFO photos). Any bright light gets a reflection 180 degrees away on most phone cameras. Here I've masked the light with an F to show it's not inverted, just rotated, because it's reflected twice.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447665094372958208/pu/img/0AFGWiyYby_PECcG.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447665094372958208/pu/img/0AFGWiyYby_PECcG.jpg

2021-10-11T20:30:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447660949075230720

@L00Corez There's only one sensor taking the photo, you only get multiple reflections if you have multiple lights.

The green dot when you have the sun is actually a small disk, in the shape of the sun.

Here's an example with LOTS of lights. Same thing.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447660866791350275/pu/img/7Bi8HPDUheLtBwQZ.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447660866791350275/pu/img/7Bi8HPDUheLtBwQZ.jpg
2021-10-11T20:25:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1447659615559184385
@VirtuaMcPolygon I think I had that game. I don't remember the password, but I'd guess at "phenomenology" as it the word from the movie.

2021-10-11T19:48:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1447650221886873600
@VirtuaMcPolygon It used a variety of plastic prisms to rearrange an image into a recognizable code.

I hacked the Lenslok protection off Speccy Elite, as it was so annoying.

2021-10-11T19:32:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1447646295431073794
@L00Corez There's two bulb arrays in the floodlight.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBcSmGMVcAMzNJV.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBcSmGMVcAMzNJV.jpg

2021-10-11T19:30:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447645767816998914

@ThanosAlpha @SamHarrisOrg It's not really woo if you stick with the science/ And if he's already smeared, then a little fun with UFOs isn't going to do anything.

2021-10-11T19:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447644298107785218

@ThanosAlpha @SamHarrisOrg What limb? Nothing happens, most people forget about it, no harm, no foul.

2021-10-11T19:23:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447643946520248321

The green dot I was demonstrating yesterday is a common source of "it was not there when I took the photo" UFOs. Bright lights reflect of the sensor, and then off the front glass to create a "UFO", rotated about the center of the image 180Â°.

Here's one from last night. https://twitter.com/DrNickGolden/status/1447642082991083520

2021-10-11T19:20:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447643319513747456

@DrNickGolden @mufon It's a reflection of the floodlights, rotated 180 degrees.

2021-10-11T19:00:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447638235455188996

@williamkay62 @StevenCambian @ProfBrianCox That should be @SteveBassett not Steven

---

2021-10-11T18:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447637585686192128

@ykssaspassky That's the color the senor reflects. Close up they look like:

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBcKhxDUYAMvlQp.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBcKhxDUYAMvlQp.jpg

2021-10-11T17:55:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447622002185936896

@alecrolllin can you email me the original photos from your phone? mick@mickwest.com

---

2021-10-11T17:33:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447616478836977666

@UAP_Disclosure The usual stuff. Planes, birds, balloons, planets, alien spaceships, etc.

2021-10-11T16:30:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447600584631078922

@DarkSKYFiles @TwitTok8 The movement is optional.

2021-10-11T16:28:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447599935352807425

@PlanetarAnanda @GavPat89 @chrisotis78 @YouTube It is considered low quality.

2021-10-11T15:28:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447584793886031880

@markocheese @criticalamitous @Er_Nope It's not entirely a coincidence. The sensor and the outer flat surfaces are naturally parallel.

2021-10-11T15:12:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447580776422281229

@TwitTok8 @DarkSKYFiles Kinda similar to this one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447580421793845251/pu/img/zF_REXVCoVbIuKWL.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447580421793845251/pu/img/zF_REXVCoVbIuKWL.jpg

2021-10-11T14:55:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447576615249059849

@GavPat89 @PlanetarAnanda @chrisotis78 @YouTube Applying an artistic filter to a highly compressed low resolution image and then taking photos of your screen is not going to give you results that help anything other than your imagination.

2021-10-11T12:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447532699418181638

@SithVincentV California

2021-10-11T05:17:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447431227246481410

Sensor reflection lens flares appear as at 180 degree rotation from the source light AND centered in the image of any reflection of the camera lens onto any object in the scene. But they don't appear ON that reflection. This all is somewhat unintuitive, but a fascinating puzzle.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447430009409269765/pu/img/Aah0iccRK5D9oF0g.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1447430009409269765/pu/img/Aah0iccRK5D9oF0g.jpg

2021-10-11T04:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447417955076112388

@MHuntington7 There was no dot on my hand.

2021-10-11T04:08:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447413762433040387

@NIH_LLAMAS In fact, it's never there to the naked eye

2021-10-11T04:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447412907050823696

@ykssaspassky Happened to?

2021-10-11T04:04:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447412828575391744

@NIH_LLAMAS It's not always there. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBY-PLyUcAM49di.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBY-PLyUcAM49di.jpg

2021-10-11T03:43:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447407499569614850

@L00Corez No IR involved.

2021-10-11T03:25:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447403004223655943

@alecrolllin Thereâ□□s a similar dot lower right.

2021-10-11T03:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447402562374692866

@samuel Yes. But why the green dot?

2021-10-11T03:23:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447402397869830150

@alecrolllin A reflection of some sort.

2021-10-11T03:21:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447402058701688832

@Gambit41 Correct!

2021-10-11T03:21:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447401914732199937

@TweetThere4Am No

2021-10-11T03:21:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447401839050125319

@MDPhitrader @realufobros What is your point? You think Iâ□□m lying?

I donâ□□t lie.

2021-10-11T03:19:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447401517149868040

@dave_beaty They didnâ□□t say. I donâ□□t think it matters, regarding UFOs.

2021-10-11T03:18:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447401213448708098

@samuel How do you mean?

2021-10-11T03:18:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447401109434224649

@Roro_McFarland No

2021-10-11T03:17:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447400898867568648

@MDPhitrader @realufobros I donâ□□t think my FAA tracking number adds to any claim or argument. Why would it?

2021-10-11T03:13:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447399862916423681

Optics nerds challenge. Whatâ□□s going on here? Thereâ□□s a bunch of interesting light-related effects. But can you explain the green dot? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBYygLgUcAQbduV.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBYygLgUcAQbduV.jpg

2021-10-11T03:03:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447397522238947339

@MDPhitrader @realufobros Try â□□Michaelâ□□

2021-10-11T03:02:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447397133426987009

@ufo_worldwide Indeterminate. Thereâ□□s a 180Â° difference in 2000 feet around the rough altitude - and thatâ□□s just modeled, not actual.

2021-10-10T21:45:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447317410139103237

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder *bunch of balloons

2021-10-10T21:45:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447317296209281024

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder Iâ□□m debunking the claim that itâ□□s going fast and that it does not look like a balloon.

2021-10-10T21:43:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447316974883643392

@bottwater The pins are estimated position, accurate within a few hundred feet. The lines connect them, but are not the actual path.

2021-10-10T21:05:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447307277082398721

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder Of course the plane exists. I donâ□□t think any of the video is fabricated. Itâ□□s just not showing anything amazing.

2021-10-10T20:09:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447293197915357185

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder If you want the raw MLAT data. See this post in thread 'DHS "Rubber Duck" Footage'

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dhs-rubber-duck-footage.12054/post-258931

2021-10-10T20:06:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447292387227668481

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder And others. You have seen Dave Falchâ□□s video with on-screen GPS for plane and ground track?

2021-10-10T20:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447291279876841474

@emeffer2 @SingletrackAdam Not at all.

2021-10-10T20:00:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447290926838157313

@MDPhitrader @edwardcurrent @preluder No data? Thereâ□□s a video with GPS coordinates and an MLAT track that matches, plus geolocation matches.

2021-10-10T18:53:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447274100460691459

@Pawn37 Explained here:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dhs-rubber-duck-footage.12054/

Originalish footage: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PmbrRvd25G0

2021-10-10T18:30:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447268310651834373

@MoonPieDreams @Jim87504388 @emeffer2 They don't know what they all are. Lots of them are just too far away to tell, or based on individual observations, or other LIZ. It's impossible to tell what all UFOs are, that's why they are called UFOs.

2021-10-10T17:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447255130189938693

@emeffer2 @SingletrackAdam No, not at all. For a start I'm only noting that sometimes some experts get some things wrong. Secondly my worldview, obviously, is not based on blindly trusting experts.

2021-10-10T17:35:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447254574050414592

@matrix2004 Probably the older types - often planes near or behind the volcano. Long lens compressing perspective makes it look like they are just above it.

2021-10-10T16:32:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447238733887991815

@emeffer2 Like who?

2021-10-10T16:32:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447238522574819336

@stephc_int13 @criticalamitous We can't estimate the probability of fairies existing, but that does not mean we have to give the possibility serious consideration.

2021-10-10T16:29:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447237876505219073

@digital_trav The plane stays at the same altitude, this can also be seen in the video. Around 14800 in the video, although MLAT (not ADS-B, my mistake) calculates it as 14300

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBWfLKlVIAA8BOk.jpg

trail/lat	trail/lng	trail/alt	trail/spd	trail/ts	trail/hd				
31.57847	-111.41515	14300	292	1574500812	99		9:20:12 AM		24
31.573179	-111.4078	14300	292	1574500769	99		9:19:29 AM		23
31.566311	-111.43508	14300	292	1574500714	99		9:18:34 AM		22
31.555511	-111.45683	14300	292	1574500442	99		9:14:02 AM		21
31.557699	-111.44618	14299	292	1574500233	99		9:10:33 AM		20
31.532066	-111.45139	14299	292	1574500204	99		9:10:04 AM		19
31.525551	-111.4334	14300	292	1574499969	99		9:06:09 AM		18
31.5084	-111.45126	14300	292	1574499922	99		9:05:22 AM		17
31.525869	-111.42857	14300	292	1574499833	99		9:03:53 AM		16
31.532457	-111.45019	14300	292	1574499690	99		9:01:30 AM		15
31.534002	-111.43699	14300	292	1574499576	99		8:59:36 AM		14
31.528496	-111.42537	14300	292	1574499548	99		8:59:08 AM		13
31.51676	-111.42245	14300	292	1574499518	99		8:58:38 AM		12
31.513647	-111.44492	14300	292	1574499469	99		8:57:49 AM		11
31.487978	-111.41178	14299	292	1574499334	99		8:55:34 AM		10
31.485693	-111.41731	14300	292	1574499069	99		8:51:09 AM		9
31.49247	-111.42983	14300	292	1574499050	99		8:50:50 AM		8
31.495287	-111.40669	14300	292	1574498988	99		8:49:48 AM		7
31.504288	-111.42451	14300	360	1574498889	15		8:48:09 AM		6
31.502443	-111.41304	14299	360	1574498782	15		8:46:22 AM		5
31.505903	-111.41279	14300	360	1574498746	15		8:45:46 AM		4
31.480698	-111.36341	14300	360	1574498589	15		8:43:09 AM		3
31.475574	-111.36464	14300	360	1574498536	15		8:42:16 AM		2
31.455521	-111.42188	14299	550	1574498506	116		8:41:46 AM		1
31.50028	-111.54509	14299	491	1574498426	146		8:40:26 AM		0

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBWfLKlVIAA8BOk.jpg

2021-10-10T15:55:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447229187434057729

UFOs around active volcanos are mostly drones nowadays.

People argue that flights are not allowed, or that the ash makes it difficult to fly. But that does not actually stop people who want to get footage like this.

https://twitter.com/ilustrador/status/1447219373085765643

2021-10-10T15:10:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447217862628704257

@MDPhitrader @YellowDart1 @realufobros That the plane, and hence the UFO only traveled about ten miles in 40 minutes. Plane was circling. So UFO was slow.

2021-10-10T15:08:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447217412584054789

@hiddenhandsz @UFOBreddit @MiocSebastian Cool story.

2021-10-10T14:28:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447207424251760644

@MDPhitrader @YellowDart1 @realufobros Mick is an abbreviation. But I'm really not sure what you are trying to prove here. These are literally just points on a map. Why don't you address the actual claim?

2021-10-10T09:31:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447132752667570181

@flightradar24 Santorini

2021-10-10T09:26:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447131371307028480

RT @ufoofinterest: ICYMI: this time on History a good analysis by @MickWest about that viral image of an alleged UFO discovered in Antarticâ¦

2021-10-10T09:25:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447131153127723008

@commoditymetals @realufobros See attachments here:
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/dhs-rubber-duck-footage.12054/page-2#post-258931

2021-10-10T06:26:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447086045816713218

@MDPhitrader @realufobros It was in 2004, back when my hair wasn't grey :)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBUUxxFUYAMi2v1.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBUUxxFUYAMi2v1.jpg

2021-10-10T06:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447082748200423424

@MDPhitrader One correction, it's not ADS-B, it's MLAT. Less accurate, but consistently in the same vicinity. So the conclusion is the same.

2021-10-10T05:55:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1447078345271693313

@MDPhitrader I obtained the data from Flightradar24.

What's to chart? We know the plane was flying around in circles. It's on the video.

2021-10-10T00:22:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446994388001832962

@MDPhitrader @realufobros Here's me on my long distance solo.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1446994326999887878/pu/img/Ob7zwxV1MPY7nf2m.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1446994326999887878/pu/img/Ob7zwxV1MPY7nf2m.jpg

2021-10-10T00:20:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446994130748403713

@MDPhitrader So which error do you think comes into play here? Obviously we have rather sporadic ADS-B data, only 24 data points for a 40 minute period. But does anything indicate any of those data points are incorrect?

2021-10-10T00:19:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446993689725730816

@edwardcurrent @Taco3421 @UsCars4 I didn't falsify anything there. I stand by both those tweets. Anyone describing them as "false" must just be misunderstanding them.

2021-10-10T00:17:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446993308765487111

@kathyow6 Because they don't comment on investigations involving classified data sources.

2021-10-09T21:56:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446957802757320704

@l3athal101 @realufobros Good idea. And all that's really needed is the start and the end.

2021-10-09T21:19:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446948578509746178

@Oswald1160 @Harry08664314 @realufobros Hard to say for sure, as the wind was quite complex at that time/location/altitude, and the exact altitude is unknown.

2021-10-09T20:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446943009187135489

@realufobros The plane that took the "rubber duck" video didn't move more than ten miles, according to data I extracted from Flightradar24

2021-10-09T20:34:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446937118287093762

Rubber Duck UFO: I snagged the sparse ADS-B JSON data for IRONS12 from FR24, extracted only the raw coordinates for the 40 minutes the UFO is tracked and converted to a KML path. The plane circles 11 times, but only moves (northwest) less than ten miles. Hence ~12 mph target http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBSNVfKUUAE09oc.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBSNVfKUUAE09oc.jpg

2021-10-09T18:26:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446904866547269641
@JimmyJungas @L00Corez I don't give a trajectory because there's insufficient data. If there was a full video with GPS, and someone extracted that, then we might be able to say something. But all we have is one minute.

2021-10-09T18:15:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446902245157531651
@L00Corez @JimmyJungas I didnâ□□t give a trajectory.

2021-10-09T14:31:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446845831915577348
@JimmyJungas @AdHomin75190013 @Edmonstar_7 @L00Corez Balloons are very common in the air. The #2 bit of airborne clutter on the UAPTF list, after birds.

2021-10-09T14:30:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446845451987132416
@xSoupiex Is it a â□□follow topicâ□□ link? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBQ6RVYVQAQ0L_E.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBQ6RVYVQAQ0L_E.jpg
2021-10-09T14:24:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446844026217381890
@JimmyJungas @AdHomin75190013 @Edmonstar_7 @L00Corez A bunch of balloons. But why not?

2021-10-09T14:23:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446843819530416131
@JimmyJungas @L00Corez Drug smugglers using a custom drone, is the theory. I lean balloons, but drone is #2

2021-10-09T14:22:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446843468471406599
@JimmyJungas @AdHomin75190013 @Edmonstar_7 @L00Corez They didnâ□□t START in the middle of the desert. Balloons can drift for days.

2021-10-09T14:20:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446842942543446016
@xSoupiex Is that as seen in the android app?

2021-10-09T00:57:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446640939833774081

@ChrisSPITZER7 Yeah. And a minor point, I don't think it's a laser track, it's just the calculated camera vector intersecting the terrain, based on the plane's GPS and a terrain elevation database.

2021-10-09T00:54:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446640295584534533

@GavPat89 @JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez Well, if someone wants to pay me, I'm game! :)

2021-10-09T00:32:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446634651842273282

@L00Corez @JeremyUnidenti1 What's the location for this chart?

2021-10-09T00:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446634334505488393

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez The ground is at 4,000 feet. But yes, the ground will have some residual heat from the daytime. And yes, the air 4,000 feet higher will be about 14Â°F colder. So I could see how a drone might appear cold.

2021-10-09T00:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446632676333539330

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez No sun. It's 2AM MST (9AM Zulu), at 4,000 feet. It's probably quite cool. Lows in the 50s this time of year in nearby Arivaca.

2021-10-09T00:12:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446629717713457155

@L00Corez @JeremyUnidenti1 Objects both emit heat, and they reflect heat (if they are shiny). Most of what you see there is emitted heat - i.e. it's the temperature of the objects in the terrain.

2021-10-09T00:09:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446628869595824128

@ChrisSPITZER7 I think you flipped two points. The lines should cross.

2021-10-09T00:06:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446628125530472450

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem It's accurate. I'm sorry that I can't tell if you are trolling or not, but I don't have time for this.

2021-10-09T00:04:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446627548536864768

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem What does "moving in a centrifugal motion" mean? "Centrifugal" describes a force.

2021-10-09T00:02:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446626972767948801

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem Your tweet makes no sense. Sorry.

2021-10-08T23:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446624544123342854

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem Now I'm starting to think you're just trolling.

2021-10-08T23:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446624313117863939

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem So, if the plane was circling a static balloon (assume no wind) that was half-way to the ground, then the cursor would track the ground at the same speed as the plane, right? But the balloon would not be moving.

2021-10-08T23:48:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446623639747502085

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem I'm not talking past you. I'm trying to explain. Think about it.

2021-10-08T23:46:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446622969107599365

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem You're really missing the point here.

2021-10-08T23:45:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446622764236894209

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem Why would it be the same? What if the rubber duck had ZERO speed and the plane was circling it. Wouldn't the cursor ground speed still be 100+ mph?

Yet the duck wouldn't be moving. Parallax.

2021-10-08T23:42:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446621965200990213

@ChrisSPITZER7 I extracted 7 LOS, every 10 seconds. They all cross when viewed from above, so I'm really not sure what you are doing.

Post your four sets of coordinates.

2021-10-08T23:39:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446621396642066433

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem I'll bet you $20 that this number (SPD in the upper right) is the speed of the cursor over the ground (in this video) and is not the speed of the UAP. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNuXBgVcAcajJf.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNuXBgVcAcajJf.jpg

2021-10-08T23:32:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446619600133640197

@unknownscienc @reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem Want to bet?

2021-10-08T23:32:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446619481585827848

@ChrisSPITZER7 You might with to check your points again. My data is here:

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/rubberduck-csv.47047/

https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/rubberduck-frame-data-kmz.47046/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNsmrQUcAcxe72.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNsmrQUcAcxe72.jpg

2021-10-08T23:27:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446618294849458177

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez Yup, it's all relative. Ice will show up as "hot" if you hold it up against a blue sky.

2021-10-08T22:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446598312375713794

@JeremyUnidenti1 @HISSING_UFO I don't think you can really say the duck is less blurry than similar white cold areas of the ground.

2021-10-08T20:59:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446581020040392707

@JeremyUnidenti1 That is interesting, particularly that batteries are the primary source of heat.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNJqV_UUAEA0XO.jpg

---

3. Results and Discussion

We have determined that batteries are primary heat sources on, while motors and electronic speed controllers (ESC) generate much smaller thermal footprint. Though it was expected that the motors will be primary sources of heat due to the greatest energy consumption, their visibility in thermal spectrum was diminished because they were very well cooled by the rapid air circulation. Some inner components of the motor could reach particularly high temperature but that was not detectable from the outside. Additionally, their cross-section was very small when viewed from the distance. Batteries, on the other hand, are large, bulky, enclosed in the main body of the UAV, receiving only moderate air circulation, and are thusly easily discernible in thermal imaging. If the whole body of the UAV is enclosed, such as with *Parrot AR.drone 2* and *Phantom 4*, the temperature increases even more (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Thermal Image of *DJI Phantom 4*

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBNJqV_UUAEA0XO.jpg

2021-10-08T20:32:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446574275243114497

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez @MoonAustyn Cool, although shooting against the sky will be rather misleading.

2021-10-08T20:28:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446573235001122816

@vitorfidm I'm sorry you feel that way.

2021-10-08T20:27:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446573028712730627

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez @MoonAustyn I'd be really interested in thermal footage of a drone. All I can find is thermal footage FROM a drone. I guess I'll have to buy one :)

2021-10-08T20:20:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446571128869179392

@JeremyUnidenti1 @L00Corez @MoonAustyn Why does this image have XBox controls in the lower left?

2021-10-08T19:55:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446565005395054593

@CatJova @BlueGlassTV Which video are you referring to? Because for FLIR1, GIMBAL, and GOFAST, there's no evidence anyone saw anything with their eyes other than the same video we see today.

2021-10-08T19:46:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446562733453819907
@L00Corez And higher altitude balloons will have more sky in their sphere of view than the balloon in my yard.

2021-10-08T19:22:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446556555524984835
@HISSING_UFO @JeremyUnidenti1 What questions are there that you think require a "sensor operator" to answer?
It does not seem that complicated to me.

2021-10-08T19:20:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446556090284404737
@PatLee09437881 Kinda look like contrails.

2021-10-08T19:19:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446555846440226816
@matrix2004 Kinda.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=snwqUpQ6oSE

2021-10-08T19:16:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446555159543181312
@CatJova @BlueGlassTV I try to avoid opinions (although I'll give one if asked). My videos are all things you can check for yourself, you don't need to trust any experts one way or another.

2021-10-08T19:08:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446553091835195392
@HISSING_UFO I don't think it's super useful in general. It's a 2D tracker. Most UFOs are 3D. It's basically just for calculating 2D acceleration curves. Like I said, I'd probably just do it manually.

2021-10-08T19:02:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446551524277043202
A demonstration of why balloons viewed from above (potentially like in the "Rubber Duck" UFO video) will appear unexpectedly cold.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=snwqUpQ6oSE

2021-10-08T18:51:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446548805604741133
@HISSING_UFO It's not really relevant to the Rubber Duck video though. We've got highly complex camera motion plus the object motion.

2021-10-08T18:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446547714498392067
@Rebecca_Tsai_TW Yeah, I was almost tempted.

2021-10-08T17:47:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1446532621576519684
@CatJova @BlueGlassTV So what were they saying?

2021-10-08T17:30:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446528453109424131

@noperst @Oswald1160 Well, the pilot was circling it. How do you circle something that's flying faster than you are?

2021-10-08T17:29:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446528242064650243

@embargo909 I guess I should have specified "in daylight". There are SOME phones that do better with moon photos (e.g. Samsung S21 Ultra), but fewer.

2021-10-08T17:23:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446526739417485315

@TippingsTipples Sure, but that's the same when looking at UFOs.

2021-10-08T17:10:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446523470364348416

@flarkey @My111100496401 More examples here:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2021-10-08T15:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446497429734313987

@TippingsTipples I'm old, but I have good distance vision. I'd accept answers of people's experience using their normal corrective lenses - because really it's about everyday experience, random encounters, UFOs, etc.

2021-10-08T15:24:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446496842003275781

@SgtMccray You are supposed to do the experiment. Put something with text at a distance you can't read the text, then take a max-zoom photo, then enlarge it to see if you can read the text.

2021-10-08T15:22:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446496267878633472

@4Eridani The 7 point pairs I extracted are in this spreadsheet.
https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/rubberduck-csv.47047/
And Google Earth:
https://www.metabunk.org/attachments/rubberduck-frame-data-kmz.47046/

2021-10-08T15:08:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446492780121915395

Another iPhone 13 Pro image, 3x optical zoom, Raw mode. While the noise does not seem to be there IRL, the details of the shape of the plane are slightly better in the phone camera image.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBL5D58UUAMLbqC.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBL5D58UUAMLbqC.jpg

2021-10-08T15:05:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446491866539585539

This was about 30 feet away, the small white text was unreadable to me, but can be made out with the camera on full zoom, then enlarging the image.

If you try this, note your phone model (iPhone 13 Pro here)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBL4VCJVkAE_aX-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBL4VCJVkAE_aX-.jpg

2021-10-08T15:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446491399428329472

Is your phone camera better than your eyes? SPECIFICALLY, is it better at resolving fine details, like text, when zoomed in as much as possible? I just got a new phone (iPhone 13 Pro) and I can use it to read text at a distance where it's a blur to me. How about you? (eg follows)

2021-10-08T14:58:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446490258837696516

@noperst I think it's probably a drone or some balloons. It's a UFO because we don't know which.

2021-10-08T14:11:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446478291112660992

@CatJova Which words?

2021-10-08T14:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446477231384006663

@4Eridani The time is on screen. 9Z = 2AM in AZ MST

2021-10-08T14:04:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446476670064496641

@skinnyb0b I prefer to try to figure out what is real, rather than argue an arbitrary position.

2021-10-08T14:03:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446476380376498179

@noperst Well, Iâ□□m not saying they can, so thereâ□□s that.

2021-10-08T00:35:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446272957664301061

@UAPmike @matrix2004 Yeah, we don't know what plane it was.

2021-10-08T00:19:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446269000057442304

@UAPmike @matrix2004 Nah, I prefer the Chilean Navy UFO - as that was actually solved.

2021-10-08T00:16:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446268210412621842

@UAPmike @matrix2004 Gimbal is pretty cool looking. But all UFO videos are ultimately either solved or indeterminate.

2021-10-08T00:03:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446265055176118273

@l0nelycl0ud I lean slightly to balloons as the #1 explanation.

2021-10-07T23:16:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446253189657817117

@Tomfortas @dave_beaty @l3athal101 @marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @JeremyCorbell His YouTube channel is still up. I've no idea.

2021-10-07T22:40:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446244180267704320

I take a quack look at the new UFO video. The so-called "Rubber Duck" video from the DHS. It's a genuine video. It's a UFO because we can't tell exactly what it is, but it's not a particularly

impressive UFO. But it IS a good example of parallax.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y5Q82LsMPjQ

2021-10-07T22:38:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446243478501879809

@reyloui50406200 @Oswald1160 @Erick8324 @MiddleOfMayhem The speed indicator is the ground track, so it the plane's motion over the ground PLUS the rotation (panning) of the camera.

In many instances it's zero, even though the plane never stops moving.

2021-10-07T20:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446209860400398362

@L00Corez @BLANKTOPUS It is only missing 0.8 seconds.

2021-10-07T20:23:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446209708981829637

@Nh26882446 @onceagiant GPS data is the useful thing here.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBH4Av0VQBkVsx0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBH4Av0VQBkVsx0.jpg

2021-10-07T20:22:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446209455918567434

@L00Corez @BLANKTOPUS It's the same landscape though. I suspect the glitch just reset the

exposure. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBH30tGVQAoxYB4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBH3z1rVQAYOcRr.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBH30tGVQAoxYB4.jpg

2021-10-07T19:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446196944661987335

@L00Corez @BLANKTOPUS No, there's the same glitch on the overlay. A jump in the time display, slightly under a second.

2021-10-07T19:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446193613394743322

@BarlowTerry @Call_ALL_Beings https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PmbrRvd25G0

2021-10-07T19:18:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446193220518481931

@Leo12822950 @DanVoges @UFOi1973 @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @DaveFalch The lines of sight triangulate to a relatively small track. The "SPD" on the right is the ground track, so the actual

speed has to be less.

2021-10-07T18:51:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446186424991059969

@Leo12822950 @DanVoges @UFOi1973 @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @DaveFalch It is not flying at 100 mph.

2021-10-07T18:46:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446185115290243077

@tomsrun No, it does not. It gives the virtual speed of the ground track. The object is not on the ground, it's like 4,000 feet up in the air.

2021-10-07T18:37:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446182878421782528

@ufo_worldwide @mspacein I disagree. Balloons are possible.

2021-10-07T17:35:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446167434558251026

@My111100496401 No, it's not travelling that fast. That's the ground track.

2021-10-07T17:29:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446165858770821130

RT @Acyn: Trump loses Arizona again

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1446158020195459095/pu/img/JAuHsxwNUyJQ4tJo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1446158020195459095/pu/img/JAuHsxwNUyJQ4tJo.jpg

2021-10-07T15:54:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446141878328381443

@ufo_worldwide Tracks are too low resolution. The GPS data in the video would show it better.

2021-10-07T15:52:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446141354803744777

@tomsrun It's not going 100mph

2021-10-07T15:51:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446141259878256644

@BLANKTOPUS It's just missing about 0.8 seconds, both background and display. I think just a glitch. Odd though http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBG5njZVUAAncd4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBG5meNVcAAuyer.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBG5njZVUAAncd4.jpg

2021-10-07T14:48:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446125402028609537

@GavPat89 @GeorgeT96559261 @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP Who posted it?

2021-10-07T14:28:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446120137526951949

@OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @DaveFalch No, he did not. He got some useful footage, but in a very different situation.

2021-10-07T10:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446066083786416130

@OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @RealRiddimHours @DaveFalch Why not release it now?

2021-10-07T08:41:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446032900080562179

@GeorgeT96559261 @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP I'd suspect that extracting the plane position and the ground track would show the same thing as in the Aguadilla case - an intersection with a slow moving object at wind speed.

2021-10-07T08:28:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446029582344220674

@GeorgeT96559261 Very spotty ADSB, so unfortunately it's a low resolution track. The data in the video would be better, but @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP removed it.

2021-10-07T08:26:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446029181125476354

@GeorgeT96559261 What about it? @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP removed the lat/lon so we can't tell.

There was no reason to remove that, as it's visible in the segments @DaveFalch used.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBFT1nLUcAIwX3M.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBFT1nLUcAIwX3M.jpg

2021-10-07T08:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446028773711773702

@dave_beaty @l3athal101 @marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch I'd be happy to do a bit more analysis, but it's pointless unless @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP releases the original footage (the version he gave to @DaveFalch, the one with lat/lon coordinates)

Just stick it on a Dropbox or other file sharing service and post the link.

2021-10-07T08:21:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446027872511004674

@GeorgeT96559261 The plane heading is changing.

The speed on the right is the speed of the ground track.

2021-10-07T08:14:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446026032423735299

@GeorgeT96559261 What about the data invalidates the standard parallax explanation?

2021-10-07T08:12:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1446025657561927680

@l3athal101 @MiddleOfMayhem It seems to skip about second in the HUD time, hard to see exactly as it's blended, but most of 08:50:59 is missing. It's the same background though. Maybe video interrupted. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBFQYgQVIAIWlB0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBFQMByUUAICc2n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBFQYgQVIAIWlB0.jpg

2021-10-07T04:21:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445967466820038659

@GeorgeT96559261 Who confirmed it?

2021-10-07T03:35:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445955825520955396

@Daversa2 @marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch Probably less

---

2021-10-07T03:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445953958388768771
@Nh26882446 Go on then.

2021-10-07T02:12:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445934968371245064
@Nh26882446 Can you put some numbers on that?

2021-10-07T02:05:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445933277877989378
@Nh26882446 Yet that is the plane.

Where do you see any acceleration from the object? It looks like itâ□□s just drifting, or moving linearly.

2021-10-07T01:29:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445924224669925376
@JeremyUnidenti1 @sazek @MiddleOfMayhem Yeah that makes sense. Also I canâ□□t find any references to balloons being used - although I thought Iâ□□d heard of it.
Thermal looks very cold though, which leans to balloon.

2021-10-07T01:19:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445921649665056772
@JeremyUnidenti1 @sazek @MiddleOfMayhem I lean more towards balloons. Itâ□□s not making any drone-like movements that I can see.

2021-10-07T01:15:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445920564879585285
@bottwater Another balloon. It looks like a bunch.

2021-10-07T01:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445920379248144390
@l3athal101 @marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch Yes.

2021-10-07T00:52:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445915001764515843
@JeremyUnidenti1 @SocialistFT @hoveringobject @MiddleOfMayhem "BH" in lower left = Black Hot.

2021-10-07T00:46:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445913402619031552
@marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch That speed is the camera ground track, not the speed of the object.

2021-10-07T00:45:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445913242182696971
@L00Corez @EssentialBusin7 They would have to be a lot higher.

2021-10-07T00:45:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445913016445272066
@LuBnhmvl1mccOui I don't know if they follow me.

2021-10-07T00:42:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445912421638361092

@LuBnhmvl1mccOui What does the Navy have to do with this video?

2021-10-07T00:42:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445912263970328581

@mspacein A bunch of balloons can quite easily just drift along. That does not mean they always will.

2021-10-07T00:39:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445911729385328640

@EssentialBusin7 @L00Corez The camera is on the plane, looking at something that looks like balloons.

2021-10-07T00:38:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445911429912006663

@marcpalmerdev @MiddleOfMayhem @DaveFalch The plane is circling it.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDovrFVkAEdJgy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDovrFVkAEdJgy.jpg

2021-10-07T00:38:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445911253658996745

@MiddleOfMayhem SPD on the left is the plane's speed. SPD on the right is the ground track speed (i.e. the apparent speed including parallax.)

2021-10-07T00:36:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445910785411059716

@Manning123Sm It's night. 0900Z is 3AM in Arizona

2021-10-07T00:32:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445909970692677632

@L00Corez @EssentialBusin7 It's a plane. This one.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDnbY0VEAgvcCX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDnbY0VEAgvcCX.jpg

2021-10-07T00:32:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445909846407061506

@Manning123Sm It would mostly reflect the sky, which is very cold.

2021-10-07T00:27:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445908607933972481

See similar video here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

---

2021-10-07T00:21:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445906985522630659

@EssentialBusin7 It's border patrol. The balloons are used for smuggling.

2021-10-07T00:20:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445906823672840193

@mspacein No.

2021-10-07T00:20:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445906761173454849

@ForeySR @L00Corez I think it's balloons.

2021-10-07T00:15:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445905634017173508

@L00Corez That would be top of my list.

2021-10-07T00:14:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445905349010071552

@zcichy https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1445904620123951107

2021-10-07T00:12:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445904927415410688

@hevinessence @OFFICIAL_NY_UAP @carlanderson333

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1445904620123951107

2021-10-07T00:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445904620123951107

This looks like the path a border patrol plane makes when following something like a bunch of mylar balloons drifting in the wind at night. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDiXW7VcAAdE2-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDiXW7VcAAdE2-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDh-xMVIAEFGmv.jpg

2021-10-06T23:24:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445892800826933248

@Catchme22322934 He deleted it, says he accidentally uploaded it in 480p. Unfortunate.

2021-10-06T23:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445892324949561348

@Catchme22322934 missed it! http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDXZx5VgAY7Iks.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FBDXZx5VgAY7Iks.jpg

2021-10-06T23:22:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445892178648076288

@SeriouslySiriu3 @Oswald1160 @ron9gray @jimmy2cans That's discussed in the thread. Pixel level analysis shows It doesn't.

2021-10-06T19:34:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445834764892577794

@ron9gray Old.

2021-10-06T03:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445598282319683587

@dave_beaty Thatâ□□s not the point.

2021-10-06T01:44:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445565533399576578

@dave_beaty What percentage of maverick scientists are actually successful? We only remember the ones who made it.

2021-10-05T19:11:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445466734513713157

@Catchme22322934 What, and spoil the trick?

It's not super complicated, and like most illusion, obvious once you figure it out.

2021-10-05T19:05:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445465234425012225

@InvNightSchool @BostonsBuddha I think it's a plane, and the video segment isn't at actual speed.

2021-10-05T18:50:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445461297785565188

Similar to the wings of an insect creating the classic cryptid/paranormal "rods", long exposures

of the steady and flashing lights of a plane creates the photographic illusion of a long UFO. But it's just a plane. https://twitter.com/atlanteanpearl/status/1445207918945742851

2021-10-05T15:03:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445404340282351624

@cbgb7190 @chrisotis78 Can you be more specific?

2021-10-05T15:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445403555750457345

@528vibes @KalKorff @PostDisclosure @bradjohansson21 No, I think it was Mellon, DeLonge an Elizondo. Others were involved, and thereâ□□s a degree of organicness.

2021-10-05T02:10:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445209788955066369

@LymburnerTodd @NIH_LLAMAS @chrisotis78 That does not sound realistic.

2021-10-05T01:51:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445205060103782400

@MAD__B @chrisotis78 You said earlier that he was right. Did you mistweet?

2021-10-05T01:47:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445203970096439298

@thethermidorian @chrisotis78 He did.

2021-10-05T01:46:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445203746921668610

@LymburnerTodd @NIH_LLAMAS @chrisotis78 Where did he say that?

2021-10-05T01:45:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445203584342106120

@MAD__B @chrisotis78 That not what he said.

2021-10-05T01:30:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445199573136265220

@edwardcurrent @LymburnerTodd @chrisotis78 Yeah, the issue of focus in a variety of videos is interesting. Circle of confusion etc. Itâ□□s got a lot of layers of complexity.

2021-10-05T01:26:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445198598665244677

@L00Corez @chrisotis78 Well, 40% of you.

2021-10-05T00:29:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445184218070347779

@edwardcurrent @chrisotis78 I think there's a little trolling - he's trying to run a channel. But I also think he still doesn't really understand the focus issue - as indicated by him doing a close up garage demonstration again.

2021-10-05T00:26:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1445183672223617024

@retromastertoys @JohanneSimard9 @MindfulOfLight

https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/atlantis.html

2021-10-05T00:25:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445183430107361282
@inf3rence @chrisotis78 That was done years ago.

2021-10-05T00:08:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445179149702742016
@DenilsonSP3 @BostonsBuddha "all"?

2021-10-05T00:03:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445177787887734787
I finally got around to watching @chrisotis78 re-rebuttal to the focus issues in GoFast. He basically just says the same (wrong) things again. But people think he's making good points. Is it worth continuing to address? Should I re-re-rebut it?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mVVGxNNMgd8

2021-10-04T23:58:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445176489184354304
@retromastertoys @JohanneSimard9 @MindfulOfLight It's higher resolution regions from sonar scans of the seabed.

i.e. you see more detail where the scanning ship has been.

2021-10-04T19:04:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445102625087655946
@foppac @MaxTutelage @Frequen15309040 @garethkett Some are a weird mixture, not really bothered about reality, just shitposting and feeling justified because it's against the man. The science isn't the point for them, it's like trolling for the cause of a counter-culture philosophy.

2021-10-04T14:45:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1445037412342661123
@MaxTutelage @Frequen15309040 @garethkett @foppac Flat Earths are essentially trolling because they are uninterested in geometry. Iâ□□d leave them alone unless they actually seem genuine.

2021-10-03T23:43:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444810449002766337
@realufobros Nothing is up with it. Sometimes a flight path will coincide with the top of a volcano.

2021-10-03T15:55:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444692516301783041
@wilf00362150 Drone.

2021-10-03T11:27:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444625117795930118
RT @ufoofinterest: @MickWest Also, that was a drone.
https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/974640823483686913

2021-10-03T11:25:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1444624738031071234
@chillangelino Eventually, yes.

2021-10-03T03:31:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444505466873077764
@KillTrevor13 It looks like landing lights.

2021-10-03T03:14:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444501140515606528
@KillTrevor13 Small plane fly firs perpendicular to the camera and down, then turning and flying towards it

---

2021-10-03T02:53:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444495739816611840
@KillTrevor13 Why does it need more? Is there math demonstrating itâ□□s odd?

2021-10-03T02:51:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444495237041176583
@johnbruso Ask a vulcanologist.

2021-10-03T02:50:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444495104652165120
@DreamsEnd3 @bradjohansson21 Clear foreground here too. Volcano cams also often have the property of being many miles from the volcano, leaving a lot of room for aircraft, drones, etc

---

2021-10-03T02:48:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444494455726215170
@jake01019632 Seems like one

2021-10-03T02:47:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444494188628770820
@preluder @HRFaulkner Yes. And most UFOs are ordinary things. So here itâ□□s inevitable youâ□□d see ordinary things near volcanos.

2021-10-03T02:44:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444493464440164354
@MikeColangelo It does not need to be a volcano. All bits of sky will have them. Volcanos are us overrepresented. Because they are interesting. Also active volcanoes often have drones and aircraft looking at them.

2021-10-03T01:32:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444475337417453571
What is up with UFOs and volcanos? Itâ□□s that volcanos have cameras pointed at them and often have clear sky behind them, so inevitably youâ□□ll get a â□□UFOâ□□
https://twitter.com/TheProjectUnity/status/1444368358380556293

2021-10-01T21:05:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1444045742788644864
@WomensUAPNetwrk @ufotruthdigger Yeah, looks like a high order wavefront aberration. i.e. something wrong with (or a natural limit of) your camera, something that happens (differently)

with other camera. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FApH3rcUYAACJ8g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FApH3rcUYAACJ8g.jpg
2021-10-01T16:37:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443978286309117957
@ThorntonVallery @realufobros @InvNightSchool I don't actually think that's the way to go. There's no harm in considering alternative ideas, but you can't put all ideas on the same footing. Abduction stories are not like gravity.

2021-10-01T16:10:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443971543151702022
@realufobros @InvNightSchool The real stuff tho

2021-10-01T16:09:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443971446129131520
@benjimaan22 @JeremyCorbell @g_knapp @ChrisKMellon Not really. The slides have no real context, might just be related to evolution of cameras, as seen on the next slide.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAoEXK0VkAItlX0.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAoEXK0VkAItlX0.jpg
2021-10-01T16:08:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443971006230499328
@ufotruthdigger I think @WomensUAPNetwrk just has something wrong with her camera so when she zooms in on a distant light she gets this type of image. It specific to her camera, it would be fixed if she gets a better camera.

Might be related to this type of effect.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/shape-changing-light-from-plane-with-nikon-p900-polarizing-filter-venus.11904/

2021-10-01T03:59:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443787634669621295
@EnergyField7 @ThorntonVallery Embellishments.

2021-10-01T02:14:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443761286521655311
@ThorntonVallery Your brain compressing, organizing, and embellishing memories.

2021-10-01T02:03:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443758418083278850
@Centrist48 Sheâ□□s a true believer.

2021-10-01T02:01:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443758033985630238

@jake01019632 @DanielScoland They would have done if there were planes. The first contrails were seen around 1920.

2021-10-01T01:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443745312330293251

@DanielScoland You might find these books interesting. Consistent descriptions or persistent spreading contrails, over 70 years.

https://youtu.be/X72uACIN_00

2021-09-30T23:31:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443720210293280768

@InvNightSchool The TTSA Unidentified show was much more nuts-and-bolts, just sensationalized nuts and bolts. It wasn't magic and love.

2021-09-30T23:25:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443718613328809986

@NZInvestigator Depends on what you are looking for. Lots of people are interested in that end of the topic.

2021-09-30T23:20:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443717384569700368

@InvNightSchool No, there's quite a spectrum, from the nuts-and-bolts investigations to the supernatural and mystical.

2021-09-30T23:15:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443716120330993665

Demi Lovato's "Unidentified" show isn't really about UFOs. It's about encounters with, and abductions by, magical loving supernatural entities that were probably mostly just random CE5 lights in the sky, and dreams.

2021-09-30T22:50:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443709913297940530

@DubsFranky @MoonPieDreams I'm sorry, but where's the extrapolation here? Or anywhere?

2021-09-30T22:33:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443705573531283479

@DubsFranky https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bpK_umSTUTQ

2021-09-30T21:51:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443695006879936512

@KimDotcom That was from the public hearing, not from an FDA expert. It's also false.

https://www.statesman.com/story/news/politics/2021/09/24/does-pfizer-covid-vaccine-kill-more-people-than-saves/5831011001/

2021-09-29T16:34:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1443252892035522567
@Linkchadlad That's a 360p upload, far worse than even the screen-capture version. It looks fake to me, just a fiddly compositing job. I'd not bother with it unless the original video is available.

2021-09-29T16:17:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1443248601665130499
@Linkchadlad Where is the original footage?

2021-09-28T19:47:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442938927397027840
@CurrumeoMD https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FVBHMU-e6YM

2021-09-28T19:45:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442938574316314625
You know that video of a dog being rescued from certain death on the tracks ahead of an oncoming train is fake, too good to be true. But how can you tell for sure?
It's mostly about the shadows.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FVBHMU-e6YM

2021-09-28T17:22:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442902533173698562
@Ps5andxbox1 @rogeressig It gives a clue as to how the human visual system works. It objectively works in that it interacts with brain chemistry.

2021-09-28T17:09:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442899210257395714
@Ps5andxbox1 The highly subjective and unverifiable nature of the experiences.

2021-09-28T17:03:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442897869615165440
@MrAnalyzer37 @DavidJayB Scale is complicated. A small event triggering a progressive series of events is not.

2021-09-28T15:18:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442871428458577923
@MrAnalyzer37 @DavidJayB Consider an extreme example. Dominos. One domino can topple a million others.
Or a champagne pyramid collapse.

And then thereâ□□s scale. Itâ□□s complicated.

2021-09-27T21:19:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442599810637262848
@kekule6 @Scionkirk planet, drone, plane, lantern, helicopter, etc.

2021-09-27T19:54:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442578407368249345
@kekule6 Given all we see is a light is the sky, it's very difficult to determine what it is.

2021-09-27T16:19:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442524216503386113

@kekule6 Hard to say. A light in the sky can be any one of a number of things. This does not seem to move, and looks most like Venus.

2021-09-27T15:27:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442511159362404358

@flyDutchman2000 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U8vVMQsafiU

2021-09-26T19:07:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442204184728670210

@Jim87504388 @MrAnalyzer37 Depends on how old he is. But even the most. If he genuinely likes solving difficult problems then he's got a good chance of solving this one.

2021-09-26T17:21:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442177511253688320

@MrAnalyzer37 This is an old argument. In a few years you will realize it's bullshit.

2021-09-26T17:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442176463218753537

@MissaXD Oneida Rogers MASONIC ORDER EASTERN STAR Slotted Jelly Spoons 6"
https://www.ebay.com/itm/114868289061 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAOjyiOVEAwY2-_.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAOjyiOVEAwY2-_.jpg

2021-09-26T17:12:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1442175182659997707
@MissaXD Looks like the Order of the Eastern Star, a masonic order.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Order_of_the_Eastern_Star
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAOiqiCVcAQA1jK.png



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAOiin4VcAUlA0a.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/FAOiqiCVcAQA1jK.png

2021-09-26T16:38:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442166791388614665

@BalabushkaFury I always include the reveal when I make a fake. Otherwise it's going to end up "in the wild" with people thinking it's real.

2021-09-26T15:53:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442155313709273091

@MrAnalyzer37 Drop concrete 1000 feet. Concrete smash. Add steel. Everything smash.

It's really not that complicated.

2021-09-26T15:48:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442154204756209666

@IggyMo_Media They get clicks. And maybe they enjoy the challenge of fooling people.

2021-09-26T15:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442147759348346901

This was a fun little analysis. The clearer (and higher resolution) a video is, the easier it is to find little CGI flaws like the shadows being off, or motion tracking jitter.

https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1442037798165483520

2021-09-26T15:02:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442142545119617035

@ufoman1214 It was pointed out in the thread that it looks like the top of a street light. Minor mystery as to why you canâ□□t see the pole. Overexposure in portrait mode?

2021-09-26T14:58:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442141610234368006

@cryptobatman4 @ThomasTobin95 @MichaelSalla @SomewhereSkies @SilvaRecord @TheProjectUnity Too small? Why is there a size limit?

2021-09-26T14:50:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1442139617470287882

@MrAnalyzer37 PE

2021-09-25T18:47:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441836897420996609

@ThomasTobin95 @MichaelSalla @SomewhereSkies @SilvaRecord @TheProjectUnity Probably a reflection of lights inside the cupola.

2021-09-24T18:05:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441463829146210307

@KingM2021 It looks very much like a balloon.

2021-09-24T14:08:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441404245027155975

@Ps5andxbox1 It more like a belief in ghosts easily allows a belief in UFOs, but not the other way. Ghosts require more magic.

2021-09-24T04:36:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441260270660186119

@TheLoneMannix @5X5_NEWS @BarlowTerry @F1R3intheSKY @chrisotis78 Iâ□□d be happy to discuss it with Chris. I did live-tweet my response to that video, but of course that gets lost.

2021-09-24T01:40:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441215848241192971

@TheLoneMannix @5X5_NEWS @BarlowTerry @F1R3intheSKY @chrisotis78 He didnâ□□t.

2021-09-23T19:22:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441120717035032591

@5X5_NEWS @BarlowTerry @F1R3intheSKY All I've said is that I think a small plane like an F-18 is the best fit for size and distance in the video. I think it's more likely something from the Nimitz group, but I'd be happy to be proven wrong.

2021-09-23T18:41:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441110611606196224

@mattjnowak Historically there have been many such phenomena. It think it's quite possible there's something atmospheric that might explain SOME UAP sightings.
But likewise, the human brain isn't really well understood, and that also may be responsible for

some sightings.

2021-09-23T17:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441092568389853185

@LtTimMcMillan @RealCSharp @Debriefmedia @SnakePo9 Since the review would be conducted by the Chief of Space Operations, it might be more accurate to say they have been given the opportunity to declassify some programs.

Seem like it's kind of a test run of the PIDB initiative to reduce overclassification

https://transforming-classification.blogs.archives.gov/2021/09/10/fy-2022-national-defense-authorization-act-recognizes-the-need-for-a-new-classification-policy-for-space-information-to-reduce-over-classification/

2021-09-23T17:10:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441087479348559872

@LtTimMcMillan @RealCSharp @Debriefmedia @SnakePo9 Well of course it can. But being "forced" to declassify something suggests A) there's active opposition to that declassification. and B) it's actually going to happen.

If these are highly sensitive classified programs, then they are not going to get declassified any time soon.

2021-09-23T16:57:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441084268004851713

@RealCSharp @Debriefmedia @SnakePo9 Again, that's reviewing classification, not forcing a down-classification

---

2021-09-23T16:55:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441083872188370947

@SnakePo9 @Debriefmedia I did. Maybe I missed something under the ever changing barrage of ads, but all it was say was they were asked to review the classification of things.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E__CAZNVUAQ_yqf.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E__CAZNVUAQ_yqf.jpg

2021-09-23T16:48:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441082136497299456

@Debriefmedia @SnakePo9 Being asked to review the classification level of something does not force it to be declassified.

2021-09-23T16:44:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441081031109447681

@RedLohit Sure, but it's still worth explaining.

2021-09-23T16:41:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441080242559279106

If you want to understand "Havana Syndrome", especially if you are going to write about it, then read Drs Baloh and Bartholomew's book on the topic.

From similar historical examples to brain white matter and crickets, it's necessary context even if you think it's microwaves. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_--W_3VUAUEIP0.jpg

---



Foreword I

The so-called acoustic attack on diplomats in the American Embassy in Havana, Cuba, beginning in late 2016, caught the attention of the world media. Those affected mostly reported hearing an unusual sound, often accompanied by pressure or vibration. Sometimes, the noise caused cognitive symptoms such as memory problems. The American Government blamed an acoustic weapon, although sound experts cast doubt on this as a likely cause. A report in the respected *Journal of the American Medical Association* evaluating the staff affected seemed initially to add further support for physical damage from some kind of external source.

Enter Robert Bartholomew and Robert Baloh. Dr. Bartholomew is the world's leading international expert on mass psychogenic illness. He has been an active researcher in the field for the past 25 years and conducted a meticulous analysis of the Havana episode, drawing on all the available material and his considerable experience of similar illness outbreaks. Dr. Baloh has conducted a similar analysis as a pioneer in neurotology – the study of neurological disorders of the ear. A distinguished professor of Neurology and Head and Neck Surgery at the David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, he has served as director of the UCLA Neurotology Testing Laboratory since 1974 and devised tests that are used by neurologists around the world.

This book is the result of their investigation and detective work. It is a cogent and convincing analysis that mass psychogenic illness is the likely cause of the Havana incident. Mass psychogenic illnesses are fascinating phenomena and are much more common than most people realize. Typically, they occur in closed social groups, like schools, army barracks, or factories. Often, there is a backdrop of raised levels of stress and anxiety. These illnesses are set off by unusual environmental agents like a smell or insect. The Havana episode is an enthralling case, as it brings together the political background of what was occurring in Cuban-American relations at the time with the search for a cause of unusual symptoms that also spread to other embassies. This book represents the author's dogged determination and focus to get to the bottom of what happened in Havana. It is a lively and enthralling read. The world needs more Bartholomews and Balohs who question and test official explanations and are prepared to roll their sleeves up and dig into these fascinating cases. The results of their analysis not only shed light on this case but provide a greater understanding of human behavior.

Keith J. Petrie

Foreword II

In a concise and engagingly-written volume, Doctors Baloh and Bartholomew make the case that the so-called "Havana syndrome" is simply the latest entry in a long and storied history of severe illness whose origin is psychological (psychogenic) rather than physical. Such illnesses, though extremely common, are understood very poorly by both the medical profession and the public. Rather than being treated with empathy and compassion, the sufferers and victims often are stigmatized.

The striking and impressive finding from the carefully-researched manuscript is that poor information – and in some cases deliberately false or misleading information – leads to real physical suffering and, in the case of the Havana illnesses, to global political consequences. While these authors wisely stay away from considering political motives, it is also clear that the individual agendas of policy makers have made important contributions to the problem, as powerful players such as the US Department of State release broad public announcement based almost strictly on speculation.

The events in Havana, and the domino consequences that followed, were propagated by well-meaning physicians, by shady characters pursuing narrow fiscal interests, by journalists who are eager for a story (but short on thoughtful investigation), and by a generally credulous and uncritical public that chooses to trust its media and its government. What we learn from this book is that this is the very climate in which to brew a perfect storm of hysteria.

Baloh and Bartholomew go far beyond Havana to make their case. They chart psychogenic mass illnesses through centuries of experience. They show both that history is chock full of examples of disorders having essentially all of the features of the Havana syndrome and, disturbingly, that even today our impulse is to discount these historical lessons and instead to concoct fanciful explanations such as weaponized sounds, if only to avoid labeling the victims of these syndromes as hysterics. In so doing, we not only amplify the illnesses, but we fail the victims by excluding them from the insight treatments that might be effective.

This is an important book for professionals in journalism, for people in policy positions, for a lay audience seeking to gain insight into the reality of life in a mass media age, and for medical professionals who, once again, need to learn these lessons to care for their patients.

Mark S. Cohen

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_--W_3VUAUEIP0.jpg

2021-09-23T16:32:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441077998531137539

@RedLohit The image was made in 2017. Just change it to 2021.

2021-09-23T16:31:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441077861448761344

@FromTheMystery Most people incorporate some vision of the future into their current lives.

2021-09-23T16:30:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441077507927646232

@Ps5andxbox1 I do. I think there's a fairly reasonable chance of humanity diversifying planets in the next 100 and solar systems in the next 1,000 years.

2021-09-23T16:27:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441076704496128004

@mission_europa Congress didn't "take action" any more than they "take action" on any little earmark that finds its way into a bill. This one didn't even have any funding associated with it. Just "make a report".

2021-09-23T16:25:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441076138999050245

@Vidrinath @Oswald1160 @edwardcurrent @UAP0wner @NBCNews

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-7EsnUUAsThYR.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-7EsnUUAsThYR.jpg

Another curious aspect of the study are claims about brain trauma; that the patients were suffering from damage to their brains and concussion-like symptoms. They wrote that there was "persistent cognitive, vestibular, and oculomotor dysfunction, as well as sleep impairment and headaches." What does this mean in lay terms? 'Vestibular' refers to the parts of the inner ear that control balance and brain connections. 'Oculomotor function' refers to the control of eye movements. Problems associated with these brain systems often result in dizziness and imbalance. The neurology team further noted that while there was no history of head trauma, "These individuals appeared to have sustained injury to widespread brain networks." The injuries were so severe that 14 of the 21 patients were still unable to return to work at the time the study was published [11, p 1132]. Despite these assertions, their evidence was far from convincing. Shortly after the Cuban sonic investigation panel issued their skeptical findings in early December 2017, information was leaked to the media by some members of this study, strongly rebuffing the claims. It was reported that MRI scans conducted by physicians at the University of Miami and Pennsylvania revealed white matter tract damage and noted that their findings would soon be published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* [12]. *When the JAMA study was published in February 2018, it* found "nonspecific white matter changes in some individuals, but were otherwise unrevealing" [13, p 1098]. Of the 21 patients tested, most findings were normal. Only three showed white matter changes: two were mild and one was moderate. White matter tract changes are common in an array of conditions ranging from migraine and depression to normal aging. While media leaks by members of the study team claimed that there were significant changes to white matter in the brains of the patients, their actual data did not live up to the hype. In fact, the findings are what one would expect in a group of 21 people who were randomly selected off the street. As for the other dramatic finding of concussion-like symptoms, two neurologists who examined the study and wrote an accompanying editorial, Christopher Muth and Stephen Lewis, were skeptical, pointing out that many of the symptoms overlap with a host of medical and psychiatric conditions and there were no structural changes

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-6-c3VIAIqfpU.jpg

2021-09-23T16:17:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441074271472611331

(image from https://www.facebook.com/dave.greg1/posts/1490072397742802)

2021-09-23T16:17:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441074220734115850

The ultimate end of the world will be around 4,000,000,000 AD, but that's impersonally distant, so through history people have prefered to predict is as just around the corner. There's probably someone predicting this year. And on it goes.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-3zj9VUAoCPNW.jpg


THE END OF THE WORLD IS NEAR!
EXPECT IT BY 30 AD! 60 AD XC
sometime in the second century
365
500!
Oops! But definitely before 400!
The end is nigh!
968
992
1000 AD
1033
1147
1205
1346
Really, though:
1533
1669
1680
Seriously, 1792!
1830
1844
50
1891
This time, I'm sure: 1914
1940 or 1948
April 23rd
1957
1967
1972
1982
'88
1990!
1998
1999
For sure: Jan 1 2000
2001
2003
AUG 22 2006
OK, REALLY
2008
2009
2010
May 21, 2011
OCTOBER
Dec 21, 2012
Sept 23, 2013
Sept 23, 2014
Sept 23, 2015
Sept 23, 2016
Sept 23, 2017

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-3zj9VUAoCPNW.jpg
2021-09-23T16:06:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1441071426371350528
@berational1234 Note that SPLC article is from 2010. The theory has basically been around for decades, and it just never happens. Nor does even gets a bit closer to happening. It just a load of dot connecting.

2021-09-23T16:01:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1441070335760109573
@mission_europa So? He's the one responsible for it happening. He made it happen. Just him.

2021-09-23T15:51:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1441067675132653570
@berational1234 But this isn't actually evidence. He's telling you a story, but not actually backing it up with anything.

The Walmart think doesn't make sense. Most of the stores they are closing are small express or neighborhood markets.

See: https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/intelligence-report/2010/fear-fema

---

2021-09-23T15:36:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1441064011420684297
@mission_europa Chris Mellon has acknowledged that he was "the person" responsible for getting the UAP report to happen.

https://www.christophermellon.net/post/the-uap-report-and-the-uap-issue
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-v9woVUAArY_V.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_-v9woVUAArY_V.jpg
2021-09-23T14:34:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1441048337260560395
@berational1234 Whatâ□□s the best evidence he gives you?

2021-09-23T14:33:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441048055088746508

@aka__V @edwardcurrent @NBCNews Itâ□□s not always, but the sufferers think they are being falsely diagnosed as being mentally ill.

2021-09-23T14:01:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1441040090457726978

RT @Cmdr_Hadfield: Meteorite detectives - by piecing together dashcams, they found it.
https://bit.ly/3EMiLzu

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1441004814650028037/pu/img/9o_DmwTpv47gv29c.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1441004814650028037/pu/img/9o_DmwTpv47gv29c.jpg

2021-09-23T04:44:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440899736655982601

@Vidrinath @edwardcurrent @UAP0wner @NBCNews You and I donâ□□t have the data. But the people who do seem to be moving towards the psychogenic explanation.

2021-09-22T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440817013450964994

@realufobros @drumshticknow @NBCNews Yes, Middleveen and Stricker are two of them. The main two. Stricker was into chronic Lyme before he got into Morgellons

---

2021-09-22T23:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440814367277084679

@fin365 Direct questions get direct answers. It's hard to make a poll subtle.

2021-09-22T22:59:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440813125092069385

@realufobros @drumshticknow @NBCNews Morgellons is generally considered not to be a real disease. It's basically a fringe version of chronic Lyme disease (plus fibers), which itself is generally considered to be a pseudomedical diagnosis.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chronic_Lyme_disease

2021-09-22T20:54:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440781585935060997

@realufobros @NBCNews About five.

2021-09-22T18:26:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440744247011864583

@ShepardAmbellas This is nothing to do with vaccines. He's a disgruntled employee who was smashing the trucks because he had not been paid.

https://www.urfanatik.com/haber/7782581/parasini-alamayan-isci-sirketin-kamyonlarini-boyle-parcaladi

---

2021-09-22T17:39:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440732568358297606

@edwardcurrent @NBCNews I agree. It's also related to the difficult of talking about false memory, and perceptual errors in UFOlogy. Many people react angrily at even a hint of discussing that.

2021-09-22T17:38:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440732166783004682

@BostonsBuddha @badconsigliere @NBCNews I'm talking about in the Havana cases. They were often diagnosed with something like TBI purely based on self-reported symptoms.

2021-09-22T15:55:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440706408798818305

@BostonsBuddha @badconsigliere @NBCNews The TBI diagnosis is largely clinical.

2021-09-22T15:05:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440693708991516679

@maou42 @AngelaH11334075 @UAPmike It's just a subjectively humorous ripost. It does not mean anything other than than I was reminded of the "never play the Dane" quote.

2021-09-22T14:58:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440692073355640832

@maou42 @AngelaH11334075 @UAPmike https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xa-vNS_97II

2021-09-22T10:11:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440619821285068805

@realufobros @NBCNews So a handful of people say.

2021-09-22T02:39:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440505960141705222

@UAP0wner @NBCNews No. Diplomats are under stress in more than one place.

2021-09-22T02:38:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440505648072957961

@janmaxwell @NBCNews Symptoms can be both real or psychogenic in these cases. Itâ□□s the attribution to a specific cause thatâ□□s the issue.

2021-09-22T02:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440505151706394630

@UAP0wner @NBCNews Mass psychogenic illness. Stress induced symptoms. Not their fault.

2021-09-22T02:33:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440504443066220545

@skytriangles @NBCNews The doctors did.

2021-09-22T02:32:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440504330059091979

@HelloFrmJapan It skews more towards UFO believers. But I donâ□□t tweet much about ghosts.

2021-09-22T02:30:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440503622677110787

@UAP0wner @NBCNews I donâ□□t think itâ□□s an attack, at last in most cases, if not all.

2021-09-22T02:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440503387775139848

@IDoubtIt @NBCNews Itâ□□s VERY difficult for the sufferers to accept a diagnosis that to them sounds like â□□you are crazyâ□□. But I suspect doctors could do a better job. People are very sensitive.

2021-09-22T02:22:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440501808095371270

@InvNightSchool @NBCNews Lots of them. My first blog was http://morgellonswatch.com

---

2021-09-22T02:18:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440500803735732231

Mass psychogenic illness is a very difficult topic to discuss with people who think their situation is being belittled. I experienced this in the 2000s talking to people who thought they had Morgellons. This might be similar.

https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/national-security/tense-blinken-meeting-havana-syndrome-diplomats-complain-skepticism-n1279769 via @nbcnews

---

2021-09-22T02:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440498176843079685

@dave_beaty Well no, Answer based on the definitions.

2021-09-22T01:16:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440485016975265799

@dave_beaty I gave definitions, just for the purpose of the poll.

2021-09-22T00:20:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440470927389573124

@AngelaH11334075 @UAPmike I've never held an owl.

2021-09-21T23:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440455623636750342

@UAPmike I like the report. It's a good overview of the situation.

2021-09-21T23:15:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440454622737403908
@ForecasterEnten Interesting statistics and dramatic change. Can you link to the source of the 11% in the 1970s?

2021-09-21T20:05:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440406822037295118
@DaveVidalnyc Just look at the poll results. 60% of NHI UFO believers don't believe in ghosts.

So how can I conflate it? I'm simple trying to determine the overlap. That's the chase.

Do you believe in ghosts?

2021-09-21T20:02:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440406095277617153
@UAPmike "Flying craft of non-human origin" have not been acknowledged by the ODNI, so it's a fairly straightforward comparison.

2021-09-21T20:00:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440405488944906243
@DubsFranky @Mike___Kilo @numexgrower If just 20 videos were 50-50 aliens, then there's only a 1 in a million chance that none of them are aliens.

So I don't think you can assign 50-50 to individual cases.

2021-09-21T19:57:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440404936643149825
@DubsFranky @Mike___Kilo @numexgrower I think it's more like 99.9999% chance that the video is faked. While I would not rule out a genuine flying saucer, it seems by far the least likely explanation.

2021-09-21T19:17:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440394652532707339
@DubsFranky I added "unless you missed something, or mistakenly eliminated the truth" - which I think is a necessary qualification to the original because people often make those mistakes but think they have "eliminated the impossible"

2021-09-21T19:14:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440394058279522304
@IDoubtIt These Roper polls are interesting, is a bit spotty.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_1OlZ3VUAIvhfy.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_1OlZ3VUAIvhfy.jpg



Gallup polls

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_1OYo0VkAUSHhs.jpg

2021-09-21T19:01:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440390638554398721

@DubsFranky What do you think I'm missing?

2021-09-21T18:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440390364322418688
@JanErik26516885 Sure, which is why I provided a specific definition of UFOs for the poll. "flying craft of non-human origin"

2021-09-21T18:58:45+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440390059165847555
@DubsFranky @UAP0wner I'm wondering if your "unsure" equates to you thinking both probabilities are equally likely.

2021-09-21T18:55:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440389231013072903
@DubsFranky @numexgrower @Mike___Kilo So if the evidence is inconclusive, does that mean it's 50/50 a genuine large flying saucer filmed from a plane?

2021-09-21T18:53:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440388773926244355
@DavidFo73204388 I'm not trying to group them. It's a very clear poll. About 60% of UFO believers are not ghost believers.

2021-09-21T17:58:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440374856072060931
@DTruvet Iâ□□m genuinely interested in what the overlap is. Clearly thereâ□□s plenty of UFO folk who donâ□□t believe in ghosts.

2021-09-21T15:54:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440343785938903044
@UAP0wner Too late now, but maybe choose based on >50/50 belief.
Unsure is really a provisional no.

2021-09-21T15:28:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440337048670408716
@JunkScience What about the non-episodic air pollution, which modern science seems to generally regard as harmful?
https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/agents/air-pollution/index.cfm

---

2021-09-21T15:22:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440335615581589511
@bottwater Probably not.

2021-09-21T15:22:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440335528776245253
@michaelmhughes Yes, they talk about that - and identify the movie 'Ghostbusters' as the origin of the mainstreaming of ghost hunting - especially using technological ghost detectors.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_0ZgAXUUAgf8ub.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_0ZgAXUUAgf8ub.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_0Ze68VcAMNeoY.jpg

2021-09-21T15:17:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440334485229211657

@michaelmhughes If you experience something, then how could the experience NOT be genuine?

2021-09-21T15:16:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440334075424698373

@InvNightSchool So, if forced, what option would you check on the poll? Neither?

2021-09-21T15:15:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440333809086439425

@OKftl Not really, it's more about changes in society and other factors (like Ghostbusters) that contributed to the rise.

2021-09-21T15:12:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440333167114604552

@DeathFearer Nor have they acknowledged the existence of flying craft of non-human origin

---

2021-09-21T15:05:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440331387102380036

@DeathFearer The poll is not about what is (or is not) real, it's about what people believe.

2021-09-21T15:04:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440331004170817546

For an interesting discussion on the rise of belief in ghosts, see CNN's Harry Enten's podcast: Margins of Error. Belief in ghosts (according to polls, which are not always accurate) has risen from 10% to over 40% since the 1980s https://www.cnn.com/audio/podcasts/margins-of-error

---

2021-09-21T15:01:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440330292825264131

Belief in both ghosts and UFOs has risen in recent years. What's the crossover? Do you believe in the likely existence of ghosts (i.e. spirits of the dead interacting with the physical world) and/or UFOs (i.e. flying craft of non-human origin)? #ufotwitter #ghosttwitter

---

2021-09-21T13:47:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440311672673947662

@greenpapagia â□□Amazingâ□□ here simply means I was amazed.

2021-09-21T11:14:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440273276748455940

@Wardor82 @MarkieTrill Yes.

2021-09-21T06:52:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440207359263985666

@Wardor82 @MarkieTrill What's the strongest evidence that there was actually something there?

2021-09-21T00:19:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440108274267746307

That video was removed, here's a link to the original source.
https://twitter.com/ACFIPRESS/status/1440054791053275144

2021-09-21T00:18:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1440108065274019840
@Cerbyo1 Doh, try this:

https://twitter.com/ACFIPRESS/status/1440054791053275144

2021-09-20T23:23:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440094381910884354
Amazing drone footage from La Palma. House destroyed and swimming pools boiling when the lava hits.

Side note: drones show up in other bits of footage, and some in #ufotwitter think they are UFOs. https://twitter.com/JorgeMoreno7/status/1440092092408029187

2021-09-20T23:20:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1440093610733498373
@KingM2021 There's lots of drone footage.
https://twitter.com/JorgeMoreno7/status/1440092092408029187

2021-09-20T14:49:54+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439965046281412614
@ShepardAmbellas And you have video of this?

2021-09-20T14:48:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439964618634391556
@ShepardAmbellas Calling bits of fuzz, small fibers, hairs, trichomes, etc, "self-aware" is a misconception that dates back to the Morgellons era.
Small fibers move when poked, or from air movement or static charge.

2021-09-20T14:40:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439962659399495689
@AdrianEdbe @NelsonAcosta80 Probably a drone. There was something super interesting to film right there, so you'd expect drones.

2021-09-20T13:58:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439952142081556484
RT @frederikdirks: We talk to @MickWest and former Danish fighter pilot @Sorenjagerpilot in this weeks episode of Flyvende tallerken. #ufotâ□¦

2021-09-20T13:56:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439951629680136195
@ShepardAmbellas Nope, itâ□□s a common bit of fluff.

2021-09-20T04:14:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439805081939890180
@ShepardAmbellas That's just a stellate trichome. Basically a bit of fuzz from a plant leaf. aka dust. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_s3E03VkAA3ion.jpg

---

A leaf was plucked from the brinjal plant growing in my kitchen garden to study the coarse and rough surface under a foldscope. Stellate trichomes were observed on the surface of egg plant leaf.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_s3E03VkAA3ion.jpg
2021-09-20T03:50:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439799222656634886
@marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @DavidJayB The helicopter location is on screen in the video. It's not in dispute. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_sxuTCVEAQk5PT.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_sxuTCVEAQk5PT.jpg

2021-09-19T21:17:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439700140923650048

@OKINsenga @UFOBreddit My first guess would be After Effects.

2021-09-19T15:59:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439620267794309122

@Oswald1160 @marcbel19406167 @DavidJayB And IB6830 was on radar. Not just ADS-B, but actual radar, at that time. Radar that was available to CEFAA.

Refusing to accept anyone made a mistake is not an argument when it's contradicted by direct evidence. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_qO0suVIAAtBa-.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_qOzwQUcAQs6Mg.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_qO0suVIAAtBa-.jpg

2021-09-19T15:42:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439615968225861638

@ColombiaUap I think really for any slow moving or hovering object in the sky, the person claiming "UFO" really needs to present a good explanation of why it's not a balloon.

2021-09-19T14:46:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439601872357126151

@marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @DavidJayB Marc, I think you might be skimming the explanation here.

There was a plane departing the airport. IB6830. It's a scheduled flight. It was in the right position to show up on the video and make those contrails. It matches the size.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uoqxr6AI7VQ

2021-09-19T06:08:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439471448511303680

@marcbel19406167 @Oswald1160 @DavidJayB No, the main issue is that flight IB6830 was verifiably on the exact path needed to match the video.

It was initially about 40 miles away, and ended up over 100 miles away. They thought it was closer.

2021-09-19T05:00:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439454266393907209

@kekule6 @marcbel19406167 @mr_metalman Fravor and Dietrich's encounter is very interesting.

2021-09-19T04:57:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439453657997537284

@ufoman1214 @TimSpursFan Looks like a plane to me too.

2021-09-19T04:37:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439448560391507975

@PostDisclosure @BrentlyLee Well, my justification was just "Underwood made a mistake, or something didn't work right, or maybe both"

How exactly did he destroy that?

2021-09-19T04:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439447997423644675

@kekule6 @marcbel19406167 @mr_metalman There are literally thousands of unsolved UFO cases.

2021-09-19T04:04:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439440236551938051

@marcbel19406167 Do you agree IB6830 was where the ADS-B data said it was at that time?

2021-09-19T00:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439386324943794179

@Lu666Cifer Being a long way away does not make them invisible.

2021-09-19T00:29:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439386086405337092

@marcbel19406167 All answered in the thread.

If you really want me to go over it again, then pick one.

2021-09-19T00:27:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439385665456599042

@UFOmusings @JeremyUnidenti1 I wasn't diminishing it. I was comparing it to rocket science.

2021-09-19T00:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439384908724465669

@Lu666Cifer 100 miles, and in the right visual location. The parallax matches perfectly.

2021-09-18T22:15:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439352426293653509

@JeremyUnidenti1 Miracles of engineering? It's mostly just moving and working with big stones. Not exactly rocket science.

2021-09-18T20:04:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439319515855134721

@amywatsonthe2nd @Jeff27232500 It's not. It's a balloon.

2021-09-18T18:24:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439294147362385924

@MarcoAn81245505 So ... that's it!

2021-09-18T18:03:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439288937617379328

Here's Elon's joke tweet in context.

https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/1437322712339423237

2021-09-18T18:01:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439288575351156743

Elon Musk made a joke about UFOs. He's made the same joke before.

UFOlogists got all excited, not realizing it was a joke.

Then there was a false story about him deleting the tweet (he didn't), and some people thought the government made him do it to hide his trip to Jupiter.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_lhS6jVcAMHnE-.jpg



Michael Salla @MichaelSalla · 14h

Elon Musk deleted his tweet acknowledging UFOs exist after sparking a twitterstorm. Why? Sources say he traveled to Jupiter in July to meet ETs. That may be why he recently changed his stance on UFOs. Was he required to delete his tweet due to an NDA?



Aliens? Elon Musk sparks a Twitter frenzy with claim 'there are UFOs'

'Elon, phone home - Mars!' one commenter wrote, while others welcomed Mr Musk to #ufotwitter and shared pictures of clouds with ...

dailymail.co.uk

52 87 238

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_lhS6jVcAMHnE-.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_lg4kyVgAg5pyD.jpg
2021-09-18T17:12:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439276229358616580
@beatsbeingsusp1 @GrantCameron No by default. The list is ordered by the actual evidence. So if there's goo evidence for alien spaceships, then that should float up the list.

2021-09-18T17:11:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439275867511746570
@BostonsBuddha @tacticaluap You're overthinking it. The IC does not care about #ufotwitter. Why would they?

2021-09-18T16:55:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1439271981304537090
@beatsbeingsusp1 @GrantCameron What does "seriously entertain" mean? I make a list of every possible explanation, not matter how unlikely, and then see what the evidence indicates

in terms of how to rank the list. Everything stays on the list.

2021-09-18T16:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439271478025809928

@beatsbeingsusp1 @GrantCameron I use Tweetdeck, and I've have a column that includes mentions of my name. I don't actively search for it, it just shows up.

2021-09-18T16:51:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439270878471081992

The 2014 Chilean Navy UFO is cropping up again. Reminder: this isn't a UFO. It's unequivocally, 100%, an A340 flying out of Santiago. Iberia flight 6830. There are no unanswered questions. No mystery. Just an interesting case solved by crowdsourcing.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-09-18T16:40:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439268198159773709

@beatsbeingsusp1 @GrantCameron There's plenty of room on the list. That's the point.

2021-09-18T15:22:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439248583740456963

@tacticaluap Only as a potential cult. Compare with early Heavenâ□□s Gate.

2021-09-18T15:09:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439245136257454086

@SkimmerSea The games were on audio tape, so you could theoretically copy them that way. In practice youâ□□d use direct tape-to-tape. But now they exist as audio files.

2021-09-18T15:04:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439243973621477378

@ColombiaUap Did it move?

2021-09-18T00:44:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1439027446913769474

@LawrenceMail I like tweets quite often.

2021-09-17T22:52:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438999222519357440

@Ateistene1 @jon_hinchliffe @michaelshermer

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qTfMaDEuNzE

2021-09-17T22:50:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438998862404882432

@michaelshermer It's a classic! X-45A test flight from 2007.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-jet-chasing-ufo-x-45a-and-f-a-18b-formation-flight.10617/

2021-09-17T21:40:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438981154263101441

@jerichoisland Yeah. Emigrant

https://twitter.com/LACoFireAirOps/status/1438972721841410049

2021-09-17T21:38:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438980609297108992

@jerichoisland Might be Emigrant, as there's an Emigrant Landing there.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_hJNzgVUAINpo3.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_hJNzgVUAINpo3.jpg

2021-09-17T20:21:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438961255692308481

@thieme @Josef_baum @edwardcurrent @sahandkamal @BigPickleUAP I like John. I've been on his show a couple of times. He's more skeptical than some, and less so than others.

2021-09-17T15:45:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438891878099783683

@UsCars4 @ufouapam The "Stars and a Plane" refer to the "Pyramid" video. There's no exact time or location on that video, so it's hard to link to a specific plane.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZHrCE6t699Y

2021-09-16T23:58:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438653525014167552

@DTruvet It was obviously a burner account (made this month, followed the usual UFO bunch), but I thought he was genuinely confused about the "deleted" tweet thing.

2021-09-16T23:49:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438651357276217345

@DTruvet At least I learnt something new!

2021-09-16T23:49:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438651214514692097

@DTruvet Well..... http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cdf6DVIAIrRAz.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cdf6DVIAIrRAz.jpg

2021-09-16T23:38:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438648444575367168

@DTruvet @MrGrifter01 I think what might have happened it that the tweet thread was split by replying to up-thread tweets. So it seems to stop, unless you expand it from the split point. All I know is I didn't delete or hide anything (except for the test, which I'll restore after Dan looks at it)

2021-09-16T23:31:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438646720976490500

@mark58329831 @RyanSprague51 @SomewhereSkies Joe never charged me. I'm pretty sure he does not charge anyone, as he makes all his money from ads.

2021-09-16T23:30:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438646473692893185

@DTruvet @MrGrifter01 I was genuinely unaware of this "Hide Reply", as I use Tweetdeck almost exclusively.

2021-09-16T23:27:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438645801085849600

@MrGrifter01 @DavidJayB @DTruvet Tell me (with a link) which of my messages to start from.

2021-09-16T23:24:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438645039236747266

@MrGrifter01 @DTruvet Okay, I hid it. What does it look like to you Dan?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cX9HYVkAM5q5n.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cX9HYVkAM5q5n.jpg
2021-09-16T23:23:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1438644622075445248
@MrGrifter01 @DTruvet Here, let me try hiding one of your replies, so we can see what it looks like. I'll try this one.

https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1438137528452726791
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cXkTuVIAA9Xbc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cXkTuVIAA9Xbc.jpg

2021-09-16T23:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438643348147818499

@DavidJayB @MrGrifter01 @DTruvet Aha, I've found what he's talking about. There is a "Hide Reply", but only on regular Twitter and the Twitter app.

I don't use them. I use Tweetdeck, which does not have that option.

Dan started out with "So why did you delete my comments?"

I neither deleted nor hid, AFAIK http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cV-3KVQAMUC8w.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cV-3KVQAMUC8w.jpg

2021-09-16T21:53:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438622157752307742

@MartianMushru @RyanSprague51 @SomewhereSkies Noble? It's just not worth it. I don't make money from my podcast, so why would I give someone $100+ to appear on it?

2021-09-16T21:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438621809981591562

@MrGrifter01 Why don't you? I've no idea what you are referring to.

I can't delete your tweets. That's not how it works.

2021-09-16T21:49:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438620988934000658

@RyanSprague51 @SomewhereSkies Nobody has ever asked me to pay them to appear on my podcast. I doubt I would.

2021-09-16T21:46:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438620344638574611

Clive Sinclair, a pioneer in home computing, and inventor of my earliest computers (the ZX81 and ZX Spectrum) has died. Back in the day (probably around 1983) I wrote and asked him for a signed photo, which I kept on my wall while I coded.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cALzHVQAAefhj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_cALzHVQAAefhj.jpg

2021-09-16T21:33:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438616956278476828

@MrGrifter01 I think you misunderstand how Twitter works. I can't hide your comments from other people. Only you can delete comments.

I really don't know what you think I deleted. This?

If not, then just post it again. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_b-PenVkAE4iaY.jpg

Dan
@MrGrifter01

Replying to @MickWest

Please explain to me how up to 4 US Navy Fighter Pilots visually observing an object, all reporting they saw the object do the same thing, while all tracking it on their individual radars, while the object also being tracked by one or more war ships at the same time, is anecdotal

9:04 AM · Sep 15, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone



Tweet your reply

Reply



Oswald Heger @Oswald1160 · Sep 15

Replying to @MrGrifter01 and @MickWest

Fravor's squad had neither the Princeton's original target nor the tic-tac on their radar.

1

Dan @MrGrifter01 · Sep 15

Prove that please. But even it that IS true, it does nothing to validate West's claim that ALL UFO claims are anecdotal.

2

Show replies



Mick West @MickWest · Sep 15

Replying to @MrGrifter01

What you describe is not what they describe.

But to the broader point, the Nimitz encounter is largely related as a series of anecdotes - which don't always line up with each other.

The only real data is the video, and that does not show anything moving oddly.

2 1

Dan @MrGrifter01 · Sep 15

And to be accurate, this hasn't only happened to the Nimitz. It's happened to dozens of fighter pilots, on their radar, while also on the corresponding radar of the Navy vessels they're stationed with. But it's completely anecdotal right?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_b-PenVkAE4iaY.jpg
2021-09-16T21:16:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1438612843222339617
@MrGrifter01 On Twitter, only you or Twitter can delete your comments (Tweets).

Try the advanced search
https://twitter.com/search?q=(from%3AMrGrifter01)%20(to%3Amickwest)&src=typed_query&f=live http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_b6m2vVUAACzWc.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_b6m2vVUAACzWc.jpg

2021-09-16T16:17:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438537418739630080

@MrGrifter01 I don't know what you are referring to. But you can obviously just post it on Twitter if you want.

2021-09-16T15:41:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438528562475122693

@KilliK69 @kollenz45 Vapor? Where?

2021-09-16T14:30:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438510555149463552

@Mike___Kilo @ufobelievers It's not a cockpit canopy, for a start. It's filmed from a passenger seat of what looks like a 737-300 or similar. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_ads98UUAIvgtp.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_ads98UUAIvgtp.jpg

2021-09-16T03:59:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438351745093038085

RT @FrankLuntz: @JackPosobiec In high-vaxxed areas, (75% of Irelandâ□□s population is fully vaccinated) the % of hospitalizations will tilt tâ□¦

2021-09-16T03:06:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438338433097756672

@RossElderWrites Good luck. Thereâ□□s no silver bullet. Understanding (in both directions) is key.

2021-09-16T03:01:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438337309489786886

@Mike___Kilo @ufobelievers Obviously a fake.

2021-09-16T00:14:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438295150673989639

@JasmyneEmmerick Rogan escaped some rabbit holes, but of late he's got back in some, and is exploring more.

2021-09-16T00:13:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438294973254979585

@BostonsBuddha @POTUS_Comacho Isn't the simplest thing that just he couldn't identify it?

2021-09-15T22:20:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438266440264536065

@BostonsBuddha @POTUS_Comacho So pilots all recognize planes when they see them, but

they sometimes pretend they don't and file fake UFO reports for fun?

2021-09-15T22:11:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438264126178873346

@BostonsBuddha @POTUS_Comacho And yet he filed a MUFON report.

2021-09-15T19:03:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438216887981133826

@BKolbey I don't think that what Fravor and Dietrich saw as "aircraft".

2021-09-15T18:24:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438207126531280897

@Myst_Arch But they don't have a video of something that someone saw with their eyes.

2021-09-15T17:55:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438199695713570817

@Myst_Arch The could have yottabytes of trans-dimensional holograms. But speculating on the nature of what the Navy has is not really useful. What exactly do we know? How do we know it?

2021-09-15T17:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438198335836278792

@BostonsBuddha @POTUS_Comacho It happens though. A pilot reported this as a UFO. It's a 737

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/solved-mufon-case-105762-white-cigar-following-plane-near-zion-nation-park-united-541.11097/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_WBlPXUYAEBE9C.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_WBlPXUYAEBE9C.jpg

2021-09-15T17:46:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438197471918710786

@Myst_Arch I take all aviators at their word. Also, he has photos.

2021-09-15T17:37:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438195241048174592

@Myst_Arch @ajtacouri Are you arguing that, or the opposite?

2021-09-15T17:35:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438194824952250369

@MrGrifter01 @Oswald1160 I did not say that. I said: "Each UAP sighting is anecdotal"

Of course a video is not anecdotal. But I was referring to "sightings" in the sense of eyewitness accounts, which are the majority of UFO reports.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_V-HOBVkAMh2Ih.jpg

---

anecdotal evidence noun

Save Word

Definition of *anecdotal evidence*

: evidence in the form of stories that people tell about what has happened to them

// His conclusions are not supported by data; they are based only on *anecdotal evidence*.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_V-HOBVkAMh2Ih.jpg

2021-09-15T16:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438184315452493838

@GreyAlien14 I agree with the report. There are probably multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations based on the range of appearances and behaviors described in the available reporting.

2021-09-15T16:50:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438183330428571649

@MrGrifter01 What you describe is not what they describe.

But to the broader point, the Nimitz encounter is largely related as a series of anecdotes - which don't always line up with each other.

The only real data is the video, and that does not show anything moving oddly.

2021-09-15T15:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438163251615784960

@POTUS_Comacho Sometimes misleadingly compelling.

2021-09-15T15:02:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438156201808711689

@POTUS_Comacho Nobody is a perfect observer.

2021-09-15T15:01:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438156000918343680

@POTUS_Comacho I'm sure they spot non-planes all the time.

But would you say it's literally impossible for them to see a distant plane, and not recognize it as a plane?

2021-09-15T14:59:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438155552723333124

@MrGrifter01 You said " If youâ□□re too arrogant to change it after you realize how stupid that sounds, then Iâ□□m coming back later to destroy it."

I'd be happy to hear your critique.

2021-09-15T14:29:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438148032550240259

@POTUS_Comacho He does not mention "observables."

2021-09-15T14:26:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438147298819600389

@Mcintyre16Keith So why not just say "experienced observer?"

Maybe because nobody has experience observing UAPs. It's pretty much a one-time deal.

2021-09-15T14:24:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438146831364411398

@MrGrifter01 I was directly addressing your point. You said my Tweet of "Each UAP sighting is anecdotal." sounded "stupid". So I was asking what your best counterexample was.

Which UAP sighting do you consider to be the least anecdotal?

2021-09-15T13:54:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438139134929883138

@MrGrifter01 Perhaps start with your best counterexample. Phoenix lights?

2021-09-15T13:49:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438137951066615814

@POTUS_Comacho Do you need qualifications to see a balloon?

2021-09-15T13:48:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438137528452726791

@MrGrifter01 Each UAP sighting is anecdotal.

2021-09-15T12:52:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1438123624993492997

@MarcoAn81245505 @droneologists @fringequest Can you give an example?

2021-09-15T03:55:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437988377966514178

@MDPhitrader Looking for planes.

2021-09-15T03:53:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437987793028857862

RT @AskACanadianEh: @MickWest I asked James McGaha (former fighter pilot) at a TAM once if

pilots were superior "trained observers". He quiâ¦

2021-09-14T21:05:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437885179305988099

@Nickthekid7221 @MoonPieDreams NASA does plenty of work that is not in space. They have an interest in airspace hazzards.

https://www.nasa.gov/topics/aeronautics/index.html

2021-09-14T20:50:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437881553674117123

@admpubmx A "Drone Zip-by". The motion of the drone looks small as it is high, but often it's about 20 mph. So a passing bird appears to "zip-by". But the motion is mostly from the drone.

2021-09-14T20:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437880224029364228

@droneologists @fringequest Nimitz encounter was in 2004, also a long time ago.

2021-09-14T20:42:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437879431897313280

@ajtacouri What do you think he said that is incorrect?

2021-09-14T20:41:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437879204276625409

@Josef_baum I checked him out. Seems legit. Matches his LinkedIn.

"airline pilot for a major passenger carrier for the last 22 years and before that I was Navy fighter pilot with 10 years of active duty (3 combat deployments) and 12 years in the Navy reserves "

2021-09-14T18:23:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437844579932246016

@PineyMcknuckle What have they said that contradicts this?

2021-09-14T18:23:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437844389917650947

@DaveNaylor18 You're misunderstanding. He's saying that recognizing enemy planes is the ONLY "observing" training he got.

2021-09-14T18:19:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437843427152908289

@ufos22336038 I care. I'd even interview them.

2021-09-14T18:18:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437843087737253888

@dr_los @PostDisclosure @ryan_ha @Akam1129 @SilvaRecord @zcichy @RyanSprague51 He made the same joke four years ago.

https://twitter.com/elonmusk/status/875510004635246592

2021-09-14T18:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437842588501823488

Interesting "UFO" perspectives from a airline pilot, who used to fly F14s out of Viginia.

- False radar targets fairly common
- Not "trained observers", except for enemy planes.
- Balloons are sighted, even at 28K feet, big ones over South America (pic)

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/elizondo-claims-dod-have-a-50-feet-away-photo-23-minute-video.11887/post-257643 http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Q9wwVVcAM6eQQ.jpg

---

I flew F14s out of Virginia for several years and have been an airline pilot for the last 22 years.

Let me share a couple observations.

Military aircraft and radars are not perfect. We get "false' targets all the time. Birds, balloons, electronic glitch, rain, water in the radome, a fault in the antenna... all kinds of stuff can cause that.

Objects like balloons are nearly impossible to tell what size or distance they are by looking at them from the cockpit.

Navy pilots are not "Trained Observers". I keep hearing that term in the media and not only do I not know that it means, I never received any training in "Observing" as a Navy fighter pilot. We did receive training in enemy aircraft recognition. That was about it.

Attached is a photo of a balloon I took from the cockpit at around 7000' near Sao Paulo, Brazil. They send up balloons like this all the time for celebrations and soccer games. I have seen them up at 18,000' and even at night with fireworks coming off them. I had a very close encounter with a mylar balloon at 28,000' near LA and it appeared to whiz by the cockpit at very highspeed.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Q9uuuVEAAgtyd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Q9wwVVcAM6eQQ.jpg

2021-09-14T17:37:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437832802980954112

RT @CultExpert: The Moonies are a Korean cult. I was a leader for 2+ years, left the cult in 1976 and have been speaking out against them &â¦

2021-09-14T17:36:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437832659556700160

@PostDisclosure @ryan_ha @Akam1129 @SilvaRecord @zcichy @RyanSprague51 It was a joke about a joke in his previous comment. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Q1JPKVUAEywVG.jpg

---



Name @elonmusk · Sep 13

FSD 10 predicts height from video pixels directly, without needing to classify groups of pixels into objects.

In principle, even if a UFO crashed on the road right in front of you, it would still avoid the debris.

Some work still needed to tune sensitivity.

422 462 6.2K



Name
@elonmusk

Replying to @elonmusk and @Gfilche

I'm not saying there are UFOs ... but there are UFOs

5:30 PM · Sep 13, 2021 · Twitter for iPhone

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Q1JPKVUAEywVG.jpg
2021-09-14T17:35:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437832300788523008
@CalebLe74625772 @TomSuesss @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom Sure "alien spaceship" sound a lot simpler.

But then you've got to assume an entire aliens civilization, which is actually quite complicated.

2021-09-14T17:17:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437827851500208131
@issaufobro The bird is flying to the right, the same direction as the plane (although it looks like the other way). Later the plane turns towards the camera, so it has much lower velocity leftwards, allowing the bird (much closer to the camera) to "catch up"

2021-09-14T17:14:53+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437827205422215171
@CalebLe74625772 @TomSuesss @Oswald1160 @blackvaultcom It's not a stance. It's one of several hypotheses.

2021-09-14T13:30:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437770661103947780
@Alien_Scientist Looks kinda rusty. When was the photo taken? What's the provenance of the beam?

2021-09-14T13:12:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437766283634757633
@issaufobro Bird. At least at the start.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1437766176549982211/pu/img/tFtl_WejiaIWplKO.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1437766176549982211/pu/img/tFtl_WejiaIWplKO.jpg
2021-09-13T22:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437551146290192388
@IAmRobAherne I mean I think that near death experiences are a function of brain chemistry.

2021-09-13T21:11:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437524288509132802
@IAmRobAherne It's like a trip.

2021-09-13T21:02:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437522205764513798
@TomSuesss @Oswald1160 @CalebLe74625772 @blackvaultcom One event is not all events. Obama spoke in the most general terms - not about any specific even.

2021-09-13T20:56:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437520478357233664
@mission_europa @528vibes Do any have any relation to aliens?

The "other" category is entirely unknown. BUt you could put ball lighting in there, or in the "Natural Atmospheric Phenomena" category.

2021-09-13T20:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437519890923941889
@ChrisSPITZER7 Are you opposed to any theory that involves not entirely trusting that the government is telling you the whole of the truth?

2021-09-13T20:52:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437519519975542789
@thaxter @bradjohansson21 @528vibes It does not say that. But it's the first thing the list. But they have not broken down the cases - because they are unidentified.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_MYV1zUYAAnJkR.jpg



Q. What are the five bins or categories?
A. Our analysis supports an organizational frame for evaluating UAP that would have them fall into one of five explanatory categories: (1) airborne clutter; (2) natural atmospheric phenomena; (3) USG or industry developmental programs; (4) foreign adversary systems; and (5) a catchall "other" bin. These are not all possible categories. We remain open to other hypotheses that emerge from the data.

Q. How many of the 144 UAP reports have been put into which bin?
A. With one exception, the report does not yet bin the different incidents identified into these categories, though it does describe some of the work done to begin to effectively characterize UAP. We have insufficient date to categorize 143 of the 144 UAP incidents that were analyzed for this report.

Q. Why is the data you have insufficient? What else do you need?
A. Encounters with UAP are often brief and fleeting, and frequently involve a single source, such as a verbal report or a single photograph. We need more data and a better reporting structure – standardized reporting, timely reporting, and further reducing the stigma about reporting on UAP so that we have more reports for pattern and trend analysis.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_MYV1zUYAAnJkR.jpg
2021-09-13T19:02:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437491857487896579
@TomSuesss @Oswald1160 @CalebLe74625772 @blackvaultcom Erm, the rather obvious answer being proposed is "they were indifferent because they knew it was a test"

2021-09-13T18:09:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437478599410348035
@zerocoolwhyme @LtTimMcMillan @fin365 I'm a big fan of questions.

2021-09-13T18:02:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437476757817286658
@JeremyUnidenti1 @SicCoP1 @ThanosAlpha @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc Would you expect such tests to be declassified? Seems like it's highly sensitive technology.

2021-09-13T18:00:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437476276239945729
@SicCoP1 @BarlowTerry @ThanosAlpha @JeremyUnidenti1 @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc How does it compare to "aliens"?

2021-09-13T17:47:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437472988190830593
@SnakePo9 @retrewerter @devgru1980mi Anything in the sky could be a UFO masquerading as an IFO.

2021-09-13T17:34:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437469723063566338
@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC If it was shot down it would not have crashed like that. And a cover-up would have been impossible.

2021-09-13T17:30:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437468848819605510
@SicCoP1 @ThanosAlpha @JeremyUnidenti1 @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ufotruthdigger

@SwinkInc We have a mystery, and so we suggest hypotheses that might fit the historical facts.

A top secret spoofing exercise would be top secret, so where could I get anything from? All we can check is if it fits what happened. We can also ask if it fits it better than aliens.

2021-09-13T17:28:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437468353539366915

@thaxter @528vibes "Clutter" includes drones in training ranges. A serious issue. But even plastic bags can affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

2021-09-13T17:05:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437462327830499333

@ThanosAlpha @JeremyUnidenti1 @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc I doubt there was an advanced propulsion system on display. The hypothesis here is that the radar returns were part of a spoofing test. What Fravor saw was something else - although possibly something related, like a balloon with a transmitter.

2021-09-13T16:53:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437459500630376448

@Girafin_ovni @528vibes Airbourne clutter is one of five non-exclusive categories they listed. Obviously there are multiple explanations for UAP sightings.

2021-09-13T15:57:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437445401137717252

@528vibes Congress doesn't want to be briefed on UFOs every 90 days. I bet 95% of members of congress have not even heard of this new UFO office.

2021-09-13T15:51:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437443787299581952

@528vibes Stuff like ball lightning, perhaps. Or just things that are too far away.

2021-09-13T15:50:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437443557472702466

@ali_nicholson_ Sorry, I don't really see the connection.

2021-09-13T15:48:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437443134862987264

@528vibes Airborne clutter is actually something the UAP report focuses on. It likely accounts for the majority of the reports.

First thing they mention?

Birds. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_LSzFOUYAI_Ojb.jpg

UAP PROBABLY LACK A SINGLE EXPLANATION

The UAP documented in this limited dataset demonstrate an array of aerial behaviors, reinforcing the possibility there are multiple types of UAP requiring different explanations. Our analysis of the data supports the construct that if and when individual UAP incidents are resolved they will fall into one of five potential explanatory categories: airborne clutter, natural atmospheric phenomena, USG or industry developmental programs, foreign adversary systems, and a catchall "other" bin. With the exception of the one instance where we determined with high confidence that the reported UAP was airborne clutter, specifically a deflating balloon, we currently lack sufficient information in our dataset to attribute incidents to specific explanations.

Airborne Clutter: These objects include birds, balloons, recreational unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), or airborne debris like plastic bags that muddle a scene and affect an operator's ability to identify true targets, such as enemy aircraft.

Natural Atmospheric Phenomena: Natural atmospheric phenomena includes ice crystals, moisture, and thermal fluctuations that may register on some infrared and radar systems.

USG or Industry Developmental Programs: Some UAP observations could be attributable to developments and classified programs by U.S. entities. We were unable to confirm, however, that these systems accounted for any of the UAP reports we collected.

Foreign Adversary Systems: Some UAP may be technologies deployed by China, Russia, another nation, or a non-governmental entity.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_LSzFOUYAI_Ojb.jpg

2021-09-13T15:45:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437442277027184649

@JeremyUnidenti1 @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc Sure. But my point was that if you are unaware you are in a test of something (like radar spoofing tech), and you don't see anything significant, then it would probably be counterproductive to have to sign an NDA.

2021-09-13T15:31:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437438710186852360

@JeremyUnidenti1 @hughespj1 @saucerlit @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc No. I was saying in a test situation there's only a need to have people sign NDAs if they know it's a test, or they saw something classified. You possibly missed the question I was responding to.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_LO1yoVUAku5x2.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_LO1yoVUAku5x2.jpg

2021-09-13T15:28:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437438075534147589

@Arfanthalus @MindfulOfLight Is it the top story in the world?

No, his claim WAS nonsense. The UFO thing is interesting, but (based on current evidence) not earth-shattering in any way.

2021-09-13T03:17:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437254053029834753

@CanesReign People have been saying that for a few years.

2021-09-13T02:17:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437238910879293443

@rjblaskiewicz That was @edwardcurrent

2021-09-13T01:00:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437219705090035714

@edwardcurrent

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.9469/

2021-09-13T00:35:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437213431044591617

@XanderArena Can you be more specific.

2021-09-13T00:12:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437207576572137473
@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 @528vibes @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha I don't think anyone is saying that NOW. But Kevin days said the ballistic missile defense guys detected multiple targets coming in from space.

Seems like that should necessitate some significant response. I very much doubt that's the type of thing that happens all the time.

2021-09-13T00:07:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437206318276743171
@JeremyUnidenti1 @528vibes @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc Kevin Day seemed unaware of this different tech.

2021-09-13T00:05:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437205795855224841
This article from "OffGuardian" today recycles a 9/11 meme that was debunked years ago.

The "angle cut column" was long held to be evidence of controlled demolition. But it's not, as dated photos conclusively show it was cut six weeks later.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_H61NYUYAElbgo.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_H61NYUYAElbgo.jpg

Sep 12, 2021 28

9/11 Truth Is Not A Matter of Perspective

Iain Davis

Similarly, the numerous photographs of what appear to be columns cut by shaped charges at ground zero is evidence suggestive of *pre-cutting* prior to collapse.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_H6Wa0VkAUI_G3.jpg
2021-09-13T00:01:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437204843005841408
@JeremyUnidenti1 @528vibes @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc Seriously? I said "You don't deal with a ballistic nuclear missile attack by looking at the tapes three days later."

A false one, maybe. But do you figure out it's false by waiting?

People say things were detected coming in from space. Is "nothing for several days" correct?

2021-09-12T22:17:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437178677490425856
@SwinkInc @ufotruthdigger @Spacema95158365 @JeremyUnidenti1 @PotatoRamirez @GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @ThanosAlpha That didn't seem that hard.

2021-09-12T22:12:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437177199153451008
@JeremyUnidenti1 @HorusOwl @GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc Minor point: the NORAD commander has always been US. The deputy command is Canadian.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commander_of_the_North_American_Aerospace_Defense_Command

---

2021-09-12T22:04:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437175418109399041
@TomSuesss @CalebLe74625772 It has come up many times.

2021-09-12T22:03:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437175177503141893
@Avirup1981 @SicCoP1 @JeremyUnidenti1 Depends on the claim of evidence.

2021-09-12T21:12:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437162349492785153
@BenC04549226 I think if we are to entertain aliens as a possibility, then we should also look at other possibilities that might at first seem unlikely.

2021-09-12T21:06:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437160738351509507
@JeremyUnidenti1 @PotatoRamirez @GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc You said there was likely a large response, but it's secret, and nobody will talk about it.

So why did they sent Fravor?

2021-09-12T21:05:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437160338063953921
@PotatoRamirez @GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc So why not go look, immediately.

2021-09-12T21:04:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437160181838725120
@RobertDobalina7 @CalebLe74625772 Shut down? No. I'd expect them to actively and urgently investigate. Not wait five days then revector a couple of unarmed planes to take a look.

2021-09-12T21:01:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437159502424395777
@tdeeefc @tristan_uk @Dolgin_Andrew That report seems accurate. What do you think is interesting about it?

The plane largely ended up in the building. Hence the hole.

2021-09-12T20:58:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437158812935356416

@Michell28924980 @JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom It would be helpful at least to know what the point being made was.

2021-09-12T20:58:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437158650221502465

@SpacemannDan @JeremyUnidenti1 This isn't some lone pilot mistaking Venus for a flying saucer. It's a fleet of craft showing up on radar, reportedly descending from space, and then heading straight towards the battle group.

2021-09-12T20:52:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437157092620574725

@JackSarfatti @michaelshermer So, your theory is that you will invent Tic-Tacs in the future, one of them will/has called you on the phone when you were 15 to encourage you to study physics to create it, and another went back in time to interact with the Nimitz.

Sorry Jack, I do not believe that happened.

2021-09-12T18:22:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437119360712122368

@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 Who would moderate?

2021-09-12T18:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437119224099442690

@LawrenceMail How is that relevant?

2021-09-12T18:20:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437118991646924800

@528vibes @JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom If they had already scrambled jets with live ammo, then they would not have sent Fravor and Dietrich in.

2021-09-12T18:04:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437114836907794432

@JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom I'm being serious. I think the apparent disproportionate response to the claims indicates that those claims are not what they seem.

Do you think objects were tracked descending from space?

2021-09-12T18:02:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437114524570578947

@openupforfacts @Kyle841618 Hulsey's report is not very good.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2021-09-12T18:01:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437114227190165507

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SwinkInc @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @blackvaultcom Sorry, I thought you implied you were not taking me seriously because I didn't file FOIA requests.

2021-09-12T18:00:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437113930954936325

@JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom So, a vast array of sensors was immediately brought to bear on the approaching objects which had descended from space.

Then they were allowed to approach, fly right on by at 28,000 feet. This repeats several times.

Then, what, five days later, they abruptly go take a look?

2021-09-12T17:54:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437112443025584129

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @blackvaultcom So if I file a FOIA, then what? Will Jeremy answer my Twitter questions?

2021-09-12T17:53:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437112173487017987

@JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom Take armed conflict away. We're not talkinga bout shooting things down.

Why did nobody go take a look as soon as the unidentified targets were detected heading towards the fleet?

2021-09-12T17:51:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437111533893414918

@SicCoP1 @JeremyUnidenti1 What do you mean? Have there been aggressive attempts at intercepting contacts?

2021-09-12T17:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437111137691070466

@JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom Why can't you just tell everyone, if it would become apparent with some reading. What are the Cliff notes?

2021-09-12T17:48:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437110892991107073

@JeremyUnidenti1 @saucerlit @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc I'm no saying you didn't. I'm talking about in the context of a test.

2021-09-12T17:47:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437110614158049281

@JeremyUnidenti1 @AndrokLee @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom Oh, so you think this could all be cleared up by a few FOIA requests that @blackvaultcom has so far overlooked?

What do you think I should request: "was there a highly classifed exercise?" "What did Fravor actually see?" "Why were the contacts ignored for days?"

2021-09-12T17:44:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437109901067571202

@GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc So a group of 5-10 UNIDENTIFED craft slowly flying towards the battle group is not a hostile posture?

2021-09-12T17:36:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437107765063811077

@GaryVoorhis @buffcommacu @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc So why was the intrusion not immediately and aggressively interrogated?

2021-09-12T17:29:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437106212340830214

@saucerlit @JeremyUnidenti1 @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc Only if they knew it was a test, or saw something classified.

The Hawkeye crew apparently DID sign an NDA, possibly related to new tech they were testing.

2021-09-12T17:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437105529852076034

@SwinkInc @528vibes @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha I have no conclusions regarding the Nimitz (other than the lack of sudden moves) - just hypotheses and questions.

2021-09-12T17:24:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437104851918356483

@528vibes @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc You don't deal with a ballistic nuclear missile attack by looking at the tapes three days later.

2021-09-12T17:23:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437104530924007426

@JeremyUnidenti1 @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc I wasn't saying it was unusual. I'm saying it could allow this situation to arise.

2021-09-12T17:21:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437104127486558208

@528vibes @JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc Kevin has been a bit inconsistent on many details. But the general sense of the upper command not taking it seriously has been a common thread in all the descriptions.

2021-09-12T17:17:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437103033364533252

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger Bigger than aliens?

2021-09-12T17:16:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437102879420997635

@JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc In general terms, what kind of things might have been done? Wait and see? Turn it off and on again? Defcon I? Scramble all interceptors?

From Kevin Day's perspective, nothing was done for DAYS.

2021-09-12T17:14:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437102289429274624
@JeremyUnidenti1 @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc So that compartmentalization would seem to support the notion that it might all have been some highly classified test or exercise, on a need-to-know basis?

2021-09-12T17:11:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437101602767179778
@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 For example?

2021-09-12T17:10:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437101380594929671
@JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @ThanosAlpha @SwinkInc I'm not asking for details. I'm asking if there was an appropriate response to the huge threat indicated by targets flying towards the San Diego region from space.

2021-09-12T17:09:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437101038180339715
@JeremyUnidenti1 @ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc Do you think Kevin Day is withholding information, or just does not know?

If there was some urgent response to an airspace incursion, then could it really have been done without anyone in the lower ranks noticing?

2021-09-12T17:01:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437099127817465862
@Tomfortas @Rahzsah @bradjohansson21 @MadScientistPod @MHuntington7 I don't remember calling them that.

2021-09-12T16:57:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437097993119813634
@CanesReign Yes, it raises some very interesting questions. Why was nothing done about all these close encounters? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GY8nQVIAMtjfJ.jpg

---

Roberts explains that, after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, unidentified air tracks escalate very quickly. “It will go to the captain, it will go to the admiral, and they’ll want to know what that is because the thought would immediately be: ‘Is this a commercial airliner? Has it been hijacked?’ We’re not as incompetent as Mick West would have you believe. If something is unidentified, it absolutely has to be identified immediately.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GY8nQVIAMtjfJ.jpg

2021-09-12T16:56:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437097720259301377
@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 So write a book.

2021-09-12T16:55:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437097600532946955
@ThanosAlpha @ufotruthdigger @JeremyUnidenti1 @SwinkInc My question here seems perfectly reasonable. Why was there so little apparent concern from the upper levels of the chain of command about those groups of aircraft regularly slowly flying over the Nimitz group?

Why was nothing done?

2021-09-12T16:53:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437096944770293766
@mostlyO2 I've seen it, thank. Tonkin is a great example.

2021-09-12T16:52:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437096742139404296
@JeremyUnidenti1 @ufotruthdigger @SwinkInc @blackvaultcom is doing more than enough FOIAs.

2021-09-12T16:50:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437096376916078595
@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 Could you be a bit more specific? What actual evidence are you going to cite? Just incredulity? Or actual math?

2021-09-12T16:25:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437089995639058434
@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 I just don't want to waste time if you are not familiar with the main issues. So can you demonstrate you are not by explaining your best evidence.

2021-09-12T16:20:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437088794226823172
@JeremyUnidenti1 @SwinkInc How does common sense tell you "how often the the military gets questionable radar data they have to deal with."

If it's just common sense, then why is it "the most classified data the military has?"

2021-09-12T16:18:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437088344542904322
@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 What do you think it the best bit of evidence for your case?

2021-09-12T16:18:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437088166498865157
@CalebLe74625772 I'm contrasting the lack of apparent response with this claim:
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GPyfGVcAAQnGd.jpg

Roberts explains that, after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, unidentified air tracks escalate very quickly. “It will go to the captain, it will go to the admiral, and they’ll want to know what that is because the thought would immediately be: ‘Is this a commercial airliner? Has it been hijacked?’ We’re not as incompetent as Mick West would have you believe. If something is unidentified, it absolutely has to be identified immediately.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GPyfGVcAAQnGd.jpg

2021-09-12T16:16:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437087614788460545

@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 Who are you? And what is your background regarding this topic?

2021-09-12T16:15:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437087455702700034

@JeremyUnidenti1 @SwinkInc So why bring it up without even indicating it's "ALL THE TIME", or "HARDLY EVER"?

What is even being implied here?

2021-09-12T16:13:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437086881343107078

@OKftl @JeremyUnidenti1 How might it have been escalated? Who would know?

2021-09-12T16:12:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437086697318092807

@CalebLe74625772 It suggest the stories don't add up. Why no significant reaction to days of airspace incursions? Why no response after Fravor had his encounter?

2021-09-12T16:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437086373723262979

@NetizynA @TommyDante @LeilaHay1 I have looked at the supposed evidence. I've been looking at it for over 10 years.

2021-09-12T16:10:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437086221100929024

@Nero_Notabot @JeremyUnidenti1 Right, a fleet of vehicles flying into restricted airspace. And you do nothing? Objects flying in from space. Nothing happens?

It does not add up.

2021-09-12T16:09:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437085893701996545

@SwinkInc @JeremyUnidenti1 How often?

2021-09-12T16:08:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437085622179545096

@kollenz45 I don't know about 100% CGI. You can make fake videos by combining real footage,

CGI, and purely physical effects, like in this example.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1437085325243740164/pu/img/VrX3wdDFCXPQy3Rd.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1437085325243740164/pu/img/VrX3wdDFCXPQy3Rd.jpg
2021-09-12T15:54:32+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437082205608501255
@BarlowTerry @LawrenceMail @MAD__B @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher We know the captain was unconcerned by his actions. He did nothing for days.

2021-09-12T15:53:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437081823847190537
@Lonegunmen2781 @ufotruthdigger Long legs? No, they just need to be long enough to reach the ground. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GKH-oVUAEhgx8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GKH-oVUAEhgx8.jpg

2021-09-12T15:38:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437078172487487494

@ufotruthdigger @Lonegunmen2781 It's just her mother, standing behind her, facing away. They forgot she was in shot.

That is by far the simplest explanation.

2021-09-12T15:37:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437077898800762882
@JeremyUnidenti1 The Princeton Captain. All the upper chain of command who did nothing.

Why were these groups of objects allowed to fly over the fleet at 28,000 feet for days?

Why, after encountering an actual flying craft, was the entire subject just dropped?

Why no escalation?

2021-09-12T15:34:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437077108061138944
"unidentified air tracks escalate very quickly" ... "it absolutely has to be identified immediately."

Agreed â□□ which makes the Nimitz group's utter indifference to being buzzed from space (and the Roosevelt's lack of interest in two years of daily UFO sightings) rather odd.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GFQE6VEAcL_tO.jpg

Roberts explains that, after the 9/11 terrorist attacks, unidentified air tracks escalate very quickly. “It will go to the captain, it will go to the admiral, and they’ll want to know what that is because the thought would immediately be: ‘Is this a commercial airliner? Has it been hijacked?’ We’re not as incompetent as Mick West would have you believe. If something is unidentified, it absolutely has to be identified immediately.”

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_GFQE6VEAcL_tO.jpg
2021-09-12T15:21:28+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437073883635466253
@BarlowTerry @LawrenceMail @RamseyFaragher @MAD__B @Samuel_Gregson No? What is an optical illusion then?

I'm not saying that's 100% what happened, but saying the human eye cannot be spoofed is a bit much - I mean even camouflage is spoofing the human eye.

2021-09-12T15:09:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1437070967226863616
@gerrycan1 @sneakin @whatthesamhall @leashless Close to free fall yes, like 99% after the columns had buckled. Not unexpected in that situation.

Brazant is irrelevant.

2021-09-12T15:05:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437069841572057097

@CanesReign @ron9gray @ufobelievers I was asking about recent cases. Say in the last five years.

2021-09-12T15:02:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437069187470422018

@DavidMarceau Sounds like thatâ□□s just your personal 6th?

2021-09-12T14:59:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437068370264813573

@sneakin @whatthesamhall @leashless Not of the towers. They fell at less than free fall acceleration.

2021-09-12T14:58:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437068111543341057

@TommyDante @LeilaHay1 One object remained in the building and was destroyed in the collapse.

The plane impact did not destroy everything in the plane. See Germanwings.

2021-09-12T14:55:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1437067462109904907

@dailypaint @JimmyJungas @UF0UAP Thereâ□□s all kinds of them. Almost all are small things (bugs or dust) close to the camera. They sometimes LOOK like they go behind objects that are lit similarly.

2021-09-12T02:40:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436882352987205638

@NQRinside @ElectroDeeJ Where does it say that?

2021-09-12T02:39:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436882207872729088

@SocialistFT The FAQ is great,
https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-7-investigation , but the @edwardcurrent video is most accessible, and comprehensive.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nP3TNHPCIVU

2021-09-12T02:37:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436881541825585155

RT @LeilaHay1: A good reminder that 'Escaping the Rabbit Hole' by @MickWest is an incredible analysis into the myths surrounding 9/11. If yâ□¦

2021-09-12T02:35:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436881045647888386

@Ibn_e_Channa @hoveringobject @pstanley123 So, start with NIST. The best intros are really the NIST FAQs.

https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-towers-investigation
https://www.nist.gov/world-trade-center-investigation/study-faqs/wtc-7-investigation

---

2021-09-12T02:33:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436880636262846470
@TimOsman14 @Lukewearechange Did you try it?

2021-09-12T02:32:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436880306942857218
@5X5_NEWS @flarkey @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher I'm not handling anything. It's an old theory that has arisen many times (I discussed it with Kevin Day). It has always been on the list. Even the UAPTF considered it (but says they found no evidence for it)

2021-09-12T00:29:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436849329583452160
@valerie_barat @damnnitbobby That's a semi-transparent ball. Illuminated by the low sun. You can see the shadow.

2021-09-12T00:25:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436848449836630021
@gaz_et @SocialistFT @bradjohansson21 @2020defense Whatever happened was unusual, and so we need to entertain unusual possibilities.

2021-09-11T22:46:13+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436823423003463681
@Er_Nope @marcbel19406167 Just dirt on the scanned negative. Not there on the original print.

2021-09-11T22:41:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436822196152778753
@SicCoP1 @buffcommacu @DietrichVFA41 Maybe that's what their sources are supposed to say.

2021-09-11T22:40:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436822049675038723
@SocialistFT @bradjohansson21 @2020defense Or perhaps just burst by the turbulence of Fravor zipping past it.

2021-09-11T22:39:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436821802748026881
@SocialistFT @bradjohansson21 @2020defense The cap point return may well have been spoofed. Note the incredible speed.

Underwood video? If all the radar was being messed with, then maybe he caught another plane.

Not a super satisfying explanation, but then neither is "weird alien technology and behavior"

2021-09-11T22:38:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436821377248497667
@MAD__B @retnubyllib @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher It's not without issues. But again, if we are to consider beings from another dimension as a viable hypothesis, then a complex spoofing exercise should probably not be off the table.

2021-09-11T22:36:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436820993444483075
@nikoCSFB Something did hit it.

The North Tower, WTC1, hit it.

Extensive fires. Many south side windows broken. Some columns severed.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CccMkVUAEqwdB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Cb1ssVEAUJk8K.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CccMkVUAEqwdB.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CcLilUcAALllo.jpg

2021-09-11T22:22:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436817332223971328

@bradjohansson21 @2020defense Or related - like the visual was a spoofing balloon or drone released from a submarine.

2021-09-11T22:21:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436817085812797448

@tristan_uk Well, it explains the radar sightings. There's also the system upgrade just before, and then the confiscation of the tapes/disks/bricks that was reported. Then the lack of response to apparent airspace incursions.

2021-09-11T21:50:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436809417798787077

@mombojom One of the cheaper Canon SLRs, a UK model. EOS 1000FN, or thereabout. I bought it in 1993. Not sure about the lens.

2021-09-11T21:38:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436806413720834049

@buffcommacu @DietrichVFA41 @JeremyCorbell Sure, it would have to be a different type of thing. But I would not dismiss it.

2021-09-11T21:24:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436802807206584320

@MAD__B @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher

https://otter.ai/u/0OtR6GhjauIHKJIcAZbs5Qri1vc

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CMf-qVkAE94Is.jpg

Mick West 1:11:59

people talk about them? Maybe testing radar spoofing technology.

Kevin Day 1:12:05

Again, how do you describe how do you explain the visual contacts from the pilots? I mean, a radar spoof is something that's not real, trying to convince the radar that it is real. But if I have a pilot that goes and intercepts it and actually sees an actual physical object? How could that be radar spoofing?

Mick West 1:12:26

Yeah, but then again, like, what would be the point of these groups of five slow moving objects drifting off the coast? From a you know, if they're a human technology? What are they? What are they doing? Isn't seemed like a very practical thing? Like what is it some kind of slow moving drone or something like that?

Kevin Day 1:12:46

I don't know. Yeah, why would they do that?

Mick West 1:12:47

But can also...

Kevin Day 1:12:51

And that's just not how tests are done. Because never in my career, that you might run a test on top of my test without telling me because the safety of life [???]. I mean, illegal in terms of Navy, doctrine and procedures, I mean, you can't do that.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CMf-qVkAE94Is.jpg



Mick West 1:10:25 Realigning text with audio

Yeah. It's really interesting, though, that after such a thing happened that there wasn't more of a response. And you said like the captain kind of acted un-interested in the whole thing, even after this.

Kevin Day 1:10:42

I would describe him as a real skeptic like you are. And he I think he I think he would agree with you on most points. His only concern was safety of flight in I was one that convinced him to be concerned about safety of flight

Mick West 1:11:02

And to is your feeling then that he just he didn't give it enough thought? Or do you think he knew something? And there was something going on behind the scenes?

Kevin Day 1:11:18

At the time I I thought the latter. I thought okay, there's something going on here above my paygrade. And I'm just gonna shut up about it. And [???] what he said, and just the way he was acting? That he knew something he couldn't tell me? Yes. I'm just guessing because I don't know. That's [????] above my paygrade. And above my clearance level ...

Mick West 1:11:44

I guess if that's the case that would that would probably kind of point more towards a manmade thing. Would you say like, a secret test of some sort? or?

Kevin Day 1:11:58

Yeah,

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_CMbkZVIAAFus3.jpg
2021-09-11T21:18:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436801440555569157
@buffcommacu @DietrichVFA41 The hypothesis is that is was a highly compartmentalized test, need to know only.

I'm not sure how much money I'd put on it, but if we are discussing aliens we should also consider other improbable explanations.

2021-09-11T21:02:03+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436797209270312961
@ron9gray @CanesReign @ufobelievers What's are some recent incidents showing the sixth (and some other) observables?

2021-09-11T20:53:44+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436795117101215745
@MAD__B @Samuel_Gregson @RamseyFaragher Maybe that's what they want you to think.

Why was the Princeton's captain so unconcerned about mysterious craft flying over the fleet?

2021-09-11T20:48:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436793783971028993
@Robin081564 @IAmRobAherne It's literally impossible that a pool of molten metal could remain liquid for many days. Nor would molten steel be dripping off cut beams for more than a few seconds.

Molten steel is very hot, and so cools down very rapidly.

Where are these pictures?

2021-09-11T20:32:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436789815404417026
@JimmyJungas @UF0UAP https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1430544642232229889

2021-09-11T20:23:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436787441516515329
@JimmyJungas @UF0UAP Well that one was a fake. This just looks like your normal IR security camera lighting a bug type thing.

2021-09-11T20:18:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436786150216065032
@JimmyJungas https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1436786119404765184

2021-09-11T20:17:59+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436786119404765184
@JimmyJungas @UF0UAP Looks like a bug illuminated by the camera's infrared light

---

2021-09-11T19:38:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436776119022219269
What happened near the Nimitz in 2004? Undoubtedly a combination of things, but was one of those things a compartmentalized training exercise testing highly classified radar spoofing technology?
It's worth discussing. https://twitter.com/Samuel_Gregson/status/1436774468546928640

2021-09-11T18:58:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436766012284747782
@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Like what?

2021-09-11T18:37:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436760711787520000
My first trip to New York was in March 1998, when I took this photo from Liberty Island. Everything seemed immutable. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BlyOaVEAQHBJB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BlyOaVEAQHBJB.jpg

2021-09-11T18:31:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436759346164027392

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC What reputable source says there was a 1 ton part 2 miles away? What part?

2021-09-11T18:29:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436758848870641664

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Citizens were there first. They reported bits of paper.

2021-09-11T18:24:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436757520199008257

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC That was two days after the crash. Seems entirely reasonable that you'd cordon off any site where you found something from the plane.

2021-09-11T18:21:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436756851081707520

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Yes, light windblown debris. From the article at the time.

https://www.cnn.com/2001/US/09/13/penn.attack/

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Bir2TUYAMExsn.jpg

Meanwhile, investigators say they've found debris from the crash at least eight miles away from the crash site.

Passengers voted to attack hijackers

A second debris field was around Indian Lake about 3 miles from the crash scene. Some debris was in the lake and some was adjacent to the lake.

More debris from the plane was found in New Baltimore, some 8 miles away from the crash.

State police and the FBI initially said they didn't want to speculate whether the debris was from the crash, or if the plane could have broken up in midair.

Investigators later said the debris was all very light material, such as paper and thin nylon the wind would easily blow. The wind was blowing towards Indian Lake and New Baltimore at 9 knots. "According to the NTSB, it is not only possible that the debris is from the crash, it is probable," Crowley said.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_Bir2TUYAMExsn.jpg

2021-09-11T18:17:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436755913109487616

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Bits of paper and nylon, downwind of the crash site.

2021-09-11T17:45:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436747646329253890

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Okay. But they are not wrong here.

2021-09-11T17:44:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436747491152523270

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC And it's 1.5 miles to the lake.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BaN6eVQAA3FWL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BaN6eVQAA3FWL.jpg

2021-09-11T17:42:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436747083889852419

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Where is your evidence of this 1 ton engine piece near the lake?

2021-09-11T17:22:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436741933372608515

@JeremyUnidenti1 @TommyDante @ufobelievers Are they?

2021-09-11T17:13:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436739687486410753

@JeremyUnidenti1 @TommyDante @ufobelievers Is it an observable?

2021-09-11T17:11:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436739198812246027

@NIH_LLAMAS I'm familiar with them.

2021-09-11T17:11:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436739085587017729

@JeremyUnidenti1 @TommyDante @ufobelievers I really don't see the analogy there.

By all-encompassing I mean you could literally pick anything that happened after you saw any kind of UAP and then claim a causal link. It seems meaningless.

2021-09-11T17:04:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436737430967574529

@jplatte11 @PostDisclosure What's the wallet in this scenario? Will UFOs never again show themselves to non-government cameras?

2021-09-11T17:02:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436737025126715394

@TommyDante @ufobelievers @JeremyUnidenti1 Sounds rather all-encompassing.

2021-09-11T16:56:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436735477227278341

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC None of that is true. There's no mountain, and only small windborne items, like paper, were found in the lake.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BPG7BVEAI_wQe.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BPG7BVEAI_wQe.jpg

2021-09-11T16:49:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436733766123147266

@TommyDante @ufobelievers @JeremyUnidenti1 That's a wide range. I'm asking here about the "sixth observable" - and if there's a specific criteria or if it's just a catch-all.

Like must there be a visible mark on a person, or does a headache count?

2021-09-11T16:47:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436733221371199490

@AddictChapstick @pstanley123 That, and several similar pieces too, but I more meant the wreckage found inside the building.

2021-09-11T16:44:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436732382795558912

@AddictChapstick @pstanley123 Planes crash all the time without being caught on camera. There were far FEWER cameras in 2001.

2021-09-11T16:42:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436731855672209410

@jplatte11 @JeremyUnidenti1 They moved on. I think they don't like to talk about it.

It's obviously IB6830 though. There's no question.

2021-09-11T16:30:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436728905155559428

@jplatte11 @JeremyUnidenti1 I didn't work with the Chilean UAP task force. I helf solved their star case entirely independently.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-chilean-navy-ufo-video-aerodynamic-contrails-flight-ib6830.8306/

2021-09-11T16:28:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436728418872139776

@jplatte11 @PostDisclosure Start a petition then.

2021-09-11T16:27:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436728043989471236

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Here's the official story. What do you think is factually wrong here?

https://www.nps.gov/flni/learn/historyculture/sources-and-detailed-information.htm

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BIgo5UcAQ7ja_.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BIgo5UcAQ7ja_.jpg

2021-09-11T16:25:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436727511962963973

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC What evidence do you have of this engine 2000 yards away?

2021-09-11T16:09:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436723566838382597

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC I remember there was small bits of paper carried some miles by the wind to Indian Lake. That's not unexpected.

The engine pieces was 300 yards away, in the direction of travel. Consistent with it coming away at impact.

All discussed here:

https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/a5688/debunking-911-myths-flight-93/
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BEdz5VIAI7no4.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_BEdz5VIAI7no4.jpg
2021-09-11T15:55:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436720068679720963
@Mantishomie Pick one thing in it that you think is demonstrably false. Just one please. I'll have a look at it.

2021-09-11T15:53:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436719650130198533
@pstanley123 @AddictChapstick I think they don't have any more footage of the plane impact.

There ARE hundreds of eyewitnesses, and the plane wreckage, and the bodies of tha passengers, and the 757-shaped impact pattern.

2021-09-11T15:46:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436717782377271301

@Mantishomie I don't try to push a story. I try to fact-check claims. If officials say something that might be wrong, I'd be happy to fact check that.

2021-09-11T15:43:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436717103310991360

@Mantishomie Yes.

2021-09-11T15:43:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436717050345385984

@johnbruso @UfoStudy @LueElizondo Sorry, it's a Space, Ancient Aliens, and UFOs conference. (UFO Spazio e Paloeoastronautica)

2021-09-11T15:38:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436715842243215366

@Mantishomie It's not a binary thing. If a building is damaged and catches fire then it might collapse. There's lots of variables and lots of unknowns.

There was little if any sustained fire in the Deutsche Bank building.

2021-09-11T15:34:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436714899850203144

@Mantishomie I'm sorry for you loss, but I respectfully disagree with your assessment.

2021-09-11T15:33:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436714464330465284

@gaz_et @ElectroDeeJ I don't. I'm asking because I don't know.

This sith observable seems very poorly defined, and open to all kinds of possibilities.

2021-09-11T15:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436714064407777284

@jplatte11 @PostDisclosure "Our"?

"We" (you and I) don't get to make that choice. And even if we did, we don't know what the results of either choice would be.

But why not both?

2021-09-11T15:29:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436713392249585671

@UfoStudy @LueElizondo Just another UFO conference.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_A7MwSVcAEDogG.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E_A7MwSVcAEDogG.jpg

2021-09-11T15:26:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436712705000230919

@gaz_et @JeremyUnidenti1 Yes, I was asking if there was a some kind of criteria for what is considered a sixth observable. I mean if you get a headache or rash a day after seeing a light in the sky, I'd think that would not count. Seem like a bit of a grab bag.

2021-09-11T15:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436712103792967684

@Linkchadlad @GBuckleOfficial @JeremyUnidenti1 They were lost.

2021-09-11T15:01:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436706516703186948

@pstanley123 @AddictChapstick And it was 2001.

2021-09-11T15:01:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436706353351835651

@pstanley123 @AddictChapstick They look down. And at the outside of doors.

2021-09-11T14:59:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436706087596560394

@Kyle841618 @VeilroadDude The cop thought the looked like cigarette burns.

2021-09-11T14:59:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436705883698851845

@Ps5andxbox1 @EricRWeinstein Iâ□□m a big fan of both science and science fiction. Iâ□□d be very

happy to see more pure and novel research. Iâ□□m sure there is lots to discover. I donâ□□t know what that is though.

2021-09-11T14:53:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436704498819289090

@thaxter @PostDisclosure He has not seen it either. Nor does he know what it shows.

2021-09-11T14:51:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436703950963576832

@Linkchadlad @GBuckleOfficial @JeremyUnidenti1 Were they though?

2021-09-11T14:50:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436703756163244032

@moz_moz @bpleasies @DickThickrod The firefighters thought it was going to collapse. Which answers your other questions.

2021-09-11T14:49:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436703487706877963

@bpleasies @moz_moz @DickThickrod Iâ□□m not sure what witness testimony you are referring to.

2021-09-11T14:48:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436703114703245312

@moz_moz No, far easier to fly level. Try it in a sim.

2021-09-11T14:47:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436702898507829248

@moz_moz No. Read the report.

2021-09-11T14:46:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436702734170738696

@marcbel19406167 @SMarkMcC Engine 2 miles away?

2021-09-11T14:43:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436701898531172355

@pstanley123 @AddictChapstick Why? Where from? Looking at what?

2021-09-11T14:40:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436701238553235458

@Mantishomie Read the report. Point out issues with it.

2021-09-11T14:40:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436701080478355458

@steevjames @ProfessorHagen @tristan_uk It convinced AE911

2021-09-11T14:39:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436700948487815175

@Mantishomie Hit by WTC1. Burned for hours. Fell.

2021-09-11T14:38:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436700759727304706

@Alien_Scientist Thousands out of millions, and no relevant experts.

2021-09-11T14:36:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436700275293650945

@Alien_Scientist @IAmRobAherne Did it fail at that hole? Looks like something punched through it.

Where columns failed, the joins were ripped apart. Not burnt.

2021-09-11T14:34:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436699610597052417

@MJMYuk No. The rot had already set in years earlier.

2021-09-11T14:33:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436699345139630083

@Mantishomie @justmyvideos Look it up. Seriously.

2021-09-11T14:32:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436699194740252677

@Mantishomie @justmyvideos It did get hit by that tower next to it. The one with the antenna. WTC1.

2021-09-11T14:30:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436698640676839429

@Ps5andxbox1 Iâ□□m not sure what the question is. Eric is always complaining about how science isnâ□□t progressing fast enough to him.

Scientist would love to revolutionize.

2021-09-11T14:24:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436697198159286276

@Kyle841618 @VeilroadDude Hoax.

2021-09-11T14:24:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436697130559623170

@jplatte11 @PostDisclosure @thieme They needed it.

2021-09-11T14:24:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436697051073429506

@jplatte11 @PostDisclosure Photos, that are publicly available.

2021-09-11T05:39:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436564986654953473

@bpleasies @moz_moz @DickThickrod No. I'm asking why the penthouse fell through the entire building first? What would be the purpose of that?

2021-09-11T05:36:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436564245139779587

@tdeeefc @tristan_uk @Dolgin_Andrew Well that's obviously wrong, as it's actually easy to do.

Get them to either demonstrate the difficulty, or explain WHY it is difficult.

It's not.

2021-09-11T05:34:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436563731253628938

@AddictChapstick @pstanley123 What the heck for? In 2001? They use radar.

How is a camera going to help? Think about it. How?

2021-09-11T05:07:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436556986905026564

@AddictChapstick @pstanley123 Yes. Why exactly would there be cameras recording the the airspace around the Pentagon? What would they be for?

2021-09-11T05:03:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436555917378482176

@OdraNoel2049 Why would there be tons of camera footage?

2021-09-11T04:27:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436546877961359362

@AddictChapstick @pstanley123 Correct.

2021-09-11T00:34:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436488316346519554

@PostDisclosure @thieme You could say that about the Chilean Military's UAP task force. But they still did.

2021-09-11T00:33:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436488097957507076

@ihadtoomuch Somewhat curiously, some of the best analysis comes from 9/11 Truthers who are frustrated that people are not taking their controlled demolition theory seriously because it's associated with the Pentagon no-plane theory.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233943847_The_Pentagon_Attack_on_911_A_Refutation_of_the_Pentagon_Flyover_Hypothesis_Based_on_Analysis_of_the_Flight_Path

---

2021-09-11T00:09:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436482024622080005

@PostDisclosure The garbage photographic evidence is is the exact reason why Avi is trying to get better photographic evidence.

2021-09-11T00:07:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436481435368443905

And somewhat more technically: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-DadyW-LR4

2021-09-11T00:05:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436481009952833540

The 20th Anniversary of 9/11 might cause people to bring up Leroy Hulsey's study into WTC7, paid for by AE911Truth.

It's a terrible study, rife with inappropriate analysis and blatant misrepresentation. Animations

passed off as simulations. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2021-09-10T23:43:30+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436475450323181568
@DoggieKarma22 @DickThickrod And then
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a-DadyW-LR4

2021-09-10T23:41:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436474967026143233
@DoggieKarma22 @DickThickrod I have read it. See:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7OClixCTdDw

2021-09-10T23:40:10+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436474613471461379
RT @MattGertz: Oh god Iâ□□ll try again.

100% of concussed NFL players were wearing helmets. That doesnâ□□t mean helmets donâ□□t work -- they redâ□¦

2021-09-10T23:35:36+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436473463917608960
@SnakePo9 @JeremyUnidenti1 @Linkchadlad Hmm, high strangeness indeed.

2021-09-10T23:34:17+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436473130109726721
@SnakePo9 @JeremyUnidenti1 @Linkchadlad Interesting, thanks.

2021-09-10T23:31:51+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436472517787414529
@vancecrofoot @moz_moz @DickThickrod Well technically there was an atrium in the building, just not a significant one in terms of structure. Just two floor removed in a small area above the lobby, not affecting any relevant columns. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9gI68VkAAWxTW.jpg

---



Figure 12. Floor 3 framing plan [2]. Based on structural drawings [15].

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9gI68VkAAWxTW.jpg
2021-09-10T23:27:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436471489579028482
@ElectroDeeJ @BigNativeMitch @BrandonFugal @LueElizondo A security team for radiation burns?

2021-09-10T23:24:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436470775494578180
@DoggieKarma22 @DickThickrod Because Reuters incorrectly reported that it had, because the fire department had told them collapse was likely. Chaotic events often have incorrect reports.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1T0PqzkFxso

2021-09-10T23:19:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436469423817170944
@vancecrofoot @moz_moz @DickThickrod Seven did not have an atrium. It was built over an electrical sub-station but it did have a strong truss structure over this.

The core of wtc7 collapsed due to a local collapse of floors leaving a column (the one under the penthouse) unsupported.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436469313041362947/pu/img/kIwwc5ORK0nKzrTZ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436469313041362947/pu/img/kIwwc5ORK0nKzrTZ.jpg
2021-09-10T23:13:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436467875707973632

@JeremyUnidenti1 @Linkchadlad It's a confirmation that he qualifies for VA disability benefits. That only means his condition is related to (or was made worse by) his active duty service. It does not specifically relate it to any event.

2021-09-10T23:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436466950259892226

@ElectroDeeJ @BigNativeMitch @BrandonFugal @LueElizondo I'm highly skeptical of the notion that there's regular radiation health impacts at SWR. Wouldn't that make it incredibly dangerous, and subject to a variety of regulations? Also not somewhere you could realistically film a TV show.

2021-09-10T23:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436466238863994880

@JeremyUnidenti1 @Linkchadlad Burroughs? He might think the 1980 UAP encounter was responsible for his heart problems 35 years later, but there's no real evidence the VA did.

2021-09-10T22:54:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436463003034013698

@Chance_in_Hell @II_Enix_II I use "folk" as a friendly short neutral term. "UFO Fans" or "UFO enthusiasts" can sound a bit judgy. All labels can be interpreted as negative.

2021-09-10T21:45:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436445773277786112

@TCskywatch Measles has a case fatality rate of 0.1%, yet we had school mandates for that.

2021-09-10T21:41:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436444785808527362

@moz_moz @DickThickrod Why did the penthouse fall though the building before the interior collapsed? Why was this asymmetric?

2021-09-10T21:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436443376354615296

@ElectroDeeJ Biological effects? From a distant flying object?

2021-09-10T21:35:33+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436443252991750144

@Linkchadlad @JeremyUnidenti1 On what? The ground?

What are the iconic examples of this sixth observable?

2021-09-10T21:34:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436443056765505540

@pstanley123 Not by themselves they are not. It's a minor corroboration here.

There's no evidence of more clear footage.

2021-09-10T21:33:56+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436442842537156610

@JeremyUnidenti1 Physical effect on people? From a flying object?

Are there examples that have been observed? Is there any kind of criteria?

2021-09-10T21:32:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436442561636225027

@pstanley123 What do you expect from a 2001 security camera? 4K60?

2021-09-10T21:32:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436442408422547456

What's this sixth observable that UFO folk are talking about? Who came up with it?

2021-09-10T21:29:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436441803389947904

@darkagenda @Linkchadlad How exactly would that have helped the conspiracy?

2021-09-10T21:29:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436441647953297409

@marcbel19406167 When you shoot down a plane it does not go nose first into the ground at full speed. It breaks up in the air and you get a debris field, like with MH17

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9EAywUUAU7ejj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9EAywUUAU7ejj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9D8AIVkAACvLH.jpg

2021-09-10T21:26:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436441006778437633

@pstanley123 That video literally contains the plane hitting the Pentagon.

Thousands of camera doing what? This was 2001. Security cameras look down, not up.

2021-09-10T21:23:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436440313493528580

@DickThickrod WTC1 fell on it, largely destroying the south facade and some columns and starting extensive fires that burned for hours.

Interior collapse was started by floor collapses around the column with the longest unsupported spans. Thermal expansion broke girder-column connections

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9CnXtVEAIh5NL.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9B9d6UUAIB0rv.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9Cj2cVcAEt78t.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-9CnXtVEAIh5NL.jpg

2021-09-10T21:12:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436437360653193221

RT @FrankLuntz: Meanwhile, hereâ□□s someone who did ask the U.S. military for answers:

2021-09-10T21:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436437155379744768

Interesting look at the history of vaccine mandates in the US. Dating back to George Washington in 1777, and the supreme court ruling them constitutional in 1905.

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/09/09/us/politics/vaccine-mandates-history.html

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8_kr3VUAQUPH3.jpg

The New York Times

Legally speaking, the Supreme Court resolved the issue of mandatory vaccinations in 1905, ruling 7-2 in Jacobson v. Massachusetts that they were constitutional.

The Constitution "does not import an absolute right in each person to be, at all times and in all circumstances, wholly freed from restraint," Justice John Marshall Harlan, known for defending civil liberties, wrote. "Real liberty for all could not exist under the operation of a principle which recognizes the right of each individual person to use his own, whether in respect of his person or his property, regardless of the injury that may be done to others."

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8_kr3VUAQUPH3.jpg

The New York Times

In January 1777, George Washington mandated inoculations for the soldiers under his command in the Continental Army, writing that if smallpox were to break out, "we should have more to dread from it, than from the Sword of the Enemy." Notably, it was in large part the soldiers' desires that overcame his resistance to a mandate.

"They were the ones calling for it," said Andrew Wehrman, an associate professor of history at Central Michigan University who studies the politics of medicine in the colonial and revolutionary eras. "There's no record that I have seen — and I've looked — of any soldier turning it down, protesting it."

Buoyed by the success of the mandate, Washington wrote to his brother in June 1777 that he was upset by a Virginia law restricting inoculations. "I would rather move for a Law to compell the Masters of Families to inoculate every Child born within a certain limitted time under severe Penalties," he wrote.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8_jxfUYAEsKAn.jpg

2021-09-10T20:59:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436434060591517696

@openupforfacts @Kyle841618 Thousands out of millions is a VERY small percentage.

None of them are demolition experts or experts in very tall buildings.

I spoke to a NYC structural engineer who was there during cleanup. He says nobody he knows believes it was controlled demolition.

2021-09-10T20:54:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436433042000662530

20 years on. The collapse of Building 7 in perspective using a composite with a later street scene from the same camera location.

It was a lot taller than it seemed in the common version of this video.

Interior collapsed first. Exterior buckled at base.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QiFxm16-MQ0

2021-09-10T20:50:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436431880065208322

@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u @ufoxprt @ExploreSCU You are saying they can't be wrong because they took 2 years?

The Chileans were wrong about IB6830, and they had a big team, and took two years. So it happens.

Also SCU make demonstrable mistakes, then build their analysis on those mistakes. Like this one: http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8689NVkAAirmo.jpg

---



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8689NVkAAirmo.jpg

2021-09-10T20:46:35+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436430929069703171

@ThePrezeedent Which? The collapse video?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QiFxm16-MQ0

2021-09-10T20:31:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436427016069279747
@UnitasQuick No, he was an average pilot. It was not that difficult.

2021-09-10T20:30:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436426865909010436
@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u @ufoxprt @ExploreSCU That is not the theory. The lantern is moving at wind speed. Around 10mph. Near the runway, nowhere near the water.

2021-09-10T20:29:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436426680747261953
@justmyvideos Here you go, footage from the Doubletree Hotel. Impact at 1:00

That's the second best footage available. No other known videos show anything useful.

This was 2001. Not quite the ubiquity of cameras we have now.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JDVSPk7lQHM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JDVSPk7lQHM

2021-09-10T20:18:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436423792016855040
@realufobros @tristan_uk That was some time ago. I stopped flying years ago. It was fun though. And useful perspective.

Anyone can do a discovery flight (an introductory lesson), where they let you take control of the plane, fly around for a while.

https://www.norcalflightcenter.com/store/p19/discovery-flight.html

---

2021-09-10T20:03:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436420049749770240
@_manwhere @bosma2002 Not really. There were lights at Phoenix, so people seeing them does not add much. And there was no physical evidence.

2021-09-10T19:50:29+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436416812086808576
@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u @ufoxprt The SCU report? It's not a good report. For a start they don't seem to understand parallax, based on this nonsense.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8tVD8VEAAxhTN.jpg



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8tVD8VEAAxhTN.jpg

2021-09-10T19:48:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436416319839096834

@_manwhere @bosma2002 Multiple independent witness for one event that is also backed up by significant physical evidence.

If it was just one guy, and the Pentagon was unscathed, then I'd be more skeptical.

2021-09-10T19:46:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436415756716048385

@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u @ufoxprt 1. Nothing at the time. Something else at a different time.

2. People have parties, and even just fly lanters, on all days of the week.

3. No, but the video does not show that

4. Water hump, but no splash. How does that work. Both displaced and non-displaced at the same time?

2021-09-10T19:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436410755146862598

@bosma2002 @edwardcurrent 757. But what exactly are you comparing?

2021-09-10T19:25:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436410437252239363

@IAmRobAherne No, but that really depends on who you ask. The red chips look like paint chips. Iron microspheres are everywhere. No molten iron was recovered. End of columns are clean. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8ni5VVcAE0fw9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8ni5VVcAE0fw9.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8m8iwVUAMSNGt.jpg
2021-09-10T19:20:19+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436409217208905728
@flarkey @marcbel19406167 @rpowell2u @ufoxprt Here are the tracks in Google Earth.
https://www.metabunk.org/f/Aguadilla%20extracted%20track.kmz

Ground track (red) is rough camera center, not object.

I think a significant factor is that at the end the plane is flying away from the airport and climbing. Evidently they did not think it was important.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8mgN1VEAQTbPx.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8mgN1VEAQTbPx.jpg

2021-09-10T19:10:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436406861448679428

@telepathic_ape This interview might give you some perspective.

https://www.norad.mil/Newsroom/Article/578479/in-their-own-words-norad-members-recall-september-11-william-glover/

2021-09-10T19:05:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436405375775899649

@hoveringobject @mayo__az @Kyle841618 @CatpissXxxvi

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nP3TNHPCIVU

2021-09-10T19:04:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436405235606425600

@telepathic_ape What about my demonstration seemed more than trivial?

I'm a pilot - although only flow small planes. But a 360 to change altitude is

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436403732829278209/pu/img/brYbMdbbDgbBXKW3.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436403732829278209/pu/img/brYbMdbbDgbBXKW3.jpg
2021-09-10T18:55:33+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436402984351531013
@telepathic_ape Why not? What didn't I explain well enough?

2021-09-10T18:54:04+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436402611251384322
@telepathic_ape You didn't watch my video, did you?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2021-09-10T18:49:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436401484887834630
@telepathic_ape So you seem to have a rather high standard of evidence here. Exactly how many people would it take to convince you that the flight into the Pentagon was, as I showed, relatively simple?

2021-09-10T18:46:00+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436400584249122818
@telepathic_ape Are you no longer interested in the difficulty (or not) of the flight into the Pentagon?

2021-09-10T18:45:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436400412714623018
@marcbel19406167 Only if they actually debunk something.

2021-09-10T18:41:55+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436399553440206855
@telepathic_ape So you think it's possible Fravor was part of some government cover-up?

How about Fravor+Dietrich+Underwood+Lehto+Graves? Would that do it for you?

2021-09-10T18:34:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436397736614502400

@telepathic_ape So if, say, David Fravor said that the flight of AA77 into the Pentagon was quite plausible, then you'd accept that it might have happened?

2021-09-10T18:27:50+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436396009211396097

@telepathic_ape Would you though? Are you actually open minded?

2021-09-10T18:21:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436394367879503872

@telepathic_ape Yes. It's actually a very simple and slow maneuver that a novice pilot could easily do. See this recreation:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2021-09-10T18:19:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436393907953156101

@bosma2002 @edwardcurrent Have you read the eyewitness testimony?
http://911research.wtc7.net/pentagon/evidence/witnesses/sgydk.html

---

2021-09-10T18:18:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436393738939469827

@ReticSpline @Dolgin_Andrew So after they decided to "pull it" because it was so dangerous, you think they ran into the building, and carefully rigged it with explosives?

That does not really make any sense. If a building is too dangerous to be in during an active fire, you leave it alone.

2021-09-10T18:15:28+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436392896316329988

@telepathic_ape @Rahzsah A very small fraction of the witnesses. Here's a collection of actual individual eyewitnesses:

http://911research.wtc7.net/pentagon/evidence/witnesses/sgydk.html

---

2021-09-10T18:11:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436391858473615361

@telepathic_ape Here: http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

---

2021-09-10T18:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436391051397267469

@telepathic_ape @Rahzsah Those tapes apparently showed nothing. But let's assume you never get to see them. Is there no other evidence?

2021-09-10T18:06:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436390719921397761

@telepathic_ape There was the entire plane. It was all recovered, along with the human remains.

2021-09-10T18:05:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436390470830026756

@telepathic_ape @Rahzsah How about the engine parts found inside?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8VhGTVkAAcCus.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8VhGTVkAAcCus.jpg

2021-09-10T18:03:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436389917865635842

@telepathic_ape @Rahzsah What type of evidence would you require?

2021-09-10T18:02:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436389755499864066

@telepathic_ape So there was "literally zero" and also "the little debris there was?"

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8U2ryVcAEOq5k.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8U2ryVcAEOq5k.jpg

Debris from American Airlines flight 77.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8UcevVcAARvCy.jpg

The red "C" in the American Airlines logo is clearly visible in this picture.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8UlOFVEAEJKAH.jpg

More debris from the flight, which appears to be part of the body of the plane.



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8UgDQVcAIyXjS.jpg

2021-09-10T17:57:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436388486387421184
@telepathic_ape @Rahzsah Why would there have been security cameras pointed at the Pentagon? It's not a prison.

Security cameras point at approaches to building, doors, gas station forecourts. Not at other buildings.

2021-09-10T17:56:08+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436388034182717442
@EssentialBusin7 Building vary. A lot of the ones you might be referring to are concrete framed, or narrow-span, or the fire was largely just the cladding.

Plasco is a good example though. Collapsed due to fire, so some are forced to say that was controlled demolition. Plasco Truthers.

2021-09-10T17:50:01+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436386493300305930
@TimOsman14 Anything on the other side of an individual's conspiracy theory demarcation line is what they consider "low hanging fruit".

But lots of people draw their line differently, so it's worth addressing those claims.

2021-09-10T17:47:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436385755685814272
@MadPegger204 Possible to some degree, for some individuals, but I really doubt they knew exact details, or even the target.

We know Bush was briefed that bin Laden was determined to attack the US. Probably not much more than that, if anything.

2021-09-10T17:44:26+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436385086438461440
@CatpissXxxvi @BobRenob @Kyle841618 The funders of the study (AE911 Truth) stated that the purpose was to demonstrate that NIST was wrong, and "a controlled demolition more readily replicates the observed destruction"

i.e. they predetermined the outcome.
https://archive.is/ZR9S5#selection-1227.242-1227.314
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8Qnz5VQAEhKbX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8Qnz5VQAEhKbX.jpg
2021-09-10T17:41:48+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436384425361633280
9/11 "Truth" conspiracy theories (pre-planted explosives) have been in steady decline since the NIST reports in 2005-2008.

The 20th anniversary will bring them out again, but it will be a tiny spike.

We shouldn't ignore it thought. Some people will still be sucked in.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8PKDoUUAUPhRH.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-8PKDoUUAUPhRH.jpg
2021-09-10T17:32:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436382024432951300

@CatpissXxxvi @Kyle841618 Several of them were so badly damaged that they were later demolished. Only WTC7 was outside the main WTC site, and that was badly damaged during the collapse. Difference being it caught fire.

2021-09-10T17:30:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436381621951684611

@CatpissXxxvi @BobRenob @Kyle841618 That study was terrible. A couple of graduate students with no real experience, working towards a predetermined conclusion using the wrong kind of analysis.

2021-09-10T17:21:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436379245811421185

@EssentialBusin7 Also the first time a fire was caused by the adjacent collapsed of a much larger building that killed hundreds of firefighters, broke most of the windows on the South side, took out some columns, and cut off the water supply.

2021-09-10T17:19:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436378758328422400

@tristan_uk The simulation does all those things. Look at it. See how slow it looks.

It's not that difficult.

2021-09-10T17:11:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436376831930757123

@EssentialBusin7 A building fire. Yes. That's what the firefighters thought would happen, which is why they withdrew.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XW7CACDE-oU

2021-09-10T17:09:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436376350923755525

@Scribe1969 @edwardcurrent No such footage exists.

There was some fake footage of a cruise missile. There have also been numerous simulations.

2021-09-10T17:08:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436376089484414980

@BobRenob @CatpissXxxvi @Kyle841618 It collapsed mostly due to fires. The exterior collapsed like that because the interior had collapsed first (asymmetrically)

See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nP3TNHPCIVU

2021-09-10T17:06:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436375593214349321

@tristan_uk I used a physically correct flight simulator.

But I've also flown (and landed) real planes many times before (vid is of me). Steering a plane is

not difficult.

All they had to do was steer.
http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436375484250484743/pu/img/csOsDrr9hBeU639P.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436375484250484743/pu/img/csOsDrr9hBeU639P.jpg
2021-09-10T17:03:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436374713849155588
It's 2021. Most 9/11 conspiracy theories were answered by 2006, and the 9/11 "Truth" movement has been in slow decline since then.

But it's still seductive to new generations. So we need to keep the old answers readily available. Like this 2006 vid.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YVDdjLQkUV8

2021-09-10T16:53:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1436372154539413505
@bosma2002 Hundreds of interviews from thousands of potential witnesses has significant weight.

Especially as there was also a plane recovered from the Pentagon. And the bodies of the passengers.

And on the very simplest level, what knocked over the light poles?

2021-09-10T16:50:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436371519735697412

@CatpissXxxvi @Kyle841618 It would be rather difficult for them NOT to be destroyed when the twin towers collapsed on them. I think people forget the astonishing magnitude of the events.

Drop one 20-ton girder from 500+ feet and it can take out a building. There were thousands of times that, from 1000'

2021-09-10T16:44:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436370068233863172

@CPA9320 @bosma2002 I don't judge evidence based on which narrative it supports. Eyewitness evidence is always problematic.

2021-09-10T16:43:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436369777442754567

@CatpissXxxvi Well, several people who think of themselves as "serious laymen" (or even subject-matter experts) THINK they have looked into the facts.

I'd agree though that generally have not.

2021-09-10T16:38:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436368597215969282

@ParaHan25 Are those really the only options?

2021-09-10T16:35:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436367677774204931

@Scribe1969 There's no better video available. If you want to get into the details, see:

http://911myths.com/index.php/FBI_hides_84_Pentagon_videos

2021-09-10T16:33:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436367225636544515

@bosma2002 So even though the Pentagon is visible to thousands of people, and most of the people interviewed said it was a plane, you think it was not a plane?

How did they fool all those people?

2021-09-10T16:31:14+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436366666766553098

@Rahzsah Security cameras look down, not up.

2021-09-10T16:26:39+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436365513173598212

Another myth of the "no (normal) plane hit the Pentagon" theory is the idea that it would have required some aerobatic corkscrew dive.

The reality is that it was a single standard-rate (slow) descending turn any novice pilot can do. I did it here.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2021-09-10T16:22:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436364470482137089

@Dolgin_Andrew @tdeeefc It's not hard at all. I've done it in simulation many times. If you don't care about surviving, then flying a plane into a target is just steering.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UaOLpeTC7hY

2021-09-10T16:20:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436363857497247748

@Kyle841618 When WTC1 (The North Tower) collapsed, it badly damaged WTC7, causing extensive fires. The firefighters abandoned the building, thinking it might collapse, it burned for five hours, and then collapsed. See this great new overview for details.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nP3TNHPClVU

2021-09-10T16:17:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436363099561349122

@InvNightSchool Heck, it's from before a lot of people were born. Old school conspiracy, but still with a hard-core group of adherents and some slick videos to suck in the conspiracy-curious.

2021-09-10T16:15:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436362633846820868

@Dolgin_Andrew It was looked into over a decade ago. You just ignore the explanations.

Instead of these tenuous connections, why don't you point out some verifiable evidence of explosives being used.

2021-09-10T16:10:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436361524445171729

@Dolgin_Andrew Because it's specious nonsense.

2021-09-10T16:10:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436361358165962756

20 Years on, some people still think no plane hit the Pentagon on 9/11 because of ideas like "the hole was too small".

If you still think this, read The Pentagon Building Performance Report, where they examine the damage in depth, and then think again

http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-761FgVUAs-NrF.jpg



Figure 6.1 Aircraft aligned with damage on west facade

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-76WpLVQAE9LAs.jpg



Figure 5.5 Missing first-floor columns 11A, 11B, and 11C



Figure 5.6 Facade damage to the north of the impact area



Figure 5.7 First-floor facade damage to the north of the impact area

mately column line 15 on the east side of Ring E and to approximately column line 18 on the west side of Ring E (figure 5.4). No portion of Ring D or Ring C collapsed; nor did either of the two-story sections between the rings.

Since all debris was removed prior to the detailed inspection, the team was unable to determine specifically the level and extent of impact damage in this region of the building.

In general, the first-floor interior columns were severely damaged immediately adjacent to the collapse area on the north side of the expansion joint on column line 11 in Ring E. First-floor columns 11A, 11B, and 11C to the north of the expansion joint were missing (figure 5.5). Upper columns on the north side of the expansion joint on column line 11 were intact, except for the second-floor columns at 11A and 11B. These columns were severed at the second floor, which was also damaged at this location.

None of the facade in the collapse area was accessible for inspection. However, the team did observe that limestone of the first-floor facade was seriously damaged to the north to column line 8 (figure 5.6). Some first-floor limestone panels of the facade were missing for an additional 30 to 50 ft to the north (figure 5.7).

The first-floor exterior column on column line 9 remained in place, but the rest of the exterior columns south to column line 11, at the start of the collapsed area, were gone.

To the south, facade panels on both the first and second floors between column lines 18 and 20 were severely damaged (figure 5.8).

The exterior of the building showed clear evidence of the extensive fire that occurred within the building. The limestone facade was blackened by smoke for more than 200 ft to the north of the impact point (figure 5.9). Evidence of fire damage was less severe to the south (figure 5.10), and even immediately adjacent to the impact area the facade to the south showed little evidence of fire damage.

The west facade of the Pentagon was severely scarred by debris impact, particularly to the south of the collapse area (figure 5.11). Just above the second-floor slab, the exterior columns on column lines 18 and 19



Figure 5.8 Facade damage to the south of the impact area



Figure 5.9 Exterior evidence of fire to the north of the impact area



Figure 5.10 Exterior evidence of fire to the south of the impact area

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-761FgVUAs-NrF.jpg



Figure 5.14 Upgraded windows in place



Figure 5.15 Broken conventional windows



Figure 5.16 Hole to AE Drive



Figure 5.17 Column missing at location 3K



Figure 5.18 Column 15B with concrete cover removed by impact



Figure 5.19 Column 3N damaged by impact and fire



Figure 5.20 Column 3L with large deformation and discrete hinges

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-76t7xVEAQ5Isu.jpg



Figure 7.9 Path of ejected fuel in the first story

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-76nNpVIAYeAox.jpg

2021-09-10T15:53:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436357097101225989

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 I'm sorry you feel that way. I'll stop responding to you if you think I'm harassing you. That was not my intent.

2021-09-10T15:48:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436355944321933314

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 I'm not going after you. I was just trying to help, because you

asked.

2021-09-10T15:20:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436348744413237253

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 You asked "what balloon can change shape like that" and asked for evidence.

You can watch videos of balloons to see this "shape change" in less than a second just from the balloon rotating.

http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436348607955759104/pu/img/rE9V3aobkO_TjroB.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/ext_tw_video_thumb/1436348607955759104/pu/img/rE9V3aobkO_TjroB.jpg

2021-09-10T15:11:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436346513169403905

@OdraNoel2049 The plane practically left a plane-shaped hole in the Pentagon. However many of the columns hit by the wings remained intact, but damaged. So you get a wing-shaped damage patterns on either side.

I recommend the Pentagon Building Performance Report

http://www.attivissimo.net/9-11/PentagonBuildingPerformanceReport.pdf

---

2021-09-10T15:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436345696114733059

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 Rotation and linear motion are two different things.

But again, you'd really need video to demonstrate these things.

2021-09-10T15:06:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436345404728041484

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 I recommend you try filming 4K video, as it will give you much

more useful information in cases like this.

To me this looks exactly like a balloon.

2021-09-10T14:53:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436342175084646404

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 Balloons can spin in a gust of wind.

2021-09-10T14:41:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436339129147527183

@marie_kayali @matrix2004 Itâ□□s not changing shape, itâ□□s just rotating as it drifts in the air. It looks different from different angles.

2021-09-09T19:36:03+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436050789370253318

@Jay09784691 @DietrichVFA41 @BostonsBuddha At 20 feet I cannot tell if the ends are rounded. Nor can I see marking. As similar sized rectangle of paper looks very similar (except for size)

At 10 feet I can see the markings, but no real details.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-3gZabVUAUfO3g.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-3gZabVUAUfO3g.jpg

2021-09-09T19:08:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436043763802013696

@Jay09784691 @DietrichVFA41 @BostonsBuddha That is why here I'm encouraging people to try the practical experiment in real life, with an actual Tic-Tac at 20 feet, and their eyes.

2021-09-09T19:03:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436042557364981778

@dannyinblue What do you mean "now", I've been doing them for years!

2021-09-09T18:28:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436033742192066562

@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u Surely all the unidentified events have â□□meritâ□□ then?

2021-09-09T18:13:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436029920702976010

@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u What do you mean by â□□meritâ□□ in this context?

2021-09-09T17:59:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436026455121534979

@MiddleOfMayhem @ufoofinterest @bradjohansson21 I don't really see how you'd get a visible beam. You'd kind of need the local air to be in shade, and a bit hazy. Then the church to be in full sun.

Any beam of light at solar noon in summer seems implausible.

You should drive up and down that street at 1PM with a dash-cam :)

2021-09-09T17:55:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436025517270966283

RT @blackvaultcom: FLASH: The DoD has just released EVEN MORE internal "briefing cards" to The Black Vault that deal with UAPs, the #UAP Taâ¦

2021-09-09T17:08:24+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436013631271936018

@Tomfortas @CurtCollins579 That does not look like a list of observables. It appears to be a description of a flammable balloon.

2021-09-09T16:58:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436011034804822036

@MiddleOfMayhem @ufoofinterest @bradjohansson21 At around 1PM the sun would be near due south.

http://suncalc.net/#/33.4694,-117.7024,14/2021.08.22/13:00

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-28Z6BVIAgil19.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-28Z6BVIAgil19.jpg

2021-09-09T16:36:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436005543944982530

@BostonsBuddha @BrentlyLee @DietrichVFA41 You might be able to see wings, that's not the issue though is it, as nobody saw wings. I don't think it's likely they saw a conventional plane.

The question is if they could see the amount of detail they described.

2021-09-09T16:30:13+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436004024793243659

RT @ufoofinterest: Many questions about this mysterious "light pillar" taken on Sept. 8 at 7:45am in Shenyang, China. An interdimensional pâ□¦

2021-09-09T16:29:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1436003861299273735

@MiddleOfMayhem @ufoofinterest @bradjohansson21 Just just behind that lighthouse?

:)

(Disclaimer: I don't think that was it) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-21zeIVQAAyMP8.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-21zeIVQAAyMP8.jpg

2021-09-09T16:10:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435999016609673217

@DietrichVFA41 @BostonsBuddha That wasn't what I said at all. Obviously you can see it. I can see the scale model.

The question is how much DETAIL you can see from various distances.

2021-09-09T15:46:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435993140406587399

@bobofango86 No, it's about detail perception, not depth perception (although that's certainly an issue).

2021-09-09T15:46:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435992929990963202

@JeffreyKStewart I'm not on anyone's payroll. Although I occasionally get paid for appearances, op-eds, and columns. Not by the CIA though, AFAIK.

2021-09-09T15:44:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435992550410788880

@BostonsBuddha And what about the other two, Dietrich and Slaight? How close did they get?

You know they ALL describe the shape and details as if they were immediately apparent.

2021-09-09T15:43:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435992168745734145

@KallyOmega @BostonsBuddha The issue isn't spotting it. It's being able to see details (or lack of details), or even an accurate shape.

2021-09-09T15:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435991975417679876

@stonesurfing @williamkay62 @TicTacUSA "Obsessed with my newest idea" is just how I roll.

2021-09-09T15:17:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435985685412864010

@MiddleOfMayhem @ufoofinterest @bradjohansson21 Is there something long and reflective behind those trees, like a canal?

Do you have an exact time and location?

2021-09-09T15:16:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435985504839733249

@marcbel19406167 @flarkey @rpowell2u Peer review checks individual details, data and methodology. Peer review should point out specific errors.

Peer review is essentially debunking.

2021-09-09T15:14:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435984919386157062

@BostonsBuddha Seeing something isn't the same as resolving detail. The Tic-Tac was reportedly 40-feet long.

2021-09-09T15:10:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435984011214536705

@MiddleOfMayhem @ufoofinterest @bradjohansson21 Beams of light in full sunlight would almost certainly not be visible unless it was misty

I think the simplest explanation, based on your image, is misidentified contrails that just went behind some trees as your were driving.

2nd explanation would a volumetric edge beam.

2021-09-09T15:04:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435982435116732422

@BostonsBuddha Can you see DETAILS? Can you tell it's exact shape, and if it has any surface features? Can you tell if it's all white, or if it has writing on it?

2021-09-09T15:00:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435981417247232000

@TicTacUSA @williamkay62 Well, 35KM is just 115K feet. Nearly 6x Fravor's initial 20K feet sighting. So you'd need something 6x as big to make the same visual impression. 6x40 = 240 feet. About the size of this JLENS blimp. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-2hASrVEAAMApz.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-2hASrVEAAMApz.jpg
2021-09-09T14:17:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435970689928814599
@Tomfortas @CurtCollins579 Do they have a list?

2021-09-09T14:10:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435968898147389443
@DecodingStrange Zoom in a bit, and it will look like 20.

I measured it, 20â□□ to my office chair. But the photo will always be ambiguous. Need to recreate it IRL with a Tic-Tac

---

2021-09-09T08:15:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435879577302142979
@teenypeenyshow @Jeff_Hayhs @area51org @RoundtownUFO No, that is what I think.

2021-09-09T08:14:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435879307377725443
@IAmRobAherne It's a Histomap.
https://www.visualcapitalist.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/histomap-big.html

---

2021-09-09T04:07:46+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435817178033389571
@Saw_a_UFO Go buy some Tic-Tacs. Try it with your eyes.

2021-09-09T04:05:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435816559092461576
@kathyow6 Yes, which is why I said people should try it themselves, with a real Tic-Tac

---

2021-09-09T00:29:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435762329635811328
@Alien_Scientist @fin365 Those appear to be report on human technology, current and speculative. They don't seem to discuss any kind of recovered material.

2021-09-09T00:23:52+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435760834702036996
@Alien_Scientist @fin365 I'm sorry, which FOIAed document describes things recovered from a UFO that were "Literally engineered down to the atomic scale."

2021-09-09T00:20:39+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435760022206644224
@Pawn37 It looks like an indistinct white shape, a bit like these boats.

I can tell it's longer one way than the other, but can't really make out any features (when I add features with a pen) http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zXKOUVQAIhmLR.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zXKOUVQAIhmLR.jpg
2021-09-09T00:14:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435758434796793859
@Alien_Scientist @fin365 Could you give an example?

2021-09-09T00:08:35+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435756985492721668
@williamkay62 @TicTacUSA I'm creating a tool that allows people to evaluate that for themselves.

The amount of detail they could see would depend on how close they were, the relative speeds,

and the amount of time they saw it for, so there's no simple answer.

2021-09-09T00:03:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435755583714430978

@Jim87504388 @jasgrave333 Indeed. Project Blue Book noted that were 701 UFO cases they were unable to identify. Now we've got a new report with 143.

https://web.archive.org/web/20030702012404/http://www.af.mil/factsheets/factsheet_print.asp?fsID=188&page=1

2021-09-08T23:56:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435753915853651970

@fin365 Klass was quite specific "As you lie on your own death-bed you will be as mystified about UFOs as you are today"

Does "AATIP researched it" change that?

2021-09-08T23:54:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435753511329800194

@williamkay62 @TicTacUSA The point is that you need to get buy a Tic-Tac and look at it from 20 feet away because, as I said, " It's hard to recreate in photos"

Give it a go.

2021-09-08T23:45:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435751221978013701

@williamkay62 @TicTacUSA No, and I just explained why.

2021-09-08T23:44:42+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435750976657375236

@LymburnerTodd @UAPstudy @DietrichVFA41 If they have not talked, then how do we know what it looked like to them?

2021-09-08T23:43:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435750746763317248

@GambleDale Or just look at a Tic-Tac about 2.64 feet away.

It's a 1000:1 scale model. Very handy for simple visualization experiments.

Really makes me wonder how Slaight saw that heat haze (but Fravor did not).

2021-09-08T23:40:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435749980661174272

@williamkay62 Just an iPhone, I wasn't going for the human-eye magnification because it's irrelevant if you have no control over the eventual display and viewing distance (phone vs. desktop). I'm encouraging people to buy @TicTacUSA and go and try it themselves. It the only way to be sure.

2021-09-08T23:37:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435749260952100867

@moozoo_wizard There's no 1:1000 scale model of a F/A-18 that I know of.

2021-09-08T23:31:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435747696174776322

@moozoo_wizard Why?

2021-09-08T23:30:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435747483146018816

@MoonPieDreams No, that would be amazing!

I'm not trying to disprove the ETH. I'm just try to figure stuff out, investigate cases, and point out mistakes.

2021-09-08T23:24:48+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435745969786826754

@MoonPieDreams @zero132132 @springjack72 But what do we know about what the US government knows about UFOs that we did not know 40 years ago?

2021-09-08T23:18:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435744489914593281

@Stephen24563580 I don't have a VR headset yet.

2021-09-08T23:18:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435744341079703554

@Capybara_Capt Picking it out isn't the issue. The question is really how much detail you can make out. Can you tell what shape it is from 20,000 feet? Would you be able to tell if it had a tether attached? Or feet?

2021-09-08T23:14:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435743295292858368

@MoonPieDreams I'm not feigning. I genuinely think it's sad that many people spent their lives chasing something that they never got to see and was probably never been real.

2021-09-08T23:11:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435742668076638210

@starapparatus That's why I said people should try it themselves - meaning IRL. Tic-Tacs are readily available. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zIUdqVIAgu_gX.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zIUdqVIAgu_gX.jpg

2021-09-08T23:08:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435741972484874243

@UAPstudy The initial observation, yes (20K feet to what looked like sea-level)

Obviously they also saw it from closer up later in the encounter. I'm no sure how close @DietrichVFA41 and Jim Slaight were at their closest point, but I suspect not less than 10K.

2021-09-08T23:06:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435741462293975044

@LymburnerTodd Yeah, but the true test is to do it in RL, at 20 feet.

2021-09-08T23:05:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435741180805795842

@guylincolnsmith Nope. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zG5mJVEAAtYvo.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zG5mJVEAAtYvo.jpg

2021-09-08T23:03:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435740641275756548

@policky_russ A mixed bag with some interesting stuff, especially in Episode 3.

2021-09-08T22:57:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435739094814887942

Visualizing scale. A 40-foot long UFO that's 20,000 feet below would look like a Tic-Tac 20 feet away from you. It's hard to recreate in photos (here's one from 20 feet, it's on the couch), so I'd recommend people try it themselves. What can you make out from 20K or 10K feet?

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zEOl1VIAYPPCj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-zEOl1VIAYPPCj.jpg
2021-09-08T22:40:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435734783930236932
@stonesurfing @realufobros @UsCars4 @ufouapam @UFOJaneTX I genuinely think it's best for people to figure things out for themselves wherever possible. This wasn't an important point, and certainly not time-critical, so I was fine with just letting it simmer.
If I answer such "gotcha" Qs they generally just move on to something else.

2021-09-08T20:54:40+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435708187521343488
@MIKALg Having to rethink physics every day seems unsustainable.

2021-09-08T20:26:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435701212339343361
@realufobros @UsCars4 @ufouapam @UFOJaneTX I was waiting for them to figure it out themselves. That's always the best way.

2021-09-08T20:21:05+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435699733922680833
@iwound @ufoofinterest Kind of drone-like?

2021-09-08T20:03:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435695278078660608
@UsCars4 @ufouapam @realufobros @UFOJaneTX Notice I'm referring to a photo there, not a video.

I'm not pre-debunking a video.

I'm anticipating that what would be released MIGHT be a bigger version of the photos ALREADY

released a few weeks earlier.

And if it was, I was offering my interpretation of that.

2021-09-08T17:22:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435654859848515584

@fin365 About what they really are? And what the gov REALLY knows?

2021-09-08T16:57:43+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435648557638426627

Philip Klass' "UFO Curse" was written in 1983. Sadly it came true for many ufologists over the following 38 years.

I wonder if the old guard thinks about this, and if trying to break the curse helps motivate their activism. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-xx4r-VEAAplUY.jpg

---

THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT OF PHILIP J. KLASS

To ufologists who publicly criticize me, ... or who even think unkind thoughts about me in private, I do hereby leave and bequeath: THE UFO CURSE:

No matter how long you live, you will never know any more about UFOs than you know today. You will never know any more about what UFOs really are, or where they come from. You will never know any more about what the U.S. Government really knows about UFOs than you know today. As you lie on your own death-bed you will be as mystified about UFOs as you are today. And you will remember this curse.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-xx4r-VEAAplUY.jpg

2021-09-08T16:31:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435642080131383301

@ChrisSPITZER7 But you've seen them say they think it's almost certainly NHI.

2021-09-08T16:26:09+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435640613932732422

@ChrisSPITZER7 So I take it you'd levy the same criticism at Elizondo, Mellon, Vallee, etc?

2021-09-08T16:24:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435640220708335625

@Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 Yeah, I increasing try to add disclaimers to that effect to my videos. e.g. watch the last 60 seconds of the No Sudden Moves video from last year

https://youtu.be/U1di0XIa9RQ?t=271

2021-09-08T16:18:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435638632484773889

@ChrisSPITZER7 Specific claims deserve specific investigation.

2021-09-08T16:16:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435638262161297412

@Spectre67904513 @ChrisSPITZER7 When I analyze a video, it's generally in response to some specific claim that someone made about the video. e.g. the claim about FLIR1 that it it showed rapid acceleration at the end. So we can ask the very simple question "is that true", and analyze the video to determine that

---

2021-09-08T15:59:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435633799455379465

@ChrisSPITZER7 I'm sorry you got that impression.

2021-09-08T15:57:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435633405232697347

@EnergyField7 @ufoofinterest I think that's essentially the same thing. The blue light from the arc flash (or more sustained arcing) precedes the physical explosion.

2021-09-08T15:03:40+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435619856313843721

Mixed reviews. A reminder that when you say something it can be received in wildly different ways based on who is listening.

Also, the way that you say things is unfortunately very important. Communication isn't just about showing a bunch of facts and opinions. It's two-way.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-xXjbwVQAMCJUj.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-xXjbwVQAMCJUj.jpg

2021-09-08T14:55:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435617725745795076

When I saw footage of the Mexico earthquake this morning I knew the blue flashes from exploding transformers would yet-again be misinterpreted as "earthquake lights" or an "EMP".

@ufoofinterest breaks it down in this thread.

https://twitter.com/ufoofinterest/status/1435512277625712641

2021-09-08T14:49:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435616287388889091

@CryptoWyfu @maetaphysika Kinda looks like a balloon, based on the video.

2021-09-08T14:46:49+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435615615067181057

@michaelshermer @JackSarfatti Jack is famous in the UFO community for posting brief and

dense mathematical musing on UFOs that use a magical meta-material (plus AI) step to defy gravity. He has a few fans, but is mostly ignored because he refuses to explain his theories to the plebeians.

2021-09-08T14:35:11+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435612685706559492
@_Desmoden @UFCUFO1 @GlennBurnsWSB I just have @mickwest and @metabunk (which I don't really use)

It's not hard to view a blocked account (just use an incognito window.) But I generally don't bother.

This, I see, is just the old Utah drone zip-by video.

2021-09-08T00:43:16+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435403329056636934
@UFCUFO1 @GlennBurnsWSB Glenn blocked me.

2021-09-07T23:52:43+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435390604356177923
@normal20211 @SomewhereSkies I apologize if I misquoted. Can you point out where I did this?

2021-09-07T19:40:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435327139071414275
@IWANTTOKNOWUK @fin365 @d_logan33 @YouTube @SBrowneITF @GUFONRadio @ExogenesisHH Does the file on your camera have the time?

If you email the video to me from your camera, I can look at the EXIF data.
mick@mickwest.com

2021-09-07T18:27:34+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435308780439236609
@springjack72 @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral That's a compressed Twitter version. It's not like that in the original.

2021-09-07T18:23:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435307728033837056
@fin365 @IWANTTOKNOWUK @d_logan33 @YouTube @SBrowneITF @GUFONRadio @ExogenesisHH 7/9/2021 at 10PM BST? If that's 7th September then that's in the future. It's 7:20PM BST on sept 7th right now.

What's the actual date and exact time of filming.

2021-09-07T17:29:15+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435294104812855296
@marcbel19406167 @BlueGlassTV @preluder Villa Montana. Just upwind.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-swW9YVcAUpWZi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-swW9YVcAUpWZi.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-sv2t_VIAEIviX.jpg

2021-09-07T15:39:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435266483739783169

@springjack72 @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral You'd have to show me. All I see is normal noise. I'm looking at the raw video (contrast boosted here) and this is about the first frame you can see it. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-sXKGRUYAA_e63.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-sXKGRUYAA_e63.jpg

2021-09-07T15:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435263193929031692

@maetaphysika @d_logan33 @mesolithicguy @YouTube @IWANTTOKNOWUK @SBrownelTF @GUFONRadio @ExogenesisHH Yes, oncoming aircraft are a common source of UFO sightings, as they can appear to be hovering, or just gently rising, for several minutes.

2021-09-07T15:25:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435262840290484224

@frmurphyiii He declined to come back. Said he was too busy.

2021-09-07T15:24:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435262707469414401

@springjack72 @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral I don't see any trail in the original video.

2021-09-07T14:54:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435255223031795712

@Komutan27916321 @ResearchUap @Oswald1160 @LeifNordh @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral I actually think something smaller is more likely, as the speed required for a bird-sized object is (as I remember, it was two years ago) too high.

2021-09-07T14:45:44+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435252954403983360

@ResearchUap @Komutan27916321 @Oswald1160 @LeifNordh @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral It also happens before. It happens at the time when the angular size of the object is small enough to get lost in the noise.

2021-09-07T14:31:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435249469180637190

@Komutan27916321 @Oswald1160 @ResearchUap @LeifNordh @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral That treeline vanishing is consistent with compression artifacts. I did a pixel-level analysis a few years ago. These are the actual pixels in the original raw video.

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/#post-228200 http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E-sHpUwVIAEQjQE.jpg

---

http://pbs.twimg.com/tweet_video_thumb/E-sHpUwVIAEQjQE.jpg
2021-09-07T14:27:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435248329038839808
@Komutan27916321 @Alien_Scientist @SomewhereSkies I don't trust anyone blindly. I'm very evidence based.

2021-09-07T09:23:50+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435171946598068224
@ChrisSPITZER7 @Oswald1160 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye You also have to account for image stabilization, which can create large apparent movement in distant objects.

2021-09-07T09:22:24+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435171582800891904
@ResearchUap @LeifNordh @Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral The apparent movement is consistent with a close object.

2021-09-07T04:45:41+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435101944461156354
@CatpissXxxvi @DreamsEnd3 @ReverendWashy What method do you think I'm employing by pointing out errors in the SCU Aguadilla report?

2021-09-07T04:36:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435099752857686019
@DreamsEnd3 @CatpissXxxvi @ReverendWashy You can only debunk something if it contains bunk.

Like the sentence I highlighted in yellow, above.

2021-09-07T04:23:09+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435096276203282432
@DreamsEnd3 @CatpissXxxvi @ReverendWashy No. I look at what they claim, then I check it, then if it's wrong I explain that.

Here I'm just saying the SCU is wrong. I suspect I need to explain it better.
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-p8aCZUYAIb3BB.jpg



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-p8aCZUYAIb3BB.jpg

2021-09-07T04:00:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435090642170908677

@CatpissXxxvi @DreamsEnd3 @ReverendWashy I don't claim to be an expert. I just occasionally point out instances where I think people have got things wrong.

Instances that you can check yourself.

2021-09-07T00:29:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435037543880290308

@marcbel19406167 Math? There is no math here, just a false statement.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-pG7uAUUAIwpcn.jpg



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-pG7uAUUAIwpcn.jpg

2021-09-07T00:28:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1435037104426258433
@marcbel19406167 Again, are you going to admit they got this on point wrong? So they can't actually eliminate the possibility of a lantern.

Do you think the accuracy of the report is unimportant?

2021-09-07T00:14:47+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435033773150642187
@marcbel19406167 So you're basically going to ignore that the report incorrectly rules out balloons and lanterns based on a false assumptions?

2021-09-06T22:59:02+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435014708600315908
@loveallaniamls Try taking some molten aluminum and pouring it through a bonfire.
The aluminum isn't burning. The stuff it carries away is burning.

2021-09-06T22:50:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1435012593488330754
@BrentlyLee @e2_hawkeye @theferaltweets @TrevyLimited @5X5_NEWS @JackFrostvc @dave_beaty @MysteryWire @devgru1980mi @grahammessick @Parabunk @MiddleOfMayhem
An actual Tic-Tac, 20 feet away.

Tic-Tac is 0.036 feet long 40/0.036 = 1111. So you can very roughly go 1/1000th scale. i.e. from 20,000 feet. It would be like looking at a tic-tac candy from 20 feet away.

Discussion here.
https://www.metabunk.org/threads/how-big-is-a-tic-tac-scale-models-of-the-nimitz-incident.9829/

2021-09-06T22:00:22+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434999946134966281
@PotatoRamirez @MikeColangelo There are many different people in the world. Outcomes vary.

2021-09-06T21:34:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434993335488290817
@loveallaniamls That is not what I said at all.

2021-09-06T21:29:56+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434992286484480001
@loveallaniamls Aluminum would probably not be glowing like that. But you can actually see quite a lot of darker material in the flow. The glowing (burning) stuff is what stands out.

2021-09-06T21:26:42+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434991471044677633
@HamidAbubakr2 Really? Is there an example?

2021-09-06T21:24:07+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434990823792201732

@loveallaniamls The organics were probably already burning. There was a big fire.

2021-09-06T21:11:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434987614688272385

@loveallaniamls Burning. It not like they were in a blender together.

2021-09-06T21:08:29+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434986887949680641

@loveallaniamls Pretty much http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oY87pVQAAvdxW.jpg

21. Why does NIST state that a yellow stream of molten metal seen in some photographs pouring down the side of WTC2 was aluminum from the crashed plane, even though aluminum burns with a white glow?

NIST reported (NIST NCSTAR 1-5A) that just before 9:52 a.m., a bright spot appeared at the top of a window on the 80th floor of WTC 2, four windows removed from the east edge on the north face, followed by the flow of a glowing liquid. This flow lasted approximately four seconds before subsiding. Many such liquid flows were observed from near this location in the seven minutes leading up to the collapse of this tower. There is no evidence of similar molten liquid pouring out from another location in WTC 2 or from anywhere within WTC 1.

Photographs, as well as NIST simulations of the aircraft impact, show large piles of debris in the 80th and 81st floors of WTC 2 near the site where the glowing liquid eventually appeared. Much of this debris came from the aircraft itself and from the office furnishings that the aircraft pushed forward as it tunneled to this far end of the building. Large fires developed on these piles shortly after the aircraft impact and continued to burn in the area until the tower collapsed.

NIST concluded that the source of the molten material was aluminum alloys from the aircraft, since these are known to melt between 475 degrees Celsius (900 degrees Fahrenheit) and 640 degrees Celsius (1,200 degrees Fahrenheit)—depending on the particular alloy—well below the expected temperatures (about 1,000 degrees Celsius or 1,800 degrees Fahrenheit) in the vicinity of the fires. Aluminum is not expected to ignite at normal fire temperatures and there is no visual indication that the material flowing from the tower was burning.

Pure liquid aluminum would be expected to appear silvery. However, the molten metal was very likely mixed with large amounts of hot, partially burned, solid organic materials (e.g., furniture, carpets, partitions and computers) which can display an orange glow, much like logs burning in a fireplace. The apparent color also would have been affected by slag formation on the surface.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oY87pVQAAvdxW.jpg

2021-09-06T20:58:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434984329378025473

@loveallaniamls And it's not really glowing material, it's BURNING material.

2021-09-06T20:54:52+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434983461425582082

@loveallaniamls Depends on the camera and exposure.

2021-09-06T20:53:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434983151487438850

@realufobros I think so, I missed the start of the discussion. Classified docs in a box.

2021-09-06T20:51:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434982641556541440

@loveallaniamls Are burning embers dark in the daytime?

2021-09-06T20:47:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434981577562279937

@loveallaniamls Pour some molten aluminum through a fire that's in ember stage. You get a molten flow with bright embers embedded. Like this.

You don't even really need the aluminum.

https://vimeo.com/564380233

2021-09-06T20:43:02+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434980482844741634

@tinfoil_globe Hmm, I think that's what it reminded me of.

2021-09-06T20:39:08+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434979503160184833

An excellent overview, explanation, and debunking of the conspiracy theories surrounding Building 7. In time for the 20th anniversary of 9/11, which sadly is invariable exploited by the promoters of the "controlled demolition" theory.

https://twitter.com/edwardcurrent/status/1434915204937179139

2021-09-06T20:34:51+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434978422946615297

@InvNightSchool @BostonsBuddha @ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi Yeah, sorry, my mistake.

2021-09-06T20:27:57+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434976688987721731

@InvNightSchool @BostonsBuddha @ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi Oh wait, I thought your were arguing the opposite!

Real time peer review :)

2021-09-06T20:26:46+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434976391552851968

@InvNightSchool @BostonsBuddha @ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi Why? It's show from a moving camera that's in ground-track mode.

So what movement of the object is this photo demonstrating?

2021-09-06T20:14:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434973417971720193

Does anyone recognize the type of symbols that Danny Sheehan drew on the UCR show? They looked familiar to me, like a type of number system.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oMEH0VkAA6dCQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oMEH0VkAA6dCQ.jpg

2021-09-06T20:07:00+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434971417154445313

@ReverendWashy @BostonsBuddha @CatpissXxxvi Just rotate your eyeball a bit, which it will do if you've focussed on something in the background.

Essentially put your head in ground track mode, like the camera is in with the Aguadilla video.

2021-09-06T19:51:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434967431898755074

@BostonsBuddha @ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi Here's my paper. Can you peer review it?

Hold up your thumb.
Close one eye
Focus on the background
Move your head slightly to to the right
Notice you thumb moved left, with no "intrinsic motion" of its own.
So the SCU claim (Figure 1) is wrong. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oHOu8VcAALKZi.jpg

---



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-oHOu8VcAALKZi.jpg

2021-09-06T19:47:38+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434966539778727936

@DreamsEnd3 @ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi This is a pretty simple claim. It's wrong.

Me saying other experts are wrong about other points has nothing to do with this point.

2021-09-06T19:24:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434960597620117507

@marcbel19406167 Are you just going to ignore this glaring error?

2021-09-06T19:20:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434959637359464450

@rpowell2u @ExploreSCU @chrisotis78 too, as you referenced this report in your Aguadilla video. Do you think the highlighted statement is correct?

2021-09-06T19:18:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434959193685954563

I challenge anyone from the SCU to back up the claim highlighted in this image from their report (and the subsequent analysis that relies up on it being true).

@rpowell2u @exploreSCU https://twitter.com/MickWest/status/1434956054945624067

2021-09-06T19:12:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434957662286909446

@ReverendWashy @CatpissXxxvi And here's a case where the "experts" are wrong. Where they clearly misunderstood what they were looking at.

So what do we do with this?

2021-09-06T19:08:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434956787552194560

I discuss the parallax illusion in some depth here. Note in the Churchill Navigation balloon example at the start, the balloon has very high apparent speed because the camera is in ground track mode. Same thing.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YYqVa59VRRc

2021-09-06T19:05:58+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434956054945624067
They double down on this later. Fatal misunderstanding of parallax apparent motion.

@rpowell2u who wrote appendix L in the Aguadilla report?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-n8k3NVkAI5rsh.jpg



Figure 1

Figure 1 clearly demonstrates the intrinsic motion of the UAP and that the motion of the plane from one frame to the next contributes nothing to the difference in the location of the UAP. This, in itself, does not eliminate the possibility of a balloon since a balloon could have drifted into the frames due to its own motion.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-n8k3NVkAI5rsh.jpg
2021-09-06T19:03:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434955399120048128
This seems to be a fundamental misunderstanding on the part of the venerable SCU. They dropped the wedding lantern hypothesis in part because they though the motion in certain frames was all from the object, not the plane/camera. They were wrong.

2021-09-06T19:00:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434954577321738243
@ChrisSPITZER7 @Ps5andxbox1 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye We should not multiple entitles unnecessarily.

2021-09-06T18:59:20+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434954385457516544
The SCU report into the Aguadilla UFO case makes a few mistakes. But one in particular leaps out.

In a plane circling a stationary balloon, the balloon WILL continue to move relative to the background even if the background happens not to shift position due to ground tracking.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-n6SEcVQAAYL-f.jpg



2013 Aguadilla Puerto Rico UAP April 25 2013

5. The splitting of the object into two parts also eliminates a balloon as a possibility.

6. Line of sight movement seen during the video based on the latitude/longitude of the CBP aircraft eliminates any possibility of the object being a balloon. Some arguments have been advanced that the motion of the balloon relative to the background is an illusion created by the motion of the plane circling the balloon. This argument is not valid since the object can be seen moving past background objects even while the background was stationary in certain frames. Further, angular analysis reveals that a balloon, traveling at 15 mph, could be no farther away than 1520 feet from the plane. Using the on-screen GPS data across frames, this relatively short distance creates major line of sight inconsistencies. The details of this analysis are found in Appendix L.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-n6SEcVQAAYL-f.jpg

2021-09-06T18:38:37+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434949174802272258

@marcbel19406167 @BlueGlassTV @preluder The contents of the report are what you need to actually look at. Not how big it is.

2021-09-06T18:37:31+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434948895201632256

@marcbel19406167 @BlueGlassTV @preluder Again, circular reasoning. The ballon theory is that a slow moving ballon fits the video. So saying it's not a balloon because it's going to fast is a nonsense piece of logic. You need to show it's moving fast first.

If it doesn't go under water, then it's not going fast.

2021-09-06T18:35:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434948331130667009

@marcbel19406167 @BlueGlassTV @preluder That's sound like the SCU report. Basically a bunch of circular reasoning that all hinges on it going underwater. There's no evidence it goes underwater. It does not descend to the water. It also vanishes when there's land behind it.

2021-09-06T18:07:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434941231457443842

@marcbel19406167 @BlueGlassTV @preluder Why does it need to be a wedding? Maybe they were just practicing? Or having a party?

2021-09-06T18:05:55+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434940944172781569

@SilviaGijsen Although I'd probably go for insect.

2021-09-06T18:05:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434940788908052481

@SilviaGijsen Reminds me of this:

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/explained-dark-streak-ufo-photo-barn-swallow-motion-blur.9

936/ http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nvACfUcAEppdQ.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nvACfUcAEppdQ.jpg
2021-09-06T18:00:21+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434939544042831877
@bottwater @MikeColangelo I'm say if you show me "the radar data from the tic tac event ever comes out showing the craft covering 60 miles in 2 seconds" you'd have to explain how you know that's a physical object.

2021-09-06T17:59:18+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434939280309161990
@damnnitbobby It's a camera artifact. A fun example though.

2021-09-06T17:57:38+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434938859792465922
@WriterSafe @SomewhereSkies Being wrong about something isn't the same thing as being ignorant. They are all highly skilled, trained, experienced, and talented. But that does not make them immune to occasional error.

If they disagree with me, I'd be happy to discuss it with them and try to resolve it.

2021-09-06T17:52:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434937678949019648
@ihadtoomuch Ones that are very complicated and keep changing, like QAnon.

2021-09-06T17:52:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434937493250478083
@bottwater @MikeColangelo Is it? How do you know?

What about spoofing?

2021-09-06T17:45:06+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434935703675101187
@Ps5andxbox1 @ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye Something not really moving,

maybe with pyrotechnics.

Imagine you had to physically duplicate it. Could you do it with a big balloon, a flare, and some bits of cardboard?

2021-09-06T15:50:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434906853977194499

RT @wxdam: Now we're averaging 160,000 new cases and 1,500 deaths per day, and the country is whistling along like it's nothing.

In a fewâ¦

2021-09-06T15:42:21+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434904812970135556

@ChrisSPITZER7 @Oswald1160 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye The camera is moving.

2021-09-06T15:41:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434904518316085249

@bottwater @MikeColangelo No.

What if it's our secret advanced technology?

What if it's spoofing?

2021-09-06T15:40:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434904273830047749

@Diego_Muniizz @UFOcentral

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/utah-drone-video-of-ufo-probably-an-insect.10370/

2021-09-06T15:26:04+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434900714900242441

@thethermidorian No. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nKj1qVgAQpqf9.jpg

---



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nKj1qVgAQpqf9.jpg

2021-09-06T15:24:10+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434900238553157636

@MikeColangelo Originally, yes, that's why they did the report. They concluded that there was no general pattern indicated a threat. Of course there's differing opinions.

2021-09-06T15:07:54+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434896143314415621

@MikeColangelo Project Blue Book was closed with 701 reports classed as "Unidentified", so you could quite easily say they also concluded "there is something but we donâ□□t know yet"

They just didn't see any good evidence of advanced technology. But neither did the UAP report.

2021-09-06T14:55:30+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434893024874549249

@antani @MikeColangelo It is unfortunate that there's no generally agreed-upon nomenclature for this type of thing. "Lens flare" ends up covering a whole bunch of different things.

2021-09-06T14:52:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434892341257461760

@Oswald1160 @ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye Me neither.

Chris, why can't you say what you think you see? Are you sure that's the right time?

2021-09-06T14:47:11+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434890931270864898

Nice sunset. But what's that setting off to the right? And for bonus points, what is it setting behind?

(iPhone photo taken yesterday 9/5/2021, Edmonds, Washington)

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nAtAjVEAUuupk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nAPXAVgAg4u7J.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nAtAjVEAUuupk.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-nAZpGVUAYC4gJ.jpg

2021-09-06T12:48:59+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434861182930673674

@Josef_baum @4Eridani I don't really have to time to read his book.

2021-09-06T12:35:20+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434857750840766464

@ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye What are you seeing at 3:21?

2021-09-06T12:22:26+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434854502188740610

@4Eridani Seems wind-borne and floppy. Like a partially deflated balloon, or bunch of balloons.

Seems shiny, like mylar.

2021-09-05T23:52:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434665892588097540

@ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye Which one is second best? Because this is pretty blah.

2021-09-05T23:44:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434663709771661316

@mishLde @LuAngeles @singularitymike @BSquidding @KMataluni Always a pleasure.

2021-09-05T21:28:41+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434629582888439809

@AeroDispatch @WilliamCalamari @ChrisSPITZER7 @_scottbrando_ @hoaxeye We look at random "I saw this last night, what is it?" type sightings all the time. What I generally disallow are packaged videos on clickbait channels with no provenance. Even then, we often look at them. Example: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/cuba-uap-2019.11974/

2021-09-05T21:12:34+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434625528892297217

@Ibn_e_Channa If it wasn't too far away, yes.

2021-09-05T14:53:58+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434530249748877318

@ufo_studyita @maetaphysika Inexplicable due to low information.

2021-09-04T21:39:01+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434269796489592835

@Ibn_e_Channa RQ-180 seems like a very reasonable probability.

2021-09-04T21:36:15+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434269099878612995

@PrecipiceOf This seems consistent with the earlier multiplication rate. If you go 2,4,8,16,32, 64, etc then wherever you draw a line, there's going to be a LOT more on the right side of the line than on the left. Kind of like a family tree.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-eMD4lVgAEKGGX.jpg



http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-eMD4lVgAEKGGX.jpg

2021-09-04T21:29:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434267294310404096

@BarlowTerry @e2_hawkeye @dave_beaty @BrentlyLee @MysteryWire @devgru1980mi @grahammessick I'm not "dismissing" anything. I'm trying to reconcile how, if they both saw it

at merge plot, one could see it for five minutes, and the other for ten seconds.

2021-09-04T18:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434226910817517568

@whiting01332

https://www.metabunk.org/threads/debunked-the-wtc-9-11-angle-cut-column-not-thermite-cut-later.9469/

2021-09-04T18:41:45+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434225186572701698

@PrecipiceOf Try to maximize profits and/or minimize costs. The possibility of being sued means paying liability insurance.

2021-09-04T18:24:53+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434220939219070979

@LawlessNik Which bit in it do you think is false?

2021-09-04T18:21:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434220036177031169

@PrecipiceOf Millions of people have the vaccine and then don't have a heart attack (me included).

800,000 people randomly have heart attacks every year.

Some people will have the vaccine and a heart attack.

So on what grounds would you be suing?

2021-09-04T17:49:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434211986263343106

@LawlessNik You keep posting memes that are VERY EASILY fact-checked.

Are you actually serious abotu the truth?

https://apnews.com/article/fact-checking-170254166936

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dYMoaVcAEIbed.jpg

CLAIM: Dr. Anthony Fauci's wife is the chief of bioethics and human subjects research at the National Institutes of Health. That means she's the one who rubber stamps exceptions to normal drug and vaccine testing.

AP'S ASSESSMENT: False. The COVID-19 vaccines received emergency use approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, not the NIH.

THE FACTS: Throughout the pandemic, social media users have posted false and misleading claims involving Fauci and those around him. This week, posts emerged suggesting that the vaccines received rapid approval because of Fauci's wife, Christine Grady, at the NIH.

Grady is the chief of bioethics at the NIH Clinical Center, but she played no role in the FDA's emergency use authorization of the COVID-19 vaccines. Grady and her staff teach medical ethics and research ethical issues related to medicine and clinical research, according to the NIH.

"Dr. Grady does not approve the conduct of any research protocol and she has no input into the FDA process for issuing EUAs," the NIH said in a statement, referring to emergency use authorizations by their acronym.

In posts with Grady's photo, social media users suggested that the mainstream media failed to investigate whether Fauci and his wife had a conflict of interest when it came to vaccine approval.

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dYMoaVcAEIbed.jpg
2021-09-04T17:46:25+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434211259587575808
@LawlessNik Can we focus on your meme. You seem to still be disagreeing with me, so we need to figure out why.

Do you think the statement in your meme is true or false?
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dXc9RVIAMh82w.jpg



nik lawless @LawlessNik · 1h



Official Data shows many more people have died due to the Covid-19 ...
There can no longer be any doubt that the Covid-19 injections are more deadly than the alleged Covid-19 virus itself thanks to a raft of official ...
dailyexpose.co.uk

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dXc9RVIAMh82w.jpg

2021-09-04T17:44:18+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434210728215343105

@LawlessNik Can you quote a source on that, because the announcement suggest it was the same one. http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dXDcRVcAUINlo.jpg

---



vaccine meets the high standards for safety, effectiveness, and manufacturing quality the FDA requires of an approved product," said Acting FDA Commissioner Janet Woodcock, M.D. "While millions of people have already safely received COVID-19 vaccines, we recognize that for some, the FDA approval of a vaccine may now instill additional confidence to get vaccinated. Today's milestone puts us one step closer to altering the course of this pandemic in the U.S."

Since Dec. 11, 2020, the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine has been available under EUA in individuals 16 years of age and older, and the authorization was expanded to include those 12 through 15 years of age on May 10, 2021. EUAs can be used by the FDA during public health emergencies to provide access to medical products that may be effective in preventing, diagnosing, or treating a disease, provided that the FDA determines that the known and potential benefits of a product, when used to prevent, diagnose, or treat the disease, outweigh the known and potential risks of the product.

FDA-approved vaccines undergo the agency's standard process for reviewing the quality, safety and effectiveness of medical products. For all vaccines, the FDA evaluates data and information included in the manufacturer's submission of a biologics license application (BLA). A BLA is a comprehensive document that is submitted to the agency providing very

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dXDcRVcAUINlo.jpg

2021-09-04T17:34:27+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434208247729164288

@LawlessNik There's an extensive discussion with sources here: https://www.metabunk.org/threads/are-99-of-covid-19-deaths-among-the-unvaccinated.11989/

The 99.2% figure is no longer true, as there's so many people vaccinated. But it was true at the time. The other figures are largely correct.

2021-09-04T17:31:17+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434207452338159619

@LawlessNik Would you like to start with a simpler issue?

https://twitter.com/AlbertBourla/status/1369734954498818052

2021-09-04T17:29:06+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434206902024499201

@LawlessNik So are you just going to spam me with memes? I'm sorry it's come to that. I just want to drill down into one specific issues, and reach agreement. I picked the Scotland one because it's quote straightforward.

Don't you want the truth on this particular point?

2021-09-04T17:27:16+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434206440437141504

@LawlessNik I do not want memes, thank you. I want to resolve a claim you made.

2021-09-04T17:26:25+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434206228175982596

@LawlessNik Thank you for responding to this issue.

The meme says more people died DUE TO the COVID vaccine, but the source cited only lists deaths of any cause. It also note they are lower than normal.

So they can't be due to the vaccine.

2021-09-04T17:23:19+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434205449130106882

@chxpotpi Opinions differ. Plus it's more what THEY think would be creepy.

2021-09-04T17:03:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434200364677431296

@LawlessNik Do you accept your meme is false?

Or do you think it is true?

2021-09-04T16:52:22+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434197659191963659

@PrecipiceOf Why make a big deal of them not being approved if you are going to dismiss the actual approval.

All drugs start out as experimental. This drug is now approved.

2021-09-04T16:50:07+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434197093279670276

@LawlessNik No you are simply avoiding the issue.

Don't you want your argument to be backed ONLY by correct claims?

Or are you okay with using false claims?

Please address this. It's incorrect, right? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dKlpCVUAIBuhw.jpg

---



nik lawless @LawlessNik · 1h



Official Data shows many more people have died due to the Covid-19 ...
There can no longer be any doubt that the Covid-19 injections are more deadly than the alleged Covid-19 virus itself thanks to a raft of official ...
dailyexpose.co.uk

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dKlpCVUAIBuhw.jpg
2021-09-04T16:40:27+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434194658293207047
@spacedwalking @ThorntonVallery @RodFair2 Home depot.
https://www.homedepot.com/p/Master-Magnet-0-47-in-Neodymium-Rare-Earth-Magnet-Discs-6-per-Pack-07046HD/202526367

2021-09-04T16:36:57+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434193778106007558
@LawlessNik Do you recognize your original Scotland claim was incorrect? And do you fully understand why?

Just general distrust of "official" figures is not an answer. You made a specific claim about some specific figures.

2021-09-04T16:35:31+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434193417622355970
@LawlessNik Yes, but let's look at your actual claims. Figure out if they are backed by the data.

2021-09-04T16:31:23+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434192377434886148
@LawlessNik And deaths after a jab are not deaths by the jab.

I really think we need to reach some agreement on your Scotland claim. Remember your original claim. Do you still think it is correct? http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dGWqfVkAMiyfg.jpg

---



nik lawless @LawlessNik · 1h



Official Data shows many more people have died due to the Covid-19 ...
There can no longer be any doubt that the Covid-19 injections are more deadly than the alleged Covid-19 virus itself thanks to a raft of official ...
dailyexpose.co.uk

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dGWqfVkAMiyfg.jpg
2021-09-04T16:20:49+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434189716744654849
@PrecipiceOf Because there's an emergency.

And no, they are not infallible, and the revolving door system needs fixing.

2021-09-04T16:19:14+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434189319523028994
@spacedwalking @RodFair2 I could. But I think pretty much anyone could.

Just list the possibilities.

2021-09-04T16:14:12+00:00
https://twitter.com/_/status/1434188052897800194
@PrecipiceOf The FDA announcement says it is the same one that was used under emergency use authorization.

https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-covid-19-vaccine
http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dCZSTUcAA5WIM.jpg



vaccine meets the high standards for safety, effectiveness, and manufacturing quality the FDA requires of an approved product," said Acting FDA Commissioner Janet Woodcock, M.D. "While millions of people have already safely received COVID-19 vaccines, we recognize that for some, the FDA approval of a vaccine may now instill additional confidence to get vaccinated. Today's milestone puts us one step closer to altering the course of this pandemic in the U.S."

Since Dec. 11, 2020, the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine has been available under EUA in individuals 16 years of age and older, and the authorization was expanded to include those 12 through 15 years of age on May 10, 2021. EUAs can be used by the FDA during public health emergencies to provide access to medical products that may be effective in preventing, diagnosing, or treating a disease, provided that the FDA determines that the known and potential benefits of a product, when used to prevent, diagnose, or treat the disease, outweigh the known and potential risks of the product.

FDA-approved vaccines undergo the agency's standard process for reviewing the quality, safety and effectiveness of medical products. For all vaccines, the FDA evaluates data and information included in the manufacturer's submission of a biologics license application (BLA). A BLA is a comprehensive document that is submitted to the agency providing very

http://pbs.twimg.com/media/E-dCZSTUcAA5WIM.jpg

2021-09-04T16:08:23+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434186589677101060

@LawlessNik No until we come to some agreement on the Scottish figures. It's pointless constantly changing the subject without actually resolving any points.

The numbers are given. Let's see if we can understand what they say.

2021-09-04T16:07:05+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434186262919856132

@LawlessNik Do what exactly? Look at excess deaths?

2021-09-04T15:58:12+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434184026504380423

@LawlessNik No the issue where you think it's the vaccine killing people.

You understand people die all the time, right? Of normal causes. So over six months in Scotland you'd expect a number of deaths, even if there was no vaccine?

So how many would you expect to die of NORMAL causes?

2021-09-04T15:55:32+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434183355176665089

@PrecipiceOf Where are you quoting "experimental" from?

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is fully FDA approved, and the others will shortly be the same.

https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-covid-19-vaccine

---

2021-09-04T15:48:36+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434181612078440456

@LawlessNik Can we address the claim you made about Scotland?

2021-09-04T15:36:47+00:00

https://twitter.com/_/status/1434178639122235393

@BarlowTerry @dave_beaty @e2_hawkeye @BrentlyLee @MysteryWire @devgru1980mi @grahammessick Nothing on camera from them.

Very different recollections of the length of the encounter.
